

will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for EcoEléctrica to appear or be represented at the hearing.

**Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,**

*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-2522 Filed 2-1-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

**[Docket No. CP95-166-000]**

**Koch Gateway Pipeline Company;  
Request Under Blanket Authorization**

January 27, 1995.

Take notice that on January 19, 1995, Koch Gateway Pipeline Company (Koch Gateway), P.O. Box 1478, Houston, Texas 77251-1478, filed in Docket No. CP95-166-000 a request pursuant to §§ 157.205 and 157.211 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205, 157.211) for authorization to reactivate and operate an existing one-inch delivery tap in Mobile County, Alabama, under Koch Gateway's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-430-000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Koch Gateway proposes to reactivate an existing one-inch tap on its transmission line at Index 311 (Section 30, T-2-S, R-4-W, Mobile County, Alabama) to provide a new delivery point to serve South Alabama Utility

District (South Alabama), which in turn will serve West Wilmer in Mobile County, Alabama. Koch Gateway states it had previously requested authority to install a new tap near this location and was granted authority in Docket No. CP94-788. However the shipper, South Alabama, has requested that the proposal be changed to the reactivating of an existing tap for service to its customer. South Alabama, according to Koch Gateway, will construct and own the meter and regulatory station and appurtenant piping necessary to connect its facilities to Koch Gateway's Index 311. South Alabama's average daily volume, according to Koch Gateway, is to be 100 MMBtu per day with peak day not to exceed 150 MMBtu. The reactivation of the delivery tap will be entirely within Koch Gateway's existing pipeline right-of-way.

Koch Gateway states that it currently provides No Notice Service (NNS) to South Alabama pursuant to the blanket transportation certificate and NNS agreement filed with the Commission in Docket No. ST94-1532. The current NNS contract reflects total maximum daily quantity for South Alabama as being 2,935 MMBtu for winter, 1,174 for summer and 1,761 MMBtu for shoulder months.

Koch Gateway states that the proposed facilities installation and modification won't impact its curtailment plan since the requested service remains within current entitlements, there is sufficient capacity to render service without detriment or disadvantage to existing customers and its tariff doesn't prohibit the proposed addition of a delivery tap.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to § 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefore, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

**Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,**

*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-2523 Filed 2-1-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

**[Docket No. CP95-177-000]**

**Burton McDaniel, M.D. v. East  
Tennessee Natural Gas Company;  
Complaint**

January 26, 1995.

Take notice that on January 18, 1995, Burton McDaniel, M.D. (McDaniel), 11685 Alpharetta Highway, Roswell, Georgia 30076, filed with the Commission in Docket No. CP95-177-000 a complaint, pursuant to Rule 206 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, against East Tennessee Natural Gas Company (East Tennessee), alleging that East Tennessee is misapplying its authority under the Part 157, subpart F Blanket Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity issued in Docket No. CP82-412-000. Specifically, McDaniel alleges that the East Tennessee's currently proposed project, involving the construction and operation of facilities to interconnect with facilities proposed by Southern Natural Gas Company in Docket No. CP94-682-000, can be completed in a less intrusive manner and that its current plans violate the intentions of the certificate issued in Docket No. CP82-412-000.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make a protest with reference to McDaniel's complaint should file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or protest in accordance with the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 or 385.214). All such motions, together with the answer of respondent to the complaint, should be filed on or before February 6, 1995. Any person desiring to become a party must file a motion to intervene. A copy of the complaint is on file with the Commission and available for public inspection.

**Lois D. Cashell,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-2524 Filed 2-1-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

**[Project No. 2320-005-NY Project No. 2330-007-NY]**

**Niagara Mohawk Power Corp.; Notice  
of Public Scoping Meetings**

January 27, 1995.

The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) has received applications for new license (relicense) from the Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation (NIMO) for the following two existing hydropower projects owned and operated by NIMO on the Raquette River in St. Lawrence County,

New York: The Middle Raquette River Project, FERC No. 2320, consisting of the Highley, Colton, Hannawa, and Sugar Island developments; and the Lower Raquette River Project, FERC No. 2330, consisting of the Norwood, East Norfolk, Norfolk, and Raymondville developments.

The Commission staff will prepare and issue a draft multiple-project environmental impact statement (EIS) for review by all interested parties. All comments filed on the draft EIS will be analyzed by the FERC staff and considered in a final EIS.

