Tuesday
June 2, 1987

Briefings on How To Use the Federal Register—
For information on briefings in Chicago, IL, and Boston,
MA, see announcement on the inside cover of this issue.
FEDERAL REGISTER Published daily, Monday through Friday, (not published on Saturdays, Sundays, or on official holidays), by the Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Administration, Washington, DC 20408, under the Federal Register Act (49 Stat. 500, as amended; 44 U.S.C. Ch. 15) and the regulations of the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register (1 CFR Ch. I). Distribution is made only by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402.

The Federal Register provides a uniform system for making available to the public regulations and legal notices issued by Federal agencies. These include Presidential proclamations and Executive Orders and Federal agency documents having general applicability and legal effect, documents required to be published by act of Congress and other Federal agency documents of public interest. Documents are on file for public inspection in the Office of the Federal Register the day before they are published, unless earlier filing is requested by the issuing agency.

The Federal Register will be furnished by mail to subscribers for $340.00 per year, or $170.00 for 6 months, payable in advance. The charge for individual copies is $1.50 for each issue, or $1.50 for each group of pages as actually bound. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402.

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the Federal Register.

Questions and requests for specific information may be directed to the telephone numbers listed under INFORMATION AND ASSISTANCE in the READER AIDS section of this issue.

How To Cite This Publication: Use the volume number and the page number. Example: 52 FR 12345.

THE FEDERAL REGISTER
WHAT IT IS AND HOW TO USE IT
WHO: The Office of the Federal Register.
WHAT: Free public briefings (approximately 2 1/2 hours) to present:
1. The regulatory process, with a focus on the Federal Register system and the public’s role in the development of regulations.
3. The important elements of typical Federal Register documents.
WHY: To provide the public with access to information necessary to research Federal agency regulations which directly affect them. There will be no discussion of specific agency regulations.

CHICAGO, IL
WHEN: July 8, at 9 a.m.
WHERE: Room 204A, Everett McKinley Dirksen Federal Building, 219 S. Dearborn Street, Chicago, IL.
RESERVATIONS: Call the Chicago Federal Information Center, 312-353-0339.

BOSTON, MA
WHEN: July 15, at 9 a.m.
WHERE: Main Auditorium, Federal Building, 10 Causeway Street, Boston, MA.
RESERVATIONS: Call the Boston Federal Information Center, 617-565-8129.
Agricultural Marketing Service
RULES
Eggs and spent fowl marketing orders:
Procedure for referenda
Correction, 20591

Agriculture Department
See Agricultural Marketing Service; Soil Conservation Service

Air Force Department
NOTICES
Meetings:
Scientific Advisory Board, 20636

Arts and Humanities, National Foundation
See National Foundation on the Arts and Humanities

Centers for Disease Control
NOTICES
Meetings:
Community water fluoridation strategies, 20641

Commerce Department
See Foreign-Trade Zones Board; National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

Commodity Futures Trading Commission
RULES
Conflict of interests, 20592

Customs Service
RULES
Financial accounting procedure:
Harbor maintenance fee, 20593

Defense Department
See also Air Force Department
NOTICES
Agency information collection activities under OMB review, 209636
Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR):
Agency information collection activities under OMB review, 20635

Drug Enforcement Administration
RULES
Manufacturers, distributors, and dispensers of controlled substances, registration requirements, etc.:
Time period for registration, 20598
NOTICES
Schedules of controlled substances; production quotas:
Schedules I and II—1987 aggregate, 20650
Applications, hearings, determinations, etc.:
Johnson Matthey, Inc., 20651
Johnson Matthey, Inc.; correction, 20651

Employment and Training Administration
RULES
Allen permanent employment labor certification process:
Foreign medical school graduates, 20593
NOTICES
Labor surplus area classifications:
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Energy Department
NOTICES
Environmental statements; availability, etc.:
Hanford Site near Richland, WA, 20638
Rifle, CO, 20638
Meetings:
International Energy Agency Industry Working Party et al., 20638
Patent licenses, exclusive:
McRae, Dr. Thomas G., 20639

Environmental Protection Agency
RULES
Water pollution control:
National primary drinking water regulations—Codification rule, 20672

Equal Employment Opportunity Commission
NOTICES
Meetings; Sunshine Act, 20669

Farm Credit Administration
NOTICES
Meetings; Sunshine Act, 20669

Federal Communications Commission
RULES
Common carrier services:
Telephone company uniform system of accounts—Accounting treatment of antitrust litigation costs, 20599
PROPOSED RULES
Common carrier services:
Public land mobile services—Revision and update, 20630
NOTICES
Common carrier services:
International and domestic record service—Western Union rates investigation, 20640
Low power radio, frequency devices (Form 740); interpretation, 20641
Applications, hearings, determinations, etc.:
Sansui Electronics Corp.; monetary forfeiture assessment review, 20640

Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation
NOTICES
Meetings; Sunshine Act, 20669, 20670
(3 documents)

Federal Highway Administration
NOTICES
Environmental statements; notice of intent:
Polk County, FL, 20662

Federal Home Loan Bank Board
NOTICES
Senior Executive Service:
Performance Review Board; membership, 20641
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Notices</th>
<th>Rules</th>
<th>Proposals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Federal Maritime Commission</td>
<td>Agreements filed, etc., 20641</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Mine Safety and Health Review Commission</td>
<td>Meetings; Sunshine Act, 20670</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Reserve System</td>
<td>Meetings; Sunshine Act, 20670</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Retirement Thrift Investment Board</td>
<td>Employee elections to contribute to thrift savings plan, 20691</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<td>Marine mammal permit applications, 20633</td>
<td></td>
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<td></td>
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</tr>
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<td>Applications, hearings, determinations, etc.: California, 20634</td>
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</tr>
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<td>Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR): Agency information collection activities under OMB review, 20635</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Care Financing Administration</td>
<td>Medicare: Ambulatory surgical procedures performed in hospitals on an outpatient basis; related facility services payment, 20623</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Development Services Office</td>
<td>Grants; availability, etc.: Child abuse and neglect prevention and treatment; proposed research priorities, 20645</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal Revenue Service</td>
<td>Meetings: Commissioner's Advisory Group, 20668</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
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<td>International Trade Commission</td>
<td>Meetings; Sunshine Act, 20670</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
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<td>Justice Department</td>
<td>See Drug Enforcement Administration; Prisons Bureau</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
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<td>Labor Department</td>
<td>See also Employment and Training Administration; Mine Safety and Health Administration; Occupational Safety and Health Administration; Pension and Welfare Benefits Administration</td>
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<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Management Bureau</td>
<td>Oil and gas leases: Michigan, 20648</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mine Safety and Health Administration</td>
<td>Safety standard petitions: Consol Pennsylvania Coal Co., 20652 Morie Co., Inc., 20652</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mine Safety and Health Federal Review Commission</td>
<td>See Federal Mine Safety and Health Review Commission</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Aeronautics and Space Administration</td>
<td>Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR): Agency information collection activities under OMB review, 20635</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Foundation on the Arts and Humanities</td>
<td>Federal claims collection: Salary offset, 20628</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Highway Traffic Safety Administration</td>
<td>Motor vehicle safety standards: Air brake systems, 20602</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health
See Centers for Disease Control

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
NOTICES
Organization, functions, and authority delegations:
Western Pacific Fishery Management Council, 20635
Permits:
Endangered and threatened species, 20634
Marine mammals, 20633-20635
(3 documents)

National Park Service
NOTICES
Meetings:
Cape Krusenstern National Monument and Kobuk Valley National Park Subsistence Resource Commission; correction, 20649
National Capital Memorial Advisory Commission, 20649
National Register of Historic Places:
Pending nominations—Arkansas et al., 20649

National Transportation Safety Board
NOTICES
Meetings; Sunshine Act, 20670

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
RULES
Policy statements, obsolete or superseded by subsequent agency action; revocation:
Correction, 20592
NOTICES
Applications, hearings, determinations, etc.:
Carolina Power & Light Co., 20653
Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp., 20653

Occupational Safety and Health Administration
PROPOSED RULES
Construction health and safety standards:
Scaffolds, fall protection, and stairs and ladders, 20616

Pension and Welfare Benefits Administration
NOTICES
Meetings:
Employee Welfare and Pension Benefit Plans Advisory Council, 20653

Postal Service
RULES
Organization and administration, etc.:
Judicial officer; administrative adjudication responsibility, etc.:
Correction, 20599

Prisons Bureau
RULES
Inmate control, custody, care, etc.:
Discipline and special housing units, 20678

Public Health Service
See Centers for Disease Control; Food and Drug Administration

Railroad Retirement Board
NOTICES
Agency information collection activities under OMB review, 20654

Research and Special Programs Administration
PROPOSED RULES
Hazardous materials:
Performance-oriented packaging standards, 20631
NOTICES
Hazardous materials:
Applications; exemptions, renewals, etc., 20662

Securities and Exchange Commission
NOTICES
Self-regulatory organizations; proposed rule changes:
Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board, 20664
National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc., 20656
National Securities Clearing Corp., 20656
New York Stock Exchange, Inc., 20657
Pacific Stock Exchange, Inc., 20659
Philadelphia Stock Exchange, Inc., 20658
Self-regulatory organizations; unlisted trading privileges:
Philadelphia Stock Exchange, Inc., 20660
(2 documents)
Applications, hearings, determinations, etc.:
Tech-Sym Corp., 20660

Small Business Administration
NOTICES
Agency information collection activities under OMB review, 20661
Meetings; regional advisory councils:
Missouri, 20661

Soil Conservation Service
PROPOSED RULES
Support activities:
Archaeological and historical properties; protection procedures, 20666
NOTICES
Environmental statements; availability, etc.:
Beans Creek Watershed, TN, 20633

Transportation Department
See also Federal Highway Administration; Maritime Administration; National Highway Traffic Safety Administration; Research and Special Programs Administration
NOTICES
Aviation proceedings:
Certificates of public convenience and necessity and foreign air carrier permits; weekly applications, 20661

Treasury Department
See Customs Service; Internal Revenue Service

Veterans Administration
PROPOSED RULES
Loan guaranty:
Payment of loan claims, 20617

Separate Parts In This Issue
Part II
Environmental Protection Agency, 20672

Part III
Department of Justice, Bureau of Prisons, 20678
Reader Aids
Additional information, including a list of public laws, telephone numbers, and finding aids, appears in the Reader Aids section at the end of this issue.
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A cumulative list of the parts affected this month can be found in the Reader Aids section at the end of this issue.
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Rules and Regulations

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains regulatory documents having general applicability and legal effect, most of which are keyed to and codified in the Code of Federal Regulations, which is published under 50 titles pursuant to 44 U.S.C. 1510. The Code of Federal Regulations is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of new books are listed in the Code of Federal Regulations, which is published under 50 titles pursuant to 44 U.S.C. 1510.

FEDERAL RETIREMENT THRIFT INVESTMENT BOARD

5 CFR Part 1600

Employee Elections To Contribute to the Thrift Savings Plan; Request for Comments on Interim Rule

AGENCY: Federal Retirement Thrift Investment Board.

ACTION: Amendment to interim rule with request for comments.

SUMMARY: On March 2, 1987, the Board published interim regulations in 5 CFR Part 1600 governing employee elections to contribute to the Thrift Savings Plan. Section 1600.2(d) of these regulations addresses the situation where an employee, for reasons beyond his or her control, was unable to make an election within the time period prescribed by the regulations. On May 13, 1987, the Board issued regulations governing the correction of administrative errors which also addresses situations where an employee fails to participate or is delayed in participating in the Thrift Savings Plan for reasons beyond his or her control. The Board's error correcting regulations are intended to be the single point of reference for addressing problems of belated employee participation as well as administrative errors on the part of the agencies or the Board. Therefore, it is necessary to amend §1600.2(d) to avoid inconsistent action on the part of agencies who are resolving questions of belated employee participation in the Thrift Savings Plan.

DATES: Amendment effective June 2, 1987, comments must be received by July 24, 1987.

ADDRESS: Comments may be sent to: John J. O'Meara, Federal Retirement Thrift Investment Board, Benjamin Franklin Station, P.O. Box 511, Washington, DC 20044.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John J. O'Meara, (202) 653-2573.


1. The authority citation for Part 1600 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 5 U.S.C. 8331, 8332(b)(1)(A), 8411, 8412(b), 8414(b)(5) and (c)(1).

2. 5 CFR 1600.2(d) is revised to read as follows:

§ 1600.2 Periods for making elections.

(d) Related elections. When an employee, for reasons beyond his or her control, was unable to make an election within the time limits prescribed by these regulations, the employee's agency shall take appropriate action in accordance with 5 CFR 1605.2.

SUMMARY:

This document corrects the title of signatory of the final rule concerning the procedure for the conduct of referenda in connection with the marketing order for eggs and spent fowl.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Richard N. Hooper, (202) 447-4906.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In FR Doc. 87-12501 beginning on page 13630 in the preamble, 5 CFR Part 1600 is amended as follows:

1. The authority citation for Part 1600 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 5 U.S.C. 8331, 8332(b)(1)(A), 8411, 8412(b), 8414(b)(5) and (c)(1).

2. 5 CFR 1600.2(d) is revised to read as follows:

§ 1600.2 Periods for making elections.

(d) Related elections. When an employee, for reasons beyond his or her control, was unable to make an election within the time limits prescribed by these regulations, the employee's agency shall take appropriate action in accordance with 5 CFR 1605.2.

SUMMARY:

This document corrects the title of signatory of the final rule concerning the procedure for the conduct of referenda in connection with the marketing order for eggs and spent fowl.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Richard N. Hooper, (202) 447-4906.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In FR Doc. 87-12501 beginning on page 13630 in the preamble, 5 CFR Part 1600 is amended as follows:

1. The authority citation for Part 1600 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 5 U.S.C. 8331, 8332(b)(1)(A), 8411, 8412(b), 8414(b)(5) and (c)(1).

2. 5 CFR 1600.2(d) is revised to read as follows:

§ 1600.2 Periods for making elections.

(d) Related elections. When an employee, for reasons beyond his or her control, was unable to make an election within the time limits prescribed by these regulations, the employee's agency shall take appropriate action in accordance with 5 CFR 1605.2.

SUMMARY: This document corrects the title of signatory of the final rule concerning the procedure for the conduct of referenda in connection with the marketing order for eggs and spent fowl.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Richard N. Hooper, (202) 447-4906.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In FR Doc. 87-12501 beginning on page 13630 in the preamble, 5 CFR Part 1600 is amended as follows:

1. The authority citation for Part 1600 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 5 U.S.C. 8331, 8332(b)(1)(A), 8411, 8412(b), 8414(b)(5) and (c)(1).

2. 5 CFR 1600.2(d) is revised to read as follows:

§ 1600.2 Periods for making elections.

(d) Related elections. When an employee, for reasons beyond his or her control, was unable to make an election within the time limits prescribed by these regulations, the employee's agency shall take appropriate action in accordance with 5 CFR 1605.2.

SUMMARY: This document corrects the title of signatory of the final rule concerning the procedure for the conduct of referenda in connection with the marketing order for eggs and spent fowl.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Richard N. Hooper, (202) 447-4906.
Revocation of Policy Statement

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Policy statement; revocation, correction.

SUMMARY: The Nuclear Regulatory Commission is correcting the document appearing in the Federal Register on November 1, 1985 (50 FR 45597) that revoked policy statements published by the Commission which have been superseded by agency action or became obsolete in some other way and listed current NRC policy statements. This action is necessary to include an obsolete policy statement in the list of revoked policy statements in the November 1, 1985 document.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On page 45598, the following statement should be inserted as item 23 in the list of policy statements being revoked:


Dated at Washington, DC, this 28th day of May, 1987.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Samuel J. Chilk, Secretary of the Commission.
A transaction entered into by any investment company (e.g., a mutual fund) of similar pooled investment entity other than one operated by a person who is a commodity pool operator with respect to that entity, in which the direct or indirect ownership interest of the Commission member or employee is limited to and represents less than 1% of the total ownership interest of the fund or entity and with which the Commission member or employee has no other relationship;

(ii) The transaction involves the use of nonpublic information, or

(iii) The transaction is effectuated by an instrument regulated by the Commission, and is not in connection with a transaction permitted under paragraph (b)(1) of this section.

(iii) The transaction is effectuated by an instrument functionally equivalent to an instrument regulated by the Commission;

Attention is directed to section 9(d) of the Commodity Exchange Act, which makes it a felony for any member or employee of the Commission, or agent thereof, to participate, directly or indirectly in “inter alia,” any commodity futures, option or leverage transaction, unless authorized to do so by Commission rule or regulation. Attention is also directed 17 CFR 4.3, which excludes certain otherwise regulated persons from the definition of “commodity pool operator” with respect to the operation of specific investment entities enumerated in the regulation.

Although not required, if they choose to do so, Commission members of employees may use powers of attorney or other arrangements in order to meet the notice requirements of, and to assure that they have no control or knowledge of futures or option transactions under paragraph (b)(1) of this section. A Commission member of employee considering such arrangements should consult with the Office of the General Counsel in advance for approval. Should a Commissioner or employee gain knowledge of an actual futures or option transaction that has already taken place and the market position represented by that transaction still remains open, he or she should promptly report that fact and all other details to the General Counsel and seek advice as to what action, including recusal from pending matters involving that market, may be appropriate.

Attention is directed to section 9(d) of the Commodity Exchange Act that provides, among other things, that it shall be a felony for any Commission member or employee to participate in any investment transaction in an actual commodity that the Commission by rule or regulation has prohibited to Commission members and employees. A transaction involving an instrument that is the “functional equivalent to an instrument regulated by the Commission” would include, for example, but is not limited to, a transaction in a stock index, effectuated through the purchase or sale of an option traded on a national securities exchange where the stock-index also underlies a futures contract regulated by the Commission. Attention is also directed to § 140.735-16 of this Part for information regarding interpretative and advisory service by the General Counsel of the Commission.

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY
Customs Service
19 CFR Parts 4, 24, 146, and 178
Harbor Maintenance Fee; Extension of Comment Period

AGENCY: U.S. Customs Service, Department of the Treasury.

ACTION: Interim regulations; extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: This notice extends the period of time within which interested members of the public may submit comments concerning interim amendments of the Customs Regulations to implement provisions of the Water Resources Development Act of 1986 (the Act) which authorizes the Customs Service to assess a harbor maintenance fee of 0.04 percent (.0004) on the value of commercial cargo loaded on or unloaded from a commercial vessel at a port within the definition of the Act. The harbor maintenance fee applies to port uses by commercial vessels which load or unload merchandise or passengers unless specifically exempted from the fee. The proceeds of the fee collected by Customs, together with certain other fees, are deposited in the Harbor Maintenance Trust Fund which is made available, subject to appropriations, to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers for the improvement and maintenance of U.S. ports and harbors.

The amendments were made on an interim basis by the publication of T.D. 87-44 in the Federal Register of March 30, 1987 (52 FR 10196), due to the limited period of time available to initiate the changes before the law became effective on April 1, 1987. However, written comments were invited for consideration before a final rule is issued. Comments were to be received on or before May 29, 1987. Customs has received several requests to extend the comment period because additional time is required to prepare reasonably responsive comments. Customs believes the request have merit. Accordingly, the period of time for the submission of comments is being extended 90 days.

DATE: Comments are requested on or before August 28, 1987.

ADDRESS: Comments may be submitted to and inspected at the Regulations Control Branch, U.S. Customs Service, Room 2426, 1301 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20229.

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR
Employment and Training Administration
20 CFR Part 656
Labor Certification Process for the Permanent Employment of Aliens in the United States; Removal of Physicians From Schedule A

AGENCY: Employment and Training Administration, Labor.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Employment and Training Administration of the Department of Labor (DOL) is amending its regulations relating to the certification of immigrant aliens for permanent employment in the United States. The amendments remove alien graduates of foreign medical schools from the Schedule A precertification list.

EFFECTIVE DATE: These amendments apply to applications for permanent alien labor certification received for processing on or after July 2, 1987. However, those alien graduates of foreign medical schools who: (1) Are in possession of a statement signed by the appropriate Regional Health Administrator (RHA), Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), required by 20 CFR 656.22(c)(2)(ii) (1986) of DOL’s regulations, as of the effective date of the final rule; and (2) file a visa petition accompanied by the statement of the RHA, HHS, and the documentation required by 20 CFR 656.20(d) (1986) within one year of the date the statement of the RHA, HHS,
was signed, shall be allowed to complete the Schedule A process. Those aliens who have requested but have not received a shortage statement by the effective date of the final rule shall not be allowed to complete the Schedule A process.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Thomas M. Bruening, Chief, Division of Foreign Labor Certification. Telephone: 202-335-0163.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On January 24, 1986, the Employment and Training Administration (EPA) of the Department of Labor (DOL) published in the Federal Register a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking proposing to amend ETA’s regulations at 20 CFR Part 656 to remove alien graduates of foreign medical schools from the Schedule A precertification list (51 FR 3191). This document adopts final regulations based upon that January 24, 1986, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking. ETA’s regulations for the certification of immigrant aliens for permanent employment in the United States are issued pursuant to section 212(a)(14) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA), 8 U.S.C. 1182(a)(14). However, those alien physicians (and surgeons) who are of exceptional ability in a science or art will remain on Schedule A, Group II.

Permanent Alien Employment Certification Process

Pursuant to the Immigration and Nationality Act (INA), before the Department of State (DOS) and the Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS) issue visas and admit certain immigrant aliens to work permanently in the United States, the Secretary of Labor must first certify to the Secretary of State and to the Attorney General that:

(a) There are not sufficient United States workers, who are able, willing, qualified, and available at the time of the application for a visa and admission into the United States and at the place where the alien is to perform the work; and

(b) The employment of the alien will not adversely affect the wages and working conditions of similarly employed United States workers. 8 U.S.C. 1182(a)(14).

Department of Labor Regulations

Pursuant to 8 U.S.C. 1182(a)(14), DOL has promulgated regulations at 20 CFR Part 656 to implement the labor certification process for the permanent employment of immigrant aliens in the United States.

The regulations at 20 CFR Part 656 set forth the fact-finding process designed to develop information sufficient to support the granting or denial of a permanent labor certification. Part 656 also sets forth the responsibilities of employers who desire to permanently employ immigrant aliens in the United States, and the responsibilities of the alien beneficiaries of permanent labor certifications.

Schedule A Precertification List

DOL has published, at 20 CFR 656.10, a list of occupations (Schedule A) for which the Director, U.S. Employment Service, has determined that there are not sufficient United States workers who are able, willing, qualified, and available, and that the wages and working conditions of U.S. workers similarly employed will not be adversely affected by the employment of aliens. DOL has delegated to the DOS and the INS the authority to determine if an alien falls within one of the occupational categories on Schedule A, and, if so, to issue a permanent alien labor certification for the alien. 20 CFR 656.22. The Schedule A determination of the INS or Department of State is not appealable within DOL. 20 CFR 656.22(b)(2).

Alien Graduates of Medical Schools

Regulations at 20 CFR 656.10(a)(2) and 20 CFR 656.22(b) (1986) provide for the precertification of alien graduates of medical schools in specific shortage areas for specific medical specialties as designated by the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS). ETA is amending these regulations by deleting alien graduates of foreign medical schools from the DOL’s Schedule A precertification list. Physicians (and surgeons) will still, however, be allowed to be the beneficiaries of permanent labor certification applications. No change is made by this rule in DOL’s regulations which allow employers to file applications for labor certification under the basic labor certification process at 20 CFR 656.21. Further, alien physicians (and surgeons) of exceptional ability in a science or art will remain on Schedule A, 20 CFR 656.10(b).

Under the regulations prior to this revision, a signed statement is required from the appropriate Regional Health Administrator (RHA), Public Health Service (PHS) Regional Office, HHS, as documentation of a physician’s (and surgeon’s) Schedule A eligibility. 20 CFR 656.22(a)(2) (1986). The signed statement from the RHA certifies that the area in which the alien intends to be employed is a geographic area which has been designated by the Secretary of HHS as a Health Manpower Shortage Area (HMSA) for the alien’s medical specialty or has been identified otherwise by the Secretary of HHS as having an insufficient number of physicians in the alien’s medical specialty (hereinafter referred to as an inadequately served area).

In August 1984, HHS wrote to the Assistant Secretary of Labor for Employment and Training informing him that as a result of an internal HHS study of the current labor certification program, HHS had come to the conclusion that major changes in HHS participation in the program were warranted. HHS proposed discontinuing, beginning with Fiscal Year 1985, making labor shortage certifications through PHS regional offices. The reasons for this proposal were as follows:

—Less than one-third of the physicians certified remain for any significant amount of time providing direct patient care in the shortage areas for which they were certified;

—Methodological problems exist in developing and keeping accurate information for inadequately served areas for other than the primary care specialties;

—Neither the Congress nor HHS acknowledges the existence of a national shortage of physicians in the United States;

—The recent HHS report to the President and the Congress on the Status of Health Personnel in the United States indicated that the aggregate number of physicians in the United States is projected to exceed requirements in 1990 and 2000; and

—Scarce HHS resources for this activity, given its apparent limited utility.

HHS recommended removal of physicians from Schedule A, or, in the alternative, leaving only those physicians on Schedule A that are to be employed in the HMSAs. The HMSA lists, which are maintained only for primary care physicians and psychiatrists, according to HHS have a high degree of currency and reliability, are published annually in the Federal Register, and are widely recognized as being accurate and correct. In addition, to date, 90 percent of all positive certifications issued by PHS have been based on the lists of HMSAs and only 10 percent have been based on the lists of inadequately served areas. However, as indicated above, less than one-third of the physicians certified for direct patient care work in the shortage areas or specialties for which they obtained certification for any length of time. Thus, the labor certification program has not had significant effect in alleviating the...
shortage of physicians in the HMSAs or in the inadequately served areas. Consequently, DOL proposed to remove physicians (and surgeons) from Schedule A.

Comments on Proposed Rule

The Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) invited interested parties to submit written comments on or before February 24, 1988. Comments on the NPRM were received from six different organizations and individuals, including the American Immigration Lawyers Association (AILA). The following issues were raised by the commenters:

- Insufficient data were provided to support the statement in the preamble to the NPRM that less than one-third of the physicians certified remain for any significant amount of time providing direct patient care in the shortage areas for which they were certified. AILA also stated that the data supporting this reason for removing physicians from Schedule A should be made public.
- Data with respect to HMSAs for primary care physicians is current and reliable; therefore, only the other areas and specialties should be deleted from Schedule A.
- That neither Congress nor HHS recognizes the existence of a national shortage of physicians is irrelevant to the present regulation since Schedule A does not provide for precertification on a national basis, but only for area shortages by specialty.
- Projections that the aggregate number of physicians in the United States is expected to exceed requirements in 1990 and 2000 is irrelevant with respect to specific shortage areas, and, therefore, does not support removing physicians from Schedule A.
- Scarcity of HHS resources as a reason for removing physicians from Schedule A was questioned since only 103 Schedule A certifications on behalf of physicians were issued in Fiscal Year 1984. Further, removing physicians from Schedule A merely shifts the administrative burden to the State employment service and Regional Certifying Officers of DOL.
- Two commenters suggested as an alternative to removing physicians from Schedule A, that the certification be contingent upon a commitment of the physician to provide medical services in the shortage area for a specified length of time.
- Two commenters inquired as to what effect the proposed rule would have on Schedule A applications that were in process as of its effective date.

An analysis of the comments is presented below in the same order they were presented above.

Less Than One-Third of the Physicians Certified Remain in Shortage Areas for Any Significant Length of Time

The Bureau of Health Professions, Health Resources and Service Administration, HHS, has recently issued a report entitled Labor Certification of Foreign Physicians Under Schedule A—An Assessment of Program Impact which supports the statements made in the preamble that less than one-third of the physicians certified remain for any significant amount of time providing direct patient care in the shortage areas for which they were certified.

This statement is documented in the above cited report published in 1986 by HHS’s Health Resources and Services Administration, Bureau of Health Professions, Office of Data Analysis and Management. The report describes a study of those physicians certified under the program in 1981 and 1982. The study was conducted in 1984 by the Public Health Service regional office personnel who had done the staff work on shortage/underserved area certifications for Schedule A labor certification purposes. The study concluded that:

1. The 436 shortage/underserved area certifications issued in 1981 and 1982 represented, at most, 382 different physicians. (Some physicians requested multiple certifications for different areas.)

2. Of these 382 physicians, at least 145 were seeking residency of other training positions, rather than positions in direct patient care. (To remedy this, beginning in 1983 HHS required verification that the positions sought were not training positions before issuing area certifications.)

3. Of the remaining 237 physicians, 227 were identified as having sought positions in direct patient care; whether the positions sought by the other 10 were for training or direct patient care could not be determined.

4. Of the 227 physicians who sought positions in direct patient care, it was determined that 141 (or 63 percent) actually served for some period of time in a shortage/underserved area for which he/she had obtained a certification.

5. Of the 141 physicians, 66 were still practicing at the certified location in 1984. This represents 29 percent (or less than one-third) of the 227 who originally requested certification for positions in direct patient care (and 17 percent of the total 382 physicians certified).

Copies of the report can be obtained by writing to the Director, Office of Data Analysis and Management, BHR, HRS A, Parklawn Building Room 8-41, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, Md. 20857.

Reliability of Data on HMSAs and Inadequately Served Areas

The possibility of deleting only those physicians from Schedule A that would be employed in inadequately served areas was discussed and rejected in the preamble to the proposed rule. As indicated above, the distribution of physicians has improved significantly over the past few years and can be expected to continue to improve as the number of physicians in the United States is expected to exceed requirements in 1990 and 2000. Further, as indicated above, less than one-third of the physicians certified remain for direct patient care work in the shortage areas and specialties for which they were certified for any length of time. Thus, the labor certification program has not had significant effect in alleviating the shortage of physicians in the HMSAs or in the inadequately served areas.

Absence of National Shortage

The distribution of physicians has improved substantially since Congress in section 906 of the Health Professions Educational Assistance Act (HPEAA, Pub. L. 94-484) directed DOL and then Health, Education and Welfare (renamed Health and Human Services) to work together so that DOL could make equitable determinations with regard to applications for permanent labor certification by alien graduates of medical schools. The improved distribution has been reflected in a significant number of areas being deleted from the list of HMSAs published by HHS in the Federal Register. The distribution of physicians is now such that the inclusion of shortage area physicians in the Schedule A labor certification program can no longer be justified. This is especially so in light of the fact that less than one-third of the physicians certified remain for any significant amount of time providing direct patient care in the shortage areas for which they were certified. It should also be noted, that the National Health Service Corps which is provided for by section 331 of the HPEAA continues to exist. Under section 331 of the HPEAA, medical school graduates, in return for scholarship aid to complete medical school, agreed to provide medical services in shortage areas for a specified length of time.
Further, as noted above, the basic labor certification process at 20 CFR 656.21 is not affected by these amendments. Employers can, as for any other occupation, file an individual labor certification on behalf of a physician (or surgeon). The reduction in recruitment provisions of the basic process at 20 CFR 656.21(i) of course, would, be available to employers desiring to employ physicians in shortage areas, if the employer satisfactorily documents that it has adequately tested the labor market with no success at least at the prevailing working conditions.

There are a number of occupations which are probably in short supply for one or more areas, although the national supply/demand factors are in approximate balance. However, physicians are the only occupational group on Schedule A which provides for certification by shortage area rather than on a national basis. It is preferable that employers meet the needs of shortage areas under the basic process rather than under Schedule A.

Projections That The Aggregate Number of Physicians Is Expected To Exceed Requirements

Discussed above under “Absence of National Labor Shortage.”

Scarcity of Resources

The amount of work HHS has to do to administer its part of the Schedule A labor certification program is considerably larger than was expected at the time physicians were added to Schedule A. The HHS Has to respond to a large volume of general information requests received each year, and respond in writing to all written requests for shortage statements. This includes cases where the alien’s intended area of employment is not a shortage area, as well as those cases where the alien’s area of employment is a shortage area. This workload will no longer exist after conditions were fulfilled after the alien began the certified employment. Further, DOL does not have the resources to enforce such a contingency provision at this time even if questions regarding the authority of DOL to issue such a regulation were answered affirmatively.

Effect of Final Rule on Alien Medical Graduates Who Have Begun Schedule A Process

Those alien graduates of foreign medical schools who: (1) Are in possession of a statement signed by the appropriate RHA, HHS, required by 20 CFR 656.22(c)(2)(ii) (1986) of DOL’s regulations as of the effective date of the final rule; and (2) file a visa petition accompanied by the statement of the RHA, HHS, and the documentation required by 20 CFR 656.20(d) (1986) within one year of the date the statement of the RHA, HHS, was signed shall be allowed to complete the Schedule A process. Those aliens who have requested but have not received a shortage statement by the effective date of the final rule shall not be allowed to complete the Schedule A process.

Regulatory Impact

The economic and other impact of this proposed rule is not so great as to make it a major rule requiring the development of a regulatory impact analysis. See Executive Order No. 12291, 3 CFR, 1981 comp., p. 127 (February 17, 1981).

The rule would not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. It would only affect those few employers who petition for foreign medical graduates pursuant to DOL’s Schedule A precertification list. For that reason at the time of publication of the proposed rule, the Department of Labor had certified to the Chief Counsel for Advocacy, Small Business Administration, pursuant to the Regulatory Flexibility Act, that the rule would not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities. 5 U.S.C. 605(b).

Issuance of Certification Contingent Upon Commitment of Alien Physician To Provide Medical Services in Shortage Area for a Specified Length of Time

Issuing contingent certifications was considered and rejected at the time DOL developed the regulations prior to this revision which placed physicians on Schedule A. DOL had serious reservations concerning its authority to issue certifications contingent upon conditions being fulfilled after the alien began the certified employment. Further, DOL does not have the resources to enforce such a contingency provision at this time even if questions regarding the authority of DOL to issue such a regulation were answered affirmatively.

PART 656—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for Part 656 is revised to read as follows, and the separate authority citations following the sections in Part 656 are removed:


§ 656.10 [Amended]

2. Section 656.10 is amended by removing paragraphs (a)(2) and (a)(4)(ii) from Schedule A, and by redesignating the remaining paragraphs in § 656.10(a) as set forth in the following redesignation table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Old section</th>
<th>New section</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>656.10(a)(2)</td>
<td>656.10(a)(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>656.10(a)(4)(ii)</td>
<td>656.10(a)(3)(ii)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>656.10(a)(5)(ii)</td>
<td>656.10(a)(3)(iv)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

§ 656.22 [Amended]

3. Section 656.22 is amended by removing paragraph (c)(2) and redesignating paragraph (c)(3) as paragraph (c)(2).

§ 656.50 [Amended]

4. Section 656.50 is amended by removing the definitions for “Regional Health Administrator” and “Secretary of Health and Human Services (HHS)”.

§ 656.61 [Removed]

5. Section 656.61 is removed.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 27th day of May, 1987.

William E. Brock,
Secretary of Labor.

[FR Doc. 87-12446 Filed 6-1-87; 8:45 am]
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

21 CFR Part 510

Animal Drugs, Feeds, and Related Products; Change of Sponsor

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is amending the animal drug regulations to reflect a change of sponsor of several new animal drug applications (NADA's) resulting from the merger of Essar Corp. and Quality Plus Products Co., Inc., to Quality Plus Essar Corp.


FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David L. Gordon, Center for Veterinary Medicine (HFV-216), Food and Drug Administration, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857, 301-443-4093.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In a notice published elsewhere in this issue of the Federal Register, FDA is withdrawing approval of NADA 39-768, which is sponsored by Eight In One Pet Products, Inc. The NADA provides for use of a 3 percent nitrodan canine dog food supplement as a wormer. This document removes 21 CFR 520.1540, which reflects approval of the NADA.

In addition, because the firm is no longer sponsor of any approved NADA's, 21 CFR 510.600 is amended by removing the firm from the list of sponsors of approved NADA's.

List of Subjects
21 CFR Part 510

Animal drugs.

Therefore, under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and under authority delegated to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs and redelegated to the Center for Veterinary Medicine, Parts 510 and 520 are amended as follows:

PART 510—NEW ANIMAL DRUGS

1. The authority citation for 21 CFR Part 510 continues to read as follows:


2. Section 510.600 is amended in paragraph (c)(1) by removing the entry for "ESAR Corp." and adding a new sponsor entry alphabetically, and in paragraph (c)(2) by revising the entry for '053617,' to read as follows:

§ 510.600 Names, addresses, and drug labeler codes of sponsors of approved applications.

(a) * * *

(c) * * *

(1) * * *

(2) * * *

Firm name and address Drug labeler code

Quality Plus Essar Corp., P.O. Box 459, Fort Dodge, IA 50501...___________________ 053617


Richard A. Carnevale,
Acting Associate Director for Scientific Evaluation, Center for Veterinary Medicine.

[FR Doc. 87-12437 Filed 6-1-87; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160-01-M

21 CFR Parts 510 and 520

Oral Dosage Form New Animal Drugs Not Subject to Certification; Nitrodan

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is amending the animal drug regulations to remove those portions of the regulations reflecting approval of a new animal drug application (NADA) held by Eight In One Pet Products, Inc. The NADA provides for use of a 3 percent nitrodan canine dog food supplement as a wormer. This document removes 21 CFR 520.1540, which reflects approval of the NADA.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 12, 1987.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Patricia E. Hasemann, Center for Veterinary Medicine (HFV-216), Food and Drug Administration, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857, 301-443-4093.

PART 520—ORAL DOSAGE FORM NEW ANIMAL DRUGS NOT SUBJECT TO CERTIFICATION

1. The authority citation for 21 CFR Part 520 continues to read as follows:


§ 510.600 [Amended]

2. Section 510.600 Names, addresses, and drug labeler codes of sponsors of approved applications is amended in paragraph (c)(1) by removing the entry for "Eight In One Pet Products, Inc." and in paragraph (c)(2) by removing the entry for '0835466.'

PART 520—ORAL DOSAGE FORM NEW ANIMAL DRUGS NOT SUBJECT TO CERTIFICATION

3. The authority citation for 21 CFR Part 520 continues to read as follows:


§ 520.1540 [Removed]

4. Section 520.1540 Nitrodan is removed.
PART 520—ORAL DOSAGE FORM NEW ANIMAL DRUGS NOT SUBJECT TO CERTIFICATION

1. The authority citation for 21 CFR Part 520 continues to read as follows:


§520.1242a [Amended]

2. Section 520.1242a Levamisole hydrochloride drench and drinking water is amended in paragraph (f)(2)(i) by changing “4.68” to read “46.8.”


Richard A. Carnevale,
Acting Associate Director for Scientific Evaluation, Center for Veterinary Medicine.

[FR Doc. 87-12440 Filed 6-1-87; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4160-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

21 CFR Part 1301

Registration of Manufacturers, Distributors, and Dispensers of Controlled Substances

AGENCY: Drug Enforcement Administration, Justice.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This final rule amends portions of 21 CFR Part 1301 to allow for registration of dispensers of controlled substances for a period of three years. The effect of this action is to reduce the administrative burden of annual registration on retail pharmacies, hospitals/clinics, practitioners and teaching institutions.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 1, 1987.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A Notice of Proposed Rulemaking was published in the Federal Register on April 7, 1987 (51 FR 11091 through 11092) to reflect approval of new animal drug applications (NADA’s) 39–357V, 42–740V, and 42–837V filed by American Cyanamid Co. (currently 4160–01–M).

1. The authority citation for Part 1301 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 21 U.S.C. 821, 822, 823, 824, 871(b), 875, 877.

2. Section 1301.11 is amended by revising paragraphs (c) and (d) to read as follows:

§1301.11 Fee amounts.

(c) For each registration or reregistration to dispense, or to conduct instructional activities with, controlled substances listed in Schedules II through V, $100.

Therefore, under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and under authority delegated to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs and redelegated to the Center for Veterinary Medicine, Part 520 is amended as follows:

PART 520—ORAL DOSAGE FORM NEW ANIMAL DRUGS NOT SUBJECT TO CERTIFICATION

1. The authority citation for 21 CFR Part 520 continues to read as follows:


§520.1242a [Amended]

2. Section 520.1242a Levamisole hydrochloride drench and drinking water is amended in paragraph (f)(2)(i) by changing “4.68” to read “46.8.”


Richard A. Carnevale,
Acting Associate Director for Scientific Evaluation, Center for Veterinary Medicine.

[FR Doc. 87-12440 Filed 6-1-87; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4160-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

21 CFR Part 1301

Registration of Manufacturers, Distributors, and Dispensers of Controlled Substances

AGENCY: Drug Enforcement Administration, Justice.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This final rule amends portions of 21 CFR Part 1301 to allow for registration of dispensers of controlled substances for a period of three years. The effect of this action is to reduce the administrative burden of annual registration on retail pharmacies, hospitals/clinics, practitioners and teaching institutions.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 1, 1987.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A Notice of Proposed Rulemaking was published in the Federal Register on April 7, 1987 (51 FR 11091 through 11092) to amend portions of 21 CFR Part 1301. This proposed rulemaking provided opportunity for interested parties to submit comments or objections in writing on or before May 7, 1987. One comment was received in response to the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking. A letter dated April 22, 1987 from Caroline M. Antonellis, R.P.H., Supervisor, Outpatient Pharmacy at The Children's Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts stated that she supports the proposed change to extend the registration period.

In the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, pertaining to fee amounts, the revision to §1301.11(c) inadvertently included researchers in Schedules II through V as being among those registrants who will be receiving three-year registrations. Researchers are not included in the three year registration period. This final rule corrects this error by transferring the reference to researchers in Schedules II through V from paragraph (c) to paragraph (d) so that it is clear that all researchers will receive annual registrations.

The Deputy Assistant Administrator hereby certifies that this rule will have no significant impact upon small entities whose interests must be considered under the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601, et seq. This regulatory change will reduce the administrative burden to the majority of those individuals and businesses registered with DEA.

Pursuant to sections 3(c)(3) and 3(e)(2)(B) of Executive Order 12291, this final rule has been submitted to the Office of Management and Budget for review, and approval of that office has been requested pursuant to the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980, 44 U.S.C. 3501, et seq.

Pursuant to the authority vested in the Attorney General by 21 U.S.C. 821 and 871(b) and delegated to the Administrator of the Drug Enforcement Administration and redelegated to the Deputy Assistant Administrator of the Office of Diversion Control by 28 CFR 0.100 and 0.104, the Deputy Assistant Administrator hereby orders that 21 CFR Part 1301 be amended as follows:

List of Subjects in 21 CFR Part 1301

Administrative practice and procedure, Drug Enforcement Administration, Drug traffic control, Security measures.

PART 1301—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for Part 1301 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 21 U.S.C. 821, 822, 823, 824, 871(b), 875, 877.

2. Section 1301.11 is amended by revising paragraphs (c) and (d) to read as follows:

§1301.11 Fee amounts.

(c) For each registration or reregistration to dispense, or to conduct instructional activities with, controlled substances listed in Schedules II through
V, the registrant shall pay a fee of $60 for a three-year registration.

(d) For each registration to conduct research or instructional activities with a controlled substance listed in Schedule II to conduct research with a controlled substance in Schedules II through V, the registrant shall pay a fee of $20.

* * * * *

3. Section 1301.12 is revised to read as follows:

§ 1301.12 Time and method of payment; refund.

Fees shall be paid at the time when the application for registration or reregistration is submitted for filing. Payments should be made in the form of currency, or third party endorsed checks will not be accepted. These fees are not refundable.

4. Section 1301.31 is amended by revising paragraph (c) and adding a new paragraph (d) to read as follows:

§ 1301.31 Time for application for registration; expiration date.

* * * * *

(c) At the time a manufacturer, distributor, researcher, analytical lab, importer, exporter or narcotic treatment program is first registered, that business activity shall be assigned to one of twelve groups, which shall correspond to the months of the year. The expiration date of the registrations of all registrants within any group will be the last date of the month designated for that group. In assigning any of the above business activities to a group, the Administration may select a group the expiration date of which is not less than 28 months nor more than 39 months from the date such business activity was registered. After the initial registration period, the registration shall expire 36 months from the initial expiration date.


Gene R. Haislip,
Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration.

FR Doc. 87–12478 Filed 6–1–87; 8:45 am
BILLING CODE 4410–09–M

POSTAL SERVICE

39 CFR Part 963

Addition to Judicial Officer's Authority; Correction

AGENCY: Postal Service.

ACTION: Final rule; correction.

SUMMARY: The Postal Service is correcting two errors in the new rules of practice for administrative hearings under the pandering advertisements statute. Notice of the adoption of these rules was published in the Federal Register on May 20, 1987 (52 FR 18911).

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 31, 1987.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Ventresco, (202) 268–3085.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In FR Doc. 87–11448, in the third line of paragraph (e), strike out "or her".

§ 963.3 [Corrected]

1. On page 18912, middle column, in § 963.3, in the third line of paragraph (e), strike out "or her".

§ 963.17 [Corrected]

2. On page 18913, middle column, in § 963.17, in the fourth sentence of paragraph [a], the fourth line from the bottom, strike out "or".

Fred Eggleston,
Assistant General Counsel, Legislative Division.

FR Doc. 87–12469 Filed 6–1–87; 8:45 am
BILLING CODE 7710–12–M

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Parts 31 and 32

[CC Docket No. 85–64; FCC 86–384]

Common Carrier Services: Accounting Treatment of Antitrust Litigation Costs

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Commission has amended the Uniform System of Accounts for telephone companies to state that payments arising from judgments and settlements of antitrust proceedings, and other violations of Federal law, shall be recorded in Account 370, a "below-the-line" account. Expenses incurred in defending antitrust lawsuits shall continue to be recorded in the operating accounts. However, such cumulative litigation expenses will be disallowed in the next appropriate tariff proceeding after an adverse antitrust judgment entered against a carrier has become nonappealable, or when a settlement is entered after an adverse antitrust summary judgment ruling which terminates the action, or after a verdict or judgment against the carrier.

EFFECTIVE DATES: The amendment to Part 31 shall be effective December 2, 1987. The amendment to Part 32 shall be effective January 1, 1988.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert W. Spangler, Accounting and Audits Division, Common Carrier Bureau (202) 634–1861.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of the Commission's Report and Order adopted September 10, 1986 and released May 15, 1987. The full text of the Commission's decision is available for inspection and copying.
during normal business hours in the FCC Dockets Branch (Room 230), 1919 M Street NW., Washington, DC. The complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Service, (202) 857-3800, 2100 M Street NW., Suite 140, Washington, DC 20037.

Summary of Report and Order

1. In a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (Notice) released May 3, 1985, (50 FR 19421, May 8, 1985) this Commission initiated an inquiry into the manner in which telecommunications carriers should account for the costs incurred in defending, settling and paying judgments in antitrust lawsuits, as well as suits alleging civil or criminal violation of any other Federal law. We proposed the text of § 31.370 to state that judgments paid after a finding that the carrier had violated the antitrust laws shall be recorded in that account, along with payments for plaintiffs' attorney fees and treble damage awards. We also proposed to include in Account 370 payments made in settlement of antitrust cases, whether such payments were made before or after judgment. Finally, we called for comments, but took no position, on the appropriate accounting classification for expenses incurred in litigating antitrust cases. We invited commentors to address the propriety of several approaches to this issue, including the continuation of our current practice whereby such expenses are recorded in several operating accounts. We asked commentors to pay particular attention to the incentives or disincentives which our proposals might create, as we did not want our accounting practices to unduly influence the corporate decision-making process.

2. Twenty parties filed comments, and 15 parties filed reply comments. We received comments from telephone companies, State regulatory commissions, State consumer advocates and a trade association. The trade association also asked us to expend the scope of this proceeding to include the accounting classification of other expenses incurred in regulatory and judicial proceedings. (We are denying that request, as the issues raised are being addressed in other proceedings.)

3. Although the focus of this proceeding is the manner in which carriers account for litigation costs, we acknowledged in the Notice that our decision will have an influence on the ability of carriers to recover litigation costs through the ratemaking process. This is because Account 370 is a "below-the-line" account, and there is a presumption that expenses recorded therein will not be reflected in the charges to ratepayers. However, we are not establishing herein a per se disallowance of costs which are included in Account 370 for ratemaking purposes (just as inclusion of costs in "above-the-line" accounts does not establish a per se allowance of such costs for ratemaking). Rather, we conclude that if a carrier seeks to have costs recorded in Account 370 recognized for ratemaking purposes, it must in the first instance provide evidence as to the reasonableness of including costs which were incurred in connection with alleged violations of law in a revenue requirement that is used to compute charges paid by ratepayers.

4. We reject the argument that our classification of litigation costs should follow the manner in which such costs are treated for income tax purposes. Account 370 serves in part as a repository for a variety of transactions that are usually too tangential to the provision of regulated telecommunications services to warrant inclusion in the rate computations for such services. Some of those entries would unquestionably be accepted as legitimate corporate expenditures for tax purposes or in a shareholder suit alleging corporate waste. Moreover, accounting for such costs in a "below-the-line" account, even though they are recognized as allowable business deductions in the Internal Revenue Code, does not violate the will of Congress. The use of terms such as "ordinary" and "extraordinary" in an unrelated context provides no clue to the choice that Congress would make if it were establishing a detailed system of accounts for a regulated business. We also note that our statutory basis for prescribing accounts is broad and affords us considerable discretion. Moreover, we have heretofore adopted policies that resulted in expenses being treated differently for tax and regulatory purposes, such as in depreciation practices, and such dissimilar treatment has not been considered to be contrary to the will of Congress.

5. We proposed to require that judgments made after a finding that a carrier has violated the antitrust laws be recorded in Account 370, on the theory inter alia, that being found guilty of violating a statute should not be regarded as a routine part of operating a business. The telephone companies, however, argued that antitrust lawsuits are a recurring part of a company's operations, especially in an industry moving from a monopoly to a competitive environment, and that judgments are a normal operating expense. We reject the carriers' position on this issue. The antitrust laws establish important public policy, and a finding that the law has been violated should be sufficient to require that the judgment costs in an account established for expenses which are not part of the recurring costs of operating the business. Moreover, we do not agree that the judgment costs are merely remedial payments rather than punitive awards. Even if this distinction existed in antitrust law, it is not of significance in our accounting treatment of the payments. Also, we disagree that antitrust judgments are similar to judgments rendered against a carrier as a result of tort actions, contract disputes, etc. (which are recorded in operating accounts). Antitrust violations are of special concern to the Commission, especially those related to the company's regulated activity. Finally, we reject the position that judgments should be recorded in operating accounts because the antitrust laws are "surrounded by uncertainties and the ever-changing standards applied by the Courts." Courts do not casually reach a judgment that the laws have been violated, and we are unwilling to have such arguments govern our accounting classifications. A course of conduct that leads to an antitrust judgment is often the result of a corporate strategy that could benefit shareholders if the management succeeds in avoiding liability, but such conduct rarely, if ever, produces any benefit for ratepayers.

6. We also proposed to record settlements reached after an adverse judgment is entered in Account 370, because the key factor in our decision on the accounting classification is the establishment by a court that the law has been violated. Although the carriers argue against such treatment, on grounds similar to those advocated for judgments, we adopt the proposal in the Notice. Settlements reached before judgment are more problematic, as the fact of settlement does not imply wrongdoing. However, we find it appropriate to require such settlements to be recorded in Account 370, as proposed. Although carriers may have entered settlements in the operating accounts in the past, this Commission did not conduct any proceeding to determine the appropriateness of such classification, and did not issue any opinion on the subject. To this extent, we previously questioned the validity of the carriers' practices in this regard, and expressed our concern that a
classification rule is needed. We do not
wish to establish accounting
classifications that encourage needless
litigation. However, if we permit
settlements to be recorded routinely in
operating accounts, there is an incentive
which is of special concern in rate-
regulated industries: carriers may be
more willing to settle cases at any
time to avoid potential
disallowance. Such costs should not be
passed on through the ratemaking
process unless this Commission so
permits, and it is the responsibility of
the carrier to provide the justification in
the first instance. Because we do not
wish to discourage settlements which are
beneficial to ratepayers, we will
consider requests carrier requests for
recognition of settlement payments for
ratemaking purposes on an ad hoc basis.

7. In the Notice, we did not set forth a
proposal for recording the expenses
incurred in defending antitrust cases.
We now conclude that our present
practice of recording such expenses in
the operating accounts is the preferable
procedure. We believe that such
expenses should not be recorded in
Account 370, because there is no
manner by which such expenses could be
reclassified and recognized later if the
carrier is vindicated. Moreover, this
approach would encourage carriers to
request waivers of our rules so that
expenses might be recorded in operating
accounts. Aside from the administrative
burdens of this activity, our action
regarding such reclassification might be
interpreted by the parties as an
indication of our views on the merits of the
ongoing proceeding. We also reject the
recording of 50% of the expenses in
Account 370 and 50% in the operating
accounts as being arbitrary. In the
Notice we asked for comments on the
use of a deferral account for litigation
expenses, with the expenses amortized
to operating accounts only if the carrier
won the case. We have decided not to
implement this proposal (although it
might be appropriate on an ad hoc
basis). This approach is inconsistent with
our recognition that carriers
regularly incur litigation expenses.
Moreover, the costs would be deferred
over lengthy periods of time, with
uncertainty as to their recovery.
Accordingly, we will continue our
present accounting treatment for
litigation expenses.

8. We stated in the Notice that
carriers should not be allowed to avoid
the financial consequences of their
unlawful actions by passing those
expenses to the ratepayers. To insure
implementation of that policy, we
require that after an adverse antitrust
judgment is final and non-appealable, or
when a settlement is entered after an
adverse antitrust summary judgment
ruling which terminates the action, or
after a verdict or judgment, all litigation
expenses incurred in the proceeding
shall be effectively moved "below the
line" through the ratemaking process in
the next appropriate tariff proceeding.
Ligation expenses so recaptured will
thus be a revenue requirement
adjustment, with interest on the
cumulative expenses calculated at a rate
equal to the maximum allowable rate of
return for the period for which interest is
computed. Any affected carrier would,
of course, be free to challenge this
disallowance in the context of the tariff
filing.

Ordering Clauses

Accordingly, it is ordered, that
pursuant to sections 4(i), 219 and 220 of
the Communications Act of 1934, as
amended, 47 U.S.C. 154(i), 219 and 220,
Part 31 of the Commission's Rules is
revised, as shown at the end of this
document, effective six months from the
date of publication of the rule in the
Federal Register, and Part 32 of the
Commission's Rules is revised, as shown
at the end of this document, effective

It is further ordered, that NATA's
Petition toEnlarge is denied.

It is further ordered, that the Secretary
shall serve a copy of this Report and
Order on each state commission.

It is further ordered, that the Secretary
shall cause a summary of this Report
and Order to be published in the Federal
Register.

List of Subjects

47 CFR Part 31

Communications common carriers,
Reporting and recordkeeping
requirements, Telephone, Uniform
system of accounts.

47 CFR Part 32

Communications common carriers,
Reporting and recordkeeping
requirements, Telephone, Uniform
system of accounts.

William J. Tricarico,
Secretary.

Parts 31 and 32 of Title 47 of the Code
of Federal Regulations are amended as
follows:

PART 31—UNIFORM SYSTEM OF
ACCOUNTS FOR CLASS A AND CLASS
B TELEPHONE COMPANIES

1. The authority citation for Part 31
continues to read as follows:

Authority: Sec. 4, 48 Stat. 1066, as
amended; 47 U.S.C. 154. Interpret or apply
secs. 219, 220, 48 Stat. 1077 as amended, 1078;

2. Section 31.370 introductory text is
revised to read as follows:

§ 31.370 Extraordinary income charges.

This account shall include charges to
income from nonrecurring transactions
that are not customary business
activities of the company. This account
shall also include penalties and fines
paid on account of violations of U.S.
statutes, including judgments arising
from a violation of antitrust laws, and
payments in settlement of civil and
criminal suits alleging such violations.

PART 32—UNIFORM SYSTEM OF
ACCOUNTS FOR TELECOMMUNICATIONS COMPANIES

1. The authority citation for Part 32
continues to read as follows:


2. Section 32.7620 is revised to read as
follows:

§ 32.7620 Extraordinary income charges.

This account shall be debited with
nonrecurring transactions and
infrequently recurring losses which
would significantly distort the current
year's income computed before such
extraordinary items, if reported other
than as extraordinary items. This
account shall also include penalties and
fines paid on account of violations of U.S.
statutes, including judgments arising
from a violation of antitrust laws, and
payments in settlement of civil and
criminal suits alleging such violations.

[FR Doc. 87-12234 Filed 6-1-67; 8:45 am]
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
National Highway Traffic Safety Administration
49 CFR Part 571
[Docket No. 86-05; Notice 02]

Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standards; Air Brake Systems

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, Department of Transportation.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This notice amends Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard No. 121, Air Brake Systems, to suspend the stopping distance requirements of §5.3.1 of the standard for buses other than school buses (non-school buses). Currently, §5.3.1 applies only to those non-school buses. The agency is taking this action because NHTSA has concluded that there is no safety need for the standard to be applied more stringently to manufacturers of non-school buses than to manufacturers of school buses. The agency will consider reinstating these requirements when it addresses the issue of reinstating stopping distance requirements for all heavy vehicles.

EFFECTIVE DATE: This rule becomes effective June 2, 1987.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This rule amends Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard (FMVSS) No. 121, Air Brake Systems, to suspend the stopping distance requirements of §5.3.1 of the standard for buses other than school buses (non-school buses). The notice of proposed rulemaking relating to this subject was published on July 9, 1989 (51 FR 24877).

