

SUMMARY: The Committee has received proposals to add to the Procurement List commodities and services to be furnished by nonprofit agencies employing persons who are blind or have other severe disabilities, and to delete commodities previously furnished by such agencies.

COMMENTS MUST BE RECEIVED ON OR BEFORE: February 13, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Committee for Purchase From People Who Are Blind or Severely Disabled, Crystal Square 3, Suite 403, 1735 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, Virginia 22202-461.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Beverly Milkman (703) 603-7740.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This notice is published pursuant to 41 U.S.C. 47(a) (2) and 41 CFR 51-2.3. Its purpose is to provide interested persons an opportunity to submit comments on the possible impact of the proposed actions.

Additions

If the Committee approves the proposed addition, all entities of the Federal Government (except as otherwise indicated) will be required to procure the commodities and services listed below from nonprofit agencies employing persons who are blind or have other severe disabilities.

I certify that the following action will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. The major factors considered for this certification were:

1. The action will not result in any additional reporting, recordkeeping or other compliance requirements for small entities other than the small organizations that will furnish the commodities and services to the Government.
2. The action does not appear to have a severe economic impact on current contractors for the commodities and services.
3. The action will result in authorizing small entities to furnish the commodities and services to the Government.
4. There are no known regulatory alternatives which would accomplish the objectives of the Javits-Wagner-O'Day Act (41 U.S.C. 46-48d) in connection with the commodities and services proposed for addition to the Procurement List.

Comments on this certification are invited. Commenters should identify the statement(s) underlying the certification on which they are providing additional information.

The following commodities and services have been proposed for

addition to Procurement List for production by the nonprofit agencies listed:

Commodities

Pad, Scouring and Holder
7920-00-841-7537
7920-01-162-6064
7920-01-222-7798
NPA: Beacon Lighthouse, Inc., Wichita Falls, Texas

Services

Food Service, Patrick Air Force Base, Florida
NPA: Brevard Achievement Center, Inc., Rockledge, Florida
Janitorial/Custodial for the following locations:
Federal Building & U.S. Courthouse, 1118 24th Avenue, North, Tuscaloosa, Alabama
Federal Building & U.S. Courthouse, Alabama & 17th Streets, Jasper, Alabama
NPA: Alabama Goodwill Industries, Inc., Birmingham, Alabama
Janitorial/Custodial, Des Moines International Airport, Air National Guard Base, Des Moines, Iowa
NPA: Goodwill Industries of Central Iowa, Des Moines, Iowa
Janitorial/Custodial, Basewide, Fort Drum, New York
NPA: Jefferson County Chapter, NYSARC, Watertown, New York
Janitorial/Custodial, NISE East Building, 4600 Marriot Drive, North Charleston, South Carolina
NPA: Goodwill Industries of Lower South Carolina, Inc., Charleston, South Carolina

Deletions

I certify that the following action will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. The major factors considered for this certification were:

1. The action will not result in any additional reporting, recordkeeping or other compliance requirements for small entities.
2. The action does not appear to have a severe economic impact on future contractors for the commodities.
3. The action will result in authorizing small entities to furnish the commodities to the Government.
4. There are no known regulatory alternatives which would accomplish the objectives of the Javits-Wagner-O'Day Act (41 U.S.C. 46-48d) in connection with the commodities proposed for deletion from the Procurement List.

The following commodities have been proposed for deletion from the Procurement List:
Paper, Teletypewriter Roll
7530-00-019-6674
7530-00-142-9038
7530-00-943-7076

7530-00-019-7267

Beverly L. Milkman,

Executive Director.

[FR Doc. 95-963 Filed 1-12-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820-33-P

COMMISSION ON IMMIGRATION REFORM

Central Texas Roundtables

AGENCY: U.S. Commission on Immigration Reform.

ACTION: Announcement of commission roundtables.

This notice announces two roundtables to be held by the U.S. Commission on Immigration Reform in Austin, TX on January 18, 1995. The Commission, created by Section 141 of the Immigration Act of 1990, is mandated to review the implementation and impact of U.S. immigration policy and report its findings to Congress. An interim report, U.S. Immigration Policy: Restoring Credibility, was issued on September 30, 1994; the final report is due in 1997.

The roundtable participants will include the Commissioners, researchers, government officials, representatives of local organizations, and other experts. The first roundtable will examine the economic and labor impacts of immigration on Texas, with a focus on the Austin-San Antonio Area. The Commission seeks to gain greater understanding of the effects of immigrants on the region's labor market (both high- and low-skill labor), the impact of employment-based immigration on high-tech industry, and immigration in the context of NAFTA.

The second roundtable will focus on the effects of immigration on social and community relations in central Texas. Issues involving absorption of immigrants into the local community, naturalization and civic participation of immigrants, and the effect of immigrants on public services will be addressed.

DATE: January 18, 1995.

