AUTHENTICATED
U.S. GOVERNMENT
INFORMATION
GPO

7-5-89
Vol. 54 No. 127

federal register

Wednesday
July 5, 1989

United States
Government
Printing Office
SUPERINTENDENT
OF DOCUMENTS
Washington, DC 20402

OFFICIAL BUSINESS
Penalty for private use, $300

SECOND CLASS NEWSPAPER

Postage and Fees Paid
U.S. Government Printing Office
(ISSN 0097-6326)

7-5-89
Vol. 54 No. 127
Pages 28017-28408

federal register

Wednesday
July 5, 1989

FEDERAL REGISTER Published daily, Monday through Friday, (not published on Saturdays, Sundays, or on official holidays), by the Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Administration, Washington, DC 20408, under the Federal Register Act (49 Stat. 500, as amended; 44 U.S.C. Ch. 15) and the regulations of the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register (1 CFR Ch. I). Distribution is made only by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402.

The **Federal Register** provides a uniform system for making available to the public regulations and legal notices issued by Federal agencies. These include Presidential proclamations and Executive Orders and Federal agency documents having general applicability and legal effect, documents required to be published by act of Congress and other Federal agency documents of public interest. Documents are on file for public inspection in the Office of the Federal Register the day before they are published, unless earlier filing is requested by the issuing agency.

The **Federal Register** will be furnished by mail to subscribers for $340 per year in paper form; $195 per year in microfiche form; or $37,500 per year for the magnetic tape. Six-month subscriptions are also available at one-half the annual rate. The charge for individual copies in paper or microfiche form is $1.50 for each issue, or $1.50 for each group of pages as actually bound, or $175.00 per magnetic tape. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402, or charge to your GPO Deposit Account or VISA or Mastercard.

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the **Federal Register.**

How To Cite This Publication: Use the volume number and the page number. Example: 54 FR 12345.

SUBSCRIPTIONS AND COPIES

PUBLIC

Subscriptions:

Paper or fiche	202–783–3238
Magnetic tapes	275–3328
Problems with public subscriptions	275–3054

Single copies/back copies:

Paper or fiche	783–3238
Magnetic tapes	275–3328
Problems with public single copies	275–3050

FEDERAL AGENCIES

Subscriptions:

Paper or fiche	523–5240
Magnetic tapes	275–3328
Problems with Federal agency subscriptions	523–5240

For other telephone numbers, see the Reader Aids section at the end of this issue.

Contents

Federal Register

Vol. 54, No. 127

Wednesday, July 5, 1989

Agency for International Development
RULES
Acquisition regulations:
Alternative source selection procedures, 28068

Agricultural Marketing Service
RULES
Beef promotion and research order
Correction, 28019

Agriculture Department
See Agricultural Marketing Service; Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service; Commodity Credit Corporation; Cooperative State Research Service; Farmers Home Administration; Forest Service

Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service
PROPOSED RULES
Interstate transportation of animals and animal products (quarantine):
Equine infectious anemia, 28070

Army Department
NOTICES
Environmental statements; availability, etc.:
Johnston Atoll Chemical Agency Disposal System, 28084
Meetings:
Science Board, 28084

Centers for Disease Control
NOTICES
Grants and cooperative agreements; availability, etc.:
State-based diabetes control programs, 28115

Coast Guard
RULES
Ports and waters safety:
Offshore traffic separation schemes—
Galveston Bay Approach, TX, 28061

Commerce Department
See also International Trade Administration
NOTICES
Agency information collection activities under OMB review, 28081

Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements
NOTICES
Visa waiver inquiries; new telephone number, 28082

Commodity Credit Corporation
NOTICES
Loan and purchase programs:
Feed grains price support and production adjustment programs (1990 crops), 28078

Comptroller of the Currency
PROPOSED RULES
Corporate activities; rules, policies, and procedures:
Receivership and conservatorship, 28072

Cooperative State Research Service
NOTICES
Meetings:
Agricultural Research and Extension Users National Advisory Board, 28080

Defense Department
See also Army Department
NOTICES
Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR):
Agency information collection activities under OMB review, 28083
Meetings:
Defense Policy Board Advisory Committee, 28083
Wage Committee, 28083

Employment and Training Administration
RULES
Alien temporary employment labor certification process:
Aliens in agriculture; adverse effect wage rates methodology, 28037

Energy Department
See also Energy Research Office; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission; Hearings and Appeals Office, Energy Department
NOTICES
International coal technology export directory, 28084
Natural gas exportation and importation:
Cascade Natural Gas Corp., 28085
CMEX Energy, Inc., 28085
Grand Valley Gas Co.; correction, 28154
Potomac Energy Corp., 28086
Texas Eastern Transmission Corp. et al., 28087
Powerplant and industrial fuel use; new electric powerplant coal capability; compliance certifications:
First Energy Associates, 28090
Puget Sound Power & Light, 28090
Presidential permit applications:
Puget Sound Power & Light Co., 28091

Energy Research Office
NOTICES
Meetings:
Health and Environmental Research Advisory Committee, 28092

Environmental Protection Agency
RULES
Air programs:
Stratospheric ozone protection—
Chlorofluorocarbons and halons; production and consumption; technical amendment, 28062
NOTICES
Meetings:
National Acid Precipitation Assessment Program, 28109
Pesticide, food, and feed additive petitions:
E.I. du Pont de Nemours & Co., Inc., 28109
Merck, Sharp & Dohme Research Laboratories, 28109

Executive Office of the President
See Presidential Documents

Farmers Home Administration
RULES
Loan and grant programs:
Servicing and collections—
Community facilities loan and grant programs; unauthorized loans, etc., 28019
Program regulations:
Business and industry loan program, 28019
Form FmHA 492-19, characteristics of approved applicants; references removed, 28019
Servicing and collections—
Additional payment agreement, 28020

Federal Aviation Administration
RULES
Airworthiness directives:
Aerospatiale, 28022
Boeing, 28023, 28025
(2 documents)
EMBRAER, 28026
McDonnell Douglas, 28028
Standard instrument approach procedures, 28029
Transition areas; correction, 28029
PROPOSED RULES
Rulemaking petitions; summary and disposition, 28074
VOR Federal airways, 28074
NOTICES
Airport noise compatibility program:
Noise exposure map—
Fort Smith Municipal Airport, AR, 28145
Orlando International Airport, FL, 28146

Federal Communications Commission
PROPOSED RULES
Radio broadcasting:
Stations (149) throughout U.S. and territories; regulation amendments and class change from Class A to Class C; Alaska and Washington, DC
Correction, 28077

Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation
NOTICES
Meetings; Sunshine Act, 28151

Federal Emergency Management Agency
NOTICES
Disaster and emergency areas:
Louisiana, 28110
(2 documents)
Ohio, 28110
Texas, 28110
Disaster and emergency relief efforts:
Operational and fiscal relationships; Federal, State, and local disaster officials, improvement, 28111

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
NOTICES
Meetings; Sunshine Act, 28151
Natural gas certificate filings:
ANR Pipeline Co. et al.; correction, 28154
Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Co. et al., 28092
Applications, hearings, determinations, etc.:
Natural Gas Pipeline Co. of America, 28095
Texas Eastern Transmission Corp., 28096
United Gas Pipe Line Co., 28097
Virginia Electric & Power Co., 28097

Federal Highway Administration
NOTICES
Environmental statements; notice of intent:
Fresno County, CA, 28147

Federal Maritime Commission
NOTICES
Agreements filed, etc., 28111
Freight forwarder licenses:
H. Conrad & Associates, Inc., et al., 28111

Federal Mine Safety and Health Review Commission
NOTICES
Meetings; Sunshine Act, 28151

Federal Reserve System
NOTICES
Meetings; Sunshine Act, 28151, 28152
(3 documents)
Applications, hearings, determinations, etc.:
Cho Hung Bank et al., 28112
Farish, George R., 28112
Greene County Bancshares, Inc., 28113
Havenick, Barbara Hecht, et al., 28113
Mid State Banks, Inc., 28113
Ventura County National Bancorp, 28114
Wellman Investment Co., 28114

Federal Trade Commission
RULES
Appliances, consumer; energy costs and consumption information in labeling and advertising:
Fluorescent lamp ballasts, 28031

Food and Drug Administration
RULES
Animal drugs, feeds, and related products:
Halofuginone, 28051
Salinomycin, chlortetracycline, and roxarsone; correction, 28154

Forest Service
NOTICES
Environmental statements; availability, etc.:
Los Padres National Forest, CA; 66 kilovolt transmission line, 28081

General Services Administration
NOTICES
Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR):
Agency information collection activities under OMB review, 28083

Health and Human Services Department
See also Centers for Disease Control; Food and Drug Administration; Health Care Financing Administration; Health Resources and Services Administration; Public Health Service
NOTICES
Organization, functions, and authority delegations:
Assistant Secretary for Personnel Administration, 28114

Health Care Financing Administration
NOTICES
Agency information collection activities under OMB review, 28115

Medicare:
Peer review organizations—
Contract obligations; performance evaluation criteria and standards, 28116
Privacy Act:
Systems of records, 28119

Health Resources and Services Administration
See also Public Health Service
NOTICES
Grants and cooperative agreements; availability, etc.:
General internal medicine and pediatrics—
Faculty development, 28122
Nursing special projects
Pediatric emergency care, 28123

Hearings and Appeals Office, Energy Department
NOTICES
Cases filed, 28097
Decisions and orders, 28098, 28101
(2 documents)

Housing and Urban Development Department
RULES
Mortgage and loan insurance programs:
Mutual mortgage insurance and rehabilitation loans, condition of property; adjustment for damage or neglect, 28053

Interior Department
See Land Management Bureau; Minerals Management Service; National Park Service

Internal Revenue Service
PROPOSED RULES
Income taxes:
Arbitrage restrictions on qualified student loan bonds, 28075
NOTICES
Income taxes:
Electronic filing program (1990); Forms 1040, 1040A, and 1040EZ returns, 28148
Privacy Act:
Computer matching programs, 28149

International Development Cooperation Agency
See Agency for International Development

International Trade Administration
NOTICES
Antidumping:
Generic cephalexin capsules from Canada; correction, 28154
Export trade certificates of review, 28082

Interstate Commerce Commission
NOTICES
Rail carriers:
Passenger train operation—
Denver & Rio Grande Western Railroad, 28127
Railroad operation, acquisition, construction, etc.:
South Carolina Central Railroad Co., Inc., 28128

Labor Department
See Employment and Training Administration; Occupational Safety and Health Administration

Land Management Bureau
NOTICES
Alaska Native claims selection:
Kodiak, Inc., 28124
Environmental concern; designation of critical areas:
Desert Tortoise Research Natural Area, CA, 28125
Meetings:
Craig District Advisory Council, 28125
Rawlins District Grazing Advisory Board, 28125
Realty actions; sales, leases, etc.:
Arizona, 28126

Maritime Administration
NOTICES
Applications, hearings, determinations, etc.:
Farrell Lines, Inc., 28147

Mine Safety and Health Federal Review Commission
See Federal Mine Safety and Health Review Commission

Minerals Management Service
NOTICES
Organization, functions, and authority delegations:
Royalty management activities—
Louisiana, 28126

National Aeronautics and Space Administration
RULES
Acquisition regulations; republication of chapter, 28186
NOTICES
Environmental statements; availability, etc.:
Galileo spacecraft, 28129
Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR):
Agency information collection activities under OMB review, 28083
Meetings:
Advisory Council, 28129
Space Science and Applications Advisory Committee, 28130
(2 documents)
Space Station Advisory Committee, 28131

National Credit Union Administration
NOTICES
Meetings; Sunshine Act, 28152

National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health
See Centers for Disease Control

National Labor Relations Board
NOTICES
Meetings; Sunshine Act, 28152

National Mediation Board
NOTICES
Meetings; Sunshine Act, 28152

National Park Service
NOTICES
Concession contract negotiations:
Teton Trail Rides, Inc., 28126
Meetings:
Denali National Park Subsistence Resource Commission, 28127

National Science Foundation
NOTICES
Meetings:
Division of Microelectric Information Processing Systems Advisory Committee, 28131

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
NOTICES
Environmental statements; availability, etc.:
Carolina Power & Light Co., 28132
Meetings; Sunshine Act, 28152

Occupational Safety and Health Administration
RULES
Safety and health standards:
Air contaminants
Correction, 28054, 28154
(2 documents)

Postal Service
NOTICES
Meetings; Sunshine Act, 28153
(2 documents)

Presidential Documents
ADMINISTRATIVE ORDERS
Bahrain, sale of U.S. defense articles (Presidential Determination No. 89-16 of June 22, 1989), 28017

Public Health Service
See also Centers for Disease Control; Food and Drug Administration; Health Resources and Services Administration
RULES
Grants:
Teaching facility construction, educational improvements, scholarships, and student loans; centers for excellence, 28065
NOTICES
Organization, functions, and authority delegations:
Health Resources and Services Administration
Maternal and Child Health and Resources Development Bureau, 28124

Securities and Exchange Commission
NOTICES
Meetings; Sunshine Act, 28153
Self-regulatory organizations; proposed rule changes:
American Stock Exchange, Inc., 28132
Chicago Board Options Exchange, Inc., 28134
Depository Trust Co., 28135
Midwest Securities Trust Co., 28136
New York Stock Exchange, Inc., 28137–28138
(3 documents)
Pacific Stock Exchange, Inc., 28140
Philadelphia Stock Exchange, Inc., 28141, 28142
(2 documents)

Small Business Administration
NOTICES
Disaster loan areas:
Louisiana et al., 28143

Textile Agreements Implementation Committee
See Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements

Transportation Department
See also Coast Guard; Federal Aviation Administration; Federal Highway Administration; Maritime Administration
NOTICES
Aviation proceedings:
Certificates of public convenience and necessity and foreign air carrier permits; weekly applications, 28143
Rural America transportation issues; national transportation policy development; forums, 28143

Treasury Department
See Comptroller of the Currency; Internal Revenue Service

Separate Parts In This Issue

Part II
National Aeronautics and Space Administration, 28186

Reader Aids
Additional information, including a list of public laws, telephone numbers, and finding aids, appears in the Reader Aids section at the end of this issue.

CFR PARTS AFFECTED IN THIS ISSUE

A cumulative list of the parts affected this month can be found in the Reader Aids section at the end of this issue.

3 CFR

Administrative Orders:

Presidential Determinations:

No. 89-16 of June 22, 1989 28017

7 CFR

1260 28019
1941 28019
1943 28019
1951 (2 documents) 28019, 28020
1980 28021

9 CFR

Proposed Rules:

75 28070

12 CFR

Proposed Rules:

5 28072

14 CFR

39 (5 documents) 28022, 28023, 28025, 28026, 28028
71 28029
97 28029

Proposed Rules:

Ch. I 28074
71 28074

16 CFR

305 28031

20 CFR

655 28037

21 CFR

556 28051
558 (2 documents) 28051, 28154

24 CFR

203 28053

26 CFR

Proposed Rules:

1 28075

29 CFR

1910 (2 documents) 28054, 28154

33 CFR

167 28061

40 CFR

82 28062

42 CFR

57 28065

47 CFR

Proposed Rules:

73 28077

48 CFR

705 28068
706 28068
715 28068
752 28068
Ch. 18 28186

Federal Register
Vol. 54, No. 127
Wednesday, July 5, 1989

Presidential Documents

Title 3—

The President

Presidential Determination No. 89–16 of June 22, 1989

Sale of Stingers to Bahrain

Memorandum for the Secretary of State

By virtue of the authority vested in me by section 614(a)(2) of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as amended, 22 U.S.C. 2364(a)(2), I hereby determine that it is vital to the national security interests of the United States to sell Stinger missiles and associated equipment to the Government of Bahrain, and I hereby authorize such sale, notwithstanding the requirements of section 566 of the Foreign Operations, Export Financing, and Related Programs Appropriations Act, 1989 (Public Law 100–461).

You are directed to report this determination to Congress immediately and to publish it in the **Federal Register**.

George Bush

THE WHITE HOUSE,
Washington, June 22, 1989.

[FR Doc. 89–15925
Filed 6–30–89; 4:28 pm]
Billing code 3195–01–M

Rules and Regulations

Federal Register
Vol. 54, No. 127
Wednesday, July 5, 1989

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains regulatory documents having general applicability and legal effect, most of which are keyed to and codified in the Code of Federal Regulations, which is published under 50 titles pursuant to 44 U.S.C. 1510.
The Code of Federal Regulations is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of new books are listed in the first FEDERAL REGISTER issue of each week.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Marketing Service

7 CFR Part 1260

[No. LS-88-101]

Beef Promotion and Research

AGENCY: Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA.

ACTION: Final rule; correction.

SUMMARY: AMS is correcting one figure on the table listing assessments for Beef and Beef products which appeared in the April 20, 1989, Federal Register (54 FR 15918). The ninth figure on the third column of the second table is revised to read 1.697542.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ralph L. Tapp, Chief, Marketing Programs and Procurement Branch (202) 447-2650.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The following correction is made in FR Doc. 89-9502, the Beef Promotion and Research final rule to change Tariff Schedule of the United States numbers to Harmonized Tariff Schedule numbers (7 CFR Part 1260) published in the April 20, 1989, Federal Register (54 FR 15918):

§ 1260.172 [Amended]

1. In § 1260.172, the ninth figure on the third column of the second table of the third column of page 15918, change from "0.697542" to "1.697542."

Done at Washington, DC, on June 29, 1989.

Kenneth C. Clayton,
Acting Administrator.

[FR Doc. 89-15725 Filed 7-3-89; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3410-02-M

Farmers Home Administration

7 CFR Parts 1941 and 1943

Removal of Form FmHA 492-19, "Characteristics of Approved Applicants"

AGENCY: Farmers Home Administration, USDA.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Farmers Home Administration (FmHA) amends its regulation to remove references to Form FmHA 492-19 which has been deemed unnecessary. The intended effect of this section is to update the Agency regulations and CFR.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 5, 1989.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Keith Miller, Systems Accountant, Accounting Policy and Procedures Section I, USDA, Farmers Home Administration, 1520 Market Street, St. Louis, Missouri 63103, telephone FTS 262-6024 or commercial 314-539-6024.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This action has been reviewed under Secretary's Memorandum 1512-1 which implements Executive Order 12291 and has been determined to be exempt from those requirements because it involves only internal Agency management. It is the policy of this Department to publish for comment rules relating to public property, loans, grants, benefits, or contract, notwithstanding the exemption in 5 U.S.C. 553 with respect to such rules. However, this action is not published for proposed rulemaking since it involves internal Agency management and publication for comment is unnecessary.

Environmental Impact Statement

This document has been reviewed according to 7 CFR Part 1940, Subpart G "Environmental Program." FmHA has determined that this action does not constitute a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, Public Law 91-190, an Environmental Impact Statement is not required.

Intergovernmental Consultation

For the reasons set forth in the final rule related to Notice 7 CFR Part 3015, Subpart V (48 FR 29115, June 1983), and FmHA Instruction 1940-J, "Intergovernmental Review of Farmers Home Administration Programs, and Activities" (December 23, 1983), this activity is related to the following programs that are subject to intergovernmental consultations, with State and local officials:

10.416—Soil and Water Loans (SW Loans)

In turn, the following programs to which this activity is also related, are not subject to Executive Order 12372:

10.406—Farm Operating Loans
10.407—Farm Ownership Loans

List of Subjects in 7 CFR Parts 1941 and 1943

Crops, Livestock, Loan programs—Agriculture, Rural areas, Youth, Credit, Recreation, Water resources.

Therefore, Chapter XVIII, Title 7, code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

1. The authority citations for the parts 1941 and 1943 continue to read as follows:

PART 1941—[AMENDED]

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 1989, 7 CFR 2.23, 7 CFR 2.70.

PART 1943—[AMENDED]

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 1989, 7 CFR 2.23, 7 CFR 3.70.

CHAPTER XVIII—[AMENDED]

2. 7 CFR Chapter XVIII is amended by removing the reference "Form FmHA 492-19, Characteristics of Approved Applicants," in the following places:

(a) Part 1941, Subpart A, Exhibit A
(b) Part 1943, Subpart A, 1943.32(a)
(c) Part 1943, Subpart B, 1943.82(a)

Dated: June 6, 1989.

Neal Sox Johnson,
Acting Administrator, Farmers Home Administration.

[FR Doc. 89-15730 Filed 7-3-89; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3410-07-M

7 CFR Part 1951

Loan and Grant Programs; Servicing and Collections

AGENCY: Farmers Home Administration, USDA.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Farmers Home Administration (FmHA) amends its Community Facilities loan and grant servicing regulations. This action is being taken to clarify the regulation. The intended effect is to provide more comprehensive and straightforward guidance to FmHA staff and recipients of assistance relating to the servicing of the affected loans and grants.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 5, 1989.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: T.W. Davis, Loan Specialist, Water and Waste Disposal Division, Farmers Home Administration, USDA, South Agriculture Building, Room 6334, Washington, DC 20250, telephone: (202) 382–9586 or Bonnie Justice, Loan Specialist, Community Facilities Division, Farmers Home Administration, USDA, South Agriculture Building, Room 6314, Washington, DC 20250, telephone: (202) 382–1490.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This action has been reviewed under USDA procedures established in Departmental Regulation 1512–1, which implements Executive Order 12291, and has been determined to be "nonmajor" since the annual effect on the economy is less than $100 million and there will be no significant increase in cost or prices for consumers; individual industries; Federal, State, or Local government agencies; or geographic regions.

Furthermore, there will be no adverse effects on competition, employment, investment, productivity, innovation, or on the ability of United States-based enterprises to compete with foreign-based enterprises in domestic or export markets. This action is not expected to substantially affect budget outlay, to affect more than one agency or to be controversial. The net result is expected to provide better service to rural communities.

These programs/activities are listed in the Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance under Nos. 10.418, Water and Waste Disposal Systems for Rural Communities, and 10.423, Community Facilities Loans, and are subject to the provisions of Executive Order 12372, which requires intergovernmental consultation with State and Local officials. (7 CFR Part 3015, Subpart V, 48 FR 29112, June 24, 1983, and 7 CFR Part 1940, Subpart J, "Intergovernmental Review of Farmers Home Administration Programs and Activities").

This document has been reviewed in accordance with 7 CFR part 1940, Subpart G, "Environmental Programs." It is the determination of FmHA that this action does not constitute a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment and in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, Pub. L. 91–190, an Environmental Impact Statement is not required.

BACKGROUND: This action, which amends Subpart O of part 1951, was published as a proposed rule for public comment on December 19, 1988 (53 FR 50972), giving interested parties until January 18, 1989, to submit comments. No comments were received. Therefore, the Agency adopts the proposed rule as final without changes.

List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 1951

Account servicing, Grant programs-Housing and community development; Loan programs-Housing and community development; Reporting requirements; Rural areas; Rent subsidies; Subsidies.

Accordingly, Chapter XVIII, Title 7, Code of Federal Regulations, is amended as follows:

PART 1951—SERVICING AND COLLECTIONS

1. The authority citation for Part 1951 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 1989; 42 U.S.C. 1480; 5 U.S.C. 301; 7 CFR 2.23; 7 CFR 2.70.

Subpart O—Servicing Cases Where Unauthorized Loan(s) or Other Financial Assistance Was Received—Community and Insured Business Programs

2. Section 1951.711 is amended by revising paragraph (b)(3) to read as follows:

§ 1951.711 Servicing options in lieu of liquidation or legal action to collect.

* * * * *

(b) * * *

(3) *Unauthorized subsidy benefits received.* When the recipient was eligible for the loan but should have been charged a higher interest rate than that in the debt instrument, which resulted in the receipt of unauthorized subsidy benefits, the case will be handled as outlined in this paragraph. The recipient will be given the option to submit a written request that the interest rate be adjusted to the lower of the rate for which they were eligible that was in effect at the date of loan approval or loan closing. (See Exhibit C of this subpart for interest rates (available in any FmHA office)). FmHA servicing officials will make a concerted effort to collect all unauthorized subsidy benefits from the recipient and will contact the Office of General Counsel in each case for advice in accomplishing corrective actions.

* * * * *

Dated: June 1, 1989.

Neal Sox Johnson,

Acting Administrator, Farmers Home Administration.

[FR Doc. 89–15729 Filed 7–3–89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–07–M

---

7 CFR Part 1951

Servicing and Collections

AGENCY: Farmers Home Administration, USDA.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Farmers Home Administration (FmHA) is revising its Single Family Housing servicing and collections regulation to change the number and title of Form FmHA 451–37 "Additional Partial Payment Agreement," to Form FmHA 1951–37, "Additional Payment Agreement." Changes are also being made in the regulation to correspond with the change of the number and title of this form. The intended effect of this action is to update, revise, and streamline the processing of the additional payment agreement consistent with program and borrower needs.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 5, 1989.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jean F. Leavitt, Loan Specialist, Single Family Housing Servicing and Property Management Division, Farmers Home Administration, USDA, South Agriculture Building, Room 5309, Washington, DC 20250, telephone (202) 382–1452.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This final action has been reviewed under USDA procedures established in Departmental Regulation 1512–1 which implements Executive Order 12291, and has been determined to be exempt from those requirements because it involves only internal agency management. It is the policy of this Department to publish for comment rules relating to public property, loans, grants, benefits, or contracts, notwithstanding the exemption in 5 U.S.C. 533 with respect to such rules. This action, however, is not published for proposed rulemaking since it involves only corrections, clarifications or matters involving management, making publication for comment unnecessary and impractical.

Programs Affected

The programs are listed in the Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) under:

10.410 Low Income Housing Loans
10.411 Rural Housing Site Loans

10.417 Very Low-Income Housing Repair Loans and Grants

Intergovernmental Consultation:

CFDA number 10.411 is subject to the provisions of Executive Order 12372 which requires intergovernmental consultation with State and local officials. CFDA numbers 10.410 and 10.417 are excluded from Executive Order 12372.

Environmental Impact Statement:

This document has been reviewed in accordance with 7 CFR Part 1940, Subpart G, "Environmental Program." It is the determination of FmHA that this action does not constitute a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment and in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, Pub. L. 91–90, an Environmental Impact Statement is not required.

List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 1951

Account servicing, Low and moderate income housing loans.

Therefore, Chapter XVIII, Title 7, Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

Part 1951—SERVICING AND COLLECTIONS

1. The authority citation for Part 1951 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 1480, 5 U.S.C. 301, 7 CFR 2.23, 7 CFR 2.70.

Subpart G—Borrower Supervision, Servicing and Collection of Single Family Housing Loan Accounts

2. In § 1951.308, paragraphs (a)(2)(ii) and (a)(2)(v) are revised to read as follows:

§ 1951.308 Payment coupons and changes in payment plan.

(a) * * *

(2) * * *

(ii) Form FmHA 1951–37, "Additional Payment Agreement," is processed.

* * * * *

(v) An account rescheduled by use of Form FmHA 1951–37 becomes current.

* * * * *

3. In § 1951.312, the introductory text of paragraph (d), (d)(2), and the introductory text of paragraph (e) are revised to read as follows:

§ 1951.312 Servicing.

* * * * *

(d) *Rescheduled payment agreement.* Delinquent borrowers are expected to bring their accounts current as soon as possible, based on their ability to repay as determined by a completed Form FmHA 1944–3, "Budget and/or Financial Statement." Borrowers who are able will pay all or a substantial portion of the delinquent amount in a single payment. Those unable will pay a larger than scheduled regular payment until the account is current. The remaining delinquent balance should usually be paid within 2 years but in no case will the repayment period exceed the remaining term of the loan. The borrower's circumstances will be reviewed annually to determine if the remaining delinquent balance can be paid in full or if the additional payment amount should be modified.

* * * * *

(2) Borrowers who need more than 4 months to repay a delinquency will execute Form FmHA 1951–37 (applicable to borrowers with a monthly payment plan) which will be recorded on the tickler system. The amount of the additional payment must be at least $10 per month for each borrower. The County Supervisor may enter into only one agreement with a borrower in any 1-year period. Prior authorization from the District Director is required for any additional agreements during this 1-year period.

(e) *Systematic method to service accounts of delinquent borrowers.* The following method is to be followed in servicing each delinquent housing account. If letters are sent in lieu of personal contact then Guide Letters 1951–G–1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 9 will be used unless letters with different wording are incorporated into a State supplement which has received prior approval of the National Office. Although a sufficient number of servicing letters are needed to document that borrowers have been notified of benefits which may be available to them, the County Supervior must be aware that personal contact, either by telephone or in person, is more effective. Borrowers who have declared bankruptcy under the Federal Bankruptcy Code will be serviced as provided in § 1965.117 of Subpart C of Part 1965 of this chapter.

* * * * *

§ 1951.313 [Amended]

4. In § 1951.313, paragraph (a)(2) is amended by removing the word "Partial" from the phrase "Additional Partial Payment Agreement," and paragraphs (g)(1), (g)(2) and (h) are amended by changing the reference "Form FmHA 451–37" to read "Form FmHA 1951–37."

§ 1951.314 [Amended]

5. In § 1951.314, paragraph (a)(5) is amended by changing the reference "Form FmHA 451–37" to read "Form FmHA 1951–37."

Dated: April 18, 1989.

Neal Sox Johnson,

Acting Administrator, Farmers Home Administration.

[FR Doc. 89–15727 Filed 7–3–89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–07–M

---

7 CFR Part 1980

Business and Industry Loan Program

AGENCY: Farmers Home Administration, USDA.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Farmers Home Administration (FmHA) amends its requirements for the Business and Industry (B&I) Loan Program regarding refinancing, variable interest rates, and National Office review of dockets. This action is needed to clarify the regulations and keep them responsive to commercial banking practices. This action is intended to broaden the conditions under which a loan may include refinancing, reduce limitations on variable interest rates, and clarify what kind of changes in a loan proposal necessitates additional review by the National Office.

DATE: July 5, 1989.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Beverly Craver, Business and Industry Loan Specialist, Farmers Home Administration, USDA, Room 6327, 14th and Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20250, Telephone (202) 475–3805.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Classification

This action has been reviewed under USDA procedures established in Departmental Regulation 1512–1, which implements Executive Order 12291 and has been determined to be non-major. The annual effect on the economy is less than $100 million and there will be no significant increase in costs or prices for consumers, individual industries, organizations, governmental agencies or geographic regions. There will be no significant adverse effects on competition, employment, investment, productivity, innovation, or on the ability of United States-based enterprises to compete with foreign-based enterprises in domestic or export markets.

Intergovernmental Review

This program is listed in the Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance under

number 10.422, Business and Industrial Loans. It is subject to the provisions of Executive Order 12372, which requires intergovernmental consultation with State and local officials in accordance with FmHA Instruction 1940–J, "Intergovernmental Review of Farmers Home Administration Programs and Activities" (available in any FmHA Office) and 7 CFR 3015, Subpart V.

Environmental Impact Statement

The action has been reviewed in accordance with FmHA Instruction 1940–G, "Environmental Program." FmHA has determined that this action does not constitute a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and in accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, Public Law 91–190, an Environmental Impact Statement is not required.

Background

The current regulations prohibit loans for refinancing unless the refinancing is necessary to prevent the loss of existing jobs. Frequently, loans that would be sound and would benefit the community are proposed where existing jobs are not in jeopardy and refinancing of existing debts is needed to obtain adequate lien priority or for other valid reasons. This action provides for refinancing when needed to create new jobs.

The current regulations regarding variable interest rates are unclear as to whether the rate must be adjustable at regular intervals. It is becoming common banking practice to set the interest rate for a longer time during the early part of the loan term with agreement that later the rate will vary more frequently. A typical example might be an initial rate set for 5 years and adjusted quarterly thereafter. This action clarifies the regulations to allow for adjustment at irregular intervals.

Review and concurrence of B&I loan proposals by the National Office is often required before the guarantee may be approved by the State Director. Conditions are often placed on the concurrence. While attempting to meet the conditions in a way acceptable to them, applicants sometimes propose further changes in the project. This action clarifies that resubmission to the National Office for additional review is required if there is a major change in the scope of the project.

Comments

This action was published as a proposed rule for public comment on February 10, 1989, in Volume 54, No. 27, of the Federal Register, beginning on page 6417.

One response was received. The commenter objected to the job test requirement for refinancing. FmHA believes the requirement for job verification is necessary to evaluate the impact on, and benefit to the community. Therefore, no change has been made in the proposed rule.

List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 1980

Loan programs—Business and Industry, Rural Development Assistance and Rural Areas.

Accordingly, FmHA amends Chapter XVIII, Title 7, Code of Federal Regulations, to read as follows:

PART 1980—GENERAL

1. The authority citation for Part 1980 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 1989; 42 U.S.C. 1480; 5 U.S.C. 301; 7 CFR 2.23; 7 CFR 2.70.

Subpart E—Business and Industrial Loan Program

2. Section 1980.411 is amended by revising the introductory text of paragraph (a)(11) to read as follows:

§ 1980.411 Loan purposes.

* * * * *

(a) * * *

(11) *Debt refinancing.* Lenders and FmHA must provide as part of their loan analysis the reasons for refinancing and the file must be documented accordingly. Refinancing debts may be allowed in connection with viable projects when it is determined by the lender and FmHA that it is necessary to create new or save existing jobs. FmHA will consider any lender's exposure as it relates to this item and may adjust the guarantee percentage accordingly. Refinancing in accordance with this paragraph may be insured or guaranteed only when:

* * * * *

3. Section 1980.423 is amended by revising paragraph (a)(1) to read as follows:

§ 1980.423 Interest rates.

(a) * * *

(1) A variable interest rate must be a rate that is tied to a base rate published periodically in a recognized national or regional financial publication specifically agreed to by the lender and borrower. The variable interest rate may be adjusted at different intervals during the term of the loan but the adjustments may not be more often than quarterly. The intervals between interest rate adjustments will be specified in the Loan Agreement. The lender must incorporate within the variable rate promissory note at loan closing, the provision for adjustment of payment installments coincident with an interest rate adjustment. This will assure that the outstanding principal balance is properly amortized within the prescribed loan maturity to eliminate the possibility of a balloon payment at the end of the loan.

* * * * *

4. Section 1980.453 is amended by revising ADMINISTRATIVE paragraph A to read as follows:

§ 1980.453 Review of requirements.

* * * * *

Administrative

A. The State Director will negotiate with the lender and proposed borrower any changes made to the initially issued or proposed Form FmHA 499–14. A copy of Form FmHA 449–14 and any amendments thereto will be included when the loan file is submitted to the National Office for review. When the National Office recommends modifications or additions to Form FmHA 449–14, the State Director will further negotiate these recommendations with the lender and proposed borrower. If, as a result of these further negotiations, the lender, proposed borrower or State Director presents alternate conditions which would result in a major change or changes in the scope of the proposed project, the State Director will submit these conditions by memorandum to the National Office for consideration with a copy of the revised Form FmHA 449–14 and any amendments thereto.

* * * * *

Date May 22, 1989.

Neal Sox Johnson,

Acting Administrator, Farmers Home Administration.

[FR Doc. 89–15728 Filed 7–3–89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–07–M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 89–NM–22–AD; Amdt. 39–6257]

Airworthiness Directives; Aerospatiale Model ATR42–300 Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to certain Aerospatiale Model ATR42–300 series airplanes,

which requires modification of the fuselage emergency exit frame at shoot bolt and upper stop locations. This amendment is prompted by a fatigue and damage tolerance analysis of the fuselage emergency exit frame, which revealed the need to increase the thickness of the fitting base at the upper stop and shoot bolt locations. This condition, if not corrected, could lead to failure of the emergency exit frame and subsequent rapid decompression.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 7, 1989.

ADDRESSES: The applicable service information may be obtained from Aerospatiale, 316 Route de Bayonne, 31060 Toulouse, Cedex 03, France. This information may be examined at the FAA, Northwest Mountain Region, Transport Airplane Directorate, 17900 Pacific Highway South, Seattle, Washington, or the Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, 9010 East Marginal Way South, Seattle, Washington.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Robert McCracken, Standardization Branch, ANM-113; telephone (206) 431-1979. Mailing address: FAA, Northwest Mountain Region, 17900 Pacific Highway South, C-68966, Seattle, Washington 98168.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A proposal to amend Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations, to include a new airworthiness directive applicable to Aerospatiale Model ATR42-300 series airplanes, which requires modification of the fuselage emergency exit frame at shoot bolt and upper stop locations, was published in the Federal Register on April 19, 1989 (54 FR 15766).

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of this amendment. Due consideration has been given to the single comment received.

The commenter supported the proposal.

After careful review of the available data, including the comment noted above, the FAA has determined that air safety and the public interest require the adoption of the rule as proposed.

It is estimated that 33 airplanes of U.S. registry will be affected by this AD, that it will take approximately 40 manhours per airplane to accomplish the required actions, and that the average labor cost will be $40 per manhour. Based on these figures, the total cost impact of this AD to U.S. operators is estimated to be $52,800.

The regulations adopted herein will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this final rule does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action (1) is not a "major rule" under Executive Order 12291; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979) and (3) will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A final evaluation has been prepared for this action is contained in the regulatory docket. A copy of it may be obtained from the Rules Docket.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends § 39.13 of Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 39) as follows:

PART 39—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for Part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421 and 1423; 49 U.S.C. 106(g) (Revised Pub. L. 97-449, January 12, 1983); and 14 CFR 11.89.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. By adding the following new airworthiness directive:

Aerospatiale: Applies to Model ATR42-300 series airplanes, Serial Numbers 003 through 052, certificated in any category. Compliance is required as indicated, unless previously accomplished.

To prevent failure of the emergency exit frame, accomplish the following:

A. Prior to the accumulation of 12,000 landings or within 60 days after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs later, accomplish modification of the fuselage emergency exit frame in accordance with Aerospatiale Service Bulletin ATR42-53-0024, Revision 2, dated May 16, 1988.

B. An alternate means of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time, which provides an acceptable level of safety, may be used when approved by the Manager, Standardization Branch, ANM-113, FAA, Northwest Mountain Region.

Note: The request should be forwarded through an FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector (PMI), who will either comment and then send it to the Manager, Standardization Branch, ANM-113.

C. Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with FAR 21.197 and 21.199 to operate airplanes to a base in order to comply with the requirements of this AD.

All persons affected by this directive who have not already received the appropriate service documents from the manufacturer may obtain copies upon request to Aerospatiale, 316 Route de Bayonne, 31060 Toulouse, Cedex 03, France. These documents may be examined at the FAA, Northwest Mountain Region, Transport Airplane Directorate, 17900 Pacific Highway South, Seattle, Washington, or at the Standardization Branch, 9010 East Marginal Way South, Seattle, Washington.

This amendment becomes effective August 7, 1989.

Issued in Seattle, Washington, on June 22, 1989.

Darrell M. Pederson,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 89-15685 Filed 7-3-89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

---

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 88-NM-149-AD; Amdt. 39-6256]

Airworthiness Directives; Boeing Model 737 Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to certain Boeing Model 737 series airplanes, which requires inspection and replacement, if necessary, of roller fittings used on flight attendant seats. This amendment is prompted by reports of fatigue cracks discovered in one of the affected seats during an accident investigation. Fatigue cracks in the seat pan roller can result in seat failure in emergency landing conditions and injury to the occupant, and could potentially render the adjacent exit unusable.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 7, 1989.

ADDRESSES: The applicable service information may be obtained from Trans Aero Industries, Inc., 502 North Oak Street, Inglewood, California 90302-2942, and from Boeing Commercial Airplanes, P.O. Box 3707, Seattle, Washington 98124. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, Northwest Mountain Region, 17900 Pacific Highway South, Seattle, Washington, or the

Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, FAA, Northwest Mountain Region, 9010 East Marginal Way South, Seattle, Washington.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Jeff Gardlin, Airframe Branch, ANM-120S; telephone (206) 431-1932. Mailing address: FAA, Northwest Mountain Region, 17900 Pacific Highway South, C-68966, Seattle, Washington 98168.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A proposal to amend Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations to include an airworthiness directive which requires inspection and replacement, if necessary, of roller fittings on certain flight attendant seats used on Boeing Model 737 airplanes, was published in the Federal Register on November 17, 1988 (53 FR 46463). The period for public comment closed January 10, 1989.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of this amendment. Due consideration has been given to the two comments received.

The manufacturer of the airplane requested that the AD include a provision to allow continued airplane operation in the event a defective fitting is discovered by placarding the affected seat for "No Occupancy." The FAA does not agree totally with this suggestion. Based on information available to the FAA, the inspection intervals required by the AD are sufficient to schedule repairs, if necessary. In addition, the Master Minimum Equipment List for the Model 737 already addresses inoperative flight attendant seats. The FAA does agree that continued airplane operation should be allowed in the event a defective fitting is discovered, and has revised the wording of paragraph B. to reflect that replacement of the roller fitting must be accomplished prior to further use of the seat, rather than prior to further flight.

The Air Transport Association (ATA) of America responded on behalf of its members. Several ATA members conducted a fleet-wide inspection, which collectively totaled more than 200 airplanes, without finding any further problems with the roller fitting. On this basis, ATA requests that the NPRM be withdrawn since the incident prompting the AD appears to be isolated. The FAA does not agree. Due to the relatively small percentage of the total fleet which has been inspected, absence of a fatigue problem in the fleet cannot be positively established.

The ATA also requested that, if an AD were promulgated, the inspection be limited to one-time only and not repetitive as proposed. The FAA does not agree. Since the purpose of the required inspection is to detect a fatigue problem, it is necessary to continue to inspect the affected part at an interval sufficient to discover the fatigue before a failure occurs. However, the reporting requirement of paragraph C. of the AD will enable the FAA to later reevaluate the requirements of this AD based on the data received.

One commenter questioned whether the report of findings would be required after each repetitive inspection, or only after the initial inspection. Paragraph C. has been modified to specify that reporting is required only after the initial inspection, since this data will establish the baseline configuration of the fleet.

Due to the time elapsed since closure of the comment period, paragraph A. of the AD has been changed to recognize inspections conducted in the previous 12 months instead of 6 months as proposed.

After careful review of the available data, including the comments noted above, the FAA has determined that air safety and the public interest require the adoption of the rule with the changes previously noted. These changes will neither increase the burden on any operator nor increase the scope of the AD.

There are approximately 1,600 Model 737 series airplanes of the affected design in the worldwide fleet. It is estimated that 600 airplanes of U.S registry will be affected by this AD, that it will take approximately 1 manhour per airplane to accomplish the required actions, and that the average labor cost will be $40 per manhour. Based on these figures, the total cost impact of the AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be $24,000.

Information collection requirements contained in this regulation have been approved by the Office of Management and Budget under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 (Pub. L. 96-511) and have been assigned OMB Control Number 2120-0056.

The regulations adopted herein will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this final rule does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action (1) Is not a "major rule" under Executive Order 12291; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities, under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A final evaluation has been prepared for this regulation and has been placed in the docket. A copy of it may be obtained from the Rules Docket.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation Safety, Safety.

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 39) as follows:

PART 39—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for Part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421 and 1423; 49 U.S.C. 106(g) (Revised Pub. L. 97-449, January 12, 1983); and 14 CFR 11.89.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

Boeing: Applies to Model 737 series airplanes, equipped with flight attendant seats, Trans Aero part number (P/N) 90835, certified in any category. Compliance required as indicated, unless previously accomplished.

To prevent possible failure to flight attendant seats, accomplish the following:

A. Within the next 12 months after the effective date of this amendment, unless previously accomplished within 12 months prior to the effective date of this amendment, inspect seat pan roller fittings, P/N 990862-3 and -4, for evidence of fatigue and/or deformation, in accordance with Trans Aero Service Bulletin No. 113, dated May 11, 1988. Repeat the inspection at intervals not to exceed 18 months.

B. If evidence of fatigue or deformation is found, prior to further use of the seat, replace the seat pan roller fitting with an airworthy part. Inspect the new fitting, in accordance with paragraph A., above, at intervals not to exceed 18 months.

C. Within 10 days after completion of the initial inspection required by paragraph A., above, submit a report of findings, positive or negative, to the Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, FAA, Northwest Mountain Region, 17900 Pacific Highway South, C-68966, Seattle, Washington 98168.

D. An alternate means of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time, which provides an acceptable level of safety, may be used when approved by the Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, FAA, Northwest Mountain Region.

Note: The request should be forwarded through an FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector (PMI), who will concur or make comments and then send it to the Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office.

E. Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with FAR 21.197 and 21.199 to operate airplanes to a base in order to comply with the requirements of this AD.

All persons affected by this directive who have not already received the appropriate service documents from the manufacturer may obtain copies upon request to Trans Aero Industries, Inc., 502 North Oak Street, Inglewood, California 98302-2942, or to Boeing Commercial Airplanes, P.O. Box 3707, Seattle, Washington 98124. These documents may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, Northwest Mountain Region, 17900 Pacific Highway South, Seattle, Washington, or Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, FAA, Northwest Mountain Region, 9010 East Marginal Way South, Seattle, Washington.

This amendment becomes effective August 7, 1989.

Issued in Seattle, Washington, on June 22, 1989.

Darrell M. Pederson,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 89-15687 Filed 7-3-89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

---

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 89-NM-46-AD; Amdt. 39-6254]

Airworthiness Directives; Boeing Model 737-100, -200, and -200C Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment supersedes an existing airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to Boeing Model 737-100, -200, and -200C series airplanes, which currently requires repetitive ultrasonic inspections of the aft engine mount cone bolt, verification of the cone bolt torque, and mandatory installation of aft engine mount secondary supports and cone bolt failure indicators. This action reduces the compliance time for the installation of the aft engine mount secondary supports and cone bolt failure indicator. This amendment is prompted by a recent incident of an aft engine mount cone bolt failure which caused separation of an engine from the airplane. This action is necessary to prevent separation of an engine as a result of an aft cone bolt failure.

EFFECTIVE DATE: Effective August 7, 1989.

ADDRESSES: The applicable service information may be obtained from Boeing Commercial Airplanes, P.O. Box 3707, Seattle, Washington 98124. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, Northwest Mountain Region, 17900 Pacific Highway South, Seattle, Washington, or the Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, FAA, Northwest Mountain Region, 9010 East Marginal Way South, Seattle, Washington.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Steven C. Fox, Airframe Branch, ANM-120S; telephone (206) 431-1923. Mailing address: FAA, Northwest Mountain Region, 17900 Pacific Highway South, C-68966, Seattle, Washington 98168.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A proposal to amend Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations by superseding telegraphic AD T89-05-53-R1, to require a reduction of the compliance time from 4,000 landings to 3,000 landings for the mandatory installation of the aft engine mount secondary supports and cone bolt failure indicator, repetitive ultrasonic inspections of the aft engine mount cone bolt, and installation torque verifications was published in the **Federal Register** on April 28, 1989 (54 FR 18301). Comments on the Notice were due by May 22, 1989.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of this amendment. Due consideration has been given to the comments received.

One commenter indicated that the reduced compliance time to install the new aft secondary support would result in unscheduled down time and requested an extension of seven months after the effective date of the AD. The FAA does not concur. As was explained in the preamble to the Notice, this AD action was prompted by a second incident in which the engine separated from an airplane during flight. In determining that it was appropriate to reduce the compliance time for installation of the modifications, the FAA developed the proposed compliance time, based not only on ensuring an acceptable level of safety, but on the current forecast of parts availability as well. In that the FAA has received no information to indicate that parts would not be available for installation in a timely manner, the FAA finds no justification for extending the compliance time as requested.

The same commenter also requested that a note be added to paragraph C.3. of the AD to indicate that AD sign-off is not necessary if the operator has incorporated a procedure into their FAA-approved maintenance program that requires a check of the bolt indicator every three days. The commenter stated that maintenance and flight crew preflight inspections are adequate to ensure that a routine check of the failure indicator would be accomplished every three days. The FAA does not concur. During the formulation of the proposed rule, the FAA gave careful consideration to the acceptability of maintenance and flight crews to perform this inspection. It was concluded that only an FAA-certificated mechanic with appropriate Required Inspection Item authorization is qualified to perform the inspection and appropriately record the action. Accordingly, the final rule remains as proposed.

After careful review of the available data, including the comments noted above, the FAA has determined that air safety and the public interest require the adoption of the rule as proposed.

There are approximately 1,144 Model 737 series airplanes of the affected design in the worldwide fleet. It is estimated that 432 airplanes of U.S. registry will be affected by this AD, that it will take approximately 18 manhours per airplane to accomplish the required actions, and that the average labor cost will be $40 per manhour. For airplanes equipped with the original secondary support cable assembly, the required additional modification parts will be furnished by the manufacturer at no charge to operators. For those airplanes not equipped with an existing secondary support assembly, required parts are estimated to be $4,500 per airplane. Based on these figures, the total cost impact of the AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be from $720 to $5,220 per airplane, or from $311,040 to $2,255,040 for the U.S. fleet.

The regulations adopted herein will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this final rule does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action (1) is not a "major rule" under Executive Order 12291; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) will not have a significant economic impact,

positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities, under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A final evaluation has been prepared for this regulation and has been placed in the docket. A copy of it may be obtained from the Rules Docket.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 39) as follows:

PART 39—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for Part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421 and 1423; 49 U.S.C. 106(g) (Revised Pub. L. 97–449, January 12, 1983); and 14 CFR 11.89.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by superseding telegraphic AD T89–05–53–R1, issued January 27, 1989, which superseded AD 88–01–07–R1, Amdt 39–6044 (53 FR 39250; October 6, 1988), with the following new airworthiness directive:

Boeing: Applies to Model 737–100, –200, and –200C series airplanes, certificated in any category. Compliance is required as indicated, unless previously accomplished.

To prevent separation of an engine from the airplane as a result of the failure of an aft cone bolt accomplish the following:

A. Within the next 150 landings after January 27, 1989 (issuance date of Telegraphic AD T89–02–53–R1), unless previously accomplished within the last 150 landings and thereafter at intervals not to exceed 300 landings, inspect for cracks in the aft engine mount cone bolt, in accordance with Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 737–71A1212, dated December 22, 1987, using ultrasonic inspection techniques. Replace cracked cone bolts, prior to further flight, with bolts that have been inspected in accordance with the Boeing alert service bulletin, using magnetic particle inspection techniques. Replaced cone bolts must be ultrasonically inspected for internal cracking in accordance with the provisions of this paragraph at intervals not to exceed 300 landings.

Note: Special care should be taken to ensure proper seating of the cone bolt and washers prior to torquing to ensure that torque applied is maintained.

B. At the next ultrasonic inspection, as required by paragraph A., above, unless previously accomplished after cone bolt installation, accomplish a torque check to verify that the cone bolt is torqued to the proper torque limit specified in the appropriate Boeing maintenance manual. This check is to be accomplished without loosening the bolt.

1. If the cone bolt torque is below one-half the specified torque, remove the cone bolt and replace it with a serviceable bolt.

2. If the cone bolt torque is equal to or above one-half the specified torque, but below the specified torque to the specified level and re-check the torque within the next 300 landings. If, at that time, the torque is below 90 percent of the specified torque, replace the cone bolt with a serviceable bolt.

3. If the cone bolt is within 10 percent of the specified torque, no further torque checks are required.

After every cone bolt installation, accomplish the torque check procedure required by this paragraph, between 150 landings and 300 landings following installation.

C. Within 3,000 landings after November 17, 1988 (effective date of AD 88–01–07–R1, Amendment 39–6044), or within 5 months after the effective date of this AD, whichever occurs first, accomplish the following:

1. Install an aft engine mount secondary support (2 per airplane), in accordance with Boeing Service Bulletin 737–71–1069, Revision 1, dated May 19, 1988.

2. Install an aft engine mount cone bolt failure indicator, externally, on each engine nacelle, in accordance with Boeing Service Bulletin 737–71–0169, Revision 1, dated May 19, 1988.

3. Following the accomplishment of paragraph C.2, above, inspect the aft mount cone bolt indicator at intervals thereafter not to exceed 3 calendar days (72 hours) on in-service airplanes. Improper alignment indicates a broken aft cone bolt. Broken cone bolts must be replaced with airworthy parts prior to further flight.

Accomplishment of the requirements of this paragraph constitutes terminating action for the requirements of paragraphs A. and B., above.

D. An alternate means of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time, which provides an acceptable level of safety, may be used when approved by the Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, FAA, Northwest Mountain Region.

Note: The request should be forwarded through an FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector (PMI), who will either concur or comment and then send it to the Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office.

Note: Any alternate means of compliance previously approved for paragraph B. of AD 88–01–07–R1, Amendment 39–6044, constitutes alternate means of compliance with paragraph C. of this AD.

E. Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with FAR 21.197 and 21.199 to operate airplanes to a base in order to comply with the requirements of this AD.

All persons affected by this directive who have not already received the appropriate service documents from the manufacturer may obtain copies upon request to Boeing Commercial Airplanes, P.O. Box 3707, Seattle, Washington 98124. These documents may be examined at the FAA, Northwest Mountain Region, Transport Airplane Directorate, 17900 Pacific Highway South, Seattle, Washington, or the Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, FAA, Northwest Mountain Region, 9010 East Marginal Way South, Seattle, Washington.

This amendment supersedes telegraphic AD T89–02–53, Revision 1, issued January 27, 1989, which superseded Amendment 39–6044, AD 88–01–07–R1.

This amendment becomes effective August 7, 1989.

Issued in Seattle, Washington, on June 22, 1988.

Darrell M. Pederson,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 89–15686 Filed 7–3–89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

---

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 89–NM–110–AD; Amdt. 39–6258]

Airworthiness Directives; Empresa Brasileira de Aeronautica, S.A. (EMBRAER) Model EMB–120 and EMB–120RT Series Airplanes, Equipped With Garrett Auxiliary Power Unit (APU) Models GTCP36–150(A) or GTCP36–150(AA)

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final Rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to certain Embraer Model EMB–120 and EMB–120RT series airplanes, which requires an inspection of certain APU electrical power units (ECU) for water contamination, and replacement if necessary. This amendment is prompted by a report of severe uncontrolled APU overspeed which resulted in failure of the APU casing and subsequent destructive vibration. This condition, if not corrected, could result in in-flight failure of the APU, inability to control the airplane due to large unbalanced forces, and the potential for damage to critical airplane systems from APU debris.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 18, 1989.

ADDRESSES: The applicable service information may be obtained from EMBRAER, 276 SW. 34th Street, Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33315. This information may be examined at the FAA, Northwest Mountain Region, Transport Airplane Directorate, 17900 Pacific Highway South, Seattle, Washington, or the FAA, Central

Region, Atlanta Aircraft Certification Office, 1669 Phoenix Parkway, Suite 210C, Atlanta, Georgia.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Gil Carter, Propulsion Branch, ACE-140A, Atlanta Aircraft Certification Office, FAA, Central Region, 1669 Phoenix Parkway, Suite 210C, Atlanta, Georgia 30349; telephone (404) 991-3810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: There has been a recent report of an uncontrolled Auxiliary Power Unit (APU) overspeed on an EMBRAER Model EMB-120 series airplane, which resulted in destructive vibration and separation of the APU casing. Further investigation revealed that the APU electrical control unit (ECU) was contaminated with soapy water. This water/soap contamination disabled the overspeed protection. The ECU is installed near a vent screen, and it has been determined that water enters through this screen during pressure washing of the airplane. This condition, if not corrected, could result in in-flight failure of the APU, inability to control the airplane due to large unbalanced forces, and the potential for damage to critical airplane systems from APU debris.

This airplane model is manufactured in Brazil and type certificated in the United States under the provisions of Section 21.29 of the Federal Aviation Regulations and the applicable bilateral airworthiness agreement.

Since this condition is likely to exist or develop on other airplanes of the same type design registered in the United States, this AD requires inspection of the APU ECU for water contamination, and replacement, if necessary; and requires installation of a cover over the APU vent screen prior to pressure washing.

This is considered interim action. The manufacturer is currently developing alternate designs to ensure that water does not enter the APU ECU during aircraft pressure washing. When a modification is developed and available, the FAA may consider further rulemaking action to address this subject.

Since a situation exists that requires immediate adoption of this regulation, it is found that notice and public procedure hereon are impracticable, and good cause exists for making this amendment effective in less than 30 days.

The regulations adopted therein will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this final rule does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

The FAA has determined that this regulation is an emergency regulation and that it is not considered to be major under Executive Order 12291. It is impracticable for the agency to follow the procedures of Order 12291 with respect to this rule since the rule must be issued immediately to correct an unsafe condition in aircraft. It has been further determined that this action involves an emergency regulation under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979). If it is determined that this emergency regulation otherwise would be significant under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures, a final regulatory evaluation will be prepared and placed in the regulatory docket (otherwise, an evaluation is not required). A copy of it, if filed, may be obtained from the Rules Docket.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AMENDED

1. The authority citation for Part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421 and 1423; 49 U.S.C 106(g) (Revised Pub. L. 97-449, January 12, 1983); and 14 CFR 11.89.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

Empresa Brasileira De Aeronautica, S.A. (Embraer): Applies to Embraer Model EMB-120 and EMB-12ORT series airplanes, equipped with Garrett auxiliary power units (APU) models GTCP36-150(A) or GTCP36-150(AA), certificated in any category. Compliance is required within 25 hours time in service, or prior to the next APU start, whichever occurs later, after the effective date of this AD, unless previously accomplished.

To prevent an uncontrolled APU overspeed, accomplish the following:

A. Inspect the APU electrical control unit (ECU), Part No. 2118052-1/2118596-2, for water contamination, as follows:

1. Gain access to and remove the APU ECU from the airplane.

2. Install plastic covers on J1 and J2 connectors on the ECU.

3. In a suitable workshop area, cut the two tamper proof seals along the box/lid split line.

4. Remove the 14 screws that secure the ECU lid to the box.

5. Remove the ECU lid.

6. Use special attention to ensure that no foreign objects or material are allowed to enter the ECU, and that nothing inside the ECU is either touched or removed.

7. Using a bright light, inspect inside the ECU for moisture or water.

8. Turn the ECU slowly upside down and determine if water can be drained from the ECU.

9. If water is seen inside the ECU or if water can be drained out of the ECU, remove the ECU from service and replace it with a serviceable unit.

10. If the inside of the ECU is dry, carefully realign the ECU lid and packing with the ECU box, and reinstall the 14 screws using an alternating fashion around the circumference until there is metal to metal contact between the ECU lid and the ECU box around the entire circumference.

11. Have a Quality Assurance person re-seal the ECU using new tamper-proof seals.

12. Reinstall the ECU.

B. Prior to pressurized cleaning, install a suitable cover over the vent screen, to prevent exposing the APU ECU area to water contamination. Remove this temporary cover following completion of the pressurized cleaning procedure.

C. An alternate means of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time, which provides an acceptable level of safety, may be used when approved by the Manager, Atlanta Aircraft Certification Office, FAA, Central Region.

Note: The request should be forwarded through an FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector (PMI), who will either concur or comment and then send it to the Manager, Atlanta Aircraft Certification Office.

D. Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with FAR 21.197 and 21.199 to operate airplanes to a base in order to comply with the requirements of this AD.

All persons affected by this directive who have not already received the appropriate service documents from the manufacturer may obtain copies upon request to EMBRAER, 276 SW. 34th Street, Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33315. These documents may be examined at the FAA Northwest Mountain Region, Transport Airplane Directorate, 17900 Pacific Highway South, Seattle, Washington, or the FAA, Central Region, Atlanta Aircraft Certification Office, 1669 Phoenix Parkway, Suite 210C, Atlanta, Georgia.

This amendment becomes effective July 18, 1989.

Issued in Seattle, Washington, on June 23, 1989.

Darrell M. Pederson,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 89-15688 Filed 7-3-89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 89-NM-70-AD; Amdt. 39-6253]

Airworthiness Directives; McDonnell Douglas Model DC-9-10, -20, -30, -40, -50, and C-9 Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final Rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to McDonnell Douglas DC-9 series airplanes, which requires removal and replacement of certain improperly heat-treated engine mount cone bolts. This amendment is prompted by a report of a shipment of improperly heat-treated forward and aft engine mount cone bolts which have degraded fatigue strength. Engine mount cone bolts provide primary attachment between the engine frame and the engine mount. Failure to identify and correct improperly heat-treated engine mount cone bolts could result in premature fatigue failure of the bolts and subsequent failure of the engine mount attachment.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 17, 1989.

ADDRESSES: The applicable service information may be obtained from McDonnell Douglas Corporation, 3855 Lakewood Boulevard, Long Beach, California 90846, Attention: Director, Publication and Training, C1-750 (54-60). This information may be examined at the FAA, Northwest Mountain Region, Transport Airplane Directorate, 17900 Pacific Highway South, Seattle, Washington, or the Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, 3229 East Spring Street, Long Beach, California.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Robert L. Salas, Aerospace Engineer, Airframe Branch, ANM-122L, FAA, Northwest Mountain Region, 3229 East Spring Street, Long Beach, California 90806-2425; telephone (213) 988-5324.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On December 16, 1988, Barry Controls reported to certain McDonnell Douglas Model DC-9 series airplane customers that a specific quantity of engine mount cone bolts, part numbers R18210-2 and R18211-2, had been improperly heat treated, and requested retrieval of these parts. Sample mechanical tests indicate that although the subject bolts do meet minimum strength requirements, their fatigue life has been degraded. Engine mount cone bolts provide the primary attachment between the engine frame and the engine mount. Failure to identify and correct these improperly heat-treated engine mount cone bolts could result in premature fatigue failure of the bolts and subsequent failure of the engine mount attachment.

Based on tests conducted on the bolts, the FAA has concluded that, in a conservative analysis, these bolts have a minimum fatigue life of approximately 2,000 flight hours and must, therefore, be replaced prior to or upon the accumulation of that amount of flight hours, in order to maintain an acceptable level of safety.

It is estimated that 433 of these non-conforming cone bolts were shipped as replacement parts between October 13 and December 7, 1988. Affected operators were notified of shipment of these discrepant bolts on December 20, 1988. The subject bolts can be identified by serial number.

The FAA has reviewed and approved McDonnell Douglas Service Bulletin 71-46, dated April 24, 1989, which describes procedures for inspection and replacement of the defective bolts.

Since this situation is likely to exist or develop on other airplanes of this same type design, this AD requires inspection for the defective bolts and replacement of those bolts with properly heat-treated bolts, in accordance with the service bulletin previously described.

In determining an appropriate compliance time for replacement of the bolts, the FAA has taken into account the 2,000 flight hour fatigue life limit applicable for these bolts, and the fact that the bolts were shipped to operators and may have been installed on planes as early as October 1988. Replacement of the defective bolts is, therefore, required within 2,000 flight hours since installation of the bolt, or within 15 days after discovery [intended to provide sufficient time for obtaining and installing the necessary parts and to preclude interruption of service, for those operators who may have installed the bolt(s) more than 2,000 flight hours previously], whichever occurs later.

Since a situation exists that requires immediate adoption of this regulation, it is found that notice and public procedure hereon are impracticable, and good cause exists for making this amendment effective in less than 30 days.

The regulations adopted herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

The FAA has determined that this regulation is an emergency regulation and that it is not considered to be major under Executive Order 12291. It is impracticable for the agency to follow the procedures of Order 12291 with respect to this rule since the rule must be issued immediately to correct an unsafe condition in aircraft. It has been further determined that this action involves an emergency regulation under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979). If it is determined that this emergency regulation otherwise would be significant under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures, a final regulatory evaluation will be prepared and placed in the regulatory docket. A copy of it, if filed, may be obtained from the Rules Docket.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 39.13) as follows:

PART 39—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for Part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421 and 1423; 49 U.S.C. 106(g) (Revised Pub. L. 97-449, January 12, 1983); and 14 CFR 11.89.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

McDonnell Douglas: Applies to Model DC-9-10, -20, -30, -40, -50, and C-9 series airplanes, certificated in any category. Compliance required as indicated, unless previously accomplished.

To prevent premature fatigue failure of engine mount cone bolts, accomplish the following:

A. Within 90 days after the effective date of this AD, determine if forward engine mount cone bolts, Barry Controls part number R18210-2, serial numbers 15B8021 through 15B8329, 15B8332 through 15B8382, 15B8396 through 15B8399; or aft engine mount cone bolt, Barry Controls part number R18211-2, serial numbers 15B5242 through 15B5320; are installed.

Note: This determination may be accomplished by conducting either a thorough review of receiving inventory and/or maintenance records, or a visual inspection of each installed cone bolt.

B. If any of the cone bolts identified in paragraph A., above, are installed, or if a determination of the installation of discrepant bolts cannot be made, remove the cone bolt(s) and replace it with a properly heat-treated cone bolt within 2,000 flight hours after installation or 15 days after discovery, whichever occurs later, in accordance with McDonnell Douglas Service Bulletin 71-46, dated April 24, 1989.

C. An alternate means of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time, which provides an acceptable level of safety, may be used when approved by the Manager, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, FAA, Northwest Mountain Region.

Note: The request should be forwarded through an FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector (PMI), who will either concur or comment and then send it to the Manager, Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, FAA, Northwest Mountain Region.

D. Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with FAR 21.197 and 21.199 to operate airplanes to a base in order to comply with the requirements of this AD.

All persons affected by this directive who have not already received the appropriate service documents from the manufacturer may obtain copies upon request to McDonnell Douglas Corporation, 3855 Lakewood Boulevard, Long Beach, California 90846, Attention: Director, Publication and Training, C1-750 (54-60). These documents may be examined at the FAA, Northwest Mountain Region, Transport Airplane Directorate, 17900 Pacific Highway South, Seattle, Washington, or the Los Angeles Aircraft Certification Office, 3229 East Spring Street, Long Beach, California.

This Amendment becomes effective July 17, 1989.

Issued in Seattle, Washington, on June 22, 1989.

Darrell M. Pederson,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 89-15689 Filed 7-3-89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

---

14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 89-ASW-63]

Revision of Transition Area; Morrilton, AR

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Correction to final rule.

SUMMARY: This corrective action makes an editorial change to the legal description of the Morrilton, AR, Transition Area. This action is necessary because the original final rule document incorrectly described the Morrilton, AR, Transition Area as "excluding that portion that overlies the Little Rock, AR, Transition Area." The correct description should be "excluding that portion that overlies the Conway, AR, Transition Area." The intended effect of this action is only to make an editorial change to the Morrilton, AR, Transition Area. The physical description of the transition area will not be altered.

EFFECTIVE DATE: 0901 U.T.C. July 27, 1989.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bruce C. Beard, Airspace and Procedure Branch, Air Traffic Division, Southwest Region, Department of Transportation, Federal Aviation Administration, Fort Worth, TX 76193-0530, telephone (817) 624-5561.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

History

The **Federal Register** Document was published on March 1, 1989, 54 FR 8554 revising the existing Morrilton, AR, Transition Area to include a 10-mile radius area of the Morrilton Municipal Airport. The development of a new standard instrument approach procedure to Runway 27 at the Morrilton Municipal Airport made this revision necessary. However, the legal description of the Morrilton, AR, Transition Area incorrectly described the area as "excluding that portion that overlies the Little Rock, AR, Transition Area." The correct description should be "excluding that portion that overlies the Conway, AR, Transition Area."

The FAA has determined that this regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. It, therefore—(1) is not a "major rule" under Executive Order 12291; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Aviation Agency, Transition Areas.

Adoption of the Amendment

PART 71—[AMENDED]

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 71) is amended as follows:

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

1. The authority citation for Part 71 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 1348(a), 1354(a), 1510; Executive Order 10854; 49 U.S.C. 106(g) (Revised Pub. L. 96-449, January 12, 1983); 14 CFR 11.69.

§ 71.181 [Amended]

2. Section 71.181 is amended as follows:

Morrilton, AR [Amended]

By deleting the words "excluding that portion that overlies the Little Rock, AR, Transition Area" and adding the words "excluding that portion that overlies the Conway, AR, Transition Area."

Issued in Fort Worth, TX, on June 20, 1989.

Larry L. Craig,

Manager, Air Traffic Division, Southwest Region.

[FR Doc. 89-15691 Filed 7-3-4910-89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

---

14 CFR Part 97

[Docket No. 25944; Amdt. No. 1403]

Standard Instrument Approach Procedures; Miscellaneous Amendments

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment establishes, amends, suspends, or revokes Standard Instrument Approach Procedures (SIAPs) for operations at certain airports. These regulatory actions are needed because of the adoption of new or revised criteria, or because of changes occurring in the National Airspace System, such as the commissioning of new navigational facilities, addition of new obstacles, or changes in air traffic requirements. These changes are designed to provide safe and efficient use of the navigible airspace and to promote safe flight operations under instrument flight rules at the affected airports.

DATES: *Effective:* An effective date for each SIAP is specified in the amendatory provisions.

Incorporation by reference—approved by the Director of the Federal Register on December 31, 1980, and reapproved as of January 1, 1982.

ADDRESSES: Availability of matters incorporated by reference in the amendment is as follows:

For Examination—

1. FAA Rules Docket, FAA Headquarters Building, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20591;
2. The FAA Regional Office of the region in which the affected airport is located; or
3. The Flight Inspection Field Office which originated the SIAP.

For Purchase—

Individual SIAP copies may be obtained from:

1. FAA Public Inquiry Center (APA-200), FAA Headquarters Building, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20591; or
2. The FAA Regional Office of the region in which the affected airport is located.

By Subscription—

Copies of all SIAPs, mailed once every 2 weeks, are for sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paul J. Best, Flight Procedures Standards Branch (AFS-420), Technical Programs Division, Flight Standards Service, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20591; telephone (202) 267-8277.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This amendment to Part 97 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 97) prescribes new, amended, suspended, or revoked Standard Instrument Approach Procedures (SIAPs). The complete regulatory description of each SIAP is contained in official FAA form documents which are incorporated by reference in this amendment under 5 U.S.C. 552(a), 1 CFR Part 51, and § 97.20 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (FARs). The applicable FAA Forms are identified as FAA Forms 8260-3, 8260-4, and 8260-5. Materials incorporated by reference are available for examination or purchase as stated above.

The large number of SIAPs, their complex nature, and the need for a special format make their verbatim publication in the **Federal Register** expensive and impractical. Further, airmen do not use the regulatory text of the SIAPs, but refer to their graphic depiction on charts printed by publishers of aeronautical materials. Thus, the advantages of incorporation by reference are realized and publication of the complete description of each SIAP contained in FAA form documents, is unnecessary. The provisions of this amendment state the affected CFR (and FAR) sections, with the types and effective dates of the SIAPs. This amendment also identifies the airport, its location, the procedure identification and the amendment number.

This amendment to Part 97 is effective on the date of publication and contains separate SIAPs which have compliance dates stated as effective dates based on related changes in the National Airspace System or the application of new or revised criteria. Some SIAP amendments may have been previously issued by the FAA in a National Flight Data Center (FDC) Notice to Airmen (NOTAM) as an emergency action of immediate flight safety relating directly to published aeronautical charts. The circumstances which created the need for some SIAP amendments may require making them effective in less than 30 days. For the remaining SIAPs, an effective date at least 30 days after publication is provided.

Further, the SIAPs contained in this amendment are based on the criteria contained in the U.S. Standard for Terminal Instrument Approach Procedures (TERPs). In developing these SIAPs, the TERPs criteria were applied to the conditions existing or anticipated at the affected airports. Because of the close and immediate relationship between these SIAPs and safety in air commerce, I find that notice and public procedure before adopting these SIAPs is unnecessary, impracticable, and contrary to the public interest and, where applicable, that good cause exists for making some SIAPs effective in less than 30 days.

The FAA has determined that this regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. It, therefore—(1) Is not a "major rule" under Executive Order 12291; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. For the same reason, the FAA certifies that this amendment will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 97

Approaches, Standard Instrument, Incorporation by reference.

Issued in Washington, DC, on June 23, 1989.

Robert L. Goodrich,

Director, Flight Standards Service.

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me, Part 97 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 97) is amended by establishing, amending, suspending, or revoking Standard Instrument Approach Procedures, effective at 0901 G.M.T. on the dates specified, as follows:

PART 97—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for Part 97 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1354(a), 1421, and 1510; 49 U.S.C. 106(g) (revised, Pub. L. 97-449, January 12, 1983; and 14 CFR 11.49(b)(2)).

By amending: § 97.23 VOR, VOR/DME, VOR or TACAN, and VOR/DME or TACAN; § 97.25 LOC, LOC/DME, LDA, LDA/DME, SDF, SDF/DME; § 97.27 NDB, NDB/DME; § 97.29 ILS, ILS/DME, ISMLS, MLS, MLS/DME, MLS/RNAV; § 97.31 RADAR SIAPs; § 97.33 RNAV SIAPs; and § 97.35 COPTER SIAPs, identified as follows:

. . . Effective September 21, 1989

Greenville, MS—Greenville Muni, LOC BC RWY 36R, Amdt. 8
Greenville, MS—Greenville Muni, NDB RWY 36L, Amdt. 5
Greenville, MS—Greenville Muni, NDB RWY 36R, Amdt. 8
Greenville, MS—Greenville Muni, ILS RWY 18L, Amdt. 9
Ft. Leonard Wood, MO—Forney AAF, VOR RWY 14, Amdt. 4, CANCELLED
Ft. Leonard Wood, MO—Forney AAF, VOR RWY 32, Amdt. 4, CANCELLED
Ft. Leonard Wood, MO—Forney AAF, LOC RWY 14, Amdt. 5, CANCELLED
Ft. Leonard Wood, MO—Forney AAF, NDB RWY 32, Amdt. 4, CANCELLED
Ft. Leonard Wood, MO—Forney AAF, RADAR-1, Amdt. 2, CANCELLED
Goldsboro, NC—Goldsboro-Wayne Muni, LOC RWY 23, Orig.
Goldsboro, NC—Goldsboro-Wayne Muni, NDB RWY 22, Amdt. 2, CANCELLED
Goldsboro, NC—Goldsboro-Wayne Muni, NDB RWY 23, Orig.

. . . Effective August 24, 1989

San Luis Obispo, CA—San Luis Obispo County, VOR or TACAN-A, Amdt. 6
Lacon, IL—Marshall County, VOR-A, Amdt. 3, CANCELLED
Lacon, IL—Marshall County, VOR RWY 13, Orig.
Glasgow, KY—Glasgow Muni, VOR/DME RWY 7, Amdt. 2
Glasgow, KY—Glasgow Muni, SDF RWY 7, Amdt. 5

Glasgow, KY—Glasgow Muni, NDB RWY 7, Amdt. 6
Greenville, KY—Muhlenberg County, VOR/DME–A, Amdt. 3
Madisonville, KY—Madisonville Muni, VOR RWY 23, Amdt. 10
Madisonville, KY—Madisonville Muni, RNAV RWY 23, Amdt. 1
Caribou, ME—Caribou Muni, VOR–A, Amdt. 9
Traverse City, MI—Cherry Capital, VOR or TACAN–A, Amdt. 19
Traverse City, MI—Cherry Capital, NDB RWY 28, Amdt. 9
Traverse City, MI—Cherry Capital, ILS RWY 28, Amdt. 11
Holland, MI—Park Township, VOR–C, Amdt. 6, CANCELLED
Minneapolis, MN—Flying Cloud, VOR RWY 9R, Amdt. 6
Minneapolis, MN—Flying Cloud, VOR RWY 36, Amdt. 11
Minneapolis, MN—Flying Cloud, LOC RWY 9R, Amdt. 1, CANCELLED
Minneapolis, MN—Flying Cloud, ILS RWY 9R, Orig.
Red Wing, MN—Red Wing Muni, NDB RWY 9, Amdt. 2
North Kingstown, RI—Quonset State, VOR–A, Amdt. 2
North Kingstown, RI—Quonset State, VOR RWY 34, Amdt. 4
North Kingstown, RI—Quonset State, ILS RWY 16, Amdt. 3
Salt Lake City, UT—Salt Lake City Muni 2, RADAR–2, Amdt. 1

. . . Effective July 27, 1989

Cumberland, MD—Cumberland Muni, LOC–A, Amdt. 2
Cumberland, MD—Cumberland Muni, LOC/DME RWY 23, Amdt. 4
Cumberland, MD—Cumberland Muni, NDB–A, Amdt. 7
Hancock, MI—Houghton County Memorial, VOR RWY 13, Amdt. 13
Hancock, MI—Houghton County Memorial, VOR/DME RWY 13, Amdt. 1
Hancock, MI—Houghton County Memorial, VOR RWY 25, Amdt. 15
Hancock, MI—Houghton County Memorial, VOR/DME RWY 25, Amdt. 1
Hancock, MI—Houghton County Memorial, VOR RWY 31, Amdt. 12
Hancock, MI—Houghton County Memorial, VOR/DME RWY 31, Amdt. 1
Hancock, MI—Houghton County Memorial, LOC/DME BC RWY 13, Amdt. 9
Hancock, MI—Houghton County Memorial, NDB RWY 31, Amdt. 9
Hancock, MI—Houghton County Memorial, ILS RWY 31, Amdt. 10
Raleigh/Durham, NC—Raleigh/Durham, ILS RWY 5L, Amdt. 1
Leesburg, VA—Leesburg Muni/Godfrey Field, RNAV RWY 17, Amdt. 9
Martinsburg, WV—Eastern WV Regional/Shephard Field, LOC/DME BC RWY 8, Amdt. 4

. . . Effective June 21, 1989

Macomb, IL—Macomb Muni, LOC RWY 27, Amdt. 1
Macomb, IL—Macomb Muni, NDB RWY 27, Amdt. 1

. . . Effective June 20, 1989

Manhattan, KS—Manhattan Muni, ILS RWY 3, Amdt. 5

. . . Effective June 12, 1989

Boonville, MO—Jesse Viertel Memorial, NDB RWY 18, Amdt. 7

[FR Doc. 89–15690 Filed 7–3–89; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

16 CFR Part 305

RIN 3084–AA26

Energy Costs and Consumption Information Used in Labeling and Advertising of Consumer Appliances Under the Energy Policy and Conservation Act

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The National Appliance Energy Conservation Admendments of 1988 [1] ("NAECA 88"), enacted on June 28, 1988, adds fluorescent ballasts to the list of appliances in the Energy Policy and Conservation Act ("EPCA"), as amended by the National Appliance Energy Conservation Act of 1987 ("NAECA 87"),[2] for which the Department of Energy ("DOE") must establish minimum efficiency standards and testing procedures. In addition, NAECA 88 requires the Federal Trade Commission ("the Commission") to promulgate ballast labeling requirements.

On January 12, 1989,[3] the Commission published a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPR) to add fluorescent lamp ballasts to the list of products covered by the Commission's Appliance Labeling Rule ("the Rule").[4] Today, the Commission announces final amendments to the Rule and discusses its reasons for adopting them.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 23, 1989.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Neil J. Blickman (202–326–3038) or James G. Mills (202–326–3035), Attorneys, Division of Enforcement, Federal Trade Commission, Washington, DC 20580.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Notice of Proposed Rulemaking

The January 12 Notice solicited comments on the substantive proposed amendments to the Commission's Appliance Labeling Rule, which expand the coverage of the Rule to include fluorescent lamp ballasts. Specifically, the Commission sought comment on whether manufacturers of the newly covered products should be required to submit energy usage data to the Commission pursuant to § 305.8 of the Rule, whether the Commission's proposed disclosure approach for such products pursuant to § 305.11 of the Rule is appropriate and whether, pursuant to § 305.13 of the Rule, the Commission should require disclosures in connection with the use of point-of-sale promotional materials by manufacturers of the new covered products.

Under section 336(a) of EPCA,[5] the Commission must prescribe amendments to its Appliance Labeling Rule in accordance with the notice-and-comment requirements of section 553 of the Administrative Procedure Act,[6] except that interested persons must be afforded an opportunity for a hearing.

Written comments were received through February 13, 1989. The following groups and individual commented on the Commission's proposal: (1) The California Energy Commission ("CEC"), (2) The New York State Energy Office ("NY"), (3) The National Electrical Manufacturers Association ("NEMA"), (4) The Advance Transformer Co. ("Advance"), (5) The Coalition for Alternatives in Nutrition and Healthcare, Inc. and (6) Mr. Mark Uebel.

Although afforded the opportunity, no one notified the Presiding Officer to request a public hearing on the proposed amendments. Interested persons also were afforded 20 days, until March 6, 1989, to file rebuttal submissions. No comments were received during the rebuttal period.

NAECA 88 Labeling Requirements

NAECA 88 directs the Commission to amend the Appliance Labeling Rule to require disclosure that ballasts meet the minimum efficiency standards set by NAECA 88.[7] The legislation specifically requires that the disclosure be in the form of a capital letter "E" printed within a circle on the ballast and on the packaging of the ballast or the lamp containing the ballast.[8]

[1] Pub. L. 100–357, 102 Stat. 671.
[2] 42 U.S.C. 6291 *et seq.*
[3] 54 FR 1182.
[4] 16 CFR Part 305.
[5] 42 U.S.C. 6306.
[6] 5 U.S.C. 553.
[7] The standards will apply only to the four most commonly used fluorescent lamp ballasts, which make up approximately 85% of the ballast market. The standards will take effect in phases, with manufacturers of ballasts having to comply with respect to ballasts manufactured after January 1, 1990, sold by manufacturers after April 1, 1990 or incorporated into lamps by April 1, 1991.
[8] The relevant portion of the legislation states: (B) The Commission shall prescribe labeling rules

Continued

Further, NAECA 88 specifically amends section 324 of EPCA so that the Rule the Commission must promulgate for labeling lamp ballasts will differ from the appliance labeling requirements presently required by the Rule in two major respects. First, amended section 324 removes the Commission's authority to require, for lamp ballasts, disclosure of the estimated annual operating costs of the products or another measure of energy consumption, like energy efficiency ratings. Second, amended section 324 removes the Commission's authority to require, for lamp ballasts, disclosure of the range of estimated annual operating costs or energy efficiency ratings for the products.

Analysis of Comments and Statement of Reasons for Final Rule

Although NAECA 88 adds fluorescent lamp ballasts to the list of covered products under EPCA, as previously mentioned, its substantive requirements are more limited than those for the other products covered by the Rule. To prevent the new status of fluorescent lamp ballasts as a "covered product" from triggering other requirements of the existing Rule, the Commission has made a number of minor amendments to adjust the final Rule. These amendments reconcile the Rule's existing labeling requirements with the simplified approach mandated by Congress for fluorescent lamp ballasts.[9] No comments were received relating to these amendments.

A discussion of the proposed substantive amendments and the comments on those amendments follows below.

Section 305.8 Submission of Data

Section 326 of EPCA, which is unchanged by the NAECA 88 amendments, requires manufacturers of covered products to submit to the Commission energy usage data and starting serial numbers pertaining to their products. These statutory requirements are repeated in § 305.8 of the Rule along with requirements for additional product information and data needed to establish and maintain the ranges of comparability in connection with product labeling requirements.

In the NPR, the proposed amendments excluded manufacturers of fluorescent lamp ballasts from the Rule's data reporting requirements. At that time, the Commission believed that compliance with portions of the reporting requirements would not be possible because manufacturers did not use serial numbers on lamp ballasts. Further, other information required by § 305.8 was deemed inapplicable to lamp ballasts or unnecessary for ballasts because it is used to prepare ranges of comparability for covered products. Labels for fluorescent lamp ballasts will not disclose ranges since the statutory labeling requirement for these products excludes the disclosure of ranges.

In response to a question on this section of the Rule in the NPR, the California Energy Commission, New York State Energy Office and Advance Transformer Co. submitted comments. The comments urged the Commission to require manufacturers of covered ballast products to submit the energy usage data required to be submitted to the Commission by other covered product manufacturers pursuant to § 305.8 of the Rule.[10] NY, for example, pointed out that without such energy usage data, the Commission will have no effective means for determining which ballasts must comply with the NAECA 88 efficiency standards and the Rule's labeling requirements.[11] NY also urged the Commission to require ballast manufacturers to submit information concerning the energy efficiency rating of covered ballasts pursuant to § 305.8. With respect to ballasts, that rating is referred to generally as the ballast efficacy factor ("BEF").[12]

The comments also stated that although ballasts do not have serial numbers, it is standard practice for all ballast manufacturers to date code their products in order to administer warranty programs. The comments contended that information concerning the date of manufacture of covered ballast products would assist the Commission in determining whether manufacturers have complied with the Rule's labeling requirements, and, therefore, should be reported under § 305.8 of the Rule.[13]

In light of the comments stating that date codes would be an effective alternative to serial numbers for compliance with the reporting requirements, the Commission has revised § 305.8. As revised, it now requires ballast manufacturers to submit the date codes for their products. It also requires manufacturers to submit the BEF's and certain related information for their products so the Commission can determine whether a ballast is entitled to use the "E" logo, and whether it meets the minimum efficiency standards. The Commission has the power to restrain any person from distributing in commerce a covered ballast that does not comply with the NAECA 88 minimum efficiency standards.[14] Lamp ballast manufacturers will not be required, however, to submit certain data required by § 305.8 that is needed for preparing comparability ranges (ranges will not be published for lamp ballasts) or other information that is inapplicable to this product category.

Finally, NY's comment also suggested that requiring § 305.8 disclosure is necessary for another reason. NY contended that section 327(a)(1)(B) of EPCA, which is not amended by NAECA 88, may preempt state regulations that require the reporting of information concerning the energy use or efficiency of covered products other than information required to be reported pursuant to § 305.8 of the Commission's Appliance Labeling Rule.[15] NY argued that if § 305.8 of the Commission's Rule does not require the submission of energy usage and efficiency data, it is questionable whether the states and utilities could continue to require the

---

under this section applicable to the covered products specified in paragraph (13) of section 322(a) and to which standards are applicable under section 325. Such rules shall provide that the labeling of any fluorescent lamp ballast manufactured on or after January 1, 1990, will indicate conspicuously, in a manner prescribed by the Commission under subsection (b) by July 1, 1989, a capital 'E' printed within a circle on the ballast and on the packaging of the ballast or of the luminaire into which the ballast has been incorporated. Section 2(d)(1), 102 Stat. 672.

[9] The amendments are to the following sections of the Appliance Labeling Rule:

Section 305.1(a) Scope of the Regulations in this Part.

Section 305.2(n), (o), (p) and (q) Definitions.

Section 305.3(j) Description of Covered Products to Which This Part Applies.

Section 305.4(e)(2) Prohibited Acts.

Section 305.5 and (i) Determinations of Estimated Annual Energy Cost and Energy Efficiency Rating.

Section 305.7(j) Determinations of Capacity.

Section 305.10(a) Ranges of Estimated Annual Energy Costs and Energy Efficiency Ratings.

Section 305.16 Required Testing by Designated Laboratory.

Section 305.18 (i) and (j) When the Rule Takes Effect.

The amendments have been revised in two respects since publication of the proposed regulation. After consulting with the Department of Energy, the Commission has added a definition of "ballast efficacy factor" and a description of the method used for determining the capacity of fluorescent lamp ballasts to §§ 305.2 and 305.7 respectively of the final Rule. The text of the minor changes to these sections appears in section C of this notice.

[10] Advance, D–18, p. 2; CEC, E–5, p. 2; NY, E–7, pp. 2–5.

[11] NY, E–7, p. 3.

[12] NY, E–7, p. 4.

[13] Advance, D–18, p. 2; CEC, E–5, p. 2; NY, E–7, p. 3.

[14] 42 U.S.C. 6304.

[15] NY, E–7, p. 4.

reporting of such information for state certification, directory publication and rebate programs.[16]

The Commission believes that the most logical and reasonable reading of section 327(a)(1)(B) of EPCA is that it does not preempt the states from requiring ballast manufacturers to report energy usage and efficiency information. This section of EPCA applies to the preemption of state testing and labeling requirements. Consequently, when this section states that it preempts state disclosure regulations that differ from the Rule's disclosure requirements, it is referring to testing and disclosures on a covered product label, not to information-reporting requirements. Section 305.8 of the Rule will now contain certain reporting requirements for ballast manufacturers and, therefore, this issue is largely moot.

Section 305.11(d) Labeling for Covered Products

The NPR added a new subsection—§ 305.11(d)—to the section on labeling to describe how the encircled capital "E" logo must be disclosed on ballasts and packaging. The proposed subsection incorporated the NAECA 88 statutory criterion of a "conspicuous" disclosure along with several options as to how and where the disclosure must be made.

One product labeling option was to disclose the logo on the existing ballast label. The other options proposed were using a separate label or indelibly stamping the ballast itself. If a separate label is used or if the product is stamped, the proposed regulation required that the disclosure be made on the surface of the ballast that is normally labeled. In all cases, the proposed regulation required the logo to be disclosed in color-contrasting ink.

The proposed requirements for disclosing the logo on packaging for individual ballasts and luminaires paralleled the disclosure requirements for ballasts themselves. The proposed regulation required that the disclosure be made on the surface on which printing normally appears, and be in the form of a separate label or an addition to an existing label or printing, or be indelibly stamped on the package itself. The NPR further proposed that if the package contained printing on more than one surface, then the label must appear on the surface that contains the product's specifications. Because the majority of fluorescent lamp ballasts and luminaires are packaged by the pallet load, usually wrapped in plastic sheeting, or "shrink wrap," the proposed regulations specifically addressed this packaging method. To ensure that the logo is disclosed conspicuously under these circumstances, the proposed regulation required that the logo appear "conspicuously" on the packaging, the shrink wrap and the documentation that usually accompanies the load. Again, the options for the method of disclosure were a separate label, printing or stamping with indelible ink.

Size of the Required Disclosure

With respect to the size and design of the encircled "E" logo, three comments urged the Commission to specify the size of the logo. The comments suggested that the size be consistent with the sizes of other logos that already appear on ballast labels, for example, Underwriters Laboratory (UL), Certified Ballast Manufacturers (CBM) and Engineering Testing Laboratories (ETL).[17]

Since the required disclosure is a simple one, containing no comparative numerical information, extremely specific regulatory requirements, tailored to the various lamp ballast label sizes, are unnecessary. The Commission believes that a performance standard, in terms of size, is sufficient for this category. The final Rule, therefore, requires the disclosure to be "conspicuous," but does not contain mandatory type size requirements. (The Rule does, however, require that the disclosure be made in color-contrasting ink).

The Commission has decided, however, to incorporate the commentors' suggestions as "safe harbors." The final Rule states that, for purposes of this section, the Commission will deem the encircled "E" to be "conspicuous" if it is as large as either the manufacturer's name or another logo, such as the "UL", "CMB" or "ETL" logos, whichever is larger, that appears on the fluorescent lamp ballast, the packaging for such ballast or the packaging for the luminaire into which the covered ballast is incorporated, whichever is applicable for purposes of labeling. Thus, the regulation offers certainty, as well as flexibility, as to what would constitute compliance.

Color-Contrasting Ink Requirement

The proposed regulation required that disclosure of the encircled "E" be in "color-contrasting" ink, *i.e.*, in a color that contrasts with the background onto which it is placed. The comments requested clarification in the final Rule that manufacturers are not being required to add a third color of ink to their labels.[18] The color-contrasting provision does not necessarily require a third color. The purpose of this requirement is for the "E" to stand out against its background. For example, it would not be acceptable to have the background in one shade of blue, and the "E" in a similar shade, because it would probably not be sufficiently conspicuous. The "E" could be in blue or black, for example, or another color against a white background banded by the same color as the "E."

Shrink Wrap Labeling Requirement

The proposed regulation recognized that ballasts and luminaires are often packaged by the pallet load and wrapped in plastic "shrink wrap." The comments recommended that the Commission not require labeling on "shrink wrap" if it is clear plastic and the encircled "E" on ballast and luminaire boxes is legible underneath the wrap.[19] The Commission agrees that additional labeling is unnecessary in this case. Therefore, the final Rule does not require the labeling of clear plastic wrap if the encircled "E" appears conspicuously underneath it.

Section 305.13 Promotional Material Displayed or Distributed at Point of Sale

The NAECA 88 amendments do not affect the Commission's authority, pursuant to section 324(c)(4) of EPCA, to require certain disclosures in connection with the use of point-of-sale promotional materials. The disclosures, however, are statutorily limited to any of the information required to be disclosed on the product's label. Since the Commission originally believed that fluorescent lamp ballasts and luminaires containing them are rarely sold through the use of promotional materials, the proposed regulations excluded manufacturers of these products from the requirements of § 305.13 of the Rule.

The California Energy Commission comment stated, however, that manufacturers of fluorescent lamp ballasts produce, and frequently use, point-of-sale promotional materials to

[16] Under New York and California state laws, ballast manufacturers are required to file energy usage and efficiency information, including ballast efficacy factors, for publication in state directories of fluorescent lamp ballast and luminaire manufacturers. These directories apparently are used extensively by building professionals who purchase ballasts and by state utilities that offer rebates for the purchase and installation of high efficiency ballasts.

[17] Advance, D–18, p. 2; NEMA, D–19, p. 2; CEC, E–5, p. 3.

[18] Advance, D–18, p. 1; NEMA, D–19, p. 1.

[19] *Id.*

influence consumer purchasing decisions.[20] According to CEC, such materials are widely delivered to and disseminated by wholesale distributors at industry trade shows. Consequently, the Commission is not amending § 305.13 of the Rule to exclude ballast manufacturers from its requirements. Rather, pursuant to section 324(c)(4) of EPCA, the Commission is adding a new paragraph to § 305.13 of the Rule to require that the encircled "E" logo be disclosed in each description of a covered ballast in any printed matter displayed or distributed at the point-of-sale of such product.

Section 305.14 Catalogs

Section 326(a) of EPCA, which is unaffected by NAECA 88, requires that if a manufacturer of a covered product advertises the product in a catalog, the catalog must display all the information required on the label, unless otherwise required by the Commission. The proposed Rule required, therefore, that ballast manufacturers display the encircled "E" logo in connection with the product description for each covered ballast they include in their catalogs. That logo is all the information required on a ballast label pursuant to section 324 of EPCA, as amended by NAECA 88.

NY and CEC commented that, in addition to the encircled "E", the Commission should require disclosure of ballast efficacy factors in catalogs. They contended that there still will be a wide range of energy efficiencies among the ballasts that satisfy the minimum efficiency standards set by NAECA 88. Requiring the disclosure of ballast efficacy factors in catalogs would allow buyers to choose a level of ballast efficiency desired, beyond the minimum.

Regardless of the merits of the proposal, it would be inconsistent with the authority granted the Commission under section 326(a) of EPCA for the Commission to require the disclosure of BEFs in catalogs. The most logical and reasonable interpretation of section 326(a) of EPCA is that the Commission has the authority to require that catalogs describing covered products display all or less than all the information required on the appliance labels for such products. In other words, section 326(a) allows the Commission to limit, not expand, by rule what would otherwise statutorily be required to be disclosed in catalogs. The Commission is not requiring, therefore, the disclosure of ballast efficacy factors in catalogs, and the language in the January 12 Notice is adopted for this section of the final Rule.[21]

Section A—Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Notice announcing the proposed amendments did not contain a regulatory analysis under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 603–604). This analysis was not required because the Commission believed that the amendments, if promulgated, would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The Commission reached this conclusion because the proposed amendments will impose few additional costs on small entities and will have a minimal effect on all business entities within the affected industry regardless of their size.

The NPR, however, discussed the applicability of the Regulatory Flexibility Act to the proposed amendments and requested any information that would bear on whether the proposed amendments would have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. None of the comments addressed this issue.

The statutory and regulatory requirements for products, packaging, point-of-sale materials and catalogs to disclose an encircled "E" logo will result in few costs to the industry. Further, the Commission estimates that compliance with the data reporting requirements announced today will take no more than two hours per year for each of the approximately 20 ballast manufacturers. In view of the minimal cost effect of the amendments, the Commission certifies that, under the provisions of section 5 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 605(b)), the Rule amendments as promulgated will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

Section B—Paperwork Reduction Act

The disclosure amendments being announced today are not subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act ("PRA"), 44 U.S.C. 3501–3518. The "public disclosure of information originally supplied by the Federal government to the recipient for th[at] purpose," is not the "collection of information" as defined by the rule implementing the PRA, 5 CFR 1320.7(c)(2) (1988). The disclosure required for fluorescent lamp ballasts (the encircled letter "E") is specified in the Rule and, therefore, the industry does not have to collect any information in order to develop the disclosure.

The amendments to the reporting requirements in § 305.8 of the Rule, however, do involve the "collection of information." Compliance with these reporting requirements will take each of the approximately 20 affected fluorescent lamp ballast manufacturers an estimated two hours per year, resulting in a total of less than 50 hours of paperwork burden. These requirements have been incorporated into the existing clearance for the Commission's Appliance Labeling Rule (OMB Control No. 3084–0069).

List of Subjects in 16 CFR Part 305

Advertising, Energy conservation, Household appliances, Labeling, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Section C—Amendments

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, the Commission amends Title 16, Part 305 of the Code of Federal Regulations as follows:

PART 305—RULES FOR USING ENERGY COSTS AND CONSUMPTION INFORMATION USED IN LABELING AND ADVERTISING FOR CONSUMER APPLIANCES

1. The authority citation for Part 305 continues to read as follows:

Authority: Sec. 324 of the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (Pub. L. 94–163) (1975), as amended by the National Energy Conservation Policy Act, (Pub. L. 95–619) (1978), the National Appliance Energy Conservation Act, (Pub. L. 100–12) (1987), and the National Appliance Energy Conservation Amendments of 1988, (Pub. L. 100–357) (1988), 42 U.S.C. 6294; sec. 553 of the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. 533.

2. Section 305.1(a) is revised to read as follows:

§ 305.1 Scope of the regulations in this part.

* * * * *

(a) Labeling the products with information indicating their estimated annual energy costs or energy efficiency ratings, and related information or their compliance with applicable standards under section 325 of the Energy Policy and Conservation Act, 42 U.S.C. 6295.

* * * * *

3. Section 305.2 (n) and (o) are revised, and § 305.2 is amended by the addition of a new paragraph (p) and a new paragraph (q) to read as follows:

§ 305.2 Definitions.

* * * * *

[20] CEC, E–5, pp. 3–4.

[21] The Commission believes, however, that manufacturers who produce ballasts with efficiencies beyond the minimums established by NAECA 88 will have an incentive to provide that information to purchasers voluntarily.

(n) "Consumer appliance product" means any "consumer product," as identified in section 322 of the Act (42 U.S.C. 6292).

(o) "Covered Product" means any consumer appliance product defined in § 305.3 of the rule.

(p) "Luminaire" means a complete lighting unit consisting of a fluorescent lamp or lamps, together with parts designed to distribute the light, to position and protect such lamps, and to connect such lamps to the power supply through the ballast.

(q) "Ballast efficacy factor" means the relative light output divided by the power input of a fluorescent lamp ballast, as measured under test conditions specified in American National Standards Institute standard C82.2–1984, or as may be prescribed by the Secretary of Energy.

4. Section 305.3 is amended by the addition of a new paragraph (j) to read as follows:

§ 305.3 Description of covered products to which this part applies

* * * * *

(j) "Fluorescent lamp ballast" means a device that is used to start and operate fluorescent lamps by providing a starting voltage and current and limiting the current during normal operation, and that is designed to operate at nominal input voltages of 120 or 277 volts with a frequency of 60 Hertz and is for use in connection with F40T12, F96T12 or F96T12HO lamps.

(5) Section 305.4(e)(2) is revised to read as follows:

§ 305.4 Prohibited acts.

* * * * *

(e) * * *

(2) Any covered product, except central air conditioners, pulse combustion and condensing furnaces, and fluorescent lamp ballasts, if the manufacture of the product was completed prior to May 19, 1980. Any central air conditioner, pulse combustion furnace or condensing furnace if its manufacture was completed prior to June 7, 1988. Any fluorescent lamp ballast if its manufacture was completed prior to January 1, 1990.

* * * * *

6. Section 305.5 is amended by revising the introductory text and by adding a new paragraph (i), to read as follows:

§ 305.5 Determinations of estimated annual energy cost and energy efficiency rating.

Procedures for determining the estimated annual energy costs, the energy efficiency ratings and the power and efficacy factors of covered products are those found in 10 CFR Part 430, Subpart B, in the following sections:

* * * * *

(i) Fluorescent lamp ballasts—§ 430.22(q).

7. Section 305.7 is amended by the addition of a new paragraph (j), to read as follows:

§ 305.7 Determinations of capacity

* * * * *

(j) *Fluorescent lamp ballasts.* The capacity shall be the ballast input voltage, determined according to 1.2 of Appendix Q to 10 CFR Part 430, Subpart B.

8. Section 305.8(a) is amended by revising the first sentence and adding two new sentences at the end to read as follows:

§ 305.8 Submission of data

(a) Each manufacturer of a covered product, except manufacturers of fluorescent lamp ballasts, shall submit to the Commission, not later than January 21, 1980 (for manufacturers of central air conditioners and pulse combustion and condensing furnaces, the submission date shall be February 8, 1988), a report listing the estimated annual energy cost (for refrigerators and refrigerator-freezers, freezers, dishwashers, water heaters, and clothes washers) or the energy efficiency rating (for room air conditioners, central air conditioners and furnaces) for each basic model in current production, determined according to § 305.5 and statistically verified according to § 305.6. * * * Each manufacturer of a covered fluorescent lamp ballast shall submit to the Commission, not later than March 1, 1990 (and annually thereafter on or before that date), a report for each basic model of fluorescent lamp ballast in current production. The report shall contain the following information:

(1) Name and address of manufacturer;

(2) All trade names under which the fluroescent lamp ballast is marketed;

(3) Model number;

(4) Starting serial number, date code or other means of identifying the date of manufacture (date of manufacture information must be included with only the first submission for each basic model);

(5) Nominal input voltage and frequency;

(6) Ballast efficacy factor; and,

(7) Type (F40T12, F96T12 or F96T12HO) and number of lamp or lamps with which the fluorescent lamp ballast is designed to be used.

* * * * *

9. The first sentence of § 305.10(a) is revised to read as follows:

§ 305.10 Ranges of estimated annual energy costs and energy efficiency ratings.

(a) The range of estimated annual energy costs or range of energy efficiency ratings for each covered product (except flouorescent lamp ballasts) shall be taken from the appropriate appendix to this rule in effect at the time the labels are affixed to the products.* * *

* * * * *

10. Section 305.11 is amended by the addition of a new paragraph (d) to read as follows:

§ 305.11 Labeling for covered products.

* * * * *

(d) *Fluorescent Lamp Ballasts and Luminaires*—(1) *Contents.* Fluorescent lamp ballasts that are "covered products," as defined in § 305.2(o), and to which standards are applicable under section 325 of the Act, shall be marked conspicuously, in color-contrasting ink, with a capital letter "E" printed within a circle. Packaging for such fluorescent lamp ballasts, as well as packaging for luminaires into which they are incorporated, shall also be marked conspicuously with a capital letter "E" printed within a circle. For purposes of this section, the encircled capital letter "E" will be deemed "conspicuous," in terms of size, if it is as large as either the manufacturer's name or another logo, such as the "UL," "CBM" or "ETL" logos, whichever is larger, that appears on the fluorescent lamp ballast, the packaging for such ballast or the packaging for the luminaire into which the covered ballast is incorporated, whichever is applicable for purpose of labeling.

(2) *Product Labeling.* The encircled capital letter "E" on fluorescent lamp ballasts must appear conspicuously, in color-contrasting ink, (*i.e.*, in a color that contrasts with the background on which the encircled capital letter "E" is placed) on the surface that is normally labeled. It may be printed on the label that normally appears on the fluorescent lamp ballast, printed on a separate label, or stamped indelibly on the surface of the fluorescent lamp ballast.

(3) *Package Labeling.* For purposes of labeling under this section, packaging for such fluorescent lamp ballasts and the luminaires into which they are incorporated consists of the plastic sheeting, or "shrink-wrap," covering pallet loads of fluorescent lamp ballasts or luminaires as well as any containers in which such fluorescent lamp ballasts or the luminaires into which they are

incorporated are marketed individually or in small numbers. The encircled capital letter "E" on packages containing fluorescent lamp ballasts or the luminaires into which they are incorporated must appear conspicuously, in color-contrasting ink, on the surface of the package on which printing or a label normally appears. If the package contains printing on more than one surface, the label must appear on the surface on which the product inside the package is described. The encircled capital letter "E" may be printed on the surface of the package, printed on a label containing other information, printed on a separate label, or indelibly stamped on the surface of the package. In the case of pallet loads containing fluorescent lamp ballasts or the luminaires into which they are incorporated, the encircled capital letter "E" must appear conspicuously, in color-contrasting ink, on the plastic sheeting, unless clear plastic sheeting is used and the encircled capital letter "E" is eligble underneath this packaging. The encircled capital letter "E" must also appear conspicuously on any documentation that would normally accompany such a pallet load. The encircled capital letter "E" may appear on a label affixed to the sheeting or may be indelibly stamped on the sheeting. It may be printed on the documentation, printed on a separate label that is affixed to the documentation or indelibly stamped on the documentation.

11. Section 305.13 is amended by revising the introductory text of paragraph (a), and by adding a new paragraph (c), to read as follows:

§ 305.13 Promotional material displayed or distributed at point of sale.

(a) Any manufacturer, distributor, retailer, or private labeler who prepares printed material for display or distribution at point of sale concerning a covered product (except fluorescent lamp ballasts) shall clearly and conspicuously include in such printed material the following required disclosure:

* * * * *

(c) Any manufacturer, distributor, retailer, or private labeler who prepares printed material for display or distribution at point of sale concerning fluorescent lamp ballasts that are "covered products," as defined in § 305.2(o), and to which standards are applicable under section 325 of the Act, shall disclose conspicuously in such printed material, in each description of such fluorescent lamp ballasts, an encircled capital letter "E".

12. Section 305.14 is amended by revising the introductory text of paragraph (a), and by adding a new paragraph (c), to read as follows:

§ 305.14 Catalogs.

(a) Any manufacturer, distributor, retailer, or private labeler who advertises a covered product (except fluorescent lamp ballasts) in a catalog, from which it may be purchased by cash, charge account or credit terms, shall include in such catalog, on each page that lists a covered product, the following information required to be disclosed on the label:

* * * * *

(c) Any manufacturer, distributor, retailer, or private labeler who advertises fluorescent lamp ballasts that are "covered products," as defined in § 305.2(o), and to which standards are applicable under section 325 of the Act, in a catalog, from which they may be purchased by cash, charge account or credit terms, shall disclose conspicuously in such catalog, in each description of such fluorescent lamp ballasts, a capital letter "E" printed within a circle.

13. The first sentence of § 305.16 is revised to read as follows:

§ 305.16 Required testing and designated laboratory.

Upon notification by the Commission or its designated representative, a manufacturer of a covered product shall supply, at the manufacturer's expense, no more than two of each model of each product to a laboratory, which will be identified by the Commission or its designated representative in the notice, for the purpose of ascertaining whether the estimated annual energy cost or energy efficiency rating disclosed on the label or fact sheet, or as required by § 305.14, or the representation made by the encircled capital letter "E" label that the product is in compliance with applicable standards in section 325 of the Act, is accurate. * * *

* * * * *

14. Section 305.18 is amended by adding a new sentence at the end of paragraphs (a) and (b); by revising paragraphs (e) and (f); by redesignating paragraph (i) as (j); and by adding a new paragraph (i), to read as follows: (Paragraph (j) is republished for the convenience of the reader.)

§ 305.18 When the rules take effect.

(a) * * * For manufacturers of fluorescent lamp ballasts, the date for submitting starting serial numbers, date codes or other means of identifying the date of manufacture shall be March 1, 1990.

(b) * * * For manufacturers of fluorescent lamp ballasts, the date for submitting such data shall be March 1, 1990.

* * * * *

(e) The requirement that specified information about covered products be disclosed in catalogs takes effect for all catalogs printed and distributed on or after May 19, 1980. This requirement does not apply to catalogs if the catalog issue was distributed before May 19, 1980. The requirement that specified information about central air conditioners and pulse combustion and condensing furnaces be disclosed in catalogs takes effect for all catalogs printed and distributed on or after June 7, 1988. The requirement that specified information about fluorescent lamp ballasts be disclosed in catalogs takes effect for all catalogs printed and distributed on or after January 1, 1990.

Required revisions to the specified information must be made in all new editions and new catalogs printed and distributed after the date of the revision.

(f) The requirement that all printed material displayed or distributed at the point of sale disclose information specified in § 305.13 takes effect on May 19, 1980, except as provided for in § 305.18 (h) and (i).

* * * * *

(i) Unless otherwise provided in § 305.18, all requirements pertaining to fluorescent lamp ballasts take effect for all new covered products on which manufacture is completed on or after January 1, 1990. All requirements pertaining to luminaires into which covered fluorescent lamp ballasts have been incorporated take effect on January 1, 1990.

(j) All other requirements of this rule except those in § 305.4(d) take effect on May 19, 1980.

* * * * *

By direction of the Commission.

Donald S. Clark,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 89-15682 Filed 7-3-89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

20 CFR Part 655

Labor Certification Process for the Temporary Employment of Aliens in Agriculture in the United States; Adverse Effect Wage Rate Methodology

AGENCY: Employment and Training Administration, Labor.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Employment and Training Administration of the Department of Labor (DOL) is adopting an interim rule as a final rule setting forth a methodology for computing adverse effect wage rates (AEWRs) for the temporary alien agricultural labor certification (H–2A) program. AEWRs are the minimum wage rates which must be offered and paid to U.S. and alien workers by employers seeking certification of temporary or seasonal agricultural labor or services of nonimmigrant alien workers (H–2A visaholders) in the United States. Because of the uncertainty of the outcome of ongoing litigation involving the existing methodology which was initially adopted on June 1, 1987, DOL, after notice and consideration of comments, is adopting an interim rule as a final rule setting forth that same methodology based upon an expanded record.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 4, 1989.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Thomas M. Bruening, Chief, Division of Foreign Labor Certifications, United States Employment Service, Employment and Training Administration, United States Department of Labor, Room N–4456, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210; Telephone: 202–535–0163 (this is not a toll-free number).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On October 28, 1988, the Department of Labor (DOL) published a proposed rule in the **Federal Register** to adopt an expanded explanation for 20 CFR 655.107. 52 FR 43722. After consideration of public comments and the entire administrative record, and for the reasons that follow, DOL is promulgating the final rule described below.

Table of Contents

AGENCY
ACTION
SUMMARY
EFFECTIVE DATE
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION
I. Introduction.
A. The H–2A Program.
B. The *AFL–CIO* Litigation and Further Rulemaking.
II. The June 1, 1987, Methodology.
III. Historical Protections Against Adverse Effect on Wages.
A. History of AEWRs.
1. Background.
2. World War II Programs.
3. Post-war Program.
4. Bracero Program.
5. H–2 Program.
B. Summary.
IV. Explanation for Retaining the Methodology in the Interim Final Rule.
A. Recent Studies Suggest that the Extent of Past Adverse Effect, If Any, from the Employment of Illegal Aliens Has Been Small and Confined to Local Labor Markets and Not Reflected to Any Significant Degree in the USDA Data Series from which the AEWR is Derived.
B. The AEWR Methodology in the Interim Final Rule Is Justified by the Available Evidence.
V. Other Considerations in Developing an AEWR Methodology.
VI. Summary and Analysis of Comments Received in Response to October 28, 1988, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking.
VII. Conclusion.
Regulatory Impact
Paperwork Reduction Act
Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number
List of Subjects in 20 CFR Part 655
Final rule
Attachment 1: Summary and Analysis of Employer Comments.
Attachment 2: Summary and Analysis of Farmworker Comments.

I. Introduction.

A. The H–2A Program

Whether to grant or deny an employer's petition to import a nonimmigrant alien to the United States for the purpose of temporary employment is solely the decision of the Attorney General and his designee, the Commissioner of the Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS). The Immigration and Nationality Act (INA) (8 U.S.C. 1101 *et seq.*), as amended by the Immigratioin Reform and Control Act of 1986 (IRCA), Pub. L. 99–603, 100 Stat. 3359, provides that the Attorney General may not approve such a petition from an employer for employment of nonimmigrant alien workers (H–2A visaholders or workers) for temporary or seasonal services or labor in agriculture unless the petitioner has applied to the Secretary of Labor (Secretary) for a labor certification showing that:

(1) There are not sufficient U.S. workers who are able, willing, and qualified and who will be available at the time and place needed to perform the labor or services involved in the petition; and

(2) The employment of the alien in such labor or services will not adversely affect the wages and working conditions of workers in the United States similarly employed.

The amendments to the INA made by IRCA codified DOL's role in the temporary alien agricultural labor certification process. Prior to June 1, 1987, many of DOL's responsibilities specified in IRCA were carried out under the requirement in the INA at 8 U.S.C. 1184(c) that the Attorney General consult with appropriate agencies of the Government concerning the importation of nonimmigrant workers, and under INS regulations governing the reliance placed by INS on the advice of DOL relative to U.S. worker availability and adverse effect. See 8 CFR 214.2(h)(3)(i) (1986); 20 CFR Part 665, Subpart C (1986).

The H–2A-related amendments to the INA made by IRCA apply to petitions and applications filed under INA sections 214(c) and 216 on or after the effective date of June 1, 1987. IRCA section 301(d), 8 U.S.C. 1186 note; see U.S.C. 1184(c) and 1186. Section 301(e) of IRCA requires that "[n]otwithstanding any other provision of law, final regulations to implement * * * [sections 101(a)(15)(ii)(a) and 216 of the Immigration and Nationality Act] shall first be issued, on an interim or other basis, not later than the effective date." 8 U.S.C. 1186 note.

On May 5, 1987, DOL published in the **Federal Register** at 52 FR 16770 a proposed rule to implement DOL's responsibilities under the H–2A temporary alien agricultural labor certification program, as set out at sections 101(a)(15)(H)(ii)(a), 214(c), and 216 of the INA, as amended by IRCA (8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(15)(ii)(a), 1184(c), and 1186). Under that program, job opportunities are certified for H–2A workers to perform agricultural labor or services of a temporary or seasonal nature in the United States. Written comments on the May 5, 1987, proposed rule were invited through May 19, 1987.

On June 1, 1987, DOL published an interim final rule in the **Federal Register**, effective on that date. 52 FR 20496. The interim final rule discussed many of the comments received in response to the May 5, 1987, proposed rule, changing some language not relevant to this document. The comment period also was reopened through July 31, 1987. The amendments in DOL's June 1, 1987, interim final rule contained changes to the labor certification process as mandated by IRCA and revised procedures as deemed necessary by DOL to carry out its statutory responsibilities.

B. The AFL–CIO Litigation and Further Rulemaking

Upon publication of the June 1, 1987, interim final rule, the AFL–CIO sued the Secretary of Labot to, among other things, invalidate the interim final 20 CFR 655.107(a), which established the methodology for computing AEWRs.

On December 22, 1987, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit reversed a lower court decision that had invalidated the interim final 20 CFR 655.107(a). *American Federation of Labor and Congress of Industrial Organizations* v. *Brock*, 835 F. 2d 912 (D.C. Cir. 1987), *rev'g*, 668 F. Supp. 31 (D.D.C. 1987). Also vacated was that portion of the lower court decision that had stayed implementation of the June 1, 1987, interim final AWER methodology in 20 CFR 655.107(a). 835 F. 2d at 913 n. 2.

However, the D.C. Circuit held that the interim final rule did not contain information sufficient for the court to "discern the reasonableness of the action without further explanation" and remanded the matter "to the Department for a more adequate explanation of its actions * * *." 835 F. 2d at 913 n. 2, 919, and 920. The D.C. Circuit, therefore, remanded the rulemaking for DOL to provide a more reasoned explanation for why it chose in the June 1, 1987, methodology to discontinue what the Court of Appeals viewed as the prior practice of providing for an enhancement to correct for the past employment of legal and undocumented aliens.

Upon remand, the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia ordered DOL's "reasoned explanation" to be issued on or before April 30, 1988, unless otherwise ordered. *AFL–CIO* v. *Brock*, Civil Action No. 87–1683 (Order, D.D.C. March 25, 1988). DOL submitted the expanded explanation to the District Court in April 1988.

Additionally, DOL published the expanded explanation in the Federal Register as a new Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM). 52 FR 43722 (October 28, 1988). Interested parties were invited to submit written comments on the NPRM through November 28, 1988. *Id.*

On December 20, 1988, the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia filed an opinion and order, finding that the April 1988 submission to it from DOL was invalid, since it was, among other things, an improper "post-hoc rationalization." *AFL–CIO* v. *McLaughlin*, Civil Action No. 87–1683 (D.D.C. Opinion and Order, filed December 20, 1988). The court held that the June 1, 1987, interim final methodology could not be justified by the document filed with the court in April 1988, and enjoined the June 1, 1987, 20 CFR 655.107. The court did not rule on the October 28, 1988, NPRM.[1]

The December 20, 1988, order of the U.S. District Court regarding the June 1, 1987, AEWR methodology and the April 1988 submission has been stayed indefinitely by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit. *AFL–CIO* v. *McLaughlin*, Case No. 89–5001 (D.C. Cir. January 13, 1989) (Order granting stay pendng appeal).

DOL has engaged in an extensive analysis of the opinions and orders in the *AFL–CIO* litigation; has reviewed and considered the pre- and post-June 1, 1987, rulemaking record, the documents discussed in the October 28, 1988, NPRM, the comments received in response to the October 28, 1988, NPRM, and other data; and has consulted with other affected agencies of the Federal Government. As a result of this review, consideration, and consultation, and on the basis of its own analysis, DOL herein adopts prospectively an AEWR methodology for the reasons set foth below. A discussion of the June 1, 1987, AEWR methodology, and of the public comments on AEWRs, received since the May 5, 1987, NPRM, and in response to that NPRM, the June 1, 1987, interim final rule, and the October 28, 1988, NPRM, is set forth below.

DOL continues to consider the comments received on other portions of the June 1, 1987, interim final rule and the May 5, 1987, proposed rule. DOL's comprehensive final rule for the H–2A Program will be published at a future date.

II. The June 1, 1987, Methodology

IRCA is expected to expand significantly the lawful importation program. DOL, therefore decided to establish H–2A program adverse effect wage rates (AEWRs) not merely for the 14 "traditional user States", but instead to set them for every State (except Alaska). In order to ensure that the wages of similarly employed U.S. workers are not adversely affected, DOL continued in the June 1, 1987, interim final H–2A regulation its past policy and practice of requiring covered agricultural employers to offer and pay their U.S. and H–2A workers no less than the applicable AEWR, as determined by the Director, U.S. Employment Service (USES). Further, the interim final H–2A regulations, as had the predecessor H–2 temporary alien agricultural labor certification regulations, provided that employers applying for temporary alien agricultural labor certification must agree to comply with all employment-related laws. If the employment is covered by a wage standard applicable under any federal or State minimum wage law, the employer must comply with that law. See *e.g.*, 29 U.S.C. 206(a); and 20 CFR 653.501(d)(4) and (e)(1) (1986). If the prevailing wage for the occupation in the labor market of intended employment is higher, the employer must offer and pay that wage.

Thus, a worker in employment under the H–2A program must be paid at the highest of the applicable wage rates, whether that rate is the AEWR, the prevailing wage, or the federal or State statutory minimum wage. See *Limoneira Co.* v. *Wirtz*, 327 F. 2d 499 (9th Cir. 1964), *aff'g*, 225 F. Supp. 961 (S.D. Cal. 1963); see also *Elton Orchards, Inc.* v. *Brennan*, 508 F. 2d 1154, 1156 (1st Cir. 1974); and *Flecha* v. *Quiros*, 567 F. 2d 1154, 1156 (1st Cir. 1977). These decisions acknowledge DOL's discretion in the area of AEWRs and form a basis for construing DOL's H–2A regulations.

Although continuing its basic past policy of requiring the payment of the AEWR, prevailing wage, or statutory minimum wage, whichever is highest, DOL, in the June 1, 1987, interim final rule, revised the procedures for calculating and establishing AEWRs for H–2A work. DOL changed the method of calculating AEWRS, by basing AEWRs on the level of actual average hourly agricultural wages for each State, as surveyed by the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA). This new methodology sets AEWRs in each year for the H–2A program at a level equal to the previous year's annual regional average hourly wage rates for field and livestock workers (combined), as computed by USDA quarterly wage surveys. (This is the same data series by which AEWRs under the previous H–2 agricultural worker program were indexed. USDA publishes the data for the 48 contiguous States and Hawaii by nineteen agricultural regions, which consist of one or more States.)

The new methodology ties AEWRs directly to the average wage, as opposed to the old methodology which resulted in AEWRs substantially higher than agricultural earnings in many States, and lower for some States. The new

[1] In a separate opinion, the District Court on the same date remanded to DOL the H–2A program's "piece-rate regulation", 20 CFR 655.102(b)(9)(ii). *AFL–CIO* v. *McLaughlin*, Civil Action No. 87–1683 (Opinion and Order, filed December 20, 1988). That order has been stayed indefinitely by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit. *AFL–CIO* v. *McLaughlin*, Case No. 89–5001 (D.C. Cir. February 6, 1989) (Order granting motion to enlarge stay pending appeal).

methodology is based directly on a current average agricultural wage that is not apparently depressed by the presence of foreign workers, rather than being based on a 1950 agricultural wage that had been adjusted upward by various methods over the years, as discussed below.

III. Historical Protections Against Adverse Effect on Wages

A. History of AEWRs

1. Background

In order to adequately assess the court's concern that the June 1, 1987, AEWR methodology represents a substantial departure from past practice, it is necessary to review DOL's longstanding practice in setting AEWRs.

From the beginning of the Federal Government's involvement in the lawful importation of foreign agricultural workers, dating at least as far back as 1942, the Government has sought to protect similarly employed U.S. workers from the adverse effect such employment would have on their wages. At first, these programs were established under both international agreements and federal statutes, and more recently by federal statutes alone.

For a number of decades, DOL has computed and published AEWRs for the temporary employment of nonimmigrant alien workers for agricultural employment under various admission programs. See H.N. Dellon, "Foreign Agricultural Workers and the Prevention of Adverse Effect", 17 *Labor Law Journal* 739 (1966). Mr. Dellon's article notes that, as far back as 1953, employers seeking to import foreign nationals to work in various crop activities (in that case, under the Bracero Program) were required to pay not less than a wage established by DOL. Eventually, AEWRs began to be set periodically on a Statewide basis. See *Dona Ana County Farm & Livestock Bureau, Inc.* v. *Goldberg*, 200 F. Supp. 210 (D.D.C. 1961).

As time passed, establishment of AEWRs became more formalized, and AEWRs were computed and set for the H–2 agricultural worker program as well, after public notice and comment. See, *e.g.*, 29 FR 19101, 19102 (December 30, 1964); 32 FR 4569, 4571 (March 28, 1967); and 35 FR 12394, 12395 (August 4, 1970).

2. World War II Programs

The 1942 Agreement With Mexico Respecting the Temporary Migration of Mexican Workers, stemming from the wartime shortage of domestic farm labor, facilitated the importation of Mexican workers in the beginnings of the "Bracero program". 56 Stat. 1759, EAS 278 (July 23 and August 4, 1942). The 1942 Agreement required that the Mexican workers be paid the same wage rates as those paid to U.S. farmworkers, but in no event could hourly rate workers be paid less than $.30 per hour. See Wayne D. Rasmussen, *A History of the Emergency Farm Labor Supply Program, 1943–47*, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Bureau of Agricultural Economics, Monograph No. 13 (September 1951) (Rasmussen) at 203. The $.30 per hour wage was equivalent to the federal Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA) minimum wage then applicable to nonagricultural employment. In 1943, the $.30 per hour minimum in the 1942 Agreement was extended to piece-rate workers. 57 Stat. 1152, EAS 351 (April 26, 1943). In 1946, the wage was raised to $.37 per hour or $33.60 per week (the latter for bi-weekly-paid workers). See Rasmussen at 211.

Congress specifically authorized the Bracero program, and programs to import farmworkers from other Western Hemisphere areas, by statute by enacting Pub. L. 45 in 1943. Act of April 29, 1943, c. 82, 57 Stat. 70. Pub. L. 45 and the agreements with the foreign governments provided the basic structure for the operation of the Mexican and other foreign farm labor programs through 1947.

Other international agreements, although less formal, were entered into with the Bahamas, Barbados, Jamaica, Canada, and Newfoundland (then separate from Canada):

(a) By a March 16, 1943, agreement establishing the Bahamian program, workers were guaranteed the higher of the local prevailing wage or $.30 per hour (equivalent to the FLSA minimum wage). See Rasmussen at 225. This was raised to $15.00 weekly or $30.00 bi-weekly in 1946. See Rasmussen at 240.

(b) By an April 2, 1943, agreement establishing the Jamaican program, workers were guaranteed the higher of the local prevailing wage of $.30 per hour (equivalent to the FLSA minimum wage). See Rasmussen at 250–51. This was raised to $15.00 weekly or $30.00 bi-weekly in 1946. See Rasmussen at 253.

(c) By a May 24, 1944, agreement establishing the Barbadian program, workers were guaranteed the higher of the local prevailing wage or $.30 per hour (equivalent to the FLSA minimum wage). See Rasmussen at 273–274. This was raised to $15.00 weekly or $30.00 bi-weekly in 1946. See Rasmussen at 275.

(d) Thirteen cents and $.15 per barrel minimum piece-rates were set for Canadian farmworkers in the Maine potato harvest. Rasmussen at 276.

(e) By a March 23 and 24, 1944, agreement establishing the Newfoundland program, dairy workers were guaranteed the higher of the local prevailing wage or $65.00 per month. See Rasmussen at 282.

3. Post-war Program

The World War II programs ended at the close of 1947. However, temporary foreign agricultural workers continued to enter the United States, particularly from Mexico, pursuant to section 3 of the Immigration Act of 1917, and post-war extensions and revisions of the 1942 international agreement. 61 Stat. 3738, TIAS 1710 (March 25 and April 2, 1947); 62 Stat. 3887, TIAS 1968 (February 21, 1948); and 2 U.S.T. 1048, TIAS 2260 (August 1, 1949). The agreement was informally extended in 1950. The work contract under the post-1947 agreements differed in the area of wages, however. There was no guaranteed hourly wage (in 1945 this was $.37 per hour) and no guaranteed minimum piece-rate earnings.

4. Bracero Program

Concern was raised, however, that importation of these workers had caused wages in their areas of employment to lag. President's Commission on Migratory Labor, *Migratory Labor in American Agriculture* (1951) at 58–59. After negotiations with Mexico, a new agreement was negotiated, authorized by Pub. L. 78, c. 223, 65 Stat. 119 (July 12, 1951), 7 U.S.C. 1461–1468 (1951) (now deleted). Mexican workers could not be admitted unless the Secretary of Labor determined, among other things, that "the employment of the workers will not adversely affect the wages and working conditions of domestic agricultural workers similarly employed * * *." A new international agreement was signed, effective August 11, 1951. Migrant Labor Agreement of 1951, 2 U.S.T. 1940, TIAS 2331, 162 UNTS 103 (August 11, 1951). Workers were to be paid the prevailing wage rates. As amended and updated in the 1950's and early 1960's, the 1951 statute and international agreement authorized the Bracero program in that period.

The 1961 extension of the 1951 agreement added the requirement that the wages stated in the contract shall be no less than an adverse effect wage rate determined by the Secretary of Labor. 10 U.S.T. 1630, TIAS 4815; 12 U.S.T. 3130, TIAS 4913. In 1962, these ranged from $.60 per hour in Arkansas to $1.00 per hour in 17 other States. The ceiling was set to correspond (rounded to the nearest $.05) to the USDA's national

survey of average agricultural earnings, which was found to be $.99 in 1961. By comparison, the FLSA minimum (not yet extended to agriculture) was $1.15 per hour. States with average earnings above the national average were held to the $1.00 rate; and one State at the national average was rounded down to $.95. In six other States with average earnings below the national average, the AEWR was set generally by taking the State average hourly farm wage rate, as reported in the 1959 Census of Agriculture and adjusting it to 1961 in accordance with the trend in farm wage rates for that State as measured by USDA's series of average hourly farm wage rates with the obtained figures rounded down to the next $.05. These AEWRs applied only to the Bracero program. The 1962 AEWRs for 24 Bracero-user States continued to be used in 1963 and in 1964, the final year of the Bracero program.

5. H–2 program

In 1952, Congress passed the Immigration and Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. 1101 *et seq.*, which, as amended and updated, controls the importation of other foreign agricultural workers (and, since 1962, Mexican workers as well). This was known as the H–2 program (now replaced under IRCA by the H–2A Program). The use of legally admitted non-Mexican workers was, until the late 1970's, mainly in Atlantic seaboard States (with the exception of sheepherders in the western States).

Only prevailing wages were required to be offered under the H–2 program until 1963. In that year, near the close of the Bracero program, the H–2 program established a series of AEWRs for 11 East Coast H–2 user States. A maximum AEWR ($1.00 per hour) was retained, but the bases for the rates were derived from the 1959 Census of Agriculture average hourly earnings for each State adjusted by the 1959–61 trend in wages as determined by USDA. The 1963 AEWRs continued to be used in 1964.

A new formula was applied to set AEWRs for 28 States using foreign agricultural workers in 1965. See 20 CFR 602.10 (1965), 29 FR 19101 (December 30, 1964). The formula used the 1950 Census of Agricultural average hourly farm wage (AHFW) rate for each State, adjusted by the 1950–63 trend in gross average hourly earnings of production workers in manufacturing or the 1950 Census of Agriculture national AHFW rate similarly adjusted, whichever was higher, rounded down to the nearest $.05. A minimum AEWR of $1.15 per hour and a maximum of $1.40 per hour was applied. The AEWR was set above the computed level in five New England States (all except Connecticut), to $1.25 for Maine and to $1.30 for the other four States. The maximum caused AEWRs in five States (California, Kansas, Minnesota, South Dakota, and Utah) to be lowerd below the computed level, to $1.40.

In 1967, AEWRs were increased by $.20 per hour, based on 1963–66 changes in the USDA survey of farm wages and other factors.

Beginning in 1968, these AEWRs were computed by adjusting the previous year's Statewide AEWR by the same percentage as the percentage change in the Statewide annual average wage rates for field and livestock workers, as surveyed by the USDA, and were set through rulemaking amending the H–2 agricultural workers regulations. See 41 FR 25018 (June 22, 1976); and 43 FR 10306, 10310 (March 10, 1978); see also 20 CFR 602.10b(a)(1) (1977).

The regulations for the H–2 agricultural worker program were consolidated and substantially revised in 1978, after an extended comment period and six public hearings (May and June 1977). 20 CFR Part 655, Subpart C, 43 FR 10306 (March 10, 1978). As part of that rulemaking, DOL's methodology for computing AEWRs, as well as alternative methodologies for computing AEWRs, were discussed and considered. 43 FR at 10310–10311. The methodology was set out in the regulations for the H–2 agricultural worker program. 20 CFR 655. 207, 43 FR at 10317.

DOL continued to study the AEWR after the 1977–78 rulemaking. An Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking was published in 1979, and six additional public hearings were held. 44 FR 59390 (October 16, 1979). Various alternative methodologies were presented for public comment; the public responded to the alternatives and additional methodologies were suggested as part of the rulemaking record. A proposed rule (with a four-month comment period) was published in 1980, and a final rule was published in 1981. 46 FR 4568 (January 16, 1981); 45 FR 29854 (May 6, 1980); and 45 FR 15914 (March 11, 1980). The final rule would have established a single, nationwide, AEWR at the level of the previous year's national annual average hourly wage for piece-rate-paid hired agricultural workers, as computed by USDA surveys. However, as part of a general review of agency regulations, and to consider fully the impact of the new methodology, it was withdrawn prior to its effective date. 46 FR 32437 (June 23, 1981); and 46 FR 19119 (March 27, 1981).

In 1981, USDA substantially reduced its number of surveys and ceased compiling annual average field and livestock worker wage rates, as well as the survey data which would have been used in the rule withdrawn in 1981. Various interim methodologies were utilized until USDA reestablished its surveys and DOL reestablished the 1968–1981 methodology. The interim methodologies did not change the basic way in which AEWRs were computed, by adjusting the previous year's rate by the annual change in farm worker wages as reflected in a wage survey. These were accompanied by further rulemaking, and opportunity for and consideration of public comments. See, *e.g.*, 51 FR 20516, (June 5, 1986), 51 FR 15915 (April 29, 1986); 51 FR 12872 (April 16, 1986); 50 FR 47636 (November 19, 1985); 49 FR 31784 (August 8, 1984); 49 FR 30208 (July 27, 1984); 48 FR 40168 (September 2, 1983); 48 FR 33684 (July 22, 1983); 48 FR 232 (January 4, 1983); 47 FR 52198 (November 19, 1982); and 47 FR 37980 (August 27, 1982).

B. Summary

This review of the history of the setting of AEWRs over the past 40 years leads to two conclusions; first, that the old methodology was *not* designed to enhance Statewide average hourly earnings from the USDA survey; and, second, that the fact that the AEWR averaged 20% above the average hourly earnings from the USDA survey in the fourteen "traditional user States" is an unintended result of the application of the various methodologies used in the 1960's to create the AEWR base; it cannot in any way be viewed as a measurement of the quantum of adverse effect.

In all its long history of dealing with AEWRs, DOL has employed a number of methodologies for setting AEWRs during the different periods of time. None of these methodologies ever has purported to add an enhancement to the USDA rates. To the contrary, DOL's efforts to set Statewide AEWRs have always been in response to instances where it was thought that wage depression existed in specific crops or activities. (DOL consistently has set statewide AEWRs. Because of the absence of data from which to measure wage depression at the local level and because of the vast number of different crops, activities and areas in which such local AEWRs) would have to be set, it was and is administratively infeasible to set AEWRs for specific crops or activities.) The fact that the pre-June 1 1987, AEWRs were higher than the USDA average in most (but not all)

States is the result of other methodologies that were distinctly different from that of adding an explicit enhancement to a Statewide USDA earnings rate. These previous methodologies included setting AEWRs at or below the USDA average (early 1960's); assuming that agricultural wage rates should have increased by the same percentage as manufacturing wage rates (1965); setting an absolute floor and an absolute ceiling as well, both regardless of the comparison with manufacturing wages (1965); and assuming that the rates in all states should have increased by the same *absolute* amount (20 cents) as the increase in the national USDA rate (1967). These different methodologies do not appear to have measured wage depression accurately. For example, in 1965, DOL used increase in manufacturing wages as a proxy for increases in agricultural wages, which were believed to be depressed. The application of this methodology led to AEWRs which were higher than Statewide agricultural earnings in some states and lower in others. In order to address these erratic results, DOL imposed a minimum and maximum AEWR.

If it had been DOL policy to enhance the USDA Statewide or regional rates, some degree of uniformity or consistency of relationship between the old AEWRs and the USDA rates, or at least some recognizable pattern relating to estimated presence of illegal aliens, should have been apparent. However, such was clearly not the case. In fact, under the older methodology there would have been four States (Delaware, Oregon, Florida (except sugar cane) and Washington) in 1987 with AEWRs below the applicable USDA average wage rates. These include at least three States with known heavy concentrations of illegals—Washington, Oregon and Florida. This fact very clearly contradicts any assumption that DOL has had a longstanding policy of enhancing USDA average wage rates. At the other extreme, there would have been six States with AEWRs 70 percent or more above the USDA rate. None of these States—Nevada, Alabama, Utah, Minnesota, Mississippi, and South Carolina—is noted for high concentrations of illegal aliens.

Moreover, none of the DOL methodologies ever attempted to measure, with any degree of accuracy, the actual amount by which prevailing wages had been depressed (i.e., adversely affected) by the employment of alien workers. They were simply rough efforts to compensate for what DOL believed was wage depression or stagnation caused by the importation of large numbers of workers under the Bracero program. Thus, the historical fact that the application of the various methodologies resulted in AEWRs which, in most cases, were higher than average agricultural wages is merely fortuitous. It does not reflect any determination that the enhancements themselves were the correct measure of the compensation necessary to eliminate the past adverse effects caused by the Bracero workers.

IV. Explanation for Retaining the Methodology in the Interim Final Rule.

Following the D.C. Circuit's decision in *American Federation of Labor and Congress of Industrial Organizations* v. *Brock*, DOL has extensively reexamined the issues involved in establishing AEWRs for the H–2A program. As explained in the preamble to the June 1, 1987, interim final rule, application of the previous methodology (applied in only 14 States) to a nationwide (49 State) H–2A program would produce inexplicable and unjustifiable results. 52 FR 20404. As a result of the anomalies created by broad application of the old AEWR methodology, DOL determined that a new methodology for setting AEWRs is needed, one that is capable of rational application across the country. DOL promulgated the June 1, 1987, methodology, believing that it satisfied this requirement.

DOL has chosen to use the USDA survey of farm and livestock workers because it presents the best available data on hourly wages in the agricultural sector. The USDA conducts a scientific quarterly survey of the wages of farm and livestock workers. The survey includes small farms not covered in other surveys. The scope and frequency of the survey means that all crops and activities now covered by the H–2A program will be included in the survey data and that peak work periods also will be covered.

Because DOL anticipates that enforcement of IRCA will give rise to a significant expansion of the H–2A program to new States, crops and activities, DOL has decided to set AEWRs for all States, except Alaska, for which USDA data are unavailable. The promulgation of nationwide AEWRs will give new entrants to the H–2A program the opportunity to know in advance the wages they will be required to offer if they choose to apply for H–2A workers and will avoid the delays, uncertainties and litigation that have occurred in the past when new States were sought to be added to the H–2A program.

In light of the D.C. Circuit's decision in *American Federation of Labor and Congress of Industrial Organizations* v. *Brock*, DOL has reviewed its past practices in setting AEWRs, as described in Section IV above, and has reviewed the available literature on the effects of legal and illegal immigration to determine whether, and to what extent, wage depression caused by lawful and illegal alien workers exists in the agricultural labor market. Included in this review were several studies published quite recently. For the reasons outlined below, this analysis has reconfirmed DOL's belief that the June 1, 1987, methodology is valid and appropriate for ensuring that the importation of H–2A workers will not adversely affect the wages of similarly employed domestic workers.

A. Recent Studies Suggest that the Extent of Past Adverse Effect, If Any, From the Employment of Illegal Aliens, Has Been Small and Confined to Local Labor Markets and Not Reflected to Any Significant Degree in the USDA Data Series From Which the AEWR Is Derived

While DOL has believed that there is a tendency for illegal alien workers to adversely affect wage rates, it cannot disregard recent studies and analyses which conclude that such effect probably has been minor and localized. Many of these studies were conducted during the past few years, during the time IRCA was being debated, prior to enactment, and also since enactment. They have been conducted by some of the most prestigious research and evaluation organizations, applying the most rigorous state-of-the-art methodological standards. They include studies and analyses performed by the Council of Economic Advisers, the General Accounting Office, the National Commission for Employment Policy, the National Bureau of Economic Research, the Urban Institute, and other prominent individual researchers.

The 1986 *Economic Report of the President*, prepared by the Council of Economic Advisors, summarizes an extensive review of recent research and economic thinking on wage and employment effects of immigration. The Council's conclusion as to wage effect is mixed:

> *Some* studies of the effects of immigration on wage levels have revealed evidence of adverse wage effects. For example, one study concluded that real wages were 8 to 10 percent lower on average *in cities near the Mexican border.* Several studies found a reduction in the wages of unskilled workers in areas with high concentrations of unskilled immigrant workers.
>
> *Other studies,* however, have shown that greater concentrations of aliens in labor

markets are associated with *higher earnings* of native-born workers. Increased wages have been found both for broad groups of workers and also for native-born minority groups with whom immigrants might compete directly for jobs.

[Emphasis added; *Id.* at 223.]

The General Accounting Office (GAO), responding to a Congressional request, surveyed the existing literature on the effects of illegal aliens on the wages and working conditions of legal workers. *Illegal Aliens: Influence of Illegal Workers on Wages and Working Conditions of Legal Workers* (GAO/PEMD–88–13BR) (March 1988). Initially, 230 studies were reviewed; these were pared down to 26 which met GAO's standards for relevance and analytical rigor. With respect to the question, "Do illegal alien workers depress wages and worsen working conditions for native and legal workers?", GAO came to the following qualified and uncertain conclusion:

With regard to the first question, our answer is a qualified "yes." Our major finding, based primarily on results from nine case studies, is that illegal aliens do, in some cases, exert downward pressure on wages and working conditions with low-wage, low-skilled jobs *in certain labor markets.* The four case studies that supported this finding examined illegal alien workers in competition for the same jobs with legal or native workers. Competing native or legal *agricultural workers,* food processing workers, and janitors *in specific labor markets* suffered depressed wages or worsened working conditions as employers in these sectors began to hire a higher percentage of illegal workers.

In three *other sectors and labor markets,* the effects of illegal workers on legal or native workers' wages and working conditions overall *could not be determined.* The five case studies on these sectors or markets provided evidence that the increased supply of workers for *some* job categories, in *some* business and industry sectors such as the garment industry, depressed wages for some native or legal workers but, at the same time, by stimulating business, also expanded employment opportunities and wages for *other* legal and native workers in complementary, usually skilled occupations. None of these studies, however, permitted an assessment of net effects. This suggests that the effects of illegal workers on the wages and working conditions of native or legal workers are not *automatically* in the direction of depressing those conditions, and that those effects depend on a number of factors, of which the illegal status of the workers is one.

[Emphasis added, except *"automatically,"* which is in original; *Id.* at 1–2.]

It should be noted that the only wage depression shown in agricultural employment cited in the GAO report appeared in two limited, localized, studies of San Diego County, California, pole tomatoes and Ventura County, California, citrus. GAO itself noted that these studies were probably atypical.

The case studies also may poorly represent workplaces that employ international migrants. For example, most of the case studies that found wage depression overall were on unionized settings. Substantial evidence indicates that unionization tends to lead to higher wages. In these cases, a part of the effect that illegal aliens may have had on wages was to counteract the effect that the unions had had on wages.

[*Id.* at 64 n 1.]

Thus, the wage-depressing effects noted in the studies may have had as much to do with anti-union activities as any other motive and might have occurred even if the non-union workers had been legal workers.

Further, GAO pointed out that other economic forces also may come into play in determining whether the removal of illegal workers will necessarily raise wages:

[O]ne cannot assume that the absence of illegal aliens would, in all cases, cause an increase in wages and job opportunities for native workers. In some cases, the higher wages necessary to bring workers into a given labor market would possibly raise the employer's costs to a level that prevents the employer from competing effectively with foreign producers.

[*Id.* at 64.]

It also must be noted that DOL submitted critical comments on the draft GAO Report. *Id.* at 57–62. These comments were directed at the adequacy of the GAO analysis with respect to adverse impacts of employing illegal workers in specific occupations and activities in local labor markets. These comments did not take issue with the GAO finding that the effects of employing illegal workers are difficult to detect at levels of analysis beyond specific activities in local labor markets.

The National Commission for Employment Policy also reviewed the available literature in 1986 and came to a similar qualified conclusion (*Illegal Immigrants and Refugees—Their Economic Adaptation and Impact on Local U.S. Labor Markets: A Review of the Literature* (October 1986)):

The evidence regarding the labor market impact of undocumented entrants is *mixed and somewhat inconclusive.* Undocumented workers do displace some native-born U.S. workers and do lower wages and working conditions in *some* occupations and *geographical areas.* The opportunities for U.S. workers sometimes are reduced where undocumented workers dominate segments of the labor market. On the other hand, undocumented workers in some instances create and perpetuate jobs for themselves as well as for some U.S. workers. Furthermore, they help to preserve some U.S. firms that, without such a supply of foreign labor, might move their operations overseas. *The evidence is not conclusive regarding the overall or aggregate effects on the labor market.* Rather the evidence suggests that the labor market effects of undocumented workers may best be viewed as *a series of local and regional effects which vary widely.*

[Emphasis added; *Id.* at vii.]

The National Bureau of Economic Research (NBER) has just completed a two-year research project on the internationalization of the U.S. labor market, including the impact of immigration on wages and employment. Its findings question whether immigrants have had any adverse effect on the wages of U.S. workers (*NBER Summary Report: Immigration, Trade, and the Labor Market* (January 20, 1988)):

Increased immigration has *some modest adverse impacts* on the employment and wages of workers who are the closest substitutes for immigrants, *the immigrants themselves* and earlier immigrants, *but little, if any, impact on young black and Hispanic Americans who are likely to be the next closest substitutes* (Topel and Lalonde). Employment and wages of less educated black and white natives have not worsened noticeably in cities in which immigrant shares of the population rose in the 1970's, while on the positive side, there is some evidence that less skilled natives have moved out of low-wage service and manufacturing industries and that these industries have grown more rapidly or declined more slowly in cities with more immigrants (Altonji and Card). The broad implication is that *immigrants have been absorbed into the American labor market with little adverse impact on natives.*

[Emphasis added; *Id.* at 7.]

An Urban Institute study of the impact of the large population of Mexicans immigrating, both legally and illegally, into Southern California found the following with respect to effect on wages (*The Fourth Wave: California's Newest Immigrants* (1985)):

The presence of Mexicans and, in all likelihood, of other immigrant groups, reduced the average wages in manufacturing and some services, both in Los Angeles and elsewhere in California. This reduction in average wages primarily reflects the increasing share of Hispanics in the work force, since wages for this group are lower than for non-Hispanics in similar occupations. We also conclude that the presence of immigrants has *somewhat depressed the wages of non-Hispanics* working as laborers, but the impact on the *wages paid to non-Hispanics* in semi-skilled occupations *appears to be negligible.* The principal reasons why immigrants received lower wages are that they are less likely to be unionized and they have less experience and education than other workers.

[Emphasis added; *Id.* at 123.]

Specifically with respect to agriculture, Dr. Phillip L. Martin, Professor of Agricultural Economics, University of California at Davis, in a study conducted for DOL, raises serious doubts as to whether illegal aliens have adversely affected agricultural wage rates (*IRCA and the U.S. Farm Labor Market* (February 1988)):

> If illegal alien workers are replaced by U.S. citizens, legal immigrants, and legal non-immigrants, there will be *offsetting effects on farm wages.* Generally, the illegal aliens and the legal non-immigrants are "solo men" (in the U.S. without families); such workers *tend to earn more per hour at prevailing piece-rates than more diverse U.S. workers.* Some illegal aliens are "isolated and powerless;" to the extent that such illegal aliens are replaced by other workers, there should be upward pressure on farm wages.

[Emphasis added; *Id.* at 1.]

Dr. Martin states in the same report, "*The evidence of these possible wage-depressing effects of illegals is sparse.* [Emphasis added.]" *Id.* at 8. As indicated above, one of the main reasons for Dr. Martin's doubt about adverse effect is the fact that aliens often have higher productivity than U.S. workers, so that when paid by the piece their average hourly earnings exceed those of U.S. workers, thus possibly even raising the average wage rate. As he states, "Illegal alien farmworkers tend to be young men; such solo men tend to be the most productive farmworkers in the sense that *they have higher-than-average hourly earnings at prevailing piece-rates.* [Emphasis added.]" *Id.* at 14.

Even if there may have been adverse effects on agricultural wages from the employment of illegal aliens, they apparently have been so concentrated in specific crops, activities, and areas that such effects do not appear to be reflected to any significant degree in the USDA data series, which includes all agricultural activities, usually in multi-State regions. Dr. Martin states as his first major conclusion in his 1988 study cited above:

> The removal of illegal alien workers should raise farm wages. However, illegal alien workers are not uniformly distributed throughout agriculture; instead, they are concentrated in particular tasks, commodities, areas, and on certain farms. Thus the wage *increases traceable to the removal of illegal alien workers may not be apparent in regularly-published wage data* such as *Farm Labor.*

[Emphasis added; *Id.* at 1.]

Clearly, if the removal of illegal aliens may not significantly affect the USDA wage data, their presence also may not affect this series to any significant extent.

(Note: The USDA periodical *Farm Labor* is the publication containing the USDA data series on which AEWRs are based.)

This view is strongly supported by the 1988 GAO report cited above:

> Our experience in the prior synthesis on illegal workers (GAO/PEMD–86–9BR) suggested the general lesson that *wage depression is harder and harder to detect at levels of analysis beyond or above a highly localized and occupation-specific labor market.* For example, we found evidence of displacement of legal citrus pickers by illegal ones in Ventura County, California, but those effects probably would not be evident in data on agricultural workers in central California generally and even less so at higher levels of aggregation such as unskilled workers in the state. Thus, case studies that concentrate on specific industries or sectors in labor markets in specific communities may be better able to detect wage depression than aggregate data.

[Emphasis added; *Id.* at 10.]

Evidence suggesting that the USDA wage rates have not been affected significantly by the presumably lower wages paid to illegals is provided by State average wage data from that USDA data series itself. An examination of average agricultural earnings in the six States most likely to have the highest concentrations of undocumented workers, as measured by the highest concentration of seasonal crop workers, and as shown in the USDA data published in *Farm Labor,* does not reveal a consistent pattern of depression in the USDA earnings data over time. Over the 1974–87 period (the longest period with consistent USDA survey data), average agricultural earnings in North Carolina, Oregon, Texas and Washington increased by more than the national average. Only California and Florida had increases that were below the national average. However, the absolute level of wage rates in both California and Florida is well above the national average.

B. The AEWR Methodology in the Interim Final Rule Is Justified By the Available Evidence

From DOL's review of the available information on the agricultural labor market, DOL views the data and literature as inconclusive on the issue of adverse effect or wage depression from the presence of illegal alien workers on the USDA data series. This inconclusiveness is due, in part, to statistical difficulties in measuring wage depression, but also reflects the fact that data on illegal workers are nearly impossible to obtain for purposes of measuring any possible wage depression caused by such workers. DOL is aware of no study that has quantified or measured any wage depression at any aggregate level such as the State or the region. To the extent that there is some anecdotal evidence of wage depression from these sources, the evidence also suggests that the adverse effects are highly localized and concentrated in specific areas and crop activities. The evidence further suggests that because of the nature of the illegal alien workforce, and because of the concentration of that workforce in particular localities and crops, such adverse effects as may exist are not reflected, to any substantial extent, if at all, in USDA average wage data.

Thus, DOL concludes that setting the AEWR at the level of average agricultural wages, as determined by the USDA survey, is the correct approach. To the extent that wage depression does exist on a concentrated local basis, the average agricultural wage does not appear to be significantly affected by this wage depression. Further, none of the studies reviewed by DOL here quantified or measured any wage depression that might exist in the USDA data series. This series is, therefore, the appropriate source of rates to use to set the AEWR. Based on all of the information available, there is no justification for adding any enhancement to the USDA average agricultural wage. Such an explicit enhancement could only be justified if alien agricultural employment has depressed average agricultural earnings, and if the extent of the depression can be measured at the aggregate level.

Even though the evidence is not conclusive on the existence of past adverse effect, DOL still believes that its statutory responsibility to U.S. workers will be discharged best by the adoption of an AEWR set at the USDA average agricultural wage in order to protect against the possibility that the anticipated expansion of the H–2A program will itself create wage depression or stagnation.

As pointed out in the preamble to the June 1, 1987, interim final rule, the old methodology had resulted in anomalies among the State rates which could not be explained in any rational manner relating to presence of illegal aliens and past adverse effect. See 52 FR at 20504. In light of: (1) The recent studies cited earlier which indicate that it is highly questionable as to whether and how much adverse effect has occurred from the use of illegal aliens; (2) the likelihood that any adverse effect which might have occurred may not be reflected in the USDA data series; and (3) the apparent anomalies in that old

AEWR methodology, it is clear that adding to that USDA average any enhancement factor comparable to that resulting from the old methodology—such as the observed 20 percent average in the 14 States for which old AEWRs were published—would be inappropriate. Even if one were to assume (despite the absence of evidence) that there has been some adverse effect on the USDA rates in States with larger concentrations of illegal aliens, applying an enhancement factor across-the-board to all States clearly would be inequitable and inappropriate.

V. Other Considerations in Developing an AEWR Methodology.

DOL must assume that IRCA will achieve its stated purpose of removing illegal aliens from the labor force. See 8 US.C. 1324a. (One might note that AEWRs, if set too high, might be a disincentive to the use of H–2A and U.S. workers, and could undermine efforts to eradicate the employment of illegal aliens.) Agricultural employers who have employed illegal alien workers in the past then must fill their labor needs with U.S. workers (including special agricultural workers authorized under IRCA) or with H–2A workers. All of these will be covered by various wage and working condition protections, and the total number may well fall short of the pre-IRCA agricultural labor force. These changed conditions could tend to create upward pressures on agricultural wage rates.

Within this context, the AEWR requirement in the H–2A program could contribute to upward wage pressures. Non-H–2A employers will be forced to compete for workers with H–2A employers at the wage rates required in the H–2A program. Using the USDA average as the AEWR will probably have some "ratcheting" effect on wages, as the previous year's average becomes the current year's minimum. This will be particularly true if the number of covered workers (H–2A workers and their U.S. co-workers) at the wage rates required in the H–2A program expands significantly. As a result, requiring an enhancement to the USDA average rate as the AEWR could increase labor costs of employers of H–2A workers and weaken their ability to compete with foreign imports. Lower domestic production could reduce the demand for labor, adversely affecting both wages and job opportunities for U.S. workers whom IRCA was designed to protect.

These effects would also be inconsistent with the purpose of the labor certification process, as recognized by the courts, that the temporary foreign worker regulations are "to promote a manageable scheme * * * that is fair to both sides." *Flecha* v. *Quiros*, 567 F.2d 1154, 1156 (1st Cir. 1977). As part of the labor certification process, the setting of AEWRs must balance the needs and interests of U.S. workers and U.S. employers. See *Flecha* v. *Quiros*, 567 F.2d at 1155–1156; *Rogers* v. *Larsen*, 563 F.2d 617, 626 (3d Cir. 1977); and *Elton Orchards, Inc.* v. *Brennan*, 508 F.2d 493 (1st Cir. 1974).

The IRCA amendments to the INA do not change the role and effect of the statutory policy to protect the wages of similarly employed U.S. agricultural workers from the adverse effect which may result from the employment of alien workers. Under the H–2A program, as under the H–2 program before it,

> [t]he common purposes [of the program] * * * are to assure [employers] an adequate labor force on the one hand and to protect the jobs of citizens on the other. Any statutory scheme with these two purposes must inevitably strike a balance between the two goals. Clearly, citizen-workers would best be protected and assured high wages if no aliens were allowed to enter. Conversely, elimination of all restrictions upon entry would most effectively provide employers with an ample labor force.

Rogers v. *Larsen*, 563 F.2d 617, 626 (3d Cir. 1977); *Flecha* v. *Quiros*, 567 F.2d at 1154. As stated by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the First Circuit, the purpose of the INA and temporary foreign worker regulations are "to provide a manageable scheme * * * that is fair to both sides." *Flecha* v. *Quiros*, 657 F.2d at 1156.

> We start with a given, that it has always been a Congressional policy to prefer domestic workers in all fields. However, it is also necessary to consider would-be employers, although in case of conflict, wide leeway favoring domestic workers is given the U.S. Secretary [of Labor]. *Elton Orchards, Inc.* v. *Brennan*, 1 Cir., 1974, 508 F.2d 493 * * *.

Id., 567 F.2d at 1155. Thus, the methodology for computing an AEWR must recognize the need to balance the goals of supplying an adequate labor force to employers and protecting the jobs of U.S. workers.

"[R]ather than an area of pure statutory interpretation as to which there is in theory only a single answer", *Building & Construction Trades Department, AFL–CIO* v. *Donovan*, 712 F.2d 611, 619 (D.C. Cir. 1983), *cert. denied*, 464 U.S. 1069 (1984), DOL has "broad discretion" to set AEWRs in accordance with "any of a number of reasonable formulas * * *." *Accord, Florida Sugar Cane League, Inc.* v. *Usery*, 531 F.2d 299, 303–304 (5th Cir. 1976). See *American Federation of Labor and Congress of Industrial Organizations* v. *Brock*, 835 F.2 912, 915 n. 5 (D.C. Cir. 1987); *Florida Fruit & Vegetable Association, Inc.* v. *Donovan*, 583 F. Supp. 268 (S.D. Fla. 1984), *aff'd sub nom. Florida Fruit & Vegetable Association, Inc.* v. *Brock*, 771 F.2d 1455 (11th Cir. 1985), *cert. denied*, 106 S. Ct. 1524 (1986); *Shoreham Cooperative Apple Producers' Association, Inc.* v. *Donovan*, 764 F.2d 135 (2d Cir. 1985); *Virginia Agricultural Growers' Association, Inc.* v. *Donovan*, 774 F.2d 90 (4th Cir. 1985); *accord, Rowland* v. *Marshall*, 650 F.2d 28 (4th Cir. 1981) (*per curiam*); *Williams* v. *Usery*, 531 F.2d 305, 306 (5th Cir.), *cert. denied*, 429 U.S. 1000 (1976); *Flecha* v. *Quiros*, 567 F.2d 1154 (1st Cir. 1977); *Limoneira Co.* v. *Wirtz*, 225 F. Supp. 961 (S.D. Cal 1963), *aff'd*, 327 F.2d 499 (9th Cir. 1964); and *Dona Ana County Farm & Livestock Bureau, Inc.* v. *Goldberg*, 200 F. Supp. 210 (D.D.C. 1961); see also *Production Farm Management* v. *Brock*, 767 F.2d 1368 (9th Cir. 1985). DOL believes that the June 1, 1987, methodology is the appropriate method by which to set AEWRs in light of its obligations to balance the needs of U.S. workers and U.S. employers and in light of its great discretion to develop any reasonable formula to set AEWRs.

VI. Summary and Analysis of Comments Received in Response to October 28, 1988, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking

In the October 28, 1988, proposed rule, DOL requested, through November 28, 1988, comments on the AEWR methodology. 52 FR at 43722. Specifically, any additional studies or evidence as to known and measured wage depression reflected in the USDA data series caused by the presence in the agricultural work force of illegal aliens were sought to enable DOL to further examine the conclusions drawn from the materials reviewed. While most of the studies cited in this document are publicly available, the study by Dr. Martin is not available generally. As stated in the October 28, 1988, NPRM, DOL supplied copies of the Martin study to the parties in the *AFL–CIO* v. *Brock* litigation and offered to supply, upon request, copies to any other interested person.

In making a determination on the content of this final rule, DOL fully and carefully considered comments received in response to the October 28, 1988, NPRM, and in response to several other related rulemaking actions. These other actions include a proposed rule to add Montana to the list of States for which special AEWRs were required under the old H–2 program (50 FR 50311

(December 10, 1985); see also 51 FR 7084 (February 28, 1986)); a similar proposed rule to add Idaho and Oregon to the list of such AEWR States (51 FR 11942 (April 8, 1986); see also 51 FR 28599 (August 8, 1986)); the proposed rule for the new H–2A program (52 FR 16770 (May 5, 1987)); and the interim final rule on the new H–2A program, with request for comments (52 FR 20496 (June 1, 1987)).

A total of 168 comments were received by DOL on the two proposed rules to add the States of Montana, Idaho, and Oregon to the list of specially-computed AEWR States. These included a total of 140 comments from employers and their representatives; 15 comments from worker representatives, including legal aid attorneys; the USDA; two Governors; three U.S. Congressmen; an agricultural labor economist; three State agencies; and two State legislators.

A total of 555 comments were received by DOL on the proposed and interim final H–2A regulations. Employers and their representatives submitted a total of 423 comments, while worker representatives, including legal aid attorneys, submitted a total of 40 comments. Congressional representatives submitted a total of 52 comments; 16 comments were submitted by State Farm Bureau Representatives; 16 comments were received from various State agencies; one comment was received from a Governor; one comment was received from an organization advocating immigration reform; five comments were received from ETA Regional Administrators; and one comment was from a private citizen. In addition to the comments submitted by a national organization representing agricultural employers, representatives of the fruit, vegetable, sugar cane, cattle, sheep and goat, dairy, poultry, swine and logging industries submitted comments.

A total of 29 comments were received by DOL on the proposed AEWR methdology rule published October 28, 1988. Comments were received from 16 employer representatives, six legal assistance groups representing workers, three trade associations/lobbyists, two research institutes, and two State agencies.

All of these comments have been considered together with respect to the AEWR methodology issue, the subject of this rulemaking. They can be divided and discussed in terms of those which generally support the position of farmworker advocates and those which generally support the position of agricultural employers.

Comments from farmworker advocates generally reflect opposition to DOL's proposed rule. In brief, farmworker advocates contend that DOL's proposed rule represents a dramatic change from its policy and practice of the past 30 years of "enhanced" AEWRs, without adequate explanation or justification; that there is clear evidence of past adverse effect from the use of foreign workers, which requires an "enhancement" to the AEWRs proposed by DOL; that the USDA survey itself has been tainted by the past use of foreign workers and is otherwise inappropriate to serve as the AEWR base. They advocate instead an AEWR methodology which would yield rates higher than those proposed by DOL.

Options recommended by those opposing the proposed rule include returning to the old H–2 methodology (see 20 CFR 655.207 (1986)); adding an enhancement factor to the USDA average hourly rate (either in all States or only where adverse effect can be demonstrated); setting the AEWR at the average hourly earnings of piece-rate workers; setting or adjusting the AEWR in relation to earnings in manufacturing or non-farm work as a whole; establishing separate AEWRs for piece-rate work and seasonal work. These points are fully laid out and analyzed in the attached "Analysis of Farmworker Comments."

Comments from those representing agricultural employer interests argue strongly for an AEWR methodology requiring only the prevailing wage rate for each specific crop-activity-area, with some adjustments if certain levels of penetration by foreign workers have been exceeded. Employers argue that requiring the average hourly rate as a minimum standard will have an upward-ratcheting, inflationary effect on agricultural wage rates, including a spill-over effect on non-H–2A employers.

Should DOL not adopt their preferred approach, they would then be supportive of DOL's proposed methodology as a next-best alternative approach. The comments supporting the employers' views are fully explained and analyzed in the attached "Analysis of Employer Comments."

After carefully weighing the comments of both farmworker and employer representatives, DOL concludes that its proposed rule setting the AEWR at the average hourly earnings for each State as determined by the USDA quarterly survey strikes an appropriate balance by fully carrying out DOL's obligations under IRCA to prevent adverse effect on the wages of U.S. workers while at the same time taking cognizance of the legitimate concerns of agricultural employers.

VII. Conclusion

The AEWR is the minimum wage rate that agricultural employers seeking nonimmigrant alien workers must offer to and pay their U.S. and alien workers, if prevailing wages and any federal or State minimum wage rates are below the AEWR. The AEWR is a wage floor, and the existence of an AEWR does not prevent the worker from seeking a higher wage or the employer from paying a higher wage.

The purpose of an AEWR, as described by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit, is "to neutralize an 'adverse effect' resultant from the influx of temporary foreign workers." It is a "method of avoiding wage deflation." *Williams* v. *Usery*, 531 F. 2d 305, 306 (5th Cir. 1976), *cer. denied*, 429 U.S. 1000; see *Florida Sugar Cane League, Inc.* v. *Usery*, 531 F. 2d 299 (5th Cir. 1976); see also *Production Farm Management* v. *Brock*, 767 F. 2d 1368 (9th Cir. 1985); *Limoneira Co.* v. *Wirtz*, 225 F. Supp. 961 (S.D. Cal. 1963), *aff'd*, 327 F. 2d 499 (9th Cir. 1964); *Dona Ana County Farm and Livestock Bureau* v. *Goldberg*, 200 F. Supp. 210 (D.D.C. 1961); and 20 CFR 655.0) (1986). The AEWR thus ensures that the wages of similarly employed U.S. workers will not be adversely affected by the lawful importation of temporary, nonimmigrant alien workers. For the reasons outlined above, DOL believes that the June 1, 1987, methodology satisfies DOL's duties under IRCA to ensure that the importation of H–2A workers does not adversely affect the wages of similarly employed domestic workers.

Regulatory Impact

This document affects only those employers using nonimmigrant aliens workers (H–2A visaholders) in temporary agricultural jobs in the United States. It does not have the financial or other impact to make it a major rule and, therefore, the preparation of a regulatory impact analysis is not necessary. See Executive Order No. 12291, 3 CFR 1981 Comp., p. 127, 5 U.S.C. 601 note.

When the October 28, 1988, proposed rule was published, the Department of Labor notified the Chief Counsel for Advocacy, Small Business Administration, and made the certification pursuant to the Regulatory Flexibility Act at 5 U.S.C. 605(b), that the rule does not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

Paperwork Reduction Act

This document contains no paperwork requirements which mandate clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number

This program is listed in the *Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance* as Number 17.202 "Certification of Foreign Workers for Agricultural and Logging Employment."

List of Subjects in 20 CFR Part 655

Administrative practice and procedure, Agriculture, Aliens, Employment, Forest and forest products, Guam, Labor, Migrant labor, Wages.

Final Rule

Accordingly, Part 655 of Chapter V of Title 20, Code of Federal Regulations, is amended as follows:

PART 655—LABOR CERTIFICATION PROCESS FOR THE TEMPORARY EMPLOYMENT OF ALIENS IN THE UNITED STATES

1. In 20 CFR Part 655, the authority citation is revised to read as follows:

Authority 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(15)(H) and 1184(c), and 29 U.S.C. 49 *et seq.*; §§ 655.00, 655.00, and 655.00 also issued under 8 U.S.C. 1186 and 8 CFR 214.2(h)(4)(i); Subpart A and Subpart C also issued under 8 CFR 214.2(h)(4)(i); Subpart B also issued under 8 U.S.C. 1186.

2. Section 655.107(a) is republished without change to read as follows:

§ 655.107 Adverse effect wage rates (AEWRs).

(a) *Computation and publication of AEWRs.* Except as otherwise provided in this section, the AEWRs for all agricultural employment (except for those occupations deemed inappropriate under the special circumstances provisions of § 655.93 of this part) for which temporary alien agricultural labor certification is being sought shall be equal to the annual weighted average hourly wage rate for field and livestock workers (combined) for the region as published annually by the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) based on the USDA quarterly wage survey. The Director shall publish, at least once in each calendar year, on a date or dates to be determined by the Director, AEWRs for each State (for which USDA publishes regional data), calculated pursuant to this paragraph (a) as a notice or notices in the **Federal Register.**

* * * * *

Signed at Washington, DC, this 28th day of June 1989.

Elizabeth Dole,

Secretary of Labor.

Attachment 1—Summary and Analysis of Employer Comments

Points raised by employers in support of their position include the following:

1. There is no empirical evidence of past adverse effect on agricultural wage rates from the use of foreign workers. This is true of the studies cited by DOL in the NPRM and also generally of the research literature on this subject. In addition, specific information presented in connection with the Montana, Idaho, and Oregon NPRMs, as well as other information relating to Florida, shows no adverse effect from the use of illegals. Furthermore, there is evidence that the H–2 program has raised overall agricultural wage rates in Florida, New York and New England. Finally, it is inappropriate to look at agricultural-nonagricultural wage differentials as an indication of past adverse effect, for a variety of reasons. DOL tends to agree with the overall thrust of this point, although not necessarily in all the particulars. DOL believes past adverse effect has not been significant enough to cause generalized wage rate depression, but recognizes the possibility of adverse effect in specific crops, activities and areas.

2. A theoretical or conceptual model of agricultural labor markets supports the inability of empirical findings to find generalized adverse effect. Such a model must take into account international competition in agriculture, which has increased dramatically in the 1980's. Using this model, it is shown that wage levels are determined primarily by international equilibrium market conditions, not the supply of labor, as the demand for labor is very elastic. The conclusion from this model is that foreign workers have not had an adverse effect on wage rates. However, even if one assumes a closed-system, non-international model, demand for labor is still relatively elastic, so that past wage depression would be small. DOL agrees that the demand for agricultural labor is very elastic, particularly in crops which are highly competitive internationally, and that this elasticity would tend to minimize, but not necessarily eliminate, any past adverse effect. Adverse effect could still have occurred in specific crops, activities and areas.

3. The USDA survey wage rates have not been depressed from the use of foreign workers. Again, lack of empirical and theoretical evidence of adverse effect is cited. Also, the broad geographic scope of the USDA survey would tend to average out any localized wage depression. DOL agrees with this point.

4. Use of the USDA survey, and the average wage rates produced by that survey, is appropriate (as a second-best alternative to their preferred approach) from a technical point of view, and also will not cause wage stagnation. First, use of the USDA average wage eliminates serious anomalies in the old H–2 methodology. Second, the USDA survey is methodologically sound, providing the best and most comprehensive information on agricultural wage rates. Third, the survey sample adequately covers the kinds of activities for which H–2A applications are likely under IRCA. Fourth, rather than causing wage stagnation, the use of last year's average rate as this year's minimum will cause wage inflation because of a ratcheting-upward effect. This ratcheting effect will occur also because of the need to maintain wage differentials, particularly for piece-rate work. Furthermore, the effect on the movement of wages would be no different than if the old H–2 methodology were retained, since that methodology used the year-to-year changes in the USDA rates to index the AEWRs. DOL agrees that the USDA survey is entirely appropriate from a technical point of view, and agrees with the reasons cited. DOL also agrees that use of the USDA survey average rate will not cause wage depression; if anything, requiring the previous year's average as this year's minimum may tend to have a ratcheting-upward effect on wage rates.

5. Unreasonably high AEWRs could endanger the total U.S. domestic agribusiness, because the international competitive position of U.S. agriculture is quite fragile. Agricultural employers may reduce production, mechanize more, to quit production altogether, all of which would eliminate jobs for U.S. workers. The clear Congressional intent was to make the H–2A program usable, not to make U.S. producers noncompetitive. This is reflected in the many special provisions in IRCA for agriculture. Unreasonably high AEWRs would also encourage continued use of illegals, which would undermine the intent of IRCA. While these considerations are not dispositive, DOL believes they are valid considerations, which tend to support DOL's AEWR methodology. However, they were not the primary or controlling reasons for adopting that methodology.

6. IRCA only requires that the AEWR prevent future adverse effect from the

use of foreign workers, not compensate for past effect. The USDA average will certainly accomplish this. In addition, wages can be expected to rise in the post-IRCA period of possible labor shortages. DOL acknowledges there may be some tendency for wage rates to rise, but probably not to any significant extent, because of the same factors which precluded past foreign workers from having a significant depressive effect on wages. Regarding IRCA's applicability to future or past adverse effect, the Court of Appeals has ruled it applies to both.

As stated above, employer representatives strongly prefer an AEWR methodology based on prevailing rates in specific crops, activities, and areas. DOL cannot accept this approach for several reasons. While DOL believes past adverse effect has not been significant enough to cause generalized wage rate depression, it does acknowledge the possibility of adverse effect in specific crops, activities and areas. Such adverse effect would not be addressed by requiring only the prevailing wage rate as the AEWR. Part of the employer's preferred approach is that where such pockets of adverse effect exist, suitable adjustments could be made on a case-by-case basis. While this is conceptually valid, DOL deems the administrative difficulties in making such determinations of adverse effect and quantifying the adjustments to be made as insurmountable. DOL believes that by requiring the average wage as the AEWR, such pockets of past adverse effect will be suitably addressed.

ATTACHMENT 2.—ANALYSIS OF FARMWORKER COMMENTS

Farmworker Advocate Comments	DOL Analysis
A. *DOL Has Dramatically Changed Policy and Practice of Past 30 Years of "Enhanced AEWRs" and Has Done So Without Adequate Explanation/Justification*	
1. Past policy and practice has clearly been to enhance average or prevailing rates; DOL misrepresented past DOL policy in NPRM. Early AEWRs (particularly 1965 adjustments whose effects were carried forward under indexing) were conscious enhancements. Also, rulemaking adding States for which special AEWRs were established under the H-2 program specifically compensated for adverse effect on prevailing rates.	1. DOL has never established a policy of adding an enhancement to the USDA rates. The 1965 adjustments were made on the rationale that agricultural rates should change by the same proportions as manufacturing rates in each State. These adjustments resulted in rates higher (by different proportions) than the USDA rate in most States, but not all. In addition, in 1965 a minimum rate was applied, presumably related to the FLSA minimum rate, which did not apply to agriculture at the time. While these adjustments apparently reflected some DOL dissatisfaction with the USDA average *at the time,* they were not a direct enhancement of the USDA rates per se. As stated in the NPRM, "the fact that the AEWR averaged 20% above the average hourly earnings from the USDA survey in the four seen 'traditional user States' is an unintended result of the application of the various methodologies used in the 1960's to create the AEWR base; it cannot in any way be viewed as a measurement of the quantum of adverse effect." Regarding rulemaking to add AEWR States under H-2, the focus was on *prevailing* rates (which apply to specific crop-activity-areas), as opposed to Statewide *average* rates, as determined by the USDA survey.
2. Past AEWR concept has been the rate which would have existed were it not for illegals.	2. This has never been the AEWR concept or policy. The AEWR is intended as a *floor,* or *minimum;* it was never designed to protect wages above this floor or minimum. This approach has always been deemed sufficient to prevent the adverse effect specified in the old H-2 regulations, and now in IRCA. The Appeals Court has interpreted such adverse effect to include both past and prospective adverse effect. There is no way of determining empirically what rates would have existed in the absence of illegals. Theoretically, a rate necessary to attract the *same number* of U.S. workers as there were illegals would be dramatically higher, given the apparent inelasticity of supply of U.S. agricultural labor. This concept has been specifically rejected by the Courts. However, the more likely result, recognizing the great elasticity of demand for labor, particularly in the highly internationally competitive agricultural markets of the 1980's, is that wage rates would have been about the same, with far less production and employment.
3. The H-2A AEWR methodology represents a dramatic change in AEWR policy by dropping an enhancement factor. Evidence of dramatic change is that AEWRs are significantly lower in almost all States compared with the old H-2 methodology, which had been upheld by the Court.	3. There has been *no* change in the basic AEWR concept and principles, as described in Item 2 above, only in the methodology employed to observe and implement this concept and these principles. This change was made in light of the following: (a) a thorough re-examination of the origins and history of the old H-2 methodology revealed basic flaws which did not impact seriously on the 14 traditional States, but which clearly would produce inappropriate AEWRs for the many possible new States under IRCA; (b) more sophisticated and methodologically superior recent research contradicts assumptions about adverse effect based on more crudely constructed studies of 25–30 years ago; (c) agricultural markets have become dramatically more international since the 1960's when previous AEWR methodology was established, providing a theoretical framework of analysis which supports recent empirical findings of little or no adverse effect; (d) the passage of IRCA, reflecting clear Congressional intent for a workable, usable H-2A program, not one which would put U.S. agriculture out of business (Congress' interest in a viable U.S. agricultural industry is reflected in all the special agricultural provisions in IRCA); IRCA also promises to remove illegals from agriculture (so that whatever adverse impact they might have had in the past will be removed) and to bring about a significant expansion in the H-2A program. These changes have led DOL to adopt a methodology setting the AEWR equal to the USDA average in each State. In briefest form, the rationale for adopting this approach is: (1) Best available studies fail to provide evidence of any adverse effect (much less any quantification of such effect) at State/regional/national levels; this lack of empirical evidence of adverse effect is supported by theoretical analysis; (2) any localized adverse effects are probably not large enough to show up in USDA series; (3) thus, DOL has no basis and no right to impose an arbitrary enhancement factor; (4) to the extent there are localized pockets that wage rates are below the State/regional average, requiring the average as a minimum will "cure" this adverse effect; DOL has *not* adopted the employers preferred approach of basically using only crop-activity-area prevailing wage rates.

ATTACHMENT 2.—ANALYSIS OF FARMWORKER COMMENTS—Continued

Farmworker Advocate Comments	DOL Analysis
	The old methodology was based on information and analyses which are now shown to be weak. This methodology was upheld by the Courts as within DOL discretion. DOL's new methodology, based on better information and analysis, and recognizing recent developments in agricultural markets, should not be precluded because of past faulty practice, but is likewise within DOL discretion.
B *There Has Been Clear Past Adverse Effect on Agricultural Wage Rates*	
1. DOL has misrepresented the studies it cited; they actually confirm adverse effect.	1 DOL has carefully reviewed the studies again, and concludes they were not misrepresented.
a. *Economic Report of the President* was misrepresented; shows "devastating" impact on workers in direct competition with aliens.	a This is a gross misrepresentation by farmworker advocates. While the report makes a distinction between those workers competing directly and indirectly with aliens (and says adverse impact is more likely among those directly competing), the overwhelming thrust of the report is that adverse effects, if any, are negligible—and even possibly positive—for *both* direct and indirect workers. DOL quoted directly from report in NPRM: "Increased wages have been found both for broad groups of workers and also for native-born minority groups with whom immigrants might compete directly for jobs."
b. National Commission on Employment Policy report misrepresented; says job displacement was greatest among direct-competition workers.	b. Statement quoted by farmworker advocates relates to job displacement, not wage rates. Also, the statement goes on to say that the numbers involved are unknown, and *may* be significant, indicating the effect, if any, is not large. Regarding wages rates, the report cities several studies which found minimal wage depression.
c. DOL ignored case studies dealing with agriculture in GAO report, which found significant wage depression.	c. DOL did not ignore those case studies. They were acknowledged in the NPRM, and are not inconsistent with DOL's view that there have possible been localized adverse effects, but the overall impact is unclear, and likely not enough to have affected the USDA series in any significant way. The overwhelming thrust of the GAO report is one of great uncertainty as to whether and how much adverse effect has occurred. With respect to the questions of whether illegal alien workers depress wages and are associated with declining business environments, GAO states: "For both questions, we found again that useful evidence was scarce. Many of the studies would not or did not adequately differentiate between illegal alien and legal immigrant workers. Studies that did permit (with varying degrees of accuracy) differentiation by legal status were generally limited in ways which constrain generalizability. Accordingly, our answer to both questions are again caveated." And to repeat the last sentence quoted in the NPRM from the GAO report: "This suggests that the effects of illegal workers on the wages and working conditions of native or legal workers are not *automatically* in the direction of depressing these conditions, and that those effects depend on a number of factors, of which the illegal status of the workers is one."
d. DOL misinterpreted GAO statement about aggregation—difficulty in measuring should not be interpreted as meaning no adverse effect.	d. DOL believes GAO's statement that "wage depression is harder and harder to detect at levels of analysis beyond or above a highly localized and occupation-specific labor market" was probably intended to have both meanings—any adverse effect would be more difficult to measure at higher levels of aggregation, but also if the effect were large, it would probably be able to be detected. DOL believes the latter meaning is strongly indicated in GAO's uncertainty as to *whether* adverse effect has occurred, as well as how much.
e. The National Bureau of Economic Research and Urban Institute studies should be dismissed because they do not include agriculture.	e. While agricultural sectors were not included in those studies, the concepts and principles being analyzed may be applied to agriculture. The NBER study clearly says there have been only *modest* impacts on those competing directly with illegal aliens (immigrants and earlier immigrants) and *little, if any,* impact on blacks and Hispanics, the next closest substitutes. The Urban Institute study concludes the wages of non-Hispanic laborers were only *somewhat* depressed in local case studies, with *negligible* impacts on non-Hispanic semi-skilled workers.
2. DOL has misrepresented Dr. Martin's special study for DOL; it and other Martin studies say there has been adverse effect.	2. DOL reviewed the proposed AEWR rationale with Martin prior to its submission to the Court in April 1988, and again prior to preparation of this final rule. Martin stated that his study was not misrepresented, and that DOL's approach seemed reasonable.
a. Study design dictated by DOL was flawed; required certain assumptions which may not be true; was theoretical speculation, rather than empirical study.	a. This misses the point of the study, and its implications for the issue at hand. Martin was asked to theorize, based on his estabilshed expertise, what effects the removal of illegals might have on agricultural wages. His overall conclusion was that wage rates would tend to rise, but not enough to show up in the USDA average wage rates. Whether or not illegals actually cease to exist in agriculture as a result of IRCA, Dr. Martin's analysis and conclusions are still valid; namely, that illegals have had no discernible effect on USDA wage rates. This must be so since their removal would have no discernible effect.
b. Statement on Page 10 says (indirectly) that illegals have depressed farm wages.	b. DOL does not rule out the possibility—indeed it acknowledges in the NPRM—that wages could be depressed from the use of illegals in certain crops, activities, and areas, and Martin appears to concur. But this one statement does not invalidate the overwhelming thrust of his paper that any increase in wages from the removal of illegals would probably not be large enough to show up in the USDA series.
c. Piece rates may have been adversely affected by illegals, even if average hourly earnings under these piece rates have been high. Martin concurred with this subsequent to his report.	c. Martin's study says that illegals may tend to *increase* average hourly earnings (on which the AEWR is based), because of the higher productivity of solo men working at piece rates, over what it might have been if only U.S. workers were involved. This has not been disputed by farmworker advocates. Even if piece rates would have been higher without illegals (which DOL does not concede), it does not mean less productive U.S. workers would have had higher hourly earnings than the illegals had.

ATTACHMENT 2.—ANALYSIS OF FARMWORKER COMMENTS—Continued

Farmworker Advocate Comments	DOL Analysis
d. Other Martin studies conclude illegals cause wage depression.	d. Again, possible wage depression in certain crop-activity-areas is not disputed by DOL, nor by Martin. The issue is whether in the aggregate there is wage depression, whether it is significant, and whether it is reflected in the USDA survey. One Martin study cited by farmworker advocates, while generally concluding some wage depression, also states: "Average hourly and annual earnings have decreased, but these decreases cannot be observed in wage statistics." This is entirely consistent with DOL's position, and with Martin's conclusions in his special study for DOL.
3. Past DOL studies over the last 30 years show adverse effect. These include a 1959 Consultants Report; 123 wage surveys done in 1960; studies done in the 1960's of Texas and California border areas; analyses supporting rulemaking in 1979 and 1986 adding States for which separate AEWRs were to be calculated; and justification for a 1980–81 AEWR rulemaking subsequently withdrawn.	3. DOL has reviewed these studies, to the extent they are still available, and finds they invariably related to specific crop-activity-areas; they were simple comparisons, not meeting today's methodological standards for economic research (they were not included by GAO among the studies meeting its methodological standards for inclusion in its review of the literature); and most of them were done 20 or more years ago, since which time significant changes in agricultural markets have occurred. These rulemakings cited apprehensions of illegals in agriculture and wages at or near the FLSA minimum wage as a basis for determining the existence of past adverse effect and the need for establishing Statewide AEWRs. Comments received in the 1986 Idaho-Mont-Oregon rulemaking have given DOL pause in this regard; information presented raises doubts about penetration of illegals and effect on wages. Nevertheless, DOL continues to assume the need for an AEWR under its H–2A methodology, in that it *has* set AEWRs for *all* States. DOL's withdrawal of this rule in 1981 very shortly after promulgation indicates it did not support the underlying analysis.
4. Other studies by non-DOL researchers show adverse effect; even show adverse effect from H–2 program.	4. DOL has reviewed the studies cited by farmworker advocates. Almost all of these were not included in the GAO review of studies which met GAO's methodological standards. Almost all of these dealt with specific crop-activity-area situations, rather than Statewide or industry-wide analyses. In any event, these studies generally do not assert clear-cut adverse effects. Two studies which do deal with Statewide or industry-wide impacts were carefully reviewed by DOL. (Both were not reviewed in the GAO report.) DOL found the Medoff-Abraham study of the impact of the H–2 program to be methodologically seriously flawed. Contrary to their overall conclusion that the use of H–2 workers depressed average agricultural wages, the average wages in the two States with the highest penetration of H–2 workers (Florida and West Virginia) increased faster than the average wages in non-H–2 States. In addition, still other studies of H–2 program effects in Florida show a positive impact on State wage rates. The Morgan-Gardner study of the impact of the 1950's and 60's bracero program is a very thoughtful and careful study; however, its assumptions and implications for today have been questioned by other prominent agricultural labor economists, as well as DOL analysts, with respect to the elasticity of the demand for labor and the effects of more recent changes in agricultural markets.
5. Agricultural wage rates have not kept pace with manufacturing wage rates between 1974 and 1987 in many States with high estimated numbers of illegals; DOL's citing high agricultural wage rates in these States not meaningful in themselves.	5. There is little conceptual or theoretical basis for believing that agricultural and manufacturing wage rates should move in lock step. The variables that determine wage rates in each are distinctly different. For example, year-to-year changes in agricultural wages (but not in non-agricultural wages) will be influenced by weather patterns throughout the world, which will affect the demand for U.S. agricultural products and the demand for agricultural workers in the U.S. (DOL considered, and then withdrew, this approach in 1980–81 rulemaking. DOL also applied this approach partially to AEWRs in 1965, which became the basis for subsequent indexing; this is one reason DOL finds the old H–2 methodology unsuitable under IRCA.) In addition, the special circumstances surrounding agriculture were recognized by the Congress in establishing a special legalization program and special programs for utilizing foreign workers in agriculture. Nevertheless, further analysis of agricultural-manufacturing wage relationships shows that in all of the high-illegal States the agricultural-manufacturing wage rate ratio is approximately as high or higher than in the U.S. as a whole. Even using the percent change in these rates between 1974 and 1987, shows that generally States with AWERs under the old H-2 methodology (which farmworker advocates recommend retaining) fare worse then States with no AEWRs. Regarding absolute agricultural wage rates, it is difficult to explain why the State with the undisputed greatest penetration of illegals (California) should also have the highest agricultural wage rates within the contiguous 48 States, if illegals cause the adverse effect claimed by farmworker advocates. Other high-illegal States (such as Washington and Oregon) have the next highest wage rates.
6. Even if studies were considered to be inconclusive as to adverse effect, DOL should give benefit of the doubt to U.S. workers.	6. DOL takes the opposite view, which it believes is more reasonable; namely, lacking any solid evidence of past adverse effect, and lacking the means for determining adverse effect, DOL has no right to impose some arbitrary enhancement to the USDA average. In addition to the empirical studies which have been unable to demonstrate generalized adverse effect, DOL must give weight to a theoretical analysis which recognizes that in a global agricultural market, a condition which has accelerated rapidly in the 1980's, wage levels are determined primarily by international equilibrium market conditions, which means a highly elastic demand for labor, rather than by labor supply. Furthemore, DOL has an obligation to be evenhanded, recognizing the legitimate interests and concerns of both employers and workers.

ATTACHMENT 2.—ANALYSIS OF FARMWORKER COMMENTS—Continued

Farmworker Advocate Comments	DOL Analysis
C. *The USDA Survey Has Been Tainted/Depressed from Past Use of Illegals*	
1. If one accepts (as DOL does) the possibility of adverse effect in local aeas where illegals have predominated, then the high numbers and widespread penetration of illegals in agricultural activities generally *must* have affected the USDA series. Cites unofficial DOL March 13, 1987 estimates; large number of SAW applications (1.2 million); DOL comments on GAO report; Texas sheep and goat survey. Farmworker advocates estimates 12–50% penetration.	1. Both the total number of farmworkers, and especially the number of illegals, are highly uncertain. Estimates of the number of illegals in the past range from 200,000 to over 1 million. The proportion of illegals has been surveyed by Martin at 20 percent in California, the State with acknowledged highest penetration. Estimate for specific crop activities in California was as high as 54 percent. Other estimates run higher in some specific crop-activity-areas. But these are all *only estimates*; nobody knows with any certainty. With respect to SAW applications, INS believes there is a very high incidence of unsupported applications. Even if the penetration is high, it is not clear that aliens have had an adverse effect on wages; could even be positive, due to higher hourly earnings than U.S. workers at piece rates. Furthermore, with highly elastic labor demand conditions, the wage rate is not influenced greatly by the supply of labor. Finally, it is possible that in some specific crop-activity-areas there has been adverse effect, but not enough to significantly effect the USDA average. The DOL comments on the GAO report refer to studies done in specific activities and areas, not to general average wage levels in an occupation or industry. The Texas sheep and goat survey relates likewise to a specific activity and area; it also indicates nothing about what the rate would have been absent the high penetration of illegals; the same rate may have prevailed, with fewer producers and workers.
2. DOL has misrepresented Martins' view on this matter; clarifying Martin-Tuddenham conversations and correspondence confirm this.	2. While Martin has indicated, subsequent to his special study for DOL, that it cannot be said with certainty that the USDA survey is untainted, he has not changed his statement in the report that the removal of illegals would probably not have an effect on the USDA survey data—which means their presence also has not had an effect. This is the major conclusion of his study. Again, Martin reviewed the DOL use of his study and found he was not misrepresented.
D. *USDA SURVEY is Too Flawed and Otherwise Inappropriate to Serve as AEWR Base, Apart from Adverse Effect Considerations*	
1. Wage rates generated by USDA survey are erratic, showing large changes from year-to-year in some regions/States. Cites Martin statement that this is why wage increases from removal of illegals would not show up in USDA surveys. Also, cites GAO criticism of USDA survey.	1. In citing Martin statement in special DOL study, farmworker advocates apparently are accepting Martin's overall conclusion that wage changes won't show up in USDA series, but they misrepresent his explanation of why this is so. Martin says: "It is entirely possible that illegal aliens are simply too small a factor in the generally multi-State labor markets surveyed by *Farm Labor* to isolate the effects of their removal. Alternatively, *Farm Labor* may be an unreliable guide to changes in farm labor, especially over short time periods." *Both* of these are possible explanations of Martin's overall conclusion—but his conclusion still stands. In fact, the USDA survey is the best, most scientific measure of farm wage rates; it is given high marks by GAO, even though some aspects are criticized. The GAO report in essence affirms the USDA survey as a statistically designed and defensible probability survey for collecting regional and U.S. level wage rate data. It is noteworthy that in attacking the reliability and validity of the USDA survey, farmworker advocates are attacking the very basis for their preferred AEWR methodologies; namely, the old H–2 methodology which used the USDA survey to index year-to-year changes, and adding an enhancement factor to the USDA base rate.
2. USDA Survey understates wage rates for occupations in which H–2A workers tend to be employed, as determined by GAO report. Martin concurs.	2. DOL disagrees, based on anticipated expansion of H–2A program to a much wider variety of agricultural occupations (see official DOL comments on GAO report). Also based on further analysis of offsetting factors, as follows.
a. Survey does not include higher paid agricultural service workers, which include employees of farm labor contractors; such workers comprise about 15–20% of agriculture workers nationally and a higher proportion in some states, such as California.	a. It is true that agricultural service workers' wages are not included in the USDA wage rates. However, GAO points out that wage differences between agricultural service workers and other farm workers are small, and agricultural service workers may include high-wage, high-skilled "non-agricultural" workers (i.e., not field and livestock workers). In addition, the field and livestock worker wage categories include higher skilled workers such as farm machine operators and livestock herdsmen. Also, Martin-Mines found wages of contract workers *lower* than others in California. It may be noted that since illegals tend to be heavily represented in labor contract work, the omission of such work from the USDA survey lessens the influence of illegals on that survey. (It also suggests illegals may not depress the overall averages.)
b. Survey undercounts seasonal and temporary workers which tend to be paid higher wages. Ratio of seasonal to year-round is 1:2 in USDA survey; 4:1 in Census of Agriculture.	b. The differences in the ratios are not necessarily inconsistent. The USDA counts workers on farms during a 1-week period each quarter so farm-to-farm migration of seasonal workers is minimal. The Census of Agriculture counts workers on farms during the year so a seasonal worker that worked on six different farms would be reported six times. The affect of any possible undercounting of seasonal workers tends to be offset by the fact that GAO says piece rate hours are probably understated. If true, this means the hourly earnings for piece-rate paid workers are probably overstated—which gives an upward bias to the overall USDA rate. If the USDA survey does undercount seasonal workers, this undercuts advocates' assertion that illegals tend to depress wages, since they tend to be concentrated in seasonal/temporary work. In addition, if such work is underrepresented here, then illegals have less influence on the USDA rate
3 Use of *last* year's average as required rate for *this* year inappropriate; one-year lag in the data.	3. DOL has no suitable basis for adjusting for this lag. In fact, the direction of change is not always upward
4. USDA average should be enhanced to take account of Social Security and FUTA taxes which employers of aliens are not required to pay; estimated at 9–10 percent of wages.	4. The AEWR relates to wages paid to workers, not to total labor costs incurred by employers. Legislation specifically exempts employers from making these payments for alien workers. Employers also make the point that they incur other costs in the H-2A program which non-H–2A employers are not required to bear

ATTACHMENT 2.—ANALYSIS OF FARMWORKER COMMENTS—Continued

Farmworker Advocate Comments	DOL Analysis
5. Requiring only the average will have depressing and stagnating effect on wage rates.	5. DOL believes quite the contrary. If anything, requiring the previous year's *average* as this year's *minimum* may tend to have a ratcheting-upward effect on wage rates. This is particularly true where piece rates are used as incentive payments permitting higher-productivity workers to earn well above the average; in other words, for incentives to be maintained, piece rates (and earnings) would have to be raised. Also, non-H-2A employers would be pressured to raise rates to compete for workers. And if an enhancement were added, the ratcheting effect would be very inflationary It should be noted that even the staunchest FLSA minimum wage advocates would recognize as inflationary setting the FLSA minimum equivalent to the average non-agricultural earnings. The highest the post-war FLSA minimum has been set as a proportion of average non-agricultural earnings is 56 percent in 1956.
E. *Other DOL Arguments Are Inappropriate*	
1. "Anomalies in old methodolgy"—no justification for current approach of non-enhancement; cf. Appeals Court—could as easily say anomalies show need for more enhancement, not less.	1. By themselves, the flaws in the methodology which DOL has documented do not provide a justification *for* any specific methodology, but they are important considerations in that they indicate a clear need to change from the old methodology. They also demonstrate the lack of ability to measure adverse effect.
2. "Elimination of illegals will raise wages"—doesn't address past adverse effect, as required by the Court of Appeals.	2. While this point does not address past adverse effect, it is nevertheless an important consideration to assure that the AEWR methodology does not build in a further inflationary accelerator, such as an enhancement factor.
3. "International competition considerations"—not within scope of legitimate consideration.	3. DOL believes international competition must be part of basic theoretical model for analyzing adverse effect, because that is factually the way agricultural markets are, particularly in the 1980's. Clearly DOL must be mindful of the potential consequences of its actions, to the extent it has administrative discretion within statutory limitations.
F. *Recommended AEWR Approaches*	
1. Return to old H-2 methodology for all States	1. Clearly fatally flawed—bears no relationship to any reasonable conception or measurement of past adverse effect for most States. Disregards most recent empirical and theoretical analyses. Base rates related primarily to manufacturing wage rate changes 1950–65 (a conceptual approach which DOL has since rejected in 1981) and an attempt to set minimum rates in agriculture in the absence of FLSA coverage at the time (1965).
2. Add enhancement to USDA rate in all States to compensate for: (a) past adverse effect, (b) social security and FUTA taxes, (c) one-year lag, and (d) underrepresentation of H-2A type work.	2. Such enhancement is inappropriate, for the reasons discussed above for each of these points. Would also be inflationary, adversely affect competitive position of U.S. agriculture, and thereby adversely affect U.S. workers, both those "similarly employed" and complementary workers.
3. Add enhancement to USDA rate in States where adverse effect can be demonstrated, as did under H-2.	3. While this approach has merit conceptually, there is currently no supportable basis for determining State-by-State adverse effect. In this connection, it bears repeating that the States with the highest estimated penetration of illegals (particularly California) also tend to have the highest agricultural wage rates.
4. Use USDA piece rate average hourly earnings as AEWR (higher than combined average), since most H-2A workers paid by piece.	4. Under IRCA, expect almost all kinds of farm work with a wide variety in methods of payment may have H-2A applications. In addition, DOL does not believe the average hourly earnings under incentive methods of payment are appropriate for an hourly rate standard.
5. Adjust USDA rate for agricultural-manufacturing wage differential.	5. Inappropriateness of this approach discussed above. DOL rejected this approach in 1981.
6. Set AEWR at or above non-farm rate	6. No suitable conceptual or theoretical support for this approach.
7. Establish separate hourly AEWR for piece rate work	7. AEWR meant to be floor or minimum for all agricultural work. DOL has never tried to protect earnings above this floor.
8. Establish separate hourly AEWR for seasonal work	8. See response to item 7. Also, "seasonal" would vary by crop and activity. Not administratively feasible.
9. AEWR should include value of perquisites	9. Not administratively feasible to cost these out and factor into hourly AEWR. In addition, AEWR has always related to cash wages; perquisites such as housing, transportation, tools, etc. dealt with elsewhere in the regulations.

[FR Doc. 89–15634 Filed 7–3–89; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4510–30–M

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

21 CFR Parts 556 and 558

Animal Drugs, Feeds, and Related Products; Halofuginone

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is amending the animal drug regulations to reflect approval of a new animal drug application (NADA) filed by Hoechst-Roussel Agri-Vet Co. The NADA provides for the safe and effective use of a Type A medicated article containing halofuginone hydrobromide in making Type C medicated turkey feeds for the prevention of coccidiosis. The regulations are also amended to establish a tolerance and safe concentrations for drug residues in edible turkey tissue.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 5, 1989.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dianne T. McRae, Center for Veterinary Medicine (HFV–135), Food and Drug Administration, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857, 301–443–4913.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Hoechst-Roussel Agri-Vet Co., Route 202–206 North, Somerville, NJ 08876, has filed NADA 140–824 providing for the use of a Type A medicated article containing 2.72 grams of halofuginone hydrobromide per pound to make Type C medicated feeds containing 1.36 to 2.72 grams of halofuginone hydrobromide per ton for growing turkeys. The Type C medicated feeds are for the prevention of coccidiosis

caused by *Eimeria adenoeides, E. meleagrimitis,* and *E. gallopavonis.*

The application is approved and 21 CFR 558.265 is amended by revising paragraph (c) to reflect the approval. The basis for approval is discussed in the freedom of information summary. Section 556.308 (21 CFR 556.308) is also amended to establish a tolerance for the marker residue and safe concentrations for total residues of halofuginone hydrobromide in edible turkey tissue. The regulation is also being revised for clarity to specify that the marker residue is parent halofuginone hydrobromide.

Halofuginone hydrobromide is a new animal drug used in a Type A medicated article to make a Type C medicated feed. It is a Category II, Type A medicated article which, as provided in 21 CFR 558.4, requires an approved form FDA 1900 for making a Type C feed, in accordance with the approved NADA.

In accordance with the freedom of information provisions of Part 20 (21 CFR Part 20) and § 514.11(e)(2)(ii) (21 CFR 514.11(e)(2)(ii)), a summary of safety and effectiveness data and information submitted to support approval of this application may be seen in the Dockets Management Branch (HFA–305), Food and Drug Administration, Rm. 4–62, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857, from 9 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

The agency has carefully considered the potential environmental effects of this action. FDA has concluded that the action will not have a significant impact on the human environment, and that an environmental impact statement is not required. The agency's finding of no significant impact and the evidence supporting that finding, contained in an environmental assessment, may be seen in the Dockets Management Branch (address above) between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

List of Subjects

21 CFR Part 556

Animal drugs, Foods.

21 CFR Part 558

Animal drugs, Animal feeds.

Therefore, under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and under authority delegated to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs and redelegated to the Center for Veterinary Medicine, Parts 556 and 558 are amended as follows:

PART 556—TOLERANCES FOR RESIDUES OF NEW ANIMAL DRUGS IN FOOD

1. The authority citation for 21 CFR 556 continues to read as follows:

Authority: Sec. 512, 82 Stat. 343–351 (21 U.S.C. 360b); 21 CFR 5.10 and 5.83.

2. Section 556.308 is revised to read as follows:

§ 556.308 Halofuginone hydrobromide.

The marker residue selected to monitor for total residues of halofuginone hydrobromide in broilers and turkeys is parent halofuginone hydrobromide and the target tissue selected is liver. A tolerance is established in broilers and turkeys of 0.1 part per million for parent halofuginone hydrobromide in liver. A marker residue concentration of 0.1 part per million in liver corresponds to a concentration for total residues of halofuginone hydrobromide of 0.3 part per million in liver. The safe concentrations for total residues of halofuginone hydrobromide in the uncooked edible tissues of broilers and turkeys are 0.1 part per million in muscle, 0.3 part per million in liver, and 0.2 part per million in skin with adhering fat. As used in this section, "tolerance" refers to a concentration of a marker residue in the target tissue selected to monitor for total residues of the drug in the target animal, and "safe concentrations" refers to the concentrations of total residues considered safe in edible tissues.

PART 558—NEW ANIMAL DRUGS FOR USE IN ANIMAL FEEDS

3. The authority citation for 21 CFR Part 558 continues to read as follows:

Authority: Sec. 512, 82 Stat. 343–351 (21 U.S.C. 360b); 21 CFR 5.10 and 5.83.

4. Section 558.265 is amended by revising paragraph (c) to read as follows:

§ 558.265 Halofuginone hydrobromide.

* * * * *

(c) *Conditions of use.* (1) It is used in feed for broiler chickens as follows:

(i) *Amount.* 2.72 grams per ton.

(A) *Indications for use.* For the prevention of coccidiosis caused by *Eimeria tenella, E. necatrix, E. acervulina, E. brunetti, E. mivati,* and *E. maxima.*

(B) *Limitations.* Feed continuously as sole ration; withdraw 4 days before slaughter; do not feed to layers; avoid contact with skin, eyes, or clothing; keep out of lakes, ponds, or streams.

(ii) *Amount per ton.* Halofuginone 2.72 grams (0.0003 percent) plus bambermycins 1 to 2 grams.

(A) *Indications for use.* For the prevention of coccidiosis caused by *Eimeria tenella, E. necatrix, E. acervulina, E. brunetti, E. mivati,* and *E. maxima;* for increased rate of weight gain and improved feed efficiency.

(B) *Limitations.* Feed continuously as sole ration; withdraw 5 days before slaughter; do not feed to layers.

(iii) *Amount per ton.* Halofuginone 2.72 grams (0.0003 percent) plus virginiamycin 5 grams.

(A) *Indications for use.* For the prevention of coccidiosis caused by *Eimeria tenella, E. necatrix, E. acervulina, E. brunetti, E. mivati,* and *E. maxima;* for increased rate of weight gain and improved feed efficiency.

(B) *Limitations.* Feed continuously as sole ration; withdraw 6 days before slaughter; do not feed to layers.

(iv) *Amount per ton.* Halofuginone 2.72 grams (0.0003 percent) plus virginiamycin 5 to 15 grams.

(A) *Indications for use.* For the prevention of coccidiosis caused by *Eimeria tenella, E. necatrix, E. acervulina, E. brunetti, E. mevati, and E. maxima;* for increased rate of weight gain.

(B) *Limitations.* Feed continuously as sole ration; withdraw 6 days before slaughter; do not feed to layers.

(v) *Amount per ton.* Halofuginone hydrobromide 2.72 grams (0.0003 percent) plus bacitracin methylene disalicylate 10 to 50 grams and roxarsone 22.7 to 45.4 grams.

(A) *Indications for use.* For the prevention of coccidiosis caused by *Eimeria tenella, E. necatrix, E. acervulina, E. brunetti, E. mivati,* and *E. maxima;* for increased rate of weight gain; and for improved feed efficiency.

(B) *Limitations.* Feed continuously as sole ration; withdraw 5 days before slaughter; use as sole source of organic arsenic; do not feed to layers; avoid contact with skin, eyes, or clothing; keep out of lakes, ponds, or streams.

(vi) *Amount per ton.* Halofuginone 2.72 grams (0.0003 percent) plus bacitracin methylene disalicylate 10 to 50 grams.

(A) *Indications for use.* For the prevention of coccidiosis caused by *Eimeria tenella, E. necatrix, E. acervulina, E. brunetti, E. mevati, E. maxima* and for improved feed efficiency.

(B) *Limitations.* Feed continuously as sole ration; withdraw 5 days before slaughter; do not feed to layers; avoid contact with skin, eyes, or clothing; keep out of lakes, ponds, or streams.

(vii) *Amount per ton.* Halofuginone 2.72 grams (0.0003 percent) plus lincomycin 2 to 4 grams.

(A) *Indications for use.* For the prevention of coccidiosis caused by *Eimeria tenella, E. necatrix, E. acervulina, E. brunetti, E. mivati,* and *E. maxima* and for improved feed efficiency.

(B) *Limitations.* Feed continuously as sole ration; withdraw 4 days before slaughter; do not feed to layers; avoid contact with skin, eyes, or clothing; keep out of lakes, ponds, or streams.

(2) It is used in feed for turkeys as follows:

(i) *Amount per ton.* 1.36 to 2.72 grams.

(A) *Indications for use.* For the prevention of coccidiosis in growing turkeys caused by *Eimeria adenoeides, E. meleagrimitis,* and *E. gallopavonis.*

(B) *Limitations.* Feed continuously as sole ration; withdraw 7 days before slaughter; do not feed to layers or water fowl; avoid contact with skin, eyes, or clothing; keep out of lakes, ponds, or streams.

(ii) [Reserved]

Dated: June 27, 1989.

Gerald B. Guest,

Director, Center for Veterinary Medicine.

[FR Doc. 89-15674 Filed 7-3-89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Office of the Assistant Secretary for Housing—Federal Housing Commissioner

24 CFR Part 203

[Docket No. R-89-836; FR-837]

RIN 2502-AB03

Mutual Mortgage Insurance and Rehabilitation Loans, Condition of Property—Adjustment for Damage or Neglect

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Housing—Federal Housing Commissioner, HUD.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: On August 8, 1986, HUD published a final rule setting out the circumstances under which it will accept a conveyance or mortgage assignment relating to a fire or otherwise damaged property, and stating the procedures that govern whether HUD will make a deduction from the insurance benefits for which the mortgagee has made a claim to offset the costs of repairs. This final rule corrects a technical error found in the earlier rule.

EFFECTIVE DATE: September 11, 1989.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert E. Falkenstein, Director, Single Family Servicing Division, Office of Single Family Housing, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street SW., Washington, DC 20410, telephone (202) 755-6672. (This is not a toll-free number.)

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In a final rule published on August 8, 1986 (51 FR 28548), 24 CFR 203.379 was extensively revised. That section (Adjustment for damages or neglect) sets out conditions under which HUD, as insurer of the mortgage, will accept the conveyance of a property or the assignment of the mortgage on the property, when the property has been damaged by fire or otherwise. Paragraph (b)(3) of § 203.379, as published in the final rule, read as follows:

(3) Within 30 days of any cancellation or non-renewal of hazard insurance, the mortgagee notified HUD, and has made diligent efforts (within that 30 day period, and at least annually thereafter) to secure other coverage or coverage under a FAIR Plan, in an amount described in paragraph (b)(1) of this section, or if coverage to such an extent was unavailable at a reasonable rate, the greatest extent of coverage that was available at a reasonable rate; and

The Preamble to the 1986 rule correctly states an intention to eliminate, from the text of paragraph (b)(3), the requirement of notification to HUD within 30 days of any cancellation or non-renewal of hazard insurance. Through inadvertance this text was not actually removed. This rule makes the necessary correction. It is technical in nature and reflects the language found in earlier § 203.379(b)(3) of the interim rule on this subject (45 FR 56800, 56801) upon which the public has had opportunity to comment.

Procedural Requirements

This rule does not constitute a "major rule" as that term is defined in section 1(d) of the Executive Order on Federal Regulations issued by the President on February 17, 1981. An analysis of the rule indicates that it does not (1) have an annual effect on the economy of $100 million or more; (2) cause a major increase in costs or prices for consumers, individual industries, Federal, State, or local government agencies, or geographic regions; or (3) have a significant adverse effect on competition, employment, investment, productivity, innovation, or on the ability of United States-based enterprises to compete with foreign-based enterprises in domestic or export markets.

The information collection requirements contained in the August 8, 1986 final rule (51 FR 28548) have been approved by the Office of Management and Budget, under section 3504(h) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 (44 U.S.C. 3054(h)), and assigned OMB control number 2502-0349.

Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 605(b) the undersigned hereby certifies that this rule does not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The rule merely makes a technical correction in already established HUD procedures.

This rule was not listed in the Department's Semiannual Agenda of Regulations published on April 24, 1989 (54 FR 16708) under Executive Order 12291 and the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

The Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance program number is 14.117.

List of Subjects in 24 CFR Part 203

Home improvement, Loan programs—housing and community development, Mortgage insurance, Solar energy.

Accordingly, the Department amends 24 CFR Part 203 as follows:

PART 203—MUTUAL MORTGAGE INSURANCE AND REHABILITATION LOANS

1. The authority citation for Part 203 continues to read as follows:

Authority: Secs. 203 and 211, National Housing Act (12 U.S.C. 1709 and 1715b); sec. 7(d), Department of Housing and Urban Development Act (42 U.S.C. 3535(d)). In addition, Subpart C also issued under sec. 230, National Housing Act (12 U.S.C. 1715u).

2. Paragraph (b)(3) of § 203.379 is revised to read as follows:

§ 203.379 Adjustment for damage or neglect.

* * * * *

(b) * * *

(3) The mortgagee made diligent though unsuccessful efforts within 30 days of any cancellation or non-renewal of hazard insurance, and at least annually thereafter, to secure other coverage or coverage under a FAIR Plan, in an amount described in paragraph (b)(1) of this section, or if coverage to such an extent was unavailable at a reasonable rate, the greatest extent of coverage that was available at a reasonable rate; and

* * * * *

Dated: June 6, 1989.

James E. Schoenberger,

General Deputy Assistant Secretary for Housing—Federal Housing Commissioner.

[FR Doc. 89-15701 Filed 7-3-89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4210-27-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Occupational Safety and Health Administration

29 CFR Part 1910

Air Contaminants; Corrections

AGENCY: Occupational Safety and Health Administration; Labor.

ACTION: Final rule; corrections.

SUMMARY: This notice makes corrections to the preamble and final rule on Air Contaminants issued by OSHA which appeared in the Federal Register on January 19, 1989 at 54 FR 2331.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 5, 1989.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. James Foster, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, Office of Information and Consumer Affairs, Room N–3647, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20210, Telephone: (202) 523–8148.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This document contains corrections to the preamble to the final rule on Air Contaminants which was published in the Federal Register on Thursday, January 19, 1989 (54 FR 2331–2983) and to 29 CFR 1910.1000.

As published the rule contains typographical errors, incorrect citations and certain ambiguities which may prove to be misleading and are in need of clarification. This document corrects these errors and makes clearer certain areas in both the regulatory text and the preamble.

The attempt is made to correct all errors in the standards. However, generally this document does not correct typographical or grammatical errors in the preamble unless a correction is needed to clarify meaning. The following paragraphs explain several of the corrections.

For the following 8 substances, Table Z–1–A, Final Rule Limits stated, "In process of 6(b) rulemaking." This statement is accurate, and represents OSHA current actions and intentions. However that is not a regulatory term. OSHA wishes to clarify that the Transitional Limits noted in Table Z–1–A would be continued as the Final Rule Limits in the unanticipated event that rulemakings for the substances are delayed beyond Dec. 31, 1992. Therefore the quoted phrase is replaced by the current exposure limit. The change does not indicate any intention not to complete these rulemaking on schedule. The eight substances are: Butadiene, Cadmium fume, Cadmium dust, 2 Ethoxyethanol, 2 Ethoxyethyl acetate, Ethylene dibromide, Methyl cellosolve, Methyl cellosolve acetate and Methylene chloride.

For three substances, Beryllium Ethylene dibromide and Methylene chloride, OSHA did not change the PEL in this rulemaking. In attempting to transpose the existing exposure limits in Table Z–2 to the Final Rule Limits columns in Table Z–1–A, it inadvertently changed the limits by a small percent because the column headings are organized differently. As OSHA did not intend in this rulemaking to make any changes to the limits it is correcting the Final Rule Limits column's to cross reference Table Z–2.

For 7 substances OSHA inadvertently indicated in Table Z–1–A that there was a respirable dust limit. There was none in the past, OSHA did not propose a respirable dust limit and OSHA did not conclude that one should be included. Accordingly the respirable dust entries are deleted for:

Boron Oxide
Ferbam
Magnesium oxide fume
Malathion
Methoxychlor
Molybdenum (insoluble compounds)
Titanium dioxide.

As point out in the corrected regulatory text, OSHA is referencing CAS numbers for regulated substances for the convenience of the public. The name of the substance is the basis for regulation and enforcement, not the CAS number. There are a number of corrections to CAS number which OSHA is making to Table Z–1–A which will appear in the CFR. OSHA is not formally making those changes to preamble material since those mateials will not be reprinted as corrected.

It should be noted that when an exposure limit covers more than one metal compound which is to be measured as the metal, the CAS number of the metal is given. It of course would generally not be practicable to list all the CAS numbers of the many metal compounds covered. However, the exposure limit covers all of the specified metal compounds though their CAS number is not given.

In the preamble, the chemical symbol for Chlorine which is Cl is frequently printed as C1. OSHA is not correcting these typographical errors because the meaning is obvious.

Accordingly, the final rule document published Thursday, January 19, 1989 at 54 FR 2332–2983 is corrected as follows:

Preamble

1. On page 2332, column one, fourth full paragraph, line 4, "$150 per" is corrected to read "approximately $170 per".

2. On page 2332, third column, line 3, "N–2634" is corrected to read "N–2625".

3. On page 2334, second column, the seventh full paragraph is corrected to read: "(2) Adoption of more protective PELs for 212 substances. For one of the 212 OSHA raised the TWA but added a STEL."

4. On page 2335, column one, line one, the words "resulting in" is corrected to read; "such as".

5. On page 2335, column one, the second full paragraph is corrected to read as follows: "Table Z–1–A is designed to include all substances covered by Subpart Z whether or not the PEL has been changed and whether or not it was opened for consideration in this rulemaking.".

6. On page 2335, second column, third full paragraph, sixth line, "$150 per" is corrected to read "approximately $170 per".

6a. On page 2337, the index table, the entry for "Beryllium and Compounds", the entry in the "Primary Basis for Limits" column is corrected to read "Respiratory Effects".

7. On page 2361, column one, the first sentence of the first full paragraph, is corrected to read: "The issuance of this final standard is authorized by section 6 of the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 (the Act), 29 U.S.C. 655.".

8. On page 2362, second column, the first sentence of the second full paragraph is corrected to read: "All of the revised or new PELs in this rulemaking involve material impairment of health.".

9. On page 2362, second column, third full paragraph, line 10, is revised to read: "revised PELs. Third, this".

10. On page 2364, column one, third full paragraph, line 13, the word "regulating" is corrected to read "regulated".

11. On page 2364, column one, third full paragraph, line 22, is corrected to read "not propose regulating as carcinogens,".

12. On page 2364, column two, line 5 is corrected to read "available studies of potential carcinogens for two purposes (Ex.".

12a. On page 2364, second column, first full paragraph, lines 14 and 15 are corrected to read: "assessments were presented in the proposal preamble (53 FR 21109–209 and".

13. On page 2364, second column, third full paragraph, the first sentence is corrected to read: "For carcinogens, as well as for other substances, OSHA's decisions in this Rulemaking are based on the entire evidence in the public

record, and that the final PEL substantially reduces significant risk and is feasible.".

14. On page 2364, second column, fourth full paragraph, lines 6–9 are corrected to read: "substances not to perform more detailed analyses for substances being regulated at this time. The regulation of these substances and of other substances as carcinogens, may be considered in future".

15. On page 2365, column one, fifth full paragraph, line 12, the word "showed" is removed.

16. On page 2365, second column, fourth full paragraph, line 11, the word "exposures" is corrected to read "exposure".

17. On page 2366, second column, lines 57 and 58 are corrected to read as follows: "for a specific substance because in a specific operation it could not be".

18. On page 2366, third column, second full paragraph, line 8, the word "PEP" is corrected to read "PEL".

19. On page 2366, third column, third full paragraph, lines 15 and 16 are corrected to read "OSHA concludes that it has met its burden to demonstrate the technical".

20. On page 2366, third column, line 62, "ual" is corrected to read "annual".

21. On page 2367, column one, first full paragraph, line 8, is corrected to read "will be shifted to consumers. *National Cottonseed Products Asso.* v. *Brock*, 825 F. 2d 482, 488 (D.C. Cir. 1987). In the few".

22. On page 2367, third column, line 1, the word "date" is corrected to read "data".

23. On page 2369, second column, fifth full paragraph, line 5, is corrected to read "limits for more than 160 substances".

24. On page 2369, second column, fifth full paragraph, line 8, is corrected to read "limits or skin designations for more than 210".

25. On page 2370, third column, third full paragraph, line 9, is corrected to read "few minor or foreign studies referenced by".

26. On page 2371, third column, line 7, add the following after the word "hearings.": "(Statement of Administrative Law Judge, Tr. pp. 12–15 to 12–17, Aug. 12, 1989).".

27. On page 2373, third column, first full paragraph, line 1, is corrected to read: "Third, OSHA has increased the".

28. On page 2374, second column, line 3, the word "was" is removed.

29. On page 2375, column one, third full paragraph, line 10, is corrected to read: "become lost to the project. Consequently,".

30. On page 2375, third column, lines 21 and 22, are corrected to read: "would be required to publish a new Proposal for any substances not".

31. On page 2381, third column, lines 3, 4 and 5, are corrected to read: "analytical methods. One substance, cyanamide was erroneously listed as having no method.".

32. On page 2384, first column, fifth full paragraph, lines 4 and 5 are corrected to read: "more than 70,000 individual measurements. The IMIS contains".

33. On page 2384, third column, fifth full paragraph, the following sentence is added at the end of the paragraph as follows: "OSHA utilized the 1987–88 TLV's as a starting point for this rulemaking.".

34. On page 2387, first column, lines 2 and 3 are corrected to read as follows: "corrections have been incorporated into the Appendix, Sampling and Analytical Methods, of this final rule. In".

35. On page 2388, first column, fourth full paragraph, lines a and 10 are corrected to read: "rulemaking, methods were found for two of the substances listed".

36. On page 2390, first column, line 65, is corrected to read "to date, only approximately 40 WEELs have been".

37. On page 2392, second column, first full paragraph, line 12, is corrected to read: "in the final rule using engineering controls generally. These exceptions are".

38. On page 2392, third column, line 13, is corrected to read: "the preamble, Section VIII B, for further details.".

39. On page 2393, third column, first full paragraph, line one, is corrected to read: "NIOSH thus concurred with OSHA's view noted in the preamble to the proposal that".

40. On page 2393, third column, second full paragraph, line 6 is corrected to read: material health impairment. (See the further discussion at p. 2365 and pp. 2602–04.) However,".

41. No entry.

42. On page 2402, third column, second full paragraph, line 19, is corrected to read: "the PEL. In addition OSHA has fully considered levels recommended by commenters and levels supported by evidence. For all substances addressed in".

43. On page 2416, first column, lines 46 and 47 are corrected to read as follows: "issue. Dr. James Melius of the New York State Department of Health supported both environmental and biological monitoring but agreed with the PEL proposed by OSHA."

44. On page 2416, first column, line 65 is corrected to read: "for biological monitoring of mercury as well as supporting the OSHA proposed airborne level (Ex.". well as supporting the OSHA proposed airborne level (Ex.".

45. On page 2426, first column, second full paragraph, second line, "mg/kg" is corrected to read "ml/kg".

46. On page 2427, third column, second full paragraph, line 11, the word "chloride" is corrected to read "chloroform".

47. On page 2430, third column, second full paragraph, line 2, is corrected to read: "be used to definitely demonstrate styrene's".

48. On page 2448, first column, second full paragraph, line 17, is corrected to read as follows: "exposures to approximately 1800 ppm. Physical".

49. On page 2450, second column, first full paragraph, line 5, is corrected to read: "1118, 8–25, 8–29, 8–62, 8–68, 8–123, Tr. VIII 121–136,".

50. On page 2464, first column, third full paragraph, line 16, "LD_{50}" is corrected to read "LC50".

51. On page 2468, third column, line 38, "18-hour" is corrected to read "8-hour".

52. On page 2475, first column, second full paragraph, "PPL" is corrected to read "PEL".

53. On page 2483, second column, first full paragraph, line 3, "o-cholorostyrene" is corrected to read "o-chlorostyrene".

54. On page 2483, third column, first full paragraph, line 14, "100" is corrected to read "1000".

55. On page 2483, third column, first full paragraph, line 16, "p. 831," is corrected to read "p.997,".

56. On page 2498, the line 1215 Iron oxide (dust and fume), the proposed PEL entry "10 mg/m³ TWA" is corrected to read "5 mg/m³ TWA" and the final Rule PEL entry "5 mg/m³ TWA" is corrected to read "10 mg/m³ TWA".

57. On page 2498, a new entry is added after the entry "1277 Mineral wood fiber". Under column "H.S. Number/Chemical Name" insert "1283 Nickel (soluble compounds"; under column "CAS No." insert "7440–02–0", under column "Former PEL" insert "1 mg/m³ TWA," under column "proposed PEL" insert "0.1 mg/m³ TWA", and under column "Final Rule PEL" insert "0.1 mg/m³ TWA".

58. On page 2505, a new entry is added after the entry "1300 Oxygen difluoride" as follows:

U.S. Number/ chemical name	CAS No.	Former PEL	Final rule PEL*	Dose-response data		
				Dose/duration associated with observed effects	Species	Comments
1283 Nickel (soluble compounds).	7440-02-0	1 mg/m³ TWA	0.1 mg/m³ TWA	1 mg/m³ 6 months	Rats	Signs of inter stitial fibrotic lesions and increased lung weight.
				0.1 mg/m³2 weeks	Rats	Pulomonary irritation and damage in form of marked mucus secretion, hyporplasia and accumulation of alveolar macrophages.

59. On page 2508, third column, line 18, the word "chloride" is corrected to read "chlorine".

60. On page 2509, third column, lines 4, 5 and 6 are corrected to read: "367f, p.9). In response to this comment, OSHA intended to identify the "respirable fraction" in the final rule Z-1-A table since OSHA's intent was only to simplify the units and not make any substantive changes (see last paragraph of discussion on coal dust). The suggested change by Mr. Hecker (respirable quartz fraction) would result in up to an order of magnitude increase in the PEL for a highly toxic substance.".

61. "On page 2516, third column, second full paragraph, line 17, the word "insoluble" is corrected to read: "soluble".

62. On page 2526, column one, third full paragraph, line 10, is corrected to read: "the contrary in the record. See the further discussion in the Feasibility section.".

63. On page 2528, third column, first full paragraph, line 1, "1980" is corrected to read "1985".

64. On page 2528, third column, first full paragraph, lines 8, 9, 10 and 11 are corrected to read: "by the nuisance dust standard. It held that the standard only covered inorganic dusts. (12 OSHC 1785). The Agency did not regulate this substance after this decision. Consequently,".

65. On page 2532, second column, first full paragraph, lines 11 and 12 are corrected to read: "was appropriate for wood dust. Four unions (Carpenters, Paperworkers, Furniture Workers and Woodworkers) (Tr. p. 12-294)".

66. On page 2538, third column, second full paragraph, lines 3, 4, 5 and 6 are corrected to read as follows: "nitroglycerin (NG) were ceilings of 0.2 ppm, which were equivalent to 1 mg/m³, and 2 mg/m³, respectively, both with skin notations. The proposed PELs for these substances were 20 minute STELs of 0.1 mg/m³, (0.02 and 0.01 ppm, respectively) and".

67. On page 2567, second column, first full paragraph, line 19, the word "should" is corrected to read "shall".

68. On page 2567, third column, first full paragraph, lines 18 and 19, are corrected to read "OSH Review Commission decision *Secretary of Labor* v. *Caterpillar Tractor* (8 OSHRC 1043 (1979)).".

69. On page 2575, first column, fourth full paragraph, line 2, "mg/m³" is corrected to read "5 mg/m³".

70. On page 2588, second column, line 17, is corrected to read "specific health effects other than the effects caused by physical irritation. OSHA is listing".

71. On page 2588, second column, lines 24 and 25, are corrected to read "to cause additional health effects, some of which are serious and potentially life-threatening health effects in addition to the physical irritation effects, be set at 10".

72. On page 2589, third column, line 23, "Al" is corrected to read "A1".

73. On page 2591, second column, second full paragraph, lines 3 and 4, are corrected to read "TWA, as total particulate for".

74. On page 2593, second column, fourth full paragraph, lines 3, 4 and 5 are corrected to read as follows mg/m³ 8-hour TWA. The Agency concludes that".

75. On page 2595, second column, first full paragraph, lines 2, 3, 4 and 5 are corrected to read as follows "PEL of 10 mg/m³ TWA (total particulate). OSHA concludes that these".

76. On page 2595, third column, third full paragraph, lines 3, 4 and 5 are corrected to read as follows "particulate) with a skin notation. The Agency finds".

77. On page 2596, first column, second full paragraph, lines 8, 9 and 10 are corrected to read as follows "establishes this limit. NIOSH (Ex. 6-47, Table N4) concurs".

78. On page 2596, second column, second full paragraph, lines 4, 5 and 6 are corrected to read as follows "particulates) to reduce the significant health risks to".

79. On page 2596, second column, third full paragraph, lines 9, 10 and 11 are corrected to read as follows "substances. The proposed PEL was 10 mg/m³ TWA (total particulate)".

80. On page 2600, third column, third full paragraph, lines 7, 8, 9 and 10 are corrected to read as follows "The Agency proposed a PEL of 10 mg/m³ (total particulate) for titanium dioxide, and this limit is established".

81. On page 2601, first column, second full paragraph, lines 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 are corrected to read as follows "OSHA is establishing 8-hour TWA of 10 mg/m³ (total particulate) for titanium dioxide in the final rule. OSHA concludes that the final rule's limit will".

82. On page 2604, first column, second full paragraph, line 8, is corrected to read as follows "Section III and Section V of this preamble). For".

83. On page 2623, first column, second full paragraph, lines 1 and 2, are corrected to read as follows "OSHA formerly had no individual limit for calcium hydroxide consequently the nuisance dust limit applied, the ACGIH has a".

84. On page 2623, second column, line 15, "½ calcium hydroxide ¾" is corrected to read "(calcium hydroxide)".

85. On page 2623, second column, line 18, is corrected to read "mg/m³, half that of the proposed inert particulate".

86. On page 2624, first column, line 8, is corrected to read "concentrations somewhat above 9 to 10 mg/m³.".

87. On page 2626, second column, second full paragraph, line 28, is corrected to read "Table N6B) interprets the evidence for".

88. On page 2640, second column, line 60, "½ Kodak's ¾" is corrected to read "(Kodak's)".

89. On page 2651, second column, the first full sentence, "The former 8 hour TWA of 5000 ppm is retained" is removed.

90. On page 2662, Table C–14–1, the entry for subtilisins is corrected by correcting the CAS No. to read "9014–01–1," and correcting the entry in the Final Rule PEL column to read "0.06 μg/m³ STEL (60 min.).**".

90a. On page 2662, Table C–14–1, a footnote is added to read, "** Compliance with the subtilisins PEL is assessed by sampling with a high volume sampler (600–800 liter per minute) for at least 60 minutes.".

90b. On page 2667, first column, line 20, "½ i ¾" is corrected to read "(i)".

91. On page 2667, second column, second full paragraph, lines 27 and 28, are corrected to read as follows "* * * * TLV * * * recommendation (of a ceiling of 0.06 μg/m³)" (McMurrain".

92. On page 2667, second column, last paragraph, lines 1–4 are corrected to read "OSHA is establishing a STEL (based on high volume sampling for at least 60 minutes) of 0.06 ug/m³ for the subtilisins (the ACGIH recommended method); the evidence described above indicates that a STEL of 0.06 μg/m³ for the".

92a. On page 2668, third column, first full paragraph, line 11, is corrected to read "substances. OSHA is revising the"; line 13, the word "retain" is corrected to "retaining"; and line 15, "add" is corrected to read "adding".

93. On page 2669, Table C–1501 the entry "Beryllium and compounds" is corrected to read "Beryllium and compounds**" and a footnote is added at the bottom of that page to read "** The standard for beryllium is based on respiratory effects. OSHA in this rulemaking had made no determination on carcinogenicity.".

93a. On page 2673, second column, second full paragraph, line 2, "annual" is corrected to read "animal"; line 10, "13–5 to 13–53" is corrected to read "13–52 to 13–53"

94. On page 2673, second column, move the second full paragraph and insert it to follow line 10 from the top of the second column.

95. On page 2679, first column, line 23, is corrected to read "CAS No. 7440–41–7; Chemical Formula: Be".

96. On page 2679, second column, the Beryllium discussion is corrected by adding a new paragraph at the end of the discussion to read: "There is a dispute between beryllium manufacturers and OSHA on the method for sampling beryllium. OSHA did not propose any change in the standard and did not consider the beryllium sampling issue in this rulemaking. OSHA did not intend the Appendix, which is non-mandatory, to imply that a particular sampling or analytical method for beryllium is permitted or required for enforcement purposes, or to endorse or reject arguments that an unlisted method must be used.".

96a. On page 2681, first column, first full paragraph, line 8, "13.9" is corrected to read "17.9".

97. On page 2695, second column, first full paragraph, lines 15, 16 and 17 are corrected to read as follows: "quantitative risk assessments for the chemicals discussed in this section when sufficient data was available; when less detailed dose–".

98. On page 2699, third column, second line from the bottom the word, "no" is corrected to read "not".

99. On page 2700, second column, lines 1 and 2 are corrected to read "that excess risk went down when exposures to nickel dust were reduced though nickel carbonyl continued to be present in the".

100. On page 2700, second column, first full paragraph, line 22 is corrected to read "stringent test before raising a limit. Negative epidemiology studies of sufficient power might be a basis for raising the limit. Here the studies are conflicting and they do not clearly demonstrate that the nickel carbonyl may not have contributed to the excess risk or clearly negate the animal study. Therefore they do not meet the test. In".

101. On page 2718, third column, second full paragraph, lines 17, 18, 19, 20 and 21 are corrected to read as follows: "to reduce a significant risk of material health impairment.".

102. On page 2723, first column, first full paragraph, line 3, is corrected to read as follows "rulemaking. OSHA concludes that following these guidelines is necessary to reduce a significant risk of material health impairment which could be caused by skin contact. However, the skin".

103. On page 2724, the following sentence is added at the bottom of the first column: "The list was published on March 28, 1989 at 56 FR 12793.".

104. On page 2724, third column, the first paragraph after the heading introduction, the number "230" is corrected to "212", the number "165" is corrected to "164" and the number "30" is corrected to "52".

105. On page 2737, the table entitled "Number of Firms with Chemicals Using Specific Exposure Standards" the following title should be inserted at the top of the chart: "Table C–2".

106. On page 2744, second column, line 27, is corrected to read "Business Patterns estimated 38, 478 employees.".

107. On page 2756, second column, second full paragraph, line 17, "showed" is corrected to read "should have".

108. On page 2776, first column, first full paragraph, line 24, "benefits" is corrected to read "benefit".

109. On page 2785, first column, line 16, "499,716" is corrected to read "496,832".

110. On page 2788, second column, third full paragraph, line 15, "20 percent" is corrected to read "26 percent".

111. On page 2789, first column, first full paragraph, line one, "no" is corrected to read "not".

112. On page 2789, second column, first full paragraph, line 3, "proposed" is corrected to read "final rule".

113. On page 2790, first column, first full paragraph, line 6, "proposed" is corrected to read "final rule".

114. On page 2790, first column, second full paragraph, line 3, "proposed" is corrected to read "final rule"; and line 24, "V–4" is corrected to read "F–4".

115. On page 2790, first column, fourth full paragraph, line 4, "proposed" is corrected to read "final rule".

116. On page 2792, first column, third full paragraph, lines 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17 and 18, are corrected to read "respirators. In addition, a".

117. On page 2793, third column, third full paragraph, line 2, is corrected to read "operations resulting in exposures to".

118. On page 2797, first column, first full paragraph, line 28, "proposed" is corrected to read "final rule".

119. On page 2801, second column, second full paragraph, line 12, is corrected to read "while the tub/shower and".

120. On page 2802, first column, second full paragraph, line 8, is corrected to read "exposures occur without careful consideration of the additive or synergistic effects of the specific substances involved. According to OSHA's".

121. On page 2802, second column, line 51, is corrected to read "the PEL limit for".

122. On page 2802, third column, second full paragraph, line 10, "proposed" is corrected to read "final rule".

123. On page 2804, first column, first full paragraph, line 14 is corrected to read "oxide." See the discussions on pages 2526 and 2652 for the specific operations and chemicals where respirators may be used unless OSHA can demonstrate engineering controls are feasible."

124. On page 2806, first column, second full paragraph, lines 8 and 9 are

corrected to read "may not be available. These operations are listed on page 2526. OSHA will accept the use of respirators for these operations in conjunction".

125. On page 2808, third column, first full paragraph, line 16, "proposed" is corrected to read "final rule".

126. On page 2814 and 2815, Table F-3 is corrected as follows:

TABLE F-3.—INDUSTRIES AND PROCESSES WHERE SKIN PROTECTION HAS BEEN ADDED

SIC No.	Chemical name	Process name
25	N-Butyl Alcohol	Coating.
26	Methyl Alcohol	Chemical recovery.
27	Cyclohexanone	Plate cleaning.
	Furfuryl Alcohol	Plate cleaning.
	Methyl Alcohol	Plate cleaning.
28	N-Butyl Alcohol	Blending, Packaging.
	Carbon Disulfide	Fiber manufacture.
	Chlorpyrifos	Blending, Packaging.
	Diazinon	Blending, Packaging.
	Disulfoton	Blending, Packaging.
	Hexafluoroacetone	Reacting.
	Isophorone Diisocyanate.	Reacting.
	Methyl Alcohol	Blending, Packaging.
	Methyl Parathion	Blending, Packaging.
30	N-Butyl Alcohol	Finishing, Trimming, Painting.
	Carbon Disulfide	Compounding, Mixing and Blending.
31	Methyl Alcohol	Finishing/ Degreasing.
	Triorthocresyl Phosphate.	Finishing/ Degreasing.
32	Methyl Alcohol	Batch preparation.
	Tin	Float process.
34	N-Butyl Alcohol	Coating/Painting.
35	N-Butyl Alcohol	Coating/Painting.
36	N-Butyl Alcohol	Coating/Painting.
	Mercury	Soldering/Brazing.
	Methyl Alcohol	Cleaning.
38	Mercury	Handling of measurement liquids.
		Preparation of special tubes.
		Assembling.
	Methyl Alcohol	Blending/Packaging.
39	N-Butyl Alcohol	Painting, Coating.
45	N-Butyl Alcohol	Cleaning/Spraying.
	Methyl Alcohol	Cleaning/Spraying.
55	N-Butyl Alcohol	Painting/Coating.
72	Mercury	Embalming.
	Methyl Alcohol	Embalming.
73	Chlorpyrifos	Exterminating.
	Diazinon	Exterminating.
	Dioxathion	Exterminating.
	Phenothiazine	Exterminating
75	N-Butyl Alcohol	Painting/Coating.
80	N-Butyl Alcohol	Disinfectant and solvent use.
	Mercury	Preparation of amalgams
	Sodium Azide	Laboratory analysis.

127. On page 2840, first column, insert as line one, the following: "Examples of these are: ".

128. On page 2840, insert the following after the second full paragraph:

Maintenance Activities in all SIC codes. In certain cases it may be more difficult to control exposures of plant maintenance personnel by engineering controls. These maintenance employees may work in areas not normally covered by engineering controls or in situations where engineering controls must be shutdown. Respiratory protection is therefore sometimes the appropriate control technology.

Painting and Coating Activities in all SIC codes. Although production spray painting operations are performed in exhausted paint booths, the painting of many larger non-production items, such as construction equipment and heavy machinery, requires that the operator enter the booth. The booth is then primarily a control to prevent migration of the paint spray into other areas of the plant. In these circumstances it is usually necessary to provide respiratory protection to the workers painting.

129. On page 2841, first column, the first line "Examples of these are" following two paragraphs are removed.

130. On page 2862, first column, line 1, is corrected to read "about $26.7 million in annual compliance costs.".

131. After page 2870, an omitted part of Table G-4 covering SIC 30 is inserted to read as follows:

DESCRIPTIVE INFORMATION ON PROCESSES AND RELATED COSTS

[SIC 30-Rubber and Miscellaneous Plastic Products]

Process	Frequency of process	Total work stations	Costed work stations	Unit cost	Total cost for process
Abrasive blasting	17	17	0	$7,200	$0
Assembly	35	653	32	1,140	36,352
Blending Mixing/Formulating	4537	10538	188	3,070	577,615
Bonding	6	6	0	3,070	0
Calendar/Winding	1375	4398	144	180	25,905
Casting	21	489	0	3,890	0
Cleaning	19	243	0	710	0
Coating/Spraying/Finishing/Layup	1730	15531	11224	3,070	34,457,310
Confined Space Exposure to Exhaust Fume	107	269	0	80	0
Crushing/Grinding/Calcining	1360	2005	54	4,740	254,597
Cutting/Sawing/Planning	52	382	0	180	0
Degreasing	2331	2334	2220	710	1,575,895
Digester	48	48	0	14,000	0
Electroplate/Electrical Discharge Machine	16	16	0	710	0
Extrusion	794	4322	176	1,140	200,590
Foam Processing	746	14587	10	1,140	10,970
Glueing/Hot Pressing	3394	21705	2331	3,070	7,155,104
Injection Molding	245	1204	973	1,140	1,109,058
Loading/Offloading/Receiving/Handling	1137	2689	193	1,120	215,850
Machining/Grinding/Welding/Brazing	33	387	112	1,140	127,987
Metal Plating	105	105	16	710	11,185
Metal Working (Rolling, Milling, Shaping	17	17	0	1,140	0
Other	57	1016	0	3,070	0
Packaging/bagging	249	719	13	1,120	14,286
Printing	3	6	0	1,380	0
Reaction/Fermentation	5	5	0	1,120	0
Reblending/Remixing	38	304	61	3,070	185,786

DESCRIPTIVE INFORMATION ON PROCESSES AND RELATED COSTS—Continued

[SIC 30–Rubber and Miscellaneous Plastic Products]

Process	Frequency of process	Total work stations	Costed work stations	Unit cost	Total cost for process
Screen Printing	16	158	0	1,380	0
Separation	6	26	0	1,120	0
Stamping/Shaping/Molding/Pressing	4064	51203	22195	2,900	64,366,057
Vulcanization/Curing	461	9388	92	3,070	281,245
Water Treatment	178	188	0	14,000	0
Subtotal	23205	144957	40031		110,605,792
Maintenance	15094	632	107	520	486,138
Total	38299	145589	40138		111,091,930

132. On page 2890, second column, second full paragraph, line 13, "Fourteen" is corrected to read "Thirteen".

133. On page 2891, second column, line 48, "errors" is corrected to read "error".

134. On page 2901, second column, first full paragraph, line 6, "H–4" is corrected to read "C–4".

135. On page 2916, third column, first full paragraph, line 18, is corrected to read "contradict it. Of course the final rule limits are to be achieved by any reasonable combination of controls from Sept. 1, 1989 to Dec. 31, 1992 or Dec. 31, 1993 as explained elsewhere.".

136. On page 2918, first column, first full paragraph, line 6, is corrected to read: "substance. The CAS number is for information purposes only. Enforcement is based on the substance name. For an entry covering more than one metal compound measured as a metal, the CAS number for the metal is given. This seemed more convenient than stating "varies with compound." However, the limit covers all the compounds specified by the name. This formatting will facilitate".

137. On page 2919, third column, sixth full paragraph, line 10, is corrected to read: "of a severe reaction through".

Regulatory Text

The following correction is made to the authority citation for 29 CFR Part 1910:

138. On page 2920, second column, third full paragraph of the authority citation, lines 3 and 4 are corrected to read "Table Z–3 also issued under 5 U.S.C. 553. Section 1900.1000, the transitional limits columns of Table Z–1–A, Table Z–2 and Table Z–3".

§ 1910.1000 [Corrected]

The following corrections are made to 29 CFR 1910.1000:

139. On page 2921, third column, paragraph (d)(2)(ii), the illustrative table heading "8 hour TWA PEL (ppm) 8" is corrected to read "8 hour TWA PEL (ppm)".

140. On page 2921, third column, paragraph (f)(3)(i), the following sentence is added at the end of the paragraph "The permissible exposure limits specified in Tables Z–2 and Z–3 for substances mentioned in the Final Rule Limits column of Table Z–1–A only by cross reference to Table Z–2 or Z–3 shall remain in effect indefinitely and continue to be achieved by the methods of compliance specified in paragraph (e) of this section.

141. On pages 2923 through 2958, each page of Table Z–1–A, the second column heading "CAS No." is corrected to read "CAS No. [f]".

142. On page 2924, Table Z–1–A, the entry for Anisidine, insert an "X" in the Transitional Limits Skin Designation" column and insert an "X" in the Final Rule Limits Skin Designation" column.

143. On page 2924, Table Z–1–A, column 1, the entry "ANTU (Alpha naphthylthiourea)" is corrected to read "ANTU (alpha Naphthylthiourea)".

144. On page 2924, Table Z–1–A, the CAS No. entry for the substance Arsenic, inorganic compounds", is corrected to read "7440–38–2"; and the note "See 1910.1018(a) for application excluded" is removed.

145. On page 2925, Table Z–1–A, the entry "Asbestos; see 1910.1001" in the first column, is corrected to read "Asbestos; see 1910.1001 and 1910.1101".

146. On page 2925, Table Z–1–A, all the numbers in the Final Rule Limits columns for the substance "Beryllium and beryllium compounds" are removed and a note is added in the Final Rule Limits columns as follows: "See Table Z–2".

147. On page 2926, Table Z–1–A, under the substance Boron oxide, the entry "Respirable fraction" and all entries in each column with respect to respirable fraction are removed.

148. On page 2926, Table Z–1–A, the substance Butadiene is corrected by removing the note "In process of 6(b) rulemaking" and inserting "1000" in the Final Rule Limits, TWA, ppm column and inserting "2200" in the Final Rule Limits, TWA, mg/m^3 column.

148a. On page 2927, Table Z–1–A, for the substance Cadmium fume the note "In process of 6(b) rulemaking" is removed. Under the Final Rule Limits the number "0.1" is inserted in the TWA, mg/m^3 column and the number "0.3" is inserted in the CEILING, mg/m^3 column.

149. On page 2927, for the substance Cadmium dust, the note "In process of 6(b) rulemaking" is removed. Under the Final Rule Limits the number "0.2" is inserted in the TWA, mg/m^3 column and the number "0.6" is inserted in the CEILING, mg/m^3 column.

150. On page 2928, Table Z–1–A, for the substance carbon dioxide the entry in the column Transitional Limits, ppm of "5000" is corrected to read "5000[e]".

151. On page 2929, Table Z–1–A, for the substance o–Chlorostyrene the entry in the Final Rule Limits, STEL, mg/m^3 column of "430" is corrected to read "428".

152. On page 2930, Table Z–1–A, for the substance Chromic acid under the Final Rule Limits, the entry in the Ceiling, ppm column of "0.1" is removed and the entry "0.1" is added to the Ceiling mg/m^3 column.

153. On page 2930, Table Z–1–A, the CAS No. for the substance Chromium (II) compounds is corrected to read "7440–47–3".

154. On page 2930, Table Z–1–A, the CAS No. for the substance Chromium (III) compounds is corrected to read "7440–47–3".

155. On page 2930, Table Z–1–A, the CAS No. for the substance Chrysene is removed.

156. On page 2930, Table Z–1–A, the entry "Coal dust (less than 5% $SiOG5_2$), respirable quartz fraction" is corrected to read "Coal dust (less than 5% SiO_2), Respirable fraction".

157. On page 2930, Table Z–1–A, the CAS No. for the substance Coal tar pitch volatiles is corrected to read "65966–93–2".

158.–159. On page 2931, Table Z–1–A, for the substance Cotton Dust (raw) the number "1" is removed from the Transitional Limits, PEL, ppm column

and the Final Rule Limits, TWA, ppm column. The number "1" is inserted in the Transitional Limits, PEL, mg/m³ column and the Final Rule Limits, TWA, mg/m³ column.

160. On page 2931, Table Z–1–A, the CAS No. for the substance Cresol is corrected to remove "95–48–7", "108–39–4", and "106–44–5".

161. On page 2931, Table Z–1–A, the CAS No. for the substance Cyanides is corrected to read "varies with compound".

162. On page 2935, Table Z–1–A, the CAS Numbers for the substance Dinitrobenzene, are corrected to read "(alpha–) 528–29–0", "(meta–) 99–65–0", and "(para–) 100–25–4".

163. On page 2935, Table Z–1–A, the CAS No. for the substance Dinitrotoluene, is corrected to read "25321–14–6".

164. On page 2935, Table Z–1–A, for the substance Dipropylene glycol, insert an "X" in Final Rule Limits Skin Designation column.

