

proprietary or confidential nature, including technical information; financial data, such as salaries; and personal information concerning individuals associated with the proposals. These matters are exempt under 5 U.S.C. 552b(c), (4) and (6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act.

Dated: January 3, 1995.

M. Rebecca Winkler,

Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 95-340 Filed 1-5-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-M

Special Emphasis Panel in Research, Evaluation and Dissemination Notice of Meeting

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463, as amended), the National Science Foundation announces the following meeting:

Name: Special Emphasis Panel in Research, Evaluation and Dissemination.

Date and Time: January 23, 1995; 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.; January 24, 1995; 9 a.m. to 4 p.m.

Place: Room 375, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA 22230.

Type of Meeting: Closed.

Contact Person: Dr. Iris Rotberg, Program Director, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, room 855, Arlington, VA 22230. Telephone (703) 306-1656.

Purpose of Meeting: To provide advice and recommendations concerning proposals submitted to NSF for financial support.

Agenda: To review and evaluate proposals and provide advice and recommendations as part of the selection process for proposals submitted to the Studies and Indicators Program.

Reason for Closing: Because the proposals reviewed include information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including technical information; financial data, such as salaries; and personal information concerning individuals associated with proposals, the meetings are within exemptions (4) and (6) of 5 U.S.C. 552b(c), Government in the Sunshine Act.

Dated: January 3, 1995.

M. Rebecca Winkler,

Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 95-336 Filed 1-5-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-M

Special Emphasis Panel in Undergraduate Education; Notice of Meeting

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463, as amended), the National Science Foundation announces the following meeting:

Name: Special Emphasis Panel in Undergraduate Education.

Dates and Times: January 25, 1995; 7:30 p.m. to 9 p.m.; January 26, 1995; 8:30 a.m.

to 5 p.m.; January 27, 1995; 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.; January 28, 1995; 8:30 a.m. to 3 p.m.; February 1, 1995; 7:30 p.m. to 9 p.m.; February 2, 1995; 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.; February 3, 1995; 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.; February 4, 1995; 8:30 a.m. to 3 p.m.

Place: Doubletree National Airport Hotel, 300 Army/Navy Drive, Arlington, VA 22202.

Type of Meeting: Closed.

Contact Person: Dr. Norman Fortenberry, Program Director, National Science Foundation, 4201 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA 22230, Telephone: (703) 306-1667.

Purpose of Meeting: To provide advice and recommendations concerning proposals submitted to NSF for financial support.

Agenda: To review and evaluate unsolicited proposals submitted to the Instrumentation and Laboratory Improvement/Leadership Laboratory Improvement Panel Meeting

Reason for Closing: The proposals being reviewed include information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including technical information; financial data, such as salaries; and personal information concerning individuals associated with the proposals. These matters are exempt under 5 U.S.C. 552b.(c) (4) and (6) of the Government in the Sunshine Act.

Dated: January 3, 1995.

M. Rebecca Winkler,

Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 95-334 Filed 1-5-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. STN 50-528, STN 50-529, and STN 50-530]

Arizona Public Service Company; Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station, Units 1, 2, and 3; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating Licenses, Proposed no Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of amendments to Facility Operating License Nos. NPF-41, NPF-51, and NPF-74 issued to Arizona Public Service Company for Operation of the Palo Verde Nuclear Generating Station, Units 1, 2, and 3, located in Maricopa County, Arizona.

The proposed amendments would change the refueling machine overload cutoff limit from less than or equal to 1556 pounds to less than or equal to 1600 pounds. The change is a consequence of the fuel assembly weight increase which resulted from design and fabrication improvements.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendments, the Commission

will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendments would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident, previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

Standard 1—Does the proposed change involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated?

The proposed Technical Specification amendment to sections 3.9.6 and 4.9.6.1 provides a revised refueling machine hoist overload cutoff limit that is appropriate for the increased weight of the fuel assemblies. The increased weight of fuel assemblies results from design and fabrication improvements such as denser fuel pellets, laser welded GUARDIAN™ grids, and laser welded spacer grids. The weight of a fuel assembly is identified in the UFSAR as a parameter in the analysis for a Fuel Handling Accident. The radiological consequences of a Fuel Handling Accident were reevaluated in order to incorporate fuel assembly design changes including increases in the fuel assembly weight and increases of the maximum fuel enrichment. The analysis used a fuel assembly enriched to 4.3 weight percent and the power assigned to the assembly was 1.65 times the average power per assembly. The accident is assumed to occur 100 hours after reactor shutdown and it is also assumed that all 236 fuel rods fail. The resultant thyroid dose at the 2 hour exclusion area boundary is 71.5 rem which meets the Standard Review Plan 15.7.4 limit of 75 rem. The conclusions for the radiological consequences of a Fuel Handling Accident remain consistent with the results in the Safety Evaluation Report. The increased weight of the fuel assemblies was reviewed, separate from this proposal, in accordance with the provisions of 10 CFR 50.59 and found to be acceptable, as described above.

The increase in the refueling machine overload cutoff limit does not impact the manner in which the refueling machine is operated or the manner in which the fuel assemblies are engaged and lifted. The overload cutoff limit is not a parameter used in the analysis of a Fuel Handling Accident. The overload cutoff limit was incorporated on the refueling machine hoist to protect the core internals and pressure vessel from