#### 40 CFR Part 52

[MA-26-1-6173b; A-1-FRL-5123-6]

### Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Massachusetts; RACT for Nichols and Stone Company

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve a State Implementation Plan (SIP revision submitted by the State of Massachusetts. This revision establishes and requires reasonably available control technology (RACT) for Nichols & Stone Company in Gardner, MA. In the final rules section of this Federal **Register**, EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to that direct final rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If EPA receives adverse comments. the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time. DATES: Comments must be received by February 6, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be mailed to Linda M. Murphy, Director, Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region I, JFK Federal Building, Boston, MA 02203. Copies of the documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours, by appointment at the Air, Pesticides and Toxics Management Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region I, One Congress Street, 10th floor, Boston, MA; and Division of Air Quality Control, Department of Environmental Protection, One Winter Street, 8th Floor, Boston, MA 02108. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: See the information provided in the direct final rule which is located in the rules section of this Federal Register.

Dated: September 18, 1994. John P. DeVillars,

Regional Administrator, Region I. [FR Doc. 95–293 Filed 1–5–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

## 40 CFR Part 52

[AL 38-1-6571b; FRL-5123-9]

### Clean Air Act Approval and Promulgation of Redesignation of the Leeds Area of Jefferson County, AL, to Attainment for Lead

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The EPA proposes to approve the State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the State of Alabama for the purpose of redesignating the Leeds area to attainment for lead. In the final rules section of this Federal Register, the EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rational for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to that direct final rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do at this time. DATES: To be considered, comments must be received by February 6, 1995. ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to: Kimberly Bingham, **Regulatory Planning and Development** Section, Air Programs Branch, Air, Pesticides & Toxics Management **Division**, Region 4 Environmental Protection Agency, 345 Courtland Street, NE., Atlanta, Georgia 30365.

Copies of the material submitted by the state of Alabama may be examined during normal business hours at the following locations:

- Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center (Air Docket 6102), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460.
- Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4 Air Programs Branch, 345 Courtland Street, NE., Atlanta, Georgia 30365.
- Alabama Department of Environmental Management, Office of General Counsel, 1751 Cong. W. L. Dickinson Drive, Montgomery, Alabama 36130.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kimberly Bingham, Regulatory Planning and Development Section, Air Programs Branch, Air Pesticides & Toxics Management Division, Region 4 Environmental Protection Agency, 345 Courtland Street, NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30365. The telephone number is (404) 347–2864.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** For additional information see the direct final rule which is published in the rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: December 7, 1994.

## Patrick M. Tobin,

Acting Regional Administrator. [FR Doc. 95–285 Filed 1–5–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

# 40 CFR Part 52

[MD3-2-5624b, MD10-2-6169b, MD24-2-5968b, MD25-1-6146b, MD28-1-6147b; FRL-5123-4]

## Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Maryland; VOC RACT Catch-ups and Stage I Vapor Recovery

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA proposes to approve State Implementation Plan (SIP) revisions submitted by the State of Maryland. These revisions establish statewide applicability for Maryland's category-specific volatile organic compound (VOC) reasonably available control technology (RACT) regulations, lower the applicability threshold for VOC RACT regulations, and correct deficiencies in Maryland's Stage I Vapor Recovery rule. These revisions were submitted to comply with the RACT "Catch-up" and "Fix-up" provisions of the Clean Air Act (the Act). The intended effect of this action is to propose approval of revisions to Maryland's category-specific VOC RACT regulations, including Stage I. This action is being taken in accordance with the SIP submittal and revision provisions of the Act.

In the final rules section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial SIP revision and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public