

6-2-86
Vol. 51 No. 105
Pages 19747-19816

Monday
June 2, 1986

Federal Register

Briefings on How To Use the Federal Register—

For information on briefings in Seattle, WA, and San Francisco, CA, see announcement on the inside cover of this issue.



FEDERAL REGISTER Published daily, Monday through Friday, (not published on Saturdays, Sundays, or on official holidays), by the Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Administration, Washington, DC 20408, under the Federal Register Act (49 Stat. 500, as amended; 44 U.S.C. Ch. 15) and the regulations of the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register (1 CFR Ch. I). Distribution is made only by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402.

The **Federal Register** provides a uniform system for making available to the public regulations and legal notices issued by Federal agencies. These include Presidential proclamations and Executive Orders and Federal agency documents having general applicability and legal effect, documents required to be published by act of Congress and other Federal agency documents of public interest. Documents are on file for public inspection in the Office of the Federal Register the day before they are published, unless earlier filing is requested by the issuing agency.

The **Federal Register** will be furnished by mail to subscribers for \$300.00 per year, or \$150.00 for 6 months, payable in advance. The charge for individual copies is \$1.50 for each issue, or \$1.50 for each group of pages as actually bound. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402.

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the **Federal Register**.

Questions and requests for specific information may be directed to the telephone numbers listed under **INFORMATION AND ASSISTANCE** in the **READER AIDS** section of this issue.

How To Cite This Publication: Use the volume number and the page number. Example: 51 FR 12345.

THE FEDERAL REGISTER: WHAT IT IS AND HOW TO USE IT

FOR: Any person who uses the Federal Register and Code of Federal Regulations.

WHO: The Office of the Federal Register.

WHAT: Free public briefings (approximately 2 1/2 hours) to present:

1. The regulatory process, with a focus on the Federal Register system and the public's role in the development of regulations.
2. The relationship between the Federal Register and Code of Federal Regulations.
3. The important elements of typical Federal Register documents.
4. An introduction to the finding aids of the FR/CFR system.

WHY: To provide the public with access to information necessary to research Federal agency regulations which directly affect them. There will be no discussion of specific agency regulations.

SEATTLE, WA

WHEN: July 22; at 1:30 pm.

WHERE: North Auditorium,
Fourth Floor, Federal Building,
915 2nd Avenue, Seattle, WA.

RESERVATIONS: Call the Portland Federal Information Center on the following local numbers:

Seattle	206-442-0570
Tacoma	206-383-5230
Portland	503-221-2222

SAN FRANCISCO, CA

WHEN: July 24; at 1:30 pm.

WHERE: Room 2007, Federal Building,
450 Golden Gate Avenue,
San Francisco, CA.

RESERVATIONS: Call the San Francisco Federal Information Center, 415-556-6600

Contents

Federal Register

Vol. 51, No. 105

Monday, June 2, 1986

Agricultural Marketing Service

RULES

- Nectarines, pears, plums, and peaches grown in California Correction, 19747
- Oranges (Valencia) grown in Arizona and California, 19747

Agriculture Department

- See* Agricultural Marketing Service; Commodity Credit Corporation; Federal Grain Inspection Service; Food Safety and Inspection Service; Forest Service

Air Force Department

NOTICES

- Meetings:
Scientific Advisory Board, 19780

Civil Rights Commission

NOTICES

- Meetings; State advisory committees:
Arkansas, 19775
New York, 19776
(2 documents)

Coast Guard

RULES

- Anchorage regulations:
California, 19752
- Drawbridge operations:
South Carolina, 19754

PROPOSED RULES

- Drawbridge operations:
Maine, 19756
- Great Lakes pilotage:
Rates increase, etc., 19759

NOTICES

- Meetings:
Lower Mississippi River Waterway Safety Advisory Committee, 19804
New York Harbor Traffic Management Advisory Committee, 19805

Commerce Department

See also International Trade Administration

NOTICES

- Agency information collection activities under OMB review, 19776

Commodity Credit Corporation

NOTICES

- Contract fees, annual:
Cotton storage agreement, 19766
Grain and rice storage agreement; uniform, 19767

Consumer Product Safety Commission

NOTICES

- Agency information collection activities under OMB review, 19780

Customs Service

NOTICES

- Trade name recordation applications:
Baltimore Luggage Co., 19809

Defense Department

See also Air Force Department

NOTICES

- Meetings:
Education Benefits Board of Actuaries, 19780
Retirement Board of Actuaries, 19780

Education Department

NOTICES

- Grantback arrangements; award of funds., 19781

Energy Department

See also Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

NOTICES

- Environmental statements; availability, etc.:
High-level nuclear waste repository; nomination of five sites, 19783

Federal Aviation Administration

RULES

- Airworthiness directives:
Cessna, 19748
- Terminal control areas, 19749

PROPOSED RULES

- Airworthiness directives:
Cessna, 19755

NOTICES

- Advisory circulars; availability, etc.:
Aircraft powerplant instruments (displays); guidelines, 19805
Airport noise compatibility program:
St. Louis Regional Airport, 19805

Federal Emergency Management Agency

PROPOSED RULES

- Flood insurance program:
Flood plain management standards, claims, coverage, rating, and sale of insurance, etc., 19759

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

NOTICES

- Electric rate and corporate regulation filings:
Arizona Public Service Co. et al., 19792
Environmental statements; availability, etc.:
Bluestone Energy Design, Inc., et al., 19795
Pacific Malibu Development Corp. et al., 19790
Pickering, Fredrick Earl, et al., 19789
Hydroelectric applications, 19790
Small power production and cogeneration facilities;
qualifying status:
J.A. Jones Construction Co., 19791
Prime Energy Ltd. Partnership, 19792
Stone & Webster Development Corp., 19792
Applications, hearings, determinations, etc.:
ANR Pipeline Co., 19784
Boston Edison Co., 19785
Central Maine Power Co., 19785
Conoco Inc., 19786
Diamond Shamrock Exploration Co., 19786
Sonat Exploration Co., 19787
Southern Natural Gas Co., 19788
Sun Exploration & Production Co. et al., 19788
Williston Basin Interstate Pipeline Co., 19789

Federal Grain Inspection Service**NOTICES**

Agency designation actions:

- Arkansas, 19771
- Michigan, 19771
- Ohio, 19768
- Ohio et al., 19769
- Oklahoma, 19770
- Texas, 19769

Federal Highway Administration**NOTICES**

Meetings:

- Commercial Motor Vehicle Safety Regulatory Review Panel, 19806

Federal Maritime Commission**NOTICES**

Agreements filed, etc., 19796

Federal Reserve System**NOTICES**

Meetings; Sunshine Act, 19811

Applications, hearings, determinations, etc.:

- First Rainsville Bancshares, Inc., 19796
- Hartford National Corp. et al., 19797
- Lloyds Bank PLC, 19797
- Standard Chartered PLC, 19798

Financial Management Service

See Fiscal Service

Fiscal Service**RULES**

Government Losses in Shipment Act, claims; advice of shipment, 19750

Fish and Wildlife Service**PROPOSED RULES**

Endangered and threatened species:

- American alligator, 19760

Food and Drug Administration**RULES**

Biological products:

- Technical amendments and corrections, 19750

Food Safety and Inspection Service**PROPOSED RULES**

Meat and poultry inspection:

- Imported products; list of eligible countries—Great Britain, 19755

Foreign Assets Control Office**RULES**

Libyan sanctions, 19751

Forest Service**NOTICES**

Land and resource management planning schedules, 19772

Health and Human Services Department

See Food and Drug Administration

Interior Department

See Fish and Wildlife Service; Land Management Bureau; National Park Service

Internal Revenue Service**NOTICES**

Procedural rules:

- Proposed tax regulations; inspection and copying of public comments, 19810

International Trade Administration**NOTICES**

Meetings:

- Export control policy forum on reexport controls, 19777
- Applications, hearings, determinations, etc.:*
 - Geological Survey, 19778
 - Oregon State University, 19779
 - Research Triangle Institute et al., 19778
 - University of California, 19778
 - University of Illinois, 19778
 - University of New Mexico, 19779
 - University of Southern California, 19779
 - University of Virginia Medical Center, 19779

Interstate Commerce Commission**NOTICES**

Agreements under sections 5a and b, applications for approval, etc.:

- Pacific Inland Tariff Bureau, 19800

Railroad services abandonment:

- Chicago & North Western Transportation Co., 19800

Justice Department

See also Justice Programs Office; Justice Statistics Bureau

NOTICES

Pollution control; consent judgements:

- Lehigh Portland Cement Co., 19800

Justice Programs Office**NOTICES**

Child safety; effects of victimization; hearings, 19801

Justice Statistics Bureau**NOTICES**

Cooperative agreements:

- Punishment for criminal offenses; national conference, 19801

Land Management Bureau**NOTICES**

Agency information collection activities under OMB review, 19798

Environmental concern; designation of critical areas:

- Vermilion Cliffs, UT, 19796

Land and resource management planning schedules, 19772

Meetings:

- Eugene District Advisory Council, 19799

Oil and gas leases:

- California, 19799

National Park Service**NOTICES**

Red Hill Patrick Henry National Memorial; designation, 19799

Nuclear Regulatory Commission**NOTICES**

Meetings:

- Reactor Safeguards Advisory Committee, 19802

Petitions; Director's decisions:

- Philadelphia Electric Co., 19803

Applications, hearings, determinations, etc.:

Consumers Power Co., 19802
(2 documents)

**Pacific Northwest Electric Power and Conservation
Planning Council**

NOTICES

Meetings:

Hydropower Assessment Steering Committee, 19803

Personnel Management Office

NOTICES

Agency information collection activities under OMB review,
19803
(2 documents)

Postal Service

PROPOSED RULES

Domestic Mail Manual:

Revocation of permits; mail without affixed postage,
19757

Second-class mailing privileges; revocation, 19758

Public Health Service

See Food and Drug Administration

Securities and Exchange Commission

NOTICES

Meetings; Sunshine Act, 19811

(2 documents)

Self-regulatory organizations; proposed rule changes:

Options Clearing Corp., 19804

State Department

NOTICES

Agency information collection activities under OMB review,
19804

Transportation Department

See Coast Guard; Federal Aviation Administration; Federal
Highway Administration

Treasury Department

See also Customs Service; Fiscal Service; Foreign Assets
Control Office; Internal Revenue Service

NOTICES

Agency information collection activities under OMB review,
19806

Privacy Act; systems of records, 19807

Veterans Administration

PROPOSED RULES

Medical benefits:

Charges for care or medical services, ~~19756~~ 19814.

Separate Parts in This Issue**Part II**

Veterans Administration, 19756

Reader Aids

Additional information, including a list of public
laws, telephone numbers, and finding aids, appears
in the Reader Aids section at the end of this issue.

CFR PARTS AFFECTED IN THIS ISSUE

A cumulative list of the parts affected this month can be found in the Reader Aids section at the end of this issue.

7 CFR

908.....	19747
916.....	19747
917.....	19747

9 CFR**Proposed Rules:**

381.....	19755
----------	-------

14 CFR

39.....	19748
71.....	19749

Proposed Rules:

39.....	19755
---------	-------

21 CFR

610.....	19750
----------	-------

31 CFR

361.....	19750
550.....	19751

33 CFR

110.....	19752
117.....	19754

Proposed Rules:

117.....	19756
----------	-------

38 CFR**Proposed Rules:**

17.....	19814
---------	-------

39 CFR**Proposed Rules:**

111 (2 documents).....	19757, 19758
------------------------	-----------------

44 CFR**Proposed Rules:**

59.....	19759
60.....	19759
61.....	19759
65.....	19759
70.....	19759
73.....	19759
76.....	19759

46 CFR**Proposed Rules:**

401.....	19759
----------	-------

50 CFR**Proposed Rules:**

17.....	19760
---------	-------

Rules and Regulations

Federal Register

Vol. 51, No. 105

Monday, June 2, 1986

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains regulatory documents having general applicability and legal effect, most of which are keyed to and codified in the Code of Federal Regulations, which is published under 50 titles pursuant to 44 U.S.C. 1510.

The Code of Federal Regulations is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of new books are listed in the first FEDERAL REGISTER issue of each week.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Marketing Service

7 CFR Part 908

[Valencia Orange Regulation 365]

Valencia Oranges Grown in Arizona and Designated Part of California; Limitation of Handling

AGENCY: Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: Regulation 365 establishes the quantity of such fruit that may be shipped to market during the period May 30-June 5, 1986. The regulation is needed to provide for orderly marketing of fresh Valencia oranges for the period specified due to the marketing situation confronting the orange industry.

EFFECTIVE DATE: Regulation 365 (Section 908.665) is effective for the period May 30-June 5, 1986.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ronald L. Cioffi, Chief, Marketing Order Administration Branch, F&V, AMS, USDA, Washington, DC, 20250, telephone: 202/447-5697.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This rule has been reviewed under Secretary's Memorandum 1512-1 and Executive Order 12291 and has been designated a "non-major" rule.

Pursuant to requirements set forth in the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA), the Administrator of the Agricultural Marketing Service has determined that this action will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

The purpose of the RFA is to fit regulatory actions to the scale of business subject to such actions in order that small businesses will not be unduly or disproportionately burdened. Marketing orders issued pursuant to the

Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act and rules issued thereunder are unique in that they are brought about through group action of essentially small entities for their own benefit. Thus, both statutes have small entity orientation and compatibility.

It is estimated that approximately 123 handlers of Valencia oranges are subject to regulation under the marketing order and that the great majority of these handlers may be classified as small entities. While regulations issued may impose some costs on affected handlers and the number of such firms may be substantial, the added burden on small entities, if present at all, is not significant.

The regulation is issued under Marketing Order No. 908, as amended (7 CFR Part 908), regulating the handling of Valencia oranges grown in Arizona and designated part of California. The order is effective under the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674). The action is based upon the recommendation and information submitted by the Valencia Orange Administrative Committee (VOAC) and upon other available information. It is hereby found that this action will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

The regulation is consistent with the marketing policy for 1985-86. The committee met publicly on May 27, 1986, to consider the current and prospective conditions of supply and demand and recommended the quantity of Valencia oranges deemed advisable to be handled during the specified week. The committee reports that the market for Valencia oranges is good.

It is further found that it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, engage in public rulemaking, and postpone the effective date until 30 days after publication in the Federal Register (5 U.S.C. 553), because there is insufficient time between the date when information upon which this regulation is based became available and the effective date necessary to effectuate the declared policy of the act. Interested persons were given opportunity to submit information and views on the regulation at an open meeting. To effectuate the declared policy of the act, it is necessary to make the regulatory provisions effective as specified, and

handlers have been notified of the regulation and the effective date.

List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 908

Agricultural Marketing Service, Marketing agreements and orders, California, Arizona, Oranges, Valencias.

PART 908—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for 7 CFR Part 908 continues to read:

Authority: (Secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601-674).

2. Section 908.655 is added to read as follows:

§ 908.665 Valencia Orange Regulation 365.

The quantities of Valencia oranges grown in California and Arizona which may be handled during the period May 30, 1986, through June 5, 1986, are established as follows:

- (a) District 1: 408,000 cartons;
- (b) District 2: 442,000 cartons;
- (c) District 3: Unlimited cartons.

Joseph A. Gribbin,

Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division,
Agricultural Marketing Service.

May 28, 1986.

[FR Doc. 86-12368 Filed 5-29-86; 12:13 pm]

BILLING CODE 3410-02-M

7 CFR Parts 916 and 917

[Nectarine Reg. 14, Amdt. 8; Peach Reg. 14, Amdt. 8]

Nectarines, Pears, Plums, and Peaches Grown in California; Amendment of Size Requirements; Correction

AGENCY: Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA.

ACTION: Final rule; correction.

SUMMARY: This document corrects a final rule issued April 1, 1986, amending both size requirements and weight count standards for certain varieties of fresh nectarines and peaches grown in California. The final rule failed to change the 16-pound sample count requirements in § 917.459(c)(3) for varieties of size "80" peaches to 73 peaches instead of 71 peaches. The rule only relaxed the sample count requirements in § 917.459(a)(4)(iii) for the commercially significant varieties of peaches. The peach commodity committee recommended the relaxation

for all varieties of peaches to assure more uniformly sized peaches, regardless of the type of pack. The relaxation for the varieties of peaches not produced in commercially significant quantities was inadvertently omitted. Also, the final rule should have changed the name of the "20 G 836" variety of nectarines in § 916.356(a)(4) to "Rio Red" but failed to do so. Rio Red is the name commonly used by the industry when referring to that variety of nectarines. The final rule was published in the *Federal Register* (51 FR 11900) on April 8, 1986.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ronald L. Cioffi, Chief, Marketing Order Administration Branch, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA, Washington, DC 20250 Telephone (202)-447-5697.

List of Subjects

7 CFR Part 916

Marketing agreements and orders, Nectarines, California.

7 CFR Part 917

Marketing agreements and orders, Pears, plums, and peaches, California.

1. The authority citation for 7 CFR Parts 916 and 917 continues to read as follows:

Authority: Secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601-674.

The correction of the final rule is as follows:

PART 916—NECTARINES GROWN IN CALIFORNIA

2. The text of paragraph (a)(4) of § 916.356 (51 FR 11900) is correctly revised to read as follows:

§ 916.356 Nectarine Regulation 14.

(a) * * *

(4) Any package or container of Autumn Delight, Autumn Grand, Bob Grand, Clinton-strawberries, Early Sun Grand, Fairlane, Fantasia, Firebrite, Flamekist, Flavortop, Flavortop I, Gold King, Granderli, Hi-Red, Independence, Kent Grand, Late Le Grand, Le Grand, Moon Grand, Niagara Grand, P-R Red, Red Diamond, Red Free, Red Grand, Regal Grand, Richards Grand, Royal Giant, Ruby Grand, September Grand, Tasty Free, Tom Grand, Larry's Grand, Son Red, Spring Red, Late Tina Red, Red Jim, Summer Beaut, Sparkling Red, Star Grand, Summer Grand, Sun Grand, Sherri Red, Super Star or Rio Red variety nectarines unless:

PART 917—FRESH PEARS, PLUMS, AND PEACHES GROWN IN CALIFORNIA

3. The text of paragraph (c)(3) of § 917.459 (51 FR 11900) is correctly revised to read as follows:

§ 917.459 Peach Regulation 14.

* * * * *

(c) * * *
(3) Such peaches in any container when packed other than as specified in paragraph (c)(1) or (2) of this section are of a size that a 16-pound sample, representative of the peaches in the package or container, contains not more than 73 peaches.

* * * * *

Dated: May 27, 1986.

Joseph A. Gribbin,
Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division,
Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc. 86-12282 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-02-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 85-CE-37-AD; Amdt. 39-5324]

Airworthiness Directives; Cessna Models U206G and TU206G Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment adopts a new Airworthiness Directive (AD), applicable to Cessna Models U206G and TU206G airplanes that have been modified with the installation of the Soloy Conversions, Ltd. Turbine Pac engine in accordance with Supplemental Type Certificate (STC) No. SA2353NM. This AD requires that the engine start system electrical circuit be isolated from other circuits by providing a separate circuit breaker for this function; and that the electrical system voltage regulator, which was a part of the Soloy installation, be replaced with an airworthy unit. Aircraft were initially modified by Soloy to use only one circuit breaker for both the engine start and auto-feather systems. Consequently, any fault in the auto-feather system that resulted in opening of the circuit breaker would also inadvertently result in the inability to restart the engine in flight. In addition, the voltage regulator supplied with the Soloy installation interferes with Automatic Direction Finder (ADF) radio operation by causing an audible interference, a degradation in reception

sensitivity, and erroneous navigation indications to be displayed to the pilot. The actions prescribed in this AD will preclude both the loss of the in-flight engine restart capability and the display of erroneous navigation indications to the pilot.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 4, 1986.

Compliance: As prescribed in the body of the AD.

ADDRESSES: Soloy Service Bulletins (S/B) No. 04-763 dated March 29, 1985, and S/B No. 02-763 dated January 7, 1985, applicable to this AD may be obtained from Soloy Conversions, Ltd., 450 Pat Kennedy Way, SW., Olympia, Washington 98052. A copy of this information is also contained in the Rules Docket, Office of the Regional Counsel, Room 1558, 601 East 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Terry Rees, Systems and Equipment Branch, ANM-130S, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, FAA, Northwest Mountain Region, 17900 Pacific Highway South, C-68968, Seattle, Washington 98168; Telephone (206) 431-2941.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A proposal to amend Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations to add an AD requiring modification of the engine control and auto-feather circuit breaker systems and replacement of the voltage regulator on certain Soloy-modified Cessna 206 airplanes was published in the *Federal Register* on January 14, 1986 (51 FR 1515).

The Soloy Conversions Ltd. modification of Cessna Models U206G and TU206G airplanes, accomplished in accordance with Supplemental Type Certificate (STC) SA2353NM, installs the Soloy Turbine Pac engine in place of the original reciprocating engine. As a part of that conversion, in which pertinent parts of the airplane electrical system were revised to support the turbine engine installation, the "Engine Control" circuit breaker was configured to protect the circuit required for starting the engine as well as the circuit for the auto-feather system.

The proposal resulted from the FAA determining that the effect of this configuration, should the circuit breaker trip due to an auto-feather system malfunction, is to lose in-flight engine restart capability. Similarly, engine restart capability would also be lost as a consequence of the pilot following the Airplane Flight Manual (AFM), in which pulling this circuit breaker is specified as the remedial action.

In addition, a new voltage regulator was selected which subsequently was found to emit unacceptably high levels

of radio frequency interference (RFI). This RFI was not observed during project development or initial service history because ADF radio equipment, a type that is particularly susceptible to this type of RFI, did not happen to be installed in the airplane involved. The effect of the RFI on the ADF receivers is: audible interference in the lower portion of the receiver's tunable bandwidth, a reduced sensitivity to the reception of desired navigation signals and the display of erroneous navigation indications to the pilot. Navigation indications that were 10-15 degrees in error have been observed. This is a potentially hazardous condition that could lead a pilot many miles off course.

Since the condition described is likely to exist or develop in other Soloy modified Cessna Models U206G and TU206G airplanes of the same design, an AD was proposed which would require modification of the "Engine Control" and auto-feather circuit breaker systems and replacement of the voltage regulator.

The comment period for the proposal closed on March 18, 1986. Interested persons have been given an opportunity to participate in the making of this AD, however, no comments were received. Subsequent to the issuance of the proposal, the FAA determined that Soloy STC SA2353NM Kit with data plate number 763-008 was installed on a Cessna airplane with serial number U20605745. Accordingly, the airplane with serial number U20605745 and Soloy data plate number 763-008 was added to the applicability statement of the AD. Also, paragraphs (a) and (b) were modified to reflect this information. Therefore, except as mentioned above, the proposal is adopted without change.

The FAA has determined that there are approximately 15 airplanes affected by various portions of this AD. The cost of modifying the airplanes in accordance with the AD is estimated to be a maximum of \$90 per airplane. The total cost is estimated to be \$1,140 to the private sector. The cost is so small that compliance with the AD will not have a significant financial impact on any small entities owning affected airplanes.

Therefore, I certify that this action: (1) is not a major rule under the provisions of Executive Order 12291, (2) is not a significant rule under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979) and (3) will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A final evaluation has been prepared for this regulation and has been placed in the docket.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aviation safety, Aircraft, safety.

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends § 39.13 of Part 39 of the FARs as follows:

PART 39—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for Part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421 and 1423; 49 U.S.C. 106(g) (Revised, Pub. L. 97-449, January 12, 1983); and 14 CFR 11.89.

2. By adding the following new AD:

CESSNA: Applies to the following Model U206G and TU206G airplanes modified with Soloy Turbine Pac installations in accordance with Supplemental Type Certificate (STC) number SA2353NM:

Cessna Serial No.	Soloy data plate No.
U20603989	763-013
U20604266	763-001
U20604287	763-003
U20604881	763-014
U20605232	763-005
U20605567	763-002
U20605584	763-015
U20605745	763-008
U20605936	763-006
U20606065	763-007
U20606227	763-004
U20606381	763-011
U20606628	763-010
U20606768	763-012
U20606816	763-009

Compliance: Required as indicated in the body of the AD unless previously accomplished.

To preclude loss of the in-flight engine restart capability and to correct a condition that could cause erroneous navigation displays to the pilot, accomplish the following:

(a) For the above listed airplanes modified by Soloy STC SA2353NM, within the next 100 hours time-in-service (TIS) after the effective date of this AD, remove and replace the voltage regulator in accordance with the instructions contained in Soloy Service Bulletin (S/B) 04-763 dated March 29, 1985.

(b) For serial numbered airplanes U20604266, U20604287, U20605232, U20605567, U20605745, U20605936, U20606065, and U20606227 modified with Soloy STC SA2353NM, within the next 200 hours TIS after the effective date of this AD, modify the electrical system circuit breaker installation in accordance with the instructions contained in Soloy S/B No. 02-763 dated January 7, 1985.

(c) Airplanes may be flown in accordance with FAR 21.197 to a location where this AD may be accomplished.

(d) An equivalent method of compliance with this AD may be used if approved by Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, Federal Aviation Administration, Northwest

Mountain Region, 17900 Pacific Highway South, C-68966, Seattle, Washington 98168.

All persons affected by this directive may obtain copies of the document referred to herein upon request to Soloy Conversions, LTD., 450 Pat Kennedy Way, S.W., Olympia, Washington 98502 or FAA, Office of the Regional Counsel, Room 1558, 601 East 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64108.

This amendment becomes effective on July 4, 1986.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on May 20, 1986.

Jerold M. Chavkin,

Acting Director, Central Region.

[FR Doc. 86-12209 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

14 CFR Part 71

[Airspace Docket No. 85-AWA-49]

Alteration of the Dallas-Fort Worth, TX, Terminal Control Area

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This action alters the Dallas-Fort Worth Terminal Control Area (TCA) to fully contain large turbine-powered aircraft executing approaches to new Runway 13R and departures from new Runway 31L.

EFFECTIVE DATE: 0901 UTC, July 3, 1986.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Brent A. Fernald, Airspace and Air Traffic Rules Branch (ATO-230), Airspace-Rules and Aeronautical Information Division, Air Traffic Operations Service, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, D.C. 20591; telephone: (202) 426-8626.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

History

On April 3, 1986, the FAA proposed to amend Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 71) to modify Areas A, B, C and D of the Dallas-Fort Worth TCA by extending these areas to the northwest to fully contain all aircraft executing instrument landing system (ILS) and/or visual approaches to new Runway 13R and departures from new Runway 31L (51 FR 11454).

The new runway is scheduled to open in November 1986. However, construction is ahead of schedule and it is probable that the runway may be commissioned as early as September 1986. Interested parties were invited to participate in this rulemaking proceeding by submitting written comments on the proposal to the FAA. No comments objecting to the proposal

were received. However, comments supporting the proposal were received from the Air Line Pilots Association (ALPA) and the Air Transport Association (ATA). The ATA also pointed out an error in the description and graphic of the proposed TCA. Those errors were typographical and are corrected in this rule. Except for the probability of advancing the new runway opening from November to September and editorial changes, this amendment is the same as that proposed in the notice. Section 71.401(a) of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations was republished in Handbook 7400.6B dated January 2, 1986.

The Rule

This amendment of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations modifies Areas A, B, C and D of the Dallas-Fort Worth TCA to the northwest to ensure that all large turbine-powered aircraft remain within the confines of the TCA while executing approach and departure procedures to new Runway 13R/31L, opening in September 1986.

The FAA has determined that this regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current. It, therefore—(1) is not a "major rule" under Executive Order 12291; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that will only affect air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this rule will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Aviation safety, Terminal control areas.

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 71) is amended, as follows:

1. The authority citation for Part 71 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 1348(a), 1354(a), 1510; Executive Order 10854; 49 U.S.C. 106(g) (Revised Pub. L. 97-449, January 12, 1983); 14 CFR 11.69.

§ 71.401 [Amended]

2. § 71.401(a) is amended as follows:

Dallas-Fort Worth, TX [Amended]

In Area A, by removing the words "lat. 32°58'30"N., long. 97°08'45"W.; to lat. 32°55'30"N., long. 97°05'30"W." and by substituting the words "lat. 32°56'40"N., long. 97°10'12"W.; to lat. 32°52'00"N., long. 97°05'30"W."

In Area B, by removing the words "lat. 33°00'00"N., long. 97°10'15"W.; to lat. 32°58'30"N., long. 97°08'45"W." and by substituting the words "lat. 33°01'00"N., long. 97°09'10"W.; to lat. 33°01'45"N., long. 97°10'00"W.; thence counterclockwise along a 10-mile arc of the Dallas-Fort Worth Airport to lat. 32°58'12"N., long. 97°13'20"W.; to lat. 32°56'40"N., long. 97°10'12"W."

In Area C, after the words "lat. 33°11'30"N., long. 97°11'30"W.;" by inserting the words "lat. 33°06'25"N., long. 97°11'30"W.;" thence counterclockwise along a 15-mile arc of the Dallas-Fort Worth Airport to lat. 33°00'25"N., long. 97°18'15"W.; to lat. 32°57'15"N., long. 97°11'30"W.;"

In Area D, by removing the words "to the point of beginning," and by substituting the words "to the point of beginning; and that airspace beginning at lat. 33°06'25"N., long. 97°11'30"W.; to lat. 33°11'30"N., long. 97°11'30"W.; to lat. 33°11'20"N., long. 97°14'15"W.; thence counterclockwise along a 20-mile arc of the Dallas-Fort Worth Airport to lat. 33°02'45"N., long. 97°23'45"W.; to lat. 33°00'25"N., long. 97°18'15"W.; thence clockwise along a 15-mile arc of the Dallas-Fort Worth Airport to the point of beginning."

Issued in Washington, DC., on May 22, 1986.

Harold H. Downey,

Acting Manager, Airspace—Rules and Aeronautical Information Division.

[FR Doc. 86-12210 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

21 CFR Part 610

Correction

In FR Doc. 86-9119, beginning on page 15606, in the issue of Friday, April 25, 1986, make the following corrections:

§ 610.53 [Corrected]

1. On page 15607, in § 610.53(c), in the first column of the table, eighth line, "Freeze-dried" should read "Freeze-dried".

2. On the same page, in the same column, eighteenth line, "(125)" should read "(125)".

3. On page 15608, the third column of the table, thirty-fifth line, "2 year" should read "do".

4. On the same page, in the second column, fortieth line, "years" should read "year".

5. On the same page, in the second column, forty-fifth line, "12" should read "2".

6. On page 15610, first column, third line, "3." should read "2.".

BILLING CODE 1505-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Fiscal Service

31 CFR Part 361

Claims Pursuant to the Government Losses in Shipment Act

AGENCY: Bureau of the Public Debt, Fiscal Service, Treasury.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment permits the delivery by means other than registered mail of registered securities issued by the Department of the Treasury on original issue transactions. Currently, these securities are sent by registered mail as provided by § 361.6 of the regulations pertaining to Advice of Shipment. In the case of registered securities certified mail would serve the same purpose as registered mail in establishing whether the addressee received the package and would permit significant savings in postage costs to the Bureau with little or no increased risk of loss in shipment. The current regulation does not permit shipment of registered securities by other than registered mail without a separate notice also being sent, if the shipment equals or exceeds \$10,000 in value. The requirement of a separate notice would increase Bureau processing costs without a corresponding decrease in risk of loss. Even if lost, registered securities cannot be negotiated without a properly certified assignment. Because similar services providing essentially equivalent protection, which would be more advantageous to the Bureau, may be offered by the Postal Service in the future, the regulations should anticipate such a contingency by providing for the use of any means of delivery that provide such equivalent protection.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 2, 1986.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sandy Dyson, Attorney-Adviser, Office of the Chief Counsel, Bureau of the Public Debt, (202) 376-4320.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Executive Order 12291

Because this document relates to agency management it is not subject to Executive Order 12291. Accordingly, a

regulatory impact analysis is not required.

Paperwork Reduction Act

The Paperwork Reduction Act, Pub. L. 96-511, 94 Stat. 2812 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) does not apply to this rule because it does not contain information collection requirements which necessitate approval by the Office of Management and Budget.

Regulatory Flexibility Act

Because no notice of proposed rulemaking is required for this document it is not subject to the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601).

Administrative Procedure Act

This regulation relates to agency management and is therefore not subject to the notice and public comment procedures and the delayed effective date requirements of the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 553(a)(2)).

The Department has determined that to maximize the savings which will be realized by using certified rather than registered mail for shipping securities the new rule should be instituted at the earliest possible date.

List of Subjects in 31 CFR Part 361

Claims pursuant to the Government Losses in Shipment Act.

PART 361—[AMENDED]

Accordingly, Part 361 is amended as follows:

1. The authority citation for amended Part 361 continues to read:

Authority: Sec. 6, 50 Stat. 480; 40 U.S.C. 728.

2. Part 361.6(a) is amended by revising the first sentence in paragraph (a) to read as follows:

§ 361.6 Advice of shipment.

(a) If the value of any one shipment to one consignee at one time by one consignor, except in the case of any intracity shipment or the shipment of registered securities by certified mail, or by another means providing the same protection as certified mail, equals or exceeds \$10,000, immediate notice thereof shall be forwarded by the consignor to the consignee by separate mail. * * *

Gerald Murphy,

Fiscal Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 86-12223 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810-10-M

Office of Foreign Assets Control

31 CFR Part 550

Libyan Sanctions Regulations

AGENCY: Office of Foreign Assets Control, Department of the Treasury.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: In Executive Order 12543 of January 7, 1986 (51 FR 875, January 9, 1986), the President declared a national emergency with respect to Libya, invoking the authority, *inter alia*, of the International Emergency Economic Powers Act (50 U.S.C. 1701 *et seq.*). In that Order, as supplemented by Executive Order 12544 of January 8, 1986 (51 FR 1235, January 10, 1986), the President ordered specified sanctions against Libya, and authorized the Secretary of the Treasury, in consultation with the Secretary of State, to take such actions, including the promulgation of rules and regulations, as might be necessary to carry out the purposes of those Orders. In implementation of those Orders, the Treasury Department issued the Libyan Sanctions Regulations (51 FR 1354, January 10, 1986, and 51 FR 2462, January 16, 1986). Executive Orders 12543 and 12544 and these Regulations prohibit, *inter alia*, (a) transactions by a U.S. person relating to transportation to or from Libya; (b) transactions by U.S. persons relating to travel by U.S. citizens and permanent resident aliens to Libya or their activities within Libya; (c) purchases by any U.S. person of goods for export from Libya to any country; (d) importation into the United States of Libyan goods; and (e) payments and transfers of any type to the Government of Libya, its agencies, instrumentalities, and controlled entities. As an exception to the foregoing prohibitions, the Treasury Department is amending the Regulations to authorize certain transactions related to travel to and from Libya and residence within Libya for United States citizens and permanent resident aliens who are immediate family members of Libyan nationals. The general license created by this amendment is subject to the requirement that eligible persons register their eligibility either with the Belgian Embassy in Tripoli, Libya, or with the Treasury Department, Office of Foreign Assets Control, in Washington, DC.

EFFECTIVE DATE: 8:06 p.m. Eastern Standard Time, January 7, 1986.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION: Contact Marilyn L. Muench, Chief Counsel, Office of Foreign Assets Control, Department of the Treasury,

Washington, DC 20220, Tel. (202) 376-0408.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The general license contained in this amendment to the Regulations formally implements a humanitarian policy announced on February 7, 1986, which is intended to avoid disruption or dislocation to family units that include United States citizens or permanent resident aliens and Libyan nationals. This policy has been implemented to date through the issuance of specific licenses by the Office of Foreign Assets Control. It is recognized that circumstances may hinder certain U.S. citizen or resident alien dependents of Libyan nationals from registering their eligibility under the general license as required. Reasonable explanations for failure to register by such dependents will be given full consideration under the enforcement policies adopted to assure compliance with the Regulations.

Since the Regulations involve a foreign affairs function, the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. 553, requiring notice of proposed rulemaking, opportunity for public participation, and delay in effective date, are inapplicable. Because no notice of proposed rulemaking is required for this rule, the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.* does not apply. Because the Regulations are issued with respect to a foreign affairs function of the United States, they are not subject to Executive Order 12291 of February 17, 1981, dealing with Federal regulations. The information collection requests contained in this document are being submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980, 44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.* Notice of OMB action on these requests will be published in the Federal Register.

The general license set forth in § 550.560 is effective as of 8:06 p.m. Eastern Standard Time, January 7, 1986.

List of Subjects in 31 CFR Part 550

Libya, Imports, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

PART 550—LIBYAN SANCTIONS REGULATIONS

31 CFR Chapter V, Part 550, is amended as set forth below:

1. The "Authority" citation for Part 550 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 50 U.S.C. 1701 *et seq.*; E.O. 12543, 51 FR 875, January 9, 1986; E.O. 12544, 51 FR 1235, January 10, 1986.

