

2. P & B and its Members will comply with requests made by the Secretary of Commerce on behalf of the Secretary of Commerce or the Attorney General for information or documents relevant to conduct under the Certificate. The Secretary of Commerce will request such information or documents when either the Attorney General or the Secretary of Commerce believes that the information or documents are required to determine that the Export Trade, Export Trade Activities, and Methods of Operation of a person protected by this Certificate of Review continue to comply with the standards of Section 303(a) of the Act.

Definitions

1. *Export Intermediary* means a person who acts as a distributor, sales representative, sales or marketing agent, or broker, or who performs similar functions, including providing or arranging for the provision of Export Trade Facilitation Services.

2. *Supplier* means a person, including each Member, who produces, provides, or sells a Product, Service, or Export Trade Facilitation Services.

Protection Provided by the Certificate

This Certificate protects P & B, its Members, and their employees acting on their behalf from private treble damage actions and government criminal and civil suits under U.S. federal and state antitrust laws for the export conduct specified in the Certificate and carried out during its effective period in compliance with its terms and conditions.

Effective Period of Certificate

This Certificate continues in effect from the effective date indicated below until it is relinquished, modified, or revoked as provided in the Act and the Regulations.

Other Conduct

Nothing in this Certificate prohibits P & B and its Members from engaging in conduct not specified in this Certificate, but such conduct is subject to the normal application of the antitrust laws.

Disclaimer

The issuance of this Certificate of Review to P & B by the Secretary of Commerce with the concurrence of the Attorney General under the provisions of the Act does not constitute, explicitly or implicitly, an endorsement or opinion by the Secretary or by the Attorney General concerning either (a) the viability or quality of the business plans of P & B or (b) the legality of such business plans of P & B under the laws

of the United States (other than as provided in the Act) or under the laws of any foreign country. The application of this Certificate to conduct in export trade where the United States Government is the buyer or where the United States Government bears more than half the cost of the transaction is subject to the limitations set forth in Section V. (D.) of the "Guidelines for the Issuance of Export Trade Certificates of Review (Second Edition)", 50 Fed. Reg. 1786 (January 11, 1985).

A copy of this certificate will be kept in the International Trade Administration's Freedom of Information Records Inspection Facility Room 4102, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

Dated: January 4, 1995.

W. Dawn Busby,

Director, Office of Export Trading Company Affairs.

[FR Doc. 95-582 Filed 1-9-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DR-P

CORPORATION FOR NATIONAL AND COMMUNITY SERVICE

AmeriCorps State and Direct Grant Program, Learn and Serve America K-12 Grant Program, and Learn and Serve America Higher Ed Grant Program 1995 Policies and Preferences

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Corporation for National and Community Service (the Corporation) in the **Federal Register** of October 27, 1994 (59 FR 53963) proposed changes and invited comments with regard to three of its main programs: AmeriCorps*USA, Learn & Serve America K-12, and Learn & Serve America Higher Education. The Corporation is now proposing additional policy changes and program preferences for funding for these three programs. This notice addresses previously established rules concerning the percentage of time a program must commit to direct service activity and a new policy issue focusing on fee-for-service. Moreover, the Corporation has decided to give special consideration for programs that have received funding from the Corporation in the past. The Corporation invites all interested parties to comment on the issues discussed in this notice. Any comments received will be given careful consideration in the development of final FY 1995 policies and grant applications.

DATES: Comments on the Corporation's proposal for Direct Service Time and

Special Consideration for Past Corporation Funded Programs must be received no later than January 25, 1995. Comments specifically addressing the Corporation's proposal for Fee-for-service must be received no later than March 13, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Responses to this notice may be mailed to Ethan Kline of the Office of General Counsel, Corporation for National Service, 1201 New York Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20525.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ethan Kline at (202) 606-5000 x. 467 between the hours of 9 a.m. and 6 p.m. Eastern Standard Time. For individuals with disabilities, information will be made available in alternative formats, upon request.

I. Policies and Guidelines

A. Direct Service Time

The Corporation's position has been that in order for programs to have direct and demonstrable results in communities, at least 80% of each AmeriCorps Members required 1700 hours of service (1360 hours) must be spent in direct service activities, with no more than 20% of the required service time (340 hours) spent in training, education, and other non-direct service activities. In general, eligible direct service activities are those service activities that directly relate to a programs Community Service Objectives and may include on-site training, specific instructions related to a service project, developing relevant lesson plans, and imparting specific knowledge through workshops and presentations. Eligible non-direct service activities, including training and education, are those that relate to the fulfillment of a program's Community Building and Participant Development Objectives, and may include meeting with a community-based organization in order to develop a relationship with that organization or having Members attend GED preparation classes.

The Corporation now proposes to refine this policy and apply the "80/20" Rule to the general design of the AmeriCorps program, not to each individual Member. This change allows for variances among the individual Members (some of whom will spend more time performing direct service while others will spend more time in education, training, or other non-direct service activities) and for variances throughout the course of the year (a program may choose to spend more time in training sessions at the beginning of the year rather than at the end of the year). The 80/20 Rule will apply only to the required minimum of 1700 hours,

and if a program exceeds 1700 hours, the extra hours will not fall under these guidelines. For example, if a program is designed so that Members complete an average of 1900 hours of service, an average of 1360 of those hours must be spent in direct service and 540 hours may be spent on eligible non-direct service activities such as training and education.