165. On page 2936, Table Z–1–A, the entry in the column marked CAS No., for the substance Divinyl benzene, is corrected to read "1321–74–0".

166. On page 2936, Table Z–1–A, for the substance 2–Ethoxyethanol, the note "In process of 6(b) rulemaking" is removed and under Final Rule Limits, the number "200" is inserted in the TWA, ppm column, the number "740" is inserted in the TWA, mg/m³ column and insert an "X" in the Skin Designation column.

167. On page 2936, Table Z–1–A, for the substance 2–Ethoxyethyl acetate, the note "In process of 6(b) rulemaking" is removed. Under Final Rule Limits, the number "100 is inserted in the TWA, ppm column, the number "540" is inserted in the mg/m³ column and insert an "X" in the Skin Designation column.

168. On page 2937, Table Z–1–A, the column marked CAS No., for the substance Ethyl amyl Ketone, is corrected to read "541–85–5".

169. On page 2937, Table Z–1–A, Under the heading Final Rule Limits, the note "In process of 6(b) rulemaking" for the substance Ethylene dibromide, is corrected to read "See Table Z–2".

170. On page 2938, Table Z–1–A, the note "See 1910.1047(a)(2) for Operations excluded" for the substance Ethylene oxide, is removed.

171. On page 2938, Table Z–1–A, under the substance Ferbam, the entry "respirable fraction" and all entries, in each column, with respect to respirable fraction are removed.

172. On page 2938, Table Z–1–A, for the substance Fluorides, under the Transitional Limits the note "See Table Z–2" is removed and the number "2.5 is inserted in the Transitional Limits, PEL, mg/m³, column.

173. On page 2938, Table Z–1–A, for the substance Fluorides the number "2.5" in the Final Rule Limits, TWA, ppm column is removed and the number "2.5" is inserted in the Final Rule Limits, TWA, mg/m³ column.

174. On page 2938, Table Z–1–A, the note for the substance Formaldehyde, is corrected to read "See Table Z–2 for operations or sectors excluded from 1910.1048 or for which limit(s) is (are) stayed.".

175. On page 2939, Table Z–1–1A, under the column CAS No. for the substance Gypsum, the entry is corrected to read 13397–24–5".

176. On page 2941, Table Z–1–A, the note "For independent . . . remand" for the substance Lead, inorganic, is removed.

177. On page 2942, Table Z–1–A, under the column CAS No., for the substance Limestone, the entry is corrected to read "1317–65–3".

178. On page 2942, Table Z–1–A, under the substance column, for the substance Magnesium oxide fume, the entry "total dust" is corrected to read "total particulate" and the second entry, "Respirable fraction", and all entries, in each column, with respect to respirable fraction are removed.

179. On page 2942, Table Z–1–A, for the substance Malathion, the entry "Respirable fraction" and all entries, in each column, with respect to respirable fraction are removed.

180. On page 2943, Table –1–A, for the substance Methoxychlor, the entry "Respirable fraction" and all entries, in each column, with respect to respirable fraction are removed.

181. On page 2943, Table Z–1–A, for the substance Methyl alcohol, the number "310" In the Final Rule Limit, STEL, mg/m³ column is corrected to read "325".

182. On page 2944, Table Z–1–A, for the substance Methyl cellosolve, the note "In process of 6(b) rulemaking" is removed; the number "25" is inserted in the Final Rule Limits, TWA ppm column; the number "80" is inserted in the Final Rule Limits, TWA, mg/m³column and insert an "X" in the Final Rule Limits, Skin Designation column.

183. On page 2944, Table Z–1–A, for the substance Methyl cellosolve acetate the note "In process of 6(b) rulemaking is removed; the number "25" is inserted in the Final Rule Limits, TWA, ppm column; the number "120" is inserted in the Final Rule Limits, TWA, mg/m³ column and insert "X" in the Final Rule Limits, Skin Designation column.

184. On page 2944, Table Z–1–A, the Final Rule Limits, STEL mg/m³ column, for the substance Methyl chloride, the entry "205" is corrected to read "210".

185. On page 2944, Table Z–1–A, for the substance 4,4'-Methylene bis insert "X" in the Final Rule Limits, Skin Designation column.

186. On page 2944, Table Z–1–A, under the heading Final Rule Limit, for the substance Methylene chloride, the note "In process of 6(b) rulemaking" is corrected to read "See Table Z–2".

187. On page 2945, Table Z–1–A, for the substance Molybdenum, the entry "Respirable fraction" and all entries, in each column, with respect to respirable fraction are removed.

188. On page 2946, Table Z–1–A, in the Transitional Limits, PEL, mg/m³ column, for the substance Nitroglycerin, the entry "(C)1" is corrected to read "(C)2".

189. On page 2947, Table Z–1–A, "(C) for the substance 2-Nitropropane, the CAS No. is corrected to read "79–46–9".

190. On page 2947, Table Z–1–A, for the substance N-Nitrosodimethylamine, the CAS No. is corrected to read "62–79–9".

191. On page 2947, Table Z–1–A, for the substance Paraquat, the following additional CAS Nos. are added; "1910–42–5" and "2074–50–2".

192. On page 2949, Table Z–1–A, for the substance Plaster of Paris, the CAS No. is corrected to read "26499–65–0".

193. On page 2951, Table Z–1–A, for the substance Silicia, amorphous, diatomaceous earth, the CAS No. is corrected to read "61790–53–2".

194. On page 2952, Table Z–1–A, under the substance Silicates, Talc (containing no asbestos)," the entry "asbestos), respirable dust" is corrected to read "respirable dust".

195. On page 2952, Table Z–1–A, the entry "Silver, metal dust and fume (as Ag)" is corrected to read "Silver, metal and soluble compounds (as Ag)".

196. On page 2953, Table Z–1–A the entry for subtilisins is corrected by correcting the CAS No. to "9014–01–1", removing "0.00006" from the Final Rule Limits, CEILING, mg/m³ column and adding to the Final Rule Limits, STEL, mg/m² column the entry "0.00006 (60 min.)[*]".

197. On page 2954, Table Z–1–A, the entry "letrasodium pyrophosphate" is corrected to read "Tetrasodium pyrophosphate".

198. On page 2954, Table Z–1–A, the entry "letryl (2,4,6-Trinitrophenyl-methyl-nitramine)" is corrected to read "Tetryl (2,4,6-Trinitrophenyl-methyl-nitramine)" and "0.1" in the Final Rule Limits, TWA, mg/m³ column is corrected to read "1.5".

199. On page 2954, Table Z–1–A, for the substance, "Thallium" the entry is

corrected to read "Thallium, soluble compounds (as Tl)".

200. On page 2955, Table Z–1–A, for the substance Tin oxide, the CAS No. is corrected to read "21651–19–4".

201. On page 2955, Table Z–1–A, in the column substance, for the substance Titanium dioxide, the entry "Respirable fraction" and all entries in each column for respirable fraction are removed.

202. On page 2958, Table Z–1–A, the second asterisk footnote, is corrected to read "Unless otherwise noted, employers in General Industry (i.e., those covered by 29 *CFR* 1910) may use any combination of controls to achieve these limits until December 31, 1992 as set forth in 29 CFR 1910.1000(f).".

203. On page 2958, Table Z–1–A, footnote d, third line, the word "subsequents," is corrected to read "subsegments,".

204. On page 2958, Table Z–1–A, new footnotes e, f and g are added to read as follows:

e Exposures under 10,000 ppm to be cited de minimus.

f The CAS number is for information only. Enforcement is based on the substance name. For an entry covering more than one metal compound measured as the metal, the CAS number for the metal is given—not CAS numbers for the individual compounds.

g Compliance with the subtilisins PEL is assessed by sampling with a high volume sampler (600–800 liters per minute) for at least 60 minutes.

205. On page 2959, Table Z–3, the heading is corrected to read "Table Z–3–Mineral Dusts".

206. On page 2959, Table Z–3, all asterisks and the corresponding Footnote are removed.

207. On page 2959, Table Z–3, the note at the end of the table is corrected to read "Note—Conversion Factors—mppcf $\times$ 35.3 = million particles per cubic meter = particles per c.c.".

Appendix—[Corrected]

The following corrections are made to the Non-Mandatory Appendix which will not appear in the CFR:

208. On page 2960, XI Appendix, the heading is corrected to read "XI. Non-Mandatory Appendix—Sampling and Analytical Methods".

209. On page 2960, first column, Appendix XI, lines 2 and 3 are corrected to read "for the substances listed in this appendix are not mandatory. They are listed to inform the public of methods and indicate that methods are available (or not available in 7 cases). They are categorized into three".

210. On page 2960, third column, lines 21 and 22 are corrected to read "SAMPLING AND ANALYTICAL METHODS-NON-MANDATORY".

211. On page 2971, for the substance Nickel Soluble Compounds, the entry in the DET LIMIT column is corrected to read "4μg/m ^{3}".

212. On page 2971, the entry "1294 Nuisance Particulates" is corrected to read, "1294 Particulates not otherwise regulated".

213. On page 2971, a new entry is placed in the ANALYTE column after Total Dust, after the substance "1294—Particulates not otherwise regulated" as follows: "Respirable Dust". A new entry is placed in the Other Method column for Respirable Dust as follows: "IH Tech. Man. C.2".

214. On page 2974, the substance "Percipitated Silica" is corrected to read "Precipitated Silica".

215. On page 2981, the entry "1294 Nuisance Particulates" is corrected to read "1294 Particulates not otherwise regulated".

216. On page 2981, a new entry is placed in the ANALYTE column after the entry "Total Dust" after "1294 Particulates not otherwise regulated" as follows: "Respirable dust". A new entry is made in the Other Method column, for Respirable Dust as follows "DHHS Pub. 77173".

217. On page 2982, under Silica-Amorphous, "Percipitated Silica" is corrected to read "Precipitated Silica".

Note: For an additional correction to the document referenced in this correction, see the corrections section of this issue.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 28th day of June 1989.

Alan C. McMillan,

Acting Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 89–15637 Filed 7–3–89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–26–M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 167

[CGD 89–019]

RIN 2115–AD22

Traffic Separation Scheme; Galveston Bay Approach

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This rule adjusts the Galveston Bay Approach Traffic Separation Scheme (TSS) by centering the separation zone within the existing TSS boundaries to accommodate a revised U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (COE) deep water channel dredging project. This change results in a more conventional TSS with a separation zone centered between two parallel traffic lanes of equal width.

EFFECTIVE DATE: September 30, 1989.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Margie G. Hegy, (202) 267–0415.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Regulatory Information Number

A regulatory information number (RIN) is assigned to each regulatory action listed in the Unified Agenda of Federal Regulations. The Regulatory Information Service Center publishes the Unified Agenda in April and October of each year. The RIN number contained in the heading of this document can be used to cross reference this action with the Unified Agenda.

Drafting Information

The principle persons involved in drafting this rulemaking are: Margie G. Hegy, Project Manager, Coast Guard Headquarters and Christena G. Green, Project Attorney, Office of Chief Counsel, Coast Guard Headquarters.

Background

The Ports and Waterways Safety Act (PWSA), 33 U.S.C. 1223, authorizes the Secretary of the Department in which the Coast Guard is operating to establish traffic separation schemes (TSS) and shipping safety fairways, where necessary, to provide safe access routes for vessels proceeding to or from United States ports.

A TSS is an internationally recognized routing measure that minimizes the risk of collision by separating vessels into opposing streams of traffic through the establishment of traffic lanes. To be internationally recognized, a TSS must be approved by the International Maritime Organization (IMO). IMO approves TSSs only if the proposed routing system complies with IMO principles and guidelines on ships routing. Vessel use of a TSS is voluntary; however, vessels operating in or near an IMO approved TSS are subject to Rule 10 of the International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at Sea, 1972 (72 COLREGS).

The 1978 amendments to the PWSA required the Coast Guard to undertake a port access route study to determine the need for traffic separation schemes or shipping safety fairways to increase vessel traffic safety in offshore areas subject to the jurisdiction of the U.S. The Coast Guard initiated this study by publishing a Notice of Proposed Study on April 16, 1979 (44 FR 22543).

For the purposes of the port access route study, the U.S. coastline was divided into 32 geographically defined areas. Study area 21 included the Galveston area and was assigned to the Eighth Coast Guard District for study.

The study (Study Results published in October 1981, at 46 FR 49989), recommended that, because of severe traffic congestion and recent vessel casualties, two precautionary areas and a TSS be established to overlay the existing Galveston Entrance Safety Fairway. The Galveston Bay Approach Traffic Separation Scheme and Precautionary Areas were proposed in June 1982 at 47 FR 26167. The TSS, consisting of inshore and offshore precautionary areas; inbound (northwesterly heading) and outbound (southeasterly heading) traffic lanes; and a separation zone, was adopted by IMO in June 1983 and became effective on January 1, 1984 (48 FR 36453, August 18, 1983). When this TSS was originally designed, the Coast Guard, considering developer plans to dredge a 600-foot wide, 55-foot deep channel through the fairway, located the 1000-foot separation zone over the proposed centerline of the deep draft channel. The proposed channel was not centered in the TSS, but was angled from slightly right of center at the shoreward end to almost a mile left of center at the seaward end. The existing separation zone, therefore, is off-center, and the inbound traffic lane is slightly wider than the outbound traffic lane.

The COE notified the Coast Guard on March 31, 1987, that the deep water channel would be dredged along the centerline of the TSS, rather than along the off-centered course originally planned.

A notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) to shift the separation zone to overlay the centerline of the proposed channel was published in the Federal Register on April 13, 1989 (54 FR 14827). Interested parties were given until May 30, 1989, to submit comments. Only two comments were received.

The West Gulf maritime Association, comprised of ship owners, agents and stevedores representing domestic and foreign flag principals, strongly supports this rulemaking. They stated that this adjustment will be a welcome step towards safer navigation as outbound vessels will no longer have to maneauver a sharp "S" turn in the precautionary area surrounding the "GA buoy" and the fairway intersection.

The National Ocean Service (NOS), Office of Charting and Geodetic Services advised that the coordinates published in the NPRM did not accurately place the separation zone in the center of the existing TSS boundaries. NOS provided coordinates to accurately center the separation zone and the Coast Guard is using these adjusted coordinates in this final rule.

This final rule adjusts the Galveston Bay Approach Traffic Separation Scheme by centering the separation zone to overlay the centerline of the proposed deep draft channel.

The Coast Guard has notified IMO of the adjustment and the September 30, 1989, effective date.

Aids to Navigation

The center of the TSS separation zone is marked with traffic lane lighted buoys which will be relocated, to coincide with the effective date of this rule, as indicated below:

LIGHTED BUOY "A" (LLNR 22635) from present position 29°10'04" N., 94°27'24" W. to approximate position 29°10'23" N., 94°27'06" W.

LIGHTED BUOY "C" (LLNR 22640) form present position 29°11'24" N., 94°29'02" W. to approximate position 29°11'41" N., 94°28'47" W.

LIGHTED BUOY "E" (LLNR 22645) from present position 29°12'46" N., 94°30'40" W., to approximate position 29°12'55" N., 94°30'30" W.

LIGHTED BUOY "G" (LLNR 22650) from present position 29°14'06" N., 94°32'18" W., approximate position 29°14'13" N., 94°32'12" W.

LIGHTED BUOY "I" (LLNR 22655) from present position 29°15'29" N., 94°33'56" W., to approximate position 29°15'30" N., 94°33'54" W.

LIGHTED BUOY "K" (LLNR 22660) from present position 29°16'50" N., 94°35'30" W., to approximate position 29°16'46" N., 94°35'35" W.

The LIGHTED WHISTLE "GA" BUOY will be relocated within the precautionary area from its present position 29°09'06" N., 94°26'12" W., to approximate position 29°09'28" N., 94°25'53" W.

Regulatory Evaluation

These regulatory changes are considered to be nonmajor under Executive Order 12291 and non-significant under the DOT regulatory policies and procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979). The economic impact of this proposal is expected to be so minimal that further evaluation is unnecessary. The Coast Guard certifies that this rule, if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

Environmental Impact

In accordance with section 2.B.2.c. of Commandant Instruction (COMDTINST) M16475.1B, this action has been thoroughly reviewed by the Coast Guard and it has been determined to be categorically excluded from further environmental documentation.

Federalism

This rulemaking has ben analyzed in accordance with the principles and criteria contained in Executive Order 12612, and it has been determined that this rulemaking does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 167

Navigation (water), Vessels, traffic separation schemes

In consideration of the foregoing, 33 CFR Part 167 is amended as follows:

PART 167—Offshore Traffic Separation Schemes

1. The authority citation for Part 167 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1223; 49 CFR 1.46.

2. Section 167.350 is amended by revising paragraph (b) to read as follows:

§ 167.350 Galveston Bay approach traffic separation scheme and precautionary areas.

* * * * *

(b) A traffic separation zone bounded by a line connecting the following geographical positions:

Latitude	*Longitude*
(6) 29°17.13' N.	94°35.86' W.
(7) 29°09.55' N.	94°25.95' W.
(8) 29°09.41' N.	94°25.95' W.
(9) 29°17.00' N.	94°36.00' W.

* * * * *

Dated: June 9, 1989.

A.B. Smith,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Acting Chief, Office of Navigation Safety and Waterway Services.

[FR Doc. 89-15648 Filed 7-3-89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 491014

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRC-3611-2]

40 CFR Part 82

Protection of Stratospheric Ozone

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Technical amendment to the final rule.

SUMMARY: Today's action makes several technical revisions to EPA's

Stratospheric Ozone Protection Rule first published on August 12, 1988 (53 FR 30566).

This action deletes a duplicative reporting requirement § 82.9(d)(2)(vi)) associated with trades of production allowances between Protocol Parties whose 1986 production of controlled substances was less than 25 kilotonnes.

This action also clarifies one recordkeeping and one reporting provision of the final rule.

In addition, this notice clarifies two issues related to the implementation of the final rule. First, the Agency will allow interim quarterly reports based on fiscal quarters under certain circumstances. Second, this notice clarifies EPA's intent that a company expend consumption allowances equal to the total volume of an import of controlled substances as recorded on the U.S. Customs Entry Summary Form, including any residual heel remaining in the tank and returned overseas.

Finally, The Agency today publishes Appendix B ("Parties to the Montreal Protocol") and D ("Twenty-Five Kilotonne Parties") to the final rule that had been reserved when the final rule was published last August.

DATES: The deletion of § 82.9(d)(2)(vi) will be effective September 5, 1989 unless notice is received within 30 days of publication of this amendment that some parties wish to submit adverse or critical comments. All other revisions are effective July 5, 1989.

ADDRESSES: Comments and other information relevant to this rulemaking are maintained in Docket A–88–27 at the Air Docket, Room M–1500, Waterside Mall, 401 M Street SW., Washington, DC 20460. The docket may be inspected between 8:30 a.m. and 12:00 p.m. and between 1:30 p.m. and 3:30 p.m. on weekdays. As provided in 40 CFR Part 2, a reasonable fee may be charged for photocopying.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David Lee, Analyst, Regulatory and Analysis Branch, Global Change Division, Office of Atmospheric and Indoor Air Programs, Office of Air and Radiation, ANR–445, 401 M Street SW., Washington, DC 20460, (202) 475–7497.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On August 12, 1988, EPA issued its final rule (53 FR 30566) implementing the Montreal Protocol, an international treaty designed to limit the worldwide production and consumption of chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs) and halons.

The rule requires CFC producers to reduce their production of CFC–11, –12, –113, –114, –115 ("controlled substances") by as much as 50 percent of 1986 levels over the next 10 years. The schedule of the phased reductions in CFC production is presented in the final rule (§ 82.7). In addition to these production limits, the rule requires reduction in CFC consumption, defined as production plus imports minus exports, according to the same schedule (§ 82.7).

The rule also limits the production and consumption of Halon 1301, 1211, and 2402, another set of ozone-depleting chemicals. Under the rule, production and consumption of these halons will be frozen at 1986 levels beginning in approximately 1992 (§ 82.8).

The Agency's final rule implements these limits by allotting production and consumption allowances to producers and importers (§§ 82.5 and 82.6). Producers must obtain both consumption and production allowances to manufacture controlled substances while importers need only consumption allowances to import. Under the final rule seven producers received production allowances and seventeen producers and importers received consumption allowances for these chemicals based on the 1986 production and trade data they submitted to the Agency (§§ 82.5 and 82.6).

To monitor the industry's compliance with these production and consumption limits, EPA requires that producers and importers maintain records of their production and import activities and report quarterly their production and import levels (§§ 82.13). EPA may also conduct compliance reviews and inspections. In addition, EPA requires exporters to report their export shipments for which they may receive additional consumption allowances (§§ 82.10, 82.11 and 82.13).

Deletion of Section 82.9(d)(2)(vi)

Since § 82.9 was published in August 1988, EPA has become aware that one of that section's reporting requirements overlaps another requirement in that section. Specifically, § 82.9(d)(1) requires that a person seeking a transfer of allowances to or from a Twenty-five kilotonne Party obtain, from that Party's principal diplomatic representative in its United States embassy, "a document clearly stating that the nation agrees to reduce or increase, as applicable, its allowable calculated level of production by the amount being transferred to or from the recipient for the control period(s) to which the transfer applies and that after the transfer the nation's total allowable production of controlled substances will not exceed 25 kilotonnes." In addition, § 82.9(d)(2)(vi) requires that persons seeking to transfer their allowance *to* Twenty-five kilotonne Parties include in their transfer request to EPA, the Twenty-five kilotonne Party's total allowable calculated level of production following the proposed transaction. The Agency requested this information to ensure that the country did not violate its production limit set by the Montreal Protocol.

The Agency now believes that the document it receives from the diplomatic representative will serve the Agency's objective of assuring that transfers to Twenty-five kilotonne Parties do not result in those Parties exceeding 25 kilotonnes of annual production. The submitted document will establish that the Twenty-five kilotonne Party is aware of the transfer and has agreed that its total allowable production will not exceed 25 kilotonnes as a result of the transfer. Further, the Agency believes that the requirement for the transferring entity to report the Twenty-five kilotonne Party's total allowable calculated level of production will not appreciably further this objective. A report from the person transferring allowances of the Twenty-five kilotonne Party would contain only second-hand information most likely gained from the Party itself. Gaining the information, moreover, might well prove difficult and time-consuming, and delay transfers of allowances. Accordingly, EPA is deleting the requirement that a person transferring allowances report the Twenty-five kilotonne Party's total allowable production.

EPA considers the deletion of § 82.9(d)(2)(vi) to be noncontroversial. Therefore, the deletion will become effective September 5, 1989, unless EPA receives notice by (30 days from publication) that parties wish to submit critical comments. Should this occur, EPA will publish (1) a notice withdrawing this action, and (2) a notice of proposed rulemaking with an appropriate public comment period.

Quarterly Reporting

Since publication of the final rule, EPA has received inquiries regarding the definition of a quarterly reporting period, and whether a fiscal quarter as opposed to a control period quarter may be used. As noted in the preamble to the final rule (53 FR 30591) the Montreal Protocol does not allow EPA the flexibility to shift control periods, and therefore would not allow compliance to be determined based on a company's fiscal period to the extent it is different from the specified control periods. However, if the first and last quarterly reports are adjusted to coincide with the beginning and end of the control period, the interim quartely reports may be

based on a fiscal quarter, provided EPA determines that a person's fiscal quarters follow the calendar quarters closely enough so as not to complicate record review.

Quantity Imported

Several companies have requested a clarification of the term "quantity of controlled substance imported". They have asked whether they should report total import volume, as recorded by U.S. Customs on the Entry Summary Form, Form 7501, or the net import volume, which is the quantity of controlled substance extracted from the shipment containers and actually consumed within the United States; the net import volume does not include any residual heel remaining in the "empty" container returned to the foreign exporter. Importing companies have requested that only net imports count toward their consumption limits since the heels are re-exported and thus not consumed domestically.

The Agency has determined that importers must report the total import volume as recorded on the Entry Summary Form to the U.S. Customs Service. In developing the 1986 baseline for imports and in allocating consumption allowances to importers, the Agency used information on the volume of imports that had been submitted by importers in compliance with the rule promulgated in 53 FR 47486. All importing companies reported their total imports as recorded on their Entry Summary Forms or business invoices. Heels were included in these volumes and, therefore, were added to the importers consumption allowances for the initial or baseline allocation of allowances. The Agency believes that since heels were included in the development of the baseline allowances, the heels of all imports occurring during the control periods must also be included in the reported import volume on quarterly reports to EPA.

Technical Amendments to Recordkeeping and Reporting Requirements

Since the publication of the final rule, the Agency has learned that a particular recordkeeping and reporting requirement, as written, may entail more effort to complete than originally intended, and may require some companies to maintain records of information and report data that could prove confusing to the Agency in monitoring compliance with the final rule.

Section 82.13 (f)(2)(iv) requires producers to maintain records of the quantity of raw materials and feedstock chemicals used at each plant for the production of controlled substances. Today's **Federal Register** notice promulgates a technical amendment that requires producers to maintain these records on a facility basis rather than on a plant basis. During the development of its compliance program, the Agency intended to collect information for each facility and analyzed the burden to the industry and its utility to EPA on that basis. EPA is concerned that industry may feel compelled to maintain records for these feedstocks even if such chemcials are consumed in the production of non-controlled substances. This was not the intention of this requirement. Furthermore, the Agency understands that records are already maintained on a facility-by-facility basis, and that this recordkeeping requirement (recordkeeping on a facility basis) should not increase the administrative burden for companies. Since the final rule requires other records on a facility by facility basis, this amendment would make this recordkeeping consistent with the other requirements, and should lessen the administrative burden to companies.

In addition, § 82.13(f)(3)(iii) currently requires producers to report shipments of each controlled substance from each plant in that quarter. The Agency has recently learned that some plants serve as packaging centers for other plants Controlled substances, manufactured at one plant, may be shipped to another plant for packaging and sale. Under the present reporting requirement, shipments from the second plant would not provide a realistic check on production since reported shipments would include quantities of controlled substances produced at another plant. Such recordkeeping would result in double-counting the quantity shipped and packaged. The technical amendment published today clarifies the requirement that producers need only to report shipments of each controlled substance that is produced solely at each plant to avoid possible double-counting and to provide a more meaningful check on production. This amendment should not increase industry burden since producing companies are currently required under 82.13(f)(2)(v) to maintain records of shipments of controlled substances produced at each plant.

Appendices

When the Agency published its final rule last August, it reserved three appendices to the final rule. At that time, the Agency specified what would be the basis for completing the Appendices, but did not have the necessary information to complete the appendices. In today's notice, the Agency publishes Appendix B and D of the final rule.

Appendix B lists the countries that are Party to the Protocol. The United Nations Environmental Programme (UNEP) is responsible for collecting the information contained in the appendices for use by the Parties for implementation of the Protocol. The Agency has obtained from UNEP the names of Parties listed in Appendix B. This Appendix shall be undated regularly as more countries become Party to the Protocol. According to Article 16 of the Montreal Protocol, any State shall become a Party ninety (90) days after the date of deposit of its instrument of accession. The Agency shall update Appendix B regularly through notices in the **Federal Register.**

Appendix D lists the Twenty-five Kilotonne countries. The Protocol defines a Twenty-Five Kilotonne nation as a Party which produced less than 25 kilotonnes of Group I controlled substances during the baseline year of 1986. The United Nations Environment Programme has announced an initial list of twenty-five kiltonne parties based on reports submitted to UNEP by parties. As more Parties report their production to UNEP, the list of Twenty-five kilotonne nations will likely expand as UNEP updates its list.

Paperwork Reduction Act

The information collection requirements contained in this amendment as well as all reporting and recordkeeping requirements contained in the final rule published on August 12, 1988 (53 FR 3056) have been approved by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under the provisions of the *Paperwork Reduction Act* 44 U.S.C. section 3501 *et seq.*, and have been assigned OMB control number 2060–0170.

The reporting burden associated with the preparation and submittal of information required under § 82.9(d) is estimated to be approximately one hour.

Send comments regarding the burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Chief, Information Policy Branch, PM–223, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street SW., Washington, DC. 20460; and the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (2060–0170), Washington, DC. 20530, marked "Attention: Desk Officer for EPA."

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 82

Stratospheric ozone.

Date: June 26, 1989.

Don Clay,

Acting Assistant Administrator.

PART 82—PROTECTION OF STRATOSPHERIC OZONE

1. The authority citation for Part 82 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7457(b).

2. Section 82.9 is amended by removing paragraph (d)(2)(vi) and revising paragraphs (d)(2)(iv) and (d)(2)(v) to read as follows:

§ 82.9 Availability of production allowances in addition to baseline production allowances.

* * * * *

(d) * * *

(2) * * *

(iv) The amount of allowable calculated level of production being transferred; and

(v) The control period(s) to which the transfer applies.

3. Section 82.13 is amended by revising paragraphs (f)(2)(iv) and (f)(3)(iii) and adding an OMB control number to read as follows:

§ 82.13 Recordkeeping and reporting requirements.

* * * * *

(f) * * *

(2) * * *

(iv) Dated records of the quantity of the following raw materials and feedstock chemicals used at each facility for the production of controlled substance: carbon tetrachloride, perchloroethylene, chloroform, hydrofluoric acid, chlorine, bromine, CFC–113, HCFC–22, and CFC–23.

* * * * *

(3) * * *

(iii) From each plant, the total shipments of each controlled substance produced at that plant in the quarter.

* * * * *

(Information collection requirements contained in paragraph (f)(2)(iv) approved by the Office of Management and Budget under control number 2060–0170)

4. Part 82 is amended by adding Appendix B to read as follows:

Appendix B to Part 82—Parties To The Montreal Protocol

Effective July 1

Belgium	German Dem. Rep.	Ireland
Canada	Germany, Fed. Rep.	Italy
Denmark	Ghana	Japan
Egypt	Greece	Kenya
Finland	Malta	Liechtenstein
France	Mexico	Luxembourg
	Netherlands	Panama
	New Zealand	Portugal
	Nigeria	Singapore
	Norway	Spain
		Sweden
		Switzerland
		Uganda
		USSR
		United Kingdom
		United States
		Venezuela

Effective July 19: Hungary
Effective August 1: Austria
Effective August 14: Maldives
Effective August 17: Australia
Effective August 30: Jordan

5. Part 82 is amended by adding Appendix D to read as follows:

Appendix D to Part 82—Twenty-Five Kilotonne Parties

Canada, Mexico, Australia (Effective August 17).

[FR Doc. 89–15635 Filed 7–3–89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–M

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Public Health Service

42 CFR Part 57

RIN 0905–AC 77

Grants for Centers for Excellence

AGENCY: Public Health Service, HHS.

ACTION: Final regulations.

SUMMARY: This rule establishes regulations for Grants for Centers for Excellence, authorized by section 788A of the Public Health Service Act (the Act), as added by the Excellence in Minority Health Education and Care Act. Section 788A of the Act was amended and redesignated as section 782 by the Health Professions Reauthorization Act of 1988.

DATE: These regulations are effective July 5, 1989, except § 57.2109, which will be effective upon OMB approval. A document announcing the effective date of § 57.2109 will be published in the **Federal Register.**

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Clay E. Simpson, Jr., Ph.D., Director, Division of Disadvantaged Assistance, Bureau of Health Professions, Health Resources and Services Administration, Parklawn Building, Room 8A–09, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, Maryland 20857; telephone number: (301) 443–2100.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On December 9, 1988, the Assistant Secretary for Health, with the approval of the Secretary of Health and Human Services, published in the **Federal Register** (53 FR 49690), a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) to amend Part 57 of Title 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations by removing Subpart V, entitled "Grants for Training in Emergency Medical Services", and adding a new Subpart V to implement section 788A of the Act, as established by Pub. L. 100–97, the Excellence in Minority Health Education and Care Act, enacted on August 18, 1987. The Health Professions Reauthorization Act of 1988, Title VI of Pub. L. 100–607, enacted on November 4, 1988, redesignated section 788A as section 782. Section 782 authorizes the Secretary to make grants to health professions schools to assist such schools in supporting programs of excellence in health professions education for minority individuals. A grant under this section shall be used by a health professions school to:

(1) Develop a plan to achieve institutional improvements, including financial independence, to enable such school to support programs of excellence in health professions education for minority individuals;

(2) Improve the capacity of such school to recruit and retain faculty;

(3) Provide improved access to the library and information resources of such school;

(4) Establish, strengthen, or expand programs to enhance the academic performance of students in such school;

(5) Establish, strengthen, or expand programs to increase the number and quality of applicants for admission to such school; and

(6) Develop curricula and carry out faculty training programs in order to enable such school to become, for the Nation's health care providers, a resource with respect to the health problems of minority communities, such as higher infant mortality rates and higher incidences of acquired immunodeficiency syndrome.

Section 782 authorizes support only for a health professions school which: (1) Is a school as described in section 701(4) of the Act, and (2) has received support under section 788B of the Act (Advanced Financial Distress Assistance) for Fiscal Year 1987.

The NPRM proposed to establish project requirements and criteria for application evaluation. The notice proposed that projects which supported a minimum of three of the six statutory purposes listed above, one of which must be purpose (1), would be eligible for funding. The plan, as provided in purpose (1), would provide a means of assessing a grantee's progress in achieving its targeted improvements and financial independence. The notice also proposed that this plan be submitted by the grantee within 6 months of the date of the grant award with the intent that

this would provide a timeframe that would coincide with the submission of a continuation award application and the accompanying progress report. The remaining five purposes included areas of need that might not exist for every potential grantee. Therefore, it was proposed that an applicant include in its application at least two of these purposes which would be appropriate for its institutional needs.

With respect to purpose (5), it was proposed that this activity not be offered to students before they entered the freshman year of high school. The Department believed that this would maximize the program's available resources and enable the program to reach students at the point in their education when course selection and career decisions begin to impact preparation leading to health careers. Further, it would encourage relationships between the health professions schools and high schools.

The public comment period on the proposed regulations closed on February 7, 1989. The Department received no comments. Therefore, the proposed regulations set out in the December 9, 1988, NPRM are adopted as proposed.

These regulations also include additional technical changes and clarifying language to the proposed regulations. These revisions are necessary in order to incorporate amendments made by the Health Professions Reauthorization Act of 1988, Titel VI of Pub. L. 100–607, which redesignated section 788A as section 782, and to incorporate current departmental grants policy language. Since these revisions are of a technical nature, the Secretary has determined pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 533 and departmental policy that it is unnecessary and impractical to follow proposed rulemaking procedures. These revisions are summarized below:

1. Revise the authority citation in include the section number redesignation and the United States Code citation change, in accordance with Pub. L. 100–607.

2. Revise § 57.2101, entitled *"To what projects do these regulations apply?"*, to identify the section of the Public Health Service Act in which awards to health professions schools are made and the United States Code citation by inserting after the word "schools" the phrase "under section 782 of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 295g–2)", in accordance with Pub. L. 100–607.

3. Revise § 57.2105, entitled *"How will applications be evaluated?"*, to amend paragraph (a)(3) by removing the word "implementation" before the word "plan" to clarify that the word "plan" refers to institutional improvements rather than the implementation of grant activities.

4. Revise § 57.2108, entitled *"What additional Department regulations apply to grantees?"*, by changing the footnote number in 45 CFR Part 83 from "1" to "2".

5. Revise § 57.2110, entitled *"Additional conditions."*, by substituting the words "on any" in lieu of "in the" after the phrase "The Secretary may impose additional conditions", and by removing "the" and inserting "any" before the phrase "before or at the time of" to reflect current departmental grants policy language.

Regulatory Flexibility Act and Executive Order 12291

These regulations govern financial assistance programs in which participation is voluntary. The rule will not exceed the threshold level of $100 million established in section (b) of Executive Order 12291. For these reasons, the Secretary has determined that this rule is not a major rule under Executive Order 12291 and a regulatory impact analysis is not required. Further, because the rule does not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities, a regulatory flexibility analysis under the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 is not required.

Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980

This final rule contains information collections which are subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980. The title, description, and respondent description of the information collections are shown below with an estimate of the annual reporting and recordkeeping burden. Included in the estimate is the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information.

Title: Grants for Centers for Excellence.

Description: The audit requirement for 42 CFR 57.2109 is needed to account for expenditures of grant funds by health professions schools.

Description of Respondents: Nonprofit institutions.

Estimated Annual Reporting and Recordkeeping Burden:

Section No.	Annual No. of respondents	Annual frequency	Average burden per response	Annual burden hours
57.2109	4	1	4 hours.....	16 hours.

We have submitted an information request to OMB for approval of these requirements. We received no public comments on the estimated public reporting burden, and it remains the same as in the proposed rule. These requirements, however, will not be effective until the Department obtains OMB approval, at which time a notice will be published in the **Federal Register** to notify the public of such action.

List of Subjects in 42 CFR Part 57

Dental health, Education of the disadvantaged, Educational facilities, Educational study programs, Emergency medical services, Grant programs-education, Grant programs-health, Health facilities, Health professions, Loan programs-health, Medical and dental schools, Student aid.

Accordingly, 42 CFR Part 57, Subpart V is revised as set forth below:

Dated: April 24, 1989.

James O. Mason,

Assistant Secretary for Health.

Approved: June 16, 1989.

Louis W. Sullivan,

Secretary.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance, No. 13.157, Grants for Centers for Excellence)

PART 57—GRANTS FOR CONSTRUCTION OF TEACHING FACILITIES, EDUCATIONAL IMPROVEMENTS, SCHOLARSHIPS, AND STUDENT LOANS

1. 42 CFR Part 57 is amended by revising Subpart V, consisting of §§ 57.2101 through 57.2110, entitled "Grants for Centers for Excellence" to read as follows:

Supart V—Grants for Centers for Excellence

Sec.

57.2101 To what projects do these regulations apply?
57.2102 Definitions.
57.2103 Who is eligible to apply for a grant?
57.2104 Project requirements.
57.2105 How will applications be evaluated?
57.2106 How long does grant support last?
57.2107 For what purposes may grant funds be spent?
57.2108 What additional Department regulations apply to grantees?
57.2109 What other audit and inspection requirements apply to grantees?
57.2110 Additional conditions.

Subpart V—Grants for Centers for Excellence

Authority: Sec. 788A of the Public Health Service Act, as established by Pub. L. 100–97, 101 Stat. 713–714 (42 U.S.C. 295g–8a), and redesignated as section 782 and as amended by Pub. L. 100–607, 102 Stat. 3136 (42 U.S.C. 295g–2).

§ 57.2101 To what projects do these regulations apply?

These regulations apply to grants awarded to public or nonprofit private health professions schools under section 782 of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 295g–2) to assist such schools in supporting programs of excellence in health professions education for minority individuals.

§ 57.2102 Definitions.

"Act" means the Public Health Service Act, as amended.

"Health professions school" means any accredited school of medicine, dentistry, osteopathy, pharmacy, optometry, podiatry, veterinary medicine, public health, and chiropractic or graduate program in health administration as defined in section 701(4) of the Act and as accredited in section 701(5) of the Act.

"Minority" means an individual whose race/ethnicity is classified as American Indian or Alaskan Native, Asian or Pacific Islander, Black, or Hispanic.

"Nonprofit" means an entity owned and operated by one or more corporations or associations, no part of the net earnings of which inures or may lawfully inure to the benefit of any private shareholder or individual.

"Secretary" means the Secretary of Health and Human Services and any other officer or employee of the Department of Health and Human Services to whom the authority involved has been delegated.

"State" means, in addition to the several States, the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, the Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands (the Republic of Palau), the Republic of the Marshall Islands, and the Federated States of Micronesia.

§ 57.2103 Who is eligible to apply for a grant?

A health professions school described in section 701(4) which has received support under section 788B (Advanced Financial Distress Assistance) of the Act for Fiscal Year 1987, may apply for a grant under this subpart. Each eligible applicant desiring a grant under this subpart shall submit an application in the form and at the time the Secretary may prescribe.[1]

§ 57.2104 Project requirements.

(a) The Secretary will award grants to meet the cost of carrying out three or more of the following six purposes, one of which must be the purpose provided in paragraph (a)(1) of this section:

(1) Develop a plan to achieve institutional improvements, including financial independence, to enable such school to support programs of excellence in health professions education for minority individuals. This plan must be submitted within 6 months of the date of grant award;

(2) Improve the capacity of such school to recruit and retain faculty;

(3) Provide improved access to the library and information resources of such school;

(4) Establish, strengthen, or expand programs to enhance the academic performance of students in such school;

(5) Establish, strengthen, or expand programs to increase the number and quality of applicants for admission to such school. Activities designed to increase the number and quality of applicants to these schools may not be offered to students prior to the ninth grade; and

(6) Develop curricula and carry out faculty training programs in order to enable such school to become, for the Nation's health care providers, a resource with respect to the health problems of minority communities, such as higher infant mortality rates and higher incidences of acquired immunodeficiency syndrome.

(b) Each project must evaluate its program based on the evaluation plan provided in the grant application.

§ 57.2105 How will applications be evaluated?

(a) After consulting with the National Advisory Council on Health Professions Education, established in section 702 of the Act, the Secretary will decide which applications to approve by considering, among other factors:

(1) The degree to which the applicant can arrange to continue the proposed project beyond the federally funded project period;

(2) The degree to which the proposed project meets three or more of the purposes as described in § 57.2104;

(3) The relationship of the objectives of the proposed project to the goals of the plan that will be developed pursuant to § 57.2104(a)(1);

(4) The administration and managerial ability of the applicant to carry out the project in a cost effective manner;

(5) The adequacy of the staff and faculty to carry out the program;

(6) The soundness of the budget for assuring effective utilization of grant funds, and the proportion of total program funds which come from non-federal sources and the degree to which they are projected to increase over the grant period;

(7) The number of individuals who can be expected to benefit from the project; and

(8) The overall impact the project will have on strengthening the school's capacity to train minority health professionals and increase the supply of minority health professionals available to serve minority populations in underserved areas.

(b) In determining the funding of applications approved under paragraph (a) of this section, the Secretary will consider any special factors relating to national needs as the Secretary may from time to time announce in the **Federal Register.**

§ 57.2106 How long does grant support last?

(a) The notice of grant award specifies the length of time the Secretary intends to support the project without requiring the project to recompete for funds. This period, called the project period, will not exceed 3 years.

[1] Applications and instructions (Form PHS 6025–1, OMB #0915–0060) may be obtained from the Grants Management Officer, Bureau of Health Professions, Parklawn Building, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, Maryland 20857.

(b) Generally, the grant will initially be funded for 1 year, and subsequent continuation awards will also be for 1 year at a time. Decisions regarding the continuation awards and the funding levels of these awards will be made after consideration of such factors as the grantee's progress, including the degree that the projected portion of non-federal funds supporting the project has been met, and management practices, the existence of legislative authority, and the availability of funds. In all cases, continuation awards require a determination by the Secretary that continued funding is in the best interest of the Federal Government.

(c) Neither the approval of any application nor the award of any grant shall commit or obligate the United States in any way to make any additional, supplemental, continuation, or other award with respect to any approved application or portion of an approved application. For continuation support, grantees must make separate application at such times and in such a form as the Secretary may prescribe.

§ 57.2107 For what purposes may grant funds be spent?

(a) A grantee shall only spend funds it receives under this subpart according to the approved application and budget, the authorizing legislation, terms and conditions of the grant award, applicable cost principles specified in Subpart Q of 45 CFR Part 74, and these regulations.

(b) Any balance of federally obligated grant funds remaining unobligated by the grantee at the end of a budget period may be carried forward to the next budget period, for use as prescribed by the Secretary, provided a continuation award is made. If at any time during a budget period it becomes apparent to the Secretary that the amount of Federal funds awarded and available to the grantee for that period, including any unobligated balance carried foward from prior periods, exceeds the grantee's needs for the period, the Secretary may adjust the amounts awarded by withdrawing the excess. A budget period is an interval of time (usually 12 months) into which the project period is divided for funding and reporting purposes.

(c) The grantee may spend grant funds to pay individual participants in the program a per diem when the grantee determines that—

(1) The training program requires the individual to establish a temporary new residence;

(2) The program is offered at a time when the individual would not be at the training site in a regular course of education or employed as a faculty member at that institution; and

(3) No other Federal financial assistance program is authorized to provide this support.

(d) The grantee may spend grant funds to provide one round trip per participant between the place of residence and the training site, provided that conditions in paragraphs (c)(1) and (2) of this section are met.

(e) The grantee may not spend grant funds for stipends, licensing exams, loan or scholarship support, support of research faculty or projects and related activities, establishment of research centers, establishment of planning offices, support of commercial ventures, entertainment, dependency allowances, new construction of facilities, acquisition of land, fund raising, or for sectarian instruction or any religious purpose.

§ 57.2108 What additional Department regulations apply to grantees?

Several other regulations apply to grants under this subpart. These include, but are not limited to:

42 CFR Part 50, Subpart D—Public Health Service grant appeals procedure
45 CFR Part 16—Procedures of the Departmental Grant Appeals Board
45 CFR Part 46—Protection of human subjects
45 CFR Part 74—Administration of grants
45 CFR Part 75—Informal grant appeals procedures
45 CFR Part 76—Governmentwide Debarment and Suspension (non-procurement) and Governmentwide Requirements for Drug-Free Workplace (Grants)
45 CFR Part 80—Nondiscrimination under programs receiving Federal assistance through the Department of Health and Human Services effectuation of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964
45 CFR Part 81—Practice and procedure for hearings under Part 80 of this Title
45 CFR Part 83—Regulation for the administration and enforcement of sections 799A and 845 of the Public Health Service Act [2]
45 CFR Part 84—Nondiscrimination on the basis of handicap in programs and activities receiving or benefiting from Federal financial assistance
45 CFR Part 86—Nondiscrimination on the basis of sex in education programs and activities receiving or benefiting from Federal financial assistance
45 CFR Part 91—Nondiscrimination on the basis of age in HHS programs or activities receiving Federal financial assistance

§ 57.2109 What other audit and inspection requirements apply to grantees?

Each grantee must, in addition to the requirements of 45 CFR Part 74, meet the requirements of section 705 of the Act, concerning audit and inspection.

§ 57.2110 Additional conditions.

The Secretary may impose additional conditions on any grant award before or at the time of any award if he or she determines that these conditions are necessary to assure or protect the advancement of the approved activity, the interest of the public health, or the conservation of grant funds.

[FR Doc. 89-15698 Filed 7-3-89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160-15-M

[2] Section 799A of the Public Health Service Act was redesignated as section 704 by Pub. L. 94-484; section 845 of the Public Health Service Act was redesignated as section 855 by Pub. L. 94-63.

INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT COOPERATION AGENCY

Agency for International Development

48 CFR Parts 705, 706, 715 and 752

[AIDAR Docket No. 89-4]

Alternative Source Selection Procedures

AGENCY: Agency for International Development, IDCA.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The AID Acquisition Regulation (AIDAR) is being amended to reflect a formal determination made by the Deputy Administrator that AID's Title XII source selection procedures in AIDAR 715.613-70 and 715.613-71 are necessary so as not to impair or affect AID's authority to administer Part I, Chapter 2, Title XII of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as amended (22 U.S.C. 2220 a.-e.).

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 5, 1989.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: M/SER/PPE, Mr. James M. Kelly, Room 1600I, SA-14, Agency for International Development, Washington, DC 20523. Telephone (703) 875-1534.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Title XII source selection procedures now in AIDAR 715.613-70 and 715.613-71 were developed to enable AID to properly administer Title XII of the Foreign Assistance Act. These procedures, in their present form, were coordinated with the public and with the Office of Federal Procurement Policy (OFPP).

In a recent review of AID administration of Title XII (FOREIGN AID—Issues Concerning U.S. University Participation; GAO/NSIAD-89-38; B-203211, 4/11/89), the General Accounting Office (GAO) concluded that the AIDAR Title XII source selection procedures were not consistent with the Competition in Contracting Act. AID took exception to this conclusion; however, in correspondence with AID,

the GAO concluded that AID had the authority to continue using its Title XII source selection procedures if the AID Administrator made a formal determination that their use was necessary in order not to impair foreign assistance objectives. After full consideration of the GAO conclusions; the basis for the Title XII source selection procedures; the continuing need for the contributions of Title XII institutions; our understanding of Congressional intent regarding utilization of Title XII institutions; and in accordance with 40 U.S.C. 474(2), the Deputy Administrator of AID signed a determination on 5/24/89 that the special source selection procedures in AIDAR 715.613–70 and 715.613–71 are necessary so as not to impair or affect AID's authority to administer Title XII of the Foreign Assistance Act.

This finding is being reflected and referenced in Parts 705, 706 and 715 of the AIDAR. We are also taking this opportunity to make an editorial correction of an unrelated erroneous cross-reference in AIDAR 752.7031.

The changes being made by this Notice are not considered significant rules subject to FAR 1.301 or Subpart 1.5. This Notice is exempted from the requirements of Executive Order 12291 by OMB Circular 85–7. This Notice will not have an impact on a substantial number of small entities, nor does it establish any information collection as contemplated by the Regulatory Flexibility Act and Paperwork Reduction Act.

List of Subjects in 48 CFR Parts 705, 706, 715, and 752

Government procurement.

For the reasons set out in the Preamble, Chapter 7 of Title 48 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

1. The authority citations in Parts 705, 706, 715, and 752 are unchanged and continue to read as follows:

Authority: Sec. 621, Pub. L. 87–195, 75 Stat. 445 (22 U.S.C. 2381), as amended; E.O. 12163, Sept. 29, 1979 (44 FR 56673, 3 CFR 1979 Comp., p. 435.)

PART 705—PUBLICIZING CONTRACT ACTIONS

Subpart 705.2—Synopsis of Proposed Contract Actions

2. Section 705.202 is amended by revising paragraph (b)(1) as follows:

705.202 Exceptions.

* * * * *

(b) * * *

(1) Contract actions described in AIDAR 706.302–70(b)(1)–(3).

* * * * *

PART 706—COMPETITION REQUIREMENTS

Subpart 706.3—Other Than Full and Open Competition

3. Section 706.302–70 is amended by adding a new paragraph (b)(4) as follows:

706.302–70 Impairment of foreign aid programs.

* * * * *

(b) * * *

(4) Awards under AIDAR 715.613–70 (Title XII selection procedure—general) or 715.613–71 (Title XII selection procedure—collaborative assistance).

PART 715—CONTRACTING BY NEGOTIATION

Subpart 715.6—Source Selection

4. Subsection 715.613–70, (Title XII selection procedure—general), is amended by redesignating the existing paragraphs (a) through (c) as paragraphs (b) through (d), and adding a new paragraph (a) as follows:

715.613–70 [Amended]

(a) *General.* The Deputy Administrator has determined, as provided in AIDAR 706.302–70(b)(3)(ii) that use of this Title XII source selection procedure is necessary so as not to impair or affect AID's ability to administer Title XII of the Foreign Assistance Act. This determination is reflected in AIDAR 706.302–70(b)(4). This constitutes authority for other than full and open competition when selecting Title XII institutions to perform Title XII projects.

* * * * *

5. Subsection 715.613–71, Title XII selection procedure—collaborative assistance, is amended by redesignating the existing paragraphs (a) through (d) as paragraphs (b) through (e), and adding a new paragraph (a) as follows:

715.613–71 [Amended]

(a) *General.* The Deputy Administrator has determined, as provided in AIDAR 706.302–70(b)(3)(ii) that use of this Title XII source selection procedure is necessary so as not to impair or affect AID's ability to administer Title XII of the Foreign Assistance Act. This determination is reflected in AIDAR 706.302–70(b)(4). This constitutes authority for other than full and open competition when selecting Title XII institutions to perform Title XII projects.

* * * * *

PART 752—SOLICITATION PROVISIONS AND CONTRACT CLAUSES

Subpart 752.70—Texts of AID Contract Clauses

752.7031 [Amended]

6. Section 752.7031, "Leave and Holidays", paragraph (c), "*Alternate 72*", paragraph (b)(2) of the contract clause "Leave and Holidays (DEC 1988)" is amended by correcting the reference to "paragraph (a)(3) of this section" to read "paragraph (b)(3) of this section".

Date: June 15, 1989.

John F. Owens,

Procurement Executive.

[FR Doc. 89–15619 Filed 7–3–89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6116–01–M

Proposed Rules

Federal Register
Vol. 54, No. 127
Wednesday, July 5, 1989

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices to the public of the proposed issuance of rules and regulations. The purpose of these notices is to give interested persons an opportunity to participate in the rule making prior to the adoption of the final rules.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service

9 CFR Part 75

[Docket No. 87-033]

Equine Infectious Anemia

AGENCY: Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, USDA

ACTION: Withdrawal of proposed rule and proposed rule.

SUMMARY: In response to comments received on a proposed rule concerning equine infectious anemia (EIA), we are withdrawing the proposal and publishing a revised proposal. We are proposing to amend the regulations on interstate movement of horses and other equines that test positive for EIA. To reduce costs for owners of EIA reactors, we are proposing to permit them to send those reactors to an approved stockyard for sale for immediate slaughter. We are also proposing that approved stockyards must hold EIA reactors in quarantine pens at least 200 yards from other equines. The easing of restrictions would make it more practical for owners to prevent the spread of disease by sending reactors to slaughter, while the quarantine pen requirement would prevent the spread of EIA in stockyards.

DATE: Consideration will be given only to comments received on or before September 5, 1989.

ADDRESSES: To help ensure that your written comments are considered, send an original and two copies to Helene R. Wright, Chief, Regulatory Analysis and Development, PPD, APHIS, USDA, Room 866, Federal Building, 6505 Belcrest Road, Hyattsville, MD 20782. Please state that your comments refer to Docket Number 87-033. Comments received may be inspected at USDA, Room 1141, South Building, 14th and Independence Ave SW., Washington, DC, between 8 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. C.A. Gipson, Swine, Poultry, and Miscellaneous Diseases Staff, VS, APHIS, USDA, Room 769, Federal Building 6505 Belcrest Road, Hyattsville, MD 20782, 301-436-7679.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The regulations in 9 CFR Part 75 (referred to below as the regulations) contain provisions for the interstate movement of horses, asses, ponies, mules, and zebras that test positive for communicable diseases including equine infectious anemia (EIA). The purpose of these provisions is to prevent the spread of communicable diseases, including EIA.

On December 29, 1986, we published in the **Federal Register** (51 FR 46867-46869, Docket Number 85-023) a document proposing to allow interstate shipment of EIA reactors to an approved stockyard for sale for immediate slaughter. For a full discussion of the problems of interstate movement of EIA reactors, refer to that proposal. The proposal was intended to reduce interstate shipping expenses of EIA reactor horses by allowing greater flexibility to shippers of EIA reactors and, thereby, encourage the slaughter of EIA-affected animals.

Our proposal invited the submission of written comments, due on or before February 27, 1987. We received four comments, three from state departments of agriculture and one from private industry. One raised an issue unrelated to the proposal. Two supported the proposal, with modifications. One objected to the proposal as written, but suggested an acceptable alternative to the objectionable provision. Since this commenter's alternative represents a substantial change to our proposal, we are withdrawing Docket Number 85-023 and are reproposing the amendment, including changes made in response to some of these comments.

One commenter supporting the proposal suggested that we require EIA reactors to move directly from an approved stockyard to slaughter. That provision was included in § 75.4(b)(4) of the previous proposal and appears in the same section in this proposal as well.

A second commenter urged that we modify the stringent requirements for cleaning and disinfecting proposed for quarantine pens used to hold EIA reactors. He wrote: "We agree that the stockyard operator should follow good husbandry practices. However, because EIA is reported only to be spread through the medium of blood, it would appear to not have the same rigid requirements to clean and disinfect as for a disease such as brucellosis." We agree, and have removed requirements for cleaning and disinfecting from the new proposal.

The commenter who opposed the proposal also raised the issue of cleaning and disinfecting. He argued that cleaning and disinfecting is irrelevant for fly control, the major concern with a vector-borne disease such as EIA. More satisfactory, he suggested, would be a provision requiring that EIA reactors be held in quarantine pens at least 200 yards from other equines. We have examined disease reports from 17 states that require a 200-yard minimum isolation of quarantine pens and have found that their experiences support this view. The types of files that constitute the major concern for EIA transmission in stockyards rarely travel more than a few yards from the horses they are associated with, and an isolation distance of 200 yards would make it extremely unlikely that EIA could be transmitted to other horses during the 30 days EIA reactors would be allowed to stay at the stockyard. We are therefore adding to the compliance agreement in § 75.4(c)(3) a requirement for islated quarantine pens for EIA reactors that stay more than 24 hours in approved stockyards. EIA reactors moving through an approved stockyard the same day as their arrival (i.e., within 24 hours of their arrival) are excluded from this requirement, because the few hours they spend in proximity to other horses at the stockyard is similar to the time EIA reactors from quarantine pens spend in proximity to other horses during movement through the stockyard and sale activities, and does not represent a significant increase in disease risk. Executive Order 12291 and Regulatory Flexibility Act.

We are issuing this proposed rule in conformance with Executive Order 12291, and we have determined that it is not a "major rule". Based on information compiled by the Department, we have determined that this proposed rule would have an effect on the economy of less than $100 million; would not cause a major increase in costs or prices for consumers, individual industries,

federal, state, or local government agencies, or geographic regions; and would not cause a significant adverse effect on competition, employment, investment, productivity, innovation, or on the ability of United States-based enterprises to compete with foreign-based enterprises in domestic or export markets.

We anticipate that fewer than 500 EIA reactor horses and other equines would be moved interstate each year under the amended provisions of Part 75. This number is insignificant compared with the total number of horses and other equines moved interstate each year. Most movements of EIA reactors and other equines are done by small entities, moving one or a few horses at a time. Those small entities moving EIA reactors in accordance with this rule would experience a slight financial benefit due to increased opportunities for and decreased costs associated with the sale for slaughter of their EIA reactors.

Under these circumstances, the Administrator of the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service has determined that this action would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

Paperwork Reduction Act

In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) has approved the information collection provisions in this document. These provisions have been assigned OMB control number 0579–0051.

Executive Order 12372

This program/activity is listed in the Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance under No. 10.025 and is subject to Executive Order 12372, which requires intergovernmental consultation with state and local officials. (See 7 CFR Part 3015, Subpart V.) List of Subjects in 9 CFR Part 75.

Animal diseases, Contagious equine metritis, Dourine, Equine, Equine infectious anemia, Horses, Quarantine, Transportation.

PART 75—COMMUNICABLE DISEASES IN HORSES, ASSES, PONIES, MULES AND ZEBRAS

Accordingly, 9 CFR Part 75 would be amended as follows:

1. The authority citation for Part 75 would continue to read as follows:

Authority: 21 US..C. 111–113, 115, 117, 120, 121, 123–126, 134–134h; 7 CFR 2.17, 2.51, and 371.2(d).

2. In § 75.4, the heading would be revised to read as follows:

§ 75.4 Interstate movement of equine infectious anemia reactors and approval of laboratories, diagnostic facilities, research facilities, and stockyards.

3. In § 75.4, paragraph (a) would be amended to add, in alphabetical order, the following definitions:

* * * * *

Approved stockyard. A stockyard, livestock market, or other premises, under state or federal veterinary supervision where horses or other equines are assembled for sale purposes, and which has been approved by the Administrator under this Part.

* * * * *

Operator. The individual responsible for the day-to-day operations of the specifically approved stockyard.

* * * * *

4. In § 75.4, paragraph (b) would be amended to change "(b)(1), (b)(2), or (b)(3)" in the first sentence to read "(b)(1), (b)(2), (b)(3), or (b)(4)", to change the period at the end of paragraph (b)(3) to read "; and"; and to add a new paragraph (b)(4) to read as follows:

* * * * *

(b) * * *

(4) The reactor is moved interstate through no more than one approved stockyard for sale for immediate slaughter, and is moved within 30 days of its arrival at the approved stockyard directly to slaughter at a federally inspected slaughtering establishment operating under the provisions of the Federal Meat Inspection Act (21 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*) or to a state-inspected slaughtering establishment that has inspection by a state representative at the time of slaughter.

* * * * *

5. In § 75.4, the heading to paragraph (c) would be revised to read "*Approval of Laboratories, Diagnostic or Research Facilities, and Stockyards.*" and a new paragraph (c)(3) would be added to read as follows:

* * * * *

(c) * * *

(3) The Administrator will approve stockyards to handle reactors moved interstate under paragraph (b)(4) of this section when the operator of the stockyard executes the following agreement:

Agreement for Specifically Approved Stockyard for Handling Known Equine Infectious Anemia Reactor Horses and Other Equines Pursuant to Title 9 of the Code of Federal Regulations

[Name of Stockyard] _____
[Address of Stockyard] _____

I, (name of operator), operator of (name of stockyard), hereby agree to maintain and operate this stockyard at (premises location) in accordance with each of the provisions set forth herein.

Cooperation

(1) An accredited veterinarian, state representative, or APHIS representative shall be on the stockyard premises on sale days to perform duties in accordance with state and federal regulations.

(2) The state animal health official and the veterinarian in charge shall be furnished with a current schedule of sale days which apply to the stockyard and any revision to the schedule of sale days prior to implementation of such revision.

(3) State representatives and APHIS representatives shall be granted, during normal business hours, access to stockyard premises and facilities to determine compliance with the requirements of Title 9, Code of Federal Regulations and the Standards of this agreement.

Handing of Horses and Other Equines

(4) Horses and other equines shall be received, handled, and released by the stockyard only in accordance with Title 9 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

(5) Any horses and other equines classified as equine infections anemia reactors and accepted by the stockyard for sale shall be: (a) Placed in quarantined pens at least 200 yards from all other equines, unless moving out of the stockyard within 24 hours of arrival; and (b) consigned from the stockyard only to a slaughtering establishment in accordance with Title 9, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 75.

Facilities

(6) Quarantined pens for the confinement of horses and other equines classified as equine infections anemia reactors shall be clearly placarded "Quarantined," "Equine Infections Anemia," or "Swamp Fever."

Fly Control Program

(7) The stockyard shall have in effect a fly control program utilizing at least one of the following: baits, fly strips, electric bug killers ("Fly Zappers," "Fly Snappers," or similar equipment), application of a pesticide effective against flies, applied according to the schedule and dosage recommended by the manufacturer for fly control.

Records

(8) Any document relating to animals which are or have been in the stockyard shall be maintained by the stockyard for a period of 1 year from the date the animal arrives at the stockyard.

(9) State representatives and APHIS representatives shall be granted, during all hours and stockyard business office is open, access to all documents required to be maintained pursuant to paragraph (8) of this agreement, and authority to reproduce such documents upon request.

I, _____ hereby acknowledge receipt of a copy of Title 9, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 75, and hereby acknowledge that I have been informed and understand that failure to abide by the provisions of this agreement constitutes a

basis for the withdrawal of approval from this stockyard.

Request Approval
Operator of the Stockyard ———————

Recommended Approval
State Animal Health Official ———————
Date ———————

Recommended Approval
Veterinarian in Charge ———————
Date ———————

Approval Granted
Administrator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service ———————
Date ———————

6. In § 75.4, the heading to paragraph (d) would be revised to read *"Denial and withdrawal of approval of laboratories, diagnostic or research facilities, and stockyards."*

7. In § 75.4, the introductory text to paragraph (d) would be amended to insert "or of any stockyard to handle reactors under this Part," after "or of any diagnostic or research facility to receive reactors moved interestate," and to insert "or stockyard" after "the laboratory of diagnostic or research facility".

8. In § 75.4, the introductory sentence of paragraph (d) would be further amended to change the period to a comma and to add "or, in the case of a stockyard, upon a determination that the stockyard is not maintained and operated in accordance with the standards specified in paragraph (c)(3) of this section."

9. In § 75.4, paragraph (d)(1) would be amended to add "or stockyard" after "laboratory of facility".

10. In § 75.4 paragraph (d)(2) would be amended to add "or stockyard" after "laboratory or facility" wherever it appears in the paragraph.

11. In § 75.4, a new paragraph (d)(5) would be added to read as follows:

* * * * *

(d) * * *

(5) Approval for a stockyard to handle reactors under this Part will be automatically withdrawn by the Administrator when the operator of the approved stockyard notifies the Administrator, in writing, that the stockyard no longer handles reactors moved interstate under this Part.

Done in Washington, DC, this 28th day of June 1989.

James W. Glosser,

Administrator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.

[FR Doc. 89-15726 Filed 7-3-89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-34-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Office of the Comptroller of the Currency

12 CFR Part 5

[Docket No. 89-6]

Rules, Policies, and Procedures for Corporate Activities; Receivership and Conservatorship

AGENCY: Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, Treasury.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Office of the Comptroller of the Currency ("OCC") is proposing to amend 12 CFR 5.49—Receivership and Conservatorship. This proposed rulemaking changes the OCC's current regulation at 12 CFR 5.49 to articulate, for the first time in a regulation, the standards of insolvency the OCC may use in determining whether to appoint a receiver for a national bank. Specifically, this proposed rulemaking describes the net worth and liquidity standards, which are the two standards usually used by the OCC in determining insolvency. In addition, this proposed rulemaking explains a change in the OCC's method of applying the net worth standard that conforms the OCC's application of the net worth standard more closely to generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP").

DATE: Comments must be received by September 5, 1989.

ADDRESS: Comments should be directed to: Communications Division, 5th Floor, 490 L'Enfant Plaza East, SW., Washington, DC 20219, Attention: Docket No. 89-6. Comments will be available for public inspection and photocopying at the same location.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ferne Fishman Rubin, Attorney, Legal Advisory Services Division, (202) 447-1880, or Kennard L. Page, National Bank Examiner, Special Supervision Division, (202) 447-1719.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Under 12 U.S.C. 191, the Comptroller, when satisfied that a national bank is insolvent, may appoint a receiver who will wind up the bank's affairs. The pertinent part of the statute reads as follows: "whenever the comptroller shall become satisfied of the insolvency of a national banking association, he may, after due examination of its affairs, * * * appoint a receiver, who shall proceed to close up such association."

The Comptroller has a great deal of discretion in reaching a determination of insolvency under 12 U.S.C. 191. *Adams* v. *Nagle*, 303 U.S. 532 (1938); *Liberty National Bank of South Carolina* v. *McIntosh*, 16 F.2d 906 (4th Cir. 1927). Case law indicates that the OCC's declaration of insolvency generally is not subject to judicial review. *In re Conservatorship of Wellsville National Bank*, 407 F. 2d 233 (3d Cir.), *cert. denied*, 396 U.S. 832, *reh. denied*, 396 U.S. 949 (1969); *Munro* v. *Post*, 23 F. Supp. 308 (E.D.N.Y. 1938), *aff'd*, 102 F.2d 686 (2d Cir. 1939); *Wannamaker* v. *Edisto National Bank*, 62 F.2d 696 (4th Cir. 1933). Some courts have recognized a very narrow exception to this general rule, in cases in which it is alleged that the OCC's determination of insolvency was fraudulent, clearly arbitrary or made in bad faith. *United States Savings Bank* v. Morgenthau, 85 F. 2d 811 (D.C. Cir. 1936), *cert. denied*, 299 U.S. 605, *reh. denied*, 301 U.S. 666 (1937).

The Regulation

This notice of proposed rulemaking would amend the receivership provisions of 12 CFR 5.49, which is based in part upon 12 U.S.C. 191. Section 5.49 deals with both receivership under 12 U.S.C. 191 and the appointment of a conservator pursuant to 12 U.S.C. 201-212, the Bank Conservation Act. The receivership provisions of § 5.49 currently set forth the OCC's authority to appoint a receiver, and provide for the appointment of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") as receiver in cases in which the OCC is required to make such appointment. *See* 12 U.S.C. 1821(c). Section 5.49 also establishes certain procedures for the payment of claims in cases in which the OCC does not appoint the FDIC as receiver. In its current form section 5.49 does not set forth any standards for determining the insolvency of a national bank.

The Insolvency Standards

This notice of proposed rulemaking describes the standards by which the OCC usually determines whether a national bank is insolvent. These standards are known as the net worth and liquidity standards. Under the net worth standard, a national bank may be declared insolvent when its liabilities exceed its assets. *Commercial National Bank in Shreveport* v. *Connolly*, 176 F.2d 1004 (5th Cir. 1949). Under the liquidity standard, a bank may be declared insolvent when it is unable to meet its obligations as they mature. *Smith* v. *Witherow*, 102 F.2d 638 (3d Cir. 1939); *In re Franklin National Bank*, 381 F. Supp. 1390 (E.D.N.Y. 1974).

In the past, the OCC has used each of these standards in declaring banks insolvent, based upon a case-by-case analysis. *See Wellsville* and *Franklin National Bank, supra* (use of either standard appropriate under 12 U.S.C. 191). Sometimes, a bank can be insolvent under both the net worth and the liquidity standards. *See Golden Pacific Bancorp* v. *Clarke*, No. 85–2384 (D.D.C. 1986), *aff'd*, 837 F.2d 809 (D.C. Cir. 1988), *petition for cert. filed*, No 88–89 (June 30, 1988).

The OCC is not limited to these two standards, and may employ other standards to determine insolvency. However, this notice of proposed rulemaking addresses only the net worth and liquidity insolvency standards.

The Proposed Amendment

The proposed amendment sets forth the net worth and liquidity standards, which are two of the standards the OCC may use in determining insolvency. In addition, this proposed rulemaking explains a change in the OCC's method of applying the net worth standard. Currently, the OCC declares a bank insolvent under the net worth standard when its primary capital is depleted. For this purpose, the OCC has used the definition of primary capital found at 12 CFR 3.2(c). Essentially, the OCC has considered a bank's primary capital to be a functional substitute for its net worth. Whenever losses were sufficient to eliminate primary capital, a bank's total assets clearly no longer exceeded its total liabilities. This approach was not required by law, but was used as an internal guideline.

Under this proposed rule, the OCC will determine a bank to be insolvent when its equity capital (as opposed to primary capital) is exhausted—where equity capital is the difference between assets and liabilities. For the purpose of determining solvency, the bank's equity capital will include:

(1) *Common stockholders' equity.* Common stockholders' equity means common stock, common stock surplus, undivided profits, capital reserves, adjustments for the cumulative effect of foreign currency translation and net of unrealized losses on non-current marketable equity securities.

(2) *Preferred stock and related surplus.* The OCC has limited the definition of equity capital for the purpose of determining the solvency of a national bank to include only those items that are available to absorb losses. This measure of equity omits items that possess characteristics of both debt and equity. For example, neither long-term subordinated debt nor mandatory convertible debt instruments are counted as equity. The OCC is specifically asking for comment on this definition of equity.

In accounting terms, the major difference between the OCC's use of primary capital as the standard for measuring a bank's net worth, and its proposed use of equity capital for the same purpose, is the exclusion of the bank's allowance for loan and lease losses ("ALLL"), also known as the loan loss reserve. Both GAAP and regulatory accounting require that the ALLL be maintained at a level adequate to absorb anticipated losses. The ALLL is replenished through a provision charged against current operations. Also, the ALLL is not reported as equity capital; rather, it is reported as a valuation reserve against the total loans outstanding. Therefore, for the purpose of determining the solvency of a national bank, the ALLL will not be considered part of the bank's equity capital.

The proposed standard for measuring net worth does not alter the OCC's requirement that all national banks maintain an adequate allowance for loan and lease losses. Banks should be aware that a failure to maintain an adequate allowance for loan and lease losses is an unsafe or unsound banking practice which may lead to the issuance of an order to cease and desist under 12 U.S.C. 1818(b). Such failure also may constitute a violation of 12 U.S.C. 161, which could result in the imposition of civil money penalties under 12 U.S.C. 93(b).

Notwithstanding the changes set forth in this proposed rulemaking, the basic framework of the net worth standard, *i.e.*, liabilities which exceed assets, is unchanged from that used by the OCC in the past. That framework has been upheld repeatedly by the courts.

Reasons for the Proposed Rulemaking

The OCC is engaging in this rulemaking for two reasons:

First, 12 U.S.C. 191 is not specific as to what constitutes "insolvency", and the Comptroller has great latitude regarding this determination. Therefore, the OCC believes that it should state clearly, in the form of a regulation, the specific measurements that it usually considers in making a determination of insolvency.

Second, the OCC believes that the public should be notified and have an opportunity to comment on the OCC's intention to change its past method of measuring a bank's net worth for the purpose of determining insolvency.

The OCC's proposal to change its method of measuring a bank's net worth for the purpose of determining solvency is based upon the following considerations:

First, the OCC's experience indicates that the public interest will be better served by closing a bank when the institution's equity capital has been depleted. Events over the last several years have demonstrated that once a bank's equity capital is exhausted, that bank has virtually no chance of recovery without government or outside intervention. Moreover, it has also been the OCC's experience that permitting an institution to continue operations when its owners no longer have any financial interests at stake encourages imprudent operations, including occasional abuse of high cost funding sources, and results in increased losses to third parties. Such continued operations affect the industry as a whole, placing healthy competitors at a disadvantage.

Second, banks with no equity capital may experience a loss in customer confidence and a decline in market discipline. The OCC believes that by using equity capital in applying the net worth standard, the frequency of such events will be reduced.

Third, this approach to the net worth standard is needed to make the OCC's practices conform more directly with GAAP.

Finally, the OCC believes that the proposed amendment will facilitate the sale of insolvent institutions and result in savings to the FDIC insurance fund.

It should be noted that, in proposing the amendment set forth below, the OCC does not intend to limit its discretion in bank closing matters. The proposed amendment merely advises the public of two of the standards upon which the OCC's determination of insolvency may be based. The OCC retains discretion to use other standards to satisfy itself of the insolvency of a national bank.

Regulatory Flexibility Act

Pursuant to the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 605(b), it is certified that this amendment will not have substantial economic impact on a significant number of small entities.

Executive Order 12291

The OCC has determined that this amendment is not a "major rule" and therefore does not require a Regulatory Impact Analysis.

List of Subjects in 12 CFR Part 5

National banks, banking, receivership, insolvency.

Authority and Issuance

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, Part 5 of Chapter I of Title 12 of the Code of Federal Regulations is proposed to be amended as set forth below:

PART 5—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for Part 5 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 12 U.S.C. 1 *et seq.;* 12 U.S.C. 93(a).

2. In § 5.49, paragraphs (c) (1) and (2) are redesignated as paragraphs (c) (2) and (3), and a new paragraph (c)(1) is added to read as follows:

§ 5.49 Receivership conservatorship.

* * * * *

(c) * * *

(1) *Standards for determining insolvency.* Among the standards used by the Office in determining whether a national bank is insolvent under 12 U.S.C. 191 are the following:

(i) *Net worth standard.* The Office may deem a national bank insolvent when its liabilities exceed its assets. A bank's liabilities shall exceed its assets when its equity capital is eliminated by losses. For the purpose of reaching a determination of insolvency, the bank's equity capital shall consist of:

(A) Common stockholders equity. Common stockholders' equity means common stock, common stock surplus, undivided profits, capital reserves, adjustments for the cumulative effect of foreign currency translation and net of unrealized losses on non-current marketable equity securities.

(B) Preferred stock and related surplus.

(ii) *Liquidity standard.* The Office may deem a national bank insolvent when it is unable to meet its obligations as they mature.

* * * * *

Date: June 28, 1989.

Robert L. Clarke,

Comptroller of the Currency.

[FR Doc. 89-15669 Filed 7-3-89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810-33-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Ch. I

[Summary Notice No. PR-89-8]

Petition for Rulemaking; Summary of Petitions Received; Dispositions of Petitions Issued

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of petitions for rulemaking received and of dispositions of prior petitions.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to FAA's rulemaking provisions governing the application, processing, and disposition of petitions for rulemaking (14 CFR Part 11), this notice contains a summary of certain petitions requesting the initiation of rulemaking procedures for the amendment of specified provisions of the Federal Aviation Regulations and of denials or withdrawals of certain petitions previously received. The purpose of this notice is to improve the public's awareness of, and participation in, this aspect of FAA's regulatory activities. Neither publication of this notice nor the inclusion or omission of information in the summary is intended to affect the legal status of any petition or its final disposition.

DATES: Comments on petitions received must identify the petition docket number involved and must be received on or before September 4, 1989.

ADDRESSES: Send comments on any petition in triplicate to: Federal Aviation Administration, Office of the Chief Counsel, Attn: Rules Docket (AGC-10), Petition Docket No. 25923, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20591.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The petition, any comments received, and a copy of any final disposition are filed in the assigned regulatory docket and are available for examination in the Rules Docket (AGC-10), Room 915G, FAA Headquarters Building (FOB 10A), 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20591; telephone (202) 267-3132.

This notice is published pursuant to paragraphs (b) and (f) of § 11.27 of Part 11 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 11).

Issued in Washington, DC, on June 23, 1989.

Denise Donohue Hall,

Manager, Program Management Staff, Office of the Chief Counsel.

Petitions For Rulemaking

Docket No.: 25923

Petitioner: Air Transport Association (ATA)

Regulation Affected: 14 CFR Part 121, Subpart R

Description of Petition: To revise the flight time limitations for flag air carriers considering the following evolutionary changes in turbo-jet aircraft: (1) Long range capability approaching 8,000 nautical miles; (2) automated cockpit systems simplifying and improving navigation and systems management and simplifying communications requirements; (3) improved cockpit environments which include noise reduction and scientifically designed cockpits; and (4) a reduction in necessary cockpit crew positions as a result of the advanced cockpits, i.e., navigator and flight engineer.

Petitioners' Reason for the Rule: To provide clarity and understanding to flag air carrier flight time limitations as they apply to flight operations in airplanes designed for either two or three crewmembers.

[FR Doc. 89-15692 Filed 7-3-89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 89-ANM-5]

Proposed Alteration of VOR Federal Airway V-160; Colorado

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: This notice proposes to alter VOR Federal Airway V-160 from Blue Mesa, CO, to Denver, CO. This airway would be used by aircraft landing at satellite airports in the Denver terminal area. Extending V-160 would benefit air traffic control as well as pilots by providing a better navigational aid.

DATE: Comments must be received on or before August 14, 1989.

ADDRESSES: Send comments on the proposal in triplicate to: Manager, Air Traffic Division, ANM-500, Docket No. 89-ANM-5, Federal Aviation Administration, 17900 Pacific Highway South, C-68966, Seattle, WA 98168.

The official docket may be examined in the Rules Docket, weekdays, except Federal holidays, between 8:30 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. The FAA Rules Docket is located in the Office of the Chief Counsel, Room 916, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC.

An informal docket may also be examined during normal business hours at the office of the Regional Air Traffic Division.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Betty Harrison, Airspace Branch (ATO-240), Airspace—Rules and Aeronautical Information Division, Air Traffic Operations Service, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20591; telephone (202) 267-9255.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested parties are invited to participate in this proposed rulemaking by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Comments that provide the factual basis supporting the views and suggestions presented are particularly helpful in developing reasoned regulatory decisions on the proposal. Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, aeronautical, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposal. Communications should identify the airspace docket and be submitted in triplicate to the address listed above. Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments on this notice must submit with those comments a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Airspace Docket No. 89–ANM–5." The postcard will be date/time stamped and returned to the commenter. All communications received before the specified closing date for comments will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received. All comments submitted will be available for examination in the Rules Docket both before and after the closing date for comments. A report summarizing each substantive public contact with FAA personnel concerned with this rulemaking will be filed in the docket.

Availability of NPRM's

Any person may obtain a copy of this Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) by submitting a request to the Federal Aviation Administration, Office of Public Affairs, Attention: Public Inquiry Center, APA–230, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20591, or by calling (202) 267–3484. Communications must identify the notice number of this NPRM. Persons interested in being placed on a mailing list for future NPRM's should also request a copy of Advisory Circular No. 11–2A which describes the application procedure.

The Proposal

The FAA is considering an amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 71) to alter VOR Federal Airway V–160 from Blue Mesa, CO, to Denver, CO. Presently, this airway is used by aircraft landing at satellite airports in the Denver terminal area. This action would decrease controller workload and benefit pilots wishing to land at satellite airports in the Denver terminal area. Section 71.123 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations was republished in Handbook 7400.6E dated January 3, 1989.

The FAA has determined that this proposed regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. It, therefore—(1) Is not a "major rule" under Executive Order 12291; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this rule, when promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Aviation safety, VOR federal airways.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 71) as follows:

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

1. The authority citation for Part 71 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 1348(a), 1354(a), 1510; Executive Order 10854; 49 U.S.C. 106(g) (Revised Pub. L. 97–449, January 12, 1983); 14 CFR 11.69.

§ 71.123 [Amended]

2. Section 71.123 is amended as follows:

V–160 [Amended]

By removing the words "From Denver, CO," and substituting the words "From INT Blue Mesa, CO, 064°T(050°M) and Denver, CO, 212°T(200°M) radials; Denver;"

Issued in Washington, DC, on June 22, 1989.

Harold W. Becker,

Manager, Airspace—Rules and Aeronautical Information Division.

[FR Doc. 89–15693 Filed 7–3–89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Internal Revenue Service

26 CFR Part 1

[FI–80–86]

RIN 1545–AJ42

Arbitrage Restrictions on Qualified Student Loan Bonds

AGENCY: Internal Revenue Service, Treasury.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: This document contains proposed regulations relating to arbitrage restrictions on qualified student loan bonds. Changes to the applicable law were made by the Tax Reform Act of 1984 and the Tax Reform Act of 1986. The proposed regulations provide guidance on how to compute the yield on student loans.

DATES: The amendments to the regulations are proposed to be effective generally for bonds (other than refunding bonds) issued after January 5, 1990. Written comments and requests for a public hearing must be delivered or mailed by September 5, 1989.

ADDRESS: Send comments and requests for a public hearing to: Internal Revenue Service, Attention: CC:CORP:T:R (FI–80–86), Room 4429, Washington, DC 20224.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: George F. Delduke, (202) 566–4545 (not a toll-free number).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Submission to Small Business Administration

Pursuant to section 7805(f) of the Code, the rules proposed in this document will be submitted to the Administrator of the Small Business Administration for comment on their impact on small business.

Background

This document contains proposed amendments to the Income Tax Regulations (26 CFR Part 1 to provide rules relating to arbitrage restrictions on qualified student loan bonds.

Section 103(a) of the Internal Revenue Code provides gnerally that gross income does not include interest on any State or local bond. Section 103(a) does not apply to any private activity bond that is not a qualified bond or to any arbitrage bond.

Section 141(e) provides that the term "qualified bond" includes a qualified student bond that is issued as part of an issue that meets the volume cap requirements of section 146 and certain

other requirements. Section 144(b) defines the term "qualified student loan bond" to mean a bond issued to make or finance certain federally guaranteed student loans under a program of general application to which the Higher Education Act of 1965 applies (guaranteed student loans) or to make or finance student loans under a program of general application that has been approved by a State (state supplemental student loans).

Section 148(a)(1) provides generally that a bond issued as part of an issue is an arbitrage bond if any portion of the proceeds of the issue is reasonably expected (at the time of issuance of the bond) to be used directly or indirectly to acquire higher yielding investments. Additionally, a bond is treated as an arbitrage bond if the issuer intentionally uses any portion of the proceeds of the issue in such manner. Section 148(b) provides that the term "higher yielding investments" means investments (other than certain tax-exempt bonds) that produce a yield over the term of the issue that is materially higher than the yield on the issue.

Section 1.103–13(b)(5)(i)(A) provides generally that the yield produced by investments acquired to carry out the governmental purpose of an issue (purpose investments) is materially higher than the yield on the issue if the yield on the purpose investments is more than one-eighth of one percentage point higher than the yield on the issue. In determining the yield on the purpose investments, administrative costs relating to the bonds and to the purpose investments that are paid by obligator are not taken into account. See, section 1.103–13(c)(5).

Section 1.103–13(b)(5)(viii) provides that the yield produced by purpose investments that are acquired program obligations is materially higher than the yield on the issue if the yield on the acquired program obligations is more than one and one-half percentage points higher than the yield on the issue. Section 1.103–13(h) defines the term "acquired program obligations" to mean obligations, such as student loans, that carry out the purpose of a governmental loan program that meets certain requirements. A larger spread is provided in the case of these loans because the costs incurred in administering this type of loan program are greater than the costs incurred in making other types of loans. Section 1.103–13(b)(5)(ix) provides that the permissible spread may exceed one and one-half percentage points if necessary to pay expenses (including losses resulting from bad debts) that are incurred as a direct result of administering the loan program. In determining the yield on the acquired program obligations, administrative costs relating to the bonds that are paid by the obligor are not taken into account.

Section 148(g) provides that, except to the extent otherwise provided in regulations, special allowance payments made by the Secretary of Education pursuant to section 438 of the Higher Education Act of 1965 are not be taken into account, for purpose of section 148(a)(1), in determining yields on student loan notes. The maximum interest rate payable by student borrowers on guaranteed student loans in established by the Federal Government at a below market interest rate. The Federal Government induces commerical lenders to originate these loans by agreeing to pay quarterly interest subsidies in the form of special allowance payments. The special allowance payments increase the interest rate on the federally guaranteed student loans to 3.25 percentage points above the 91–day Treasury bill rate. The Education Amendments of 1989 generally reduced by one-half the special allowance payable on loans acquired with proceeds of tax-exempt bonds.

Section 625 of the Tax Reform Act of 1984 (98 Stat. 924) provides that the Treasury Department shall prescribe regulations that specify the circumstances under which a qualified student loan bond shall be treated as an arbitrage bond, and that these regulations may require special allowance payments to be taken into account in determining yields on student loan notes. Section 5052 of the Technical and Miscellaneous Revenue Act of 1988 (102 Stat. 3677) provides that the Treasury Department must submit to the Committee on Ways and Means of the House of Representatives and the Committee on Finance of the Senate a report explaining why such regulations have not been issued if the regulations are not issued before July 1, 1989.

Explanation of Provisions

The proposed regulations provide that special allowance payments are to be taken into account in determining the yield on guaranteed student loans. The proposed regulations also increase the permitted arbitage spread on these loans (and on state supplemental student loans) from one and one-half percentage point to two percentage points.

The proceeds of qualified student loan bonds generally are not used to originate guaranteed student loans, but are used to purchase these loans from commercial lenders that originated them. The bonds are issued for the purpose of alleviating perceived capital shortages in the secondary market for guaranteed student loans. The exclusion of special allowance payments from the arbitrage calculation, however, has enabled student loan issuers to earn excessive arbitrage profits and has resulted in the issuance of substantially more tax-exempt bonds that are necessary to alleviate capital shortages that may exist in the secondary market for these loans. See, Congressional Budget Office, *The Tax-Exempt Financing of Student Loans* (Aug. 1986). See also, *Guaranteed Student Loan Tax-Exempt Financing, Hearing Before the Subcomm. on Oversight of the Comm. on Ways and Means*, 96th Cong., 2d Sess. (1980). In accordance with the Congressional mandate, therefore, the proposed regulations provide that special allowance payments are to be taken into account in determining the yield on guaranteed student loans.

The costs of administering student loan programs are higher than the costs of administering most other loan programs because of the higher costs involved in servicing a large number of small loans. To reflect these higher costs, the proposed regulations increase the permitted arbitrage spread on student loans from one and one-half percentage points to two percentage points. The proposed regulations also provide that issuers may reduce the yield on federally guaranteed student loans by rebating excess student loan payment to the United States if necessary to comply with the two percentage point arbitrage profit limitation.

Regulatory Impact Analysis

These proposed rules are not major rules as defined in Executive Order 12291. Therefore, a Regulatory Impact Analysis is not required.

Comments and Requests for a Public Hearing

Before these proposed regulations are adopted, consideration will be given to any written comments that are submitted (preferably a signed original and seven copies) to the Internal Revenue Service. All comments will be available for public inspection and copying in their entirety. A public hearing will be scheduled and held upon written request by any person who submitted comments on the proposed rules. If a public hearing is held, notice of the time and place will be published in the **Federal Register**.

Drafting Information

These proposed regulations were drafted in the Office of Tax Legislative Counsel, Department of the Treasury. However, personnel from other offices of the Treasury Department and the Internal Revenue Service participated in their development.

List of Subjects in 26 CFR 1.61–1.281–4

Income Taxes, Taxable income, Deductions, Exemptions.

Proposed Amendments to the Regulations

The proposed amendments to 26 CFR Part 1 are as follows:

PART 1—[AMENDED]

Paragraph 1. The authority for Part 1 is amended by adding the following citation:

Authority: 26 U.S.C. 7805. * * * Section 1.148–10 also issued under 26 U.S.C. 148 (g) and (i) and 98 Stat. 924.

Par. 2. The following new section 1.148–10 is added in the appropriate place:

§ 1.148–10 Purpose investments.

(a) *General rule.* [Reserved]

(b) *Special rules for student loans*—(1) *Program loans*—(i) *Materially higher.* The yield on student loans that are acquired program obligations (within the meaning of § 1.103–13(h)) and are allocated to the proceeds of an issue is not materially higher than the yield on the issue if the yield on the student loans does not exceed the yield on the issue by more than two percentage points. In lieu of the amount described in the preceding sentence, the issuer may substitute such larger amount as is necessary to pay expenses (including losses resulting from bad debts) reasonably expected to be incurred as a direct result of administering the student loan program to the extent that such amounts are not payable with funds appropriated from other sources.

(ii) *Administrative costs.* For purposes of determining the yield on student loans under paragraph (b)(1)(i) of this section, the costs of issuing, carrying, and repaying the issue that are paid by the obligors shall not be taken into account. The preceding sentence shall apply whether or not the payments are made from proceeds of the issue and whether or not the payments are treated as reimbursements of administrative costs, provided that the present value of such payments does not exceed the present value of the administrative costs paid by the issuer. This paragraph (b)(1)(ii) shall not apply to any amount taken into account in computing the yield on the issue.

(2) *SAP payments*—(i) *In general.* Payments made by the Secretary of Education pursuant to section 438 of the Higher Education Act of 1965 shall be taken into account in determining the yield on student loans.

(ii) *Rebate of excess yield to the United States.* The Commissioner shall adopt procedures under which issuers of qualified student loan bonds described in section 144(b)(1)(A) may rebate to the United States any amounts necessary to reduce the yield on the student loans to a yield that is not materially higher than the yield on the issue. Amounts paid to the United States in accordance with such procedures shall not be taken into account in determining the yield on the student loans.

(3) *Effective date.* This paragraph (b) shall apply to any bond issued after January 5, 1990, except—

(i) A bond issued exclusively to refund a bond issued before such date if—

(A) The amount of the refunding bond does not exceed 101 percent of the amount of the refunded bond; and

(B) The maturity date of the refunding bond is not later than the date that is 17 years after the date on which the refunded bond was issued (or, in the case of a series of refundings, the date on which the original bond was issued); and

(ii) A qualified student loan bond described in section 144(b)(1)(A) needed to fulfill binding written commitments to acquire or finance student loans originated before such date and after June 30, 1984, but only if the amount of such commitments is consistent with practices of the issuer that were in effect on March 15, 1984, with respect to establishing secondary markets for student loans described in section 144(b)(1)(A).

Michael J. Murphy,

Acting Commissioner of Internal Revenue.

[FR Doc. 89–15754 Filed 6–28–89; 2:13 pm]

BILLING CODE 4830–01–M

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 73

[MM Docket No. 89–133 Through 89–281, inclusive]

Radio Broadcasting Services; Various Locations; C3 Upgrades

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule; correction.

SUMMARY: This document corrects the *Notice of Proposed Rule Making* released in the above-referenced proceeding on June 16, 1989 (DA 89–628) (54 FR 26220, June 22, 1989), by revising the following entries: (1) MM Docket No. 89–184 (No. 52), is corrected with respect to the call letters, coordinates, licensee and address, to read as follows: KINQ, 64–51–49 and 147–45–06, The Great Alaska Elec. Radio Co., Inc., 4600 Dale Road, Fairbanks, AK 99701; (2) MM Docket No. 89–263 (No. 131), is corrected with respect to the call letters, licensee and address, to read as follows: KBZE, Optima Communications, Inc., 1608 Corcoran Street NW., Washington, DC 20009–3004.

Federal Communications Commission.

Karl A. Kensinger,

Chief, Allocations Branch, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 89–15630 Filed 7–3–89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–M

Notices

Federal Register
Vol. 54, No. 127
Wednesday, July 5, 1989

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Commodity Credit Corporation

Proposed Determinations With Regard to the 1990 Feed Grains Program Provisions

AGENCY: Commodity Credit Corporation, USDA.

ACTION: Proposed determinations.

SUMMARY: The Secretary of Agriculture proposes to make the following determinations with respect to the 1990 crop of feed grains: (a) The established "target" price for grain sorghums, oats, and, if designated by the Secretary, barley; (b) the percentage reduction under an acreage reduction program (ARP); (c) whether an optional paid land diversion (PLD) should be established and, if so, the percentage of diversion under the program; (d) whether a marketing loan program should be implemented; (e) if a marketing loan program is implemented, whether the inventory reduction program (IRP) should also be implemented; (f) whether barley should be determined eligible for payment purposes; (g) whether malting barley should be exempt from an ARP; (h) whether corn silage should be eligible for loans and purchases; (i) whether to use combined or separate feed grain crop acreage bases; (j) premiums and discounts for grades, classes and other qualities; and (k) other related provisions.

These determinations are made pursuant to the Agricultural Act of 1949, as amended (the "1949 Act"), and the Commodity Credit Corporation (CCC) Charter Act, as amended.

EFFECTIVE DATE: Comments must be received on or before September 1, 1989, in order to be assured of consideration.

ADDRESS: Bruce R. Weber, Director, Commodity Analysis Division, USDA–ASCS, Room 3741, South Building, P.O. Box 2415, Washington, DC 20013.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Philip W. Sronce, Agricultural Economist, Commodity Analysis Division, USDA–ASCS, Room 3748, South Building, P.O. Box 2415, Washington, DC 20013 or call (202) 447–4418.

The Preliminary Regulatory Impact Analysis describing the options considered in developing this proposed determination and the impact of implementing each option is available on request from the above-named individual.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This notice has been reviewed under USDA procedures established in accordance with Executive Order 12291 and Departmental Regulation No. 1512–1 and has been designated as "major."

It has been determined that these program provisions will result in an annual effect on the economy of $100 million or more.

The titles and numbers of the federal assistance programs, as found in the catalogue of Federal Domestic Assistance, to which this notice applies are:

Titles	Numbers
Commodity Loans and Purchases	10.051
Feed Grains Production Stabilization	10.055

It has been determined that the Regulatory Flexibility Act is not applicable to this notice since CCC is not required by 5 U.S.C. 553 or any other provision of law to publish a notice of proposed rulemaking with respect to the subject matter of these determinations.

It has been determined by environmental evaluation that this action will have no significant impact on the quality of the human environment. Therefore, neither an environmental assessment nor an Environmental Impact Statement is needed.

This program is not subject to the provisions of Executive Order 12372, which requires intergovernmental consultation with State and local officials. See the Notice related to 7 CFR Part 3015, Subpart V, published at 48 FR 29115 (June 24, 1983).

On April 5, 1989 (FR Vol. 54, No. 64) a notice of proposed determinations was published which set forth provisions common to the 1990 feed grains, wheat, upland cotton, ELS cotton, and rice price support and production adjustment programs.

Accordingly, the following program determinations are proposed to be made by the Secretary with respect to the 1990 crop of feed grains program.

(a) *Established "Target" Price for Grain Sorghums, Oats, and, if Designated by the Secretary, Barley.* Section 105C(c)(1)(A) of the 1949 Act provides that the Secretary shall make available to producers payments for the 1990 crop of corn, grain sorghums, oats, and, if designated by the Secretary, barley, in an amount computed by multiplying (1) the payment rate by (2) the individual farm program acreage by (3) the farm program payment yield.

Section 105C(c)(1)(C)(i) of the 1949 Act provides that the payment rate for the 1990 crop of corn shall be the amount by which the established "target" price for the crop exceeds the higher of (1) the national weighted average market price received by producers during the first five months of the marketing year for such crop and (2) the basic loan level for such crop. Section 105C(c)(1)(E) provides that the established "target" price for the 1990 crop of corn shall not be less than $2.75 per bushel.

In accordance with section 105C(c)(1)(D), notwithstanding the provisions of sections 105C(c)(1) (A)–(C), if the Secretary exercises the discretionary authority to adjust the loan and purchase level the Secretary shall increase the established price payments in such amount as the Secretary determines necessary to provide the same total return to producers as if such adjustment had not been made. This second payment rate level will be determined based on the difference between the basic loan level and the higher of (1) the weighted national average market price of corn received by producers during the 1990–91 marketing year (September 1990–August 1991) and (2) the adjusted loan level. The maximum payment rate for corn would be $0.39 ($1.96–$1.57) per bushel. Payments that are made based upon this payment rate would not be subject to the $50,000 payment limitation but would be subject to the overall $250,000 payment limitation.

Section 105C(c)(1)(F) provides that the payment rate for grain sorghums, oats, and, if designated by the Secretary, barley, shall be such rate as the

Secretary determines fair and reasonable in relation to the rate at which payments are made available to corn.

The Secretary proposes to: (1) Set forth the target price for corn at the minimum statutory level of $2.75 per bushel; and (2) maintain the same relationships to corn as provided under the 1989 program to determine the target prices for grain sorghums, oats and barley. The target prices per bushel would be as follows: $2.75 for corn; $2.61 for grain sorghums; $1.45 for oats; and $2.36 for barley.

Comments are requested on the proposed determinations by the Secretary for the grain sorghums, oats and barley established "target price" levels for purposes of making 1990 crop deficiency payments in accordance with section 105C(c)(1)(C) of the 1949 Act.

(b) *Acreage Reduction Program (ARP).* Section 105C(f) of the 1949 Act provides, with respect to the 1990 crop of feed grains, that if the Secretary estimates, not later than September 30, 1989, that the quantity of corn on hand in the United States on the first day of the marketing year (September 1, 1990) for such crop (not including any quantity of corn of such crop) will be more than 2 billion bushels, the Secretary shall provide for an ARP under which the acreage planted to feed grains for harvest on a farm would be limited to the feed grains crop acreage base (CAB) for the farm for the crop reduced by not less than 12.5 percent nor more than 20 percent.

If the quantity is estimated to be 2 billion bushels or less, the Secretary may provide for an ARP under which the acreage planted to feed grains for harvest on a farm would be limited to the feed grain CAB for the farm for the crop reduced by not more 12.5 percent.

In the case of the 1990 crop of oats, unless the Secretary determines that the supply of oats will be excessive, the Secretary is required to establish an ARP for oats not to exceed 5 percent.

If a feed grain ARP is announced, such limitation shall be achieved by applying a uniform percentage reduction to the feed grain CAB for the crop for each feed grains-producing farm. Producers who knowingly produce feed grains in excess of the permitted feed grain acreage for the farm shall be ineligible for loans, purchases, and payments with respect to feed grains produced on that farm. An acreage on the farm shall be devoted to acreage conservation reserve (ACR) determined by dividing: (1) The product obtained by multiplying the number of acres required to be withdrawn from the production of feed grains times the number of acres planted to such commodity by (2) the number of acres authorized to be planted to such commodity under the ARP announced by the Secretary.

The quantity of corn on hand on September 1, 1990, is currently estimated to be more than 2 billion bushels. Accordingly, the Secretary would be required to implement an ARP of not less than 12.5 percent nor more than 20 percent.

Comments are requested as to the percentage level, if any, at which an ARP should be implemented for the 1990 crop of feed grains.

(c) *Paid Land Diversion PLD.* Section 105C(f)(5) of the 1949 Act provides that the Secretary may make land diversion payments to producers of feed grains, whether or not an ARP or set-aside program for feed grains is in effect, if the Secretary determines that such land diversion payments are necessary to assist in adjusting the total national acreage of feed grains to desirable goals. Such land diversion payments shall be made to producers who, to the extent prescribed by the Secretary, devote to approved ACR an acreage of cropland on the farm in accordance with land diversion contracts entered into by the Secretary with such producers.

The amounts payable to producers under land diversion contracts may be determined through the submission of bids for such contracts by producers in such manner as the Secretary may prescribe or through such other means as the Secretary determines appropriate. In determining the acceptability of contract offers, the Secretary shall take into consideration the extent of the diversion to be undertaken by the producers and the productivity of the acreage diverted. The Secretary shall limit the total acreage to be diverted under agreements in any county or local community so as not to adversely affect the economy of the county or local community.

Any additional acreage reduction under a PLD would be at a producer's option.

Comments are requested with respect to the need for an optional PLD and, if implemented, the provisions of such program.

(d) *Marketing Loans and Loan Deficiency Payments.* Section 105C(a)(4) of the 1949 Act provides that the Secretary may permit a producer to repay a loan for feed grains at a level that is the lesser of: (1) The announced loan level or (2) the higher of: (i) 70 percent of the basic loan level or (ii) the prevailing world market price for feed grains, as determined by the Secretary.

If the Secretary permits a producer to repay a loan as described above, the Secretary shall prescribe by regulation: (1) A formula to define the prevailing world market price for feed grains and (2) a mechanism by which the Secretary shall announce periodically the prevailing world market price for feed grains.

Section 105C(b) provides that the Secretary may, for the 1990 crop of feed grains, make payments available to producers who, although eligible to obtain a loan or purchase agreement, agree to forgo obtaining such loan or agreement in return for such payments. The payment shall be computed by multiplying: (1) The loan payment rate by (2) the quantity of feed grains the producer is eligible to place under loan.

For purposes section 105C(b), the quantity of feed grains eligible to be placed under loan may not exceed the product obtained by multiplying: (1) The individual farm program acreage for the crop by (2) the farm program payment yield established for the farm. The loan payment rate shall be the amount by which the announced loan level exceeds the level at which a loan may be repaid.

The Secretary does not intend to implement a marketing loan and other related provisions for the 1990 crop of feed grains since other program provisions make feed grains competitive in domestic and international markets. Accordingly, comments are requested with respect to the Secretary's intentions or whether the Secretary should implement marketing loans and "loan deficiency" payments for the 1990 crop of feed grains and the formula and methodology for determining the prevailing world market price to be used if marketing loans are implemented.

e. *IRP.* Section 105C(g) of the 1949 Act provides that the Secretary may, for the 1990 crop of corn, grain sorghums, oats, and, if designated by the Secretary, barley, make payments available to producers who: (1) Agree to forgo obtaining a loan or purchase agreement; (2) agree to forgo receiving deficiency payments; and (3) do not plant feed grains for harvest in excess of the CAB reduced by one-half of any acreage required to be diverted from production under the announced ARP. Such payments shall be made in the form of feed grains, subject to the availability of such feed grains, which are owned by CCC. Payments under this program shall be determined in the same manner as established with respect to the marketing loan program.

Accordingly, the implementation of this program is considered to be dependent on whether a marketing loan program is also instituted. Comments are requested on whether an IRP should

be implemented for the 1990 crop of feed grains if marketing loans are also implemented.

f. *Inclusion of Barley as an Eligible Commodity for Payments.* Section 105C(c)(1)(A) of the 1949 Act gives the Secretary discretionary authority to include barley as a commodity which is eligible for deficiency payments under the feed grain program. Section 105C(g) of the 1949 Act gives the Secretary discretionary authority to include barley as a commodity which is eligible for IRP payments, if an IRP is implemented. In the past, barley has been included as an eligible commodity for the purpose of deficiency payments with the exception of the 1967, 1968, and 1971 programs. If barley was not included in the 1990 program, barley producers would not be eligible to receive deficiency payments under the feed grain program for their crops but would be eligible for price support loans and purchases and the farmer-owned reserve program. Accordingly, the Secretary proposes to make barley eligible for deficiency payments and, if an IRP is implemented, proposes to make barley eligible for IRP payments.

Comments are requested on the inclusion of barley as a commodity for which deficiency payments and IRP payments will be made under the 1990 Feed Grain Program.

g. *Exemption of Malting Barley from an ARP.* Under section 105C(f)(2)(c) of the 1949 Act, the Secretary may provide that no producer of malting barley shall be required as a condition of eligibility for feed grain loans, purchases, and payments to comply with any ARP if such producer has previously produced a malting variety barley, plants barley only of an acceptable malting variety for harvest, and meets other conditions as the Secretary may prescribe. Malting barley varieties have not been exempt from ARP requirements in the past. The Secretary proposes not to exempt malting barley from an ARP with respect to the 1990 Feed Grain Program.

Comments are requested with respect to exempting malting barley from an ARP.

h. *Inclusion of Corn Silage Grain Equivalent in Loans and Purchases Program.* Section 403 of the Food Security Act of 1985 provides that the Secretary may make available loans and purchases to producers on a farm who: (1) For silage, cut corn (including mutilated corn) that the producers have produced in a crop year or purchase or exchange corn (including mutilated corn) that has been produced in such crop year by another producer and (2) participate in an acreage reduction or set-side program established for such crop year.

Such loans and purchases may be made on the quantity of corn of the same crop, other than the corn cut for silage, which is acquired by the producer and which is equal to the number of acres cut for silage multiplied by the lower of the farm program yield or the actual yield on a field, as determined by the Secretary, that is similar to the field from which silage was obtained. Corn which is to be substituted for corn cut for silage could either be purchased in the market or be produced by the producer on a non-complying farm.

In 1988, 8.3 million acres of corn was cut for silage, with over 60 percent of silage production occurring in ten States: Wisconsin, New York, Pennsylvania, Minnesota, Iowa, California, Nebraska, Michigan, Virginia, and Ohio. These States also represent major areas of livestock production, especially dairy production.

Comments are requested whether loans and purchases should be available for corn silage grain equivalent in accordance with the 1990 Feed Grain Program.

i. *Method of Establishing the Feed Grain Crop Acreage Base.* Under consideration is whether to use combined or separate crop acreage bases for purposes of implementing the annual ARP and PLD programs. Since the 1982 program, crop acreage bases were established for each feed grain. However, for puposes of implementing the ARP and PLD programs, combined bases were used: one for corn and sorghum and one for barley and oats. Recognizing that supply and demand conditions vary among feed grains, ARP requirements for oats may differ from the other feed grains, thus, further complicating the administration of the program when using combined bases.

Comments are requested with respect to whether combined or separate crop acreage bases should be used for purposes of implementing the annual ARP and PLD programs.

j. *Premiums and Discounts for Grades, Classes, and Other Qualities.* Comments are requested on the method to determine and level of premiums and discounts based on: (a) Grade standards (b) foreign material and dockage; (c) damaged kernels; and (d) moisture content. Comments and supporting information are also requested on 1) whether to establish and the level of premiums and discounts on other factors such as oil, protein, and starch content and seed shatterability, and 2) any other method which ASCS should consider to further encourage the production and marketing of clean, high quality grain.

k. *Other Related Provisions.* A number of other determinations must be made in order to carry out the feed grain loan and purchase programs such as: (1) Commodity eligibility, and (2) such other provisions as may be necessary to carry out the programs. Consideration will be given to any data, views and recommendations that may be related to these issues.

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 1444e, 1444e–1, 1445b–4, 1445b–5, and 1445e, 15 U.S.C. 714b and 714c.

Signed at Washington, DC on June 28, 1989.

Keith D. Bjerke,

Executive Vice President, Commodity Credit Corporation.

[FR Doc. 89–15647 Filed 7–3–89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–05–M

Cooperative State Research Service

National Agricultural Research and Extension Users Advisory Board; Meeting

According to the Federal Advisory Committee Act of October 6, 1972 (Pub. L. 92–463, 86 Stat. 770–776), the Office of Grants and Program Systems, Cooperative State Research Service, announces the following meeting:

Name: National Agricultural Research and Extension Users Advisory Board

Date: August 3–5, 1989

Time:

1:00 p.m.–5:00 p.m., August 3, 1989
8:00 p.m.–5:00 a.m., August 4, 1989
8:00 p.m.–5:00 a.m., August 5, 1989

Place: Inn of the Mountain Gods, Mescalero, New Mexico

Type of Meeting: Open to the public. Persons may participate in the meeting and site visits as time and space permit.

Comments: The public may file written comments before or after the meeting with the contact person below.

Purpose: The Board will review research and extension programs in watershed management and recreation on public lands.

Contact Person for Agenda and More Information: Marshall Tarkington, Executive Secretary, National Agricultural Research and Extension Users Advisory Board; Room 432–A, Administration Building, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, DC 20250–2200; telephone (202) 447–3684.

Done in Washington, DC, this 21st day of June 1989.

John Patrick Jordan,

Administrator.

[FR Doc. 89-15752 Filed 7-3-89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-22-M

Forest Service

Southern California Edison 66 kilovolt Goleta to Gaviota Electric Transmission Line; Intention To Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement

AGENCY: Forest Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of intent to prepare an environmental impact statement.

SUMMARY: The Forest Service will jointly prepare an environmental impact statement (EIS), as required by the National Environmental Quality Act (NEPA), and an Environmental Impact Report (EIR), as required by the California Environmental Quality Act, with the County of Santa Barbara, California. The proposed project is a 66 kilovolt electric transmission line in and adjacent to the Santa Barbara Ranger District, Los Padres National Forest. The proposal would result in the construction of 19.3 miles of electrical transmission line and other facilities needed to construct and support the line. Approximately three miles of the proposed powerline would be located on National Forest system lands.

The agency invites comments and suggestions on the scope of analysis to be included in the draft environmental impact statement. In addition the agency gives notice of the full environmental analysis and decision making process that is beginning on the proposal so that interested and affected people know how they may participate and contribute to the final decision.

DATE: Comments on the scope of the analysis must be received by July 31, 1989.

ADDRESS: Submit written comments and suggestions concerning the scope of the analysis to Joan Brandoff-Kerr, USFS, Santa Barbara Ranger District, Star Route, Santa Barbara, CA 93105.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Questions about the proposed action should be directed to Patrick Pontes, District Ranger, USFS, Santa Barbara Ranger District, Star Route, Santa Barbara, CA 93105, phone (805) 967-3481.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The project has been proposed by the Southern California Edison Company. The purpose of the project is to provide energy for oil processing facilities located along the coast of Santa Barbara County.

Alternatives being considered are: (1) Utilization of existing powerline right-of-way in the area, either above or underground, (2) bringing in power from the northwest rather than from the southeast, (3) on-site generation of power, (4) development of alternate energy sources, such as solar or wind, and (5) no action.

Preliminary issues which have been identified are visual resources, biological resources, fire hazards, cultural resources, recreation, the need for an additional electrical power in the area, retention of unauthorized roads on National Forest land, growth inducing impacts of the powerline, and soils and hydrological resources.

Southern California Edison will be required to obtain a permit to construct the powerline on National Forest land. An easement will be required to maintain the improvements after construction. The County of Santa Barbara requires a Conditional Use Permit and a Coastal Development Permit.

The responsible official for the Forest Service and NEPA process is Arthur J. Carroll, Forest Supervisor of the Los Padres National Forest.

The decision to be made by the Forest Supervisor is the location, tower design, and width of the easement for a permanent transmission line across National Forest land. Associated facilities, particularly permanent and temporary road access, and mitigations for impacts will also be considered.

The estimated date for completion of the DEIS is Jan. 15, 1990. The estimated date for completion of the final EIS is April 15, 1990.

The comment period on the DEIS will be 45 days from the date the Environmental Protection Agency's notice of availability appears in the **Federal Register.** It is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate at that time. To be the most helpful, comments on the DEIS should be as specific as possible and may address the adequacy of the statement or the merits of the alternatives discussed (see The Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3).

In addition, Federal court decisions have established that reviewers of DEIS's must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewers' position and contentions. *Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp.* v. *NRDC,* 435 U.S. 519, 553 (1978). Environmental objections that could have been raised at the draft stage may be waived if not raised until after completion of the final EIS. *City of Angoon* v. *Hodel,* (9th Circuit, 1986) and *Wisconsin Heritages, Inc.* v. *Harris,* 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). The reason for this is to ensure that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service and Santa Barbara County at a time when these comments can be meaningfully considered and responded to in the final environmental impact statement (FEIS).

Date: June 23, 1989.

Arthur J. Carroll,

Forest Supervisor.

[FR Doc. 89-15652 Filed 7-3-89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Agency Form Under Review by the Office of Management and Budget

DOC has submitted to OMB for clearance the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Agency: Bureau of the Census

Title: 1989 Annual Survey of Manufacturers

Form Number: MA-1000 (L), (B), (S)

Agency Approval Number: 0607-0449

Type of Request: Revision of a currently approved form

Burden: 205,900 hours

Number of Respondents: 81,000

Average Hours Per Response: 2 hours and 33 minutes

Needs and Uses: Federal agencies use the Annual Survey's input and output data as benchmarks for their statistical programs. Private industry makes extensive use of the annual figures on product class shipments at the U.S. level in its market analysis, product planning, and investment planning.

Affected Public: Businesses or other for-profit institutions

Frequency: Annually

Respondent's Obligation: Mandatory

OMB Desk Officer: Don Arbuckle, 395-7340

Copies of the above information collection proposal can be obtained by calling or writing DOC Clearance Officer, Edward Michals, (202) 377-3271, Department of Commerce, Room H6622, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent to Don Arbuckle, OMB Desk Officer, Room 3208, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

Dated: June 28, 1989.

Edward Michals,

Departmental Clearance Officer, Office of Management and Organization.

[FR Doc. 89-15650 Filed 07-03-89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-07-M

International Trade Administration

Export Trade Certificate of Review

AGENCY: International Trade Administration.

ACTION: Notice of application.

SUMMARY: The Office of Export Trading Company Affairs, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, has received an application for an Export Trade Certificate of Review. This notice summarizes the conduct for which certification is sought and requests comments relevant to whether the Certificate should be issued.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Douglas J. Aller, Acting Director, Office of Export Trading Company Affairs, International Trade Administration, 202/377-5131. This is not a toll-free number.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Title III of the Export Trading Company Act of 1982 (15 U.S.C. 4001-21) authorizes the Secretary of Commerce to issue Export Trade Certificates of Review. A Certificate of Review protects the holder and the members identified in the Certificate from state and federal government antitrust actions and from private, treble damage antitrust actions for the export conduct specified in the Certificate and carried out in compliance with its terms and conditions. Section 302(b)(1) of the Act and 15 CFR 325.6(a) require the Secretary to publish a notice in the **Federal Register** identifying the applicant and summarizing its proposed export conduct.

Request for Public Comments

Interested parties may submit written comments relevant to the determination whether a Certificate should be issued. An original and five (5) copies should be submitted no later than 20 days after the date of this notice to: Office of Export Trading Company Affairs, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, Room 1223H, Washington, DC 20230. Information submitted by any person is exempt from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552). Comments should refer to this application as "Export Trade Certificate of Review, application number 89-00011." A summary of the application follows.

Summary of the Application

Applicant: Northwest Gourmet Foods, Inc. ("NGF"), 2120 Riverside Road, Yakima, Washington 98901. Contact: Peter M.R. Fretwell, President, Telephone: 509/457-4323.

When exporting non-food related Products and/or Services, NGF may register other business names with the Secretary of State of the State of Washington. The protection of the Certificate of Review would extend to NGF when NGF is conducting business under other registered business names.

Application No.: 89-00011

Date Deemed Submitted: June 22, 1989

Members (in addition to the applicant):

Peter M.R. Fretwell, sole shareholder

Export Trade—1. *Products and Services.* Products and Services to be exported include all products and services.

2. *Export Trade Facilitation Services (as they relate to the export of Products and Services).* Consulting, financing, export licensing, shipping, transportation, taking title to goods, providing information on exporting mechanics to potential exporters, matching buyers with sellers, placing marine, casualty, and war and political risk insurance, coordinating the shipment of products, processing documentation, and providing market research.

Export Markets. The Export Markets include all parts of the world except the United States (the fifty states of the United States, the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, American Samoa, Guam, the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, and the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands).

Export Trade Activities and Methods of Operation. NGF, when conducting business under the name Northwest Gourmet Foods, Inc., or other registered business names, seeks certification for the following activities:

1. To enter into exclusive and non-exclusive agreements with individual suppliers to act as the supplier's export agent or distributor, whereby NGF may establish export prices, quantities, territories, and customer restrictions for Products and/or Services for the Export Markets. "Exclusive" means that NGF will not represent any competitors without the supplier's authorization, and the supplier will not otherwise sell directly or indirectly into Export Markets in which NGF serves the supplier.

2. To enter into exclusive and/or non-exclusive agreements with persons for Export Markets. "Exclusive" means that NGF may agree to deal in Products and/or Services only through that person, and that person may agree not to represent or buy those Products and/or Services from anyone other than NGF.

3. To enter into exclusive and/or non-exclusive agreements with individual foreign buyers for the Export Markets to act as their purchasing agent. "Exclusive" means that NGF may agree to deal in Products and/or Services only through that individual foreign buyer, and that individual foreign buyer may agree not to represent or buy those Products and/or Services from anyone other than NGF.

4. NGF may respond to sales opportunities in Export Markets by:

a. Contacting individual suppliers of the Products and/or Services involved in the sales opportunity;

b. Inviting the suppliers to provide independent price quotes for the Products and/or Services (to which NGF may add its own markup);

c. Entering into an agreement with individual suppliers for which NGF submits a response to the sales opportunity;

d. Taking title to Products from suppliers and shipping them to the buyer at NGF's quoted export price.

Dated: June 27, 1989.

Douglas J. Aller,

Acting Director, Office of Export Trading Company Affairs.

[FR Doc. 89-15636 Filed 7-3-89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DR-M

COMMITTEE FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF TEXTILE AGREEMENTS

Visa Waiver Inquiries

June 28, 1989.

AGENCY: Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements (CITA).

ACTION: Notice.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Martin J. Walsh, International Commodity Specialist, Office of Textiles and Apparel, U.S. Department of Commerce, (202) 377-3400.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The purpose of this notice is to advise the public that, effective immediately, a new telephone number is in use for handling inquiries regarding visa waivers. The

number is (202) 377–3588. Calls regarding visa waivers will not be taken on any other number.

Auggie D. Tantillo,

Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements.

[FR Doc. 89–15683 Filed 7–3–89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DR–M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR); Information Collection Under OMB Review

AGENCY: Department of Defense (DOD), General Services Administration (GSA), and National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) Secretariat has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request to review and approve an information collection requirement concerning Certification of Commercial Pricing.

ADDRESS: Send comments to Ms. Eyvette Flynn, FAR Desk Officer, Room 3235, NEOB, Washington, DC 20503.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Jeremy Olson, Office of Federal Acquisition and Regulatory Policy, (202) 523–3781.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: a. *Purpose:* This clearance covers the reporting requirements as required by Pub. L. 98–577 and 99–591 on Certificates of Commercial Pricing. The clauses at FAR 52.215–32 and 52.215–35 require that offerors/contractors certify to the best of their knowledge and belief that prices offered for certain spare or repair parts that the contractor offers for sale to the public are no higher than the lowest commercial sales price at which such parts were sold during the most recent regular monthly quarterly, or other period for which sales data are reasonably available; provided, that in no event shall this period be less than 30 days in duration. All items for which prices offered are higher than the lowest commercial sales price discussed above must be identified and a written justification for the difference supplied. This information is required by Pub. L. 98–577 and 99–591 and is a new requirement for Part 15. This final rule applies to acquisitions involving major systems for civilian agencies and for all Parts and components under DoD, NASA, and Coast Guard acquisitions.

The commercial pricing information is used by contracting officers to ensure that the Government does not pay prices for commercial spare and repair parts that exceed the lowest commercial sales prices at which such times are sold by the contractor to the general public unless the price differences are justified. Without the information, the Government may pay prices for such supplies that are higher than prices paid by other customers.

b. *Annual reporting burden:* The annual reporting burden is estimated as follows: Respondents, 3,030; responses per respondent, 1; total annual responses, 30,300; hours per response, 12 and total response burden hours, 363,600.

c. *Annual recordkeeping burden:* The annual recordkeeping burden is estimated as follows: Recordkeepers, 3,030; hours per recordkeeper, 160; and total recordkeeping burden hours, 484,800.

d. *Additional data:* An approval request for this information collection was submitted to OMB on June 25, 1985, on November 8, 1985, April 16, 1986, and November 14, 1988. None of the submissions was approved.

Obtaining Copies of Proposals: Requester may obtain copies from General Services Administration, FAR Secretariat (VRS), Room 4041, Washington, DC 20405, telephone (202) 523–4755. Please cite OMB Control No. 9000–0XXX, Certification of Commercial Pricing.

Dated: June 26, 1989.

Margaret A. Willis,

FAR Secretariat.

June 26, 1989.

[FR Doc. 89–15074 Filed 7–3–89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820–JC–M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

Defense Policy Board Advisory Committee; Meeting

ACTION: Notice of advisory committee meeting.

SUMMARY: The Defense Policy Board Advisory Committee will meet in closed session on 27–28 July 1989 in the Pentagon, Washington, DC.

The mission of the Defense Policy Board is to provide the Secretary of Defense, Deputy Secretary of Defense and the Under Secretary of Defense for Policy with independent, informed advice and opinion concerning major matters of defense policy. At this meeting the Board will hold classified discussions on national security matters.

In accordance with Section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Pub. L. No. 92–463, as amended (5 U.S.C. App. II, (1982)), it has been determined that this Defense Policy Board meeting concerns matters listed in 5 U.S.C. 552b (c)(1)(1982), and that accordingly this meeting will be closed to the public.

L.M. Bynum,

Alternate OSD Federal Register, Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

June 28, 1989.

[FR Doc. 89–15731 Filed 7–3–89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3810–01–M

Wage Committee; Closed Meetings

Pursuant to the provisions of section 10 of Pub. L. 92–463, the Federal Advisory Committee Act, notice is hereby given that a meeting of the Department of Defense Wage Committee will be held on Tuesday, August 1, 1989; Tuesday, August 8, 1989; Tuesday, August 15, 1989; Tuesday, August 22, 1989; and Tuesday, August 29, 1989 at 10:00 a.m. in Room 1E801, The Pentagon, Washington, D.C.

The Committee's primary responsibility is to consider and submit recommendations to the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Force and Management and Personnel) concerning all matters involved in the development and authorization of wage schedules for federal prevailing rate employees pursuant to Public Law 92–392. At this meeting, the Committee will consider wage survey specifications, wage survey data, local wage survey committee reports and recommendations, and wage schedules derived therefrom.

Under the provisions of section 10(d) of Pub. L. 92–463, meetings may be closed to the public when they are "concerned with matters listed in 5 U.S.C. 552b." Two of the matters so listed are those "related soley to the internal personnel rules and practices of an agency," (5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(2)), and those involving "trade secrets and commercial or financial information obtained from a person and priviledged or confidential" (5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(4)).

Accordingly, the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense (Civilian Personnel Policy) hereby determines that all portions of the meeting will be closed to the public because the matters considered are related to the internal rules and practices of the Department of Defense (5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(2)), and the detailed wage data considered from officials of private establishments with a

guarantee that the data will be held in confidence (5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(4)).

However, members of the public who may wish to do so are invited to submit material in writing to the Chairman concerning matters believed to be deserving of the Committee's attention.

Additional information concerning this meeting may be obtained by writing the Chairman, Department of Defense Wage Committee, Room 3D264, The Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301.

L.M. Bynum,

Alternate OSD Federal Register, Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

June 28, 1989.

[FR Doc. 89-15732 Filed 7-3-89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3810-01-M

Department of the Army

Availability of the Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement for the Johnston Atoll Chemical Agent Disposal System

AGENCY: Department of the Army, Department of Defense.

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: This announces the Notice of Availability of the Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (FSEIS) covering the disposal of process wastes generated from the operation of the Johnston Atoll Chemical Agent Disposal System (JACADS). The FSEIS selects a preferred alternative for each waste category. The "no action" alternative for all wastes (except recyclable metal) is indefinite on-island storage.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In 1983, the Army issued an EIS for the JACADS project. The 1983 Final EIS identified the process (incineration) and location on Johnston Island for the JACADS facility. JACADS will destroy chemical munitions containing agents VX, GB and HD beginning in 1990. The ultimate disposition of JACADS process wastes was not determined in 1983 pending further study.

The FSEIS addresses alternatives for final disposition of the JACADS process wastes. This FSEIS identifies the following alternatives for the three categories of JACADS process waste. The alternatives are listed in order of Army preference.

Disposal Alternatives in Order of Preference

Liquid Process Waste

Preferred alternative: Ocean disposal of liquid process waste.

Second alternative: Dry the brine, drum and ship to U.S. landfill.

Third alternative: Dry the brine, drum and store on Johnston Island ("no action" alternative).

Solid Waste with Value

Preferred alternative: Sale of scrap metal.

Second alternative: Ship to U.S. landfill.

Third alternative: Ocean disposal of scrap metal.

Solid Waste with No Value

Preferred alternative: Shipment of nonsaleable waste to U.S. landfill.

Second alternative: Store nonsaleable waste on Johnston Island ("no action" alternative).

Third alternative: On-island use of encapsulated waste on Johnston Island.

Fourth alternative: Ocean disposal of encapsulated waste.

On November 18, 1988, the President signed the Ocean Dumping Ban Act of 1988 which amended the Marine Protection, Research, and Sanctuaries Act. This Act currently prohibits the Army from disposing of any process waste including liquid brine waste—the preferred alternative for the liquid waste—in the ocean. Ocean dumping alternatives will not be selected in the Record of Decision unless an exception is granted. The Army does not intend to ask Congress for an exception to the Act.

The alternatives for disposing of all of the wastes have been rank ordered. For liquid process wastes, the second alternative (landfill the dried brine salts in the United States) will be used unless other considerations—cost, logistics impact or political factors—make it not viable. This tiered approach in selecting disposal alternatives is described in section 1.2 of the FSEIS and is summarized in the above matrix. No significant adverse environmental impacts are expected from any of the alternatives. The public will have an opportunity to comment on the tiered selection of alternatives during the comment period for this FSEIS.

Copies of the FSEIS for JACADS are available from the Office of the Program Manager for Chemical Demilitarization, ATTN: SAIL-PMT-A (Mr. Richard Rife), Building E4585, Edgewood Area, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland 21010-5401; or the Commander, U.S. Army Engineer Division, Pacific Ocean, ATTN: CEPOD-ED-PV, Fort Shafter, Hawaii 96858-5440. Comments on the FSEIS will be considered by the Army in their Record of Decision and should be provided in writing to Mr. Rife at the first address given above. The FSEIS will have a 30-day comment period from the date the NOA appears in the **Federal Register.**

Lewis D. Walker,

Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Army (Environment, Safety and Occupational Health) OASA (I&L).

[FR Doc. 89-15675 Filed 7-3-89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-08-M

Army Science Board; Closed Meeting

In accordance with section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463), announcement is made of the following Committee Meeting:

Name of the Committee: Army Science Board (ASB)

Dates of Meeting: 24-25 July 1989

Time: 0800-1600 hours, daily

Place: Washington, DC

Agenda: The Army Science Board Ad Hoc Subgroup on Total Quality Management will meet in executive session to review and discuss proprietary material from previously visited commercial industries. This meeting will be closed to the public in accordance with Section 552b(c) of Title 5, U.S.C., specifically subparagraph (1) thereof, and Title 5, U.S.C., Appendix 2, subsection 10(d). The classified and unclassified matters and proprietary information to be discussed are so inextricably intertwined so as to preclude opening any portion of the meeting. The ASB Administrative Officer, Sally Warner, may be contacted for further information at, (202) 695-3039/7046.

Sally A. Warner,

Administrative Officer, Army Science Board.

[FR Doc. 89-15703 Filed 7-3-89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-08-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Office of Fossil Energy

Office of Planning and Environment; International Coal Technology Export Directory

AGENCY: Office of Fossil Energy, DOE.

SUMMARY: To support the development of coal and coal technology export markets, the Office of Fossil Energy of the Department of Energy is responding to the industry's request for a comprehensive directory entitled "The Directory of U.S. Coal and Technology Export Resources". This reference document will be targeted towards potential purchasers of U.S. coal and coal technology export resources and will be made available overseas through

the Department of State and Department of Commerce as well as through the National Technical Information Services (NTIS) in the United States. Industry parties interested in inclusion in this directory that have an existing product or service for export should contact Sue Ellen Walbridge in writing at the address below for a complete set of detailed instructions (including a data template, sample page, and directory outline showing available categories) and schedule requirements.

DATE: Please respond on or before July 17, 1989, to ensure adequate time for inclusion in the directory.

ADDRESS: Address correspondence to the Department of Energy, Office of Fossil Energy, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20585, to the attention of Sue Ellen Walbridge, FE–4, Room 4G–067.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sue Ellen Walbridge, Project Manager, FE–4, U.S. Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20585, (202) 586–7735.

J. Allen Wampler,

Assistant Secretary Fossil Energy.

[FR Doc. 89–15733 Filed 7–3–89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450–01–M

[FE Docket No. 89–17–NG]

Cascade Natural Gas Corp.

Order Granting Blanket Authorization To Import Natural Gas From Canada

AGENCY: Office of Fossil Energy, DOE.

ACTION: Notice of order granting blanket authorization to import natural gas from Canada.

SUMMARY: The Office of Fossil Energy (FE) of the Department of Energy gives notice that it has issued an order granting Cascade Natural Gas Corporation (Cascade) blanket authorization to import natural gas from Canada. The order issued in FE Docket No. 89–17–NG authorizes Cascade to import up to 56 Bcf of Canadian natural gas over a two-year period beginning on the date of first delivery.

A copy of the order is available for inspection and copying in the Office of Fuels Programs Docket Room, 3F–056, Forrestal Building, 1000 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20585, (202) 586–9478. The docket room is open between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday except on Federal holidays.

Issued in Washington, DC, June 14, 1989.

J. Allen Wampler,

Assistant Secretary, Fossil Energy.

[FR Doc. 89–15735 Filed 7–3–89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450–01–M

[FE Docket No. 89–34–NG]

CMEX Energy, Inc.

Application for Blanket Authorization To Import and Export Natural Gas and Liquefied Natural Gas

AGENCY: Office of Fossil Energy, DOE.

ACTION: Notice of application for blanket authorization to import and export natural gas and liquefied natural gas.

SUMMARY: The Office of Fossil Energy (FE) of the Department of Energy (DOE) gives notice of receipt on June 8, 1989, of an application filed by CMEX Energy, Inc. (CMEX), for blanket authorization to import and to export up to a total of 76 Bcf of natural gas and/or liquefied natural gas (LNG) over a two-year period beginning on the date of first delivery of imported or exported natural gas or LNG. CMEX intends to utilize existing pipeline and LNG facilities for the processing and transportation of the volumes to be imported or exported and to submit quarterly reports detailing each transaction.

The application was filed under section 3 of the Natural Gas Act and DOE Delegation Order Nos. 0204–111 and 0209–127. Protests, motions to intervene, notices of intervention and written comments are invited.

DATE: Protests, motions to intervene, or notices of intervention, as applicable, requests for additional procedures and written comments are to be filed no later than August 4, 1989.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Lot Cooke, Office of Fuels Programs, Office of Fossil Energy, U.S. Department of Energy, Forrestal Building, Room 3F–070, 1000 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20585, (202) 586–8116.

Diane Stubbs, Natural Gas and Mineral Leasing, Office of General Counsel, U.S. Department of Energy, Forrestal Building, Room 6E–042, 1000 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20585, (202) 586–6667.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

CMEX, a Texas corporation, is a marketer of natural gas. Under the blanket authority sought, CMEX contemplates purchasing natural gas and LNG from a variety of foreign suppliers and reselling those supplies to various purchasers, including local distribution companies, pipelines, and commercial and industrial end-users. Under the export authority sought, CMEX proposes to secure natural gas from a variety of domestic suppliers and resell the gas to customers outside the United States. CMEX would import and export natural gas and LNG both for its own account as well as for the accounts of others.

Currently, CMEX is negotiating arrangements to export natural gas to Canada and Mexico, but is requesting the flexibility to enter into agreements for the importation and exportation of natural gas and LNG with Canada and Mexico as well as other similarly situated countries. The specific terms of each import and export arrangement would be negotiated on an individual basis at market responsive prices.

In support of its application, CMEX asserts that the proposed imports will make competitively priced gas available to U.S. markets while the short-term nature of the transactions will minimize the potential for undue long-term dependence on foreign sources of energy. With regard to the proposed exports, CMEX states that the volumes would be incremental to current U.S. needs and that the sale of the gas would result in a reduction of the current excess domestic natural gas supply, generate income and tax revenues, and reduce the U.S. trade deficit.

CMEX requests expedited treatment of its application so it will have the authority to commence anticipated exports of domestic natural gas to Mexico on August 1, 1989. A decision on CMEX's request for expedited treatment will not be made until all responses to this notice have been received and evaluated.

The decision on the application for import authority will be made consistent with the DOE's gas import policy guidelines, under which the competitiveness of an import arrangement in the markets served is the primary consideration in determining whether it is in the public interest (49 FR 6684, February 22, 1984). In reviewing natural gas export applications, the domestic need for the gas to be exported is considered, and any other issue determined to be appropriate in a particular case. Parties that may oppose this application should comment in their responses on the issue of competitiveness as set forth in the policy guidelines for the requested import authority, and on the domestic need for gas the applicant proposes to export. As noted above, the applicant asserts that import and export arrangements transacted under the

requested authority will be competitive, and that there is no current need for domestic gas that would be exported under the proposed short-term arrangements. Parties opposing the arrangement bear the burden of overcoming these assertions.

All parties should be aware that the approval of this application may permit the import or export of natural gas or LNG at any international border point where existing transmission or processing facilities are located.

NEPA Compliance

The DOE has determined that compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), 42 U.S.C. 4321, *et seq.*, can be accomplished by means of a categorical exclusion. On March 27, 1989, the DOE published in the **Federal Register** (54 FR 12474) a notice of amendments to its guidelines for compliance with NEPA. In that notice, the DOE added to its list of categorical exclusions the approval or disapproval of an import/export authorization for natural gas in cases not involving new construction. Application of the categorical exclusion in any particular case raises a rebuttable presumption that the DOE's action is not a major Federal action under NEPA. Unless the DOE receives comments indicating that the presumption does not or should not apply in this case, no further NEPA review will be conducted by the DOE.

Public Comment Procedures

In response to this notice, any person may file a protest, motion to intervene or notice of intervention, as applicable, and written comments. Any person wishing to become a party to the proceeding and to have the written comments considered as the basis for any decision on the application must, however, file a motion to intervene or notice of intervention, as applicable. The filing of a protest with respect to this application will not serve to make the protestant a party to the proceeding, although protests and comments received from persons who are not parties will be considered in determining the appropriate procedural action to be taken on the application. All protests, motions to intervene, notices of intervention, and written comments must meet the requirements that are specified by the regulations in 10 CFR Part 590.

Protests, motions to intervene, notices of intervention, requests for additional procedures, and written comments should be filed with the Office of Fuels Programs, Fossil Energy, Room 3F–056, Forrestal Building, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20585. They must be filed no later than 4:30 p.m., e.d.t. August 4, 1989.

A decisional record on the application will be developed through responses to this notice by parties, including the parties' written comments and replies thereto. Additional procedures will be used as necessary to achieve a complete understanding of facts and issues. A party seeking intervention may request that additional procedures be provided, such as additional written comments, an oral presentation, a conference, or trial-type hearing. Any request to file additional written comments should explain why they are necessary. Any request for an oral presentation should identify the substantial question of fact, law, or policy at issue, show that it is material and relevant to a decision in the proceeding, and demonstrate why an oral presentation is needed. Any request for a conference should demonstrate why the conference would materially advance the proceeding. Any request for a trial-type hearing must show that there are factual issues genuinely in dispute that are relevant and material to a decision and that a trial-type hearing is necessary for a full and true disclosure of the facts.

If an additional procedure is scheduled, notice will be provided to all parties. If no party requests additional procedures, a final opinion and order may be issued based on the official record, including the application and responses filed by parties pursuant to this notice, in accordance with 10 CFR 590.316.

A copy of CMEX's application is available for inspection and copying in the Office of Fuels Programs Docket Room, 3F–056, at the above address. The docket room is open between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

Issued in Washington, DC, June 26, 1989.

J. Allen Wampler,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 89–15736 Filed 7–3–89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450–01–M

[FE Docket No. 89–35–NG]

Potomac Energy Corp.

Application for Authorization to Import Natural Gas From Canada

AGENCY: Office of Fossil Energy, DOE.

ACTION: Notice of application for blanket authorization to import natural gas from Canada.

SUMMARY: The Office of Fossil Energy (FE) of the Department of Energy (DOE) gives notice of receipt on June 9, 1989, of an application filed by Potomac Energy Corporation (Potomac Energy) for blanket authorization to import up to 125 MMcf of Canadian natural gas per day and a maximum of 75 Bcf for a term of two years beginning on the date of first deliver. Potomac Energy intends to utilize existing pipeline facilities for transportation of the volumes to be imported, and proposes to submit quarterly reports giving the details of individual transactions.

The application is filed under section 3 of the Natural Gas Act and DOE Delegation Orders Nos. 0204–111 and 0204–127. Protests, motions to intervene, notices of intervention, and written comments are invited.

DATE: Protests, motions to intervene or notices of intervention, as applicable, requests for additional procedures and written comments are to be filed no later than August 4, 1989.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Robin R. Howell, (or Larine A. Moore) Office of Fuels Programs, Fossil Energy, U.S. Department of Energy, Forrestal Building, Room 3F–056, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20585, (202) 586–9478.

Diane Stubbs, Natural Gas and Mineral Leasing, Office of General Counsel, U.S. Department of Energy, Forrestal Building, Room 6E–042, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20585, (202) 586–6667.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Potomac Energy, a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business in Washington, DC, intends to import this gas either for its own account, or as broker or agent on behalf of others. The gas would be purchased from a variety of Canadian suppliers and sold on a short-term or spot basis to a wide range of markets in the United States, including pipelines, local distribution companies and commercial and industrial end-users. The specific terms of each import transaction, including price and volumes, would be negotiated on an individual basis and will reflect prevailing market conditions.

Potomac Energy requests that an authorization be granted on an expedited basis. A decision on Potomac Energy's request will not be made until all responses to this notice have been received and evaluated.

The decision on the application for import authority will be made consistent with the DOE's gas import policy guidelines, under which the competitiveness of an import arrangement in the markets served is the primary consideration in determining

whether it is in the public interest (49 FR 6684, February 22, 1984). Parties that may oppose this application should comment in their responses on the issue of competitiveness as set forth in the poilcy guidelines. The applicant asserts that this import arrangement will be competitive and thus in the public interest. Parties opposing the arrangement bear the burden of overcoming this assertion.

All parties should be aware that if this blanket import application is granted, the authorization may permit the import of the gas at any international border point where existing transmission facilities are located. In addition, a total amount of authorized volumes may be desigatned for the term rather than a daily limit, in order to provide the applicant with maximum flexibility of operation.

Nepa Compliance

The DOE has determined that compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), 42 U.S.C. 4321, can be accomplished by means of a categorical exclusion. On March 27, 1989, the DOE published in the **Federal Register** (54 FR 12474) a notice of amendments to its guidelines for compliance with NEPA. In that notice, the DOE added to its list of categorical exclusions the approval or disapproval of an import/export authorization for natural gas in cases not involving new construction. Application of the categorical exclusion in any particular case raises a rebuttable presumption that the DOE's action is not a major Federal action under NEPA. Unless the DOE receives comments indicating that the presumption does not or should not apply in this case, no further NEPA review will be conducted by the DOE.

Public Comment Procedures

In response to this notice, any person may file a protest, motion to intervene or notice of intervention, as applicable and written comments. Any person wishing to become a party to the proceeding and to have the written comment considered as the basis for any decision on the application must, however, file a motion to intervene or notice of intervention, as applicable. The filing of a protest with respect to this application will not serve to make the protestant a party to the proceeding, although protests and comments received from persons who are not parties will be considered in determining the appropriate action to be taken on the application. All protests, motions to intervene, notices of intervention, and written comments must meet the requirements that are specified by the regulations in 10 CFR Part 590. Protests, motions to intervene, notices of intervention, requests for additional procedures, and written comments should be filed with the Office of Fuels Programs, Fossil Energy, Room 3F–056, FE–50, Forrestal Building, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20585. They must be filed no later than 4:30 p.m., e.d.t., August 4, 1989.

It is intended that a decisional record will be developed on the application through responses to this notice by parties, including the parties' written comments and replies thereto. Additional procedures will be used as necessary to achieve a complete understanding of the facts and issues. A party seeking intervention may request that additional procedures be provided, such as additional written comments, an oral presentation, a conference, or trial-type hearing. Any request to file additional written comments should explain why they are necessary. Any request for an oral presentation should identify the substantial question of fact, law, or policy at issue, show that it is material and relevant to a decision in the proceeding, and demonstrate why an oral presentation is needed. Any request for a conference should demonstrate why the conference would materially advance the proceeding. Any request for a trial-type hearing must show that there are factual issues genuinely in dispute that are relevant and material to a decision and that a trial-type hearing is necessary for a full and true disclosure of the facts.

If an additional procedure is scheduled, notice will be provided to all parties. If no party requests additional procedures, a final opinion and order may be issued based on the official record, including the application and responses filed by parties pursuant to this notice, in accordance with 10 CFR 590.316.

A copy of Potomac Energy's application is available for inspection and copying in the Office of Fuels Programs Docket Room, 3F–056 at the above address. The docket room is open between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

Issued in Washington, DC, June 26, 1989.

J. Allen Wampler,

Assistant Secretary, Fossil Energy.

[FR Doc. 89–15737 Filed 7–3–89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450–01–M

[FE Docket No. 89–26–NG]

Texas Eastern Transmission Corp., Northeast Energy Associates North Jersey Energy Associates; Joint Application To Import Natural Gas From Canada

AGENCY: Office of Fossil Energy, DOE.

ACTION: Notice of Joint Application for a Long-Term and a Blanket Authorization to Import Natural Gas from Canada.

SUMMARY: The Office of Fossil Energy (FE) of the Department of Energy (DOE) gives notice of receipt on April 18, 1989, of a joint application filed by Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation (Texas Eastern), Northeast Energy Associates, A Limited Partnership (Northeast), and North Jersey Energy Associates, A Limited Partnership (North Jersey), for authorization to import from ProGas Limited (ProGas) up to 101,000 Mcf per day of Canadian natural gas over a period of 15 years beginning on the date that initial deliveries commence. Part of this gas, up to 29,000 Mcf per day, would be used for Texas Eastern's system supply requirements. The remaining volumes would be used to fuel two new dual-cycle 300 megawatt (NW) cogeneration facilities to be owned by Northeast in Bellingham, Massachusetts, and by North Jersey in Sayreville, New Jersey. In addition, since the long-term supplies to be purchased from ProGas will make up only about 60 percent of the requirements of the cogeneration facilities, Northeast and North Jersey request separate blanket authority to import up to 50,000 Mcf of Canadian gas per day from ProGas and/or other suppliers under individually negotiated, short-term arrangements. The requested blanket authority would be for two years beginning on the date of first delivery. The imports from ProGas and some, if not all, of the blanket imports that may be purchased from other suppliers would enter the U.S. at Niagara Falls, New York, and would be transported through existing and proposed pipeline facilities.

Concurrently with this application, Texas Eastern submitted a notice of withdrawal of its previous applications filed in 1982 and 1985 in ERA Docket Nos. 82–05–NG and 85–19–NG which sought authorization to import up to a total of 101,000 Mcf per day of Canadian natural gas from ProGas through October 31, 2002.

The application is filed under section 3 of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) and DOE Delegation Order Nos. 0204–111 and 0204–127. Protests, motions to

intervene, notices of intervention and written comments are invited.

DATE: Protests, motions to intervene or notices of intervention, as applicable, requests for additional procedures, and written comments are to be filed no later than August 4, 1989.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION:

Tom Dukes, Office of Fuels Programs, Fossil Energy, U.S. Department of Energy, Forrestal Building, Room 3F–056, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20585, (202) 586–9590.

Diane Stubbs, Natural Gas and Mineral Leasing, Office of General Counsel, U.S. Department of Energy, Forrestal Building, Room 6E–042, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20585, (202) 586–6667.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Texas Eastern, a Delaware Corporation with its principal office in Houston, Texas, is an interstate pipeline. Northeast is a Massachusetts limited partnership, and North Jersey is a New Jersey limited partnership. Each limited partnership acts through Intercontinental Energy Corporation (IEC), a Massachusetts corporation with its principal office in Hingham, Massachusetts.

Construction of the two cogeneration facilities is expected to begin by July 1989. Both will be operated as "qualifying facilities" under Section 201 of the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act of 1978. (See *Northeast,* 46 FERC Para. 62,022, January 10, 1989, and *North Jersey,* 46 FERC Para. 62,023, January 10, 1989). In addition, each has filed a certification of compliance with the coal capability requirement for proposed new electric powerplants pursuant to the Powerplant and Industrial Fuel Use Act of 1978, as amended (52 FR 29719, August 11, 1987). The electric power to be produced by the cogeneration facilities is under contract to several utilities. The plants also will provide steam for two manufacturing facilities.

Texas Eastern filed with the application a gas sales agreement entered into with ProGas dated September 3, 1988, as amended by an agreement dated September 30, 1988. Under this agreement ProGas will supply to Texas Eastern 101,000 Mcf per day, less the quantities that Northeast and North Jersey have agreed to purchase from ProGas. Northeast and North Jersey filed gas purchase agreements entered into with ProGas on May 12, 1988. Each agreement provides for the purchase of a maximum daily quantity of 35,957 Mcf gas per day for a term of 15 years after the date firm transportation service to the cogeneration facilities commences.

After deduction of the Northeast and North Jersey contract quantities, Texas Eastern's contract quantity would be approximately 29,000 Mcf of gas per day. During the winter season from November 15 through March 31, Texas Eastern will also, upon specified notice, purchase any gas not taken by Northeast and North Jersey under their contracts. Also, in the event that Texas Eastern's transportation service begins prior to the start-up of the cogeneration plants, Texas Eastern will purchase up to the full 101,000 Mcf per day from ProGas, as pipeline operating conditions permit, until the plants can begin receiving the gas.

The long-term supplies to be purchased from ProGas will make up about 60 percent of the fuel requirements of the Bellingham and Sayreville cogeneration facilities. An additional 20 percent of those requirements will be met through purchases of domestic supplies, Canadian supplies in the spot market, or under other contractual arrangements. The final 20 percent of supply will come from the system supply of Public Service Electric and Gas Company (PSE&G).

The application also seeks authorization for Texas Eastern to import authorized gas that, if not needed for system supply, would be released to Texas Eastern's and/or ProGas' U.S. marketing affiliates for sale on the spot market pursuant to a special marketing agreement executed between Texas Eastern and ProGas on November 3, 1986. Gas sold under the special marketing agreement over the term of the import arrangement would consist of gas imported by Texas Eastern to meet system supply contract demand that is not taken by firm customers for any reason and is thereby made available for sale at freely negotiated, competitive prices by Texas Eastern's and/or ProGas' marketers.

Under the Texas Eastern/ProGas sales agreement, Texas Eastern would purchase gas from ProGas at a price structured as a two-part demand and commodity charge. ProGas' monthly demand charges to Texas Eastern would consist of the demand charges of TransCanada PipeLines Limited (TransCanada), Nova, An Alberta Corporation (NOVA), and ProGas. The commodity charge for the gas at the international border would be an amount equal to the commodity charge per MMBtu in Texas Eastern's daily contract quantity rate schedule CD–1 on file and in effect at the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) for system supply customers in rate zone D, less an amount equal to the transportation charges for moving the gas from the Niagara Falls delivery point to Texas Eastern's pipeline system. In a May 2, 1989, letter, Texas Eastern indicated that the commodity charge for zone D on April 1, 1989, was $2.4283.

In addition, ProGas' monthly demand charge will be subject to adjustment for changes in the demand charges of NOVA, TransCanada, and in ProGas' fixed costs. The commodity charge is subject to adjustment for each change in Texas Eastern's commodity charge for firm sales in rate zone D and for changes in the charges for transporting the gas from Niagara Falls to Texas Eastern's system. The price of the imported gas may be renegotiated annually, or upon 15 days notice, when changes in market-responsive prices occur in Texas Eastern's gas purchase contracts for system supply, when ProGas makes a new PGA filing at the FERC, or when other changes in market or regulatory conditions occur that warrant price redetermination. If either Texas Eastern or ProGas is unable to agree upon such pricing terms, either party has the right to refer the matter to arbitration.

Under the Texas Eastern/ProGas sales agreement, Texas Eastern is required to purchase a minimum annual quantity of gas. The minimum annual quantity is based on the daily contract quantity of 101,000 Mcf of gas, less the quantities that Northeast and North Jersey purchase, reduced as necessary to maintain the same ratio of takes to total contract volumes available during the contract year as exists between Texas Eastern's U.S. takes and total contract volumes available under contracts with U.S. suppliers having a primary term of more than three years. Credit is given in meeting Texas Eastern's minimum annual contract quantity requirement for gas sold under the special marketing agreement at the rate of one cubic foot for each cubic foot sold. Credit is also given against the demand charges which Texas Eastern must pay to ProGas with respect to Texas Eastern's daily contract quantity of gas based on the purchase prices paid to Texas Eastern by Texas Eastern's and/or ProGas' U.S. marketers for special marketing gas.

The Northeast/ProGas and North Jersey/ProGas sales agreements are identical except for the price adjustment provisions. Under both contracts, the price Northeast and North Jersey would pay consists of a demand and commodity charge. The monthly demand component woud recover the costs of transportation in Canada on the pipeline systems of NOVA and TransCanada,

and ProGas' fixed costs. The commodity charge would be computed under a formula starting with a base price for January 1, 1990, of $1.9365 per MMBtu. The commodity charge under the Northeast/ProGas contract would be adjusted each January 1 by a factor equal to the weighted percentage change during the preceding year in the rate per kilowatt-hour at which electrical output of the Bellingham facility is sold under certain power purchase agreements between Northeast and five electric utilities. Under the North Jersey/ProGas contract, the base price would be adjusted annually by a factor equal to the percentage change during the preceding year in the cost of natural gas purchased by electric utilities in New Jersey reported to the FERC and announced by the DOE in a publication entitled "Cost and Quality of Fuel for Electric Utility Plants."

The minimum monthly bill under the Northeast and North Jersey contracts would be the monthly demand charge. There is no minimum commodity charge. The price of the imported gas may be renegotiated annually. During the first ten contract years, no change in the terms will be effective unless mutually agreed upon. Beginning in the eleventh year, if renegotiation does not produce an acceptable redetermination of price within 60 days, either party has the right to refer the matter to arbitration.

Northeast and North Jersey are each required to take a mimimum quantity of gas annually that is equal to 75 percent of the maximum quantity of gas which ProGas is obligated to deliver to them each day, times 365. If the minimum volumes are not taken in any year, Northeast and North Jersey must purchase from ProGas in the next year the minimum annual quantity plus the preceding year's deficiency volume. The contracts provide that if they fail to take the required quantity, ProGas may begin in the next contract year to bill Northeast and North Jersey for interest at the Canadian prime rate plus two percent on the average commodity value of the deficiency volumes, until the deficiency volumes are made up. The contract also provides that if minimum volumes are not taken, ProGas may seek a reduction in the daily contract quantity.

According to the terms of their contracts, Northeast and North Jersey may enter into a special marketing agreement with ProGas whereby surplus volumes would be released back to ProGas to be re-marketed. Any volumes sold by ProGas would be credited toward Northeast's and North Jersey's minimum annual purchase volumes. In addition, surplus gas may be offered to Texas Eastern.

The Northeast and North Jersey contracts require as a condition precedent that ProGas periodically demonstrate sufficient reserves and deliverability to meet its existing and anticipated gas sales obligations.

The volumes supplied under the agreements with ProGas would enter the U.S. at Niagara Falls through an interconnection between TransCanada's pipeline and a new border facility near and parallel to the existing facilities of Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company (Tennessee). The gas would be transported from Niagara Falls to Marilla, New York, by means of this new 49-mile pipeline loop of Tennessee's Niagara Spur Line which will be jointly owned by Tennessee, National Fuel Gas Supply (National Fuel) and PennEast Gas Services Company (PennEast). From Marilla, the gas then would be transported by PennEast over existing facilities of CNG Transmission Company's System (CNG) to Ellisburg, Pennsylvania. There the gas would enter a new 40-mile line to Leidy, Pennsylvania, that will be jointly owned by National Fuel and PennEast. At Leidy, volumes intended for Texas Eastern will enter its pipeline system. Gas for Northeast will be delivered into Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Company's (Transco) Leidy pipeline for delivery to Algonquin Gas Transmission Company (Algonquin) at Centerville, New Jersey, and Algonquin will redeliver the gas to the Bellingham cogeneration facility. Gas for North Jersey will be delivered by Transco to PSE&G, which will redeliver the volumes to the Sayreville facility.

In support of their long-term application, Texas Eastern, Northeast and North Jersey state that the proposed import is in the public interest because the provisions of the long-term contracts are sufficiently flexible to ensure the price of the gas will be comparable to competing energy supplies, including natural gas, over the term of the agreements. In addition, they assert that these supplies are needed and the gas source is secure. With respect to Northeast and North Jersey's two-year blanket import request, they do not anticipate the importation of Canadian spot gas if more competitive supplies of short-term domestic gas are available.

The decision on this application will be made consistent with the DOE's gas import policy guidelines, under which the competitiveness of an import arrangement in the markets served is the primary consideration in determining whether it is in the public interest (49 FR 6684, February 22, 1984). Other matters that may be considered in making a public interest determination include need for gas, security of the long-term supply and any relevant issues that are unique to cogeneration facilities. Parties that may oppose this application should comment in their responses on the issues of competitiveness, need for the natural gas, and security of supply as set forth in the policy guidelines. The applicants assert that their import arrangements are in the public interest. Parties opposing the arrangements bear the burden of overcoming this assertion.

All parties should be aware that if this requested application for long-term and blanket import authority is granted, the authorization would be conditioned to require that Texas Eastern, Northeast and North Jersey each file quarterly reports to facilitate monitoring of the operation of the DOE's natural gas import program. In addition, a total amount of authorized volumes may be designated for the proposed blanket import term rather than a daily limit, to provide the applicants with maximum flexibility of operation. Furthermore, if approved, the sale of gas on the spot market under Texas Eastern's special marketing agreement with ProGas may be limited to a term of two years from the date of the first such sale.

NEPA Compliance

The National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), 42 U.S.C. 4321, *et seq.*, requires the DOE to give appropriate consideration to the environmental effects of its proposed actions. The FERC in Docket Nos. CP88–171–000 (Tennessee), CP88–183–000 (PennEast and CNG), CP88–195–000 (PennEast, CNG and Texas Eastern), and CP89–7–000 (Transco) is currently performing an environmental review of the impacts of constructing and operating the new transmission facilities through which the proposed ProGas volumes would be transported before making its decision on the related certificate applications. The DOE will participate in the environmental review process at the appropriate level. No final decisions will be issued in this proceeding until the DOE has met its NEPA responsibilities regarding the Texas Eastern, Northeast, and North Jersey joint application.

Public Comment Procedures

In response to this notice, any person may file a protest, motion to intervene or notice of intervention, as applicable, and written comments. Any person wishing to become a party to the proceeding and to have the written comments considered as the basis for

any decision on the application must, however, file a motion to intervene or notice of the intervention, as applicable. The filing of a protest with respect to this joint application will not serve to make the protestant a party to the proceeding, although protests and comments received from persons who are not parties will be considered in determining the appropriate action to be taken on the application. All protests, motions to intervene, notices of intervention, and written comments must meet the requirements that are specified by the regulations in 10 CFR Part 590.

Protests, motions to intervene, notices of intervention, requests for additional procedures, and written comments should be filed with the Office of Fuels Programs, Fossil Energy, Room 3F–056, FE–50, Forrestal Building, 1000 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20585, (202) 586–9478. They must be filed no later than 4:30 p.m., E.D.T., August 4, 1989.

It is intended that a decisional record on the application will be developed through responses to this notice by parties, including the parties' written comments and replies thereto. Additional procedures will be used as necessary to achieve a complete understanding of the facts and issues. A party seeking intervention may request that additional procedures be provided, such as additional written comments, an oral presentation, a conference, or trial-type hearing. Any request to file additional written comments should explain why they are necessary. Any request for an oral presentation should identify the substantial question of fact, law, or policy at issue, show that it is material and relevant to a decision in the proceeding, and demonstrate why an oral presentation is needed. Any request for a conference should demonstrate why the conference would materially advance the proceeding. Any request for a trial-type hearing must show that there are factual issues genuinely in dispute that are relevant and material to a decision and that a trial-type hearing is necessary for a full and true disclosure of the facts.

If an additional procedure is scheduled, notice to all parties will be provided. If no party requests additional procedures, a final opinion and order may be issued based on the official record, including the application and responses filed by parties pursuant to this notice, in accordance with 10 CFR 590.316.

A copy of the Texas Eastern, Northeast and North Jersey joint application is available for inspection and copying in the Office of Fuels Programs Docket Room, 3F–056 at the above address. The docket room is open between the hours of 8 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

Issued in Washington, DC, June 27, 1989.

J. Allen Wampler,

Assistant Secretary, Fossil Energy.

[FR Doc. 89–15738 Filed 7–3–89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450–01–M

[Docket No. FE C&E 89–13; Certification Notice—39]

First Energy Associates; Filing Certification of Compliance; Coal Capability of New Electric Powerplant

AGENCY: Office of Fossil Energy, DOE.

ACTION: Notice of filing.

SUMMARY: Title II of the Powerplant and Industrial Fuel Use Act of 1978, as amended ("FUA" or "the Act") (42 U.S.C. 8301 *et seq.*), provides that no new electric powerplant may be constructed or operated as a base load powerplant without the capability to use coal or another alternate fuel as a primary energy source (section 201(a), 42 U.S.C. 8311(a), Supp. V. 1987). In order to meet the requirement of coal capability, the owner or operator of any new electric powerplant to be operated as a base load powerplant proposing to use natural gas or petroleum as its primary energy source may certify, pursuant to section 201(d), to the Secretary of Energy prior to construction, or prior to operation as to base load powerplant, that such powerplant has the capability to use coal or another alternate fuel. Such certification establishes compliance with section 201(a) as of the date it is filed with the Secretary. The Secretary is required to publish in the **Federal Register** a notice reciting that the certification has been filed. One owner and operator of a proposed new electric base load powerplant has filed a self certification in accordance with section 201(d).

Further information is provided in the section below.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The following company has filed a self certification:

Name	Date received	Type of facility	Megawatt capacity	Location
First Energy Associates, Needham, MA	06–08–89	Combined Cycle Cogen.	65.4	Orange, CT.

Amendments to the FUA on May 21, 1987, (Pub. L. 100–42) altered the general prohibitions to include only new electric base load powerplants and to provide for the self certification procedure.

Issued in Washington, DC on June 27, 1989.

J. Allen Wampler,

Assistant Secretary, Fossil Energy.

[FR Doc. 89–15739 Filed 7–3–89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450–01–M

[Docket No. FE C&E 89–12; Certification Notice–38]

Puget Sound Power & Light; Filing Certification of Compliance; Coal Capability of New Electric Powerplant

AGENCY: Office of Fossil Energy, DOE.

ACTION: Notice of filing.

SUMMARY: Title II of the Powerplant and Industrial Fuel Use Act of 1978, as amended ("FUA" or "the Act") (42 U.S.C. 8301 et seq.), provides that no new electric powerplant may be constructed or operated as a base load powerplant without the capability to use coal or another alternate fuel as a primary energy source (section 201(a), 42 U.S.C. 8311(a), Supp. V. 1987). In order to meet the requirement of coal capability, the owner or operator of any new electric powerplant to be operated as a base load powerplant proposing to use natural gas or petroleum as its primary energy source may certify, pursuant to section 201(d), to the Secretary of Energy prior to construction, or prior to operation as to base load powerplant, that such powerplant has the capability

to use coal or another alternate fuel. Such certification establishes compliance with section 201(a) as of the date it is filed with the Secretary. The Secretary is required to publish in the Federal Register a notice reciting that the certification has been filed. Three owners and operators of proposed new electric base load powerplants have filed self certifications in accordance with section 201(d).

Further information is provided in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section below.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The following company has filed self certifications:

Name	Date received	Type of facility	Mega-watt capacity	Location
Puget Sound Power and Light, Bellevue, WA	May 24, 1989	Simple cycle cogen.	162	Whitehorn, Whatcom County, WA.[1]
Do	do	do	162	Frederickson, port of Tacoma, Pierce County, WA.[1]
Do	do	do	207.52	Fredonia, Skagit County, Industrial Park, Burlington, WA.[1]

[1] Puget Sound Power & Light Company filed for and received exemptions for Whitehorn, two units (45 FR 65277), Frederickson, two units (45 FR 27520) and Fredonia, two units (47 FR 28734) as peakload powerplants. This Certification is to enable Puget to operate the same units as base load powerplants.

Amendments to the FUA on May 21, 1987 (Pub. L. 100–42), altered the general prohibitions to include only new electric base load powerplants and to provide for the self certification procedure.

Issued in Washington, DC, on June 27, 1989.

J. Allen Wampler,

Assistant Secretary, Fossil Energy.

[FR Doc. 89–15740 Filed 7–3–89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450–01–M

[Docket No. PP–91]

Application by Puget Sound Power & Light Company for a Presidential Permit

AGENCY: Office of Fossil Energy, Department of Energy.

ACTION: Notice of application by Puget Sound Power & Light Company for a presidential permit to construct, connect, operate and maintain electric transmission facilities at the international border between the United States and Canada.

SUMMARY: Puget Sound Power & Light Company (Puget Power) has applied to the Office of Fuels Programs (OFP), Fossil Energy, for a Presidential permit to construct, connect, operate and maintain electric transmission facilities at the international border between the United States and Canada. This application is contained in the OFP Docket No. PP–91. Puget Power is proposing to construct two 230-kilovolt (kV), overhead transmission lines which would cross the U.S.-Canadian international border near Lynden, Washington, and extend approximately 23 miles through the State of Washington. One line would terminate at Puget Power's existing Bellingham substation; the second line would continue two miles south of the Bellingham substation and interconnect with existing Puget Power transmission lines.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Ellen Russell, Office of Fuels Programs (FE–52), Fossil Energy, Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20585, (202) 586–9624.

Lise Courtney M. Howe, Office of General Counsel (GC–41), Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20585, (202) 586–2900.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On May 31, 1989, Puget Power applied to the Office of Fuels Programs, Fossil Energy, pursuant to Executive Order 10485, as amended by Executive Order 12038, for a Presidential permit to construct, connect, operate and maintain electric transmission facilities at the international border between the U.S. and Canada. Puget Power's proposed project is scheduled to be placed in service on December 31, 1992, and would consist of the construction of two 23-mile, 230-kV, overhead electric transmission lines which would cross the U.S.-Canadian border near Lynden, Washington. One line would terminate at the existing Bellingham, Washington, substation, the second line would interconnect with existing Puget Power transmission lines two miles south of the Bellingham substation. The proposed facilities would interconnect at the U.S.-Canadian border with similar facilities to be constructed by the British Columbia Hydro and Power Authority (B.C. Hydro).

In order to construct the proposed facilities, Puget Power must acquire approximately 16 miles of 160-foot wide right-of-way to accommodate a double row of wooden "H-frame" support structures. The additional seven miles will be supported by single wood poles utilizing both existing public and private rights-of-way and rights-of-way yet to be purchased.

According to the applicant, the proposed interconnection is intended to relieve local transmission deficiencies and provide a reliable electric system under both normal and peak loading conditions during all seasons. Puget Power also asserts that the proposed intertie would provide a new path for power transactions between B.C. Hydro and Puget Power, thus reducing the need for and the impact of new generation resources.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest this application for a Presidential permit should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Office of Fuels Programs, Office of Fossil Energy, Room 3H–087, Forrestal Building, 1000 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC 20585, in accordance with §§ 385.211 or 385.214 of the Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211, 385.214).

Any such petitions and protests should be filed with the DOE on or before August 4, 1989. An additional copy of such petitions to intervene or protests also should be filed with:

W.J. Finnegan, Vice President, Engineering, Puget Sound Power & Light Company, P.O. Box 97034, OBC–15, Bellevue, Washington 98009–9734.

Perkins Coie, One Bellevue Center, Suite 1800, 411 108th Avenue NE., Bellevue, Washington 98004, Attention: William S. Weaver, Markham A. Quehrn.

Pursuant to 18 CFR 385.211, protests and comments will be considered by the DOE in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene under 18 CFR 385.214. Section 385.214 requires that a petition to intervene must state, to the extent known, the position taken by the

petitioner and the petitioner's interest in sufficient factual detail to demonstrate either that the petitioner has a right to participate because it is a State Commission; that it has or represents an interest which may be directly affected by the outcome of the proceeding, including any interest as a consumer, customer, competitor, or security holder of a party to the proceeding; or that the petitioner's participation is in the public interest.

Before a Presidential permit may be issued, the environmental impacts of the proposed DOE action (i.e., granting the Presidential permit, with any conditions and limitations, or denying the permit) must be evaluated pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA). The NEPA compliance process is a cooperative, non-adversarial process involving members of the public, state governments and the Federal government. The process affords all persons interested in or potentially affected by the environmental consequences of a proposed action an opportunity to present their views, which will be considered in the preparation of the environmental documentation for the proposed action. Intervening and becoming a party to this proceeding will not create any special status for the petitioner with regard to the NEPA process. Notice of upcoming NEPA activities and information on how the public can participate in those activities will be published in the **Federal Register**, local newspapers and public libraries and/or reading rooms in the vicinity of the proposed transmission line.

Copies of this application will be made available, upon request, for public inspection and copying at the Department of Energy's Freedom of Information Room, Room 1E-190, Forrestal Building, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC, from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

Issued in Washington, DC, on June 29, 1989.

J. Allen Wampler,

Assistant Secretary, Fossil Energy.

[FR Doc. 89-15739 Filed 7-3-89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

Office of Energy Research

Health and Environmental Research Advisory Committee; Notice of Open Meeting

Under the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463, 86 Stat. 770), notice is hereby given of the following meeting:

Name: Health and Environmental Research Advisory Committee (HERAC)

Date and Time:

July 24, 1989—9:00 a.m.–5:00 p.m.
July 25, 1989—9:00 a.m.–12:00 Noon

Place: Chancellor's Conference Room (S-118), University of California, 3rd and Parnassus Avenue, San Francisco, California 94143

Contact: George D. Duda, Office of Health and Environmental Research (ER-72), Office of Energy Research, Department of Energy, Washington, DC 20545 Telephone: 301/353-3651

Purpose of the Committee: To provide advice on a continuing basis to the Secretary of the Department of Energy (DOE), through the Director of Energy Research, on the many complex scientific and technical issues that arise in the development and implementation of the Health and Environmental Reseach (HER) program.

Tentative Agenda: Briefings and discussions of:

July 24, 1989

- Review of HER Research Planning Document
- Public comment (10 minute rule)

July 25, 1989

- Report from HERAC Subcommittee on Nuclear Medicine
- Report from HERAC Subcommittee on Global Change
- New Business Discussion
- Public comment (10 minute rule)

Public Participation: The meeting is open to the public. Written statements may be filed with the Committee either before or after the meeting. Members of the public who wish to make oral statements pertaining to agenda items should contact George D. Duda at the address or telephone number listed above. Requests must be received 5 days prior to the meeting and reasonable provision will be made to include the presentation on the agenda. The Chairperson of the Committee is empowered to conduct the meeting in a fashion that will facilitate the orderly conduct of business.

Transcripts: The transcript of the meeting will be available for public review and copying at the Freedom of Information Public Reading Room, 1E-190, Forrestal Building, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC, between 9:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

Issued at Washington, DC on June 29, 1989.

J. Robert Franklin,

Deputy Advisory Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 89-15741 Filed 7-3-89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket Nos. CP89-1676-000, et al.]

Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Co., et al; Natural Gas Certificate Filings

June 27, 1989.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company

[Docket No. CP89-1676-000]

Take notice that on June 22, 1989, Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company (Panhandle), P.O. Box 1642, Houston, Texas 77251-1642, filed in Docket No. CP89-1676-000 a request pursuant to § 157.205 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) for authorization to provide an interruptible transportation service for Entrade Corporation (Entrade), a marketer, under the blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP86-585-000, pursuant to section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Panhandle states that pursuant to a transportation agreement dated May 9, 1989, under its Rate Schedule PT, it proposes to transport up to 300,000 dekatherms (dt) per day equivalent of natural gas for Entrade. Panhandle states that it would transport the gas from various receipt points on its system and deliver such gas, less fuel used and unaccounted for line loss, to Haven Pool in Reno County, Kansas.

Panhandle advises that service under § 284.223(a) commenced May 11, 1989, as reported in Docket No. ST89-3700. Panhandle further advises that it would transport 100,000 dt on an average day and 36,500,000 dt annually.

Comment date: August 11, 1989, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

2. Texas Gas Transmission Corporation

[Docket No. CP89-1665-000]

Take notice that on June 20, 1989, Texas Gas Transmission Corporation (Texas Gas), 3800 Frederica Street, Owensboro, Kentucky 42301, filed in Docket No. CP89-1665-000 a request pursuant to § 157.205 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) for authorization to transport natural gas on behalf of Union Pacific Resources Company (Union Pacific), under its blanket authorization issued in Docket No. CP88-686-000 pursuant to section 7

of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Texas Gas would perform the proposed interruptible transportation service for Union Pacific, pursuant to a gas transportation agreement dated November 2, 1988. The term of the transportation agreement is from the date of execution by Union Pacific and shall continue in effect month-to-month thereafter, unless terminated upon 30 days written notice by either party. Texas Gas proposes to transport on a peak day up to 36,600 MMBtu; on an average day up to 36,600 MMBtu; and on an annual basis 13,359,000 MMBtu for Union Pacific. Texas Gas proposes to receive the subject gas from exiting points of receipt in the High Island Area, offshore Texas, for transportation and redelivery for Union Pacific's account at an existing point of delivery also in the High Island Area, offshore Texas. The proposed rate to be charged is contained in Texas Gas' currently effective T rate schedule. It is stated that the ultimate recipient of the gas is Memphis Light, Gas and Water. It is further stated that the proposed transportation is being rendered through the use of Texas Gas' existing facilities.

It is explained that the proposed service is currently being performed pursuant to the 120-day self-implementing provision of § 284.223(a)(1) of the Commission's Regulations. Texas Gas commenced such self-implementing service on May 10, 1989, as reported in Docket No. ST89–3597–000.

Comment date. August 11, 1989, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

3. Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company

[Docket No. CP89–1653–000]

Take notice that on June 19, 1989, Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company (Tennessee), P.O. Box 2511, Houston, Texas 77252, filed in Docket No. CP89–1653–000 a request pursuant to § 157.205 of the Commission's Regulations for authorization to provide transportation service on behalf of Ball-Incon Glass Packaging Corp. (Ball-Incon), an end user of natural gas, under Tennessee's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP87–115–000, pursuant to section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Tennessee requests authorization to transport, on an interruptible basis, up to a maximum of 2,400 dekatherms of natural gas per day for Ball-Incon from a receipt point located in High Island Block 22, Offshore Texas, to delivery points located in Pennsylvania. Tennessee anticipates transporting an annual volume of 876,000 dekatherms.

Tennessee states that the transportation of natural gas for Ball-Incon commenced May 1, 1989, as reported in Docket No. ST89–3668–000, for a 120-day period pursuant to § 284.223(a) of the Commission's Regulations and the blanket certificate issued to Tennessee in Docket No. CP87–115–000.

Comment date: August 11, 1989, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

4. Stingray Pipeline Company

[Docket No. CP89–1656–000]

Take notice that on June 19, 1989, Stingray Pipeline Company (Stingray), P.O. Box 1642, Houston, Texas 77251–1642, filed in Docket No. CP89–1656–000 a request pursuant to § 157.205 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) for authorization to provide an interruptible transportation service on behalf of Elf Aquitaine Operating, Inc. (EAO), a shipper and producer of natural gas, under the blanket certificate issued by the Commission's Order No. 509, pursuant to section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, corresponding to the rates, terms and conditions filed in Docket No. RP89–70–000, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Stingray states that it would receive the gas for EAO at various existing points of receipt in offshore Louisiana, and would redeliver the gas at existing delivery points located in Cameron Parish, Louisiana and offshore Texas.

Stingray further states that the maximum daily, average daily and annual quantities that it would transport for EAO would be 40,000 dt equivalent of natural gas, 30,000 dt equivalent of natural gas and 10,950,000 dt equivalent of natural gas, respectively.

Stingray indicates that in a filing made with the Commission in Docket No. ST89–3683, it reported that transportation service for EAO commenced on May 1, 1989 under the 120-day automatic authorization provisions of § 284.223(a).

Comment date: August 11, 1989, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

5. Stingray Pipeline Company

[Docket No. CP89–1657–000]

Take notice that on June 19, 1989, Stingray Pipeline Company (Stingray), P.O. Box 1642, Houston, Texas 77251–1641, filed in Docket No. CP89–1396–000 a request pursuant to § 157.205 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) for authorization to transport natural gas for Sun Operating Limited Partnership (Sun), a shipper, under the blanket certificate issued by the Commission's Order No. 509, pursuant to section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, corresponding to the rates, terms and conditions filed in Docket No. RP89–70–000, all as more fully set forth in the request that is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Stingray states that pursuant to a Transportation Agreement dated May 1, 1989 between Stingray and Sun (Transportation Agreement), it proposes to transport up to 12,500 dt per day on a firm basis on behalf of Sun. The Transportation Agreement provides for Stingray to receive gas from Sun, Anadarko, and Diamond Shamrock sources located offshore Louisiana and existing optional interruptible points of receipt. Stingray will then transport and redeliver subject gas, less fuel used and unaccounted for line loss, to Holly Beach and OXY–NGL plant located in Cameron Parish, Louisiana and Stingray-HIOS Exchange (EHI–A330) located offshore Texas.

Stingray advises that service under § 284.223(a) commenced May 1, 1989, as reported in Docket No. ST89–3682. Stingray further states that it would transport on an average day 12,500 dt and 4,562,500 dt annually.

Comment date: August 11, 1989, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

6. Texas Gas Transmission Corporation

[Docket No. CP89–1666–000]

Take notice that on June 20, 1989, Texas Gas Transmission Corporation (Texas Gas), 3800 Frederica Street, Owensboro, Kentucky 42301, filed in Docket No. CP89–1666–000 a request pursuant to §§ 157.205 and 284.223 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act for authorization to provide an interruptible transportation service for Enron Gas Marketing, Inc. (Enron Marketing) under its blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP88–686–000 pursuant to section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Texas Gas states that it would receive the gas for Enron Marketing at existing points of receipt in offshore Louisiana, offshore Texas, Louisiana, Texas, Arkansas, Indiana, Kentucky,

Tennessee, Illinois and Ohio, and would redeliver the gas for Enron Marketing at existing interconnections located in Mississippi.

Texas Gas further states that the maximum daily, average daily and annual quantities that it would transport for Enron Marketing would be 250,000 MMBtu equivalent of natural gas, 50,000 MMBtu equivalent of natural gas and 18,250,000 MMBtu equivalent of natural gas, respectively.

Texas Gas indicates that in a filing made with the Commission in Docket No. ST89-3549, it reported that transportation service for Enron Marketing commenced on May 5, 1989 under the 120-day automatic authorization provisions of § 284.223(a).

Comment date: August 11, 1989, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

7. Texas Gas Transmission Corporation

[Docket No. CP89-1667-000]

Take notice that on June 20, 1989, Texas Gas Transmission Corporation (Texas Gas), 3800 Frederica Street, Owensboro, Kentucky 42301, filed in Docket No. CP89-1667-000 a request pursuant to § 157.205 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) for authorization to provide an interruptible transportation service for Xebec Gas Company (Xebec), under Texas Gas' blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP88-686-000, pursuant to section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Pursuant to a gas transportation agreement dated January 4, 1989, Texas Gas requests authorization to transport up to 20,000 MMBtu of natural gas per day for Xebec under its IT Rate Schedule. Texas Gas states that the agreement provides for it to receive the gas at various existing points of receipt along its system and deliver the gas to various existing points of delivery located in Ohio and Kentucky. Xebec estimates that the average day and annual transportation quantities would be 300 MMBtu and 110,000 MMBtu, respectively. Texas Gas advises that the service commenced April 29, 1989, as reported in Docket No. ST89-360-000, under § 284.223(a) of the Commission's Regulations.

Comment date: August 11, 1989, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

8. Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company

[Docket No. CP89-1671-000]

Take notice that on June 21, 1989, Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company (Tennessee), P.O. Box 2511, Houston, Texas 77252, filed in Docket No. CP89-1671-000 a request pursuant to § 284.223 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act for authorization to transport natural gas under the blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP87-115-000 pursuant to section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Tennessee proposes to transport natural gas on an interruptible basis for Natural Gas Clearinghouse, Inc. (NGC), a marketer. Tennessee explains that service commenced May 1, 1989, under § 284.223(a) of the Commission's Regulations, as reported in Docket No. ST89-3667. Tennessee further explains that the peak day quantity would be 100,000 dekatherms, the average daily quantity would be 100,000 dekatherms, and that the annual quantity would be 36,500,000 dekatherms. Tennessee explains that it would receive natural gas for the account of NGC at a point of receipt located Offshore Louisiana. Tennessee states that the point of delivery is the State of Illinois.

Comment date: August 11, 1989, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

9. Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company

[Docket No. CP89-1670-000]

Take notice that on June 21, 1989, Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company (Panhandle), P.O. Box 1642, Houston, Texas 77251-1642, filed in docket No. CP89-1670-000 a request pursuant to §§ 157.205 and 284.223 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) and the Natural Gas Policy Act (18 CFR 284.223) for authorization to transport natural gas for Citizens Gas Supply Corporation (Citizens), a shipper and marketer of natural gas, under Panhandle's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP86-585-000 pursuant to section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Panhandle proposes to transport up to 200,000 dekatherms (dkt) of natural gas equivalent per day on an interruptible basis on behalf of Citizens pursuant to a transportation agreement dated March 31, 1989, between Panhandle and Citizens. Panhandle would receive the gas at various existing points of receipt on its system in Texas, Oklahoma, Kansas and Colorado and deliver equivalent volumes, less fuel used and unaccounted for line loss, to Haven Pool in Reno County, Kansas.

Panhandle states that the estimated daily and annual quantities would be 100,000 dkt and 36,500,000 dkt, respectively. Service under § 284.223(a) commenced on May 2, 1989, as reported in Docket No. ST89-3649-000.

Comment date: August 11, 1989, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

10. Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company

[Docket No. CP89-1637-000]

Take notice that on June 15, 1989, Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company (Panhandle), P.O. Box 1642, Houston, Texas 77251-1642, filed in Docket No. CP89-1637-000 a request, as supplemented on June 21, 1989, pursuant to § 284.223 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 284.223) for authority to provide firm transportation service for Archer Daniels Midland Company (ADM), a end-user of natural gas, under Panhandle's blanket transportation certificate authority issued November 20, 1987, in Docket No. CP86-585-000, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Panhandle states that pursuant to a transportation agreement dated May 1, 1989, it proposes to transport on a firm basis up to 10,000 dt equivalent of natural gas per peak day for ADM from specified receipt points located in Moore County, Texas, and Beckham County, Oklahoma and deliver the gas for the account of ADM in Tazewell County, Illinois. Panhandle also states that ADM may nominate quantities of gas from interruptible points of receipt on Panhandle's system as long as the sum of the volumes nominated from such interruptible points together with the sum of the quantities nominated from firm points of receipt shall not exceed the contract quantity of the transportation agreement for service under Rate Schedule PT. Panhandle anticipates transportating for Reed on a peak and average day 10,000 dt equivalent of natural gas and approximately 3,650,000 dt equivalent of natural gas on an annual basis.

Panhandle states that on May 1, 1989, it commenced the transportation of gas for ADM under the 120-day automatic authorization of § 284.223(a) of the Commission's Regulations. Panhandle notified the Commission of the

transportation service in Docket No. ST89–3785–000.

Comment date: August 11, 1989, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

11. Texas Gas Transmission Corporation

[Docket No. CP89–1663–000]

Take notice that on June 20, 1989, Texas Gas Transmission Corporation (TGT), 3800 Frederica Street, Owensboro, Kentucky 42301, filed in Docket No. CP89–1663–000 a request pursuant to §§ 157.205 and 284.223 (18 C.F.R. 157.205 and 284.223) of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act for authority to provide interruptible transportation service for Reed Minerals, a Division of Harsco Corporation (Reed), under Texas Gas' blanket transportation certificate issued by the Commission on September 15, 1988, in Docket No. CP88–686–000, all as more fully set forth in the request which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

TGT will receive the gas principally from various sources in the offshore areas of Texas and Louisiana and the states of Louisiana, Arkansas, Illinois and Kentucky for delivery for the account of Reed at various points in the state of Kentucky.

TGT proposes to transport on an interruptible basis up to 1,000 MMBtu of gas on a peak day, approximately 500 MMBtu of gas on an average day and an estimated 180,000 MMBtu of gas annually. TGT states the transportation service commenced under the 120-day automatic authorization of § 284.223(a) of the Commission's Regulations on May 9, 1989, pursuant to a transportation agreement dated March 9, 1989. TGT notified the Commission of the commencement of the transportation service in Docket No. ST89–3598–000.

Comment date: August 11, 1989, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

12. Northwest Pipeline Corporation

[Docket No. CP89–1672–000]

Take notice that on June 21, 1989, Northwest Pipeline Corporation (Northwest), P.O. Box 8900, Salt Lake City, Utah 84108–0899, filed in Docket No. CP89–1672–000 a request pursuant to §§ 157.205 and 284.223 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205 and 284.223) for authorization to provide an interruptible transportation service for Bonneville Fuels Corporation (Bonneville), a producer, under Northwest's blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP86–578–000 pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Northwest states that pursuant to an agreement dated November 7, 1988, as amended on November 7, 1988, and March 15, 1989, it proposes to transport up to 500 million Btu of natural gas per day for Bonneville on an interruptible basis. Northwest indicates that it would receive the gas at specified point on its system located in Garfield County, Colorado and Grand County, Utah, and redeliver the gas at the Rifle Yard delivery point with Questar Pipeline Company located in Garfield County, Colorado and to the Grand Valley delivery point in Grand County, Utah.

Northwest also states that no construction of facilities would be required to provide this service. Northwest further states that the maximum day, average day, annual volume would be 500 million Btu, 100 million Btu, and 36,500 million Btu, respectively. Nothwest indicates that it would charge the rates and abide by the terms and conditions set forth in its Rate Schedule TI–1.

Northwest indicates that it would provide the service on a month to month basis until terminated on thirty day's written notice to the other party. Northwest advises that service under ¶ 284.223(a) of the Commission's Regulations commenced on April 29, 1989, as reported in Docket No. ST89–3820–000.

Comment date: August 11, 1989, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

13. Texas Gas Transmission Corporation

[Docket No. CP89–1664–000]

Take notice that on June 20, 1989, Texas Gas Transmission Corporation (Texas Gas) , 3800 Frederica Street, Owensboro, Kentucky 42301, filed in Docket No. CP89–1664–000 an application pursuant to § 157.205 of the Commission's Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) for authorization to transport natural gas on behalf of Phibro Distributors Corporation (Phibro Distributors), under Texas Gas' blanket certificate issued in Docket No. CP88–686–000 pursuant to section 7 of the Natural Gas Act, all as more fully set forth in the request which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Texas Gas proposes to transport, on an interruptible basis, up to 56,000 MMBtu per day for Phibro Distributors. Texas Gas states that construction of facilities would not be required to provide the proposed service.

Texas Gas further states that the maximum day, average day, and annual transportation volumes would be approximately 56,000 MMBtu, 10,000 MMBtu and 3,650,000 MMBtu respectively.

Texas Gas advises that service under § 284.223(a) commenced May 11, 1989, as reported in Docket No. ST89–3596.

Comment date: August 11, 1989, in accordance with Standard Paragraph G at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraph

G. Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after the issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to § 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefore, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 89–15643 Filed 7–3–89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Docket No. TQ89–2–26–001]

Natural Gas Pipeline Co. of America; Filing

June 28, 1989.

Take notice that on June 23, 1989, Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America (Natural) filed Twenty-second Revised Sheet No. 5B and Twenty-third Revised Sheet No. 5C to its FERC Gas Tariff, Third Revised Volume No. 1, to be effective June 1, 1989.

Natural states that this filing is in response to a Commission letter order of May 31, 1989. Natural states that these tariff sheets reflect the corrected pagination and the correct posting of the "estimated average cost of gas in last scheduled PGA" on Sheet No. 5C.

Natural states that it inadvertently included $153,000 of fixed cost charges paid to Cimarron in the original filing and requests the Commission to allow Natural to recoup the costs as filed and pass back any overcollections resulting from the inclusion of this charge in its

rates through the normal operation of its deferred account.

Natural states that a copy of this filing is being mailed to its jurisdictional customers and interested state regulatory agencies.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 214 and 211 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214, 385.211 (1988)). A11 such protests should be filed on or before July 6, 1989. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Persons that are already parties to this proceeding need not file a motion to intervene in this matter. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 89-15639 Filed 7-3-89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP88-136-007]

Texas Eastern Transmission Corp.; Petition to Amend

June 27, 1989.

Take notice that on June 26, 1989, Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation, P.O. Box 2521, Houston, Texas 77252, (Texas Eastern) filed in Docket No. CP88-136-007 a petition to amend the order issuing a certificate of public convenience and necessity pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) in Docket No. CP88-136-000, authorizing the implementation of a transportation assignment program for Texas Eastern's FT-1 Shippers, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

It is stated that Texas Eastern's petition would authorize Texas Eastern to implement a transportation assignment program for Texas Eastern's existing and future FT-1 Shippers pursuant to the provisions of a new proposed Section 16 of Rate Schedule FT-1. Texas Eastern proposes to allow FT-1 Shippers to assign their firm transportation service pursuant to Rate Schedule FT-1 to third parties (Assignees), provided such shippers submit a notice in writing (Transportation Assignment Notice) to Texas Eastern indicating their desire to engage in the assignment of transportation service pursuant to a new proposed Section 16.

It is further stated that under Texas Eastern's transportation assignment proposal, FT-1 Shippers would have the right to assign on any day all or a portion of their rights to tender gas for transportation under shipper's FT-1 service agreement to Assignees subject to the following conditions:

(1) FT-1 Shippers agree that they will comply with the terms and conditions of Texas Eastern's transportation assignment certificate of public convenience and necessity as issued by the Commission as a result of this petition;

(2) Regardless of the extent to which gas tendered for transportation pursuant to an FT-1 Shipper's service agreement is owned by Assignees, the FT-1 Shippers agree to be responsible to Texas Eastern for compliance with all terms and conditions of the FT-1 service agreement, for balancing receipts and deliveries of gas, and for all costs and paying all rates, charges, penalties and fees for transportation service rendered pursuant to Shippers' FT-1 service agreement;

(3) FT-1 Shippers warrant that they or their Assignees will have good title to all the gas delivered to Texas Eastern hereunder free and clear of all liens, encumbrances, and claims whatsoever. FT-1 Shippers agree to indemnify and hold harmless Texas Eastern against any loss or cost incurred by Texas Eastern on account of such liens, encumbrances and claims whatsoever; and

(4) FT-1 Shippers agree to notify Texas Eastern, when scheduling transportation service pursuant to Section 27 of Texas Eastern's General Terms and Conditions, of the quantity of gas to be transported on any day which is owned by the FT-1 Shippers and the quantity of gas which is owned by the Assignees. FT-1 Shippers shall not be obligated to notify Texas Eastern of the actual identity of the Assignees.

Texas Eastern states that Assignees of FT-1 transportation rights of Algonquin Gas Transmission Company, Carnegie Natural Gas Company, Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation, CNG Transmission Corporation, Equitrans, Inc., National Fuel Gas Supply Corporation and Texas Gas Transmission Corporation (Pipeline FT-1 Shippers) would be authorized to reassign the FT-1 transportation rights to other third parties, which third parties may not reassign the FT-1 transportation rights. It is stated that Assignees of transportation rights from FT-1 Shippers other than Pipeline FT-1 Shippers would not be authorized or permitted to reassign the FT-1 transportation to other third parties. The stated maximum rate that could be charged for any assigned FT-1 transportation service could not exceed the as-billed rates charged by Texas Eastern for such transportation.

Texas Eastern states that upon approval of this petition and the revised tariff sheets which Texas Eastern will subsequently file, the submittal of the Transportation Assignment Notice would constitute the sole authorization required by a FT-1 Shipper who is not a "natural gas company" otherwise subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission. Texas Eastern states that FT-1 Shippers who are currently "natural gas companies" subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission would be required to obtain, in addition to the certificate authorization requested herein, (1) a blanket transportation certificate pursuant to Subpart G of Part 284 of the Commission's Regulations, and (2) Commission approval of the tariff provisions pursuant to which the companies would assign their FT-1 transportation service.

Texas Eastern proposes to file with the Commission a report for the twelve month contract year ending October 31 of the prior year based upon the information provided to Texas Eastern by the FT-1 Shipper setting forth:

(a) The name and address of the FT-1 Shippers who participated in the transportation assignment program during said contract year;

(b) The corporate affiliation between Texas Eastern and the FT-1 Shipper participating in the transportation assignment program during said contract year; and

(c) A statement for each FT-1 Shipper of the monthly quantities of gas scheduled for third parties other than the FT-1 Shipper during said contract year.

Texas Eastern stated that the petition is filed as a direct result of the Commission's statements in its April 21, 1989 order in *Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation*, Docket Nos. RP85-177-057 and CP88-136-002. Texas Eastern states that its transportation assignment program is a non-discriminatory proposal which would provide FT-1 Shippers greater flexibility and grant downstream pipelines and local distribution companies the ability to share the benefits of the FT-1 agreements with their customers. Further, increased availability of firm transportation should result in increased competition, which would benefit FT-1 Shippers and all indirect customers of Texas Eastern. Texas Eastern states that

its assignment proposal is consistent with the Commission's authorization of assignment rights for Mojave Pipeline Company, _____ F.E.R.C. (CCH) _____ (May 8, 1989) and Wyoming-California Pipeline Company, 45 F.E.R.C. (CCH) ¶61,234 (November 14, 1988).

Texas Eastern states that its transportation assignment program would provide the Commission with data that could be useful in evaluating transportation assignment.

Texas Eastern further requests that the Commission expeditiously consider and grant this petition to permit Texas Eastern and its FT-1 Shippers to enjoy the benefits of the program during this summer transportation season. Promptly following Commission approval of this petition on terms and conditions acceptable to Texas Eastern, Texas Eastern will file the tariff sheets necessary to implement this application. Such tariff sheets will have a requested effective date of the first day following Texas Eastern's acceptance of any certificate issued to Texas Eastern as a result of this petition.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said petition to amend should on or before July 12, 1989, file With the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 89-15642 Filed 7-3-89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP88-92-018]

United Gas Pipe Line Co.; Filing

June 28, 1989.

Take notice that on June 21, 1989, United Gas Pipe Line Company (United) filed certain revised tariff sheets to its FERC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1, to be effective July 20, 1989.

United states that on June 13, 1989, it filed certain tariff sheets with the Commission reflecting rates and charges equal to the Base Tariff Rates originally moved into effect by United in this proceeding on September 30, 1988, as accepted by the Commission on December 8, 1988.

United states that it is revising its Motion Rate filing so that the rates and charges applicable to services performed by United on and after July 20, 1989, will better reflect the Commission's most recent decisions. United states that recent Commission decisions indicating that the MFV methodology of cost classification, allocation and rate design may be obsolete, and questioning the continued appropriateness of MFV and two-part demand charges, justify revising the June 13 filing to incorporate one-part demand charges based on customer contract levels. United states that for the period June 20 through July 19, 1989, it will continue to charge and collect the Interim Settlement Rates. United requests that the Commission waive any and all otherwise applicable rules and regulations to permit this filing to become effected as requested.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 214 and 211 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214, 385.211 (1988)). All such motions or protests filed on or before July 6, 1989. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding.

Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 89-15640 Filed 7-3-89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. FA87-63-001]

Virginia Electric and Power Co.; Filing

June 28, 1989.

Take notice that on November 3, 1988, Virginia Electric and Power Company (VEPCO) tendered for filing its compliance refund report in compliance with the Commission's orders issued on April 20, 1988 and July 22, 1988 in the above-referenced proceeding.

The report consists of a calculation of the interim storage components of the fuel factor, plus interest, for the period April 24, 1984, through the date of refund.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211, 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before July 7, 1989. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 89-15641 Filed 7-3-89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

Office of Hearings and Appeals

Cases Filed During the Week of May 19 Through May 26, 1989

During the week of May 19 through May 26, 1989, the appeals and applications for other relief listed in the Appendix to this Notice were filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals of the Department of Energy.

Under DOE procedural regulations, 10 CFR Part 205, any person who will be aggrieved by the DOE action sought in these cases may file written comments on the application within ten days of service of notice, as prescribed in the procedural regulations. For purposes of the regulations, the date of service of notice is deemed to be the date of publication of this Notice or the date of receipt by an aggrieved person of actual notice, whichever occurs first. All such comments shall be filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals, Department of Energy, Washington, DC 20585.

George B. Breznay,

Director, Office of Hearings and Appeals.

June 27, 1989.

LIST OF CASES RECEIVED BY THE OFFICE OF HEARINGS AND APPEALS

(Week of May 19 through May 26, 1989)

Date	Name and location of applicant	Case No.	Type of submission
May 25, 1989	Davis Wright & Jones, Seattle, WA	KFA-0290	Appeal of an information request denial. If Granted: The May 11, 1989 Freedom of Information request denial issued by the Idaho Operations Office would be rescinded, and Davis Wright & Jones would receive access to certain DOE information.
Do.	Diamond Gas & Fuel Co., Denver, CO	RR272-35	Request for modification/rescission. If Granted: The May 4, 1989 decision and order (Case No. RF272-23537) issued to Diamond Gas & Fuel Company would be modified regarding the firm's application submitted in the crude oil refund proceeding.

REFUND APPLICATIONS RECEIVED

Date received	Name of refund proceeding/Name of refund application	Case No.
May 19, 1989 thru May 26, 1989.	Crude oil refund; applications received	RF272-75489 thru RF272-75498.
Do.	Atlantic Richfield refund; applications received	RF304-9299 thru RF304-9313.
Do.	Exxon refund; applications received	RF307-9958 thru RF307-9961.
Do.	Shell refund; applications received	RF315-5914 thru RF315-5981.

Name of Firm	Case No.	Received
E.R. Warren Co., Inc.	RF264-18	Sept. 12, 1986.
Wantagh Crown Service Station	RF313-154	May 23, 1989.
Payless Oil	RF311-9	Do.
Northwest Service Station	RF309-1352	Do.
Bob Truck Stop	RF300-10820	May 24, 1989.
Space Petroleum and Chemical Co.	RF313-155	Do.
Acree Oil Co.	RF313-156	May 25, 1989.
John L. Thielbahr	RF313-157	Do.
Schmid's Black Gold Service	RF309-1353	Do.
Kennedy Oil Co., Inc.	RF313-158	May 26, 1989.
Bellemeade Spur	RF309-1354	Do.
Spahr's Spur Service	RF309-1355	Do.
Ray's Spur	RF309-1356	Do.
Avondale Spur Service	RF309-1357	Do.

[FR Doc. 89-15762 Filed 7-3-89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

Issuance of Decisions and Orders for Week of June 5 through June 9, 1989

During the week of June 5 through June 9, 1989 the decisions and orders summarized below were issued with respect to applications for exception or other relief filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals of the Department of Energy. The following summary also contains a list of submissions that were dismissed by the Office of Hearings and Appeals.

Copies of the full text of these decisions and orders are available in the Public Reference Room of the Office of Hearings and Appeals, Room 1E-234, Forrestal Building, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20585, Monday through Friday, between the hours of 1:00 p.m. and 5:00 p.m., except federal holidays. They are also available in *Energy Management: Federal Energy Guidelines*, a commercially published loose leaf reporter system.

George B. Breznay,

Director, Office of Hearings and Appeals

June 27, 1989.

Osan Petroleum Company, Inc., 6/6/89, KEE-0169

Osan Petroleum Company, Inc. filed an Application for Exception from the requirement that it file Form EIA-782B, entitled "Reseller/Retailers' Monthly Petroleum Product Sales Report." In considering the application, the DOE found that the firm's reporting burden was not significantly different from that of other firms participating in the EIA-782B survey, and that the firm failed to meet the standards for exception relief established in prior reporting requirements cases. Accordingly, exception relief was denied.

Refund Applications

Atlantic Richfield Company/Inter Harvest, Inc., et al., 6/9/89, RF304-1834, et al.

The DOE issued a Decision and Order concerning eight Applications for Refund filed by Inter Harvest, Inc. in the Atlantic Richfield Company (ARCO) special refund proceeding. As an end user of ARCO products, the firm is presumed to have been injured by ARCO's alleged overcharges. After examining the applications and supporting documentation, the DOE determined that Inter Harvest should receive refunds totaling $6,757, representing $5,189 in principal and $1,568 in interest.

Atlantic Richfield Company/Lea's Butane Service, et al., 6/5/89, RF304-3068, et al.

The DOE issued a Decision and Order concerning sixty Applications for Refund in the Atlantic Richfield Company special refund proceeding. All of the applicants were either end-users or reseller/retailers that applied for small claims or mid-level presumption refunds. In addition, each applicant documented the volume of its purchases

from ARCO and, therefore, was presumed to have been injured and entitled to a refund. The DOE concluded that the applicants should receive refunds totalling $62,907, representing $48,486 in principal and $14,486 in accrued interest.

City of Bridgeport et al., 6/7/89, RF272–106452, et al.

The DOE issued a Decision and Order granting refunds from crude oil overcharge funds to 17 claimants based on their respective purchases of refined petroleum products during the period August 19, 1973 through January 27, 1981. Each applicant demonstrated the volume of its claim either by consulting actual records or by using a reasonable estimate of its purchases. Each applicant was an end-user of the products it claimed and was therefore presumed injured by the DOE. The sum of the refunds granted in this Decision is $232,564.

Crown Central Petroleum Corporation/ Lucky Petroleum Company, et al. 6/8/89, RF313–88, et al.

The DOE issued a Decision and Order considering applications filed by eight purchasers of Crown refined petroleum products in the Crown Central Petrolum Corporation special refund proceeding. Each applicant was found to be eligible for a refund based on the volume of products it purchased from Crown. The refund applications were granted using a presumption of injury procedure set forth in *Crown Central Petroleum Corp.*, 18 DOE ¶ 85,326 (1988). The total amount of refunds approved in this Decision was $64,136, representing $54,724 in principal and $9,412 in accrued interest.

Exxon Corporaton/Allegheny Solvents & Chemical Co. et al. 6/8/89, RF307–5900, et al.

The Department of Energy issued a Decision and Order in the Exxon Corporation special refund proceeding concerning 15 Applications for Refund filed by wholesale distributors of Exxon petroleum products that were all wholly-owned subsidiaires of CHEMCENTRAL Corporation. Because the applicants were affiliated, the DOE considered their applications together and arrived at a combined allocable share of $8,827. Since the applicants elected to receive a presumption of injury refund, they were entitled to receive a total refund of either 40% of the allocable share or $5,000, whichever was greater. In the present case, $5,000 was greater. However, since another CHEMCENTRAL affiliate had already received a refund of $191, only $4,809 in principal was granted to the applicants in this case. Accordingly, refunds totalling $5,684 ($4,809 principal and $875 interest) were granted to these applicants on a volumetrically pro rated basis.

Exxon Corporaton/Dwight Chandler et al. 6/8/89, RF307–141, et al.

The DOE issued a Decision and Order concerning 51 Applications for Refund filed in the Exxon Corporation special refund proceeding. Each of the applicants purchased directly from Exxon and was either a reseller whole allocable share is less than $5,000 or en end-user of Exxon products. The DOE determined that each applicant was eligible to receive a refund equal to its full allocable share. The sum of the refunds granted in this Decision is $35,942 ($29,942 principal plus $5,681 interest).

Exxon Corporation/Fehring's Exxon Service Station, et al., 6/5/89, RF307–223 et al.

The DOE issued a Decision and Order concerning 13 Applications for Refund filed in the Exxon Corporation special refund proceeding. Each of the Applicants purchased directly from Exxon and was either a reseller whose allocable share is less than $5,000 or an end-user of Exxon products. The DOE determined that each applicant was eligible to receive a refund equal to its full allocable share. The sum of the refunds granted in this Decision is $10,498 ($8,824 principal plus $1,674 interest.)

Exxon Corporation/Leonard H. Arridson et al., 6/8/89, RF300–5920 et al.

The DOE issued a Decision and Order concerning 45 Applications for Refund filed in the Exxon Corporation special refund proceeding. Each of the Applicants purchased products directly from Exxon and was either a reseller whose allocable share is less than $5,000 or an end-user of Exxon products. The DOE determined that each applicant was eligible to receive a refund equal to its full allocable share. The sume of the refunds granted in this Decision is $34,374 ($28,891 principal plus $5,483 interest).

Georgia Kraft Company, Kimberly-Clark Corporation, 6/7/89, RF272–187, RF272–193, RF272–187, RF272–193

The DOE issued a Decision and Order granting a refund from crude oil overcharge funds to Georgia Kraft Company (Georgia Kraft) and Kimberly-Clark Corporation (Kimberly-Clark), two pulp, paper and lumber manufacturers. In reaching its determination, the DOE rejected the objections to the applicants' claims submitted by a group of States and denied the States' Motions for Discovery. The DOE held that industry-wide data in general is insufficient to rebut the presumption that end-users outside of the petroleum industry were injured by crude oil overcharges. The DOE also determined that the State's showing of sustained growth and profitability of a particular industry or firm does not rebut the end-user presumption. The total refund granted to Georgia Kraft was $111,668, while the refund granted to Kimberly-Clark was $207,612.

Gulf Oil Corporation/City Coal Co. of New London, Inc., et al., 6/9/89, RF300–5009 et al.

The DOE issued a Decision and Order concerning four Applications for Refund submitted in the Gulf Oil Corporation special refund proceeding. Each application was approved using a presumption of injury. The sum of the refunds granted in this Decision is $34,665.

Gulf Oil Corporation/Cooper Butane Company, 6/5/89, RF300–8119

The DOE issued a Decision and Order concerning an Application for Refund submitted in the Gulf Oil Corporation special refund proceeding by Cooper Butane Company. Cooper entered into an Agreement with Mallory's LP Products Inc. (Mallory's) whereby Mallory's picked up, paid for, and delivered Cooper's monthly allocation of LP-Gas from Warren Petroleum Company. Since Cooper fully reimbursed Mallory's based on Warren's prices, the OHA determined that Cooper, not Mallory's, was injured by Warren's overcharges. Cooper's application was approved under the small claims presumption of injury. The total refund granted in this Decision is $5,230.

Gulf Oil Corporation/Efrain Sanatana, et al., 6/9/89, RF300–8200, et al.

The DOE issued a Decision and Order concerning 24 Applications for Refund submitted in the Gulf Oil Corporation special refund proceeding. Each application was approved using a presumption of injury. The sum of the refunds granted in this Decision is $56,967.

Gulf Oil Corporation/G.C. Chambers, et al., 6/7/89, RF300–3210, et al.

The DOE issued a Decision and Order concerning 13 Applications for Refund submitted in the Gulf Oil Corporation special refund proceeding. Each application was approved using a presumption of injury. The sum of the

refunds granted in this Decision is $85,753.

Gulf Oil Corporation/Globe Union Corp., et al., 6/8/89, RF300-767, et al.

The DOE issued a Decision and Order concerning 12 Applications for Refund submitted in the Gulf Oil Corporation special refund proceeding. Each application was approved using a presumption of injury. The sum of the refunds granted in this Decision, including accrued interest, is $61,267.

Gulf Oil Corporation/Gordon C. Varn, Inc., 6/8/89, RF300-5224

The DOE issued a Decision and Order concerning an Application for Refund submitted in the Gulf Oil Corporation special refund proceeding by Gordon C. Varn, Inc., a consignee and reseller of Gulf refined products. The applicant elected to base its refund on the appropriate presumptions of injury. The total refund granted in this Decision is $4,877.

Gulf Oil Corporation/Nelson Oil Company, Inc., 6/9/89, RF300-10825

The DOE issued a Decision concerning four Applications for Refund in the Gulf Oil Corporation special refund proceeding. *Gulf Oil Corporation/Nelson Oil Company, Inc., et al.*, 18 DOE ¶ 86,095 (1989). In that Decision, Nelson Oil Company, Inc. (Case No. RF300-4988) was granted a refund of $5,541. However, the firm should have received a refund of $6,563. In order to provide Nelson Oil Company, Inc. with its proper refund amount, the OHA approved a supplemental refund of $1,022.

Gulf Oil Corporation/Ran Enterprises, Inc., 6/7/89, RF300-6255, RF300-6256, RF300-6257

The DOE issued a Decision and Order concerning three Applications for Refund submitted in the Gulf Oil Corporation special refund proceeding by Ran Enterprises, Inc. (Ran), a retailer of Gulf refined products. Each Application was approved using a presumption of injury. The sum of the refunds granted in this Decision is $1,384.

Gulf Oil Corporation/Step-n-Fetchum, Inc., 6/7/89, RF300-5372

The DOE issued a Decision and Order concerning an application for Refund submitted by an indirect purchaser in the Gulf Oil Corporation special refund proceeding. Because the direct purchaser in this case was awarded a refund based upon a presumption of injury, the indirect purchaser's Application was approved under the same procedure as Applications filed by direct purchasers. The total refund granted in this Decision is $1,219.

Gulf Oil Corporation/Thad J. Smith, Inc., 6/8/89, RF300-5484

The DOE issued a Decision and Order concerning an Application for refund submitted by Thad J. Smith, Inc. in the Gulf Oil Corporation special refund proceeding. Smith was both a reseller and consignee of Gulf refined products during the consent order period. Smith's Application was approved utilizing a presumption of injury. The total refund granted in this Decision is $6,563.

Gulf Oil Coporation/Zatopek Oil Company, 6/8/89, RF300-5099

The DOE issued a Decision and Order concerning an Application for Refund submitted by an indirect purchaser in the Gulf Oil Corporation special refund proceeding. Because the direct purchaser in this case has been awarded a refund based upon a presumption of injury, the indirect purchaser's Application was approved under the same procedure as applications filed by direct purchasers. The total refund granted in this Decision and Order is $1,261.

Jones Land & Cattle, Inc. et al., 6/7/89, RF272-27901, et al.

The DOE issued a Decision and Order approving 46 Applications for Refund submitted in the Subpart V crude oil refund proceeding. Each applicant was an end-user of the refined products involved and was therefore presumed injured by the alleged crude oil overcharges. The sum of the refunds granted in the Decision was $50,199.

Shell Oil Company/Bill's Shell et al., 6/7/89, RF315-2200, et al.

The DOE issued a Decision and Order granting 146 Applications for Refund filed in the Shell Oil Company special refund proceeding. Each of the Applicants purchased products directly from Shell and was either a reseller whose allocable share was less than $5,000 or an end-user of Shell products. Accordingly, each applicant was granted a refund equal to its full allocable share plus a proportionate share of the interest that has accrued on the Shell escrow account. The sum of the refunds granted in the Decision was $132,525 ($114,425 principal plus $18,100 interest).

Shell Oil Company/Felton Shell Service et al., 6/8/89, RF315-4007, et al.

The DOE issued a Decision and Order granting 149 Applications for Refund filed in the Shell Oil Company special refund proceeding. Each of the Applicants purchased products directly from Shell and was either a reseller whose allocable share was less than $5,000 or an end-user of Shell products. Accordingly, each applicant was granted a refund equal to its full allocable share plus a proportionate share of the interest that has accrued on the Shell escrow account. The sum of the refunds granted in the Decision was $106,738 ($92,157 principal plus $14,581 interest).

Shell Oil Company/H. Bullukian Sons, Inc. et al., 6/7/89, RF315-2400, et al.

The DOE issued a Decision and Order granting 100 Applications for Refund filed in the Shell Oil Company special refund proceeding. Each of the Applicants purchased products directly from Shell and was either a reseller whose allocable share was less than $5,000 or an end-user of Shell products. Accordingly, each applicant was granted a refund equal to its full allocable share plus a proportionate share of the interest that has accrued on the Shell escrow account. The sum of the refunds granted in the Decision was $90,666 ($78,278 principal plus $12,388 interest).

Shell Oil Company/Hasty Service Inc. et al., 6/9/89, RF315-800, et al.

The DOE issued a Decision and Order granting 90 Applications for Refund filed in the Shell Oil Company special refund proceeding. Each of the Applicants purchased products directly from Shell and was either a reseller whose allocable share was less than $5,000 or an end-user of Shell products. Accordingly, each applicant was granted a refund equal to its full allocable share plus a proportionate share of the interest that has accrued on the Shell escrow account. The sum of the refunds granted in the Decision was $73,091 ($63,109 principal plus $9,982 interest).

Shell Oil Company/Ken's Steel, Inc. et al., 6/7/89, RF315-604, et al.

The DOE issued a Decision and Order granting 149 Applications for Refund filed in the Shell Oil Company special refund proceeding. Each of the Applicants purchased products directly from Shell and was either a reseller whose allocable share was less than $5,000 or an end-user of Shell products. Accordingly, each applicant was granted a refund equal to its full allocable share plus a proportionate share of the interest that has accrued on the Shell escrow account. The sum of the refunds granted in the Decision was $130,058 ($112,295 principal plus $17,763 interest).

Shell Oil Company/Lloyds Shell et al., 6/8/89, RF315-3000, et al.

The DOE issued a Decision and Order granting 149 Applications for Refund filed in the Shell Oil Company special refund proceeding. Each of the Applicants purchased products directly from Shell and was either a reseller whose allocable share was less than $5,000 or an end-user of Shell products. Accordingly, each applicant was granted a refund equal to its full allocable share plus a proportionate share of the interest that has accrued on the Shell escrow account. The sum of the refunds granted in the Decision was $85,372 ($73,711 principal plus $11,661 interest).

Total Petroleum/Kent Oil & Trading Co., 6/9/89, RF310–318

The DOE issued a Decision and Order concerning an Application for Refund filed by Kent Oil & Trading Company in the Total Petroleum special refund proceeding. The DOE found that as a spot purchaser of Total motor gasoline and middle distillate, Kent was not eligible to receive a refund from the Total escrow fund because it failed to rebut the presumption that it was not injured by Total's pricing practices during the consent order period. Kent's Application for Refund was therefore denied.

Upland Farmers Union Coop., Inc., 6/9/89, RF272–12539

The Department of Energy issued a Decision and Order granting an Application for Refund filed in the crude oil refund proceeding by Upland Farmers Union Coop., Inc., an agricultural cooperative. In accordance with DOE precedent, Upland was treated as an end-user with respect to those petroleum purchases that it resold to its members. Since 98 percent of Upland's petroleum product purchases during the crude oil price control period, or 6,409,200 gallons, was resold to its members, Upland was granted a refund on that purchase volume. The cooperative's refund, computed on the basis of a volumetric refund amount of $0.0008 per gallon, was $5,127.

Crude Oil End-Users

The Office of Hearings and Appeals granted crude oil overcharge refunds to end-user applicants in the following Decisions and Orders:

Name	Case No.	Date	No. of applicants	Total refund
Adeline Apts. *et al*	RF272-55560	6/9/89	156	$19,397
Alvin E. Graski *et al*	RF272-53402	6/9/89	95	9,352
Canton Municipal Utilities *et al*	RF272-57001	6/8/89	121	17,943
Elmwood Farm *et al*	RF272-56800	6/9/89	133	19,854
Fabtronics Manufacturing *et al*	RF272-51800	6/6/89	169	17,618
H. Frank Hughes *et al*	RF272-53200	6/7/89	158	15,599
Hadley-White Management Co. *et al*	RF272-53607	6/8/89	165	18,118
Harold Stahl *et al*	RF272-59002	6/9/89	120	16,433
Kenneth Newbrough *et al*	RF272-57600	6/9/89	153	15,375
Margaret Prater *et al*	RF272-57200	6/9/89	158	19,632
Pierce Realty Co. *et al*	RF272-56600	6/7/89	139	117,533
Wilbert Linsmeier *et al*	RF272-58000	6/9/89	116	15,554
Wilfred Hageman *et al*	RF272-54401	6/8/89	155	19,169
Willard W. Stucky *et al*	RF272-54200	6/9/89	125	17,012

Dismissals

The following submissions were dismissed:

Name	Case No.
Arco Truck Terminal	RF304-8400
Carpenter's Arco	RF304-8276
Correia's Atlantic	RF304-8820
Ed's Arco	RF304-8052
Fairview Arco	RF304-8394
Fritz Blaske	RF272-73093
George's Arco	RF304-9196
Gil & Ron's Arco	RF304-8265
Hull's Exxon	RF307-1076
Interstate Exxon	RF307-853
Marsh's Apco	RF310-26
Prothro Gulf	RF307-841
Sam's Arco	RF304-8260
Shady Lawn Truck Stop	RF304-9209
Simbron's Arco	RF304-8801
State of Nevada	RF300-10377
Szep's Arco/Joseph Szep	RF304-8277
Tompkin's Garage	RF300-9635
Watt & Marconi Arco	RF304-8261
William Albert Hewgley	KFA-0292

[FR Doc. 89–15743 Filed 7–3–89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450–01–M

Issuance of Decisions and Orders for Week of May 15 Through May 19, 1989

During the week of May 15 through May 19, 1989, the decisions and orders summarized below were issued with respect to appeals and applications for other relief filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals of the Department of Energy. The following summary also contains a list of submissions that were dismissed by the Office of Hearings and Appeals.

Copies of the full text of these decisions and orders are available in the Public Reference Room of the Office of Hearings and Appeals, Room 1E–234, Forrestal Building, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20585, Monday through Friday, between the hours of 1:00 p.m. and 5:00 p.m., except federal holidays. They are also available in *Energy Management: Federal Energy Guidelines*, a commercially published loose leaf reporter system.

George B. Breznay,

Director, Office of Hearings and Appeals.

June 27, 1989.

Appeal

International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local Union #125, 5/19/89, KFA–0279

The International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers, Local Union 125 (IBEW) filed an Appeal from a partial denial by the Bonneville Power Authority (Bonneville) of the Department of Energy of a Request for Information which the firm submitted under the Freedom of Information Act. In considering the Appeal, the DOE found that Bonneville's search for documents responsive to the IBEW's request was adequate and that no such documents exist that are in the possesion of the DOE. Accordingly, the IBEW's Appeal was denied.

Refund Applications

Atlantic Richfield Co./Bud's Rural Gas Co., Fairless Hills Atlantic, 5/16/89, RF304–8881, RF304–8882

The DOE issued a Supplemental Order concerning Applications for Refund filed on behalf of Bud's Rural Gas Company and Fairless Hills Atlantic. The firms each had inadvertently been granted duplicative refunds and the DOE, therefore, rescinded the latter refunds.

Commonwealth Oil & Refining Company, 5/15/89, RF171–37

The DOE issued a Supplemental Order directing payment of a claim of Commonwealth Oil & Refining Company (Corco) for moneys owed it from the Crude Oil Entitlements Program. The claim was approved in a decision of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission which reversed in part an Office of Hearings and Appeals decision denying Corco's Application for Exception. Consequently, the DOE awarded $3,247,000 to Corco in additional entitlements exception relief.

City of Dover Electric Department, 5/15/89, RF272–2620

The DOE issued a Decision and Order granting an Application for Refund filed by the City of Dover Electric Department in the Subpart V crude oil refund proceedings. Dover used refined petroleum products in its municipal operations and in the McKee Electric Generating Plant (McKee) during the period August 19, 1973 through January 27, 1981. In addition to establishing its chase volumes, McKee submitted a certification that it would notify the appropriate regulatory bodies of any refund it receives and pass through the entirety of the refund to its customers. Dover therefore was eligible to receive its full volumetric share of available crude oil monies as an end-user and as an electric utility. The total refund granted in this Decision is $173,670.

Crown Central Petroleum Corporation/Jones Oil, Inc., et al., 5/18/89, RF313–1, et al.

The DOE issued a Decision and Order considering applications filed by six purchasers of Crown refined petroleum products in the Crown Central Petroleum Corporation special refund proceeding. Each applicant was found to be eligible for a refund based on the volume of products it purchased from Crown. The refund applications were granted using a presumption of injury procedure set forth in *Crown Central Petroleum Corp.*, 18 DOE ¶ 85,326 (1988). The total amount of refunds approved in this Decision was $56,481, representing $48,399 in principal plus $8,082 in accrued interest.

Crown Central Petroleum Corporation/Uniondale Crown, et al., 5/19/89, RF313–146, et al.

The DOE issued a Decision and Order considering applications filed by five purchasers of Crown refined petroleum products in the Crown Central Petroleum Corporation special refund proceeding. Each applicant was found to be eligible for a refund based on the volume of products it purchased from Crown. The refund applications were granted using a presumption of injury procedure set forth in *Crown Central Petroleum Corp.*, 18 DOE ¶ 85,326 (1988). The total amount of refunds approved in this Decision was $35,226, representing $30,185 in principal plus $5,041 in accrued interest.

Exxon Corporation/Beachview Esso Service et al., 5/17/89, RF307–31, et al.

The DOE issued a Decision and Order concerning seven Applications for Refund filed in the Exxon Corporation special proceeding. Each of the applicants purchased directly from Exxon and was either a reseller whose allocable share is less than $5,000 or an end-user of Exxon products. The DOE determined that each applicant was eligible to receive a refund equal to its full allocable share. The sum of the refunds granted in this Decision is $9,317 ($7,884 principal plus $1,433 interest).

Exxon Corporation/Brownfiel Exxon et al., 5/18/89, RF307–5812, et al.

The DOE issued a Decision and Order considering 39 Applications for Refund filed in the Exxon Corporation special refund proceeding. Each of the applicants purchased directly from Exxon and was either a reseller whose allocable share is less than $5,000 or an end-user of Exxon products. The DOE determined that each applicant was eligible to receive a refund equal to its full allocable share. The sum of the refunds granted in this Decision is $31,710 ($26,835 principal plus $4,875 interest).

Exxon Corporation/James Bray et al., 5/16/89, RF307–6634, et al.

The Office of Hearings and Appeals of the Department of Energy issued a Decision and Order granting 48 Applications for Refund from consent order funds obtained from Exxon Corporation. Each applicant was a reseller that sought a refund of less than $5,000 or an end-user, and was therefore presumed to have suffered injury as a result of Exxon's alleged overcharges. The sum of the refunds granted is $59,222.

Exxon Corporation/King & Lee Exxon Service et al., 5/18/89, RF307–1385, et al.

The DOE issued a Decision and Order concerning eight Applications for Refund filed in the Exxon Corporation special refund proceeding. Each of the applicants purchased directly from Exxon and was either a reseller whose allocable share is less than $5,000 or an end-user of Exxon products. The DOE determined that each applicant was eligible to receive a refund equal to its full allocable share. The sum of the refunds granted in this Decision is $15,709 ($13,293 principal plus $2,416 interest).

Exxon Corporation/Presley Hatley et al., 5/18/89, RF307–100, et al.

The DOE issued a Decision and Order concerning 12 Applications for Refund filed in the Exxon Corporation special refund proceeding. Each of the applicants purchased directly from Exxon and was either a reseller whose allocable share is less than $5,000 or an end-user of Exxon products. The DOE determined that each applicant was eligible to receive a refund equal to its full allocable share. The sum of the refunds granted in this Decision is $11,753 ($9,945 principal plus $1,808 interest).

Gary Vogt & Sons, et al., 5/15/89, RF307–65909, et al.

The DOE issued a Decision and Order granting refunds from crude oil overcharge funds to six claimants based on their respective purchases of refined petroleum products during the period August 19, 1973 through January 27, 1981. As an end-user, each applicant was entitled to receive a refund of its full volumetric share. The refunds granted in this Decision total $3,361.

Gulf Oil Corporation/D & E Gulf Service, 5/17/89, RF300–5371

The DOE issued a Decision and Order concerning an Application for Refund submitted by D & E Gulf Service, an indirect purchaser in the Gulf Oil Corporation special refund proceeding. Because the direct purchaser in this case has elected to receive a refund based upon a presumption of injury, D & E Gulf Service's refund application was approved using a presumption of injury under the same procedure as that used to evaluate claims by direct purchasers. The total refund granted in this Decision is $2,313.

Gulf Oil Corporation/Knieriemen Oil of Dutchess, Inc., 5/17/89, RF300–5188

The DOE issued a Decision and Order concerning an Application for Refund submitted by Knierieman Oil of Dutchess, Inc., an indirect purchaser in the Gulf Oil Corporation special refund proceeding. Because the direct purchaser in this case has not applied for a refund in this proceeding, Knieriemen Oil of Dutchess, Inc.'s refund application was approved using a presumption of injury under the same procedure as that used to evaluate claims by direct purchasers. The total refund granted in this Decision is $2,380.

Gulf Oil Corporation/L.N. Payne, Jr. & Sr., 5/18/89, RF300–5486

The DOE issues a Decision an Order concerning an Application for Refund submitted by L.N. Payne, Jr. & Sr. in the Gulf Oil Corporation special refund proceeding. The application was approved using a presumption of injury. The refund granted in this Decision is $6,563.

Gulf Oil Corporation Ray's West Side Gulf, 5/19/89 RF300–5208

The DOE issued a Decision and Order concerning an Application for Refund submitted by Ray's West Side Gulf, an indirect purchaser in the Gulf Oil Corporation special refund proceeding. Because the direct purchaser in this case has indicated in its refund application that it does not intend to prove injury, Ray's West Side Gulf's refund application was approved using a presumption of injury under the same procedure as that used to evaluate claims by direct purchasers. The total refund granted in this Decision if $1,158.

Gulf Oil Corporation/Thomas P. Reidy, Inc., 5/19/89, RF40–3710, RF300–8833

The DOE issued a Decision and Order concerning the monies deposited in a DOE escrow account for Thomas P. Reidy (Reidy) from the initial Gulf Oil Corporation Subpart V special refund proceeding (Gulf I). The DOE determined that as a means to implement the terms of the consent order entered into between Reidy and the Economic Regulatory Administration, the monies in the Reidy escrow account would be transferred to another DOE account for eventual distribution in a Subpart V special refund proceeding. The DOE also determined that under the consent order, Reidy had waived its right to participate in any special refund proceedings. It therefore dismissed Reidy's application in the second Gulf Oil Corporation special refund proceeding (Gulf II).

Gulf Oil Corporation/Byron Elevator Inc., 5/17/89, RF300–3736

The DOE issued a Decision and Order concerning an Application for Refund submitted in the Gulf Oil Corporation refund proceeding by Byron Elevator, Inc., a reseller of Gulf products. The application was approved using the 40% presumption of injury. The refund granted in this Decision is $20,900.

Gulf Oil Corporation/C.H. Lander, 5/16/89, RF300–3879, RF300–3880, RF300–3881, RF300–3882, RF300–3883, RF300–3884, RF300–3885, RF300–3886

The DOE issued a Decision and Order concerning eight Application for Refund submitted in the Gulf Oil Corporation refund proceeding. The applications were by eight affiliated gas stations and were thus considered as one claim. The applicants were approved for one refund using the 40% presumption of injury. The refund in this Decision is $7,754.

Gulf Oil Corporation/City of Huron, 5/18/89, RF300–2267

The DOE issued a Decision and Order concerning an Application for Refund submitted in the Gulf Oil Corporation special refund proceeding by the City of Huron. The City of Huron was unable to show that it purchased Gulf products during the consent order period. Since the DOE had no reason to believe the City of Huron was injured by Gulf's alleged overcharges, its application was denied.

Gulf Oil Corporation/Dressell's Service Station, et al., 5/16/89, RF300–8104, et al.

The DOE issued a Decision and Order concerning 21 Applications for Refund submitted in the Gulf Oil Corporation special refund proceeding. Each application was approved using a presumption of injury. The sum of the refunds granted in this Decision is $32,767.

Gulf Oil Corporation/Jenco Oil & Gas Inc., et al., 5/19/89, RF300–6498, et al.

The DOE issued a Decision and Order concerning six Applications for Refund submitted by applicants in the Gulf Oil Corporation refund proceeding. Each applicant claimed gallons purchased as a retailer and gallons purchased as a consignee. The DOE considered three of the applicants, who were affiliated, as one entity in determining their eligibility for a refund. The DOE held that the applicants, all of whom were seeking a refund greater than $5,000, were unable to simultaneously elect both the small claims presumption of injury and the 10 percent presumption of injury for consignees. The applicants' respective refunds were approved by utilizing either the $5,000 small claims presumption, or the sum of the 40 percent mid-range presumption (for gallons purchased as a retailer) and the 10 percent consignee presumption, depending on which formula produced the largest refund. The sum of the refunds granted in this Decision is $26,176.

Gulf Oil Corporation/Fowlkes Grocery, et al., 5/18/89, RF300–7762, et al.

The DOE issued a Decision and Order concerning nine Applications for Refund submitted in the Gulf Oil Corporation special refund proceeding. Each applicant was an indirect purchaser of Gulf products. Because the direct purchasers of these products have either (i) not applied for refunds in this proceeding, (ii) been granted refunds in this proceeding under presumptions of injury, or (iii) indicated in their refund applications that they do not intend to prove injury in this proceeding, the DOE granted the nine applicants refunds using the presumptions of injury under the same procedure as that used to evaluate claims by direct purchasers. The sum of the refunds granted in this Decision is $11,118.

Gulf Oil Corp./Herbert Bean Gulf Service #2, Herbert Bean Gulf Service #1, 5/18/89, RF300–7438, RF300–7480

The DOE issued a Decision and Order concerning two Applications for Refund submitted in the Gulf Oil Corporation special refund proceeding. Because the applicants were affiliated, the DOE considered both applications together. Both applications were approved based on a single presumption of injury. The sum of the refunds granted in this Decision is $6,563.

Gulf Oil Corp./K.D. Timmons, 5/16/89, RF300–7443, RF300–7444, RF300–7445, RF300–7446

The DOE issued a Decision and Order concerning four Applications for Refund submitted by resellers in the Gulf Oil Corporation special refund proceeding. Because the applicants were affiliated, the DOE considered the four applications together. All of the four applications were approved based on a single presumption of injury. The sum of the refunds granted in this Decision is $6,563.

Gulf Oil Corporation/Milford Jeep, Inc., et al., 5/15/89, RF300–823, et al.

The DOE issued a Decision and Order concerning 26 Applications for Refund submitted in the Gulf Oil Corporation refund proceeding. Each application was filed by a reseller and was approved

using the small claims presumption of injury. The sum of the refunds granted in this Decision is $177,201.

Gulf Oil Corp./Moore Oil Corporation, Sheffield Oil Co., Inc., 5/18/89, RF300-7577, RF300-7578

The DOE issued a Decision and Order concerning two Applications for Refund submitted by resellers in the Gulf Oil Corporation refund proceeding. Because the applicants were affiliated, the DOE considered both applications together. Both applications were approved based on a single presumption of injury. The sum of the refunds granted in this Decision is $6,563.

Gulf Oil Corporation/UCO, Inc. (Landsea Oil Company) UCO Oil Company, 5/19/89, FR300-9307, RF300-10792

The DOE granted a refund of $3,959 to UCO Oil Company in *Gulf Oil Corp./Dale Bower* 18 DOE ¶ 85,171 (1988) (RF300-5055). Subsequently, the DOE determined that, because of ownership changes, Landsea Oil Company is the proper recipient of the refund and rescinded the refund granted to UCO Oil Company. The total refund granted to Landsea is $4,105 and the amount that UCO Oil Company must remit to the DOE is $4,074 ($3,959 in principal plus $115 in interest).

Kentucky Department of Education, 5/19/89 RF272-17487

The DOE issued a Decision and Order concerning an Application for Refund filed by the Kentucky Department of Education (KDE), an end-user of motor gasoline, in the Subpart V crude oil refund proceeding. KDE submitted information indicating the volume of motor gasoline that it purchased during the period of price controls. The refund approved in this Decision is $101,714.

Manhattan East Suite Hotels et al., 5/17/89, FR272-11699, et al.

The Doe issued a Decision and Order approving six Applications for Refund submitted in the Subpart V crude oil refund proceeding. Each applicant was an end-user of the refined products involved and was therefore presumed injured by the alleged crude oil overcharges. The sum of the refunds granted in the Decision was $25,080.

Marathon Petroleum Co./Johnson Cheker Service, 5/16/89, RF250-2747

The DOE issued a Decision and Order considered an Application for Refund filed by Johnson Cheker Service from the consent order fund remitted by Marathon Petroleum Company. The DOE found that Johnson was eligible for a refund based on purchases of product from Cheker Oil Company, since Cheker was a division of a Marathon wholly-owned subsidiary. Using the small claims presumption of injury methodology, the DOE granted Johnson a refund of $3,137 in principal and $724 in interest.

Murphy Oil Corporation/Whitelaw Cooperative Exchange, 5/17/89, RF309-244

The DOE issued a Decision and Order granting the application of Whitelaw Cooperative Exchange in the Shell Oil Company special refund proceeding. Whitelaw's application was filed by Reedsville Cooperative Association, another cooperative which purchased the assets of Whitelaw in March of 1986 and requested that Whitelaw's refund be paid to it. The DOE determined that although Reedsville had no formal right to Whitelaw's refund, granting the refund to Reedsville would be the most efficient method of channeling the refund to Whitelaw's former members, most of whom are now members of Reedsville. Accordingly, as Reedsville certified that it would pass through the refund, the sum of $126 ($108 in principal plus $18 in interest) was granted to it.

Shell Oil Company Harold Wicks et al., 5/17/89, RF315-1016 et, al.

The DOE issued a Decision and Order concerning Applications for Refund filed by 50 claimants in the Shell Oil Company special refund proceeding. Each applicant documented its purchases of regulated petroleum products from Shell and was found to be eligible for a refund from the Shell consent order fund according to the procedures established in Shell Oil Co., 18 DOE ¶ 85,492 (1989). The refunds approved in the Decision totaled $34,182 ($29,516 in principal plus $4,666 in interest).

Whirlpool Corporation, 5/18/89, RD272-15977, RF272-15977

The DOE issued a Decision and Order granting an Application for Refund filed by the Whirlpool Corporation in the DOE crude oil special refund proceeding and rejecting a Motion for Discovery and Objections of a group of 30 State governments and two territories (the States). The States sought to rebut the presumption that end users were injured by the crude oil overcharges that occurred during the regulatory period. The DOE rejected the States' contention that Whirlpool's ability to raise prices during the regulatory period showed that the firm was able to pass along the costs of the overcharges to its customers. In addition, the DOE ruled that discovery was inappropriate, and that the States had failed to show that any additional information was required of the applicant. The DOE found that Whirlpool was an end user of petroleum products that had substantiated its purchases, and granted the firm's refund claim.

Total Petroleum/Yuska Oil Company, 5/15/89, RF310-340

The DOE issued a Supplemental Decision and Order rescinding a refund granted to Yuska Oil Company in *Total Petroleum/Dilries Oil Co.*, 18 DOE ¶ 85,972 (1989). The refund was rescinded because Yuska had previously received a refund from the Total Petroleum escrow fund account based upon the identical purchase volumes. *See Total Petroleum/Stan Hayes Enterpirses, Inc.*, 18 DOE ¶ 85,583 (1989).

Crude Oil End-Users

The Office of Hearings and Appeals granted crude oil overcharge refunds to end-user applicants in the following Decisions and Orders:

Name	Case No.	Date	No. of applicants	Total refund
Eldon Willis *et al.*	RF272-50801	5/18/89	166	$22,799
Iverson Construction Inc. *et al.*	RF272-25161	5/18/89	8	$20,850
John Halling *et al.*	RF272-51000	5/19/89	183	$17,645

DISMISSALS

Name	Case No.
Ames Department Stores, Inc.	RF272-66124
B&J Construction Company	RF307-2917
B.L. Hartnett Exxon	RF307-54
Bob's Exxon	RF307-209
Boykin's Spur Service	RF309-743
Central Steel & Wire Co	RF272-45254
Charles & Anna Lord	RF300-8271
Charlies's Arco Service	RF304-6404
City of Winters	RF272-75402
Clarendon Public Schools	RF272-75359
Clinton Exxon	RF307-3682
Jonhson Exxon	RF307-3683
Corning Glass Works	RF300-10333
Danny Foix Exxon	RF307-270
Davis Co. Comm. School District	RF272-74743
E. Ritter Oil Company	RF307-2919
	RF307-3677
Eugene D'Andrea	RF300-9716
Flippin Brothers Well Drilling	RF307-2918
Flood's Exxon	RF300-8945
Flourtown Gulf	RF300-9274
Fox's Gulf Service	RF300-10797
Getty Service Station of Roslindale *et al.* (See Attached List).	
Goliad Independent School District	RF272-75403
Harlem and Montrose Arco	RF304-6230
Hwy 67 and 167 Exxon	RF307-2920
Jerry Wuick Shop	RF307-3684
Jim Hronis & Sons	RF272-57050
Jim's Spur Service	RF309-744
Kerry's Stand./K. Jorgenson *et al.* (See Attached List).	RF
Liddy's Arco Service	RF304-6183

DISMISSALS—Continued

Name	Case No.
Logan Oil Company, Inc	RF307-896
Marty's Spur Service	RF309-877
Michael Kicklighter Trucking	RF272-72437
Monks Construction	RF272-74754
Monzak Arco	RF304-3707
Nelson Oil *et al.* (See Attached List).	RF315-01322
Ortega Exxon	RF307-2925
Pacific Northern Oil	RF307-94933
Park Forrest Exxon	RF307-2934
Village Exxon	RF307-2936
Pigion Creek Grocery	RF307-2941
PM Service	RF307-2924
Prince Georges County	RF300-8270
Quarry B. Dock	RF307-3685
R.K. Black Gulf Station	RF300-8247
Robbinsdale Spur	RF309-1057
S.D. Hansell	RF300-8280
Safety Kleen Corporation	RF272-72436
Shady Grove Station	RF307-2933
Snap-On-Corp.	RF272-53876
South Side Exxon	RF307-2928
Southtown Spur	RF309-876
Starr Transit Company, Inc.	RF272-64382
Storm Lake Community School District.	RF272-72332
T&J Gulf	RF300-10715
The General Store	RF307-2938
Turner Fuel Oil	RF272-72438
Valley Drive Gulf	RF300-9390
Washington Comm. School District	RF272-63234
Western Dubuque School District	RF272-70820
Yellville Exxon	RF307-2942

DISMISSALS—Continued

Name	Case No.
Young Oil Company	RF272-72448

Applicant	Case No.
Getty Service Station of Roslindale	RF265-2781
Boozer's Getty	RF265-2782
Skelly Service Station	RF265-2783
Butler's Getty	RF265-2784
Crystal Skelly Service	RF265-2785
Therres Brothers Skelly	RF265-2786
Joe's Getty Oil Service Station	RF265-2787
Falvey's Getty Service & Tire Co	RF265-2788
Joe's Getty	RF265-2789
Locke's Getty	RF265-2790
Mac's Getty	RF265-2791
Roger's Getty	RF265-2792
Roger's Getty of Norristown	RF265-2793
DiPalo's Getty	RF265-2794
Bazyk Getty Service Center	RF265-2795
Crouse Fuel Service	RF265-2796
Deacon Skelly	RF265-2792
Pleasant View Truck Stop	RF265-2798
Jim Hunt's Getty	RF265-2799
Bob McMillan's Getty	RF265-2800
Duane D. Kuss	RF265-2801
Minnetonka Skelly Service	RF265-2802
Larry's Skelly Service Station	RF265-2803
Lundsten's Skelly Service	RF265-2804
Midway Skelly Service	RF265-2805
Earl's Skelly	RF265-2806

APPENDIX A—May 18, 1989.