2. The table of contents of Part 550 is amended by adding an entry for § 550.560 to Subpart E as follows:

Subpart E—Licenses, Authorizations, and Statements of Licensing Policy

Sec.

550.560 Transactions related to travel to, and residence within, Libya by immediate family members of Libyan nationals.

Subpart E—Licenses, Authorizations, and Statements of Licensing Policy

3. New § 550.560 is added to read as follows:

§ 550.560 Transactions related to travel to, and residence within, Libya by immediate family members of Libyan nationals.

(a) *General License.* Subject to compliance with the registration requirements set forth in paragraph (d) of this section, the following transactions are authorized in connection with travel to, from and within Libya and residence within Libya by U.S. citizens and permanent resident aliens who are immediate family members of Libyan nationals:

(1) All transportation-related transactions ordinarily incident to travel to, from and within Libya.

(2) All transactions ordinarily incident to residence within Libya, including payment of living expenses and the acquisition in Libya of goods for personal use or consumption there.

(3) All transactions incident to the processing and payment of checks, drafts, traveler's checks, and similar instruments negotiated in Libya by any person licensed under this section.

(4) The purchase within Libya and importation as accompanied baggage of items for noncommercial use, provided that the aggregate value of such purchases imported into the United States conforms to limitations established by the United States Customs Service.

(b) *Definition.* For purposes of this section, the term "immediate family member" means a spouse, child, parent, mother-in-law, father-in-law, son-in-law or daughter-in-law.

(c) *Specific Licenses.* Specific licenses authorizing the transactions set forth in paragraph (a) of this section may be issued in appropriate cases to persons similarly situated to the persons described in paragraph (b) of this section where such specific licenses are necessary to preserve the integrity of established family units.

(d) *Registration.* (1) The general license set forth in this section is

available only to those U.S. citizens and permanent resident aliens who register their eligibility in writing with either of the following:

Embassy of Belgium, Ali Obeydah St., Ibn El Jarah No. 1, Immeuble Chirlando, Tripoli, Libya, Telephone: 37797

or

Licensing Section, Office of Foreign Assets Control, Department of the Treasury, Washington, DC 20220, Telephone: (202) 376-0236.

Registration under this paragraph is deemed complete upon receipt at one of the above addresses of a letter, signed by or on behalf of each eligible U.S. citizen or permanent resident alien being registered, containing the following information:

(i) The name and the date and place of birth of the U.S. citizen(s) or permanent resident alien(s) registering (the "registrant"), including the name on which the registrant's most recent U.S. passport or Alien Registration Receipt Card was issued, if different;

(ii) If applicable, the place and date of the registrant's naturalization as a U.S. citizen, and the number of the registrant's naturalization certificate, or, for permanent resident aliens, the Alien Registration Number of the registrant's Alien Registration Receipt Card;

(iii) The name, relationship, and address of the Libyan national with whom the registrant resides as an immediate family member and whose relationship forms the basis for the registrant's eligibility under this general license; and

(iv) The number and issue date of the registrant's current U.S. passport, and the most recent date on which the passport was validated by the U.S. Department of State for travel to Libya; or, if the registrant does not hold a current U.S. passport, the country, issue date, and number of the registrant's current passport or other travel document, if any.

(2) The lack of validation of a registrant's U.S. passport for travel to Libya does not affect eligibility for the benefits of the general license set forth in this section for persons who otherwise qualify. Current information on travel document status as requested in paragraph (d)(1) of this section must, however, be furnished to register a registrant's eligibility for this license.

(e) *Other Requirements.* The general license set forth in this section shall not operate to relieve any person licensed hereunder from compliance with any other U.S. legal requirements applicable to the transactions authorized pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section.

Dated: May 27, 1986.

Dennis M. O'Connell,
Director, Office of Foreign Assets Control.

Approved: May 28, 1986.

Francis A. Keating II,
Assistant Secretary (Enforcement).
[FR Doc. 86-12389 Filed 5-29-86; 4:49 pm]

BILLING CODE 4810-25-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 110

[CCGD11-85-06]

Anchorage Regulations; San Diego Harbor, CA

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DOT

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The San Diego Unified Port District (SDUPD) requested the Coast Guard amend the federal anchorage regulations for San Diego Bay to conform to the anchorage scheme proposed in their Comprehensive Baywide Small Craft Mooring and Anchorage Plan. This rule incorporates those aspects of the Plan which improve navigation safety in San Diego Bay and deletes existing regulations which are no longer needed. This rule designates the Laurel Street Roadstead, a small craft anchorage area developed by SDUPD, as a special anchorage area. 33 CFR 110.210(a) is revised by disestablishing all of San Diego Bay as an anchorage ground; however, those anchorage areas described elsewhere in § 110.210(a) remain unchanged. References to Commandant, Eleventh Naval District, which no longer exists, are changed to Commander, Naval Base San Diego. The intended effect of this rule is to provide safe mooring areas for a large number of recreational vessels, ensure unobstructed navigation lanes throughout San Diego Bay, and establish federal regulations consistent with port development plans.

EFFECTIVE DATE: These regulations become effective on July 2, 1986.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lieutenant F.L. McClain USCG, Commander (mps), Eleventh Coast Guard District, 400 Ocean Gate, Suite 709, Long Beach, CA 90822-5399, (213) 590-2301.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On 13 March 1986, the Coast Guard published a notice of proposed rule making in the Federal Register for these regulations (49 FR 8687). A public hearing was held on 3 April 1986 at San Diego, CA, at which 19

persons presented oral testimony. Other interested persons were given until 8 May 1986 to submit comments.

Drafting Information

The principal persons involved in drafting this rule are Commander K.B. Allen, Lieutenant F.L. McClain and Ensign J.D. Czamanske, project officers, Eleventh Coast Guard District Marine Safety Division and Lieutenant Commander J.R. McFaul, project attorney, Eleventh Coast Guard District Legal Office.

Discussion of Comments

The majority of the comments received expressed opposition to or support of the San Diego Unified Port District's Comprehensive Baywide Small Craft Mooring and Anchorage Plan. The Coast Guard does not have the authority to approve or disapprove the SDUPD Plan. In this rule, the Coast Guard is simply designating an existing SDUPD maintained anchorage area as a Special Anchorage Area so the recreational boaters using the anchorage will be exempted from displaying anchor lights and dayshapes. This action does not constitute approval of the Plan. We will discuss only those comments received that are relevant to this regulatory package.

Two petitions were received which directly opposed the change to 33 CFR 110.210(a). In opposing the rule, they operated under a misperception that the federal regulations totally control the regulated subject matter and that state and local regulations cannot "legally" be enacted. A proper state or local authority may enact concurrent laws which are not in conflict with federal statutes.

The petitions also were concerned that this rule would relinquish Coast Guard control "of anchoring regulations in San Diego Bay". In the existing regulations, all of San Diego Bay is an anchorage ground. This has proven to be impractical given the increased recreational vessel-traffic transiting San Diego Bay. By enacting this rule, the Coast Guard has, in fact, reaffirmed its control over San Diego Bay anchorage areas.

Proponents of the rule stated that since the existing regulations designate all of San Diego Bay as an anchorage area, vessels anchor anywhere which creates navigational hazards to vessels transiting the area. They believe this rule would improve navigation safety in San Diego Bay by encouraging recreation vessels to use designated anchorage areas since vessels would not be required to show anchor lights and dayshapes while in the anchorage areas.

Two comments specifically addressed the deletion of the current nonanchorage area, 33 CFR 110.210(a)(3), as not being in the best interest of mariners because numerable electric power and telephone cables cross the bay in this area. Since this is a valid navigational concern, the Coast Guard will make this a regulated navigation area in a subsequent rulemaking procedure.

Economic Assessment and Certification

This regulation is considered to be non-major under Executive Order 12291 and non-significant under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedure (44 FR 11304; February 26, 1979). The economic impact of this final rule has been found to be so minimal that further evaluation is unnecessary. The implementation of this final rule will have a minimal impact on maritime commerce and navigation as it will not impose any additional restrictions on mariners. It is outside of the Coast Guard's statutory authority to comment on any plan implementing or proposing the charging of fees for the use of conveniences or improvements that are the result of local fund expenditure. Since the impact of this rule is expected to be minimal, the Coast Guard certifies that it will not have significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 110

Anchorage grounds.

Regulations

In consideration of the preceding Part 110 of Chapter I, Subchapter I, Title 33 of the Code of Federal Regulations, are amended as follows:

PART 110—ANCHORAGE REGULATIONS

1. The authority citation for Part 110 is revised to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 471, 2030, 2035, and 2071; 49 CFR 1.46(e) and 33 CFR 1.05-1(g).

2. Section 110.90 is revised to read as follows:

§ 110.90 San Diego Harbor, California.

(a) *The special anchorage areas*—(1) *Area A-1.* In the Municipal Yacht Harbor, the water area enclosed by a line beginning at latitude 32°42'56.5" N., longitude 117°13'44" W.; thence southwest to latitude 32°42'54.4" N., longitude 117°13'48.2" W.; thence northwest to latitude 32°43'01.1" N., longitude 117°13'56" W.; thence northeast to latitude 32°43'02.4" N., longitude 117°13'52.4" W.; thence southeast to latitude 32°42'59.6" N.,

longitude 117°13'47.3" W.; thence to the point of beginning.

(2) *Area A-2.* In the Commercial Basin, the water area enclosed by a line beginning at latitude 32°43'13.9" N., longitude 117°13'21.0" W.; thence northeast to latitude 32°43'16.2" N., longitude 117°13'13.2" W.; thence northwest to latitude 32°43'22.1" N., longitude 117°13'23.7" W.; thence west to latitude 32°43'22.0" N., longitude 117°13'26.8" W.; thence southwest to latitude 32°43'19.0" N., longitude 117°13'29.2" W.; thence southeast to the point of beginning.

(3) *Area A-3.* In North San Diego Bay, north of the "B" Street Merchant Vessel Anchorage, the water area enclosed by a line beginning at latitude 32°43'39.4" N., longitude 117°10'24.4" W., thence southwest to latitude 32°43'29.7" N., longitude 117°10'29.7" W., thence southwest to latitude 32°43'25.6" N., longitude 117°10'33.0" W., thence northwest to latitude 32°43'29.6" N., longitude 117°10'44.9" W., thence northeast to latitude 32°43'36.0" N., longitude 117°10'41.6" W., thence southeast along a line parallel to, and 200 feet bayward of, the shoreline of San Diego Bay adjoining Harbor Drive to the point of beginning.

(4) *Area A-5.* In Glorietta Bay, the water area enclosed by a line beginning at latitude 32°40'42.0" N., longitude 117°10'00.0" W.; thence southwest to latitude 32°40'40.0" N., longitude 117°10'03.5" W.; thence northwest to latitude 32°40'46.0" N., longitude 117°10'12.5" W.; thence northeast to latitude 32°40'46.5" N., longitude 117°10'11.0" W.; thence southeast to the point of beginning.

Note.—Mariners anchoring in San Diego Harbor should consult applicable local ordinances of the San Diego Unified Port District.

3. Section 110.210 is revised to read as follows:

§ 110.210 San Diego Harbor, California.

(a) *The anchorage grounds*—(1) *Special anchorage for U.S. Government vessels.* Shoreward of a line extending from Ballast Point Light approximately 351°30' to the shore end of the Quarantine Dock.

(2) *"B" Street Merchant Vessel Anchorage.* Due west from the southwest corner of the "B" Street pierhead to latitude 32°43'00", longitude 117°11'00"; thence northeasterly to latitude 32°43'20", longitude 117°10'51"; thence due east to the shoreline; thence following the shoreline and pier to the point of beginning.

(b) *The regulations.* (1) The special anchorage described in paragraph (a)(1)

of this section is reserved exclusively for the anchorage of vessels of the United States Government and of authorized harbor pilot boats. No other vessels shall anchor in this area except by special permission obtained in advance from the Commander, Naval Base, San Diego, California.

(2) The area described in paragraph (a)(2) of this section is reserved for the use of merchant vessels calling at the Port of San Diego while awaiting a berth.

(3) Vessels anchoring in San Diego Harbor shall leave a free passage for other craft and shall not unreasonably obstruct the approaches to the wharves in the harbor.

Note.—Mariners anchoring in San Diego Harbor should consult applicable local ordinances of the San Diego Unified Port District.

Dated: May 19, 1986.

A. B. Beran,

Rear Admiral (lower half), U.S. Coast Guard,
Commander, Eleventh Coast Guard District.
[FR Doc. 86-12268 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-14-M

33 CFR Part 117

[CGD7 86-18]

Temporary Drawbridge Operation Regulations; Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway, SC

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DOT.

ACTION: Temporary rule.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard is temporarily changing the regulations governing the Ben Sawyer Bridge across Sullivans Island Narrows by permitting the number of openings to be further limited during certain periods. This change is being made to ease severe weekend traffic congestion and to further evaluate proposed permanent regulations.

DATES: These temporary regulations become effective on May 24, 1986 and

terminate on July 20, 1986. Comments must be received on or before June 19, 1986.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be mailed to Commander (oan), Seventh Coast Guard District, 51 SW. 1st Avenue, Miami, Florida 33130. The comments and other materials referenced in this notice will be available for inspection and copying at 51 SW. 1st Avenue, Room 816, Miami, Florida. Normal office hours are from 7:30 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, except holidays. Comments may also be hand-delivered to this address.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Walt Paskowsky, (305) 536-4103.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On May 5, 1986 the Coast Guard published (51 FR 16568) a proposal to permanently revise these regulations. This temporary rule is identical to the proposed permanent rule and is being made effective in less than 30 days after Federal Register publication because following normal rulemaking procedures would be impractical. Prompt implementation is necessary to alleviate a severe vehicular traffic problem and to evaluate the proposed permanent rule.

Drafting Information

The drafters of these regulations are Mr. Walt Paskowsky, Bridge Administration Specialist, project officer, and Commander Ken Gray, project attorney.

Discussion of Temporary Regulations

The bridge presently opens on signal on Saturdays, Sundays, and federal holidays except from 2 p.m. to 6 p.m. when it need open only on the hour and half-hour. The temporary rule and the proposed final rule would extend this time period by six hours (9 a.m. to 7 p.m.) and limit routine openings to one per hour during this period. This change was temporarily implemented in the summer of 1985 for evaluation purposes (50 FR 31367) and generated no complaints from mariners. This change

is intended to space draw openings and virtually eliminate "back to back" openings which can contribute significantly to vehicular traffic delays during these periods. Prompt implementation of this temporary rule has been requested by the mayors of Charleston and Mount Pleasant, SC.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 117

Bridges.

Temporary Regulations

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 117 of Title 33, Code of Federal Regulations, is temporarily amended as follows:

PART 117—DRAWBRIDGE OPERATION REGULATIONS

1. The authority citation for Part 117 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 499; 49 CFR 1.46 and 33 CFR 1.05-1(g).

2. Paragraph (c) of section 117.911 is revised to read as follows for the period May 24, 1986 through July 20, 1986. Because this is a temporary rule, this revision will not appear in the Code of Federal Regulations.

§ 117.911 Atlantic Intracoastal Waterway, Little River to Savannah River.

(c) *Ben Sawyer (SR 703) bridge across Sullivans Island Narrows, mile 462.2 between Sullivans Island and Mount Pleasant.* The draw shall open on signal; except that, the draw need not open from 7 a.m. to 9 a.m. and from 4 p.m. to 6 p.m. Monday through Friday except federal holidays. On Saturdays, Sundays, and federal holidays from 9 a.m. to 7 p.m. the draw need open only on the hour.

Dated: May 19, 1986.

R.P. Cueroni,

Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Commander,
Seventh Coast Guard District.

[FR Doc. 86-12267 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-14-M

Proposed Rules

Federal Register

Vol. 51, No. 105

Monday, June 2, 1986

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices to the public of the proposed issuance of rules and regulations. The purpose of these notices is to give interested persons an opportunity to participate in the rule making prior to the adoption of the final rules.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Food Safety and Inspection Service

9 CFR Part 381

[Docket No. 86-001E]

Addition of Great Britain to the List of Countries Eligible for Importation of Poultry Products Into the United States

AGENCY: Food Safety and Inspection Service, USDA.

ACTION: Proposed rule; extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: On April 9, 1986, the Food Safety and Inspection Service (FSIS) published a proposed rule to amend the poultry products inspection regulations to add Great Britain to the list of countries from which poultry products of chickens, turkeys, ducks, geese and guineas are eligible to be imported into the United States. FSIS has received requests from the Georgia Poultry Federation, Inc., the National Broiler Council and the National Turkey Federation to extend the comment period to allow more time for reviewing and gathering information. In response, FSIS is extending the comment period for 30 days.

DATE: Comments must be received on or before July 9, 1986.

ADDRESSES: Written comments to: Policy Office, Attn: FSIS Hearing Clerk, Room 3803, South Agriculture Building, Food Safety and Inspection Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, DC 20250.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. William Havlik Director, Foreign Programs Division, International Programs, Food Safety and Inspection Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, DC 20250, (202) 447-2644.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On April 9, 1986, FSIS published in the Federal Register (51 FR 12161) a proposed rule to amend the Federal poultry products inspection regulations to add Great

Britain to the list of countries from which poultry products of chickens, turkeys, ducks, geese, and guineas are eligible to be imported into the United States. Reviews of Great Britain's laws, regulations and other materials, and onsite reviews of its inspection system indicate that poultry products from certified establishments in Great Britain should be made eligible for import into the United States. Interested persons were given until June 9, 1986, to comment on this proposed rule.

FSIS has received requests from the Georgia Poultry Federation, Inc., the National Broiler Council and the National Turkey Federation to extend the comment period on the proposed rule to allow more time to review and gather information on the proposal. FSIS is interested in receiving additional data, and therefore is extending the comment period for an additional 30 days, to July 9, 1986.

Done at Washington, DC, on May 27, 1986.

Donald L. Houston,

Administrator, Food Safety and Inspection Service.

[FR Doc. 86-12283 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-DM-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 71-CE-7-AD]

Airworthiness Directives; Cessna Turbocharged Models TU206 Series, TP206 Series, T207 Series and Models T210 Through T210N Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This Notice proposes to revise Airworthiness Directive (AD), 71-09-07 to make it applicable to Cessna Turbocharged Models TU206 Series, TP206 Series and T207 Series and Models T210 thru T210N airplanes. AD 71-09-07, presently applicable to Cessna Turbocharged Models TU206, TP206, T207 and T210 Series airplanes was issued to require pressure testing of the complete exhaust manifold in the cabin heat exchanger area to detect cracks or leakage. The manufacturer has

subsequently introduced a design change in the engine installation beginning with the Cessna Model T210R airplanes which makes the requirements of AD 71-09-07 inapplicable to those airplanes. Accordingly, the proposed revision will incorporate an ending serial number for the T210 series airplanes.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before June 19, 1986.

ADDRESSES: Cessna Service Letter SE71-11, dated April 16, 1971, applicable to this AD may be obtained from Cessna Aircraft Company, Piston Aircraft Marketing Division, Post Office Box 1521, Wichita, Kansas 67201, or the Rules Docket at the address below. Send comments on the proposal in duplicate to Federal Aviation Administration, Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 71-CE-7-AD, Room 1558, 601 East 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106. Comments may be inspected at this location between 8 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, holidays excepted.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paul O. Pendleton, Aerospace Engineer, Aircraft Certification Office, ACE-140W, FAA, 1801 Airport Road, Room 100, Mid-Continent Airport, Wichita, Kansas 67209; Telephone (316) 946-4427.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the regulatory docket or notice number and be submitted in duplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments specified above will be considered by the Director before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received. Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available both before and after the closing date for comments in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA public contact concerned with the

substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Availability of NPRMs

Any person may obtain a copy of this Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) by submitting a request to the Federal Aviation Administration, Central Region, Office of the Regional Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket No. 71-CE-7-AD, Room 1558, 601 East 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106.

Discussion

Airworthiness Directive 71-09-07, Amendment No. 39-1202, (36 FR 8209) effective May 4, 1971, requires pressure testing of the complete exhaust manifold in the cabin heat exchanger area of all turbocharged Cessna Models TU206, TP206, T207 and T210 series airplanes to detect cracks or leakage. Beginning with the 1985 Cessna Model T210R airplanes (S/N 21064898 and on) an engine installation design change was incorporated wherein the cabin heat source is supplied by bleed air from the compressor section of the turbocharger instead of the exhaust manifold heat exchanger. This design change makes compliance with AD 71-09-07 unnecessary for airplanes so modified. Therefore, the FAA proposes to revise AD 71-09-07 to eliminate the requirement for repetitive inspection on T210 series airplanes beginning with T210R Serial Number 21064898.

The cost of compliance with the proposed revised AD is so small that the expense of compliance will not have a significant financial impact on any small entities operating these airplanes. Therefore, I certify that (1) this action is not a major rule under the provisions of Executive Order 12291, (2) is not a significant rule under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979) and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A draft regulatory/evaluation has been prepared and has been placed in the public docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption "ADDRESSES".

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aviation safety, Aircraft, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend § 39.13 of Part 39 of

the Federal Aviation Regulations as follows:

1. The authority citation for Part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421 and 1423; 49 U.S.C. 106(g) (Revised, Pub. L. 97-449, January 12, 1983); and 14 CFR 11.89.

2. By revising and reissuing AD 71-09-07 (Amendment 39-1202) in its entirety as follows:

Cessna: Applies to turbocharged Models TU206 series, TP206 series, T207 series (all Serial Numbers), and Models T210 thru T210N (Serial Number T210-0001 through T210-0454 and 21059200 through 21064897) airplanes certificated in any category.

Compliance: Required as indicated in the body of the AD.

To prevent exhaust gases from entering the cabin, accomplish the following:

(a) Within the next 25 hours time-in-service (TIS) after the effective date of this AD, unless already accomplished within the last 25 hours TIS, and thereafter at intervals not to exceed 50 hours TIS since the last inspection per this AD prior to its revision, inspect the exhaust manifold heat exchanger in accordance with the following:

(1) Test the complete exhaust manifold in the cabin heat exchanger area for cracks in accordance with the following procedures, or the more detailed procedures, outlined in the Cessna Service Manuals for the specified airplanes.

(i) Remove the heater shroud so that all surfaces of the exhaust manifold heat exchanger are exposed.

(ii) Attach the pressure side of an industrial vacuum cleaner to the tailpipe opening, using a rubber plug to effect a seal as required.

(iii) With vacuum cleaner operating, check the complete exhaust manifold in the heat exchanger area manually by feel or by using a soap solution and watching for bubbles. The exhaust manifold in the heat exchanger area must be free of air leaks.

(2) If cracks, breaks, or any leakage along the exhaust manifold cabin heat exchanger are found during the pressure test required by paragraph (a)(1) of this AD, prior to further flight replace the defective part with an airworthy part.

Note.—Cessna Service Letter SE71-11, dated April 16, 1971, covers this same subject.

(b) An equivalent means of compliance with this AD may be used if approved by the Manager, Wichita Aircraft Certification Office, FAA, 1801 Airport Road, Room 100, Mid-Continent Airport, Wichita, Kansas 67209.

All persons affected by this directive may obtain copies of the documents referred to herein upon request to the Cessna Aircraft Company, Piston Aircraft Marketing Division, Post Office Box 1521, Wichita, Kansas 67201 or the FAA, Office of the Regional Counsel, Room 1558, 601 East 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on May 20, 1986.

Jerold M. Chavkin,
Acting Director, Central Region.

[FR Doc. 86-12208 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

Coast Guard

33 CFR Part 117

[CGD 1-86-9R]

Drawbridge Operation Regulations; Kennebec River, ME

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DOT.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: At the request of the Maine Department of Transportation (MDOT), the Coast Guard is considering a change to the drawbridge regulations governing the drawbridge across the Kennebec River between the Towns of Richmond and Dresden, Maine, by requiring that advance notice of opening be given during the times of decreased water traffic. This proposal is being made because of a steady decrease in requests for opening the draw. This action should relieve the bridge owner of the burden of having a person constantly available to open the draw and should still provide for the reasonable needs of navigation.

DATE: Comments must be received on or before July 17, 1986.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be mailed to Commander (obr), First Coast Guard District, 408, Atlantic Avenue, Boston, MA, 02210-2209. The comments and other materials referenced in this notice will be available for inspection and copying at the Coast Guard Bridge Branch, Room 628, at the address given above. Normal office hours are between 8 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except holidays. Comments may also be hand-delivered to this address.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: William J. Naulty, Chief, Bridge Branch, First Coast Guard District, Boston, MA 02210-2209, Telephone (617) 223-8347.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Interested persons are invited to participate in this rulemaking by submitting written views, comments, data or arguments. Persons submitting comments should include their names and addresses, identify the bridge, and give reasons for concurrence with or any recommended change in the proposal.

The Commander, First Guard District, will evaluate all communications received and determine a course of final action on this proposal. The proposed

regulations may be changed in light of comments received.

Drafting Information

The drafters of this notice are: William J. Naulty, Chief, Bridge Branch, First Coast Guard District, and Lieutenant Dana J. St. James, United States Coast Guard, Assistant Legal Officer, First Coast Guard District, Project Attorney.

Discussion of Proposed Regulations

Existing regulations were promulgated at a time when traffic volumes on the Kennebec River consisted of commercial and recreational vessels. Today there is no commercial traffic passing through the Richmond/Dresden Bridge, and recreational vessels operate mostly during the daylight hours. Nighttime openings of the drawspan are infrequent. Consequently, the proposed amendment should provide for the reasonable needs of navigation.

Economic Assessment and Certification

These proposed regulations are considered to be non-major under Executive Order 12291 on Federal Regulation and non-significant under the Department of Transportation regulatory policies and procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979).

The economic impact of this proposal is expected to be so minimal that a full regulatory evaluation is unnecessary. Traffic on the river consists almost exclusively of recreational vessels during daylight hours. There is little, if any, nighttime vessel movement. Recreational vessels operate only during the boating season. Since the economic impact of this proposal is expected to be minimal, the Coast Guard certifies that, if adopted, it will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 117

Bridges.

Proposed Regulations

In consideration of the foregoing, the Coast Guard proposes to amend Part 117 of Title 33, Code of Federal Regulations as follows:

1. The authority citation for Part 117 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 499; 49 CFR 1.46; 33 CFR 1.05-1(g).

2. Section 117.525(b) is revised to read as follows:

PART 117—DRAWBRIDGE OPERATION REGULATIONS

§ 117.525 Kennebec River.

* * * * *

(b) (1) The draw of the Maine Department of Transportation highway bridge, mile 27.1, between Richmond and Dresden, shall be opened promptly, on signal, for the passage of vessels between the hours of 9 a.m. and 5 p.m. from June 1 through September 30. At other hours during this period the draw need not be opened for the passage of vessels except on advance notice given to the draw tender or duty between the hours of 9 a.m. and 5 p.m.

(2) At times other than those specified above, the draw need not be opened for passage of vessels except when 24 hours notice is given in person, in writing, or by telephone to the Maine Department of Transportation Division Office, at Rockland.

Dated: May 19, 1986.

R.I. Rybacki,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Acting Commander, First Coast Guard District.

[FR Doc. 86-12270 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-14-M

POSTAL SERVICE

39 CFR Part 111

Revocation of Permits To Mail Without Affixing Postage

AGENCY: Postal Service.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This proposal would amend the Domestic Mail Manual (DMM) to clarify that failure to pay an assessed postage deficiency is grounds for revoking permits to mail without affixing postage.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before July 2, 1986.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be mailed or delivered to the Director, Office of Classification and Rates Administration, Rates and Classification Department, U.S. Postal Service, 475 L'Enfant Plaza West SW., Washington, DC 20260-5360. Copies of all written comments will be available for inspection and photocopying between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, in Room 8430, 475 L'Enfant Plaza West SW., Washington, DC 20260-5360.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kenneth H. Young (202) 268-5321.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Postal regulations generally require that postage be fully prepaid at the time matter is mailed. DMM 146.11. Proof of payment can be shown by affixing stamps, by affixing postage meter strips, by imprinting the appropriate postage meter indicia directly on the pieces, or by permit imprints. For matter bearing

permit imprints, postage is required to be prepared in full from an advance deposit account. For permit imprint mailings, the Postal Service calculates the amount of postage due from figures provided by the mailer on the appropriate mailing statement, PS Form 3602, *Statements of Mailing with Permit Imprints*, or PS Form 3605, *Statements of Mailing-Bulk Zone Rates*, and deducts that amount from the advance deposit account.

Mailing statements submitted by mailers using permit imprints are subject to audit by the Postal Service. On the basis of an audit or other evidence which later comes to the Postal Service's attention, it may be determined that the amount of postage paid at the time of mailing was incorrect. If the mailer paid too much postage, the Postal Service refunds the excess amount. If the mailer paid too little postage, the Postage Service notifies the mailer of the deficiency and pursues collection of the amount due. A mailer is entitled to appeal any decision assessing a revenue deficiency to a higher administrative level. See DMM 148.

Unfortunately, in a sufficient number of cases to cause concern, a mailer refuses to pay a postage deficiency after the deficiency assessment has been appealed to a higher administrative level and determined to be proper. In such cases, collection of funds owed to the Postal Service is unreasonably delayed, and the Postal Service incurs additional administrative expense. In some instances, the Postal Service must resort to litigation to collect amounts due.

DMM 145.221 provides, among other things, that permits to mail without affixing postage can be revoked "for any noncompliance with the regulations governing the use of permit imprints." The Postal Service has found that many mailers are not aware that failure to pay the full amount of postage due is an act of noncompliance that falls within the intent of DMM 145.221. Indeed, the Postal Service has only infrequently used the revocation of permits as a means of protecting its revenues.

Accordingly, the Postal Service proposes to amend its regulations to make clear that it is authorized to withdraw from non-paying mailers the privilege of mailing matter without affixing postage, i.e., the privilege of using a permit imprint for bulk or presorted mailings. Such a revocation would not preclude such mailers from using any class or subclass of mail for which they are eligible, but would

require them to affix postage in the proper amount to each mailing piece.

Nothing in this proposed change would affect the right of a mailer to appeal the assessment of a revenue deficiency, DMM 148.2. A final agency decision concerning a revenue deficiency under DMM 148.2, however, will not be subject to reconsideration during a mailer's appeal of a permit revocation under DMM 145.226.

Although exempt by 39 U.S.C. 410(a) from the requirements of the Administrative Procedure Act regarding proposed rulemaking, 5 U.S.C. 553 (b), (c), the Postal Service invites public comments on the following proposed revisions of Part 145 of the Domestic Mail Manual, which is incorporated by reference in the Code of Federal Regulations. See 39 CFR 111.1.

List of Subjects in 39 CFR Part 111

Postal Service.

PART 111—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for 39 CFR Part 111 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 5 U.S.C. 552(a); 39 U.S.C. 101, 401, 404, 407, 408, 3001-3011, 3201-3219, 3403-3405, 3621, 5001; 42 U.S.C. 1973cc-13, 1973cc-14.

PART 145—PERMIT IMPRINTS

2. In Part 145, revise .221 and .226, renumber .66 as .67, and add new .66 to read as follows:

145.2 Permit.

* * * * *

.22 Revocation

.221 The permit will be revoked if used in operating any unlawful scheme or enterprise, for nonuse during any 12-month period, or for any noncompliance with the regulations governing the use of permit imprints, including, but not limited to, any failure to pay the Postal Service any postage or fees that are due.

* * * * *

.226 The permit holder may appeal the Postmaster's decision to the General Manager, Domestic Mail Classification Division, Office of Mail Classification, USPS Headquarters, Washington, D.C. 20260. The appeal must be submitted in writing to the Postmaster, who will forward the appeal to the General Manager, Domestic Mail Classification Division, who will make the final agency decision. The General Manager will not review or reconsider any final agency decision regarding a postage deficiency previously made under DMM 148.2.

* * * * *

145.6 Mailings With Permit Imprints

* * * * *

.66 Payments In Advance of Dispatch. Postage must be fully prepaid before mailings are dispatched. Payments must be made through an advance deposit account established at the post office of mailing. The post office will issue receipts for advance deposit account payments. In circumstances where the Postal Service has made a final agency decision on a revenue deficiency, and the mailer has failed to pay additional postage or fees that are due, postage will not be considered fully prepaid and mailings by or on behalf of the mailer will not be accepted under the permit imprint system until the deficiency has been paid.

PART 148—REVENUE DEFICIENCY

3. In part 148, revise .2 to read as follows:

.2 Appeal of Ruling. A mailer may appeal any ruling assessing a revenue deficiency, by filing within 15 days of receipt of the revenue deficiency ruling an appeal to the Director, Office of Mail Classification, Rates and Classification Department, or to the Assistant Postmaster General, Rates and Classification Department, USPS Headquarters, Washington, DC 20260-5300, if the deficiency was assessed initially by the Director, Office of Mail Classification. The mailer may be required to furnish additional information or documents to support its appeal. Failure to furnish requested information or documents within thirty (30) days of notification will be grounds for denying an appeal. A final agency decision will be rendered as soon as practicable after receipt of the appeal and necessary supporting documents.

An appropriate amendment to 39 CFR 111 to reflect these changes will be published if the proposal is adopted.

Fred Eggleston,

Assistant General Counsel, Legislative Division,

[FR Doc. 86-12275 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710-12-M

39 CFR Part 111

Revocation of Second-Class Mailing Privileges

AGENCY: Postal Service.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This proposal would amend the Domestic Mail Manual (DMM) to clarify that failure to pay an assessed postage deficiency is grounds for revocation of second-class mailing privileges.

DATE: Comments must be received on or before July 2, 1986.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be mailed or delivered to the Director, Office of Classification and Rates Administration, Rates and Classification Department, U.S. Postal Service, 475 L'Enfant Plaza West SW., Washington, DC 20260-5360. Copies of all written comments will be available for inspection and photocopying between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, in Room 8430, 475 L'Enfant Plaza West SW., Washington, DC 20260-5360.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kenneth H. Young (202) 268-5321.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Postal regulations require that postage be fully prepaid before second-class mailings are dispatched. Domestic Mail Manual (DMM) 481. Payment must be made through an advance deposit account established at the post office of mailing. Second-class postage is computed on PS Form 3541, *Statement of Mailing Second-Class Publications*, or PS Form 3541-A, *Statement of Mailing Second-Class (Requester) Publications*. Typically, the postal employee who accepts the mailing examines the mailing statement, and allows the mail to be dispatched if the mailing statement appears to be correct and the publisher has sufficient funds in its advance deposit account to pay the cost of postage on the mailing.

Mailing statements submitted with second-class mailings are subject to later audit by the Postal Service. See, generally, DMM 447. On the basis of an audit, or other information which later comes to the attention of the Postal Service, it may be determined that the postage paid on a particular mailing or mailings was incorrect. If the postage paid on a mailing exceeded the amount due, the Postal Service will refund the excess amount, or credit it to the publisher's advance deposit account. If the postage paid on a mailing was insufficient, the Postal Service will assess a revenue deficiency against the publisher and pursue collection of the amount due.

Under the applicable regulations, DMM 148, the publisher is given the opportunity to appeal an assessed revenue deficiency to higher levels of Postal Service management. In reviewing such an appeal, postal authorities examine the pertinent records to determine whether the postage paid on a disputed mailing was actually insufficient, and whether the correct amount of additional postage has been assessed. The publisher is considered to be liable for the correct

amount of postage due on every mailing; and the publisher is not excused from paying a deficiency which appears to have resulted from a postal employee's acceptance of a mailer's check supported by insufficient funds, failure to discover an incorrect entry on a mailing statement, miscalculation of the postage on a mailing, or erroneous advice to a publisher. See, DMM 111.3. In all cases, the burden rests with the publisher to demonstrate that the proper amount of postage has been paid.

From time to time, disputes have arisen regarding the obligation of a publisher to maintain sufficient funds in its advance deposit account to cover not only the postage on current mailings, but any revenue deficiencies which have been determined to be due and payable after the exhaustion of the mailer's appeal rights under DMM 148. The Postal Service proposes to amend its regulations to make clear that a publisher's failure to pay an assessed revenue deficiency, after the exhaustion of appeal rights under DMM 148, is considered grounds for the revocation or suspension of a publication's second-class mailing privileges under DMM 441.5. In such a case, the publisher's advance deposit account is in arrears, postage on current mailings of a publication cannot reasonably be considered to be fully prepaid under DMM 481, and the publisher has accordingly failed to comply with the requirements of chapter 4 of the Domestic Mail Manual, concerning second-class mailing requirements.

Accordingly, although exempted by 39 U.S.C. 410(a) from the notice and comment requirements of the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. 553(b), (c), regarding proposed rulemaking, the Postal Service invites public comment on the following proposed amendment to the Domestic Mail Manual, which is incorporated by reference in the Code of Federal Regulations. See, 39 CFR 111.1.

