Dated: January 25, 1995. **Steven A. Herman,**  *Assistant Administrator for Enforcement and Compliance Assurance.* [FR Doc. 95–2158 Filed 1–26–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

#### 40 CFR Part 52

[MT23-1-6402b; FRL-5128-2]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Montana; State Implementation Plan for East Helena SO<sub>2</sub> Nonattainment Area

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). **ACTION:** Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA proposes to fully approve the State implementation plan (SIP) submitted by the State of Montana to achieve attainment of the primary National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>). The SIP was submitted by Montana to satisfy certain federal requirements for an approvable nonattainment area SO<sub>2</sub> SIP for East Helena. In the Final Rules Section of this Federal Register, EPA is approving the State's SIP revision, as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time. DATES: Comments on this proposed rule must be received in writing by February 27, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to Meredith A. Bond at the EPA Regional Office listed below. Copies of the documents relevant to this proposed rule are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the following locations: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region VIII, Air Programs Branch (8ART–AP), 999 18th Street, Suite 500, Denver, Colorado 80202–2405; and Montana Department of Health and Environmental Sciences, Air Quality Bureau, 836 Front Street, P.O. Box 200901, Helena, Montana 59620–0901. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Meredith Bond at (303)293–1764. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: See the information provided in the Direct Final notice which is located in the Rules Section of this Federal Register.

## List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental Protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Intergovernmental relations, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Sulfur dioxide.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401–7671q. Dated: December 14, 1994.

William P. Yellowtail, Regional Administrator. [FR Doc. 95–2018 Filed 1–26–95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## 40 CFR Part 52

[IL105-1-6841b; FRL-5139-6]

# Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Illinois

**AGENCY:** U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The United States **Environmental Protection Agency** (USEPA) proposes to approve the State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision request submitted by the State of Illinois on October 25, 1994, for the purpose of requiring the installation of pressure/ vacuum (P/V) relief valves on storage tank vent pipes at certain gasoline dispensing operations in the Chicago and Metro-East St. Louis (Metro-East) ozone nonattainment areas. In the final rules section of this Federal Register, the USEPA is approving this action as a direct final rule without prior proposal because USEPA views this as a noncontroversial action and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to that direct final rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If USEPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on the proposed rule. USEPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Comments on this proposed rule must be received on or before February 27, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be mailed to: J. Elmer Bortzer, Chief, Regulation Development Section, Regulation Development Branch (AR18-J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

Copies of the State submittal and USEPA's analysis of it are available for inspection at: Regulation Development Section, Regulation Development Branch (AR18-J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Francisco Acevedo, Regulation Development Section, Regulation Development Branch (AR–18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 886–6061.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** For additional information see the direct final rule published in the rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: December 29, 1994.

# Valdas V. Adamkus,

Regional Administrator. [FR Doc. 95–2016 Filed 1–26–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–F

### DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

## National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

### 49 CFR Part 571

# Occupant Crash Protection; Denial of Petition for Rulemaking

**AGENCY:** National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA), Department of Transportation. **ACTION:** Denial of petition for rulemaking.

SUMMARY: This document announces the denial of a petition for rulemaking submitted by the Institute for Injury Reduction (IIR). The petitioner requested "rulemaking or other action" to require manufacturers to provide a specific warning for occupants to use lap belts in new vehicles with automatic safety belts. However, under a new statutory requirement, automatic safety belts are rapidly being replaced by the combination of air bags and manual lap/ shoulder belts. Hence, the agency expects any safety concerns with automatic safety belts to become moot. Therefore, the petition is denied.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mr. Dan Cohen, Chief, Office of Vehicle Safety Standards, National Highway