Case No.	Firm	Location			
RF304-02936	Kerry's Stand./K. Jorgenson	P.O. Box 0341	Watertown	SD	57201
RF304-02937	A&A Service Center	1314 Orange Rd	Culpepar	VA	22701
RF304-03472	Jimmy Arco Service Station	1531 Greenville Blvd	Greenville	NC	27834
RF304-03478	Keith's Arco	P.O. Box 43	Casco	WI	54205
RF304-03482	Circle Arco Service Center	9605 Lee High	Fairfax	VA	22031
RF304-03483	Bills Arco	27 Myrtle Avenue	Havertown	PA	19083
RF304-03485	Samuel S. Santee	2nd & Centre St	Coplay	PA	18037
RF304-03488	B&B Arco	1268 Riverdale Road	Westfield	MA	01085
RF304-03489	Mike Arco	3 East Avenue	Tallmadge	OH	44278
RF304-03490	Bills Arco	Evesham & Whitehorse Rd	Voorhees TWP	NJ	08043
RF304-03495	Kerry Standard	P.O. Box 341	Watertown	SD	57201
RF304-03496	Whitehall Arco	Colby Road	Whitehall	MI	49461
RF304-03499	Six Lakes Service	9241 Cooley Lake Road	Union Lake	MI	48085
RF304-03501	Verns Service Center	10524 S. Lavergne	Oak Lawn	IL	60453
RF304-03504	Franks Arco Station	159 Main St	Middletown	CT	06457
RF304-03511	Walter Polkay	125 New Park Avenue	Hartford	CT	06106
RF304-03513	Bowens Atlantic	2555 Monroe Avenue	Rochester	NY	14618
RF304-03515	Hartmans Arco	600 Hazeldel Avenue	New Castle	DE	19720
RF304-03516	Winsted Arco	710 Main Street	Winsted	CT	06098
RF304-03517	Bob's Arco Service	351 Winchester St	Keene	NH	03431
RF304-03519	S&H Arco Service Station	2905 Noble Road	Cleveland	OH	44121
RF304-03520	Bobs Arco	E Main & Green St	Palmyra	PA	17078
RF304-03523	Browns Arco	501 East Market Street	Georgetown	DE	19947
RF304-03527	Aspen Hill Arco	7161 Aspen Hill Road	Rockville	MD	20853
RF304-03530	Bowling & Son Service Station	2629 Cornes Avenue	Memphis	TN	38114
RF304-03533	Bobs Service	2009 Boulevard Avenue	Scranton	PA	18509
RF304-03536	Gene's Arco Service	714 S. Fisk Street	Green Bay	WI	54304
RF304-03539	Nick Salvadore Service Station	8845 Ft. Smallwood Road	Pasadena	MD	21122
RF304-03540	Wrights Arco	800 W. Millers Road	Lansing	MI	48911
RF304-03543	Vincent Grocery	P.O. Box 36	Skippers	VA	23879
RF304-03545	Richards Alamo Service	2739 Craig Drive	Des Plaines	IL	60018
RF304-03547	Murphys Arco	1201 Belmont Avenue	Philadelphia	PA	19104
RF304-03553	Newtown-Lyman Arco	467 Newton St	South Hadley	MA	01075
RF304-03554	Snively's Arco	701 E. Baltimore Avenue	Lansdowne	PA	19050
RF304-03556	George Wherley's Arco	145 E. Myrtle St	Littlestown	PA	17340
RF304-03559	J and J Arco	1674 W Princeton Avenue	Brick	NJ	08724
RF304-03560	Larrys	Hwy 212 South Broadway	Watertown	SD	57201
RF304-03562	Hallow Distributor Co., Inc.	Drawer H	Greenville	NC	27835
RF304-03564	Willlow Distributor	2601 Cockrell Lane	Dallas	TX	75215

APPENDIX A—May 18, 1989.—Continued

Case No.	Firm	Location			
RF304-03565	Russell Oil Company	820 Young Street	Richmond	VA	23222
RF304-03566	Tri-City Associates	1438 W Wythe Street	Petersburg	VA	23803
RF304-03567	Furnace Brand Carwash	8945 Smallwood Road	Pasadena	MD	21123
RF304-03570	Cornwall Fuel Company	5036 25 NE	Seattle	WA	98105
RF304-03571	Santopietro Tire	158-6 Jersey Street	Waterbury	CT	06706
RF304-03637	Glass City Oil	1133 N. Summit St	Toledo	OH	43604
RF304-03638	Longs Quaker State Service	243 Main St	Greenville	PA	16125
RF304-03644	Rich's Service Station	Main & Shoemaker St	Swoyersville	PA	18704
RF304-03645	Mike's Service Station	2nd North & Oak St	Chebanse	IL	60922
RF304-03648	Holt Arco Service	295 E. Holt	Pomona	CA	91767
RF304-03649	Williams Arco Mini Mart #1	2500 Laurel St	Napa	CA	94558
RF304-03650	Landess Arco	1575 Landess Ave	Milpitas	CA	95035
RF304-03651	Granite Bay Arco	8555 Auburn Folsom Rd	Roseville	CA	95678
RF304-03652	R&D Arco	3921 Watt Ave	Sacramento	CA	95821
RF304-03653	Sun Lee Arco Service Station	10625 N. De Anza Blvd	Cupertino	CA	95014
RF304-03660	Kanes Arco	P.O. Box 492	Palmyra	PA	17078
RF304-03661	Vern Null Arco	2795 Carlisle Pike	New Oxford	PA	17350
RF304-03663	H.C. Arco	3237 Scranton Rd	Cleveland	OH	44109
RF304-03664	David O. Hendricks Arco	202 Main St	Emmaus	PA	18049
RF304-03665	Gross Arco	12500 Highland Drive	Edinboro	PA	16412
RF304-03666	Cava Arco	Rt. 663 Swamp Pike	Gilbertsville	PA	19525
RF304-03668	F.W. Oil, Co	839 Harding	Janesville	WI	53547
RF304-03673	Allan's Arco Mini Market	1605 W. Carson	Bakersfield	CA	93304
RF304-03674	Trans Arco	4948 Camden Ave	San Jose	CA	95124
RF304-03675	Park Boulevard Arco Mini Mart	3310 Park Blvd	Oakland	CA	94610
RF304-03677	Arco Mini Market	101 Lincoln Rd. West	Vallejo	CA	94590
RF304-03678	Erbe Service Station	Rt. 209	Coaldale	PA	18218
RF304-03680	Orris Service Station	903 Freeport Rd	Creighton	PA	15030
RF304-03681	Knorr's, Inc	341 E. Main St	Carregie	PA	15220
RF304-03683	Knorr's, Inc	1630 Cochran Rd	Pittsburgh	PA	15220
RF304-03684	Robinson Petroleum Co	110 Jefferson Ave	Washington	PA	15301
RF304-03686	Swedes Arco	35111 N. Milwalkee Ave	Inglesite	IL	60041
RF304-03692	Roseville Arco Mini Market	1632 Douglas Blvd	Roseville	CA	95661
RF304-03694	Palm Desert Arco	73993 Hwy 111	Palm Desert	CA	92260
RF304-03695	Holmes Arco Service	3005 Grass Valley Hwy	Auburn	CA	95603
RF304-03696	Arco Marine Fuel Dock	33105 Buccaneer Crt	San Juan Capistrano	CA	92675
RF304-03697	Eddie's Service Station	2710 Sloat Blvd	San Francisco	CA	94116
RF304-03699	Hoskin Hogan Arco Mini	9922 South Figueroa	Los Angeles	CA	90003
RF304-03700	Naz Arco Station	15604 South Pioneer	Norwalk	CA	90650
RF304-03701	Maurice Shoemakers Arco Serv	840 Huntington Dr	Pasadena	CA	91108
RF304-03704	Yim's Arco	1965 Palo Verde	Long Beach	CA	90815
RF304-03705	Searer' Service Station	P.O. Box 221	Millerstown	PA	17062
RF304-03712	Ron's Service Station	2421 9th Ave	North Riverside	IL	60546
RF304-03717	Higgins Arco	3230 East State St	Sharon	PA	16146
RF304-03721	Irwin Arco	8000 Roosevelt Blvd	Philadelphia	PA	19152
RF304-03722	Olson's Arco	201 St. Louis St	Dwight	IL	60420
RF304-03723	Rocchias Arco	5215 Sheriden Dr	Williamsville	NY	14068
RF304-03727	Turner's Arco	Box 93	Barlow	OH	45712
RF304-03728	Al Gibbs Arco	701 Conshohocken St. Rd	Narberth	PA	19072
RF304-03729	K&R Automotive, Inc	237 Washington St	Attleboro	MA	02703
RF304-03730	Gary Colosimo Arco	104 Clairton Blvd	Bethel Park	PA	15102
RF304-03733	Dons Arco	733 W. Prairie St	Pontiac	IL	61764
RF304-03737	Lou's Arco	Parisi Sunoco Mn. & Delilah	Pleasantville	NJ	08232
RF304-03738	Esteves Arco	2401 Fox & Alleghany Ave	Philadelphia	PA	19132
RF304-03740	Mack-Beniteau Serv. Inc	11145 Mack Ave	Detroit	MI	48214
RF304-03745	Uptown Service Station	4900 N. Broadway	Chicago	IL	60640
RF304-03750	Arco Station	26th Belmont St	Bellaire	OH	43906
RF304-03752	Ray's Arco	6131 W. Roosevelt Rd	Cicero	IL	60650
RF304-03756	Di Maiolo's Arco Service	1236 Salt Springs Rd	Youngstown	OH	44509
RF304-03757	Schafer Automotive Service	25 Lincoln St	Lowell	MA	01852
RF304-03759	Jim's Car Care Center	201 St. Louis St	Dwight	IL	60420
RF304-03760	Bullocks Tire Shop	P.O. Box 5	Lincoln	AL	35096
RF304-03763	Wendon Enterprises, Inc	1350 Old Freeport Rd #1A	Pittsburgh	PA	15238
RF304-03766	Kobik Orris Fuel Co	903 Freeport Rd	Creighton	PA	15030
RF304-03770	National Petroleum Corp	4900 N. Broadway	Chicago	IL	60640
RF304-03771	Weston Oil Co	Box 433	Valentine	NE	69201
RF304-03772	Blonstein Fuel Co	P.O. Box 87	Rockville	CT	06066
RF304-03773	Morris Oil Co	Box 14	Wooster	OH	44891
RF304-03774	Charles Aron Oil Co., Inc	Box A	Russell Springs	KY	42642
RF304-03776	Buckingham Arco	P.O. Box 413, Rt. 263	Buckingham	PA	18912
RF304-03811	Highland's Arco	P.O. Box 426	Clearlake	CA	95422
RF304-03812	San Gabriel Arco	9121 San Delmar	San Gabriel	CA	91776
RF304-03813	First & Lamona Arco	1334 N. First St	Fresno	CA	93705
RF304-03814	Bill Louie's Arco	800 Franklin St	Oakland	CA	94607
RF304-03815	O'Brien's Arco Service	2500 Laurel St	Napa	CA	94558
RF304-03816	Arco Mini Mart	890 Center St	Lewiston	NY	14092
RF304-03817	Mohmade	15101 Freedom Ave	San Leandro	CA	94578
RF304-03818	Monterey Jim's Arco	2859 Monterey Rd	San Jose	CA	95111
RF304-03819	Williams Arco Mini Mart	E. Military St	Benicia	CA	94510
RF304-03820	Williams Arco Mini Mart	640 Broadway St	Vallejo	CA	94590

APPENDIX A—May 18, 1989.—Continued

Case No.	Firm	Location			
RF304-03821	Freeway Arco	2740 98 Ave	Oakland	CA	94605
RF304-03823	Cooper Construction	2801 E. Spring St	Long Beach	CA	90806
RF304-03824	Fennville Feed Supply	P.O. Box 424	Fennville	MI	49408
RF304-03826	Ghannams Arco Service	9824 Flair Dr	El Monte	CA	91731
RF304-03827	Remington Arco	1040 Sunnyvale Saratoga	Sunnyvale	CA	94087
RF304-03829	OS Arco Service Station	1024 W. Alondra	Compton	CA	90220
RF304-03831	Shelby Petroleum Corp	P.O. Box 88	Lafollette	TN	37766
RF304-03833	Wendy Arco	2750 Camino Dos Rios	Malibu	CA	90265
RF304-03834	P M Service	3720 Lone Tree	Antioch	CA	94509
RF304-03835	Fremont Arco	35900 Fremont Blvd	Fremont	CA	94539
RF304-03836	Wong's Arco	2032 E. 12th	Oakland	CA	94606
RF304-03837	Garrison Arco	3100 G. St	Merced	CA	95340
RF304-03838	Midtown Arco	2995 Middlefield Rd	Palo Alto	CA	94306
RF304-03839	Mikes Service Station	2nd North & Oak St	Chebanse	IL	60922
RF304-03840	Chuck Hauber's Arco Station	4421 Brownsville Rd	Trevose	PA	19047
RF304-03847	A&L Garage & Decelle & Sons	98 Lake St	Nashua	NH	03060
RF304-03849	S-K Auto Service	6529 Oxford Ave	Philadelphia	PA	19111
RF304-03852	Palm & Shield's Arco	3133 N. Palm	Fresno	CA	93704
RF304-03854	Arco #1	1460 P St	Fresno	CA	93721
RF304-03855	Abby Arco	30821 Hwy 99	Goshen	CA	93227
RF304-03856	Parkwoods Arco	1612 Hammer Lane	Stockton	CA	95209
RF304-03857	Mesa Arco Service	3201 Harbor	Costa Mesa	CA	92626
RF304-03858	Sergie's Auto Service	337 Mill St	Belmont	MA	02178
RF304-03872	Cuenin Arco	960 N. Leavitt Rd	Amherst	OH	44001
RF304-03947	Shaya's	228 East Street Rd	Warminster	PA	18974
RF304-03948	Fogers Valley Arco	3709 Burgoon Road	Altoona	PA	16602
RF304-03950	Patiala Arco Service Station	2550 Mission Bell Drive	Richmond	CA	94806
RF304-03955	Schultz Service Center	1001 Elm Road	Warren	OH	44483
RF304-03959	Mits Arco	6100 S. Atlantic Street	Maywood	CA	90270
RF304-03960	Shelby Petroleum Corp	P.O. Box 88	Lafollette	TN	37766
RF304-03961	Dr. Pepper of Dallas	2304 Century Center Blvd	Irvin	TX	75062
RF304-04119	Ollies Arco	3442 North East 82nd	Portland	OR	97220
RF304-04120	Higgins Arco	1796 Williamette	Eugene	OR	97401
RF304-04122	Dougs Arco	6820 North Fessenden	Portland	OR	97203
RF304-04123	Doug Outland	P.O. Box 03436	Portland	OR	97203
RF304-04125	P and F Arco	3213 Mission Avenue	Oceanside	CA	92054
RF304-04126	Kokos Arco	9300 Jurpa Road	Riverside	CA	92509
RF304-04127	Dunn Service Station	1611 South Main	Columbia	TN	38401
RF304-04128	Woodburn Arco	2720 Newburn Highway	Woodburn	OR	97071
RF304-04129	Westview Arco	100 Arncy Road	Woodburn	OR	97071
RF304-04133	Rockaway Arco	1840 North Highway 101	Rockaway	OR	97136
RF304-04134	Ernie Houcks Arco	785 South East Seventh	Grants Pass	OR	97526
RF304-04137	Gateway Arco Station	1130 North 185th Street	Seattle	WA	98133
RF304-04140	Walt's Arco	6402 Broadway	Everett	WA	98203
RF304-04142	Seaside Arco	231 South Holiday Drive	Seaside	OR	97138
RF304-04143	Gabes Arco	59 W El Camino	Mountain View	CA	94040
RF304-04144	Airport Arco	20450 Hesperian Blvd	Hayward	CA	94541
RF304-04145	Cooks Arco	2050 Manchester	Los Angeles	CA	90047
RF304-04146	Chopras Arco	1401 Grand Avenue	San Leandro	CA	94577
RF304-04147	Tallerico Arco	56 South Weed Blvd	Weed	CA	96034
RF304-04150	Cedar Rapids Arco	18015 S.E. Maple Valley	Renton	WA	98055
RF304-04151	Kalls Arco	9834 Martin Luther King	Seattle	WA	98118
RF304-04152	Marcola Arco	92178 Main Street	Marcola	OR	97454
RF304-04339	Arco Mpg of Garden Grove	9001 Garden Grove Blvd	Garden Grove	CA	92644
RF304-04340	Sabin's East Side Arco	R.R. #2, P.O. Box 409	Prineville	OR	97754
RF304-04342	Larrys Arco	500 S.W. Chakalov Drive	Vancouver	WA	98684
RF304-04346	Dons Arco Service	495 Telegraph Cyn Road	Chula Vista	CA	92010
RF304-04347	Grand Mound Arco	19705 Old Highway 99 SW	Rochester	WA	98579
RF304-04348	Tonys Arco	800 East Valley Blvd	San Gabriel	CA	91766
RF304-04349	Rufinos Arco	98 South Park Victoria Dr	Milpitas	CA	95035
RF304-04350	Arco Gas Station	16101 Figoroa Street	Gardena	CA	90248
RF304-04351	Chungs Arco Gas Station	13821 Aurora North	Seattle	WA	98133
RF304-04353	Khatri AM-PM	1700 Midofield	Redwood City	CA	94063
RF304-04354	Hupht Arco	15201 Washington Avenue	San Leandro	CA	94578
RF304-04355	Arco	2990 Pacific Avenue	Long Beach	CA	90806
RF304-06386	Georges Arco	1365 Manchester Blvd	Buena Park	CA	90621
RF304-06389	Fausals Arco	7200 Atlantic Blvd	Bell	CA	90201
RF304-06392	Prudhomme AM-PM	1901 W. Peoria Avenue	Phoenix	AZ	85029
RF304-06393	Chauhans Arco	20450 Hasprain Blvd	Hayward	CA	94541
RF304-06394	Georges AM & PM Mini Mart	15750 Eleffingwell	Whittier	CA	90604
RF304-06400	Bruces Arco Service	1220 W. Tennyson Rd	Hayward	CA	94544
RF304-06401	Severis Arco Station	105 No. Main Street	Fort Bragg	CA	95437
RF304-06403	Sakos Arco Service	50 South Baldwin Avenue	Sierra Madre	CA	91204
RF304-06405	Shaloos Arco	3000 Shattuck Avenue	Berkeley	CA	94705
Total—193					

APPENDIX B—May 18, 1989.

Case No.	Firm	Location			
RF315-01322	Nelson Oil or Federal Refunds, Inc	2400 E. Artesia	Long Beach	CA	90805
RF315-01323	Ron's Shell or Federal Refunds, Inc	2199 Lombard St	San Francisco	CA	94123
RF315-01325	Downtown Shell or Federal Refunds, Inc	Stephens St	Roseburg	OR	97470
RF315-01326	Duden's Shell or Federal Refunds, Inc	1317 Fulton St	San Francisco	CA	94117
RF315-01328	Wagter Shell Service or Federal Refunds, Inc	618 Front St	Lynden	WA	98264
RF315-01329	Gladstone Shell or Federal Refunds, Inc	Arlington St	Gladstone	OR	97027
RF315-01330	Mouser's Clackamas Shell or Federal Refunds, Inc.	290 Amanda Ct	Oregon City	OR	97045
RF315-01331	MacArthur Drive Shell or Federal Refunds, Inc	1401 MacArthur Dr	Alexandria	LA	71301
RF315-01332	Brundage Shell or Federal Refunds, Inc	101 S. Union St	Bakersfield	CA	93307
RF315-01335	George & John Inc. or Federal Refunds, Inc	1300 E. Commercial Pl	Oakland Park	FL	33334
RF315-01336	Rio Vista Shell or Federal Refunds, Inc	817 S. Federal Hwy	Fort Lauderdale	FL	33316
RF315-01338	Detch's Shell Service or Federal Refunds, Inc	3800 66th St. N	St. Petersburg	FL	33709
RF315-01339	Corolla's Shell Service or Federal Refunds, Inc.	7301 9th St. N	St. Petersburg	FL	33710
RF315-01340	Bill's Shell Service or Federal Refunds, Inc	3801 49th St. N	St. Petersburg	FL	33713
RF315-01341	John & George Inc. or Federal Refunds, Inc	4195 183rd Street	North Miami Beach	FL	33160
RF315-01342	Memphis Light, Gas & Water or Federal Refunds, Inc.	Box 430	Memphis	TN	38101
RF315-02168	Smith's Shell Service or Federal Refunds, Inc	4599 66th St. N	St. Petersburg	FL	33709
RF315-02169	Smith's Shell Mart or Federal Refunds, Inc	16451 Gulf Blvd	Redington Beach	FL	
RF315-02170	Bryn Mawr Shell or Federal Refunds, Inc	328 S. Cedar Lake Road	Minneapolis	MN	55406
RF315-02171	Southdale Shell or Federal Refunds, Inc	7920 France	Bloomington	MN	55435
RF315-02172	Freeway Shell or Federal Refunds, Inc	35 E. Larpentuer	Maplewood	MN	55109
RF315-02173	Inter-Town Shell Service or Federal Refunds, Inc.	1221 Washington Ave	Minneapolis	MN	55415
RF315-02174	Heights Shell or Federal Refunds, Inc	3701 Central	Colombia Heights	MN	55421
RF315-02175	Southtown Shell or Federal Refunds, Inc	7745 Penn Ave. S	Richfield	MN	55423
RF315-02176	Golden Valley Shell Service or Federal Refunds, Inc.	8922 Olson Highway	Golden Valley	MN	55422
RF315-02177	Lakeland Shell or Federal Refunds, Inc	6300 Lakeland Ave	Brooklyn Park	MN	55428
RF315-02178	Kalland's France Ave. Shell or Federal Refunds, Inc.	5053 France Ave. S	Minneapolis	MN	55410
RF315-02179	Voyageur Shell Service or Federal Refunds, Inc.	6601 Penn Ave. S	Richfield	MN	55423
RF315-02180	Broadway Auto Elec. & Shell or Federal Refunds, Inc.	1217 Broadway	Minneapolis	MN	55411
RF315-02181	Bob's Shell Service or Federal Refunds, Inc	5556 Lyndale Ave. S	Minneapolis	MN	55419
RF315-02182	Lagen Shell Service or Federal Refunds, Inc	1928 Portland Ave. S	Minneapolis	MN	55404
RF315-02183	Broadway Shell or Federal Refunds, Inc	7100 W. Broadway	Brooklyn Park	MN	55428
RF315-02184	Brooklyn Center Shell or Federal Refunds, Inc	6245 Brooklyn Blvd	Brooklyn Center	MN	55421
RF315-02185	Edina Shell Service, Inc. or Federal Refunds, Inc.	4101 W. 50 St	Edina	MN	55424
RF315-02186	Tom Smith's Shell Service or Federal Refunds, Inc.	4861 Central Ave	St. Petersburg	FL	33713
RF315-02187	Gunn's Shell Service, Inc. or Federal Refunds, Inc.	63 Wolcott Hill Road	Wetherfield	CT	06109
RF315-03051	Cypress Shell/Wm. Court or Federal Refunds, Inc.	6201 Powerline Rd	Fort Lauderdale	FL	33309
RF315-03052	Jerry's Shell/J. Hintz or Federal Refunds, Inc	700 N. Federal Hwy.	Hallandale	FL	33009
RF315-03053	Ron Kon Koma Shell/R Ad or Federal Refunds, Inc.	1901 N.W. 40th Ave	Lauderhill	FL	33317
RF315-03054	Cochrane Bros./Natt Smith or Federal Refunds, Inc.	670 Main St	Dunedin	FL	33528
RF315-03055	Smith Shell/Natt Smith or Federal Refunds, Inc.	803 S. Missouri Ave	Largo	FL	34640
RF315-03056	West Bay Shell/Natt Smith or Federal Refunds, Inc.	1001 W. Bay Dr	Largo	FL	34640
RF315-03057	Midland Shell/J. Muelle or Federal Refunds, Inc.	2550 Winnetka	Golden Valley	MN	55426
RF315-03058	Indian Rocks Shell/Geis or Federal Refunds, Inc.	2313 N. Gulf Blvd	Indian Rocks B	FL	34635
RF315-03059	Porter's Shell/C.A. Por or Federal Refunds, Inc.	2313 N. Gulf Blvd	Indian Rocks B	FL	33535
RF315-04232	Dreyer's Shell/J.S. Han or Federal Refunds, Inc.	680 Main St	Dunedin	FL	33528
RF315-04233	Coachmen Shell/R. Matth or Federal Refunds, Inc.	2498 Gulf to Bay Blvd	Clearwater	FL	33515
RF315-04234	Scott's Shell/P. Sadows or Federal Refunds, Inc.	840 S.W. 24th St	Plantation	FL	33315
RF315-04235	Pine Island Shell/Sadow or Federal Refunds, Inc.	1800 N. Pine Island R	Plantation	FL	33322
RF315-04237	Tarpon Garden Shell/Coc or Federal Refunds, Inc.	1001 Tarpon Ave	Tarpon Springs	FL	34689
RF315-04238	Dunedin Shell/D. Cochra or Federal Refunds, Inc.	680 Main St	Dunedin	FL	33528
RF315-04239	Carl's Bayshore Shell/L or Federal Refunds, Inc.	319 Bayshore Blvd	San Francisco	CA	94125
RF315-04998	Sunset Shell or Federal Refunds, Inc	3000 Johnson Street	Hollywood	FL	33021
Total—53					

[FR Doc. 89-15742 Filed 7-3-89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ECAO-RTP-0242; FRL-3611-4]

National Acid Precipitation Assessment Program

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: This notice announces an expert, peer-review workshop to be held by the Environmental Criteria and Assessment Office (ECAO) of EPA's Office of Health and Environmental Assessment to facilitate preparation of a draft report, "Direct Health Effects of Air Pollutants Associated with Acidic Precursor Emissions," a product of the National Acid Precipitation Assessment Program (NAPAP). The workshop site is the Washington Duke Inn, 3001 Cameron Blvd., Durham, North Carolina.

DATES: The workshop will be held July 12–14, 1989, from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. Members of the public are invited to attend.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David E. Weil, Ph.D., U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Environmental Criteria and Assessment Office, MD–52, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27711, (919) 541–4163 or (FTS) 629–4163.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Acid Precipitation Act of 1980 (Title VII of the Energy Security Act, Pub. L. 96–294) established an Interagency Task Force to develop and implement a comprehensive National Acid Precipitation Assessment Program (NAPAP). The Task Force is chaired jointly by the Environmental Protection Agency, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Departments of Agriculture, Energy, and Interior, and the Council on Environmental Quality.

NAPAP's goal is to develop and refine an objective, comprehensive body of scientific, technological, and economic information on the causes and effects of acidic deposition and to assess the effectiveness of various measures that might be adopted to mitigate the adverse effects. NAPAP prepares this information for use by the Congress and the President. The draft report being peer-reviewed at the workshop is one of over 25 state-of-the-science reports which are part of the process of information development for the executive and legislative branches. The report addresses the state-of-the-science of the health effects of sulfur dioxide, acidic aerosols, ozone, nitrogen dioxide, and other related photochemical oxidants. It includes discussion of ambient exposures, health effects, and risk estimates.

Date: June 21, 1989.

Erich Bretthauer,

Acting Assistant Administrator for Research and Development.

[FR Doc. 89–15744 Filed 7–03–89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–M

[PF–519; FRL 3609–9]

E.I. DuPont de Nemours & Co.; Withdrawal of Pesticide Petition

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the withdrawal without prejudice of pesticide petition (PP) 6F3375 by the E.I. Du Pont de Nemours & Co. for Cinch 7EC Herbicide, Technical Cinch Herbicide.

ADDRESS: By mail, submit written comments, identified by the document control number [PF–519], to: Public Docket and Freedom of Information Section, Field Operations Division (TS–757C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460.

Information submitted as a comment concerning this notice may be claimed confidential by marking any part or all of that information as "Confidential Business Information" (CBI). Information so marked will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR Part 2. A copy of the comment that does not contain CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public record. Information not marked confidential may be disclosed publicly by EPA without prior notice. All written comments will be available for public inspection in Rm. 246 at the address given above, from 8 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert J. Taylor, Product Manager (PM) 25, Office of Pesticide Programs, Registration Division, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460.

Rm. 245, CM #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Hwy., Arlington, VA 22202, (703)–557–1800.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: EPA gives notice that it has received a request from E.I. Du Pont de Nemours & Co., Inc., Walker's Mill, Barley Mill Plaza, P.O. Box 80038, Wilmington, DE 19880–0038, a request to withdraw without prejudice the application for Cinch 7EC Herbicide, Technical Cinch Herbicide, and the corresponding petition for PP No. 6F3375, which was published in the **Federal Register** of March 26, 1986 (51 FR 10442), proposing to establish a tolerance for the herbicide of 0.1 part per million (ppm) in or on cottonseed, peanuts, and soybeans.

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 136a.

Dated: June 16, 1989.

Anne E. Lindsay,

Director, Registration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. 89–15414 Filed 7–3–89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–M

[PF–521; FRL–3611–8]

Merck, Sharp & Dohme Research Laboratories; Amendment of Pesticide Petition and Food Additive Petition

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the amendment of pesticide petition (PP) 8F3592 and food additive petition (FAP) 8H5550 for the insecticide abamectin and its delta, 8,9-isomer by Merck, Sharp & Dohme Research Laboratories.

ADDRESSES: By mail, submit written comments to:

Public Docket and Freedom of Information Section, Field Operations Division (H–7502C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460.

In person, bring comments to: Rm. 246, CM#2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA 22202.

Information submitted as a comment concerning this notice may be claimed confidential by marking any part or all of that information as "Confidential Business Information" (CBI). Information so marked will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR Part 2. A copy of the comment that does not contain CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public record. Information not marked confidential may be disclosed publicly by EPA without prior notice. All written comments will be available for public inspection in Rm. 246 at the address given above, from 8 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: By mail:

George LaRocca, Product Manager (PM) 15, Registration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460.

In person, bring comments to: Rm. 204, CM #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Hwy., Arlington, VA 22202, (703)–557–2400.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the Federal Register of February 3, 1988 (53 FR 3075), EPA issued notice of filing of PP 8F3592 and FAP 8H5550 by Merck, Sharp & Dohme Research Laboratories, Hillsborough Rd., Three Bridges, NJ 08887, for the insecticide abamectin and its delta, 8,9-isomer. PP 8F3592 proposed amending 40 CFR Part 180 by establishing a regulation to permit residues of the insecticide in or on citrus whole fruit at 0.005 part per million (ppm), cattle meat and meat byproducts at 0.005 ppm, and milk at 0.001 ppm. The proposed analytical method for determining residues was high-pressure liquid chromatography. FAP 8H5550 proposed amending 21 CFR Part 193 (recodified as 40 CFR Part 185 in the Federal Register of June 29, 1988 (53 FR 24667)), by establishing a regulation to permit the residues of the insecticide in or on dried citrus pulp at 0.03 ppm and citrus oil at 0.10 ppm.

Merck, Sharp & Dohme Research Laboratories has submitted to EPA revisions to the petitions. PP 8F3592 has been revised to propose the following tolerances: Citrus, whole fruit and cattle meat and meat byproducts at 0.02 part per million and milk at 0.005 ppm. FAP 8H5550 has been revised to propose the following tolerances: Dried citrus pulp and citrus oil at 0.10 ppm.

Authority: 21 U.S.C. 346a.

Dated: June 28, 1989.

Anne E. Lindsay,

Director, Registration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. 89–15873 Filed 7–3–89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–M

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

[FEMA–833–DR]

Louisiana; Amendment to Notice of a Major Disaster Declaration

AGENCY: Federal Emergency Management Agency.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice amends the notice of a major disaster for the State of Louisiana (FEMA–833–DR), dated June 16, 1989, and related determinations.

DATE: June 23, 1989.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Neva K. Elliott, Disaster Assistance Programs, Federal Emergency Management Agency, Washington, DC 20472 (202) 646–3614.

Notice: The notice of a major disaster for the State of Louisiana, dated June 16, 1989, is hereby amended to include the following areas among those areas determined to have been adversely affected by the catastrophe declared a major disaster by the President in his declaration of June 16, 1989:

The parishes of Beauregard and Tangipoa for Individual Assistance.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No. 83.516, Disaster Assistance)

Grant C. Peterson,

Associate Director, State and Local Programs and Support, Federal Emergency Management Agency.

[FR Doc. 89–15709 Filed 7–3–89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6718–02–M

[FEMA–829–DR]

Louisiana; Amendment to Notice of a Major Disaster Declaration

AGENCY: Federal Emergency Management Agency.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice amends the notice of a major disaster for the State of Louisiana (FEMA–829–DR), dated May 20, 1989, and related determinations.

DATED: June 28, 1989.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Neva K. Elliott, Disaster Assistance Programs, Federal Emergency Management Agency, Washington, DC 20472 (202) 646–3614.

Notice: The notice of a major disaster for the State of Louisiana, dated May 20, 1989, is hereby amended to include the following areas among those areas determined to have been adversely affected by the catastrophe declared a major disaster by the President in his declaration of May 20, 1989:

The parishes of St. James and St. Martin for Individual Assistance.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No. 83.516, Disaster Assistance)

Grant C. Peterson,

Associate Director, State and Local Programs and Support, Federal Emergency Management Agency.

[FR Doc. 89–15710 Filed 7–3–89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6718–02–M

[FEMA–831–DR]

Ohio; Amendment to Notice of a Major Disaster Declaration

AGENCY: Federal Emergency Management Agency.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice amends the notice of a major disaster for the State of Ohio (FEMA–831–DR), dated June 10, 1989, and related determinations.

DATED: June 26, 1989.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Neva K. Elliott, Disaster Assistance Programs, Federal Emergency Management Agency, Washington, DC 20472 (202) 646–3614.

Notice: The notice of a major disaster for the State of Ohio, dated June 10, 1989, is hereby amended to include the following areas among those areas determined to have been adversely affected by the catastrophe declared a major disaster by the President in his declaration of June 10, 1989:

Coshocton, Franklin, and Licking Counties for Individual Assistance.

Notice is also hereby given that the incident period for this disaster is closed effective June 26, 1989.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No. 83.516, Disaster Assistance)

Grant C. Peterson,

Associate Director, State and Local Programs and Support, Federal Emergency Management Agency.

[FR Doc. 89–15711 Filed 7–3–89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6718–02–M

[FEMA–828–DR]

Texas; Amendment to Notice of a Major Disaster Declaration

AGENCY: Federal Emergency Management Agency.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice amends the notice of a major disaster for the State of

Texas (FEMA–828–DR), dated May 19, 1989, and related determinations.

DATED: June 24, 1989.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Neva K. Elliott, Disaster Assistance Programs, Federal Emergency Management Agency, Washington, DC 20472 (202) 646–3614.

Notice: The notice of a major disaster for the State of Texas, dated May 19, 1989, is hereby amended to include the following areas among those areas determined to have been adversely affected by the catastrophe declared a major disaster by the President in this declaration of May 19, 1989:

The counties of Baylor, Bosque, Brown, Ector, Hall, Hutchinson, Howard, Leon, Lubbock, Ochiltree, Pecos, Potter, Randall, San Augustine, Sherman, Tyler, and Walker for Individual Assistance.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No. 83.516, Disaster Assistance)

Grant C. Peterson,

Associate Director, State and Local Programs and Support, Federal Emergency Management Agency.

[FR Doc. 89–15712 Filed 7–3–89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6718–02–M

Disaster Assistance Program

AGENCY: Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: FEMA invites proposals to improve operational and fiscal relationships among Federal, State, and local disaster officials. Such proposals should include but are not limited to provisions which: (1) Decrease the amount of time for processing requests for major disaster and emergency declarations and providing Federal assistance for major disasters and emergencies; (2) provide for more effective utilization of State and local resources in major disaster and emergency relief efforts; and (3) improve the timeliness of reimbursement of State and local governments after the submission of necessary documentation.

DATE: Interested persons are invited to submit comments by September 1, 1989.

ADDRESS: Written comments should be addressed to: Rules Docket Clerk, Office of General Counsel, Federal Emergency Management Agency, Room 840, 500 C Street SW., Washington, DC 20472.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Deborah Hart, Office of Disaster Assistance Programs, FEMA, Room 705, 500 C Street SW., Washington, DC 20472, Telephone: (202) 646–3612.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On November 23, 1988, Pub. L. 100–707, the President signed the Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Amendments of 1988. Under these amendments, the Disaster Relief Act of 1974 (Pub. L. 93–288, as amended) was renamed the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (the Act). Section 110 of Pub. L. 100–707 requires that within 1 year the President make recommendations to the Congress on proposals to improve the operational and fiscal relationships that exist between Federal, State and local disaster officials. It was intended that all possible avenues to improve these relationships be explored by the President. The functions vested in the President in section 110 were delegated to FEMA by section 4 of Executive Order 12673 of March 23, 1989.

As defined in Pub. L. 93–288, as amended, "Federal Agency" means any department, independent establishment, Government corporation, or other agency of the executive branch of the Federal Government. It does not include the American National Red Cross.

As noted in interim regulations for the Act, FEMA has taken steps to improve relationships between Federal, State, and local officials. These steps are intended to give the States more responsibility in disaster response and recovery actions. The revised guidelines are being published in phases. FEMA published interim rules covering Public Assistance, Individual Assistance, the Coastal Barrier Resources Act, Community Disaster Loans, Fire Suppression, and Hazard Mitigation Planning on March 21, 1989 (54 FR 11610). A second notice, covering the Great Lakes States Planning Assistance Grants, was published in the **Federal Register** on April 21, 1989 (54 FR 16108). A third notice covering the declaration process and emergency assistance was published on May 22, 1989 (54 FR 22162). Another notice covering proposed rules for the new hazard mitigation grant program was published on this same day (54 FR 22173). One other notice covering proposed hazard mitigation planning rules will be published later this summer.

Grant C. Peterson,

Associate Director, State and Local Programs and Support, Federal Emergency Management Agency.

[FR Doc. 89–15713 Filed 7–3–89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6718–21–M

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

Notice of Agreement(s) Filed

The Federal Maritime Commission hereby gives notice of the filing of the following agreement(s) pursuant to section 5 of the Shipping Act of 1984.

Interested parties may inspect and obtain a copy of each agreement at the Washington, DC Office of the Federal Maritime Commission, 1100 L Street, NW., Room 10325. Interested parties may submit comments on each agreement to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, DC 20573, within 10 days after the date of the **Federal Register** in which this notice appears. The requirements for comments are found in § 572.603 of Title 46 of the Code of Federal Regulations. Interested persons should consult this section before communicating with the Commission regarding a pending agreement.

Agreement No.: 224–200261.

Title: Port of Oakland Terminal Agreement.

Parties:

Port of Oakland (Port)

Star Shipping A/S (Star).

Synopsis: The Agreement provides that Star shall have the non-exclusive use of certain premises at the Port's Seventh Street Public Container Terminal for berthing, loading and discharging vessels. Star will use these premises as the regularly scheduled Northern California port of call for its vessel operations. The Agreement also provides Star and the Port with certain rights if Star's operations are transferred to other of the Port's public terminals. Star will pay to the Port 90 percent of the dockage and wharfage tariff charges with an annual wharfage cap of 35,000 revenue tons per acre wharfage cap for container cargo, and separate wharfage discounts of 35 percent of tariff wharfage for breakbulk cargo and 20 percent of tariff for metal, iron and steel products. Star's dockage charges shall be subject to a limitation of payment to 70 percent of the number of vessel calls per year.

By Order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

Dated: June 28, 1989.

Joseph C. Polking,

Secretary,

[FR Doc. 89–15649 Filed 7–03–89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6730–01–M

Ocean Freight Forwarder License Applicants

Notice is given that the following applicants have filed with the Federal Maritime Commission applications for licenses as ocean freight forwarders pursuant to section 19 of the Shipping Act of 1984 (46 U.S.C. app. 1718 and 46 CFR Part 510).

Persons knowing of any reason why any of the following applicants should not receive a license are requested to contact the Office of Freight Forwarder and Passenger Vessel Operations, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, DC 20573.

H. Conrad & Associates, Inc., 404 N. 13th St., Suite 104, Tampa, Florida 33602. Officers: Henry S. Conrad, Jr., President, Barry F. Brouillet, Vice President, Anita P. Conrad, Secretary/ Treasurer.

Trust Forwarding International Incorporated, 7383 N.W. 54th Street, Miami, FL 33166. Officers: Reina Haber, President/ Jae Haber, Vice President.

Darco International, 6610 Tributary Street, Baltimore, MD 21224. Officer: David A. Sole Proprietor.

America's Expresso, Inc., 11410 SW. 32 St., Miami, FL 33166. Officers: Alberto Hernandez, President/Stockholder, Tamara Hernandez, Secretary/ Stockholder.

EXIM Factors, Ltd., 432 S. Rock Blvd., Sparks, NV 89431. Officers: Verlene Joyce Bonham, President/Director, John S. Munro, Secretary/Director, Dale McGhie, Treasurer/Director, Northern International Bank, Parent Corporation/ Stockholder.

Air Van Lines, Inc., 1280—116th Ave., NE Bellevue, WA 98004. Officers: A. N. Thompson, Jr., Chairman, Remo S. Galvagno, President, W. Thomas White, Vice Pres./Secr./Stockh., Bethco Consolidated, Inc. Parent Corporation.

Ace Pool Car, Inc. dba A.P.C. International, Inc., 7220 Santa Fe Drive, Hodgkins, IL 60525. Officers: H. Cole Overman, President, Paul J. Walk, Secr./Treas./Director, Philip R. Carvatta, Exec. Vice President, Larry E. Rose, Exec. Vice President.

Karen Nowell, 4113 C Riverside Drive, Coral Springs, FL 33065. Officer: Karen L. Nowell, Sole Proprietor.

By the Federal Maritime Commission.

Joseph C. Polking,

Secretary.

Dated: June 29, 1989.

[FR Doc. 89-15664 Filed 7-3-89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6730-01-M

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Cho Hung Bank, et al.; Formations of; Acquisitions by; and Mergers of Bank Holding Companies

The companies listed in this notice have applied for the Board's approval under section 3 of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1842) and § 225.14 of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.14) to become a bank holding company or to acquire a bank or bank holding company. The factors that are considered in acting on the applications are set forth in section 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)).

Each application is available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. Once the application has been accepted for processing, it will also be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing to the Reserve Bank or to the offices of the Board of Governors. Any comment on an application that requests a hearing must include a statement of why a written presentation would not suffice in lieu of a hearing, identifying specifically any questions of fact that are in dispute and summarizing the evidence that would be presented at a hearing.

Unless otherwise noted, comments regarding each of these applications must be received not later than July 17, 1989.

A. **Federal Reserve Bank of New York** (William L. Rutledge, Vice President) 33 Liberty Street, New York, New York 10045:

1. *Cho Hung Bank,* Seoul, Korea; to become a bank holding company by acquiring 100 percent of the voting shares of Cho Hung Bank of New York, New York, a *de novo* bank.

B. **Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago** (David S. Epstein, Vice President) 230 South LaSalle Street, Chicago, Illinois 60690:

1. *Bank of Waunakee Employee Stock Ownership Plan,* Waunakee, Wisconsin; to become a bank holding company by acquiring 37.03 percent of the voting shares of Waunakee Bank Shares, Inc., Waunakee, Wisconsin, and thereby indirectly acquire Bank of Waunakee, Waunakee, Wisconsin.

2. *First Chicago Corporation,* Chicago, Illinois; to acquire through its subsidiary, First Chicago Acquisition III Corp., Chicago, Illinois, 100 percent of the voting shares of Ravenswood Financial Corporation, Chicago, Illinois, and thereby indirectly acquire Bank of Ravenswood, Chicago, Illinois.

3. *First Chicago Acquisition III Corp.,* Chicago, Illinois; to become a bank holding company by acquiring 100 percent of the voting shares of Ravenswood Financial Corporation, Chicago, Illinois, and thereby indirectly acquire Bank of Ravenswood, Chicago, Illinois.

C. **Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City** (Thomas M. Hoenig, Senior Vice President) 925 Grand Avenue, Kansas City, Missouri 64198:

1. *Central of Kansas, Inc.,* Junction City, Kansas; and Central of Kansas V, Inc., Junction City, Kansas; to acquire 100 percent of the voting shares of Durham State Bank, Durham, Kansas.

2. *Farmers Bancshares of Oberlin, Inc.,* Oberlin, Kansas; to become a bank holding company by acquiring 100 percent of the voting shares of The Farmers National Bank of Oberlin, Oberlin, Kansas.

D. **Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas** (W. Arthur Tribble, Vice President) 400 South Akard Street, Dallas, Texas 75222:

1. *Mineral Wells Bancshares, Inc.,* Mineral Wells, Texas; to become a bank holding company by acquiring 80 percent of the voting shares of First State Bank of Mineral Wells, Mineral Wells, Texas.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, June 28, 1989.

Jennifer J. Johnson,

Associate Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 89-15655 Filed 07-03-89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-M

George R. Farish; Change in Bank Control Notice; Acquisition of Shares of Banks or Bank Holding Companies

The notificant listed below has applied under the Change in Bank Control Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)) and section 225.41 of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.41) to acquire a bank or bank holding company. The factors that are considered in acting on notices are set forth in paragraph 7 of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)(7)).

The notices are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. Once the notices have been accepted for processing, they will also be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing to the Reserve Bank indicated for that notice or to the offices of the Board of Governors. Comments must be received not later than July 17, 1989.

A. **Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas** (W. Arthur Tribble, Vice President) 400 South Akard Street, Dallas, Texas 75222:

1. *George R. Farish,* Houston, Texas; to acquire 20.63 percent of the voting shares of TB&T Bancshares, Inc., Brownsville, Texas, and thereby indirectly acquire Texas Bank and Trust of Brownsville, Brownsville, Texas.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, June 28, 1989.

Jennifer J. Johnson,

Associate Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 89-15656 Filed 7-3-89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-M

Greene County Bancshares, Inc.; Formation of, Acquisition by, or Merger of Bank Holding Companies

The company listed in this notice has applied for the Board's approval under section 3 of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1842) and § 225.14 of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.24) to become a bank holding company or to acquire a bank or bank holding company. The factors that are considered in acting on the applications are set forth in section 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)).

The application is available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. Once the application has been accepted for processing, it will also be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing to the Reserve Bank indicated for that application or to the offices of the Board of Governors. Any comment on an application that requests a hearing must include a statement of why a written presentation would not suffice in lieu of a hearing, identifying specifically any questions of fact that are in dispute and summarizing the evidence that would be presented at a hearing.

Comments regarding this application must be received not later than July 26, 1989.

A. **Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta** (Robert E. Heck, Vice President) 104 Marietta Street, NW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303:

1. *Greene County Bancshares, Inc.*, Greeneville, Tennessee; to acquire 100 percent of the voting shares of American Fidelity Bank, Alcoa, Tennessee.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, June 28, 1989.

Jennifer J. Johnson,

Associate Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 89-15657 Filed 7-3-89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-M

Barbara Hecht Havenick, et al; Change in Bank Control Notices; Acquisitions of Shares of Banks or Bank Holding Companies

The notificants listed below have applied under the Change in Bank Control Act. (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)) and section 225.41 of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.41) to acquire a bank or bank holding company. The factors that are considered in acting on the notices are set forth in paragraph 7 of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)(7)).

The notices are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. Once the notices have been accepted for processing, they will also be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing to the Reserve Bank indicated for that notice or to the offices of the Board of Governors. Comments must be received not later than July 20, 1989.

A. **Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta** (Robert E. Heck, Vice President) 104 Marietta Street, NW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303:

1. *Barbara Hecht Havenick* and Fred Havenick, both of Coral Gables, Florida; to acquire up to 20.6 percent of the voting shares of Metro Bank of Dade County, Coral Gables, Florida.

B. **Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City** (Thomas M. Hoenig, Senior Vice President) 925 Grand Avenue, Kansas City, Missouri 64198:

1. *Ronald R. Hadwiger*, Cherokee, Oklahoma; to acquire an additional 1.46 percent of the voting shares of Farmers Exchange Bancorporation, Inc., Cherokee, Oklahoma, for a total of 12.12 percent, and thereby indirectly acquire Farmers Exchange Bank, Cherokee, Oklahoma.

C. **Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas** (W. Arthur Tribble, Vice president) 400 South Akard Street, Dallas, Texas 75222:

1. *Pat S. Bolin*, Dallas, Texas, to acquire 20.8 percent; D. Phil Bolin, Wichita Falls, Texas, to acquire 10.3 percent; Warren T. Ayres, Wichita Falls, Texas, to acquire 5.2 percent; and Dan H. Bolin, Wichita Falls, Texas, to acquire 5.2 percent of the voting shares of Fidelity Resources Company, Dallas, Texas, and thereby indirectly acquire Fidelity National Bank, Dallas, Texas.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, June 28, 1989.

Jennifer J. Johnson,

Associate Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 89-15658 Filed 7-3-89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-M

Mid State Banks, Inc.; Application to Engage de novo in Permissible Nonbanking Activities

The company listed in this notice has filed an application under § 225.23(a)(1) of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.23(a)(1)) for the Board's approval under section 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1843(c)(8)) and § 225.21(a) of Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.21(a)) to commence or to engage *de novo*, either directly or through a subsidiary, in a nonbanking activity that is listed in § 225.25 of Regulation Y as closely related to banking and permissible for bank holding companies. Unless otherwise noted, such activities will be conducted throughout the United States.

The application is available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. Once the application has been accepted for processing, it will also be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the question whether consummation of the proposal can "reasonably be expected to produce benefits to the public, such as greater convenience, increased competition, or gains in efficiency, that outweigh possible adverse effects, such as undue concentration of resources, decreased or unfair competition, conflicts of interests, or unsound banking practices." Any request for a hearing on this question must be accompanied by a statement of the reasons a written presentation would not suffice in lieu of a hearing, identifying specifically any questions of fact that are in dispute, summarizing the evidence that would be presented at a hearing, and indicating how the party commenting would be aggrieved by approval of the proposal.

Comments regarding the application must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors not later than July 26, 1989.

A. **Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta** (Robert E. Heck, Vice President), 104 Marietta Street, NW., Atlanta, Georgia 30303:

1. *Mid State Banks, Inc.*, Cordele, Georgia; to engage *de novo* in data processing activities, such as marketing and furnishing to its three wholly-owned subsidiary banks and to third party banks, computer software and services pursuant to § 225.25(b)(7) of the Board's Regulation Y.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, June 28, 1989.

Jennifer J. Johnson,

Associate Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 89-15659 Filed 7-3-89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-M

Ventura County National Bancorp; Formation of, Acquisition by, or Merger of Bank Holding Companies; and Acquisition of Nonbanking Co.

The company listed in this notice has applied under § 225.14 of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.14) for the Board's approval under section 3 of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1842) to become a bank holding company or to acquire voting securities of a bank or bank holding company. The listed company has also applied under § 225.23(a)(2) of Regulation Y (12 CFR § 225.23(a)(2)) for the Board's approval under section 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1843(c)(8)) and § 225.21(a) of Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.21(a)) to acquire or control voting securities or assets of a company engaged in a nonbanking activity that is listed § 225.25 of Regulation Y as closely related to banking and permissible for bank holding companies, or to engage in such an activity. Unless otherwise noted, these activities will be conducted throughout the United States.

The application is available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. Once the application has been accepted for processing, it will also be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the question whether consummation of the proposal can "reasonably be expected to produce benefits to the public, such as greater convenience, increased competition, or gains in efficiency, that outweigh possible adverse effects, such as undue concentration of resources, decreased or unfair competition, conflicts of interests, or unsound banking practices." Any request for a hearing on this question must be accompanied by a statement of the reasons a written presentation would not suffice in lieu of a hearing, identifying specifically any questions of fact that are in dispute, summarizing the evidence that would be presented at a hearing, and indicating how the party commenting would be aggrieved by approval of the proposal.

Comments regarding the application must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors not later than July 21, 1989.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco (Harry W. Green, Vice President) 101 Market Street, San Francisco, California 94105:

1. *Ventura County National Bancorp*, Oxnard, California; to acquire 100 percent of the voting shares of Frontier Group Incorporated, La Palma, California, and thereby indirectly acquire Frontier Bank, N.A., La Palma, California.

In connection with this application, Applicant has also applied to acquire Frontier Services, La Palma, California, and thereby engage in providing investment consulting services to other financial institutions pursuant to § 225.25(b)(4) of the Board's Regulation Y.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, June 28, 1989.

Jennifer J. Johnson,

Associate Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 89-15660 Filed 7-3-89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-M

Wellman Investment Co., et al.; Application To Engage de novo in Permissible Nonbanking Activities

The company listed in this notice has filed an application under § 225.23(a)(1) of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.23(a)(1)) for the Board's approval under section 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1843(c)(8)) and § 225.21(a) of Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.21(a)) to commence or to engage *de novo*, either directly or through a subsidiary, in a nonbanking activity that is listed in § 225.25 of Regulation Y as closely related to banking and permissible for bank holding companies. Unless otherwise noted, such activities will be conducted throughout the United States.

The application is available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. Once the application has been accepted for processing, it will also be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the question whether consummation of the proposal can "reasonably be expected to produce benefits to the public, such as greater convenience, increased competition, or gains in efficiency, that outweigh possible adverse effects, such as undue concentration of resources, decreased or unfair competition, conflicts of interests, or unsound banking practices." Any request for a hearing on this question must be accompanied by a statement of the reasons a written presentation would not suffice in lieu of a hearing, identifying specifically any questions of fact that are in dispute, summarizing the evidence that would be presented at a hearing, and indicating how the party commenting would be aggrieved by approval of the proposal.

Comments regarding the application must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors not later than July 21, 1989.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago (David S. Epstein, Vice President) 230 South LaSalle Street, Chicago, Illinois 60690:

1. *Wellman Investment Company*, Wellman, Iowa; to engage *de novo* through its subsidiary, Wellman Investment Company, Wellman, Iowa, in any insurance agency activity in Wellman, Iowa, where the bank holding company or subsidiary bank has a lending office and has a population not exceeding 5,000 pursuant to § 225.25(b)(8)(iii) of the Board's Regulation Y.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, June 28, 1989.

Jennifer J. Johnson,

Associate Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 89-15661 Filed 7-3-89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Office of the Secretary

Office of the Assistant Secretary for Personnel Administration; General Reorganization; Statement of Organization, Functions, and Delegations of Authority

Part A (Office of the Secretary) of the Statement of Organization, Functions, and Delegations of Authority for the Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) is amended to reflect a realignment of functions in the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Personnel Administration. These changes eliminate two Divisions within the Office of Human Resource Programs and redistribute existing functions to the Immediate Office of the Director, Office of Human Resource Programs, the remaining two Divisions, and a staff office.

Specifically, Chapter AH, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Personnel Administration, as last published at 50 FR 20850, May 20, 1985, is revised as follows:

1. In Chapter AH, Section AH.20 Functions, paragraph D "The Office of Human Resource Programs", delete entire paragraph and insert new paragraph D to read as follows:

D. *Office of Human Resource Programs.* Provides leadership and coordination in the development, interpretation, and assessment of Departmental human resource programs and policies. Plans and develops programs and provides technical advice

to Operating Divisions and Regional Offices. Directs the ASPER Internal Control Program for both headquarters and field locations, and provides resource management services for the Office of Human Resource Programs. Coordinates the development and issuance of all personnel program issuances. Serves as the focal point of liaison with OPM, EEOC, GAO, MSPB, and the Department of Labor.

2. In Chapter AH, Section AH.20 Functions, paragraph D.1 "Division of Program Coordination", is deleted.

3. In Chapter AH, Section AH.20 Functions, paragraph D.2 "Division of Pay and Performance Programs", is retitled "Division of Compensation and Performance Management", and renumbered as paragraph D.1. The new paragraph D.1 reads as follows:

1. *Division of Compensation and Performance Management.* Formulates and oversees the implementation of Department-wide programs, policies, regulations, and procedures pertaining to salary and wage administration, employee benefits, position management, classification, incentive awards, performance management, including pay for performance, employee conduct and discipline, and nonbargaining unit employee grievances. Serves as the central HHS reference point for guidance and interpretation for these functional areas. Maintains Liaison with the Office of Personnel Management and other departments and agencies with respect to these areas. Conducts job analyses of job occupations or families of positions in order to develop and publish model performance standards, model rating schedules, and classification guides.

4. In Chapter AH, Section AH.20 Functions, paragraph D.3 "Division of Employment Program", is retitled "Division of Employment and Program Coordination", and renumbered as paragraph D.2. The new paragraph D.2 reads as follows:

2. *Division of Employment and Program Coordination.* Formulates and oversees the implementation of Department-wide programs, policies, regulations, and procedures pertaining to recruitment, staffing and examining, special employment programs, the Privacy and Information Acts as they pertain to human resource records, and organizational development. Provides direction for the development and issuance of human resource regulations and instructions throughout the Department. Manages the system for communicating program information and provides technical advice and assistance on human resource program legislative or regulatory matters. Coordinates the development and approval of all Department issuances in the Personnel Manual System. Directs and coordinates efforts to expand applicant pools and otherwise to increase appropriately the selection, training, and placement of employees from specified target groups. Develops policies designed to prepare managers to create work environments free of prohibited discrimination. Directs or facilitates special programs (professional development seminars, exhibits, commemorations, etc.) which enhance management and employee knowledge of a pluralistic work force. Carries out work force adjustment and forecasting studies and employment policy analysis. Maintains liaison with the Office of Personnel Management and other departments and agencies with respect to these matters.

5. In Chapter AH, Section AH.20 Functions, paragraph D.4 "Division of Program Assessment" is retitled, "Program Assessment Staff" and renumbered as paragraph D.3. The new paragraph D.3 reads as follows:

3. *Program Assessment Staff.* Responsible for both onsite and department overall monitoring of human resource programs. Conducts personnel management and administrative reviews and studies to determine the quality of human resource programs and to assess compliance with OPM and department requirements. Serves as the focal point for guidance in program and compliance review activities.

Date: June 20, 1989.

Elizabeth M. James,

Assistant Secretary for Management and Budget (Acting).

[FR Doc. 89-15644 Filed 7-3-89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4110-60-M

Centers for Disease Control

Cooperative Agreements for State-Based Diabetic Control Program; Amendment to Notice of Availability of Funds for Fiscal Year 1987

A notice announcing the availability of funds for Fiscal Year 1987 for cooperative agreements for State-Based Diabetes Program was published in the **Federal Register** on Wednesday, February 4, 1987 (52 FR 3489). The notice is amended as follows:

On page 3489, third column, the "Authority" paragraph is revised as follows: "This cooperative agreement is authorized by section 301(a) [42 U.S.C. 241(a)] and section 317(k)(3) [42 U.S.C. 247(b)] of the Public Health Service Act, as amended. The Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance is 13.988."

Dated: June 27, 1989.

Robert L. Foster,

Acting Director, Office of Program Support, Centers for Disease Control.

[FR Doc. 89-15667 Filed 7-3-89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160-18-M

Health Care Financing Administration

Public Information Collection Requirements Submitted to the Office of Management and Budget for Clearance

AGENCY: Health Care Financing Administration, HHS.

The Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) previously published a list of information collection packages it submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for clearance in compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (Pub. L. 96-511). The Health Care Financing Administration (HCFA), a component of HHS, now publishes its own notices as the information collection requirements are submitted to OMB. The HCFA has submitted the following requirements to OMB since the last HCFA list was published.

1. *Type of Request:* New; *Title of Information Collection:* Evaluation of Medicare Alzheimer's Disease Demonstration; *Form Number:* HCFA-F-12; *Frequency:* Semi-annually; *Respondents:* Individuals or households; *Estimated Number of Responses:* 29,610; *Average Hours per Response:* .43; *Total Estimated Burden Hours:* 12,993.

2. *Type of Request:* Reinstatement; *Title of Information Collection:* Request for Medical Review Information for Skilled Nursing Facilities; *Form Number:* HCFA-9031; *Frequency:* On occasion; *Respondents:* Businesses/other for profit; *Estimated Number of Responses:* 244,250; *Average Hours per Response:* .5; *Total Estimated Burden Hours:* 122,125.

3. *Type of Request:* Extension; *Title of Information Collection:* Hospital Request for Certification in the Medicare/Medicaid Program; *Form Number:* HCFA-1514; *Frequency:* On occasion; *Respondents:* State/local governments; *Estimated Number of Responses:* 1,984; *Average Hours per Response:* .25; *Total Estimated Burden Hours:* 496.

4. *Type of Request:* Revision; *Title of Information Collection:* Medicare Renal Dialysis Facility Cost Report; *Form Number:* HCFA-265; *Frequency:* Annually; *Respondents:* Businesses/other for profit and small businesses/organizations; *Estimated Number of Responses:* 1,097; *Average Hours per*

Response: 196; *Total Estimated Burden Hours:* 215,012.

5. *Type of Request:* Extension; *Title of Information Collection:* Request for Claim Number Verification; *Form Number:* HCFA–1600; *Frequency:* On occasion; *Respondents:* Businesses/other for profit and small businesses/organizations; *Estimated Number of Responses:* 70,800; *Average Hours per Response:* 5 minutes; *Total Estimated Burden Hours:* 5,900.

6. *Type of Request:* Extension; *Title of Information Collection:* Health Insurance Common Claims Form (Medicare and Medicaid); *Form Number:* HCFA–1500; *Frequency:* On occasion; *Respondents:* Individuals/households, small businesses/organizations, State/local governments, and businesses/other for profit. Burden information does not apply since this form is considered a standard form by OMB. HCFA is requesting extension of approval for the Form HCFA–1500 and the associated manual instructions for use in the Medicare and Medicaid programs.

The use of the form will include the HCFA Common Procedure Coding System (HCPCS). HCPCS is used to determine reimbursable costs for physicians and non-institutional claims in the Medicaid program.

Additional Information or Comments: Call the Reports Clearance Officer on 301–966–2088 for copies of the clearance request packages. Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collections should be sent directly to the following address: OMB Reports Management Branch, Attention: Allison Herron, New Executive Office Building, Room 3208, Washington, DC 20503.

Date: June 28, 1989.

Louis B. Hays,

Acting Administrator, Health Care Financing Administration.

[FR Doc. 89–15696 Filed 7–3–89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4120–03–M

[HSQ–164–GNC]

Medicare Program; Peer Review Organization; General Criteria and Standards for Evaluating Performance of Contract Obligations

AGENCY: Health Care Financing Administration (HCFA), HHS.

ACTION: General notice.

SUMMARY: This notice provides the general criteria and standards that will be used to evaluate the effective and efficient performance of Peer Review Organizations (PROs) for contracts entered into on or after October 1, 1988. Section 1153(h) of the Social Security Act as added section 4091(b)(1) of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1987 (OBRA '87) requires that we publish these criteria and allow opportunity for public comment.

DATE: To be considered, comments must be mailed or delivered to the appropriate address, as provided below, and must be received by 5:00 p.m. on September 5, 1989.

ADDRESS: Mail comments to the following address: Health Care Financing Administration, Department of Health and Human Services, Attention: HSQ–164–GNC, P.O. Box 26676, Baltimore, Maryland 21207.

If you prefer, you may deliver your comments to one of the following addresses:

Room 309–G, Hubert H. Humphrey Building, 200 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC, or

Room 132, East High Rise Building, 6325 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, Maryland.

In commenting, please refer to file code HSQ–164–GNC. Comments received timely will be available for public inspection as they are received, beginning approximately three weeks after publication of this document, in Room 309–G of the Department's offices at 200 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, DC, on Monday through Friday of each week from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. (phone: 202–245–7890).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael Rappaport, (301) 966–6893.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

A. Program Description

The Peer Review Improvement Act of 1982 (Title I, Subtitle C of the Tax Equity and Fiscal Responsibility Act of 1982 (TEFRA), Pub. L. 97–248) amended Part B of Title XI of the Social Security Act by establishing the Utilization and Quality Control Peer Review Organization (PRO) program. The PRO program was established by Congress in order to redirect, simplify and enhance the cost-effectiveness and efficiency of the medical peer review process. Sections 1153(b) and 1153(c) define the types of organizations eligible to become PROs and establish certain limitations and priorities regarding PRO contracting. In 42 CFR Part 462, Subpart C of our regulations, we describe the types of organizations eligible to become PROs. In § 462.101 we require they (a) be either a physician-sponsored organization as described in § 462.102 or a physician access organization as described in § 462.103; and (b) demonstrate their ability to perform the review requirements set forth in § 462.104.

Section 1154 of the Act requires that PROs review those services furnished by physicians, other health care professionals, providers, and suppliers as specified in their contract with the Secretary. The Secretary enters into contracts with the PROs to review certain health care services or proposed services paid for by the Federal Government to determine whether those services are reasonable, medically necessary, furnished in the appropriate setting, and of a quality which meets professionally recognized standards of care.

In June 1984, HCFA began awarding contracts to PROs. We currently maintain 54 PRO contracts with organizations that provide medical review activities for each of the 50 States, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, and American Samoa/Guam. Each of the existing PRO contracts is a negotiated agreement and includes evaluation provisions discussing the procedures used in assessing the performance of contract obligations. All of the contracts specify the objectives to be achieved during the contract period as well a the structure scope and process of Medicare review to be performed by each PRO.

The Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1987 (OBRA '87), Pub. L. 100–203, includes provisions that impose new requirements on the Secretary with respect to contracts with PROs. Section 4091(b) of OBRA '87 amends Section 1153 of the Act by adding a new subsection (h)(2) that requires the Secretary to publish in the **Federal Register** and provide opportunity for public comment, the general criteria and standards that will be used to evaluate the efficient and effective performance of contract obligations by PROs. These criteria are used in a variety of formal contractor performance appraisal methods routinely used to monitor PRO performance and capability and ultimately are used to determine whether or not a contract should be renewed on a non-competitive basis. (With respect to HMO review, the Secretary maintains the right to determine when competitive procurement of HMO external quality review is in the best interests of the Government, as provided for in Pub. L. 99–272 and Pub. L. 99–509. For example, the competitive procurement process may provide an incentive for development of new review systems).

B. Measuring PRO Performance

PRO contractors performance monitoring provisions are included in each contract as part of the negotiated agreement. Criteria and standards for evaluating performance common to all contracts have been developed based on the scope of work for the contracts. Additional criteria appropriate to work peculiar to a certain contract also may be included as part of the negotiated contract agreement. On September 12, 1988 (53 FR 35234) we published the third scope of work requirements which apply to contracts executed on or before October 1, 1988.

We employ evaluation criteria in three different ways to monitor and assess contractor performance. First, the HCFA regional offices, responsible for the daily ovesight of PRO operations, use the criteria as a basis for monitoring PRO performance, for identifying deficiencies in performance, and for developing corrective action plans to eliminate the deficiencies. Second, the criteria are used as a basis for the PRO Monitoring Protocol and Tracking System (PROMPTS), a periodic contractor performance appraisal currently being conducted twice for each PRO in the two-year contract cycle. (In the future, PROMPTS will be conducted three times during the 3-year contract cycle.) Existing contracts that have been extended for more than 6 months will receive additonal PROMPTS reviews as appropriate. Finally, these criteria are used by HCFA to determine a PRO's final performance rating. On the basis of the performance assessment findings, HCFA determines whether a PRO contract should be renewed non-competitively or awarded through competitive bidding. As discussed above, performance will be one factor in determining if it is in the best interest of the Government to competitively procure contracts for HMO external quality review.

C. Public Comments on New Incentives for Superior Performance

A major challenge of the PRO program is how to maintain accountability for the efficient use of limited Federal resources and control for compliance with contractual standards without constraining program effectiveness, adaptation to unique or changing circumstances, and innovation in review system and methodologies. We are requesting comments from interested parties on methods and mechanisms to identify, measure, and provide appropriate incentives for superior performance by PROs. We request comments particularly concerning:

- Ways to recognize, measure and encourage cost effective PRO innovation and adaptation to unique and changing review environments;
- Introduction of HCFA approved cost effective and innovative review methods and technologies as an additional criterion for evaluating PRO performance;
- Specific ways to strengthen the linkage of existing information systems and the coordination between certifying and monitoring entities;
- Specific ways to reduce redundant oversight and make more effective use of scarce resources on the local, State, and Federal levels; and
- Appropriate acknowledgments of and incentives for increased PRO effectivess. For example, we are interested in comments covering:

—Increased contract flexibility;
—Pilot project funding:
—Greater flexibility in selecting review methodologies;
—Greater flexibility in targeting review resources; and
—Opportunities to train other PRO staff.

Our objective is that interested parties send us their comments and ideas for consideration and use in the Department's ongoing effort to improve the effectiveness and efficiency of the PRO program. We will review all such comments but will not respond to them either individually or in any other PRO program notice.

II. General Criteria and Standards

Although section 1153(h)(2) of the Social Security Act requires that we publish the general criteria and standards and provide opportunity for public comment, this requirement does not encompass the possible inclusion of additional specific evaluation criteria and standards negotiated and inserted in selected contracts. At this time we are soliciting comments only on the general criteria and standards and not on any other aspect of our contractor performance review processes or methodologies.

The evaluation criteria and performance standards to assess the effectiveness and efficiency of PROs are based on our experience in evaluating PRO contract proposals and PRO contractor performance, as well as our experience in evaluating other contractors. We believe that these criteria would provide an unbiased and effective mechanism for performance assessment.

Two discrete criteria sets are presented. The first criteria set contains elements common to all PRO contracts and applies to all PROs. The second criteria set is a supplement for use in evaluating the contract performance of those PROs whose contracts include the review of services furnished to Medicare beneficiaries by risk-based prepaid health plans.

Evaluation Criteria Set I

We measure a PRO's performance generally using elements identical to those used in the evaluation of contract proposals. There are, however, a number of significant differences. Physician sponsorship for example, which is used in the contract proposal evaluation process, is not a factor in the contract performance evaluation process, nor is an organization's "in-State" status (which is pertinent only to the contract procurement process not to the evaluation of existing signed contracts) or experience or personnel. On the other hand, the PRO's cost-benefit ratio is not specifically scored during the contract evaluation process, but we consider it as a performance measure. Also, we intend to examine the extent of each PRO's cooperation with SuperPRO as well as the results of SuperPRO review activities.The SuperPRO is a single, independent medical review organization under contract to the Secretary to perform a rereview of a sample of the review determinations of all PROs. The SuperPRO findings are used by HCFA in the administration of the PRO program to identify potential problem areas in PRO's performance and to help monitor the effectiveness of certain corrective action plans implemented by PROs.

We propose to evaluate the following areas in assessing the fulfillment of contractual obligations by the PROs.

- Site and Timing of PRO Review

We will evaluate the PRO's performance in complying with the negotiated percentage of reviews to be completed onsite as specified under the terms of the contract, as well as the special percentage requirements for onsite review in rural hospitals. We will also evaluate performance with respect to the time period required for completion of review after the receipt of data from a fiscal intermediary or other data sources used to identify cases for review.

- Required Review Activities

We will evaluate the PRO review activities for completeness, accuracy, sampling and calculation of error rates for each of the required review activities specified in the contract.

• Quality Review Activities

We will evaluate the PRO's quality review activities to determine if the PRO meets all elements of HCFA approved quality review and quality intervention plans and uses HCFA generic screens, as specified in the contract.

• Other Review Activities

We will evaluate the PRO's review activities in PPS case reviews, speciality hospitals, readmissions and intervening care review, ambulatory surgery review, intensified review, and preadmission/preprocedure review for timeliness, completeness, accuracy, as well as for the use of facility specific samples, and proper calculation of error rates.

• Objectives

We will evaluate the PRO's performance in developing objectives in response to identified utilization and quality programs as well as the timeliness of the submission of proposed objectives to the HCFA regional office for approval.

• Other Requirements

We will evaluate the PRO for compliance with data reporting requirements and record retention requirements as specified in the contract. We will assess the contractor's data elements against a minimum data set defined by HCFA. We expect the contractor to meet the data profiling requirements specified in its contract and to produce hard copy profile products after reasonable notice.

We will evaluate the contractor's performance in using explicit written review criteria, processing reconsideration requests and appeals, and handling fraud and abuse issues and sanction activities. We also will evaluate the contractor's performance in administering an effective community outreach program, including educating providers about PRO review activities.

• Management Plan

We will evaluate the PRO's performance with respect to the requirements of its management plan, including an internal quality assurance mechanism, as specified in the contract.

• Additional Considerations

We expect the PRO to continue to meet the organizational eligibility requirements as a PRO. In addition, the evaluation process may take into consideration the contractor's cost benefit ratio, and will consider the PRO's cooperation with SuperPRO and the results of SuperPRO review activities.

Evaluation Criteria Set II

We will use the following supplemental evaluation criteria to evaluate the efficient and effective performance of additional contract obligations by those PROs who are responsible for the review of the quality of health care services provided to Medicare beneficiaries by HMO/CMPs under risk contracts.

We propose to evaluate the following areas in assessing the fulfillment of contractual obligations by the PROs in reviewing services furnished by risk-basis HMO/CMPs.

• Initial Analysis

We will evaluate whether the PRO is capable of performing an analysis to determine the appropriate level of review for any HMO/CMP in the review area, whether any HMO/CMP request for initial analysis was performed appropriately, whether criteria used were consistent with accepted standards for HMO internal QA programs and were applied efficiently and effectively and whether any complaints were resolved correctly and expeditiously.

• Review Activities

We will evaluate the efficiency of review activities for completeness, timing and accuracy of the review, calculation of thresholds and proper selection of cases for review. We also will evaluate the PRO's performance in conducting subsample review, limited, basic and intensified review, review of specific medical conditions, ambulatory review, random inpatient hospital review, hospital readmission and transfer cases, and review of non-trauma deaths.

• Sampling

We will evaluate the PRO's sampling to determine whether it meets the minimum/maximum sampling limits.

• Profiles

We will evaluate the PRO to determine whether the PRO is profiling data to identify patterns of problems concerning quality of care in the manner and frequency specified in the contract.

• Quality Review

We will evaluate the accuracy of decisions regarding the presence or absence of quality problems and the severity of these problems. We will further evaluate the PRO's quality review activites in the use of explicit review criteria, generic quality screens, proper assignment of severity levels and notification procedures.

• Quality Intervention

We expect the PRO to meet the requirements of its approved plan for requiring, evaluating, and monitoring corrective action plans from the HMO/CMP and for other interventions as appropriate.

• Involvement of HMO Physicians

We will evaluate whether the level of involvement of HMO physicians in review activities has been appropriate, including how extensively they have been involved in decisions of the organization regarding findings of quality problems in HMO/CMPs.

• Special Review Requirements

We will evaluate the PRO's performance with respect to the special review requirements specified in its contract.

—Community Outreach and External Relationships

We will evaluate the PRO's ability to work effectively with HMO/CMPs to improve the effectiveness and efficiency of the review process, include HMO representatives in the development of explicit review criteria, handle beneficiary complaints and correspondence, and safeguard confidentiality.

—Management

We will evaluate the PRO's performance in complying with site and timing of review requirements, maintaining an effective internal quality control mechanism, and in meeting data collection, aggregation and reporting requirements. We will also evaluate the PRO's performance in its notice and re-review procedures, management of abuse, sanction, and civil monetary penalties activities, as well as the PRO's initiatives in recommending enhancements to the review methodology (i.e., ways to reduce the cost and burden of external review while assuring or increasing the overall effectiveness of the program.)

III. Regulatory Impact Statement

This notice merely complies with the publication and solicitation of public comment requirement imposed on the Secretary by section 1153(h)(2) of the Act. This notice is not a proposed rule or final rule issued after a proposal, and does not alter any regulations. Therefore, we have determined and the Secretary certifies that no analyses are required under Executive Order 12291, the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 through 612), or section 1162(b) of the Act.

IV. Reporting/Recordkeeping Requirements

This notice does not impose information collection requirements.

V. Response to Comments

Publication of the evaluation criteria provides an opportunity for advance notice to all affected and interested parties and affords them the opportunity to express their views. We expect that this process will result in evaluation criteria that provide a comprehensive and equitable evaluation system. Because of the large number of items of correspondence we normally receive as a result of a notice, we are not able to acknowledge or respond to public comments individually. If the evaluation criteria are revised as a result of this process, we will publish a subsequent notice explaining the changes and respond to public comments in that notice.

(Sec. 1153 of the Social Security Act (42 USC 1320c–2) Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 13.773, Medicare-Hospital Insurance; and No. 13.774, Medicare-Supplementary Medical Insurance)

Dated: June 26, 1989.

Louis B. Hays,

Acting Administrator, Health Care Financing Administration.

[FR Doc. 89–15697 Filed 7–3–89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4120–01–M

Privacy Act of 1974; System of Records

AGENCY: Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), Health Care Financing Administration (HCFA).

ACTION: Notice of proposed new routine use for an existing system of records.

SUMMARY: The Health Care Financing Administration (HCFA) is proposing to revise the system notice for its Medicare Physician Identification and Eligibility System (MPIES) HHS/HCFA/BPO No. 09–70–0525, to add a new routine use. We invite comments on this new routine use.

EFFECTIVE DATE: The proposed new routine use shall take effect without further notice August 4, 1989, unless comments received on or before that date would warrant changes.

ADDRESS: Please address comments to: Richard A. Demeo, HCFA Privacy Act Officer, Office of Budget and Administration, Health Care Financing Administration, G–M–1 East Low Rise Building, 6325 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, Maryland 21207. We will make comments received available for inspection at this location.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Phillip Brown, Division of Operational Initiatives, Office of Program Administration, Bureau of Program Operations, Health Care Financing Administration, Room 367, Meadows East Building, 6325 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, Maryland 21207, Telephone (301) 966–7158.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The notice for the Medicare Physician Identification and Eligibility System (MPIES), HHS/HCFA/BPO No. 09–70–0525, was most recently published at 53 FR 50584, December 16, 1988. This system contains records of all physicians, as defined by section 1861(r) of Title XVIII of the Social Security Act, who provide services for which payment is made under Medicare. The records contain a unique physician identification number (UPIN) for each physician, and information concerning a physician's birth, residence, medical education, and eligibility information for Medicare payment. The information contained in the records is obtained from data currently available in the carriers' systems. The purpose of this system of records is to maintain unique identification of each physician requesting or receiving Medicare payment.

We are proposing to add a routine use which was inadvertently left out of this system of records. This proposed routine use is HCFA's rcsearch routine use, which has been included in all of HCFA's basic data system notices. It will modify the system notice to permit HCFA to release data to research contractors and to contractors of other Federal agencies for research and evaluation projects that are determined by HCFA to be important and for which there is reasonable probability that the project will accomplish its objectives. Such projects may address the demographics of the physician community serving Medicare beneficiaries. Strict protection of the data by the requestor is required.

This routine use is similar in nature to the standard routine use that permits disclosure to a contractor for the purpose of collating, analyzing, aggregating or otherwise refining or processing records in a system or for developing, modifying and/or manipulating ADP software.

To comply with the technical requirements of the Privacy Act, we are proposing to establish the routine use below adding to previously published uses (1), (2), (3), (4), (5), (6), (7) and (8).

(9) To an individual or organization for research or evaluation, if HCFA:

a. Deter:nines that the proposed use does not violate legal limitations under which the record was provided, collected, or obtained;

b. Determines that the purpose for which the proposed use is to be made:

(i) Cannot be reasonably accomplished unless the record is provided in a form that identifies individuals,

(ii) Is of sufficient importance to warrant the effect on/or risk to the privacy of the individual which such limited additional exposure of the record might bring, and

(iii) There is a reasonable probability that the objective of the use would be accomplished;

c. Requires the recipient of the information to:

(i) Establish appropriate administrative, technical, and physical safeguards to prevent unauthorized use or disclosure of the record, and

(ii) Remove or destroy the information that enables the individual to be identified at the earliest time at which removal or destruction can be accomplished consistent with the purpose of the project, unless the recipient receives written authorization from HCFA that it is justified based on research objectives for retaining such information, and

(iii) Make no further use of the record except:

(a) For use in another research project, under emergency circumstance affecting the health or safety of any individual, following written authorization of HCFA,

(b) For disclosure to an identified person approved by HCFA for the purpose of auditing the research project, if information that would enable research subjects to be identified is removed or destroyed at the earliest opportunity consistent with the purpose of the audit, or

(c) When further approved by HCFA;

d. Secures a written statement by the recipient of the information attesting to the recipient's understanding of, and willingness to abide by, these provisions.

This new routine use is compatible with the purposes for which the information is collected. Addition of this new routine use can be accomplished with no reduction in physicians' privacy because HCFA will impose requirements on recipients who must agree in writing to protect the data from unauthorized access and being disclosed in a form that permits identification of individuals.

This action does not rquire a report of altered system under 5 U.S.C. 552a(o).

The complete system notice is reprinted below for the convenience of the reader.

Date: June 28, 1989.

Louis B. Hays,

Acting Administrator, Health Care Financing Administration.

09-70-0525

SYSTEM NAME:

Medicare Physician Identification and Eligibility System (MPIES) HHS/HCFA/BPO.

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION:

None.

SYSTEM LOCATION:

Health Care Financing Administration (HCFA) (Paper Media), 6325 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, Maryland 21207. (Contact system manager for location of Magnetic Media computerized records.) Medicare Carriers (See Appendix A).

CATEGORIES OF INDIVIDUALS COVERED BY THE SYSTEM:

All physicians, as defined by section 1861(r) of Title XVIII of the Social Security Act who request and/or receive Medicare reimbursement for their medical services.

CATEGORIES OF RECORDS IN THE SYSTEM:

The system contains a unique physician identification number (UPIN) for each physician and information concerning a physician's birth, residence, medical education, and eligibility information for Medicare reimbursement.

AUTHORITY FOR MAINTENANCE OF THE SYSTEM:

Section 9202(g) of Pub. L. 89-272; 1832(a)(2) (B)(i) and (F); 1833(a)(1)(C) and (G); 1842(a)(1), (b)(3)(B)(ii), (b)(3)(D), (b)(7)(C), (b)(7)(D), (h)(1), (h)(4), (h)(5), and (j); 1861(q), (r), (s)(1) and (aa)(1)(A); and 1862 of Title XVIII of the Social Security Act.

PURPOSE(S)

To maintain unique identification of each physician requesting and/or receiving Medicare reimbursement.

ROUTINE USES OF RECORDS MAINTAINED IN THE SYSTEM, INCLUDING CATEGORIES OF USES AND PURPOSES OF SUCH USES:

Disclosures may be made to:

(1) A contractor for the purpose of collating, analyzing, aggregating or otherwise refining or processing records in this system or for developing, modifying and/or manipulating ADP software. Data would also be disclosed to contractors incidental to consultation, programming, operation, user assistance, or maintenance for an ADP or telecommunications system containing or supporting records in the system.

(2) A congressional office from the record of an individual physician in response to an inquiry from the congressional office at the request of that individual physician.

(3) The Railroad Retirement Board for administering provisions of the Railroad Retirement and Social Security Acts relating to railroad employment.

(4) Professional Review Organizations in connection with their review of claims, or in connection with studies or other review activities, conducted pursuant to Part B of Title XI of the Social Security Act.

(5) To the Department of Justice, to a court or other tribunal, or to another party before such tribunal, when

(a) The Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), or any component thereof; or

(b) Any HHS employee in his or her official capacity; or

(c) Any HHS employee in his or her official capacity where the Department of Justice (or HHS, where it is authorized to do so) has agreed to represent the employee; or

(d) The United States or any agency thereof where HHS determines that the litigation is likely to affect HHS or any of its components.

Is a party to litigation or has an interest in such litigation, and HHS determines that the use of such records by the Department of Justice, the tribunal, or the other party is relevant and necessary to the litigation and would help in the effective representation of the government party, provided, however, that in each case, HHS determines that such disclosure is compatible with the purpose for which the records were collected.

(6) The Department of Justice for investigation and prosecuting violations of the Social Security Act to which criminal penalities attach, or other criminal statutes as they pertain to the Social Security Act programs, for representing the Secretary, and for investigating issues of fraud by agency officers or employees, or violation of civil rights.

(7) State Licensing Boards for review of unethical practices or nonprofessional conduct.

(8) To the American Medical Association (AMA), for the purpose of attempting to identify medical doctors when the Registry is unable to establish identity after matching carrier-submitted data to the data extract provided by the AMA. The AMA would attempt to establish medical doctor identity by matching the Registry's MPIES data to data in the AMA Physician Masterfile.

The AMA agrees:

a. To use the Registry's MPIES data solely for an attempted match as described above;

b. To make no copies of the MPIES data it receives from the Registry, except for one back-up copy;

c. To return the MPIES data to the Registry upon completion of its matching operation, and erase back-up copies of the MPIES data;

d. To establish appropriate administrative, technical, and physical safeguards to prevent unauthorized use or disclosure of the records; and,

e. To sign a written statement attesting to its understanding of and willingness to abide by these provisions.

(9) To an individual or organization for research or evaluation, if HCFA:

a. Determines that the proposed use does not violate legal limitations under which the record was provided, collected, or obtained;

b. Determines that the purpose for which the proposed use is to be made:

(i) Cannot be reasonably accomplished unless the record is provided in a form that identifies individuals,

(ii) Is of sufficient importance to warrant the effect on/or risk to the privacy of the individual which such limited additional exposure of the record might bring, and

(iii) There is a reasonable probability that the objective of the use would be accomplished;

c. Requires the recipient of the information to:

(i) Establish appropriate administrative, technical, and physical safeguards to prevent unauthorized use or disclosure of the record, and

(ii) Remove or destroy the information that enables the individual to be identified at the earliest time at which removal or destruction can be accomplished consistent with the purpose of the project, unless the recipient receives written authorization from HCFA that it is justified based on research objectives for retaining such information, and

(iii) Make no further use of the record except:

(a) For use in another research project, under emergency circumstance affecting the health or safety of any individual, following written authorization of HCFA,

(b) For disclosure to an indentified person approved by HCFA for the purpose of auditing the research project, if information that would enable research subjects to be identified is removed or destroyed at the earliest

opportunity consistent with the purpose of the audit, or

(c) When further approved by HCFA;

d. Secures a written statement by the recipient of the information attesting to the recipient's understanding of, and willingness to abide by, these provisions.

POLICIES AND PRACTICES FOR STORING, RETRIEVING, ACCESSING, RETAINING, AND DISPOSING OF RECORDS IN THE SYSTEM:

STORAGE:

Paper and magnetic media.

RETRIEVABILITY:

Records are retrieved alphabetically by the physicians' name or by their UPIN.

SAFEGUARDS:

a. Authorized Users: Only agency employees and contractor personnel whose duties require the use of information in the system. In addition, such agency employees and contractor personnel are advised that the information is confidential and of criminal sanctions for unauthorized disclosure of information.

b. Physical Safeguards: Records are stored in locked files or secured areas. Computer terminals are in secured areas.

c. Procedural Safeguards: Employees who maintain records in the system are instructed to grant regular access only to authorized users. Data stored in computers are accessed through the use of passwords known only to authorized personnel.

Contractors who maintain records in this system are instructed to make no further disclosure of the records except as authorized by the system manager and permitted by the Privacy Act. Privacy Act language is included in contracts related to this system.

d. Implementation Guidelines: Safeguards implemented in accordance with all guidelines required by the Department of Health and Human Services. Safeguards for automated records have been established in accordance with HHS *ADP Systems Manual,* Part 6, "ADP Systems Security."

RETENTION AND DISPOSAL:

Records are retained indefinitely, except in the instance of a physician's death, in which case HCFA would retain such records for a 10 year period following the physician's death.

SYSTEM MANAGER(S) AND ADDRESS:

Director, Bureau of Program Operations, Health Care Financing Administration, Room 300 Meadows East Building, 6325 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, Maryland 21207.

NOTIFICATION PROCEDURES:

Inquiries and requests for system records should be addressed to the system manager at the address above. The requestor must specify the physician's name, date of birth, and medical school.

RECORD ACCESS PROCEDURES:

Same as notification procedure. Requestors should also reasonably specify the record contents being sought. (These procedures are in accordance with Departmental Regulations (45 CFR 5b.5(a)(2).)

CONTESTING RECORD PROCEDURES:

Contact the system manager named above, and reasonably identify the record and specify the information to be contested. State the reason for contesting it; e.g., why it is inaccurate, irrelevant, incomplete or not current. (These procedures are in accordance with Departmental Regulations (45 CFR 5b.7).)

RECORDS SOURCE CATEGORIES:

HCFA obtains the identifying information in this system from carriers. Information in these records concerning physicians' eligibility for Medicare reimbursement is obtained either directly or through Medicare Regional Offices, contractors, and PROs; from the Department of Justice; State or local judicial systems; medical licensing and certification agencies or organizations; and medical societies and associations.

SYSTEMS EXEMPTED FROM CERTAIN PROVISIONS OF THE ACT:

None.

Appendix A—Medicare Carriers

Medicare Coordinator, Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Alabama, 450 Riverchase Parkway East, Birmingham, Alabama 35298

Vice President for Medicare and Medical Services, Arkansas Blue Cross and Blue Shield, Inc., 601 Gaines Street, Little Rock, Arkansas 72203

Medicare Coordinator, California Physicians Service, (d/b/a Blue Shield of California), P.O. Box 7013, No. 2 Northpoint, San Francisco, California 94120

Medicare Coordinator, Transamerica Occidental Life Insurance Company, P.O. Box 54905 Terminal Annex, Los Angeles, California 90054

Assistant Vice President, Rocky Mountain Hospital and Medical Service, (d/b/a Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Colorado), 700 Broadway, Denver, Colorado 80273

Medicare Administrator, Travelers Ins. Co., One Tower Square, Hartford, Connecticut 06183

Medicare Administrator, Aetna Life & Casualty, 151 Farmington Avenue, Hartford, Connecticut 06156

Medicare Coordinator, Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Florida, Inc., P.O. Box 1798, Jacksonville, Florida 32231

Health Care Service Corporation, 233 North Michigan Avenue, Chicago, Illinois 60601

Associated Insurance Companies, Inc. (d/b/a Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Indiana), 8320 Craig Street, Suite 100, Indianapolis, Indiana 46250–0453

Assistant Executive Director, Blue Shield of Iowa, Ruan Building, 636 Grand Avenue Station 28, Des Moines, Iowa 50309

Medicare Assistant, Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas, Inc., P.O. Box 239, Topeka, Kansas 66601

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kentucky, Inc., 100 East Vine Street, 6th Floor, Lexington, Kentucky 40517

Medicare Coordinator, Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Maryland, Inc., 700 E. Joppa Road, Baltimore, Maryland 21204

Medicare Coordinator Part B, Blue Shield of Massachusetts, Inc., 100 Summer Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02110

Assistant Vice President, Government Affairs Department, Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Michigan, 600 Lafayette East, Detroit, Michigan 48228

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Minnesota, P.O. Box 64357, 3535 Blue Cross Road, St. Paul, Minnesota 55164

Vice President Government Programs, Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Kansas City, P.O. Box 169, Kansas City, Missouri 64141

Director, Medicare Administration Genral American Life Insurance Co., P.O. Box 505, St. Louis, Missouri 63166

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Montana, Inc., P.O. Box 4309, 404 Fuller Avenue, Helena, Montana 59601

Medicare Coordinator, Prudential Insurance Co. of America, Tri-City Office Drawer 471, Millville, New Jersey 08332

Director of Medicare Part B, Blue Shield of Western New York, Inc., 298 Main Street, Buffalo, New York 14202

Medicare Coordinator, Group Health Insurance, Inc., 330 West 42nd Street, New York, New York 10036

Medicare Coordinator, Empire Blue Cross and Blue Shield, 622 Third Avenue, New York, New York 10017

Medicare Coordinator, EQUICOR, Inc., 1285 Avenue of the Americas, New York, New York 10019

Medicare Coordinator, Blue Cross and Blue Shield of North Dakota, 4510 13th Avenue, S.W., Fargo, North Dakota 58121

Medicare System and Processing Division, Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company, P.O. Box 16788, Columbus, Ohio 43216

Medicare Coordinator, Pennsylvania Blue Shield, P.O. Box 65, Camp Hill, Pennsylvania 17011

Chief, Internal Operations, Sequros de Servico de Salud de Puerto Rico, Inc., G.P.O. Box 3628, San Juan, Puerto Rico 00936–3628

Medicare Coordinator, Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Rhode Island, 444 Westminster Mall, Providence, Rhode Island 02901

Medicare Coordinator Blue Cross and Blue Shield of South Carolina, Fontaine Business Center, 300 Arbor Lake Drive, Suite 1300, Columbia, South Carolina 29223

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Texas, Inc., 901 South Central Expressway, P.O. Box 833815, Richardson, Texas 75083–3615
Manager, Part B, Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Utah, P.O. Box 30270, 2455 Parley's Way, Salt Lake City, Utah 84130
Assistant Administrator, Washington Physicians Service, 4th and Battery Building, 2401 4th Avenue, 6th Floor, Seattle, Washington 98121
Director, Medicare Claims Department, Wisconsin Physicians' Service Insurance, Corp., 1717 West Broadway, Monona, Wisconsin 53713.

[FR Doc. 89–15663 Filed 7–3–89; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4120–03–M

Health Resources and Services Administration

Program Announcement and Proposed Funding Priorities for Grants for Faculty Development in General Internal Medicine and General Pediatrics

The Health Resources and Services Administration announces that applications for Fiscal Year 1990 Grants for Faculty Development in General Internal Medicine and General Pediatrics are being accepted under the authority of section 784 of the Public Health Service Act, and invites comments on the proposed funding priorities stated in this announcement.

The Administration's budget request for Fiscal Year 1990 does not include funding for this program. Applicants should be advised that this program announcement is a contingency action being taken to ensure that should funds become available for this purpose, they can be awarded in a timely fashion consistent with the needs of the programs as well as to provide for even distribution of funds throughout the fiscal year. This notice regarding applications does not reflect any change in this policy.

Section 784 of the Public Health Service Act authorizes Federal assistance to schools of medicine and osteopathic medicine, public or private nonprofit hospitals or other public or private nonprofit entities for planning, developing and operating programs for the training of physicians who plan to teach in general internal medicine or general pediatrics training programs. These grants are intended to promote the development of faculty skills in physicians who are currently teaching or who plan teaching careers in general internal medicine or general pediatrics training programs. These grants also provide financial assistance in meeting the cost of supporting physicians who are trainees in such programs.

In addition, section 784 authorizes the award of grants to support general internal medicine or general pediatrics residency training programs. A separate grant program exists for this purpose.

To receive support, applicants must meet the requirements of final regulations as specified in 42 CFR Part 57, Subpart FF.

Review Criteria

The review of applications will take into consideration the following criteria:

(1) The degree to which the applicant demonstrates a commitment to general internal medicine or general pediatrics.

(2) The degree to which the proposed project adequately provides for the project requirements.

(3) The administrative and management capability of the applicant to carry out the proposed project in a cost-effective manner.

(4) The qualifications of the proposed staff and faculty.

(5) The potential of the project to continue on a self-sustaining basis.

In addition, the following mechanisms may be applied in determining the funding of approved applications:

1. Funding preferences—funding of a specific category or group of approved applications ahead of other categories or groups of applications, such as competing continuations ahead of new projects.

2. Funding priorities—favorable adjustment of review scores when applications meet specified objective criteria.

3. Special considerations—enhancement of priority scores by merit reviewers based on the extent to which applicants address special areas of concern.

Proposed Funding Priorities for Fiscal Year 1990

In determining the order of funding of approved applications, it is proposed to give a funding priority to the following:

1. Projects which satisfactorily demonstrate enrollment of underrepresented minorities in proportion to or greater than their percentage in the general population or can document an increase in the number of underrepresented minorities (i.e., Black, Hispanic and American Indian/Alaskan Native minority trainees). These population groups continue to be underrepresented in the medical profession and have insufficient access to primary medical care. Studies show that minority physicians provide a greater proportion of health care for medically underserved populations than other United States physicians. Therefore, increased representation will help promote equal access to health care. Accordingly, this funding priority is designed to promote faculty development initiatives that will encourage and support increased numbers of primary care underrepresented minority physicians.

2. Applications proposing to develop faculty competence for teaching ambulatory and inpatient case management of those with HIV infection including AIDS patients. Health professionals are increasingly required to provide a wide range of services to HIV infected persons. However, widespread organized curricula offerings for these trainees are not in place. The proposed priority is designed to encourage new offerings.

3. Applications designed to develop faculty competence for teaching quality assurance/risk management activities, monitoring and evaluation of health care services and utilization of peer-developed guidelines and standards. Assuring quality in the health care system is increasingly becoming the responsibility of health care providers. The proposed funding priority is designed to encourage increased emphasis on the principles and methods of health care quality assurance/risk management in the continuum of the health professions educational process.

Interested persons are invited to comment on the proposed funding priorities. Normally, the comment period would be 60 days. However, due to the need to implement any changes for the Fiscal Year 1990 award cycle, this comment period has been reduced to 30 days. All comments received on or before August 4, 1989, will be considered before the final funding priorities are established. No funds will be allocated or final selections made until a final notice is published stating whether the final funding priorities will be applied.

Written comments should be addressed to: Director, Division of Medicine, Bureau of Health Professions, Health Resources and Services Administration, Parklawn Building, Room 4C–25, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, Maryland 20857.

All comments received will be available for public inspection and copying at the Division of Medicine, Bureau of Health Professions, at the above address, weekdays (Federal holidays excepted) between the hours of 8:30 a.m. and 5:00 p.m.

Special Consideration

Special consideration will be given to applicants demonstrating a commitment to general internal medicine or general pediatrics. Requests for application

materials and questions regarding grants policy should be directed to: Grants Management Officer (D–28), Bureau of Health Professions, Health Resources and Services Administration, 5600 Fishers Lane, Room 8C–22, Rockville, Maryland 20857, Telephone: (301) 443–6960.

Application materials should be mailed to the Grants Management Officer at the above address.

Questions regarding programmatic information should be directed to: Chief, Primary Care Medical Education Branch, Division of Medicine, Bureau of Health Professions, Health Resources and Services Administration, 5600 Fishers Lane, Room 4C–18, Rockville, Maryland 20857, Telephone: (301) 443–1467.

The standard application form PHS 6025–1, HRSA Competing Training Grant Application, General Instructions and supplement for this program have been approved by the Office of Management and Budget under the Paperwork Reduction Act. The OMB clearance number is 0915–0060.

Pub. L. 100–607, section 633(a), requires that for grants issued under sections 780, 784, 785 and 786 for Fiscal Year 1990 or subsequent fiscal years, the Secretary of Health and Human Services shall, not less than twice each fiscal year, issue solicitations for applications for such grants if amounts appropriated for such grants and remaining unobligated at the end of the first solicitation period, are sufficient with respect to issuing a second solicitation. Should a second cycle be necessary, the application date will be approximately six months from the first deadline.

The application deadline date is September 22, 1989. Applications shall be considered as meeting the deadline if they are either:

1. Received on or before the deadline date, or

2. Postmarked on or before the deadline date and received in time for submission to the independent review group. A legibly dated receipt from a commercial carrier or the U.S. Postal Service will be accepted in lieu of a postmark. Private metered postmarks shall not be acceptable as proof of timely mailing.

Applications received after the deadline will be returned to the applicant.

This program is listed at 13.900 in the *Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance.* Applications submitted in response to this announcement are not subject to the provisions of Executive Order 12372 Intergovernmental Review of Federal Programs, (as implemented through 45 CFR Part 100).

Dated: June 12, 1989.

John H. Kelso,

Acting Administrator.

[FR Doc. 89–15671 Filed 7–3–89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160–15–M

Program Announcement and Proposed Special Consideration and Proposed Funding Priority for Nursing Special Project Grants Continuing Education Offering, Pediatric Emergency Care

The Health Resources and Services Administration announces that applications will be accepted for grants for Nursing Continuing Education Offerings centered on pediatric emergency care authorized by section 820(a), Title VIII of the Public Health Service Act. Comments are invited on the proposed special consideration and proposed funding priority set forth below.

Purpose I under Section 820(a) provides that the Secretary of Health and Human Services make grants to public and nonprofit schools of nursing and other nonprofit private entities to improve the quality and availability of nurse training through projects which provide continuing education to practicing professional nurses. On May 24, 1989 (54 FR 22493), a Federal Register announcement was published covering another Fiscal Year 1990 competitive grant cycle for Nursing Special Project Grants.

Applications will be available to eligible entities for projects which will enhance the knowledge, skills and attitudes of professional nurses working in emergency care settings. This announcement is limited to particular projects focused only on pediatric emergency care.

The Administration's budget request for Fiscal Year 1990 does not include funding for this program. Applicants should be advised that this program announcement is a contingency action being taken to ensure that should funds become available for this purpose, they can be awarded in a timely fashion consistent with the needs of the program as well as to provide for even distribution of funds throughout the fiscal year. This notice regarding applications does not reflect any change in this policy.

Background

The Division of Nursing invites applications for continuing education projects which focus on care of pediatric emergencies which account for 10–20 percent of the total visits made to emergency rooms. The target group of participants will be professional nurses who work in emergency rooms or emergency care settings. For the past five years the Health Resources and Services Administration has supported projects focused on pediatric emergency medical services. To date, twelve such projects are in place across the nation. While these projects permit support of staff education, the need for such education is much greater that these projects can support. Additionally the bulk of pediatric emergencies are treated in community based hospital emergency rooms.

Nurses employed in emergency rooms come from a variety of education and experience backgrounds. Their knowledge and skills in caring for pediatric clients varies considerably. To meet this need, it is proposed that eligible applicants be encouraged to undertake projects designed to provide continuing education offerings for practicing nurses employed in emergency rooms and emergency care settings. It is expected that the proposed project will involve nurses employed in a state or regional area and that the course will include both didactic and clinical experience.

To receive support, applicants must meet the requirements of 42 CFR Part 57, Subpart T.

Review Criteria

The review of applications will take into consideration the following criteria:

1. The national or special local need which the particular project proposes to serve;

2. The potential effectiveness of the proposed project in carrying out such purposes;

3. The administrative and managerial capability of the applicant to carry out the proposed project;

4. The adequacy of the facilities and resources available to the applicant to carry out the proposed project;

5. The qualifications of the project director and proposed staff;

6. The reasonableness of the proposed budget in relation to the proposed project; and

7. The potential of the project to continue on a self-sustaining basis after the period of grant support.

In addition, the following mechanisms may be applied in determining the funding of approved applications.

1. Funding preferences—funding of a specific category or group of approved applications ahead of other categories or groups of applications, such as competing continuations ahead of new projects.

2. Funding priorities—favorable adjustments of review scores when applications meet specified objective criteria.

3. Special considerations—enhancement of priority scores by merit reviewers based on the extent to which applicants address special areas of concern.

Proposed Special Consideration For Fiscal Year 1990

Section 820(a)(1)

It is proposed to give special consideration to projects which provide expansion of current curriculum or development and implementation of new curriculum concerning the prevention of HIV infection and the care of HIV infection related diseases.

Nursing personnel are increasingly required to provide a wide range of services to HIV infected persons. This special consideration is designed to encourage new curricula for continuing education offerings for practicing nurses.

Proposed Funding Priority for Fiscal Year 1990

It is proposed to give a funding priority to applications for continuing education programs in the area of Quality Assurance/Risk Management for nurses. Assuring quality in the health care system is increasingly becoming the responsibility of the health care providers. This proposed priority is designed to encourage increased emphasis on the principles and methods of health care quality assurance and risk management.

Interested persons are invited to comment on the proposed special consideration and proposed funding priority. Normally, the comment period would be 60 days. However, due to the need to implement any changes for the Fiscal Year 1990 award cycle, the comment period has been reduced to 30 days. All comments received on or before August 4, 1989, will be considered before a final special consideration and funding priority is established. No funds will be allocated or final selections made until a final notice is published indicating whether the final special consideration and funding priority will be applied.

Written comments should be addressed to: Director, Division of Nursing, Bureau of Health Professions, Health Resources and Services Administration, Parklawn Building, Room 5C–26, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, Maryland 20857.

All comments received will be available for public inspection and copying at the Division of Nursing, Bureau of Health Professions, at the above address, weekdays (Federal holidays excepted) between the hours of 8:30 a.m. and 5:00 p.m.

The application deadline date for this initiative for Fiscal Year 1990 funding is October 1, 1989. Applications shall be considered as meeting the deadline date if they are either:

(1) Received on or before the deadline date, or

(2) Postmarked on or before the deadline date, and received in time for submission to the independent review group. A legibly dated receipt from a commercial carrier or U.S. Postal Service will be accepted in lieu of a postmark. Private metered postmarks shall not be acceptable as proof of timely mailing.

Applications received after the deadline will be returned to the applicant.

Requests for application materials and questions regarding grants policy should be directed to Grants Management Officer (D–10), Bureau of Health Professions, Health Resources & Services Administration, Parklawn Building, Room 8C–22, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, Maryland, 20857. Telephone: (301) 443–6915.

Application materials should be mailed to the Grants Management Officer at the above address. Questions regarding programmatic information should be directed to: Division of Nursing, Bureau of Health Professions, Nursing Education Practice Resources Branch, Health Resources and Services Administration, Parklawn Building, Room 5C–14, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, Maryland 20857, Telephone: (301) 443–6193.

The standard application form and general instructions, PHS 6025–1, HRSA Competing Training Grant Application and supplement for this program have been approved by the Office of Management and Budget under the Paperwork Reduction Act. The OMB clearance number is 0915–0060.

This program is listed at 13.359 in the *Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance* and is not subject to the provisions of Executive Order 12372, Intergovernmental Review of Federal Programs (as implemented through 45 CFR Part 100).

Dated: June 8, 1989.

John H. Kelso,

Acting Administrator.

[FR Doc. 89–15672 Filed 7–3–89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160–15–M

Public Health Service

Health Resources and Services Administration; Statement of Organization, Functions and Delegations of Authority

Part H Chapter HB (Health Resources and Services Administration) of the Statement of Organization, Functions and Delegations of Authority of the Department of Health and Human Services (47 FR 39409–24, August 31, 1982, as amended at 4854538, December 5, 1983), is amended to reflect the abolishment of the Office of Engineering Services and a transfer of the Office of Engineering Services functions to the Office of the Associate Director for Health Facilities, Bureau of Maternal and Child Health and Resources Development, Health Resources and Services Administration.

Under HB10, *Organization and Functions*, make the following amendments within the Bureau of Maternal and Child Health and Resources Development (HBR):

1. Delete the *Office of Engineering Services (HBR14)* in its entirety.

2. In the statement for the *Office of the Associate Director for Health Facilities (HBRE)*, delete the "and" before item number (10); change the period at the end of item number (10) to a semicolon and add the following after item number (10): and, (11) "administers the Facilities Engineering and Construction Assistance Program."

This transfer is effective upon date of signature.

Wilford J. Forbush,

Director, Office of Management.

Date: June 26, 1989.

[FR Doc. 89–15699 Filed 7–3–89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160–15–M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management; Alaska

[AK–967–4230–15; AA–9106–F]

Alaska Native Claims Selection

In accordance with Departmental regulation 43 CFR 2650.7(d), notice is hereby given that a decision to issue conveyance under the provisions of section 14(h)(3) of the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act of December 18, 1971, 43 U.S.C. 1601, 1613(h)(3), will be issued to Natives of Kodiak, Incorporated for approximately 670.03 acres. The lands involved are in the vicinity of Kodiak, Alaska.

A notice of the decision will be published once a week, for four (4) consecutivce weeks, in the Kodiak Daily Mirror. Copies of the decision may be obtained by contacting the Alaska State Office of the Bureau of Land Management, 222 West Seventh Avenue, #13, Anchorage, Alaska 99513–7599, ((907) 271–5960).

Any party claiming a property interest which is adversely affected by the decision, an agency of the Federal Government or regional corporation, shall have until August 4, 1989 to file an appeal. However, parties receiving service by certified mail shall have 30 days from the date of receipt to file an appeal. Appeals must be filed in the Bureau of Land Management at the address identified above, where the requirements for filing an appeal may be obtained. Parties who do not file an appeal in accordance with the requirements of 43 CFR Part 4, Subpart E, shall be deemed to have waived their rights.

Patricia A. Baker,

Acting Chief, Branch of KCS Adjudication.

[FR Doc. 89–15666 Filed 7–3–89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–JA–M

California Desert District; Desert Tortoise Research Natural Area and Area of Critical Environmental Concern; Implementation of Updated Plan

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Implementation of Desert Tortoise Research Natural Area and Area of Critical Environmental Concern (ACEC) management plan.

SUMMARY: The Desert Tortoise Research Natural Area and ACEC was established to protect habitat with the highest known densities of desert tortoises. Habitat for native plant and animal species will be protected, conserved, and enhanced. An additional goal is to promote contemplative recreational and educational activities while allowing appropriate research and study. The authorities for the management plan are 43 CFR 8200.0–1, 8223.0–1, 8223.0–5, 8223.0–6, 8223.1, 8340, 8341, 8342, 8343, 8351, 8364, and 8365, the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976, the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, the Sikes Act of 1974, and 43 CFR Public Land Order 5694. The area affected by this management plan is the Desert Tortoise Research Natural Area and ACEC. This area contains approximately 17,740 acres of BLM managed public lands in Kern County. The ACEC Mangaement Plan was developed following the guidelines established for the area in the California Desert Conservation Area Plan. The ACEC Management Plan included public involvement. This plan is an update of the original plan, which was completed in 1977.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 5, 1989.

ADDRESS: Send inquiries to Area Manager, Ridgecrest Resource Area, 112 East Dolphin Street, Ridgecrest, California 93555. The Desert Tortoise Research Natural Area and ACEC Management Plan and public comments will be available at the above address from 7:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. on regular working days. For further information contact Robert Parker at the above address or (619) 375–7125.

Plan Actions: The purpose of the closures and restrictions is to eliminate the impacts from grazing, mining, and vehicle use within the exclosure and reduce the impacts to tortoises from collectors, vandalism, raven predation, vehicle use outside the exclosure, and released captive tortoises. BLM will install and maintain signs, increase law enforcement, improve visitor services, reduce vehicle use on adjacent public lands, limit firearm use, and attempt to control ravens.

BLM will eliminate unauthorized sheep grazing and vehicle access within the Natural Area boundaries. BLM will acquire private lands within the Natural Area boundaries. The Natural Area will be closed to all firearm use except for limited hunting of upland game in the Rand Mountains. Hunting will be allowed in a portion of the Natural Area and will be managed by the California Department of Fish and Game.

A map showing the most current land status, areas where hunting is allowed and exisitng fences, is available for review at the Ridgecrest Resource Area Office.

Any person who violates or fails to comply with these regulations as governed by 43 CFR Parts 8340, 8351, 8364, and 8365 may be subject to prosecution pursuant to appropriate laws and regulations. Such punishment may be a fine of not more than $1,000 or imprisonment for not longer than 12 months or both.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION, CONTACT: Gerald E. Hillier, District Manager, Bureau of Land Management, 1695 Spruce Street, Riverside, California 92507, (714) 351–6386).

Date: June 23, 1989.

H.W. Riecken,

Acting District Manager.

[FR Doc. 89–15705 Filed 7–3–89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–40–M

[CO–010–09–4332–02]

Craig, CO, Advisory Council Meeting

Time and Date: August 9, 1989, at 10 a.m.

Place: BLM-Craig District Office, 455 Emerson Street, Craig, Colorado.

Status: Open to public; interested persons may make oral statements at 10:30 a.m. Summary minutes of the meeting will be maintained in the Craig District Office.

Matters to be Considered

1. Discussion of draft wilderness environmental impact statement
2. Recreation
3. Noxious weeds
4. Update on riparian workshop.

Contact Person for More Information: Mary Pressley, Craig District Office, 455 Emerson Street, Craig, Colorado 81625–1129, Phone: (303) 824–8261.

Dated: June 23, 1989.

Jerry L. Kidd,

Associate District Manager.

[FR Doc. 89–15706 Filed 7–3–89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–JB–M

Rawlins District Grazing Advisory Board; Meeting

[WY–030–09–4329–12]

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management (BLM), Interior.

ACTION: Notice of Meeting of the Rawlins District Grazing Advisory Board.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given of a meeting of the Rawlins District Grazing Advisory Board. The meeting will be held in accordance with the Taylor Grazing Act of 1934, the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976, the Public Rangelands Improvement Act of 1978, and the Executive Order 12548 of February 14, 1986.

DATE: July 11, 1989.

ADDRESS: Muddy Gap Shop, Wyoming Highway Department, Muddy Gap, Wyoming.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Spehar, District Range Conservationist, or Grant Petersen, Public Affairs Specialist, Rawlins District, Bureau of Land Management, P.O. Box 670, Rawlins, WY 82301, (307) 324–7171.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The agenda for the meeting will include:

1. Opportunity for the public to present information or make comment.
2. Discussion of the Bureau Recreation Brochure.
3. Depart for tour of the Whiskey Peak Allotment, Lander Resource Area.

4. During lunch—update of 1988 range improvement program and wild horse gathers for 1988.

5. Review and discuss a Bureau water development project—A&M Reservoir.

Date: June 9, 1989.

Richard Bastin,

District Manager.

[FR Doc. 89-15668 Filed 7-3-89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-22-M

[AZ-050-09-4212-14]

Arizona; La Paz County, Realty Action, Land Disposal.

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management (BLM), Interior.

ACTION: Notice of realty action, La Paz County, Arizona.

SUMMARY: The following Federal lands have been identified as suitable for disposal by sale, at fair market value, or under the Recreation and Public Purposes Act of June 14, 1926 (43 U.S.C. 869 *et seq.*), or by exchange under sections 203 or 206 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1713, 1716):

Gila and Salt River Meridian, Arizona

Township 4 North, Range 19 West, available Federal lands in sections 15, 17, 20, 21, 22, 23, 26, 27, 28, and 29

For a map of the proposed disposal area, contact the Yuma Resource Area Office at the address and telephone number listed below.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mary E. Barger, Acting Area Manager, Bureau of Land Management, Yuma Resource Area, 3150 Winsor Avenue, Yuma, Arizona 85365, 602-726-6300.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: An appraisal will be completed prior to disposal to determine the fair market value of the land.

Publication of this Notice will segregate the subject land from appropriations under the public land laws, including the mining laws, but not mineral leasing laws. This segregation will terminate upon issuance of a patent, or 270 days from the date of publication of this Notice in the **Federal Register**, or upon publication of a Notice of Termination.

Herman L. Kast,

District Manager.

Date: June 26, 1989.

[FR Doc. 89-15707 Filed 7-3-89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-32-M

Minerals Management Service

Delegation of Royalty Management Authority to State of Louisiana

June 22, 1989.

AGENCY: Minerals Management Service (MMS), Interior.

ACTION: Notice of public hearing and request for comments.

SUMMARY: The Minerals Management Service (MMS) of the Department of the Interior hereby gives notice of a public hearing on a petition from the State of Louisiana for delegation of authority for royalty management activities. The petition was submitted pursuant to section 205 of the Federal Oil and Gas Royalty Management Act of 1982 (FOGRMA), 30 U.S.C. 1735 and 30 CFR Part 229. Written comments from interested persons also will be accepted.

DATES: The public hearing will be held beginning at 1 p.m. on August 17, 1989. Written comments will be accepted by MMS on the petition through August 31, 1989.

ADDRESSES: The hearing will be held at the State Lands and Natural Resources Buiding, 625 North 4th Street, 4th floor (Docket Room), Baton Rouge, Louisiana.

Written comments should be sent to the Minerals Management Service, Royalty Management Program, Office of State and Tribal Support Program, Mr. Todd R. McCutcheon, P.O. Box 25165, MS-658, Denver, Colorado 80225.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Todd R. McCutcheon, Chief, Office of State and Tribal Support Program, Minerals Management Service, P.O. Box 25165, MS-658, Denver, Colorado 80225, (303) 231-3340, (FTS) 326-3340.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 205 of the FOGRMA, authorizes the Secretary of the Interior to delegate to States certain audit, inspection, and investigation authority for oil and gas production on Federal and Indian leases. The MMS issued regulations implementing section 205 of the FOGRMA at 30 CFR 229 which defines the scope of authority which may be delegated to States and the standards for such delegation. Section 229.102 of the regulations requires that a public hearing(s) be held on a petition for delegation from a State to determine whether:

- The State has an acceptable plan for carrying out delegated responsibilities and if it is likely that the State will provide adequate resources to achieve the requirements of the FOGRMA.
- The State has the ability to put in place a process within 60 days of the grant of delegation which will assure the Secretary that the functions to be delegated to the State can be effectively carried out;
- The State has demonstrated that it will effectively and faithfully administer the rules and regulations of the Secretary in accordance with the requirements at 30 U.S.C. 1735;
- The State's plan to carry out the delegated authority will be in accordance with the MMS standards; and
- The State's plan to coordinate out the delegated authority with MMS and the Office of the Inspector General audit efforts to eliminate added burden on any lessee or group of lessees operating Federal or Indian Oil and gas leases within the State.

The purpose of the subject hearing is to provide a public forum to discuss the State of Louisiana's written request for delegation of audit activities for oil and gas royalties with respect to Federal and Indian lands within the State. The State's written request for delegation will be available for public inspection at the hearing. Topics for discussion at the hearing include:

- The State's resources to be devoted to the delegated audit activity.
- The ability of the State to effectively and faithfully administer the rules and regulations of the Secretary of the Interior under the FOGRMA.
- Whether or not the delegation of authority will create an unreasonable burden on any lessee, with respect to the Federal and Indian lands within the State.

The presiding officer at the hearing will establish the procedures for conduct of the hearing. Any interested person may submit written comments on the petition which will be accepted by MMS through August 31, 1989, at the address identified above.

Date: June 27, 1989.

Kenneth M. Moyers,

Acting Associate Director for Royalty Management.

[FR Doc. 89-15677 Filed 7-3-89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MR-M

National Park Service

Concession Contract Negotiations; Teton Trail Rides

AGENCY: National Park Service, Interior.

ACTION: Public notice.

SUMMARY: Public notice is hereby given that the National Park Service proposes to negotiate a concession permit with Teton Trail Rides, Inc., authorizing it to

continue to provide guided horseback, trail ride, facilities and services for the public at Grand Teton National Park, Wyoming for a period of five (5) years, from January 1, 1989, through December 31, 1993.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 4, 1989.

ADDRESS: Interested parties should contact the Regional Director, Rocky Mountain Region, P.O. Box 25287, Denver, Colorado 80225, for information as to the requirements of the proposed permit.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This permit renewal has been determined to be categorically excluded from the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act and no environmental document will be prepared.

The foregoing concessioner has performed its obligations to the satisfaction of the Secretary under an existing authorization which expired by limitation of time on December 31, 1988, and has continued to provide satisfactory services since then at the request of the Secretary, and therefore, pursuant to the provisions of Section 5 of the Act of October 9, 1965 (79 Stat. 969; 16 U.S.C. 20), is entitled to be given preference in the renewal of the permit and in the negotiation of a new permit as defined in 36 CFR 51.5.

The Secretary will consider and evaluate all proposals received as a result of this notice. Any proposal, including that of the existing concessioner, must be postmarked or hand delivered on or before the thirtieth (30th) day following publication of this notice to be considered and evaluated.

Harold P. Danz,

Acting Regional Director, Rocky Mountain Region.

Date: June 19, 1989.

[FR Doc. 89-15676 Filed 7-3-89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-M

Subsistence Resource Commission; Meeting

AGENCY: National Park Service, Interior.

ACTION: Subsistence Resource Commission meeting.

SUMMARY: The Superintendent of Denali National Park and the Chairperson of Denali National Park Subsistence Resource Commission announce a forthcoming meeting of the Denali National Park Subsistence Resource Commission.

The following agenda items will be discussed:

(1) Election of chairperson and introduction of new members
(2) Introduction of guests
(3) Review of minutes from last meeting
(4) Report on chairman's meeting in Fairbanks (November 1988)
(5) Update on recent court rulings on subsistence and possible effects on Denali National Park subsistence hunting
(6) Subsistence Hunting Plan
  a. Review of past recommendations
  b. Workshop on subsistence hunting roster plan
  c. Commission recommendations
(7) Old and new business.

DATE: The meeting will begin at 1:00 p.m. on July 14, 1989, and conclude on the afternoon of July 15, 1989.

ADDRESS: The meeting will be held at: Denali National Park and Preserve, Headquarters Recreation Hall, Mile 237 Parks Highway.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Russell Berry, Superintendent, Denali National Park and Preserve, Box 9, Denali National Park, Alaska 99755.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Denali National Park Subsistence Resource Commission is authorized under Title VIII, Section 808, of the Alaska National Interest Lands Conservation Act, Pub. L. 96-487, and operates in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committees Act.

Boyd Evison,

Regional Director.

[FR Doc. 89-15662 Filed 7-3-89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-M

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

[I.C.C. Order No. P-100]

Passenger Train Operation; Denver and Rio Grande Western Railroad

The National Railroad Passenger Corporation (AMTRAK) has established through passenger train service between San Francisco, California and Chicago, Illinois, Train Nos. 5 and 6, the California Zephyr. These train operations require the use of tracks and other facilities of the Union Pacific (UP) and Denver and Rio Grande Western (DRGW) Railroads. A portion of the UP tracks near Winnemucca, Nevada are temporarily out of service because of a derailment and trains are being diverted. As a result of the diversion, eastbound trains into Salt Lake City today are expected to be substantially behind schedule. In order to minimize the emergency situation and avoid unnecessary delays for passengers waiting to board trains, Amtrak proposes to operate a second section of the Zephyr over the DRGW from Salt Lake City which will depart on time.

It is the opinion of the Commission that such an operation is necessary in the interest of the public and the commerce of the people; that notice and public procedure are impracticable and contrary to the public interest; and that good cause exists for making this order effective upon less than thirty days' notice.

It is ordered,

(a) Pursuant to authority vested in me by order of the Commission decided January 13, 1986, and of the authority vested in the Commission by section 402(c) of the Rail Passenger Service Act of 1970 (45 U.S.C. 562(c)), Denver and Rio Grande Western Railroad (DGRW) is directed to operate trains of the National Railroad Passenger Corporation (AMTRAK) in separate sections over the DRGW from Salt Lake City, Utah to Denver, Colorado.

(b) In executing the provisions of this order, the common carriers involved shall proceed even though no agreements or arrangements now exist between them with reference to the compensation terms and conditions applicable to said transportation. The compensation terms and conditions shall be, during the time this order remains in force, those which are voluntarily agreed upon by and between said carriers; or upon failure of the carriers to so agree, the compensation terms and conditions shall be as hereafter fixed by the Commission upon petition of any or all of said carriers in accordance with pertinent authority conferred upon it by the Interstate Commerce Act and by the Rail Passenger Service Act of 1970, as amended.

(c) *Application.* The provisions of this order shall apply to intrastate, interstate, and foreign commerce.

(d) *Effective date.* This order shall become effective at 10:00 a.m. (MDT), June 13, 1989.

(e) *Expiration date.* The provisions of this order shall expire at 10:00 a.m. (MDT), June 14, 1989, unless otherwise modified, amended, or vacated by order of this Commission.

This order shall be served upon Denver and Rio Grande Western Railroad and upon the National Railroad Passenger Corporation (AMTRAK), and a copy of this order shall be filed with the Director, Office of the Federal Register.

Issued at Washington, DC, June 13, 1989.
Heber P. Hardy, Agent.
Noreta R. McGee,
Secretary.
[FR Doc. 89-15680 Filed 7-3-89; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7035-01-M

[Finance Docket No. 31481]

South Carolina Central Railroad Co., Inc.; Purchase of CSX Transportation, Inc., Line Between Dawson and Albany, Georgia

AGENCY: Interstate Commerce Commission.

ACTION: Notice of decision accepting application for consideration.

SUMMARY: The Commission is accepting for consideration the application, filed May 31, 1989, by South Carolina Central Railroad Company, Inc. (SCRF), to purchase from CSX Transportation, Inc. (CSXT), 25.2 miles of rail line in Georgia between Dawson (milepost SLB-63.6) and Albany (milepost AP-699.1) in Dougherty, Lee, and Terrell Counties. Pursuant to 49 CFR Part 1180, the Commission finds this a minor transaction.

DATES: Written comments must be filed with the Interstate Commerce Commission no later than August 4, 1989. Comments from the Secretary of Transportation and Attorney General of the United States must be filed by August 21, 1989. Applicants' reply is due by September 8, 1989.

ADDRESSES: An original and 10 copies of all documents must be sent to:

Office of the Secretary, Case Control Branch, Att'n: Finance Docket No. 31481, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, DC 20423.

One copy of all documents filed must be sent to:

Docket Clerk, Office of Chief Counsel, Federal Railroad Administration, Room 5101, 400 Seventh Street SW., Washington, DC 20590.

Attorney General of the United States, Washington, DC 20530.

In addition, one copy of all documents filed must be sent to each of applicants' representatives:

R. Lyle Key, Jr., CSX Transportation, Inc., 500 Water Street, Jacksonville, FL 32202.

Kelvin J. Dowd, Slover & Loftus, 1224 Seventeenth Street NW., Washington, DC 20036.

Also, after the Commission issues a service list, send one copy to every party of record.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joseph H. Dettmar, (202) 275-7245. (TDD for hearing impaired: (202) 275-1721)

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION: By application filed May 31, 1989, SCRF and CSXT (applicants) seek approval under 49 U.S.C. 11343, *et seq.*, for SCRF to acquire 25.2 miles of CSXT rail line in Georgia between Dawson (milepost SLB-63.6) and Albany (milepost AP-699.1) in Dougherty, Lee, and Terrell Counties. Applicants contend that this is a minor transaction under 49 CFR 1180.2(c), and they submitted a conforming application in accordance with the railroad consolidation procedures in 49 CFR Part 1180.

SCRF is a Class III common carrier controlled by a non-carrier, Railtex, Inc. [Railtex also controls four other carriers, Mid-Michigan Railway, the San Diego and Imperial Valley Railway, the North Carolina and Virginia Railway and the Austin and Northwestern Railroad. Applicants state that the instant transaction will have no effect on these carriers.] CSXT is a Class I common carrier and a unit of CSX Corporation.

SCRF now operates two lines of railroad totalling 55.2 miles. One line extends between Florence and Bishopville, SC and the other extends between Cheraw and Society Hill, SC. In addition, the Commission has approved SCRF's acquisition of 258.82 miles of line in Alabama and Georgia from CSXT. *See* Finance Docket No. 31360, *South Carolina Central Railroad Company, Inc.—Purchase and Lease—CSX Transportation, Inc., Lines in Georgia and Alabama* (not printed), served May 4, 1989. SCRF also plans to acquire from Norfolk Southern Corporation a 79-mile line of railroad between White Oak and Smithville, GA, which connects with the line SCRF proposes to acquire in this proceeding. *See* Finance Docket No. 31392, *South Carolina Central Railroad Co., Inc.—Lease Exemption—Central of Georgia Railroad Company* (not printed), served May 15, 1989.

In 1987, CSXT transported approximately 1,208 originating and terminating carloads on the line. In 1988, CSXT handled approximately 930 carloads. There is no overhead traffic.

Applicants assert that the transaction will result in operating economies and improved service, and that this will enhance their financial viability. Specifically, the proposal will enable SCRF to enter a new market and spread its administrative, insurance, and operating costs over a larger base. Allegedly this will result in operating efficiencies that will allow SCRF to offer better service at more competitive rates. CSXT, on the other hand, will no longer be required to maintain what is for it a marginally profitable operation. Applicants assert that the transaction will improve service because, as a small, local carrier, SCFR will be better able to accommodate shipper needs.

Because SCRF will merely replace CSXT, applicants contend that the transaction will not result in a monopoly or reduce competition. Rather, they submit that the transaction will enhance competition by allowing SCRF to compete more effectively with other modes of transportation. They assert that there is a significant potential to divert traffic from motor carriers serving the area.

SCFR intends to operate the lines with its own employees and does not expect to hire additional employees. As a result, applicants state that CSXT's work force will not be affected by the transaction. No positions with SCFR or with CSXT would be eliminated.

Applicants state that this transaction will not cause the dismissal, displacement or reassignment of any CSXT employees. Applicants also state that this transaction will not involve any coordination of work between CSXT and SCRF employees, that CSXT's collective bargaining agreements will not be transferred to or assumed by SCRF, that CSXT employees will not be required to accept employment with SCRF, that CSXT's unions will not represent any SCRF employees. Consequently, no employee protection agreements will be required pursuant to the conditions in *New York Dock Ry.—Control—Brooklyn East. Dist.*, 360 I.C.C. 60 (1979).

Under § 1180.4(b)(2) of our consolidation regulations, we must determine initially whether a transaction is major, significant, or minor. The proposed transaction involves a Class I and a Class III railroad. It involves only 25.2 miles of rail line, and a modest amount of traffic and therefore has no regional or national significance and will neither result in a major market extension nor reduce the present level of competition. Accordingly, we find the proposal a minor transaction under § 1180.2(c). Because the application complies with our regulations governing minor transactions, we are accepting it for consideration.

Applicants should be aware that under 49 U.S.C. 11347, the imposition of labor protective conditions is mandatory. We have determined that the conditions set forth in *New York Dock Ry.—Control—Brooklyn Eastern Dist.*, 360 I.C.C. 60 (1979) are appropriate

for this class of transaction and will be imposed should the application be granted. Under those conditions an approved transaction cannot be consummated unless an implementing agreement between the carrier and labor interests is already in place. *See* Finance Docket No. 30965, *Delaware and Hudson Railway Company—Lease and Trackage Rights Exemption—Springfield Terminal Railway Company* (not printed), served February 19, 1988, slip op. at 4, n. 4.

The application and exhibits are available for inspection in the Public Docket Room at the Offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission in Washington, DC. In addition, they may be obtained upon request from applicants' representatives named above.

Any interested persons, including government entities, may participate in this proceeding by submitting written comments. Comments must be filed no later than August 4, 1989. The United States Secretary of Transportation and the Attorney General of the United States must file their comments no later than August 21, 1989. Applicants' reply is due September 8, 1989. An original and 10 copies of all pleadings must be filed with the Secretary, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, DC 20423.

Written comments must be served concurrently by first-class mail on the Secretary of Transportation, the Attorney General and applicants' representatives. Written comments must also be served on all parties of record within 10 days of service of the service list by the Commission. We plan to issue the service list by August 21, 1989. Any person who files timely written comments shall be considered a party of record if the person's comments so request. In this event, no petition for leave to intervene need be filed. Consistent with 49 CFR 1180.4(d)(iii), written comments must contain:

(a) The docket number and title of the proceeding;

(b) The name, address, and telephone number of the commenting party and its representative upon whom service shall be made;

(c) The commenting party's position, *i.e.*, whether it supports or opposes the proposed transaction;

(d) A statement of whether the commenting party intends to participate formally in the proceeding or merely comment upon the proposal;

(e) If desired, a request for an oral hearing with reasons supporting this request; the request must indicate the disputed material facts that can only be resolved at a hearing; and

(f) A list of all information sought to be discovered from applicant carriers.

Because we have determined that the proposal in this processing constitutes a minor transaction, no responsive applications will be permitted. The time limits for processing a minor transaction are set forth at 49 U.S.C. 11345(d).

Discovery may begin immediately. We admonish the parties to resolve all discovery matters expeditiously and amicably.

This action will not significantly affect either the quality of the human environment or energy conservation.

It is ordered:

1. This proposal is found to be a minor transaction under 49 CFR 1180.2(c).

2. The application in Finance Docket No. 31481 is accepted for consideration.

3. The parties shall comply with all provisions as stated above.

4. This decision is effective on the date of service.

Decided: June 27, 1989.

By the Commission, Chairman Gradison, Vice Chairman Simmons, Commissioners André, Lamboley, and Phillips.

Noreta R. McGee,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 89-15679 Filed 07-03-89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7035-01-M

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[Notice 89-44]

National Environmental Policy Act; Notice of Availability of Final Environmental Impact Statement and Record of Decision

AGENCY: National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

ACTION: Notice of availability of final environmental impact statement and record of decision.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given of the public availability of the final Administration decision making associated with completing the preparation and operation of the Galileo spacecraft, including its planned launch in October 1989.

Comments on the draft EIS were previously solicited from State and local agencies and members of the public through a notice in the Federal Register of January 6, 1989 (54 FR 478).

Copies of the draft and final Statement have been furnished to the Council on Environmental Quality; the Environmental Protection Agency; the Departments of Air Force, Commerce, Defense, Energy, Health and Human Services, and Transportation; the National Academy of Sciences; the Nuclear Regulatory Commission; the Office of Management and Budget; to appropriate State and local agencies; and to numerous private organizations.

The Record of Decision (ROD) will be made available to the public on or about July 3, 1989.

Copies of the final statement and the ROD may be examined at any of the following locations:

(a) NASA Headquarters Information Center, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, DC 20546.

(b) NASA Information Center, Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, CA 94035.

(c) NASA Information Center, Dryden Flight Research Facility, P.O. Box 273, Edwards, CA 93523.

(d) NASA Information Center, Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD 20771.

(e) NASA Information Center, Johnson Space Center, Houston, TX 77058.

(f) NASA Information Center, Kennedy Space Center, FL 32899.

(g) NASA Information Center, Langley Research Center, Hampton, VA 23665.

(h) NASA Information Center, Lewis Research Center, 21000 Brookpark Road, Cleveland, OH 44135.

(i) NASA Information Center, Marshall Space Flight Center, Huntsville, AL 35812.

(j) NASA Information Center, Stennis Space Center, Bay St. Louis, MS 39520.

(k) NASA Information Center, (Jet Propulsion Laboratory), NASA Resident Office, 4800 Oak Grove Drive, Pasadena, CA 91109.

(l) NASA Information Center, Wallops Flight Facility, Wallops Island, VA 23337.

C. Howard Robins, Jr.,

Associate Administrator for Management.

May 26, 1989.

[FR Doc. 89-15832 Filed 6-30-89; 1:21 pm]

BILLING CODE 7510-01-M

[Notice 89-45]

NASA Advisory Council (NAC), Exploration Task Force; Meeting

AGENCY: National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Pub. L. 92-463, as amended, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration announces a forthcoming meeting of the NASA Advisory Council (NAC), Exploration Task Force.

DATE: June 21, 1989, 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

ADDRESS: National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Room 7002, Federal Building 6, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20546.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. Douglas A. O'Handley, Code Z, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, DC 20546, 202/453–8932.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In June 1987, the NASA Administrator established the Office of Exploration to coordinate agencywide, long-range program planning for human exploration of the solar system. In order to help formulate and guide the strategy development for this program planning, the NASA Advisory Council (NAC), Exploration Task Force is established.

This will be the first meeting of the NASA Advisory Council (NAC), Exploration Task Force. The goals of the Task Force will be to: (1) Provide NASA with programmatic guidance as a plan is developed for human exploration of the solar system; (2) assess programmatic readiness for human exploration of the solar system; and (3) recommend a programmatic approach to exploration initiative development.

Type of Meeting: Open.

Agenda

June 21, 1989

8:30 a.m.—Introduction by Exploration Task Force Executive Secretary.
8:35 a.m.—Remarks by NASA Administrator.
9:00 a.m.—Confabulation.
10:45 a.m.—Overview of Office of Exploration.
1:00 p.m.—NASA Advisory Council Review.
1:30 p.m.—National Commission on Space.
2:00 p.m.—Big Projects/Osaka, Japan.
2:30 p.m.—Industry Day.
3:00 p.m.—Perspective Implications.
3:30 p.m.—Task Force Discussions.
4:30 p.m.—Adjourn.

May 31, 1989.

John W. Gaff,

Advisory Committee Management Officer, National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

[FR Doc. 89–15833 Filed 6–30–89; 1:21 pm]

BILLING CODE 7510–01–M

[Notice 89–48]

NASA Advisory Council (NAC), Space Science and Applications Advisory Committee (SSAAC), Solar System Exploration Subcommittee; Meeting

AGENCY: National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Pub. L. 92–463, as amended, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration announces a forthcoming meeting of the NASA Advisory Council, Space Science and Applications Advisory Committee, Solar System Exploration Subcommittee.

DATES: Monday, June 26, 1989, 9 a.m. to 5 p.m., Tuesday, June 27, 1989, 9 a.m. to 5 p.m., Wednesday, June 28, 1989, 9 a.m. to 5 p.m., Thursday, June 29, 1989, 8:30 a.m. to Noon.

ADDRESSES: New England Center, Durham, New Hampshire 03824.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTRACT: Dr. Geoffrey A. Briggs, Code EL, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, DC 20546 (202/453–1588).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Space Science and Applications Advisory Committee consults with and advises the NASA Office of Space Science and Applications (OSSA) on long-range plans for, work in progress on, and accomplishments of NASA's Space Science and Applications programs. The Solar System Exploration Subcommittee provides advice to the Solar System Exploration Division concerning long-range planning in solar system exploration. The Subcommittee will meet to discuss and develop program status and evaluation criteria, scenario evaluations for Mars Centerpiece Program, and presentation and assessment of the Small Missions Program. The Subcommittee is chaired by Dr. Laurence Soderblom and is composed of 21 members. The meeting will be open to the public up to the seating capacity of the room (approximately 50 people including members of the Subcommittee). It is imperative that the meeting be held on these dates to accommodate the scheduling priorities of the key participants.

Type of meeting: Open.

Agenda

Monday, June 26

9 a.m.—Introduction and Review of Workshop Objectives and Agenda.
10 a.m.—Discussion of Program Status and Introduction of Evaluation Criteria.
1 p.m.—Development of Additional Evaluation Criteria.
2 p.m.—Presentation of Issues and Study Results.
5 p.m.—Adjourn.

Tuesday, June 27

9 a.m.—Evaluation Criteria Review and Mission Set Evaluations for Balanced Program.
10:30 a.m.—Scenario Evaluations for Mars Centerpiece Program.
1 p.m.—Criteria Discussion and Evaluation.
5 p.m.—Adjourn.

Wednesday, June 28

9 a.m.—Continuation of Criteria Discussion and Evaluation.
10:30 a.m.—Continuation of Mars Centerpiece Scenario Examinations.
1 p.m.—Presentation and Assessment of Small Missions Programs and Preparation of Balanced Mars Centerpiece Program Recommendations.
5 p.m.—Adjourn.

Thursday, June 29

8:30 a.m.—Team Reports on Criteria and Small Missions Program, Balanced Program, and Mars Centerpiece Program.
12 p.m.—Adjourn.

June 6, 1989.

John W. Gaff,

Advisory Committee Management Officer, National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

[FR Doc. 89–15834 Filed 6–30–89; 1:21 pm]

BILLING CODE 7510–01–M

[Notice 89–46]

NASA Advisory Council (NAC), Space Station Science and Applications Advisory Subcommittee (SSSAAS); Meeting

AGENCY: National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Pub. L. 92–463, as amended, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration announces a forthcoming meeting of the NASA Advisory Council, Space Station Science and Applications Advisory Subcommittee.

DATES: June 26, 1989, 8:30 a.m. to 5:15 p.m., June 27, 1989, 8:30 a.m. to 10:30 p.m., June 28, 1989, 8:30 a.m. to 10:30 p.m., June 29, 1989, 8:30 a.m. to 5:30 p.m., and June 30, 1989, 10 a.m. to 3:30 p.m.

ADDRESSES: As listed.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Robert C. Rhome, Code E, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, DC 20546 (202/453–1425).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Space Station Science and Applications Advisory Committee (SSAAC) and consults with and advises the NASA Office of Space Science and Applications (OSSA) on the new capabilities to be made available by the Space Station program and how these may be most effectively utilized. It also advises the NASA Office of Space Station (OSS) on how the Space Station program may most effectively support potential science and application users. The Subcommittee will meet to discuss the OSSA Strategic Plan, OSSA 1989 Program Updates, Space Science Research and Experiment Physical Accommodations, Scientist-Experiment Interaction, Science Operations Concepts, and hold Science Discipline sessions in the following areas: Observing Sciences, Life Sciences, Materials and Fluid Sciences. The gorup is chaired by Dr. Robert J. Bayuzick and is composed of 20 members. The meeting will be open to the public up to the seating capacity of the (approximately 75 perople including the members of the Subcommittee). It is imperative that the meeting be held on these dates to accommodate the scheduling priorities of the key paticipants.

Type of Meeting: Open

Agenda

Monday, June 26, National Academy of Sciences, Woods Hole Study Center, Woods Hole, MA 02540.
8:30 a.m.—General Workshop Orientation and OSSA 1989 Program Updates.
5:15 p.m.—Adjourn.

Tuesday, June 27, National Academy of Sciences, Woods Hole Study Center, Woods Hole, MA 02540.
8:30 a.m.—Space Science Research and Experiment Physical Accommodations.
1:30 p.m.—Splinter Group Organization Sessions: Observing Sciences, Life Sciences, Material and Fluid Sciences.
2:30 p.m.—Break.
7 p.m.—Reconvene Splinter Group Sessions at Quality Inn—Falmouth, 291 Jones Road, Falmouth, MA 02540.
10:30 p.m.—Adjourn.

Wednesday, June 28, National Academcy of Sciences, Woods Hole Study Center, Woods Hole, MA 02540.
8:30 a.m.—Scientist-Experiment Interaction.
1 p.m.—Break.
7 p.m.—Reconvene Splinter Group Sessions at Quality Inn–Falmouth, 291 Jones Road, Falmouth, MA 02540.
10:30 p.m.—Adjourn.

Thursday, June 29, National Academy of Sciences, Woods Hole Study Center, Woods Hole, MA 02540.
8:30 a.m.—Science Operations Concepts.
1:30 p.m.—Splinter Group Sessions: Observing Sciences, Life Sciences, Material and Fluid Sciences.
5:30 p.m.—Adjourn.

Friday, June 30, Quality Inn—Falmouth, 291 Jones Road, Falmouth, MA 02540.
10 a.m.—Review of Splinter Group Meeting Objectives.
10:15 a.m.—Life Sciences Report.
10:45 a.m.—Material and Fluid Sciences Report.
11:15 a.m.—Observing Sciences Report.
1 p.m.—Workshop Discussion, Conclusions and Recommendations: Joint Plenary Session with the NASA Advisory Council Space Station Advisory Committee (SSAC).
3:30 p.m.—Adjourn.

June 1, 1989.

John W. Gaff,

Advisory Committee Management Officer, National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

[FR Doc. 89–15835 Filed 6–30–89; 1:21 pm]

BILLING CODE 7510–01–M

[Notice 89–47]

NASA Advisory Council (NAC), Space Station Advisory Committee (SSAC); Meeting

AGENCY: National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Pub. L. 92–463, as amended, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration announces a forthcoming meeting of the NASA Advisory Council, Space Station Advisory Committee.

DATES: June 29, 1989, 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m., and June 30, 1989, 8:00 a.m. to 9:15 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The Nautilus Inn, Woods Hole Road, Woods Hole, MA 02543–0147.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. W.P. Raney, Code S, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, DC 20546, 202/453–4165.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Space Station Advisory Committee (SSAC) is a standing committee of the NASA Advisory Council, which advises senior management on all Agency activities. The SSAC is an interdisciplinary group charged to advise Agency management on the development, operation, and utilization of the Space Station. The committee is chaired by Mr. Laurence J. Adams and is composed of 17 members including individuals who also serve on other NASA advisory committees.

This meeting will be open to the public up to the seating capacity of the room (which is approximately 30 persons including team members and other participants).

Type of Meeting: Open

Agenda

June 29, 1989

8:30 a.m.—Administrative Items.
9 a.m.—Program Update.
Management, Status and Plans.
NASA.
Space Station Program.
Budget, Congressional Action.
10 a.m.—Program Office Report.
Technical Audit.
Preparation for Preliminary Design Review (RDR).
Staffing Status.
11 a.m.—Discussion.
1 p.m.—Assembly Phase Plans.
Backup Hardware.
2 p.m.—Panel Report on User Involvement and Long-Term Operations.
3:30 p.m.—Discussion.
4 p.m.—Information System Architecture and Status.
5 p.m.—Adjourn.

June 30, 1989

8 a.m.—Committee Work Plans.
9:15 a.m.—Adjourn.

June 1, 1989.

John W. Gaff,

Advisory Committee Management Officer, National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

[FR Doc. 89–15836 Filed 6–30–89; 1:21 pm]

BILLING CODE 7510–01–M

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Division of Microelectronic Information Processing Systems Advisory Committee; Meeting

The National Science Foundation announces the following meeting:

Name: Division of Microelectronic Information, Processing Systems Advisory Committee.

Date and Time: July 17, 1989—8:30 a.m.–5:00 p.m. July 18, 1989—8:30 a.m.–4:00 p.m.

Place: Hartley Conference Center, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305, Mitchell Building, Rooms 130 and 131.

Type of Meeting: Open.

Contact Person: Dr. John Lehman, Deputy Division Director, National Science Foundation—Room 414, 202/357–7853—Washington, DC 20550.

Minutes: May be obtained from contact person listed above.

Purpose of Meeting: To discuss the content of the Division's program goals and objectives and to advise on areas and priorities, new initiatives and other topics of interest to the Division.

Agenda: Overview of the Division since the last meeting. Continuation of strategic planning for initiatives.

Reason for Late Notice: Difficulty in arranging a suitable meeting date with members and staff.

M. Rebecca Winkler,

Committee Management Officer.

June 29, 1989.

[FR Doc. 89–15700 Filed 7–3–89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50–261]

Carolina Power Light Co., H.B. Robinson Steam Electric Plant, Unit No. 2; Environmental Assessment and Finding of no Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an exemption from certain requirements of Appendix J to 10 CFR Part 50 to Carolina Power & Light Company (the licensee) for the H.B. Robinson Steam Electric Plant, Unit No. 2, located in Darlington County, South Carolina.

Environmental Assessment

Identification of Proposed Action

The exemption would grant relief from 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J, section III. A.1.(a) and A.5.(b). Specifically, the exemption from 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J., section III.A.1.(a), would allow for a method to successfully complete the containment integrated leakage rate test (CILRT) when it is determined that excessive local leakage exists. Under the exemption from 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J. section III.A.5.(b), the acceptance criteria of "as found" leakage rate(s) for a component(s) isolated during a CILRT would be the maximum allowable leakage rate, L_a, or the corresponding L_t (which is the maximum allowable leakage rate at the containment reduced test pressure during Type A tests), while the acceptance criteria for "as left" leakage following the CILRT would remain 0.75 L_a (or 0.75 L_t).

The licensee's request for exemption and the bases therefor are contained in letters dated June 30, 1983, as supplemented September 14, 1987.

The Need for the Proposed Action

The proposed exemption is from requirements of 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix J. section III.A.1.(a) and section III.A.5.(b). The proposed exemption would allow the CILRT to continue even though local leakage of isolated components is observed. The proposed exemption does not relax the requirement that the "as found" local leakage be added to the Type A test results to determine whether the CILRT has been successful. The NRC staff has reveiwed the request and determined that application of the regulation in the particular circumstances is not necessary to achieve the underlying purpose of the rule, which is to determine both the "as found" containment leakage condition and also the final ("as left") condition. To deny the exemption request would result in reduced operational flexibility.

Environmental Impacts of Proposed Action

The proposed exemption would permit the completion of leakage tests under certain conditions and the use of certain "as found" acceptance criteria for leakage prior to the completion of tests. The exemption will not affect containment integrity and does not affect the risk of facility accidents. Thus, post-accident radiological releases will not be greater than previously determined, nor does the proposed exemption otherwise affect radiological plant effluents, or result in any significant occupational exposure. Likewise, the exemption does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Therefore, the Commission concludes that there are no significant radiological or nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed exemption.

Alternatives to the Proposed Action

Because it has been concluded that there is no measurable impact associated with the proposed exemption, any alternatives to the exemption will have either no environmental impact or greater environmental impact.

The principal alternative to the exemption would be to deny the requested exemption. Such action would not reduce environmental impacts of the H.B. Robinson Steam Electric Plant, Unit No. 5 operations and would result in reduced operational flexibility.

Alternative Use of Resources

This action does not involve the use of resources not previously considered in connection with the "Final Environmental Statement Related to the Operation of the H.B. Robinson Nuclear Steam Electric Plant Unit 2," dated April 19, 1975.

Agencies and Persons Consulted

The NRC staff reviewed the licensee's request and did not consult other agencies or persons.

Finding of no Significant Impact

The Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed exemption.

Based on the forgoing environmental assessment, the staff concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment.

For further information with respect to this action, see the application for exemption previously listed, which is available for public inspection at the Commision's Public Document Room, 2120 L Street NW., Washington, DC and at the Hartsville Memorial Library, Hartsville, South Carolina 29536.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 31st day of May, 1989.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Ronnie Lo,

Acting Director, Project Directorate II–I, Division of Reactor Projects—I/II Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 89–15684 Filed 7–3–89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34–26964; File No. SR–Amex–89–12]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Proposed Rule Change by American Stock Exchange, Inc. Relating to Associate Membership

Pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"), 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1), notice is hereby given that on June 9, 1989, the American Stock Exchange, Inc. ("Amex" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II and, III below, which Items have been prepared

by the Amex. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Amex is proposing to amend its Constitution to expand Associate Membership privileges to include access to the PER/AMOS system as well as to delete some outdated and unnecessary provisions.

The text of the proposed rule change is available at the Ofice of the Secretary, Amex and at the Commission.[1]

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Amex included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Amex has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections (A), (B), and (C) below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

(1) Purpose

One category of membership on the Exchange is Associate Membership. This type of membership, which dates back to the early days of the Exchange, enables the Associate Member and his or her firm to send orders for execution directly to a Regular Member broker on the trading floor via telephone line. An Associate Member may not transact business on the floor itself, or exercise privileges enjoyed by other classes of members. Aside from telephone access to the Floor, an Associate Member's only privilege is that its own name may be given up as the clearing firm. The 169 current Associate Members have paid a one-time fee equal to 5% of the most recent price of a Regular Membership, and annual dues of $750.

Since December 1987, when the Board approved modifications to the Exchange's telephone access policy to permit non-members to establish direct telephone access to members' booths on the Floor,[2] Associate Members have had no significant membership advantage over non-members. This has led to the possibility that some Associate Members might discontinue their membership over time, reducing the number of broker-dealers with membership ties to the Exchange. In addition, several Associate Member firms have requested direct access to the Exchange's PER/AMOS electronics order routing system over the past year. It is believed that if PER/AMOS were made accessible to Associate Members, this category of membership would continue to be viewed as an attractive alternative to Regular Membership, and that the Exchange would thus retain an important segment of the member firm community.

For these reasons, it is proposed that Associate Membership privileges be expanded to provide access to PER/AMOS. The enhanced Associate Membership would be similar to the New York Stock Exchange's electronic access membership, which was created in 1978. Existing Associate Members could obtain PER/AMOS privileges on payment of an annual fee, or maintain their current status. All new Associate Members would pay the one-time initiation fee, as well as an annual fee if they wished to access PER/AMOS. As now, Associate Memberships could not be sold or leased. The Board would have authority to extend Associate Member access to other electronic systems which may become available in the future.

It is proposed that the one-time initiation fee for Associate Membership remain at 5% of the most recent price of a Regular Membership, and that an annual fee be set yearly at 10% of the average price at which Regular Memberships have been sold for the prior twelve months.

The proposed amendments to the Exchange Constitution also eliminate certain outdated provisions relating to Associate Members. The requirement that an Associate Member be actively engaged as a broker/dealer has been deleted since, as with other types of membership, this is a requirement imposed by the Act; the requirement that Associate Membership be cancelled on election to Regular Membership has been deleted as an unnecessary administrative burden on member firms; and the posting requirement has been deleted, to be replaced by a provision in a proposed new Admission of Members section of the Exchange's Rules, which is being submitted separately to the SEC for consideration.

(2) Basis

The proposed rule change is consistent with section 6(b) of the Act in general and furthers the objectives of section 6(b) 5 in particular in that it will broaden access to the Exchange's market and help perfect the mechanism of a free and open market.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange has determined that no burden on competition will be imposed by its extension of Associate Membership privileges to includes access to the PER/AMOS system. On the contrary, the Exchange proposal will enhance competition among members within its market.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants or Others

No written comments were solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Within 35 days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** or within such longer period (i) as the Commission may designate up to 90 days of such date if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the Amex consents, the Commission will:

(A) By order approve such proposed rule change, or

(B) Institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

IV. Soliciation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing. Persons making written submissions should file six copies thereof with the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street NW., Washington, DC 20549. Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to

[1] The proposed Constitutional Amendments were approved by the Exchange's Board of Governors on May 11, 1989. In its filing the Exchange stated that the Constitutional Amendments will be submitted to the Amex membership for approval at a special meeting to be held on July 10, 1989. The Exchange stated that the results of the membership vote will be submitted to the Commission by amendment to the filing.

[2] See File No. SR-Amex-87-33. Notice of the proposed rule change was given in Securities Exchange Act Release No. 25287, 53 FR 2555, January 28, 1988. Amendment No. 1 to SR-Amex-87-33 was received by the Commission on November 16, 1988. Notice of Amendment No. 1 was given in Securities Exchange Act Release No. 26604, 54 FR 10599, January 7, 1989.

the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change beween the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Section, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549. Copies of such filing will also be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Amex. All submissions should refer to File No. SR-Amex-89-12 and should be submitted by July 26, 1989.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

Jonathan G. Katz,

Secretary.

Dated: June 23, 1989.

[FR Doc. 89-15715 Filed 7-3-89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

[Release No. 34-26967; File No. SR-CBOE-89-11]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Proposed Rule Change by the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Inc. Relating to the Aggregation of Position and Exercise Limits for Broad-Based Stock Index Options

Pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1), notice is hereby given that on June 12, 1989, the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Inc. filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II and III below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

(Additions are italicized; deletions are bracketed)

Rule 24.4. Position Limits

(a) In determining compliance with Rule 4.11, option contracts on [a] *broad based* market indexes shall be subject to a contract limitation fixed by the Board, which shall not be larger than [25,000] *100,000 aggregate* contracts on the same side of the market *for all listed broad based market index options cleared by the Options Clearing Corporation,* with no more than [15,000] *60,000* of such contracts in [the series of] *one* such market index. [with the nearest expiration date]

(b) through (c) No change.

* * * Interpretation and Policies:

No change.

Rule 24.5. Exercise Limits

In determining compliance with Rule 4.12, exercise limits for index option contracts shall be equivalent to the position limits prescribed for index option contracts. [with the nearest expiration date in Rule 24.4]

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below and is set forth in sections (A), (B), and (C) below.

(A) Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange, in filing this request for a consolidated broad based index option position limit is not seeking an increase in index position limits. The filing for an aggregate 100,000 limit with a maximum of 60,000 in any one broad based index option is actually a reduction to the limits currently available to investors if they traded the eight indexes now listed. The AMEX lists two broad based indexes. The position limits in one case are 15,000 contracts in the near month and 25,000 contracts overall. The other has a 10,000/17,000 contract limit. The CBOE also lists two, the OEX and SPX with each having a limit of 15,000 contracts in the near month and 25,000 contracts overall. The NYSE lists one with an overall $300 million limit or approximately 17,000 contracts. The PHLX lists two indexes, one is 15,000/25,000 while the other is 10,000 contracts. Finally, the PSE lists one with a position limit of 15,000 contracts whether in the near month or overall. If all these were aggregated as the Exchange proposes, the limits would be 112,000 contracts in the near month and 159,000 contracts overall. Therefore, the Exchange's request for a 100,000 aggregate limit and 60,000 maximum for one broad based index does not provide for expanded position limits.

The proposed change to the rule also eliminates the telescoping of position limits based on the near month expiration. Customers and firms have complained about the process which forces them to roll positions unnecessarily when they become near term options. This places an undue burden on trading and effectively limits institutions to maintaining positions at the lower level for the indexes traded.

Exercise limits will coincide with those of the position limits. Only 100,000 aggregated broad based exercises will be allowed within a five day period. Additionally only 60,000 may be from the same index class.

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the rules and regulations thereunder, in particular, the proposed rule change is consistent with section 6(b)(5) of the Act, which provides among other things, that the rules of the Exchange are designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade and to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market.

(B) Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

This proposed rule change will not impose a burden on competition.

(C) Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants or Others

Comments were neither solicited nor received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Within 35 days of the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register or within such longer period (i) as the Commission may designate up to 90 days of such date if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission will:

(a) By order approve such proposed rule change, or

(b) Institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing. Persons making written submission should file six copies thereof with the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street NW.,

Washington, DC 20549. Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Section, 450 Fifth Street NW., Washington, DC. Copies of such filing will also be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the above-mentioned self-regulatory organization. All submissions should refer to the file number in the caption above and should be submitted by July 26, 1989.

For the Commission by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

Jonathan G. Katz,

Secretary.

Dated: June 23, 1989.

[FR Doc. 89-15716 Filed 7-3-89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

[34-26960; File No. DTC-89-11]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; The Depository Trust Company; Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change Relating to Fees and Procedures for the Rush Withdrawal Transfer Service

June 23, 1989.

Pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1), notice is hereby given that on June 5, 1989, The Depository Trust Company ("DTC") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The proposed rule change being filed by DTC consists of the fee and Participant procedures for the new Rush Withdrawal Transfer ("RWT") service being offered in connection with the currently pending proposed rule change SR-DTC-89-1 concerning DTC's planned elimination of most urgent withdrawals ("CODs") of corporate issues settling in next-day funds that are not "full" FAST issues.

The fee for processing each RWT request will be $22.50, plus a pass-through of any rush transfer fees the transfer agent charges DTC.[1]

The Participant Operating Procedures and Participant Terminal System (PTS) Procedures for RWT are available for review at DTC.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The self-regulatory organization has prepared summaries, set forth in sections (A), (B), and (C) below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

(A) Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In January 1989 DTC filed SR-DTC-89-1 (SEC Release No. 34-26730, 54 FR 16438-F (April 24, 1989)) describing DTC's planned elimination of most urgent withdrawals ("CODs") of corporate issues settling in next-day funds that are not "full" FAST issues and DTC's institution of a new rush transfer service for those issues.[2] On May 4, 1989, DTC filed as Amendment No. 1 to File No. SR-DTC-89-1 a draft of detailed Participant procedures for RWT.

The purpose of RWT, as stated there, was to provide rush withdrawals to Participants in certain rare situations where DTC's Withdrawal-by-Transfer (WT) service would not suffice.

The purpose of this proposed rule change is to establish the final form of the Participant procedures and fee for RWT. The final form of the Participant procedures for RWT contains no substantive changes from the draft form previously filed with the Commission.

The fee for RWT is intended to recover DTC's processing costs for the service and any fees that DTC is required to pay transfer agents for rush processing.

This proposed rule change will be implemented upon Commission approval of File No. SR-DTC-89-1.

The proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Exchange Act and the rules and regulations thereunder because it provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable fees and other charges among DTC's Participants and assists in reducing unnecessary costs in the safeguarding and other processing of securities as described in File No. SR-DTC-89-1.

(B) Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

DTC does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Exchange Act.

(C) Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants or Others

No written comments have been solicited or received other than comments filed in January 1989 with File No. SR-DTC-89-1.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to section 19(b)(3) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and subparagraph (e) of Securities Exchange Act Rule 19b-4. At any time within 60 days of the filing of such proposed rule change, the Commission may summarily abrogate such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing. Persons making written submissions should file six copies thereof with the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549. Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written

---

[1] If DTC fills the RWT request with a certificate(s) registered in the name of DTC's nominee Cede & Co. from its vault inventory, the fee will be $10.25, the usual corporate COD fee.

[2] Under DTC's Fast Automated Securities Transfer (FAST) program, DTC leaves securities with transfer agents in the form of balance certificates registered in the depository's nominee name, Cede & Co. The balance certificates are adjusted daily for DTC deposit and withdrawal activity. A "full" FAST issue is one where the transfer agent provides CODs as well as Withdrawal-by-Transfer (WTs).

communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC. Copies of such filing will also be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the self-regulatory organization. All submissions should refer to the file number SR–DTC–89–11 and should be submitted by July 26, 1989.

For the Commission by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

Jonathan G. Katz,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 89–15714 Filed 7–3–89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010–01–M

[34–26970; File No. MSTC–89–02]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Filing of Proposed Rule Change by Midwest Securities Trust Company Relating to Deposits of the Registered Form of Interchangeable Municipal Bonds

June 23, 1989.

Pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1), notice is hereby given that on April 11, 1989, the Midwest Securities Trust Company filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II and III below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

Attached as Exhibit A is an Administrative Bulletin of Midwest Securities Trust Company ("MSTC") regarding Deposits of the Registered Form of Interchangeable Municipal Bonds.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change.

The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Items IV below. The self-regulatory organization has prepared summaries, set forth in sections (A), (B) and (C) below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

(A) Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

The proposed rule change clarified MSTC policies regarding the acceptance for deposit the fully-registered form of interchangeable municipal bonds (bonds issuable in either bearer or registered form). MSTC will reflect deposits of interchangeable bonds in MSTC's Bearer System and such deposits will lose their registered identities. Interchangeable CUSIPs will be eligible only in the Bearer System.

MSTC will advise Participants if and when an item is determined to be interchangeable and movable to Bearer System. However, Participants will be provided with prior notification before an item is actually moved. This will allow Participants an opportunity to withdraw municipal bonds by transfer prior to the move to the Bearer System.

The proposed rule change also clarifies the processing of deposits and withdrawals of interchangeable municipal bonds. In the case of deposits, deposits of registered form of interchangeable should be submitted with a Bearer System Deposit Ticket.

The proposed rule change promotes the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities and is consistent with the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, in that it clarifies MSTC's procedures for the safekeeping and book-entry processing of interchangeable municipal bonds.

(B) Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

MSTC does not believe that any burden will be placed on interstate competition as a result of the proposed rule change.

(C) Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants or Others

Comments were solicited from Participants. One Participant expressed a preference for receiving the withdrawal of interchangeable securities in bearer form. The Participant was advised that MSTC will first process withdrawals in bearer form, but bearer form cannot be guaranteed and is based on MSTC's available inventory.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Within 35 days of the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register or within such longer period (i) as the Commission may designate up to 90 days of such date if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding, or (ii) as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission will:

(A) By order approve such proposed rule change, or,

(B) Institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing. Persons making written submissions should file six copies thereof with the Secretary, Securities & Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549. Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisons of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Section, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC. Copies of such filing will also be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the above-referenced self-regulatory organization. All submissions should refer to the file number (SR–MSTC–89–2) noted above and should be submitted by July 26, 1989.

For the Commission by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

Jonathan G. Katz,

Secretary.

EXHIBIT A

MST System—Administrative Bulletin

February 7, 1989

To: All Participants

Attention: Managing Partner Officer, Cashier, Operations Manager

Subject: Deposits of the Registered Form of Interchangeable Municipal Bonds

Effective March 1, 1989, MSTC will accept for deposit the fully registered form of interchangeable municipal bonds. These deposits will be accepted principally for safekeeping and book-entry services.

Deposits will be reflected in the Bearer System and will lose their registered identities.

Interchangeable CUSIPS will be eligible only in the Bearer System. If and when an item is determined to be interchangeable, MSTC will move the registered position, if any, to the Bearer System. Before an item is moved to the Bearer system, individual participants will be contacted or an MST System Administrative Bulletin will be issued informing participants that the registered position will be moved. This will allow participants an opportunity to withdraw by transfer prior to the move to the Bearer System.

Deposits

Deposit of the registered form of an interchangeable municipal bond should be submitted with a Bearer Deposit Ticket, and the word "INTERCHANGEABLE" written in the comment field on the lower left-hand side of the ticket (see exhibit). Deposits without this wording will be returned as bad deliveries.

Deposits received with a Registered Deposit Ticket will be rejected as ineligible. Registered and bearer issues cannot be intermingled on a single deposit ticket. Also, deposits of certificates that are registered to principal only will *not* be accepted.

When a significant number of interchangeable deposits are to made, participants should make prior arrangements with Michael Collins, Operations Project Manager, at (313) 663–2241.

Interchangeable deposits in registered form will be accepted only at MCC/MSTC's Chicago and New York offices. Other depository satellite (DS) locations will not process these deposits.

Withdrawals

Participants requesting withdrawals may receive registered form, but withdrawals will *first* be processed in bearer form regardless of the form in which the deposit was originally made. Registered form *cannot* be automatically requested for these issues and bearer form cannot be guaranteed.

The letters **IBR**, located under the Security Descriptioon field on the MST System Security Description Inquiry Screen (SMDES), will identify interchangeable status. This **IBR** indicator will denote that MSTC holds part of the inventory of the issues in registered form, or the Depository Trust Company (DTC) has indicated that it consider the issue interchangeable and that part or all of the inventory of the issue held at DTC is in registered form. Participants should monitor these changes and act accordingly to meet the needs and desires of their customers.

Any questions concerning this issue should be directed to Michael Collins, Operations Project Manager, at (312) 663–2241.

Gerald R. Broz

Senior Vice President MCC/MSTC

[FR Doc. 89–15717 Filed 7–3–89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010–01–M

[Release No. 34–26971; File No. SR–NYSE–89–07]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Proposed Rule Change by New York Stock Exchange, Inc., Relating to Specialists and Competitive Option Traders Maintenance of Minimum Quote Spreads

Pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, 15 U.S.C 78s(b)(1), notice is hereby given that on June 2, 1989, the New York Stock Exchange, Inc. filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The New York Stock Exchange, Inc. ("Exchange") proposes to amend Rules 750 ad 758 to clarify the rules pertaining to specialists and competitive option traders maintenance of the minimum quote spreads.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below and is set forth in Sections A, B, and C below.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

(a) *Purpose.* To update Rule 758 so that it is more reflective of current market conditions. In addition, by modifying Rule 750, the option specialist is also made responsible for the maintenance of minimum option quote spreads.

The current version of Rule 758 requires a differential of ½ of a point for option contracts when the last sale was between $.50 and $10. This proposal would narrow the differential to ¼ of a point if the last sale was less than $1, ⅜ of a point for option contracts where the last sale was between $1 and $5. It retains the ½ point differential for option contracts where the last sale was between $5 and $10. The Exchange believes that requiring these narrower differentials between the bid and offer will enhance the quality of the Exchange's options market.

Rule 750 is being modified to state that an option specialist's quotation made for his own account should be such that the differentials between the bid and offer shall be no greater than the differentials specialized for competitive options traders in paragraph (b)(i)(C)(1) of Rule 758.

(b) *Statutory Basis.* The basis under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended ("Act"), for this proposed rule change is the requirement under section 6(b)(5) that an exchange have rules that promote just and equitable principles of trade, and protect investors and the public interest.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

This proposed rule change does not impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants or Others

The Exchange has not solicited, and does not intend to solicit, comments on this proposed rule change. The Exchange has not received any unsolicited written comments from members or other interested parties.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Within 35 days of the date of publication of this notice in the **FEDERAL REGISTER** or within such longer period (i) as the Commission may designate up to 90 days of such date if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission will:

(A) By order approve the proposed rule change, or

(B) Institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing. Persons making written submissions should file six copies thereof with the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549. Copies of the

Submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552 will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Section, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549. Copies of such filing will also be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the above-mentioned self-regulatory organization. All submissions should refer to the file number in the caption above and should be submitted by July 26, 1989.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

Jonathan G. Katz,

Secretary.

Dated: June 23, 1989.

[FR Doc. 89-15718 Filed 7-3-89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

[Release No. 34-26965; File No. SR-NYSE-89-14]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Proposed Rule Change by New York Stock Exchange, Inc. Relating to Minimum Halt Period for Equipment Changeover Trading Halts

Pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"), 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1), notice is hereby given that on June 13, 1989, the New York Stock Exchange, Inc. ("NYSE" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II and III below, which Items have been prepared by the NYSE. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The proposed rule change revises the Exchange's policy on the minimum time period for the duration of a trading halt for trading halts involving an "Equipment Changeover" condition. Specifically, the minimum halt period would be reduced from fifteen to five minutes.

The proposed rule change also provides that if, during an Equipment Changeover trading halt in a stock, a significant order imbalance develops or a regulatory condition occurs (i.e., news pending or news dissemination) then the nature of the halt condition would be changed accordingly, and notice of such change would be disseminated. The minimum halt period prior to the resumption of trading in this case would be fifteen minutes following the first indication after the new halt condition is disseminated.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below and is set forth in Sections A, B, and C below.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

(a) *Purpose.* The purpose of an Equipment Changeover trading halt is to enable trading in a particular stock to be halted when trading is temporarily inhibited due to a systems, equipment or communications facility problem or for other technical reasons.

This type of halt is different from other established halt conditions (i.e., news pending, news dissemination and order imbalance) in that the purpose of such other halts is to give the investing public and market professionals a reasonable chance to reflect on, evaluate and react to news of a corporate event or a significant imbalance of supply and demand in a stock.

Present Exchange policy does not take into consideration the difference in rationale between an Equipment Changeover and other halt conditions in that regardless of the nature of the halt condition, a price indication must be disseminated before trading can be resumed, and trading may not be resumed for at least fifteen minutes from the time of the first indication. In many cases, a systems, equipment or other technical problem may be corrected in a much shorter period of time, and it may be possible to resume trading at a price which is a reasonable variation from the last sale in the stock prior to the halt.

Therefore, the proposed rule change would reduce the minimum halt period from fifteen to five mintues for an Equipment Changeover condition. Of course, if the systems, equipment or technical problem took longer to resolve, then the halt would continue until corrective action is completed.

In all other respects, existing policies relating to non-regulatory halts would apply to an Equipment Changeover halt, including the fact that

- An equipment Changeover halt requires the approval of a Floor Governor or two Floor Officials;
- An indication should be published immediately after the halt is declared;
- If multiple indications are appropriate, then trading may not be resumed until

—Ten minutes after the last indication if such indication and the immediately preceding indication do not overlap; or

—Five minutes after the last indication if such indication and the immediately preceding indication do overlap; and,

- If the halt remains in effect at the close of the trading day, a Floor Official may authorize the dissemination of an indication prior to the opening of business on the Exchange the next day (i.e., prior to 9:30 a.m.) and the Floor Official may dispense with the waiting period if no unusual situation exists prior to the opening of the affected stock.

The proposed rule change recognizes the possibility that during an Equipment Changeover halt, a significant order imbalance may develop or a "news pending" of "news dissemination" regulatory condition may occur. In such a case, the proposed rule change would require the nature of the halt condition to be changed accordingly, and that notice of such change be disseminated. Thereafter, the standard policies relating to order imbalance or regulatory halts would apply. The minimum halt period prior to the resumption of trading in this case would be fifteen minutes following the first indication after the new halt condition is disseminated. This would provide market participants the normal time period to evaluate and react to news of a corporate event or a significant order imbalance.

Finally, the proposed rule change defines a "significant order imbalance" as one which result in a price change of the lesser of 10% or three points (five points if the last sale was $100 or more) unless the price change is less than one point. This is the same standard that applies to mandatory indications on openings, under existing Exchange policies.

(b) *Statutory Basis.* The basis under the Act for the proposed rule change is section 6(b)(5), which provides in pertinent part that the rules of the

Exchange be designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market, and to protect investors and the public interest.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The proposed rule change does not impose any burden on competition.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants or Others

The proposed rule change was reviewed with, and approved by, the following Exchange committees:

—The Special Executive Committee for Trading Research and Electronics;
—The Market Performance Committee; and
—The Quality of Markets Committee.

The Participants of the Consolidated Tape Association were informed of the proposed rule change at a meeting of the Association held on May 9, 1989. No objections to the proposal were raised at this meeting.

The Exchange has not otherwise solicited comments regarding the proposed rule change. The Exchange has not received any unsolicited written comments from members or other interested parties.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Within 35 days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** or within such longer period (i) as the Commission may designate up to 90 days of such date if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission will:

(A) By order approved the proposed rule change, or

(B) Institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing. Persons making written submissions should file six copies thereof with the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549. Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any persons, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552 will be available for inspection and copying at the Commission's Public Reference Section, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549. Copies of such filing will also be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the NYSE. All submissions should refer to File No. SR-NYSE-89-14 and should be submitted by July 26, 1989.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

Dated: June 23, 1989.

Jonathan G. Katz,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 98-15722 Filed 7-3-89; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

[Release No. 34-26969; File No. SR-NYSE-89-13]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change by New York Stock Exchange, Inc. Relating to New Rule 106 and New Form 106

Pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"), 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1), notice is hereby given that on June 8, 1989, the New York Stock Exchange, Inc. ("NYSE" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II and III below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The proposed rule change would adopt new Exchange Rule 106, which requires (i) quarterly contacts by specialist units, at least one of which is an in-person meeting, with a senior official, of the rank of Corporate Secretary or higher, of each of the specialist unit's listed companies, and (ii) semi-annual contacts by specialist units, off the Exchange floor, with the 15 largest NYSE member organizations, any other member organizations that are significant customers of the specialist unit, as well as any other member organization that requests such contact. The proposed rule change also would adopt proposed Form 106.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below and is set forth in Sections A, B and C below.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

(a) Purpose

The purpose of the proposed rule change is to enhance the specialist's comunication function by requiring that the specialist maintain frequent and personal contact with the listed companies and member firm customers that he serves.

On February 7, 1989, the Commission approved the Exchange's revised specialist job description which emphasizes key expectations regarding specialist performance that are consistent with the competitive demands of an evolving market place.[1] Two revisions that were adopted in the specialist job description are the requirements to extend an annual invitation to meet with the representatives of issuers of the specialist's specialty stocks and to meet regularly with appropriate representatives of the specialist's member firm customers. At present, however, there is no formal rule requiring that specialists maintain regular contacts with their member firm customers or their listed companies' officials. Proposed Rule 106 will require that a representative of each specialist unit contact quarterly one or more senior officials, of the rank of Corporate Secretary or higher, of the issuers of each of their specialty stocks. One of the quarterly contacts would have to be an in-person meeting with a corporate official of the rank of Corporate Secretary or higher. These quarterly contacts are expected to help to foster an understanding of the specialist function, the operations of the Exchange market, and the markets that are maintained in the listed companies' stocks. Specialist units will also be required to contact semi-annually, off

[1] *See* Securities Exchange Act Release No. 26523, February 7, 1989, 54 FR 6631.

the Floor, representatives of each of the 15 largest NYSE member organizations, and representatives of any other NYSE member organization that is a significant customer of the specialist, and representatives of any other member organization which requests such contact. The purpose of these semi-annual contacts between specialist units and the Exchange's member organizations is to discuss the service, operational and competitive requirements of the member firms.

Proposed Rule 106 will require that specialist units report to the Exchange, on a semi-annual basis, contacts made with their listed companies and member firm customers pursuant to the rule. Specialist units would report this information on the proposed new Form 106.

(b) Statutory Basis

By promoting a better undestanding of the needs of listed companies and member organizations and fostering communications among the specialist, and listed company, and the NYSE member firm, the proposed rule change meets the requirements called for in Section 6(b)(5) of the Act in that it promotes the protection of investors and the public interest, helps prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, promotes just and equitable principles of trade and fosters cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating, clearing, settling, processing information with respect to, and facilitating transactions in securities.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The proposed rule change does not impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants or Others.

The Exchange has not solicited, and does not intend to solicit, written comments regarding this proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Within 35 days of the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register or within such longer period (i) as the Commission may designate up to 90 days of such date if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission will:

(A) By order approved the proposed rule change, or

(B) Institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing. Persons making written submissions should file six copies thereof with the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549. Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any persons, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Section, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549. Copies of such filing will also be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the NYSE. All submissions should refer to File No. SR-NYSE-89-13 and should be submitted by July 26, 1989.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

Jonathan G. Katz,

Secretary.

Dated: June 23, 1989.

[FR Doc. 89-15723 Filed 7-3-89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

[Release No. 34-26961; File No. SR-PSE-89-15]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Proposed Rule Change by Pacific Stock Exchange, Inc. Relating to the Trading Privileges of Holders of Special Memberships

Pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"), 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1), notice is hereby given that on June 6, 1989, the Pacific Stock Exchange Incorporated ("PSE" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II and III below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The PSE proposes to amend Rule IX, section 13, to make clear the trading privileges of holders of Special Memberships. (Brackets indicate language to be deleted, italics indicates new language.)

Rule IX, Section 13

Special Memberships

Sec. 13. (*a*) Privileges. Holders of Special Memberships issued by the Exchange shall be entitled to:

(1) Admission to the options trading floor; and

(2) Register as a Market Maker or Floor Broker in options on [the PSE Technology Index, FNCI and] such [other new] products as the Board may determine to include.

(*b*) No change.

(*c*) No change.

Commentary

.01 While present on the Options Trading Floor, a holder of a Special Membership who is registered as a Market Maker may bid, offer, purchase, write, and enter orders for his market maker account in option series currently open for trading on the Financial News Composite Index (FNC).

.02 While present on the Options Trading Floor, a holder of a Special Membership who is registered as a Floor Broker may accept and execute orders in option series currently open for trading on the Financial News Composite Index (FNC).

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The self-regulatory organization has prepared summaries, set forth in sections (A), (B) and (C) below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

(A) Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

The purpose of the proposed rule change is to more specifically describe the limitations on trading privileges accorded to holders of special memberships. The new language is

intended to make clear that a market maker holding a special membership, while present on the options trading floor, may not place orders in option classes other than that for which the market maker is registered. If a market maker holding a special membership wishes to place an order in an option class other than that for which he is registered, he must do so from off the floor. The market maker must identify the order as a customer order and any position established that was entered from off the floor will be placed in the market maker's investment account and subject to applicable customer margin. These specific procedures are designed to preclude any unfair competitive advantage over public orders.

Floor brokers holding special memberships are limited to accepting and excuting orders on option contracts with respect only to FNC and such other new products as the Board of Governors may determine to include.

Reference to the PSE Technology Index has been deleted from paragraph (a) of section 13 as the product is no longer traded.

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is specifically in keeping with section 6(b)(5) of the Act, in that it is designed to protect investors and the public interest and promote just and equitable principles of trade.

(B) Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change imposes a burden on competition.

(C) Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants or Others

Written comments on the proposed rule change were neither solicited nor received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Within 35 days of the date of the publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** or within such longer period: (i) As the Commission may designate up to 90 days of such date if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding; or (ii) as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission will:

(A) By order approve such proposed rule change; or

(B) Institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing. Persons making written submissions should file six copies thereof with the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549. Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any persons, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552 will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Section, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC, 20549. Copies of such filing will also be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the PSE. All submissions should refer to File No. SR-PSE-89-15 and should be submitted by July 26, 1989.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

Jonathan G. Katz,

Secretary.

Dated: June 23, 1989.

[FR Doc. 89-15719 Filed 7-3-89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

[Release No. 34-26968; File No. SR-Phlx-89-13]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Philadelphia Stock Exchange, Inc.; Order Approving Proposed Rule Change Relating to the Definition of "Agency Order" in Connection With PACE

On April 3, 1989, the Philadelphia Stock Exchange, Inc. ("Phlx" or "Exchange") submitted to the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission"), pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")[1] and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,[2] a proposed rule change to define agency order as that term is used in connection with the Philadelphia Stock Exchange Automated Communication and Execution System ("PACE") as set forth in Exchange Rule 229.

The proposed rule change was noticed in Securities Exchange Act Release No. 26712 (April 11, 1989), 54 FR 15862 (April 19, 1989). No comments were received on the proposed rule change.

Phlx Rule 229 sets forth the conditions under which orders will be accepted and executed under PACE. Rule 229, supplementary material .02 provides that only agency orders may be executed under PACE. This provision, however, does not currently define the term agency order as used in this context.

The Exchange states that the purpose of the proposed rule change is to clarify the original intent of Rule 229 to limit the availability of PACE to public customer orders.

In its filing, the Phlx noted that agency orders can be defined broadly to include not only public customer orders, but also orders entered for the account of broker-dealers or their affiliates. Accordingly, in order to make clear that only public customer orders may be executed under PACE, the Exchange proposes to define agency order, for purposes of Rule 229, as * * * "any order entered on behalf of a public customer, and [which] does not include any order entered for the account of a broker-dealer, the account of an associated person of a broker-dealer, or any account in which a broker-dealer or an associated person of a broker-dealer has any direct or indirect interest." [3]

In proposing this definition, the Phlx stated that it was cognizant of the fact that PACE order entry firms may not know whether a certain order is entered for a public customer or for the account of a broker-dealer or its affiliate. In this regard, the Phlx would require only that order entry firms make a good faith effort to ensure that only public customer agency orders are routed to PACE.

The Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national securities exchange, and, in particular, the requirements of Section 6(b)(5) [4] and Section 11A(a)(1)(C)(iv).[5] By clarifying that the availability of PACE is limited to public customer orders, the proposed rule change is consistent with section 6(b)(5) of the Act in that it is designed to ensure that the PACE system operates in the manner it was designed for: As a means of improving order entry and

[1] 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1) (1982).

[2] 17 CFR 240.19b-4 (1988).

[3] Compare Chicago Board Options Exchange Rule 7.4 ("No member shall place, or permit to be placed, an order with a Board Broker or Order Book official for an account in which such member, any other member or any non-member broker/dealer has an interest)."

[4] 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5) (1982).

[5] 15 U.S.C. 78k-1(a)(1)(C)(iv) (1982).

execution of small customer orders. The system was not intended to accommodate professional orders. Similarly, the proposal is consistent with Section 11A(a)(1)(C)(iv) of the Act in that it promotes "the practicability of brokers executing *investors'* orders in the best market." (Emphasis added).

It Is Therefore Ordered, pursuant to section 19(b)(2) of the Act,[6] that the proposed rule change is approved.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.[7]

Dated: June 23, 1989.

Jonathan G. Katz,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 89-15720 Filed 7-3-89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

[Release No. 34-26966; File No. PHLX 89-32]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Proposed Rule Change by the Philadelphia Stock Exchange, Inc. Relating to Execution of Stop and Stop-Limit Orders

Pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1), notice is hereby given that on May 30, 1989, the Philadelphia Stock Exchange, Inc. filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II and III below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Philadelphia Stock Exchange, Inc. ("PHLX" or "Exchange"), pursuant to Rule 19b-4, hereby proposes to adopt Option Floor Procedure Advice A-5 Execution of Stop and Stop Limit Orders: The text of the proposed rule change appears below.

Options Floor Procedure Advice

A-5 Execution of Stop and Stop-Limit Orders

Stop and stop-limit orders are contingency orders to buy or sell when the market for a particular option reaches a specified price.

Stop and stop-limit orders to buy become eligible for execution when the option trades at or above the stop price or when the bid price of the option is at or above the stop price. Stop and stop-limit orders to sell become eligible for execution when the option trades at or below the stop price or when the offer price for the option is at or below the stop price.

A stop or stop-limit order which will be made eligible by an opening sale or quote should be executed as the opening trade or included with the opening trade (whichever is the case).

Stop and stop-limit orders elected by a quotation must be given floor official approval prior to execution or, if circumstances make it impractical for prior approval, promptly following the execution. The facts surrounding each instance where retroactive approval is requested must be documented in writing, signed by the specialist and floor official, and submitted to the Surveillance Department on the day of the trade.

A-5 Schedule Fine Not Applicable.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The self-regulatory organization has prepared summaries, set forth in sections (A), (B), and (C) below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statements of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for the Proposed Rule Change

This proposed rule change is in response to the Commission's concerns respecting a previous filing submitted in 1987 respecting the same subject matter. *See* SR-PHLX-87-10 filed on April 16, 1987. In response to the Commission's concerns, the PHLX proposes to amend the Floor Procedure Advice to provide: (i) Stop and stop-limit orders elected by an opening quote or sale should be executed as part of the opening trade during the opening rotation; and (ii) stop and stop-limit orders elected by a quote change ordinarily can only be affected with the prior approval of a floor official; however, in extraordinary circumstances, they can be executed before receiving floor official approval, so long as such approval is received promptly thereafter with written documentation signed by the specialist and floor official and submitted to the Surveillance Department on the day of the trade. After carfeful study and consideration the proposed rule change was recommended by the Exchange's Options and Foreign Currency Options Committees to the Board of Governors which approved the proposed rule change at its regular meeting held May 17, 1989. The purpose of the proposed rule change is to modify the procedures for execution of stop and stop-limits orders in options trading on the Exchange. The proposed rule change is consistent with section 6(b)(5) of the Exchange Act which provides in pertinent part that the rules of the Exchange be designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade and to protect the investing public.

B. Self-Regulatory Organizations Statement on Burden on Competition

The PHLX does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competiton.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No written comments were either solicited or received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Within 35 days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** or within such longer period (i) as the Commission may designate up to 90 days or such date if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission will:

(A) By order approved such proposed rule change, or,

(B) Institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing. Persons making written submissions should file six copies thereof with the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549. Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that

---

[6] 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2) (1982).

[7] 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(2) (1988).

may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Section, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549. Copies of such filing witll also be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the above-mentioned self-regulatory organization. All submissions should refer to the file number in the caption above and should be submitted by July 26, 1989.

For the Commission by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

Jonathan G. Katz,

Secretary.

Dated: June 23, 1989.

[FR Doc. 89-15721 Filed 7-3-89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

[Declaration of Disaster Loan Area #2354; Amdt. 4]

Louisiana (and Contiguous Counties in the States of Texas, Arkansas and Mississippi); Declaration of Disaster Loan Area

The above-numbered Declaration is hereby amended in accordance with the Notice of Amendment to the President's declaration, dated June 22, 1989, to include the parishes of Bienville and Claiborne, in the State of Louisiana, as a result of damages from severe storms and flooding which occurred May 4 through May 27, 1989.

In addition, applications for economic injury from small businesses located in the contiguous parish of Webster, in the State of Louisiana, and Columbia County, in the State of Arkansas, may be filed until the specified date at the previously designated location.

Any counties contiguous to the above-named primary counties and not listed herein have previously been named as contiguous or primary counties for the same occurrence.

All other information remains the same; i.e., the termination date for filing applications for physical damage is the close of business on July 18, 1989, and for econonic injury until the close of business on February 20, 1990.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Nos. 59002 and 59008)

Date: June 23, 1989.

Bernard Kulik,

Deputy Associate Administrator for Disaster Assistance.

[FR Doc. 89-15670 Filed 7-3-89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8025-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Applications for Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity and Foreign Air Carrier Permits Filed Under Subpart Q During the Week Ended June 23, 1989

The following applications for certificates of public convenience and necessity and foreign air carrier permits were filed under Subpart Q of the Department of Transportation's Procedural Regulations [See 14 CFR 302.1701 *et seq.*). The due date for answers, conforming application, or motion to modify scope are set forth below for each application. Following the answer period DOT may process the application by expedited procedures. Such procedures may consist of the adoption of a show-cause order, a tentative order, or in appropriate cases a final order without further proceedings.

Docket No. 46352

Date Filed: June 20, 1989

Due Date for Answers, Conforming Applications, or Motion to Modify Scope: July 18, 1989

Description: Application of Air Aruba pursuant to section 402 of the Act and Subpart Q of the Procedural Regulations applies for a foreign air carrier permit authorizing it to engage in the foreign air transportation of persons, property and mail between points in the United States and Aruba.

Docket No. 46329

Date Filed: June 22, 1989

Due Date for Answers, Conforming Applications, or Motion to Modify Scope: July 20, 1989

Description: Amendment No. 1 to the Application of United Air Lines, Inc. pursuant to Section 401 of the Act and Subpart Q of the Rules of Practice requests a certificate of public convenience to provide service between Chicago, Illinois, and on the one hand, Warsaw, Poland, and Budapest, Hungary, on the other hand.

Phyllis T. Kaylor,

Chief, Documentary Services Division.

[FR Doc. 89-15751 Filed 7-3-89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-62-M

Office of the Secretary

Announcement of Public Forums on Rural America Transportation Issues; Development of a National Transportation Policy

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary, DOT.

ACTION: Public forums announcement.

SUMMARY: This notice announces a series of six public forums on rural transportation issues to be held in various locations around the country. These meetings are a key component of the information gathering process leading to development of a national transportation policy

All interested parties, whether representing an organization or themselves, are invited to participate in these public forums. Oral statements will be limited to 10 minutes per individuals; written statements or comments will also be accepted. Notification of your intent to participate should be received by the Chairman of the Rural America Transportation Cluster Group not later than 5 working days before the date of the hearing you will attend.

DATES: Public forums on rural transportation issues are scheduled from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. on the following dates:

- July 25, 1989—Moscow, Idaho.
- July 27, 1989—Gallup, New Mexico.
- August 1, 1989—Montpelier, Vermont.
- August 3, 1989—London, Kentucky.
- August 8, 1989—Sioux Falls, South Dakota.
- August 11, 1989—Jackson, Mississippi.

ADDRESSES: The public forums will be held in the following locations:

- Borah Theater, Student Union Building, University of Idaho, Moscow, Idaho.
- Holiday Inn, W. 66th Avenue, Gallup, New Mexico.
- Federal Building/U.S. Post Office, 87 State Street, 3rd Floor Courtroom, Montpelier, Vermont.
- Wilderness Road Information Center, 140 W. Daniel Boone Parkway, Intersection of Interstate Route 75 and KY Route 80, London, Kentucky.
- Holiday Inn—Center City, 100 W. 8th Street, Sioux Falls, South Dakota.
- Mississippi Education and Research Center, ETV Auditorium, 3825 Ridgewood Road, Jackson, Mississippi.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Those seeking further information or wishing to participate in a public forum should contact:

Mr. H. Joseph Rhodes, Chairman, Rural Transportation Cluster Group, Room 3317, HPP-1, 400 Seventh Street SW., Washington, DC 20590, (202) 366-0587.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A top priority of the Department of Transportation during the remainder of 1989 will be to develop a comprehensive national transportation policy and

accompanying implementation strategies which reflect the role of transportation in a changing national and international environment. Early next year, the Secretary of Transportation will issue a National Transportation Policy Statement that will set forth the policy guidelines and strategies for meeting the Nation's transportation needs over the next decade and into the 21st Century.

In order to stimulate a discussion of issues, problems and solutions from a multimodal perspective, development of the National Transportation Policy will be organized largely by transportation market areas, with senior Departmental staff conducting analyses based on information and opinions from a variety of sources. The transportation market "clusters" to be examined will include:

- Urban/suburban transportation systems and services,
- Rural America transportation systems and services,
- Intercity freight: domestic transportation systems and services,
- Intercity passenger: domestic transportation systems and services,
- International transportation systems and services, and
- Innovation and human factors in transportation.

Concurrent with these market segment analyses, a series of seminars will be held to examine cross-cutting issues having broad implications for the development of a national transportation policy. Preliminary plans call for seminars addressing special needs of the transportation disadvantaged, transportation and energy, national security transportation needs, environmental considerations in achieving national transportation goals, and transportation's role in economic growth.

A key component of this policy development process will be to reach out to transportation users, the transportation industry, interest groups (including government agencies), transportation employees, and the Congress for their views on issues, problems, and recommended solutions. In addition to public forums, this outreach effort will involve solicitation of written comments from the public (see **Federal Register** Notice published July 3, 1989), meetings with key transportation and other organizations, site visits, and other events to obtain the views of concerned parties and individuals and to build a consensus for a national policy.

Rural America has evolved in such a way that transportation is now asked to fulfill a different role than has traditionally been the case. For most of American history, rural families and communities were largely self-sufficient. Transportation played a supporting, but not central role. Though agricultural products had to be shipped to market, those markets were relatively localized prior to the advent of refrigeration. Grain and livestock markets were widespread, but the railroads served the movement of these bulk products well.

Today, neither the families or communities are self-contained. The rural economy has become more diversified in terms of its products and needs. The U.S. Department of Agriculture's Economic Research Service has found that only about one-fourth of the Nation's nonmetropolitan counties are currently classified as farming-dependent. Further, off-farm income now accounts for between 40 and 55 percent of the average net income of farm households.

These and other changes are not short-term, but are permanent structural changes that are creating new demands for transportation services in rural areas. Local mobility is needed as families become more dependent on goods and services provided by the community at large. Economic development of rural areas relies on the ability to ship and receive products and raw materials. Rural communities need access to the national transportation system for the range of services which are a prerequisite to viability in modern America.

Growing evidence suggests that many rural transportation components presently are inadequate to serve the needs of rural America and the Nation. Rural America is more dependent than the rest of the Nation on the automobile for local transport. Yet, rural areas have as high, and in some cases larger concentrations of elderly, poor, and handicapped citizens who are unable to use auto transport.

Health care delivery is a particularly important aspect of the local transportation problem in rural areas. The distances involved, together with a decline in the level and availability of services, place a substantial burden on transportation for both emergency and nonemergency health services.

There also exists, at least, the perception that the freight transport market does not function well in bringing service to rural area. This perceived market failure is largely a function of the changes created by the sudden Federal deregulation of rail and trucking service in the early 1980's. An adjustment to these changes will likely continue to occur as more privately operated short line railroads are created, truck service adjusts to unserved demand, and highway resources are refocused on facilities with more traffic. Nevertheless, rural areas may not have the level of freight service available to urban areas.

There are clearly rural areas which are disadvantaged in terms of access to the national passenger transportation system. Intercity bus and rail passenger service have declined substantially, and scheduled air service to many communities remains only through cross-subsidies financially supported by the Federal Government There do not appear to be major changes on the horizon that would alter these conditions, though the private sector is one possibility for providing service where there is a need.

Safe transportation cuts across all modes of travel; however, there are certain safety issues that are specific to the rural environment. For example, travel speeds are often higher on rural roads. Therefore, when crashes do occur, they tend to be more severe. Further, rural population demographics differ somewhat from the general population. Safety belt usage is lower, and the drunk driving involvement rate is higher than for the general population. Special awareness programs may be needed to reach rural citizens on these isuses.

In summary, there are a number of issues related to rural transportation that must be considered in formulating a national transportation policy. These include questions such as:

- How well is the rural transportation system serving transportation needs? What is a reasonable and appropriate level of rural transportation service?
- What level of rural transportation service is a public sector responsibility, and how are Federal, State, and local interests to be balanced? What transportation services can the private sector reasonably be expected to provide?
- How should costs of rural transportation services be assigned between users and nonusers?
- Is it appropriate to devote more public resources to developing equipment, facilities, and institutional arrangements specifcially targeted to improving the efficiency of low density service?
- Are there unique safety problems that should be dealt with in providing rural transportation servce?
- How can rural transportation services be better coordinated, thereby improving the efficiency of all? Are there examples of where coordination has already been effective?

• What innovations have been successfully implemented to provide transportation service that might otherwise not be available?

Comments on these and any other issues pertaining to rural transportation are welcome at these public forums.

Issued this 29th day of June, 1989, in Washington, DC.

H. Joseph Rhodes,

Chairman, Rural Transportation Cluster Group.

[FR Doc. 89-15750 Filed 7-3-89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-62-M

Federal Aviation Administration

Noise Exposure Map Notice; Receipt of Noise Compatibility Program and Request for Review, Fort Smith Municipal Airport, Fort Smith, AR

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) announces its determination that the noise exposure maps submitted by the Fort Smith Airport Commission for Fort Smith Municipal Airport under the provisions of Title I of the Aviation Safety and Noise Abatement Act of 1979 (Pub. L. 96-193) and 14 CFR Part 150 are in compliance with applicable requirements. The FAA also announces that it is reviewing a proposed noise compatibility program that was submitted for Fort Smith Municipal Airport under Part 150 in conjunction with the noise exposure maps and that this program will be approved or disapproved on or before December 17, 1989.

EFFECTIVE DATE: The effective date of the FAA's determination on the noise exposure maps and the start of its review of the associated noise compatibility program is June 20, 1989. The public comment period ends August 19, 1989.

FOR FURTHER IMFORMATION CONTACT: Donald C. Harris, Department of Transportation, Federal Aviation Administration, Fort Worth, Texas, 76193-0612, (817) 624-5609. Comments on the proposed noise compatibility program should also be submitted to the above office.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This notice announces that the FAA finds that the noise exposure maps submitted for Fort Smith Municipal Airport are in compliance with applicable requirements of Part 150, effective June 20, 1989. Further, FAA is reviewing a proposed noise compatibility program for that airport which will be approved or disapproved on or before December 17, 1989.This notice also announces the availability of this program for public review and comment.

Under section 103 of Title I of the Aviation Safety and Noise Abatement Act of 1979 (hereinafter referred to as "the Act"), an airport operator may submit to the FAA noise exposure maps which meet applicable regulations and which depict noncompatible land uses as of the date of submission of such maps, a description of projected aircraft operations, and the ways in which such operations will affect such maps. The Act requires such maps to be developed in consultation with interested and affected parties in the local community, government agencies, and persons using the airport.

An airport operator who has submitted noise exposure maps that are found by the FAA to be in compliance with the requirements of Federal Aviation Regulations (FAR) Part 150, promulgated pursuant to Title I of the Act, may submit a noise compatibility program for FAA approval which sets forth the measures the operator has taken or proposes for the reduction of existing noncompatible uses and for the prevention of the introduction of additional noncompatible uses.

The Fort Smith Airport Commission submitted to the FAA on September 8, 1987, noise exposure maps, descriptions and other documentation which were produced during development of the Fort Smith Municipal Airport FAR Part 150 Noise Exposure and Land Use Compatibility Plan between July 17, 1984, and December 5, 1986. It was requested that the FAA review this material as the noise exposure maps, as described in section 103(a)(1) of the Act, and that the noise mitigation measures, to be implemented jointly by the airport and surrounding communities, be approved as a noise compatibility program under section 104(b) of the Act.

The FAA has completed its review of the noise exposure maps and related descriptions submitted by the Fort Smith Airport Commission. The specific maps under consideration are the Existing Noise Exposure Map, depicted in Figure 8, page 35, and the Future Noise Exposure Map—1991, depicted in Figure 9, page 38.

The FAA has determined that these maps for Fort Smith Municipal Airport are in compliance with applicable requirements. This determination is effective on June 20, 1989. FAA's determination on an airport operator's noise exposure maps is limited to a finding that the maps were developed in accordance with the procedure's contained in Appendix A of FAR Part 150. Such determination does not constitute approval of the applicant's data, information, or plans, or a commitment to approve a noise compatibility program or to fund the implementation of that program.

If questions arise concerning the precise relationship of specific properties to noise exposure contours depicted on a noise exposure map submitted under section 103 of the Act, it should be noted that the FAA is not involved in any way in determining the relative locations of specific properties with regard to the depicted noise contours, or in interpreting the noise exposure maps to resolve questions concerning, for example, which properties should be covered by the provisions of section 107 of the Act. These functions are inseparable from the ultimate land use control and planning responsibilities of local government. These local responsibilities are not changed in any way under Part 150 or through FAA's review of noise exposure maps. Therefore, the responsibility for the detailed overlaying of noise exposure contours onto the map depicting properties on the surface rests exclusively with the airport operator which submitted those maps, or with those public agencies and planning agencies with which consultation is required under section 103 of the Act. The FAA has relied on the certification by the airport operator, under § 150.21 of FAR Part 150, that the statutorily required consultation has been accomplished.

The FAA has formally received the noise compatibility program for Fort Smith Municipal Airport, also effective on June 20, 1989. Preliminary review of the submitted material indicates that it conforms to the requirements for the submittal of noise compatibility programs, but that further review will be necessary prior to approval or disapproval of the program. The formal review period, limited by law to a maximum of 180 days, will be completed on or before December 17, 1989.

The FAA s detailed evaluation will be conducted under the provisions of 14 CFR Part 150, § 150.33. The primary considerations in the evaluation process are whether the proposed measures may reduce the level of aviation safety, create an undue burden on interstate or foreign commerce, or be reasonably consistent with obtaining the goal of reducing existing noncompatible land uses and preventing the introduction of additional noncompatible land uses.

Interested persons are invited to comment on the proposed program with specific reference to these factors. All comments, other than those properly addressed to local land use authorities, will be considered by the FAA to the extent practicable. Copies of the noise exposure maps, the FAA's evaluation of the maps, and the proposed noise compatibility program are available for examination at the following locations:

Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW. Room 617, Washington, DC 20591.

Federal Aviation Administration, Airports Division, ASW-600, 4400 Blue Mound Road, Fort Worth, Texas 76193-0600.

Fort Smith Airport Commission, Fort Smith Municipal Airport, 5900 Phoenix, Fort Smith, Arkansas 72903.

Questions may be directed to the individual named above under the heading, **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT.**

Issued in Fort Worth, Texas, June 20, 1989.

Wm. Jack Sasser,

Manager, Airports Division.

[FR Doc. 89-15694 Filed 7-3-89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

Noise Exposure Map Notice; Receipt of Noise Compatibility Program and Request for Review; Orlando International Airport, Orlando, FL

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) announces its determination that the noise exposure maps submitted by the City of Orlando, Florida, for the Orlando International Airport under the provisions of Title I of the Aviation Safety and Noise Abatement Act of 1979 (Pub. L. 96-193) and 14 CFR Part 150 are in compliance with applicable requirements. The FAA also announces that it is reviewing a proposed noise compatibility program that was submitted for the Orlando International Airport under Part 150 in conjunction with the noise exposure map, and that this program will be approved or disapproved on or before December 13, 1989.

EFFECTIVE DATE: The effective date of the FAA's determination on the noise exposure maps and of the start of its review of the associated noise compatibility program is June 16, 1989. The public comment period ends August 15, 1989.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Pablo G. Auffant, Federal Aviation Administration, Orlando Airports District Office, 4100 Tradecenter Street, Orlando, Florida 32827-5096, (407) 648-6583. Comments on the proposed noise compatibility program should also be submitted to the above office.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This notice announces that the FAA finds that the noise exposure maps submitted for the Orlando International Airport are in compliance with applicable requirements of Part 150, effective June 16, 1989. Further, FAA is reviewing a proposed noise compatibility program for that airport which will be approved or disapproved on or before December 13, 1989. This notice also announces the availability of this program for public review and comment.

Under section 103 of Title I of the Aviation Safety and Noise Abatement Act of 1979 (hereinafter referred to as "the Act"), an airport operator may submit to the FAA noise exposure maps which meet applicable regulations and which depict noncompatible land uses as of the date of submission of such maps, a description of projected aircraft operations, and the ways in which such operations will affect such maps. The Act requires such maps to be developed in consultation with interested and affected parties in the local community, government agencies, and persons using the airport.

An airport operator who has submitted noise exposure maps that are found by FAA to be in compliance with the requirements of Federal Aviation Regulations (FAR) Part 150, promulgated pursuant to Title I of the Act, may submit a noise compatibility program for FAA approval which sets forth the measures the operator has taken or proposes for the reduction of existing noncompatible uses and for the prevention of the introduction of additional noncompatible uses.

The City of Orlando, Florida, submitted to the FAA on June 5, 1989, noise exposure maps, descriptions and other documentation which were produced during an FAR Part 150 study (ANCLUC update) between June 11, 1984 and June 29, 1988. It was requested that the FAA review this material as the noise exposure maps, as described in section 103(a)(1) of the Act, and that the noise mitigation measures, to be implemented jointly by the airport and surrounding communities, be approved as a noise compatibility program under section 104(b) of the Act.

The FAA has completed its review of the noise exposure maps and related descriptions submitted by the City of Orlando, Florida. The specific maps under consideration are Existing Noise Exposure Map (1987) and Future Five-Year Map (1992) in the submission.

The FAA has determined that these maps for the Orlando International Airport are in compliance with applicable requirements. This determination is effective on June 16, 1989. FAA's determination on an airport operator's noise exposure maps is limited to a finding that the maps were developed in accordance with the procedures contained in appendix A of FAR Part 150. Such determination does not constitute approval of the applicant's data, information or plans, or a commitment to approve a noise compatibility program or to fund the implementation of that program.

If questions arise concerning the precise relationship of specific properties to noise exposure contours depicted on a noise exposure map submitted under section 103 of the Act, it should be noted that the FAA is not involved in any way in determining the relative locations of specific properties with regard to the depicted noise contours, or in interpreting the noise exposure maps to resolve questions concerning, for example, which properties should be covered by the provisions of section 107 of the Act. These functions are inseparable from the ultimate land use control and planning responsibilities of local government. These local responsibilities are not changed in any way under Part 150 or through FAA's review of noise exposure maps. Therefore, the responsibility for the detailed overlaying of noise exposure contours onto the map depicting properties on the surface rests exclusively with the airport operator which submitted those maps, or with those public agencies and planning agencies with which consultation is required under section 103 of the Act. The FAA has relied on the certification by the airport operator, under § 150.21 of FAR Part 150, that the statutorily required consultation has been accomplished.

The FAA has formally received the noise compatibility program for the Orlando International Airport, also effective on June 16, 1989. Preliminary review of the submitted material indicates that it conforms to the requirements for the submittal of noise compatibility programs, but that further review will be necessary prior to approval or disapproval of the program. The formal review period, limited by law to a maximum of 180 days, will be completed on or before December 13, 1989.

The FAA's detailed evaluation will be conducted under the provisions of 14

CFR Part 150, § 150.33. The primary considerations in the evaluation process are whether the proposed measures may reduce the level of aviation safety, create an undue burden on interstate or foreign commerce, or be reasonably consistent with obtaining the goal of reducing existing noncompatible land uses and preventing the introduction of additional noncompatible land uses.

Interested persons are invited to comment on the proposed program with specific reference to these factors. All comments, other than those properly addressed to local land use authorities, will be considered by the FAA to the extent practicable. Copies of the noise exposure maps, the FAA's evaluation of the maps, and the proposed noise compatibility program are available for examination at the following locations:

Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Room 617, Washington, D.C. 20591.

Federal Aviation Administration, Orlando Airports District Office, 4100 Tradecenter Street, Orlando, Florida 32827–5096.

John Wyckoff, Executive Director, Greater Orlando Aviation Authority, One Airport Boulevard, Orlando, Florida 32827–4399.

Questions may be directed to the individual named above under the heading, **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Issued in Orlando, Florida, June 16, 1989.

James E. Sheppard,

Manager, Orlando Airports District Office.

[FR Doc. 89–15695 Filed 7–3–89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–M

Federal Highway Administration

Environmental Impact Statement; City of Fresno, Fresno County, CA

AGENCY: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of intent.

SUMMARY: The FHWA is issuing this notice to advise the public that an environmental impact statement will be prepared for a proposed highway project in Fresno County, California.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. John R. Schultz, District Engineer, Federal Highway Administration, P. O. Box 1915, Sacramento, California, 95812–1915, Telephone (916) 551–1307.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FHWA, in cooperation with the California Department of Transportation, (Caltrans) will prepare an environmental impact statement (EIS) on a proposal to construct a new 3.1-mile highway from Brawley Avenue to 0.4 mile east of State Route (SR) 99 (post miles 53.6 to R56.7 of SR 180) in and immediately west of the City of Fresno.

Existing average daily traffic (ADT) along this western portion of SR 180 is 6,070 vehicles. SR 180 is a principal arterial that carries traffic between the west side of the San Joaquin Valley, Fresno, and the Sequoia National Forest and Park. The route serves agricultural traffic and is of growing importance for commute travel between neighboring communities and the Fresno urbanized area. It also serves as a major east-west corridor for travel through Fresno.

The proposed project is needed to provide route continuity between the SR 180 freeway east of SR 99 and the existing highway west of Brawley Avenue. It would also relieve congestion on the local streets within the proposed alignment area. In addition, accident rates on the western portion of SR 180 are above the statewide average. Alternatives being studied include:

1. *Freeway/Expressway on New Alignment.* This alternative provides a four-lane freeway with 94-foot median, on an adopted freeway alignment, from Valentine Avenue to 0.4 mile east of SR 99, and a two- to four-lane expressway transition from Brawley Avenue to Valentine Avenue. Possible interchange variations include:

- At-grade intersection at Marks Avenue and a modified diamond interchange at the proposed Hughes/West Avenue diagonal.
- A diamond interchange at Hughes Avenue and overcrossings at Marks and West Avenues.
- A partial cloverleaf interchange at Marks Avenue and a diamond interchange at the proposed Hughes/West Avenue diagonal.

This alternative connects with the SR 180 freeway continuing eastward from SR 99.

2. *Route Continuity Using Existing Route 180.* This alternative would improve existing SR 180 as a conventional highway from Brawley Avenue to approximately West Avenue, then continue northeastward on a new alignment as a freeway connecting SR 180 to SR 99.

3. *Improve Existing Route 180.* This alternative would improve existing SR 180 from Brawley Avenue to SR 99 in downtown Fresno as a conventional highway.

4. *Transportation Systems Management (TSM).* This alternative consists of minor modifications and restriping of existing roads, improved transit, and increased use of high-occupancy vehicles.

5. *Mass Transit.* This alternative would rely primarily on expanded transit service.

6. *No Build.* This alternative would provide no improvements.

A scoping meeting will be held on Wednesday, July 26, 1989, at 9:00 a.m. in the Caltrans training room, 4491 West Shaw Avenue Fresno, California. Agency representatives will be given an opportunity to ask questions about the project and provide input to the environmental scoping process. The public participation process will include additional open houses and at least one public hearing.

To ensure that the full range of issues related to this proposed action are addressed and all significant issues identified, comments and suggestions are invited from all interested parties. If you have any information regarding historic resources, endangered species, or other sensitive issues that could be affected by this project, please notify this office. Also, please indicate if you would be interested in being notified at completion of historic resource studies.

Comments or questions concerning this proposed action and the EIS should be directed to the FHWA at the address provided above.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Number 20.205, Highway Research, Planning, and Construction. The regulations implementing Executive Order 12372 regarding intergovernmental consultation on Federal programs and activities apply to this program)

Issued on: June 23, 1989.

John R. Schultz,

District Engineer Sacramento, California.

[FR Doc. 89–15708 Filed 7–3–89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–22–M

Maritime Administration

[Docket S–852]

Farrell Lines Inc.

Application To Remove Restrictions on Subsidized Sailings From TR 13-Atlantic Ports to Ports in Egypt and (2) for 36 Privilege Calls on TR 13-Gulf

Farrell Lines Incorporated (Farrell) presently operates a subsidized service between Atlantic coast ports in the United States and ports in the Mediterranean Sea (Trade Route (TR) 10 and TR 13-Atlantic). Farrell, however, is restricted to 26 subsidized calls per year from ports on TR 13-Atlantic to ports in Egypt. In 1988, Farrell operated 26 subsidized sailings on TR 13-Atlantic ports to Egypt. Farrell, by letter of June 26, 1989, applied for additional service

from U.S. ports on TR 13-Atlantic to Mediterranean ports in Egypt in the form of removal of this restriction on Farrell's subsidized service. This would authorize Farrell to offer subsidized service on this segment of its route on all of its sailings.

Farrell also requested the privilege of calling up to 36 sailings of its TR 10 subsidized vessels at TR 13-Gulf ports. Farrell proposes to operate privilege calls on TR 13-Gulf at a frequency required by the trade, up to 36 sailings per year. In 1988, Farrell made 34 subsidized voyages on TR 13-Atlantic and, because of time lost for repairs required on its subsidized vessels, operated five unsubsidized voyages with chartered ships.

Farrell currently operates four containerships on TR 10/13-Atlantic. Farrell hopes to obtain one or two additional vessels (either full container or combination breakbulk/containerships) to replace two 25 year old vessels that had to be retired in 1988. According to Farrell, the additional TR 13-Gulf privilege calls could be provided with Farrell's present fleet or with its present fleet and one or two additional vessels.

Farrell avers that this application probably involves disputed issues of material fact that cannot be resolved on the basis of available information subject to official notice. Farrell therefore requests that the issues in this proceeding be set for hearing and consolidated with Dockets S-807 and S-822. It is anticipated that a prehearing conference in consolidated Dockets S-807/S-822 will be held as early as July 19, 1989. S-807 involves Lykes Bros. Steamship Co., Inc.'s (Lykes) application for its TR 13 vessels to make an additional 24 privilege sailings (a total of 48) on TR 10. In S-822 Waterman Steamship Corporation seeks to extend its TR 13 privilege calls to TR 10. Thus, Farrell maintains that its present application is the reciprocal of the applications in S-807 and S-822. Farrell notes that the basic Maritime Administration (MARAD) data package for TR 10 will have to be prepared for S-807 and the basic MARAD data package for S-822 will have to be prepared for TR 10-Egypt. It should not involve substantial additional time, according to Farrell, to prepare the MARAD data needed for a hearing on the present Farrell application.

Farrell emphasizes that the issues involved in the present Farrell application are not substantially different than the issues in Dockets S-807 and S-822. Those two dockets are not realistically subject to a claim of urgency since they have been pending since May 1, 1987 (S-807), and February 24, 1988 (S-822). Farrell notes that any claim Lykes could make as to urgency is, moreover, mitigated by the fact that Lykes already has a privilege of 24 sailings on TR 10.

For the above reasons, Farrell requests that this application be consolidated for hearing with S-807/S-822 and that petitions to intervene be entertained at the prehearing conference in S-807/S-822.

This application may be inspected in the Office of the Secretary, Maritime Administration. Any person, firm, or corporation having any interest in such request and desiring to submit comments concerning the application must file written comments in triplicate with the Secretary, Maritime Administration, Room 7300, Nassif Building, 400 Seventh Street SW., Washington, DC 20590. Comments must be received no later than 5:00 P.M. on July 17, 1989. The Maritime Subsidy Board will consider any comments submitted and take such action with respect thereto as may be deemed appropriate.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 20.804 (Operating-Differential Subsidies)).

By Order of the Maritime Subsidy Board.

Dated: June 30, 1989.

Joel C. Richard,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 89-15872 Filed 6-30-89; 1:11 pm]

BILLING CODE 4910-81-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Internal Revenue Service

Income Taxes; 1990 Electronic Filing Program; Forms 1040, 1040A, and 1040EZ Returns

AGENCY: Internal Revenue Service, Treasury.

ACTION: Electronic filing program.

SUMMARY: In 1990, the Internal Revenue Service plans to continue the program to allow the filing of Form 1040, U.S. Individual Income Tax returns electronically. Electronic filing will now be available nationwide, including Hawaii and Alaska. Electronic filing is also available to U.S. citizens living abroad having an APO or FPO address. Electronic returns are not accepted from addresses in any of the U.S. Territories (Guam, Puerto Rico, Virgin Islands) or any foreign countries, unless the individuals have APO or FPO addresses.

Accepted electronic filers will be permitted to electronically file 1989 Federal individual income tax returns to the Andover, MA, Cincinnati, OH and Ogden, UT Service Centers. Most commonly used forms and schedules will be accepted.

Taxpayers can elect to have their refunds directly deposited in their bank, savings and loan, or credit union account.

DATES: *Application Dates:* Application Forms 8633, Electronic Filer Application to File Individual Income Tax Returns Electronically, should be filed with the appropriate Service Center by October 1, 1989. Applications must be filed with each Service Center to which electronic returns will be filed. Electronic filers who were accepted to participate in the 1989 Electronic Filing Systems (EFS) program for tax year 1988 are not required to submit a new application. However, if information provided on that previous application has changed, those participants must notify the Service by telephone or letter, of the corrected information. Electronic filers who were accepted to participate in the 1989 EFS program must notify the Service if changes have been made to the following:

a. The organization ownership structure; or
b. The electronic filing function(s) performed; or
c. The address, telephone number or contact representatives; or
d. The branch office addresses, local contacts and telephone numbers.

Applications to participate and subsequent electronically filed returns from the following states should be filed with the Andover Service Center. Mail applications to the: Internal Revenue Service, Andover Service Center, P.O. Box 311, Andover, MA 01810, Attn: EFU PATS, Stop 981.

Connecticut
Delaware
Maine
Maryland (including Washington, DC)
Massachusetts
New Hampshire
New Jersey
New York (including APO/FPO addresses)
Pennsylvania
Rhode Island
Vermont
Virginia

Applications to participate and subsequent electronically filed returns from the following states should be filed with the Cincinnati Service Center. Mail applications to the: Internal Revenue Service, Cincinnati Service Center, P.O. Box 267, Covington, KY 41019, Attn: EFU PATS, Stop 21.

Alabama

Arkansas
Florida (including APO/FPO addresses)
Georgia
Indiana
Kentucky
Louisiana
Michigan
Mississippi
North Carolina
Ohio
South Carolina
Tennessee
West Virginia.

Applications to participate and subsequent electronically filed returns from the following states should be filed with the Ogden Service Center. Mail applications to the: Internal Revenue Service, Ogden Service Center, P.O. Box 9941, Ogden, UT 84409, Attn: EFU PATS, Stop 1056.

Alaska
Arizona
California (including APO/FPO addresses)
Colorado
Hawaii
Idaho
Illinois
Iowa
Kansas
Minnesota
Missouri
Montana
Nebraska
Nevada
New Mexico
North Dakota
Oklahoma
Oregon
South Dakota
Texas
Utah
Washington (including APO/FPO addresses)
Wisconsin
Wyoming

Interested parties should contact the nearest District Office Electronic Filing Coordinator for information after June 22, 1989. Inquiries can be made by telephone or mail. The nationwide telephone number is 1–800–424–1040 (ask for the District Office Electronic Filing Coordinator). Correspondence should be sent to your local Internal Revenue Service district office.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In 1990, Forms 1040, 1040A, and 1040EZ can be filed electronically. Forms 1040 can include Schedules A, B, C, D, E, F, R, SE and Forms W–2, W–2G, W–2P, 2106, 2119, 2441, 3903, 4136, 4137, 4255, 4562, 4684, 4797, 4835, 5329, 6198, 6251, 6252, 8283, 8582, 8606, and 8808.

The principal advantages to participants of electronic filing are that: (1) Most taxpayers will receive their refunds within three weeks of the date the return is acknowledged as received by the IRS; (2) most errors are detected up front, the filer is advised and can correct and resubmit the return, eliminating delays; (3) the return preparers will be able to serve their clients more efficiently; and (4) taxpayers participating in Direct Deposit will obtain their refunds quickly and more conveniently.

Applicants must comply with all requirements of the Revenue Procedures.

Leonard Holt,

Chief, Operations & Marketing Branch.

[FR Doc. 89–15753 Filed 7–3–89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4830–01–M

Privacy Act of 1974; Computer Matching Programs

AGENCY: Internal Revenue Service; Treasury Department.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the Computer Matching and Privacy Protection Act of 1988, Pub. L. 100–503, October 18, 1988, and the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Guidelines on the Conduct of Matching Programs, notice is hereby given of the conduct of Internal Revenue Service's computer matching programs. In accordance with various provisions of section 6103 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, the computer matching programs provide Federal, State, and local agencies with tax information from IRS records to assist them in administering the programs and activities described hereafter. The matching programs substantially prevent or reduce fraud and abuse in certain government programs, and facilitate the settlement of government claims while protecting the privacy interest of the subjects of the match.

EFFECTIVE DATE: August 4, 1989.

ADDRESS: Inquiries may be mailed to Director, Office of Disclosure, Internal Revenue Service, P.O. Box 388, Washington, DC 20044.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Fuhrman, Chief, FOI/Privacy Section, Internal Revenue Service, (202) 566–3359.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The nature, purposes, and authorities for IRS computer matching programs are as follows:

Matches Conducted Pursuant to IRC 6103(l)(3)

Upon written request, the Service may disclose to the head of a Federal agency, which makes, guarantees, or insures certain Federal loans, whether an applicant for such a loan has a tax delinquent account.

The disclosure of a Tax Delinquent Account Status Indicator under IRC 6103(l)(3) is made only for the purpose of, and to the extent necessary in, determining the creditworthiness of the applicant for the loan in question. The information provided is extracted monthly from the Internal Revenue Service Individual Master File (IMF) located at the Internal Revenue Service, Martinsburg Computing Center (MCC), in Martinsburg, West Virginia. The IMF contains tax accounts of individuals.

Matches Conducted Pursuant to IRC 6103(l)(7)

The Service is required, upon written request, to disclose current information from returns with respect to unearned income to any Federal, State, or local agency administering certain federally approved programs to provide:

(a) Aid to Families with Dependent Children;

(b) Medical assistance;

(c) Supplemental Security Income benefits;

(d) Social security benefits;

(e) Unemployment compensation;

(f) Food Stamps; or

(g) State administered supplementary payments.

Information is disclosed by the Service only for the purpose of, and to the extent necessary in, determining eligibility for, or the correct amount of, benefits under the aforementioned programs.

The return information is extracted on a monthly basis from the Internal Revenue Service Information Return Master File (IRMF) for the latest processing year. This file contains information returns filed by payers of income such as interest and dividends reported on Forms 1099–INT and 1099–DIV.

Matches Conducted Pursuant to IRC 6103(m)(2)

The Service may, upon written request, disclose the mailing address of a taxpayer for use by officers, employees, or agents of a Federal agency for purposes of locating such taxpayer to collect or compromise a Federal claim against the taxpayer in accordance with sections 3711, 3717, and 3718 of Title 31 of the United States Code. This section also provides for the redisclosure of a taxpayer's mailing address to a consumer reporting agency, but only to allow for the preparation of a commercial credit report on the

taxpayer for use by the requesting Federal agency in accordance with the Federal Claims Collection Act of 1966, as amended by the Debt Collection Act of 1982.

The information provided is extracted monthly from the Internal Revenue Service Individual Master File (IMF) located at MCC. The IMF contains tax accounts of individuals.

Matches Conducted Pursuant to IRC 6103(m)(4)

Upon written request from the Secretary of Education, the Service may disclose the mailing address of any taxpayer who has defaulted on certain loans extended under the Higher Education Act or Migration and Refugee Assistance Act for purposes of locating such taxpayer to collect the loan. This section further provides for the redisclosure by the Secretary of Education of a taxpayer's mailing address to any lender, or any State or nonprofit guarantee agency, participating under the Higher Education Act, or any educational institution with which the Secretary of Education has an agreement under that Act.

Redisclosure is made by the Secretary of Education for use only by officers, employees, or agents of such lender, guarantee agency, or institution whose duties relate to the collection of student loans for purposes of locating individuals who have defaulted on student loans made under such loan programs for purposes of collecting such loans.

The information provided is extracted monthly from the Internal Revenue Service Individual Master File (IMF) located at MCC.

Matches Conducted Under IRC 6103(m)(5)

Upon written request from the Secretary of Health and Human Services (HHS), the Service may disclose the mailing address of any taxpayer who has defaulted on certain loans extended under the Public Health Service Act for purposes of locating such taxpayer to collect the loan. This section also provides for the redisclosure by the Secretary of HHS of a taxpayer's mailing address to any school with which the Secretary has an agreement under the Public Health Service Act, or any eligible lender participating under such Act.

Redisclosure is made by the Secretary of HHS for use only by officers, employees, or agents of such school or eligible lender whose duties relate to to the collection of student loans for purposes of locating individuals who have defaulted on student loans made under the Public Health Service Act for the purposes of collecting such loans.

Approval of the IRS computer matching programs was granted by the Treasury Data Integrity Board on June 7, 1989.

June 19, 1989.

Michael J. Murphy,

Acting Commission of Internal Revenue.

Approved: June 29, 1989.

David M. Nummy,

Acting Commissioner of Internal Revenue. (Management).

[FR Doc. 89–15826 Filed 7–3–89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4830-01-M

Sunshine Act Meetings

Federal Register
Vol. 54, No. 127
Wednesday, July 5, 1989

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices of meetings published under the "Government in the Sunshine Act" (Pub. L. 94-409) 5 U.S.C. 552b(e)(3).

FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION

"FEDERAL REGISTER" CITATION OF PREVIOUS ANNOUNCEMENT: June 27, 1989, 54 FR 27093.

PREVIOUSLY ANNOUNCED TIME AND DATE OF MEETING: June 28, 1989, 10:00 a.m.

CHANGE IN THE MEETING: The following Docket Numbers have been added to Items CAG-1, CAG-46 for the agenda of June 28, 1989:

Item No., Docket No., and Company

CAG-1—RP89-161-000, ANR Pipeline Company
CAG-46—RP89-160-000, Trunkline Gas Company

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 89-15904 Filed 6-30-89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-02-M

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION

Agency Meeting

Pursuant to the provisions of the "Government in the Sunshine Act" (5 U.S.C. 552b), notice is hereby given that at 10:12 a.m. on Tuesday, June 27, 1989, the Board of Directors of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation met in closed session to consider (1) a recommendation concerning an administrative enforcement proceeding; (2) matters relating to the possible failure of certain insured banks; (3) matters relating to assistance agreements pursuant to section 13(c) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act; and (4) matters relating to the Corporation's corporate activities.

In calling the meeting, the Board determined, on motion of Director C. C. Hope, Jr. (Appointive), seconded by Director Robert L. Clarke (Comptroller of the Currency), concurred in by Chairman L. William Seidman, that Corporation business required its consideration of the matters on less than seven days' notice to the public; that no earlier notice of the meeting was practicable; that the public interest did not require consideration of the matters in a meeting open to public observation; and that the matters could be considered in a closed meeting by authority of subsections (c)(2), (c)(4), (c)(6), (c)(8), (c)(9)(A)(ii), and (c)(9)(B) of the "Government in the Sunshine Act" (5 U.S.C. 552b (c)(2), (c)(4), (c)(6), (c)(8), (c)(9)(A)(ii), and (c)(9)(B)).

The meeting was held in the Board Room on the sixth floor of the FDIC Building located at 550—17th Street NW., Washington, DC.

Dated: June 29, 1989.

Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

Robert E. Feldman,

Deputy Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 89-15855 Filed 6-30-89; 2:20 pm]

BILLING CODE 6714-01-M

FEDERAL MINE SAFETY AND HEALTH REVIEW COMMISSION

June 29, 1989.

Change in Previously Announced Items

TIME AND DATE: Previously scheduled for 2:00 p.m., Wednesday, June 28, 1989. Now scheduled for 10:00 a.m., Thursday, June 29, 1989.

PLACE: Room 600, 1730 K Street NW., Washington, DC.

STATUS: Closed [Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(10)]

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED: The following items will be considered by the Commission:

1. *Mid-Continent Resources, Inc.*, Docket No. WEST 87-88. (Issues include initial consideration of pending procedural motions.)
2. *Birchfield Mining Co.*, Docket No. WEVA 87-272. (Issues include initial consideration of pending procedural motions.)

It was determined by a unanimous vote of Commissioners that these items be considered in closed session and that no earlier announcement of the changes was possible.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Jean Ellen (202) 653-5629/ (202) 566-2673 for TDD Relay.

Jean H. Ellen,

Agenda Clerk.

[FR Doc. 89-15837 Filed 6-30-89 2:18 pm]

BILLING CODE 6735-01-M

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM BOARD OF GOVERNORS

TIME AND DATE: Approximately 10:30 a.m., Friday, July 7, 1989, following a recess at the conclusion of the open meeting.

PLACE: Marriner S. Eccles Federal Reserve Board Building, C Street entrance between 20th and 21st Streets, NW., Washington, DC 20551.

STATUS: Closed.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. Personnel actions (appointments, promotions, assignments, reassignments, and salary actions) involving individual Federal Reserve System employees.
2. Any items carried forward from a previously announced meeting.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Mr. Joseph R. Coyne, Assistant to the Board; (202) 452-3204. You may call (202) 452-3207, beginning at approximately 5 p.m. two business days before this meeting, for a recorded announcement of bank and bank holding company applications scheduled for the meeting.

Dated: June 30, 1989.

Jennifer J. Johnson,

Associate Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 89-15819 Filed 6-30-89; 10:01 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-M

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM BOARD OF GOVERNORS.

TIME AND DATE: 10:00 a.m., Friday July 7, 1989.

PLACE: Marriner S. Eccles Federal Reserve Board Building, C Street entrance between 20th and 21st Streets, NW., Washington, DC 20551.

STATUS: Open.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

Summary Agenda

Because of their routine nature, no substantive discussion of the following items is anticipated. These matters will be voted on without discussion unless a member of the Board requests that an item be moved to the discussion agenda.

1. Publication for comment of a proposed amendment to Regulation B (Equal Credit Opportunity) to implement the Equal Credit Opportunity Act regarding applications for business credit.
2. Consideration of the limitation in the Federal Reserve Act on the cost for construction of Federal Reserve Bank branch buildings.

Discussion Agenda

3. Consideration of whether the operating rules and procedures of the Government Securities Clearing Corporation's net

settlement service are consistent with the Board's risk reduction policy.

4. Any items carried forward from a previously announced meeting.

Note: This meeting will be recorded for the benefit of those unable to attend. Cassettes will be available for listening in the Board's Freedom of Information Office, and copes may be ordered for $5 per cassette by calling (202) 452–3684 or by writing to: Freedom of Information Office, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, DC 20551.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Mr. Joseph R. Coyne, Assistant to the Board; (202) 452–3204.

Dated: June 30, 1989.

Jennifer J. Johnson,

Associate Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 89–15820 Filed 6–30–89; 10:01 am]

BILLING CODE 6210–01–M

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM BOARD OF GOVERNORS

TIME AND DATE: 11:00 a.m., Monday, July 10, 1989.

PLACE: Marriner S. Eccles Federal Reserve Board Building, C Street entrance between 20th and 21st Streets, NW., Washington, DC 20551.

STATUS: Closed.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. Personnel actions (appointments, promotions, assignments, reassignments, and salary actions) involving individual Federal Reserve System employees.

2. Any items carried forward from a previously announced meeting.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Mr. Joseph R. Coyne, Assistant to the Board; (202) 452–3204. You may call (202) 452–3207, beginning at approximately 5 p.m. two business days before this meeting, for a recorded announcement of bank and bank holding company applications scheduled for the meeting.

Date: June 30, 1989.

Jennifer J. Johnson,

Associate Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 89–15905 Filed 6–30–89; 3:27 pm]

BILLING CODE 6210–01–M

NATIONAL CREDIT UNION ADMINISTRATION

Previously Held Emergency Meeting

TIME AND DATE: 11:35 a.m., Thursday, June 29, 1989.

PLACE: Chairman's Office, 6th Floor, 1776 G Street NW., Washington, DC 20456.

STATUS: Closed.

MATTER CONSIDERED:

1. Administrative Action under section 206 of the Federal Credit Union Act. Closed pursuant to exemptions (8), (9)(A)(ii), and (9)(B).

The Board voted unanimously that Agency business required that a meeting be held with less than the usual seven days advance notice.

The Board voted unanimously to close the meeting under the exemptions listed above. The General Counsel certified that the meeting could be closed under those exemptions.

FOR MORE INFORMATION CONTACT: Becky Baker, Secretary of the Board, Telephone (202) 682–9600.

Becky Baker,

Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 89–15901 Filed 6–30–89; 2:24 pm]

BILLING CODE 7535–01–M

NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

Meeting

TIME AND DATE: Thursday, June 29, 1989, 2:00 p.m.

PLACE: Board Conference Room, Sixth Floor, 1717 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC. 20570.

STATUS: Closed to public observation pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(2) (internal personnel rules and practices).

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED: Personnel matters.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: John C. Truesdale, Executive Secretary, National Labor Relations Board, Washington, DC. 20570, Telephone: (202) 254–9430.

Dated: Washington, DC, June 29, 1989.

By direction of the Board.

John C. Truesdale,

Executive Secretary, National Labor Relations Board.

[FR Doc. 89–15903 Filed 7–3–89; 3:19 pm]

BILLING CODE 7545–01–M

NATIONAL MEDIATION BOARD

TIME AND DATE: 2:00 p.m., Wednesday, July 12, 1989

PLACE: Board Hearing Room 8th Floor, 1425 K. Street, NW., Washington, DC.

STATUS: Open.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. Ratification of the Board actions taken by notation voting during the month of June, 1989.

2. Other priority matters which may come before the Board for which notice will be given at the earliest practicable time.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Copies of the monthly report of the Board's notation voting actions will be available from the Executive Director's office following the meeting.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Mr. Charles R. Barnes, Executive Director, Tel: (202) 523–5920.

Date of Notice: June 23, 1989.

Charles R. Barnes,

Executive Director, National Mediation Board.

[FR Doc. 89–15838 Filed 6–30–89; 2:19 pm]

BILLING CODE 7550–01–M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

DATE: Weeks of July 3, 10, 17, and 24, 1989.

PLACE: Commissioners' Conference Room, 11555 Rockville Pike, Rockville, Maryland.

STATUS: Open and Closed.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

Week of July 3

Thursday, July 6

10:00 a.m.
Briefing on Agency Human Factors Initiatives (Public meeting)

11:30 a.m.
Affirmative/Discussion and Vote (Public meeting) (if needed)

Week of July 10—Tentative

Monday, July 10

2:00 p.m.
Briefing on Status of Emergency Response Data System (Public meeting)

Tuesday, July 11

10:00 a.m.
Briefing on Staff Comments on DOE Site Characterization Plan for Yucca Mountain (Public meeting)

2:00 p.m.
Briefing on Policy Statement on Rules for Exemption from Regulatory Control (Public meeting)

Friday, July 14

11:30 a.m.
Affirmative/Discussion and Vote (Public meeting) (if needed)

Week of July 17—Tentative

Wednesday, July 19

2:30 p.m.
Briefing on Status of Browns Ferry-2 (Public meeting)

4:00 p.m.
Affirmative/Discussion and Vote (Public meeting) (if needed)

Week of July 24—Tentative

Wednesday, July 26

10:00 a.m.
Briefing on Integration of Policy Statements for Severe Accidents, Advanced Reactors, Safety Goals, and Standardization (Public meeting)

2:00 p.m.
Briefing on Proposed 1989 Waste Confidence Decision (Public meeting)

3:30 p.m.
Affirmative/Discussion and Vote (Public meeting) (if needed)

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION: By a vote of 5–0 on June 29, the Commission

determined pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552b(e) and Sec. 9.107(a) of the Commission's rules that Commission business required that "Affirmation of Policy Statement on Education for Senior Operators and Shift Supervisors at Nuclear Power Plant" (PUBLIC MEETING) scheduled for June 29, be held on less than one week's notice to the public.

Note: Affirmative sessions are initially scheduled and announced to the public on a time-reserved basis. Supplementary notice is provided in accordance with the Sunshine Act as specific items are identified and added to the meeting agenda. If there is no specific subject listed for affirmation, this means that no item has as yet been identified as requiring any Commission vote on this date.

TO VERITY THE STATUS OF MEETINGS CALL (RECORDING)—(301) 492–0292

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: William Hill (301) 492–1661.

William M. Hill, Jr.,

Office of the Secretary.

June 29, 1989.

[FR Doc. 89–15902 Filed 6–30–89; 2:30 pm]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–M

POSTAL SERVICE

Board of Governors

Amendment to Meeting

"FEDERAL REGISTER" CITATION OF PREVIOUS ANNOUNCEMENT: 54 FR 27460, June 29, 1989.

PREVIOUSLY ANNOUNCED DATE OF MEETING: July 10, 1989.

CHANGE: Addition of the following item to the closed meeting agenda:

Monday Session

July 10—1:00 p.m. (Closed)

1. Consideration of the Postal Rate Commission Recommended Decision in Docket No. MC88–2, Mail Classification Schedule, 1988, Second-Class Eligibility. (Mr. Heselton)

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: David F. Harris, (202) 268–4800.

David F. Harris,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 89–15867 Filed 6–30–89; 2:22 pm]

BILLING CODE 7710–12–M

POSTAL SERVICE BOARD OF GOVERNORS

Vote to Close Meeting

By telephone vote on June 28, 1989, a majority of the members contacted and voting, the Board of Governors voted to close to public observation its meeting scheduled for July 10, 1989, at the U.S. Postal Service Headquarters, 475 L'Enfant Plaza, SW., Washington, DC. The meeting will involve consideration of Postal Rate Commission Recommended Decision in Docket No. MC88–2, Mail Classification Schedule, 1988, Second-Class Eligibility.

The meeting is expected to be attended by the following persons: Governors Alvarado, del Junco, Griesemer, Hall, Mackie, Nevin, Pace, Ryan and Setrakian; Postmaster General Frank; Deputy Postmaster General Coughlin; Secretary for the Board Harris; and General Counsel Cox.

The Board determined that, pursuant to section 552b(c)(10) of Title 5, United States Code, and § 7.3(j) of Title 39, Code of Federal Regulations, the discussion of this matter is exempt from the open meeting requirement of the Government in the Sunshine Act [5 U.S.C. 552b(b)], because it is likely to specifically concern the participation of the Postal Service in a civil action or proceeding involving a determination on the record after opportunity for a hearing. The Board further determined that the public interest does not require that the Board's discussion of the matter be open to the public.

In accordance with section 552b(f)(1) of Title 5, United States Code, and § 7.6(a) of Title 39, Code of Federal Regulations, the General Counsel of the United States Postal Service has certified that in his opinion the meeting may properly be closed to public observation, pursuant to section 552b(c)(10) of Title 5, United States Code, and § 7.3(j) of Title 39, Code of Federal Regulations.

Requests for information about the meeting should be addressed to the Secretary for the Board, David F. Harris, at (202) 268–4800.

David F. Harris,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 89–15868 Filed 6–30–89; 2:22 pm]

BILLING CODE 7710–12–M

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Agency Meetings

"FEDERAL REGISTER" CITATION OF PREVIOUS ANNOUNEMENT: 54 FR 26464 June 23, 1989.

STATUS: Closed meetings.

PLACE: 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC.

DATE PREVIOUSLY ANNOUNCED: Wednesday, June 21, 1989.

CHANGES IN THE MEETING: Deletions.

The following items will not be considered at a closed meeting on Friday, June 30, 1989, at 10:00 a.m.

Dismissal of injunctive actions.
Discussion of investigation.

The following items will not be considered at a closed meeting on Friday, June 30, 1989, at 2:00 p.m.

Administrative proceedings of an enforcement nature.

Commissioner Fleischman, as duty officer, determined that Commission business required the above changes.

At times, changes in Commission priorities require alterations in the scheduling of meeting items. For further information and to ascertain what, if any, matters have been added, deleted or postponed, please contact: Anthony Ain at (202) 272–2400.

June 29, 1989.

Jonathan G. Katz,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 89–15875 Filed 6–30–89; 2:23 pm]

BILLING CODE 8010–01–M

Corrections

Federal Register
Vol. 54, No. 127
Wednesday, July 5, 1989

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains editorial corrections of previously published Presidential, Rule, Proposed Rule, and Notice documents. These corrections are prepared by the Office of the Federal Register. Agency prepared corrections are issued as signed documents and appear in the appropriate document categories elsewhere in the issue.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-122-806]

Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value; Generic Cephalexin Capsules From Canada

Correction

In notice document 89-14956 beginning on page 26820 in the issue of Monday, June 26, 1989, make the following correction:

On page 26822, in the second column, in the first complete paragraph, in the ninth line, after "agencies" insert "in the home market with sales to purchasers of small quantities".

BILLING CODE 1505-01-D

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

[FE Docket No. 89-29-NG]

Grand Valley Gas Company; Application to Import Natural Gas From Canada

Correction

In notice document 89-15064 beginning on page 26829 in the issue of Monday, June 26, 1989, the docket number and subject heading should read as set forth above.

BILLING CODE 1505-01-D

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket Nos. CP89-1560-000, et al.]

ANR Pipeline Company, et al; Natural Gas Certificate Filings

Correction

In notice document 89-15172 beginning on page 27053 in the issue of Tuesday, June 27, 1989, make the following correction:

On page 27056, in the second column, under **13.Texas Transmission Corporation** the docket number should read "[Docket No. CP89-1601-000]".

BILLING CODE 1505-01-D

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

21 CFR Part 558

New Animal Drugs for Use in Animal Feeds; Salinomycin, Chlortetracycline, and Roxarsone

Correction

In rule document 89-13831 appearing on page 24901 in the issue of Monday, June 12, 1989, make the following correction:

§ 558.550 [Corrected]

On Page 24901, in the third column, in § 558.550(b)(1)(xv)(*b*), in the second line, insert a comma after *Eimeria tenella*.

BILLING CODE 1505-01-D

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Occupational Safety and Health Administration

29 CFR Part 1910

Air Contaminants

Correction

In rule document 89-941 beginning on page 2332 in the issue of Thursday, January 19, 1989, make the following correction:

On page 3983, at the end of the document, insert the following:

[FR Doc. 89-941 Filed 1-13-89; 10:00 am]

Note: For an Occupational Safety and Health Administration correction to the document referenced in this correction, see the Rules section of this issue.

BILLING CODE 1505-01-D

federal register

Wednesday
July 5, 1989

Part II

National Aeronautics and Space Administration

48 CFR Ch. 18

Acquisition Regulation; Republication of Chapter; Final Rule

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

48 CFR Ch. 18

[NASA FAR Supplement Directive 89-0]

Acquisition Regulation; Republication of Chapter

AGENCY: Office of Procurement, Procurement Policy Division, National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This document makes editorial and stylistic improvements of a nonsubstantive nature in the NASA FAR Supplement (NFS). The entire rule is republished as the 1989 edition of the NFS, a replacement for the 1984 edition.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 30, 1989.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: W. A. Greene, Chief, Regulations Development Branch, Office of Procurement, Procurement Policy Division, NASA Headquarters, Washington, DC 20546, Telephone: (202) 453-8923.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

During the past two years, the NFS has been extensively edited, revised, clarified, corrected and brought into conformance with the FAR drafting conventions. The entire effort is now finished; however, each phase of the effort was published in the **Federal Register** upon completion. To ensure that the NASA published version of the NFS and Code of Federal Regulations version comport, the entire NFS is hereby republished in the **Federal Register** as the 1989 edition.

No substantive modifications have been made in the existing codified body of regulations. The only changes are editorial in nature, such as correction of typographical errors, repagination and resolution of minor discrepancies attendant to any large publishing effort.

The new loose-leaf 1989 Edition of the NASA FAR Supplement, including subsequent amendments, is available to the public and NASA contractors on a subscription basis from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office (GPO), Washington, DC 20402. It is not available directly from NASA.

Impact

The Director, Office of Management and Budget (OMB), by memorandum dated December 14, 1984, exempted certain agency procurement regulations from Executive Order 12291. The regulation herein is in the exempted category, as there are no changes in aspects of the regulation to which the exemption is inapplicable. Since there are no substantive changes, NASA certifies that this regulation, like the previous (1984 Edition) version, will not have a significant economic effect on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.). The regulation makes no changes in burdens on the public nor does it make any regulatory changes in those parts subject to the Paperwork Reduction Act which bear current OMB approval numbers 2700-0003, 2700-0004, 2700-0017, 2700-0051, 2700-0052, 2700-0054, 2700-0055, and 2700-0056.

List of Subjects in 48 CFR Ch. 18

Government procurement.

S. J. Evans,

Assistant Administrator for Procurement.

Title 48 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended by revising Chapter 18 to read as set forth below:

CHAPTER 18—NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

SUBCHAPTER A—GENERAL

Part

1801 Federal Acquisition Regulations System
1802 Definitions of Words and Terms
1803 Improper Business Practices and Personal Conflicts of Interest
1804 Administrative Matters

SUBCHAPTER B—COMPETITION AND ACQUISITION PLANNING

1805 Publicizing Contract Actions
1806 Competition Requirements
1807 Acquisition Planning
1808 Required Sources of Supplies and Services
1809 Contractor Qualifications
1810 Specifications, Standards, and Other Purchase Descriptions
1812 Contract Delivery or Performance

SUBCHAPTER C—CONTRACTING METHODS AND CONTRACT TYPES

1813 Small Purchase and Other Simplified Purchase Procedures
1814 Sealed Bidding
1815 Contracting by Negotiation
1816 Types of Contracts
1817 Special Contracting Methods

SUBCHAPTER D—SOCIOECONOMIC PROGRAMS

1819 Small Business and Small Disadvantaged Business Concerns
1822 Application of Labor Laws to Government Acquisitions
1823 Environment, Conservation, Occupational Safety, and Drug-Free Workplace
1824 Protection of Privacy and Freedom of Information
1825 Foreign Acquisition

SUBCHAPTER E—GENERAL CONTRACTING REQUIREMENTS

1827 Patents, Data, and Copyrights
1828 Bonds and Insurance
1829 Taxes
1830 Cost Accounting Standards
1831 Contract Cost Principles and Procedures
1832 Contract Financing
1833 Protests, Disputes, and Appeals

SUBCHAPTER F—SPECIAL CATEGORIES OF CONTRACTING

1834 Major System Acquisition
1835 Research and Development Contracting
1836 Construction and Architect-Engineer Contracts
1837 Service Contracting
1839 Acquisition of Information Resources

SUBCHAPTER G—CONTRACT MANAGEMENT

1842 Contract Administration
1843 Contract Modifications
1844 Subcontracting Policies and Procedures
1845 Government Property
1846 Quality Assurance
1847 Transportation
1848 Value Engineering
1849 Termination of Contracts
1850 Extraordinary Contractual Actions
1851 Use of Government Sources by Contractors

SUBCHAPTER H—CLAUSES AND FORMS

1852 Solicitation Provisions and Contract Clauses
1853 Forms

SUBCHAPTER I—AGENCY SUPPLEMENTARY REGULATIONS

1870 NASA Supplementary Regulations

Table of Subchapters, Parts, and Subparts

Note: The Table of Subchapters, Parts, and Subparts will not appear in the Code of Federal Regulations.

SUBCHAPTER A—GENERAL

Part 1801—Federal Acquisition Regulations System

Subpart

1801.1 Purpose, Authority, Issuance
1801.2 Administration
1801.3 Agency Acquisition Regulations
1801.4 Deviations from the FAR
1801.6 Contracting Authority and Responsibilities
1801.7 Determinations and Findings

Part 1802—Definitions of Words and Terms

1802.1 Definitions

Part 1803—Improper Business Practices and Personal Conflicts of Interest

1803.1 Safeguards
1803.2 Contractor Gratuities to Government Personnel
1803.3 Reports of Suspected Antitrust Violations
1803.5 Other Improper Business Practices

1803.6—Contracts with Government Employees or Organizations Owned or Controlled by Them
1803.7 Voiding and Rescinding Contracts
1803.70 Contracts Between NASA and Its Former Employees

Part 1804—Administrative Matters

1804.1 Contract Execution
1804.2 Contract Distribution
1804.4 Safeguarding Classified Information Within Industry
1804.6 Contract Reporting
1804.8 Contract Files
1804.70 Transfer of Contracting Office Responsibility
1804.71 Uniform Acquisition Instrument Identification
1804.72 Review and Approval of Contractual Instruments
1804.73 Procurement Requests

SUBCHAPTER B—COMPETITION AND ACQUISITION PLANNING

Part 1805—Publicizing Contract Actions

1805.1 Dissemination of Information
1805.2 Synopses of Proposed Contracts
1805.3 Synopses of Contract Awards
1805.4 Release of Information
1805.5 Paid Advertisements

Part 1806—Competition Requirements

1806.2 Full and Open Competition After Exclusion of Sources
1806.3 Other Than Full and Open Competition
1806.5 Competition Advocates

Part 1807—Acquisition Planning

1807.1 Acquisition Plans
1807.2 Planning for the Purchase of Supplies in Economic Quantities
1807.3 Contractor Versus Government Performance
1807.70 Solicitation Provision
1807.71 Master Buy Plan Procedure

Part 1808—Required Sources of Supplies and Services

1808.1 Excess Personal Property
1808.3 Acquisition of Utility Services
1808.4 Ordering from Federal Supply Schedules
1808.6 Acquisition from Federal Prison Industries, Inc.
1808.7 Acquisition from the Blind and Other Severely Handicapped
1808.8 Acquisition of Printing and Related Supplies
1808.11 Leasing of Motor Vehicles

Part 1809—Contractor Qualifications

1809.1 Responsible Prospective Contractors
1809.2 Qualification Requirements
1809.4 Debarment, Suspension, and Ineligibility
1809.5 Organizational Conflicts of Interest
1809.6 Contractor Term Arrangements

Part 1810—Specifications, Standards, and Other Purchase Descriptions

Part 1812—Contract Delivery or Performance

1812.1 Delivery or Performance Schedules
1812.2 Liquidated Damages
1812.3 Priorities and Allocations
1812.5 Suspension of Work, Stop-Work Orders, and Government Delay of Work

SUBCHAPTER C—CONTRACTING METHODS AND CONTRACT TYPES

Part 1813—Small Purchase and Other Simplified Purchase Procedures

1813.1 General
1813.2 Blanket Purchase Agreements
1813.3 Fast Payment Procedure
1813.4 Imprest Fund
1813.5 Purchase Orders
1813.70 Procurement Request Overlay Method

Part 1814—Sealed Bidding

1814.2 Solicitation of Bids
1814.3 Submission of Bids
1814.4 Opening of Bids and Award of Contract

Part 1815—Contracting By Negotiation

1815.1 General Requirements for Negotiation
1815.4 Solicitation and Receipt of Proposals and Quotations
1815.5 Unsolicited Proposals
1815.6 Source Selection
1815.7 Make-or-Buy Programs
1815.8 Price Negotiation
1815.9 Profit
1815.10 Preaward, Award, and Postaward Notifications, Protests, and Mistakes

Part 1816—Types of Contracts

1816.2 Fixed-Price Contracts
1816.3 Cost-Reimbursement Contracts
1816.4 Incentive Contracts
1816.5 Indefinite-Delivery Contracts
1816.6 Time-and-Materials, Labor-Hour, and Letter Contracts
1816.7 Agreements

Part 1817—Special Contracting Methods

1817.1 Multiyear Contracting
1817.2 Options
1817.4 Leader Company Contracting
1817.5 Interagency Acquisitions Under the Economy Act
1817.70 Procurement with Military Departments
1817.71 Exchange or Sale of Personal Property

SUBCHAPTER D—SOCIOECONOMIC PROGRAMS

Part 1819—Small Business and Small Disadvantaged Business Concerns

1819.1 Size Standards
1819.2 Policies
1819.3 Determination of Status as a Small Business Concern
1819.4 Cooperation with the Small Business Administration
1819.5 Set-Asides for Small Business
1819.6 Certificates of Competency and Determinations of Eligibility
1819.7 Subcontracting with Small Business and Small Disadvantaged Business Concerns
1819.8 Contracting with the Small Business Administration (The 8(a) Program)

Part 1822—Application of Labor Laws to Government Acquisitions

1822.1 Basic Labor Policies
1822.3 Contract Work Hours and Safety Standards Act
1822.4 Labor Standards for Contracts Involving Construction
1822.6 Walsh-Healey Public Contracts Act
1822.8 Equal Employment Opportunity
1822.10 Service Contract Act of 1965
1822.11 Professional Employee Compensation
1822.13 Special Disabled and Vietnam Era Veterans
1822.70 Nondiscrimination—Government Lease

Part 1823—Environment, Conservation, Occupational Safety, and Drug-Free Workplace

1823.1 Pollution Control and Clean Air and Water
1823.3 Hazardous Material Identification and Material Safety Data
1823.70 Safety and Health
1823.71 Frequency Authorization

Part 1824—Protection of Privacy and Freedom of Information

1824.1 Protection of Individual Privacy
1824.2 Freedom of Information Act

Part 1825—Foreign Acquisition

1825.1 Buy American Act—Supplies
1825.2 Buy American Act—Construction Materials
1825.3 Balance of Payments Program
1825.4 Purchases Under the Trade Agreements Act of 1979
1825.6 Customs and Duties
1825.7 Restrictions on Certain Foreign Purchases
1825.9 Omission of the Examination of Records Clause
1825.70 Foreign Contracts

SUBCHAPTER E—GENERAL CONTRACTING REQUIREMENTS

Part 1827—Patents, Data, and Copyrights

1827.3 Patent Rights Under Government Contracts
1827.4 Rights in Data and Copyrights

Part 1828—Bonds and Insurance

1828.1 Bonds
1828.3 Insurance

Part 1829—Taxes

1829.1 General
1829.2 Federal Excise Taxes

Part 1830—Cost Accounting Standards

1830.1 General
1830.2 CAS Program Requirements
1830.70 Facilities Capital Employed for Facilities in Use or for Facilities Under Construction

Part 1831—Contract Cost Principles and Procedures

1831.1 Applicability
1831.2 Contracts with Commercial Organizations

Part 1832—Contract Financing

1832.1 General
1832.4 Advance Payments
1832.5 Progress Payments Based on Costs
1832.7 Contract Funding

1832.9 Prompt Payment

Part 1833—Protests, Disputes, and Appeals

1833.1 Protests
1833.2 Disputes and Appeals

SUBCHAPTER F—SPECIAL CATEGORIES OF CONTRACTING

Part 1834—Major System Acquisition

Part 1835—Research and Development Contracting

Part 1836—Construction and Architect-Engineer Contracts

1836.2 Special Aspects of Contracting for Construction
1836.3 Special Aspects of Sealed Bidding in Construction Contracts
1836.5 Contract Clauses
1836.6 Architect-Engineer Services
1836.7 Standard Forms for Contracting for Construction, Architect-Engineer Services, and Dismantling, Demolition, or Removal of Improvements

Part 1837—Service Contracting

1837.1 Service Contracts—General
1837.2 Consulting Services

Part 1839—Acquisition of Information Resources

1839.70 NASA Procedures

SUBCHAPTER G—CONTRACT MANAGEMENT

Part 1842—Contract Administration

1842.1 Interagency Contract Administration and Audit Services
1842.2 Assignment of Contract Administration
1842.3 Contract Administration Office Functions
1842.7 Indirect Cost Rates
1842.8 Disallowance of Costs
1842.10 Negotiating Advance Agreements for Independent Research and Development/Bid and Proposal Costs
1842.12 Novation and Change-of-Name Agreements
1842.14 Traffic and Transportation Management
1842.70 NASA Contract Clauses

Part 1843—Contract Modifications

1843.2 Change Orders
1843.3 Forms

Part 1844—SUBCONTRACTING POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

1844.1 General
1844.3 Contractors' Purchasing Systems Reviews

Part 1845—Government Property

1845.1 General
1845.3 Providing Government Property to Contractors
1845.4 Contractor Use and Rental of Government Property
1845.5 Management of Government Property in the Possession of Contractors
1845.6 Reporting, Redistribution, and Disposal of Contractor Inventory
1845.70 NASA Equipment Management System
1845.71 Forms Preparation
1845.72 Contract Property Management

Part 1846—Quality Assurance

1846.2 Contract Quality Requirements
1846.4 Government Contract Quality Assurance
1846.6 Material Inspection and Receiving Reports
1846.7 Warranties

Part 1847—Transportation

1847.2 Contracts for Transportation or for Transportation-Related Services
1847.3 Transportation in Supply Contracts
1847.5 Ocean Transportation by U.S.-Flag Vessels
1847.70 Protection of the Florida Manatee

Part 1848—Value Engineering

1848.1 Policies and Procedures
1848.2 Contract Clauses

Part 1849—Termination of Contracts

1849.1 General Principles
1849.5 Contract Termination Clauses
1849.6 Contract Termination Forms and Formats

Part 1850—Extraordinary Contractual Actions

1850.2 Delegation of and Limitations on Exercise of Authority
1850.3 Contract Adjustments
1850.4 Residual Powers

Part 1851—Use of Government Sources by Contractors

1851.1 Contractor Use of Government Supply Sources

SUBCHAPTER H—CLAUSES AND FORMS

Part 1852—Solicitation provisions and Contract Clauses

1852.1 Instructions for Using Provisions and Clauses
1852.2 Texts of Provisions and Clauses

Part 1853—Forms

1853.1 General
1853.2 Prescription of Forms
1853.3 Illustrations of Forms

SUBCHAPTER I—AGENCY SUPPLEMENTARY REGULATIONS

Part 1870—NASA Supplementary Regulations

1870.1 NASA Acquisition of Investigations System
1870.2 NASA Research Announcement System
1870.3 NASA Source Evaluation

SUBCHAPTER A—GENERAL

PART 1801—FEDERAL ACQUISITION REGULATIONS SYSTEM

Sec.
1801.000 Scope of part.