TIME: 9 am-12:30 pm (Economic and Labor Impacts); 2 pm-5 pm (Social and Community Relations).

ADDRESSES: Hyatt Regency Austin on Town Lake, Texas Rooms 6 and 7, 208 Baron Springs Drive, Austin, TX 78704, 512-480-2038.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION: Paul Donnelly, (202) 673-5348.

Dated: January 3, 1995.

Susan Martin,

Executive Director.

[FR Doc. 95-851 Filed 1-12-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820-97-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**GENERAL SERVICES
ADMINISTRATION****NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND
SPACE ADMINISTRATION**

[OMB Control No. 9000-0090]

**Clearance Request for Rights in Data
and Copyrights**

AGENCIES: Department of Defense (DOD), General Services Administration (GSA), and National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

ACTION: Notice of request for an extension to an existing OMB clearance (9000-0090).

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 (44 U.S.C. 3501), the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) Secretariat has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request to review and approve an extension of a currently approved information collection requirement concerning Rights in Data and Copyrights.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Beverly Fayson, Office of Federal Acquisition Policy, GSA (202) 501-4755.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**A. Purpose**

Rights in data is a regulation which concerns the rights of the Government, and organizations with which the Government contracts, to information developed under such contracts. The delineation of such rights is necessary in order to protect the contractor's rights to not disclose proprietary data and to insure that data developed with public funds is available to the public.

The information collection burdens and recordkeeping requirements included in this regulation fall into the following four categories.

(a) A provision which is to be included in solicitations where the proposer would identify any proprietary data he would use during contract performance in order that the contracting officer might ascertain if such proprietary data should be delivered.

(b) Contract provisions which, in unusual circumstances, would be included in a contract and require a contractor to deliver proprietary data to the Government for use in evaluation of work results, or is software to be used in a Government computer. These situations would arise only when the very nature of the contractor's work is

comprised of limited rights data or restricted computer software and if the Government would need to see that data in order to determine the extent of the work.

(c) A technical data certification for major systems, which requires the contractor to certify that the data delivered under the contract is complete, accurate and compliant with the requirements of the contract. As this provision is for major systems only, and few civilian agencies have such major systems, only about 30 contracts will involve this certification.

(d) The Additional Data Requirements clause, which is to be included in all contracts for experimental, developmental, research, or demonstration work (other than basic or applied research to be performed solely by a university or college where the contract amount will be \$500,000 or less). The clause requires that a contractor keep all data first produced in the performance of the contract for a period of three years from the final acceptance of all items delivered under the contract. Much of this data will be in the form of the deliverables provided to the Government under the contract (final report, drawings, specifications, etc.). Some data, however, will be in the form of computations, preliminary data, records of experiments, etc., and these will be the data that will be required to be kept over and above the deliverables. The purpose of such recordkeeping requirements is to insure that the Government can fully evaluate the research in order to ascertain future activities and to insure that the research was completed and fully reported, as well as to give the public an opportunity to assess the research results and secure any additional information. All data covered by this clause is unlimited rights data paid for by the Government.

Paragraph (d) of the Rights in Data—General clause outlines a procedure whereby a contracting officer can challenge restrictive markings on data delivered. Under civilian agency contracts, limited rights data or restricted computer software is rarely, if ever, delivered to the Government. Therefore, there will rarely be any challenges. Thus, there is no burden on the public.

Under the procedures established for development of the FAR, agency and public comments were solicited and each comment was addressed before finalization of the text. The comments which were received were for the most part from educational institutions, which stated that requiring their investigators to keep records of unlimited rights data for three years

after acceptance of deliverables was unreasonable, in that such investigators in reality do not segregate their research by contract, but rather combine it with other data in order to continue their research. In light of this, the proposed rule was changed to state that the Additional Data Requirements clause would not be placed in contracts for basic or applied research with educational institutions where the value was \$500,000 or less. The \$500,000 threshold was adopted after surveying the major civilian research and development (R&D) agencies, whose data suggested that an average R&D contract was \$250,000 to \$300,000; commensurate with other clause thresholds (e.g., small business subcontracting), the \$500,000 threshold was chosen. Thus, for most R&D contracts with universities, no recordkeeping is required.

B. Annual Reporting Burden

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 2.7 hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to General Services Administration, FAR Secretariat, 18th & F Streets, NW., Room 4037, Washington, DC 20405, and to the FAR Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503.

The annual reporting burden is estimated as follows: Respondents, 1,100; responses per respondent, 1; total annual responses, 1,100; preparation hours per response, 2.7; and total response burden hours, 2,970.

C. Annual Recordkeeping Burden

The annual recordkeeping burden is estimated as follows: Recordkeepers, 9,000; hours per recordkeeper, 3; and total recordkeeping burden hours, 27,000.

Obtaining Copies of Proposals: Requester may obtain copies of OMB applications or justifications from the General Services Administration, FAR Secretariat (VRS), Room 4037, Washington, DC 20405, telephone (202) 501-4755. Please cite OMB Control No. 9000-0090, Rights in Data and Copyrights, in all correspondence.

