

spread just over 8 feet, to 43,500 pounds, if the spread is 10 feet.)

6. Is there a need for Federal regulation of tire loads and pressures or other tire controls for the purpose of protecting highway pavements? How should they be specified?

7. If Federal vehicle weight limits were increased, should additional requirements be placed on the heavier vehicles and their operation? For which vehicles should such requirements be considered? Why are these requirements needed?

Size Limits

8. Should the present Federal vehicle size (length and width) limits be changed? If so, how should they be changed? Why are these changes needed? Which shippers or producers would benefit from these changes, and to what extent would they benefit? How would the public benefit from these changes?

9. If Federal vehicle size limits were increased, should additional requirements be placed on the larger vehicles and their operations? For which vehicles should such requirements be considered? Why are these requirements needed?

10. Presently, there are no Federal regulations governing truck height. Is there a need for a Federal vehicle height limit? If so, why is it needed?

Performance Standards

11. Could performance standards, such as ability to maintain a minimum speed, be used as a part of a new Federal TS&W policy? How would such standards achieve results at least equivalent to current size and weight limits and vehicle requirements? How could these standards be applied and enforced?

Grandfather Rights

12. Should State authority to claim grandfather rights under Federal TS&W provisions (including overweight permit authority) be left intact, frozen, or phased out? Why?

Permits

13. How does the extent of motor carrier operations under overweight permits compare to that for operations that do not require permits? What portion of the nondivisible load permits are issued routinely; that is, without an engineering review? Nonroutinely, with an engineering review? What portion of overweight permits are issued for divisible loads?

14. How do operations under the various types of permits vary by type of

trucking operations and from one region of the country to another?

15. Should there be a Federal role in the permitting of overweight vehicles carrying divisible loads? What role? Why?

National Objectives

16. *Highway Safety*: Is there a Federal role in utilizing TS&W provisions to improve highway safety? What are appropriate vehicle performance standards for improving highway safety? What equipment specifications are needed for which vehicle combinations? What driver requirements (minimum age, training, or experience) are needed? Under what highway, traffic, and weather conditions should the operation of larger or heavier vehicles be restricted? Is a regional role or State role appropriate?

17. *Productivity Enhancement and International Trade*: What potential changes in Federal TS&W provisions could be used to facilitate interstate commerce? International trade? What types of vehicles are used in North American trade? What are the significant international freight movements in terms of commodity and origins and destination? How can the movement of International Standards Organization containers be facilitated? Are there changes in TS&W standards that would better facilitate North American trade and what are the expected benefits and costs?

18. *Intermodalism*: What Federal TS&W provisions could be used to facilitate the intermodal movement of freight where this is efficient? How do TS&W limits relate to the needs of other modes, especially rail and maritime?

19. *Environment*: Which potential changes in Federal TS&W provisions are consistent or inconsistent with local and State air quality improvement strategies? What effect would increased or decreased TS&W limits have on traffic noise and vibration?

20. *Energy Conservation*: Which potential changes to Federal TS&W provisions could be used to help conserve energy?

Carrier/Shipper Standards Setting

21. If you could, how would you change truck size and weight limits and related requirements or set performance standards to optimize your trucking or logistics operations? What are the bases for the limits and requirements or performance standards? How would the changes affect highway pavements and bridges and the national objectives mentioned above? In your response, please: (1) Describe your operations including commodities carried,

equipment used, area of operation, amount of traffic, lengths of haul, and arrangements with your shippers and other carriers; and (2) evaluate the benefits that you and the public will realize from your proposed changes.

Special TS&W Provisions

22. Should there be separate TS&W provisions for special commodities or equipment such as hazardous materials, agricultural and forest products, other natural resources, intermodal containers and trailers, water and oil well drilling rigs, military vehicles, and automobile and boat transporters? Why? What benefits would be realized from the special provisions?

Exemptions from TS&W Standards

23. Should any vehicles that use federally-supported highways be exempt from Federal TS&W regulation (for example, military vehicles)? Why? What benefits would be realized from the exemptions?

Authority: 23 U.S.C. 315; 49 U.S.C. 301, 302, 305; Pub. L. 102-548, 106 Stat. 3646.

Issued On: January 26, 1995.

Rodney E. Slater,

Federal Highway Administrator.

[FR Doc. 95-2533 Filed 02-01-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-22-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Public Information Collection Requirements Submitted to OMB for Review

January 23, 1995.

The Department of Treasury has submitted the following public information collection requirement(s) to OMB for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980, Public Law 96-511. Copies of the submission(s) may be obtained by calling the Treasury Bureau Clearance Officer listed. Comments regarding this information collection should be addressed to the OMB reviewer listed and to the Treasury Department Clearance Officer, Department of the Treasury, Room 2110, 1425 New York Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20220.

Bureau of the Public Debt (BPD)

OMB Number: 1535-0062.

Form Number: PD F 2966.

Type of Review: Extension.

Title: Special Bond of Indemnity to the United States of America.

Description: This form is used by the purchaser of savings bonds in a chain letter scheme to request refund of the purchase price of the bonds.

Respondents: Individuals or households.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 5,000.

Estimated Burden Hours Per Response: 8 minutes.