One element of the EIS process is scoping. This activity is initiated early to:

- Identify reasonable alternative operational procedures and environmental enhancement measures that should be evaluated in the EIS;
- Delineate significant environmental issues related to the operation of the existing projects;
- Determine the depth of analysis for issues that will be discussed in the EIS; and
- Identify resource issues that are of lesser importance and, consequently, do not require detailed analysis in the EIS.

#### Scoping Meetings

The FERC staff will conduct two scoping meetings: The evening meeting is designed to obtain input from the general public, while the morning meeting will focus on resource agency concerns. All interested individuals, organizations, agencies, and Indians Tribes are invited to attend either or both meetings in order to assist staff in identifying the environmental issues that should be analyzed in the multiple-project EIS.

To help focus discussions, a preliminary EIS scoping document outlining subject areas to be addressed at the meetings will be distributed by mail to all persons and entities on the FERC mailing lists for the Middle and Lower Raquette River Projects. Copies of the preliminary scoping document also will be made available at the scoping meetings.

The evening meeting for the general public will be held from 7 p.m. until 11 p.m. on Tuesday, March 21, 1995, in Room 177 of the New York State Center for Advanced Materials Processing in Potsdam, New York. This facility is located on Clarkson University's Hill Campus, adjacent to the Cheel Campus Center. Attendees should use the Maple Street entrance to the University and Parking Area 9.

The agency-oriented meeting will be held at the same location on

Wednesday, March 22, 1995, from 9 a.m. until 12 p.m.

#### Scoping Meeting Procedures

Both meetings, which will be recorded by a stenographer, will become part of the formal record of the Commission's proceeding on the Middle and Lower Raquette River Projects. Individuals presenting statements at the meetings will be asked to sign in before the meetings start and to identify themselves for the record.

Concerned parties are encouraged to speak during the public meetings. Speaking time allowed for individuals at the evening public meeting will be determined before that meeting, based on the number of persons wishing to speak and the approximate amount of time available for the session. All speakers will be provided at least five minutes to present their views.

#### Scoping Meeting Objectives

At the scoping meetings, the staff will:

- Summarize the environmental issues tentatively identified for analysis in the multiple-project EIS;
- Identify resource issues that are of lesser importance and, therefore, do not require detailed analysis;
- Solicit from the meeting participants all available information, especially quantifiable data, concerning significant local resources; and
- Encourage statements from experts and the public on issues that should be analyzed in the EIS.

#### Information Requested

Federal and state resource agencies, local government officials, interested groups, area residents, and concerned individuals are requested to provide any information they believe will assist the Commission staff to evaluate the environmental impacts associated with relicensing the two projects. The types of information sought included the following:

- Data, reports, and resource plans that characterize the physical, biological or social environments in the vicinity of the projects; and
- Information and data that helps staff identify or evaluate significant environmental issues.

Scoping information and associated comments should be submitted to the Commission no later than April 21, 1995. Written comments should be provided at the scoping meetings or mailed to the Commission, as follows: Lois Cashell, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol St., N.E., Washington, DC 20426.

All filings sent to the Secretary of the Commission should contain an original

and 8 copies. Failure to file an original and 8 copies may result in appropriate staff not receiving the benefit of your comments in a timely manner. See 18 CFR 4.34(h).

All correspondence should show the following caption on the first page:

FERC No. 2320-005—NY, Middle Raquette River Project  
FERC No. 2330-007—NY, Lower Raquette River Project

Intervenors and interceders (as defined in 18 CFR 385.2010) who file documents with the Commission are reminded of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure requiring them to serve a copy of all documents filed with the Commission on each person whose name is listed on the official service list for this proceeding. See 18 CFR 4.34(b).

For further information, please contact Jim Haines in Washington, DC at (202) 219-2780.

**Linwood A. Watson, Jr.,**

*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-2521 Filed 2-1-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP95-72-001]

#### Northern Natural Gas Company; Compliance Filing

January 27, 1995.

On December 30, 1994, the Commission issued an order accepting and suspending tariff sheets subject to refund and conditions and establishing a technical conference in the above referenced docket. Ordering Paragraph (B) of that order required Northern Natural Gas Company (Northern) to file workpapers and other data in support of the proposed increase.

Take notice that on January 17, 1995, Northern in response to the Commission's order filed workpapers and schedules further detailing support for the revised Reconciliation Adjustment (RA). Northern states that it is filing Revised Schedule Nos. 1, 2 and 4 and 4a to the December 1, 1994 filing which include additional footnotes which more specifically detail the adjustments made by Northern.

Northern states that copies of the filing have been mailed to all of Northern's customers and interested state commissions.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with rule 211 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure 18 CFR 385.211. All such protests should be