List of Subjects in 39 CFR Part 111

Postal Service.

PART 111—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for 39 CFR Part 111 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 5 U.S.C. 552(a); 39 U.S.C. 101, 401, 404, 407, 408, 3001-3011, 3201-3219, 3403-3405, 3621, 5001; 42 U.S.C. 1973cc-13, 1973cc-14.

441.5—Revocation or Suspension of Second-Class Privileges

2. In § 441.5, change 441.51 to read as follows:

51 General. The Postal Service will revoke or suspend the entry of a publication as second-class mail whenever it finds, after a hearing, that the publication is no longer entitled to be entered as second-class mail. A second-class permit may be revoked or suspended for failure to pay the Postal Service any postage or fees that are determined to be due as a revenue deficiency under DMM 148, after the publisher has exhausted his right of appeal under that section, or the time for appeal has expired.

An appropriate amendment to 39 CFR 111 to reflect these changes will be published if the proposal is adopted.

Fred Eggleston,

Assistant General Counsel, Legislative Division.

[FR Doc. 86-12276 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710-12-M

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

44 CFR Parts 59, 60, 61, 65, 70, 73, and 76

[Docket No. FEMA-FIA]

National Flood Insurance Program

AGENCY: Federal Insurance Administration (FIA), FEMA.

ACTION: Extension of comment period for proposed rule.

SUMMARY: Copies of the proposed rule, published in the Federal Register on March 28, 1986, 51 FR 10742, reached some critical commentators late in the comment period. Consequently, FEMA decided that all interested parties will receive additional time in which to review and comment on the proposed rule.

DATE: The date is changed to read, "Comments must be received on or before June 16, 1986."

ADDRESS: Send comments to—Rules Docket Clerk, Office of General Counsel, Federal Emergency Management Agency, 500 C Street SW., Washington, DC 20472.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Charles M. Plaxico, Federal Emergency Management Agency, Federal Insurance Administration, 500 C Street SW., Washington, DC 20472; telephone number 846-3422.

Dated: May 27, 1986.

Julius W. Becton, Jr.,
Director.

[FR Doc. 86-12251 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6718-03-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Coast Guard

46 CFR Part 401

[CGD 86-020]

Great Lakes Pilotage Rates

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of public hearing and extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: On May 22, 1986, the Coast Guard published a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking regarding Great Lakes Pilotage Rates (51 FR 18806). At that time the Coast Guard stated that no public hearings were planned, but that they may be held if written requests for a hearing were received and it was determined that the opportunity to make oral presentations would aid the rulemaking process. The Coast Guard has received a request for a public hearing from one of the pilot organizations. Since they are directly affected by the proposed rules, the Coast Guard has determined that a hearing is desirable. Attendance is open to the public. Persons wishing to present oral statements at the hearing should notify the Executive Secretary, Marine Safety Council, no later than two days prior to the hearing. To permit a reasonable two week period for written comment on the oral presentations received by the Coast Guard at this public hearing, the comment period on this proposal is being extended extended to July 2, 1986.

DATES: The Coast Guard will hold the public hearing on June 18, 1986. It will begin at 10 a.m. and end at 4 p.m. or sooner if all speakers have been heard. Comments on this proposed rulemaking must be received by July 2, 1986.

ADDRESSES: The public hearing will be held at: The Hollenden House, East Ballroom, 610 Superior Avenue, Cleveland, Ohio.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The Executive Secretary, Marine Safety Council (G-CMC); U.S. Coast Guard Headquarters, 2100 Second Street SW., Washington, DC 20593, (202) 426-1477.

Dated: May 28, 1986.

W.J. Ecker,

Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Acting Chief,
Office of Merchant Marine Safety.

[FR Doc. 86-12271 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-14-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

50 CFR Part 17

Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Proposal To Reclassify the Legal Status of the American Alligator Throughout the Remainder of Its Range to Threatened Due to Similarity of Appearance

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Service proposes to reclassify the American alligator (*Alligator mississippiensis*) throughout the remainder of its range, where the species is presently classified as endangered or threatened, to threatened due to similarity of appearance under provisions of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended. The Service is also proposing to amend the special rule on American alligators to reflect species-wide reclassification to threatened due to similarity of appearance. This proposed rule is based on evidence that the species is no longer biologically endangered or threatened. Alligator populations in Texas, Louisiana, and Florida have already been reclassified. This proposal deals with alligator populations in Alabama, Arkansas, Georgia, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, and South Carolina. Alligator populations in these seven states are relatively stable and the alligator's distribution throughout these seven states is limited largely by habitat suitability. Reclassification would reduce restrictions on States for future management and research. Any proposed harvests would have to comply with the Service's special rule on American alligators and existing State statutes and regulations. The Service is requesting comments and information pertaining to this proposed reclassification of the alligator throughout its range, and proposed amendments to the special rule.

DATES: Comments from all interested parties must be received by August 1, 1986. Public hearing requests must be received by July 17, 1986.

ADDRESSES: Comments and materials concerning this proposal should be sent to the Endangered Species Field Office, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Jackson Mall Office Center, Suite 316, 300 Woodrow Wilson Avenue, Jackson, Mississippi 39213. Comments and materials received will be available for public inspection, by appointment,

during normal business hours at the above address.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Dennis B. Jordan (See ADDRESSES section) (601/960-4900 or FTS 490-4900).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Background**

The American alligator (*Alligator mississippiensis*) is a large reptile that inhabits wetland areas in all or parts of the following States: Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Louisiana, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, and Texas. The alligator is a member of the Crocodylia, a group of reptiles that has remained relatively unchanged since it evolved some 180-200 million years ago (Murphy 1982). It is one of only two extant species (Chinese alligator and American alligator) of the genus *Alligator*, and it has significant scientific and commercial value. The American alligator's historic and present range are similar (Murphy 1982), although current populations are probably more disjunct due to habitat modification.

Management of alligators has improved markedly in recent years through the activities of Federal agencies, States, and private groups. Major contributions to the species recovery were made by the Florida Game and Fresh Water Fish Commission, the Louisiana Department of Wildlife and Fisheries, the North Carolina Wildlife Resource Commission, the South Carolina Department of Wildlife and Marine Resources, and the Texas Department of Parks and Wildlife. Many State and private institutions and organizations have also made significant contributions. Because of these activities, the American alligator is no longer biologically endangered or threatened.

The alligator was first classified as endangered throughout its range in 1967 due to concern over poorly regulated or unregulated harvests. Subsequently, the alligator recovered rapidly in many parts of its range due to response to Federal and State protection, enabling the Service to undertake the following reclassification actions: (1) Reclassification to threatened due to similarity of appearance in three coastal parishes of Louisiana, reflecting complete recovery (September 26, 1975—40 FR 44412); (2) reclassification to threatened, reflecting partial recovery in all of Florida and certain coastal areas of South Carolina, Georgia, Louisiana, and Texas (January 10, 1977—42 FR 2071); (3) reclassification to threatened due to similarity of appearance, reflecting complete

recovery in nine additional parishes of Louisiana (June 25, 1979—44 FR 37130); (4) reclassification to threatened due to similarity of appearance in 52 parishes in Louisiana, reflecting complete recovery (August 10, 1981—46 FR 40664); (5) reclassification to threatened due to similarity of appearance in Texas, reflecting complete recovery (October 12, 1983—48 FR 46332); (6) reclassification to threatened due to similarity of appearance in Florida, reflecting complete recovery (June 20, 1985—50 FR 25672).

Presently, the species is classified as threatened due to similarity of appearance in Florida, Louisiana, and Texas. These three States contain the majority of American alligator habitat; approximately 12,000,000 acres (4,858,299 hectares) or 83 percent of the total for the species. Alligators are classified as threatened or endangered in Georgia and South Carolina, and endangered in Alabama, Arkansas, Mississippi, North Carolina, and Oklahoma.

The Service was petitioned by the State of South Carolina on July 27, 1984, to reclassify the American alligator in South Carolina, to a category of threatened due to similarity of appearance. Data submitted in support of the petition indicate that alligator populations in South Carolina are disjunct, but stable. Studies in Georgia (Ruckel 1984a, 1984b, and 1984c), North Carolina (Doerr 1983), Mississippi (Lewis 1984), and Alabama (Chabreck 1980, 1984) indicate similar population characteristics to those in South Carolina; populations are stable, disjunct, and limited to areas with suitable habitat. Comprehensive data are not available for Arkansas and Oklahoma, although population characteristics should be similar to peripheral populations in other States. These data, in addition to findings in Florida (46 FR 40664), Texas (42 FR 2071), and parts of Louisiana (44 FR 37130), indicate that the alligator is neither endangered nor likely to become endangered within the foreseeable future. Therefore, the Service proposes to reclassify populations currently listed as endangered or threatened, into the category of threatened due to similarity of appearance. This action would result in a rangewide designation of the American alligator as threatened due to similarity of appearance. Specifically, the change will affect the alligator's status in Alabama, Arkansas, Georgia, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, and South Carolina, States which contain approximately 17 percent of the species' total habitat. This action

formally recognizes that the American alligator is no longer biologically threatened or endangered, but supports a need for continued Federal controls on taking and commerce to insure against excessive taking and to continue necessary protections to the American crocodile (*Crocodylus acutus*) in the U.S. and foreign countries, and other endangered crocodylians in foreign countries.

Summary of Factors Affecting the Species

Section 4(a)(1) of the Endangered Species Act (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*) and regulations promulgated to implement the listing provisions of the Act (50 CFR Part 424) set forth five factors to be used in determining whether to add, reclassify, or remove a species from the list of endangered and threatened species. These factors and their application to the American alligator (*Alligator mississippiensis*) in Arkansas, Alabama, Georgia, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Oklahoma are as follows:

A. The present or threatened destruction, modification, or curtailment of its habitat or range. Albemarle Sound in North Carolina is the approximate northern limit for alligators (Doerr 1983). From this point and south through the State of South Carolina, the principal habitat for the species is coastal marsh, with greatest densities in fresh marsh, brackish marsh, and natural and artificial impoundments. Of occupied habitats in Georgia, about 60 percent are coastal and inland marshes, with the remaining 40 percent in perennial swamps and reservoirs. Alligator habitat in Alabama and Mississippi is similar to that in Georgia, with large populations in marsh and swampland areas along the coast and disjunct populations located inland. Arkansas has a few peripheral populations in the south central part of the State associated with lakes and streams. Oklahoma has a few peripheral individuals located on the periphery of the Little River drainage in the southeastern part of the State.

Wetlands throughout the alligator's range have been reduced. Productive marsh habitats have been and continue to be lost due to a variety of causes, and residential development on and near wetlands increases the probability of conflict between humans and alligators. However, the Service believes that habitat losses are insignificant when compared to the total amount of alligator habitat. Overall, the alligator occupies some 14 million acres (5,668,016 hectares) of various wetland types. Previously cited references

involving reclassification of the alligator indicate that habitat in Louisiana, Texas, and Florida will remain abundant in the foreseeable future. Furthermore, Federal and State agencies manage and protect large amounts of alligator habitat.

State agencies have applied different combinations of planning strategies which have improved the biological status of the alligator throughout a majority of suitable habitat in the Southeast. Some of these strategies have included (1) greater penalties for illegal harvest, (2) assigning personnel to handle nuisance complaints and to relocate problem alligators, (3) prohibiting harvest on state lands, (4) restricting and controlling harvest on state lands based on survey and population data, (5) purchasing and/or protecting wetland habitats, (6) educating private land owners on the economic and social benefits of maintaining and enhancing alligators and their habitats, and (7) continual monitoring and research of alligator populations.

B. Overutilization for commercial, recreational, scientific, or educational purposes. Overharvest due to commercial demand for alligator products was responsible for population declines in accessible habitats during the 1950's and 1960's. This problem was reserved primarily through a more effective protective mechanism brought about by the Lacey Act Amendment of 1969 which prohibited interstate commerce in illegally taken reptiles and their parts and products. This law provided Federal authority for dealing effectively with illegal activities in the market system. The endangered Species Act of 1973 added heavy penalties which further enhanced the control of illegal taking. Additionally, vigorous enforcement by State and Federal authorities has been effective in controlling the illegal taking of alligators. Because of these actions, the number of alligators generally increased during the late 1970's and 1980's (Table 1).

TABLE 1.—RESULTS OF SOUTHEASTERN COOPERATIVE ALLIGATOR SURVEY FOR ALABAMA, ARKANSAS, GEORGIA, MISSISSIPPI, AND SOUTH CAROLINA. DATA FROM CHABRECK (1984)

Year	Total alligators observed	Average number alligators seen/mile
1972.....	2,584	3.95
1973.....	1,492	3.89
1974.....	875	2.25
1975.....	1,308	3.46
1976.....	1,164	2.37

TABLE 1.—RESULTS OF SOUTHEASTERN COOPERATIVE ALLIGATOR SURVEY FOR ALABAMA, ARKANSAS, GEORGIA, MISSISSIPPI, AND SOUTH CAROLINA. DATA FROM CHABRECK (1984)—Continued

Year	Total alligators observed	Average number alligators seen/mile
1977.....	1,130	4.29
1978.....	1,275	4.64
1979.....	2,356	8.75
1980.....	2,582	4.30
1981.....	3,361	8.63
1982.....	3,797	9.54

A comparison between 1972-1976 and 1977-82 of the average number of alligators observed per mile indicates a 210 percent increase (3.18 vs. 6.69, respectively).

Variation in results presented in Table 1 (e.g., drop in the average number of alligators seen/mile during one year after a steady increase) probably reflects behavioral responses of alligators (e.g., reduced activity) to environmental conditions rather than decreases in the number of alligators at a given site (see Woodward and Marion 1978, for factors affecting night counts).

The number of large (in excess of six feet) alligators also increased dramatically after 1977 (Table 2).

TABLE 2.—A COMPARISON OF LARGE ALLIGATORS/MILE BEFORE 1977 AND 1977-82. DATA FROM CHABRECK (1984)

State	1972-77	1977-82	Percent change
AL.....	.21	2.25	+1,000
AR.....	.38	.39	+02
GA.....	.84	1.58	+88
MS.....	.29	.24	-24
SC.....	.12	1.96	+1,633

In addition to night surveys associated with the Southeastern Cooperative Alligator Survey, many additional night surveys have been conducted in North Carolina, South Carolina, and Georgia. Some nest surveys have also been done in South Carolina and Georgia. All of this work indicates stable or increasing alligator populations in these States. For example, Murphy and Coker (1983a and b) showed an overall increasing trend in South Carolina alligator populations from 1976 through 1983. Furthermore, data show healthy rates of nesting, hatching survival, and recruitment in South Carolina (Murphy and Wilkinson 1982), North Carolina (Doerr 1983), Georgia (Ruckel 1981a and 1984a), and Alabama (Chabreck 1980).

Since alligators will continue to be classified as threatened due to similarity of appearance, future taking for

whatever purpose will continue to be regulated by controls established in the Endangered Species Act. Further, the commercial harvest and taking of alligators is regulated by the Service's special rule on American alligators (50 CFR 17.42(a)).

Based on the combined experiences in sustained yield and nuisance control harvests in Louisiana, Florida, and Texas, methods are now available to design harvests so that alligator populations are not negatively affected (Taylor and Neal 1984).

C. Disease or predation. Like most wildlife, alligators are susceptible to various types of disease and predation, but these factors do not appear to threaten the species.

D. The inadequacy of existing regulatory mechanisms. Existing regulations governing take and commerce have successfully dealt with the original basis for listing the American alligator as endangered. The same framework of controls which now governs take and commerce in Florida, Louisiana, and Texas would operate in the remainder of the species' range, if this proposal is made final. The following laws and regulations deal specifically with taking, commerce, and export: (1) The 1969 Amendment to the Lacey Act, which extended enforcement authority to interstate movement of reptiles and their parts; (2) the Endangered Species Act of 1973 which authorizes the special rules for alligators classified as threatened due to similarity of appearance governs taking and commerce in alligators; (3) the annual findings of the Scientific and Management Authorities of the Service, which govern export of species, including the American alligator, which is listed on Appendix II of the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna and Flora (CITES).

States may not authorize take of alligators or the commercial use of alligator parts except in accordance with conditions set forth in the special rule on American alligators (50 CFR 17.42(a)). Further, the annual findings of the Scientific and Management Authorities under CITES for export of Appendix II species are conditioned by a determination on a State's management and regulatory framework with regard to management and conservation of such species.

Although this reclassification would remove the American alligator from an endangered or threatened status, federally enforced laws and regulations would remain in place. These would require that any harvest options by States meet certain minimum conditions

to insure against a recurrence of the original problem which prompted listing, i.e., excessive take.

E. Other natural or manmade factor affecting its continued existence. Although factors such as nest flooding or drought may affect alligators, none of these natural factors are known to limit populations on a large scale and they are not expected to pose a threat to the species in the future.

The Service has carefully assessed the best scientific and commercial information available regarding the past, present, and future threats faced by this species in determining to propose this rule. Based on this evaluation, the preferred action is to reclassify the American alligator in the remainder of its range to threatened due to similarity of appearance. Criteria for reclassification of a threatened or endangered species are found at 50 CFR 424.11(d). They include extinction, recovery of the species, and original data for classification in error. This proposal is based upon evidence that the species is not biologically threatened.

Similarity of Appearance

Section 4(e) of the Endangered Species Act authorizes the treatment of a species as an endangered or threatened species even though it is not otherwise listed as endangered or threatened, if it is found: (a) that the species so closely resembles in appearance an endangered or threatened species that enforcement personnel would have substantial difficulty in differentiating between listed and unlisted species; (b) that the effect of this substantial difficulty is an additional threat to the endangered or threatened species; and (c) that such treatment of an unlisted species will substantially facilitate the enforcement and further the policy of the Act.

Although biologists can readily distinguish live alligators from other crocodylians that are listed under the Act, enforcement personnel could have considerable difficulty in making correct species identification, which could hamper enforcement efforts. In addition, small parts and products of processed crocodylian leather are nearly impossible to distinguish when made into goods, thus hampering the identification of legal alligator products from those of endangered or threatened crocodylians. Problems with identification could increase illegal trade in endangered crocodylian products, further jeopardizing these species.

By listing the American alligator under the similarity of appearance

provisions of the Act, coupled with the special rules for American alligators as specified in § 17.42, the Service believes that enforcement problems can be minimized while at the same time the conservation of listed populations of crocodylians can be ensured. The similarity of appearance provisions of the Act have proven effective in Florida, Louisiana, and Texas.

Critical Habitat

Critical habitat for the American alligator was not designated at the time of listing and has not been since designated. Therefore, this proposed rule, if finalized, will have no effect on critical habitat for this species.

Effects of Rule

This proposal, if made final, would change the alligator throughout the remainder of its range from its current status of endangered or threatened to a status of threatened due to similarity of appearance. It would be a formal recognition by the Service that the American alligator is biologically secure throughout its range. A final rule would result in removal of Federal agency responsibilities under Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act.

No significant adverse effects on the status of the species are expected to occur from this removal.

A final rule from this proposal would make available to States the option of expanding harvests of alligators to additional areas. If a State elects to expand its harvests, these harvests could be expected to increase at a level commensurate with development and implementation of the State research and management program. All taking and commerce in alligators and their parts and products would be regulated by the Service's special rule on American alligators (50 CFR 17.42(a)), as well as other applicable controls such as the Lacey Act (18 U.S.C. 42), which prohibits interstate commerce in illegally taken wildlife or their products.

Increased harvest of alligators would be expected to result in an increased volume of alligator exports, although the magnitude of this increase cannot be predicted at this time. The Service has previously expressed its concern about the effects of increased exports on other endangered crocodylians found in international trade. International trade in alligator products is presently subject to the restrictions of CITES, the Service's implementing regulations (50 CFR Part 23) and general wildlife exportation requirements (50 CFR Part 14). Previous determinations by the Service's Scientific and Management

Authorities have concluded that export of alligators taken in Louisiana and Florida would not be detrimental to the survival of the alligator or other endangered crocodilians. The Service would continue to review any possible impact and would take appropriate action if evidence indicates that restrictions are warranted. This proposed action, if completed, would not be an irreversible commitment on the part of the Service. The action is reversible and relisting is possible if the status of the species changes or if States materially change their plans or actions in a way that may threaten the species. The Service would continue to monitor and review the States' management programs.

Public Comments Solicited

The Service intends that any final rule adopted be as accurate and effective as possible. Therefore, any comments or suggestions from the public, other concerned governmental agencies, the scientific community, industry, private interests, or any other interested party concerning any aspect of this proposed rule and proposed amendments to the special rule are hereby solicited. Comments particularly are sought concerning:

- (1) Biological, commercial, or other relevant data concerning any threat (or lack thereof) to the American alligator; and
- (2) Additional information concerning the range and distribution of this species.

Final promulgation of regulations on the American alligator throughout the remainder of its range will take into consideration the comments and any additional information received by the Service, and such communications may lead to adoption of a final regulation that differs from this proposal.

The Endangered Species Act provides for a public hearing on this proposal, if requested. Requests must be filed within 45 days of the date of the proposal. Such requests should be made in writing and addressed to the Service's Jackson Endangered Species Field Station (see ADDRESSES section).

National Environmental Policy Act

The Fish and Wildlife Service has determined that an Environmental

Assessment, as defined by the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, need not be prepared in connection with regulations adopted pursuant to Section 4(a) of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended. A notice outlining the Service's reasons for this determination was published in the *Federal Register* on October 25, 1983 (48 FR 49244).

References

The following documents were used in the preparation of this rule. These and other documents supplying background information, including all unpublished data, are on file at the Service's Jackson Endangered Species Field Station (see ADDRESSES section).

- Chabreck, R.H. 1980. Status of the American alligator in Baldwin and Mobile Counties, Alabama. Unpublished manuscript, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, 72 pp.
- Chabreck, R.H. 1984. Cooperative Surveys of the American Alligator in the Southeastern United States, 1974-1982. Unpublished data, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge.
- Doerr, P. 1983. Status of the American Alligator in North Carolina. North Carolina State University, Raleigh, Project E-1, Study I. May 1978-Oct. 1983. 494 pp.
- Lewis, D. 1984. Night count summaries and alligator habitat for Mississippi. Unpublished Data, Mississippi Dept. of Wildlife Conservation, Jackson.
- Murphy, T.M. 1982. Size at Sexual Maturity of Male Alligators in South Carolina. South Carolina Wildlife and Marine Resources Department, Unpublished manuscript, 21 pp.
- Murphy, T.M., and J.W. Coker. 1983a. Night Spotlight Counts of Alligators in South Carolina. South Carolina Wildlife and Marine Resources Department, Unpublished manuscript, 35 pp.
- Murphy, T.M., and J.W. Coker. 1983b. American Alligator Population Studies In South Carolina. South Carolina Wildlife and Marine Resources Department Study Completion Reports, Unpublished manuscript, 115 pp.
- Murphy, T.M., and P.M. Wilkinson. 1982. American Alligator Investigation Management Recommendations and Current Research. South Carolina Wildlife and Marine Resources Dept., Unpublished manuscript, 90 pp.
- Ruckel, S. 1981a. Productivity of Alligators in Georgia. Final Report. Georgia Game and Fish Division, Project E-1, Study 17, 18 pp.
- Ruckel, S. 1981b. Distribution of Alligators in Georgia. Final Report. Georgia Game and Fish Division, Project E-1, Study 17, 6 pp.

- Ruckel, S. 1984a. Georgia alligator spotlight survey results, 1973-1984. Unpublished data, Georgia Game and Fish Division, Atlanta.
- Ruckel, S. 1984b. Estimated wetland acreage occupied by alligators in Georgia. Unpublished data, Georgia Game and Fish Division, Atlanta.
- Ruckel, S. 1984c. Characteristics of nuisance alligator complaints and nuisance alligators captured and relocated in Georgia, 1980-83. Unpublished manuscript, Georgia Game and Fish Division, Atlanta.
- Taylor, D., and W. Neal. 1984. Management implications of size-class frequency distributions in Louisiana alligators. *Wildl. Soc. Bull.* 12:312-319.
- Woodward, A.R., and W.B. Marion. 1978. An evaluation of factors affecting nightlight counts of alligators. *Proc. Ann. Conf. S.E. Assoc. Fish and Wildlife Agencies* 32:291-302.

Author

The primary author of this proposed rule is Mr. Wendell Neal of the Service's Jackson Endangered Species Field Station (see ADDRESSES section).

List of Subjects in 50 CFR Part 17

Endangered and threatened wildlife, Fish, Marine mammals, Plants (agriculture).

Proposed Regulation Promulgation

PART 17—[AMENDED]

Accordingly, it is hereby proposed to amend Part 17, Subchapter B of Chapter I, Title 50 of the Code of Federal Regulations, as set forth below:

1. The authority citation for Part 17 continues to read as follows:

Authority: Pub. L. 93-205, 87 Stat. 884; Pub. L. 94-359, 90 Stat. 911; Pub. L. 95-632, 92 Stat. 3751; Pub. L. 96-159, 93 Stat. 1225; Pub. L. 97-304, 96 Stat. 1411 (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*).

2. It is proposed to amend § 17.11(h) by revising listing of the American alligator under "Reptiles" in the List of Endangered and Threatened Wildlife as follows:

§ 17.11 Endangered and threatened wildlife.

* * * * *

(h) * * *

Species		Historic range	Vertebrate population where endangered or threatened	Status	When listed	Critical habitat	Special rules
Common name	Scientific name						
REPTILES							
Alligator, American	<i>Alligator mississippiensis</i>	Southeastern U.S.A.	Wherever found (in wild or captivity)	T(S/A)	1,11,20 47,51,60 113,134	NA	17.42(a)

3. Revise § 17.42(a)(1) definitions to read as follows:

§ 17.42 Special rules—reptiles.

(a) * * *

(1) *Definitions.* For purposes of this paragraph (a):

"American alligator" shall mean any member of the species *Alligator mississippiensis*, whether alive or dead, and any part, product, egg, or offspring thereof wherever found in captivity or the wild.

"Buyer" shall mean a person engaged in buying a raw, green, salted, crusted or otherwise untanned hide of an American alligator, its meat, meat products, and skeleton (including teeth, claws and skulls).

"Tanner" shall mean a person engaged in processing a raw, green, salted, or crusted hide of an American alligator into leather.

4. Revise § 17.42(a)(2)(i)(A)(4) to read as follows:

§ 17.42 Special rules—reptiles.

(a) * * *

(2) * * *

(i) * * *

(A) * * *

(4) Remove a specimen which constitutes a demonstrable but non-immediate threat to human safety. The taking must be done in a humane manner, and may involve killing or injuring only if it has not been reasonably possible to eliminate such threat by live-capturing and releasing the specimen unharmed in a remote area.

5. Revise § 17.42(a)(1)(iv)(A) to read as follows:

§ 17.42 Special rules—reptiles.

(a) * * *

(2) * * *

(iv) * * *

(A) A fully tanned hide which bears the noncorrodible, service-approved serially numbered tag attached by the

State where the taking occurs and a manufactured product of a lawfully taken American alligator may be delivered, received, carried, transported, or shipped in interstate or foreign commerce, by any means whatsoever and in the course of a commercial activity, and may be sold or offered for sale in interstate or foreign commerce.

* * * * *

6. Remove § 17.42(a)(3)(ii).

7. Redesignate § 17.42(a)(3)(iii) as § 17.42(a)(3)(ii).

8. In new § 17.42(a)(3)(ii), revise § 17.42(a)(3)(ii)(A)(2)(v) to read as follows:

§ 17.42 Special rules—reptiles.

(a) * * *

(3) * * *

(ii) * * *

(A) * * *

(2) * * *

(v) The location where inventories of American alligator hides, meat, meat products, skeletal parts (including claws, teeth, and skulls), and hides, meat, or skeletal parts of any other species of the Order Crocodylia will be stored, and

* * * * *

9. In new § 17.42(a)(3)(ii), revise § 17.42(a)(3)(ii)(A)(3) to read as follows:

§ 17.42 Special rules—reptiles.

(a) * * *

(3) * * *

(ii) * * *

(A) * * *

(3) A description, including samples, of the applicant's present or proposed system of inventory control and bookkeeping capable of insuring accurate accounting for the following items:

(i) All American alligator hides, meat, meat products, skeletal parts (including claws, teeth, and skulls), and

(ii) All hides, meat, meat products, skeletal parts (including claws, teeth, and skulls) of any other species of the Order Crocodylia;

* * * * *

10. In new § 17.42(a)(3)(ii), revise § 17.42(a)(3)(ii)(C)(2) and (a)(3)(ii)(C)(2) to read as follows:

§ 17.42 Special rules—reptiles.

(a) * * *

(3) * * *

(ii) * * *

(C) * * *

(2) A permittee may not buy American alligator hides, meat, meat products, skeletal parts (including claws, teeth, and skulls), process meat or skeletal parts, or tan any American alligator hide except one which was imported, exported, taken, sold, offered for sale, delivered, carried, transported, or shipped in accordance with paragraph (a)(2) of this section;

(2) A permittee may sell, offer for sale, deliver, carry, transport, or ship a raw, green, salted, or otherwise untanned American alligator hide or bulk American alligator meat, or unfinished skeletal parts (including claws, teeth, and skulls) only to a holder of a valid Federal permit issued under paragraph (a)(3)(ii) of this section;

* * * * *

11. In new § 17.42(a)(3)(ii), revise § 17.42(a)(3)(ii)(C)(5) and (a)(3)(ii)(C)(6) to read as follows:

§ 17.42 Special rules—reptiles.

(a) * * *

(3) * * *

(ii) * * *

(C) * * *

(5) A permittee must file a written report in English with the Director by March 31 of each year concerning all transactions during the preceding calendar year ending December 31 involving American alligators and other species of the Order Crocodylia listed as "Appendix I" in § 23.23 of this chapter. This report shall include the pounds of meat, the number of hides, parts (including skeletal parts of claws, teeth, and skulls), and products by species, the supplier's name and address, and the

country where taken from the wild, if known;

(6) A permittee may not transport or ship any American alligator hide unless legally tagged in accordance with § 17.42(a)(2)(i)(C), or transport or ship any American alligator meat, meat product, skeletal parts (including claws, teeth, and skulls) unless in compliance with the conditions of § 17.42(a)(2)(i)(C)(2) and (4);

Dated: May 6, 1986.

Susan Recce,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Fish and Wildlife and Parks.

[FR Doc. 86-12219 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-55-M

Notices

Federal Register

Vol. 51, No. 105

Monday, June 2, 1986

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Commodity Credit Corporation

Cotton Storage Agreement Contract Fees

AGENCY: Commodity Credit Corporation, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of 1986-87 Contract Year Fees.

SUMMARY: The purpose of this notice is to publish the 1986-87 contract fees to be paid to Commodity Credit Corporation by certain warehousemen requesting renewal of an existing Cotton Storage Agreement (CSA) or requesting initial approval of a CSA in accordance with the regulations governing the Standards for Approval of Warehouses for Cotton or Cotton Linters (7 CFR 1427.1081 *et seq.*). The fees will partially defray the costs of examinations of cotton warehouses operated by such warehousemen who do not have a Federal warehouse license or State warehouse license issued by a State having a cooperative agreement with CCC for warehouse examination services.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 1, 1986.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Steven Closson, Chief, Storage Contract Branch, Warehouse Division, ASCS, USDA, Room 5768—South Building, P.O. Box 2415, Washington, DC 20013, (202) 447-5647.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This final notice has been reviewed in conformity with Executive Order 12291 and Departmental Regulation 1512-1 and has been classified as "not major." This action has been classified "not major" since implementation of this notice will not result in: (1) An annual effect on the economy of \$100 million or more; (2) a major increase in costs or prices for consumers, individual industries, Federal, State or local governments, or geographical regions; or

(3) significant adverse effects on competition, employment, investment, productivity, innovation, the environment, or the ability of United States-based enterprises to compete with foreign-based enterprises in domestic or export markets.

It has been determined by an environmental evaluation that this action will have no significant impact on the quality of the human environment. Therefore, neither an Environmental Assessment nor an Environmental Impact Statement is required.

This program is not subject to the provisions of Executive Order 12372 which requires intergovernmental consultation with State and local officials. See the Notice related to 7 CFR Part 3015, Subpart V, published at 48 FR 29915 (June 24, 1983).

It has been determined that the Regulatory Flexibility Act is not applicable to this notice since CCC is not required by 5 U.S.C. 553 or any other provision of law to publish a notice of proposed rulemaking with respect to the subject matter of this notice.

The Commodity Credit Corporation Charter Act (15 U.S.C. 714) authorizes CCC to conduct various activities to stabilize, support, and protect farm income prices. CCC is authorized to carry out such activities as making price supports available with respect to various agricultural commodities, removing and disposing of surplus agricultural commodities, exporting or aiding in the exportation of agricultural commodities, and procuring agricultural commodities for sale both in the domestic market and abroad.

Section 4(h) of the CCC Charter Act provides that CCC shall not acquire real property in order to provide storage facilities for agricultural commodities unless CCC determines that private facilities for the storage of such commodities are inadequate. Further, Section 5 of the CCC Charter Act provides that, in carrying out the CCC's purchasing and selling operations, and in the warehousing, transporting, processing, or handling of agricultural commodities, CCC is directed to use, to the maximum extent practicable, the usual and customary channels, facilities, and arrangements of trade and commerce. Pursuant to these provisions, CCC enters into CSAs with private warehousemen which provide for the storage of commodities owned by CCC

or pledged as security to CCC for a price support loan.

CCC examines all warehouses which are the subject of a CSA, or for which a warehouseman has requested approval for a new CSA, to determine whether the warehouseman is complying with the terms and conditions of the CSA and the standards for approval or has the ability to do so.

The regulations at 7 CFR 1427.1088 provide that each warehouseman who does not have a Federal warehouse license or State warehouse license issued by a State having a cooperative agreement with CCC for warehouse examination service must pay a contract fee to CCC for each such warehouse for which the warehouseman requests renewal of an existing CSA or approval of a new CSA. The regulation also provides that the amount of the contract fee shall be determined and announced annually in the *Federal Register*. The contract fee is designed to reimburse CCC for approximately 50 percent of the cost of the warehouse examinations.

Contract fees were not charged for the 1985-86 contract year since appropriated funds were made available for that purpose.

The purpose of this notice is to announce the schedule of contract fees for the 1986-87 contract year.

In determining the fees, CCC considered the following factors:

- (1) The fixed cost associated with conducting examinations;
- (2) The cost of counting bales that are actually in the warehouse at the time of examination;
- (3) Whether the volume of cotton handled at the warehouse should be taken into consideration in the establishment of the fee;
- (4) Whether the fee should be based on the time spent by the inspector at each warehouse; and
- (5) Whether the fee would adversely affect the domestic and export price for marketing cotton.

After a review of these factors, it was determined that the fee should be based only upon fixed costs associated with examinations and upon an estimate as to the costs incurred by CCC in counting bales during an examination. It is determined that the volume of cotton handled at the warehouse does not have a major effect on the amount of time an examiner spends completing an examination. CCC also determined that

the fee should not be based upon the time spent by an examiner in a particular warehouse, because such a calculation might discourage examiners from taking whatever time is necessary to conduct a complete examination. Finally, it was determined that the fee is not large enough to have any adverse effects on the domestic and export prices for marketing cotton.

The fees for the 1986-87 contract year shall be determined as follows:

(1) A fee of \$100 for each warehouse examined to help offset certain fixed costs associated with examinations which do not tend to vary according to storage activity, such as travel and staff hours devoted to discussions with warehouse personnel concerning general warehouse procedures; and

(2) A charge of $\frac{3}{4}$ cent times the average number of bales in storage in the warehouse at the end of each month during the 12 months of the calendar year preceding assessment of the fee to partially offset the cost of the counting of bales that actually are in the warehouse at the time of the examination.

A fee of 10 cents per bale will also be charged a warehouseman whenever CCC conducts a tag check of the warehouse. The tag check is not a part of the standard warehouse examination. Tag checks are conducted by CCC whenever the examiner's bale count is not within $\frac{1}{2}$ of 1% of the total number of bales which should be in the warehouse as determined by outstanding warehouse receipts and all other storage and non-storage obligations or whenever CCC determines that other conditions at the warehouse indicate that a tag check may be necessary. If the tag check reveals that the examiner's original bale count was in error, the inventory and storage obligations are in balance, and the erroneous count was not due to stacking or housekeeping problems which prevented an accurate count, CCC will not assess the 10 cents per bale tag check fee.

Accordingly, the following fees will be applicable for the 1986-87 contract year.