Frequency of Response: On occasion.
Estimated Total Reporting Burden: 665 hours.

Clearance Officer: Vicki S. Ott (304) 480-6553, Bureau of the Public Debt, 200 Third Street, Parkersburg, West VA 26106-1328.

OMB Reviewer: Milo Sunderhauf (202) 395-7340, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10226, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

Lois K. Holland,

Departmental Reports Management Officer.
[FR Doc. 95-2527 Filed 2-1-95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4810-40-P

Public Information Collection Requirements Submitted to OMB for Review

January 26, 1995.

The Department of Treasury has submitted the following public information collection requirement(s) to OMB for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980, Public Law 96-511. Copies of the submission(s) may be obtained by calling the Treasury Bureau Clearance Officer listed. Comments regarding this information collection should be addressed to the OMB reviewer listed and to the Treasury Department Clearance Officer, Department of the Treasury, Room 2110, 1425 New York Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20220.

Financial Management Service (FMS)

OMB Number: 110-0059.

Form Number: SF 5510.

Type of Review: Extension.

Title: Authorization Agreement for Preauthorized Payment.

Description: Preauthorized payment is used by remitters (individuals and corporations) to authorize electronic fund transfers from the bank accounts maintained at financial institutions for government agencies to collect monies.

Respondents: Individuals or households, business or other for-profit, Federal Government.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 100,000.

Estimated Burden Hours Per Response: 15 minutes.

Frequency of Response: On occasion.
Estimated Total Reporting Burden: 25,000 hours.

Clearance Officer: Jacqueline R. Perry (301) 344-8577, Financial Management

Service, 3361-L 75th Avenue, Landover, MD 20785.

OMB Reviewer: Milo Sunderhauf (202) 395-7340, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10226, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

Lois K. Holland,

Departmental Reports Management Officer.
[FR Doc. 95-2526 Filed 2-1-95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4810-35-P

Public Information Collection Requirements Submitted to OMB for Review.

January 26, 1995.

The Department of the Treasury has submitted the following public information collection requirement(s) to OMB for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980, Public Law 96-511. Copies of the submission(s) may be obtained by calling the Treasury Bureau Clearance Officer listed. Comments regarding this information collection should be addressed to the OMB reviewer listed and to the Treasury Department Clearance Officer, Department of the Treasury, Room 2110, 1425 New York Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20220.

SPECIAL REQUEST: In order to conduct the survey described below on February 6, 1995, the Department of the Treasury is requesting Office of Management and Budget (OMB) review and approve this information collection by February 3, 1995. To obtain a copy of this survey, please contact the IRS Clearance Officer at the address listed below.

Internal Revenue Service (IRS)

OMB Number: 1545-1432.

Survey Project Number: IRS PC:V 95-003-G.

Type of Review: Revision.

Title: Mission Customer Profile Survey.

Description: The purpose of this survey is to profile the existing customer base, to ascertain how respondents learned about the Mission or VITA locations, and to receive suggestions for alternative or additional locations. The profiles from each site will be compared to each other, and all profiles will be compared to zip-code-area demographic information received from various service and public transportation agencies in the area. This survey will be distributed to taxpayers visiting the Wichita, Kansas District walk-in counters at the Mission Pos of Duty (POD) and three VITA sites in Oak Park, Antioch, and Wyandotte County.

Respondents: Individuals or households, businesses or other for-

profit, small businesses or organizations

Estimated Number of Respondents: 980.
Estimated Burden Hours Per

Respondent: 2 minutes.

Frequency of Response: Other.

Estimated Total Reporting Burden: 33 hours.

Clearance Officer: Garrick Shear (202) 622-3869, Internal Revenue Service, Room 5571, 1111 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20224.

OMB Reviewer: Milo Sunderhauf (202) 395-7340, Office of Management and Budget, Room 10226, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

Lois K. Holland,

Departmental Reports Management Officer.
[FR Doc. 95-2528 Filed 2-1-95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4830-01-P

Public Information Collection Requirements Submitted to OMB for Review.

January 26, 1995.

The Department of Treasury has submitted the following public information collection requirement(s) to OMB for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980, Public Law 96-511. Copies of the submission(s) may be obtained by calling the Treasury Bureau Clearance Officer listed. Comments regarding this information collection should be addressed to the OMB reviewer listed and to the Treasury Department Clearance Officer, Department of the Treasury, Room 2110, 1425 New York Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20220.

Internal Revenue Service (IRS)

OMB Number: 1545-0073.

Form Number: IRS Form 1310.

Type of Review: Revision.

Title: Statement of Person Claiming Refund Due a Deceased Taxpayer.

Description: Form 1310 is used by a claimant to secure payment of a refund on behalf of a deceased taxpayer. The information enables IRS to send the refund to the correct person.

Respondents: Individuals or households.

Estimated Number of Respondents/Recordkeepers: 7,500.

Estimated Burden Hours Per

Respondent/Recordkeeper:

Recordkeeping—7 min.

Learning about the law or the form—3 min.

Preparing the form—16 min.

Copying, assembling, and sending the form to the IRS—17 min.

Frequency of Response: On occasion

Estimated Total Reporting/Recordkeeping Burden: 5,325 hours