

18, 1993, USEPA proposed to disapprove the State's SIP submission and to promulgate a new rule for the adhesive operations (58 FR 33578).

On July 11, 1994, Allsteel filed with USEPA a Withdrawal of Requests for Reconsideration in which it represented that the adhesive operations were permanently shut down on March 18, 1994, and that the paint operations were to be discontinued by July 15, 1994. In addition, on August 15, 1994, the State of Illinois withdrew its SIP revision request for the adhesive lines.

II. Summary and Conclusions

As a result of Allsteel's July 11, 1994 Withdrawal of Requests for Reconsideration and the State of Illinois' August 15, 1994 withdrawal of its SIP submission, USEPA is withdrawing its May 13, 1993 proposed site-specific RACT requirements for Allsteel's paint operations and its June 18, 1993 proposal to disapprove the State's SIP submission and to promulgate a new rule for the adhesive operations. In the Final rules section of this **Federal Register** USEPA is withdrawing the May 31, 1991 and the June 4, 1993 stays pending reconsideration, since it is no longer necessary to complete reconsideration of the subject rules. It should be noted that USEPA's June 29, 1990 FIP regulations remain in place.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Volatile organic compound.

Dated: December 23, 1994.

Carol M. Browner,
Administrator.

[FR Doc. 94-32279 Filed 12-30-94; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

40 CFR Parts 52 and 81

[FL54-1-6026b; FRL-5089-3]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans and Designation of Areas for Air Quality Planning Purposes

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The EPA proposes to approve the State implementation plan (SIP) revision submitted by the State of Florida for the maintenance plan and the redesignation of the Duval County ozone area to attainment. In the final rules section of this **Federal Register**, the EPA is approving the State's SIP

revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the EPA views this as a noncontroversial revision amendment and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to that direct final rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this document. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time.

DATES: To be considered, comments must be received by February 2, 1995.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to: Joey LeVasseur, Regulatory Planning and Development Section, Air Programs Branch, Air, Pesticides & Toxics Management Division, Region IV Environmental Protection Agency, 345 Courtland Street, NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30365.

Copies of the material submitted by the State of Florida may be examined during normal business hours at the following locations:

Air and Radiation Docket and Information Center (Air Docket 6102), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460.

Environmental Protection Agency, Region IV Air Programs Branch, 345 Courtland Street, NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30365.

Air Resources Management Division, Florida Department of Environmental Protection, Twin Towers Office Building, 2600 Blair Stone Road, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joey LeVasseur, Regulatory Planning and Development Section, Air Programs Branch, Air, Pesticides & Toxics Management Division, Region IV Environmental Protection Agency, 345 Courtland Street, NE., Atlanta, Georgia 30365. The telephone number is 404/347-3555 ext.4215.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For additional information see the direct final rule which is published in the rules section of this **Federal Register**.

Dated: September 28, 1994.

Joe R. Franzmathes,
Acting Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 94-32235 Filed 12-30-94; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

40 CFR Part 52

[IL 45-1-5482; FRL-5131-9]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Illinois

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) is proposing to disapprove a request by Fort Dearborn Lithograph Company (FDLC) to incorporate certain operating restrictions for its Chicago, Illinois, facility into the Chicago Federal Implementation Plan for ozone (Chicago FIP). If approved by USEPA, this restriction (which attempts to limit emissions of volatile organic compounds (VOC) to less than 100 tons per year) would exempt FDLC from the otherwise applicable emission limits in the Chicago FIP, as promulgated by USEPA on June 29, 1990.

DATES: Comments on this requested revision to the Chicago FIP and on USEPA's proposed rulemaking action must be received by February 2, 1995. A public hearing, if requested, will be held in Chicago, Illinois. Requests for a hearing should be submitted to J. Elmer Bortzer by February 2, 1995 at the address below.

ADDRESSES: Written comments on this proposed action should be addressed to: J. Elmer Bortzer, Chief, Regulation Development Section (AR-18J), USEPA, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Blvd., Chicago, Illinois, 60604.

Comments should be strictly limited to the subject matter of this proposal, the scope of which is discussed below. For information on the hearing, interested persons may call Ms. Hattie Geisler at (312) 886-3199. Any hearing will be strictly limited to the subject matter of this action, the scope of which is discussed below.

Docket

Pursuant to section 307(d)(1)(B) of the Clean Air Act (Act), 42 U.S.C. 7607 (d)(1)(B), this action is subject to the procedural requirements of section 307(d). Therefore, USEPA has established a public docket for this action, A-94-40, which is available for public inspection and copying between 8:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, at the following addresses. We recommend that you contact Randolph O. Cano at (312) 886-6036 before visiting the Chicago location and the Air Docket at (202) 245-3639 before visiting the Washington D.C. location. A reasonable fee may be charged for copying.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, Regulation Development Branch, 18th Floor Southwest, 77 West Jackson Blvd., Chicago, Illinois, 60604, and

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Docket No. A-94-40, Air Docket (LE-131), Room M1500, Waterside Mall, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Steven Rosenthal, Regulation Development Branch, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Blvd., Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 886-6052.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under 40 CFR 52.741(x) in the Chicago FIP, sources located in Cook, DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry and Will Counties with total Maximum Theoretical Emissions¹ (MTE) of more than 100 tons per calendar year of VOC² and which are not covered by a Control Techniques Guideline document, must comply with certain requirements. The rule provides an exemption, however, for sources which are limited to 100 tons or less of VOC emissions per calendar year, before the application of capture systems and control devices, through production or capacity limitations contained in a federally enforceable construction permit or a State Implementation Plan (SIP) or FIP.