Standard No. 121 specifies minimum performance requirements for air-braked trucks, buses and trailers. The standard has been in effect for trailers since January 1, 1975, and for trucks and buses since March 1, 1975. Requirements would normally be established for the service, emergency and parking brake systems of those vehicles. Major requirements of the standard are that vehicles stop in specified distances and that the wheels not lock at speeds above 10 miles per hour (mph) during those stops. The "no lockup" requirement ensures that skidding due to wheel lockup and loss of lateral stability is minimized. Controlled lockup above 10 mph is allowed if other conditions are met, such as the use of anti-lock systems.

A prerequisite to the maintenance of stability and directional control of any automotive vehicle is that its tires continue rolling. A sliding tire can generate very little lateral force to control its direction of motion. Paragraph §5.3.1 of FMVSS No. 121 specifies stopping in limited distances from 20 to 60 mph, in the loaded and unloaded conditions on dry surfaces, and 20 mph with wet surfaces, with the vehicle remaining in a 12-foot wide lane and with limits on wheel lockup.

Background

Following implementation of Standard No. 121’s requirements for trucks and buses in March 1975, the agency found a pattern of erratic behavior in the performance of the antilock systems used by manufacturers of transit and intercity buses to meet the "no lockup" requirements of the standard (§5.3.1). In 1976, NHTSA suspended (until January 1, 1977) the service brake stopping distance requirement (including the "no lockup" requirement) for all buses to provide a period in which modified antilock hardware and newly-introduced systems could be field-evaluated (41 FR 1598; January 9, 1976). Subsequent notices extended the suspension of the requirements for transit and intercity buses until January 1, 1978, and the suspension of the requirements for school buses until April 1, 1978.

In March 1978, after the service brake stopping distance requirements for transit and intercity buses were allowed back into effect, the agency determined that the existing brake service brake stopping distance requirements of the standard should remain suspended. 43 FR 12015 (March 23, 1978). The agency made this decision in order to maintain the status quo, since the Department had initiated a series of actions that were intended to resolve major issues with regard to the reliability, effectiveness and costs of the antilock system generally used at that time to meet the standard. Given that NHTSA was in the process of evaluating Standard No. 121, the agency had concluded that it was important to suspend the "no lockup" requirements of §5.3.1. NHTSA thereby postponed the reimplementation of the service brake stopping distance requirements of Standard No. 121 as they applied to air brake school buses. The suspension of those requirements for school buses remains in effect today.

As to trucks and trailers, in April 1978, the U.S. Court of Appeals (9th Circuit) invalidated portions of Standard No. 121 that apply to those vehicles, including the "no lockup" requirements of §5.3.1 and §5.3.2. PACCAR v. National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, 573 F.2d 632 (9th Cir. 1978), cert. denied, 439 U.S. 862 (1978). NHTSA did not believe that any requirements of Standard No. 121 were invalidated for buses, because of a statement made in a footnote of the opinion that "the part of the standard that regulates air-braked buses is not at issue here." The agency determined that this explicit statement that the court had not considered the merits of the bus requirements was intended to emphasize the limitation of its decision to trucks and trailers. Since the court did not consider those requirements, the fact that its decision left them undisturbed did not suggest any ratification of them. Thus, PACCAR did not increase the burden which the agency must meet in justifying a revision of the bus requirements.

The Blue Bird Body Company (Blue Bird), a manufacturer of buses and school buses, petitioned NHTSA to amend Standard No. 121 to exclude non-school buses from its service and emergency brake stopping distance requirements. Blue Bird requested this change because the service brake stopping distance requirements do not apply to school buses but do apply to non-school buses. In Blue Bird's view, this situation is unreasonable and overly burdensome to manufacturers of non-school buses. Blue Bird also questioned the practicability of the requirements, noting that it was losing its existing domestic supplier of antilock systems and might have to use a foreign manufacturer.

According to the petitioner, Blue Bird needs an antilock system to enable its short wheelbase, forward-engine buses to meet the requirement for 20 mph unloaded stops on surfaces with a skid number of 81. Antilock systems are installed to automatically modulate brake application pressures to avoid skidding in cases where the brake application is so strong, or the road surface so slippery, that wheel lockup would normally occur. Blue Bird's buses are able to meet the stopping distance requirements of the standard without the use of an antilock system under all other test conditions, because the driver of the vehicle is able to control wheel lockup by modulating the brakes. However, during the 20 mph unloaded test on a surface with a skid number of 81, there is insufficient time to modulate the brakes and, as a result, the rear...
brakes on Blue Bird’s buses lock. Blue Bird stated that, although the rear wheels skid, the bus stops smoothly within the 35-foot stopping distance required by the standard, and no part of the bus leaves the roadway.

Blue Bird believed that if heavy trucks, truck-trailer combinations and school buses can be excluded from Standard No. 121’s “no lockup” requirements, then non-school buses should likewise be excluded. The petitioner argued that the school buses and non-school buses it produces are essentially the same, except for differences in components or designs installed to meet Federal or State school bus standards (such as color, seating systems, lighting equipment, body panel joint strength and fuel systems). In its petition, Blue Bird pointed out that, in general, school buses have been required to have safety features beyond those required on other motor vehicles. The petitioner believed that there was no logic to require non-school buses to meet the stopping distance requirements of Standard No. 121 if school buses—virtually identical vehicles—are excluded from those requirements. If school buses were excluded from the requirements of the standard because there was no safety need to address, the petitioner argued it would follow that non-school buses should also be excluded from those requirements for the same reason. Blue Bird believed there are no valid reasons for different brake standards for nearly identical vehicles, and requested NHTSA to eliminate this apparent anomaly.

Proposed Rulemaking

The agency concluded that Blue Bird’s request to amend the service brake stopping distance requirements had merit. On July 9, 1986, NHTSA published a notice in the Federal Register (51 FR 24877) which granted the part of the petition relating to the service brake stopping distance requirements and proposed rulemaking to revise those requirements. The petitioner’s request to exempt non-school buses from the requirements of paragraph S5.7.1 was denied. Because S5.7.1 specifies emergency brake system requirements that all buses must meet, the agency concluded that the argument that non-school buses are unfairly subject to those requirements was without merit.

The agency believed Blue Bird’s arguments relating to the service brake stopping distance requirements of Standard No. 121 deserved further consideration because of the unusual circumstances surrounding the applicability of those requirements. NHTSA explained in the notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) that the court’s decision in PACCAR and the suspension of the school bus service brake stopping distance requirements of the standard have created an historical anomaly in that the service brake stopping distance performance requirements (including the “no lockup” requirements of S5.3.1) have been suspended or otherwise made inapplicable to trucks, trailers and school buses, but remain in effect for non-school buses. NHTSA believed that a resolution of the issues raised by Blue Bird was needed because the application of S5.3.1 results in non-school buses being subject to more stringent requirements than school buses. There was no logical basis for the exclusive application of S5.3.1 to this particular category of vehicle, since notwithstanding Standard No. 121, higher levels of safety are generally required of school buses than any other vehicle type.

As explained in the NPRM, the agency believed an application of S5.3.1 to school buses alone or to school buses plus one or more other types of vehicles could be more appropriate since the population of affected vehicles would include the type of vehicle (school buses) generally regarded as having the greatest safety need. However, since S5.3.1 applies to buses only, an anomaly has resulted from the standard’s exclusion of school buses and application only to a type of vehicle with a lesser safety need. NHTSA believed non-school buses are not so unique or peculiar to justify the distinction between them and other vehicles for which the requirements have been suspended. NHTSA thus found merit in the petitioner’s argument that the requirements of S5.3.1 are inappropriate at this time for non-school buses if no comparable requirements are set for school buses.

The agency proposed to amend Standard No. 121 to suspend the service brake stopping distance requirements of S5.3.1 for non-school buses. NHTSA emphasized its rulemaking action should not be construed in any manner as commenting negatively on antilock performance or the potential use of antilock systems in the motor vehicle industry. The agency explained such a presumption would be mistaken since NHTSA has been actively involved with research on antilock systems, evaluating the performance of technology available currently in Europe and the stopping distance performances of existing vehicles. Because vehicle braking capability is a primary concern with NHTSA, the agency stated that stopping distance requirements might be reinstated in the future for all heavy vehicles.

Comments

Because a basis for the agency’s proposed rulemaking was to alleviate the unfair burden imposed on manufacturers who produce both school buses and non-school buses by the application of S5.3.1, NHTSA was interested in comments from manufacturers producing both types of vehicles. The agency received one comment from a vehicle manufacturer, Ford Motor Company, who supported the rulemaking action as a domestic manufacturer and importer of motor vehicles. Two other comments were received on the proposal, one from the Insurance Institute for Highway Safety (IIHS) and the other from Ms. Barbara Witherspoon, whose husband is a professor at Florida Junior College in Jacksonville, Florida. IIHS and Professor Witherspoon were against adoption of the proposal.

The commenters addressed two main issues concerning the agency’s proposed rulemaking: the effect of the amendment on safety, and the reinstatement of stopping distance requirements.

Effect on Safety

The commenters were divided in their assessment of the safety issues. Professor Witherspoon argued that, while Blue Bird’s request seems logical from an equitable standpoint, it “diverges from and dilutes the concern for public safety.” Conversely, Ford Motor Company supported the proposed amendment to Standard No. 121 and believed that no safety need is being served by holding the one class of vehicles—non-school buses—to a more stringent requirement than heavy trucks, truck-trailer combinations and school buses.

NHTSA concurs with the argument implied by Ford that higher levels of safety would result if trucks, trailers and school buses were subject to the stopping distance requirements of S5.3.1 in addition to non-school buses. However, the agency does not agree that absolutely no safety need is served by applying S5.3.1 to non-school buses only. NHTSA continues to attach great importance to proper vehicle braking performance, which is demonstrated by the extensive research it has conducted on vehicle braking systems and the agency’s enunciated goal of reinstating stopping distance requirements for all vehicle types. NHTSA is unable to justify burdens resulting from the fortuitous and incongruous continued application of those
requirements to non-school bus manufacturers. NHTSA does not believe that the negative safety effects of this rulemaking will be significant because it is anticipated that this rule will affect only a small population of non-school buses, i.e., those that are unable to meet S5.3.1 without the use of antilock systems. As discussed earlier in this notice, Blue Bird already meets all but a small population of non-school requirement of the standard without the use of antilock. Further, NHTSA believes that most buses are able to meet the service brake stopping distance requirements without an antilock system and the agency does not anticipate manufacturers changing their vehicle designs in a way that would negatively affect conformance with the requirements. NHTSA believes that manufacturers of non-school buses will determine that it is in their best interest to continue to voluntarily meet those requirements. In this regard, NHTSA has determined to amend Standard No. 121 in a manner that leaves intact the specifications of S5.3.1, so as to provide manufacturers a set of guidelines which they may use to ascertain the performance level of their vehicles. Of course, as explained in the NPRM, leaving intact the specifications of S5.3.1 also facilitates reinstatement of stopping distance requirements for trucks, trailers and buses in the future.

IIHS objected to NHTSA's reasoning that the service brake stopping distance requirements of S5.3.1 should be suspended because no comparable requirements are set for school buses. IIHS argued that the typical operating environments (speeds, highways used, distances traveled) of school and non-school buses are so different that there is no logical need to have equivalent safety requirements.

NHTSA does not agree that the typical operating environments for school buses and other buses justify the different performance requirements for the two types of vehicles. We also note that we did not rely on any such difference in environments when allowing the present anomaly to exist. While buses carrying school children are typically seen on low-speed streets, they also use freeways and high-speed roadways to transport children on school activity trips. Those "activity buses" are school buses under NHTSA's regulations, subject to the same school bus safety standards as the buses used in neighborhood vicinities or urban traffic. Similarly, non-school buses can be used on local streets as well as high-speed roadways. Therefore, the agency has determined that school buses and other buses typically operate in similar environments and there is no logical basis for subjecting non-school buses to the requirements of S5.3.1 which have been suspended for school buses.

Research and Reinstatement of Safety Requirements

In the NPRM, NHTSA states that it found merit in the petitioner's argument that the requirements of S5.3.1 are inappropriate at this time for non-school buses if no comparable requirements are set for school buses. At the same time, NHTSA explained that the agency was more inclined to suspend than to rescind the requirements because NHTSA might reinstate new stopping distance requirements in the future for trucks, trailers and buses. The IHHS commented that "[t]hese two directions are incompatible."

The meaning of that comment is not entirely clear to the agency. If IIHS is basing its statement on the belief that the future rulemaking to consider reinstating stopping distance requirements will not include those for school buses, NHTSA wishes to clarify that it did not mean to imply that school buses will be excluded from consideration. Since "school buses" are "buses" under NHTSA's regulatory definitions, school buses are included in the group of heavy vehicles for which reinstatement of stopping distance requirements will be considered.

IIHS argued that an amendment to Standard No. 121 along the lines proposed in the NPRM would signal U.S. suppliers of brake systems to "forget" modern brake technology for buses and large trucks, which would result in a degradation of large vehicle braking performance. NHTSA disagrees. Since S5.3.1 currently does not apply to most large vehicles, this amendment would not significantly affect the state-of-the-art technology relating to large vehicle braking performance. Also, such a message would be inconsistent with statements made by the agency in the past, most recently by the announcement in the NPRM concerning the likelihood of future rulemaking action on heavy vehicle braking performance. Further, the agency's research activities on antilock technology and stopping distance performances of existing vehicles should also effectively signal the agency's interest and activity in reinstating service brake stopping distance requirements.

With respect to NHTSA's request for comments relating to the possible reinstatement of the school bus stopping distance requirements, commenters were generally supportive of reinstatement. IIHS believed that NHTSA should encourage the development and application of antilock brakes for all heavy vehicles, including school buses. Ms. Witherspoon stated that, if Standard No. 121 has afforded some degree of safety in complying vehicles, requirements for school buses should be reinstated. NHTSA has found these comments helpful and will evaluate them further along with other available information when it considers proposing school bus stopping distance requirements in the future.

For the reasons given above, NHTSA is amending Standard No. 121 to suspend the requirements of S5.3.1 applicable to buses. As explained above, NHTSA will keep the specifications of S5.3.1 intact as currently set forth in the standard in order to facilitate reinstatement of affected sections in the future and to provide guidance to manufacturers who choose to manufacture their vehicles to the performance levels specified therein.

Effective Date

This rule is effective upon publication in the Federal Register. The agency finds good cause for an immediate effective date because this amendment relieves a restriction whose isolated application to bus manufacturers has been determined by NHTSA to be inappropriate. The rule does not specify different or additional requirements and requires no leadtime for preparation by vehicle manufacturers.

Cost and Benefits

NHTSA has examined the impact of this rulemaking action and determined that it is not major within the meaning of Executive Order 12291 or significant with the meaning of the Department of Transportation's regulatory policies and procedures. The economic and other impacts of this rulemaking action will be so minimal that a full regulatory evaluation is not required. The revision to Standard No. 121 made by this rule is intended to relieve an unfair restriction on bus manufacturers. This amendment might reduce manufacturing costs slightly for manufacturers who no longer need to procure antilock systems or maintain a separate inventory of those systems. However, NHTSA has concluded that the impacts would not be significant because most bus manufacturers meet the requirements of
Standard No. 121 without using antilock systems.

Regulatory Flexibility Act

NHTSA has considered also the impacts of this rulemaking action under the Regulatory Flexibility Act. I hereby certify that it would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Accordingly, the agency has not prepared a regulatory flexibility analysis.

Few, if any, bus manufacturers would qualify as small entities. Any bus manufacturers that do qualify as small businesses might benefit to a small extent by this rule, since excluding buses from the stopping distance requirements allows manufacturers (such as Blue Bird) to produce buses without antilock systems.

Small governmental units and small organizations are generally affected by amendments to the Federal motor vehicle safety standards as purchasers of new motor vehicles and new motor vehicle equipment. However, these entities will not be affected by the changes made by this rule since the revisions to Standard No. 121 will not significantly affect the price of buses.

Environmental Effects

NHTSA has analyzed this rulemaking action for the purposes of the National Environmental Policy Act. The agency has determined that implementation of this action will not have any significant impact on the quality of the human environment.

List of Subjects in 49 CFR Part 571

Imports, Motor vehicle safety, Motor vehicles.

In consideration of the foregoing, 49 CFR Part 571 is amended as follows:

PART 571—FEDERAL MOTOR VEHICLE SAFETY STANDARDS

1. The authority citation for Part 571 of Title 49 would continue to read as follows:


2. In § 571.121, S3 is amended by revising the last paragraph to read as follows:

   § 571.121 Standard No. 121; Air Brake Systems.
   * * * * *
   S3. * * *

   Notwithstanding any language to the contrary, sections S5.3.1, S5.3.1.1, S5.3.2, S5.3.2.1, S5.3.2.2, S5.7.1, S5.7.3(a) and S5.7.3(b) of this standard are not applicable to trucks and trailers, and section S5.3.1 of this standard is not applicable to buses.
   * * * * *

3. In § 571.121, S5.3.1 introductory text is revised to read as follows:

   * * * * *
   S5.3.1 Stopping distance—trucks and buses. When stopped six times for each combination of weight, speed, and road condition specified in S5.3.1.1, in the sequence specified in Table I, the vehicle shall stop at least once in not more than the distance specified in Table II, measured from the point at which movement of the service brake control begins, without any part of the vehicle leaving the roadway and without lockup of any wheel at speeds above 10 mph except for: * * * * *

   * * * * *

   Diane K. Steed,
   Administrator.
   [FR Doc. 87-12510 Filed 6-1-87; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-59-M
Proposed Rules

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices to the public of the proposed issuance of rules and regulations. The purpose of these notices is to give interested persons an opportunity to participate in the rule making prior to the adoption of the final rules.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Soil Conservation Service

7 CFR Part 656

Procedures for the Protection of Archeological and Historical Properties Encountered in SCS-Assisted Programs

AGENCY: Soil Conservation Service, USDA.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Soil Conservation Service (SCS) removes and reserves six sections of its regulation protecting archeological and historic properties. The purpose of this action is to eliminate procedures that are inconsistent with current requirements.

DATE: Comments should be received on or before August 1, 1987.

ADDRESS: Gail Updegraff, Director, Economics and Social Sciences Division, USDA/SCS, P.O. Box 2890, Washington, DC 20013-2890.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This rule has been reviewed under USDA criteria established to carry out Executive Order 12291, Improving Government Regulations, and has been classified "not significant." On July 18, 1977, SCS published in the Federal Register (42 FR 36604) its final rule "Procedures for the Protection of Archeological and Historical Properties Encountered in SCS-Assisted Programs" (7 CFR Part 656). Amendments to this rule were published in the Federal Register on June 19, 1978, and on June 23, 1979 ([FR 26277 and 44 FR 27158]). Proposed revisions of this rule were published in the Federal Register on January 29, 1981 ([FR 9611]), on August 20, 1982 ([FR 3692]), on December 9, 1983 ([FR 55123]), and on August 15, 1986 ([FR 29251]). A withdrawal of the August 15, 1986 proposed rule was published in the Federal Register on December 22, 1986 ([FR 45775]) because the proposed rule was inadvertently processed and signed without the benefit of Office Management and Budget review required by Executive Order 12291.

This action is being taken to ensure compliance with a programmatic memorandum of agreement and the new final rule. Protection of Historic Properties (36 CFR Part 800), published in the Federal Register on September 2, 1986 (51 FR 31115). The rule sets forth the process of Advisory Council on Historic Preservation review and comment for implementing section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act, as amended (16 U.S.C. 470f).

The determination has been made pursuant to the provisions of Executive Order 12291 that the preparation of a regulatory impact analysis is not required. The rule is not considered major under Executive Order 12291. The regulation concerns agency policy and guidelines.

It has also been determined, pursuant to the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (Pub. L. 96-354), that the rule does not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 656

Historic preservation, Soil conservation.

Accordingly, the Soil Conservation Service proposes to amend Part 656 as follows:

PART 656—[AMENDED]

The authority citation for Part 656 is revised to read as follows:

The Act vests authority to investigate false claims and statements under its provisions in an agency's Investigatory Official. Based upon the results of an investigation, the agency Reviewing Official determines, with the concurrence of the Attorney General, whether to refer the matter to a Presiding Officer for an administrative hearing. Any penalty or assessment imposed under the Act may be collected by the Attorney General, through the filing of a civil action, or by offsetting amounts, other than tax refunds, owed the particular party by the Federal Government. For purposes of this Act, these regulations designate the Inspector General of the Department, or his designee, as the Investigatory Official for the Department of Labor. They also designate the Solicitor of the Department of Labor, or his designee, as the Reviewing Official under the Act. Any administrative adjudication under the Act will be presided over by an administrative law judge in the Department of Labor's Office of Administrative Law Judges.

The Report of the Senate Governmental Affairs Committee states that the Committee, "expects that the regulations would be substantially uniform throughout government." [S. Rep. No. 99-212, 99th Cong., 1st Sess. 12 (1986)]. Pursuant to this latter mandate, on March 6, 1987, final model regulations under the Act were issued by the President's Council on Integrity and Efficiency. All "authorities" were urged by the President's Council to adopt the model regulations in their entirety, absent "compelling circumstances necessitated by an agency's organizational or program uniqueness. The Department of Labor has adopted the final model regulations in this rulemaking.

Executive Order 12291

This rule is not a "major rule" under Executive Order 12291 because it is not likely to result in an annual effect in the economy of $100 million or more; (2) a major increase in costs or prices for consumers, individual industries, Federal, State, or local government agencies, or geographic regions; or (3) significant adverse effects on competition, employment, investment, productivity, innovation, or on the ability of the United States-based enterprises to compete with foreign based enterprises in domestic or foreign markets. Accordingly, no regulatory impact analysis is required.

Regulatory Flexibility Act

This rule is not subject to section 3504(h) of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3504(h)) since it does not contain collection of information requirements.

List of Subjects in 29 CFR Part 22

Administrative practice and procedures; Claims; Government contracts; Grant programs.

Accordingly, Subtitle A of Title 29 of the Code of Federal Regulation is amended by adding a new Part 22 to read as follows:

PART 22—PROGRAM FRAUD CIVIL REMEDIES ACT OF 1986

Sec. 22.1 Basis and purpose.
22.2 Definitions.
22.3 Basis for civil penalties and assessments.
22.4 Investigation.
22.5 Review by the reviewing official.
22.6 Prerequisites for issuing a complaint.
22.7 Complaint.
22.8 Service of complaint.
22.9 Answer.
22.10 Default upon failure to file an answer.
22.11 Referral of complaint and answer to the ALJ.
22.12 Notice of hearing.
22.13 Parties to the hearing.
22.14 Separation of functions.
22.15 Ex parte contacts.
22.16 Disqualification of reviewing official or ALJ.
22.17 Rights of parties.
22.18 Authority of the ALJ.
22.19 Prehearing conferences.
22.20 Disclosure of documents.
22.21 Discovery.
22.22 Exchange of witness lists, statements, and exhibits.
22.23 Subpoenas for attendance at hearing.
22.24 Protective order.
22.25 Fees.
22.26 Form, filing and service of papers.
22.27 Computation of time.
22.28 Motions.
22.29 Sanctions.

Sec. 22.30 The hearing and burden of proof.
22.31 Determining the amount of penalties and assessments.
22.32 Location of hearing.
22.33 Witnesses.
22.34 Evidence.
22.35 The record.
22.36 Post-hearing briefs.
22.37 Initial decision.
22.38 Reconsideration of initial decision.
22.39 Appeal to authority head.
22.40 Stays ordered by the Department of Justice.
22.41 Stay pending appeal.
22.42 Judicial review.
22.43 Collection of civil penalties and assessments.
22.44 Right to administrative offset.
22.45 Deposit in Treasury of United States.
22.46 Compromise or settlement.
22.47 Limitations.


§ 22.1 Basis and purpose.


(b) Purpose. This part—

(1) Establishes administrative procedures for imposing civil penalties and assessments against persons who make, submit, or present, or cause to be made, submitted, or presented, false, fictitious, or fraudulent claims or written statements to authorities or to their agents, and

(2) Specifies the hearing and appeal rights of persons subject to allegations of liability for such penalties and assessments.

§ 22.2 Definitions.

(a) "ALJ" means an Administrative Law Judge in the authority appointed pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 3105 or detailed to the authority pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 3344.

(b) "Authority" means the United States Department of Labor.

(c) "Authority head" means the Secretary of Labor or the Deputy Secretary of the Department of Labor.

(d) "Benefit" means, except as the context otherwise requires, anything of value, including but not limited to any advantage, preference, privilege, license, permit, favorable decision, ruling, status, or loan guarantee.

(e) "Claim" means, any request, demand, or submission—

(1) Made to the authority for property, services, or money (including money...
representing grants, loans, insurance, or benefits; (2) Made to a recipient of property, services, or money from the authority or to a party to a contract with the authority—(i) For property or services if the United States—(A) Provided such property or services; (B) Provided any portion of the funds for the purchase of such property or services; or (C) Will reimburse such recipient or party for the purchase of such property or services; or (ii) For the payment of money (including money representing grants, loans, insurance, or benefits) if the United States—(A) Provided any portion of the money requested or demanded; or (B) Will reimburse such recipient or party for any portion of the money paid on such request or demand; or (3) Made to the authority which has the effect of decreasing an obligation to pay or account for property, services, or money.

(f) “Complaint” means the administrative complaint served by the Defendant, under § 22.7. (g) “Defendant” means any person alleged in a complaint under § 22.7 to be liable for a civil penalty or assessment under § 22.3.

(h) “Department” means the United States Department of Labor.

(i) “Government” means the United States Government.

(j) “Individual” means a natural person.

(k) “Initial decision” means the written decision of the ALJ required by § 22.10 or § 22.37, and includes a revised initial decision issued following a remand or a motion for reconsideration.


(m) “Knows or has reason to know,” means that a person, with respect to a claim or statement—(1) Has actual knowledge that the claim or statement is false, fictitious, or fraudulent; (2) Acts in deliberate ignorance of the truth or falsity of the claim or statement; or (3) Acts in reckless disregard of the truth or falsity of the claim or statement.

(n) “Makes,” wherever it appears, shall include the terms presents, submits, and causes to be made, presented, or submitted. As the context requires, making or made, shall likewise include the corresponding forms of such terms.

(o) “Person” means any individual, partnership, corporation, association, private organization, State, political subdivision of a State, municipality, county, district, and Indian tribe, and includes the plural of that term.

(p) “Representative” means an attorney or other representative meeting the qualifications of a non-attorney representative found at 29 CFR 18.34 and designated by a party in writing.

(q) “Reviewing official” means the Solicitor of the Department of Labor or his designee who is—(1) Not subject to supervision by, or required to report to, the investigating official; and (2) Not employed in the organizational unit of the authority in which the investigating official is employed.

(r) “Statement” means any representation, certification, affirmation, document, record, or accounting or bookkeeping entry made—(1) With respect to a claim or to obtain the approval or payment of a claim (including relating to eligibility to make a claim); or (2) With respect to (including relating to eligibility for)—(i) A contract with, or a bid or proposal for a contract with; or (ii) A grant, loan, or benefit from, the authority, or any State, political subdivision of a State, or other party, if the United States Government provides any portion of the money or property under such contractor for such grant, loan, or benefit, or if the Government will reimburse such State, political subdivision, or party for any portion of the money or property under such contract or for such grant, loan, or benefit.

§ 22.3 Basis for civil penalties and assessments.

(a) Claims. (1) Except as provided in paragraph (c) of this section, any person who makes a claim that the person knows or has reason to know—(i) Is false, fictitious, or fraudulent; (ii) Includes or is supported by any written statement which asserts a material fact which is false, fictitious, or fraudulent; (iii) Includes or is supported by any written statement that—(A) Omits a material fact; (B) Is false, fictitious, or fraudulent as a result of such omission; and (C) Is a statement in which the person making such statement has a duty to include such material fact; or (iv) Is for payment for the provision of property or services which the person has not provided as claimed; or (B) Provided any portion of the money or property, or services, or money constitutes a separate claim.

(2) Each claim for property, services, or money is subject to a civil penalty regardless of whether such property, services, or money is actually delivered or paid.

(3) If the Government has made any payment (including transferred property or provided services) on a claim, a claim subject to a civil penalty under paragraph (a) of this section shall also be subject to an assessment of not more than twice the amount of such claim or that portion thereof that is determined to be in violation of paragraph (a) of this section. Such assessment shall be in lieu of damages sustained by the Government because of such claim.

(b) Statements. (1) Except as provided in paragraph (c) of this section, any person who makes a written statement that—(i) The person knows or has reason to know—(A) Asserts a material fact which is false, fictitious, or fraudulent; or (B) Is false, fictitious, or fraudulent because it omits a material fact that the person making the statement has a duty to include in such statement; and (ii) Contains or is accompanied by an express certification or affirmation of the truthfulness and accuracy of the contents of the statement, shall be subject, in addition to any other remedy that may be prescribed by law, to a civil penalty of not more than $5,000 for each such statement.

(2) Each written representation, certification, or affirmation constitutes a separate statement.

(3) A statement shall be considered made to the authority when such statement is actually made to an agent, fiscal intermediary, or other entity.
shall submit a report containing the findings and conclusions of such investigation to the reviewing official. 

(c) Nothing in this section shall preclude or limit an investigating official's discretion to refer allegations directly to the Department of Justice for suit under the False Claims Act or other civil relief, or to defer or postpone a report or referral to avoid interference with a criminal investigation or prosecution. 

(d) Nothing in this section modifies any responsibility of an investigating official to report violations of criminal law to the Attorney General.

§ 22.5 Review by the reviewing official. 

(a) If, based on the report of the investigating official under § 22.4(b), the reviewing official determines that there is adequate evidence to believe that a person is liable under § 22.3(a) with respect to a claim or statement submitted at the time such claim is submitted (as defined in paragraph (b) of this section), the amount of money or the value of property or services demanded or requested in violation of § 22.3(a) does not exceed $150,000.

(b) For the purposes of this section, a related group of claims submitted at the same time shall include only those claims arising from the same transaction (e.g., grant, loan, application, or contract) that are submitted simultaneously as part of a single request, demand, or submission.

(c) Nothing in this section shall be construed to limit the reviewing official's authority to join in a single complaint against a person claims that are unrelated or were not submitted simultaneously, regardless of the amount of money or the value of property or services demanded or requested.

§ 22.7 Complaint. 

(a) On or after the date the Department of Justice approves the issuance of a complaint in accordance with 31 U.S.C. 3803(b)(1), the reviewing official may serve a complaint on the defendant, as provided in § 22.8.

(b) The complaint shall state—

(1) The allegations of liability against the defendant, including the statutory basis for liability, an identification of the claims or statements that are the basis for the alleged liability, and the reasons why liability allegedly arises from such claims or statements;

(2) The maximum amount of penalties and assessments for which the defendant may be held liable;

(3) Instructions for filing an answer to request a hearing, including a specific statement of the defendant's right to request a hearing by filing an answer and to be represented by a representative; and

(4) That failure to file an answer within 30 days of service of the complaint will result in the imposition of the maximum amount of penalties and assessments without right to appeal.

(c) At the same time the reviewing official serves the complaint, he or she shall serve the defendant with a copy of these regulations.

§ 22.8 Service of complaint. 

(a) Service of a complaint must be made by certified or registered mail or by delivery in any manner authorized by Rule 4(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

(b) Proof of service, stating the name and address of the person on whom the complaint was served, and the manner and date of service, may be made by—

(1) Affidavit of the individual making service;
§ 22.9 Answer.
(a) The defendant may request a hearing by filing an answer with the reviewing official within 30 days of service of the complaint. An answer shall be deemed to be a request for hearing.
(b) In the answer, the defendant—
(1) Shall admit or deny each of the allegations of liability made in the complaint;
(2) Shall state any defense on which the defendant intends to rely;
(3) May state any reasons why the defendant contends that the penalties and assessments should be less than the statutory maximum; and
(4) Shall state the name, address, and telephone number of the person authorized by the defendant to act as defendant’s representative, if any.

§ 22.10 Default upon failure to file an answer.
(a) If the defendant does not file an answer within the time prescribed in § 22.9(a), the reviewing official may refer the complaint to the ALJ.
(b) Upon the referral of the complaint, the ALJ shall promptly serve on the defendant in the manner prescribed in § 22.8, a notice that an initial decision will be issued under this section.
(c) The ALJ shall assume the facts alleged in the complaint to be true and, if such facts establish liability under § 22.3, the ALJ shall issue an initial decision imposing the maximum amount of penalties and assessments allowed under the statute.
(d) Except as otherwise provided in this section, by failing to file a timely answer, the defendant waives any right to further review of the penalties and assessments imposed under paragraph (c) of this section, and the initial decision shall become final and binding upon the parties 30 days after it is issued.
(e) If, before such an initial decision becomes final, the defendant files a motion with the ALJ seeking to reopen on the grounds that extraordinary circumstances prevented the defendant from filing an answer, the initial decision shall be stayed pending the ALJ’s decision on the motion.
(f) If, on such motion, the defendant can demonstrate extraordinary circumstances excusing the failure to file a timely answer, the ALJ shall withdraw the initial decision in paragraph (c) of this section, if such a decision has been issued, and shall grant the defendant an opportunity to file the complaint.
(g) A decision of the ALJ denying a defendant’s motion under paragraph (a) of this section is not subject to reconsideration under § 22.38.

§ 22.11 Referral of complaint and answer to the ALJ.
Upon receipt of an answer, the reviewing official shall forward the record of the proceeding in the manner prescribed in § 22.8 to the ALJ.

§ 22.12 Notice of hearing.
(a) When the ALJ receives the complaint and answer, the ALJ shall promptly serve a notice of hearing upon the defendant in the manner prescribed by § 22.8. At the same time, the ALJ shall send a copy of such notice to the representative for the Government.
(b) Such notice shall include—
(1) The tentative time and place, and the nature of the hearing;
(2) The legal authority and jurisdiction under which the hearing is to be held; and
(3) The matters of fact and law to be asserted:
(4) A description of the procedures for the conduct of the hearing;
(5) The name, address, and telephone number of the representative of the Government and of the defendant, if any; and
(6) Such other matters as the ALJ deems appropriate.

§ 22.13 Parties to the hearing.
(a) The parties to the hearing shall be the defendant and the authority.
(b) Pursuant to 31 U.S.C. 3730(c)(5), a private plaintiff under the False Claims Act may participate in these proceedings to the extent authorized by the provisions of that Act.

§ 22.14 Separation of functions.
(a) The investigating official, the reviewing official, and any employee or agent of the authority who takes part in investigating, preparing, or presenting a particular case may not, in such case or a factually related case—
(1) Participate in the hearing as the ALJ;
(2) Participate or advise in the initial decision or the review of the initial decision by the authority head, except as a witness or a representative in public proceedings; or
(3) Make the collection of penalties and assessments under 31 U.S.C. 3806.
(b) The ALJ shall not be responsible to, or subject to the supervision or direction of, the investigating official or the reviewing official.
(c) Except as provided in paragraph (a) of this section, the representative for the Government may be employed anywhere in the authority, including in the offices of either the investigating official or the reviewing official.

§ 22.15 Ex parte contacts.
No party or person (except employees of the ALJ’s office) shall communicate in any way with the ALJ on any matter at issue in a case, unless on notice and opportunity for all parties to participate. This provision does not prohibit a person or party from inquiring about the status of a case or asking routine questions concerning administrative functions or procedures.

§ 22.16 Disqualification of reviewing official or ALJ.
(a) A reviewing official or ALJ in a particular case may disqualify himself or herself at any time.
(b) A party may file with the ALJ a motion for disqualification of a reviewing official or an ALJ. Such motion shall be accompanied by an affidavit alleging personal bias or other reason for disqualification.
(c) Such motion and affidavit shall be filed promptly upon the party’s discovery of reasons requiring disqualification, or such objections shall be deemed waived.
(d) Such affidavit shall state specific facts that support the party’s belief that personal bias or other reason for disqualification exists and the time and
circumstances of the party’s discovery
of such facts. It shall be accompanied by
a certificate of the representative of
record that it is made in good faith.
(e) Upon the filing of such a motion
and affidavit, the ALJ shall proceed no
further in the case until he or she
resolves the matter of disqualification in
accordance with paragraph (f) of this
section.
(f) If the ALJ determines that a
reviewing official is disqualified, the ALJ
shall dismiss the complaint without
prejudice.
(2) If the ALJ disqualifies himself or
herself, the case shall be reassigned
promptly to another ALJ.
(3) If the ALJ denies a motion to
disqualify, the authority head may
determine the matter only as part of his
or her review of the initial decision upon
appeal, if any.
§ 22.17 Rights of parties.
Except as otherwise limited by this
part, all parties may—
(a) Be accompanied, represented, and
advised by a representative;
(b) Participate in any conference held
by the ALJ;
(c) Conduct discovery;
(d) Agree to stipulations of fact or
law, which shall be made part of the
record;
(e) Present evidence relevant to the
issues at the hearing;
(f) Present and cross-examine
witnesses;
(g) Present oral arguments at the
hearing as permitted by the ALJ; and
(b) Submit written briefs and
proposed findings of fact and
conclusions of law after the hearing.
§ 22.18 Authority of the ALJ.
(a) The ALJ shall conduct a fair and
impartial hearing, avoid delay, maintain
order, and assure that a record of the
proceeding is made.
(b) The ALJ has the authority to—
(1) Set and change the date, time, and
place of the hearing upon reasonable
notice to the parties;
(2) Continue or recess the hearing in
whole or in part for a reasonable period
of time;
(3) Hold conferences to identify or
simplify the issues, or to consider other
matters that may aid in the expedient
disposition of the proceeding;
(4) Administer oaths and affirmations;
(5) Issue subpoenas requiring the
appearance of witnesses and the
production of documents at depositions
or at hearings;
(6) Rule on motions and other
procedural matters;
(7) Regulate the scope and timing of
discovery;
(8) Regulate the course of the hearing
and the conduct of representatives and
parties;
(9) Examine witnesses;
(10) Receive, rule on, exclude, or limit
evidence;
(11) Upon motion of a party, take
official notice of facts;
(12) Upon motion of a party, decide
cases, in whole or in part, by summary
judgment where there is no disputed
issue of material fact;
(13) Conduct any conference,
argument, or hearing on motions in
person or by telephone; and
(14) Exercise such other authority as
is necessary to carry out the
responsibilities of the ALJ under this
part.
(c) The ALJ does not have the
authority to decide upon the validity of
Federal statutes or regulations.
§ 22.19 Prehearing conferences.
(a) The ALJ may schedule prehearing
conferences as appropriate.
(b) Upon the motion of any party, the
ALJ shall schedule at least one
prehearing conference at a reasonable
time in advance of the hearing.
(c) The ALJ may use prehearing
conferences to discuss the following:
(1) Simplification of the issues;
(2) The necessity or desirability of
amendments to the pleadings, including
the need for a more definite statement;
(3) Stipulations and admissions of fact
or as to the contents and authenticity of
documents;
(4) Whether the parties can agree to
submission of the case on a stipulated
record;
(5) Whether a party chooses to waive
appearance at an oral hearing and to
submit only documentary evidence
(subject to the objection of other parties)
and written argument;
(6) Limitation of the number of
witnesses;
(7) Scheduling dates for the exchange
of witness lists and of proposed
exhibits;
(8) Discovery;
(9) The time and place for the hearing;
and
(10) Such other matters as may tend to
expedite the fair and just disposition of
the proceedings.
(d) The ALJ may issue an order
containing all matters agreed upon by
the parties or ordered by the ALJ at a
prehearing conference.
§ 22.20 Disclosure of documents.
(a) Upon written request to the
reviewing official, the defendant may
review any relevant and material
documents, transcripts, records, and
other materials that relate to the
allegations set out in the complaint and
upon which the findings and conclusions
of the investigating official under
§ 22.24(b) are based unless such
documents are subject to a privilege
under Federal law. Upon payment of
fees for duplication, the defendant may
obtain copies of such documents.
(b) Upon written request to the
reviewing official, the defendant also
may obtain a copy of all exculpatory
information in the possession of the
reviewing official or investigating
official relating to the allegations in the
complaint, even if it is contained in a
document that would otherwise be
privileged. If the document would
otherwise be privileged, only that
portion containing exculpatory
information must be disclosed.
(c) The notice sent to the Attorney
General from the reviewing official as
described in § 22.23 is not discoverable
under any circumstances.
(d) The defendant may file a motion
to compel disclosure of the documents
subject to the provisions of this section.
Such a motion may only be filed with
the ALJ following the filing of an answer
pursuant to § 22.9.
§ 22.21 Discovery.
(a) The following types of discovery are
authorized:
(1) Requests for production of
documents for inspection and copying;
(2) Requests for admissions of the
authenticity of any relevant document or
of the truth of any relevant fact;
(3) Written interrogatories; and
(4) Depositions.
(b) For the purpose of this section and
§§ 22.22 and 22.23, the term
“documents” includes information,
documents, reports, answers, records,
accounts, papers, and other data and
documentary evidence. Nothing
contained herein shall be interpreted to
require the creation of a document.
(c) Unless mutually agreed to by the
parties, discovery is available only as
ordered by the ALJ. The ALJ shall
regulate the timing of discovery.
(d) Motions for discovery. (1) A party
seeking discovery may file a motion with
the ALJ. Such a motion shall be
accompanied by a copy of the requested
discovery, or in the case of depositions,
a summary of the scope of the proposed
deposition.
(2) Within ten days of service, a party
may file an opposition to the motion
and/or a motion for protective order as
provided in § 22.24.
(3) The ALJ may grant a motion for
discovery only if he finds that the
discovery sought—
(i) Is necessary for the expeditious, fair, and reasonable consideration of the issues;
(ii) Is not unduly costly or burdensome;
(iii) Will not unduly delay the proceeding; and
(iv) Does not seek privileged information.

(4) The burden of showing that discovery should be allowed is on the party seeking discovery.

(5) The ALJ may grant discovery subject to a protective order under § 22.24.

(e) Subpoenas for attendance at hearing.

(a) A party wishing to procure the appearance and testimony of any individual at the hearing may request that the ALJ issue a subpoena.
(b) A subpoena requiring the attendance and testimony of an individual may also require the individual to produce documents at the hearing.
(c) A party seeking a subpoena shall file a written request therefor not less than 15 days before the date fixed for the hearing unless otherwise allowed by the ALJ for good cause shown. Such request shall specify any documents to be produced and shall designate the witnesses and describe the address and location thereof with sufficient particularity to permit such witnesses to be found.
(d) The subpoena shall specify the time and place at which the witness is to appear and any documents the witness is to produce.
(e) The party seeking the subpoena shall serve it in the manner prescribed in § 22.8. A subpoena on a party or upon an individual to whom the subpoena is directed may file with the ALJ a motion to quash the subpoena within ten days of service.

(4) The party seeking to depose shall provide for the taking of a verbatim transcript of the deposition, which it shall make available to all other parties for inspection and copying.

(f) Each party shall bear its own costs of discovery.

§ 22.23 Subpoenas for attendance at hearing.

(a) A party wishing to procure the appearance and testimony of any individual at the hearing may request that the ALJ issue a subpoena.
(b) A subpoena requiring the attendance and testimony of an individual may also require the individual to produce documents at the hearing.
(c) A party seeking a subpoena shall file a written request therefor not less than 15 days before the date fixed for the hearing unless otherwise allowed by the ALJ for good cause shown. Such request shall specify any documents to be produced and shall designate the witnesses and describe the address and location thereof with sufficient particularity to permit such witnesses to be found.
(d) The subpoena shall specify the time and place at which the witness is to appear and any documents the witness is to produce.
(e) The party seeking the subpoena shall serve it in the manner prescribed in § 22.8. A subpoena on a party or upon an individual to whom the subpoena is directed may file with the ALJ a motion to quash the subpoena within ten days after service or on or before the time specified in the subpoena for compliance if it is less than ten days after service.

§ 22.24 Protective order.

(a) A party or a prospective witness or deponent may file a motion for a protective order with respect to discovery sought by an opposing party or with respect to the hearing, seeking to limit the availability or disclosure of evidence.
(b) In issuing a protective order, the ALJ may make any order which justice requires to protect a party or person from annoyance, embarrassment, oppression, or undue burden or expense, including one or more of the following:
(1) That the discovery not be had;
(2) That the discovery may be had only on specified terms and conditions, including a designation of the time or place;
(3) That the discovery may be had only through a method of discovery other than that requested;
(4) That certain matters not be inquired into, or that the scope of discovery be limited to certain matters;
§ 22.27 Computation of time.

(a) In computing any period of time under this part or in an order issued thereunder, the time begins with the day following the act, event, or default, and includes the last day of the period, unless it is a Saturday, Sunday, or legal holiday observed by the Federal government, in which event it includes the next business day.

(b) When the period of time allowed is less than seven days, intermediate Saturdays, Sundays, and legal holidays observed by the Federal government shall be excluded from the computation.

(c) Where a document has been served or issued by mail, an additional five days will be added to the time permitted for any response.

§ 22.28 Motions.

(a) Any application to the ALJ for an order or ruling shall be by motion. Motions shall state the relief sought, the authority relied upon, and the facts alleged, and shall be filed with the ALJ and served on all other parties.

(b) Except for motions made during a prehearing conference or at the hearing, all motions shall be in writing. The ALJ may require that oral motions be reduced to writing.

(c) Within 15 days after a written motion is served, or such other time as may be fixed by the ALJ, any party may file a response to such motion.

(d) The ALJ may not grant a written motion before the time for filing responses thereto has expired, except upon consent of the parties or following a hearing on the motion, but may overrule or deny such motion without awaiting a response.

(e) The ALJ shall make a reasonable effort to dispose of all outstanding motions prior to the beginning of the hearing.

§ 22.29 Sanctions.

(a) The ALJ may sanction a person, including any party or representative thereof—

(1) Failing to comply with an order, rule, or procedure governing the proceeding;

(2) Failing to prosecute or defend an action; or

(3) Engaging in other misconduct that interferes with the speedy, orderly, or fair conduct of the hearing.

(b) Any such sanction, including but not limited to those listed in paragraphs (c), (d), and (e) of this section, shall reasonably relate to the severity and nature of the failure or misconduct.

(c) When a party fails to comply with an order, including an order for taking a deposition, the production of evidence within the party's control, or a request for admission, the ALJ may—

(1) Draw an inference in favor of the requesting party with regard to the information sought;

(2) In the case of requests for admission, deem each matter of which an admission is requested to be admitted;

(3) Prohibit the party failing to comply with such order from introducing evidence concerning, or otherwise relying upon testimony relating to the information; and

(4) Strike any part of the pleadings or other submissions of the party failing to comply with such request.

(d) If a party fails to prosecute or defend an action under this part commenced by service of a notice of hearing, the ALJ may dismiss the action or may issue an initial decision imposing penalties and assessments.

(e) The ALJ may refuse to consider any motion, request, response, brief or other document which is not filed in a timely fashion.

§ 22.30 The hearing and burden of proof.

(a) The ALJ shall conduct a hearing on the record in order to determine whether the defendant is liable for a civil penalty or assessment under § 22.3 and, if so, the appropriate amount of any such civil penalty or assessment considering any aggravating or mitigating factors.

(b) The authority shall prove defendant's liability and any aggravating factors by a preponderance of the evidence.

(c) The defendant shall prove any affirmative defenses and any mitigating factors by a preponderance of the evidence.

(d) The hearing shall be open to the public unless otherwise ordered by the ALJ for good cause shown.

§ 22.31 Determining the amount of penalties and assessments.

(a) In determining an appropriate amount of civil penalties and assessments, the ALJ and the authority head, upon appeal, should evaluate any circumstances that mitigate or aggravate the violation and should articulate in their opinions the reasons that support the penalties and assessments they impose. Because of the intangible costs of fraud, the expense of investigating such conduct, and the need to deter others who might be similarly tempted, ordinarily double damages and a significant civil penalty should be imposed.

(b) Although not exhaustive, the following factors are among those that may influence the ALJ and the authority head in determining the amount of penalties and assessments to impose with respect to the misconduct (i.e., the false, fictitious, or fraudulent claims or statements) charged in the complaint:

(1) The number of false, fictitious, or fraudulent claims or statements;

(2) The time period over which such claims or statements were made;

(3) The degree of the defendant's culpability with respect to the misconduct;

(4) The amount of money or the value of the property, services, or benefit falsely claimed;

(5) The value of the Government's actual loss as a result of the misconduct, including foreseeable consequential damages and the costs of investigation;

(6) The relationship of the amount imposed as civil penalties to the amount of the Government's loss;

(7) The potential or actual impact of the misconduct upon national defense, public health or safety, or public confidence in the management of Government programs and operations, including particularly the impact on the intended beneficiaries of such programs;

(8) Whether the defendant has engaged in a pattern of the same or similar misconduct;

(9) Whether the defendant attempted to conceal the misconduct;

(10) The degree to which the defendant has involved others in the misconduct or in concealing it;

(11) Where the misconduct of employees or agents is imputed to the defendant, the extent to which the defendant's practices fostered or attempted to preclude such misconduct;

(12) Whether the defendant cooperated in or obstructed an investigation of the misconduct;

(13) Whether the defendant assisted in identifying and prosecuting other wrongdoers;

(14) The complexity of the program or transaction, and the degree of the defendant's sophistication with respect to it, including the extent of the defendant's prior participation in the program or in similar transactions;

(15) Whether the defendant has been found, in any criminal, civil, or administrative proceeding to have engaged in similar misconduct or to have dealt dishonestly with the Government of the United States or of a State, directly or indirectly; and

(16) The need to deter the defendant and others from engaging in the same or similar misconduct.
(c) Nothing in this section shall be construed to limit the ALJ or the authority head from considering any other factors that in any given case may mitigate or aggravate the offense for which penalties and assessments are imposed.

§ 22.32 Location of hearing.
(a) The hearing may be held—
(1) In any judicial district of the United States in which the defendant resides or transacts business;
(2) In any judicial district of the United States in which the claim or statement in issue was made; or
(3) In such other place as may be agreed upon by the defendant and the ALJ.
(b) Each party shall have the opportunity to present argument with respect to the location of the hearing.
(c) The hearing shall be held at the place and at the time ordered by the ALJ.

§ 22.33 Witnesses.
(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, testimony at the hearing shall be given orally by witnesses under oath or affirmation.
(b) At the discretion of the ALJ, testimony may be admitted in the form of a written statement or deposition. Any such written statement must be provided to all other parties along with the last known address of such witness, in a manner which allows sufficient time for other parties to subpoena such witness for cross-examination at the hearing. Prior written statements of witnesses proposed to testify at the hearing and deposition transcripts shall be exchanged as provided in § 22.22(a).
(c) The ALJ shall exercise reasonable control over the mode and order of interrogating witnesses and presenting evidence, so as to:
(1) Make the interrogation and presentation effective for the ascertainment of the truth,
(2) Avoid needless consumption of time, and
(3) Protect witnesses from harassment or undue embarrassment.
(d) The ALJ shall permit the parties to conduct such cross-examination as may be required for a full and true disclosure of the facts.
(e) At the discretion of the ALJ, a witness may be cross-examined on matters relevant to the proceeding without regard to the scope of his or her direct examination. To the extent permitted by the ALJ, cross-examination on matters outside the scope of direct examination shall be conducted in the manner of direct examination and may proceed by leading questions only if the witness is a hostile witness, an adverse party, or a witness identified with an adverse party.
(f) Upon motion of any party, the ALJ shall order witnesses excluded so that they cannot hear the testimony of other witnesses. This rule does not authorize exclusion of—
(1) A party who is an individual;
(2) In the case of a party that is not an individual, an officer or employee of the party designated by the party's representative; or
(3) An individual whose presence is shown by a party to be essential to the presentation of its case, including an individual employed by the Government engaged in assisting the representative for the Government.

§ 22.34 Evidence.
(a) The ALJ shall determine the admissibility of evidence.
(b) Except as provided herein, the ALJ shall not be bound by the Federal Rules of Evidence. However, the ALJ may apply the Federal Rules of Evidence where appropriate, e.g., to exclude unreliable evidence.
(c) The ALJ shall exclude irrelevant and immaterial evidence.
(d) Although relevant, evidence may be excluded if its probative value is substantially outweighed by the danger of unfair prejudice, confusion of the issues, or by considerations of undue delay or needless presentation of cumulative evidence.
(e) Although relevant, evidence may be excluded if it is privileged under Federal law.
(f) Evidence concerning offers of compromise or settlement shall be inadmissible to the extent provided in Rule 408 of the Federal Rules of Evidence.
(g) The ALJ shall permit the parties to introduce rebuttal witnesses and evidence.
(h) All documents and other evidence offered or taken for the record shall be open to examination by all parties, unless otherwise ordered by the ALJ pursuant to § 22.24.

§ 22.35 The record.
(a) The hearing will be recorded and transcribed. Transcripts may be obtained following the hearing from the ALJ at a cost not to exceed the actual cost of duplication.
(b) The transcript of testimony, exhibits and other evidence admitted at the hearing, and all papers and requests filed in the proceeding constitute the record for the decision by the ALJ and the authority head.
(c) The record may be inspected and copied (upon payment of a reasonable fee) by anyone, unless otherwise ordered by the ALJ pursuant to § 22.24.

§ 22.36 Post hearing briefs.
The ALJ may require the parties to file post-hearing briefs. In any event, any party may file a post-hearing brief. The ALJ shall fix the time for filing such briefs, not to exceed 60 days from the date the parties receive the transcript of the hearing or, if applicable, the stipulated record. Such briefs may be accompanied by proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law. The ALJ may permit the parties to file reply briefs.

§ 22.37 Initial decision.
(a) The ALJ shall issue an initial decision based only on the record, which shall contain findings of fact, conclusions of law, and the amount of any penalties and assessments imposed.
(b) The findings of fact shall include a finding on each of the following issues:
(1) Whether the claims or statements identified in the complaint, or any portions thereof, violate § 22.3;
(2) If the person is liable for penalties or assessments, the appropriate amount of any such penalties or assessments considering any mitigating or aggravating factors that he or she finds in the case, such as those described in § 22.31.
(c) The ALJ shall promptly serve the initial decision on all parties within 90 days after the time for submission of post-hearing briefs and reply briefs (if permitted) has expired. The ALJ shall at the same time serve all defendants with a statement describing the right of any defendant determined to be liable for a civil penalty or assessment to file motion for reconsideration with the ALJ or a notice of appeal with the authority head. If the ALJ fails to meet the deadline contained in this paragraph, he or she shall notify the parties of the reason for the delay and shall set a new deadline.
(d) Unless the initial decision of the ALJ is timely appealed to the authority head, or a motion for reconsideration of the initial decision is timely filed, the initial decision shall constitute the final decision of the authority head and shall be final and binding on the parties 30 days after it is issued by the ALJ.

§ 22.38 Reconsideration of initial decision.
(a) Except as provided in paragraph (d) of this section, any party may file a motion for reconsideration of the initial decision within 20 days of receipt of the initial decision. If service was made by mail, receipt will be presumed to be five
days from the date of mailing in the absence of contrary proof.
(b) Every such motion must set forth the matters claimed to have been erroneously decided and the nature of the alleged errors. Such motion shall be accompanied by a supporting brief.
(c) Responses to such motions shall be allowed only upon request of the ALJ.
(d) No party may file motion for reconsideration of an initial decision that has been revised in response to a previous motion for reconsideration.
(e) The ALJ may dispose of a motion for reconsideration by denying it or by issuing a revised initial decision.
(f) If the ALJ denies a motion for reconsideration, the initial decision shall constitute the final decision of the authority head and shall be final and binding on the parties 30 days after the ALJ denies the motion, unless the initial decision is timely appealed to the authority head in accordance with § 22.39.

§ 22.39 Appeal to authority head.
(a) Any defendant who has filed a timely answer and who is determined in an initial decision to be liable for a civil penalty or assessment may appeal such decision to the authority head by filing a notice of appeal with the authority head in accordance with this section.
(b)(1) No notice of appeal may be filed until the time period for filing a motion for reconsideration under § 22.38 has expired.
(2) If a motion for reconsideration is timely filed, a notice of appeal must be filed within 30 days after the ALJ denies the motion or issues a revised initial decision, whichever applies.
(c) If no motion for reconsideration is timely filed, a notice of appeal must be filed within 30 days after the ALJ issues the initial decision.
(d) The authority head may extend the initial 30 day period for an additional 30 days if the defendant files with the authority head a request for an extension within that initial 30 day period and shows good cause.
(e) If the defendant files a timely notice of appeal with the authority head, the ALJ shall forward the record of the proceeding to the authority head.
(f) A notice of appeal shall be accompanied by a written brief specifying exceptions to the initial decision and reasons supporting the exceptions.

(e) The representative for the Government may file a brief in opposition to exceptions within 30 days of receiving the notice of appeal and accompanying brief.
(f) There is no right to appear personally before the authority head.
(g) There is no right to appeal any interlocutory ruling by the ALJ.
(h) In reviewing the initial decision, the authority head shall not consider any objection that was not raised before the ALJ unless a demonstration is made of extraordinary circumstances causing the failure to raise the objection.
(i) If any party demonstrates to the satisfaction of the authority head that additional evidence not presented at such hearing is material and that there were reasonable grounds for the failure to present such evidence at such hearing, the authority head shall remand the matter to the ALJ for consideration of such additional evidence.
(j) The authority head may affirm, reduce, reverse, compromise, remand, or settle any penalty or assessment determined by the ALJ in any initial decision.
(k) The authority head shall promptly serve each party to the appeal with a copy of the decision of the authority head. At the same time the authority head shall serve the defendant with a statement describing the defendant's right to seek judicial review.
(l) Unless a petition for review is filed as provided in 31 U.S.C. 3805 after a defendant has exhausted all administrative remedies under this part and within 60 days after the date on which the authority head issues the defendant with a copy of the authority head's decision, a determination that a defendant is liable under § 22.3 is final and is not subject to judicial review.

