

of the closing date, which is estimated to be approximately \$1.6 million.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make a protest with reference to said application should, on or before February 7, 1995, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426) a motion to intervene or protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedures, 18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211 and the Regulation under the Natural Gas Act, 18 CFR 157.10. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for KO Transmission to appear or be represented at the hearing.

**Lois D. Cashell,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-1619 Filed 1-20-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP95-55-000]

### **Paiute Pipeline Company; Technical Conference**

January 17, 1995.

In the Commission's order issued on December 23, 1994, the Commission directed its staff to convene a technical conference in the above-captioned proceeding. The conference has been scheduled for January 26, 1995, at 10:00 a.m. in a room to be designated at the

offices of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 810 First Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426.

All interested persons and staff are permitted to attend.

**Lois D. Cashell,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-1621 Filed 1-20-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. GP 95-6-000]

### **Robert F. White; Petition for Waiver**

January 17, 1995.

Take notice that on January 9, 1995, Robert F. White (White), filed a petition seeking waiver of the obligation to refund to Williams Natural Gas Company \$17,765.05 (principal and interest) attributable to the working interest of Stephen Smith (Smith), and \$3,332.78 (principal and interest) attributable to the royalty interest of Diana Sprague (Sprague). The refunds result from the Commission's orders in Docket Nos. GP83-11 and RI83-9 requiring first sellers to refund Kansas ad valorem taxes they collected after June 28, 1988, if such amounts resulted in the collection of prices in excess of the applicable maximum lawful price under the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978.

White states that he has refunded amounts attributable to other working interest owners from whom he has not yet received payment, but he has not refunded the amounts attributable to Smith's and Sprague's interests. White asserts that there is no possibility he will receive payment from Smith and Sprague since they are elderly, disabled and insolvent. Noting that the Commission's May 19, 1994, order in these proceedings reiterated that the Commission has the discretion to waive a refund if it is demonstrated that the refund is uncollectible due to bankruptcy or if equities warrant a waiver, White asserts that it would be grossly inequitable to require him to refund amounts attributable to Smith's and Sprague's interests since he never received the benefit of those amounts.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should, on or before February 9, 1995, file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Sections 385.214 and 385.211 of the Commission's regulations. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings.

Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene.

**Lois D. Cashell,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-1620 Filed 1-20-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP95-74-000]

### **Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation; Site Visit**

January 17, 1995.

On January 24 and 25, 1995, the OPR staff, accompanied by representatives of Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation (Texas Eastern), will inspect the proposed location of Texas Eastern's Delmont and Shermans Dale Loops in the 1996 Flex-X/ Part I Project. The proposed loops are in Dauphin and Westmoreland Counties, Pennsylvania.

Parties to the proceeding may attend. Those planning to attend must provide their own transportation. For further information, call Jeff Gerber, (202) 208-1121.

**Lois D. Cashell,**

*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 95-1618 Filed 1-20-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

### **ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

[FRL-5142-8]

#### **Stage II Comparability Study for the Northeast Ozone Transport Region—Announcement of Completion of Study and Notice of Availability**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Announcement of completion of study and notice of availability.

**SUMMARY:** Today's action provides notice that the Stage II Comparability Study for the Northeast Ozone Transport Region (OTR) required under the Clean Air Act (Act) is complete. This study provides emissions reduction estimates for Stage II vapor recovery controls (Stage II) and other commonly available stationary and mobile source control measures for certain areas in the Northeast OTR. States in the OTR may use this document to determine what alternative measures can provide comparable emissions reductions to Stage II for their areas.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** The effective date of the completion of the Stage II Comparability Study is January 13, 1995.

**ADDRESSES:** To obtain a copy of the Stage II comparability study for the