

exemption is administratively feasible, in the interests of the plan and of its participants and beneficiaries and protective of the rights of participants and beneficiaries of the plan;

(3) The proposed exemptions, if granted, will be supplemental to, and not in derogation of, any other provisions of the Act and/or the Code, including statutory or administrative exemptions and transitional rules. Furthermore, the fact that a transaction is subject to an administrative or statutory exemption is not dispositive of whether the transaction is in fact a prohibited transaction; and

(4) The proposed exemptions, if granted, will be subject to the express condition that the material facts and representations contained in each application are true and complete, and that each application accurately describes all material terms of the transaction which is the subject of the exemption.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 29th day of December, 1994.

**Ivan Strasfeld,**

*Director of Exemption Determinations, Pension and Welfare Benefits Administration, U.S. Department of Labor.*  
[FR Doc. 95-109 Filed 1-3-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-29-P

#### [Prohibited Transaction Exemption 94-82]

#### **Marshall & Ilsley Trust Company**

**AGENCY:** Department of Labor.

**ACTION:** Notice of technical correction.

On December 5, 1994, the Department of Labor (the Department) published in the **Federal Register** (59 FR 62422) and individual exemption which permits:

(1) the in-kind transfer of assets of plans for which Marshall & Ilsley Trust Company or an affiliate (collectively, M&I) serves as a fiduciary (the Client Plans), other than plans established and maintained by M&I, that are held in certain collective investment funds maintained by M&I (the CIFs), in exchange for shares of the Marshall Funds, Inc. (the Funds), an open-end investment company registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, for which M&I acts as investment adviser, custodian, and/or shareholder servicing agent, in connection with the termination of such CIFs; (2) the receipt of fees by M&I from the Funds for acting as an investment adviser to the Funds in connection with the investment by the Client Plans in shares of the Funds; and (3) the receipt and proposed retention of fees by M&I from the Funds for acting as custodian and shareholder

servicing agent to the Funds as well as for any other services to the Funds which are not investment advisory services (i.e. "secondary services") in connection with the investment by the Client Plans in shares of the Funds.

Section I(f) in the first column on 59 FR 62423 should read as follows:

(f) The conditions set forth in paragraphs (e), (f) and (n) of Section II below are satisfied.

For Further Information Contact: Mr. E.F. Williams, of the Department, at (202) 219-8194.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 29th day of December, 1994.

**Ivan L. Strasfeld,**

*Director, Office of Exemption Determinations, Pension and Welfare Benefits Administration.*  
[FR Doc. 95-111 Filed 1-3-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-29-M

#### **NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION**

[Notice 94-105]

#### **NASA Advisory Council, NASA Federal Laboratory Review Task Force; Meeting**

**AGENCY:** National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

**ACTION:** Notice of Meeting.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Pub. L. 92-463, as amended, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration announces a meeting of the NASA Federal Laboratory Review Task Force of the NASA Advisory Council.

**DATES:** January 26, 1995, 12:30 p.m. to 4:15 p.m.

**ADDRESSES:** National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Program Review Center, Ninth Floor, Room 9H40, 300 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20546.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Richard L. Kline, Code AE, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, DC 20546, 202/358-4697.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The meeting will be open to the public up to the seating capacity of the room. The agenda for the meeting is as follows:

- General Findings and Recommendations
- Aeronautics Enterprise Recommendations
- Scientific Research Enterprise Recommendations
- Mission to Planet Earth Enterprise Recommendations
- Space Technology Enterprise Recommendations
- Human Exploration and Development of Space Enterprise Recommendations

—Discussion

It is imperative that the meeting be held on this date to accommodate the scheduling priorities of the key participants. Visitors will be requested to sign a visitors' register.

Dated: December 22, 1994.

**Timothy M. Sullivan,**

*Advisory Committee Management Officer.*  
[FR Doc. 95-135 Filed 1-3-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7510-01-M

#### **NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES**

#### **Meetings of Humanities Panel**

**AGENCY:** National Endowment for the Humanities.

**ACTION:** Notice of meetings.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Public Law 92-463, as amended), notice is hereby given that the following meetings of the Humanities Panel will be held at the Old Post Office, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20506.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** David C. Fisher, Advisory Committee Management Officer, National Endowment for the Humanities, Washington, DC 20506; telephone (202) 606-8322. Hearing-impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter may be obtained by contacting the Endowment's TDD terminal on (202) 606-8282.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The proposed meetings are for the purpose of panel review, discussion, evaluation and recommendation on applications for financial assistance under the National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities Act of 1965, as amended, including discussion of information given in confidence to the agency by the grant applicants. Because the proposed meetings will consider information that is likely to disclose: (1) trade secrets and commercial or financial information obtained from a person and privileged or confidential; or (2) information of a personal nature the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy, pursuant to authority granted me by the Chairman's Delegation of Authority to Close Advisory Committee meetings, dated July 19, 1993, I have determined that these meetings will be closed to the public pursuant to subsections (c)(4), and (6) of section 552b of Title 5, United States Code.