§ 22.40 Stays ordered by the Department of Justice.
If at any time the Attorney General or an Assistant Attorney General designated by the Attorney General transmits to the authority head a written finding that continuation of the administrative process described in this part with respect to a claim or statement may adversely affect any pending or potential criminal or civil action related to such claim or statement, the authority head shall stay the process immediately. The authority head may order the process resumed only upon receipt of the written authorization of the Attorney General.

§ 22.41 Stay pending appeal.
(a) An initial decision is stayed automatically pending disposition of a motion for reconsideration or of an appeal to the authority head.
(b) No administrative stay is available following a final decision of the authority head.

§ 22.42 Judicial review.
Section 3805 of Title 31, United States Code, authorizes judicial review by an appropriate United States District Court of a final decision of the authority head imposing penalties or assessments under this part and specifies the procedures for such review.

§ 22.43 Collection of civil penalties and assessments.
Sections 3806 and 3806(b) of Title 31, United States Code, authorize actions for collection of civil penalties and assessments imposed under this part and specify the procedures for such actions.

§ 22.44 Right to administrative offset.
The amount of any penalty or assessment which has become final, or for which a judgment has been entered under §§ 22.42 or 22.43, or any amount agreed upon in a compromise or settlement under § 22.46, may be collected by administrative offset under 31 U.S.C. 3716, except that an administrative offset may not be made under this subsection against a refund of an overpayment of Federal taxes, unless the United States is made a party to such recovery.

§ 22.45 Deposit in Treasury of United States.
All amounts collected pursuant to this part shall be deposited as miscellaneous receipts in the Treasury of the United States, except as provided in 31 U.S.C. 3806(g).

§ 22.46. Compromise or settlement.
(a) Parties may make offers of compromise or settlement at any time.
(b) The reviewing official has the exclusive authority to compromise or settle a case under this part at any time after the date on which the reviewing official is permitted to issue a complaint and before the date on which the ALJ issues an initial decision.
(c) The authority head has exclusive authority to compromise or settle a case under this part at any time after the date on which the ALJ issues an initial decision, except during the pendency of any action to collect penalties and assessments under § 22.43.
(d) The Attorney General has exclusive authority to compromise or settle a case under this part during the
pendency of any review under § 22.42 or of any action to recover penalties and assessments under 31 U.S.C. 3806.

(e) The investigating official may recommend settlement terms to the reviewing official, the authority head, or the Attorney General, as appropriate. The reviewing official may recommend settlement terms to the authority head, or the Attorney General, as appropriate.

(f) Any compromise or settlement must be in writing.

§ 22.47. Limitations.

(a) The notice of hearing with respect to a claim or statement must be served in the manner specified in § 22.8 within 6 years after the date on which such claim or statement is made.

(b) If the defendant fails to file a timely answer, service of a notice under § 22.10(b) shall be deemed a notice of hearing for purposes of this section.

(c) The statute of limitations may be extended by agreement of the parties.

§ 22.48. Review of hearing. It is hereby extended to August 14, 1987, or the Attorney General, as appropriate.

§ 22.49. Hearings. The time period for filing such requests must include the name and address of the individual or organization making the objection or request.

§ 22.50. Authorization to request a public hearing. In view of the complexity of these rulemakings and the wide range of issues covered by the proposed rules, it is especially important to OSHA and to all interested parties that the subjects to be addressed and evidence to be presented at the hearings be clearly indicated. Therefore, OSHA is clarifying and restating the conditions for submitting objections and hearing requests.

§ 22.51. Prehearing statement. Interested persons who have submitted hearing requests which do not meet the conditions will be given an opportunity to resubmit them.

§ 22.52. objections and hearing requests must be submitted in quadruplicate to the Docket Office at the addresses shown.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

OSHA issued Notices of Proposed Rulemaking on November 25, 1986 (51 FR 42680, 51 FR 42718 and 51 FR 42750) which proposed to revise the interrelated safety standards in 29 CFR Parts 1926 for scaffolds (Subpart L), fall protection (Subpart M), and stairways and ladders (Subpart X) used in construction work. Interested persons were initially given until February 23, 1987, to comment on the proposals.

OSHA received several requests for an extension of the comment period based on the complexity of the issues presented. On February 26, 1987, OSHA published a notice (52 FR 5790) extending the comment period to June 1, 1987.

Comment Period and Hearing Requests

OSHA now believes that the initial extension of the comment period still does not allow sufficient time for interested parties to comment, because of the complexity of the subject matter, the extent of the proposed revisions, and the fact that all three proposed regulations, effectively, request comments from the same interested parties. Therefore, OSHA is extending the comment period until August 14, 1987, to ensure that interested persons have a reasonable opportunity to participate in the rulemaking proceedings.

The notices of proposed rulemaking and the first comment period extension notice informed the public of the opportunity to request an informal public hearing on the proposed rules. The time period for filing such requests is also hereby extended to August 14, 1987.

The previous notices set forth five conditions that objections and hearing requests must satisfy. OSHA has received several hearing requests which do not indicate the subject matter to be covered or the information on which a request relies in raising issues for a hearing. In view of the complexity of these rulemakings and the wide range of issues covered by the proposed rules, it is especially important to OSHA and to all interested parties that the subjects to be addressed and evidence to be presented at the hearings be clearly indicated. Therefore, OSHA is clarifying and restating the conditions for submitting objections and hearing requests.

Interested persons who have submitted hearing requests which do not meet the conditions will be given an opportunity to resubmit them.

Objections and hearing requests should be submitted in quadruplicate to the Docket Office at the addresses shown.

Scope of Proposed Subpart M

A review of the comments received to date on the proposed fall protection standards (Subpart M) indicates there is some confusion with regard to the scope and application of Subpart M as it relates to workers engaged in skeleton steel erection activities, which are also covered by Subpart R of the construction standards.

OSHA intends that the proposed fall protection standards published on November 23, 1986, apply to all workers engaged in skeleton steel erection activities except for workers identified as connectors who are working on the derrick or erection floor making initial connections of steel framing members. The provisions of Subpart R would continue to cover fall protection for connectors and requirements related to the erection process. The Construction Advisory Committee agreed with OSHA at the March 31 through April 1, 1987, meeting that general fall protection requirements should be the same for
steel erection as for the rest of construction except for the making of initial connections of steel framing members. (Transcript p. 200). Draft proposed revisions of the fall protection provisions for connectors are currently under consideration by OSHA, as part of a separate and subsequent rulemaking effort for Subpart R alone.

Authority

This document was prepared under the direction of John A. Pendergrass, Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20210. It is issued under section 6(b) of the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 (29 U.S.C 655), section 107 of the Contract Work Hours and Safety Standards Act (Construction Safety Act), (40 U.S.C. 333), Secretary of Labor's Order No. 9-83 (49 FR 35736), and 29 CFR Part 1911.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 28 day of May 1987.
John A. Pendergrass, Assistant Secretary of Labor.

[FR Doc. 87-12460 Filed 6-1-87 8:45 am]
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VETERANS ADMINISTRATION

38 CFR Part 36

Loan Guaranty; Payment of Loan Guaranty Claims

AGENCY: Veterans Administration.

ACTION: Proposed regulations.

SUMMARY: The Veterans Administration (VA) proposes to amend its regulations to implement certain provisions of Title V of Pub. L. 98-369, the Deficit Reduction Act of 1984. Additional provisions of the law such as changes in the amount and disposition of the funding fee for VA guaranteed loans are the subject of separate regulatory proposals.

Under the new law, the VA will continue to specify a minimum amount for credit to the veteran’s indebtedness and accept conveyance of the security property only if, based on the net value of the property, it is in the Government’s best interest to do so. These proposed amendments are designed to conform the regulations to the new law governing acquisition of properties and payment of claims upon termination of VA-guaranteed loans, to clarify policies for the establishment of a final date for claim computation when foreclosure is unduly delayed, and to add appropriate definitions of terms.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before June 30, 1987. Effective date of these amendments is proposed to be 30 days after publication of the final regulations.

ADDRESS: Interested persons are invited to submit comments, suggestions or objections regarding this proposal to the Administrator of Veterans Affairs (271A), Veterans Administration, 810 Vermont Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20420. All written comments received will be available for public inspection only in the Veterans Services Unit, room 132 of the above address, between the hours of 8 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday (except holidays) until July 14, 1987.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Raymond L. Brodie, Assistant Director for Loan Management (261), Loan Guaranty Service, (202) 333-3688.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under Section 1810 of title 38, United States Code, the VA guarantees a portion of the loan made to an eligible veteran to acquire or refinance a home, condominium, or manufactured home which is treated as real estate under State law, or to install certain energy conservation features or other home improvements. Currently the VA guarantees 60 percent of the loan amount up to a maximum amount of $27,500. The guaranty is a promise by the Government to pay a portion of the veteran’s indebtedness in the event of a loan default and eventual termination through foreclosure or other proceedings. When a VA-guaranteed loan goes into default, the holder has the primary servicing responsibility. VA supplements this servicing in an effort to avoid foreclosure of the loan and to help the veteran retain his or her home. Often VA intercedes with the holder to encourage the acceptance of a repayment plan giving the veteran the opportunity to reinstate the loan, or extension of additional forbearance to give the veteran an opportunity to sell the property and save the equity.

If the servicing efforts by the holder and VA fail and the holder proceeds with termination of the loan, 38 CFR 36.4320 governs the rights and duties of the holder and VA in connection with disposition of the property. In most cases the VA establishes a maximum price which the holder may bid at the loan foreclosure sale. Establishment of such a price, known as the “specified amount,” occurs when it is determined that the value of the real property to VA exceeds the unguaranteed portion of the indebtedness, and VA can reduce its maximum claim liability by acquiring and reselling the security. If the property is sold to the holder at the foreclosure sale for a price no higher than the amount specified by the VA, the holder may convey the property to the VA in return for payment of the specified amount. VA also pays the holder a claim for the difference between the price paid for the property, which must be credited to the loan indebtedness by the holder, and any balance remaining on the loan, but not to exceed the maximum amount of loan guaranty. In this manner, the VA acquires the loan security (the home) and normally reduces its claim liability. VA in turn resells the property for its market value and the sale proceeds are returned to the Loan Guaranty Revolving Fund to support future operations. In this way, the VA minimizes the Government’s losses in connection with its liability under the loan guaranty program. Public Law 98-369 provides for reducing costs under the VA home loan program. Previously, the President’s Private Sector Survey on Cost Control, Report on the Veterans Administration (the Grace Report), observed that in most cases the Government could save money by paying the holder a claim up to the maximum amount of the loan guaranty ($27,500). In lieu of the current procedure of purchasing the property at the foreclosure sale, paying a claim based on the net loss to the holder and reselling the property to recoup the outlays. This report noted that the Government incurs considerable costs to acquire, repair, manage and resell any property. These costs could be eliminated if the VA paid the holder its claim under the guaranty and did not accept conveyance of the foreclosed property.

At Congressional hearings on this issue, testimony from housing industry participants, veterans, and others produced various suggestions for reducing costs under the VA home loan program. The suggestions were also designed to protect the interests of veteran home purchasers while keeping the program attractive to builders, brokers, lenders and other participants vital to the success of the program.

Testimony indicated that stopping or severely curtailing VA property acquisitions would force lenders to make major changes in their operations, the costs of which would be reflected in the form of higher interest rates for veteran home purchasers and possible nonavailability of GI financing in higher risk cases. It was believed that recently discharged veterans and lower-income first-time home purchasers would be the first to experience the adverse effects.
Congressional Budget Office testimony at these hearings indicated that there was some merit in the Grace Report's recommendations that in each case of a loan default the VA should decide more carefully whether or not it would be in the Government's best interest to acquire the security property for resale. However, they concluded that for the VA to cease acquiring properties would not be the best solution, as this would result in an increased cost to the Government over the long run.

The resulting legislation addresses the various concerns brought forth at the hearings. The amendments to 38 U.S.C. 1816 were designed to assure that the VA loan program would remain an attractive and cost efficient program for assisting veterans in becoming homeowners. The amendments continue the practice of VA property acquisitions for resale, but require that specific considerations account in deciding whether, in a particular case, acquisition of the property would be the best alternative. They also change what had been an optional procedure under VA regulations to a statutory requirement.

Essentially, the legislation requires VA to examine the "net value" of the security property as a potential asset. The "net value" is determined by subtracting the estimated costs of acquiring, managing, repairing and reselling the property from its fair market value.

If "net value" exceeds the total loan indebtedness less the maximum amount which VA would have to pay on the guaranty, the Government is best served by VA acquiring the property for resale so as to recover the "net value" and thereby reduce the claim payable and the total loss to the Government in that particular case. This also benefits the veteran, because the net value determines the amount which VA specifies for credit against the loan indebtedness. This prevents a future deficiency judgment of other indebtedness being established against the veteran based on the prices which prevail at distress foreclosure sales.

If "net value" does not exceed the difference between total indebtedness and the amount payable under the guaranty, the Government is best served by VA paying the guaranty claim and allowing the holder to dispose of the property. Acquisition of the property in this type of case would increase rather than reduce the Government's loss, as the value of the property would not equal the cost of acquiring, managing, repairing, and reselling the property. The legislation should reduce the number of properties conveyed to the VA and the expenses associated with management and resale.

The law prescribes formulas under which the VA is to determine the best method for payment of the claim, and whether or not to permit the holder of the loan an election to convey the property to the VA. These formulas are set forth in 38 U.S.C. 1816, as amended by Pub. L. 98-369.

The law also codifies existing VA procedures for limiting the loan indebtedness for purposes of accounting between the holder and the Administrator in cases in which loan termination is unduly delayed. It is proposed to amend 38 CFR 36.4320 to set forth the prescribed conditions under which VA will specify an amount for credit to the veteran's indebtedness and permit the holder an election to convey the property. An amount will be specified and accepted only if the "net value" of the property, as now defined by law, exceeds the "indebtedness," which is also defined, minus the amount guaranteed by the VA. VA's claim to liability in such cases is also prescribed.

In cases where the VA specifies an amount and the holder bids in the property for a greater amount, the new law prescribes the VA from purchasing the property, unless the overbid is in an amount required by State law. Otherwise, the VA is limited to paying a claim for the difference between the proceeds of the sale and the indebtedness. The proposed regulations are amended accordingly. Matters relating to disposition of real property are covered in § 36.4320 (a), (b), and (c), as revised. Matters relating to the sale of personal property are consolidated in § 36.4320(d).

Technical amendments are proposed in §§ 36.4319(f) and 36.4231(a) to clarify procedures for limiting the indebtedness in cases where foreclosure in unduly delayed, and to amend the definition of "indebtedness" in § 36.4300. Section 36.4300 is also revised to include definitions for the terms "liquidation sale," "net value," "unguaranteed portion of the indebtedness," and "specified amount." The Administrator hereby certifies that these proposed changes will not, if promulgated, have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities as they are defined in the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601 through 612. Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553(b) these proposed regulations are exempt from the initial and final regulatory analysis requirements of sections 603 and 604. These regulations simply implement a new claims and conveyancing scheme prescribed by Pub. L. 98-369 which sets forth the circumstances under which the Administrator will acquire properties which secured defaulted VA-guaranteed loans. The proposed regulations have been reviewed pursuant to Executive Order 12291 and have been found to be nonmajor regulation changes. The proposed regulations will not impact on the public or private sectors as major rules. They will not have an annual effect on the economy of $100 million or more; cause a major increase in cost or prices for consumers, individual industries, Federal, State or local government agencies, or geographic regions; or have other significant adverse effects on competition, employment, investment, productivity, innovation, or on the United States-based enterprises to compete with foreign-based enterprises in domestic or export markets.

Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Numbers are 64.114 and 64.211.

List of Subjects in 38 CFR Part 36

Condominium, Handicapped, Housing loan programs-housing and community development, Manufactured homes, Veterans.

These amendments are proposed under authority granted the Administrator by sections 210(c), 1903(c)(1), 1916 and 1820 of Title 38, United States Code, and the enabling legislation.


Thomas K. Turnage,
Administrator.

PART 36—[AMENDED]

38 CFR Part 36, Loan Guaranty, is proposed to be amended as follows:

1. Section 36.4300 and the Note preceding it are revised to read as follows:

Guaranty or Insurance of Loans to Veterans

Note.—These requirements, conditions, or limitations which are expressly set forth in 38 U.S.C. ch. 37 are not restated in these regulations and must be taken into consideration in conjunction with §§36.4300 to 36.4393, inclusive.


(a) Sections 36.4300 to 36.4393, inclusive, shall be applicable to each loan entitled to an automatic guaranty, or otherwise guaranteed or insured, on or after the date of publication in the Federal Register, and shall be applicable...
to such loans previously guaranteed or insured to the extent that no legal rights vested under the regulations are impaired.

(b) Title 38, United States Code, Chapter 37, is a continuation and restatement of the provisions of Title III of the Servicemen’s Readjustment Act of 1944, and may be considered an amendment to such Title III. References to the sections or chapters of Title 38, United States Code, shall, where applicable, be deemed to refer to the prior corresponding provisions of the law.

(38 U.S.C. 210(c), 1803(c), 1819(g))

2. In § 36.4301, the following paragraphs are revised: The introductory text, the paragraph entitled “Dwelling,” paragraph (9)(i) of the paragraph entitled “Full disbursement,” the paragraph entitled “Indebtedness,” and the paragraph entitled “Purchase price.” Also, four new paragraphs are added so the added and revised material reads as follows:

§ 36.4301 Definitions.

Whenever used in 38 U.S.C. Chapter 37 or §§ 36.4300 to 36.4375, inclusive, and §§ 36.4390 through 36.4393, unless the context otherwise requires, the terms defined in this section shall have the following meaning:

\* * * * *

Dwelling. Any building designed primarily for use as a home consisting of not more than four family units plus an added unit for each veteran if more than one eligible veteran participates in the ownership, except that in the case of a condominium housing development or project within the purview of 38 U.S.C. 1810(c) and §§ 36.4356 through 36.4393, the term is limited to a one single-family residential unit. Also, a manufactured home, permanently affixed to a lot owned by a veteran and classified as real property under the laws of the State where it is located.

(38 U.S.C. 1810(a)(9) and (f))

\* * * * *

Full Disbursement. * * *

(5) * * *

(i) The amount is not in excess of 10 percent of the loan, or

\* * * * *

Indebtedness. The unpaid principal and interest plus any other amounts allowable under the terms of a loan including those authorized by statute and consistent with §§ 36.4300 to 36.4393, inclusive, which have been paid and debited to the loan account as of the applicable date established pursuant to paragraph (f) of § 36.4319 or § 36.4321.

\* * * * *

Liquidation sale. Any judicial, contractual or statutory disposition of real property, under the terms of the loan instruments and applicable law, to liquidate a defaulted loan that is secured by such property. This includes a voluntary conveyance made to avoid such disposition of the obligation or of the security.

(38 U.S.C. 1816)

\* * * * *

Net value. The fair market value of real property, minus the total of the costs the Administrator estimates would be incurred by VA resulting from the acquisition and disposition of the property for property taxes, assessments, liens, property maintenance, property improvement, administration, and resale. For purposes of determination of net value, “property improvement” is defined as any repair which must be completed to satisfy minimum property requirements for existing construction as described by the Administrator. Costs other than property improvement will be estimated as a percentage of the fair market value. Each year VA will review the average operating expenses incurred for properties acquired under § 36.4320 of this title which were sold during the preceding 3 fiscal years and the average administrative cost to the government associated with the property management activity. The cost items reviewed will be:

(1) Property operating expenses. All disbursements made for payment of taxes, assessments, liens, property maintenance and related repairs, management broker’s fees and commissions, and any other charges to the property account excluding property improvements and selling expenses.

(2) Selling expenses. All disbursements for sales commissions plus any other costs incurred and paid in connection with the sale of the property.

(3) Administrative costs. An estimate of the total costs for VA of personnel compensation and overhead (including all travel, transportation, standard level user charges (SLUC), communication, utilities, printing, supplies, equipment, insurance claims and other services) associated with the acquisition, management and disposition of property acquired under § 36.4320 of this title. The average administrative costs will be determined by:

(i) Dividing the salary and benefits cost by the average number of properties on hand and adjusting this figure based on the average holding time for properties sold during the preceding fiscal year; then

(ii) Dividing part (i) by the DVB ratio of personal services to total obligations.

The three cost averages will be added and the sum will be divided by the average fair market value of property of acquisition for properties which were sold during the 3 preceding fiscal years to derive the percentage to be used in estimating net value. (The Administrator may, when determining property management costs, group properties in incremental value brackets.) The calculation of net value will be based on the actual cost incurred over the last 3 years. Based on FY 1986 data, the percentage to be used when calculating net value will be 10.75%. The fiscal year and the percentage will be updated annually through a notice in the Federal Register.

(38 U.S.C. 1816)

Purchase price. The entire legal consideration paid or payable upon or on account of the sale of property, exclusive of acquisition costs, or for the cost of materials and labor to be applied to the property.

\* * * * *

Specified amount. A sum, equal to the lesser of the net value of real property or the total indebtedness secured thereby, which the Administrator designates as the minimum amount to be credited to the indebtedness incident to a liquidation sale.

(38 U.S.C. 1816)

Unguaranteed portion of the indebtedness. The indebtedness computed as of the applicable date of under paragraph (f) of § 36.4319 or § 36.4321 minus the amount of the guaranty payable as of such date.

(38 U.S.C. 1816)

3. In § 36.4319, paragraphs (d), (e) and (f) are revised to read as follows:

§ 36.4319 Legal proceedings.

\* * * * *

(d) In any legal or equitable proceeding (including probate and bankruptcy proceedings) to which the Administrator is a party, original process and any other process prior to appearance, proper to be served on the Administrator, shall be delivered to the guaranty officer of the regional office of the VA having jurisdiction of the area in which the court is situated. Within the time required by applicable law or rule of court, the Administrator will cause a true and correct copy of the proceeding to be entered in the case of an authorized attorney.

(38 U.S.C. 1816)
(e) After appearance of the Administrator by attorney all process and notice otherwise proper to serve on the Administrator before or after judgment, if served on the attorney of record, shall have the same effect as if the Administrator were personally served within the jurisdiction of the court.

(38 U.S.C. 1816)

(f) If following a default, the holder does not bring appropriate action within 2 months after requested in writing by the Administrator to do so, or does not prosecute such action with reasonable diligence, the Administrator may at the Administrator's option fix a date beyond which no further charges may be included in the computation of the indebtedness for the purposes of accounting between the holder and the Administrator. The Administrator may also intervene in, or begin and prosecute to completion any action or proceeding, in the Administrator's name or in the name of the holder, which the Administrator deems necessary or appropriate. The Administrator shall pay, in advance if necessary, any court costs or other expenses incurred by the Administrator or property taxed against the Administrator in any such action to which the Administrator is a party, but may change the same, and also a reasonable amount for legal services, against the guaranteed or insured indebtedness, or the proceeds of the sale of the security to the same extent as the holder (see § 36.4313), or otherwise collect from the holder any such expenses incurred by the Administrator because of the neglect or failure of the holder to take or complete proper action. The rights and remedies herein reserved are without prejudice to any other rights, remedies, or defenses, in law or in equity, available to the Administrator.

(38 U.S.C. 1816)

4. In § 36.4320 paragraphs (a) through (d) (f), (h)(1), (h)(2), (h)(5) introductory text, (h)(5)(ii) introductory text, (h)(5)(ii)(c), (h)(5) (ii) concluding text, (h)(7), (h)(10)(ii)(A) and (i) are revised to read as follows:

§ 36.4320 Sale of security.

(a) Upon receipt by the Administrator of notice of a liquidation sale of any security for a guaranteed or insured loan, the Administrator shall determine the net value of the security and shall notify the holder of the net value and of the regulatory provision which will govern the disposition of the security. If the net value of the real property securing a guaranteed or insured loan exceeds the unguaranteed portion of the indebtedness, the Administrator shall specify in advance of the liquidation sale the minimum amount which shall be credited to the indebtedness of the borrower on account of the value of the security to be sold, subject to the following:

(i) The specified amount in such cases shall be the lesser of the net value of the property or the total indebtedness.

(ii) If a minimum amount for credit to the indebtedness has been specified in relation to a liquidation sale of real property, and:

(a) The holder acquires the property, or the rights to the property, at the liquidation sale for an amount in excess of the specified amount, the indebtedness shall be credited with the proceeds of the sale. The holder shall not have the option to convey the property to the Administrator unless a bid in excess of the specified amount was made pursuant to paragraph (a) (3) of this section;

(b) A third party acquires the property, or the rights to the property, at the liquidation sale for an amount not in excess of the specified amount, the indebtedness shall be credited with the proceeds of the sale. The holder may elect to convey the property to the Administrator pursuant to paragraph (a) (1) (ii) (A) of this section. The amount bid at the sale or the total indebtedness, whichever is less, shall govern instead of the specified amount and for the purpose of determining the Administrator's liability under loan guaranty.

(38 U.S.C. 1816)

(b) The holder should not carry out a liquidation sale unless the Administrator has furnished the notice required under paragraph (a) of this section. In the event the holder carries out a liquidation sale prior to receiving such notice, the holder shall credit against the indebtedness the greater of:

(1) The net proceeds of the sale; or

(2) The amount of the indebtedness or the net value of the property, whichever is less.

The provisions of paragraph (3) of this section, not to exceed the Administrator's liability under loan guaranty, shall be the total indebtedness less the amount credited to the indebtedness under paragraph (a)(1)(ii)(A) of this section, not to exceed the Administrator's maximum liability as computed under § 36.4321.

(3) If a minimum bid is required under applicable State law, or degree of foreclosure or order of sale, or other lawful order or degree, and:

(i) Such minimum bid exceeds an amount which has been specified by the Administrator under paragraph (a)(3) of this section; and

(ii) The holder acquires the property at the liquidation sale for an amount not exceeding the amount legally required, the holder may elect to convey the property to the Administrator pursuant to paragraph (a) (1) (ii) (A) of this section. The amount bid at the sale or the total indebtedness, whichever is less, shall govern instead of the specified amount and for the purpose of determining the Administrator's liability under loan guaranty.

(38 U.S.C. 1816)

(c) When a debtor proposes to convey or transfer any real property to a holder to avoid foreclosure or other judicial, contractual, or statutory disposition of the obligation or of the security, the consent of the Administrator to the terms of such proposal shall be obtained.
in advance of such conveyance or transfer. In consenting to the terms of the debtor's proposal the Administrator shall furnish the notice required under paragraph (a) of this section.

(d) Upon receipt by the Administrator of notice of a judicial or statutory sale, or other public sale under power of sale contained in the loan instruments, to liquidate any personal property which is security for a guaranteed or insured loan, the Administrator may specify in advance of such sale the minimum amount which shall be credited to the indebtedness of the borrower on account of the value of the security to be sold.

(1) If a minimum amount has been specified by the Administrator, and

(i) The holder is the successful bidder at the sale for an amount not in excess of such minimum amount, the holder shall sell the property pursuant to paragraph (d)(3) of this section and the amount realized from the resale of the property shall govern, instead of the specified minimum amount, in the final accounting for determining the rights and liabilities of the holder and the Administrator.

(ii) A third party is the successful bidder at the sale for an amount equal to or in excess of that specified, the holder shall credit to the indebtedness the net proceeds of the sale.

(iii) A third party is the successful bidder at the sale for an amount less than that specified, the holder shall credit to the indebtedness the amount specified.

(iv) The holder is the successful bidder at the sale for an amount in excess of the specified amount, the indebtedness shall be credited with the proceeds of the sale or the amount realized from the resale of the property pursuant to paragraph (d)(3) of this section, whichever is the greater, unless the bid in excess of the specified amount was made pursuant to paragraph (d)(4) of this section.

(2) If a minimum amount has not been specified by the Administrator under paragraph (d)(1) of this section, the holder shall credit against the indebtedness the net proceeds of the sale except as provided in paragraph (d)(4) of this section.

(3) If personnel property has been repossessed or otherwise acquired by a holder and no public sale is proposed or required to be held to entitle the holder to effect a further disposition of such property, or if the holder is the successful bidder at the sale of personal property as provided in paragraph (d)(1) of this section, the holder shall sell the property within a reasonable time. The holder shall submit to the Administrator a written advice setting forth the price, terms, conditions and the expenses of the proposed sale at least 10 days in advance, and the Administrator shall either assent to such sale in which event the holder shall credit against the indebtedness the net proceeds of the sale or, upon agreement to indemnify the holder to the extent of any increased or resultant loss, the Administrator may specify the minimum net price for which the security may be sold. If such amount has been specified, the holder shall sell the personal property within a reasonable time in the open market for the best price obtainable: Provided, that the prior approval of the Administrator shall be obtained if the property is to be sold for a net amount less than the specified amount, or if the property is to be sold on terms other than all cash. The ultimate net amount realized by the holder from such sale shall be reported by the holder to the Administrator in an accounting which will determine their respective rights and liabilities.

(4) If a minimum bid is required under applicable State law, or degree of foreclosure or order of sale, or other lawful order or degree, the holder may bid an amount not exceeding such amount legally required. If an amount has been specified by the Administrator and the holder is the successful bidder for an amount not exceeding the amount legally required, such specified amount shall govern for the purposes of this paragraph and for the purposes of computing the ultimate loss under the guaranty or insurance. In the event no amount is specified and the holder is the successful bidder for an amount not exceeding the amount legally required, the amount paid or payable by the Administrator under the guaranty shall not be subject to any adjustment by reasons of such bid.

(38 U.S.C. 1816)

* * * * *

(f) The holder in accounting to the Administrator in connection with the disposition of any property in accordance with paragraph (a), (b), or (d) of this section, may include as a part of the indebtedness all actual expenses or costs of the proceedings, paid by the holder, within the limits defined in § 36.4313 of this title. Interest may be included at a rate not to exceed that specified in § 36.4311(c) on the unpaid principal balance of the indebtedness to the date of the liquidation sale or real property referred to in paragraph (a) or (b) of this section or to the date of the private sale of personal property referred to in paragraph (d) of this section, as the case may be. In connection with the conveyance or transfer of property to the Administrator the holder may include in accounting to the Administrator, in addition to the consideration payable for the property under paragraph (g) of this section (38 U.S.C. 1816)

(1) State and documentary stamp taxes as may be required.

(2) The customary cost of obtaining evidence of title in favor of the Administrator as specified in paragraph (h)(5) of this section but not including title evidence obtained incident to the making of the loan or any expenses incurred to clear title defects.

(3) Amount expended for taxes, special assessments, including such payments which are specified in paragraph (h)(4) of this section.

(4) Recording fees.

(5) Any other expenditures in connection with the property which are approved by the Administrator.

(h) * * * * *

(1) If the holder's notice to the Administrator electing to convey or transfer the property precedes the acquisition of the property by the holder and the holder then acquires the property, the holder shall promptly after such acquisition advise the Administrator of the acquisition. Such advice, or the notice of election if given subsequent to acquisition, shall state the amount of the successful bid (if the property was acquired by the holder at public sale) and shall state the insurance coverage then in force, specifying for each policy, the name of the insurance company, the hazard covered, the amount, and the expiration date.

(2) The holder shall not cancel any insurance in force when the holder acquires the property. Coincident with the notice of election to convey or transfer the property to the Administrator or with the acquisition of the property by the holder, following such notice, whichever is later, the holder shall obtain endorsements on all such insurance policies naming the Administrator as an insured, as his/her interest may appear. Such insurance policies shall be forwarded to the Administrator at the time of the conveyance or transfer of the property to the Administrator or as soon after that time as feasible.

* * * * *

(5) Each conveyance or transfer of real property to the Administrator pursuant to this section shall be acceptable if thereby no impediments or warrants against the acts of the holder...
and those claiming under the holder (e.g., by special warranty deed) and if it vests in the Administrator or will entitle the Administrator to such title as in or would be acceptable to prudent lending institutions, informed buyers, title companies, and attorneys, generally, in the community in which the property is situated. Any title so acceptable will not be unacceptable to the Administrator by reason of any of the limitations on the quantum or quality of the property or title stated in § 36.4350(b); Provided, That if custody over the property has not been delivered by the holder to the Administrator on the date when the Administrator otherwise would have assumed the risk of loss, the Administrator’s assumption of the risk of loss will be deferred until such custody over the property is delivered, or until the property has been conveyed or transferred to the Administrator. The amount of any loss chargeable to the holder may be deducted from the amount payable by the Administrator at the time the property is transferred. In any case, the Administrator, in accordance with the VA regulations rejection of the title is legally proper, the Administrator may surrender custody of the property as of the date specified in the Administrator’s notice to the holder. The Administrator’s assumption of such risk shall terminate upon such surrender.

(ii) With respect to any such limitations which came into existence subsequent to the making of the loan, full compliance was had with the requirements of § 36.4324 of this title. The acceptability of a conveyance or transfer pursuant to the requirements of this paragraph will be established by delivery to the Administrator of any of the following evidence of title issued, by an institution or person satisfactory to the Administrator, in form satisfactory to him/her showing that title to the property of the quality specified in this paragraph is or will be vested in the Administrator:

(a) A title policy insuring the Administrator in an amount approximately equal to the consideration for the property, or a commitment for such title policy; or

In lieu of such title evidence, the Administrator will accept a conveyance or transfer with general warranty with respect to the title from a holder described in 38 U.S.C. 1862(d) or from a holder of financial responsibility satisfactory to the Administrator. In any case where the holder does not deliver evidence of title of the character specified in this paragraph, the holder to aid the Administrator in determination of acceptability of title shall without expense to the Administrator furnish such evidence of title, including survey, if any, as may have been obtained by the holder incident to the making of the loan or attendant to the foreclosure.

(7) As between the holder and the Administrator, the responsibility for any loss due to damage to or destruction of the property or due to personal injury sustained in respect to such property shall be governed by the provisions of this paragraph and paragraph (h)(10) of this section. Ordinary wear and tear excepted, the holder shall bear such risk of loss from the date of acquisition by the holder to the date such risk of loss is assumed by the Administrator. Such risk of loss is assumed by the Administrator from the date of receipt of the holder’s election to convey or transfer the property to the Administrator or, in the event of receipt of notice of such election prior to acquisition, from the date of the Administrator’s receipt of notice of acquisition by the holder. Provided, That if custody over the property has not been delivered by the holder to the Administrator on the date when the Administrator otherwise would have assumed the risk of loss, the Administrator’s assumption of the risk of loss will be deferred until such custody over the property is delivered, or until the property has been conveyed or transferred to the Administrator. The amount of any loss chargeable to the holder may be deducted from the amount payable by the Administrator at the time the property is transferred. In any case, the Administrator, in accordance with the VA regulations rejection of the title is legally proper, the Administrator may surrender custody of the property as of the date specified in the Administrator’s notice to the holder. The Administrator’s assumption of such risk shall terminate upon such surrender.

(10) In respect to a property which was the security for a condominium loan guaranteed or insured under 38 U.S.C. 1810(a)(6) the responsibility for any loss due to damage to or destruction of the property or due to personal injury sustained in respect to such property shall in no event pass to the Administrator until the Administrator expressly assumes such responsibility or until conveyance of the property to the Administrator, whichever first occurs. The holder shall have the right to convey such property to the Administrator only if the property (including elements of the development or project owned in common with other unit owners) is undamaged by fire, earthquake, windstorm, flooding or boiler explosion. The absence of a right in the holder to convey such property which is so damaged shall not preclude a conveyance, if the Administrator agrees in a given case to such a conveyance upon completion of repairs within a specified period of time and such repairs are so completed and the conveyance is otherwise in order.

(i) Definitions. * * *

(2) The term “property” or “real property” as used in this section shall include:

(i) A leasehold estate which at the time of closing the loan was not less in duration than prescribed by § 36.4350(a)(2), and

(ii) The rights derived by the holder through a foreclosure sale of real estate whether or not such rights constitute an estate in real property under local law.

(j) Except as provided in paragraph (h)(6) of this section, the provisions of this section shall not be in derogation of any rights which the Administrator may have under § 36.4325 of this title. The Chief Benefits Director, or the Director, Loan Guaranty Service, may authorize any deviation from the provisions of this section, within the limitations prescribed in 38 U.S.C. Chapter 37, which may be necessary or desirable to accomplish the objectives of this section if such deviation is made necessary by reason of any laws or practice in any State or Territory of the District of Columbia: Provided, That no such deviation shall impair the rights of any holder not consenting to the deviation, with respect to loans made or approved prior to the date the holder is notified of such action.

5. § 36.4321, paragraph (a) and (c) are revised to read as follows:

§ 36.4321 Computation of guaranty claims; subsequent accountings.

(a) Subject to the limitation that the total amounts payable shall in no event exceed the amount originally guaranteed, the amount payable on any claim for the guaranty shall be the percentage of the loan originally guaranteed applied to the indebtedness computed as of the date of claim but not later than

(1) The date of judgment or of degree of foreclosure, or

(2) In nonjudicial foreclosures the date of publication of the first notice of sale, or

(3) In cases in which the security is repossessed without a judgment, decree or foreclosure the date the holder repossesses the security, or

(4) If no security is available, the date of claim but not more than 6 months after the first uncured default or

(5) Any date fixed under the provisions of paragraph (f) of § 36.4319 of this title.

Deposits or other credits or set-offs legally applicable to the indebtedness on the date of computation shall be applied in reduction of the indebtedness on which the claim is based. Any escrowed or earmarked funds not subject to superior claims of third persons must likewise be so applied.

(b) Any date fixed under the provisions of this section shall be determined in accordance with the provisions of paragraphs (a) and (c) of § 36.4319 of this title.
other genes, to the extent such credits exceed the balance of the indebtedness unsatisfied by the payment of the guarantor. The claimant shall immediately pay such amounts to the Administrator to the extent of the debtor's liability to the Administrator as guarantor.

* * * * *

(38 U.S.C. 210(c))
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Medicare Program; Payment for Facility Services Related to Ambulatory Surgical Procedures Performed in Hospitals on an Outpatient Basis

AGENCY: Health Care Financing Administration (HCFA), HHS.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: These proposed regulations set forth the methodology that would be used to determine payment for hospital outpatient services furnished to Medicare beneficiaries in connection with ambulatory surgical procedures. The proposed methodology would implement section 9343(a) of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1986.

DATE: Comments will be considered if we receive them at the appropriate address, as provided below, no later than 5:00 p.m. on August 3, 1987.

ADDRESS: Mail comments to the following address:

Health Care Financing Administration, Department of Health and Human Services, Attention: BERC–428–P, P.O. Box 26676, Baltimore, Maryland 21207.

If you prefer, you may deliver your comments to one of the following addresses:

Room 309–G, Hubert H. Humphrey Building, 200 Independence Ave., SW.,
Washington, D.C., or

Room 132, East High Rise Building, 6325
Security Boulevard, Baltimore, Maryland.

In commenting, please refer to file code BERC–428–P. Comments received timely will be available for public inspection as they are received, generally beginning approximately three weeks after publication of a document, in Room 309–G of the Department's offices at 200 Independence Ave.,
SW., Washington, DC, on Monday through Friday of each week from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. (phone: 202–245–7890).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Janet Wallihan, (301) 592–1929.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background


Section 1833(i)(1)(A) of the Act, as enacted by section 934 of Pub. L. 96–499, requires that the Secretary, after consultation with appropriate medical organizations, shall specify those surgical procedures (hereinafter referred to as covered surgical procedures) that are appropriately performed on an inpatient basis in a hospital (when considered in terms of proper utilization of hospital inpatient facilities) but that can also be performed safely on an ambulatory basis in an ambulatory surgical center or hospital outpatient department. To comply with section 1833(i)(1)(A) of the Act, on August 5, 1982, we issued a final rule (47 FR 34082) setting forth regulations governing ASCs and a final notice (47 FR 34099) specifying the list of covered surgical procedures. (Section 9343(b)(2) of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1986 (Pub. L. 99–509), enacted on October 21, 1986, added section 1833(i)(1) of the Act to require that the approved list of covered ASC surgical procedures be reviewed by the Secretary and updated at least every two years.) As described in the August 5, 1982 final rule concerning covered ASC surgical procedures, payments to ASCs are made on the basis of prospectively set rates known as the standard overhead amount, as provided in regulations at 42 CFR 416.125. The ASC facility services covered by the standard overhead amount are generally described in § 416.61. All non-physician medical and other health services furnished by an ASC that do not meet the definition of facility services contained in § 416.61 are paid for in accordance with section 1933(a)(1) of the Act, which provides for payment based on reasonable charges.

Payment for non-physician medical and other health services furnished in a hospital on an outpatient basis are paid for in accordance with section 1833(a)(2)(B) of the Act. That section of the Act provides that payment for non-physician medical and other health services is based on the lesser of: (1) The reasonable cost incurred in providing the service; or (2) the provider's customary charge for the service. This amount is reduced by deductibles and coinsurance and cannot exceed eighty percent of the reasonable cost.

In this rule, we are proposing changes to the regulations as the result of the passage of section 9343(a) of Pub. L. 99–509. As discussed in greater detail below, that section of the law changes the payment methodology used to determine payments for facility services related to covered ASC surgical procedures performed in a hospital outpatient setting. Documents to implement certain other provisions of section 9343 of Pub. L. 99–509 are being developed and will be published separately.

II. New Legislation

Section 9343(a) of Pub. L. 99–509 revises the methodology used to determine Medicare payment for facility services furnished in a hospital on an outpatient basis in connection with covered ASC surgical procedures that have been approved by the Secretary in accordance with section 1833(i)(1)(A) of the Act and § 416.65. (For ease of reference, we refer below to these services as outpatient "facility services.") Section 9343(a) of Pub. L. 99–509 amended section 1833(a)(4) of the Act and added a new section 1833(i)(3) to the Act to provide that for hospital cost reporting periods beginning on or after October 1, 1987, payment for these outpatient facility services in the aggregate is to be based on a comparison between two amounts. The payment is to be the lesser of the following:

• The amount for the services that would be paid to the hospital under section 1833(a)(2)(B) of the Act (that is, the lower of the hospital's reasonable costs or customary charges for the services, reduced by deductibles and coinsurance); or

• An amount based on a blend of—

—The amount that would be paid to the hospital for the services under section 1833(a)(2)(B) of the Act (referred to below as the hospital-specific amount); and

—The amount that would be paid to a free-standing ASC for the same procedure in the same geographic area, in accordance with section 1833(i)(2)(A) of the Act, which is equal
to 80 percent of the standard overhead amount net of deductibles (referred to below as the ASC payment amount).

The new section 1833(i)(3)(B) of the Act provides that for cost reporting periods beginning on or after October 1, 1987 but before October 1, 1988, the blended amount is to be determined by using 75 percent of the hospital-specific amount and 25 percent of the ASC payment amount attributable to the procedure. For cost reporting periods beginning on or after October 1, 1988, the blended payment amount is to be based on 50 percent of hospital-specific amount and 50 percent of the ASC payment amount. In addition, section 9343(a) of Pub. L. 99–509 added section 1833(i)(3)(A) of the Act to require that all covered ASC surgical procedures performed in a hospital on an outpatient basis during a cost reporting period be aggregated for purposes of determining the proper payment amount.

III. Proposed Regulations

In order to implement section 9343(a) of Pub. L. 99–509, we are proposing the following changes to Subparts A and F of 42 CFR Part 413.

In Subpart A, we are proposing to revise §413.13(c), which provides for the aggregation of charges for purposes of determining the amount of payments to a provider if customary charges for services furnished are less than reasonable costs. As it currently reads, §413.13(c) specifies that in comparing charges and costs, customary charges for items and services, and the reasonable cost of those items and services are to be aggregated without regard to whether the related services are payable under Part A [Hospital Insurance] or Part B (Supplementary Medical Insurance) of Medicare.

We note that section 2308(a) of the Deficit Reduction Act of 1984 (Pub. L. 98–369), enacted on July 18, 1984, directs the Secretary to issue regulations, applicable to cost reporting periods beginning on or after October 1, 1984, to eliminate the aggregation method of calculating the lower of cost or charges (LCC) and to require that LCC be calculated and reported separately for services furnished under Part A or Part B of the Medicare program.

This change was the subject of a proposed rule we published on September 18, 1986 in the Federal Register [51 FR 33074], and we are in the process of developing a final rule. We expect to publish that rule shortly. However, in order to implement section 9343(a) of Pub. L. 99–509, we find it necessary to propose further refinement to the methodology mandated by section 2308(a) of Pub. L. 98–369 that would require a further disaggregation of customary charges. Effective for cost reporting periods beginning on or after October 1, 1987, we would require that all reasonable costs and customary charges for covered ASC surgical procedures performed in a hospital on an outpatient basis during a cost reporting period be aggregated and treated separately from the reasonable costs and customary charges for all other services furnished in the cost reporting period. By separating facility charges attributable to approved ASC surgical procedures from other customary charges separate apportionment of the reasonable costs attributable to Medicare for these facility services will also be possible. This would ensure that payments for facility services related to covered ASC surgical procedures performed in a hospital on an outpatient basis do not exceed the amounts allowed in accordance with section 9343(a) of Pub. L. 99–509.

In a proposed new §413.118 (in 42 CFR Part 413, Subpart F), we would describe the payment methodology required by the new section 1833(i)(3)(A) of the Act (as enacted by section 9343(a) of Pub. L. 99–509) to be used to determine payment for facility services related to covered ASC surgical procedures performed in a hospital on an outpatient basis. We would also define the terms "facility services", "blended payment amount" and "standard overhead amount." We would define outpatient "facility services" the same as facility services are defined for ambulatory surgical centers (as described in §416.61) because we believe that Congress intended that we use that definition. (See H.R. Rep. No. 99–1012 [Conf. Rep. No. 99–155], 99th Cong., 2d Sess. 354–355 (1986).) The definition of "blended payment amount" would be based on the payment methodology required by section 1833(i)(3)(B) of the Act, as added by section 9343(a) of Pub. L. 99–509, and the definition of "standard overhead amount" would be based on section 1833(i)(2)(A) of the Act, which requires payments to ASCs to be equal to 80 percent of the standard overhead amount (net of the Part B deductible) per procedure (as described in §416.125).

As described earlier, payment for outpatient facility services would be equal to the lesser of: (1) The hospital's reasonable costs or customary charges, as described in §413.13, reduced by deductibles and coinsurance; or (2) a blended amount based on the lower of a hospital's reasonable cost or customary charges reduced by deductibles and coinsurance and 80 percent of the standard overhead amount (net of deductibles) paid to free-standing ASCs for the same procedure in the same geographic area. For cost reporting periods beginning on or after October 1, 1987 the blend would be 75 percent hospital-specific (based on the lower of reasonable costs or customary charges reduced by deductibles and coinsurance) and 25 percent of the amount paid to a free-standing ASC for the same procedure in the same geographic area. We note again that the portion of the blend attributable to the ASC payment amount is to be determined based on the standard overhead amount (net of deductibles) multiplied by 80 percent. The 80 percent adjustment is in accordance with section 1833(i)(2)(A) of the Act, which requires payments to ASCs to be 80 percent of the standard overhead amount per procedure. For cost reporting periods beginning on or after October 1, 1988, the 75 percent/25 percent blend, discussed above, would change to a 50/50 blend.

As discussed earlier, we would also require in the new §413.118 that all reasonable cost and customary charges attributable to facility services associated with covered ASC surgical procedures performed in a hospital on an outpatient basis during a cost reporting period be aggregated and treated separately from the reasonable cost and customary charges attributable to all other services. We believe this is necessary in order to determine the correct payment amount in accordance with the new section 1833(i)(3)(A) of the Act.

Consistent with payment for free-standing ASC services, if more than one covered ASC surgical procedure is performed at one time, payment would be based on the procedure with the highest standard overhead payment amount and payment for the other procedures would be based on fifty percent of the applicable standard overhead amounts, as provided in §416.120. We believe it is important to note that ASCs operated by hospitals that have an agreement with HCFA to be paid in accordance with §416.30(f) would be unaffected by this rule. That is, these ASCs will continue to be paid in accordance with §416.30.

In addition, we note that covered surgical procedures furnished by a hospital on an outpatient basis that are not included on the covered ASC surgical procedure list will be reimbursed under existing regulations without regard to the blended payment amount described in this rule. If any of these covered surgical procedures are
The payment methodology described in the proposed new § 416.118 can be illustrated by the following example:

In a hospital with a cost reporting period beginning on October 1, 1987, 100 covered ASC surgical procedures are performed on an outpatient basis during the cost reporting period. The facts relating to these procedures are as follows (all figures are hypothetical):

Medicare Customary Charges $30,000
(Total Medicare customary charges for 100 procedures)

Reasonable Cost $35,000
(Cost of 100 procedures based on Medicare cost finding and apportionment principles)

Standard Overhead Amounts $25,000
(100 procedures × $250, the ASC standard overhead amount per procedure)

Deductibles $7,500
(100 procedures × $75, the Part B deductible)

Coinsurance $4,500
(30,000 — $7,500 (total deductibles) = $22,500 × 20 percent coinsurance)

In this example, the blended payment amount of $17,000 is less than the $18,000 amount determined as being the lower of reasonable cost or customary charges, reduced by deductibles and coinsurance. Therefore, the Medicare payment would be $17,000.

IV. Regulatory Impact Statement

A. Introduction

Executive Order (E.O.) 12291 requires us to prepare and publish an initial regulatory impact analysis for any proposed rule that meets one of the E.O. criteria for a “major rule”; that is, that would be likely to result in: an annual effect on the economy of $100 million or more; a major increase in costs or prices for consumers, individual industries, Federal, State, or local government agencies, or geographic regions; or significant adverse effects on competition, employment, investment, productivity, innovation, or on the ability of United States-based enterprises to compete with foreign-based enterprises in domestic or export markets.

In addition, we generally prepare an initial regulatory flexibility analysis that is consistent with the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) [5 U.S.C. 601 through 612], unless the Secretary certifies that a proposed rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. For purposes of the RFA, we treat all hospitals as small entities.

The payment for outpatient facility services relating to covered ASC surgical procedures would be the blended payment amount of $17,000 calculated as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lower of Cost/Charge:</th>
<th>Blend Payment Amount: $17,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Customary Charges</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deductibles &amp; Coinsurance</td>
<td>$12,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customary Charges Net of Deductibles and Coinsurance</td>
<td>$18,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blended Payment Amount:</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deductibles</td>
<td>$7,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Standard Overhead Amounts</td>
<td>$17,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASC Payment Rates</td>
<td>$14,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASC Percentage of Blend</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASC Portion of Blend</td>
<td>$3,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customary Charges Net of Deductibles and Coinsurance</td>
<td>$18,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital-Specific Percentage of Blend</td>
<td>75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital-Specific Portion of Blend</td>
<td>$13,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASC Portion</td>
<td>$13,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital-Specific Portion</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 The customary charges reflect the accumulation of various hospital departmental charges such as operating room, anesthesia, recovery room, sterile supplies, etc.

2 Example—There are four different payment rates depending upon the procedures furnished.

3 The deductible would be charged only if it had not already been met.

We believe that the initial aggregate effect of this proposed rule upon hospitals would be a decrease in their Medicare benefit payments to outpatient hospital departments (HCFA Bureau of Data Management and Strategy, 1985 User Tapes, Outpatient Facility).

For the reasons discussed below we have determined that a regulatory impact analysis is not required. However, because of the amount of money spent for outpatient services and for surgical outpatient services, we have determined, and the Secretary certifies that this proposed rule would be likely to have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Section 9343(a) of Pub. L. 99-509 provides the basic framework for payment for facility services related to ASC surgical procedures performed in hospitals on an outpatient basis. We could attribute the economic impact of implementing these payment changes to the statute. Nonetheless, this proposed rule would establish the precise mechanisms for the payment methodology called for in section 9343(a), and we consider this rulemaking to be necessary for the implementation of the required payment changes.
Medicare program payments. We are, however, not able to quantify this reduction precisely. Since hospital outpatient costs and charges for certain covered ASC surgical services will be compared to a blended amount based, in part, on ASC facility rates for the same services, as precise estimate would require an ability to identify existing differences between those rates and hospital costs or charges. However, ASC facility rates are paid only for certain services identified by the Physicians Current Procedural Terminology, Fourth Edition (CPT-4), which is incorporated into the HCFA Common Procedure Coding System code (HCPCS). Hospital outpatient costs and charges have not been reported or analyzed under this procedure coding system. Therefore, we cannot determine definitely either the portion of Medicare payments for outpatient hospital services attributable to covered ASC surgical procedures on either the existing or planned lists, or, more importantly, the difference in level of payment for given services based on site of service.

The expected decrease in Medicare program payments would become more pronounced after October 1, 1988 when the increase in the ASC payment amount component in the blended payment amount, mandated by Pub. L. 99-509, takes effect. This would result in intensified incentives for hospitals to contain costs, and, to the extent that hospitals reduce their charges (and costs) to a greater parity with those of ASCs, the change should control the rate at which Medicare expenditures for these services are growing.

The reduction in Medicare payments to hospitals for outpatient surgical procedures would be somewhat lessened by the proposed increase in ASC national base payment rates, which is required by section 9343(b)(1) of the Act. (Those rates will be set forth in a separate Federal Register document.) The law requires ASC national base payment rate updates to be effective on July 1, 1988 and annually thereafter.

C. Administrative Costs

In order for this proposed rule to be fully implemented, it is first necessary to have section 9343(g) of Pub. L. 99-509 implemented. That section requires hospitals that report claims for payment for hospital outpatient services to use of HCFA common procedure coding system (HCPCS), which is the coding system in use by ASCs. In fulfilling the requirements set forth in section 9343(g) of Pub. L. 99-509, we will be concurrently fulfilling the requirement set forth in section 9343(a) of Pub. L. 99-509 that all covered ASC surgical procedures performed in a hospital on an outpatient basis during a cost reporting period be aggregated and treated separately from other services. Hospitals will incur substantial administrative costs in implementing HCPCS for reporting outpatient services. When this proposed rule is issued as final and goes into effect, additional administrative expenses will be incurred by the 6,710 hospital (as January 1, 1986) in the United States with outpatient departments that perform surgical procedures, and the fifty-four fiscal intermediaries that reimburse those hospital (See HCFA Statistics, September 1986.) These administrative expenses will be largely the result of the requirement set forth in section 9343(a) of Pub. L. 99-509, as described above.

So as to conform to this requirement, hospitals will need to change certain elements of their accounting systems. The effect upon an individual hospital will vary depending upon its staff's ability to make changes into their accounting system and outpatient department, the overall adaptability of the hospital's accounting staff and outpatient personnel, and hospital size. It can be reasonably assumed that the larger hospitals will experience a smaller overall administrative expense increase on a per case basis than will their smaller counterparts, because of economies of scale, relative resources, and sophistication of their accounting systems. Accordingly, the effect should be more pronounced among smaller hospitals, because the cost of these administrative changes will be allocated to a smaller number of cases. The effect upon an individual intermediary will vary depending on its staff's ability to instruct expeditiously the hospitals within its coverage area about these changes and to integrate these changes effectively and efficiently into their own operating environment.

D. Impact on Beneficiaries

Currently, beneficiaries are not liable for Medicare Part B deductible and coinsurance amounts for ASC facility services, but they are liable for deductible and coinsurance amounts for hospital outpatient services. However, section 9343(e) of Pub. L. 99-509 provides that, effective July 1, 1987, coinsurance and deductibles are to apply to ASC facility services, too. Thus, for services furnished in either an ASC or a hospital on an outpatient basis, on or after July 1, 1987, a beneficiary could be liable for up to $75 of any unmet deductible obligation, plus 20 percent of either the applicable ASC facility rate or the hospital's customary charge. (If a hospital meets the collection effort requirements of our regulations at §413.81, we will reimburse the hospital for the uncollectible Medicare bad debts it incurs related to provision of covered ASC surgical services.)

To the extent that this payment method gives hospitals the incentive to decrease their charges or increase or improve their services to compete effectively with ASCs (see discussion below), beneficiaries should benefit by reduced coinsurance liability and improved service. If, however, a hospital were to determine that it could not compete effectively, possibly causing it to discontinue its ambulatory surgical services, beneficiaries could experience reduced access to services in the affected locality.

E. Shifted Incentives and Market Effects

Ambulatory surgery is a dynamic and growing market that has grown because often it is more economical to furnish surgical services in settings other than hospital inpatient departments. The main participants in this market are ASCs, doctors' offices where these services are furnished, and hospitals that furnish these services on an outpatient basis. Increasingly, ASCs have been receiving a larger share of this overall market. They have shown explosive growth, beginning in September 1982 with 20 ASCs and growing to 600 ASCs by December 1986. This is a 3,310 percent increase in a four-year period.

Although we do not have systematic program data on comparative costs we believe that ASCs typically would have lower fixed costs than do hospitals. The growth of ASC appears to be partially attributable to this factor. If hospitals fixed costs continue to rise relative to ASCs, they will be at a greater competitive disadvantage. However, it is difficult to determine whether this will occur and, if so, to what extent.