Determination

A. There shall be charged and collected from warehousemen who do not have a Federal warehouse license or a State warehouse license issued by a State having a cooperative agreement with CCC for warehouse examination services for each cotton warehouse for which the warehouseman requests the renewal of an existing CSA or approval of a new CSA, a contract fee, equal to the total of:

1. A charge of \$100 plus

2. A charge of $\frac{3}{4}$ cent times the average number of bales in storage in the warehouse during the preceding calendar year (computed from month-end inventories).

This fee shall be assessed in advance of the annual contract renewal date or, in the case of a warehouseman who is seeking approval of a new CSA, prior to the time that the CSA is approved by CCC. Failure of a warehouseman to pay such fee at that time shall be grounds for termination of a CSA or for rejection of approval of a new CSA.

B. If CCC determines that a tag check of the bales stored in an approved warehouse is warranted, CCC will charge the warehouseman an additional tag check fee of 10 cents per bale checked. A tag check shall be deemed to be warranted whenever the examiner's bale count is not within $\frac{1}{2}$ of 1 percent of the total number of bales which should be in the warehouse, as indicated by outstanding warehouse receipts and all other storage and non-storage obligations or whenever CCC determines that other conditions at the warehouse indicate that a tag check may be necessary. If the tag check reveals that the examiner's original bale count was in error (which error was not caused by stacking or housekeeping deficiencies which prevented an accurate count) and the warehouseman does have sufficient cotton in storage to cover all storage and non-storage obligations, the 10 cents per bale charge will not be assessed.

Signed at Washington, DC on May 27, 1986.

Milton J. Hertz,

Acting Executive Vice President, Commodity Credit Corporation.

[FR Doc. 86-12249 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-05-M

Uniform Grain and Rice Storage Agreement Contract Fees

AGENCY: Commodity Credit Corporation, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of 1986-87 Contract Year Fees.

SUMMARY: The purpose of this notice is to publish a schedule of 1986-87 contract fees to be paid to Commodity Credit Corporation (CCC) by certain grain and rice warehousemen requesting renewal of an existing storage agreement or requesting initial approval of a storage agreement in accordance with the regulations governing the Standards for Approval of Warehouses for Grain, Rice, Dry Edible Beans, and Seed (7 CFR 1421.5551 *et seq.*). The fees are charged by CCC to defray the costs of examination of warehouses operated by

such warehousemen who do not have a Federal warehouse license or State warehouse license issued by a State having a cooperative agreement with CCC for warehouse examination services.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 1, 1986.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Steven Closson, Chief, Storage Contract Branch, Warehouse Division, ASCS, USDA, Room 5768—South Building, P.O. Box 2415, Washington, DC 20013, (202) 447-5647.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This notice has been reviewed in conformity with Executive Order 12291 and Departmental Regulation 1512-1 and has been classified as "not major." This action has been classified "not major" since implementation will not result in: (1) An annual effect on the economy of \$100 million or more; (2) a major increase in costs or prices for consumers, individual industries, Federal, State or local government agencies, or geographic regions; or (3) significant adverse effects on competition, employment, investment, productivity, innovation, the environment or the ability of United States-based enterprises to compete with foreign-based enterprises in domestic or export markets.

It has been determined by an environmental evaluation that this action will have no significant impact on the quality of the human environment. Therefore, neither an Environmental Assessment nor an Environmental Impact Statement is required.

This program is not subject to the provisions of Executive Order 12372 which requires intergovernmental consultation with State and local officials. See the Notice related to 7 CFR Part 3015, Subpart V, published at 48 FR 29115 (June 24, 1983).

It has been determined that the Regulatory Flexibility Act is not applicable to this notice since CCC is not required by 5 U.S.C. 553 or any other provision of law to publish a notice of proposed rulemaking with respect to the subject matter of this notice.

The Commodity Credit Corporation Charter Act (15 U.S.C. 714) provides authority for CCC to conduct a number of operations to stabilize, support, and protect farm income and prices. CCC is authorized to carry out such activities as making price support available with respect to various agricultural commodities, removing and disposing of surplus agricultural commodities, exporting or aiding in the exportation of agricultural commodities, and procuring

agricultural commodities for sale both in the domestic market and abroad.

Section 4(h) of the CCC Charter Act provides that CCC shall not acquire real property in order to provide storage facilities for agricultural commodities unless CCC determines that private facilities for the storage of such commodities are inadequate. Further, Section 5 of the CCC Charter Act provides that in carrying out CCC's purchasing and selling operations, and in the warehousing, transporting, or handling of agricultural commodities, CCC is directed to use, to the maximum extent practicable, the usual and customary channels, facilities, and arrangement of trade and commerce.

Pursuant to these provisions, CCC enters into storage agreements with private grain and rice warehousemen which provide for the storage of commodities owned by CCC or pledged as security to CCC for price support loans. CCC examines all grain and rice warehouses which are the subject of a storage agreement, or for which a warehouseman has requested approval of a new storage agreement, to determine whether the warehouseman is complying with the terms and conditions of the storage agreement and the standards of approval or has the ability to do so.

The regulations found at 7 CFR 1421.5558 provide that each warehouseman who has a non-federally licensed grain or rice warehouse in States that do not have a cooperative agreement with CCC for warehouse examinations must pay an annual contract fee to CCC for each such warehouse which is approved by CCC or for which CCC approval is sought. A grain or rice warehouseman who has entered into a storage agreement with CCC must pay the annual contract fee in advance of the renewal date of the agreement. A grain or rice warehouseman who is seeking to enter into a storage agreement with CCC must pay the annual contract fee for each warehouse for which CCC approval is sought prior to the time that the agreement is approved by CCC. Section 1421.5558 also provides that the amount of the contract fee shall be determined and announced annually in the *Federal Register*. The contract fee is designed to reimburse CCC for approximately 50 percent of the cost of the warehouse examinations.

A review of the 1985-86 contract fees indicates that they generated sufficient revenues to cover approximately 50 percent of the cost of the warehouse examinations for the 1985-86 contract year. Projections of program costs for the 1986-87 contract year indicate that

CCC's expenditures for the examination of grain and rice warehouses should remain relatively stable. Accordingly, the fees for the 1986-87 contract year are unchanged from those fees which were applicable to the 1985-86 contract year.

Accordingly, the following schedule of fees and method of determining such fees will be applicable for the 1986-87 contract year.

Determination

The fees set forth in the following schedule will be collected for the 1986-87 contract year by the Commodity Credit Corporation (CCC) from warehousemen who have entered into a Uniform Rice Storage Agreement (URSA) or a Uniform Grain Storage Agreement (UGSA) with CCC or who are seeking to enter into a URSA or UGSA with CCC but who do not have a Federal warehouse license or a State warehouse license issued by a State having a cooperative agreement with CCC for warehouse examination services.

TWELVE MONTH CONTRACT FEE SCHEDULE

Location capacity (bushels)	Contract fees (dollars)
1 to 150,000.....	\$100
150,001 to 250,000.....	200
250,001 to 500,000.....	300
500,001 to 750,000.....	400
750,001 to 1,000,000.....	500
1,000,001 to 1,200,000.....	600
1,200,001 to 1,500,000.....	700
1,500,001 to 2,000,000.....	800
2,000,001 to 2,500,000.....	900
2,500,001 to 5,000,000.....	1,000
5,000,001 to 7,500,000.....	1,100
7,500,001 to 10,000,000.....	1,200
10,000,001 +	\$1,200 plus \$30 per million bushels of capacity above 10 million or fraction thereof.

The location capacity of the warehouse shall be determined by the Secretary of Agriculture and shall be the capacity of a fully functional facility operated as a public warehouse or functional unit of a group of warehouses usually within the same town or freight tariff point. A functional facility is one which could operate independently if it was separated from other facilities that may be included in a merged warehouse code. Any outlying unit which is not a fully functional facility would have its capacity included as part of the combined capacity of the nearest fully functional operating location.

The contract fee shall be the sum total of the fees for all functional units within the warehouse code and shall be assessed and must be paid in advance of the annual contract renewal date or, in the case of a warehouseman who is seeking approval of a new URSA or

UGSA, prior to the time that the agreement is approved by CCC. The failure of a warehouseman to pay such fee at that time shall be grounds for termination of an existing URSA or UGSA or for rejection of approval of a new URSA and UGSA.

Signed at Washington, DC on May 27, 1986.

Milton J. Hertz,

Acting Executive Vice President, Commodity Credit Corporation.

[FR Doc. 86-12250 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-05-M

Federal Grain Inspection Service

Designation Renewal of Erie Grain Inspection Service (OH)

AGENCY: Federal Grain Inspection Service (FGIS), USDA.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the designation renewal of Erie Grain Inspection Service (Erie) as an official agency responsible for providing official services under the U.S. Grain Standards Act, as Amended (Act).

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 1, 1986.

ADDRESS: James R. Conrad, Chief, Review Branch, Compliance Division, Federal Grain Inspection Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., Room 1647 South Building, Washington, DC 20250.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James R. Conrad, telephone (202) 447-8525.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This action has been reviewed and determined not to be a rule or regulation as defined in Executive Order 12291 and Departmental Regulation 1512-1; therefore, the Executive Order and Departmental Regulation do not apply to this action.

FGIS announced that Erie's designation terminates on June 30, 1986, and requested applications for official agency designation to provide official services within a specified geographic area in the December 27, 1985, *Federal Register* (50 FR 52975), and as corrected, January 6, 1986 (51 FR 421). Applications were to be postmarked by January 27, 1986. Erie was the only applicant for its designation and applied for designation renewal in the area currently assigned to that agency.

FGIS announced the applicant name and requested comments on the same in the March 3, 1986, *Federal Register* (51 FR 7301). Comments were to be postmarked by April 17, 1986. No

comments were received regarding Erie's designation renewal.

FGIS evaluated all available information regarding the designation criteria in Section 7(f)(1)(A) of the Act, and in accordance with Section 7(f)(1)(B), determined that Erie is able to provide official services in the geographic area for which FGIS is renewing its designation. Effective July 1, 1986, and terminating June 30, 1989, Erie will provide official inspection services in its specified geographic areas, which is the entire area previously described in the December 27 Federal Register.

A specified service point, for the purpose of this notice, is a city, town, or other location specified by an agency for the performance of official inspection or Class X or Class Y weighing services and where the agency and one or more of its inspectors or weighers is located. In addition to the specified service points within the assigned geographic area, an agency will provide official services not requiring an inspector or weigher to all locations within its geographic area.

Interested persons may contact the Review Branch, specified in the address section of this notice, to obtain a list of an agency's specified service points. Interested persons also may obtain a list of the specified service points by contacting the agency at the following address:

Erie Grain Inspection Service, 301 North Street, P.O. Box 96, Bellevue, OH 44811.

(Pub. L. 94-582, 90 Stat. 2867, as amended (7 U.S.C. 71 *et seq.*))

Dated: May 19, 1986.

J.T. Abshier,

Director, Compliance Division.

[FR Doc. 86-12167 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-EN-M

Request for Comments on Designation Applicants in the Geographic Area Currently Assigned to Fostoria Grain Inspection (OH), Louisiana Department of Agriculture (LA), and North Carolina Department of Agriculture (NC)

AGENCY: Federal Grain Inspection Service (FGIS), USDA.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice requests comments from interested parties on the applicants for official agency designation in the geographic area currently assigned to Fostoria Grain Inspection (Fostoria), Louisiana Department of Agriculture (Louisiana), and North Carolina Department of Agriculture (North Carolina).

DATE: Comments to be postmarked on or before July 17, 1986.

ADDRESS: Comments must be submitted, in writing, to Lewis Lebakken, Jr., Information Resources Staff, Resources Management Division, Federal Grain Inspection Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Room 1661 South Building, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20250. All comments received will be made available for public inspection at the above address during regular business hours (7 CFR 1.27(b)).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lewis Lebakken, Jr., telephone (202) 382-1738.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This action has been reviewed and determined not to be a rule or regulation as defined in Executive Order 12291 and Departmental Regulation 1512-1; therefore, the Executive Order and Departmental Regulation do not apply to this action.

FGIS requested applications for official agency designation to provide official services within specified geographic areas in the April 1, 1986, Federal Register (51 FR 11084), and as corrected, April 9, 1986 (51 FR 12188). Applications were to be postmarked by May 1, 1986. Fostoria, Louisiana, and North Carolina were the only applicants for their respective designations and applied for designation renewal in the area currently assigned to those agencies.

This notice provides interested persons the opportunity to present their comments concerning the designation applicants. All comments must be submitted to the Information Resources Staff, Resources Management Division, specified in the address section of this notice.

Comments and other available information will be considered in making a final decision. Notice of the final decision will be published in the Federal Register, and the applicants will be informed of the decision in writing.

(Pub. L. 94-582, 90 Stat. 2867, as amended (7 U.S.C. 71 *et seq.*))

Dated: May 19, 1986.

J.T. Abshier,

Director, Compliance Division.

[FR Doc. 86-12168 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-EN-M

Request for Designation Applicants To Provide Official Services in the Geographic Area Currently Assigned to Amarillo Grain Exchange, Inc. (TX), and Wisconsin Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection (WI)

AGENCY: Federal Grain Inspection Service (FGIS), USDA.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the provisions of the U.S. Grain Standards Act, as Amended (Act), official agency designations shall terminate not later than triennially and may be renewed according to the criteria and procedures prescribed in the Act. This notice announces that the designation of two agencies will terminate, in accordance with the Act, and requests applications from parties, including the agencies currently designated, interested in being designated as the official agency to provide official services in the geographic area currently assigned to the specified agencies. The official agencies are Amarillo Grain Exchange, Inc., and Wisconsin Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection.

DATE: Applications to be postmarked on or before July 2, 1986.

ADDRESS: Applications must be submitted to James R. Conrad, Chief, Review Branch, Compliance Division, Federal Grain Inspection Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., Room 1647 South Building, Washington, DC 20250. All applications received will be made available for public inspection at the above address during regular business hours.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James R. Conrad, telephone (202) 447-8525.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This action has been reviewed and determined not to be a rule or regulation as defined in Executive Order 12291 and Departmental Regulation 1512-1; therefore, the Executive Order and Departmental Regulation do not apply to this action.

Section 7(f)(1) of the Act specifies that the Administrator of FGIS is authorized, upon application by any qualified agency or person, to designate such agency or person to provide official services after a determination is made that the applicant is better able than any other applicant to provide official services in an assigned geographic area.

Amarillo Grain Exchange, Inc. (Amarillo), 1300 South Johnson Street,

Amarillo, TX 79101, was designated under the Act as an official agency to provide inspection functions on December 1, 1983. Wisconsin Department of Agriculture, Trade and Consumer Protection (Wisconsin), 801 West Badger Road, Madison, WI 53713, was designated on that date to provide inspection and weighing functions.

Each official agency's designation terminates on November 30, 1986. Section 7(g)(1) of the Act states that official agencies' designations shall terminate not later than triennially and may be renewed according to the criteria and procedures prescribed in the Act.

The geographic area presently assigned to Amarillo, in the States of Oklahoma and Texas, pursuant to Section 7(f)(2) of the Act, which may be assigned to the applicant selected for designation, is as follows:

The area in Texas is the following:
Bounded on the North by the Texas-Oklahoma State line;

Bounded on the East by the eastern Red River County line; the southern Red River and Lamar County lines; the eastern, southern, and western Hunt County lines; the southern Collin County line; the eastern Dallas, Ellis, Hill, Limestone, Falls, and Milam County lines;

Bounded on the South by the southern Williamson County line; the western Bell and McLennan County lines; the southern Bosque; Hamilton, Comanche, and Brown County lines; the southern and western Coleman County line; the southern Taylor, Nolan, Mitchell, Howard, Martin, and Andrews County lines;

Bounded on the West by the western Andrews; Gaines, Yoakum, and Cochran County lines; the northern Cochran County line; the northern Hockley County line east to FM 303; FM 303 north to U.S. Route 84; U.S. Route 84 (including Sudan), southeast to FM 37; FM 37 east to FM 179; FM 179 north to FM 1914; FM 1914 east (not including Hale Center), to FM 400; FM 400 south to FM 37; FM east to the Hale County line; the eastern Hale County line; the northern Crosby and Dickens County lines; the western Cottle and Childress County lines north to U.S. Route 287; U.S. Route 287 northwest to Donley County; the southern Donley and Armstrong County lines west to Prairie Dog Town Fork of the Red River; Prairie Dog Town Fork of the Red River northwest to State Route 217; State Route 217 west to FM 1062; FM 1062 west to U.S. Route 385; U.S. Route 385 north to Oldham County; the southern Oldham County line; the western

Oldham, Hartley, and Dallam County lines.

In addition, the area includes El Paso County.

The area in Oklahoma is the following: Beaver, Cimarron, and Texas Counties.

The geographic area presently assigned to Wisconsin, pursuant to Section 7(f)(2) of the Act, and which is the area that may be assigned to the applicant selected for designation, is the entire State of Wisconsin, except those export port locations within the State.

Interested parties, including Amarillo and Wisconsin, are hereby given opportunity to apply for official agency designation to provide the official services in each geographic area, as specific above, under the provisions of Section 7(f) of the Act and section 800.196(d) of the regulations issued thereunder. Designation in each specified geographic area is for the period beginning December 1, 1986, and ending November 30, 1989. Parties wishing to apply for designation should contact the Review Branch, Compliance, Division, at the address listed above for forms and information.

Applications and other available information will be considered in determining which applicant will be designated to provide official services in a geographic area.

(Pub. L. 94-582, 90 Stat. 2867, as amended (7 U.S.C. 71 *et seq.*)

Dated: May 19, 1986.

J.T. Abshier,

Director, Compliance Division.

[FR Doc. 86-12169 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-EN-M

Designation of Enid Grain Inspection Company, Inc. (OK)

AGENCY: Federal Grain Inspection Service (FGIS), USDA.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the designation of Enid Grain Inspection Company, Inc., as an official agency responsible for providing official services under the U.S. Grain Standards Act, as Amended (Act).

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 1, 1986.

ADDRESS: James R. Conrad, Chief, Review Branch, Compliance Division, Federal Grain Inspection Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., Room 1647 South Building, Washington, DC 20250.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James R. Conrad, telephone (202) 447-8525.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This action has been reviewed and determined not to be a rule or regulation as defined in Executive Order 12291 and Departmental Regulation 1512-1; therefore, the Executive Order and Departmental Regulation do not apply to this action.

FGIS announced that Enid Grain Inspection Company, Inc., requested cancellation of its designation on January 1, 1986, and requested applications for official agency designation to provide official services within a specified geographic area in the December 27, 1985, *Federal Register* (50 FR 52975), and as corrected, January 6, 1986 (51 FR 421). Applications were to be postmarked by January 27, 1986. Barry L. Hibbets, Enid, Oklahoma, who proposed to continue the business as Enid Grain Inspection Company, Inc. (Hibbets), was the only applicant for designation; he applied for the entire area available for assignment. Hibbets has been providing official inspection service in the area on an interim basis since January 1, 1986.

FGIS announced the applicant name and requested comments on the same in the March 3, 1986, *Federal Register* (51 FR 7302). Comments were to be postmarked by April 17, 1986. Two favorable comments were received regarding the designation of Hibbets in the available geographic area.

FGI evaluated all available information regarding the designation criteria in section 7(f)(1)(A) of the Act, and in accordance with Section 7(f)(1)(B), determined that Hibbets is able to provide official services in the geographic area for which FGIS is designating it. Effective July 1, 1986, and terminating June 30, 1989, Hibbets will provide official inspection services in the specific geographic area, which is the entire area previously described in the December 27 *Federal Register*.

A specified service point, for the purpose of this notice, is a city, town, or other location specified by an agency for the performance of official inspection or Class X or Class Y weighing services and where the agency and one or more of its inspectors or weighers is located. In addition to the specified service points within the assigned geographic area, an agency will provide official services not requiring an inspector or weigher to all locations within its geographic area.

Interested persons may contact the Review Branch, specified in the address section of this notice, to obtain a list of an agency's specified service points. Interested persons also may obtain a list of the specified service points by

contacting the agency at the following address:

Enid Grain Inspection Company, Inc.,
2205 N. 10th Street, P.O. Box 229, Enid,
OK 73701.

(Pub. L. 94-582, 90 Stat. 2867, as amended (7 U.S.C. *et seq.*))

Dated: May 19, 1986

J.T. Abshier,

Director, Compliance Division.

[FR Doc. 86-12170 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-EN-M

Request for Comments on Designation Applicants in the Battle Creek, Michigan, Geographic Area (MI)

AGENCY: Federal Grain Inspection Service (FGIS), USDA.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice requests comments from interested parties on the applicants for official agency designation in the Battle Creek, Michigan, geographic area.

DATE: Comments to be postmarked on or before July 12, 1986.

ADDRESS: Comments must be submitted, in writing, to Lewis Lebakken, Jr., Information Resources Staff, Resources Management Division, Federal Grain Inspection Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Room 1661 South Building, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20250. All comments received will be made available for public inspection at the above address during regular business hours (7 CFR 1.27(b)).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lewis Lebakken, Jr., telephone (202) 382-1738.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This action has been reviewed and determined not to be a rule or regulation as defined in Executive Order 12291 and Departmental Regulation 1512-1; therefore, the Executive Order and Departmental Regulation do not apply to this action.

FGIS announced that the designation of Grain Inspection Services, Inc., Battle Creek, Michigan, would not be renewed on May 1, 1986, and requested applications for official agency designation to provide official services within a specified geographic area in the April 1, 1986, *Federal Register* (51 FR 11083). Applications were to be postmarked by May 1, 1986. There were five applicants for the available geographic area, as follows:

1. East Indiana Grain Inspection, Inc., Muncie, Indiana, which applied only for the following County in Ohio: Williams.

2. Erie Grain Inspection Service, Bellevue, Ohio, which applied for the entire geographic area available for assignment.

3. Lima Grain Inspection Service, Inc., Lima, Ohio, which applied only for the following County in Ohio: Williams.

4. Michigan Grain Inspection Services, Reese, Michigan, which applied for the entire geographic area available for assignment.

5. Schneider Inspection Service, Inc., Lowell, Indiana, which applied only for the following Counties in Michigan: Berrien, Cass, St. Joseph, Branch, and Hillsdale.

This notice provides interested persons the opportunity to present their comments concerning the designation applicants. All comments must be submitted to the Information Resources Staff, Resources Management Division, specified in the address section of this notice.

Comments and other available information will be considered in making a final decision. Notice of the final decision will be published in the *Federal Register*, and the applicants will be informed of the decision in writing.

Persons or firms located in this geographic area requiring official inspection service should contact the FGIS Saginaw Field Office at (517) 753-2482 to obtain such service until such time as an applicant is designated to perform official services.

(Pub. L. 94-582, 90 Stat. 2867, as amended (7 U.S.C. 71 *et seq.*))

Dated: May 19, 1986.

J.T. Abshier,

Director, Compliance Division.

[FR Doc. 86-12171 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-EN-M

Request for Comments on Designation Applicants in the Ft. Smith-Van Buren, AR, Geographic Area (AR)

AGENCY: Federal Grain Inspection Service (FGIS), USDA.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice requests comments from interested parties on the applicants for official agency designation in the Ft. Smith-Van Buren, Arkansas, Geographic area.

DATE: Comments to be postmarked on or before June 17, 1986.

ADDRESS: Comments must be submitted, in writing, to Lewis Lebakken, Jr., Information Resources Staff, Resources Management Division, Federal Grain Inspection Service, U.S. Department of

Agriculture, Room 1661 South Building, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20250. All comments received will be made available for public inspection at the above address during regular business hours (7 CFR 1.27(b)).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lewis Lebakken, Jr., telephone (202) 382-1738.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This action has been reviewed and determined not to be a rule or regulation as defined in Executive Order 12291 and Departmental Regulation 1512-1; therefore, the Executive Order and Departmental Regulation do not apply to this action.

FGIS announced the cancellation of designation of Ft. Smith-Van Buren Grain Inspection Service, effective April 17, 1986, and requested applications for official agency designation to provide official services within a specified geographic area in the April 1, 1986, *Federal Register* (51 FR 11086). Applications were to be postmarked by May 1, 1986. There were two applicants for the available geographic area, as follows: 1. Enid Grain Inspection Company, Inc., Enid, Oklahoma; and 2. Little Rock Grain Exchange Trust, Little Rock, Arkansas. Both applicants applied for the entire geographic area available for assignment.

This notice provides interested persons the opportunity to present their comments concerning the designation applicants. All comments must be submitted to the Information Resources Staff, Resources Management Division, specified in the address section of this notice.

Comments and other available information will be considered in making a final decision. Notice of the final decision will be published in the *Federal Register*, and the applicants will be informed of the decision in writing.

Persons or firms located in this geographic area requiring official inspection service should contact the FGIS Stuttgart Field Office at (501) 673-2508 to obtain such service until such time as an applicant is designated to perform official services.

(Pub. L. 94-582, 90 Stat. 2867, as amended (7 U.S.C. 71 *et seq.*))

Dated: May 19, 1986.

J.T. Abshier,

Director, Compliance Division.

[FR Doc. 86-12172 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-EN-M

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

National Forest Land and Resource Management Planning and Public Land and Resources; Planning, Programming, and Budgeting

AGENCIES: Forest Service, USDA and Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Joint notification of land and resource management planning schedules.

SUMMARY: Land and resource management plans of the Forest Service and the Bureau of Land Management frequently cover adjoining areas which share common resource issues and management concerns requiring continuous and close interagency coordination. Therefore, the USDA Forest Service and the USDI Bureau of Land Management have again elected to jointly announce land management

planning schedules for lands which each agency administers. The purpose of publishing joint planning schedules is to provide agencies and the public with the opportunity to study the relationships between the agencies' current and projected planning activities.

The Forest Service and the Bureau of Land Management's planning systems are authorized and administered under different laws and regulations. Consequently, this notice is organized into two parts (Part A—Forest Service and Part B—Bureau of Land Management).

Comments on the schedules should be directed to the appropriate agency (see **ADDRESS**, Part A and Part B).

Part B—Bureau of Land Management

Regulations governing resource management planning for the Bureau of Land Management administered lands (43 CFR Parts 1601 and 1610) were published in the *Federal Register* on May 5, 1983. They became effective on July 5, 1983. Those regulations (43 CFR

1610.2(b)) require that the Bureau publish a planning schedule for the current and three succeeding fiscal years. The schedule below fulfills that requirement.

The formal start of the planning process begins with the publication of a Notice of Intent to initiate a plan. The projected planning starts are shown on the schedule for Fiscal Years 1986 and 1987. Where an environmental assessment (EA) is indicated on the schedule, the EA will be made available to the public and will be revised to respond to public comments, as appropriate.

A key to the abbreviations used is provided after the schedule.

DATES: Comments on the schedule will be accepted July 2, 1986.

ADDRESS: Comments should be sent to Director (760), Bureau of Land Management, Washington, DC 20240.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ann Loose, (202) 653-8824.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT—PLANNING SCHEDULE

District, State and resource area	Plan name (major resource issues)	Fiscal year 1986	Fiscal year 1987	Fiscal year 1988	Fiscal year 1989
Anchorage, AK: Anchorage	South Central RMP (National defense, recreation, minerals, lands, wild and scenic rivers, cultural).	Start	Start	DEIS	FEIS
Fairbanks AK: Arctic Yukon	Corridor RMP (lands, oil and gas, recreation, wildlife, minerals, subsistence, wilderness).	Start	DEIS	FEIS	
Northwest	Central Yukon RMP (lands, oil and gas, wildlife, minerals, subsistence)	FEIS			
Phoenix, AZ: Phoenix	Phoenix RMP (range, wildlife, minerals, lands)			DEIS, FEIS	
Safford, AZ: San Simon	San Simon RMP (range, wildlife, lands)		Start	DEIS	FEIS
Safford/Phoenix	Eastern Arizona EIS (grazing)	FEIS			
California Desert, CA: El Centro, Indio Barstow, Needles, Ridgecrest.	Desert 86-87 MFP-A (various)		EA		
Susanville, CA: Eagle Lake/Cedarville.	Eagle Lake/Cedarville MFP-A (wilderness)	PFEIS			
Ukiah, CA: Arcata	Arcata RMP (lands, forestry, wildlife)	Start	DEIS, FEIS		
Arcata	Eden/Thatcher Ridge MFP-A (wilderness)	PFEIS			
Canon City, CO: San Luis	San Luis RMP (lands, minerals, range, wildlife, forestry)	Start		DEIS, FEIS	
Craig, CO: Little Snake	Little Snake RMP (range, wilderness, coal, oil and gas, wildlife)	DEIS, FEIS			
Little Snake	Little Snake Technical Supplement (wilderness)	DEIS	PFEIS		
Craig, CO: White River	James Creek PRLA EIS MFP-A (coal)	FEIS			
White River	White River MFP-A (wilderness)	DEIS	FEIS, PFEIS		
Grand Junction, CO: Grand Junction.	Grand Junction RMP (wilderness)	PFEIS			
Montrose, CO: San Juan	San Juan/San Miguel RMP (wilderness)	PFEIS			
Uncompagne	Uncompagne RMP (coal, wilderness)		DEIS, FEIS, PFEIS		
Boise, ID: Jarbridge	Jarbridge RMP (wilderness)		PFEIS		
Owyhee	Owyhee MFP-A (wilderness)	PFEIS			
Cascade	Cascade RMP (grazing, timber, wildlife, land tenure)	DEIS	FEIS		
Bruneau/Owyhee	Jacks Creek MFP-A (wilderness)	PFEIS			
Owyhee/Bruneau S. Matheur, OR; Elko, NV.	Owyhee Canyon Lands MFP-A (wilderness)	PFEIS			
Coeur D'Alene, ID: Cottonwood	Chief Joseph RMP (forestry)		Start	DEIS, FEIS	
Cottonwood/Emerald Empire.	North Idaho MFP-A (wilderness)	PFEIS			
Emerald Empire, Elko, NV.	Emerald Empire RMP (forestry)		Start	DEIS, FEIS	
Idaho Falls, ID: Big Butte/Pocatello	Eastern Idaho MFP-A (wilderness)	PFEIS			
Medicine Lodge	Medicine Lodge RMP (wilderness)	PFEIS			
Pocatello, ID: Pocatello	Pocatello RMP (lands, minerals, wildlife, grazing)		DEIS, FEIS		
Salmon, ID: Challis	Challis MFP-A (wilderness)	PFEIS			
Challis	Big Lost/Pahsimeroi MFP-A (wilderness)	PFEIS			

BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT—PLANNING SCHEDULE—Continued

District, State and resource area	Plan name (major resource issues)	Fiscal year 1986	Fiscal year 1987	Fiscal year 1988	Fiscal year 1989
Lemhi	Lemhi RMP (grazing, wildlife, lands)	FEIS	PFEIS		
Shoshone, ID:					
Bennett Hills	Bennett Hills RMP (grazing, lands, fire, wildlife)		Start, DEIS	FEIS	
Monument/Bennett	Monument RMP (wilderness)	PFEIS			
Jackson, MS:					
Jackson	Louisiana RMP (wildlife, lands, minerals, wetland/floodplain/riparian)		FEIS		
Jackson	Florida RMP (wildlife, lands, minerals, wetland/floodplain/riparian)	Start	DEIS, FEIS		
Jackson	Georgia Plan Analysis (minerals, wildlife, wetland/floodplain/riparian)		Start		EA
Jackson	South Carolina Plan Analysis (minerals, wildlife, wetlands/riparian/floodplain)		Start		EA
Monana: Statewide	Statewide Wilderness EIS	PFEIS			
Butte, MT:					
Dillon	Centennial MFP-A (wilderness)		PFEIS		
Dillon	Dillon MFP-A (wilderness)	PFEIS			
Garnet	Garnet RMP (wilderness)	PFEIS			
Headwaters	Headwaters RMP-A (wilderness)	PFEIS			
Lewiston/Miles City, MT	Missouri Breaks MFP-A (wilderness)	PFEIS			
Lewiston, MT:					
Great Falls	West Hilline RMP (lands, ORV, ROW, special management areas, water quality)		DEIS	FEIS	
Valley	Bittercreek MFP-A (wilderness)	PFEIS			
Miles City, MT:					
Billings	Billings RMP-A (wilderness)	PFEIS			
Powder River	Powder River RMP-A (wilderness)	PFEIS			
Nevada: Statewide	Statewide wilderness EIS			PFEIS	
Battle Mountain, NV:					
Shoshone/Eureka	Shoshone/Eureka RMP-A (range, wildlife)	Start	DEIS, FEIS		
Elko, NV: Elko	Elko RMP (lands, wilderness, livestock)	FEIS, PFEIS			
New Mexico: Statewide	NM Statewide MFP-A (wilderness)	DEIS	PFEIS		
Abuquerque, NM:					
Farmington	Farmington RMP (grazing, lands, fuel sources, coal, ORV, ROW corridors, special management areas)	Start	DEIS, FEIS		
Taos	Taos RMP (Grazing, lands, special management areas)		DEIS, FEIS		
Las Cruces, NM:					
Las Cruces/Lordsburg	Dona Ana County MFP-A (lands)	DEIS	FEIS		
Socorro	Socorro RMP (grazing, lands, access/ORV, coal, special management areas, wild horses)	Start		DEIS, FEIS	
Roswell, NM: Carlsbad	Carlsbad RMP (grazing, minerals, lands, access, special management areas)	DEIS, FEIS			
Dickinson, ND: North Dakota	North Dakota RMP (coal, lands)		DEIS, FEIS		
Oregon: Statewide	Oregon Statewide MFP-A (wilderness)	DEIS	PFEIS		
Prineville, OR: Central Oregon/Deschutes	Brothers-La Pine RMP (timber, lands, watershed, ORV)	Start		DEIS, FEIS	
Vale, OR: Baker	Baker RMP (grazing, lands, timber, fisheries)		DEIS, FEIS		
Cedar City, UT:					
Dixie	Dixie RMP (lands, recreation, wildlife, corridors, community expansion)	Start		DEIS, FEIS	
Escalante	Escalante RMP (coal, recreation)		Start		
Moab, UT:					
San Juan	San Juan RMP (livestock, oil and gas, recreation, lands)	DEIS, FEIS			
San Rafael	San Rafael RMP (livestock, oil and gas, coal, recreation)			DEIS, FEIS	
Richfield, UT:					
House Range	House Range RMP (livestock, lands, geothermal)	DEIS, FEIS			
Sevier River	Sevier River MFP-A (livestock grazing)			DEIS, FEIS	
Warm Springs	Warm Springs RMP (livestock, lands, geothermal)	DEIS, FEIS			
Milwaukee, WI:					
Milwaukee	Illinois Plan Analysis (lands, minerals)	EA			
Milwaukee	Missouri Plan Analysis (lands, minerals)	EA			
Casper, WY: Buffalo	Buffalo RMP (wilderness)	PFEIS			
Cody, WY:					
Cody	Cody RMP (range, oil and gas)	Start	DEIS	FEIS	
Washakie	Washakie RMP (range, wilderness)	DEIS	FEIS		
Rawlins, WY:					
Lander	Lander RMP (range, wilderness)	FEIS			
Medicine Bow/Divide	Medicine Bow/Divide RMP (range, wilderness, coal)	DEIS	FEIS		
Rock Springs, WY:					
Kemmerer	Kemmerer RMP (rangeland, oil and gas)	FEIS			
Pinedale	Pinedale RMP (rangeland, oil and gas, lands, forestry, recreation, wildlife, watershed)	DEIS	FEIS		

Key to Abbreviations:

DEIS—Draft environmental impact statement
 EA—Environmental assessment
 FEIS—Final environmental impact statement
 MFP-A—Management framework plan amendment
 ORV—Off road vehicles
 PA—planning analysis
 PFEIS—Preliminary final environmental impact statement (wilderness only)
 RMP—Resource management plan
 ROW—Rights-of-way

Dated: March 27, 1986.

James M. Parker,
 Acting Director, Bureau of Land Management.

Part A—Forest Service

The National Forest Management Act of 1976 directed the Secretary of Agriculture to attempt to complete land and resource management plans for

each "administrative unit" (e.g., National Forest) of the National Forest System by September 30, 1985. Regulations to guide this effort were initially developed in 1979, and revised in 1982 at the direction of the Presidential Task Force on Regulatory Relief (Vol. 47, No. 190 of the Federal Register, September 30, 1982).

Additional revision to the rules was necessary to respond to a court decision that the 1979 Roadless Area Review and Evaluation (RARE II) environmental statement and associated procedures were inadequate under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA).

The NFMA regulations require integrated planning for all resources of

the National Forest System—recreation, fish and wildlife, water, timber, range, and wilderness. The rules set forth a process for developing and revising the land and resource management plans as required by the Forest and Rangeland Renewable Resources Planning Act of 1974 (RPA), as amended by the The National Forest Management Act of 1976 (NFMA). These rules require development of Regional Guides and Forest Plans. Each will include all management planning for resources and be supported by an environmental impact statement.