1. Date: January 23, 1995

Time: 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

Room: 315

Program: This meeting will review applications for projects in Interpretive Research: Humanities Studies of Medicine, submitted to Division of Research Programs, for projects beginning after July 1, 1995.

2. Date: January 27, 1995

Time: 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

Room: 315

Program: This meeting will review applications for projects in Interpretive Research: Humanities Studies of Technology, Industry and Architecture, submitted to the Division of Research Programs, for projects beginning after July 1, 1995.

3. Date: January 30, 1995

Time: 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

Room: 315

Program: This meeting will review applications for projects in Interpretive Research: History and Philosophy of Science, submitted to the Division of Research Programs, for projects beginning after July 1, 1995.

**David C. Fisher,**

*Advisory Management Committee Officer.*

[FR Doc. 95-11 Filed 1-3-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7536-01-M

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-261]

### Carolina Power & Light Company; H.R. Robinson Steam Electric Plant, Unit No. 2; Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. DPR-23 issued to Carolina Power & Light Company (the licensee) for operation of H.R. Robinson Steam Electric Plant, Unit No. 2 (HBR), located in Darlington County, South Carolina.

#### Environmental Assessment

##### Identification of Proposed Action

The proposed amendment would include provisions in Technical Specifications (TS) 5.3 and 5.4 which allow for the storage of fuel with an enrichment not to exceed  $4.95 + 0.05$  w/o U-235 in the new and spent fuel storage racks. The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application for amendment dated July 28, 1994.

##### The Need for Proposal Action

The proposed changes are needed so that the licensee can use higher fuel enrichment to provide the flexibility of extending the fuel irradiation and to permit operation for longer fuel cycles.

##### Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The Commission has completed its evaluation of the proposed revisions to the TS. The proposed revisions would permit use of fuel enriched to a nominal 5.0 weight percent Uranium 235. The safety considerations associated with reactor operation with higher enrichment and extended irradiation have been evaluated by the NRC staff. The staff has concluded that such changes would not adversely affect plant safety. The proposed changes have no adverse effect on the probability of any accident. The higher enrichment, with fuel burnup to 60,000 megawatt days per metric ton Uranium, may slightly change the mix of fission products that might be released in the event of a serious accident, but such small changes would not significantly affect the consequences of serious accidents. No changes are being made in the types or amount of any radiological effluents that may be released offsite. There is no significant increase in the allowable individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts of reactor operation with higher enrichment and extended irradiation, the proposed changes to the TS involve systems located with the restricted area, as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. They do not affect nonradiological plant effluents and have no other environmental impact.

The environmental impact of transportation resulting from the use of higher enrichment fuel and extended irradiation were published and discussed in the staff assessment entitled, "NRC Assessment of the Environmental Effect of Transportation Resulting from Extended Fuel Enrichment and Irradiation," dated July 7, 1988, and published in the **Federal Register** (53 FR 30355) on August 11, 1988. As indicated therein the environmental cost contribution of the proposed increase in the fuel enrichment and irradiation limits are either unchanged or may, in fact, be reduced from those summaries in Table S-4 as set forth in 10 CFR 51.52(c). Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed amendment.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed action does involve features located entirely within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. It does not affect non-radiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact.

Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant non-radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

##### Alternative to the Proposed Action

Since the Commission concluded that there are no significant environmental effects that would result from the proposed action, any other alternative would have equal or greater environmental impacts and need not be evaluated.

The principal alternative would be to deny the requested amendment. This would not reduce the environmental impact of plant operations and would result in reduced operational flexibility.

##### Alternative Use of Resources

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement related to operation of HBR.

##### Agencies and Persons Consulted

The NRC staff reviewed the licensee's request and did not consult other agencies or persons.

##### Finding of No Significant Impact

The Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed license amendments.

Based upon the foregoing environmental assessment, we conclude that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment.

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendments dated July 28, 1994, that is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC 20555, and at the local public document room for the H.B. Robinson Steam Electric Plant, Unit No. 2, at Hartsville Memorial Library, 147 West College, Hartsville, South Carolina 29550.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 28th day of December 1994.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**Byron L. Siegel,**

*Acting Director Project Directorate II-1, Division of Reactor Projects I/II, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.*

[FR Doc. 95-125 Filed 1-3-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M