In order to increase their ASC surgery market share in the short run, hospitals can market their outpatient services effectively, partially competing on a price basis, but also marketing their patient-staff relationship, reputation, and convenience of access, rather than focusing on cost as the sole criterion. Obviously, those hospitals in areas with few or no ASCs will not be subject to the same pressures as hospitals in other areas.

F. Conclusion

Although this proposed rule could
adversely affect most hospitals in their immediate expectations of revenues, it could generally benefit the development of a healthy market for the delivery of ASC surgical services. Medicare beneficiaries should benefit as the result of an initial lowering of prices for covered ASC surgical procedures performed in a hospital on an outpatient basis. The Medicare program itself would benefit as a result of program savings and viability of the Medicare trust fund. In sum, we expect the advantages achievable under this proposal to outweigh any resulting costs or disadvantages.

We also note that section 9321(d) of the Pub. L. 99-509 prohibits the Secretary from issuing in final form any regulation, instruction, or other policy that relates to hospitals or physicians before September 15, 1987, which is estimated by the Secretary to achieve Medicare savings in fiscal year 1987 of more than 50 million dollars, except as required to implement specific provisions required under statute. Since this proposed rule is necessary to implement section 9343(a) of Pub. L. 99-509, it is exempt from section 9321(d) of Pub. L. 99-509.

V. Other Required Information

A. Paperwork Burden

This rule would not impose information collection requirements; consequently, it need not be reviewed by the Executive Office of Management and Budget under the authority of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 (44 U.S.C. 3501-3511).

B. Public Comment

Because of the large number of pieces of correspondence we normally receive on a proposed rule, we are not able to acknowledge or respond to them individually. However, in preparing the final rule, we will consider all comments contained in correspondence that we receive by the date specified in the "Dates" section of this preamble, and we will respond to the comments in the preamble of that rule.

List of Subjects in 42 CFR Part 413

Administrative practice and procedure, Health facilities, Health professions, Kidney diseases, Laboratories, Medicare, Nursing homes, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Rural areas, X-rays.

42 CFR Part 413 would be amended as set forth below:

PART 413—PRINCIPLES OF REASONABLE COST REIMBURSEMENT; PAYMENT FOR END-STAGE RENAL DISEASE SERVICES

A. The authority citation for Part 413 continues to read as follows:

Authority: Secs. 1102, 1122, 1814(b), 1815, 1833(a), 1861(v), 1871, 1881 and 1886 of the Social Security Act as amended (42 U.S.C. 1395d, 1395f, 1395h, 1395q, and 1395ww).

Subpart A—Introduction and General Rules

B. In § 413.13, paragraph (c) is redesignated as paragraph (c)(1) and a new paragraph (c)(2) is added to read as follows:

§ 413.13 Amount of payments if customary charges for services furnished are less than reasonable costs.

(a) Hospital Cost reporting periods beginning on or after October 1, 1987. Effective for hospitals with cost reporting periods beginning on or after October 1, 1987, reasonable costs and customary charges for ambulatory surgical procedures determined under § 413.118 are aggregated and treated separately from all other hospital costs and charges incurred during the cost reporting period.

(c) Subpart F is amended as follows:

Subpart F—Specific Categories of Costs

1. The table of contents for Subpart F is amended by adding a title for a new § 413.118 to read as follows:

Subpart F—Specific Categories of Costs Sec. * * * *

§ 413.118 Payment for facility services related to covered ASC surgical procedures performed in hospitals on an outpatient basis.

(a) Basis and scope. This section implements section 1833(i)(3) of the Act and establishes the method for determining Medicare payments for services related to covered ambulatory surgical center (ASC) procedures performed in a hospital on an outpatient basis. It does not apply to services furnished by an ASC operated with HCFA to be paid in accordance with § 416.30 of this chapter. (For regulations governing ASCs see Part 416 of this chapter.)

(b) Definitions. For purposes of this section—

"Facility services" are those items and services, as specified in § 416.61 of this chapter, that are furnished by a hospital on an outpatient basis in connection with covered ASC surgical procedures, as described in § 416.65 of this chapter.

"Standard overhead amount" means an amount equal to the prospectively determined payment rate that would be paid for the procedure if it had been furnished by an ASC in the same geographic area.

(c) Payment principal. The aggregate amount of payments for facility services, furnished in a hospital on an outpatient basis, that are related to covered ASC surgical procedures (covered under § 416.65 of this chapter) is equal to the lesser of—

(1) The hospital's reasonable cost or customary charges, as determined in accordance with § 413.13, reduced by deductibles and coinsurance; or

(2) The blended payment amount as described in paragraph (d) of this section, which is based on hospital-specific cost and charge data and rates paid to free-standing ASCs.

(d) Blended payment amount. (1) For cost reporting periods beginning on or after October 1, 1987 but before October 1, 1988, the blended payment amount is equal to the sum of—

(i) 75 percent of the hospital-specific amount (the lesser of the hospital's reasonable cost or customary charges, reduced by deductibles and coinsurance); and

(ii) 25 percent of the ASC payment amount (that is, 60 percent of the result obtained by subtracting the deductibles from the sum of the standard overhead amounts.)

(2) For cost reporting periods beginning on or after October 1, 1988, the blended payment amount is equal to 50 percent of the hospital-specific amount and 50 percent of the ASC payment amount.

(e) Aggregation of cost, charges, and the blended amount. For purposes of determining the cost base payment amount under paragraphs (c) and (d) of this section, all reasonable costs and customary charges attributable to facility services furnished during a cost reporting period are aggregated and treated separately from the reasonable costs and customary charges attributable to all other services furnished in the hospital.
July 3, 1984, 49 FR 27470, codified in 5 CFR Part 1179, with salary offset regulations issued by the Act of 1982, this regulation is consistent before salary offset deductions begin.

This rule has been reviewed and determined not to be a "major rule" as defined in Executive Order 12291 dated February 17, 1981 because it will not result in: (1) An annual effect on the economy of $100 million or more; (2) a major increase in costs or prices for consumers, individuals, industries, Federal, State, or local government agencies, or geographic regions; or (3) significant adverse effects on competition, employment, investment, productivity, innovation, or the ability of United States based enterprises to compete with foreign-based enterprises in domestic or export markets.

This rule applies only to individual federal employees. It will have no "significant economic impact upon a substantial number of small entities" within the meaning of section 3(a) of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, Pub. L. 96-354, 5 U.S.C. 605(b). Accordingly, no regulatory flexibility analysis is required.

List of Subjects in 45 CFR Part 1179

Administrative offset, Administrative practice and procedures, Claims, Debt collection, Government employees, Wages.

For the reasons set out in the preamble, Part 1179 of Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations is added to read as follows:

PART 1179—SALARY OFFSET

Sec. 1179.1 Purpose and scope.
1179.2 Definitions.
1179.3 Applicability.
1179.4 Notice requirements.
1179.5 Hearing.
1179.6 Written decision.
1179.7 Coordinating offset with another Federal agency.
1179.8 Procedures for salary offset.
1179.9 Refunds.
1179.10 Statute of limitations.

Authority: 5 U.S.C. 5514, E.O. 11809, ( redesignated E.O. 12107), and 5 CFR Part 550 Subpart K.

§ 1179.1 Purpose and scope.
(a) This regulation provides procedures for the collection by administrative offset of a federal employee's salary without his/her consent to satisfy certain debts owed to the Federal government. These regulations apply to all federal employees who owe debts to the National Endowment for the Humanities (NEH) and to current employees of the National Endowment for the Humanities who owe debts to other Federal agencies. This regulation does not apply when the employee consents to recovery from his/her current pay account.

(b) This regulation does not apply to debts or claims arising under:
(1) The Internal Revenue Code of 1954, as amended, 26 U.S.C. 1 et seq.;
(2) The Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. 301 et seq.;
(3) The tariff laws of the United States; or
(4) Any case where a collection of a debt by salary offset is explicitly provided for or prohibited by another statute.

(c) This regulation does not apply to any adjustment to pay arising out of an employee's selection of coverage or a change in coverage under a Federal benefits program requiring periodic deductions from pay if the amount to be recovered was accumulated over four pay periods or less.

(d) This regulation does not preclude the compromise, suspension, or termination of collection action where appropriate under the standards implementing the Federal Claims Collection Act, 31 U.S.C. 3711 et seq., 4 CFR Parts 101 through 105, 45 CFR 1177.

(e) This regulation does not preclude an employee from requesting waiver of an overpayment under 5 U.S.C. 5584, 10 U.S.C. 2774, or 32 U.S.C. 716, or in any way questioning the amount of validity of the debt by submitting a subsequent claim to the General Accounting Office. This regulation does not preclude an employee from requesting a waiver pursuant to other statutory provisions applicable to the particular debt being collected.

(f) Matters not addressed in these regulations should be reviewed in accordance with the Federal Claims Collection Standards at 4 CFR 101.1 et seq.

§ 1179.2 Definitions.

For the purposes of this part the following definitions will apply:

"Agency" means an executive agency as is defined at 5 U.S.C. 105, including the U.S. Postal Service, the U.S. Postal Commission; a military department as defined at 5 U.S.C. 102; an agency or court in the judicial branch; an agency of the legislative branch, including the U.S. Senate and House of Representatives; and other independent...
establishments that are entities of the Federal government.

"Chairperson" means the Chairperson of the National Endowment for the Humanities or the Chairperson's designee.

"Creditor agency" means the agency to which the debt is owed.

"Debt" means an amount owed to the United States from sources which include loans insured or guaranteed by the United States and all other amounts due the United States from fees, leases, rents, royalties, services, sales of real or personal property, overpayments, penalties, damages, interests, fines, forfeitures, (except those arising under the Uniform Code of Military Justice) and all other similar sources.

"Disposable pay" means the amount that remains from an employee's federal pay after required deductions for social security, Federal State or local income tax, health insurance premiums, retirement contributions, life insurance premiums, Federal employment taxes, and any other deductions that are required to be withheld by law.

"Hearing official" means an individual responsible for conducting any hearing with respect to the existence or amount of a debt claimed, and who renders a decision on the basis of such hearing. A hearing official may not be under the supervision or control of the Chairperson of the National Endowment for the Humanities.

"Paying Agency" means the agency that employs the individual who owes the debt and authorizes the payment of his/her current pay.

"Salary offset" means an administrative offset to collect a debt pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 5514 by deduction(s) at one or more officially established pay intervals from the current pay account or an employee without his/her consent.

§ 1179.3 Applicability.

(a) These regulations are to be followed when:

(1) The National Endowment for the Humanities is owed a debt by an individual currently employed by another Federal agency;

(2) The National Endowment for the Humanities is owed a debt by an individual who is a current employee of the National Endowment for the Humanities;

(3) The National Endowment for the Humanities employs an individual who owes a debt to another Federal agency.

§ 1179.4 Notice requirements.

(a) Deductions shall not be made unless the employee is provided with written notice signed by the Chairperson of the debt at least 30 days before salary offset commences.

(b) The written shall contain:

(1) A statement that the debt is owed and an explanation of its nature, and amount;

(2) The agency's intention to collect the debt by deducting from the employee's current disposable pay account;

(3) The amount, frequency proposed beginning date, and duration of the intended deduction(s);

(4) An explanation of interest, penalties, and administrative charges, including a statement that such charges will be assessed unless excused in accordance with the Federal Claims Collections Standards at 4 CFR 101.1 et seq.;

(5) The employee's right to inspect, request, or receive a copy of government records relating to the debt;

(6) The opportunity to establish a written schedule for the voluntary repayment of the debt;

(7) The right to a hearing conducted by an impartial hearing official;

(8) The methods and time period for petitioning for hearings;

(9) A statement that a timely filing of a petition for a hearing will stay the commencement of collection proceedings;

(10) A statement that a final decision on the hearing will be issued not later than 60 days after the filing of the petition requesting the hearing unless the employee requests and the hearing official grants a delay in the proceedings;

(11) A statement that knowingly false or frivolous statements, representations, or evidence may subject the employee to appropriate disciplinary procedures;

(12) A statement of other rights and remedies available to the employee under statutes or regulations governing the program for which the collection is being made; and

(13) Unless there are contractual or statutory provisions to the contrary, a statement that amounts paid on or deducted for the debt which are later waived or found not owed to the United States will be promptly refunded to the employee.

§ 1179.5 Hearing.

(a) Request for hearing: (1) An employee must file a petition for a hearing in accordance with the instructions outlined in the agency's notice to offset.

(2) A hearing may be requested by filing a written petition addressed to the Chairperson of the National Endowment for the Humanities stating why the employee disputes the existence or amount of the debt. The petition for a hearing must be received by the Chairperson not later than fifteen (15) calendar days after the date of the notice to offset.

(b) Hearing procedures: (1) The hearing will be presided over by an impartial hearing official.

(2) The hearing shall conform to procedures contained in the Federal Claims Collection Standards, 4 CFR 102.3(c). The burden shall be on the employee to demonstrate that the existence or the amount of the debt is in error.

§ 1179.6 Written decision.

(a) The hearing official shall issue a written opinion no later than 60 days after the hearing.

(b) The written opinion will include:

A statement of the facts presented to demonstrate the nature and origin of the alleged debt, the hearing official's analysis, findings, and conclusions; the amount and validity of the debt, and the repayment schedule.

§ 1179.7 Coordinating offset with another Federal agency.

(a) The Endowment as the creditor agency. (1) When the Chairperson determines that an employee of a Federal agency owes a delinquent debt to the National Endowment for the Humanities, theChairperson shall, as appropriate:

(i) Arrange for a hearing upon the proper petitioning by the employee;

(ii) Certify in writing that the employee owes the debt, the amount and basis of the debt, the date on which payment is due, the date the Government's right to collect the debt accrued, and that Endowment regulations for salary offset have been approved by the Office of Personnel Management;

(iii) If collection must be made in installments, the Chairperson must advise the paying agency of the amount or percentage of disposable pay to be collected in each installment;

(iv) Advise the paying agency of the actions taken under 5 U.S.C. 5514(b) and provide the dates on which action was taken unless the employee has consented to salary offset in writing or signed a statement acknowledging receipt of procedures required by law.

The written statement or acknowledgement must be sent to the paying agency;

(v) If the employee is in the process of separating, the Endowment must submit its debt claim to the paying agency as provided in this part. The paying agency must certify the total amount collected.
notify the employee, and send a copy of
the certification and notice of the
employee's separation to the creditor
agency. If the paying agency is aware
that the employee is entitled to Civil
Service Retirement Fund and Disability
Fund of similar payments, it must certify
to the agency responsible for making
such payments the amount of the debt
and that the provisions of this part has
been followed; and

(vi) If the employee has already
separated and all payments due from
the paying agency have been paid, the
Chairperson may request, unless
otherwise prohibited, that money
payable to the employee from the Civil
Service Retirement Fund and Disability
Fund or other similar funds be collected
by administrative offset;

(b) The Endowment as the paying
agency, deductions will be scheduled to
begin at the next establishment pay
interval. The employee must receive
written notice that the National
Endowment for the Humanities has
received a certified debt claim from the
creditor agency, the amount of the debt,
the date salary offset will begin, and
the amount of the deduction(s). The
National Endowment for the Humanities
shall not review the merits of the
certification and notice of the
National Endowment for the Humanities
validity or the amount of the certified
debt claim from another
agency when the debt is waived, found
not owed, or when directed by an
administrative or judicial order.

(c) Unless required by law, refunds under
this paragraph shall not bear

§ 1179.10 Statue of limitations.
(a) If a debt has been outstanding for
more than 10 years after the agency's
right to collect the debt first accrued, the
agency may not collect by salary offset
unless facts material to the
Government's right to collect were not
known and could not reasonably have
been known by the official or officials
who were charged with the
responsibility for discovery and
collection of such debts.

Lynne V. Cheney,
Chairman, National Endowment for the
Humanities.
[FR Doc. 87-11782 Filed 6-1-87; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7555-01-M

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION
47 CFR Part 22
[CC Docket No. 80-57; FCC 87-180]
Public Mobile Service; Revision and
Update
AGENCY: Federal Communications
Commission.
ACTION: Proposed rules.

SUMMARY: It has come to our attention that
some Applicants have been using this
rule to create mutually exclusive (MX)
situations even though other frequencies are
available.

The Commission has determined
§ 22.23(g)(2) treatment so as not to be treated as a
newly filed application. As a result,
other applicants cannot file competing
applications.

3. In order to remedy this situation, we
are proposing that an applicant who
files an MX application after a public
notice listing the initial application has
appeared, will not be entitled to
§ 22.23(g)(2) treatment.

DATES: Comments must be filed by July
9, 1987, and reply comments must be

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Carmen Borkowski. Mobile Services
Division, Common Carrier Bureau, (202)
632-6450.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a
summary of the Commission's further
notice of proposed rulemaking, adopted

The full text of this commission
decision is available for inspection and
copying during normal business hours in the
FCC Dockets Branch (Room 230),
1919 M Street, NW., Washington, DC.
The complete text of this decision may
also be purchased from the
Commission's Copy Contractor,
International Transcription Service (202)
857-3800, 2100 M Street, NW., Suite 140,
Washington, DC 20037.

Summary of Further Notice of Proposed
Rulemaking

1. This Notice of Proposed Rulemaking
(NPRM) proposes to amend § 22.23(g)(2).
This rule currently provides that an
amendment which resolves frequency
conflicts with other pending applications
will not be treated as newly filed. This
rule was adopted to give applicants an
incentive to amend out of mutually
exclusive (MX) situations in order to
remove conflicts which would otherwise
require resolution in a hearing.

2. It has come to our attention that
some applicants have been using this
rule to create an MX situation even
though other frequencies are available.
Typically these applicants will
intentionally file a competing
application, wait until the applications
have been on public notice for sixty
days, and then amend to another
frequency, even though it could have
filed for that frequency in the first place.
The applicant then requests § 22.23(g)(2)
treatment so as not to be treated as a
newly filed application. As a result,
other applicants cannot file competing
applications.

3. In order to remedy this situation, we
are proposing that an applicant who
files an MX application after a public
notice listing the initial application has
appeared, will not be entitled to
§ 22.23(g)(2) treatment. While this
rulemaking is pending, we will carefully
scrutinize applications and deny
§ 22.23(g)(2) treatment where warranted.

4. This is nonrestricted notice and
comment rulemaking proceeding. See
§ 1.1221 of the Commission's rules. 47
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Research and Special Programs Administration

49 CFR Parts 171, 172, 173, 174, 175, 176, 177, 178, and 179

[Docket No. HM-181]

Performance-Oriented Packaging Standards; RSPA Participation in an Industry Sponsored Meeting

AGENCY: Research and Special Programs Administration (RSPA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: This notice is to advise interested persons that RSPA will participate in a meeting sponsored by the Hazardous Materials Advisory Council (HMAC). The purpose of RSPA’s participation in the meeting is to discuss the rulemaking (NPRM) published in the Federal Register (Notice 87-4; 52 FR 16482) on May 8, 1987 under Docket No. HM-181.

DATES: June 17-18, 1987; Wednesday, June 17, from 10:00 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. and Thursday, June 18, from 8:30 a.m. to 3:30 p.m.

ADDRESS: Hyatt Oak Brook, 1909 Spring Road, Oak Brook, Illinois.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On May 5, 1987, RSPA published an NFRM (Notice 87-4) in the Federal Register entitled “Performance-Oriented Packaging Standards; Miscellaneous Proposals”. Proposals contained in the NFRM entail a comprehensive revision of the Hazardous Materials Regulations (HMR; Subchapter C of 49 CFR). The major changes embodied in these proposals are alignment of the HMR with classification procedures and description requirements contained in the United Nations Recommendations on the Transportation of Dangerous Goods (U.N. Recommendations) and adoption of performance-oriented packaging standards for non-bulk packagings based on the U.N. Recommendations. The changes are intended to: (1) Simplify the HMR; (2) reduce the volume of regulations; (3) promote flexibility and technological advances in the packaging of hazardous materials; (4) enhance safety through better packaging; (5) reduce the need for exemptions; and, (6) facilitate international commerce.

HMAC is a non-profit organization whose international membership is concerned with safety in the transportation and handling of hazardous materials. RSPA wishes to advise the interested public that on June 17 and 18, 1987, HMAC will conduct an industry review and public briefing in Oak Brook, Illinois for the purpose of discussing the NPRM. RSPA has agreed to participate in the briefing to discuss various aspects of the NPRM and to answer questions. As usual and customary, HMAC will charge a fee to attendees to cover its costs for conference materials, coffee breaks and luncheons. However, for those portions of the briefings in which RSPA will participate (i.e., Wednesday, June 17, 10:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m. and 1:00 p.m. to 2:00 p.m., and Thursday, June 18, 1:00 p.m. to 3:30 p.m.), interested persons may attend and participate without charge and without registering with HMAC. Persons who do so are asked not to avail themselves of HMAC services, such as conference materials or food and beverage services. Registration information, including costs and registration times, may be obtained by contacting HMAC.

Persons wishing to submit comments to Docket No. HM-181 at the meeting are requested to submit them in writing.

As previously announced in Notice 87, RSPA will conduct a public hearing on September 15 and 16, 1987, from 9:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. daily at the Federal Aviation Administration, Third Floor Auditorium, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC. This hearing may be extended through September 17 if there is sufficient demand. Any person wishing to present an oral statement at this hearing should notify the Dockets Branch, by telephone or in writing, (Dockets Branch, Research and Special Programs Administration, U.S. Department of Transportation, Washington, DC 20590; (202) 366-5046), at least two days in advance of the hearing date. Each request must identify the speaker; organization represented, if any; daytime telephone number, and the anticipated length of presentation, not to exceed 10 minutes. The written test (if any) of an oral statement should be presented to the hearing officer prior to its presentation.

CPR 1.1231 for rules governing permissible ex parte contracts.

5. Pursuant to the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980, 5 U.S.C. 605, it is certified that the proposed rule will not, if promulgated, have negative economic consequences on small entities. As a matter of fact the rule allows applicants an opportunity to remove themselves from a mutually exclusive situation under limited conditions, which in turn, can expedite application processing.

6. The proposal contained herein has been analyzed with respect to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 and found to contain no new or modified form, information collection and/or record keeping, labeling, disclosure, or record retention requirements; and will not increase or decrease burden hours imposed on the public.

7. Pursuant to applicable procedures set forth in §§ 1.415 and 1.149 of the Commission rules, 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.419, interested parties may file comments on or before July 9, 1987, and reply comments on or before July 24, 1987. All relevant and timely comments will be considered by the Commission before final action is taken in the proceeding.

Ordering Clauses

8. Legal Basis. The authority for this proposed rulemaking is contained in sections 1.4(1), 301 of this Commission Act of 1934, as amended.

Federal Communications Commission.

William J. Tricarico, Secretary.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 22

Mobile services.

Proposed Rules

Part 22 of Title 47 of the Code of Federal Regulations is proposed to be amended as follows:

PART 22—PUBLIC MOBILE SERVICE

1. The authority citation for Part 22 continues to read as follows:


2. Section 22.23 is amended by revising paragraph (g)(2) to read as follows:

§ 22.23 Amendment of applications (See also § 22.918).

* * * * *

(g)(2) The amendment resolves frequency conflicts with other pending applications but does not create new or increased frequency conflicts and the amendment was filed prior to any public notice listing the initial mutually exclusive application.

* * * * *

[FR Doc. 87-12386 Filed 6-1-87; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-M
INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

49 CFR Part 1150

[Ex Parte No. 392 (Sub-No. 3)]

Class Exemption for Rail Construction

AGENCY: Interstate Commerce Commission.

ACTION: Advance notice of proposed rulemaking and proposed class exemption.

SUMMARY: The Commission is requesting comment on whether 49 CFR Part 1150, Subpart D—Exempt Transportation, should be expanded to include a class exemption for all construction under 49 U.S.C. 10901. The substantial regulatory requirements of these applications may discourage investment initiatives that would otherwise be undertaken. We wish to facilitate new investment initiatives to provide the potential for increased competition where existing competition is inadequate.

DATES: Comments must be submitted by July 2, 1987.

ADDRESS: Send an original and, if possible, 10 copies of any comments referring to Ex Parte No. 382 (Sub-No. 3) to: Office of the Secretary, Case Control Branch, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, DC 20423.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joseph Dettmar, (202) 275-7245.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Additional information is contained in the Commission's decision. To obtain a copy of the full decision, write to the Secretary's Office, Room 2215, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, DC 20423, or call (202) 275-7245.

Regulatory Flexibility Impact

The Commission certifies that the proposed rule will not, if promulgated, have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Establishment of this class exemption may have a positive impact upon small carriers by reducing regulatory barriers to construction.

This action will not significantly affect either the quality of the human environment or energy conservation.

List of Subjects in 49 CFR Part 1150

Administrative practice and procedure, Railroads, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.


By the Commission, Chairman Gradison, Vice Chairman Lamboley, Commissioners Sterrett, Andre, and Simmons. Commissioner Simmons concurred with a separate expression.

Noreta R. McGee, Secretary.

We are considering possible amendment of Title 49, Subtitle B, Chapter X, Part 1150 of the Code of Federal Regulations. Alternative proposals are also solicited.

PART 1150—CERTIFICATE TO CONSTRUCT, ACQUIRE, OR OPERATE RAILROAD LINES

1. The authority citation for 49 CFR Part 1150 would continue to read as follows:


2. A new § 1150.35 would be added to read as follows:

§ 1150.35 Exempt construction and operation.

(a) A proposed construction and operation of a new line of railroad is exempt from the provisions of 49 U.S.C. 10901 if the procedures designated in this section are satisfied.

(b) The Commission has found: (1) That its prior review of these proposals for construction and operation is not necessary to carry out the rail transportation policy of 49 U.S.C. 10101a; and (2) that continued regulation is unnecessary to protect shippers from abuse of market power (49 U.S.C. 10505).

A notice must be filed to use this class exemption. The procedures are set out in §1150.35(c).

(c) Notice of exemption: At least 90 days prior to the planned commencement of construction, the railroad seeking to use the exemption must file a written notice with the Commission. The notice would be served at the same time.

(1) On the appropriate regulatory agency in the state (or states) affected;

(2) On the designated environmental agency in that state (or states);

(3) On the state's coastal zone management authority;

(4) On any railroads already providing service to the shipper or shippers on the line; and


The Commission will publish a notice in the Federal Register within 20 days. Protestants will then have 30 days to file evidence and argument in opposition. Applicants will file a reply, if any, within 7 days. The Commission will issue an administratively final decision as to whether the exemption shall be revoked in whole or in part, or delayed to allow further analysis as necessary, before the 90th day and before construction is permitted to begin. The notice shall name the railroad, describe the construction and operation proposed, indicate that the exemption procedure is being used, and include the proposed date to begin construction. The railroad should also provide a certificate that it has complied with the procedures of 49 CFR 1101.11 pertaining to environmental notice and reporting.
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Soil Conservation Service

Environmental Impact Statement; Beans Creek Watershed, Tennessee

AGENCY: Soil Conservation Service.

ACTION: Notice of a finding of no significant impact.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, the Council on Environmental Quality Guidelines (40 CFR Part 1500); and the Soil Conservation Service Guidelines (7 CFR Part 1500); the Soil Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, give notice that an environmental impact statement is not being prepared for the Beans Creek Watershed, Franklin and Lincoln Counties, Tennessee.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The environmental assessment of this Federally assisted action indicates that the project will not cause significant local, regional or national impacts on the environment. As a result of these findings, Jerry S. Lee, State Conservationist, has determined that the preparation and review of an environmental impact statement are not needed for this project.

The project concerns a plan for accelerated land treatment or erosion control and water quality maintenance. The planned works of improvement include conservation tillage systems, crop rotation, stripcropping, grassed waterways and outlets, tree planting, critical area treatment and accelerated technical assistance for land treatment.

The Notice of a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) has been forwarded to the Environmental Protection Agency and to various Federal, State and local agencies and interested parties. A limited number of copies of the FONSI are available to fill single copy requests at the above address. Basic data developed during the environmental assessment are on file and may be reviewed by contacting Jerry S. Lee.

No administrative action on implementation of the proposal will be taken until 30 days after the date of this publication in the Federal Register. (This activity is listed in the Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance under No. 10.904—Watershed Protection and Flood Prevention—and is subject to the provisions of Executive Order 12272, which requires intergovernmental consultation with state and local officials).


Jerry S. Lee,
State Conservatonist.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

Marine Mammals; Receipt of Application for Permit

The public is invited to comment on the following application for permits to conduct certain activities with marine mammals. The application was submitted to satisfy requirements of the Marine Mammal Protection Act of 1972, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1361 et seq.), and the regulations governing marine mammals.

Applicant

Name: International Wildlife Foundation

File no. PRT-717368 5151E.

Broadway, Suite 1680 Tucson, AZ

Type of Permit: Public Display

Name of Animals: One pair northern sea otters (Enhydra lutris lutris) One pair harbor seals (Phoca vitulina)

Summary of Activity to be Authorized: The specimens identified in the permit application were taken by an Alaskan native for subsistence. The applicant requests a permit to transport these four animals to the Natural History Museum, Tucson, Arizona, currently under construction, for purposes of public display. The museum is owned and operated by the applicant. The prohibition for transportation of marine mammals is found within section 102(a) of the Marine Mammal Protection Act, which pertains to taking of marine mammals.

Period of Activity: Duration of the permit will be for three months following issuance of a permit.

The Service shares jurisdiction under the Marine Mammal Protection Act with the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS). The Fish and Wildlife Service has jurisdiction over sea otters and NMFS has jurisdiction over seals. Therefore, this application will be reviewed by both agencies and any subsequent action on the application will be a joint action.

Concurrent with the publication of this notice in the Federal Register, the Federal Wildlife Permit Office is forwarding copies of this application to the Marine Mammal Commission and the Committee of Scientific Advisors for their review.

Written data or comments, requests for copies of the complete application, or requests for a public hearing on this application should be submitted to the Director, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWPO), 1000 North Glebe Road, Room 611, Arlington, Virginia 22201, within 30 days of the publication of this notice. Anyone requesting a hearing should give specific reasons why a hearing would be appropriate. The holding of such hearing is at the discretion of the Director.

Documents submitted in connection with the above application are available for review during normal business hours (7:45 am to 4:15 pm) in Room 601 N. Glebe Road, Arlington, Virginia.


R.K. Robinson,
Chief, Federal Wildlife Permit Office.


Nancy Foster,
Director, Office of Protected Resources and Habitat Programs, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. 87-12471 Filed 6-1-87; 8:45 am]
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

Proposed Foreign-Trade Zone; San Diego, CA; Application and Public Hearing

An application has been submitted to the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) by the City of San Diego, California, requesting authority to establish a general-purpose foreign-trade zone in San Diego, within the San Diego Customs port of entry. The application was submitted pursuant to the provisions of the Foreign-Trade Zones Act, as amended (19 U.S.C. 81a through 81u), and the regulations of the Board (15 CFR Part 400). It was formally filed on May 12, 1987. The City is authorized to make the proposal under Chapter 4, Section 8302, of the California Government Code.

The proposal will involve 4 sites totalling 1,104 acres within the City’s Otay Mesa Planning Area, adjacent to the U.S.-Mexico border. Site 1 will consist of 400 acres of city property at Brown Field, Otay Mesa and Heritage Roads. Site 2 covers 73.5 acres at Airway Road and State Route 125, and is owned by San Diego Business Park Associates, Ltd. Site 3 comprises 60 acres at the Gateway Park, off Harvest Road and Custom House Plaza Road. It is owned as a joint venture project by Trammel Crow Co. and Westkin Properties. Site 4 consists of 70.6 acres at the Britannia Commerce Center, Siempre Viva Road and Britannia Boulevard. It is owned by Hall Properties.

The application contains evidence of the need for zone services in the San Diego area. Several firms have indicated an interest in using zone procedures for warehousing/distribution of electronic products, chemicals, furniture and toys. Specific manufacturing approvals are not being sought at this time. Requests will be made to the Board on a case-by-case basis.

In accordance with the Board’s regulations, an examiners committee has been appointed to investigate the application and report to the Board. The committee consists of: Dennis Pucinelli, Foreign-Trade Zones Staff, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20230; Alan J. Rappoprt, District Director, U.S. Customs Service, Pacific Region, 880 Front Street, Room 5–S–9, San Diego, CA 92188; and Colonel Dennis F. Butler, District Engineer, U.S. Army Engineer District Los Angeles, P.O. Box 2711, Los Angeles, CA 90053–2325.

As part of its investigation, the examiners committee will hold a public hearing on July 1, 1987, beginning at 9:00 a.m. at the City of San Diego Community Concours (Glass Room), 202 C Street, San Diego, CA.

Interested parties are invited to present their views at the hearing. Persons wishing to testify should notify the Board’s Executive Secretary in writing at the address below or by phone (202/377–2862) by June 23. Instead of an oral presentation, written statements may be submitted in accordance with the Board’s regulations to the examiners committee, care of the Executive Secretary, at any time from the date of this notice through August 17, 1987.

A copy of the application and accompanying exhibits will be available during this time for public inspection at each of the following locations:

U.S. Department of Commerce District Office, 6363 Greenwich Drive, San Diego, CA 92122

Office of the Executive Secretary, Foreign-Trade Zones Board, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 1529, 14th and Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230


John J. Da Porto, Jr.,
Executive Secretary.

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

Endangered Species; Proposed Permit Modification No. 3; Mr. Harold M. Brundage, Ill (P298)

Notice is hereby given that Mr. Harold M. Brundage, Ill, Ichthyological Associates, Inc., 100 South Cass Street, Middletown, Delaware 19709, has requested a modification to Permit No. 374 (File No. P298) issued on March 24, 1982 (47 FR 13399) under the authority of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. 1531–1543), and the regulations governing endangered species permits (50 CFR Part 217 and 222), as modified on February 11, 1983 (48 FR 6361), and September 18, 1986 (50 FR 39753).

Permit No. 374 authorizes five hundred (500) shortnose sturgeon (Acipenser brevirostrum) to be taken, tagged, and released each year in the Delaware River. Of these fifty (50) adults may be radio tagged each year.

The Permit Holder is requesting that Permit No. 374 be modified to allow the sampling for shortnose sturgeon in the upper tidal Potomac River during 1987.

Sampling will be conducted with bottom-set multi-panelled Gill nets during summer and fall. The annual take is authorized under the Permit will not be increased.

Written data reviews, or requests for public hearing on this modification request should be submitted to the Assistant Administrator for Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20235, within 30 days of the publication of this notice. Those individuals requesting a hearing should set forth the specific reasons why a hearing on this particular modification request would be appropriate. The holding of such hearing is at the discretion of the Assistant Administrator for Fisheries.

All statements and opinions contained in this request are summaries of those of the Applicant and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Marine Fisheries Service.

Documentation pertaining to the above modification request is available for Office of Protected Resources and Habitat Programs, National Marine Fisheries Service, 1825 Connecticut Avenue NW., Room 805, Washington, DC 20235;

Director, Northeast Region, National Marine Fisheries Service, Federal Building, 14 Elm Street, Gloucester, Massachusetts 01930.


Nancy Foster,
Director, Office of Protected Resources and Habitat Programs, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. 87–12492 Filed 6–1–87; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–05–M

Marine Mammals; Issuance of Permit; Marine Animal Productions, Inc. (108)

On June 19, 1986, notice was published in the Federal Register (51 FR 22324) that an application had been filed by Marine Animal Productions, Inc., P.O. Box 4078, Gulfport, Mississippi 39502–4078, to take Atlantic bottlenose dolphins (Tursiops truncatus) and California sea lions (Zalophus californianus).

Notice is hereby given that on May 27, 1987, a modification by the provisions of the Marine Mammal Protection Act of 1972 (16 U.S.C. 1361–1407), the National Marine Fisheries Service issued a Permit for the above taking, subject to certain conditions set forth therein.

The Permit is available for review by interested persons in the following office(s):
Office of Protected Resources and Habitat Programs, National Marine Fisheries Service, 1825 Connecticut Avenue NW., Room 805, Washington, DC;

Director, Southwest Region, National Marine Fisheries Service, 9450 Koger Boulevard, St. Petersburg, Florida, 33702; and

Director, Southeast Region, National Marine Fisheries Service, 1164 Executive Director, Western Pacific Fishery Management Council; Statement of Organization, Practices and Procedures

Pursuant to section 302(f)(6) of the Magnuson Fishery Conservation and Management Act (16 U.S.C. 1801 et seq.), each Regional Fishery Management Council is responsible for carrying out its functions under the Act, in accordance with such uniform standards as are prescribed by the Secretary of Commerce. Further, each Council must publish and make available to the public a statement of its organization, practices and procedures (SOPPs).

The Western Pacific Fishery Management Council’s SOPPs were published originally in the Federal Register on March 22, 1977 (42 FR 55), and were revised by the Council on August 6, 1986. Interested parties may obtain a copy of the Council’s revised SOPPs by contacting Kitty Simonds, Executive Director, Western Pacific Fishery Management Council, 1164 Bishop Street, Room 1405, Honolulu, HI 96813; telephone: (808) 523–1368 or (808) 546–8923.


James E. Douglas, Jr., Deputy Assistant Administrator for Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR); Information Collection Under OMB Review

AGENCIES: Department of Defense (DOD), General Services Administration (GSA), and National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) Secretariat has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) a request to review and approve an extension of a currently approved information collection system concerning Cost Accounting Standards.

ADDRESS: Send comments to Mr. Ed Springer, FAR Desk Officer, Room 3235, NAOB, Washington, DC 20503.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Owen Green, Defense Acquisition Regulatory Council (202) 587–7286 or Mr. Frank Van Lierde, Office of Federal Acquisition and Regulatory Policy (202) 523–3781.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

a. Purpose

FAR Part 30 incorporates the Cost Accounting Standards (CAS) and the pertinent rules and regulations of the CAS Board with the administrative policies and procedures presently contained therein. Public Law 97–379 (50 U.S.C. App. 2168) requires certain contractors and subcontractors to comply with Cost Accounting Standards and to disclose in writing and follow consistently those cost accounting practices. In addition, those contractors are required to compute Capital Cost of Money under Cost Accounting Standard 414. This is a new requirement and is a breakout from OMB Control number 9000–0063. The information is used by contracting officers to ensure that the contractor does follow consistently the accounting practices that the contractor discloses and the contractor computes the Capital Cost of Money using the latest indirect rates published by the Secretary of the Treasury.

b. Annual reporting burden

The annual reporting burden is estimated as follows: Respondents, 2,540; responses per respondent, 2.97;
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

Agency Information Collection Activities Under OMB Review; Public Information Collection Requirement Submitted to OMB for Review

SUMMARY: The Department of Defense has submitted to OMB for review the following proposal for the collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). Each entry contains the following information: (1) Type of submission; (2) title of information collection and form number, if applicable; (3) abstract statement of the need for and the uses to be made of the information collected; (4) type of respondent; (5) an estimate of the number of responses; (6) an estimate of the total number of hours needed to provide the information; (7) to whom comments regarding the information collection are to be forwarded; (8) the point of contact from whom a copy of the information proposal may be obtained.

Extension

Key Assets Protection Program (KAPP) Survey, 0704-0133, DIS Form 1632.

Key Assets Protection Program develops and promotes protection of Key Assets within the U.S. and its possessions by providing management of selected critical assets, voluntarily participating in the program, with advice and guidance concerning the application of physical security and emergency preparedness measures designed to reduce the vulnerability to, and increase the protection of, its facilities against sabotage, espionage, and other hostile or destructive acts to include minimization of attack damage. A basic tenet of KAPP is that the responsibility for the protection of property is inherent in ownership. Accordingly, the Department of Defense does not assume primary responsibility for the physical security or privately-owned facilities of federally-owned facilities under the control of any other Federal department of agency, or of facilities owned by any State or political subdivision of any State.

Businesses

Current responses 250. This is a reduction of 1989 responses from 2230.

Current burden hours 850. This is a reduction of 873 hours from 922 hours.

ADRESSES: Comments are to be forwarded to Mr. Edward Springer, Office of Management and Budget, Desk Officer. Room 3255, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503 and Mr. Daniel J. Vitelli, DoD Clearance Officer, WHS/DIOR, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, Virginia 22202-4302, telephone number (202) 746-0933.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A copy of the information collection proposal may be obtained from Mr. Dale L. Hartig, DIS, Chief, Information and Public Affairs, 1900 Half Street, SW., Washington, DC 20534-1700, telephone (202) 475-1062.

Patricia H. Means, OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.


[FR Doc. 87-12504 Filed 6-1-87; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 3810-01-M

Department of the Air Force

USAF Scientific Advisory Board; Meeting


The USAF Scientific Advisory Board Ad Hoc Committee on Airships will meet on June 16th and 17th, 1987, at Scott AFB, Illinois from 8:00 am to 5:00 pm each day. The purpose of the meeting is to review, discuss and evaluate the suitability of airships to perform certain Air Force roles and missions.

This meeting will involve discussions of classified defense matters listed in section 552(b)(6) of Title 5, United States Code, specifically subparagraph (1) thereof, and accordingly will be closed to the public.

For further information, contact the Scientific Advisory Board Secretariat at 202-697-4011.

Patsy J. Conner,  
Air Force Federal Register Liaison Officer.  
[FR Doc. 87-12560 Filed 6-1-87; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 3910-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Availability of Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS), and Public Hearing on the DEIS and Associated Federal Land Withdrawal; Uranium Mill Tailings Remedial Action Project (UMTRA Project) at Rifle, CO

SUMMARY: The Department of Energy (DOE) has published a DEIS, DOE/ES-0132-D, Remedial Actions at the Former Union Carbide Corporation Uranium Mill Sites, Rifle, Garfield County, Colorado, for a proposed DOE action to perform remedial actions on residual radioactive materials at the inactive uranium mills and associated vicinity properties at Rifle, Colorado. This DEIS is also intended to aid the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) in amending its management framework plans and final resource management plan as well as assist in complying with the land withdrawal application as appropriate.

The DEIS has been distributed to Federal, State and local agencies and organizations and to individuals known to be interested in the Rifle remedial action project. The DEIS was filed with the Environmental Protection Agency during the week of May 18.

The impacts associated with near-term remedial actions at the vicinity properties have been addressed in a separate environmental report entitled "Programmatic Environmental Report for Remedial Actions at UMTRA Project Vicinity Properties" (UMTRA-DOE/AL-150327.0000) which was prepared by the DOE in 1985.

DATES: Written comments on the DEIS should be received by the DOE by July 13, 1987, in order to ensure consideration in preparation of final environmental impact statement (FEIS). The public hearing is scheduled on July 1, 1987, in City Hall, 202 Railroad Avenue, Rifle, Colorado at 2:00 pm and resuming at 7:00 pm. Requests to speak and preferred times should be received by the DOE by June 26, 1987.

Written comments on the withdrawal of Federal land for this project should be received by the DOI by June 26, 1987.
received by the BLM by August 31, 1987, in order to be considered in the determination of whether or not the land will be withdrawn and reserved as requested by the DOE. Comments should reference Case File Number Colorado-44536.

ADDITIONS: Written comments on the DEIS and requests to speak at the public hearings should be addressed to: Mr. James Anderson, Project Manager, Uranium Mill Tailings Remedial Action Project Office, U.S. Department of Energy, 5301 Central Avenue, NE., Suite 1720, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87108.

Written comments on the withdrawal of Federal land for this project should be addressed to: Mr. Neil Mork, State Director, Colorado State Office, Bureau of Land Management, 2850 Youngfield Street, Lakewood, Colorado 80215, or Mr. Bruce Conrad, District Manager, Grand Junction District, Bureau of Land Management, 764 Horizon Drive, Grand Junction, Colorado 81501.


5. Mr. Bruce Conrad, District Manager, Grand Junction District, Bureau of Land Management, 764 Horizon Drive, Grand Junction, Colorado 81501. Phone (303) 243-6552.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Previous Notice of Intent

The DEO published a Notice of Intent to the public on January 21, 1983, a Notice of Intent to prepare an environmental impact statement (EIS) for the remedial actions at the Rifle inactive uranium mill sites (48 FR 2819).

The BLM published a notice of the proposed land withdrawal regarding the lands associated with the Rifle UMTRA Project sites in the Federal Register on October 22, 1983 (51 FR 37490).

II. Background for the Proposed Project

In 1978, the U.S. Congress passed the Uranium Mill Tailings Radiation Control Act, Pub. L. 95-604. In this Act, the U.S. Congress found that uranium mill tailings may pose a potential health hazard. It authorized the DOE to carry out remedial actions at each site in cooperation with other Federal agencies and with the state or Indian tribe affected by the action. It gave to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) responsibility for consulting with the DOE over a range of subjects concerning the conduct of remedial action, for concurring with the selected remedial action and with any cooperative agreement with a state or Indian tribe, and for licensing the long-term surveillance and maintenance of each tailings disposal site after the remedial action is completed. In addition, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) was given the responsibility to set standards to protect public health, safety, and the environment at the tailings disposal sites. The BLM is a cooperating agency for the preparation of this EIS and is responsible for determining whether the Federal land will be withdrawn and reserved as requested by the DOE.

In accordance with Pub. L. 95-604, the DOE designated 24 sites for remedial actions. Two of these sites are the former Union Carbide Corporation Old and New Rifle processing sites adjacent to Rifle, Colorado. From 1924 to 1973, the mills processed uranium ore for sale to the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission and private sources. The tailings remaining from these operations and that have adjacent contaminated areas cover approximately 230 acres. There are an estimated 102 vicinity properties (residences, businesses, and open lands) contaminated with tailings from the sites that may also require remedial actions.

The State of Colorado investigated several locations where the tailings might safely be disposed and recommended two for further analysis. Of these locations, the Lucas Mesa site was selected for further analysis because of its apparent environmental and geotechnical superiority. The DOE conducted another site selection process to identify one or more sites that would be both suitable for tailings disposal and closer to the Rifle processing sites. Three potential sites were identified and evaluated, and the Estes Gulch site was determined to be the optimum disposal site.

III. Scope of the DEIS

The DEIS evaluates no-action (alternative 1) as well as three alternatives for minimizing the potential public health hazards associated with the Rifle sites: stabilization of the contaminated materials at the New Rifle site and decontamination of the old Rifle site (alternative 2); relocation using truck transport and stabilization of the materials at the Estes Gulch site approximately six miles north of Rifle and decontamination of the Rifle sites (alternative 3); and relocation using truck transport and stabilization of the materials at the Lucas Mesa site approximately 35 miles southwest of Rifle and decontamination of the Rifle sites (alternative 4). Each of the alternatives, except no action, includes remedial actions at an estimated 102 vicinity properties.

An assessment of the impacts of these alternatives was made in terms of effects on radiation levels, air quality, soils, mineral resources, surface- and ground-water resources, ecosystems, land use, sound levels, cultural resources, population and employment, economic structures, and transportation networks.

Remedial action would include the removal of contaminated soils and vegetation from the floodplain and wetlands area along the Colorado River. In accordance with DOE regulations for compliance with floodplain and wetlands environmental review requirements (10 CFR Part 1022), the DOE has prepared a floodplain and wetlands assessment (Appendix F of the DEIS). Maps and further information are available from the Project Manager at the address shown below.

Remedial action would also require the withdrawal of Federal land that is presently administered by the BLM. This land would be permanently withdrawn for exclusive use for the construction and disposal of residual radioactive wastes from the Rifle UMTRA Project sites. The BLM is accepting comments on withdrawal of this land pursuant to the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976.

IV. Comment Procedures

A. Availability of DEIS

Copies of the DEIS may be obtained from the Project, Manager, Uranium Mill Tailings Remedial Action Project Office, U.S. Department of Energy, 5301 Central Avenue, NE., Suite 1720, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87108. Phone (505) 844-3941.
Copies of the DEIS are available for public inspection at the following locations:

- UNC Technical Services, Inc., 2597 B 3/4 Road, Grand Junction, CO 81503
- Energy/Environment Center, Denver Public Library, 1357 Broadway, Denver, CO 80210
- Mesa County Library, 5301 Grand Avenue, Grand Junction, CO 81502
- National Wildlife Federation Library, Fleming Law Building, Boulder, CO 80309
- Environmental Center, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO 80309
- Learning Resource Center, Mesa College, Box 2847, Grand Junction, CO
- Library, Chicago Operations Office, U.S. Department of Energy, 9800 South Casa Avenue, Argonne, IL 60439
- Rifle Branch Library, 357 East Avenue, Rifle, Colorado, on July 9, 1987, at 2:00 pm and 7:00 pm to provide an opportunity for oral presentations by interested persons. Written and oral comments will be given equal consideration.

A DOE official will designate a presiding officer to chair the hearing. Anyone who desires to speak at the hearing should notify the Project Manager at the Albuquerque, New Mexico, address listed above by June 28, 1987, so that time can be scheduled.

Time for each participant may be limited depending on the number of responses.

2. Conduct of Hearing

The DOE will arrange the schedule of presentations to be heard and will establish basic rules and procedures for conducting the hearing. The length of each presentation may be limited depending on the number of persons desiring to speak.

Questions may be asked only by those conducting the hearing and there will be no cross-examination of persons presenting statements.

Any further procedural rules needed for the proper conduct of the hearing will be announced by the presiding officer at the start of the hearing.

A transcript of the hearing will be made and the entire record of the hearing including the transcript will be retained by the DOE and made available for inspection at the same locations as listed above for review of the DEIS. Any person may purchase a copy of the transcript from the reporter.

For the proper conduct of the hearing and there will be made available for inspection at the same locations as listed above for review of the DEIS. Any person may purchase a copy of the transcript from the reporter.


William R. Voight, Jr.,
Director, Office of Remedial Action and Waste Technology, Office of Nuclear Energy.

Extension of Public Comment Period on the Environmental Impact Statement; Safety Enhancement Program; N Reactor, Hanford Site Near Richland, WA

AGENCY: Department of Energy (DOE).

ACTION: Announcement of extension of public comment period.

SUMMARY: The DOE announces its intent to extend the public scoping comment period on the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) on the proposed Safety Enhancement Program (SEP) [Ref.: DOE/RL 87-05, N Reactor Safety Enhancement Program Summary Description, April 1987] for the N Reactor at the Hanford Site near Richland, Washington. The DOE previously announced public scoping comment period would end on May 27, 1987 (52 FR 19432, May 5, 1987).

The purpose of this notice is to amend the previous announcement referenced above, and the Notice of Intent (NOI) to prepare an EIS (52 FR 12453), by announcing that the public scoping comment period is extended to June 12, 1987.

All Federal, State and local agencies, interested organizations and individuals desiring to submit written comments or suggestions for consideration in the preparation of this EIS are invited to do so. Upon completion of the Draft EIS (DEIS), its availability will be announced in the Federal Register, at which time comments from the public will again be solicited. Comments received during the DEIS public review period will be used in preparing the Final EIS.

DATE: Written comments or suggestions to assist DOE in identifying significant environmental issues and the appropriate scope of the EIS are requested by June 12, 1987. Written comments received after that date will be considered to the degree practicable.

ADDRESS: Written comments or suggestions should be submitted to: Mr. Tom Bauman, Office of Communications, U.S. Department of Energy, P.O. Box 550, Richland, Washington 99352 (509) 376-2516.

Those not desiring to submit comments or suggestions at this time but who would like to receive a copy of the Draft EIS for review and comment when
it is issued should notify Mr. Tom Bauman at the address listed above. When the Draft EIS is complete, its availability will be announced in the Federal Register and in local news media, and comments will again be solicited.

Related NEPA documentation.

Documents, pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), have been or are being prepared for other activities at Hanford that are related to but not within the scope of the proposed SEP. These EIS’s are:


Other documentation. Copies of the Safety Enhancement Program (Ref.: DOE/RL-87-05, N Reactor Safety Enhancement Program Summary Description, April 1987) and other DOE documents references in this notice that are planned to be used in preparing this EIS and other related background information are available for inspection at the following locations:

5. Multnomah County Library, 801 S.W. 10th Avenue, Portland, OR 97205, (503) 233-7201.
8. Seattle Public Library, 1000 4th Avenue, Seattle, WA 98104, (206) 625-2665.
9. Lewis and Clark College Library, 8th Avenue and 6th Street, Lewiston, Idaho 83501, (208) 799-2211.

Signed in Washington, DC, this 28th day of May, 1987, for the United States Department of Energy.

Mary L. Walker,
Assistant Secretary for Environment, Safety and Health.

[FR Doc. 87-12627 Filed 6-1-87; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

Office of General Counsel

Intent To Grant Partially Exclusive Patent License; Dr. Thomas G. McRae

Notice is hereby given of an intent to grant the Dr. Thomas G. McRae, of Livermore, California, a partially exclusive license to practice in the United States the invention described in U.S. Patent No. 4,555,627, entitled "Backscatter Absorption Gas Imaging System." The patent is owned by the United States of America, as represented by the Department of Energy (DOE).

The proposed license will be partially exclusive, i.e., limited to the field of use of applications other than surveillance of marine vehicles, will be subject to a license and other rights retained by the U.S. Government, and will be subject to a negotiated royalty provision. DOE intends to grant the license, upon a final determination in accordance with 35 U.S.C. 209(c), unless within 60 days of this notice the Assistant General Counsel for Patents, Department of Energy, Washington, DC 20585, receives in writing any of the following together with supporting documents:

(i) A statement from any person setting forth reasons why it would not be in the best interests of the United States to grant the proposed license; or
(ii) An application for a nonexclusive license to the invention in the United States, in which applicant states that he has already brought the invention to practical application or is likely to bring the invention to practical application expeditiously in the field of use of applications other than surveillance of marine vehicles.

The Department will review all written responses to this notice, and will grant the license if, after expiration of the 60-day notice period, and after consideration of written responses to this notice, a determination is made, in accordance with 35 U.S.C. 209(c), that the license grant is in the public interest.


J. Michael Farrell,
General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 87-12463 Filed 6-1-87; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

International Energy Agency Industry Working Party et al.; Meetings

In accordance with section 252(c)(1)(A)(i) of the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (42 U.S.C. 6227(c)(1)(A)(i)), the following meeting notices are provided:

I. A meeting of the Industry Working Party (IWP) of the International Energy Agency (IEA) will be held on June 9, 1987, at the offices of the IEA, 2 rue Andre Pascal, Paris, France, beginning at 9:30 a.m. The agenda for the meeting is as follows:

1. Evaluation of Crude Oil Register.

II. A meeting of the Industry Advisory Board (IAB) to the IEA will be held on June 9, 1987, at the offices of the IEA at the aforesaid location, beginning at 2:30 p.m. The agenda for the meeting is as follows:

1. Opening remarks.
2. Approval of Record Note of IAB Meeting of April 7, 1987.
3. Correspondence and Communications with IEA and Reporting Companies.
6. Emergency Stocks:
   a. Quality of Oil Stocks.
7. Consultations on Coordinated Emergency Response Measures:
8. 1988 Program of Work.
9. Other Topics:
   b. Base Period Final Consumption (BPFC) (7Q86–1Q87).
10. IAB Organization, Leadership and Succession.
11. Date of Next Meeting and Future Business.

III. A meeting of the IAB will be held on June 10, 1987, at the offices of the IEA at the aforesaid address beginning at 9:30 a.m. This meeting is being held in order to permit attendance by representatives of U.S. company members of the IAB at a meeting of the IEA's Standing Group on Emergency Questions (SEQ) which is scheduled to
be held at the aforesaid location on that date. The agenda for the meeting is under the control of the SEQ. It is expected that the following draft agency will be followed:

1. Adoption of the Agenda.
2. Summary Record of the 56th Meeting.
3. Governing Board Meeting at Ministerial Level—Implications for Future Work of the SEQ.
4. IEA Test Issues:
   b. Test of Coordinated Emergency Response Measures.
5. Emergency Stocks:
   a. Quality of Stocks.
6. Other Emergency Preparedness Issues:
   e. Workshop on Practical Aspects of Oil Consumption Reduction Measures—Results, Conclusions, and Recommendations.
7. 1988 Program of Work.
8. Other Topics:
   b. BPPC (2Q86-1Q87).
9. Any Other Business.
10. Date of Next Meeting. As provided in section 252(c)(1)(A)(ii) of the Energy Policy and Conservation Act, the IWP and IAB meetings are open to representatives of members of the IWP and IAB, their counsel, representatives of the Departments of Energy, Justice, State, the Federal Trade Commission, and the General Accounting Office, representatives of committees of Congress, representatives of the IEA, representatives of the Commission of the European Communities, and invitees of the IWP, IAB or the IEA. The SEQ meeting is open only to the aforesaid persons, representatives of members of the SEQ, and invitees of the SEQ.

J. Michael Farrell, General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 87-12462 Filed 6-1-87; 8:45 am]
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Federal Communications Commission.
William J. Tricarico, Secretary.
[FR Doc. 87-12236 Filed 8-1-87; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6712-01-M

Interpretation of Rules Concerning
Form 740; Filing Requirements for
Certain Very Low Power Radio
Frequency Devices

In the past few years, the Commission has observed a substantial increase in the quantity of imported restricted radiation devices. Of particular interest is a group of devices identified as musical greeting cards, quartz watches and clocks, modules of quartz watches and clocks, and battery powered handheld calculators and electronic games not requiring connection to AC power. These devices are powered from very low level power sources and pose no risk of causing harmful interference. However, like other radio frequency (RF) devices, they have been required to submit an FCC Form 740 to permit their entry into the United States.

Part 2, Subpart K, of the Commission's Rules, describes the conditions under which RF devices, capable of causing harmful interference to radio communications may be imported into the U.S.A. In fact the above-described devices are virtually incapable of causing harmful interference. Accordingly, the Chief Engineer and the Chief of the Field Operations Bureau have determined that the requirements of Subpart K do not apply to these devices and, as of the date of the Public Notice, the filing of an FCC Form 740 will no longer be required for their importation.