Draft and final Regional Guides and Forest Plans and associated environmental impact statements will be filed with the Environmental Protection Agency and made available to the public for comment. All Forest plans are scheduled to be published in draft by the end of September 1986.

A planning schedule is included below showing the fiscal year which draft and final documents have been or will be filed. Also given are the addresses of the Forest Service's Regional Offices and National Forest headquarters in each Region for which plans are to be prepared.

Readers interested in the progress and status of a particular Regional Guide or Forest Plan should contact the appropriate Regional Forest of Forest Supervisor.

DATE: Comments on the schedule will be accepted July 2, 1986.

ADDRESS: Comments should be sent to: Chief, Forest Service, USDA, P.O. Box 2417, Washington, DC 20013.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joyce P. Parker, Land Management Planning, P.O. Box 2417, Washington, DC 20013, (202) 447-6697.

Dated: May 23, 1986.

F. Dale Robertson,
Associate Chief.

NATIONAL FOREST SYSTEM FIELD OFFICES AND FISCAL YEAR FILING DATES OF REGIONAL GUIDES AND FOREST PLANS WITH ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

	Headquarters location ¹	Fiscal year to be completed	
		DEIS	FEIS ²
Region 1—Northern Region, Federal Building, Missoula, Montana 59807			
Regional Guide.		1981	1981

NATIONAL FOREST SYSTEM FIELD OFFICES AND FISCAL YEAR FILING DATES OF REGIONAL GUIDES AND FOREST PLANS WITH ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY—Continued

	Headquarters location ¹	Fiscal year to be completed	
		DEIS	FEIS ²
Idaho:			
Clearwater.....	Orofino 83544.....	1985	1987
Idaho Panhandle National Forests ² , Coeur d'Alene	Coeur d'Alene 83814.....	1985	1987
Kaniksu			
St. Joe			
Nezperce.....	Grangeville 83530.....	1985	1987
Montana:			
Beaverhead.....	Dillon 59725.....	1985	* 1986
Bitterroot.....	Hamilton 59840.....	* 1985	1986
Custer.....	Billings 59103.....	1985	1986
Deerlodge.....	Butte 59701.....	1985	1986
Flathead.....	Kalispell 59901.....	* 1985	* 1985
Gallatin.....	Bozeman 59715.....	1985	1986
Helena.....	Helena 59601.....	* 1985	1986
Kootenai.....	Libby 59923.....	1985	1987
Lewis and Clark			
Lolo.....	Missoula 59801.....	* 1985	* 1986
Region 2—Rocky Mountain Region, 11177 W. 8th Ave., Box 25127, Lakewood, Colorado 80225			
Regional Guide.		1981	1983
Colorado:			
Arapaho-Roosevelt ² , Grand Mesa, Uncompahgre, and Gunnison ² , Pike and San Isabel ² , Rio Grande	Ft. Collins 80521.....	1982	1984
Routt.....	Monte Vista 81144.....	1983	1985
San Juan			
White River			
Nebraska:			
Nebraska-Samuel R. McKelvie ² , South Dakota: Black Hills.	Delta 81416.....	1983	1983
Wyoming:			
Bighorn			
Medicine Bow.	Shendan 82801.....	1984	1985
Shoshone			
Cody			
Region 3—Southwestern Region, 517 Gold Ave., SW., Albuquerque, New Mexico 87102			
Regional Guide.		1981	* 1983
Arizona:			
Apache-Sitgreaves ² , Coconino	Springerville 85938.....	1986	1987
Coconado			
Tucson			
Flagstaff 86001.....		1986	1987
Tucson 85702.....		* 1985	1986

NATIONAL FOREST SYSTEM FIELD OFFICES AND FISCAL YEAR FILING DATES OF REGIONAL GUIDES AND FOREST PLANS WITH ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY—Continued

	Headquarters location ¹	Fiscal year to be completed	
		DEIS	FEIS ²
Kaibab.....	Williams 86046.....	1986	1987
Prescott.....	Prescott 86301.....	1986	1987
Tonto.....	Phoenix 85034.....	* 1985	1986
New Mexico:			
Carson.....	Taos 87571.....	1985	1986
Cibola.....	Albuquerque 87112.....	1984	1985
Gila.....	Silver City 88061.....	1985	1986
Lincoln.....	Alamogordo 88310.....	1985	1986
Santa Fe.....	Santa Fe 87501.....	1986	1987
Region 4—Intermountain Region, 324 25th Street, Ogden, Utah 84401			
Regional Guide.		1981	* 1984
Idaho:			
Boise.....	Boise 83706.....	1987	1987
Caribou.....	Pocatello 83201.....	1985	* 1986
Challis.....	Challis 83226.....	1985	1986
Payette.....	McCall 83638.....	* 1986	1986
Salmon.....	Salmon 83467.....	* 1986	1986
Sawtooth.....	Twin Falls 83301.....	1985	1986
Targhee.....	St. Anthony 83445.....	* 1985	* 1986
Nevada:			
Humboldt.....	Eiko 89801.....	1985	1986
Toiyabe.....	Reno 89501.....	1985	1986
Utah:			
Ashley.....	Vernal 84078.....	1985	1986
Doie.....	Cedar City 84720.....	* 1986	1986
Fishlake.....	Richfield 84701.....	1985	1986
Manti-LaSal.....	Price 84501.....	1985	1986
Uinta.....	Provo 84601.....	* 1985	1985
Wasatch-Cache ² , Wyoming: Bridger-Teton ² , Region 5—Pacific Southwest, 630 Sansome Street, San Francisco, California 94111	Jackson 83001.....	1986	1987
Regional Guide.		1981	1984
California:			
Angeles.....	Pasadena 91101.....	1985	1986
Cleveland.....	San Diego 92188.....	1985	1986
Eldorado.....	Placerville 95667.....	1986	1987
Inyo.....	Bishop 93514.....	1986	1987
Klamath.....	Yreka 96097.....	* 1986	1987
Lassen.....	Susanville 96130.....	* 1986	1987
Los Padres.....	Goleta 93107.....	1986	1987
Mendocino.....	Willows 95988.....	1986	1987
Modoc.....	Alturas 96101.....	1986	1987
Plumas.....	Quincy 95971.....	* 1986	1987
San Bernardino.	San Bernardino 92408.....	* 1986	1987
Sequoia.....	Porterville 93257.....	* 1986	1987
Shasta-Trinity ² , Sierra.....	Redding 96001.....	1986	1987
Six Rivers.....	Fresno 93721.....	* 1985	1987
Stanislaus-Calaveras, Big Tree ² , Tahoe.....	Eureka 95501.....	1986	1987
Lake Tahoe Basin Management Unit.	Sonora 95370.....	1985	1987
Nevada City 95959.....		* 1986	1987
So. Lake Tahoe.....		* 1986	1987

NATIONAL FOREST SYSTEM FIELD OFFICES AND FISCAL YEAR FILING DATES OF REGIONAL GUIDES AND FOREST PLANS WITH ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY—Continued

	Headquarters location ¹	Fiscal year to be completed	
		DEIS	FEIS ²
Region 6—Pacific Northwest Region, 319 SW Pine Street, P.O. Box 3623, Portland, Oregon 97208		1982	1984
Regional Guide, Supplemental EIS.		1986	1987
Oregon:			
Deschutes	Bend 97701	* 1986	1987
Fromont	Lakeview 97630	1986	1987
Malheur	John Day 97845	1986	1987
Mt. Hood	Portland 97233	1986	1987
Ochoco	Prineville 97754	1986	1987
Rogue River	Medford 97501	1986	1987
Siskiyou	Grants Pass 97526	1986	1987
Siuslaw	Corvallis 97330	1986	1987
Umatilla	Pendleton 97801	1986	1987
Umpqua	Roseburg 97470	1986	1987
Wallowa-Whitman ³	Baker 97814	* 1986	1987
Willamette	Eugene 97440	1986	1987
Winema	Klamath Falls 97601	1986	1987
Washington:			
Colville	Colville 99114	1986	1987
Gifford	Vancouver 98660	1986	1987
Pinchot			
Mt. Baker-Snoqualmie ³	Seattle 98101	1986	1987
Okanogan	Okanogan 98840	* 1986	1987
Olympic	Olympia 98501	1986	1987
Wenatchee	Wenatchee 98801	1986	1987
Region 8—Southern Region, 1720 Peachtree Road, NW., Atlanta, Georgia 30309		1982	1984
Regional Guide.			
Alabama:			
National Forests in Alabama ³ .	Montgomery 36101	1985	* 1986
William B. Bankhead			
Conecuh			
Talladega			
Tuskegee			
Arkansas:			
Quachita	Hot Springs 79101	1985	* 1986
Ozark-St. Francis ³ .	Russellville 72801	1985	1986
Florida:			
National Forests in Florida ³ .	Tallahassee 32301	1985	* 1986
Apalachicola			
Ocala			
Osceola			
Georgia:			
Chattahoochee-Oconee ³ .	Gainesville 30501	1985	* 1986
Kentucky:			
Daniel Boone.	Winchester 40391	1985	1985
Louisiana:			
Kisatchie	Pineville 71360	1984	1985

NATIONAL FOREST SYSTEM FIELD OFFICES AND FISCAL YEAR FILING DATES OF REGIONAL GUIDES AND FOREST PLANS WITH ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY—Continued

	Headquarters location ¹	Fiscal year to be completed	
		DEIS	FEIS ²
Mississippi:			
National Forests in Mississippi ³ .	Jackson 39205	1985	1985
Bienville Delta			
DeSoto Holly Springs			
Homochitto			
Tombigbee			
North Carolina:			
National Forests in North Carolina ³ .	Asheville 28802	1985	1986
Nantahala and Pisgah			
Uwharrie and Croatan.			
Puerto Rico: Caribbean	Rio Piedras 00928	1985	* 1986
South Carolina:			
Francis Marion.			
Sumter ³	Columbia 29202	1985	1986
Tennessee:			
Cherokee	Cleveland 37311	1985	* 1986
Texas:			
National Forests in Texas ³ .	Lufkin 75901	1985	1987
Angelina			
Davy			
Crockett			
Sabine			
Sam Houston			
Virginia:			
George Washington.	Harrisonburg 22801	1985	1986
Jefferson	Roanoke 24011	1985	1985
Region 9, Eastern Region, 633 West Wisconsin Avenue, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53203.			
Regional Guide.		1982	1984
Illinois:			
Shawnee	Harrisburg 62946	1985	1986
Indiana and Ohio:			
Wayne-Hoosier ³ .	Bedford 47421		
Wayne		1986	1987
Hoosier		1984	1985
Michigan:			
Hiawatha	Escanaba 49829	1985	1986
Huron-Manistee ³ .	Cadillac 49601	1985	1986
Ottawa	Ironwood 49938	1986	1986
Minnesota:			
Chippewa	Cass Lake 56633	1985	1986
Superior	Duluth 55801	1985	1986
Missouri:			
Mark Twain	Rolla 65401	1985	1986

NATIONAL FOREST SYSTEM FIELD OFFICES AND FISCAL YEAR FILING DATES OF REGIONAL GUIDES AND FOREST PLANS WITH ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY—Continued

	Headquarters location ¹	Fiscal year to be completed	
		DEIS	FEIS ²
New Hampshire and Maine: White Mountain.	Laconia 03246	1985	* 1986
Pennsylvania: Allegheny	Warren 16365	1985	1986
Vermont: Green Mountain.	Rutland 05701	* 1986	1986
West Virginia: Monongahela.	Elkins 26241	1985	1986
Wisconsin: Chequamegon-Nicolet	Park Falls 54552	1985	1986
Rhineclander	54501	1985	1986
Alaska Region—Federal Office Building, P.O. Box 1628, Juneau, Alaska 99802			
Regional Guide.		1981	1984
Alaska:			
Chugach	Anchorage 99502	1982	1984
Tongass	Ketchikan 99901	1989	1989

¹ Mailing address for each National Forest.
² Two or more separately proclaimed National Forests.
³ DEIS and FEIS mean Draft and Final Environmental Impact Statements.
⁴ Filed with EPA.

* An earlier published draft EIS will be supplemented or revised.

[FR Doc. 86-12284 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]
 BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

**COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS
 Arkansas Advisory Committee;
 Agenda and Notice of Public Meeting**

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the Rules and Regulations of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, that a meeting of the Arkansas Advisory Committee to the Commission will convene at 1:00 p.m. and adjourn at 5:00 p.m. on June 26, 1986, at the Arkansas Bar Center, Board Room, 400 W. Markham, Little Rock, Arkansas. The purpose of the meeting is to discuss the Committee's planned project on discrimination in juvenile justice and monitoring of higher education desegregation in response to the *Adams* case.

Persons desiring additional information, or planning a presentation to the Committee, should contact Committee Chairperson, Alan Patteson or J. Richard Avena, Director of the Southwestern Regional Office at (512) 229-5570, (TDD 512/229-5580). Hearing impaired persons who will attend the meeting and require the services of a

sign language interpreter, should contact the Regional Office at least five(5) working days before the scheduled date of the meeting.

The meeting will be conducted pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the Commission.

Dated at Washington, DC, May 28, 1986.

Yvonne E. Schumacher,

Program Specialist for Regional Programs.

[FR Doc. 86-12308 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6335-01-M

New York Advisory Committee; Agenda and Notice of Public Meeting

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the Rules and Regulations of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, that a meeting of the New York Advisory Committee to the Commission will convene at 4:00 p.m. and adjourn at 6:00 p.m. on June 23, 1986, at the Summit Hotel, 51st Street and Lexington Avenue, New York, New York. The purpose of the meeting is to hold a briefing session in preparation for the community forum.

Persons desiring additional information, or planning a presentation to the Committee, should contact Committee Chairperson, Archer Puddington or Ruth Cubero, Director of the Eastern Regional Office at (212) 264-0400, (TDD 212/264-0400). Hearing impaired persons who will attend the meeting and require the services of a sign language interpreter, should contact the Regional Office at least five(5) working days before the scheduled date of the meeting.

The meeting will be conducted pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the Commission.

Dated at Washington, DC, May 28, 1986.

Ann E. Goode,

Program Specialist for Regional Programs.

[FR Doc. 86-12309 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6335-01-M

New York Advisory Committee; Agenda and Notice of Public Meeting

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the Rules and Regulations of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, that a meeting of the New York Advisory Committee to the Commission will convene at 9:00 a.m. and adjourn at 5:00 p.m. on June 24, 1986, at 26 Federal Plaza, Room 305, New York, New York. The purpose of the meeting is to hold a community forum on public awareness of civil rights recourse and remedies in New York City as it relates to police misconduct.

Persons desiring additional information, or planning a presentation to the Committee, should contact Committee Chairperson, Archer Puddington or Ruth Cubero, Director of the Eastern Regional Office at (212) 264-0400, (TDD 212/264-0400). Hearing impaired persons who will attend the meeting and require the services of a sign language interpreter, should contact the Regional Office at least five (5) working days before the scheduled date of the meeting.

The meeting will be conducted pursuant to the provisions of the rules and regulations of the Commission.

Dated at Washington, DC, May 28, 1986.

Ann E. Goode,

Program Specialist for Regional Programs.

[FR Doc. 86-12310 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6335-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Agency Forms Under Review by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB)

DOC has submitted to OMB for clearance the following proposals for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Agency: Bureau of the Census.
Title: 1986 Post-Enumeration Survey Follow-up of Los Angeles, California.
Form Number: Agency—DC-1301U;
OMB—N/A.

Type of Request: New collection.
Burden: 400 respondents; 400 reporting hours.

Needs and Uses: This follow-up survey will be used to determine whether people were counted in the 1986 Census of Los Angeles.

Affected Public: Individuals or households.

Frequency: One time only.
Respondent's Obligation: Mandatory.
OMB Desk Officer: Timothy Sprehe
395-4814.

Agency: Bureau of the Census.
Title: Housing Vacancy Survey.
Form Number: Agency—HVS-1
(Attachment F); OMB—0607-0179.

Type of Request: Extension of a currently approved collection.
Burden: 5,200 respondents; 3,200 reporting hours.

Needs and Uses: This survey provides quarterly estimates of national, regional, and state vacancy rates by various characteristics. The data are used by researchers to gauge the housing inventory overtime.

Affected Public: Individuals or households.

Frequency: Monthly.
Respondent's Obligation: Voluntary.
OMB Desk Officer: Timothy Sprehe
395-4814.

Agency: Bureau of the Census.
Title: 1987 Test Census—Advance Post Office Check.

Form Number: Agency—DF-700A;
OMB—N/A.

Type of Request: New collection.
Burden: 500 respondents; 17 reporting hours.

Needs and Uses: This test census will be used to evaluate various methods of address list compilation and improvements to be used in the 1990 Decennial Census.

Affected Public: Individuals or households.

Frequency: One time only.
Respondent's Obligation: Mandatory
OMB Desk Officer: Timothy Sprehe
395-4814.

Agency: Bureau of the Census.
Title: October 1986 School Enrollment Supplements.

Form Number: Agency—CPS-1;
OMB—0607-0464.

Type of Request: Reinstatement of a previously approved collection.
Burden: 57,000 respondents; 3,200 reporting hours.

Needs and Uses: These supplements provide basic school enrollment data for persons 3 years old or older who are enrolled in elementary school, high school, college, and special schools, as well as for children enrolled in nursery schools or kindergarten.

Affected Public: Individuals or households.

Frequency: Annually.
Respondent's Obligation: Voluntary.
OMB Desk Officer: Timothy Sprehe
395-4814.

Copies of the above information collection proposals can be obtained by calling or writing DOC Clearance Officer, Edward Michals, (202) 377-4217, Department of Commerce, Room 6622, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collections should be sent to Timothy Sprehe, OMB Desk Officer, Room 3235, New Executive Office Building, Washington, D.C. 20503.

Dated: May 22, 1986.

Edward Michals,

Departmental Clearance Officer, Information Management Division, Office of Information Resources Management.

[FR Doc. 86-12297 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-07-M

International Trade Administration**Export Control Policy Forum on Reexport Controls**

AGENCY: Export Administration, International Trade Administration, Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of forum on reexport controls.

SUMMARY: Consistent with the U.S. Department of Commerce's commitment to solicit and use industry support in carrying out export control programs, there will be an Export Control Policy Forum on Reexport controls sponsored by Commerce in co-operation with the Militarily Critical Technology List (MCTL) Implementation Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) and the U.S. Chamber of Commerce, to be held at 9:00 a.m. on June 23, 1986 at the U.S. Chamber of Commerce, Herman Lay Room, 1615 H Street, NW., Washington, DC. The Forum is open to the public with registration starting at 8:00 a.m.

Commerce is conducting a study of the reexport control policies (Ref. Part 374 of the Export Administration Regulations) with an emphasis on the purpose, basis, impact and effectiveness of those policies. The scope of the study is outlined in the Supplementary Information included in this notice. Commerce is soliciting from industry suggestions that may lead toward an acceptable relaxation of these controls while continuing to address overriding national security and foreign policy concerns.

Interested industry members are encouraged to orally present views in a consolidated manner at this joint industry/government Forum to an 8-member panel representing Commerce, State, Defense, the Subcommittee on Export Administration of the President's Export Council, and the MCTL TAC. Each speaker will be allowed no more than 20 minutes and comments must be directly related to reexport controls. Speakers must submit their position papers prior to June 16 with 10 copies to the listed contact. Presenters will be contacted by June 16 to arrange presentation times. Speakers and attendees will be on a first come first serve basis. If you desire to distribute copies of your paper to the public, please bring sufficient copies to the Forum on June 23.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Connie White, Office of Technology and Policy Analysis, Room 1631, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 273, Washington, DC (Telephone (202) 377-4275).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**A. Statement of Purpose**

Export Administration is examining the reexport control provisions of the EAR (Part 374) to determine the following:

1. Effectiveness of U.S. reexport controls in preventing U.S. goods and technology from being diverted;
2. Consistency with their original objectives;
3. Specific extraterritorial implications;
4. The degree of consistency in USG's application of reexport controls across the board; and
5. Consistency of current regulations with international agreements and existing business practices; and,
6. Options for amending the regulations, if any.

B. Purpose of Controls

The USG maintains the policy of requiring authorization for any reexport of a U.S. commodity that would require a license for export from the U.S. to the new destination. This regulation applies to COCOM and non-COCOM members alike, with limited exceptions that are stated in § 374.2 and 374.3(e). Applications for reexport authorization are considered on a case-by-case basis. The rationale for such a reexport policy is to prevent diversion of U.S. origin goods to proscribed (controlled) destinations. In addition, the USG considers the control of reexports a fundamental element of the overall COCOM security efforts.

Today, U.S. reexport controls raise international concerns of extraterritoriality and allegations that they act to undermine U.S. competitiveness in the international market.

C. Basis for Controls

U.S. Export Administration Regulations (specifically § 374.1) state that commodities exported from the United States to a foreign destination cannot be reexported to another country without prior authorization from Export Administration. Section 374.2 relieves the reexporter of that responsibility in most instances in which the same product could be shipped from the U.S. to the new destination under a general license, and certain other situations. The statutory bases most often quoted for imposing U.S. reexport controls are subsections 3(2) and 5(a)(3) of the Export Administration Act of 1979, as amended.

There are three ways that reexport authorization may be obtained. They are as follows:

1. *License Application Form ITA-622P.* If at the time the U.S. export license application is submitted to Export Administration, the U.S. exporter or foreign consignee expects that the commodities will be reexported (section 374.3(a)(1)).

2. *Amendments to License.* If prior to export from the United States, the exporter learns of an end use for the commodities other than that authorized by the license (section 374.3(a)(2)) a Form ITA-685P may be submitted to amend the license.

3. *Specific Reexport Authorization.* In those cases of shipment to specified destinations where the reexport was not foreseen nor included in the license or amendments, the U.S. exporter or the foreign consignee is required to obtain reexport authorization by filing form ITA-699P, or a letter if the form is not readily available overseas (section 374.3(b)).

D. Notes on Current Implementation

Generally, applications for export or reexport to most free world destinations are considered favorably on a case-by-case basis unless there is a significant risk that commodities will be used or diverted contrary to the objectives of specific U.S. export controls.

Under the new G-COM regulations, reexport authorization is not necessary for transfers of "Country Groups Q, W and Y Advisory Note" level commodities into COCOM countries.

In the case of reexports of U.S. origin goods from COCOM countries to proscribed destinations, U.S. reexport authorization is necessary for all licensable exports *except* COCOM general exceptions items, pursuant to COCOM clearance by the reexporting country (§374.3(e)).

Reexport controls apply as well to commodities controlled for foreign policy purposes. Foreign policy controls have been a sensitive issue, because U.S. reexport authorization is required even though the country of reexport does not have comparable controls in place.

E. Industry Suggestions

Industry comments on current reexport regulations are solicited to assist the U.S. Government in identifying and assessing the available range of options.

Walter J. Olson,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Export Administration.

[FR Doc. 86-12311 Filed 5-29-86; 9:40 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DT-M

Consolidated Decision on Applications For Duty-Free Entry of Mass Spectrometers; Research Triangle Institute

This is a decision consolidated pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR Part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. in Room 1523, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th & Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC.

Docket number: 85-283. Applicant: Research Triangle Institute, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709. Intended Use: See notice at 50 FR 41380.

Docket number: 86-023. Applicant: University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA 90024. Intended use: See notice at 50 FR 46806.

Article: Mass Spectrometer. Manufacturer: VG Analytical Instruments Ltd., United Kingdom. Advice submitted by: National Institutes of Health: April 3, 1986.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as each is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States. Reasons: Each foreign instrument to which the foregoing applications relate provides a mass range of 1 to 8,000 atomic mass units at an accelerating potential of 10,000 volts in the FAB mode. The National Institutes of Health advises in its respectively cited memoranda that (1) this capability is pertinent to each applicant's intended purpose and (2) it knows of no domestic instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value for the intended use of each instrument.

We know of no other instrument or apparatus being manufactured in the United States which is of equivalent scientific value to any of the foreign instruments.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 11.105, Importation of Duty-Free Educational and Scientific Materials)

Frank W. Creel,

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff.

[FR Doc. 86-12305 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M

University of California, Berkeley; Decision on Application For Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument

This decision is made pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational,

Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR Part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 AM and 5:00 PM in Room 1523, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC.

Docket number: 86-014. Applicant: University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, CA 94720. Instrument: Cryotrans System for ISI-DS130 S.E.M., Model Polaron E7300. Manufacturer: Hexland Limited, United Kingdom. Intended use: See notice at 50 FR 46807.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as it is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States. Reasons: This is a compatible accessory for an instrument previously imported for the use of the applicant. The National Institutes of Health advises in its memorandum dated April 3, 1986 that the accessory is pertinent to the intended uses and that it knows of no comparable domestic accessory.

We know of no domestic accessory which can be readily adapted to the instrument.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 11.105, Importation of Duty-Free Educational and Scientific Materials)

Frank W. Creel,

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff.

[FR Doc. 86-12298 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M

U.S. Geological Survey; Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument

This decision is made pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR Part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 AM and 5:00 PM in Room 1523, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC.

Docket Number 85-275. Applicant: U.S. Geological Survey; Hartford, CT 06103. Instrument: VLF Resistivity Meter. Manufacturer: Geonics Limited, Canada. Intended Use: See notice at 50 FR 38563.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as it is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States. Reasons: The foreign instrument is

capable of measuring the quad-phase as well as the in-phase secondary field. This capability is pertinent to the applicant's intended purpose. We know of no domestic instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument for the applicant's intended use.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 11.105, Importation of Duty-Free Educational and Scientific Materials)

Frank W. Creel,

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff.

[FR Doc. 86-12299 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M

University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign; Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument

This decision is made pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR Part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 AM and 5:00 PM in Room 1523, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC.

Docket No.: 86-126. Applicant: University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL 61801. Instrument: Combined Sputtered Neutral Mass Spectrometry and Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry Analysis System, Model INA-3. Manufacturer: Leybold-Heraeus, West Germany. Intended use: See notice at 51 FR 8691.

Comments: None received.

Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as it is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States.

Reasons: The foreign instrument provides quantitative determination of thin film composition and a depth resolution to 5 nanometers. The National Bureau of Standards advises in its memorandum dated April 18, 1986 that: (1) This capability is pertinent to the applicant's intended purpose and (2) it knows of no domestic instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument for the applicant's intended use.

We know of no other instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument which is being manufactured in the United States.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 11.105, Importation of Duty-Free Educational and Scientific Materials)

Frank W. Creel,

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff.

[FR Doc. 86-12300 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M

University of New Mexico; Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument

This decision is made pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR Part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 AM and 5:00 PM in Room 1523, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC.

Docket No.: 96-002. Applicant: University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, NM 87131. Instrument: Scanning Electron Microscope, Model S-800 and Accessories. Manufacturer: Hitachi Instruments, Japan. Intended use: See notice at 50 FR 45647.

Comments: None received.

Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as it is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States.

Reasons: The foreign instrument provides ion emission scanning with a guaranteed resolution of 2 nanometers. The National Institutes of Health advises in its memorandum dated April 3, 1986 that (1) this capability is pertinent to the applicant's intended purpose and (2) it knows of no domestic instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument for the applicant's intended use.

We know of no other instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument which is being manufactured in the United States.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 11.105, Importation of Duty-Free Educational and Scientific Materials)

Frank W. Creel,

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff.

[FR Doc. 86-12301 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M

Oregon State University; Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument

This decision is made pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR Part 301). Related

records can be viewed between 8:30 AM and 5:00 PM in Room 1523, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, D.C.

Docket No.: 86-010. Applicant: Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR 97331. Instrument: System for Alternating Detection of Positive/Negative Ion Conservation Kit for MAT CH-7 Mass Spectrometer. Manufacturer: AMD Intetra GmbH, West Germany. Intended use: See notice at 50 FR 46149.

Comments: None received.

Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as it is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States.

Reasons: This is a compatible accessory for an instrument previously imported for the use of the applicant. The instrument and accessory were made by the same manufacturer. The National Institutes of Health advises in its memorandum dated April 3, 1986 that the accessory is pertinent to the intended uses and that it knows of no comparable domestic accessory.

We know of no domestic accessory which can be readily adapted to the instrument.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 11.105, Importation of Duty-Free Educational and Scientific Materials)

Frank W. Creel,

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff.

[FR Doc. 86-12302 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M

University of Southern California; Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument

This decision is made pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, 80 Stat. 897; 14 CFR Part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 AM and 5:00 PM in Room 1523, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC.

Docket No.: 85-308. Applicant: University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA 90089. Instrument: Electron Paramagnetic Resonance Spectrometer, Model ER 1200 with ER/251 ENDOR Accessory. Manufacturer: Bruker-Physik AG, West Germany. Intended use: See notice at 50 FR 45646.

Comments: None received.

Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as it is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States.

Reasons: The foreign instrument provides capability for electron paramagnetic resonance and electron nuclear double resonance. The National Institutes of Health advises in its memorandum dated April 3, 1986 that (1) this capability is pertinent to the applicant's intended purpose and (2) it knows of no domestic instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument for the applicant's intended use.

We know of no other instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument which is being manufactured in the United States.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 11.105, Importation of Duty-Free Educational and Scientific Materials)

Frank W. Creel,

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff.

[FR Doc. 86-12303 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M

University of Virginia Medical Center; Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument

This decision is made pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. L. 89-651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR Part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 AM and 5:00 PM in Room 1523, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, DC.

Docket No.: 86-008. Applicant: University of Virginia Medical Center, Charlottesville, VA 22908. Instrument: Mass Spectrometer, Model 823OB with Accessories. Manufacturer: Finnigan MAT GmbH, West Germany. Intended use: See notice at 50 FR 46149.

Comments: None received.

Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as it is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States.

Reasons: The foreign instrument provides a resolution of 50 000 (10% valley), a scan speed of 0.1 seconds per decade, and FAB. The National Institutes of Health advises in its memorandum dated April 3, 1986 that (1) this capability is pertinent to the applicant's intended purpose and (2) it knows of no domestic instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument for the applicant's intended use.

We know of no other instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument which is being manufactured in the United States.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 11.105, Importation of Duty-Free Educational and Scientific Materials)

Frank W. Creel,

Director, Statutory Import Programs Staff.

[FR Doc. 86-12304 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-M

CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

Notification of Request for Extension of Approval of Information Collection Requirements; Children's Sleepwear Standards

AGENCY: Consumer Product Safety Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the Consumer Product Safety Commission has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget a request for extension of approval through June 30, 1989, of information collection requirements in the flammability standards for children's sleepwear and enforcement rules, codified at 16 CFR Parts 1615 and 1616. These standards and enforcement rules are applicable to children's sleepwear garments in sizes 0 through 14, and fabrics used in the production of such garments. The standards and enforcement rules require manufacturers and importers of children's sleepwear garments and fabrics to perform periodic testing from representative samples to assure that children's sleepwear items meet the performance requirements of the standards. The enforcement rules also require manufacturers and importers to compile and maintain records of such testing, and to make those records available to Commission investigators upon request.

Additional Details About the Request for Extension of Approval of Information Collection Requirements

Agency address

Consumer Product Safety Commission, 1111 18th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20207.

Title of information collection

Standard for the Flammability of Children's Sleepwear: Sizes 0 Through 6X (16 CFR Part 1615); and Standard for the Flammability of Children's Sleepwear: Sizes 7 Through 14 (16 CFR Part 1616).

Type of request

Extension of approval.

Frequency of collection

Varies by number of styles of items produced or imported and by number of

items of each style manufactured or imported each year.

General description of respondents

Manufacturers and importers of children's sleepwear garments and fabrics used for production of children's sleepwear.

Estimated number of respondents

200.

Estimated average number of hours per respondent

437.5 per year.

Comments: Comments on this request for extension of approval of information collection requirements should be addressed to Andy Velez-Rivera, Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503; telephone (202) 395-7340. Copies of the request for extension of approval of information collection requirements are available from Francine Shacter, Office of Budget, Program Planning, and Evaluation, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Washington, DC 20207; telephone (301) 492-6429.

This is not a proposal to which 44 U.S.C. 3504(h) is applicable.

Dated: May 28, 1986.

Sadye E. Dunn,

Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission.

[FR Doc. 86-12257 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6355-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

Department of Defense Retirement Board of Actuaries; Meeting

AGENCY: Department of Defense Retirement Board of Actuaries, DoD.

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: A meeting of the Board has been scheduled to execute the provisions of Chapter 95, title 10, United States Code. The Board shall review DoD actuarial methods and assumptions to be used in the implementation and valuation of the military retirement system. Persons desiring to (1) attend the DoD Retirement Board of Actuaries meeting or (2) make an oral presentation or submit a written statement for consideration at the meeting must notify Ms. Kathy Greenstreet at 696-6336 by August 23, 1986. Notice of this meeting is required under the Federal Advisory Committee Act.

DATE: September 16, 1986, 8:30 am to 4:30 pm.

ADDRESS: Room 3E752, the Pentagon.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Toni Husted, Executive Secretary, DoD Office of the Actuary, 4th floor, 1600 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA 22209-2593, (202) 696-5869.

Patricia H. Means,

OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

May 23, 1986.

[FR Doc. 86-12253 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3810-01-M

Department of Defense Education Benefits Board of Actuaries; Meeting

AGENCY: Department of Defense Education Benefits Board of Actuaries, DoD.

ACTION: Notice of Meeting.

SUMMARY: A meeting of the Board has been scheduled to execute the provisions of Chapter 101, title 10, United States Code (10 U.S.C. 2006(e) et seq.). The Board shall review DoD actuarial methods and assumptions to be used in the valuation of the GI Bill. Persons desiring to (1) attend the DoD Education Benefits Board of Actuaries meeting or (2) make an oral presentation or submit a written statement for consideration at the meeting must notify Ms. Kathy Greenstreet at 696-6336 by July 23, 1986. Notice of this meeting is required under the Federal Advisory Committee Act.

DATE: July 30, 1986, 8:00 am to 1:30 pm.

ADDRESS: Room 3E752, the Pentagon.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Toni Husted, Executive Secretary, DoD Office of the Actuary, 4th floor, 1600 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA 22209-2593, (202) 696-5869.

Patricia H. Means,

OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

May 23, 1986.

[FR Doc. 86-12254 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3810-01-M

Department of the Air Force

USAF Scientific Advisory Board; Meeting

May 12, 1986.

The USAF Scientific Advisory Board Ad Hoc Committee on Appropriate Technologies for Use in Monitoring Underground Testing in the Late 1990s will meet at the Pentagon, Washington, DC, on June 20, 1986, from 8:30 am, to 5:00 pm.

The purpose of the meeting will be for the Committee to hold classified

discussions and begin preliminary report writing.

The meeting concerns matters listed in Section 552b(c) of Title 5, United States Code, specifically subparagraph (1) thereof, and accordingly, will be closed to the public.

For further information, contact the Scientific Advisory Board Secretariat at 202-697-8845.

Patsy J. Conner,

Air Force Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 86-12224 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3910-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Chapter 1, Education Consolidation and Improvement Act of 1981; Intent to Repay to the Arizona State Department of Education Funds Recovered as a Result of Final Audit Determinations

AGENCY: Department of Education.

ACTION: Notice of intent to award grantback funds.

SUMMARY: Notice is given that, under section 456 of the General Education Provisions Act (GEPA), the U.S. Secretary of Education (Secretary) intends to repay under a grantback arrangement to the Arizona State Department of Education an amount equal to 75 percent of the funds recovered by the U.S. Department of Education (Department) as a result of final audit determinations. This notice describes the State educational agency's (SEA's) plan for the use of the grantback funds and the terms and conditions under which the Secretary intends to make these funds available.

DATES: All written comments must be received on or before July 2, 1986.

ADDRESS: All written comments should be submitted to Dr. James Spillane, Director, Division of Program Support, Compensatory Education Programs, U.S. Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW., (Room 5004, ROB-3-Mail Stop 3580), Washington, DC 20202.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. James Spillane. Telephone: (202) 245-9846.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION:

A. Background

Based on audits issued in fiscal years 1971 and 1976 by the former Department of Health, Education, and Welfare Audit Agency (HEWAA), the Office of Education (now Department of Education) issued final audit determinations finding that the Arizona SEA had misspent migrant program

funds and local educational agency (LEA) basic grant funds that were awarded under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (Title I).

Migrant Program Funds

Title I funds were awarded annually to the SEA to support projects that were designed to meet the special educational needs of migratory children of migratory agricultural workers. In fiscal year 1976, the HEWAA issued an audit of the SEA's use of migrant program funds for the period July 1, 1970, through June 30, 1974. The final audit report questioned two major areas of expenditures. These were (1) the SEA's use of migrant funds to pay its own migrant-related administrative expenses, and (2) the use of funds by LEAs to provide educational services to ineligible children.