Subpart 1801.1—Purpose, Authority, Issuance

1801.101 Purpose.
1801.102 Authority.
1801.103 Applicability.
1801.104 Issuance.
1801.104–1 Publication and code arrangement.
1801.104–2 Arrangement of regulations.
1801.104–3 Copies.
1801.104–370 Dissemination of this regulation, revisions, and procurement notices.
1801.105 OMB approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act.

Subpart 1801.2—Administration

1801.270 Amendment of regulation.
1801.270–1 Revisions.
1801.270–2 Procurement notices.
1801.270–3 Effective date.
1801.270–4 Numbering.
1801.271 NASA procedures for FAR changes.

Subpart 1801.3–Agency Acquisition Regulations

1801.301 Policy.
1801.302–70 Field installation regulatory implementation.
1801.303 Publication and codification.
1801.303–70 Assignment of numbers.

Subpart 1801.4–Deviations From the FAR

1801.400 Scope of subpart.
1801.401 Definition.
1801.402 Policy.
1801.403 Individual deviations.
1801.404 Class deviations.
1801.405 Deviations pertaining to treaties and executive agreements.
1801.470 Modification to existing contracts adding new procurement.
1801.471 Procedure for requesting deviations.

Subpart 1801.6—Contracting Authority and Responsibilities

1801.601 General.
1801.602–3 Ratification of unauthorized commitments.
1801.603 Selection, appointment, and termination of appointment.
1801.603–2 Selection.
1801.603–3 Appointment.
1801.603–4 Termination.
1801.670 Delegation of procurement responsibilities.

Subpart 1801.7–Determinations and Findings

1801.703 Class determinations and findings.
1801.704 Content.
1801.707 Signatory authority.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 2473(c)(1).

1801.000 Scope of part.

This part sets forth basic policies and general information about the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) Supplement including purpose, authority, applicability, issuance, arrangement, numbering, dissemination, implementation, supplementation, maintenance, administration, and deviation.

Subpart 1801.1—Purpose, Authority, Issuance

1801.101 Purpose.

The NASA FAR Supplement establishes agencywide uniform policies and procedures that implement and supplement the FAR.

1801.102 Authority.

Under the following authorities, the Administrator has delegated to the Assistant Administrator for Procurement authority to prepare, issue, and maintain the NASA FAR Supplement:

(a) The National Aeronautics and Space Act of 1958, as amended (Pub. L. 85–568; 42 U.S.C. 2451 et seq.).

(b) 10 U.S.C. 137.

(c) Other statutory authority.

(d) FAR Subpart 1.3.

1801.103 Applicability.

The NASA FAR Supplement applies to all acquisitions as defined in FAR Part 2 except those expressly excluded by the FAR or this regulation.

1801.104 Issuance.

1801.104–1 Publication and code arrangement.

(a) The NASA FAR Supplement is published in—

(1) The daily issue of the **Federal Register**;

(2) Cumulated form in the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR); and

(3) A separate loose-leaf edition adaptable for either interleaving with the FAR or filing separately.

(b) The NASA FAR Supplement is issued as Chapter 18 of Title 48, CFR, and it parallels the FAR in format, arrangement, and numbering system. Thus, a formal citation to this paragraph would appear as 48 CFR 1801.104–1(b).

1801.104–2 Arrangement of regulations.

(a) *General.* The NASA FAR Supplement conforms to the arrangement and numbering system prescribed by FAR 1.104. The numbering illustrations at FAR 1.104–2(b) apply to the NASA FAR Supplement; users are reminded that in the loose-leaf edition of the supplement, NASA's assigned CFR chapter number 18 appears to the left of the part number, separated by a hyphen (e.g., 1815.402–1). However, in 48 CFR Chapter 18 the hyphen does not appear; therefore the first four digits preceding the point refer to the CFR part number.

(b) *Numbering.* (1) All NASA FAR Supplement coverage that supplements, as opposed to implementing, the FAR uses part, subpart, section, and subsection numbers 70 through 89 (see 1801.303–70):

(i) Part 1870 is the first part number NASA can use for adding coverage that supplements the FAR; i.e., there is no appropriate subject heading within the 53 parts of the FAR under which the coverage could be located.

(ii) Subpart 1815.70 is the first subpart number NASA can use to supplement FAR Part 15.

(iii) Section 1815.470 is the first section number NASA can use to supplement FAR Subpart 15.4.

(iv) Subsection 1815.402–70 is the first subsection number NASA can use to supplement FAR section 15.402.

(v) Subsection 1815.402–170 is the first subsection number NASA can use to supplement FAR subsection 15.402–1.

(2) All NASA FAR Supplement coverage other than that identified with a 70 or higher number, as described in subparagraph (b)(1) of this section, implements the FAR and bears the identical number and title of the FAR segment being implemented down to the subsection level; e.g., 1815.402–1 implements FAR 15.402–1. Below the subsection level, NASA FAR Supplement alphanumerics do not correlate with FAR alphanumerics.

(c) *References and citations.* (1) Unless otherwise stated, cross references are to parts or subdivisions of this regulation.

(2) This regulation may be referred to as the National Aeronautics and Space Administration Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement, the NASA FAR Supplement, or, for the purpose of brevity, the NFS.

(3) A NFS "version" is the basic NFS with all NFSD change pages filed up to and including the NFSD number that corresponds to the "version" number. For example, for the 1989 edition of the NFS, Version 89.3 consists of pages from NFSDs 89–0 (basic NFS), with change pages filed from NFSDs 89–1, 89–2, and 89–3.

(4) Citations are assumed to mean the "current NFS"; however, if reference to other than the current document is intended, use of the identifying version number appearing on the cover is advisable.

(d) *Provisions and clauses.* See FAR Subpart 52.1 and Subpart 1852.1 of this regulation for information regarding the numbering and dating of provisions and clauses.

1801.104–3 Copies.

Copies of the NASA FAR Supplement in **Federal Register**, loose-leaf, and CFR form may be purchased from the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office (GPO), Washington, DC 20402.

1801.104–370 Dissemination of this regulation, revisions, and Procurement Notices.

(a) The NASA FAR Supplement, NASA FAR Supplement Directives (NFSDs), and Procurement Notices (PNs) (see 1801.270–2) are distributed directly to NASA Headquarters and to installation distribution points. The number of copies distributed is determined by the requirements furnished by each Headquarters office and NASA field installation to the Office of Procurement, NASA Headquarters (Code HP). Material that revises this regulation is published in the **Federal Register** as required by statute.

(b) Heads of field installations shall ensure that copies of the NASA FAR Supplement, NFSDs, and PNs are promptly distributed to all interested activities and individuals within their installation. Code HP is responsible for distribution within Headquarters and for monitoring bulk distribution to installations.

(c) Subscriptions to the NASA FAR Supplement, including NFSDs, may be purchased by private concerns and individuals from the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402.

1801.105 OMB approval under the Paperwork Reduction Act.

(a) NASA FAR Supplement requirements. The following OMB control numbers apply:

NASA FAR Supplement Segment	OMB Control Number
1812	2700–0056
1823	2700–0051
1827	2700–0052
1832	2700–0055
1843	2700–0054
NF 533	2700–0003
NF 667	2700–0004
NF 1018	2700–0017

(b) Solicitations and contracts. Various requirements in a solicitation or contract, generally in the statement of work, are not tied to specific paragraphs cleared in paragraph (a) of this section, yet require information collection or recordkeeping. OMB control number 2700–0042 applies to these requirements. This OMB control number shall be displayed in the upper right hand corner of the cover page of each solicitation/contract. Overprinting is authorized by 1853.104.

Subpart 1801.2—Administration

1801.270 Amendment of Regulation.

This Regulation is amended as needed to set forth policies and procedures intended to reduce contract preparation time, simplify and standardize contract forms, otherwise improve the contracting process, and reflect changes in statutes, Executive orders, the FAR, and other mandatory policies. Acquisition personnel are encouraged to submit suggestions, based on operating experience, for improving and simplifying this Regulation and the procedures set forth in it. Such suggestions should be submitted through the procurement officer to the Office of Procurement, NASA Headquarters (Code HP).

1801.270–1 Revisions.

This Regulation is amended by issuing NFSDs containing loose-leaf replacement pages revising various segments of it (also see 1801.270–2). Each replacement page bears the NFSD number and page number at the top. A vertical bar at the side of a line indicates that a change has been made within that line.

1801.270–2 Procurement notices.

(a) This regulation is amended by issuing Procurement Notices (PNs) when—

(1) It is necessary or advisable to promulgate as rapidly as possible selected material revising this regulation, in a general or narrative manner, in advance of a specific page-replacement-type revision to this regulation; or

(2) The policy and/or procedure is expected to be effective for less than a year.

(b) Unless otherwise indicated, each PN item remains in effect until the effective date of the subsequent NFSD incorporating the item or until specifically cancelled.

(c) Material unsuitable for insertion in this regulation shall be promulgated by means other than PNs.

1801.270–3 Effective date.

(a) NFSD or PN statements to the effect that the material is effective upon receipt or on a specified date or that Directive or Notice changes are to be used upon receipt mean that any new or revised clauses or forms included in the Directive or Notice shall be included in solicitations issued thereafter, unless a different meaning is expressed in the Directive or Notice.

(b) Compliance with a revision to this regulation shall be in accordance with the NFSD or PN containing the revision. Procurements initiated after receipt of new or revised clauses should, to the maximum practicable extent, include such clauses.

(c) Unless otherwise stated, solicitations that have been issued and bilateral agreements for which negotiations have been completed before the receipt of new or revised contract clauses need not be amended to include the new or revised clauses if including them would unduly delay the procurement action.

1801.270–4 Numbering.

NFSDs and PNs are numbered consecutively, prefixed by the last two digits of the calendar year of issuance of the current edition of the NASA FAR Supplement.

1801.271 NASA procedures for FAR changes.

(a) NASA is represented on the Defense Acquisition Regulatory Council (DARC) by a policy member from the Procurement Policy Division (Code HP) and a legal member from the Office of General Counsel (Code GK). NASA staff members are also assigned to standing and ad hoc DARC committees in their areas of expertise. The DARC policies and procedures for initiating and reviewing FAR changes shall be followed by the assigned individuals.

(b) Any NASA element or individual may suggest a FAR revision. Such suggestions should be in writing and contain, at a minimum:

(1) A concise description of the problem the suggested revision is designed to cure or ameliorate,

(2) The revision in the form of a marked-up copy of the current FAR language or the text of any additional FAR language,

(3) The consequences of making no change and the benefits to be expected from a change, and

(4) Any other information necessary for understanding the situation.

All suggested FAR revisions shall be sent to the Director, Procurement Policy Division (Code HP).

Subpart 1801.3—Agency Acquisition Regulations

1801.301 Policy.

The following shall be included in the NASA FAR Supplement:

(a) All agency-wide policies and procedures that govern the contracting process or that control contracting relationships, and

(b) All procurement policies, regulations, procedures, and forms requiring publication for public comment in accordance with Pub. L. 98–557. This statute requires that any procurement policy, regulation, procedure, or form (including amendments thereto) relating to the expenditure of appropriated funds that have a significant effect beyond the internal operating procedures of the agency or a significant cost or administrative impact on contractors or offerors must be published for public comment at least 30 days before they may take effect.

(1) The statute does not delineate those policies and procedures that will have a significant effect beyond the internal operating procedures of the agency or have a significant cost or administrative impact. Examples of policies or procedures that fall in either of these categories are given in paragraphs (b)(1) (i) through (iv) of this section. This list is not all inclusive and does not prohibit the agency from publicizing a policy or procedure that does not fall within one of the categories mandated by the statute.

(i) A contract clause requiring contractors to take precautions to avoid injury to Florida manatees, which have been designated as an endangered species, has a significant cost impact for contractors who must obtain protective devices for boat propellers and take other safety actions.

(ii) A contract clause requiring contractors to follow the Government's holiday schedule, thereby disallowing premium pay for work on contractor-designated holidays, will have an effect outside the internal operating procedures of the agency.

(iii) A contract clause requiring contractors to segregate costs by appropriations will affect the contractor's internal accounting system and have a significant impact.

(iv) Requiring contractor compliance with NASA's Space Transportation System Personnel Reliability Program will have an effect outside the internal operating procedures of the agency.

(2) In contrast, the following would not have to be publicized for public comment:

(i) Security procedures for identifying and badging contractor personnel to obtain general access at a NASA installation.

(ii) A one-time requirement in a construction contract for the contractor to develop a placement plan and for inspection prior to any concrete being placed. (This is part of the specification or statement of work.)

(iii) A policy that requires the NASA installation to maintain copies of unsuccessful offers.

1801.302-70 Field installation regulatory implementation.

(a) Heads of NASA field installations may prescribe policies and procedures that do not have a significant effect beyond the internal operating procedures of their installations. All other policies and procedures, described in 1801.301, must be forwarded to NASA Headquarters for approval in accordance with NASA's procedures for initiating changes to the NASA FAR Supplement.

(b) The Procurement Officer at each installation shall establish procedures, including screening and written rationale for each installation procurement policy, regulation, procedure, and form, to demonstrate compliance with 1801.302-70(a). The Procurement Officer shall provide a copy of each of these issuances, along with the associated rationale, to the Assistant Administrator for Procurement (Attn. Code HP).

1801.303 Publication and codification.

1801.303-70 Assignment of numbers.

(a) Part, subpart, section, and subsection numbers 1 through 69 are reserved for FAR use.

(b) Part, subpart, section, and subsection numbers 70 through 89 are reserved for NASA FAR Supplement use.

Subpart 1801.4—Deviations From the FAR

1801.400 Scope of subpart.

This subpart prescribes the policies and procedures for authorizing deviations from the NASA FAR Supplement and for the internal processing of FAR deviation requests under FAR Subpart 1.4.

1801.401 Definition.

"Deviation" means "deviation" as defined at FAR 1.401, except that, for NASA, the words "or NASA FAR Supplement" are added wherever "FAR" appears in the definition.

1801.402 Policy.

Unless precluded by law, Executive Order, or regulation, deviations from the FAR and NASA FAR Supplement may be granted only as specified in this Subpart 1801.4. See FAR Part 53 and Part 1853 of this regulation regarding exceptions to forms prescribed in them.

1801.403 Individual deviations.

Individual deviations affect only one contracting action and, unless FAR 1.405(e) is applicable, may be authorized only by the Assistant Administrator for Procurement or a designee. (See 1801.471 regarding requests for deviations.)

1801.404 Class deviations.

Class deviations affect more than one contracting action. When it is known that a class deviation will be required on a permanent basis, the contracting office should propose an appropriate FAR or NASA FAR Supplement revision to cover the matter. Only the Assistant Administrator for Procurement or a designee may approve class deviations. (See 1801.471 regarding requests for deviations.)

1801.405 Deviations pertaining to treaties and executive agreements.

Deviations from the FAR or NASA FAR Supplement pertaining to treaties or executive agreements shall be processed under the procedures in FAR 1.405, except that deviations not authorized by FAR 1.405 (b) or (c) shall be requested under 1801.471.

1801.470 Modification to existing contracts adding new procurement.

When an existing contract is modified to add new procurement, approval of the deviations previously granted for the existing contract must be obtained for the modification as though the modification were a new contract. "New procurement," for the purpose of this section, means any action that is competed or that must be authorized under FAR 6.302. An information copy of each request for deviation shall be furnished to the cognizant Associate Administrator.

1801.471 Procedure for requesting deviations.

(a) Requests for authority to deviate from the provisions of the FAR or of this regulation shall be:

(1) Submitted to the Office of Procurement, NASA Headquarters (Code HP);

(2) Signed by the procurement officer or, in that person's absence, by the acting procurement officer; and

(3) Submitted as far in advance as the exigencies of the situation will permit.

(b) Each request for a deviation shall contain, as a minimum—

(1) Identification of the FAR or NASA FAR Supplement requirement from which a deviation is sought;

(2) A full description of the deviation and the circumstances in which it will be used;

(3) A description of its intended effect;

(4) A statement as to whether the deviation has been requested previously and, if so, the circumstances of the previous request;

(5) The name of the contractor and identification of the contract affected, including the dollar value;

(6) Detailed reasons supporting the request, including any pertinent background information that will contribute to a fuller understanding of the deviation sought; and

(7) A copy of counsel's concurrence or comments.

Subpart 1801.6—Contracting Authority and Responsibilities

1801.601 General.

At NASA, the authority to contract for authorized supplies and services is delegated to the Assistant Administrator for Procurement by NMI 5101.8, Delegation of Authority—To Take Actions in Procurement and Related Matters (Assistant Administrator for Procurement), and the authority to act in certain circumstances is redelegated to various NASA officials by NMI 5101.24, Delegation of Authority—To Take Actions in Procurement, Grants, Cooperative Agreements, and Related Matters (Various Officials).

1801.602-3 Ratification of unauthorized commitments.

(a) *Policy.* (1) Unauthorized commitments are strongly discouraged and can indicate serious employee misconduct. Individuals making unauthorized commitments may be subject to disciplinary action, and the issue may be referred to the Office of Inspector General.

(2) Section 1802.101 defines the head of the contracting activity in NASA. See FAR 1.602-3(b)(2).

(b) *Limitations.* (1) The authority in FAR 1.602-3 may be exercised only when—

(i) The Government employee who made the unauthorized commitment, or his/her supervisor, if appropriate, initiates a procurement request in accordance with 1804.7301(a) (the procurement request and/or accompanying documentation shall identify the individual who made the unauthorized commitment, explain why normal acquisition procedures were not followed, explain why the firm was selected, list other sources considered, describe the work, and estimate or state the agreed price); and

(ii) The contracting officer obtains a certification that funds are available and were available at the time the unauthorized commitment was made, in accordance with FAR 1.602-3(c)(6).

(2) The ratifying official shall provide a copy of each ratification along with information specified in FAR 1.602-3

and this paragraph (b) to the Assistant Administrator for Procurement (Attn: Code HP).

1801.603 Selection, appointment, and termination of appointment.

1801.603-2 Selection.

(a) *Policy.* The objective of issuing contracting officer Certificates of Appointment, SF 1402, is to ensure that only officials fully qualified to obligate the Government for the expenditure of public funds for the procurement of supplies and services are appointed contracting officers when an organizational need occurs. Normally, only GS-1105 and GS/GM-1102 personnel may be appointed contracting officers.

(b) *Appointment levels.* There are three levels of appointment authority, as follows; the appropriate appointment level shall be specified on the SF 1402 upon issuance:

(1) Basic level: Applies to personnel in the GS-1102 or GS-1105 series with signature authority for small purchases, orders placed under Federal Supply Schedule contracts, mandatory sources, or blanket purchase agreements.

(2) Intermediate level: Applies to those in the GS/GM-1102 series delegated authority to execute contracts and contract modifications for up to $500,000.

(3) Senior level: Applies to those in the GS/GM-1102 series delegated authority to execute contracts and contract modifications exceeding $500,000.

(c) *Organizational need determination.* NASA contracting officers shall be appointed only when a valid organizational need can be demonstrated. Factors to be considered in assessing the need for a contracting officer include volume of actions, complexity of work, and organizational structure.

(d) *Selection procedure.* (1) Once the organizational need is determined, the supervisor will nominate a contracting officer candidate. At the supervisor's request, the candidate shall prepare a qualification statement (or SF 171) containing the information listed in (d)(1) (i) through (viii) of this section:

(i) Name.

(ii) Title, series, and grade.

(iii) Office.

(iv) Relevant experience, beginning with current position to a total of four relevant positions, including for each position—

(A) Employer;

(B) Dates employed;

(C) Title of position;

(D) Kind of business/organization; and

(E) Description of work.

(v) Other relevant special qualifications, certifications, or skills.

(vi) Relevant honors, awards, or fellowships received.

(vii) Education, including—

(A) Highest level completed;

(B) High school name, dates attended, and diploma received; and

(C) College or university name, dates attended, degree(s) received; chief undergraduate college subjects; number of credits (show whether semester or quarter hours) completed; and major field of study at highest level of college work.

(viii) Procurement-related training, including—

(A) Name of course;

(B) Name of school; and

(C) Dates attended.

(2) The supervisor will review the qualification statement to determine the candidate's ability to perform the functions required to meet the organizational need. The supervisor will then complete a Request for Appointment of a Contracting Officer, using the format shown below, justifying the validity of the organizational need and verifying the candidate's qualifications. This document will be signed by the candidate's supervisor and submitted through appropriate organizational channels to the appointing authority (see NMI 5101.24). If the appointing authority requires additional information, the application will be returned with a request for further explanation or supporting data.

Format

Request for Appointment of a Contracting Officer

The following findings and determinations are made, pursuant to applicable laws and regulations:

(Insert appropriate information)

1. There is a clear and convincing need to appoint a contracting officer with the ability to perform at the _____ (basic, intermediate, or senior) contracting officer warrant level, for the following reasons:

(Insert appropriate reasons)

2. The contracting officer candidate is—

_____

(Name, Title, Series, and Grade)

3. The contracting officer candidate will occupy the organizational level described below:

_____

(Office/Division/Branch and Location)

4. The candidate's qualifications statement is enclosed. It was found that (insert the appropriate statement)

—The candidate's experience and training meet the established qualification standards.

—This candidate does not meet the minimum qualifications in _____ experience and/or training as indicated in the justification; *therefore*, an interim appointment for the period of _____ is requested. These experience and/or training needs will be identified in the candidate's individual development plan and must be completed by _____.

5. The candidate's current conflict-of-interest disclosure statement is on file in the appropriate personnel office or is attached.

6. In addition to the FAR, the NASA FAR Supplement, laws, Executive Orders, NASA Management Instructions, and other applicable regulations, the following warrant limitations are imposed:

a. Dollar Threshold: _____

b. Other Limitations: _____

Supervisor: _____

(Signature of Supervisor of the Candidate)

_____

(Date) (Typed Name)

_____

(Contracting Office) (Title)

Approved: _____

(Appointing Authority) (Date)

(3) If the appointing authority approves the Request for Appointment of a Contracting Officer, the appointing authority shall issue a Standard Form (SF) 1402, Certificate of Appointment, in accordance with 1801.603-3. A copy of the SF 1402, the Request for Appointment of a Contracting Officer, and the qualification statement shall be maintained for each contracting officer in a central location in the installation's contracting office while the SF 1402 is effective and for three years after its termination or after the individual has left the contracting office's employ. A copy of each SF 1402 issued shall be provided to NASA Headquarters, Office of Procurement (Code HM). Each installation shall maintain an up-to-date listing, by name and position, of all the installation's contracting officers and the limitations imposed on them in their warrants. A copy of this listing shall be provided annually to NASA Headquarters, Office of Procurement (Code HM).

(e) *Qualifications.* (1) The following are the experience, education, and training requirements needed to qualify for each of the three contracting officer appointment authority levels. Appointing authorities may establish additional qualifications, as appropriate. For example, additional qualifications may be established for those authorized to sign incentive and award-fee actions.

(i) *Experience.* (A) Basic level: One year of current experience in Government or commercial procurement, including six months experience in small purchasing.

(B) Intermediate level: Two years of current, progressively complex and

responsible procurement or staff experience in Government or commercial procurement.

(C) Senior level: Four years of current, progressively complex and responsible procurement or staff experience in Government or commercial procurement.

(ii) *Education (preferred, not mandatory).* (A) Basic level: A high school diploma or equivalent.

(B) Intermediate and senior levels: A bachelor's degree from an accredited college or university that included or was supplemented by at least 24 semester hours in a field of study directly related to procurement, such as business administration, contract law, accounting, Government management, industrial purchasing, or material management.

(iii) *Training.* Except as provided in paragraph (f) of this section, acceptable formal training courses covering the subject matter listed below must be satisfactorily completed before a candidate may be nominated for a contracting officer appointment. Approved equivalency tests may be used as substitutes for these formal training requirements.

(A) Basic level: 40 hours of formal training covering the application of fundamental principles, policies, procedures, and practices in procurement.

(B) Intermediate level: 80 hours of formal training covering functional knowledge of procurement law, policies, procedures, and methods, including, as a minimum, Government contract law, procurement by negotiation, procurement by sealed bidding, contract administration, and cost and price analysis.

(C) Senior level: 120 hours of formal training, including analysis of procurement methods and techniques to enable an individual to manage contractual relationships effectively. The general topics described in (e)(1)(iii)(A) and (B) of this section also apply to the senior level.

(2) A two-year associate's degree in a procurement-related field, such as business administration or accounting, may be substituted for six months of procurement experience. A four-year undergraduate program degree in a procurement-related field from an accredited college or university may be substituted for procurement experience at the rate of 12 semester credit hours for three months of procurement experience. One year of concentrated experience in an advanced procurement subject area beyond the two-year minimum for the intermediate-level qualifications and the four-year minimum for senior-level qualifications may be substituted for 24 classroom hours of formal training in procurement, up to a maximum of 96 classroom hours.

(f) *Interim appointments.* Personnel shall not ordinarily be appointed contracting officers if they do not meet the applicable qualifications prescribed in this subsection. If it is necessary to appoint a contracting officer who does not fully meet the qualifications, an interim appointment may be granted. The appointing authority shall require as a condition of the interim appointment that all training or experience requirements will be met within a specified reasonable period of time. Failure to successfully complete the training requirements within this time frame will result in termination of the appointment or issuance of another interim warrant, whichever is considered necessary by the appointing authority. The appointing authority must fully document these actions.

(g) *Condition of appointment.* As a condition of continuing appointment, all contracting officers shall be required to complete a procurement-related Government, commercial, or academic course/seminar satisfactorily at least once every five years. This training will preferably be in an area closely related to that in which the contracting officer is assigned.

(h) *Changes to contracting officer appointments.* Changes, either increasing or decreasing the warrant limitations of a contracting officer, shall be made solely at the discretion of the appointing authority. When an appointing authority determines to make such changes, a new SF 1402 shall be issued, and the existing warrant shall be officially terminated.

1801.603-3 Appointment.

(a) The SF 1402 shall be construed as authorization of designated personnel to exercise contracting officer authority in accordance with the FAR, the NASA FAR Supplement, and the NASA Procurement regulation. The limitations section of the SF 1402 shall, immediately after the word "following," state: "the limitations contained in the NASA FAR Supplement and the NASA Procurement Regulation."

(b) If the appointing official chooses to restrict a contracting officer from exercising authority under the NASA Procurement Regulation, the limitations section of the SF 1402 shall, immediately after the word "following," state: "the limitations contained in the NASA FAR Supplement."

1801.603-4 Termination.

The appointing authority may terminate the appointment of a contracting officer at any time. Contracting officers whose appointments are terminated shall be given by the appointing authority a written notice stating the reasons for and the effective date of the termination.

1801.670 Delegation of procurement responsibilities.

(a) *Delegations to non-GS/GM-1102 or 1105 personnel.* (1) Contracting officer duties are typically performed by GS/GM-1102 or 1105 personnel within the procuring organization. In certain limited situations, it may be appropriate to designate non-GS/GM-1102 or 1105 personnel as contracting officers. The authority to obligate appropriated funds for the procurement of supplies and services may be delegated to non-GS/GM-1102 or 1105 personnel; provided all of the conditions in (a)(1) (i) through (iv) of this section are met:

(i) A contracting officer warrant must be issued to the non-GS/GM-1102 or 1105 individual. The warrant must include a specific dollar limitation set as low as possible. This limitation shall not exceed the small purchase threshold in effect at the time of the delegation. Specific dollar limitations well below the small purchase threshold should normally be sufficient and are encouraged. The warrant may include further limitations, such as the types of supplies or services that may be acquired.

(ii) Only the procurement officer at each installation is authorized to issue or terminate contracting officer warrants to non-GS/GM-1102 or 1105 personnel. This authority is nondelegable.

(iii) Contracting officer warrants for non-GS/GM-1102 or 1105 personnel may be issued only for small purchase procedures (FAR Part 13 and NFS Part 1813). These procedures include executing purchase orders and modifications to purchase orders. Authority to issue or sign contracts, unilateral or bilateral contract modifications of any amount, or orders above the small purchase threshold on Federal Supply Schedules is not delegable to non-GS/GM-1102 or 1105 personnel.

(iv) The selection, appointment, and termination procedures in 1801.603 shall be followed. In particular, the education, training, and experience requirements for a basic-level contracting officer (see 1801.603-2(e)) must be met before a warrant is issued. The experience requirement at 1801.603-2(e)(1)(i)(A) may be obtained either within a

procurement office or in an office that otherwise does procurement work. For example, a training specialist may acquire the experience requirement by preparing in the installation's personnel office purchase orders for training that will be signed by a warranted contracting officer.

(2) The responsibility for monitoring the procurements made by non-GS/GM-1102 or 1105 contracting officers remains with the procurement officer who issues their warrants. The procurement officer is responsible for ensuring that a system is in place that provides for general oversight of the continued need for each specific warrant and periodic review of the quality of the procurement actions taken. These reviews shall be made no less frequently than semiannually.

(3) Examples of contracting officer designations that may be appropriate for non-GS/GM-1102 or 1105 personnel are the authorization to the installation's training officer to sign purchase orders for off-the-shelf training courses under a certain dollar amount, or to the installation's librarian to place orders up to $X for magazine subscriptions.

(4) The requirements for imprest funds are established by the NASA Financial Management Manual; use of imprest funds does not require contracting officer warrants or authority.

(5) The term "ordering officer" is not authorized for use in delegating procurement functions to non-GS/GM-1102 or 1105 personnel.

(b) *Delegations to contracting officer's technical representatives (COTRs).* A COTR delegation may be made only by the contracting officer cognizant of that contract at the time the delegation is made. If the cognizant contracting officer is absent, the delegation letter may be signed by a warranted contracting officer at any level above the cognizant contracting officer. An individual COTR may have only the duties specifically identified in a written delegation to him or her by name (i.e., COTR duties may not be delegated to a position) and has no authority to exceed them. COTRs should be informed that they may be personally liable for unauthorized commitments. Contracting officer authority to sign or authorize contractual instruments shall not be delegated through a COTR designation or by any means other than a contracting officer warrant. However, delegations may be made to construction contract COTRs to sign emergency change orders with an estimated value not to exceed $2,500 on-site at construction sites.

Subpart 1801.7—Determinations and Findings

1801.703 Class determinations and findings.

The effective period specified in each class determination and findings (D&F) shall not ordinarily exceed one year. When a longer period is considered appropriate and necessary, the D&F shall say why.

1801.704 Content.

Content and format requirements in addition to those at FAR 1.704 are specified in the associated subject text. While the contracting officer is responsible for preparing D&Fs, requirements and technical personnel are responsible for the accuracy and adequacy of the supporting factual information, which shall be furnished to the contracting officer in writing.

1801.707 Signatory authority.

Signatory authority for D&Fs is specified in the FAR or NASA FAR Supplement text for the associated subject matter. The Administrator may make any of the D&Fs that may be made by the Assistant Administrator for Procurement or by a contracting officer.

PART 1802—DEFINITIONS OF WORDS AND TERMS

Sec.
1802.000 Scope of part.

Subpart 1802.1—Definitions

1802.101 Definitions.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 2473(c)(1).

1802.000 Scope of part.

Commonly used words and terms are defined in FAR Subpart 2.1. This Part 1802 gives NASA-specific meanings of some of these words and terms and defines other words and terms commonly used in the NASA acquisition process.

Subpart 1802.1—Definitions

1802.101 Definitions.

The following words and terms are used throughout this regulation as defined in this subpart unless—

(a) The context in which they are used clearly requires a different meaning; or

(b) A different definition is prescribed for a particular part or portion of a part.

"Administrator" means the Administrator or Deputy Administrator of NASA.

"Assistant Administrator for Procurement" means the Assistant Administrator for Procurement, Office of Procurement, NASA Headquarters (Code H).

"Contracting activity" in NASA includes each "installation" as defined in this section 1802.101.

"Contracting office" means the same thing as the previously used term "procurement office."

"Field installation" means Ames Research Center, Goddard Space Flight Center, John F. Kennedy Space Center, Langley Research Center, Lewis Research Center, Johnson Space Center, George C. Marshall Space Flight Center, John C. Stennis Space Center, or any other field installation established by NASA in the future.

"Head of the agency" or "agency head" means the Administrator or Deputy Administrator of NASA.

"Head of the contracting activity" means, for field installations, the Director or other head and, for NASA Headquarters, the Assistant Administrator for Procurement.

"Installation" means NASA Headquarters or any field installation and is synonymous with "contracting activity."

"Procurement" means the same thing as "acquisition," as defined in FAR 2.101.

"Procurement officer" means the chief of the contracting office, as defined in FAR 2.101.

PART 1803—IMPROPER BUSINESS PRACTICES AND PERSONAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

Subpart 1803.1—Safeguards

Sec.
1803.101 Standards of conduct.
1803.101-1 General.
1803.101-2 Solicitation and acceptance of gratuities by Government personnel.

Subpart 1803.2—Contractor Gratuities to Government Personnel

1803.203 Reporting suspected violations of the Gratuities clause.

Subpart 1803.3—Reports of Suspected Antitrust Violations

1803.303 Reporting suspected antitrust violations.

Subpart 1803.5—Other Improper Business Practices

1803.502 Subcontractor kickbacks.

Subpart 1803.6—Contracts With Government Employees or Organizations Owned or Controlled By Them

1803.602 Exceptions.

Subpart 1803.7—Voiding and Rescinding Contracts

1803.704 Policy.
1803.705 Procedures.

Subpart 1803.70—Contracts Between NASA and Its Former Employees

1803.7001 Policy.

Sec.
1803.7002 Solicitation provision.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 2473(c)(1).

Subpart 1803.1—Safeguards

1803.101 Standards of conduct.

1803.101-1 General.

(a) Federal statutes prohibit certain acts by Government personnel and special Government employees as defined in 18 U.S.C. 202 in relation to Government procurement. Among these statutes are:

(1) 18 U.S.C. 201, relating to bribes in order to secure a Government contract;

(2) 18 U.S.C. 203, relating to compensation for services rendered in connection with any proceeding or claim in which the United States has an interest;

(3) 18 U.S.C. 205, relating to acting as an agent or attorney for prosecuting any claim against the United States;

(4) 18 U.S.C. 208, relating to transacting business as an officer or agent of the United States with firms of which the officer or agent, or a spouse, minor child, or partner, is an official or in which the officer or agent has a pecuniary interest; and

(5) 18 U.S.C. 209, relating to compensation from non-Government sources in connection with Government services.

(b) The statutory prohibitions and their application to NASA personnel are discussed in NHB 1900.1, Standards of Conduct for NASA Employees, and NHB 1900.2, Standards of Conduct for NASA Special Government Employees. All NASA personnel involved in procurement actions shall become familiar with these statutory prohibitions. Any questions concerning them shall be referred to legal counsel. In addition to criminal penalties, the statutes provide that transactions entered into in violation of these prohibitions are voidable (18 U.S.C. 218). (See Subpart 1803.70 for policy on contracting with former NASA employees.)

1803.101-2 Solicitation and acceptance of gratuities by Government personnel.

Any suspected violations shall be reported promptly to the installation's Office of Inspector General. (See Standards of Conduct for NASA Employees, NHB 1900.1.)

Subpart 1803.2—Contractor Gratuities to Government Personnel

1803.203 Reporting suspected violations of the Gratuities clause.

Any suspected violations of the clause at FAR 52.203-3, Gratuities, shall be reported to the installation's Office of Inspector General.

Subpart 1803.3—Reports of Suspected Antitrust Violations

1803.303 Reporting suspected antitrust violations.

(a) When offers are received that, in the opinion of the contracting officer, indicate possible antitrust violations, the contracting officer shall report the circumstances to the General Counsel, NASA Headquarters, through the Office of Procurement (Code HP). Reports should not be submitted automatically but only when there is reason to believe the offers may not have been arrived at independently. These reports shall be submitted with conformed copies of bids or proposals, contract documents, and other supporting data, and shall set forth—

(1) The noncompetitive pattern or situation under consideration;

(2) Purchase experience in the same product or service for a reasonable period (one or more years) preceding receipt of the offers under consideration, including unit and total contract prices and abstracts of bids;

(3) Community of financial interest among bidders, insofar as it is known;

(4) The extent, if any, to which specification requirements or patents restrict competition;

(5) Any information available about the pricing system employed in offers believed to reflect noncompetitive practices; and

(6) Any other pertinent information.

(b) Evidence of practices that, in the opinion of the General Counsel, NASA Headquarters, may violate the antitrust laws shall be forwarded to the Attorney General of the United States (see FAR 3.303).

(c) The contracting officer shall submit the identical bid report required by FAR 3.303(d) to NASA Headquarters, Office of Procurement (Code HP). The report shall include the reasons for suspecting collusion. Code HP shall forward a copy to the NASA Office of the Inspector General.

Subpart 1803.5—Other Improper Business Practices

1803.502 Subcontractor kickbacks.

Suspected violations of the Anti-Kickback Act shall be reported in accordance with 1809.470.

Subpart 1803.6—Contracts With Government Employees or Organizations Owned or Controlled By Them

1803.602 Exceptions.

The Administrator has delegated to the Assistant Administrator for Procurement (Code H) authority to authorize an exception to the policy in FAR 3.601 (see NMI 5101.8, Delegation of Authority—To Take Actions in Procurement and Related Matters (Assistant Administrator for Procurement)).

Subpart 1803.7—Voiding and Rescinding Contracts

1803.704 Policy.

The Assistant Administrator for Procurement has been delegated authority to void or rescind contracts when there is a final conviction for violation of 18 U.S.C. 201-224 (Bribery, Graft and Conflicts of Interest) relating to them.

1803.705 Procedures.

(a) The official to whom reports shall be sent pursuant to FAR 3.705(a) is the Assistant Administrator for Procurement; they shall be sent by the procurement officer.

(b) The Assistant Administrator for Procurement is responsible for the actions, notices, and decisions required by FAR 3.705 (c), (d), (e).

Subpart 1803.70—Contracts Between NASA and Its Former Employees

1803.7001 Policy.

(a) It is NASA policy that contracts not normally be placed on a noncompetitive basis with any individual employed by NASA during the past two years or with any firm in which such an individual is a partner, principal officer, or majority shareholder or that is otherwise controlled or predominantly staffed by such individuals, unless it is determined to be in the best interest of the Government to do so (see 1806.303-270).

(b) Where it has been determined that it is appropriate to contract on a non-competitive basis with an individual or firm described in paragraph (a) of this section, the approval authority for the justification for less than full and open competition shall be as specified in 1806.304-70.

(c) If individuals or firms described in paragraph (a) of this section are involved in a competitive procurement, precautions must be taken to ensure that they are not accorded preferential treatment. If one of them is the

successful offeror, the contract file shall include a separate document fully explaining the safeguards used to ensure fair treatment of all offerors under the procurement, unless sealed bidding is used.

(d) Nothing in this subpart shall be construed as relieving former employees from obligations prescribed by law, such as 18 U.S.C. 207 (Disqualification of Former Officers and Employees).

(e) The policy in paragraph (a) of this section shall also be followed when reviewing subcontracts for the purpose of granting consent under NASA prime contracts (see FAR 44.202–2(a)(7)).

1803.7002 Solicitation provision.

The contracting officer shall insert the provision at 1852.203–70, Contracts Between NASA and Former NASA Employees, in all solicitations other than invitations for bids.

PART 1804—ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS

Subpart 1804.1—Contract Execution

Sec.
1804.101 Contracting officer's signature.
1804.103 Contract clause.
1804.170 Contract effective date.

Subpart 1804.2—Contract Distribution

1804.202 Agency distribution requirements.

Subpart 1804.4—Safeguarding Classified Information Within Industry

1804.402 General.
1804.404–70 Contract clause.

Subpart 1804.6—Contract Reporting

1804.601 Record requirements.
1804.670 General.
1804.671 Individual Procurement Action Report (NASA Forms 507 and 507A).
1804.671–1 Applicability and coverage.
1804.671–2 Contractual records.
1804.671–3 Submission due date.
1804.671–4 Preparing Individual Procurement Action Reports (NASA Forms 507 and 507A).
1804.671–5 Special coding for certain procurements.
1804.671–6 Special procurement placement codes (PPC's) for certain procurements.
1804.671–7 Procurement placement codes (PPC's).
1804.672 Report on NASA Subcontracts clause.
1804.674 Subcontracting report.
1804.675 NASA contractor financial management reporting.
1804.675–1 Contractor financial management reporting.
1804.676 Committee on Academic Science and Engineering (C.A.S.E.) Report.

Subpart 1804.8—Contract Files

1804.802 Contract files.
1804.802–70 Handling of classified material.
1804.802–71 Filing of documents.
1804.802–72 Arrangement of files.
1804.803 Contents of contract files.
Sec.
1804.803–71 Checklist.
1804.804 Closeout of contract files.
1804.804–2 Closeout of the contracting office files if another office administers the contract.
1804.804–5 Detailed procedures for closing out contract files.
1804.805 Disposal of contract files.
1804.805–70 Review, separation, and retirement of contract files.

Subpart 1804.70—Transfer of Contracting Office Responsibility

1804.7000 Scope of subpart.
1804.7001 Definition.
1804.7002 Restrictions.
1804.7003 Preparatory actions.
1804.7004 Coordinating actions by the contracting officer of the installation transferring the contract.
1804.7005 Inventory of current requests for contract administration assistance.
1804.7006 Transfer review.
1804.7007 Notification to the contractor.
1804.7008 Notification to other agencies.
1804.7009 Administrative actions.
1804.7010 Transfer.
1804.7011 Final action by the contracting officer transferring the contract.
1804.7012 Action by the contracting officer receiving the contract.

Subpart 1804.71—Uniform Acquisition Instrument Identification

1804.7100 Scope of subpart.
1804.7101 Policy.
1804.7102 Invitations for bids, requests for proposals, NASA Research Announcements, contracts, agreements, and modifications.
1804.7102–1 Identification of solicitations.
1804.7102–2 Contracts and agreements requiring numbering.
1804.7102–3 Assignment and control of numbers.
1804.7102–4 Assigned contract or agreement prefixes.
1804.7102–5 Serial numbers.
1804.7102–6 Modifications of contracts or agreements.
1804.7102–7 Letter contracts.
1804.7103 Purchase orders and requests.
1804.7103–1 General.
1804.7103–2 Assigned purchase order or request prefixes.
1804.7103–3 Serial numbers.

Subpart 1804.72—Review and Approval of Contractual Instruments

1804.7200 Scope of subpart.
1804.7201 Advance information.
1804.7202 Contract review by the contracting officer.
1804.7203 Contract review by Headquarters.

Subpart 1804.73—Procurement Requests

1804.7301 General.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 2473(c)(1).

Subpart 1804.1—Contract Execution

1804.101 Contracting officer's signature.

The contracting officer shall sign negotiated contracts after the contractor unless the particular contract form or circumstances of the acquisition require otherwise.

1804.170 Contract effective date.

(a) "Contract effective date" means the date agreed upon by the parties for beginning the period of performance under the contract. It shall be on or after the date on which the contract becomes legally binding. Generally, a contract becomes legally binding when the offeror receives the document, signed by both parties, unless, by its terms, it does not become binding until some subsequent condition is met (e.g., approval by higher authority (FAR 52.204–1) or availability of funds (FAR 52.232–18)). The effective date should allow adequate time for the offeror or its agent to receive the written acceptance, with any doubt being resolved by setting the effective date after the contract becomes legally binding. In no case shall the effective date precede the date on which the contracting officer or designated higher approval authority signs the document.

(b) Costs incurred before the contract effective date are unallowable unless they qualify as precontract costs (see FAR 31.205–32) and the clause prescribed at 1832.705–270(b) is used.

Subpart 1804.2—Contract Distribution

1804.202 Agency distribution requirements.

(a) In addition to the requirements in FAR 4.201, the contracting officer shall distribute one copy of each R&D contract, including the Statement of Work, to the NASA Scientific and Technical Information Facility, Attention: Document Processing Section, P.O. Box 8757, Baltimore/Washington International Airport, MD 21240.

(b) The Office of Procurement, NASA Headquarters (Code HS) will retain one executed copy of each contract and modification requiring approval of the Assistant Administrator for Procurement. Upon approval or disapproval of the proposed contract or modification, the Assistant Administrator for Procurement will forward the original and two executed copies of the contract or modification, together with the contract file, to the procurement officer or other official, as considered appropriate.

Subpart 1804.4—Safeguarding Classified Information Within Industry

1804.402 General.

NASA industrial security policies and procedures are prescribed in NASA Management Instruction 1650.1,

"Industrial Security Policies and Procedures." (See also 1842.202–72).

1804.404–70 Contract clause.

The Contracting Officer shall insert the clause at 1852.204–75, Security Classification Requirements, in solicitations and contracts if work to be performed will require security clearances. Insert the security clearance level applicable to the particular procurement. Include in the solicitation and contract a properly executed DD Form 254, Contract Security Classification, in accordance with NMI 1650.1. For contracts, delete the last sentence. This clause may be modified to add instructions for obtaining security clearances and access to security areas that are applicable to the particular procurement and installation.

Subpart 1804.6—Contract Reporting

1804.601 Record requirements.

The Headquarters Procurement Management Division (Code HM) is responsible for developing the computer file specified in FAR 4.601, through the installation procurement officer's or delegatee's monthly submission of Individual Procurement Action Reports (NASA Form 507), and for subsequent transmittal of the required data to the Federal Procurement Data System (FPDS).

1804.670 General.

The following sections prescribe uniform reports required to be prepared in contracting and contract administration offices to provide management necessary information to help formulate, change, or measure the effectiveness of procurement policy.

1804.671 Individual Procurement Action Report (NASA Forms 507 and 507A).

The Individual Procurement Action Report and Supplement (NASA Forms 507 and 507A) provide essential procurement records and statistics through a single uniform reporting program as a basis for required recurring and special reports to the President, Congress, Department of Commerce, Office of Federal Procurement Policy, Federal Procurement Data Center, Federal Emergency Management Agency, General Accounting Office, Small Business Administration, and other Federal agencies. The preparation and utilization of NASA Forms 507 and 507A (see 1853.303–507 and –507A) are an integral part of the agencywide Financial and Contractual Status (FACS) system.

1804.671–1 Applicability and coverage.

(a) The following procurement actions are individually reportable and require the completion of NASA Forms 507 and 507A:

(1) *Initial basic procurements.* (i) All contracts, regardless of dollar obligation amount.

(ii) All grants, cooperative agreements, and Space Act agreements.

(iii) Intragovernmental procurements and purchase orders when the initial obligation is more than $25,000.

(iv) All contracts and purchase orders for consulting services. (Purchase orders of $25,000 or less and not for consulting services are not reportable.)

(2) *Modifications.* Modifications that:

(i) Obligate or deobligate funds, regardless of dollar amount,

(ii) Change the estimated cost and/or fee by more than $25,000,

(iii) Extend the completion date, or

(iv) Add or change procurement statistics previously reported are reportable.

(b) NASA Form 507A (items 28 through 49) data is not required in the FACS system for the following types of procurement actions:

(1) Grant awards (procurement placement codes ST and WT).

(2) Cooperative agreements and Space Act agreements (PPC's SW, SX, WW, and WX).

(3) Intragovernmental awards (PPC 98).

(4) Orders under Federal Supply Schedule contracts (mandatory and optional).

(5) Small purchases (PPC's BC, DC, KC, NC, RC, SC, TC, WC, XC, YC, CC, EC, HC, IC, LC, MC, OC, PC, VC, and ZC).

1804.671–2 Contractual records.

As used in this Subpart 1804.6, "contractual records" embraces records for all reportable procurements, including contracts, grants, cooperative agreements, Space Act agreements, and intragovernmental awards. The NASA Forms 507 and 507A are the source of data for contractual records, with the exception of obligations, which are obtained from the financial records.

1804.671–3 Submission due date.

The FACS report shall have information as of the last day of the month and shall arrive in NASA Headquarters not later than the close of business on the eighth work day following each month being reported. The installation procurement officer should establish an agreement with the installation financial officer on a cut-off date for processing contractual documents to ensure that the FACS procurement submission and the FACS financial submission for the month include the same contracts.

1804.671–4 Preparing Individual Procurement Action Reports (NASA Forms 507 and 507A).

Individual Procurement Action Reports shall be prepared and submitted to Headquarters for each procurement action required to be reported (see 1804.671–1). Detailed item instructions for preparing NASA Forms 507 and 507A are set forth in paragraphs (a) through (vv) of this section and correspond to the item numbers shown on the forms. Position numbers after each item indicate the maximum number of characters that may be used in reporting the data element.

(a) *Item 1—Type of record (1 position).* Enter N to indicate a new initial basic procurement or modification that is individually reportable. Enter C to indicate a correction to an error on a previously reported basic procurement or modification.

(b) *Item 2—Contract/grant number (11 positions, including blanks).* (1) Enter the specific contract, grant, cooperative agreement, Space Act agreement, or purchase order number for which the data are reported. The first five digits represent the prefix field, while the last six digits are used to number each contractual instrument serially. If a serial number does not fill out the entire field, leave those digit positions blank instead of using zeros. For utility contract and purchase order numbers, enter an alpha letter in the last position of the serial number, indicating the fiscal year the service was provided.

(2) The method of numbering—

(i) Contracts and purchase orders is set forth in Subpart 1804.71 (e.g., NAS9–14000, NAS10–9080, NASW–2080);

(ii) Space Act agreements is set forth in Subpart 1804.71 (e.g., NCA1–12500);

(iii) Grants is set forth in the NASA Grant and Cooperative Agreement Handbook, NHB 5800.1, paragraph 306.1 (e.g., NAGW–1, NAG2–308);

(iv) Cooperative agreements is set forth in the NASA Grant and Cooperative Agreement Handbook, NHB 5800.1, paragraph 306.2 (e.g., NCC 2–1); and

(v) Utility contracts and purchase orders is as follows:

Last digit alpha letter	Fiscal years ending in	Last digit alpha letter	Fiscal years ending in
Q	1	V	6
R	2	W	7
S	3	X	8

Last digit alpha letter	Fiscal years ending in	Last digit alpha letter	Fiscal years ending in
T	4	Y	9
U	5	Z	0

(c) *Item 3—Modification number (5 positions).* Enter the serial number assigned to the modification action.

(d) *Item 4—Accounting installation number (2 positions).* Enter a numeric code identifying the installation currently responsible for maintaining the fiscal records. The following is a list of installations and their assigned codes:

Code	Installation
10	NASA Headquarters.
21	Ames Research Center.
22	Lewis Research Center.
23	Langley Research Center.
51	Goddard Space Flight Center.
55	Jet Propulsion Laboratory.
62	George C. Marshall Space Flight Center.
64	John C. Stennis Space Center.
72	Lyndon B. Johnson Space Center.
76	John F. Kennedy Space Center.

(e) *Item 5—Procuring installation number (2 positions).* Enter a numeric code identifying the installation responsible for the procurement. The following is a list of installations and their assigned codes:

Code	Installation
04	NASA Headquarters.
05	Space Station Procurement Office.
21	Ames Research Center.
22	Lewis Research Center.
23	Langley Research Center.
24	Dryden Flight Research Facility.
51	Goddard Space Flight Center.
53	Wallops Flight Facility.
54	NASA Resident Procurement Office-JPL.
62	George C. Marshall Space Flight Center.
64	John C. Stennis Space Center.
72	Lyndon B. Johnson Space Center.
76	John F. Kennedy Space Center.

(f) *Item 6—Contractor identification code (CIC) number (7 positions).* This code is obtained from the publication, "NASA Contractor Identification Codes," issued quarterly by the Headquarters Procurement Management Division (Code HM). It identifies the procurement in terms of the contractor's name, division (if any), address, and the place of performance. A unique code is assigned for each different combination of these items. For combinations not listed in the coding publication, NASA Form 507 preparers should call the Office of Procurement, NASA Headquarters (Code HM), where a code will immediately be assigned and the exact abbreviations, where appropriate, will be specified. The new code will be listed in the next issue of the coding publication.

(g) *Item 7—Contractor name (29 positions, including spaces).* Enter the name of the contractor. (For editing purposes, the first five characters of the contractor's name must be identical to those shown in "NASA Contractor Identification Codes.") For intragovernmental actions, enter the agency name (e.g., US Army, US Navy, US Commerce) and also see the Item 8 instructions immediately below. If the activity is under a single-manager agency, enter the name of the agency.

(h) *Item 8—Contractor division (20 positions).* Enter the name of the contractor's division if one is named in the contractual instrument. (For editing purposes, the first five characters of the division name must be identical to those shown in "NASA Contractor Identification Codes.") For intragovernmental actions, enter the name of the cognizant procuring activity (e.g., Electronic Systems Division).

(i) *Item 9—Contractor address—city and State.* Enter city and State of contractor's address as stated in the contractual instrument.

(j) *Items 10 and 11—Place of performance (city—24 positions; State—2 positions; zip code—5 positions).* Enter the location (city and State) of the principal plant or place of business where the items will be produced or supplied from stock or where the service will be performed. (For editing purposes, the first three characters of the city name must be identical to those shown in "NASA Contractor Identification Codes.") For construction contracts, enter the site of construction. If more than one location is involved, enter the principal place of performance. For intragovernmental actions where the place of performance is unknown, enter the address of the cognizant Government agency. Enter the zip code in Item 11.

(k) *Item 12—Contract award/modification date (6 positions)*—(1) *Contract date.* Enter the year, month, and day (two numerics each) of the contract's effective date (see 1804.170) shown on the face of the contract. With respect to letter contractual instruments, enter the date of commitment to the contractor. This date will be shown as the date of the contract when it is definitized.

(2) *Modification date.* Enter the year, month, and day (two numerics each) shown in Block 3 of SF 30 on the face of the modification being reported.

(l) *Item 13—Completion date (6 positions).* Enter the year, month, and day (two numerics each), either specified or estimated, when all work on the contract and any modification is scheduled for completion. This date may or may not change as modifications to the contract are issued.

(m) *Item 14—Procurement placement code (2 positions).* Enter the alpha procurement placement code (PPC) identifying the type of solicitation process used and the extent of competition obtained on the procurement. (See 1804.671–7 for PPC matrix.) The FACS system must be able to provide information on "noncompetitive procurements using competitive procedures," as required by Pub. L. 98–369; this is accomplished by selecting procurements coded 1 in Item 32 (Number of offers received) and coded 2, 3, or 4 in Item 18 (Extent of competition). These procurements must have one of the following competitive PPC's: AX, AE, AF, BX, BE, BF, FX, FE, FF, GF, KX, KE, QX, RS, RE, UX, TX, TE, XX, XE, or XD.

(n) *Item 15—Kind of action (2 positions).* Enter the numeric code from the following lists that identifies in general terms the kind of procurement and the action taken to initiate it:

NEW CONTRACTS/GRANTS/ORDERS

Code	Kind of action
01	New letter contract.
03	New basic contract. New procurements, when the first binding document contains all the agreement's terms and conditions.
05	Intragovernmental. Orders issued to other Federal agencies.
06	Grant.
21	Cooperative agreement or Space Act agreement.
23	Order under Mandatory GSA-FSS. See FAR 8.404.
24	Order under optional (non-mandatory) GSA-FSS. See FAR 8.404–2.
25	Order under indefinite-delivery contract (IDC) (other than reporting center's).
26	Order under BOA (other than reporting center's).

MODIFICATIONS TO EXISTING CONTRACTS

Code	Kind of action
07	New-work modification. Modifications that add a new procurement to existing contracts. New procurement, for the purpose of this report, means a modification action that usually requires the preparation of a Justification for Other than Full and Open Competition (see FAR 6.303).
08	Supplemental agreement. Bilateral, definitized modifications except those covered by code 10 below.
09	Change order. Change orders issued pursuant to the changes clause of the contract.
10	Supplemental agreement definitizing change order.

MODIFICATIONS TO EXISTING CONTRACTS—Continued

Code	Kind of action
11	Administrative/incremental funding. This code should be used for administrative changes (such as novation agreements) as well as for incremental funding modifications.
12	Termination for default.
13	Termination for convenience.
14	Definitizing letter contract.
15	Exercising priced option.
16	Order under reporting center's indefinite delivery contract (IDC).
17	Order under reporting center's BOA.

(o) *Item 16—Contractor type (2 positions).* Enter the appropriate code from the following:

BUSINESS

Code	Contractor
01	Section 8(a)-disadvantaged. Awards placed through the Small Business Administration with a minority business firm owned and controlled by socially and economically disadvantaged individuals, in accordance with Section 8(a) of the Small Business Act.
03	Disadvantaged direct. Awards placed directly with a minority business firm owned and controlled by socially and economically disadvantagad individuals.
04	Not disadvantaged. Other large or small businesses that are not considered disadvantaged.

NONPROFIT ORGANIZATION

Code	Contractor
05	Educational. An educational institution that is *not* State, Federal, or local-government-owned.
06	Hospital. A hospital that is *not* State, Federal, or local-government-owned.
08	Other nonprofit. A nonprofit institution or organization that is a corporation, foundation, trust, or institution not organized for profit, and no part of its net earnings is applied to the profit of any private shareholder or individual.

STATE/LOCAL GOVERNMENT

Code	Contractor
09	Educational. A State, Federal, or local-government-owned educational institution. (Privately owned educational institutions shall be coded 05.)
10	Hospital. A State, Federal, or local-government-owned hospital. (Privately owned hospitals shall be coded 06.)
12	Other State/local government. Includes State, Federal, or local-government-owned research organizations.

(p) *Item 17—Award Outside U.S.* Enter "L" for an award to a source outside the U.S. Enter "M" for an award to a source inside the U.S., if the principal place of performance will be outside the U.S. When this item is coded "L" or "M," the PPC code entered in item 14 of the NASA Form 507 must be from the "work outside U.S." category of the PPC matrix.

(q) *Item 18—Extent of competition (1 position).* Enter the appropriate code from the following list (except for non-new-work (within-scope) modifications):

Code	Extent of competition
2	Sealed Bid. Award results from acceptance of a bid in response to a formal invitation for bids or from sealed bidding following an evaluation of technical proposals (two-step sealed bidding). (See FAR Part 14.)
3	Competed action—SEB. Competitive offers are solicited from more than one responsible offeror capable of satisfying the Government's requirements wholly or partially; award is based on price, design, or technical competition; and Source Evaluation Board (SEB) procedures are used to evaluate the proposals (see FAR 15.506–2). This code shall also be used if Architect-Engineer Selection Board procedures are used (see FAR 36.603–2).
4	Other competed action. Competitive offers are solicited from more than one responsible offeror capable of satisfying the Government's requirements wholly or partially; award is based on price, design, or technical competition; and Source Evaluation Board procedures are not used to evaluate the proposals.
5	Noncompetitive follow-on to competed action. The procurement is for the continued development or production of a major system or highly specialized equipment, including major components thereof, that is considered available only from the original source, and it is likely that award to any other source would result in (1) substantial duplication of cost to the Government that is not expected to be recovered through competition, or (2) unacceptable delays in fulfilling NASA's requirements (see FAR 6.302–1(b)(2)).
6	Other not competed. Only one offer is solicited and only one offer is received capable of satisfying the Government's requirements wholly or partially; the work involved is not a follow-on procurement reportable under code 5 above. Include awards resulting from unsolicited proposals in this category.

(r) *Item 19—Total estimated cost or fixed price (11 positions).* Enter the total estimated cost or fixed price for all awards. For all cost-reimbursement contracts, enter the total estimated cost, exclusive of fee, as stated in the contract (see FAR Subpart 16.3). For all fixed-price contracts, enter the total fixed price stated in the contract, including the negotiated profit. For time-and-materials and labor-hour contracts, enter the total estimated contract price including profit. On modifications, enter the total cumulative, definitized estimated cost or price and not merely the increase or decrease effected by the respective modification. (The initially reported estimated cost will be overlaid by modification data in the Headquarters FACS system.) Round all entries to the nearest whole dollar. Do not report amounts for priced options which have not been exercised in this field.

(s) *Item 20—Total fee (11 positions).* This item pertains to cost-reimbursement contracts only. Enter the definitized negotiated fee when applicable or available. For incentive contracts, enter the target fee. For award-fee contracts, enter the base fee plus the maximum available award fee. On modifications, enter the total cumulative, definitized fee and not merely the increase or decrease effected by the respective modification. (The initially reported fee will be overlaid by modification data in the Headquarters FACS system.) Round entries to the nearest whole dollar.

(t) *Item 21—Total contract value including options.* Enter the definitized contract value (including maximum potential fee or profit) plus the value of any options (including maximum potential fee or profit) available in the contract. Round entries to the nearest whole dollar.

(u) *Item 22—Type of service or product (4 positions).* Enter the code indicating the principal type of effort or end item obtained under the contract. If more than one classification applies to the procurement, enter the one accounting for the largest dollar volume of procurement. Codes have been established to identify research and development (R&D) procurements, service contracts, and supply and equipment contracts. These codes may be found in the FPDS Product and Service Codes manual located in the procurement administrative office at each NASA installation. Information on the most frequently used R&D codes is presented below.

(1) R&D procurement—R&D codes are used for—

(i) All contracts for R&D work, and

(ii) Most, but not all, contracts with educational institutions.

(2) Space R&D (first 3 digits)—If the procurement involves space R&D, use one of the following for the first three digits of the four-position code:

R&D code[1]	Type of R&D procurement
AR1	Aeronautics & Space Technology.
AR2	Space Science and Applications.
AR3	Space Flight.
AR4	Space Operations.
AR6	Space Station.
AR7	Commercial programs.

R&D code[1]	Type of R&D procurement
AR9	Other space.

[1] See subparagraph (u)(3) of this section.

(3) Space R&D (fourth digit)—If the procurement involves space R&D, use one of the following for the fourth digit of the four position code. The terms in this list are explained in the following subdivisions:

Code	Meaning
1	Basic research.
2	Applied research and exploratory development.
3	Advanced development.
4	Engineering development.
5	Operational systems development.
6	Management and support.

(i) Basic research—includes all scientific effort and experimentation directed toward increasing knowledge and understanding in those fields of the physical, engineering, environmental, social, and life sciences related to long-term national needs. It provides fundamental knowledge ultimately required for the solution of social, economic, political, physical, or military problems. It forms a part of the base for subsequent applied research and exploratory and advanced development in the various disciplines, and new or improved functional capabilities.

(ii) Applied research and exploratory development—includes all effort directed toward the solution of specific problems, short of major development projects. This type of effort may vary from fairly fundamental applied research to quite sophisticated breadboard hardware, study, programming, and planning efforts. It thus includes investigations and minor development effort. The dominant characteristic of this category of effort is that it be pointed toward specific problem areas with a view toward developing and evaluating the feasibility and practicability of proposed solutions and determining their parameters.

(iii) Advanced development—includes all effort directed toward projects which have moved into the development of hardware for test. The prime result of this type of effort is proof of design concept and/or prototype.

(iv) Engineering development—includes those projects in full-scale engineering development for government use but which have not yet received approval for production or had production funds included in the budget submission for the current or subsequent fiscal year. This area is characterized by major line-item projects.

(v) Operational systems development—includes those projects still in full-scale engineering development but which have received approval for production, or production funds have been included in the budget submission for the current or subsequent fiscal year.

(vi) Management and support—includes all effort directed toward support of installations or operations required for general research and development. Included would be construction of a general nature unrelated to specific programs, maintenance support of laboratories, operation and maintenance of test ranges, and maintenance and support of test aircraft, equipment, or ships. Costs of laboratory personnel, either in-house or contractor, would be assigned to appropriate projects or program areas above.

(v) *Item 23—Contract physically complete (1 position).* Enter "y" (yes) if the contract is physically complete, i.e., after all articles and services called for under the contract, including such related items as reports, spare parts, and exhibits, have been delivered to and accepted by the Government (see FAR 4.804–4). Otherwise, this field should be left blank.

(w) *Item 24—Modification obligations (11 positions).* Enter the modification dollar increase or decrease of the contract. Round the entry to the nearest dollar.

(x) *Item 25—Labor surplus area award (1 position).* "Y" or "N" to indicate whether the award is to a concern in a labor surplus area (see FAR Subpart 20.1).

(y) *Item 26—FSS/Indefinite-delivery/BOA contract No. (15 positions).* Enter the Federal Supply Schedule (FSS), indefinite-delivery, or basic ordering agreement (BOA) contract number under which a delivery order has been placed if Item 15 (Kind of Action) is coded 23, 24, 25, or 26.

(z) *Item 27—Description of contract/modification (narrative).* (1) In the "Description of Contract/Modification" block, enter a brief description of the end item or services being procured. For modifications, enter a brief description of the purpose.

(2) In the "Prepared By" block, type the name or provide the signature of the contracting officer or representative responsible for the data being reported.

(3) In the "Date" block, enter the date the report was prepared.

(4) In the "Supplement Attached" block, check "yes" or "no" to indicate whether the Individual Procurement Action Report Supplement (NASA Form 507A) is being completed and submitted with the NASA Form 507.

(aa) *Item 28—CICA applicability (1 position).* (1) Pre-CICA: Code 1 Enter if the contract action is a new contract resulting from a solicitation issued before April 1, 1985, irrespective of the award date. All within-scope modifications to such contracts and new-work modifications resulting from solicitations issued before April 1, 1985, are to be reported by this code.

(2) Post-CICA: Code 2 Enter if the contract action is a new contract resulting from a solicitation issued on or after April 1, 1985. All modifications to such contracts are to be reported by this code.

(bb) *Item 29—Proposed procurement synopsized (1 position).* Enter "Y" if the procurement was synopsized prior to award in the Department of Commerce's Commerce Business Daily. Enter "N" if the procurement was not synopsized, except enter "U" if the procurement was not synopsized because of urgency.

(cc) *Item 30—Contract type (2 positions).* (1) Enter the code that identifies the type of contract from the following list:

Code	Contract Type
01	Fixed-Price, Firm (FAR 16.202 and 16.207). (Include Firm Fixed-Price, level-of-effort term contracts in this category.)
02	Fixed-Price, Redetermination (FAR 16.205).
03	Fixed-Price with Economic Price Adjustment (FAR 16.203).
04	Fixed-Price Incentive (FAR 16.204).
05	Cost (No Fee) (FAR 16.302).
06	Cost-Sharing (FAR 16.303). (The estimated cost reported shall include only the Government's share.)
07	Cost-Plus-Fixed-Fee (FAR 16.306).
08	Cost-Plus-Incentive-Fee (FAR 16.304).
09	Time-and-Materials (FAR 16.601).
10	Labor-Hour (FAR 16.602).
12	Cost-Plus-Award-Fee (FAR 16.305).

(2) Combination contract types shall be reported as follows:

(i) Where the contract has one type of incentive arrangement applying to cost performance and another to technical and/or schedule performance, report the contract type assigned to the cost-incentive feature; e.g., a contract providing a cost-plus-incentive-fee arrangement on cost and an award fee arrangement on technical and/or schedule performance will be reported as "Code 08—Cost-Plus-Incentive-Fee."

(ii) Where one or more items of work are priced exclusively under one of the arrangements coded above, with one or more additional items priced exclusively under another such arrangement, report the contract type in accordance with the

code assigned to the arrangement under which the predominate dollar amount will be spent.

(dd) *Item 31—No. of offerors solicited (3 positions).* Enter the number of firms to which solicitations were provided.

(ee) *Item 32—Number of offers received (3 positions).* Enter the actual number of offers received in response to the solicitation.

(ff) *Item 33—Solicitation procedures (1 position).* This item pertains to the requirements of FAR Subparts 6.1 (Full and Open Competition), 6.2 (Full and Open Competition After Exclusion of Sources), and 6.3 (Other Than Full and Open Competition), with the exception of the statutory authorities for other than full and open competition (Subpart 6.3), which are reported in Item 34. Codes "A" through "L" designate the competition alternatives described in FAR Part 6. Delivery-order contract actions under indefinite-delivery contracts shall be reported the same as the initial contract when the following criterion, in FAR 6.001(e), is met: They are orders placed under indefinite-delivery contracts that were entered into pursuant to FAR Part 6, and either the contract was awarded under Subpart 6.1 or 6.2 and all responsible sources were realistically permitted to compete for the requirements contained in the order, or the contract was awarded under Subpart 6.3 and the required justification and approval adequately covers the requirements contained in the order.

(1) Code A—Full and open competition sealed bid is entered when the sealed bidding (see FAR 6.401(a)) method of contracting was used.

(2) Code B—Full and open competition—competitive proposal is entered when the FAR Part 15, Contracting by Negotiation, procedures were used for a competitive solicitation.

(3) Code C—Full and open competition—combination is entered when any combination of competitive procedures (e.g., two-step sealed bidding) was used (see FAR 6.102(c)).

(4) Code D—Architect-engineer is entered if the action resulted from selection of sources for architect-engineer (A&E) contracts in accordance with Pub. L. 92–582 and procedures in FAR Subpart 36.6 (see FAR 6.102(d)(1)). The selection of a potential A&E contractor is made by an A&E Evaluation Board conducted in accordance with 41 U.S.C. 541 et seq. This selection process is considered a competitive procedure and shall be reported as a competitive award. When award is an A&E contract and was a result of a small business set-aside or labor surplus area set-aside, use code K in lieu of this code.

(5) Code E—NASA Research Announcement/Announcement of Opportunity is entered if the action resulted from competitive selection of basic research proposals as a result of:

(i) A broad agency announcement (NASA Research Announcement or Announcement of Opportunity) that is general in nature identifying areas of research interest, including criteria for selecting proposals, and soliciting the participation of all offerors capable of satisfying the Government's needs and

(ii) A peer or scientific review (see FAR 6.102(d)(2)).

(6) Code F—Multiple-award schedule is entered if the action is an order issued against a multiple-award schedule using the procedures in FAR 8.405–1 (see FAR 6.102(d)(3)). This code shall be used for multiple-award schedule contracts (mandatory or optional). This code may be used for ADP procurements, unless the solicitation utilized make-or-model specifications. Use of the multiple-award schedule program is considered to be a competitive procedure because competitive procedures were used by GSA to make the basic multiple-award schedule contract awards under 41 U.S.C. 259(b)(3)(A). For reporting purposes, an order issued against a multiple-award schedule shall be reported as a competitive award.

(7) Code G—Alternate source—reduced cost is entered if the action was taken pursuant to FAR 6.202(a)(1), which states that agencies may exclude a particular source from a contract action in order to establish or maintain an alternative source or sources for the supplies or services being acquired if the agency head determines that to do so would increase or maintain competition and likely result in reduced overall costs for the acquisition, or for any anticipated acquisition of such supplies or services.

(8) Code H—Alternate source—mobilization is entered if the action was taken pursuant to FAR 6.202(a)(2), which states that agencies may exclude a particular source from a contract action in order to establish or maintain an alternative source or sources for the supplies or services being acquired if the agency head determines that to do so would be in the interest of national defense in having a facility (or a producer, manufacturer, or other supplier) available for furnishing the supplies or services in case of a national emergency or industrial mobilization.

(9) Code J—Alternate source—engineering/R&D capability is entered if the action was taken pursuant to FAR 6.202(a)(3), which states that agencies may exclude a particular source from a contract action in order to establish or maintain an alternative source or sources for the supplies or services being acquired if the agency head determines that to do so would be in the interest of national defense in establishing or maintaining an essential engineering, research, or development capability to be provided by an educational or other nonprofit institution or a federally funded research and development center.

(10) Code K—Set-asides is entered if the action resulted from use of procedures for set-asides pursuant to FAR 6.203. This includes small business set-asides, labor surplus area set-asides, or combinations thereof. This code also includes contract actions under the Small Business Innovation Research (SBIR) Program established under Pub. L. 97–219. Do not use this code for 8(a) awards (see Code "L" paragraph (ff)(11) of this section).

(11) Code L—Other than full and open competition is entered if the action resulted from use of other than full and open competition pursuant to 10 U.S.C. 2304(c). The conditions or exceptions permitting contracting without providing for full and open competition are prescribed in FAR 6.302. Enter this code if the award is made under the authority of Section 8(a) of the Small Business Act (see FAR Subpart 19.8). This code shall also be used for all ADP procurements where the solicitation utilized make-and-model specifications.

(gg) *Item 34—Authority for other than full and open competition.* When Item 33 is coded "L," then this item must be completed. Enter the applicable code from the categories listed below. This item identifies the solicitation process and not the extent of competition obtained.

(1) Code A—Unique source is entered when the contract action is under 10 U.S.C. 2304(c)(1) and the agency's minimum needs can only be satisfied by unique supplies or services available from only one source or only one supplier with unique capabilities (see FAR 6.302–1(b)(1)).

(2) Code B—Follow-on contract is entered when the contract action is under 10 U.S.C. 2304(c)(1) and it is likely that the award of follow-on contracts must be to the original source because award to any other source would result in:

(i) Substantial duplication of cost to the Government that is not expected to be recovered through competition or

(ii) Unacceptable delays in fulfilling the agency's requirements (see FAR 6.302–1(a)(2)(ii)).

(3) Code C—Unsolicited research proposal is entered when the contract action is under 10 U.S.C. 2304(c)(1) as the result of acceptance of an unsolicited research proposal that demonstrates a unique and innovative concept, the substance of which:

(i) Is not otherwise available to the Government and

(ii) Does not resemble the substance of a pending competitive acquisition (see FAR 6.302–1(a)(2)(i)).

(4) Code D—Patent/data rights is entered when the contract action is under 10 U.S.C. 2304(c)(1) because the existence of limited rights in data, patent rights, copyrights, or secret processes; the control of basic raw material; or similar circumstances make the supplies and services available from only one source (see FAR 6.302–1(b)(2)).

(5) Code E—Utilities is entered when:

(i) The contract action is under 10 U.S.C. 2304(c)(1) when acquiring electric power or energy, gas (natural or manufactured), water, or other utility services and circumstances dictate that only one supplier can furnish the service or

(ii) The contemplated contract is for construction of a part of a utility system and the utility company itself is the only source available to work on the system (see FAR 6.302–1(b)(3)).

(6) Code F—Standardization is entered when the contract action is under 10 U.S.C. 2304(c)(1) because the agency head has determined under the agency's standardization program that only specified makes and models of technical equipment and parts will satisfy the agency's needs for additional units or replacement items and only one source is available (see FAR 6.302–1(b)(4)).

(7) Code G—Only one source—other is entered when the contract action is under 10 U.S.C. 2304(c)(1) to a single source and codes "A" through "F" above do not apply (see FAR 6.302-1(b)).

(8) Code H—Urgency is entered when the contract action is under 10 U.S.C. 2304(c)(2) because:

(i) An unusual and compelling urgency precludes full and open competition and

(ii) Delay in award of a contract would result in serious injury, financial or other, to the Government (see FAR 6.302–2(b)).

(9) Code J—Mobilization is entered when the contract action is under 10 U.S.C. 2304(c)(3) to a particular source or sources in order to maintain a facility, producer, manufacturer, or other supplier available for furnishing supplies or services in case of a national emergency or to achieve industrial mobilization (see FAR 6.302–3(b)(1)).

(10) Code K—Essential R&D capabilities is entered when the contract action is under 10 U.S.C. 2304(c)(3) to a particular source or sources in order to establish or maintain an essential engineering, research, or development capability to be provided by an educational or other nonprofit institution or a federally funded research and development center (see FAR 6.302–3(b)(2)).

(11) Code L—International agreement is entered when the contract action is under 10 U.S.C. 2304(c)(4) because full and open competition is precluded by:

(i) The terms of an international agreement or treaty between the United States and a foreign government or international organization or

(ii) The written directions of a foreign government reimbursing the agency for the cost of the acquisition of the supplies or services (see FAR 6.302–4).

(12) Code M—Authorized by statute is entered when the contract action is under 10 U.S.C. 2304(c)(5) because a statute expressly authorizes or requires that the acquisition be made through another agency or from a specified source (see FAR 6.302–5(a)(2)(i)). This code should be used for 8(a) awards.

(13) Code N—Authorized resale is entered when the contract action is under 10 U.S.C. 2304(c)(5) for a brand-name commercial item for resale through commissaries or other similar facilities (see FAR 6.302–5(a)(2)(ii)).

(14) Code P—National security is entered when the contract action is under 10 U.S.C. 2304(c)(6) because disclosure of the Government's needs would compromise the national security (see FAR 6.302–6).

(15) Code Q—Public interest is entered when the contract action is under 10 U.S.C. 2304(c)(7) because the agency head has determined that full and open competition is not in the public interest in the particular acquisition concerned (see FAR 6.302–7).

(hh) Item 35—Labor statutes (1 position). Enter the appropriate code, in accordance with the provisions of the contract, from the following list:

Code	Statutory requirements
N	Not subject to statutory requirements listed below.
1	Subject to Walsh-Healey Act, manufacturer (FAR 22.606–1).
2	Subject to Walsh-Healey Act, regular dealer (FAR 22.606–2).
3	Subject to Service Contract Act (FAR Subpart 22.10).
4	Subject to Davis-Bacon Act (FAR 22.403–1).

(ii) Item 36—Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) Code (4 positions). Enter the code identifying the industry category within which the principal (determined by the predominance of dollars awarded) product produced or distributed or services rendered would best fit. Industry categories are published in the Standard Industrial Classification Manual maintained and issued by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). A listing of the SIC codes, without the detailed lists of products and services falling within each category, can be found at FAR 19.102(g).

(jj) *Item 37—Contract administration delegated (1 position).* Enter "Y" (yes) or "N" (no) to indicate whether any contract administration functions have been delegated to another Government agency (see FAR Subpart 42.2).

(kk) *Item 38—Preference program.* Report the code that represents the preference program used in making the award. Report Code H if no preference program applies or the preference program is not otherwise listed.

(1) Code A—Directed to sheltered workshops. Report this code for an award to a workshop for the blind or a workshop for the other severely handicapped pursuant to FAR 8.7.

(2) Code B—8(a) Program. Report this code for actions with the Small Business Administration pursuant to FAR 19.8.

(3) Code C—Combined labor surplus/small business set-aside. Report this code for a combined labor surplus area and small business set-aside award made to a small business concern pursuant to FAR 19.5.

(4) Code D—Small business set-aside. Report this code for a small business set-aside (including Small Business Innovation Research (SBIR)) award made pursuant to FAR 19.5.

(5) Code E—Labor surplus area set-aside. Report this code for a labor surplus area set-aside award made pursuant to FAR 20.2.

(6) Code F—Tie-bid preference. Report this code for all tie-bid preference awards (see FAR 19.202–3) made pursuant to FAR 19.5.

(7) Code H—No preference program. Report this code if the award is not made pursuant to a preference program, or if the preference program is not listed above.

(ll) *Item 39—Advisory/Assistance services contract (1 position).* Enter "Y" (yes) or "N" (no) to indicate whether the contract is for advisory and assistance services (formerly known as consulting services).

(mm) *Item 40—Support services type contract (1 position).* Enter "Y" (yes) or "N" (no) to indicate whether the contract is for support services.

Compare the following explanations with the procurement in question in determining how to code this item:

(1) *In-house contract support.* (i) Provides a service to the installation;

(ii) Is performed on or near the installation;

(iii) Is continuous in nature;

(iv) Is not provided by the prime product development contractor when the work is for the purpose of fulfilling the prime contract;

(v) Is characterized as that support necessary because of the on- or near-site population and activated facilities (housekeeping maintenance and operation function) and the effort necessary to support the research, development, or test efforts the installation performs in-house; and

(vi) Excludes—

(A) Construction, alteration, and repair;

(B) Purchase and incidental services;

(C) Prime product development contracts;

(D) Operations support contracts; and

(E) Tenants.

(2) *Operations support contracts.* This is work performed on- or near-site because of the location of major operations facilities. (This is the effort associated with carrying out mission operations; it is done on-site because that is where the supported facility is—a launch pad, mission control center, or tracking station.) Tracking operations support contractors are subdivided as on-site (at or near GSFC or JPL) or off-site.

(i) Operations support contractors are restricted to major national operations facilities and the following foreign and domestic tracking network stations:

(A) JPL-Mission Control Center (DSN)

(B) Goldstone

(C) Mesa Antenna Range

(D) JSC-Mission Control Center (MSF)

(E) GSFC-Mission Control Center (STDN)

(F) World-Wide Network Tracking Stations

(G) OTDA-Operations Funded Support

(H) KSC-Launch Complex 39 and related support facilities.

(ii) Operations support includes support funded by STS operations at MSFC, KSC, and JSC.

(3) *Purchased and/or incidental services.* (i) Purchased services are those obtained by—

(A) Delivery orders against Federal Supply Schedules;

(B) Purchase orders;

(C) Blanket purchase agreements; or

(D) Basic ordering agreements not exceeding $25,000 per order.

(ii) Incidental services are—

(A) Described in terms of the labor required to perform the services;

(B) Procured from vendors that provide similar services to the local community;

(C) Those for which the vendor provides all or a substantial amount of the capital investment required to perform the work under the contract; and

(D) Rendered under small contracts generally not exceeding four-to-five staff-years per year.

(nn) *Item 41—Cost Accounting Standards clause (1 position).* Enter "Y" (yes) or "N" (no) to indicate whether the contract contains the Cost Accounting Standards clause (see FAR 30.201–4).

(oo) *Item 42—New technology or patent rights clause (1 position).* Enter "Y" (yes) or "N" (no) to indicate whether a new technology or patent rights clause is included in the contract. (See 1827.373). A "Y" entry for contracts with small businesses, nonprofit organizations, and educational institutions will indicate a patent rights clause. A "Y" entry for contracts with large businesses will indicate a new technology clause.

(pp) *Item 43—Subcontracting program plan (1 position).* Enter "Y" (yes) or "N" (no) to indicate whether the contract contains a subcontract plan requiring the contractor to furnish the information prescribed on Standard Forms 294 and 295 (see 1804.674 and FAR 19.702). Enter "W" (waiver) when there are no subcontracting opportunities, for contracts performed entirely outside the United States, and for GSA Federal Supply Schedule contracts containing plans. Use Code "Y" for corporate plans with individual contract goals.

(qq) *Item 44—SBIR award (1 position).* Enter Code "N" (no) if the contract action is not in support of the Small Business Innovation Research (SBIR) Program (Pub. L. 97–219). Enter Code "1" if the contract action is related to a Phase I contract in support of the program. Enter Code "2" if the contract action is related to a Phase II contract in support of the program.

(rr) *Item 45—Woman-owned small business (1 position).* Enter "Y" (yes) or "N" (no) to indicate whether the business concern is a woman-owned small business (see FAR 19.9).

(ss) *Item 46—Contract for foreign government or international organization.* Enter "Y" (yes) if a foreign government or international organization is bearing any part of the cost of the action. Otherwise, enter "N" (no).

(tt) *Item 47—Management reporting requirements (MRR): correlated cost and performance data reporting (1 position).* Enter one of the following codes (see NHB 9501.2):

Code	Reporting required
N	None required.
2	NASA Form 533M only.
3	NASA Forms 533M and 533Q.
4	NASA Forms 533M and 533P.
5	NASA Forms 533P and 533Q.
6	NASA Forms 533M, 533P, and 533Q.

(uu) *Item 48—Management reporting requirements (MRR): property and space hardware reporting (1 position).* Enter one of the following codes (see FAR 45.505–11):

Code	Reporting required
N	None required.
2	NASA Form 1018, without space hardware.
3	NASA Form 1018, with space hardware.

(vv) *Item 49—Trade data (3 blocks)*—(1) *First block—number of bidders offering foreign item (1 position).* Enter one numeric code (0–9) to indicate the number of firms that offered foreign end products. An entry is required regardless of whether the Buy American Act is invoked or not. If none, enter "0". If 9 or more, enter "9".

(2) *Second block—Percent difference (2 positions).* If the evaluation factor under the Buy American Act is used and results in award to a firm offering a domestic product, enter the percentage difference between the award price and that of the low firm offering a foreign end product, computed before application of the Buy American Act differential, i.e., the difference divided by the price of the low firm offering a foreign end product. Enter the percentage as a whole number. If the evaluation factor under the Buy American Act is not used, enter "00."

(3) *Third block—Country of manufacturer (2 positions).* If the product is manufactured, mined, or grown in the United States (the 50 states and the District of Columbia) or the service is performed by a U.S. contractor, enter "US". If the product is manufactured, mined, or grown in a foreign country, enter the code from FIPS PUB 10–3 of that country/area. In the case of a service, if the service is performed by a foreign contractor, enter the code from FIPS PUB 10–3 of that country/area. This publication may be obtained from the Headquarters Office of Procurement, Procurement Management Division (Code HM).

1804.671–5 Special coding for certain procurements.

(a) *New intragovernmental basic procurement.* The following items must be completed on NASA Form 507 (all other items must be blank):

Item No.	Title
1	Type of Record (N).
2	Contract/Purchase Order Number.
4	Accounting Installation Number.
5	Procuring Installation Number.
6	CIC Number.
7	Contractor Name.
8	Contractor Division (when applicable).
9	Contractor Address.
10	Place of Performance—City.
11	Place of Performance—State and Zip Code.
12	Contract Award Date.
13	Completion Date.
14	Procurement Placement Code (98).
15	Kind of Action (05).
19	Total Est. Cost or Fixed Price.
22	Type of Service or Product.
23	Contract Physically Complete (when applicable).
27	Description of Contract.

(b) *New grants, cooperative agreements, and Space Act Agreements.* The following items must be completed on NASA Form 507 (all other items must be blank):

Item No.	Title
1	Type of Record (N).
2	Grant/Cooperative Agreement/Space Act Agreement Number.
4	Accounting Installation Number.
5	Procuring Installation Number.
6	CIC Number.
7	Contractor Name.
8	Contractor Division (when applicable).
9	Contractor Address.
10	Place of Performance—City.
11	Place of Performance—State and Zip Code.
12	Contract Award Date.
13	Completion Date.
14	Procurement Placement Code (ST, WT, SX, WX, SW, or ww).
15	Kind of Action (06 or 21).
16	Contractor Type (05–12).
18	Extent of Competition (6).
19	Total Est. Cost or Fixed Price.
22	Type of Service or Product.
23	Contract Physically Complete (when applicable).
25	Labor Surplus Area Award.
27	Description of Grant, Cooperative Agreement, or Space Act Agreement.

(c) *New basic orders under mandatory and optional (nonmandatory) GSA Federal Supply Schedule contracts.* The following items must be completed :

Item no.	Title
1	Type of Record (N).
2	Contract/Purchase Order Number.
4	Accounting Installation Number.
5	Procuring Installation Number
6	CIC Number.
7	Contractor Name.
8	Contractor Division (when applicable).
9	Contractor Address.
10	Place of Performance—City.
11	Place of Performance—State and Zip Code.
12	Contract Award Date.
13	Completion Date.
14	Procurement Placement Code) (AY, BY, FY, KY). (ADP special make or model use DL, DO, NL, or NO).
15	Kind of Action (23 or 24).
16	Contractor Type (03 or 04).
18	Extent of Competition (2 or 4) (ADP special make or model use 6).
19	Total Est. Cost or Fixed Price.
22	Type of Service or Product.
23	Contract Physically Complete (when applicable).
24	Modification Obligations (when applicable).
25	Labor Surplus Area Award.
26	FSS Contract No.

(d) *Reportable modifications.* Only the following items need be completed on NASA form 507:

Item No.	Title
1	Type of Record (n).
2	Contract/Grant Number.
3	Modification Number.
4	Accounting Installation Number.
5	Procuring Installation Number.
12	Modification Date.
13	Completion Date (when applicable).
14	Procurement Placement Code (required if item 15 is coded 07).
15	Kind of Action (07–15).
18	Extent of Competition (required when item 15 is coded 07).
19	Total Est. Cost or Fixed Price (when applicable).
20	Total Fee (when applicable).
21	Total Contract Value Incl. Options (when applicable).
23	Contract Physically Complete (when applicable).
24	Modification Obligations (when applicable).
27	Description of Modification.
28	CICA Applicability (required if Item 15 is coded 07).
29	Proposed Procurement Synopsized (when applicable).
30	Contract Type (when applicable).
31	No. of Offerors Solicited (when applicable).
32	No. of Offers Received (when applicable).
33	Solicitation Procedures (when applicable).
34	Authority for Other Than Full and Open Competition (when applicable).
37	Contract Administration Delegated (when applicable).
41	Cost Accounting Standards Clauses (when applicable).
43	Subcontracting Program Plan (when applicable).
47	MRR: Cost and Performance Reporting (when applicable).
48	MRR: Property and Space Hardware Reporting (when applicable).

(e) *Corrections.* Only the following items, plus items to be corrected, need to be completed on NASA Form 507:

Item No.	Title
1	Type of Record (C).
2	Contract/Grant Number.
3	Modification Number (when applicable).
4	Accounting Installation Number.

If an item needs to be corrected to a blank, enter asterisks to fill the item field.

1804.671–6 Special procurement placement codes (PPC's) for certain procurements.

(a) The accounting copies for all procurements of $25,000 or less from "Disadvantaged Business Firms-Direct" shall be coded with the second letter "M" in the PPC; e.g., BM or KM.

(b) The accounting copies for all procurements of $25,000 or less from "Women-Owned Business Firms" shall be coded with the second letter "W" in the PPC; e.g., BW or KW.

(c) All procurement awards over $25,000 and the accounting copies for procurement actions of $25,000 or less (no NASA Form 507 is required) placed through the Small Business Administration with a disadvantaged business firm under Section 8(a) of the Small Business Act shall be coded with PPC "PS."

(d) All procurement awards funded through the Small Business Innovation Research (SBIR) Program shall be coded with PPC "HS."

(e) The accounting copies for all procurements of $1,000 or less shall be coded with PPC's, CC, EC, HC, IC, LC, MC, OC, PC, VC, or ZC.

1804.671–7 Procurement placement codes (PPC's).

Table 1804–1 is the PPC matrix containing alpha codes required for coding all procurements. The codes apply to Item 14 on NASA Form 507 and are used to identify post-CICA procurements, the type of solicitation process used, and the extent of competition for the procurement.

1804.672 Report on NASA Subcontracts clause.

(a) NASA Form 667, Report on NASA Subcontracts, is used to obtain information relating to the geographic distribution of subcontracts awarded under certain NASA contracts.

(b) The clause at 1852.204–70, Report on NASA Subcontracts, shall be inserted in all NASA contracts of $500,000 or more or when a modification

increases the amount of a contract to $500,000 or more. In the latter instance, the clause will be inserted at the time of the modification but will not be retroactive so as to require the reporting of subcontracts awarded previously.

1804.674 Subcontracting report.

Standard Forms 294, Subcontracting Report for Individual Contracts, and 295, Summary Subcontract Report, are used to obtain data to provide a basis for evaluating the effectiveness and extent of subcontracting programs involving small and small disadvantaged business concerns pursuant to the Small Business Act of 1958, as amended by Pub. L. 95–507. (See FAR 19.708(b).)

1804.675 NASA contractor financial management reporting.

When financial management reporting on the NASA Form 533 series of reports is required (see NMI 9501.1, "NASA Contractor Financial Management Reporting System"), this requirement shall be detailed in the procurement request, and the reporting shall be required by inclusion of the appropriate clause or clauses prescribed in 1804.675–1.

1804.675–1 Contractor financial management reporting.

(a) The clause at 1852.204–71, NASA Contractor Financial Management Reporting, shall be used when any of the NASA Form 533 series of reports are required from the contractor.

(b) The clause at 1852.204–72, NASA Contractor Financial Management Reporting (Performance Analysis Report), shall be used in conjunction with the clause at 1852.204–71 when the optional Monthly Performance Analysis Report is also required from the contractor.

1804.676 Committee on Academic Science and Engineering (C.A.S.E.) Report.

NASA Form 1356, C.A.S.E. Report on College and University Projects, shall be prepared for awards to nonprofit institutions of higher education or to nonprofit institutions that are operationally affiliated or integrated with an educational institution. Information on this form is used to produce reports required by the National Science Foundation and to respond to inquiries. Submission is required regardless of instrument type (contract, grant, or cooperative agreement) and type of proposal (solicited or unsolicited). Instructions appear on the form itself and constitute the detailed guidance for preparation and submission. The form, which is either included with the acquisition package or initiated by the contracting office, shall be completed, reviewed, and promptly forwarded upon award to the Educational Affairs Division, University Programs Office, NASA Headquarters (Code XEU).

BILLING CODE 7510-01-M

POST-CICA PROCUREMENT PLACEMENT CODE MATRIX

TYPE OF PROCUREMENT REPORTING $ LEVELS: IPAR REQUIRED (NF-507) $1 AND OVER: ALL CONTRACTS, GRANTS, AGREEMENTS AND CONSULTANT SERVICE PURCHASE ORDERS. $25K & OVER: AWARDS TO OTHER GOVERNMENT AGENCIES AND DELIVERY ORDERS FOR ORDERING SUPPLIES AND SERVICES. MODIFICATIONS TO CONTRACTS		SOLICITATION PROCESS																
			COMPETITION AFTER EXCLUSION OF SOURCES		OTHER THAN FULL AND OPEN COMPETITION 10 U.S.C. 2304(c)													
		FULL AND OPEN COMPETITION	ALTERNATIVE SOURCE	SET-ASIDES	(1) ONLY ONE RESP. SOURCE	(2) URGENCY	(3) MOBILIZATION OR R&D	(4) INTERNATIONAL AGREEMENT	(5) AUTHORIZED BY STATUTE	(6) NATIONAL SECURITY	(7) PUBLIC INTEREST	GRANTS	SPACE ACT AGREEMENTS	COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS	INTRAGOVERNMENTAL	SMALL PURCHASES LESS THAN $1000	SMALL PURCHASES MORE THAN $1000	MISCELLANEOUS
EXTENT OF COMPETITION																		
	LARGE BUSINESS																	
	Sealed Bid—Non FSS	AX	AE	AF	AL	AO	AP	AQ	AU	AV	AZ							
	Sealed Bid—FSS	AY																
	Neg. Competitive—Non FSS	BX	BE	BF	BL	BO	BP	BQ	BU	BV	BZ					CC	BC	
	Neg. Competitive—FSS	BY																
	Neg. Noncompetitive				DL	DO	DP	DQ	DU	DV	DZ					EC	DC	
	SMALL BUSINESS																	
	Sealed Bid—Non FSS	FX	FE	FF	FL	FO	FP	FQ	FU	FV	FZ							
	Sealed Bid—FSS	FY																
	Set-Asides—Negotiated			GF														
	SBIR			HS														
	Neg. Competitive—Non FSS	KX	KE		KL	KO	KP	KQ	KU	KV	KZ					HC	KC	
	Neg. Competitive—FSS	KY																
	Neg. Noncompetitive				NL	NO	NP	NQ	NU	NV	NZ					IC	NC	
	SBA 8(a)								PS									
	UNIVERSITIES																	
	Sealed Bid	QX			QL	QO	QP	QQ	QU	QV	QZ							
	Neg. Competitive	RS	RE		RL	RO	RP	RQ	RU	RV	RZ					LC	RC	
	Neg. Noncompetitive				SL	SO	SP	SQ	SU	SV	SZ	ST	SW	SX		MC	SC	
	OTHER NON-PROFIT																	
	Sealed Bid	UX			UL	UO	UP	UQ	UU	UV	UZ							
	Neg. Competitive	TX	TE		TL	TO	TP	TQ	TU	TV	TZ					OC	TC	
	Neg. Noncompetitive				WL	WO	WP	WQ	WU	WV	WZ	WT	WW	WX		PC	WC	
	WORK OUTSIDE U.S.																	
	Sealed Bid	ZX	ZE	ZD	ZL	ZO	ZP	ZQ	ZU	ZV	ZZ							
	Neg. Competitive	XX	XE	XD	XL	XO	XP	XQ	XU	XV	XZ					VC	XC	
	Neg. Noncompetitive				YL	YO	YP	YQ	YU	YV	YZ					ZC	YC	
	INTRAGOVERNMENTAL														98			
	MISCELLANEOUS		(Reserved for Accounting Transactions—Do Not Cite on Proc. Documents)															99

All small purchase order awards less than $1,000 (No NF-507 required) shall cite one of the codes listed under "Small Purchases Less Than $1,000" on copy submitted to Accounting

All small purchase order awards more than $1,000 but under $25,000 (No NF-507 required) shall cite one of the codes listed under "Small Purchases More Than $1,000" on copy submitted to Accounting

All small purchase order awards under $25,000 (No NF-507 required) to "Disadvantaged Business Firms—Direct" shall cite PPC with second letter "M" on copy submitted to Accounting

All small purchase order awards under $25,000 (No NF-507 required) to "Women-owned Firms" shall cite PPC with a second letter "W" on copy submitted to Accounting

BILLING CODE 7510-01-C

Subpart 1804.8—Contract Files

1804.802 Contract files.

The cognizant NASA contracting office shall maintain the contract administration file unless contract administration functions have been delegated under FAR Subpart 42.1.

1804.802-70 Handling of classified material.

When the bulk of the material concerning a contract is unclassified, classified material relating to that contract shall be maintained in a separate file folder and container, and the unclassified folder shall be marked or cross-referenced to indicate the location of the classified material. The front and back of each folder containing classified material shall be marked with the highest classification assigned to any document in the folder.

1804.802-71 Filing of documents.

(a) Documents relating to a specific contract or described in FAR 4.803(a), (b), or (c) should normally be placed in chronological order in an "OFFICIAL FILE" folder or folders. Each folder shall be marked or labeled with the contract number and, when more than one folder is required for the same contract, with information as to the file segment. However, documents regarding quality assurance and property may be maintained in separate files to facilitate possible early disposal. Other identifying data, such as the contractor's name, should be added only when needed to facilitate filing and locating.

(1) When a single folder is used, basic procurement documents and all modifications ordinarily should be filed on the left side and all other material on the right. As volume warrants, a contract file may be subdivided further, either within the folder or by using additional folders, as appropriate (e.g., by pre-contract documents, basic contract, contract modifications, termination documents, quality assurance and progress and production surveillance, property administration and plant clearance, termination documents, and general correspondence).

(2) When it is impractical to file certain documents in the official contract folder because of their bulk or use, they may be maintained separately in other suitable containers but shall be handled as "OFFICIAL FILES" and cross-referenced in the contract file.

(3) Documents relating to more than one contract may be filed in one contract file and cross-referenced in the others; otherwise enough copies must be reproduced to provide for filing one in each related contract file. Other pertinent documents of a more general nature may be filed separately in the contractor "general" file and cross-referenced in the contract file.

(b) Documents described in FAR 4.801(c)(3) and relating generally to the contractor rather than to a specific contract shall be placed in a folder labeled with the contractor's name. When necessary, additional folders may be used and the material subdivided by subject (e.g., general, production, termination, property control, and performance).

1804.802-72 Arrangement of files.

(a) Contract file folders normally should be arranged numerically by contract serial number. When special circumstances warrant, contract folders may be arranged alphabetically by contractor's name.

(b) Contractor "general" file folders shall be arranged alphabetically by name of the contractor.

1804.803 Contents of contract files.

1804.803-71 Checklist.

NASA Form 1098, Checklist for Contract Award File Content, shall be used as the "top page" in contract files. The form is intended as a reminder of the required or most important documents in the file. It also provides a uniform tab-numbering system. Only the most frequently occurring documents are listed. Therefore, space is provided for writing in additional documents applicable to specific contracts or resulting from local guidelines. The user is cautioned that completion of the NASA Form 1098, in and of itself, does not establish that all contract actions have been taken and/or properly documented.

1804.804 Closeout of contract files.

1804.804-2 Closeout of the contracting office files if another office administers the contract.

(a) Upon receiving the NASA Form 1611, Contract Completion Statement, from the contract administration office and complying with FAR 4.804-2(b), the contracting officer shall complete Item 10 of the NASA Form 1611.

(b) The date in Item 9d of NASA Form 1611 shall be used as the closeout date for file purposes, except that the date in Item 10e shall be used when completion of any pending significant contracting office action extends more than 3 months beyond the date shown in Item 9d.

1804.804-5 Detailed procedures for closing out contract files.

(a) When the contracting office retains contract administration (excluding small purchases), it shall use, as necessary, NASA Form 1612, Contract Closeout Checklist, and DD Form 1593, Contract Administration Completion Record, to ensure that the applicable actions at FAR 4.804-5(a) are completed.

(b) Upon completion of the forms specified in paragraph (a) of this section, the contracting officer shall use NASA Form 1611, Contract Completion Statement, for all contracts exceeding $25,000. This will satisfy the requirements of FAR 4.804-5(b). For contracts $25,000 and under, the contracting officer shall file a signed statement that all contract actions are complete.

1804.805 Disposal of contract files.

See NHB 1441.1, NASA Records Disposition Handbook.

1804.805-70 Review, separation, and retirement of contract files.

(a) *Review of contract case and cross reference locator files.* Upon determination of contract completion under the procedures outlined in 1804.804, each office shall review all files pertaining to the individual contract, as follows:

(1) Duplicate or working contract case file. Remove any original or official file copies of documents and place them in the appropriate official file; destroy immediately any remaining material or segregate and mark it for early disposal.

(2) Official contract case file. Remove the folders for completed contracts from the active file series, mark each folder or folder tab with "Completed (Date)", and place the folder in a completed (inactive) contract file series. Separate series should be established for contracts of $25,000 or less and for contracts of more than $25,000, to facilitate later disposal.

(3) Cross reference/locator files. Remove any contract cross-reference data forms relating to the completed contract, mark each folder with "Completed (Date)", and place each folder in a completed (inactive) cross-reference/locator file series for later disposal.

(b) *Review of contractor "general" files.* Each office shall review contractor "general" files at least once annually and remove documents that—

(1) Are obsolete or superseded documents relating generally to the contractor (e.g., documents no longer pertinent to any aspect of a contractor's current or future capability,

performance, or programs, and documents relating to a contractor that is no longer a possible source of supplies, services, or technical assistance) and dispose of the documents as authorized in 1804.805; or

(2) Pertain only to completed contracts. Place those files that are not routine in nature in inactive files for later disposal, and immediately dispose of routine documents as authorized in NHB 1441.1, NASA Records Disposition Handbook.

Subpart 1804.70—Transfer of Contracting Office Responsibility

1804.7000 Scope of subpart.

This subpart contains policies and procedures applicable to the transfer of contracts between NASA installations.

1804.7001 Definition.

"Transfer of a contract," as used in this subpart, means that process whereby a contract and all future responsibility for a contract held by one installation are transferred or reassigned in writing to another installation.

1804.7002 Restrictions.

Requests for permission to transfer a contract to another NASA installation, when considered advisable by the installation director, shall be addressed to the appropriate official in charge of a Headquarters program office or the designated program director, after concurrence from the recipient installation director. Contracts shall not be transferred by an installation until permission to transfer has been obtained. The concurrence of the Associate Deputy Administrator—Institution (Code AD–I) is also required for a transfer where an installation's roles and missions may be affected.

1804.7003 Preparatory actions.

The procurement officer of the installation transferring the contract shall contact the procurement officer of the installation scheduled to receive the contract. This contact shall be for the purpose of establishing a working agreement and a time-phased schedule of transfer actions.

1804.7004 Coordinating actions by the contracting officer of the installation transferring the contract.

The contracting officer shall coordinate with the following elements within the transferring installation before transferring a contract:

(a) Financial Management Office, to determine the contract financial records to be transferred and the method, timing, and dollar amount of such transfers.

(b) Technical (Engineering and Project) Office, to determine the status of any outstanding engineering changes.

(c) Reliability and Quality Assurance Office, to determine status and method of transferring the reliability and quality assurance functions.

(d) Industrial Property and Facilities Office, to determine the method of transferring the Government property records.

(e) Transportation Office, to determine the status of bills of lading furnished the contractor.

(f) Security Office, to determine whether any classified material is outstanding and whether special precautions are necessary during the transfer process.

(g) Other organizational elements, to determine the status of any other actions such as new technology, materials reports, PERT, and safety.

1804.7005 Inventory of current requests for contract administration assistance.

The contracting officer transferring the contract shall prepare an inventory of all outstanding requests for contract administration assistance issued to other Government agencies (e.g., Military Departments, Defense Contract Administration Services Regions, Department of Energy, and Federal Aviation Administration). This inventory shall include the name and address of the agency office, functions requested to be performed, estimated cost of the services, and estimated reimbursement due the administration agency for the services to be performed on the incomplete portion of each requested function. Copies of this inventory shall be provided to the contracting officer to whom the contract is to be transferred.

1804.7006 Transfer review.

The contracting officer to whom the contract is to be transferred shall be given an opportunity to review the contract, letters of request, actions in process, and other related files and to request corrective action, if necessary, before the official transfer of the contract.

1804.7007 Notification to the contractor.

After coordination with the installation organizational elements as required in 1804.7004 and with the receiving contracting officer, the transferring contracting officer shall notify the contractor in writing of the impending transfer.

1804.7008 Notification to other agencies.

As early as practicable after coordination between procurement offices, the contracting officer transferring the contract shall notify all agencies performing or requested to perform administrative services for the impending transfer.

1804.7009 Administrative actions.

Before transferring the contract, the contracting officer shall make a complete inventory of the contents of the contract file to be transferred. The contracting officer shall identify each contract administration action in process as a separate listing in the inventory and shall indicate its status.

1804.7010 Transfer.

Upon completion of the preparatory actions described in 1804.7003 through 1804.7009, both contracting officers shall agree upon acceptable dates for the transfer of the contract responsibility and the contract files. The contracting officer of the installation transferring the contract shall issue letters as indicated below and provide copies to the contracting officer receiving the contract:

(a) *Contractor.* Notification of the transfer date, termination of appointment of the contracting officer's representatives, and the name, mailing address, and telephone number of the contracting officer at the installation receiving the contract.

(b) *Contracting officer's representatives.* Termination, effective on the date of transfer, of each contracting officer's representative appointment.

(c) *Agencies performing contract administration functions.* Notification of the effective date of the transfer of the contract, along with the mailing address and telephone number of the contracting officer at the installation receiving the contract. (Existing requests for contract administration functions shall not be terminated.)

(d) *Installation organizational elements.* Notification of the effective date of transfer and other pertinent information.

(e) *Receiving installation.* Transfer of contract responsibility and transmission of the contract file, including an inventory of its contents, and a summary of any contractual problems that should be brought to the attention of the contracting officer receiving the contract. This letter shall contain a provision for acceptance of the responsibility for the contract and its related files by the contracting officer and a notification of the acceptance to

the contracting officer of the installation transferring the contract.

1804.7011 Final action by the contracting officer transferring the contract.

The contracting officer at the installation transferring the contract shall retain for permanent file a copy of—

(a) The approvals and concurrences required by 1804.7002;

(b) The inventory receipt signed by the contracting officer receiving the contract;

(c) The contract itself; and

(d) Any additional documents necessary to present a complete summary of the transfer action.

1804.7012 Action by the contracting officer receiving the contract.

The contracting officer receiving the transferred contract shall —

(a) Acknowledge receipt of the contract and its related files;

(b) Inform all offices affected within the installation of the receipt of the contract;

(c) Appoint new contracting officer's representatives, as necessary;

(d) Issue a contract modification to provide for the administrative changes resulting from the transfer action (e.g., identifying offices responsible for performing contract administration and making payment and the office to which vouchers, reports, and data are to be submitted);

(e) Provide copies of the contract documents to the installation offices that have a functional responsibility for the contract; and

(f) If appropriate, supplement the letter of request to the Government agency providing contract administration services to reflect the changes resulting from the transfer action. The supplement may terminate or amend an existing contract administration support arrangement or may request support in additional areas.

Subpart 1804.71—Uniform Acquisition Instrument Identification

1804.7100 Scope of subpart.

This subpart contains the administrative requirements and procedures for uniform numbering of NASA contracts (including letter contracts), purchase orders (including requests to other Government agencies), basic ordering agreements, other documents evidencing in whole or in part an agreement between the parties involving the payment of appropriated funds or collection of funds for credit to the Treasury of the United States, and modifications or supplements to these instruments. It further provides for a uniform identification system for numbering solicitations.

1804.7101 Policy.

(a) Contractual documents coming within the scope of this subpart shall be numbered with approved prefixes and serial numbers for identification, audit, and filing purposes. If a prefix identification symbol is required for an installation or office not listed in this subpart, a request for the assignment of a prefix identification shall be submitted to the Office of Procurement, NASA Headquarters (Code HM). Alphanumeric characters, other than those prescribed in this subpart, shall not be used as part of the numbering system. If other identification is required, it shall be placed on the document in such a location as to clearly separate it from the identification number prescribed in this subpart.

(b) The identification number shall be retained unchanged for the life of the particular instrument and shall consist of not more than 11 alphanumeric characters positioned as prescribed in this subpart.

1804.7102 Invitations for bids, requests for proposals, NASA Research Announcements, contracts, agreements, and modifications.

1804.7102-1 Identification of solicitations.

Solicitations shall be numbered in accordance with the individual field installation's system for identifying them, except that in all cases the identifying number shall begin with the installation's numerical identification prefix (see 1804.7102-4).

1804.7102-2 Contracts and agreements requiring numbering.

The following contracts and agreements shall be numbered in accordance with the uniform numbering system prescribed in 1804.7102-3, 1804.7102-4, 1804.7102-5, and 1804.7102-7:

(a) Contracts, including letter contracts, for procurement or sale of supplies or services involving or likely to involve the payment or receipt of more than $25,000.

(b) Indefinite-delivery contracts involving more than one payment and estimated to involve payment or receipt of more than $25,000 during the fiscal year.

(c) Utilities contracts.

(d) Leases of real property and renewals of these leases.

(e) Easements.

(f) Basic ordering agreements.

(g) Other written agreements involving payment or receipt of funds not covered by 1804.7103.

1804.7102-3 Assignment and control of numbers.

(a) The numbering of contracts, agreements, and modifications shall be centrally controlled by each contracting office at NASA Headquarters, field installations, or offices for which a prefix identification symbol has been assigned.

(b) A complete identifying number shall consist of the following and shall be assigned to NASA contracts and agreements designated in 1804.7102-2:

(1) One of the prefix identification symbols contained in 1804.7102-4, followed by a hyphen.

(2) A serial number (assigned in accordance with 1804.7102-5) for the particular transaction.

1804.7102-4 Assigned contract or agreement prefixes.

(a) Approved prefixes for NASA contract or agreement numbers are as follows:

Installation	Prefix
Ames Research Center	NAS2
Goddard Space Flight Center	NAS5
Headquarters	NASW
Lyndon B. Johnson Space Center	NAS9
John F. Kennedy Space Center	NAS10
Langley Research Center	NAS1
Lewis Research Center	NAS3
George C. Marshall Space Flight Center	NAS8
NASA Resident Office-JPL	NAS7
John C. Stennis Space Center	NAS13
Space Station Procurement Office	NAS14

(b) The identification numbering system for all contracts totally funded under reimbursable arrangements with the Department of Energy shall conform to 1804.7102-3, except that a DEN prefix shall be used instead of the NAS prefix; e.g., DENW would be the Headquarters prefix, and DEN8 would be the Marshall designation.

(c) All Space Act agreements awarded under the authority of section 203(c)(5) or 203(c)(6) of the Space Act shall also be identified as specified in 1804.7102-3, except that an NCA prefix shall be used instead of the NAS prefix.

1804.7102-5 Serial numbers.

(a) Contracts and agreements identified in 1804.7102-2 shall be numbered serially by the organizational unit authorized to assign such numbers, commencing with the number "1" and continuing in succession without regard to fiscal year or type of contract; e.g., NAS5-300, NAS5-301.

(b) If the contract is a facilities contract, the letter "F," in parentheses, shall be used at the end of the serial number for identification purposes; e.g., NAS5-301(F).

(c) When the series of numbers under a symbol exceeds five digits (over 99,999), a new series shall be used, beginning the series with number "1" and followed by the capital letter "A." Should additional series become necessary, they will be distinguished by the capital letters "B," "C," and so forth, as may be required, except that the letters "I" and "O" shall not be used.

1804.7102-6 Modifications of contracts or agreements.

Modifications of definitive contracts or agreements shall (a) bear the same identification as the contract or agreement being modified and (b) be numbered consecutively for each contract or agreement, beginning with Modification Number 1, regardless of whether the modification is accomplished by unilateral or bilateral action. Except for termination notices, modifications shall be effected by the use of Standard Form 30, Amendment of Solicitation/Modification of Contract.

1804.7102-7 Letter contracts.

(a) *Superseding definitive contract.* The same number assigned to the letter contract according to 1804.7102-4 and 1804.7102-5 shall also be assigned to the superseding definitive contract. No other contract number may be assigned to the superseding definitive contract.

(b) *Modifications.* (1) Additions or changes to letter contracts shall be accomplished by modification to the letter contract. Modifications shall (i) bear the same identification as the letter contract being modified and (ii) be numbered consecutively for each letter contract, beginning with Modification Number 1, regardless of whether the modification is accomplished by unilateral or bilateral action.

(2) After the letter contract is definitized, contract modifications shall be numbered beginning with the next sequential number following that of the last modification to the letter contract.

1804.7103 Purchase orders and requests.

1804.7103-1 General.

Purchase orders (including blanket purchase agreements) and requests to other Government agencies to furnish supplies or services shall be assigned a complete identifying number consisting of—

(a) One of the letter prefixes set forth in 1804.7103-2, followed by a hyphen; and

(b) A serial number, assigned in accordance with 1804.7103-3.

1804.7103-2 Assigned purchase order or request prefixes.

Approved letter prefixes for NASA purchase orders (including blanket purchase agreements) or requests to other Government agencies are as follows:

Installation	Prefix
Ames Research Center	A
George C. Marshall Space Flight Center	H
Goddard Space Flight Center	S
Headquarters	W
John F. Kennedy Space Center	CC
Langley Research Center	L
Lewis Research Center	C
Lyndon B. Johnson Space Center	T
NASA Resident Office—JPL	WO
John C. Stennis Space Center	NS
Space Station Procurement Office	D

1804.7103-3 Serial numbers.

The same series of consecutive numbers will be used for numbering both purchase orders (including blanket purchase agreements) and requests to other Government agencies. The series shall commence with the number "1" and continue in succession without regard to the fiscal year. The number follows the letter symbol, separated by a hyphen; e.g., Order Number L-350 or Order Number W-1000. When the series of numbers under a symbol exceeds 5 digits (over 99,999), a new series shall be used, beginning the series with number "1" and followed by the capital letter "A." Should additional series become necessary, they will be distinguished by the capital letters "B," "C," and so forth, as may be required, except that the letters "I" and "O" shall not be used.

Subpart 1804.72—Review and Approval of Contractural Instruments

1804.7200 Scope of subpart.

This subpart sets forth administrative requirements for:

(a) Furnishing to NASA Headquarters advance contract information regarding proposed procurements expected to exceed the small purchase limitation and

(b) Contracts and supplemental agreements requiring approval from NASA Headquarters before award. It also lists information to be furnished by contracting officers in submitting contracts and supplemental agreements for Headquarters approval. These requirements are in addition to other approval and review requirements.

1804.7201 Advance information.

(a) A copy of each unclassified presolicitation notice, solicitation, or changes thereto, including specifications but not drawings, shall be forwarded to the Office of Small & Disadvantaged Business Utilization, NASA Headquarters (Code K), at the time of issuance. If the proposed procurement is classified, only a copy of the solicitation notice is required.

(b) The contents of the presolicitation notice are prescribed at FAR 5.207. Each copy of the solicitation shall be accompanied by a statement of the estimated cost of the proposed procurement and a complete list of firms or persons solicited. This information is for internal NASA use only.

1804.7202 Contract Review by the contracting officer.

All contractual documents, regardless of dollar value, require a complete review by the contracting officer.

1804.7203 Contract review by Headquarters.

(a) *Purpose.* The primary purpose of NASA Headquarters review and approval of contractual actions is to provide an independent review and analysis to determine whether the procurements—

(1) Have been competently negotiated;

(2) Are based on adequate information;

(3) Are in conformance with law, established policies and procedures, and sound business practice; and

(4) Will result in contracts that adequately protect the Government's interest.

(b) *Information to be furnished.* Requests for approval of contracts and supplemental agreements submitted to Headquarters for approval by the Assistant Administrator for Procurement, in accordance with Subpart 1807.71, Master Buy Plan Procedures, and 1816.603, Letter contracts, shall include the information required by this section and shall be forwarded in sufficient time to allow a minimum of 15 days for review. The official contract file shall be submitted with the request for approval and shall include a completed NASA Form 1098, Checklist for Contract Award File Content. Where Headquarters legal counsel review is required, a duplicate copy of the file should, if practical, be forwarded in order to expedite review. A list of contracts requiring such legal review will be published periodically.

(c) *Negotiated contracts and supplemental agreements.* Each request for approval of a negotiated contract or supplemental agreement shall be accompanied by:

(1) Eight copies of the contract, four of which have been executed by the contractor and contracting officer, and

(2) The official contract file containing the appropriate documentation as set forth in FAR 4.803(a).

However, for the items specified in FAR 4.803(a)(10), (11), and (12), the contracting officer shall provide documentation pertaining only to the successful offeror; and, for the items specified in FAR 4.803(a)(26), the contracting officer is not to provide supporting documentation for previously issued contract modifications except as required below. When the contract being forwarded is a supplemental agreement to an existing contract, the contract file shall include an index of all previous modifications, which will serve as a guide or quick reference; e.g., S.A. No. 2—Added the clause at 1852.223–70, Safety and Health.

(d) *Conditional approval of contracts and supplemental agreements.* (1) Contracts and supplemental agreements submitted to Headquarters for the approval of the Assistant Administrator for Procurement may be approved conditionally, subject to requiring the cognizant contracting office to take certain corrective actions within a specified period of time. These corrective actions include:

(i) Revising, deleting, or adding contract clauses and

(ii) Developing additional supporting data for inclusion in the contract file, prior to or concurrent with the distribution of the approved contract or by an early supplemental agreement.

(2) The procurement officer shall ensure that these corrective actions are accomplished in accordance with the conditional approval. In addition, the procurement officer shall provide the Assistant Administrator for Procurement (Code HS):

(i) A copy of the corrected contract pages, supplemental agreement, or additional supporting data meeting the conditions of approval; and

(ii) A memorandum referencing the Headquarters conditional approval and indicating the status of the corrective actions.

(3) On receiving and reviewing the corrective actions, the Headquarters Office of Procurement (Code HS) will notify the cognizant procurement officer of the disposition of the conditional approval. This notice shall be made a part of the official contract file and the Headquarters file of record.

(e) *Approval.* The approval required under this section shall be made by signature of the Assistant Administrator for Procurement on the contract/supplemental agreement.

Subpart 1804.73—Procurement Requests

1804.7301 General.

(a) Procurement requests shall be prepared and submitted to the contracting office in accordance with installation instructions.

(b) Except in unusual circumstances, the contracting office shall not issue solicitations until an approved procurement request, containing a certification that funds are available, has been received. However, the contracting office may take all necessary actions up to the point of contract obligation before receipt of the approved procurement request certifying that funds are available when—

(1) Such action is necessary to meet critical program schedules;

(2) It has been established that program authority has been issued and funds to cover the procurement will be available prior to the date set for contract award or contract modification; and

(3) The procurement officer authorizes such action in writing before solicitation issuance.

(c) The procurement request shall be assigned within the contracting office to a negotiator who will be responsible to the contracting officer for conducting the business aspects of the transaction. The negotiator shall review the request to ensure that it complies with the FAR, this regulation, and applicable installation instructions and that the information in the request is sufficient to prepare the solicitation. Uncertain requirements or inconsistencies in the procurement request will be discussed with the initiator of the request and resolved prior to initiating procurement action.

SUBCHAPTER B—COMPETITION AND ACQUISITION PLANNING

PART 1805—PUBLICIZING CONTRACT ACTIONS

Subpart 1805.1—Dissemination of Information

Sec.
1805.101 Methods of disseminating information.

Subpart 1805.2—Synopses of Proposed Contracts

1805.201 General.
1805.201–70 Headquarters notification.
1805.202 Exceptions.
1805.205 Special situations.
1805.207 Preparation and transmittal of synopses.

Subpart 1805.3—Synopses of Contract Awards

1805.302 Preparation and transmittal of synopses of awards.
1805.302–70 Headquarters notification.
1805.303 Announcement of contract awards.
1805.303–70 Furnishing additional procurement information to the public.
1805.303–71 Public Affairs Office notification.

Subpart 1805.4—Release of Information

1805.401 General.
1805.402 General public.
1805.403 Requests from Members of Congress.
1805.403–70 Headquarters approval and release.

Subpart 1805.5—Paid Advertisements

1805.502 Authority.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 2473(c)(1).

Subpart 1805.1—Dissemination of Information

1805.101 Methods of disseminating information.

For NASA policy regarding paid advertisements, see FAR 5.502 and 1805.502.

Subpart 1805.2—Synopses of Proposed Contracts

1805.201 General.

1805.201–70 Headquarters notification.

A copy of each synopsis of a proposed contract action sent to the Commerce Business Daily (CBD) shall be furnished to the Director of Small and Disadvantaged Business Utilization, NASA Headquarters (Code K).

1805.202 Exceptions

(a) Under FAR 15.507(b)(4), the contracting officer must comply with the preaward synopsis requirement at FAR 5.201 for all unsolicited proposals that will result in contracts, unless evaluation on a case-by-case basis determines that one of the exceptions contained in FAR 5.202 (e.g., 5.202(a)(8)) applies. The synopsis contemplated for such unsolicited proposals is the notice of proposed contract action synopsis addressed by FAR 5.201, not the research and development (R&D) sources-sought advance notice addressed by FAR 5.205(a).

(b) When award in response to an unsolicited proposal is intended to be accomplished by other than full and open competition (FAR 6.302–1(a)(2)(i)), this synopsis shall include reference to Numbered Note 22 unless no synopsis is required pursuant to FAR 5.202(a)(8), because a synopsis cannot be drafted without improperly disclosing the originality of thought or innovativeness of the proposed research, or without disclosing proprietary information associated with the proposal.

(c) With careful drafting, it should usually be possible to develop a synopsis that generically describes the NASA requirement fulfilled by the unsolicited proposal without disclosing the originality of thought, innovativeness, or proprietary information contained in the proposal. Generally, the contracting officer, in developing the synopsis, will find it possible to address the general or research area addressed in the unsolicited proposal. In a few instances, however, the mere statement in a notice of contract action synopsis that a particular problem and solution exist would improperly disclose a proposer's unique originality of thought or innovativeness; in those cases, the exception in FAR 5.202(a)(8) applies, and no CBD synopsis need be submitted. Thus, the requirement is to publish CBD notice of contract action synopses whenever it is possible to synopsize without improperly disclosing originality of thought, innovativeness of proposed research, or proprietary information associated with the unsolicited proposal.

(d) The phrase "proprietary information" as used at FAR 5.202(a)(8) means information (data) that constitutes a trade secret and/or information that is commercial or financial and confidential or privileged.

1805.205 Special situations.

Potential sources responding to R&D advance notices shall be added to the appropriate solicitation mailing list for the subsequent solicitation and, if they do not appear on the solicitation mailing lists established in accordance with FAR 14.205–1, shall be requested to submit Standard Form 129, Solicitation Mailing List Application. Responding sources on established lists may be requested to submit amended applications in order to reflect their current capabilities.

1805.207 Preparation and transmittal of synopses.

(a) Each notice publicizing the procurement of architect-engineer services shall be headed "R. Architect-Engineer Services." In addition to meeting the requirements of FAR 5.207(c), the project description shall—

(1) State the relative importance the Government attaches to the significant evaluation criteria and the date by which responses to the notice must be received, including submission of Standard Form 255, Architect-Engineer and Related Services Questionnaire for Specific Project, if required;

(2) Describe any specialized qualifications, security classifications, and limitations on eligibility for consideration;

(3) Describe qualifications or performance data required from architect-engineer firms; and

(4) If the procurement is to be set aside for small business, state this fact, indicating the specific size standard to be used and requiring that eligible responding firms submit a small business representation. In addition, contracting officers shall also add at the end of the synopsis—

See Note 62. Provisions of Note 62 apply to this notice, except that in the second paragraph of the note: (a) "National Aeronautics and Space Administration" is substituted for "Department of Defense" wherever the reference appears, and (b) the fourth additional consideration listed is changed to read: "(4) past experience, if any, of the firm with respect to performance on contracts with NASA, other Government agencies, and private industry."

(b) In synopsizing contracting actions involving options, the contracting officer shall either:

(1) Include the options in the description required by FAR 5.207(c)(2)(vi) or

(2) Publish a separate synopsis of the option as a new procurement before exercising it (see FAR 17.207(c)(4)).

(c) FAR 5.207(b)(4) requires that agencies identify each synopsis by a Federal Information Processing Standards (FIPS) number. The numbers assigned to NASA installations by FIPS–95 are—

Ames Research Center	8020
Goddard Space Flight Center	8026
Headquarters	8001
Johnson Space Center	8032
Kennedy Space Center	8035
Langley Research Center	8038
Lewis Research Center	8041
Marshall Space Flight Center	8044
NASA Resident Office-JPL	8029
Stennis Space Center	8047

Subpart 1805.3—Synopses of Contract Awards

1805.302 Preparation and transmittal of synopses of awards.

1805.302-70 Headquarters notification.

The contracting officer shall mail one copy of the synopsis of contract award prepared in accordance with FAR 5.302 to the Office of Small and Disadvantaged Business Utilization, NASA Headquarters (Code K) and send one copy to the installation's Public Affairs Office.

1805.303 Announcement of contract awards.

Congressional notification shall be in accordance with 1805.403–70.

1805.303-70 Furnishing additional procurement information to the public.

(a) *Policy.* (1) In addition to publicizing procurements and contract awards in the CBD, NASA furnishes the public, upon request, through the public affairs office of the NASA installation receiving the request, information on specific current NASA procurements, including—

(i) The names of firms invited to submit offers;

(ii) The names of firms that attended any pre-bid or pre-proposal conference;

(iii) After the date established for receipt of offers, the names of firms that submitted them (but see 1815.413); and

(iv) Contract award information required to be publicized in the CBD under the provisions of FAR 5.303 and this subsection 1805.303–70.

(2) Exceptions will be permitted only when the Assistant Administrator for Procurement or the Director of the field installation concerned determines that disclosure in accordance with subparagraph (a)(1) of this section would be prejudicial to the interests of NASA.

(b) *Procedures.* Contracts requiring approval by the Assistant Administrator for Procurement under Subpart 1804.72 will not be distributed, nor will any information be given to any source outside of NASA as to whether or not they have been approved, until 24 hours after the Director of Public Affairs and the Assistant Administrator for Legislative Affairs, NASA Headquarters, have been advised that the contract has been consummated.

(c) *Unsuccessful offerors.* For guidance on releasing information to unsuccessful offerors, see FAR 14.408 and FAR Subpart 15.10.

1805.303-71 Public Affairs Office notification.

(a) *Letter contracts.* (1) The procedures for issuing and approving letter contracts are contained in FAR 16.603 and 1816.603. Before transmitting a letter contract to a contractor for signature, the procurement officer shall furnish to the installation's Public Affairs Office the following information:

(i) Whether the letter contract initiates a new contract or is for additional work or services under an existing contract.

(ii) The dollar amount authorized for the letter contract and the estimated total cost of the contract or supplemental agreement.

(iii) Name and address of the contractor.

(iv) Location where the work is to be performed.

(v) A brief description of the work, including identification of the program and project.

(vi) For the purpose of responding to queries only, a list of any unsuccessful offerors and their addresses.

(2) Upon receiving the information described in subparagraph (a)(1) of this section, the installation's Public Affairs Office shall transmit the information immediately, in the form of a news release, by priority TWX or more expeditious means (if appropriate), to the Chief, News and Information Branch (Code LM), and the Assistant Administrator, Congressional Relations Office (Code XC), NASA Headquarters. The information shall not be otherwise released by the installation's Public Affairs Office, nor shall the letter contract be released before 2:30 p.m. Washington, DC time of the next working day, unless clearance has been received earlier from the Chief, News and Information Branch (Code LM). If circumstances indicate a need for earlier action, exception must be obtained from the Assistant Administrator for Procurement.

(b) *Definitive contracts and supplemental agreements.* (1) This subparagraph (b)(1) does not pertain to supplemental agreements covering overruns or incremental funding actions or to contracts or supplemental agreements that require approval of the Assistant Administrator for Procurement pursuant to 1804.7203. It does pertain to contracts, supplemental agreements, and the execution of options that are in an amount of $10,000,000 or over for the Stennis Space Center, Headquarters Contracts and Grants Division, Space Station Procurement Office, and the NASA Resident Office—JPL and $25,000,000 or over for the Ames Research Center, Goddard Space Flight Center, Johnson Space Center, Kennedy Space Center, Langley Research Center, Lewis Research Center, and Marshall Space Flight Center. It also pertains to any procurement action that, in the judgment of the contracting officer, has Headquarters public information implications (e.g., the transfer of personnel from one State to another). Such contracts and supplemental agreements shall not be distributed nor shall any source outside NASA be informed that the contractual instrument has been signed by both parties until the procedures in subdivisions (b)(1) (i) and (ii) of this section are carried out:

(i) The procurement officer shall furnish to the installation's Public Affairs Office the following information:

(A) Whether the contract or supplemental agreement initiates a new contract or is for additional work or services under an existing contract.

(B) Type of contract.

(C) The dollar amount authorized for the instant action and the estimated total cost of the contract if this is different.

(D) Name and address of the contractor.

(E) Location where the work is to be performed.

(F) A brief description of the work, including identification of the program and project.

(G) For the purpose of responding to queries only, a list of any unsuccessful offerors and their addresses.

(ii) Upon receiving the information listed in subdivision (b)(1)(i) of this section, the installation's Public Affairs Office shall immediately prepare a news release in the form of a priority TWX containing as a minimum the information in subdivision (b)(1)(i) of this section, and transmit it to the Chief, News and Information Branch (Code LM), and the Assistant Administrator, Congressional Relations Office (Code XC), NASA Headquarters. The information shall not be otherwise released by the installation's Public Affairs Office, nor shall the contract instrument be released before 2:30 p.m. Washington, DC time of the next working day, unless clearance has been received earlier from the Chief, News and Information Branch (Code LM). If circumstances indicate a need for earlier action, exception must be obtained from the Assistant Administrator for Procurement.

(2) When forwarded for the approval of the Assistant Administrator for Procurement, if required by 1804.7203, contracts and supplemental agreements shall be accompanied by a draft news release prepared by the installation's Public Affairs Office and furnished to the contracting office for inclusion in the submission file. The news release shall contain as a minimum the information required by subdivision (b)(1)(i) of this section. At the time the Assistant Administrator for Procurement approves the contract or supplemental agreement, the news release shall be forwarded immediately to the Chief, News and Information Branch (Code LM), and to the Assistant Administrator, Congressional Relations Office (Code XC).

Subpart 1805.4—Release of Information

1805.401 General.

Release of information to the Small Business Administration shall be in accordance with FAR 19.402(b).

1805.402 General public.

Requests from the general public for specific information shall be processed in accordance with 1805.303–70.

1805.403 Requests from Members of Congress.

1805.403–70 Headquarters approval and release.

The proposed reply, with full documentation, shall be promptly prepared and forwarded by the most expeditious means to the Assistant Administrator, Congressional Relations Office (Code XC), NASA Headquarters, for approval and release. (See 1805.303–70.)

Subpart 1805.5—Paid Advertisements

1805.502 Authority.

Use of paid advertisements for procurement purposes is not authorized in NASA.

PART 1806—COMPETITION REQUIREMENTS

Subpart 1806.2—Full and Open Competition After Exclusion of Sources

1806.202 Establishing or maintaining alternative sources.
1806.202–70 Determination and findings.

Subpart 1806.3—Other Than Full and Open Competition

1806.301 Policy.
1806.302 Circumstances permitting other than full and open competition.
1806.302–1 Only one responsible source and no other supplies or services will satisfy agency requirements.
1806.302–170 Technical equipment requiring standardization and interchangeability of parts.
1806.302–2 Unusual and compelling urgency.
1806.302–270 Support services contracts.
1806.302–7 Public interest.
1806.302–770 Determination and findings.
1806.303 Justifications.
1806.303–1 Requirements.
1806.303–170 Sole-source purchases by contractors.
1806.303–2 Content.
1806.303–270 NASA employees or former NASA employees.
1806.303–271 Use of unusual and compelling urgency authority.
1806.304 Approval of the justification.
1806.304–70 Contracts with NASA employees or former NASA employees.
1806.305 Availability of the justification.

Subpart 1806.5—Competition Advocates

1806.570 NASA competition advocacy program.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 2473(c)(1).

Subpart 1806.2—Full and Open Competition After Exclusion of Sources

1806.202 Establishing or maintaining alternative sources.

(a) The authority of FAR 6.202 is to be used to exclude one source only. If, for example, FAR 6.202(a)(3) appears to be applicable, but all for-profit concerns as well as the current source will be excluded, then FAR 6.302–3 shall be used instead.

(b) The authority to sign the D&F required by FAR 6.202(b)(1) is hereby delegated to the approval levels specified in FAR 6.304 and 1806.304.

1806.202–70 Determination and findings.

(a) *Procedure.* (1) Each determination and findings (D&F) shall be dated at the time of signature.

(2) Each request for approval of a D&F over $10,000,000 under 10 U.S.C. 2304(b)(1) (FAR 6.202) shall consist of—

(i) A memorandum from the procurement officer to the Assistant Administrator for Procurement (Code HS) recommending D&F approval; and

(ii) An original and three copies of the D&F in the format required by paragraph (b) of this section.

(3) The Assistant Administrator for Procurement (Code HS) shall return the signed D&F, if approved, to the contracting office, retaining the copies in Headquarters files.

(b) *Content.* When the authority of FAR 6.202(a) is proposed for use, it must be shown clearly that the necessity for excluding a particular source arises from genuine considerations pertinent to the authority of FAR 6.202(a). The supporting data and the D&F must name the source to be excluded and shall include the following information as applicable and any other relevant information:

(1) The specific purpose to be served in excluding the source; i.e., to increase or maintain competition, the interest of national defense in having a facility available in case of a national emergency or industrial mobilization, or the interest of national defense in establishing or maintaining an essential engineering, research, or development capability.

(2) The acquisition history of the supplies or services, including sources, prices, quantities, and dates of award.

(3) The circumstances making it necessary to exclude a particular source from the contract action:

(i) Reasons for lack of sources; e.g., the technical complexity and criticality of the item.

(ii) Current annual requirement and prospective needs for the supplies and services.

(iii) Projected future requirements.

(4) Whether the existing source must be totally excluded action or whether a partial exclusion is sufficient.

(5) The potential effect of exclusion on the excluded source in terms of any loss of capability to furnish the supplies or services in subsequent contract actions.

(6) When the authority of FAR 6.202(a)(1) is cited, the basis for—

(i) Assumptions regarding future competition; and

(ii) The determination that exclusion of a particular source will likely result in reduced overall costs for anticipated future acquisitions, including (as a minimum) discussion of start-up costs, costs associated with facilities, duplicative administration costs (such as for additional inspection or testing), economic order quantities, and life-cycle-cost considerations.

(7) When an additional source or additional sources must be established to provide production capacity to meet current and mobilization requirements—

(i) The current annual and the mobilization requirements for the item, citing the source of, or the basis for, the planning data;

(ii) A comparison of current production capacity with current and mobilization requirements; and

(iii) The hazards of relying on the present source and the time required for new sources to acquire the necessary facilities and skills and achieve the production capacity necessary to meet requirements.

(c) *Formats.* A sample format for D&Fs citing the authority of FAR 6.202(a) follows:

National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, DC 20546

Determination and Findings

Authority to Exclude a Source

On the basis of following findings and determination, which I make under the authority of 10 U.S.C. 2304(b)(1) as implemented by FAR 6.202, the proposed contract action described below may be awarded using full and open competition after exclusion of (1).

Findings

1. It is proposed that the following requirement be acquired using full and open competition after exclusion of the source identified above.

2. The source identified above can be expected to receive an award for this requirement unless excluded.

3. It is necessary to establish or maintain an alternative source or sources.

4. The exclusion of this source will increase or maintain competition and is likely to result in reduction of (2) in overall costs for any anticipated acquisition of the supplies or services being acquired. This estimate is based on (3).

(See Note 4 for the use of Alternates I and II below.)

Alternate I: The exclusion of this source will serve the national defense interest by having an alternative supplier available for furnishing the supplies or services being acquired, in case of a national emergency or industrial mobilization, because (5).

Alternate II: The exclusion of this source will serve the national defense interest by establishing or maintaining an essential engineering, research, or development capability of an educational or other nonprofit institution or a federally funded research and development center, because (5).

Determination

The exclusion of the source identified above will increase or maintain competition and is likely to result in reduced overall costs for any anticipated acquisition of the supplies or services being acquired.

(See Note 4 for the use of Alternates I and II below.)

Alternate I: It is in the interest of the national defense to exclude the source identified above in order to have an alternative supplier available for furnishing the supplies or services being acquired, in case of a national emergency or industrial mobilization.

Alternate II: It is in the interest of national defense to exclude the source identified above in order to establish or maintain an essential engineering, research, or development capability to be provided by an educational or other nonprofit institution or a federally funded research and development center.

Date_____

Notes:

1. Name of source to be excluded.

2. Description of estimated reduction in overall costs.

3. Description of how estimate was derived.

4. In paragraph 4 and in the Determination, the basic wording is appropriate when FAR 6.202(a)(1) applies; Alternate I is appropriate when FAR 6.202(a)(2) applies; and Alternate II is appropriate when FAR 6.202(a)(3) applies.

5. Description of circumstances necessitating the exclusion of the identified source.

Subpart 1806.3—Other Than Full and Open Competition

1806.301 Policy.

For NASA, the appropriate statutory authorities relative to FAR 6.302 are in Title 10 of the United States Code.

1806.302 Circumstances permitting other than full and open competition.

1806.302-1 Only one responsible source and no other supplies or services will satisfy agency requirements.

1806.302-170 Technical equipment requiring standardization and interchangeability of parts.

(a) The contracting officer shall review actions taken under the authority of FAR 6.302-1(b)(4) at least once every two years to determine whether use of this authority should be continued, revised, or cancelled.

(b) This authority may be used when:

(1) Only one or a limited quantity of a particular item is to be purchased and

(2) Compatibility with existing equipment and interchangeability of parts are essential.

Use of this authority in such a case does not mean that subsequent purchases of similar equipment will necessarily require the use of less than full and open competition for purposes of standardization. The contracting officer must examine the facts involved in each case to determine whether compatibility with other equipment and interchangeability of parts are essential objectives.

1806.302-2 Unusual and compelling urgency.

1806.302-270 Support services contracts.

It may become necessary to extend the performance period of an existing support services contract to avoid a lapse in services pending completion of a full and open competition for the succeeding period. Under these circumstances, the authority at FAR 6.302-1 is not appropriate, because of the pending competition and because it does not cover follow-on contracts for services. However, if the contemplated action otherwise conforms to the requirements at FAR 6.302-2 and the additional documentation at 1806.303-271 is included in the justification for other than full and open competition, then the existing contract may be extended under this authority.

1806.302-7 Public interest.

1806.302-770 Determination and findings.

(a) *Procedure.* The contracting officer shall prepare the D&F required by FAR 6.302-7(c)(1), using the format provided in paragraph (b) of this section. The original and three copies of the D&F shall be submitted to the Assistant Administrator for Procurement (Code HS) for concurrence and coordination up to the Administrator for signature.

(b) *Format.* The following format shall be used for the D&F:

National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, DC 20546

Determination and Findings

Authority To Use Less Than Full and Open Competition

On the basis of the following findings and determination, which I make under the authority of 10 U.S.C. 2304(c)(7) as implemented by FAR 6.302-7, it is in the public interest to provide for less than full and open competition in the contract action described below.

Findings

1. The (1) proposes to enter into a contract for the acquisition of (2).

2. Use of the authority cited above is necessary in the public interest for the following reasons: (3).

Determination

For the reasons described above, it is necessary in the public interest to use procedures other than competitive procedures in the proposed acquisition.

Date_____

Notes:

(1) Name of contracting activity.

(2) Brief description of supplies or services.

(3) Explain the need for use of the authority.

(c) *Notice to Congress.* The notice to Congress required by 10 U.S.C. 2304(c)(7)(B) and FAR 6.302-7(c)(2) shall be made by NASA Headquarters, Congressional Relations Office (Code XC). Code HS shall request the notice to be made immediately upon approval of a D&F under paragraph (a) of this section and shall advise the contracting activity of the date upon which the notification period ends.

1806.303 Justifications.

1806.303-1 Requirements.

(a) Justifications for using less than full and open competition may be prepared by the technical office initiating the contract action when it is recommending the use of the justification authority, or by the contracting officer if the technical office does not make such a recommendation.

(b) The contracting officer shall send a copy of each approved justification or D&F that cites the authority of FAR 6.302-3(a)(2)(i) or FAR 6.302-7 to NASA Headquarters, International Relations Division (Code XI), unless one of the exceptions at FAR 25.403 applies to the procurement. The transmittal shall indicate that the justification is being furnished under FAR 6.303-1(d).

(c) Before using the authority of FAR 6.303-1(e), the contracting officer shall informally discuss the reasons for the proposed use of less than full and open competition under FAR 6.302-2 with the justification approving authority, except that if that authority is NASA Headquarters (Code H), the discussion shall be between the procurement officer and the Assistant Administrator for Procurement.

(d) Each approved justification shall be retained in the contract file.

1806.303-170 Sole-source purchases by contractors.

The requirements of FAR Part 6 and NFS Part 1806 apply if NASA directs a prime contractor (by specifications, drawings, parts lists, or otherwise) to purchase items on a sole-source basis. Accordingly, procurement officers shall take necessary actions to ensure that such sole-source acquisitions are properly justified. Where "brand name or equal" purchase descriptions list the salient physical, functional, or other characteristics of the item being procured and are properly used under 1810.004-71, the justification requirements of FAR Part 6 and NFS Part 1806 do not apply.

1806.303-2 Content.

1806.303-270 NASA employees or former NASA employees.

If any contract with a NASA employee or an individual employed by NASA within the past two years is proposed on the basis of other than full and open competition, the justification shall state that the proposed contractor is a NASA or former NASA employee and shall explain why it is in the best interest of the Government to take this action (see FAR Subpart 3.6 and NFS 1803.602, 1803.7001(a), and 1806.304-70).

1806.303-271 Use of unusual and compelling urgency authority.

If the authority at FAR 6.302-2 is used for extending the performance period of an existing support services contract under the circumstances described at 1806.302-270, the justification shall contain, in addition to the information required by FAR 6.303-2—

(a) Documentation that the procurement process for the successor contract was started early enough to allow for adequately planning and conducting a full and open competition, together with a description of the circumstances that prevented award in a timely manner; and

(b) Documentation of the reasons why no other source could practicably compete for the interim requirement.

1806.304 Approval of the justification.

(a) All justifications for contract actions over $100,000 shall be submitted to the procurement officer for concurrence before being forwarded for approval. Justifications over $1,000,000 shall also be submitted to the installation's Deputy Director for

concurrence, and those over $10,000,000 shall be further submitted for the concurrence of the installation's Director, before being forwarded for approval. The contracting officer shall use NASA Form 1452, Signature Page (Installation), for actions requiring Headquarters approval.

(b) The authority of FAR 6.304(a)(3) may not be delegated to other than the installation's Deputy Director.

(c) For proposed contract actions over $10,000,000, the original justification and three copies shall be forwarded to the Assistant Administrator for Procurement (Code HS). After approval by the Assistant Administrator for Procurement, the signed original will be returned to the procurement officer. The copies will be retained in Headquarters files.

(d) Regardless of dollar value, class justifications shall be concurred in and approved as if they were individual justifications over $10,000,000.

1806.304-70 Contracts with NASA employees or former NASA employees.

For procurements between the small purchase ceiling and $100,000 involving an individual currently employed or employed by NASA during the past two years or a firm in which such an individual employee is a partner, principal officer, or majority shareholder, or that is otherwise controlled or predominantly staffed by such individuals (see Subpart 1803.70), the justification shall be submitted for the approval of the installation's Deputy Director. This is in addition to any approval required by FAR 6.304(a)(1).

1806.305 Availability of the justification.

(a) Requests for inspection of justifications should be submitted in writing and should identify the particular procurement involved. Requestors making oral requests should be encouraged to submit them in writing but are not required to do so.

(b) The contracting officer should consult counsel regarding disclosure exemptions and deletion and protection of proprietary data. Requests should be processed promptly.

Subpart 1806.5—Competition Advocates

1806.570 NASA competition advocacy program.

NASA's implementation of FAR Subpart 6.5 is in NMI 1210.2, NASA Competition Advocacy Program.

PART 1807—ACQUISITION PLANNING

Subpart 1807.1—Acquisition Plans

Sec.
1807.101 Definitions.
1807.102 Policy.
1807.103 Agency-head responsibilities.
1807.170 Procurement plan contents.
1807.170-1 Procurement plans requiring approval by NASA Headquarters.
1807.170-2 Procurement plans requiring approval at the installation level.
1807.170-3 Assistance in providing for reliability assurance in procurement plans.

Subpart 1807.2—Planning for the Purchase of Supplies in Economic Quantities

1807.204 Responsibilities of contracting officers.

Subpart 1807.3—Contractor Versus Government Performance

1807.303 Determining availability of private commercial sources.
1807.307 Appeals.

Subpart 1807.70—Solicitation Provision

1807.7001 Estimate of work.

Subpart 1807.71—Master Buy Plan Procedure

1807.7100 Scope of subpart.
1807.7101 Policy.
1807.7102 Applicability.
1807.7103 Submission, selection, and notification procedures.
1807.7103-1 Submission of Master Buy Plan.
1807.7103-2 Submission of amendments to the Master Buy Plan.
1807.7103-3 Selection and notification procedures.
1807.7104 Procurement documents selected for Headquarters review and approval.
1807.7105 Acquisition strategy meeting (ASM).
1807.7106 Procurement documents not selected for Headquarters review and approval.
1807.7107 Format of Master Buy Plan.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 2473(c)(1).

Subpart 1807.1—Acquisition Plans

1807.101 Definitions.

"Procurement plan" means a detailed outline of the method by which the contracting officer expects to accomplish the procurement. It is an administrative tool designed to enable the contracting officer to plan effectively for accomplishing an assigned procurement by analyzing the requirement and determining the procurement method.

1807.102 Policy.

(a) In R&D procurements over $100,000, when only three or fewer sources are known, the contracting officer shall have the requirements office query the Defense Technical Information Center (DTIC) IR&D Database to identify additional sources conducting IR&D in the area of the procurement, in addition to using other market survey techniques. If needed, specific information on access to and use of the DTIC IR&D Database by a particular NASA installation may be obtained from that installation's designated IR&D focal point.

(b) As authorized in FAR 7.102, NASA uses its procurement planning system in lieu of the criteria in FAR Subpart 7.1. However, all procurement plans shall comply with FAR 7.104(c), 7.105(b)(2), and, when appropriate, 7.106. Regardless of the method employed, every acquisition shall be adequately planned to allow enough time to complete the competitive procurement process and award a contract by the required date.

1807.103 Agency-head responsibilities.

(a) *Requirement for preparation of procurement plans.* (1) Except as otherwise authorized by subparagraph (a)(2) of this section, the contracting officer shall prepare a procurement plan, with the advice and assistance of the cognizant technical division, for each negotiated procurement estimated to exceed the dollar amount set forth below for the installation concerned. The plan shall be prepared before soliciting proposals.

(i) $250,000 for—

(A) Stennis Space Center.

(B) Headquarters Contracts and Grants Division.

(C) Space Station Procurement Office.

(D) NASA Resident Office—JPL.

(ii) $500,000 for—

(A) Ames Research Center.

(B) Goddard Space Flight Center.

(C) Johnson Space Center.

(D) Kennedy Space Center.

(E) Langley Research Center.

(F) Lewis Research Center.

(G) Marshall Space Flight Center.

(2) Procurement plans are not required for procurements—

(i) Of architect-engineering services;

(ii) Based on unsolicited proposals;

(iii) Of basic research from nonprofit organizations;

(iv) Of utility services where the services are available from only one source;

(v) Made from or through other Government agencies;

(vi) Of industrial facilities required in support of related procurement contracts; or

(vii) Resulting from broad agency announcements listed in 1835.016.

(b) *Approval of procurement plans.* (1) Whenever the estimated cost of the procurement, including options, and later phases contemplated for the same program or project meets the thresholds below, procurement plans shall, as a

minimum, be reviewed and approved as follows:

(i) For procurements in excess of the applicable dollar amount in subparagraph (a)(1) of this section, but less than the dollar amount below for the installation concerned, the procurement plan shall be submitted for the approval of the Procurement Officer or designee after review and written concurrence by the head of the cognizant technical division or laboratory (for the purpose of this requirement, the term "or designee" means the individual authorized by the Procurement Officer to sign the procurement plan; this authorization shall be in writing and may not be delegated to more than one individual).

(A) $5,000,000 for—

(*1*) Stennis Space Center.

(*2*) Headquarters Contracts and Grants Division.

(*3*) Space Station Procurement Office.

(*4*) NASA Resident Office—JPL.

(B) $10,000,000 for—

(*1*) Ames Research Center.

(*2*) Goddard Space Flight Center.

(*3*) Johnson Space Center.

(*4*) Kennedy Space Center.

(*5*) Langley Research Center.

(*6*) Lewis Research Center.

(*7*) Marshall Space Flight Center.

(ii) For procurements within the range of the dollar amounts below for the installation concerned, the procurement plan shall be submitted for the approval of the installation's Head, Deputy Head, or Associate Director (as used here, "Associate Director" means a full Associate Director, not an Associate Director for) after reviews and written concurrences by the Director or Assistant Director of the cognizant technical directorate, the cognizant Program/Project Manager, or the cognizant staff official, as applicable, who reports directly to the Head of the Installation, and by the Procurement Officer.

(A) Over $5,000,000 but less than $10,000,000 for—

(*1*) Stennis Space Center.

(*2*) Headquarters Contracts and Grants Division.

(*3*) Space Station Procurement Office.

(*4*) NASA Resident Office—JPL.

(B) Over $10,000,000 but less than $25,000,000 for—

(*1*) Ames Research Center.

(*2*) Goddard Space Flight Center.

(*3*) Johnson Space Center.

(*4*) Kennedy Space Center.

(*5*) Langley Research Center.

(*6*) Lewis Research Center.

(*7*) Marshall Space Flight Center.

(iii) For procurements selected for Headquarters review and approval in accordance with the Master Buy Plan Procedure, the procurement plan shall be submitted for the signature of the Head of the Installation after reviews and written concurrences by the Director or Assistant Director of the cognizant technical directorate, the cognizant Program/Project Manager, or the cognizant staff official, as applicable, who reports directly to the Head of the Installation, and by the Procurement Officer. The procurement plan shall be submitted in original and ten copies to the Assistant Administrator for Procurement (Code HS) for approval.

(iv) The position title shall be shown for each individual signing the procurement plan as required by subdivisions (b)(1)(i) through (iii) of this section.

(2) Approval of a procurement plan does not constitute approval of any deviations, special conditions, or special clauses that may be required; they must be submitted for review and approval under FAR Subpart 1.4 and/or 1801.4.

1807.170 Procurement plan contents.

1807.170–1 Procurement plans requiring approval by NASA Headquarters.

(a) Procurement plans shall describe the procurement, including options, and later phases contemplated for the same program or project, for example Phase C/D. Approval of the procurement plan does not constitute approval of later phases. In order to consider changes that may occur from an initial procurement, later phases exceeding the thresholds in 1807.103, require approval of a separate plan.

(b) Each procurement plan prepared for approval by NASA Headquarters shall be prepared on NASA Forms 1451 and 1452. Form 1451, Request for Procurement Plan Approval, shall be completed as follows:

(1) *Item 1. A descriptive short title.* In this item, include only a descriptive short title of the procurement. A detailed description of the proposed procurement shall be included in subsequent pages as required. The information to be provided consists of—

(i) A clear and concise description, including intended use, of the item or service to be procured;

(ii) The number of units, delivery schedule, and/or period of performance (note: if a schedule of major events will enhance the plan, it should also be included);

(iii) An identification of any option provision, including the periods covered and estimated costs;

(iv) An identification of any later phases if the procurement is to be a phased procurement including the estimated cost thereof; and

(v) A statement as to whether the contractor will be required to comply with detailed specifications, meet performance requirements, perform a mission, or furnish a level of effort.

(2) *Item 2. Name of installation.* Give the name of the installation responsible for the procurement.

(3) *Item 3. Plan prepared by.* Give the name of the individual who prepared the plan.

(4) *Item 4. Date.* Enter the date the plan is prepared.

(5) *Item 5. Responsible technical office.* Identify the office (by title) that will be responsible for technical monitoring of the contract. Include a technical point of contact and telephone number.

(6) *Item 6. Total estimated cost of this procurement.* Provide one figure for the total estimated cost of the proposed procurement, including options. When options are involved, show the cost for each separately on subsequent pages as a breakout from total cost.

(7) *Item 7. Proposed funding by fiscal year and unique project number (UPN).* Identify the funding amounts by appropriation, fiscal year, and UPN for the procurement covered by the plan. When funding is obtained from multiple projects, provide a complete identification of each fund source. Include the following statement: "Obligations may not exceed those authorized in the Headquarters-approved Annual Operating Plan."

(8) *Item 8. Full and open competition.* Check appropriate box to indicate whether full and open competition is provided for or whether other than full and open competition is contemplated.

(9) *Item 9. Type of contract.* State the type of contract recommended for the procurement. On subsequent pages, discuss the contract type and the rationale for its selection. If an incentive contract is proposed, discuss the type or types of incentive considered most suitable for the accomplishment of the procurement objectives.

(10) *Item 10. Contractor-owned and Government-furnished property.* (i) If the proposed contract, exclusive of options, will be for a shorter period than the useful life, for the program, of any required contractor-owned or leased facilities (as defined in FAR 45.301) and the facilities are unlikely to be needed by the contractor for any purpose other than the program effort being contracted for, then the procurement plan shall discuss the feasibility of the Government acquiring the right to use the facilities for longer than the proposed contract period as well as the proposed procurement strategy for

accomplishing this use. The following shall be considered:

(A) If program uncertainties for continuing beyond the contract period of performance are sufficient, it may be in the Government's best interest to acquire use of the facilities during only that time. This strategy may make the facilities more costly to the Government for the contract period than if a contractual arrangement for longer use were made. However, it should reduce the program risks associated with longer Government facilities obligations; and

(B) If it is in the Government's best interests to acquire the right to use the facilities for longer than the proposed contract period in order to take advantage of economies in long-term facilities investment, then the proposed contractor's obligations, the Government's obligations (e.g., contract terms and profit) that will encourage long-term investment, the contract cost strategy for facilities, and funding limitations shall be addressed. There are many alternative long-term investment approaches available for the contracting officer to consider. Some examples of representative strategies include requiring the contractor to—

(1) Enter into a long-term lease of the facilities from a lessor, subject to the lease being canceled if the prime contractor does not continue to perform the requirement throughout the useful life of the facilities (e.g., the contractor is not selected in a subsequent recompetition of the requirement). Using this strategy, the contracting officer should encourage the contractor to seek an option to transfer the lease to a successor contractor;

(2) Purchase the facilities, with depreciation and cost-of-money accelerated for the contract period of performance, at the conclusion of which the Government has a unilateral option to purchase the facilities at the depreciated value (at or near $0) based on the contractor's depreciation schedule. The facilities could then be provided to the successor contractor as Government property (see FAR 30.409–50(e)(5) and 30.409–60(d)); and

(3) Purchase the facilities, with depreciation and cost-of-money over the useful life (determined without regard to program or contract duration; see FAR 30.409–40(b)(1)) of the facilities. At the completion of the contract period of performance, either the Government would purchase the facilities at their depreciated value, or the successor contractor would be required to purchase the facilities, also at their depreciated value, and continue the prior contractor's depreciation approach.

(ii) Indicate, by checking the appropriate box, whether the procurement will require providing any existing, new, or modified Government property. When other Government property is to be provided, identify the items and dollar amounts involved. The dollar amounts provided in Item 10 shall not be included in those specified under Items 6 and 7 unless the property or facilities are part of the procurement. If dollar amounts in Item 10 are included under Items 6 and 7, they should be so annotated under this item on the following pages.

(11) *Item 11. Procurement action schedule.* Indicate the date the procurement plan was submitted to Headquarters for review and approval. For all other entries, provide only the number of calendar days required to complete the action (beginning at the time the previous action was scheduled to be completed) in order to meet the program schedule.

(c) Additional pages. (1) *General.* Additional pages to the plan should include any information required from the Form 1451 items. Include any comments required by paragraph (a) of this section not covered elsewhere and any other information needed to amplify or clarify any item. In addition—

(i) Identify any deviations from the FAR or NFS (A separate request for deviation must be submitted. See 1807.103(b)(2).);

(ii) Identify any special conditions or clauses required;

(iii) Identify all separate approvals required in support of the proposed procurement;

(iv) Include a copy of any comments by legal counsel for the contracting office (or a statement that counsel has no objection to the plan), and describe actions taken in response to them (counsel's concurrence will satisfy this item); and

(v) Discuss consideration given to participation by small business, including minority business enterprises.

(2) *Competition.* Describe how competition will be sought and promoted. If appropriate, discuss how it will be sustained through the course of the acquisition. If full and open competition is not contemplated, cite the authority in FAR 6.202 or 6.302; identify the source(s); and discuss why it cannot be obtained. When effective subcontract competition is both feasible and desirable, describe how such subcontract competition will be sought, promoted, and sustained throughout the course of the competition. Identify any known barriers to increasing subcontract competition and address how to overcome them.

(3) *Relationship to other procurements, relevant data, and studies.* Discuss the relationship of this procurement to any active contracts, including their status of completion. Identify the extent to which their product may affect this procurement. Indicate whether performance under them should be permitted to continue during the competitive phase of this action. Discuss all relevant data and studies, whether obtained under contract or through in-house efforts, and state whether they will be made available to all offerors participating in the competition. If data or studies are available, but it is not planned to make them available to prospective offerors, state why.

1807.170–2 Procurement plans requiring approval at the installation level.

Procurement plans prepared for installation-level approval shall be prepared in accordance with 1807.170–1 or in the format prescribed by the installation.

1807.170–3 Assistance in providing for reliability assurance in procurement plans.

When system hardware costing over $1,000,000 is involved, reliability personnel at the field installation shall assist in procurement-plan preparation with respect to arrangements for reliability monitoring. In the absence of reliability personnel, the field installation shall seek the advice and assistance of the Director, Reliability, Maintainability, and Quality Assurance, NASA Headquarters, Code QR, or a designee in the preparation of procurement plans.

Subpart 1807.2—Planning for the Purchase of Supplies in Economic Quantities

1807.204 Responsibilities of contracting officers.

(a) The contracting officer shall transmit in writing to the cognizant inventory management/requirements office either the actual offeror responses or a summary of their salient points. This does not preclude preliminary oral consultations. The transmittal should be made within five working days after the closing date for receipt of offers; however, in negotiated acquisitions, if a response indicates a significant price variation, it should be transmitted immediately.

(b) All transmittals shall request the recipient to specify promptly whether the acquisition should be amended, cancelled, or concluded as is. Although award or negotiation need not be delayed pending a reply unless a

potential for significant savings is apparent, such delay is encouraged when feasible in negotiated acquisitions in order to accommodate the intent and purpose of review of the data by the inventory management/requirements office. In sealed-bid acquisitions, the policy in FAR 14.404–1(a) applies.

Subpart 1807.3—Contractor Versus Government Performance

1807.303 Determining availability of private commercial sources.

NASA procedures for periodic inventories and reviews of commercial and industrial activities are contained in NMI 7410.3, Delegation of Authority for Acquisition of Commercial or Industrial Products or Services for NASA's Use.

1807.307 Appeals.

NASA appeals procedures are contained in NMI 7410.3.

Subpart 1807.70—Solicitation Provision

1807.7001 Estimate of work.

The contracting officer may insert a provision substantially as stated at 1852.207–70, Estimate of Work, in solicitations if:

(a) Mission suitability is considered more important than cost,

(b) There is no other method to define the amount of work contemplated, and

(c) Use of the provision is approved at least one level above the contracting officer.

Insert the total estimated value of the procurement.

Subpart 1807.71—Master Buy Plan Procedure

1807.7100 Scope of subpart.

This subpart prescribes the Master Buy Plan Procedure, contains requirements for furnishing advance information to NASA Headquarters on proposed procurements that meet the criteria in this subpart, and prescribes procedures for selecting those procurement documents that are to be subject to NASA Headquarters review and approval and those that are to be processed at the installation level. This subpart also prescribes the approval requirements for those documents that are to be processed at the installation level.

1807.7101 Policy.

The Master Buy Plan Procedure is designed to enable management to focus its attention on a representative selection of high-dollar-value and otherwise sensitive procurement actions without compromise of Headquarters visibility of or control over essential management functions.

1807.7102 Applicability.

(a) The Master Buy Plan Procedure applies to each negotiated procurement where dollar value, including the aggregate amount of follow-on procurements (see 1807.103(b)(2)), is expected to equal or exceed that in paragraph (b) of this section, for the installation making the award. In order to conduct the reviews required by FAR 8.307–1(b) for separate contracts, this procedure applies also to procurement of utility services when an areawide contract is not used and either—

(1) The annual cost of the services to be procured is estimated by the using installation, at the time of the initiation of the service or annual renewal of the expenditure, to exceed $150,000; or

(2) Except for communication services, a proposed connection charge, termination liability, or any other facilities charge to be paid (whether or not refundable) is estimated to exceed $75,000.

(b) The following are monetary limitations under the Master Buy Plan procedures:

(1) $10,000,000

(i) John C. Stennis Space Center

(ii) Headquarters Contracts and Grants Division

(iii) Space Station Procurement Office

(iv) NASA Resident Office—JPL

For the purpose of the initial Master Buy Plan submission only, any of the above installations not having any procurements at or above the $10 million limitation shall submit the three largest procurements over $5 million.

(2) $25,000,000

(i) Ames Research Center

(ii) Goddard Space Flight Center

(iii) Johnson Space Center

(iv) Kennedy Space Center

(v) Langley Research Center

(vi) Lewis Research Center

(vii) Marshall Space Flight Center

(c) The monetary limitations in paragraph (b) of this section apply also to the following:

(1) Any supplemental agreement (except one providing only for the addition or deletion of funds for incremental funding purposes) that contains either new work, a debit change order, or a credit change order (or any combination/consolidation thereof), if either the new work or an individual change order or the aggregate of two or more actions equals or exceeds the dollar value in paragraph (b) of this section for the installation making the award.

(2) Any supplemental agreement that contains one or more elements (new work and/or individual change orders) of a sensitive nature that, in the judgment of the installation or Headquarters, warrants Headquarters consideration under the Master Buy Plan Procedure, even though the monetary amount under consideration might not equal or exceed the installation's limitation in paragraph (b) of this section.

(d) The Master Buy Plan Procedure does not apply to termination settlement agreements (see FAR Part 49).

1807.7103 Submission, selection, and notification procedures.

1807.7103–1 Submission of Master Buy Plan.

(a) Prior to July 15th of every year, each installation shall submit to the Assistant Administrator for Procurement (Code HS) a Master Buy Plan (original and eight copies) for the next fiscal year, listing in it every known procurement that

(1) Meets the criteria in 1807.7102,

(2) Is expected to be initiated in that fiscal year, and

(3) Has not been included in a previous Master Buy Plan or amendment to a Master Buy Plan.

The plan shall include any phased procurement whose overall value exceeds the dollar threshold in 1807.7102, even if the value of the initial phase (normally Phase B) is under the threshold.

(b) Plans shall be prepared in accordance with 1807.7107 and shall identify the individual procurement documents involved for every procurement listed. Procurement documents that may require Headquarters approval will be held in abeyance until receipt of the notification required by 1807.7103–3. This is not to preclude the planning for or initiation of such documents up to that point where Headquarters approval may be required.

(c) The fiscal year Master Buy Plan shall list those procurements selected for Headquarters review and approval from prior Master Buy Plans and amendments to Master Buy Plans that have not been completed. These procurements should be listed by the appropriate fiscal year Master Buy Plan; include the individual item numbers and current status of the individual procurement documents previously selected for Headquarters review and approval.

1807.7103-2 Submission of amendments to the Master Buy Plan.

(a) Procurements identified by installations after submission of their Master Buy Plan and meeting one of the criteria in 1807.7102 shall be submitted to Headquarters in accordance with 1807.7107. Such amendments shall be submitted sufficiently in advance of contract award date to allow Headquarters to select those procurement documents that will be subject to Headquarters review and approval without creating an unacceptable delay in contract placement.

(b) When timely submittal is not possible, the installation shall provide with the amendment a narrative explaining the circumstances leading to the late submittal. Master Buy Plan submissions should not be accomplished after the fact. A Master Buy Plan submission for a contract change order expected to meet the criteria in 1807.7102 shall be submitted to Headquarters immediately upon issuance of the change order.

1807.7103-3 Selection and notification procedures.

(a) The Assistant Administrator for Procurement or a designee shall select procurement documents from the Master Buy Plan and amendments to Master Buy Plans to receive Headquarters review and approval and shall designate source selection officials.

(b) When, subsequent to document selection or delegation, a procurement is changed (for example, increase or decrease in dollar amount, change in requirement), cancelled, superseded, deferred, or becomes no longer subject to the Master Buy Plan procedures in accordance with the criteria in 1807.7102, the installation shall immediately notify the Assistant Administrator for Procurement (Code HS), giving the reasons. The Assistant Administrator for Procurement or a designee shall notify the installation's procurement office in writing of any further action that may be required.

1807.7104 Procurement documents selected for Headquarters review and approval.

(a) *General.* The cognizant installation shall ensure that procurement documents selected for Headquarters review and approval under this procedure are submitted in accordance with this subpart.

(b) *Request for Proposals (RFP) review and approval.* Should the RFP be selected for Headquarters review and approval, the installation procurement office shall submit ten copies of the RFP to the Assistant Administrator for Procurement (Code HS), along with the source evaluation board evaluation methodology, including the rationale for selecting the associated evaluation criteria, the expected significant discriminators that should result, and the proposed method to be used in developing the source evaluation board probable cost comparison. Any other significant cost or other factors that are expected to have a bearing on the evaluation should be discussed.

1807.7105 Acquisition strategy meeting (ASM).

(a) As a result of the Master Buy Plan review, a decision to have an ASM at Headquarters may be made. The ASM is a meeting in which all parties from Headquarters and the installation with an interest in the procurement come together to discuss all of its aspects and to resolve any major issues before processing the formal documentation.

(b) The objective of an ASM is to provide sufficient business and programmatic planning as early as is feasible in the acquisition of a product or service. An ASM is conducted as an early planning session to develop an agreed-upon systematic approach to achieve an economical, efficient, and effective acquisition. Recommendations resulting from the ASM are advisory in nature. The ASM shall be scheduled by the Code HS procurement analyst responsible for the procurement. Attendance will be coordinated between the Code HS analyst and the installation's procurement office. It is expected that the following offices will participate:

(1) *Headquarters.* Cognizant program office; procurement; comptroller; safety, reliability, maintainability, and quality assurance; and legal;

(2) *Installation.* Project office, procurement, and other offices as determined by the installation.

(c) The meeting shall normally be chaired by the Director, Program Operations Division (Code HS) or the designee of the Assistant Administrator for Procurement (Code H).

(d) A summary of any decisions, actions, and conclusions as a result of the meeting shall be prepared by the Code HS analyst and be distributed to all participants for information and/or action.

1807.7106 Procurement documents not selected for Headquarters review and approval.

(a) Procurement documents not selected for Headquarters review and approval shall be processed at the installation level. For such procurements, the following documents, to the extent applicable, shall be approved by the head of the installation: procurement plans and prenegotiation positions. If the procurement is subject to the Source Evaluation Board Handbook, the head of the installation shall sign the source evaluation board appointment letter and shall normally be the source selection official.

(b) If the procurement is between $10,000,000 and $25,000,000 and the installation's Master Buy Plan limitation is $10,000,000, the head of the installation shall ordinarily be the source selection official, but may delegate this authority to cognizant management officials. The head of the installation may delegate the authority to approve procurement plans and to sign source evaluation board appointment letters to the installation's deputy or associate director. The head of the installation may redelegate the authority to approve prenegotiation

positions to the level of the Procurement Officer.

(c) Requests for proposals shall be approved as directed by the Procurement Officer, commensurate with the sensitivity or significance of the procurement. Contracts (including supplemental agreements) not selected for Headquarters review and approval under the Master Buy Plan Procedure shall be subject to approval by the Procurement Officer. The signing of those documents by the Procurement Officer, as the contracting officer, constitutes such approval. The approvals required above may not be redelegated.

(d) Procurement documents authorized to be processed at the installation level will be subject to after-the-fact reviews by Headquarters personnel during normal procurement surveys or as the situation may otherwise indicate or through special reviews. Procurement delegations to the field installations may subsequently be rescinded if a Headquarters review is deemed appropriate.

1807.7107 Format of Master Buy Plan.

In accordance with the requirements of 1807.7103–1 and 1807.7103–2, Master Buy Plans and amendments to Master Buy Plans shall be prepared in accordance with the format illustrated in Table 1807–1.

Line Item No.: _____

FY _____

Page No.: _____

Installation: _____

Date: _____

(1) Cognizant Headquarters Office	(2) Descriptive Title of Procurement	(3) Estimated Dollar Value	(4) ASM	(5) JOFOC	(6) Proc Plan	(7) RFP	(8) SEB	(9) Pre-Neg	(10) Contract Review	(11) Current Status	(12) Remarks

Instructions

General

1. Prepare on 8½" x 11" paper.
2. List only *one* procurement on each page and number each page. Sequentially number each procurement action with a two digit "Line Item Number" beginning with "01" for each annual submission and subsequent amendments.
3. For the initial submission only, list procurements and their current status from prior fiscal year(s) Master Buy Plans and amendments to MBPs that have not been completed.
4. Do not reproduce these instructions on the submission.

Supplementary instructions by heading number

(1) Include letter code and Headquarters contact, if known.
(2) Include an "N" to indicate new procurement or "FO" to indicate follow-on procurement.
(3) A range of dollar values may be used, if the exact value is unavailable. Express the range as $10M to $25M, $25M to $50M, and so forth in $25M increments. Include all phases of the procurement.
(4) Installation recommendation ("Y" or "N") that an Acquisition Strategy Meeting be held. (The final decision will be made by Headquarters upon review of the MBP submission).
(5)–(10) Use "X" to indicate applicable documents.
(11) Status should include scheduled date for next event. (Complete horizontally.)
(12) Include data considered pertinent and indicate expected date for placement of contract. If less than full and open competition is involved, indicate the authority being used, identify the firm(s) to which the procurement is being limited, and indicate the current status of the justification document. Include the name and FTS number of the cognizant installation procurement person. (Complete horizontally.)

PART 1808—REQUIRED SOURCES OF SUPPLIES AND SERVICES

Sec.

1808.002 Use of other Government supply sources.
1808.002–70 Procurement of materials from Surplus, Strategic, and Critical Material Stockpile.
1808.002–71 Acquisition of helium.
1808.002–72 Acquisition of radioisotopes.
1808.002–73 Acquisition of liquid hydrogen.
1808.002–74 Acquisition of propellants.
1808.002–75 Acquisition of mercury.
1808.002–76 Contract clause.

Subpart 1808.1—Excess Personal Property

1808.103 Information on available excess personal property.

Subpart 1808.3—Acquisition of Utility Services

1808.301 Definitions.
1808.303 General.
1808.304 Acquiring utility services.
1808.304–2 GSA areawide contracts.
1808.304–4 Consolidated purchase, joint use, or cross-service.
1808.304–5 Agency acquisition.
1808.304–570 Renewal of contracts.
1808.304–571 Headquarters requirement for copies of contracts.
1808.304–572 Contents of a negotiated utility service contract.
1808.305 Rate increases.
1808.307 Precontract acquisition reviews.
1808.307–70 Utility service narrative.
1808.307–71 Contracts requiring Headquarters approval.
1808.309 Contract clauses.

Subpart 1808.4—Ordering From Federal Supply Schedules

1808.404 Using schedules.

1808.404–3 Requests for waivers.
1808.405 Ordering office responsibilities.
1808.405–1 Ordering from multiple-award schedules.
1808.405–2 Order placement.

Subpart 1808.6—Acquisition From Federal Prison Industries, Inc.

1808.605 Clearances.

Subpart 1808.7—Acquisition From the Blind and Other Severely Handicapped

1808.705 Procedures.
1808.705–1 General.

Subpart 1808.8—Acquisition of Printing and Related Supplies

1808.802 Policy.
1808.870 Contract clause.

Subpart 1808.11—Leasing of Motor Vehicles

1808.1100 Scope of subpart.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 2473 (c)(1).

1808.002 Use of other Government supply sources.

1808.002–70 Procurement of materials from the surplus, strategic, and critical materials stockpile.

(a) The General Services Administration (GSA) is responsible for disposing of strategic and critical materials in excess of national stockpile requirements. These materials, primarily metals, ores, chemicals, and similar raw material items, are listed and described in a GSA bulletin disseminated periodically to procurement officers.

(b) Before initiating procurements of materials listed as being available from GSA, the contracting office should screen the list to determine whether the requirement can be met through transfer of material from GSA. Due consideration should be given to the quantity, quality, and location of the material and to the timeliness of its availability. Detailed information on excess material is available from GSA, Office of Stockpile Management, Room 5028, 18th and F Streets, NW., Washington, DC 20405.

1808.002–71 Acquisition of helium.

(a) NASA is required by Pub. L. 86–777 (50 U.S.C. 167a et seq.) to obtain its major requirements for helium from the Department of the Interior.

(b) Each contracting office shall obtain its requirements for gaseous helium from the Department of the Interior (Bureau of Mines) or another Government support activity supplying Bureau of Mines helium.

(c) Requirements for (1) liquid helium or (2) gaseous helium of a quality not supplied by the Bureau of Mines may be procured from commercial sources, provided such sources are qualified by the Bureau of Mines and included in the Bureau of Mines publication, "List by Shipping Points of Private Distributors Eligible to Sell Helium to Federal Agencies." Copies of this publication may be obtained from Bureau of Mines, Helium Operation, P.O. Box H4372, Herring Plaza, Amarillo, TX 79101.

(d) The contracting officer shall provide a copy of each contract or purchase order for the supply of helium from commercial sources to the Bureau of Mines, at the address in paragraph (c) of this section.

(e) The clause at 1852.208–83, Acquisition of Helium, specifies requirements for contractors consistent with this subsection.

1808.002–72 Acquisition of radioisotopes.

(a) DOE Form 5400.3, U.S. Department of Energy Isotope Order Blank, and NRC Form 313, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Application for Material License, shall be used to acquire radioisotopes, as stated on the back of DOE Form 5400.3. No other type of order blank, purchase order, or contract may be used in lieu of these forms.

(b) NRC Form 313 shall be filed with the Chief, Radioisotopes Licensing Branch, Division of Fuel Cycle and Material Safety, United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555. If the application meets all regulatory requirements and applicable standards, the Radioisotopes Licensing Branch, Nuclear Regulatory Commission, will issue a license to the applicant. After receipt of the license, a completed DOE Form 5400.3 (in duplicate, if the contracting office wants an accepted copy of the form back from the supplier), the license, and a Government bill of lading shall be sent to the appropriate DOE laboratory. If a bill of lading is not furnished, shipment shall be made collect on a commercial bill of lading, to be converted at destination.

(c) The "Terms and Conditions" on DOE Form 5400.3 shall control all requisitions for radioisotopes purchased by NASA from Department of Energy Laboratories.

(d) NRC Form 313 and DOE Form 5400.3 shall be requisitioned directly from United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Attn: Radioisotopes Licensing Branch, Division of Fuel Cycle and Material Safety, Washington, DC 20555.

1808.002–73 Acquisition of liquid hydrogen.

(a) To ensure that adequate supplies of liquid hydrogen are readily available to meet current and future program requirements, NASA has established contractual arrangements with various supply sources. These contracts shall be used to the maximum extent practicable in supplying both in-house and contractor requirements for liquid hydrogen.

(b) Requests for liquid hydrogen shall be submitted to the John F. Kennedy Space Center, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Kennedy Space Center, FL 32899, Attn: Director of Center Operations.

1808.002–74 Acquisition of propellants.

(a) *General.* The Department of the Air Force has assigned the operational authority and responsibility for acquiring and distributing missile propellants and related items to the San Antonio Air Logistics Center (SA–ALC), Kelly Air Force Base, Texas. Propellants (i.e., oxidizers and fuels), pressurants, and related materials are financed under a single Air Force appropriation designated the Missile Procurement Fund (MPF). Supplies are obtained by SA–ALC from Government-owned, contractor-operated plants and by consolidated acquisitions from industry. NASA and its contractors (when

materials are Government-furnished) may acquire from SA–ALC the items listed in paragraph (j) of this section (except for liquid hydrogen; see 1868.002–73) on a reimbursable basis. The Air Force MPF shall be utilized as a supply source for propellants whenever there are economic or other advantages to the Government. Field installations and offices obtaining supplies from the MPF shall comply with the reporting requirements of paragraph (f) of this section.

(b) *Requests for acquisition.* To obtain the materials listed in paragraph (j) of this section from the Air Force MPF, NASA contracting offices will execute a NASA-Defense Purchase Request (NASA Form 523) (see 1853.303–523) and forward it to Headquarters, SA–ALC, Kelly Air Force Base, TX 78241, Attention: SFS. The following additional information should be provided on the form:

(1) Contract number (when material is required for use by a NASA contractor).

(2) Delivery address.

(3) Mode of transportation (rail, trailer, barge, etc). When the procurement request covers requirements for materials not previously forecasted or covers significant changes to previously reported requirements, SA–ALC should be notified immediately of such requirements.

(c) *Delivery requests.* (1) A delivery request is a call on the Air Force, made against a NASA-Defense Purchase Request (NASA Form 523), specifying the time and place of delivery. On the basis of the estimated requirements, the Air Force will notify NASA field installations and contractors of the name and address of the Air Force office or producing contractor's plant to which requests for delivery of materials shall be made. Delivery requests may be placed by any means of communication that time justifies; however, all verbal requests for delivery must be confirmed in writing within 24 hours. The delivery request, whether oral or written, must cite the NASA-Defense Purchase Request number under which the material is being ordered and contain the following information:

(i) Nomenclature and National Stock Number.

(ii) Quantity.

(iii) Program, project, and task.

(iv) Contract number (when material is required for use by a NASA contractor).

(v) Delivery address.

(vi) Dates of delivery.

(vii) Mode of transportation.

(viii) Location of weighing stations and scales (if weighing of the products before delivery is required).

(2) Each delivery request shall be numbered as follows to simplify identification and control: the last two digits of the calendar year; a dash; and a consecutive number beginning with 1 to run throughout the year (e.g., 89–5, for the fifth request made in 1989). Changes to a request are identified by adding an alphabetical designator beginning with (A) to the number. This system is not intended to replace or to interfere with existing NASA purchase order numbering or internal budget or accounting numbering procedures.

(d) *Receiving procedures*—(1) *Receiving documents.* Receipt of materials shall be evidenced on the receiving document received with the shipment by the signature of an individual authorized by NASA to receive materials from the Air Force. Every effort should be made to ensure that the NASA-Defense Purchase Request number is recorded on the receiving document before signing.

(2) *Weighing facilities.* Local weighing facilities (NASA-owned, contractor-owned, commercial, or State-operated) may be used to determine quantities of product received. If a discrepancy exists between the quantities shown on receiving documents and the quantities actually received—

(i) A certified weighing ticket evidencing actual (weight at destination shall be obtained; and)

(ii) A copy of the receiving document (AF Form 857 or DD Form 250) and the original weighing ticket shall be forwarded to Headquarters, SA–ALC, Kelly Air Force Base, TX 78241, Attention: ACFOM, identifying the discrepancy.

(3) *Distribution of receipts.* Copies of all receiving documents except the AF Form 857 shall be transmitted to the Headquarters, SA–ALC, Kelly Air Force Base, TX 78241, Attention: SACAOM. Receiving documents may be accumulated and submitted on the 10th, 20th, and last day of each month.

(e) *Billing.* The costs of materials obtained through the MPF are reimbursable. After delivery, a Standard Form 1080 (Voucher for Transfers Between Appropriations and/or Funds (Disbursement)), supported by documentary evidence of delivery, will be submitted by Headquarters, SA–ALC to the NASA installation designated in the NASA Form 523.

(f) *Reporting requirements.* (1) Field installations shall submit periodic estimates of requirements for materials listed in paragraph (j) of this section for all programs under their cognizance, including in-house contractor requirements. Headquarters Program Offices shall submit periodic estimates of requirements for any existing or potential programs that may not be known to or under the cognizance of a field installation. Reports shall be submitted in duplicate on AF Form 858, Forecast of Propellant Requirements.

(2) The reports shall be forwarded so as to reach Headquarters, SA–ALC, Kelly AFB, TX 78241, Attn: SFS, no later than June 1 and December 1. Supplemental reports advising of additions to or significant changes in previous reports may be submitted at any time. The reports, covering all materials listed in paragraph (j) of this section, due in June and December, shall begin with requirements as of the following July 1 and January 1, respectively, and shall cover a 3-year period. Requirements shall be shown by month for the first 6 months, and by quarters for the remaining 2½-year period.

(3) Estimated requirements and other pertinent data required from contractors shall be obtained on Air Force Form 858.

(g) *Report content.* Reports shall be made using a separate report form for each material and shall provide, for each item of material, the—

(1) Contract number;

(2) Program and/or project;

(3) Specific task within the project;

(4) End use when not associated with the named program or project;

(5) Contractor's name;

(6) Specific location of use (shipping destination); and

(7) Planned source of supply.

(h) *Basis for developing materials requirements.* In computing requirements, consideration shall be given to such elements as lead time, waste factors, transfer, and storage losses so that phased requirements reflect the total gross quantities required to be delivered to the use or storage site. Since the requirements estimates are being used by other Government agencies acting as supply sources to contract for materials, estimates must be as accurate as possible.

(i) *NASA coordination.* The Kennedy Space Center shall coordinate the review of all data and establish NASA policy and procedures. The data shall be used as the basis for NASA requirements reports to various Government agencies for planning and supply support.

(j) *Table of reportable materials.*

Ammonia, Technical (Anhydrous) (Low Oil Content) 99.97 percent purity, Spec O–A–445	
Argon Gas, 6000 PSI, AFPID 6830–5	

Propellant, Ammonia, Liquid, Anhydrous 99.5 percent purity, Spec MIL–P–27406
Propellant, Chlorine Trifluoride, Spec MIL–P–81399
Propellant, Deuterium, Gaseous, AFPID 9135–20
Propellant, Fluorine, Gaseous, Spec MIL–P–27405
Propellant, Fluorine, Liquid, Spec MIL–P–27405
Helium, Technical Grade A, Spec BB–H–1168
Propellant, Isopropyl Alcohol, AFPID 9135–18
Propellant, Hydrazine, Standard Grade, Spec MIL–P–26536
Propellant, Hydrazine, Monopropellant Grade, Spec MIL–P–26536
Propellant, Hydrazine/Unsymmetrical Dimethylhydrazine, Spec MIL–P–27402
Propellant, Hydrogen, Gaseous, Type I, Spec MIL–P–27201
Propellant, Hydrogen, Liquid, Type II, Spec MIL–P–27201
Propellant, Hydrogen Peroxide, Spec MIL–P–16005
Propellant, Hydrogen Peroxide, Electrolytic Process, Spec MIL–P16005
Propellant, Jet Fuel, Grade RJ–1, Spec MIL–F–25558
Propellant, JPX, 50% UDMH–50% JP–4, Spec MIL–P–26694
Propellant, JPX, 17% UDMH–83% JP–4, Spec MIL–P–26694
Propellant, Kerosene, Grade RP–1, Spec MIL–P–25576
Propellant, Monomethyl Hydrazine, Spec MIL–P–27404
Propellant, Neon, Liquid, AFPID 9135–16
Propellant, Nitric Acid, Type IIIB, Spec MIL–P–7254
Propellant, Nitric Acid, Type III LS, Spec MIL–P–7254
Propellant, Nitric Acid, Type IV (High Density Acid), Spec MILP–7254
Propellant, Nitrogen Tetroxide (NTO) (MON–1) (MON–3), Spec MIL–P–26539
Propellant, Nitrogen Tetroxide (NTO), MIL–P–26539
Propellant, Nitrogen Tetroxide (MON–1), Spec MIL–P–26539
Propellant, Nitrogen Tetroxide (MON–3), Spec MIL–P–26539
Propellant, Oxygen, Grade B, Spec MIL–P–25508
Propellant, Oxygen, Grade A, Spec MIL–P–25508
Propellant, Oxygen, Grade F, Spec MIL–P–25508
Propellant Pressurizing Agent, Helium, Spec MIL–P–27407, 99.995 pct min assay
Propellant Pressurizing Agent, Nitrogen, Type II, Liquid Grade C, Spec MIL–P–27401
Propellant Pressurizing Agent, Nitrogen, Type I, Gaseous Grade A, Spec MIL–P–27401
Propellant Pressurizing Agent, Nitrogen, Type I, Grade B, Spec MIL–P–27401
Propellant Pressurizing Agent, Nitrogen, Type II, Grade A, Spec MIL–P–27401
Propellant Pressurizing Agent, Nitrogen, Type II, Grade B, Spec MIL–P–27401
Propellant, Unsymmetrical Dimethylhydrazine, Spec MIL–P–25604
Propellant, Nitrogen Trifluoride Spec MIL–P–87896
Propellant Pressurizing Agent, Argon, Liquid, AFPID 9135–19

1808.002–75 Acquisition of mercury.

(a) NASA is prohibited from purchasing mercury in quantities of 76 pounds or more from commercial sources without prior clearance from GSA.

(b) Mercury, minimum 99.9 percent pure (not triple distilled), in 76-pound flasks, is available for transfer from GSA stocks at fair market value.

(1) Requests for mercury by NASA installations for their use or for use by their cost-reimbursement type contractors shall be made to the Project Manager, Mineral and Ores, Property Management and Disposal Services, GSA, Washington, DC 20405. The Project Manager will furnish the current fair market value to NASA. The unit of issue is a 76-pound flask.

(2) Requests for clearance to purchase quantities of 76 pounds or more from sources other than GSA shall be submitted to the office in subparagraph (b)(1) of this section and must be accompanied by a statement of reasons why the available excess mercury is unsuitable for use by the requesting field installation.

1808.002–76 Contract clause.

The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 1852.208–83 in all solicitations and contracts requiring the procurement of helium.

Subpart 1808.1—Excess Personal Property

1808.103 Information on available excess personal property.

In addition to the sources identified in FAR 8.103, information on availability of NASA excess property is maintained by the Installation Property Disposal Officer and the NASA Equipment Management System (NEMS) Coordinator.

Subpart 1808.3—Acquisition of Utility Services

1808.301 Definitions.

"Multiple-service locations," as used in this subpart, means the various locations or delivery points in a contractor's service area to which services are provided under a single contract.

"Utility suppliers," as used in this subpart, may be quasi-public service corporations, private concerns, municipalities, associations, or cooperatives. They generally operate in a franchised territory without competition, so they may frequently be in a sole-source position. Under common law, public utilities must render service at reasonable rates and without discrimination. Their operations, management, rates, and profits are usually regulated by Federal, State, or local regulatory bodies, but absence of a regulatory body does not necessarily mean a complete lack of control. Administrative remedies pursuant to enabling statutes may be pursued or complaints may be taken to a court of competent jurisdiction.

1808.303 General.

(a) Requirements for utility services shall be determined by technically qualified personnel who will assist the contracting officer as required. Before soliciting technical assistance outside the agency (see FAR 8.303(b)), technical personnel shall contact the Facilities Division (Code NX), NASA Headquarters.

(b) Appropriated funds may not be used to purchase electricity in a manner inconsistent with state law governing the provision of electric utility service, including state utility commission rulings and electric utility franchises or service territories established pursuant to state statute, state regulation, or state-approved territorial agreements (Pub. L. 100–202, Sec. 8093, 101 Stat. 1329–79). Before acquiring electric utility service, the contracting officer shall determine whether the manner of acquisition, in particular, competitive acquisition under FAR 8.304–5(d), would be inconsistent with state law. Section 8093 of Pub. L. 100–202 is not intended to affect transfers of electricity to agencies from Federal power marketing agencies or the Tennessee Valley Authority, such as NASA's power allocation from the Western Area Power Marketing Administration. Such transfers do not constitute "purchases" for purposes of section 8093.

1808.304 Acquiring utility services.

1808.304–2 GSA areawide contracts.

(a) GSA publishes a checklist of utility services available under its areawide public utility contracts. The checklist specifies contract numbers, expiration dates, companies, and areas served. Copies of the checklist may be obtained from GSA, Public Utility Services Division, Washington, DC 20405 (FTS 566–0901).

(b) In determining whether a GSA areawide public utility contract is adequate to meet requirements, procurement offices should consider:

(1) The areawide contract rates and volume of service required,

(2) Any unusual characteristics of the service required,

(3) Any special equipment or facility requirements,

(4) Any special technical contract provisions required, and

(5) Any other special circumstances.

(c) If a procurement officer finds that a separately negotiated contract would be more advantageous to the Government than the GSA areawide public utility contract, the procurement officer shall submit a request to the Assistant Administrator for Procurement, NASA Headquarters (Code HS), for a waiver of the requirement to use the GSA areawide public utility contract. The request shall explain the merit of a separately negotiated contract.

1808.304-4 Consolidated purchase, joint use, or cross-service.

(a) The Department of Defense (DOD) enters into areawide fuel oil and other energy-service contracts. DOD areawide contracts provide that the contractor shall, upon receipt of an order in the form prescribed by the contract, furnish the service involved without further negotiations as to rates and charges, in accordance with the established and filed rate schedules applicable to the service.

(b) When procuring utility services from another Government agency by cross-servicing, the procurement office shall use a memorandum of understanding specifying the services to be provided and the conditions under which they will be supplied. A Utility Service Narrative shall be submitted in accordance with 1808.307–70.

1808.304-5 Agency acquisition.

1808.304-570 Renewal of contracts.

(a) A contract may be renewed or extended by option, provided that the contract is not in effect for more than a total of 5 successive years.

(b) Contracting officers shall consider selecting an expiration date for the contract sufficiently after the end of the fiscal year to ensure that appropriations will be available when the option is exercised.

1808.304-571 Headquarters requirement for copies of contracts.

The contracting officer shall forward, promptly after execution, one copy of each contract, service authorization form, memorandum of understanding, and modification to the Assistant Administrator for Procurement, NASA Headquarters (Code HS) and to the Facilities Division, NASA Headquarters (Code NX).

1808.304-572 Contents of a negotiated utility service contract.

(a) All negotiated utility service contracts estimated to exceed $2,500 annually shall be written in accordance with the directions in subparagraphs (a)(1) through (5) of this section:

(1) SF 26. Use Standard Form 26 (see FAR 53.215–1(b)).

(2) Appendix A. (i) Include the rate schedule, which shall consist of—

(A) The published rate schedule of the contractor approved or established by a Federal, State, or other regulatory body; or

(B) The rate schedule negotiated between the contractor and the Government.

(ii) The rate schedule shall bear the legend "Appendix A, attached to and made a part of Contract No. _____," and be entitled "Rate Schedule." Each page shall indicate its number and the total number of pages comprising Appendix A, as, for example, "page 1 of 3." In addition to stating the rate applicable to the contract, Appendix A shall include any applicable rules or regulations (whether established by the regulatory body, the contractor, or negotiation between the contractor and the Government) pertaining to the Rate Schedule. Appendix A may provide for payment to the contractor of a nonrecurring, nonrefundable fee not exceeding $1,000 for the costs of connecting the contractor's facilities to, and disconnecting them from, the Government's facilities, if the contract period is less than 6 months. In all instances where a connection charge, other than the fee described above, is involved, the "Connection Charge" clause (1852.208–77) and Appendix C (see subparagraph (a)(4) of this section) shall be included in the contract.

(3) Appendix B. Include the service specifications applicable to the contract, which shall consist of a specially drafted attachment to the contract bearing the legend "Appendix B, attached to and made a part of Contract No. _____," and the title of the appropriate service specifications. Each page shall indicate its number and the total number of pages comprising Appendix B, as, for example, "page 1 of 3." Such appendix shall contain as a minimum—

(i) The premises to be served;

(ii) An estimate of the service requirements;

(iii) The point of delivery to the Government;

(iv) A description of the service;

(v) A statement of how the service is to be measured for purposes of billing; and

(vi) A statement of the capacity and flow of the service.

(4) Appendix C. Include the connection charge schedule required whenever the contract includes the connection charge clause at 1852.208–77 or the termination charge clause at 1852.208–78. It shall bear the legend "Appendix C, attached to and made a part of Contract No. _____," and be entitled "Connection Charge Schedule." Each page shall indicate its number and the total number of pages comprising Appendix C, as, for example, "page 1 of 3." The appendix shall contain as a minimum—

(i) A detailed description of the new facilities to be furnished by the contractor; and

(ii) The agreed salvage value of these facilities.

(5) Include any contract clauses required by FAR 8.309 or 1808.309.

(b) Any negotiated utility service contract estimated not to exceed $2,500 annually shall consist of—

(1) Standard Form 26 (FAR 53.215–1(b));

(2) An Appendix A (see subparagraph (a)(2) of this section); and

(3) Any contract clauses required by FAR 8.309 or section 1808.309 of this Regulation.

1808.305 Rate increases.

(a) When the contractor notifies the contracting officer as provided in the Public Regulation and Change of Rates clause at 1852.208–71, or whenever the contractor requests that rate changes be negotiated, as provided in the Change in Rates clause at 1852.208–76, the contracting officer shall notify the Assistant Administrator for Procurement, NASA Headquarters (Code HS) and the Facilities Division, NASA Headquarters (Code NX). The notification shall include sufficient information to permit a determination of the monetary effect of the proposed changes, a recommendation under subparagraph (a) (1) or (2) of this section, and the basisfor the recommendation.

(1) When notice is received that the contractor has filed an application for rate changes before the local regulatory body, the contracting officer shall recommend whether or not the Government should intervene at the hearing on the application. If it is recommended that the Government intervene, the recommendation shall be accompanied by a statement containing the basis for intervention and the extent to which the installation can support intervention by presenting testimony, preparing exhibits, and furnishing legal counsel.

(2) When notice is received that the contractor requests that rate changes be negotiated, the contracting officer shall

recommend the position to be taken by the Government regarding the rate changes and state the extent to which installation personnel are available to support this position.

(b) The Assistant Administrator for Procurement, NASA Headquarters, with the technical assistance of the Facilities Division, NASA Headquarters, shall furnish the contracting officer a recommendation concerning the proposed rate changes and the extent to which NASA Headquarters will participate in the intervention before the local regulatory body or in negotiations with the contractor. Before recommending any action, the Assistant Administrator for Procurement, NASA Headquarters shall, as necessary, coordinate with other staff offices or divisions, or other Government agencies. The contracting officer shall await the instructions of the Assistant Administrator for Procurement, NASA Headquarters, for at least 30 calendar days before submitting the matter to GSA (see FAR 8.305(b)) or taking other action concerning the proposed rate changes.

1808.307 Precontract acquisition reviews.

1808.307-70 Utility service narrative.

(a) When required by FAR 8.307-1(b), and before initiating negotiations, the contracting officer shall submit a Utility Service Narrative for proposed procurements for new utilities services, renegotiations or extensions of existing utility services, or existing contracts that require a negotiation for change of rate schedules, to the Assistant Administrator for Procurement (Code HS), NASA Headquarters. The Utility Service Narrative shall include—

(1) A brief technical description of the service required or being furnished;

(2) Justification of the reasonableness of the proposed rate;

(3) An outline of the field installation's proposed negotiation tactics, basis for position, and any alternative position;

(4) An estimate of the annual cost of service; and

(5) Other related items, as applicable, such as connection charges, termination liability, facilities charges, requirement for Government capital costs, or any unusual factors affecting the procurement.

(b) The Assistant Administrator for Procurement (Code HS), with the coordination of the Facilities Engineering Division (Code NX), will review the information submitted under paragraph (a) of this section. If NASA Headquarters desires to participate in the negotiations, the Assistant Administrator for Procurement (Code HS), NASA Headquarters, shall inform the contracting officer within 30 days after receipt of the Utility Service Narrative.

1808.307-71 Contracts requiring Headquarters approval.

Contracts and supplemental agreements for utility services shall be submitted to the Assistant Administrator for Procurement, NASA Headquarters (Code HS), for approval as required by 1804.7205 and 1807.71.

1808.309 Contract clauses.

As prescribed below, the contracting officer shall insert the following clauses in solicitations and negotiated contracts for utility services. These clauses supplement those prescribed elsewhere in the FAR or this Regulation:

(a) Insert the clause at 1852.208-70, Rates.

(b) Insert the clause at 1852.208-71, Public Regulation and Change of Rates, except that the clause at 1852.208-76, Change in Rates, shall be used if—

(1) The rates applicable to the service furnished under the contract are negotiated between the contractor and the Government without the approval of any Federal, State, or local regulatory agency;

(2) The service to be furnished under the contract is otherwise not subject to regulation by any Federal, State, or local regulatory agency; or

(3) The contractor also acts as the local public regulatory agency and its decisions are not subject to review by a higher regulatory agency.

(c) Insert the clause at 1852.208-72, Change in Class of Service, in solicitations and negotiated contracts estimated to exceed $2,500.

(d) Insert the clause at 1852.208-73, Contractor's Facilities, in solicitations and negotiated contracts estimated to exceed $2,500. Insert the clause at 1852.208-80, Contractor's Facilities (Short Form), when the estimated annual cost is $2,500 or less.

(e) Insert the clause at 1852.208-74, Technical Provisions, in solicitations and negotiated contracts estimated to exceed $2,500.

(f) Insert the clause at 1852.208-75, Renewal of Contract, whenever it is desirable that the utility service be provided under the same terms and conditions for more than 1 year (see 1808.304-570).

(g) Insert the clause at 1852.208-77, Connection Charge, when (1) a connection charge is to be paid by the Government and (2) the conditions permitting the incorporation of a nonrecurring, nonrefundable fee in Appendix A, the rate schedule applicable to the contract, do not exist. Also attach Appendix C (see 1808.304-572(a)(4)).

(h) Insert the clause at 1852.208-78, Termination Charge, when payment is to be made to the contractor upon termination of service in lieu of a connection charge upon completion of the facilities. Use of this clause is subject to Master Buy Plan approval requirements (see 1807.71). Also attach Appendix C.

(i) Insert the clause at 1852.208-79, Multiple Service Locations, when it is desired to provide for one or more possible alternative service locations.

Subpart 1808.4—Ordering From Federal Supply Schedules

1808.404 Using schedules.

1808.404-3 Requests for waivers.

(a) The head of the NASA office initiating the procurement request or a designated representative shall furnish the NASA contracting office a signed statement identifying the supplies or services to be purchased and explaining why similar items listed in the applicable schedule will not meet the requirement.

(b) If a waiver is not granted, the case shall be referred to the Assistant Administrator for Procurement for a final decision as to whether the non-schedule item will be purchased. The Assistant Administrator for Procurement shall promptly notify the Commissioner, Federal Supply Service, GSA, and the contracting office of the decision.

1808.405 Ordering office responsibilities.

1808.405-1 Ordering from multiple-award schedules.

(a) If NASA can buy from a schedule contractor for substantially less than the schedule price, the procurement shall be accomplished at the better price. A copy of the order shall be forwarded to GSA in accordance with FAR 8.405-1(d).

(b) The following factors shall be considered when deciding to buy a higher-priced item:

(1) Does its longer useful life justify the higher price?

(2) Do warranties justify the higher price?

(3) Does the availability or cost of maintenance justify the difference in price?

1808.405-2 Order placement.

Delivery orders shall be issued on Optional Form 347 or an installation-prescribed form as specified in FAR 8.405-2.

Subpart 1808.6—Acquisition From Federal Prison Industries, Inc.

1808.605 Clearances.

(a) NASA purchase orders or contracts written pursuant to a general or blanket clearance need not be supported by a copy of the clearance, but the clearance number must be cited on the purchase order or contract as well as on the initial voucher.

(b) If a formal clearance has been requested, the contracting officer may not consummate the purchase before the formal clearance is issued. A copy of the clearance certificate must be attached to the initial voucher.

(c) When disputes under FAR 8.605(c) occur, the contracting officer shall refer the matter to the Assistant Administrator for Procurement (Code HP) for review and any further action. Such referrals shall include a complete statement of the attempts made to resolve the matter.

Subpart 1808.7—Acquisition From the Blind and Other Severely Handicapped

1808.705 Procedures.

1808.705-1 General.

(a) When supplies require overseas packaging or packing or are required in carload lots, as described in the Consolidated Freight Classification for the commodity concerned, procurement shall follow the procedure in FAR 8.705-3(b).

(b) The Federal Standard Requisitioning and Issue Procedure (Federal Property Management Regulation, Subpart 101-26.2) shall be used to obtain workshop-produced supplies from GSA supply distribution facilities.

Subpart 1808.8—Acquisition of Printing and Related Supplies

1808.802 Policy.

Approval of printing supplies or services in contracts shall be in accordance with NMI 1490.1, NASA Printing Management Program.

1808.870 Contract clause.

The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 1852.208-81, Printing and Duplicating, in solicitations and contracts where there is any requirement for significant printing and/or reproduction. Printing in excess of that described in paragraph (c) of the clause constitutes significant printing and/or reproduction.

Subpart 1808.11—Leasing of Motor Vehicles

1808.1100 Scope of subpart.

NASA procedures for leasing motor vehicles from GSA or commercial sources are contained in NMI 6720.1, NASA Motor Vehicle Management.

PART 1809—CONTRACTOR QUALIFICATIONS

Subpart 1809.1—Responsible Prospective Contractors

Sec.

1809.102 Applicability.
1809.102-70 Procurements from Canadian sources of supply.
1809.106 Preaward surveys.
1809.106-1 Conditions for preaward surveys.
1809.106-70 NASA Preaward surveys.

Subpart 1809.2—Qualification Requirements

1809.200 Scope of subpart.
1809.202 Policy.
1809.203 QPL's, QML's, and QBL's.
1809.203-70 General.
1809.203-71 Waiver of qualification requirements.
1809.206 Acquisitions subject to qualification requirements.
1809.206-1 General.
1809.206-70 Small businesses.
1809.206-71 Contract clause.

Subpart 1809.4—Debarment, Suspension, and Ineligibility

1809.402 Policy.
1809.404 Consolidated List of Debarred, Suspended, and Ineligible Contractors.
1809.405 Effect of listing.
1809.405-1 Continuation of current contracts.
1809.405-2 Restrictions on subcontracting.
1809.406 Debarment.
1809.406-3 Procedures.
1809.407 Suspension.
1809.407-3 Procedures.
1809.470 Reporting of suspected evasive actions and causes for debarment or suspension.
1809.470-1 Situations requiring reports.
1809.470-2 Contents of reports.
1809.470-3 Addresses and copies of reports.

Subpart 1809.5—Organizational Conflicts of Interest

1809.500 Scope of subpart.
1809.503 Waiver.
1809.507 Procedures.
1809.508 Solicitation provision and contract clause.
1809.508-2 Contract clause.

Subpart 1809.6—Contractor Team Arrangements

1809.670 Contract clause.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 2473 (c)(1).

Subpart 1809.1—Responsible Prospective Contractors

1809.102 Applicability.

1809.102-70 Procurements from Canadian sources of supply.

(a) Awards to Canadian sources of supply are subject to FAR Subpart 9.1. A Canadian firm proposed by the Canadian Commercial Corporation (CCC) as its subcontractor generally shall be accepted as responsible by the contracting officer under the provisions of FAR 9.104-4(a). When the firm proposed by CCC is so accepted, preaward survey forms need not be completed.

(b) The contracting officer, when the CCC proposal is not consistent with other available information, shall request from CCC and any other appropriate sources additional information or plant surveys needed in order to make the determination of responsibility required by FAR 9.103(b). The additional data may be requested on the preaward survey forms or on any other forms. Upon request, CCC shall be furnished the reasons for the rejection of its proposed firm.

1809.106 Preaward surveys.

1809.106-1 Conditions for preaward surveys.

In addition to the circumstances enumerated in FAR 9.106-1(a), preaward surveys normally are not required when—

(a) The contract is for study or research only;

(b) The data necessary for a responsibility determination are available from other sources;

(c) The pending contract will be a definitive contract superseding a letter contract;

(d) An order is placed under an existing contract; or

(e) The source has been recommended by a NASA Source Evaluation Board.

1809.106-70 NASA Preaward surveys.

(a) *Preaward survey procedures.* Preaward surveys shall be conducted in compliance with FAR Subpart 9.1 and this subsection 1809.106-70.

(b) *General.* Paragraphs (c) through (k) of this section establish detailed procedures for conducting preaward surveys, including preaward surveys conducted by NASA, those conducted by another agency for NASA, and those jointly conducted.

(c) *Definitions.*

"Monitor," as used in this subsection, means the person designated to administer the preaward survey in

accordance with subparagraph (f)(2) of this section.

"Preaward survey review board" means a board established to review and approve or disapprove preaward survey reports.

"Team coordinator," as used in this subsection, means the person designated by the monitor to coordinate the on-site survey, arrange for plant visits, and conduct team conferences as necessary before, during, and after the plant visit.

(d) *Procedure for requesting preaward survey.* (1) A preaward survey shall be requested on Standard Form 1403, Preaward Survey of Prospective Contractor (General). The requestor shall indicate in section III of the SF 1403 the scope of the survey desired. Factors requiring emphasis not enumerated in section III should be listed under block 20.G. of that section. The "Walsh-Healey Public Contracts Act" block of section I is for information purposes only. If information is needed for a determination on the offeror's eligibility under the Walsh-Healey Act, it must be specifically requested in block 20.G. of section III. A survey may be requested by telegraphic communication containing the data required by Sections I, II, and III of SF 1403. A survey may be requested by telephone but shall be immediately confirmed on SF 1403. Unless previously furnished, a copy of the solicitation and such drawings and specifications as the contracting office deems necessary shall be supplied with the preaward survey request.

(2) Any information indicating previous unsatisfactory contract performance shall be furnished the survey activity with the preaward survey request, unless it is known that the survey activity already has this information.

(3) The request for preaward survey shall be forwarded in an original and three copies to the responsible survey activity. If the survey activity is a DOD agency, the request is to be sent to the appropriate office shown in the DOD Directory of Contract Administration Services Components, DOD 4105.59–H, Attn: Preaward Survey Monitor. The date on which the completed survey report is desired should be indicated; DOD normally allows seven working days in which to conduct a full survey and submit the report to the requesting agency.

(e) *Scope of survey.* (1) A complete survey encompasses:

(i) Investigation, to the extent applicable to the proposed contract, of the factors listed in section III of SF 1403, together with other requirements of special inquiry as requested by the contracting officer, and

(ii) Submission of appropriate findings.

(2) A partial survey encompasses:

(i) Investigation of those factors referenced in subparagraph (e)(1) of this section that are specifically requested by the contracting officer and any other factors considered advisable by the activity conducting the survey, and

(ii) Submission of appropriate findings.

(3) The activity conducting the survey may execute and transmit to the contracting officer a short-form preaward survey report when sufficient current favorable information is available to recommend award without a formal survey. The SF 1403 will be used as the survey report in accordance with procedures outlined in subparagraph (f)(1)(iv) of this section. If the contracting officer needs additional information on contractor capability, the activity conducting the survey may be so advised, and a detailed survey report shall be provided.

(f) *Contracting office procedure*—(1) *General.* (i) Preaward surveys shall normally be conducted within seven working days after receipt of the request and in the detail requested by the contracting officer. In unusual situations, reports of surveys may be requested in a shorter time if the situation so warrants. Qualified specialists responsible for the factors referenced in subparagraph (e)(1) of this section shall participate as required.

(ii) Representatives of the contracting office and other activities shall participate in preaward surveys when requested by the contracting officer or as desired by the contracting office.

(iii) If the preaward survey cannot be accomplished within the time allowed, the contracting officer shall be so notified. If the date is not extended, the preaward survey monitor shall supply a definite recommendation by the date required, based on the material at hand or developed by that time. The basis for the recommendation and the factors for which no data or only partial data could be obtained shall be indicated.

(iv) When the activity conducting the survey possesses sufficient current favorable information about an offeror to recommend award without performing a formal survey, a short-form preaward report consisting solely of SF 1403 may be executed and forwarded to the contracting officer unless the contracting officer has requested additional information (see paragraph (e) of this section). Sections III and IV of the SF 1403 shall be completed and the following statement shall be stamped in block 23, the "Remarks" portion of section III: SHORT-FORM PREAWARD REPORT. Pursuant to 1809.106–70(e)(3), complete award is recommended.

(2) *Designation of preaward survey monitor.* An individual within each procurement office performing preaward surveys shall be designated as the preaward survey monitor. The monitor shall administer the preaward survey from the receipt of the request through the issuance of the final report to ensure appropriate coverage and maximum efficiency. The monitor shall take the actions listed in subdivisions (f)(2) (i) through (xiii) of this section:

(i) Receive all incoming preaward survey requests.

(ii) Ascertain whether the prospective contractor is included on the List of Parties Excluded from Federal Procurement or Nonprocurement Programs for any reason, including those attributable to equal employment opportunity practices. (If the prospective contractor is listed, the contracting officer shall be promptly advised and the preaward survey shall not be completed, unless specifically requested by the contracting officer.)

(iii) Compare the survey request with the requirements of the solicitation.

(iv) Determine the need for an on-site survey after reviewing the type and quantity of items or services involved, previous experience with the firm, the technical and schedule requirements, and the extent of other information currently available. If an on-site survey has been requested and sufficient information is already available in the contracting or other offices (e.g., DOD contract administration office), the monitor shall ask the contracting officer whether an on-site survey must nevertheless be conducted, and for the specific elements to be covered.

(v) Determine and advise the organizational segments of the installation (e.g., engineering, production, reliability and quality assurance, and contract administration) that will furnish team members.

(vi) Provide team members—

(A) The date on which the information is required from each organizational segment;

(B) Identification of the portion of the survey for which each organizational segment is responsible;

(C) Information on whether an on-site survey of the prospective contractor's facility is contemplated (this is subject to later modification on the basis of information supplied by team members);

(D) Any special terms and conditions noted in the solicitation; and

(E) Any other information or guidance the particular request may require.

(vii) After discussion with appropriate organizational segments, designate the team coordinator.

(viii) Arrange for required audit and other external assistance. For example, when award depends upon the contractor's having an adequate cost accounting system for proper postaward administration of the contract, the cognizant audit agency shall be responsible for the system review, evaluation, and conclusive recommendation (SF 1408 is provided for this purpose).

(ix) Coordinate any participation where the preaward survey is being performed for another NASA installation.

(x) Receive reports of the individual team members and review them for completeness and adequate substantiation.

(xi) Resolve questions regarding technical details with responsible specialists.

(xii) Report those applicable procedures of the prospective contractor that have been reviewed by Government personnel (e.g., procurement system, estimating system, accounting system, control of Government property, and quality program).

(xiii) Assemble all necessary survey data into a report, including a recommendation as to award, and submit it to the chairperson of the preaward survey review board.

(3) *Designation and responsibilities of team coordinator and members.* (i) When an on-site survey by a team is necessary, members should include specialists qualified to evaluate all appropriate phases of the firm's capabilities. The team coordinator shall arrange for the team's visit to the site, direct the team's efforts, and conduct team conferences for the purposes listed in subdivisions (f)(3)(i) (A) through (C) of this section:

(A) Arriving at uniform interpretations before holding discussions with the prospective contractor's management.

(B) Briefing team members on their interviews and discussions with officials of the firm before making the on-site survey. Members shall not refer to or comment on the possibility that award will or will not be made to the prospective contractor. This does not preclude discussion with a prospective contractor of questionable areas that in the opinion of the team member require clarification. Information obtained during the survey will be treated in strict confidence and divulged only to those Government representatives having a need to know.

(C) Arranging for discussions among members during and after the on-site survey to ensure that evaluations by individual specialists are integrated, coordinated, and complete.

(ii) When an on-site survey is required for only one aspect of the prospective contractor's capability, a qualified specialist shall be designated to conduct the on-site portion of the survey. One specialist may be designated to investigate more than one aspect when it is within the specialist's capability.

(4) *Preaward survey review board.* (i) A preaward survey review board shall be formally established to review and approve survey reports before they are transmitted to the contracting officer.

(ii) The board shall be composed of senior specialists representing each of the major functions normally associated with preaward surveys, one of whom shall be designated chairperson. Flexibility of membership shall be provided by designating alternates and by establishing the criteria or conditions governing the need for occasional special technical representation. Membership on the board shall be in addition to the members' regular duties.

(g) *Steps for survey performance.* The three steps in performing a preaward survey are the—

(1) Preliminary analysis;

(2) Development and evaluation of information; and

(3) Preparation and review of the preaward survey report.

(h) *Preliminary analysis.* The request (SF 1403, sections I, II, and III) shall be reviewed to establish basic administrative information and the factors to be investigated. The solicitation shall then be reviewed to ascertain those general and special requirements having a significant bearing on determining contractor responsibility. Examples are the nature of the product or services, applicable specifications, delivery schedule, documentation requirements, property control requirements, and financing aspects.

(i) *Development of information.*—(1) *Review of available data.* Information already available in the contracting office pertaining to the prospective contractor and its past performance shall be reviewed. Prior preaward survey reports and contractor performance records shall be examined and considered in support of preaward survey recommendations. If the prospective contractor has current or contemplated Government contracts, the files should be checked for information regarding similarity of product, current status of contracts, quality control experience, and financial status.

(2) *Development of additional data.* (i) When appropriate, the contracting office shall supplement the data on hand with any additional information required from other Government sources and from commercial sources, such as banks, business associates, and credit rating and reporting agencies.

(ii) An on-site survey shall be performed when sufficient information is not developed as a result of actions taken under subparagraph (i)(1) and subdivision (i)(1)(i) of this section.

(iii) A narrative covering each factor investigated shall be referenced in section III of SF 1403 and appended to the form. This narrative shall include detailed discussion supporting findings of both satisfactory and unsatisfactory conditions and shall include reasons for all stated conclusions.

(iv) When a prospective contractor proposes to acquire additional resources essential to performance of the proposed contract, the activity conducting the survey shall as a minimum obtain and evaluate—

(A) An itemized list of the required resources;

(B) A planned method of acquisition; and

(C) A schedule for acquisition of resources.

(v) Failure to meet commitments on previous contracts shall be documented in the current preaward survey report and considered in the final recommendation.

(j) *On-site surveys*—(1) *Interview, investigation, and review.* (i) General. An on-site survey consists of an interview with representatives of the prospective contractor and, normally, an investigation of its resources and procedures.

(ii) Interview with management. Management officials of the appropriate level authorized to represent the prospective contractor should be interviewed. The prospective contractor's background shall be reviewed and as much history recorded as is necessary to reflect the soundness and reputation of the firm's operation.

(A) The organizational structure of the facility is the basis for management's control and must be reviewed. Assignment of definite tasks and responsibilities should be checked.

(B) Lack of understanding or misinterpretation of the solicitation often results in delinquent contracts and leads to default actions. Therefore the solicitation shall be discussed with the prospective contractor to ensure understanding of its requirements, including its technical aspects, such as drawings, specifications, prototype,

technical data, testing, packaging, and the Government's right to use technical data in accordance with the terms of the solicitation. Any misinterpretations of the requirements of the solicitation that could adversely affect performance, or refusal by the prospective contractor to furnish required data, should be brought to the immediate attention of the monitor by the team coordinator. The monitor shall, in turn, promptly advise the contracting officer.

(iii) Investigation of resources and review of procedures. The resources the prospective contractor intends to utilize shall be inspected, analyzed, and compared with overall plans for performing. Procedures relating to performance of the proposed contract shall be reviewed for adequacy.

(iv) Specific factors to be considered. In the course of developing information, those factors described in subparagraphs (j) (2), (3), and (4) of this section, and all others needed to provide the report and recommendations in the detail and to the extent required by the contracting officer, shall be considered.

(2) *Production*—(i) *General.* The production portion of the on-site survey consists of an evaluation of the prospective contractor's ability to manufacture the products or accomplish the tasks in accordance with the specifications and delivery schedule of the proposed contract. To achieve the objectives of this portion of the on-site survey, the production plan shall be reviewed, production resources ascertained, and the plan related to these resources.

(ii) *Obtaining the production plan.* The prospective contractor's production plan for meeting the delivery schedule shall be ascertained. The principal milestones within the production plan shall be established, along with target dates for achievement. These target dates must support the delivery schedule. The controls to be utilized in order to gear and hold the manufacturing effort to the target dates for the principal milestones shall be analyzed for suitability.

(iii) *Ascertaining production resources.* The information necessary to prepare SF 1404 and SF 1405 shall be obtained by discussion with appropriate management personnel of the prospective contractor. This information shall be verified, when necessary, by physical inspection of the manufacturing plant and evaluated in terms of suitability to manufacture the required items.

(iv) *Relating production plans to production resources.* When necessary, representatives of the prospective contractor shall be requested to advise how in-house and subcontractor production resources described in sections II, III, IV, and VI of SF 1405 will be allocated and utilized in order to achieve the target dates for the principal milestones. Pertinent to this discussion is an analysis of other projects and contracts that will compete for utilization of those resources during the period specified by the prospective contractor's production plan. The information developed as a result of equating the production plan and production resources of the prospective contractor should enable the contracting office to determine whether or not—

(A) The resources the prospective contractor is planning to use are suitable for the job;

(B) The prospective contractor will be capable of properly controlling, maintaining, protecting, and using Government property;

(C) The planning and scheduling of effort will result in timely accomplishment of the principal milestones; and

(D) Achievement of the principal milestones will result in timely delivery.

(3) *Quality assurance.* (i) The standing of the quality assurance organization in the prospective contractor's overall organization must be evaluated. An inspection or quality control function that reports to some other organizational segment (such as production) instead of to top management may be undesirable. The experience of the company's inspection or quality control personnel with the same or similar items shall be evaluated.

(ii) To evaluate the prospective contractor's ability to comply with quality control or inspection requirements, team members shall review—

(A) Methods currently utilized to control product quality, as reflected by a documented or verifiable inspection system or quality program plan;

(B) Personnel on hand and available (report both trained and untrained);

(C) Inspection and test equipment on hand and available;

(D) Quality, identification, and storage of materials;

(E) Physical arrangement of plant;

(F) Tool and gauge control; and

(G) Test and inspection records.

(4) *Financial*— (i) *General.* The normal procedure for determining a prospective contractor's financial capability is initial presurvey planning, followed by verification of financial data as required. The extent of the review and analysis of financial matters shall be governed by the nature of the proposed contract. In certain instances, a sound decision may be possible after a relatively simple review of a company's financial position and production commitments. Under other circumstances, a more comprehensive review and analysis will be required.

(ii) *Procedure.* Actions to be taken in determining the prospective contractor's financial capability (SF 1407) include the following:

(A) The latest balance sheet and profit and loss statement shall be reviewed. Items indicative of the soundness of the prospective contractor's financial structure include rates and ratios, working capital as represented by current assets over current liabilities, and financial trends such as net worth, sales, and profit.

(B) The method of financing the contract shall be evaluated. If sources of outside financing other than the Government are indicated, their availability should be verified.

(C) When financial aid from the Government is to be obtained, the necessity for it should be verified. Review shall be made concerning the applicability of such financing as progress payments or guaranteed loans.

(k) *Evaluating data and preparing the report*— (1) *Findings and recommendations of team members.* When the required information has been gathered, each participant shall (i) analyze it and evaluate the prospective contractor's capability to perform with respect to the functions or elements investigated and (ii) provide his or her findings and recommendations to the monitor on one or more of the appropriate forms (see FAR 9.106–4(a)) or on an attachment or attachments. If a negative reply is recorded, or where doubt exists, an explanation must substantiate the entry. If a detailed analysis is needed or additional significant information is pertinent, the form should be supplemented by a narrative report.

(2) *Monitor's evaluation and recommendation.* On the basis of all the information received, the monitor shall thoroughly review and evaluate the findings and recommendations and forward the report to the chairperson of the review board with (i) a summary of the monitor's findings and (ii) a recommendation concerning award, which shall be supported by a statement of justification and shall, if the prospective contractor is a small business concern, be coordinated with the cognizant small business specialist.

(3) *Review board action.* Upon receiving each preaward report, the chairperson of the review board shall determine the extent of review board action. The requirements of

subparagraph (f)(4) of this section may be satisfied at the chairperson's discretion by—

(i) Action by the chairperson alone;

(ii) Informal contact by the chairperson with one or more of the board members; or

(iii) Formal action by the entire board.

(4) *Final actions.* Following the action by the review board, the monitor shall forward the report directly to the contracting officer. When advance reports are made by telegraphic communication or telephone, they shall be confirmed in writing without delay. The monitor shall follow up on any requirements for the submission of supplemental reports.

Subpart 1809.2—Qualification Requirements

1809.200 Scope of subpart.

This subpart prescribes policies and procedures that, like those of FAR Subpart 9.2, are to be followed in the use of qualified products lists for procurement of microcircuits as authorized by NMI 5320.5, Basic Policy for Electronic Parts, and NMI 5320.8, Implementation of NASA Standard Parts Program.

1809.202 Policy.

(a) Authority regarding agency head actions under FAR 9.202(a) is delegated to the cognizant technical activity, with approval by the installation's competition advocate.

(b) The approval authority of FAR 9.202(e) is delegated to the installation's competition advocate. Requests shall be prepared by the cognizant requirements office and submitted via the procurement officer.

1809.203 QPL's, QML's, and QBL's.

1809.203-70 General.

(a) The Director, Reliability, Maintainability and Quality Assurance Division (Code QR), is responsible for justifying, determining, and approving NASA's need for inclusion and continued use of qualification requirements in specifications under the NASA Microelectronics Reliability Program.

(b) Prior to the procurement of Class "A" microcircuits, as defined in NHB 5300.4(3F), the determination required by paragraph 3F103-2 of that handbook shall be made in writing by an authorized NASA field installation official.

(c) Copies of NHB 5300.4(3F) may be purchased by the public from the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402.

1809.203-71 Waiver of qualification requirements.

When procuring a product under a specification that includes qualification requirements either for the end item or for components of the end item, the NASA installation conducting the procurement can waive the qualification requirements. Directing a waiver of the end item qualification requirement constitutes adequate authorization for waiver of product qualification requirements. When a waiver has been granted, the solicitation shall specifically indicate that the qualification requirement is inapplicable. Such information shall also be included in any synopsis of the procurement (see FAR Subpart 5.2).

1809.206 Acquisitions subject to qualification requirements.

1809.206-1 General.

(a) The emergency determination authority specified at FAR 9.206-1(b) is delegated to the installation's competition advocate. Requests for determination shall be prepared by the cognizant requirements office and submitted through the procurement officer.

(b) Requests not to enforce a qualification requirement in a non-emergency situation shall be prepared by the cognizant requirements office and approved by the Headquarters Office of Safety, Reliability, Maintainability and Quality Assurance, (Code Q).

(c) Under FAR 9.206-1(c), if an offeror seeks to demonstrate its capability, both the product and the producer must meet the established standards.

1809.206-70 Small businesses.

If a small business otherwise eligible for award has been placed in a special status on a Qualified Products List (see NHB 5300.4(3F)) established as a part of the NASA Microelectronics Reliability Program and the contracting officer determines that the small business does not appear to have the capacity to perform, the certificate of competency procedures in FAR Subpart 19.6 are applicable.

1809.206-71 Contract clause.

When qualified products (end items or components of end items) are being procured, the contracting officer shall insert the clause at 1852.209-70, Product Removal from Qualified Products List, in the solicitation and in the resulting contract.

Subpart 1809.4—Debarment, Suspension, and Ineligibility

1809.402 Policy.

For purposes of FAR Subpart 9.4 and this subpart, the Assistant Administrator for Procurement is the "debarring official," the "suspending official," and the agency head's "designee."

1809.404 Consolidated List of Debarred, Suspended, and Ineligible Contractors.

(a) The Office of Procurement (Code HP) is responsible for taking the actions enumerated under FAR 9.404(c).

(b) In compliance with FAR 9.404(c)(5), contracting officers shall consult the Consolidated List to ensure that they do not solicit offers from, award contracts to, or consent to subcontracts with listed contractors, except as provided in FAR 9.405.

1809.405 Effect of listing.

If it is believed that a new contract or subcontract with a debarred or suspended contractor must be awarded, the procurement officer shall prepare a request for a determination as set out in 1809.405-1(b) and forward it to the Assistant Administrator for Procurement (Code HP) for approval.

1809.405-1 Continuation of current contracts.

(a) The contracting officer may terminate a contract under FAR 9.405-1(a) if it is in the best interest of the Government to do so, unless directed otherwise by the Assistant Administrator for Procurement.

(b) If it is believed that an existing contract or subcontract with a debarred or suspended contractor must be renewed or otherwise extended, the procurement officer shall prepare a request for a determination with all necessary support documentation and forward it to the Assistant Administrator for Procurement (Code HP) for approval. Some examples of circumstances that may constitute a compelling reason under FAR 9.405(a) or 9.405-1(b) for award, renewal, or extension include the following:

(1) The property or services to be acquired are available only from the listed contractor.

(2) The urgency of the requirement dictates that NASA deal with the contractor.

(3) Other reasons related to the national defense or program requirements that necessitate continued business dealings with the listed contractor.

1809.405-2 Restrictions on subcontracting.

When a subcontract subject to contracting officer consent must be awarded to a listed contractor, the procurement officer shall prepare a request for a determination with all support documentation and forward it to the Assistant Administrator for Procurement (Code HP) for approval. Some examples of circumstances that may constitute compelling reasons are in 1809.405-1(b) above.

1809.406 Debarment.

1809.406-3 Procedures.

The report required by FAR 9.406-3(a) shall be forwarded by the procurement officer to the Assistant Administrator for Procurement (Code HP) in accordance with 1809.470.

1809.407 Suspension.

1809.407-3 Procedures.

The report required by FAR 9.407-3(a) shall be forwarded by the procurement officer to the Assistant Administrator for Procurement (Code HP) in accordance with 1809.470.

1809.470 Reporting of suspected evasive actions and causes for debarment or suspension.

1809.470-1 Situations requiring reports.

A report incorporating the information required by 1809.470-2 below shall be forwarded by the procurement officer to the Assistant Administrator for Procurement (Code HP) when a contractor—

(a) Has committed, or is suspected of having committed, any of the acts described in FAR 9.406-2 and 9.407-2; or

(b) Is suspected of attempting to evade the prohibitions of a debarment or suspension imposed under the FAR or this Regulation by changes of address, multiple addresses, formation of new companies, or other devices.

1809.470-2 Contents of reports.

Each report shall be coordinated with local counsel and shall include substantially the following information, if available:

(a) Name and address of the contractor.

(b) Names of the principal officers, partners, owners, or managers.

(c) All known affiliates, subsidiaries, or parent firms, and the nature of the affiliation.

(d) A description of the contract or contracts concerned, including the contract number and office identifying numbers or symbols, the amount of each contract, the amounts paid the contractor and still due, and the percentage of work completed and to be completed.

(e) The status of vouchers.

(f) Whether the contract has been assigned pursuant to the Assignment of Claims Act, and, if so, the name and address of the assignee and a copy of the assignment.

(g) Whether any other contracts are outstanding with the contractor or any affiliates, and, if so, their amount, whether they are assigned pursuant to the Assignment of Claims Act, and the amounts paid or due on them.

(h) A complete summary of all pertinent evidence. If a request for debarment or suspension is based on an indictment or a conviction, provide the evidence upon which the indictment or conviction is based.

(i) A recommendation as to the continuation of any current contracts.

(j) An estimate of any damages, sustained by the Government as a result of the contractor's action, including an explanation of the method used in making the estimate.

(k) The comments and recommendations of the contracting officer and local counsel as to:

(1) Whether the contractor should be suspended or debarred,

(2) Whether any limitations should be applied to such action, and

(3) The period of any debarment.

(l) As an enclosure, a copy of the contract(s) or pertinent excerpts, appropriate exhibits, testimony or statements of witnesses, copies of assignments, and other relevant documentation.

1809.470-3 Addresses and copies of reports.

Reports, including enclosures, shall be submitted in duplicate to the Assistant Administrator for Procurement (Code HP), with an additional copy to the Office of General Counsel, NASA Headquarters (Code G).

Subpart 1809.5—Organizational Conflicts of Interest

1809.500 Scope of subpart.

The Assistant Administrator for Procurement has authorized the procurement officer to take those actions reserved in FAR Subpart 9.5 for the head of the contracting activity. However, see 1809.503 regarding waivers.

1809.503 Waiver.

The Administrator has designated the Assistant Administrator for Procurement as the approval authority for waivers under FAR 9.503. The procurement officer shall forward requests for waivers under FAR 9.503 to the Assistant Administrator for Procurement (Code HS) for action.

1809.507 Procedures.

(a) The draft solicitation provision and proposed contract clause required by FAR 9.507(a) shall be approved by the procurement officer when the installation has final authority for source selection and by the Assistant Administrator for Procurement (Code HS) when NASA Headquarters has that authority.

(b) The Assistant Administrator for Procurement (Code HS) shall make any final decision required by FAR 9.507(c)(4).

1809.508 Solicitation provision and contract clause.

1809.508-2 Contract clause.

The contracting officer may insert a clause substantially the same as the clause at 1852.209-71, Limitation of Future Contracting, in solicitations and contracts, in compliance with FAR 9.508.

Subpart 1809.6—Contractor Team Arrangements

1809.670 Contract clause.

The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 1852.209-72, Composition of the Contractor, in all construction invitations for bids and resulting contracts. The clause may be used in other solicitations and contracts to clarify a contractor team arrangement where the prime contractor consists of more than one legal entity, such as a joint venture.

PART 1810—SPECIFICATIONS, STANDARDS, AND OTHER PURCHASE DESCRIPTIONS

Sec.

1810.001 Definitions.
1810.002 Policy.
1810.002-70 NASA policy.
1810.004 Selecting specifications or descriptions for use.
1810.004-70 Additional requirements.
1810.004-71 Brand-name-or-equal purchase description.
1810.007 Deviations.
1810.008 Identification and availability of specifications.
1810.008-70 Brand-name-or-equal awards.
1810.011 Solicitation provisions and contract clauses.
1810.011-70 NASA solicitation provisions and contract clauses.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 2473(c)(1).

1810.001 Definitions.

"Brand-name product" means a commercial product described by brand name and make or model number or other appropriate nomenclature by

which it is offered for sale to the public by the particular manufacturer, producer, or distributor.

1810.002 Policy.

1810.002-70 NASA policy.

Whenever a specification is deemed inadequate, the contracting officer shall initiate immediate action to recommend that the activity responsible for the specification amend or revise it in order to obviate the necessity for repeated departures from the specification.

1810.004 Selecting specifications or descriptions for use.

(a) As required by FAR 10.004(e), contracts will include appropriate preservation, packaging, packing, and marking requirements. The services of packaging technicians shall be used to—

(1) Develop preservation, packaging, packing, and marking requirements for individual procurements; and

(2) Assist in evaluating the reasonableness of contractors' packaging, packing, and marking cost estimates or charges.

(b) Unrealistic preservation, packaging, packing, and marking requirements should be reported and changes recommended to the activity originating the requirement and to the contracting officer.

1810.004-70 Additional requirements.

(a) Drawings and data furnished with solicitations shall be clear and legible (see also FAR 10.008).

(b) Many specifications cover several grades or types and provide for several options in methods of inspection. When such specifications are used, the solicitation shall state specifically the grade, type, or method of inspection on which offers are to be based.

1810.004-71 Brand-name-or-equal purchase description.

(a) Purchase descriptions containing references to one or more brand-name products followed by the words "or equal" may be used only when authorized by FAR 10.004(b)(3) and in accordance with this Part 1810 (see 1810.008-70, 1810.011, and 1852.210-70).

(b) The words "or equal" should not be added if it is determined under paragraph (a) of this section that only a particular product meets the essential requirements of the Government (e.g., when the required supplies can be obtained only from one source (see FAR 6.302-1)).

(c) To the extent feasible, all known acceptable brand-name products should be referenced. If a "brand-name-or-equal" purchase description is used, prospective contractors must be given the opportunity to offer products other than those specifically referenced by brand name if those products will meet the needs of the Government in essentially the same manner as those referenced.

(d) "Brand-name-or-equal" purchase descriptions should set forth the salient physical, functional, or other characteristics of the referenced products essential to the needs of the Government. For example, when interchangeability of parts is required, that requirement should be specified. Purchase descriptions should contain the following characteristics, in addition to those at FAR 10.004(b)(1), to the extent available, and include such other information as is necessary to describe the item required:

(1) Complete common generic identification of the item required.

(2) Applicable model, make, or catalog number for each brand-name product referenced, and identity of the commercial catalog in which it appears.

(3) Name of manufacturer, producer, or distributor of each brand-name product referenced (and address if company is not well known).

(e) When it is needed to describe adequately the item required, a commercial catalog description, or pertinent extracts, may be used if the description is identified in the solicitation as being that of the particular named manufacturer, producer, or distributor. The contracting officer shall ensure that a copy of any catalog referenced (except parts catalogs) is available on request for review by offerors at the contracting office.

(f) When a solicitation contains a "brand-name-or-equal" purchase description, offerors offering brand-name products referenced in that description shall not be required to furnish samples of the referenced brand-name products; however, solicitations may require the submission of samples in the case of offerors proposing "or equal" products.

(g) Proposals offering products differing from brand-name products referenced in a "brand-name-or-equal" purchase description shall be considered for award if the contracting officer determines under the provision at 1852.210-70 that the offered products meet the salient characteristics required by the solicitation. Offers shall not be rejected simply because of minor differences in design, construction, or features that do not affect the suitability of the products for their intended use.

(h) Except as provided in subdivision (i)(1) of this section, when a "brand-name-or-equal" purchase description is included in a solicitation, the following shall be inserted after each item so described in the solicitation for completion by the offeror:

Offering:
Manufacturer's
Name_____
Brand_____ No._____

(i) (1) Where components of an end item are described in the solicitation by a "brand-name-or-equal" purchase description and the contracting officer determines that applying of the provision at 1852.210-70 to them would be impracticable, the requirements of paragraph (h) of this section shall not apply with respect to them. In such cases, if the provision is included in the solicitation for other reasons, a statement substantially as follows also shall be included:

The provision entitled Brand Name or Equal does not apply to the following components:
(List the components to which the provision does not apply.)

(2) In the alternative, if the contracting officer determines that the provision at 1852.210-70 should apply only to certain components, the requirements of paragraph (h) of this section shall apply to them, and a statement substantially as follows shall be included:

The provision entitled Brand Name or Equal applies to the following components:
(List the components to which the provision applies.)

(j) The policies and procedures prescribed in paragraphs (a) through (i) of this section apply to both sealed-bid and negotiated procurements. If use of the provision is not practicable (as may be the case, for example, in exigency purchases), suppliers shall be informed that proposals offering products different from the products referenced by brand name will be considered if the contracting officer determines that they are equal in all significant and material respects to the products referenced.

1810.007 Deviations.

If an exception or deviation from a Federal or military specification is required—

(a) The contracting officer shall, before issuing the solicitation, submit a fully documented and justified request for the deviation to the procurement officer; and

(b) The procurement officer shall comply with FAR 10.007(a).

1810.008 Identification and availability of specifications.

Each solicitation shall be accompanied by the applicable specifications, standards, plans,

drawings, and other pertinent documents, or shall state where they can be obtained or examined.

1810.008-70 Brand-name-or-equal awards.

Award documents shall identify or incorporate by reference an identification of the specific products the contractor is to furnish. This identification shall include any brand name and make or model number, descriptive material, and any modifications of brand-name products specified in the solicitation. Included in this requirement are those instances in which:

(a) The description of the end item contains "brand-name-or-equal" purchase descriptions of components or of accessories related to the end item and

(b) The solicitation includes the provision at 1852.210-70 as applicable to such components or accessories (see 1810.004-70(i)).

1810.011 Solicitation provisions and contract clauses.

1810.011-70 NASA solicitation provisions and contract clauses.

(a) When a "brand-name-or-equal" purchase description is used, the contracting officer shall insert in the solicitation the provision at 1852.210-70, Brand Name or Equal.

(b) The contracting officer shall insert the provision at 1852.210-71, Descriptive Literature for Used Material, in solicitations containing FAR provision 52.210-6, Listing of Used or Reconditioned Material, Residual Inventory, and Former Government Surplus Property. Insert the information needed to make a determination that the items to be furnished can reasonably be expected to conform to the requirements of the solicitation.

(c) The contracting officer may insert a clause substantially as stated in 1852.210-72, Supplies and/or Services to be Furnished, in all solicitations and contracts to indicate the items to be delivered. Insert the item number, description of the supplies (see FAR 2.101 for definition) and/or services to be furnished, quantities to be furnished, unit and unit price (if applicable), and total dollar amount. The column headings may be modified for what is being acquired and for the type of contract.

(d) The contracting officer shall insert a clause substantially as stated at 1852.210-75, Packaging and Marking, in solicitations and contracts where the packaging and marking requirements of NASA Handbook 6000.1 and/or MIL-STD-2073 are appropriate. Insert the applicable information for the particular procurement. Substitute Alternate I for paragraphs (a), (b), (c), and (d) of the basic clause if commercial packing and marking practices are to be used. Add Alternate II if space flight item(s) are to be delivered.

PART 1812—CONTRACT DELIVERY OR PERFORMANCE

Subpart 1812.1—Delivery or Performance Schedules

Sec.
1812.104 Contract clauses.
1812.104-70 Additional clauses.

Subpart 1812.2—Liquidated Damages

1812.202 Policy.

Subpart 1812.3—Priorities and Allocations

1812.302 General.
1812.303 Procedures.
1812.303-70 NASA procedures.

Subpart 1812.5—Suspension of Work, Stop-Work Orders, and Government Delay of Work

1812.505 Contract clauses.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 2473(c)(1).

Subpart 1812.1—Delivery or Performance Schedules

1812.104 Contract clauses.

1812.104-70 Additional clauses.

(a) The contracting officer may use the clause at 1852.212-70, Notice of Delay, if it is desirable to provide for contractor notice of anticipated delays in performance.

(b) See 1827.406(b) regarding reports of work.

(c) The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 1852.212-72, Partial Shipments, in solicitations and contracts when a quantity specified for a line item to be delivered is more than one unit, or when the Schedule contains more than one line item to be delivered, and partial shipments by the contractor will not adequately meet the Government's overall needs.

(d) The contracting officer may insert a clause substantially as stated at 1852.212-73, Delivery Schedule, in solicitations and contracts if the Government requires delivery of items on specific dates during the contract. Insert the item description, quantity of items to be furnished, delivery dates, and shipping addresses applicable to the particular procurement.

(e) The contracting officer may insert a clause substantially as stated at 1852.212-74, Period of Performance, in term contracts to specify the time during which contract performance will take place. Insert the period of performance dates applicable to the particular procurement.

Subpart 1812.2—Liquidated Damages

1812.202 Policy.

The contracting officer shall forward recommendations concerning remission of liquidated damages via the head of the contracting activity to the Assistant Administrator for Procurement for submission to the Administrator.

Subpart 1812.3—Priorities and Allocations

1812.302 General.

(a) The Department of Defense is the "Delegate Agency" for NASA. The Headquarters Procurement Policy Division, Code HP, shall coordinate with DOD, as necessary, to ensure that any DOD-imposed requirements are met.

(b) Additional regulatory guidance is available in "DPAS, Defense Priorities and Allocations System," Department of Commerce, International Trade Administration, Office of Industrial Resource Administration, Washington, DC 20250, October 1984, 66 pages. (Copies available from Department of Commerce.) This DOC booklet contains the pertinent parts of 15 CFR Part 350 and is the recommended guidance on the DPAS to contractors and suppliers receiving rated orders.

1812.303 Procedures.

1812.303-70 NASA procedures.

(a) The use of priority ratings on NASA contracts and purchase orders is mandatory except as noted in paragraph (d) of this section. Priority ratings are assigned on individual contracts and purchase orders by the contracting officer.

(b) Except where no rating is issued as provided in paragraph (d) of this section, all NASA orders shall be assigned a DO rating, unless NASA has obtained a DX rating from the Delegate Agency. Currently, NASA has no programs with a DX rating.

(c) The program identification numbers (DPAS, 15 CFR Part 350, SCH L.) to be used by NASA are as follows:

A1 Aircraft
A2 Missiles
A3 Ships
A5 Weapons
A6 Ammunition
A7 Electronic and Communications Equipment
B1 Military Building Supplies
B8 Production Equipment (For Contractor's Account)
B9 Production Equipment (Government-Owned)
C2 Construction
C3 Maintenance, Repair, and Operating Supplies for Facilities
C9 Miscellaneous/Other

(d) Priority ratings will not be issued for the following:

(1) Items ordered or requisitioned from the GSA Federal Supply Service.

(2) Items for plant improvement, expansion, or construction, unless they will be physically incorporated into a construction project covered by a rated order, or unless NASA has obtained specific priority rating authority.

(3) Production or construction equipment or items to be used for the manufacture of production equipment, unless NASA has obtained specific priority rating authority.