For additional information contact Paul Harris at (202) 632-6345.
Federal Communications Commission.
William J. Tricarico, Secretary.
[FR Doc. 87-12336 Filed 6-1-87; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6712-01-M

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION
Agreement(s) Filed
The Federal Maritime Commission hereby gives notice of the filing of the following agreement(s) pursuant to section 5 of the Shipping Act of 1984. Interested parties may inspect and obtain a copy of each agreement at the Washington, DC Office of the Federal Maritime Commission, 1100 L Street, NW., Room 10325. Interested parties may comment on each agreement to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, DC 20573, within 10 days after the date of the Federal Register in which this notice appears. The requirements for comments are found in § 572.603 of Title 46 of the Code of Federal Regulations. Interested persons should consult this section before communicating with the Commission regarding a pending agreement.

Agreement No.: 202-009996-019.
Title: Inter-American Freight Conference Puerto Rico and U.S. Virgin Islands Area.
Parties:
A. Bottacchi S.A. De Navegacion
C.F.I.e.L.
A/S Ivarans Rederi
Companhia Maritima Nacional
Companhia De Navegacao Lloyd
Brasileiro
Empresa Lineas Maritimas Argentinas
Sociedad Anonima (Elma S/A)
Fraul Amazonica S.A.
Paxicon, Inc.
Suriname Line
Transportacion Maritima Mexicana,
S.A.

Synopsis: The proposed amendment would provide for independent action on freight forwarder compensation payable to licensed customs brokers in connection with export shipments.

Agreement No.: 202-010122-016.
Title: Inter-American Freight Conference Area River Plate/Puerto Rico and U.S. Virgin Islands/River Plate.
Parties:
A. Bottacchi S.A. De Navegacion
C.F.I.e.L.
A/S Ivarans Rederi
Companhia Maritima Nacional
Companhia De Navegacao Lloyd
Brasileiro
Empresa Lineas Maritimas Argentinas
Sociedad Anonima (Elma S/A)
Transportacion Maritima Mexicana,
S.A.

Synopsis: The proposed amendment would provide for independent action on freight forwarder compensation payable to licensed customs brokers in connection with export shipments.

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND
HUMAN SERVICES
Centers for Disease Control
Fluoridation Strategies; Meeting
Action: Notice of meeting—
Fluoridation Strategies Meeting. Federal, State, and local public health officials as well as representatives from the private sector who are involved in community water fluoridation will participate.

Time and Date: The program is scheduled for 8:00 a.m.–4:30 p.m., June 24–25, 1987, and 8:30 a.m.–11:00 a.m. on June 26, 1987.
Food and Drug Administration
Eight in One Pet Products, Inc.; Withdrawal of Approval of NADA

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is withdrawing approval of a new animal drug application (NADA) held by Eight In One Pet Products, Inc. The NADA provides for use of nitrodan as a canine wormer. The firm requested the withdrawal of approval.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 12, 1987.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Patricia E. Hasemann, Center for Veterinary Medicine (HFV-216), Food and Drug Administration, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857, 301-443-4033.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Eight In One Pet Products, Inc., 100 Emjay Blvd., Brentwood, NY 11717, is sponsor of NADA 39-769, which provides for oral food supplement containing 3 percent nitrodan as a canine use of Everfree Nidanthel (a dog food supplement containing 3 percent nitrodan). The NADA was originally approved November 28, 1970. In the Federal Register of November 2, 1976 (41 FR 48100), the sponsor was changed from Cooper Laboratories, Inc., to Eight In One Pet Products, Inc.

By letter dated November 17, 1986, the sponsor requested the withdrawal of approval because the product was not being manufactured or marketed, and the firm had not intent to market the product.

Therefore, under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 512(e), 82 Stat. 345-347 (21 U.S.C. 360(e)) and under authority delegated to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs (21 CFR 5.10) and redelegated to the Center for Veterinary Medicine (21 CFR 5.84), and in accordance with § 514.115 Withdrawal of approval of applications (21 CFR 514.115), notice is given that approval of NADA 39-769 and all supplements thereto is hereby withdrawn, effective June 12, 1987.

In a recent document published elsewhere in this issue of the Federal Register, FDA is removing 21 CFR 520.1540, which reflects this approval and is amending 21 CFR 510.600 by removing the firm from the list of sponsors of approved NADA’s.


Gerald B. Guest,
Director, Center for Veterinary Medicine.

Health Care Financing Administration
Statement of Organization, Functions, and Delegations of Authority

Part F of the Statement of Organization, Functions, and Delegations of Authority for the Department of Health and Human Services, Health Care Financing Administration (HCFA, Federal Register, Vol. 46, No. 223, pg. 56913, dated Thursday, November 19, 1981; Federal Register, Vol. 48, No. 198, pg. 46434, dated Wednesday, October 12, 1983; and Federal Register, Vol. 51, No. 122, pg. 23156, dated Wednesday, June 25, 1986) is amended to reflect a reorganization for the Office of the Associate Administrator for External Affairs (AAEA). AAEA is reorganized to streamline the substructure to two offices instead of four. Three current offices within AAEA, and Office of Beneficiary Services, the Office of Professional and Scientific Affairs, and the Office of Intergovernmental Affairs become divisions in the new Office of Public Liaison (OPL). OPL consolidates all public liaison activities in a single organization. The Office of Public Affairs (OPA), AAEA, is virtually unchanged.

The specific amendments to Part F. are described below:

Section FG.20., Office of the Associate Administrator for External Affairs (FG) (Organization) and Section FG.20., Office of the Associate Administrator for External Affairs (FG) (Organization) are deleted in their entirety and are replaced by the new Sections FG.10. and FG.20. as follows:

A. The Office of Public Affairs (FGC). B. The Office of Public Liaison (FGP). Section FG.20., Office of the Associate Administrator for Communication (FG) (Functions). The Associate Administrator for Communications is responsible for the effective direction and implementation of HCFA policies, rules, and procedures in the areas of: liaison with external medical, dental, and allied health practitioners, institutional providers of health services, and academic institutions responsible for the education of health care professionals; advising the Administrator, HCFA, and HCFA components concerning the services, requirements, and initiatives; coordinating the public affairs activities of HCFA; and providing direction to HCFA in the area of intergovernmental affairs, including advising the Administrator on all policy and program matters which affect other HCFA units and levels of government.

A. Office of Public Affairs (FGC)

Plans, directs, and coordinates the public affairs activities of HCFA including media relations, the production of radio, television, and film products, and the preparation of general purposes publications. Provides advice and counsel, from a public affairs perspective, to the Associate Administrator for Communications and all HCFA components. Reviews and
clears all print, audio-visual, and exhibit plans and material intended for external dissemination and serves as clearance liaison with the Office of the Secretary, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Public Affairs, Administers the Freedom of Information Act responsibilities for HCFA.

1. Public Appearance Staff (FGC-1)

Responsible for the efficient handling of speaking requests received by top HCFA management. Logs requests, recommends acceptance or denial of invitations and coordinates correspondence for all invitations. Arranges the scheduling of speaking appearances, compiles briefing information, ensures that talking points or speeches are prepared as necessary, and conducts follow-up activities such as arranging for transcripts, courtesy correspondence, reprint permissions, photographs, and biographies. Recommends speaking opportunities and forums consistent with Agency goals and objectives and overall public affairs plans. Advises the Division of Media Relations on potential news opportunities stemming from public appearances and speaking engagements.

2. Division of Media Relations (FGC2)

Maintains relations for HCFA with the nation's news media including nationwide press, radio, television, wire services, and individual reporters, writers, editors, and individual publications and broadcasters. Provides writing and editing services necessary in conducting the public affairs activities of the Agency. Develops and carries out a general plan for providing information to the public through the news media and develops and carries out plans for promoting and disseminating information on specific HCFA-related topics, issues, and activities. Responds to inquiries from the news media through correspondence, telephone, and direct interviews and arranges for interviews and similar response for the media with senior Agency staff. Prepares drafts and obtains clearances for press releases and statements for the news media. Drafts publications, fact sheets, reports, leaflets, pamphlets, white papers, scripts, articles, and other background materials for distribution to the general public on HCFA programs and related topics. Prepares and edits articles for submission to external periodicals and publications on HCFA programs and prepares material as needed for internal employee communications such as the HCFA Newsletter.

3. Division of Public Information (FGC3)

Produces publications, graphics, audiovisual materials, photography, and exhibits regarding HCFA programs intended for use with the general public through public affairs activities. Provides design and graphics support to the Office of Public Affairs (OPA) and to HCFA components requiring publications and other materials for external audiences. Obtains Department of Health and Human Services' (HHS) clearances for HCFA publications intended for public distribution. Ensures that expenditures for public affairs materials are in accord with HHS ceilings and guidelines. Working through an Agency publications advisory group, prepares an annual publications agenda for HCFA. Develops OPA's procurement schedule for editorial services, graphics, printing, audiovisual production, and distribution for public affairs materials supporting HCFA programs. Writes and edits beneficiary material such as Your Medicare Handbook. Responds to routine inquiries for HCFA publications and routine information about HCFA programs. Provides technical expertise to HCFA components regarding the development of publications, audiovisual materials, graphics services, and exhibits. Arranges for the use of HCFA exhibits at meetings and conventions of major organizations. Manages the second surgical opinion program.

4. Freedom of Information Division (FGC4)

Conducts activities necessary to the receipt, management, response, and reporting requirements of the Department under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) regarding all correspondence received by HCFA. Maintains an orderly log of all FOIA requests received by the Agency, refers requests to the proper components within headquarters, the regions, or among carriers and intermediaries for the collection of the documents requested, prepares replies to requesters including denials of information as permitted under FOIA, and drafts briefing materials and responses in connection with appeals of denial decisions. Consults with the Office of the General Counsel and the Department of Health and Human Services' Freedom of Information Officer regarding denials, releases, and appeals. Provides guidance for FOIA coordinators in HCFA central and regional office components and maintains up-to-date knowledge of Federal Court decisions interpreting FOIA. Prepares guidelines and Medicare and Medicaid manual changes regarding FOIA program, keeps track of any charges levied for FOIA research activities, and assures prompt payment.

B. Office of Public Liaison (FGF)

Responsible for the effective direction and implementation of HCFA policies, rules, and procedures in the areas of liaison with external medical, dental, and allied health practitioners, institutional providers of health services, and business and academic institutions responsible for the education of health care professionals. Advises the Associate Administrator for Communications (AAC) and HCFA components concerning the services, requirements, and initiatives relating to HCFA beneficiaries and recipients. Provides direction for HCFA in the area of intergovernmental affairs including advising AAC on all policy and program matters which affect other HCFA units and levels of government and advising AAC and HCFA components on the implications of initiatives in the provider, business, and insurance communities and on the impact of programmatic changes on business.

1. Division of Professional and Business Affairs (FGFI)

Maintains liaison with external medical, dental, and allied health practitioners, institutional providers of health services, representatives of the business and insurance community, and academic institutions responsible for the education of health care professionals. Provides professional knowledge and makes recommendations to the Director, Office of Public Liaison (OPL) and manages the development of policies, regulations, procedures, and legislative proposals which affect the health care field. Serves as the focal point in HCFA for external health care groups to gain an understanding of HCFA objectives. Evaluates and transmits suggestions and criticisms from the health care field to the Director, OPL. Promotes an exchange of viewpoints between the health care field and HCFA components.

2. Division of Beneficiary Services (FGF2)

Provides advisory services to the Director, Office of Public Liaison and HCFA components concerning the services for, need of, and initiatives relating to HCFA beneficiaries and recipients. Promotes an awareness of the concerns of the elderly and needy among the HCFA components responsible for developing program policies, regulations, and legislative proposals. Analyzes the impact of
proposed HCFA policies, regulations, and instructions on beneficiaries. Maintains close working relationships with HCFA central and regional components, the Social Security Administration District Offices, other Federal agencies, State agencies, and beneficiary consumer groups to identify and assess the need for information, benefits and services, the impact of proposed HCFA actions, and the effects that operating systems and programs have on health care recipients. Presents the overall HCFA mission and promotes its acceptance by beneficiaries and representatives of their constituent organizations. Participates with other HCFA components in the development and implementation of program objectives and strategies pertaining to beneficiary services. Through direct contact with the elderly and needs, and/or their representative groups, determines their health care requirements and provides this information to HCFA components. Responds to beneficiary and recipient referrals concerning unique or health-related problems.

e. Division of Intergovernmental Affairs (FGF3)

Provides leadership for HCFA in the area of intergovernmental affairs. Advises the Director, Office of Public Liaison on all policy and program matters which affect other units and levels of government. In coordination with the Deputy Under Secretary for Intergovernmental Affairs, the Principal Regional Officials, and other HCFA offices, meets with key State and local officials in order to strengthen HCFA’s relationships with other governmental jurisdictions and to resolve sensitive intergovernmental problems and issues.

Reviews and consults with State and local officials regarding proposed HCFA policy and operational issuances. Assesses the impact on State and localities of HCFA actions involving penalties, disallowances, compliance actions, or new performance standards. Assists States and localities in requesting and obtaining technical materials, assistance, and support from appropriate HCFA components. Upon State requests, arranges for the exchange of HCFA staff with State and local agencies. Develops and provides briefings on intergovernmental affairs issues for HCFA staff. Briefs State and local agencies on HCFA’s mission, organization, and functions.


Don M. Newman,
Acting Secretary, Department of Health and Human Services.

BILLING CODE 4120-03-M

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES
HEALTH CARE FINANCING ADMINISTRATION
ASSOCIATE ADMINISTRATION FOR COMMUNICATIONS

ASSOCIATE ADMINISTRATOR FOR COMMUNICATIONS (FD1)

OFFICE OF PUBLIC AFFAIRS (FD0)

PUBLIC APPEARANCES STAFF (FD0-1)

OFFICE OF PUBLIC LIAISON (FD0-2)

DIVISION OF MEDIA RELATIONS (FD0-2)

DIVISION OF PUBLIC INFORMATION (FD0-3)

DIVISION OF PROFESSIONAL AND BUSINESS AFFAIRS (FD0-4)

DIVISION OF BENEFICIARY SERVICES (FD0-5)

DIVISION OF INTERGOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS (FD0-6)

[FR Doc. 87-12468 Filed 6-1-87; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4120-03-MC
SUMMARY: The National Center on Child Abuse and Neglect (NCCAN) conducts activities designed to assist and enhance national, State and community efforts to prevent, identify and treat child abuse and neglect. These activities include: Conducting research and demonstrations; supporting service improvement projects; gathering, analyzing and disseminating information through a national clearinghouse; providing grants to eligible States for strengthening and improving child protective service programs; and coordinating Federal activities related to child abuse and neglect through an Advisory Board on Child Abuse and Neglect.

In Fiscal Year 1988, NCCAN intends to continue the Information Clearinghouse on Child Abuse and Neglect, the Baby Doe Clearinghouse and to support a system for aggregating data from official reports of child abuse and neglect collected by the States. There are two types of research priorities proposed in this announcement. These present an expanded approach to previous research efforts supported by the National Center. The first type is to establish priorities for specific research projects, including support for one longitudinal study, funding of four specific research areas, and financial assistance for field initiated research. The second type proposes four national symposia to address critical topical issues of major concern to academicians, practitioners and policy makers.

As required by Pub. L. 95-266 of April 24, 1978, and as has been done since that time, OHDS solicits specific comments and suggestions concerning the subject areas proposed. Suggestions also may also be made on research topics not covered in this announcement, but which are timely and relate to specific needs in the field of child abuse and neglect. Suggestions for Fiscal Year 1988 research priorities are intended to build on the current base of knowledge in child abuse and neglect and its prevention, identification and treatment. These studies are to benefit the field and lead to improved services for children and their families.

OHDS has funded numerous projects on a wide range of subjects as a result of these public comments. The actual solicitation of applications will be published at a later date. No proposals, concept papers or other forms of application should be submitted at this time. Any such submission will be discarded. Applications for funding will be accepted only in response to the OHDS Coordinated Discretionary Funds Program Announcement to be published in the Federal Register.

No acknowledgments will be made of the comments in response to this notice, but all comments will be considered in preparing the priorities for child abuse and neglect research activities to be included in the Fiscal Year 1988 OHDS Coordinated Discretionary Funds Program Announcement. A copy of this OHDS program announcement will be sent to all persons who comment on this Notice. OHDS anticipates that the program announcement for the Coordinated Discretionary Funds Program will be published in late summer of 1987.

Proposed Child Abuse and Neglect Research Program for Fiscal Year 1988

1. Research Priorities for Soliciting Discrete Projects

a. Longitudinal Study

The National Center proposes to support a developmental grant to design a longitudinal life-course study of families at risk of or involved with child maltreatment. It is anticipated that the study will help contribute to our knowledge of the etiological, casual and ecological understandings of child maltreatment. By focusing on child and family development and examining systems theories, the study will derive new insights into intervention, prevention, amelioration or treatment as experienced by the study sample. The development grant will be used to prepare a scientifically valid, comprehensive and feasible longitudinal study design including the following: A critical review of the pertinent literature; identification of specific study issues; design of a sampling framework, including sample attrition and retention; identification of instrumentation available or to be developed; and outline of a problem identification and resolution methodology.

The Center may involve other Federal agencies and private foundations in the support of this effort.
b. Child Fatalities

One study has estimated that, in 1986, at least 1,200 children died as the result of abuse and neglect. Another study suggests the number may be closer to 5,000. Half the children died of battering, single violent incidents or the cumulative result of repeated episodes. The other half died because of neglect.

Some studies indicate that it is difficult or impossible to predict a child abuse or neglect fatality. Consequently, in order to make advances in the prevention of child fatalities, it is necessary to examine the issue of prediction more closely.

Research is needed to determine if there are clear causes (e.g., personality attributes of the parent, specific child traits or conditions, precise environmental factors) of each of the following:

- Approximately fifty percent of child fatalities result from child neglect, and
- Approximately fifty percent of child fatalities result from one incident of child abuse, or the cumulative impact of repeated incidents of child abuse.

Additionally, if clear cause is indicated for any of the above groups of fatalities, such indicators will assist protective services decisionmaking in the field.

c. Impact of Investigations

The number of reports of suspected child abuse and neglect received by Child Protective Services agencies has escalated at a rate often beyond the agencies' capacity to respond fully to each report. In an effort to more effectively channel available resources, many States have implemented risk assessment systems to identify and investigate the most serious cases. These systems are utilized by intake workers to determine probable cause for further investigation of the report.

As a result of this process, increasing numbers of families are being "screened out". As a corollary to current study efforts on various risk assessment systems, we need to examine if these families come into the protective services system at a later date and with more serious implications. We need also to examine what an early intervention or warning stage could have been effective in reducing the potential for subsequent family dysfunction.

Research is needed to answer some critical questions:

- What services, if any, are provided to these families?
- What is the impact on families screened out at intake?
- What is the return rate of these families?
- What is the degree of family dysfunction?
- What is the nature of the abuse and/or neglect at the later date?
- Do any of the above vary by the level of training of the intake worker?

d. Relationship Between Child Abuse and Teen Pregnancy

In past years, the provision of parent support and educational services to teenage parents has been a primary focus on child maltreatment prevention efforts. The rationale for this focus has been the assumption that parents in this age group represent a high risk population as potential child abusers. While anecdotal evidence from numerous demonstration programs seems to bear out this assumption, contradictory findings have emerged from other research studies.

Further research is needed in this area for two purposes. First, to test the validity of the assumption that child maltreatment among teenage mothers is more prevalent than maltreatment among parents in other age groups; secondly, to explore the unique causal factors for maltreatment that may exist among these parents, including those that identify high risk sub-populations. Such factors may include situational stresses, level of emotional and psychological maturity, economic dependency, parental victimization as a child, and lack of parenting skills.

e. Child Victim/Witness in Criminal Proceedings

Children victimized by the crime of abuse, particularly child sexual abuse, are traumatized by the experience, suffering both physically and emotionally from their abuse. Further trauma results from their encounters with the administrative and justice systems which should be primary agents for protecting the child and delivering needed treatment services and care. Child victims undergo numerous pretrial interviews and questioning, in lengthy sessions, by a variety of officials in the child protective service system and the justice system. These young victims are expected to recall repeatedly a myriad of facts and later serve as competent witnesses in an imposing courtroom setting.

To alleviate this recognized trauma, an increasing number of States have developed alternatives to the traditional series of interviews and interrogations prior to the court hearing. One promising alternative has been the video taping of a child's account of the offense(s) committed against him/her. This alternative has been used to reduce the number of lengthy interview sessions which need to be conducted with the child victim/witness.

With increasing acceptance of and use by a number of jurisdictions, an examination of the following research questions needs to be pursued:

- How does the video taping of interviews meet the needs of all the difference agencies responsible for the investigation and prosecution of the abuse and the diagnosis and treatment for the child victim and family?
- In order for the video taped interview to be established as valid and useful, what factors need to be considered?
- In addition to using the video taped interview for obtaining information that could lead to the discovery of relevant admissable evidence, what other uses can be made of the interview?
- What are the necessary educational, training, and experiential requirements for the interviewer of the child? For which professional discipline, e.g., social work, law enforcement?
- What trauma, if any, is associated with the video taped interview, and how can this be minimized?

f. Field Initiated Research

OHDS is interested in supporting research initiated by researchers in the child abuse and neglect field, to carry out the legislative responsibilities established for the National Center on Child Abuse and Neglect as follows:

• Conduct research on the causes, prevention, identification, and treatment of child abuse and neglect, and on appropriate and effective investigative, administrative and judicial procedures in case of child abuse.

Basic research in the behavioral and social sciences which contributes to theory development is not within the purview of this announcement.

OHDS is soliciting specific comments on the appropriateness of field initiated research as a category, and/or what specific substantive guidance, if any, should be included in the final announcement.

2. Research Symposia

In addition to soliciting applications for the above described studies, during Fiscal Year 1988 the National Center
will convene four research symposia with knowledgeable groups of selected experts on subject areas of critical concern to the field. The criteria for selecting the topics are those issues on which some research and demonstration efforts have occurred by for which there is no clear direction for further development.

The purpose of these symposia is to review what is known and needs implementation, and what is unknown and needs examination. The symposium may also provide advice on multi-year strategies to implement the needed research. This will be accomplished by bringing together small groups of selected experts who will assess the major issues, identify trends and problems in the field, and prepare substantive symposia reports of publishable quality.

The four proposed subject areas are:

a. Child Neglect
b. Child Sexual Abuse
c. Intervention Approaches for Child Maltreatment
d. Child Abuse and Neglect: Systems Issues at the Community Level

a. Child Neglect

A monograph based on a Child Neglect Symposium held at the 1987 Seventh National Conference on Child Abuse and Neglect will be available in the near future. NCCAN is currently proposing a follow-up symposium to provide a closer examination of research issues in assessment and management of child neglect. Research issues to be addressed would include the following:

• The validity of the practice of assigning child neglect a lower priority than child abuse, as a way of responding to an increased level of reporting;
• Appropriate time periods for treatment of various types of neglecting families;
• Need to evaluate and treat children's developmental lags as well as behaviors of parents;
• Alternative treatment strategies for families whose neglect is primarily influenced by poverty;
• Use of long term support such as foster placement of the whole family or use of long term community aides, for parents functioning at a borderline level (retardation, mental illness).

b. Child Sexual Abuse

More than any other single form of child maltreatment, child sexual abuse has been the object of public attention and research efforts. As a result, there has been a rapid accumulation of research and demonstration findings in the past few years. Despite this fact, child sexual abuse is most often hidden; as evidenced by discrepancies in studies of incidence and prevalence, and is still frequently misunderstood by both the public and professionals.

An urgent need exists to sort through newly emerging data on child sexual abuse and uncover those facts which can be used to enlighten the public, inform treatment approaches and lessen the risk of abuse among our nation's children.

Some of the issues which need to be addressed include:

• How widespread is the problem and are the numbers growing?
• What factors lead individuals to commit sexual offenses against children?
• How serious a risk factor is sexual abuse for long term mental health?
• What treatment approaches for the victim and perpetrator exist and how effective are they?
• What is the usefulness and advisability of various prevention approaches?

c. Intervention Approaches for Child Maltreatment

The past decade has seen the proliferation of programs designed to treat the victims of abuse and neglect. These treatment modalities include psychiatric counseling, medical and legal services, support groups, self-help efforts, and multidisciplinary approaches. One disturbing result of this growth of intervention strategies has been confusion among professionals regarding the most appropriate treatment intervention to apply when abuse cases arise. There is no general consensus among responsible professionals regarding the effectiveness of treatment modalities for discrete abuse and neglect cases. Further, many authorities agree that the emotional damage resulting from inappropriate treatment interventions of "helping agents" may equal, or even exceed, the harm caused by the abusive incident.

Clearly, there is a pressing need to review what is known about the relative effectiveness of different treatment approaches under various conditions and to provide professionals in the field with better information with which to guide their selection of an appropriate intervention strategy.

A comprehensive review of this topical area would require that the following questions be addressed:

• Are certain treatment modalities more effective with some forms of abuse than others?
should cover the areas of system design, structure, membership, functions and resources. This seminar proposes to examine the local community systems affecting the response to child maltreatment. Studies that examine the organizational context, information network, system design, administration, staffing, resource allocation, decision process, and operations of child maltreatment systems or system components will be utilized to increase our knowledge of what is known and needs implementation and what is unknown and needs examination.

Issues to be addressed should include, but not be limited to, the following:

- The critical decision path, authority, responsibility and accountability, from the reporting of the child maltreatment to implementation of protective services;
- The information flow within and among agencies that facilitates investigation, assessment, case plan, court hearings and efforts at treatment;
- Review and control procedures to minimize decisions that result in failure to respond appropriately, further harm to the child or excessive involvement of false-positive cases; and
- Organizational and legal barriers for interagency coordination in dealing with child maltreatment.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Number: 13.870, Administration for Children, Youth and Families—Child Abuse and Neglect Discretionary Activities)

Dodie Livingston,
Assistant Secretary for Human Development Services—Designate.

For further information contact: William Knauer, Refuges and Wildlife, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 1011 E. Tudor Road, Anchorage, Alaska 99503; telephone (907) 786-3399.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A summary of the Final CCP/EIS/WR has been prepared and will be sent to all persons and organizations who participated in any part of the planning process, such as scoping meetings, workshops, or in other types of communications with the planning team. In addition, copies of the summary will be sent to all persons who have requested them. Copies of the complete final CCP/EIS/WR will be sent to Federal and State agencies, Native regional and village corporations, local governments, and other organizations and individuals who have already requested copies. Limited copies of the documents are available upon request from Mr. Knauer. Copies of the Final CCP/EIS/WR are available at the office of the Regional Director, at the above address; at the Kodiak National Wildlife Refuge Office, Kodiak, Alaska, and at the following locations:

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Division of Refuge Management, Department of the Interior, 18th & C Street, NW., Washington, DC 20240

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Refuges and Wildlife, Lloyd 500 Building, Suite 1992, 500 NE Multnomah Street, Portland, OR 97232

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Refuges and Wildlife, 500 Gold Avenue SW., Room 1306, Albuquerque, NM 87103


U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Refuges and Wildlife, Richard B. Russell Federal Building, 75 Spring Street, Atlanta, GA 30303

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Refuges and Wildlife, One Gateway Center, Suite 700, Newton Corner, MA 02158


Dated: May 22, 1987
Bruce Blanchard,
Director, Environmental Impact Statement Review.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A summary of the Final CCP/EIS/WR has been prepared and will be sent to all persons and organizations who participated in any part of the planning process, such as scoping meetings, workshops, or in other types of communications with the planning team. In addition, copies of the summary will be sent to all persons who have requested them. Copies of the complete final CCP/EIS/WR will be sent to Federal and State agencies, Native regional and village corporations, local governments, and other organizations and individuals who have already requested copies. Limited copies of the documents are available upon request from Mr. Knauer. Copies of the Final CCP/EIS/WR are available at the office of the Regional Director, at the above address; at the Kodiak National Wildlife Refuge Office, Kodiak, Alaska, and at the following locations:

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Division of Refuge Management, Department of the Interior, 18th & C Street, NW., Washington, DC 20240

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Refuges and Wildlife, Lloyd 500 Building, Suite 1992, 500 NE Multnomah Street, Portland, OR 97232

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Refuges and Wildlife, 500 Gold Avenue SW., Room 1306, Albuquerque, NM 87103


U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Refuges and Wildlife, Richard B. Russell Federal Building, 75 Spring Street, Atlanta, GA 30303

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Refuges and Wildlife, One Gateway Center, Suite 700, Newton Corner, MA 02158


Dated: May 22, 1987
Bruce Blanchard,
Director, Environmental Project Review.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Bureau of Land Management

[FR Doc. 87-12209 Filed 8-1-87; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-GJ-M

Fish and Wildlife Service

[FR Doc. 87-12442 Filed 6-1-87; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
Bureau of Land Management

[FR Doc. 87-12442 Filed 6-1-87; 8:45 am]
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National Park Service
National Register of Historic Places; Pending Nominations

Nominations for the following properties being considered for listing in the National Register were received by the National Park Service before May 23, 1987. Pursuant to § 60.13 of 36 CFR Part 60 written comments concerning the significance of these properties under the National Register criteria for evaluation may be forwarded to the National Register, National Park Service, U.S. Department of the Interior, Washington, DC 20243. Written comments should be submitted by June 17, 1987.

Carol D. Shall, Chief, Registration, National Register.

ALABAMA
Lee County
Ogelika, Geneva Street Historic District, Roughly bounded by S. Seventh, Glenn, Stowe, Geneva, and S. Tenth Sts., and Ave. C

ARKANSAS
Marion County
Yellville, Carter—Jones House, 30 Carter St.

CALIFORNIA
Los Angeles County
Pasadena, Home Laundry, 432 S. Arroyo Parkway

CONNECTICUT
Litchfield County
Goshen, West Goshen Historic District, Roughly bounded by CT 4, Beach, Mill and Milton Sts., and Thompson Rd.

FLORIDA
Dade County
Opa-Locka, Opa-Locka Railroad Station (Opa-Locka TR), 490 Ali Baba Ave.

INDIANA
Monroe County
Bloomington, Princess Theatre Building (Boundary Increase), 206 N. Walnut St.

KANSAS
Brown County (also in Doniphan Cty.)
Rulo vicinity (Site No. RH00-062 (Nebraska—Kansas Public Land Survey TR), Jct. of Thayer, Jefferson, Washington, and Republic County lines (also in Nebraska))

NEBRASKA
Jefferson County (also in Thayer Cty.)
Mahaska vicinity, Site No. JF00-072 (Nebraska—Kansas Public Land Survey TR), Jct. of Thayer, Jefferson, Washington, and Republic County lines (also in Kansas)

Richardson County
Rulo vicinity, Site No. RH00-062 (Nebraska—Kansas Public Land Survey TR), 6 1/4 mi. SE of Rulo; 200 ft. W of rd. between Rulo, NE and White Cloud, KS (also in Kansas)

OHIO
Franklin County
Columbus, North High School, 100 Arcadia Ave.

RHODE ISLAND
Maricella, Becker Lumber and Manufacturing Company, 121 Pike St.

PUERTO RICO
Ponce County
Ponce, Banco Credito y Ahorro Ponceño, Marina and Amor Sts.
Ponce, Banco de Ponce, Amor and Comercio Sts.

RHODE ISLAND
Kent County
Coventry, Briggs, Joseph, House—Coventry Town Farm, Town Farm Rd.

Smithfield, Saint Thomas Episcopal Church and Rectory, Putnam Pike

TEXAS
Tarrant County
Westworth, Buck Oaks Farm, 6312 White Settlement Rd.

UTAH
Utah County
Fairfield, Fairfield District School, 50 N. Church St.

WISCONSIN
Outagamie County
Black Creek, Peters, George, House, 305 N. Maple St.

National Register of Historic Places; Pending Nominations

This document deletes in two places the words, “Cape Krusenstern National Monument and”, in the Federal Register Notice on the above subject, which was published on May 20, 1987, Vol. 52, No. 97 on page 19065.

The first place for deletion is under the heading, SUMMARY, 3rd and 4th lines, remove “Cape Krusenstern National Monument and”

The second place for deletion is under the heading, SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION, 2nd and 3rd lines, remove “Cape Krusenstern National Monument and”

Russell K. Olsen, Chief, Administrative Services Division.

Alaska Region Subsistence Resource Commission Meeting; Correction

Notice is hereby given in accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act that a meeting of the National Capital Memorial Commission will be held on Tuesday, June 16, 1987, at 1:30 p.m., in the Conference Room at the National Park Service, National Capital Regional Headquarters Building, 1100 Ohio Drive SW., Room 234, Washington, DC 20242.

The Commission was established by Pub. L. 99-652, for the purpose of advising the Secretary of the Interior or the Administrator of the General Services Administration, depending on which agency has jurisdiction over the lands involved in the matter, on policy and procedures for establishment of (and proposals to establish) commemorative works in the District of Columbia or its environs, as well as such other matters concerning commemorative works in the Nation's Capital as it may deem appropriate. The Commission evaluates each memorial proposal and makes recommendations to the Secretary or the Administrator.

National Capital Memorial Advisory Commission; Meeting

Notice is hereby given in accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act that a meeting of the National Capital Memorial Commission will be held on Tuesday, June 16, 1987, at 1:30 p.m., in the Conference Room at the National Park Service, National Capital Regional Headquarters Building, 1100 Ohio Drive SW., Room 234, Washington, DC 20242.

The Commission was established by Pub. L. 99-652, for the purpose of advising the Secretary of the Interior or the Administrator of the General Services Administration, depending on which agency has jurisdiction over the lands involved in the matter, on policy and procedures for establishment of (and proposals to establish) commemorative works in the District of Columbia or its environs, as well as such other matters concerning commemorative works in the Nation's Capital as it may deem appropriate. The Commission evaluates each memorial proposal and makes recommendations to the Secretary or the Administrator.
with respect to appropriateness, site location and design, and serves as an information focal point for those seeking to erect memorials on Federal land in Washington, DC, or its environs.

The members of the Commission are as follows:

William Penn Mott, Chairman, Director, National Park Service, Washington, DC
George M. White, Architect of the Capitol, Washington, DC
Andrew J. Goodpaster, Chairman, American Battle Monuments Commission, Washington, DC
J. Carter Brown, Chairman, Commission of Fine Arts, Washington, DC
Glen Urquhart, Chairman, National Capital Planning Commission, Washington, DC
Marion S. Barry, Jr., Mayor of the District of Columbia, Washington, DC
William Sullivan, Commissioner, Public Buildings Service, Washington, DC
Caspar W. Weinberger, Secretary, Department of Defense, Washington, DC

The purpose of the meeting will be to review and take action on the following:

I. Preliminary Design Review of Authorized Memorials.

II. Site Selection of authorized memorials.

III. Discussion of Regulations Pursuant to Pub. L. 98-600.
    a. Manufactured for: "Encouraged" by the willingness of DEA and Budget has been consulted with the Administrator of the Office of Management and Budget pursuant to sections 3(c)(3) and 3(e)(2)(C) of Executive Order 12291, the Director of the Office of Management and Budget has been consulted with respect to these proceedings. The Administrator hereby certifies that this manner will have no significant impact upon small entities within the meaning and intent of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S. Code 601, et seq. The establishment of an annual aggregate production quotas for Schedules I and II controlled substances is mandated by law and by the international commitments of the United States. Such

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

Controlled Substances; Establishment of the 1987 Aggregate Production Quota for Methylphenidate

AGENCY: Drug Enforcement Administration, Justice.

ACTION: Notice of an established 1987 aggregate production quota.

SUMMARY: This notice establishes the 1987 aggregate production quota for methylphenidate.

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

Controlled Substances; Establishment of the 1987 Aggregate Production Quota for Methylphenidate

AGENCY: Drug Enforcement Administration, Justice.

ACTION: Notice of an established 1987 aggregate production quota.

SUMMARY: This notice establishes the 1987 aggregate production quota for methylphenidate.

DATE: This order is effective June 2, 1987.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Howard McClain, Jr., Chief, Drug Control Section, Drug Enforcement Administration, 1405 Eye Street, NW., Washington, DC 20537, Telephone: (202) 633-1366.
grant.

Therefore, under the authority vested in the Attorney General by section 306 of the Controlled Substances Act of 1970 (21 U.S.C. Code 826) and delegated to the Administrator of the Drug Enforcement Administration by § 0.100 of Title 28 of the Code of Federal Regulations, the Administrator of the Drug Enforcement Administration hereby orders that the 1987 aggregate production quota for methylphenidate, expressed in grams of anhydrous base, be established as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Basic class</th>
<th>1987 aggregate production quota</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Schedule II Methylphenidate</td>
<td>2,682,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Gene R. Haislip,
Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration.

DATE: The additions to the labor surplus area list are effective June 1, 1987.

SUMMARY: The purpose of this notice is to announce additions to the list of labor surplus areas.


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Executive Order 12073 requires executive agencies to emphasize procurement set-asides in labor surplus areas. The Secretary of Labor is responsible under that Order for classifying and designating areas as labor surplus areas.

Under Executive Order 10582 executive agencies may reject bids or offers of foreign materials in favor of the lowest offer by a domestic supplier, provided that the domestic supplier undertakes to produce substantially all of the materials in areas of substantial unemployment as defined by the Secretary of Labor. The preference given to domestic suppliers under Executive Order 10582 has been modified by Executive Order 12260. Federal Procurement Regulations Temporary Regulation 57 (41 CFR Chapter 1, Appendix), issued by the General Services Administration on January 15, 1981 (46 FR 3519), implements Executive Order 12260. Executive agencies should refer to Temporary Regulation 57 in procurements involving foreign businesses or products in order to assess its impact on the particular procurements.

The Department of Labor regulations implementing Executive Orders 12073 and 10482 are set forth at 20 CFR Part 57, Subparts A and B. Subpart A requires the Assistant Secretary of Labor to classify jurisdictions as labor surplus areas pursuant to the criteria specified in the regulations and to publish annually a list of labor surplus areas. Pursuant to those regulations the Assistant Secretary of Labor published the annual list of labor surplus areas on March 26, 1987 (52 FR 9727).

Subpart B of Part 57 states that an area of substantial unemployment for purposes of Executive order 10582 is any area classified as a labor surplus area under Subpart A. Thus, labor surplus areas under Executive Order 12073 are also areas of substantial unemployment under Executive order 10582.

The areas described below have been classified by the Assistant Secretary of Labor as labor surplus areas pursuant to

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Labor Surplus Area Classifications; Addition to List

AGENCY: Employment and Training Administration, Labor.

ACTION: Notice.

Johnson Matthey, Inc., Application To Register As Manufacturer of Controlled Substances; Correction

On November 18, 1986, Johnson Matthey, Inc., Custom Pharmaceuticals Department, 2002 Nolte Drive, West Deptford, New Jersey 08066, made application to register with the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) as a bulk manufacturer of Pethidine (meperidine) (9230), Sufentanil (9740) and Fentanyl (9801). Notice of application was published in the Federal Register (52 FR 8987) on March 20, 1987; however, Pethidine-Intermediate-B, ethyl-4-phenylpiperidine-4-carboxylate (9233) was inadvertently omitted from the notice.

Any other such applicant and any person who is presently registered with DEA as a bulk manufacturer of Pethidine-Intermediate-B, ethyl-4-phenylpiperidine-4-carboxylate (9233) may file comments or objections to the issuance of the above application and may also file a written request for a hearing thereon in accordance with 21 CFR 1316.47.

Any such comments, objections or requests for a hearing may be addressed to the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Drug Enforcement Administration, United States Department of Justice, 1405 I Street, NW., Washington, DC 20537, Attention: DEA Federal Register Representative (Room 1112), and must be filed no later than July 2, 1987.


Gene R. Haislip,
Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration.

[FR Doc. 87-12477 Filed 6-1-87; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-09-M

Johnson Matthey, Inc., Registration as Manufacturer of Controlled Substances

By Notice dated March 13, 1987, and published in the Federal Register on March 20, 1987; (52 FR 8987), Johnson Matthey, Inc., Custom Pharmaceuticals Department, 2002 Nolte Drive, West Deptford, New Jersey 08066, made application to register with the Drug Enforcement Administration to be registered as a bulk manufacturer of the basic classes of controlled substances listed below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Schedule</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pethidine (meproprid) (9230)</td>
<td>Schedule II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sufentanil (9740)</td>
<td>Schedule II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fentanyl (bfl)</td>
<td>Schedule II</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No comments or objections have been received. Therefore, pursuant to section 303 of the Comprehensive Drug Abuse Prevention and Control Act of 1970 and Title 21, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1301.54(e), the Deputy Assistant Administrator hereby orders that the application submitted by the above firm for registration as a bulk manufacturer of the basic classes of controlled substances listed above is granted.


Gene R. Haislip, Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration.

[FR Doc. 87-12476 Filed 6-1-87; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-09-M

John C. Lawn,
Administrator, Drug Enforcement Administration.

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Labor Surplus Area Classifications; Addition to List

AGENCY: Employment and Training Administration, Labor.

ACTION: Notice.

[FR Doc. 87-12476 Filed 6-1-87; 8:45 am]
Additions to the Annual List of Labor Surplus Areas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Labor surplus area</th>
<th>Civil jurisdiction included</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New York: Oswego County</td>
<td>Oswego County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma: Creek County</td>
<td>Creek County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carter County</td>
<td>Carter County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey: Cumberland County</td>
<td>Cumberland County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York: Oswego County</td>
<td>Oswego County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma: Creek County</td>
<td>Creek County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York: Oswego County</td>
<td>Oswego County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York: Oswego County</td>
<td>Oswego County</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Roger D. Semerad,
Assistant Secretary of Labor


Additions to the Annual List of Labor Surplus Areas

[FR Doc. 87-12495 Filed 8-1-87; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4510-45-M

Mine Safety and Health Administration

[Docket No. M-87-125-C]

Consol Pennsylvania Coal Co.; Petition for Modification of Application of Mandatory Safety Standard

Consol Pennsylvania Coal Company, 1800 Washington Road, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15241 has filed a petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 75.503 (permissible electric face equipment; maintenance) to its Bailey Mine (I.D. No. 36-07230) located in Creene County, Pennsylvania. The petition is filed under section 101(c) of the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977.

A summary of the petitioner’s statements follows:

1. The petition concerns the requirement that equipment which is taken into or used in by the last open crosscut of any such mine be permissible and maintained in permissible condition.

2. The mine is developing Mains, Sub-Mains, and Longwall development panels as a continuing mining cycle. The Mains and Sub-Mains development blocks are normally 100 feet by 100 feet to maintain adequate roof support. The Longwall development panels have employed the 200 foot block to improve roof control and control abutment pressure during Longwall mining.

3. As an alternate method, petitioner proposes to use 800 feet of trailing cable supplying power to shuttle cars from 480 volt alternating current systems, except that the maximum length may be increased to 1,000 feet when necessary to mine around oil and gas wells. In further support of this request, petitioner states that:

   (a) The shuttle car trailing cables shall not be smaller than number 4 AWG;
   (b) The maximum instantaneous settings of the circuit breakers protecting the shuttle car trailing cables will be 500 amperes;
   (c) Where circuit breakers with adjustable instantaneous trip units are used to protect the shuttle car trailing cables, each circuit breaker that protects a shuttle car trailing cable will have a warning label indicating the maximum allowable instantaneous setting(s).

   During each production shift, persons designated by the operator will verify that the instantaneous settings of the circuit breakers that protect the shuttle car trailing cables do not exceed the specified settings;
   (d) The tolerance of replacement instantaneous trip units and of instantaneous trip units in replacement circuit breakers will not exceed plus or minus 15 percent;
   (e) The shuttle car haulage roads, the locations of the primary trailing cable anchoring points, and the locations of the belt feeders will be arranged to prevent the shuttle cars from running over their trailing cables, minimize or eliminate the need for secondary (temporary) trailing cable anchoring points, and minimize back-spooling;
   (f) Where it is not possible to eliminate the need for secondary (temporary) trailing cable anchoring points for the shuttle car trailing cables, miners will be required to wear dry work gloves in good condition while handling energized shuttle car trailing cables and attaching and removing the cables from the secondaries (temporary) anchors;
   (g) Trailing cable anchors that minimize tensile forces on the shuttle car trailing cables will be used;
   (h) Mining methods and operating procedures will be such that the shuttle car trailing cables are protected against mechanical and thermal damage;
   (i) Persons designated by the operator will visually examine the shuttle car trailing cables on a daily basis to ensure that the cables are in safe operating condition. Any cable that is not in safe condition will be removed, repaired or replaced; and
   (j) All persons that will be affected by this petition will receive training.

4. Petitioner states that the proposed alternate method will provide the same degree of safety for the miners affected as that afforded by the standard.

Request for Comments

Persons interested in this petition may furnish written comments. These comments must be filed with the Office of Standards, Regulations and Variances, Mine Safety and Health Administration, Room 627, 4015 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, Virginia 22203. All comments must be postmarked or received in that office on or before July 2, 1987. Copies of the petition are available for inspection at that address.


Patricia W. Silvey,
Associate Assistant Secretary for Mine Safety and Health.

[FR Doc. 87-12447 Filed 6-1-87; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4510-45-M

[Docket No. M-87-13-M]

The Morie Company, Inc.; Petition for Modification of Application of Mandatory Safety Standard

The Morie Company, Inc., 1201 N. High Street, Millville, New Jersey 08332 has filed a petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 56.9087 (audible warning devices) to its Upper Township Division (I.D. No. 28-00619) located in Cape May County, New Jersey. The petition is filed under section 101(c) of the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977.

A summary of the petitioner’s statements follows:

1. The petition concerns the requirement that heavy duty mobile equipment be provided with audible warning devices. When the operator of such equipment has an obstructed view to the rear, the equipment shall have either an automatic reverse signal alarm which is audible above the surrounding noise level or an observer to signal when it is safe to back up.

2. At a recent township meeting, residents expressed their concern about the noise levels from the nearby mining operation, which operates from 6:00 a.m. to 11:00 p.m.

3. As an alternate method, petitioner proposes to install strobe light back-up devices to front-end loaders for the entire period that the plant is in operation.

4. Petitioner states that the proposed alternate method will provide the same degree of safety for the miners affected as that afforded by the standard.

[FR Doc. 87-12447 Filed 6-1-87; 8:45 am]
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Pension and Welfare Benefits
Administration

Advisory Council on Employee Welfare and Pension Benefit Plans; Work Group Meeting


This seven-member work group was formed by the Advisory Council to study issues relating to employee benefit programs for employee welfare plans covered by ERISA.

The purpose of the June 29 meeting is to receive reports on past research undertaken by the government, research now underway, and research plans for the future. The work group will also take testimony and or submissions from employee representatives, employer representatives and other interested individuals and groups on what research is needed on health, pension, and other employee benefit issues. This could include data collection, regulatory analysis, policy research, other.

Individuals, or representatives or organizations, wishing to address the work group should submit written requests on or before June 22, 1987 to Charles W. Lee, Jr., Executive Secretary, ERISA Advisory Council, U.S. Department of Labor, Room N–5677, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20210. Oral presentations will be limited to ten minutes, but witnesses may submit an extended statement for the record.

Organizations or individuals may also submit statements for the record without testifying. Twenty (20) copies of such statements should be sent to the Executive Secretary of the Advisory Council at the above address. Papers will be accepted and included in the record of the meeting if received on or before June 22, 1987.

Signed at Washington, DC this 28th day of May, 1987.

David M. Walker,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Pension and Welfare Benefits Administration.

[FR Doc. 87–12480 Filed 6–1–87; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4510–43–M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
(Dockets Nos. 50–325 and 50–324)

Carolina Power & Light Co.; Issuance of Amendments to Facility Operation Licenses

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued Amendments Nos. 109 and 136 to Facility Operating Licenses Nos. DPR–71 and DPR–62 issued to the Carolina Power & Light Company (the licensee), which revised the Technical Specifications for operation of the Brunswick Steam Electric Plant, Units 1 and 2 (the facility), located in Brunswick County, North Carolina. The amendments are effective as of the date of issuance and shall be implemented within 90 days.

The amendments change the Technical Specification Surveillance Requirement to define the acceptable loading of the B division battery bus to allow operation with a new uninterruptible power supply system.

The application for the amendments complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission’s rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission’s rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendments.

Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendments and Opportunity for Prior Hearing in connection with this action was published in the Federal Register on December 8, 1986 (51 FR 44158). No request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene was filed following this notice.

The Commission has prepared an Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact related to the action and has concluded that an environmental impact statement is not warranted because there will be no significant environmental impact attributable to the action beyond that which has been predicted and described in the Commission’s Final Environmental Statement for the facilities.

For further details with respect to the action, see: (1) The application for amendments dated November 7, 1986, (2) Amendment Nos. 109 and 136 to Facility Operating Licenses Nos. DPR–71 and DPR–62, (3) the Commission’s related Safety Evaluation, and (4) the Environmental Assessment dated May 22, 1987 (52 FR 19787). All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission’s Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, DC 20555, and at the University of North Carolina at Wilmington, William Madison Randall Library, 601 S. College Road, Wilmington, North Carolina 28403–3207. A copy of items (2), (3) and (4) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Reactor Projects—1/II.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 27th day of May 1987.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Elinor G. Adensam,
Project Directorate I–II, Division of Reactor Projects—1/II.

[FR Doc. 87–12518 Filed 6–1–87; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7590–01–M

(Vocket No. 50–271–OLA; (ASLBP No. 87–547–02–LA))

Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp.,
Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station; Hearing


Before Administrative Judges: Charles Bechhoefer, Chairman Glenn O. Bright, Dr. James H. Carpenter.

On December 31, 1986, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission published in the Federal Register an amended notice of opportunity for hearing with respect to a proposed operating-license amendment which would permit an expansion in the storage capacity of the spent fuel pool of the Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station, located in Vernon, Vermont, approximately five miles south of Brattleboro, Vermont (51 FR 47324). Three requests for a hearing and petitions for leave to intervene were received. On February 13, 1987, an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board was
The public is invited to attend the oral appearance are requested to inform the available at various sessions. Written persons making oral statements and the being considered. The number of 2.715(a), any person, not a party to the statements may be submitted at any time allotted for each statement may be or parties in the definition of issues evidence but may assist the Board and/or orally or in writing, setting forth his or limited appearance statement either Consistent with the notice of opportunity for hearing referenced above, this hearing will be conducted under the hybrid hearing procedures set forth in 10 CFR Part 2, Subpart K (§ 2.1101 et seq). The Atomic Safety and Licensing Board designated to preside over the proceeding consists of Glenn O. Bright, Dr. James H. Carpenter, and Charles Bechhoefer, who will serve as Chairman of the Board. During the course of the proceeding, the Board will conduct an oral argument, as provided by 10 CFR 2.109 and 2.1113, and may hold one or more prehearing conferences pursuant to 10 CFR 2.752. The public is invited to attend the oral argument, all prehearing conferences, and any evidentiary hearing which may be held pursuant to 10 CFR 2.1115. The Board will establish the schedules for any such sessions at a later date, through notices to be published in the Federal Register and/or made available to the public at the Public Document Rooms. Supplementing the opportunity afforded at the first prehearing conference, during some or all of these sessions, and in accordance with 10 CFR 2.715(a), any person, not a party to the proceeding, will be permitted to make a limited appearance statement either orally or in writing, setting forth his or her position on the issues. These statements do not constitute testimony or evidence but may assist the Board and/or parties in the definition of issues being considered. The number of persons making oral statements and the time allotted for each statement may be limited depending upon the time available at various sessions. Written statements may be submitted at any time. Persons desiring to make a limited appearance are requested to inform the Office of the Secretary, Docketing and Service Branch, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, DC 20555. A copy of any statement or request should also be served on the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board. Documents relating to this proceeding are on file at the Local Public Document Library, 224 Main Street, Brattleboro, Vermont 05301, as well as at the Commission’s Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, DC 20555. Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 26th day of May, 1987.

For the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board. Charles Bechhoefer, Chairman, Administrative judge.

BILLING CODE 7950-01-M

RAILROAD RETIREMENT BOARD

Agency Forms Submitted for OMB Review

AGENCY: Railroad Retirement Board.

ACTION: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the Board has submitted the following proposal(s) for the collection of information to the Office of Management and Budget for review and approval.

Summary of Proposal(s)

(1) Collection title: Request for Review of Part B Medicare Claim

(2) Form(s) submitted: G-790, G-791

(3) Type of request: Extension of the expiration date of a currently approved collection without any change in the substance or in the method of collection

(4) Frequency of use: On occasion

(5) Respondents: Individuals or households

(6) Annual responses: 4,100

(7) Annual reporting hours: 1,025

(8) Collection description: The Board administers the Medicare program for persons covered by the railroad retirement system. The requests provide the means for obtaining reviews of the determinations made by Travelers on claims for Part B Medicare benefits. Additional Information or Comments: Copies of the proposed forms and supporting documents may be obtained from Pauline Lohens, the agency clearance officer (312-751-4692). Comments regarding the information collection should be addressed to Pauline Lohens, Railroad Retirement Board, 844 Rush Street, Chicago, Illinois 60611 and the OMB reviewer Elaina Norden (202-395-7316), Office of Management and Budget, Room 3002, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

Pauline Lohens, Director of Information and Data Management.

[FR Doc. 87-12444 Filed 6-1-87; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7950-01-M

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-24500; File No. SR-MSRB-87-3]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Proposed Rule Change by the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board; Relating to Uniform Practices and Customer Confirmations

Pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1), notice is hereby given that on May 8, 1987, the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board (“Board”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission a proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board (“the Board”) is filing amendments to rules G-12(f) and G-15(d), on book-entry deliveries, (hereafter referred to as “the proposed rule change”), as follows: ¹

Rule G-12 Uniform Practice

(a)–(e) No change.

(f) Use of Automated Comparison.

Clearance, and Settlement

(i) No change.

(ii) Notwithstanding the provisions of section (e) of this rule, if a transaction submitted to one or more registered clearing agencies for comparison in accordance with paragraph (i) above has been compared successfully, and if such transaction involves municipal securities which are eligible for deposit at one or more securities depositories registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission in which both parties to the transaction are members, the parties to such transaction shall settle the transaction by book-entry

¹ Italics indicate new language; [brackets] indicate deletions.
through the facilities of the depository or through the interface or link, if any, between the depositories. The provisions of this paragraph (ii) shall not apply to transactions effected on or after February 3, 1985, prior to June 30, 1988, in municipal securities which are eligible only for settlement in same-day funds in a securities depository registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

(iii) No change.

Rule G–15 Confirmation, Clearance and Settlement of Transactions with Customers

(a)–(c) No change.

(d) Delivery–Receipt vs. Payment Transactions

(i)–(ii) No change.

(ii) No broker, dealer, or municipal securities dealer who is, or whose clearing agent with respect to such transaction is, a participant in a clearing agency registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission shall effect a transaction in any municipal security which is eligible for book-entry settlement through the facilities of such clearing agency on a delivery vs. payment or receipt vs. payment basis for the account of a customer who is, or whose agent with respect to such transaction is, a participant in such clearing agency (or in a clearing agency interfaced or otherwise linked with such clearing agency) unless the facilities of such clearing agency (or the facilities of a clearing agency interfaced or otherwise linked with such clearing agency, as necessary) are used for the book-entry settlement of such transaction. The provisions of this paragraph (iii) shall not apply to transactions effected on or after February 3, 1985, prior to June 30, 1988, in municipal securities which are eligible only for settlement in same-day funds in a securities depository registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

(e) No change.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

(u) Rule G–12(f)(ii) requires book-entry delivery of inter-dealer municipal securities transactions if both dealers (or their clearing agents for the transaction) are members of a depository making the securities eligible and the transaction is compared through a registered securities clearing agency. Rule G–15(d)(iii) prohibits dealers from granting delivery versus payment or receipt versus payment privileges on a customer transaction in which both the dealer and the customer (or their clearing agents for the transaction) are members of a depository making the securities eligible, unless book-entry delivery is used.

No depository currently makes eligible municipal securities that settle in same-day funds. The Depository Trust Company (“DTC”) has informed the Board that it plans to commence on July 10, 1987, a pilot program that will provide depository services for some same-day funds securities. DTC plans to begin the pilot program with certain municipal note issues less than one year in maturity, followed by municipal securities issues with short-term put features. Any DTC participant will be allowed to participate in the pilot program, subject to the rules of the program.

The proposed rule change exempts from the application of rules G–12(f)(ii) and G–15(d)(iii) transactions in depository-eligible, same-day fund municipal securities through June 30, 1988. Without the proposed rule change, members of DTC would be required to use the same-day fund settlement system for all transactions in eligible securities which fall under the rules. DTC has requested the Board to provide a temporary exemption from the rules during the pilot phase of the program to allow dealers to become familiar with program operations prior to being required to submit all such transactions to the system. In light of the complexity of the system and the possibility that dealers may need to make adjustments in their operations during their initial use of the system, the Board concluded that a flexible approach in the application of rules G–12(f)(ii) and G–15(d)(iii) is warranted during the pilot phase of the program.

(b) The proposed rule change is adopted pursuant to section 15(b)(2)(C) of the Securities Exchange Act (“the Act”) which authorizes the Board to adopt rules designed to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in clearing, settling, processing information with respect to, and facilitating transactions in municipal securities...

