

either capacity and associated energy only as the parties may mutually agree.

NYSEG requests that the agreement become effective on January 18, 1995, so that the parties may, if mutually agreeable, enter into separately scheduled transactions under the agreement. NYSEG has requested waiver of the notice requirements for good cause shown.

NYSEG served copies of the filing upon the New York State Public Service Commission and Citizens.

Comment date: February 9, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

9. Timothy L. Guzzle

[Docket No. ID-2509-001]

Take notice that on December 30, 1994, Timothy L. Guzzle (Applicant), tendered for filing a supplement in the above-referenced docket to hold the following positions:

Chairman of the Board & CEO—Tampa Electric Company.
Director—NationsBank Corporation.

Comment date: February 9, 1995, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

10. Air Products and Chemicals, Inc.

[Docket No. QF84-166-001]

On January 18, 1995, Air Products and Chemicals, Inc. (applicant), of P.O. Box 538, Allentown, Pennsylvania, submitted for filing an application for recertification of a facility pursuant to § 292.207(b) of the Commission's Regulations. No determination has been made that the submittal constitutes a complete filing.

According to the applicant, the topping-cycle cogeneration facility is located in New Orleans, Louisiana. The Commission previously certified the facility as a qualifying cogeneration facility, *Air Products and Chemicals, Inc.*, 27 FERC ¶ 62,125 (1984). The instant application for recertification is due to the addition of new equipment, reconfiguration of exiting equipment and an increase in the maximum net capacity to 27.5 MW.

Comment date: Thirty days after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

11. Air Products and Chemicals, Inc.

[Docket No. QF84-166-002]

On January 18, 1995, Air Products and Chemicals, Inc. (Applicant), of P.O. Box 538, Allentown, Pennsylvania, submitted for filing an application for certification of a facility as a qualifying small power production facility

pursuant to § 292.207(b) of the Commission's Regulations. No determination has been made that the submittal constitutes a complete filing.

According to the Applicant, the small power production facility is located in New Orleans, Louisiana and will consist of three heat recovery boilers and two steam turbines driving a single generator. The maximum net electric power production capacity will be approximately 6.5 MW. The primary energy source will be waste in the form of waste heat.

Comment date: Thirty days after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

12. Auburndale Power Partners, Limited Partnership

[Docket Nos. QF93-29-003 and EL95-20-000]

Take notice that on January 20, 1995, Auburndale Power Partners, L.P. (Auburndale), tendered for filing a request for limited waiver of the Commission's Regulations under the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978 (PURPA). Auburndale requests the Commission to temporarily waive the operating standard for qualifying cogeneration facilities as set forth in Section 292.205 of the Commission's Regulations, implementing Section 201 of PURPA, as amended, 18 CFR 292.205, with respect to its 158.8 MW cogeneration facility located in Polk County, near Auburndale, Florida. Specifically, Auburndale requests waiver of the operating standard for the calendar year 1994.

Comment date: Thirty days after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraphs

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 18 CFR 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the

Commission and are available for public inspection.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-2520 Filed 2-1-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-P

[Docket No. CP95-35-000]

EcoEléctrica, L.P.; Notice of Application

January 27, 1995.

Take notice that on October 25, 1994, EcoEléctrica, L.P. (EcoEléctrica), a Bermuda Limited Partnership, Scotiabank Plaza, Suite 902, 273 Ponce de Leon Avenue, Hato Rey, Puerto Rico 00918, filed in Docket No. CP95-35-000, an application pursuant to Section 3 of the Natural Gas Act for approval of a point of import for liquefied natural gas (LNG), all as more fully set forth in the application and subsequent supplemental filings which are on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Specifically, EcoEléctrica intends to construct and operate LNG facilities on a 36-acre site in Guayanilla Bay near Ponce, Puerto Rico. EcoEléctrica states that the "jurisdictional" facilities consist of a marine unloading facility, two LNG storage tanks with individual capacities of up to one million barrels, and a vaporization system. In addition, EcoEléctrica proposes to construct a "non-jurisdictional" cogeneration facility that will use the imported LNG for power generation. The electricity generated by EcoEléctrica's cogeneration facility will be purchased by the Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority (PREPA), the government-created public utility which supplies nearly all of the electric power consumed in Puerto Rico. PREPA has identified a need for additional electric generating capacity by the year 2000 to meet future demand growth, enhance system reliability and to diversify the fuel sources that generate electricity. A supply contract for the LNG has not been finalized; EcoEléctrica states that it will follow after the finalization of the power purchase agreement being negotiated with PREPA.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before February 17, 1995, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211). All protests filed with the Commission

will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for EcoEléctrica to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-2522 Filed 2-1-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP95-166-000]