(4) Items falling under the jurisdiction of agencies other than NASA's Delegate Agency. These are: petroleum, gas, solid fuel, electric power, and all other forms of energy; food; civil transportation and the movement of persons and property by all modes; minerals; water; housing facilities; health facilities; radioisotopes, stable isotopes, source material and special nuclear material produced in Government-owned plants or facilities operated by or for the Department of Energy; communication services; copper raw materials; crushed stone; gravel; sand; scrap; slag; central steam heat; and waste paper.

(e) The Headquarters Procurement Policy Division (Code HP) is responsible for coordinating DPAS matters with the Delegate Agency and the Department of Commerce, as necessary. Installation requests arising under FAR 12.303(g) regarding assignment of ratings, special priorities assistance, controlled materials, or related DPAS topics shall be directed to Code HP.

Subpart 1812.5—Suspension of Work, Stop-Work Orders, and Government Delay of Work

1812.505 Contract clauses.

The Stop-Work Order clause at FAR 52.212-13 is not authorized for use in research contracts with educational or other nonprofit institutions.

SUBCHAPTER C—CONTRACTING METHODS AND CONTRACT TYPES

PART 1813—SMALL PURCHASE AND OTHER SIMPLIFIED PURCHASE PROCEDURES

Subpart 1813.1—General

Sec.

1813.104 Procedures.

Subpart 1813.2—Blanket Purchase Agreements

1813.203 Establishment of blanket purchase agreements.
1813.203-1 General.
1813.204 Purchases under blanket purchase agreements.
1813.205 Review procedures.

Subpart 1813.3—Fast Payment Procedure

1813.302 Conditions for use.
1813.303 Preparation and execution of orders.

Subpart 1813.4—Imprest Fund

1813.403 Agency responsibilities.
1813.403-70 Establishment of imprest funds.
1813.404 Conditions for use.
1813.405 Procedures.

Subpart 1813.5—Purchase Orders

1813.502 Unpriced purchase orders.
1813.505 Purchase order and related forms.
1813.505-2 Agency order forms in lieu of Optional Forms 347 and 348.
1813.505-3 Standard Form 44, Purchase Order-Invoice-Voucher.

Subpart 1813.70—Procurement Request Overlay Method

1813.7001 General.
1813.7002 Limitations on use.
1813.7003 Procedures.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 2473(c)(1).

Subpart 1813.1—General

1813.104 Procedures.

(a) Small purchase procedures are exempt from the requirements of the Competition in Contracting Act of 1984 (see FAR 6.001).

(b) When bulk funding is deemed appropriate, see Financial Management Manual 9030-5e and 9040-4b.

(c) For sole-source procurements over $1,000 involving (1) an individual employed by NASA during the past 2 years or (2) a firm in which such an individual is a partner, principal officer, or majority shareholder, or that is otherwise controlled or predominantly staffed by such individuals (see Subpart 1803.70), the notation required by FAR 13.106(c)(2) shall be submitted for approval at a level above the contracting officer.

Subpart 1813.2—Blanket Purchase Agreements

1813.203 Establishment of blanket purchase agreements.

1813.203-1 General.

Blanket purchase agreements (BPA's) may be prepared and issued on any installation-prescribed purchase order form.

1813.204 Purchases under blanket purchase agreements.

Non-GS/GM-1102 or 1105 personnel shall not be authorized to place orders under a BPA in amounts greater than $1,000 for an individual order. A contracting officer warrant is not required to place an order under a BPA; however, individuals authorized to order must be specified in writing, by the contracting officer. Authority to place orders should be revoked immediately upon evidence of abuse.

1813.205 Review procedures.

The procurement officer shall ensure that all BPA files are reviewed at least annually to ascertain that appropriate procedures are being followed and that prices obtained are fair and reasonable.

Subpart 1813.3—Fast Payment Procedure

1813.302 Conditions for use.

Pursuant to FAR 13.302, the dollar limitation for NASA is hereby established as the small purchase ceiling for defense agencies.

1813.303 Preparation and execution of orders.

Orders incorporating the fast payment procedure may be issued on Optional Form 347, Order for Supplies or Services, or on any other installation-prescribed purchase order form.

Subpart 1813.4—Imprest Fund

1813.403 Agency responsibilities.

1813.403-70 Establishment of imprest funds.

(a) *Authority.* Upon approval of the Chief, Disbursing Office of the Treasury Department, the Director of Financial Management, NASA Headquarters, may authorize the establishment of imprest funds and any required subsequent increases to them. The number of imprest funds at an installation should generally be restricted to the minimum number necessary to ensure efficiency in small purchase transactions. Financial Management Manual 9650, Imprest Fund Procedures, should be used in conjunction with this subpart.

(b) *Amount of imprest funds.* The amount of each fund shall be established on the basis of the estimated monthly payments to be made from it and the need for replenishment without undue administrative burden. Financial management officers of each NASA installation will designate one or more employee(s) in their office to make unannounced cash verifications of imprest fund balances at least quarterly. Each cash verification will be documented, and working papers retained for review by the Office of the Inspector General and personnel of the Financial Management Division, NASA Headquarters. In conjunction with the cash verification, the level of funds held in an imprest fund shall be evaluated on a semiannual basis (see FAR 13.403). The disclosure of any unauthorized use of or irregular accounting for an imprest fund during the quarterly cash

verification, or the annual audit or at any other time, will be reported to the Director, Financial Management Division, NASA Headquarters.

(c) *Imprest fund cashiers.* (1) Imprest fund cashiers shall be appointed in accordance with Financial Management Manual 9650 and are authorized to make cash payments for materials and nonpersonal services, maintain custody of funds, and file periodic vouchers to account for and replenish the imprest fund. Financial management or fiscal officers and others having access to accounting records or responsibility for originating, approving, processing, or receiving requirements are not eligible for appointment as imprest fund cashiers. In no event shall an imprest fund cashier have access to or control of more than one imprest fund.

(2) An alternate imprest fund cashier may be appointed (i) if the volume of work requires an alternate to the principal cashier or (ii) to provide service during the principal cashier's absence. Appointment requirements for principal cashiers shall apply to alternate cashiers. In planned short-term absences of the principal cashier, cash may be advanced by the principal to the alternate in an amount not to exceed the alternate's limit of the fund. Upon resuming duties, the principal cashier shall return the cash receipt to the alternate after obtaining paid receipts, subvouchers, and residual cash. In the absence of the principal cashier for more than 15 working days or for a period of time that will require submission of a reimbursable voucher before the principal's return, transfer of funds from the principal to the alternate cashier shall be accomplished as prescribed by Financial Management Manual 9650.

1813.404 Conditions for use.

(a) Imprest Funds may be used to make local cash payments of $300 or less for transactions involving—

(1) Small purchases and nonpersonal services which are readily available for delivery and do not require technical specifications or technical inspection and where direct cash payment will be advantageous to the government;

(2) Travel advances and vouchered reimbursements for travel expenses (not to exceed $500 for any one transaction);

(4) Postage stamps, parcel post, c.o.d. postal charges, and local drayage;

(5) Reimbursement for the cost of official local transportation and telephone or telegraphic services; or

(6) Prepaid transportation charges indicating f.o.b. shipping point which do not exceed $100.

(b) Imprest funds shall not be used for—

(1) Articles or services, particularly repetitive items, which are available from store stock inventories;

(2) Purchases of articles or services in quantities or amounts covered by mandatory sources of supply;

(3) Articles or services which are restricted by statutory or regulatory laws, i.e., newspapers and similar items;

(4) Paying salaries or wages;

(5) Advances, other than those authorized in 1813.405(b);

(6) Cashing checks or other negotiable instruments;

(7) For money orders or personal services unless authorized by the Director, Financial Management Division, NASA Headquarters; or

(8) Paying for international shipments or household goods shipments.

1813.405 Procedures.

(a) *Receipt of material.* (1) All material purchased through the imprest fund shall be delivered to a designated receiving activity. The receiver shall examine the material to ascertain that the quantities and items described in the procurement request and the supplier's sales document are present and in satisfactory condition. If the material is acceptable, the receiver shall stamp the sales document "Received and Accepted," date and sign the document, and pass it to the imprest fund cashier for payment. In the absence of a supplier's sales document, a receipted Standard Form 1165, Receipt for Cash—Subvoucher, shall be used to record the receipt of purchases made from the imprest fund and shall be processed in the same manner.

(2) When it is not practicable to obtain delivery of material at destination on a c.o.d. basis, advance arrangements may be made for the material to be picked up. The imprest fund cashier may then advance cash to an authorized individual to pick up and pay for the material. Necessary certifications of receipt and acceptance of material shall be obtained on one of the documents as indicated in subparagraph (a)(1) of this section. Receipt for cash payment (see paragraph (c) of this section) shall be made on the same document, which will serve as the imprest fund receipt.

(3) When prior arrangements for picking up material are not practicable, the imprest fund cashier may advance cash to an authorized individual to make a proposed purchase.

(b) *Advance of funds.* Individuals receiving a cash advance from the imprest fund cashier shall be required to sign the "Interim Receipt for Cash" portion of Standard Form 1165. After purchase has been made, the individual will return any unused cash to the imprest fund cashier with the necessary certifications of receipt, acceptance, and cash payment, at which time the imprest fund cashier shall void the interim receipt for cash. Cash advanced should generally be accounted for daily, but may be advanced for a period not to exceed five consecutive work days.

(c) *Certification of cash payment.* (1) The original receipt document (or a copy tendered as the original) presented to the imprest fund cashier for payment shall be stamped with a certification containing—

(i) A statement that cash payment was received in full;

(ii) The amount paid;

(iii) The date of payment; and

(iv) The signature and title of the supplier or agent receiving the payment.

(2) Alterations or corrections to documents tendered for payment shall be initialled by the person making the change. Changes in the amount paid shall be initialled by the individual receiving payment.

(d) *Responsibilities of imprest fund cashier.* Pending receipt of material, the imprest fund cashier shall keep a file of procurement requests covering imprest fund purchases. Before payment or acceptance of the document tendered for settlement of an advance, the cashier shall verify the necessary certification of receipt and the supplier's billed price or the price paid. If the supplier's receipt for cash payment is not obtained for a purchase of $15.00 or less, the person making the purchase shall complete and sign the cash receipt document indicating receipt of funds from the imprest fund.

(e) *Payments.* (1) C.o.d. Upon presentation of an authorized document with the necessary certification of receipt for supplies or services, the imprest fund cashier or other authorized individual shall pay the supplier or agent and obtain the certification of cash payment as required by paragraph (c) of this section.

(2) Receipt from common carrier or post office. When c.o.d. shipments are received or picked up from a common carrier or post office, the certification of cash payment may be accomplished on a list of the packages provided by the post office or common carrier. Such receipt shall be supported by copies of the applicable sales document, if available.

(3) Periodic payments. When use of a blanket purchase agreement is not suitable and it is administratively convenient and agreeable to the

supplier, periodic payments from the imprest fund may be made for supplies delivered on a repetitive basis, provided that the accumulated amount of the deliveries for the specified period does not exceed the dollar limitation imposed on the imprest fund method by 1813.404.

(4) Failure to ship c.o.d. When material is ordered c.o.d., but is shipped by the supplier subject to payment by check, Standard Form 1034, Public Voucher for Purchases and Services Other than Personal, may be used to make payment. Under these circumstances, the receiver shall prepare the necessary certification of receipt and shall forward the receipted document through the imprest fund cashier for:

(i) Attachment of the supporting documents authorizing the shipment and

(ii) Submission to the disbursing officer for payment.

(f) *Reimbursement of imprest funds.* (1) The imprest fund shall be reimbursed in accordance with Financial Management Manual 9650.

(2) When a supplier refunds cash before submission of the Standard Form 1129, Reimbursement Voucher, covering the payment, the imprest fund cashier shall accept the refund, return it to the imprest fund, and enter the amount on the original of the supplier's receipt. When refund is made after submission of the applicable Standard Form 1129, the imprest fund cashier shall enter the amount on the retained copy of the reimbursement voucher and promptly:

(i) Submit the refund directly to the disbursing officer or

(ii) Deposit it directly on Standard Form 209, Certificate of Deposit.

(g) *Accounting.* Recordkeeping shall be based on simplified memorandum records to permit frequent reconciliation of funds and should show:

(1) The amount of cash received,

(2) The amount paid out, and

(3) The balance on hand at the close of each day.

It is permissible to group numerous small payments by numbering payment documents and affixing to them an adding-machine tape showing the total applying to each grouping of payment documents.

(h) *Audits.* The Financial Management Officer of each NASA installation having an Imprest Fund will perform an annual audit of the advances and disbursements made from the fund. Documentation covering the annual audit is retained for review by the Office of Inspector General and personnel of the Financial Management Division, NASA Headquarters. The Office of Inspector General, NASA Headquarters, will conduct an audit of each Imprest Fund not less than once every 3 years. More frequent audits may be made where, on the basis of past findings, the audits have disclosed procedural deficiencies.

Subpart 1813.5—Purchase Orders

1813.502 Unpriced purchase orders.

(a) NASA contracting officers shall ensure that suppliers receiving unpriced purchase orders are carefully selected.

(b) Orders shall not contain an estimated target unit price.

(c) Suitable local records and controls of outstanding unpriced purchase orders shall be maintained to ensure regular follow-up with suppliers until the order is priced. These records should include any information available to support the fairness and reasonableness of the proposed monetary limitation.

1813.505 Purchase order and related forms.

1813.505-2 Agency order forms in lieu of Optional Forms 347 and 348.

Optional Forms 347 and 348 or Installation-prescribed forms may be used.

1813.505-3 Standard Form 44, Purchase Order-Invoice-Voucher.

The $2,500 ceiling for use of the Standard Form 44 at FAR 13.505-3 is raised to $10,000 for purchases of aviation fuel and oil only.

Subpart 1813.70—Procurement Request Overlay Method

1813.7001 General.

This method is an acceptable adjunct to other simplified purchase procedures and may be used in placing oral orders when use of a BPA is too cumbersome or no BPA exists. Each transaction is recorded on a small form that attaches to, becomes a part of, and overlays a portion of the procurement request. Suppliers' delivery tickets are used as additional supporting documentation. Use of this method eliminates the administrative requirements for preparing and mailing individual purchase orders. This method may be used when suppliers:

(a) Are willing to accept oral orders and

(b) Can be reasonably relied upon to fill them in accordance with the terms of the oral transaction.

1813.7002 Limitations on use.

This method shall not be used if—

(a) The requirements of more than one procurement request are to be combined in a transaction;

(b) More than one delivery will be required (unless the requirements of a single procurement request are to be divided among several suppliers and only one delivery per supplier is required);

(c) An installation-prescribed form or Optional Form 347 has been utilized;

(d) It is necessary or desirable to incorporate specifications or other terms and conditions in a written purchase order;

(e) GSA Federal Supply Schedules are to be used;

(f) Purchases are to be made from or through other Government agencies; or

(g) The amount of the purchase is over $2,500 (unless the purchase is made under a BPA).

1813.7003 Procedures.

(a) After competitive selection of the source (see FAR 13.106), the order may be placed by telephone. Particular care shall be taken to ensure that the supplier agrees to accept the order without written confirmation and that the order number, item descriptions, quantities, prices, and other terms and conditions are clearly understood. If the amount of the purchase does not exceed $300, the supplier should be encouraged to deliver c.o.d. (see FAR 13.404).

(b) The supplier shall be given all pertinent and specific instructions at the time of placing the order, including the installation's methods of handling charge purchases to be billed within a period of one month or less.

(c) Upon placing the order, the contracting officer shall (1) ensure that a preprinted overlay form is affixed to the procurement request and, if necessary, after the overlay is filled in (2) adjust the estimated unit and total cost figures of the procurement request to reflect the actual purchase price. This shall be done by lining through the original procurement request figures so that they remain legible. Any other changes in the original information of the procurement request to make it conform to the facts of the purchase shall be made in like manner. The preprinted overlay form shall contain at least the following information:

Order No. _____
Supplier (Name) _____
(Address, City) _____
(State, Zip) _____
Discount Terms _____
Delivery Date _____
Buyer _____

F.o.b. Destination
Procurement
Placement
Code _____

Action: procurement request line items ( ), ( ), (etc.) complete*

Total procurement request action: partial,* complete*
Payment: cash,* charge*

(Contracting Officer Signature)
Date Signed
(*Circle as appropriate)

(d) The contracting officer shall sign and date the completed overlay form on the procurement request.

(e) The procurement request with overlay shall be reproduced and distributed internally in accordance with the installation's local instructions to provide copies to the offices or activities responsible for receiving and accepting the merchandise, making cash payment, or processing charge purchases.

(f) In accordance with local instructions, copies of the supplier's delivery ticket received with the merchandise shall be distributed to establish receipt and acceptance of merchandise and serve as a basis for cash payment or obligation of funds and subsequent payment of charge purchases.

PART 1814—SEALED BIDDING

Subpart 1814.2—Solicitation of Bids

Sec.
1814.201 Preparation of invitations for bids.
1814.201-2 Part I—The Schedule.
1814.201-5 Part IV—Representations and instructions.
1814.201-6 Solicitation provisions.
1814.201-670 NASA solicitation provisions.

Subpart 1814.3—Submission of Bids

1814.302 Bid submission.

Subpart 1814.4—Opening of Bids and Award of Contract

1814.404 Rejection of bids.
1814.404-1 Cancellation of invitations after opening.
1814.404-170 Delegation of authority.
1814.406 Mistakes in bids.
1814.406-3 Other mistakes disclosed before award.
1814.406-4 Mistakes after award.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 2473(c)(1).

Subpart 1814.2—Solicitation of Bids

1814.201 Preparation of invitations for bids.

1814.201-2 Part I—The schedule.

The contracting officer shall include in the Schedule the data specified—

(a) In FAR 14.201-2;

(b) Elsewhere in the FAR; and

(c) Elsewhere in this regulation.

1814.201-5 Part IV—Representations and instructions.

Section M—Evaluation factors for award.

(a) If award is to be made in the aggregate (all-or-none basis), the contracting officer shall so state.

(b) If award is to be made by specified groups of items, the contracting officer shall so state.

(c) If bidders are required to have special technical qualifications because of the complexity of the equipment being purchased or for some other reason, the contracting officer shall state those qualifications.

1814.201-6 Solicitation provisions.

1814.201-670 NASA solicitation provisions.

(a) The contracting officer shall insert the provision at 1852.214-70, Caution to Offerors Furnishing Descriptive Literature, in invitations for bids. See FAR 52.214-21, Descriptive Literature.

(b) The contracting officer shall insert the provision at 1852.214-71, Grouping for Aggregate Award, in invitations for bid, except for construction, and in competitive requests for proposals when conditions of inspection, installation, performance, or location are impracticable under multiple awards for certain items or groupings of certain items. See 1814.201-5 (a) and (b) and FAR 52.214-10 and 52.215-16. Insert the item numbers and/or descriptions applicable for the particular procurement.

(c) The contracting officer shall insert the provision at 1852.214-72, Full Quantities, in invitations for bid, except for construction, and competitive requests for proposals when it is in the Government's best interest not to make award for less than the full quantities solicited. See FAR 52.214-10 and 52.215-16.

(d) For conducting pre-bid conferences, see 1815.407-70(f).

Subpart 1814.3—Submission of Bids

1814.302 Bid submission.

In accordance with FAR 14.302(b), NASA has elected not to consider telegraphic bids communicated by telephone. When telegraphic bids are authorized, the telegram must be delivered in writing.

Subpart 1814.4—Opening of Bids and Award of Contract

1814.404 Rejection of bids.

1814.404-1 Cancellation of invitations after opening.

1814.404-170 Delegation of authority.

(a) The authority to make the determination at FAR 14.404-1(c) to cancel the invitation and reject all bids is delegated to the contracting officer, except as provided in subparagraph (b)(2) of this section.

(b) A determination under FAR 14.404-1(c) (6) or (7) that includes an authorization to complete the acquisition through negotiation (see FAR 14.404-1(e)(1)) shall be approved by—

(1) The contracting officer, if the solicitation is to be cancelled because no responsive bid has been received from a responsible bidder; or

(2) The procurement officer, if the solicitation is to be cancelled because:

(i) All otherwise acceptable bids received are at unreasonable prices,

(ii) Only one bid is received and the contracting officer cannot determine the reasonableness of the bid price, or

(iii) The bids were not independently arrived at in open competition, were collusive, or were submitted in bad faith.

The procurement officer shall obtain the advice of the Chief Counsel before making this determination.

1814.406 Mistakes in bids.

1814.406-3 Other mistakes disclosed before award.

(a) Under the authority delegated by the Administrator in NMI 5101.8, Delegation of Authority To Take Actions in Procurement and Related Matters (Assistant Administrator for Procurement), the Assistant Administrator for Procurement is authorized to permit the correction of bids under FAR 14.406-3 (a) and (b) and the award of a contract under 14.406-3(d) (see FAR 14.406-3(e)). The Assistant Administrator for Procurement has authorized procurement officers to permit withdrawal of bids when the conditions in FAR 14.406-3(c) are met. When the procurement officer permits withdrawal of a bid, a copy of the determination shall be forwarded to the Office of Procurement, Code HP, within ten days after the determination is made.

(b) When a contractor requests correction of a bid under FAR 14.406-3(a) and it is determined that a mistake has been made but correction is denied and, as an alternative, the contractor requests award under its bid as submitted, the contracting officer shall refer the file to the Assistant Administrator for Procurement for determination.

(c) Any doubtful case under FAR 14.406-3 should be forwarded to the Assistant Administrator for Procurement.

1814.406–4 Mistakes after award.

(a) Any determination authorized by FAR 14.406–4 (b) and (c) shall be made by the contracting officer after obtaining concurrence from the installation's Office of Chief Counsel in accordance with FAR 14.406–4(d).

(b) A copy of any determination made under FAR 14.406–4(b) and

(c) Shall be forwarded to the Office of Procurement, Code HP.

PART 1815—CONTRACTING BY NEGOTIATION

Subpart 1815.1—General Requirements for Negotiation

Sec.
1815.102 General.
1815.170 Factors in negotiation.

Subpart 1815.4—Solicitation and Receipt of Proposals and Quotations

1815.405 Solicitations for information or planning purposes.
1815.405–1 General.
1815.405–70 Application.
1815.405–71 Procedure.
1815.405–72 Special considerations.
1815.406 Preparing requests for proposals (RFP's) and requests for quotations (RFQ's).
1815.406–2 Part I—The Schedule.
1815.406–4 Part III—List of documents, exhibits, and other attachments.
1815.406–5 Part IV—Representations and instructions.
1815.406–70 Instructions for technical proposal and business management proposal submission.
1815.407 Solicitation provisions.
1815.407–70 NASA solicitation provisions.
1815.412 Late proposals and modifications.
1815.413 Disclosure and use of information before award.
1815.413–2 Alternate II.

Subpart 1815.5—Unsolicited Proposals

1815.502 Policy.
1815.503 General.
1815.504 Advance guidance.
1815.504–70 Unsolicited proposal brochure.
1815.505 Content of unsolicited proposals.
1815.505–70 Unsolicited renewal proposals.
1815.506 Agency procedures.
1815.507 Contracting methods.
1815.508 Prohibitions.
1815.508–70 NASA prohibitions.
1815.509 Limited use of data.
1815.509–70 Limited use of proposals.
1815.570 Foreign proposals.

Subpart 1815.6—Source Selection

1815.608 Proposal evaluation.
1815.608–70 Technical evaluation.
1815.608–71 Automatic data processing equipment.
1815.610 Written or oral discussion.
1815.613 Alternative source selection procedures.
1815.613–70 General.
1815.613–71 Evaluation and negotiation of procurements conducted in accordance with source evaluation board (SEB) procedures.
Sec.
1815.613–72 NASA solicitation provision.

Subpart 1815.7—Make-or-Buy Programs

1815.704 Items and work included.
1815.706 Evaluation, negotiation, and agreement.
1815.708 Contract clause.
1815.708–70 NASA contract clause.

Subpart 1815.8—Price Negotiation

1815.804 Cost or pricing data.
1815.804–3 Exemptions from or waiver of submission of certified cost or pricing data.
1815.805–4 Technical analysis.
1815.805–470 Responsibilities of NASA requirements personnel.
1815.805–5 Field pricing support.
1815.806 Subcontract pricing considerations.
1815.807 Prenegotiation objectives.
1815.807–70 Content of the prenegotiation position memorandum.
1815.807–71 Installation reviews.
1815.807–72 Headquarters reviews.
1815.808 Price negotiation memorandum.
1815.870 Subcontract price redetermination.
1815.870–1 General.
1815.870–2 NASA contract clause.
1815.871 Procedures for identifying contractor's unallowable costs.
1815.872 Tracking and resolution of expenditure and system audit findings.

Subpart 1815.9—Profit

1815.902 Policy.
1815.903 Contracting officer responsibilities.
1815.903–70 Contracting officer authority for negotiating architect-engineer fees.
1815.904 Solicitation provision and contract clause.
1815.970 NASA structured approach for profit or fee objective.
1815.970–1 Common factors.
1815.970–2 Additional factors—unusual pricing agreements.
1815.970–3 Facilities capital cost of money.
1815.971 Payment of profit or fee under letter contracts.

Subpart 1815.10—Preaward, Award, and Postaward Notifications, Protests, and Mistakes

1815.1003 Debriefing of unsuccessful offerors.
1815.1003–1 Scope of subpart.
1815.1003–2 Policy.
1815.1003–3 Designated officials.
1815.1003–4 Procedures.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 2473(c)(1).

Subpart 1815.1—General Requirements for Negotiation

1815.102 General.

Procurement personnel, as well as others concerned, shall ensure that contract negotiations are completed expeditiously. All details of the negotiation must be completed before the contract is awarded, including, to the extent applicable—

(a) Arrangements regarding Government-furnished property, including the contractor's responsibility for controlling, maintaining, and accounting for it;

(b) Determination that the prospective contractor is responsible, including completion of preaward surveys when required;

(c) Arrangements with other Government agencies for using facilities under their control;

(d) Verification that any required security clearance has been obtained; and

(e) Establishment of the requirement for the contractor to furnish data, both for technical evaluation and for competitive reprocurement when follow-on procurement is probable, if the appropriate technical office has requested such data.

1815.170 Factors in negotiation.

During negotiations, contracting officers and their negotiators shall give due attention to the following factors listed in (a) through (h) of this section and any other appropriate factors:

(a) Comparison of—

(1) The business reputations, capabilities, and responsibilities of the offerors;

(2) Prices quoted by each with other prices for the same or similar supplies or services, with due regard to production costs, including extra-pay shift, multishift, and overtime costs, and any other factors relating to price, such as profits, transportation costs, and cash discounts.

(b) Consideration of—

(1) The quality of the supplies or services offered or the quantity of the same or similar supplies or services previously furnished, with particular regard to the satisfaction of technical requirements;

(2) Delivery requirements;

(3) Cost sharing, if required (see 1816.303);

(4) The size of the business concern;

(5) Whether the offeror requires expansion or conversion of plant facilities;

(6) Whether the offeror is in a labor surplus area;

(7) Whether the offeror will have an adequate supply of qualified labor;

(8) The extent of subcontracting;

(9) The offeror's existing and potential workload;

(10) The possibility of broadening the industrial base by developing additional suppliers;

(11) Whether the offeror requires Government-furnished property, machine tools, or facilities, or Government-operated test facilities; and

(12) The rules for avoiding of organizational conflicts of interest (see FAR Subpart 9.5).

(c) Discriminating use of price and cost analyses.

(d) Investigation of price aspects of any important subcontract.

(e) Individual bargaining, by mail or by conference.

(f) Effective utilization of the most desirable type of contract.

(g) Advantages or disadvantages to the Government that might result from making multiple awards (see FAR 15.605(f)).

(h) Royalties the Government will be required to pay under patent license agreements.

Subpart 1815.4—Solicitation and Receipt of Proposals and Quotations

1815.405 Solicitations for information or planning purposes.

1815.405-1 General.

(a) Solicitations for information or planning purposes are particularly useful when a procurement can be properly negotiated only after potential offerors have had an opportunity to become familiar with a large quantity of data, or when it would be desirable to have industry participation in formulating and reviewing complex specifications or requirements. Solicitations for information or planning purposes can have desirable benefits. They can promote competition and help ensure the reasonableness and feasibility of the requirement. Potential sources can be apprised of NASA requirements in a particular field and invited to submit data for consideration early in the acquisition process without undue expenditure of time, effort, and money.

(b) Solicitations for information or planning purposes may not be used as a means for prequalifying offerors. While, under some circumstances, their use may lengthen the acquisition cycle, it may shorten the time required for submitting proposals when a large quantity of data must be analyzed by industry before proposals can be submitted.

1815.405-70 Application.

(a) Solicitations for information or planning purposes should be utilized when appropriate; e.g., in major systems acquisitions or other complex projects for which feasibility or technology studies are being conducted (either in-house or by contract) that may have relevance to later competitions. The results of such studies may be made available to industry as soon as they are known or periodically.

(b) Requirements for automatic data processing equipment or support services to perform specified operations or achieve certain results may be suitable for advance review and comment by the private sector when diverse approaches to accomplishing mission objectives may be feasible. The material made available in advance may vary from a comprehensive draft of a proposed requirement to a partial draft; e.g., statement of work and/or specifications or reports.

1815.405-71 Procedure.

(a) In making advance information available to industry, sufficient time should be allowed to permit potential sources an opportunity, commensurate with the task, to prepare and submit a response to the solicitation for information or planning purposes. Invitations for bids and requests for proposals may not be used for these purposes. Solicitations for information or planning purposes may be issued only with the procurement officer's prior approval (see FAR 15.405-1).

(b) The provision at FAR 52.215-3 does not prohibit the allowance, under FAR 31.205-18, of the cost of preparing a response to the solicitation. Solicitations for information or planning purposes shall at least:

(1) Request an expression of interest in the contemplated requirement and

(2) Designate a time and place for submitting responses.

Each solicitation shall define, as explicitly as possible, the information the Government desires and indicate whether it is contemplated that the solicitation will be followed by a conference. After approval by the procurement officer, the solicitation shall be forwarded to potential sources and synopsized according to in FAR Subpart 5.2.

(c) Each source responding to the solicitation shall be advised of the details of any pending conference. The conference, when provided for, shall be conducted by procurement personnel and should be attended by technical, legal, and other personnel as appropriate. Care must be taken not to prejudice any future competition. All sources shall be furnished identical information in connection with the proposed requirement. Care shall be taken to safeguard any information received in confidence.

1815.405-72 Special considerations.

The procedure in 1815.405-71 does not constitute procurement in the usual sense, where the Government is fully aware of its requirements and has incorporated them in a formal solicitation or firms are invited to make known their interest in a procurement by responding to a presolicitation notice. Under the solicitation for information or planning purposes procedure, interested firms may suggest changes in a proposed specification or statement of work; propose alternatives in concept, method, design, system integration, and the like that might result in lower costs without sacrificing performance; or recommend changes in other requirements regarding the product or service involved. Care should be exercised in this connection to avoid potential conflict of interest situations. (See FAR Subpart 9.5.)

1815.406 Preparing requests for proposals (RFP's) and requests for quotations (RFQ's).

(a) Requests for proposals (RFP's), including amendments to them, shall be prepared by the contracting office, with assistance from technical or other offices as required, and be issued by the contracting officer or an authorized representative. The RFP has a twofold purpose:

(1) To convey to prospective offerors the information they need to prepare a proposal properly, and

(2) To solicit the information that procurement and technical personnel need to appraise proposals.

(b) RFP's shall specify a date for their submission. Any extension of time granted to one prospective offeror shall be granted uniformly to all. Any RFP shall be issued simultaneously to all prospective offers; no prospective offeror shall be given an advantage. When advisable, particularly in the case of research and development, proposals shall be requested in two parts:

(1) An unpriced technical proposal, and

(2) A cost proposal cross-referenced to the technical proposal (see 1815.406-70).

1815.406-2 Part I—The Schedule.

Section C, Description/specifications/work statement. (a) In source evaluation board procurements, when detailed program or project support plans will be required as part of the offeror's proposal, but will not be important discriminators in the evaluation process and cover only technical or management support to the primary product or service being offered, describe the requirements for those plans in separate appendices to the statement of work (see 1815.406-70(a)(7)).

(b) In solicitations for cost-reimbursement support services contracts requiring price quotations,

include available data regarding the quantity and quality of supplies and services required, set forth in terms of work hours of identifiable categories of labor, including experience and related qualifications, and in terms of quantities of supplies, all exclusive of costs (see 1815.406-70(b)(8)).

1815.406-4 Part III—List of documents, exhibits, and other attachments.

Section J, List of attachments. List here all of the documents, exhibits, and other attachments making up the solicitation package; give form number, name, date, and number of pages for each document; give type and identifier (for example, "Exhibit A"), name, and number of pages for each exhibit, appendix, or other attachment (for example: work frequency schedules, work breakdown structures, work statements, specifications, special requirements, or other documents too lengthy to be conveniently written into the solicitation proper).

1815.406-5 Part IV—Representations and Instructions.

(a) *Section K, Representations, certifications, and other statements of offerors or quoters.* See 1845.104(b).

(b) *Section L, Instructions, conditions, and notices to offerors or quoters.*

(1) State if the selected contractor will require access to classified information (see NMI 1650.1, Industrial Security Policies and Procedures).

(2) Indicate the method and format of price quotation desired (fixed-price or cost-reimbursement, if known at the time), including a reference to the necessity for cost or price breakdown.

(3) Describe the information required to support proposed prices; e.g., subcontract structure, purchasing system, royalty, and cost or price information (see FAR Subparts 15.7 and 15.8, and FAR Part 44).

(4) Include instructions for disposing of drawings and specifications supplied with the solicitation.

(5) Include a statement of information required to facilitate evaluation of technical and financial capabilities and a statement covering special technical capabilities any contractors must possess.

(6) Include an instruction reflecting desirability of a separation between the offeror's business management proposal and technical proposal. For evaluation purposes, separate proposals, if time permits, should be received; therefore the format should be flexible enough to permit separate requirements (see 1815.406-70).

(7) State that the solicitation does not commit the Government to pay any cost incurred in submitting the offer or in making necessary studies or designs for its preparation, nor to contract for services or supplies.

(8) Include a statement that "Proposals must set forth full, accurate, and complete information as required by the solicitation (including attachments). The penalty for making false statements in proposals is prescribed in 18 U.S.C. 1001."

1815.406-70 Instructions for technical proposal and business management proposal submission.

When it is contemplated that the contract will exceed $1,000,000 (or, if appropriate, lesser amounts), the solicitation should require submission of the information in paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section, if applicable, in addition to any other information specified elsewhere in this section 1815.406.

(a) *Technical proposal.* Proposals should include the following:

(1) The method by which the offeror proposes to solve the technical problems of the project, other than information to be furnished elsewhere as a part of program or project support plan summaries (see paragraph (a)(7) of this section).

(2) Any specific exceptions to proposed technical requirements.

(3) A statement of background experience in fields relating to the procurement.

(4) Names and résumés of experience of key technical personnel who will be employed on the project and the extent to which each will participate, together with an organization chart of the segment of the offeror's organization that will be directly assigned to the project, listing names and job categories.

(5) Description and location of the company-owned research, test, and production equipment and facilities available for use on the project, accompanied by a separate list of any additional facilities or equipment required in the performance of the work, and a separate list of existing Government facilities available to the contractor and required for use on the project.

(6) Hourly time estimates (without pricing information) by labor class for each phase or segment of the project, the extent to which these estimates are based on the use of employees presently on the offeror's payrolls who will be available for the work as required, and an indication of the number and types of personnel necessary to be hired and arrangements made to obtain them.

(7) For procurements described in 1815.406-2(a)—

(i) As part of the original proposal, estimates of the cost and work force to perform major tasks under each requirement separately identified, and a summary of the major task elements to perform each requirement; and

(ii) A statement by each offeror that it is understood that, if selected for negotiations, the offeror will ultimately be required to furnish a detailed program or project support plan that will be negotiated into the contract prior to award.

(b) *Business management proposal.* Proposals should include the following:

(1) The organization proposed for carrying out the project, including organization charts showing the interrelationship of business, technical, and subcontract management and an indication of all levels of operation and management, from lower levels through intermediate management to top-level management.

(2) A summary of the experience of all key personnel who will conduct the managerial affairs of the project.

(3) Contractual procedures proposed for the project to effect administrative and engineering changes, describing any differences from existing procedures.

(4) The extent to which the offeror has invested corporate funds in research and development work in the project area or directly related areas and plans for future expenditures for such work; and the extent, if any, to which the offeror is willing to participate in the cost of the project.

(5) A statement as to—

(i) The capacity at which company-owned research, test, and production equipment and facilities required to perform the work are currently working;

(ii) The extent to which they could handle the additional workload imposed by this project;

(iii) The cost of any additional facilities or equipment required to perform the work, with information as to whether they will be contractor-furnished or Government-furnished; and

(iv) The value of existing Government facilities available to the offeror and required for use on the project, showing the Government agencies and facilities contracts involved.

(6) A statement of past performance and experience, including—

(i) A list of Government contracts in excess of $1,000,000 received in the past three years or currently in negotiation involving mainly research and development work, showing each contract number, the Government agency placing the contract, the type of contract, and a brief description of the work;

(ii) For each cost-reimbursement contract listed pursuant to subdivision (b)(6)(i) of this section, a list of amounts of cost overruns or underruns, reasons for them, and percentage of fixed fee;

(iii) For each contract listed pursuant to subdivision (b)(6)(i) of this section, a record of contract completion as against completion date anticipated at the time of entering into the contract, with explanations for completion delays; and

(iv) An identification and explanation of any terminations for default or convenience.

(7) A balance sheet for the offeror's last fiscal year, accompanied by a profit and loss statement.

(8) A detailed cost or price proposal, furnished as a separate, detachable element of the business management proposal and based on the effort described or estimated in the solicitation. This cost or price proposal is a requirement for the proposal to be responsive. If the offeror believes the work can be accomplished more efficiently with organizational plans, staffing, management, or equipment differing from those indicated in the solicitation, it may also submit an alternative proposal supported by a detailed cost or price proposal (see 1815.406–2, section C, (b)); this alternative proposal must be in addition to, not in lieu of, the basic proposal.

1815.407 Solicitation provisions.

(a) The provision at FAR 52.215–10, Late Submissions, Modifications, and Withdrawals of Proposals, prescribed in FAR 15.407(c), shall not be used in solicitations for the Small Business Innovation Research (SBIR) program or for broad agency announcements listed in 1835.016. See instead 1815.407–70(b).

(b) The provision at FAR 52.215–12, Restriction on Disclosure and Use of Data, prescribed in FAR 15.407(c), shall not be used in NASA solicitations. See instead 1815.407–70(a).

1815.407–70 NASA solicitation provisions.

(a) The contracting officer shall insert in RFP's and RFQ's (but not in solicitations for information or planning purposes) the provision at 1852.215–72, Restriction on Use and Disclosure of Proposal/Quotation Information (Data). (See also 1815.509–70(a)).

(b) In accordance with 1815.412, the contracting officer shall use the provision at 1852.215–73, Late Submissions, Modifications, and Withdrawals of Proposals (AO and SBIR Programs), in lieu of the provision at FAR 52.215–10 in Announcements of Opportunity issued pursuant to Subpart 1870.1 and in Small Business Innovation Research (SBIR) solicitations. This provision allows the project office to accept late proposals or proposal modifications and late best and final offers if, in the selection official's judgment, to do so is in the Government's best interest.

(c) The contracting officer may insert a provision substantially as stated at 1852.215–74, Alternate Proposals, in competitive requests for proposals if receipt of alternate proposals would benefit the Government. See FAR 52.215–13(d).

(d) In accordance with 1815.406–5(b)(7), the contracting officer shall insert the provision at 1852.215–75, Expenses Related to Offeror Submissions, in all requests for proposals.

(e) In accordance with 1815.406–5(b)(8), the contracting officer shall insert the provision at 1852.215–76, False Statements, in all requests for proposals to advise offerors of the penalty for making false statements in proposals.

(f) The contracting officer shall insert the provision at 1852.215–77, Preproposal/Pre-bid Conference, in competitive requests for proposals and invitations for bids where the Government intends to conduct a preproposal or pre-bid conference. Insert the appropriate specific information relating to the conference. Supplemental information, such as an agenda summary and whether a tour is included, may be identified in "Other Information."

(g) The contracting officer shall insert the provision at 1852.215–80, Disposal of Unsuccessful Proposals, in competitive requests for proposals.

(h) For grouping items in the solicitation in contemplation of an aggregate award, see 1814.201–670(b).

(i) For requiring full quantities to be proposed, see 1814.201–670(c).

1815.412 Late proposals and modifications.

This section applies to broad agency announcements listed in 1835.016 and SBIR Phase I and Phase II solicitations only. See FAR 15.412 for policy regarding late proposals/modifications under other solicitations.

(a) Proposals, or modifications to them, received from qualified firms after the latest date specified for receipt may be considered if a significant reduction in cost to the Government is probable or if there are significant technical advantages, as compared with proposals previously received. In such cases, the project office shall investigate the circumstances surrounding the submission of the late proposal or modification, evaluate its content, and submit written recommendations and findings to the selection official or a designee as to whether there is an advantage to the Government in considering the proposal.

(b) The selection official or a designee shall determine whether to consider the proposal.

(c) Offerors may withdraw proposals any time before award, provided the conditions in paragraph (b) of the provision at 1852.215–73, Late Submissions, Modifications, and Withdrawals of Proposals (AO and SBIR Programs), are satisfied.

1815.413 Disclosure and use of information before award.

(a) The alternate procedures at FAR 15.413–2 shall be used for NASA procurements in lieu of those prescribed at FAR 15.413–1. The policies and procedures of FAR 15.413 and NFS 1815.413–2 shall apply both before and after award. The notice at FAR 15.413–2(e) shall be placed on the cover sheet of all proposals, whether solicited or unsolicited. (See 1805.303–70(a)(1)(iii) regarding release of the names of firms submitting offers.)

(b) During evaluation proceedings, whether or not a source evaluation board is used, NASA personnel participating in any way in the evaluation may not reveal any information concerning the evaluation to anyone not also participating, and then only to the extent that the information is required in connection with the evaluation. When non-NASA personnel participate, they shall be instructed to observe these restrictions. (Information shall be provided to unsuccessful offerors in accordance with FAR 15.1003 and 1815.1003. See also 1815.413–2.)

1815.413–2 Alternate II.

(a) *General.* The references in FAR 15.413–2 to the provision at FAR 52.215–12 shall be considered to be references to the provision at 1852.215–72.

(b) *Policy.* It is NASA policy to have proposals evaluated by the most competent technical and management sources available. When it is necessary to disclose a proposal outside the Government to meet NASA's evaluation needs—

(1) Personnel participating in evaluation proceedings shall be instructed to observe the restrictions in FAR 15.413 and 1815.413.

(2) The requirements in paragraphs (c) and (d) of this section shall be met.

(3) JPL and other non-government participants in evaluation proceedings shall be appointed as special government employees, except for evaluation proceedings resulting from

Broad Agency Announcements (1835.016) and unsolicited proposals.

(c) *Approval.* Under FAR 15.413–2(f)(1), only the Assistant Administrator for Procurement, NASA Headquarters, or a designee, with the concurrence of General Counsel or designee, is authorized to make a decision to disclose a proposal outside the Government or JPL, except that:

(1) Proposals submitted in response to Announcements of Opportunity may be disclosed in accordance with 1870.103, App. I, and NMI 1156.4 and any amendments to them and

(2) Unsolicited proposals and proposals in response to a NASA Research Announcement may be disclosed with the concurrence of the NASA official one level higher than the NASA program official responsible for overall conduct of the evaluation.

(d) *Agreement with evaluator.* When, in accordance with paragraph (c) of this section, it is determined to disclose a proposal outside the Government or JPL, the following agreement, or a similar arrangement appropriate for the treatment of the proposal, shall be obtained in writing from the evaluator prior to disclosure. Also, the releasing official shall review the proposal to ensure that the notice at FAR 15.413–2(e) (see 1815.413(a)) is affixed before it is disclosed to the evaluator.

Conditions for Evaluation of Proposals (December 1988)

(1) Whenever NASA furnishes a proposal for evaluation, the recipient agrees to use the information in the proposal for NASA evaluation purposes only.

(2) This requirement does not apply to information obtained from another source without restriction.

(3) The NASA notice on the cover sheet, and any notice that may have been placed on the proposal by its originator, shall be applied to any reproduction or abstract. Upon completion of the evaluation, the recipient shall return all copies of the proposal and abstracts, if any, to the NASA office that initially furnished the proposal for evaluation.

(4) Unless authorized by the NASA initiating office, the recipient may not contact the originator of the proposal concerning any aspect of its contents.

(End of agreement)

(e) *Rights in proposal data.* NASA may obtain rights in technical data (but not commercial and financial information) contained in a solicited or unsolicited proposal upon which a contract award is based, by specific agreement with the prospective contractor in accordance with the procedures of FAR 27.407 and the clause at FAR 52.227–23, Rights to Proposal Data (Technical).

Subpart 1815.5—Unsolicited Proposals

1815.502 Policy.

NASA will foster and encourage the submission of unsolicited proposals relevant to agency mission requirements by expeditious and equitable handling of all unsolicited proposals.

1815.503 General.

(a) *Submission to other agencies or JPL.* NASA will not accept for formal evaluation unsolicited proposals initially submitted to another agency or to the Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL) without the offeror's express consent. Proposals submitted to NASA shall not be transferred to JPL for procurement without the offeror's written permission.

(b) *Transferring proposals.* When a reviewing official determines that an unsolicited proposal is not related to NASA's mission or may be of interest to agencies in addition to NASA, the proposal control officer may identify for the offeror other agencies whose missions may bear a relationship to the subject matter of the unsolicited proposal. NASA shall not respond to an unsolicited proposal by transferring it to another agency for action without the offeror's written consent.

(c) *Relationship to award.* All unsolicited proposals may be prepared in a similar fashion, since NASA does not have separate "contract proposal" and "grant proposal" categories. An unsolicited proposal may result in the award of a contract, a grant, a cooperative agreement, or other agreement. If a grant or cooperative agreement is used, the NASA Grant and Cooperative Agreement Handbook (NHB 5800.1) applies.

1815.504 Advance guidance.

1815.504–70 Unsolicited proposal brochure.

The Office of Procurement (Code HP) is responsible for preparing for public use a brochure titled "Guidance for the Preparation and Submission of Unsolicited Proposals," which shall be provided without charge by procurement and other NASA officials in response to requests for proposal submission information. The brochure, issued pursuant to FAR 15.504, constitutes NASA's single, official, general-purpose guidance document for public use in submitting unsolicited proposals. A deviation is required for use of any modified or summarized version of the brochure or for alternate means of general dissemination of unsolicited proposal information. The Headquarters Office of Small and Disadvantaged Business Utilization (Code K) is responsible for internal distribution of the brochure. Installations and individuals should request bulk supplies from that office.

1815.505 Content of unsolicited proposals.

1815.505–70 Unsolicited renewal proposals.

Renewal proposals, (i.e., those for the extension or augmentation of current contracts) are subject to the same FAR and NFS regulations, including the requirements of the Competition in Contracting Act, as are proposals for new contracts. Unsolicited renewal proposals within the scope of an open NASA Research Announcement (NRA) shall be evaluated in the same manner as unsolicited proposals described in 1815.506(b).

1815.506 Agency procedures.

(a) *NASA unsolicited-proposal coordinating offices.* (1) NASA Headquarters and each NASA field installation shall designate an organizational entity as its unsolicited-proposal coordinating office for receiving and coordinating the handling and evaluation of unsolicited proposals in accordance with the policies and procedures of FAR Subpart 15.5, this Subpart 1815.5, and NHB 5800.1.

(2) Each installation shall establish procedures for handling proposals initially received by other offices within the installation. Misdirected proposals shall be forwarded by the coordinating office to the proper installation. Field installation coordinating offices are also responsible for providing guidance to potential offerors regarding the appropriate NASA officials to contact for general mission-related inquiries or other preproposal discussions.

(3) At Headquarters, responding to general inquiries from academic researchers is the responsibility of the Headquarters coordinating office, while handling inquiries from all other offerors is the responsibility of the Contracts and Grants Division (Code HW).

(b) *Relationship to open NRAs.* An unsolicited proposal for a new effort, identified by an evaluating office as being within the scope of an open NRA, shall be evaluated as a response to that NRA under 1835.016–70(e), provided that the evaluating office can either:

(1) State that the proposal is not at a competitive disadvantage or

(2) Give the offeror an opportunity to amend the unsolicited proposal to ensure compliance with the applicable NRA proposal preparation instructions.

If these conditions cannot be met, the proposal must be evaluated separately.

(c) *Reconsideration.* An appropriately revised submission that is responsive to comments contained in an initial determination of inadequacy under FAR 15.506–1 shall be reconsidered by NASA officials.

(d) *Information requirements.* Coordinating offices shall keep records of unsolicited proposals received and shall provide prompt status information to requestors. These records shall include, at a minimum, the number of unsolicited proposals received, funded, and rejected during the fiscal year; the identity of the offerors; and the office to which each was referred. The numbers shall be broken out by source (large business, small business, university, or nonprofit institution).

1815.507 Contracting methods.

A justification for other than full and open competition (JOFOC) is required in accordance with FAR 6.302 and 6.303. See 1805.202 for guidance in complying with the preaward synopsis requirement at FAR 15.507(b)(4).

1815.508 Prohibitions.

FAR 15.508(b) shall not apply to NASA; see instead 1815.508–70.

1815.508–70 NASA prohibitions.

Information (data) in unsolicited proposals furnished to the Government is to be used for evaluation purposes only. Disclosure outside the Government for evaluation is permitted only to the extent authorized by, and in accordance with procedures in, FAR 15.413–2 and 1815.413.

1815.509 Limited use of data.

FAR 15.509 shall not apply to NASA. See instead 1815.509–70.

1815.509–70 Limited use of proposals.

(a) The provision at 1852.215–72, Restriction on Use and Disclosure of Proposal/Quotation Information (Data), is applicable to unsolicited proposals.

(b) If an unsolicited proposal is received with a more restrictive legend than made applicable by paragraph (a) of this section, the procedures of FAR 15.413–2(c) apply.

(c) Upon receipt in the coordinating office, the Government notice in FAR 15.413–2(e) shall be placed on the cover sheet of all unsolicited proposals.

(d) Unsolicited proposals shall be evaluated outside the Government only to the extent authorized by, and in accordance with the procedures prescribed in, FAR 15.413–2(f) and 1815.413.

(e) If a request is made under the Freedom of Information Act for any information contained in an unsolicited proposal, the procedures of FAR 15.413–2(g) apply.

1815.570 Foreign proposals.

Unsolicited proposals from foreign sources are subject to NMI 1362.1, Initiation and Development of International Participation and Cooperation in Aeronautical and Space Programs.

Subpart 1815.6—Source Selection

1815.608 Proposal evaluation.

The authority in FAR 15.608(b) to determine in writing that rejection of all proposals received in response to a solicitation is in the public interest is delegated to the contracting officer.

1815.608–70 Technical evaluation.

(a) Technical evaluation should include consideration of—

(1) The offeror's understanding of the scope of the work as shown by the scientific and technical approach proposed;

(2) The availability and competence of experienced engineering, scientific, or other technical personnel;

(3) The availability of necessary research, test, and production facilities;

(4) Experience or pertinent novel ideas in the specific branch of science or technology involved;

(5) The offeror's willingness to devote its resources to the proposed work with appropriate diligence; and

(6) The offeror's proposed method of achieving the reliability required.

(b) In making this evaluation, technical personnel may be given access to portions of the business proposals upon request. After evaluation and preparation of written findings by the technical personnel, proposals shall be returned to the negotiator. The contracting officer shall review the documentation supporting the written findings of the technical personnel to determine that such documentation is adequate and complete.

1815.608–71 Automatic data processing equipment.

In evaluating proposals containing a significant amount of cost for automatic data processing equipment (ADPE), the contracting officer should obtain from the offeror a feasibility study and a lease-versus-purchase study covering the acquisition of such equipment or service. The contracting officer shall obtain the recommendations of the price analyst and appropriate ADPE technical personnel as to the adequacy of the studies and the offeror's determinations resulting from the studies. Particular attention should be given to proposals containing a high dollar amount for renting ADPE or complete systems to be used solely for performing the contract. Current Office of Management and Budget criteria (NHB 2410.1, Information Processing Resources Management) should be used, when applicable, as a guide in evaluating the offeror's studies. Contractors should be encouraged to—

(a) Use ADPE machine time available within a reasonable geographic distance;

(b) Use telecommunications links to remote Government-owned or—leased ADPE systems; and

(c) Purchase ADPE in preference to leasing the equipment when financial advantage is the sole or overriding factor.

1815.610 Written or oral discussion.

FAR 15.610(c)(2) shall not be applied in negotiation of NASA procurements. It is contrary to NASA procedures that limit discussions with offerors (see FAR 15.613 and 1815.613).

1815.613 Alternative source selection procedures.

1815.613–70 General.

Pursuant to FAR 15.613, the source selection procedures in 1815.613 that limit discussions with offerors and that otherwise differs from procedures described in FAR 15.610, apply to all procurements negotiated by NASA. Other than these differences, FAR Subpart 15.6 shall apply. The evaluation of proposals received may be accomplished in a number of ways. Evaluation of proposals received in response to an Announcement of Opportunity shall be accomplished in accordance with 1870.103, App. I. Proposals received in response to an open NASA Research Announcement will be evaluated in accordance with 1835.016–70(e). Unsolicited proposals identified by an evaluating office as being within the scope of an open NASA Research Announcement shall be evaluated under 1835.016–70(d). Small Business Innovation Research (SBIR) proposals will be evaluated in accordance with the SBIR program solicitations issued under the authority of section 9 of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 638). The applicability of and rules for the conduct of source selection in accordance with formal source evaluation board (SEB) procedures are covered in 1815.613–71. The policies prescribed in 1815.613–71 shall also be applied to other negotiated procurements.

1815.613–71 Evaluation and negotiation of procurements conducted in accordance with source evaluation board (SEB) procedures.

(a) *Applicability.* (1) Unless an exception applies, these SEB procedures shall be followed in all competitive negotiated procurements of $25,000,000 or more, including those in which the initial contract is less than $25,000,000 but it is likely that the source selected will receive contracts for follow-on or later phases of the same project that, when added to the initial contract, will result in a combined total of $25,000,000 or more. (Examples are options and later phases as in A–109 procurements.) Exceptions to this requirement include architect-engineer procurements and procurements of ADP subject to the Brooks Act (40 U.S.C. 759), as implemented by the Federal Information Resources Management Regulation (FIRMR) (41 CFR Part 201). However, the use of SEB procedures is optional for procurements of ADP subject to the Brooks Act.

(2) Detailed procedures regarding designation and operation of SEBs are in 1870.303, App. I, commonly known as the Source Evaluation Board Handbook.

(3) Evaluation of system design concepts submitted in response to requests for alternative system design concept proposals in support of a major system acquisition subject to NMI 7100.14 should include, in addition to consideration of proposed system function and performance capabilities to meet mission needs and program objectives, an analysis of resources required and of benefits to be derived by trade-offs, when feasible, among technical performance, acquisition costs, ownership costs, time to develop and procure, and the relevant accomplishment record of competitors.

(4) The formal SEB procedures (1870.303, App. I) may be used in other competitively negotiated procurements, if the source selection official determines it desirable to do so.

(b) *Evaluation and selection procedures*—(1) *Responsibility of source selection official.* In the final analysis, NASA's judgment on the totality of the evaluation will be that of the source selection official, including assessment of the procedures followed by the SEB, the validity of its substantive evaluations, the relative significance of the several areas of evaluation and their weightings in the light of all the information produced by the source evaluation and selection process.

(2) *Evaluation factors, subfactors, and elements and weights.* Establishing evaluation factors, subfactors, and elements and their weights requires the exercise of judgment on a case-by-case basis. They should be tailored to the requirements of each procurement. Technical excellence, price or estimated cost, and contractor management capability are important factors in selection. Their relative importance depends on the nature of the products or services procured. Any factor may tip the balance when competition is very close. Evaluation factors, subfactors, and elements shall be described in each RFP sufficient to inform evaluators and prospective offerors of the significant matters to be addressed in proposals. The evaluation factors (Mission Suitability, Relevant Experience and Past Performance, Cost, and Other Considerations) shall be described, and a statement of their relative importance included. In addition, the weights assigned to the Mission Suitability subfactors and elements shall be included in the RFP.

(3) *Initial identification of proposals not considered acceptable.* (i) After review of committee ratings and other information known to the committees or the SEB and before ranking of proposals by the SEB, the SEB may discontinue the evaluation of any proposal that is unacceptable because—

(A) It does not represent a reasonable initial effort to address itself to the essential requirements of the RFP or clearly demonstrates that the offeror does not understand the requirements of the RFP;

(B) In research or development procurement, a substantial design drawback inheres in the proposal, and sufficient correction or improvement to consider the proposal acceptable would require virtually an entirely new technical proposal; or

(C) It contains major technical or business deficiencies or omissions or out-of-line costs which discussions with the offeror could not reasonably be expected to cure.

(ii) Simple technical nonresponsiveness in the sense in which the term is used in sealed bidding is not alone sufficient to constitute unacceptability if the proposal is otherwise competitive, and written and/or oral discussions or negotiations after selection reasonably offer the likelihood of resolution. When there is doubt as to whether a proposal should be rejected initially as unacceptable, that doubt shall be resolved by including it for further consideration.

(iii) Documentation must be prepared by the SEB as to why the deficiencies of any proposal are believed to be of sufficient significance to warrant discontinuing evaluation of the proposal at this point in the process.

(4) *Determination of competitive range.* (i) After initial identification of proposals not considered acceptable, the SEB shall tentatively rank the remaining proposals in accordance with the established scoring system. The SEB should not give scores for cost as such. After this ranking is completed, the proposals shall be reviewed to determine which are within the competitive range; namely, those having a reasonable chance of being selected for final award. In making this determination, the SEB, together with the contracting officer, shall evaluate the potential for improving the competitive position of the proposals by written or oral discussions conducted in accordance with subparagraph (b)(5) of this section.

(ii) The competitive range consists of the best proposals, considering mission suitability, cost or price, relevant experience and past performance, and other considerations. When there is doubt as to whether a proposal is within the competitive range, that doubt shall be resolved by including it.

(iii) The initial number of offerors whose proposals are considered as being within the competitive range may be narrowed as a result of the written or oral discussions. However, a proposal initially included in the competitive range should not be rejected without giving the offeror an opportunity to submit a revised proposal to serve as the basis for establishing the new competitive range, unless the discussions relating to an ambiguity or omission make it clear that the proposal should not have been included in the competitive range initially.

(5) *Conduct of written or oral discussions*—(i) *General.* Careful judgment must be exercised in determining the extent of discussions. In some cases, good business practice may warrant having more than one round of discussions with the offerors whose proposals are considered in the competitive range. The time available, the expense and administrative limitations, and the size and significance of the procurement should all be considered in deciding on the type, duration, and depth of the discussions.

(ii) Cost-reimbursement contracts and all contracts for research and development.

(A) The contracting officer, in concert with or on behalf of the SEB, will conduct written and/or oral discussions of the effort to be accomplished and the cost or price of the effort with all offerors determined to be within the competitive range. The discussions are

intended to assist the SEB or other evaluators:

(1) In understanding fully each offeror's proposal and its strengths and weaknesses based upon the individual efforts of each offeror,

(2) In assuring that the meanings and the points of emphasis of RFP provisions have been adequately conveyed to the offerors so that all are competing equally on the basis intended by the Government,

(3) In evaluating the personnel proposed by each firm, and

(4) In presenting a report to the Source Selection Official that makes the discriminations among proposals clear and visible.

In this process, prior to contractor selection, the Government's interests are not served by its assuming the role of an information exchange or clearinghouse.

(B) In cost-reimbursement type contracts and all research and development contracts, the contracting officer shall point out instances in which the meaning of some aspect of a proposal is not clear and instances in which some aspects of the proposal failed to include substantiation for a proposed approach, solution, or cost estimate.

(C) However, where the meaning of a proposal is clear and the Board has sufficient information to assess its validity and the proposal contains a weakness that is inherent in an offeror's management, engineering, or scientific judgment or which is the result of its own lack of competence or inventiveness in preparing its proposal, the contracting officer shall not point out the weaknesses. Discussions are useful in ascertaining the presence or absence of strengths and weaknesses. The possibility that such discussions may lead an offeror to discover that it has a weakness is not a reason for failing to inquire into a matter where the meaning is not clear or where insufficient information is available, since understanding of the meaning and validity of the proposed approaches, solutions, and cost estimates is essential to a sound selection. Offerors should not be informed of the relative strengths or weaknesses of their proposals in relation to those of other offerors. To do so would be contrary to other regulations which prohibit the use of auction techniques (see FAR 15.610(d)(3)). In the course of discussions, Government participants should be careful not to transmit information which could give leads to one offeror as to how its proposal may be improved or which could reveal a competitor's ideas.

(D) The foregoing guidelines are not all-inclusive. Careful judgment must be exercised in the light of all the circumstances of each procurement to promote the most advantageous selection from the standpoint of the Government while, at the same time, maintaining the fairness of the competitive process.

(E) The contracting officer shall give each offeror a reasonable opportunity (with a common cut-off date for all) to support and clarify its proposal in a best and final offer which shall be submitted at the conclusion of discussions. An offeror may, on its own initiative, revise its proposal and make corrections or improvements until the established cut-off date.

(iii) Fixed-price contracts other than for research and development.

(A) In fixed-price type contracts other than for research and development, the specifications ordinarily describe the Government's requirements with more particularity than is possible in cost reimbursement or research and development contracting so that less emphasis is placed on an offeror's introduction of scientific, engineering, and management innovations. The contracting officer will conduct discussions in accordance with the provisions of subdivision (b)(5)(ii) of this section, except for the difference in subdivision (b)(5)(iii)(B) of this section.

(B) The contracting officer, in written or oral discussions, shall point out instances in which some aspect of a proposal contains a weakness in relation to the Government's requirements. But, as provided in subdivision (b)(5)(ii) of this section, the contracting officer shall neither point out the relative strengths or weaknesses of a proposal in relation to those of other offerors nor transmit information which could give leads to one offeror as to how its proposal may be improved or which could reveal a competitor's ideas. The contracting officer shall point out price elements that do not appear to be justified and shall encourage offerors to put forward their most favorable price proposals, but shall not discuss, disclose, or compare price elements of any other offeror.

(6) *Conduct of negotiations prior to selection.* If, after conclusion of written or oral discussions, the SEB considers that the evaluation findings thus far completed are not sufficiently comprehensive for the Source Selection Official to make a decision, it may be prudent and necessary to conduct further discussions or final negotiations with those offerors remaining in the competitive range prior to presentation of the findings to the Source Selection Official. This course of action must be considered as an exception to the SEB process and therefore may be accomplished only with the approval of the Assistant Administrator for Procurement.

(7) *Notice and debriefing.* When a proposal is no longer to be considered for contract award, the offeror shall be promptly notified, in writing, together with a general, reasonably brief explanation of the major reasons. If an offeror requests, in writing, a debriefing as a result of this notice, it will be accorded a formal debriefing in accordance with Subpart 1815.10. The debriefing should be provided at the earliest feasible time, which normally shall be after announcement of the selection decision and before award of the contract. However, when the exigency of the situation will not permit delaying the award in order to debrief unsuccessful offerors, debriefings may be conducted after award.

(8) *Report to source selection official.* The SEB report shall summarize the primary points of discussion and show the effects of the discussions on the evaluation of proposals. The report shall set forth the strengths and weaknesses of each proposal, with an estimate of the potential for correction of the principal weaknesses identified. The report should also state the SEB's estimate of the approximate impact on cost or price that will result from the elimination of correctable weaknesses during negotiations after selection.

1815.613–72 NASA solicitation provision.

The provision at 1852.215–70, Increases in Estimated Costs, shall be included in all RFP's to be evaluated pursuant to SEB procedures, if award of a cost-reimbursement contract (with or without incentive arrangements) is contemplated. The purpose of this provision is to advise offerors selected for final negotiation that they may not unilaterally increase their estimated costs except for the reasons cited in the provision. Government negotiators are cautioned that when the second exception in the provision applies, there may be instances in which such increases in costs do not warrant additional fee.

Subpart 1815.7—Make-or-Buy Programs

1815.704 Items and work included.

Make-or-buy programs should not include items or work efforts estimated to cost less than $500,000.

1815.706 Evaluation, negotiation, and agreement.

The make-or-buy program review by the installation's small and disadvantaged business utilization specialist and the SBA representative (see FAR 15.706(b)) should be concurrent with the contracting officer's review. When urgent circumstances preclude this or if the small and disadvantaged business specialist or SBA representative fails to respond on a timely basis, the contracting officer shall include an explanatory statement in the contract file and transmit copies to the specialist and the representative.

1815.708 Contract clause.

1815.708-70 NASA contract clause.

(a) The contracting officer shall insert the provision at 1852.215-78, Make-or-Buy Program Requirements, in solicitations requiring make-or-buy programs as provided in FAR 15.703. This provision shall be used in conjunction with the clause at FAR 52.215-21, Changes or Additions to Make-or-Buy Program. The contracting officer may add an additional paragraph(s) identifying any other information required in order to evaluate the program.

(b) The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 1852.215-79, Price Adjustment for "Make-or-Buy" Changes, in contracts that include FAR 52.215-21 with its Alternate I or II. Insert in the appropriate columns the items that will be subject to a reduction in the contract value.

Subpart 1815.8—Price Negotiation

1815.804 Cost or pricing data.

1815.804-3 Exemptions from or waiver of submission of certified cost or pricing data.

(a) When an exemption is granted under FAR 15.804-3(e)(2) for repetitive submissions of catalog items, Government approval of the exemption claim shall state the effective period, usually not more than one year, and require the contractor to furnish any later information that might raise a question as to the exemption's continuation.

(b) When exempting submission under FAR 15.804-3(e)(3), the contracting officer may, by a solicitation provision or otherwise, either dispense with the requirement for an SF 1412 or limit the data required to be submitted on the form. For example, if the item being procured is similar to a commercial item, only an explanation of a price differential may be needed. If the fact of substantial sales to the general public is well known, the actual sales prices, but not the quantity of sales, may be required.

(c) The authority in FAR 15.804-3(i) to waive the requirement for cost or pricing data is delegated to the Assistant Administrator for Procurement, without power of redelegation. Set forth below is a format for the determination and findings to be made by the Assistant Administrator for Procurement to waive the requirement for submission and certification of cost or pricing data as required by 10 U.S.C. 2306(f). Requests for waivers shall be addressed to NASA Headquarters, Contract Pricing and Finance Office, Code HC.

National Aeronautics and Space Administration

Washington, DC 20546

Determination and Findings

Authority to Waive Submission of Cost or Pricing Data and Certificate

On the basis of the following findings and determination, the requirement for submitting the cost or pricing data and the certificate described below may be waived pursuant to the authority of 10 U.S.C. 2306(f), as implemented by NASA FAR Supplement 1815.804-3(c).

Findings

1. The (1) proposes to enter into a contract with (2) for the procurement of (3).

2. Pursuant to FAR 15.804-2, the proposed contractor is required to submit cost or pricing data as defined in FAR 15.801, together with the certificate in FAR 15.804-4. However, waiver of submission of the cost or pricing data described below is justified for the reasons indicated: (4)

Determination

The requirement for submission of cost or pricing data described in the above findings for the proposed procurement is hereby waived.

Date

Notes:

(1) Name of installation.
(2) Name of proposed contractor.
(3) Brief description of supplies or services.
(4) Identification of the cost or pricing data requirements to be waived. The waiver may be partial, i.e., limited to particular cost or pricing data. Also describe the circumstances and conditions that make the proposed procurement an exceptional case, and state the reasons justifying the proposed waiver.

1815.805-4 Technical analysis.

1815.805-470 Responsibilities of NASA requirements personnel.

NASA requirements personnel are responsible for conducting a technical evaluation of proposals to determine whether the supplies or services offered will meet specifications. In addition, when a cognizant contract administration office is not requested to perform a technical analysis of proposals in accordance with FAR 15.805-5, the analysis will be the responsibility of NASA requirements personnel.

1815.805-5 Field pricing support.

(a) A field pricing report shall be obtained in accordance with FAR 15.805-5(a)(1), except that for cost-reimbursement contracts, the requirement for obtaining a field pricing report is $1,000,000.

(b) Whenever available data are adequate for a reasonableness determination, the contracting officer shall document the contract file to reflect the basis of the determination. Information of the type described in subparagraphs (b) (1) through (6) of this section, which is often available to the contracting officer from the cognizant contract administration office or internal NASA sources, should be useful in determining the extent of any field pricing support needed (no single category of information is necessarily sufficient by itself; for example, information as to rates for labor and overhead would normally need to be accompanied by data concerning the base elements—labor hours, material costs, and so forth—to which the rates apply):

(1) In-house engineering determination of the level of effort required in connection with research and development or study contracts.

(2) Audited cost information from contract awards in process, or recently negotiated contracts.

(3) Adequately reviewed data on proposed subcontract items that constitute a major portion of the prime contract price proposal.

(4) Prices of standard commercial items that constitute a major portion of the prime contract price proposal.

(5) Special forward pricing formulas or rates, such as for support items or forecast overhead rates, prescribed in an existing advance agreement.

(6) Current labor rates, overhead rates, loading factors, and per diem rates, and lot data based upon actual costs and labor hours.

(c) When the contracting officer determines that information adequate to establish price reasonableness without field pricing support is available within NASA, but that a review by the auditor is still needed, the contracting officer should address the request directly to the cognizant audit office. The cognizant ACO shall be provided an information copy of this request.

(d) When input from the ACO or auditor involves merely a verification of information, contracting officers are

encouraged to obtain this verification by direct telephone contact with the cognizant office and shall record it in the contract file.

(e) When the threshold at 1815.805–5(a)(1) is met and the cost proposal is for a product of a follow-on nature, notwithstanding any other provision of this subsection 1815.805–5, a complete report shall be requested from the cognizant contract administration office. The field pricing report will include, but not be limited to, actuals incurred under the previous contract, learning experience, technical and production analysis, and subcontract proposal analysis.

1815.806 Subcontract pricing considerations.

The contracting officer shall notify the appropriate contract administration activities when review and evaluation of subcontractor's proposals:

(a) Will require extensive field pricing assistance in connection with a major acquisition or

(b) Require special or expedited action by field pricing personnel that is being or has been delayed.

1815.807 Prenegotiation objectives.

Before conducting negotiations requiring installation or Headquarters review, contracting officers or their representatives shall prepare a prenegotiation position memorandum setting forth the technical, business, contractual, pricing, and other aspects to be negotiated. Matters for negotiation may arise from proposal evaluations, contractor requests, unique installation requirements, or other Government agencies' requirements, among other things.

1815.807–70 Content of the prenegotiation position memorandum.

The prenegotiation position memorandum (PPM) should fully explain the contractor and Government positions on any open issues and identify and justify the elements that are acceptable as proposed. Since the PPM will ultimately become the basis for negotiation, it should be structured to provide an audit trail to the contract negotiation memorandum (see FAR 15.808 and 1815.808). Generally, the PPM should address the following subjects, in the order presented:

(a) *Introduction.* Include a brief description of the procurement and a brief history indicating the extent of competition and its results. Identify the contractor and place of performance (if not evident from the description of the procurement). In addition, the negotiation schedule should be addressed and the Government negotiating team members identified by name and position.

(b) *Special features and requirements.* In this area, discuss any special features (and related cost impact) of the procurement, including such items as—

(1) Letter-contract or precontract cost requirements;

(2) Government property to be furnished;

(3) Contract option requirements;

(4) Contractor/Government investment in facilities and equipment (and any modernization to be provided by the contractor/Government);

(5) Plant reconversion or plant clearance (see 1845.106–71); and

(6) Any deviations, special clauses, or unusual conditions anticipated.

(c) *Cost and profit/fee analysis.* (1) Include a parallel tabulation, by element of cost and profit/fee, of the contractor's proposal, the Government's negotiation objective, and the Government's maximum position. For each element of cost, compare the contractor and Government estimates and explain how each was developed, including the estimating assumptions and projection techniques employed. Explain how historical costs, including costs incurred under a letter contract (if applicable), were used in developing the negotiation objective.

(2) Significant differences between the field pricing report (including any audit reports) and the negotiation objectives and/or contractor's proposal should be highlighted and explained, as should technical evaluation results that caused the Government's cost negotiation objectives to differ significantly from the contractor's proposed cost (such as differences in staffing). There should be an identification and a brief discussion of each major subcontract involved, citing the type of subcontract and stating the degree of analysis performed on the subcontract cost estimate. In addition, the rationale for the Government's profit/fee objectives and a completed copy of the NASA Form 634, Structured Approach—Profit/Fee Objective, if appropriate, should be included.

(3) Include particulars of the disposition of audit recommendations here. Resolution shall be considered accomplished when negotiation approval is granted. If, for some reason, the particulars cannot be included in the PPM, the disposition of the audit recommendations must be documented in the price negotiation memorandum (see FAR 15.808) or in other relevant file memoranda.

(d) *Type of contract contemplated.* Explain the type of contract contemplated and the reasons for its suitability. For an incentive contract, including award-fee contracts, describe the planned structuring arrangement in terms of profit/fee patterns, share lines, ceilings, and so forth.

(e) *Negotiation approval sought.* Indicate the specific approvals sought; e.g., dollar parameters, special clauses/conditions not constituting deviations, type of contract, fee objectives, and so forth.

1815.807–71 Installation reviews.

Each contracting activity shall establish a formal system for the prenegotiation review of any proposal over $250,000 ($100,000 at Stennis Space Center, Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Space Station Procurement Office, and Headquarters Contracts and Grants Division). The scope of coverage, exact procedures to be followed, levels of management review, and contract file documentation requirements should be directly related to the dollar value and complexity of the procurement and shall be determined by each installation. The primary purpose of these reviews is to ensure that the negotiator, or negotiating team, is thoroughly prepared to enter into negotiations with a well-conceived, realistic, and fair plan.

1815.807–72 Headquarters reviews.

Approval of the prenegotiation position by the Assistant Administrator for Procurement is required before negotiations on any procurement action selected for Headquarters review are entered into. Generally, at the time a procurement is processed as a Master Buy Plan (MBP) action under Subpart 1807.71, a decision will have been made as to whether the prenegotiation position will be subject to Headquarters review and approval. However, prenegotiation positions on MBP procurement actions when the prenegotiation position was not initially selected for Headquarters review and approval, and non-MBP procurement action prenegotiation positions, may be selected for Headquarters review and approval at any point in the acquisition cycle prior to actual negotiations.

(a) *Scheduling of presentation.* When a prenegotiation presentation is required by Headquarters or requested by the installation concerned, scheduling of the presentation will be arranged by the Office of Procurement, Program Operations Division (Code HS), in consultation with appropriate Headquarters program officials. The installation shall notify Code HS sufficiently in advance of the desired

presentation to permit scheduling and preparation by Headquarters staff.

(b) *Advance information.* Not less than ten working days in advance of the scheduled prenegotiation presentation, the installation shall provide Code HS with the following:

(1) Five copies of the PPM.

(2) Five copies of any briefing charts and/or viewgraphs to be used in the presentation. Briefing charts and/or viewgraphs shall summarize key points/factors identified in the PPM and should be grouped in the same manner as presented in the PPM.

(3) One copy each of the contractor's proposal, the Government technical evaluation, and all pricing reports (including any audit reports).

(c) *Waiver.* The Assistant Administrator for Procurement may waive the presentation requirement if, on the basis of Headquarters review of the advance information provided under subparagraph (b)(2) of this section, it is clear that installation personnel are thoroughly prepared to enter into negotiations.

(d) *Safeguarding prenegotiation material.* Prenegotiation data are very sensitive and should be handled accordingly. Close coordination with Code HS personnel should be maintained to ensure that prenegotiation material is not compromised during transit. Distribution of prenegotiation data shall be made on a need-to-know basis.

1815.808 Price negotiation memorandum.

(a) The NASA contract negotiation memorandum serves as a detailed summary of:

(1) The technical, business, contractual, pricing, and other aspects of the contract negotiated, and

(2) The methodology and rationale used in arriving at the final negotiated agreement.

(b) Normally, the contract negotiation memorandum is a "stand-alone" document. However, when a PPM has been prepared under 1815.807, the subsequent contract negotiation memorandum need explain only:

(1) The differences between the prenegotiation position and the final negotiated settlement, and

(2) The areas identified in FAR 15.808(a).

1815.870 Subcontract price redetermination.

1815.870-1 General.

(a) When subcontracts have been placed on a price-redetermination or fixed-price-incentive basis and the prime contract is to be repriced, it may be appropriate to negotiate a firm prime contract price, even though the contractor has not yet established final subcontract prices. The contracting officer may do this when convinced that the amount included for subcontracting is reasonable; e.g., when realistic cost or pricing data on subcontract efforts are available.

(b) However, even though the available cost data are highly indefinite and there is a distinct chance that one or more of the subcontracts eventually may be redetermined at prices lower than those predicted in redetermining the prime contract price, other circumstances may require prompt negotiation of the final contract price. In such a case, the contract modification evidencing the revised contract price should provide for adjustment of the total amount paid or to be paid under the contract on account of subsequent redetermination of the specified subcontracts. This may be done by including in the contract modification the clause prescribed at 1815.870-2.

1815.870-2 NASA contract clause.

The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 1852.215-71, Adjustment for Subcontract Price Redetermination, in contract modifications that reflect a repricing of the prime contract when the prime contractor has not established final prices for one or more subcontracts under the contract that are on a price-redetermination or fixed-price incentive basis.

1815.871 Procedures for identifying contractor's unallowable costs.

(a) The establishment, maintenance, and consistent use of procedures for identifying and segregating unallowable costs, which will assure compliance with CAS 405 and FAR 31.201-6, will benefit both the Government and contractors. Such procedures may vary between plants or divisions of a contractor because of size, mix of business, or complexity of organization. Some of the advantages of sound procedures are that—

(1) A greater degree of confidence can be placed on the accuracy and reliability of contractors' proposals, billings, and claims;

(2) They expedite the negotiation process; and

(3) They may reduce the scope of reviews performed by audit and other technical and procurement personnel.

(b) The responsible Federal contract audit organization shall review the contractor's procedures and practices in conjunction with other contract audit activities. Deficiencies shall be reported to the cognizant administrative contracting officer and, as appropriate, to contracting officers having substantial business with the contractor. Among the matters to be considered in determining the adequacy of a contractor's procedures are its policy, practices, and techniques for—

(1) Assignment of responsibilities within the organization for reviewing and approving claims against the Government;

(2) Identification of unallowable costs (expressly unallowable, mutually agreed to be unallowable, or specifically designated as unallowable by written decision of the contracting officer) together with the individual employees incurring such costs;

(3) Identification and computation of directly associated costs;

(4) Assurance that unallowable and directly associated costs are not included in estimated costs proposed or in incurred amounts claimed by the contractor;

(5) Coordination and communication between the elements of the contractor's organization that prepare proposals and claims and those responsible for identifying unallowable and directly associated costs; and

(6) Assuring the adequacy of the documentation maintained by the contractor identifying the unallowable costs together with directly associated costs.

1815.872 Tracking and resolution of expenditure and system audit findings.

(a) This section implements OMB Circular No. A-50. Expenditure and system audit recommendations shall be resolved by formal review and approval procedures analogous to those at 1815.807-71 and 1815.807-72.

(b) On expenditure or system audits where a major disagreement exists between the contracting officer and the auditor that, in the opinion of the procurement officer, has a significant impact on the action involved, the planned resolution will be coordinated with NASA Headquarters, Code HC, before final action.

(c) (1) The contract audit follow-up system will track all audit recommendations arising out of expenditure and system audits when NASA has cognizant determination authority. Included will be audits involving actions such as contract incurred costs, indirect cost settlements, termination settlements, defective pricing, final pricing or contract close-out, estimating systems surveys, accounting system and internal control reviews, CAS noncompliance reports, and operations audits.

(2) The objective of the tracking system is to ensure that audit recommendations will be resolved as expeditiously as possible, but at a maximum, within six months of the date of the audit report. All audit recommendations involving questioned costs in the categories specified in subparagraph (c)(1) of this section shall be tracked. (Audit recommendations involving the placement of contracts shall not be included in the tracking system.)

(d) Identification and tracking of expenditure and system audits under NASA cognizance shall be accomplished in cooperation with DCAA by means of the Contract Audit Follow-up Summary Sheet. The original form shall be attached to the original audit report and sent to the contracting officer having negotiation or resolution responsibility. The second, and only other copy, shall be sent to the NASA Headquarters focal point (Code HC). The summary sheet will identify the total costs questioned or considered avoidable and whether the audit recommendations, in the opinion of the auditor, are significant. The form also identifies the responsible contracting officer and provides a space to be completed by the contracting officer, upon resolution of the matter, with a statement describing how the audit recommendation was resolved, including, when appropriate, dollar values.

(e) Documentation in support of the contractor's procedures shall be made available to authorized Government personnel.

Subpart 1815.9—Profit

1815.902 Policy.

As authorized by FAR 15.902, NASA has established a structured approach for determining profit or fee objectives. This approach, described in 1815.970, is based on the profit-analysis factors appearing in FAR 15.905 and shall be used to determine profit or fee objectives for conducting negotiations in those acquisitions that require cost analysis, except in the case of:

(a) Architect-engineer contracts;

(b) Management contracts for operation and/or maintenance of Government facilities;

(c) Construction contracts;

(d) Contracts primarily requiring delivery of material supplied by subcontractors;

(e) Termination settlements;

(f) Cost-plus-award-fee contracts (however, contracting officers may find it advantageous to perform a structured profit analysis as an aid in arriving at an appropriate fee arrangement); and

(g) Contracts having unusual pricing situations when the structured approach is determined unsuitable and the exemption is:

(1) Justified in writing, and

(2) Authorized by the procurement officer.

1815.903 Contracting officer responsibilities.

1815.903–70 Contracting officer authority for negotiating architect-engineer fees.

It is NASA policy that if a contract involving architect-engineer services covers any services other than the production and delivery of designs, plans, drawings, and specifications, the part of the contract price for these other services is not subject to the 6 percent fee limitation set forth in FAR 15.903(d)(1).

1815.904 Solicitation provision and contract clause.

Before contract award, the contracting officer shall ascertain whether the prospective contractor has claimed facilities capital cost of money as an allowable cost pursuant to the clause at FAR 52.215–30, Facilities Capital Cost of Money. If the offeror has not claimed the cost, the clause shall be deleted when the contract is executed. If it has claimed the cost, the clause at FAR 52.215–31, Waiver of Facilities Capital Cost of Money, shall be deleted. Including only one of these clauses in the contract will make it clear to the paying office whether or not the cost is allowable.

1815.970 NASA structured approach for profit or fee objective.

(a) The factors in 1815.970–1 through 1815.970–3 shall be considered in all cases in which profit is to be specifically negotiated. The weight ranges listed after each factor on NASA Form 634, Structured Approach Profit/Fee Objective, shall be used whenever the structured approach is used.

(b) The contracting officer shall first measure Contractor Effort by assigning a profit percentage, within the designated weight ranges, to each element of contract cost recognized by the contracting officer. The amount calculated for the cost of money for facilities capital is not to be included in the computation of profit as part of the cost base.

(c) The suggested categories under Contractor Effort are for reference purposes only. Often individual proposals will be in a different format; but since these categories are broad and basic, they provide sufficient guidance to evaluate all other items of cost.

(d) After computing a total dollar profit for Contractor Effort, the contracting officer shall calculate the specific profit dollars assigned for cost risk, investment, performance, socioeconomic programs, inventive developmental contributions, and additional factors. This is accomplished by multiplying the total government cost objective, exclusive of any cost of money for facilities capital, by the specific weight assigned to the elements within the Other Factors category. NASA Form 634 should be used, as appropriate, to facilitate calculation of this profit objective (calculating Inventive and Developmental Contributions and Unusual Pricing Agreements and combining them under Special Situations).

(e) In making a judgment of the value of each factor, the contracting officer should be governed by the definition, description, and purpose of the factors and the considerations for evaluating them as set forth in 1815.970–1, 1815.970–2, and 1815.970–3.

(f) The structured approach was designed for arriving at profit or fee objectives for commercial organizations (FAR Subpart 31.2). However, if appropriate adjustments are made, the structured approach can be used as a basis for arriving at fee objectives for nonprofit organizations (FAR Subpart 31.7), excluding educational institutions (FAR Subpart 31.3). It is NASA policy not to pay profit or fee on contracts with educational institutions. Therefore, the structured approach, as modified in subparagraph (f)(2) of this section, shall be used for nonprofit organizations. The modifications should not be applied as deductions against historical fee levels, but rather to the fee objective for commercial organizations as calculated under the structured approach.

(1) For purposes of this paragraph (f), nonprofit organizations are defined as those business entities that are:

(i) Organized and operated exclusively for charitable, scientific, or educational purposes, no part of the net earnings of which inure to the benefit of any private shareholder or individual, and

(ii) Are exempt from Federal income taxation under section 501 of the Internal Revenue Code.

(2) For contracts with nonprofit organizations under which fees are involved, an adjustment of up to 3 percent shall be subtracted from the total profit/fee objective. In developing this adjustment, it will be necessary to consider—

(i) Tax position benefits;

(ii) Granting of financing through letters of credit;

(iii) Facility requirements of the nonprofit organization; and

(iv) Other pertinent factors that may work to either the advantage or disadvantage of the contractor in its position as a nonprofit organization.

1815.970-1 Common factors.

(a) *Contractor effort.* This factor is a measure of how much the contractor is expected to contribute to the overall effort necessary to meet the contract performance requirements efficiently. This factor, which is apart from the contractor's responsibility for contract performance, takes into account what resources are necessary and what the contractor must do to accomplish a conversion of ideas and materials into the final product called for in the contract. This is a recognition that within a given performance output, or within a given sales dollar figure, necessary efforts on the part of individual contractors can vary widely in both value and quantity, and that the profit objective should reflect the extent and nature of the contractor's contribution to total performance. A major consideration, particularly in experimental, developmental, or research work, is the difficulty or complexity of the work to be performed, and the unusual demands of the contract, such as whether the project involves a new approach unrelated to existing equipment or only refinements of existing equipment. Evaluation of this factor requires analyzing the cost content of the proposed contract as follows:

(1) *Material acquisition (subcontracted items, purchased parts, and other material).* (i) Analysis of these cost items shall include an evaluation of the managerial and technical effort necessary to obtain the required purchased parts, subcontracted items, and other materials, including special tooling. This evaluation shall include consideration of the number of orders and suppliers, and whether established sources are available or new sources must be developed. The contracting officer shall also determine whether the contractor will, for example, obtain the material and tooling by routine orders from readily available supplies (particularly those of substantial value in relation to the total contract cost), or by detailed subcontracts for which the prime contractor will be required to develop complex specifications involving creative design or close tolerance manufacturing requirements.

(ii) Consideration should be given to the managerial and technical efforts necessary for the prime contractor to administer subcontracts and select subcontractors, including efforts to break out subcontracts from sole sources through the introduction of competition. These determinations should be made for purchases of raw materials or basic commodities; purchases of processed material, including all types of components of standard or near-standard characteristics; and purchases of pieces, assemblies, subassemblies, special tooling, and other products special to the end item. In the application of the criterion, it should be recognized that the contractor's purchasing program might make a substantial contribution to the performance of the contract. This might be applicable in the management of subcontracting programs involving many sources, new complex components and instrumentation, incomplete specifications, and close surveillance by the prime contractor's representative.

(iii) Recognized costs proposed as direct material costs, such as scrap charges, shall be treated as material for profit evaluation. If intracompany transfers are accepted at price in accordance with FAR 31.205-26(e), they shall be evaluated as material. Other intracompany transfers shall be evaluated by individual components of cost, i.e., material, labor, and overhead.

(2) *Direct labor (engineering, service, manufacturing, and other labor).* (i) Analysis of the various items of the cost content of the contract should include evaluation of the comparative quality and level of the engineering talents, service contract labor, manufacturing skills, and experience to be employed. In evaluating engineering labor for the purpose of assigning profit dollars, consideration should be given to the amount of notable scientific talent or unusual or scarce engineering talent needed, in contrast to journeyman engineering effort or supporting personnel. The diversity, or lack of diversity, of scientific and engineering specialties required for contract performance, and the corresponding need for engineering supervision and coordination, should be evaluated. Such circumstances as whether the caliber or class of engineer involved is that of an "idea-man"—or whether the contractor is required by the contract to assign to the work, because of its nature, unusually skilled talent—should be considered as part of the evaluation.

(ii) Service contract labor should be evaluated in a like manner by assigning higher weights to engineering or professional skills and lower weights to semiprofessional or other skills required for contract performance.

(iii) Similarly, the variety of manufacturing and other categories of labor skills required and the contractor's manpower resources for meeting these requirements should be considered. For purposes of evaluation, categories of labor (i.e., quality control, receiving and inspecting, etc.) not falling within the definition for engineering, service, or manufacturing labor may be categorized appropriately. However, the same evaluation considerations as outlined above will be applied.

(3) *Overhead and general management (G&A).* (i) Analysis of these overhead items of cost includes the evaluation of the makeup of these expenses and how much they contribute to contract performance. To the extent practicable, the analysis should include a determination of the amount of labor within these overhead pools and how this labor would be treated if it were considered direct labor under the contract. The allocable labor elements should be given the same profit consideration they would receive if they were treated as direct labor. The other elements of these overhead pools should be evaluated to determine whether they are routine expenses such as utilities and maintenance, and hence given lesser profit consideration, or are significant contributing elements. The composite of the individual determinations in relation to the elements of the overhead pools will be the profit consideration given the pools as a whole.

(ii) It is not necessary that the contractor's accounting system break down overhead expenses within the classification of engineering overhead, manufacturing overhead, other overhead pools, and general and administrative expenses (G&A), unless dictated otherwise by Cost Accounting Standards (CAS). The contractor whose accounting system reflects only one overhead rate on all direct labor need not change its system (if CAS-exempt) to correspond with the above classifications. The contracting officer, in an evaluation of such a contractor's overhead rate, could break out the applicable sections of the composite rate, which could be classified as engineering overhead, manufacturing overhead, other overhead pools, and G&A expenses, and follow the appropriate evaluation technique.

(iii) Management problems surface in various degrees, and the management expertise exercised to solve them should be considered as an element of profit.

For example, a new program for an item on the cutting edge of the state of the art will cause more problems and require more managerial time and abilities of a higher order than will a follow-on contract. If new contracts create more problems and require a higher profit weight, follow-ons should be adjusted downward, since many of the problems should have been solved. In any event, an evaluation of the underlying managerial effort involved should be made on a case-by-case basis.

(iv) It may not be necessary for the contracting officer to make a separate profit evaluation of overhead expenses in connection with each procurement action for substantially the same product with the same contractor. If an analysis of the profit weight to be assigned to the overhead pool has been made, that weight assigned may be used for future procurements with the same contractor until there is a change in the cost composition of the overhead pool or the contract circumstances, or the factors discussed in subdivision (a)(3)(iii) of this section are involved.

(4) *Other costs.* Include all other direct costs associated with contractor performance under this item (e.g., travel and relocation, direct support, and consultants). Analysis of these items of cost should include

(i) The significance of the costs to contract performance,

(ii) Their nature, and

(iii) How much they contribute to contract performance.

(b) *Contract cost risk.* The degree of risk assumed by the contractor should influence the amount of profit or fee a contractor is entitled to anticipate. For example, if a portion of the risk has been shifted to the Government through cost-reimbursement or price-redetermination provisions, unusual contingency provisions, or other risk-reducing measures, the amount of profit or fee should be less than for arrangements under which the contractor assumes all the risk. In developing the prenegotiation profit objective, the contracting officer will need to consider the type of contract anticipated to be negotiated and the contractor risk associated with it when selecting the position in the weight range for profit appropriate for the risk to be borne by the contractor. This factor is one of the most important in arriving at prenegotiation profit objectives.

(1) Evaluation of this risk requires a determination of:

(i) The degree of cost responsibility the contractor assumes,

(ii) The reliability of the cost estimates in relation to the task assumed, and

(iii) The complexity of the task assumed by the contractor.

This factor is specifically limited to the risk of contract costs. Thus, risks on the part of the contractor such as reputation, losing a commercial market, or losing potential profits in other fields, or any risk on the part of the contracting office such as the risk of not acquiring an effective space vehicle, are not within the scope of this factor.

(2) The first and basic determination of the degree of cost responsibility assumed by the contractor is related to the sharing of total risk of contract cost by the Government and the contractor through the selection of contract type. The extremes are a cost-plus-fixed-fee contract requiring the contractor to use its best efforts to perform a task and a firm fixed-price contract for a complex item. A cost-plus-fixed-fee contract reflects a minimum assumption of cost responsibility, while a firm-fixed-price contract reflects a complete assumption of cost responsibility. When the proper contract type has been selected, the regard for risk by contract type would usually fall within the 0–to–3-percent range for cost-reimbursement contracts and 3 to 7 percent for fixed-price contracts.

(3) The second determination is that of the reliability of the cost estimates. Sound price negotiation requires well-defined contract objectives and reliable cost estimates. Prior experience assists the contractor in preparing reliable cost estimates on new procurements for similar equipment. An excessive cost estimate reduces the possibility that the cost of performance will exceed the contract price, thereby reducing the contractor's assumption of contract cost risk.

(4) The third determination is that of the difficulty of the contractor's task. The contractor's task can be difficult or easy, regardless of contract type.

(i) Within the ranges set forth in subparagraph (b)(2) of this section, a cost-plus-fixed-fee contract normally would not justify a reward for risk in excess of 0 percent, unless the contract contains cost risk features such as ceilings on overheads, etc. In such cases, up to 0.5 percent may be justified. Cost-plus-incentive-fee contracts fill the remaining portion of the range, with weightings directly related to such factors as confidence in target cost, share ratio of fees, etc.

(ii) The range for fixed-price contracts is wide enough to accommodate the various types of fixed-price arrangements. These include fixed-price incentive, firm-fixed-price with economic price adjustment, fixed-price with prospective or retroactive price redetermination, and firm-fixed-price contracts. Weighting should be indicative of the price risk assumed and the end item required, with only firm-fixed-price contracts with requirements for prototypes or hardware reaching the top end of the range.

(iii) The contractor's subcontracting program may have a significant impact on the contractor's acceptance of risk under a contract form. It could cause risk to increase or decrease in terms of both cost and performance. This consideration should be a part of the contracting officer's overall evaluation in selecting a factor to apply for cost risk. It may be determined, for instance, that the prime contractor has effectively transferred real cost risk to a subcontractor, and the contract cost risk evaluation may, as a result, be below the range that would otherwise apply for the contract type proposed. The contract cost risk evaluation should not be lowered, however, merely on the basis that a substantial portion of the contract costs represents subcontracts without any substantial transfer of the contractor's risk.

(iv) In making a contract cost risk evaluation in a procurement action that involves definitization of a letter contract, unpriced change orders, or unpriced orders under BOAs, consideration should be given to the effect on total contract cost risk as a result of having partial performance before definitization. Under some circumstances it may be reasoned that the total amount of cost risk has been effectively reduced. Under other circumstances it may be apparent that the contractor's cost risk is substantially unchanged. To be equitable, determination of a profit weight for application to the total of all recognized costs, both incurred and yet to be expended, must be made with consideration of all attendant circumstances and should not just be based on the portion of costs incurred, or percentage of work completed, before definitization.

(v) Time-and-materials and labor-hour contracts shall be considered cost-plus-fixed-fee contracts for the purpose of establishing profit weights, unless otherwise exempt under 1815.902, in evaluating the contractor's assumption of contract cost risk.

(c) *Investment.* NASA encourages its contractors to perform their contracts with a minimum of financial, facilities, or other assistance from the

Government. As such, it is the purpose of this factor to encourage the contractor to acquire and use its own resources to the maximum extent possible. Evaluation of this factor should include an analysis of the following:

(1) *Facilities.* (i) To evaluate how this factor contributes to the profit objective requires knowledge of the level of facilities utilization needed for contract performance, the source and financing of the required facilities, and the overall cost effectiveness of the facilities offered. Contractors furnishing their own facilities that significantly contribute to lower total contract costs should be provided additional profit. On the other hand, contractors that rely on the Government to provide or finance needed facilities should receive a corresponding reduction in profit. Cases between the above examples should be evaluated on their merits, with either a positive or negative adjustment, as appropriate, in the profit objective. However, where a highly facilitized contractor is to perform a contract that does not benefit from this facilitization, or when a contractor's use of its facilities has a minimum cost impact on the contract, profit need not be adjusted.

(2) *Payments.* In analyzing this factor, consideration should be given to the frequency of payments by the Government to the contractor. The key to this weighting is to give proper consideration to the impact the contract will have on the contractor's cash flow. Generally, negative consideration should be given for payments more frequent than monthly, with maximum reduction being given as the contractor's working capital approaches zero. Positive consideration should be given for payments less frequent than monthly, with additional consideration being given for a capital turnover rate on the contract that is less than the contractor's or the industry's normal capital turnover rate.

(d) *Contractor's performance.* The contractor's past and present performance should be evaluated in such areas as product quality, meeting performance schedules, efficiency in cost control (including the need for and reasonableness of costs incurred), accuracy and reliability of previous cost estimates, degree of cooperation by the contractor (both business and technical), timely processing of changes and compliance with other contractual provisions, and subcontract program management. If a contractor has consistently achieved excellent results in these areas in comparison with other contractors in similar circumstances, such performance merits a proportionately greater opportunity for profit or fee. Conversely, a poor record in this regard should be reflected in determining what constitutes a fair and reasonable profit or fee.

(e) *Federal socioeconomic programs.* This factor, which may apply to special circumstances or particular acquisitions, relates to the extent of the contractor's successful participation in Government-sponsored programs such as small business, small disadvantaged business, and labor surplus area programs and energy conservation efforts. Contractor policies and procedures which energetically supporting Government socioeconomic programs and achieving success should be given positive consideration. Conversely, failure or unwillingness on the part of the contractor to support Government socioeconomic programs should be viewed as evidence of poor performance for the purpose of establishing this part of the profit objective.

(f) *Inventive and developmental contributions.* (1) The extent and nature of contractor-initiated and -financed independent development should be considered in developing the profit objective. The importance of the development in furthering space and aeronautical purposes, the demonstrable initiative in determining the need for and application of the development, the extent of the contractor's cost risk, and whether the development cost was recovered directly or indirectly from Government sources should be weighed.

(2) This factor need not be limited to situations that increase profit/fee levels. A negative consideration may be appropriate when the contractor is expected to obtain spin-off benefits as a direct result of the contract (e.g., products with commercial application).

1815.970-2 Additional factors—unusual pricing agreements.

Occasionally, unusual contract pricing arrangements are made with the contractor under which it agrees to participate in the sharing of contract cost or agrees to accept a lower profit or fee for changes or modifications within a prescribed dollar value. In such circumstances, the contractor should receive favorable consideration in developing the profit objective.

1815.970-3 Facilities capital cost of money.

When facilities capital cost of money (cost of capital committed to facilities) is included as an item of cost in the contractor's proposal, a reduction in the profit objective shall be made in an amount equal to the amount of facilities capital cost of money allowed in accordance with FAR 31.205-10(a) (see FAR 15.904 and 52.215-30 for related contract clause).

1815.971 Payment of profit or fee under letter contracts.

It is NASA policy to pay profit or fee on definitized contracts only.

Subpart 1815.10—Preaward, Award, and Postaward Notifications, Protests, and Mistakes

1815.1003 Debriefing of unsuccessful offerors.

1815.1003-1 Scope of subpart.

This subpart sets forth NASA policy and procedures for debriefing unsuccessful offerors in all competitive negotiated procurements. (See also, paragraph 504 of 1870.103, App. I, for debriefing procedures applicable to Announcements of Opportunity.)

1815.1003-2 Policy.

(a) NASA shall debrief an unsuccessful competitor in accordance with FAR 15.1003. It is essential that debriefings be conducted in a scrupulously fair, objective, and impartial manner, and that the information given the proposer be absolutely factual and consistent with—

(1) The findings of the Source Evaluation Board (SEB) and the basis on which the Selection Official made the decision; or

(2) The findings of the technical and contracting officers and the basis on which the contracting officer made the decision if SEB procedures were not employed.

(b) Unless authorized by the Assistant Administrator for Procurement, the Source Selection Statement for alternative system design concepts under the Major System Acquisitions process is not to be released to competing offerors or the general public until the release of the Source Selection Statements for full-scale development.

1815.1003-3 Designated Officials.

Debriefings are to be conducted by senior NASA officials. When the selection has been made by the Administrator, the Administrator will designate an official familiar with the rationale for the selection to conduct the debriefings, with participation by cognizant field installation personnel, if deemed necessary. When the selection has been made by the Field Installation Director or a Headquarters Staff or Program Office head, the Center Director or Official-in-Charge of the Headquarters office shall designate an official and necessary supporting staff to

perform the debriefing. When SEB procedures were not applied, the installation Procurement Officer, or designee, shall perform the debriefing.

1815.1003-4 Procedures.

(a) The recipient of a written or oral debriefing request shall immediately refer the request to the installation procurement officer.

(b) The procurement officer, upon receipt of a debriefing request, shall inform the designated official and other concerned officials at the installation and, in the case of procurement actions where an Associate Administrator or the Administrator was the Selection Official, the cognizant NASA Headquarters personnel.

(c) If an unsuccessful offeror in a negotiated procurement submits, prior to the award of the contract, a written request for a debriefing, such a debriefing will be provided at the earliest feasible time. This normally shall be after announcement of the selection decision and prior to award of the contract. ("Selection decision" means the final selection of the one successful contractor, or the contractors where more than one contract is to be awarded). If the selection decision involves more than one contractor pursuant to the Major System Acquisition process, the debriefing will be limited in such a manner that it does not prematurely disclose innovative concepts, designs, and approaches of the successful contractor(s) that would result in a transfusion of ideas which also could inhibit contractors during the early phase from offering their best and most promising ideas for meeting the mission need. However, when the exigency of the situation will not permit delaying the award in order to debrief unsuccessful offerors, such debriefings may be conducted after award.

(d) A summary of the results of each debriefing, signed by the conducting NASA official, shall be placed in the contract file.

PART 1816—TYPES OF CONTRACTS

Subpart 1816.2—Fixed-Price Contracts

Sec.
1816.202 Firm-fixed-price contracts.
1816.202-70 NASA contract clause.
1816.203 Fixed-price contracts with economic price adjustment.
1816.203-4 Contract clauses.
1816.207 Firm-fixed-price, level-of-effort term contracts.
1816.207-70 NASA contract clause.

Subpart 1816.3—Cost-Reimbursement Contracts

1816.301 General.
1816.301-3 Limitations.
1816.303 Cost-sharing contracts.
1816.307 Contract clauses.
1816.307-70 NASA contract clauses.
1816.370 Forms.

Subpart 1816.4—Incentive Contracts

1816.403 Fixed-price incentive contracts.
1816.404 Cost-reimbursement incentive contracts.
1816.404-2 Cost-plus-award-fee contracts.
1816.405 Contract clauses.
1816.405-70 NASA contract clause.

Subpart 1816.5—Indefinite-Delivery Contracts

1816.506 Ordering.

Subpart 1816.6—Time-and-Materials, Labor-Hour, and Letter Contracts

1816.603 Letter contracts.
1816.603-4 Contract clause.
1816.603-470 NASA contract clause.
1816.603-70 Information to include in a letter contract.
1816.603-71 Authority to approve the issuance of a letter contract.

Subpart 1816.7—Agreements

1816.703 Basic ordering agreements.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 2473(c)(1).

Subpart 1816.2—Fixed-Price Contracts

1816.202 Firm-fixed-price contracts.

1816.202-70 NASA contract clause.

The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 1852.216-78, Firm Fixed Price, in firm-fixed-price solicitations and contracts. Insert the appropriate amount in the resulting contract.

1816.203 Fixed-price contracts with economic price adjustment.

1816.203-4 Contract clauses.

(a) The contracting officer shall coordinate with the installation's Financial Management Officer before exceeding the ten-percent limit in paragraph (c)(1) of the clauses at FAR 52.216-2, 52.216-3, and 52.216-4.

(b) The contracting officer may modify the clause at FAR 52.216-4 for use in sealed-bid procurements.

(c) This paragraph (c) applies to adjustments based on cost indexes of labor or material.

(1) All price adjustment clauses using cost indexes require advance approval by the Assistant Administrator for Procurement. Requests for approval shall be submitted to the Office of Procurement, NASA Headquarters (Code HC).

(2) The factors in subdivisions (c)(2)(i) through (xiii) following should be considered in preparing any price adjustment clause in situations meeting the criteria of FAR 16.203-4(d):

(i) The clause should not be overly complex.

(ii) The clause normally should not provide either a ceiling or a floor for adjustment unless adjustment is based on an index below the four-digit level of the Bureau of Labor Statistics Producer Price Index or the Wage and Income Series by Standard Industrial Classification (Labor).

(iii) The clause normally should cover all potential economic fluctuations within the original contract period of performance.

(iv)(A) The clause must positively and accurately identify the index(es) upon which adjustments will be based and must provide an alternative in the event publication of the designated index is discontinued. The alternative might include the substitution of another index, if the time remaining would justify it and an appropriate index is reasonably available, or some other method for repricing the remaining portion of the work.

(B) There should normally be no need to make an adjustment if computation of the identified index is altered; however, provision may be made to adjust the economic fluctuation computations if the method of computing the index is so substantially altered as to negate the original intent of the parties. When an index to be used is subject to revision (e.g., the Bureau of Labor Statistics Producer Price Indexes), the price adjustment clause shall further specify that any adjustment shall be based upon the applicable revised index.

(v) An index should be structured to encompass a large sample of relevant items, yet bear a logical relationship to the type of contract costs measured. The basis of the index should not be so large and diverse that it is significantly affected by fluctuations not relevant to contract performance. But it must be broad enough to ensure that the effect created by any single company, including the anticipated contractors, is minimal.

(vi) Not more than two indexes normally should be used; i.e., one for labor (direct and indirect) and one for material (direct and indirect).

(vii) The clause must establish and properly identify a base period comparable to the contract periods for which adjustments are to be made, as a reference point for applying an index.

(viii) The clause should provide for adjustment from the beginning of the contract or for such period that the rate of expenditure is commensurate with the administrative cost and effort of adjusting, but it should not provide for adjustment beyond the original contract performance period.

(ix) The expenditure profile for both labor and material should be based on a predetermined rate of expenditure (expressed as the percentage of material or labor use as related to total contract price) in lieu of actual cost incurred. If the clause is to be used in a competitive procurement, the labor and material allocations, with regard to both mix and percentage rate of expenditure, shall be determined by the contracting officer in a manner approximating, as nearly as possible, the average-expenditure profile of all companies likely to make offers. If the clause is to be used in a noncompetitive procurement, the labor and material allocations determined by the contracting officer may be subject to negotiation and agreement.

(x)(A) The clause should state the percentage of the contract price subject to adjustment. Normally, adjustments are not applied to the profit portion of the contract.

(B) Additionally, the labor and material portions of the contract must be examined to exclude areas not requiring adjustment. It may not be necessary, for example, to include all subcontracting, because some of the subcontracting could be for short periods during the early life of the contract. It may be possible to exclude certain areas of overhead from escalation protection; e.g., depreciation charges, prepaid insurance costs, rental costs, leases, certain taxes, and utility charges. Consideration also should be given to including economic-fluctuation protection covering that portion of labor for the period for which a definitive union agreement exists without additional factoring for such things as cost-of-living increases.

(C) Care should be taken to allocate to labor and material only those costs likely to be affected by fluctuations in the economy. That portion of the contract determined to be proper for economic-fluctuation protection shall then be allocated to specific periods (e.g., quarterly, semiannually) on the basis of the most probable expenditure or commitment (expenditure profile).

(xi) The clause should provide for definite times or positive events for price adjustments. Adjustments should be of a frequency affording the contractor appropriate economic relief without creating a burdensome administrative effort. The adjustment period should normally range from a minimum of quarterly to a maximum of annually.

(xii) When the contract contains cost incentives, any sums paid to the contractor because of economic price adjustments shall be subtracted from the total of the contractor's allowable cost for the purpose of establishing the total costs to which the cost incentives apply. If the incentive arrangement is cited in percentage ranges rather than dollar ranges above and below target costs, the economic price adjustment clause should be structured to maintain the original contract incentive range in dollars.

(xiii) The economic price adjustment clause should provide that once the labor and material allocations have been established, they remain fixed through the life of the contract and are not modified unless the contract is partially terminated. The clause should state that pricing actions pursuant to the Changes clause or other provisions of the contract will be priced as though there were no provision for economic price adjustment.

(d) Consistent with the factors in paragraph (c)(2) of this section, and to allocate risk properly, the contracting officer may provide for certain economic price adjustment arrangements between the prime contractor and subcontractors. In such circumstances, a requirement to incorporate price adjustment clauses in specified subcontracts should be included in the prime contract's price adjustment clause.

(e) When economic price adjustment clauses are included in contracts that do not require submission of cost or pricing data (see FAR 15.804–2 and 15.804–3), the contracting officer shall obtain adequate information to establish the baseline from which adjustments will be made. In addition, the contracting officer may require verification of this information to the extent necessary to permit reliance upon it as a reasonable baseline.

(f) The contracting officer shall insert the provision at 1852.216–72, Evaluation of Offers Subject to Economic Price Adjustment, in all solicitations and contracts that contain an economic price adjustment clause.

1816.207 Firm-fixed-price, level-of-effort term contracts.

1816.207–70 NASA contract clause.

(a) The contracting officer shall insert a clause substantially as stated at 1852.216–79, Level-of-Effort (Fixed-Price), in fixed-price term solicitations and contracts. Insert the minimum direct labor hours, the labor categories and associated direct labor hours, and a formula or dollar amount as the rate(s) at which the fixed price may be reduced if the minimum direct labor hours have not been provided. If it is in the Government's interest, the labor category information may be simplified (e.g., "engineering" or "drafting") for smaller, less complex procurements.

(b) For task ordering procedures for firm-fixed-price, level-of-effort term contracts, see 1816.307–70(d) and (e).

Subpart 1816.3 Cost-Reimbursement Contracts

1816.301 General.

1816.301–3 Limitations.

(a) The determination and findings required by FAR 16.301–3 may be signed by the contracting officer for individual purchases and contracts. The format below shall be used as shown.

National Aeronautics and Space Administration

Determination and Findings

Authority to Use a (a) Contract

Upon the basis of the following findings and determination, which I make under the authority of 10 U.S.C. 2306(c), the contract described below may be entered into on a (a) basis.

Findings

1. The (b) proposes to enter into a (a) contract for the acquisition of (c). The cost of this work is estimated at $_____.

2. The work to be performed is (d).

Determination

1. (This contract type is likely to be less costly than any other type.) (It is impractical to obtain supplies or services of the kind or quality required without the use of this contract type.) (e)

2. The estimated cost of the proposed contract is $ (f).

Date:_____

Notes: The contracting officer shall insert, where shown, the following information:

(a) Type of contract contemplated.

(b) Installation name.

(c) Brief description of supplies or services.

(d) Description of the nature of the proposed work and related specific facts that show why the contemplated contract type is the best alternative for this particular acquisition.

(e) The appropriate alternative or, when both apply, insert both connected by "and."

(f) Required only for cost-plus-fixed-fee contracts.

1816.303 Cost-sharing contracts.

The following guidelines apply to research contracts resulting from unsolicited proposals, and to supplements to such contracts that require additional funding.

(a) *When cost sharing is applicable.* (1) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, cost sharing by non-Federal organizations is mandatory in any contract for basic or applied research resulting from an unsolicited proposal.

(2) Cost sharing by non-Federal organizations in any contract may be

accepted when offered by a performing organization.

(b) *When cost sharing is not applicable.* (1) Cost sharing is not applicable to contracts for basic or applied research resulting from an unsolicited proposal when:

(i) The offeror certifies in writing to the contracting officer that it has no commercial, production, educational, or service activities on which to use the results of the research, and no means of recovering any cost sharing on such projects, and

(ii) The contracting officer determines that cost sharing does not apply.

In these situations, when there is no measurable potential gain to the performing organization, there is no mutuality of interest, and it would not be equitable for the Government to require cost sharing.

(2) (i) The activities of educational institutions under NASA sponsorship normally are of benefit only to NASA and would not justify cost sharing; i.e., NASA's normal policy of reimbursing universities fully for research performed on its behalf would apply. However, to establish on a case-by-case basis that there is no clear potential for significant future benefit or measurable gain, and that cost sharing does not apply, the contracting officer shall document the file with a determination substantively the same as that required by paragraph (b)(1) of this section. The determination shall identify the information on which it is based. If the determination cannot reasonably be made from the available information, the contracting officer shall request the offeror to certify as in paragraph (b)(1) of this section. Blanket procedures shall not be established for obtaining certifications from offerors for all cases on a routine basis.

(ii) Cost sharing by educational institutions may nevertheless be accepted when voluntarily offered, if the institution is aware of NASA's policy that the amount of cost sharing is not a factor in determining whether to support a given proposal.

(c) *Amount of cost sharing*—(1) *Educational institutions and affiliated not-for-profit institutions.* (i) Cost sharing, if used for educational institutions and affiliated not-for-profit institutions, normally varies from one percent to as much as five percent of the project's cost.

(ii) NASA does not request inclusion of cost-sharing information in proposals from educational institutions. If cost sharing is determined applicable, a cost-sharing offer will be requested during negotiations.

(2) *Other performing organizations.* (i) Cost sharing for organizations other than those in paragraph (c)(1) of this section may be any percentage of the research cost. Mutuality of interest in the results of the work being performed is of primary significance in assessing the appropriateness of any particular level of cost sharing.

(ii) Factors that should be considered in determining mutuality of interest include—

(A) The potential of the contractor to recover its contribution from non-Federal sources;

(B) The extent to which the particular area of research requires special stimulus in the national interest; and

(C) The extent to which a research effort or result is likely to enhance the contractor's capability, expertise, or competitive position.

(d) *Implementation*—(1) *Payment of fee or profit.* No fee or profit may be paid to a cost-sharing contractor, and only an agreed portion of allowable costs shall be reimbursed.

(2) *Method of cost sharing.* Cost sharing shall be accomplished by a contribution of part or all of one or more elements of the allowable cost of the work being performed. It normally shall be expressed as a stated minimum percentage of the total allowable costs of the project. Costs so contributed may not be charged to the Government under any other grant or contract (including allocation to other grants or contracts as part of an independent research and development program).

(3) *Documentation.* Contract files shall contain appropriate documentation of the reasons for cost sharing and support for the amount of cost sharing agreed upon. For educational institutions, the reasons for any cost sharing exceeding:

(i) Five percent or

(ii) The amount originally offered shall be documented.

1816.307 Contract clauses.

(a) In solicitations and contracts containing the clause at FAR 52.216–8, Fixed Fee, or FAR 52.216–10, Incentive Fee, the Schedule shall include appropriate terms, if any, for provisional billing against fee.

(b) In subdivision (h)(2)(ii)(B) of the Allowable Cost and Payment clause at FAR 52.216–7, the period of years may be increased to correspond with any statutory period of limitation applicable to claims of third parties against the contractor; provided, that a corresponding increase is made in the period for retention of records required in paragraph (d) of the clause at FAR 52.215–1, Examination of Records by Comptroller General.

(c) In subdivision (g)(2)(ii) of the Allowable Cost and Payment—Facilities clause at FAR 52.216–13, the period of years may be increased to correspond with any statutory period of limitation applicable to claims of third parties against the contractor; provided, that a corresponding increase is made in the period for retention of records required in paragraph (d) of the clause at FAR 52.215–1, Examination of Records by Comptroller General.

1816.307–70 NASA contract clauses.

(a) The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 1852.216–73, Estimated Cost and Cost Sharing, in each contract in which costs are shared by the contractor pursuant to 1816.303.

(b) The contracting officer may insert the clause at 1852.216–74, Estimated Cost and Fixed Fee, in cost-plus-fixed-fee contracts. Modifications to the clause are authorized.

(c) The contracting officer may insert the clause at 1852.216–75, Payment of Fixed Fee, in cost-plus-fixed-fee contracts. Modifications to the clause are authorized.

(d) The contracting officer may insert a clause substantially as stated at 1852.216–80, Task Ordering Procedure, for level-of-effort term solicitations and contracts where:

(1) The Government desires to control and direct the contractual effort through the issuance of task orders and

(2) The statement of work is conducive to issuance of task orders by the Government.

This clause is applicable to both fixed-price and cost type term contracts. The contracting officer may modify, where appropriate, the contractor's task plan submission period.

(e) The contracting officer shall use the clause at 1852.216–80 with its Alternate I, which deletes the requirement for contractor task plans, if:

(1) Direction in the task order is sufficiently defined,

(2) Submission of a contractor task plan would serve no useful purpose in clarifying the work to be performed,

(3) The task order authorizes commencement of work on a particular date, and

(4) The contractor acknowledges in writing that it will proceed with work in accordance with the task order.

(f) The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 1852.216–81, Estimated Cost, in cost no-fee contracts that are not cost sharing or facilities contracts. Insert the total estimated cost (value) of the contract.

(g) The contracting officer shall insert a clause substantially as stated at

1852.216-82, Level-of-Effort (Cost), in cost-plus-fixed-fee term solicitations and contracts. Insert the minimum and maximum percentages of direct labor hours, the labor categories and associated direct labor hours, and total direct labor hours. If it is in the Government's interest, the labor category information may be simplified (e.g., "engineering" or "drafting") for smaller, less complex procurements.

(h) The contracting officer may insert a clause substantially as stated at 1852.232-87, Submission of Vouchers for Payment, in cost-reimbursement solicitations and contracts. Insert the contract number and mailing addresses for submission of vouchers.

1816.370 Forms.

Contractors shall use NASA Form 778, Contractor's Release; NASA Form 779, Assignee's Release; NASA Form 780, Contractor's Assignment of Refunds, Rebates, Credits, and Other Amounts; and NASA Form 781, Assignee's Assignment of Refunds, Rebates, Credits, and Other Amounts, to fulfill the assignment and release requirements of the clauses prescribed at FAR 16.307 (a) and (g) (i.e., the clause at FAR 52.216-7, Allowable Cost and Payment, and FAR 52.216-13, Allowable Cost and Payment—Facilities).

Subpart 1816.4—Incentive Contracts

1816.403 Fixed-price incentive contracts.

The determination and findings required by FAR 16.403(c) may be signed by the contracting officer for individual purchases and contracts.

1816.404 Cost-reimbursement incentive contracts.

1816.404-2 Cost-plus-award-fee contracts.

To assure compliance with FAR 16.404-2(b)(2), all contract performance areas subject to evaluation on a judgmental basis, including performance areas such as cost and technical management, quality, timeliness, and productivity, shall be consolidated in a single award fee criteria and rating plan. The objective is a balanced evaluation of the contractor's overall performance, resulting in a single award fee determination consistent with NASA's management concerns and priorities in the particular situation.

1816.405 Contract clauses.

1816.405-70 NASA contract clauses.

(a) As authorized by FAR 16.405(e), the contracting officer shall insert the clause at 1852.216-76, Award Fee, in solicitations and contracts when a cost-plus-award-fee contract is contemplated.

(b) The contracting officer may insert a clause substantially as stated at 1852.216-83, Fixed Price Incentive, in fixed price incentive solicitations and contracts utilizing firm or successive targets. See FAR 52.216-16 and 52.216-17. Insert the appropriate amounts. For items to be subject to incentive price revision, identify the target cost, target profit, target price, and ceiling price for each item.

(c) The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 1852.216-84, Estimated Cost and Incentive Fee, in cost-plus-incentive-fee solicitations and contracts. Insert the appropriate target cost and fee amounts.

(d) The contracting officer may insert a clause substantially as stated at 1852.216-85, Estimated Cost and Award Fee, in cost-plus-award-fee solicitations and contracts. Insert the applicable cost and fee information for the particular procurement. If there is no base fee, delete the statements regarding base fee or specify $"0" (zero) base fee.

Subpart 1816.5—Indefinite-Delivery Contracts

1816.506 Ordering.

If the contracting office retains all contract administration functions, orders may be placed by written telecommunication.

Subpart 1816.6—Time-and-Materials, Labor-Hour, and Letter Contracts

1816.603 Letter contracts.

1816.603-4 Contract clause.

1816.603-470 NASA contract clause.

The contracting officer may insert a clause substantially as stated at 1852.216-86, Settlement of Letter Contract, in contracts definitizing letter contracts. Insert the appropriate letter contract number, date, and modification numbers.

1816.603-70 Information to include in a letter contract.

Although there is no set format for a letter contract, certain items must be addressed either in the letter portion of the letter contract or in an attachment to it. The following listing of items, not intended to be all-inclusive, applies to letter contracts:

(a) Contracting officer's signature.

(b) Provision for written acceptance by the contractor.

(c) Statement of work.

(d) Provision for delivery or performance schedule and place(s) of inspection and acceptance.

(e) Maximum dollar amount of Government liability and a statement that expenditure or obligation in excess of that amount shall be at the contractor's own risk.

(f) The target date for negotiation and execution of a definitive contract and a statement of the type of contract contemplated.

(g) A statement that no profit or fee shall be paid under the letter contract except as provided in the Termination clause (see 1815.971).

1816.603-71 Authority to approve the issuance of a letter contract.

(a) Letter contracts having an estimated definitive contract amount below the dollar thresholds specified in 1807.7102.

(1) Authority to approve the issuance of such letter contracts is delegated to the procurement officers. The request for approval shall include the following:

(i) Proposed contractor's name and address.

(ii) Location where contract is to be performed.

(iii) Contract number, including modification number, if applicable.

(iv) Brief description of the work or services to be performed.

(v) Performance period or delivery schedule.

(vi) Amount of letter contract.

(vii) Performance period of letter contract.

(viii) Estimated total amount of definitive contract.

(ix) Type of definitive contract to be executed; e.g., fixed-price, cost-plus-award-fee.

(x) A statement that the definitive contract will contain all required clauses or that deviations have been approved.

(xi) A statement as to the necessity and advantage to the Government of the proposed letter contract.

(2) The procurement officer shall provide a copy of the approval to issue a letter contract, along with the documentation required in paragraph (a)(1) of this section, to the Assistant Administrator for Procurement (Code HS) within 10 days of approval.

(3) The procurement officer shall notify the Assistant Administrator for Procurement (Code HS) of the date of definition and contract amount.

(b) Letter contracts having an estimated definitive contract amount equal to or exceeding the dollar thresholds specified in 1807.7102.

(1) Requests for authority to issue such letter contracts shall be signed by the procurement officer and submitted to the Assistant Administrator for

Procurement (Code HS). They shall include the information cited in subparagraph (a)(1) above.

(2) Any modification made, prior to definitization, to a letter contract approved by the Assistant Administrator for Procurement must be approved by the Assistant Administrator for Procurement. When a letter contract was approved by the procurement officer, any modification made to it before definitization that increases the estimated definitized contract amount to or above the dollar thresholds specified in 1807.7102 must have the prior approval of the Assistant Administrator for Procurement.

(3) Promptly upon receipt of a request to issue a letter contract, the Assistant Administrator for Procurement shall obtain the concurrence or comments of cognizant officials in charge of Headquarters offices and then advise the procurement officer of the disposition of the request.

Subpart 1816.7—Agreements

1816.703 Basic ordering agreements.

Orders under a basic ordering agreement may be issued on Optional Forms 347 and 348 or on an installation-prescribed form.

PART 1817—SPECIAL CONTRACTING METHODS

Subpart 1817.1—Multiyear Contracting

Sec.
1817.102 Policy.
1817.103 Procedures.
1817.103-1 General.

Subpart 1817.2—Options

1817.200 Scope of subpart.
1817.203 Solicitations.
1817.204 Contracts.
1817.206 Evaluation.
1817.207 Exercise of options.
1817.208 Solicitation provisions and contract clauses.

Subpart 1817.4—Leader Company Contracting

1817.401 General.

Subpart 1817.5—Interagency Acquisitions Under the Economy Act

1817.504 Ordering procedures.

Subpart 1817.70—Procurement With Military Departments

1817.7000 Scope of subpart.
1817.7001 Authorization and policy.
1817.7002 NASA-Defense Purchase Request and acceptance.
1817.7002-1 Acceptance by Military Department.
1817.7002-2 Changes in estimated total prices.
1817.7002-3 Payments.
1817.7002-4 Contract clause.

Subpart 1817.71—Exchange or Sale of Personal Property

1817.7101 Policy.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 2473 (c)(1).

Subpart 1817.1—Multiyear Contracting

1817.102 Policy.

Multiyear contracting is generally not appropriate for use by NASA, because of the nature of NASA's appropriations and agency mission. Therefore, contracting officers may not issue a multiyear solicitation without first obtaining written approval from the Assistant Administrator for Procurement, NASA Headquarters, Code HS.

1817.103 Procedures.

1817.103-1 General.

Under 10 U.S.C. 2306(g), multiyear contracting with funds that would otherwise be available for obligation only within the fiscal year for which appropriated is permitted for periods of not more than five years for certain types of services. For these services, in addition to the approval required by 1817.102 above, 10 U.S.C. 2306(g) and 2310 require a written determination and findings:

(a) By the Administrator for contracts exceeding three years, and

(b) By the contracting officer for individual contracts not exceeding three years.

Subpart 1817.2—Options

1817.200 Scope of subpart.

The exceptions at FAR 17.200 do not apply to NASA contracts; therefore, the policy and procedures at FAR Subpart 17.2 apply to all contracts.

1817.203 Solicitations.

The "authorized person" mentioned in FAR 17.203(g)(2) is hereby designated as being the Procurement Officer.

1817.204 Contracts.

(a) The 5-year limitation (basic plus option periods) set forth in FAR 17.204(e) shall apply to all NASA contracts regardless of type. Deviations from this policy will not be granted unless:

(1) The extended years can be reasonably priced, and

(2) A persuasive case (other than resources problems) can be made for exceeding five years.

Example: Some specific program event will occur at or near the end of the total contract period and a competition and potential change of contractor would be unacceptably disruptive or inefficient, or some other programmatic considerations dictate a longer period.

(b) In addition to establishing either a fixed or maximum fee or a formula for determining the fixed or maximum fee, options under cost type contracts shall contain an estimated cost for the option period(s).

1817.206 Evaluation.

For the purpose of FAR 17.206(b), the procurement officer at each center shall be the approval authority for determinations by the contracting officer not to evaluate offers for any option quantities or periods.

1817.207 Exercise of options.

(a) Unless a determination has been approved under FAR 17.206(b), the selection statement for each procurement involving an option shall include the source selection official's consideration of the option as part of the initial competition.

(b) Use of the provision (or formula) specified in FAR 17.207(f)(2) requires advance approval by the Assistant Administrator for Procurement (Code HC).

(c) For the purposes of FAR 17.207:

(1) In subdivision (f)(3)(i), the term "fixed fee" applies only to cost-plus-fixed-fee (CPFF) contracts and the term "maximum fee" applies to cost-plus-award-fee (CPAF) and cost-plus-incentive-fee (CPIF) contracts.

(2) When using a formula pursuant to subdivision (c)(3)(ii), the formula shall be expressed in the contract in a way that precludes the contractor from increasing costs for the purpose of earning additional fee. Use of a formula requires advance approval of the Assistant Administrator for Procurement. (Code HC).

1817.208 Solicitation provisions and contract clauses.

For the purpose of FAR 17.208(c)(3), cost reimbursement types of contracts are approved for agency use.

Subpart 1817.4—Leader Company Contracting

1817.401 General.

It is NASA policy not to use the leader company contracting technique.

Subpart 1817.5—Interagency Acquisitions Under the Economy Act

1817.504 Ordering procedures.

(a) It is NASA's policy not to place Government agencies in direct competition with commercial sources. Before offers are solicited from commercial sources, the field

installation's Director or a designee (see NMI 5101.24, Delegation of Authority to Take Actions in Procurement, Grants, Cooperative Agreements, and Related Matters) must determine whether to obtain the supplies or services from other Government agencies. Invitations for bids and requests for proposals shall not be sent to Government agencies. Current market prices, recent procurement prices, or prices obtained by informational submissions as provided in FAR 15.405 may be used to ascertain whether procurement can be effected more economically from commercial sources.

(b) An order for services shall not be placed with an agency when they can be performed as conveniently or more economically by private contractors.

(c) Delivery orders shall be placed on Optional Form 347 and 348 or an installation-prescribed form. However, when energy services are procured under DOD areawide utility contracts, the method of ordering prescribed in the appropriate DOD areawide contract will be used. The form may be modified to satisfy NASA fiscal and administrative requirements and to contain such additional contract provisions as may be contemplated or permitted by the DOD areawide contract, except that it shall not be modified for use as a public voucher in lieu of Standard Form 1034.

Subpart 1817.70—Procurement With Military Departments

1817.7000 Scope of subpart.

This subpart contains policies and procedures, developed jointly by NASA and DOD, for procurement of supplies or services by NASA from or through the Military Departments.

1817.7001 Authorization and policy.

(a) NASA is authorized by the National Aeronautics and Space Act of 1958 (42 U.S.C. 2451 et seq.) to:

(1) Use the procurement services, personnel, equipment, and facilities of the Military Departments, with their consent and with or without reimbursement, and, on a similar basis, to

(2) Cooperate with the Military Departments in the use of procurement services, equipment, and facilities.

(b) The Military Departments have agreed to cooperate fully with NASA in making their procurement services, equipment, personnel, and facilities available on the basis of mutual agreement.

(c) The Military Departments have agreed not to claim reimbursement for administrative costs incident to procurements for NASA, except as may be otherwise agreed before the services are performed.

(d) When procuring supplies or services for NASA or performing field service functions in support of NASA contracts, the Military Departments have agreed to use their own methods, except when otherwise required by the terms of the agreement involved.

(e) The Military Departments normally will use their own funds when procuring supplies or services or performing services for NASA, and will not cite NASA funds on any Defense obligation or payment document.

1817.7002 NASA-Defense Purchase Request and acceptance.

(a) The NASA-Defense Purchase Request (NASA Form 523) shall be used by NASA contracting offices for requesting procurement of supplies or services from all activities of the Military Departments. Individual NASA-Defense Purchase Requests shall be prepared in accordance with the instructions on the reverse of NASA Form 523 and shall be numbered in accordance with Subpart 1804.71. The form shall not be used for requesting—

(1) Block transfers of excess property between NASA and the Military Departments;

(2) Performance by the Military Departments of field service functions related to NASA contracts; or

(3) Items that the Military Departments normally purchase and stock for military use or in-house services, except when a DOD activity is willing to accept the form for these purposes. Supplies and services of this nature may be requisitioned using appropriate DOD forms when they are provided by and are acceptable to or preferred by the Military Department supplying activity or as otherwise mutually agreed upon by the parties.

(b) To obtain materials from the Air Force Missile Procurement Fund, the contracting officer shall follow the procedures of 1808.002–74.

1817.7002-1 Acceptance by Military Department.

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (c) of this section, the Military Department concerned will, within 30 days after receipt of a NASA-Defense Purchase Request, forward to the initiator of the request an Acceptance of MIPR, DD Form 448–2, in quadruplicate. Each DD Form 448–2 will show the action being taken or to be taken to fill the requirement and the name and complete address of the DOD contracting activity.

(b) To the extent feasible, all documents (including acceptances, contracts, correspondence, shipping documents, work or project orders, and Standard Form 1080 (Voucher for Transfer between Appropriations and/or Funds) billings) will reference the NASA-Defense Purchase Request number and the item number.

(c) Acceptance by the Military Department is not required for NASA-Defense Purchase Requests covering deliveries of common-use standard-stock items that the supplying agency has on hand or on order for prompt delivery at published prices.

1817.7002-2 Changes in estimated total prices.

When a Military Department determines that the estimated total price (Block 9, NASA Form 523) of the items to be acquired for NASA is not sufficient to cover the required reimbursement or is in excess of the amount required, a request for an amendment will be forwarded to the NASA originating office. The request will indicate a specific dollar amount, rather than a percentage, and will include justification for any upward adjustment requested. Upon approval of the request, the NASA contracting office concerned shall forward to the DOD contracting activity an amendment to the NASA Defense Purchase Request.

1817.7002-3 Payments.

Except when agreements provide that reimbursement is not required, payments to the Military Departments for supplies and services furnished to or acquired for NASA shall be effected upon receipt of Standard Form 1080 billings by the NASA office designated in Block 11 of the NASA-Defense Purchase Request. Billings will be supported in the same manner as billings between Military Departments.

1817.7002-4 Contract clause.

The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 1852.217–70, Property Administration and Reporting, in any NASA-Defense Purchase Request when property will be involved.

Subpart 1817.71—Exchange or Sale of Personal Property

1817.7101 Policy.

(a) Section 201(c) of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949, 63 Stat. 384, as amended (40 U.S.C. 481(c)), authorizes the exchange or sale of Government personal property and the application of the exchange allowance or proceeds from sale to the acquisition of similar property for replacement purposes.

(b) NASA installations and contractors are authorized to conduct exchange/sale transactions as long as the requirements and restrictions of NHB 4300.1 and the Federal Property Management Regulations, Subchapter H, paragraphs 101–46, are followed. In conducting such exchanges/sales, NASA contractors must obtain the contracting officer's prior written approval and must report the transactions to the cognizant NASA Installation Property Disposal Officer (PDO).

SUBCHAPTER D—SOCIOECONOMIC PROGRAMS

PART 1819—SMALL BUSINESS AND SMALL DISADVANTAGED BUSINESS CONCERNS

Sec.

1819.001 Definitions.

Subpart 1819.1—Size Standards

1819.170 Contract clause.

Subpart 1819.2—Policies

1819.201 General policy.
1819.202 Specific policies.
1819.202–4 Solicitation.

Subpart 1819.3—Determination of Status as a Small Business Concern

1819.302 Protesting a small business representation.

Subpart 1819.4—Cooperation with the Small Business Administration

1819.401 General.

Subpart 1819.5—Set-Asides for Small Business

1819.501 General.
1819.502 Setting aside acquisitions.
1819.502–3 Partial set-asides.
1819.503 Setting aside a class of acquisitions.
1819.505 Rejecting set-aside recommendations.

Subpart 1819.6—Certificates of Competency and Determinations of Eligibility

1819.601 General.
1819.602 Procedures.
1819.602–1 Referral.
1819.602–3 Resolving differences between the agency and the Small Business Administration.
1819.602–70 Reports on certificates of competency.

Subpart 1819.7—Subcontracting with Small Business and Small Disadvantaged Business Concerns

1819.707 The Small Business Administration's role in carrying out the program.
1819.708 Solicitation provisions and contract clauses.
1819.708–70 NASA solicitation provision.

Subpart 1819.8—Contracting with the Small Business Administration (The 8(a) Program)

1819.801 General.
1819.803 Selecting acquisitions for the 8(a) program.
1819.804 Agency evaluation of the Small Business Administration's request for a commitment.
1819.808 Preaward considerations.
1819.809 Preparing the contracts.
1819.809–1 General.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 2473(c)(1).

1819.001 Definitions.

"Small Business Specialist" means the person who—

(1) Is appointed by the Head of each NASA installation having procurement responsibilities; and

(2) Is the central point of contact for all small business and labor surplus area matters. See 1819.201 (b) and (c) for a detailed description of this position. This person is synonymous with the Small and Disadvantaged Business Utilization Specialist (see FAR 19.201(d)).

Subpart 1819.1—Size Standards

1819.170 Contract clause.

The contracting officer shall insert the provision at 1852.219–72, Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) Code and Small Business Size Standard, in all solicitations. Insert the applicable SIC code and small business size information (either the number of employees, or the dollar value in average annual receipts for the last three (3) fiscal years for the particular procurement).

Subpart 1819.2—Policies

1819.201 General policy.

(a) *NASA Headquarters.* The Director of Small and Disadvantaged Business Utilization (Code K) is responsible for:

(1) The general supervision and coordination of the NASA Small Business Program;

(2) Developing the policy and procedures for implementing the NASA Small Business Program, Minority Business Program, Women Owned Business Program, and Labor Surplus Area Program;

(3) Advising the Administrator and other NASA officials on these matters; and

(4) Representing NASA before other Government agencies and industry on matters related to the programs listed in paragraph (a)(2) of this section.

(b) *Installations.* The Head of each installation having procurement responsibilities shall designate a qualified individual in the contracting office as a Small Business Specialist to provide a central point of contact to which small business concerns may direct inquiries concerning small business matters and participation in the NASA procurement program. The Small Business Specialist shall also perform other functions specifically set forth in this section 1819.201 or that the Procurement Officer may prescribe for implementing the Small Business Program, including responsibility for the Labor Surplus Area Program described in FAR Part 20. When the Head of an installation considers that the volume of procurement or the functions relating to procurement at the installation do not warrant a full-time Small Business Specialist, these duties may be assigned to procurement personnel on a part-time basis.

(c) *Small Business Specialists.* Small Business Specialists appointed under paragraph (b) of this section shall perform the following duties, as the Procurement Officer determines appropriate to the installation:

(1) Maintain a program designed to locate capable small business sources for current and future procurements.

(2) Coordinate inquiries and requests for advice from small business concerns on procurement matters.

(3) Before issuance of solicitations or contract modifications for additional supplies or services, determine that small business concerns will receive adequate consideration, including initiation of set-asides (see FAR Subpart 19.5).

(4) If small business concerns cannot be given an opportunity to compete because adequate specifications or drawings are not available, initiate action (unless there are sufficient and valid reasons to the contrary), in writing, with appropriate technical and contracting personnel to ensure that necessary specifications or drawings for current or future procurements will be available.

(5) Review procurement programs for possible breakout of items suitable for procurement from small business concerns.

(6) Advise small business concerns regarding financial assistance available under laws and regulations, assist such concerns in applying for it, and ensure that small business concerns' requests for financial assistance are not treated as a handicap in securing the award of contracts.

(7) Participate in responsibility determinations (see FAR 9.103) whenever small business concerns are involved.

(8) Participate in the evaluation of prime contractors' small business

subcontracting programs (see FAR 19.705–4).

(9) Review and make appropriate recommendations to the contracting officer on any proposal to furnish Government-owned facilities to a contractor if such action may hurt the Small Business Program.

(10) Ensure that participation of small business concerns is accurately reported.

(11) Notify the Procurement Officer of possible contracting opportunities in labor surplus areas.

(12) Make available to SBA copies of solicitations when requested.

(13) Act as liaison between contracting officers and SBA field offices and representatives in connection with set-asides, certificates of competency, and any other matters in which the Small Business Program may be involved. Procurements in which certificates of competency are requested shall be reported in writing to the Director, Small and Disadvantaged Business Utilization, NASA Headquarters, (Code K). The report should describe the requirement, list the offerors, and give the contract prices specified in the offers as submitted and the reason for the proposed rejection of an otherwise acceptable small business offer. Pertinent dates, such as the required date for the completion of the procurement and the date of the request for the certificate of competency, should also be furnished.

(14) In cooperation with contracting officers and technical personnel, seek and develop information on the technical competence of small business concerns for research and development contracts. Regularly bring to the attention of contracting officers and technical personnel descriptive data, brochures, and other information regarding small business concerns that are apparently competent to perform research and development work in fields in which NASA is interested.

(15) When a small business concern's offer has been rejected for nonresponsiveness or nonresponsibility, aid, counsel, and assist that concern, upon its request, in understanding requirements for responsiveness and responsibility so that the concern can qualify for future awards.

1819.202 Specific policies.

1819.202–4 Solicitation.

The contracting officer shall include in the solicitation mailing list the names of firms submitted by SBA, unless there is a valid reason for not so doing.

Subpart 1819.3—Determination of Status as a Small Business Concern

1819.302 Protesting a small business representation.

The contracting officer shall not make awards of small business set-aside procurements before:

(a) 5 working days after bid opening for sealed-bid acquisitions or

(b) The deadline for submitting a protest that was included in the notification to apparently unsuccessful offerors. (See FAR 19.302(h)(1).)

Subpart 1819.4—Cooperation With the Small Business Administration

1819.401 General.

Contracting officers shall consult and cooperate with SBA to carry out the purposes of the Small Business Act.

Subpart 1819.5—Set-Asides for Small Business

1819.501 General.

(a) The Small Business Specialist:

(1) May make a set-aside recommendation with respect to any individual or class set-aside except those on which an SBA representative has made a recommendation;

(2) Is responsible for reviewing those procurements not set aside; and

(3) Is responsible for taking action in accordance with FAR 19.506(b).

1819.502 Setting aside acquisitions.

1819.502–3 Partial set-asides.

(a) (1) Contracting officers shall require offers obtained from firms eligible for the set-aside portion of the requirement under the clause at FAR 52.219–7, Notice of Partial Small Business Set-Aside, to be in writing and to include agreement:

(i) On the price for the available set-aside quantity,

(ii) On the delivery schedule,

(iii) That all other terms and conditions of the solicitation apply to the set-aside award, and

(iv) To include the clauses at FAR 52.215–1, Examination of Records by the Comptroller General, and FAR 52.215–2, Audit—Negotiation.

(2) When the non-set-aside portion has been awarded to a small business concern entitled to receive the set-aside portion, the set-aside portion may be added to the basic contract by supplemental agreement. The supplemental agreement shall:

(i) Refer to the contractor's offer on the set-aside quantity,

(ii) State the price and delivery schedule applicable to the set-aside quantity, and

(iii) Include the clauses at FAR 15.215–1 and 15.215–2.

The contracting officer shall attach to the supplemental agreement copies of all pertinent documents, including the signed offer. The contracting officer shall also sign the supplemental agreement, but the contractor need not, since the contractor's signature on the attached offer is sufficient.

(3) When the non-set-aside portion has been awarded to a firm other than the concern entitled to receive the set-aside portion, the contracting officer shall award the set-aside portion using Standard Form 26. The offers obtained and the award form used shall reference and include the same data required by subparagraphs (a) (1) and (2) of this section. Also, the award shall reference in Block 18 of SF 26 the applicable solicitation and the contractor's written offer. The contracting officer shall attach copies of the solicitation and offer and shall sign the SF 26, but the contractor need not, since the contractor's signature on the attached offer is sufficient.

(b) The contracting officer shall separately report awards of the non-set-aside portions of small business set-aside procurements (see Subpart 1804.6).

1819.503 Setting aside a class of acquisitions.

The contracting officer shall retain a copy of each class set-aside determination.

1819.505 Rejecting set-aside recommendations.

(a) The Small Business Specialist may make a set-aside recommendation (see 1819.501(b)) with respect to any individual or class set-aside except those on which an SBA representative has made a recommendation.

(b) If the Small Business Specialist recommends that an individual procurement or a class of procurements, or a portion thereof, be set aside, the contracting officer shall promptly either concur in or disapprove the recommendation, stating in writing the reasons for disapproval.

(c) If the contracting officer disapproves the Small Business Specialist's recommendation, the contracting officer shall promptly refer the case to the SBA representative (if one is assigned and available) for review. The Small Business Specialist shall take no further appeal action. If an SBA representative is not assigned or available, resolution of disagreements on set-asides between the Small Business Specialist and the contracting officer shall be accomplished in

accordance with the procedures in paragraph (f) of this section.

(d) All cases involving the non-initiation of a set-aside, whether resulting from (i) a joint decision of the Small Business Specialist and the contracting officer or (ii) a determination by the contracting officer alone, require referral to the SBA representative (if one is assigned and available) for review. The SBA representative must either concur with the decision or determination or appeal the case under FAR 19.505.

(e) When an SBA representative is not assigned or available and the contracting officer disagrees with the Small Business Specialist's recommendation on a small business set-aside for an individual procurement or a class of procurements, or a portion thereof, and so notifies the Small Business specialist in writing, or if the Small Business Specialist disagrees with the contracting officer regarding withdrawal or modification of a set-aside determination, the Small Business Specialist may appeal in writing to the Procurement Officer (see 1819.201(b)) for a decision, which shall be final. The contracting officer shall place a memorandum of the Procurement Officer's decision in the contract file. If the decision approves the contracting officer's action, the Small Business Specialist shall forward complete documentation of the case to the Director of Small and Disadvantaged Business Utilization, NASA Headquarters, Code K.

(f) The contracting officer shall prepare, sign, and retain in the contract file a memorandum of any withdrawal or modification or of nonconcurrence in a recommended set-aside action.

Subpart 1819.6—Certificates of Competency and Determinations of Eligibility

1819.601 General.

On proposed awards exceeding the small purchase limitation, the contracting officer shall request a preaward survey (see FAR 9.106) before determining that a responsive small business firm is not responsible.

1819.602 Procedures.

1819.602-1 Referral.

The contracting officer shall forward a copy of the communication referring the matter to SBA through the Procurement Officer to the Director, Small and Disadvantaged Business Utilization, NASA Headquarters, (Code K).

1819.602-3 Resolving differences between the agency and the Small Business Administration.

(a) When there is a disagreement between the contracting officer and SBA, the contracting officer shall forward to the Assistant Administrator for Procurement (Code H) through channels, on an expedited basis, a complete case file with a request that the case be considered for appeal to SBA Headquarters. The contracting officer shall include the data already furnished to SBA, SBA's rationale for proposing to issue a certificate of competency, and the contracting officer's comments. The contracting officer shall suspend procurement action until informed by the Assistant Administrator for Procurement of the final decision in the case.

(b) If the Assistant Administrator for Procurement concludes that the referral to SBA should be withdrawn and a contract awarded without benefit of a certificate of competency, the Assistant Administrator for Procurement shall inform the contracting officer and provide written instructions on how to proceed with the procurement.

(c) If the Assistant Administrator for Procurement agrees with the contracting officer's recommended appeal action, the contracting officer shall request SBA's Central Office to review the SBA Regional office's proposal to issue a certificate of competency.

1819.602-70 Reports on certificates of competency.

Small Business Specialists shall inform the Director of Small and Disadvantaged Business Utilization, NASA Headquarters (Code K), quarterly in writing, of all certificate of competency cases initiated during the quarter and of the final disposition made on cases during the quarter, including the number and dollar value of certificates of competency issued during the period. The Small Business Specialist shall include the company name, item being procured, solicitation number, dollar value of the procurement, and date the case was submitted to SBA. In addition, the Small Business Specialist shall provide advice and data for all cases where:

(a) The small business concern elects not to file an application for a certificate of competency,

(b) SBA declines to issue a certificate of competency, or

(c) The contracting activity reverses the pre-award survey activity's negative finding on responsibility, withdraws the referral to SBA, and makes the award.

Subpart 1819.7—Subcontracting with Small Business and Small Disadvantaged Business Concerns

1819.707 The Small Business Administration's role in carrying out the program.

Under the provisions of FAR 19.707(a)(2) and (3), 5 days are allotted for SBA review of solicitations and contracts subject to the subcontracting program. In the absence of SBA request for the documents, the contracting officer may elect not to seek review.

1819.708 Solicitation provisions and contract clauses.

1819.708-70 NASA solicitation provision.

The contracting officer shall insert the provision at 1852.219-73, Small Business and Small Disadvantaged Business Subcontracting Plan, in solicitations containing the clause at FAR 52.219-9. Insert in the last sentence the number of calendar days after request that the offeror must submit a complete plan. When offerors are to include subcontracting plans in their initial offer as contemplated by FAR 19.705-2(d), or if a noncompetitive solicitation will be issued, the contracting officer may use the provision with its Alternate I.

Subpart 1819.8—Contracting With the Small Business Administration (The 8(a) Program)

1819.801 General.

SBA will contract only with small business firms that have submitted a written business plan specifically outlining a reasonable approach to the attainment of the policy objectives of the 8(a) program. This business plan, if approved by SBA, is the basis for NASA's considering participation in an 8(a) program for the firm. In executing this policy, SBA will furnish to the Director, Small and Disadvantaged Business Utilization, NASA Headquarters (Code K), or to the Procurement Officer of the pertinent NASA installation, its request for a commitment to support the approved plan. SBA requests addressed to NASA Headquarters will be coordinated with appropriate installations.

1819.803 Selecting acquisitions for the 8(a) program.

(a) SBA makes two separate requests to NASA regarding an 8(a) firm's participation (see FAR 19.803(b) and (c)). The first, based on the firm's 8(a) business plan (see 1819.801), seeks NASA's general commitment to contract with that firm. If NASA commits itself to support the plan, SBA then makes

specific requests to NASA for individual 8(a) awards.

(b) The SBA request for a commitment indicates the extent to which procurement assistance is needed and identifies the requirements sought from NASA. Through liaison with appropriate contracting offices, either before or after SBA's approval of a firm's business plan, SBA representatives will identify the supplies or services that SBA may require in connection with that firm's business plan. Small Business Specialists at NASA installations shall cooperate and assist the SBA representatives in developing identifying information for the requirements being sought from the contracting office. The Small Business Specialist shall, when practicable and feasible, arrange for any additional assistance that may be required from procurement, technical, and supply management officials. Current and future requirements of a firm's business plan, if identified in meaningful terms, enable NASA to relate the items to present and future procurement requirements.

(c) SBA is responsible for providing the contracting officer written certification of SBA's competency to perform the contract.

1819.804 Agency evaluation of the Small Business Administration's request for a commitment.

(a) In addition to considering specific requests for commitments to support business plans submitted by SBA under FAR 19.803(b), the Small Business Specialist:

(1) Shall review and evaluate on a continuing basis all procurement requirements to determine their suitability for referral to SBA for 8(a) consideration and

(2) Make a recommendation to the contracting officer concerning award to SBA.

If the contracting officer disagrees with the recommendation, FAR 19.505 applies.

(b) (1) After SBA-requested commitments have been evaluated or a specific procurement has been designated as suitable for 8(a) contracting, the cognizant contracting officer shall notify SBA and the Director of Small and Disadvantaged Business Utilization, NASA Headquarters (Code K), including in the notification the extent to which an 8(a) contract may be placed with SBA and the time frame within which the prime and subcontract actions must be completed to satisfy NASA's requirements. This notification is a firm commitment by NASA to negotiate with SBA, provided there is no material change in requirements, availability of funds, or other pertinent factors.

(2) Within 10 working days after notification, SBA shall contact the installation and initiate contract negotiations. If negotiations with the installation contracting office have not been initiated by SBA within that time, the Procurement Officer shall notify the Director of Small and Disadvantaged Business Utilization of intent to proceed with the procurement without further regard to 8(a) procedures, unless SBA requests additional time and it can be granted, considering the urgency of the requirement.

1819.808 Preaward considerations.

(a) The NASA contracting officer generally shall not request a preaward survey (see FAR 9.106) of SBA's subcontractor. SBA or the NASA contracting officer may, however, request a cognizant contract administration office to assist in a review of a specific element pertaining to the SBA subcontractor's responsibility.

(b) After agreement with SBA on satisfactory terms and conditions, including an estimated current fair market price, and receipt of SBA's reimbursable order for any business development expense (if appropriate), the contracting officer shall proceed to award a contract to SBA.

(c) SBA is required to initiate individual requests to NASA for each follow-on-year proposed 8(a) contract in support of the SBA-requested commitment. This process will permit NASA to verify, before actual negotiation of follow-on 8(a) awards, the availability of requirements, funding, and other pertinent factors.

1819.809 Preparing the contracts.

1819.809-1 General.

The contracting officer shall accomplish internal NASA distribution of both the prime contract and the subcontract and provide SBA a duplicate original copy of the executed prime contract and any additional authenticated, conformed, or reproduced copies SBA requests. The SBA will provide the contracting officer two duplicate original copies of the executed subcontract and any additional authenticated, conformed, or reproduced copies the contracting officer requests for internal NASA distribution.

PART 1822—APPLICATION OF LABOR LAWS TO GOVERNMENT ACQUISITIONS

Subpart 1822.1—Basic Labor Policies

Sec.

1822.101 Labor relations.
1822.101-1 General.
1822.101-3 Reporting labor disputes.
1822.101-4 Removal of items from contractors' facilities affected by work stoppages.
1822.101-70 Inspection of material during labor disputes.
1822.101-71 Admission of labor representatives to contract sites.
1822.102 Federal and State labor requirements.
1822.102-70 Suspension or relaxation of State labor standards.
1822.103 Overtime.
1822.103-4 Approvals.

Subpart 1822.3—Contract Work Hours and Safety Standards Act

1822.302 Liquidated damages and overtime pay.

Subpart 1822.4—Labor Standards for Contracts Involving Construction

1822.403-4 Department of Labor regulations.
1822.404-3 Procedures for requesting wage determinations.
1822.406-2 Wages, fringe benefits, and overtime.
1822.406-8 Investigations.
1822.406-9 Withholding from or suspension of contract payments.
1822.406-11 Contract terminations.
1822.406-13 Semiannual enforcement reports.

Subpart 1822.6—Walsh-Healey Public Contracts Act

1822.604 Exemptions.
1822.604-2 Regulatory exemptions.
1822.608-4 Award pending final determination.

Subpart 1822.8—Equal Employment Opportunity

1822.804 Affirmative action programs.
1822.804-2 Construction.
1822.805 Procedures.
1822.805-70 Pre-award clearances for contracts between the small purchase limitation and $1,000,000.
1822.807 Exemptions.
1822.870 Reports and other required information.

Subpart 1822.10—Service Contract Act of 1965

Subpart 1822.11—Professional Employee Compensation

1822.1103 Policy, procedures, and solicitation provisions.

Subpart 1822.13—Special Disabled and Vietnam Era Veterans

1822.1306 Complaint procedures.

Subpart 1822.70—Nondiscrimination—Government Lease

1822.7001 Policy.
1822.7002 NASA contract clauses.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 2473(c)(1).

Subpart 1822.1—Basic Labor Policies

1822.101 Labor relations.

1822.101-1 General.

(a) NASA contracting officers, in taking any of the actions prescribed or authorized in FAR Part 22 or this Part 1822, shall consult with and get advice from the labor relations advisor or other NASA official assigned the labor relations function at the installation concerned.

(b) NASA officials shall not take any action regarding labor matters involving major policy determinations having a substantial impact on the activities of NASA or other Government agencies without the prior approval of the Director, Industrial Relations Office (Code NR). A full report concerning any labor relations problem, particularly where a labor dispute significantly threatens to affect important NASA procurements, shall be furnished to Code NR in accordance with 1822.101-3.

(c) When a strike that may have an adverse effect on NASA programs is imminent or in progress at a prime contractor's or subcontractor's plant, contracting officers shall—

(1) Advise both the prime contractor and the head of the union local in writing of the expected impact of the strike on NASA programs and, if appropriate, of the actions NASA is considering to protect the Government's interest and prevent delay in the accomplishment of NASA's mission. If the strike is in a subcontractor's plant, the subcontractor may be approached only through the prime contractor;

(2) Explore the possibility of locating other sources for the supplies or services to have been provided by the strike-threatened plant; and

(3) Consider taking the actions set forth at FAR 22.101-4.

1822.101-3 Reporting labor disputes.

Reports of actual or incipient labor-management disputes affecting NASA procurements, operations, or services shall be submitted as specified by the Director, Industrial Relations Office. These reports shall be made as early as possible and shall include immediately available information. Supplemental reports shall be made, as appropriate, to provide full information and cover new developments. Reports shall be sent by the most expeditious means required by the seriousness of the situation. When an immediate critical effect on NASA procurements is probable, the initial report shall be made by telephone and followed by an electronically transmitted written message or a confirming letter. Reports shall describe, but need not be limited to—

(a) The nature of the actual or incipient dispute, including whether a strike, lockout, slow-down, shut-down, or picketing is involved and the degree of emergency presented;

(b) The character, quantity, and importance of the supplies, operations, or services involved, including scheduled performance and delivery dates and the relation of the production involved to the total procurement program;

(c) The identity and location of the parties to the dispute and their representatives, including the approximate number of employees involved;

(d) The need for and availability of alternative facilities to furnish the items involved within the time required;

(e) Any critical items that should be removed from the plant or work site or should continue to be processed there with the consent of the parties to the dispute; and

(f) Recommended action to be taken by NASA.

1822.101-4 Removal of items from contractors' facilities affected by work stoppages.

When the contracting officer determines that it would be in the Government's best interest to remove items or to arrange for shipment of urgently required items as provided in FAR 22.101-4(a)(2), the contracting officer shall first request approval from Code NR and then take such action as is approved.

1822.101-70 Inspection of material during labor disputes.

Despite the existence of a labor dispute, inspection functions at contract plants or sites shall be performed as normally required during contract performance, unless the inspector's safety may be endangered.

1822.101-71 Admission of labor representatives to contract sites.

NASA activities may not prevent the access of labor union representatives to contract sites for the conduct of union business if their activities are compatible with safety and security regulations and performance of the contract work involved.

1822.102 Federal and State labor requirements.

1822.102-70 Suspension or relaxation of State labor standards.

(a) NASA officials shall not initiate applications to State agencies or officials for the suspension or relaxation of State labor standards.

(b) When suspension or relaxation of State labor standards does not conflict with Federal labor laws, contracting officers may support applications of contractors or subcontractors if—

(1) The required products or services are in short supply and failure to meet production schedules for critically needed end items will result unless the standards are suspended or relaxed;

(2) No alternative sources of supply for such products or services are available within the required delivery schedule;

(3) Remedial action (such as recruitment, training, and more effective utilization of labor) is not practicable; and

(4) The granting of the application will not result in impairment of working conditions to the extent that productivity at the facility will be adversely affected.

(c) NASA letters of support will be addressed to the appropriate State agency and will indicate—

(1) The facilities and services affected; and

(2) The extent of suspension or relaxation of the particular State labor standard required to complete the specific work in conformity with the delivery schedule.

1822.103 Overtime.

1822.103-4 Approvals.

The Procurement Officer or designees are authorized to approve overtime premiums at Government expense. If two or more contracting offices have current contracts at a single facility and approval of overtime by one will affect the performance or cost of contracts of another, the approving official shall obtain the concurrence of other appropriate approving officials and seek agreement as to the contracts under which premiums will be approved. If the approving officials do not agree within a reasonable time, a decision shall be obtained through the center's normal management channels. Ordinarily, in the absence of evidence to the contrary, a contracting office may rely on the contractor's statement that approval will not affect performance or payments under any contract of another contracting office. Designations to approve overtime shall be in writing and shall not be delegated under the first level of supervision below the Procurement Officer, except that delegation may be made to the head of a procurement function at geographically remote branch facilities (e.g., Wallops

Flight Facility or Dryden Flight Research Facility) without power of redelegation.

Subpart 1822.3—Contract Work Hours and Safety Standards Act

1822.302 Liquidated damages and overtime pay.

Disposal of funds withheld or collected for liquidated damages shall be in accordance with direction of the Director, Industrial Relations Office (Code NR), who is the agency head's designee relative to liquidated damages under the Contract Work Hours and Safety Standards Act.

Subpart 1822.4—Labor Standards for Contracts Involving Construction

1822.403-4 Department of Labor regulations.

For industrial relations actions requiring communication with the Department of Labor, National Headquarters, Washington, DC (including the Administrator, Wage and Hour Division), contracting officers shall provide the appropriate information and supporting documentation to the Industrial Relations Office (Code NR), which shall make all contacts with the Department of Labor on these matters.

1822.404-3 Procedures for requesting wage determinations.

Contracting officers shall submit requests for project wage determinations to Code NR at least 55 days (70 days if possible) before issuing the solicitation.

1822.406-2 Wages, fringe benefits, and overtime.

In implementing FAR 22.406-2(b)(2), the contracting officer shall provide information to the Director, Industrial Relations Office (Code NR), who shall submit the question of cash equivalents to the Department of Labor for final determination.

1822.406-8 Investigations.

The contracting officer is responsible for conducting investigations, as provided by FAR 22.406-8(a), of labor violations relative to contracts under his/her cognizance. The agency head's designee for receiving and processing contracting officer reports of violations is the Director, Industrial Relations Office (Code NR), who, with the concurrence of the Associate General Counsel for Contracts, shall forward reports of violations to the Attorney General in accordance with FAR 22.406-8(d)(2)(iv).

1822.406-9 Withholding from or suspension of contract payments.

Disposal of funds withheld or collected for liquidated damages under the Davis-Bacon Act shall be in accordance with direction of the Director, Industrial Relations Office (Code NR).

1822.406-11 Contract terminations.

Contracting officers shall forward reports of contract or subcontract terminations for violation of labor standards clauses to the Director, Industrial Relations Office (Code NR), who shall submit the reports in accordance with FAR 22.406-11.

1822.406-13 Semiannual enforcement reports.

Procurement Officers shall submit semiannual enforcement data within 20 days after the end of the reporting period specified in FAR 22.406-13 to the Office of Procurement (Attn: Code HP) for consolidation and submission to the Department of Labor. Negative statements are required. The Procurement Policy Division (Code HP) is the point of contact regarding data to be included in the submissions.

Subpart 1822.6—Walsh-Healy Public Contracts Act

1822.604 Exemptions.

1822.604-2 Regulatory exemptions.

Applications for exemptions from one or more of the Act's stipulations under FAR 22.604-2(c)(1) shall be submitted in writing through the contracting officer, with pertinent data and recommendations to the Director, Industrial Relations Office (Code NR).

1822.606-4 Award pending final determination.

The Procurement Officer shall approve, with the concurrence of the Director, Industrial Relations Office (Code NR), certifications for immediate award in accordance with FAR 22.606-4(b). The contracting officer shall give written notice of the decision to award through Code NR to the DOL.

Subpart 1822.8—Equal Employment Opportunity

1822.804 Affirmative action programs.

1822.804-2 Construction.

In compliance with FAR 22.804-2(b), the Department of Labor's Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs (OFCCP) listing of covered geographical areas, "Goals for Minority Participation in the Construction Industry," will be made available by the Headquarters Procurement Policy Division (Code HP).

1822.805 Procedures.

1822.805-70 Pre-award clearances for contracts between the small purchase limitation and $1,000,000.

In determining the prospective contractor's eligibility for award of a contract in an estimated or actual amount between the small purchase limitation and $1,000,000, if the contracting officer learns or has reason to believe (see, for instance, the solicitation provision at FAR 52.222-21) that the prospective contractor is in violation of the Equal Opportunity clause, or has not developed an acceptable affirmative action program at each of its establishments, award may be made only after ascertaining that the prospective contractor is no longer in noncompliance and is, therefore, eligible for award. The contracting officer shall request any clearance from the OFCCP at least 30 days before the proposed award date. Award may also be made, notwithstanding the prospective contractor's failure to have developed an acceptable affirmative action program, if the contracting officer determines that the contract is for less than $50,000 or that the company has fewer than 50 employees and, in either case, has not previously been required to develop an affirmative action program.

1822.807 Exemptions.

To request an exemption under FAR 22.807(a)(1), the contracting officer shall prepare a detailed justification for the required determination and shall submit the justification through the Assistant Administrator for Procurement (via Code HP) to the Administrator.

1822.870 Reports and other required information.

(a) Title 41, § 60-1.2 of the Code of Federal Regulations prescribes the annual filing of required forms by prime contractors and subcontractors.

(b) If the offeror fails to complete the representation at FAR 52.222-22, it should state, prior to award of the contract, whether it has participated in any previous contract or subcontract subject to the Equal Opportunity clause; and, if so, whether it has filed with the Joint Reporting Committee, the Director, OFCCP, or the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission all reports due under the applicable filing requirements.

(c) In any case in which the offeror or a proposed subcontractor has participated in a previous contract or subcontract subject to Executive Order 10925, 11114, or 11246 but has not filed a report due under the filing requirements, the contract or subcontract shall not be

awarded unless the offeror or the proposed subcontractor submits a report covering the delinquent period or such other period as may be specified by the Director, OFCCP, and notifies the contracting officer of the submission.

(d) An offeror or a proposed subcontractor shall be required to submit information, including copies of affirmative action programs, that the Director, OFCCP, requests before the award of the contract or subcontract. When a determination has been made to award the contract or subcontract to a specific contractor or subcontractor, it shall be required, before or after the award, or both, to furnish the information, including copies of affirmative action programs, as the Director, OFCCP, requests.

(e) Failure to file timely, complete, and accurate reports as required constitutes noncompliance with the prime contractor's or subcontractor's obligations under the Equal Opportunity clause and may be the basis for:

(1) The imposition by the Director, OFCCP, of any sanctions authorized by these regulations or

(2) Cancellation or termination of the subcontract by a prime contractor or subcontractor.

Any such failure shall be reported in writing to the Director, OFCCP, by the contracting officer as soon as practicable after it occurs.

(f) Reports filed and information furnished in accordance with FAR Subpart 22.8 shall be used only for the administration of Executive Order 11246, for the administration of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, or in furtherance of the purpose of the Executive Order and Act and, to the extent consistent with this purpose, shall be held in confidence as privileged information in accordance with 32 CFR 286.6(b)(4) when requested by the offeror, contractor, or subcontractor.

Subpart 1822.10—Service Contract Act of 1965

Note: See FAR Subpart 22.10 for regulations concerning the Service Contract Act of 1965.

Subpart 1822.11—Professional Employee Compensation

1822.1103 Policy, procedures, and solicitation provisions.

(a) The instructions in paragraph (a) of the provision at FAR 52.222–46, Evaluation of Compensation for Professional Employees, shall be augmented in Section M of the solicitation with an explanation of how the Government will evaluate the offeror's plan. The Government's assessment of the plan shall be a weighted and scored evaluation criterion under a Mission Suitability Factor in order to ensure that the plan reflects a sound management approach and understanding of the contract requirements. This criterion shall be accorded sufficient weight and relative order of importance to be effective under the particular circumstances involved. When (1) a significant number of professional employees are involved, the performance period is long, and the cost is relatively large, or (2) there is continuity of the same or similar services at the same location, the weight and relative importance of the criterion shall be in the "most important" or "very important" category, as considered appropriate. The lesser weighting should be employed only after careful consideration of the possible impact on labor stability.

(b) The cost realism of professional compensation proposed (see paragraph (c) of the provision at FAR 52.222–46) shall be identified as an evaluation factor in Section M of the solicitation.

Subpart 1822.13—Special Disabled and Vietnam Era Veterans

1822.1306 Complaint procedures.

The Assistant Administrator for Equal Opportunity Programs (Code U) is the NASA official designated to act on complaints received about administration of the Vietnam Era Veterans Readjustment Assistance Act of 1972.

Subpart 1822.70—Nondiscrimination—Government Lease

1822.7001 Policy.

It is NASA policy to include a Facilities Nondiscrimination clause in leases when NASA is the lessee. The policy has been adopted because Federal employees belonging to minority groups and other members of minority groups doing business with the Government in some parts of the country have been denied the use of public facilities located in buildings where the Government leases office space.

1822.7002 NASA contract clauses.

(a) The contracting officer shall include the clause at 1852.222–70, Facilities Nondiscrimination Notice, in all solicitations involving leases and in any resulting leases.

(b) The contracting officer shall include the clause at 1852.222–71, Facilities Nondiscrimination, in all solicitations involving leases and in any resulting leases under which the annual rental, combined with that of any other NASA leases of space in the same building, exceeds $10,000.

PART 1823—ENVIRONMENT, CONSERVATION, OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY, AND DRUG-FREE WORKPLACE

Subpart 1823.1—Pollution Control and Clean Air and Water

Sec.

1823.106 Delaying award.
1823.107 Compliance responsibilities.

Subpart 1823.3—Hazardous Material Identification and Material Safety Data

1823.302 General.
1823.303 Contract clause.
1823.303–70 NASA clause.
1823.370 Acquisition of potentially hazardous items from or through another Government agency.

Subpart 1823.70—Safety and Health

1823.7000 Scope of subpart.
1823.7001 Policy.
1823.7002 Responsibility.
1823.7003 Hazardous operations.
1823.7004 Contract clause.

Subpart 1823.71—Frequency Authorization

1823.7101 Contract clause.
1823.7102 Procedures.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 2473(c)(1).

Subpart 1823.1—Pollution Control and Clean Air and Water

1823.106 Delaying award.

All notifications initiated by the contracting officer under FAR 23.106 shall be submitted to EPA through the Procurement Officer and the Assistant Administrator for Procurement, NASA Headquarters (Code HS).

1823.107 Compliance responsibilities.

Notifications under FAR 23.107 shall be submitted through the same channels as under 1823.106.

Subpart 1823.3—Hazardous Material Identification and Material Safety Data

1823.302 General.

As authorized under FAR 23.302(c)(3), NASA has designated electrosensitive initiating devices (squibs) a "potentially hazardous" item requiring safety controls.

1823.303 Contract clause.

1823.303–70 NASA clause.

The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 1852.223–72, Potentially Hazardous Items, in solicitations and contracts involving potentially hazardous items or components. Insert the potentially hazardous items or components.

1823.370 Acquisition of potentially hazardous items from or through another Government agency.

When acquiring supplies or services from or through another Government agency (e.g., see FAR Part 8 and FAR Subpart 17.5), NASA shall request that agency to furnish NASA the data required by FAR Subpart 23.3 and the clause at FAR 52.223–3.

Subpart 1823.70—Safety and Health

1823.7000 Scope of subpart.

This subpart sets forth NASA's safety and health policy, assignment of responsibilities, and requirements relating to its contractors.

1823.7001 Policy.

Contractors and subcontractors shall perform in a safety-and-health-conscious environment that, within the limits of controllable hazards, will—

(a) Protect the life, health, and physical well-being of NASA and contractor employees during their work on NASA programs;

(b) Ensure proper protection of the public from hazards incident to operations of NASA contractors and subcontractors;

(c) Avoid accidental work interruptions that could delay NASA programs;

(d) Prevent contamination of, damage to, and loss of property, supplies, and equipment;

(e) Provide data from which risks and loss factors in space technology related to NASA programs can be accumulated and evaluated; and

(f) Comply with applicable regulations, standards, and guides.

1823.7002 Responsibility.

(a) *Originators of procurement requests.* In accordance with installation safety and health screening criteria, originators of procurement requests shall ensure that requests involving safety or health considerations are processed through the appropriate installation safety officials and occupational health officials or other designated responsible officials for:

(1) Determining whether hazards are involved in the procurement,

(2) Formulating or selecting specific safety and health provisions applicable to the procurement in accordance with FAR Subpart 23.3 and applicable NASA instructions, and

(3) Determining to what extent a contractor safety and health plan will be required.

(b) *Installation safety officials, occupational health officials, or other designated responsible officials.* The appropriate installation safety and health officials, within their respective areas of responsibility, shall advise and assist the contracting officer in—

(1) Evaluating prospective contractors' safety and health programs;

(2) Determining to what extent safety and health provisions, if any, should be included in the proposed procurement;

(3) Assigning the specific safety and environmental health provisions to be included in the contract Schedule;

(4) Determining, in coordination with the cognizant program or project manager, the need for and adequacy of contractors' safety and health plans;

(5) Assigning the specific occupational medicine provisions to be included in the contract Schedule;

(6) Determining the extent and form of accident or incident reports required of contractors in compliance with the Federal Reports Act of 1942 and NASA reporting requirements;

(7) Requesting inspections of NASA contractors by representatives of the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) when appropriate; and

(8) Reviewing contractor hazardous operations procedures and certification requirements.

(c) *Headquarters occupational health official.* At installations where medical and environmental health officers or other designated responsible officials are not available to assist with the formulation of occupational medicine and environmental health provisions of a contract, the Director, NASA Occupational Health Office, NASA Headquarters (Code NPG), shall assume this responsibility.

(d) *Contracting officer.* (1) The contracting officer shall obtain advice, assistance, recommendations, and applicable requirements from the appropriate safety and health officials before issuing any solicitation for—

(i) Construction, modification, or demolition of facilities on Government installations;

(ii) Manufacture of aerospace systems, including such items as power units, energy systems, boosters, engines, liquid and solid fuels, oxidizers, and propellants;

(iii) Transportation of fuels, oxidizers, hazardous chemicals, or other hazardous or regulated materials;

(iv) Research, development, or test of engines, related components and propellants involving hazardous operations or the use of hazardous or regulated materials;

(v) Services on Government installations involving hazardous operations or the use of hazardous or regulated materials;

(vi) Operations involving the use of or exposure to potential health hazards (e.g., asbestos, polychlorinated biphenyls (PCB's)), confined space entries, use of hazardous materials, or potential contamination of property or pollution of air, water, vegetation, or soil;

(vii) Activities that may (by either direct or secondary effect) adversely affect the work environment by the use of ionizing radiation, microwaves, noise, lasers, ultraviolet, or infrared sources; or

(viii) Operations necessitating exposures in neutral buoyancy or hyperbaric chambers and operations related to them.

(2) Before issuing a stop-work order under subparagraph (d)(2) of the Safety and Health clause at 1852.223–70, the contracting officer shall effect coordination with the appropriate safety and health officials and with the cognizant program or project manager.

1823.7003 Hazardous operations.

Hazardous operations are those involving the use of, or handling of, hazardous materials or other materials, phenomena, or elements at abnormal environmental or physical parameters that could result in injury, illness, or property damage if special precautions are not followed (e.g., high-pressure gas operations in excess of 150 pounds per square inch gauge, low-pressure high-volume gas operations, voltages above 550 volts, storage or handling of propellants or explosives, use of "heavy lift" material handling equipment, high- or low-temperature environments, environments with less than 19.5 percent or more than 25 percent oxygen by volume at normal atmospheric pressure, reduced gravity, radiation, or excessive noise).

1823.7004 Contract clause.

(a) Specific system safety requirements to be included in the contract for the purpose of procuring system safety engineering services shall be defined in the contract Schedule in accordance with applicable NASA instructions.

(b) Any unique facility safety or health requirements that are in addition to the general requirements of the clause at 1852.223–70, Safety and Health, shall be prescribed as required by 1823.7002(b)(3).

(c) Except as provided in paragraph (d) of this section, the clause at 1852.223–70 shall be included in—

(1) All negotiated contracts of $1,000,000 or more, unless the contracting officer makes a written determination in accordance with

1823.7002(b)(2) that, under the circumstances of the procurement, the clause is not necessary;

(2) All construction, repair, or alteration contracts in excess of $25,000;

(3) All contracts having, within their total requirement, construction, repair, or alteration tasks in excess of $25,000; and

(4) Any procurement regardless of dollar amount when (i) any deliverable contract end item is of a hazardous nature, or (ii) during the life of the contract it can reasonably be expected that hazards will be generated within the operational environment and the contracting officer determines that they warrant inclusion of the clause.

(d) The clause prescribed in paragraph (c) of this section may be excluded from any contract subject to the Walsh-Healey Public Contracts Act (see FAR Subpart 22.6) or the Service Contract Act of 1965 (see FAR Subpart 22.10) in which the application of the act and its implementing regulations constitute adequate safety and health protection. However, the clause should not be excluded without approval of the appropriate safety and health official.

(e) The contracting officer shall insert the provision at 1852.223–73, Safety and Health Plan, in solicitations containing the clause at 1852.223–70, when a Safety and Health Plan is to be submitted with the offeror's proposal. This clause may be modified to identify specific information that is to be included in the plan. The contracting officer shall include the plan, as approved by the contracting officer, in any resulting contract. See 1852.223–70.

(f) When the installation safety/health officials recommend that a Safety and Health Plan be submitted by the apparently successful offeror after notification of selection but before contract award, the clause at 1852.223–73 shall be used with its Alternate I.

Subpart 1823.71—Frequency Authorization

1823.7101 Contract clause.

The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 1852.223–71, Frequency Authorization, in solicitations and contracts calling for developing, producing, constructing, testing, or operating a device for which a radio frequency authorization is required.

1823.7102 Procedures.

The contracting officer shall obtain the necessary frequency authorization and other procedural details from the installation's spectrum manager.

PART 1824—PROTECTION OF PRIVACY AND FREEDOM OF INFORMATION

Subpart 1824.1—Protection of Individual Privacy

1824.102 General.

Subpart 1824.2—Freedom of Information Act

1824.202 Policy.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 2473(c)(1).

Subpart 1824.1—Protection of Individual Privacy

1824.102 General.

For NASA rules and regulations implementing the Privacy Act, see NMI 1382.17 (14 CFR Part 1212).

Subpart 1824.2—Freedom of Information Act

1824.202 Policy.

(a) NASA implementation of the Freedom of Information Act is found in NMI 1382.2 (14 CFR Part 1206).

(b) When receiving any Freedom of Information Act request from the public, the contracting officer shall immediately refer the request to the Freedom of Information Act Officer, NASA Information Center, or other responsible point of contact as set forth in installation procedures.

PART 1825—FOREIGN ACQUISITION

Subpart 1825.1—Buy American Act—Supplies

Sec.
1825.101 Definitions.
1825.102 Policy.
1825.103 Agreements with certain foreign governments.
1825.105 Evaluating offers.

Subpart 1825.2—Buy American Act—Construction Materials

1825.202 Policy.
1825.203 Evaluating offers.
1825.205 Solicitation provision and contract clause.
1825.205–70 NASA contract clause.

Subpart 1825.3—Balance of Payments Program

1825.304 Excess and near-excess foreign currencies.

Subpart 1825.4—Purchases Under the Trade Agreements Act of 1979

1825.402 Policy.
1825.402–70 Centralization of foreign acquisition.
1825.403 Exceptions.
1825.403–70 Coordination of arms purchases.
1825.405 Procedures.
1825.407 Solicitation provision and contract clause.
1825.407–70 NASA solicitation provision.

Subpart 1825.6—Customs and Duties

1825.604 Exempted supplies.
1825.605 Contract clause.
1825.605–70 NASA contract clause.
1825.670 Legal representation.

Subpart 1825.7—Restrictions on Certain Foreign Purchases

1825.703 Exceptions.

Subpart 1825.9—Omission of the Examination of Records Clause

1825.903 Conditions for omission.
1825.903–70 Request for determination and findings.

Subpart 1825.70—Foreign Contracts

1825.7000 Scope of subpart.
1825.7001 Definition.
1825.7002 Policy.
1825.7003 Assignment of responsibility for contract negotiation.
1825.7004 Procedure.
1825.7005 Assignment of contract administration.
1825.7006 Contracts with foreign nationals.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 2473(c)(1).

Subpart 1825.1—Buy American Act—Supplies

1825.101 Definitions.

"Canadian end product" means (a) an unmanufactured end product mined or produced in Canada or (b) an end product manufactured in Canada, if the cost of its components mined, produced, or manufactured in Canada or the United States exceeds 50 percent of the cost of all its components. The cost of components includes transportation costs to the place of incorporation into the end product.

1825.102 Policy.

(a) *Administrator determinations under FAR 25.102(a)(3), domestic preference inconsistent with the public interest.* (1) Within NASA, these determinations are made by the Assistant Administrator for Procurement.

(2) For blanket determinations regarding Canadian end products, see 1825.103.

(b) *Contracting officer determinations under FAR 25.102(a)(4), domestic nonavailability of end products.* (1) NASA has determined that the items listed in FAR 25.108(d)(1) are not mined, produced, or manufactured in the United States in sufficient and reasonably available commercial quantities of a satisfactory quality. In addition, subject to the approval requirements of FAR 25.102(b), NASA contracting officers may make additional determinations of nonavailability both before entering into contracts and in the course of contract administration; provided, however, that in the latter case the Government

receives adequate consideration. A copy of each nonavailability determination shall be included in the contract file.

(2) The following is the format for nonavailability determinations made by contracting officers:

Determination of Nonavailability

Pursuant to the authority contained in the Buy American Act (41 U.S.C. 10) and authority delegated to me by 48 CFR 1825.102(b), I hereby make the following findings:

a. (Insert a description of the item or items to be procured, including unit, quantity, and estimated cost inclusive of duty and transportation costs to destination.)

b. (Enter the name and address of the proposed contractor or supplier and the country of origin of the items.)

c. (Include a brief statement of the necessity for the procurement.)

d. (Include a statement of facts establishing the nonavailability of similar items of domestic origin. If there is no known domestic item that can be used as a reasonable substitute, make a statement to this effect.)

On the basis of these findings, I determine that the item(s) described in paragraph a above is/are not mined, produced, or manufactured (or the articles, materials, or supplies from which the item(s) is/are manufactured are not mined, produced, or manufactured) in the United States in sufficient and reasonably available quantities of a satisfactory quality.

Accordingly, the Buy American Act requirement that procurement be made from domestic sources and that the item(s) be of domestic origin is not applicable to this procurement, since the procurement is within the Buy American Act's nonavailability exception.

Authority is granted to procure the above-described item(s) of foreign origin (country or origin) at an estimated total cost of $_____, including duty and transportation costs to destination.

(Date)_____

Contracting Officer_____

(3) Contracting officer determinations made under this paragraph 1825.102(b) shall be approved by the head of the contracting activity if the contract is estimated to exceed $1,000,000.

1825.103 Agreements with certain foreign governments.

(a) Canadian end products and Canadian components. The Assistant Administrator for Procurement has determined that when application of the procedure in paragraph (c)(1) of this section results in the acquisition of Canadian end products, it would be inconsistent with the public interest to acquire domestic end products (see FAR 25.102(a)(3)).

(b) The Assistant Administrator for Procurement has also determined that it would be inconsistent with the public interest to apply restrictions of the Buy American Act to components mined, produced, or manufactured in Canada (see paragraph (c)(2) of this section).

(c) Accordingly, contracting officers shall—

(1) Evaluate all offers for Canadian end products on a parity with offers for domestic end products, except that applicable duty (whether or not a duty-free entry certificate may be issued) shall be included in evaluating offers for Canadian end products; and

(2) Treat all components mined, produced, or manufactured in Canada as though they were mined, produced, or manufactured in the United States, except that, in evaluating offers for such components, applicable duty (whether or not a duty-free entry certificate may be issued) shall be included.

1825.105 Evaluating offers.

(a) *Examples.* The following examples illustrate how to evaluate offers of foreign (non-Canadian) end items, using the provisions of FAR 25.105.

(1) *Example 1:* Price differential of 6 percent or less between low foreign (non-Canadian) and low domestic offer from a large business not in a labor surplus area. See Table 1825–1. Since the low domestic offer is not unreasonable (that is, the low domestic offer is less than the low foreign offer plus 6 percent), award shall be made to the low domestic offeror.

(2) *Example 2:* Price differential of 6 percent between low foreign (non-Canadian) and low domestic offer from an offeror that is not a small business and/or labor surplus area concern. See Table 1825–2.

(3) *Example 3:* Solicitation permits multiple awards, and FAR 25.105(c) permits application of the evaluation procedure in 25.105(a) on a group or item-by-item basis. Each domestic offeror is a small business or labor surplus area concern, requiring that the lowest acceptable foreign offer be increased by 12 percent. When an award exceeding $250,000 would be made to a domestic concern if the 12-percent factor were applied, but not if the 6-percent factor were applied, FAR 25.105(c) requires that the award be submitted to the agency head for decision as to whether award to the domestic concern would involve unreasonable cost. In NASA, the Administrator has delegated this authority to the Assistant Administrator for Procurement. See Table 1825–3.

(i) For items 1 and 3, award would be made to the low acceptable domestic offeror, but would not be submitted to the Assistant Administrator for Procurement because—

(A) The low acceptable domestic offeror is a small business and/or labor surplus area concern;

(B) The differential does not exceed 12 percent on an item-by-item basis; and

(C) The total low acceptable domestic offer does not exceed $250,000.

(ii) For items 2 and 4, award would be made to the low acceptable foreign offeror, but would not be submitted to the Assistant Administrator for Procurement because—

(A) The low acceptable domestic offeror is a small business or labor surplus area concern;

(B) The differential exceeds 12 percent on an item-by-item basis; and

(C) The low acceptable domestic offer does not exceed $250,000.

(4) *Example 4:* Solicitation permits multiple awards, and FAR 25.105(b) permits application of the evaluation procedure in 25.105(a) on a group or item-by-item basis. Each domestic offeror is a small business or labor surplus area concern, requiring that the lowest acceptable foreign offer be increased by 12 percent. When an award exceeding $250,000 would be made to a domestic concern if the 12-percent factor were applied, but not if the 6-percent factor were applied, FAR 25.105(c) required that the award be submitted to the agency head for decision as to whether award to the domestic concern would involve unreasonable cost. In NASA, the Administrator has delegated this authority to the Assistant Administrator for Procurement. See Table 1825–4. (Note that both offers include transportation to destination and the foreign offer includes duty.)

(i) For the grouping of items 1, 2, 3, and 4, the proposed total would be submitted to the Assistant Administrator for Procurement for decision pursuant to FAR 25.105(c), which requires the agency head to decide whether award to a domestic concern would involve unreasonable cost when—

(A) The low acceptable domestic offeror on each line item is a small business or labor surplus area concern and the low acceptable foreign offer for each line item must be increased for evaluation purposes by 12 percent (see FAR 25.105(a)(2));

(B) On an item-by-item basis, the low acceptable domestic price exceeds the low acceptable foreign price plus 6 percent, but is less than the foreign price plus 12 percent; or

(C) The grouping of the four line items for purposes of a single award exceeds $250,000.

(ii) Table 1825–5 illustrates the pertinent figures in applying FAR 25.105(c). Columns 3 and 4 illustrate how award on each item would go to the domestic offeror if the 12-percent factor were added to the foreign offer. Addition of the 12-percent factor makes the foreign offer unreasonable. However, addition of only the 6-percent factor to the foreign offer makes the domestic offer unreasonable in each case because, even with the addition of the 6 percent, the foreign offer is still lower than the domestic one. Stated otherwise, because the low acceptable domestic offeror is a small business or labor surplus area firm, the price of the low acceptable foreign offeror must be raised by 12 percent for evaluation purposes. Accordingly, each evaluated price of items 1, 2, 3, and 4 exceeds the domestic price for that item. However, in each case, if only the 6-percent factor is added instead of the 12-percent factor, the individual awards would go to the foreign offeror instead of the domestic offeror, thus requiring the procurement to be submitted to the Assistant Administrator for Procurement for a final decision as to whether award to the domestic concern would involve unreasonable cost.

(b) *Tie bids.* If the evaluation procedure (that is, application of differentials) set forth at FAR 25.105 results in a tie between the foreign offer and the domestic offer, award shall be made to the domestic offeror.

TABLE 1825–1

	Low bids	
	Domestic	Foreign
Cost to destination	$20,000	$19,000
Import duty		600
Total	$20,000	$19,600
Six percent differential		1,176
Total	$20,000	$20,776

TABLE 1825–2

	Low bids	
	Domestic	Foreign
Cost to destination	$20,000	$17,000
Import duty		500
Total	$20,000	$17,500
Six percent differential		1,050

TABLE 1825–2—Continued

	Low bids	
	Domestic	Foreign
Total	$20,000	$18,550

TABLE 1825–3

Item	Low Bids			Actual percent difference between bids
	Foreign	Domestic		
		A	B	
1	$50,000	$55,500		11
2	50,000		$56,500	13
3	75,000	81,750		9
4	12,000		16,250	30

TABLE 1825–4

Item	Low bids		Actual percent difference between bids
	Foreign	Domestic	
1	$100,000	$111,000	11
2	200,000	220,000	10
3	50,000	55,000	10
4	25,000	27,000	8

TABLE 1825–5

Item	1	2	3	4	5
	Foreign bid	Foreign bid plus 6%	Domestic bid	Foreign bid plus 12%	Actual percentage difference of domestic bid over foreign bid
1	$100,000	$106,000	$111,000	$112,000	11
2	200,000	212,000	220,000	224,000	10
3	50,000	53,000	55,000	56,000	10
4	25,000	26,500	27,000	28,000	8
	$375,000		$413,000		

Subpart 1825.2—Buy American Act—Construction Materials

1825.202 Policy.

(a) *Exception for unreasonable cost of domestic construction materials.* The Assistant Administrator for Procurement has determined that when the application of the procedure set forth in 1825.203 results in the acquisition of foreign construction materials, the use of domestic construction materials would unreasonably increase the cost.

(b) *Exception for impracticability of using domestic construction materials.* The Assistant Administrator for Procurement has determined that, when there is a justification as required by FAR 25.203(b), the use of domestic construction material would be impracticable.

(c) *Exception for nonavailability of domestic construction materials.* (1) NASA has determined that construction materials listed in FAR 25.108(d)(1) are not mined, produced, or manufactured in the United States in sufficient and reasonably available commercial quantities of a satisfactory quality.

(2) In addition, subject to the approval of the head of the contracting activity where required (see FAR 25.202(b)), NASA contracting officers may make additional determinations of nonavailability under FAR 25.202(a)(3) both before entering into contracts and in the course of contract administration; provided, however, that in the latter case the Government receives adequate consideration. A copy of each nonavailability determination shall be included in the contract file. The format for these nonavailability determinations is at 1825.102(b).

1825.203 Evaluating offers.

When an offer involving the use of additional foreign construction materials (other than those listed as exceptions in the solicitation; see 1825.202(c) and 1852.225–71) would be the low acceptable offer but for the Buy American Act, award shall be made on

it, provided that all the following conditions are satisfied:

(a) The offer specifically designates the foreign construction materials proposed for use.

(b) As to each such foreign construction material, the data accompanying the offer show that the cost of any available acceptable domestic construction material delivered at the construction site would exceed by more than 6 percent the cost (including duty) of the designated foreign construction material delivered at the construction site.

(c) As to each such foreign construction material, the contracting officer is satisfied that the showing of a cost differential of more than 6 percent as required by paragraph (b) of this section is correct as of the date of opening of the bids or receipt of proposals.

(d) The offer is low after adding to it, for evaluation purposes, a factor of 6 percent of the cost (including duty) of all offered foreign construction materials (delivered at the construction site) that qualify under the conditions stated in paragraphs (a), (b), and (c), of this section.

1825.205 Solicitation provision and contract clause.

1825.205-70 NASA contract clause.

The clause at 1852.225-71, Nondomestic Construction Materials, shall be included in all contracts for construction. Any articles of materials and supplies that have been the subject of additional determinations under 1825.202(c)(2) shall be listed in the clause.

Subpart 1825.3—Balance of Payments Program

1825.304 Excess and near-excess foreign currencies.

The NASA Comptroller shall make the determination of the feasibility of using excess or near-excess currency required by FAR 25.304(c). The Director of Financial Management (Code BF) maintains a current list of excess and near-excess currency-countries and arranges for its publication in the NASA Travel Regulations.

Subpart 1825.4—Purchases Under the Trade Agreements Act of 1979

1825.402 Policy.

The threshold for procurements subject to the Trade Agreements Act of 1979 is $156,000.

1825.402-70 Centralization of foreign acquisition.

The requirement of Subpart 1825.70 that the responsibility for foreign contract acquisition be centralized at NASA Headquarters does not apply to acquisitions subject to the Trade Agreements Act of 1979. However, each contracting office (including JPL) shall coordinate with Headquarters, International Relations Division (Code XI), before awarding a contract for a designated-country end product.

1825.403 Exceptions.

1825.403-70 Coordination of arms purchases.

With respect to the exceptions in FAR 25.403(d), if a contracting officer considers an individual acquisition to be a purchase "indispensable for national security or for national defense purposes" and appropriate for exclusion from the provisions of FAR 25.4 and of this Subpart 1825.4, the contracting officer shall submit a request with supporting rationale to Headquarters, Office of External Relations (Code X), for coordination with the Office of the U.S. Trade Representative.

1825.405 Procedures.

Solicitations shall require that applicable duty charges be included in the offered price, whether or not duty-free certificates are obtained. Duty charges shall be included in the price evaluation.

1825.407 Solicitation provision and contract clause.

1825.407-70 NASA solicitation provision.

In accordance with FAR 25.405(d), the contracting officer shall insert the provision at 1852.225-72, Offer in English Language and U.S. Dollars, in solicitations subject to the Trade Agreements Act of 1979.

Subpart 1825.6—Customs and Duties

1825.604 Exempted supplies.

The contracting officer shall, when purchasing items listed in Schedule 8 of the Tariff Schedules of the United States, take note of the following:

(a) Item 832 of Schedule 8 provides that "emergency war materials purchased abroad" may be imported duty-free upon certification by the Military Departments to the Commissioner of Customs. Accordingly, when a Military Department makes a purchase on behalf of NASA, or when NASA makes a purchase for a Military Department, the contracting officer shall use this duty-free exemption authority to the maximum extent practicable.

(b) Item 864.30 of Schedule 8 provides for the duty-free exemption of "articles intended solely for testing, experimental, or review purposes including plans, specifications, drawings, photographs, and similar articles for use in connection with experimental study," subject to the limitations in Headnote 1 under Item 862.20 of Schedule 8.

(c) Certain supplies (not including equipment) for vessels or aircraft operated by the United States are exempt from duty under 19 U.S.C. 1309.

(d) Under the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966, NASA has been determined eligible to request duty-free entry for instruments or apparatus under Items 851.60 and 851.65 of Schedule 8. Pursuant to this authority, the contracting officer may apply for duty-free entry of such instruments or apparatus or related repair components if no instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value for the purpose for which the instrument or apparatus is intended is manufactured in the United States.

(e) Item 837.00 of Schedule 8 and the Subpart A headnote of Part 3, Schedule 8, provide for duty-free entry of articles launched into or returned from space by NASA, including spare parts or necessary and uniquely associated support equipment. The contracting officer shall consult 14 CFR 1214.15 (NMI 8610.18, Space Transportation System; Duty-Free Entry of Space Articles) for procedures for obtaining the required Headquarters certificates for the duty-free entry of these articles.

1825.605 Contract clause.

1825.605-70 NASA contract clause.

The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 1852.225-73, Duty-Free Entry Supplies, in solicitations and contracts where the possibility exists of receiving duty-free supplies. Insert the supplies that are to be accorded duty-free entry in accordance with FAR 25.604 and 1825.604.

1825.670 Legal representation.

The Office of the General Counsel (Code C), NASA Headquarters, shall represent NASA before the Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, in matters regarding customs and duties.

Subpart 1825.7—Restrictions on Certain Foreign Purchases

1825.703 Exceptions.

When it is proposed to procure supplies originating from sources restricted by FAR 25.702 for public use

outside the United States, or when a contractor proposes to use such supplies in performing a contract for construction outside the United States, the contracting officer shall make a written finding justifying the procurement. The finding shall set forth the unusual situation, such as an emergency or the nonavailability of acceptable substitute supplies of domestic origin or from sources other than the restricted sources. The contracting officer shall make the finding a part of the contract file. If the proposed procurement is not carried out by small purchase procedures, the contracting officer shall forward the finding in triplicate to the Assistant Administrator for Procurement (Code HS), for approval.

Subpart 1825.9—Omission of the Examination of Records Clause

1825.903 Conditions for omission.

When the contracting officer omits the clause at 52.215–1 under the conditions at FAR 25.903(a)(2), the contracting officer shall prepare a written report in triplicate to be furnished to the Congress. The head of the installation concerned shall sign the report and forward it to the Assistant Administrator for Procurement (Code HC), who shall submit it to the Administrator for the Administrator's signature and forwarding to Congress.

1825.904 Determination and findings.

1825.904–70 Request for determination and findings.

The contracting officer shall ordinarily initiate the request to the Administrator for determination and findings for exclusion of the clause at 52.215–1. The request shall consist of:

(a) A letter submitted to the Administrator through normal procurement channels and

(b) A proposed determination and findings prepared for the Administrator's signature according to the format in FAR 25.904.

Subpart 1825.70—Foreign Contracts

1825.7000 Scope of subpart.

This subpart prescribes policy and procedures for negotiating foreign contracts.

1825.7001 Definition.

"Foreign contract acquisition," as used in this subpart, means the acquisition by negotiation of supplies or services, including construction work and research and development when the work is to be performed outside the United States, its possessions, and Puerto Rico by a foreign government or instrumentality thereof or by a foreign private contractor. The term does not include—

(a) Negotiation of government-to-government agreements;

(b) Negotiation of contracts with domestic concerns involving work to be performed outside the United States, its possessions, and Puerto Rico;

(c) Contracts with the Canadian Commercial Corporation; or

(d) Acquisition of books and periodicals from foreign sources of supply.

1825.7002 Policy.

Foreign contracts are a specialized area that often requires involved negotiation with instrumentalities of foreign governments. Some of the requirements for contract clauses imposed by U.S. laws conflict with statutory prohibitions imposed by foreign countries. The resolution of these issues generally involves close coordination between the International Relations Division (Code XI) and the Office of General Counsel, NASA Headquarters, and the Department of State. Accordingly, the responsibility for placing foreign contracts has been centralized at NASA Headquarters (but see 1825.402–70).

1825.7003 Assignment of responsibility for contract negotiation.

The Headquarters Contracts and Grants Division (Code HW), in conjunction with the International Relations Division (Code XI) and the Office of General Counsel, is responsible for negotiating and executing contracts with foreign governments and private foreign organizations. This assignment of responsibility is specifically limited to foreign contract acquisition, as defined at 1825.7001.

1825.7004 Procedure.

A Headquarters or field installation technical office requiring a foreign contract acquisition shall submit a properly approved procurement request through channels to the Headquarters Contracts and Grants Division (Code HW) for action. Contract forms and clauses for foreign contracts will be as prescribed on a case-by-case basis by the Assistant Administrator for Procurement.

1825.7005 Assignment of contract administration.

(a) *General.* Assignment of contract administration responsibility shall be made by agreement between the initiating office and the Headquarters Contracts and Grants Division. Since principal responsibility for monitoring contract progress and performance generally rests with a field installation, the Headquarters Contracts and Grants Division normally will designate the cognizant procurement officer as its authorized representative for contract administration.

(b) *Contracts performed in Canada.* (1) When, in accordance with FAR Part 42, contract administration and related support service functions of the Defense Contract Administration Services are desired for a contract to be performed in Canada (whether placed with Canadian Commercial Corporation or directly with a Canadian firm), a letter or delegation shall be issued to—

Defense Supply Agency, DCASMA, Ottawa, 6th Floor, Canadian Building, 219 Laurier Avenue, West Ottawa, Ontario, Canada (K1A035).

(2) So that DCASMA, Ottawa, can utilize the capabilities of Canadian Government agencies in performing contract administration services functions, each letter of delegation shall provide that DCASMA, Ottawa, is delegated authority to act as the contracting officer's representative, with power of further delegation for the performance of the requested services.

1825.7006 Contracts with foreign nationals.

(a) Proposals by NASA staff members involving program or contract possibilities with foreign nationals shall be coordinated with the International Relations Division (Code XI), NASA Headquarters, before any contracts are made with foreign nationals.

(b) Informal discussions between NASA staff members and foreign nationals could give rise to expectations concerning program or contract possibilities that are not supported by program requirements. Such situations must be avoided to preclude possible embarrassment to the United States as well as to NASA.

(c) Requests or offers by foreign nationals to NASA staff members on program or contract matters shall be reported promptly to the International Relations Division (Code XI).

SUBCHAPTER E—GENERAL CONTRACTING REQUIREMENTS

PART 1827—PATENTS, DATA, AND COPYRIGHTS

Subpart 1827.3—Patent Rights Under Government Contracts

Sec.

1827.303 Contract clauses.
1827.370 Scope of subpart.
1827.371 Definitions.
1827.372 Policy.

Sec.
1827.373 Contract clauses and solicitation provisions.
1827.374 Procedures.
1827.374–1 General.
1827.374–2 Contracts placed by or for other Government agencies.
1827.374–3 Contracts for construction work or architect-engineer services.
1827.374–4 Subcontracts.
1827.374–5 Appeals.
1827.375 Administration of the patent rights and new technology clauses.
1827.375–1 New technology and patent rights follow-up.
1827.375–2 Follow-up by contractor.
1827.375–3 Follow-up by Government.
1827.375–4 Conveyance of invention rights acquired by the Government.
1827.375–5 Publication and release of invention disclosures.
1827.375–6 Licensing of background rights to third parties.

Subpart 1827.4—Rights in Data and Copyrights

1827.404 Basic rights in data clause.
1827.405 Other data rights provisions.
1827.406 Acquisition of data.
1827.408 Cosponsored research and development activities.
1827.409 Solicitation provisions and contract clauses.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 2473(c)(1).

Subpart 1827.3—Patent Rights Under Government Contracts

1827.303 Contract clauses.

In accordance with FAR 27.303, see 1827.373 for directions for using the clauses at FAR 52.227–11 and 52.227–13.

1827.370 Scope of subpart.

As authorized by FAR 27.300, in accordance with statutory requirements, this subpart sets forth NASA policy, procedures, and contract clauses with respect to inventions, discoveries, improvements, and innovations made in the performance of any work under any contract awarded by or for NASA. Except where the FAR is specifically cited, this subpart supersedes FAR Subpart 27.3 in its entirety.

1827.371 Definitions.

"Administrator," as used in this subpart, means the Administrator of NASA or a duly authorized representative.

"Contract," as used in this subpart, means any actual or proposed contract, agreement, understanding, or other arrangement, and includes any assignment, substitution of parties, or subcontract executed or entered into thereunder.

"Made," as used in this subpart, means conceived or first actually reduced to practice; provided, that in the case of a variety of plant, the date of determination (as defined in Section 41(d) of the Plant Variety Protection Act, 7 U.S.C. 2401(d)) must also occur during the period of contract performance.

"Nonprofit organization," as used in this subpart, means a university or other institution of higher education or an organization of the type described in section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 (26 U.S.C. 501(c)) and exempt from taxation under section 501(a) of the Internal Revenue Code (26 U.S.C. 501(a)), or any nonprofit scientific or educational organization qualified under a State nonprofit-organization statute.

"Practical application," as used in this subpart, means manufacturing, in the case of a composition or product; practice, in the case of a process or method; or operation, in the case of a machine or system; and, in each case, under such conditions as to establish that the invention is being utilized and that its benefits are, to the extent permitted by law and Government regulations, available to the public on reasonable terms.

"Reportable item," as used in this subpart, means any invention, discovery, improvement, or innovation of the contractor, whether or not patentable or otherwise protectible under Title 35 of the United States Code, conceived or first actually reduced to practice in the performance of any work under any NASA contract or in the performance of any work that is reimbursable under any clause in any NASA contract providing for reimbursement of costs incurred before the effective date of the contract.

"Small business firm," as used in this subpart, means a domestic small business concern as defined at 15 U.S.C. 632 and implementing regulations of the Administrator of the Small Business Administration. (For the purpose of this definition, the size standard contained in 13 CFR 121.3–8 for small business contractors and in 13 CFR 121.3–12 for small business subcontractors shall be used. (See FAR Part 19.).

"Subject invention," as used in this subpart, means any reportable item that is or may be patentable or otherwise protectible under Title 35 of the United States Code, or any novel variety of plant that is or may be protectible under the Plant Variety Protection Act (7 U.S.C. 2321 et seq.).

1827.372 Policy.

(a) *Introduction.* (1) NASA policy with respect to any invention, discovery, improvement, or innovation made in the performance of any work under any NASA contract or subcontract with other than a small business firm or a nonprofit organization and the allocation of related property rights are based upon Section 305 of the National Aeronautics and Space Act of 1958, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2457) (the Act); and, to the extent consistent with this statute, the Presidential Memorandum on Government Patent Policy to the Heads of Executive Departments and Agencies, dated February 18, 1983, and section 1(b)(4) of Executive Order 12591.

(2) NASA policy with respect to any invention made in the performance of experimental, developmental, or research work with a small business firm or a nonprofit organization is based on 35 U.S.C. Chapter 18, as amended. The objectives of NASA policy with respect to any NASA contract subject to Section 305 of the Act are to obtain the prompt reporting of inventions, discoveries, improvements, and innovations made in the performance of any work thereunder (whether or not patentable) in order to protect the Government's interest in them and to provide their widest practicable and appropriate dissemination, early utilization, expeditious development, and continued availability for the benefit of the scientific, industrial, and commercial communities and the general public.

(3) In addition, the objectives of NASA policy with respect to inventions made in the performance of work under all NASA contracts are to use the patent system to promote the utilization of inventions arising from federally supported research or development; to encourage maximum participation of industry in federally funded research and development efforts; to ensure that these inventions are used in a manner to promote full and open competition and free enterprise; to promote the commercialization and public availability of the inventions made in the United States by United States industry and labor; to ensure that the Government obtains sufficient rights in federally supported inventions to meet the needs of the Government and protect the public against nonuse or unreasonable use of inventions; and to minimize the cost of administering policies in this area.

(b) *Contractor right to title.* (1) With respect to any NASA contract with other than a small business firm or a nonprofit organization (contracts subject to section 305 of the Act; see paragraph (d) of this section, it is the policy of NASA to waive the rights (to acquire title) of the United States (with the reservation of a Government license set forth in paragraph (c) of this section and the march-in rights of paragraph (f) of this section in and to any invention

made in the performance of work under the contract if the Administrator determines that the interests of the United States will be served by this action. This policy, as well as the procedures and instructions for such waiver of rights, is stated in the NASA Patent Waiver Regulations, 14 CFR Part 1245, Subpart 1. These regulations adopt the Presidential Memorandum on Government Patent Policy of February 18, 1983, as a guide in acting on a contractor's request for such waiver of rights (i.e., request for title). Waiver may be requested in advance of contract award for any or all of the inventions that may be made under the contract, or for individually identified inventions reported under the contract. When waiver of rights is granted, the contractor's right to title, the rights reserved by the Government, and other conditions and obligations of the waiver shall be included in an Instrument of Waiver executed by NASA and the party receiving the waiver.

(2) With respect to any contract with a small business firm or a nonprofit organization, the contractor's right to receive title is as stated in FAR 27.302(b).

(3) It is also a policy of NASA to consider for a monetary award, when referred to the NASA Inventions and Contributions Board, any invention made in the performance of a NASA contract and reported to NASA in accordance with this subpart, and for which an application for patent has been filed.

(c) *Government license.* (1) For each invention made in the performance of work under any NASA contract with other than a small business firm or a nonprofit organization and for which waiver of rights has been granted in accordance with 14 CFR Part 1245, Subpart 1, the Administrator shall reserve an irrevocable, nonexclusive, nontransferable, royalty-free license for the practice of such invention throughout the world by or on behalf of the United States or any foreign government in accordance with any treaty or agreement with the United States.

(2) For any invention made in the performance of work under any contract not subject to the Government license of subparagraph (c)(1) of this section, FAR 27.302(c) shall apply.

(d) *Government right to receive title.* (1) Under section 305(a) of the Act (42 U.S.C. 2457(a)), any invention made in the performance of work under a NASA contract becomes the exclusive property of the United States when certain determinations stated in the Act relating to the employment status of the person making the inventions are made, unless the Administrator waives all or any part of the rights. NASA has adopted the Presidential Memorandum on Government Patent Policy of February 18, 1983, as a guide in acting on a contractor's request for waiver (see paragraph (b) of this section).

(2) Thus, NASA acquires title to any subject invention under any NASA contract with other than a small business firm or a nonprofit organization (i.e., those contracts subject to section 305(a) of the Act) unless waiver has been granted and made applicable to that invention. Such contracts are required to contain the clause at 1852.227–70, New Technology. Paragraph (b) of that clause sets forth the circumstances under which NASA acquires title to a subject invention under section 305(a). When waiver is granted, the contractor's right to title will be included in an Instrument of Waiver executed by NASA and the party receiving the waiver.

(3) With respect to any contract with a small business firm or a nonprofit organization, FAR 27.302(d) shall apply.

(e) *Utilization reports.* FAR 27.302(e) shall apply, except that for any NASA contract with other than a small business firm or a nonprofit organization, the requirements for utilization reports shall be as set forth in the NASA Patent Waiver Regulations, 14 CFR Part 1245, Subpart 1, and any Instrument of Waiver executed under those regulations.

(f) *March-in rights.* FAR 27.302(f) shall apply, except that for any NASA contract with other than a small business firm or a nonprofit organization, the march-in rights shall be as set forth in the NASA Patent Waiver Regulations, 14 CFR Part 1245, Subpart 1, and any Instrument of Waiver executed under those regulations.

(g) *Preference for United States industry.* FAR 27.302(g) shall apply, except that with respect to any NASA contract with other than a small business firm or a nonprofit organization, any waiver of the requirements of FAR 27.302(g) shall be in accordance with the NASA Patent Waiver Regulations, 14 CFR Part 1245, Subpart 1.

(h) *Minimum rights to contractor.* (1) With respect to any NASA contract with other than a small business firm or a nonprofit organization, for each reported subject invention to which the Government acquires title, the contractor is normally granted (in accordance with 14 CFR Part 1245, Subpart 1) a revocable, nonexclusive, royalty-free license in each patent application filed in any country and in any resulting patent. The license extends to any of the contractor's domestic subsidiaries and affiliates within the corporate structure of which the contractor is a part, and includes the right to grant sublicenses of the same scope to the extent the contractor was legally obligated to do so at the time the contract was awarded. The license and right are transferable only with the approval of the Administrator, except when transferred to the successor of that part of the contractor's business to which the invention pertains.

(2) The contractor's domestic license granted in accordance with subparagraph (h)(1) of this section may be revoked or modified by the Administrator to the extent necessary to achieve expeditious practical application of the subject invention pursuant to an application for an exclusive license submitted in accordance with 14 CFR Part 1245, Subpart 2, Licensing of NASA Inventions. This license will not be revoked in any field of use or geographical area in which the contractor has achieved practical application and continues to make the benefits of the invention reasonably accessible to the public. The license in any foreign country may be revoked or modified at the discretion of the Administrator to the extent that the contractor, its licensees, or its domestic subsidiaries or affiliates have failed to achieve practical application in that country. The procedures for revocation or modification of any license, domestic or foreign, shall be in accordance with 14 CFR 1245.211.

(3) With respect to any contract with a small business firm or a nonprofit organization, FAR 27.302(h) shall apply.

(i) *Confidentiality of inventions.* FAR 27.302(i) shall apply.

1827.373 Contract clauses and solicitation provisions.

(a) *Patent rights—retention by the contractor (short form).* (1) The contracting officer shall insert the clause at FAR 52.227–11, Patent Rights—Retention by the Contractor (Short Form), in any contract (and solicitation therefor) with a small business firm or a nonprofit organization for the performance of experimental, developmental, or research work unless a determination is made to use another clause in accordance with one of the exceptions set forth in paragraph (c) of this section. The clause shall be modified as specified in (a)(4) of this section. Also, see paragraph (f) of this section and 1827.374–4.

(2) If the acquisition of patent rights for the benefit of a foreign government is required under a treaty or executive agreement, or if the Administrator determines at the time of contracting that it would be in the national interest to acquire the right to sublicense foreign governments or international organizations pursuant to any existing or to-be-entered-into treaty or agreement, the contracting officer shall use the clause with its Alternate I.

(3) To qualify for the clause at FAR 52.227–11, a prospective contractor may be required to certify that it is either a small business firm or a nonprofit organization. If there is reason to question the status of the prospective contractor, the contracting officer may file a protest in accordance with 13 CFR 121.3–5 if small business firm status is questioned or requires the prospective contractor to furnish evidence of its status as a nonprofit organization.

(4) When the clause at FAR 52.227–11 is included in a solicitation or contract, include the following subparagraphs in paragraph (f):

(5) The Contractor shall furnish the Contracting Officer the following:

(i) Interim reports every 12 months (or such longer period as the Contracting Officer may specify) from the date of the contract, listing subject inventions made during that period and certifying that all have been disclosed or that there are none.

(ii) A final report, within 3 months after completion of the contracted work, listing all subject inventions or certifying that there were none, and listing all subcontracts at any tier that contain a patent rights clause or certifying that there were none.

(6) The Contractor shall promptly notify the Contracting Officer in writing upon the award of any subcontract at any tier containing a patent rights clause, by identifying the subcontractor, the applicable patent rights clause, the work to be performed under the subcontract, and the dates of award and estimated completion. Upon the Contracting Officer's request, the Contractor shall furnish a copy of that subcontract, and no more frequently than annually, a listing of the subcontracts that have been awarded.

(7) The Contractor shall provide, upon request, the filing date, serial number, and title; a copy of the patent application (including an English language version if filed in a language other than English); and the patent number and issue date for any subject invention for which the Contractor has retained title.

(8) Upon request, the Contractor shall furnish the Government an irrevocable power to inspect and make copies of the patent application file.

(b) *New technology.* As authorized in FAR 27.303(c)(2), the contracting officer shall insert the clause at 1852.227–70, New Technology, in any NASA contract (and solicitation therefor) with other than a small business firm or a nonprofit organization, if the contract is to be performed in the United States, its possessions, or Puerto Rico and has as a purpose the performance of experimental, developmental, research, design, or engineering work (see also 1827.374–3 and 1827.374–4). Contracts for any of the following purposes may be considered to involve the performance of work of the type described above (these examples are illustrative and not limiting):

(1) Conduct of basic or applied research.

(2) Development, design, or manufacture for the first time of any machine, article of manufacture, or composition of matter to satisfy NASA's specifications or special requirements.

(3) Development of any process or technique for attaining a NASA objective not readily attainable through the practice of a previously developed process or technique.

(4) Testing of, evaluation of, or experimentation with a machine, process, concept, or technique to determine whether it is suitable or could be made suitable for a NASA objective.

(5) Construction work or architect-engineer services having as a purpose the performance of experimental, developmental, or research work or test and evaluation studies involving such work.

(6) The operation of facilities or the coordination and direction of the work of others, if these activities involve performing work of any of the types described in subparagraphs (b) (1) through (5) of this section.

(c) Other patent rights clauses. The contracting officer shall use a patent rights clause other than as specified in paragraph (a) or (b) of this section, under the circumstances set forth in subparagraphs (c) (1) through (3) of this section.

(1) When work is to be performed outside the United States, its possessions, and Puerto Rico by contractors that are not domestic firms, the clause at 1852.227–85, Invention Reporting and Rights—Foreign, shall be used unless the contracting officer determines, with concurrence of the installation's Patent Counsel, that the objectives of the contract would be better served by use of the clause at FAR 52.227–13, Patent Rights—Acquisition by the Government. For the purposes of this subparagraph (1), the contracting officer may presume that a contractor is not a domestic firm unless it is known that the firm is not foreign owned, controlled, or influenced. (See FAR 27.304–4(a) regarding subcontracts with U.S. firms.)

(2) When a contract is placed for another Government agency, that agency may request use of a specific patent rights clause (see FAR 27.304–2). If the agency for which the contract is to be placed does not request a specific clause, the contracting officer, upon consultation with the installation's Patent Counsel, may use the clause of paragraph (a) or (b) of this section, as applicable.

(3) When the contract is with a small business firm or a nonprofit organization for the performance of experimental, developmental, or research work, a determination may be made not to use the clause prescribed in paragraph (a) of this section by using the criteria and procedures in FAR 27.303(d). In such case, the installation's Patent Counsel is to be consulted for the appropriate clause to use.

(d) *Requests for waiver of rights to inventions.* The procedures for requesting waiver of rights to any inventions made in the performance of work under any NASA contract with other than a small business firm or a nonprofit organization are set forth in the NASA Patent Waiver Regulations, 14 CFR Part 1245, Subpart 1. In order to advise prospective contractors of those procedures, the contracting officer shall insert the provision at 1852.227–71, Requests for Waiver of Rights to Inventions, in all solicitations that include the clause at 1852.227–70, New Technology.

(e) *Designation of New Technology Representative and Patent Representative.* The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 1852.227–72, Designation of New Technology Representative and Patent Representative, in all solicitations and contracts containing either of the clauses prescribed in paragraph (a) or (b) of this section. It may also be inserted, upon consultation with the installation's Patent Counsel, in solicitations and contracts using another patent rights clause in accordance with paragraph (c) of this section.

(f) *Patent rights clause for subcontracts.* The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 1852.227–73, Patent Rights Clause for Subcontracts, in all solicitations and contracts that include the clause at FAR 52.227–11, Patent Rights—Retention by the Contractor (Short Form).

(g) *Solicitation provision.* The contracting officer shall insert the provision at 1852.227–84, Patent Rights Clauses, in solicitations for experimental, developmental, or research work to be performed in the United States, its possessions, or Puerto Rico when the eventual awardee may be a small business or a nonprofit organization but the matter is uncertain at the time of solicitation (e.g., the procurement is not a set-aside and is not sole source to a large business).

1827.374 Procedures.

1827.374–1 General.

(a) *Greater rights determinations.* In any NASA contract with other than a small business firm or a nonprofit organization and with respect to which advance waiver of rights has not been granted (see 1827.372(b)), the contractor (or an employee-inventor of the contractor after consultation with the contractor) may request waiver of title to an individual identified subject invention pursuant to the NASA Patent Waiver Regulations, 14 CFR Part 1245, Subpart 1. In any contract with a small business firm or a nonprofit organization, FAR 27.304–1(a) shall apply.

(b) *Retention of rights by inventor.* The NASA Patent Waiver Regulations, 14 CFR Part 1245, Subpart 1, shall apply for any invention made in the performance of work under any NASA contract with other than a small business firm or a nonprofit organization. For inventions made under a contract with a small business firm or a nonprofit organization, FAR 27.304–1(b) shall apply.

(c) *Government assignment to contractor of rights in Government employees' inventions.* FAR 27.304–1(c) shall apply.

(d) *Additional requirements.* See 1827.373(a).

(e) *Revocation or modification of contractor's minimum rights.* Revocation or modification of the contractor's license rights (see 1827.372(h)(2)) shall be in accordance with the procedures of 14 CFR Part 1245, Subpart 1, for subject inventions made and reported under any NASA contract with other than a small business firm or a nonprofit organization, and in accordance with FAR 27.304–1(e) for subject inventions made and reported under any contract with a small business firm or a nonprofit organization. The contractor's right to appeal a NASA determination to revoke or modify any such license shall be in accordance with 14 CFR Part 1245, Subpart 2, Licensing of NASA Inventions.

(f) *Exercise of march-in rights.* (1) With respect to inventions made in the performance of work under any NASA contract with other than a small business firm or a nonprofit organization, the procedures for the exercise of march-in rights shall be as set forth in the NASA Patent Waiver Regulations, 14 CFR Part 1245, Subpart 1.

(2) With respect to inventions made under any contract with a small business firm or a nonprofit organization, FAR 27.304–1(g) shall apply.

(g) *Licenses and assignments under contracts with nonprofit organizations.* FAR 27.304–1(h) shall apply. NASA's approving official for any assignment requested under FAR 27.304–1(h) is the Associate General Counsel (Intellectual Property). Contractors' requests should be made to the Patent Representative designated in accordance with 1827.373(e) and forwarded, with recommendation, to the Associate General Counsel (Intellectual Property) for decision.

1827.374–2 Contracts placed by or for other Government agencies.

FAR 27.304–2 shall apply.

1827.374–3 Contracts for construction work or architect-engineer services.

(a) If a NASA contract for construction work or architect-engineer services with other than a small business firm or a nonprofit organization has as a purpose the performance of experimental, developmental, or research work, or test and evaluation studies involving such work, and the contract calls for or can be expected to involve the design of a Government facility or of novel structures, machines, products, materials, processes, or equipment (including construction equipment), the contract shall include the clause prescribed at 1827.373(b) except as provided in FAR 27.304–3(b).

(b) For all other contracts for construction work or architect-engineer services, FAR 27.304–3 shall apply.

1827.374–4 Subcontracts.

(a) The policies and procedures in this subpart apply to all contracts at any tier. Hence, unless the contracting officer otherwise authorizes or directs, contractors awarding subcontracts and subcontractors awarding lower-tier subcontracts shall select and include one of the following clauses, suitably modified to identify the parties, in the indicated subcontracts:

(1) The clause at 1852.227–70, New Technology, in any subcontract with other than a small business firm or a nonprofit organization if a purpose of the subcontract is the performance of experimental, developmental, research, design, or engineering work of any of the types described in 1827.373(b)(1) through (6).

(2) The clause at FAR 52.227–11, Patent Rights—Retention by the Contractor (Short Form), modified in accordance with 1827.373(a), in any subcontract with a small business firm or a nonprofit organization if a purpose of the subcontract is the performance of experimental, developmental, or research work.

(b) Whenever a prime contractor or a subcontractor considers it inappropriate to include one of the clauses discussed in paragraph (a) above in a particular subcontract, or a subcontractor refuses to accept the clause, the matter shall be resolved by the contracting officer in consultation with the installation's Patent Counsel.

(c) Contractors and subcontractors may not use their ability to award subcontracts as economic leverage to acquire rights for themselves in inventions resulting from such subcontracts.

1827.374–5 Appeals.

FAR 27.304–5 shall apply unless otherwise provided in the NASA Patent Waiver Regulations, 14 CFR Part 1245, Subpart 1.

1827.375 Administration of the patent rights and new technology clauses.

1827.375–1 New technology and patent rights follow-up.

(a) It is important that the Government and the contractor know, protect, and exercise their rights in inventions, discoveries, improvements, and innovations made in the performance of work under NASA contracts in order to ensure their expeditious availability to the public; foster commercial use; enable the Government, contractor, and public to avoid unnecessary payment of royalties; and defend themselves against claims and suits for infringement. To attain these ends, contracts having the clause at 1852.227–70, New Technology, the clause at FAR 52.227–11, Patent Rights—Retention by the Contractor (Short Form), or any other patent rights clause (hereinafter all collectively referred to as "the clause" unless otherwise indicated), should be so administered that—

(1) Reportable items and subject inventions are identified, disclosed, and reported as required by the clause, and requests for waiver of title or election of title, when appropriate, are timely made;

(2) The rights of the Government in reportable items and subject inventions are established;

(3) Where patent protection is appropriate, patent applications are timely filed and prosecuted;

(4) The rights of the Government in filed patent applications are documented by formal instruments such as licenses or assignments; and

(5) Expeditious commercial utilization of reportable items and subject inventions is achieved.

(b)(1) For each contract containing the clause, the contracting officer shall designate representatives (hereinafter referred to as the "New Technology Representative" and the "Patent Representative"; see 1827.373(e)) to administer the clause, protect the Government's rights, and take other actions in relation thereto. The New Technology Representative shall be the Technology Utilization Officer or the staff member (by titled position) having cognizance of technology utilization matters for the NASA installation concerned. The Patent Representative shall be the Patent Counsel (by titled position) having cognizance of patent matters for the NASA installation concerned. Designation of these representatives in the contract is made by use of the clause at 1852.227–72, Designation of New Technology Representative and Patent Representative.

(2) The contracting officer shall—

(i) Furnish the New Technology Representative a copy of each contract (and modifications thereto) containing the clause, and copies of the final technical report, interim technical progress reports, and other pertinent material provided under the contract, unless the New Technology Representative indicates otherwise;

(ii) Notify the New Technology Representative as to which NASA installation organizational element has technical cognizance of the contract; and

(iii) Furnish the Patent Representative a copy of each contract (and modifications thereto) containing the clause, and copies of the final technical report, interim progress reports, and other pertinent material provided under the contract, unless the Patent Representative indicates otherwise.

(3) The New Technology Representative and the Patent Representative shall:

(i) Maintain complete files of correspondence and other actions involving their respective administration of the clause; and

(ii) Furnish copies of documents appropriate for inclusion in the general contract files to the contracting officer.

(4) If a subject invention is made under funding agreements of more than one agency, at the request of the contractor or on their own initiative, the agencies shall designate one agency as responsible for administering the rights of the Government in the invention.

1827.375–2 Follow-up by contractor.

(a) *Contractor procedures.* (1) Each NASA contractor other than a small business firm or a nonprofit organization shall establish and maintain active and effective procedures to ensure that reportable items are promptly identified, reported, and disclosed in order to meet the requirements of the clause. These procedures must include the maintenance of:

(i) Laboratory notebooks or equivalent records and any other records reasonably necessary to document the conception and/or first actual reduction to practice of reportable items; and

(ii) Records showing that the procedures for identifying and disclosing reportable items are followed.

Upon request, the contractor shall furnish the contracting officer or a designated representative, for evaluation and a determination as to their effectiveness, a description of the procedures.

(2) In order to ensure adequate understanding of and commitment to the reporting requirements of the New Technology clause, prospective contractors under any NASA contract with an estimated cost of $2,500,000 or more (or a lesser dollar amount where considered appropriate) that contains the clause may be required to submit for approval a detailed plan for new technology reporting (see 1835.003–71).

(b) *Contractor reports.* (1) During the period of performance of each contract or subcontract, the contractor or subcontractor is required to submit to the New Technology Representative (or any other representative designated by the contracting officer) all disclosures of reportable items and subject inventions, interim reports, subcontract identification, and other information in the manner required by the clause, and upon the completion of the work under the contract or subcontract, the final report if required by the clause.

(2) Reporting of reportable items as required by the New Technology clause promptly and before the completion of contract work, and prompt submission of the final report upon completion of contract work, will aid new technology clearance. Timely submission of annual interim reports, where contracts cover a period of more than one year, will also facilitate clause administration and expedite final clearance.

1827.375–3 Follow-up by Government.

(a) The New Technology Representative shall review, as necessary, the technical progress of work performed under the contract to ascertain whether the contractor and its subcontractors are complying with the clause's reporting requirements. This effort should be directed primarily toward contracts and subcontracts that, by the nature of the work to be performed or the dollar amounts involved, are likely to produce reportable items or subject inventions of significant quantity or quality, or toward contracts and subcontracts under which there is reason to believe that the contractors may not be complying with their contractual obligations. Other contracts and subcontracts should be spot-checked when feasible. These follow-up activities may include—

(1) Reviewing the contractor's technical reports;

(2) Requesting the Patent Representative to check sources for patents issued to the contractor in fields related to the contractor's Government contracts;

(3) Interviewing contractor personnel regarding work under the contract, observing the work on-site, and inspecting laboratory notebooks and other contractor records related to work under the contract, to the extent authorized by the clause;

(4) Interviewing agency technical personnel concerning novel developments in contracts under their cognizance; and

(5) Ensuring that the contractor is timely in reporting reportable items, disclosing subject inventions, and submitting interim reports, subcontract identification, and final reports as required by the clause.

(b) The New Technology Representative shall forward to the Patent Representative copies of all contractors' and subcontractors' written reports of reportable items and disclosures of subject inventions, and a copy of the written statement, if any, submitted with the report of the reportable item. The New Technology Representative shall consult with the Patent Representative whenever a question arises as to whether a given reportable item is to be considered a subject invention and whether it was made in the performance of work under the contract. All correspondence relating to:

(1) Inventions and waivers under the New Technology clause and

(2) Election of title under the Patent Rights—Retention by the Contractor (Short Form) clause

shall also be promptly forwarded to the Patent Representative.

(c) The Patent Representative shall review each reportable item to ascertain whether it is to be considered a subject invention, obtain any determinations required by paragraph (b) of the New Technology clause, and notify the contractor. As to any subject invention, the Patent Representative shall:

(1) Ensure that the contractor has provided sufficient information to protect the Government's rights and interests in it and to permit the preparation, filing, and prosecution of patent applications,

(2) Make determinations of inventorship, and

(3) Ensure the preparation of instruments establishing the Government's rights.

The Patent Representative shall also, as necessary, conduct selected reviews of the nature set forth in paragraph (a) of this section to ensure that subject inventions are identified, adequately documented, and timely reported or disclosed.

(d) Upon receipt of any final report required by the clause, and upon determination that the contract work is complete, the New Technology Representative shall determine whether the contractor has complied with the clause's reporting requirements. If so, the New Technology Representative shall certify compliance, obtain the Patent Representative's concurrence, and forward the certification to the contracting officer. Such determinations generally will require consultation with cognizant technical personnel.

(e) Either the New Technology Representative or the Patent Representative, in consultation with the other, may prepare opinions, make determinations, and otherwise advise the contracting officer with respect to any withholding of payment under paragraph (g) of the New Technology clause. Either the New Technology Representative or the Patent Representative may represent the contracting officer for the purpose of examining the contractor's books, records, and other documents in accordance with paragraph (f) of the New Technology clause and take corrective action as appropriate. However, no action may be taken by either the New Technology Representative or the Patent Representative that would:

(1) Constitute a final decision under the Disputes clause,

(2) Involve any change or increase in the work required to be performed under the contract that is inconsistent with any right of appeal provided in FAR 27.304–5 or 14 CFR 1245.1, or

(3) Otherwise be outside the scope of obligations imposed upon the contractor by the contract.

(f) If it is determined that a contractor or subcontractor does not clearly understand the rights and obligations of the parties under a patent rights clause, or that its procedures for complying with the clause are deficient, a post-award orientation should ordinarily be conducted to explain these rights and obligations (see FAR Subpart 42.5). When a contractor fails to establish, maintain, or follow effective procedures for identifying, disclosing, and, when appropriate, filing patent applications on inventions (if such procedures are required by the patent rights clause), or after appropriate notice fails to correct any deficiency, the contracting officer or a representative may require the contractor to make available for examination books, records, and documents relating to the contractor's inventions in the same field of technology as the contract effort to enable a determination of whether there are such inventions and may invoke the withholding of payments provision (if any) of the clause. The withholding of payments provision (if any) of the patent rights clause or of any other contract clause may also be invoked if the contractor fails to disclose a subject invention. Significant or repeated failures by a contractor to comply with the patent rights obligation in its contracts shall be documented and made a part of the general file (see FAR 4.801(c)(3)).

(g) The contracting officer shall not approve release of final payment under the contract and, if applicable, any reserve set aside under the withholding provisions of the clause for deficiencies and delinquent reporting not corrected as of the time of the submission of the final report by the contractor until receipt of the New Technology Representative's certification of compliance, and the Patent Representative's concurrence, as specified in paragraph (d) of this section.

1827.375–4 Conveyance of invention rights acquired by the Government.

(a) When the Government acquires the entire right to, title to, and interest in an invention under the clause at 1852.227–70, New Technology, a determination of title is to be made in accordance with section 305(a) of the National Aeronautics and Space Act of 1958, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2457(a)), and reflected in appropriate instruments executed by NASA and forwarded to the contractor.

(b) When the Government acquires the entire right to, title to, and interest in an invention other than pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, FAR 27.305–4 shall apply.

1827.375–5 Publication and release of invention disclosures.

FAR 27.305–5 shall apply.

1827.376–6 Licensing of background rights to third parties.

FAR 27.306 shall apply.

Subpart 1827.4—Rights in Data and Copyrights

1827.404 Basic rights in data clause.

(a) *Alternate definition of limited-rights data.* When the clause at FAR 52.227–14, Rights in Data—General, is used with Alternate I, but without Alternate II or Alternate III, all data qualifying as limited–rights data as defined in Alternate I may be withheld from delivery, and any study or report delivered under the contract will contain only unlimited rights data that may be disseminated by NASA. If delivery of withholdable data is required, Alternate II or Alternate III, as applicable, may be used, but any data subject to these alternates will be delivered under the applicable limited-rights or restricted-rights notices and therefore may not be disclosed outside NASA except to the extent permitted by these notices (see FAR 27.404 (d) and (e)).

(b) *Protection of limited-rights data specified for delivery.* The contracting officer shall consult with the installation's Patent or Intellectual Property Counsel regarding any questions concerning the delivery of limited-rights data and/or the use of Alternate II that may arise:

(1) From an offeror's response to the provision at FAR 52.227–15, Representation of Limited-Rights Data and Restricted Computer Software, or

(2) During negotiations.

(c) *Protection of restricted computer software specified for delivery.* The contracting officer shall consult with the installation's Patent or Intellectual Property Counsel regarding any questions concerning the delivery of restricted computer software and/or the use of Alternate III that may arise:

(1) From an offeror's response to the provision at FAR 52.227–15, Representation of Limited-Rights Data and Restricted Computer Software, or

(2) During negotiations.

(d) *Copyrighted data.* (1) The contracting officer shall consult with the installation's Patent or Intellectual Property Counsel before granting in accordance with FAR 27.404(f)(1)(ii) permission for a contractor to establish claim to copyright subsisting in data, other than computer software, first produced under the contract. For copyright of computer software first produced under the contract, see paragraph (e) of this section.

(2) Obtaining a copyright license of a different scope than set forth in subparagraph (c)(1) or (c)(2) of the clause at 52.227–14, Rights in Data—General, for any contract or class of contracts in accordance with either FAR 27.404(f)(1)(iv) or FAR 27.404(f)(2)(i), is permitted only with approval of the Procurement Officer and concurrence of the installation's Patent or Intellectual Property Counsel.

(e) *Release, publication, and use of data.* (1) The NASA subparagraph (d)(3) (see 1827.409(e)) is to be added to paragraph (d) of the clause at FAR 52.227–14, Rights in Data—General, whenever that clause is used in any contract other than one for basic or applied research with a university or college. The subparagraph provides that the contractor may not establish claim to copyright, publish, or release to others computer software first produced in the performance of a contract without the contracting officer's prior written permission. This is in accordance with NASA policy and procedures for the distribution of computer software developed by NASA and its contractors, as set forth in NASA Management Instruction 2210.2, NASA Scientific and Technical Information Handbook.

(2) The contracting officer may, in consultation with the installation's Patent or Intellectual Property Counsel, grant the contractor permission to copyright, publish, or release to others computer software first produced in the performance of a contract if—

(i) The contractor has identified an existing commercial computer software product line or proposes a new one and states a positive intention of incorporating any computer software first produced under the contract into that line, either directly itself or through a licensee;

(ii) The contractor has made, or will be required to make, significant contributions to the development of the computer software by co-funding or by cost-sharing, or by contributing resources (including but not limited to agreement to provide continuing maintenance and update of the software at no cost for Governmental use); or

(iii) The concurrence of a cognizant official named in NASA Management Instruction 2210.2 or the Director, Technology Utilization Division, NASA Headquarters, has been obtained.

(3) The contractor's request for permission in accordance with subparagraph (e)(1) of this section may be made either before contract award or during contract performance. Any permission granted in accordance with subdivision (e)(2)(i) or (e)(2)(ii) of this section shall be by express contract provision (or amendment) overriding subparagraph (d)(3) rather than by deleting subparagraph (d)(3) from the clause. Any permission granted in accordance with subdivision (2)(iii) of this section may be either by deleting subparagraph (d)(3) or by special contract provision, as appropriate. Any contract provision relating to any permission granted in accordance with subdivision (2)(i) or (2)(ii) of this section may contain appropriate assurances that the computer software will be incorporated into an existing or proposed new commercial computer software product line within a reasonable time and/or that the agreed contributions to the Government are fulfilled, with contingencies enabling the Government itself to obtain the right to distribute the software for commercial use, including the right to obtain assignment of copyright where applicable, in order to prevent the computer software from being suppressed or abandoned by the contractor. Also, when any permission to copyright is granted, any copyright license retained by the Government shall be of the same scope as set forth in subparagraph (c)(1) of the clause (see also FAR 27.404(f)(1)) and without any obligation of confidentiality on the part of the Government, unless in accordance with subdivision (e)(2)(ii) of this section the contributions of the Contractor may be considered "substantial" for the purposes of FAR 27.408 (i.e., approximately 50 percent), in which case rights consistent with FAR 27.408 may be negotiated for the computer software in question.

(f) *Unauthorized marking of data.* The contracting officer shall consult with the installation's Patent or Intellectual Property Counsel before taking any action regarding unauthorized markings of data under paragraph (e) of the clause at FAR 52.227–14, Rights in Data—General.

(g) *Omitted or incorrect notices.* The contracting officer shall consult with the installation's Patent or Intellectual Property Counsel before agreeing to add or correct, or adding or correcting, any markings on data under paragraph (f) of the clause at FAR 52.227–14, Rights in Data—General.

1827.405 Other data rights provisions.

(a) *Acquisition of existing computer software.* (1) When the clause at FAR 52.227–19, Commercial Computer Software—Restricted Rights, is used, NASA paragraph (e) (see 1827.409(f)) may be added to receive updates, correction notices, consultation information, and other similar information on any computer software delivered under the purchase order or contract by authorizing the NASA contracting officer or the NASA contract technical representative/user to sign any vendor-supplied agreements, registration forms, or cards and return them directly to the vendor. This procedure is to facilitate receiving applicable information and is not intended to alter any NASA rights or obligations set forth in the clause or elsewhere in the contract. The price, schedule, and other terms, if any, are to be specified in the purchase order or contract.

(2) When the clause at 52.227–19, Commercial Computer Software—Restricted Rights, is used, NASA paragraph (f) (see 1827.409(g)) may be added to incorporate applicable portions of the contractor's standard commercial license or lease agreement into the purchase order/contract to the extent consistent with the clause, Federal laws, standard industry practices, and the FAR.

(3) Instead of the clause at FAR 52.227–19, Commercial Computer Software—Restricted Rights (either with or without additional paragraphs (e) and/or (f)), the contracting officer may use the NASA clause at 1852.227–86, Commercial Computer Software—Licensing. This clause is particularly useful when there are multiple computers on which the computer software may be used, but simultaneous use is prohibited or restricted in the vendor/contractor standard commercial software license to be incorporated in and made part of the purchase order/contract. It also automatically adopts terms in the vendor/contractor standard commercial license that may be less restrictive than those set forth in the clause at FAR 52.227–19 without having to customize that clause or modify the purchase order/contract. In addition, it enables the vendor/contractor's standard marking to be used without requiring additional markings on the software.

(b) *Contracts awarded under the Small Business Innovative Research (SBIR) Program.* If, during the

performance of an SBIR contract (Phase I or Phase II), the need arises for NASA to obtain delivery of restricted computer software as defined in the clause at FAR 52.227-20, Rights in Data—SBIR Program, and the contractor agrees to such delivery, the restricted computer software may be acquired with restricted rights by modification of the contract or under an agreement incorporated in and made part of the contract, using the restricted rights set forth in FAR 27.404(e) and the related restrictions as a guide.

1827.406 Acquisition of data.

(a) *General.* When specifying data delivery requirements in accordance with FAR 27.406(a), requirements for delivering technical data relating to standard commercial items, components, or processes should be kept to the absolute minimum consistent with the purpose for which they are being procured. Normally, a vendor's manuals for installation, operation, or maintenance and repair and/or form, fit, and function data are adequate for most needs.

(b) *Reports of work.* (1) In addition to any other data delivery requirements that may be set forth in the contract in accordance with FAR 27.406, NASA contractors normally should be required to furnish reports of work performed under research and development contracts (fixed-price and cost reimbursement) and also may be required to furnish them in cost-reimbursement supply contracts if they are considered desirable for monitoring contract performance. This purpose shall be achieved by including the following general requirements, modified as needed to meet the particular requirements of the contract, in the section of the contract specifying data delivery requirements:

(i) *Monthly progress reports.* The contractor shall submit separate monthly progress reports of all work accomplished during each month of contract performance. Reports shall be in narrative form, brief, and informal. They shall include a quantitative description of overall progress, an indication of any current problems that may impede performance, proposed corrective action, and a discussion of the work to be performed during the next monthly reporting period. (Normally, this requirement should not be used in contracts with nonprofit organizations.)

(ii) *Quarterly progress reports.* The contractor shall submit separate quarterly reports of all work accomplished during each three-month period of contract performance. In addition to factual data, these reports shall include a separate analysis section interpreting the results obtained, recommending further action, and relating occurrences to the ultimate objectives of the contract work. Sufficient diagrams, sketches, curves, photographs, and drawings shall be included to convey the intended meaning.

(iii) *Final report.* The contractor shall submit a final report that documents and summarizes the results of the entire contract work, including recommendations and conclusions based on the experience and results obtained. The final report shall include tables, graphs, diagrams, curves, sketches, photographs, and drawings in sufficient detail to explain comprehensively the results achieved under the contract.

(iv) *Report Documentation Page.* The contractor shall include a completed Report Documentation Page (NASA Form 1626) as the final page of each report submitted in accordance with subdivisions (b)(1) (i) through (iii) of this section.

(v) Submission. The required numbers of copies of the reports specified in subdivisions (b)(1) (i) through (iii) of this section shall be submitted to the technical monitor of the contract in the absence of other instructions from the requesting activity. In addition, a reproducible copy and a printed, or reproduced, copy of the reports shall be sent to the NASA Scientific and Technical Information Facility, Attn: Accessioning Department, P.O. Box 8757, Baltimore/Washington International Airport, MD 21240.

(2) The contracting officer shall consider the desirability of providing reports on the completion of significant units or phases of work, in addition to periodic reports and reports on the completion of the entire contract. The data delivery requirements section of the contract shall also list other data to be delivered under the contract and provide, as necessary, specific instructions regarding delivery, submission dates, report numbering, numbers of copies to be submitted, distribution lists, and any other information to ensure appropriate distribution of the required reports of work.

1827.408 Cosponsored research and development activities.

The contracting officer shall consult with the installation's Patent or Intellectual Property Counsel before limiting the acquisition of or acquiring less than unlimited rights to any data developed under contracts involving cosponsored research and development activities in accordance with FAR 27.408.

1827.409 Solicitation provisions and contract clauses.

(a) Alternate I is to be used with the FAR clause at 52.227-14, Rights in Data—General, only with approval of the Procurement Officer and concurrence of the installation's Patent or Intellectual Property Counsel. An example of its use is where the principal purpose of the contract (such as a contract for basic or applied research) does not involve the development, use, or delivery of items, components, or processes that are intended to be acquired for use by or for the Government (either under the contract in question or under any anticipated follow-on contracts relating to the same subject matter). Other examples include socioeconomic studies or reports, educational material, health and safety information, management analyses, and related matters the preparation of which may involve confidential business information qualifying as limited-rights data as defined by Alternate I.

(b) The specific purposes for the release of limited-rights data outside the Government set forth in subdivisions (d)(1) (i) through (v) of FAR 27.404 are to be added to the Limited-Rights Notice of subparagraph (g)(2) of Alternate II of the clause at FAR 52.227-14, Rights in Data—General. However, the contracting officer may, upon consultation with the installation's Patent or Intellectual Property Counsel, make deletions from the specific purposes listed. If all are deleted, the word "None" must be inserted in the notice. Additions to those specific purposes listed may be made only with the approval of the installation Procurement Officer and concurrence of the installation's Patent or Intellectual Property Counsel.

(c) The contracting officer shall consult with the installation's Patent or Intellectual Property Counsel regarding the acquisition of restricted computer software with greater or lesser rights than those set forth in Alternate III of the clause at FAR at 52.227-14, Rights in Data—General, in accordance with FAR 27.404(e)(2). Where it is impractical to actually modify the notice of Alternate III, this may be done by express reference in a separate clause in the contract or by a collateral agreement that addresses the change in the restricted rights.

(d) Use of Alternate IV with the clause at FAR 52.227-14, Rights in Data—General, in any contract other

than a contract for basic or applied research to be performed solely by a college or university on campus (but not for the management or operation of Government facilities) is permitted only with approval of the Procurement Officer and concurrence of the installation's Patent or Intellectual Property Counsel.

(e) In accordance with 1827.404(e)(1), the contracting officer shall add the following subparagraph (d)(3) to paragraph (d) of the clause at FAR 52.227–14, Rights in Data—General, except in solicitations and contracts for basic or applied research with universities or colleges:

(d)(3) The Contractor agrees not to establish claim to copyright or publish or release to others any computer software first produced in the performance of this contract without the Contracting Officer's prior written permission.

(f) In accordance with 1827.405(a)(1), the contracting officer shall add the following paragraph (e) to the clause at FAR 52.227–19, Commercial Computer Software—Restricted Rights, when it is contemplated that updates, correction notices, consultation information, and other similar items of information relating to commercial computer software delivered under a purchase order or contract are available and their receipt can be facilitated by signing a vendor supplied agreement, registration forms, or cards and returning them directly to the vendor:

(e) For the purposes of receiving updates, correction notices, consultation information, or other similar information regarding any computer software delivered under this contract/purchase order, the NASA Contracting Officer or the NASA Contract Technical Representative/User may sign any vendor-supplied agreements, registration forms, or cards and return them directly to the vendor; however, such signing shall not alter any of the rights or obligations of either NASA or the vendor set forth in this clause or elsewhere in this contract/purchase order.

(g) In accordance with 1827.405(a)(2), the contracting officer shall add the following paragraph (f) to the clause at FAR 52.227–19, Commercial Computer Software—Restricted Rights, when portions of a contractor's standard commercial license or lease agreement consistent with the clause, Federal laws, standard industry practices, and the FAR are to be incorporated into the purchase order or contract:

(f) Subject to paragraphs (a) through (e) above, those applicable portions of the Contractor's standard commercial license or lease agreement pertaining to any computer software delivered under this purchase order/contract that are consistent with Federal laws, standard industry practices, and the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) shall be incorporated into and made part of this purchase order/contract.

