

Original Sheet No. 230A
1st Revised Original Sheet No. 231
Original Sheet No. 231A
1st Rev Original Sheet No. 232
Original Sheet No. 232A

NGT states that these revised tariff sheets modify the curtailment provisions (Section 10.8 of the General Terms and Conditions) to comply with the provisions of the settlement in NGT's Docket No. RP93-3-000 proceeding which required NGT to make a limited Section 4 filing to implement tariff provisions providing for compensation to those persons that experienced a gas supply curtailment.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 214 and 211 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 and 385.211). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before January 17, 1995. Protest will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-863 Filed 1-12-95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6717-01

[Docket No. ER95-64-000, Docket No. EL95-15-000]

South Carolina Electric & Gas Company, et al.; Initiation of Proceeding and Refund Effective Date

January 10, 1995.

Take notice that on January 6, 1995, the Commission issued an order in the above-indicated dockets initiating a proceeding in Docket No. EL95-15-000 under section 206 of the Federal Power Act.

The refund effective date in Docket No. EL95-15-000 will be 60 days after publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**.

Lois D. Cashell,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-907 Filed 1-12-95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP94-301-000]

Stingray Pipeline Co.; Notice of Informal Settlement Conference

January 9, 1995.

Take notice that an informal settlement conference will be convened in this proceeding on January 18, 1995, at 10 a.m., at the offices of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 810 First Street, NE., Washington, DC, for the purpose of exploring the possible settlement of issues in this proceeding.

Any party, as defined by 18 CFR 385.102(c) (1994), or any participant, as defined by 18 CFR 385.102(b) (1994), is invited to attend. Persons wishing to become a party must move to intervene and receive intervenor status pursuant to the Commission's regulations at 18 CFR 214 (1944).

For additional information, please contact Warren C. Wood at (202) 208-2091 or Marc G. Denkinger at (202) 208-2215.

Lois D. Cashell,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-864 Filed 1-12-95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP95-15-004]

Texas Eastern Transmission Corp.; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

January 9, 1995.

Take notice that on January 3, 1995, Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation (Texas Eastern) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Sixth Revised Volume No. 1, the following tariff sheets:

Second Sub First Revised Sheet No. 503
Second Sub First Revised Sheet No. 504

Texas Eastern states that on December 14, 1994, it filed tariff sheets in compliance with the Commission's November 30, 1994 order in Docket No. RP95-15 (November 30 Order). Subsequently, pursuant to further conversations with Brooklyn Union Gas Company, Texas Eastern states that it has concluded that, in the context of the customer-specific operational flow orders contemplated by Section 4.3(L), the parenthetical expression "(reflecting any reduction attributable to applicable customer-specific operational flow orders)" is not necessary. Accordingly, such phrase has been deleted in these second substitute tariff sheets.

The proposed effective date of the tariff sheets is December 1, 1994, as required by the November 30 Order.

Texas Eastern states that copies of the filing were served on firm customers of

Texas Eastern and interested state commissions.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with § 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests should be filed on or before January 17, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-860 Filed 1-12-95; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. OR95-4-000]

Union Oil Company of California, dba Unocal v. Cook Inlet Pipe Line Co.; Notice of Complaint

January 9, 1995.

Take notice that on December 22, 1994, Union Oil Company of California, dba Unocal (Unocal), filed a complaint against Cook Inlet Pipe Line Company (CIPL). Unocal states that CIPL's Tariff Sheet No. 21 which became effective on December 1, 1994, and the rate increase set forth thereon, are unjust and unreasonable in violation of section 1(5) of the Interstate Commerce Act (ICA), unjustly discriminatory in violation of section 2 of the ICA, unduly and unreasonably preferential in violation of section 3 of the ICA, and cause undue preference to intrastate transportation and undue prejudice to interstate transportation in violation of section 13(4) of the ICA.

Unocal requests that the Commission (1) investigate and hold a hearing concerning the lawfulness of Sheet No. 21; (2) determine and prescribe a just and reasonable rate to replace the rate set forth on Sheet No. 21; (3) suspend the operation of Sheet No. 21 pending investigation and hearing for the maximum period of seven months, and to the extent Sheet No. 21 is allowed to remain effective, direct CIPL to keep accurate account in detail of all rates and charges collected by reason of the filing of Sheet No. 21, (4) order CIPL to pay reparations to Unocal for any and all amounts paid by Unocal by operation of Sheet No. 21 above what the Commission determines to be the just and reasonable rate; and (5) order

such other further and additional relief as the Commission deems just.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said complaint should file a motion to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 214 and 211 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.214 and 385.211. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before February 8, 1995. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene.

Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. Answers to this complaint are due on February 8, 1995.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-861 Filed 1-12-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-4718-9]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 260-5076 OR (202) 260-5075. Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed January 02, 1995 Through January 06, 1995 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

EIS No. 950000, DRAFT EIS, FRC, WA, Nisqually Hydroelectric Project (FERC. No. 1862) Issuing New License (Relicense), Nisqually River, Pierce, Thurston and Lewis Counties, WA, Due: March 14, 1995, Contact: Ed Meyer (202) 208-7998.

EIS No. 950001, DRAFT EIS, GSA, NY, U. S. Rainbow Bridge Plaza Renovation, Implementation, Niagara County, NY, Due: February 27, 1995, Contact: Peter A. Sneed (202) 264-3581.

EIS No. 950002, DRAFT EIS, COE, OR, Willamette River Temperature Control Study, Construction of Selective Withdrawal Structure (SWS) in McKenzie River Subbasin, OR, Due: February 27, 1995, Contact: Lynne Hamilton (503) 326-7730.

EIS No. 950003, FINAL EIS, AFS, OR, Buzzard Project Area Timber Sale and Road Construction, Implementation, Umatilla National Forest, Walla Walla Ranger District, Union and Wallowa

Counties, OR, Due: March 01, 1995, Contact: Tom Reilly (509) 522-6090.

EIS No. 950004, DRAFT SUPPLEMENT, FTA, CA, Bay Area Rapid Transit District (BART) Transportation Improvements, San Francisco to San Francisco International Airport Extension, Updated and Additional Information, Approval, Funding, COE Section 404 and Possible FHWA Encroachment Permits Issuance, San Mateo County, CA, Due: March 13, 1995, Contact: Robert Hom (415) 744-3116.

EIS No. 950005, FINAL EIS, EPA, TX, Eagle Pass Coal Mine Project, New Source NPDES and COE Section 404 Permits Issuance, Maverick County, TX, Due: February 20, 1995, Contact: Norm Thomas (214) 665-2260.

EIS No. 950006, FINAL EIS, USA, TT, Theater Missile Defense (TMD) Extended Test Range, Demonstration and Operation, Missile Flight Test, Implementation, United States, Republic of the Marshall Islands and Wake Island, Pacific, Due: February 13, 1995, Contact: Creat Spears (703) 693-1745.

Amended Notices.

EIS No. 940467, DRAFT EIS, NRC, NM, Crownpoint Uranium Solution Mining Project, Construction and Operation, Leasing and Licensing, McKinley County, NM, Due: February 28, 1995, Contact: Joe Holonich (301) 415-6643. Published FR -11-16-94 - Review period extended.

Dated January 9, 1995.

William D. Dickerson,

Director, Federal Agency Liaison Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 95-916 Filed 1-12-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-U

[ER-FRL-4719-1]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared December 12, 1994 Through December 16, 1994 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at (202) 260-5076.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 10, 1994 (59 FR 16807).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-AFS-J61093-MT Rating EO2, Red Lodge Mountain Ski Area Master Development Plan, Special-Use-Permit Approval or Denial, Custer National Forest, Beartooth Ranger District, Carbon County, MT.

SUMMARY: EPA expressed environmental objections regarding potential adverse impacts associated with cumulative impacts of dewatering, increased erosion and sedimentation, and increased wastewater pollutant loads to Willow Creek. EPA believed that project modifications to avoid dewatering of streams should be developed. EPA is also concerned about the adequacy of the water quality and wetlands impact assessment and believed that additional information is needed to fully assess all potential impacts of the management actions.

ERP No. D-AFS-L65165-ID Rating EO2, Sloan-Kennally Timber Sale, Harvesting and Regenerating Timber Stands, Implementation, Payette National Forest, McCall Ranger District, Valley County, ID.

SUMMARY: EPA expressed environmental objections with the proposed action based on the cumulative impacts of additional nutrient and sediment loading to waters which already exceed water quality standards (303(d) listed) in the Cascade Reservoir watershed.

ERP No. D-NPS-L61200-WA Rating LO, Lake Chelan National Recreation Area General Management Plan, Implementation, Chelan County, WA.

SUMMARY: EPA expressed a lack of objections to the Draft EIS and recommended that the Final EIS stress the importance of the general management plan remaining consistent with the Total Maximum Daily Load for phosphorus.

ERP No. D-NPS-L64043-WA Rating LO, Elwha River Ecosystem Restoration, Implementation, Olympic National Park, Clallam County, WA.

SUMMARY: EPA supported the proposed action to remove both the Elwha and the Glines Canyon Dams and their associated infrastructure. However EPA recommended that the FEIS include a detailed mitigation plan for wetlands and that adequate monitoring of water quality take place.

ERP No. D-USN-E11035-SC Rating EC2, Charleston Naval Base Disposal and Reuse, Implementation, Charleston and Dorchester Counties, SC.

SUMMARY: EPA expressed environmental concerns on the proposed Community Reuse Plan based on a lack of a sufficient wetland mitigation plan, proposed community impacts due to an