providing advice and recommendations in response to the notice, including representation from all geographic regions of the United States. The Assistant Secretary has also considered suggestions for more and different negotiators who would contribute to the diversity and expertise of the group. The following organizations were requested to submit nominations of participants: National Education Association American Federation of Teachers National Association of Elementary

School Principals

National Association of Secondary School Principals

National School Boards Association

National Parent Teachers Association National Coalition of Chapter 1/Title I Parents

Council of Chief State School Officers

National Association of State Coordinators of Compensatory Education

- American Association of School Administrators
- National Association of Federal Education Program Administrators

Council of the Great City Schools Council of American Private Education

Representing the Federal Government will be:

Thomas W. Payzant, Assistant Secretary of Elementary and Secondary Education, U.S. Department of Education

Mary Jean LeTendre, Director, Compensatory Education Programs, U.S. Department of Education

If, in response to this notice, an additional individual or representative of an interest group requests representation in the negotiated rulemaking process, the negotiating group will determine whether that individual should be added to the group. The negotiating group will make that decision based on factors such as whether the individual or representative—(1) Would be substantially affected by the rule; (2) Is not already adequately represented by the group; and (3) Meets the requirements of section 1601 of the ESEA.

The Department intends to encourage broad State and local flexibility in implementing programs under Title I. In particular, the Department intends to issue regulations only where absolutely necessary—for example, where the statute requires a regulation or where a regulation would provide flexibility for States, school districts, and schools. The Department will also provide nonbinding guidance respecting legal and policy issues under the Title I programs. This nonregulatory guidance can serve to inform parents, schools, school districts, States, and other affected parties of the flexibility that exists under the statute, including multiple approaches that may be available in carrying out the statute's requirements.

Topics Selected for Negotiation

The following topics related to the Title I program in local educational agencies have been selected for the negotiated rulemaking process.

(1) Schoolwide programs.

(2) Standards and assessment.

Discussions at the negotiated rulemaking meetings may cover other subjects as necessary or as raised by participants.

Facilitator

The Department has retained the services of a professional mediator who will serve as a neutral convenor and facilitator for the negotiations. The facilitator will not be involved with the substantive development of the regulations. The facilitator's role is to— (1) Chair negotiating sessions; (2) Help the negotiating process run smoothly; and (3) Help participants define issues and reach consensus.

The facilitator will keep a record of the negotiated rulemaking meetings. The record will be placed in the Department's rulemaking docket for this regulatory action.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Numbers: 84.010, Educationally Deprived Children in Local Educational Agencies; 84.011, Migrant Education Basic State Formula Grant Program; 84.013, Chapter 1 Program for Neglected or Delinquent Children; 84.213, Even Start Program)

Dated: December 22, 1994.

Thomas W. Payzant,

Assistant Secretary for Elementary and Secondary Education. [FR Doc. 94–32048 Filed 12–30–94; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4000–01–P

ADVISORY COUNCIL ON HISTORIC PRESERVATION

36 CFR Part 800

Protection of Historic Properties

AGENCY: Advisory Council on Historic Preservation.

ACTION: Acceptance of supplemental comments on proposed revision of current regulations.

SUMMARY: The Advisory Council on Historic Preservation (Council) issued a notice of proposed rulemaking, 59 FR 50396, October 3, 1994, on changes to

its regulations on protection of historic properties, with 60 days provided for public comment. In response to numerous requests for extension of time to comment beyond the December 2, 1994 deadline, the Council extended the comment period for an additional 30 days. The current January 3, 1995 deadline remains in effect and will not be extended further. However, in recognition of the concerns expressed by some commenters for additional time to do detailed analyses, the Council will accept supplemental submissions from any commenters who wish to amplify or amend their initial comments. Accordingly, the Council will keep the record open through February 2, 1995 for the purpose of receiving these submissions. Any materials received on or before that date will be fully considered as part of the public comment record as the Council moves forward with its consideration of regulatory revisions.

DATES: The date on or before which comments must be received remains January 3, 1995. The Council will keep the record open through February 2, 1995 for consideration of materials supplementing comments received on or before January 3, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be addressed to the Executive Director, Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Suite 809, Washington, DC 20004. Fax 202–606–8647 or 8672.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Stephanie Woronowicz, Information Assistant, Advisory Council on Historic Preservation, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Suite 809, Washington, DC 20004, (202) 606–8503.