(h) In accordance with 1827.405(a)(3), the contracting officer shall use the clause at 1852.227–86, Commercial Computer Software—Licensing, in lieu of the clause at FAR 52.227–19, Commercial Computer Software—Restricted Rights, when it is considered appropriate for the acquisition of existing computer software in accordance with FAR 27.405(b)(2).

PART 1828—BONDS AND INSURANCE

Sec.

1828.001 Definitions.

Subpart 1828.1—Bonds

1828.101 Bid guarantees.
1828.101–70 NASA solicitation provision.
1828.102 Performance and payment bonds for construction contracts.
1828.102–1 General.
1828.102–70 Solicitation provision.
1828.106 Administration.
1828.106–1 Bonds and bond related forms.
1828.106–6 Furnishing information.

Subpart 1828.3—Insurance

1828.305 Overseas workers' compensation and war-hazard insurance.
1828.307 Insurance under cost-reimbursement contracts.
1828.307–2 Liability.
1828.307–70 Insurance of industrial facilities.
1828.309 Contract clauses for workers' compensation insurance.
1828.311 Solicitation provision and contract clause on liability insurance under cost-reimbursement contracts.
1828.311–2 Contract clause.
1828.311–270 NASA contract clause.
1828.370 Fixed-price contract clauses.
1828.371 Clause for interparty waiver of liability during STS operations.
1828.372 Clause for minimum insurance coverage.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 2473(c)(1).

1828.001 Definitions.

"Protected Space Operations" means all launch vehicle activities, Space Station activities, and payload activities on Earth, in outer space, or in transit between Earth and outer space related to Space Station. It includes, but is not limited to research, design, development, test, manufacture, assembly, integration, operation, or use of launch or transfer vehicles (for example, the Orbital Maneuvering Vehicle), the Space Station, or a payload, as well as related support equipment and facilities and services; and all activities related to ground support, test, training, simulation, or guidance and control equipment and related facilities or services. The term "Protected Space Operations" also includes all activities related to evolution of the Space Station as provided for in the Space Station Intergovernmental Agreement signed on September 29, 1988. Protected Space Operations excludes activities on Earth which are conducted on return from the Space Station to develop further a payload's product or process for use other than for Space Station related activities.

"STS Operations" means all Space Transportation System (STS) acti⅛vity, all payload activity, and all tangible personal property (including ground support, test, training, and simulation equipment) related to STS or payload activity. The term also includes research, design, development, test, manufacture, assembly, integration, transportation, or use of any materials related to the above noted activities or property, and performance of any services related to the above factors.

Subpart 1828.1—Bonds

1828.101 Bid guarantees.

1828.101–70 NASA solicitation provision.

In accordance with FAR 28.101, the contracting officer shall insert the provision at 1852.228–73, Bid Bond, in construction solicitations where offers are expected to exceed $25,000, and a performance bond or a performance and payment bond is required. The contracting officer may increase the amount of the bid bond to protect the Government from loss, as long as the amount does not exceed $3 million. This provision may be used in other than construction procurements if the requirements of FAR 28.103 have been complied with.

1828.102 Performance and payment bonds for construction contracts.

1828.102–1 General.

NASA contractors that, in the course of contract performance, will acquire by subcontract construction work determined by NASA to be subject to the Miller Act, but that are not required under FAR 28.102 to furnish Miller Act bonds, shall be required to obtain performance and payment bonds from their subcontractors (see 1828.106–1). The contracting officer shall establish the penal amount in accordance with FAR 28.102–2.

1828.102–70 Solicitation provision.

In accordance with FAR 28.102, except as provided therein, the contracting officer shall insert a provision substantially as stated at 1852.228–74, Payment and Performance

Bonds, in construction solicitations where offers are expected to exceed $25,000. The penal amount of the performance bond may be decreased in accordance with FAR 28.102–2(a). The contracting officer may change the word "may" in the first sentence of subparagraphs (a)(2) and (b)(2) to "shall" if the procurement contemplates options or additive items (see 1836.303–70).

1828.106 Administration.

1828.106–1 Bonds and bond related forms.

When performance or payment bonds are required from subcontractors under NASA construction contracts (see 1828.102–1), the bonds shall be provided on SF 25, Performance Bond, and SF 25A, Payment Bond. These forms shall be modified to name the NASA prime contractor as well as the United States of America as obligees.

1828.106–6 Furnishing information.

(a) The contracting officer is designated, in accordance with FAR 28.106–6(c), to furnish a certified copy of the payment bond and the contract for which it was given upon receipt of an appropriate affidavit from the requestor.

(b) The contracting officer shall obtain the concurrence of the Office of Chief Counsel before releasing any documents.

Subpart 1828.3—Insurance

1828.305 Overseas workers' compensation and war-hazard insurance.

(a) *Waiver of Defense Base Act.* Applications for waivers under FAR 28.305(d) shall be submitted to the Administrator through the Assistant Administrator for Procurement. The application shall include–

(1) The contractor's name;

(2) The contractor's business mailing address;

(3) The contract number;

(4) The date of award;

(5) The geographic location where the contract will be performed;

(6) The name of the insurance company providing the Defense Base Act coverage;

(7) The nationality of the employees to whom the waiver is to apply; and

(8) The reason for the waiver.

(b) *When the Defense Base Act is waived.* (1) The decision under FAR 28.305(e) as to whether (i) the costs of the liability or (ii) the reasonable costs of insurance against it shall be allowed as a cost under the contract may be made by the Assistant Administrator for Procurement or by a designee.

(2) The Schedule of each contract containing the clause at 1852.228–77, Reimbursement for War-Hazard Losses, shall contain an estimated cost for war-hazard losses, derived in accordance with paragraph (b)(2)(i) of this section, and a statement similar to that set forth in paragraph (b)(2)(ii) of this section:

(i) The estimated cost for war-hazard losses shall be based on estimates arrived at in the light of experience, taking into account the number of the contractor's employees subject to protection for war-hazard risks, the level of benefits applicable to them, their location, and the nature of the risks to which they are exposed. The amount allotted to the contract shall initially be kept as small as reasonably feasible. As reports are received indicating any need to increase the allotment to the contract, they shall be evaluated and the allotment increased as necessary. When negotiating for the inclusion in the contract of provisions applicable to war-hazard risks, the contracting officer may include provisions concerning the types of foreign nationals employed by the contractor and the level of benefits applicable to them, and other pertinent provisions relating to the manner in which the program will function to the benefit of all concerned. Advance agreements under FAR 31.109 may also be advantageous with respect to the levels of proof considered acceptable to justify the contractor's commencing payments and being reimbursed for them before the contractor is able to settle its obligation.

(ii) "The portion of this contract providing for the contractor to afford protection to its employees and subcontractors to their employees against war-hazard risks (see the clauses at 52.228–4 of the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) and 1852.228–77 of the NASA FAR Supplement) is on a cost-reimbursement, no-fee basis, notwithstanding the basis of the remainder of the contract."

1828.307 Insurance under cost-reimbursement contracts.

1828.307–2 Liability.

(a) In accordance with FAR 28.307–2(b)(2), the special circumstances requiring property damage liability insurance are those where (otherwise, prior approval for purchase of property damage liability insurance must be obtained from the Assistant Administrator for Procurement)—

(1) A commingling of operations permits property damage coverage at a nominal cost to NASA under insurance carried by the contractor in the course of its commercial operations; or

(2) The contractor is engaged in the handling of high explosives or in extra hazardous research and development activities undertaken in populated areas.

(b) The Government normally will assume the risk of a contractor's uninsured third-party liability to the extent provided for by the clause at FAR 52.228–7, Insurance—Liability to Third Persons.

1828.307–70 Insurance of industrial facilities.

When industrial facilities are provided by the Government under a facilities contract or a lease, the contract or lease shall require that during the period of construction, installation, alteration, repair, or use, and at any other time as directed by the installation concerned, the contractor or lessee shall insure or otherwise provide approved security for liabilities to third persons (including employees of the contractor or lessee) in the manner and to the same extent as required in FAR 28.307–2.

1828.309 Contract clauses for workers' compensation insurance.

The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 1852.228–77, Reimbursement for War-Hazard Losses, in all solicitations and contracts containing the clause at FAR 52.228–4, if the Assistant Administrator for Procurement determines that the contractor shall not purchase insurance against the assumed liability to the waived employees and their beneficiaries (see 1828.305(b)).

1828.311 Solicitation provision and contract clause on liability insurance under cost-reimbursement contracts.

1828.311–2 Contract clause.

1828.311–270 NASA contract clause.

The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 1852.228–71, Aircraft Flight Risks, in all cost-reimbursement contracts for the development, production, modification, maintenance, or overhaul of aircraft, or otherwise involving the furnishing of aircraft to the contractor, except when the aircraft are covered by a separate bailment.

1828.370 Fixed-price contract clauses.

(a) The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 1852.228–70, Aircraft Ground and Flight Risk, in all negotiated fixed-price contracts for the development, production, modification, maintenance, or overhaul of aircraft, or otherwise involving the furnishing of aircraft to the contractor, except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section.

unless the aircraft are covered by a separate bailment. See the clause preface for directions for modifying the clause to accommodate various circumstances.

(b) The Government need not assume the risk of damage to, or loss or destruction of, aircraft as provided by the clause at 1852.228–70 if the best estimate of premium costs that would be included in the contract price for insurance coverage for such damage, loss, or destruction at any plant or facility is less than $500. If it is determined not to assume this risk, the clause at 1852.228–70 shall not be made a part of the contract, and the cost of necessary insurance to be obtained by the contractor to cover this risk shall be considered in establishing the contract price. In such cases, however, if performance of the contract is expected to involve the flight of Government-furnished aircraft, the substance of the clause at 1852.228–71, Aircraft Flight Risks, suitably adapted for use in a fixed-price contract, shall be used.

(c) When the clause at 1852.228–70 is used, the term "Contractor's premises" shall be expressly defined in the contract Schedule and shall be limited to places where aircraft may be located during and for the performance of the contract. Contractor's premises may include, but are not limited to, those owned or leased by the contractor or those for which the contractor has a permit, license, or other right of use either exclusively or jointly with others, including Government airfields.

1828.371 Clause for interparty waiver of liability during STS operations.

The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 1852.228–72, Interparty Waiver of Liability During STS Operations, in all NASA prime contracts, new-work modifications or extensions to existing contracts, and solicitations of $100,000 or more when the work is to be performed in support of STS operations (see 1828.001). In addition, the contracting officer shall insert the clause in all contracts involving STS operations containing one of the indemnifications under Pub. L. 85–804 clauses prescribed at 1850.403–3. At the contracting officer's discretion, this clause may be used in contracts, new-work modifications or extensions to existing contracts, and solicitations under $100,000 involving STS operations in appropriate circumstances, such as when the value of contractor property on a Government installation used in the performance of the contract is significant.

1828.372 Clause for minimum insurance coverage.

In accordance with FAR 28.306(b) and 28.307, the contracting officer may insert a clause substantially as stated at 1852.228–75, Minimum Insurance Coverage, in fixed-price solicitations and contracts requiring performance on a government installation and in cost-reimbursement contracts. The contracting officer may modify the clause to require additional coverage, such as vessel liability, and higher limits if appropriate for a particular procurement.

1828.373 Clause for cross-waiver of liability for Space Station activities.

(a) The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 1852.228–76, Cross-Waiver of Liability for Space Station Activities, in all NASA prime contracts, new-work modifications or extensions to existing contracts, and solicitations of $100,000 or more when the work is to be performed in support of Protected Space Operations (see 1828.001). The contracting officer shall modify all other such existing contracts of $100,000 or more as soon as practicable to include the clause.

(b) The contracting officer may insert the clause at 1852.228–76 in contracts, new-work modifications or extensions to existing contracts and solicitations under $100,000 when the work is performed in support of Protected Space Operations. The use of the clause would be appropriate in contracts under $100,000, particularly when it is likely that such a contractor or subcontractor will have its valuable property exposed to risk of damage caused by other Space Station participants involved in Protected Space Operations.

PART 1829—TAXES

Subpart 1829.1—General

Sec.
1829.101 Resolving tax problems.

Subpart 1829.2—Federal Excise Taxes

1829.203 Other Federal tax exemptions.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 2473(c)(1).

Subpart 1829.1—General

1829.101 Resolving tax problems.

(a) The Office of General Counsel (Code G) shall (1) represent NASA in all negotiations under FAR Part 29 and (2) keep the Assistant Administrator for Procurement fully informed on their status.

(b) Communications with the Department of Justice for representation or intervention in proceedings concerning taxes shall be made only by the General Counsel.

(c) Matters involving foreign taxes requiring the assistance of other executive departments shall be forwarded to the General Counsel for appropriate action.

(d) Tax problems that cannot be solved readily by reference to FAR Part 29 shall be forwarded to the General Counsel through the installation's Office of Chief Counsel. The forwarding of tax problems to the General Counsel is particularly important when—

(1) The amount of tax actually or potentially involved is substantial;

(2) The legal incidence of a tax appears to be upon the United States or its property, a specific exemption pertinent to the transaction appears to exist, or a State or local tax appears to have a direct effect upon a transaction in interstate commerce;

(3) Judicial or administrative action against a contractor is threatened;

(4) The imposition or potential imposition of a tax is the result of an amendment of a tax law or a change of position by the tax authorities; or

(5) The possibility exists of obtaining refunds of taxes previously paid.

(e) Regarding tax problems, the initiating office or intervening offices shall forward the following material to the General Counsel:

(1) A comprehensive statement of pertinent facts, including documents and correspondence.

(2) A copy of the contract.

(3) A thorough review of the legal issues involved and recommended action.

(4) If appropriate, a statement of the problem's effects on procurement policies and procedures, with recommendations.

(f) Copies of tax-related correspondence shall be sent to the Assistant Administrator for Procurement.

Subpart 1829.2—Federal Excise Taxes

1829.203 Other Federal tax exemptions.

(a) The Assistant Administrator for Procurement has obtained a permit from the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, and Firearms (Treasury Department) enabling NASA and its contractors to purchase spirits (e.g., specially denatured spirits) tax-free for nonbeverage Government use. Installations can obtain copies of the permit from the Procurement Policy Division (Code HP) at NASA Headquarters.

(b) When purchasing spirits for use by NASA personnel, the contracting officer shall attach a copy of the permit to the contract. Upon receipt of the spirits, the

permit shall be returned to the contracting officer unless future orders are anticipated.

(c) When a NASA contractor requires spirits to perform a NASA contract, the contracting officer shall furnish the contractor a copy of the permit to provide its vendor. Upon receipt of the spirits, the contractor shall return the permit to the contracting officer unless future orders are anticipated. In any event, the permit shall be returned upon completion of the contract.

(d) To comply with 26 U.S.C. 5271(g), the procurement officer shall post a copy of the permit for inspection.

PART 1830—COST ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

Subpart 1830.1—General

Sec.

1830.101 Cost Accounting Standards.

Subpart 1830.2—CAS Program Requirements

1830.201-5 Waiver.

Subpart 1830.70—Facilities Capital Employed for Facilities in Use or for Facilities Under Construction

1830.7000 General.
1830.7001 Facilities capital employed for facilities in use.
1830.7001-1 Policy.
1830.7001-2 Definitions, measurement, and allocation.
1830.7001-3 Estimating business unit facilities capital and cost of money.
1830.7001-4 Contract facilities capital estimates.
1830.7001-5 Pre-award facilities capital applications.
1830.7001-6 Post-award facilities capital applications.
1830.7001-7 Administrative procedures.
1830.7002 Facilities capital employed for facilities under construction.
1830.7002-1 Policy.
1830.7002-2 Definitions.
1830.7002-3 Measurement.
1830.7002-4 Composition and allocation of costs.
1830.7002-5 Limitations.
1830.7002-6 Pre-award capital employed application.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 2473(c)(1).

Subpart 1830.1—General

1830.101 Cost Accounting Standards.

(a) To ensure uniform administration of CAS requirements, NASA has determined to consider all of its contracts as "national defense" contracts for CAS purposes, whether or not they meet the definition of "national defense" in FAR 2.101.

Subpart 1830.2—CAS Program Requirements

1830.201-5 Waiver.

After the contracting officer has made the determination required by FAR 30.201-5(a), the procurement officer shall forward all requests for waiver of CAS requirements to the Assistant Administrator for Procurement (Code HC) for approval.

Subpart 1830.70—Facilities Capital Employed for Facilities In Use or for Facilities Under Construction

1830.7000 General.

Pending issuance of FAR coverage, the NASA Procurement Regulation (NPR) (48 CFR 1803.1300) shall continue to govern facilities capital employed for facilities. This subpart is substantively identical to the NPR. It is reproduced here with current textual citations and updated cross-references for the user's convenience.

1830.7001 Facilities capital employed for facilities in use.

1830.7001-1 Policy.

It is the policy of the Government to recognize facilities capital employed as an element in establishing the price of certain negotiated defense contracts when such contracts are priced on the basis of cost analysis. The inclusion of this recognition is intended to reward contractor investments, motivate increased productivity and reduced costs through the use of modern manufacturing technology, and to generate other efficiencies in the performance of defense contracts. The recognition of contractor investments in the development of the profit objective will result in a profit objective based on a combination of effort, risk, and investment factors.

1830.7001-2 Definitions, measurement, and allocation.

Cost Accounting Standard (CAS) No. 414, "Cost of Money as an Element of the Cost of Facilities Capital," establishes criteria for the measurement and allocation of the cost of capital committed to facilities as an element of contract cost for historical cost determination purposes. Important features of the CAS are its definitions, techniques for application, and a prescribed Form CASB-CMF with instructions. This Section adopts the techniques of CAS 414 as the approved method of measurement and allocation of facilities cost of money to overhead pools at the business unit level and adds only such supplementary procedures as are necessary to extend those techniques to contract forward pricing and administration matters. Therefore, these procedures are intended to be completely compatible with, and an extension of, the definitions, criteria, and techniques of CAS 414. Contractors who computerize their financial data are encouraged to meet the requirements of both CAS 414 and this subpart from the same data bank and programs.

1830.7001-3 Estimating business unit facilities capital and cost of money.

The method of estimating the business unit facilities capital and cost of money utilizes the techniques of CAS 414. Cost of money factors (CMF) by overhead pools at the business unit are developed using Form CASB-CMF. Three elements are required to develop cost of money overhead allocation base date, and the interest rate promulgated by the Secretary of the Treasury pursuant to Pub. L. 92-41. These elements are discussed below.

(a) *Business unit facilities capital data.* (1) The net book value (acquisition cost less accumulated depreciation) is used for each cost accounting period. The net book value used is the total of:

(i) The net book value of facilities recorded on the accounting records of the business unit,

(ii) The capitalized value of leases (see FAR 31.205-2 and FAR 31.205-36), and

(iii) The net book value of facilities at the corporate or group level that support depreciation charges allocated to the business unit under the provisions of CAS 403.

(2) Projections of facilities capital will be supported by budget plans and/or similar type documentation and the estimated depreciation will be the same as used in projected overhead rates. Projections will accommodate changes in the level of facilities net book value, e.g., facilities additions, deletions of facilities by sale, abandonment or other disposal, idle facilities (see FAR 31.205-17).

(b) *Overhead allocation bases.* The base data used to compute the CMF must be the same as that used to compute the proposed overhead rates. CMFs should be submitted and evaluated as part of the proposal.

(c) *Interest rate.* For purpose of projection, the most recent interest rate promulgated by the Secretary of the Treasury will be used as the cost of money rate in Column 1 of Form CASB-CMF and the same rate must be used on the DD Form 1861 to determine contract facilities capital employed. Where actual costs are used in definitization actions, the actual treasury rate(s)

applicable to the period(s) of the incurred costs will be recognized by development of a composite rate.

(d) *Determination of final cost of money.* CMFs estimated following the above procedures are used to develop the facilities investment base used in forward pricing. Actual CMFs are required when it is necessary to determine final allowable costs for cost settlement and/or repricing following CAS 414 and FAR 31.205–10.

1830.7001–4 Contract facilities capital estimates.

(a) After the appropriate Forms CASB-CMF have been analyzed and CMFs have been developed, the contracting officer is in a position to estimate the facilities capital cost of money and capital employed for a contract proposal. DD Form 1861 "Contract Facilities Capital and Cost of Money" has been provided for this purpose and, when properly completed, becomes a connecting link between the Forms CASB-CMF and any applicable agency structured approach to determination of profit or fee objectives. An evaluated contract cost breakdown reduced to the contracting officer's pre-negotiation cost objective must be available. The procedure is similar to applying overhead rates to appropriate overhead allocation bases to determine contract overhead costs.

(b) DD Form 1861 provides for listing overhead pools and direct-charging service centers (if used) in the same structure they appear on the contractor's cost proposal and Forms CASB-CMF. The structure and allocation base units-of-measure must be compatible on all three displays. The base for each overhead pool must be broken down by year to match each separate Form CASB-CMF. Appropriate contract overhead allocation base data are extracted by year from the evaluated cost breakdown or pre-negotiation cost objective, and are listed against each separate Form CASB-CMF. Each allocation base is multiplied by its corresponding cost of money factor, to get the Facilities Capital Cost of Money estimated to be incurred each year. The sum of these products represents the estimated Contract Facilities Capital Cost of Money for the Year's effort. Total contract facilities cost of money is the sum of the yearly amounts.

(c) Since the Facilities Capital Cost of Money Factors reflect the applicable cost of money rate in Column 1 of Form CASB-CMF, the Contract Facilities Capital Employed can be determined by dividing the contract Cost of Money by the same rate. DD Form 1861 is designed to record and compute all the above in the most direct way possible, and the end result is the Contract Facilities Capital Cost of Money and Capital Employed which is carried forward to the applicable agency structured approach to determination of profit or fee objectives.

1830.7001–5 Pre-award facilities capital applications.

Facilities Capital Cost of Money as determined above is applied in establishing cost and price objectives as follows:

(a) *Cost of money*—(1) *Cost objective.* This special, imputed cost of money shall be used, together with normal, booked costs, in establishing a cost objective or the target cost when structuring an incentive type contract. Target costs thus established at the outset, shall not be adjusted as actual cost of money rates become available for the periods during which contract performance takes place.

(2) *Profit objective.* Cost of Money shall not be included as part of the cost base when measuring the contractor's effort in connection with establishing a pre-negotiation profit objective. The cost base for this purpose shall be restricted to normal, booked costs.

(b) *Facilities capital employed.* The profit objective as it relates to the risk associated with facilities capital employed shall be assessed and weighted in accordance with agency profit guidelines.

1830.7001–6 Post-award facilities capital applications.

(a) *Interim billings based on costs incurred.* Contract Facilities Capital Cost of Money may be included in cost reimbursement and progress payment invoices. The amount that qualifies as cost incurred for purposes of the Allowable Cost and Payment or Progress Payment clause of the contract is the result of multiplying the incurred portions of the overhead pool allocation bases by the latest available Cost of Money Factors. Like applied overhead at forecasted overhead rates, such computations are interim estimates subject to adjustment. As each year's data are finalized by computation of the actual Cost of Money Factors under CAS 414 and FAR 31.205–10, the new factors should be used to calculate contract facilities cost of money for the next accounting period.

(b) *Final settlement.* Contract Facilities Capital Cost of Money for final cost determination or repricing is based on each year's final Cost of Money Factors determined under CAS 414 and supported by separate Form CASB-CMF. Contract cost must be separately computed in a manner similar to yearly final overhead rates. Also like overhead costs, the final settlement will include an adjustment from interim to final contract cost of money. However, estimated or target cost will not be adjusted.

1830.7001–7 Administrative procedures.

(a) Contractor submission of Form CASB–CMF will normally be initiated under the same circumstances as Forward Pricing Rate Agreements (FPRAs) (see FAR 15.809), and evaluated as complementary documents and procedures. Separate Forms are required for each procedures. Separate Forms are required for each prospective cost accounting period during which Government contract performance is anticipated. If the contractor does not annually negotiate FPRAs, submissions may nevertheless be made annually or with individual contract pricing proposals, as agreed to by the contractor and the cognizant contract administration office. The cognizant contract administration office shall, with the assistance of the cognizant auditor, evaluate the cost of money factors, and retain approved factors with other negotiated forward pricing data and rates.

(b) The contracting officer will complete a DD Form 1861, Contract Facilities Capital and Cost of Money, after evaluating the contractor's cost proposal and determining the pre-negotiation cost objective, but before determining the pre-negotiation profit objective. The contracting officer may request the cognizant contract administration office to complete the DD Form 1861 in connection with normal field pricing support under FAR 15.805–5, and include it in field pricing support report with appropriate evaluation comments and recommendations.

(c) A final Form CASB–CMF must be submitted by the contractor under CAS 414 as soon after the end of each cost accounting period as possible, for the purpose of final cost determinations and/or repricing. The submission should accompany the contractor's proposal for actual overhead costs and rates, and be evaluated as complementary documents and procedures.

1830.7002 Facilities capital employed for facilities under construction.

1830.7002–1 Policy.

It is Government policy to recognize a contractor's investment in capital facilities while these are being constructed, fabricated, or developed for the contractor's own use. This recognition is made through the

allowance of an imputed cost of money amount which is

(a) Calculated in accordance with 1830.7002–3,

(b) Capitalized along with the other costs of the asset for which the investment is made, and

(c) Allocated to contracts following 1830.7002–4.

1830.7002–2 Definitions.

The following definitions have been taken or developed from Cost Accounting Standard (CAS) 417, Cost of Money as an Element of the Cost of Capital Assets Under Construction.

(a) *Intangible capital asset.* An asset that has no physical substance, has more than minimal value, and is expected to be held by an enterprise for continued use or possession beyond the current accounting period for the benefit it yields.

(b) *Tangible capital asset.* An asset that has physical substance, more than minimal value, and is expected to be held by an enterprise for continued use or possession beyond the current accounting period for the service it yields.

(c) *Cost of money rate.* The cost of money rate is either the interest rate determined by the Secretary of the Treasury pursuant to Pub. L. 92–41 (85 Stat 97), or the time-weighted average of such rates for each cost accounting period during which the asset is being constructed, fabricated, or developed. The time-weighted average interest rate is calculated by multiplying the various rates in effect during the months of construction by the number of months each rate was in effect. The sum of the products is divided by the total number of months in which the rates were experienced.

(d) *Representative investment.* The representative investment is the calculate amount considered invested by the contractor in the project to construct, fabricate, or develop the asset during the cost accounting period. In calculating the representative investment, consideration must be given to the rate or expenditure pattern of the investment, i.e., if most of the investment was at the end of the cost accounting period, the representative investment calculation must reflect this fact.

(1) If the contractor experiences an irregular or uneven expenditure pattern in the construction, fabrication, or development of a capital asset, i.e., a majority of the construction costs were incurred toward the beginning, middle, or end of the cost accounting period, the contractor must either:

(i) Determine a representative investment amount for the cost accounting period by calculating the average of the month-end balances for that cost accounting period; or

(ii) Treat month-end balances as individual representative investment amounts.

(2) If the construction, fabrication, or development costs were incurred in a fairly uniform expenditure pattern throughout the construction period, the contractor may:

(i) Determine a representative investment amount for the cost accounting period by averaging the beginning and ending balances of the construction, fabrication, or development cost account for the cost accounting period, or

(ii) Treat month-end balances as individual representative investment amounts.

1830.7002–3 Measurement.

(a) The imputed cost of money for an asset under construction, fabrication, or development is calculated by applying a cost of money rate (see 1830.7002–2(c)), to the representative investment amount (see 1830.7002–2(d)).

(1) When a representative investment amount is determined for a cost accounting period following 1830.7002–2(d)(1)(i) or 1830.7002–2(d)(2)(i), the cost of money rate used shall be the time-weighted average rate.

(2) When a monthly representative investment amount (see 1830.7002–2(d)(1)(ii) or 1830.7002–2(d)(ii)) is used, the cost of money rate shall be the rate in effect each month.

Note: Under this method, the cost of money calculating is made monthly and the total for the cost accounting period is the sum of the monthly calculations.)

(b) The method chosen by a contractor for determining the representative investment amount may be different for each capital asset being constructed, fabricated, or developed as long as the method fits the expenditure pattern of the construction costs incurred.

(c) The imputed cost of money will be capitalized only once in any cost accounting period; either at the end of the period or at the end of the construction period, whichever comes first.

(d) When the construction of an asset takes more than one cost accounting period, the cost of money capitalized for the first cost accounting period will be included in determining the representative investment amount for any future cost accounting periods.

1830.7002–4 Composition and allocation of costs.

(a) The cost of money for a tangible capital asset determined following 1830.7002–2 and 1830.7002–3 shall be capitalized along with the other construction, fabrication, or development cost of that asset for purposes of depreciation under FAR 31.205–11.

(b) The cost of money for an intangible capital asset determined following 1830.7002–2 and 1830.7002–3 shall be capitalized along with other construction, fabrication, or development costs of that asset and amortized over appropriate cost accounting periods.

(c) Where CAS 414 of money is allocated to construction, fabrication, or development effort following 1830.7001, it will be recognized and considered an element of total construction costs and be included in all calculations of the assets representative investment amount.

1830.7002–5 Limitations.

If substantially all activities necessary to get an asset ready for its intended use are discontinued, cost of money shall not be capitalized for the period of discontinuance, except when such discontinuance arises out of causes beyond the control and without the fault or negligence of the contractor.

1830.7002–6 Pre-award capital employed application.

An offset to the profit objectives is not required for CAS 417 cost of money.

PART 1831—CONTRACT COST PRINCIPLES AND PROCEDURES

Subpart 1831.1—Applicability

Sec.

1831.101 Objectives.

Subpart 1831.2—Contracts With Commercial Organizations

1831.205 Selected costs.

1831.205–32 Pre-contract costs.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 2473 (c)(1).

Subpart 1831.1—Applicability

1831.101 Objectives.

Requests for individual deviations from FAR cost principles under FAR 31.101 shall be forwarded for the approval of the Assistant Administrator for Procurement through the Director, Contract Pricing and Finance Division (Code HC).

Subpart 1831.2—Contracts With Commercial Organizations

1831.205 Selected costs.

1831.205-32 Pre-contract costs.

See 1832.705 for NASA policy on pre-contract costs.

PART 1832—CONTRACT FINANCING

Subpart 1832.1—General

Sec.
1832.111 Contract clauses.
1832.111-70 NASA contract clause.
1832.170 Voluntary refunds.

Subpart 1832.4—Advance Payments

1832.402 General.
1832.406 Letters of credit.
1832.406-70 Federal Cash Transaction Report.
1832.407 Interest.
1832.410 Findings, determination, and authorization.
1832.410-70 Instructions for determinations and findings.
1832.412 Contract clause.

Subpart 1832.5—Progress Payments Based on Costs

1832.501 General.
1832.501-2 Unusual progress payments.
1832.502 Pre-award matters.
1832.502-2 Contract finance office clearance.
1832.502-4 Contract clauses.
1832.502-470 NASA contract clause.
1832.503 Post-award matters.
1832.503-3 Initiation of progress payments and review of accounting system.
1832.504 Subcontracts.

Subpart 1832.7—Contract Funding

1832.702 Policy.
1832.702-70 NASA policy.
1832.704 Limitation of cost or funds.
1832.705 Contract clauses.
1832.705-2 Clauses for limitation of cost or funds.
1832.705-270 Additional clauses for limitation of cost or funds.

Subpart 1832.9—Prompt Payment

1832.908 Contract clause.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 2473(c)(1).

Subpart 1832.1—General

1832.111 Contract clauses.

1832.111-70 NASA contract clause.

The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 1852.232-79, Payment for On-Site Preparatory Costs, in solicitations and contracts for construction on a fixed-price basis when progress payments are contemplated and pro rata payment of on-site preparatory costs to the contractor is appropriate.

1832.170 Voluntary refunds.

(a) *General.* A voluntary refund is a payment or credit, not required by any contractual or other legal obligation, made to the Government by a contractor or subcontractor either as a payment or as an adjustment under one or more contracts or subcontracts. It may be unsolicited or may be made in response to a Government request. If it is desired to solicit a refund from a subcontractor, the prime contractor should be encouraged to facilitate the making of such refund. In deciding whether or not to solicit a refund or accept an unsolicited refund, the contracting officer shall ask legal counsel to review the contract or contracts and all relevant data to determine whether the Government's rights would be jeopardized or impaired by the contracting officer's proposed action.

(b) *Solicited refunds.* Voluntary refunds may be requested during or after contract performance. They shall be requested only when it is considered that the Government has been overcharged under a contract or inadequately compensated for the use of Government-owned property or in the disposition of contractor inventory, and retention by the contractor or subcontractor of the amount in question would be contrary to good conscience and equity. Generally, retention by the contractor or subcontractor shall not be considered contrary to good conscience and equity, and thus a refund shall not be requested, unless the overcharge or inadequate compensation was due, at least in part, to the fault of the contractor or subcontractor. The decision to solicit a refund shall be made by the Deputy Administrator or a designee after coordination with the Assistant Administrator for Procurement.

(c) *Disposition of voluntary refunds.* (1) If a voluntary refund is offered before final payment, it is preferable that the contract price be appropriately modified to reflect the refund. In such a case, the amount of the refund shall be credited to the applicable appropriation cited in the contract.

(2) When the refund is made by check rather than by adjusting the contract price, the check shall be made payable to the National Aeronautics and Space Administration and shall be forwarded immediately to the Financial Management Office of the appropriate installation. When forwarded, the check shall be accompanied by a letter identifying it as a voluntary refund, giving the number of the contract or contracts involved and, if possible, the account number of the appropriation to which the refund should be credited.

Subpart 1832.4—Advance Payments

1832.402 General.

Determinations and findings in support of advance payments for nonprofit organizations or educational institutions as authorized by the Armed Services Procurement Act of 1947, as amended (10 U.S.C. 2307(c) and 2310(b)), shall be prepared in accordance with 1832.410. The lowest level of authority at which these determinations and findings shall be made is:

(a) The Assistant Administrator for Procurement, for advance payments—

(1) Greater than $25,000,000 for a single action or in the aggregate for a single contract (these payments are also subject to the appropriate 60-day notification to Congress in accordance with 10 U.S.C. 2307(d));

(2) In any amount to a foreign entity; or

(3) In any amount when the organization will receive a fee for the effort involved.

(b) The procurement officer, for advance payments of $25,000,000 or less (other than to a foreign entity or an organization that will receive a fee), provided the action has been coordinated with the installation's Financial Management Officer.

(c) The contracting officer, for increases in the advance payment amount initially authorized by the procurement officer under paragraph (b) of this section, through any modification, supplemental agreement, or extension to an existing contract, provided (1) the contracting officer has coordinated the action with the installation's Financial Management Officer and (2) the increase is not greater than the procurement officer's initial amount and, when aggregated with the procurement officer's initial amount and all previous increases, is not greater than $25,000,000.

1832.406 Letters of credit.

For the purposes of FAR 32.406(b)(1), each installation is considered a contracting agency.

1832.406-70 Federal Cash Transactions Report.

The report required by paragraph (m) of the clause at FAR 52.232-12, Advance Payments, shall be submitted on Standard Form 272, Federal Cash Transactions Report, and, if appropriate, Standard Form 272-A, Federal Cash Transactions Report Continuation.

1832.407 Interest.

Advance payments without interest are hereby authorized, pursuant to FAR 32.407(d)(1).

1832.410 Findings, determination, and authorization.

1832.410-70 Instructions for determinations and findings.

(a) Requests for Headquarters approval of advance payment provisions in accordance with 1832.402 shall be prepared in final form (original and one official file copy) and forwarded to the Assistant Administrator for Procurement, (Code HC). They should include:

(1) The name of the cognizant NASA Headquarters program or staff office;

(2) A copy of the justification for other than full and open competition, if any;

(3) If a fee is contemplated, the estimated cost, the amount and percent of fee, the total cost and fee, and the factors considered in determining the fee (see Subpart 1815.9); and

(4) The name and phone number of the contracting officer or negotiator.

(b) Modifications to adapt to special facts and circumstances are permitted, provided they do not conflict with the statutory requirements of 10 U.S.C. 2307 or other authority cited.

(c) 10 U.S.C. 2307 is normally the statutory authority cited for authorizing advance payments. When appropriate, advance payments may also be authorized under 42 U.S.C. 2473(c)(5) or under Pub. L. 85-804 as implemented by Executive Order 10789 (see FAR Part 50).

(d) Generally, the format in FAR 32.410 should be used, tailored as follows:

(1) In the second sentence of format subparagraph (a)(4), delete the reference to a special bank account.

(2) Use format subparagraph (a)(6), not (a)(7) or (a)(8).

(3) At the end of format paragraph (b), use "is in the public interest."

1832.412 Contract clause.

The contracting officer, in consultation with the General Counsel, may require special security provisions if appropriate in particular cases. For example, the clause at 1852.232-75, Security for Advance Payments, may be included in solicitations and contracts with nonprofit organizations or educational institutions that include the clause at FAR 52.232-12, Advance Payments.

Subpart 1832.5—Progress Payments Based on Costs

1832.501 General.

1832.501-2 Unusual progress payments.

Requests for unusual progress payments must have the specific approval of the Assistant Administrator for Procurement, with the concurrence of the Director, Financial Management Division (Code BF).

1832.502 Pre-award matters.

1832.502-2 Contract finance office clearance.

The approving authority for the actions specified in FAR 32.502-2 is the Assistant Administrator for Procurement. Any such approvals should be coordinated with the Director, Financial Management Division.

1832.502-4 Contract clauses.

1832.502-470 NASA contract clause.

The contracting officer may insert a clause substantially as stated at 1852.232-82, Submission of Requests for Progress Payments, in fixed-price solicitations and contracts that provide for progress payments. The recipient of the requests may be changed if this function is delegated. The number of copies of the request may be changed if necessary to meet a substantial need.

1832.503 Post-award matters.

1832.503-3 Initiation of progress payments and review of accounting system.

In connection with the situations mentioned in FAR 32.503-3(b), the Financial Management Officer shall be notified.

1832.504 Subcontracts.

The Government approval mentioned in FAR 32.504(c) shall be as specified in 1832.502-2.

Subpart 1832.7—Contract Funding

1832.702 Policy.

1832.702-70 NASA policy.

(a) Cost-reimbursement contracts may be incrementally funded only if all the following conditions are met (except that, for cost-reimbursement R&D contracts under which no supplies are deliverable, only the condition in subparagraph (a)(3) of this section applies):

(1) The total value of the contract (including options as defined in FAR Subpart 17.2) is $1,000,000 or more.

(2) The period of performance under the contract is in excess of twelve months or overlaps the succeeding fiscal year.

(3) Funds are not available to fund the total contract value fully at the time of entering into the contract.

(b) Fixed-price contracts, other than those for research and development, shall not be incrementally funded.

(c)(1) Fixed-price contracts for research and development may be incrementally funded only if—

(i) The total fixed price of the contract (including options as defined in FAR Subpart 17.2) is $1,000,000 or more;

(ii) The period of performance under the contract is in excess of twelve months or overlaps the succeeding fiscal year;

(iii) Funds are not available to fund the total fixed price of the contract at the time of entering into the contract; and

(iv) Initial funding of the contract is not less than 50 percent of the total fixed price.

(2) Notwithstanding the grant of authority to fund contracts incrementally under the circumstances in subparagraph (c)(1) of this section, fixed-price contracts shall be fully funded whenever possible, and incremental funding of such contracts shall be kept to an absolute minimum.

1832.704 Limitation of cost or funds.

(a) When a contract contains the clause at 1852.232-77, Limitation of Funds (Fixed-Price Contract), the procedures in FAR 32.704 are applicable.

(b)(1) It is not necessary, although it is not prohibited, to have separate financial/accounting data for cost and fee. The breakdown is required only in the clause at 1852.232-81.

(2) The amount obligated for fee should always be at least sufficient to pay fee anticipated to be earned by the contractor for the work to which the amount allotted for the estimated cost applies.

1832.705 Contract clauses.

1832.705-2 Clauses for limitation of cost or funds.

As authorized by FAR 52.232-22, the contracting officer shall substitute "Contract Funding clause" for "Schedule," wherever that word appears in the clause at FAR 52.232-22.

1832.705-270 Additional clauses for limitation of cost or funds.

(a) The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 1852.232-77, Limitation of Funds (Fixed-Price Contract), in fixed-price incrementally funded contracts for research and development and in solicitations for these contracts.

(b) The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 1852.232-80, Date of Incurrence of Costs, in cost-reimbursement contracts for which specific coverage of pre-contract costs is authorized under FAR 31.205-32.

(c) The contracting officer shall insert a clause substantially as stated at

1852.232-81, Contract Funding, in solicitations and contracts containing the clause at FAR 52.232-22, Limitation of Funds. Insert the amounts of funds available for payment, the items covered, and the applicable period of performance. The contracting officer may add additional funding information (such as a summary of old amounts, amount(s) added in contract modifications, and new totals) as appropriate for the particular procurement.

Subpart 1832.9—Prompt Payment

1832.908 Contract clause.

When the clause at FAR 52.232-25 is used, it shall be used with its Alternate II as authorized by FAR 32.908(c), modified by deleting the words "and contract number" from subparagraph (c)(4). The following paragraph shall be inserted in Alternate II as (c)(2)(iv) in lieu of the FAR paragraph at that location:

(iv) The Contractor shall submit a TFS Form 3881 to the installation awarding this contract. If a TFS Form 3881 previously submitted to the installation awarding this contract is still valid, resubmittal is not necessary, unless requested by NASA.

PART 1833—PROTESTS, DISPUTES, AND APPEALS

Subpart 1833.1—Protests

1833.103 Protests to the agency.
1833.104 Protests to GAO.
1833.105 Protests to GSBCA.

Subpart 1833.2—Disputes and Appeals

1833.209 Suspected fraudulent claims.
1833.211 Contracting officer's decision.
1833.211-70 Contracts awarded before March 1, 1979.
1833.214 Contract clause.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 2473(c)(1).

Subpart 1833.1—Protests

1833.103 Protests to the agency.

(a) Immediately upon learning that a protest has been filed, the contracting officer shall notify the Headquarters Procurement Policy Division (Code HP). If the protest is filed directly with an installation, any determination under FAR 33.103(a) to award the contract before the protest is resolved will be made by the contracting officer. If the protest is filed with NASA Headquarters, any such determination will be made by the Assistant Administrator for Procurement.

(b) When a protest is filed directly with an installation or with NASA Headquarters, the contracting officer shall provide any notice under FAR 33.103(b)(1) to offerors whose offers might become eligible for award.

(c) For protests filed with NASA Headquarters, the contracting officer shall forward to the Procurement Policy Division (Code HP) within 10 work days a report essentially the same as that required by FAR 33.104(a)(3).

1833.104 Protests to GAO.

(a) *General.* (1) NASA personnel shall take no action to respond to or resolve any protest filed with GAO other than in accordance with this Regulation.

(2) Upon receiving any communication from a protester or the GAO regarding a protest, the cognizant procurement officer shall immediately contact Code HP for guidance. Conversely, upon Headquarters receipt of notice from GAO of the filing of a protest, Code HP shall immediately notify the cognizant procurement officer. This is usually done via telephone and constitutes the official notice to the installation that a protest has been filed. Within three work days of being notified, the contracting officer shall forward to Headquarters (Code HP) a copy of the procurement file including all documents referred to in FAR 33.104(a)(3)(i) through (v) and any others requested by Code HP. The contracting officer's statement (FAR 33.104(a)(3)(vi)) shall be forwarded no later than ten work days after the contracting officer has been notified. The Contracting Officer's Statement shall receive the concurrence of the installation Chief Counsel. If more time is needed, requests for extension may be made by telephone to Headquarters, Code HP. When the GAO elects to use its express option procedure, the contracting officer's statement shall be forwarded to Code HP within six work days after the contracting officer has been notified. If that is not possible, a report to Code HP shall be made by telephone.

(3) The notices required by FAR 33.104(a)(4) shall be made by the contracting officer.

(4) The report required by FAR 33.104(a)(5) shall be filed by Headquarters (Code HP).

(5) Headquarters (Code HP) shall furnish copies of the report as required by FAR 33.104(a)(6) and, in consultation with the Office of General Counsel, decide which documents are relevant.

(6) Headquarters (Code HP) shall provide the information required by FAR 33.104(a)(7) to the GAO.

(b) *Protests before award.* (1) The contracting officer shall provide Headquarters (Code HP) with information and recommendations relevant to a determination under FAR 33.104(b)(1) to award a contract prior to resolution of a protest. Any such determination shall be made by the Assistant Administrator for Procurement, who for purposes of this requirement is the "head of the contracting activity." The notification to GAO required by FAR 33.104(b)(2) will be made by Code HP.

(2) The contracting officer shall make the notifications and requests required by FAR 33.104(b)(3).

(c) *Protests after award.* (1) Any request for a determination under FAR 33.104(c)(2) to authorize performance notwithstanding a protest shall be submitted to Headquarters (Code HP). Any such determination shall be made by the Assistant Administrator for Procurement who, for purposes of this requirement, is the "head of the contracting activity." The notification to GAO required by FAR 33.104(c)(3) shall be made by Code HP.

(2) Under FAR 33.104(c)(4), the contracting officer shall consult with Headquarters (Code HP) before terminating a protested contract. If FAR 33.104(c)(5) applies, the contracting officer shall consult with Code HP before taking any action.

(d) *Document requests.* If the protester in its protest statement or later in the process requests documents under FAR 33.104(a)(2), the contracting officer shall forward them to Code HP with the documents required by FAR 33.104(a)(3), within three work days of receipt of the request. Concurrently, the contracting officer shall within 1 work day provide copies of the protest statement, the document request if separate from the protest statement, and the requested documents to the installation Chief Counsel who will prepare a proposed response on the release of the documents. This proposed response will be provided to the contracting officer with copies to Headquarters (Code HP) and the Associate General Counsel for Contracts (Code GK) suitable for incorporation into the Administrative Report.

(e) *Conferences.* Representatives from Code HP and Code GK, and those installation representatives designated by Code HP or requested by the GAO will attend conferences held in accordance with FAR 33.104(e).

1833.105 Protests to GSBCA.

(a) The installation's Office of Chief Counsel shall, in consultation with the Associate General Counsel for Contracts, (Code GK) and the Assistant Administrator for Procurement, represent the agency in protests filed with the GSBCA. The cognizant Chief Counsel is responsible for taking or otherwise ensuring the taking of all

actions required by FAR 33.105 and the GSBCA Rules of Procedure.

(b) Upon receiving notice from a non-NASA source of a protest filed with the GSBCA, the receiving office shall immediately notify the cognizant Chief Counsel, who shall further notify the Assistant Administrator for Procurement (Code H), the Associate General Counsel for Contracts (Code GK), and the procurement officer.

(c) The contracting officer is responsible for preparing the protest file required by FAR 33.105(b) and otherwise assisting counsel.

(d) The determination and findings required by FAR 33.105(d)(2) shall be:

(1) Prepared and executed by the director or assistant director of the cognizant technical directorate, the cognizant program/project manager, or cognizant staff official reporting directly to the head of the installation and

(2) Forwarded to the Assistant Administrator for Procurement (Code H) for concurrence.

Subpart 1833.2—Disputes and Appeals

1833.209 Suspected fraudulent claims.

The contracting officer shall report suspected fraudulent claims to the Office of Inspector General (Code W) and the Office of General Counsel (Code G).

1833.211 Contracting officer's decision.

Contracting officers shall alter the paragraph at FAR 33.211(a)(4)(v) to (a) include the address of the NASA Board of Contract Appeals, Code NC, Room 5065, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20546 and (b) reference the Board's small claims procedure for claims of $10,000 or less and the accelerated procedure for claims of $50,000 or less.

1833.211-70 Contracts awarded before March 1, 1979.

Under contracts awarded before the effective date of the Contract Disputes Act of 1978 (March 1, 1979), the contractor may elect to proceed either under the Act or under the Disputes clause in the contract. Therefore, when final decision letters regarding disputes under contracts awarded before that date are prepared, the following paragraph shall be inserted in lieu of the paragraph in FAR 33.211(a)(4)(v):

This is the final decision of the contracting officer. Since your contract was awarded before the effective date of the Contract Disputes Act of 1978 (March 1, 1979), you may appeal this decision by following the procedures of either—

(a) The Disputes clause at Federal Acquisition Regulation 52.233-1; or

(b) The Disputes clause (dated September 1962) in your contract.

If you decide to appeal under Procedure (a), the current Disputes clause, you must (1) mail or otherwise furnish written notice to the NASA Board of Contract Appeals, Code NC, Room 5065, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20546 within 90 days after receiving this decision and (2) furnish a copy of the notice to the contracting officer from whose decision the appeal is taken. The notice shall indicate that an appeal is intended and should reference this decision and identify the contract by number. For appeals under this clause you may elect to proceed under the NASA Board of Contract Appeals' small claims procedure (for claims of $10,000 or less) or its accelerated procedure (for claims of $50,000 or less). In lieu of appealing to the NASA Board of Contract Appeals, you may bring an action directly in the U.S. Claims Court within 12 months after receiving this decision.

If you decide to appeal under Procedure (b), the Disputes clause of your contract you must mail or otherwise furnish to the contracting officer, within 36 days after receipt of this decision, a written appeal or notice addressed to the Administrator of NASA or the Board of Contract Appeals, indicating that an appeal is intended, referencing this decision, and identifying the contract by number. For appeals filed under this clause, you may elect to proceed under the NASA Board of Contract Appeals' optional accelerated procedure (for claims of $25,000 or less). The NASA Board of Contract Appeals is the authorized representative of the Administrator for hearing and determining disputes.

For additional information, see the procedures of the NASA Board of Contract Appeals, which appear in Part 14, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1241. The Board will mail you a copy of its procedures if you file an appeal from this decision in timely fashion.

1833.214 Contract clause.

The contracting officer shall use Alternate I the clause at FAR 52.233-1, Disputes, whenever continued performance is vital to national security, the public health and welfare, important agency programs, or other essential supplies or services whose timely reprocurement from other sources would be impracticable.

SUBCHAPTER F—SPECIAL CATEGORIES OF CONTRACTING

PART 1834—MAJOR SYSTEM ACQUISITION

Sec.
1834.002 Policy.
1834.005-1 Competition.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 2473(c)(1).

1834.002 Policy.

NASA's implementation of OMB Circular No. A-109 and FAR Part 34 is contained in NMI 7100.14, Major System Acquisitions.

1834.005-1 Competition.

(a) In procurements subject to the provisions of OMB Circular No. A-109, Major Systems Acquisitions, and NASA Management Instruction 7100.14, or other similar phased-type procurements, it is NASA policy to ensure competition in the selection of contractors for award. Phase B usually covers the exploration of design concepts while Phase C/D deals with developing and fabricating equipment in accordance with designs that have proven acceptable. In such procurements, where Phase B is subject to full and open competition and all offerors are made aware that a continuous process of "down-selection" will be used, then the subsequent C/D solicitation and award are considered to be a continuum of the initial competition (Phase B) and the entire process is considered full and open competition. To this end, Phase B should be synopsized in accordance with FAR 5.201 and solicit all known potential sources to explore design concepts. In addition to the other information required by FAR 5.207, the synopsis should state that the subsequent solicitation for Phase C/D will build on the design concepts selected in Phase B and that NASA intends to solicit offers on that procurement only from those firms which were successful in Phase B. The Phase B RFP should also include this statement.

(b) Solicitations must be issued for Phase C/D and a source selection accomplished, unless an appropriate Justification for Other Than Full and Open Competition has been approved; "technical" down-selection from Phase B to Phase C/D without the issuance of a Phase C/D solicitation is not appropriate. Solicitations for Phase C/D should be limited to those firms which successfully completed Phase B or to those which can otherwise demonstrate that they meet the design requirements of Phase B. Procurements for Phase C/D must be properly synopsized, and state that it is the second phase of a phased procurement and that the Phase C/D solicitation is being issued to the offerors who successfully completed the Phase B design requirements, and identify the firms which are being solicited for Phase C/D. The synopsis must also state that any other potential offeror for Phase C/D must meet the Phase B requirement. If a prospective offeror, other than a successful contractor in Phase B, responds to the synopsis, the contracting officer shall allow the offeror to compete, but caution the interested firm that any product offered must satisfy the requirement for an equivalent mature design concept

acceptable to NASA delineated in Phase B.

(c) Phased procurements which meet the conditions in paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section are considered to be full and open competition. If only one contractor successfully completed Phase B and, after soliciting offers from more than one source, no other potential offeror responded to the solicitation for Phase C/D, the award shall be reported as a "noncompetitive procurement using competitive procedures" (see 1804.671-4(m)).

(d) When the conditions in paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section can not be met or where NASA chooses to develop an independent design after Phase B products have been evaluated, the procurement should proceed on the basis of full and open competition unless circumstances justify using one of the exceptions set forth in FAR 6.302 or one of the exclusions under FAR Subpart 6.2. In any event, the synopsis requirements of FAR 5.201 apply. (For this purpose, an "independent design" can be:

(1) A synthesis of the Phase B products;

(2) A totally new design developed by NASA; or

(3) A combination thereof.)

PART 1835—RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CONTRACTING

Sec.

1835.003 Policy.
1835.003-70 Scientific and Technical Information Service.
1835.003-71 Plan for New Technology Reporting.
1835.014 Government property and title.
1835.015 Contracts for research with educational institutions and nonprofit organizations.
1835.016 Broad agency announcements.
1835.016-70 NASA Research Announcements.
1835.070 NASA contract clauses and solicitation provision.
1835.071 Space Transportation System Personnel Reliability Program.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 2473(c)(1).

1835.003 Policy.

(a) See NHB 5800.1, NASA Grant and Cooperative Agreement Handbook, for policy regarding the use of grants and cooperative agreements.

(b) See 1816.303 for NASA policy regarding cost sharing.

(c) See NMI 5109.13, Recoupment Policy for the Use of NASA Technology, for NASA policy regarding recoupment.

1835.003-70 Scientific and technical information service.

NASA encourages contractors to use scientific and technical information in the possession of the agency in direct support of all NASA contracts involving research and development work (42 U.S.C. 2473). This information includes past and current reports on research, development, test, and evaluation. Certain information (e.g., Scientific and Technical Aerospace Reports (STAR)) announcing current publications covering aeronautics, space, and supporting disciplines is available to contractors free of charge; contractors may be charged for other types of information (e.g., automatic distribution of reports on a specific subject) or services (e.g., searches of the NASA data base or on-line access to the NASA data base through NASA/RECON). To be provided scientific and technical information in a timely manner, the contractor must furnish NASA the information specified in the clause at 1852.235-70, Scientific and Technical Information Service.

1835.003-71 Plan for New Technology Reporting.

(a) NASA's policy is to obtain prompt reporting of any invention, discovery, improvement, or innovation (i.e., items of new technology, whether or not patentable) made in the performance of NASA contracts to provide for its widest practical and appropriate dissemination. The clause at 1852.227-70, New Technology, is included in certain NASA contracts (see 1827.373(b)) to ensure the prompt reporting of such inventions, discoveries, improvements, and innovations.

(b) When the contracting officer wants to ensure that offerors adequately understand the new technology reporting requirements in solicitations that include the clause at 1852.227-70, New Technology, the contracting officer may include the provision at 1852.235-72, Plan for New Technology Reporting. The provision requires offerors to provide:

(1) Estimates of cost and manpower requirements to perform new technology reporting and

(2) If selected for negotiation, a detailed plan for such reporting.

1835.014 Government property and title.

For items having an acquisition cost of more than $5,000, the determination at FAR 35.014(b)(2)(iii) that vesting title in the contractor would not further the objectives of NASA's research program must be approved at a level higher than the contracting officer.

1835.015 Contracts for research with educational institutions and nonprofit organizations.

(a) In addition to the requirements of FAR 35.015(a)(1)(iv), the institution must obtain the contracting officer's approval when it plans to continue the research work during a continuous period in excess of three months without the participation of an approved principal investigator or project leader.

(b) For NASA policy regarding advance payments under contracts with educational institutions, see Subpart 1832.4.

1835.016 Broad agency announcements.

(a) The following forms of broad agency announcements are authorized for use:

(1) Announcements of Opportunity, described in Subpart 1870.1, NASA Acquisition of Investigations System.

(2) NASA Research Announcements, described in 1835.016-70.

(3) Other forms of announcements approved by the Assistant Administrator for Procurement.

(b) Broad agency announcements may not preclude the participation of any offeror capable of satisfying the Government's needs unless a justification for other than full and open competition is approved under FAR 6.304 (see FAR 6.102(d)(2) and 35.001).

(c) Other program announcements, notices, and letters not authorized by paragraph (a) of this section shall not be used to solicit proposals that may result in contracts.

1835.016-70 NASA Research Announcements.

(a) *Scope.* This subsection 1835.016-70 prescribes regulations and procedures for the use of a NASA Research Announcement (NRA), a form of broad agency announcement (see FAR 6.102(d)(2)). An NRA is used to announce NASA's research interests and, after peer or scientific review using factors in the NRA, select proposals for funding. Unlike an RFP containing a statement of work or specification to which offerors are to respond, an NRA provides for the submission of competitive project ideas, conceived by the offerors, in one or more program areas of interest to NASA. The NRA is intended to be used for those research procurements for which it would be impossible to draft an adequate RFP in sufficient detail without restraining the technical response and thus hindering the competition of ideas, rather than expanding competition. Consequently, an NRA shall not be used in place of an RFP when the procurement requirement

is narrowly defined and it is necessary to use a detailed description or specification.

(b) *Issuance.* (1) Each NRA shall be assigned a unique number in accordance with 184.7102–1.

(2) NRAs may remain open for proposal submission for a maximum of one year. They may not be amended or modified once issued, but may be reissued by assigning a new number and resynopsizing. (See also paragraph (g) of this section.) NRAs should remain open for at least 90 days.

(3) Before issuance, each field-generated NRA shall be concurred in by the procurement officer and approved by the installation's director or a designee, who shall serve as or designate a selecting official. Before issuance, each Headquarters-generated NRA shall be concurred in by General Counsel (Code GK) and the Director, Headquarters Grants and Contracts Division (Code HW) and approved by the cognizant Program Associate Administrator or a designee, who shall serve as or designate a selecting official. If a Headquarters-generated NRA may result in awards by a NASA field installation, the concurrence of that installation's procurement officer may be sought in place of or in addition to Code HW's concurrence.

(4) The contracting officer shall assure that the NRA is synopsized in the Commerce Business Daily (CBD). The CBD synopsis required by FAR 35.016(c) satisfies the synopsis requirement at FAR 5.201; the synopsis contemplated by FAR 5.205 is not required. The synopsis shall be brief and provide the address for obtaining a copy of the detailed NRA. The technical part of the synopsis is intended to describe an identifiable area of interest and should not exceed 50 words.

(5) The NRA shall be prepared, printed, and distributed by or under the direction of the selecting official. Distribution shall not begin until the concurrence of the procurement officer has been obtained and the contracting officer has confirmed that the synopsis requirements have been met. The NRA shall be distributed to each coordinating office responsible for receipt of unsolicited proposals and to the Office of Procurement (Code HS).

(6) In addition to the concurrences and approvals in subparagraph (b)(3) of this section, each installation shall submit its first two NRAs to the Assistant Administrator for Procurement (Code HS) for concurrence before issuance.

(c) *Content.* The NRA shall consist of the following items in the order shown. This entire package shall be provided in response to requests.

(1) *Cover.* The cover shall display:

(i) "OMB Approval Number 2700–0042" in the upper right corner.

(ii) Title (centered, in uppercase).

(iii) "NASA Research Announcement Soliciting Research Proposals for the Period Ending _____" (centered, on three lines, two inches below the title; insert closing date).

(iv) NRA number (centered, two inches below closing date).

(v) Official address for office issuing NRA (centered, at bottom of cover).

(2) *Summary and Supplemental Information.* (i) The Summary and Supplemental Information shall not exceed two pages and shall include:

(A) Title (centered, in uppercase).

(B) Introductory paragraphs describing the purpose of the NRA and the period for receipt of proposals. When proposals received during this period may be grouped for evaluation at separate times, the introductory paragraphs shall indicate when evaluations are planned and shall include the following remark:

> A proposal that is scientifically and programmatically meritorious, but that cannot be accepted during its initial review under an NRA because of funding uncertainties, may be included in subsequent reviews unless the offeror requests otherwise.

(C) NRA number.

(D) Address for submitting proposals, including "ATTN: NRA _____." (Insert NRA number.)

(E) Copies required.

(F) Selecting official's title.

(G) Name, address, and telephone number for additional technical information.

(H) Name and telephone number of contracting office point of contact for administrative and contractual information.

(I) Additional instructions supplementing the Instructions for Responding to NASA Research Announcements for Solicited Research Proposals (see Subpart 1870.2). Such information shall be kept to the minimum necessary for a particular NRA and shall cite specific "Instructions" paragraphs supplemented.

(J) When awards will be chargeable to funds of the new fiscal year and the NRA is to be issued before funds are available, the NRA shall contain a statement as follows:

> Funds are not presently available for awards under this NRA. The Government's obligation to make awards is contingent upon the availability of appropriated funds from which payment for award purposes can be made and the receipt of proposals that the Government determines are acceptable for award under this NRA.

(ii) The Summary and Supplemental Information may include estimates of the amount of funds that will be available and the number of anticipated awards. A breakdown of the estimates by research area may also be shown.

(iii) The Summary and Supplemental Information may indicate that proposals submitted under an earlier NRA and held for subsequent reviews will be considered and need not be resubmitted. To so indicate, the earlier NRA shall be identified by number in the following statement:

> Proposals for which no selection decision was made under NRA _____ and held for subsequent reviews will be considered under this NRA and need not be resubmitted. (Insert NRA number).

(3) *Technical Description.* The first page shall contain the NRA number and title at the top. A brief description not exceeding two pages is preferable, but it should be detailed enough to enable ready comprehension of the research areas of interest to NASA. Specifications containing detailed statements of work more suited to RFPs should be avoided. Any program management information included must be limited to matters that are essential for proposal preparation.

(4) *Instructions for Responding to NASA Research Announcements for Solicited Research Proposals.* The NRA shall contain instructions in accordance with 1870.203.

(d) *Unsolicited proposals.* (1) Unsolicited proposals for new efforts that are within the scope of an open NRA shall be evaluated in accordance with 1815.506(b).

(2) Unsolicited proposals for renewal of ongoing efforts that are within the scope of an open NRA shall be evaluated in accordance with 1815.505–70.

(3) A broad agency announcement shall not be considered to be an "acquisition requirement" as the term is used in FAR 15.507(a)(2).

(e) *Receipt of proposals, evaluation, and selection.* (1) Proposals shall be protected as provided in 1815.508–70 and 1815.509–70.

(2) Evaluation, selection, and award may occur during or after the period established for receipt of proposals. Late proposals and modifications shall be treated in accordance with 1815.412 (a) and (b).

(3) When more than one time is established in the NRA for evaluating proposals, proposals received prior to the time established will be considered

as part of the initial group to be evaluated. Subsequent groups of proposals to be evaluated shall be formed from those proposals received after the time established for the earlier evaluation groups and prior to the time established for a subsequent group, along with those proposals, if any, held over under subparagraph (e)(8) of this section.

(4) The selection decision shall be made following peer or scientific review of a proposal. Peer or scientific review shall involve (i) evaluation, outside NASA, by a discipline specialist in the area of the proposal, (ii) evaluation by an in-house specialist, or (iii) both. Evaluation by specialists outside NASA shall be conducted subject to the conditions in FAR 15.413–2(f) and NFS 1815.413 and 1815.413–2. In particular, the selecting official shall ensure compliance with FAR 15.413–2(f)(5) regarding the designation of outside evaluators and avoidance of conflicts of interest. After receipt of a proposal and before selection, scientific or engineering personnel shall communicate with an offeror, regarding the proposal, only for the purpose of clarification, as defined in FAR 15.601, or in order to understand the meaning of some aspect of the proposal that is not clear, or in order to obtain confirmation or substantiation of a proposed approach, solution, or cost estimate.

(5) Competitive range determinations shall not be made, and best and final offers shall not be requested.

(6) All or part of a proposal may be selected unless the offeror requests otherwise. In addition, changes to a selected proposal may be sought as long as (i) the ideas or other aspects of the proposal on which selection is based are contained in the proposal as originally submitted, and are not introduced by the changes; and (ii) the changes sought would not involve a material alteration to the requirements stated in the NRA. Changes that would affect a proposal's selection shall not be sought. When changes are desired, they may be described to the contracting officer under subdivision (e)(10)(ii) of this section, or the selecting official may request revisions from the offeror. The changes shall not transfer information from one offeror's proposal to another offeror (see FAR 15.610(d)(2)). When collaboration between offerors would improve proposed research programs, collaboration may be suggested to the offerors.

(7) The basis for selection of a proposal shall be documented in a selection statement applying the evaluation factors in the NRA. The selection statement represents the conclusions of the selecting official and must be self-contained. It shall not incorporate by reference the evaluations of the reviewers.

(8) A proposal that is scientifically and programmatically meritorious, but that is not selected during its initial review under an NRA, may be included in subsequent reviews unless the offeror requests otherwise. If the proposal is not to be held over for subsequent reviews, the offeror shall be notified that the proposal was not selected for award.

(9) The selecting official shall notify each offeror whose proposal was not selected for award and explain generally why the proposal was not selected. If requested, the selecting official shall arrange a debriefing under 1815.1003, with the participation of a contracting officer.

(10) The selecting official shall forward to the contracting officer—

(i) The results of the technical evaluation, including the total number of proposals received under the NRA by the time of selection, the selection statement, and the proposal(s) selected for funding;

(ii) A description of any changes desired in any offeror's statement of work, including the reasons for the changes and any effect on level of funding;

(iii) If a contract will be used to fund the proposal, a description of deliverables, including technical reports, and delivery dates, consistent with the requirements of the NRA;

(iv) A procurement request;

(v) Comments on the offeror's cost proposal (either the selecting official's comments, which may be based on the reviewers' comments, or copies of the reviewers' comments with any different conclusions of the selecting official); these comments shall address the need for and reasonableness of travel, computer time, materials, equipment, subcontracted items, publication costs, labor hours, labor mix, and other costs; and

(vi) A copy of the selected proposal as originally submitted, any revisions, and any related correspondence from the successful offeror.

(11) The selecting official may provide to the contracting officer copies of the reviewers' evaluations. Reviewers' names and institutions may be omitted in order to protect their identity.

(12) The selecting official may notify each offeror whose proposal was selected for negotiation leading to award.

(i) The notification shall state that—

(A) The proposal has been selected for negotiation leading to award;

(B) The offeror's business office will be contacted by a contracting officer, who is the only official authorized to obligate the Government; and

(C) Any expenses incurred by the offeror in anticipation of receiving an award will be at the offeror's risk.

(ii) The notification may identify which award instrument (contract, grant, cooperative agreement, or other agreement) has been recommended.

(f) *Award.* If a contract is selected as the award instrument (see FAR 35.003(a) and 1835.003(a)), the contracting officer shall—

(1) Advise the offeror that the Government contemplates entering into negotiations; the type of contract contemplated; and the estimated award date, level of effort, and delivery schedule;

(2) Send the offeror a model contract, if necessary, including modifications contemplated in the offeror's statement of work, and request agreement or identification of any exceptions (the contract statement of work may summarize the proposed research, state that the research shall be conducted in accordance with certain technical sections of the proposal (which shall be identified by incorporating them into the contract by reference), and identify any changes to the proposed research);

(3) Request the offeror to complete and return certifications and representations and Standard Form 33, Solicitation, Offer, and Award, or other appropriate forms;

(4) Conduct negotiations in accordance with FAR Subparts 15.8 and 15.9, as applicable;

(5) Award a contract with reasonable promptness to the successful offeror by transmitting written notice of the award to that offeror; and

(6) Comply with FAR Subparts 4.6 and 5.3 on contract reporting and synopses of contract awards, to the extent required by those subparts.

(g) *Cancellation of an NRA.* When program changes, the absence of program funding, or any other reasons require cancellation of an NRA, the contracting officer shall publish a notice in the Commerce Business Daily. The office issuing the NRA may provide additional notification by using the mailing list for the NRA.

1835.070 NASA contract clauses and solicitation provision.

(a) The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 1852.235–70, Scientific and Technical Information Service, in all research and development contracts and in cost-reimbursement supply contracts

involving research and development work.

(b) The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 1852.235-71, Key Personnel and Facilities, in contracts when source selection has been substantially predicated upon the possession by a given offeror of special capabilities, as represented by either key personnel or facilities.

(c) The contracting officer shall insert the provision at 1852.235-72, Plan for New Technology Reporting, in any solicitation for contract estimated to cost $2,500,000 or more if the contract is also to contain the clause at 1852.227-70, New Technology, unless, in consultation with the installation's New Technology Officer, the contracting officer determines that the provision is not appropriate. The contracting officer may insert the provision in solicitations for any such contract of a lesser dollar amount if deemed appropriate after consultation with the installation's New Technology Officer.

1835.071 Space Transportation System Personnel Reliability Program.

The Space Transportation System is a national resource providing a capability to support a wide range of scientific, applications, commercial, defense, and international uses. Criteria and procedures for assuring the highest standards of reliability in personnel assigned to mission-critical positions in connection with the Space Transportation System are set forth in NMI 8610.13, Space Transportation System Reliability Program (see 1846.270(a)).

PART 1836—CONSTRUCTION AND ARCHITECT-ENGINEER CONTRACTS

Subpart 1836.2—Special Aspects of Contracting for Construction

Sec.
1836.203 Government estimate of construction costs.
1836.205 Statutory cost limitations.
1836.209 Construction contracts with architect-engineer firms.

Subpart 1836.3—Special Aspects of Sealed Bidding in Construction Contracts

1836.303 Invitations for bids.
1836.303-70 Additive and deductive items.
1836.370 Solicitation provisions.

Subpart 1836.5—Contract Clauses

1836.570 NASA contract clause and solicitation provision.
1836.570-1 Hurricane plan.
1836.570-2 Magnitude of requirement.

Subpart 1836.6—Architect-Engineer Services

1836.600 Scope of subpart.
1836.600-70 Interorganizational acquisition of architect-engineer services.
1836.602 Selection of firms for architect-engineer contracts.
1836.602-1 Selection criteria.
1836.602-2 Evaluation boards.
1836.602-3 Evaluation board functions.
1836.602-4 Selection authority.
1836.602-5 Short selection processes for contracts not to exceed $10,000.
1836.602-70 Selection of architect-engineers for master planning.
1836.602-71 Conflict of interest.
1836.603 Collecting data on and appraising firms' qualifications.

Subpart 1836.7—Standard Forms for Contracting for Construction, Architect-Engineer Services, and Dismantling, Demolition, or Removal of Improvements

1836.702 Forms for use in contracting for architect-engineer services.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 2473(c)(1).

Subpart 1836.2—Special Aspects of Contracting for Construction

1836.203 Government estimate of construction costs.

(a) The initiator of the Government estimate shall designate the estimate "For Official Use Only" unless the information in the estimate requires a security classification, in which event it shall be handled in accordance with applicable security regulations.

(b) If the acquisition is by sealed bidding, the contracting officer shall file a sealed copy of the detailed Government estimate with the bids until bid opening. After the bids are read and recorded, the contracting officer shall remove the "For Official Use Only" designation, read the estimate, and record it in the same detail as the bids.

(c) If the acquisition is by negotiation, the contracting officer may disclose cost breakdown figures in the Government estimate during negotiations, but only to the extent necessary for arriving at a fair and reasonable price. However, the contracting officer shall not disclose the overall amount of the Government estimate prior to award. At the time of award, the "For Official Use Only" designation shall be removed. After award, the contracting officer may reveal the Government estimate, upon request, to offerors.

1836.205 Statutory cost limitations.

"Statutory cost limitations" refers to cost limitations that may be included in an agency's annual appropriation act.

1836.209 Construction contracts with architect-engineer firms.

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (c) of this section, contracting officers shall not—

(1) Solicit offers for the construction of a facility from any firm, its subsidiaries, or affiliates furnishing architect-engineer services for that facility; or

(2) Consider unsolicited offers from that firm, its subsidiaries, or affiliates.

(b) An architect-engineer firm selected for negotiation of an architect-engineer services contract under the procedures in 1836.602 shall be advised of the policy in paragraph (a) of this section before initiation of negotiations, if that firm possesses construction capabilities either within its own organization or through subsidiaries or affiliates. The firm shall have the option of either—

(1) Declining to enter into contract negotiations, in order to be eligible to compete for the related construction contract; or

(2) Entering into contract negotiations with the clear understanding that, if such negotiations are successful, the firm, its subsidiaries, and affiliates will be ineligible to compete for the related construction contract.

(c)(1) The policy in FAR 36.209 does not apply when—

(i) The Assistant Administrator for Procurement, upon recommendation from Code HS, specifically authorizes, before the initiation of negotiations, use of a cost-plus-fixed-fee contract for both the design and construction of a facility; or

(ii) A contract:

(A) Is awarded on the basis of performance specifications for the construction of a facility, and

(B) Requires the contractor to furnish construction drawings, specifications, or site adaptation drawings of the facility.

(2) The contracting officer shall prepare requests for authorization pursuant to subdivision (b)(1)(i) of this section in sufficient detail to establish the need for procuring both design and construction under one contract. In neither of the excepted cases in subparagraph (b)(1) of this section shall the firm that prepared the drawings and specifications be engaged to supervise and inspect, on behalf of the Government, the construction of the facility involved.

Subpart 1836.3—Special Aspects of Sealed Bidding in Construction Contracts

1836.303 Invitations for bids.

1836.303-70 Additive and deductive items.

(a) When it appears that funds available for a project may be insufficient for all the desired features of construction, the contracting officer may provide in the invitation for bids for (1) a first or base bid item covering the work generally as specified and (2) one or more additive or deductive bid items progressively adding or omitting specified features of the work in a stated

order of priority. In such case, the low bidder and the items to be awarded shall be determined as described in the provision at 1852.236–71, Additive or Deductive Items.

(b) The contracting officer, before the opening of bids, shall determine and record in the contract file the amount of funds available for the project. This amount shall be controlling for determining the low bidder, but may be increased for determining the items to be awarded, provided that award on the combination of items does not exceed the amount offered by any other conforming responsible bidder for the same combination of items.

1836.370 Solicitation provisions.

(a) The contracting officer shall insert the provision at 1852.236–71, Additive or Deductive Items, in invitations for bids for construction when it is desired to add or deduct bid items to meet available funding.

(b) The contracting officer shall insert the provision at 1852.236–72, Bids with Unit Prices, in invitations for bids for construction when the invitation contemplates unit prices of items.

Subpart 1836.5—Contract Clauses

1836.570 NASA contract clause and solicitation provision.

1836.570–1 Hurricane plan.

The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 1852.236–73, Hurricane Plan, in solicitations and contracts for construction at sites that experience hurricanes.

1836.570–2 Magnitude of requirement.

The contracting officer shall insert the provision at 1852.236–74, Magnitude of Requirement, in solicitations for construction. Insert the appropriate estimated dollar range in accordance with FAR 36.204.

Subpart 1836.6—Architect-Engineer Services

1836.600 Scope of subpart.

1836.600–70 Interorganizational acquisition of architect-engineer services.

Procedures for acquiring architect-engineer services through the construction organization of the Corps of Engineers, Department of the Army, are set forth in NASA-Corps of Engineers Agreement for Performance of Construction Services, which can be obtained from the Headquarters Office of Procurement (Code HS).

1836.602 Selection of firms for architect-engineer contracts.

1836.602–1 Selection criteria.

(a) As permitted by FAR 36.602–1(a)(6), in evaluating architect-engineer firms, the architect-engineer selection board shall apply any criteria set forth in the public notice regarding a particular requirement and the volume of work previously awarded to the firm by NASA, with the object of effecting an equitable distribution of contracts among qualified architect-engineer firms, including minority-owned firms and firms that have not had prior NASA contracts.

(b) It should be noted that the evaluation criteria in paragraph (a) of this section and FAR 36.602–1(a) are not listed in order of importance. The relative weight to be assigned to them may vary with the particular requirements of the individual procurement.

(c) NASA will consider the immediate past 10 years as the period for evaluation under FAR 36.602–1(a) (2) and (4).

1836.602–2 Evaluation boards.

In compliance with FAR 36.602–2, the head of each installation shall establish an architect-engineer selection board to be composed of at least three members. Appointment of members who are not Government employees is not authorized.

1836.602–3 Evaluation board functions.

The report required by FAR 36.602–3(d) should, as a minimum, discuss each criterion for each offeror and explain why one firm is rated higher than the others.

1836.602–4 Selection authority.

The head of each installation is designated as the selection authority for that installation for the purposes of FAR 36.602–4.

1836.602–5 Short selection processes for contracts not to exceed $10,000.

(a) Use of the procedures at FAR 36.602–5 is permitted when authorized by the head of the installation.

(b) The head of the installation is designated as the selection authority for that installation for the purposes of FAR 36.602–5(b)(2).

1836.602–70 Selection of architect-engineers for master planning.

(a) *Definition of master plan.* A master plan is an integrated series of documents presenting in graphic, narrative, and tabular form the present composition of the installation and the plan for its orderly and comprehensive development to perform its various missions in the most efficient and economical manner.

(b) *Selection.* (1) When it is proposed that an architect-engineer be selected to develop a master plan in connection with the establishment of a new NASA activity or installation, the report prepared by the architect-engineer selection board in accordance with FAR 36.602–3(d) shall be forwarded by the head of the installation, with that individual's concurrence in the selection, to the cognizant Institutional Associate Administrator, NASA Headquarters, for the concurrences of the Associate Deputy Administrator—Institution and the Deputy Administrator and the approval of the Administrator.

(2) The cognizant Institutional Associate Administrator shall refer the report for review to the Assistant Administrator for Procurement (Code HS), the Office of Management Facilities (Code NX), and other offices as appropriate under the circumstances. After receiving comments and recommendations from the reviewing offices, the cognizant Institutional Associate Administrator shall revise, modify, or concur in the report, as appropriate, and forward it through the Associate Deputy Administrator—Institution to the Deputy Administrator and the Administrator.

1836.602–71 Conflict of interest.

(a)(1) The chairperson and members of an architect-engineer selection board shall have on permanent file with the appointing official a written certification that their respective participation will not create a conflict of interest within the provisions of the current Standards of Conduct for NASA Employees (NHB 1900.1) regarding conflict of interest. Any other participants in an evaluation or selection for a given architect-engineer requirement shall file or have on file a similar certification.

(2) Personnel unwilling or unable to furnish such a certification may not participate in the evaluation or selection process. The chairperson, members, or other participants with certifications on file shall inform the chairperson or the appointing official, as appropriate and in writing, if participation in a particular selection or evaluation would present a real or apparent conflict of interest. If the situation warrants, the person(s) shall be disqualified from participating.

(b) The chairperson, members, or other participants shall promptly report any activity that would give rise to a real or apparent conflict of interest, including any indications of extraneous

influences being brought to bear on the selection or evaluation process.

1836.603 Collecting data on and appraising firms' qualifications.

The architect-engineer selection boards (see 1836.602–2) are designated as NASA's evaluation boards for the purposes of FAR 36.603.

Subpart 1836.7—Standard Forms for Contracting for Construction, Architect-Engineer Services, and Dismantling, Demolition, or Removal of Improvements

1836.702 Forms for use in contracting for architect-engineer services.

(a) Architect-Engineer Contract (Standard Form 252). Instructions for completing this form are as follows:

(1) Block 5—Project Title and Location. Include a short description of the construction project and the estimated cost of constructing the facilities for the project. If the space provided is insufficient, include a more detailed description in the contract's specification/work statement and identify the location of the more detailed description in Block 10.

(2) Block 6—Contract for (General description of services to be provided). Include a brief description of the services and state that they are fully set out in the specification/work statement. Clearly specify the date by which design services must be completed. If supervision and inspection services during construction are to be procured, also clearly specify the date by which they must be completed and add a statement that the Government may extend the period for their performance as provided in the Changes clause of the contract.

(3) Block 7—Contract Amount. If the contract is for both design and supervision and inspection services, set out the amounts for each effort separately.

(b) Statement of architect-engineer services accompanying the SF 252. The services to be furnished by an architect-engineer should be carefully defined during negotiation of the contract and a statement of them inserted in the contract's specification/work statement. The statement should clearly and concisely set forth the nature and extent of the services and include any special services, such as the nature and extent of subsurface exploration prior to designing foundations. A similar statement of supervision and inspection services should be inserted in the specification/work statement if supervision and inspection services are to be acquired.

PART 1837—SERVICE CONTRACTING

Sec.

1837.000 Scope of part.

Subpart 1837.1—Service Contracts—General

1837.101 Definitions.
1837.104 Personal services contracts.
1837.105 Competition in service contracting.
1837.110 Solicitation provisions and contract clauses.
1837.110–70 NASA contract clause.
1837.170 Pension portability.

Subpart 1837.2—Consulting Services

1837.200 Scope of subpart.
1837.203 Types of consulting services.
1837.204 Policy.
1837.204–70 NASA policy.
1837.204–71 Public inspection.
1837.205 Management controls.
1837.205–70 Requests for approval.
1837.205–71 Negotiation of contracts.
1837.205–72 Contract award and approval.
1837.205–73 Copies of reports and recommendations.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 2473 (c)(1).

1837.000 Scope of part.

For purposes of this part, construction is not considered a service.

Subpart 1837.1—Service Contracts—General

1837.101 Definitions.

"Pension portability" means the recognition and continuation in a successor service contract of the predecessor service contract's pension rights and benefits for contractor employees.

1837.104 Personal services contracts.

(a) Under section 203(c)(9) of the National Aeronautics and Space Act of 1958 (42 U.S.C. 2473(c)(9)), NASA is authorized "to obtain services as authorized by section 3109 of Title 5, United States Code, but at rates for individuals not to exceed the per diem rate equivalent to the rate for GS–18." 5 U.S.C. 3109, in turn, provides authority to procure by contract "the temporary (not in excess of one year) or intermittent services of experts or consultants or organizations thereof, including stenographic reporting services."

(b) It is NASA policy to obtain the personal services of experts and consultants by appointment rather than by contract. The policies, responsibilities, and procedures pertaining to the appointment of experts and consultants are in the NASA Supplement to the Federal Personnel Manual.

1837.105 Competition in service contracting.

(a) See 1837.104 above regarding negotiation for personal and professional services.

(b) Frequently NASA must contract for services that require immediate performance after minimum prior notice to proceed. Typical of these requirements are contracts for engineering services; maintenance, repair, or overhaul of specialized equipment; and printing or reproduction and data processing services to be furnished on a "call basis" when time is of the essence to meet priority requirements.

(c) The NASA policy of obtaining competition to the maximum practicable extent applies to these types of services. Geographic limitations imposed on prospective contractors are likely to appear arbitrary to the business community and therefore are proper only when demonstrably justifiable. Even when a geographic limitation is justifiable, no firm desiring to compete for the procurement may be denied the opportunity to do so merely because it is located outside the geographic area. Such firms shall be permitted to submit offers, provided they can substantiate their capability to establish a facility in a location that complies with any required geographical limitation.

(d) A preferred method of obtaining services or supplies required on a prompt response basis is by clearly describing in the solicitation the maximum time that may elapse between placement of the order or call and the delivery date. Such time limitations, when required by the nature of the procurement, will normally meet NASA's needs for prompt delivery without introducing unnecessarily restrictive criteria limiting the competitive field of prospective contractors.

(e) The procurement officer or a designee shall review and approve, prior to distribution, solicitations that contemplate either a geographical-area or time-of-performance limitation.

1837.110 Solicitation provisions and contract clauses.

The contracting officer shall obtain the Assistant Administrator for Procurement's (Code HP) approval before using in a solicitation, contract, or negotiated contract modification for additional work any installation-developed clause involving pension portability.

1837.110-70 NASA contract clause.

The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 1852.237-70, Emergency Evacuation Procedures, in solicitations and contracts for on-site support services where emergency evacuations of the NASA installation may occur, e.g., snow, hurricanes, tornados, earthquakes, or other emergencies.

1837.170 Pension portability.

It is NASA's policy not to require pension portability in service contracts. However, if it is in the Government's best interest, NASA may consider the inclusion of pension portability requirements in a service contract under the following conditions:

(a) Only defined contribution plans shall be permitted in portability provisions;

(b) At a minimum, vesting shall be 100 percent at contract completion or termination; and

(c) There must be a clear description of the plan, including coverage regarding service, pay, and benefits, as appropriate, from prior contractors.

Subpart 1837.2—Consulting Services

1837.200 Scope of subpart.

This subpart implements and supplements FAR Subpart 37.2 and NMI 5104.5, Policy and Guidelines for the Use of Consulting Services Obtained by Contract, and, in particular, establishes procedures to be followed in contracting for consulting services.

1837.203 Types of consulting services.

Consulting services do not include services obtained under OMB Circular A-76, Performance of Commercial Activities, or services that only provide data in support of NASA administrative and program management. If the primary purpose of a task is to conduct research and development (such as data analysis, studies related to basic and applied research, technology development, concept and demonstration development, full-scale development and testing, and evaluation), the effort provided under the task is not considered consulting services. In addition, contractor reviews of technical activities, such as hardware and hardware-oriented activities, and evaluation of proposals are excluded. See Attachment A to NMI 5104.5 for examples of services to which this subpart does not apply.

1837.204 Policy.

1837.204-70 NASA policy.

In addition to the prohibitions regarding consulting services listed at FAR 37.204(c)—

(a) Consulting services shall normally be obtained only on an intermittent or temporary basis; repeated or extended arrangements are not to be entered into except under extraordinary circumstances;

(b) Consulting services of individual experts and consultants shall normally be obtained by appointment rather than by contract (see NMI 3304.1, Employment of Experts and Consultants);

(c) Grants and cooperative agreements shall not be used as legal instruments for consulting service arrangements;

(d) Consulting service tasks assigned to the Jet Propulsion Laboratory and modifications to the contract between the California Institute of Technology and NASA for the operation of the JPL facility must be reviewed and approved by the Associate Administrator for Management (Code N); and

(e) Persons or organizations employed as NASA consultants must be free from conflict of interest as delineated in FAR Subpart 9.5, Organizational Conflicts of Interest, and NFS Subpart 1809.5. Caution must also be exercised when considering former Government employees for consulting service arrangements, in order to avoid potential post-employment statutory prohibitions (18 U.S.C. 207).

1837.204-71 Public inspection.

(a) NASA's annual Appropriations Act states: "Except as otherwise provided under existing law or under an Executive Order issued pursuant to an existing law, the obligation or expenditure of any appropriation under this Act for contracts for any consulting service shall be limited to contracts which are:

(1) A matter of public record and available for public inspection, and

(2) Thereafter included in a publicly available list of all contracts entered into within twenty four months prior to the date on which the list is made available to the public and of all contracts on which performance has not been completed by such date.

The list required by the preceding sentence shall be updated quarterly and shall include a narrative description of the work to be performed under each such contract."

(b) In accordance with paragraph (a) of this section, on a quarterly basis the Office of Procurement (Code HM) prepares a list for public inspection and distributes it to NASA Information Centers pursuant to NMI 1382.2 (14 CFR Part 1206), Availability of Agency Records to Members of the Public. Copies are also distributed to NASA installation contracting offices to ensure an awareness of contracts that have been highlighted for public inspection.

(c) Public inspection of consulting service contracts and purchase orders at NASA field installations in accordance with the Appropriations Act shall be limited to basic contract documents and modifications. Requests for copies of contracts or other data will be handled in accordance with NMI 1382.2 and OFPP Policy Letter No. 78-3, Request for Disclosure of Contractor-Supplied Information Obtained in the Course of a Procurement (March 30, 1978).

1837.205 Management controls.

1837.205-70 Requests for approval.

(a) When a NASA field installation or headquarters office considers consulting services necessary and desirable, in accordance with the policy in FAR 37.204 and NFS 1837.204-70, the requiring activity is responsible for preparing the required documentation (see FAR 37.205) and securing the prior approval of the Associate Administrator for Management (Code N).

(b) Before processing any procurement action for consulting services, the contracting officer shall:

(1) Provide advice, as necessary, to the requiring activity on preparing the documentation required by NMI 5104.5 and

(2) Ensure that this required documentation, including the necessary concurrences/approvals, is included in the official contract file.

If there is a question as to whether a requirement is for consulting services, regardless of dollar value, and the requirement has not been submitted to the Associate Administrator for Management (Code N) for approval, the contracting officer shall determine whether it is for consulting services. If it is, the contracting officer shall return the procurement request to the originating office for action in accordance with NMI 5104.5. In all such cases, the contracting officer's determination is final.

1837.205-71 Negotiation of contracts.

(a) (1) Contracting officers shall include in all solicitations for consulting services a requirement that each offeror furnish the following information with the proposal, regardless of the type of contract anticipated:

(i) The names and qualifications of principal members of the contractor organization who will be responsible for the project.

(ii) The title of each official and the number of employees who will participate.

(iii) The estimated number of hours that each official and employee will contribute to the proposed project.

(iv) The standard billing rate per hour for each official and employee.

(2) The contract shall establish requirements to ensure that the successful offeror's proposal will be adhered to, as negotiated and agreed to, in each of the areas listed in subparagraph (a)(1) of this section.

(b) In addition, the solicitation and the resulting contract shall require that—

(1) The contractor warrants that the rates quoted are not in excess of those charged nongovernmental clients for the same services performed by the same individuals;

(2) The Government has the right to the working papers used by the participating officials and employees of the firm or organization in connection with the project;

(3) Publication or distribution of the study, data, or related material is prohibited, except to the extent authorized by the contracting officer; and

(4) The contractor agrees that any reports regarding organizational matters (as required by the contract) shall include, when feasible and in addition to the recommendations, alternative methods to be considered and the pros and cons of each alternative.

1837.205–72 Contract award and approval.

Unless otherwise required by Subpart 184.72, or by direction of the Associate Administrator for Management (Code N), awards may be made without further referral to Headquarters after they have been approved in accordance with 1837.205–70(a).

1837.205–73 Copies of reports and recommendations.

Upon completion of the services required and before contract closeout, the requiring activity shall forward one copy of the final report or recommendations made by the contractor along with a written evaluation of contractor performance to the Director, General Management Division (Code NP). These reports will show the following information on the cover:

(a) Name and business address of the contractor.

(b) Contract number.

(c) Contract dollar amount.

(d) Whether the contract was competitively or noncompetitively awarded.

(e) Name, office identification, and location of the Government official sponsoring the consulting services.

PART 1839—ACQUISITION OF INFORMATION RESOURCES

Subpart 1839.70—NASA Procedures

Sec.

1839.7000 Scope of subpart.
1839.7001 Policy.
1839.7002 Applicability.
1839.7003 Requests from installations.
1839.7003–1 Responsibility.
1839.7003–2 Request format.
1839.7003–3 Submission.
1839.7004 ADP acquisition plans.
1839.7005 Coordination.
1839.7006 DPA transmittal.
1839.7007 Numbering provisions and clauses.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 2473(c)(1)

Subpart 1839.70—NASA Procedures

1839.7000 Scope of subpart.

This subpart prescribes the internal NASA procedures to be used by installations in obtaining General Services Administration (GSA) authorization to contract for automatic data processing equipment (ADPE), telecommunications, and related resources.

1839.7001 Policy.

The Assistant Administrator for Procurement has responsibility for submitting agency procurement requests (APR's) to the GSA to obtain delegations of procurement authority (DPAs) for ADPE. Telecommunications services shall be obtained in accordance with NMI 2520.1, Communications System Management.

1839.7002 Applicability.

This subpart is applicable to all ADPE actions for which the Federal Information Resources Management Regulation (FIRMR) requires issuance of specific DPAs.

1839.7003 Requests from installations.

1839.7003–1 Responsibility.

The installation's procurement officer is responsible for—

(a) Determining or verifying that a DPA is necessary;

(b) Ensuring that internally required approvals are sufficient to allow initiation of the acquisition;

(c) Ensuring that required documentation is uniquely identifiable, complete, adequate, severable, and readily available in files controlled by the contracting office;

(d) Timely submission to the Headquarters Office of Procurement (Code HP) information to be used as the basis of an APR;

(e) Conducting the acquisition in compliance with the DPA; and

(f) Initiating a request for a revised DPA if events invalidate the existing DPA or require additional or modified authorization from GSA.

1839.7003–2 Request format.

Headquarters will prepare an APR, consistent with the FIRMR, from information supplied by the installation. Installations shall use the format set forth below, subject to the following conditions:

(a) The exact numbering style and headings shall be used (except for parenthetical material) unless otherwise noted.

(b) Parenthetical material, except paragraph numbering, is instructional and should be omitted from the submitted document.

(c) The material should be prepared to allow verbatim incorporation into the APR that NASA will submit to GSA. Note that internal material of interest only to Headquarters, as may be required by the parenthetical notes, will be deleted.

FORMAT

Request for DPA

Date:

1. *Installation information:*

(i) (Installation name)

(ii) Procurement contact: (name/title/telephone no.)

(iii) Technical contact: (name/title/telephone no.)

2. *Project title and description:*

(i) Project title: (Use brief, informative name. Actual project title preferred, if suitable. Provide a brief description of the nature and scope of the program the acquisition will support. The description may be as technical as necessary, but ensure that a nontechnical, non-ADPE reader can, in general, understand the request.)

(ii) Existing components: (Provide a brief but specific description of the major system components, including ADPE configuration, or services currently supporting the program. Limitations dictating the present action may be mentioned).

(iii) To-be-acquired: (Provide a brief but specific description of the major system components or services to be acquired during the system life of the requirement. The reason for the buy should be made clear, if not already covered above. Describe resources required for system expansion, e.g., anticipated augmentations, upgrades, and other system modifications during the system life if such requirements will be included in the solicitation as evaluated options. (Note that the DPA provided by GSA will be limited to acquisition of the resources described in this section.))

3. *Acquisition strategy:*

(i) (Indicate whether or not the proposed procurement approach is to satisfy a requirement using a specific make and model; whether compatibility-limited requirements will be used on either a mandatory or

nonmandatory basis; and the type of contract expected to be used.)

(ii) Solicitation will be issued: _____ Qtr FY _____.

Contract will be signed: _____Qtr FY _____.

(iii) This request does not involve a pilot or prototype. (If preceding statement is not true, replace it with a description of the strategy for follow-on implementation.)

(iv) (Indicate the contemplated type of competition, i.e., full and open, full and open after exclusion of sources, or other than full and open. If the last, cite the appropriate circumstance at FAR 6.302.)

4. *Estimated contract life and cost:*

Type of request	Estimated contract cost	Estimated contract life
ADPE System...	Contr. $XXX..... Option $XXX.....	Firm (yrs/mos). Option (yrs/mos).

The estimated system life is _____ years. (Use applicable parts of above sample. "Type of Request" typically includes ADPE System Replacement or Augmentation, Proprietary Software, Equipment Maintenance, Other ADP Services. Include all options. Note that contract cost is specified, not overall system life cost. Detailed cost breakout may be attached for clarification. The delegation will be limited to the quantities and periods indicated.)

5. *Regulatory compliance:*

(i) All of the applicable regulations have been reviewed and complied with. No waivers are contemplated. (Alternatively, list those deviations from the FIRMR for which approval is sought, and provide an explanation for each in accordance with FIRMR Subpart 201–1.4 (41 CFR Subpart 201–1.4). Any deviations from the FAR or NASA FAR Supplement shall be handled in accordance with FAR Subpart 1.4. They shall not be listed here.)

(ii) The following documentation has been prepared and conforms with NFS 1839.7003–1(c): (Enter date of most recent update or N/A as appropriate.) Identify only those documents noted below. Do not send copies of documents to Code HP unless requested.

(A) (Requirements analysis, as per FIRMR 201–30.007 (41 CFR 201–30.007)).

(B) (Analysis of alternatives, as per FIRMR 201–30.009).

(C) (Performance evaluation for the currently installed ADP system, as per FIRMR 201–30.007(d)(9) and 201–34.002 (41 CFR 201–30.007(d)(9) and 201–34.002)).

(D) (Findings to support the use of compatibility-limited requirements, as per FIRMR 201–30.009–3 (41 CFR 201–30.009–3)).

(E) (Software conversion study, as per FIRMR 201–30.012–1 (41 CFR 201–30.012–1)).

(F) (Certified data to support a contemplated requirement available from only one responsible source, as per FIRMR 201–11.002(b) (41 CFR 201–11.002(b)).

(G) (Justification to support a contemplated requirement using a specific make and model, as per FIRMR 201–11.002–1 (41 CFR 201–11.002–1)).

(H) (Description of those planned actions necessary to foster competition for subsequent acquisitions, as per FIRMR 201–30.012 (41 CFR 201–30.012)).

6. *Remarks:*

(Provide any additional necessary information concerning any of the above special conditions associated with this acquisition; e.g., required building construction or modification by GSA. Indicate any special problems or conditions regarding funding or ADP acquisition plan approvals. Indicate the urgency of the acquisition.)

7. *References:*

(Provide previous Code HP control numbers and GSA case numbers specifically related to the requested action. Reference any significant meetings or other events involving NASA or GSA that may have a major bearing on the action.)

8. *Submitted by:*

(Typed name and signature of procurement officer)

1839.7003–3 Submission.

Forward two copies of requests for DPAs to the Assistant Administrator for Procurement (Attn: Code HP). Allow a minimum of nine weeks for processing. (See also NFS 1839.7004). Direct electronic transmission of requests for DPAs to the Code HP telecommunication facility requires prior approval by Code HP.

1839.7004 ADP acquisition plans.

(a) When NHB 2410.1, Information Processing Resources Management, requires that an ADP acquisition plan be approved by Headquarters, the procurement officer shall determine from the initiating activity whether the plan has been approved by Headquarters or is under review by the Headquarters Automated Information Systems Division (Code NT).

(b) When NHB 2410.1 provides for approval of an ADP acquisition plan at the local level, a copy of the approved plan shall be enclosed with the request for a DPA unless it has previously been sent to Code NT.

(c) The existence of a copy of the acquisition plan in the contract file does not in and of itself meet the documentation criteria set forth in NFS 1839.7003–1(c).

1839.7005 Coordination.

(a) Requests for DPAs are subject to general review, comparison with acquisition plans, and discussion between Codes HP and NT before submission of an APR to GSA.

(b) Communications with GSA regarding APRs shall be through the Headquarters Procurement Policy Division (Code HP), unless that office directs otherwise. Installations may respond to contacts initiated by GSA, but should inform Code HP of the contact and its nature.

(c) NASA will not normally make presentations to GSA regarding APRs unless requested by GSA. Any exceptions are subject to coordination by Codes HP and NT.

1839.7006 DPA transmittal.

Specific DPAs are made to the Assistant Administrator for Procurement or designee (Code HP), and are in turn redelegated to the appropriate procurement officer by transmitting the signed DPA with a delegation letter containing additional instructions and guidance. Questions regarding the DPA shall be referred to Code HP.

1839.7007 Numbering provisions and clauses.

When adherence to the FIRMR results in the use of provisions or clauses not prescribed in the FAR or NFS, use the FIRMR number and FIRMR provision or clause title.

SUBCHAPTER G—CONTRACT MANAGEMENT

PART 1842—CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION

Subpart 1842.1—Interagency Contract Administration and Audit Services

Sec.

1842.101 Policy.
1842.102 Procedures.
1842.102–70 Management of subcontracts.
1842.170 Assignment of NASA personnel at contractor plants.
1842.171 Contractor performance at a NASA installation.
1842.172 Contract administration by DOD personnel at a NASA installation.
1842.173 Reimbursement for contract administration services.
1842.174 Reporting requirements.
1842.175 Functional management responsibility.

Subpart 1842.2—Assignment of Contract Administration

1842.202 Assignment of contract administration.
1842.202–70 Delegations to contract administration offices.
1842.202–71 Delegations to audit offices.
1842.202–72 Delegations to security offices.
1842.203 Retention of contract administration.
1842.270 Contracting officer's technical representative (COTR) delegations.

Subpart 1842.3—Contract Administration Office Functions

1842.302 Contract administration functions.
1842.302–70 Modified functions.

Subpart 1842.7—Indirect Cost Rates

1842.705 Final indirect cost rates.
1842.705–70 NASA Policy.

Subpart 1842.8—Disallowance of Costs

1842.803 Disallowing costs after incurrence.

Subpart 1842.10—Negotiating Advance Agreements for Independent Research and Development/Bid and Proposal Costs

1842.1004 Location of negotiators in a central office.
1842.1008 Administrative appeals.

Subpart 1842.12—Novation and Change-of-Name Agreements

1842.1200 Scope of subpart.
1842.1202 Responsibility for executing agreements.
1842.1203 Processing agreements.
1842.1203–70 DOD Processing of novation and change-of-name agreements on behalf of NASA.

Subpart 1842.14—Traffic and Transportation Management

1842.1405 Discrepancies incident to shipment of supplies.

Subpart 1842.70—NASA Contract Clauses

1842.7001 Technical Direction.
1842.7002 Travel outside of the United States.
1842.7003 Observance of legal holidays.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 2473(c)(1).

Subpart 1842.1—Interagency Contract Administration and Audit Services

1842.101 Policy.

It is NASA policy to optimize the use of contract administration, audit, and related support functions of the Department of Defense (DOD) and other Government agencies. NASA agreements with Government agencies regarding the delegation and performance of contract administration and related field support functions are the responsibility of the Defense and Intergovernmental Relations Division (Code XD). However, questions may be directed to the Procurement Policy Division (Code HP). NASA retains technical direction of all its contracts regardless of the agency responsible for contract administration.

1842.102 Procedures.

DOD contract administration and audit offices will accomplish the delegated functions in accordance with DOD regulations and procedures, except that the following take precedence over DOD regulations and procedures:

(a) NASA letters of delegation, including any special instructions attached to them.

(b) Applicable requirements of NASA Quality Publication NHB 5300.4(2B), Quality Assurance Provisions for Government Agencies.

(c) Any written agency agreements between NASA and DOD.

1842.102–70 Management of subcontracts.

Because prime contractors are responsible for managing subcontracts, contract administration functions shall be performed by the Government for subcontracts only if necessary to meet specific NASA requirements. Delegations for contract administration at subcontractors' plants normally shall be made by or through the contract administration offices responsible for the prime contractors. When a direct delegation is made for performance of contract administration functions at a subcontractor's plant (such as for quality assurance), the contracting officer shall:

(1) Coordinate in advance with the contract administration office responsible for the prime contractor and

(2) Provide copies of the delegation to that office.

1842.170 Assignment of NASA personnel at contractor plants.

(a) NASA personnel normally shall not be assigned at or near a contractor's facility to perform any contract administration functions listed in FAR 42.302(a). Before such an assignment is made, a written request shall be forwarded to the cognizant program director for approval and the concurrence of the Assistant Administrator for Procurement. The following supporting information shall be forwarded with the request to make the assignment:

(1) A statement of the special circumstances that necessitate the assignment.

(2) The contract administration services to be performed.

(3) A summary of any discussions held with the cognizant contract administration organization.

(4) A staffing plan covering three years or such shorter period as may be appropriate. The provisions of this paragraph (a) do not apply to NASA audit personnel assigned to the field installations, to NASA technical personnel covered by 1842.101 and paragraph (b) of this section, unless they are performing any contract administration functions listed in FAR 42.302(a), or to personnel assigned to contractors' plants on NASA or other Federal installations.

(b) NASA may assign technical personnel (such as quality assurance, reliability, or engineering representatives) to contractors' plants or laboratories to provide direct liaison with NASA and technical assistance and guidance to the contractor and DOD. The duties and responsibilities of these technical representatives shall be clearly defined and shall not conflict with, duplicate, or overlap with functions delegated to DOD personnel. NASA shall advise appropriate DOD and contractor organizations of the duties and responsibilities of NASA technical personnel. When NASA technical personnel are performing any of the contract administration functions listed in FAR 42.302(a), paragraph (c) of this section applies.

(c) When a NASA resident office and a DOD contract administration office are performing contract administration functions for NASA contracts at the same contractor's facility, the two offices shall execute a written agreement clearly establishing the relationship between the two organizations and the contractor. The agreement should eliminate duplication in the performance of contract administration functions and minimize procedural misunderstandings between the two organizations. Such agreements shall be consistent with existing delegations to the contract administration offices concerned and shall specify the relationship of NASA nonprocurement resident personnel to their DOD and contractor counterparts if such personnel are, or intend to be, involved in any aspect of contract administration.

1842.171 Contractor performance at a NASA installation.

When a NASA contract requires contractor performance at another NASA installation or NASA-controlled launch site, a delegation shall be made to the contracting office of the NASA installation at which performance will occur. Delegations normally will include the functions listed in FAR 42.302(a), less any functions retained under 1842.202. In addition, delegations shall include the contracting officer security functions (when required in the performance of the contract at the installation or site) and the administration of any NASA support provided to the contractor.

1842.172 Contract administration by DOD personnel at a NASA installation.

If DOD personnel will perform contract administration functions at a NASA installation for more than 30 workdays (either continuous or intermittent), the NASA contracting officer shall obtain prior approval from the head of the installation where the DOD personnel will be located. The concurrence of the Assistant Administrator for Procurement shall also be obtained, through Headquarters Code HP. Such approvals will normally be governed by the following conditions:

(a) The delegation of any functional area (e.g., production, property administration, or quality assurance)

shall include all administrative responsibilities for that functional area listed in FAR 42.302(a) that the DOD contract administration office is capable of performing.

(b) The contract administration office shall direct the delegated functions.

1842.173 Reimbursement for contract administration services.

The basis for reimbursement to DOD for contract administration and related support services is the NASA-DOD Agreement for Contract Administration and Contract Audit Services. Budgeting, funding, and payment for these services shall be accomplished in accordance with NMI 7410.1, Budgeting, Funding and Payment for Contract Administration and Related Field Services Performed by Others. The NASA installation issuing the delegation has responsibility for budgeting, funding, and payment of bills of lading for transportation performed as a result of a traffic management delegation.

1842.174 Reporting requirements.

(a) NASA installations shall assess their delegations to DOD semiannually to determine changes in delegation patterns that could:

(1) Result in significant increases or decreases in future DOD manpower requirements or

(2) Have other important impacts on DOD contract administration activities.

Events such as major program cutbacks or expansions, changes in locations of major programs, and sizeable new procurements should be considered in the assessment.

(b) When an event will affect DOD's administration of contracts for NASA significantly, a summary of the assessment shall be submitted to the Assistant Administrator for Procurement (Code HP). The summary shall include at least—

(1) A description of the change in work requirements or delegation pattern;

(2) The estimated duration of the impact; and

(3) A prediction of the impact on DOD in terms of changes in manpower requirements or other costs.

(c) NASA installations shall discuss with appropriate DOD contract administration offices the predicted impact on DOD. The results of these discussions, such as DOD agreement or disagreement with the predicted impact, shall be included in the report.

1842.175 Functional management responsibility.

NASA contracting officers retain functional management responsibility for their contracts. Utilization of the contract administration services of another Government agency or NASA installation does not relieve the NASA contracting officer of responsibility for proper and effective management of the contract. Therefore, the NASA contracting officer must ensure that the contract administration office understands the respective duties and responsibilities of each office in connection with each NASA contract. NASA contracting officers shall keep themselves fully informed on contractor performance and progress by establishing and maintaining effective communications with contract administration offices.

Subpart 1842.2—Assignment of Contract Administration

1842.202 Assignment of contract administration.

(a) *Withholding normal functions*—(1) *Mandatory (must be retained).* The functions listed below are the responsibility of the NASA contracting officer and may not be delegated to DOD or to another Government agency:

(i) Execution of supplemental agreements involving new procurements, spare parts, or terminations (FAR 42.302(a) (22) and (23)). However, see subdivisions (a)(2) (i) and (ii) of this section regarding negotiation.

(ii) Issuance of decisions under the Disputes clause (FAR 42.302(a)(10)).

(iii) Countersigning NASA Form 456, Notice of Contract Costs Suspended and/or Disapproved (FAR 42.302(a)(8)).

(iv) Approval of the completion voucher (FAR 42.302(a)(7)).

(v) Contract payment (FAR 42.302(a)(13)).

(2) *Optional (may be retained).* The functions listed below may be delegated to DOD or to another Government agency:

(i) Negotiation of settlement agreements involving partial or complete contract terminations for convenience upon request of the NASA contracting officer on NASA Form 1432, Letter of Contract Administration Delegation—Termination, and forwarding for the signature of the NASA contracting officer (FAR 42.302(a)(23)).

(ii) Negotiation of supplemental agreements for spare parts and other items selected through provisioning procedures and forwarding for the signature of the NASA contracting officer (FAR 42.302(a)(22)).

(iii) Issuance of work requests under maintenance, overhaul, and modification contracts that do not constitute an obligation of funds not available under the contract (FAR 42.302(a)(21)).

(iv) Consent to placement of subcontracts (FAR 42.302(a)(51)).

(v) Performance of transportation and packaging functions (FAR 42.302(a) (35), (36), and (37)).

(vi) Performance of reliability and quality assurance functions, including reliability and quality assurance engineering functions (FAR 42.302(a) (38), (40), and (41)).

(vii) Performance of systems support engineering functions (as differentiated from production and reliability, and quality assurance engineering) (FAR 42.302(a)(41)).

(b) *Withholding additional functions.* When the performance of a contract administration function other than one authorized for retention under this section 1842.202 is necessary and the function is retained for administration or modified by the NASA contracting officer, the NASA contracting officer shall:

(1) Justify, in writing, the retention or modification of that function,

(2) Obtain written approval of the justification from the procurement officer or a designee, and

(3) Include the approved justification in the contract file.

The NASA contracting officer shall then advise the contract administration office of the retention or modification of the function by means of written special instructions on NASA Form 1430A, Letter of Contract Administration Delegation, Special Instructions, accompanying the letter of delegation. For any function listed in FAR 42.302(a) that is not necessary to the administration of the contract, no notice of its retention need be issued. This paragraph (b) does not apply to contracts and situations described in 1842.203(a), 1842.171, and 1842.172.

(c) *Withholding other than normal functions.* (1) Mandatory (must be retained). The functions listed below are the responsibility of the NASA contracting officer and may not be delegated to DOD or to another Government agency:

(i) Execution of change orders.

(ii) Termination for default or for convenience of the Government.

(2) *Optional (may be retained).* The functions listed below may be delegated to DOD or to another Government agency:

(i) Negotiation of supplemental agreements resulting from change orders and forwarding for the signature of the NASA contracting officer. Before completion of negotiations, any delivery

schedule change must be approved by the NASA contracting officer (FAR 42.302(b) (1)and (3)).

(ii) Negotiation of prices and execution of priced exhibits for unpriced orders issued by the NASA contracting officer under basic ordering agreements (FAR 42.302(b)(2)).

1842.202-70 Delegations to contract administration offices.

(a) *General.* The following procedures apply to delegations to contract administration offices (for delegations to audit and security offices, see 1842.202-71 and 1842.202-72, respectively):

(1) NASA contracting officers shall review contract performance requirements as early as possible in the acquisition cycle to determine the nature and extent of expected contract administration functions. This review shall be coordinated with appropriate installation activities, including program managers, to ensure that all essential requirements are incorporated in the delegation. A similar review shall be made before amending letters of delegation under subparagraph (a)(6) of this section.

(2) A planning conference shall be held with representatives of the contract administration office when—

(i) A contract is expected to exceed $5,000,000;

(ii) Contract performance is required at or near another NASA installation or NASA-controlled launch site;

(iii) The delegation will impose an abnormal demand on the resources of the contract administration office receiving the delegation;

(iv) Complex contract management problems are expected; or

(v) The NASA contracting officer considers it advisable.

(3) Contracting offices shall prepare, within 15 days after contract award, NASA Form 1430, Letter of Contract Administration Delegation, General, for the delegation, redelegating, and amending of contract administration functions. NASA Form 1430A, Letter of Contract Administration Delegation, Special Instructions, shall be used to supplement NASA Form 1430 to—

(i) Delegate any optional functions listed in 1842.202 (a)(2) or (c)(2);

(ii) Modify previously delegated functions;

(iii) Retain the administration of functions other than those listed in FAR 42.302(a); or

(iv) Provide additional or peculiar information concerning the contract that may assist the contract administration office to perform the delegated contract administration responsibilities more effectively (but see subparagraph (a)(4) of this section).

(4) Letters of delegation and special instructions shall not include any clauses or other requirements that are or should be contained in the contract and shall not—

(i) Cite administrative procedures or repeat the functions covered in FAR 42.302(a) or other information covered in DOD issuances;

(ii) Place restrictions on administrative contracting officers; or

(iii) Include references to NASA issuances, unless specific written NASA/DOD agreements exist that include references to these issuances, or unless the NASA issuances are a requirement of the contract. When it is appropriate to cite DOD issuances in a letter of delegation, the NASA contracting officer shall ensure that they are applicable to the contract administration office concerned (e.g., that Air Force issuances are not cited in delegations to Navy or DCAS contract administration offices).

(5) Delegations and amendments to them should be accompanied by documentation and supporting information that will ensure a complete understanding of the contract administration services to be performed. Contract administration offices shall be kept fully informed of any actions that may affect the performance of the delegated functions. Copies of all significant documents should be furnished to the contract administration office throughout the period of performance. Significant documents include, but are not limited to—

(i) All contractual documents such as the contract and any specifications and drawings, change orders, supplemental agreements or contractor proposals referenced in the contract;

(ii) Negotiation memoranda covering negotiations of contracts or contract changes in excess of $100,000;

(iii) Copies of any delegation and amendments it sent to other contract administration offices that have a bearing on the contract, including those issued pursuant to 1842.102-70; and

(iv) Any other correspondence affecting contract performance under the contract.

(6)(i) The contracting officer shall issue amendments to letters of delegation when amendments to the contract are of a nature that will change the scope of functions previously delegated, when additional functions are required to be delegated, or when previously delegated functions are to be terminated in whole or part. However, when a contract administration office has retired the original letter of delegation with the records of a physically completed but administratively open contract, a new letter of delegation shall be issued to support any additional reimbursable services required under the delegation.

(ii) In order to minimize the need for a duplicate delegation at a later date on a contract that is nearing closeout, the NASA contracting officer shall advise the contract administration office to hold the contract in open status when conditions exist or are expected that would justify extension of the contract period of performance. Appropriate NASA forms listed in Part 1853 shall be used in the amendment process. The forms shall be clearly annotated as amendments to the original delegation.

(7) NASA Form 1431, Letter of Acceptance of Contract Administration Delegation, shall be forwarded with each NASA Form 1430 or amendment. The NASA contracting officer shall use the returned form as evidence that the delegation has been received and accepted by the contract administration office and as a reference for points of contact for each of the functional areas delegated.

(8) Delegations shall be sent to DOD contract administration offices in accordance with the instructions in the DOD Directory of Contract Administration Services Components (DOD 4105.59H).

(9) The contracting officer shall distribute copies of the contract and letters of delegation for contract administration (including amendments as follows):

(i) To DCAS and all other Government contract administration offices except DOD military contract administration offices, when two or more functional areas are delegated: Five copies of the contract and NASA Form 1430 and three NASA Forms 1431.

(ii) To DOD military component offices when two or more functional areas are delegated: Three copies of the contract and three NASA Forms 1430 and 1431.

(iii) To any contract administration office when a single functional area is delegated: Two copies of the contract and two NASA Forms 1430 and 1431.

(iv) To the contractor: One NASA Form 1430.

(b) *Reliability and quality assurance.* When special instructions for reliability or quality assurance are necessary, they shall be (1) clearly identified by the NASA installation personnel responsible for the reliability and quality assurance function and (2) furnished to the contracting officer on NASA Form 1430A for inclusion in the

letter of delegation. The procedures for arranging, preparing, and finalizing such delegations and the requirements for NASA direction and management of these functions are contained in installation procedures. The latest edition of NHB 5300.4(2B), Quality Assurance Provisions for Delegated Government Agencies, describes the requirements for performing quality assurance functions under NASA contracts.

(c) *Transportation and packaging.* Transportation and packaging functions may be delegated at the option of the NASA installation personnel responsible for the transportation and packaging function. When such delegations are contemplated on a particular contract, special instructions shall be furnished to the NASA contracting officer on NASA Form 1430A. The form shall also:

(1) Specify the limit of the value of Government bills of lading that may be issued without further consent of the NASA contracting officer and

(2) Provide appropriate funding information.

(d) *Property administration and plant clearance.* Property administration and plant clearance are ordinarily delegated by NASA to DOD pursuant to FAR 42.302. When these functions are delegated, special instructions prepared and distributed by the Headquarters Supply and Equipment Management Branch, Code NIE, should be issued to the contract administration office. Property administration and plant clearance are typically retained, however, and performed by NASA when the contract work is to be performed at a NASA installation. When retained, the functions should be performed in accordance with Subpart 1845.72.

(e) *Services not requiring letters of delegation.* (1) When it is desired that a contract administration or audit office perform services that precede the award of a contract, such as cost, price, or technical evaluations (but exclusive of pre-award surveys), NASA Form 1434, Letter of Request for Pricing-Audit-Technical Evaluation Services, shall be used. Pre-award surveys shall be requested on SF 1403, Pre-award Survey of Prospective Contractor (General). Oral requests made to contract administration offices to expedite these services are authorized, but shall be confirmed in writing immediately through the use of NASA Form 1434 or SF 1403, as appropriate.

(2) Pre-award and post-award services, such as verification of labor and overhead rates, and furnishing of similar information readily available within contract administration and audit offices and not normally subject to reimbursement by NASA, may be obtained either orally without written confirmation or through the use of NASA Form 1434, as appropriate.

1842.202-71 Delegations to audit offices.

The following procedures apply when delegations are made to audit offices:

(a) NASA installations shall utilize the services of other Government audit organizations for performance of contract cost audit and other audit functions, except when audits will be performed by NASA auditors. The Defense Contract Audit Agency (DCAA) has been designated as the DOD agency responsible for the performance of audit functions for NASA contracts, except those awarded to educational institutions for which other agencies have audit cognizance under OMB Circular No. 88, those with Canadian contractors (see paragraph (d) of this section), and those for which NASA will perform audits. To ensure that audit services are performed expeditiously, audit delegations shall be sent to the appropriate audit office immediately after execution of all cost-reimbursement, labor-hour, and time-and-materials contracts and all fixed-price contracts containing cost-reimbursement or price adjustment clauses. Audit functions include but are not limited to contract cost and price audits, estimating systems surveys, reviews of accounting systems (see also 1815.871(b)), and approval of vouchers for provisional payment.

(b)(1) Delegations shall be sent to cognizant audit offices as listed in the Defense Contract Audit Agency Directory (Headquarters and Field Offices) or in other Government agency directories.

(2) Audit responsibilities for the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) do not include reviewing and processing vouchers. Consequently, where audit responsibility has been delegated to HHS under OMB Circular No. A-88, contracts shall not designate HHS as the billing office for invoice submission. Instead, contracts should direct invoices to the office administering the contract or as otherwise arranged (see SF 26, Block 10, and SF 33, Block 23).

(c)(1) NASA Form 1433, Letter of Audit Delegation, shall be used to delegate the audit function and to amend previous delegations. Distribute copies of the contract and NASA Form 1433 as follows:

(i) Audit office: One copy of the contract and three NASA Forms 1433.

(ii) Contractor: One NASA Form 1433.

(iii) Cognizant NASA fiscal or financial management office: One NASA Form 1433.

(2) When HHS is designated as the audit office, item 12 on NASA Form 1433 shall be marked "Not applicable."

(d)(1) For contracts with the Canadian Commercial Corporation (CCC), audits are automatically arranged by the Department of Defense Production (Canada) (DDP) in accordance with agreements between NASA and DDP. Audit reports are furnished to DDP. Upon advice from DDP, CCC will certify the invoice and forward it with Standard Form 1034, Public Voucher, to the contracting officer for further processing and transmittal to the fiscal or financial management officer.

(2) For contracts placed directly with Canadian firms, audits are requested by the contracting officer from the Audit Services Branch, Comptroller of the Treasury, Department of Finance, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada. Invoices are approved by the auditor on a provisional basis pending completion of the contract and final audit. These invoices, accompanied by SF 1034, are forwarded to the contracting officer for further processing and transmittal to the fiscal or financial management officer. Periodic advisory audit reports are furnished directly to the contracting officer.

(3) Audits performed by the Audit Services Branch are normally conducted under DDP regulations.

1842.202-72 Delegations to security offices.

NASA's policies and procedures on security are set forth in NMI 1650.1, Industrial Security Policies and Procedures. Contracting officers shall delegate responsibility for administering the Industrial Security Program (which has been designated as an administrative contracting officer (ACO) responsibility in Appendix C, Industrial Security Regulation, DOD 5220.22R, see also FAR 42.302(a)(20)) to DOD unless the contractor will perform the classified contract on a NASA installation or the classified work has been "carved-out." (A "carve-out" is defined in Section 1, paragraph 3, item f.1., of the DOD Industrial Security Manual for Safeguarding Classified Information, September 1987, DOD 5220.22M, as a classified contract issued in connection with an approved Special Access Program in which the Defense Investigative Service has been relieved of inspection responsibility in whole or

in part.) The basis for DOD's performance of administrative contracting officer responsibility for the Industrial Security Program on NASA contracts is a NASA-DOD Agreement. The contracting officer shall specifically identify security functions delegated to DOD or to another NASA installation (see 1842.171) on NASA Form 1430A.

1842.203 Retention of contract administration.

The assignment of contract administration is optional for the contracts and situations listed below:

(a) Research and development study contracts not involving deliverable hardware or Government property in the hands of contractors.

(b) All contracts with delivery schedules of 90 days or less.

(c) Purchase orders having no Government source inspection requirements.

(d) Work performed at the installation awarding the contract.

(e) Contract work performed in the vicinity of the installation awarding the contract for which DOD contract administration services are not reasonably available.

1842.270 Contracting officer's technical representative (COTR) delegations.

(a) A contracting officer may designate an appropriate Government employee to act as the contracting officer's authorized representative in administering a particular contract. If necessary, the contracting officer may designate an alternate COTR to act during short absences of the COTR; the policies and procedures for COTRs shall apply also to alternate COTRs. Technical organizations are responsible for ensuring that the individual they recommend to the contracting officer possesses qualifications and experience commensurate with the authorities to be delegated and the nature of the contract. The contracting officer shall ensure that the duties and responsibilities delegated do not exceed the limitations at 1801.670(b).

(b) COTRs shall be designated by name and position title (see the 1801.670(b) prohibition against delegating COTR duties solely to a position rather than to a named individual). Each COTR designation shall be in writing and shall clearly define the scope and limitations of the COTR's authority. NASA Form 1634, Contracting Officer Technical Representative (COTR) Delegation, shall be used to designate COTRs. The COTR delegation shall be signed by an appropriate contracting officer (see 1801.670(b)) and shall state that the duties delegated are not redelegable and that the COTR may be personally liable for unauthorized direction. (However, this does not prohibit the COTR from having assistants for the purpose of monitoring contractor progress and gathering information.) When an individual is to act for a contracting officer on more than one contract, separate delegations shall be issued for each contract. A separate NASA Form 1634 may be modified as necessary to designate an alternate COTR; alternates may act only during official absences of the COTR such as leave, TDY, or other special assignments. The delegated duties of the alternate may not exceed those of the COTR.

(c) A COTR delegation remains in effect throughout the life of the contract unless cancelled in writing by an appropriate contracting officer. The contracting officer may modify the scope and limitations of a COTR assignment only by cancelling the delegation and issuing a new delegation.

(d) A COTR may not be authorized to initiate procurement actions by use of purchase orders or to place calls or delivery orders under indefinite-delivery contracts or basic ordering agreements. A COTR may not be authorized to award, agree to, or sign any contract or modification or in any way obligate the payment of money by the Government. The COTR is not authorized to issue technical direction unless the clause at 1852.242-70, Technical Direction, prescribed in 1842.7001, is included in the contract and the authorization is specifically listed in paragraph 3(m) of the COTR delegation letter (NASA Form 1634). However, delegations may be made to construction contract COTRs to sign emergency change orders with an estimated value not to exceed $2,500, onsite at construction sites, if sufficient funds have been certified to cover the emergency change.

(e) The contracting officer shall send the original and one copy of the COTR delegation letter to the COTR, who shall be required to acknowledge receipt by signing the original and returning it to the contracting officer. The original of the COTR delegation letter shall be filed and retained in the applicable contract file. Copies of the COTR delegation letter shall be distributed to the contractor and to each cognizant contract administration office. Acknowledgement and distribution for terminations of COTR delegations and COTR delegations that revise duties shall follow the same rules.

Subpart 1842.3—Contract Administration Office Functions

1842.302 Contract administration functions.

The cognizant CAO shall perform the additional contract administration functions listed below:

(a) Determine billing rates and final indirect cost rates.

(b) Issue cure and show cause letters after approval of the NASA contracting officer.

(c) Monitor the contractor's system for control of overtime.

(d) Assure that overtime compensation is in accordance with the terms of the contract, or in the absence of contractual coverage, that any excepted overtime charged is reasonable and properly allocable.

(e) Negotiate changes to interim billing prices when authorized by the NASA contracting officer.

1842.302-70 Modified functions.

In connection with the functions listed at FAR 42.302(a)(11)(ii) and (iii), the following exception applies: for those contractors with whom advance agreements of the type discussed in FAR 31.205-18 are negotiated, the Government contracting officer responsible for the agreements shall have full authority for determinations related to CAS 420.

Subpart 1842.7—Indirect Cost Rates

1842.705 Final indirect cost rates.

1842.705-70 NASA Policy.

(a) Since many NASA contractors are under DOD's final overhead rate determination procedure, NASA's policy is to participate jointly with DOD for those companies where NASA has a major financial interest. The NASA participant shall be a representative from that installation having the predominance of NASA work.

(b) When NASA has been assigned the final overhead rate determination authority, settlement of overhead costs shall be conducted by that installation having the predominance of NASA work.

Subpart 1842.8—Disallowance of Costs

1842.803 Disallowing costs after incurrence.

(a) Under the authority of FAR 42.803, NASA has designated the contract auditor as the contracting officer's representative for (1) promptly examining reimbursement vouchers received directly from contractors, (2)

promptly transmitting vouchers approved for provisional payment to the cognizant fiscal or financial management officer, and (3), regarding costs claimed, but not considered allowable, preparing and sending to the cognizant contracting officer NASA Form 456, Notice of Contract Costs Suspended and/or Disapproved. Normally, the NASA Form 456 is initiated by the auditor; however, the contracting officer also may initiate it or direct its initiation. In accordance with any instructions received from the contracting officer, the contract auditor shall promptly examine and approve (but see paragraph (b) of this section) separate fee vouchers and fee portions of vouchers for provisional payment under the contract. After examination, the auditor shall forward completion vouchers to the contracting officer for approval and transmittal to the cognizant fiscal or financial management officer.

(b) When audit functions are delegated, special instructions may be issued to the contract auditor to—

(1) Require submission of separate vouchers for reimbursable costs and for payment of earned fee; and/or

(2) Reserve to the contracting officer approval of separate fee vouchers and all vouchers submitted by contractors performing at a NASA installation.

(c) Unless otherwise notified, the contractor shall be required to submit public vouchers to the auditor as follows:

(1) One original SF 1034, SF 1035, or equivalent contractor's attachment shall be submitted.

(2) Seven copies of SF 1034A, SF 1035A, or equivalent contractor's attachment shall be submitted.

(3) The contractor shall mark SF 1034A copies 1, 2, 3, 4, and such other copies as may be directed by the contracting officer by inserting in the memorandum block names and addresses as follows:

(i) Copy 1, NASA contracting officer.

(ii) Copy 2, Defense Contract Audit Agency auditor.

(iii) Copy 3, Contractor.

(iv) Copy 4, Contract administration office.

(v) Copy 5, Project management office (when required by the NASA contracting officer).

(4) The auditor shall retain an unpaid copy of the voucher.

(5) When a voucher contains one or more individual direct freight charges of $100 or more, an additional copy of SF 1034A and SF 1035A shall be submitted and marked for return to the contractor after payment. This copy shall be transmitted quarterly by the contractor with the freight bills to the General Services Administration. When a voucher is identified as the "Completion Voucher," an additional copy shall be submitted for transmittal to the NASA contracting officer.

(d) Following a prompt and careful review of the facts and circumstances leading the auditor to initiate the NASA Form 456, and after coordination with other NASA and DOD contracting officers administering contracts with the same contractor under which a NASA Form 456, or a DCAA Form 1 in the case of a DOD contract, has been issued for the same items of cost, the contracting officer shall take one of the following actions:

(1) Countersign the NASA Form 456 disapproving the costs.

(2) Countersign the NASA Form 456 suspending the costs.

(3) Issue a new NASA Form 456 suspending the costs rather than disapproving them pending resolution of the issues.

(4) Have the contractor issue a new voucher removing the costs in question from its claim and return the NASA Form 456 to the auditor unsigned.

(5) Return the unsigned NASA Form 456 to the auditor with a detailed explanation of why the suspension or disapproval is not being countersigned, and process the contractor's claim for payment.

(e) The contracting officer, when in agreement with the NASA Form 456 initiated by the auditor, shall assign a notice number and shall countersign the form. An original and three copies (which includes two acknowledgement copies, one each for return to the contracting officer and the auditor) of the form shall be sent to the contractor by certified mail, return receipt requested; one copy shall be attached to the SF 1034 and each copy of the SF 1034A (see paragraph (c) of this section) on which the deduction is made, and one copy shall be sent to the auditor.

(f) The total amount suspended or disapproved, as shown on the NASA Form 456, shall be inserted in the Differences block of the SF 1034 and SF 1034A, citing the applicable NASA Form 456.

(g)(1) If the amount of the deduction is more than the amount of the public voucher, the installment method of deduction shall be applied to this and subsequent public vouchers until the amount is fully liquidated. The deductions on any voucher may not exceed the voucher amount, to avoid processing of a voucher in a credit amount. Public voucher(s) with zero amounts must be forwarded to the fiscal or financial management office for appropriate action.

(2) If deductions are in excess of contractor claims, recovery may be made through a direct refund from the contractor, in the form of a check payable to NASA, or by a set-off deduction from the voucher(s) submitted by the contractor under any other contract, unless those contracts contain a "no set-off" provision. If a set-off is effected, the voucher(s) from which the deduction is made should be annotated to identify the contract and appropriation affected and the applicable NASA Form 456.

(h) When necessary, the contracting officer should consult with the auditor or the financial management officer concerning preparation, examination, and payment of vouchers. Functions to be performed by auditors and financial management and fiscal office personnel during the examination of vouchers are in FMM 9630.

Subpart 1842.10—Negotiating Advance Agreements for Independent Research and Development/Bid and Proposal Costs

1842.1004 Location of negotiators in a central office.

Within NASA, the central office responsible for advance agreements is the Contract Pricing and Finance Office (Code HC), Office of Procurement, NASA Headquarters.

1842.1008 Administrative appeals.

The NASA administrative appeals hearing group consists of the Assistant Administrator for Procurement, who shall be chairman, the Deputy Associate Administrator for Aeronautics and Space Technology, and the Deputy General Counsel.

Subpart 1842.12—Novation and Change-of-Name Agreements

1842.1200 Scope of subpart.

This subpart implements and supplements FAR Subpart 42.12 and prescribes policies and procedures for processing novation or change-of-name agreements initiated by either a NASA installation or by DOD on behalf of NASA.

1842.1202 Responsibility for executing agreements.

When none of the affected contracts has been assigned to an administrative contracting officer (see FAR 42.1202(a)(2)), the contracting officer shall require the contractor to provide the documentation enumerated in FAR 42.1204(c)(or, as appropriate, FAR

42.1205(a)) to the NASA installation with which it has the largest amount of unliquidated obligations. This installation shall be the "designated installation" for processing and executing novation agreements and change-of-name agreements with the contractor.

1842.1203 Processing agreements.

(a) The installation shall immediately notify the Assistant Administrator for Procurement (Code HM) of the request to execute a novation (successor-in-interest) or change-of-name agreement. The notification shall include:

(1) The names of the firms involved,

(2) The name of the installation that will execute the agreement, and

(3) The type of agreement.

(b) When the Standard Form 30 required by FAR 42.1203(f)(1) is prepared, a supplemental agreement number need not be obtained for contracts other than the one under which the supplemental agreement is written. For distribution purposes, the Standard Form 30 shall also include the names and addresses of the installations with contracts subject to the agreement.

(c) After execution of the supplemental agreement, the designated installation shall—

(1) Forward one authenticated copy to Code HM; and

(2) Advise each of the affected installations by letter of the consummation of the supplemental agreement, requesting that an administrative change be issued for each affected contract and enclosing a copy of the supplemental agreement.

(d) For each affected contract, the contracting officer shall prepare an administrative change acknowledging the successor-in-interest or the change in name. The administrative change shall receive the same distribution as the affected contract. It shall indicate the nature of the transaction and the result attained and shall cite the number of the contract with which the original relevant documents and supplemental agreement are filed.

1842.1203-70 DOD processing of novation and change-of-name agreements on behalf of NASA.

(a) Appendix E of the NASA/DOD Agreement for Contract Administration and Contract Audit Services covers the accomplishment of novation and change-of-name agreements by DOD on behalf of NASA.

(b) Code HS shall notify installations when DOD is processing a proposed novation agreement on behalf of NASA. Within 20 days after receiving it, the installation shall submit comments to Code HS for transmittal to DOD. With the concurrence of Code HS, an installation may execute a separate agreement with the contractor. In that event, Code HS shall notify DOD accordingly, and the installation shall process a separate agreement in accordance with FAR 42.1203.

(c) Code HM shall maintain copies of agreements executed by DOD on behalf of NASA.

Subpart 1842.14—Traffic and Transportation Management

1842.1405 Discrepancies incident to shipment of supplies.

In addition to following the applicable regulations and procedures referenced at FAR 42.1405(a), NASA personnel shall report discrepancies and adjust claims for loss of and damage to Government property in transit as prescribed in NHB 6200.1, NASA Transportation and General Traffic Management.

Subpart 1842.70—NASA Contract Clauses

1842.7001 Technical direction.

The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 1852.242-70, Technical Direction, in cost-reimbursement solicitations and contracts if (1) technical direction as defined in the clause (which includes the Government's approving approaches and solutions of the contractor and shifting emphasis among work areas or tasks) is appropriate to accomplish the contract requirements effectively, (2) the statement of work is conducive to technical direction by the Government, and (3) technical direction is to be in writing. Identify this duty in subparagraph 3(m), "Other duties as follows" of NASA Form 1634 (see 1842.270). This clause addresses COTR responsibilities that are in addition to those discussed in subparagraphs 3(a)-(1) of the COTR delegation and is not intended to be followed in fulfilling those other responsibilities. The clause is not authorized for use with institutions of higher education and other non-profit organizations.

1842.7002 Travel outside of the United States.

(a) The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 1852.242-71, Travel Outside of the United States, in cost-reimbursement solicitations and contracts where a contractor may travel outside of the United States and it is appropriate to require Government approval of the travel.

(b) Add Alternate I to the clause at 1852.242-71 if the contractor is an institution of higher education or other nonprofit organization.

1842.7003 Observance of legal holidays.

(a) The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 1852.242-72, Observance of Legal Holidays, in contracts when notification to the contractor of Government holidays would be useful in administering the contract.

(b) The clause shall be used with its Alternate I in cost-reimbursement contracts when work will be performed at a NASA installation and it is desired that contractor employees observe the same holidays as Government employees. This alternate may be appropriately modified for fixed-price contracts.

PART 1843—CONTRACT MODIFICATIONS

Subpart 1843.2—Change Orders

Sec.

1843.205 Contract clauses.
1843.205-70 NASA contract clause.
1843.270 Originating engineering change proposals.

Subpart 1843.3—Forms

1843.301 Use of forms.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 2473(c)(1).

Subpart 1843.2—Change Orders

1843.205 Contract clauses.

As permitted by the clause preface, when the clause at FAR 52.243-1, 52.243-2, or 52.243-3 (Changes—Fixed-Price, Changes—Cost-Reimbursement, or Changes—Time-and-Materials or Labor-Hours) is used, the 30-day period within which the contractor must assert its right to an adjustment may be varied not to exceed 60 days.

1843.205-70 NASA contract clause.

(a) The contracting officer may use the clause at 1852.243-70, Engineering Change Proposals, in contracts to require the contractor to submit engineering change impact evaluation information, including the maximum equitable adjustment resulting from the change. The term "price" in paragraph (b) of the clause may be changed to suit the specific type of contract. A local format may be substituted for the second reference in clause paragraph (a) to DOD-STD-480A. The basic clause is suitable for fixed-price contracts.

(b) If it is desirable to preclude a large number of small-dollar, contractor-initiated engineering changes and to reduce the administrative cost of reviewing them, the contracting officer shall use the clause with its Alternate I.

(c) If the contract is a cost-reimbursement contract, the contracting officer shall use the clause with its Alternate II.

1843.270 Originating engineering change proposals.

Either party to the contract may originate engineering changes. The originator must provide detailed information supporting and documenting the proposed change so that the Government can evaluate the technical, cost, and schedule effects of implementing the change and can price the change in advance, when possible.

Subpart 1843.3—Forms

1843.301 Use of forms.

(a) FAR 43.301(a)(1) requires the use of Standard Form 30, Amendment of Solicitation/Modification of Contract, for administrative changes such as changes in accounting and appropriation data. Contract modifications should include only fund citations (i.e., accounting and appropriations data) applicable to the particular modification. The cumulative inception-to-date listing of funding citations for previous modifications is discouraged unless there is a contractual need requiring such a listing. Modifications should include, as a minimum, the prior total funding, the change taking place, and a new total value.

(b) When an internal administrative change of funding citations relative to a contract is required, the official determining the need for the change shall request it, obtain applicable installation approvals, and then forward documentation to the financial management officer and the contracting officer to facilitate it. An administrative modification of the contract is not required unless it affects the billing or reporting requirements placed upon the contractor.

(c) These procedures do not reduce the contracting officer's responsibility for ensuring that obligations are made only on the basis of appropriated funds.

PART 1844—SUBCONTRACTING POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

Subpart 1844.1—General

Sec.

1844.102 Policy.
1844.102–70 Special contracting officer consent requirements for critical subcontracts.
1844.102–71 Headquarters review of critical subcontracts.
1844.102–72 New sources of scientific and technical competence.
1844.170 NASA contract clause.

Subpart 1844.3—Contractors' Purchasing Systems Reviews

1844.302 Requirements.
1844.302–70 Contractors' purchasing systems reviews conducted by the Department of Defense.
1844.302–71 NASA-conducted contractors' purchasing systems reviews.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 2473(c)(1).

Subpart 1844.1—General

1844.102 Policy.

1844.102–70 Special contracting officer consent requirements for critical subcontracts.

If the contracting officer (in conjunction with the technical representative, when appropriate) determines that a proposed subcontract may be critical because of special considerations in the source selection or in the incentive arrangements, or for other reasons, a requirement shall be included in the Schedule of the prime contract (if not already provided for in the standard subcontracts clause) for the contracting officer to be notified of and consent to the critical subcontract before its award by the contractor.

1844.102–71 Headquarters review of critical subcontracts.

(a) If a requirement is established by the Headquarters source selection official or the Assistant Administrator for Procurement for a Headquarters review of a critical subcontract prior to its award, the contracting officer shall—

(1) Require consent as specified in 1844.102–70; and

(2) Before consenting to the subcontract, submit to the Assistant Administrator for Procurement (Code HS) for review—

(i) The subcontract;

(ii) Contractor documentation in support of the subcontract (including that required by the notification provisions of the subcontracts clause); and

(iii) The contracting officer's review and evaluation (in accordance with FAR 44.202), with appropriate recommendations.

(b) Consent shall not be granted by the contracting officer until notification (with limitations and objections, if any) is received from the Assistant Administrator for Procurement.

1844.102–72 New sources of scientific and technical competence.

As a Government agency whose mission involves substantial Federal expenditures and use of national resources, NASA has a strong interest in helping accomplish collateral national economic goals within the framework of applicable statutory and administrative authority in a way that will not impair program effectiveness. Utilization in the space program and the accompanying development of the potential of all geographical regions will effectively contribute to achieving national goals. To advance the further development of competence and capacity of sources, NASA encourages the placing of subcontracts over wider geographic areas. To carry out these objectives, the clause at 1852.244–70, Geographic Participation in the Aerospace Program, shall be used as prescribed at 1844.170.

1844.170 NASA contract clause.

The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 1852.244–70, Geographic Participation in the Aerospace Program, in all research and development solicitations anticipated to exceed $500,000 and in resulting contracts of $500,000 or over to be performed within the United States.

Subpart 1844.3—Contractors' Purchasing Systems Reviews

1844.302 Requirements.

1844.302–70 Contractors' purchasing systems reviews conducted by the Department of Defense.

(a) Under FAR 42.202 and 42.302(a)(50), assignment of contract administration automatically confers authority to conduct a contractor purchasing system review (CPSR) and approve or disapprove a contractor's purchasing system. In accordance with FAR 44.307, when assigning contract administration to a DOD contract administration office, the contracting officer shall include in the letter of delegation of contract administration functions a requirement for the contract administration office to send one copy of each CPSR summary report to the cognizant NASA contracting officer.

(b) NASA installations desiring to provide full-time participants for any DOD CPSR shall forward a request for such participation to the Assistant Administrator for Procurement (Code HM) for approval. Incidental assistance by NASA personnel to DOD personnel who are performing CPSRs for NASA does not require Headquarters approval.

(c) Contracting officers shall advise the cognizant DOD contract administration office of weaknesses in a contractor's purchasing system that have come to light during the review of subcontracts or from other sources.

(d) Contracting officers are responsible for reviewing DOD summary reports (which include present system status, comments, conclusions, recommendations, and significant

changes effected since the review) and notifications to contractors. When there is a determination that is at variance with the summary report or actions taken by DOD, the contracting officer shall forward a request for approval of alternative actions, with justification, to Code HM. Similarly, a contracting officer desiring the withdrawal of a DOD approval of a contractor's purchasing system on the basis of a determination that there has been a deterioration of the system, or to otherwise protect the Government's interests shall forward a request for such withdrawal to Code HM with appropriate justification.

1844.302-71 NASA-conducted contractor's purchasing systems reviews.

If a NASA activity is the cognizant contract administration office, that office may use DAR Supplement No. 1, Contractor Purchasing System Review (CPSR) Program, as incorporated in the DOD FAR Supplement, as a guide.

PART 1845—GOVERNMENT PROPERTY

Subpart 1845.1—General

Sec.

1845.102 Policy.
1845.102-70 Procedures.
1845.104 Review and correction of contractors' property control systems.
1845.104-70 Contract property administration by the Government.
1845.106 Government property clauses.
1845.106-70 NASA contract clauses.
1845.106-71 Plant reconversion and plant clearance.

Subpart 1845.3—Providing Government Property to Contractors

1845.301 Definitions.
1845.302 Providing facilities.
1845.302-1 Policy.
1845.302-2 Facilities contracts.
1845.302-3 Other contracts.
1845.302-70 Securing approval of facilities projects.
1845.302-71 Acquisition of ADPE.
1845.302-72 Long-term facilities use.

Subpart 1845.4—Contractor Use and Rental of Government Property

1845.402 Authorizing use of Government production and research property.
1845.403 Rental—Use and Charges clause.
1845.405 Contracts with foreign governments or international organizations.
1845.406 Use of Government production and research property on independent research and development programs.
1845.407 Non-Government use of plant equipment.

Subpart 1845.5—Management of Government Property in the Possession of Contractors

1845.501 Definitions.
1845.502 Contractor responsibility.
1845.502-1 Receipts for Government property.
1845.502-70 Government-furnished property.
1845.502-71 Contractor-acquired property.
1845.505 Records and reports of Government property.
1845.505-2 Records of pricing information.
1845.505-670 Reporting centrally reportable equipment (CRE).
1845.505-14 Reports of Government property.
1845.508 Physical inventories.

Subpart 1845.6—Reporting, Redistribution, and Disposal of Contractor Inventory

1845.604 Restrictions on purchase or retention of contractor inventory.
1845.606 Inventory schedules.
1845.606-1 Submission.
1845.607 Scrap.
1845.607-70 Contractor's approved scrap procedure.
1845.608 Screening of contractor inventory.
1845.608-1 General.
1845.608-6 Waiver of screening requirements.
1845.610 Sale of surplus contractor inventory.
1845.610-2 Exemptions from sale by GSA.
1845.610-3 Proceeds of sale.
1845.610-4 Contractor inventory in foreign countries.
1845.613 Property disposal determinations.
1845.615 Accounting for contractor inventory.

Subpart 1845.70—NASA Equipment Management System

1845.7001 Policy.
1845.7002 Application.
1845.7003 General.
1845.7004 Interface with NEMS coordinators and technical project office.
1845.7005 Retention of NEMS equipment.

Subpart 1845.71—Forms Preparation

1845.7101 Instructions for preparing NASA Form 1018.
1845.7101-1 Property classification accounts.
1845.7101-2 Transfers of property.
1845.7101-3 Submission of reports.
1845.7101-4 Report coverage.
1845.7101-5 Exclusions.
1845.7101-6 Space hardware reporting requirements.
1845.7101-7 Completed space hardware.
1845.7101-8 Completed spare parts and components for space hardware.
1845.7101-9 Space hardware work in process.
1845.7101-10 Contractor's privileged financial and business information.
1845.7102 Instructions for preparing DD Form 1342.
1845.7103 Instructions for preparing DD Form 1419.

Subpart 1845.72—Contract Property Management

1845.7201 Definitions.
1845.7202 General.
1845.7203 Delegations of property administration and plant clearance.
1845.7204 Retention of property administration and plant clearance.
1845.7205 Functional oversight of property administration and plant clearance.
1845.7206 Responsibilities of property administrators and plant clearance officers.
1845.7206-1 Property administrators.
1845.7206-2 Plant clearance officers.
1845.7207 Initiation of property administration.
1845.7207-1 Control of assignments.
1845.7207-2 Analysis of contract and establishment of contract property control data files.
1845.7208 Initial evaluation and approval of contractor's property control system.
1845.7208-1 General.
1845.7208-2 Review of procedures.
1845.7208-3 Exit interview with the contractor.
1845.7208-4 Record of system evaluation.
1845.7208-5 Notification of deficiencies.
1845.7208-6 Resolution of differences.
1845.7208-7 Letter of approval.
1845.7209 Property administration during contractor performance.
1845.7209-1 Property administration plan.
1845.7209-2 System surveys: surveillance.
1845.7209-3 System surveys: scheduling and planning.
1845.7209-4 Testing the system.
1845.7209-5 Performing the system survey.
1845.7209-6 System survey summary.
1845.7209-7 Correction of unsatisfactory conditions.
1845.7209-8 Survey case file.
1845.7209-9 Additional administrative responsibilities.
1845.7209-10 Declaration of excess property.
1845.7210 Closure of contracts.
1845.7210-1 Completion or termination.
1845.7210-2 Final review and closing of contracts.
1845.7211 Special subjects.
1845.7211-1 Government property at alternate locations of the prime contractor and subcontractor plants.
1845.7211-2 Loss, damage, or destruction of Government property.
1845.7211-3 Loss, damage, or destruction of Government property while in contractor's possession or control.
1845.7211-4 Financial reports.
1845.7212 Contractor utilization of Government property.
1845.7212-1 Utilization surveys.
1845.7212-2 Records of surveys.
1845.7212-3 Scope of survey.
1845.7213 Statistical sampling.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 2473(c)(1).

Subpart 1845.1—General

1845.102 Policy.

(a) One reason for the policy in FAR 45.102 that contractors shall ordinarily furnish all property is that providing Government property (whether Government-furnished or contractor-acquired) increases the Government's administrative burden and requires recordkeeping and personnel. Providing property may dilute the contractor's overall responsibility and weaken

guarantees, end-item delivery requirements, and other contract terms. Furnishing property places NASA between the source of the property and the contractor. When NASA assumes responsibility for scheduling delivery of the property, NASA may be responsible for delays.

(b) Nevertheless, sometimes providing Government property to contractors may be essential to contract performance or otherwise advantageous to NASA. For example, furnishing standardized production property and raw materials may be necessary for uniformity of products. Furnishing Government property can reduce the production cycle by eliminating the lead time necessary for the contractor to acquire or fabricate production property. NASA may be able to broaden its competitive base by offering property to firms unable to acquire their own, or by offering production property or critical material not generally available throughout the industry. NASA may lower contract costs by offering existing Government property or new Government property obtainable at prices lower than those available to the contractor. NASA may also provide Government property to educational or other nonprofit institutions to facilitate scientific research.

1845.102–70 Procedures.

The contracting officer shall, as applicable, include in each solicitation a—

(a) List of any Government property (showing location and condition), including Government-owned tooling, that will be furnished for the performance of the contract and any related special provisions;

(b) Requirement that information be furnished regarding:

(1) Any Government-owned facilities or items of industrial equipment or special tooling requested to be used in performing the contract,

(2) Their acquisition cost,

(3) Identification of the Government contract under which the property is accountable,

(4) Rental provisions, and

(5) Other relevant matters;

(c) Requirement that additional facilities that the offeror requests to be provided by the Government be described and identified by category, such as "Land," "Buildings," and "Equipment" (see Subpart 1845.71); and

(d) Requirement that additional special test equipment that the offeror requests to be provided by the Government be described and its intended use, estimated cost, and proposed location be shown.

1845.104 Review and correction of contractors' property control systems.

(a) When review of the contractor's property control system is not delegated to DOD, the NASA contracting officer or property administrator shall conduct the review as required in Subpart 1845.72.

(b) In each solicitation under which use of Government property is contemplated, the contracting officer shall require the offeror to—

(1) Furnish the date of the last Government review of its property control and accounting system and describe actions taken to correct any deficiencies found;

(2) State that the offeror has reviewed, understands, and can comply with all property management and accounting procedures in the solicitation, FAR Subpart 45.5, and Subparts 1845.5, 1845.70, and 1845.71 of this Regulation; and

(3) State whether the costs associated with subparagraph (b)(2) of this section are included in its cost proposal.

1845.104–70 Contract property administration by the Government.

DOD will conduct contract property administration in accordance with their regulations and procedures and NASA special delegation instructions. When property administration is not delegated to DOD, NASA shall conduct contract property administration in accordance with Subpart 1845.72.

1845.106 Government property clauses.

In addition to the applicable Government property clauses prescribed in FAR 45.106, the contracting officer shall insert the following clause(s) and provision as appropriate.

1845.106–70 NASA contract clauses and solicitation provision.

(a) The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 1852.245–70, Acquisition of Centrally Reportable Equipment, in all solicitations and contracts that include a Government property clause. See 1845.7103 and the clause at 1852.245–70 for instructions on preparing DD Form 1419.

(b)(1) The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 1852.245–71, Installation-Provided Government Property, in solicitations and contracts when Government property is to be provided to on-site contractors, and the Government will retain accountability for the property. The contracting officer shall identify in the Contract Schedule the nature and extent of such property and the installation supply and equipment management officer will make such property available to the contractor on a no-charge-for-use basis. The contracting officer shall also list in the contract the applicable installation property management directives.

(2) Contracting officers may also use the clause if Government property is provided to off-site local support service contractors. In this case, the concurrence of the installation supply and equipment management officer must be obtained and indicated in the procurement request.

(3) To avoid diluting contractor responsibilities when they include separate procurement authority and responsibility, contracting officers may preclude such contractors from utilizing the installation's central receiving facility for receiving contractor-acquired property. To accomplish this, the contracting officer shall use the clause with its Alternate I. The contracting officer shall review the acquisitions reported by the contractor for their appropriateness, and the supply and equipment management officer shall ensure that records are established.

(4) Contracting officers shall clearly identify in a separate schedule any property provided under a Government property clause but not also subject to the clause at 1852.245–71. The contracting officer shall address any specific maintenance considerations (for example, requiring use of a central calibration facility) elsewhere in the contract.

(c) The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 1852.245–72, Liability for Government Property Furnished for Repair and Services, in fixed-price solicitations and contracts (except for experimental, developmental, or research work with educational or nonprofit institutions, where no profit is contemplated) for repair, modification, rehabilitation, or other servicing of Government property, if such property is to be furnished to a contractor for that purpose. If (1) a substantial quantity of parts or material will be furnished, (2) a significant amount of scrap will result from the work to be performed, or (3) other Government property will be furnished to or acquired by the contractor, the contract will also contain the appropriate Government property clause (see FAR 45.106) and the contract Schedule shall provide that such property shall be governed by the terms of that clause. When minor repairs are obtained under small purchase procedures, the procedures of this paragraph (c) shall not apply. Contracting officers shall not require additional insurance under the clause at 1852.245–72 unless the circumstances clearly indicate advantages to the Government.

(d) The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 1852.245–73, Financial Reporting of Government-Owned/Contractor-Held Property, in all contracts unless (1) it is virtually certain that Government property will not be furnished to or acquired by the contractor (e.g., as in most study contracts and certain contracts for services), (2) the only property to be provided is for the purpose of repair or servicing (see 1852.245–72), or (3) all property to be provided is subject to the clause at 1852.245–71, Installation-Provided Government Property (see paragraph (b) above). Reporting shall be on an annual basis except when an on-site contractor performs property acquisition and management for the Government. In these cases, the Government may require more frequent reporting, and the contracting officer shall use the clause with its Alternate I (monthly reporting) or Alternate II (quarterly reporting) as appropriate. The contracting officer shall insert in the clause the address and office code of a single organization within the cognizant NASA installation designated as the focal point for control and distribution of NASA Form 1018.

(e) The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 1852.245–74, Contractor Accountable On-Site Government Property, in solicitations and contracts when accountability rests with an on-site contractor. The contracting officer shall obtain approval to use the clause at 1852.245–74 in lieu of the clause at 1852.245–71 from Director, Supply and Equipment Management Division (Code NIE), NASA Headquarters. The request for approval shall be written and shall include a determination of costs that will be:

(i) Avoided (e.g., additional costs to the installation's property management systems and staffing) and

(ii) Incurred (e.g., reimbursable costs of the contractor to implement, staff, and operate separate property management systems on-site, and resources needed for performance of, or reimbursement for, property administration) under contractor accountability.

(f) The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 1852.245–75, Title to Equipment, in solicitations and contracts where the clause at FAR 52.245–2 (Alternate II) or 52.245–5 (Alternate I) is used. Insert a dollar value not less than $5,000, based on the particular procurement, and identify the property for which vesting of title with the Government is appropriate.

(g) The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 1852.245–76, List of Government-Furnished Property, in solicitations and contracts if the contractor is to be accountable under the contract for Government property. Insert the name of the Government installation, contractor's plant, or other site(s) where the Government property will be used. Insert a description of the item(s), quantity, acquisition cost, and date the property will be furnished to the contractor.

(h) The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 1852.245–77, List of Installation-Provided Property and Services, in solicitations and contracts that authorize contractor use of on-site Government property and services, such as office space, the cafeteria, or first-aid. Insert the attachment number identifying the equipment to be made available to the contractor. Insert the name of the installation service facilities, such as a library, computer facility, or health center, that the contractor will be authorized to use. The property and services may be specified, modified, and updated to meet the needs of the particular procurement.

(i) The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 1852.245–78, Space Hardware Reporting, in solicitations and contracts where space hardware reporting is contemplated. Insert the space hardware to be reported.

(j) The contracting officer shall insert the provision at 1852.245–79, Use of Government-Owned Property, in all solicitations when Government property may be used by the contractor.

(k) The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 1852.245–80, Use of Government Production and Research Property on a No-Charge Basis, in solicitations and contracts when Government production and research property (facilities, special test equipment, or special tooling) accountable under another contract(s) is authorized for use. Insert the contract number(s) under which the Government property is accountable.

1845.106–71 Plant reconversion and plant clearance.

The contracting officer must obtain the prior approval of the Assistant Administrator for Procurement (Code H) for any solicitation provision or contract clause that would defer negotiation of costs for plant reconversion or plant clearance until after award.

Subpart 1845.3—Providing Government Property to Contractors

1845.301 Definitions.

"Provide," as used in this subpart in such phrases as "Government property provided to the contractor" and "Government-provided property," means either to furnish, as in "Government-furnished property," or to permit to be acquired, as in "contractor-acquired property." See FAR 45.101 for definitions of "contractor-acquired property" and "Government-furnished property."

"Space property," (see 1845.501).

1845.302 Providing facilities.

1845.302–1 Policy.

(a) The Directors of NASA field installations have been designated (see NASA Management Instruction (NMI) 5101.24, Delegation of Authority—To Take Actions in Procurement, Grants, Cooperative Agreements, and Related Matters (Various Officials)) to make determinations required by FAR 45.302–1(a)(4) on providing Government facilities.

(b) When any of the conditions listed in FAR 45.302–1(d) is met, the requirements of FAR 45.302–1(a)(4) do not apply.

1845.302–2 Facilities contracts.

Unless termination would be detrimental to the Government's interests, contracting officers shall terminate facilities contracts when the Government production and research property is no longer required for the performance of Government contracts or subcontracts. Contracting officers shall not grant the contractor the unilateral right to extend the time during which it is entitled to use the property provided under the facilities contract.

1845.302–3 Other contracts.

In addition to the conditions listed in FAR 45.302–3, the contracting officer may also provide facilities to a contractor under a contract other than a facilities contract if the contract is for less than 6 months or provides fewer than 6 items of plant equipment and no other facilities.

1845.302–70 Securing approval of facilities projects.

(a) Pursuant to NMI 7330.1, the contracting officer must approve facilities projects involving leasing, construction, expansion, modification, rehabilitation, repair, or replacement of real property.

(b) The contracting officer's written authorization is required before any change is made in the scope or estimated cost of any facilities project.

1845.302–71 Acquisition of ADPE.

Any contractor proposed acquisition of automatic data processing equipment as defined in the FIRMR shall be—

(a) Submitted on DD Form 1419, or equivalent format, through the contracting officer to the installation's ADPE staff, for screening availability; and

(b) Approved in accordance with the provisions of NASA Handbook 2410.1, "Information Processing Resources Management."

1845.302-72 Long-term facilities use.

For procurements in which:

(a) The proposed contract, exclusive of options, will be for a shorter period than the useful life, for the program, of any required contractor-owned or leased facilities, and

(b) The facilities are unlikely to be needed by the contractor for any purpose other than the program effort being contracted for, see 1807.170-1(i).

Subpart 1845.4—Contractor Use and Rental of Government Property

1845.402 Authorizing use of Government production and research property.

(a) A NASA contracting officer desiring to authorize use of Government production and research property under the cognizance of another contracting officer shall obtain that contracting officer's concurrence. If concurrence is denied, the contracting officer shall raise the matter to a level above that of the cognizant contracting officer.

(b) NASA contracting officers having cognizance over NASA production and research property may authorize its use on contracts of other agencies if such use will not interfere with NASA's primary purpose for the property and will not extend beyond the expected expiration or completion date of the NASA contract.

1845.403 Rental—Use and charges clause.

The Directors of NASA field installations have been designated (see NMI 5101.24, Delegation of Authority—To Take Actions in Procurement, Grants, Cooperative Agreements, and Related Matters (Various Officials)) to make the determinations required by FAR 45.403(a) on modified rental rates.

1845.405 Contracts with foreign governments or international organizations.

(a) It is NASA's policy to recover a fair share of the cost of Government production and research property if such property is used in performing services or manufacturing articles for foreign countries or for international organizations.

(b) The prior written approval of the Assistant Administrator for Procurement (Code H) is required for the use of Government production and research property on work for foreign countries or for international organizations. Before requesting approval, the contracting officer shall obtain the concurrence of the Director, Supply and Equipment Management Division (Code NIE), the General Counsel (Code G), and the Director, International Relations Division (Code XI).

(c) Contracting officers shall forward requests for approval to the Assistant Administrator for Procurement (Code H), along with a summary of the circumstances involved, including at least—

(1) The name of the requesting contractor;

(2) The number of the contract under which the equipment is controlled;

(3) A description of the equipment;

(4) The name of the foreign contractor and the relationship of the foreign contractor to its government or to any international organization;

(5) A description of the articles to be manufactured or services to be performed;

(6) A statement that the intended use will not interfere with the current or foreseeable requirements of the United States or require use of the equipment beyond the expected expiration or completion date of the NASA contract;

(7) A statement that the foreign government's placement of the contract directly with the contractor and the use of Government production and research property is consistent with the best interests of the United States;

(8) A statement that such use is legally authorized; and

(9) Any evidence of endorsement by another agency of the U.S. Government based on national security or foreign policy of the United States.

(d) Use, if approved, shall be subject to rent in accordance with FAR 45.403.

1845.406 Use of Government production and research property on independent research and development programs.

Contractors generally will not be authorized to use Government property for independent research and development on a rent-free basis except in unusual circumstances when it has been determined by the contracting officer that—

(a) Such use is clearly in the best interests of the Government (for example, the project can reasonably be expected to be of value in specific Government programs); and

(b) The policy in FAR 45.201 is adhered to in that no competitive advantage will accrue to the contractor through such use.

1845.407 Non-Government use of plant equipment.

Consistent with the guidelines in FAR 45.407, the following procedures apply to the non-Government use of plant equipment:

(a) Before authorizing non-Government use exceeding 25 percent, the contracting officer shall obtain the approval of the Assistant Administrator for Procurement (Code H). In addition, non-Government use of machine tools and secondary metal-forming and -cutting machines (Federal Supply Classes 3405, 3408, 3410, 3411–3419, and 3441–3449) exceeding 25 percent requires the concurrence of the Director, Supply and Equipment Management Division (Code NIE). Requests for the approval of the Assistant Administrator for Procurement (Code H) shall be submitted at least 6 weeks in advance of the projected use and shall include—

(1) The number of active plant equipment items involved and their total acquisition cost; and

(2) An itemized listing of active equipment having an acquisition cost of $25,000 or more, showing for each item the nomenclature, year of manufacture, and acquisition cost.

(b) The percentage of Government and non-Government use shall be computed on the basis of time available for use. For this purpose, the contractor's normal work schedule, as represented by scheduled production shift hours, shall be used. All active plant equipment having a unit acquisition cost of less than $25,000 located at any single plant may be averaged over a quarterly period. Equipment having a unit acquisition cost of $25,000 or more shall be considered on an item-by-item basis.

(c) Approval for non-Government use shall be for a period not exceeding 1 year. Approval for non-Government use in excess of 25 percent shall not be for less than 3 months.

Subpart 1845.5—Management of Government Property in the Possession of Contractors

1845.501 Definitions.

"Centrally reportable equipment (CRE)" means plant equipment, special test equipment (including components), special tooling, and non-flight space property (including ground support equipment):

(a) Generally commercially available and used as a separate item or component of a system,

(b) Having an acquisition cost of $1,000 or more, and

(c) Identifiable by a manufacturer and model number.

"Space property" means personal property peculiar to NASA aeronautical and space programs and not otherwise included in the categories of property in FAR 45.501. It includes such items as aircraft, space vehicles, engines, similar components, and related support equipment. The term "space property" is synonymous with the term "agency-peculiar property" as defined in FAR 45.301.

1845.502 Contractor responsibility.

1845.502-1 Receipts for Government property.

Receipts for Government property shall comply with the instructions for preparing NASA Form 1018, Report of Government-Owned/Contractor-Held Property (see 1845.7101).

1845.502-70 Government-furnished property.

All initial Government-furnished property must be described in the contract Schedule or specifications, regardless of property category. Any additional Government-furnished property must be described in a bilateral modification to the contract, with the property categorized and priced. Furthermore, to obtain Government-furnished facilities, the contractor must submit the written statement prescribed by FAR 45.302-1(a)(4). In the event a formal facilities application is also required by the contracting officer, its justification statement on financing will satisfy this requirement.

1845.502-71 Contractor-acquired property.

All contractor-acquired property must be authorized by the contract and is subject to a determination by the contracting officer that it is allocable to the contract and reasonably necessary. The acquisition (and fabrication) of Government property is further subject to the following conditions, depending on category of property:

(a) *Facilities.* (1) Prior contracting officer approval, if the facilities are not already described in a contract Schedule as contractor-acquired.

(2) Submission of DD Form 1419, DOD Industrial Plant Requisition, or equivalent format, and return of Certificate of Nonavailability if the facilities qualify as centrally reportable equipment (CRE).

(3) Submission of the written statement prescribed by FAR 45.302-1(a)(4).

(b) *Special test equipment.* (1) Contracting officer approval 30 days in advance if the equipment is not identified in the invitation for bids (in sealed bidding) or contract (in negotiated procurements).

(2) Submission of DD Form 1419, or equivalent format, and return of Certificate of Nonavailability if the equipment (or any component) qualifies as CRE.

(c) *Special tooling.* (1) If the contract contains a Subcontracts clause, advance notification to the contracting officer and contracting officer consent if required by that clause.

(2) If the contract is a fixed-price contract, submission of the list to the contracting officer within 60 days after delivery of the first production end items (or later as prescribed by the contracting officer), unless the tooling is already identified in the solicitation.

(3) Submission of DD Form 1419 or equivalent format and return of Certificate of Nonavailability if the tooling (or any component) qualifies as CRE.

(d) *Material.* If the contract contains a Subcontracts clause, advance notification to the contracting officer and contracting officer consent if required by that clause.

(e) *Space Property.* (1) If the contract contains a Subcontracts clause, advance notification to the contracting officer and contracting officer consent if required by that clause.

(2) Submission of DD Form 1419, or equivalent format, and return of Certificate of Nonavailability if the property (or any component) qualifies as CRE.

1845.505 Records and reports of Government property.

1845.505-2 Records of pricing information.

If DD Form 250's are used by a NASA installation as invoices or DD 250's or other shipping/delivery documents are used for property receiving purposes, unit prices must be shown for each item of Government property included on the forms.

1845.505-14 Reports of Government property.

(a) *Property accounts.* The contractor's property control system shall be such as to provide the dollar amount of Government property for which the contractor is accountable in the following classifications, in accordance with the instructions in Subpart 1845.71:

(1) Land and rights in land.
(2) Buildings.
(3) Other structures and facilities.
(4) Leasehold improvements.
(5) Plant equipment.
(6) Special tooling.
(7) Special test equipment.
(8) Material.
(9) Space hardware.

(b) *Facilities, special tooling, and special test equipment.* The contractor's property control system shall identify the items in paragraphs (a) (1) through (7) of this section as contractor-acquired or Government-furnished.

(c) *Material and space hardware.* The contractor's property control system shall provide the dollar value of items in paragraphs (a) (8) and (9) of this section for which the contractor is accountable. Reporting of material, however, is required only when the balance on hand at the end of the reporting period is $75,000 or more. Reporting of space hardware is required only by direction of the contracting officer.

(d) *Submission of reports.* When required by the contract (see 1845.106-70(d)), the contractor shall submit a Report of Government-Owned/Contractor-Held Property, NASA Form 1018, in accordance with the instructions in Subpart 1845.7101 and the contract clause at 1852.245-73.

1845.505-670 Reporting centrally reportable equipment (CRE).

(a) NASA-furnished or contractor-acquired CRE as defined in 1845.501 shall be reported to the NASA Equipment Management System (NEMS). The contractor shall initially report all unreported items in its possession and subsequently shall report items to NEMS:

(1) At the time of receipt and acceptance of accountability,

(2) When major changes occur in the data initially submitted to NASA, and

(3) When the equipment is no longer required for or actively being used in pursuit of NASA programs or projects.

Reporting shall be accomplished by completing section I of DD Form 1342, DOD Property Record (see 1845.7102), or by other means acceptable to the contracting officer, provided DD Form 1342-equivalent data elements are furnished. The data furnished when the equipment is reported pursuant to paragraph (a)(3) of this section shall include the equipment's current condition code. Reportable data shall be forwarded through the contracting officer of the cognizant NASA installation within 15 working days after the event that created the need for their preparation and shall be marked "FOR NEMS".

(b) The forms prepared for components shall be clearly marked "COMPONENT".

(c) Each year, the industrial property officer shall provide the contractor a list

of NEMS data bank records as of June 30th for that contractor. The contractor shall verify the list or provide the necessary corrections.

1845.508 Physical inventories.

NASA contractors shall reconcile inventories described in FAR 45.508 with the official property records and submit reports to the property administrator within 30 days after inventory completion. The contractor shall investigate all losses of property and discoveries of unrecorded property to determine the:

(a) Causes of the discrepancy and

(b) Actions needed to prevent its recurrence.

Subpart 1845.6—Reporting, Redistribution, and Disposal of Contractor Inventory

1845.604 Restrictions on purchase or retention of contractor inventory.

(a) No contractor may sell contractor inventory to persons known by it to be NASA or DOD personnel who have been engaged in administering or terminating NASA contracts.

(b)(1) The contractor's or subcontractor's authority to approve sale, purchase, or retention at less than cost by a subcontractor, and the subcontractor's authority to sell, purchase, or retain at less than cost contractor inventory with the approval of the contractor or next higher-tier subcontractor does not include authority to approve—

(i) A sale by a subcontractor to contractor or the next higher-tier subcontractor or to an affiliate of the contractor or of either subcontractor; or

(ii) A sale, purchase, or retention at less than cost by a subcontractor affiliated with the contractor or next higher-tier subcontractor.

(2) Each excluded sale, purchase, or retention requires the written approval of the plant clearance officer.

1845.606 Inventory schedules.

1845.606-1 Submission.

See 1845.505-6 for special instructions on intra-agency screening of centrally reportable equipment (CRE).

1845.607 Scrap.

1845.607-70 Contractor's approved scrap procedure.

(a) When a contractor has an approved scrap procedure, certain property may be routinely disposed of in accordance with that procedure and not processed under this subpart.

(b) A plant clearance case shall not be established for property disposed of through the contractor's approved scrap procedure.

(c) The plant clearance officer shall review the contractor's scrap and salvage procedure, particularly regarding sales, before its approval by the property administrator. The plant clearance officer shall ensure that the procedure contains adequate requirements for inspecting and examining items to be disposed as scrap. When the contractor's procedure does not require physical segregation of Government-owned scrap from contractor-owned scrap and separate disposal, care shall be exercised to assure that a contract change that generates a large quantity of property does not result in an inequitable return to the Government. In such a case, the property administrator shall make a determination as to whether separate disposition of Government scrap would be appropriate.

(d) Scrap, other than that disposed of through the contractor's approved scrap procedure, shall be reported on appropriate inventory schedules for disposition in accordance with the provisions of FAR Part 45 and this NASA FAR Supplement.

(e) Silver, gold, platinum, palladium, rhodium, iridium, osmium, and ruthenium; scrap bearing such metals; and items containing recoverable quantities of them shall be reported to the Defense Reutilization and Marketing Service, DRMS-R, Federal Center, Battle Creek, MI 49017-3092, for instructions regarding disposition.

1845.608 Screening of contractor inventory.

1845.608-1 General.

NEMS Coordinators are the focal points at NASA installations for intra-agency screening of centrally reportable equipment (see 1845.505-670). Property Disposal Officers (PDO's) are the focal points at NASA installations for intra-agency screening of all other contractor inventory. NEMS Coordinators/PDO's shall acknowledge receipt of inventory schedules within 30 days and simultaneously provide the plant clearance officer a NASA screening completion/release date. Screening shall be accomplished in accordance with NASA Handbooks 4200.1 and 4300.1.

1845.608-6 Waiver of screening requirements.

The Director, Supply and Equipment Management Division (Code NIE), has been designated to authorize exceptions to screening requirements.

1845.610 Sale of surplus contractor inventory.

1845.610-2 Exemptions from sale by GSA.

Letters seeking exemptions from GSA-conducted sales shall be directed to the Director, Supply and Equipment Management Division (Code NIE).

1845.610-3 Proceeds of sale.

When payments are due the contractor under the contract, and unless the contract provides otherwise, the Government Property clause requires that the proceeds of any sale, purchase, or retention be:

(a) Credited to the Government as part of the settlement agreement,

(b) Otherwise credited to the price or cost of the work covered by the contract, or

(c) Applied in the manner directed by the contracting officer.

The plant clearance officer shall maintain an open suspense record until he or she has verified that credit has been applied, unless another Government representative has specifically assumed this responsibility.

1845.610-4 Contractor inventory in foreign countries.

Foreign disposals shall be accomplished in accordance with NASA Handbook 4300.1.

1845.613 Property disposal determinations.

Determinations to abandon or destroy NASA contractor inventory shall be referred to the installation PDO for subsequent review by the Property Disposal Review Board under NASA Handbook 4300.1.

1845.615 Accounting for contractor inventory.

In addition to the distribution requirements for Standard Form 1424, Inventory Disposal Report, a copy of the form shall be provided to the NASA installation Industrial Property Officer or PDO.

Subpart 1845.70—NASA Equipment Management System

1845.7001 Policy.

In accordance with the policies in FAR Part 8, new equipment shall not be designed, developed, or procured unless it is determined that the requirement cannot be satisfied with items already available. Accordingly, before acquisition by an installation or the issuance of an authorization to a contractor to acquire new centrally reportable equipment (see 1845.501), the NASA Equipment Management System

(NEMS) shall be screened and a certificate of non-availability issued by the cognizant installation NEMS Reutilization Coordinator, attesting to the non-availability of existing Government-owned equipment to satisfy the requirement.

1845.7002 Application.

The NEMS requirements apply to all NASA acquisitions under which equipment reportable to NEMS is acquired either by NASA or by a NASA contractor for use in the performance of NASA work.

1845.7003 General.

NEMS is an agencywide accountability and control system that includes basic information for reutilization of equipment valued at $500 or more held by NASA installations or $1,000 or more held by NASA contractors. General-purpose or standard items of commercial manufacture are registered in NEMS.

1845.7004 Interface with NEMS coordinators and technical project office.

NASA contracting officers with contracts subject to NEMS shall maintain close interface with the installation NEMS Reutilization Coordinator and the technical project office in:

(a) The conduct of contractor reporting to the NEMS,

(b) The screening of NEMS records before authorizing contractors to acquire equipment,

(c) The reporting and processing of equipment no longer required for NASA programs or projects, and

(d) All other matters pertaining to compliance with the property provisions of NASA contracts.

1845.7005 Retention of NEMS equipment.

The contracting officer may authorize retention of NEMS equipment, provided the contractor requests approval in writing concurrently with reporting to NEMS under the provisions of 1845.505–670 and provided the equipment is being:

(a) Held for approved future NASA programs and projects identified to a specific requirement; or

(b) Used on other Government work as approved by the contracting officer.

Approval for the use of equipment on other Government work shall not exceed 6 months beyond its use on NASA work unless loan of the equipment under an interagency agreement has been arranged or action has been initiated for transfer of the equipment to the using agency and appropriate time is allowed for completing the transfer.

Subpart 1845.71—Forms Preparation

1845.7101 Instructions for preparing NASA Form 1018.

This section provides guidance in the preparation of NASA Form 1018 (see Subpart 1853.3), which is required of all contractors that have been furnished, or have acquired, Government-owned property under the terms of their contract. The classifications of property, the related costs to be reported, and the reporting requirements are set forth below:

1845.7101–1 Property classification accounts.

The following property classifications and related costs apply only to financial reporting under NASA Form 1018:

(a) *Land.* This classification includes costs of land, capital improvements to land (except buildings and other structures and facilities), and costs incidental to acquiring and preparing land for use, such as appraisal fees, clearing costs, drainage, grading, landscaping, plats and surveys, removal and relocation of the property of others as part of a purchase contract, removal or destruction of structures or facilities purchased but not used, and legal fees and expenses.

(b) *Buildings.* This classification includes costs of buildings, improvements to buildings, and fixed equipment normally required for the functional use of the building that becomes permanently attached to and made a part of it and cannot be removed without cutting into the walls, ceilings, or floors. Fixed equipment includes plumbing, heating and lighting equipment, elevators, central air-conditioning systems, and built-in safes and vaults. It also includes the cost of all equipment of any type built into, affixed to, or installed in real property if the installation cost, including special foundations or unique utilities or services, or the facility restoration cost after removal, is substantial.

(c) *Other structures and facilities.* This classification includes costs of acquisitions and improvements of other structures and facilities such as airfield pavements; harbor and port facilities; power production facilities and distribution systems; reclamation and irrigation facilities; flood control and navigation aids; storage, industrial, service, and research and development facilities other than buildings; utility systems (heating, sewage, water, and electrical) when they serve several buildings or structures; communication systems; traffic aids; roads and bridges; railroads; monuments and memorials; and nonstructural improvements such as sidewalks, parking areas, and fences. It also includes the cost of all equipment of any type built-in, affixed, or installed where the installation cost, including special foundations or unique utilities or services, or the facility restoration cost after removal, is substantial.

(d) *Leasehold improvements.* This classification includes NASA funded costs of long-term capital improvements (more than 3 years) to leases, rights, interests, and privileges relating to land such as easements, rights-of-way, permits, use agreements, and water, air, and mineral rights. It also includes NASA funded costs of improvements (costing $5,000 or more and determined to be a capital asset) made to land, buildings, and other structures and facilities occupied by a NASA contractor but leased either indirectly through contract or directly by NASA rather than owned by NASA. However, the cost of NASA-owned buildings and other structures and facilities (and improvements to them that meet the criteria for capitalization) occupied by a NASA contractor and located on land not owned by NASA shall be included in the appropriate account(s) described in paragraphs (a), (b), and (c) of this section. A single improvement shall not be accomplished in increments of less than $5,000 in order to avoid adjustment to the fixed asset accounts.

(e) *Plant equipment.* This classification includes costs of personal property of a capital nature for use in manufacturing supplies, performing services, or for any administrative or general plan purpose, which—

(1) Has a unit cost of $5,000 or more;

(2) Has a useful life of 2 years or more; and

(3) Will not be consumed in an experiment.

It includes equipment, machine tools, furniture, vehicles, accessory or auxiliary items, and test equipment (but not special test equipment, special tooling, or space hardware as defined in paragraphs (f), (g), and (i) of this section.)

(f) *Special tooling.* This classification includes the cost of jigs, dies, fixtures, molds, patterns, taps, gauges, other equipment and manufacturing aids, all components of these items, and replacement of these items, that are of such a specialized nature that, without substantial modification or alteration, their use is limited to the development or production of particular supplies or parts thereof or to the performance of particular services. It does not include

the cost of material, special test equipment, facilities (except foundations and similar improvements necessary for installing special tooling), general or special machine tools, or similar capital items.

(g) *Special test equipment.* This classification includes costs of either single or multipurpose integrated test units engineered, designed, fabricated, or modified to accomplish special purpose testing in performing a contract; items or assemblies of equipment, including standard or general purpose items or components, that are interconnected and interdependent so as to become a new functional entity for special testing purposes. It does not include costs of material, special tooling, facilities (except foundations and similar improvements necessary for installing special test equipment), and plant equipment items used for general plant testing purposes.

(h) *Material.* This classification includes costs of property that may be:

(1) Incorporated into or attached to an end item to be delivered under a contract or (2) Consumed or expended in performing a contract.

It includes, but is not limited to, raw and processed material, parts, components, assemblies, and small tools and supplies that may be consumed in normal use in performing a contract. This classification does not include material that is part of space hardware work in process reported on NASA Form 1018, Schedule II, when required by the terms of the agreement, or material that has been issued from inventory and charged to a contract item. It is intended to include all Government-owned material that normally would be considered as materials inventory for balance-sheet purposes.

(i) *Space hardware.* This classification applies only to those items of space property and components specifically identified in the Annual List of Selected Items of Space Hardware (see 1845.7101–6). It includes the cost of personal property unique to NASA aeronautical and space programs and not otherwise included in the classifications of property set forth in paragraphs (a) through (h) of this section. Space hardware is divided as follows for financial reporting purposes:

(1) Completed space hardware, systems, and subsystems (includes the cost, actual or estimated, of such items as aircraft, engines, space vehicles, satellites, spacecraft, and rockets, including components provided for prototypes, mock-ups, or fit checks, or for such other reasons as may be specified in the contract).

(2) Spare parts and components (includes the cost, actual or estimated, of deliverable spare parts and components employed as spare parts to be used for the purpose of emergency replacement, repair, or modification after the fabrication of space hardware). These types of property are commonly referred to as "logistics spares" or "test support spares" and do not include components utilized by the contractor that are not deliverable as spares and that are included as work in process.

(3) Space hardware work in process (includes the actual or estimated fabrication costs as of the date of the report of undelivered space hardware and associated systems, subsystems, spare parts, and components provided or acquired and charged to work in process pending incorporation into an end item). Such items are included in what is sometimes called production inventory and include programmed extra units to cover replacement during the fabrication process (production spares). Also included are progress payments to firm-fixed-price subcontractors for undelivered items.

1845.7101–2 Transfers of property.

So that NASA may properly control and account for contractor-held Government property (both Government-furnished and contractor-acquired), all transfers to another contract, contractor, NASA installation, or other Government agency shall be adequately documented. Such transfers shall be accomplished only through the NASA installation responsible for the contract from which the property is being transferred.

(a) *Transfers by contractors*—(1) *Approval and notification.* The contractor must obtain the contracting officer's or designee's approval for transfers of property before shipment. Shipping documents shall specify the appropriate property classification, such as plant equipment, special test equipment, special tooling, or space hardware. Shipping contractors shall notify the cognizant property administrator of transfers of property by furnishing a copy of the shipping document. Shipping and receiving contractors shall promptly notify their respective NASA financial management offices when accountability for Government property reportable on NASA Form 1018 is transferred to, or received from, other contracts, contractors, NASA installations, or Government agencies. Copies of shipping/receiving documents will suffice in most instances.

(2) *Documentation.* The shipping contractor shall prepare an acceptable form of documentation such as DD Form 250, Material Inspection and Receiving Report; DD Form 1149, Requisition and Invoice/Shipping Document; or similar forms to cover movement of Government property to other Government agencies. Established procedures for the use of such forms shall be strictly followed. Each shipping document must contain contract numbers, shipping references, property classifications in which the items are recorded, unit prices, and any other appropriate identifying or descriptive data. Unit prices shall be obtained from records maintained pursuant to the provisions of FAR Part 45 and Part 1845 of this regulation.

(3) *Reporting.* In addition to the required shipping documentation, all transfers of reportable property occurring during the reporting period shall be reflected in column (b), Government-Furnished Additions, of NASA Form 1018 by the receiving contractor and in column (e), Disposals, of NASA Form 1018 by the shipping contractor.

(4) *Reclassification.* Reclassifications between property accounts shall be accomplished by the contractor holding the property at the time reclassification takes place. If property is transferred to another contract or contractor, the receiving contractor shall record it in the same property classification and amount appearing on the shipping document. (For example, when a contractor receives an item, by transfer from another contractor or NASA installation, that is identified on the shipping document as plant equipment but that the recipient intends to incorporate into special test equipment, the recipient shall first enter the item in the plant equipment account and subsequently reclassify it as special test equipment.) The reclassification of plant equipment, special tooling, special test equipment, or space hardware requires prior notification to the property administrator and approval of the contracting officer.

(5) *Incomplete documentation.* If contractors receive transfer documents having insufficient detail to properly record the transfer (e.g., omission of property classification, unit prices, etc.), they shall request the omitted data directly from the shipping contractor or through the property administrator as provided in FAR 45.505–2. Contractors may append a Government-furnished property list to the NASA Form 1018 report when unable to obtain the required data, provided that the list includes—

(i) A description of the property;

(ii) Quantity;
(iii) The shipping document reference;
(iv) The shipper's identity;
(v) The dates shipped or received;
(vi) The dates price data were requested and from whom (shipper or property administrator); and
(vii) The NASA Form 1018 line item (type/account) to be adjusted.

(b) *Other transfers*—(1) *Intracontractor transfers.* Any transfer of Government property between contracts of a single contractor, whether administered by the same NASA installation or by different NASA installations, requires the same approval, notification, and documentation set forth in paragraph (a) of this section.

(2) Transfer to Government agencies other than NASA. Transfers of Government property to other Government agencies can be accomplished only through the NASA contracting officer and require the same approval, notification, and documentation set forth in paragraph (a) of this section.

(3) Recovered space hardware. Space hardware recovered after flight and transferred to a contractor for analysis, repair, refurbishment, or other purposes shall not be reported on subsequent NASA Forms 1018 as space hardware unless accountability has been transferred and the contractor is specifically directed to report the item.

1845.7101-3 Submission of reports.

(a) When the contract includes the Financial Reporting of Government-Owned/Contractor-Held Property clause, the contractor shall prepare a Report of Government-Owned/Contractor-Held Property, NASA Form 1018, in accordance with these instructions and those on the NASA Form 1018.

(b) The contractor shall submit four copies of NASA Form 1018 for the period ending June 30 in accordance with the clause not later than July 31 of each year, when reporting is required annually. When more frequent reporting is required, the due date shall be not later than the last day of the month following the period being reported. The reporting requirement shall not be less frequent than annually; in all cases a report shall be required as of June 30.

(c) Negative reports shall be submitted when appropriate.

(d) The contractor shall submit a final report within 30 days after disposition of all property subject to reporting.

1845.7101-4 Report coverage.

(a) The NASA Form 1018 shall include the cost of all Government-owned property, except as noted in 1845.7101-5, in the possession of the contractor and first-tier subcontractors under each prime contract, using the prime contractor's records to the extent practicable when the subcontractor reporting is delayed.

(b) Government-owned material held in storage by the contractor and subcontractor shall be reported only when the balance on hand at the end of the reporting period is $75,000 or more for each government prime contract, including the amounts under subcontracts.

(c) Space hardware shall be reported only if reporting is specifically requested in writing by the contracting officer, and reporting shall be limited to those items identified on the Annual List of Selected Items of Space Hardware (see section 6).

1845.7101-5 Exclusions.

The following items shall not be reported on NASA Form 1018:

(a) Plant equipment having a unit cost of less than $5,000.

(b) Industrial facilities under construction or material held for use in construction of industrial facilities.

(c) Scrap or salvage.

(d) Items ordinarily reportable but furnished to the contractor for repair and return to NASA (except as required in 1845.7101-2(b)(3)).

(e) Work in process except as required in Schedule II, Space Hardware Reportable Items, of NASA Form 1018.

(f) Materials issued for consumption.

(g) Materials held in storage, if the cost at the close of the reporting period is less than $75,000.

(h) NASA shipping containers.

(i) Space hardware under firm-fixed-price contracts and subcontracts, unless progress payments are specified (see 1845.7101-1(i)(3)).

(j) Space property, except those items specifically identified as space hardware and required to be reported in accordance with 1845.7101-6 below.

(k) Installation property made available pursuant to the clause at 1852.245-71.

1845.7101-6 Space hardware reporting requirements.

(a) The contracting officer may require reporting on space hardware for cost reimbursement and incentive contracts and first-tier subcontracts if the estimated cost and fee of the contract exceeds $500,000 and any one or a combination of the individual reporting categories (i.e., completed space hardware, components and spare parts, and work in process) exceeds $75,000.

(b) The contracting officer shall specify the items of space hardware for reporting before June 1 of each year on the basis of the Annual List of Selected Items of Space Hardware, issued by the Director of Financial Management, NASA Headquarters (Code BF).

(c) Reporting shall become effective with the next report period beginning July 1, or as otherwise stipulated in the contract, and shall continue until all specified items of space hardware are delivered, the contract is terminated, or the item is removed from the List.

(d) The reports shall contain—

(1) The reportable items on hand, consisting of the cost and quantity of completed space hardware and the cost of related independent completed systems and subsystems;

(2) The total amount for completed spare parts and components relating to paragraph (d)(1) of this section; and

(3) The total amount for work in process of fabrication relating to the preceding items.

(e) Costs reported on Schedule II shall not be considered in reimbursing the contractor for work performed or for termination proceedings. Costs shall be computed in accordance with accepted accounting principles, be reasonably accurate, and be the product of any one, or a combination, of the following:

(1) Abstracts of cost data from the contractor's property or financial records.

(2) Computations based on engineering and financial data.

(3) Estimates based on NASA Form 533 reports.

(4) Formula procedures (e.g., using a 50-percent factor for work-in-process items, on the basis of updated Standard Form (SF) 1411 estimates or the contractor's approved estimating and pricing system).

(5) Other approved methods.

(f) If the same item is being fabricated for more than one project, contractors shall report by contract item the completed space hardware, related independent systems and subsystems, spare parts, and work in process.

(g) When a contract provides for two or more different and completed space property items, some of which are not included in the List, contractors shall report the actual cost of those items, if possible, or use a reasonable basis permitted by the contractor's records or a basis provided in paragraph (e) of this section.

(h) Contractors shall report the cost of items of space hardware, systems, and subsystems using their records that are part of the prescribed property or financial control system as provided in 1845.7101-3; however, fee, tooling, and other nonrecurring costs shall be

excluded. Fabrication costs shall be based on the contractor's approved estimating and pricing or property control system and should include—

(1) Direct labor;

(2) Direct materials and purchased parts (costs of purchased items shall be consistent with the contractor's approved pricing methods);

(3) Other direct costs (e.g., computer costs, travel, and transportation);

(4) Overhead (a percentage factor or rate applied to the direct costs or other applicable base); and

(5) Costs of Government-furnished property applied (data available from the shipping document—e.g., DD Form 250, 1149, or similar form—or estimated if necessary).

1845.7101-7 Completed space hardware.

(a) Completed space hardware includes configurations of spacecraft, satellites, rockets, etc. (including integrated systems and subsystems) and completed, but not yet integrated, systems and subsystems held by the prime contractor and first-tier subcontractors.

(b) Items of space hardware covered in paragraph (a) of this section that are completed and deliverable shall not be broken down into systems and subsystems for the purpose of reporting.

(c) The contractor shall redetermine the cost of items returned for modification or rehabilitation to include the appropriate amount of original costs plus any improvements. Costs to modify single shelf items to perform specific tests shall not be included. Contractors shall discontinue reporting space hardware that has been launched, delivered to another contractor or to the Government, or disposed of as authorized by the contracting officer.

1845.7101-8 Completed spare parts and components for space hardware.

(a) In reporting completed spare parts and component items for space hardware, contractors shall include as a single amount for each contract item (as provided in 1845.7101-6(d)) the aggregate cost of those spares and components (see 1845.7101-1(i)(2)) related to the selected list of completed items.

(b) Standard items available from commercial or other sources and held on an inventory basis until requisitioned for use are excluded here but shall be included in "Material" on NASA Form 1018. Contractors shall not include spares or components reported in Schedule II, Space Hardware Reportable Items, of NASA Form 1018 in "Material" on page 1, NASA Form 1018.

1845.7101-9 Space hardware work in process.

(a) Space hardware work in process consists of the total costs by contract item as provided in 1845.7101-6(d). The contractor shall compute the costs—as of the date of the report of those unfinished space hardware units pertaining to the selected list of completed items to be reported—as provided in 1845.7101-6(e). This computation shall include the costs of associated systems, subsystems, and spare parts and components furnished or acquired and charged to work in process pending incorporation into a finished item. These types of items comprise what is sometimes called production inventory and include programmed extra units to cover replacement during the fabrication process (production spares). Also included are end items on which the prime contractor or a subcontractor has begun work.

(b) Unless accountability has been transferred to the contractor, the contractor normally shall not include space hardware spare parts and components returned for repair or modification in the in-process inventory (or spare parts and components inventory). Units or lots that are incomplete for any reason, such as obsolescence or faulty fabrication, or by direction of the contracting officer, shall not be reported in NASA Form 1018, Schedule II.

(c) Historical costs on NASA Form 533, Contractor Financial Management Reports, may be used (see 1845.7101-6(e)) if they apply to the selected items to be reported and are consistent with the costing of completed items. When costs are taken from the NASA Form 533, the cost of Government-furnished property shall be added (where applicable) or listed as provided in 1845.7101-2(a)(5). The costs shall then be adjusted for completed items and spare parts, etc., inventoried elsewhere or shipped to and accepted by a third party.

1845.7101-10 Contractor's privileged financial and business information.

If a transfer of property between contractors will involve disclosing costs of a proprietary nature, the contractor shall furnish unit prices only on those copies of the shipping documents that are sent to the shipping and receiving NASA installations. Transfer of the property to the receiving contractor shall be on a no-cost basis.

1845.7102 Instructions for preparing DD Form 1342.

The instructions in this section shall be used in the preparation of DD Form 1342, DOD Property Record, to report newly acquired equipment, items not previously reported, major changes in the data initially submitted, or equipment no longer required for or actively being used in the pursuit of NASA programs or projects. Use of DD Form 1342 is not mandatory if the same information is provided in an alternative format. Only one initial report is required. In-use items shall be reported initially to the NASA Equipment Management System (NEMS) through the contracting officer (see 1845.505-670) by use of this form by checking "Active" and "Initial" in Block 1. Identify idle items being initially reported by checking "Idle" and "Initial" in Block 1.

Block 1. Check appropriate boxes to indicate "Active" or "Idle" report and that the report is an "Initial" or "Changed" report.

Block 2. Enter the Julian date of preparation of the form. The first character is the last digit of the current calendar year, and the next three characters are the Julian date of the year.

Block 3. Enter the Identification Number/Government Tag Number as recorded on the identification plate affixed to the equipment.

Section I—Inventory Record

Block 4. Not applicable.

Block 5. Enter the first four digits of the National Stock Number, if known.

Block 6. Indicate in dollars (omit any symbols, decimal points, commas, etc.) the acquisition cost used for property accounting purposes. This acquisition cost is the price of the basic item plus any accessories and auxiliary equipment procured and delivered with it. If the initial acquisition cost data are not available, use an estimated acquisition cost (based on known costs at the time of manufacture of the same or similar equipment), price lists for the period involved, or the best available price from other sources in NASA or DOD that will achieve conformity of prices for like items of equipment.

Block 7. Not applicable.

Block 8. Enter the last two digits of the year the item was manufactured. If the actual year of manufacture cannot be determined, estimate the date and place an "E" immediately preceding the entry.

Blocks 9 through 13. Not applicable.

Block 14. Enter the name of the manufacturer of the equipment being reported. Do not use a distributor's or vendor's name. Enter "Unknown" when the manufacturer is not known.

Block 15. This is a five-digit numerical code identifying the manufacturer, obtained from Cataloging Handbook H4-1.

Block 16.

a. Enter the manufacturer's model, style, or catalog number for the equipment being reported. Always use the model number, if available. Style number is next in preference.

When the manufacturer does not assign a model, style, or catalog number, enter "None".

b. When unable to locate a model number, refer to the manufacturer's brochure or purchase order. If the model number is obtained from other than the equipment, indicate the source in "Remarks," Block 54.

Block 17. Enter the serial number taken from the equipment. If a serial number is not assigned to the item, enter "None".

Blocks 18 through 21. Not applicable.

Block 22. Enter Certificate of Nonavailability number from Block 41, DD Form 1419 or other screening document.

Block 23. Not applicable.

Block 24. Not applicable.

Block 25. Enter the complete contract number under which the contractor is accountable for the item. This normally will be a facility contract number. Otherwise, enter the procurement contract number.

Block 26. Enter the complete description of the item.

Block 27. Not applicable.

Block 28. Enter the NASA installation or company name, street address, city, state, and zip code of the physical location of the equipment. If the physical location is a subcontractor's plant, enter the name of the prime contractor above the subcontractor's name. Do not use the office address if different from the plant address. If no street address exists, enter "No street address".

Blocks 28a and 29. Not applicable.

Section II—Inspection Record

Not applicable, except for Block 52, which should be completed when reporting (in accordance with 1845.505–670) that an item is no longer required for or actively being used in pursuit of NASA programs or projects.

Section III—Remarks. Not applicable

Section IV—Disposition Record. Not applicable

Section V—Validation Record. Not applicable

1845.7103 Instructions for preparing DD Form 1419.

(a) The contractor shall enter the essential information covering Sections I and II before submission of DD Form 1419, DOD Industrial Plant Equipment Requisition, to the NEMS Coordinator. The NEMS Coordinator shall review each submission for completeness and authenticity. Incomplete or invalid requests shall be returned for correction. The original and two copies of the approved DD Form 1419, or equivalent format, may be forwarded to Defense Industrial Plant Equipment Center (DIPEC) for screening of inventories. Upon completion of the screening process, DIPEC will annotate the results of the screening in section IV or V. Certification of nonavailability when cited in section V is evidence that screening has been accomplished by NASA/DIPEC. DIPEC will return the original and one copy of the request to the NEMS Coordinator of the cognizant NASA installation indicated in section III.

(b) When a suitable item is allocated in section IV, inspection of the equipment is recommended. Notification of acceptance or rejection of the item offered must reach NASA/DIPEC within 30 days after allocation. A copy of the DD Form 1419, or equivalent format, will serve as the clearance document to inspect the equipment at the storage site. Note acceptance or rejection of the item, without inspection or after inspection in section VI. If the item is acceptable, execute section VII. Cite the NASA appropriation symbol where applicable in section VII. In either instance, acceptance or rejection, the NEMS Coordinator shall return the original of the DD Form 1419, or equivalent format, to DIPEC when items have been offered by DIPEC.

(c) The NEMS Coordinator shall assign a requisition number to each DD Form 1419, or equivalent format request. If DIPEC will be screened, the NEMS Coordinator shall code each number assigned for automatic data processing by DIPEC. The NEMS Coordinator shall also identify the requiring installation and provide a serial number and date of submission for subsequent reference. The NEMS Coordinator shall begin the requisition number with the appropriate installation FEDSTRIP/MILSTRIP Activity Address Code.

(d) Next will be a four-digit entry comprised of the last digit of the current calendar year and the Julian date of the year. For instance, February 4, 1969, would be written as 9035. The last entry will be a four-digit number from 0001 to 9999 to sequentially number requisition forms prepared on the same date. For example, the ninth requisition prepared on February 1, 1969, would be 9032–0009, preceded by the FEDSTRIP/MILSTRIP Activity Address Code. When submitting subsequent DD Forms 1419, or equivalent format, related to the item requested, the NEMS Coordinator shall use the same requisition number and add the alpha code to the end of the requisition number to indicate a second or third action on the basic request. Alpha "A" would indicate a second request, "B" a third, etc. In this manner, all actions, correspondence, etc., relative to a given request can be identified at all levels of processing by the use of the requisition number.

(e) Detailed directions for completing the DD Form 1419 follow. When DIPEC listed equipment is not being requested, the contractor may elect to provide the required data in an equivalent format, which complies with these directions.

Section I

Item Description. To ensure adequate screening, the item description must be complete. For single-purpose equipment or general-purpose equipment with special features, requests must contain detailed descriptive data as to size and capacities, setting forth special operating features or particular operations required to be performed by the item.

Block 1. Not applicable.

Block 2. Enter the manufacturer's name and Federal Supply Code for manufacturer (Cataloging Handbook H4–1) of the item requested.

Block 2a. Enter the manufacturer's model, style, or catalog number assigned to the equipment being requisitioned. Always use the model number, if available. The style number is the next preference. Enter "None" in this block if the model, style or catalog number is not known.

Block 3. Enter the four-digit Federal Supply Class (FSC).

Block 4. Not applicable.

Block 5. Self-explanatory.

Block 6. Place an "X" in the applicable block to indicate whether you desire to physically inspect the item before acceptance.

Block 7. Self-explanatory.

Block 8. Enter the complete description of the item. Continue the description in Block 51 if additional space is needed.

Section II

Block 9. Enter the contractor's name, street address, city, state, and zip code from which the requisition is being initiated. The address should be the one to which inquiries of a technical nature will be referred. Specify the name and telephone number of an individual who will respond to inquiries concerning the request.

Blocks 10 and 10a. Enter the contract number or document number and the date of the document authorizing acquisition of the items shown in Section I. This normally will be a facility contract number. Otherwise it should be a purchase order or procurement request number.

Block 11. Not applicable.

Block 12. Disregard the "Military" block. Show the NASA contract number and program for which the item is to be used.

Block 13. Enter the specific function to be performed by the equipment. When applicable, enter the tolerances, capacities, specifications, etc., that the equipment must satisfy.

Block 14. Determine the date the item must be installed to meet production requirements. From this date deduct the estimated number of days required for installation. Enter the adjusted date in this block.

Block 15. Enter the date by which NASA must issue a Certificate of Nonavailability. Determine the date by subtracting the procurement lead time and 30 days administrative lead time from the date shown in Block 14.

Block 16. Enter the Defense Priority and Allocations System (DPAS) rating assigned to the contract or anticipated purchase order, if applicable.

Block 17. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If for replacement, identify the item being replaced and the reason for replacement.

Block 18. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. Show the appropriation symbol if the answer is "yes."

Block 19. Not applicable.

Blocks 20 and 21. In addition to the official's title and signature, type the signing official's name, office symbol or name, and telephone number plus extension. The company representative who prepares and submits the requirement to the cognizant NASA certifying office should sign.

Block 22. Self-explanatory.

Block 23. The contracting officer of the NASA installation having jurisdiction over the contract shall certify the need for the item. However, the NEMS Coordinator may certify the need for NASA in-house requirements.

Block 23a. Not applicable.

Block 23b. Enter the name and address of the installation certifying the requirement.

Block 23c. This block is for signature of the property administrator or contracting officer at plant level.

Block 23d. Self-explanatory.

Block 23e. This block is for the signature of NASA installation official certifying the requirement.

Block 23f. Self-explanatory.

Section III

This section is for the NEMS Coordinator's certification of the requirement to DIPEC, when applicable.

Blocks 24 through 27. Self-explanatory.

Section IV

To be completed by DIPEC (a copy is used for admittance to storage site for inspection of property).

Section V

DIPEC or the NEMS Coordinator shall complete this section if equipment is unavailable.

Section VI

Blocks 42 through 45. The requesting official signing section II, Block 20, shall complete Section VI and shall list reasons for non-acceptance in Section VIII, Remarks, or on a separate document attached to the DD Form 1419.

Section VII

Block 46. Enter the complete name, street address, city, state, and zip code of the contractor or installation to which the item is to be shipped. Indicate railhead and truck delivery points when other than the address named.

Blocks 47 and 48. Self-explanatory.

Blocks 49a and b. Ensure that NASA appropriation symbols are included with the work order number.

Block 49c. Enter the NASA appropriation symbol chargeable for any special work ordered (e.g., rebuild, repair, or accessory replacement).

Block 49d. Enter the NASA installation and office symbol for the organization that will make payment for transportation and packing, crating, and handling.

Block 50. Self-explanatory.

Section VIII

Block 51. This block can be used to expand or explain entries made in Blocks 1 through 50. When requisitioning equipment from excess listings, identify the issuing office, list number, date, control number, and item number assigned to the equipment.

Subpart 1845.72—Contract Property Management

1845.7201 Definitions.

"Category," as used in this subpart, means a major segment of a contractor's property control system (e.g., acquisition, receiving, records, storage and movement, consumption, utilization, maintenance, physical inventories, subcontractor control, or disposition).

"Characteristic," as used in this subpart, means a segment of a functional area subject to analysis or review. Characteristics are classified as Class I, subject to statistical sampling, and Class II, subject to judgment or observation techniques.

"Lot," as used in this subpart, means an aggregation of documents, records, articles, or actions selected for review because of common characteristics. For evaluation of the lot, all characteristics for which a lot is tested must be common to all units within the lot.

"Property control system," as used in this subpart, identifies a contractor's internal management program encompassing the protection of, preservation of, accounting for, and control of property from its acquisition through disposition.

"Supporting responsibility," as used in this subpart, relates to the assignment of a subcontract, or a portion of a prime contract being performed at a secondary location of the prime contractor, to a property administrator other than the individual assigned to the prime location.

1845.7202 General.

This subpart describes major elements of the NASA Contract Property Management Program. It provides guidance to NASA installation personnel responsible for NASA contract property (NASA personal property in the possession of contractors). It applies to all NASA installation personnel charged with this responsibility, including industrial property officers and specialists, property administrators, and plant clearance officers. It also provides detailed procedures for property administration. The NASA Contract Property Management Program includes the following three major elements:

(a) Performance of property administration and plant clearance by DOD under delegations from NASA, pursuant to 1842.101.

(b) Performance of property administration and plant clearance by NASA under certain situations, pursuant to 1842.203.

(c) Maintenance of property administration and plant clearance functional oversight, regardless of delegations, pursuant to 1842.175.

1845.7203 Delegations of property administration and plant clearance.

When delegated to DOD, property administration and plant clearance are performed in accordance with DOD's regulations and procedures, as amended by the NASA Letter of Contract Administration Delegation, Special Instructions on Property Administration and Plant Clearance. These Special Instructions are developed by NASA Headquarters, Supply and Equipment Management Division, Code NIE, and are available from that office upon request. The contracting officer shall issue the Special Instructions with delegations whenever Government property will be involved. Additional or more tailored property instructions are not proscribed but must be coordinated with Code NIE before issuance.

1845.7204 Retention of property administration and plant clearance.

NASA may occasionally retain the property administration and plant clearance function, such as for contract work performed at the installation awarding the contract and not subject to the clause at 1852.245–71, Installation-Provided Government Property. In these cases, property administration shall be performed in accordance with Subparts 1845.2 through 1845.7, and plant clearance shall be performed in accordance with FAR Subpart 45.6 and Subpart 1845.6. Under the clause at 1852.245–71, property administration and plant clearance are neither delegated nor retained; they are simply not required because the property is treated as installation rather than contract property.

1845.7205 Functional oversight of property administration and plant clearance.

NASA contracting officers retain functional management responsibility for their contracts. Utilization of the contract administration services of another Government agency in no way relieves NASA contracting officers of their ultimate responsibility for the proper and effective management of contracts. The functional management responsibility for contract property is outlined below. Beyond individual

contracting officers, each NASA installation has designated an industrial property officer to manage and coordinate property matters among the various contracting officers, technical officials, contractor officials, and delegated property administrators and plant clearance officers. Generally, that individual is responsible for the entire contract property management function outlined below; the installation is responsible for the entire function regardless of how it is organized and distributed. The responsibilities are as follows:

(a) Provide a focal point for all management of contract property, including Government property (Government-furnished and contractor-acquired) provided to universities as well as to industry.

(b) Provide guidance to contracting and other personnel on the NASA property provisions.

(c) To the extent feasible, review property provisions of procurement plans, solicitations, contracts, and modifications for potential problems. Propose changes as necessary.

(d) To the extent feasible, participate in pre-award surveys/post-award orientations when significant amounts of Government property will be involved.

(e) Ensure that vesting-of-title determinations are made and documented pursuant to FAR 35.014(b).

(f) Maintain effective communications with delegated property administrators and plant clearance officers to keep fully informed about contractor performance and progress on any property control problems.

(1) Obtain and review property control system survey summaries for all contracts for which property administration has been delegated. Advise Headquarters Code NIE of any severe or continuing problems.

(2) Provide property administrators copies of all pertinent contract property documentation.

(g) Work with the NASA Equipment Management System (NEMS) Coordinator and contracting officers to ensure contractor reporting to and screening of NEMS.

(1) Monitor contractors' performance in submitting DD Form 1419's or equivalent request format before acquiring centrally reportable equipment (CRE) and in submitting DD Form 1342's or equivalent report format after receiving CRE.

(2) Ensure that an annual NEMS verification is performed in accordance with 1845.505–670(c) and NHB 4200.1, paragraph 4.406b.

(h) Review and analyze NASA Form 1018's, Reports of Government-Owned/Contractor-Held Property.

(1) Ensure an annual comparison of 1018's with NEMS in accordance with NHB 4200.1, paragraph 4.406c, to detect possible over/under reporting to NEMS and possible failure to screen NEMS.

(2) Check new disparities disclosed under subparagraph (1) above with the appropriate property administrator and document the results.

(i) Negotiate, or ensure the negotiation of, facilities contracts when required by FAR 45.302 and 1845.302. Advise Headquarters Code NIE annually of new and completed facilities contracts.

(j) Review property administrators' approvals of relief of responsibility for lost, damaged, and destroyed property and question any excessive or repetitive approvals.

(k) When appropriate, make recommendations to source and performance evaluation boards regarding property management and award fee criteria and evaluations regarding property management.

(l) Monitor plant clearance status to preclude delays in contract closeout.

(m) Maintain contract property files for all transactions and correspondence associated with each contract. Upon receipt of Standard Form 1424, Inventory Disposal Report, and DD Form 1593, Contract Administration Completion Record, or equivalents, merge all property records for the contract and forward for inclusion with the official completed file.

(n) Perform on-site property administration and plant clearance when they are not delegated to DOD and the property is not subject to the clause at 1852.245–71. (The remainder of this subpart provides detailed guidance on such property administration).

1845.7206 Responsibilities of property administrators and plant clearance officers.

1845.7206–1 Property administrators.

(a) When property administration is not delegated to DOD, the property administrator shall evaluate the contractor's management and control of Government property and ascertain whether the contractor is effectively complying with the contract provisions. The property administrator's responsibilities include—

(1) Developing and applying a system survey program for each contractor under the property administrator's cognizance;

(2) Evaluating the contractor's property control system and approving or recommending disapproval;

(3) Advising the contracting officer of any (i) contractor noncompliance with approved procedures and (ii) other significant problems the property administrator cannot resolve, and recommending appropriate action, which may include disapproval of the contractor's property control system;

(4) Resolving property administration matters as necessary with the contractor's management, personnel from Government procurement and logistics activities, and representatives of the NASA Office of the Inspector General, of the Defense Contract Audit Agency (DCAA), and of other Government agencies; and

(5) Recognizing the functions of other Government personnel having cognizance of Government property and obtaining their assistance when required. (These functions include, but are not limited to, contract audit, quality assurance, engineering, pricing, and other technical areas. Assistance and advice on matters involving analyses of the contractor's books and accounting records and on any other audit matters deemed appropriate shall be obtained from the cognizant auditor.)

(b) The participation of property administrators (or other Government industrial property personnel) in pre-award surveys/post-award orientations is required whenever significant amounts of Government property will be involved, in order to reveal and resolve property management problems early in the procurement cycle.

1845.7206–2 Plant clearance officers.

When plant clearance is not delegated to DOD, NASA plant clearance officers shall be responsible for—

(a) Providing the contractor with instructions and advice regarding the proper preparation of inventory schedules;

(b) Accepting or rejecting inventory schedules and DD Form 1342;

(c) Conducting or arranging for inventory verification;

(d) Initiating prescribed screening and effecting resulting actions;

(e) Final plant clearance of contractor inventory;

(f) Pre-inventory scrap determinations, as appropriate;

(g) Evaluating the adequacy of the contractor's procedures for property disposal;

(h) Determining the method of disposal;

(i) Surveillance of any contractor-conducted sales;

(j) Accounting for all contractor inventory reported by the contractor;

(k) Advising and assisting, as appropriate, the contractor, the supply and equipment management officer, other Federal agencies, and higher headquarters in all actions relating to the proper and timely disposal of contractor inventory;

(l) Approving the method of sale, evaluating bids, and approving sale prices for any contractor-conducted sales;

(m) Recommending the reasonableness of selling expenses related to any contractor-conducted sales;

(n) Securing antitrust clearance, as required; and

(o) Advising the contracting officer on all property disposal matters.

1845.7207 Initiation of property administration.

1845.7207-1 Control of assignments.

(a) The procurement officer or a designee shall establish and maintain a Contract Assignment Control Register for each contractor, showing the—

(1) Contractor's name and address;

(2) Contract number;

(3) Type of contract;

(4) Date of assignment of the property administrator and his or her name; and

(5) Date of completion or termination of the contract, or transfer of the property administrator.

(b) Property reported received at a contractor's plant without contractual coverage shall be recorded in a suspense file, pending investigation and resolution by the property administrator.

1845.7207-2 Analysis of contract and establishment of contract property control data files.

(a) The property administrator shall analyze each contract providing for Government property to estimate the property administration effort to be applied. The analysis shall be sufficient to establish the management controls necessary for ensuring compliance with contract requirements and development of a suitable system survey program.

(b) The property administrator shall establish Property Summary Data Record containing—

(1) The contractor's name and address, and the contract number;

(2) The type of contract, modifications (including change orders), and special or nonstandard clauses pertaining to Government property;

(3) The date of final review and date of execution and transmittal of the DD Form 1593 or equivalent;

(4) Supporting property administration assignments; and

(5) Name(s) of the property administrator(s) and date(s) of tenure.

(c)(1) The property administrator shall establish a Contract Property Control Data File, which shall include as a minimum—

(i) The Property Summary Data Record;

(ii) A copy of the contract or provisions pertinent to property administration, and comparable data regarding any subcontracts involving Government property;

(iii) The record of initial review, evaluation, and approval of the contractor's property control system; and, if applicable, the record of withdrawal of approval and basis for it, reinstatement of approval, and deviations granted;

(iv) A record of visits and property system surveys performed, including appropriate work papers, deficiencies disclosed, and corrective actions taken;

(v) Contractor's receipts for Government property, when required;

(vi) The record of final review and execution of the property administrator's statement of closure of the contract property account;

(vii) Other pertinent correspondence and documents, including, as applicable, inventory adjustments, investigations, recommendations, and determinations;

(viii) Records concerning supporting property administration delegations; assist actions involving special reviews; and other applicable reviews at subcontractor's plants;

(ix) Records of inspection and audits performed by other activities; and

(x) Reports relating to Government property prepared by the contractor pursuant to the contract.

(2) When more than one contract is involved at one contractor location, records relating to more than one contract shall be transferred to a contractor's General File, and the Property Summary Data Record shall be so annotated.

1845.7208 Initial evaluation and approval of contractor's property control system.

1845.7208-1 General.

Normally, the initial contact by the contract administration office with a contractor is through a post-award orientation. When a conference is held for this purpose, the property administrator shall ensure suitable discussion of property administration requirements and responsibilities. When a conference is not held, the property administrator, upon assignment of a contract, shall forward a letter to the contractor—

(a) Inviting attention to the contractor's responsibilities regarding Government property under the contract, including any specialized controls, and the extent of the contractor's liability for loss, damage, or destruction of Government property during any period in which the contractor's property control system does not have the written approval of the property administrator;

(b) Requesting the name of the contractor's representatives to contact for review and discussion of the proposed property control system; and

(c) Requesting that policies, instructions, and procedures necessary for fully implementing the property control system be available for evaluation.

1845.7208-2 Review of procedures.

(a) Following assignment of an initial contract, the property administrator shall review the contractor's proposed property control system procedures to determine—

(1) Inadequate or questionable areas in the proposed procedures for compliance with NASA contract requirements;

(2) Essential controls not provided by the proposed procedures;

(3) Areas in the proposed procedures requiring physical observation or verification; and

(4) Subcontractors, or secondary locations of prime contract performance, and the need for physical observation or verification of property controls at those locations.

(b) It is normal industry practice to provide for property control by means of written procedures that communicate company standards, techniques, and instructions to operational personnel for uniform application. However, a contractor with few employees may not need written procedures for effective management of Government property. In such cases, the property administrator shall evaluate the adequacy of the contractor's system on the basis of the contractor's explanation of its controls and observation of their application, and shall prepare a brief description of the procedures for inclusion in the Contract Property Control Data File. In the latter instance, the contractor's signature shall be obtained signifying concurrence with the property administrator's written description.

(c) The contractor's plant shall be visited to determine that the contractor's operation of the system provides adequate controls for the Government property to be furnished or acquired.

(d) The means of obtaining the information necessary for approving the contractor's property control system is a matter for the property administrator's

judgment. Test examinations and verification in specific categories may be necessary to ensure the reliability of the final evaluation and permit conclusions as to the acceptability of controls for all categories and the system as a whole.

(e) The property administrator shall examine the contractor's procedures to determine whether they meet the contractual requirements for property control. The property administrator shall test and analyze the contractor's system, noting or commenting on the acceptability of the procedures in preparing the record of system evaluation (see 1845.7208–4).

(f) If the contractor's property control system has previously been approved and a new contract requires the expansion of existing or the establishment of additional controls, the property administrator should normally limit the review to the new requirements. If the system is adequate, the property administrator shall record this fact on the Property Summary Data Record for the contract. Notification to the contractor is not required. However, if the property administrator determines that the contractor's property control system does not adequately meet the new contract requirements, the property administrator shall annotate the Property Summary Data Record for the contract involved appropriately and notify the contractor in writing of the required changes.

(g) In reviewing the contractor's property control system, the property administrator shall consider the provisions of 1845.505–14 and ensure that the contractor's system provides for maintaining financial data and furnishing required reports within the time limits specified.

1845.7208–3 Exit interview with the contractor.

Upon completing the review, the property administrator shall hold an exit interview with the contractor to discuss any category in which the controls or procedures were found inadequate and shall advise where corrective action is required before an approval of the system can be granted. When the contractor is willing to correct a deficiency or questionable practice immediately, the documentation supporting the property administrator's findings and conclusions shall include a statement to this effect. The contracting officer responsible for the predominant value of NASA property at the facility shall attend the exit interview with the contractor if major deficiencies exist in the property control system, past deficiencies remain uncorrected, or the value of the personal property involved exceeds $1,000,000.

1845.7208–4 Record of system evaluation.

Upon completing the evaluation of the contractor's system, the property administrator shall prepare a written summary of findings to support approval of the system or any requirement for corrective action before approval. A report of visit or other documentation may be utilized if the participating contractor and Government personnel are listed, actions taken are adequately described, and the property administrator's determination is clearly stated.

1845.7208–5 Notification of deficiencies.

If deficiencies have been found, the property administrator shall prepare a letter to the contractor for signature by the contracting officer, listing them and noting any agreement by the contractor to correct them. The contractor shall respond within 30 days, providing the precise action to be taken and the time required to correct each deficiency.

1845.7208–6 Resolution of differences.

If the contractor's response is unsatisfactory, the contracting officer, along with the property administrator, shall meet with the contractor in an effort to arrive at a mutually satisfactory corrective program. The contractor shall be requested to confirm in writing any new commitments arising from this meeting. If the contractor fails to correct deficiencies in its property control system within a reasonable period, the contracting officer shall refer the matter by memorandum to appropriate levels of management within the NASA installation and Headquarters staff offices, depending on the criticality of the problem involved. The memorandum shall include—

(a) A specific, concise, and documented statement of the problem;

(b) A statement of the contractor's position; and

(c) The recommended action.

1845.7208–7 Letter of approval.

(a) Approval of a contractor's property control system shall be conditioned upon a joint determination, by the property administrator and the contracting officer that no deficiencies exist in the property control system or that only minor deficiencies exist and the contractor has agreed to correct them.

(b) If the contractor's property control system is acceptable, the property administrator shall advise the contractor in writing. However, if the approval was preceded by correspondence between the contracting officer and the contractor (1845.7208–5 and 1845.7208–6), the property administrator shall reference it in the approval and advise the contractor that the corrective action taken or planned is acceptable. A copy of the letter of approval shall be sent to the contracting officer.

(c) If the contract involves Government property at subcontractor plants or prime contractor secondary locations, or both, and the controls for it are determined adequate, the approval shall be expanded to include the procedures governing Government property at those locations.

1845.7209 Property administration during contractor performance.

1845.7209–1 Property administration plan.

(a) The property administrator shall develop a property administration plan for each contractor's plant, covering the property control system utilized on Government contracts. The plan shall provide for surveys and shall be augmented to cover responsibilities imposed by new contracts, changing conditions, or marginal performance. If approval of the contractor's system is unduly delayed at inception of the contract by the contractor's failure to provide an acceptable system, or is withdrawn because of unsatisfactory conditions disclosed after approval, the property administration plan shall be expanded as necessary to reasonably ensure that loss, damage, or destruction of Government property is disclosed in a timely manner. Special attention shall be given to reasonably ensuring that any loss, damage, or destruction occurring during a period when a contractor's system is not approved is identified before approval or reapproval.

(b) The property administrator must exercise judgment in developing the plan and in determining which categories (see Table 1845–1) of the contractor's property control system warrant examination. Dollar amounts and volume of activity, types of property, complexity of the contractor's system, risk to the Government, and previous experience regarding the adequacy of the contractor's controls are factors determining the extent and scope of the system survey plan.

Table 1845–1—Categories, Functional Areas, Characteristics

(This is not an exclusive list and may be modified as necessary.)

Category 1

Acquisition. The process of acquiring Government property either through requisition or transfer from Government

sources or through purchase, including purchases from the contractor's stores.

a. Functional Area: Government-furnished property.

Class	Characteristic
I	(1) Item is contractually authorized.
I	(2) Requesting document is properly prepared and processed.
I	(3) Quantity requested is reasonable but not available in existing stocks at the plant site for use on the requiring contract.
I	(4) Requests are controlled until items are received or requirement cancelled. Status file is maintained.
I	(5) Requests are submitted in a timely manner to minimize use of emergency priorities.

b. Functional Area: Contractor-acquired property.

Class	Characteristic
I	(1) Item is contractually authorized.
I	(2) Quantity ordered is reasonable but not available in existing stocks at the plant site for use on the requiring contract.
I	(3) Purchase order distribution, cancellation, and change are properly controlled.
I	(4) Item description, contract number, and price, are reflected in purchase order.
I	(5) Consent or approval by the contracting officer is obtained as required.

Category 2

Receiving. The process of Government property initially entering into a contractor's custody.

Functional Area: Receiving process.

Class	Characteristic
I	(1) Receiving report adequately describes item and shows count and condition. If quantity, condition, or description differs from that shown on inbound shipping document, proper adjustment document is prepared and property administrator is notified.
I	(2) Receiving report is promptly and properly prepared and controlled, and distribution includes copy to property accounting organization.
I	(3) Item received is properly classified (e.g., special tooling).
I	(4) Item is properly identified and marked during the receiving process.
II	(5) Returnable and reusable containers are properly controlled and accounted for.
II	(6) Misdirected shipments are adequately controlled pending receipt of disposition instructions.

Category 3

Records. The official accounting and subsidiary records maintained by a contractor to show status and to control all Government property furnished to or acquired by it.

a. Functional Area: Inventory control (real and personal property).

Class	Characteristic
I	(1) Accounting record conforms to FAR and NASA FAR Supplement requirements and is accurate.
I	(2) Documentation in support of accounting entries is sufficient.
I	(3) Accounting entries are made without undue delay.
I	(4) Stock levels and reorder points are reflected on record, are reasonably sound, and are consistent with the contract.
I	(5) Accounting records are closed by means of proper accounting entry, adequately supported by documentation.
I	(6) Locator system is adequate and accurate.

b. Functional Area: Fabrication records.

Class	Characteristic
I	(1) Records of items fabricated conform to FAR requirements and are accurate.
I	(2) Documentation in support of accounting entries is sufficient.

c. Functional Area: Receipt and issue file.

Class	Characteristic
I	Records of items conform to FAR and NASA FAR Supplement requirements and are accurate.

d. Functional Area: Custodial records.

Class	Characteristic
I	(1) Custodial record is adequate and accurate.
I	(2) Records are properly closed.

e. Functional Area: Scrap and salvage records.

Class	Characteristic
I	(1) Scrap and salvage records are adequate and accurate.
I	(2) Items reclaimed during salvage operations are properly classified.
I	(3) Documentation in support of record is adequate.
I	(4) Records are properly closed.

f. Functional Area: Multicontract cost and material control system.

Class	Characteristic
I	(1) Records conform to FAR requirements and are accurate.
I	(2) Documentation in support of record is adequate.
I	(3) Accounting entries are made promptly.
I	(4) Records are properly closed.

Category 4

Storage and movement. The process of storing and moving all types of Government property includes movement from one point to another for any purpose and protection during movement and storage.

a. Functional Area: Warehousing.

Class	Characteristic
II	(1) Housekeeping is adequate.
II	(2) Government property is segregated from contractor property.
II	(3) Adequate protection of Government property, including hazardous material, precious metals, sensitive items, etc., is provided.
II	(4) Measures for corrosion prevention, age control, etc., are adequate.

b. Functional Area: Internal and external movements.

Class	Characteristic
II	(1) Item is moved under proper authority, supported by issue slip, shipping ticket, location change order, etc.
II	(2) Adequate protection is provided during movement, such as packing, covering, skidding, proper handling equipment and techniques, and safety precautions.
II	(3) Loss or damage occurring during movement is reported to the property administrator.

Category 5

Consumption. The process of incorporating Government-owned property into an end item or otherwise consuming it in performance of a contract.

a. Functional Area: Reasonableness of consumption.

Class	Characteristic
I	(1) Quantities consumed are reasonable when compared to bill of material, material requirement lists, established scrap rates, etc.
II	(2) Serially numbered or selectively matched items are incorporated in appropriate end item.

b. Functional Area: Conservation.

Class	Characteristic
II	(1) Excesses are promptly returned to stores and recorded.
II	(2) Where appropriate, maximum use is made of repair and salvage procedures in lieu of using new items.
II	(3) Where appropriate, a first-in, first-out (FIFO) system is employed with respect to "dated" items.

Category 6

Utilization. The process of utilizing plant equipment, special tooling, special test equipment, material, and space property for the purpose for which furnished or acquired.

a. Functional Area: Plant equipment, special tooling, special test equipment.

Class	Characteristic
I	(1) Item is used for purpose authorized by contract (not diverted to other use).
I	(2) Degree of utilization justifies retention.

b. Functional Area: Material and space property.

Class	Characteristic
I	(1) Item is used for purpose authorized (not diverted to other use).
I	(2) Degree of utilization justifies retention of stock on hand.

Category 7

Maintenance. The process of providing the amount of care necessary to obtain a high quality of production and the most useful life of Government property.

a. Functional Area: Preventive and corrective maintenance.

Class	Characteristic
I	(1) Item is scheduled for periodic maintenance (including technical order compliance).
I	(2) Maintenance is performed according to schedule.
I	(3) Records of normal maintenance and corrective actions are adequate and accurate.

b. Functional Area: Capital-type rehabilitation (includes real property).

Class	Characteristic
I	(1) Inspection is scheduled to determine need for major repair, replacement, or other rehabilitation.
II	(2) Inspection is performed as scheduled and results are reported.
II	(3) Rehabilitation is accomplished when authorized.
II	(4) Records of major repair, replacement, or other rehabilitation, including cost, are adequate and accurate.

Category 8

Physical inventories. The process of physically inventorying Government property and comparing it to records of the property (includes locating and counting, tagging or marking, describing, recording, and reporting results to the property administrator).

a. Functional Area: Performance.

Class	Characteristic
II	(1) Periodic physical inventories are performed.
II	(2) Physical inventories are performed upon termination or completion of contract unless waived by property administrator.
I	(3) Inventoried property is appropriately tagged or marked.
I	(4) Inventory count is accurate.
II	(5) Inventory procedures provide that personnel who perform inventory are not those who maintain the property records or have custody of the property, unless the contractor's operation is so small as to make this impracticable.
II	(6) Results of inventories are reported to property administrator within 30 days.

b. Functional Area: Reconciliation and adjustment.

Class	Characteristic
II	(1) Each instance of loss and discovery of unrecorded property is investigated.
II	(2) Causes are determined for above discrepancies.
II	(3) Actions necessary to prevent recurrence are determined and taken for above discrepancies.
II	(4) Adjustments to records (other than for property losses) are made within 30 days.
II	(5) Adjustments to records for property losses are made within 30 days of contracting officer's or property administrator's notification of relief of responsibility or other determination.

Category 9

Subcontract control. The process of prime contractor control over subcontractors with respect to Government property.

a. Functional Area: Prime contractor controls.

Class	Characteristic
I	(1) Subcontract reflects adequate instructions with respect to subcontractor responsibilities.
I	(2) Records of Government property in possession of subcontractors conform to FAR and NASA FAR Supplement requirements.
I	(3) Adequate documentation supports accounting entries.
II	(4) Prime contractor's surveillance over Government property in possession of subcontractors is adequate.

b. Functional Area: Subcontractor control. If the prime contractor has designated the subcontractor's records and controls as the official contract records and controls of Government property in the subcontractor's possession, or if adequacy of controls cannot be determined by review of the prime contractor's control, the subcontractor's property control system shall be evaluated in the same manner as that of a prime contractor, in accordance with procedures and criteria set forth in this Subpart 1845.72.

Category 10

Disposition. The process of requesting disposition instructions and effecting disposal of Government property.

a. Functional Area: Disclosure of excess.

Class	Characteristic
I	(1) Excess items are screened for use on other contracts before declaration as excess.
I	(2) Items determined excess are promptly reported.
I	(3) Declaration as excess is complete and accurate.
I	(4) Item was allocable to contract from which declared excess.

b. Functional Area: Disposal.

Class	Characteristic
I	(1) There is proper authority for disposition.
I	(2) Item was disposed of within a reasonable time after authority was received.
I	(3) Identification tag is removed from item prior to disposal, when appropriate.
I	(4) Documentation of disposition is complete and reflects authority, disposal action, and date of disposal and is posted to record.
I	(5) When appropriate, proceeds have been credited to the Government.

(End of Table 1845–1)

1845.7209–2 System surveys: surveillance.

The property administrator shall conduct a complete system survey annually to obtain thorough knowledge of the contractor's efficiency and system of property control. Completion of a system survey involving complex property control systems may require detailed tests and evaluations over an extended period of time. If deficiencies in physical control or records are disclosed, the property administrator must (a) ensure that the contractor takes corrective action and (b) evaluate its effectiveness. In such instances, test and evaluation of any one category shall be completed as expeditiously as possible, and the working papers and analysis shall be retained for consideration and incorporation into the summary and survey case file.

1845.7209–3 System surveys: scheduling and planning.

(a) At the beginning of each calendar year, the property administrator shall prepare a schedule showing the names of the contractors and the dates on which each system survey is to be initiated and completed.

(b) Before initiating any system survey, the property administrator shall

establish a survey plan providing, as a minimum—

(1) Identification and listing of the categories, functional areas, and characteristics to be evaluated (see Table 1845–1);

(2) Evaluation of approved property control procedures applicable to the categories to be examined, and noting of any portions of them that should be reviewed with operating personnel for possible updating (if a functional area of the property control system is not covered by procedures, no attempt should be made to survey that area at that time, but that area should be recorded as unsatisfactory and action taken to correct the condition); and

(3) Directions for preparing work papers necessary to document the file.

1845.7209–4 Testing the system.

In conducting tests of the contractor's property control system, the following factors should be considered to assure adequate coverage of requirements peculiar to particular classes of property and functional areas:

(a) *Materials.* Materials should be considered as bulk quantities, as contrasted to individual items. Examinations should be directed to—

(1) Tracing inbound transportation units from:

(i) Bills of lading or other transportation documents to receiving reports, in order to verify the receiving reports and to ensure that proper action is taken on shortages, damages, and other discrepancies, and

(ii) Stock records to assure that the receipts were accurately posted;

(2) Abstracting nomenclature and balance data from stock records and making physical counts to determine accuracy of the stock records;

(3) Tracing posting of credits to (i) stock records (by date, reference number, and quantity) and (ii) issue documents, in order to assure accuracy of the postings and validity of the documents (signature by authorized individual and indication of reasons for issue or point of delivery, or both, to indicate proper contract use); and

(4) Determining, to the extent practicable at the point of receipt and use, whether undue quantities are issued, charged to cost, and held on the plant floor rather than being held under better security in stores.

(b) *Custodial items.* Issues shall be traced from stores records to tool cribs, office stock rooms, uniform rooms, and the like, to determine whether they are taken into account as part of a sound control system. It should be determined that issues to contractor personnel are covered by tool chits, uniform slips, or other mechanisms to ensure return, or ability to locate items to be returned, ensuring that new items are not issued without return of worn-out items or that suitable explanation is provided.

(c) *End items.* General techniques for surveying materials are applicable to end items placed in storage pending shipment. Examination shall include tracing from Government acceptance records of the contractor's claims for reimbursement to physical quantities on hand and quantities on validated shipping documents.

(d) *Plant equipment costing less than $5,000.* If summary stock records are used for this class of property, examination using the "bulk quantities" approach in paragraph (a) of this section is applicable but shall also cover—

(1) Identification if required pursuant to FAR 45.505–5 (any identification numbers shall be physically verified); and

(2) Location as prescribed in FAR 45.505(g), creating need for physical verification of presence or absence of the property in the location shown by the location record.

(e) *Plant equipment costing $5,000 or more.* Testing on an item-by-item basis is usually required to achieve desired results. Determinations demanding special attention include whether—

(1) Government screening and approval requirements are observed;

(2) Classification of property is accurate, both at time of requisition or purchase and at time of receipt, through the use of Cataloging Handbooks H2–1, H2–2, and H2–3;

(3) An item is actually applied to the requirement for which acquired, and, if deviation is made, that any necessary notice and Government approval have been obtained;

(4) Receiving documentation is complete and accurate, indicating assignment of identification number, treatment of accessory and auxiliary equipment as required, and the DD Form 1342 (DoD Property Record), or equivalent format, is prepared and processed when required by 1845.505–670;

(5) From physical inspection of the property, the equipment records are accurate, including location and classification as to use (examination shall be conducted from property to records and from records to property); and

(6) Disposition is initiated as required if equipment is no longer required at the plant (examination shall include the adequacy of the procedure for preparing and submitting DD Form 1342 (Property Record), or equivalent format, where specified, propriety of authority for shipment, and proper accounting for accessory and auxiliary equipment).

(f) *Special test equipment.* The examination of special test equipment shall be essentially the same as for plant equipment costing $5,000 or more, except for recognizing the greater complexity of assemblies classified as single items and the possible need for assistance and advice of engineering personnel. Examinations shall include tracing of individual components into the assembly to assure a clear trail, particularly with respect to general purpose test equipment components, and propriety of disposition of components upon disassembly.

(g) *Special tooling.* Testing for plant equipment, as in paragraphs (d) and (e) of this section, may be used as a guide to establish the method and sampling for special tooling. When option as to title to special tooling is involved under terms of the contract (see the clause at FAR 52.245–17, Special Tooling), examination need only be sufficient to comply with the request of the contracting officer.

(h) *Real property.* After initial turnover of real property to a contractor, tests and examinations normally shall be directed to work orders of the contractor and documentation from Government sources as to additions and other capital improvements or disposals or capital decreases.

(i) *Scrap and salvage.* Tests relating to scrap and salvage may be similar to those for materials as outlined in paragraph (a) of this section. However, special attention should be given to—

(1) Tracing from credit entries on materials records (showing turn-in to scrap) to corresponding debits to scrap records;

(2) Determining from analysis of consumption of materials over a given period that the quantities indicated as being scrapped or spoiled are matched with comparable receipts in the scrap and salvage accounts; and

(3) Determining that, when conversions of units of property to pounds of scrap or from estimated to scale weights or to other units of measure are made, the formula for the conversion is shown on the document affected or is readily available in the approved contractor procedure.

(j) *Analyzing consumption of materials.* It shall be determined by both physical examination and analysis of records that quantities consumed are for proper purposes and in reasonable amounts. In analyzing consumption of component parts or other production materials, unit allowance (equalling amount required per end-item plus

normal spoilage) for each line item of materials may be available in the contract, bill of materials, blueprints, or shop drawings of items fabricated, or in cost computations supporting the end-item price. If such unit allowance information is not available, technical personnel may be consulted as to whether quantities consumed are within accepted industry standards.

(k) *Testing of physical inventories.* The property administrator has the option of conducting tests of the contractor's physical inventories either during the performance of the inventory or subsequent to its completion. In either event, tests shall evidence physical counts of selected items without knowledge of record balances, verification of the entries on count slips, comparisons with records, preparation of documents necessary to any adjustments required, approval of adjustments, and the referral of lists of adjustments to the property administrator pursuant to FAR 45.508–2.

(l) *Examination of maintenance program.* The property administrator shall trace the actions scheduled to determine whether they have been performed and that the actions stated by the contractor's procedures have been included. Also, records of the maintenance or repair shop or the contractor's purchase orders shall be examined as to causes of breakdowns of equipment to determine whether they were the result of inadequate preventive or routine maintenance.

1845.7209–5 Performing the system survey.

In performing the system survey, the property administrator shall follow the procedures in paragraphs (a) through (e) of this section.

(a)(1) The lot size shall be estimated. Insofar as possible, lots selected shall consist of all the following current operations of the contractor:

(i) Those transactions (excepting disposition transactions) that have occurred during the last 90 days preceding the date of sampling and the documents recording those actions. (If no transactions have taken place during the last 90 days, samples will be taken from transactions going back to the last system survey.)

(ii) Articles in the possession or control of the contractor at that time.

(iii) Dispositions occurring since the last survey was made.

(2) The lot should encompass the maximum number of units possible within a functional area. For example, transactions pertaining to special tooling, special test equipment, and plant equipment may be combined into a single lot and sampled for their common characteristics. Characteristics not common to units sampled shall be extracted for evaluation as a part of a separate lot.

(b) Sample sizes and statistical sampling techniques shall be in accordance with 1845.7213.

(c) After the examinations are performed and the findings recorded, the findings shall be analyzed and the conclusions and recommendations recorded. Decisions as to satisfactory or unsatisfactory conditions shall be made for each lot at the functional area level.

(d) If any category is found unsatisfactory during a survey, the property administrator shall determine the effects upon the complete system. All other applicable categories shall be surveyed to identify other defects and the extent of defectiveness in the overall system.

(e) The property administrator shall discuss problems disclosed during the survey with the contractor's personnel as they are noted, or during the exit interviews, and shall strive to resolve differences informally. Resolved problem areas shall be reported in the record of system evaluation, with the notation that they have been corrected.

1845.7209–6 System survey summary.

(a) The property administrator shall prepare a formal record at the conclusion of each system survey in the format set forth below:

(1) *Introduction:* Contractor's name and address, period of survey, and types of property involved.

(2) *Method used:* Explain method of performing the survey.

(3) *Conclusions:* State conclusions reached (if unsatisfactory categories, functional areas, or characteristics are found, identify the defects found).

(4) *Action required:* State any actions necessary to correct unsatisfactory conditions.

(b) The property administrator shall forward a summary of the system survey to the contractor, advising of any unsatisfactory conditions and requesting the contractor to correct them within the time limitations agreed to during the exit interview. The property administrator shall also advise the contractor in this letter that failure to correct the unsatisfactory conditions may result in disapproval of its property control system. A copy of the summary shall also be retained in a survey case file, and whenever unsatisfactory conditions have been disclosed, a copy of the summary shall be provided to the administrative contracting officer. When conditions dictate (e.g., indication of significant noncompliance with contract requirements or other continued failures jeopardizing the interest of the Government), the purchasing office and the pre-award survey monitor shall also be advised in writing.

1845.7209–7 Correction of unsatisfactory conditions.

If unsatisfactory conditions are disclosed, the property administrator shall ensure that corrective action is taken. If the contractor fails to take corrective action or to respond to the letter forwarded as prescribed in 1845.7209–6 above, the property administrator shall proceed in accordance with 1845.7208–6 and FAR 45.104(c).

1845.7209–8 Survey case file.

The property administrator shall establish a case file for each system survey, containing the survey plan, work papers, and summary. The case file shall be maintained in the Contract Property Control Data File or the general file which the property administrator maintains for matters pertaining to that contractor.

1845.7209–9 Additional administrative responsibilities.

The initial review, evaluation, and subsequent visits should give the property administrator a reasonable indication of future workload with each contractor. Loss, damage, destruction, or excessive consumption of Government property demand the property administrator's significant and prompt attention, particularly when the contractor's system is not approved.

1845.7209–10 Declaration of excess property.

A problem often disclosed by systems surveys is the failure of a contractor to report Government property not needed in performance of the contract (excess). The property administrator shall fully document and report any such finding to the administrative contracting officer. After a report of excess received from a contractor has been referred to the plant clearance officer for screening and ultimate disposition, the property administrator shall ensure prompt disposition. For centrally reportable plant equipment, the property administrator shall—

(a) Assure the preparation and submission of individual reports (DD Form 1342 or equivalent) required of the contractor;

(b) Verify to permit certifications required by the forms; and

(c) Transmit the report to the NASA Industrial Property Officer.

1845.7210 Closure of contracts.

1845.7210-1 Completion or termination.

Upon completion or termination of a contract, the property administrator shall—

(a) Monitor the actions of the contractor in returning excess Government property not referred to the plant clearance officer; and

(b) Advise the cognizant plant clearance officer as to the existence at a contractor's plant of residual property requiring disposal.

1845.7210-2 Final review and closing of contracts.

(a) When informed that disposition of Government property under a contract has been completed, the property administrator shall perform a final review and sign a determination that—

(1) Disposition of Government property has been properly accomplished and documented;

(2) Adjustment documents, including any request of the contractor for relief from responsibility, have been processed to completion;

(3) Proceeds from disposals or other property transactions, including adjustments, have been properly credited to the contract or paid to the Government as directed by the contracting officer;

(4) All questions as to title to property fabricated or acquired under the contract have been resolved and appropriately documented; and

(5) The contract property control record file is complete and ready for retirement.

(b) When final review pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section reveals that such action is proper, the property administrator shall accomplish and sign a DD Form 1593, Contract Administration Completion Record, or equivalent.

(c) The executed DD Form 1593 shall be forwarded to the contracting officer, the Property Summary Data Record shall be so annotated, and the contracting officer shall include it in the contract file.

1845.7211 Special subjects.

1845.7211-1 Government property at alternate locations of the prime contractor and subcontractor plants.

(a) Government property provided to a prime contractor may be located at other plants of the prime contractor or at subcontractor locations. The prime contractor is accountable and responsible to the Government for this property.

(b) A Government property administrator cognizant of the location of the property shall normally be designated to (1) perform required surveys of the property control system and (2) exercise surveillance over the property as a supporting responsibility.

(c) If the property administrator determines that supporting property administration is required, he or she shall write the cognizant contract administration office asking that a property administrator be assigned. The request for supporting property administration shall include—

(1) The name and address of the prime contractor;

(2) The prime contract number;

(3) The name and address of the alternate location of the prime contractor, or of the subcontractor where the property will be located;

(4) A listing of the property being furnished, or, if property is being acquired locally, a statement to this effect; and

(5) A copy of the subcontract or other document under which the property will be furnished or acquired.

(d) Concurrent with the action cited in paragraph (c) of this section, the property administrator shall ascertain whether the prime contractor will perform the necessary reviews and surveillance with the contractor's own personnel, or elect to rely upon the system approval and continuing surveillance by a supporting property administrator of the property control system at the alternate location or subcontractor plant. If the prime contractor advises that it will accept the findings of a supporting property administrator, a statement in writing to that effect shall be obtained. If the prime contractor does not so elect, it shall be required to perform the requisite reviews and surveillance and document its actions and findings.

(e) If a single item or limited quantities of property will be located at an alternate location or subcontractor plant, the property administrator may determine that supporting property administration is unnecessary, provided—

(1) The prime contractor's records adequately reflect the location and use of the property;

(2) The nature of the property is such that the possibility of its use for unauthorized purposes is unlikely; and

(3) The nature of the property is such that a program of preventive maintenance is not required.

(f) When supporting property administration will not be requested, the services of a property administrator in the contract administration office cognizant of the site where the property is located may be requested on an occasional basis for special reviews or such other support as may be necessary. Repeated requests for assistance indicate a requirement for requesting supporting property administration.

1845.7211-2 Loss, damage, or destruction of Government property.

(a) Normally, contract provisions provide for assumption of risk of loss, damage, or destruction of Government property as described below:

(1) Sealed-bid and certain negotiated fixed-price contracts provide that the contractor assumes the risk for all Government property provided under the contract (see the clause at FAR 52.245-2, Government Property (Fixed-Price Contracts)).

(2) Other negotiated fixed-price contracts provide that the contractor assumes the risk for all Government property provided under the contract, with the exceptions set forth in the clause at FAR 52.245-2, Alternate I and Alternate II.

(3) Cost-reimbursement contracts (see the clause at FAR 52.245-5, Government Property (Cost-Reimbursement, Time-and-Material, or Labor-Hour Contracts)) provide that the Government assumes the risk for all Government property provided under the contract when there is no willful misconduct or lack of good faith of any of the contractor's managerial personnel as defined in the contract.

(4) There are certain events for which the Government does not assume the risk of loss, damage, or destruction of Government property, such as risks the contract expressly requires the contractor to insure against. Therefore, before reaching a conclusion or making a determination, the contracting officer shall obtain property administrator review of the contract clause and shall obtain advice from appropriate legal counsel on questions of legal meaning or intent.