The proposed rule change also is consistent with section 17A of the Act, which mandates the creation of a national clearing system for securities transactions.

The proposed rule change would promote the efficient clearance and settlement of same-day funds municipal securities by providing flexibility in dealer use of the DTC same-day funds settlement system during its pilot phase and therefore is consistent with the purposes of the Act.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition

Because the proposed rule change would apply uniformly to all brokers, dealers and municipal securities dealers, the Board believes that it would not impose any burden on competition.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

The Board has neither asked for nor received comments on the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Within 35 days of the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register or within such longer period (i) as the Commission may designate up to 90 days of such date if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission will:

(A) By order approve such proposed rule change,

(B) Institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing. Persons making written submissions should file six copies thereof with the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street, NW., Washington, DC 20549. Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Section. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at
the principal office of the above-
mentioned self-regulatory organization. 
All submissions should refer to the file 
number in the caption above and should 

For the Commission by the Division of 
Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated 
authority.

Jonathan G. Katz. 
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 87-12456 Filed 6-1-87; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

[Release No. 34-24512; File No. SR-NASD- 
87-3]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; 
National Association of Securities 
Dealers, Inc.; Order Approving 
Proposed Rule Change

The National Association of Securities 
Dealers, Inc. ("NASD") submitted copies 
of a proposed rule change on February 
12, 1987, and an amendment thereto on 
March 19, 1987, pursuant to section 
19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act 
of 1934 ("Act") and Rule 19b-4 
thereunder. The proposal amends 
Schedule D to the NASD's By-Laws to 
allow vendors to make required changes 
effective on October 1, 1987, in order to 
proposed rule change will become 
filed by the NASD on May 21, 1987, the 
require that transactions in NASDAQ 
Schedule D to the NASD's By-Laws to 
permit not to accept or reject the registration data in 
such form and by such time as 
the Corporation from 
time to time. The Mutual Fund 
Processor or Fund/SEIV Member must 
accept or reject the registration data in 
such form and by such time as 
established by the Corporation.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's 
Statement of the Purpose of, and 
Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule 
Change

In its filing with the Commission, 
NSCC included statements concerning 
the purpose of and basis for the 
proposed rule change and discussed any 
comments it received on the proposed 
rule change. The text of these 
statements may be examined at the 
places specified in Item IV below. NSCC 
has prepared summaries, set forth in 
sections (A), (B), and (C) below, of 
the most significant aspects of such 
statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's 
Statement of the Purpose of, and 
Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule 
Change

Since its inception in March, 1986, 
NSCC's Mutual Fund Settlement, Entry 
and Registration Verification Service 
("Fund/SEIV") has resulted in 
nationwide participation by more than 
25 broker-dealers and mutual funds. 
Fund/SEIV currently processes over 
5,000 trades a day, which translates into 
an average daily settlement of over $45 
million. As part of the further 
development of Fund/SEIV, NSCC 
proposes to enhance the service in a 
number of respects to provide for 
additional transactions to be included in 
Fund/SEIV and to provide for 
increased industry standardization.

First, NSCC proposed to process 
exchange transactions (i.e., the 
exchange of shares within a Fund group) 
within Fund/SEIV, allowing for an 
automated and centralized environment 
for processing such transactions. NSCC, 
however, will only permit exchange 
transactions to be input on the day the 
order takes place. Second, NSCC 
proposes to require mutual funds to 
acknowledge that registration 
instructions submitted by broker-dealers 
either have been processed or rejected, 
which will provide broker-dealers with 
greater certainty as to the status of 
shares being registered by a fund.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's 
Statement on Burden on Competition 

NSCC does not believe that the 
proposed rule will have an impact or 
impose a burden on competition.
C. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

No comments on the proposed rule change have been solicited or received. NSCC will notify the Securities and Exchange Commission of any written comments received by NSCC.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to section 19(b)(3) of the 1934 Act and subparagraph (e) of Securities Exchange Act Rule 10b-4. At any time within 60 days of the filing of such proposed rule change, the Commission may summarily abrogate such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the 1934 Act.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing. Persons making written submissions should file six copies thereof with the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street NW., Washington, DC 20549. Copies of such filing will also be available for inspection and copying in the Commission’s Public Reference Section, 450 Fifth Street NW., Washington, DC.

Copies of such filing will also be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the above-mentioned self-regulatory organization. All submissions should refer to the file number SR–NSCC–87–07 and should be submitted by June 23, 1987.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

Jonathan G. Katz,
Secretary.


[FR Doc. 87–12454 Filed 6–1–87; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010–01–M

[Release No. 34–24510; File No. SR-NYSE–87–14]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Proposed Rule Change by New York Stock Exchange, Inc., Relating to Opening and Reopening Indications

Pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1), notice is hereby given that on April 30, 1987, the New York Stock Exchange, Inc. filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The proposed rule change revises the Exchange’s policy on reopenings. The revised policy:

• Retains the requirement of waiting at least 15 minutes from the first indication before reopening.
• Reduces the minimum delay for reopening more than one indication is necessary from 15 minutes from the last indication to:
  • 8 minutes when the last indication overlaps the prior indication
  • 10 minutes when the last indication does not overlap the prior indication

The following examples illustrate how the policy will work:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Example 1</th>
<th>1st indication</th>
<th>10:05</th>
<th>90–100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2nd indication</td>
<td>10:08</td>
<td>95–105</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earliest opening time</td>
<td>10:20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Example 2</td>
<td>1st indication</td>
<td>10:05</td>
<td>90–100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd indication</td>
<td>10:16</td>
<td>100–110</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earliest opening time</td>
<td>10:26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Example 3</td>
<td>1st indication</td>
<td>10:05</td>
<td>90–100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd indication</td>
<td>10:08</td>
<td>101–109</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earliest opening time</td>
<td>10:30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Example 4</td>
<td>1st indication</td>
<td>10:05</td>
<td>90–100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd indication</td>
<td>10:18</td>
<td>95–105</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earliest opening time</td>
<td>10:23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Exchange has also identified other circumstances in which opening indications are appropriate and has determined to permit indications in those circumstances subject, in most cases, to the waiting periods described above. Thus, the revised policy:

• Permits the dissemination of an “initial indication” with the approval of a Floor Official before 9:30 for a security which is a spinoff or for which a trading halt had existed at the close of the prior trading session.
• In the case of an initial public offering, retains the current procedure which permits indications before opening the security with the approval of a Floor Director or Floor Governor.
• In any other situation, permits pre-9:30 indications with the approval of a Floor Director or Floor Governor and authorizes them to tailor the waiting periods to the circumstances of the situation.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below and is set forth in sections A, B, and C below.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

(a) Purpose. The Exchange and the other CTA Plan Participants amended the plan’s “Regulatory Halt” provision last year by eliminating the requirement that 15 minutes elapse after news has been fully disclosed (“T time”) before the Exchange can disseminate indications of interest. (Eighth Substantive Amendment to the Restated CTA Plan; see 1/22/86 Letter to John P. Wheeler, Secretary, SEC, from John F. Cipriano, Director, NYSE and Release Nos. 34–22850 (January 31, 1986) and 34–22861 (March 7, 1986).) The Exchange recognized at that time that the change created an incongruity between the CTA Plan and the Exchange’s policy on reopenings. That policy prohibits the specialist from reopening his market for 15 minutes after he has disseminated an indication in order to allow investors and other off-Floor participants time to react to the indications. The incongruity is as follows: although other markets are free to resume trading after 15 minutes as measured form T time (as long as the Exchange has disseminated indications of interest during that 15 minute period), the Exchange itself cannot resume trading until after 15 minutes as measured from the time the last
indication prints. Thus, except when the Exchange is able to disseminate an indication immediately after T time and does not disseminate a subsequent indication, the Exchange can never reopen its market as quickly as its competitors. Moreover, the restarting of the 15 minute clock with each succeeding indication means that the Exchange’s time disadvantage can be quite lengthy, depending on the number of succeeding indications and the time between them.

The Exchange carefully weighed these competitive considerations against the need to provide investors and other off-floor participants an adequate reaction time to indications. The proposed rule change reflects the Exchange’s determination that, when one or more indications followed an initial indication, it could reduce the waiting period following the final indication.

The policy mandates or permits the use of indications in a variety of specified circumstances and includes authority for their use in other, unspecified circumstances. The shift of some of the futures and options contracts on stock indices to opening price settlement makes the last-noted authority take on special significance. While the precise mechanics of the Exchange’s opening procedures for the June 19 “Triple Witch” expiration are not yet set, the use of pre-opening indications will be key. The Exchange can use this authority, which is designed to permit use of indications in non-routine situations, to serve as the basis for the “Triple Witch” procedures.

(b) Statutory Basis. The basis under the 1934 Act for the proposed rule change is section 6(b)(5), which provides in pertinent part that the rules of the Exchange be designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market, and to protect investors and the public interest.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition

The proposed rule change does not impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the 1934 Act. In fact, the proposed rule change will enhance competition by permitting the Exchange to reopen a stock that has been the subject of a Regulatory Halt closer to the time that other markets can do so in those instances when reduction of the waiting period after an indication is appropriate.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants or Others

An “Ad Hoc Committee on Trading Halts, Indications and Reopenings” developed the proposed rule change. The Exchange’s Market Performance Committee then approved the proposal.

The Exchange has not otherwise solicited, and does not intend to solicit, comments regarding the proposed rule change. The Exchange has not received any unsolicited written comments from members or other interested parties.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Within 35 days of the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register or within such longer period (i) as the Commission may designate up to 90 days of such date if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding (ii) as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission will:

(A) By order approve the proposed rule change, or
(B) Institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing. Persons making written submissions should file six copies thereof with the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street NW., Washington, DC 20549. Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552 will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission’s Public Reference Section, 450 Fifth Street NW., Washington, DC 20549. Copies of such filing will also be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the above-mentioned self-regulatory organization. All submissions should refer to File No. SR–NYSE–87–14 and should be submitted by June 23, 1987.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.


Jonathan G. Katz,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 87–12457 Filed 6–1–87; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010–01–M

[Release No. 34–24508; File No. SR–PHlx–87–01]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Philadelphia Stock Exchange, Inc.; Order Approving Proposed Rule Change

On March 23, 1987, the Philadelphia Stock Exchange, Inc. (“Phlx”) submitted to the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”), pursuant to section 19(b)(1) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”) \(^1\) and Rule 19b–4 thereunder, \(^2\) a proposed rule change to allow the Phlx to offer European-style option contracts on the Value Line index by imposing a restriction on early exercise, and to define the term “European option” as an option contract that can be exercised only on the last trading day prior to the day it expires.

The proposed rule change was noticed in Securities Exchange Act release No. 24352 [April 19, 1987], 52 FR 13783 [April 24, 1987]. No comments were received on the proposed rule change.

The rule change is designed to facilitate transactions in options. The purpose of the proposed rule change is to allow the Phlx to offer European-style contracts on the Value Line index. The Phlx described the Value Line index as a broad-based index composed of approximately 1,700 exchange-listed and over-the-counter securities. The index value consists of an equally weighted geometric average of the prices of 1,700 securities. The Phlx presently trades American-style options of the Value Line index which are identified by the symbol XVL. The Phlx would continue to trade the American-style Value Line index options, and would differentiate the proposed European-style Value Line index options by using the symbol VLE. The Phlx states that a European exercise feature will appeal to potential Value Line index options sellers and spread traders since it restricts exercise until the trading day prior to expiration.\(^3\) Spread traders will

\(^3\) A European option may be exercised only during a specified period (which may be as short as...
thus be able to hold positions in the Value Line index without concern that one leg of their short positions may be exercised prior to the option's expiration. Sellers will benefit from the European exercise feature because the seller cannot be exercised against until expiration and, accordingly, can engage in long-range planning and strategies. Except for the European exercise feature, trading in European-style Value Line index options would be conducted in accordance with existing Phlx equity option and index option rules. The Phlx contends that the statutory basis for the proposed rule change is section 6[b][5] of the Act in that it will facilitate transactions in securities and protect investors and the public interest. The Commission previously has approved European-style option contracts. In approving the CBOE's proposal to convert the S&P 500 index option to a European-style option, the Commission recognized that there are advantages to both American and European-style options, with purchasers generally benefiting from American-style options and sellers from European-style. With the latter type of option, the purchaser is disadvantaged to some extent because he must rely on the continued existence of a liquid secondary market in the options in order to close out an option position before expiration. In contrast, the writer of the contract benefits from the contract's European-style feature, because the writer cannot be exercised against until expiration, and accordingly can engage in long-range planning and strategies. While the Commission recognizes that the European-style of the Value Line index may limit the flexibility of options purchasers, we also believe that the exercise feature of the contract may facilitate certain trading strategies and prove useful to investors. These potential risks are fully described in the Options Disclosure Document issued pursuant to Rule 9b-1 under the Act. In light of this disclosure and the absence of other regulatory concerns, the Commission is not inclined to substitute its judgment for the business judgment of the Phlx in designing the Value Line index Contract.

The Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national securities exchange, and, in particular, the requirements of Section 6[b] and the rules and regulations thereunder. It is therefore ordered, pursuant to section 19(b)(2) of the Act, that the proposed rule change is approved.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.


Jonathan G. Katz,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 87-12458 Filed 6-1-87; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

[Release No. 34-24516; File No. SR-PSE-87-06]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Pacific Stock Exchange, Inc.; Order Approving Proposed Rule Change

On March 27, 1987, pursuant to section 19(b)1 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"); 1 and Rule 19b-4 thereunder, the Pacific Stock Exchange Inc. ("PSE" or "Exchange") submitted to the Securities and Exchange Commission a proposed rule change that would enable the PSE to issue rights to purchase special memberships. The proposed rule change was published for comment in Securities Exchange Act Release No. 24354 (April 16, 1987), 52 FR 13369. No comments were received on the proposed rule change.

As discussed in detail in the release soliciting comment, the PSE's proposal would permit the issuance to current seat owners of rights to purchase special memberships. These special memberships would permit the holder to trade only the Financial News Composite Index, the PSE High Technology Index, and such other new products as may be determined by the Exchange's Board of Governors. The PSE proposes to add a new section to its Rule IX to outline the privileges, duties, obligations and limitations for holders of special options memberships.

In particular, the Exchange proposes to issue one right to each membership owner of record as of April 24, 1987. Members would be able to sell and buy rights through the Exchange's facilities and the rights would expire if not exercised before December 31, 1987. Twenty rights would be required for the purchase of a special membership, and the exercise price for the purchase of a special membership would be $500. There currently are 516 PSE members; therefore, a maximum of 25 special memberships would be created.

The special memberships would expire on December 29, 1989, unless extended by the PSE Board of Governors, and would be able to be transferred or leased through the Exchange's facilities for a $100 fee. Holders of special memberships would be subject to regular Exchange trading rules and fees, but would have no right to vote in any election or amendment to the PSE Constitution.

In support of its proposal, the PSE argues that the creation of special memberships would allow it to improve liquidity and facilitate transactions in index options. The Exchange believes that it would be able to attract additional capital for index options trading, which it feels is sufficiently distinct from equity options trading to justify special memberships.

The Commission finds that the proposed rule change, as amended, is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national securities exchange, and, in particular, the requirements of section 6[b] and the rules and regulations thereunder. The PSE proposal is designed to increase market maker participation in index options and other new products, which should help to foster better depth and liquidity in these products. At the same time, the proposal does not pose any special regulatory concerns in that it

proposed rights offering in a proxy vote concluded on April 23, 1987. * Amendment No. 1 to the PSE's rule filing would make it explicit that holders of special memberships would not be entitled to participate in any liquidation of the Exchange.

does not contain incentive for inappropriate trading by market makers. It is therefore ordered, pursuant to section 19(b)(2) of the Act, 7 that the proposed rule change is approved.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation pursuant to delegated authority.

Jonathan G. Katz, Secretary.
[FR Doc. 87-12509 Filed 6-1-87; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

[Fil Nos. 7-0141 et al.]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Applications for Unlisted Trading Privileges and Opportunity for Hearing; Philadelphia Stock Exchange, Inc.


The above named national securities exchange has filed applications with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to section 12(f)(1)(B) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 12f-1 thereunder, for unlisted trading privileges in the following securities:

Duff & Phelps Selected Utilities
Common Stock, $0.001 Par Value (File No. 7-0141)
Erbamont N.V.
Common Stock, $4.00 Par Value (File No. 7-0142)
Fleet Financial Group, Inc.
Common Stock, $1.00 Par Value (File No. 7-0143)
Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Inc.
Common Stock, $1.00 Par Value (File No. 7-0144)
Kansas Gas & Electric Co.
Common Stock, No Par Value (File No. 7-0145)
Public Service Co. of New Mexico
Common Stock, $5.00 Par Value (File No. 7-0146)
Service Corp. International
Common Stock, $1.00 Par Value (File No. 7-0147)

These securities are listed and registered on one or more other national securities exchange and are reported in the consolidated transaction reporting system.

Interested persons are invited to submit on or before June 17, 1987, written data, views and arguments concerning the above-referenced application. Persons desiring to make written comments should file three copies thereof with the Secretary of the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Washington, DC 20549. Following this opportunity for hearing, the Commission will approve the application if it finds, based upon all the information available to it, that the extensions of unlisted trading privileges pursuant to such applications are consistent with the maintenance of fair and orderly markets and the protection of investors.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation pursuant to delegated authority.
Jonathan G. Katz, Secretary.
[FR Doc. 87-12507 Filed 6-1-87; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

[Fil Nos. 7-0939 et al]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Applications for Unlisted Trading Privileges and Opportunity for Hearing; Philadelphia Stock Exchange, Inc.


The above named national securities exchange has filed applications with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to section 12(f)(1)(B) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 12f-1 thereunder, for unlisted trading privileges in the following securities:

First Australia Prime Income Fund, Inc.
Common Stock, $0.01 Par Value (File No. 7-9699)
Foothill Group, Inc.
Class A Common Stock, No Par Value (File No. 7-9940)
Fur Vault, Inc.
Common Stock, $0.01 Par Value (File No. 7-9941)
Great Lakes Chemical Corp.
Common Stock, $1.00 Par Value (File No. 7-9942)
Heritage Entertainment, Inc.
Common Stock, $0.01 Par Value (File No. 7-9943)
Hudson Foods, Inc.
Class A Common Stock, No Par Value (File No. 7-9944)
I.C.H. Corp.
Common Stock, $1.00 Par Value (File No. 7-9945)

These securities are listed and registered on one or more other national securities exchange and are reported in the consolidated transaction reporting system.

Interested persons are invited to submit on or before June 17, 1987, written data, views and arguments concerning the above-referenced application. Persons desiring to make written comments should file three copies thereof with the Secretary of the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Washington, DC 20549. Following this opportunity for hearing, the Commission will approve the application if it finds, based upon all the information available to it, that the extensions of unlisted trading privileges pursuant to such applications are consistent with the maintenance of fair and orderly markets and the protection of investors.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation pursuant to delegated authority.
Jonathan G. Katz, Secretary.
[FR Doc. 87-12508 Filed 6-1-87; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

[File No. 1-4371]

Application To Withdraw From Listing and Registration; Tech-Sym Corp. (Common Stock, $0.10 Par Value)


Tech-Sym Corporation ("Company"), has filed an application with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to section 12(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act") and Rule 12d2-2(d) promulgated thereunder, to withdraw the above specified security from listing and registration on the American Stock Exchange, Inc. ("Amex"). The Company's common stock recently began trading on the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE").

The reasons alleged in the application for withdrawing these securities from listing and registration on the Amex include the following:

The Company considered the direct and indirect costs and expenses attendant on maintaining the dual listing of its common stock on the NYSE and the Amex. The Company does not see any particular advantage in the dual trading of its stock and believes that dual listing would fragment the market for its common stock.

Any interested person may, on or before June 17, 1987 submit by letter to the Secretary of the Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, DC 20549, facts bearing upon whether the application has been made in accordance with the rules of the Exchange and what terms, if any, should be imposed by the Commission for the protection of investors.

The Commission, based on the information submitted to it, will issue an order granting the application after the date mentioned above, unless the Commission determines to order a hearing on the matter.
**SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION**

**Agency Reporting and Recordkeeping Requirements Under OMB Review**

**ACTIONS:** Notice of reporting requirements submitted for review.

**SUMMARY:** Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), agencies are required to submit proposed reporting and recordkeeping requirements to OMB for review and approval, and to publish a notice in the Federal Register notifying the public that the agency has made such a submission.

**DATE:** Comments should be submitted by July 2, 1987. If you intend to comment but cannot prepare comments promptly, please advise the OMB Reviewer and the Agency Clearance Officer and the documents submitted to OMB for review and approval, and to publish a notice in the Federal Register notifying the public that the agency has made such a submission.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Agency Clearance Officer: William Cline, Small Business Administration, 1441 L Street, NW., Room 200, Washington, DC 20410, Telephone: (202) 653-8538.


**Title:** Small Business Development Center Counseling Evaluation Form

**Form No.:** SBA 1419

**Frequency:** Annually

**Description of Respondents:** This information is provided from the client and used by the SBA and the Small Business Development Center to monitor the quality of the counseling that small businesses receive from the SBDC's.

**Annual Responses:** 50,000

**Annual Burden Hours:** 12,500

**Type of Request:** Extension

**Title Loan Verification Request**

**Form No.:** SBA 1547

**Frequency:** On occasion

**DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

**Applications for Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity and Foreign Air Carrier Permits Filed During the Week Ending May 22, 1987; Air Atlantic Ltd. and American Airlines, Inc.**

The following applications for certificates of public convenience and necessity and foreign air carrier permits were filed under Subpart Q of the Department of Transportation's Procedural Regulations (See 14 CFR 302.1701 et seq.). The due date for answers, conforming application, or motion to modify scope are set forth below for each application. Following the answer period DOT may process the application by expedited procedures. Such procedures may consist of the adoption of a show-cause order, a tentative order, or in appropriate cases a final order without further proceedings.

**Docket No. 44896**

**Date Filed:** May 22, 1987.

**Due Date for Answers, Conforming Applications, or Motion to Modify Scope:** June 19, 1987.

**Description:** Application of Air Atlantic Ltd., pursuant to section 402 of the Act and Subpart Q of the Regulations requests a foreign air carrier permit to engage in foreign scheduled air transportation of persons, property and mail between Boston, Massachusetts and St. John, New Brunswick.

**Docket No. 44054**

**Date Filed:** May 21, 1987.

**Due Date for Answers, Conforming Applications, or Motions to Modify Scope:** June 18, 1987.

**Description:** Amendment No. 1 to the Renewal Application of American Airlines, Inc. of its certificate of public convenience and necessity for Route 216 filed on May 23, 1988, as (1) to seek a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing service between the coterminous points Dallas/Ft. Worth, Texas, and San Juan, Puerto Rico and the coterminous points Rio de Janeiro and Sao Paulo, Brazil, and (2) to request that the suspension imposed by Order 86-5-
115, June 6, 1984 be lifted upon issuance of the renewed and amended certificate.

Phyllis T. Kaylor,
Chief, Documentary Services Division.

[FR Doc. 87-12498 Filed 6-1-87; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910-02-M

Federal Highway Administration

Environmental Impact Statement; Polk County, FL

AGENCY: Federal Highway Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of intent.

SUMMARY: The FHWA is issuing this notice to advise the public that an environmental impact statement will be prepared for a proposed highway project in Polk County, Florida.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dennis Luhrs, District Engineer, Federal Highway Administration, 227 N. Bronough Street, Room 2015, Tallahassee, Florida 32302, Telephone: (904) 681-7239.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FHWA in cooperation with the Florida Department of Transportation will prepare an environmental impact statement (EIS) for a proposal to construct a new highway facility, the Imperial Parkway in Polk County, Florida. The new facility would involve the proposed construction of The Imperial Parkway from U.S. 98 at the terminus of the previously approved western leg of the Imperial Parkway to Interstate 4 in the vicinity of Mt. Olive or Berkley Roads, a distance of approximately 15 miles. This new facility is necessary to provide for the projected high traffic demands. Alternatives under consideration include (1) taking no action; (2) construction of a new multi-lane facility along one of several alternative alignments, partially on new location and partially along existing facilities.

Letters describing the proposed action and soliciting comments will be sent to appropriate Federal, State, and local agencies, and to private organizations and citizens who have expressed interest in this proposal. A series of public meetings will be held in Polk County. In addition a public hearing will also be held. Public notice will be given of the time and place of the meetings and hearing. The draft EIS will be made available for public and agency review and comment. A formal scoping meeting is planned at the project site during May 1987.

To ensure that the full range of issues related to the proposed action are addressed and all significant issues identified, comments or questions concerning this proposed action and the EIS are invited and should be directed to the FHWA at the address provided above.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Number 20.205, Highway Research, Planning and Construction. The regulations implementing Executive Order 12372 regarding intergovernmental consultation on Federal programs and activities apply to this program)


Dennis B. Luhrs,
District Engineer, Tallahassee, FL.

[FR Doc. 87-12444 Filed 6-1-87; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910-22-M

Maritime Administration

[DOCKET S-808]

United States Lines, Inc.; Application for Permission to Operate in the Domestic Trade Under the Merchant Marine Act

Notice is hereby given that United States Lines, Inc. by application dated May 22, 1987, has applied for written permission under section 506 of the Merchant Marine Act, 1936, as amended (Act), for continued operation of four vessels which USL currently deploys in its purely domestic West Coast-Hawaii-Guam service under authority of section 506 of the Act. Section 506 permits the temporary transfer for up to six months of construction-differential subsidy (CDS) built vessels "whenever the Secretary determines that such transfer is necessary or appropriate to carry out the purposes of the Act." Consent by MARAD would continue to be conditioned upon payment to MARAD, upon such terms as MARAD may prescribe, of "an amount which bears the same proportion to the CDS paid by the Secretary as such temporary period bears to the entire economic life of the vessel." Notice of the previous application of March 25, 1987, was published in the Federal Register (52 FR 10664) and various comments were filed in response thereto.

On April 13, 1987, MARAD ruled and granted USL permission to operate in domestic service "(i) up to a maximum of 8 weeks from April 13, 1987, or (ii) when the Bankruptcy Court handling the USL Chapter 11 bankruptcy proceeding approves the acquisition by another person of the vessels for which permission is granted, and the right to possession of the vessels is transferred to the acquiring which ever occurs first ...."

By letter dated May 22, 1987, USL has requested an extension of the time to operate this service for either an additional 90 days to September 8, 1987, or until such time as USL is able to complete the sale of the vessels and other assets involved in this service, whichever occurs first.

The basic reason for this request is that USL, despite its best efforts, will not be able to consummate the sale to Sea-Land, or any other purchaser with a higher and better offer, on or before June 8th, the current deadline imposed by MARAD, because of the intricate complications involved in such a sale, which require the approval and involvement of both the Bankruptcy Court as well as Admrality Court.

Although publication of a Notice with respect to USL's request for permission under section 506 is not required, the Maritime Administration believes that it is appropriate to provide an opportunity for interested parties to comment on USL's application.

Any person, firm, or corporation having any interest in the application for section 506 permission and desiring to submit comments concerning the application must file written comments in triplicate, to the Secretary, Maritime Administration, Room 7300, Nassif Building, 400 Seventh Street SW., Washington, DC 20590, by the close of business on June 4, 1987. The Maritime Administration, as a matter of discretion, will consider any comments submitted and take such action with respect thereto as may be deemed appropriate.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 20.800 Construction-Differential Subsidies (CDS))

By Order of the Maritime Administrator.


James E. Saari,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 87-12513 Filed 6-1-87; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4910-81-M

Research and Special Programs Administration

Hazardous Materials Transportation;
Grants and Denials of Applications for Exemptions

AGENCY: Research and Special Programs Administration, DOT

ACTION: Notice of grants and denials of applications for exemptions.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the procedures governing the application for, and the processing of, exemptions from the Department of Transportation's Hazardous Materials Regulations (49 CFR Part 107, Subpart B), notice is
The "Nature of Exemption Thereof" portion of the table below as follows: 1—Motor vehicle, 2—Rail freight, 3—Cargo vessel, 4—Cargo-only aircraft, 5—Passenger-carrying aircraft.

### RENEWAL AND PARTY TO EXEMPTIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application No.</th>
<th>Exemption No.</th>
<th>Applicant</th>
<th>Regulation(s) affected</th>
<th>Nature of exemption thereof</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1862-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 1662</td>
<td>Greer Hydraulics, Inc., City of Commerce, CA.</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.302(a)(1), 175.3</td>
<td>To authorize shipment of nitrogen in hydraulic accumulators. (Modes 1, 2, 3, and 4.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3004-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 3004</td>
<td>National Welders, Charlotte, NC</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.302, 175.3</td>
<td>To authorize use of a non-DOT specification cylinder, for transportation of certain flammable, and nonflammable compressed gases. (Modes 1, 2, 4, and 5.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3553-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 3553</td>
<td>Korn-McCaw Chemical Corp., Oklahoma City, OK.</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.169(a)(7), 173.220a(d)(2)</td>
<td>To authorize transport of an oxygen absorbent, potassium chlorate, or sodium cyanide, in a non-DOT specification steel or aluminum portable tank. (Mode 1, 2.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3620-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 3620</td>
<td>Mallinckrodt, Inc., Paris, KY</td>
<td>49 CFR 177.839(a), 177.839(b)</td>
<td>To authorize use of a DOT Specification 20A polyethylene tank to contain four 5-lb glass bottles of nicotine acid. (Mode 1.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4262-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 4262</td>
<td>Schlumberger Well Services, Houston, TX</td>
<td>49 CFR 172.101, 173.53(d), 172.90</td>
<td>To authorize shipment of charged oil well perforating guns with initiators attached. (Modes 1, 3.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4804-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 4804</td>
<td>Airco, The BOC Group, Inc., Murray Hill, NJ.</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.302(a)(1), 173.304, 173.3a, 175.3, 178.61.</td>
<td>To authorize shipment of certain liquefied and nonliquefied compressed gases and a flammable liquid in a stainless steel cylinder complying with all requirements of DOT Specification 48W, except for being fabricated from Type 304 or Type 316 stainless steel. (Modes 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4804-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 4804</td>
<td>Solvay Products Chemicals, Inc., Fairfield, NJ.</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.302(a)(1), 173.304, 173.3a, 175.3, 178.61.</td>
<td>To authorize shipment of certain liquefied and nonliquefied compressed gases and a flammable liquid in a stainless steel cylinder complying with all requirements of DOT Specification 48W, except for being fabricated from Type 304 or Type 316 stainless steel. (Modes 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5022-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 5022</td>
<td>National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, DC.</td>
<td>49 CFR 174.101(a), 174.104(d), 174.112(a), 174.146, 177.334(d)(1)</td>
<td>To authorize transport of certain corrosive and flammable liquids in a stainless steel cylinder to be packaged in the same outside package. (Mode 1.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5030-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 5030</td>
<td>National Welders, Charlotte, NC</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.119, 173.125(a)(6), 173.126(a)(5), 173.247(a)(1), 173.345, 172.321, 172.530, 175.3</td>
<td>To authorize transport of certain corrosive and flammable liquids in a stainless steel cylinder to be packaged in the same outside package. (Mode 1.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5026-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 5026</td>
<td>Nelson Brothers, Inc., Parish, AL</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.114a.</td>
<td>To authorize transport of certain liquefied and nonliquefied compressed gases and a flammable liquid in a stainless steel cylinder complying with all requirements of DOT Specification 48W, except for being fabricated from Type 304 or Type 316 stainless steel. (Modes 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6349-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 6349</td>
<td>National Welders, Charlotte, NC</td>
<td>49 CFR 172.101, 173.315(a)</td>
<td>To authorize transport of flammable or nonflammable compressed gases, flammable, corrosive liquids or oxidizers presently authorized to be shipped in a DOT Specification 3A cylinder, to be shipped in a non-DOT specification cylinder made to DOT-3A specification with certain exceptions. (Modes 1, 2, 4, and 5.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6543-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 6543</td>
<td>National Welders, Charlotte, NC</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.116, 173.135(a)(6), 173.135(a)(5), 173.248, 173.271, 173.273, 175.3.</td>
<td>To authorize transport of certain corrosive and flammable liquids in a non-DOT specification 16 gauge, Type 304 stainless steel cylinders and/or 14 gauge Type 316 stainless steel cylinders. (Modes 1, 2, 3, and 4.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6557-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 6557</td>
<td>General Fire Extinguisher Corp., Northbrook, IL.</td>
<td>49 CFR 175.3, 176.26-4(c), 176.27-4(c), 178.50-4(d)</td>
<td>To authorize transport of certain corrosive and flammable liquids in a non-DOT specification open-head drum, for transportation of a certain Class A explosive. (Mode 1.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6558-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 6558</td>
<td>U.S. Department of Defense, Falls Church, VA.</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.65.</td>
<td>To authorize use of DOT Specification 3A, 3AA, 3AB, and 48B cylinders, for shipment of certain nonflammable compressed gases. (Modes 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6752-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 6752</td>
<td>3M Co., St. Paul, MN</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.201(a)(3), 173.304(a)(2)</td>
<td>To authorize use of DOT Specification 3A, 3AA, 3AX, 3AA2 or 3T cylinders forming part of a tube trailer or tube bank, for transportation of a liquefied compressed gas. (Modes 1, 2, and 3.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6756-P</td>
<td>DOT-E 6756</td>
<td>Union Helium Co., Ltd., Minato-ku, Tokyo, Japan.</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.318(a), 176.76(h)(4)</td>
<td>To authorize use of DOT Specification 3A, 3AA, and 3AX and/or 3T cylinders forming part of a tube trailer or tube bank, for transportation of a liquefied compressed gas. (Modes 1, 2, and 3.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6774-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 6774</td>
<td>HR Trucking, Inc., Pacoima, CA.</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.302(a)(2), 175.3</td>
<td>To authorize use of DOT Specification 3A, 3AA, and 3AX and/or 3T cylinders forming part of a tube trailer or tube bank, for transportation of a liquefied compressed gas. (Modes 1, 2, and 3.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7007-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 7007</td>
<td>Allied Universal Corp., Miami, FL.</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.314(c), 173.3</td>
<td>To authorize use of DOT Specification 3A, 3AA, and 3AX and/or 3T cylinders forming part of a tube trailer or tube bank, for transportation of a liquefied compressed gas. (Modes 1, 2, and 3.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Application numbers prefixed by the letters EE represent applications for Emergency Exemptions.**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application No.</th>
<th>Exemption No.</th>
<th>Applicant</th>
<th>Regulation(s) affected</th>
<th>Nature of exemption therof</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7052-P</td>
<td>DOT-E 7052</td>
<td>Tractor Applied Sciences, Inc., Alexandria, VA</td>
<td>49 CFR 172.101, 172.420, 175.3</td>
<td>To become a party to Exemption 7052. (Modes 1, 2, 3, and 4.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7052-P</td>
<td>DOT-E 7052</td>
<td>Maxwell Corp., of America, Moxie, NJ,</td>
<td>49 CFR 172.101, 172.420, 175.3</td>
<td>To become a party to Exemption 7052. (Modes 1, 2, 3, and 4.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7052-P</td>
<td>DOT-E 7052</td>
<td>TerraTek Geosciences Services, Salt Lake City, UT.</td>
<td>49 CFR 172.101, 172.420, 175.3</td>
<td>To become a party to Exemption 7052. (Modes 1, 2, 3, and 4.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7052-P</td>
<td>DOT-E 7052</td>
<td>Engineering Assemblies Corp., Clifton, NJ,</td>
<td>49 CFR 172.101, 172.420, 175.3</td>
<td>To become a party to Exemption 7052. (Modes 1, 2, 3, and 4.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7052-P</td>
<td>DOT-E 7052</td>
<td>Hughes Electronics Products Corp., Litchfield, MI.</td>
<td>49 CFR 172.101, 172.420, 175.3</td>
<td>To become a party to Exemption 7052. (Modes 1, 2, 3, and 4.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7052-P</td>
<td>DOT-E 7052</td>
<td>FABRIKA Ni-Cd BATERUA &quot;TERRCA&quot;, Gijon, Spain,</td>
<td>49 CFR 172.101, 172.420, 175.3</td>
<td>To become a party to Exemption 7052. (Modes 1, 2, 3, and 4.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7052-P</td>
<td>DOT-E 7052</td>
<td>American Meter Co., Philadelphia, PA.</td>
<td>49 CFR 172.101, 172.420, 175.3</td>
<td>To become a party to Exemption 7052. (Modes 1, 2, 3, and 4.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7052-P</td>
<td>DOT-E 7052</td>
<td>Preise Electronics, Redmond, WA.</td>
<td>49 CFR 172.101, 172.420, 175.3</td>
<td>To become a party to Exemption 7052. (Modes 1, 2, 3, and 4.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7053-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 7053</td>
<td>Twin Lake Chemical Co., Lockport, NY.</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.191(a)</td>
<td>To authorize transport of polyethylene containers mounted on single-axle US trailers, for transportation of certain nonflammable gases. (Mode 1.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7252-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 7252</td>
<td>E. I. du Pont de Nemours &amp; Co., Wilmington, DE.</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.93</td>
<td>To authorize transport of hydrogen, in portable gas cylinders. (Modes 1, 2, 3, and 4.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7286-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 7286</td>
<td>Liquid Carbonic Corp., Chicago, IL.</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.34(e)(15)</td>
<td>To authorize transport of hydrogen, in portable gas cylinders. (Modes 1, 2, 3, and 4.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7451-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 7451</td>
<td>Union Carbide Corp., Danbury, CT.</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.304, 173.315</td>
<td>To authorize transport of chlorine, in portable gas cylinders. (Modes 1, 2, 3, and 4.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7505-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 7505</td>
<td>Platte Chemical Co., Greely, CO.</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.29(m), 173.346(a), 173.356(a), 173.356(b)(2)</td>
<td>To authorize transport of chlorine, in portable gas cylinders. (Modes 1, 2, 3, and 4.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7948-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 7948</td>
<td>American Meter Co., Philadelphia, PA.</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.101, 172.420, 175.3</td>
<td>To authorize transport of chlorine, in portable gas cylinders. (Modes 1, 2, 3, and 4.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8060-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 8060</td>
<td>Padefier S.R.L., Paris, France</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.315(a)</td>
<td>To authorize transport of chlorine, in portable gas cylinders. (Modes 1, 2, 3, and 4.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8099-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 8099</td>
<td>Union Carbide Agricultural Products Co., Inc., Danbury, CT.</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.365(a)(15)</td>
<td>To authorize transport of chlorine, in portable gas cylinders. (Modes 1, 2, 3, and 4.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8131-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 8131</td>
<td>National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, DC.</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.361(d), 173.320(d), 173.34(d), 175.3</td>
<td>To authorize transport of chlorine, in portable gas cylinders. (Modes 1, 2, 3, and 4.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### RENEWAL AND PARTY TO EXEMPTIONS—Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application No.</th>
<th>Exemption No.</th>
<th>Applicant</th>
<th>Regulation(s) affected</th>
<th>Nature of exemption thereof</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8152-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 8152</td>
<td>Allied-Signal Inc., Morristown, NJ</td>
<td>49 CFR 177.824(b), 178.343-2</td>
<td>To authorize shipment of hydrochloric acid, solution in an unlabeled DOT Specification MC-312 cargo tank. (Mode 1.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8167-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 8167</td>
<td>Menasha Corp., New York, NY</td>
<td>49 CFR 177.827, 173.3</td>
<td>To authorize shipment of non-DOT specification composite cylinder, for a compressed nonliquefied gas. (Mode 1.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8178-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 8178</td>
<td>National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, DC</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.326(a), 173.343(h), 175.3</td>
<td>To authorize transport of flammable and corrosive liquids in non-DOT specification composite bags. (Modes 1, 2, and 3.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8184-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 8184</td>
<td>Trojan Corp., Salt Lake City, UT</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.65</td>
<td>To authorize shipment of liquid propellant samples, frozen, in non-DOT specification plywood boxes. (Mode 1.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8206-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 8206</td>
<td>Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, CA</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.145, 173.276, 173.336</td>
<td>To authorize use of non-DOT specification grinn welded steel cylinders, for transportation of nonflammable compressed gases. (Modes 1, 2, and 4.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8229-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 8229</td>
<td>Department of the Treasury, Washington, DC</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.100(b), 173.113(a)(1), 176.66</td>
<td>To authorize transport of packages containing not in excess of 35 grams of one type of explosive material or one explosive device, not exceeding 35 grams, in a paperboard carton packed in a DOT Specification 12H fiberboard box or a non-DOT specification corrugated fiberboard box. (Mode 1.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8236-P</td>
<td>DOT-E 8236</td>
<td>Ford Motor Co., Dearborn, MI</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.153, 173.154, 173.3</td>
<td>To become a party to Exemption 8236. (Modes 1, 2, and 3.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8238-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 8238</td>
<td>ASARCO Inc., New York, NY</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.114a, 173.154, 173.93</td>
<td>To authorize use of non-DOT specification tires for transportation of nonflammable compressed gases. (Modes 1, 2, and 4.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8540-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 8540</td>
<td>U.S. Department of the Army, Falls Church, VA.</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.159(a), (m), 173.253(c), 173.346(a), 176.340-7, 176.342-5, 178.343-5</td>
<td>To authorize transport of ammonium nitrate mixtures containing more than 60% ammonium nitrate with no organic binder at a non-located facility. (Mode 1.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8541-P</td>
<td>DOT-E 8541</td>
<td>Morton Thiokol, Inc., Bethesda City, UT</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.65, 173.96(e), 173.3</td>
<td>To authorize use of non-DOT specification cargo tanks designed and constructed in full compliance with DOT Specification MC-307/MC-312 except for bottom outlet valve variations for transportation of flammable or corrosive liquids or semi-solids. (Mode 1.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8551-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 8551</td>
<td>Streamline Manufacturing, Inc., vice Huber Mfg., Gulfport, MS</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.346(a), 176.340-7, 176.342-5, 178.343-5</td>
<td>To authorize manufacture, marking and sale of non-DOT specification tarpaulin-wrapped, double-bottom, fiberboard boxes. (Mode 1.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8554-P</td>
<td>DOT-E 8554</td>
<td>ECONEXPRESS Inc., Wheelton, IL</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.114(a), 173.154, 173.93</td>
<td>To authorize transport of liquid oxygen in DOT Specification MC-307/MC-312 except for bottom outlet valve variations for transportation of flammable or corrosive liquids or semi-solids. (Mode 1.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8555-P</td>
<td>DOT-E 8555</td>
<td>J. H. Van Amburg Explosives, Inc., Dallas, TX</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.114(a), 173.140-7, 176.342-5, 178.343-5</td>
<td>To authorize manufacture of non-DOT specification cargo tanks complying generally with DOT Specification MC-307/MC-312 except for bottom outlet valve variations for transportation of flammable or corrosive liquids or semi-solids. (Mode 1.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8556-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 8556</td>
<td>Everson Explosives, Inc., Monte, IL</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.114(a), 173.154, 173.93</td>
<td>To authorize use of non-DOT specification cargo tanks designed and constructed in full compliance with DOT Specification MC-307/MC-312 except for bottom outlet valve variations for transportation of flammable or corrosive liquids or semi-solids. (Mode 1.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8571-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 8571</td>
<td>EM Science, Cincinnati, OH</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.119(a)(2)(a), (b)</td>
<td>To authorize loading or unloading of ammonium nitrate mixtures containing more than 60% ammonium nitrate with no organic binder at a non-located facility. (Mode 1.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8621-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 8621</td>
<td>Atlantic &amp; Gulf Stevedores, Gulfport, MS</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.415(c)(3)</td>
<td>To authorize transport of certain blasting agents in a cement mixer motor vehicle. (Mode 1.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8676-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 8676</td>
<td>Y-Z Industries, Inc., Snyder, TX</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.302(a)(1), 173.304(a)(1), 173.304(b), 173.314(a), 175.3</td>
<td>To authorize transport of certain blasting agents in a cement mixer motor vehicle. (Mode 1.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8681-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 8681</td>
<td>Nelson Brothers, Inc., Parish, AL</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.114(a)</td>
<td>To authorize transport of certain blasting agents in a cement mixer motor vehicle. (Mode 1.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8844-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 8844</td>
<td>Boat, Inc., Ullings, MT</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.119(a)(m), 173.245(a), 173.346(a), 176.340-7, 178.342-5, 178.343-5</td>
<td>To authorize transport of certain blasting agents in a cement mixer motor vehicle. (Mode 1.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application No.</td>
<td>Exemption No.</td>
<td>Applicant</td>
<td>Regulation(s) affected</td>
<td>Nature of exemption thereof</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8904-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 8904</td>
<td>Keith Huber, Inc., Gulfport, MS</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.119 (a), (e), 173.245 (a), 173.364 (a), 178.340-7, 178.342-5, 178.342-6</td>
<td>To authorize use of a mixture containing 57% chloropicrin and 43% 1,3-dichloropropene, 1,2-dichloropropene and related hydrocarbons, respectively, by weight, in non-authorized DOT specification 50A drums. (Modes 1, 2, 3, and 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8910-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 8910</td>
<td>Canar Products, Limited Waterloo, Ontario, Canada.</td>
<td>49 CFR 178.19, 178.253, Part 173, Subpart F</td>
<td>To authorize use of a non-DOT specification 4L welded cylinders, for transportation of nonflammable gases. (Modes 1, 2, 3, and 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8936-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 8936</td>
<td>Great Lakes Chemical Corp., El Dorado, AR</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.357</td>
<td>To authorize use of a non-DOT specification 4L welded cylinders, for transportation of nonflammable gases. (Modes 1, 2, 3, and 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8938-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 8938</td>
<td>Cryogenic Services, Inc., Canton, GA</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.304 (a), 175.3</td>
<td>To authorize use of a non-DOT specification 4L welded cylinders, for transportation of nonflammable gases. (Modes 1, 2, 3, and 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8955-P</td>
<td>DOT-E 8955</td>
<td>Western Atlas International, Inc., Houston, TX</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.110(c)(1), 173.80(a), 173.80(d)</td>
<td>To authorize use of a non-DOT specification 4L welded cylinders, for transportation of nonflammable gases. (Modes 1, 2, 3, and 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8965-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 8965</td>
<td>Presswood Steel Tank Co., Inc., Milwaukee, WI</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.202(a), 173.3</td>
<td>To authorize use of a non-DOT specification 4L welded cylinders, for transportation of nonflammable gases. (Modes 1, 2, 3, and 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8966-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 8966</td>
<td>All Pure Chemical Co., Inc., Tracy, CA</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.265(a)(15), 173.277(a)(1), 178.205</td>
<td>To authorize use of a non-DOT specification 4L welded cylinders, for transportation of nonflammable gases. (Modes 1, 2, 3, and 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8966-P</td>
<td>DOT-E 8966</td>
<td>GPS Industries, City of Industry, CA</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.265(a)(15), 173.277(a)(1), 178.205</td>
<td>To authorize use of a non-DOT specification 4L welded cylinders, for transportation of nonflammable gases. (Modes 1, 2, 3, and 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8969-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 8969</td>
<td>McDonnell Douglas Corp., Saint Louis, MO</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.79(b), 173.92(b)</td>
<td>To authorize use of a non-DOT specification 4L welded cylinders, for transportation of nonflammable gases. (Modes 1, 2, 3, and 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8971-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 8971</td>
<td>N. Motcuttough/Nil Industries, Inc., Houston, TX</td>
<td>49 CFR 172.101 Column 4, 173.234</td>
<td>To authorize use of a non-DOT specification 4L welded cylinders, for transportation of nonflammable gases. (Modes 1, 2, 3, and 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8978-P</td>
<td>DOT-E 8978</td>
<td>Battery Engineering Inc., Hyde Park, MA</td>
<td>49 CFR 172.101, 175.3</td>
<td>To authorize use of a non-DOT specification 4L welded cylinders, for transportation of nonflammable gases. (Modes 1, 2, 3, and 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8986-P</td>
<td>DOT-E 8986</td>
<td>Cook Skurry Co., Salt Lake City, UT</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.1146(b)(9)</td>
<td>To authorize use of a non-DOT specification 4L welded cylinders, for transportation of nonflammable gases. (Modes 1, 2, 3, and 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8988-P</td>
<td>DOT-E 8988</td>
<td>Western Atlas International, Inc., Houston, TX</td>
<td>49 CFR 172.101, 173.110, 173.30, 175.30</td>
<td>To authorize use of a non-DOT specification 4L welded cylinders, for transportation of nonflammable gases. (Modes 1, 2, 3, and 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8990-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 8990</td>
<td>Pressure Pak, Inc., East Hampton, CT</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.302(a)(1), 173.3, 173.65-2, 173.65-5(a)(4)</td>
<td>To authorize use of a non-DOT specification 4L welded cylinders, for transportation of nonflammable gases. (Modes 1, 2, 3, and 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8991-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 8991</td>
<td>Lea-Ronal, Inc., Freeport, NY</td>
<td>49 CFR 172.400, 172.402(a)(2), 172.402(a)(3), 172.504(a), 172.126, 172.138, 172.237, 172.245, 172.35(a), 172.35(a)</td>
<td>To authorize use of a non-DOT specification 4L welded cylinders, for transportation of nonflammable gases. (Modes 1, 2, 3, and 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8999-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 8999</td>
<td>Scott Aviation Div. of Figgie International, Inc., Lancaster, NY</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.154, 175.3, 175.85, Part 172, Subpart C, Subpart D, E</td>
<td>To authorize use of a non-DOT specification 4L welded cylinders, for transportation of nonflammable gases. (Modes 1, 2, 3, and 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9011-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 9011</td>
<td>Van Leer Containers, Inc., Chicago, IL</td>
<td>49 CFR 175.3, 175.80, 175.88, 175.99, 178.118, 178.119, 178.126, 178.136, 178.168, 178.176, 178.177, 178.178, 178.180, 178.181, 178.182, 178.188, 178.99</td>
<td>To authorize use of a non-DOT specification 4L welded cylinders, for transportation of nonflammable gases. (Modes 1, 2, 3, and 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9014-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 9014</td>
<td>Hunter Drums, Limited, Bramalea Ontario, Canada.</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.202, 173.266</td>
<td>To authorize use of a non-DOT specification 4L welded cylinders, for transportation of nonflammable gases. (Modes 1, 2, 3, and 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9017-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 9017</td>
<td>Mobay Corp., Pittsburgh, PA</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.264(b)</td>
<td>To authorize use of a non-DOT specification 4L welded cylinders, for transportation of nonflammable gases. (Modes 1, 2, 3, and 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9024-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 9024</td>
<td>SELMI, Park, France</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.315</td>
<td>To authorize use of a non-DOT specification 4L welded cylinders, for transportation of nonflammable gases. (Modes 1, 2, 3, and 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9024-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 9024</td>
<td>Abal-Freez Grol, St. Laurent Blancy, France</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.315</td>
<td>To authorize use of a non-DOT specification 4L welded cylinders, for transportation of nonflammable gases. (Modes 1, 2, 3, and 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9034-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 9034</td>
<td>Aereo—The BOC Group, Inc., Murray Hill, NJ</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.302, 173.304, 173.328, 173.334, 175.3</td>
<td>To authorize use of a non-DOT specification 4L welded cylinders, for transportation of nonflammable gases. (Modes 1, 2, 3, and 4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9052-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 9052</td>
<td>Chemical Handling Equipment Co., Inc., Toledo, OH</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.119, 173.125, 178.19, 178.253, Part 173, Subpart F</td>
<td>To authorize use of a non-DOT specification 4L welded cylinders, for transportation of nonflammable gases. (Modes 1, 2, 3, and 4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### RENEWAL AND PARTY TO EXCEPTIONS—Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application No.</th>
<th>Exemption No.</th>
<th>Applicant</th>
<th>Regulation(s) affected</th>
<th>Nature of exemption thereof</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9108-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 9108</td>
<td>Atlas Powder Co., Dallas, TX</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.77</td>
<td>To authorize transport of pentacyantrithrene (PETN) wet with 25% water in a 4 mil polyethylene bag placed in a DOT specification 4H fiberboard box. ( Modes 1, 2, 3, and 4.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9120-P</td>
<td>DOT-E 9120</td>
<td>Western Atlas International, Inc., Houston, TX</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.304(a), 173.34(b), 173.5</td>
<td>To become a party to Exemption 9120. ( Modes 1, 2, 3, and 4.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9129-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 9129</td>
<td>Weider Corp., Groton, MA</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.34, Part 107, Appendix B</td>
<td>To authorize repacking, rebuilding, restocking, marking and sale of any DOT Specification 4B, 4BA and 4SW low pressure steel cylinders. ( Modes 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9138-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 9138</td>
<td>National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, DC</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.302(a), 173.34(b), 175.3</td>
<td>To authorize shipment of nitrogen in a fiber reinforced plastic full composite cylinder without a safety relief device. ( Modes 1, 4.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9275-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 9275</td>
<td>Commodex Co., Inc., Hunt Valley, MD</td>
<td>49 CFR Parts 100 through 199</td>
<td>To broaden the exceptions to specification packaging, marking and labeling requirements for certain ethyl alcohol formulations. ( Modes 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9275-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 9275</td>
<td>Hercules, Inc., Wilmington, DE</td>
<td>49 CFR Parts 100 through 199</td>
<td>To broaden the exceptions to specification packaging, marking and labeling requirements for certain ethyl alcohol formulations. ( Modes 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9280-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 9280</td>
<td>Great Electric Co., Waterford, NY</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.119(m)</td>
<td>To authorize use of DOT Specification MC-330 and MC-331 cargo tank, for transportation of flammable liquids which are also corrosive materials. ( Mode 1.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9338-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 9338</td>
<td>Allied-Signal Inc., Morristown, NJ</td>
<td>49 CFR 179.302(a)</td>
<td>To authorize manufacture, marking and sale of five-gallon and allot gation capacity removable head molded polyethylene drums for transportation of corrosive liquids and flammable liquids. ( Modes 1, 2, and 3.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9387-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 9387</td>
<td>Technical Products Corp., Portsmouth, VA</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.334</td>
<td>To authorize transport of Class B rocket motors with igniters installed. ( Modes 1, 2, and 4.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9426-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 9426</td>
<td>Rheem Manufacturing Co., Linden, NJ</td>
<td>49 CFR 178.19, Part 173, Subpart D. F.</td>
<td>To authorize use of specialty sealed DOT-2R containers in concrete filled steel drums (certified as DOT-7A) for one-time transport of disposal of not more than 500 million cubic feet of radium-226 in normal or special form without each shipper keeping a package test performance certification file. ( Mode 1.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9443-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 9443</td>
<td>Hercules Inc., Wilmington, DE</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.929(b), 175.3</td>
<td>To authorize use of non-DOT specification tank car which conforms to DOT Specification 111A10SW except for a thinner shell thickness in certain areas and for deviations in length of welds used in attaching bar pads. ( Mode 2.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9498-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 9498</td>
<td>Conference of Radiation Control Program Directors, Frankfort, KY</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.415(a), 173.431</td>
<td>To become a party of Exemption 9652. ( Modes 1, 4, and 5.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9603-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 9603</td>
<td>Tennessee Eastman Co., Kingsport, TN</td>
<td>49 CFR 171.2, 173.119, 173.125, 179.201-1.</td>
<td>To renew and to authorize cargo vessel as an additional mode of transportation. ( Modes 1, 3.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9602-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 9602</td>
<td>Western Atlas International, Inc., Houston, TX</td>
<td>49 CFR 172.103, 173.660(23), 175.3</td>
<td>To authorize manufacturing, marking and sale of five-gallon and six-gallon composite cylinder winding fiberglass reinforcement, for shipment of those flammable or corrosive liquids authorized in DOT-34 and DOT-6D/25 or 2S composite packagings. ( Modes 1, 2.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9631-X</td>
<td>DOT-E 9631</td>
<td>Space Ordinance Systems, Canyon Country, CA</td>
<td>49 CFR 172.65</td>
<td>To authorize manufacturing, marking and sale of non-DOT specification rotationally molded, composite crosslinked or non-crosslinked polyethylene and Teflon PFA plastic portable tank, for shipment of corrosive liquids, flammable liquids or oxidizers. ( Modes 1, 2.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### NEW EXEMPTIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application No.</th>
<th>Exemption No.</th>
<th>Applicant</th>
<th>Regulation(s) affected</th>
<th>Nature of exemption thereof</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9573-N</td>
<td>DOT-E 9573</td>
<td>Chevron Resources Co., Grants, NM</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.425(c)</td>
<td>To authorize use of a surface binding material on uranium ore in open top rail cars as a means to prevent loss of particularities from the rail cars instead of the normally required use of bonded transport vehicles. ( Mode 2.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9590-N</td>
<td>DOT-E 9590</td>
<td>Great Lakes Chemical Corp., West Lafayette, IN</td>
<td>49 CFR 175.357(b)(2), 173.3a</td>
<td>To authorize shipment of a liquid mixture containing 67.7 percent chloropicrin, Class B poison, in DOT Specification 56 steel drums, not exceeding 33-gallon capacity. ( Mode 1.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9644-N</td>
<td>DOT-E 9644</td>
<td>Atlas Powder Co., Dallas, TX</td>
<td>49 CFR 178.218-11(a)</td>
<td>To authorize manufacture, marking and sale of a DOT Specification 2G3 cylindrical fiberboard box tested once a year instead of once every six months, for shipment of certain Class A explosives. ( Mode 1.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9658-N</td>
<td>DOT-E 9658</td>
<td>Fluoroware, Inc., Chaska, MN</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.119, 173.268, 173.299(b), 173.19, 178.253, Part 713, Subpart F.</td>
<td>To authorize manufacture, marking and sale of non-DOT specification rotationally molded, composite crosslinked or non-crosslinked polyethylene and Teflon PFA plastic portable tank, for shipment of corrosive liquids, flammable liquids or oxidizers. ( Modes 1, 2.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9663-N</td>
<td>DOT-E 9663</td>
<td>Siege GmbH, Federal Republic Germany</td>
<td>49 CFR 178.134, 179.55a, Part 173</td>
<td>To authorize transport of a laser device containing a small quantity of methane in a passenger's carry-on or checked baggage. ( Mode 5.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9664-N</td>
<td>DOT-E 9664</td>
<td>Hughes Aircraft Co., El Segundo, CA</td>
<td>49 CFR Parts 100 through 199</td>
<td>To authorize battery plates containing lead peroxide to be shipped when packaged in a pallet-shrink wrap configuration. ( Mode 1.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9674-N</td>
<td>DOT-E 9674</td>
<td>General Battery Corp., Reading, PA</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.154</td>
<td>To authorize transport of a laser device containing a small quantity of methane in a passenger's carry-on or checked baggage. ( Mode 5.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9676-N</td>
<td>DOT-E 9676</td>
<td>EM Science, Cincinnati, OH</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.119(b)(4), 178.205</td>
<td>To authorize battery plates containing lead peroxide to be shipped when packaged in a pallet-shrink wrap configuration. ( Mode 1.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9686-N</td>
<td>DOT-E 9686</td>
<td>Fluoroware, Inc., Chaska, MN</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.119, 173.268, 173.299, 173.19, 178.25, 178.35a, Part 173, Subpart F.</td>
<td>To authorize shipment of certain flammable liquids contained in four inside glass bottles or PVC coated glass bottles of one gallon capacity each, overpacked in a corrugated fiberboard box conforming to DOT Specification 126B5, except for handles in the side panels of the box. ( Mode 1.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9686-N</td>
<td>DOT-E 9686</td>
<td>Fluoroware, Inc., Chaska, MN</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.119, 173.268, 173.299, 173.19, 178.25, 178.35a, Part 173, Subpart F.</td>
<td>To authorize battery plates containing lead peroxide to be shipped when packaged in a pallet-shrink wrap configuration. ( Mode 1.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
New Exemptions—Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application No.</th>
<th>Exemption No.</th>
<th>Applicant</th>
<th>Regulation(s) affected</th>
<th>Nature of exemption thereof</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9696-N</td>
<td>DOT-E 9696</td>
<td>Fluoroware, Inc., Chaska, MN</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.119, 173.268, 173.299, 178.19, 173.35, 173.35a, 49 CFR Part 173, Subpart F.</td>
<td>To authorize manufacture, marking and sale of non-DOT rotationally molded Teflon PFA container or 100 liter capacity with filament-wound fiberglass reinforcement and a high density polyethylene overpack, for shipment of those liquids authorized in DOT-34 and DOT-60/25 or 25L composite packagings. (Modes 1, 2.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9719-N</td>
<td>DOT-E 9719</td>
<td>Great Southern Airways, Orlando, FL</td>
<td>49 CFR 172.101, 173, 178.102</td>
<td>To authorize carriage of certain Class A, B and C explosives that are not permitted for shipment by air, or in quantities greater than those prescribed for shipment by air. (Mode 4.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9725-N</td>
<td>DOT-E 9725</td>
<td>Union Tank Car Co., Chicago, IL</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.124, 173, 314, 179.102</td>
<td>To authorize carriage of certain Class A, B and C explosives that are not permitted for shipment by air, or in quantities greater than those prescribed for shipment by air. (Mode 4.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE 3109-P</td>
<td>DOT-E 3109</td>
<td>U.S. Department of Defense, Falls Church, VA</td>
<td>49 CFR 173, 301(e), 173, 302(a)(1), 175.3</td>
<td>To authorize one-time shipment of common fireworks, Class C explosive, in non-DOT specification fiberboard boxes with inner flaps which do not meet and with no fiberboard flap fill-up pieces. (Mode 1.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE 9759-N</td>
<td>DOT-E 9759</td>
<td>West Salem Fireworks Manufacturing Co., Inc., West Salem, OH</td>
<td>49 CFR 173.108(a), 178.205, 753.30</td>
<td>To authorize one-time shipment of common fireworks, Class C explosive, in non-DOT specification fiberboard boxes with inner flaps which do not meet and with no fiberboard flap fill-up pieces. (Mode 1.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EE 9760-N</td>
<td>DOT-E 9760</td>
<td>Olin Corp., East Alton, IL</td>
<td>49 CFR 172.101 Column 8, 173.93(g)(1), 175.30</td>
<td>To authorize one-time shipment of Teflon PFA container or 100 liter capacity with filament-wound fiberglass reinforcement and a high density polyethylene overpack, for shipment of those liquids authorized in DOT-34 and DOT-60/25 or 25L composite packagings. (Modes 1, 2.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Denials

8983-X Request by Universal Propulsion Company, Inc., Phoenix, AZ to authorize transport of aircraft rocket engines, commercial, which do not comply with the requirements of 49 CFR 173.238, Note 1, as engines contain a small amount of Class B explosives denied April 16, 1987.