**Koch Gateway Pipeline Company;
Request Under Blanket Authorization**

January 27, 1995.

Take notice that on January 19, 1995, Koch Gateway Pipeline Company (Koch Gateway), P.O. Box 1478, Houston, Texas 77251-1478, filed in Docket No. CP95-166-000 a request pursuant to §§ 157.205 and 157.211 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.211) for authorization to reactivate and operate an existing one-inch delivery tap in Mobile County, Alabama, under Koch Gateway's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-430-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Koch Gateway proposes to reactivate an existing one-inch tap on its transmission line at Index 311 (Section 30, T-2-S, R-4-W, Mobile County, Alabama) to provide a new delivery point to serve South Alabama Utility

District (South Alabama), which in turn will serve West Wilmer in Mobile County, Alabama. Koch Gateway states it had previously requested authority to install a new tap near this location and was granted authority in Docket No. CP94-788. However the shipper, South Alabama, has requested that the proposal be changed to the reactivating of an existing tap for service to its customer. South Alabama, according to Koch Gateway, will construct and own the meter and regulatory station and appurtenant piping necessary to connect its facilities to Koch Gateway's Index 311. South Alabama's average daily volume, according to Koch Gateway, is to be 100 MMBtu per day with peak day not to exceed 150 MMBtu. The reactivation of the delivery tap will be entirely within Koch Gateway's existing pipeline right-of-way.

Koch Gateway states that it currently provides No Notice Service (NNS) to South Alabama pursuant to the blanket transportation certificate and NNS agreement filed with the Commission in Docket No. ST94-1532. The current NNS contract reflects total maximum daily quantity for South Alabama as being 2,935 MMBtu for winter, 1,174 for summer and 1,761 MMBtu for shoulder months.

Koch Gateway states that the proposed facilities installation and modification won't impact its curtailment plan since the requested service remains within current entitlements, there is sufficient capacity to render service without detriment or disadvantage to existing customers and its tariff doesn't prohibit the proposed addition of a delivery tap.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to § 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefore, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-2523 Filed 2-1-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP95-177-000]

**Burton McDaniel, M.D. v. East
Tennessee Natural Gas Company;
Complaint**

January 26, 1995.

Take notice that on January 18, 1995, Burton McDaniel, M.D. (McDaniel), 11685 Alpharetta Highway, Roswell, Georgia 30076, filed with the Commission in Docket No. CP95-177-000 a complaint, pursuant to Rule 206 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, against East Tennessee Natural Gas Company (East Tennessee), alleging that East Tennessee is misapplying its authority under the Part 157, subpart F Blanket Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued in Docket No. CP82-412-000. Specifically, McDaniel alleges that the East Tennessee's currently proposed project, involving the construction and operation of facilities to interconnect with facilities proposed by Southern Natural Gas Company in Docket No. CP94-682-000, can be completed in a less intrusive manner and that its current plans violate the intentions of the certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-412-000.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make a protest with reference to McDaniel's complaint should file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or protest in accordance with the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 or 385.214). All such motions, together with the answer of respondent to the complaint, should be filed on or before February 6, 1995. Any person desiring to become a party must file a motion to intervene. A copy of the complaint is on file with the Commission and available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-2524 Filed 2-1-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Project No. 2320-005-NY Project No. 2330-007-NY]

**Niagara Mohawk Power Corp.; Notice
of Public Scoping Meetings**

January 27, 1995.

The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) has received applications for new license (relicense) from the Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation (NIMO) for the following two existing hydropower projects owned and operated by NIMO on the Raquette River in St. Lawrence County,