B. Fee-for-Service Definition

The Corporation recognizes that fee-for-service is a term that changes within the specific context of a program. Therefore, for purposes of discussion and potential future policy guidance, the Corporation defines Fee-for-service as specific time-limited activities undertaken by an AmeriCorps program for which the program charges the organization for which the activity is undertaken. This could result from a bid the AmeriCorps program placed in an RFP process or a cooperative agreement with other agencies. Typically, the agreement or contract specifies a scope of work and the fee to be charged for the activity.

For future policy guidance, the Corporation is considering setting quality parameters relative to fee-for-service activities and limiting the scope of fee-for-service work that can be applied to the state and local match requirements of AmeriCorps. This would not limit the fee-for-service activity a program could accomplish with participants other than AmeriCorps Members.

Possible ways of limiting fee-for-service activity could include: restrictions on the percentage of the total budget or the total non-Corporation budget that may be derived from fees for service; restrictions on the abilities of programs to conduct fee-for-service projects using Corporation support or to count fee-for-service activities toward required service hours; and restrictions on the project selection process (e.g. require programs to demonstrate that the availability of fees did not enter into the project selection process).

II. Special Consideration for Past Corporation Funded Programs

The following programs were funded previously by the Corporation, but due to regulatory changes they are no longer eligible to apply directly to the Corporation and thus they might elect to apply through the state process. Because their current funding is based upon priorities established for the 1994 grant cycle, they may apply under either 1994 priorities or the new 1995 priorities, but they are encouraged to use those for 1995. These programs will apply to the

state using the application instructions for new programs. If these programs meet quality standards, they will receive preference over other new program applications in the Corporation selection process:

A. Defense Conversion Assistance programs.

B. Summer of Safety Continuation Programs.

C. Subtitle D programs originally funded for two year grants under the National and Community Service Act of 1990. These programs did not compete under the 1994 funding cycle.

D. Subtitle H Programs of the National and Community Service Act of 1993 renewed from Subtitle E, which were programs under the National and Community Service Act of 1990.

Dated: January 4, 1995

Terry Russell,

General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 95-532 Filed 1-9-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6050-28-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

Conference Meeting of the National Advisory Panel on the Education of Handicapped Dependents

AGENCY: Department of Defense, Dependents Schools.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given of a forthcoming meeting of the National Advisory Panel on the Education of Handicapped Dependents. This notice describes the functions of the Panel. Notice of this meeting is required under the National Advisory Act.

DATES: February 1-2, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Office of Dependents Education (ODE), 4040 N. Fairfax Dr., Arlington, VA 22203.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. Rebecca Posante, Special Education Coordinator, ODE, (703) 696-4493, extension 147.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The National Advisory Panel on the Education of Handicapped Dependents is established under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (20 U.S.C., sections 1400 *et seq.*) The Panel is directed to: (1) review information regarding improvements in services provided to students with disabilities in DoDDS; (2) receive and consider the views of various parents, students, individuals with disabilities, and professional groups; (3) review the finding of fact and decision of each

impartial due process hearing; (4) assist in developing and reporting such information and evaluations as may aid DoDDS in the performance of its duties; (5) make recommendations based on program and operational information for changes in the budget, organization, and general management of the special education program, and in policy and procedure; (6) comment publicly on rules or standards regarding the education of children with disabilities; (7) submit an annual report of its activities and suggestions to the Director, DoDDS, by July 31 of each year. The Panel will review the following areas: the proposed revision of the Department of Defense Instruction 1342.12, Education of Handicapped Children in the DoD Dependents Schools (codified at 32 CFR, part 57), the comprehensive system of personnel development, and the DoDDS approach to inclusive education practices (least restrictive environment). This meeting is open to the public; however due to space constraints, anyone wishing to attend should contact the ODE special education coordinator.

Dated: January 3, 1995.

Patricia L. Toppings,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 95-475 Filed 1-9-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5000-04-M

Defense Science Board Task Force on Cost Reduction Strategies for V-22

ACTION: Notice of advisory committee meetings.

SUMMARY: The Defense Science Board Task Force on Cost Reduction Strategies for V-22 will meet in closed session on January 18, February 21, and March 20, 1995 at the Pentagon, Arlington, Virginia.

The mission of the Defense Science Board is to advise the Secretary of Defense through the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Technology on scientific and technical matters as they affect the perceived needs of the Department of Defense. At these meetings the Task Force will address promising cost reduction strategies and their impact on our cost estimating methodologies. The V-22 will be the model and initial focus of this review.

In accordance with Section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, P.L. No. 92-463, as amended (5 U.S.C. App. II, (1988)), it has been determined that these DSB Task Force meetings, concerns matters listed in 5 U.S.C. § 552b(c) (1) and (4) (1988), and that