Dated: December 16, 1994.

Beverly Fayson,

FAR Secretariat.

[FR Doc. 95-926 Filed 1-12-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820-34-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

Defense Intelligence Agency, Scientific Advisory Board Closed Meeting

AGENCY: Department of Defense, Defense Intelligence Agency.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the provisions of Subsection (d) of Section 10 of Public Law 92-463, as amended by Section 5 of Public Law 94-409, notice is hereby given that a closed meeting of the DIA Scientific Advisory Board has been scheduled as follows:

DATES: March 16-17, 1995 (830 to 400).

ADDRESSES: The Defense Intelligence Agency, Bolling AFB, Washington, D.C. 20340-5100.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Dr. W.S. Williamson, Executive Secretary, DIA Scientific Advisory Board, Washington, D.C. 20340-1328 (202) 373-4930.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The entire meeting is devoted to the discussion of classified information as defined in Section 552b(c)(1), Title 5 of the U.S. Code and therefore will be closed to the public. The board will receive briefings on and discuss several current critical intelligence issues and advise the Director, DIA, on related scientific and technical matters.

L.M. Bynum,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 95-841 Filed 1-12-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5000-04-M

Office of the Secretary of the Army

Notice of Intent to Prepare a Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for Projects and Activities Associated With Future Programs at Aberdeen Proving Ground

AGENCY: Department of the Army, DOD.

ACTION: Notice of Intent.

SUMMARY: In accordance with Public Law 91-190, the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) is being prepared to evaluate environmental implications of future decisions regarding operation of

Aberdeen Proving Ground. The DEIS will focus on impacts of planned future activities at Aberdeen Proving Ground; development alternatives for the installation Master Plan; development potential of the installation in terms of environmental carrying capacity; and specific concerns regarding risk. Issues to be considered in the proposed action include acceptance of those new programs projected for the installation to include modernization or removal of outdated facilities, and improvements to infrastructure, utilities and service necessary to accommodate the new missions and changes in existing test and evaluation missions. All missions on the installation will be considered including the Combat Systems Test Activity, the Chemical and Biological Defense Command and the Army Research Laboratory. The Army will conduct several small group scoping workshops prior to preparing the Environmental Impact statement. The first step will be to determine the appropriate scope of issues, activities and alternatives to be addressed. Among the anticipated areas to be evaluated are public health risks and public safety, noise, shock and vibration, water quality, air quality, hazardous materials management and disposal, biological resources including threatened and endangered species social and economic effects, and historic and archaeological resources. During the scoping process, the Army will ask other agencies that have regulatory interest in Aberdeen Proving Ground to participate in scoping.

DATES: Written public comments and suggestions can be submitted by February 13, 1995 to the address shown below.

ADDRESSES: Commander, Aberdeen Proving Ground Support Activity, ATTN: STEAP-SH-ER (Edward L. Newell, Jr.) Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland 21005-5423.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mr. Edward L. Newell, Jr., (410) 278-6756.

Dated: January 4, 1995.

Lewis D. Walker,

Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Army (Environmental, Safety and Occupational Health), OASA (I,L&E).

[FR Doc. 95-866 Filed 1-12-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-08-M

Department of the Army

Intent To Prepare a Draft Environmental Impact Statement for Proposed Construction at Camp Atterbury, Edinburgh, Indiana

AGENCY: Department of the Army, Department of Defense.

ACTION: Notice of Intent.

SUMMARY: This Notice of Intent is for the preparation of a Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for proposed Army National Guard projects at Camp Atterbury, Edinburgh, Indiana. The proposal includes renovation and rehabilitation of existing facilities, range improvements, demolition and construction of facilities, and development of ranges.

Lead Agencies are: The Military Department of Indiana and the National Guard Bureau.

Various alternatives have been developed for consideration regarding the proposed projects at Camp Atterbury. The following constitutes a list of those alternatives to be considered in the DEIS:

- (1) No action,
- (2) Continued use and improvement of facilities and
- (3) Alternative locations off-site.

Resource categories that will be analyzed include: physical environment, water quality, groundwater, air quality, biological resources, land use, socioeconomic, noise, and cultural resources.

SCOPING: The Military Department of Indiana will conduct public scoping meetings relating to the proposed actions. Public participation in the EIS process is essential to assist the decision maker in defining the scope of analysis considered in the DEIS.

Interested individuals, governmental agencies, and private organizations are invited to submit information and comments on this proposed action for consideration by the National Guard Bureau. Information that would assist the National Guard Bureau in analyzing the potential significant environmental consequences are solicited. This includes information on other environmental studies planned or competed in the area of the Camp Atterbury; other alternatives; potential impacts associated with the proposed action; and recommended mitigation measures.

Concerned individuals and agencies may express their views either by writing to the designated point of contact or participating in public