In response to the audit report, the Deputy Commissioner (now Assistant Secretary) for Elementary and Secondary Education issued final audit determination letters on October 31, 1977, and June 22, 1978, alleging that the SEA had misspent \$230,723 in its operation of the migrant education program during fiscal years 1974 and 1975. The SEA challenged these determinations in applications for review filed with the Title I Audit Hearing Board (now the Education Appeal Board (EAB)) on November 5, 1977, and July 26, 1978.

LEA Basic Grant Program Funds

The LEAs received Title I basic grant funds to support compensatory education projects that were designed to meet the special educational needs of educationally deprived children who resided in areas with high concentrations of low-income families. In fiscal year 1971, the HEWAA issued an audit report on the operation and administration of the Title I basic grant program in the Tucson, Phoenix, and Roosevelt Elementary School Districts, and in six Arizona county administrative units for the period September 1, 1965, through August 31, 1969, the first years of the Title I program.

In his September 3, 1971 letter, the Acting Associate Commissioner (now Assistant Secretary) of Elementary and Secondary Education issued a final determination that \$1,417,374 of Title I funds had allegedly been misspent by the SEA. In response to the SEA's request for reconsideration of that determination, another final determination letter was issued on January 26, 1972, reducing the claim of misspent funds to \$762,023. Those claims included \$480,649 spent improperly for general aid, \$127,540 for Title I funds

that LEAs purportedly used to supplant State and local resources, \$82,661 for unallowable administrative costs, and \$71,173 for other miscellaneous expenditures allegedly made in violation of Title I requirements.

The SEA challenged the final determinations in an application for review filed with the Title I Audit Hearing Board (now EAB) in April, 1973.

Settlement of Outstanding Claims

While the SEA's application for review of the final audit determinations for the migrant program and the LEA basic grant program were pending, the parties in these cases negotiated a successful settlement of the outstanding claims. Under this agreement executed by the SEA and the Department on December 9, 1982, and January 4, 1983, respectively, the SEA agreed to pay the Department an amount of \$102,420. Upon receipt of the SEA's payment, the Assistant Secretary agreed to relinquish any further claims relating to the final audit determinations for the migrant program funds and the LEA basic grant funds.

On December 15, 1982, the SEA remitted payment of \$102,420 to the Department along with the executed settlement agreement. Based on the request of both parties, the EAB dismissed the SEA's appeals on January 12, 1983, pursuant to the approved settlement.

B. Authority for Awarding a Grantback

Section 456(a) of GEPA (20 U.S.C. 1234e(a)) provides that whenever the Secretary has recovered funds following a final audit determination with respect to an applicable program, the Secretary may consider those funds to be additional funds available to that program and may arrange to repay to the SEA or LEA affected by that determination an amount not to exceed 75 percent of the recovered funds. The Secretary may enter into this "grantback" arrangement if the Secretary determines that—

(1) The practices and procedures of the SEA or LEA that resulted in the audit determinations have been corrected, and that the SEA or LEA is in all other respects in compliance with the requirements of the applicable program;

(2) The SEA has submitted to the Secretary a plan for the use of the funds to be awarded under the grantback arrangement which meets the requirements of the applicable program and, to the extent possible, benefits the population that was affected by the misexpenditures that resulted in the audit exceptions; and

(3) The funds to be awarded under the grantback arrangement, if used in accordance with the SEA's plan, would serve to achieve the purposes of the program under which the funds were originally granted.

C. Request for Repayment of Funds Awarded Under a Grantback Arrangement

On December 15, 1982, the SEA submitted a formal written request for repayment of \$76,815 (75 percent of the \$102,420 returned to the Department as a result of final audit determinations) under a grantback arrangement. The Department was notified in early 1983 of the SEA's wish to amend its plan for the use of the grantback funds and thus no further action on the December 15, 1982 request was taken. On March 25, 1986, a revised plan was received from the SEA. With its request for grantback funds, the SEA provided assurances that the practices and procedures of the SEA and the LEAs that had resulted in the final audit determinations have been corrected and that the SEA and LEAs are in all other respects in compliance with the requirements of Chapter 1 of the Education Consolidation and Improvement Act of 1981 (Chapter 1). Also included with the SEA's request were detailed budgets relating to two LEA's expenditures of basic grant funds and the SEA's expenditure of migrant education program funds to be awarded under the grantback arrangement.

D. Plan for Use of Funds Awarded Under a Grantback Arrangement

In accordance with section 456(a)(2) of GEPA, the SEA submitted a plan for use of basic grant funds, made available under the grantback, to serve educationally deprived children enrolled in the Roosevelt Elementary School District and the Tucson Unified School District. The LEAs, which were subjects of the underlying audit of Arizona's use of Title I basic grant funds, would separately administer the projects to be funded. The SEA plan also proposed the use of migrant education funds made available under the grantback for services for migratory children that the SEA would provide directly, since the Chapter 1 program for migratory children is a State-operated program.

The SEA's plan proposes to use the funds to be repaid under the grantback arrangement to meet the special educational needs of educationally deprived children, and children of migratory workers in programs administered under Chapter 1. While the final audit determinations against the SEA resulted from improper expenditures of Title I funds, Chapter 1

supersedes Title I. The SEA's proposal reflects adherence to the requirements in Chapter 1, which, like Title I, is designed to serve (1) educationally deprived children in low-income areas, and (2) children of migratory agricultural workers. Following are descriptions of how the grantback funds will be used.

Migrant Program Funds

In the summer of 1986, the *Arizona Migrant Education Summer Term Program* will provide educational services to approximately 2,500 migratory students attending school in Arizona school districts. With the availability of these grantback funds, approximately 245 migratory students in the Somerton School District, a highly migrant-impacted school district, will participate in the summer project. Grantback funds in the amount of \$21,892 will be used to hire additional instructional personnel and to purchase supplementary instructional materials for use with the migratory students. Private school students eligible for project services will be included in this program ensuring that such children will be equitably served. This program was developed through a comprehensive needs assessment and evaluation process.

Basic Grant Funds

The *Roosevelt Elementary School District* will expand grantback funds in the amount of \$14,923 to augment instructional activities of a planned summer term project limited to selected Chapter 1 eligible students in grades 1-8. This project will continue for five weeks in the summer of 1986 for 975 students under the instruction of 65 teachers. The grantback funds will be used to hire 8.1 teachers and provide summer project instructional service to approximately 121 students who would not be served without these funds. Private school students eligible for project services will be included in this program ensuring that such children will be equitably served.

The *Tucson Unified School District* will expend grantback funds in the amount of \$40,000 to augment instructional activities of a planned 1986 summer term project for five-year-olds. This project will be implemented at two eligible elementary schools. This Pre-Kindergarten and Parent and Child Education (PACE) program will provide three-and-a-half weeks of instructional activities for children and parents; teachers will follow a daily schedule of home visits. The grantback funds will be used to hire five teachers, five instructional aides, two site coordinators, and to purchase materials,

supplies, and space of the program. This project is planned at two inner city centers for 75 children and will have at least one parent or parent surrogate for each child. These children will benefit directly from these funds. Participating children will be attending neighborhood public or private schools in the fall. Private school children eligible for project services will be included in this program ensuring that such children will be equitably served.

E. The Secretary's Determinations

Based upon a thorough review of the SEA's request for the repayment of funds under section 456 of the GEPA, including the SEA's discharge of its payment obligations to the Department in December 1982, the SEA's assurances described in Part C of this notice, and the SEA's plan and the budgets describing the use of funds, the Secretary makes the following determinations:

(1) The SEA and the LEAs have corrected the practices and procedures that resulted in the final audit determinations, and they are in all other respects in compliance with the requirements of the Chapter 1 program;

(2) The SEA has submitted a plan on behalf of the LEAs and its own migrant education program for the use of the funds to be awarded under the grantback arrangement that meets the requirements of the Chapter 1 program and, to the extent possible, benefits the Chapter 1 children who were affected by the misexpenditures that resulted in the audit exceptions; and

(3) The funds to be awarded under the grantback arrangement, if used in accordance with the SEA's plan, would serve to achieve the purposes of the Chapter 1 program.

These determinations are based upon the best information available to the Secretary at the present time. If this information is not accurate or complete, the Secretary is not precluded from taking appropriate administrative action.

F. Notice of the Secretary's Intent to Enter Into a Grantback Arrangement

Section 456(d) of GEPA requires, at least 30 days prior to entering into an arrangement to award funds under a grantback, that the Secretary publish in the *Federal Register* a notice of his intent to do so, and the terms and conditions under which the payment will be made.

In accordance with this requirement, notice is given that the Secretary intends to make available under a grantback arrangement to the SEA an

amount equal to 75 percent of the funds the Department has recovered as a result of the final audit determinations. The Secretary bases his intention to enter into a grantback arrangement under Section 456 of GEPA on the following factors: His determinations outlined in Part E of this notice, the payment by the SEA of all funds owed to the Department in accordance with the settlement agreement, and the cooperative efforts of the SEA and the LEAs to resolve this matter.

G. Terms and Conditions Under Which Payment Under the Grantback Arrangement Will Be Made

Section 456(b) of GEPA provides that any payments made under a grantback arrangement shall be subject to the terms and conditions that the Secretary deems necessary to accomplish the purposes of the affected program. The SEA agrees to comply with the following terms and conditions under which payment under the grantback arrangement will be made:

(1) Funds awarded under the grantback will be spent in accordance with—

(a) All applicable statutory and regulatory requirements;

(b) The plan that the SEA submitted and any amendments to that plan that are approved by the Secretary; and

(c) The budgets that were submitted with the plan and any amendments to those budgets that are approved by the Secretary.

(2) In accordance with section 456(c) of GEPA and the SEA's plan, all funds received under the grantback arrangement will be obligated by September 30, 1986.

(3) The SEA must, not later than January 1, 1987, submit a report to the Secretary which indicates that the funds awarded under the grantback have been spent in accordance with the SEA's proposed plan and budgets.

(4) Separate accounting records will be maintained documenting the expenditures of different program funds awarded under the grantback arrangement.

Invitation to Comment

The Secretary invites public comments on this notice of intent to award funds under a grantback arrangement to the Arizona State Department of Education. Interested persons may send written comments to Dr. James Spillane at the address at the beginning of this notice. All comments must be received on or before July 2, 1986.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No.: 84.010, Educationally Deprived Children—Local Educational Agencies)

Dated: May 28, 1986.

William J. Bennett,
Secretary of Education.

[FR Doc. 86-12296 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982; Nomination of Five Sites for the First High-Level Nuclear Waste Repository, and Availability of Accompanying Environmental Assessments

AGENCY: Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management, DOE.

ACTION: Notice of Nomination of Five Sites for the First High-Level Nuclear Waste Repository and Availability of Accompanying Environmental Assessments (EAs).

SUMMARY: The Secretary of Energy (Secretary) herein nominates the following five (5) sites for the first repository for the permanent disposal of spent nuclear fuel and high-level radioactive waste:

Mississippi

Richton Dome, Perry County

Nevada

Yucca Mountain, Nye County

Texas

Deaf Smith County

Utah

Davis Canyon, San Juan County

Washington

Hanford, Benton County and Franklin County

In accordance with the requirements of the Nuclear Waste Policy Act, DOE is announcing, by this notice, availability of the EA's which accompany the Secretary's nominations.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

1. (a) For the Richton Dome, Deaf Smith County, and Davis Canyon EAs—Jefferson O. Neff, Program Manager, Salt Repository Project Office, Chicago Operations Office, 505 King Avenue, Columbus, Ohio 43201. Phone: (614) 424-5916.

(b) For the Yucca Mountain EA—Donald Vieth, Director, Waste Management Project Office, Nevada Nuclear Waste Storage Investigations, Nevada Operations Office, U.S. Department of Energy, P.O. Box 14100, Las Vegas, Nevada 89109. Phone: (702) 295-3662.

(c) For the Hanford EA—O. Lee Olson, Project Manager, Basalt Waste Isolation Project, Richland Operations Office, U.S. Department of Energy, P.O. Box 550, Richland, Washington 99352. Phone: (509) 376-7334.

2. Ellison S. Burton, Director, Siting Division, Office of Geologic Repositories, Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, DC 20585. Phone: (202) 252-1116.

3. Robert Mussler, Esq., Deputy Assistant General Counsel for Environment, Office of General Counsel, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, DC 20585. Phone: (202) 252-6947.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Previous Notice of Availability

DOE published a Notice of Availability (49 FR 49540) on December 20, 1984, regarding the availability of the draft EAs for public review and comment, and announcement of public information meetings and hearings, and solicitation of comments.

II. Background

By the end of this century, the United States plans to begin operation of a geologic repository for the permanent disposal of commercial spent nuclear fuel and high-level radioactive waste. Pub. L. 97-425, the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982 (the Act), specifies the process for selecting repository sites and assigns to DOE the responsibility for locating, constructing, operating, closing, and decommissioning the repositories.

Before the Act was passed, the site screening conducted by DOE evaluated and compared progressively smaller land units according to various criteria (e.g. geologic, engineering, environmental, socioeconomic). This process led to the identification of potentially acceptable sites considered for the first repository. Draft EA's were prepared for the nine sites, found in three different host rocks (basalt, salt, and tuff). Preferred sites were designated in each of the geohydrologic settings.

III. Siting Guidelines

In accordance with the Act, DOE issued general guidelines for the recommendation of sites for nuclear waste repositories on December 6, 1984 (49 FR 47714). The guidelines establish performance objectives for a geologic repository system, define the basic technical requirements that candidate sites must meet, and specify how the DOE will implement its site selection process.

IV. Nomination and Recommendation

The Act requires the Secretary of Energy to nominate at least five sites which he determines suitable for site characterization for the first repository based. Each nomination of a site is required to be accompanied by an Environmental Assessment, prepared in accordance with the requirements of the Act. As further specified by the Act, the Secretary will then recommend three of the nominated sites to the President as candidate sites for site characterization.

V. Site Characterization

Once the President approves the three recommended sites for site characterization, several years of intensive field studies will begin at each site. As part of the site characterization program, DOE intends to construct one or more exploratory shafts at each of the approved sites to the depth of the proposed repository. Tests will be conducted at that depth to determine whether subsurface conditions will allow construction of a repository that will safely isolate radioactive wastes.

After characterization is completed, DOE will again apply the siting guidelines to evaluate each site and prepare an environmental impact statement (EIS). The Secretary will recommend one site to the President for the location of the first repository. The President may then recommend the site to Congress.

At this point, the host State may issue a notice of disapproval that can be overridden only by a resolution of both Houses of Congress. If the notice of disapproval is not overridden, the President must submit another repository site recommendation within 12 months. If no notice of disapproval is submitted, or if the notice of disapproval is overridden, then, as prescribed by the Act, the site designation is effective, and DOE will proceed to file an application with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) to obtain a construction authorization for a repository at that site.

VI. Preparation of EAs

A. Review Process

The Department of Energy held a ninety day public comment period for nine draft EAs. Fifty-two public information meetings on the draft EAs were conducted in cities throughout the affected States: Louisiana; Mississippi; Nevada; Texas; Utah; Washington; and Washington, DC. These briefings were held to answer questions and to facilitate public review and comment on the draft EAs.

In addition, DOE held a series of nineteen public hearings in February and March, 1985. The hearings took place in: Louisiana; Mississippi; Nevada; Texas; Utah; Washington; and Oregon. The purpose of the hearings was to receive oral and written testimony on the draft EA's.

Copies of the draft EA's were distributed to Federal, State, and local agencies as well as to organizations and individuals who requested information about the nuclear waste repository siting process. More than 20,000 comments were received. DOE reviewed and considered all comments in preparation of the five documents issued today. Included with the EAs are appendices which respond to the reviewers' comments.

B. Availability of EAs

Copies of the EAs are available upon request. Requests should include identification of the EA of interest from the above list of locations, and the requestor's name, address, and zip code. Send written requests to: U.S. Department of Energy, ATTN: EA, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20585.

Copies of all five EAs are available for public inspection at the following DOE Public Reading Rooms at the indicated times Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays where noted below:

- DOE Public Reading Room, Room 1E-190, Forrestal Building, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20585, 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.
- Albuquerque Operations Office, Kirtland Air Force Base, National Atomic Museum Library, Public Reading Room, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87115, (505) 844-8443, 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.
- Chicago Operations Office, 9800 South Cass Avenue, Argonne, Illinois 60439, 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.
- Idaho Operations Office, 550 2nd Street, Headquarters 199, Idaho Falls, Idaho 83401, (208) 526-0271, 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.
- Nevada Operations Office, Public Docket Room 2753 S. Highland, Las Vegas, Nevada 89114, (702) 734-3521, 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.
- Oak Ridge Operations Office, 200 Administration Road, Room G208, Federal Building, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830, (615) 576-1218, 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.
- Richland Operations Office, Hanford Science Center-Rockwell Hanford Operations, 825 Jadwin Avenue, Federal Building, Richland, Washington 99352, (509) 376-8273,

Sunday 1:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday through Saturday 9:00 to 5:00 p.m.

- San Francisco Operations Office, 1333 Broadway, Wells Fargo Building, Reading Room, Room 240, Oakland, California 94612, (415) 273-4358, 8:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

- Savannah River Operations Office, 211 York Street, N.E., Federal Building, Aiken, South Carolina 29801, (803) 725-3267, 8:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

Issued in Washington, DC, May 27, 1986.

John S. Herrington,

Secretary of Energy.

[FR Doc. 86-12436 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP86-483-000]

ANR Pipeline Co.; Application

May 23, 1986.

Take notice that on April 29, 1986, ANR Pipeline Company (ANR), 500 Renaissance Center, Detroit, Michigan 48243, filed in Docket No. CP86-483-000 an application pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a Certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing an interruptible gas transportation service for Standard Oil Production Company (Standard Oil), all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

ANR proposes to transport, pursuant to a February 3, 1986, transportation agreement, up to 140,000 dt equivalent per day of natural gas Standard Oil is developing from lands and lease-holds underlying East Breaks Area Block 165, offshore Texas (Block 165), and other nearby leaseholds in the East Breaks Area and High Island Area Blocks A-587, A-588 and A-589 (Near Leases). ANR indicates that Standard Oil would construct facilities from its production platform in Block 165 to proposed facilities of High Island Offshore System (HIOS) in High Island Block A-582. Of the proposed volumes, it is stated that up to 50,000 dt equivalent per day would be transported by ANR on an exclusive basis with the balance subject to alternative, multiple transportation. ANR requests authority to transport the volumes within its dedicated capacity in HIOS and its own facilities and to deliver the volumes for Standard Oil's account to various recipients, set forth in Schedule "A" (see below) attached to the transportation agreement, at the interconnection of the facilities of ANR and the various recipients in the states

of Louisiana, Texas, Kansas, Oklahoma, Arkansas, Mississippi and Ohio. As consideration for the service ANR proposes to charge Standard Oil 17.6 cents per dt delivered in the States of Louisiana, Kansas, Oklahoma and Texas; 23.1 cents per dt delivered in the State of Arkansas; 24.6 cents per dt delivered in the State of Mississippi; and 41.7 cents per dt delivered in the State of Ohio.

Any person desiring to be head or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before June 16, 1986, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for ANR to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Kenneth F. Plumb,
Secretary.

Schedule A

Recipient(s) and Delivery Point

Acadian Gas Pipeline System, Bridgeline Gas Distribution Company, Columbia Gulf Transmission Company, Consolidated Gas Supply Corporation, Louisiana Intrastate Gas Corporation, Riverway Gas Pipeline Company, Southern Natural Gas Company, Texas Gas Transmission Corporation,

Trunkline Gas Company, United Gas Pipe Line Company—St. Mary Parish, LA.
Florida Gas Transmission Company,
Monterey Pipeline Company, National Fuel Gas Supply Corporation, Texas Eastern Gas Pipeline Company—St. Landry Parish, LA.
Consolidated Gas Transmission Corporation, National Fuel Gas Supply Corporation, Texas Gas Transmission Corporation, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation—Acadia Parish, LA.
Consolidated Gas Transmission Corporation, Louisiana Resources Company, National Fuel Gas Supply Corporation, Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America, Tennessee Gas Transmission Company, Tenggasco Corporation, Texas Gas Transmission Corporation, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation, Trunkline Gas Company—Cameron Parish, LA.
Transwestern Pipeline Company—Roberts, County, TX.
Northern Natural Gas Company—Kiowa County, KS.
El Paso Natural Gas Company—Roger Mills County, OK
Standard Gas Marketing Company—Chicot County, AR
Standard Gas Marketing Company—Bolivar County, MS.
Sohio Chemical Company—Paulding County, OH.
[FR Doc. 86-12238 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RE81-35-002]

Boston Edison Co.; Application for Exemption

May 27, 1986.

Take notice that Boston Edison Company (BEC) filed an application on April 30, 1986, for exemption from certain requirements of Part 290 of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (FERC) regulations concerning collection and reporting of cost of service information under section 133 of the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act (PURPA), Order No. 48 (44 FR 58687, October 11, 1979). Exemption is sought from the requirement to file on or prior to June 30, 1986 and biennially thereafter, information on the costs of providing electric service as specified in Subparts B, C, D, and E of Part 290.

In its application for exemption BEC states, in part, that it should not be required to file the specified data for the following reasons:

Most of the data filed is available to any interested party in other filings. The PURPA materials required by Subparts B, C, D, and E are listed on Attachment A of the application with the document or proceeding where such data is already available.

The Massachusetts Department of Public Utilities (MDPU) rulings require rates to be based upon marginal costs. Rates were so designed for a test year ending June 1985 and

an extensive marginal cost study was recently filed with BEC's rate filing in December 1985 (Docket DPU-85-271). To provide data based upon a calendar year ending December 31, 1985 is valueless and not needed for marginal cost rate design. Exhibit BE-305 of the MDPU 85-271 proceeding is a detailed marginal cost study.

"Extensive load research data has been utilized to allocate costs hourly in the MDPU 85-271 proceeding mentioned above to each of the 8760 hours of the year using a probability of dispatch allocator. Again, no purpose would be served by providing data in somewhat different format for an overlapping period."

"The state regulatory authorities and the public do not need to rely on the section 133 information for rate design. BEC maintains extensive load research, marginal cost and accounting data tailored to state ratemaking purposes."

"The cost of gathering and publishing the information requested is considerable with no value to the final result. Costs of ratepayers would be lower if the report were discontinued."

"Continuing to file would not be in the spirit of the PURPA regulations at this point in time."

Copies of the application for exemption are on file with FERC and are available for public inspection. FERC's regulations require that said utility also apply to any state regulatory authority having jurisdiction over it to have the application published in any official state publication in which electric rate change applications are usually noticed, and that the utility publish a summary of the application in newspapers of general circulation in the affected jurisdiction.

Any person desiring to present written views, arguments, or other comments on the application for exemption should file such information with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, on or before 45 days following the date this notice is published in the Federal Register. Within that 45 day period, such person must also serve a copy of such comments on: Mr. Wayne Frigard, Senior Counsel, Boston Edison Company, 800 Boylston Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02199.

Kenneth F. Plumb,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 86-12232 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RE80-60-004]

Central Maine Power Co.; Application for Exemption

May 27, 1986.

Take notice that Central Maine Power Company (CMPC) filed an application on April 28, 1986, for exemption from

certain requirements of Part 290 of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (FERC) regulations concerning collection and reporting of cost of service information under section 133 of the Public Utility Regulatory Policies Act (PURPA), Order No. 48 (44 FR 58687, October 11, 1979). Exemption is sought from the requirement to file on or prior to June 30, 1986 and biennially thereafter, information on the costs of providing electric service as specified in Subparts B, C, D, and E of part 290.

In its application for exemption CMPC states, in part, that it should not be required to file the specified data for the following reasons:

"The purposes of section 133 of PURPA have been and will continue to be served by the review and consideration of voluminous cost and load data filed with the Maine Public Utilities Commission (MPUC) by CMPC in its pending rate design case."

Copies of the application for exemption are on file with FERC and are available for public inspection. FERC's regulations require that said utility also apply to any state regulatory authority having jurisdiction over it to have the application published in any official state publication in which electric rate change applications are usually noticed, and that the utility publish a summary of the application in newspapers of general circulation in the affected jurisdiction.

Any person desiring to present written views, arguments, or other comments on the application for exemption should file such information with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, on or before 45 days following the date this notice is published in the *Federal Register*. Within that 45 day period, such person must also serve a copy of such comments on: Mr. Arthur W. Adelberg, Senior Counsel, Central Maine Power Company, Edison Drive, Augusta, Maine 04336.

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 86-12233 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. GP86-12-000 and GP86-13-000]

Conoco Inc.; Petitions of Conoco Inc. for an Order of Exemption

May 23, 1986.

Take notice that on December 6, 1985, Conoco Inc. (Conoco) of P.O. Box 2197, Houston, Texas 77252, filed petitions pursuant to section 5(f)(2) of the Outer Continental Shelf Lands Act, as amended (the Act), 43 U.S.C. 1334(f)(2), for an Order exempting certain facilities

jointly owned by Conoco and others from the requirements of section 5(f)(1) of the Act.

Section 5(f)(2) of the Act provides as follows:

The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission may, by order or regulation, exempt from any or all of the requirements of paragraph (1) of this subsection any pipeline or class of pipelines which feeds into a facility where oil and gas are first collected or separated, dehydrated, or otherwise processed.

In Docket No. GP86-12-000, Conoco states that it, jointly with ARCO Oil and Gas Company, Cities Service Oil and Gas Corporation and Texaco Producing Company, (CATC) owns a crude oil gathering system, operated by Conoco, which serves the Grand Isle Block 47 Field, Gulf of Mexico. The system consists of a 12-inch Nominal gathering line from Grand Isle Block 47A platform to the CATC Grand Isle Separation Station at Grand Isle, Louisiana. The system transports a mixed stream of oil, water and low pressure gas to the Grand Isle Separation Station. At the Grand Isle Separation Station, the mixed products from the 12-inch gathering line are first separated and treated.

In Docket No. GP86-13-000, Conoco states that it, jointly with ARCO Oil and Gas Company, Texaco Producing Company and Cities Service Oil and Gas Corporation (CATC) owns a crude oil gathering system, operated by Conoco, which serves the Grand Isle Blocks 40 and 43 Fields, Gulf of Mexico. The gathering line system consists of a 12-inch Nominal gathering line that extends from the Block 40B platform to Grand Isle Block 43AA platform and a 16-inch Nominal gathering line from Grand Isle Block 43AA platform to Grand Isle Separation Station, Grand Isle, Louisiana. The system transports a mixed stream of oil, water and low pressure gas from Grand Isle Block 40B platform to Grand Isle Block 43AA complex and transports a similar mixed stream including production from both Grand Isle Blocks 40 and 43 fields from that point to the CATC Separation Station at Grand Isle, Louisiana. At Grand Isle Separation Station the products of the 16-inch gathering line are first separated and treated.

These Petitions are being submitted because a number of the producing wells served by the gathering systems are nearing depletion. The capacities of the gathering lines are required for transporting the produced water to shore for disposal. Any change in the gathering systems that would necessitate offshore disposal of this water could result in a significant reduction in the economically

recoverable reserves of the Grand Isle Block 47, Block 40 and Block 43 fields.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protests with reference to said applications should on or before June 4, 1986, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211, 385.214). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party in the proceeding herein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicants to appear or to be represented at the hearing.

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 86-12241 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket Nos. CI63-1202-000 and CI65-1246-000]

Diamond Shamrock Exploration Co.; Application

May 23, 1986.

Take notice that on March 5, 1986, Diamond Shamrock Exploration Company (DSEC) of LTV Center Suite 1500, 2001 Ross Avenue, Dallas, Texas 75201, filed an application pursuant to §§ 157.23, *et seq.*, of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's (Commission) Regulations, 18 CFR 157.23, *et seq.* (1985), requesting the Commission to amend its certificate authorizations in Docket Nos. CI63-1202 and CI65-1246 to consolidate them, add a delivery point and delete production from one well, which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

On June 27, 1983, a rollover contract was executed between DSEC's predecessors and Western Gas Interstate Company (Western) incorporating both sales contracts under DSEC's Rate Schedule Nos. 19 and 22. This rollover contract was filed with the Commission under both rate schedules. Since sales of gas from acreage previously covered by both the certificate in Docket No. CI63-1202 and in Docket No. CI65-1246 are now covered by one contract, DSEC requests that the two certificates be consolidated with Docket No. CI63-1202 and Rate Schedule No. 19 being the surviving

certificate and Rate Schedule. In order to facilitate this consolidation, DSEC requests that the Commission delete all the acreage, certificated in Docket No. CI65-1246 (Rate Schedule No. 22) and amend the certificate in Docket No. CI63-1202 (Rate Schedule No. 19) to add to the acreage deleted from Docket No. CI65-1246.

On May 23, 1984, DSEC and Western entered into an amendment to the June 1983 gas contract to add a delivery point. DSEC filed the Agreement with the Commission as an amendment to both Rate Schedule Nos. 19 and 22 on July 2, 1984. The filings are still pending before the Commission. DSEC has discovered that it should have filed an application to amend the certificates to reflect the addition of a delivery point to the 1983 contract. Accordingly, DSEC requests that the Commission amend Docket No. CI63-1202 (as the surviving certificate after consolidation) to add the delivery point described in the May 1984 Amending Agreement.

Before the June 1983 rollover contract was executed, production from the well on Lease No. ML-3650 (Cleveland Formation, Perforation 6759' to 6797', section 58, Block 13) terminated and the well was plugged and abandoned on February 17, 1983. This lease was originally covered by the March 1963 contract under DSEC Rate Schedule No. 19. However, since the well was no longer productive when the rollover contract was executed, the well was not reflected in the leases subject to the June 1983 rollover contract. Accordingly, DSEC requests that the Commission amend the consolidated certificate in Docket No. CI63-1202 to delete production from this well.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should, on or before June 4, 1986, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211, 385.214). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to the proceeding herein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be

unnecessary for Applicant to appear or to be represented at the hearing.

Kenneth F. Plumb,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 86-12239 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CI86-403-000]

Sonat Exploration Co.; Application

May 23, 1986.

Take notice that on May 2, 1986, Sonat Exploration Company (Sonat) of P.O. Box 1513, Houston, Texas 77251-1513, filed an application pursuant to sections 4 and 7 of the Natural Gas Act (NGA),¹ Parts 154 and 157 of the Commission's Regulations² and Sections 2.77³ and 375.307⁴ of the Commission's Regulations.

Sonat requests partial, limited-term, blanket authorization to abandon certain certificated sales of natural gas not presently being taken by interstate pipeline companies (Pipelines) to the extent Sonat obtains a contract release of such gas and a limited-term, blanket certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing sales of natural gas in interstate commerce for resale with blanket, pre-granted abandonment authorization. The subject sales are listed in Appendix A hereto. Sonat seeks this authorization with respect to all categories of gas under the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978 (NGPA) for the term of the Pipelines' releases. Sonat requests such abandonment and certification authorization for gas owned exclusively by Sonat and for gas owned jointly by Sonat and others produced from the same well and reservoir.⁵ Sonat states that the gas for which it seeks abandonment is substantially shut-in resulting in harm to Sonat and the general public. Sonat has advised that it is not receiving payment for gas not taken. Sonat indicates that much of the shut-in gas is NGPA Section 104 gas and the remainder is NGPA Sections 102(d), 103(c) and 109 gas. Sonat states that in return for Pipelines' release of gas Sonat agrees to provide take-or-pay relief to the extent gas released is sold to another purchaser.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should, on or before 15 days

¹ 15 U.S.C. 717c and 717f (1982).

² 18 CFR Parts 154 and 157 (1985).

³ 18 CFR 2.77 (1985).

⁴ 18 CFR 375.307 (1985).

⁵ In the event an interest owner is a signatory to a gas contract and is not marketing gas pursuant to an agreement with Sonat, such interest owner will obtain its own release from its interstate pipeline purchasers.

after the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20426, petitions to intervene or protests in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211, 385.214). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Persons wishing to become parties to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file petitions to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicants to appear or to be represented at the hearing.

Kenneth F. Plumb,
Secretary.

EXHIBIT "A"

Rate schedule	Field location	Purchaser
1	West Delta Block 28	Southern Natural Gas Co.
3	Joaquin Field	Do.
14	Ship Shoal Block 222	Sea Robin Pipeline Co.
15	Ship Shoal Block 225	Do.
18	Bear Creek Field	Southern Natural Gas Co.
19	Franklin Field	Do.
20	Montegut Field	Do.
21	Patterson Field	Do.
22	Logansport Field	Do.
23	Lapeyrouse Field	United Gas Pipeline Co.
24	Hamon Field	Transwestern Pipeline Co.
25	Ship Shoal Block 28 Field	Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corp.
26	Garden City Field	United Gas Pipeline Co.
27	West Bryceland	Do.
28	Maxie Pistol Ridge	Do.
33	Big Escambia Creek	Southern Natural Gas Co.
34	North Carlton Area	Arkansas Louisiana Gas Co.
35	Cheriere Brake	Do.
36	North Ruston	Mississippi River Transmission Corp.
37	Lacassine	Natural Gas Pipeline Co. of America.
38	Hico-Knowles, Unionville and North Ruston.	Texas Eastern Transmission Corp.
39	Calhoun	Texas Gas Transmission Corp.
40	Cheriere Area	Do.
41	Jeanerette	Do.
42	Terryville	Do.
43	Ramos	Do.
44	Terryville-Ruston	Do.
45	Bull Creek & East Lisbon.	Do.
46	Bernice & Hico-Knowles.	Do.
47	Crowley	Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corp.
48	Leleux	Do.
49	Forest Grove School	United Gas Pipeline Co.
50	South Manchester	Do.
51	Vixen	Arkansas Louisiana Gas Co.
52	Oldenburg	Southern Natural Gas Co.

EXHIBIT "A"—Continued

Rate schedule	Field location	Purchaser
53	Vermilion Block 22	Florida Gas Transmission Co.
54	Vermilion Area Block 22	Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corp.
55	Arkoma Area	Arkansas Louisiana Gas Co.
56	North Cooper	Do.
57	Southeast Custer City	Do.
58	West Enid	Do.
59	Star	Do.
60	North Drummond	Do.
61	Knox and Southeast Knox	Natural Gas Pipeline Co. of America.
62	Laverne	Michigan Wisconsin Pipe Line Co.
63	Laverne	Do.
64	Laverne	Do.
65	Copeland	Do.
66	Beaver County, OK, Life Unit 1-T.	Northern Natural Gas Co.
67	Woodward City, OK, 15-2 Baird, 2 Baird 2 Bell Watson, 2 Bell, 3 Bell.	Do.
68	Beaver City, OK, 1-45 Barby Ranch.	Do.
69	Beaver City, OK	Do.
70	Watonga-Chickasha (Fort Reno) Field Area.	Natural Gas Pipeline Co. of America.
71	Cogar	Do.
72	Woodward City, OK	Do.
73	Thomas Area	Do.
74	Laverne	Michigan Wisconsin Pipe Line Co.
75	Beaver City, OK	Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Co.
76	Red Oak	Arkansas Louisiana Gas Co.
77	Roberts City, OK, Morrison Well.	Northern Natural Gas Co.
78	Jackson City, WV	Columbia Gas Transmission Corp.
79	Jefferson District, WV	Do.
80	Malden District, WV	Do.
81	Pike City, KY	Do.
82	Lincoln City, WV	Do.
83	Kanawha, WV	Do.
84	Kanawha City, WV	Do.
85	Kanawha City, WV	Do.
86	Kanawha City, WV	Do.
87	Kanawha City, WV	Do.
88	Kanawha City, WV	Do.
89	Kanawha City, WV	Do.
90	Barkers Ridge	Consolidated Gas Transmission Corp.
91	Wyoming & Raleigh Counties, WV, Slab Fork District.	Columbia Gas Transmission Corp.
92 ¹	West Cameron Block 65, Offshore Louisiana.	Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corp.
93 ¹	West Cameron Block 65, Offshore Louisiana.	Florida Gas Transmission Co.
94 ¹	Britt Ranch Field, Wheeler County, Texas.	Arkansas Louisiana Gas Co.
203 (Gulf)	Calhoun	Texas Gas Transmission Corp.
347 (Exxon)	Bayou Carlin	Trunkline Gas Co.
364 (Conoco)	Grand Isle Block 63 Field.	Tennessee Gas Pipeline Co.

¹ Sonat has made application for such rate schedules by document dated April 30, 1985.

[FR Doc. 86-12240 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP86-487-000]

Southern Natural Gas Co.; Request Under Blanket Authorization

May 23, 1986.