9411-N Request by Proco, Inc., Corpus Christi, TX to manufacture, mark and sell non-DOT specification cargo tanks similar to DOT Specification MC-307/312 except for bottom outlet valve variations, for shipment of various flammable, corrosive, or poison waste liquids or semisolids denied April 21, 1987.

Issued in Washington, DC, on May 11, 1987.


[FR Doc. 87-12497 Filed 6-1-87; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-60-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Internal Revenue Service

Commissioner’s Advisory Group; Open Meeting

There will be a meeting of the Commissioner’s Advisory Group on June 16 & 17, 1987. The meeting will be held in Room 3313 of the Internal Revenue Service Building. The building is located at 1111 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC. The meeting will begin at 8:00 a.m. on Tuesday, June 16, and 8:00 a.m. on Wednesday, June 17. The agenda will include the following topics:

Tuesday, June 16, 1987

Tax Reform

Attitude Towards the Taxpayer

Allocation of Resources

Informing Taxpayers of Errors and Adjustments and Other Information Responsibilities

Wednesday, June 17, 1987

Handling Controversies With Taxpayers

Taxpayer Advocacy

The meeting, which will be open to the public, will be in a room that accommodates approximately 50 people. If you would like to have the Committee consider a written statement, please call or write Robert F. Hilgen, Acting Executive Secretary, 1111 Constitution Ave., NW., Room 3014, Washington, DC 20224.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Robert F. Hilgen, Acting Executive Secretary. (202) 566-4143 (Not toll-free).

Lawrence B. Gibbs, Commissioner.

[FR Doc. 87-12502 Filed 6-1-87; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4830-01-M
Sunshine Act Meetings

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices of meetings published under the “Government in the Sunshine Act” (Pub. L. 94-409) 5 U.S.C. 552b(e)(3).

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

“Federal Register” CITATION OF PREVIOUS ANNOUNCEMENT: To be published June 1, 1987.

PREVIOUSLY ANNOUNCED TIME AND DATE OF MEETING: 2:00 pm (Eastern Time) Monday, June 9, 1987.

CORRECTION: 2:00 pm (Eastern Time) Tuesday, June 9, 1987.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Cynthia C. Matthews, Executive Officer, Executive Secretariat at (202) 634-0748.


Cynthia C. Matthews, Executive Officer, Executive Secretariat.
This Notice Issued May 29, 1987.

[FR Doc. 87-12601 Filed 5-29-87; 2:36 pm]
BILLING CODE 6705-01-M

FARM CREDIT ADMINISTRATION

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the Government in the Sunshine Act (5 U.S.C. 552b(e)(3)), of the forthcoming regular meeting of the Farm Credit Administration Board (Board). The regular meeting of the Board is scheduled for June 2, 1987.

DATE AND TIME: The meeting is scheduled to be held at the offices of the Farm Credit Administration in McLean, Virginia, on June 2, 1987, from 10:00 a.m. until such time as the Board may conclude its business.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: William A. Sanders, Jr., Secretary to the Farm Credit Administration Board, 1501 Farm Credit Drive, McLean, Virginia 22102-5090. (703-883-4010).

ADDRESS: Farm Credit Administration, 1501 Farm Credit Drive, McLean, Virginia 22102-5090.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Parts of this meeting of the Board will open to the public (limited space available), and parts of the meeting will be closed to the public. The matters to be considered at the meeting are:

1. Approval of Minutes.
2. Loan Documentation Related to Borrower Financial Statements.
3. Review of Financial Condition of Farm Credit System Institutions and Consideration of Certifying to the Treasury That the System is Need of Financial Assistance.
4. Examination and Enforcement Matters.

William A. Sanders, Jr., Secretary Farm Credit Administration.

[FR Doc. 87-12514 Filed 5-28-87; 4:47 pm]
BILLING CODE 6714-01-M

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION

Notice of Agency Meeting

Pursuant to the provisions of the “Government in the Sunshine Act” (5 U.S.C. 552b), notice is hereby given that at 2:05 p.m. on Tuesday, May 26, 1987, the Board of Directors of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation met in closed session, by telephone conference call, to consider matters relating to the possible failure of certain insured banks: Names and locations of banks authorized to be exempt from disclosure pursuant to subsections (c)(6), (c)(9)(A)(ii), and (c)(9)(B) of the “Government in the Sunshine Act” (5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(6), (c)(9)(A)(ii), and (c)(9)(B)).

At that same meeting, the Board also considered the application of Torrey Pines Bank, Solana Beach, California, an insured State nonmember bank, for consent to purchase assets of and assume liability to pay deposits made in the Rancho Santa Fe Branch of Glendale Federal Savings and Loan Association, Glendale, California, a non-FDIC-insured institution, and for consent to relocate its existing Rancho Santa Fe Branch from 6024 Paseo Delicias, Rancho Santa Fe, California, to 6009 Paseo Delicias, Rancho Santa Fe, California, the current location of the Rancho Santa Fe Branch of Glendale Federal Savings and Loan Association.

In calling the meeting, the Board determined, on motion of Director C. C. Hope, Jr. (Appointive), seconded by Director L. William Seidman, that Corporation business required the withdrawal from the agenda for consideration at the meeting, on less than seven days' notice to the public, of the following matters:

Application of Barnett Bank of Pinellas County, a proposed new bank to be located at 1901 Central Avenue, St. Petersburg, Florida, for Federal deposit insurance, for consent to merge, under its charter and title, with Barnett Bank of Pinellas County, National Association, Clearwater, Florida, and for consent to establish twenty-one existing and one approved, but unopened, offices of Barnett Bank of Pinellas County, National Association as branches of Barnett Bank of Pinellas County.

The Board further determined, by the same majority vote, that no earlier notice of the change in the subject matter of the meeting was practicable.


Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.
Hoyle L. Robinson, Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 87-12588 Filed 5-29-87; 1:04 pm]
BILLING CODE 6714-01-M

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION

Notice of Change in Subject Matter of Agency Meeting

Pursuant to the provisions of subsection (e)(2) of the “Government in the Sunshine Act” (5 U.S.C. 552b(e)(2)), notice is hereby given that at its open meeting held at 10:00 a.m. on Thursday, May 28, 1987, the Corporation's Board of Directors determined, on motion of Chairman L. William Seidman, seconded by Director C. C. Hope, Jr. (Appointive), concurred in by Director Robert L. Clarke (Comptroller of the Currency), that Corporation business required the withdrawal from the agenda for consideration at the meeting, on less than seven days' notice to the public, of the following matter:

Application of Barnett Bank of Pinellas County, a proposed new bank to be located at 1901 Central Avenue, St. Petersburg, Florida, for Federal deposit insurance, for consent to merge, under its charter and title, with Barnett Bank of Pinellas County, National Association, Clearwater, Florida, and for consent to establish twenty-one existing and one approved, but unopened, offices of Barnett Bank of Pinellas County, National Association as branches of Barnett Bank of Pinellas County.

The Board further determined, by the same majority vote, that no earlier notice of the change in the subject matter of the meeting was practicable.


Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.
Hoyle L. Robinson, Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 87-12590 Filed 5-29-87; 1:04 pm]
BILLING CODE 6714-01-M

Federal Register

Vol. 52, No. 105
Tuesday, June 2, 1987
Notice of Change in Subject Matter of Agency Meeting

Pursuant to the provisions of subsection (e)(2) of the "Government in the Sunshine Act" (5 U.S.C. 552b(e)(2)), notice is hereby given that at its closed meeting held at 10:30 a.m. on Thursday, May 28, 1987, the Corporation’s Board of Directors determined, on motion of Chairman L. William Seldman, seconded by Director, C. C. Hope, Jr. (Appointive), concurred in by Director Robert L. Clarke (Comptroller of the Currency), that Corporation business required the withdrawal from the agenda for consideration at the meeting, on less than seven days’ notice to the public, of the following matter:

Memorandum regarding the Corporation’s purchase of microcomputers.

The Board further determined, by the same majority vote, that no earlier notice of the change in the subject matter of the meeting was practicable; that the public interest did not require consideration of the matter in a meeting open to public observation; and that the matter could be considered in a closed meeting by authority of subsection (c)(2) of the "Government in the Sunshine Act" (5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(2)).


Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

Hoyle L. Robinson,
Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc. 87–12593 Filed 5–29–87; 1:18 pm]
BILLING CODE 6735–01–M

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM BOARD OF GOVERNORS

TIME AND DATE: 11:00 a.m., Monday, June 8, 1987.

PLACE: Marriner S. Eccles Federal Reserve Board Building, C Street entrance between 20th and 21st Street, NW., Washington, DC 20551.

STATUS: Closed.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. Personnel actions (appointments, promotions, assignments, reassignments, and salary actions) involving individual Federal Reserve System employees.

2. Any items carried forward from a previously announced meeting.


Jean H. Ellen,
Agenda Clerk.

[FR Doc. 87–12593 Filed 5–29–87; 1:18 pm]
BILLING CODE 6735–01–M

FEDERAL MINE SAFETY AND HEALTH REVIEW COMMISSION


TIME AND PLACE: 10:00 a.m., June 4, 1987.

PLACE: Room 600, 1730 K Street, NW., Washington, DC.

STATUS: Open.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED: The Commission will consider and act upon the following:

1. Quinland Coal Company, Docket No. WEVA 85–169. (Issues include whether the judge’s findings of violation are supported by substantial evidence whether the judge properly admitted certain evidence, and whether the judge erred in failing to address the issue of unwarrantable failure.)

Any person intending to attend this hearing who requires special accessibility features and/or auxiliary aids, such as sign language interpreters, must inform the Commission in advance of those needs. Subject to 20 CFR 2706.150(a)(3) and 2706.160(e).


Jean H. Ellen,
Agenda Clerk.

[FR Doc. 87–12593 Filed 5–29–87; 1:18 pm]
BILLING CODE 6735–01–M

UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

TIME AND DATE: Wednesday, June 3, 1987 at 10:00 a.m.

PLACE: Room 117, 701 E Street, NW., Washington, DC 20436.

STATUS: Open to the public.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. Agenda.

2. Minutes.

3. Ratifications.

4. Petitions and Complaints.

5. Inv. 731–TA–377 (P) (Internal combustion engine fork–lift trucks from Japan)—briefing and vote.

6. Inv. 731–TA–347, (F) (Certain malleable cast–iron pipe fittings from Japan)—briefing and vote.

7. Any item left over from previous agenda.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Kenneth R. Mason, Secretary, (202) 523–0161.

Kenneth R. Mason,
Secretary.


[FR Doc. 87–12624 Filed 5–29–87; 3:34 pm]
BILLING CODE 7020–02–M

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD

TIME AND DATE: 9:30 a.m., Tuesday, June 9, 1987.

PLACE: NTSB Board Room, Eighth Floor, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20594.

STATUS: The first three items will be open to the public. The last three items will be closed under Exemption 10 of the Government in the Sunshine Act.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:


3. Recommendation to FAA re Defective Carburetor Float and Automotive Gasoline.


FOR MORE INFORMATION, CONTACT: Bea Hardesty, Staff Assistant, (202) 382–6525.


[FR Doc. 87–12625 Filed 5–29–87; 3:44 pm]
BILLING CODE 7533–01–M
Environmental Protection Agency

40 CFR Parts 141, 142, and 144
Water Pollution Control; National Primary Drinking Water Regulations; Final Rule
AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: On June 19, 1986, the President signed into law the Safe Drinking Water Act Amendments of 1986. These amendments make changes to EPA's drinking water program. The purpose of this rule is to amend EPA's drinking water regulations so they are consistent with the statutory amendments and to incorporate those statutory provisions that have immediate or near-term effects on the regulated community.

EFFECTIVE DATE: This rule is effective June 2, 1987.

ADDRESSES: Additional information may be obtained at the EPA Headquarters, Office of Drinking Water, 401 M St. SW., Washington, DC 20460 and at the Drinking Water Supply Branches in EPA's Regional Offices. (“See SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION below.”)

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Susan MacMullin, Office of Drinking Water (WH-550D), Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460, Phone: (202) 475-8040.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents
I. Authority
II. Background
III. Safe Drinking Water Act Amendments of 1986
IV. Purpose of Today's Rule
V. Codification of the Regulations
VI. Rulemaking Requirements

EPA Regional Offices
I. JFK Federal Bldg., Room 2203, Boston, MA 02203, Phone: (617) 565-3715, Jerome Healey
II. 26 Federal Plaza, Room 824, New York, NY 10278, Phone: (212) 264-1800, Walter Andrews
III. 641 Chestnut St. Philadelphia, PA 19107, Phone: (215) 597-9873, John Capaccia
IV. 345 Courtyard Street, Atlanta, GA 30365, Phone: (404) 257-4450, Robert Jourdan
V. 230 S. Dearborn St., Chicago, IL 60604, Phone: (312) 533-2650, Joseph Harrison
VI. 1201 Elm St., Dallas, TX 75270, Phone: (214) 729-2656, James Graham
VII. 726 Minnesota Ave., Kansas City, KS 66101, Phone: (913) 236-2815, Gerald R. Foree
VIII. One Denver Place, 809 18th St., Suite 2400, Denver, CO 80202-2413, Phone: (303) 293-1424, Marc Alston
IX. 215 Fremont St., San Francisco, CA 94105, Phone: (415) 974-0076, William Thurston
X. 1200 Sixth Ave., Seattle, WA 98101, Phone: (206) 442-1225, Jerry Opatz

I. Authority

These regulations are issued under the authority of sections 1401, 1411, 1412, 1413, 1414, 1415, 1416, 1417, 1421, 1422, 1423, 1431, and 1450 of the Safe Drinking Water Act, 42 U.S.C. 300f, 300g, 300g-1, 300g-2, 300g-3, 300g-4, 300g-5, 300g-6, 300h, 300h-1, 300h-2, 300h-3, 300j, and 300j-9 as amended by the Safe Drinking Water Act Amendments of 1986 (Pub. L. 99-339, 100 Stat. 642 (1986)).

II. Background

The Safe Drinking Water Act ("SDWA") or "Act" (42 U.S.C. 300f, et seq.), enacted in 1974, requires EPA to establish primary drinking water regulations for public water systems. The SDWA includes provisions for interim and revised regulations with monitoring, reporting, and public notification requirements. The SDWA also has provisions for state primary enforcement responsibility, and variances and exemptions.

The Act also provides for an underground injection control (UIC) program designed to protect underground sources of drinking water from endangerment by the subsurface emplacement of fluids. EPA is to establish regulations that define effective State UIC programs. States may apply to assume primary enforcement responsibility for such programs. If a State does not assume primary, EPA must promulgate and administer the program in that State. The Act provides an alternative mechanism whereby States may assume primacy for the oil and gas portion of their UIC programs, and sets certain limitations on the requirements imposed on injection of fluid brought to the surface in connection with oil and natural gas production or natural gas storage operations.

III. Safe Drinking Water Act Amendments of 1986

The SDWA Amendments ("Amendments") were enacted on June 19, 1986. The Amendments change the procedures for establishing primary drinking water standards and set forth a schedule for EPA to follow in setting these standards for specified contaminants. In addition, the Amendments require EPA to establish criteria to determine which surface water supplies must install filtration and to promulgate a treatment technique regulation for disinfection. The Amendments also provide for monitoring of unregulated contaminants and modify the requirements for public notification of violations and the procedures for issuance of variances and exemptions.

Other significant features of the Amendments relate to enforcement; for example, the Administrator may issue an administrative order to require compliance with a regulation (only civil lawsuits were available before). The Amendments also prohibit the use of lead pipes, solder, and flux in public water systems and plumbing, which provide for human consumption, unless the pipes, solder, and flux are "lead free" as defined in the Act.

IV. Purpose of Today's Rule

Because of the Amendments, some of the current drinking water regulations no longer conform to the law. The purpose of this rule is to amend the regulations so that they are consistent with the statute and to incorporate certain requirements that are effective immediately or in the near term. By modifying the current EPA regulations to reflect the new statutory amendments, this notice serves to clarify the effect of the amendments on the responsibilities of the regulated community and indicates which parts of the existing regulations have been superseded by new requirements. This effort to bring EPA's regulations into line with the new statutory provisions also benefits the Agency's enforcement efforts. An important aspect of any effective enforcement program is to inform the regulated community of its responsibilities under the law. EPA is making these modifications to the existing rules through an interpretive rule. Interpretive rules are issued by an agency to advise the public of the agency's administration of the statutes for which it has authority. As an interpretive rule, this rule is not required to undergo notice and comment. Therefore, EPA is making these changes effective immediately. See the Administrative Procedures Act, 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(3)(A); Citizens to Save Spencer County V. EPA, 600 F.2d 844, 875 (D.C. Cir. 1979).
V. Codification of the Regulations
The following is a brief explanation of each of the sections which are codified in the regulations.

A. Public Water System Supervision Program

Part 141—National Primary Drinking Water Regulations

Section 141.2 Definitions. Section 1412(a)(2) of the Act, as amended, states that each “recommended maximum contaminant level” (“RMCL”) published before June 19, 1986, shall be treated as a “maximum contaminant level goal” (“MCLG”). This notice substitutes the new term for the old term in the definitions section, and throughout 40 CFR Part 141.

Section 141.43 Prohibition on use of lead pipes, solder, and flux and public notice. This is a new section which sets out the ban on the use of lead pipes, solder, and flux found in section 1417 of the Act. This notice codifies the general prohibition, including the deadline for state enforcement, penalties available against a State for failure to enforce the requirements of section 1417, and the Act’s definition of “lead free.” “Lead-free” materials are solders and flux containing not more than 0.2 percent lead, and pipes and pipe fittings containing not more than 8.0 percent lead. The public notification requirements for lead are not included in this notice. EPA proposed these requirements (to be codified in 40 CFR 141.34) as part of the amendments to the general public notification requirements (40 CFR 141.35) (52 FR 10972, April 6, 1987).

Part 142—National Interim Primary Drinking Water Regulations

Implementation
The title of Part 142 is being revised to delete the word “interim”.

Section 142.10. Requirements for a determination of primary enforcement responsibility. The SDWA Amendments revised sections 1412 (a) and (b) to change the terminology for primary drinking water regulations (from “interim” and “revised” to “national”) and set schedules for promulgating those regulations. Section 1413(a) of the Act, which sets out the requirements for a State to obtain primary enforcement responsibility for public water systems (i.e., “primacy”), was also amended to reflect the changes in terminology. This notice revises §142.10(a) to reflect this change.

Section 142.30 Failure by State to assure enforcement. Paragraphs (c), (d), and (e) which describe certain steps the Administrator must take before bringing an enforcement action have been removed because they are no longer required by the Act. EPA intends to propose and promulgate new regulations regarding enforcement of the Act, which implement the new enforcement provisions of the Amendments, at a later date.

Section 142.31 Federal action. This section explains when EPA may bring a civil enforcement action against a public water system. Many of the restrictions in this section no longer apply under the amended Act. Therefore, EPA is removing this section and reserving it until it promulgated new enforcement regulations.

Section 142.41 Variance request. The only change to this section is the insertion of the word “additional” before “interim control measures” to reflect this same change in section 1415(a)(1)(A)(ii) of the Act.

Section 142.42 Consideration of a variance request. Section 1415(a)(1)(A) of the SDWA has been amended to state that “[a] variance may only be issued to a State under the Act’s application of the best technology, treatment techniques, or other means, which the Administrator finds available (taking costs into consideration).” This notice amends §142.42 to reflect this change.

Section 142.43 Disposition of a variance request. This notice corrects an incorrect citation in §142.43(b)(1); in the last sentence “§141.44” has been changed to “§142.44”. This rule inserts the word “additional” before “control measures” in paragraph (c)(2) and before “interim control measures” in paragraph (f) to reflect the same change in section 1415(a)(1)(A)(ii). In addition, this rule revises paragraph (g). Section 1415(a)(1) of the Act previously required that the primacy agent issue a schedule for compliance and implementation of interim control measures within one year after issuing a variance. This section was amended to require that the primacy agent issue the variance and schedule at the same time. Paragraph (g), as revised, incorporates this change.

Section 142.44 Public hearings on variances and schedules. The only change to this section is the substitution of “and” between “variance” and “schedule” instead of “or.” This notice amends §142.44 to reflect the requirement that EPA must prescribe the schedule of compliance at the same time it grants a variance as specified in section 1415(a)(2), as amended.

Section 142.45 Action after hearing. Same as §142.44, above.

Section 142.54 Disposal of an exemption request. This notice revises §142.53 to reflect the requirement in section 1416(b)(1) of the Act, as amended, that a schedule for compliance and implementation of control measures be issued at the same time that an exemption is granted.

Section 142.55 Final schedule. As noted above Congress amended section 1416(b) of the Act to require that issuance of an exemption from a maximum contaminant level (MCL) or treatment technique requirement be accompanied by a schedule for compliance with the MCL or treatment technique requirement. In the case of an exemption granted with respect to an MCL or treatment technique requirement promulgated under section 1412(a) (i.e., national interim and revised primary drinking water regulations), the schedule must be issued by June 19, 1987. In the case of an exemption granted with respect to any other national primary drinking water regulation, the schedule must require compliance within 12 months after date of issuance of the exemption. This notice revises §142.55 to reflect these deadlines.

Section 142.56 Extension of compliance date. Under section 1416(b)(2)(B) of the Act, the final date for compliance may be extended provided that the public water system makes certain showings. In the case of a system which does not serve more than 500 service connections and which needs financial assistance to make the necessary improvements, section 1416(b)(2)(C) allows the compliance deadline to be extended for one or more additional two-year periods provided that the system establishes that it is taking all practicable steps to meet the requirements listed in section 1416(b)(2)(B). This notice adds a new section, §142.56, to reflect this change.

B. Underground Injection Control Program

Part 144 Underground Injection Control Program

Section 144.1 Purpose and scope of Part 144. This notice amends paragraph (d)(4) to clarify that emergency action may be taken to prevent contamination of underground sources of drinking water. This reflects the amendments to section 1431 of the Act.

Section 144.3. The definition of the SDWA has been amended.
Section 144.6 Classification of wells. This notice amends paragraph (b)(1) to reflect the amendments to section 1421(b)(5)(A) which clarify that wells which inject fluids which are brought to the surface in connection with natural gas storage operations are Class II wells.

Section 144.12 Prohibition of movement of fluid into underground sources of water. This notice adds a sentence to the end of paragraphs (b) and (c)(2) to clarify that orders issued under this section are only authorized under the appropriate provisions of the SDWA. In addition, paragraph (e), the emergency action provision, has been revised for compatibility with the new language in section 1431 of the SDWA by adding the phrase "or underground source of drinking water," and has also been revised to explain the conditions under which EPA may take emergency action.

VI. Rulemaking Requirements

A. Executive Order 12291: Regulatory Impact Analysis

Executive Order 12291 requires each Federal agency to determine if a regulation is a "major" rule as defined by the Order, and, "to the extent permitted by law," to prepare and consider a Regulatory Impact Analysis (RIA) in connection with every major rule. This action is not a "major" regulatory action as defined by the executive order. Therefore, EPA has not prepared an RIA. This rule was submitted to OMB for review. EPA received no written comments.

B. Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA) requires EPA to explicitly consider the effect of proposed regulations on small entities. If there is a significant impact on a substantial number of small systems, the RFA states that means should be sought to minimize the effects. Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601 et seq., I certify that this rule will not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities.

C. Paperwork Reduction Act

The Paperwork Reduction Act is intended to minimize the reporting burden on the regulated community as well as minimize the cost of Federal information collection and dissemination. There are no information collection requirements in this rule.

List of Subjects

40 CFR Part 141

Chemicals, Intergovernmental relations, Radiation protection, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Water supply.

40 CFR Part 142

Administrative practices and procedure, Chemicals, Radiation protection, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Intergovernmental relations, Water supply.

40 CFR Part 144

Classification of wells. Order authority, Emergency provision.


Lee M. Thomas,
Administrator.

PART 141—NATIONAL PRIMARY DRINKING WATER REGULATIONS

1. The authority citation for Part 141 is revised to read as follows:

[Authority: 42 U.S.C. 300g-1, 300g-3, 300g-5, 300j-4, and 300j-9.]

2. Section 141.2 is amended by revising paragraph (u) to read as follows:

§141.2 Definitions.

* * * * * *

(u) "Maximum contaminant level goal" or "MCLG" means the maximum level of a contaminant in drinking water at which no known or anticipated adverse effect on the health of persons would occur, and which allows an adequate margin of safety. Maximum contaminant level goals are nonenforceable health goals.

* * * * *

3. Subpart E is amended by revising the title and adding §141.43 to read as follows:

Subpart E—Special Regulations, Including Monitoring Regulations and Prohibition on Lead Use.

* * * * *

§141.43 Prohibition on use of lead pipes, solder, and flux.

(a) In general—(1) Prohibition. Any pipe, solder, or flux, which is used after June 19, 1986, in the installation or repair of—

(1) Any public water system, or

(2) Any plumbing in a residential or nonresidential facility providing water for human consumption which is connected to a public water system shall be lead free, as defined by paragraph (d) of this section. This paragraph (a)(1) shall not apply to leaded joints necessary for the repair of cast iron pipes.

(2) Each public water system shall identify and provide notice to persons that may be affected by lead contamination of their drinking water where such contamination results from either or both of the following:

(i) The lead content in the construction materials of the public water distribution system,

(ii) Corrosivity of the water supply sufficient to cause leaching of lead.

Notice shall be provided notwithstanding the absence of a violation of any national drinking water standard. The manner and form of notice are specified in §141.34 of this part.

(b) State enforcement—(1) Enforcement of prohibition. The requirements of paragraph (a)(1) of this section shall be enforced in all States effective June 19, 1988. States shall enforce such requirements through State or local plumbing codes, or such other means of enforcement as the State may determine to be appropriate.

(2) Enforcement of public notice requirements. The requirements of paragraph (a)(2) of this section, shall apply in all States effective June 19, 1988.

(c) Penalties. If the Administrator determines that a State is not enforcing the requirements of paragraph (a) of this section, as required pursuant to paragraph (b) of this section, the Administrator may withhold up to 5 percent of Federal funds available to that State for State program grants under section 1443(a) of the Act.

(d) Definition of lead free. For purposes of this section, the term "lead free"—

(1) When used with respect to solder and flux refers to solder and flux containing not more than 0.2 percent lead, and

(2) When used with respect to pipes and pipe fittings refers to pipes and pipe fittings containing not more than 6.0 percent lead.

4. In addition to the amendments set forth above, in 40 CFR Part 141 remove the words "recommended maximum contaminant level[s]" and add, in their place, the words "maximum contaminant level goal[s]", and remove the term "RMCL" and add, in its place, the term "MCLG".

PART 142—NATIONAL INTERIM PRIMARY DRINKING WATER REGULATIONS IMPLEMENTATION

1. The authority citation for Part 142 is revised to read as follows:

[Authority: 42 U.S.C. 300g-2, 300g-3, 300g-4, 300g-5, 300j-4, and 300j-9.]

2. The title of Part 142 is revised to read "National Primary Drinking Water Regulations Implementation".
3. Section 142.10 is amended to revise paragraph (a) to read as follows:

§ 142.10 Requirements for a determination of primary enforcement responsibility.

(a) Has adopted drinking water regulations which are no less stringent than the national primary drinking water regulations in effect under sections 1412(a) and 1412(b) of the Safe Drinking Water Act.

§ 142.20 [Amended]

4. Section 142.20 is amended by removing paragraphs (c), (d) and (e).

§ 142.31 [Removed and Reserved]

5. Section 142.31 is removed and reserved.

6. Section 142.41 is amended by revising paragraph (c) to read as follows:

§ 142.41 Variance request.

(c) * * *

(6) A plan for additional interim control measures during the effective period of variance.

7. In § 142.42, paragraph (c) is redesignated (d) and a new paragraph (c) is added to read as follows:

(c) A variance may only be issued to a system after the system's application of the best technology, treatment techniques, or other means, which the Administrator finds are available (taking costs into consideration).

8. Section 142.43 is amended by revising paragraphs (b)(1), (c)(2), (f), and (g) to read as follows:

§ 142.43 Disposition of a variance request.

(b) * * *

(1) For the type of variance specified in § 142.40(a) such notice shall provide that the variance will be terminated when the system comes into compliance with the applicable regulation, and may be terminated upon a finding by the Administrator that the system has failed to comply with any requirements of a final schedule issued pursuant to § 142.44.

(c) * * *

(2) Implementation by the public water system of such additional control measures as the Administrator may require for each contaminant covered by the variance.

(f) The proposed schedule for implementation of additional interim control measures during the period of variance shall specify interim treatment techniques, methods and equipment, and dates by which steps toward meeting the additional interim control measures are to be met.

(g) The schedule shall be prescribed by the Administrator at the time of granting of the variance, subsequent to provision of opportunity for hearing pursuant to § 142.44.

9. Section 142.44 is amended by revising paragraphs (a), (b) introductory text, (b)(3), (c)(2), and (f) to read as follows:

§ 142.44 Public hearings on variances and schedules.

(a) Before a variance and schedule proposed by the Administrator pursuant to § 142.43 may take effect, the Administrator shall provide notice and opportunity for public hearing on the variance and schedule. A notice given pursuant to the preceding sentence may cover the granting of more than one variance and a hearing held pursuant to such notice shall include each of the variances covered by the notice.

(b) Public notice of an opportunity for hearing on a variance and schedule shall be circulated in a manner designed to inform interested and potentially interested persons of the proposed variance and schedule, and shall include at least the following:

(3) Such notice shall include a summary of the proposed variance and schedule and shall inform interested persons that they may request a public hearing on the proposed variance and schedule.

(c) * * *

(2) A brief statement of the interest of the person making the request in the proposed variance and schedule, and of information that the requestor intends to submit at such hearing.

(f) The variance and schedule shall become effective 30 days after notice of opportunity for hearing is given pursuant to paragraph (b) of this section if no timely request for hearing is submitted and the Administrator does not determine to hold a public hearing on his own motion.

10. Section 142.45 is revised to read as follows:

§ 142.45 Action after hearing.

Within 30 days after the termination of the public hearing held pursuant to § 142.44, the Administrator shall, taking into consideration information obtained during such hearing and relevant information, confirm, revise or rescind the proposed variance and schedule.

11. Section 142.53 is amended by revising paragraph (d) to read as follows:

§ 142.53 Disposition of an exemption request.

(d) The schedule shall be prescribed by the Administrator at the time the exemption is granted, subsequent to provision of opportunity for hearing pursuant to § 142.54.

12. Section 142.54 is amended by revising paragraph (d) to read as follows:

§ 142.54 Public hearings on exemption schedules.

(d) The Administrator shall give notice in the manner set forth in paragraph (b) of this section of any hearing to be held pursuant to a request submitted by an interested person or on his own motion. Notice of the hearing shall also be sent to the person requesting the hearing, if any. Notice of the hearing shall include a statement of the purpose of the hearing, information regarding the time and location of the hearing, and the address and telephone number of an office at which interested persons may obtain further information concerning the hearing. At least one hearing location specified in the public notice shall be within the involved State. Notice of the hearing shall be given not less than 15 days prior to the time scheduled for the hearing.

13. Section 142.55 is amended by revising paragraph (b) to read as follows:

§ 142.55 Final schedule.

(b) Such schedule must require compliance as follows:

(1) In the case of an exemption granted with respect to a contaminant level or treatment technique requirement prescribed by the national primary drinking water regulations promulgated under section 1421(a) of the Safe Drinking Water Act, not later than June 19, 1987, and

(2) In the case of an exemption granted with respect to a contaminant level or treatment technique requirement prescribed by national primary drinking water regulations, other than a regulation referred to in section 1412(a), 12 months after the issuance of the exemption.

14. Section 142.56 is added to read as follows:
§ 142.56 Extension of date for compliance.

(a) The final date for compliance provided in any schedule in the case of any exemption may be extended by the Administrator (in any other case) for a period not to exceed 3 years after the date of the issuance of the exemption if the public water system establishes that:

(1) The system cannot meet the standard without capital improvements which cannot be completed within the period of such exemption;

(2) In the case of a system which needs financial assistance for the necessary improvements, the system has entered into an agreement to obtain such financial assistance; or

(3) The system has entered into an enforceable agreement to become a part of a regional public water system; and the system is taking all practicable steps to meet the standard.

(b) In the case of a system which does not serve more than 500 service connections and which needs financial assistance for the necessary improvements, an exemption granted under paragraph (a) (1) or (2) may be renewed for one or more additional 2-year periods if the system establishes that it is taking all practicable steps to meet the requirements of paragraph (a) of this section.

PART 144—UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL PROGRAM

1. The authority citation for Part 144 is revised to read as follows:


2. Section 144.1 is amended by revising paragraphs (a) and (d)(4) to read as follows:

§ 144.1 Purpose and scope of Part 144.


(d) * * *

(4) Section 1431 authorizes the Administrator to take action to protect the health of persons when a contaminant which is present in or may enter a public water system or underground source of drinking water presents an imminent and substantial endangerment to the health of persons.

* * * * *

3. Section 144.3 is amended by revising the definition of SDWA to read as follows:

§ 144.3 Definitions.

* * * * *

SDWA means the Safe Drinking Water Act (Pub. L. 93–523, as amended; 42 U.S.C. 300f et seq.).

* * * * *

4. Section 144.6 is amended by revising paragraph (b)(1) to read as follows:

§ 144.6 Classification of wells.

* * * * *

(b) * * *

(1) Which are brought to the surface in connection with natural gas storage operations, or conventional oil or natural gas production and may be commingled with waste waters from gas plants which are an integral part of production operations, unless those waters are classified as a hazardous waste at the time of injection.

* * * * *

5. Section 144.12 is amended by revising paragraphs (b), (c)(2) and (e) to read as follows:

§ 144.12 Prohibition of movement of fluid into underground sources of drinking water.

* * * * *

(b) For Class I, II and III wells, if any water quality monitoring of an underground source of drinking water indicates the movement of any contaminant into the underground source of drinking water, except as authorized under Part 146, the Administrator shall prescribe such additional requirements for construction, corrective action, operation, monitoring, or reporting (excluding closure of the injection well) as are necessary to prevent such movement. In the case of wells authorized by permit, these additional requirements shall be imposed by modifying the permit in accordance with § 144.39, or the permit may be terminated under § 144.40 if cause exists, or appropriate enforcement action may be taken if the permit has been violated. In the case of wells authorized by rule, see §§ 144.21 through 144.24. For EPA administered programs, such enforcement action shall be taken in accordance with appropriate sections of the SDWA.

(c) * * *

(2) Order the injector to take such actions (including, where required, closure of the injection well) as may be necessary to prevent the violation. For EPA administered programs, such orders shall be issued in accordance with the appropriate provisions of the SDWA or:

* * * * *

(e) Notwithstanding any other provision of this section, the Administrator may take emergency action upon receipt of information that a contaminant which is present in or likely to enter a public water system or underground source of drinking water may present an imminent and substantial endangerment to the health of persons. If the Director is an EPA official, he must first determine that the appropriate State and local authorities have not taken appropriate action to protect the health of such persons, before taking emergency action.
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Part III

Department of Justice

Bureau of Prisons

28 CFR Part 541

Control, Custody, Care, Treatment, and Instruction of Inmates; Final Rule
Summary of Changes/Comments

1. Section 541.10—To clearly indicate the scope of the Bureau's rule on Inmate Discipline, § 541.10(a) states that this rule applies to all persons committed to the care, custody, and control (direct or constructive) of the Bureau of Prisons. This includes, but is not limited to, inmates in pretrial status, inmates on writ, inmates on escort trips or furlough, and inmates who are returned to Bureau custody from contract facilities. Section 541.10(b)(6)(a) is amended to reflect the current standard as set forth in the Comprehensive Crime Control Act of 1984.

2. Section 541.11—In Table 1, paragraph 1—Dispositions, the wording, "Except for prohibited acts in the greatest or high severity categories" is added to specify those categories that may not be informally resolved by the writer of the report. With respect to prohibited acts in the greatest severity category, existing § 541.14(a) states that only the IDC may make a final disposition on such prohibited acts. The requirement as to prohibited acts in the high severity category is added because the consequences of these offenses can pose a serious threat to the security or orderly running of the institution and warrant further review by a higher institutional authority (e.g., a Lieutenant), before such charges are informally resolved or dropped. Also in this paragraph, and for purposes of clarity, the phrase "writer of the report" replaces "observing staff", because it is only the person initiating the disciplinary action who can informally resolve a moderate or low moderate prohibited act.

In Table 1, paragraph 2—Dispositions, the wording, "Except for prohibited acts in the greatest severity category" is again added to emphasize the language in § 541.14(a). In paragraph 5, the proposed wording, "but may not increase any valid disciplinary action taken" is replaced with, "but may not increase the sanctions imposed in any valid disciplinary action taken". This paragraph also recognizes that the Warden, Regional Director, or General Counsel may direct that the inmate be given a rehearing. Although the wording has changed, the intent remains the same.

Table 2, Time Limits in Disciplinary Process, is revised. The word "ordinarily" is added to "[ordinarily] maximum of 24 hours" to recognize that there may be occasions where it is not feasible to give the inmate a copy of the charges within 24 hours of the time staff become aware of the inmate's involvement in the incident (e.g., where the inmate is out of the institution). The final rule is further revised to read that the inmate receives an initial hearing within a "maximum ordinarily of 2 work days from the time staff became aware of the inmate's involvement in the incident." This excludes the day staff become aware of the inmate's involvement, weekends, and holidays. This language is consistent with the intent of § 541.13(b). The “Note” paragraph of Table 2, which previously stated that staff may suspend disciplinary proceedings for a period not to exceed "2 weeks", is revised to read "2 calendar weeks". It is the Bureau's intent to provide inmates this time period to informally resolve a situation before disciplinary proceedings are re-instituted.

3. Section 541.12—In § 541.12, item number 11 inserts the right of inmates to use their funds for commissary and other purchases, consistent with institution security and good order, for opening bank or savings accounts, and for assisting their families. Accordingly, in the responsibilities column, item number 11 states that inmates have the responsibility to show financial responsibility (see Part 545, Subpart B) including, but not limited to, meeting court-imposed assessments, fines, and restitution.

4. Section 541.13—Following an assessment of the Bureau's prohibited acts, several further revisions are being made to the listing of prohibited acts in Table 3 of § 541.13. These provisions are intended as statements of management policy, and are made to clarify the scope of the prohibited act, to provide greater specificity, and to help ensure institution security and good order. A summary is given below.

a. Code 101—The phrase, "[a charge for assaulting any person is to be used only when serious physical injury has been attempted or carried out by an inmate]", is added to limit the use of Code 101 to only those acts which are of a serious nature. Other prohibited acts, albeit physically threatening or offensive, must now be appropriately placed in a lesser severity category where a standard of serious physical injury cannot be demonstrated.

b. Code 109—To recognize the seriousness of the unauthorized use of narcotics, existing prohibited act 210 becomes new prohibited act 109. The unauthorized possession, introduction or use of narcotics, marijuana, drugs, or related paraphernalia can have an adverse, and, in many cases, highly dangerous effect on the security, good
order and discipline of the institution. This change places no additional requirements on the inmates, as such usage is prohibited in current policy. Rather, it is intended to recognize the serious nature of this prohibited act. By raising the prohibited act severity level, the Bureau is allowing the IDC greater flexibility in its efforts to deter drug abuse in the institution.

c. Code 110—Because it is necessary to parallel an inmate’s refusal to provide a urine sample or to take part in other drug-abuse testing with the use of drugs, existing prohibited act 214 becomes new prohibited act 110. If the refusing to provide a urine specimen or to take part in other drug-testing were to remain in the 200 series offense code, inmates who knew or suspected they would test positive for drugs could refuse to provide a urine sample, thereby avoiding disciplinary sanctions in the greatest severity category. This could seriously hinder the Bureau’s effort to detect, and eliminate drug usage within its institutions.

d. Code 218—The phrase, “or destroying, altering, or damaging life-safety devices (e.g., fire alarm) regardless of financial value” is added to Code 218 because such actions, and the potential consequences of the actions, are clearly considered within the scope of this prohibited act. In the past, staff had available Code 299 when violations of this nature occurred.

e. Code 227—Proposed new prohibited act 221 is reworded, but the intent remains the same.

i. Code 401—This code is reworded to indicate that it applies to inmates who possess clothing in excess of the amounts authorized for retention. Although the wording has changed, the intent remains the same.

j. Code 408—This code is revised by adding the phrase, “May be categorized and charged in terms of greater severity, according to the nature of the unauthorized use; e.g., the telephone is used for planning, facilitating, committing an armed assault on the institution’s secure perimeter, would be charged as Code 101. Assault. As with final prohibited act 214, this language is intended to provide direction to staff in the appropriate application of codes for violations of prohibited acts of a greater severity in nature. Although staff are currently authorized to use the “99” series of violations which constitute a higher severity scale, the Bureau believes specific guidance for code 406 is warranted.

A commenter suggests that the addition of codes 198, 298, 398, and 498, and the revision of codes 199, 299, 399, and 499 makes the Bureau’s rule even more “open-ended” and “...even more arbitrary”. We do not agree. The revised “99” code is intended to clearly recognize that the discipline rule applies to inmates who are committed to the care, custody and control of the Bureau of Prisons. This includes inmates both in and out of the institution (e.g., on escorted trip, furlough). The revised language also recognizes that the discipline rules are those set by the Bureau, not by the institution.

In addition of the “98” codes, involving interference with staff in their official work, provides institution staff with offense codes which can be used when a more specific code may not be clearly applicable. The commenter seems to be suggesting that the Bureau provide in the rule a “specific code offense” that will clearly address any misconduct for which a prisoner may be charged. This is what has been done in the past. While the Bureau favors specificity where possible, as shown by several of the current modifications, it is not possible to specifically encompass every possible violation. For example, chewing gum in our society is ordinarily thought of as a harmless item. However, in a prison, gum can cause serious problems in the security and orderly operation of an institution, and therefore it is not authorized for retention by inmates. Merely possessing of gum by an inmate, with no extenuating circumstances, would ordinarily be considered a moderate severity violation of code 305, “possession of anything not authorized for retention or receipt by an inmate...”. However, if the inmate puts the gum inside a security lock, the offense severity would be classified in a high category for “tampering with or blocking any lock device”, code 208. Perhaps because most security locks are of a value greater than $100.00, damage to government property in excess of $100.00 (code 218) would be an appropriate charge.

to carry this example one step further, suppose an inmate used gum to jam a cell lock during an assault, particularly where staff were unable to open the cell door. Putting gum in a lock which prevents staff from stopping an assault or from giving aid to an injured person is considerably more serious misconduct than possessing contraband, tampering with locking devices, or damage to government property, and would be classified as 100 series conduct of the greatest severity. Because there is no “specific code” in the 100 series, staff could charge the inmate with a violation of code 198, “interfering with a staff member in the performance of duties”, or code 193, “conduct which disrupts or interferes with the security or orderly running of the institution or the Bureau of Prisons”, as most comparable to prohibited act code 101, assaulting any person. We believe using 198 & 199 series offense codes in these situations is the most appropriate way to charge an inmate, especially where all of the variables of an incident cannot be predicted. There is enough specificity to these charges to put inmates on notice that disruptive or interfering actions are unacceptable conduct. Institution staff are thoroughly trained and tested in the discipline process and in the application of codes of offenses prior to being authorized to act on their own. The Institution Discipline Committee. An inmate who is determined by the discipline committee to have violated a code offense is provided, in writing, the specific evidence relied on to support the findings, and the reason for the sanction imposed. If, at the conclusion of the proceedings, the inmate believes the code offense inappropriate, or disagree any part of the committee findings in error, that inmate can appeal the finding through the Administrative Remedy Procedure.

k. Section 541.13, Table 4, Sanctions—In Table 4, paragraph 1, D., the word “acts” replaces the word “episodes”. The wording is changed but the intent remains the same. The final rule is further revised by deleting from the rule the examples previously cited. A discussion of what can warrant consecutive disciplinary segregation sanctions is now included in the internal implementing language to the rule.
1. Table 6 of § 541.13 is revised to recognize that an inmate who commits a second or third offense in the low moderate category is subject to placement in disciplinary segregation as set forth in Table 5. The statement that an inmate is to apply for a restoration of statutory good time is deleted from the note following Table 6. It is not necessary for the inmate to apply to receive consideration for restoration of good time. Also deleted from the language following Table 6 is the previous final rule requirement that the Regional Office approve a Community Treatment Center placement for an inmate with forfeited good time.

5. Section 541.15—In § 541.15 the phrase, “or play any significant part in having the charges referred to the UDC” is added to further ensure impartiality on the part of those members selected to serve on the Unit Discipline Committee. While this phrase is new, the intent of this section remains the same.

In § 541.15(a) the word “ordinarily” has been added to the phrase, “within 24 hours of the time staff became aware of the inmate’s involvement in the incident”. It is, and has been, the Bureau’s intent that only in unusual circumstances should the 24-hour rule not be observed. For clarity, § 541.15(b) is revised by substituting the sentence, “This two day work period excludes the day staff became aware of the inmate’s involvement in the incident, weekends, and holidays”. The intent of this section remains the same.

The word “shall” replaces “may” in the fourth sentence of § 541.15(c) which now states that an inmate’s refusal to appear at the hearing “shall be shown by a memorandum”. (Note—Similar changes are made in §§ 541.17(d), 541.20(c) and 541.22(c) with respect to showing the refusal of an inmate to appear before the IDC and for the refusal of an inmate in Special Housing status to appear before the reviewing authority at a formal review.)

In §§ 541.15(f) and 541.17(f), a commenter requested the Bureau acknowledge their interpretation of the “some facts” standard with respect to the Supreme Court ruling in Superintendent, MCI Wolpole v. Hill, 86 L Ed 2d 356 (1985). The Hill standard of “some facts” or “any facts”, establishes the minimum standard of evidence needed to support findings of misconduct and that this, the Bureau has added a “greater weight of the evidence” standard to apply in those cases where there is conflicting evidence.

6. Section 541.17—In proposed § 541.17(c), the phrase, “who have information directly relevant to the charge(s)” replaces, “when necessary for an appreciation of the circumstances surrounding the charge(s)”. The general intent of the paragraph is unchanged.

A commenter to paragraph (c) believes it is understandable to allow for a separate, confidential report, not available to the inmate, provided that the reason for the confidentiality is premised on jeopardizing or threatening institutional or individual security. The Bureau’s basis for employing a confidential requirement is indeed the potential threat non-confidentiality poses to security.

In § 541.17(d) the phrase, “may affirm the earlier action taken...”, may modify the finding of the original IDC as to the offense which was committed” is added to the proposed rule to fully state the responsibility of the IDC upon rehearing sanctions imposed in absentia. Although the wording in this latter section is new, the intent remains the same because § 541.17(f)(1) directs in part that the IDC shall consider all evidence presented at the hearing and shall find that the inmate committed the prohibited act charged, or a “similar prohibited act” if reflected in the Incident Report. The rule does not permit an increase in sanctions. Other modifications to this paragraph are made for the purpose of clarity and do not change the intent of the paragraph.

A commenter to paragraph (d) commends the Bureau for imposing a time limit for rehearing the case of an absent or escaped prisoner returned to custody, but questions the constraints imposed on staff when the case is not reheard within the specified time period. The rule referenced by the commenter refers to the rehearing of a case “ordinarily within 60 days” of the inmate’s arrival at the institution to which the inmate is designated after return to custody. Bureau staff are trained in the requirements of the discipline process and are responsible to adhere to these. Inmates who have not received a hearing within the specified time can raise this matter through the Administrative Remedy Procedure (Part 542, Subpart B). This review will allow alleged violations to be examined in light of the individual circumstances and, if indicated, for appropriate corrective action to be taken.

Section 541.17(e) is revised, and states that the IDC may, after considering the evidence, “refer the case back to the UDC for further information or disposition”. The Bureau believes the IDC, upon determining the available evidence in a case does not warrant IDC involvement, may return the case to the UDC for disposition at the UDC level, or, in the alternative, for the UDC to provide additional, sufficient evidence to warrant IDC action.

In 541.17(i) the phrase, “or if the IDC finds that the inmate has committed a prohibited act(s) other than the act(s) charged” is added. The new language is consistent with the language in § 541.17(f)(1). This same paragraph is further revised to state that the Incident Report must be changed to show “only the incident and code references to charges which were proved”. Although the wording of this paragraph is changed, the intent remains the same.

7. Section 541.19—The first paragraph of new proposed § 541.19 adds the phrase, “including ordering a rehearing” as one option available through the appeal process. The term “15 days” is clarified to read “15 calendar days from the date that the inmate receives the written notice”. Proposed paragraph § 541.19(b) is revised, but its intent is unchanged.

8. Section 541.21—The phrase, “per inmate” is added to paragraph (8) and the phrase, “including unit” is added to proposed paragraph (9). Unit staff are to arrange to visit inmates in special housing within a reasonable time after the inmate’s request.

9. Section 541.22—In the first paragraph of § 541.22, the word “self” is substituted for “himself”. Proposed § 541.22(a)(6)(i) is further revised to indicate that staff “ordinarily” within 90 days of an inmate’s placement in post-disciplinary detention shall either return the inmate to general population or “request regional level assistance” to effect a transfer to a more suitable institution. These changes are made to recognize that the action ordinarily is to occur within 90 days and that transfers between institutions require regional assistance.

Conclusion

Accordingly, pursuant to the rulemaking authority vested in the Attorney General in 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and delegated to the Director, Bureau of Prisons in 28 CFR 0.96(q), Chapter V of 28 CFR is amended as follows: In Subchapter C, Part 541, Subpart B is revised.


Norman A. Carlson,
Director, Bureau of Prisons.

In Subchapter C, Part 541, Subpart B is revised as follows:
SUBCHAPTER C—INSTITUTIONAL MANAGEMENT

PART 541—INMATE DISCIPLINE AND SPECIAL HOUSING UNITS

Subpart B—Inmate Discipline and Special Housing Units

§ 541.10 Purpose and scope.

(a) So that inmates may live in a safe and orderly environment, it is necessary for institution authorities to impose discipline on those inmates whose behavior is not in compliance with Bureau of Prisons rules. The provisions of this rule apply to all persons committed to the care, custody, and control (direct or constructive) of the Bureau of Prisons.

(b) The following general principles apply in every disciplinary action taken:

(1) Only institution staff may take disciplinary action.

(2) Staff shall take disciplinary action at such times and to the degree necessary to regulate an inmate's behavior within Bureau rules and institution guidelines and to promote a safe and orderly institution environment.

(3) Staff shall control inmate behavior in a completely impartial and consistent manner.

(4) Disciplinary action may not be capricious or retaliatory.

(5) Staff may not impose or allow imposition of corporal punishment of any kind.

(6) If it appears at any stage of the disciplinary process that an inmate is mentally ill, staff shall refer the inmate to a mental health professional for determination of whether the inmate is responsible for his conduct or is incompetent. Staff may take no disciplinary action against an inmate whom mental health staff determines to be incompetent or not responsible for his conduct.

(i) A person is not responsible for his conduct if, at the time of the conduct, the person, as a result of a severe mental disease or defect, was unable to appreciate the nature and quality or the wrongfulness of his acts. When a person is determined not responsible for his conduct, the Incident Report is to show as a finding that the person did not commit the prohibited act because that person was found not to be mentally responsible for his conduct.

(ii) A person is incompetent if that person lacks the ability to understand the nature of the disciplinary proceedings, or to assist in his defense at the proceedings. When a person is determined incompetent, the disciplinary proceedings shall be postponed until such time as the inmate is able to understand the nature of the disciplinary proceedings and to assist in his defense at those proceedings. If competency is not restored within a reasonable period of time, the Incident Report is to show as a finding that the inmate is incompetent to assist in his or her defense at the disciplinary proceedings.

§ 541.11 Notice to inmate of Bureau of Prison rules.

Staff shall advise each inmate in writing promptly after arrival at an institution of:

(a) The types of disciplinary action which may be taken by institution staff;

(b) The disciplinary system within the institution and the time limits thereof (see Tables 1 and 2);

(c) The inmate's rights and responsibilities (see § 541.12);

(d) Prohibited acts and disciplinary severity scale (see § 541.13, Tables 3, 4, and 5); and

(e) Sanctions by severity of prohibited act, with eligibility for restoration of forfeited and withheld statutory good time (see Table 6).