(5) "Willful misconduct" may involve any intentional or deliberate act or failure to act causing, or resulting in, loss, damage, or destruction of Government property.

(6) "Lack of good faith" may involve gross neglect or disregard of the terms of the contract or of appropriate directions of the contracting officer or the contracting officer's authorized representatives. Examples of lack of good faith may be demonstrated by the failure of the contractor's managerial personnel to establish and maintain proper training and supervision of employees and proper application of controls in compliance with instructions

issued by authorized Government personnel.

(b) If part of the contractor's system is found to be unsatisfactory, the property administrator shall increase surveillance of that part to prevent, to the extent possible, any loss, damage, or destruction of Government property. The property administrator shall give special attention to reasonably ensuring that any loss, damage, or destruction occurring during a period when a contractor's system is not approved is identified before approval or reinstatement of approval.

1845.7211-3 Loss, damage, or destruction of Government property while in contractor's possession or control.

(a) The property administrator shall require the contractor to report any loss, damage, or destruction of Government property in its possession or control (including property in the possession or control of subcontractors) as soon as it becomes known.

(b) When physical inventories, consumption analyses, or other actions disclose:

(1) Consumption of Government property considered unreasonable by the property administrator or

(2) Loss, damage, or destruction of Government property not reported by the contractor,

the property administrator shall prepare a statement of the items and amount involved. This statement shall be furnished to the contractor for investigation and submission of a written report to the property administrator relative to the incidents reported.

(c) The contractor's reports referenced in paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section shall contain factual data as to the circumstances surrounding the loss, damage, destruction, or excessive consumption, including—

(1) The contractor's name and the contract number;

(2) A description of items lost, damaged, destroyed, or unreasonably consumed;

(3) The cost of property lost, damaged, destroyed, or unreasonably consumed and cost of repairs in instances of damage (in event actual cost is not known, use reasonable estimate);

(4) The date, time (if pertinent), and cause or origin of the loss, damage, destruction, or consumption;

(5) Known interests in any commingled property of which the Government property lost, damaged, destroyed, or unreasonably consumed is (or was) a part;

(6) Insurance, if any, covering the Government property or any part or interest in any commingled property;

(7) Actions taken by the contractor to prevent further loss, damage, destruction, or unreasonable consumption and to prevent repetition of similar incidents; and

(8) Other facts or circumstances relevant to determining liability and responsibility for repair or replacement.

(d) The property administrator shall investigate the incident to the degree required to reach a valid and supportable conclusion as to:

(1) The contractor's liability for the loss, damage, destruction, or unreasonable consumption under the terms of the contract, and

(2) The course of action required to conclude the adjustment action.

When required, the assistance of the quality assurance representative, industrial specialist, insurance officer, legal counsel, or other technician will be secured. When the contractor acknowledges liability, the property administrator shall forward a copy of the credit memorandum or other adjusting document to the administrative contracting officer and auditor, if appropriate, to assure proper credit. If analysis of contract provisions and circumstances establishes that the loss, damage, destruction, or consumption constitutes a risk assumed by the Government, the property administrator shall so advise the contractor in writing, thereby relieving the contractor of responsibility for the property. A copy of the documentation and notification to the contractor shall be retained in the Contract Property Control Data File for the contract.

(e)(1) If the property administrator concludes that the contractor is liable for the loss, damage, destruction, or unreasonable consumption of Government property, he or she shall forward the complete file with conclusions and recommendations to the contracting officer for review and determination. The file shall contain—

(i) A statement of facts as supported by investigation;

(ii) Recommendations as to the contractor's liability and its amount;

(iii) Recommendations as to action to be taken with regard to third party liability, if appropriate;

(iv) Requirements for disposition, repair, or replacement of damaged property; and

(v) Other pertinent comments.

(2) A copy of the contracting officer's determination shall be furnished to the contractor and the property administrator, and a copy shall be retained in the contracting officer's files. The property administrator's copy shall be filed in the Contract Property Control Data File for the contract when all pertinent actions, such as compensation to the Government or repair or replacement of the property, have been completed.

1845.7211-4 Financial reports.

The property administrator is responsible for obtaining financial reports as prescribed in 1845.505-14 for all contracts assigned to him or her. Reports shall be accumulated, reviewed and distributed as required. Contractors are required to submit separate reports on each contract that contains the property reporting clause (see 1852.245-73) except as noted in 1845.7101-4(c).

1845.7212 Contractor utilization of Government property.

1845.7212-1 Utilization surveys.

(a) The property administrator is responsible for ensuring that the contractor has effective procedures for evaluating Government property utilization. However, when necessary, the contract administration office shall provide specialists qualified to perform the technical portion of utilization surveys to assist the property administrator in determining the adequacy of these procedures.

(b) Upon assignment of an initial contract under which Government-owned plant equipment in particular will be provided to a contractor, the property administrator shall ensure that the contractor has established effective procedures and techniques for controlling its utilization. The property administrator, with the assistance of technical specialists, if necessary, shall evaluate these procedures. A record of the evaluation shall be prepared and become a part of the property administration file. If the procedures are determined inadequate, the record shall identify the deficiencies and the corrective actions necessary. If the deficiencies are not corrected by the contractor, the property administrator shall promptly refer the matter to the contracting officer.

(c) The property administrator shall perform annual surveys of the contractor's procedures related to utilization of Government-owned plant equipment. At contractor facilities having a substantial quantity of plant equipment, the surveys should normally be conducted on a continual basis, reviewing equipment utilization records and physically observing a group of preselected items during each portion of the survey. Surveys shall be conducted

to the degree determined necessary, considering the findings of prior surveys and the contractor's performance history in identifying and declaring equipment excess to authorized requirements. The contractor shall be required to justify, by specific Government programs, the retention of all Government-owned plant equipment. The property administrator shall make maximum use of contractor's machine loading data, order boards, production planning records, machine time records, and other production control methods.

(d) The property administrator shall conduct a special survey when a significant change occurs in the contractor's production schedules, such as a termination, completion of a contract, or a major adjustment in a program. Special surveys may be limited to a given department, activity, or division of a contractor's operation.

(e) In the absence of adequate justification for retention, the contractor shall identify and report Government-owned plant equipment in accordance with FAR 45.502(g) and FAR 45.503–2(b)(4). Items that are part of approved inactive package plants or standby lines are exempted from utilization surveys. The contracting officer shall ascertain periodically whether existing authorizations for standby or lay-away requirements are current.

1845.7212–2 Records of surveys.

The property administrator shall prepare a record incorporating written findings, conclusions, and recommendations at the conclusion of each survey. If appropriate, the property administrator's record may be limited to a statement expressing concurrence with the reports of other specialists. The property administrator shall retain one copy of each record in the property administration file.

1845.7212–3 Scope of survey.

The property administrator shall consider and use as appropriate the following in preparing for, conducting, and recording the results of the plant equipment utilization surveys:

(a) Identification of contracts under which plant equipment was furnished or acquired.

(b) Number and dollar value of plant equipment items in contractor's possession.

(c) Adequacy of equipment use records.

(d) Identification of contracts for which use of plant equipment is authorized.

(e) Other authorized use (Government or commercial) of the plant equipment, whether required approvals have been obtained, and whether rental payment is required.

(f) Planned machine loadings, including performance of a physical review of selected plant equipment items.

(g) Whether contractor-owned equipment of like function is loaded before loading Government-owned plant equipment.

(h) Items reported by quality assurance representatives or other personnel to be in a questionable use and utilization status.

(i) Items of plant equipment that may be made available for other use by combining the work of two or more machines on a single machine with low utilization rate. In such case the survey record should indicate the date the DD Form 1342, DOD Property Record or equivalent format, was forwarded to the NASA contracting officer.

1845.7213 Statistical sampling.

(a) *General.* Statistical sampling supports, but does not replace, the property administrator's judgment. It is used to evaluate and determine the performance level for each functional area and category within each property control system. The lot to be examined for defects should encompass the maximum possible number of line items of property, records and documents. All items in the lot must have common characteristics and the same control elements of the property control system must apply or more than one lot will be necessary. Items selected for sampling may be used to examine characteristics of more than one category (i.e., items selected under records may be used to examine characteristics of acquisition, stock control, storage and movement, maintenance, physical inventory, utilization, and consumption).

(b) *Use of statistical sampling plans.* The Government's risk shall not exceed 10% excepting any slight variations due to changes in lot sizes. Table 1845–2 contains sampling plans for use in achieving a confidence level of 90%, i.e., there is 90% confidence of rejecting lots having 10% or more defectives. The sample sizes to be examined may be determined from either Table 1845–2A or B.

(c) *Random number table.* (1) Use of a table of random numbers is a common technique for drawing a sample. (A table is available from the NASA Headquarters Supply and Equipment Management Division (Code NIE).) However randomization techniques may be applied provided they are defined beforehand in the property administration survey plan and exhibit clear protection against bias. In any event, the number of items in the lot must not be overestimated to avoid selection of random numbers greater than the lot. For example, if the lot is 9,000, only numbers lower than 9,001 shall be selected. Using a random table to draw a random sample requires the following four steps:

(i) First step. A pattern must be established between the numbers in the table and items in the lot to be sampled. It is possible to use the whole random number or any portion thereof. For instance, the number 18,967 may appear in the table. If the lot size is more than 99 but less than 1,000, a three digit number is required and either the first three digits (189) or the last three (967) may be used. If the lot size is more than 999 but less than 10,000, a four digit number is required and either the first four digits (1,896) or the last four (8,967) may be used. Once this pattern has been established, it must be consistently used throughout the sample selection process.

(ii) Second step. A procedure for selecting the numbers from the table must be selected. Any systematic path for going through the table, if the path is clear and does not cross over or reuse any number previously used, is acceptable. It is possible to proceed across rows, down columns, diagonally, clockwise, counterclockwise, or in some combinations of these methods; however, it is usually desirable to choose a simple pattern and go down columns or across rows.

(iii) Third step. The starting point in the table shall be selected at random. The most used method is to open the table of random numbers to any page and to use the number indicated by an arbitrarily placed pencil point.

(iv) Fourth step. Beginning at the starting point and proceeding through the table as planned in the second step, record the numbers found in succession in the table, using all or part of the number as planned in the first step. Duplicate numbers shall be skipped. The selection process shall be continued until the required sample size is drawn.

(2) Numbers taken from the random table shall be arranged and recorded in numerical order. If the units of the lot to be examined are already consecutively numbered, the units having the numbers corresponding to those taken from the random table become the sample units. Otherwise, the sample units shall be found by counting to the numbers taken from the random table.

TABLE 1845-2A—Single Sampling Plan

Lot size	Sample size	Limits	
		Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1-17	All	0	1
18-50	17	0	1
51-90	31	1	2

TABLE 1845-2A—Single Sampling Plan—Continued

Lot size	Sample size	Limits	
		Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
91-150	44	2	3

TABLE 1845-2A—Single Sampling Plan—Continued

Lot size	Sample size	Limits	
		Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
Over 150	65	3	4

TABLE 1845-2B

Double Sampling Plan

Lot range	Sample size 1	Accept if defects in Sample 1 are	Reject if defects in Sample 1 are	Continue with Sample 2 if defects in Sample 1 are	Sample size 2	Accept if sum of defects in Samples 1 and 2 equals or is less than	Reject if sum of defects in Samples 1 and 2 equals or exceeds
1-18	All	0	1				
19-50	19	0	1				
51-90	21	0	2	1	21	1	2
91-150	25	0	3	1 or 2	25	2	3
151-400	32	0	4	1, 2, or 3	32	3	4
401-10,000	34	0	4	1, 2, or 3	34	3	4
10,001-35,000	40	0	5	1, 2, 3, or 4	40	4	5
35,001-100,000	46	0	6	1, 2, 3, 4, or 5	46	5	6
100,000+	52	0	7	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, or 6	52	6	7

PART 1846—QUALITY ASSURANCE

Subpart 1846.2—Contract Quality Requirements

Sec.

1846.270 Contract clauses for space flight-related operations.

Subpart 1846.4—Government Contract Quality Assurance

1846.470 Contract clause.

Subpart 1846.6—Material Inspection and Receiving Reports

1846.670 Introduction.
1846.670-1 General.
1846.670-2 Applicability.
1846.670-3 Use.
1846.670-4 Application.
1846.670-5 Forms.
1846.671 Procurement quality assurance on shipments between contractors.
1846.672 Preparing DD Forms 250 and 250c.
1846.672-1 Preparation instructions.
1846.672-2 Consolidated shipments.
1846.672-3 Correction instructions.
1846.672-4 Invoice instructions.
1846.672-5 Packing-list instructions.
1846.672-6 Receiving instructions.
1846.673 Distribution of DD Forms 250 and 250c.
1846.674 Contract clause.

Subpart 1846.7—Warranties

1846.703 Criteria for use of warranties.
1846.703-70 Additional criteria.
1846.704 Authority for use of warranties.
1846.709 Warranties of commercial items.
1846.709-70 Limitation.
1846.770 Administration.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 2473(c)(1).

Subpart 1846.2—Contract Quality Requirements

1846.270 Contract clauses for space flight-related operations.

(a) The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 1852.246-70, Space Transportation System (STS) Personnel Reliability Program, in solicitations and contracts involving critical positions in accordance with NASA Management Instruction 8610.13. The clause, however, shall not be used in procurements for flight crew members or payload specialists when these individuals are covered by other NASA Management Instructions that have screening requirements equivalent to those in NMI 8610.13 (for example, NMI 7100.16, Payload Specialists for NASA-Related Projects).

(b) The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 1852.246-73, Manned Space Flight Item, in solicitations and contracts for manned space flight hardware and flight-related equipment where it has been determined by the technical requirements initiator that the highest available quality standards are necessary to ensure astronaut safety.

Subpart 1846.4—Government Contract Quality Assurance

1846.470 Contract clause.

The contracting officer may insert a clause substantially as stated at 1852.246-71, Government Contract Quality Assurance Functions, in solicitations and contracts. Insert the items involving quality assurance, the applicable functions (e.g., preliminary inspection, final inspection, acceptance), and the place(s) of performance appropriate for the particular procurement. See FAR 46.401.

Subpart 1846.6—Material Inspection and Receiving Reports

1846.670 Introduction.

1846.670-1 General.

(a) This Subpart 1846.6 contains procedures and instructions for using, preparing, and distributing the Material Inspection and Receiving Report (MIRR) (DD Form 250 series) and suppliers' commercial shipping/packing lists used to evidence Government procurement quality assurance (PQA).

(b) MIRRs are used by receiving, status control, technical, contracting, inventory control, requisitioning, and paying activities to document PQA, acceptance of supplies and services, and shipments. MIRR's are not required to be used for—

(1) Shipments by subcontractors when direct shipment is not made to the Government;

(2) Shipment of contractor inventory (see FAR 45.601); or

(3) Movement of Government property unless for original acquisition.

(c) To preclude delays in shipments or payments and avoid multiple corrections, contractors are encouraged

to consult the cognizant Government representative regarding implementation of this subpart.

1846.670-2 Applicability.

(a) This subpart applies to all deliveries of supplies or services procured by or for NASA except—

(1) Procurements effected under FAR Part 13;

(2) Negotiated subsistence procurements;

(3) Subcontracts under which direct shipment is not made to the Government; or

(4) Contracts for which the end item is a technical or scientific report.

(b) When NASA provides PQA and/or acceptance services for non-NASA activities, the MIRR shall be prepared in accordance with the instructions of this subpart unless the contract specifies otherwise.

1846.670-3 Use.

The DD Form 250 is a multipurpose report used for—

(a) PQA—to provide evidence of PQA at origin or destination;

(b) Acceptance—to provide evidence of acceptance at origin or destination;

(c) Packing list;

(d) Receiving;

(e) Shipping;

(f) Contractor invoice;

(g) Contractor invoice support; and

(h) Contractor internal use.

1846.670-4 Application.

(a) The DD Form 250 shall be used for delivery of contract line, contract subline, exhibit line, or exhibit subline items.

(b) If the "shipped to," "marked for," "shipped from," "PQA," and "acceptance" data are the same for more than one shipment made on the same day under the same contract, one MIRR shall be prepared to cover all such shipments, except that, if the volume of the shipments precludes the use of a single car, truck, or other vehicle, either a copy of the single MIRR or a separate MIRR shall be provided for each vehicle.

(c) The DD Form 250 may be, but is not required to be, used for imprest fund purchases, purchase orders, delivery orders placed against Federal Supply Schedule contracts, delivery orders placed against indefinite-delivery contracts, or delivery orders placed against blanket purchase agreements, or whenever the purchasing, requisitioning, or ordering document adequately provides for inspection and/or acceptance.

1846.670-5 Forms.

(a) Contractors may obtain from the contracting office upon request, and at no cost, MIRR forms required for use in connection with Government contracts.

(b) Contractors may print forms, provided:

(1) Their format and dimensions (DD Forms 250 and 250c 8½ in. × 11 in.) are identical to the MIRR forms printed by the Government and

(2) The forms are cast to provide for 78 characters per printed image horizontally and 62 lines vertically border-to-border for the DD Form 250 and 61 lines vertically border-to-border for the DD Form 250c.

1846.671 Procurement quality assurance on shipments between contractors.

(a) The supplier's commercial shipping document/packing list shall indicate performance of required PQA actions at subcontract level. The following entries shall be made on the supplier's commercial shipping document/packing list:

Required PQA of listed items has been performed.

Date: (Signature of Authorized Government Representative) (Typed Name and Office)

(b) Distribution for Government purposes shall be one copy—

(1) With shipment;

(2) For the Government representative at consignee (via mail); and

(3) For the Government representative at consignor.

1846.672 Preparing DD Forms 250 and 250c.

1846.672-1 Preparation instructions.

DD Form 250, Material Inspection and Receiving Report (MIRR), and DD Form 250c, Material Inspection and Receiving Report—Continuation Sheet, shall be prepared as follows:

(a) *General.* (1) The date, when required, shall utilize seven spaces consisting of the last two digits of the year, three-alpha month abbreviation, and two digits for the day (e.g., 67AUG07, 87SEP24).

(2) The address, when required, shall consist of the name, street address/P.O. box, city, State, and ZIP code.

(3) When the DD Form 250c is used, the data entered in the blocks at the top of the form shall be identical to the comparable entries in Blocks 1, 2, 3, and 6 of the DD Form 250.

(4) Overflow data of the DD Form 250 shall be entered in Block 16 or in the body of the DD Form 250c with appropriate block cross reference. Additional DD Form 250c sheets solely for continuation of Block 23 data shall not be numbered or distributed as part of the MIRR.

(b) *Classified information.* Classified information shall not be included in or appear on the MIRR, nor shall the MIRR be classified.

(c) *Block 1*—PROC. INSTRUMENT IDEN. (CONTRACT).

(1) Enter the contract number as contained in the contractual document, including any applicable call/order number.

(2) Enter the name of the contracting office immediately below the contract number. This requirement may be satisfied by inclusion of the approved prefix used in the contract number to identify the contracting office.

(d) *Block 2*—SHIPMENT NO.

(1) The shipment number is composed of a three-alpha-character prefix and a four-character numeric or alpha-numeric serial number.

(i) The prefix shall be controlled and assigned by the prime contractor and shall consist of three alpha characters for each "shipped from" address (Block 11).

(ii) The first shipment under a prime contract from each "shipped from" address shall be numbered 0001; all subsequent shipments under that prime contract shall be consecutively numbered.

(A) Alpha-numerics shall be used when more than 9,999 numbers are required. Alpha-numerics shall be serially assigned, with the alpha in the first position, followed by the three-position numeric serial number. The alpha-numeric sequence shall be (the letters I and O shall not be used) A001 through A999 (10,001 through 10,999); B001 through B999 (11,001 through 11,999); to Z999.

(B) When this series is completely used, numbering shall revert to 0001.

(2) The shipment number of the initial shipment shall be reassigned when a "replacement shipment" is involved (see paragraph (r)(2)(iv) of this section).

(3) The prime contractor shall control deliveries and on the last shipment of the contract shall suffix the shipment number with a "Z" in addition to that required for line items (see Block 17). If the contract final shipment is from other than the prime contractor's plant, the prime contractor may elect:

(i) To direct the subcontractor to suffix the "Z" or, on receipt of the subcontractor final shipment information,

(ii) To correct the DD Form 250 covering the last shipment from the prime contractor's plant by adding a "Z" to that shipment number.

(e) *Block 3*—DATE SHIPPED. Enter the date the shipment is released to the carrier or the date of completion of services. If the shipment will be released after the date of PQA and/or

acceptance, enter the estimated date of release. When the date is estimated, enter an "E" after it. Distribution of the MIRR shall not be delayed for entry of the actual shipping date. Reissuance of the MIRR is not required to show the actual shipping date.

(f) *Block 4*—B/L TCN. When applicable, enter—

(1) The commercial or Government bill of lading number after "B/L"; and

(2) The Transportation Control Number after "TCN."

(g) *Block 5*—DISCOUNT TERMS. The discount, in terms of percentages and corresponding days allowed, shall be entered as described below:

(1) The contractor may, at its option, enter the discount terms on all copies of the MIRR.

(2) When the MIRR is used as an invoice, see 1846.672–4.

(h) *Block 6*—INVOICE. Enter the invoice number and date as described below:

(1) The contractor may, at its option, enter the invoice number and date on all copies of the MIRR.

(2) When the MIRR is used as an invoice, see 1846.672–4.

(i) *Block 7*—PAGE/OF. Consecutively number the pages comprising the MIRR. On each page, enter the total number of pages of the MIRR.

(j) *Block 8*—ACCEPTANCE POINT. Enter an "S" for origin or "D" for destination as specified in the contract as the point of acceptance. Enter an alphabetic "O" for other if the point of acceptance is not specified in the contract.

(k) *Block 9*—PRIME CONTRACTOR. Enter the address.

(l) *Block 10*—ADMINISTERED BY. Enter the address of the contracting office cited in the contract.

(m) *Block 11*—SHIPPED FROM/ CODE/FOB.

(1) Enter the code and address of the "shipped from" location. If identical to Block 9, enter "See Block 9."

(2) For performance of services line items that do not require delivery of items upon completion of services, enter the code and address of the location at which the services were performed. If the DD Form 250 covers performance at multiple locations or if identical to Block 9, enter "See Block 9."

(3) Enter on the same line and to the right of "FOB" an "S" for origin or "D" for destination as specified in the contract. Enter an alphabetic "O" if the FOB point cited in the contract is other than origin or destination.

(n) *Block 12*—PAYMENT WILL BE MADE BY. Enter the address of the payment office cited in the contract.

(o) *Block 13*—SHIPPED TO. Enter the address of the consignee as contained in the contract or shipping instructions.

(p) *Block 14*—MARKED FOR. Enter the "mark for" address and/or other designation as contained in the contract or shipping instructions.

(q) *Block 15*—ITEM NO. Enter the contract line, contract subline, exhibit line, or exhibit subline item identification as set forth in the contract. If four or fewer digits are used, position them to the left of the vertical dashed line. Where a six-digit identification is used, enter the last two digits to the right of the vertical dashed line.

(r) *Block 16*—STOCK/PART NO./ DESCRIPTION.

(1) Enter, as applicable, for each line item, using single spacing between each line item, the following:

(i) The Federal Stock Number (FSN) or noncatalog number and, if applicable, prefix or suffix. When a number is not provided or it is necessary to supplement the number, include other identification, e.g., manufacturer's name or Federal Supply Code, as published in Cataloging Handbook H4–1, and part numbers. Additional part numbers may be shown in parentheses. Also enter the descriptive noun of the item nomenclature and, if provided, the Government-assigned management/ material control code. In the case of equal-kind supply items, the first entry shall be the description without regard to kind; e.g., "Resistor" or "Vacuum Tube". Below this description, enter the contract line item number in Block 15 and stock/part number followed by the size or type in Block 16.

(ii) On the next printing line, if required by the contract for control purposes, enter the make, model, serial number, lot, batch, hazard indicator, and/or similar description.

(iii) On the next printing line, enter the FEDSTRIP requisition number(s) when provided in the contract or shipping instructions.

(2) In addition to the entries required above, enter on the next line the following as appropriate (when applicable to all line item numbers identified in the MIRR, enter such data only once, after the last line item entry. Entries may be extended through Block 20):

(i) Enter in capital letters any special handling instructions/limits for material environmental control; e.g., temperature, humidity, aging, freezing, and shock.

(ii) When an FSN is required by, but not cited in, a contract and has not been furnished by the Government, shipment may be made without it at the direction of the contracting officer. Enter the authority for the shipment.

(iii) When Government-furnished property (GFP) is included with or incorporated into the line item, enter "GFP".

(iv) When the shipment consists of replacements for supplies previously furnished, enter in capital letters "REPLACEMENT SHIPMENT" (see subparagraph (s)(3) of this section for replacement indicators.)

(v) For items shipped with missing components, enter and complete the following: "Item(s) shipped short of the following component(s): FSN or comparable identification _____, Quantity _____, Estimated Value _____, Authority _____."

(vi) When shipment is made of components that were short on a prior shipment, enter and complete the following: "These components were listed as shortages on Shipment Number _____, date shipped _____."

(vii) When shipments involve drums, cylinders, reels, containers, skids, etc., designated as returnable under contract provisions, enter and complete the following: "Return to _____, Quantity _____, Item _____, Ownership (Government/contractor)."

(viii) Enter shipping container number(s), the type, and the total number of the shipping container(s) included in the shipment.

(ix) The MIRR shall be used to record and report the waivers and deviations from contract specifications, including the source and authority for the waiver or deviation (e.g., the contracting office authorizing the waiver or deviation and the identification of the authorizing document).

(x) For shipments involving discount terms, enter "DISCOUNT EXPEDITE" in at least one-inch outline-type letters.

(xi) When test/evaluation results are a condition of acceptance and are not available before shipment, the following note shall be entered if the shipment is approved by the contracting officer: "Note: Acceptance and payment are contingent upon receipt of approved test/evaluation results." The contracting officer shall advise (A) the consignee of the results (approval/disapproval) and (B) the contractor to withhold invoicing pending attachment to its invoice of the approved test/evaluation results.

(xii) The copy of the DD Form 250 required to support payment for destination acceptance (top copy of the four with shipment) or Alternative Release Procedure (ARP) origin acceptance (additional copy furnished to the Quality Assurance Representative (QAR)) shall be identified by entering "PAYMENT COPY" approximately one-half-inch outline-type letters with

"FORWARD TO BLOCK 12 ADDRESS" in approximately one-quarter-inch letters immediately below. Do not obliterate any other entries.

(xiii) A double line shall be drawn completely across the form following the last entry.

(s) *Block 17*—QUANTITY SHIP/REC'D.

(1) Enter the quantity shipped, using the unit of measure indicated in the contract for payment. When a second unit of measure is used for purposes other than payment, enter the appropriate quantity directly below in parentheses.

(2) Enter a "Z" below the first digit of the quantity when—

(i) The total quantity of the line item is delivered, including variations within contract terms; and

(ii) All shortages on items previously shipped short are delivered.

(3) If a replacement shipment is involved, enter below the first digit of the quantity the letter "A" to designate first replacement, "B" for second replacement, and so forth. The final shipment indicator "Z" shall not be used when a final line item shipment is replaced.

(t) *Block 18*—UNIT. Enter the abbreviation of the unit of measure indicated in the contract for payment. When a second unit of measure is indicated in the contract for purposes other than payment or is used for shipping purposes, enter the abbreviation of the second unit of measure directly below in parentheses. Authorized abbreviations are listed in MIL-STD-129, Marketing and Storage.

(u) *Block 19*—UNIT PRICE. Enter the unit price on all NASA copies whenever the MIRR is used for voucher or receiving purposes.

(v) *Block 20*—AMOUNT. Enter the extended amount when the unit price is entered in Block 19.

(w) *Block 21*—PROCUREMENT QUALITY ASSURANCE. The words "conform to contract" contained in the printed statements in Blocks A and B relate to contract obligations pertaining to quality and to the quantity of the items on the report. The statements shall not be modified. Notes taking exception shall be entered in Block 16 or on attached supporting documents with appropriate block cross reference.

(1) "A. ORIGIN."

(i) The authorized Government representative shall—

(A) Place an "X" when applicable in the appropriate PQA and/or acceptance box(es) to evidence origin PQA and/or acceptance (when the contract requires PQA at destination in addition to origin PQA, an asterisk shall be entered at the end of the statement and an explanatory note in Block 16);

(B) Enter the date of signature;

(C) Sign; and

(D) Enter the typed, stamped, or printed name of the signer and office code.

(2) "B. DESTINATION."

(i) When acceptance at origin is indicated in Block 21A, no entries shall be made in Block 21B.

(ii) When PQA and acceptance or acceptance is at destination, the authorized Government representative shall—

(A) Place an "X" in the appropriate box(es);

(B) Enter the date of signature;

(C) Sign; and

(D) Enter typed, stamped, or printed name and title.

(x) *Block 22*—RECEIVER'S USE. This block shall be used by the receiving activity (Government or contractor) to denote receipt, quantity, and condition. The receiving activity shall enter in this block the date the supplies arrived; when off-loading or in-checking occurs subsequent to the day of arrival of the carrier at the installation, the date of the carrier's arrival is the date received for purposes of this block.

(y) Block 23—CONTRACTOR USE ONLY. This block is provided and reserved for contractor use.

1846.672-2 Consolidated shipments.

When individual shipments are held at the contractor's plant for authorized transportation consolidation to a single destination on a single bill of lading, the applicable DD Forms 250 may be prepared at the time of PQA or acceptance prior to the time of actual shipment (see Block 3).

1846.672-3 Correction instructions.

When, because of errors or omissions, it is necessary to correct the MIRR after distribution has been made, it shall be revised by correcting the original master and distributing the corrected form. The corrections shall be made as follows:

(a) Circle the error and place the corrected information in the same block. If space is limited, enter the corrected information in Block 16, referencing the error page and block.

(b) The words "CORRECTIONS HAVE BEEN VERIFIED" shall be entered on page 1. The authorized Government representative shall date and sign immediately below the statement.

(c) MIRR's shall not be corrected for Block 19 and 20 entries.

(d) Pages of the MIRR requiring correction shall be clearly marked "CORRECTED COPY", avoiding obliteration of any other entries. Even though corrections are made on continuation sheets only, page 1 shall also be marked "CORRECTED COPY".

(e) Page 1 and only those continuation pages marked "CORRECTED COPY" shall be distributed to the initial distribution. A complete MIRR with corrections shall be distributed to new addressee(s) created by error corrections.

1846.672-4 Invoice instructions.

Contractors are encouraged to use copies of the MIRR as an invoice in lieu of a commercial form, but are not required to do so. When the MIRR is used as an invoice, four copies shall be prepared and forwarded to the payment office as follows:

(a) Complete Blocks 5, 6, 19, and 20.

(b) Mark, in letters approximately one inch high, the first copy "ORIGINAL INVOICE" and the remaining three copies "INVOICE COPY".

(c) Forward the four copies to the payment office (Block 12 address).

1846.672-5 Packing-list instructions.

Copies of the MIRR may be used as a packing list. If so, the packing list copies shall be in addition to the copies of the MIRR required for distribution (see 1846.673) and shall be marked "PACKING LIST".

1846.672-6 Receiving instructions.

When the MIRR is used for receiving purposes, procedures shall be as prescribed by local directives. If PQA and acceptance or acceptance of supplies is required upon arrival at destination, see Block 21B for instructions.

1846.673 Distribution of DD Forms 250 and 250c.

(a) DD Forms 250 and 250c shall be distributed in accordance with the procedures prescribed by the installation.

(b) The contractor is responsible for distributing DD Forms 250 and 250c in accordance with the provisions of the contract or instructions of the contracting officer.

1846.674 Contract clause.

The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 1852.246-72, Material Inspection and Receiving Report, in solicitations and contracts, except those using small purchase procedures or where the only deliverable items are technical or scientific reports. Insert the number of copies to be prepared. Paragraph (a) may be changed to specify advance copies or separate distribution of the DD Form 250.

Subpart 1846.7—Warranties

1846.703 Criteria for use of warranties.

1846.703-70 Additional criteria.

In deciding whether to use a warranty clause, at least the following factors shall be considered in addition to those at FAR 46.703:

(a) Cost of correction or replacement, either by the contractor or by another source, in the absence of a warranty.

(b) Operation of the warranty as a deterrent against the furnishing of defective or nonconforming supplies.

(c) Whether the contractor's present quality program is reliable enough to provide adequate protection without a warranty, or, if not, whether a warranty would cause the contractor to institute an effective and reliable quality program.

(d) Reliance on "brand-name" integrity.

(e) Whether a warranty is regularly given for a commercial component of a more complex end item.

1846.704 Authority for use of warranties.

(a) A warranty clause shall be used when it is found to be in the best interests of the Government, after an analysis of the factors listed in 1846.703-70 and FAR 46.703.

(b) Except for the warranty clause for commercial items covered in FAR 46.709 and FAR 46.710(a)(2), and warranties contained in Federal, military, or construction specifications, the decision to use a warranty clause or to include a warranty provision in a specification other than a Federal, military, or construction specification shall be made only upon the written authorization of the procurement officer or a designee. This decision may be made either for individual procurements or for classes of procurements.

(c) Warranties required by applicable architect-engineer specifications shall be included in construction contracts.

1846.709 Warranties of commercial items.

1846.709-70 Limitation.

In procurements involving a commercial item of supply or service or construction, the contracting officer may include in the solicitation and contract a warranty clause that is standard or customary in the trade, or that is substantially similar to and not in excess of a standard or customary trade warranty, but only if the contracting officer, after reviewing the factors listed in 1846.703-70 and FAR 46.703, decides that inclusion of such a clause is in the Government's best interest.

1846.770 Administration.

When the contracting officer is notified of a defect in warranted items, the contracting officer should ascertain whether the warranty is currently in effect and ensure that the contractor is given proper and timely notice of the defect.

PART 1847—TRANSPORTATION

Subpart 1847.2—Contracts for Transportation or for Transportation-Related Services

Sec.

1847.200 Scope of subpart.
1847.200-70 Charter of aircraft.

Subpart 1847.3—Transportation in Supply Contracts

1847.304 Determination of delivery terms.
1847.304-3 Shipments from CONUS for overseas delivery.
1847.304-370 NASA export privilege.
1847.305 Solicitation provisions, contract clauses, and transportation factors.
1847.305-10 Packing, marking, and consignment instructions.
1847.305-13 Transit arrangements.
1847.305-70 NASA contract clauses.

Subpart 1847.5—Ocean Transportation by U.S.-Flag Vessels

1847.506 Procedures.
1847.506-70 Compliance with the Cargo Preference Act.
1847.507 Contract clauses.

Subpart 1847.70— Protection of the Florida Manatee

1847.7001 Contract clause.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 2473 (c)(1).

Subpart 1847.2—Contracts for Transportation or for Transportation-Related Services

1847.200 Scope of subpart.

1847.200-70 Charter of aircraft.

When procuring aircraft by charter, contracting officers shall comply with NMI 7910.1, NASA Aircraft Management.

Subpart 1847.3—Transportation in Supply Contracts

1847.304 Determination of delivery terms.

1847.304-3 Shipments from CONUS for overseas delivery.

1847.304-370 NASA export privilege.

NASA has certain export licensing privileges for moving commodities to foreign destinations. Advice should be obtained from the transportation officer in order to make full use of these privileges.

1847.305 Solicitation provisions, contract clauses, and transportation factors.

1847.305-10 Packing, marking, and consignment instructions.

In contracts providing for delivery f.o.b. origin and shipment under Government bills of lading, consignment instructions may be limited to the mail address of the consignee (receiving activity), provided the contract instructions state: "Shipment other than mail shall be consigned as indicated on the Government bill of lading furnished to the contractor." Various receiving activities may have different consignment points for the various transportation media or for particular carriers within a medium, depending on the weight, shape, size, or nature of the shipment involved.

1847.305-13 Transit arrangements.

(a) When the provision at FAR 52.247-56 is used, the solicitation shall state that offers will be evaluated on the basis of the lowest overall cost to the Government, including transportation costs to NASA from point of origin to final destination, taking into account any applicable transit privileges.

(b) If the nature of the procurement is such that carload or truckload shipments might be made by the contractor from one point (such as a subcontractor's plant) to another for processing or fabrication and delivery to the Government, solicitations shall require the contractor to furnish information that will enable the Government to benefit from any transit privilege that might apply in shipment to final destination.

1847.305-70 NASA contract clauses.

(a) The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 1852.247-70, Returnable Containers, in contracts involving the purchase of gas or other supplies in contractor-furnished returnable, reusable containers, if the contractor retains title to the containers.

(b) The contracting officer may insert a clause substantially as stated at 1852.247-72, Advance Notice of Shipment, in solicitations and contracts when the f.o.b point is destination and special Government assistance is required in the delivery or receipt of the items. Insert the number of work days prior to shipment that advance notice is required, the items to be shipped, and the individual(s) to receive notification.

(c) The contracting officer may insert a clause substantially as stated at 1852.247-73, Shipment by Government Bills of Lading, in f.o.b. origin solicitations and contracts. Insert the name, title, mailing address, and

telephone number of the designated transportation officer or other official delegated responsibility for GBLs.

Subpart 1847.5—Ocean Transportation by U.S.-Flag Vessels

1847.506 Procedures.

1847.506-70 Compliance with the Cargo Preference Act.

(a) The transportation officer in each field installation shall establish and maintain a register to reflect adherence to the Cargo Preference Act. The register shall contain data related to shipments made by the installation and by NASA contractors. Where no transportation officer is available, it shall be maintained by the contracting office. The register shall contain pertinent details of ocean shipments, including, but not limited to, the ports of origin and destination of shipments, commodity descriptions, and gross weight, freight revenue, name of vessel, operator of vessel, and date of loading. The register shall be maintained current and organized so that adherence to the Cargo Preference Act can be ascertained at all times. Insofar as is practicable, compliance with the 50-percent minimum requirements of the Cargo Preference Act shall be maintained on a quarter-year basis; any deficiencies in maintaining compliance shall be corrected by the end of the calendar year.

(b) On the basis of the registers maintained under paragraph (a) of this section, quarterly reports reflecting ocean shipments shall be submitted to the Division of National Cargo, Office of Market Development, Maritime Administration, Department of Transportation, Washington, DC, 20590 (see FAR 47.506(d)). Negative reports are required when applicable. Reports shall be made by the transportation officers and contracting officers responsible for maintaining the registers.

1847.507 Contract clauses.

The clause at FAR 52.247-64 shall be used as prescribed in FAR 47.507. When it is used, it shall be used with the appropriate alternate.

Subpart 1847.70—Protection of the Florida Manatee

1847.7001 Contract clause.

The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 1852.247-71, Protection of the Florida Manatee, in solicitations and contracts when deliveries or vessel operations, dockside work, or disassembly functions under the contract will involve use of waterways inhabited by manatees (endangered marine mammals). The clause shall be included also in applicable subcontracts (including vendor deliveries).

PART 1848—VALUE ENGINEERING

Subpart 1848.1—Policies and Procedures

Sec.
1848.102 Policies.
1848.103 Processing value engineering change proposals.
1848.104 Sharing arrangements.
1848-104-2 Sharing collateral savings.

Subpart 1848.2—Contract Clauses

1848.201 Clauses for supply or service contracts.
1848.201-70 NASA conditions.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 2473(c)(1).

Subpart 1848.1—Policies and Procedures

1848.102 Policies.

(a) The exemptions permitted under FAR 48.102(a) are granted on a case-by-case basis, or for specific classes of contracts, by the Assistant Administrator for Procurement.

(b) Profit or fee shall be excluded when calculating instant or future contract savings, except that in calculating instant or future contract savings on firm-fixed-price contracts when the parties have not set out a specific figure for profit, the contracting officer shall use the total contract price as the basis for calculating the savings.

(c) The FAR requires agencies to establish procedures for funding and payment of the contractor's share of collateral savings and future contract savings. Therefore, the contracting officer shall notify the responsible technical official of the potential for awarding the contractor future or collateral savings if the submitted value engineering change proposal (VECP) is accepted. (See 1848.103.) Upon acceptance, the contracting officer shall obtain the concurrence of the program office and amend the instant contract to reflect payment of future or collateral savings.

1848.103 Processing value engineering change proposals.

Upon receipt of a VECP, the contracting officer shall promptly forward it to the technical officer responsible for the contract, indicating—

(a) The date the VECP was received;

(b) The date by which the contractor must be informed of the Government's acceptance or rejection of the VECP unless additional time is required for evaluation;

(c) The date by which the contracting officer must know of the technical officer's decision in order to timely accept or reject the VECP;

(d) The need for information required to inform the contractor if the VECP is to be rejected or if additional time is needed for evaluating the VECP;

(e) The potential for awarding concurrent, future, or collateral savings to the contractor if the VECP is accepted;

(f) That if the VECP is accepted, precise information will be needed with regard to the type of savings, Government costs, etc., that can be expected from its acceptance;

(g) The need for a procurement request setting forth the specification changes to be used in any contract modification accepting the VECP in whole or in part; and

(h) The need for additional funds if acceptance of the VECP results in negative instant contract savings.

1848.104 Sharing arrangements.

1848.104-2 Sharing collateral savings.

The contracting officer may make the determination that the cost of calculating and tracking collateral savings will exceed the benefits to be derived.

Subpart 1848.2—Contract Clauses

1848.201 Clauses for supply or service contracts.

1848.201-70 NASA conditions.

(a) *General.* The Assistant Administrator for Procurement may exempt a contract or a class of contracts from the requirements of FAR Part 48.

(b) *Value engineering incentive.* Unless the chief of the contracting activity authorizes its inclusion, the contracting officer shall not include the VE incentive clause in solicitations and contracts that fall under the exemptions at FAR 48.201(a) (1) through (5). With respect to the sixth exception (FAR 48.201(a)(6)), the procurement officer may not authorize inclusion of a VE clause in a contract or class of contracts exempted by the Assistant Administrator for Procurement.

(c) *Value engineering program requirement.* NASA contracting officers shall insert the VE program requirement clause (the clause at FAR 52.248-1 used with its Alternate I or II) in (1) initial production contracts for major systems and (2) major systems R&D contracts for full-scale development, unless the contracting officer determines that its use is inappropriate and documents the file to reflect that determination. The VE program requirement clause (FAR 52.248-1, Value Engineering, used with

its Alternate I or II) is appropriate for an R&D major systems contract only if the contract specifications contain detailed requirements that, in the contracting officer's judgment, lend themselves to VE.

(d) *Research and development.* The contracting officer may not insert either the VE incentive clause (FAR 52.248–1, Value Engineering) or the VE program requirement clause (FAR 52.248–1, Value Engineering, used with its Alternate I or II) in an R&D contract where the statement of work is essentially an incorporation by reference of the prospective contractor's proposal. If any other part of the statement of work in such a contract reflects a Government specification that might profit from or be improved by application of VE techniques, the contracting officer shall consider inserting the VE incentive clause (FAR 52.248–1, Value Engineering) or VE program requirement clause (FAR 52.248–1, Value Engineering, used with its Alternate I or II), to refer to that part.

PART 1849—TERMINATION OF CONTRACTS

Subpart 1849.1—General Principles

Sec.
1849.101 Authorities and responsibilities.
1849.101–70 Appointment/delegation.
1849.101–71 Termination authority.
1849.102 Notice of termination.
1849.102–70 Prior clearance of significant contract terminations.
1849.105 Duties of termination contracting officer after issuance of notice of termination.
1849.105–70 Termination docket checklist.
1849.110 Negotiation memorandum.
1849.111 Review of proposed settlements.
1849.111–70 Settlement Review Boards.
1849.111–71 Required review and approval.
1849.111–72 Scope of review.
1849.111–73 Action by the Board.
1849.111–74 Subcontracts.

Subpart 1849.5—Contract Termination Clauses

1849.505 Other termination clauses.
1849.505–70 NASA contract clause.

Subpart 1849.6—Contract Termination Forms and Formats

1849.603 Formats for termination for convenience settlement agreements.
1849.603–70 Termination Contracting Officer's Settlement Memorandum.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 2473(c)(1).

Subpart 1849.1—General Principles

1849.101 Authorities and responsibilities.

1849.101–70 Appointment/delegation.

(a) Heads of installations shall appoint a termination contracting officer (TCO) (see FAR 2.101) to perform specific duties relating to contract termination as one of that individual's primary functions. Such duties should include—

(1) Receiving and reviewing NASA Forms 1412, Termination Authority;

(2) Reviewing the contract and related documents before issuing the notice of termination, to ensure protection of the Government's rights under the contract;

(3) Issuing notices of termination, reinstatement, and recession to contractors;

(4) Assigning termination docket control numbers;

(5) Developing, maintaining, and managing basic controls relating to contract termination and settlement actions; and

(6) Carrying out the duties, functions, and responsibilities described in FAR Part 49 and this Part 1849.

(b) Contracting offices shall utilize the services of the Department of Defense and other Government agencies whenever possible to administer and negotiate settlement of terminated contracts. Delegation of the termination function shall be made in accordance with FAR Subpart 42.2 and Subpart 1842.2 of this Regulation.

1849.101–71 Termination authority.

NASA Form 1412, Termination Authority, is prescribed for use by NASA installations when initiating action to terminate a contract for convenience or default. The project manager or the activity initiating the procurement request should initiate the action by completing NASA Form 1412 and submitting it to the contracting officer.

1849.102 Notice of termination.

1849.102–70 Prior clearance of significant contract terminations.

(a) Before any notice or information concerning a proposed contract termination involving a reduction in employment of 100 or more contractor employees is released to a contractor, prior NASA Headquarters clearance of the information release is required; release of information to Congress or the public is the responsibility of NASA Headquarters through its liaison point designated in paragraph (b) of this section. In a labor surplus area, a reduction of fewer than 100 may be significant and, if so, should be similarly cleared.

(b) The contracting officer shall submit the following information to the Office of External Relations, NASA Headquarters (Code X):

(1) Contract number.
(2) Date of award.
(3) Type of contract.
(4) Name of company.
(5) Nature of contract or end item.
(6) Reasons for the termination.
(7) Contract price of items terminated
(8) Total number of contractor employees involved, including the Government's estimate of the number that may be discharged.
(9) Anticipated impact on the company and the community.
(10) Name of the community affected.
(11) Area labor category.
(12) Whether contractor is large or small business.
(13) Any known impact on disadvantaged employment programs.
(14) Total number of subcontractors involved and the impact in this area, if known.
(15) Unclassified draft of suggested press release.

(c) After the decision has been made to terminate a contract, the contracting officer shall immediately request clearance to release the information. Pending receipt of the clearance, "For Official Use Only" handling shall be used unless classified information is involved. The contracting officer shall furnish copies of the request to the Office of External Relations (Code X), and the cognizant program office.

(d) The Office of External Relations (Code X) shall act promptly on the request (not days after receipt) to avoid the accrual of termination costs.

1849.105 Duties of termination contracting officer after issuance of notice of termination.

1849.105–70 Termination docket checklist.

The termination contracting officer shall complete NASA Form 1413, Termination Docket Checklist, to ensure adequacy of records under FAR 49.105.

1849.110 Negotiation memorandum.

Formats for negotiation memoranda for settlements requiring Settlement Review Board action appear in 1849.603–70.

1849.111 Review of proposed settlements.

1849.111–70 Settlement Review Boards.

Procurement officers at each NASA installation shall establish a Settlement Review Board (the Board) to review proposed settlements or determinations as required by 1849.111–71(a). Each Board should be composed of at least three qualified employees of the installation with broad business and contracting experience. Each Board should include a lawyer, an engineer or industrial specialist, and, in appropriate cases, an accountant. Three members of the Board shall constitute a quorum; the

Board may act by a majority of the members present. No person may serve as a member of a Board in reviewing a settlement in which that member has participated.

1849.111-71 Required review and approval.

(a) *When required.* Before executing a settlement agreement, issuing a determination of the amount due under the termination clause of a contract, or approving or ratifying a subcontract settlement, the TCO shall submit it for review and approval by the Board if—

(1) It involves $50,000 or more (see FAR 49.002(d));

(2) It is limited to adjustment of the fee of a cost-reimbursement contract or subcontract and:

(i) In the case of a complete termination, the fee, as adjusted, is $50,000 or more, or

(ii) In the case of a partial termination, the fee, as adjusted, with respect to the terminated portion of the contract or subcontract is $50,000 or more;

(3) The procurement officer concerned determines that a review is desirable; or

(4) The TCO desires review by the Board.

(b) *Submission of information.* The TCO shall submit to the Board the Termination Settlement Supplemental Agreement and supporting documentation. The documentation should include copies of:

(1) The contractor's or subcontractor's settlement proposal,

(2) The audit report,

(3) Termination inventory schedules,

(4) Consolidated SF 1424, Inventory Disposal Report,

(5) The TCO's negotiation memorandum explaining the settlement (see FAR 49.110), and

(6) The opinion of any other Board that previously reviewed the settlement.

The Board will prescribe the number of copies and may require the submission of additional information.

1849.111-72 Scope of review.

The function of the Board is to judge the reasonableness of the proposed settlement agreement or determination. The Board may vary the scope and intensity of its review as circumstances warrant. While it is not intended that the Board examine in detail every element of the proposed settlement agreement or determination, the Board may review some elements to assure its overall reasonableness.

1849.111-73 Action by the board.

The Board shall submit to the TCO a written opinion approving or disapproving the proposed settlement agreement or determination. Failure of the Board to submit a written opinion within 30 days after submission to the Board of all the information required under 1849.111-71(b) shall operate as an approval.

1849.111-74 Subcontracts.

A TCO may authorize the contract administration office cognizant of an upper-tier subcontractor to grant approval or ratification, including necessary Board approvals, of proposed subcontractor settlements described in FAR 49.108-3(c), that are first reviewed and referred by the prime contractor to the TCO. This procedure may be used only for specified contracts and is not applicable to settlements between the contractor and its immediate subcontractors.

Subpart 1849.5—Contract Termination Clauses

1849.505 Other termination clauses.

1849.505-70 NASA contract clause.

The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 1852.249-72, Termination (Utilities), in all solicitations and contracts for utilities services.

Subpart 1849.6—Contract Termination Forms and Formats

1849.603 Formats for termination for convenience settlement agreements.

Termination contracting officers (TCOs) must use the format shown in 1849.603-70 for the settlement memorandum (see FAR 49.110). Contractors and subcontractors are encouraged to use this format appropriately modified to cover subcontract settlements submitted for review and approval.

1849.603-70 Termination contracting officer's settlement memorandum.

(a) *General information.* The TCO shall include the following information regarding the contractor, contract, and termination notice:

(1) *Identification.* TCOs shall identify the purpose and content of the memorandum.

(i) The TCO shall give the name and address of the contractor and discuss any pertinent affiliation between prime contractors and subcontractors relative to the overall settlement.

(ii) The TCO shall list the names and titles of contractor and Government personnel who participated in the negotiation.

(2) *Description of terminated contract.* The TCO shall state the—

(i) Date of contract;

(ii) Contract number;

(iii) Type of contract;

(iv) General description of contract items;

(v) Total contract price; and

(vi) Applicable contract termination provisions and clause.

(3) *Termination notice.* The TCO shall reference the termination notice and state—

(i) The effective date of termination;

(ii) The scope and nature of termination (complete or partial);

(iii) The items terminated;

(iv) The unit prices;

(v) The total price of items terminated for fixed-price contracts or the estimated cost and fee applicable to items terminated for cost-reimbursement type contracts;

(vi) Whether the termination notice was amended and, if so, why;

(vii) Whether the contractor stopped work on the termination effective date (if it did not, furnish details) and whether subcontracts were terminated promptly;

(viii) Any redirection of common items and return of goods to the contractor's suppliers; and

(ix) The extent of contract performance and timely deliveries by the contractor.

(b) *Contractor's settlement proposal.* The TCO shall summarize the contractor's settlement proposal. The summary shall include the following:

(1) *Date and amount.* The TCO shall identify the date and location where the claim was filed and its gross amount (if interim settlement proposals were filed, information shall be furnished for each claim).

(2) *Basis of claim.* The TCO shall identify the basis of the claim, e.g., inventory, total cost, or other basis. The TCO shall explain any approvals granted in connection with submission on other than an inventory basis.

(3) *Examination of proposal.* The TCO shall identify the types of reviews made and by whom (audit, engineering, legal, or other).

(c) *Tabular summary of contractor's claim.* The TCO shall summarize the proposed settlement in tabular form. The summary shall include the cost elements/items, the amounts claimed, the Government recommended position (including auditor and technical personnel recommendations), and the negotiated settlement amounts. This summary shall include, if appropriate, the previous reimbursed and unreimbursed costs applicable to the prime contractor and subcontractor, previous profit/fees paid and unpaid; settlement cost less disposal credit or other credits, and a recapitulation of

previous settlements. The TCO shall expand the format to include field recommendations that will be considered.

(d) *Settlement summary.* The TCO shall address the settlements reached on the items in subparagraphs (d) (1) through (14) of this section:

(1) *Contractor's cost.* (i) If the settlement was negotiated on the basis of individual items, the TCO shall specify the factors and the consideration given with respect to each item.

(ii) If the auditor's final report was not available for consideration, the TCO shall state the circumstances.

(iii) The TCO shall elucidate the tabular summaries with comment.

(iv) In the case of a lump sum settlement, the TCO shall discuss the basis for each element of cost and profit/fee.

(v) The TCO shall explain any unusual items of cost.

(vi) The TCO shall discuss any important adjustments made to costs claimed or any significant amounts in relation to the total claim.

(vii) If a partial termination is involved, the TCO shall state whether the contractor has requested an equitable adjustment in the price of the continued portion of the contract.

(viii) The TCO shall discuss any unadjusted contractual changes included in the settlement.

(ix) The TCO shall discuss whether or not a loss would have been incurred and explain any adjustment made for the loss.

(x) The TCO shall furnish other information explaining the recommended settlement to the Settlement Review Board or any other reviewing authority.

(2) *Profit/Fee.* (i) The TCO shall explain the basis and factors considered in arriving at an equitable profit under a fixed-price contract.

(ii) The TCO shall explain the adjustments to the fixed fee, identify the basis used (such as percentage of completion), and describe the factors considered in arriving at an equitable fee under a cost-reimbursement type contract. The TCO shall include any tabular summaries or breakdowns deemed helpful to an understanding of the process.

(3) *Settlement expenses.* The TCO shall discuss and summarize those expenses not included in the audit.

(4) *Subcontractor settlements.* The TCO shall identify the number and dollar amount of any settlements approved by the TCO and concluded by the contractor under delegation of authority.

(5) *Partial payments.* The TCO shall furnish the total amount of any partial payments.

(6) *Progress or advance payments.* The TCO shall furnish the total of unliquidated progress or advance payments.

(7) *Claims of the Government against the contractor included in settlement agreement reservations.* The TCO shall list any outstanding claims the Government has against the contractor regarding the terminated contract.

(8) *Assignments.* The TCO shall list any assignments, identifying the name and address of each assignee.

(9) *Disposal credits.* The TCO shall furnish information as to any applicable disposal credits and quantify them.

(10) *Plant clearance.* The TCO shall state whether all plant clearance actions have been completed and all inventory sold, retained, or otherwise properly disposed of in accordance with applicable plant clearance regulations. The TCO shall discuss any unusual matters pertaining to plant clearance. The TCO shall attach a consolidated closing plant clearance report, if applicable.

(11) *Government property.* The TCO shall state whether all Government property has been accounted for.

(12) *Special tooling.* The TCO shall discuss the disposition of any special tooling, if applicable.

(13) *Summary of settlement.* The TCO shall summarize the complete or partial settlement in tabular form. The summary shall include, at a minimum, the amount claimed and allowed for contractor and/or subcontractor changes, disposal, prior payment credits, and contract price.

(14) *Exclusions.* The TCO shall describe any proposed reservation of rights to the Government or to the contractor.

(e) *Recommendation.* The TCO shall state:

(1) The amount of the gross settlement recommended and

(2) That it is fair and reasonable to the Government and the contractor.

(f) *Signature.* The TCO shall sign and date the memorandum.

PART 1850—EXTRAORDINARY CONTRACTUAL ACTIONS

Subpart 1850.2—Delegation of and Limitations on Exercise of Authority

Sec.

1850.202 Contract adjustment boards.

Subpart 1850.3—Contract Adjustments

1850.305 Processing cases.
1850.305–70 Submission of request to the Contract Adjustment Board.
1850.306 Disposition.
1850.306–70 Implementation of the Contract Adjustment Board's decision.

Subpart 1850.4—Residual Powers

1850.402 General.
1850.403 Special procedures for unusually hazardous or nuclear risks.
1850.403–1 Indemnification requests.
1850.403–2 Action on indemnification requests.
1850.403–3 Contract clause.
1850.403–370 NASA contract clauses.
1850.403–70 Reporting and records requirements.
1850.470 Lead NASA installation.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 2473 (c)(1).

Subpart 1850.2—Delegation of and Limitations on Exercise of Authority

1850.202 Contract adjustment boards.

NMI 1152.5, Contract Adjustment Board, establishes the Contract Adjustment Board as the approving authority to consider and dispose of requests from NASA contractors for extraordinary contractual actions.

Subpart 1850.3—Contract Adjustments

1850.305 Processing cases.

1850.305–70 Submission of request to the Contract Adjustment Board.

(a) After investigating the facts and issues relevant to the contractor's request, the contracting officer shall forward the request to the Chairman, Contract Adjustment Board (CAB), NASA Headquarters (Code GG), including in the forwarding letter—

(1) The nature of the case;

(2) The disposition recommended for the request; and,

(3) If contractual action is recommended, the contracting officer's opinion that the action will facilitate the national defense.

(b) The forwarding letter shall enclose the contractor's request, all supporting material submitted by the contractor, and any material the contracting officer has obtained while investigating the facts and issues relevant to the request. The forwarding letter and all enclosures shall be submitted in duplicate. Any classified information in the material forwarded shall be so identified. The CAB, acting through its Counsel, may further investigate the facts and issues involved to obtain from the contractor, the contracting officer, or others any additional facts and evidence, necessary to make a determination on the contractor's entitlement to the relief requested or to other equitable relief.

1850.306 Disposition.

1850.306-70 Implementation of the Contract Adjustment Board's decision.

(a) Copies of the CAB's decision shall be transmitted to the contracting officer, who shall take such action as is authorized by the decision. If the CAB's decision is to reject the contractor's request for relief, the contracting officer shall advise the contractor of that decision.

(b) Except as provided in paragraph (c) of this section, immediately upon execution of a contract or contract modification or amendment implementing the CAB decision, the contracting officer shall forward two copies of the contractual document to the CAB Chairman, marked for the attention of the Counsel, for retention with the CAB's permanent files.

(c) Contracts or contract modifications or amendments for which NASA Headquarters approval is otherwise required under the FAR or this Regulation shall be forwarded for that approval. After approval has been received, the contracting officer shall comply with paragraph (b) of this section.

Subpart 1850.4—Residual Powers

1850.402 General.

All proposals for the exercise of indemnification authority shall be forwarded to the Assistant Administrator for Procurement (Code HS), who shall review and forward contractors' requests for indemnification through channels to the Administrator for approval. If the Administrator approves the use of indemnification authority, the Administrator shall sign a Memorandum of Approval.

1850.403 Special procedures for unusually hazardous or nuclear risks.

1850.403-1 Indemnification requests.

In addition to the information required by FAR 50.403-1(a), the contractor's request for indemnification shall include a copy of the relevant third-party comprehensive liability policies and products liability policies or the equivalent.

1850.403-2 Action on indemnification requests.

In accordance with FAR 50.403-2, the contracting officer shall forward contractors' requests for indemnification for which the contracting officer recommends approval to the Assistant Administrator for Procurement (Code HS) for final processing to the Administrator.

1850.403-3 Contract clause.

1850.403-370 NASA contract clauses.

(a) In lieu of the clause or the clause with its Alternate I prescribed at FAR 50.403-3, the following clauses shall be used:

(1) To indemnify the contractor against unusually hazardous or nuclear risks in fixed-price contracts when approved in accordance with FAR Subpart 50.4 and this Subpart 1850.4, the contracting officer shall insert the clause at 1852.250-70, Indemnification Under Public Law 85-804—Fixed-Price Contracts.

(2) To indemnify the contractor against unusually hazardous or nuclear risks in cost-reimbursement contracts when approved in accordance with FAR Subpart 50.4 and this Subpart 1850.4, the contracting officer shall insert the clause at 1852.250-71, Indemnification Under Pub. L. 85-804—Cost-Reimbursement Contracts.

(b) The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 1852.250-72, Space Activity—Unusually Hazardous Risks, in all contracts containing either of the clauses prescribed in paragraph (a) of this section, unless the Administrator approves a different definition of unusually hazardous risks to be used in a particular contract.

1850.403-70 Reporting and records requirements.

(a) Concurrent with including indemnification provisions in any NASA prime contract pursuant to the authority of an indemnification Memorandum of Approval by the Administrator, the contracting officer shall submit a report directly to the Contract Adjustment Board that—

(1) References and provides two copies of the Administrator's Memorandum of Approval;

(2) Provides two copies of any clause deviations from the clauses prescribed at 1850.403-370;

(3) Provides the contract number and date of award;

(4) If applicable, provides the contract modification number and date; and

(5) Complies with the reporting requirements of Pub. L. 85-804, 50 U.S.C. 1434, and Executive Order 10789, which currently states—

> With respect to actions which involve actual or potential cost to the United States in excess of $50,000 the report shall * * *
>
> (1) name the contractor;
>
> (2) state the actual cost or estimated potential cost involved;
>
> (3) describe the property or service involved; and
>
> (4) state further the circumstances justifying the action taken.

(b) The Contract Adjustment Board shall maintain two copies of each Memorandum of Approval required by FAR 50.403-2(b) and, for a period deemed appropriate by the Board, one copy of each report submitted by contracting officers.

1850.470 Lead NASA installation.

(a) Contractors applying for indemnification shall be responsible for initially determining which NASA installation has the most significant amount of the contractor's procurement contracts, measured by either dollars or numbers, related to NASA space activities rather than to total NASA business. The indemnification request should be submitted to the procurement officer for that installation, who will then designate a cognizant contracting officer. This determination should be done at the contractor's highest level necessary to prevent duplicate requests from associate divisions, subsidiaries, or central offices of the contractor. NASA reserves the right to reassign a lead installation for purposes of processing indemnification requests made under this Regulation.

(b) Relying on the contractor's submission, the receiving contracting officer shall process the request using the procedures in FAR Subpart 50.4 and this Subpart 1850.4. The receiving installation will become the lead installation and will remain so indefinitely. Lead installation designation may change to another installation if the losing and gaining procurement officers agree to the change. For example, a new award may so substantially alter the focus of a contractor's procurement contracts related to space activities toward a different installation that a change may be appropriate. Should a change occur in the lead installation, all records related to indemnification of that contractor shall be transferred to the gaining installation.

PART 1851—USE OF GOVERNMENT SOURCES BY CONTRACTORS

Subpart 1851.1—Contractor Use of Government Supply Sources

Sec.

1851.101 Policy.
1851.102 Authorization to use Government supply sources.
1851.102-70 Contractor acquisition of filing cabinets.
1851.103 Ordering from Government supply sources.
1851.104 Furnishing assistance to contractors.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 2473(c)(1).

Subpart 1851.1—Contractor Use of Government Supply Sources

1851.101 Policy.

(a) NASA installations shall establish internal control over contractor use of GSA and other Federal supply sources.

(b) Use of official Government mailing privileges by NASA contractors is covered in NMI 1450.11, NASA Mail Management Program.

1851.102 Authorization to use Government supply sources.

(a) In addition to considering the requirements of FAR 51.102(a), the contracting officer shall consider the potential for abuse by contractors authorized access to Government supply sources.

(b) The contracting officer shall forward letters of authorization to the installation's Supply and Equipment Management Officer, Attention: Activity Address Code Coordinator, who will forward them to the Supply and Equipment Management Division, NASA Headquarters (Code NIE), for verification and transmittal to GSA. Letters of authorization forwarded to Headquarters must be accompanied by the supporting determination and findings, which will not be forwarded to GSA.

(c) Substantially the following format shall be used for letters authorizing contractor use of Government supply sources:

Subject: Authorization to Lease, Rent, or Purchase from General Services Administration (GSA) Supply Sources

(Contractor's name)

_____

(Address) _____

(1) You are hereby authorized to act for the Government in the following matters:

(i) The acquisition of supplies and/or services under Contract No. _____ available for purchase by Government agencies either directly from GSA stock or under Federal Supply Schedules, including GSA nonmandatory ADTS/ADP schedule contracts and GSA ADP requirements contracts, subject to the limitations set forth in this authorization.

(ii) The leasing or rental of equipment for use on Contract No. _____ available for lease or rental by Government agencies under Federal Supply Schedules, including GSA nonmandatory ADTS/ADP schedule contracts and GSA ADP requirements contracts, subject to the limitations set forth in this authorization.

(iii) The issuance of tax exemption certificates in lieu of the payment of State or other taxes for which the Government is not liable on supplies or services purchased under this authorization.

(2) (i) Purchase orders under GSA schedules and contracts shall be placed in accordance with the terms and conditions of the GSA schedule or contract and this authorization. A copy of this authorization shall be attached to the order (unless a copy was previously furnished to the GSA contractor) and shall contain the following statement:

This order is placed on behalf of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration in furtherance of United States Government Contract No. _____, pursuant to written authorization dated _____, a copy of which (is attached) (you have on file). In the event of any inconsistency between the terms and conditions of this order and those of the applicable GSA schedule/contract, the latter will govern.

(ii) Orders for items in the GSA Supply Catalog shall be placed in accordance with the Catalog and this authorization and shall include the address to which billings are to be sent. Bills are not issued by GSA until after shipment has been made and should therefore be paid promptly. Any necessary adjustments will be made by GSA subsequent to payment. All orders shall contain the following statement:

This order is placed on behalf of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration in furtherance of United States Government Contract No. _____, pursuant to written authorization dated _____, a copy of which (is attached) (you have on file).

(3) (Insert any other provisions and restrictions.)

(4) The authority hereby granted is not transferable or assignable.

_____

_____

(Contracting Officer)

(d) When requisitioning from the Department of Veterans Affairs, the contractor should use FEDSTRIP or MILSTRIP, as appropriate, Optional Form 347, or an installation-prescribed form.

1851.102-70 Contractor acquisition of filing cabinets.

(a) Before ordering filing cabinets whose title will vest in the Government, NASA contractors shall—

(1) Transfer inactive records to contractor storage areas;

(2) Dispose of unnecessary records in accordance with corporate procedures;

(3) Use less expensive shelf filing methods;

(4) Take other actions to reduce the need for filing cabinets; and

(5) Furnish a statement to the cognizant contracting officer that, although the steps outlined in paragraphs (a)(1) through (4) of this section have been taken, sufficient additional filing capacity has not been produced.

(b) The contractor shall then submit its request to the contracting officer, who shall:

(1) Confer with the Records Management Officer, the Property and Supply Officer, and the project officer and, if appropriate,

(2) Certify on all letter requests that the prerequisite actions have been taken by the contractor and that they have not produced the required filing space.

(c) If the need for filing cabinets is approved by the contracting officer, excess items of the type requested shall be furnished, if available, through appropriate property accountability channels.

(d) Approved requests that cannot be filled from excess shall be returned to the contractor with an authorization to obtain file cabinets, preferably through GSA.

1851.103 Ordering from Government supply sources.

(a) All orders for GSA stock shall contain the statement in subparagraph (c)(2)(ii) of the authorization format set forth in 1851.102.

(b) Contracting officers shall use NMI 4050.2, Assignment and Maintenance of FEDSTRIP and MILSTRIP Activity Address Codes, to obtain FEDSTRIP/MILSTRIP activity codes.

1851.104 Furnishing assistance to contractors.

When necessary, NASA personnel shall assist the contractor in preparing and submitting the initial FEDSTRIP or MILSTRIP requisitions, the Optional Form 347, or installation-prescribed forms.

SUBCHAPTER H—CLAUSES AND FORMS

PART 1852—SOLICITATION PROVISIONS AND CONTRACT CLAUSES

1852.000 Scope of part.

Subpart 1852.1—Instructions for Using Provisions and Clauses

1852.101 Using FAR Part 52.
1852.103 Identification of provisions and clauses.
1852.103-70 Identification of modified provisions and clauses.
1852.104 Procedures for modifying and completing provisions and clauses.
1852.107 Provisions and clauses prescribed in Subpart 52.1.
1852.107-70 NASA contract clause.

Subpart 1852.2—Texts of Provisions and Clauses

1852.203-70 Contracts Between NASA and Former NASA Employees.
1852.204-70 Report on NASA Subcontracts.
1852.204-71 NASA Contractor Financial Management Reporting.
1852.204-72 NASA Contractor Financial Management Reporting (Performance Analysis Report).

1852.204–75 Security Classification Requirements.
1852.207–70 Estimate of Work.
1852.208–70 Rates.
1852.208–71 Public Regulation and Change of Rates.
1852.208–72 Change in Class of Service.
1852.208–73 Contractor's Facilities.
1852.208–74 Technical Provisions.
1852.208–75 Renewal of Contract.
1852.208–76 Change in Rates.
1852.208–77 Connection Charge.
1852.208–78 Termination Charge.
1852.208–79 Multiple Service Locations.
1852.208–80 Contractor's Facilities (Short Form).
1852.208–81 Printing and Duplicating.
1852.208–83 Acquisition of Helium.
1852.209–70 Product Removal from Qualified Products List.
1852.209–71 Limitation of Future Contracting.
1852.209–72 Composition of the Contractor.
1852.210–70 Brand Name or Equal.
1852.210–71 Descriptive Literature for Used Material.
1852.210–72 Supplies and/or Services to be Furnished.
1852.210–75 Packaging and Marking.
1852.212–70 Notice of Delay.
1852.212–72 Partial Shipments.
1852.212–73 Delivery Schedule.
1852.212–74 Period of Performance.
1852.214–70 Caution to Offerors Furnishing Descriptive Literature.
1852.214–71 Grouping for Aggregate Award.
1852.214–72 Full Quantities.
1852.215–70 Increases in Estimated Costs.
1852.215–71 Adjustment for Subcontract Price Redetermination.
1852.215–72 Restriction on Use and Disclosure of Proposal/Quotation Information (Data).
1852.215–73 Late Submissions, Modifications, and Withdrawals of Proposals (AO and SBIR Programs).
1852.215–74 Alternate Proposals.
1852.215–75 Expenses Related to Offeror Submissions.
1852.215–76 False Statements.
1852.215–77 Preproposal/Pre-bid Conference.
1852.215–78 Make or Buy Program Requirements.
1852.215–79 Price Adjustment for "Make-or-Buy" Changes.
1852.215–80 Disposal of Unsuccessful Proposals.
1852.216–72 Evaluation of Offers Subject to Economic Price Adjustment.
1852.216–73 Estimated Cost and Cost Sharing.
1852.216–74 Estimated Cost and Fixed Fee.
1852.216–75 Payment of Fixed Fee.
1852.216–76 Award Fee.
1852.216–78 Firm Fixed Price.
1852.216–79 Level-of-Effort (Fixed-Price).
1852.216–80 Task Ordering Procedure.
1852.216–81 Estimated Cost.
1852.216–82 Level-of-Effort (Cost).
1852.216–83 Fixed Price Incentive.
1852.216–84 Estimated Cost and Incentive Fee.
1852.216–85 Estimated Cost and Award Fee.
1852.216–86 Settlement of Letter Contract.
1852.216–87 Submission of Vouchers for Payment.
1852.217–70 Property Administration and Reporting.
1852.219–72 SIC Code and Small Business Size Standard.
1852.219–73 Small Business and Small Disadvantaged Business Subcontracting Plan.
1852.222–70 Facilities Nondiscrimination Notice.
1852.222–71 Facilities Nondiscrimination.
1852.223–70 Safety and Health.
1852.223–71 Frequency Authorization.
1852.223–72 Potentially Hazardous Items.
1852.223–73 Safety and Health Plan.
1852.225–71 Nondomestic Construction Materials.
1852.225–72 Offer in English Language and U.S. Dollars.
1852.225–73 Duty-Free Entry Supplies.
1852.227–70 New Technology.
1852.227–71 Requests for Waiver of Rights to Inventions.
1852.227–72 Designation of New Technology Representative and Patent Representative.
1852.227–73 Patent Rights Clause for Subcontracts.
1852.227–84 Patent Rights Clauses.
1852.227–85 Invention Reporting and Rights—Foreign.
1852.227–86 Commercial Computer Software—Licensing.
1852.228–70 Aircraft Ground and Flight Risk.
1852.228–71 Aircraft Flight Risks.
1852.228–72 Interparty Waiver of Liability During STS Operations.
1852.228–73 Bid Bond.
1852.228–74 Payment and Performance Bonds.
1852.228–75 Minimum Insurance Coverage.
1852.228–76 Cross-waiver of Liability for Space Station Activities.
1852.228–77 Reimbursement for War-Hazard Losses.
1852.232–75 Security for Advance Payments.
1852.232–77 Limitation of Funds (Fixed-Price Contract).
1852.232–79 Payment for On-Site Preparatory Costs.
1852.232–80 Date of Incurrence of Costs.
1852.232–81 Contract Funding.
1852.232–82 Submission of Requests for Progress Payments.
1852.235–70 Scientific and Technical Information Service.
1852.235–71 Key Personnel and Facilities.
1852.235–72 Plan for New Technology Reporting.
1852.236–71 Additive or Deductive Items.
1852.236–72 Bids with Unit Prices.
1852.236–73 Hurricane Plan.
1852.236–74 Magnitude of Requirement.
1852.237–70 Emergency Evacuation Procedures.
1852.242–70 Technical Direction.
1852.242–71 Travel Outside of the United States.
1852.242–72 Observance of Legal Holidays.
1852.243–70 Engineering Change Proposals.
1852.244–70 Geographic Participation in the Aerospace Program.
1852.245–70 Acquisition of Centrally Reportable Equipment.
1852.245–71 Installation-Provided Government Property.
1852.245–72 Liability for Government Property Furnished for Repair or Other Services.
1852.245–73 Financial Reporting of Government-Owned/Contractor-Held Property.
1852.245–74 Contractor Accountable On-Site Government Property.
1852.245–75 Title to Equipment.
1852.245–76 List of Government-Furnished Property.
1852.245–77 List of Installation-Provided Property and Services.
1852.245–78 Space Hardware Reporting.
1852.245–79 Use of Government-Owned Property.
1852.245–80 Use of Government Production and Research Property on a No-Charge Basis.
1852.246–70 Space Transportation System (STS) Personnel Reliability Program.
1852.246–71 Government Contract Quality Assurance.
1852.246–72 Material Inspection and Receiving Report.
1852.246–73 Manned Space Flight Item.
1852.247–70 Returnable Containers.
1852.247–71 Protection of the Florida Manatee.
1852.247–72 Advance Notice of Shipment.
1852.247–73 Shipment by Government Bills of Lading.
1852.249–72 Termination (Utilities).
1852.250–70 Indemnification Under Public Law 85-804—Fixed-Price Contracts.
1852.250–71 Indemnification Under Public Law 85–804— Cost-Reimbursement Contracts.
1852.250–72 Space Activity—Unusually Hazardous Risks.
1852.252–70 Compliance with NASA FAR Supplement.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 2473 (c)(1).

1852.000 Scope of part.

This part, in conjunction with FAR Part 52:

(a) Gives instructions for using provisions and clauses prescribed by this regulation and lower level provisions and clauses; and

(b) Sets forth the provisions and clauses prescribed in this regulation.

Subpart 1852.1—Instructions for Using Provisions and Clauses

1852.101 Using FAR Part 52.

NASA contracting offices prescribing or developing clauses under the authority of FAR 52.101(b)(2)(i)(B) or (C) shall ensure that the requirements of FAR Subpart 1.4 and Subpart 1801.4 are met.

1852.103 Identification of provisions and clauses.

(a) Provisions and clauses prescribed by a NASA field installation to satisfy

the needs of that particular installation shall be identified as stated in subparagraphs (a) (1) and (2) of this section. Articles, formats, and similar language shall be treated as provisions and clauses for purposes of this section 1852.103.

(1) A provision or clause shall be numbered using a prefix, a base, and a suffix. The prefix shall be an alphabetical abbreviation of the installation name (e.g., ARC, GSFC, HR, HW, JSC, KSC, LARC, LERC, MSFC, or SSC). The base shall be a numeric value beginning with "52.2," with the next two digits corresponding to the number of the FAR or NASA FAR Supplement subject part to which the provision or clause relates. The suffix shall be a hyphen and sequential number assigned within each part. NASA installations shall use suffix numbers from –90 to –199. For example, the first Johnson Space Center (JSC) provision or clause relating to Part 36 of the FAR or NASA FAR Supplement shall be JSC 52.236–90, the second JSC 52.236–91, and so forth. Provisions and clauses shall be dated in accordance with FAR 52.101(f).

(2) Contracting officers shall identify provisions and clauses as in the following examples:

(i) I.2 BID ENVELOPES (GSFC 52.214–90) (AUGUST 1987) This example is applicable when identifying the title of provisions and clauses in solicitations and contracts using the uniform contract format (UCF). The first number ("I.2") designates the UCF section and the sequential clause within that section. "GSFC 52.214–90" specifies the clause number.

(ii) GSFC 52.214–90—Bid Envelopes (AUGUST 1987) This example is applicable in all instances in which the provision or clause citation is not associated with the UCF number.

(b) Contracting officers shall not number provisions and clauses developed for individual procurements only. For example, "F.3 Delivery Procedures for Special Hardware" cites the third clause in Section F of a contract using the UCF, but has no clause number or date identified with it, indicating that the clause was developed for the particular contract it appears in.

1852.103–70 Identification of modified provisions and clauses.

When a FAR clause or provision is included in a solicitation or contract and the NFS prescribes a modification, the title line shall identify the modification as shown below. This format shall be used both for incorporation by reference and when using full text.

52.232–22 Limitation of Funds (APR 1984)—as modified by NASA FAR Supplement 1832.705–270(d)

1852.104 Procedures for modifying and completing provisions and clauses.

NASA FAR Supplement provisions and clauses shall not be modified (see FAR 52.101(a)) unless their modification is authorized by this regulation. When it is authorized, contracting officers must comply with the procedures in FAR 52.104.

1852.107 Provisions and clauses prescribed in Subpart 52.1.

1852.107–70 NASA contract clause.

The contracting officer shall insert the clause at 1852.252–70, Compliance with NASA FAR Supplement, in all contracts, including letter contracts.

Subpart 1852.2—Texts of Provisions and Clauses

1852.203–70 Contracts between NASA and former NASA employees.

As prescribed in 1803.7002, insert the following provision in all solicitations except IFB's:

Contracts Between NASA and Former NASA Employees (December 1989)

The offeror represents that he or she ( ) is, or ( ) is not, an individual who was employed by NASA during the past two years, or a firm in which such an individual is a partner, principal officer, or majority shareholder or that is otherwise controlled or predominantly staffed by such individuals.

(End of provision)

1852.204–70 Report on NASA subcontracts.

As prescribed in 1804.672, insert the following clause in all NASA contracts of $500,000 or more or when a modification increases the amount of a contract to $500,000 or more:

Report on NASA Subcontracts (December 1988)

(a) The Contractor shall submit information on NASA Form 667 to the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (Code HM), Washington, DC 20546, substantially as follows with respect to each subcontract or subcontract modification exceeding $10,000 as soon as possible after its execution:

(1) The name and address of the prime contractor and the NASA prime contract number.

(2) The name and address of the subcontractor.

(3) Whether the subcontractor is a large or small business concern and/or a minority business concern.

(4) Whether the type of effort being performed involves research and development.

(5) A brief description of the subcontract work.

(6) The amount of the subcontract.

(7) The principal location where the subcontract work is to be performed, if known.

(b) The Contractor and its subcontractors shall submit negative reports annually, if applicable, on each prime contract and first-tier subcontract subject to this reporting requirement. These negative reports shall be submitted not later than October 31 for the 12-month period ending September 30th of each year. The negative reporting shall be continued until the contract or subcontract has been physically completed and the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (Code HM), Washington, DC 20546, so notified by the Contractor or subcontractor.

(c) "Subcontract," as used in this clause, means procurement in excess of $10,000 by the Contractor or first-tier subcontractor of articles, materials, or services for performing this contract, except purchases, regardless of amount, of stock items, materials, or services that cannot be specifically identified with this contract.

(d) "Research and development," as used in this clause, means basic and applied research, and design and development of prototypes and processes, to (1) Pursue a planned search for new knowledge, with or without reference to a specific application, (2) apply existing knowledge in the creation of new products or processes, or (3) apply existing knowledge in the improvement or modification of present products and processes. It excludes subcontracts for the purchase of standard commercial items and services.

(e) The Contractor shall—

(1) Insert the provisions of paragraphs (a), (b), (c), and (d) of this clause in each subcontract over $50,000;

(2) Instruct its subcontractors to submit their reports directly to the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (Code HM), Washington, DC 20546; and

(3) Provide its subcontractors with the number of the NASA prime contract.

(End of clause)

1852.204–71 NASA contractor financial management reporting.

As prescribed in 1804.675–1(a), insert the following clause in contracts that require submission of any of the NASA Form 533 series of reports (excluding Form 533P).

NASA Contractor Financial Management Reporting (December 1988)

(a) The Contractor shall submit Financial Management Reports on NASA Form 533 in accordance with the instructions in Procedures for Contractor Reporting of Correlated Cost and Performance Data (NHB 9501.2) and on the reverse side of the forms, as supplemented in the Schedule of this contract. The detailed reporting categories to be used, which shall be correlated with technical and schedule reporting, shall be set forth in the contract Schedule. Contractor implementation of reporting requirements under this clause shall include NASA approval of the definitions of the content of each reporting category and give due regard

to the Contractor's established financial management information system.

(b) Lower level detail used by the Contractor for its own management purposes to validate information reported to NASA shall be compatible with NASA requirements.

(c) Reports shall be submitted in the number of copies, at the time, and in the manner set forth in the contract Schedule or as designated in writing by the Contracting Officer. Upon completion and acceptance by NASA of all contract Schedule line items, the Contracting Officer may direct the Contractor to submit Form 533 reports on a quarterly basis only.

(d) The Contractor shall insert the substance of this clause in all first-tier cost-reimbursement subcontracts specifically identified in writing by the Contracting Officer and shall include their cost in its cost reports.

(e) If during the performance of this contract NASA requires a change in the information or reporting requirements specified in the Schedule, or as provided for in paragraph (a) or (c) of this clause the Contracting Officer shall effect that change in accordance with the Changes clause of this contract.

(End of clause)

1852.204-72 NASA contractor financial management reporting (performance analysis report).

As prescribed in 1804.675-1(b), insert the following clause in contracts that require submission of NASA Form 533P in addition to the Form 533 series submission prescribed at 1804.675-1(a).

NASA Contractor Financial Management Reporting (Performance Analysis Report) (December 1988)

Monthly reporting of contract performance shall be accomplished on the NASA Monthly Contractor Financial Management Performance Analysis Report (NASA Form 533P) in accordance with the instructions in Procedures for Contractor Reporting of Correlated Cost and Performance Data (NHB 9501.2) and on the reverse side of the form, as supplemented in the Schedule of this contract.

(End of clause)

1852.204-75 Security classification requirements.

As prescribed in 1804.404-70, insert the following clause:

Security Classification Requirements (December 1988)

Performance under this contract will involve access to and/or generation of classified information, work in a security area, or both, up to the level of [insert the applicable security clearance level]. See Federal Acquisition Regulation clause 52.204-2 in this contract and DD Form 254, Contract Security Classification Specification, Attachment _____ [insert the attachment number of the DD Form 254]. The offeror selected for award of this procurement shall be required to execute a DOD Security Agreement (DD Form 441), which binds the contractor to observe the provisions of the DOD Industrial Security Manual.

(End of clause)

1852.207-70 Estimate of work.

As prescribed in 1807.7001, insert the following provision:

Estimate of Work (October 1988)

It is estimated that approximately $ [insert estimated value of procurement] will be available to perform this work. This estimate is provided as a guide only to the approximate effort required.

(End of provision)

1852.208-70 Rates.

As prescribed in 1808.309, insert the following clause:

Rates (December 1988)

(a) The Contractor shall be paid at the rates set out in Appendix A, provided that the Government shall be liable for any minimum monthly charge specified in this contract commencing with the billing period in which service is initially furnished and continuing until the contract is terminated, except that this charge shall be equitably prorated for the billing periods in which commencement and termination of this contract become effective.

(b) The Contractor hereby certifies that the rates for the service furnished under this contract do not exceed the lowest rates available to any prospective customer under like conditions, and agrees that during the life of this contract the Government shall continue to be billed at the lowest rates applicable for similar conditions of service.

(End of clause)

1852.208-71 Public regulation and change of rates.

As prescribed in 1808.309, insert the following clause:

Public Regulation and Change of Rates (December 1988)

(a) Rates under this contract shall be subject to regulation in the manner and to the extent prescribed by law by any Federal, State, or local regulatory agency having jurisdiction. The Contractor agrees to give the Contracting Officer written notice of the filing of an application for rate changes concurrently with the filing of the application. Such notice shall fully describe the proposed changes.

(b) If during the term of this contract the public regulatory agency having jurisdiction approves rates higher or lower than those stipulated in this contract, for like conditions of service, the Contractor agrees to continue to furnish service as stipulated in the contract and the Government agrees to pay the changed rates from the date they are made effective.

(c) If the regulatory agency promulgates any regulation concerning matters other than rates that affects this contract, the Contractor shall immediately notify the Contracting Officer. The Government shall not be bound to accept any new regulation inconsistent with Federal laws or regulations.

(d) If the Contractor, during the term of this contract, makes effective any new or amended rate schedule for the class of service furnished the Government at the service location that contains lower rates or conditions more favorable to the Government, the Contractor shall (1) forward to the Contracting Officer a copy of the schedule within 15 days after its effective date and, upon receipt of written request from the Government, (2) substitute the new or amended schedule for the one then in effect under this contract for that service location, commencing with the billing period in which the request is received.

(End of clause)

1852.208-72 Change in class of service.

As prescribed in 1808.309, insert the following clause:

Change in Class of Service (December 1988)

(a) In the event of a permanent change in the class of service furnished the Government at the service location, service shall be furnished to that service location at the lowest available rate schedule of the Contractor applicable to the class of service furnished following that permanent change, subject to the Rates clause of this contract.

(b) If the Contractor's rate schedule on file with the regulatory agency and applicable to services provided by the Contractor does not contain a schedule applicable to the class of service furnished the Government, no clause in this contract shall preclude the parties from negotiating a rate schedule applicable to the class of service furnished.

(End of clause)

1852.208-73 Contractor's facilities.

As prescribed in 1808.309, insert the following clause:

Contractor's Facilities (December 1988)

(a) The Contractor, at its expense, shall furnish, install, operate, and maintain all facilities required to furnish service under this contract to, and measure that service as of, the point of delivery specified in the Service Specifications. Title to these facilities shall be and remain in the Contractor, and the Contractor shall be responsible for all loss of or damage to them.

(b) The Government hereby grants to the Contractor, free of any rental or similar charge, but subject to any limitations specified in this contract, a revocable permit or license to enter the service location for any proper purpose under this contract, including use of the site or sites agreed upon by the parties for the installation, operation, and maintenance of the facilities of the Contractor required to be located upon Government premises. These facilities shall be and remain the property of the Contractor and shall, at all times during the life of this contract and any renewals, be operated and maintained by the Contractor at its expense. All taxes and other charges in connection with these facilities, together with all liability arising out of their construction, operation, or

maintenance, shall be borne by the Contractor.

(c) Authorized representatives of the Contractor shall be allowed access to the Contractor's facilities at suitable times to perform the Contractor's obligations regarding the facilities. The facilities shall be removed and Government premises restored to their original condition by the Contractor at its expense within a reasonable time after the Government revokes the permit or license granted by this clause and in any event within a reasonable time after termination of this contract, provided that if the contract is terminated for default, the facilities may be retained in place at the Government's option until comparable service is obtained elsewhere.

(d) Proper Government authority may limit or restrict the right of access granted by this clause in any manner considered by that authority to be necessary for the national security.

(End of clause)

1852.208-74 Technical provisions.

As prescribed in 1808.309, insert the following clause. Minor changes may be made in it when insisted upon by the contractor to conform with established procedures or those in the contractor's published rates filed with a regulatory agency. The following types of changes, when made for this purpose, are not considered within the intent of 1808.307-71 relating to contracts requiring NASA Headquarters approval.

(a) *Measurement of service.* (1) The words "billed conjunctively" in the second sentence of clause subparagraph (a)(1) refer to the combination of similar quantities measured by two or more meters into a single quantity for the purpose of billing, as if the bill were prepared for a single meter. This sentence may be deleted, or the word "separately" may be inserted in lieu of the word "conjunctively," to conform to the contractor's regulated practice and/or when the schedule under which bills are computed is such that billing separately will be more economical than billing conjunctively.

(2) In clause subparagraph (a)(2), the periodic intervals prescribed between meter readings may be changed to conform to the contractor's regulated practice.

(b) *Meter test.* (1) The first sentence of clause paragraph (b), requiring testing at intervals not exceeding one year, may be changed to provide for meter tests in accordance with the contractor's regulated practice.

(2) In the third sentence of clause paragraph (b), the percentage error (shown as 2 percent) may be changed to comply with the Contractor's regulated practice.

(c) *Continuity of service and consumption.* (1) In clause subparagraph (d)(1), the adjustment provision may be deleted or changed, if necessary, to conform with the provisions of the contractor's field rate schedules. This subparagraph may be ended after the word "facilities" and before the word "provided." For the period, "10 hours" may be changed.

(2) Clause subparagraph (d)(2) may be deleted or changed, if the contractor's filed rates contain a provision requiring a continuation of demand or similar charges on a ready-to-serve basis during a period that the Government is unable to operate the service location for any cause beyond its control.

Technical Provisions (December 1988)

(a) *Measurement of service.* (1) All service furnished by the Contractor shall be measured by suitable metering equipment of standard manufacture, to be furnished, installed, maintained, calibrated, and read by the Contractor at its expense. When two or more meters are installed at the service location, their readings shall be billed conjunctively. If any meter fails to register or registers incorrectly the service furnished through it, the parties shall agree upon the length of period during which it failed to register or registered incorrectly and the quantity of service delivered through it during that period, and, upon agreement, an appropriate adjustment shall be made in the Government's bills. For the purpose of the preceding sentence, any meter that registers not more than 2 percent slow or fast shall be deemed correct.

(2) The Contractor shall read meters on the same day of each month; if, however, that day falls on a Saturday, Sunday, or legal holiday, or if the Contractor is prevented from reading the meters on that day, the Contractor shall read the meters on the next succeeding business day on which it is able to do so, provided that (i) all bills based on meter reading intervals of less than 27 days or more than 33 days shall be prorated accordingly and (ii) there shall be no more than 12 billings in any one year.

(b) *Meter test.* The Contractor, at its expense, shall periodically inspect and test the meters installed by it at intervals not exceeding 1 year. At the written request of the Contracting Officer, the Contractor shall make additional tests of any or all of these meters in the presence of Government representatives. The cost of these additional tests shall be borne by the Government if the percentage of errors is found to be not more than 2 percent slow or fast. No meter may be placed or allowed to remain in service that has an error in registration exceeding 2 percent under normal operating conditions.

(c) *Change in volume or character.* Reasonable notice shall, so far as possible, be given by the Contracting Officer to the Contractor regarding any material changes proposed in the volume or characteristics of the utility service required at each location.

(d) *Continuity of service and consumption.* (1) The Contractor shall use reasonable diligence to provide a regular and uninterrupted supply of service at the service location, but shall not be liable for damages or breach of contract or otherwise to the Government for failure, suspension, diminution, or other variations of service occasioned by or in consequence of any cause beyond the Contractor's control, including but not limited to acts of God or of the public enemy, fires, floods, earthquakes, other catastrophes, strikes, or failure or breakdown of transmission or other facilities; provided that when any such failure, suspension, diminution, or variation aggregates more than 10 hours during any billing period, an equitable adjustment shall be made in the monthly rates specified in this contract (including the minimum monthly charge).

(2) If the Government cannot operate the service location in whole or in part for any cause beyond its control, including but not limited to acts of God or of the public enemy, fires, floods, earthquakes, other catastrophes, or strikes, an equitable adjustment shall be made in the monthly rates specified in this contract (including the minimum monthly charge) if the period during which the Government is unable to operate that service location in whole or in part exceeds 15 days during any billing period.

(End of clause)

1852.208-75 Renewal of contract.

As prescribed in 1808.309, insert the following clause:

Renewal of Contract (December 1988)

This contract is renewable on an annual basis at the option of the Government, by the Contracting Officer giving written notice of renewal to the Contractor at least _____ days before expiration. If the Government exercises this option for renewal, the contract as renewed shall be deemed to include this option provision. However, the total duration of this contract, including the exercise of any options under this clause, shall not exceed _____ years.

(End of clause)

1852.208-76 Change in rates.

As prescribed in 1808.309, insert the following clause:

Change in Rates (December 1988)

(a) If at any time during the term of this contract either of the parties considers it appropriate to change all or part of the rates applicable to the service furnished under this contract, the parties agree to promptly negotiate new rates upon receipt by one party of a written request from the other (1) specifying the rates to which a change is considered appropriate, (2) setting forth the proposed change, and (3) stating in detail the reasons for the proposed change. Any rate change agreed to by the parties as the result of such negotiations shall be made a part of this contract by the issuance of a supplemental agreement and shall become effective as of the date of the request for a change in rates, unless otherwise agreed.

(b) The Contractor agrees that a duly authorized representative of NASA shall have access to and the right to examine any pertinent books, documents, papers, or

records of the Contractor relating to costs that form the basis for the rates.

(End of clause)

1852.208-77 Connection charge.

As prescribed in 1808.309, insert the following clause:

Connection Charge (December 1988)

(a) *Charge.* The Government, for the furnishing and installation by the Contractor at the Contractor's expense of the New Facilities described in Appendix C, attached to this contract and made a part of it, shall pay the Contractor, as a connection charge, after receipt of satisfactory evidence of completion of the facilities, $_____, representing the sum of $_____ less the agreed salvage value in the amount of $_____, as shown in Appendix C; provided that, as a condition for final payment, the Contractor executes a release acceptable to the Contracting Officer of claims against the Government arising from that furnishing and installation.

(b) *Ownership, operation, and maintenance of new facilities to be provided.* The facilities to be supplied by the Contractor under this clause, notwithstanding the Government's payment of a connection charge, shall be and remain the property of the Contractor and shall, at all times during the life of this contract and any renewals, be operated and maintained by the Contractor at its expense. All taxes and other charges in connection with these facilities, together with all liability arising out of their construction, operation, or maintenance, shall be borne by the Contractor.

(c) *Credits.* (1) The Contractor agrees to allow the Government on each monthly bill for service furnished under this contract to the service location, a credit of ____ percent of the amount of each bill as rendered, until the accumulation of credits equals the amount of the connection charge, provided that the Contractor may at any time allow a credit up to 100 percent of the amount of each bill.

(2) If the Contractor, before any termination of this contract but after completion of the facilities provided for in this clause, serves any customer other than the Government (regardless of whether the Government is being served simultaneously, intermittently, or at all) by means of those facilities, the corresponding benefit to the Contractor is hereby recognized. It is therefore agreed that upon initiation of such service, the Contractor shall promptly pay in full to the Government the uncredited balance of the connection charge, or accelerate the credits provided for under subparagraph (c)(1) of this clause to 100 percent of each monthly bill, until there is fully credited a sum equitably representing the same proportion of the uncredited balance of the connection charge as of the date of initiation of such service (as agreed upon by the parties) as the portion of the facilities utilized in serving the other customer bears to the complete facilities described in Appendix C.

(d) *Termination before completion of facilities.* The Government reserves the right to terminate this contract at any time before completion of the facilities with respect to which the Government is to pay a connection charge. If the Government exercises this right, the Contractor shall be paid fair compensation, exclusive of profit, with respect to those facilities.

(e) *Termination after completion of facilities*— (1) *Termination by the Government.* If the Government terminates this contract after completion of the facilities for which the Government is to pay a connection charge, but before the crediting in full by the Contractor of any connection charge in accordance with the terms of this contract, the possible continued usefulness of those facilities is hereby recognized. Upon such termination, the Contractor shall have the following options:

(i) To retain in place for 12 months or more after the notice of termination by the Government such facilities on condition that (A) if, during that period, the Contractor serves any other customer by means of the facilities, the Contractor shall, in lieu of allowing credits, pay the Government during the period installments in like amount, manner, and extent as the credits provided for under paragraph (c) of this clause before the termination, and (B) immediately after the period, the Contractor shall promptly pay in full to the Government the uncredited balance of the connection charge.

(ii) To remove such facilities at the Contractor's own expense within 12 months after the effective date of the termination by the Government; provided, that if the Contractor elects to remove them, the Government shall have the option of purchasing them at the agreed salvage value set forth in Appendix C; and provided further, that the Contractor shall, at the direction of the Government, leave in place such facilities located on Government property that the Contractor elects to remove and that the Government elects to purchase at the agreed salvage value.

(2) *Termination by the contractor.* If the Contractor terminates service under, or otherwise defaults in performance of, this contract before the crediting in full, in accordance with the terms of this contract, of any connection charge paid by the Government, the Contractor shall pay to the Government an amount equal to the uncredited balance of the connection charge as of the date of termination.

(End of clause)

1852.208-78 Termination Charge.

As prescribed in 1808.309, insert the following clause and attach Appendix C to the contract when a payment is to be made to the contractor upon termination of service in lieu of a connection charge upon completion of the facilities. The length of time, not in excess of 60 months, as negotiated with the contractor shall be entered in the first blank. In the second blank, enter the amount of the maximum termination charge as negotiated with the contractor, but not in excess of the cost of facilities furnished and installed by the contractor less the agreed salvage value, as shown in Appendix C. Enter in the third blank the figure obtained by dividing the figure in the second blank by the figure in the first blank. The use of this clause does not affect the term of the contract; however, its use is subject to Headquarters approval in accordance with 1808.307-71.

Termination Charge (December 1988)

For the furnishing and installation by the Contractor at its expense of the New Facilities described in Appendix C, attached to this contract and made a part of it, the Government shall, in the event of termination of this contract by the Government before _____ months from the date on which service commences, pay the Contractor as a termination charge _____ less _____ multiplied by the number of months service has been received after the date of termination.

(End of clause)

1852.208-79 Multiple service locations.

As prescribed in 1808.309, insert the following clause:

Multiple Service Locations (December 1988)

(a) The Contracting Officer may at any time, by written order, designate any service location within the Contractor's service area at which service shall be furnished or discontinued under the order, and the contract shall be modified in writing accordingly by adding to or deleting from the Service Specifications the name and location of the appropriate service location and specifying (1) a different rate if applicable, (2) the appropriate point of delivery, (3) different service specifications if applicable, and (4) any other appropriate terms and conditions.

(b) The minimum monthly charge specified in this contract shall be equitably prorated from the billing period in which commencement or discontinuance of service at any service location designated under the Service Specifications becomes effective.

(End of clause)

1852.208-80 Contractor's facilities (short form).

As prescribed in 1808.309, insert the following clause:

Contractor's Facilities (Short Form) (December 1988)

The Contractor, at its expense, shall furnish, install, operate, maintain, retain title to, and be responsible for all loss of or damage to the facilities required to furnish the service provided for under this contract. The Contractor shall be allowed access to its facilities on Government premises at suitable times and shall, at the Contractor's expense, remove the facilities and restore the premises to their original condition within a reasonable time after this contract's termination.

(End of clause)

1852.208-81 Printing and duplicating.

As prescribed in 1808.870, insert the following clause:

Printing and Duplicating (December 1988)

(a) The Contractor shall reproduce any documentation required by this contract in accordance with the provisions of the Government Printing and Binding Regulations published by the Joint Committee on Printing, Congress of the United States.

(b) The term "printing", as used in this clause, is defined in the Government Printing and Binding Regulations and includes the processes of composition, platemaking, presswork, binding, and the end items produced by such processes and equipment.

(c) The Contractor is authorized to duplicate by offset platemaking units not requiring the use of negatives; copy-processing machines not requiring the use of negatives or metal plates; or offset lithograph presses with single unit, sheet fed, maximum sheet size 11x17 inches and plate image no longer than 10¾x14¼ inches. However, the Contractor shall not exceed 5,000 production units of any page nor 25,000 production units in the aggregate for multiple page duplication. A "production unit" is one sheet, size 8½x11 inches (215x280 mm), one side only, and one color.

(d) If the Contractor has reason to believe that any reproduction required under this contract violates the regulations referred to in paragraph (a) of this clause, the Contractor shall provide the Contracting Officer with immediate notice in writing and request approval prior to accomplishment of the reproduction.

(End of clause)

1852.208-83 Acquisition of helium.

As prescribed in 1808.002-76, insert the following clause:

Acquisition of Helium (December 1988)

(a) In accordance with Parts 1 and 2, Subchapter A, Chapter 1, Title 30, Code of Federal Regulations, helium furnished under this contract (purchase order) shall be Department of the Interior, Bureau of Mines, helium or shall be replaced by the supplier with an equivalent volume of helium purchased from the Bureau of Mines.

(b) The Contractor may procure (1) liquid helium or (2) gaseous helium of a quality not supplied by the Bureau of Mines from commercial sources if these sources are qualified by the Bureau of Mines and included in the Bureau of Mines publication, "List by Shipping Points of Private Distributors Eligible to Sell Helium to Federal Agencies." Copies of this publication may be obtained from the Bureau of Mines, Helium Operation, P.O. Box H4372, Herring Plaza, Amarillo, Texas 79101.

(c) The Contractor shall provide a copy of each contract (purchase order) for helium from commercial sources to the Contracting Officer and Bureau of Mines at the address in paragraph (b) of this clause.

(End of clause)

1852.209-70 Product removal from qualified products list.

As prescribed in 1809.206-71, insert the following clause:

Product Removal From Qualified Products List (December 1988)

If, during the performance of this contract, the product being furnished is removed from the Qualified Products List for any reason, the Government may terminate the contract for Default pursuant to the default clause of the contract.

(End of clause)

1852.209-71 Limitation of future contracting.

As prescribed in 1809.508-2, the contracting officer may insert a clause substantially as follows in solicitations and contracts, in compliance with FAR 9.508:

Limitation of Future Contracting (December 1988)

(a) The Contracting Officer has determined that this acquisition may give rise to a potential organizational conflict of interest. Accordingly, the attention of prospective offerors is invited to FAR Subpart 9.5—Organizational Conflicts of Interest.

(b) The nature of this conflict is [describe the conflict].

(c) The restrictions upon future contracting are as follows:

(1) If the Contractor, under the terms of this contract, or through the performance of tasks pursuant to this contract, is required to develop specifications or statements of work that are to be incorporated into a solicitation, the Contractor shall be ineligible to perform the work described in that solicitation as a prime or first-tier subcontractor under an ensuing NASA contract. This restriction shall remain in effect for a reasonable time, as agreed to by the Contracting Officer and the Contractor, sufficient to avoid unfair competitive advantage or potential bias (this time shall in no case be less than the duration of the initial production contract). NASA shall not unilaterally require the Contractor to prepare such specifications or statements of work under this contract.

(2) To the extent that the work under this contract requires access to proprietary, business confidential, or financial data of other companies, and as long as these data remain proprietary or confidential, the Contractor shall protect these data from unauthorized use and disclosure and agrees not to use them to compete with those other companies.

(End of clause)

1852.209-72 Composition of the contractor.

As prescribed in 1809.670, insert the following clause:

Composition of the Contractor (December 1988)

If the Contractor is comprised of more than one legal entity, each entity shall be jointly and severally liable under this contract.

(End of clause)

1852.210-70 Brand name or equal.

As prescribed in 1810.011, insert the following provision:

Brand Name or Equal (December 1988)

(a) As used in this provision, "brand name" means identification of products by make and model. The term "bid" means "offer" if this is a negotiated acquisition.

(b) If items called for by this solicitation are identified in the Schedule by a "brand name or equal" description, that identification is intended to be descriptive, not restrictive, and is to indicate the quality and characteristics of products that will be satisfactory. Bids offering "equal" products, including products of the brand name manufacturer other than the one described by brand name, will be considered for award if the products are clearly identified in the bids and are determined by the Government to meet fully the salient characteristics requirements referenced in the solicitation.

(c) Unless the offeror clearly indicates in the bid that it is offering an "equal" product, the bid shall be considered as offering a brand-name product referenced in the solicitation.

(d)(1) If the offeror proposes to furnish an "equal" product, the brand name, if any, of the product to be furnished shall be inserted in the space provided in the solicitation, or that product shall be otherwise clearly identified in the bid. The evaluation of bids and the determination as to equality of the product offered shall be the responsibility of the Government and will be based on information furnished by the offeror or identified in its bid, as well as on other information reasonably available to the contracting activity.

(2) Caution to Offerors: The contracting office is not responsible for locating or securing any information not identified in the bid and reasonably available to the contracting office. Accordingly, to ensure that sufficient information is available, the offeror must furnish as a part of its bid all descriptive material (such as cuts, illustrations, drawings, or other information) necessary for the contracting office to (i) determine whether the product offered meets the salient characteristics requirements of the solicitation and (ii) establish exactly what the offeror proposes to furnish and what the Government would be binding itself to purchase by making an award. The information furnished may include specific references to information previously furnished or to information otherwise available to the contracting office.

(3) If the offeror proposes to modify a product so as to make it conform to the requirements of the solicitation, it shall (i) include in the bid a clear description of the proposed modifications and (ii) clearly mark any descriptive material to show them.

(4) If this is a sealed-bid acquisition, modifications proposed after bid opening to make a product conform to a brand name product referenced in the solicitation will not be considered.

(End of provision)

1852.210–71 Descriptive literature for used material.

As prescribed by 1810.011–70(b), insert the following provision:

Descriptive Literature for Used Material (December 1988)

(a) Offerors' attention is directed to the provision in this solicitation entitled "Listing of Used or Reconditioned Material, Residual Inventory, and Former Government Surplus Property." If an offeror intends to furnish any items falling within any of the classes of property addressed by that provision, the following information must be submitted as an attachment to the offer:

[Insert the information needed to determine that items can reasonably be expected to conform to the solicitation requirements.]

(b) Offerors are specifically advised that if this procurement is being conducted in accordance with procedures for sealed bidding (see FAR Part 14), a failure to provide the information identified in paragraph (a) above will render the offeror nonresponsive.

(End of provision)

1852.210–72 Supplies and/or services to be furnished

As prescribed in 1810.011–70(c), insert the following clause:

Supplies and/or Services to be Furnished (December 1988)

The contractor shall provide all resources (except as may be expressly stated in this contract as furnished by the Government) necessary to furnish the items below in accordance with the Description/Specifications/Work Statement in Section C.

Item No.	Description	Quantity	Unit	Unit price	Total amt.

[Insert the item number, brief description, quantity, unit, unit price, and total dollar amount.]

(End of clause)

1852.210–75 Packaging and marking.

As prescribed in 1810.011–70(d), insert the following clause:

Packaging and Marking (December 1988)

(a) The Contractor shall pack and mark all hardware deliverable under this contract in accordance with the provisions of NASA Handbook (NHB) 6000.1, Requirements for Packaging, Handling, and Transportation, and/or MIL-STD–2073, as applicable, except as noted below:

[Insert exceptions to packing and marking requirements or "None"]

(b) The Contractor shall pack potentially hazardous items in accordance with paragraph 204 of NHB 6000.1.

(c) The Contractor shall develop packaging, handling, and transportation records, if required, from engineering and packaging data. The Contracting Officer's technical representative is the approving official of the records and special packaging data under paragraph 302 of NHB 6000.1.

(d) The Contractor's packaging specifications or procedures may be utilized if they are (i) not in conflict with cited NASA specifications and (ii) approved in writing by the Contracting Officer. In any conflict between NASA and the Contractor specifications or procedures, the NASA documents cited in this clause shall take precedence.

(e) The Contractor shall place identical requirements on all subcontracts.

(End of clause)

Packaging and Marking (Alternate I)

(a) The contractor shall preserve, pack, and mark for shipment all items deliverable under this contract in accordance with good commercial practices and adequate to ensure both acceptance by common carrier and safe transportation at the most economical rate(s).

(b) The contractor's markings on shipping containers shall be clearly legible from a distance of 36 inches. The contractor may mark by stencil, rubber stamp, or lacquer over a coated gummed label.

Packaging and Marking (Alternate II)

(f) The following items to be furnished under this contract are for space flight use:

[Insert items for space flight use.]

(g) All markings for space flight items shall be blue in color. All shipping containers, shipping documents, and purchasing documents for these items shall be marked "ITEMS FOR SPACE FLIGHT USE."

(h) The Contractor shall prominently display a NASA Critical Space Item Label on the exterior of all Class I, Class II, and Class III interim packages and exterior shipping containers to alert all shipping and handling personnel to the criticality of the item in accordance with paragraph 303 of NHB 6000.1.

(End of clause)

1852.212–70 Notice of delay.

As prescribed at 1812.104–70(a), insert the following clause:

Notice of Delay (December 1988)

If, because of technical difficulties, the Contractor becomes unable to complete the contract work at the time specified, notwithstanding the exercise of good faith and diligent efforts in performing the work called for under this contract, the Contractor shall give the Contracting Officer written notice of the anticipated delay and the reasons for it. The notice and reasons shall be delivered promptly after the condition creating the anticipated delay becomes known to the Contractor but in no event less than 45 days before the completion date specified in this contract, unless otherwise permitted by the Contracting Officer. When notice is given, the Contracting Officer may extend the time specified in the Schedule for such period as is deemed advisable.

(End of clause)

1852.212–72 Partial shipments.

Insert the following clause as prescribed in 1812.104–70(c).

Partial Shipments (December 1988)

Partial shipments will not be accepted unless authorized elsewhere in this contract or by the Contracting Officer's representative at the time of delivery. The Government reserves the right to return partial shipments to the Contractor, transportation charges collect.

(End of clause)

1852.212–73 Delivery schedule.

As prescribed in 1812.104–70(d), insert the following clause:

Delivery Schedule (December 1988)

The contractor shall deliver the items required to be furnished by this contract as follows:

Item No.	Description	Quantity	Delivery date	Shipping address

[Insert the applicable item numbers, descriptions, quantities of items, delivery dates, and shipping addresses.]

(End of clause)

1852.212–74 Period of Performance.

As prescribed in 1812.104–70(e), insert the following clause:

Period of Performance (December 1988)

The period of performance of this contract shall be [Insert period of performance dates].

(End of clause)

1852.214–70 Caution to offerors furnishing descriptive literature.

As prescribed in 1814.201–670(a), insert the following provision:

Caution to Offerors Furnishing Descriptive Literature (December 1988)

Bidders are cautioned against furnishing as a part of their bids descriptive literature that includes language reserving to the bidder the right to deviate from the requirements of the invitation for bids. Statements that "Data are subject to change without notice," "Prices subject to change without notice," or words having a similar effect are examples of such reservation. The Government will reject as nonresponsive any bid that incorporates literature containing such language or any bid that must be evaluated by using literature containing such language. Bidders should clearly label any submissions of descriptive literature not intended to form a part of a bid as such in order to preclude any need for the Government to interpret the bidder's intent in submitting descriptive literature. [See FAR 14.202–5.]

(End of provision)

1852.214–71 Grouping for aggregate award.

As prescribed in 1814.201–670(b), insert the following provision:

Grouping for Aggregate Award (March 1989)

(a) The Government will evaluate offers and make award on a basis of the aggregate offers for items [Insert the item numbers and/or descriptions].

(b) If this is an invitation for bids, the Government will reject as nonresponsive a bid that is not made on all of the items specified in paragraph (a) of this section.

(End of provision)

1852.214-72 Full quantities.

As prescribed in 1814.201-670(c), insert the following provision:

Full Quantities (December 1988)

The Government will not consider an offer for quantities of items less than those specified. If this is an invitation for bids, the Government will reject as nonresponsive a bid that is not made on full quantities.

(End of provision)

1852.215-70 Increases in estimated costs.

As prescribed in 1815.613-72, insert the following provision:

Increases in Estimated Costs (December 1988)

Once the apparent successful offeror has been selected, that offeror may not unilaterally increase the estimated costs submitted with its proposal except for—

(a) Increases resulting from updating or correcting the certified cost or pricing data submitted with the proposal;

(b) Costs resulting from the Government's directed correction of identified weaknesses in the proposal that must be corrected as a condition of contracting; or

(c) Minor changes in the requirements of the solicitation. In such cases, the Government will consider only those increases arising from requirements actually affected by the changes (irrespective of whether the changes result in an increase or decrease in the requirements or are initiated by the Government or the offeror) and then only to the extent the increases are identified and justified.

(End of provision)

1852.215-71 Adjustment for subcontract price redetermination.

As prescribed in 1815.870-2, insert the following clause:

Adjustment for Subcontract Price Redetermination (December 1988)

Promptly upon the establishment of firm prices for each of the subcontracts listed below, the Contractor shall submit, in such form and detail as the Contracting Officer may reasonably require, a statement of costs incurred in the performance of that subcontract and the firm price established for it. Thereupon, notwithstanding any other provisions of this contract as amended by this modification, the Contractor and the Contracting Officer shall negotiate an equitable adjustment in the total amount paid or to be paid under the contract to reflect the subcontract price revision. The equitable adjustment shall be evidenced by a modification to this contract.

[list subcontracts]

(End of clause)

1852.215-72 Restriction on use and disclosure of proposal/quotation information (Data).

As prescribed in 1815.407-70(a), insert the following provision:

Restriction on Use and Disclosure of Proposal/Quotation Information (Data) (December 1984)

It is NASA policy to use information contained in proposals and quotations for evaluation purposes only. While this policy does not require that the proposal or quotation bear a restrictive notice, offerors or quoters should, in order to maximize protection of trade secrets or other information that is commercial or financial and confidential or privileged, place the following notice on the title page of the proposal or quotation and specify the information subject to the notice by inserting appropriate identification, such as page numbers, in the notice. In any event, information (data) contained in proposals and quotations will be protected to the extent permitted by law, but NASA assumes no liability for use and disclosure of information not made subject to the notice.

Restriction on Use and Disclosure of Proposal and Quotation Information (Data)

The information (data) contained in _____ [Insert page numbers or other identification] of this proposal or quotation constitutes a trade secret and/or information that is commercial or financial and confidential or privileged. It is furnished to the Government in confidence with the understanding that it will not, without permission of the offeror, be used or disclosed for other than evaluation purposes; *provided*, however, that in the event a contract is awarded on the basis of this proposal or quotation the Government shall have the right to use and disclose this information (data) to the extent provided in the contract. This restriction does not limit the Government's right to use or disclose this information (data) if obtained from another source without restriction.

(End of provision)

1852.215-73 Late submissions, modifications, and withdrawals of proposals. (AO and SBIR programs).

As prescribed in 1815.407-70(b), use the following provision in lieu of the provision at FAR 52.215-10 in Announcement of Opportunity and SBIR solicitations:

Late Submissions, Modifications, and Withdrawals of Proposals (AO and SBIR Programs) (December 1988)

(a) The Government reserves the right to consider proposals or modifications, including any revision of an otherwise successful proposal, received after the date indicated for receipt of proposals if it would be in the Government's best interest to do so.

(b) Proposals may be withdrawn by written notice or telegram (including mailgram) received at any time before award. Proposals may be withdrawn in person by an offeror or an authorized representative, if the representative's identity is made known and the representative signs a receipt for the proposal before award.

(End of provision)

1852.215-74 Alternate proposals.

As prescribed in 1815.407-70(c), insert the following provision:

Alternate Proposals (December 1988)

(a) The offeror may submit an alternate proposal to accomplish any aspect of the effort or product contemplated by the solicitation in a manner that might create a beneficial improvement to the Government. The Government will consider an alternate proposal if it is accompanied by a basic proposal prepared in accordance with instructions contained in and responsive to this solicitation. The alternate proposal must be complete by itself and comply with the proposal instructions of this solicitation. The alternate proposal will be evaluated in accordance with the evaluation factors of this solicitation.

(b) In the event the Government receives an alternate proposal that, if accepted, would result in a contract with terms varying in one or more material respects from those contained in this solicitation (i.e., change in scope), and the Government concludes that implementation of the approach contained in the alternate proposal would be in its best interests, the Government may modify its solicitation in a manner appropriate to incorporate the change in scope but not reveal the substance of the alternate proposal, and thereafter give all offerors (and others if the facts warrant) an opportunity to respond to the modified solicitation.

(End of provision)

1852.215-75 Expenses related to offeror submissions.

As prescribed in 1815.407-70(d), insert the following provision:

Expenses Related to Offeror Submissions (December 1988)

This solicitation neither commits the Government to pay any cost incurred in the submission of the offer or in making necessary studies or designs for preparing the offer, nor to contract for services or supplies. Any costs incurred in anticipation of a contract shall be at the offeror's own risk.

(End of provision)

1852.215-76 False statements.

As prescribed in 1815.407-70(e), insert the following provision:

False Statements (December 1988)

Proposals must set forth full, accurate, and complete information as required by the solicitation (including attachments). The penalty for making false statements in proposals is prescribed in 18 U.S.C. 1001.

(End of provision)

1852.215-77 Preproposal/pre-bid Conference.

As prescribed in 1815.407-70(f), insert the following provision:

Preproposal/Pre-Bid Conference (December 1988)

(a) A preproposal/pre-bid conference will be held as indicated below:

Date:
Time:
Location:
Other Information, as applicable:

[Insert the applicable conference information.]

(b) Attendance at the preproposal/pre-bid conference is recommended; however, attendance is neither required nor a prerequisite for proposal/bid submission and will not be considered in the evaluation.

(End of provision)

1852.215-78 Make or buy program requirements.

As prescribed in 1815.708-70(a), insert the following provision:

Make or Buy Program Requirements (December 1988)

The offeror shall submit a Make-or-Buy Program in accordance with the requirements of Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) 15.705. The offeror shall include the following supporting documentation with its proposal:

(a) A description of each major item or work effort (see FAR 15.704).

(b) Categorization of each major item or work effort as "must make," "must buy," or "can either make or buy."

(c) For each item or work effort categorized as "can either make or buy," a proposal either to "make" or "buy."

(d) Reasons for (i) categorizing items and work effort as "must make" or "must buy" and (ii) proposing to "make" or "buy" those categorized as "can either make or buy." The reasons must include the consideration given to the applicable evaluation factors described in the solicitation and be in sufficient detail to permit the Contracting Officer to evaluate the categorization and proposal.

(e) Designation of the offeror's plant or division proposed to make each item or perform each work effort and a statement as to whether the existing or proposed new facility is in or near a labor surplus area.

(f) Identification of proposed subcontractors, if known, and their location and size status.

(g) Any recommendations to defer make-or-buy decisions when categorization of some items or work efforts is impracticable at the time of submission.

(End of provision)

1852.215-79 Price adjustment for "make-or-buy" changes.

As prescribed in 1815.708-70, insert the following clause:

Price Adjustment for "Make-or-Buy" Changes (December 1988)

The following make-or-buy items are subject to the provisions of paragraph (d) of the clause at FAR 52.215-21, Change or Additions to Make-or-Buy Program, of this contract:

Item description	Make-or-buy Determination

(End of clause)

1852.215-80 Disposal of unsuccessful proposals.

As prescribed in 1815.407-70(g), insert the following provision:

Disposal of Unsuccessful Proposals (December 1988)

After contract award, one or more copies of each unsuccessful proposal will be retained in the Government's official contract file, and all other copies will be destroyed.

(End of provision)

1852.216-72 Evaluation of offers subject to economic price adjustment.

As prescribed in 1816.203-4(f), insert the following provision:

Evaluation of Offers Subject to Economic Price Adjustment (December 1988)

Notwithstanding the requirements of the _____[1] clause, offers shall be evaluated on the basis of quoted prices without an amount for economic price adjustment being added. Offers that provide for a ceiling lower than that stipulated, if a ceiling is stipulated in the clause, shall also be evaluated on this basis, but any resultant award will be made at the lower ceiling. Offers that provide for adjustment that may exceed the maximum adjustment stipulated, if a maximum is stipulated in the clause, or that limit or delete the downward adjustment, if a downward adjustment is stipulated in the clause, shall be rejected as nonresponsive.

(End of provision)

1852.216-73 Estimated cost and cost sharing.

As prescribed in 1816.307-70(a), insert the following clause.

Estimated Cost and Cost Sharing (December 1988)

(a) It is estimated that the total cost of performing the work under this contract will be $_____ [insert total estimated cost].

(b) For performing of the work under this contract, the Contractor shall be reimbursed for not more than _____ percent of the costs of performance determined to be allowable under the Allowable Cost and Payment clause. The remaining _____ percent or more of the costs of performance so determined shall constitute the Contractor's share, for which it will not be reimbursed by the Government.

(c) For purposes of the Limitation of Cost clause, the total estimated cost to the Government is hereby established as $_____ *[insert estimated Government share]*; this amount is the maximum cost for which the Government is obligated.

(d) The Contractor shall maintain records of all contract costs claimed by the Contractor as constituting part of its share. Those records shall be subject to audit by the Government. Costs contributed by the Contractor shall not be charged to the Government under any other grant or contract (including allocation to other grants or contracts as part of an independent research and development program).

(End of clause)

1852.216-74 Estimated cost and fixed fee.

As prescribed in 1816.307-70(b) insert the following clause:

Estimated Cost and Fixed Fee (December 1988)

The estimated cost of this contract is _____ exclusive of the fixed fee of _____. The total of estimated cost and fixed fee is _____.

(End of clause)

1852.216-75 Payment of fixed fee.

As prescribed in 1816.307-70(c), insert the following clause:

Payment of Fixed Fee (December 1988)

The fixed fee shall be paid in monthly installments based upon the percentage of completion of work as determined by the Contracting Officer.

(End of clause)

1852.216-76 Award fee.

As prescribed in 1816.405, insert the following clause:

Award Fee (December 1988)

(a) The Government shall pay the Contractor for performing this contract such base fee, if any, and such additional fee as may be awarded, as provided in the Schedule.

(b) Payment of the base fee and award fee shall be made as specified in the Schedule; provided, that after payment of 85 percent of the base fee and potential award fee, the Contracting Officer may withhold further payment of the base fee and award fee until a reserve is set aside in an amount that the Contracting Officer considers necessary to protect the Government's interest. This reserve shall not exceed 15 percent of the total base fee and potential award fee or $100,000, whichever is less.

(c) Award fee determinations made by the Government under this contract are not subject to the Disputes clause.

(End of clause)

1852.216-78 Firm fixed price.

As prescribed in 1816.202-70, insert the following clause:

Firm Fixed Price (December 1988)

The total firm fixed price of this contract is $_____ [Insert the appropriate amount].

(End of clause)

[1] Insert the title of the clause providing for economic price adjustment.

1852.216-79 Level-of-effort (fixed-price).

As prescribed in 1816.207-70(a), insert the following clause:

Level-of-Effort (Fixed-Price) (December 1988)

(a) In accomplishing the work required under this contract, the Contractor shall provide _____ direct labor hours as a minimum. These hours shall be expended as follows:

Labor category	Minimum direct labor hours

[Insert the labor categories and associated direct labor hours.]

(b) "Direct labor hours" are those productive hours expended by Contractor personnel performing work under this contract that are charged as direct labor under the Contractor's established accounting policy and procedures. The term does not include sick leave, vacation, or any type of administrative leave but does include direct labor hours provided under level-of-effort subcontracts.

(c) If, at the end of the contract term, the Contractor has not provided the minimum direct labor hours specified above, the total fixed price of this contract shall be reduced as follows: [Insert either a formula based upon the number of hours expended in the separate labor categories or the product of the hours of unexpended labor multiplied by one specified rate.]

(d) The Contractor may, at its own option, furnish more than the stated direct labor hours; however, the Contractor shall not be entitled to any increase in the fixed price of the contract for exceeding the stated direct labor hours.

(e) Within thirty (30) days after the end of the performance period and before submission of an invoice for final payment, the Contractor shall submit to the Contracting Officer a statement certifying the actual total number of direct labor hours expended under this contract. The Contractor further agrees to make available to the Contracting Officer such records as the Contracting Officer may reasonably require to determine that the minimum number of labor hours specified in this clause were expended in the performance of the work.

(End of clause)

1852.216-80 Task ordering procedure.

As prescribed in 1816.307-70(d), insert the following clause:

Task Ordering Procedure (December 1988)

Performance under this contract is subject to the following ordering procedure.

(a) Within the direct labor hours specified in the Level-of-Effort clause of this contract, the Contractor shall incur costs under this contract in the performance of task orders and task order modifications issued in accordance with this ordering procedure. No other costs are authorized without the express written consent of the Contracting Officer.

(b) From time to time during the term of this contract, the Contracting Officer will issue task orders in writing to the Contractor, providing specific information on work to be performed within the scope of the contract. Each task order will indicate the objectives or results desired.

(c) Task orders will contain, as a minimum, the following information:

(1) Signature of the Contracting Officer.

(2) Contract number, order number, and date.

(3) Description of work.

(4) Maximum number of contract labor hours and other resources authorized.

(5) Documentation requirements.

(6) Delivery/performance schedule.

(7) Quality assurance standards, as appropriate.

(8) Travel authorized.

(9) Any other necessary information.

(d) The Contracting Officer may modify task orders in the same manner as they are issued.

(e) The Contractor shall submit within ten calendar days after receipt of each task order a one-time Contractor task plan. The task plan is the Contractor's overall approach for completing the task order and shall include the following:

(1) Discussion of the technical approach for performing the work.

(2) Estimated date of commencement of work, and any changes proposed to the schedule of performance.

(3) Direct labor hours, both straight time and overtime (if authorized), on a monthly basis by applicable labor category, and the total direct labor hours, including those in paragraph (e)(5) of this clause, estimated to complete the task.

(4) The travel and material estimates.

(5) An estimate for subcontractors and consultants, including the direct labor hours, if applicable.

(6) Estimated computer use time required, if applicable.

(7) Other pertinent information, such as indirect costs and inter-divisional transfers.

(8) The total estimated cost and fee, where appropriate, for completion of the task order.

(f) The Contracting Officer shall approve in writing the Contractor's task plan and any plan revisions either (1) as being in accordance with the task order or (2) with identified variations constituting a modification to the task order. All approvals shall be prior to commencement of work.

(g) In the event that there is a conflict between the requirements of the task order and the Contractor's task plan, the task order shall prevail.

(End of clause)

Alternate I (December 1988)

As prescribed in 1816.307-70(e), delete paragraphs (e), (f), and (g) from the basic clause.

1852.216-81 Estimated cost.

As prescribed in 1816.307-70(f), insert the following clause:

Estimated Cost (December 1988)

The total estimated cost for complete performance of this contract is $_____ [Insert total estimated cost of the contract]. See FAR clause 52.216-11, Cost Contract—No Fee, of this contract.

(End of clause)

1852.216-82 Level-of-effort (cost).

As prescribed in 1816.307-70(g), insert the following clause:

Level-of-Effort (Cost) (December 1988)

(a) During the term of the contract, the Contractor is obligated to provide not less than ____% [Insert minimum percentage of direct labor hours] nor more than ____% [Insert maximum percentage of direct labor hours] of the following direct labor hours:

Labor category	Direct labor hours

[Insert applicable labor categories, direct labor hours in each labor category, and total direct labor hours.]

(b) "Direct labor hours" are those productive hours expended by Contractor personnel performing work under this contract that are charged as direct labor under the Contractor's established accounting policy and procedures. The term does not include sick leave, vacation leave, or any type of administrative leave but does include direct labor hours provided under level-of-effort subcontracts.

(c) Once the maximum number of direct labor hours is reached or the contract term has ended, the Contractor's requirements under the contract are fulfilled, even though the specified work may not have been completed. The Contractor is not authorized to exceed the maximum of the direct labor hours specified in paragraph (a) of this clause unless a bilateral contract modification is executed. Any estimated cost and fee(s) adjustments for any additional direct labor hours shall be based solely upon the quantity of additional hours being added to the maximum number of direct labor hours specified in this clause.

(d) The fee(s), if any, are based upon the furnishing of the specified minimum level-of-effort. If the Contractor provides less than the specified minimum level-of-effort prior to expiration of the contract term, and the Government has not invoked its rights under the Termination clause of this contract to adjust the contract for such reduced effort, the Contracting Officer will unilaterally make an equitable downward adjustment to the contract fee. The downward adjustment in fee will be based upon the difference between the minimum direct labor hours specified under this clause and the amount of direct labor hours provided by the Contractor. At the Contracting Officer's discretion, the adjustment may take into consideration efficiencies in the Contractor's performance, including productivity improvements, if any, which contributed to the lesser number of direct labor hours being provided.

(End of clause)

1852.216-83 Fixed price incentive.

As prescribed in 1816.405(b), insert the following clause:

Fixed Price Incentive (December 1988)

The target cost of this contract is $_____. The target profit of this contract is $_____. The target price (target cost plus target profit) of this contract is $_____.

(End of clause)

1852.216-84 Estimated cost and incentive fee.

As prescribed in 1816.405-70(c), insert the following clause:

Estimated Cost and Incentive Fee (December 1988)

The target cost of this contract is $_____. The target fee of this contract is $_____. The total target cost and target fee as contemplated by the Incentive Fee clause of this contract is $_____ [Insert appropriate target cost and fee amounts].

(End of clause)

1852.216-85 Estimated cost and award fee.

As prescribed in 1816.405-70(d), insert the following clause:

Estimated Cost and Award Fee (December 1988)

The estimated cost of this contract is $_____. The base fee is $_____, and the maximum available award fee is $_____. Total cost, base fee, and maximum award fee is $_____. [Insert appropriate cost and fee amounts.]

(End of clause)

1852.216-86 Settlement of letter contract.

As prescribed in 1816.603-470, insert the following clause:

Settlement of Letter Contract (December 1988)

(a) This contract constitutes the definitive contract contemplated by issuance of letter contract_____, dated_____, and supersedes the letter contract and its modification no.(s)_____. [Insert letter contract number, date, and modification numbers.]

(b) The costs and fees, or prices, established in this definitive contract represent full and complete settlement of letter contract_____and modification no.(s)_____.

(c) If this definitive contract contains terms that may be construed to be inconsistent with the letter contract, the parties agree that this definitive contract states the complete agreement and intent of the parties, and any rights and duties created by the letter contract that are inconsistent with this definitive contract are hereby waived, cancelled, and released.

(End of clause)

1852.216-87 Submission of vouchers for payment.

As prescribed in 1816.307-70(h), insert the following clause:

Submission of Vouchers for Payment (December 1988)

(a) Public vouchers for payment of costs shall include a reference to this contract [Insert the contract number] and be forwarded to:

[Insert the mailing address for submission of cost vouchers.]

This is the designated billing office for cost vouchers for purposes of the Prompt Payment clause of this contract.

(b) The Contractor shall prepare vouchers as follows: (1) One original Standard Form (SF) 1034, SF 1035, or equivalent Contractor's attachment.

(2) Seven copies of SF 1034A, SF 1035A, or equivalent Contractor's attachment.

(3) The Contractor shall mark SF 1034A copies 1, 2, 3, 4, and such other copies as may be directed by the Contracting Officer by insertion in the memorandum block the names and addresses as follows:

(i) Copy 1 NASA Contracting Officer;

(ii) Copy 2 Auditor;

(iii) Copy 3 Contractor;

(iv) Copy 4 Contract administration office; and

(v) Copy 5 Project management office.

(c) Public vouchers for payment of fee shall be prepared similarly and be forwarded to:

[Insert the mailing address for submission of fee vouchers.]

This is the designated billing office for fee vouchers for purposes of the Prompt Payment clause of this contract.

(d) In the event that amounts are withheld from payment in accordance with provisions of this contract, a separate voucher for the amount withheld will be required before payment for that amount may be made.

(End of clause)

1852.217-70 Property administration and reporting.

As prescribed in 1817.7002-4 insert the following clause:

Property Administration and Reporting (December 1988)

All property acquired for, and reimbursed by, NASA or transferred by NASA for use under this NASA-Defense Purchase Request shall be controlled and accounted for in accordance with the Military Department's normal procedures. All excess items, however, costing $500 or more and in condition Code 7 or better (GSA Condition Codes) shall be reported to the NASA originating office for possible reutilization before disposition.

(End of clause)

1852.219-72 SIC code and small business size standard.

As prescribed in 1819.170, insert the following provision:

SIC Code and Small Business Size Standard (December 1988)

(a) The standard industrial classification (SIC) code(s) for this procurement is (are) _____ [Insert SIC code].

(b) The small business size standard is _____[Insert the number of employees, or dollar value in average annual receipts for the last three (3) fiscal years].

(End of provision)

1852.219-73 Small business and small disadvantaged business subcontracting plan.

As prescribed in 1819.708-70, insert the following provision:

Small Business and Small Disadvantaged Business Subcontracting Plan (December 1988)

(a) This provision is not applicable to small business concerns.

(b) The contract expected to result from this solicitation will contain FAR clause 52.219-9, "Small Business and Small Disadvantaged Business Subcontracting Plan." The apparently successful offeror must submit the complete plan within _____ [Insert number of days] calendar days after request by the Contracting Officer.

(End of provision)

Alternate I (December 1988)

As prescribed in 1819.708-70, delete the last sentence of paragraph (b) of the basic clause and substitute the following:

Each offeror must submit the complete plan with its initial proposal.

1852.222-70 Facilities nondiscrimination notice.

As prescribed in 1822.7002(a), insert the following clause:

Facilities Nondiscrimination Notice (April 1988)

If the annual rental under this lease, combined with the annual rental under all other NASA leases of space in the building in which the space covered by this lease is located, exceeds $10,000, the lessor agrees to comply with the requirements of the Facilities Nondiscrimination clause of this contract.

(End of clause)

1852.222-71 Facilities nondiscrimination.

As prescribed in 1822.7002(b), insert the following clause:

Facilities Nondiscrimination (December 1988)

(a) As used in this clause, "facility" means store, shop, restaurant, cafeteria, rest room, or any other public facility in the building in which the space covered by this lease is located.

(b) The lessor shall not discriminate against anyone because of race, color, religion, or national origin in furnishing, or by refusing to furnish, the use of any facility, including any services, privileges, accommodations, and activities provided by that facility. Nothing in this clause requires the furnishing to the general public of the use of any facility customarily furnished by the lessor solely to tenants and their employees, customers, patients, clients, guests, and invitees.

(c) Any noncompliance by the lessor with this clause shall constitute a material breach of this lease. In the event of noncompliance, the Government may take appropriate action

to enforce compliance, may terminate this lease, or may pursue any other remedies provided by law. In the event of termination, the lessor is liable for all excess costs of the Government in acquiring substitute space, including the cost of moving to that space. Substitute space shall be obtained in as close proximity to the lessor's building as is feasible, and moving costs shall be limited to the actual expenses incurred.

(d) Whenever an agreement is to be entered into or a concession is to be permitted to operate, the lessor shall include or require the inclusion of paragraphs (a), (b), and (c) of this clause in every such agreement or concession arrangement under which any person other than the lessor operates or has the right to operate any facility. Nothing in this clause, however, requires the lessor to include or require the inclusion of those paragraphs in any previously existing agreement or concession arrangement, or in one under which a party other than the lessor has the unilateral right to renew or extend the agreement or arrangement, until the expiration of the existing agreement or arrangement and the unilateral right to renew or extend.

(e) The lessor shall take, as expeditiously as possible, any lawful actions NASA may direct to enforce the intent of this clause, including termination of the agreement or concession and institution of court action.

(End of clause)

1852.223-70 Safety and health.

As prescribed in 1823.7004, insert the following clause:

Safety and Health (December 1988)

(a) The Contractor shall take all reasonable safety and health measures in performing under this contract and shall, to the extent set forth in the contract Schedule, submit a safety plan and a health plan for the Contracting Officer's approval. The Contractor shall comply with all Federal, State, and local laws applicable to safety and health in effect on the date of this contract and with the safety and health standards, specifications, reporting requirements, and provisions set forth in the contract Schedule.

(b) The Contractor shall take or cause to be taken any other safety and health measures the Contracting Officer may reasonably direct. To the extent that the Contractor may be entitled to an equitable adjustment for those measures under the terms and conditions of this contract, the equitable adjustment shall be determined pursuant to the procedures of the changes clause of this contract; provided, that no adjustment shall be made under this Safety and Health clause for any change for which an equitable adjustment is expressly provided under any other provision of the contract.

(c) The Contractor shall immediately notify and promptly report to the Contracting Officer or a designee any accident, incident, or exposure resulting in fatality, lost-time occupational injury, occupational disease, contamination of property beyond any stated acceptable limits set forth in the contract Schedule, or property loss of $25,000 or more arising out of work performed under this contract. The Contractor is not required to include in any report an expression of opinion as to the fault or negligence of any employee. Service contractors (excluding construction contracts) shall provide quarterly reports specifying lost-time frequency rate, number of lost-time injuries, exposure, and accident/incident dollar losses as specified in the contract Schedule. The Contractor shall investigate all work-related incidents or accidents to the extent necessary to determine their causes and furnish the Contracting Officer a report, in such form as the Contracting Officer may require, of the investigative findings and proposed or completed corrective actions.

(d) (1) The Contracting Officer may notify the Contractor in writing of any noncompliance with this clause and specify corrective actions to be taken. The Contractor shall promptly take and report any necessary corrective action.

(2) If the Contractor fails or refuses to institute prompt corrective action in accordance with subparagraph (d)(1) of this clause, the Contracting Officer may invoke the stop-work order clause in this contract or any other remedy available to the Government in the event of such failure or refusal.

(e) The Contractor (or subcontractor or supplier) shall insert the substance of this clause, including this paragraph (e) and any applicable Schedule provisions, with appropriate changes of designations of the parties, in subcontracts of every tier that (1) amount to $1,000,000 or more (unless the Contracting Officer makes a written determination that this is not required), (2) require construction, repair, or alteration in excess of $25,000, or (3) regardless of dollar amount, involve the use of hazardous materials or operations.

(f) Authorized Government representatives of the Contracting Officer shall have access to and the right to examine the sites or areas where work under this contract is being performed in order to determine the adequacy of the Contractor's safety and health measures under this clause.

(g) As a part of the Contractor's safety plan (and health plan, when applicable) and to the extent required by the Schedule, the Contractor shall furnish a list of all hazardous operations to be performed, including operations indicated in paragraphs (a) and (b) of this clause, and a list of other major or key operations required or planned in the performance of the contract, even though not deemed hazardous by the Contractor. NASA and the Contractor shall jointly decide which operations are to be considered hazardous, with NASA as the final authority. Before hazardous operations commence, the Contractor shall submit for NASA concurrence either or both of the following, as required by the contract Schedule or by the Contracting Officer:

(1) Written hazardous operating procedures for all hazardous operations.

(2) A certification program for personnel involved in hazardous operations.

(End of clause)

1852.223-71 Frequency authorization.

As prescribed in 1823.7101, insert the following clause:

Frequency Authorization (December 1988)

(a) Authorization of radio frequencies required in support of this contract shall be obtained by the Contractor or subcontractor in need thereof.

(b) For any experimental, developmental, or operational equipment for which the appropriate frequency allocation has not been made, the Contractor or subcontractor shall provide the technical operating characteristics of the proposed electromagnetic radiating device to the Contracting Officer during the initial planning, experimental, or developmental phase of contractual performance. Procedures furnished by the Contracting Officer shall be followed in obtaining radio frequency authorization.

(c) This clause, including this paragraph (c), shall be included in all subcontracts that call for developing, producing, testing, or operating a device for which a radio frequency authorization is required.

(End of clause)

1852.223-72 Potentially hazardous items.

As prescribed in 1823.303-70, insert the following clause:

Potentially Hazardous Items (December 1988)

(a) The Contractor shall furnish complete design information and drawings showing all details of construction, including materials, for the following items or components:

[Insert the potentially hazardous items or components.]

These items or components are designated as potentially hazardous to employees and subcontractors who are to perform any work in connection with installing them in combination with other equipment, or in testing them either alone or in combination with other items or components, or in handling them. The contractor shall inform such employees or subcontractors of the potentially hazardous nature of these items or components before requesting or directing the performance of work.

(b) This requirement for delivery of data supersedes any terms of this contract permitting withholding of data.

(c) The Contractor shall include this clause, including this paragraph (c), in each subcontract at any tier under this contract that calls for the manufacture or handling of the items or components designated according to paragraph (a) of this clause as potentially hazardous.

(End of clause)

1852.223-73 Safety and health plan.

As prescribed in 1823.7004(e), insert the following provision:

Safety and Health Plan (December 1988)

The offeror shall submit a detailed safety and health plan, as part of the offeror's proposal, showing how the Contractor intends to protect the life, health, and well being of NASA and contractor employees as well as property and equipment. This plan, as approved by the Contracting Officer, will be included in any resulting contract.

(End of provision)

Alternate I (December 1988)

As prescribed by 1823.7004(f), delete the first sentence of the basic clause and substitute the following:

The apparently successful offeror shall submit a detailed safety and health plan after notification of selection but before contract award, showing how the contractor intends to protect the life, health, and well being of NASA and contractor employees as well as property and equipment.

1852.225-71 Nondomestic construction materials.

As prescribed in 1825.205-70, insert the following clause:

Nondomestic Construction Materials (December 1988)

The requirements of the Buy American Act—Construction Materials clause do not apply to the following construction materials or components: [List articles of materials and supplies.]

(End of clause)

1852.225-72 Offer in English language and U.S. dollars.

As prescribed in 1825.407, insert the following provision:

Offer in English Language and U.S. Dollars (December 1988)

Offers submitted in response to this solicitation shall be in the English language and in U.S. dollars.

(End of provision)

1852.225-73 Duty-free entry supplies.

As prescribed in 1825.605-70, insert the following clause:

Duty-Free Entry Supplies (December 1988)

In accordance with the Duty-Free Entry clause of this contract, the following supplies will be given duty-free entry:

[Insert the supplies that are to be accorded duty-free entry.]

(End of clause)

1852.227-70 New technology.

As prescribed in 1827.373(b), insert the following clause:

New Technology (April 1988)

(a) *Definitions.*

"Administrator," as used in this clause, means the Administrator of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) or duly authorized representative.

"Contract," as used in this clause, means any actual or proposed contract, agreement, understanding, or other arrangement, and includes any assignment, substitution of parties, or subcontract executed or entered into thereunder.

"Made," as used in this clause, means conception or first actual reduction to practice; *provided,* that in the case of a variety of plant, the date of determination (as defined in section 41(d) of the Plant Variety Protection Act, 7 U.S.C. 2401(d)) must also occur during the period of contract performance.

"Nonprofit organization," as used in this clause, means a domestic university or other institution of higher education or an organization of the type described in section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 (26 U.S.C. 501(c)) and exempt from taxation under section 501(a) of the Internal Revenue Code (26 U.S.C. 501(a)), or any domestic nonprofit scientific or educational organization qualified under a State nonprofit organization statute.

"Practical application," as used in this clause, means to manufacture, in the case of a composition or product; to practice, in the case of a process or method; or to operate, in case of a machine or system; and, in each case, under such conditions as to establish that the invention is being utilized and that its benefits are, to the extent permitted by law or Government regulations, available to the public on reasonable terms.

"Reportable item," as used in this clause, means any invention, discovery, improvement, or innovation of the Contractor, whether or not the same is or may be patentable or otherwise protectible under Title 35 of the United States Code, conceived or first actually reduced to practice in the performance of any work under this contract or in the performance of any work that is reimbursable under any clause in this contract providing for reimbursement of costs incurred prior to the effective date of this contract.

"Small business firm," as used in this clause, means a domestic small business concern as defined at 15 U.S.C. 632 and implementing regulations of the Administrator of the Small Business Administration. (For the purpose of this definition, the size standard contained in 13 CFR 121.3-8 for small business contractors and in 13 CFR 121.3-12 for small business subcontractors will be used.)

"Subject invention," as used in this clause, means any reportable item which is or may be patentable or otherwise protectible under Title 35 of the United States Code, or any novel variety of plant that is or may be protectible under the Plant Variety Protection Act (7 U.S.C. 2321, *et seq.*).

(b) *Allocation of principal rights*—(1) *Presumption of title.* (i) Any reportable item that the Administrator considers to be a subject invention shall be presumed to have been made in the manner specified in paragraph (1) or (2) of section 305(a) of the National Aeronautics and Space Act of 1958 (43 U.S.C. 2457(a)) (hereinafter called "the Act"), and the above presumption shall be conclusive unless at the time of reporting the reportable item the Contractor submits to the Contracting Officer a written statement, containing supporting details, demonstrating that the reportable item was not made in the manner specified in paragraph (1) or (2) of section 305(a) of the Act.

(ii) Regardless of whether title to a given subject invention would otherwise be subject to an advance waiver or is the subject of a petition for waiver, the Contractor may nevertheless file the statement described in subdivision (b)(1)(i) of this clause. The Administrator will review the information furnished by the Contractor in any such statement and any other available information relating to the circumstances surrounding the making of the subject invention and will notify the Contractor whether the Administrator has determined that the subject invention was made in the manner specified in paragraph (1) or (2) of section 305(a) of the Act.

(2) *Property rights in subject inventions.* Each subject invention for which the presumption of subdivision (b)(1)(i) of this clause is conclusive or for which there has been a determination that it was made in the manner specified in paragraph (1) or (2) of section 305(a) of the Act shall be the exclusive property of the United States as represented by NASA unless the Administrator waives all or any part of the rights of the United States, as provided in subparagraph (b)(3) of this clause.

(3) *Waiver of rights.* (i) Section 305(f) of the Act provides for the promulgation of regulations by which the Administrator may waive the rights of the United States with respect to any invention or class of inventions made or that may be made under conditions specified in paragraph (1) or (2) of section 305(a) of the Act. The promulgated NASA Patent Waiver Regulations, 14 CFR Section 1245, Subpart 1, have adopted the Presidential Memorandum on Government Patent Policy of February 18, 1983, as a guide in acting on petitions (requests) for such waiver of rights.

(ii) As provided in 14 CFR Part 1245, Subpart 1, Contractors may petition, either prior to execution of the contract or within 30 days after execution of the contract, for advance waiver of rights to any or all of the inventions that may be made under a contract. If such a petition is not submitted, or if after submission it is denied, the Contractor (or an employee inventor of the Contractor) may petition for waiver of rights to an identified subject invention within eight months of first disclosure of invention in accordance with subparagraph (e)(2) of this clause, or within such longer period as may be authorized in accordance with 14 CFR 1245.105.

(c) *Minimum rights reserved by the Government.* (1) With respect to each subject invention for which a waiver of rights is applicable in accordance with 14 CFR 1245, Subpart 1, the Government reserves—

(i) An irrevocable, nonexclusive, nontransferable, royalty-free license for the practice of such invention throughout the world by or on behalf of the United States or any foreign government in accordance with any treaty or agreement with the United States; and

(ii) Such other rights as stated in 14 CFR 1245.107.

(2) Nothing contained in this paragraph (c) shall be considered to grant to the Government any rights with respect to any invention other than a subject invention.

(d) *Minimum rights to the Contractor.* (1) The Contractor is hereby granted a revocable, nonexclusive, royalty-free license in each patent application filed in any country on a subject invention and any resulting patent in which the Government acquires title, unless the Contractor fails to disclose the subject invention within the

times specified in subparagraph (e)(2) of this clause. The Contractor's license extends to its domestic subsidiaries and affiliates, if any, within the corporate structure of which the Contractor is a party and includes the right to grant sublicenses of the same scope to the extent the Contractor was legally obligated to do so at the time the contract was awarded. The license is transferable only with the approval of the Administrator except when transferred to the successor of that part of the Contractor's business to which the invention pertains.

(2) The Contractor's domestic license may be revoked or modified by the Administrator to the extent necessary to achieve expeditious practical application of the subject invention pursuant to an application for an exclusive license submitted in accordance with 14 CFR 1245, Subpart 2, Licensing of NASA Inventions. This license will not be revoked in that field of use or the geographical areas in which the Contractor has achieved practical application and continues to make the benefits of the invention reasonably accessible to the public. The license in any foreign country may be revoked or modified at the discretion of the Administrator to the extent the Contractor, its licensees, or its domestic subsidiaries or affiliates have failed to achieve practical application in that foreign country.

(3) Before revocation or modification of the license, the Contractor will be provided a written notice of the Administrator's intention to revoke or modify the license, and the Contractor will be allowed 30 days (or such other time as may be authorized by the Administrator for good cause shown by the Contractor) after the notice to show cause why the license should not be revoked or modified. The Contractor has the right to appeal, in accordance with 14 CFR 1245.211, any decision concerning the revocation or modification of its license.

(e) *Invention identification, disclosures, and reports.* (1) The Contractor shall establish and maintain active and effective procedures to assure that reportable items are promptly identified and disclosed to Contractor personnel responsible for the administration of this New Technology clause within six months of conception and/or first actual reduction to practice, whichever occurs first in the performance of work under this contract. These procedures shall include the maintenance of laboratory notebooks or equivalent records and other records as are reasonably necessary to document the conception and/or the first actual reduction to practice of the reportable items, and records that show that the procedures for identifying and disclosing reportable items are followed. Upon request, the Contractor shall furnish the Contracting Officer a description of such procedures for evaluation and for determination as to their effectiveness.

(2) The Contractor will disclose each reportable item to the Contracting Officer within two months after the inventor discloses it in writing to Contractor personnel responsible for the administration of this New Technology clause or, if earlier, within six months after the Contractor becomes aware that a reportable item has been made, but in any event for subject inventions before any on sale, public use, or publication of such invention known to the Contractor. The disclosure to the agency shall be in the form of a written report and shall identify the contract under which the reportable item was made and the inventor(s) or innovator(s). It shall be sufficiently complete in technical detail to convey a clear understanding, to the extent known at the time of the disclosure, of the nature, purpose, operation, and physical, chemical, biological, or electrical characteristics of the reportable item. The disclosure shall also identify any publication, on sale, or public use of any subject invention and whether a manuscript describing such invention has been submitted for publication and, if so, whether it has been accepted for publication at the time of disclosure. In addition, after disclosure to the agency, the Contractor will promptly notify the agency of the acceptance of any manuscript describing a subject invention for publication or of any on sale or public use planned by the Contractor for such invention.

(3) The Contractor shall furnish the Contracting Officer the following:

(i) Interim reports every 12 months (or such longer period as may be specified by the Contracting Officer) from the date of the contract, listing reportable items during that period, and certifying that all reportable items have been disclosed (or that there are no such inventions) and that the procedures required by subparagraph (e)(1) of this clause have been followed.

(ii) A final report, within 3 months after completion of the contracted work, listing all reportable items or certifying that there were no such reportable items, and listing all subcontracts at any tier containing a patent rights clause or certifying that there were no such subcontracts.

(4) The Contractor agrees, upon written request of the Contracting Officer, to furnish additional technical and other information available to the Contractor as is necessary for the preparation of a patent application on a subject invention and for the prosecution of the patent application, and to execute all papers necessary to file patent applications on subject inventions and to establish the Government's rights in the subject inventions.

(5) The Contractor agrees, subject to paragraph 27.302(i), of the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR), that the Government may duplicate and disclose subject invention disclosures and all other reports and papers furnished or required to be furnished pursuant to this clause.

(f) Examination of records relating to inventions. (1) The Contracting Officer or any authorized representative shall, until 3 years after final payment under this contract, have the right to examine any books (including laboratory notebooks), records, and documents of the Contractor relating to the conception or first actual reduction to practice of inventions in the same field of technology as the work under this contract to determine whether—

(i) Any such inventions are subject inventions;

(ii) The Contractor has established and maintained the procedures required by subparagraph (e)(1) of this clause; and

(iii) The Contractor and its inventors have complied with the procedures.

(2) If the Contracting Officer learns of an unreported Contractor invention that the Contracting Officer believes may be a subject invention, the Contractor may be required to disclose the invention to the agency for a determination of ownership rights.

(3) Any examination of records under this paragraph will be subject to appropriate conditions to protect the confidentiality of the information involved.

(g) *Withholding of payment (this paragraph does not apply to subcontracts).* (1) Any time before final payment under this contract, the Contracting Officer may, in the Government's interest, withhold payment until a reserve not exceeding $50,000 or 5 percent of the amount of this contract, whichever is less, shall have been set aside if, in the Contracting Officer's opinion, the Contractor fails to—

(i) Establish, maintain, and follow effective procedures for identifying and disclosing reportable items pursuant to subparagraph (e)(1) of this clause;

(ii) Disclose any reportable items pursuant to subparagraph (e)(2) of this clause;

(iii) Deliver acceptable interim reports pursuant to subdivision (e)(3)(i) of this clause; or

(iv) Provide the information regarding subcontracts pursuant to subparagraph (h)(4) of this clause.

(2) Such reserve or balance shall be withheld until the Contracting Officer has determined that the Contractor has rectified whatever deficiencies exist and has delivered all reports, disclosures, and other information required by this clause.

(3) Final payment under this contract shall not be made before the Contractor delivers to the Contracting Officer all disclosures of reportable items required by subparagraph (e)(2) of this clause, and an acceptable final report pursuant to subdivision (e)(3)(ii) of this clause.

(4) The Contracting Officer may decrease or increase the sums withheld up to the maximum authorized above. No amount shall be withheld under this paragraph while the amount specified by this paragraph is being withheld under other provisions of the contract. The withholding of any amount or the subsequent payment thereof shall not be construed as a waiver of any Government rights.

(h) *Subcontracts.* (1) Unless otherwise authorized or directed by the Contracting Officer, the Contractor shall—

(i) Include this clause (suitably modified to identify the parties) in any subcontract hereunder (regardless of tier) with other than a small business firm or nonprofit organization for the performance of experimental, developmental, or research work; and

(ii) Include the clause at FAR 52.227-11 (suitably modified to identify the parties) in any subcontract hereunder (regardless of tier) with a small business firm or nonprofit organization for the performance of experimental, developmental, or research work.

(2) In the event of a refusal by a prospective subcontractor to accept such a clause the Contractor—

(i) Shall promptly submit a written notice to the Contracting Officer setting forth the subcontractor's reasons for such refusal and other pertinent information that may expedite disposition of the matter; and

(ii) Shall not proceed with such subcontract without the written authorization of the Contracting Officer.

(3) In the case of subcontracts at any tier, the agency, subcontractor, and Contractor agree that the mutual obligations of the parties created by this clause constitute a contract between the subcontractor and NASA with respect to those matters covered by this clause.

(4) The Contractor shall promptly notify the Contracting Officer in writing upon the award of any subcontract at any tier containing a patent rights clause by identifying the subcontractor, the applicable patent rights clause, the work to be performed under the subcontract, and the dates of award and estimated completion. Upon request of the Contracting Officer, the Contractor shall furnish a copy of such subcontract, and, no more frequently than annually, a listing of the subcontracts that have been awarded.

(5) The subcontractor will retain all rights provided for the Contractor in the clause of subdivision (h)(1)(i) or (ii) of this clause, whichever is included in the subcontract, and the Contractor will not, as part of the consideration for awarding the subcontract, obtain rights in the subcontractor's subject inventions.

(i) Preference for United States industry. Unless provided otherwise, no Contractor that receives title to any subject invention and no assignee of any such Contractor shall grant to any person the exclusive right to use or sell any subject invention in the United States unless such person agrees that any products embodying the subject invention will be manufactured substantially in the United States. However, in individual cases, the requirement may be waived by the Administrator upon a showing by the Contractor or assignee that reasonable but unsuccessful efforts have been made to grant licenses on similar terms to potential licensees that would be likely to manufacture substantially in the United States or that under the circumstances domestic manufacture is not commercially feasible.

(End of clause)

1852.227-71 Requests for waiver of rights to inventions.

As prescribed in 1827.373(d), insert the following provision in all solicitations that include the clause at 1852.227-70, New Technology:

Requests for Waiver of Rights to Inventions (April 1984)

(a) In accordance with the NASA Patent Waiver Regulations, 14 CFR Part 1245, Subpart 1, waiver of rights to any or all inventions made or that may be made under a NASA contract or subcontract with other than a small business firm or a domestic nonprofit organization may be requested at different time periods. Advance waiver of rights to any or all inventions that may be made under a contract or subcontract may be requested prior to the execution of the contract or subcontract, or within 30 days after execution by the selected contractor. In addition, waiver of rights to an identified invention made and reported under a contract or subcontract may be requested, even though a request for an advance waiver was not made or, if made, was not granted.

(b) Each request for waiver of rights shall be by petition to the Administrator and shall include an identification of the petitioner; place of business and address; if petitioner is represented by counsel, the name, address and telephone number of the counsel; the signature of the petitioner or authorized representative; and the date of signature. No specific forms need be used, but the request should contain a positive statement that waiver of rights is being requested under the NASA Patent Waiver Regulations; a clear indication of whether the request is for an advance waiver or for a waiver of rights for an individual identified invention; whether foreign rights are also requested and, if so, the countries, and a citation of the specific section or sections of the regulations under which such rights are requested; and the name, address, and telephone number of the party with whom to communicate when the request is acted upon. Requests for advance waiver of rights should, preferably, be included with the proposal, but in any event in advance of negotiations.

(c) Petitions for advance waiver, prior to contract execution, must be submitted to the Contracting Officer. All other petitions will be submitted to the Patent Representative designated in the contract.

(d) Petitions submitted with proposals selected for negotiation of a contract will be forwarded by the Contracting Officer to the installation Patent Counsel for processing and then to the Inventions and Contributions Board. The Board will consider these petitions and where the Board makes the findings to support the waiver, the Board will recommend to the Administrator that waiver be granted, and will notify the petitioner and the Contracting Officer of the Administrator's determination. The Contracting Officer will be informed by the Board whenever there is insufficient time or information or other reasons to permit a decision to be made without unduly delaying the execution of the contract. In the latter event, the petitioner will be so notified by the Contracting Officer. All other petitions will be processed by installation Patent Counsel and forwarded to the Board. The Board shall notify the petitioner of its action and if waiver is granted, the conditions, reservations, and obligations thereof will be included in the Instrument of Waiver. Whenever the Board notifies a petitioner of a recommendation adverse to, or different from, the waiver requested, the petitioner may request reconsideration under procedures set forth in the Regulations.

(End of provision)

1852.227-72 Designation of new technology representative and patent representative.

As prescribed in 1827.373(e), insert the following clause:

Designation of New Technology Representative and Patent Representative (April 1984)

(a) For purposes of administration of the clause of this contract entitled "New Technology" or "Patent Rights—Retention by the Contractor (Short Form)," whichever is included, the following named representatives are hereby designated by the Contracting Officer to administer such clause:

Title	Office code	Address (including zip code)
	New Technology Representative Patent Representative	

(b) Reports of reportable items, and disclosure of subject inventions, interim reports, final reports, utilization reports, and other reports required by the clause, as well as any correspondence with respect to such matters, should be directed to the New Technology Representative unless transmitted in response to correspondence or request from the Patent Representative. Inquiries or requests regarding disposition of rights, election of rights, or related matters should be directed to the Patent Representative. This clause shall be included in any subcontract hereunder requiring a "New Technology" clause or "Patent Rights—Retention by the Contractor (Short Form)" clause, unless otherwise authorized or directed by the Contracting Officer. The respective responsibilities and authorities of the above-named representatives are set forth in 1827.375-3 of the NASA FAR Supplement.

(End of clause)

1852.227-73 Patent rights clause for subcontracts.

As prescribed in 1827.373(f), insert the following clause in all solicitations and contracts that include the clause at FAR 52.227-11, Patent Rights—Retention by the Contractor (Short Form):

Patent Rights Clause for Subcontracts (April 1984)

The Contractor shall include the clause in the NASA FAR Supplement at 1852.227-70, New Technology, suitably modified to identify the parties, in all subcontracts, regardless of tier, for experimental, developmental, research, design, or engineering work to be performed by other than a small business firm or a nonprofit organization.

(End of clause)

1852.227-84 Patent rights clauses.

The contracting officer shall insert the following provision as prescribed in 1827.373(g):

Patent Rights Clauses (April 1986)

This solicitation contains the patent rights clauses of FAR 52.227-11 (as modified by the NFS), NFS 1852.227-70, and NFS 1852.227-73. If the contract resulting from this solicitation is awarded to a small business or nonprofit organization, the clause at NFS 1852.227-70 shall not apply. If the award is to other than a small business or nonprofit organization, the clauses at FAR 52.227-11 and NFS 1852.227-73 shall not apply.

(End of Provision)

1852.227-85 Invention reporting and rights—foreign.

As prescribed in 1827.373(c)(1), insert the following clause:

Invention Reporting and Rights—Foreign (April 1986)

(a) As used in this clause, the term "invention" means any invention, discovery or improvement, and "made" means the conception or first actual demonstration that the invention is useful and operable.

(b) The Contractor shall report promptly to the Contracting Officer each invention made in the performance of work under this contract. The report of each such invention shall:

(1) Identify the inventor(s) by full name; and

(2) Include such full and complete technical information concerning the invention as is necessary to enable an understanding of the nature and operation thereof.

(c) The Contractor hereby grants to the Government of the United States of America as represented by the Administrator of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration the full right, title and interest in and to each such invention throughout the world, except for the State in which this contract is to be performed. As to such State, Contractor hereby grants to the Government of the United States of America as represented by the Administrator of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration only an irrevocable, nontransferable, nonexclusive, royalty-free license to practice each such invention by or on behalf of the United States of America or any foreign government pursuant to any treaty or agreement with the United States of America, provided that Contractor within a reasonable time files a patent application in that State for each such invention. Where Contractor does not elect to file such patent application for any such invention in that State, full right, title and interest in and to such invention in that State shall reside in the Government of the United States of America as represented by the Administrator of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

(d) The Contractor agrees to execute or to secure the execution of such legal instruments as may be necessary to confirm and to protect the rights granted by paragraph (c) of this clause, including papers incident to the filing and prosecution of patent applications.

(e) Upon completion of the contract work, and prior to final payment, Contractor shall submit to the Contracting Officer a final report listing all inventions reportable under this contract or certifying that no such inventions have been made.

(f) In each subcontract, the Contractor awards under this contract where the performance of research, experimental design, engineering, or developmental work is contemplated, the Contractor shall include this clause and the name and address of the Contracting Officer.

(End of Clause)

1852.227-86 Commercial computer software—licensing.

As prescribed in 1827.409(h), insert the following clause:

Commercial Computer Software—Licensing (December 1987)

(a) Any delivered commercial computer software (including documentation thereof) developed at private expense and claimed as proprietary shall be subject to the restricted rights in paragraph (d) of this clause. Where the vendor/contractor proposes its standard commercial software license, those applicable portions thereof consistent with Federal laws, standard industry practices, the Federal Acquisition Regulations (FAR) and the NASA FAR Supplement, including the restricted rights in paragraph (d) of this clause, are incorporated into and made a part of this purchase order/contract.

(b) Although the vendor/contractor may not propose its standard commercial software license until after this purchase order/contract has been issued, or at or after the time the computer software is delivered, such license shall nevertheless be deemed incorporated into and made a part of this purchase order/contract under the same terms and conditions as in paragraph (a) of this clause. For purposes of receiving updates, correction notices, consultation, and similar activities on the computer software, the NASA Contracting Officer or the NASA Contractor Technical Representative/User may sign any agreement, license, or registration form or card and return it directly to the vendor/contractor; however, such signing shall not alter any of the terms and conditions of this clause.

(c) The vendor's/contractor's acceptance is expressly limited to the terms and conditions of this purchase order/contract. If the specified computer software is shipped or delivered to NASA, it shall be understood that the vendor/contractor has unconditionally accepted the terms and conditions set forth in this clause, and that such terms and conditions (including the incorporated license) constitute the entire agreement between the parties concerning rights in the computer software.

(d) The following restricted rights shall apply:

(1) The commercial computer software may not be used, reproduced, or disclosed by the Government except as provided below or otherwise expressly stated in the purchase order/contract.

(2) The commercial computer software may be—

(i) Used, or copied for use, in or with any computer owned or leased by, or on behalf of, the Government; provided, the software is not used, nor copied for use, in or with more than one computer simultaneously, unless otherwise permitted by the license incorporated under paragraphs (a) or (b) of this clause;

(ii) Reproduced for safekeeping (archives) or backup purposes;

(iii) Modified, adapted, or combined with other computer software, provided that the modified, combined, or adapted portions of the derivative software incorporating restricted computer software shall be subject to the same restricted rights; and

(iv) Disclosed and reproduced for use by Government contractors or their subcontractors in accordance with the restricted rights in subdivisions (d)(2) (i), (ii), and (iii) of this clause; provided they have the Government's permission to use the computer software and have also agreed to protect the computer software from unauthorized use and disclosure.

(3) If the incorporated vendor's/contractor's software license contains provisions or rights that are less restrictive than the restricted rights in subparagraph (d)(2) of this clause, then the less restrictive provisions or rights shall prevail.

(4) If the computer software is published, copyrighted computer software, it is licensed to the Government, without disclosure prohibitions, with the rights in subparagraphs (d) (2) and (3) of this clause.

(5) The computer software may be marked with any appropriate proprietary notice that is consistent with the rights in subparagraphs (d) (2), (3), and (4) of this clause.

1852.228-70 Aircraft ground and flight risk.

As prescribed in 1828.370, insert the following clause. The purpose of this clause is to have the Government assume risks that generally entail unusually high insurance premiums and are not covered by the contractor's contents, work-in-process, and similar insurance. Since the definitions in the clause may not cover every situation that should be covered to achieve this purpose, the clause may be modified as follows: If the contract covers helicopters, vertical take-off aircraft, lighter-than-air airships, or other nonconventional types of aircraft, the definition of "aircraft" should be modified to specify that the aircraft has reached a point of manufacture comparable to that specified in the standard definition, which is written for conventional winged aircraft. The definition of "in the open" may be modified to include "hush houses," test hangers, comparable structures, and other designated areas. In addition, clause subparagraph (d)(3) may be modified to provide for Government

assumption of risk of transportation by conveyance on streets or highways if the contracting officer determines that this transportation is limited to the vicinity of the contractor's premises and is merely incident to work being performed under the contract.

Aircraft Ground and Flight Risk (December 1988)

(a) Notwithstanding any other provisions of this contract, except as may be specifically provided in the Schedule as an exception to this clause, the Government, subject to the definitions and limitations of this clause, assumes the risk of damage to, or loss or destruction of, aircraft in the open, during operation, and in flight and agrees that the Contractor shall not be liable to the Government for any such damage, loss, or destruction.

(b) For the purposes of this clause, the following definitions apply:

(1) Unless otherwise specifically provided in the Schedule, "aircraft" includes—

(i) Aircraft (including both complete aircraft and aircraft in the course of being manufactured, disassembled, or reassembled; *provided* that an engine or a portion of a wing or a wing is attached to the fuselage) to be furnished to the Government under this contract (whether before or after acceptance Government acceptance); and

(ii) Aircraft (regardless of whether in a state of disassembly or reassembly) furnished by the Government to the Contractor under this contract, including all property installed in, in the process of installation in, or temporarily removed from them, unless the aircraft and property are covered by a separate bailment agreement.

(2) "In the open" means located wholly outside of buildings on the Contractor's premises, or at such other places as may be described in the Schedule as being in the open for the purposes of this clause, except that aircraft furnished by the Government are considered to be in the open at all times while in Contractor's possession, care, custody, or control.

(3) "Flight" includes any flight demonstration, flight test, taxi test, or other flight made in the performance of this contract, or for the purpose of safeguarding the aircraft, or previously approved in writing by the Contracting Officer.

(i) With respect to land-based aircraft, flight commences with the taxi roll from a flight line on the Contractor's premises and continues until the aircraft has completed the taxi roll in returning to a flight line on the Contractor's premises.

(ii) With respect to seaplanes, flight commences with the launching from a ramp on the Contractor's premises and continues until the aircraft has completed its landing run upon return and is beached at a ramp on the Contractor's premises.

(iii) With respect to helicopters, flight commences upon engagement of the rotors for the purpose of take-off from the Contractor's premises and continues until the aircraft has returned to the ground on the Contractor's premises and the rotors are disengaged.

(iv) With respect to vertical take-off aircraft, flight commences upon disengagement from any launching platform or device on the Contractor's premises and continues until the aircraft has been re-engaged to any launching platform or device on the Contractor's premises; *provided, however,* that aircraft off the Contractor's premises shall be deemed to be in flight when on the ground or water only during periods of reasonable duration following emergency landing, other landings made in the performance of this contract, or landings approved by the Contracting Officer in writing.

(4) "Contractor's premises" means those premises designated as such in the Schedule or in writing by the Contracting Officer, and any other place to which aircraft are moved for the purpose of safeguarding the aircraft.

(5) "Operation" means operations and tests, other than on any production line, of aircraft, not in flight, whether or not the aircraft is in the open or in motion during them and includes operations and tests of equipment, accessories, and power plants only when installed in aircraft.

(6) "Flight crew members" means the pilot, copilot, and, unless otherwise specifically provided in the Schedule, the flight engineer and navigator when required or assigned to their respective crew positions to conduct any flight on behalf of the Contractor.

(7) "Contractor's managerial personnel" means the Contractor's directors, officers, and any managers, superintendents, or equivalent representatives who have supervision or direction of all or substantially all of the Contractor's business or of the Contractor's operations at any one plant or separate location at which this contract is performed or a separate and complete major industrial operation in connection with the performance of this contract.

(c)(1) The Government's assumption of risk under this clause, as to aircraft in the open, shall continue in effect unless terminated pursuant to subparagraph (c)(3) of this clause. If the Contracting Officer finds that an aircraft is in the open under unreasonable conditions, the Contracting Officer shall notify the Contractor in writing of the conditions found to be unreasonable and require the Contractor to correct them within a reasonable time.

(2) Upon receipt of this notice, the Contractor shall act promptly to correct these conditions, regardless of whether it agrees that they are in fact unreasonable. To the extent that the Contracting Officer may later determine that they were not in fact unreasonable, an equitable adjustment shall be made in the contract price to compensate the Contractor for any additional costs incurred in correcting them, and the contract shall be modified in writing accordingly.

(3)(i) If the Contracting Officer finds that the Contractor has failed to act promptly to correct unreasonable conditions or has failed to correct them within a reasonable time, the Contracting Officer may by written notice terminate the Government's assumption of risk under this clause for any aircraft which is in the open under those conditions. This termination shall be effective at 12:01 a.m. on the 15th day following the day of receipt by the Contractor of the notice.

(ii) If the Contracting Officer later determines that the Contractor acted promptly to correct the conditions or that the time taken by the Contractor was not in fact unreasonable, an equitable adjustment shall, notwithstanding paragraph (g) of this clause, be made to compensate the Contractor for any additional costs incurred as a result of the termination, and the contract shall be modified in writing accordingly.

(4) If the Government's assumption of risk under this clause is terminated in accordance with subparagraph (3) of this clause, the risk of loss with respect to Government-furnished property shall be determined in accordance with the Government property clause of this contract, if any, until the Government's assumption of risk is reinstated in accordance with subparagraph (5) of this clause.

(5)(i) When unreasonable conditions have been corrected, the Contractor shall promptly notify the Government. The Government may or may not elect to reassume the risks and relieve the Contractor of liabilities as provided in this clause, and the Contracting Officer shall notify the Contractor of the Government's election.

(ii) If, after correction of the conditions, the Government elects to reassume the risks and relieve the Contractor of liabilities, the Contractor shall be entitled to an equitable adjustment for any costs of insurance extending from the end of the third working day after the Contractor notifies the Government of the correction until the Government notifies the Contractor of that election.

(iii) If the Government elects not to reassume the risks and the conditions have in fact been corrected, the Contractor shall be entitled to an equitable adjustment for any costs of insurance extending after the third working day referred to in subdivision (c)(5)(ii) of this clause.

(d) The Government's assumption of risk shall not extend to damage to or loss or destruction of aircraft—

(1) Resulting from failure of the Contractor, due to willful misconduct or lack of good faith of any of the Contractor's managerial personnel, to maintain and administer a program for protecting and preserving aircraft in the open and during operation, in accordance with sound industrial practice;

(2) Sustained during flight if the flight crew members conducting the flight have not been approved in writing by the Contracting Officer;

(3) While in the course of transportation by rail or by conveyance on public streets, highways, or waterways, except for Government-furnished property;

(4) To the extent that the damage, loss, or destruction is in fact covered by insurance;

(5) Consisting of wear and tear, deterioration (including rust and corrosion), freezing, or mechanical, structural, or electrical breakdown or failure, unless this damage is the result of other loss, damage, or destruction covered by this clause (except that, in the case of Government-furnished property, if the damage consists of reasonable wear and tear or deterioration or

results from inherent vice in such property, this exclusion shall not apply); or

(6) Sustained while the aircraft is being worked upon and directly resulting from the work, including but not limited to any repairing, adjusting, servicing, or maintenance operation, unless the damage, loss, or destruction is of a type that would be covered by insurance that would customarily have been maintained by the Contractor at the time of the damage, loss, or destruction, but for the Government's assumption of risk under this clause.

(e)(1) With the exception of damage to or loss or destruction of aircraft in flight, the Government's assumption of risk under this clause shall not extend to the first $1,000 of loss or damage resulting from each event separately occurring. The Contractor assumes the risk of and shall be responsible for the first $1,000 of loss of or damage to aircraft in the open or during operation resulting from each event separately occurring, except for reasonable wear and tear and except to the extent the loss or damage is caused by negligence of Government personnel.

(2) If the Government elects to require that the aircraft be replaced or restored by the Contractor to the condition in which it was immediately prior to the damage, the equitable adjustment in the price authorized by paragraph (i) of this clause shall not include the dollar amount of the risk assumed by the Contractor under this paragraph (e). If the Government does not elect repair or replacement, the Contractor agrees to credit the contract price or pay the Government $1,000 (or the amount of the loss if smaller) as directed by the Contracting Officer.

(f) No subcontractor may be relieved from liability for damage to or loss or destruction of aircraft while in its possession or control, except to the extent that the subcontract, with the Contracting Officer's prior written approval, provides for relief of the subcontractor from that liability. In the absence of such approval, the subcontract shall require the return of the aircraft in as good condition as when received, except for reasonable wear and tear or for the utilization of the property in accordance with the provisions of this contract. If a subcontractor has not been relieved from liability and any damage, loss, or destruction occurs, the Contractor shall enforce the liability of the subcontractor for that damage to or loss or destruction of the aircraft for the benefit of the Government.

(g) The Contractor warrants that the contract price does not and will not include, except as this clause may otherwise authorize, any charge or contingency reserve for insurance (including self-insurance funds or reserves) covering any damage to or loss or destruction of aircraft while in the open, during operation, or in flight, the risk of which has been assumed by the Government under this clause, whether or not such assumption may be terminated as to aircraft in the open.

(h)(1) In the event of damage to, or loss or destruction of, aircraft in the open, during operation, or in flight, the Contractor shall take all reasonable steps to protect the aircraft from further damage, separate damaged and undamaged aircraft, and put all aircraft in the best possible order. Further, except in cases covered by paragraph (e) of this clause, the Contractor should furnish to the Contracting Officer a statement of—

(i) The damaged, lost, or destroyed aircraft;

(ii) The time and origin of the damage, loss, or destruction;

(iii) All known interests in commingled property of which aircraft are a part; and

(iv) Any insurance covering any part of the interest in the commingled property.

(2) Except in cases covered by paragraph (e) of this clause, an equitable adjustment shall be made in the amount due under this contract for expenditures made by the Contractor in performing its obligations under this paragraph (h), and this contract shall be modified in writing accordingly.

(i)(1) If, before delivery and acceptance by the Government, any aircraft is damaged, lost, or destroyed and the Government has under this clause assumed the risk of that damage, loss, or destruction, the Government shall either (i) require that the aircraft be replaced or restored by the Contractor to the condition in which it was immediately prior to the damage or (ii) terminate this contract with respect to that aircraft.

(2) If the Government requires that the aircraft be replaced or restored, an equitable adjustment shall be made in the amount due under this contract and in the time required for its performance, and the contract shall be modified in writing accordingly.

(3) If, in the alternative, this contract is terminated under this paragraph (i) with respect to the aircraft, and under this clause the Government has assumed the risk of the damage, loss, or destruction, the Contractor shall be paid the contract price for the aircraft (or, if applicable, any work to be performed on the aircraft) less any amounts the Contracting Officer determines: (i) That it would have cost the Contractor to complete the aircraft (or any work to be performed on it), together with any anticipated profit on the uncompleted work and (ii) to be the value, if any, of the damaged aircraft or any remaining portion of it retained by the Contractor. The Contracting Officer shall have the right to prescribe the manner of disposition of the damaged, lost, or destroyed aircraft or any remaining parts of it, and, if the Contractor incurs additional costs as a result of such disposition, a further equitable adjustment shall be made in the amount due to the Contractor.

(j)(1) If the Contractor is at any time reimbursed or compensated by any third person for any damage, loss, or destruction of any aircraft, the risk of which has been assumed by the Government under this clause and for which the Contractor has been compensated by the Government, it shall equitably reimburse the Government.

(2) The Contractor shall do nothing to prejudice the Government's rights to recover against third parties for any such damage, loss, or destruction and, upon the request of the Contracting Officer, shall at the Government's expense furnish to the Government all reasonable assistance and cooperation (including the prosecution of suit and the execution of instruments of assignment or subrogation in favor of the Government) in obtaining recovery.

(End of clause)

1852.228-71 Aircraft flight risks.

(a) As prescribed in 1828.311-270, insert the following clause:

Aircraft Flight Risks (December 1988)

(a) Notwithstanding any other provision of this contract (particularly paragraph (g) of the Government Property (Cost-Reimbursement, Time-and-Materials, or Labor-Hour Contracts) clause and paragraph (c) of the Insurance—Liability to Third Persons clause), the Contractor shall not: (1) Be relieved of liability for damage to, or loss or destruction of, aircraft sustained during flight or (2) be reimbursed for liabilities to third persons for loss of or damage to property or for death or bodily injury caused by aircraft during flight, unless the flight crew members have previously been approved in writing by the Contracting Officer.

(b) For the purposes of this clause—

(1) Unless otherwise specifically provided in the Schedule, "aircraft" includes any aircraft, whether furnished by the Contractor under this contract (either before or after Government acceptance) or furnished by the Government to the Contractor under this contract, including all Government property placed or installed or attached to the aircraft, unless the aircraft and property are covered by a separate bailment agreement.

(2) "Flight" includes any flight demonstration, flight test, taxi test, or other flight made in the performance of this contract, or for the purpose of safeguarding the aircraft, or previously approved in writing by the Contracting Officer.

(i) With respect to land-based aircraft, flight commences with the taxi roll from a flight line and continues until the aircraft has completed the taxi roll to a flight line.

(ii) With respect to seaplanes, flight commences with the launching from a ramp and continues until the aircraft has completed its landing run and is beached at a ramp.

(iii) With respect to helicopters, flight commences upon engagement of the rotors for the purpose of take-off and continues until the aircraft has returned to the ground and rotors are disengaged.

(iv) With respect to vertical take-off aircraft, flight commences upon disengagement from any launching platform or device and continues until the aircraft has been re-engaged to any launching platform or device.

(3) "Flight crew members" means the pilot, copilot, and, unless otherwise specifically provided in the Schedule, the flight engineer and navigator when required or assigned to their respective crew positions to conduct any flight on behalf of the Contractor.

(c) (1) If any aircraft is damaged, lost, or destroyed during flight and the amount of the damage, loss, or destruction exceeds $100,000 or 20 percent of the estimated cost, exclusive of any fee, of this contract, whichever is less, and if the Contractor is not liable for the damage, loss, or destruction under the Government Property (Cost-Reimbursement, Time-and-Materials, or Labor-Hour Contracts) clause of this contract or under

paragraph (a) of this clause, an equitable adjustment for any resulting repair, restoration, or replacement required under this contract shall be made: (i) In the estimated cost, the delivery schedule, or both and (ii) in the amount of any fee to be paid to the Contractor, and the contract shall be modified in writing accordingly.

(2) In determining the amount of adjustment in the fee that is equitable, any fault of the Contractor, its employees, or any subcontractor that materially contributed to the damage, loss, or destruction shall be taken into consideration.

(End of clause)

1852.228-72 Interparty waiver of liability during STS operations.

As prescribed in 1828.371, insert the following clause:

Interparty Waiver of Liability During STS Operations (December 1988)

(a) The Contractor undertakes the obligations of, agrees to be bound by, and shall receive the protection and benefits of a NASA contractor under the no-fault, no-subrogation interparty waiver of liability provision with users for Space Shuttle services, to the extent provided for and reprinted in paragraph (d) of this clause.

(b) This interparty waiver of liability shall not apply to damage caused by: (1) NASA to the Contractor's employees or property or (2) the contractor to NASA's employees or property.

(c) This clause, including this paragraph (c), shall be included in all subcontracts under this contract under which work is to be performed in support of STS operations.

(d) The applicable definitions and the no-fault, no-subrogation interparty waiver of liability provision contained in NASA agreements with users for Space Shuttle services provide in relevant part as follows:

1. General.

a. (Paragraph a. of the Shuttle Launch Agreement has been intentionally omitted since it is not relevant.)

b. For purpose of this (Shuttle Launch) Agreement, the following definitions shall be applicable:

(1) "Liability" shall include payments made pursuant to United States' treaty, any judgment by a court of competent jurisdiction, administrative and litigation costs, and, after consultation with the User, settlement payments.

(2) "Damage" shall mean bodily injury to or death of any person, damage to, or loss of any property, and loss of revenue or profits or other direct, indirect, or consequential damages arising therefrom.

2. (Paragraph 2 of the Shuttle Launch Agreement has been intentionally omitted since it is not relevant.)

3. Damage to Persons or Property Involved in STS Operations.

a. For purposes of this Paragraph 3., the following definitions shall be applicable:

(1) "STS Operations" shall mean:

(a) All Space Transportation System activity;

(b) All Payload activity;

(c) All tangible personal property (including ground support, test, training and simulation equipment) related to paragraph(d) 3.a.(1) (a) and (b) of this clause;

(d) Research, design, development, test, manufacture, assembly, integration, transportation, or use of any materials related to paragraph (d)3.a.(1) (a), (b) or (c) of this clause.

(e) Performance of any services related to paragraph (d)3.a.(1) (a) through (d) of this clause.

(2) "Protected STS Operations" shall mean a period of time during which STS Operations are being performed as follows:

(a) Beginning with the signature of an Agreement or Arrangement with NASA for Space Transportation System services and (i) when any employee, Payload or property arrives at a United States Government Installation, or (ii) during transportation of such to the installation by a United States Government conveyance, or (iii) at ingress of such into an Orbiter, for the purpose of fulfilling such Agreement or Arrangement, whichever occurs first.

(b) Ending with regard to any employee when the employee departs: (i) A United States Government Installation, or (ii) the Orbiter if it lands at other than such Installation, or (iii) a United States Government conveyance which transports the employee from such Installation or Orbiter, whichever occurs last.

(c) Ending with regard to a Payload or property, not Jettisoned or Deployed, under the same conditions as set forth in subparagraph (d)3.a.(2)(b) of this clause.

(d) Ending with whichever occurs last with regard to a Deployed or Jettisoned payload or property (i) after such impacts the Earth; or (ii) if retrieved by the Orbiter, under the same conditions set forth in subparagraph (d)3.a.(2)(b) of this clause.

b. NASA and the User (the parties) will respectively utilize their property and employees in STS Operations in close proximity to one another and to others. Furthermore, the parties recognize that all participants in STS Operations are engaged in the common goal of meaningful exploration, exploitation and uses of outer space. In furtherance of this goal, the parties hereto agree to a no-fault, no-subrogation, interparty waiver of liability pursuant to which each party agrees not to bring a claim against or sue the other party or other users and agrees to absorb the financial and any other consequences for Damage it incurs to its own property and employees as a result of participation in STS Operations during Protected STS Operations, irrespective of whether such Damage is caused by NASA, the User, or other users participating in STS Operations, and regardless of whether such Damage arises through negligence or otherwise. Thus, the parties, by absorbing the consequences of damage to their property and employees without recourse against each other or other users participating in STS Operations during Protected STS Operations, jointly contribute to the common goal of meaningful exploration of outer space.

c. The parties agree that this common goal will also be advanced through extension of the interparty waiver of liability to other participants in STS operations. Accordingly, the parties agree to extend the waiver as set forth in subparagraph (d)3.b. of this clause to contractors and subcontractors at every tier of the parties and other users, as third party beneficiaries, whether or not such contractors or subcontractors causing damage bring property or employees to a United States Government Installation or retain title to or other interest in property provided by them to be used, or otherwise involved, in STS Operations. Specifically, the parties intend to protect these contractors and subcontractors from claims, including "products liability" claims, which might otherwise be pursued by the parties, or the contractors or subcontractors of the parties, or other users or the contractors or subcontractors of other users. Moreover, it is the intent of the parties that each will take all necessary and reasonable steps in accordance with subparagraph (d)3.e. below to foreclose claims for Damage by any participant in STS Operations during Protected STS Operations, under the same conditions and to the same extent as set forth in subparagraph (d)3.b. of this clause, except for claims between the User and its contractors or subcontractors and claims between the United States Government and its contractors and subcontractors.

d. The parties intend that the interparty waiver of liability set forth in subparagraphs (d)3. b. and c. of this clause be broadly construed to achieve the intended objectives.

e. NASA will require all Space Transportation system users entering into Launch and Associated Services Agreements with NASA after December 1, 1982, to agree to the interparty waiver of liability as set forth in subparagraphs 3.b. and 3.c. above. The User, and each other user, will require the following to agree to the waiver of liability set forth in subparagraph (d)3.c. of this clause: (i) All persons and entities to whom it assigns all or part of its right to Launch and Associated Services; (ii) any person or entity to whom it has sold or leased or otherwise agreed to provide all or any portion of its Payload or Payload services prior to the completion of NASA's launch services for a particular Payload; (iii) all its prime contractors; and (iv) all its subcontractors who will have persons or property involved in STS Operations during Protected STS Operations. NASA will require all the following to agree to the waiver of liability set forth in subparagraph (d)3.c. of this clause: (i) All its prime contractors; and (ii) all its subcontractors who will have persons or property involved in STS operations during Protected STS Operations. Furthermore, NASA has required all STS users entering into Launch and Associated Services Agreements prior to December 1, 1982, to agree to a more limited waiver of liability, a copy of which is available from NASA upon request. Failure of any party to obtain a waiver agreement required above shall not affect such party's right to the protections otherwise provided by this paragraph (d)3.

(End of clause)

1852.228–73 Bid Bond.

As prescribed in 1828.101–70, insert the following provision:

Bid Bond (October 1988)

(a) Each bidder shall submit with its bid a bid bond (Standard Form 24) with good and sufficient surety or sureties acceptable to the Government, or other security as provided in Federal Acquisition Regulation clause 52.228–1, in the amount of twenty percent (20%) of the bid price, or $3 million, whichever is the lower amount.

(b) Bid bonds shall be dated the same date as the bid or earlier.

(End of provision)

1852.228–74 Payment and performance bonds.

As prescribed in 1828.102–70, insert the following provision:

Payment and Performance Bonds (December 1988)

Unless the resulting contract is for $25,000 or less, the successful bidder will be required to furnish payment and performance bonds to the Contracting Officer as follows:

(a) *Performance Bonds:* (Standard Form 25)

(1) The penal amount of performance bonds shall be 100 percent of the original contract price.

(2) The Government may require additional performance bond protection when a contract price is increased. The increase in protection shall generally equal 100 percent of the increase in contract price. The Government may secure additional protection by directing the Contractor to increase the penal amount of the existing bond or to obtain an additional bond.

(b) *Payment Bonds:* (Standard Form 25–A)

(1) The penal amount of payment bonds shall equal—

(i) 50 percent of the contract price if the contract price is not more than $1 million;

(ii) 40 percent of the contract price if the contract price is more than $1 million but not more than $5 million; or

(iii) $2.5 million if the contract price is more than $5 million.

(2) If the original contract price is $5 million or less, the Government may require additional protection if the contract price is increased. The penal amount of the total protection as revised shall meet the requirement of subparagraph (a)(1) of this clause.

(3) The government may secure additional protection by directing the Contractor to increase the penal sum of the existing bond or to obtain an additional bond.

(c) The Contractor shall furnish all bonds, including any necessary reinsurance agreements, to the Contracting Officer before starting work. Performance and payment bonds shall be dated the same date as the contract award date; or, in the case of any additional bond protection required, the same date as the contract modification date.

(d) Surety companies acceptable to the Government are identified by the Department of Treasury and listed in the **Federal Register.**

(End of provision)

1852.228–75 Minimum insurance coverage.

As prescribed in 1828.372, insert the following clause:

Minimum Insurance Coverage (October 1988)

The Contractor shall obtain and maintain insurance coverage as follows for the performance of this contract:

(a) Worker's compensation and employer's liability insurance as required by applicable Federal and state workers' compensation and occupational disease statutes. If occupational diseases are not compensable under those statutes, they shall be covered under the employer's liability section of the insurance policy, except when contract operations are so commingled with the Contractor's commercial operations that it would not be practical. The employer's liability coverage shall be at least $100,000, except in States with exclusive or monopolistic funds that do not permit workers' compensation to be written by private carriers.

(b) Comprehensive general (bodily injury) liability insurance of at least $500,000 per occurrence.

(c) Motor vehicle liability insurance written on the comprehensive form of policy which provides for bodily injury and property damage liability covering the operation of all motor vehicles used in connection with performing the contract. Policies covering motor vehicles operated in the United States shall provide coverage of at least $200,000 per person and $500,000 per occurrence for bodily injury liability and $20,000 per occurrence for property damage. The amount of liability coverage on other policies shall be commensurate with any legal requirements of the locality and sufficient to meet normal and customary claims.

(d) Comprehensive general and motor vehicle liability policies shall contain a provision worded as follows:

"The insurance company waives any right of subrogation against the United States of America which may arise by reason of any payment under the policy."

(e) When aircraft are used in connection with performing the contract, aircraft public and passenger liability insurance of at least $200,000 per person and $500,000 per occurrence for bodily injury, other than passenger liability, and $200,000 per occurrence for property damage. Coverage for passenger liability bodily injury shall be at least $200,000 multiplied by the number of seats or passengers, whichever is greater.

(End of clause)

1852.228–76 Cross-waiver of liability for Space Station activities.

As prescribed in 1828.373, insert the following clause:

Cross-Waiver of Liability for Space Station Activities (February 1989)

(a) The objective of this clause is to establish a cross-waiver of liability in the interest of encouraging participation in the exploration, exploitation, and use of outer space through the Space Station. This cross-waiver of liability should be broadly construed to achieve this objective.

(b) For purposes of this clause:

(1) The term "the Contractor" means the person or entity who is a party to this contract, other than the United States Government and NASA.

(2) The term "damage" means:

(i) Bodily injury to, or other impairment of health of, or death of, any person;

(ii) Damage to, loss of, or loss of use of, any property;

(iii) Loss of revenue or profits; or

(iv) Other direct, indirect or consequential damage.

(3) The term "launch vehicle" means an object (or any part thereof) intended for launch, launched from Earth, or returning to Earth which carries payloads or persons, or both.

(4) The term "Partner State" means the Governments of Belgium, Canada, Denmark, France, Italy, the Federal Republic of Germany, Japan, Netherlands, Norway, Spain, and the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland. It includes a Cooperating Agency of a Partner State and the National Space Development Agency of Japan. (The currently designated Cooperating Agencies are the Ministry of State for Science and Technology of Canada, the European Space Agency and the Science and Technology Agency of Japan.)

(5) The term "payload" means all property to be flown or used on or in a launch vehicle or the Space Station.

(6) The term "Protected Space Operations" means all launch vehicle activities, Space Station activities, and payload activities on Earth, in outer space, or in transit between Earth and outer space related to Space Station. It includes, but is not limited to:

(i) Research, design, development, test, manufacture, assembly, integration, operation, or use of launch or transfer vehicles (for example, the Orbital Maneuvering Vehicle), the Space Station, or a payload, as well as related support equipment and facilities and services; and

(ii) All activities related to ground support, test, training, simulation, or guidance and control equipment and related facilities or services.

"Protected Space Operations" also includes all activities related to evolution of the Space Station as provided for in the Space Station Intergovernmental Agreement signed on September 29, 1988. "Protected Space Operations" excludes activities on Earth which are conducted on return from the Space Station to develop further a payload's product or process for use other than for Space Station related activities.

(7) The term "related entity" means:

(i) A contractor or subcontractor of a Partner State, of the United States Government or of the Contractor, at any tier;

(ii) A user or customer of a Partner State or of the United States Government, at any tier; or

(iii) A contractor or subcontractor of a user or customer of a Partner State or of the United States Government, at any tier.

The terms "contractors" and "subcontractors" include suppliers of any kind.

(8) The term "Space Station" means all the elements listed in the Annex to the Space

Station Intergovernmental Agreement signed on September 29, 1988, including any capability added to Space Station through evolution as provided in that Agreement.

(c) The United States Government shall require (1) each Partner State; (2) each related entity of a Partner State; and (3) except as provided for in paragraph (h)(1) of this clause, each related entity of the United States Government; to agree, by contract or otherwise, to waive all claims, based on damage arising out of Protected Space Operations, against: (i) The Contractor; (ii) the Contractor's contractors or subcontractors at any tier; and (iii) the employees of the Contractor or the employees of the Contractor's contractors or subcontractors at any tier.

(d) In consideration for the cross-waiver set forth in paragraph (c) of this clause, the Contractor agrees to a cross-waiver of liability pursuant to which it waives all claims, based on damage arising out of Protected Space Operations, against (1) each Partner State; (2) each related entity of a Partner State; (3) except as provided for in paragraph (h)(1) of this clause, each related entity of the United States Government; and (4) except as provided for in paragraph (h)(1) of this clause, the employees of any of the entities identified in paragraphs (d)(1) through (3) of this clause.

(e) In addition, the Contractor agrees to extend the cross-waiver of liability as set forth in paragraph (d) of this clause to its own related entities by requiring them, by contract or otherwise, to agree to waive all claims, based on damage arising out of Protected Space Operations, against the entities or persons identified in paragraphs (d)(1) through (d)(4) of this clause, except as provided for in paragraph (h)(1) of this clause.

(f) This cross-waiver in paragraphs (c), (d), and (e) of this clause shall apply only if the person, entity, or property causing the damage is involved in Protected Space Operations and the person, entity, or property damaged is damaged by virtue of its involvement in Protected Space Operations. The cross-waiver in paragraphs (c), (d), and (e) of this clause applies to any claims for damage, whatever the legal basis for such claims, including but not limited to delict and tort (including negligence of every degree and kind) and contract.

(g) For avoidance of doubt, this cross-waiver of liability includes a cross-waiver of liability arising from the Convention on International Liability for Damage Caused by Space Objects where the person, entity, or property causing the damage is involved in Protected Space Operations and the person, entity, or property damaged is damaged by virtue of its involvement in Protected Space Operations.

(h) Notwithstanding the other provisions of this clause, this cross-waiver of liability shall not be applicable to:

(1) Claims between (i) the United States Government and the Contractor or between the United States Government and the Contractor's contractors or subcontractors at any tier; (ii) between the Contractor and its related entities; or (iii) between the Contractor's related entities;

(2) Claims made by a natural person, his/her estate, survivors, or subrogees for injury or death of such natural person;

(3) Claims for damage caused by willful misconduct; and

(4) Intellectual property claims.

(i) Nothing in this clause shall be construed to create the basis for a claim or suit where none would otherwise exist.

(j) This clause, including this paragraph (j), shall be included in all subcontracts hereunder, appropriately modified to reflect the relationship of the parties, where the work is to be performed in support of Protected Space Operations.

(End of clause)

1852.228-77 Reimbursement for war-hazard losses.

As prescribed in 1828.309, insert the following clause:

Reimbursement For War-Hazard Losses (December 1988)

(a) The Contractor's costs for assuming liability for employee protection against war-hazard risks pursuant to paragraph (b) of the Worker's Compensation and War-Hazard Insurance Overseas clause shall be an allowable cost under this contract, subject to the following:

(1) The Contractor shall submit proof-of-loss files to support payment or denial of each claim.

(2) As soon as practicable, but no later than one year after the expiration or termination of this contract, unless the Contracting Officer extends the time, the Contractor shall convert each claim which has not been finally settled into a suitable arrangement under which the claim can be extinguished by the Contractor with a lump-sum payment. Subject to approval by the Contracting Officer, the Contractor shall then obtain necessary release documents and settle the claim by lump-sum arrangement, taking into account any payments previously made.

(3) As to any potential claim that is known to, or reasonably should be within the knowledge of, the Contractor at the time of final settlement under this contract, the Contractor shall, at that time, present to the Government a full report and evaluation, indicating as to each potential claim that a reasonable investigation of the circumstances has been made and giving the results of the investigation, an evaluation of the merits, and an estimate of the amount involved should the potential claim mature into a valid obligation.

(4) The cost of insurance against a liability reimbursable under this clause shall not be an allowable cost or otherwise recoverable under this contract.

(b) The Government may require the Contractor to assign to the Government in the manner, at the times, and to the extent directed by the Contracting Officer all right, title, and interest of the Contractor to any refund, rebate, or recapture arising out of any claim settlement. The Government may handle such assigned entitlements in any manner it deems appropriate and may recover any benefits related to claim settlements.

(c) The Contractor shall, as soon as practicable after an occurrence that appears to give rise to a claim under this portion of the contract, (1) perform any investigations that may be appropriate and (2) promptly notify the Contracting Officer in writing of any additional amount estimated to be necessary to be obligated on account of that claim. In addition, the Contractor shall give the Government or its representatives immediate written notice of any suit or action filed whose cost or expense may be reimbursable to the Contractor under this clause. The Contractor shall render full assistance to the Government in connection with any third-party suit or claim relating to this clause or its subject matter that the Government elects to prosecute or defend in its own behalf.

(End of clause)

1852.232-75 Security for advance payments.

As prescribed in 1832.412, insert the following clause:

Security for Advance Payments (March 1989)

During the period of time that advance payments may be made under this contract and so long as they remain unliquidated, the Contractor shall not mortgage, pledge, or otherwise encumber, or permit to be encumbered, any of the Contractor's assets now owned or hereafter acquired, or permit any preexisting mortgages, liens, or other encumbrances to remain on or attach to any assets of the Contractor that are allocated to the performance of this contract and with respect to which the Government has a lien under this contract, without the Contracting Officer's prior written consent.

(End of clause)

1852.232-77 Limitation of funds (fixed-price contract).

As prescribed in 1832.705-270(a), insert the following clause. Contracting officers are authorized, in appropriate cases, to revise clause paragraphs (a), (b), and (g) to specify the work required under the contract, in lieu of using contract item numbers. The 60-day period may be varied from 30 to 90 days, and the 75 percent from 75 to 85 percent:

Limitation of Funds (Fixed-Price Contract) (March 1989)

(a) Of the total price of items ____ through ____, the sum of $____ is presently available for payment and allotted to this contract. It is anticipated that from time to time additional funds will be allocated to the contract in accordance with the following schedule, until the total price of said items is allotted:

Schedule for Allotment of Funds

Date Amounts

(b) The Contractor agrees to perform or have performed work on the items specified in paragraph (a) of this clause up to the point at which, if this contract is terminated pursuant to the Termination for Convenience of the Government clause of this contract, the total amount payable by the Government

(including amounts payable for subcontracts and settlement costs) pursuant to paragraphs (f) and (g) of that clause would, in the exercise of reasonable judgment by the Contractor, approximate the total amount at the time allotted to the contract. The Contractor is not obligated to continue performance of the work beyond that point. The Government is not obligated in any event to pay or reimburse the Contractor more than the amount from time to time allotted to the contract, anything to the contrary in the Termination for Convenience of the Government clause notwithstanding.

(c) (1) It is contemplated that funds presently allotted to this contract will cover the work to be performed until ____.

(2) If funds allotted are considered by the Contractor to be inadequate to cover the work to be performed until that date, or an agreed date substituted for it, the Contractor shall notify the Contracting Officer in writing when within the next 60 days the work will reach a point at which, if the contract is terminated pursuant to the Termination for Convenience of the Government clause of this contract, the total amount payable by the Government (including amounts payable for subcontracts and settlement costs) pursuant to paragraphs (f) and (g) of that clause will approximate 75 percent of the total amount then allotted to the contract.

(3) (i) The notice shall state the estimated date when the point referred to in subparagraph (c)(2) of this clause will be reached and the estimated amount of additional funds required to continue performance to the date specified in subparagraph (c)(1) of this clause, or an agreed date substituted for it.

(ii) The Contractor shall, 60 days in advance of the date specified in subparagraph (c)(1) of this clause, or an agreed date substituted for it, advise the Contracting Officer in writing as to the estimated amount of additional funds required for the timely performance of the contract for a further period as may be specified in the contract or otherwise agreed to by the parties.

(4) If, after the notification referred to in subdivision (c)(3)(ii) of this clause, additional funds are not allotted by the date specified in subparagraph (c)(1) of this clause, or an agreed date substituted for it, the Contracting Officer shall, upon the Contractor's written request, terminate this contract on that date or on the date set forth in the request, whichever is later, pursuant to the Termination for Convenience of the Government clause.

(d) When additional funds are allotted from time to time for continued performance of the work under this contract, the parties shall agree on the applicable period of contract performance to be covered by these funds. The provisions of paragraphs (b) and (c) of this clause shall apply to these additional allotted funds and the substituted date pertaining to them, and the contract shall be modified accordingly.

(e) If, solely by reason of the Government's failure to allot additional funds in amounts sufficient for the timely performance of this contract, the Contractor incurs additional costs or is delayed in the performance of the work under this contract, and if additional funds are allotted, an equitable adjustment shall be made in the price or prices (including appropriate target, billing, and ceiling prices where applicable) of the items to be delivered, or in the time of delivery, or both.

(f) The Government may at any time before termination, and, with the consent of the Contractor, after notice of termination, allot additional funds for this contract.

(g) The provisions of this clause with respect to termination shall in no way be deemed to limit the rights of the Government under the default clause of this contract. The provisions of this Limitation of Funds clause are limited to the work on and allotment of funds for the items set forth in paragraph (a). This clause shall become inoperative upon the allotment of funds for the total price of said work except for rights and obligations then existing under this clause.

(h) Nothing in this clause shall affect the right of the Government to terminate this contract pursuant to the Termination for Convenience of the Government clause of this contract.

(End of clause)

1852.232-79 Payment for on-site preparatory costs

As prescribed in 1832.111-70, insert the following clause:

Payment for On-Site Preparatory Costs (September 1987)

Costs associated with on-site preparatory work (start-up or set-up costs) will be prorated over all work activities of a Critical Path Method (CPM) network or Progress Chart against which progress payments will be sought. Separate payment for on-site preparatory costs will not be made by the Government.

(End of clause)

1852.232-80 Date of incurrence of costs.

As prescribed in 1832.705-270(b), insert the following clause:

Date of Incurrence of Costs (March 1989)

The Contractor shall be entitled to reimbursement for costs incurred on or after _____ in an amount not to exceed $_____ that, if incurred after this contract had been entered into, would have been reimbursable under this contract.

(End of clause)

1852.232-81 Contract funding.

As prescribed in 1832.705-270(c), insert the following clause:

Contract Funding (March 1989)

(a) For purposes of payment of cost, exclusive of fee, in accordance with the Limitation of Funds clause, the total amount allotted by the Government to this contract is $_____. This allotment is for _____ and covers the following period of performance: _____.

(b) An additional amount of $_____ is obligated under this contract for payment of fee.

(End of clause)

1852.232-82 Submission of requests for progress payments.

As prescribed in 1832.502-470, insert the following clause:

Submission of Requests for Progress Payments (March 1989)

The Contractor shall request progress payments in accordance with the Progress Payments clause by submitting to the Contracting Officer an original and two copies of Standard Form (SF) 1443, Contractor's Request for Progress Payment, and the contractor's invoice (if applicable). The Contracting Officer's office is the designated billing office for progress payments for purposes of the Prompt Payment clause.

(End of clause)

1852.235-70 Scientific and technical information service.

As prescribed in 1835.070(a), insert the following clause:

Scientific and Technical Information Service (March 1989)

(a) The Contractor should make the fullest practicable use of the services provided by the NASA Scientific and Technical Information Facility (STIF) during the conduct of research under this contract. The Contractor, if not already registered with STIF, is encouraged to register by executing express registration forms that will (1) be provided by STIF and (2) inform the Contractor of the products and services available in support of work under the contract.

(b) NASA reserves the right, if any scientific and technical information requested is unavailable, to so notify the Contractor. NASA's failure to furnish any information requested shall not entitle the Contractor to an equitable adjustment.

(End of clause)

1852.235-71 Key personnel and facilities.

As prescribed in 1835.070(b), insert the following clause:

Key Personnel and Facilities (March 1989)

(a) The personnel and/or facilities listed below (or specified in the contract Schedule) are considered essential to the work being performed under this contract. Before removing, replacing, or diverting any of the listed or specified personnel or facilities, the Contractor shall (1) notify the Contracting Officer reasonably in advance and (2) submit justification (including proposed substitutions) in sufficient detail to permit evaluation of the impact on this contract.

(b) The Contractor shall make no diversion without the Contracting Officer's written consent; *provided*, that the Contracting Officer may ratify in writing the proposed change, and that ratification shall constitute the Contracting Officer's consent required by this clause.

(c) The list of personnel and/or facilities (shown below or as specified in the contract Schedule) may, with the consent of the contracting parties, be amended from time to

time during the course of the contract to add or delete personnel and/or facilities.

[List here the personnel and/or facilities considered essential, unless they are specified in the contract Schedule.]

(End of clause)

1852.235-72 Plan for new technology reporting.

As prescribed in 1835.070(c), insert the following provision:

Plan for New Technology Reporting (March 1989)

The Offeror shall, in the proposal in response to this solicitation, provide estimates of the cost and manpower requirements to perform the new technology reporting required by the clause at 1852.227-70, New Technology, which is to be included in any resulting contract. In addition, if selected for negotiation, the Offeror will be required to submit for approval before contract execution a detailed plan setting forth the manner in which the Offeror will meet the new technology reporting requirements of the New Technology clause. This plan shall, at a minimum—

(a) Identify the specific areas of technical effort that are considered likely to generate new technology;

(b) Describe the means by which project supervisory and technical personnel will be advised of the responsibilities, details, and benefits of new technology reporting;

(c) Describe the procedures to be established, maintained, and followed for reviewing the effort to be undertaken for the purposes of identification and reporting (disclosure) of new technology within the time periods and in the manner prescribed by the New Technology clause;

(d) Describe the procedure for timely submission of the interim and final new technology reports required by the New Technology clause;

(e) Describe the procedures for (1) selecting either NASA's New Technology clause or another patent rights clause for inclusion in subcontracts having as a purpose the conduct of experimental, developmental, research, design, or engineering work, and (2) providing prompt notification of either the award of such subcontracts or a subcontractor's refusal to accept the clause; and

(f) Identify the individual(s) assigned substantial and specific responsibilities for ensuring compliance with the requirements of the New Technology clause, as well as their qualifications and organizational placement to discharge these responsibilities.

(End of provision)

1852.236-71 Additive or deductive items.

As prescribed in 1836.370(a), insert the following provision:

Additive or Deductive Items (March 1989)

(a) The low bidder for purposes of award shall be the conforming responsible bidder offering the low aggregate amount for the first or base bid item, plus or minus (in order of priority listed in the Schedule) those additive or deductive bid items providing the most features of the work within the funds determined by the Government to be available before bids are opened. If addition of another bid item in the listed order of priority would make the award exceed those funds for all bidders, it shall be skipped and the next subsequent additive bid item in a lower amount shall be added for each bid if award on it can be made within the funds.

(b) An example for one bid is an amount available of $100,000, a bidder's base bid of $85,000, and four successive additives of $10,000, $8,000, $6,000, and $4,000. In this example, the aggregate amount of the bid for purposes of award would be $99,000 for the base bid plus the first and fourth additives, the second and third additives being skipped because either of them would cause the aggregate bid to exceed $100,000.

(c) All bids shall be evaluated on the basis of the same additive or deductive bid items. The listed order of priority must be followed only for determining the low bidder. After determination of the low bidder, award in the best interests of the Government may be made to that bidder on its base bid and any combination of its additive or deductive bid items for which funds are determined to be available at the time of the award, provided that award of the combination of bid items does not exceed the amount offered by any other conforming responsible bidder for the same combination of bid items.

(End of provision)

1852.236-72 Bids with unit prices.

As prescribed in 1836.370(b), insert the following provision:

Bids With Unit Prices (March 1989)

(a) All extensions of the unit prices bid will be subject to verification by the Government. If there is variation between the unit price and any extended amounts, the unit price will be considered to be the bid.

(b) If a modification to a bid based on unit prices that provides for a lump-sum adjustment to the total estimated cost is submitted, the application of the lump sum adjustment to each unit price in the bid must be stated. If it is not stated, the lump-sum adjustment shall be applied on a pro rata basis to every unit price in the bid.

(End of provision)

1852.236-73 Hurricane plan.

As prescribed in 1836.570-1, insert the following clause:

Hurricane Plan (December 1988)

In the event of a hurricane warning, the Contractor shall—

(a) Inspect the area and place all materials possible in a protected location;

(b) Tie down, or identify and store, all outside equipment and materials;

(c) Clear all surrounding areas and roofs of buildings, or tie down loose material, equipment, debris, and any other objects that could otherwise be blown away or blown against existing buildings; and

(d) Ensure that temporary erosion controls are adequate.

(End of clause)

1852.236-74 Magnitude of requirement.

As prescribed in 1836.570-2, insert the following provision:

Magnitude of Requirement (December 1988)

The Government estimated price range of this project is between $_____ and $_____. [Insert the estimated dollar range.]

(End of provision)

1852.237-70 Emergency evacuation procedures.

As prescribed at 1837.110, insert the following clause:

Emergency Evacuation Procedures (December 1988)

The contractor shall assure that its personnel at Government facilities are familiar with the functions of the Government's emergency evacuation procedures. If requested by the Contracting Officer, the Contractor shall designate an individual or individuals as contact points to provide for efficient and rapid evacuation of the facility if and when required.

(End of clause)

1852.242-70 Technical direction.

As prescribed in 1842.7001, insert the following clause:

Technical Directory (March 1989)

(a) Performance of the work under this contract is subject to the written technical direction of the Contracting Officer Technical Representative (COTR), who shall be specifically appointed by the Contracting Officer in writing in accordance with NASA FAR Supplement 1842.270. "Technical direction" means a directive to the Contractor that approves approaches, solutions, designs, or refinements; fills in details or otherwise completes the general description of work or documentation items; shifts emphasis among work areas or tasks; or furnishes similar instruction to the Contractor. Technical direction includes requiring studies and pursuit of certain lines of inquiry regarding matters within the general tasks and requirements in Section C of this contract.

(b) The COTR does not have the authority to, and shall not, issue any instruction purporting to be technical direction that—

(1) Constitutes an assignment of additional work outside the statement of work;

(2) Constitutes a change as defined in the changes clause;

(3) In any manner causes an increase or decrease in the total estimated contract cost, the fixed fee (if any), or the time required for contract performance;

(4) Changes any of the expressed terms, conditions, or specifications of the contract; or

(5) Interferes with the contractor's rights to perform the terms and conditions of the contract.

(c) All technical direction shall be issued in writing by the COTR.

(d) The Contractor shall proceed promptly with the performance of technical direction duly issued by the COTR in the manner

prescribed by this clause and within the COTR's authority. If, in the Contractor's opinion, any instruction or direction by the COTR falls within any of the categories defined in paragraph (b) of this clause, the Contractor shall not proceed but shall notify the Contracting Officer in writing within 5 working days after receiving it and shall request the Contracting Officer to take action as described in this clause. Upon receiving this notification, the Contracting Officer shall either issue an appropriate contract modification within a reasonable time or advise the Contractor in writing within 30 days that the instruction or direction is—

(1) Rescinded in its entirety; or

(2) Within the scope of the contract and does not constitute a change under the changes clause of the contract, and that the Contractor should proceed promptly with its performance.

(e) A failure of the contractor and contracting officer to agree that the instruction or direction is both within the scope of the contract and does not constitute a change under the changes clause, or a failure to agree upon the contract action to be taken with respect to the instruction or direction, shall be subject to the Disputes clause of this contract.

(f) Any action(s) taken by the contractor in response to any direction given by any person other than the Contracting Officer or the COTR shall be at the Contractor's risk.

(End of clause)

1852.242-71 Travel outside of the United States.

As prescribed in 1842.7002(a), insert the following clause:

Travel Outside of the United States (December 1988)

(a) The Contracting Officer must authorize in advance and in writing travel to locations outside of the United States by Contractor employees that is to be charged as a cost to this contract. This approval may be granted when the travel is necessary to the efforts required under the contract and it is otherwise in the best interest of NASA.

(b) The Contractor shall submit requests to the Contracting Officer at least 30 days in advance of the start of the travel.

(c) The Contractor shall submit a travel report at the conclusion of the travel. The Contracting Officer's approval of the travel will specify the required contents and distribution of the travel report.

(End of clause)

Alternate I

Travel Outside of the United States (December 1988)

As prescribed in 1842.7002(b), add Alternate I to the basic clause.

(d) The costs of foreign travel may be approved as a charge to the contract for presentation of papers at meetings, conferences, or symposiums; participation in meetings, conferences, or symposiums as session chairpersons, discussion leaders, special invitees, or official staff members of the sponsoring group; "on-site" field work; and in exceptionally meritorious cases, visits to scientific or technical organizations and attendance at international conferences and technical seminars when the travel is related to efforts under the contract.

(e) The costs of foreign travel ordinarily will not be approved as a charge to the contract for the sole purpose of visiting or attending meetings, unless fully warranted by clear and recognizable direct benefits to the efforts under the contract; meetings of national (as distinguished from international) bodies, unless the travel is constructively associated with other approved goals; or meetings that are predominantly American in either attendance or presented papers.

(End of clause)

1852.242-72 Observance of legal holidays.

As prescribed in 1842.7003(a), insert the following clause:

Observance of Legal Holidays (December 1988)

(a) The on-site Government personnel observe the following holidays:

New Year's Day
Labor Day
Martin Luther King's Birthday
Columbus Day
Washington's Birthday
Veterans Day
Memorial Day
Thanksgiving Day
Independence Day
Christmas Day

Any other day designated by Federal statute, Executive Order, or the President's proclamation.

(b) When any holiday falls on a Saturday, the preceding Friday is observed. When any holiday falls on a Sunday, the following Monday is observed. Observance of such days by Government personnel shall not by itself be cause for an additional period of performance or entitlement of compensation except as set forth within the contract.

(End of clause)

Alternate I (December 1988)

As prescribed in 1842.7003(b), add the following paragraphs (c), (d), and (e) as Alternate I to the clause.

(c) Personnel assigned to this contract shall limit their observance of holidays to those set forth above. If the Contractor's personnel work during a holiday other than those above, no form of holiday or other premium compensation shall be reimbursed as either a direct or indirect cost. However, this does not preclude reimbursement for authorized overtime work that would have been overtime regardless of the status of the day as a holiday.

(d) When the NASA installation grants administrative leave to its Government employees, Contractor personnel working on-site should also be dismissed. However, the contractor shall: (1) Continue to provide sufficient personnel to perform round-the-clock requirements of critical tasks already in operation or scheduled and (2) be guided by the instructions issued by the Contracting Officer or an authorized representative.

(e) Whenever administrative leave is granted to Contractor personnel pursuant to paragraph (d) above as a result of inclement weather, potentially hazardous conditions, or other special circumstances, it shall be without loss to the Contractor. The cost of salaries and wages to the Contractor for the period of any such excused absence shall be a reimbursable item of cost under this contract for employees in accordance with the Contractor's established accounting policy.

1852.243-70 Engineering Change Proposals.

As prescribed in 1843.205-70, insert the following clause with any appropriate alternates:

Engineering Change Proposals (March 1989)

(a) The Contracting Officer may, at any time, request in writing that the Contractor prepare and submit an engineering change proposal (ECP), as that term is defined in DOD-STD-480A. Upon receiving this request, the Contractor shall submit to the Contracting Officer the information specified by, and in the format required by, paragraph 4.6 of DOD-STD-480A.

(b) The ECP shall include a "not-to-exceed" price and delivery adjustment, or a "not-less-than" price and delivery adjustment, acceptable to the Contractor if the Government subsequently orders the proposed change. If the change is ordered, the equitable adjustment to the contract shall not be greater than the "not-to-exceed" or less than the "not-less-than" amount. This paragraph (b) does not preclude revision or correction of an ECP in accordance with paragraphs 4.10 and 4.11 of DOD-STD-480A. Concurrent with the submission of any ECP under this contract, the Contractor shall, in accordance with FAR 15.804-6, submit to the Contracting Officer a completed Standard Form 1411, Contract Pricing Proposal Cover Sheet. At the time of agreement upon the price of the ECP, the Contractor shall, in accordance with 15.804-2 and 15.804-4, submit to the Contracting Officer a signed Certificate of Current Cost or Pricing Data.

(End of clause)

Alternate I (March 1989)

As prescribed in 1843.205-70(b), add the following paragraph (c) to the basic clause.

(c) If the price adjustment proposed for any Contractor-originated ECP (excluding any Government-requested ECP or value engineering change proposal) is _____[Insert percent of contract price or dollar value.] or less, the change shall be made at no adjustment to the contract price.

Alternate II (March 1989)

As prescribed in 1843.205-70(b) substitute the following sentence for the second sentence in paragraph (b) of the basic clause.

Change orders issued in accordance with the changes clause of this contract shall not be considered an authorization to the Contractor to exceed the estimated cost in the contract Schedule, in the absence of a statement in the change order or other contract modification increasing the estimated cost.

1852.244–70 Geographic participation in the aerospace program.

As prescribed in 1844.170, insert the following clause:

Geographic Participation in the Aerospace Program (April 1985)

(a) It is the policy of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration to advance a broad participation by all geographic regions in filling the scientific, technical, research and development, and other needs of the aerospace program.

(b) The Contractor agrees to use its best efforts to solicit subcontract sources on the broadest feasible geographic basis consistent with efficient contract performance and without impairment of program effectiveness or increase in program cost.

(c) The Contractor further agrees to insert this clause in all subcontracts of $100,000 and over.

(End of clause)

1852.245–70 Acquisition of centrally reportable equipment.

As prescribed in 1845.106–70(a), insert the following clause:

Acquisition of Centrally Reportable Equipment (March 1989)

(a) "Centrally reportable equipment," as used in this clause, means plant equipment, special test equipment (including components), special tooling, and non-flight space property (including ground support equipment) (1) generally commercially available and used either as a separate item or as a component of a system, (2) having an acquisition cost of $1,000 or more (unless a lower threshold is specified elsewhere in this contract), and (3) is identifiable by a manufacturer and model number.

(b) (1) Before acquiring (including acquiring by fabricating) any item of centrally reportable equipment under this contract (unless for incorporation into flight-qualified or flight-monitoring deliverable end items), the Contractor shall provide to the Contracting Officer, at the earliest possible date, a description of the item sufficiently detailed to enable screening of existing Government inventories.

(2) For this purpose, the Contractor shall (i) prepare a separate DD Form 1419, DOD Industrial Plant Equipment Requisition, for each item of centrally reportable equipment to be acquired and (ii) forward it through the Contracting Officer to the NASA Equipment Management System (NEMS) Coordinator at the cognizant NASA installation at least 30 days in advance of the date the Contractor intends to acquire or begin fabricating the item. If a certificate of non-availability is not received within that period, the Contractor may proceed to acquire the item, subject to any other applicable provisions of this contract. Instructions for preparing the DD Form 1419 are contained in NASA FAR Supplement 1845.7103. The same data may be provided in an alternate format when requesting other than Defense Industrial Plant Equipment Center (DIPEC) controlled items.

(3) Upon receiving the item described on the DD Form 1419 (regardless of whether it is Contractor-acquired or Government-furnished), the Contractor shall prepare and submit a DD Form 1342 or equivalent data, in accordance with NASA FAR Supplement 1845.505–670.

(End of clause)

1852.245–71 Installation-provided government property.

As prescribed in 1845.106–70(b), insert the following clause:

Installation-Provided Government Property (March 1989)

(a) In performance of work under this contract, certain Government property identified in the contract shall be made available to the Contractor on a no-charge-for-use basis by the installation's Supply and Equipment Management Officer. That property shall be utilized in the performance of this contract at the installation that provided the property or at such other installations or locations as may be specified elsewhere in this contract. Under this clause, the Government retains accountability for as well as title to the property, and the Contractor assumes user responsibilities prescribed in the installation property management directives listed elsewhere in this contract.

(b) (1) The official accountable recordkeeping and financial control and reporting of the property subject to this clause shall be retained by the Government and accomplished by the installation's Supply and Equipment Management and Financial Management Officers. However, the Government will provide the Contractor a record of all items of such property, including copies of all transaction documents used to describe changes to this record. The Contractor shall maintain this record and transaction documentation in such a condition that, at any stage of completion of work under this contract, the status of the property, including location, utilization, consumption rate, and identification, can be readily ascertained.

(2) The Contractor shall also adhere to all other procedures (and be subject to sanctions related to those procedures) prescribed by the installation's director that have been established for the management of installation property. The records and documentation shall be made available, upon request, to the installation's Supply and Equipment Management Officer and any other formally designated representatives of the Contracting Officer.

(c) If the Government fails to provide the Government property specified in this contract and that failure adversely affects the Contractor's ability to perform the contract, the Contracting Officer shall, upon timely written request from the Contractor, (1) make a determination of the effect on the Contractor and (2) equitably adjust the contract in accordance with the procedure provided in the FAR 52.243 changes clause of this contract. Equitable adjustments made pursuant to this paragraph (c), however, shall not include adjustments in fee, unless the property to be provided was described in specific quantities of specific items.

(d) Government property made available under this clause shall in every respect be subject to the provisions of the FAR 52.245 Government property clause of this contract, except as provided in paragraphs (a), (b), and (c) of this clause and as may otherwise be provided in this contract with respect to (1) the Contractor's responsibilities for repair and maintenance of Government property, or (2) the Contractor's liability for any loss of or damage to such property that is attributable to the Contractor's failure to maintain and administer a program for maintenance and repair in accordance with sound industrial practice.

(End of clause)

Alternate I (March 1989)

As prescribed in 1845.106–70(b)(3), insert the following as subparagraph (b)(3) of the basic clause:

(3) The contractor shall not utilize the installation's central receiving facility for receipt of Contractor-acquired property. However, the Contractor shall provide listings suitable for establishing accountable records of all such property received, on a quarterly basis, to the Contracting Officer and the Supply and Equipment Management Officer.

1852.245–72 Liability for government property furnished for repair or other services.

As prescribed in 1845.106–70(c), insert the following clause:

Liability for Government Property Furnished for Repair or Other Services (March 1989)

(a) This clause shall govern with respect to any Government property furnished to the Contractor for repair or other services that is to be returned to the Government. Such property, hereinafter referred to as "Government property furnished for servicing," shall not be subject to any clause of this contract entitled Government-Furnished Property or Government Property.

(b) The official accountable recordkeeping and financial control and reporting of the property subject to this clause shall be retained by the Government. The Contractor shall maintain adequate records and procedures to ensure that the Government property furnished for servicing can be readily accounted for and identified at all times while in its custody or possession or in the custody or possession of any subcontractor.

(c) The Contractor shall be liable for any loss or destruction of or damage to the Government property furnished for servicing: (1) Caused by the Contractor's failure to exercise such care and diligence as a reasonable prudent owner of similar property would exercise under similar circumstances, or (2) sustained while the property is being worked upon and directly resulting from that work, including, but not limited to, any repairing, adjusting, inspecting, servicing, or maintenance operation. The Contractor shall not be liable for loss or destruction of or damage to Government property furnished for servicing resulting from any other cause except to the extent that the loss, destruction, or damage is covered by insurance (including self-insurance funds or reserves).

(d) In addition to any insurance (including self-insurance funds or reserves) carried by the Contractor and in effect on the date of this contract affording protection in whole or in part against loss or destruction of or damage to such Government property furnished for servicing, the amount and coverage of which the Contractor agrees to maintain, the Contractor further agrees to obtain any additional insurance covering such loss, destruction, or damage that the Contracting Officer may from time to time require. The requirements for this additional insurance shall be effected under the procedures established by the FAR 52.243 changes clause of this contract.

(e) The Contractor shall hold the Government harmless and shall indemnify the Government against all claims for injury to persons or damage to property of the Contractor or others arising from the Contractor's possession or use of the Government property furnished for servicing or arising from the presence of that property on the Contractor's premises or property.

(End of clause)

1852.245-73 Financial reporting of government-owned/contractor-held property.

As prescribed in 1845.106-70(d), insert the following clause (note that when the clause is used with its Alternate I or Alternate II, the word "annually" in paragraph (a) must be replaced by "monthly" or "quarterly," as appropriate):

Financial Reporting of Government-Owned/Contractor-Held Property (March 1989)

(a) The Contractor shall prepare and submit annually a NASA Form 1018, Report of Government-Owned/Contractor-Held Property, in accordance with 1845.505-14 and the instructions on the form and in section 1845.7101 of the NASA FAR Supplement, except that the reporting of space hardware shall be required only as directed in clause 1852.245-78, Space Hardware Reporting, of this contract, if applicable.

(b) If administration of this contract has been delegated to the Department of Defense, the original and three copies of NASA Form 1018 shall be submitted through the DOD Property Administrator to the NASA office identified below. If the contract is administered by NASA, the forms shall be submitted directly to the following NASA office:_____[Insert the address and office code of the organization within the cognizant NASA installation responsible for control and distribution of the NF 1018.]

(c) The annual reporting period shall be from July 1 of each year to June 30 of the following year. The report shall be submitted by July 31.

(d) The Contractor agrees to insert this reporting requirement in all first-tier subcontracts, except that the requirement shall provide for the submission of the subcontractors' reports to the Contractor, not to the Government. The Contractor shall require the subcontractors' reports to be submitted in sufficient time to meet the reporting date in paragraph (c) of this clause.

(e) The Contractor's report shall consist of a consolidation of the subcontractors' reports and the Contractor's own report.

(End of clause)

Alternate I (March 1989)

As prescribed in 1845.106-70(d), insert the following paragraph (c) for paragraph (c) of the basic clause and modify paragraph (a) accordingly:

(c) The monthly report is due no later than the last day of the month following the month being reported.

Alternate II (March 1989)

As prescribed in 1845.106-70(d), insert the following paragraph (c) for paragraph (c) of the basic clause and modify paragraph (a) accordingly:

(c) The quarterly report is due no later than the last day of the month following the quarter being reported.

1852.245-74 Contractor Accountable On-Site Government Property.

As prescribed in 1845.106-70(e), insert the following clause:

Contractor Accountable On-Site Government Property (March 1989)

(a) In performance of work under this contract, certain Government property identified in the contract shall be provided to the Contractor on a no-charge-for-use basis by the installation's Supply and Equipment Management Officer. That property shall be utilized in the performance of this contract at the installation that provided the property or at such other installations or locations as may be specified elsewhere in this contract. The Contractor assumes accountability and user responsibilities for the property.

(b) Government property provided shall in every respect be subject to the provisions of the FAR 52.245 Government property clause of this contract. In addition, the contractor is responsible for managing this property in accordance with the guidelines provided by the installation's Supply and Equipment Management Officer or any other formally designated representatives of the Contracting Officer. The guidelines include but are not limited to requiring the Contractor to—

(1) Use economic order quantity (EOQ) methods for routine stock replenishment;

(2) Utilize the Federal Cataloging System;

(3) Comply with shelf-life requirements;

(4) Provide for accountability and control (using the NASA Equipment Management System (NEMS)) of all equipment costing $1000 and over, plus that equipment designated as "sensitive";

(5) Provide for physical inventory of all controlled equipment at least every 3 years;

(6) Provide for sample inventories of materials plus complete inventories every 5 years;

(7) Conduct walk-through utilization inspections;

(8) Screen NEMS before acquiring any equipment costing $1000 or over, plus equipment designated by the installation as sensitive and costing $500 and over;

(9) Support the Equipment Acquisition Document (EAD) process; and

(10) Use Government sources as the first source of supply.

(c) Data requirements relating to the guidelines in paragraph (b) above are specified under section F, Deliveries or performance.

(End of clause)

1852.245-75 Title to equipment.

As prescribed in 1845.106-70(f), insert the following clause:

Title to Equipment (March 1989)

(a) In accordance with the FAR 52.245 Government property clause of this contract, title to equipment and other tangible personal property acquired by the Contractor with funds provided for conducting research under this contract and having an acquisition cost less than $_____ [Insert a dollar value not less than $5,000] shall vest in the Contractor upon acquisition, provided that the Contractor has complied with the requirements of the FAR 52.245 Government property clause.

(b) Upon completion or termination of this contract, the Contractor shall submit to the Contracting Officer a list of all equipment with an acquisition cost of $_____ [Insert the dollar value specified in paragraph (a)] or more acquired under the contract during the contract period. The list shall include a description, manufacturer and model number, date acquired, cost, and condition information, and shall be submitted within 30 calendar days after completion or termination of the contract, in accordance with Federal Acquisition Regulation subsection 45.606-5.

(c) Title to the property specified in paragraph (b) of this clause vests in the Contractor, but the Government retains the right to direct transfer of title to property specified in paragraph (b) of this clause to the Government or to a third party within 180 calendar days after completion or termination of the contract. Such transfer shall not be the basis for any claim by the Contractor.

(d) Title to all Government-furnished property remains vested with the Government (see the FAR 52.245 Government property clause).

(e) Title to the contractor-acquired property listed below shall vest with the Government.

[List any contractor-acquired property for which vesting of title with the Government is appropriate or insert "None"].

(End of clause)

1852.245-76 List of Government-furnished property.

As prescribed in 1845.106-70(g), insert the following clause:

List of Government-furnished Property (October 1988)

For performance of work under this contract, the Government will make available Government property identified below or in Attachment _____[Insert attachment number or "not applicable"] of this contract on a no-charge-for-use basis. The Contractor shall use this property in the performance of this contract at _____[Insert applicable site(s) where property will be used] and at other location(s) as may be approved by the

Contracting Officer. Under the FAR 52.245 Government property clause of this contract, the Contractor is accountable for the identified property.

Item	Quantity	Acquisition cost	Date to be furnished to the contractor

[Insert a description of the item(s), quantity, acquisition cost, and date the property will be furnished to the Contractor]

(End of clause)

1852.245-77 List of installation-provided property and services.

As prescribed in 1845.106-70(h), insert the following clause:

List of Installation-Provided Property and Services (March 1989)

In accordance with the Installation-provided Government Property clause of this contract, the Contractor is authorized use of the types of property and services listed below, to the extent they are available, while on-site at the NASA installation.

(a) Office space, work area space, and utilities. The Contractor shall use Government telephones for official purposes only. Pay telephone stations are available for the convenience and use of employees in making unofficial calls, both local and long distance.

(b) General- and special-purpose equipment, including office furniture.

(1) Equipment to be made available to the Contractor for use in performance of this contract on-site and at such other locations as approved by the Contracting Officer is listed in Attachment _____[Insert attachment number or "not applicable" if no equipment is provided]. The Government retains accountability for this property under the Installation-Provided Government Property clause, regardless of its authorized location.

(2) If the Contractor acquires property as a direct cost under this contract, this property also shall become accountable to the Government upon its entry into the NASA Equipment Management System (NEMS) in accordance with the property reporting requirements of this contract.

(3) The Contractor shall not bring on-site for use under this contract any property owned or leased by the Contractor, or other property that the Contractor is accountable for under any other Government contract, without the Contracting Officer's prior written approval.

(c) Supplies from stores stock.

(d) Publications and blank forms stocked by the installation.

(e) Safety and fire protection for Contractor personnel and facilities.

(f) Installation service facilities:

_____

[Insert the name of the facilities or "None"].

(g) Medical treatment of a first-aid nature for Contractor personnel injuries or illnesses sustained during on-site duty.

(h) Cafeteria privileges for Contractor employees during normal operating hours.

(i) Building maintenance for facilities occupied by Contractor personnel.

(j) Moving and hauling for office moves, movement of large equipment, and delivery of supplies. Moving services shall be provided on-site, as approved by the Contracting Officer.

(k) The responsibilities of the Contractor as contemplated by paragraph (a) of the Installation-Provided Government Property clause are defined in the following property management directives and installation supplements to these Directives:

(1) NHB 4200.1, NASA Equipment Management Manual.

(2) NHB 4200.2, NASA Equipment Management System (NEMS) User's Guide for Property Custodians.

(3) NHB 4300.1, NASA Personal Property Disposal Manual.

(4) NHB 4100.1, NASA Materials Inventory Management Manual.

(End of clause)

1852.245-78 Space hardware reporting.

As prescribed in 1845.106-70(i), insert the following clause:

Space Hardware Reporting (March 1989)

In accordance with the Financial Reporting of Government-Owned/Contractor-Held Property clause of this contract, the reporting of certain specified items of space hardware is required on a NASA Form 1018, Report of Government-Owned/Contractor-Held Property. The reporting of space hardware is in addition to the requirements of other property reporting on the form. At present, the items of space hardware to be reported are the following: _____ [Insert the space hardware to be reported for the particular contract]. The Contracting Officer shall update this list prior to June 1 of each year to be applicable beginning with the next reporting period.

(End of clause)

1852.245-79 Use of Government-owned property.

As prescribed in 1845.106-70(j), insert the following provision:

Use of Government-Owned Property (March 1989)

(a) The offeror ( ) does, ( ) does not intend to use in performance of any contract awarded as a result of this solicitation existing Government-owned facilities (real property or plant equipment), special test equipment, or special tooling (including any property offered by this solicitation). The offeror shall identify any offered property not intended to be used. If the offeror does intend to use any of the above items, the offeror must furnish the following information required by Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) 45.205(b), NASA FAR Supplement (NFS) 1845.102-70, and NFS 1845.104(b):

(1) Identification and quantity of each item. Include the item's acquisition cost if it is not property offered by this solicitation.

(2) For property not offered by this solicitation, identification of the Government contract under which the property is accountable and written permission for its use from the cognizant Contracting Officer.

(3) Amount of rent, calculated in accordance with FAR 45.403 and the clause at FAR 52.245-9, Use and Charges, unless the property has been offered on a rent-free basis by this solicitation.

(4) The dates during which the property will be available for use, and if it is to be used in more than one contract, the amounts of respective uses in sufficient detail to support proration of the rent. This information is not required for property offered by this solicitation.

(b) The offeror ( ) does, ( ) does not request additional Government-provided property for use in performing any contract awarded as a result of this solicitation. If the offeror requests additional Government-provided property, the offeror must furnish—

(1) Identification of the property, quantity, and estimated acquisition cost of each item; and

(2) The offeror's written statement as prescribed by FAR 45.302-1(a)(4).

(c) If the offeror intends to use any Government property (paragraph (a) or (b) of this clause), the offer must also furnish the following:

(1) The date of the last Government review of the offeror's property control and accounting system, actions taken to correct any deficiencies found, and the name and telephone number of the cognizant property administrator.

(2) A statement that the offeror has reviewed, understands, and can comply with all property management and accounting procedures in the solicitation, FAR Subpart 45.5, and NFS Subparts 1845.5, 1845.70, and 1845.71.

(3) A statement indicating whether or not the costs associated with subparagraph (c)(2) of this clause, including plant clearance and/or plant reconversion costs, are included in its cost proposal.

(End of provision)

1852.245-80 Use of Government production and research property on a no-charge basis.

As prescribed in 1845.106-70(k), insert the following clause:

Use of Government Production and Research Property on a No-Charge Basis (March 1989)

In performing this contract, the Contractor is authorized to use on a no-charge, noninterference basis the Government-owned production and research property provided to the Contractor under the contract(s) specified below and identified in the cognizant Contracting Officer's letter approving use of the property. Use is authorized on the basis that it will not interfere with performance of the Government contract(s) under which the property was originally furnished. Use shall be in accordance with the terms and conditions of these contracts and the

cognizant Contracting Officer's approval letter.

Contract No(s): [Insert the contract number(s) under which the Government property is accountable].

(End of clause)

1852.246-70 Space Transportation System (STS) Personnel Reliability Program.

As prescribed in 1846.270(a), insert the following clause:

Space Transportation System (STS) Personnel Reliability Program (March 1989)

(a) In implementation of the STS Personnel Reliability Program, described in NASA Management Instruction (NMI) 8610.13, the Government shall identify personnel positions that are mission critical. Some of the positions as identified may now or in the future be held by employees of the Contractor. Upon notification by the Contracting Officer that a mission-critical position is being or will be filled by one or more of the Contractor's employees, the Contractor shall (1) provide the affected employees with a clear understanding of the investigative and medical requirements and, (2), to the extent permitted by applicable law, assist the Government by furnishing personal data and medical records.

(b) The standard that will be used in certifying individuals for a mission-critical position is that they must be determined to be competent and reliable in the performance of their assigned duties in accordance with the screening requirements of the NMI. If the Government determines that a Contractor employee occupying or nominated to occupy a mission-critical position will not be certified for such duty, the Contracting Officer shall (1) furnish to the employee the specific reasons for its action; (2) advise the employee that he/she may avail himself/herself of the review procedures that are a part of the certification system; and (3) furnish him/her a copy of those procedures upon request.

(c) If a Contractor employee who has been nominated for (but has not yet filled) a mission-critical position is not certified, the Contractor agrees to defer the appointment to the position until the employee has had an opportunity to pursue the referenced procedures. If the employee is an incumbent to the position, the Contractor agrees, upon the request of the Government, to remove him/her from the position temporarily pending an appeal of the action under the review procedures. If any employee not certified elects not to take action under the procedures, or, if having taken action, is not successful in obtaining a reversal of the determination, the Contractor agrees not to appoint the employee to the position, or if already appointed, to promptly remove the employee.

(End of clause)

1852.246-71 Government contract quality assurance functions.

As prescribed in 1846.470, insert the following clause:

Government Contract Quality Assurance Functions (October 1988)

In accordance with the inspection clause of this contract, the Government intends to perform the following functions at the locations indicated:

Item	Quality assurance Function	Location

[Insert the items involving quality assurance, the quality assurance functions, and where the functions will be performed]

(End of clause)

1852.246-72 Material inspection and receiving report.

As prescribed in 1846.674, insert the following clause:

Material Inspection and Receiving Report (October 1988)

(a) At the time of each delivery under this contract, the Contractor shall furnish to the Government a Material Inspection and Receiving Report (DD Form 250 series) prepared in ____ [Insert number of copies, including original] copies, an original and ____ copies [Insert number of copies].

(b) The Contractor shall prepare the DD Form 250 in accordance with NASA FAR Supplement 1846.672-1. The Contractor shall enclose the copies of the DD Form 250 in the package or seal them in a waterproof envelope, which shall be securely attached to the exterior of the package in the most protected location.

(c) When more than one package is involved in a shipment, the Contractor shall list on the DD Form 250, as additional information, the quantity of packages and the package numbers. The Contractor shall forward the DD Form 250 with the lowest numbered package of the shipment and print the words "CONTAINS DD FORM 250" on the package.

(End of clause)

1852.246-73 Manned space flight item.

As prescribed in 1846.270(b), insert the following clause:

Manned Space Flight Item (October 1988)

The Contractor shall include the following statement in all subcontracts and purchase orders placed by it in support of this contract, without exception as to amount or subcontractual level:

For use in manned space flight; materials, manufacturing, and workmanship of highest quality standards are essential to astronaut safety.

If you are able to supply the desired item with a higher quality than that of the items specified or proposed, you are requested to bring this fact to the immediate attention of the purchaser.

(End of clause)

1852.247-70 Returnable containers.

As prescribed in 1847.305-70(a), insert the following clause:

Returnable Containers (March 1989)

(a) Containers shall remain the Contractor's property but shall be loaned without charge to the Government for a period of 30 days after their delivery to the f.o.b. point specified in the contract. Beginning with the first day after this loan period expires, to and including the day the containers are delivered to the Contractor (if the original delivery was f.o.b. origin) or are delivered or made available for delivery to the Contractor's designated carrier (if the original delivery was f.o.b. destination), the Government shall pay the Contractor a rental of $_____ [Insert dollar amount for container rental.] per container per day, regardless of type or capacity.

(b) This rental charge will be computed separately for containers of each type, size, and capacity, and for each delivery point named in the contract. A credit of 30 container days will accrue to the Government for each container, regardless of type or capacity, delivered by the Contractor. A debit of 1 container day will accrue to the Government for each container for each day after delivery to the f.o.b. point specified in the contract. At the end of the contract, if the debit total exceeds the credit total, rental shall be charged for the difference. If the credit total equals or exceeds the debit total, no rental shall be charged. No rental shall accrue to the Contractor in excess of the replacement value per container specified in paragraph (c) of this clause.

(c) For each container lost or damaged beyond repair while in the Government's possession, the Government shall pay the Contractor the replacement value as follows, less the allocable rental paid for that container:

[Insert the container types, sizes, capacities, and associated replacement values.]

(d) Containers lost or damaged beyond repair and paid for by the Government shall become Government property, subject to the following: If any lost container is located within _____ [Insert number of calendar days.] calendar days after payment by the Government, it may be returned to the Contractor by the Government, and the Contractor shall pay the Government the replacement value, less rental computed in accordance with paragraph (a) of this clause, beginning at the expiration of the loan period specified in paragraph (a) above, and continuing to the date on which the cylinder was delivered to the Contractor.

(End of clause)

1852.247-71 Protection of the Florida Manatee.

As prescribed in 1847.7001, insert the following clause:

Protection of the Florida Manatee (March 1989)

(a) Pursuant to the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93-205), as amended, and the Marine Mammals Protection Act of 1972 (Pub. L. 92-522), the Florida Manatee (Trichechus Manatus) has been designated an endangered species, and the Banana and Indian Rivers within and adjacent to NASA's Kennedy

Space Center (KSC) have been designated as a critical habitat of the Florida Manatee.

(b) Contractor personnel involved in vessel operations, dockside work, and selected disassembly functions shall be provided training relative to (1) habits and characteristics of the Florida Manatee, (2) provisions of the applicable laws, (3) personal liability of workers under the laws, and (4) operational restrictions imposed by KSC.

(c) All vessel operations shall be conducted within the posted speed restrictions, and vessels shall be operated at minimum controllable speeds in all KSC waters. Shallow-water operations are prohibited.

(d) Training will be conducted by personnel of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). The contractor agrees to cooperate with the USFWS by allowing access at reasonable times and places (including shipboard) to USFWS personnel, and by making available such contractor personnel as are required to have the training. Arrangements for training will be made as follows:

(1) For personnel involved in tug, barge, or marine operations, through the Lockheed Space Operations Contractor, Transportation Coordination Center, Kennedy Space Center, Florida, telephone (407) 867–5330.

(2) For all other personnel, through the Systems Training and Employee Development Branch, Code PM–TNG, telephone (407) 867–2737.

(e) The contractor shall incorporate the provisions of this clause in applicable subcontracts (including vendor deliveries).

(End of clause)

1852.247–72 Advance Notice of Shipment.

As prescribed in 1847.305–70(b), insert the following clause:

Advance Notice of Shipment (October 1988)

_____[Insert number of work days] work days prior to shipping item(s)_____[Insert items to be shipped], the Contractor shall furnish the anticipated shipment date, bill of lading number (if applicable), and carrier identity to _____[Insert individual(s) to receive notification] and to the Contracting Officer.

(End of clause)

1852.247–73 Shipment by Government bills of lading.