Take notice that on May 6, 1986, Southern Natural Gas Company (Southern), Post Office Box 2563, Birmingham, Alabama 35202-2563, filed in Docket No. CP86-487-000, a request pursuant to §§ 157.205 and 157.216 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas for Act authorization to abandon a certain metering facility designated as Tommy Littlepage Oil Properties (TLOP) Metering Station and related piping under authorization issued in Docket No. CP82-401-000, all as more fully set forth in the request on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Southern states that it sold and delivered natural gas to TLOP for use in pumping crude oil at TLOP's facilities in Jasper County, Mississippi. Southern asserts the TLOP requested Southern to cancel the underlying gas sales agreement due to the sale of TLOP's properties to Crosscreek Properties Inc. (Crosscreek). Southern further states that the engines on the oil property were converted to utilize No. 2 fuel oil and that Crosscreek informed Southern that it does not intend to resume the use of natural gas on its newly acquired properties.

Southern states that the facilities it proposes to abandon and remove include a measuring station, approximately 60 feet of 1-inch tap line and related appurtenant facilities located on Southern's South 18-inch Main Line and 24-inch Main Loop Line in Jasper County, Mississippi. Southern further states that these facilities were constructed by Southern as "budget-type" facilities pursuant to the Commission's order issued on January 6, 1970, in Docket No. CP70-64. Southern states that such construction of facilities was reported to the Commission on February 1, 1971.

Any person or the Commission's staff may, within 45 days after issuance of the instant notice by the Commission, file pursuant to Rule 214 of the Commission's Procedural Rules (18 CFR 385.214) a motion to intervene or notice of intervention and pursuant to § 157.205 of the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.205) a protest to the

request. If no protest is filed within the time allowed therefore, the proposed activity shall be deemed to be authorized effective the day after the time allowed for filing a protest. If a protest is filed and not withdrawn within 30 days after the time allowed for filing a protest, the instant request shall be treated as an application for authorization pursuant to section 7 of the Natural Gas Act.

Kenneth F. Plumb,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 86-12237 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. C166-172-003 et al.]

Sun Exploration and Production Co.; Applications For Abandonment of Service

May 22, 1986.

Take notice that each of the applicants listed herein has filed an application pursuant to Section 7 of the Natural Gas Act for authorization to abandon service as described herein.

The circumstances presented in the applications meet the criteria for consideration on an expedited basis, pursuant to § 2.77 of the Commission's rules as promulgated by Order Nos. 436 and 436-A issued October 9, and December 12, 1985, respectively, in Docket No. RM85-1-000, all as more fully described in the applications which are on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said applications should be on or before 15 days after the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211, 385.214). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party in any proceeding herein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

Kenneth F. Plumb,
Secretary.

Docket No. and date filed	Applicant	Purchaser and location	Price per mcf	Pressure base
C166-172-003	Sun Exploration and Production Co., P.O. Box 2880, Dallas, TX 75221-2880.	Texas Gas Transmission Co., Maurice Field, Lafayette and Vermilion Parishes, LA.	*	
C166-391-000 B Apr. 24, 1986 ³	Vernon E. Faulconer, P.O. Box 7995, Tyler, TX 75711	United Gas Pipe Line Co., Chachoula Field, Lafourche Parish, LA.	*	

Docket No. and date filed	Applicant	Purchaser and location	Price per mcf	Pressure base
C186-410-000 B May 5, 1986	Goodrich Oil Co., 800 First Federal Plaza, Shreveport, LA 71101	United Gas Pipe Line Co., Chauvin Field, Terrebonne Parish, LA.	\$	

Filing Code: A—Initial Service; B—Abandonment; C—Amendment to add acreage; D—Amendment to delete acreage; E—Total Succession; F—Partial Succession.

¹ Additional information received May 8, 1986.

² Applicant requests authorization to abandon the sale of surplus gas for a two-year period to Texas Gas from the W. Hebert Well No. 1 and the Bendel Heirs Well No. 2 authorized in Docket No. C166-172 and covered under Applicant's FERC Gas Rate Schedule No. 434. Applicant states that it projects that Texas Gas' takes in the first year will represent less than 10% of deliverability and takes during the second year will represent less than 15% of deliverability, however the gas will remain subject to recall by Texas Gas. Applicant states that the latest deliverability test rate for the wells was 7,650 Mcf per day and that both wells qualify for the NGPA section 104 replacement or recompletion rate. Applicant states that it is subject to substantially reduced takes without payment. Applicant states that it will sell the gas to TXG Gas Marketing Company or other purchasers.

³ Additional material filed May 6, 1986.

⁴ Applicant, a small producer certificate holder in Docket No. CS74-147, requests authorization to abandon its sales to United under an expired contract dated March 1, 1981, from the C.J. Coulon No. 6-D well and the C.J. Coulon No. 6 well. Applicant states both wells qualify under the NGPA section 106. Applicant estimates production for the next five years would amount to 456 MMcf and states that it is subject to substantially reduced takes without payment. Applicant desires to conduct production enhancement which would result in estimated production of approximately 1,032 MMcf. Applicant states it proposes to sell the gas to Entrade Corporation, which has indicated to Applicant that it is willing to commence meaningful takes of gas and to pay a production enhancement price for the gas so qualifying.

⁵ Applicant, a small producer certificate holder in Docket No. CS78-27, requests authorization to abandon the sale of gas to United for a two and one-half year period from the LaTerre Co., Inc. No. 2 well, which Applicant states produces NGPA section 104-post 1974 gas. Applicant states that the contract expired on July 16, 1985, and that Applicant is subject to substantially reduced takes without payment. Applicant states that total deliveries from the well averaged 350 Mcf per day for the last six months. However, only 14.24% (50 Mcf per day) is dedicated to United. Applicant states that it desires to seek other markets for this gas.

[FR Doc. 86-12083 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. TA86-2-49-002]

**Williston Basin Interstate Pipeline Co.;
Tariff Change**

May 22, 1986.

Take notice that on May 15, 1986, Williston Basin Interstate Pipeline Company (Williston Basin), Suite 200, 304 East Rosser Avenue, Bismarck, North Dakota 58501, submitted the following tariff sheets for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1, Original Volume No. 1-A, and Original Volume No. 2:

First Revised Volume No. 1

- Fourth Substitute Original Sheet No. 10
- Second Substitute Original Sheet No. 91
- Second Substitute Original Sheet No. 96
- Second Substitute Original Sheet No. 97
- Original Sheet No. 98A

Original Volume No. 1-A

- Original Sheet No. 275A
- Original Sheet No. 275B
- Substitute First Revised Sheet No. 276
- Substitute First Revised Sheet No. 277

Original Volume No. 2

- Fifth Substitute Third Revised Sheet No. 10
- Fifth Substitute Third Revised Sheet No. 11
- Third Revised Sheet No. 12

These tariff sheets were submitted in compliance with the Commission's

**Order in Williston Basin Interstate
Pipeline Company, 35 FERC ¶ 61,133
(1986).**

In addition, the Third Revised Sheet No. 12 of Original Volume No. 2, had previously inadvertently been omitted from the original filing in this docket. This sheet shows that the projected incremental pricing surcharges are zero for all purchasers for the period May, 1986 through October, 1986.

The effect for this compliance filing is to reduce the cumulative gas cost adjustment by 1.365 cents per Dkt and increase the surcharge adjustment by 0.06 cents per Dkt from the PGA as filed on March 31, 1986. The net change is a decrease of 1.305 cents per Dkt from the original filing.

Williston Basin requests that the proposed effective date for these tariff sheets be May 2, 1986, to coincide with the effective date provided for in the Commission's Order in this docket.

Pursuant to § 375.307(j) of the Commission's Regulations, Williston Basin respectfully requests waiver of section 4(d) of the Natural Gas Act and Commission's Regulations thereunder, so that the attached tariff sheets may become effective on May 2, 1986, as proposed herein.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said filing should, on or before May 29, 1986, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street

NE., Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of Rules 214 and 211 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Kenneth F. Plumb,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 86-12243 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Project No. 9044-000 9160-000]

**Fredrick Earl Pickering and Glenwood
Springs Power Co.; Availability of
Environmental Assessment and
Finding of no Significant Impact**

May 27, 1986.

In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, the Office of Hydropower Licensing, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission), has reviewed the applications for major and minor licenses (or exemptions) listed below and has assessed the environmental impacts of the proposed developments.

Project No.	Project name	State	Water body	Nearest town	Applicant
Exemptions					
9044-000	Bigg's Creek	WA	Bigg's Creek	Yacolt	Fredrick Earl, Pickering
9160-000	Twin Tunnels	CO	No Name Creek	City of Glenwood Springs	Glenwood Springs Power Company.

Environmental assessments (EA's) were prepared for the above proposed projects. Based on independent analyses of the above actions as set forth in the

EA's, the Commission's staff concludes that these projects would not have significant effects on the quality of the human environment. Therefore,

environmental impact statements for these projects will not be prepared. Copies of the EA's are available for review in the Commission's Division of

Public Information, Room 1000, 825
North Capital Street NE., Washington,
DC 20426.

Kenneth F. Plumb,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 86-12230 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Project No. 8344-001, et al.]

**Pacific Malibu Development Corp. et
al.; Availability of Environmental
Assessment and Finding of no
Significant Impact**

May 27, 1986.

In accordance with the National

Environmental Policy Act of 1969, the
Office of Hydropower Licensing, Federal
Energy Regulatory Commission
(Commission), has reviewed the
applications for major and minor
licenses (or exemptions) listed below
and has assessed the environmental
impacts of the proposed developments.

Project No.	Project name	State	Water body	Nearest town	Applicant
Exemptions					
8344-001	Las Vegas Wash Hydro	NV	Las Vegas Wash	Henderson	Pacific Malibu Dev. Corp.
9247-000	Pratt Creek	ID	Pratt Creek	Salmon	Willard R. Moulton, et al.
Licenses					
3947-003	Terminus Power	CA	Kaweah River	Visalia	Kaweah River Power Authority.
4587-002	Ruth Creek	WA	Ruth Creek	Glacier	Dennis V. McGrew, Thomas M. McMaster, & Kenneth R. Koch.
6786-001	Aurelius Avenue Dam	NY	Owasco Lake Outlet	Auburn	Yankee Hydro Corporation.

Environmental assessments (EA's)
were prepared for the above proposed
projects. Based on independent analyses
of the above actions as set forth in the
EA's, the Commission's staff concludes
that these projects would not have
significant effects on the quality of the
human environment. Therefore,
environmental impact statements for
these projects will not be prepared.
Copies of the EA's are available for
review in the Commission's Division of
Public Information, Room 1000, 825
North Capitol Street, NE., Washington,
DC 20426.

Kenneth F. Plumb,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 86-12231 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Project Nos. 8725-000 et al.]

**Hydroelectric Applications (Elektra
Power Corp. et al.); Applications Filed
With the Commission**

Take notice that the following
hydroelectric applications have been
filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory
Commission and are available for public
inspection:

1 a. Type of Application: Major
License.

b. Project No.: 8725-001.

c. Date Filed: May 28, 1985.

d. Applicant: Elektra Power
Corporation.

e. Name of Project: North Fork Battle
Creek Water Power Project.

f. Location: On North Fork Battle
Creek, near town of Manton, in Shasta
County, California (In sections 19, 20, 21,
29, and 30 of T30N, R1E, MDB&M; in
section 25 of T30N, R1W, MDB&M).

g. Filed Pursuant to: Federal Power
Act, 16 U.S.C. 791(a)—825(r).

h. Contact Person: Mr. D. Dixon
Collins, Elektra Power Corporation, 744

San Antonio Road, Palo Alto, CA 94303.

i. Comment Date: June 26, 1986.

j. Competing Application: Project No.
8724-001, Date Filed: June 7, 1985, Due
Date: May 30, 1986.

k. Description of Project: The
proposed project would consist of: (1)
An 8-foot-high, 46-foot-long diversion
dam at elevation 1,869 feet; (2) a 66-inch-
diameter, 13,100-foot-long diversion
pipeline; (3) a 66-inch-diameter, 900-foot-
long steel penstock; (4) a powerhouse
containing one generating unit with an
installed capacity of 4.98 MW operating
under a head of 401 feet; and (5) a 1.5-
mile-long, 12-kV transmission line to
connect to an existing Pacific Gas and
Electric Company's (PG&E) line. The
estimated annual generation of 20
million kWh will be sold to PG&E. The
project cost is estimated at \$8.0 million.
The Applicant does not propose any
recreational facilities within the project
area.

l. This notice also consists of the
following standard paragraphs: A4, B, C,
and D1.

2a. Type of Application: Conduit

Exemption.

b. Project No: 9902-000.

c. Date Filed: October 15, 1985.

d. Applicant: Costa Real Municipal
Water District.

e. Name of Project: Squires Dam.

f. Location: On the Applicant's
domestic water supply conduit which
delivers water from the San Diego
County Water Authority connection No.
3 on the Tri-Agencies Pipeline to the
Squires Dam Reservoir, near Carlsbad,
in San Diego County, California.

g. Filed Pursuant to: Section 30 of the
Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. 823(a).

h. Contact Person: Mr. William
Meadows, General Manager, Costa Real
Municipal Water District, 5950 El

Camino Real, Carlsbad, CA 92008, (619)
438-2722.

i. Comment Date: June 30, 1986.

j. Description of Project: The proposed
project would utilize the Applicant's
existing conduit which feeds the Squires
Dam Reservoir and would consist of a
powerhouse containing a single turbine-
generator unit with a rated capacity of
40 kW operating under a head of 325
feet. A 0.2-kV, 75-foot-long transmission
line would connect the project to an
existing radio transmitter. The project's
estimated average annual generation of
182,000 kWh would be used by a local
commercial radio station and the
Applicant's Squires Dam caretaker
residence.

k. This notice also consists of the
following standard paragraphs: A3, A9,
B, C, and D3b.

Standard Paragraphs:

A3. Development Application—Any
qualified development applicant
desiring to file a competing application
must submit to the Commission, on or
before the specified comment date for
the particular application, a competing
development application, or a notice of
intent to file such an application.
Submission of a timely notice of intent
allows an interested person to file the
competing development application no
later than 120 days after the specified
comment date for the particular
application. Applications for preliminary
permit will not be accepted in response
to this notice.

A4. Development Application—Public
notice of the filing of the initial
development application, which has
already been given, established the due
date for filing competing applications or
notices of intent. In accordance with the
Commission's regulations, any

competing development applications or notices of intent to file competing development applications, must be filed in response to and in compliance with the public notice of the initial development application. No competing applications or notices of intent may be filed in response to this notice.

A9. Notice of intent—A notice of intent must specify the exact name, business address, and telephone number of the prospective applicant, include an unequivocal statement of intent to submit, if such an application may be filed, either (1) a preliminary permit application or (2) a development application (specify which type of application), and be served on the applicant(s) named in this public notice.

B. *Comments, Protests, or Motions to Intervene*—Anyone may submit comments, a protest, or a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.210, 385.211, 385.214. In determining the appropriate action to take, the Commission will consider all protests or other comments filed, but only those who file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules may become a party to the proceeding. Any comments, protests, or motions to intervene must be received on or before the specified comment date for the particular application.

C. *Filing and Service of Responsive Documents*—Any filings must bear in all capital letters the title "COMMENTS", "NOTICE OF INTENT TO FILE COMPETING APPLICATION", "COMPETING APPLICATION", "PROTEST" or "MOTION TO INTERVENE", as applicable, and the Project Number of the particular application to which the filing is in response. Any of the above named documents must be filed by providing the original and the number of copies required by the Commission's regulations to: Kenneth F. Plumb, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426. An additional copy must be sent to: Mr. Fred E. Springer, Director, Division of Project Management, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Room 203-RB, at the above address. A copy of any notice of intent, competing application or motion to intervene must also be served upon each representative of the applicant specified in the particular application.

D1. *Agency Comments*—Federal, State, and local agencies that receive

this notice through direct mailing from the Commission are requested to provide comments pursuant to the Federal Power Act, the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act, the Endangered Species Act, the National Historic Preservation Act, the Historical and Archeological Preservation Act, the National Environmental Policy Act, Pub. L. 88-29, and other applicable statutes. No other formal requests for comments will be made.

Comments should be confined to substantive issues relevant to the issuance of a license. A copy of the application may be obtained directly from the applicant. If an agency does not file comments with the Commission within the time set for filing comments, it will be presumed to have no comments. One copy of an agency's comments must also be sent to the Applicant's representatives.

D3b. *Agency Comments*—The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the State Fish and Game agency(ies) are requested, for the purposes set forth in section 30 of the Federal Power Act, to file within 45 days from the date of issuance of this notice appropriate terms and conditions to protect any fish and wildlife resources or otherwise carry out the provisions of the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act. General comments concerning the project and its resources are requested; however, specific terms and conditions to be included as a condition of exemption must be clearly identified in the agency letter. If an agency does not file terms and conditions within this time period, that agency will be presumed to have none. Other Federal, State, and local agencies are requested to provide comments they may have in accordance with their duties and responsibilities. No other formal requests for comments will be made. Comments should be confined to substantive issues relevant to the granting of an exemption. If an agency does not file comments within 45 days from the date of issuance of this notice, it will be presumed to have no comments. One copy of an agency's comments must also be sent to the Applicant's representatives.

✓ Dated: May 27, 1986.

Kenneth F. Plumb,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 86-12242 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. QF86-721-000]

**J.A. Jones Construction Co.;
Application for Commission
Certification of Qualifying Status of a
Cogeneration Facility**

May 23, 1986.

On May 8, 1986, J.A. Jones Construction Co. (Applicant), of One South Executive Park, 6060 St. Albans Street, Charlotte, North Carolina 28287, submitted for filing an application for certification of a facility as a qualifying cogeneration facility pursuant to § 292.207 of the Commission's regulations. No determination has been made that the submittal constitutes a complete filing.

The topping-cycle cogeneration facility will be located at the Fort Drum Army Base in Fort Drum, New York. The facility will consist of three coal and wood chip fired circulating fluidized bed steam generators, and an extraction/condensing turbine generator. A portion of the extracted steam will be utilized for high temperature water production, for sale to the Fort Drum Army Base for its domestic uses. The net electric power production capacity of the facility will be approximately 44.9 MW. The installation of this facility will begin approximately in November 1986.

Any person desiring to be heard or objecting to the granting of qualifying status should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. All such petitions or protests must be filed within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice and must be served on the applicant. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Kenneth F. Plumb,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 86-12234 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. QF86-706-000]

**Prime Energy Limited Partnership;
Application for Commission
Certification of Qualifying Status of a
Cogeneration Facility**

May 20, 1986.

On May 2, 1986, Prime Energy Limited Partnership (Applicant), of 95 Madison Avenue, Morristown, New Jersey 07960, submitted for filing an application for certification of a facility as a qualifying cogeneration facility pursuant to § 292.207 of the Commission's regulations. No determination has been made that the submittal constitutes a complete filing.

The topping-cycle cogeneration facility will be located at Marcal Paper Mills, Inc. in Elmwood Park, New Jersey. The facility will consist of a combustion turbine generator, a waste heat recovery steam generator (HRSG) and an automatic extraction/condensing steam turbine generator. The steam from HRSG will be utilized by Marcal Paper Mills, Inc. in the manufacturing of paper products. The primary energy source will be natural gas. The maximum electric power production capacity of the facility will be 70 MW. Construction of the facility is expected to begin in December 1986.

Any person desiring to be heard or objecting to the granting of qualifying status should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. All such petitions or protests must be filed within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice and must be served on the applicant. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Kenneth F. Plumb,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 86-12235 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. QF86-723-000]

**Stone & Webster Development Corp.;
Application for Commission
Certification of Qualifying Status of a
Cogeneration Facility**

May 27, 1986.

On May 9, 1986, Stone & Webster Development Corporation (Applicant), of P.O. Box 2325, Boston, Massachusetts 02107, submitted for filing an application for certification of a facility as a qualifying cogeneration facility pursuant to § 292.207 of the Commission's regulations. No determination has been made that the submittal constitutes a complete filing.

The topping-cycle cogeneration facility will be located at Groton, Connecticut and will consist of two combustion turbine generating units and one or more waste heat recovery steam generators. Thermal energy recovered in the form of steam will be used within a chemical plant for process and heating purposes. The electric power production of the facility will be approximately 78 MW. The installation of the facility is scheduled to begin February 1988.

Any person desiring to be heard or objecting to the granting of qualifying status should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. All such petitions or protests must be filed within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice and must be served on the applicant. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Kenneth F. Plumb,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 86-12236 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket Nos. ER86-497-000 et al.]

**Arizona Public Service Co. et al.;
Electric Rate and Corporate
Regulation Filings**

Take notice that the following filings

have been made with the Commission:

1. Arizona Public Service Company

[Docket No. ER86-497-000]

May 23, 1986.

Take notice that Arizona Public Service Company (APS) on May 19, 1986, tendered for filing a Wholesale Power Agreement and a Wheeling and Administrative Service Agreement between Arizona Public Service Company (APS) and Electrical District No. 7 (ED-7).

It is intended that these new Agreements supersede the terms and conditions for service presently being rendered under a Letter Agreement (FERC Rate Schedule No. 124) scheduled to terminate on June 21, 1986. The rates for services to be rendered remain unchanged from those presently in effect.

APS, requests an effective date of June 21, 1986.

Copies of this filing have been served upon and the Arizona Corporation Commission, ED-7 and legal counsel for ED-7.

Comment date: June 5, 1986, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

2. Central Power and Light Company

[Docket No. ER86-495-000]

Take notice that on May 19, 1986, Central Power and Light Company ("CPL") tendered for filing a letter agreement dated May 15, 1986, between the Public Utilities Board of the City of Brownsville, Texas ("PUB") and CPL. The Letter Agreement provides for the sale by CPL to PUB of capacity and energy, or energy, when Oklaunion Unit No. 1 (to be jointly owned by CPL, PUB and others) is not in service for other than economic reasons. The Letter Agreement will constitute an integral part of the coordination and interchange agreement currently in effect between CPL and PUB. CPL asks that the Letter Agreement be made effective as of the commercial operation date of Oklaunion Unit No. 1, now anticipated to occur in December 1986, and, accordingly, CPL requests waiver of the notice requirements under the Federal Power Act. Copies of the filing have been served on PUB and the Public Utility Commission of Texas.

Comment date: June 5, 1986, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this document.

3. Cliffs Electric Service Company

[Docket No. ER86-491-000]

Take notice that on May 19, 1986,

Cliffs Electric Service Company ("Service Company") submitted for filing an Interconnection and Energy Agreement with the Board of Light and Power City of Marquette, Michigan. The agreement supersedes an earlier agreement and adds several new coordination services.

Service Company requests that the filing be permitted to become effective on April 1, 1986.

Comment date: June 5, 1986, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

4. Consumers Power Company

[Docket No. ER86-493-000]

Take notice that Consumers Power Company ("Consumers") on May 19, 1986 tendered for filing annual redetermination of two revisions to annual charges due Consumers Power Company under interconnection facilities agreements. One of the revised charges is provided for in subsection 2.4 of the Blendon Interconnection Facilities Agreement (designated as Supplement 3 to Consumers Power Company Electric Rate Schedule FERC No. 53) between Consumers and Wolverine Power Supply Cooperative, Inc. ("Wolverine"). The other revised charge is provided for in subsection 1.043 of the Barton Lake—Batavia Interconnection Facilities Agreement (designated as Consumers Power Company Electric Rate Schedule FERC No. 44) between Consumers and Northern Indiana Public Service Company ("Northern").

Both redeterminations were performed using year-end 1985 data with the new annual charges effective May 1, 1986. As a result of the redeterminations, the monthly charges to be paid by Wolverine were reduced from \$7,857 to \$7,278, and the monthly charges to be paid by Northern were reduced from \$22,007 to \$20,388.

Consumers requests an effective date of May 1, 1986, and therefore requests waiver of the Commission's notice requirements.

Comment date: June 5, 1986, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

5. Consumers Power Company

[Docket No. ER86-494-000]

Take notice that Consumers Power Company ("Consumers") on May 19, 1986 tendered for filing Amendment No. 30, dated April 1, 1986, to the Operating Agreement, dated March 1, 1966, among Consumers Power Company, The Detroit Edison Company sometimes collectively referred to as the "Michigan Companies", and Indiana & Michigan Electric Company. The Commission has previously designated the 1966

Agreement as Consumers' Rate Schedule FPC No. 23, Detroit's Rate Schedule FPC No. 12 and Indiana Company's Rate Schedule FPC No. 68.

Amendment No. 30 provides for an extension of the term of Service Schedule J—Experimental Off-Peak Transmission Service. The extension is for a period of nine months (until December 31, 1986).

Comment date: June 5, 1986, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

6. CP National Corporation and Nevada Power Company

[Docket No. ER86-20-000]

Take notice that on May 19, 1986, CP National Corporation ("CP National") and Nevada Power Company ("Nevada Power") filed a joint application pursuant to Section 203 of the Federal Power Act for authorization for CP National to sell, and Nevada Power to buy, CP National's electric distribution system located in Clark County, Nevada.

CP National, incorporated in the State of California, provides electric, telephone, and natural gas distribution services in Arizona, California, Nevada, New Mexico, Oregon, Texas and Utah. Nevada Power, incorporated in the State of Nevada, is an operating public utility engaged in the electric utility business in the City of Las Vegas and vicinity in Southern Nevada.

Comment date: June 5, 1986, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of third notice.

7. Florida Power Corporation

[Docket No. ER86-398-000]

Take notice that on May 19, 1986, Florida Power Corporation (Florida Power) tendered for filing information intended to supplement its April 9, 1986, filing at the above docket. The information consists of supplemental testimony explaining the derivation of the excess demand rate.

Comment date: June 5, 1986, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

8. Florida Power Corporation

[Docket No. ER 86-489-000]

Take notice that on May 15, 1986, Florida Power Corporation (Florida Power) tendered for filing a Letter of Commitment dated April 28, 1986 providing for a commitment of 18MW of firm interchange service from Florida Power to the City of St. Cloud, Florida. Florida Power states that the Letter of Commitment is executed pursuant to Service Schedule D of the Contract for Interchange Service dated December 1, 1981 between Florida Power and the

City of St. Cloud. The contract is designated as Florida Power's Rate Schedule FERC No. 95. The Letter for Commitment, which supersedes and replaces a letter of commitment dated January 18, 1985, is submitted for inclusion as a supplement to Service Schedule D.

Florida Power requests that the Letter of Commitment be permitted to become effective May 1, 1986, and therefore, requests waiver of the sixty day notice requirement. Copies of this filing have been served upon the City of St. Cloud and the Florida Public Service Commission.

Comment date: June 5, 1986, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

9. Idaho Power Company

[Docket No. ER86-487-000]

Take notice that on May 15, 1986, the Idaho Power Company tendered for filing in compliance with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission's Order of October 7, 1978, a summary of sales made under the Company's 1st Revised FERC Electric Tariff, volume No. 1 (Supersedes Original Volume No 1) during March 1986, along with cost justification for the rate charged. This filing includes the following supplements:

Utah Power & Light Company—Supplement No 52
Montana Power Company—Supplement No 40
Pacific Power & Light Company—Supplement No 17
Sierra Pacific Power Company—Supplement No 49
Portland General Electric Company—Supplement No 45
Puget Sound Power & Light Company—Supplement No 21

Comment date: June 5, 1986, in accordance with Standard Paragraph H at the end of this notice.

10. Iowa-Illinois Gas and Electric Company

[Docket No. ER86-492-000]

Take notice that Iowa-Illinois Gas and Electric Company, Davenport, Iowa (Iowa-Illinois) on May 19, 1986, tendered for filing Transmission Service Schedule No. 3 (Hills Substation-345-161 kV transformer), a superseding Service Schedule D addendum, dated April 15, 1986, under the Interconnection Agreement dated June 13, 1983 with Central Iowa Power Cooperative, Cedar Rapids, Iowa (Cooperative).

Transmission Service Schedule No. 3 is stated to be occasioned by, and proposed to be effective as of, the December 13, 1985 completion and relocation of certain facilities of Iowa

Electric Light and Power Company, under separate arrangements with Iowa-Illinois, and waiver of the notice requirements is sought accordingly. (It is explained that Cooperative no longer requires transformation services through the same transformer heretofore provided by Iowa-Illinois for other purposes, so that Transmission Service Schedule No. 2, dated June 13, 1983 would be superseded.) Iowa-Illinois states Transmission Service Schedule No. 3 provides for 345-161 kV transformation only to maintain, through other of Iowa-Illinois facilities, a transmission path for continued viability of arrangements in place under the Interconnection Agreement in respect of a 69 kV terminating bay provided by Iowa-Illinois for Cooperative's use.

Iowa-Illinois states a complete copy of the filing has been mailed to Cooperative, the Iowa State Commerce Commission, and the Illinois Commerce Commission.

Comment date: June 5, 1986, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

11. Monongahela Power Company

[Docket No. ER86-379-000]

Take notice that Monongahela Power Company, on April 24 and May 20, 1986, tendered amendments to its filing of proposed changes in its FERC Electric Tariff, Original Volume No. 1, originally tendered for filing on March 28, 1986.

The amendments are for the purpose of responding to concerns raised in the Commission's letter of April 24, 1986.

Copies of the filing were served upon the jurisdictional customers and the West Virginia Public Service Commission.

Comment date: June 5, 1986, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

12. Ohio Power Company

[Docket No. ER86-499-000]

Take notice that American Electric Power Service Corporation (AEP) on May 20, 1986, tendered for filing on behalf of its affiliate Ohio Power Company (OPCO) Supplement No. 10 dated May 1, 1986 to the Agreement dated April 1, 1974 between American Municipal Power-Ohio, Inc. (AMPO) and OPCO. OPCO and AMPO are sometimes collectively referred to as the Parties. The Commission has previously designated this Agreement as OPCO Rate Schedule FERC No. 74 and AMPO Rate Schedule FERC No. 1.

Supplement No. 10 adds a new Service Schedule E—Interchange Power to the 1974 Agreement, which contains provision for the sale of Economy

Energy and Non-displacement Energy. Furthermore, this Supplement increases OPCO's transmission demand rate for Emergency Energy to 2.75 mills/kWH. In addition, this Supplement revises the Parties' Short Term Power Service Schedule by adding provisions for a rate of "up to" the Parties' respective generation demand and energy rates and establish a lower limit of total revenue realization for any transaction as 110% of OPC, which represents OPCO's currently approved rate for Non-Displacement Energy transactions. OPCO's proposed Emergency, Interchange Power, and Short Term Power rates contained in Supplement No. 10 have previously been accepted for filing by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission in various other OPCO filings.

AEP has requested the Commission to waive any requirements not already complied with under § 35.13 of the Commission's Regulations and permit this Supplement to become effective immediately.

Copies of this filing were served upon the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio and AMPO.

Comment date: June 5, 1986, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

13. Pacific Gas and Electric Company

[Docket No. ER86-488-000]

Take notice that on May 15, 1986, Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PGandE) tendered for filing an initial rate schedule for metering services provided under Article 28 of Contract 14-06-200-2948A (Contract 2948A) between Pacific Gas and Electric Company and the Western Area Power Administration (Western).

Contract 2948A is on file with the Commission. Article 28(b) of Contract 2948A includes a mechanism whereby PGandE performs metering service for Western at various Western customer sites. This filing establishes an initial rate for metering charges at customer sites not included in previously filed letter agreements, and establishes the sites to be metered during the five-year period beginning April 1, 1986.

The parties have recently concluded the negotiations for the rates and meter sites contained herein. Therefore, pursuant to § 35.11 of the Commission's Regulations, PGandE respectfully requests waiver of the Commission's notice requirements so as to permit an effective date of March 1, 1982. No customer under any other rate schedules will be affected if such waiver is granted.

Comment date: June 5, 1986, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

14. Pacific Gas and Electric Company

[Docket No. ER86-498-000]

Take notice that on May 20, 1986, Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PGandE) tendered for filing changes to rate schedules covering services rendered by PGandE under two agreements, the "Interconnection Agreement Between Pacific Gas and Electric Company and the City of Santa Clara" (Santa Clara Interconnection Agreement) and the "Interconnection Agreement Between Pacific Gas and Electric Company and the Northern California Power Agency, City of Alameda, City of Biggs, City of Gridley, City of Healdsburg, City of Lodi, City of Lompoc, City of Palo Alto, City of Roseville, City of Ukiah and Plumas Sierra Rural Electric Cooperative" (NCPA Interconnection Agreement) (together referred to as Interconnection Agreements). The Santa Clara Interconnection Agreement was filed initially under Docket No. ER84-6-000 and was assigned FERC Rate Schedule No. 85. The NCPA Interconnection Agreement was filed initially under Docket No. ER83-683-000 and was assigned FERC Rate Schedule No. 84.

The Interconnection Agreements provide for firm transmission service between Points of Receipt and Points of Delivery. The City of Santa Clara (Santa Clara) wishes to include new Points of Receipt and Delivery at PGandE's—Tesla Substation (Tesla), and to increase the Contract Coincident Rate of Delivery and the Maximum Rate of Delivery at Santa Clara. The Northern California Power Agency (NCPA) wishes to include new Points of Receipt and Delivery at Tesla. The new Points of Receipt and Delivery at Tesla will allow Santa Clara and NCPA to import power over the existing Pacific Northwest-Southwest Intertie pursuant to an assignment between the Sacramento Municipal Utility District (SMUD) and Santa Clara, acting for itself and agent for NCPA, for transmission service on the Intertie (SMUD-Santa Clara Assignment). In order for Santa Clara and NCPA to fully utilize this service PGandE requests an effective date of May 9, 1986.

In accordance with the SMUD-Santa Clara Assignment, PGandE requests that the Points of Receipt and Delivery at Tesla for both Santa Clara and NCPA be effective only until the earliest of the following:

(1) January 1, 1990;

(2) The date when the California-Oregon Transmission Project (Project) becomes operational;

(3) The date when the participation of PGandE, Southern California Edison Company (SCE) and San Diego Gas and Electric Company (SDGandE) in the Project is terminated because the approvals under Section 11.4 of the Project's Memorandum of Understanding dated December 19, 1984 cannot be obtained or because those approvals are unsatisfactory to PGandE, SCE, and SDGandE; or

(4) The date when the Project is terminated prior to its operation, such termination to be deemed to have occurred on January 1, 1993 if no work has been done on the Project for three years prior to that date.

Comment date: June 5, 1986, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

15. Pacific Power & Light Company, an Assumed Business Name of PacifiCorp

[Docket No. ER86-496-000]

Take Notice that Pacific Power & Light Company (Pacific), an assumed business name of PacifiCorp, on May 19, 1986, tendered for filing a Revised Exhibit B, dated October 1, 1985, to Pacific's Rate Schedule FERC No. 213. Rate Schedule FERC No. 213 provides for the transfer of Deseret Generation & Transmission Co-operative (Deseret) power and energy to Bridger Valley Electric Association, Inc. (Bridger Valley) Blacksfork Substation by Pacific.

Each year a Revised Exhibit B is submitted by Deseret and Bridger Valley to Pacific in accordance with Article 12(ii) of the May 29, 1981 Transmission Agreement.

Copies of the filing were supplied to Deseret, Bridger Valley, and the Wyoming Public Service Commission.

Comment date: June 5, 1986, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

16. Southern California Edison Company

[Docket No. ER86-490-000]

Take notice that, on May 15, 1986, Southern California Edison Company ("Edison") tendered for filing an agreement entitled "Edison-Citizens Short-Term Service Agreement", which has been executed by Edison and the Citizens Utilities Company ("Citizens").

Under the terms and conditions of the Agreement, Edison will make available to Citizens Firm System Capacity and Associated Energy for the period May 15, 1986, through September 30, 1986.

The Agreement is proposed to become effective when executed by the Parties and on the later of May 15, 1986 or the date of acceptance for filing by the Commission.

Copies of this filing were served upon the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California and the Citizens Utilities Company.

Comment date: June 5, 1986, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

Standard Paragraphs

E. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 385.211 and 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before the comment date. Protests will be considered by the Commission in

determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

H. Any person desiring to be heard or to protest this filing should file comments with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, on or before the comment date. Comments will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 86-12280 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7617-01-M

[Docket Nos. P-7218-001 et al.]

Bluestone Energy Design, Inc. et al.; Environmental Assessment Notices

May 20, 1986.

In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, the Office of Hydropower Licensing, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission), has reviewed the applications for major and minor licenses (or exemptions) listed below and has assessed the environmental impacts of the proposed developments.