On February 24, 1992, FDLC requested a FIP revision that would impose the identical limitations on its plant operations as those specified in a December 16, 1991, Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA) operating permit. A copy of the IEPA operating permit was submitted to USEPA on April 13, 1992. If granted, this FIP revision would restrict its use of inks, overvarnish, fountain solution, acrylic coating, washes, conditioners, and other solvents with the intent of keeping its VOC emissions below 100 tons per year.

FDLC's requested FIP revision is not approvable for the following reasons.

1. FDLC's permit assumes that only 5 percent of the VOC in its overvarnish is capable of being emitted. Credit was taken for 95 percent retention in the

¹ Maximum theoretical emissions (MTE) is defined in 40 CFR 52.741(a)(3) as the quantity of volatile organic material emissions that theoretically could be emitted by a stationary source before add-on controls based on the design capacity or maximum production capacity of the source and 8760 hours per year. The design capacity or maximum production capacity includes use of coating(s) or ink(s) with the highest volatile material content actually used in practice by the source.

² The term "volatile organic material" (VOM) is used in the Chicago FIP, in which it has the identical definition as VOC.

substrate for overvarnish without any documentation in support of this assumption. Without such documentation, it must be assumed that 100 percent of the VOC is emitted.

Without credit for overvarnish retention, FDLC's operating restrictions limit FDLC to 126.6 tons VOC per year, well over the 100 tons per year applicability cutoff.

2. FDLC's permit does not require that records of VOC-containing material usage be kept. Without such records it is not possible to determine FDLC's yearly (for each consecutive 12 month interval) VOC emissions.

These deficiencies were discussed with a representative of FDLC on May 20, 1992.

Proposed Rulemaking Action and Solicitation of Public Comment

For the reasons stated above, USEPA is proposing to disapprove FDLC's request for a FIP revision in the form of operating restrictions on the amount of VOC containing materials used. Public comment is solicited on FDLC's requested revision and on USEPA's proposed rulemaking action.

Additionally, if requested, USEPA will provide an opportunity for a public hearing on this proposal. All comments received by the close of the public comment period will be considered in the development of USEPA's final rule.

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 600 et seq., USEPA must prepare a regulatory flexibility analysis assessing the impact of any proposed or final rule on small entities. 5 U.S.C. 603 and 604. Alternatively, USEPA may certify that the rule will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. Small entities include small businesses, small not-for-profit enterprises, and government entities with populations of less than 50,000.

This action involves only one source, FDLC. Therefore, USEPA certifies that this promulgation does not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. Furthermore, as explained in this notice, the request does not meet the requirements of the Act and USEPA cannot approve the request.

The Office of Management and Budget has exempted this regulatory action from Executive Order 12866 review.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Hydrocarbons, Ozone.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Dated: December 23, 1994.

Carol M. Browner,
Administrator.

[FR Doc. 94-32296 Filed 12-30-94; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

40 CFR Part 180

[OPP-300363A; FRL-4928-3]

RIN No. 2070-AC18

Proposed Tolerance Revocation for Folpet; Extension of Comment Period and Request for Additional Information

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Extension of Comment Period and Request for Additional Information.

SUMMARY: EPA is extending the comment period for "Revocation of Folpet Tolerances; Proposed Rule" from January 3, 1995 until March 3, 1995, and is requesting additional information from interested parties.

DATES: Written comments, identified by the OPP document control number OPP-300363, must be received on or before March 3, 1995.

ADDRESSES: By mail, submit comments to Public Response and Program Resources Branch, Field Operations Division (7506C), Office of Pesticide Programs, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460. In person, deliver comments to Room 201, Crystal Mall #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: By mail: Jeff Morris, Special Review and Reregistration Division (7508W), Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460. Office location and telephone number: Special Review Branch, Crystal Station #1, 3rd floor, 2800 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA 22202, (703) 308-8029.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Comment Period Extension

On December 2, 1994, EPA published in the **Federal Register** a notice proposing to revoke all folpet tolerances except for the tolerance on avocados (59 FR 61859). The original due date for comments to the Proposed Rule was January 3, 1995. EPA is extending the comment period until March 3, 1995 for the following reasons: (1) due to a move, the OPP docket was unavailable for a short period of time during the initial 30-day comment period provided by the proposed rule; (2) EPA received a request for an extension due to the docket problem and due to the need to collect specific information that may be responsive to the proposal (see letters