Robert D. Bush,

Executive Director. [FR Doc. 94–32313 Filed 12–30–94; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–10–M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[CA 96-1-6799b; FRL-5131-1]

Approval and Promulgation of State Implementation Plans; California State Implementation Plan Revision, Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). **ACTION:** Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to act on revisions to the California State Implementation Plan (SIP) which concern two negative declarations from the Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District for two volatile organic compound (VOC) source categories: Natural Gas and Gasoline Processing Equipment and Chemical Processing and Manufacturing. The intended effect of proposing to include these negative declarations in the SIP is to meet the requirements of the Clean Air Act, as amended in 1990 (CAA or the Act). In the Final Rules Section of this Federal Register, the EPA is acting on the state's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. A rationale for this action is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

DATES: Comments on this proposed rule must be received in writing by February 2, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Written comments on this action should be addressed to: Daniel A. Meer, Rulemaking Section (A–5–3), Air and Toxics Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901.

Copies of the negative declarations are available for public inspection at EPA's Region 9 office and at the following locations during normal business hours.

- Rulemaking Section (A–5–3), Air and Toxics Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105.
- Air Docket (6102), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 "M" Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20460.
- California Air Resources Board, Stationary Source Divison, Rule Evaluation Section, 2020 "L" Street, Sacramento, CA 95812.
- Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District (formerly San Bernardino County Air Pollution Control District, 15428 Civic Drive, Suite 200, Victorville, CA 92392–2382.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Julie A. Rose, Rulemaking Section, A–5–3, Air and Toxics Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, CA 94105–3901, Telephone: (415) 744–1184.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This document concerns negative declarations for two VOC source categories from the Mojave Desert Air Quality Management District submitted to EPA on July 13, 1994 by the California Air Resources Board. The negative declarations concern Natural Gas and Gasoline Processing Equipment and Chemical Processing and Manufacturing. For further information, please see the information provided in the Direct Final action which is located in the Rules Section of this Federal Register.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401–7671q. Dated: December 15, 1994.

David P. HoweKamp,

Acting Regional Administrator. [FR Doc. 95–32233 Filed 12–30–94; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

40 CFR Part 52

[IL12-38-6748; IL53-3-6693; FRL-5131-3]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plan; Illinois

AGENCY: United States Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Proposed rule; withdrawal.

SUMMARY: The United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) is withdrawing certain proposed rulemaking actions resulting from the reconsideration of emission limitations for the metal furniture paint and adhesive operations at the Montgomery, Illinois facility owned by Allsteel, Inc. (Allsteel). In the final rules section of this **Federal Register**, USEPA is withdrawing the stays pending reconsideration (of emission limitations) applicable to this facility.

DATES: The proposed rule is withdrawn as of January 3, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the following location: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, Regulation Development Branch, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Steve Rosenthal, Regulation Development Branch, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604. Telephone: (312) 886–6052.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background Information

On June 29, 1990, USEPA promulgated a Federal Implementation Plan (FIP) which contained stationary source Volatile Organic Compound (VOC) control measures representing Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) for emission sources located in six Chicago, Illinois counties. On that date, USEPA also took final rulemaking action on certain VOC rules previously adopted and submitted by the State of Illinois for inclusion in its State Implementation Plan (SIP) (55 FR 26814). Among the sources impacted by these actions is Allsteel's plant in Kane County.

As a result of this rulemaking, Allsteel's paint operations became subject to the FIP's VOC emission limitations for metal furniture coating at 40 CFR 52.741(e), while the adhesive operations were required to comply with the FIP's "generic" rule for miscellaneous fabricated product manufacturing at 40 CFR 52.741(u). However, because USEPA had insufficient time to respond to Allsteel's highly technical comments, the Agency deferred the effective date of the applicable rules with regard to Allsteel for six months. Similarly, USEPA deferred action on a site-specific limit for Allsteel's adhesive lines submitted by the State of Illinois for inclusion as a SIP revision.

On August 28, 1990, Allsteel filed a petition for review of USEPA's June 29, 1990 rulemaking in the United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit. Nine other parties filed petitions for review, which were ultimately consolidated by the Court as Illinois Environmental Regulatory Group (IERG) et al. v. Reilly, No. 90-2778. In addition, Allsteel filed petitions for reconsideration of the FIP as it applied to both the adhesive and specialty paint operations. Pursuant to these petitions, USEPA convened proceedings for reconsideration pursuant to section 307(d)(7)(B) of the Clean Air Act (Act) 42 U.S.C. 7607(d)(7)(B). On May 31, 1991 (56 FR 24722), USEPA issued a stay of the FIP rules pending reconsideration for the adhesive operations; on June 4, 1993 (58 FR 31653), USEPA issued a stay of the FIP rules pending reconsideration for the specialty paint operations. Both stays, issued pursuant to section 307(d)(7)(B) of the Act, were issued only as necessary to complete reconsideration of the subject rules.

On May 13, 1993, USEPA proposed site-specific RACT requirements for the paint operations (58 FR 28376). On June