

Dated: January 24, 1995.

Hazel R. O'Leary,

Secretary of Energy.

[FR Doc. 95-2230 Filed 1-27-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

Conduct of Employees; Notice of Waiver Pursuant to Section 602(c) of the Department of Energy Organization Act (Pub. L. No. 95-91)

Section 602(a) of the Department of Energy ("DOE") Organization Act (Pub. L. No. 95-91, hereinafter referred to as the "Act") prohibits a "supervisory employee" (defined in section 601(a) of the Act) of the Department from knowingly receiving compensation from, holding any official relation with, or having any pecuniary interest in any "energy concern" (defined in section 601(b) of the Act).

Section 602(c) of the Act authorizes the Secretary of Energy to waive the requirements of section 602(a) in cases where the interest is a pension, insurance, or other similarly vested interest.

Ms. Nancy K. Weidenfeller has been appointed as Deputy Assistant Secretary for Quality Management. As a result of her previous employment with Northern States Power Company, Ms. Weidenfeller has a vested right to receive deferred compensation, within the meaning of section 602(c) of the Act, from Northern States Power Company. I have granted Ms. Weidenfeller a waiver of the divestiture requirement of section 602(a) of the Act with respect to this vested right until the deferred compensation has been paid, which will be in March 1997.

In accordance with section 208, title 18, United States Code, Ms. Weidenfeller has been directed not to participate personally and substantially, as a Government employee, in any particular matter the outcome of which could have a direct and predictable effect upon Northern States Power Company.

Dated: January 11, 1995.

William H. White,

Deputy Secretary of Energy.

[FR Doc. 95-2231 Filed 1-27-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

Availability of Revised Implementation Plan for the Tritium Supply and Recycling Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement

AGENCY: Department of Energy.

ACTION: Notice of Availability.

SUMMARY: The Department of Energy (DOE) announces the availability of the revised Implementation Plan for the Tritium Supply and Recycling Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (PEIS). The Implementation Plan provides guidance for the preparation of the PEIS, records the issues identified as a result of the public scoping process, and provides information regarding the alternatives and issues to be analyzed in the PEIS.

ADDRESSES AND FURTHER INFORMATION: A copy of the Implementation Plan or its Executive Summary may be obtained upon request to:

Office of Reconfiguration, DP-25, U.S. Department of Energy, P.O. Box 3417, Alexandria, Virginia 22302, Attn: Implementation Plan.(202) 586-1300

Requests for further information on the Tritium Supply and Recycling proposal may be directed to the same office.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On October 28, 1994, DOE published in the **Federal Register** an update notice on the proposed Reconfiguration program, announcing its intention to separate the Reconfiguration PEIS into two separate PEIS's: a Tritium Supply and Recycling PEIS, and a Stockpile Stewardship and Management PEIS [59 FR 54176]. A 30-day public comment period followed that notice. The Tritium Supply and Recycling Implementation Plan takes the comments received into account, along with the comments received during a prior public scoping period (July-October 1993).

The Implementation Plan describes the scope of the Tritium Supply and Recycling PEIS, including the siting and technology alternatives related to tritium supply and recycling. Four technologies for tritium supply will be assessed in the PEIS: Heavy Water Reactor, Modular High-Temperature Gas-Cooled Reactor (MHTGR), Advanced Light Water Reactor (ALWR), and Accelerator Production of Tritium. Five sites for new tritium supply facilities and tritium recycling facilities will also be assessed: Idaho National Engineering Laboratory (Idaho Falls, Idaho); Nevada Test Site (Las Vegas, Nevada); Pantex Plant (Amarillo, Texas); Savannah River Site (Aiken, South Carolina); and the Oak Ridge Reservation (Oak Ridge, Tennessee). Additionally, the PEIS will include an analysis of the environmental impacts of the MHTGR and ALWR technologies for tritium production together with plutonium disposition and steam/electricity production. The PEIS will also analyze an existing commercial light water reactor that would be

purchased for tritium production and withdrawn from commercial electricity production.

The Draft Tritium Supply and Recycling PEIS, which will include the Department's preferred alternative, will be completed no later than March 1, 1995. Following the publication of the Draft Tritium Supply and Recycling PEIS, public hearings will be held, and a Final Tritium Supply and Recycling PEIS is expected to be completed by October 1995. Information on the public hearing locations, dates, and format will be published in the **Federal Register** at least 30 days prior to the first hearing.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 24th day of January, 1994.

Everet Beckner,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Defense Programs.

[FR Doc. 95-2232 Filed 1-27-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project No. 11142]

Consolidated Hydro Maine, Inc.; Public Scoping Meeting

January 24, 1995.

The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (has received an application for a new license for the existing project operated by the Consolidated Hydro Maine, Inc. (Consolidated) on the Mousam River in southeastern Maine near Sanford and Alfred. The project includes one development.

Upon review of the application, supplemental filings and intervenor submittals, the Commission staff concludes that, given the location and interaction of the project with other projects nearby, staff will prepare an Environmental Assessment (EA) that describes and evaluates the probable impacts of the applicant's proposals and alternatives for the project.

One element of the EA process is scoping. Scoping activities are initiated early to:

- Identify reasonable alternative operational procedures and environmental enhancement measures that should be evaluated in the EA;
- Identify significant environmental issues related to the operation of the existing project;
- Determine the depth of analysis for issues that will be discussed in the EA; and
- Identify resource issues that are of lesser importance and, consequently, do not require detailed analysis in the EA.