BILLING CODE 4410-05-M
### SUMMARY OF DISCIPLINARY SYSTEM

#### TABLE 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedures</th>
<th>Dispositions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Incident involving possible commission of prohibited act.</td>
<td>Except for prohibited acts in the greatest or high severity categories, the writer of the report may resolve informally or drop the charges.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff prepares Incident Report and forwards it to Lieutenant.</td>
<td>Except for prohibited acts in the greatest severity category, the Lieutenant may resolve informally, or drop the charges.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appointment of investigator who conducts investigation and forwards material to Unit Discipline Committee.</td>
<td>Unit Discipline Committee may drop or resolve informally any High, Moderate, or Low Moderate Charge, impose allowable sanctions or refer to Institution Discipline Committee.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial hearing before Unit Discipline Committee.</td>
<td>Institution Disciplinary Committee may impose allowable sanctions, or drop the charges.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hearing before Institution Discipline Committee.</td>
<td>The Warden, Regional Director, or General Counsel may approve, modify, reverse, or send back with directions, including ordering a rehearing, but may not increase the sanctions imposed in any valid disciplinary action taken.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appeals through Administrative Remedy Procedure.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**TIME LIMITS IN DISCIPLINARY PROCESS**

**TABLE 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Staff becomes aware of inmate's involvement in incident.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ordinarily maximum of 24 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Staff gives inmate notice of charges by delivering Incident Report.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>maximum ordinarily of 2 work days from the time staff became aware of the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>inmate's involvement in the incident. (Excludes the day staff become aware</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>of the inmate's involvement, weekends, and holidays.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>minimum of 24 hours (unless waived)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Initial hearing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Institution Discipline Committee hearing.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTE:** These time limits are subject to exceptions as provided in the rules.

Staff may suspend disciplinary proceedings for a period not to exceed two calendar weeks while informal resolution is attempted. If informal resolution is unsuccessful, staff may reinstitute disciplinary proceedings at the same stage at which suspended. The time requirements then begin running again, at the same point at which they were suspended.
§541.12 Inmate Rights and Responsibilities.

Rights
1. You have the right to expect that as a human being you will be treated respectfully, impartially, and fairly by personnel.
2. You have the right to be informed of the rules, procedures, and regulations concerning the operation of the institution.
3. You have the right to freedom of religious affiliation and voluntary religious worship.
4. You have the right to health care, which includes nutritious meals, proper bedding and clothing, and a laundry schedule for cleanliness of the same, an opportunity to shower regularly, proper ventilation for warmth and fresh air, a regular exercise program, toilet articles and medical and dental treatment.
5. You have the right to visit and correspond with family members and friends, and to correspond with members of the news media in keeping with Bureau rules and institution guidelines.
6. You have the right to unrestricted and confidential access to the courts by correspondence (on matters such as the legality of your confinement, civil matters, pending criminal cases, and conditions of your imprisonment).
7. You have the right to legal counsel, from an attorney of your choice by interviews and correspondence.
8. You have the right to participate in the use of law library reference materials if used in resolving legal problems. You also have the right to receive help when it is available through a legal assistance program.
9. You have the right to a wide range of reading materials for educational purposes and for your own enjoyment. These materials may include magazines and newspapers sent from the community, with certain restrictions.

Responsibilities
1. You have the responsibility to treat others, both employees and inmates, in the same manner.
2. You have the responsibility to know and abide by them.
3. You have the responsibility to recognize and respect the rights of others in this regard.
4. It is your responsibility not to waste food, to follow the laundry and shower schedule, to maintain neat and clean living quarters, to keep your area free of contraband, and to seek medical and dental care as you may need it.
5. It is your responsibility to conduct yourself properly during visits, not to accept or pass contraband, and not to violate the law or Bureau rules or institution guidelines through your correspondence.
6. You have the responsibility to present honestly and fairly your petitions, objections, and problems to the court.
7. It is your responsibility to use the services of an attorney honestly and fairly.
8. It is your responsibility to use those resources in keeping with the procedures and schedule prescribed and to respect the rights of other inmates to the use of the materials and assistance.
9. It is your responsibility to seek and utilize such materials for your own personal benefit, without depriving others of their equal rights to the use of this material.

10. You have the right to participate in education, vocational training and employment as far as resources are available, and in keeping with your interest, needs, and abilities.
11. You have the right to use your funds for commissary and other purchases, consistent with institution security and good order, for opening bank and/savings accounts, and for assisting your family.

§541.13 Prohibited acts and disciplinary severity scale.

(a) There are four categories of prohibited acts—Greatest, High, Moderate, and Low Moderate (see Table 3 for identification of the prohibited acts within each category). Specific sanctions are authorized for each category (see Table 4 for a discussion of each sanction). Imposition of a sanction requires that the inmate first is found to have committed a prohibited act.

(1) Greatest category offenses: The Institution Discipline Committee shall impose and execute one or more of sanctions A through E. The Committee may also suspend or execute any of the additional sanctions A through F. The Committee may impose and execute sanction F only in addition to execution of other sanctions. The institution Discipline Committee (IDC) may impose, suspend, or revoke and execute suspension of sanctions A through F. The IDC may impose, suspend, or revoke and execute sanctions B through P. Revocations and execution of suspensions may be made only at the level (IDC or UDC) which originally imposed the sanction.

(b) If the Unit Discipline Committee has previously imposed a suspended sanction and subsequently refers a case to the Institution Discipline Committee, the referral shall include an advisement that the IDC finds that the prohibited act was committed. If the Institution Discipline committee finds that the prohibited act was committed, they shall so advise the Unit Discipline Committee which may then revoke the previous suspension.

(c) A discipline committee may impose increased sanctions for repeated, frequent offenses according to the guidelines presented in Table 5.

(f) Sanctions by severity of prohibited act, with eligibility for restoration of forfeited and withheld statutory good time are presented in Table 6.

Table 3. Prohibited Acts and Disciplinary Severity Scale

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Prohibited acts</th>
<th>Sanctions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>Killing</td>
<td>A. Recommend parole rescission or detention.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101</td>
<td>Assaulting any person (includes sexual assault) or an armed assault on the institution's secure perimeter (a charge for assaulting any person to be used only when serious physical injury has been attempted or carried out by an inmate)</td>
<td>B. Forfeit earned statutory good time up to 20% and/or terminate or disallow extra good time (extra good time sanction may not be suspended).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102</td>
<td>Escape from secure institution (Security Level 2 through 6); or escape from a Security Level 1 institution with violence</td>
<td>C. Disciplinary Transfer (recommend).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>Setting a fire (charged with this act in this category only when found to pose a threat to life or a threat of harm to any one of the institution and/or the community) in the furtherance of a prohibited act of Greatest Severity, e.g., in furtherance of a riot or escape; otherwise the charge is properly classified Code 218, or 329</td>
<td>D. Disciplinary segregation (up to 60 days).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E. Make monetary restitution.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>F. Withhold statutory good time (Note—can be in addition to A through E—cannot be the only sanction executed).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Code</td>
<td>Prohibited acts</td>
<td>Sanctions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>Possession, manufacture, or introduction of a gun, firearm, weapon, sharpened instrument, knife, dangerous chemical, explosive or any ammunition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>Trespassing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106</td>
<td>Encouraging other to riot</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107</td>
<td>Taking hostages</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108</td>
<td>Possession, manufacture, or introduction of a hazardous tool (Tools most likely to be used in an escape or escape attempt or to serve as weapons capable of causing bodily harm to others; or those necessary to institutional security or personal safety; e.g., hack-saw blade)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>109</td>
<td>Possession, introduction, or use of any narcotics, marijuana, drugs, or related paraphernalia not prescribed for the individual by the medical staff</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>Refusing to provide a urine sample or to take part in other drug-abuse testing</td>
<td>Sanctions A-F.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118</td>
<td>Interfering with a staff member in the performance of duties (Conduct must be of the Greatest Severity nature). This charge is to be used only when another charge of greatest severity is not applicable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>119</td>
<td>Conduct which disrupts or interferes with the security or orderly running of the institution or the Bureau of Prisons (Conduct must be of the Greatest Severity nature). This charge is to be used only when another charge of greatest severity is not applicable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**High Category**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Prohibited acts</th>
<th>Sanctions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>Escape from unescorted Community Programs and activities and Open Institutions (Security Level 1) and from outside secure institutions—without violence</td>
<td>A. Recommend parole date rescission or retardation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201</td>
<td>Fighting with another person</td>
<td>B. Forfeit earned statutory good time up to 50% or up to 60 days, whichever is less, and/or terminate or disallow extra good time (an extra good time sanction may not be suspended).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202</td>
<td>(Not to be used)</td>
<td>C. Disciplinary transfer (recommend).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>203</td>
<td>Threatening another with bodily harm or any other offense</td>
<td>D. Disciplinary segregation (up to 30 days).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>204</td>
<td>Extortion, blackmail, protection: Demanding or receiving money or anything of value in return for protection against others, to avoid bodily harm, or under threat of informing</td>
<td>E. Make monetary restitution.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>205</td>
<td>Engaging in sexual acts</td>
<td>F. Withhold statutory good time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>206</td>
<td>Making sexual proposals or threats to another</td>
<td>G. Loss of privileges: commissary, movies, recreation, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>207</td>
<td>Wearing a disguise or a mask</td>
<td>H. Change housing (quarters).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>208</td>
<td>Possession of any unauthorized locking devices, or lock pick, or tampering with or blocking any lock device (includes keys)</td>
<td>I. Remove from program and/or group activity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>209</td>
<td>Adulteration of any food or drink</td>
<td>J. Loss of job.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210</td>
<td>(Not to be used)</td>
<td>K. Impound inmate's personal property.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>211</td>
<td>Possessing any officer's or staff clothing</td>
<td>L. Confracte contraband.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>212</td>
<td>Engaging in, or encouraging a group demonstration</td>
<td>M. Restrict to quarters.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>213</td>
<td>Encouraging others to refuse to work, or to participate in a work stoppage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>214</td>
<td>(Not to be used)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>215</td>
<td>Introduction of alcohol into BOP facility</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>216</td>
<td>Giving or offering an official or staff member a bribe, or anything of value</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>217</td>
<td>Giving money to, or receiving money from, any person for purposes of introducing contraband or for any other illegal or prohibited purposes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>218</td>
<td>Destroying, altering, or damaging government property, or the property of another person, having a value in excess of $100.00 or destroying, altering, or damaging life-safety devices (e.g., fire alarm) regardless of financial value</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219</td>
<td>Stalking (that is, this includes data obtained through the unauthorized use of a communications facility, or through the unauthorized access to disks, tapes, or computer printouts or other automated equipment on which data is stored)</td>
<td>A. Recommend parole date rescission or retardation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>220</td>
<td>Demonstrating, practicing, or using martial arts, boxing (except for use of a punching bag), wrestling, or other forms of physical encounter, or military exercises or drill</td>
<td>B. Forfeit earned statutory good time up to 25% or up to 30 days, whichever is less, and/or terminate or disallow extra good time (an extra good time sanction may not be suspended).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>221</td>
<td>Being in an unauthorized area with a person of the opposite sex without staff permission</td>
<td>C. Disciplinary transfer (recommend).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>222</td>
<td>Making, possessing, or using intoxicants</td>
<td>D. Disciplinary segregation (up to 15 days).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>223</td>
<td>Refusing to breathe into a breathalyzer or take part in other alcohol abuse testing</td>
<td>E. Make monetary restitution.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>224</td>
<td>Interfering with a staff member in the performance of duties (Conduct must be of the High Severity nature). The charge is to be used only when another charge of high severity is not applicable</td>
<td>F. Withhold statutory good time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>225</td>
<td>Counterfeiting, forgery or unauthorized reproduction of any document, article of identification, money, security, or official paper. (May be categorized in terms of greater severity according to the nature of the item being reproduced; e.g., counterfeiting release papers to effect escape, Code 102 or Code 200)</td>
<td>G. Loss of privileges: commissary, movies, recreation, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>226</td>
<td>Possessing money or currency, unless specifically authorized, or in excess of the amount authorized for which data is stored.</td>
<td>H. Change housing (quarters).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>227</td>
<td>Possession of anything not authorized for retention or receipt by the inmate, and not issued to him through regular channels.</td>
<td>I. Remove from program and/or group activity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>228</td>
<td>Refusing to obey an order or any staff member (may be categorized and charged in terms of greater severity, according to the nature of the order being disobeyed; e.g., failure to obey an order which furthers a riot would be charged as 105, Rioting; refusing to obey an order which furthers a fight would be charged as 201, Fighting; refusing to provide a urine sample when ordered would be charged as Code 110)</td>
<td>J. Loss of job.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>229</td>
<td>Conduct which disrupts or interferes with the security or orderly running of the institution or the Bureau of Prisons (Conduct must be of the High Severity nature). The charge is to be used only when another charge of high severity is not applicable</td>
<td>K. Impound inmate's personal property.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>230</td>
<td>Indecent exposure</td>
<td>L. Confracte contraband.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>231</td>
<td>(Not to be used)</td>
<td>M. Restrict to quarters.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>232</td>
<td>Measuring authorized medication.</td>
<td>N. Extra duty.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>233</td>
<td>Possession of money or currency, unless specifically authorized, or in excess of the amount authorized</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>234</td>
<td>Looting of property or anything of value for profit or increased return</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>235</td>
<td>Possession of anything not authorized for retention or receipt by the inmate, and not issued to him through regular channels.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>236</td>
<td>Refusing to work, or to accept a program assignment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>237</td>
<td>Refusing to obey an order or any staff member (may be categorized and charged in terms of greater severity, according to the nature of the order being disobeyed; e.g., failure to obey an order which furthers a riot would be charged as 105, Rioting; refusing to obey an order which furthers a fight would be charged as 201, Fighting; refusing to provide a urine sample when ordered would be charged as Code 110)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Moderate Category**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Prohibited acts</th>
<th>Sanctions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>304</td>
<td>Possession of anything not authorized for retention or receipt by the inmate, and not issued to him through regular channels.</td>
<td>A. Recommend parole date rescission or retardation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>305</td>
<td>Refusing to work, or to accept a program assignment</td>
<td>B. Forfeit earned statutory good time up to 25% or up to 30 days, whichever is less, and/or terminate or disallow extra good time (an extra good time sanction may not be suspended).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>306</td>
<td>Refusing to obey an order or any staff member (may be categorized and charged in terms of greater severity, according to the nature of the order being disobeyed; e.g., failure to obey an order which furthers a riot would be charged as 105, Rioting; refusing to obey an order which furthers a fight would be charged as 201, Fighting; refusing to provide a urine sample when ordered would be charged as Code 110)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>307</td>
<td>Failing to perform work as instructed by the supervisor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>308</td>
<td>Possession of anything not authorized for retention or receipt by the inmate, and not issued to him through regular channels.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>309</td>
<td>Insolence toward a staff member</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>310</td>
<td>Lying or providing false statement to a staff member</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>311</td>
<td>Counterfeiting, forgery or unauthorized reproduction of any document, article of identification, money, security, or official paper. (May be categorized in terms of greater severity according to the nature of the item being reproduced; e.g., counterfeiting release papers to effect escape, Code 102 or Code 200)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sanctions A-N.**
### Table 3. Prohibited Acts and Disciplinary Severity Scale—Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Prohibited acts</th>
<th>Sanctions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>317</td>
<td>Failure to follow safety or sanitation regulations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>318</td>
<td>Using any equipment or machinery which is not specifically authorized</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>319</td>
<td>Using any equipment or machinery contrary to instructions or posted safety standards</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320</td>
<td>Failing to stand count</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>321</td>
<td>Interfering with the taking of count</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>322</td>
<td>(Not to be used)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>323</td>
<td>(Not to be used)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>324</td>
<td>Gambling</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>325</td>
<td>Preparing or conducting a gambling pool</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>326</td>
<td>Possession of gambling paraphernalia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>327</td>
<td>Unauthorized contacts with the public</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>328</td>
<td>Giving money or anything of value to, or accepting money or anything of value from: other inmates, or any other person without staff authorization</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>329</td>
<td>Destroying, altering, or damaging government property, or the property of another person, having a value of $100.00 or less</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>330</td>
<td>Being unsanitary or unhydrid, failing to keep one's person and one's quarters in accordance with posted standards</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>331</td>
<td>Possession, manufacture, or introduction of a non-hazardous tool or other non-hazardous contraband (Tool not likely to be used in an escape or escape attempt, or to serve as a weapon capable of doing serious bodily harm to others, or not hazardous to institutional security or personal safety; Other non-hazardous contraband includes such items as food or cosmetics)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>332</td>
<td>Failing to stand count</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>333</td>
<td>Interfering with a staff member in the performance of duties (Conduct must be of the Moderate Severity nature) This charge is to be used only when another charge of moderate severity is not applicable relative to the security or orderly running of the institution or the Bureau of Prisons (Conduct must be of the Moderate Severity nature). This charge is to be used only when another charge of low moderate severity is not applicable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Low Moderate Category

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Prohibited acts</th>
<th>Sanctions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>Possession of property belonging to another person</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>401</td>
<td>Possessing unauthorized amount of otherwise authorized clothing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>402</td>
<td>Malnourishing, neglecting illness</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>403</td>
<td>Smoking where prohibited</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>404</td>
<td>Using abusive or obscene language</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>405</td>
<td>Tattling or self-mutilation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>406</td>
<td>Unauthorized use of mail or telephone (Restriction, or loss for a specific period of time, of these privileges may often be an appropriate sanction) (May be categorized and charged in terms of greater severity, according to the nature of the unauthorized use; e.g., the telephone is used for planning, facilitating, committing an armed assault on the institution's secure perimeter, would be charged as Code 101, Assault)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>407</td>
<td>Conduct with a visitor in violation of Bureau regulations (Restriction, or loss for a specific period of time, of these privileges may often be an appropriate sanction)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>408</td>
<td>Conducting a business</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>409</td>
<td>Unauthorized physical contact (e.g., kissing, embracing)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>416</td>
<td>Interfering with a staff member in the performance of duties (Conduct must be of the Low Moderate Severity nature) This charge is to be used only when another charge of low moderate severity is not applicable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>490</td>
<td>Conduct which disrupts or interferes with the security or orderly running of the institution or the Bureau of Prisons (Conduct must be of the Low Moderate Severity nature) This charge is to be used only when another charge of low moderate severity is not applicable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4—Sanctions

1. **Sanctions of the Institution Discipline Committee:** (upon finding the inmate committed the prohibited act)
   - (a) **Recommend parole date rescission or remandation.** The IDC may make recommendation to the U.S. Parole Commission for rescission or remandation of parole grants. This may require holding fact-finding hearings upon request of or for the use of the Commission.
   - (b) **Forfeit earned statutory good time and/or terminate or disallow extra good time.** The statutory good time available for forfeiture is limited to an amount computed by multiplying the number of months served at the time of the offense for which forfeiture action is taken, by the applicable monthly rate specified in 18 U.S.C., section 4161 (less any previous forfeiture or withholding outstanding). Disallowance of extra good time is limited to the extra good time for the calendar month in which the violation occurs. It may not be withheld or restored. The sanction of termination or disallowance of extra good time may not be suspended. Authority to restore forfeited statutory good time is delegated to only the Institution Discipline Committee of each institution. Limitations on this sanctions and eligibility for restoration are based on the severity scale. (See Table 6)

   (C) **Recommend disciplinary transfer.** The IDC may recommend that an inmate be transferred to another institution for disciplinary reasons. Where a present or impending emergency requires immediate action, the Warden may recommend for approval of the receiving Regional Director the transfer of an inmate prior to either a UDC or IDC hearing. Transfers for disciplinary reasons prior to a hearing before the UDC or IDC may be used only in emergency situations and only with approval of the receiving Regional Director. When an inmate is transferred under these circumstances, the sending institution shall forward copies of incident reports and other relevant materials with completed investigation to the receiving Institution's Institution Discipline Committee. The inmate shall receive a hearing at the receiving institution as soon as practicable under the circumstances to consider the factual basis of the charge of misconduct and the reasons for the emergency transfer. All procedural requirements applicable to UDC and IDC hearings contained in this rule are appropriate, except that written statements of unavailable witnesses are literally accepted instead of live testimony.

   (d) **Disciplinary segregation.** The IDC may direct that an inmate be placed or retained in disciplinary segregation pursuant to guidelines contained in this rule. Consecutive disciplinary segregation actions can be imposed and executed for inmates charged with and found to have committed offenses that are part of different acts only. Specific limits on time in disciplinary segregation are based on the severity scale. (See Table 6)

   (e) **Make monetary restitution.** The IDC may direct that an inmate reimburse the U.S. Treasury for any damages to U.S. Government property that the individual
is determined to have caused or contributed to. 

(f) Withholding statutory good time. The IDC may direct that an inmate’s good time be withheld. Withholding of good time should not be applied as a universal punishment to all persons in disciplinary segregation status. Withholding is limited to the total amount of good time creditable for the single month during which the violation occurs. 

Some offenses, such as refusal to work at an assignment, may be recurring, thereby permitting, when ordered by the Institution Discipline Committee, consecutive withholding actions. When this is the intent, the IDC shall specify at the time of the initial IDC hearing that good time may be withheld until the inmate elects to return to work. In addition, the Committee shall review, near the beginning of the month or at the 30-day review, the offense with the inmate. For an on-going offense, staff need not prepare a new Incident Report or conduct an investigation or initial hearing. The Committee shall provide the inmate an opportunity to appear in person and to present a statement orally or in writing. The IDC shall document its action on, or by an attachment to, the initial IDC report. If further withholding is ordered, the Committee shall advise the inmate of the inmate’s right to appeal through the Administrative Remedy Procedure (Part 542).

Only the Institution Discipline Committee may restore withheld statutory good time. Restoration eligibility is based on the severity scale. (See Table 6)

2. Sanctions of the Institution Discipline Committee/Unit Discipline Committee: (upon finding the inmate committed the prohibited act)

(g) Loss of privileges: commissary, movies, recreation, etc. The IDC or UDC may direct that an inmate forego leisure privileges, such as movies, television, and recreation, may be appropriate sanctions for misconduct which is not related to the privilege.

(h) Change housing (quarters). The IDC or UDC may direct that an inmate be removed from current housing and placed in other housing.

(i) Remove from program and/or group activity. The IDC or UDC may direct that an inmate be removed from present job and/or be assigned to another job.

(k) Impound inmate’s personal property. The IDC or UDC may direct that an inmate’s personal property be stored in the institution (when relevant to offense) for a specified period of time.

(l) Confiscate contraband. The IDC or UDC may direct that any contraband in the possession of an inmate be confiscated and disposed of appropriately.

(m) Restrict quarters. The IDC or UDC may direct that an inmate be confined to quarters or in its immediate area for a specified period of time.

(n) Extra duty. The IDC or UDC may direct that an inmate perform tasks other than those performed during regularly assigned institutional job.

(o) Reprimand. The IDC or UDC may reprimand an inmate either verbally or in writing.

(p) Warning. The IDC or UDC May verbally warn an inmate regarding committing prohibited act(s).

### TABLE 5.—SANCTIONS FOR REPEITION OF PROHIBITED ACTS WITHIN SAME CATEGORY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Prior offense (same code) within time period</th>
<th>Frequency of repeated offense</th>
<th>Sanction permitted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low Moderate (400 Series)</td>
<td>6 months</td>
<td>2d offense</td>
<td>Low Moderate Sanctions, plus: 1. Disciplinary segregation, up to 7 days. 2. Forfeit earned SGT up to 10% or up to 15 days, whichever is less, and/or terminate or disallow extra good time (EGT) (and EGT sanction may not be suspended). Any sanctions available in Moderate (200) and Low Moderate (400) series. Moderate Sanctions (A-E-M), plus: 1. Disciplinary segregation, up to 21 days. 2. Forfeit earned SGT up to 37 1/2% or up to 45 days, whichever is less, and/or terminate or disallow EGT (an EGT sanction may not be suspended). Any sanctions available in Moderate (200) and Low Moderate (400) series.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate (300 Series)</td>
<td>12 months</td>
<td>3d offense, or more</td>
<td>2d offense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High (200 Series)</td>
<td>16 months</td>
<td>3d offense, or more</td>
<td>2d offense</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TABLE 6.—SANCTIONS BY SEVERITY OF PROHIBITED ACT, WITH ELIGIBILITY FOR RESTORATION OF FORFEITED AND WITHHELD STATUTORY GOOD TIME

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Severity of act</th>
<th>Sanctions</th>
<th>Max. amt. of forl. SGT</th>
<th>Max. amt. W/H SGT</th>
<th>Elig. restoration forl. SGT</th>
<th>Elig. restoration W/H SGT</th>
<th>Max. dis seg 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Greatest</td>
<td>A-F</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Good time creditable for single month during which violation occurs. Applies to all categories.</td>
<td>24 mos.</td>
<td>18 mos.</td>
<td>60 days.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>A-M</td>
<td>50% or 60 days, whichever is less.</td>
<td></td>
<td>18 mos.</td>
<td></td>
<td>30 days.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>A-N</td>
<td>25% or 30 days, whichever is less.</td>
<td></td>
<td>12 mos.</td>
<td></td>
<td>15 days.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Moderate</td>
<td>E-P</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A (1st offense)</td>
<td>9 mos.</td>
<td>N/A (1st offense)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6 mos. (2nd or 3rd offense in same category within six months).</td>
<td>7 days (2nd offense).</td>
<td>15 days (3rd offense).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Restoration will be approved at the time of initial eligibility only when the inmate has shown a period of time with improved good behavior. When the IDC denies restoration of forfeited or withheld statutory good time, the IDC shall notify the inmate of the reasons for denial. The IDC establishes a new eligibility date, not to exceed six months from the date of denial. 

An inmate with an approaching parole effective date, or an approaching mandatory release or expiration date who also has forfeited good time may be placed in a Community Treatment Center only if that inmate is otherwise eligible under Bureau policy, and it more exists a legitimate documented need for such placement. The length of stay at the Community Treatment Center is to be held to the time necessary to establish residence and employment.
§ 541.14 Incident report and investigation.

(a) Incident report. The Bureau of Prisons encourages informal resolution (requiring consent of both parties) of incidents involving violations of Bureau regulations. However, when staff witnesses or has a reasonable belief that a violation of Bureau regulations has been committed by an inmate, and when staff considers informal resolution of the incident inappropriate or unsuccessful, staff shall prepare an Incident Report and promptly forward it to the appropriate Lieutenant. Except for prohibited acts in the Greatest Severity Category, the Lieutenant may informally dispose of the Incident Report or forward the Incident Report for investigation consistent with the section. The Lieutenant shall expunge the inmate's file of the Incident Report if informal resolution is accomplished. Only the IDC may make a final disposition on a prohibited act in the Greatest Severity Category.

(b) Investigation. Staff shall conduct the investigation promptly unless circumstances beyond the control of the investigator intervene. The investigating officer should be an employee of supervisory level and may not be the employee reporting the incident, or one who was involved in the incident, in question.

(1) When it appears likely that the incident may be the subject of criminal prosecution, the investigating officer shall suspend the investigation, and staff may not question the inmate until the Federal Bureau of Investigation or other investigative agency interviews have been completed or until the agency responsible for the criminal investigation advises that staff questioning may occur.

(2) The inmate may receive a copy of the Incident Report prior to being seen by the investigating agency. The investigating officer (Bureau of Prisons) shall give the inmate a copy of the Incident Report at the beginning of the investigation, unless there is good cause for delivery at a later date, such as absence of the inmate from the institution or a medical condition which argues against delivery. If the investigation is delayed for any reason, any employee may deliver the charge(s) to the inmate. The staff member shall note the date and time the inmate received a copy of the Incident Report. The investigator shall also read the charge(s) to the inmate and ask for the inmate's statement concerning the incident unless it appears likely that the

incident may be the subject of criminal prosecution. The investigator shall advise the inmate of the right to remain silent at all stages of the disciplinary process but that the inmate's silence may be used to draw an adverse inference against the inmate at any stage of the institutional disciplinary process. The investigator shall also inform the inmate that the inmate's silence alone may not be used to support a finding that the inmate has committed a prohibited act. The investigator shall then thoroughly investigate the incident. The investigator shall record all steps and actions taken on the Incident Report and forward all relevant material to the staff holding the initial hearing. The inmate does not receive a copy of the investigation. However, if the case is ultimately forwarded to the Institution Discipline Committee, the Committee shall give a copy of the investigation and other relevant materials to the inmate's staff representative for use in presentation on the inmate's behalf.

§ 541.15 Initial hearing.

The Warden shall delegate to one or more institution staff members the authority and duty to hold an initial hearing upon completion of the investigation. The Warden shall authorize these staff members to impose minor sanctions (G through P) for violation of prohibited act(s). In order to ensure impartiality, the appropriate staff member(s) (hereinafter usually referred to as the Unit Discipline Committee (UDC)) may not be the reporting or investigating officer or a witness to the incident, or play any significant part in having the charges referred to the UDC. However, a staff member witnessing an incident may serve on the UDC where virtually every staff member in the institution witnesses the incident in whole or in part. If the UDC finds at the initial hearing that an inmate has committed a prohibited act, the UDC may impose minor dispositions and sanctions. When an alleged violation of Bureau rules is serious and warrants consideration for other than minor sanctions, the UDC shall refer the charges to the Institution Discipline Committee for further hearing. The UDC must refer all greatest category charges to the IDC. The following minimum standards apply to initial hearings in all institutions.

(a) Staff shall give each inmate charged with violating a Bureau rule a written copy of the charge(s) against the inmate, ordinarily within 24 hours of the time staff became aware of the inmate's involvement in the incident.

(b) Each inmate so charged is entitled to an initial hearing before the UDC, ordinarily held within two work days from the time staff became aware of the inmate's involvement in the incident. This two work day period excludes the day staff became aware of the inmate's involvement in the incident, weekends, and holidays.

(c) The inmate is entitled to be present at the initial hearing except during deliberations of the decision maker(s) or when institutional security would be jeopardized by the inmate's presence. The UDC shall clearly document in the record of the hearing reasons for excluding an inmate from the hearing. An inmate may waive the right to be present at this hearing, provided that the waiver is documented by staff and reviewed by the UDC. A waiver may be in writing, signed by the inmate, or if the inmate refuses to sign a waiver, it shall be shown by a memorandum signed by staff and witnessed by a second staff member indicating the inmate's refusal to appear at the hearing. The UDC may conduct a hearing in the absence of an inmate when the inmate waives the right to appear. When an inmate escapes or is otherwise absent from custody, the UDC shall conduct a hearing in the inmate's absence at the institution in which the inmate was last confined.

(d) The inmate is entitled to make a statement and to present documentary evidence in the inmate's own behalf.

(e) The Unit Discipline Committee may informally resolve any High, Moderate, or Low Moderate charge. The UDC shall expunge the inmate's file of the Incident Report if informal resolution is accomplished.

(f) The Unit Discipline Committee shall consider all evidence presented at the hearing and shall make a decision based on at least some facts, and if there is conflicting evidence, it must be based on the greater weight of the evidence. The UDC shall take one of the following actions:

(1) Find that the inmate committed the prohibited act charged and/or a similar prohibited act if reflected in the Incident Report;

(2) Find that the inmate did not commit the prohibited act charged or a similar prohibited act if reflected in the Incident Report;

(3) Refer the case to the IDC for further hearing.
The UDC shall give the inmate a written copy of the decision and disposition by the close of business the next work day. Any action taken as a minor disposition is reviewable under the Administrative Remedy Procedure (see Part 542 of this Chapter).

(g) The UDC shall prepare a record of its proceedings which need not be verbatim. A record of the hearing and supporting documents are kept in the inmate’s file.

(h) When an alleged violation of Bureau rules is serious and warrants consideration for other than minor sanctions (G through P), the UDC shall refer the charge(s) without indication of findings as to commission of the alleged violation to the Institution Discipline Committee (IDC) for hearing and disposition. The UDC shall forward copies of all relevant documents to the chairman of the IDC with a brief statement of reasons for the referral along with any recommendations for appropriate disposition if the IDC finds the inmate has committed the act charged and/or a similar prohibited act.

(i) When charges are to be referred to the Institution Discipline Committee, the UDC shall advise the inmate of the rights afforded at a hearing before the IDC. The UDC shall ask the inmate to indicate a choice of staff representative, if any, and the names of any witnesses the inmate wishes to be called to testify at the hearing and what testimony they are expected to provide. The UDC shall advise the inmate that the inmate may waive the right to be present at the IDC hearing, but still elect to have witnesses any/or a staff representative appear in the inmate’s behalf at this hearing.

(j) When the Unit Discipline Committee holds a full hearing and determines that the inmate did not commit a prohibited act of High, Moderate or Low Moderate Severity, the UDC shall expunge the inmate’s file of the Incident Report and related documents. The UDC must refer to the Institution Discipline Committee all incidents involving prohibited acts of Greatest Severity.

(k) The UDC may extend time limits imposed in this section for a good cause shown by the inmate or staff and documented in the record of the hearing.

§ 541.16 Establishment and functioning of Institution Discipline Committee.

(a) The Warden shall establish a single Institution Discipline Committee. In the event of a serious disturbance or other emergency, or if an inmate commits an offense in the presence of the IDC, the Warden may establish more than one Institution Discipline Committee with approval of the appropriate Regional Director.

(b) The Warden may appoint as many members to the Institution Discipline Committee as are appropriate. At least three members, including the chairman, shall be present at any hearing to constitute a quorum. The chairman and at least one member present at the hearing must be of the department head level or higher. The third member and additional members of the Committee need not be of department head level.

For the purpose of this section, “department head” includes acting department head. In order to insure impartiality, no member of the IDC may be the reporting officer, investigator, or UDC member or a witness to the incident or play any significant part in having the charge(s) referred to the IDC. However, a staff member witnessing an incident may sit as a member of the IDC where virtually every staff member in the institution witnessed the incident in whole or in part.

(c) The Institution Discipline Committee shall conduct hearings, make findings, and impose appropriate sanctions for incidents of inmate misconduct referred to it for disposition following the hearing required by § 541.15 before the UDC. The IDC may not hear any case or impose any sanctions in a case not heard and referred by the UDC. Only the Institution Discipline Committee shall have the authority to impose or suspend sanctions A through F. This Committee shall conduct reviews of inmates placed in disciplinary segregation in accordance with the requirements of § 541.20.

§ 541.17 Procedures in Institution Discipline Committee hearings.

The Institution Discipline Committee shall proceed as follows:

(a) The Warden shall give an inmate advance written notice of the charge(s) against the inmate no less than 24 hours before the inmate’s appearance before the Institution Discipline Committee unless the inmate is to be released from custody within that time. An inmate may waive in writing the 24-hour notice requirement.

(b) The Warden shall provide an inmate the service of a full time staff member to represent the inmate at the hearing before the Institution Discipline Committee should the inmate so desire. The Warden, the members of the IDC, the reporting officer, investigator, or UDC officer, a witness to the incident, and UDC members involved in the case may not act as staff representative. The Warden may exclude other staff from acting as staff representative in a particular case when there is a potential conflict in roles. The staff representative shall be available to assist the inmate if the inmate desires by speaking to witnesses and by presenting favorable evidence to the IDC on the merits of the charge(s) or in extenuation or mitigation of the charge(s). The chairman shall arrange for the presence of the staff representative selected by the inmate. If the staff member selected declines or is unavailable because of absence from the institution, the inmate has the option of selecting another representative, or in the case of an absent staff member of waiting a reasonable period for the staff member’s return, or of proceeding without a staff representative. When several staff members decline this role, the Warden shall promptly appoint a staff representative to assist the inmate. The IDC shall afford a staff representative adequate time to speak with the inmate and interview requested witnesses where appropriate. While it is expected that a staff member will have had ample time to prepare prior to the hearing, delays in the hearing to allow for adequate preparation may be ordered by the chairman of the Institution Discipline Committee.

When it appears that the inmate is not able to properly make a presentation on his own behalf (for example, an illiterate inmate), the Warden shall appoint a staff representative for that inmate, even if one is not requested.

(c) The inmate is entitled to make a statement and to present documentary evidence in the inmate’s own behalf. An inmate has the right to submit names of requested witnesses and have them called to testify and to present documents in the inmate’s behalf, provided the calling of witnesses or the disclosure of documentary evidence does not jeopardize or threaten institutional or an individual’s security. The chairman shall call those witnesses who have information directly relevant to the charge(s) and who are reasonably available. This may include witnesses from outside of the institution. The appearance of the outside witness should be in an area of the institution in which outside visitors are usually
allowed. The chairman need not call repetitive witnesses. The reporting officer and other adverse witnesses need not be called if their knowledge of the incident is adequately summarized in the Incident Report and other investigative materials supplied to the IDC. The chairman shall request submission of written statements from unavailable witnesses who have information directly relevant to the charge(s). The chairman shall document reasons for declining to call requested witnesses in the IDC report, or, if the reasons are confidential, in a separate report, not available to the inmate. The inmate’s staff representative, or when the inmate waives staff representation members of the Committee, shall question witnesses requested by the inmate who are called before the IDC. The inmate who has waived staff representation may submit questions for writing to the Committee. The inmate may not question any witness at the hearing.

(d) An inmate has the right to be present throughout the Institution Discipline Committee hearing except during deliberations of the Committee or when institutional security would be jeopardized. The chairman must document in the record the reason(s) for excluding an inmate from the hearing. An inmate may waive the right to be present at the hearing, provided that the waiver is documented by staff and reviewed by the IDC. A waiver may be in writing, signed by the inmate, or if the inmate refuses to sign a waiver, it shall be shown by a memorandum signed by staff and witnessed by a second staff member indicating the inmate’s refusal to appear at the hearing. The Committee may conduct a hearing in the absence of an inmate when the inmate waives the right to appear. When an inmate escapes or is otherwise absent from custody, the Institution Discipline Committee shall conduct a hearing in the inmate’s absence at the institution in which the inmate was last confined. When an inmate returns to custody following absence during which sanctions were imposed by the IDC, the Warden shall have the charges reheard before the Institution Discipline Committee ordinarily within 60 days after the inmate’s arrival at the institution to which the inmate is designated after return to custody, and following appearance before the Unit Discipline Committee at that institution. The UDC shall ensure that the inmate has all rights required for appearance at the Institution Discipline Committee, including delivery of charge(s), advisement of the right to remain silent and other rights to be exercised at the IDC. All the applicable procedural requirements of Institution Discipline Committee hearings apply to this rehearing, except that written statements of witnesses not readily available may be liberally used instead of in-person witnesses. The IDC upon rehearing may affirm the earlier action taken, may dismiss the charge(s), may modify the finding of the original IDC as to the offense which was committed, or may modify but may not increase the sanctions previously imposed in the inmate’s absence.

(e) The IDC may refer the case back to the UDC for further information or disposition. The IDC may postpone or, at any time prior to making a decision as to whether or not a prohibited act was committed, may continue the hearing until a later date whenever further investigation or more evidence is needed. A postponement or continuance must be for good cause (determined by the IDC chairman) shown by the inmate or staff and should be documented in the record of the hearing.

(f) The IDC shall consider all evidence presented at the hearing and shall make a decision based on at least some facts, and if there is conflicting evidence, it must be based on the greater weight of the evidence. The Committee shall find that the inmate either:

(1) Committed the prohibited act charged and/or a similar prohibited act if reflected in the Incident Report; or

(2) Did not commit the prohibited act charged or a similar prohibited act if reflected in the Incident Report.

When the disciplinary committee decision is based on confidential informal information, the UDC or IDC shall document, ordinarily in the committee report, its finding as to the reliability of each confidential informant relied on and the factual basis for that finding. When it appears that this documentation in the committee report would reveal the confidential informant’s identity, the finding as to the reliability of each confidential informant relied on and the factual basis for that finding shall be made part of the hearing record in a separate report, prepared by the IDC chairman, not available to the inmate.

(g) The Institution Discipline Committee shall prepare a record of its proceedings which need not be verbatim. This record must be sufficient to document the advisement of inmate rights, the Committee’s findings, the Committee’s decision and the specific evidence relied on by the Committee, and must include a brief statement of the reasons for the sanctions imposed. The evidence relied upon, the decision, and the reasons for the actions taken must be set out in specific terms unless doing so would jeopardize institutional security. The IDC shall give the inmate a written copy of the decision and disposition, ordinarily within 10 days of the IDC’s decision.

(h) A record of the hearing and supporting documents are to be kept in the inmate’s central file.

(i) The Institution Discipline Committee shall expunge an inmate’s file of the Incident Report and related documents whenever the Committee finds the inmate did not commit a prohibited act. The requirement for expunging the inmate’s file does not preclude maintaining for research purposes copies of disciplinary actions resulting in “not guilty” findings in a master file separate from the inmate’s institution file. However, institution staff may not use or allow the use of the contents of this master file in a manner which would adversely affect the inmate. Likewise, the expungement requirement does not require the destruction of medical reports or other reports relating to a particular inmate which must be maintained to document medical or other treatment given in a special housing unit. If an inmate’s conduct during one continuous incident may constitute more than one prohibited act, and if the incident is reported in a single incident Report, and if the IDC finds the inmate has not committed every prohibited act charged, or if the IDC finds that the inmate has committed a prohibited act(s) other than the act(s) charged, then the IDC shall record its findings clearly and shall change the Incident Report to show only the incident and code references to charges which were proved. Institution staff may not use the existence of charged but unproved misconduct against the inmate.

§541.18 Dispositions of the Institution Discipline Committee.

The Institution Discipline Committee has available a broad range of sanctions and dispositions when it has completed a hearing. The Institution Discipline Committee may do any of the following:

(a) Dismiss any charge(s) before it upon a finding that the inmate did not commit the prohibited act(s). The IDC shall order the record of charge(s) expunged upon such finding.

(b) Impose sanctions A through P as provided in §541.13.

(c) Suspend the execution of a sanction it imposes as provided in §541.13.
§ 541.19 Appeals from Unit Discipline Committee or Institution Discipline Committee Actions.

At the time the Unit Discipline Committee or Institution Discipline Committee gives an inmate written notice of its decision, they shall also advise the inmate that the inmate may appeal the decision within 15 calendar days from the date that the inmate receives the written notice under Administrative Remedy Procedures (see Part 542 of this Chapter). On appeals, the Warden, Regional Director, or General Counsel may approve, modify, reverse, or send back with directions, any disciplinary action of the Unit Discipline Committee or Institution Discipline Committee but may not increase any valid sanction imposed. On appeals, the Warden, Regional Director, or General Counsel shall consider:

(a) Whether the Unit Discipline Committee or the Institution Discipline Committee substantially complied with the regulations on inmate discipline;

(b) Whether the Unit Discipline Committee or Institution Discipline Committee based its decision on some facts, and if there was conflicting evidence, whether the decision was based on the greater weight of the evidence; and

(c) Whether an appropriate sanction was imposed according to the severity level of the prohibited act.

§ 541.20 Justification for placement in disciplinary segregation and review of inmates in disciplinary segregation.

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, an inmate may be placed in disciplinary segregation only by order of the Institution Discipline Committee following a hearing in which the inmate has been found to have committed a prohibited act in the Great, High, or Moderate Category, or a repeated offense in the Low Moderate Category. The IDC may order placement in disciplinary segregation only when other available dispositions are inadequate to achieve the purpose of punishment and deterrence necessary to regulate an inmate’s behavior within acceptable limits.

(b) The Warden may temporarily (not exceeding five days) move an inmate to a more secure cell (which may be in an area ordinarily set aside for disciplinary segregation and which therefore requires the withdrawal or privileges ordinarily afforded in administrative detention status, until a hearing before the Institution Discipline Committee can be held) who (1) is causing a serious disruption (threatening life, serious bodily harm, or property) in

administrative detention, (2) cannot be controlled within the physical confines of administrative detention, and (3) upon advice of appropriate medical staff, does not require confinement in the institution hospital for mental or physical treatment, or who would ordinarily be housed in the institution hospital for mental or physical treatment. The inmate may be safely be housed there because the hospital does not have a room or cell with adequate security provisions. The Warden may delegate this authority no further than to the official in charge of the institution at the time the move is necessary.

(c) The Institution Discipline Committee shall conduct a hearing and formally review the status of each inmate who spends seven continuous days in disciplinary segregation and thereafter shall review these cases on the record in the inmate’s absence each week and shall conduct a hearing and formally review these cases at least once every 30 days. The inmate appears before the IDC at the 30-day hearings, unless the inmate waives the right to appear. A waiver may be in writing, signed by the inmate, or if the inmate refuses to sign a waiver, it shall be shown by a memorandum signed by staff and witnessed by a second staff member indicating the inmate’s refusal to appear at the hearing. Staff shall conduct a psychiatric or psychological assessment, including a personal interview, when disciplinary segregation continues beyond 30 days. The assessment, submitted to the Committee in a written report, shall address the inmate’s adjustment to surroundings and the threat the inmate poses to self, staff, and other inmates. Staff shall conduct a similar psychiatric or psychological assessment and report at subsequent one-month intervals if segregation continues for this extended period.

(d) The Institution Discipline Committee may release an inmate from disciplinary segregation earlier than the sanction initially imposed when the Committee finds that continuation in disciplinary segregation is no longer necessary to regulate the inmate’s behavior within acceptable limits or for fulfilling the purpose of punishment and deterrence which initially resulted in the inmate’s placement in disciplinary segregation status. The Committee may not increase any previously imposed sanction.

§ 541.21 Conditions of disciplinary segregation.

(a) Disciplinary segregation is the status of confinement of an inmate housed in a special housing unit in a cell either alone or with other inmates, separated from the general population. Inmates housed in disciplinary segregation have significantly fewer privileges than those housed in administrative detention.

(b) The Warden shall maintain for each segregated inmate basic living levels of decency and humane treatment, regardless of the purpose for which the inmate has been segregated. Living conditions may not be modified for the purpose of reinforcing acceptable behavior and different levels of living arrangements will not be established. Where it is determined necessary to deprive an inmate of a usually authorized item, staff shall prepare written documentation as to the basis for this action, and this document will be signed by the Warden, indicating the Warden’s review and approval.

(c) The basic living standards for segregation are as follows:

(1) Segregation conditions. The quarters used for segregation must be well-ventilated, adequately lighted, appropriately heated and maintained in a sanitary condition at all times. All cells must be equipped with beds. Strip cells may not be a part of the segregation unit. Any strip cells which are utilized must be a part of the medical facility and under the supervision and control of the medical staff.

(2) Cell occupancy. Except in emergencies, the number of inmates confined to each cell or room may not exceed the number for which the space was designated. The Warden may approve temporary excess occupancy if the Warden finds there is an emergency requiring this action.

(3) Clothing and bedding. An inmate in segregation may wear normal institution clothing but may not have a belt. Staff shall furnish a mattress and bedding. Cloth or paper slippers may be substituted for shoes at the discretion of the Warden. An inmate may not be segregated without clothing, mattress, blankets and pillow, except when prescribed by the medical officer for medical or psychiatric reasons. Inmates in special housing status will be provided, as nearly as practicable, the same opportunity for the issue and exchange of clothing, bedding, and linen, and for laundry as inmates in the general population. Exceptions to this procedure may be permitted only when found necessary by the Warden or designee. Any exception, and the reasons for this, must be recorded in the unit log.

(4) Food. Staff shall give a segregated inmate nutritionally adequate meals, ordinarily from the menu of the day for
the institution. Staff may dispense disposable utensils when necessary.

(5) **Personal hygiene.** Segregated inmates shall have the opportunity to maintain an acceptable level of personal hygiene. Staff shall provide toilet tissue, wash basin, tooth brush, eye glasses, shaving utensils, etc., as needed. Staff may provide a retrievable kit of toilet articles. Each segregated inmate shall have the opportunity to shower and shave at least three times a week, unless these procedures would present an undue security hazard. This security hazard will be documented and signed by the Warden, indicating the Warden’s review and approval. Inmates in special housing will be provided, where practicable, barbering and hair care services. Exceptions to this procedure may be permitted only when found necessary by the Warden or designee.

(6) **Exercise.** Staff will permit each segregated inmate no less than five hours exercise each week. Exercise should be provided in five one-hour periods, on five different days, but if circumstances require, one-half hour periods are acceptable if the five-hour minimum and different days schedule is maintained. These provisions must be carried out unless compelling security or safety reasons dictate otherwise. Institution staff shall document these reasons. Exercise periods, not to exceed one hour, may be withheld from an inmate by order of the Warden, upon recommendation of the Institution Discipline Committee. This recommendation may be made only following a hearing before the IDC, the hearing to be held in accordance with the provisions of § 541.17, following those provisions which are appropriate to these circumstances, and only upon a finding by the IDC that the actions of the segregated inmate pose a threat to the safety or health conditions of the unit.

(7) **Personal property.** Institution staff shall ordinarily impound personal property.

(8) **Reading material.** Staff shall provide a reasonable amount of nonlegal reading material, not to exceed five books per inmate at any one time, on a circulating basis. Staff shall provide the inmate opportunity to possess religious scriptures of the inmate’s faith. As to legal materials, see Part 543, Subpart B.

(9) **Supervision.** In addition to the direct supervision afforded by the unit officer, a member of the medical department and one or more responsible officers designated by the Warden (ordinarily a Lieutenant) shall see each segregated inmate daily, including weekends and holidays. Members of the program staff, including unit staff, shall arrange to visit inmates in special housing within a reasonable time after receiving the inmate’s request.

(10) **Correspondence and visits.** As to correspondence privileges, see Part 540, Subpart B. Staff shall make reasonable effort to notify approved social visitors of any necessary restriction on ordinary visiting procedures so that they may be spared disappointment and unnecessary inconvenience. If ample time for correspondence exists, staff may place the burden of this notification to visitors on the inmate. As to general visiting and telephone privileges, see Part 540, Subpart D and Subpart I. In respect to legal, religious, and privileged outgoing mail, the relevant regulations must be followed by institution staff (see Parts 540, 543, and 548 of this Chapter).

**§ 541.22 Administrative detention.**

Administrative detention is the status of confinement of an inmate in a special housing unit in a cell either by self or with other inmates which serves to remove the inmate from the general population.

(a) **Placement in administrative detention.** The Warden may delegate authority to place an inmate in administrative detention to Lieutenants. Prior to the inmate’s placement in administrative detention, the Lieutenant is to review the available information and determine whether the inmate’s placement in administrative detention is warranted. The Warden may place an inmate in administrative detention when the inmate is in holdover status (i.e., en route to a designated institution) during transfer, or is a new commitment pending classification. The Warden may also place an inmate in administrative detention when the inmate’s continued presence in the general population poses a serious threat to life, property, self, staff, other inmates or to the security or orderly running of the institution and when the inmate:

(1) Is pending a hearing for a violation of Bureau regulations;

(2) Is pending an investigation of a violation of Bureau regulations;

(3) Is pending investigation or trial for a criminal act;

(4) Is pending transfer;

(5) Requests admission to administrative detention for the inmate’s own protection, or staff determines that admission to or continuation in administrative detention is necessary for the inmate’s own protection (see § 541.23); or

(6) Is terminating confinement in disciplinary segregation and placement in general population is not prudent. The Institution Discipline Committee is to advise the inmate of this determination and the reasons for such action.

(ii) In Security Level 1 through 5 and in Administrative type institutions, staff ordinarily within 90 days of an inmate’s placement in post-disciplinary detention, shall, except for pretrial inmates, either return the inmate to the general inmate population or request regional level assistance to effect a transfer to a more suitable institution.

(iii) The Assistant Director, Correctional Programs Division, shall review for purpose of making a disposition, the case of an inmate not transferred from post-disciplinary detention within the timeframe specified in paragraph (a)(6)(i) of this section.

(iv) In Security Level 6 institutions, staff will attempt to adhere to the 90-day limit for an inmate’s placement in post-disciplinary detention. Because security needs required for an inmate in a Security Level 6 institution may not be available outside of post-disciplinary detention, the Warden may approve an extension of this placement upon determining in writing that it is not practicable to release the inmate to the general inmate population or to effect a transfer to a more suitable institution.

(v) The appropriate Regional Director and the Assistant Director, Correctional Programs Division, shall review for purpose of making a disposition, the case of an inmate in a Security Level 6 institution not transferred from post-disciplinary detention within the 90-day timeframe specified in paragraph (a)(6)(iii) of this section. A similar, subsequent review shall be conducted every 60-90 days if post-disciplinary detention continues for this extended period.

(b) **Memorandum detailing reasons for placement.** The Warden shall prepare a memorandum detailing the reasons for placing an inmate in administrative detention, with a copy given to the inmate, provided institutional security is not compromised thereby. Staff shall deliver this memorandum to the inmate within 24 hours of the inmate’s placement in administrative detention, unless this delivery is precluded by exceptional circumstances. A memorandum is not necessary for an inmate placed in administrative detention when this placement is a direct result of the inmate’s holdover status.

(c) **Review of inmate housed in administrative detention.** (1) Except as otherwise provided in paragraphs (c)(2) and (c)(3) of this section, the Warden shall designate appropriate staff to review the status of inmates housed in administrative detention. The reviewing
authority shall conduct a record review within three work days of the inmate’s placement in administrative detention and shall hold a hearing and formally review the status of each inmate who spends seven continuous days in administrative detention, and thereafter shall review these cases on the record (in the inmate’s absence) each week, and shall hold a hearing and review these cases formally at least every 30 days. The inmate appears before the reviewing authority at the hearing unless the inmate waives the right to appear. A waiver may be in writing, signed by the inmate, or if the inmate refuses to sign a waiver, it shall be shown by a memorandum signed by staff and witnessed by a second staff member indicating the inmate’s refusal to appear at the hearing. Staff shall conduct a psychiatric or psychological assessment, including a personal interview, when administrative detention continues beyond 30 days. The assessment, submitted to the reviewing authority in a written report, shall address the inmate’s adjustment to surroundings and the threat the inmate poses to self, staff and other inmates. Staff shall conduct a similar psychiatric or psychological assessment and report at subsequent one-month intervals should detention continue for this extended period. Administrative detention is to be used only for short periods of time except where an inmate needs long-term protection (see § 541.23), or where there are exceptional circumstances, ordinarily tied to security or complex investigative concerns. An inmate may be kept in administrative detention for longer term protection only if the need for such protection is documented by the reviewing authority. Provided institutional security is not compromised, the inmate shall receive at each formal review a written copy of staff’s decision and the basis for this finding. The reviewing authority shall release an inmate from administrative detention when reasons for placement cease to exist.

(2) The Warden shall designate appropriate staff to meet weekly with an inmate in administrative detention when this placement is a direct result of the inmate’s holdover status. Staff shall also review this type of case on the record each week.

(3) When an inmate is placed in administrative detention for protection, but not at that inmate’s request, the Warden or designee is to review the inmate’s status within two work days of this placement to determine if continued protective custody is necessary. A formal hearing is to be held within seven days of the inmate’s placement (see § 541.23, Protection Cases).

(d) Conditions of administrative detention. The basic level of conditions as described in § 541.21(c) for disciplinary segregation also apply to administrative detention. If consistent with available resources and the security needs of the unit, the Warden shall give an inmate housed in administrative detention the same general privileges given to inmates in the general population. This includes, but is not limited to, providing an inmate with the opportunity for participation in an education program, library services, social services, counseling, religious guidance and recreation. Unless there are compelling reasons to the contrary, institutions shall provide commissary privileges and reasonable amounts of personal property. An inmate in administrative detention shall be permitted to have a radio, provided that the radio is equipped with ear plugs. Exercise periods, at a minimum, will meet the level established for disciplinary segregation and will exceed this level where resources are available. The Warden shall give an inmate in administrative detention visiting, telephone, and correspondence privileges in accordance with Part 540 of this Chapter. The Warden may restrict for reasons of security, fire safety, or housekeeping the amount of personal property that an inmate may retain while in administrative detention.

§ 541.23 Protection cases.

(a) Staff may consider the following categories of inmates as protection cases:

(1) Victims of inmate assaults;
(2) Inmate informants;
(3) Inmates who have received inmate pressure to participate in sexual activity;

(4) Inmates who seek protection through detention, claiming to be former law enforcement officers, informants, or others in sensitive law enforcement positions, whether or not there is official information to verify the claim;

(5) Inmates who have previously served as inmate gun guards, dog caretakers, or in similar positions in state or local correctional facilities;

(6) Inmates who refuse to enter the general population because of alleged pressures from other unidentified inmates;

(7) Inmates who will not provide, and as to whom staff cannot determine, the reason for refusal to return to the general population; and

(8) Inmates about whom staff has good reason to believe the inmate is in serious danger of bodily harm.

(b) Inmates who are placed in administrative detention for protection, but not at their own request or beyond the time when they feel they need to be detained for their own protection, are entitled to a hearing, no later than seven days from the time of their admission (or from the time of their detention beyond their own consent). This hearing is conducted in accordance with the procedural requirements of § 541.17, as to advance written notice, staff representation, right to make a statement and present documentary evidence, to request witnesses, to be present throughout the hearing, and advance advisement of inmate rights at the hearing, and as to making a record of the proceedings.

(c) Ordinarily, staff may place an inmate in administrative detention as provided in paragraph (a) of this section relating to protection cases, for a period not to exceed 90 days. Staff shall clearly document in the record the reasons for any extension beyond this 90-day period.

(d) Where appropriate, staff shall first attempt to place the inmate in the general population of their particular facility. Where inappropriate, staff shall clearly document the reason(s) and refer the case, with all relevant material, to their Regional Director, who, upon review of the material, may order the transfer of a protection case.
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