As prescribed in 1847.305–70(c), insert the following clause:

Shipment by Government Bills of Lading (March 1989)

(a) The Contractor shall ship items deliverable under this contract, if the transportation cost per shipment exceeds $100, by Government bills of lading (GBLs). At least 15 days before shipment, the Contractor shall request in writing GBLs from:_____[Insert name, title, and mailing address of designated transportation officer or other official delegated responsibility for GBLs]. If time is limited, requests may be by telephone:_____[Insert appropriate telephone number]. Requests for GBLs shall include the following information.

(1) Item identification/description.

(2) Origin and destination.

(3) Individual and total weights.

(4) Dimensions and total cubic footage.

(5) Total number of pieces.

(6) Total dollar value.

(7) Other pertinent data.

(b) The Contractor shall prepay transportation charges of $100 or less per shipment. The Government shall reimburse the contractor for these charges if they are added to the invoice as a separate line item supported by the paid freight receipts. If paid receipts in support of the invoice are not obtainable, a certificate as described below must be completed, signed by an authorized company representative, and attached to the invoice.

I certify that the shipments identified below have been made, transportation charges have been paid by (company name), and paid freight or comparable receipts are not obtainable.

Contract or Order Number: _____

Destination: _____

(End of clause)

1852.249–72 Termination (utilities).

As prescribed in 1849.505–70, insert the following clause. The period of 30 days may be varied not to exceed 90 days.

Termination (Utilities) (March 1989)

The Government, at its option, may terminate this contract by giving written notice not less than 30 days in advance of the termination's effective date.

(End of clause)

1852.250–70 Indemnification under Public Law 85–804—NASA Contracts.

As prescribed at 1850.403–370(a)(1), insert the following clause:

Indemnification Under Public Law 85–804—NASA Contracts (March 1989)

(a) "Principal officials," as used in this clause, means any of the Contractor's managers, superintendents, or other equivalent representatives who have supervision or direction of—

(1) All or substantially all of the Contractor's business, or

(2) All or substantially all of the Contractor's operations at any one plant or separate location in which this contract is being performed; or

(3) A separate and complete major industrial operation in connection with the performance of this contract.).

(b) Pursuant to Pub. L. 85–804 (50 U.S.C. 1431–1435) and Executive Order 10789, as amended, and notwithstanding any other provisions of this contract, but subject to the following paragraphs of this clause, the Government shall hold harmless and indemnify the Contractor against—

(1) Claims (including reasonable expenses of litigation or settlement) by third persons (including employees of the Contractor) for death; personal injury; or loss of, damage to, or loss of use of property;

(2) Loss of or damage to property of the Contractor, and loss of its use, but excluding loss of profit; and

(3) Loss of, damage to, or loss of use of Government property but excluding loss of profit.

(c) This indemnification applies only to the extent that the claim, loss, or damage (1) Arises out of or results from a risk defined in this contract to be unusually hazardous and (2) is not compensated by insurance or otherwise. Any such claim, loss, or damage within deductible amounts of the Contractor's insurance shall not be covered under this clause.

(d)(1) The Government shall not be liable for—

(i) Claims by the United States (other than those arising through subrogation) against the Contractor;

(ii) Losses affecting the property of the Contractor; when the claim, loss, or damage was caused by the willful misconduct or lack of good faith on the part of any of the Contractor's directors, officers, or principal officials; or

(iii) Loss of, damage to, or loss of use of property of the Contractor unless the total amount for such loss, damage, and loss of use, excluding loss of profit, is in excess of the Contractor's insurance or $500,000,000. Specifically, the Government shall only be liable for such loss, damage, and loss of use in excess of the Contractor's insurance or $500,000,000, whichever is the larger amount.

(2) The Contractor shall not be indemnified under this clause for liability assumed under any contract or agreement unless that assumption of liability has been specifically authorized by the Administrator and approved by the Contracting Officer. When the Government has assumed liability for subcontracts, the term "Contractor" in this paragraph (d) shall include subcontractors.

(e) No payment shall be made by the Government under this clause unless its amount shall first have been certified to be just and reasonable by the Administrator or the Administrator's representative designated for this purpose. The rights and obligations of the parties under this clause shall survive the termination, expiration, or completion of this contract. The Government may discharge its liability under this paragraph (e) by making payments to the Contractor or directly to parties to whom the Contractor may be liable.

(f)(1) With the Contracting Officer's prior written approval, the Contractor may include in any subcontract under this contract the same provisions as those in this clause, whereby the Contractor shall indemnify the subcontractor against any risk defined in this contract as unusually hazardous. Such a subcontract shall provide the same rights and duties, and the same provisions for notice, furnishing of evidence or proof, and the like, between the Contractor and the subcontractor as are established by this clause. The Contracting Officer may also approve similar indemnification of subcontractors at any tier upon the same terms and conditions.

(2) Subcontracts providing for indemnification within the purview of this clause shall provide for the prompt notification to the Contracting Officer of any claim or action against, or of any loss by, the

subcontractor covered by this clause and shall entitle the Government, at its election, to control or assist in the settlement or defense of any such claim or action. The Government shall indemnify the Contractor with respect to its obligations to subcontractors under subcontract provisions thus approved by the Contracting Officer.

(3) The Government may discharge its obligations under this paragraph (f) by making payments directly to subcontractors or to parties to whom the subcontractor may be liable.

(g) If insurance coverage or other financial protection approved by the Administrator is reduced, the Government's liability under this clause shall not be increased by reason of such reduction.

(h) The Contractor shall: (1) Promptly notify the Contracting Officer of any claim or action against, or of any loss by, the Contractor or any subcontractor that reasonably may be expected to involve indemnification under this clause, (2) furnish evidence or proof of any claim, loss, or damage covered by this clause in the manner and form required by the Government, and (3) immediately furnish to the Government copies of all pertinent papers received by the Contractor. The Government may direct, control, or assist in the settlement or defense of any such claim or action. The Contractor shall comply with the Government's directions and execute any authorizations required in regard to that settlement or defense.

(End of clause)

Alternate I (March 1989)

If the contract is a cost-reimbursement contract, substitute the following paragraphs (h) and (i) for paragraph (h) of the basic clause:

(h) In addition to the Contractor's responsibilities under the Insurance—Liability to Third Persons clause of this contract, which are hereby made applicable to claims under this clause, the Contractor shall: (1) Promptly notify the Contracting Officer of any claim or action against, or of any loss by, the Contractor or any subcontractor that reasonably may be expected to involve indemnification under this clause, (2) furnish evidence or proof of any claim, loss, or damage covered by this clause in the manner and form required by the Government, and (3) to the extent required by the Government, permit and authorize the Government to direct, control or assist in the settlement or defense of any such claim or action. The cost of insurance (including self-insurance) covering a risk defined in this contract as unusually hazardous shall not be reimbursed either as a direct or indirect cost except to the extent that such insurance has been required or approved under the Insurance—Liability to Third Persons clause.

(i) The limitation of cost and Limitation of Government's Obligation clauses of this contract do not apply to the Government's obligations under this clause. These obligations shall be excepted from the release required under the allowable cost and payment clause of this contract.

1852.250-71 Indemnification under Public Law 85-804—cost-reimbursement contracts.

Insert the following clause as prescribed in 1850.403-370(a)(2):

Indemnification Under Public Law 85-804—Cost-Reimbursement Contract (October 1988)

(a) Pursuant to Pub. L. 85-804 (50 U.S.C. 1431-1435) and Executive Order 10789, as amended, and notwithstanding any other provision of this contract, but subject to the following paragraphs of this clause, the Government shall hold harmless and indemnify the Contractor against:

(1) Claims (including reasonable expenses of litigation or settlement) by third persons (including employees of the Contractor) for death, personal injury, or loss of, damage to, or loss of use of property;

(2) Loss of or damage to property of the Contractor, and loss of use of such property but excluding loss of profit; and

(3) Loss of, damage to, or loss of use of property of the Government but excluding loss of profit; to the extent that such a claim, loss or damage (A) arises out of or results from a risk defined in this contract to be unusually hazardous in nature and (B) is not compensated by insurance or otherwise. Any such claim, loss or damage within deductible amounts of Contractor's insurance shall not be covered under this clause.

(b) (1) The Government shall not be liable for:

(i) Claims by the United States (other than those arising through subrogation) against the Contractor;

(ii) Losses affecting the property of such Contractor; when the claim, loss or damage was caused by the willful misconduct or lack of good faith on the part of any of the Contractor's directors or officers, or principal officials. (For purposes of this clause, the term "principal officials" means any of the Contractor's managers, superintendents, or other equivalent representatives who have supervision or direction of:

(A) All or substantially all of the Contractor's business, or

(B) All or substantially all of the Contractor's operations at any one plant or separate location in which this contract is being performed, or

(C) A separate and complete major industrial operation in connection with the performance of this contract or

(iii) Loss of, damage to, or loss of use of property of the Contractor unless the total amount for such loss, damage and loss of use, excluding loss of profit, is in excess of the Contractor's insurance or $500,000,000. Specifically, the Government shall only be liable for such loss, damage and loss of use in excess of the Contractor's insurance or $500,000,000, whichever is the larger amount.

(2) The Contractor shall not be indemnified under this clause for liability assumed under any contract or agreement unless such assumption of liability has been specifically authorized by the Administrator and approved by the Contracting Officer. When the Government has assumed liability for subcontracts, the term "Contractor" in this paragraph (b) shall include subcontractors.

(c) No payment shall be made by the Government under this clause unless the amount thereof shall first have been certified to be just and reasonable by the Administrator or his representative designated for such purpose. The rights and obligations of the parties under this clause shall survive the termination, expiration, or completion of this contract. The Government may discharge its liability under this paragraph by making payments to the Contractor or directly to parties to whom the Contractor may be liable.

(d) With the prior written approval of the Contracting Officer, the Contractor may include in any subcontract under this contract, the same provisions as those in this clause, whereby the Contractor shall indemnify the subcontractor against any risk defined in this contract to be unusually hazardous. Such a subcontract shall provide the same rights and duties, and the same provisions for notice, furnishing of evidence or proof, and the like, between the Contractor and the subcontractor as are established by this clause. The Contracting Officer may also approve similar indemnification of subcontractors at any tier upon the same terms and conditions. Subcontracts providing for indemnification within the purview of this clause shall provide for the prompt notification to the Contracting Officer of any claim or action against, or of any loss by, the subcontractor which is covered by this clause, and shall entitle the Government at its election, to control or assist in the settlement or defense of any such claim or action. The Government shall indemnify the Contractor with respect to his obligations to subcontractors under subcontract provisions thus approved by the Contracting Officer. The Government may discharge its obligations under this paragraph by making payments directly to subcontractors or to parties to whom the subcontractor may be liable.

(e) If insurance coverage or other financial protection program approved by the Administrator is reduced, the liability of the Government under this clause shall not be increased by reason of such reduction.

(f) In addition to the Contractor's responsibilities under the "Insurance—Liability to Third Persons" clause of this contract, which are hereby made applicable to claims under this clause, the Contractor shall (i) Promptly notify the Contracting Officer of any claim or action against, or of any loss by, the Contractor or any subcontractor which reasonably may be expected to involve indemnification under this clause, (ii) furnish evidence or proof of any claim, loss or damage covered by this clause in the manner and form required by the Government, and (iii) to the extent required by the Government, permit and authorize the Government to direct, control or assist in the settlement or defense of any such claim or action. The cost of insurance (including self-insurance), covering a risk defined in this contract as unusually hazardous shall not be reimbursed either as a direct or indirect cost except to the extent that such insurance has been required or approved under the "Insurance—Liability to Third Persons" clause hereof.

(g) "Limitation of Cost" and "Limitation of Government's Obligation" clauses of this contract do not apply to the Government's obligations under this clause. Such obligations shall be excepted from the release required under the "Allowable Cost" clause of this contract.
(End of clause)

1852.250-72 Space activity—unusually hazardous risks.

As prescribed in 1850.403-3(b), insert the following clause:

Space Activity—Unusually Hazardous Risks (March 1989)

The risks for which indemnification is authorized as "unusually hazardous" under the Indemnification Under Pub. L. 85-804—NASA Contracts clause of this contract are solely those resulting from or arising out of the use or performance of the following products or services in NASA's space activities (for this purpose, their use or performance begins only when they are provided to the U.S. Government at a U.S. Government installation for one or more Shuttle launches and are actually used or performed in NASA's space activities):

(a) Provision of Space Transportation System (STS) and cargo flight elements or components.

(b) Provision of STS and cargo ground support equipment or components.

(c) Provision of STS and cargo ground control facilities and services for their operation.

(d) Repair, modification, overhaul, and other support and services relating to the STS, its cargo, and other elements used in NASA's space activities.

(End of clause)

1852.252-70 Compliance with NASA FAR supplement.

As prescribed in 1852.107-70, insert the following clause:

Compliance With NASA FAR Supplement (March 1989)

Any statements in this contract requiring compliance with specific provisions of the Federal Acquisition Regulation (e.g., Subpart 45.5) shall be construed to require compliance also with any corresponding implementing or supplementing provisions in the NASA FAR Supplement in effect on the date of this contract.

(End of clause)

PART 1853—FORMS

Subpart 1853.1—General

Sec.
1853.100 Scope of subpart.
1853.101 Requirements for use of forms.
1853.102 Current editions.
1853.103 Exceptions.
1853.104 Overprinting.
1853.105 Computer preparation.
1853.106 Special construction and printing.
1853.107 Obtaining forms.
1853.108 Recommendations concerning forms.

Subpart 1853.2—Prescription of Forms

1853.200 Scope of subpart.
1853.204 Administrative matters.
1853.204-70 General (NASA Forms 507, 507A, 533M, 533P, 533Q, 667, 1098, 1356, 1611, 1612, DD 1593).
1853.207 Acquisition planning (NASA Forms 1451, 1452).
1853.208 Required sources of supplies and services.
1853.208-70 Other Government sources (SF 1080, AF 858, DOE F 5400.3, NRC 313).
1853.215 Contracting by negotiation.
1853.215-2 Price negotiation (NASA Form 634).
1853.216 Types of contracts.
1853.216-70 Assignees under cost-reimbursement contracts (NASA Forms 778, 779, 780, 781).
1853.217 Special contracting methods (NASA Form 523).
1853.227 Patents, data, and copyrights (NASA Form 1626).
1853.232 Contract financing (Standard Forms 272, 272A).
1853.242 Contract administration.
1853.242-70 Delegation (NASA Forms 1430, 1430A, 1431, 1432, 1433, 1634).
1853.242-71 Administrative service request (NASA Form 1434).
1853.242-72 Notifications (NASA Form 456).
1853.245 Property (NASA Form 1018, DD 1342, DD 1419).
1853.246 Quality assurance (DD 250, DD 250c).
1853.249 Termination of contracts (NASA Forms 1412, 1413).

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 2473 (c)(1).

Subpart 1853.1—General

1853.100 Scope of subpart.

This subpart contains requirements and information generally applicable to the forms prescribed in this Regulation.

1853.101 Requirements for use of forms.

The requirements for use of the forms prescribed or referenced in this part are contained in Parts 1801 through 1851, where the subject matter applicable to each form is addressed. The specific location of each form's prescription is identified in Subpart 1853.2.

1853.102 Current editions.

The form prescriptions in Subpart 1853.2 and the illustrations in Subpart 1853.3 contain current editions, unless otherwise noted. Contracting officers shall use the current editions unless otherwise authorized under this regulation.

1853.103 Exceptions.

Alteration of any NASA or NASA-adopted form prescribed by this regulation is prohibited unless prior approval has been obtained from the NASA Forms Officer (Code NTD-1) (through the Installation Forms Manager), who will coordinate the request with the Office of Procurement, Code H. Use for the same purpose of any form other than one prescribed by this regulation requires prior approval of the cognizant Code H Division. Requests for exceptions to standard or optional forms, as prescribed in FAR 53.103, shall be forwarded through the Installation Forms Manager and the NASA Forms Officer to the Procurement Policy Division, Code HP, which will take the appropriate actions in FAR 53.103.

1853.104 Overprinting.

NASA and other forms may be overprinted with names, addresses, and other uniform entries that are consistent with the purpose of the form and that do not alter the form in any other way. Exception approval for overprinting is not needed.

1853.105 Computer preparation.

NASA and NASA-adopted forms prescribed by this regulation may be adapted for computer preparation by following the exception procedures in 1853.103. (See FAR 53.105 regarding standard and optional forms.) Such forms should correspond exactly with all other specifications pertaining to them, including overall size, wording, and arrangement.

1853.106 Special construction and printing.

Contracting offices may request exceptions to NASA and NASA-adopted and standard and optional forms for special construction and printing by following the procedures of 1853.103.

1853.107 Obtaining forms.

(a) NASA installations and offices may obtain forms prescribed in the FAR or in this Regulation from Goddard Space Flight Center, Code 853.9. Orders should be placed on a NASA Form 2, Request for Blank Forms, Publications and Issuances, in accordance with NHB 1420.2, and the forms obtained from GSFC as required by installation or office.

(b) Contracting officers, at the time of contract award, shall ensure that contractors are notified of or are otherwise aware of:

(1) The procedures for obtaining NASA forms; and

(2) The source within the installation of forms required for performance under the contract.

1853.108 Recommendations concerning forms.

Coordination with the FAR Secretariat, as specified in FAR 53.108, is the responsibility of the Procurement Policy Division, Code HP.

Subpart 1853.2—Prescription of Forms

1853.200 Scope of subpart.

This subpart summarizes the prescriptions of NASA forms and other forms adopted by NASA for use in acquisition. The subpart is arranged by subject matter, in the same order as, and keyed to, the parts of this regulation in which the form usage requirements are addressed. (See FAR 53.200 for an example.)

1853.204 Administrative matters.

1853.204–70 General (NASA Forms 507, 507A, 533M, 533P, 533Q, 667, 1098, 1356, 1611, and 1612 and DD Form 1593).

(a) NASA Form 507, Individual Procurement Action Report. NASA Form 507, prescribed at 1804.671–4, shall be used to provide acquisition records and statistics.

(b) NASA Form 507A, Individual Procurement Action Report Supplement. NASA Form 507A, prescribed at 1804.671–4, shall be used to provide additional data on procurement actions resulting from solicitations issued after March 30, 1985.

(c) NASA Form 533M, Monthly Contractor Financial Management Report. NASA Form prescribed at 1804.675, shall be used when financial management reporting is required.

(d) NASA Form 533P, Monthly Contractor Financial Management Performance Analysis Report. NASA Form 533P, prescribed at 1804.675, shall be used when monthly performance analysis reports are required.

(e) NASA Form 533Q, Quarterly Contractor Financial Management Report. NASA Form 533Q, prescribed at 1804.675, shall be used when quarterly cost projection reports are required.

(f) NASA Form 667, Report on NASA Subcontracts. NASA Form 667, prescribed at 1804.672, shall be used by contractors to submit information to NASA on each subcontract or subcontract modification over $10,000.

(g) NASA Form 1098, Checklist for Contract Award File Content. NASA Form 1098, prescribed at 1804.803–71, shall be used as a guide in compiling contract files and shall accompany contracts and supplemental agreements submitted to Headquarters for approval.

(h) NASA Form 1356, C.A.S.E. Report on College and University Projects. NASA Form 1356, prescribed at 1804.7202, shall be used to report information applicable to colleges and universities.

(i) NASA Form 1611, Contract Completion Statement. NASA Form 1611, prescribed at 1804.804–2, shall be used for closeout of contract files by an office other than the one administering contract.

(j) NASA Form 1612, Contract Closeout Checklist. NASA Form 1612, prescribed at 1804.804–5, shall be used for contract closeout when the NASA contracting office retains contract administration.

(k) DOD Form 1593, Contract Administration Completion Record. DD Form 1593, prescribed at 1804.804–5, shall be used for closeout when the NASA contracting office retains contract administration.

1853.207 Acquisition planning (NASA Forms 1451, 1452).

(a) NASA Form 1451, Request for Procurement Plan Approval. NASA Form 1451, prescribed at 1807.170–1(a), shall be used in preparing procurement plans requiring approval by Headquarters.

(b) NASA Form 1452, Signature Page (Installation). NASA Form 1452, prescribed at 1806.304(a) and 1807.170–1(a), shall be used in obtaining installation-level signatures required by 1806.304 and 1807.103.

1853.208 Required sources of supplies and services.

1853.208–70 Other Government sources (Standard Form 1080, Air Force Form 858, Department of Energy Form 5400.3, Nuclear Regulatory Commission Form 313).

(a) *Standard Form 1080, Voucher for Transfers Between Appropriations and/or Funds (Disbursement).* Standard Form 1080, prescribed at 1808.002–74(e), shall be used to reimburse the Air Force for shipments of propellants.

(b) *Air Force Form 858, Forecast of Requirements (Missile Propellants and Pressurants).* AF 858, prescribed at 1808.002–74(f), shall be used to report periodic estimated requirements for missile propellants and related items to the Department of the Air Force.

(c) *Department of Energy Form 5400.3, Isotope Order Blank.* DOE Form 5400.3, prescribed at 1808.002–72(a), shall be used for the procurement of radioisotopes.

(d) *Nuclear Regulatory Commission Form 313, Application for Material License.* NRC Form 313, prescribed at 1808.002–72(a), shall be used to apply for a NRC license for the procurement of radioisotopes.

1853.215 Contracting by negotiation.

1853.215–2 Price negotiation (NASA Form 634).

NASA Form 634, Structured Approach—Profit/Fee Objective. NASA Form 634, prescribed at 1815.905, shall be used for determining the profit or fee objective by the structured approach.

1853.216 Types of contracts.

1853.216–70 Assignees under cost-reimbursement contracts (NASA Forms 778, 779, 780, 781).

(a) *NASA Form 778, Contractor's Release.* NASA Form 778, prescribed at 1816.370, shall be used for obtaining a release from the contractor.

(b) *NASA Form 779, Assignee's Release.* NASA Form 779, prescribed at 1816.370, shall be used for obtaining a release from each assignee.

(c) *NASA Form 780, Contractor's Assignment of Refunds, Rebates, Credits, and Other Amounts.* NASA Form 780, prescribed at 1816.370, shall be used in obtaining any refunds, rebates, credits, or other amounts from the contractor.

(d) *NASA Form 781, Assignee's Assignment of Refunds, Rebates, Credits, and Other Amounts.* NASA Form 781, prescribed at 1816.370, shall be used in obtaining any refunds, rebates, credits, or other amounts due from each assignee.

1853.217 Special contracting methods (NASA Form 523).

NASA Form 523, NASA-Defense Purchase Request. NASA Form 523, prescribed at 1817.70, shall be used for requesting procurement of supplies or services from all activities of the Military Departments.

1853.227 Patents, data, and copyrights (NASA Form 1626).

NASA Form 1626, Report Documentation Page. NASA Form 1626, prescribed at 1827.474(b)(2)(i)(D), shall be submitted with contractors' reports.

1853.232 Contract financing (Standard Form 272, 272A).

(a) Standard Form 272, Federal Cash Transactions Report, prescribed at 1832.406–70, will be submitted by nonprofit organizations that receive advance funding.

(b) Standard Form 272–A, Federal Cash Transactions Report Continuation, prescribed at 1832.406–70, is used in conjunction with Standard Form 272 when reporting more than one contract.

1853.242 Contract administration.

1853.242-70 Delegation (NASA Forms 1430, 1430A, 1431, 1432, 1433).

(a) *NASA Form 1430, Letter of Contract Administration Delegation, General.* NASA Form 1430, prescribed at 1842.202-70(a)(4), shall be used to delegate and redelegate contract administration functions and to amend existing contract administration delegations.

(b) *NASA Form 1430A, Letter of Contract Administration Delegation, Special Instructions.* NASA Form 1430A, prescribed at 1842.202-70(a)(4), shall be used to supplement NASA Form 1430 when special instructions are necessary.

(c) *NASA Form 1431, Letter of Acceptance of Contract Administration Delegation.* NASA Form 1431, prescribed at 1842.202-70(a)(8), shall be used in conjunction with NASA Form 1430 to record receipt and acceptance of delegation by other agencies.

(d) *NASA Form 1432, Letter of Contract Administration Delegation, Termination.* NASA Form 1432, prescribed at 1842.202(a)(2)(i), shall be used to delegate and redelegate termination functions and to amend existing termination delegations.

(e) *NASA Form 1433, Letter of Audit Delegation.* NASA Form 1433, prescribed at 1842.202-71(c), shall be used to delegate and redelegate audit functions and to amend existing audit delegations.

(f) *NASA Form 1634, Contracting Officer Technical Representative (COTR) Delegation.* NASA Form 1634, prescribed at 1842.270(b), shall be used to designate a COTR for a particular contract.

1853.242-71 Administrative service request (NASA Form 1434).

NASA Form 1434, Letter of Request for Pricing-Audit-Technical Evaluation Services. NASA Form 1434, prescribed at 1842.202-70(f), shall be used to request contract administration and audit services incident to preaward of a contract but exclusive of preaward surveys.

1853.242-72 Notifications (NASA Form 456).

NASA Form 456, Notice of Contract Costs Suspended and/or Disapproved. NASA Form 456, prescribed at 1842.803, will be used by the auditor and contracting officer to notify the contractor of any suspended and/or disallowed contract costs.

1853.245 Property (NASA Form 1018, Department of Defense Form 1342, and Department of Defense Form 1419).

(a) *NASA Form 1018, Report of Government-owned/Contractor-Held Property.* NASA Form 1018, prescribed at 1845.505-14(d), shall be used by contractors for reporting Government-owned property.

(b) *Department of Defense Form 1342, DOD Property Record.* DD Form 1342, prescribed at 1845.505-670, shall be used by contractors for reporting NASA-furnished or contractor-acquired centrally reportable equipment.

(c) *Department of Defense Form 1419, DOD Industrial Plant Equipment Requisition.* DD Form 1419, prescribed by the clause at 1852.245-70, shall be used by contractors to list requirements for centrally reportable items for screening of existing Government inventories.

1853.246 Quality Assurance (Department of Defense Form 250, Department of Defense Form 250c).

(a) *Department of Defense Form 250, Material Inspection and Receiving Report.* DD Form 250, prescribed at 1846.670, shall be used to document procurement quality assurance, acceptance of supplies and services, and shipments.

(b) *Department of Defense Form 250c, Material Inspection and Receiving Report-Continuation Sheet.* DD Form 250c, prescribed at 1846.670, shall be used to continue documentation of information from DD Form 250.

1853.249 Termination of contracts (NASA Forms 1412, 1413).

(a) *NASA Form 1412, Termination Authority.* NASA Form 1412, prescribed at 1849.101-71, shall be used for initiating action to terminate a contract for either default or convenience of the Government.

(b) *NASA Form 1413, Termination Docket Checklist.* NASA Form 1413, prescribed at 1849.101-71, shall be used to ensure adequacy of termination records.

SUBCHAPTER I—AGENCY SUPPLEMENTARY REGULATIONS

PART 1870—NASA SUPPLEMENTARY REGULATIONS

Sec.

1870.000 Scope of part. Subpart 1870.1—NASA Acquisition of Investigations System
1870.101 Purpose.
1870.102 System content.
1870.103 NASA acquisition of investigations.

Subpart 1870.2—NASA Research Announcement System

1870.201 Purpose.
1870.202 System content.
1870.203 Instructions for responding to NRAs.

Subpart 1870.3—NASA Source Evaluation

1870.301 Purpose.
1870.302 Regulations.
1870.303 Source Evaluation Board procedures.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 2473(c)(1).

1870.000 Scope of part.

This part contains NASA-unique regulations which—

(a) Constitute a system of regulations such that presentation in a unified format is essential;

(b) Relate to numerous FAR subparts;

(c) Have, as a whole, no clearly identifiable FAR counterpart; and

(d) May include non-regulatory material necessary to complete coverage of the instant subject.

Subpart 1870.1—NASA Acquisition of Investigations System

1870.101 Purpose.

Experience has shown that the best space research results when active space research investigators personally participate in the selection of investigations. The investigation acquisition system provides the mechanism for encouraging the participation of investigators and selecting the investigations which contribute most effectively to the advancement of NASA's scientific and technological objectives. It is a system separate and distinct from the ordinary acquisition process but requiring the same vigorous management and discipline to assure compliance with statutory requirements and elementary considerations of equitable treatment. "NASA Acquisition of Investigations" is the formal name under which this system is incorporated into the NASA FAR Supplement.

1870.102 System content.

(a) The regulations governing the NASA Acquisition of Investigations system shall set forth the entire system in a single document, covering the interrelated roles individuals with procurement and programmatic responsibilities both within NASA and the private sector. Therefore, the regulation will provide general and specific guidance to all NASA personnel engaged in the solicitation, evaluation and selection of investigations. It will emphasize the responsibilities of line management and, as appropriate, the selected investigators in the acquisition

of equipment necessary for the investigation. It will provide for uniform procedures and equitable treatment in the evaluation and selection of investigators and acquisition of investigative equipment consistent with the FAR and NFS.

(b) The system regulation shall contain policy and procedures applicable to the solicitation of investigations with "Announcements of Opportunity," a form of broad agency announcement authorized at FAR 6.102(d)(2)(i).

1870.103 NASA acquisition of investigations.

(a) The NASA Acquisition of Investigations System is prescribed by Appendix I to this section 1870.103.

(b) NASA may reprint this Appendix I as a separate Handbook for sale and/or distribution provided the following two conditions are met:

(1) With the exception of availability and distribution information, any subsequent modification in the text shall be preceded by a change to the NASA FAR Supplement 1870.103.

(2) The following information shall be included as a part of the prefatory material in the NASA Handbook:

Important Notice

This Handbook is a separately bound, verbatim version of NASA FAR Supplement (NFS) (48 CFR 1870.103) section 1870.103, Appendix I. Reference to other parts of the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) and the NFS will be required for complete coverage of all procurement aspects. NASA reserves the right to make changes to NFS 1870.103, Appendix I without issuing a new edition of this Handbook. Any such changes will be published in the **Federal Register**; however, it is anticipated that such changes will be rare, unless mandated by statute or unusual circumstances. In the event of apparent conflict between this Handbook and the NFS, the NFS shall govern.

Appendix I to 1870.103—Guidelines for Acquisition of Investigations

Preface

From its beginning, NASA has provided opportunities for qualified people in NASA, other Government agencies, colleges and universities, private industry, and foreign countries to participate in developing and carrying out its responsibilities in aeronautical and space activities. NASA has treated itself as a part of the scientific and technical community and has encouraged this community to bring to bear its expertise in developing investigatory objectives, selecting the investigations to carry out, participating in the resulting missions, analyzing the data obtained, and publishing the results.

Success of our program in aeronautics and space, in a large measure, can be attributed to the ability of NASA to harness the ideas, knowledge, and technical abilities of the investigators within and outside of NASA. Success has also been dependent on the effective development of equipment required for investigations. In the area of space applications, program success also depends upon the support of actual and potential users of space related systems, and upon how well NASA understands their operations and programmatic requirements.

The acquisition of investigations process covered by this Handbook allows the continuation of our successful cooperative endeavors with the scientific, technological, and applications user communities in the Space Transportation System, Spacelab, and Space Station era, and, at the same time, provides standards requiring greater attention to the planning and management of investigations. Also, this Handbook emphasizes the responsibilities of line management and, as appropriate, the selected investigators in the acquisition of equipment necessary for the investigation. This Handbook should assure uniform procedures and equitable treatment in the evaluation and selection of investigators and the acquisition of investigative equipment.

This Handbook provides general and specific guidance to all NASA personnel engaged in the solicitation, evaluation, and selection of investigations.

Chapter 1—The Investigation Acquisition System

100 General
101 Key Features of the System
102 Management Responsibilities

Chapter 2—Applicability of the Process

200 General
201 Criteria for Determining Applicability
202 Programs and Activities Where Use May be Considered
203 Specific Approval Required

Chapter 3—The Announcement of Opportunity

300 General
301 Need for Preparatory Effort
302 Responsibilities
303 Proposal Opportunity Period
304 Guidelines for Announcement of Opportunity
305 Announcement of Opportunity Soliciting Foreign Participation
306 Guidelines for Proposal Preparation

Chapter 4—Evaluation of Proposals

400 General
401 Criteria for Evaluation
402 Methods of Evaluation
403 Advisory Subcommittee Evaluation Process
404 Contractor Evaluation Process
405 Government Evaluation Process
406 Cost, Engineering, Integration, and Management Evaluation
407 Program Office Evaluation
408 Steering Committee Review
409 Principles to Apply

Chapter 5—The Selection Process

500 General
501 Decisions to Be Made
502 The Selection Statement
503 Notification of Proposers
504 Debriefing

Chapter 6—Payload Formulation

600 Payload Formulation

Chapter 7—Procurement and Other Considerations

700 Early Involvement Essential
701 Negotiation, Discussions, and Contract Award
702 Application of the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) and the NASA FAR Supplement (NFS)
703 Other Administrative and Functional Requirements

APPENDICES

Appendix A to Appendix I—Format of Announcement of Opportunity

Appendix B to Appendix I—General Instructions and Provisions

Appendix C to Appendix I—Guidelines for the Proposal Preparation

Appendix D to Appendix I—Glossary of Terms and Abbreviations Associated With Investigations

Chapter 1—The Investigation Acquisition System

100 General

Experience has shown that the best space research results when active space research investigators personally participate in the selection of investigations. The investigation acquisition system provides the mechanism for encouraging the participation of investigators and selecting the investigations which contribute most effectively to the advancement of NASA's scientific and technological objectives. It is a system separate and distinct from the ordinary acquisition process but requiring the same vigorous management and discipline to assure compliance with statutory requirements and elementary considerations of equitable treatment.

101 Key Features of the System

1. Utilization of the system commences with a Program Associate Administrator's determination that the investigation acquisition process is appropriate and applicable for a program under consideration. There follows a broadly disseminated Announcement of Opportunity (AO) to the interested community. This solicitation does not generally specify the investigations to be proposed but rather solicits investigative ideas which contribute to broad objectives. The proposals received are distinctive and innovative. In order to determine which of the dissimilar proposals should be selected, a formal competitive evaluation process is utilized. The evaluation for merit is normally made by experts in the specific fields represented by the proposals and care taken to avoid conflicts of interest. These peer evaluators may be from NASA, other Government agencies, universities, or the commercial sector. Along with or subsequent to the evaluation for merit, the other factors of the proposals, such as engineering, cost, and integration aspects, are reviewed by specialists in those areas. The evaluation conclusions as well as considerations of

budget and other factors are used to formulate a complement of recommended investigations. A steering committee serving as staff to the Program Associate Administrator reviews the proposed payload or program of investigation, the iterative process, and the selection recommendations. The steering committee serves as a forum where different interests, such as flight program, discipline management, and administration, can be weighed and reconciled.

The Program Associate Administrator selects the investigations and the investigators who shall participate in the program. Once selected, an investigator is assigned appropriate key responsibilities relating to the investigation through a contract with the institution. In the case of foreign investigators, these responsibilities will usually be outlined in an agreement between NASA and the sponsoring governmental agency in the investigator's country.

2. The Announcement of Opportunity process provides a disciplined approach to investigation acquisition. The following major steps must be followed in each case where the determination is made to utilize the investigation acquisition system:

a. Announcement of Opportunity shall be signed by the appropriate Program Associate Administrator and shall be widely distributed to the scientific, technological, and applications user communities, as appropriate.

b. An evaluation team shall be formed including recognized peers of the investigators to review the proposals.

c. A project office will be assigned to assess the engineering, cost, integration, and management aspects of the proposals.

d. A program office will be responsible to formulate a complement of investigations consistent with the objectives stated in the Announcement of Opportunity, cost, and schedule constraints.

e. A steering committee appointed by the appropriate Program Associate Administrator shall review the proposed investigations for relevance and merit, will assure compliance with the system as described in this Handbook, and make selection recommendations.

f. Selections shall be made by the appropriate Program Associate Administrator.

3. Payloads will be formulated consisting of investigations selected through the Announcement of Opportunity process and/or other authorized methods.

4. When the need is determined by the Program Associate Administrator, payload specialists will be selected in accordance with NMI 7100.16, "Payload Specialists for NASA-Related Payloads."

102 Management Responsibilities

1. Program Associate Administrators are responsible for overseeing the process and for making key decisions essential to the process including:

a. Determination to use the investigation acquisition system.

b. Appointment of the steering committee members.

c. Designation of a centralized staff to assure uniformity in the issuance of the Announcement of Opportunity and conformity with the required procedures in the evaluation and selection.

d. Reuse, to the maximum extent practicable, of space hardware and support equipment.

e. Determination to use advisory subcommittees, contractor, or full-time Government employees only in the evaluation process.

f. Issuance of the Announcement of Opportunity.

g. Selection of investigations and investigators, determination of need of a definition phase, determination of the role of the investigator with regard to providing essential investigation hardware and services, and determination of the need for payload specialists.

h. Assure due consideration is given to minorities in the establishment of peer groups, distribution of the Announcement of Opportunity, and in the selection of investigations.

i. Provide a timely, flexible framework for cooperative foreign participation in Space Shuttle, Spacelab, and Space Station missions.

2. Exercise of these responsibilities will require the professional assistance of experts in several functional, programmatic, and technical disciplines. The Program Associate Administrator should call upon such experts at appropriate times throughout the process. The remaining chapters of this Handbook will discuss the exercise of the foregoing responsibilities in greater detail.

Chapter 2—Applicability of the Process

200 General

The system used for acquisition of investigations is separate and distinct from the agency procedures for procurement of known requirements of hardware and services. A decision to use this special acquisition process will be based on a considered determination, in accordance with the general guidance in this chapter, that it is the most suitable to meet program needs. The decision-making official will consider the criteria for use of the system. The project plan or other program or project documentation should discuss the proposed mode of investigations selection.

201 Criteria for Determining Applicability

1. The decision to utilize the investigations acquisition process as an alternative to the normal planning and acquisition process of the agency can only be made after consideration of the special conditions which are requisite to its use. All of the following conditions should exist before deciding that the system is appropriate and applicable:

a. NASA has a general objective which can be furthered through unique, novel, experimental approaches. To develop such novel approaches, NASA wishes to draw upon the broadest reservoir of ideas that can be made available.

b. Choices must be made among competing dissimilar ideas in expanding knowledge of phenomena in the atmosphere and space.

c. Individual participation of an investigator is essential to exploitation of the opportunity.

2. The investigations acquisition process shall not be used when any of the following characteristics are present:

a. The requiring office can define a requirement sufficiently to allow for normal procurement. This includes requirements that can be stated as design or performance specifications, including requirements for the use of known technology and hardware, and requirements for continuation of existing tasks.

b. The program is extremely complex, requiring specialized integration, coordination, or other special handling, or extending over a lengthy period wherein individual participation is not essential.

c. It is not possible or considered essential to the program to follow the critical steps of the special investigations acquisition process.

202 Programs and Activities Where Use May Be Considered

1. *General.* The investigation acquisition process is most suitable for investigations aimed at exploration requiring several unique sensors or instruments, but it has been used successfully and advantageously in several types of opportunity. Each program is unique and the opportunities for participation in investigative effort will necessarily vary from program to program. Similarly, program implementation plans may provide opportunities for participation but may not meet the conditions necessary for use of the special investigations acquisition process. In those latter cases, the normal procurement procedures will be followed to meet the program needs. Because of the differences in programs, only general standards can be given to guide the decision of whether or not to use the alternate acquisition process. There follows a discussion of several types of programs, the opportunities they offer, and comment on the suitability of the special process.

2. *Exploration and Space Research Flights*—a. *Examples.* Space Transportation System (STS) flights with attached payloads, generally Spacelab payloads; and free-flying spacecraft, such as Explorers, Pioneers, Space Telescope, Landsats, and Long Duration Exposure Facilities.

b. *Types of Opportunity.* (1) A common and sought after opportunity is to participate as a Principal Investigator responsible for conceiving and conducting a space investigation. This may involve a major piece of instrumentation. In the case of a "facility" or "multiuser" payload, each Principal Investigator's responsibilities would ordinarily involve a relatively minor portion of the total instrument.

(2) There may also be an opportunity to serve on a Principal Investigator's team as a team member or as a Co-Investigator.

(3) A type of opportunity that generally involves the use of data from another investigator's instrument is that of guest investigator or guest observer. Guest investigators usually participate after the primary objectives have been satisfied for the investigations involved.

(4) A team may be formed from selected investigators to assist in defining planned mission objectives and/or to determine, in a general manner, the most meaningful instruments to accomplish the mission objectives.

c. *Selection and Acquisition Procedures.* The investigation acquisition process may be applicable to all of these types of opportunities. The supposition common in these opportunities is that the best ideas and approaches are likely to result from the broadest possible involvement of the scientific, technological or applications user communities. Most free-flying spacecraft represent single flight opportunities in contrast to Spacelab payload acquisition which may have a number of discipline objectives since the flight opportunities are frequent and recurring.

3. *Minor Missions*—a. *Examples.* Research aircraft, sounding rockets, balloons, and minor missions are generally of short duration, small in size, often single purpose, and subject to repetition. Many investigations are follow-on to past-flight investigations.

b. *Types of Opportunity.* (1) Principal Investigators responsible for investigation.

(2) Data use or analysis.

c. *Selection and Acquisition Process.* Opportunities for participation on minor missions are generally suitable for normal procurement procedures. The use of a general announcement announcing the general nature and schedule of flights may be appropriate when considered necessary to broaden participation by requesting investigator-initiated research proposals. Procurement procedures as contained in NASA FAR Supplement shall be used for follow-on repeat flights. Although NASA seeks unique, innovative ideas for these missions, the prospect of reflight and the latitude in determining number and schedule of flights argue against the need for the use of the investigations acquisition process to force dissimilar proposals into an annual or periodic competitive structure. On the other hand, there are some minor missions addressed to specific limited opportunities; for example, a solar eclipse. When such limitations indicate that the special competitive structure is needed, it should be authorized.

4. *Operational and Operational Prototype Spacecraft*—a. *Examples.* GOES, TIROS.

b. *Selection and Acquisition Process.* The user agency can be expected to specify performance parameters. Payload definition will be the responsibility of the user agency and NASA. Specifications sufficient for normal procedures can be produced. Use of data from the mission is the responsibility of the user agency. Thus, the special process is not required.

5. *Reimbursable Missions*—a. *Examples.* INTELSAT, SATCOM, WESTAR, MARISAT.

b. *Selection and Acquisition Process.* Payload determination and delivery are the responsibility of the user organization. NASA's role is essentially to provide launch services. No special process is required.

6. *Supporting Research and Technology (SR&T)*—a. *Examples.* Studies, minor developments, instrument conceptualization, ground-based observations, laboratory and theoretical supporting research, and data reduction and analysis which is unconstrained by a specific opportunity.

b. *Selection and Acquisition Process.* Programs in these areas tend to go forward on a continuing basis, rather than exploiting unique opportunities. Normal procurement procedures should be utilized to satisfy these requirements. A general announcement of area of interest could be made when greater participation is deemed advisable. Proposals can be solicited or unsolicited and can be entertained within the context of the normal procurement procedure.

203 Specific Approval Required

The Program Associate Administrator responsible for the program is also responsible for determining whether or not to use the special investigations acquisition process. Normally on major projects, or when a project plan is required, use of the investigation acquisition system will be justified and recommended in the project planning documentation and will be coordinated with staff offices and discussed in the planning presentation to the Deputy Administrator or designee.

Chapter 3—The Announcement of Opportunity

300 General

The essence of the competitive solicitation is through use of an Announcement of Opportunity. The Announcement is characterized by its generality since NASA has not pre-determined a specific and finite objective but only the general objective of the investigations. Notwithstanding its general nature, it is essential that the Announcement of Opportunity contains sufficient data in order to obtain meaningful proposals. To a considerable extent, the detail and depth of the Announcement will depend on the investigative objective. In all cases, judgment is of paramount importance, since the purpose is to get adequate information to assess the relevance, merit, cost, and management without overburdening the proposer.

301 Need for Preparatory Effort

1. When the use of the Announcement process is contemplated, there is need to consult with appropriate Headquarters offices and the Project Installation responsible for the project prior to release of the Announcement. These consultations will require early involvement of the Project Installation and appropriate Headquarters offices in the investigation acquisition process.

2. In addition, the need to meet legal requirements in the acquisition processes will require early external Program Office involvement to:

a. Synopsize the Announcement in the Commerce Business Daily prior to the time of release.

b. Determine if there is instrumentation or support equipment available which may be appropriate to the Announcement with all necessary background data considered essential for use by a proposer.

c. Determine mailing lists, including the mailing list maintained by the International Affairs Division, Office of External Relations, for broad dissemination of the Announcement to the appropriate recipients.

d. Assure mandatory provisions are contained in the Announcement.

3. Other methods of dissemination of the Announcement may also be used, such as the use of press releases, etc. When possible, the Announcement should be widely publicized through publications of appropriate professional societies; however, NASA policy does not allow payment for the placement of advertisements.

302 Responsibilities

1. The Program Office originator is responsible for the content of the Announcement and that coordination with concerned Headquarters offices and field installations has been accomplished. All personnel involved in the evaluation of investigative proposals are responsible for familiarizing themselves and complying with this Handbook and other applicable regulations. To this end, they are expected to seek the advice and guidance of appropriate Headquarters program and staff offices, and Project Installation management.

2. The Program Office is also responsible for coordinating the Announcement of Opportunity with the International Affairs, Educational Affairs, Management Support Divisions, Office of External Relations, Office of General Counsel, and Office of Procurement prior to issuance. Attention is directed to NMI 1362.1, "Initiation and Development of International Participation and Cooperation in Aeronautical and Space Programs."

3. Concurrence of the Office of Procurement is required before issuance of an Announcement of Opportunity.

303 Proposal Opportunity Period

1. The Announcement of Opportunity is considered the primary method of soliciting investigations. As such, it is necessary that the process accommodate the continuous opportunities afforded by the Shuttle/Spacelab flights. Thus, the following methods may be utilized, individually or in combination, to enable an Announcement and resultant proposals to be open for an extended period of time and/or to cover a series or range of flight possibilities or disciplines:

a. The Announcement may be issued establishing a number of proposal submission dates. Normally, no more than three proposal submission dates should be established. The submittal dates may be spread over the number of months most compatible with the possible flight opportunities and the availability of resources necessary to evaluate and fund the proposals.

b. The Announcement may be issued establishing a single proposal submission date. However, the Announcement could provide that NASA may amend the Announcement to provide for subsequent dates for submission of proposals, if additional investigations are desired within the Announcement objectives. In this case, the initiative to reopen is a management determination. If sufficient investigations are selected to satisfy the Announcement

objectives or the flight possibilities as a result of the first submission, subsequent opportunities for proposal submission by potential investigators would not be available.

c. The Announcement may be issued to provide for an initial submission date with the Announcement to remain open for submission of additional proposals up to a final cutoff date. This final date should be related to the availability of resources necessary to evaluate the continuous flow of proposals, the time remaining prior to the flight opportunity(s) contemplated by the Announcement, and payload funding and availability.

2. Generally, a core or primary payload of investigations would be selected from the initial submission of proposals under the above methods of open-ended Announcements. These selections could be final or tentative recognizing the need for further definition. Proposals received by subsequent submission dates would be considered in the scope of the original Announcement but would be subject to the opportunities and resources remaining available or the progress being made by prior selected investigations.

3. Any investigation proposal, whether received on the initial submission or subsequent submission, requires notification to the investigator and the investigator's institution of the proposal disposition. Some of the proposals will be rejected completely and the investigators immediately notified. The remaining unselected proposals may, if agreeable with the proposers, be held for later consideration and funding and the investigator so notified. However, if an investigator's proposal is considered at a later date by NASA, the investigator must be given an opportunity to validate the proposal with the investigator's institution and for updating the cost and other data contained in the original submission prior to a final selection. In summary, NASA may retain proposals, receiving Category I, II, or III classifications (see paragraph 403), for possible later sponsorship until no longer feasible to consider the proposal. When this final stage is reached, the investigator must be promptly notified.

4. If the intent is to hold proposals for possible later consideration, as discussed in subparagraph 3, the Announcement should specifically indicate this intent and the procedure to be used. Proposing investigators not desiring their proposals be held for later consideration should be given the opportunity to so indicate in their original submissions.

304 Guidelines for Announcement of Opportunity

1. The preparation of the Announcement of Opportunity should be a multifunctional effort. It involves program and project management and usually involves other offices of NASA.

2. The Announcement should be tailored to the particular needs of the contemplated investigations and be complete in itself. Each Announcement will be identified as (Program Office) originated and numbered consecutively each calendar year, e.g., OSSA–1–86, OSSA–2–86; OAST–1–86; OSTDS–1–86; etc. The required format and detailed instructions regarding the contents of the Announcement are contained in Appendix A. They are summarized as follows:

a. *Description of the Opportunity.* The basic purpose or aims of the opportunity.

b. *Announcement Objectives.* A succinct statement of the specific scientific, applications, and/or technological objectives.

c. *Background.* An explanation of the context of the opportunity in relation to broader objectives, other disciplines, and prior studies and investigations.

d. *Proposal Opportunity Period.* The period in which the Announcement opportunity will remain open for receipt of proposals.

e. *Requirements and Constraints.* Technical, programmatic, cost, and schedule requirements or limitations.

f. *Proposal Submission Information.* Information relating to the proposal submission procedure.

g. *Proposal Evaluation, Selection, and Implementation.* The notification to the proposers of the evaluation criteria and the manner of proposal evaluation.

h. *Schedule.* Scheduled dates for activities related in the Announcement.

i. *Appendices.* The General Instructions and Provisions and any other appendices that are pertinent to the Announcement.

3. The General Instructions and Provisions (Appendix B) are necessary to accommodate the unique aspects of the Announcement of Opportunity process. The instructions and provisions inform potential investigators and their institutions of certain prerogatives of the selection official and of procedural requirements dictated by law and regulation. Therefore, they must be appended to each Announcement of Opportunity.

4. At the time of issuance, copies of the Announcement must be furnished to the Office of Procurement and to the Office of General Counsel.

5. Proposers should be informed of significant departures from scheduled dates for activities related in the Announcement.

305 Announcement of Opportunity Soliciting Foreign Participation

Proposals for participation by individuals outside the U.S. should be submitted in the same format (excluding cost plans) as U.S. proposals; they should be typewritten and be in English; the proposals should be reviewed and endorsed by the appropriate foreign governmental agency. If letters of "Notice of Intent" are required, the Announcement should indicate that they be sent to NASA's International Affairs Division, Office of External Relations. Should a foreign proposal be selected, NASA will arrange with the sponsoring foreign agency for the proposed participation on a no-exchange-of-funds basis, in which NASA and the sponsoring agency will each bear the cost of discharging its respective responsibilities. Note that additional guide-lines applicable to foreign proposers are contained in the Management Plan Section of Appendix C (see section II) and must be included in any Guidelines for Proposal Preparation or otherwise furnished to foreign proposers.

306 Guidelines for Proposal Preparation

While not all of the guidelines outlined in Appendix C will be applicable in response to every Announcement, the investigator should be informed of the relevant information required to allow for an evaluation of the investigator's proposal. The proposal may be submitted on a form to be supplied by the Program Office. However, the proposal should be submitted in at least two sections: (1) Investigation and Technical Section; and (2) Management and Cost Section as described in Appendix C.

Chapter 4—Evaluation of Proposals

400 General

The evaluation process assures consideration of the several aspects of each proposal and constitutes a series of progressive sorting of the proposals. A review resulting in a categorization is performed by using one of the methods or combination of the methods outlined in paragraph 402. The purpose of this initial review is to determine the scientific and/or technological merit of the proposals in the context of the Announcement objectives.

Those proposals which are considered to have the greatest scientific or technological merit are then reviewed in detail for the engineering, management, and cost aspects, usually by the Project Office at the installation responsible for the project. Final reviews are performed by the Program Office and the Steering Committee and are aimed at developing a group of investigations which represent an integrated payload or a well-balanced program of investigation which has the best possibility for meeting the announced scientific, applications, and/or technological objectives, and within programmatic constraints. The importance of considering the interrelationship of the several aspects of the proposals to be reviewed in the process and the need for carefully planning their treatment should not be overlooked. An evaluation plan has been found helpful to the evaluators, program management officials, and the selection official. The evaluation plan should be developed before issuance of the Announcement of Opportunity. It should cover the recommended staffing for any subcommittee or contractor support, review guidelines as well as the procedural flow and schedule of the evaluation. While not mandatory, such a plan should be considered for each Announcement. A fuller discussion of the evaluation and selection process is included in the following paragraphs.

401 Criteria for Evaluation

1. The fundamental aim of the investigations acquisition process is the acquisition of those unique ideas and capabilities which best fulfill an Announcement's stated scientific, applications, and/or technological objectives. In furtherance of this goal, each Announcement must indicate those criteria which the evaluators will apply when assessing the merit of a proposal. The relative importance of each criterion must also be stated in the Announcement. The provision of this information will allow

prospective investigators to make informed judgments in their attempt to formulate proposals that best meet the stated objectives.

2. Following is a list of general evaluation criteria which would be appropriate for inclusion in most Announcements:

a. The scientific, applications, and/or technological merit of the investigation.

b. The relevance of the proposed investigation to the Announcement's stated scientific, applications, and/or technological objectives.

c. The competence and experience of the investigator and any investigative team, as an indication of the investigator's ability to carry the investigation to a successful conclusion.

d. Adequacy of whatever apparatus may be proposed with particular regard to its ability to supply the data needed for the investigation.

e. The reputation and interest of the investigator's institution, as measured by the willingness of the institution to provide the support necessary to ensure that the investigation can be completed satisfactorily.

In addition to or in lieu of the criteria listed herein, additional criteria may be utilized. In all cases, the evaluation criteria must be germane to the accomplishment of the stated objectives.

3. Cost and management aspects will be considered in all selections.

4. Once the evaluation criteria and their relative importance are disseminated through issuance of the Announcement, it is essential that they be applied in a uniform manner. If it becomes apparent, before the date set for receipt of proposals, that the criteria or their relative importance should be changed, the Announcement will be amended, and all known recipients will be informed of the change and given an adequate opportunity to consider it in submission of their proposals. Evaluation criteria and/or their relative importance will not be changed after the date set for receipt of proposals.

402 Methods of Evaluation

1. Alternative methods are available to initiate the evaluation of proposals received in response to an Announcement of Opportunity. These are referred to hereinafter as the Advisory Subcommittee Evaluation Process, the Contractor Evaluation Process, and the Government Evaluation Process. In all processes, a subcommittee of the appropriate Program Office Steering Committee will be formed for the purpose of categorizing the proposals. The various approaches, described in detail in paragraph 403, are summarized as follows:

a. Advisory Subcommittee Evaluation Process—An advisory subcommittee of the appropriate Program Office Steering Committee may be established to evaluate the scientific, applications, or technological merit of the proposals and to categorize them. This method envisions the use of non-Government peers or a combination of non-Government and Government peers. The impact of using one of the open-ended Announcement methods should be considered in establishing the subcommittee.

b. Contractor Evaluation Process—In some instances, it may be necessary for NASA to utilize a contractor to assist in evaluation of proposals. The contractor would not provide advice or recommendations to the agency nor categorize the proposals but would assist by submitting a summary of each proposal along with a listing of its major strengths and weaknesses to the Program Office. The Program Office, using full-time Government employees appointed as a subcommittee of the Program Office Steering Committee, will, upon consideration of the contractor's report, categorize the proposals. This method may also be used to aid an advisory subcommittee established as indicated in subparagraph a. in which event the categorization function will be performed by the advisory subcommittee.

c. Government Evaluation Process—In certain circumstances, such as those situations where the establishment of an advisory subcommittee is not feasible, it may be appropriate to appoint or assign full-time Government employee(s) as a subcommittee of the Program Office Steering Committee to perform the evaluation and categorization of proposals.

2. Following categorization under one of the methods outlined in subparagraphs a. through c., those proposals still in consideration will be processed to the selection official as prescribed hereafter.

403 Advisory Subcommittee Evaluation Process

1. Evaluation of scientific and/or technological merit of proposed investigations is the responsibility of an advisory subcommittee of the Steering Committee. It is of prime importance that the appointment of members to the subcommittee be weighed carefully as these individuals may exercise significant influence on the selection of investigations and hence achievement of program goals and objectives.

2. The subcommittee constitutes a peer group qualified to judge the scientific and technological aspects of all investigation proposals submitted in a specific program. For a given application of the process, one or more subcommittees may be established depending on the breadth of the technical or scientific disciplines inherent in the Announcement's objectives. Each subcommittee represents a discipline or grouping of closely related disciplines. To maximize the quality of the subcommittee evaluation and categorization, the following conditions of selection and appointment should be considered.

a. The subcommittee normally should be established on an ad hoc basis for the particular objectives referenced in the Announcement.

b. Qualifications and broad acknowledgment of the professional abilities of the subcommittee members are of primary importance. Institutional affiliations, per se, are not sufficient qualifications.

c. The executive secretary of the subcommittee must be a full-time NASA employee.

d. Subcommittee members should normally be appointed as early as possible and prior to receipt of proposals.

e. In selecting members, care must be taken to avoid conflicts of interest. These include financial interests, institutional affiliations, professional biases and associations, as well as familiar relationships. Conflicts could further occur as a result of imbalance between Government and non-Government appointees, a member evaluating a proposal from the person's parent organization, or membership from institutions representing a singular school of thought in discipline areas involving competitive theories in approach to an investigation.

f. The subcommittee should convene as a group in closed sessions for proposal evaluation to protect the proposer's proprietary ideas and to allow frank discussion of the proposer's qualifications and the merit of the proposer's ideas. Lead review responsibility for each proposal may be assigned to members most qualified in the involved discipline. It is important that each proposal be considered by the entire subcommittee. It is only through this action that dissimilar ideas are forced into open competition.

3. It may not be possible to select a subcommittee fully satisfying all of the conditions described in subparagraph 2. For example, the most qualified specialists in a particularly narrow discipline may themselves, or some other part of their parent organization, be submitting proposals. It is not the purpose of these guidelines to establish provisions for making tradeoffs, where necessary, among the above criteria (see subparagraph 2). This is properly the responsibility of the nominating and appointing officials. This latitude permits flexibility in making decisions in accord with circumstances of each application. In so doing, however, it is emphasized that recognized expertise in evaluating dissimilar proposals is essential to the continued workability of the investigation acquisition process.

4. Candidate subcommittee members should be nominated by the office having responsibility for the evaluation. Nominations should be approved in accordance with NMI 1150.2, "Establishment, Operation, and Duration of NASA Advisory Committees." The notification of appointment should specify the duration of assignment on the subcommittee, provisions concerning conflicts of interest, and arrangements regarding honoraria, per diem, and travel when actually employed.

5. It is important that members of the subcommittee be formally instructed as to their responsibilities with respect to the investigation acquisition process, even where several or all of the members have served previously. This briefing of subcommittee members should include:

a. Instruction of subcommittee members on agency policies and procedures pertinent to acquisition of investigations.

b. Review of the program goals, announcement objectives, and evaluation criteria, including relative importance, which provide the basis for evaluation.

c. Instruction on the use of preliminary proposal evaluation data furnished by the Installation Project Office. The subcommittee should examine these data to gain a better understanding of the proposed investigations.

any associated problems, and to consider cost in relation to the value of the investigations' objectives.

d. Definition of responsibility of the subcommittee for evaluation and categorization with respect to scientific and/or technical merit in accordance with the evaluation criteria.

e. Instruction for documentation of deliberations and categorizations of the subcommittee.

f. Inform the chairperson of the subcommittee and all members that they should familiarize themselves with the provisions of the current "Standards of Conduct for NASA Employees", NHB 1900.1, or "Standards of Conduct for NASA Special Government Employees", NHB 1900.2, as appropriate, regarding conflicts of interest. Members should inform the appointing authority if their participation presents a real or apparent conflict of interest situation. In addition, all participants should inform the selection official in the event they are subjected to pressure or improper contacts.

g. Inform members that prior to the selection and announcement of the successful investigators and investigations, subcommittee members and NASA personnel shall not reveal any information concerning the evaluation to anyone who is not also participating in the same evaluation proceedings, and then only to the extent that such information is required in connection with such proceedings. Also, inform members that subsequent to selection of an investigation and announcement of negotiations with the investigator's institution, information concerning the proceedings of the subcommittee and data developed by the subcommittee will be made available to others within NASA only when the requester demonstrates a need to know for a NASA purpose. Such information will be made available to persons outside NASA, including other Government agencies, only when such disclosure is concurred in by the Office of General Counsel. In this connection, reference is made to 18 U.S.C. 1905 which provides criminal sanctions if any officer or employee (including special employees) of the United States discloses or divulges certain kinds of business confidential and trade secret information unless authorized by law.

6. The product of an advisory subcommittee is the classification of proposals into four categories. The categories are:

a. *Category I.* Well conceived and scientifically and technically sound investigations pertinent to the goals of the program and the Announcement's objectives and offered by a competent investigator from an institution capable of supplying the necessary support to ensure that any essential flight hardware or other support can be delivered on time and that data can be properly reduced, analyzed, interpreted, and published in a reasonable time. Investigations in Category I are recommended for acceptance and normally will be displaced only by other Category I investigations.

b. *Category II.* Well conceived and scientifically or technically sound investigations which are recommended for acceptance, but at a lower priority than Category I.

c. *Category III.* Scientifically or technically sound investigations which require further development. Category III investigations may be funded for development and may be reconsidered at a later time for the same or other opportunities.

d. *Category IV.* Proposed investigations which are recommended for rejection for the particular opportunity under consideration, whatever the reason.

7. A record of the deliberations of the subcommittee should be prepared by the assigned executive secretary and should be signed by the Chairperson. The minutes should contain the categorizations with basic rationale for such ratings and the significant strengths and weaknesses of the proposals evaluated.

404 Contractor Evaluation Process

1. The use of the contractor method for obtaining support for evaluation purposes of proposals received in response to an Announcement of Opportunity requires the specific approval of the Program Associate Administrator. Prior to the use of this method, discussion should be held with the Office of Procurement.

2. It is NASA policy to avoid situations in the procurement process where, by virtue of the work or services performed for NASA, or as a result of data acquired from NASA or from other entities, a particular company:

a. Is given an unfair competitive advantage over other companies with respect to future NASA business;

b. Is placed in a position to affect Government actions under circumstances in which there is potential that the company's judgment may be biased; or

c. Otherwise finds that a conflict exists between the performance of work or services for the Government in an impartial manner and the company's own self-interest.

3. To reduce the possibility of an organizational conflict of interest problem arising, the following minimum restrictions will apply and be incorporated into the contract:

a. No employee of the contractor will be permitted to propose in response to the Announcement of Opportunity;

b. The "Limitation on Future Contracting" clause contained in NASA FAR Supplement 1852.209–71 and the conditions set forth in NASA FAR Supplement 1815.413–2 Alternate II (c) and (d) will be included in all such contracts; and

c. Unless authorized by the NASA contracting officer, the contractor shall not contact the originator of any proposal concerning its contents.

4. The scope of work for the selected contractor will provide for an identification of strengths and weaknesses and a summary of the proposals. The contractor will not make selections nor recommend investigations.

5. The steps to be taken in establishing evaluation panels and the responsibilities of NASA and the contractor in relation to the panels will be as follows:

a. The contractor will be required to establish and provide support to panels of experts for review of proposals to evaluate their scientific and technical merit;

b. These panels will be composed of scientists and specialists qualified to evaluate the proposals;

c. The agency may provide to the contractor lists of scientist(s) and specialist(s) in the various disciplines it believes are qualified to serve on the panels;

d. The contractor will report each panel's membership to NASA for approval; and

e. The contractor must make all the necessary arrangements with the panel members.

6. The evaluation support by the contractor's panels of experts will be accomplished as follows:

a. The panels will review the scientific and technical merit of the proposals in accordance with the evaluation criteria contained in the Announcement and will record their strengths and weaknesses.

b. The contractor will make records of each panel's deliberations which will form the basis for a report summarizing the results of the evaluations. Upon request, the contractor shall provide all such records to NASA;

c. The chairperson of each panel should certify that the evaluation report correctly represents the findings of the review panel; and

d. A final report will be submitted as provided in the contract.

7. A subcommittee of the Program Office Steering Committee will be established on an ad hoc basis. Utilizing furnished data, the subcommittee will classify the proposals into the four categories enumerated in paragraph 403, "Advisory Subcommittee Evaluation Process." A record of the deliberations of the subcommittee should be prepared by an assigned executive secretary and signed by the chairperson. The minutes should contain the categorizations with the basic rationale for such ratings and the significant strengths and weaknesses of the proposals evaluated.

405 Government Evaluation Process

1. The Program Associate Administrator may, in accordance with NMI 1150.2, appoint one or more full-time Government employees as subcommittee members of the Program Office Steering Committee to evaluate and categorize the proposals.

2. Each subcommittee member should be qualified and competent to evaluate the proposals in accordance with the Announcement evaluation criteria. It is important that a subcommittee's evaluation be uninfluenced by others either within or outside of NASA.

3. The subcommittee members will not contact the proposers for additional information.

4. The subcommittee members will classify the proposals in accordance with the four categories indicated in paragraph 403. Each categorization will be supported by an appropriate rationale including a narrative of each proposal's strengths and weaknesses.

406 Engineering, Integration, and Management Evaluation

1. The subcommittee responsible for categorization of each proposal in terms of its scientific, applications, or technical merit

should receive information on probable cost, technical status, developmental risk, integration and safety problems, and management arrangements in time for their deliberations.

2. This information should be provided, where appropriate, at the discretion of the Headquarters Program Office by the Project Office at the cognizant installation. This information can be in fairly gross, general terms and should reflect what insights the Project Office can provide without requesting additional details from the proposers. This limited Project Office review will not normally give the subcommittees information of significant precision. The purpose is to give the subcommittee sufficient information so it can review the proposals in conjunction with available cost, integration, and management considerations to gain an impression of each investigator's understanding of the problems of the experiment and to permit gross trade-offs of cost versus value of the investigation objective.

3. Following categorization, the Project Office shall evaluate proposals still in contention, in depth, including a thorough review of each proposal's engineering, integration, management, and cost aspects. This review should be accomplished by qualified engineering, cost, and business analysts at the project center. The review must be a regular part of the evaluation and selection process.

4. In assessing proposed costs, the evaluation must consider:

a. The investigation objective.

b. Comparable, similar or related investigations.

c. Whether NASA or the investigator should procure the necessary supporting instrumentation or services and the relative cost of each mode.

d. Total overall or probable costs to the Government including integration and data reduction and analysis. In the case of investigations proposed by Government investigators, this includes all associated direct and indirect cost. With respect to cooperative investigations, integration, and other applicable costs should be considered.

5. The Project Office, as part of the in-depth evaluation of proposals that require instrumentation or support equipment, will survey all potential sources for Government-owned instrumentation or support equipment that may be made available, with or without modifications, to the potential investigator. Such items contributed by foreign cooperating groups which are still available under cooperative project agreements will also be considered for use under the terms and conditions specified in the agreements. As part of the evaluation report to the Program Office, the availability or nonavailability of instrumentation or support equipment will be indicated.

6. Proposals which require instrumentation should be evaluated by project personnel. This evaluation should cover the interfaces and the assessment of development risks. This evaluation should furnish the selection official with sufficient data to contribute to the instrument determinations. Important among these are:

a. Whether the instrument requires further definition;

b. Whether studies and designs are necessary to provide a reasonably accurate appreciation of the cost;

c. Whether the investigation can be carried out without incurring undue cost, schedule, or risk of failure penalties; and

d. Whether integration of the instrument is feasible.

7. In reviewing an investigator's management plan, the Project Office should evaluate the investigator's approach for efficiently managing the work, the recognition of essential management functions, and the effective overall integration of these functions. Evaluation of the proposals under final consideration should include, but not be limited to: workload—present and future related to capacity and capability; past experience; management approach and organization; e.g.:

a. With respect to workload and its relationship to capacity and capability, it is important to ascertain the extent to which the investigator is capable of providing facilities and personnel skills necessary to perform the required effort on a timely basis. This review should reveal the need for additional facilities or people, and provide some indication of the Government support the investigator will require.

b. A review should be made of the investigator, the investigator's institution, and any supporting contractor's performance on prior investigations. This should assist in arriving at an assessment of the investigator and the institution's ability to perform the effort within the proposed cost and time constraints.

c. The proposed investigator's management arrangements should be reviewed, including make or buy choices, support of any co-investigator, and preselected subcontractors or other instrument fabricators to determine whether such arrangements are justified. The review should determine if the proposed management arrangements enhance the investigator's ability to devote more time to the proposed experiment objectives and still effectively employ the technical and administrative support required for a successful investigation. In making these evaluations, the Project Office should draw on the installation's engineering, business, legal, and other staff resources, as necessary, as well as its scientific resources. If further information is needed from the proposers, it should be obtained through the proper contacts.

407 Program Office Evaluation

1. A Program Office responsible for the project or program at Headquarters will receive the evaluation of the several aspects of the proposals, and weigh the evaluative data to determine an optimum payload or program of investigation. This determination will involve recommendations concerning individual investigations; but, more importantly, should result in a payload or program which is judged to optimize total mission return within schedule, engineering, and budgetary constraints. The recommendations so made should facilitate sound selection decisions by the Program Associate Administrator. Three sets of recommendations result from the Program Office evaluation:

a. Optimum payload or program of investigations, or options for alternative payloads or programs.

b. Recommendation for final or tentative selection based on a determination of the degree of uncertainty associated with individual investigations. A tentative selection may be considered step one of a two-step selection technique.

c. Upon consideration of the guidelines contained in paragraph 501–1c, recommending responsibility for instrument development.

2. The cognizant Installation Project Office evaluation is principally concerned with ensuring that the proposed investigation can be managed, developed, integrated, and executed with an appropriate probability of technical success within the estimated probable cost. The Headquarters program Director, drawing upon these inputs, should be mainly concerned with determining a payload or program from the point of view of programmatic goals and budgetary constraints. Discipline and cost trade-offs are considered at this level. The Headquarters Program Office should focus on the potential contribution to program objectives that can be achieved under alternative feasible payload integration options.

3. It may be to NASA's advantage to consider certain investigations for tentative selection pending resolution of uncertainties in their development. Such tentative selections should be reconsidered after a period of time for final selection in a payload or program of investigations. This two-step selection process should be considered when:

a. The potential return from the investigation is sufficient, relative to that of the other investigations under consideration, and that its further development appears to be warranted before final selection.

b. The investigation potential is of such high priority to the program that the investigation should be developed for flight if at all possible.

c. The investigative area is critical to the program and competitive approaches need to be developed further to allow selection of the optimum course.

4. Based on evaluation of the above considerations associated with the investigations requiring further development of hardware, the following information should be provided to the Steering Committee and the Program Associate Administrator responsible for selection:

a. The expected gain in potential return associated with the eventual incorporation of tentatively recommended investigations in the payload(s) or program.

b. The expected costs required to develop instrumentation to the point of "demonstrated capability."

c. The risk involved in terms of added cost, probability of successfully developing the required instrument capability, and the possibility of schedule impact.

d. Identification of opportunities, if any, for inclusion of such investigations in later missions.

5. In those cases where investigations are tentatively selected, an explicit statement should be made of the process to be followed in determining the final payload or program

of investigations and the proposers so informed. The two-phase selection approach provides the opportunity for additional assurance of development potential and probable cost prior to a full and final commitment to the investigation.

6. As instruments used in investigations become increasingly complex and costly, the need for greater control of their development by the responsible Headquarters Program Office also grows. Accordingly, as an integral part of the evaluation process, a deliberate decision should be made regarding the role of the Principal Investigator with respect to the provision of the major hardware associated with that person's investigation. The guidelines for the hardware acquisition determination are discussed in paragraph 501–1c.

7. The range of options for responsibility for the instrumentation consists of:

a. Assignment of full responsibility to the Principal Investigator. The responsibility includes all in-house or contracted activity to fabricate, test, calibrate and provide the instrumentation for integration.

b. Retention of developmental responsibility by the Government with participation by the Principal Investigator in key events defined for the program. In all cases the right of the Principal Investigator to counsel and recommend is paramount. Such involvement of the Principal Investigator may include:

(1) Provision of instrument specifications.

(2) Approval of instrument specifications.

(3) Independent monitorship of the development and advice to the Government on optimization of the instrumentation for conduct of the investigation.

(4) Participation in design reviews and all other appropriate reviews.

(5) Review and concurrence in changes resulting from design reviews.

(6) Participation in configuration control board actions.

(7) Advice in definition of test program.

(8) Review and approval of test program and changes thereto.

(9) Participation in conduct of the test program.

(10) Participation in calibration of instrument.

(11) Participation in final inspection and acceptance of the instrument.

(12) Participation in subsequent test and evaluation processes incident to integration and flight preparation.

(13) Participation in the development and support of the operations plan.

(14) Analysis and interpretation of data.

8. Normally, the Principal Investigator should as a minimum:

a. Approve the instrument specification.

b. Consult and advise the project manager in development and fabrication.

c. Participate in final calibration of the instrument.

d. Develop and support the operations plan.

e. Analyze and interpret the data.

9. The cognizant Project Installation is responsible for implementing the program or project and should make recommendations concerning the appropriate role for the Principal Investigators. The responsible Program Associate Administrator will determine the role, acting upon the advice of the responsible Headquarters Program Office and the Steering Committee. The Principal Investigator's desires will be respected in the negotiation of the person's role allowing an avenue of appeal to the Program Associate Administrator and, of course, the right to withdraw from participation.

10. The Program Office responsible for the program should make a presentation to the Steering Committee with supporting documentation on the several decisions to be made by the responsible Program Associate Administrator.

408 Steering Committee Review

1. The most important role of the Steering Committee is to provide a substantive review of a potential payload or program of investigations and to recommend a selection to the Program Associate Administrator. The Steering Committee applies the collective experience and insight of representatives from the program and discipline communities and offers a forum for discussing the logic of the selection from those points of view. In addition to this mission-specific evaluation function, the Steering Committee provides central guidance to subcommittee chairpersons and serves as a clearinghouse for problems and complaints regarding the evaluation and selection process. The Steering Committee is responsible for assuring adherence to required procedures. Lastly, it is the forum where discipline objectives are weighed against program objectives and constraints.

2. The Steering Committee represents the means for exercising three responsibilities in the process of selecting investigations to:

a. Review compliance with procedures governing application of the investigation acquisition process.

b. Ensure that adequate and appropriate documentation has been made of the several steps in the evaluation process.

c. Review the results of the evaluation by the subcommittee, Project, and Program Offices and prepare an assessment or endorsement of a recommended payload or program of investigations to the Program Associate Administrator.

3. The purpose in exercising the first of these responsibilities is to ensure equity and consistency in the application of the process. In this sense, the Steering Committee is intended to provide the necessary checks, balances, reviews, and coordination established through procedures and controls inherent in conventional acquisition practices.

4. The second and third responsibilities of the Steering Committee are technical in nature. They require that the Steering Committee review the evaluations by subcommittee, the Project Office, and the Program Office in terms of their completeness and appropriateness for forwarding to the Program Associate Administrator. Most important in this review are:

a. Degree to which results of evaluations and recommendations follow logically from the criteria contained in the Announcement.

b. Consistency with objectives and policies generally beyond the scope of affected Project/Program Offices.

c. Sufficiency of reasons stated for tentative recommendations of those investigations requiring further instrument research and development.

d. Sufficiency of reasons stated for determining responsibilities for instrument development.

e. Sufficiency of consideration of reusable space flight hardware and support equipment for the recommended investigations.

f. Sufficiency of reasons given for classifying proposed investigations in their respective categories.

g. Fair treatment of all proposals.

5. The Steering Committee makes recommendations to the selection official on the payload or program of investigations and notes caveats or provisions important for consideration of the selection official.

409 Principles to Apply

1. Paragraph 408 contains a description of the evaluation function appropriate for a major payload or very significant program of investigation. The levels of review, evaluation, and refinement described should be applied in those selections where warranted but could be varied for less significant selection situations. It is essential to consider the principles of the several evaluative steps, but it may not be essential to maintain strict adherence to the sequence and structure of the evaluation system described. The selection official is responsible for determining the evaluation process most appropriate for the selection situation using this Chapter as a guide.

2. Significant deviations from the provisions of this Handbook must be fully documented and be approved by the Program Associate Administrator after concurrence by the Office of General Counsel and Office of Procurement.

Chapter 5—The Selection Process

500 General

The Program Associate Administrator is responsible for the final decision on those investigations to be selected for contract negotiation. This decision culminates the several types of evaluations and processes that can be summarized as follows:

Evaluation stage	Principal emphasis	Results
Contractor (when authorized)	Summary evaluation (strengths and weaknesses)	Report to Subcommittee.
Subcommittee	Science and technological relevance, value, and feasibility.	Categorization of individual proposals.

Evaluation stage	Principal emphasis	Results
Project Office	Engineering/cost/integration/management assessment.	Reports to Subcommittee and Program Office.
Program Office	Consistency with Announcement and program Objectives, and cost and schedule constraints.	Recommendations to Steering Committee of payload or program of investigations.
Steering Committee	Logic of proposed selections compliance with proper procedures.	Recommendations to Program Associate and Administrator.

501 Decisions To Be Made

1. The selection decisions by the Program Associate Administrator constitute management judgments balancing individual and aggregate scientific or technological merit, the contribution of the recommended investigations to the Announcement's objectives, and their consonance with budget constraints. In so doing, the selection official may develop such additional data to make the following decisions:

a. Determination of the adequacy of scientific/technical analysis supporting the recommended selections. This supporting rationale should involve considerations including:

(1) Assurance that the expected return contributes substantially to program objectives and is likely to be realized.

(2) Assurance that the evaluation criteria were applied consistently to all proposed investigations, thus ensuring that selection and rejection decisions are proper and fair.

(3) Assurance that the set of recommended investigations constitutes the optimum program or payload considering potential value and constraints.

(4) Assurance that only one investigator is assigned as the Principal Investigator to each investigation and that the Principal Investigator will assume the associated responsibilities and be the single point of contact and leader of any other investigators selected for the same investigation.

b. Determination as to whether available returned space hardware or support equipment, with or without modification, would be adequate to meet or support investigation objectives.

c. Determination as to whether the proposed instrument fabricator qualifies and should be accepted as a sole source or whether the requirement should be subjected to competitive solicitation. The following guidelines apply:

(1) The hardware requirement should be subjected to competitive solicitation in those instances where it is clear that the capability is not sufficiently unique to justify sole source procurement.

(2) The hardware requirement should be purchased from the fabricator proposed by the investigator, which may be the investigator's own institution, (a) when the fabricator's proposal contains technical data that are not available from another source, and it is not feasible or practicable to define the fabrication requirement in such a way as to avoid the necessity of using the technical data contained in the proposal; (b) when the fabricator offers unique capabilities that are not available from another source; (c) when the selection official determines as part of the selection that the hardware of the particular fabricator contributes so significantly to the value of the investigator's proposal as to be an integral part of it.

(3) If a producer other than the one proposed by the investigator offers unique capabilities to produce the hardware requirement, NASA may buy the hardware from the qualified fabricator.

(4) If a NASA employee submits a proposal as a principal investigator, any concomitant requirement for hardware necessary to perform the investigation must, in accordance with CICA, either be completed by the installation procurement office or, should it be determined that the hardware is so unique as to constitute a sole source, a justification must be written, synopsized, and approved in accordance with the requirements of FAR and the NFS.

d. Determination of the desirability for tentative selection of investigations. This determination involves considerations including:

(1) Assessment of the state of development of the investigative hardware, the cost and schedule for development in relation to the gain in potential benefits at the time of final selection.

(2) Assurance that there is adequate definition of investigation hardware to allow parallel design of other project hardware.

(3) Assurance that appropriate management procedures are contained in the project plan for reevaluation and final selection (or rejection) on an appropriate time scale.

e. Determination of the acceptability of the proposer's management plan, including the proposed hardware development plan, and the necessity, if any, of negotiating modifications to that plan.

2. In the process of making the above determinations described in subparagraph 1, the Program Associate Administrator may request additional information or evaluations. In most instances, this information can be provided by the Program Office responsible for the mission, project, or program. However, where it is determined appropriate, the Program Associate Administrator may reconvene the subcommittee or poll the members individually or provide for additional analysis or require additional data from evaluators or proposers as considered necessary to facilitate the Program Associate Administrator's decision.

502 The Selection Statement

Upon completion of deliberations, the responsible Program Associate Administrator shall issue a selection statement. Ordinarily this statement will, upon request, be releasable to the public. As a minimum, the selection statement should include:

1. The general and specific evaluation criteria and relative importance used for the selection.

2. The categorizations provided by the subcommittee and the basic rationale for accepting or not accepting each Category I proposal and a succinct statement concerning the nonacceptance of all other proposals.

3. A concise description of each investigation accepted including an indication as to whether the selection is a partial acceptance of a proposal and/or a joinder with other investigators.

4. The role of the Principal Investigator with regard to hardware essential to the investigation and whether the Principal Investigator will be responsible for hardware acquisition and the basis therefor.

5. An indication of the plan and acquisition using the regular procurement processes, if the Principal Investigator is not to acquire the hardware.

6. A statement indicating whether the selection is final or tentative, recognizing the need for better definition of the investigation and its cost.

7. A statement indicating any use of Government-owned available space flight hardware and/or support equipment.

503 Notification of Proposers

1. It is essential that investigators whose proposals are determined to have no reasonable chance for selection be apprised of that fact as soon as practicable. To this end, the responsible Program Office will, upon such determination, promptly notify investigators of that fact together with an exposition of the major reason(s) why the proposals were so considered. The notification letter should also inform such investigators that they may obtain a detailed oral debriefing provided they request it in writing. The letter should point out that such a debriefing would be available only after completion of the selection process and would otherwise be conducted in accordance with NASA regulations (NASA FAR Supplement). (See paragraph 504.)

2. As a result of the selection decisions made by the Program Associate Administrator, letters of notification will be sent to those Principal Investigators selected to participate. This notification letter should not commit the agency to more than negotiations for the selected investigation leading to a contract, but it should clearly indicate the decision made and contain:

a. A concise description of the Principal Investigator's investigation as selected, specifically noting substantive changes, if any, from the investigation originally proposed by the Principal Investigator.

b. The nature of the selection, i.e., whether it should be considered final or tentative

requiring additional hardware or cost definition.

c. A description of the anticipated role of the Principal Investigator including the responsibility for the provision of instruments for flight experiments.

d. Identification of the principal technical and management points to be treated in subsequent negotiations.

e. Any rights to be granted on use of data, publishing of data, and duration of use of the data.

f. Where applicable, indication that a foreign selectee's participation in the program will be arranged between the International Affairs Division, Office of External Relations, and the foreign government agency which endorsed the proposal.

3. In conjunction with the notification of successful foreign proposers, the Program Office shall forward a letter to the responsible International Affairs Division, Office of External Relations, addressing the following:

a. The overall scientific technological objective of the planned effort.

b. The period of time during which the effort is planned.

c. The respective responsibilities of NASA and of the sponsoring (endorsing) governmental agency; these may include:

(1) Provision and subsequent disposition of hardware and software.

(2) Responsibilities for reporting, reduction and dissemination of data.

(3) Responsibilities for transportation of hardware.

d. Any additional information pertinent to the successful conduct of the experiment.

4. Using the information provided in subparagraph 3, the International Affairs Division, Office of External Relations will prepare and negotiate an agreement with the sponsoring foreign agency.

5. Notices shall also be sent to those proposers not previously notified pursuant to the preceding paragraphs, and, as applicable, a copy to the sponsoring foreign government agency. It is important that these remaining proposers be informed at the same time as those selected. Other agency notifications and press release procedures will apply, as appropriate.

504 Debriefing

It is the policy of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration to debrief, if requested, unsuccessful proposers of investigations in accordance with NFS 1815.1003. The following considerations are offered in arranging and conducting debriefings:

1. Debriefing should be carried out by an official designated by the responsible Program Associate Administrator. Any other personnel receiving requests for information concerning the rejection of a proposal should refer to the designated official.

2. Debriefing of unsuccessful offerors should be made at the earliest possible time; debriefing will generally be scheduled subsequent to selection but prior to award of contracts to the successful proposers.

3. Material discussed in debriefing should be factual and wholly consonant with the documented findings of several stages of the evaluation process and the selection statement.

4. The debriefing official should advise of weak or deficient areas in the proposal, indicate whether those weaknesses were factors in the selection, and advise of the major considerations in selecting the competing successful proposer where appropriate.

5. The debriefing official should not discuss other unsuccessful proposals, ranking, votes of members, or attempt to make a point-by-point comparison with successful proposals.

6. A memorandum of record of the debriefing should be provided the Chairperson of the Steering Committee.

Chapter 6—Payload Formulation

600 Payload Formulation

1. Payload elements for Space Transportation System (STS) missions can come from many sources. These include those selected through Announcements of Opportunity, those generated by in-house research and funded through the Research and Technology Operations and Plans (RTOP) procedures, unsolicited (domestic and foreign) proposals and those derived from agreements between NASA and external entities. Missions may have payload elements originating from any or all of these sources. However, it is anticipated that the primary source of NASA payload elements will be the Announcement process. Generally, proposals for payload elements submitted outside the Announcement process will not be selected if they would have been responsive to a stated Announcement objective.

2. Payload elements for STS flights fall into two major categories. "NASA or NASA-related" payload elements are those which are developed by a NASA Program Office or by another party with which NASA has a shared interest. "Non-NASA" payload elements are those which require only STS operation services from NASA and hence interface with NASA through the Office of Space Flight.

3. In general, a Program Office will be designated to be responsible for formulating the "NASA or NASA-related" portion of an STS payload. The Office of Space Flight will be responsible for formulating the "non-NASA" portion of an STS payload. Flights may, of course, consist wholly of payload elements of either type defined in subparagraphs 1 and 2. Resource allocation for mixed missions will be determined jointly by the designated Program Office and the Office of Space Flight.

Chapter 7—Procurement and Other Considerations

700 Early Involvement Essential

1. The distinctive feature of the Announcement of Opportunity process is that it is both a program planning system and a procurement system in one single procedure. The choice of what aeronautical and space phenomena to investigate is program planning. Procurement is involved with the purchase of property and services to carry out the selected investigations.

2. Because of both the programmatic and multi-functional aspects of the Announcement of Opportunity process, early involvement of external program office elements is essential. Success of the process requires that it proceed in a manner that meets program goals and is clearly in line with statutory requirements and sound procurement policy.

3. The planning preparation and selection schedule for the investigation should commence early enough to meet the present statutory and regulatory requirements. Chief of these are the requirements for soliciting maximum feasible competition and for conducting discussions with offerors within the competitive range by the Project Office and/or any other evaluation group or office authorized by the selection official.

701 Negotiation, Discussions, and Contract Award

Indicated below are some of the major procurement procedures that need to be accomplished or performed to assure uniformity and sufficiency in the acquisition of investigations. These areas are not exclusive and not intended to substitute for adequate coordination and good judgment before issuance of the Announcement, during evaluation of proposals, and prior to contract award.

1. As negotiated procurements must be made by soliciting proposals from the maximum number of qualified sources consistent with the requirement, the Announcement of Opportunity must also be synopsized in the Commerce Business Daily. Responses to the synopsis must be added to the Announcement mailing list. Every effort should be made to publish opportunities far enough in advance to encourage a broad response. (In no case less than 45 days before the date set for receipt of proposals).

2. Significant items for consideration after receipt of proposals:

a. *Late Proposals.* The NASA policy on late proposals contained in the NASA FAR Supplement (NFS 1815.412) is applicable to proposals received in response to an Announcement of Opportunity. Potential investigators should be informed of this policy. In the Announcement of Opportunity context, the selection official or designee will determine whether a late proposal will be considered.

b. *Competitive Considerations.* (1) The acquisition of investigations involves the solicitation of unique, novel, and unspecified ideas to meet NASA's aeronautical and space responsibilities. The proposals submitted in response to these solicitations are not necessarily fully comparable. Rather these are discrete, novel scientific and technical investigative ideas associated with a limited opportunity. Although not necessarily fully comparable, all proposals within the scope of a specified opportunity must nevertheless be evaluated in accordance with the criteria stated in the Announcement.

(2) Cost must be considered in the evaluation if costs are involved in the investigation. Accordingly, general cost information should be given to the subcommittee by the Installation Project Office for use in determining the categories

into which the subcommittee places proposals.

(3) Further information should be obtained, as necessary, by the Installation Project Office and/or any other evaluation group or office authorized by the selection official and from the investigators whose proposals are still being considered. This would be similar to the regular procurement procedure for conducting written and oral discussions. A major consideration during discussions is to avoid unfairness and unequal treatment. Obviously, good judgment is required by all concerned in the extent and content of the discussions. There should be no reluctance in obtaining the advice and guidance of management and staff offices during the discussion phase. A summary should be prepared of the primary points covered in the written and oral discussions and show the effect of the discussions on the evaluation of proposals. This summary should also contain general information about the questions submitted to the investigators, the amount of time spent in oral discussion, and revisions in proposals, if any, resulting from the discussions.

(4) During the conduct of discussions, all proposers still being considered shall be offered an equitable opportunity to submit such cost, technical, or other revisions in their proposals as may result from the discussions. All proposers shall be informed that any revisions to their proposals must be submitted by a common cut-off date in order to be considered. The record should take note of compliance of the investigators with that cut-off date.

3. Significant items for consideration before award:

a. Issuance of a Request for Proposal (RFP)—A formal RFP should not be issued to obtain additional information on proposals accepted under the Announcement of Opportunity process. Additional technical, cost, or other data received should be considered as a supplement to the original proposal.

b. Selection of Investigator/Contractor—The selection decision of the Program Associate Administrator approves the selected investigators and their institutions as the only satisfactory sources for the investigations. The selection of the investigator does not constitute the selection of that person's proposed supporting hardware fabricator unless the selection official specifically incorporates the fabricator in the selection decision.

702 Application of the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) and the NASA FAR Supplement (NFS)

The Announcement of Opportunity process supplants normal procurement procedures only to the extent necessary to meet the distinctive features of the process that it is both a program planning system and a procurement system in a single procedure. This process is not intended to conflict with any established statutory requirements. The FAR, the NFS, and related procurement directives should be referred to for guidance and clarification in those instances where specific instructions are not contained in this Handbook.

703 Other Administrative and Functional Requirements

After selection, all other applicable administrative and functional requirements will be complied with or incorporated in any resultant contract. These may include requirements contained in such publications as NHB 5300.4(1B), "Quality Program Provisions for Aeronautical and Space System Contractors," and NHB 9501.2, "Procedures for Contractor Reporting of Correlated Cost and Performance Data."

Appendix A to Appendix I of 1870.103—Format of Announcement of Opportunity (AO)

OMB Approval Number 2700–0042

National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, DC 20546

Announcement of Opportunity

AO No. —————————

(Issuance Date)

(DESCRIPTIVE HEADING)

I. Description of the Opportunity

This section should set forth the basic purpose of the Announcement and describe the opportunity in terms of NASA's desire to obtain proposals which will meet the stated scientific, applications and/or technological objectives. These objectives may be directed to the generation of proposals for investigations and/or they may pertain to the acquisition of dissimilar ideas leading to selection of investigators, guest observers, guest investigators, or theorists; and/or any other approved area as identified in NHB 8030.6. In those instances wherein proposals for investigations are sought, this section should describe the requirement, if any, for selected investigators to serve on advisory or working groups. In those instances where the project or program has not yet been approved, an appropriate qualifying statement should be included to clearly indicate that this Announcement of Opportunity does not constitute an obligation on the part of the Government to carry the proposed effort to completion.

II. Announcement Objectives

This section will give a succinct statement of the specific scientific, applications, and/or technological objective(s) for the opportunity(s) for which proposals are sought.

III. Background

This section should provide an explanation of the context of the opportunity, i.e., information which will help the reader to understand the relevance of the opportunity in relation to broader objectives. For example, if the opportunity is related to a specific mission, this section should so indicate and provide information regarding the mission objectives. If, on the other hand, the opportunity is not related to a specific mission (for example, where the opportunity is discipline-oriented), this section should reflect that fact and provide information which will give the reader insight into the circumstances which have given rise to the opportunity and its relevance to the general scheme of things. Information on prior studies and their availability should, if relevant to an understanding of the opportunity, be disclosed here.

IV. Proposal Opportunity Period

This section should inform the reader of the proposal opportunity period(s). The following methods may be utilized individually or in conjunction for establishing the proposal opportunity period(s):

1. The Announcement may be issued establishing a single date by which proposals may be received. However, the Announcement could provide that the agency may amend the AO to provide for subsequent dates for submission of proposals, if additional investigations are desired. In this case, the initiative to reopen is an agency management determination and if sufficient investigations were selected for the Announcement objectives or flight possibilities, subsequent opportunities for proposal submission by potential investigators would not be available.

2. The Announcement may be issued to provide for an initial submission date with the AO to remain open for submission of additional proposals up to a final cutoff date. This final date should be related to the availability of resources necessary to evaluate the continuous flow of proposals and the time remaining prior to the flight opportunities contemplated by the Announcement.

3. The Announcement may be issued establishing a number of dates by which proposals may be received. Normally no more than three proposal submission dates should be established. The submittal dates may be spread over the number of months most compatible with the possible flight opportunities and the availability of resources necessary to evaluate and fund the proposal. If desired, this section should further inform the reader that if a proposal receives a Category I, II, or III rating but is not selected for immediate support, the proposal may, if desired by the proposer, be held by NASA for later consideration within the ground rules set forth in paragraphs 1 and 2. The section should inform the reader that if the person wishes the proposal to be so treated, it should be indicated in the proposal. This section should further indicate that offerors whose proposals are to be considered at a later time will be given the opportunity to revalidate their proposals with their institution and update cost data.

V. Requirements and Constraints

1. This section will include technical, programmatic, cost, and schedule requirements or constraints, as applicable, and will specify performance limits such as lifetime, flight environment, safety, reliability, and quality assurance provisions for flight-worthiness. It will specify the requirements and constraints related to the flight crew and the ground support. It will also include requirements for data analysis, estimated schedule of data shipment to user or observer, need for preliminary or raw data analysis and interim reports. It will specify planned period (time) for data analysis to be used for budgeting. It will provide any

additional information necessary for a meaningful proposal.

2. When NASA determines that instrumentation, ground support equipment, or NASA supporting effort will be required or may be expected to be required by the contemplated investigations, the Announcement should indicate to the potential investigators that they must submit specific information regarding this requirement to allow an in-depth evaluation of the technical aspects, cost, management, and other factors by the Installation Project Office.

VI. Proposal Submission Information

1. Preproposal Activities—In this section, the Announcement will indicate requirements and activities such as the following:

a. Submittal of "Notice of Intent" to propose (if desired), date for submission, and any additional required data to be submitted. Indicate whether there are information packages which will only be sent to those who submit "Notice of Intent."

b. Attendance at the preproposal conference (if held). Information should be provided as to time, place, whether attendance will be restricted in number from each institution, and whether prior notice of intention to attend is required. If desired, a request may be included that questions be submitted in writing several days before the conference in order to prepare replies.

c. The name and address of the scientific or technical contact for questions or inquiries.

d. Any other preproposal data considered necessary.

2. Format of Proposals—This section should provide the investigator with the information necessary to enable an effective evaluation of the proposal. The information is as follows:

a. Proposal—The Announcement should indicate how the proposal should be submitted to facilitate evaluation. The proposal should be submitted in at least two sections; (1) Investigation and Technical Section; and (2) Management and Cost Section.

b. Certification—The proposal must be signed by an institutional official authorized to certify institutional support, sponsorship of the investigation, management, and financial aspects of the proposal.

c. Quantity—The number of copies of the proposal should be specified. One copy should be clear black and white, and on white paper of quality suitable for reproduction.

d. Submittal Address—proposals from domestic sources should be mailed to arrive not later than the time indicated for receipt of proposals to:

National Aeronautics and Space Administration Office of (Program), Code_____ AO No. _____, Washington, DC 20546.

e. Format—To aid in proposal evaluation, and to facilitate comparative analysis, a uniform proposal format will be required for each Announcement. The number of pages, page size, and restriction on photo reduction, etc., may be included therein. The format contained in Appendix C can be used as a guide. Proposers may be requested to respond to all of the items contained therein or the Announcement may indicate that only selected items need be addressed. Using the Appendix format as a guide, specific guidelines may be prepared for the Announcement or an appropriate form developed. The major consideration is that all proposals be received in a similar format.

3. Additional Information—This section may be used to request or furnish data considered necessary to obtain clear and concise proposals that should not require further discussions with the proposer by the evaluators. Any other pertinent data could also be included in this section, such as significant milestones.

4. Foreign Proposals—The detailed procedures for submission of proposals from outside the U.S. are contained in Appendix B, "General Instructions and Provisions." This section will describe any additional requirements, for example, if information copies of proposals are required to be furnished by the proposer to other organizations, such as European Space Agency (ESA), at the same time the proposal is sent to NASA, this should be indicated here.

5. Cost Proposals (U.S. Investigators Only)—This section defines any special requirements regarding cost proposals of domestic investigators. Reference then should be made to the cost proposal certifications indicated in Appendix B, "General Instructions and Provisions."

VII. Proposal Evaluation, Selection, and Implementation.

1. *Evaluation and Selection Procedure.* a. This section should notify the proposers of the evaluation process, i.e., advisory subcommittee, government evaluation and/or contractor assistance.

b. For example, a statement similar to the following should be included: "Proposals received in response to this Announcement of Opportunity will be reviewed by a subcommittee appointed by the (appropriate Program Associate Administrator). The purpose of the review is to determine the scientific/technical merit of the proposals in the context of this Announcement of Opportunity and so categorize the proposals. Those proposals which are considered to have the greatest scientific/technical merit are further reviewed for engineering, integration, management, and cost aspects by the Project Office at the installation responsible for the project. On the basis of these reviews, and the reviews of the responsible Program Office and the Steering Committee, the (appropriate Program Associate Administrator) will appoint/select the investigators/investigations."

2. *Evaluation Criteria.* a. This section should indicate that the selection of proposals which best meet the specific scientific, applications, and/or technological objectives, as stated in the Announcement, is the fundamental aim of the solicitation. This section should then list the criteria to be used in the evaluation of proposals and indicate their relative importance. See paragraph 401, NHB 8030.6, for a listing of criteria generally appropriate.

b. Following a listing of the criteria and an indication of their relative importance, this section will also inform the proposers that cost and management factors, e.g., proposed small business participation in instrumentation fabrication or investigation support, will be separately considered.

VIII. Schedule.

This section should include the following, as applicable:

1. Preproposal conference date.
2. Notice of Intent submittal date.
3. Proposal submittal date(s).
4. Target date for announcement of selections.

IX. Appendices.

1. General Instructions and Provisions (must be attached to each Announcement).
2. Other Pertinent Data, e.g., Spacelab Accommodations Data.

/s/ Associate Administrator for (Program)

Appendix B to Appendix I of 1870.103—General Instructions and Provisions

I. Instrumentation and/or Ground Equipment

By submitting a proposal, the investigator and institution agree that NASA has the option to accept all or part of the offeror's plan to provide the instrumentation or ground support equipment required for the investigation or NASA may furnish or obtain such instrumentation or equipment from any other source as determined by the selecting official. In addition, NASA reserves the right to require use, by the selected investigator, of Government instrumentation or property that subsequently becomes available, with or without modification, that will meet the investigative objectives.

II. Tentative Selections, Phased Development, Partial Selections, and Participation with Others

By submitting a proposal, the investigator and the organization agree that NASA has the option to make a tentative selection pending a successful feasibility or definition effort. NASA has the option to contract in phases for a proposed experiment, and to discontinue the investigative effort at the completion of any phase. The investigator should also understand that NASA may desire to select only a portion of the proposed investigation and/or that NASA may desire the individual's participation with other investigators in a joint investigation, in which case the investigator will be given the opportunity to accept or decline such partial acceptance or participation with other investigators prior to a NASA selection. Where participation with other investigators as a team is agreed to, one of the team members will normally be designated as its team leader or contact point.

III. Selection Without Discussion

The Government reserves the right to reject any or all proposals received in response to this Announcement when such action shall be considered in the best interest of the Government. Notice is also given of the possibility that any selection may be made without discussion (other than discussions conducted for the purpose of minor clarification). It is therefore emphasized that

all proposals should be submitted initially on the most favorable terms that the offeror can submit.

IV. Foreign Proposals

See Appendix C, section II, paragraph 3.

V. Treatment of Proposal Data

It is NASA policy to use information contained in proposals and quotations for evaluation purposes only. While this policy does not require that the proposal or quotation bear a restrictive notice, offerors or quoters should, in order to maximize protection of trade secrets or other information that is commercial or financial and confidential or privileged, place the following notice on the title page of the proposal or quotation and specify the information, subject to the notice by inserting appropriate identification, such as page numbers, in the notice. In any event, information (data) contained in proposals and quotations will be protected to the extent permitted by law, but NASA assumes no liability for use and disclosure of information not made subject to the notice.

Restriction on Use and Disclosure of Proposal and Quotation Information (Data)

The information (data) contained in [insert page numbers or other identification] of this proposal or quotation constitutes a trade secret and/or information that is commercial or financial and confidential or privileged. It is furnished to the Government in confidence with the understanding that it will not, without permission of the offeror, be used or disclosed for other than evaluation purposes; provided, however, that in the event a contract is awarded on the basis of this proposal or quotation the Government shall have the right to use and disclose this information (data) to the extent provided in the contract. This restriction does not limit the Government's right to use or disclose this information (data) if obtained from another source without restriction.

VI. Status of Cost Proposals (U.S. Proposals Only)

The investigator's institution agrees that the cost proposal submitted in response to the Announcement is for proposal evaluation and selection purposes, and that following selection and during negotiations leading to a definitive contract, the institution will be required to resubmit or execute a Standard Form (SF) Form 1411 "Contract Pricing Proposal Cover Sheet" and all certifications and representations required by law and regulation.

VII. Late Proposals

The Government reserves the right to consider proposals or modifications thereof received after the date indicated for such purpose, should such action be in the interest of the Government.

VIII. Source of Space Transportation System Investigations

Investigators are advised that candidate investigations for Space Transportation System (STS) missions can come from many sources. These sources include those selected through the Announcement of Opportunity, those generated by NASA in-house research and development, and those derived from contracts and other agreements between NASA and external entities.

IX. Disclosure of Proposals Outside Government

NASA may find it necessary to obtain proposal evaluation assistance outside the Government. Where NASA determines it is necessary to disclose a proposal outside the Government for evaluation purposes, arrangements will be made with the evaluator for appropriate handling of the proposal information. Therefore, by submitting a proposal the investigator and institution agree that NASA may have the proposal evaluated outside the Government. If the investigator or institution desire to preclude NASA from using an outside evaluation, the investigator or institution should so indicate on the cover. However, notice is given that if NASA is precluded from using outside evaluation, it may be unable to consider the proposal.

X. Equal Opportunity (U.S. Proposals Only)

By submitting a proposal, the investigator and institution agree to accept the following clause in any resulting contract:

Equal Opportunity

During the performance of this contract, the Contractor agrees as follows:

1. The Contractor will not discriminate against any employee or applicant for employment because of race, color, religion, sex, or national origin.

2. The Contractor will take affirmative action to ensure that applicants are employed, and that employees are treated during employment without regard to their race, color, religion, sex, or national origin. This shall include, but not be limited to, (a) employment, (b) upgrading, (c) demotion, (d) transfer, (e) recruitment or recruitment advertising, (f) layoff or termination, (g) rates of pay or other forms of compensation, and (h) selection for training, including apprenticeship.

3. The Contractor shall post in conspicuous places available to employees and applicants for employment the notices to be provided by the Contracting Officer that explain this clause.

4. The Contractor shall, in all solicitations or advertisements for employees placed by or on behalf of the Contractor, state that all qualified applicants will receive consideration for employment without regard to race, color, religion, sex, or national origin.

5. The Contractor shall send to each labor union or representative of workers with which it has a collective bargaining agreement or other contract or understanding the notice to be provided by the Contracting Officer, advising the labor union or workers' representative of the Contractor's commitments under this clause, and post copies of the notice in conspicuous places available to employees and applicants for employment.

6. The Contractor shall comply with Executive Order 11246, as amended, and the rules, regulations, and orders of the Secretary of Labor.

7. The Contractor shall furnish to the contracting agency all information required by Executive Order 11246, as amended, and by the rules, regulations, and orders of the Secretary of Labor. Standard Form 100 (EEO–1), or any successor form, is the prescribed form to be filed within 30 days following the award, unless filed within 12 months preceding the date of award.

8. The Contractor shall permit access to its books, records, and accounts by the contracting agency or the Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs (OFCCP) for the purposes of investigation to ascertain the Contractor's compliance with the applicable rules, regulations, and orders.

9. If the OFCCP determines that the Contractor is not in compliance with this clause or any rule, regulation, or order of the Secretary of Labor, the contract may be cancelled, terminated, or suspended in whole or in part, and the Contractor may be declared ineligible for further Government contracts, under the procedures authorized in Executive Order 11246, as amended. In addition, sanctions may be imposed and remedies invoked against the Contractor as provided in Executive Order 11246, as amended, the rules, regulations, and orders of the Secretary of Labor, or as otherwise provided by law.

10. The Contractor shall include the terms and conditions of subparagraph 1 through 9 of this clause in every subcontract or purchase order that is not exempted by the rules, regulations, or orders of the Secretary of Labor issued under Executive Order 11246, as amended, so that these terms and conditions will be binding upon each subcontractor or vendor.

11. The Contractor shall take such action with respect to any subcontract or purchase order as the contracting agency may direct as means of enforcing these terms and conditions, including sanctions for non-compliance; provided, that if the Contractor becomes involved in, or is threatened with, litigation with a subcontractor or vendor as a result of direction, the Contractor may request the United States to enter into the litigation to protect the interests of the United States.

XI. Patent Rights

1. For any contract resulting from this solicitation awarded to other than a small business firm or nonprofit organization, the clause at NFS 1852.227–70, "New Technology," shall apply. Such contractors may, in advance of contract, request waiver of rights as set forth in the provision at NFS 1852.227–71, "Requests for Waiver of Rights to Inventions."

2. For any contract resulting from this solicitation awarded to a small business firm or nonprofit organization, the clause at FAR 52.227–11, "Patent Rights—Retention by the Contractor (Short Form)" (as modified by NFS 1852.227–11), and the clause at NFS 1852.227–73, "Patent Rights Clause for Subcontracts," shall apply.

Appendix C to Appendix I of 1870.103—Guidelines for Proposal Preparation

The following guidelines apply to the preparation of proposals by potential

investigators in response to an Announcement of Opportunity. The material presented is merely a guide for the prospective proposer and not intended to be all encompassing or directly applicable to the various types of proposals which can be submitted. The proposer should, however, provide information relative to those items applicable or as otherwise required by the Announcement of Opportunity.

I. Cover Letter

A letter or cover page should be forwarded with the proposal. It should be signed by the investigator and an official by title of the investigator's organization who is authorized to commit the organization that is responsible for the proposal and its contents.

II. Table of Contents

The proposal should contain a table of contents.

III. Identifying Information

The proposal should contain a short descriptive title for the investigation, the names of all investigators, the name of the organization or institution and the full name, address, and telephone number of the Principal Investigator.

Section I—Investigation and Technical Plan

1. *Investigation and Technical Plan.* The investigation and technical plan generally will contain the following:

a. *Summary.* A simple, concise statement about the investigation, its conduct, and the anticipated results.

b. *Objective and Significant Aspects.* A brief definition of the objectives, their value, and their relationships to past, current, and future effort. The history and basis for the proposal and a demonstration of the need for such an investigation. A statement of present development in the discipline field.

c. *Investigation Approach.* (1) Fully describe the concept of the investigation.

(2) Detail the method and procedures for carrying out the investigation.

2. *Instrumentation.* This section should describe all information necessary to plan for experiment development, integration, ground operations, and flight operations. This section must be complete in itself without need to request additional data. Failure to furnish complete data may preclude evaluation of the proposal.

a. *Instrument Description.* This section should fully describe the instrumentation and indicate items which are proposed to be developed as well as any existing instrumentation. Performance characteristics should be related to the experiment objectives as stated in the proposal.

b. *Instrument Integration.* This section should describe all parameters of the instrument pertinent to the accommodation of the instrument in the spacecraft, Spacelab, Shuttle Orbiter, Space Station, etc. These include, but are not limited to volumetric envelope; weight; power requirements; thermal requirements; telemetry requirement; sensitivity to or generation of contamination (e.g., EMI gaseous effluents); data processing requirements.

c. *Ground Operations.* This section should identify requirements for pre-launch or post-launch ground operations support.

d. *Flight Operations.* This section should identify any requirements for flight operations support including mission planning. Operational constraints, viewing requirements, and pointing requirements should also be identified. Details of communications needs, tracking needs, and special techniques, such as extravehicular activity or restrictions in the use of control thrusters at stated times should be delineated. Special communications facilities that are needed must be described. Any special orbital requirements, such as time of month, of day, phase of moon, and lighting conditions are to be given in detail. Describe real-time ground support requirements and indicate any special equipment or skills required of ground personnel.

3. *Data reduction and analysis.* A discussion of the data reduction and analysis plan including the method and format. A section of the plan should include a schedule for the submission of reduced data to the receiving point. In the case of Space Science programs, the National Space Science Data Center, Greenbelt, MD, will be the repository for such data and the Department of Interior, Sioux Falls, SD, for earth observations data.

4. *Orbiter crew and/or payload specialist training requirement.* A description of the tasks required of each crew member (Commander, Pilot, Mission Specialist) or payload specialist should be provided, including the task duration and equipment involved. Indicate special training necessary to provide the crew members or payload specialist(s) with the capability for performing the aforementioned tasks.

Section II—Management Plan and Cost Plan

A. *Management plan.* The management plan should summarize the management approach and the facilities and equipment required. Additional guidelines applicable to non-U.S. proposers are contained herein:

1. *Management.* a. The management plan sets forth the investigator's approach for managing the work, the recognition of essential management functions, and the overall integration of these functions.

b. The management plan gives insight into the organization proposed for the work, including the internal operations and lines of authority with delegations, together with internal interfaces and relationships with the NASA major subcontractors and associated investigators. Likewise, the management plan usually reflects various schedules necessary for the logical and timely pursuit of the work accompanied by a description of the investigator's work plan and the responsibilities of the co-investigators.

c. The plan should describe the proposed method of instrument acquisition. Specifically, it should include the following, as applicable.

(1) Rationale for the investigator to obtain the instrument through or by the investigator's institution.

(2) Method and basis for the selection of the proposed instrument fabricator.

(3) Unique or proprietary capabilities of the instrument fabricator that are not available from any other source.

(4) Contributions or characteristics of the proposed fabricator's instrument that make it an inseparable part of the investigation.

(5) Availability of supporting personnel in the institution to successfully administer the instrument contract and technically monitor the fabrication.

(6) Status of development of the instrument. What additional development is needed. Areas that need further design or in which unknowns are present.

(7) Method by which the investigator proposes to:

(a) Prepare instrument specifications.

(b) Review development progress.

(c) Review design and fabrication changes.

(d) Participate in testing program.

(e) Participate in final checkout and calibration.

(f) Provide for integration of instrument.

(g) Support the flight operations.

(h) Coordinate with co-investigators, other related investigations, and the payload integrator.

(i) Assure safety, reliability, and quality.

(j) Provide required support for Payload Specialist(s), if applicable.

(8) Planned participation by small and/or minority business in any subcontracting for instrument fabrication or investigative support functions.

2. *Facilities and equipment.* All major facilities, laboratory equipment, and ground-support equipment (GSE) (including those of the investigator's proposed contractors and those of NASA and other U.S. Government agencies) essential to the experiment in terms of its system and subsystems are to be indicated, distinguishing insofar as possible between those already in existence and those that will be developed in order to execute the investigation. The outline of new facilities and equipment should also indicate the lead time involved and the planned schedule for construction, modification, and/or acquisition of the facilities.

3. *Additional guidelines applicable to non-U.S. proposers only.* The following guidelines are established for foreign responses to NASA's Announcement of Opportunity. Unless otherwise indicated in a specific announcement, these guidelines indicate the appropriate measures to be taken by foreign proposers, prospective foreign sponsoring agencies, and NASA leading to the selection of a proposal and execution of appropriate arrangements. They include the following:

a. Where a "Notice of Intent" to propose is requested, prospective foreign proposers should write directly to the NASA official designated in the Announcement of Opportunity and send a copy of this letter to the International Relations Division, Office of External Relations, Code XID, NASA, Washington, DC 20546, U.S.A.

b. Unless otherwise indicated in the Announcement of Opportunity, proposals will be submitted in accordance with this Appendix excluding cost plans. Proposals should be typewritten and written in English.

c. Persons planning to submit a proposal should arrange with an appropriate foreign governmental agency for a review and endorsement of the proposed activity. Such endorsement by a foreign organization indicates:

(1) The proposal merits careful consideration by NASA.

(2) If the proposal is selected, sufficient funds will be available to undertake the activity envisioned.

d. Proposals along with the requested number of copies and letters of endorsement from the foreign governmental agency must be forwarded to NASA in time to arrive before the deadline established for each Announcement of Opportunity. These documents should be sent to:

National Aeronautics and Space Administration, International Relations Division, Code XID, Office of External Relations, Washington, DC 20546, U.S.A.

e. All proposals must be received before the established closing date; those received after the closing date will be treated in accordance with NASA's provisions for late proposals. Sponsoring foreign government agencies may, in exceptional situations, forward a proposal directly to the above address if review and endorsement is not possible before the announced closing date. In such cases, NASA should be advised when a decision on endorsement can be expected.

f. Shortly after the deadline for each Announcement of Opportunity, NASA's International Relations Division will advise the appropriate sponsoring agency which proposals have been received and when the selection process should be completed. A copy of this acknowledgement will be provided to each proposer.

g. Successful and unsuccessful proposers will be contacted directly by the NASA Program Office coordinating the Announcement of Opportunity. Copies of these letters will be sent to the sponsoring Government agency.

h. NASA's International Relations Division will then begin making the necessary arrangements to provide for the selectee's participation in the appropriate NASA program. Depending on the nature and extent of the proposed cooperation, these arrangements may entail:

(1) A letter of notification by NASA.

(2) An exchange of letters between NASA and the sponsoring foreign governmental agency.

(3) An agreement or Memorandum of Understanding between NASA and the sponsoring foreign governmental agency.

B. *Cost Plan (U.S. Investigations Only).* The cost plan should summarize the total investigation cost by major categories of cost as well as by function.

1. The categories of cost should include the following:

a. *Direct Labor.* List by labor category, with labor hours and rates for each. Provide actual salaries of all personnel and the percentage of time each individual will devote to the effort.

b. *Overhead.* Include indirect costs, which because of its incurrence for common or joint objectives, is not readily subject to treatment as a direct cost. Usually this is in the form of a percentage of the direct labor costs.

c. *Materials.* This should give the total cost of the bill of materials including estimated cost of each major item. Include lead time of critical items.

d. *Subcontracts.* List those over $25,000, specify the vendor and the basis for estimated costs. Include any baseline or supporting studies.

e. *Special Equipment.* include a list of special equipment with lead and/or development time.

f. *Travel.* List estimated number of trips, destinations, duration, purpose, number of travelers, and anticipated dates.

g. *Other Costs.* Costs not covered elsewhere.

h. *General and Administrative Expense.* This includes the expenses of the institution's general and executive offices and other miscellaneous expenses related to the overall business.

i. *Fee (if applicable).*

2. Separate schedules, in the above format, should be attached to show total cost allocable to the following:

a. Principal Investigator and other Investigators' costs.

b. Instrument costs.

c. Integration costs.

d. Data reduction and analysis including the amount and cost of computer time.

3. If the effort is sufficiently known and defined, a funding obligation plan should provide the proposed funding requirements of the investigations by quarter and/or annum keyed to the work schedule.

Appendix D to Appendix I of 1870.103 Glossary of Terms and Abbreviations Associated With Investigations

Advisory Committee Subcommittee. Any committee, board, commission, council, conference, panel, task force; or other similar group, or any subcommittee or other subgroup thereof, that is not wholly composed of full-time Federal Government employees, and that is established or utilized by NASA in the interest of obtaining advice or recommendations.

Announcement of Opportunity (AO). A document used to announce opportunities to participate in NASA programs. Announcements are published in accordance with this Handbook.

AO Process. A term used to describe the program planning and procurement procedure used to acquire investigative effort, initiated by an AO.

Categorization. The process whereby proposed investigations are classified into four categories: synopsized here as Category I—recommended for immediate acceptance; Category II—recommended for acceptance but at a lower priority than Category I proposals; *Category III.* sound investigations requiring further development; *Category IV.* rejected.

Co-Investigator (Co-I). Associate of a Principal Investigator, responsible to the Principal Investigator for discrete portions or tasks of the investigation. A NASA employee can participate as a Co-I on an investigation proposed by a private organization.

Data Users. Participants in NASA programs, selected to perform investigations utilizing data from NASA payloads or facilities.

Experiments. Activities or effort aimed at the generation of data. NASA-sponsored experiments generally concern generation of data obtained through measurement of aeronautical and space phenomena or use of space to observe earth phenomena.

Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR). The regulations governing the conduct of procurement.

Flight. That portion of the mission encompassing the period from launch to landing or launch to termination of the active life of spacecraft. The term shuttle "flight" means a single shuttle round trip—its launch, orbital activity, and return; one flight might deliver more than one payload. More than one flight might be required to accomplish one mission.

Flight Investigation. Investigation conducted utilizing aeronautical or space instrumentation.

Flight Opportunity. A flight mission designed to accommodate one or more experiments or investigations.

Guest Investigators. Investigators selected to conduct observations and obtain data within the capability of a NASA mission, which are additional to the mission's primary objectives. Sometimes referred to as Guest Observers.

Investigation. Used interchangeably with "Experiments."

Investigation Team. A group of investigators collaborating on a single investigation.

Investigator. A participant in an investigation. May refer to the Principal Investigator, Co-Investigator, or member of an investigation team.

Mission. The performance of a coherent set of investigations or operations in space to achieve program goals. (Example: Measure detailed structure of Sun's chromosphere; survey mineral resources of North America.)

NASA FAR Supplement (NFS). Procurement regulations promulgated by NASA in addition to the FAR.

NHB. NASA Handbook.

NMI. NASA Management Instruction.

Notice of Intent. A notice or letter submitted by a potential investigator indicating the intent to submit a proposal in response to an AO.

Payload. A specific complement of instruments, space equipment, and support hardware carried to space to accomplish a mission or discrete activity in space.

Peer Group. A gathering of experts in related disciplinary areas convened as a subcommittee of the Program Office Steering Committee to review proposals for flight investigations.

Peer Review. The process of proposal review utilizing a group of peers in accordance with the categorization criteria as outlined in this Handbook.

Principal Investigator (PI). A person who conceives an investigation and is responsible for carrying it out and reporting its results. A NASA employee can participate as a PI only on a government-proposed investigation.

Program. An activity involving human resources, materials, funding, and scheduling necessary to achieve desired goals.

Project. Within a program, an undertaking with a scheduled beginning and ending, which normally involves the design, construction, and operation of one or more aeronautical or space vehicles and necessary ground support in order to accomplish a scientific or technical objective.

Project Office. An office generally established at a NASA field installation to manage a project.

Selection Official. The NASA official designated to determine the source for award of a contract or grant.

Space Facility. An instrument or series of instruments in space provided by NASA to satisfy a general objective or need.

Steering Committee. A standing NASA sponsored committee providing advice to the Program Associate Administrators and providing procedural review over the investigation selection process. Composed wholly of full-time Federal Government employees.

Study Office. An office established at a NASA field installation to manage a potential undertaking which has not yet developed into project status.

Subcommittee. An arm of the Program Office Steering Committee consisting of experts in relevant disciplines to review and categorize proposals for investigations submitted in response to an AO.

Supporting Research and Technology (SR&T). The programs devoted to the conduct of research and development necessary to support and sustain NASA programs.

Team. A group of investigators responsible for carrying out and reporting the results of an investigation or group of investigations.

Team Leader. The person appointed to manage and be the point of contact for the team and who is responsible for assigning respective roles and privileges to the team members and reporting the results of the investigation.

Team Member. A person appointed to a team who is an associate of the other members of the team and is responsible to the team leader for assigned tasks or portions of the investigation.

Subpart 1870.2—NASA Research Announcement System

1870.201 Purpose.

It is NASA policy to encourage submission of research proposals relevant to agency requirements. The NASA Research Announcement (NRA) System is one means of implementing the policy by permitting the solicitation and competitive selection of research projects in accordance with statute while at the same time preserving the traditional concepts and understandings associated with NASA sponsorship of research.

1870.202 System content.

(a) The regulations governing the NRA System (see 1835.016–70) set forth the requirements for preparing, issuing, and processing NRAs.

(b) The system contains specific instructions for proposers. These instructions shall be included in the NRA, a form of broad agency announcement authorized at 1835.016.

1870.203 Instructions for responding to NRAs.

(a) The "Instructions for Responding to NASA Research Announcements for Solicited Research Proposals" document (prescribed in 1835.016–70(c)(4)) is set forth as Appendix I to this section 1870.203.

(b) This Appendix may be reproduced locally as part of the NRA provided the following conditions are met:

(1) For each NRA the issuing office shall verify that the most recent version of Appendix I, as contained in the NFS, is used.

(2) The current text shall be reproduced verbatim; however, the issuing office may remove the NFS page headers and add the NRA number in order to identify the NRA to which the Appendix is attached. Any other change shall be treated as a deviation in accordance with 1801.400.

Appendix I to 1870.203—Instructions for Responding to NASA Research Announcements for Solicited Research Proposals

Instructions for Responding to NASA Research Announcements for Solicited Research Proposals (August 1988)

1. Foreword

a. NASA depends upon industry, educational institutions and other nonprofit organizations for most of its research efforts. While a number of mechanisms have been developed over the years to inform the research community of those areas in which NASA has special research interests, these instructions apply only to "NASA Research Announcements," a form of "broad agency announcement" described in 6.102(d)(2) and 35.016 of the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR). The "NASA Research Announcement (NRA)" permits competitive selection of research projects in accordance with statute while at the same time preserving the traditional concepts and understandings associated with NASA sponsorship of research.

b. These instructions are Appendix I to 1870.203 of the NASA Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement.

2. Policy

a. NASA fosters and encourages the submission of research proposals relevant to agency mission requirements by solicitations, "NASA Research Announcements," which describe research areas of interest to NASA. Proposals received in response to an NRA will be used only for evaluation purposes.

b. NASA does not allow a proposal, the contents of which are not available without restriction from another source, or any unique ideas submitted in response to an NRA to be used as the basis of a solicitation or in negotiation with other organizations, nor is a pre-award synopsis published for individual proposals.

c. A solicited proposal that results in a NASA award becomes part of the record of that transaction and may be available to the public on specific request; however, information or material that NASA and the awardee mutually agree to be of a privileged nature will be held in confidence to the extent permitted by law, including the Freedom of Information Act.

3. Purpose

These instructions are intended to supplement documents identified as "NASA Research Announcements." The NRAs contain programmatic information and certain "NRA-specific" requirements which apply only to proposals prepared in response to that particular announcement. These instructions contain the general proposal preparation information which applies to responses to all NRAs.

4. Relationship to Award

a. A contract, grant, cooperative agreement, or other agreement may be used to accomplish an effort funded on the basis of a proposal submitted in response to an NRA. NASA does not have separate "grant proposal" and "contract proposal" categories, so all proposals may be prepared in a similar fashion. NASA will determine the appropriate instrument.

b. Grants are generally used to fund basic research in educational and nonprofit institutions, while research in other private sector organizations is accomplished under contract. Additional information peculiar to the contractual process (certifications, cost and pricing data, facilities information, etc.) will be requested, as necessary, as the procurement progresses. Contracts resulting from NRAs are subject to the Federal Acquisition Regulation and the NASA FAR Supplement (NHB 5100.4). Any resultant grants or cooperative agreements will be awarded and administered in accordance with the NASA Grant and Cooperative Agreement Handbook (NHB 5800.1).

5. Conformance to Guidance

a. NASA does not have any mandatory forms or formats for preparation of responses to NRAs; however, it is requested that proposals conform to the procedural and submission guidelines covered in these instructions. In particular, NASA may accept proposals without discussion; hence, proposals should initially be as complete as possible and be submitted on the proposers' most favorable terms.

b. In order to be considered responsive to the solicitation, a submission must, at a minimum, present a specific project within the areas delineated by the NRA; contain sufficient technical and cost information to permit a meaningful evaluation; be signed by an official authorized to legally bind the submitting organization; not merely offer to perform standard services or to just provide computer facilities or services; and not significantly duplicate a more specific current or pending NASA solicitation. NASA reserves the right to reject any or all proposals received in response to an NRA when such action is considered in the best interest of the Government.

6. NRA-Specific Items

a. Several proposal submission items will appear in the NRA itself. These include: the unique NRA identifier; when to submit proposals; where to send proposals; number of copies required; and sources for more information.

b. Items included in these instructions may be supplemented by the NRA, as circumstances warrant. Examples are: technical points for special emphasis; additional evaluation factors; and proposal length.

7. Proposal Contents

a. The following general information is needed in all proposals in order to permit consideration in an objective manner. NRAs will generally specify topics for which additional information or greater detail is desirable. Each proposal copy shall contain all submitted material, including a copy of the transmittal letter if it contains substantive information.

b. Transmittal Letter or Prefatory Material

(1) The legal name and address of the organization and specific division or campus identification if part of a larger organization;

(2) A brief, scientifically valid project title intelligible to a scientifically literate reader and suitable for use in the public press;

(3) Type of organization: e.g., profit, nonprofit, educational, small business, minority, women-owned, etc.;

(4) Name and telephone number of the principal investigator and business personnel who may be contacted during evaluation or negotiation;

(5) Identification of any other organizations that are currently evaluating a proposal for the same efforts;

(6) Identification of the specific NRA, by number and title, to which the proposal is responding;

(7) Dollar amount requested of NASA, desired starting date, and duration of project;

(8) Date of submission; and

(9) Signature of a responsible official or authorized representative of the organization, or any other person authorized to legally bind the organization (unless the signature appears on the proposal itself).

c. Restriction on Use and Disclosure of Proposal Information

It is NASA policy to use information contained in proposals for evaluation purposes only. While this policy does not require that the proposal bear a restrictive notice, offerors or quoters should, in order to maximize protection of trade secrets or other information that is commercial or financial and confidential or privileged, place the following notice on the title page of the proposal and specify the information subject to the notice by inserting appropriate identification, such as page numbers, in the notice. In any event, information (data) contained in proposals will be protected to the extent permitted by law, but NASA assumes no liability for use and disclosure of information not made subject to the notice.

Notice

*Restriction on Use and Disclosure of Proposal Information.*The information (data) contained in [*insert page numbers or other identification*] of this proposal constitutes a trade secret and/or information that is commercial or financial and confidential or privileged. It is furnished to the Government in confidence with the understanding that it will not, without permission of the offeror, be used or disclosed other than for evaluation purposes; provided, however, that in the event a contract (or other agreement) is awarded on the basis of this proposal the Government shall have the right to use and disclose this information (data) to the extent provided in the contract (or other agreement). This restriction does not limit the Government's right to use or disclose this information (data) if obtained from another source without restriction.

d. Abstract

Include a concise (200–300 word if not otherwise specified in the NRA) abstract describing the objective of the proposed effort and the method of approach.

e. Project Description

(1) The main body of the proposal shall be a detailed statement of the work to be undertaken and should include objectives and expected significance; relation to the present state of knowledge in the field; and relation to previous work done on the project and to related work in progress elsewhere.

The statement should outline the general plan of work, including the broad design of experiments to be undertaken and an adequate description of experimental methods and procedures. The project description should be prepared in a manner that addresses the evaluation factors in these instructions and any additional specific factors in the NRA. Any substantial collaboration with individuals not referred to in the budget or use of consultants should be described. Note, however, that subcontracting significant portions of a research project is discouraged.

(2) When it is expected that the effort will require more than one year for completion, the proposal should cover the complete project to the extent that it can be reasonably anticipated. Principal emphasis should, of course, be on the first year of work, and the description should distinguish clearly between the first year's work and work planned for subsequent years.

f. Management Approach

For large or complex efforts involving interactions among numerous individuals or other organizations, plans for distribution of responsibilities and any necessary arrangements for ensuring a coordinated effort should be described. Aspects of any required intensive working relations with NASA field centers that are not logical inclusions elsewhere in the proposal should be described in this section.

g. Personnel

The principal investigator is responsible for direct supervision of the work and participates in the conduct of the research regardless of whether or not compensation is received under the award. A short biographical sketch of the principal investigator, a list of principal publications and any exceptional qualifications should be included. Omit social security number and other personal items which do not merit consideration in evaluation of the proposal. Give similar biographical information on other senior professional personnel who will be directly associated with the project. Give the names and titles of any other scientists and technical personnel associated substantially with the project in an advisory capacity. Universities should list the approximate number of students or other assistants, together with information as to their level of academic attainment. Any special industry-university cooperative arrangements should be described.

h. Facilities and Equipment

(1) Describe available facilities and major items of equipment especially adapted or suited to the proposed project, and any additional major equipment that will be required. Identify any Government-owned facilities, industrial plant equipment, or special tooling that are proposed for use on the project.

(2) Before requesting a major item of capital equipment, the proposer should determine if sharing or loan of equipment already within the organization is a feasible alternative to purchase. Where such arrangements cannot be made, the proposal should so state. The need for items that typically can be used for both research and non-research purposes should be explained.

i. Proposed Costs

(1) Proposals should contain cost and technical parts in one volume: do not use separate "confidential" salary pages. As applicable, include separate cost estimates for salaries and wages; fringe benefits; equipment; expendable materials and supplies; services; domestic and foreign travel; ADP expenses; publication or page charges; consultants; subcontracts; other miscellaneous identifiable direct costs; and indirect costs. List salaries and wages in appropriate organizational categories (e.g., principal investigator, other scientific and engineering professionals, graduate students, research assistants, and technicians and other non-professional personnel). Estimate all manpower data in terms of man-months or fractions of full-time.

(2) Explanatory notes should accompany the cost proposal to provide identification and estimated cost of major capital equipment items to be acquired; purpose and estimated number and lengths of trips planned; basis for indirect cost computation (including date of most recent negotiation and cognizant agency); and clarification of other items in the cost proposal that are not self-evident. List estimated expenses as yearly requirements by major work phases. (Standard Form 1411 may be used).

(3) Allowable costs are governed by FAR Part 31 and the NASA FAR Supplement Part 1831 (and OMB Circulars A–21 for educational institutions and A–122 for nonprofit organizations).

j. Security

Proposals should not contain security classified material. However, if the proposed research requires access to or may generate security classified information, the submitter will be required to comply with applicable Government security regulations.

k. Current Support

For other current projects being conducted by the principal investigator, provide title of project, sponsoring agency, and ending date.

l. Special Matters

(1) Include any required statements of environmental impact of the research, human subject or animal care provisions, conflict of interest, or on such other topics as may be required by the nature of the effort and current statutes, executive orders, or other current Government-wide guidelines.

(2) Proposers should include a brief description of the organization, its facilities, and previous work experience in the field of the proposal. Identify the cognizant Government audit agency, inspection agency, and administrative contracting officer, when applicable.

8. Renewal Proposals

a. Renewal proposals for existing awards will be considered in the same manner as proposals for new endeavors. It is not necessary that a renewal proposal repeat all of the information that was in the original proposal upon which the current support was based. The renewal proposal should refer to its predecessor, update the parts that are no longer current, and indicate what elements of the research are expected to be covered during the period for which extended support is desired. A description of any significant findings since the most recent progress report should be included. The renewal proposal should treat, in reasonable detail, the plans for the next period, contain a cost estimate, and otherwise adhere to these instructions.

b. NASA reserves the right to renew an effort either through amendment of an existing contract or by a new award.

9. Length

Unless otherwise specified in the NRA, every effort should be made to keep proposals as brief as possible, concentrating on substantive material essential for a complete understanding of the project. Experience shows that few proposals need exceed 15–20 pages. Any necessary detailed information, such as reprints, should be included as attachments rather than in the main body of the proposal. A complete set of attachments is necessary for each copy of the proposal. As proposals are not returned, avoid use of "one-of-a-kind" attachments: their availability may be mentioned in the proposal.

10. Joint Proposals

a. Some projects involve joint efforts among individuals in different organizations or mutual efforts of more than one organization. Where multiple organizations are involved, the proposal may be submitted by only one of them. In this event, it should clearly describe the role to be played by the other organizations and indicate the legal and managerial arrangements contemplated. In other instances, simultaneous submission of related proposals from each organization might be appropriate, in which case parallel awards would be made.

b. Where a project of a cooperative nature with NASA is contemplated, the proposal should describe the contributions expected from any participating NASA investigator and agency facilities or equipment which may be required. However, the proposal must be confined only to that which the proposing organization can commit itself. "Joint" proposals which purport to specify the internal arrangements NASA will actually make are not acceptable as a means of establishing an agency commitment.

11. Late Proposals

A proposal or modification thereto received after the date or dates specified in an NRA may still be considered if the selecting official deems it to offer NASA a significant technical advantage or cost reduction.

12. Withdrawal

Proposals may be withdrawn by the proposer at any time. Offerors are requested to notify NASA if the proposal is funded by another organization or of other changed circumstances which dictate termination of evaluation.

13. Evaluation Factors

a. Unless otherwise specified in the NRA, the principal elements (of approximately equal weight) considered in evaluating a proposal are its relevance to NASA's objectives, intrinsic merit, and cost.

b. Evaluation of a proposal's relevance to NASA's objectives includes the consideration of the potential contribution of the effort to NASA's mission.

c. Evaluation of its intrinsic merit includes the consideration of the following factors, none of which is more important than any other:

(1) Overall scientific or technical merit of the proposal or unique and innovative methods, approaches, or concepts demonstrated by the proposal.

(2) The offeror's capabilities, related experience, facilities, techniques, or unique combinations of these which are integral factors for achieving the proposal objectives.

(3) The qualifications, capabilities, and experience of the proposed principal investigator, team leader, or key personnel who are critical in achieving the proposal objectives.

(4) Overall standing among similar proposals available for evaluation and/or evaluation against the known state-of-the-art.

d. Evaluation of the cost of a proposed effort includes the consideration of the realism and reasonableness of the proposed cost and the relationship of the proposed cost to available funds.

14. Evaluation Techniques

Selection decisions will be made following peer and/or scientific review of the proposals. Several evaluation techniques are regularly used within NASA. In all cases, however, proposals are subject to scientific review by discipline specialists in the area of the proposal. Some proposals are reviewed entirely in-house where NASA has particular competence; others are evaluated by a combination of in-house people and selected external reviewers, while yet others are subject to the full external peer review technique (with due regard for conflict-of-interest and protection of proposal information), such as by mail or through assembled panels. Regardless of the technique, the final decisions are always made by a designated NASA selecting official. A proposal which is scientifically and programmatically meritorious, but which is not selected for award during its initial review under the NRA may be included in subsequent reviews unless the proposer requests otherwise.

15. Selection for Award

a. When a proposal is not selected for award, and the proposer has indicated that the proposal is not to be held over for subsequent reviews, the proposer will be notified that the proposal was not selected for award. NASA will notify the proposer and explain generally why the proposal was not selected. Proposers desiring additional information may contact the selecting official who will arrange a debriefing.

b. When a proposal is selected for award, negotiation and award will be handled by the procurement office in the funding installation. The proposal is used as the basis for negotiation with the submitter. Formal RFPs are not used to obtain additional information on a proposal selected under the NRA process. However, the contracting officer may request certain business data and may forward a model contract and other information which will be of use during the contract negotiation.

16. Cancellation of NRA

NASA reserves the right to make no awards under this NRA and, in the absence of program funding or for any other reason, to cancel this NRA by having a notice published in the "Commerce Business Daily." NASA assumes no liability for cancelling the NRA or for anyone's failure to receive actual notice of cancellation. Cancellation may be followed by issuance and synopsis of a revised NRA, since amendment of an NRA is normally not permitted.

Subpart 1870.3—NASA Source Evaluation

1870.301 Purpose.

The acquisition of goods and services is among the most important activities that NASA performs and demands the agency's best management efforts. Therefore, the source evaluation and selection procedures must emphasize the application of sound judgment to the problems of source evaluation and assure that Source Evaluation Boards (SEB) conduct their activities impartially and efficiently in ways which will effectively accomplish the source evaluation task.

1870.302 Regulations.

The basic regulations governing source selection using the SEB process appear at 1815.613–71. Detailed operating instructions and procedures for the evaluation and negotiation of procurements by Source Evaluation Boards appear at 1870.303. These instructions provide general and specific

policies and procedures for SEB's evaluating offerors' proposals and related capabilities. They are designed for use by procurement personnel and by the wide range of individuals who participate in the SEB process. The instructions will also be of help to the public in understanding NASA's source selection policies and procedures.

1870.303 Source Evaluation Board Procedures.

(a) SEB procedures are prescribed by Appendix I to this section 1870.303.

(b) NASA may reprint Appendix I as a separate document, which may be referred to as the NASA Source Evaluation Board Handbook, for sale and/or distribution provided the following conditions are met:

(1) The issuance date ("cover date") of the Handbook shall be the date of the NASA FAR Supplement version from which the text is extracted.

(2) With the exception of availability, distribution and other special prefatory notices, any subsequent modification in the text shall be preceded by a change to the NASA FAR Supplement 1870.303, Appendix I.

(3) The following notice shall be included in the prefatory material of the Handbook:

Important Notice

This Handbook is a separately bound, verbatim version of NASA FAR Supplement (NFS) (48 CFR 1870.303) Section 1870.303, Appendix I. Reference to other parts of the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) and the NFS will be required for complete coverage of all procurement aspects. NASA reserves the right to make changes to NFS 1870.303, Appendix I, without issuing a new edition of this Handbook. Any such changes will be published in the **Federal Register**; however, it is anticipated that such changes will be rare, unless mandated by statute or unusual circumstances. In the event of apparent conflict between this Handbook and the NFS, the NFS shall govern.

Appendix I to 1870.303—NASA Source Evaluation Board Procedures (Handbook)

NASA Source Evaluation Board Handbook

Preface

The acquisition of goods and services is among the most important activities that NASA performs and demands our best management efforts. The acquisition system we use must be such that individuals performing within it are challenged to high standards of performance because they know that their efforts contribute to and form part of the Government's decision-making process.

The source evaluation and selection process covered by this handbook exemplifies our efforts to emphasize the application of sound judgment to the problems of source evaluation. In addition, the handbook emphasizes the responsibility which line and staff management retains to assure that Source Evaluation Boards (SEB's) conduct their activities impartially and efficiently in ways which will effectively accomplish the source evaluation task.

The process provides for an equitable and comprehensive evaluation of offerors' proposals to assist the Source Selection Official (SSO) in selecting the source(s) whose proposal(s) presents the highest probability of quality performance to best meet NASA's requirements at a realistic cost/price. This handbook provides guidance and general and specific policies and procedures for SEB's evaluating offerors' proposals and related capabilities in negotiated procurements. Its intention is to encourage the exercise of sound judgment in the many important aspects of the process. SEB's are expected to apply common sense in determining appropriate variations and adaptations necessary in individual situations, provided that these do not constitute a departure from basic concepts and intent. Substantive deviations may be authorized only by the Assistant Administrator for Procurement.

While this handbook is intended primarily for NASA use, it is also available to the public so that NASA's source selection policies and procedures can be understood by all participants in the process.

The provisions of this handbook are applicable to all elements of NASA and are to be implemented on any Request for Proposal (RFP) subject to evaluation by an SEB and issued on or after October 1, 1988. The source selection policies and procedures set forth in this handbook are to be implemented in accordance with the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) Subpart 15.6 and NASA/FAR Supplement (NFS) 1815.613. However, the procedures in this handbook may be used in any other competitively negotiated procurement where the SSO determines it desirable to do so.

Chapter 1—Key Participants in the Source Evaluation Board Process

100 Introduction
101 Cognizant Management Responsibilities
102 Source Selection Official
103 Source Evaluation Board

Chapter 2—Membership, Organization, and Responsibilities

200 General
201 Membership
202 Organization
203 Responsibilities

Chapter 3—Evaluation Factors, Subfactors, and Elements

300 General
301 Mission Suitability
302 Cost
303 Relevant Experience and Past Performance
304 Other Considerations
305 Continuing Evaluative Responsibility of SEB

Chapter 4—SEB Operating Procedures for Solicitation and Evaluation

400 General
401 Initial SEB Activities
402 Evaluation Plan
403 Qualification Standards
404 Request for Proposals (RFP's)—Review and Approval
405 Preproposal Conference
406 Initial Evaluation
407 Final Evaluation

Chapter 5—Source Evaluation Board Report and Presentation

500 General
501 Responsibilities and Procedures

Chapter 6—Source Selection

600 General
601 Source Selection Decision
602 Notice and Debriefing for Unsuccessful Offerors
603 Source Selection Statement
604 Multiple Selection Decisions

Appendices

Appendix A to Appendix I—Sample Letter of Designation

Appendix B to Appendix I—Individual Certificate for Source Evaluation Board Participants

Appendix C to Appendix I—Guidelines for the SEB Report and Presentation to the Source Selection Official

Chapter 1—Key Participants in the Source Evaluation Board Process

100 Introduction

This Chapter describes the role of key participants in the Source Evaluation Board (SEB) process, including cognizant line and staff management, the Source Evaluation Board and the Source Selection Official.

101 Cognizant Management Responsibilities

1. The SEB process described in this handbook shall be used for major procurements as defined by NFS 1815.613–71(a). The process is used to accomplish and document the source evaluation and selection function.

2. Upon request of the cognizant Installation Procurement Officer, as a part of the Master Buy Plan procedure, the Assistant Administrator for Procurement or an appropriate designee may consider streamlining these source selection procedures for a particular procurement. This decision, granting a deviation to the formal SEB process, will be communicated to the cognizant Procurement Officer as a part of the written response to the installation regarding the Master Buy Plan submission. Requests for use of streamlined procedures may also be submitted independent of the Master Buy Plan procedure.

3. When the SEB process is determined to be applicable in accordance with the NFS, the specific SEB procedures detailed in this handbook are employed to—

a. Prepare a solicitation that accurately conveys to offerors the technical, schedule, cost, and other contractual requirements of the procurement;

b. Ensure equitable and comprehensive evaluation of the competitors' proposals;

c. Ensure fairness and freedom from outside influence throughout the process;

d. Ensure selection of the source(s) whose performance can be expected to be most advantageous to the Government with respect to the Mission Suitability, Cost, Relevant Experience and Past Performance, and Other Considerations factors considered; and

e. Protect the business confidential and proprietary information contained in proposals submitted to the Government for evaluation.

4. Appropriate cognizant line and staff management shall—

a. Establish each SEB with fully qualified Government personnel possessing broad experience and the professional skills and knowledge required for proper evaluation and assessment of offerors' proposals;

b. Ensure all personnel assigned to the SEB are unencumbered by other assignments which compete with SEB activities and, further, ensure that personnel assigned to the SEB are officially appointed to the activity for the duration of the SEB;

c. Ensure the SEB is provided all current NASA policies and procedures relevant to SEB operation;

d. Ensure acquisition strategy and planning objectives are achieved as reflected in the acquisition's requirements;

e. Ensure the SEB works in harmony with the needs and objectives of the requiring activity;

f. Concur in the substance and weight of evaluation factors, subfactors, and elements;

g. Ensure the RFP is complete, clear, and consistent with agency objectives and with the needs of the activity requiring the procurement, that the procedures for evaluation and selection are clearly set forth, and that the offeror is not burdened with unnecessary requests for data not pertinent to source selection;

h. Ensure appropriate actions are taken, consistent with the FAR and NFS, to obtain full and open competition in the selection process, or to obtain appropriate approvals for exceptions;

i. Establish an SEB advisory group or individual at the field installation to ensure proper source selection procedures are employed; and

j. Ensure an environment exists in which evaluation and selection activities can be effectively conducted.

5. For purposes of this handbook, in cases where the SSO is at the Headquarters level, "cognizant line and staff management," as addressed in subparagraph 4, includes—

a. The NASA Acquisition Executive for systems designated as major acquisitions under NMI 7100.14, "Major System Acquisitions";

b. Officials-in-Charge of the cognizant Headquarters Program and Headquarters Offices or their Deputies. (See NMI 1101.2, "NASA Organization and Definition of Terms.");

c. The cognizant Field Installation Director and Deputy/Associate Director;

d. The Field Installation Project Manager;

e. The Assistant Administrator for Procurement;

f. The General Counsel and/or Associate General Counsel (Contracts);

g. The Associate Administrator for Safety, Reliability, Maintainability, and Quality Assurance (SRM&QA);

h. The Field Installation Principal Official-in-Charge of Administration, when such a position exists;

i. The Field Installation Chief Counsel; and

j. The Field Installation Procurement Officer.

6. When the Administrator or an Official-in-Charge of a Headquarters Office is the SSO, selected cognizant line and staff management, including the General Counsel or designee and the Assistant Administrator for Procurement, will advise the SSO in executive session, at the conclusion of the presentation of the SEB report, regarding their views concerning the SEB's findings. Management personnel are encouraged to seek the advice of the General Counsel, the Assistant Administrator for Procurement, and any other responsible Headquarters official regarding any SEB-level procurement problem where their participation would be helpful in the conduct of the SEB process.

102 Source Selection Official

1. The SSO is the senior agency official responsible on a particular procurement for proper and efficient conduct of the source selection process and for making the final source selection decision. It is the SSO's responsibility to decide which of the proposals submitted in response to the solicitation would prove most advantageous to the Government, all RFP evaluation factors considered. The decision must reflect the SSO's determination of relative quality and suitability of what is proposed by each offeror in light of the Government's stated requirements and the confidence level associated with the offeror's ability to accomplish what is proposed. This includes an assessment of the probable cost of each proposal in the competitive range. Trade-off judgments may be required among the evaluation factors (Mission Suitability, Cost, Relevant Experience and Past Performance, and Other Considerations). For procurements designated as Headquarters selections, the SSO will be identified as a part of the Master Buy Plan process. For field installation selections, the Field Installation Director will serve as the SSO.

2. The SSO will—

a. Approve the substance and weight of evaluation factors, subfactors, and elements prior to release of the RFP, or delegate this responsibility to appropriate management personnel;

b. Appoint the SEB Chairperson and members, both voting and nonvoting, except when the Administrator will serve as the SSO, in which case the Official-in-Charge of the cognizant Headquarters Program Office will appoint the SEB Chairperson and members;

c. Provide the SEB with appropriate guidance and special instructions to conduct the evaluation and selection procedures; and

d. Make the final selection decision. Selection for final negotiations will be based on an integrated assessment of each offeror's proposal, taking into consideration the SEB's report and advice from senior officials.

103 Source Evaluation Board

1. The solicitation, receipt, and evaluation of proposals will be implemented by the SEB in accordance with the procedures outlined in this handbook. It is paramount that the SEB's evaluation not be influenced by persons outside the SEB process, whether or not such persons are NASA employees. The SEB is established with special status and shall be staffed with competent people fully qualified to identify the strengths, weaknesses, and risks associated with proposals submitted in response to the Government solicitation. The SEB assists the SSO in decision making by providing expert analyses of the offerors' proposals in relation to the evaluation factors, subfactors, and elements contained in the solicitation.

2. In particular, the SEB shall—

a. Conduct an in-depth review and evaluation of each proposal against the solicitation requirements and the approved evaluation factors, subfactors, and elements;

b. Provide an integrated assessment of each offeror's probable performance relative to the evaluation factors, subfactors, and elements contained in the solicitation related to Mission Suitability;

c. Perform cost analysis by reviewing and analyzing each offeror's proposed costs by individual cost element to determine the validity and reasonableness of the proposed costs. Perform price analysis by comparing each offeror's proposed price (when it is feasible to do so) against the independent Government cost estimate and/or prior experience and knowledge regarding similar efforts to assist in determination of a fair and reasonable price. Develop a probable cost for each proposal within the competitive range;

d. Evaluate the relevant experience and past performance of each offeror, including the relevant experience and past performance of proposed subcontractors intended to perform a major role in accomplishment of procurement objectives or to participate in a substantive manner;

e. Evaluate proposals under the Other Considerations factor included in the RFP and present the analysis of that factor as reflected in each offeror's proposal;

f. Ensure proper SEB procedures are implemented, including those necessary to prevent disclosure of source selection data;

g. Prepare and present the SEB report which accurately and clearly reflects the findings of the SEB.

Note.—The SEB is not to make recommendations for selection to the SSO. The SEB reports its findings, avoiding trade-off judgments among either the individual offerors or among the Mission Suitability, Cost, Relevant Experience and Past Performance, and Other Considerations evaluation factors.

Chapter 2—Membership, Organization, and Responsibilities

200 General

This Chapter sets forth NASA policy on membership, organization, and responsibilities of SEB's.

201 Membership

1. Composition

a. SEB's shall be comprised of qualified management, technical, scientific, contracting, and business experts including, where appropriate, SRM&QA representatives. Each SEB shall have a legal advisor.

b. While in general the SEB Chairperson, SEB members, and committee members are drawn from the cognizant installation, personnel from other NASA installations or other Government agencies may be used when their services are required in a particular area of expertise and would significantly contribute to the evaluation of proposals.

c. It is NASA policy to have proposals evaluated by the most competent technical and management sources available in NASA and the Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL). All personnel participating in evaluation proceedings shall be instructed to observe the restrictions in the FAR and NFS regarding personal conflicts of interest and the disclosure of information concerning the evaluation. Non-NASA personnel shall not serve as voting members of a NASA SEB.

d. In evaluating a proposal, the SEB may find that it is necessary to disclose the proposal (in whole or in part) outside the Government to meet its evaluation needs. When it is clearly necessary to disclose the proposal (in whole or in part) outside the Government, arrangements shall be made in accordance with NFS 1815.413–2.

e. It is desirable that voting members of the SEB include people who will have key assignments on the project to which the procurement is directed. However, it is important that this should be tempered to ensure objectivity and to avoid an improper balance. It may even be appropriate to designate a management official from outside the project as SEB Chairperson.

f. SEB membership normally need not exceed seven voting members, including the Chairperson. If additional support is needed, the use of committees and panels is authorized. The number of such supporting personnel shall be kept as small as the nature of the subject matter permits. Wherever feasible, an assignment to SEB membership as a voting member shall be on a full-time basis. Where this is not feasible, SEB membership and duties are to take precedence over other duties.

g. The number of nonvoting ex officio (advisory) members shall be kept as small as the nature of the procurement allows. Ex officio members should be selected for the experience and expertise they can provide to the SEB. Since their advisory role may require access to highly sensitive SEB material and findings, ex officio membership for persons other than those identified in paragraph 3b should be carefully considered.

2. Designation

a. Designation of the SEB Chairperson and members, both voting and nonvoting, shall be by the SSO except when the Administrator will serve as the SSO, in which case the designation shall be by the Official-in-Charge of the cognizant Headquarters Program Office. The designation letter will be addressed to the cognizant Field Installation Director when the SSO is at the Headquarters level or to the SEB Chairperson when the SSO is the Field Installation Director. The letter shall be prepared in accordance with the sample letter in Appendix A and forwarded for signature to the SSO or the cognizant Official-in-Charge of the Headquarters Program Office early in the procurement cycle, but not later than coordination and approval of the procurement plan. Prior to signature, concurrence by the Headquarters Office of Procurement (Code HS) is required when the SSO is at the Headquarters level or by the cognizant Field Installation Procurement Officer when the SSO is the Field Installation Director.

b. When the Administrator or the Official-in-Charge of the cognizant Headquarters Program Office is the SSO, résumés of voting members shall be provided along with the proposed designation letter. These résumés shall include functional title, grade level and related SEB experience.

3. Voting and nonvoting Members

a. The following people shall be on all SEB's and designated as voting members:

(1) Chairperson.

(2) A senior, key technical representative for the project.

(3) An experienced procurement representative.

(4) A senior SRM&QA representative, as appropriate.

(5) Committee chairpersons (except where this imposes an undue workload).

All voting members of the SEB shall have equal status as rating officials.

b. The following people shall be on all SEB's as nonvoting ex officio members:

(1) Assistant Administrator for Procurement and designee from the Program Operations Division (Code HS) when either an Official-in-Charge of a Headquarters Program Office or the Administrator is the SSO.

(2) General Counsel and/or the Associate General Counsel (Contracts) when either an Official-in-Charge of a Headquarters Program Office or the Administrator is the SSO.

(3) Associate Administrator for Safety, Reliability, Maintainability, and Quality Assurance or designee when either an Official-in-Charge of a Headquarters Program Office or the Administrator is the SSO.

(4) Director of the cognizant Field Installation or designee when either an Official-in-Charge of a Headquarters Program Office or the Administrator is the SSO.

(5) Cognizant Program Director or designee when an Official-in-Charge of a Headquarters Program Office is the SSO.

(6) Official-in-Charge of the cognizant Headquarters Program Office or a designee when the Administrator is the SSO.

(7) Chairpersons of SEB committees when they are non-voting members.

(8) The Procurement Officer of the installation, unless designated a voting member.

(9) The contracting officer responsible for the procurement, unless designated a voting member.

(10) The Chief Counsel and/or designee of the installation.

(11) The SEB recorder.

c. The Assistant Administrator for Procurement, the Code HS Director and cognizant procurement analyst, and the Associate General Counsel (Contracts) have access to all SEB activity by virtue of their positions in the agency.

d. The Associate General Counsel (Contracts) will assign legal advisors to Headquarters SEB's. The installation Chief Counsels will assign legal advisors to SEB's at their installations. The assigned advisor will provide legal advice and counsel on all matters pertaining to the procurement. Upon appointment of the SEB, the Associate General Counsel (Contracts) or designee, or Chief Counsel or designee, as appropriate, will brief SEB personnel on required standards of conduct.

e. Nonvoting ex officio members may state their views and contribute to the discussions in SEB deliberations, but they may not participate in the actual rating process. However, the SEB recorder should be present during rating sessions. The Chairperson is responsible for determining appropriate attendance at SEB meetings and is encouraged to seek the advice and counsel of nonvoting ex officio members whenever necessary without convening a special meeting.

202 Organization

The organization of an SEB is tailored to the requirements of the particular procurement. This can range from the simplest situation, where the SEB conducts the evaluation and fact-finding without the use of committees or panels, to a highly complex situation involving a major acquisition where two or more committees are formed and these, in turn, are assisted by special panels in particular areas. Appropriate organization somewhere between these two extremes is generally expected but in all cases the number of committees or panels should be kept to a minimum consistent with the requirements of the procurement.

203 Responsibilities

1. The SEB is the central group in the source evaluation process. Its function is to carry out the activities set forth in this handbook, culminating in final evaluation of all proposals and its report to the SSO. The SEB's evaluation is based on all available information, including proposals, committee and panel reports, written and/or oral discussions, personal knowledge of the members in the area of experience and past performance, and other appropriate reference checks. Subject to reviews by the SSO and cognizant management personnel as may be required, the SEB establishes evaluation factors, subfactors, elements and their relative importance; generates qualification standards (where applicable); and reviews and approves the RFP prior to issuance. The SEB reviews offeror satisfaction of applicable qualification standards, if any, and makes an identification of unacceptable proposals. It provides an initial evaluation of acceptable proposals sufficient for determination of the competitive range and participates in written and/or oral discussions held with all offerors

in the competitive range. The SEB shall not delegate its evaluation responsibility in whole or in part. Findings of committees or panels must be reviewed by the SEB, with its own collective judgment being applied in arriving at the SEB evaluation findings reported to the SSO.

2. The SEB Chairperson is the principal operating executive of the SEB. This carries with it a responsibility broader in scope and including more requirements for coordination across more different specialized disciplines and through more diverse management channels than is expected in most management situations. The Chairperson is expected to manage the team efficiently without compromising the validity of the findings provided to the SSO as the basis for a sound selection decision.

3. The SEB Recorder functions as the principal administrative assistant to the SEB Chairperson. The duties and responsibilities of the position are as follows:

a. Attends all SEB meetings and serves as principal assistant to the SEB Chairperson.

b. Obtains secure work areas for conduct of SEB activity and develops and implements procedures for controlling access and safeguarding SEB proceedings and documentation.

c. Obtains materials, supplies, and equipment needed by the SEB.

d. Arranges for preparation, reproduction, control, and distribution of material relating to the activity of the SEB and its committees.

e. Prepares and distributes the agenda for SEB meetings.

f. Obtains and distributes current applicable procedures, policies, and instructions to the SEB and committee members and others involved.

g. Records the substantive issues discussed.

h. Follows up on action items assigned to SEB members to ensure no delays in the SEB schedule will occur.

i. Obtains the SEB Chairperson's approval of SEB minutes. Provides copies to all voting SEB members and/or nonvoting members, as directed by the SEB Chairperson. Retains the original copy of the minutes and incorporates them into an official record book.

j. Assists in preparation and assembly of the SEB's report of findings and presentation charts and arranges for reproduction and distribution.

k. Destroys all duplicate material in excess of the SEB's need or retains material, as defined by the contracting officer, the SEB, and/or the SSO.

l. After formal selection announcement, accumulates, packages, and forwards documentation pertinent to the SEB's work to the cognizant contracting officer for retention throughout the life of the contract.

m. At the conclusion of all SEB activity, surveys the area where SEB activity occurred and arranges for the return of equipment and materials, as appropriate.

4. An SEB Committee functions as a fact-finding arm of the SEB, usually in a broad grouping of related disciplines (e.g., technical or management). It is comprised of people well-versed and experienced in each of the major disciplines under its aegis. For example, a "Management Committee" could include experts in such areas as organization, pricing, personnel, labor, contracting, and facilities operation. The committee examines in detail each proposal, or portion thereof, assigned by the SEB. It evaluates such proposals or excerpts in accordance with the approved evaluation factors, subfactors, and elements and submits a written report to the SEB summarizing its evaluation. The committee will also respond to requirements assigned by the SEB, including further justification or reconsideration of its findings.

5. Committee chairpersons shall, with respect to their committees, exercise the same responsibility for administrative and procedural matters as does the SEB Chairperson for the SEB.

6. An SEB panel functions as a fact-finding arm of the committee in a specialized area of the committee's responsibilities. Panels are established when a particular area requires deeper analysis than can be provided by individual members of the committee.

7. a. All personnel involved in SEB activities are responsible for complying with the requirements of this handbook and other applicable regulations. Accordingly, they are advised to seek counsel and guidance from appropriate personnel, such as; the SEB Chairperson, the SEB procurement voting member, the contracting officer and/or the SEB legal advisor as well as any cognizant installation SEB advisory group with respect to any questions concerning compliance with these requirements. The Chairperson shall require each SEB, committee, and panel member to be familiar with the provisions of NHB 1900.1, "Standards of Conduct for NASA Employees," regarding conflict of interest and to inform the Chairperson in writing if, in the opinion of the member, their participation presents a real or apparent conflict of interest. In addition, the SEB Chairperson shall ensure that each SEB, committee, and panel member has filed a completed NASA Form 1270, "Confidential Statement of Employment and Financial Interests." Code HS procurement analysts will comply with the necessary disclosure requirements and will sign the necessary certificates on an annual basis to cover all SEB activities that the analyst may participate in throughout the year. Completed NASA Form 1270's from Code HS personnel will be generated and reviewed at the Headquarters level and will remain on file in the Office of General Counsel.

b. Prior to selection and announcement of an offeror for final negotiations, NASA personnel shall not reveal any information concerning the evaluation to anyone not participating in the same evaluation proceedings and then only to the extent such information is required by such proceedings and approved by the SSO or designee.

c. Subsequent to selection and announcement of an offeror for final negotiations, information concerning SEB proceedings and data will be made available to others within NASA only when the requester demonstrates a need to know for a NASA purpose. Information will be made available to persons outside NASA, including other Government agencies, only when such disclosure is concurred in by the Office of General Counsel. Title 18, U.S. Code, Section 1905, prohibits any officer or employee of the United States from disclosing or divulging certain kinds of business confidential and trade secret information unless authorized by law. Possible penalties upon conviction include imprisonment of up to 1 year, a fine of not more than $1,000, and removal from the Federal Service.

Chapter 3—Evaluation Factors, Subfactors and Elements

300 General

1. This Chapter describes the factors, subfactors, and elements used in evaluation of proposals and discusses the manner in which the SEB will develop, describe, and structure such factors, subfactors, and elements.

2. The SEB's responsibility is to provide analysis of proposals to aid the SSO in selecting the offeror(s) who best meets the Government's requirements at a reasonable cost.

3. In making a selection, the SSO normally considers four evaluation factors: a. Mission Suitability (which reflects how well the offerors can be expected to perform the work from a technical and management perspective); b. Cost (which reflects what it will probably cost the Government to do business with the offerors); c. Relevant Experience and Past Performance (which reflects the amount and quality of previous work accomplished by the offerors comparable to the work to be performed under the procurement being evaluated); and d. Other Considerations (which are those considerations, stated in the RFP, other than Mission Suitability, Cost, and Relevant Experience and Past Performance that can affect contract performance).

4. Performing the proposed work properly (Mission Suitability) is always important, and so is the probable cost of performing that work. Probable cost is not necessarily the offeror's estimate of costs; rather, it is the SEB's assessment of what the proposed work is likely to cost. Depending on circumstances, relevant experience and past performance or other considerations may or may not be of prime importance.

5. The SEB evaluates proposals with respect to the four evaluation factors, as follows:

a. *Mission Suitability.* This factor indicates, for each offeror, the merit or excellence of the work to be performed or product to be delivered. It includes, as appropriate, both technical and management subfactors. Because this factor can be highly technical and must be integrated in order to convey an overall evaluation of relative merit, Mission Suitability and its supporting subfactors shall be numerically weighted and scored. Elements may or may not be numerically weighted and scored.

b. *Cost.* This factor evaluates what each offeror's proposal will probably cost the Government should it be selected for negotiations leading to award. Proposed costs are analyzed to determine the probable "cost of doing business" based upon the offeror's proposed approach. Further, this analysis identifies and assesses the impact of features that cause a proposal to cost more or

less than other proposals. (See paragraph 302 for detailed coverage.) Cost is not numerically weighted or scored.

c. *Relevant Experience and Past Performance.* This factor indicates the relevant quantitative and qualitative aspects of each offeror's record of performing services or delivering products similar in size, content, and complexity to the requirements of the instant procurement. The Relevant Experience and Past Performance factor provides an opportunity to evaluate the quality of goods and services provided by the offeror(s) to the agency and other Government organizations. The agency has acquired a substantial amount of firsthand experience and past performance data over a variety of program and contract efforts. Evaluation of this factor also utilizes relevant experience and past performance data from programs acquired by other Governmental organizations, covering both prime and subcontractor performance. The Relevant Experience and Past Performance factor is not numerically weighted or scored.

d. *Other Considerations.* This factor includes those considerations other than Mission Suitability, Cost, and Relevant Experience and Past Performance. They include, but are not limited to, such items as: financial condition, labor relations considerations, small and small disadvantaged business considerations, and geographic distribution of subcontracts. Other Considerations is not numerically weighted or scored.

6. Mission Suitability is the only factor which is numerically weighted (normally 1000 points) and scored. Further, each Mission Suitability subfactor is numerically weighted and scored. The sum of the subfactor weights will total the weight of the Mission Suitability factor. A subfactor may, at the discretion of the SEB, be divided into discrete elements which, in total, comprise the subfactor evaluation area. If deemed conducive to the evaluation process, the SEB may weigh and score individual elements of a subfactor. The sum of the weights of the individual elements will equal the weight of the subfactor.

7. The general format for inclusion of the factors, subfactors, and elements in the RFP are:

a. Factor (numerically scored)—Mission Suitability Grouping/Category (not numerically scored)—i.e., Technical or Management (optional)
(1) Subfactor (numerically scored)
(2) Subfactor (numerically scored)
(3) Subfactor (numerically scored)
Element (optional). May or may not be numerically scored.

b. Factor (not numerically scored)—Cost

c. Factor (not numerically scored)—Relevant Experience and Past Performance

d. Factor (not numerically scored)—Other Considerations

301 Mission Suitability

1. Evaluation Subfactors

a. Evaluation subfactors are the weighted areas within the Mission Suitability factor that further identify, for proposal preparation and evaluation purposes, the content of the factor. Examples of Mission Suitability subfactors found by experience to be relevant to many procurements are: Understanding of the Requirement; Management Plan; Key Personnel; Corporate or Company Resources; and Excellence of Proposed Design for hardware procurements. However, citation of these specific subfactors is not intended to be restrictive or all inclusive. The nature and thrust of the requirements and objectives of the procurement may logically call for the use of some subfactors titled and described in a somewhat different manner than those described below:

(1) *Understanding of the Requirement.* An offeror's proposal reflects how well the offeror comprehends the work and the data requirements. The offeror's proposal should be examined and analyzed to evaluate the offeror's understanding of the requirements set forth in the RFP. Understanding of the requirement can be evaluated as a separate subfactor or can be evaluated as an element to be considered as a part of the evaluation of each subfactor. Although costs are analyzed separately from Mission Suitability, they may be significant in indicating an offeror's understanding of the resources, human and material, required for performance of the contract. Accordingly, technical personnel assigned to committees or panels, in evaluation of the Mission Suitability factor pursuant to the weighted subfactors and elements, may be given access to the cost proposals or portions of the cost proposals to help determine the offeror's understanding of the requirements of the RFP. Such cost information may also help them to assess the validity of the offeror's approach to performing the work in accordance with the requirements. Cost realism, or the lack thereof, should enter into the SEB's assessment of the measure of understanding possessed by each offeror. Normally this would entail a consideration of cost realism in the evaluation of all subfactors and elements wherein understanding is an essential concept. Similarly, an offeror's justification or rationale for proposed costs can give insight into how well the work to be performed is understood.

(2) *Management Plan.* (a) The offeror's management plan sets forth the offeror's approach for efficiently managing the work as demonstrated by the proposed organization, the recognition of essential management functions, and the effective overall integration of these functions.

(b) The management plan describes the project organization proposed for the work, including internal operations and lines of authority, together with external interfaces and relationships with the Government, major subcontractors, and associate contractors. When properly prepared, the authority of the project manager, the project manager's relationship to the next echelon of management, and the project manager's command of company resources can be ascertained from the management plan. Likewise, the management plan provides schedules necessary for the logical and timely pursuit of the work, accompanied by a description of the offeror's work plan.

(3) *Excellence of Proposed Design.* In hardware acquisition, design of the product is generally a major aspect of competition. In order to arrive at an informed judgment, the SSO may require the SEB's evaluation of the merits of competing designs in relationship to the stated requirement. In evaluating the proposed designs, the SEB should consider the resources required to perform the work inherent in the differing designs. Evaluation of design may extend to whatever subsystem level is deemed appropriate by the SEB and may include producibility, reliability, maintainability, and, as applicable, warranties.

(4) *Key Personnel.* (a) Thorough evaluation of proposed key personnel is usually one of the most vital aspects of SEB activity.

(b) Experience demonstrates that the qualifications and performance of a few people—the top half-dozen or so directly involved managers—are extremely important to successful accomplishment of a contract. For evaluation purposes, the SEB may designate a separate subfactor entitled "Key Personnel." The SEB may define the number and identity of the key personnel for each offeror in the solicitation or may, subject to such limitations as the SEB deems appropriate to assure a reasonable basis for comparison, permit each offeror to define its own key personnel consistent with its proposed organization.

(c) Written résumés should be the baseline from which the evaluation of key personnel begins. Personal reference checks with people knowledgeable of an individual's training, experience, and performance constitute part of this baseline; these should be made at levels commensurate with the role of the individual in the program or project involved. However, the written and/or oral discussions, if conducted, will include all offerors in the competitive range and may be used to establish the relative merits of personnel proposed by each competing firm.

(d) The presentation to the SSO must clearly and concisely set forth the results of the evaluation and discussion, including the strengths and weaknesses of each offeror's key personnel.

(5) *Corporate or Company Resources.* The SEB should assess the resources proposed by each offeror in the general areas of human resources and facilities. For example, are the proper skill mixes and numbers of people to do the work being offered? Does the offeror propose facilities and, where required, special test equipment suitable and adequate to assure timely performance of the work? If the offeror does not possess adequate resources internally, is there a demonstration of the ability to acquire them through subcontracts or otherwise?

b. Elements that further define the content of each subfactor may be used. If individually numerically scored, these elements must be revealed in the RFP and must be assigned a specific weighting in the SEB's evaluation plan as a portion of the total points allotted. If they are not numerically scored, identification in the RFP is not required.

c. Establishment of evaluation subfactors, elements, and their weights requires judgment on a case-by-case basis. The subfactors and elements established and included in the RFP will then be utilized to determine each offeror's rating in Mission Suitability, including its understanding of the

requirements, approach to the work, and the competence of personnel to be directly involved.

d. By carefully considering the requirement(s) to be satisfied through the products or services being procured, the SEB should be able to identify, analyze, and score discrete subfactors and, where appropriate, elements that determine how well the proposed product or service can be expected to meet the demands of the specific requirement(s). If individual subfactors, elements, and their weights are prudently established, the integrated scores of the subfactors and elements will give a representative picture of the merit of each offeror's Mission Suitability.

e. (1) In structuring evaluation subfactors and elements, emphasis should be placed on identification of significant discriminators. Proliferation of subfactors and elements results in a leveling or averaging out of scores over all proposals. Too many subfactors and elements are detrimental to effective evaluation of proposals. Further, clearly defining each evaluation subfactor and element helps to avoid overlap and redundancy. Avoiding such overlap assures an offeror is not scored in two or more areas for the same work.

(2) The following example conveys one approach to describing a subfactor, utilizing elements, in an RFP. Within the "management plan" subfactor the following elements may be determined by the SEB as most suitable to assess how well each offeror's overall management proposal would contribute to the probability of performing the contract in an excellent manner: (a) Management approach and organization; (b) staffing plan; and (c) management systems.

f. For each subfactor and element, there should be detailed instructions provided in the RFP's Section L, "Instructions, Conditions, and Notices to Offerors or Quoters," specifying what supporting information should be included in the proposals and the specific format to be used. This increases the probability that SEB evaluators will be provided necessary data in the format easiest to understand and evaluate relative to the subfactors and elements assigned for evaluation and assessment. Thought given to carefully structuring these instructions will generally result in proposals that address what the Government is most interested in relative to the work to be performed. For example, if the evaluation will utilize SEB committees or SEB panels, instructions for proposal preparation in the RFP's Section L that direct offerors to group together information required by such committees or panels will facilitate and accelerate the evaluation process.

g. Where the procurement involves acquisition of a major system under NMI 7100.14, "Major System Acquisitions," and the evaluation is to identify the most promising system design concept(s) to be selected for further exploration, the evaluation should also address the benefits to be derived by tradeoffs, where feasible, among technical performance, acquisition cost, ownership cost, and time to develop and procure.

2. Weighting of Factors, Subfactors, and Elements

a. Numerical weights shall be used for evaluating the Mission Suitability factor for competing offerors.

b. (1) Once the Mission Suitability subfactors are established, the SEB will determine the weight assigned to each. Likewise, if elements are established, the SEB will determine the weight, if any, assigned to each. The proposed subfactors, elements, and weights will be presented to the SSO or designee for approval. The weight assigned to each subfactor and, if numerically scored, each element must reflect its relative importance within the overall Mission Suitability factor. In conjunction, an evaluation plan covering not only Mission Suitability evaluation, but all evaluation factors (Mission Suitability, Cost, Relevant Experience and Past Performance, and Other Considerations), will be established by the SEB (see Chapter 4). Mission Suitability evaluation subfactors, elements, and their weights shall be established and approved in advance of RFP issuance. The four factors and their supporting subfactors and, if numerically scored, elements shall be described in the RFP's Section M, "Evaluation Factors for Award," and the weights associated with the individual Mission Suitability subfactors and elements shall be revealed in section M as well. However, care should be taken to avoid the impression of a mathematical evaluation devoid of judgment. The weights are intended to be used by the SSO as a guideline.

(2) If all evaluation factors are considered by the SEB to be of approximately equal importance, a statement to that effect shall be included in the RFP. However, if there is a difference in the level of importance among the factors, then a statement shall be included in the RFP to advise the offerors of the relative importance of the factors. In this regard, one example of a statement that might be appropriate, depending on the nature of the requirements, type of contract, and objectives of the acquisition, is as follows:

"Of the four evaluation factors identified above, Mission Suitability and Cost are most important, and, as related to each other, are approximately equal in importance. The Relevant Experience and Past Performance factor is of somewhat less importance than either Mission Suitability or Cost, and the Other Considerations factor is of considerably less importance than Relevant Experience and Past Performance.

The subfactors to be used in evaluating Mission Suitability and their corresponding weights are listed below in descending order of importance:

Understanding of the Requirement
(40 percent)
Excellence of Proposed Design
(30 percent)
Management Plan
(15 percent)
Key Personnel
(10 percent)
Corporate or Company Resources
(5 percent)

The numerical weights assigned to the five subfactors identified above are indicative of the relative importance of those evaluation areas. The weights will be utilized only as a guide."

302 Cost

1. Before issuing a solicitation, the contracting officer and technical personnel shall (when it is feasible to do so) develop a Government cost estimate for the planned acquisition. Estimates can range from simple budgetary estimates to complex estimates based on inspection of the product itself and review of such items as drawings, specifications, and prior data. The SEB is tasked with the responsibility to ensure that the Government cost estimate properly reflects the effort to which the RFP applies.

2. In the Cost factor evaluation, the SEB shall analyze the proposed costs or prices of all offerors. The SEB may use any and all tools available in performing these analyses including information in the Armed Services Pricing Manual (ASPM). However, the required depth of the analysis is subject to the criteria in FAR 15.804. The SEB shall advise the SSO concerning—

a. The costs or prices as proposed by all offerors, including those not within the competitive range;

b. The comparison of costs proposed by all offerors, with the independent Government cost estimate, when feasible;

c. The realism of costs proposed by all offerors determined to be within the competitive range. Cost realism is a review of the proposal to determine if the overall costs proposed are realistic for the work to be performed, if the costs reflect an offeror's understanding of the requirements, and if the costs are consistent with the various elements of the technical proposal. This type of analysis will be used in the Cost area and Mission Suitability or other technical areas;

d. The probable cost to the Government of, at a minimum, each proposal within the competitive range. If it appears to the SEB that any offeror's approach(es) or plan(s) for accomplishing the proposed work will require modification in order to be acceptable to the Government, the SEB shall identify and assess the modification required, determine the probable cost of such modification, and include that probable cost assessment in its report to the SSO;

e. The differences in business methods, operating procedures, and practices as they impact cost; and

f. Its level of confidence in the probable cost assessments as they pertain to each fully evaluated proposal.

3. The probable cost should reflect the SEB's best estimate of the cost of any contract which might result from that offeror's proposal, including any recommended additions or reductions in personnel, equipment, or materials. To the extent that the recommended additions or reductions reflect a lack of understanding of the requirements of the RFP, that lack of understanding should be reflected in the scoring of the Mission Suitability factor, subfactors, and elements.

4. A well-defined statement of work reflecting clear, concise work breakdown structures is of great value in obtaining well-

structured proposals and in allowing the SEB to understand and assess proposed costs.

5. All cost categories and amounts present in an offeror's cost proposal (including options) are to be analyzed by the SEB and reported to the SSO. In the event SEB members have different opinions as to the cost analyses and assessments of probable costs, these differing opinions should be reported to the SSO to aid in forming an opinion regarding the confidence to be attributed to the analyses and assessments.

303 Relevant Experience and Past Performance

1. This factor has been established to give relevant experience and past performance proper emphasis in the evaluation and selection process. Assessments to be made regarding relevant experience and past performance, particularly past performance, may be extremely difficult. In more straightforward cases, this assessment may be based upon well-documented and highly reliable evidence portraying favorable or unfavorable experience and past performance. More often, these assessments will be made from data presenting a less-than-optimum degree of well-documented evidence of a company's experience and past performance. The problem for the SEB will be establishing an acceptable degree of confidence that the data sources are providing an impartial, fair, and accurate representation of relevant experience and past performance. The SEB must be extremely careful in making the judgments and conclusions required under this factor but must not hesitate to assess relevant experience and past performance, positively or negatively, when the information received would reasonably support such an assessment.

2. This factor addresses evaluation of overall relevant experience and past performance for the company, not the experience and past performance of individuals involved with contract performance; the latter are to be evaluated under key personnel within the Mission Suitability factor.

3. Relevant experience reflects the accomplishment of work by an offeror that is comparable to or related to the work or effort required under the instant procurement. Programs or projects of comparable magnitude that include technical, cost, schedule, and management constraints similar to those expected to be encountered in the instant procurement are clearly relevant.

4. Past performance is especially important; how well the offeror performed on similar work may be a significant indicator of performance on the job at hand. Many organizations exhibit characteristics that persist over time—for example, the ability to move projects out of the research environment and to translate research findings into practical, results-oriented hardware; difficulty in transitioning conceptual efforts into soundly engineered "hardcopy" plans which can be produced economically; resiliency in the face of trouble; resourcefulness; management determination that the organization live up to its commitments; and skill in development of key people. It is essential to develop such an indicator independent of the offeror's proposal. It is the responsibility of the SEB to collect and document information on the past performance of, at a minimum, all offerors within the competitive range. The SEB should personally contact program or project managers within NASA or other Government agencies in a position to have responsibly observed performance of the offerors as either a prime or major subcontractor and obtain their views concerning the quality of the work the offeror did (or is doing) on comparable jobs. In the event a substantially unfavorable response is received which, in the opinion of the SEB will be of special significance to the SSO, the offeror in question will be provided an opportunity during written and/or oral discussions (see Chapter 4) to clarify that response.

5. The SEB is responsible for collecting and developing relevant experience and past performance information, identifying the source, and presenting it to the SSO. While the clearly relevant experience or past performance is of prime importance, other experience or past performance determined by the SEB to be significant or to be indicative of company experience or past performance should be noted and brought to the attention of the SSO. All pertinent information, including project manager assessments and offeror responses, will be:

(a) Made part of the SEB's records,

(b) Contained in the SEB report, and

(c) Presented to the SSO.

6. The Relevant Experience and Past Performance factor is not numerically scored but is assigned an adjectival rating by the SEB.

7. This factor may be a significant consideration in the selection process. Therefore, the basis of the evaluation must be thoroughly identified and well documented. While objectivity is desirable, reasonable judgments often have to be made in the evaluation process. Subjectivity is not improper; an SEB should not hesitate to make reasonable subjective evaluations of relevant experience and past performance based upon as much factual data and experiential information as can be reasonably accumulated.

304 Other Considerations

1. This factor includes all considerations other than Mission Suitability, Cost, and Relevant Experience and Past Performance that the SSO will consider in making a final selection. Only Other Considerations specifically identified in the RFP shall be considered by the SSO in making a decision.

2. Following is a listing of Other Considerations that may be appropriate to include in an RFP. Not all of the subfactors listed are necessarily applicable to all procurements; nor is the listing intended to be all inclusive or restrictive:

a. Financial condition and capability.

b. Corporate priority on the work being proposed, or importance of the business to corporate management.

c. Labor-management relations.

d. Extent of proposed small and small disadvantaged business, and women-owned small business enterprise participation in subcontracting arrangements.

e. Geographic distribution of the work to be performed.

f. Acceptance of contract terms and conditions set forth in the RFP.

g. Any other subfactors pertinent to the particular procurement and identified under the Other Considerations factor within the RFP.

3. Other Considerations shall be defined specifically in the RFP, evaluated by the SEB, and reported to the SSO. Certain subfactors under the Other Considerations factor, such as financial condition and capability, may undergo change up to the moment of source selection.

4. Information regarding some of the Other Considerations is generally available to an SEB in the form of preaward surveys, NASA inspection reports, facility capability reports, purchasing system surveys, audit reports, and equal employment opportunity surveys. The SEB should make every reasonable effort to identify and use timely existing reports before initiating original inquiries. While written reports such as those mentioned in this paragraph may be significant, they should not be exclusively relied upon by the SEB. In addition, personal inquiries should be directed to Government managers likely to be knowledgeable about the offeror's record in these areas.

305 Continuing Evaluative Responsibility of SEB

Even after the SEB has made its formal report to the SSO, the SEB shall have continuing responsibility to report to the SSO, until its discharge, any circumstances that would change the SEB's evaluation findings relative to any evaluation factor (Mission Suitability, Cost, Relevant Experience and Past Performance, and Other Considerations) for any offeror. It is not intended that, after its report, the SEB actively pursue continuing evaluation. What is expected is that matters related to any of the evaluation factors which come to the attention of the SEB, and which might be expected to be pertinent to the selection decision, will be communicated to the SSO.

Chapter 4—SEB Operating Procedures for Solicitation and Evaluation

400 General

This Chapter describes procedural steps in preparing the SEB for its work and outlines SEB activities for solicitation and evaluation of proposals.

401 Initial SEB Activities

1. Official SEB activities commence upon receipt by the Chairperson of the letter establishing the SEB and designating its members which should be no later than approval of the procurement plan. However, prior to official establishment of the SEB, the proposed SEB Chairperson and members may hold meetings to accomplish such tasks as SEB member orientation to proposed operational procedures, security measures that will be utilized, and preliminary review of the proposed RFP.

2. Once the SEB is established, the SEB Chairperson shall ensure that—

a. A management and staffing plan is prepared, indicating necessary personnel and other resource requirements, including a time schedule for SEB actions and events leading to presentation of findings to the SSO;

b. Each SEB voting member is furnished appropriate information regarding the nature of the procurement in addition to a copy of their designation letter, approved procurement plan, and the projected time schedule;

c. Each SEB participant, voting and nonvoting, is cautioned concerning restrictions on disclosure of information during the SEB process, avoidance of conflicts of interest, and conformity with the NASA Standards of Conduct. Each SEB participant, voting and nonvoting, shall file a NASA Form 1270, "Confidential Statement of Employment and Financial Interests," in accordance with NHB 1900.1, "Standards of Conduct for NASA Employees " In addition, each SEB participant shall sign an appropriate nondisclosure statement (a sample nondisclosure statement is included in Appendix B). These documents shall be reviewed by the Associate General Counsel for General Law or designee for Headquarters employees or by the cognizant Field Installation Chief Counsel or designee for field installation employees.

d. Letters are issued to all personnel involved in the SEB's activities advising them of pertinent restrictions and prohibitions, including a caution not to discuss any aspect of the procurement with anyone not having a need-to-know. The right to information on a need-to-know basis does not extend to the normal chain of supervision of any member of the SEB or to any individual having technical responsibility for the effort being evaluated except as specifically approved by the SEB Chairperson on a case-by-case basis. Those individuals will also be notified by the SEB Chairperson, in writing, of the privileged character of proposal information;

e. The list of sources to be solicited is developed and approved by the SEB with the assistance of the cognizant procurement and program or project offices;

f. Whenever it is expected to be beneficial, a draft RFP or Statement of Work is issued to prospective offerors for comment;

g. Prior to RFP issuance, the substance and the weight of evaluation factors, subfactors, and elements, as well as the substance of any applicable qualification standards, are presented to the SSO or designee for approval;

h. The RFP is reviewed and approved by the SEB prior to issuance;

i. During the competitive phase of the procurement, every effort must be made to assure fair and equitable treatment of all offerors (both prospective and actual). Upon release of the formal RFP, the Chairperson shall impose a communication blackout, in writing, by directing all personnel associated with the procurement to refrain from communicating with prospective offerors, formally or informally, regarding any aspects of the procurement. All inquiries regarding the procurement shall be referred to the contracting officer; and

j. Prior to receipt of proposals, the evaluation plan is reviewed and approved by the SEB and cognizant management personnel. The evaluation plan, once approved, must be impartially applied by the SEB to each proposal.

402 Evaluation Plan

1. The SEB evaluation plan consists of general and specific evaluation guidelines (and qualification standards, where applicable) established to assess each offeror's proposal relative to the evaluation factors, subfactors, and elements set forth in the RFP. The evaluation guidelines are designed to focus the evaluators' assessment. They are not weighted and are not listed in the RFP. However, the substance of the guidelines may be included in a narrative description of the subfactors and elements. In addition, the plan includes the system used in conducting the evaluation and scoring each offeror's proposal.

2. The SEB determines what evaluation factors, subfactors, and elements to assign to the various committees and panels. While it is necessary to give committees and panels all information required to conduct an evaluation of their assigned area, it is not appropriate to disclose to them the specific scoring system used by the SEB in scoring proposals.

3. The detailed SEB evaluation plan to be used by the SEB, committees, and panels should be approved before the RFP is issued but, in any case, shall be approved before proposals are evaluated.

403 Qualification Standards

1. It is NASA policy to offer the opportunity to compete for its procurements as broadly as is consistent with the nature of each particular procurement. However, in view of the distinctive characteristics of NASA programs, those potential offerors that do not possess the minimum qualifications and resources necessary to perform the proposed work of a given procurement should not be encouraged to incur proposal and other expenses involved in competitive submissions.

2. To accomplish this objective without limiting meaningful competition requires early and intense effort on the part of the SEB, working in conjunction with the program and procurement staff most familiar with the procurement requirements.

3. When the nature of the procurement requires, qualification standards may be established in accordance with FAR 9.104–2. These will consist of special experience, capability, or specialized facilities critical to program performance aspects of the procurement.

4. In establishing qualification standards, care must be exercised to restrict them to those essential to the successful completion of the contract work. Qualification standards may be employed only where it is possible for the SEB to establish standards which are justified by the nature of the particular procurement. These standards must be applied equally to all potential sources.

5. When developed by the SEB and approved by the SSO or designee, qualification standards shall be clearly set forth in the RFP and included in the Commerce Business Daily synopsis.

6. Approved qualification standards will be used by the SEB to screen proposed source list(s) so that only firms possessing those unique specialized facilities, capability, or experience deemed critical to program performance aspects of the procurement will be solicited. Notwithstanding the considerations that lead to the elimination of sources from solicitation, any firm may submit a proposal. These same qualification standards will be used to consider all sources who may submit a proposal. This assures that the presolicitation consideration of prospective contractor qualification standards does not act to restrict competition, but only to discourage costly proposal submissions from potential offerors to whom award would not appear likely and that all offerors shall have an equal opportunity to compete. If an offeror fails to meet the stated qualification standards, the proposal shall be rejected, not considered further in the evaluation, and the offeror informed of the basis of rejection.

404 Request for Proposals (RFP's)—Review and Approval

1. Effectiveness of the evaluation is dependent, in large measure, on how well the work to be performed and the basic ground rules under which the competition will be conducted are described in the RFP. Accordingly, the RFP shall be reviewed by the SEB and approved by appropriate levels of management prior to issuance to ensure its acceptability.

2. In reviewing the RFP, the SEB and appropriate management shall ensure that the following matters pertinent to source evaluation and selection are fully covered in the solicitation:

a. Any qualification standards shall be identified and described.

b. Evaluation factors shall be described, including a general narrative explanation of their relative importance.

c. The Mission Suitability factor shall be described. Evaluation subfactors shall be identified and described, including their numerical weights. If deemed conducive to the evaluation process, elements of a subfactor shall be identified and described, including their numerical weights.

d. The Cost factor shall be described.

(1) When uncertainties involved in contract requirements necessitate the use of a cost-type contract, offerors may be motivated to perform within the proposed cost estimate through the inclusion of appropriate cost incentive arrangements.

(2) Negotiated indirect cost rate ceilings shall be used only in accordance with FAR 42.707.

e. The Relevant Experience and Past Performance factor shall be described. The identification data and contact or customer references required by NFS 1815.406-70(b)(6) for the conduct of this evaluation shall be stated. Offerors should be cautioned that omissions or an inaccurate or inadequate response to this evaluation category could have a negative effect on overall evaluation. Offerors should also be advised that, in

addition to information they provide, the SEB will consider all information available to NASA regarding the offeror's relevant experience and past performance.

f. The Other Considerations factor shall be described.

g. The method of evaluation shall be explained clearly, but concisely, so that prospective offerors understand the SEB's use and treatment of the factors, subfactors, and elements.

h. When cost proposals are permitted to be submitted after technical proposals, a notice shall be included in the RFP stating the required date for each submission.

i. When applicable, a notice that a preproposal conference is to be held shall be included, stating its purpose, time, place, and scope.

j. The Statement of Work shall describe as clearly and concisely as possible the product or service to be procured. It shall be structured to identify the important areas of emphasis. There must be no inconsistencies between it and the evaluation factors, subfactors, and elements to be used by the SEB and the SSO. Nonessential or unduly restrictive requirements shall be eliminated.

k. Requirements for reports and data essential to contract evaluation and performance shall be clearly described, including a cross reference to the Statement of Work and a schedule for submission.

l. When the procurement involves a major system under NMI 7100.14, the SEB will ensure that the RFP is prepared in terms of mission need so each offeror can respond with an alternative system design concept proposal to satisfy the mission need and can propose a technical approach, design features, and alternatives to schedule, cost, and capability goals consistent with that concept. In order to remove inhibitions to innovative solutions and to improve the approach to achieving program objectives, consideration should be given to conducting orientation briefings for prospective offerors and, where appropriate, solicit comments on a draft of the solicitation.

m. Any limitations on pages and the number of copies of offerors' initial proposals shall be included. When imposing a firm page limitation, the solicitation must clearly state that the evaluators will read only up to the maximum number of pages specified. Pages in excess of the maximum are to be removed from the proposal and not evaluated, but retained as a part of the official contract file. Guidance on the number of pages preferred in offerors' proposals may be used in lieu of a firm page limitation.

3. The SSO may request a detailed review of the RFP beyond that of the SEB and cognizant management personnel or may, more specifically, request a detailed review of the Statement of Work, qualification standards, evaluation factors, subfactors, elements, or other areas pertinent to proposal preparation, evaluation, and source selection. Specific reviewing officials or offices may be designated for this purpose.

4. The RFP shall comply with all current regulations and directives applicable to NASA solicitations; particular emphasis is placed on the requirements of FAR 15.406 and NFS 1815.406.

5. When detailed program or project support plans will be required as part of the offeror's proposal but are not considered important discriminators in the evaluation process, the requirements for these plans shall be described in separate appendices to the Statement of Work in accordance with NFS 1815.406-70(a)(7).

6. When, either before or after receipt of proposals, the Government modifies its requirements, the contracting officer is required to issue a written amendment to the RFP in accordance with FAR 15.606.

405 Preproposal Conference

1. A preproposal conference to brief prospective offerors may be conducted after a solicitation has been issued but before proposals are received, in accordance with FAR 15.409. The contracting officer, in conjunction with the SEB, shall make a determination, prior to issuance of the RFP, as to whether a preproposal conference is required. Generally, these conferences benefit both the Government and prospective offerors in complex acquisitions where it is necessary to explain or clarify complicated specifications and requirements.

2. The conference shall be scheduled to permit prospective offerors sufficient time after the issuance of the RFP to become familiar with the RFP requirements yet not too late to allow meaningful use of the information obtained at the conference.

3. The conference should include a presentation of the significant aspects of the procurement followed by a question and answer session. A record of all information provided at the conference, together with a copy of all questions and answers, shall be provided to all prospective offerors by formal written amendment to the solicitation. If it becomes apparent at the conference that the RFP needs revision, the revised requirements must be included in a written amendment.

406 Initial Evaluation

1. Upon receipt of proposals, the contracting officer shall mark each proposal with the date and time of receipt and forward all proposals to the SEB Chairperson or the SEB Recorder for control and safeguarding throughout the evaluation process.

2. Late proposals or modifications shall be handled in accordance with FAR 15.412.

3. Procedure for Evaluation of a Single Proposal—

a. If only one proposal is received in response to the solicitation, the contracting officer shall examine the situation to ascertain the reasons for the single response. The contracting officer shall include a written notation in the contract file describing the circumstances surrounding the receipt of a single proposal as well as a determination whether or not the solicitation was or was not flawed or unduly restrictive, prior to releasing the proposal to the SEB for evaluation.

b. The SEB shall complete a limited preliminary evaluation to determine that the single proposal submitted satisfies stated qualification standards, if any, and is otherwise an acceptable proposal (see subparagraph 406.5). Upon completion of this limited preliminary evaluation, a letter shall be forwarded to the SSO presenting a summary of the evaluation results.

c. The SSO shall as a result of reviewing the SEB summary, and the contracting officer's examination of the facts and determination, notify the SEB Chairperson that either—

(1) The SEB is instructed to proceed with preestablished SEB procedures, including complete initial evaluation, oral and written discussions, request and receipt of a Best and Final Offer (BAFO), and final evaluation, culminating in a formal presentation to the SSO for approval to enter into negotiations;

(2) The contracting officer is instructed to immediately enter into negotiations resulting in a complete contract document, signed by the offeror, to be signed by the contracting officer upon approval by the SSO. Upon completion of the negotiations, the SEB shall present the results to the SSO for approval; or

(3) The SEB Chairperson is granted full delegation of authority to disband the SEB and to instruct the contracting officer to conduct negotiations for contract award without further SSO involvement.

(4) The contracting officer is instructed to reject the single proposal received and to cancel the solicitation.

d. These procedures are likewise applicable when the number of proposals equals the number of awards contemplated or when only one acceptable proposal is received as defined by subparagraph 406.5 of this handbook.

4. Committee Evaluations—

a. The initial phase of evaluation generally will involve established committees. As promptly as possible, committees are to be convened. The SEB Chairperson shall transmit to the committees proposals or portions of proposals to be evaluated, instructions regarding the expected function of each committee, and all data considered necessary or helpful. The committee chairperson is responsible for instructing the members as to committee functions, responsibilities, and procedures.

b. While oral reports may be given to the SEB, the committee function requires the submission of a written report which should include—

(1) Copies of individual worksheets and supporting comments to the lowest level evaluated;

(2) An evaluation sheet summarized for the committee as a whole; and

(3) A statement for each proposal describing any strengths or weaknesses which significantly affected the evaluation and stating any reservations or concerns, together with supporting rationale, which the committee or any of its members want to bring to the attention of the SEB.

c. It is imperative that the SEB provide clear traceability throughout the evaluation process. This traceability must exist at all levels of the SEB process. All reports submitted by committees or panels will be retained as part of the SEB records but need not necessarily be included as part of the SEB report to the SSO. A committee report should be included with necessary to the SSO's understanding of the SEB's action.

d. Each voting SEB member shall thoroughly review each proposal. Committee reports and findings shall be reviewed by the SEB. The SEB is to consider the committee evaluation, take into account any reservations or concerns stated by the SEB members and the committee, and rate or score the proposals for each evaluation factor, subfactor, and element according to its own collective judgment. SEB minutes shall reflect this evaluation process which shall be consistent with the approved evaluation plan.

5. Identification of Unacceptable Proposals—

a. The SEB may discontinue the evaluation of any proposal which is unacceptable because—

(1) It does not represent a reasonable initial effort to address itself to the essential requirements of the RFP or clearly demonstrates that the offeror does not understand the requirements of the RFP;

(2) In research and development procurement, a substantial design drawback is evident in the proposal, and sufficient correction or improvement to consider the proposal acceptable would require virtually an entirely new technical proposal; or

(3) It contains major technical or business deficiencies or omissions or out-of-line costs which discussions with the offeror could not reasonably be expected to cure.

b. Simple technical nonresponsiveness in the sense in which the term is used in sealed bidding is not alone sufficient to constitute unacceptability if the proposal is otherwise competitive and written and/or oral discussions, or negotiations after selection, reasonably offer the likelihood of resolution. When there is doubt as to whether a proposal should be rejected initially as unacceptable, that doubt shall be resolved by including it for further consideration.

c. The SEB must document its judgment that the deficiencies of any proposal are sufficiently significant to warrant discontinuing evaluation of the proposal at this point in the process.

6. SEB Findings—

a. Preparing the results of the initial evaluation in a narrative SEB report is an important aspect of the evaluation process. The SEB should be aware that the SEB report and presentation provide the principal tools available to the SSO to perform a comparative analysis in making a source selection decision. Guidelines for the report and presentation are set forth in Chapter 5 and Appendix C. For each proposal, the SEB should indicate in the narrative whether the proposal meets or fails to meet any of the requirements of the RFP; all strengths and weaknesses of the proposal and whether they are correctable; the proposed and probable cost (normally required only for those offeror(s) in the competitive range or those offeror(s) eliminated from competitive range on the basis of cost); the technical, schedule, and cost risk associated with the proposal; and the adjectival rating of the Relevant Experience and Past Performance and Other Considerations evaluation factors. Strengths and weaknesses must be further defined in the SEB report as major or minor to provide a valuable summary of discriminators among proposals in addition to supporting the adjectival ratings assigned to each Mission Suitability subfactor and element by the SEB, and for the Mission Suitability factor as a whole. In addition to the narrative report of evaluation findings, the SEB will apply the Mission Suitability scoring system detailed in the evaluation plan to rate each proposal in relation to the Mission Suitability evaluation subfactors and elements.

b. In structuring an applicable rating system, the following adjective ratings, definitions, and percentile ranges shall be used for the evaluation of Mission Suitability subfactors, and, if individually weighted and scored, elements. (The total proposal should also be classified with an appropriate adjectival rating for Mission Suitability.)

	Percentile range
Excellent—A comprehensive and thorough proposal of exceptional merit with one or more major strengths. No weaknesses or only minor correctable weaknesses exist	91–100
Very Good—A proposal which demonstrates overall competence. One or more major strengths have been found, and strengths out balance any weaknesses that exist. Any major weaknesses are correctable	71–90
Good—A proposal which shows a reasonably sound response. There may be strengths or weaknesses, or both. As a whole, weaknesses, not offset by strengths, do not significantly detract from the offeror's response. Major weaknesses are probably correctable	51–70
Fair—A proposal that has one or more weaknesses. Weaknesses have been found that outbalance any strengths that exist. Major weaknesses can probably be improved, minimized, or corrected	31–50
Poor—A proposal that has one or more major weaknesses which are expected to be difficult to correct, or are not correctable	0–30

c. Normally, proposals are to be rated using adjectival ratings on two occasions as follows:

(1) Upon completion of the initial evaluation of proposals, and

(2) Upon completion of the final evaluation of the BAFO's.

Both ratings will be maintained by the SEB, included in the SEB report, and presented to the SSO. There shall be clear traceability of any scoring changes between initial and best and final proposals.

7. Determination of Competitive Range—

a. Subsequent to the initial identification of proposals considered unacceptable, the SEB will compile initial evaluation findings, of all remaining acceptable proposals, sufficient for determination of the competitive range in accordance with FAR 15.609 and NFS 1815.613-71(b)(4). The competitive range shall be determined by the SEB together with the contracting officer on the basis of Mission Suitability, Cost, Relevant Experience and Past Performance, and Other Considerations stated in the solicitation and shall include all proposals that have a reasonable chance of being selected for award. Competitive range determinations are final unless the SSO determines otherwise.

b. The objective of a competitive range determination is not to eliminate proposals but to facilitate competition by conducting written and/or oral discussions with only those offerors who have a reasonable chance of being selected for award. Where there is doubt as to whether a proposal is or is not within the competitive range, the proposal should be included within the competitive range. The determination of competitive range is a complex determination based on informed judgment. All competitive range decisions shall be completely and adequately documented in the contract file in accordance with NFS 1815.613–71(b)(4).

8. Notification of Unsuccessful Offerors. The contracting officer shall notify each unsuccessful offeror in accordance with FAR 15.1001(b) at the earliest practicable time, in writing, that its proposal is no longer to be considered for contract award.

407 Final Evaluation

1. Evaluation of Plant and Facilities

a. Inspections at the plants of competing offerors could provide valuable insight into the SEB's evaluation of proposals. For instance, in procurements where significant experimental, research, developmental, testing, fabrication, or other work is to be performed (the quality of which may be affected by a contractor's plant or facilities), a complete evaluation may require an on-site visit by the SEB. For other procurements, e.g., support services contracts, plant inspections may serve no useful purpose.

b. When plant inspections are conducted, the visiting team shall include SEB members and any qualified experts required by the SEB. At least one SEB member shall be the same on all team visits to provide continuity and a basis for comparison. Visits are to be conducted, generally, after the SEB's initial evaluation has been completed and for a specific, clearly understood purpose. Visits shall be conducted only with the approval of the SEB Chairperson, who will ensure that all visits are made on an impartial basis. All personnel must remember that only the contracting officer can commit NASA and that they must avoid any contact with an offeror that is not essential or which could raise questions of impropriety. A visit to all offerors within the competitive range is advisable if plant inspections are being conducted.

c. Some potential benefits of plant visits are—

(1) Reviewing with resident Government personnel relevant experience and past performance;

(2) Reviewing the degree of capability and interest of the offeror to undertake the project in light of other work planned or in process; and

(3) Examining such matters as—

(a) Plant capacities;

(b) Management and technical capability of personnel;

(c) Availability of existing facilities, both Government-owned and contractor-owned;

(d) Adequacy of accounting practices and cost controls;

(e) Adequacy of estimating systems; and

(f) Ability to forecast and meet program schedules.

2. Written and/or Oral Discussions

a. Except as provided in FAR 15.610(a), the contracting officer shall conduct written and/or oral discussions with all responsible offerors in the competitive range. If, however, a decision is made in accordance with FAR 15.610(a)(3) to award without conducting written and/or oral discussions upon the completion of the initial evaluation, the SEB Chairperson shall forward a letter to the SSO for approval presenting a summary of the evaluation results. Upon SSO approval, the contracting officer shall undertake to complete all actions necessary to award the contract, without discussions or negotiations, to the offeror submitting the lowest overall cost proposal.

b. Written and/or oral discussions shall be conducted in accordance with FAR 15.610 (with the exception of FAR 15.610(c)(2)) and NFS 1815.613–71(b)(5). Preparation for written and/or oral discussions should include, but not be limited to, the establishment of the following:

(1) The time(s) and place(s) for conducting discussions. This requires establishment of the order of discussions with offerors. When feasible, the order should be established alphabetically or by lot. When discussions are to be at offeror's plants or offices it may be that geographic dispersion will be the deciding factor. The objective is impartiality.

(2) Topics for discussion. This will include preparation and issuance of written questions common to all offerors, as well as those that are peculiar to individual offerors, allowing adequate time for development of responses by offerors.

(3) The Government team that will conduct the discussions. The contracting officer, in concert with the SEB Chairperson, will designate appropriate procurement, pricing, and technical personnel for the Government team in addition to identifying the individuals specified in the offeror's proposal requested to be present for the discussions.

c. The contracting officer, in concert with or on behalf of the SEB, will conduct written and/or oral discussions of the effort to be accomplished and the cost or price of the effort with all offerors determined to be within the competitive range. The discussions are intended to assist the SEB—

(1) In understanding fully each offeror's proposal and its strengths and weaknesses based upon the individual efforts of each offeror;

(2) In assuring that the intent and the points of emphasis of RFP provisions have been adequately conveyed to the offerors so that all offerors are competing equally on the basis intended by the Government;

(3) In evaluating the personnel proposed by each offeror; and

(4) In presenting a report to the SSO that makes the discriminators identified among proposals clear and visible.

d. In cost-reimbursement type contracts and all research and development contracts, the contracting officer shall point out instances where the meaning of some aspect of a proposal is not clear and instances in which some aspects of the proposal failed to include substantiation for a proposed approach, solution, or cost estimate. However, where the meaning of a proposal is clear and the SEB has sufficient information to assess its validity and the proposal contains a weakness that is inherent in an offeror's management, engineering, or scientific judgment or which is the result of its own lack of competence or inventiveness in preparing its proposal, the contracting officer shall not point out the weaknesses. The possibility that such discussions may lead an offeror to discover that it has a weakness is not a reason for failing to inquire into a matter where the meaning is not clear or where insufficient information is available, since understanding of the meaning and validity of the proposed approaches, solutions, and cost estimates is essential to a sound selection. Offerors should not be informed of the relative strengths or weaknesses of their proposals in relation to those of other offerors. To do so would be contrary to regulations which prohibit the use of auction techniques (see FAR 15.610(d)(3)). In the course of discussions, Government participants should be careful not to transmit information which could give leads to one offeror as to how its proposal may be improved or which could reveal a competitor's ideas.

e. In fixed-price type contracts other than for research and development, the specifications ordinarily describe the Government's requirements with more particularity than is possible in cost reimbursement or research and development contracting, so that less emphasis is placed on an offeror's introduction of scientific, engineering, and management innovations. The contracting officer, in written and/or oral discussions, shall point out instances in which some aspect of a proposal contains a weakness in relation to the Government's requirements. However, the contracting officer shall neither point out the relative strengths or weaknesses of a proposal in relation to those of other offerors nor transmit information which could give leads to one offeror as to how its proposal may be improved or which could reveal a competitor's ideas. The contracting officer shall point out price elements that do not appear to be justified and shall encourage offerors to put forward their most favorable price proposals, but shall not discuss, disclose, or compare price elements of any other offeror.

f. Although unusual, if the SEB and the contracting officer, after conducting written and/or oral discussions, determine that a proposal no longer has a reasonable chance of being selected for contract award, the proposal can be eliminated from the competitive range in accordance with FAR 15.609(b). The contracting officer shall notify the unsuccessful offeror in writing that its proposal is no longer eligible for award.

g. If, after conclusion of written and/or oral discussions, the SEB considers that the evaluation findings thus far completed are not sufficiently comprehensive for the SSO to make a decision, it may be prudent and necessary to conduct further discussions or final negotiations with those offerors remaining in the competitive range prior to presentation of the findings to the SSO. This course of action must be considered as an exception to the SEB process and therefore may be accomplished only with the approval of the Assistant Administrator for Procurement.

3. Best and Final Offers (BAFO's)

a. The contracting officer will issue to all offerors still within the competitive range a request for Best and Final Offers (BAFO's) in accordance with FAR 15.611. Oral requests for BAFO's shall be confirmed in writing.

b. A common cutoff date and time that allows each offeror a reasonable opportunity to support and clarify its proposal through submission of a written BAFO shall be established. An offeror may, on its own initiative, revise its proposal and make corrections or improvements until the established cutoff date.

c. Offerors should be cautioned to provide supporting documentation for any changes to their prior offers. Any revision received after the established common cutoff date must be considered late in accordance with FAR 15.412.

d. The cutoff date must be such as to permit adequate time for all offerors to submit revised proposals; particular care must be taken to ensure that there is no compression of time for the offeror with whom discussions were last held, i.e., if 2 weeks is adequate time for submission, then the time allowed should be 2 weeks from the date of last discussions.

4. Evaluation Findings

a. After consideration of all committee reports, information received from offerors through plant visits, written and/or oral discussions, and BAFO's, the SEB shall conduct a final evaluation of proposals.

b. The final evaluation must build on the SEB's earlier recorded findings. The purpose of the final evaluation is to determine the effects, if any, of discussions and BAFO's on the SEB's earlier Mission Suitability scores and adjectival ratings as well as on the initial evaluation of Cost, Relevant Experience and Past Performance, and Other Considerations. Therefore, a clear and logical audit trail shall be maintained for the rationale for changes in ratings and scores, including a detailed account of the SEB decisions leading to the final ratings and scores.

c. The final evaluation must represent the collective judgment of the SEB regarding its assessment of the offerors for each factor evaluated including its assessment of the Mission Suitability subfactors, elements, if any, and its associated ratings, scores, and findings. The adjectival ratings and numerical scores shall reflect the strengths, weaknesses, and discriminators the SEB finds in the proposals. In this way, the reasons for differences in adjectival ratings, numerical scores, and findings can readily be explained to and understood by the SSO.

d. All significant SEB evaluation findings shall be fully documented and incorporated into a written report which will serve as the basis for the selection decision by the SSO.

Chapter 5—Source Evaluation Board Report and Presentation

500 General

This Chapter describes the requirements for the written report and oral presentation to the SSO.

501 Responsibilities and Procedures

1. The SEB shall prepare a written report of its findings, signed by the Chairperson and all voting members of the SEB. It shall present its written report and make an accompanying oral presentation to the SSO. Guidelines for the written report and the oral presentation are set forth in Appendix C.

2. SEB Preliminary Presentation (Dry Run)

a. When the Administrator is the SSO, a preliminary presentation should be made to the Field Installation Director and to the Official-in-Charge of the cognizant Headquarters Program Office. When the Official-in-Charge of the cognizant Headquarters Program Office is the SSO, a preliminary presentation should be made to the Field Installation Director and the cognizant Headquarters Deputy Program/Staff Associate Administrator or the official responsible for the specific project. The dry run presentation is the same presentation prepared for the SSO. Attendance at the dry run shall be restricted to those personnel who are involved in the selection process or who have a valid need-to-know. For Headquarters dry runs, an attendance list will be issued by the SEB coordinator in the Office of Procurement (Code HS). Admittance to the dry run will be restricted to those individuals whose names appear on the attendance list. However changes proposed 24 hours before the presentation will be considered. Persons not on the list will not be admitted without the prior authorization of the SEB coordinator. For field installation dry runs, the attendance list will be prepared by a designee of the Procurement Officer.

b. The following personnel or designees should attend the Headquarters dry run presentation:

(1) Cognizant program/staff officials.

(2) Official-in-Charge of the cognizant Headquarters Program Office in those cases when the Administrator serves as the SSO.

(3) NASA Comptroller.

(4) Assistant Administrator for Procurement and/or Deputy Assistant Administrator for Procurement.

(5) General Counsel and/or Associate General Counsel (Contracts).

(6) Associate Administrator for Safety, Reliability, Maintainability, and Quality Assurance, when SRM&QA matters are involved.

(7) Field Installation Director.

(8) Field Installation Chief Counsel.

(9) Selected voting and nonvoting ex officio SEB members (no designees).

(10) Field Installation Procurement Officer.

(11) Headquarters procurement personnel responsible for support to the Headquarters cognizant Program/Staff Office.

(12) Other personnel as approved by the Official-in-Charge of the cognizant Headquarters Program Office and the Office of Procurement (Code HS).

c. The SEB Chairperson or designee is responsible for arranging and coordinating the SEB dry run with the SEB coordinator. The SEB dry run should take place far enough in advance of the presentation to the SSO to allow for changes or revisions to the presentation material, if necessary. The material used during the dry run presentation is considered to be "SEB Sensitive" and should be treated accordingly. Consequently, the SEB Chairperson is responsible for providing a projectionist, who has been SEB cleared, to present the material for both presentations.

d. The dry run presentation, as well as the presentation to the SSO, will ordinarily be made by the SEB Chairperson; however, if another official has been designated to make the final presentation to the SSO, that person shall also make the dry run presentation. The SEB presentation material shall be delivered to the SEB coordinator for control and distribution no later than 7 working days before the scheduled dry run presentation date. The SEB presentation material includes 10 copies of the SEB report and charts.

3. SEB Formal Presentation

a. The SEB shall present its evaluation findings to the SSO as the basis for a sound selection decision. This formal presentation should be attended by the same personnel or designees in attendance at the dry run, with the exception of the inclusion of the SSO at the formal presentation. Continuity of attendees assures a more efficient formal presentation in addition to limiting access to SEB sensitive data.

b. The cognizant procurement analyst in the Procurement Operations Division (Code HS) will function as the SEB coordinator and will perform coordination functions for the formal presentation when the Administrator or the Official-in-Charge of the cognizant Headquarters Program Office serves as the SSO. These functions include arranging the time and place of the presentation; assuring, with the concurrence of the appropriate personnel in the Office of the Administrator, proper attendance; and distributing SEB reports and graphic material.

4. The designated individuals to whom the SEB presentation(s) (dry run and final) are made will be responsible for ensuring that—

a. The requirements of this handbook and all applicable agency policies have been complied with in the solicitation and evaluation processes;

b. The written report and presentation accurately convey SEB activities and findings;

c. The oral presentation is arranged and conducted in a professional manner; it is complete and informative; and it can be concisely presented within the time allocated by the SSO; and

d. No changes (1) to established evaluation factors, subfactors, elements, weights, or scoring systems or (2) in the substance of the SEB's findings shall be made. They may, however, direct the SEB to reconvene to rectify procedural omissions, irregularities or inconsistencies, substantiate its findings, or revise the organization of the written report and/or the method of presentation.

5. All copies of the SEB reports and presentation material contain sensitive business information in addition to "SEB Sensitive" data and shall be adequately safeguarded throughout the source selection process. Physical safeguarding of sensitive data includes, but is not limited to—

a. Controlling the number of copies of proposals and SEB reports;

b. Restricting access to SEB work areas;

c. Securing SEB material under locked conditions or in vaults;

d. Minimizing SEB presentations other than the dry run and formal presentations; and

e. Limiting attendees at SEB presentations.

Chapter 6—Source Selection

600 General

This Chapter provides guidelines relating to the source selection decision, notice and debriefing for unsuccessful offerors, source selection statement, and multiple selection decisions.

601 Source Selection Decision

1. The SSO shall use the evaluation factors, subfactors, and elements set forth in the solicitation to make the source selection decision. The SSO shall consider the SEB findings and the advice provided by cognizant line and staff management at the conclusion of the SEB presentation in determining which of the proposals submitted in response to the solicitation would prove most advantageous to the Government, all factors considered.

2. In accordance with NASA policy and FAR 15.613, upon source selection by the SSO, the contracting officer will proceed with negotiations leading to award of contract(s).

602 Notice and Debriefing for Unsuccessful Offerors

1. a. When a proposal is no longer to be considered for contract award, the offeror will be promptly notified by the contracting officer, in writing, together, with a general, brief explanation of the major reasons in accordance with FAR 15.1001 and NFS 1815.613–71(b)(7). There are, generally, five points in the SEB process when it may be determined that a proposal is no longer to be considered for contract award:

(1) After determination that stated qualification standards, if any, have not been satisfactorily met.

(2) After identification of an unacceptable proposal in accordance with subparagraph 406.5 of this handbook.

(3) After determination of the competitive range resulting from the initial evaluation of proposals.

(4) After written and/or oral discussions resulting in a determination that a proposal does not stand a reasonable chance of being selected for award.

(5) After the selection decision by the Source Selection Official.

b. In the first four instances, the notice shall state that a revision of the proposal will not be considered. Post-selection notices shall be issued in accordance with FAR 15.1001(c) and 15.1002.

2. If any offeror requests a debriefing in writing, the offeror shall be formally debriefed and furnished the basis for the selection decision after the final source selection decision by the SSO. This debriefing should normally take place prior to contract award and be conducted in accordance with NMI 5103.1, "Debriefing of Unsuccessful Companies in Competitive Negotiated Procurements." If the situation will not permit delaying the award in order to debrief unsuccessful offerors, the debriefing may be conducted after award.

603 Source Selection Statement

1. When the final source selection decision has been made by the SSO, a Source Selection Statement shall be prepared for the SSO's signature in a manner releasable to the competing offerors and the general public, if requested. When the Administrator or an Official-in-Charge of a Headquarters Program Office is the SSO, the Statement will be prepared by the Office of General Counsel. Source Selection Statements prepared at the field installation should be drafted by the Field Installation Chief Counsel or designee.

2. Source Selection Statements must describe the procurement; the SEB evaluation procedures; the substance of the Mission Suitability evaluation; evaluation of the Cost factor, Relevant Experience and Past Performance factor, and Other Considerations factor involved in reaching the selection decision. There should be coverage of unacceptable proposals, the competitive range determination, late proposals, or any other pertinent considerations applicable to the specific decision. It must be stressed that nothing can substitute for the use of good judgment. The Source Selection Statement must be self-sufficient and must reveal sound rationale for the selection clearly and succinctly, without revealing the scores involved, the proposed prices, or any other confidential business information.

3. Source Selection Statements shall be signed as soon as practicable after the final selection is made. The SSO may desire to have one or more key officials who participated in the selection decision concur on the Source Selection Statement prior to final signature.

4. As indicated in subparagraph 1, Source Selection Statements generally may be released to competing offerors and the general public; however, it is anticipated that the Statement will not always be available in final form or signed by the Source Selection Official at the time of debriefing of unsuccessful offerors. A draft of the decision portion of the Statement that has been reviewed by the SSO or a concurring official should be available to the designated Debriefing Official for guidance at the debriefing. In order to avoid any misunderstanding, the Source Selection Statement rationale contained in the draft Statement should have the approval of the SSO or a concurring official prior to any debriefing(s). This will enable NASA to demonstrate, for the record, that the SSO's selection rationale was not modified substantially (editorial changes can be made) as a result of the debriefings. If requested by an offeror a copy of the final Source Selection Statement will be furnished to the offeror when it is signed by the SSO, subject to the provisions of subparagraph 5.

5. a. Under some situations, multiple selections are made for the purpose of permitting a design or other competition to continue until a final selection is made for a single contractor to undertake full-scale development. Stating the strengths and weaknesses in the Source Selection Statement in these cases may result in the premature disclosure of innovative concepts, designs, and approaches. Release of these Selection Statements to competing offerors or the general public prior to final selection of concept(s) or contractor(s) for full-scale development could compromise the integrity of the competition by making possible a transfusion of ideas which could also inhibit offerors during the early phase from offering their best and most promising ideas for meeting the mission need.

b. Accordingly, unless prior approval is obtained through the Headquarters Procurement Operations Division (Code HS) with the concurrence of the Office of General Counsel, Source Selection Statements for the selection of alternative system design concepts subject to NMI 7100.14, "Major System Acquisitions," are not to be released to competing offerors or the general public, if requested, prior to the release of the Source Selection Statement for full-scale development.

c. A similar problem may occur in other procurements where competition continues but is not covered under NMI 7100.14, "Major System Acquisitions." When possible, care should be taken to set forth the strengths and weaknesses and other information in a manner that will avoid this problem. However, if this is not feasible, the Statement should not be released except in accordance with the procedures of the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552.

604 Multiple Selection Decisions

1. While SEB procedures contemplate that the SSO will be in a position to select a single source for final contract negotiations subsequent to the SEB presentation, a variety of considerations may lead the SSO to direct that contract negotiations be conducted with two or more offerors. Such negotiations are to result in complete contract documents signed by the offerors which may be accepted by the agency upon final selection of the successful offeror by the SSO.

2. The SEB shall consult with the SSO, the contracting officer, and the negotiating team regarding negotiation positions, objectives, and information to be obtained during negotiations to assist the SEB in making its final evaluation and report after the negotiations. The objectives of negotiations are essentially the same as those where a single offeror has been selected for final contract negotiation and award; each offeror's correctable weaknesses should be pointed out and corrected during negotiations, using whatever technical and other information is known and which the Government has the right to use. Similarly, negotiations should be conducted to result in a fair and reasonable cost or price. Particular attention shall be given to any instructions which the SSO may have given when he/she directed the multiple contract negotiations.

3. The final contract negotiation process differs from the written and oral discussions previously held with offerors in the competitive range. Discussions have the specific function of obtaining information for evaluation and selection purposes, while the final contract negotiations have the additional function of presenting that information in contractually binding form. For this reason, it is essential that each offeror be brought to the most favorable terms that the negotiation process can produce, including technical and scientific approaches, management arrangements, and estimated costs (or fixed prices where applicable). The prohibition against auction techniques in FAR 15.610(d)(3) is equally applicable to these negotiations.

4. Upon completion of the negotiations and agreement on contract terms, the SEB shall conduct a final evaluation, focusing on a comparative analysis of the contracts negotiated, their relative strengths and remaining weaknesses, their estimated costs and fee(s)/prices and probable costs, and any other factors that might influence the selection. The evaluation must build on the SEB's earlier report and presentation to the SSO which resulted in the decision to have multiple contract negotiations conducted. The evaluation is to determine the effects, if any, of the contract negotiations on the SEB's earlier final Mission Suitability scores as well as on evaluation of Cost, Relevant Experience and Past Performance, and Other Considerations; however, an arithmetic rescoring is not to be accomplished. A summary of the results of the evaluation shall be prepared for presentation to the SSO including any changes in the SEB's assessment of each proposal. The revisions may be expressed in a narrative analysis including an appropriate adjectival rating.

5. a. Upon completing its evaluation of the results of contract negotiations, the SEB shall report its findings to the SSO. This is to be accomplished by oral presentation and supplemental written report. When the Administrator is serving as the SSO, this should be preceded by preliminary presentations to the Field Installation Director and to the Official-in-Charge of the cognizant Headquarters Program Office.

b. The report and presentation shall include the following:

(1) A summary review of the previous report and presentation.

(2) A brief discussion of significant weaknesses and strengths of the companies involved, as reported and presented in the previous report and presentation, with emphasis on key dis-criminators, if any.

(3) A discussion of how any instructions given by the Source Selection Official were carried out.

(4) The results of the negotiation, and the impact, if any, on the SEB's findings and conclusions in the previous report, including Mission Suitability, Cost, Relevant Experience and Past Performance, and Other Considerations, as appropriate.

(5) Discussions of any matters or areas of substance that arose during the negotiations and that were not present in the proposals or the earlier oral and/or written discussions or BAFO's.

6. The contracting officer should be present and should participate, as appropriate, in the presentation to the SSO. The contracting officer or designee will bring to the presentation copies of the contracts signed by the offerors.

Appendix A to Appendix I of 1870.303—Sample Letter of Designation

TO:
FROM:
SUBJECT: Source Evaluation Board (SEB) for _____

Pursuant to Chapter 2 of the NASA Source Evaluation Board Handbook (NHB 5103.6), I hereby designate the following individuals to serve as members of the SEB for the purpose of evaluating proposals received in response to the solicitation for _____:

Chairperson:

Name of individual, functional title, and organizational assignment.

Other Voting Members:

Names of individuals, functional titles, and organizational assignments.

SEB Recorder:

Name, functional title, and organizational assignment.

Nonvoting Ex Officio Members:

Name, functional title, and organizational assignment.

The SEB will conduct its business in strict accordance with the provisions of the SEB handbook. The SEB Chairperson is responsible for determining that each SEB member (both voting and nonvoting) is fully conversant with the instructions contained in the handbook. SEB duties will take precedence over other regular duties of the SEB members.

Attention of the SEB Chairperson and each SEB member is particularly directed to Chapter 2 of the SEB handbook which describes the roles of key participants in the SEB process, including cognizant line and staff management, the SEB, and the Source Selection Official (SSO). The importance of the SEB function to agency programs necessitates continual management involvement throughout the evaluation and selection process.

It is emphasized that the SEB report and presentation are the principal tools available to the SSO to perform a comparative analysis for making the final source selection decision and must be presented in sufficient depth to permit the intelligent weighing of alternatives. All proposals will be evaluated and reported in accordance with the SEB handbook. The SEB's written findings will give no consideration to elements which are extraneous to the objectives of this procurement.

Attention of the SEB Chairperson and the SEB is further directed to FAR 15.612(e) and Chapter 2 of the SEB handbook which prohibit the disclosure of information to anyone who is not also participating in the same evaluation proceedings. After receipt of proposals, all information contained in the proposals submitted for evaluation will be protected and will be made available only to the members (voting and nonvoting) of the SEB and to properly designated committees and panels on a need-to-know basis. The right to information on a need-to-know basis does not extend to the normal chain of supervision of any member of the SEB or to any individual having technical responsibility for the effort being evaluated except as specifically approved by the SEB Chairperson on a case-by-case basis. Individuals so designated by the SEB Chairperson will be notified, in writing, of the privileged character of proposal information.

Signature of Designating Date Official

Date

Appendix B to Appendix I of 1870.303 Individual Certificate for Source Evaluation Board Participants

1. I, the undersigned, a participant in the Source Evaluation Board (SEB) proceedings for the competition of the _____ contract, certify that I will not discuss or reveal any information concerning these SEB proceedings to anyone who is not also participating in the same SEB proceedings, and then only to the extent that such information is required in connection with such proceedings on a need-to-know basis.

2. I further agree that at any time I discover that I have an interest in, or connection with, a company submitting a proposal for evaluation by the Board or advisory committee on which I serve, I shall promptly report, in writing, the fact of my interest or connection, and the nature of it, to the person who has appointed me to the Board or advisory committee, through the SEB Chairperson. I recognize that a reportable interest or connection includes the following:

a. Ownership of a company's securities by myself or my spouse.

b. A close family relationship to an official of a company submitting a proposal or participating as a subcontractor.

c. Any other interest in or connection with a company which might tend to subject NASA to criticism on the basis that such interest or connection would impair my objectivity in participating on the Board or advisory committee of which I am a member.

3. I further certify that I have read and understand NHB 1900.1, "Standards of Conduct for NASA Employees."

4. In addition, I fully realize that any breach by me of my obligation to safeguard and not disclose to unauthorized persons any information made available to me concerning the evaluation may result in appropriate disciplinary action, provided for by law or regulation, being taken against me by duly constituted authority.

Signature Date

Date

Appendix C to Appendix I of 1870.303—Guidelines for the SEB Report and Presentation to the Source Selection Official

I. Introduction

A. In preparing the SEB report and presentation, emphasis should be placed on substance. This Appendix C provides guidance on content and format of the SEB report and the oral presentation to the SSO. This guidance is designed to be appropriate for most procurements. If a specific procurement has peculiarities which cause the proposed format to be impractical, the SEB Chairperson may alter it in any manner that does not detract from the substance.

B. The findings of the SEB are presented in two parts, the written SEB report and an oral presentation. This Appendix sets forth the minimum requirements. The detail and use of additional schedules or other information are, of course, governed by the nature and scope of the subject being presented.

C. The SEB shall approve the written report and the graphic material to be used for the accompanying oral presentation. Viewgraph presentations are appropriate where the nature of the presentation permits. Copies of visual aids to be utilized for the oral presentation should be separately bound in a folder identified as "Briefing Charts" to accompany the written report.

D. A "Glossary of Terms" should be included in the SEB Report when appropriate to define acronyms or abbreviations.

E. Copies of the SEB report and related briefing charts shall be serially numbered and controlled by the Recorder and may be distributed or disclosed only to persons having responsibilities relating to the specific source evaluation proceeding involved, except as may otherwise be approved by the Administrator or designee.

II. Written SEB Report

A. Description of the Requirement

1. *Procurement Description.* Provide a narrative description of the technical requirement being procured together with its scientific objectives. Explain any follow-on effort which has been planned or for which program approval will be requested. Describe the relationship with other efforts in process or planned. Explain any particular technical complexities which had an important effect on the solicitation or the evaluation of proposals. Include a table of contents in the report.

2. *Funding.* State the funding applicable to the effort including:

a. The Government estimate for the procurement, if feasible.

b. The estimated amount to be obligated under the basic contract.

c. The estimated amount to be obligated under each priced option.

d. The estimated cost of any follow-on effort to be procured under separate contract, e.g., subsequent phases.

3. *Procurement Approach.* a. State the date that the applicable procurement plan was approved.

b. Discuss any special procurement considerations which applied to the

procurement being evaluated, e.g., the use of phased procurements.

c. Explain how the use of the type of contract approved in the procurement plan will advance NASA objectives; give reasons and rationale for the selection of contract type, including the applicability or inapplicability of the various incentive concepts (award fee, cost, performance, schedule, and multiple).

B. SEB Roster and Chronology of Events

1. *SEB Roster.* Include the SEB designation letter and any changes to it. As a supplement, provide the names, functional titles, and organizational assignments of the chairpersons of any committees and panels used by the SEB.

2. *Chronology.* Provide a chronology of major events connected with the source evaluation, such as:

a. The date(s) the source list and the Statement of Work were received for consideration by the SEB.

b. The dates the evaluation factors, subfactors, elements, and their definitions for incorporation into the solicitation, the relative weights of factors and the qualification standards, if applicable, were approved.

c. The date(s) the SEB with the assistance of the cognizant procurement and program or project offices approved the source list and the RFP.

d. The date the RFP was issued.

e. The date and place of any preproposal conference.

f. The date(s) of any RFP amendment(s).

g. The due date for receipt of proposals.

h. The date(s) and disposition of any late proposal(s) received.

i. The date the SEB was convened for proposal evaluation.

j. The date of the competitive range determination.

k. The dates and places of discussions with each offeror in the competitive range.

l. The date the request for BAFO's was issued.

m. The common cutoff date for conclusion of discussions and receipt of BAFO's.

n. The date the SEB completed its findings.

o. The date of the presentation to the SSO.

3. *Sources.* Provide a composite list, in alphabetical order, of all sources solicited and sources submitting proposals by company name and address. The list should be footnoted to explain any code used. Include a list of team members and subcontractors for those companies that submitted proposals.

C. Evaluation and SEB Findings

1. *Factors, Subfactors, Elements, and Weights*—a. *Qualification Standards.* State any specific qualification standards included in the RFP, and explain why each was necessary.

b. *Evaluation Factors, Subfactors, and Elements.* State the evaluation factors used in the evaluation and their relative order of importance. State the Mission Suitability subfactors and elements, if any, their definitions, and the weights assigned to each. Explain the rationale for the proportionate weights assigned to each subfactor and element, if any, set forth relevant excerpts from the RFP which describe the evaluation factors, subfactors, and elements, if any, and their relative importance.

2. *Evaluation Process*—a. Discuss assignments made to committees and panels. Identify the factors, subfactors, and/or elements assigned for review and the rationale for assignment. Include any individual committee report necessary to understand the SEB results.

b. Discuss the adjective rating and numerical scoring methods and techniques used by the SEB.

c. Discuss the procedure used for the determination of the competitive range.

d. Describe the steps taken in verifying the offeror's relevant experience, past performance, and current capabilities, e.g., plant visits, customer checks, and audit reports.

e. Provide a summary of written questions common to all offerors and specific written questions addressed to particular offerors.

f. State the common cutoff date for conclusion of discussions and receipt of BAFO's.

g. Include an exposition of the SEB proceedings that is sufficient to verify proper procedures were followed and sufficient to bring out any procedural irregularities that might exist. Procedural problem areas, if any, are to be covered specifically.

h. Include copies of all letters addressed to or from the SEB regarding the SEB proceedings.

3. *Initial Evaluation Findings*—a. *Summary Schedules.* Provide the following schedules:

(1) A single schedule listing all proposals in descending order of scores received for Mission Suitability stating the assigned score for each subfactor and weighted element. Each proposal should also be classified with the appropriate adjectival rating which indicates the SEB's composite appraisal of the Mission Suitability evaluation. In addition, the appropriate summary or adjectival rating for Cost, Relevant Experience and Past Performance, and Other Considerations should be included as a part of this schedule.

(2) Provide summary charts of what the SEB considers to be the significant discriminators.

b. *Statement of Findings.* (1) Discuss briefly each proposal determined to be unacceptable and the rationale for the decision.

(2) Discuss each acceptable proposal in descending order of Mission Suitability scores. Include each offeror's estimated cost or price providing a breakdown by element (labor, material, subcontracts, overhead, G&A, and fee); provide the SEB's analysis and evaluation of the adequacy (for all offerors, including those not within the competitive range), realism (for all offerors determined to be within the competitive range), and most probable cost (for at a minimum, each proposal within the competitive range) of each respective cost proposal. Discuss the SEB's evaluation of Relevant Experience and Past Performance and Other Considerations. Include a discussion of the following considerations:

(a) Evaluation of the proposal as related to each factor, subfactor and element and to the approved evaluation plan in sufficient detail to permit examination of the findings of each evaluation phase and to trace discriminators to the final results. Where changes in rating or scoring have occurred during the process, a logical visible thread of the rationale for such changes in rating or scoring should be provided.

(b) Provide a discussion of the major and minor strengths and weaknesses of the proposal with an estimate of the potential for correction of weaknesses identified. Clearly list the strengths and weaknesses and identify them as major or minor, in addition to the narrative, to provide clear traceability throughout the evaluation process.

(c) Provide an analysis of key personnel.

(d) Discuss significant changes in the proposal, including the elimination of any correctable weaknesses, that would have to be negotiated after selection with a discussion of the negotiation cost objectives associated with those changes.

(e) Discuss the evaluation of the Cost factor, including the effects of the proposed cost on the technical and management effort.

(f) Provide a best estimate of the probable cost of performance, if selected, together with an indication of the confidence in the SEB's estimate.

(g) Provide any information or analysis that would be helpful to the SSO in determining the impact of cost in making a selection decision.

(h) Provide information to reflect the offeror's financial capability to perform the contract effort. A listing of possible information includes:

(i) Complete name and location of the organizational element proposing the effort.

(ii) Complete name and location of the parent corporation, if any.

(iii) Place or places of performance of the proposed effort.

(iv) Recent history of sales (of the particular division or entity involved), by customer, including industry and Government customers.

(v) Sales projections, by customer, for the period involved in the procurement.

(vi) Recent history of earnings of the division or entity involved in the procurement, if available.

(i) Present a brief analysis of the fee arrangement including any incentive arrangements proposed, e.g., how the rewards would be earned or lost, the benefit to NASA, and any changes to be sought in negotiations (target minimum or maximum fee levels, sharing formula, or ceiling) which will improve the coverage of the incentive toward the attainment of the NASA objectives. Indicate whether, and for what reason, any offeror took exception to or suggested an alternative to the arrangement contemplated in the RFP. Provide an analysis of the importance the SEB attaches to such an exception or alternative.

(j) Provide detailed information on Relevant Experience and Past Performance. Provide information on the offeror's response to the RFP requirements for data in this category, the evaluation of the data by the SEB, the sources or references contacted, etc.; summarize conclusions and highlight significant accomplishments or failures.

Provide the rationale for the adjectival rating of the SEB.

(k) Discuss the evaluation of Other Considerations and the rationale for the adjectival assessment of each subfactor under this factor.

4. *Competitive Range Determination.* Discuss the competitive range determination and the rationale for the decision, summarizing the evaluation findings that provided the basis for the decision.

5. *Written and/or Oral Discussions.* a. Summarize the content of the written and/or oral discussions, the personnel included in the proposal who were invited to participate, and the list of attendees, including both Government and offeror personnel.

b. Discuss the time allotted for receipt of BAFO's and each proposal revision received.

6. *Final Evaluation Findings.* Summarize the BAFO revisions and provide a discussion of the evaluation of the revised proposals and any resultant changes in rating or scoring. Provide the rationale for any changes. Clearly identify from the previous list of major and minor strengths and weaknesses any revisions, additions, and/or deletions to provide clear traceability throughout the evaluation process.

7. *Oral Presentation.* a. The SEB Chairperson is normally responsible for conducting the presentation to the SSO. It is the Chairperson's function to convey concisely and accurately the results of the SEB deliberations to permit an informed and objective selection of the best source for the particular procurement.

b. As a general rule, the SEB Chairperson's prepared oral presentation should not exceed an hour to be followed by a question and answer period. Copies of the viewgraphs to supplement the SEB report forwarded to the SSO are to be available to those attending the oral presentation. Relevant backup material is to also be available at the presentation.

c. The main thrust of the oral presentation is to focus upon issues and problems identified by the SEB's findings and to highlight the reasonable alternative choices available to the SSO. This presentation must include an explanation of any applicable qualification standards; evaluation factors, subfactors, and elements; the major strengths and weaknesses of the offerors; the Government estimate, if applicable; the offerors' proposed cost/price; the probable cost; the proposed fee arrangements; the results of written and/or oral discussions; the BAFO's; the final evaluation findings; and the final adjectival ratings and scores. These aspects of the report are central to its meaning, and must be reviewed in the oral presentation despite the redundancy to the written report.

d. The presentation shall clearly indicate any discriminators. This requires the presentation of scores in enough detail to provide an adequate basis for the SSO to assess the validity of the judgments made by the SEB. This detail shall extend at least to all levels of subfactors and numerically scored elements.

e. A suggested progression of charts follows. Brevity and understandability are key. Charts should highlight the significant aspects of the comprehensive written report. They should prompt discussion. Sample charts are not included in this handbook since these tend to lead toward unnecessary or even inappropriate standardization. As appropriate, the Assistant Administrator for Procurement will, from time to time, disseminate sample charts illustrative of approaches or techniques of exceptional merit and usefulness.

(1) *Identification of the Procurement.* This chart should identify the installation, the nature of the services or hardware to be procured, some quantitative measure including the Government estimate for the procurement, and the kind of contractual arrangement planned. Detailed objectives of the procurement should be avoided.

(2) *Background.* This item is useful to identify any earlier phases of a phased procurement or, as in the case of continuing support services, to identify the incumbent and any consolidations or proposed changes from the existing structure.

(3) *Evaluation Factors, Subfactors, and Elements.* An explanation of any qualification standards and the evaluation factors, subfactors, and, if utilized, elements, along with assigned weights, is an important part of any presentation of SEB findings. The relative order of importance of the evaluation factors and, within Mission Suitability, the numerical weights of the subfactors and, if utilized, numerically scored elements should also be presented. The adjectival scoring system utilized as an aid in evaluation of Mission Suitability should be presented.

(4) *Sources.* This chart will indicate the number of potential offerors solicited, the number of potential offerors expressing interest, e.g., attendance at a preproposal conference, and the identification of offerors submitting proposals in response to the solicitation. Small businesses, small disadvantaged businesses, and women-owned businesses can be identified here. In addition, a chart must be included for each offeror indicating the Chief Executive Officer, the location of the offeror, some representative products, and similar information for each major subcontractor proposed.

(5) *Summary of Findings.* The summary chart shall, as simply as possible, list the initial Mission Suitability ratings and scores, the final Mission Suitability ratings and scores, the offerors' proposed costs/prices, and the SEB's assessment of the probable costs. In addition, any clear discriminator, problem, or issue which could have an effect upon the selection should be introduced at this time. The determination of competitive range must be addressed here.

(6) *Strengths and Weaknesses of Offerors.* These charts can be among the most valuable presented, and its preparation could initially assist the SEB Chairperson in distilling the essence of the SEB's findings. Certain guidelines should be followed in the preparation of these charts:

(a) Only the major strengths and weaknesses of individual offerors should be selected for presentation. The significance of strengths and weaknesses is lost when exhibited in long lists without distinction as to importance.

(b) The strengths and weaknesses should be directly related to the evaluation factors, subfactors, and elements.

(c) Strengths and weaknesses should be as clear as charting techniques and limitations will permit. Care should be taken to avoid an analysis of strengths and weaknesses based totally on numerical scores. This practice limits the SEB and the SSO in their evaluation and selection. For example, not all major weaknesses could be considered of equal value—some are correctable during negotiations and some are not.

(d) It is important to indicate the significance of major weaknesses. Can they be corrected during negotiations? If so, at what cost?

(e) The results and impact, if any, of written and/or oral discussions and BAFO's on ratings and scores must be clearly indicated.

(f) Key personnel charts must be included in the presentation, providing a list of the key personnel for each offeror together with, as appropriate, their educational background, general background, and any pertinent details applicable to this procurement.

(7) *Final Mission Suitability Ratings and Scores.* This chart is to summarize, as simply as the material will permit, the evaluation subfactors and elements, the maximum points achievable, and the scores of the offerors in the competitive range.

(8) *Final Cost Evaluation.* This chart summarizes probable costs associated with each offeror including proposed fee arrangements. The data should be as accurate as possible; therefore, SEB adjustments to achieve comparability should be shown. Further, the presentation of this chart should include the measure of confidence the SEB has in the costs of the individual offerors, noting the reasons for low or high confidence.

(9) *Relevant Experience and Past Performance.* This chart should reflect the summary conclusions. This information is to be supported and amplified by specific case data with particular emphasis on exemplary or inferior performance and its potential bearing on the instant procurement.

(10) *Other Considerations.* This chart lists and discusses the Other Considerations addressed in the RFP, providing an appropriate adjectival rating for each of the Other Considerations subfactors.

(11) *Special Interest.* This chart should include only information of special interest to the SSO that has not been discussed elsewhere, e.g., procedural errors or other matters that could have an effect on the selection decision.

[FR Doc. 89-15645 Filed 7-5-89; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7510-01-M

Reader Aids

Federal Register

Vol. 54, No. 127

Wednesday, July 5, 1989

INFORMATION AND ASSISTANCE

Federal Register

Index, finding aids & general information	523-5227
Public inspection desk	523-5215
Corrections to published documents	523-5237
Document drafting information	523-5237
Machine readable documents	523-5237

Code of Federal Regulations

Index, finding aids & general information	523-5227
Printing schedules	523-3419

Laws

Public Laws Update Service (numbers, dates, etc.)	523-6641
Additional information	523-5230

Presidential Documents

Executive orders and proclamations	523-5230
Public Papers of the Presidents	523-5230
Weekly Compilation of Presidential Documents	523-5230

The United States Government Manual

General information	523-5230

Other Services

Data base and machine readable specifications	523-3408
Guide to Record Retention Requirements	523-3187
Legal staff	523-4534
Library	523-5240
Privacy Act Compilation	523-3187
Public Laws Update Service (PLUS)	523-6641
TDD for the deaf	523-5229

FEDERAL REGISTER PAGES AND DATES, JULY

27855-28016.....3
28017-28408.....5

CFR PARTS AFFECTED DURING JULY

At the end of each month, the Office of the Federal Register publishes separately a List of CFR Sections Affected (LSA), which lists parts and sections affected by documents published since the revision date of each title.

3 CFR

Administrative Orders:
Presidential Determinations:
No. 89-16 of
June 22, 1989.....28017

7 CFR

29.....27855
916.....27856
917.....27856
946.....27862
1260.....28019
1941.....28019
1943.....28019
1951.....28019, 28020
1980.....28021
Proposed Rules:
29.....27883
1762.....27883

9 CFR

Proposed Rules:
75.....28070

10 CFR

2.....27864
51.....27864
60.....27864

12 CFR

Proposed Rules:
5.....28072
561.....27885
563.....27885

14 CFR

39.....28022, 28023, 28025, 28026, 28028
71.....28029
97.....28029
Proposed Rules:
Ch. I.....28074
71.....28074

16 CFR

305.....28031

20 CFR

655.....28037

21 CFR

556.....28051
558.....28051, 28154

24 CFR

203.....28053

26 CFR

Proposed Rules:
1.....28075

29 CFR

1910.....28054, 28154
2610.....27872
2622.....27872
2644.....27872

33 CFR

167.....28061

37 CFR

201.....27873

39 CFR

111.....27879

40 CFR

52.....27880
82.....28062

42 CFR

57.....28065

47 CFR

Proposed Rules:
73.....27904, 28077

48 CFR

705.....28068
706.....28068
715.....28068
752.....28068
Ch. 18.....28186

49 CFR

192.....27881
193.....27881
195.....27881

LIST OF PUBLIC LAWS

Note: No public bills which have become law were received by the Office of the Federal Register for inclusion in today's List of Public Laws.

Last List July 3, 1989

Microfiche Editions Available...

Federal Register

The Federal Register is published daily in 24x microfiche format and mailed to subscribers the following day via first class mail. As part of a microfiche Federal Register subscription, the LSA (List of CFR Sections Affected) and the Cumulative Federal Register Index are mailed monthly.

Code of Federal Regulations

The Code of Federal Regulations, comprising approximately 193 volumes and revised at least once a year on a quarterly basis, is published in 24x microfiche format and the current year's volumes are mailed to subscribers as issued. Or, the previous year's full set may be purchased at a reduced price and mailed as a single shipment.

Microfiche Subscription Prices:

Federal Register:

One year: $195
Six months: $97.50

Code of Federal Regulations:

Current year (as issued): $188

Previous year's full set: $115
(single shipment)



Superintendent of Documents Subscriptions Order Form

Order Processing Code
* 6462

Charge your order. It's easy!

Charge orders may be telephoned to the GPO order desk at (202) 783-3238 from 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. eastern time Monday-Friday (except holidays)

☐ **YES,** please send me the following indicated subscriptions.

24x MICROFICHE FORMAT:

____ **Federal Register:** ____ One year as issued: $195 ____ Six months: $97.50

____ **Code of Federal Regulations:** ____ Current year $188 ____ Previous year's full set. $115 (single shipment)

1. The total cost of my order is $_____ . All prices include regular domestic postage and handling and are subject to change. International customers please add 25%.

Please Type or Print

2. _____
(Company or personal name)

_____
(Additional address/attention line)

_____
(Street address)

_____
(City, State, ZIP Code)

(_____) _____
(Daytime phone including area code)

3. Please choose method of payment:

☐ Check payable to the Superintendent of Documents
☐ GPO Deposit Account ☐☐☐☐☐☐☐-☐
☐ VISA or MasterCard Account
☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐

_____
(Credit card expiration date)

Thank you for your order!

_____
(Signature)

4. Mail To: Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402-9371 (Rev. 1-1-89)