Project No.	Project name	State	Waterbody	Nearest town	Applicant
Exemptions					
7218-001	Berry Shoals Station	SC	South Tyger River	Raidville	Bluestone Engery Design, Inc.
7477-000	Burt Dam	NY	Eighteenmile Creek	Village of Burt and City of Newfane	Burt Dam Associates
8411-000	Biscoe Falls	ME	Little Androscoggin River	West Paris	Mr. John Crouch and Sons
9180-000	Franklin Street Dam	MI	Flat River	Greenville	City of Greenville
9406-000	Phoenix Lake Bypass	CA	Phoenix Lake Bypass Ditch	Sonora	County of Tuolumne
9456-000	Black Brook	NY	Black Brook	Black Brook	Adirondack Hydro, Inc.
9509-000	Cheshire Dam	NH	Contoocook River	Jaffrey	D.D. Bean & Sons Co., Inc.
9699-000	Albany Hydro Development	NY	Alcove Reservoir/Hannacrois Creek	Bethlehem	Albany Hydro Associates
Licenses					
3459-001 and 7732-000	Mason Dam Hydroelectric/Mason Dam Hydroelectric Power Dev.	OR	Powder River	Baker	Cascade Water Power Development Corp./Baker County Court.
4586-001	Swamp Creek	WA	Swamp Creek	Glacier	Dennis V. McGrew, Thomas M. McMaster, & Kenneth R. Koch
7353-000	Prosser	CA	Prosser Creek	Truckee	Truckee-Conner Public Utility
8798-001	Lower Walden Dam	NY	Wallkill River	Walden	Rivers Electric Co., Inc.
9103-000	Cherry Creek	OR	Cherry Creek	Monroe	Emil G. Sitkei
3924-002	Melad High Drop	ID	Malad River	Gooding	Consulting Associates, Inc.
7829-000	Emigrant Dam	OR	Emigrant Creek	Ashland	The Talent, Rogue River & Medford Irrig. Districts
8968-001	Woodstock Dam	NY	Catskill Creek	Cario	Rivers Electric Co., Inc.
6972-001	Hollow Dam	NY	West Branch Oswegatchie River	Fowler	Power Resources Dev. Corp.
7327-002	Turnbull Drops	MT	Spring Valley Canal	Great Falls	Turnbull Partners, Ltd. & Greenfields Irrig. Dist.
7391-001	Upper Israel River	NH	Upper Israel River	Lancaster	Power House Systems
7728-003	Robley Point	CA	West Branch Feather River	Stirling City	Robley Point Hydro Partners

Project No.	Project name	State	Waterbody	Nearest town	Applicant
8712-000	Happy Corner	NH	Perry Stream	Pittsburg	Phillip Leavitt Young
8894-000	Erie Canal Lock 33	NY	New York State Barge Canal	Henrietta	Fallon Hydro, Inc.
9246-000	Argenta	CO	Lake Fork of the Gunnison River	Lake City	John C. Simmons

Environmental assessments (EA's) were prepared for the above proposed projects. Based on independent analyses of the above actions as set forth in the EA's, the Commission's staff concludes that these projects would not have significant effects on the quality of the human environment. Therefore, environmental impact statements for these projects will not be prepared.

Copies of the EA's are available for review in the Commission's Division of Public Information, Room 1000, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426.

Kenneth F. Plumb,
Secretary.

[FR. Doc. 86-12281 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

Notice of Agreements Filed

The Federal Maritime Commission hereby gives notice of the filing of the following agreement(s) pursuant to section 5 of the Shipping Act of 1984.

Interested parties may inspect and obtain a copy of each agreement at the Washington, DC Office of the Federal Maritime Commission, 1100 L Street, NW., Room 10325. Interested parties may submit comments on each agreement to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, DC 20573, within 10 days after the date of the *Federal Register* in which this notice appears. The requirements for comments are found in § 572.603 of Title 46 of the Code of Federal Regulations. Interested persons should consult this section before communicating with the Commission regarding a pending agreement.

Agreement No.: 224-010945.

Title: Philadelphia Port Corporation Terminal Agreement.

Parties:

Philadelphia Port Corporation
(Lessor).

Lavino Shipping Company (Lavino),
Delaware Operating Company (DOC).

Synopsis: The proposed agreement will assign to DOC all of Lavino's rights, titles and interest in, to and under the leases between the Lessor and Lavino. Lavino will remain fully liable to the Lessor in respect to all covenants and obligations to be kept and performed by Lavino under the leases. The parties

have requested a shortened review period.

Agreement No.: 224-010946.

Title: Brazos River Harbor Navigation District of Brazoria County, Texas and American Rice, Inc. Ground Lease and Definitive Agreement.

Parties:

Brazos River Harbor Navigation
District of Brazoria County, Texas
(Lessor).

American Rice, Inc. (Lessee).

Synopsis: The proposed agreement would permit the Lessor to purchase, construct, enlarge, extend, repair, maintain, operate, and develop wharves, docks, appurtenant facilities, and all other facilities or aids incidental to or useful in the operation and development of Lessor's ports and waterways and to prescribe and collect fees and charges for the use of Lessor's land, improvements, and facilities. The initial term of this lease shall be for a period of thirty-five years. At the option of Lessee, the lease may be extended for seven (7) additional terms of five (5) years each. The parties have requested a shortened review period.

Agreement No.: 224-010946-001.

Title: Brazos River Harbor Navigation District of Brazoria County, Texas and American Rice, Inc. Ground Lease and Definitive Agreement.

Parties:

Brazos River Harbor Navigation
District of Brazoria County, Texas.
American Rice, Inc.

Synopsis: The proposed amendment would modify the Mortgage and Estoppel Affidavit provisions of the lease, with all other terms and conditions remaining in force. The parties have requested a shortened review period.

Agreement No.: 224-010947.

Title: Los Angeles Terminal Agreement.

Parties:

The City of Los Angeles.
Korea Shipping Corporation (KSC).

Synopsis: The proposed agreement would permit the relocation of KSC From Berths 87-90 in the Port of Los Angeles to Berths 127-131. KSC would have preferential use of Berths 127 and 128, the secondary right to use Berths 129-131 and non-exclusive use of 39.2 acres of backland. The proposed agreement would supersede Agreement No. 224-010645.

Agreement No.: 224-010948.

Title: The City of Los Angeles Crane Assignment Agreement.

Parties:

City of Los Angeles (City).
Korea Shipping Line Corp. (Assignee).

Synopsis: The proposed agreement would establish a nonexclusive preferential assignment whereby the City would allow the Assignee the preferential right to use Crane Nos. 2 and 11 and the secondary right to use Crane No. 1. The Assignee agrees to pay Tariff No. 3 charges for Crane No. 1, a fixed monthly payment of \$23,007 for Crane No. 2 and a fixed monthly payment of \$27,390 for Crane No. 11. Assignee would pay the City for all maintenance and electrical costs associated with Crane Nos. 2 and 11.

By Order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

Dated: May 27, 1986.

Tony P. Kominoth,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 86-12206 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6730-01-M

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

First Rainsville Bancshares, Inc.; Application To Engage de Novo in Permissible Nonbanking Activities

The company listed in this notice has filed an application under § 225.23(a)(1) of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.23(a)(1)) for the Board's approval under section 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1843(c)(8)) and § 225.21(a) of Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.21(a)) to commence or to engage *de novo*, either directly or through a subsidiary, in a nonbanking activity that is listed in § 225.25 of Regulation Y as closely related to banking and permissible for bank holding companies. Unless otherwise noted, such activities will be conducted throughout the United States.

The application is available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. Once the application has been accepted for processing, it will also be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the question whether consummation of the proposal can "reasonably be expected to produce benefits to the public, such

as greater convenience, increased competition, or gains in efficiency, that outweigh possible adverse effects, such as undue concentration of resources, decreased or unfair competition, conflicts of interests, or unsound banking practices." Any request for a hearing on this question must be accompanied by a statement of the reasons a written presentation would not suffice in lieu of a hearing, identifying specifically any questions of fact that are in dispute, summarizing the evidence that would be presented at a hearing, and indicating how the party commenting would be aggrieved by approval of the proposal.

Unless otherwise noted, comments regarding the application must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors not later than June 18, 1986.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta
(Robert E. Heck, Vice President) 104 Marietta Street, N.W., Atlanta, Georgia 30303:

1. *First Rainsville Bancshares, Inc.*, Rainsville, Alabama; to engage directly in the sale of credit life, accident and health, and unemployment insurance directly related to the extensions of credit, pursuant to § 225.25(b)(8) of the Board's Regulation Y.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, May 27, 1986.

James McAfee,

Associate Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 86-12214 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-M

Hartford National Corp. et al.; Formations of; Acquisitions by; and Mergers of Bank Holding Companies

The companies listed in this notice have applied for the Board's approval under section 3 of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1842) and § 225.14 of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.14) to become a bank holding company or to acquire a bank or bank holding company. The factors that are considered in acting on the applications are set forth in section 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)).

Each application is available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. Once the application has been accepted for processing, it will also be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing to the Reserve Bank or to the offices of the Board of Governors. Any comment on an application that requests a hearing must include a statement of why a written presentation would not suffice in

lieu of a hearing, identifying specifically any questions of fact that are in dispute and summarizing the evidence that would be presented at a hearing.

Unless otherwise noted, comments regarding each of these applications must be received not later than June 20, 1986.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Boston
(Robert M. Brady, Vice President) 600 Atlantic Avenue, Boston, Massachusetts 02106:

1. *Hartford National Corporation*, Hartford, Connecticut; to acquire 100 percent of the voting shares of First/Martha's Vineyard Bancorporation, Vineyard Haven, Massachusetts, and thereby indirectly acquire First Bank, Chelmsford, Massachusetts.

B. Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta
(Robert E. Heck, Vice President) 104 Marietta Street, N.W., Atlanta, Georgia 30303:

1. *First Vernon Bancshares, Inc.*, Vernon, Alabama; to become a bank holding company by acquiring 80 percent of the voting shares of The Bank of Vernon, Vernon, Alabama.

2. *Hibernia Corporation*, New Orleans, Louisiana; to merge with South Louisiana Financial Corporation, Houma, Louisiana, and thereby indirectly acquire South Louisiana Bank, Houma, Louisiana.

Hibernia Corporation, New Orleans, Louisiana has also applied to acquire 25 percent of the voting shares of South Louisiana Financial Corporation, Houma, Louisiana. Comments on these applications must be received not later than June 23, 1986.

C. Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis
(Delmer P. Weisz, Vice President) 411 Locust Street, St. Louis, Missouri 63166:

1. *National City Bancshares, Inc.*, Evansville, Indiana; to acquire 100 percent of the voting shares of Chandler State Bank, Chandler, Indiana.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, May 27, 1986.

James McAfee,

Associate Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 86-12215 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-M

Lloyds Bank PLC; Formation of, Acquisition by, or Merger of Bank Holding Companies; Acquisition of Nonbanking Company

The company listed in this notice has applied under § 225.14 of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.14 for the Board's approval under section 3 of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1842) to become a bank holding company or to acquire voting securities of a bank or bank holding company.

The listed company has also applied under § 225.23(a)(2) of Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.23(a)(2)) for the Board's approval under section 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)(8)) and § 225.21(a) of Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.21(a)) to acquire or control voting securities or asset of a company engaged in a nonbanking activity that is listed in § 225.25 of Regulation Y as closely related to banking and permissible for bank holding companies, or to engage in such an activity. Unless otherwise noted, these activities will be conducted throughout the United States.

The application is available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. Once the application has been accepted for processing, it will also be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the question whether consummation of the proposal can "reasonably be expected to produce benefits to the public, such as greater convenience, increased competition, or gains in efficiency, that outweigh possible adverse effects, such as undue concentration of resources, decreased or unfair competition, conflicts of interests, or unsound banking practices." Any request for a hearing on this question must be accompanied by a statement of the reasons a written presentation would not suffice in lieu of a hearing, identifying specifically any question of fact that are in dispute, summarizing the evidence that would be presented at a hearing, and indicating how the party commenting would be aggrieved by approval of the proposal.

Comments regarding the application must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the office of the Board of Governors not later than June 23, 1986.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco
(Harry W. Green, Vice President) 101 Market Street, San Francisco, California 94105:

1. *Lloydes Bank PLC*, London, England; to acquire 100 percent of the voting shares of Standard Chartered PLC, London, England, Standard Chartered Overseas Holdings Limited, London, England, and Union Bancorp, Los Angeles, California, and thereby indirectly acquire Union Bank, Los Angeles

Applicant has also applied to acquire the following companies: Stanchart Business Credit, Los Angeles, California, and thereby engage in originating, purchasing and servicing extensions of credit and provide consulting activities with regard to these activities; Market

Investment Services Corporation, Los Angeles, California, and thereby engage in discount securities brokerage; Institutional Credit Services Corporation, Los Angeles, California, and thereby engage in providing real estate investment and leasing advisory services; Mocatta Metals, New York, New York, and thereby engage in the purchase and sale of gold and silver for immediate and forward delivery, the purchase and sale of gold and silver coins and the purchase and granting of options to buy or sell gold or silver bullion. Applicant also proposes to engage, through Mocatta Metals' subsidiaries, in the following activities: (1) Through Iron Mountain Depository Corporation, the storage of bullion and precious coins and weighing, coin counting and transportation services; (2) through Mocatta Trust Corporation, to act as a futures commission merchant; and (3) through Mocatta Assets Management Corporation, to buy and sell for its own account in gold, silver, Comex traded gold and silver futures and options, and to a limited extent, in stocks and stock options and Treasury options.

Lloyds Bank PLC has also applied pursuant to section 4(c)(14) of the Act to acquire Stanchart Export Services Company, Los Angeles, California, and thereby act as an export trading company.

Lloyds Bank PLC will also acquire an Edge Act corporation, Standard Chartered International, Houston, Texas, pursuant to Section 25 (a) of the Federal Reserve Act.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, May 27, 1986.

James McAfee,

Associate Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 86-12216 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-M

Standard Chartered PLC; Acquisition of Company Engaged in Permissible Nonbanking Activities

The organization listed in this notice has applied under § 225.23(a) (2) or (f) of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.23(a) (2) or (f)) for the Board's approval under section 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1843(c)(8)) and § 225.21(a) of Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.21(a)) to acquire or control voting securities or assets of a company engaged in a nonbanking activity that is listed in § 225.25 of Regulation Y as closely related to banking and permissible for bank holding companies. Unless otherwise noted, such activities will be conducted throughout the United States.

The application is available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. Once the application has been accepted for processing, it will also be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the question whether consummation of the proposal can "reasonably be expected to produce benefits to the public, such as greater convenience, increased competition, or gains in efficiency, that outweigh possible adverse effects, such as undue concentration of resources, decreased or unfair competition, conflicts of interests, or unsound banking practices." Any request for a hearing on this question must be accompanied by a statement of the reasons a written presentation would not suffice in lieu of a hearing, identifying specifically any questions of fact that are in dispute, summarizing the evidence that would be presented at a hearing, and indicating how the party commenting would be aggrieved by approval of the proposal.

Comments regarding the application must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors not later than June 23, 1986.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco (Harry W. Green, Vice President) 101 Market Street, San Francisco, California 94105:

1. *Standard Chartered PLC*, London, England; to acquire Mocatta Metals, New York, New York, and thereby engage in the purchase and sale of gold and silver for immediate and forward delivery, the purchase and sale of gold and silver coins and the purchase and granting of options to buy or sell gold or silver bullion.

Applicant also proposes to engage, through Mocatta Metals' subsidiaries, in the following activities: (1) through Iron Mountain Depository Corporation, the storage of bullion and precious coins and weighing, coin counting and transportation services; (2) through Mocatta Trust Corporation, to act as a futures commission merchant; and (3) through Mocatta Assets Management Corporation, to buy and sell for its own account in gold, silver, Comex traded gold and silver futures and options, and to a limited extent in stocks and stock options and Treasury options.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, May 27, 1986.

James McAfee,

Associate Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 86-12217 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

Bureau Form Submitted for Extension of Approval to the Office of Management and Budget

The request for extension of approval for the collection of information listed below has been submitted to the Office of Management and Budget under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). Copies of the information collection requirement and related forms and explanatory material may be obtained by contacting the Bureau's clearance officer at the phone listed below. Comments and suggestions on the requirement may be made directly to the Bureau's clearance officer or the Office of Management and Budget whose number is 202-395-7340.

Title: Application for Transportation and Utility Systems and Facilities and Federal Lands, Pub. L. 96-487 (Also applicable for 43 CFR 2800 and 2880)

Abstract: Respondents supply information as to their identity and address and the nature, location and potential impacts of the proposed facility. The information enables the using agency to identify and communicate with the applicant and to locate and evaluate the effect of the proposed facility on the environment and other land uses.

Bureau Form Number: SF-299.

Frequency: On Occasion.

Description of Respondents: Applicants for rights-of-way on Federal lands.

Annual Responses: 4,300.

Annual Burden Hours: 8,600.

Bureau Clearance Officer: Rebecca Daugherty 202-343-8468.

May 27, 1986.

Theodore G. Bingham,

Acting Assistant Director, Land Resources.

[FR Doc. 86-12260 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-84-M

Vermilion Cliffs Plan Amendment; ACEC and RNA Proposed; Kane County, UT

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Plan amendment notice; proposed Area of Critical Environmental Concern and Research Natural Area.

SUMMARY: The Cedar City District of the Bureau of Land Management proposes to amend the Vermilion Cliffs Management Framework Plan (1979). The original plan did not call for

environmental protection for the Water Canyon/South Fork Indian Canyon as an ACEC or for protection for No Man's Mesa as a Research Natural Area. A nomination for ACEC and RNA was received from The Nature Conservancy. The Bureau reviewed the proposals and applied the evaluation criteria and concluded that the proposals have merit. Because these proposals do not conform to the existing plan, it is proposed that the plan be amended by environmental assessment. This constitutes notice that a draft plan amendment and environmental assessment is available for review at the offices listed below. The public may comment on the amendment and designations for 30 days following publication of this notice.

The proposed ACEC will be called the Water Canyon/South Fork Indian Canyon ACEC. The proposed ACEC is located in portions of sections 17, 20, 21, 29, 30, and 32 of Township 43 South, Range 7 West, Salt Lake Base and Meridian (SLB&M). The proposed ACEC would cover 285 acres of Bureau administered public lands and has unique vegetative values. Within its boundaries, the proposed ACEC has a municipal water source for the town of Fredonia, Arizona. Proposed management calls for withdrawal of public lands within the area from mineral location under the 1872 Mining Law, and continuation of the present off-road vehicle limitation to existing roads and trails. Future oil and gas leases in the area will be in a no surface occupancy leasing category. Additionally, no actions would be allowed that would alter the existing vegetation and water resources.

The proposed No Man's Mesa RNA would encompass 1,335 acres of public land administered by the Bureau of Land Management. The RNA is located in sections 9, 10, 14, 15, 22, 23, 26 and 27 of Township 40 South and Range 3 West, Salt Lake Base and Meridian (SLB&M). The proposed RNA is an isolated mesa three miles in length. The area is valuable as a scientific reference point for its "relict" plant communities. Future management calls for restrictions on 180 acres under no surface occupancy oil and gas leasing category. This restriction would bring the entire area under the no surface occupancy oil and gas leasing category. Ten acres of off road vehicle restrictions, limited to existing roads and trails, would be added to place the entire RNA under this restriction. The area will also be withdrawn from mineral location under the 1872 Mining Law.

The environmental assessment and plan amendment are available at the Kanab Resource Area at 320 North 100 East, Kanab, Utah and at the Cedar City District Office at 1579 North Main Street, Cedar City, Utah. The environmental assessment and plan amendment was prepared and reviewed by an interdisciplinary team of minerals, vegetation, wildlife, recreation, soils, and watershed specialists.

DATE: The comment period will be open for 60 days following the publication of this notice. At the end of the comment period, a decision notice will be issued subject to a 30 day protest period.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ken Knowles, Assistant Area Manager at the Kanab Resource Area Office.

Dated: May 23, 1986.

Morgan S. Jensen,
District Manager.

[FR Doc. 86-12259 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-DO-M

California; Proposed Reinstatement of Terminated Oil and Gas Lease

Under the provisions of Pub. L. 97-451, a petition for reinstatement of oil and gas lease CA 10813 for lands in Kern County, California, was timely filed and was accompanied by all required rentals and royalties accruing from January 1, 1986, the date of termination.

No valid lease has been issued affecting the lands. The Lessee has agreed to new lease terms for rentals and royalties at rates of \$5.00 per acre and 16%, respectively. Payment of a \$500.00 administrative fee has been made.

Having met all the requirements for reinstatement of the lease as set out in section 31(d) and (e) of the Mineral Leasing Act of 1920 (30 U.S.C. 188), the Bureau of Land Management is proposing to reinstate the lease effective January 1, 1986, subject to the original terms and conditions of the lease and the increased rental and royalty rates cited above, and the reimbursement for cost of publication of this notice.

Dated: May 22, 1986.

Joan B. Russell,
Chief, Leasable Minerals Section, Branch of Lands & Minerals Operations.

[FR Doc. 86-12261 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-40-M

Eugene District Advisory Council; Meeting

Notice is hereby given in accordance with section 309 of the Federal Land

Policy and Management Act of 1976 that a meeting of the Eugene District Advisory Council will be held on July 1, 1986, at 9:00 a.m. Pacific Standard Time in Room 227 of the Federal Building at 211 E. 7th, Eugene, Oregon.

The agenda for the meeting will include (1) election of new chairperson; (2) herbicide analysis review; and (3) update on fisheries improvement project in the Lake Creek Basin. Other topics may be added prior to the meeting.

The meeting is open to the public. Interested persons may make oral statements to the council between 11:00 and 11:15 a.m., or file written statements for the Council's consideration. Anyone wanting to make a statement must notify the District Manager, Bureau of Land Management, 1255 Pearl Street, Eugene, Oregon 97401, by June 22. Depending on the number of persons wanting to make statements, a time limit may be established per person.

Summary minutes of the Council meeting will be maintained in the District Office and be available for public inspection and reproduction during regular business hours within 30 days following the meeting.

Dated: May 20, 1986.

Melvin D. Clausen,
District Manager.

[FR Doc. 86-12218 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-33-M

National Park Service

Red Hill Patrick Henry National Memorial

By Joint Resolution of the Ninety-Ninth Congress (S.J. Res. 187), approved by the President on May 12, 1986, the last home and burial place of Patrick Henry in Charlotte County, Commonwealth of Virginia, known as Red Hill, has been designated as a National Memorial to Patrick Henry, to be known as: the Red Hill Patrick Henry National Memorial. The Resolution includes a proviso that ownership of Red Hill remains non-Federal and that the costs of operations and maintenance for the estate shall be borne from non-Federal funds, services, or materials.

Jerry L. Rogers,

Associate Director, Cultural Resources.

[FR Doc. 86-12307 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-M

**INTERSTATE COMMERCE
COMMISSION**

[Amdt. No. 6]

**Pacific Inland Tariff Bureau-
Agreement; Section 5a Application No.
22¹**
AGENCY: Interstate Commerce
Commission.

ACTION: Notice of decision and request
for comment.

SUMMARY: Pacific Inland Tariff Bureau (PITB) has filed, pursuant to section 14(e) of the Motor Carrier Act of 1980 (MCA), an application for approval of its ratemaking agreement under 49 U.S.C. 10706(b). Since some modifications are required before the agreement receives final approval, and because new and complex questions are involved in determining whether the agreement is consistent with the MCA, the Commission solicits public comment on its interpretation and application of specific rate bureau provisions. Copies of PITB's proposed amended agreement are available for public inspection and copying at the Office of the Secretary, Interstate Commerce Commission, 12th St. and Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20423, and from PITB's representatives:

Bryce Rea, Jr., Patrick McEligot, Rea,
Cross & Auchincloss, 700 World
Center Building, 918-16th Street,
NW., Washington, DC 20006;

John R. Campbell, Executive Director,
Pacific Inland Tariff Bureau, 1732 NW
Quimby Street, Portland, OR 97209.

Copies of the complete Commission decision are available for inspection and copying at the Interstate Commerce Commission, or may be purchased from TS Infosystems, Inc., Room 2229, Interstate Commerce Commission Building, 12th St. and Constitution Ave., NW., Washington, DC 20423; or call toll free (800) 424-5403, or (202) 289-4357 in the Washington, DC metropolitan area.

DATES: Comments from interested persons are due on July 2, 1986. Replies are due on July 17, 1986.

ADDRESS: An original and 10 copies, if possible, of comments should be sent to: Section 5a Application No. 55, Office of the Secretary, Case Control Branch, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, DC 20423.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Paul Markoff (202) 275-7925;

or

Louis E. Gitomer (202) 275-7691.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Pacific Inland Tariff Bureau (PITB) has filed an application for approval of its proposed amended collective ratemaking agreement as required by section 14(e) of the Motor Carrier Act of 1980, Pub. L. 96-296 (1980) (MCA). Since filing its application, PITB has been obligated to observe the requirements of the MCA and the standards set forth in our decision implementing section 14, found in Ex Parte No. 297 (Sub-No. 5), *Motor Carrier Rate Bureaus—Implementation of Pub. L. 96-296*, 364 I.C.C. 464 (1980), and 634 I.C.C. 921 (1981).

We have provisionally approved PITB's agreement as consistent with 49 U.S.C. 10706(b) and Ex Parte No. 297 (Sub-No. 5), *supra*, subject to certain modifications including the following subject areas: Identification and description of member carriers; right of independent action; employee docketing; open meeting; proxy voting; final disposition of cases; single-line rates; general increases and decreases; and zone of rate freedom and released rates. We have also offered comments and imposed requirements concerning the agreement generally. PITB has been directed to file a revised agreement conforming to the imposed conditions within 120 days of service of the decision provisionally approving the agreement.

In light of the complexity of interpretation involved in determining whether the agreement is consistent with the MCA and Ex Parte No. 297 (Sub-No. 5), *supra*, we request applicant and other interested parties to comment on our interpretation of the controlling statutory administrative criteria generally, and their application to PITB's agreement in particular.

A copy of any comments filed with the Commission shall also be served on PITB, which shall have 15 days from the expiration of the comment period to reply. These comments will be considered in conjunction with our review of the modifications that PITB must submit to the Commission as a condition to final approval of its agreement.

This action will not significantly affect either the quality of the human environment or the conservation of energy resources.

This notice and accompanying decision are issued pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 10321 and 10706 and 5 U.S.C. 553.

Decided: May 23, 1986.

By the Commission, Chairman Gradison,
Vice Chairman Simmons, Commissioner
Sterrett, Andre, and Lamboley.

James H. Bayne,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 86-12248 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7035-01-M

[Docket No. AB-1 (Sub-172X)]

**Chicago and North Western
Transportation Co.; Abandonment
Exemption in La Crosse County, WI**
AGENCY: Interstate Commerce
Commission.

ACTION: Notice of exemption.

SUMMARY: The Commission exempts from prior approval under 49 U.S.C. 10903, *et seq.*, the abandonment by Chicago and North Western Transportation Company of 2.99 miles of track in La Crosse County, WI, subject to standard labor protection.

DATES: This exemption is effective July 2, 1986. Petitions to stay must be filed by June 17, 1986, and petitions for reconsideration must be filed by June 27, 1986.

ADDRESSES: Send pleadings referring to Docket No. AB-1 (Sub-No. 172X) to:

- (1) Office of the Secretary, Case Control Branch, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, DC 20423;
- (2) Petitioner's representative: Robert T. Opal, One North Western Center, Chicago, IL 60606.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Donald J. Shaw, Jr., (202) 275-7245.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
Additional information is contained in the Commission's decision. To purchase a copy of the full decision, write to T.S. InfoSystems, Inc., Room 2229, Interstate Commerce Commission Building, Washington, DC 20423, or call 289-4357 (DC Metropolitan area), or toll-free (800) 424-5403.

Decided: May 23, 1986.

By the Commission, Chairman Gradison,
Vice Chairman Simmons, Commissioners
Sterrett, Andre, and Lamboley.

James H. Bayne,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 86-12247 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7035-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
**Lodging of Consent Decree Pursuant
to the Clean Air Act; Lehigh Portland
Cement Co.**

In accordance with Departmental
policy, 28 CFR 50.7, notice is hereby

¹ Section 5 was recodified as section 10706.

given that on May 19, 1986, a proposed Consent Decree in *United States v. Lehigh Portland Cement Co.*, Civil Action No. 2C 84-3030, was lodged with the United States District Court for the Northern District of Iowa. The proposed Consent Decree concerns emissions of dust from nonpoint sources, and the opacity of emissions from a cement kiln, at the defendant's Mason City, Iowa cement plant. The proposed Consent Decree requires the defendant to take certain measures to ensure compliance with Iowa Admin. Code Rule 23(2)(c)(2), which prohibits emissions of dust from nonpoint sources unless reasonable precautions to prevent such emissions are taken, and 40 CFR 60.62(a)(2), which prohibits emissions of greater than 20% opacity from cement kilns.

The Department of Justice will receive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication comments relating to the proposed Consent Decree. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General of the Land and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20530, and should refer to *United States v. Lehigh Portland Cement Co.*, D.J. Ref. 90-5-2-1-664.

The proposed Consent Decree may be examined at the office of the United States Attorney, Northern District of Iowa, 101 First Street SE., Federal Building and Old Post Office, Cedar Rapids, Iowa 52401 and at the Region VII Office of the Environmental Protection Agency, 726 Minnesota Avenue, Kansas City, Kansas 66101. Copies of the Consent Decree may be examined at the Environmental Enforcement Section, Land and Natural Resources Division of the Department of Justice, Room 1517, Ninth Street and Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20530. A copy of the proposed Consent Decree may be obtained in person or by mail from the Environmental Enforcement Section, Land and Natural Resources Division of the Department of Justice. In requesting a copy, please enclose a check in the amount of \$1.80 (10 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the Treasurer of the United States.

F. Henry Habicht II,
Assistant Attorney General, Land and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 86-12262 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-01-M

Bureau of Justice Statistics

Cooperative Agreement; National Conference on Punishment for Criminal Offenses

ACTION: Extension of deadline for receipt of proposals.

SUMMARY: In the Federal Register Vol. 51, No. 63 dated Wednesday, April 2, 1986 on pages 11363 to 11364 the Bureau of Justice Statistics (BJS) solicited proposals from non-profit organizations to enter into a cooperative agreement with BJS for the purpose of organizing and running a national conference on punishment for criminal offenses in the United States. The conference would provide a forum for policymakers, practitioners, and scholars to present original papers on punishment in America using BJS data as a starting point.

A number of educational institutions who are interested in applying have indicated that they would like more time to prepare their proposals.

DATES: Due date for receipt of these proposals has been extended to 5:00 pm EDT on June 30, 1986.

ADDRESSES: Seven (7) copies of a full proposal should be sent to: Director, Bureau of Justice Statistics, U.S. Department of Justice, 633 Indiana Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20531.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To obtain further information contact Joseph Bessette, Deputy Director for Data Analysis, at the address given above (telephone 202-724-7765).

Dated: May 23, 1986.

Joseph M. Bessette,
Deputy Director for Data Analysis, Bureau of Justice Statistics.

[FR Doc. 86-12361 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-18-M

Office of Justice Programs

President's Child Safety Partnership; Hearings

AGENCY: Office for victims of crime, Justice.

ACTION: Notice of Hearings.

SUMMARY: The Office for Victims of Crime announces the fourth in a series of public hearings to be held by the President's Child Safety Partnership.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The President's Child Safety Partnership (hereafter referred to as the Partnership) will hold a series of six public hearings on the issue of child safety. The Partnership, which was announced by the President on April 29, 1985, and which held its initial meeting on January

16, 1986, consists of 26 members from the public, private (both corporate and nonprofit), state and local, and Federal sectors, and includes a wide range of expertise in fields related to child safety. Its first, second and third public hearings were held on April 15-16, in New York City; May 1, in Chicago, Illinois; and May 20, in Austin, Texas, respectively. The Partnership functions solely as an advisory committee in full compliance with the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act.

The Partnership members recognize the magnitude and complexity of the child safety problem, and realize that the only way to effectively address it is through the help and support of a wide group of organizations, agencies, and individuals, with the focus being on the private sector. Consequently, the Partnership will seek the input of these groups on a broad range of issues. The input received through both written and oral testimony will be used by the Partnership to make recommendations to the President on ways in which we can both prevent the victimization of our country's children and more fully involve the private sector in responding to the problem.

The scope of the Partnership inquiry and the recommendations the Partnership will make will cover a broad range of offenses against children, specifically: Child physical abuse and neglect; child sexual abuse and molestation; theft, assault, robbery, and murder of children; parental and stranger abduction of children; exploitation of children (prostitution, pornography), runaway children (recognizing the extreme vulnerability of runaways to victimization); and drug abuse.

The hearing will seek to examine child safety initiatives involving or supported by the private sector and to identify specific issues of child safety requiring priority attention. The hearing will also examine model program approaches to prevent and respond to child victimization as well as legislative and Federal coordination issues.

Oral and written testimony will be solicited from the public. The testimony will be used as a basis for making recommendations to the President.

Location/Dates

The fourth public hearing of the Partnership will be held:

Date: Tuesday, June 17, 1986

Place: Denver Children's Museum, 2121 Crescent Drive, Denver, Colorado 80211

Time: 9:00 a.m.-6:00 p.m.

Seats available to the public: 100

The hearing will be held from 9:00 a.m. to approximately 6:00 p.m.

The fifth Partnership hearing will be held in Seattle, Washington (July 14, 1986). The specific location for this hearing will be announced in a subsequent Federal Register Notice. The sixth hearing will be held in Florida on a date yet to be determined.

Procedure

The Partnership invites all interested parties to submit written testimony or program information regarding any of the aforementioned aspects of child safety. Persons interested in providing written testimony should submit it to: Lois Haight Herrington, Assistant Attorney General, Office of Justice Programs, 633 Indiana Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20531. If possible, all written testimony should be typed and submitted in duplicate. All written testimony is due not later than September 30, 1986, but should be submitted as soon as possible for maximum consideration.

Persons interested in providing oral testimony at the hearing in Denver should notify Assistant Attorney General Herrington in writing (same address as above), as soon as possible, and in no event later than June 10, 1986. The Partnership will make the final determinations as to what persons/organizations will be invited to provide oral testimony.

Conduct of Hearings

The hearings, which will be open to the public, will begin at 9:00 a.m. The Chairman of the Partnership, or his designee, will preside at the hearings. Other members of the Partnership will join the Chairman. These will not be judicial or evidentiary-type hearings and there will not be any cross-examination. However, clarifying questions and discussion by Partnership members may follow each presentation. There will be time set aside at the conclusion of the hearing for brief comments by members of the public.

Any further procedural rules needed for the proper conduct of the hearings will be announced by the presiding official.

A transcript of the hearing will be made. The entire record of the hearings, including transcript, will be retained by the Partnership, and will be available to the public. Any person may purchase a copy of the transcript from the transcribing organization.

For further general information on the Partnership hearings contact: Mr. William Modzeleski, President's Child Safety Partnership, 633 Indiana Avenue,

NW., Washington, DC 20531. Phone: (202) 272-6500.

Dated: May 28, 1986.
Louis Haight Herrington,
Assistant Attorney General, Office of Justice
Programs.

[FR Doc. 86-12388 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-18-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. 50-329-OM and 50-330-OM; (ASLBP No. 80-439-02 SP)]

Consumers Power Co.; Midland Plant, Units 1 and 2; Reconstitution of Board

Pursuant to the authority contained in 10 CFR 2.721 and 2.721(b), the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board for Consumer Power Company (Midland Plant, Units 1 and 2), Docket Nos. 50-329-OM and 50-330-OM, is hereby reconstituted by appointing Administrative Judge Gustave A. Linenberger, Jr., in place of Administrative Judge Frederick P. Cowan, who is not available to serve.

As reconstituted, the Board is comprised of the following Administrative Judges:
Charles Bechhoefer, Chairman
Jerry Harbour
Gustave A. Linenberger, Jr.

All correspondence, documents and other material shall be filed with the Board in accordance with 10 CFR 2.701 (1980). The address of the new Board member is: Administrative Judge Gustave A. Linenberger, Jr., Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555.

Issued at Bethesda, Maryland, this 27th day of May, 1986.

Robert M. Lazo,
Acting Chief Administrative Judge, Atomic
Safety and Licensing Board Panel.

[FR Doc. 86-12292 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

[Docket Nos. 50-329-OL and 50-330-OL; (ASLBP No. 78-389-03 OL)]

Consumers Power Co.; Midland Plant, Units 1 and 2;

Pursuant to the authority contained in 10 CFR 2.721 and 2.721(b), the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board for Consumers Power Company (Midland Plant, Units 1 and 2), Docket Nos. 50-329-OL and 50-330-OL, is hereby reconstituted by appointing Administrative Judge Gustave A. Linenberger, Jr. in place of

Administrative Judge Frederick P. Cowan, who is not available to serve.

As reconstituted, the Board is comprised of the following Administrative Judges:

Charles Bechhoefer, Chairman
Jerry Harbour
Gustave A. Linenberger, Jr.

All correspondence, documents and other material shall be filed with the Board in accordance with 10 CFR 2.701 (1980). The address of the new Board member is: Administrative Judge Gustave A. Linenberger, Jr., Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555.

Issued at Bethesda, Maryland, this 27th day of May, 1986.

Robert M. Lazo,
Acting Chief Administrative Judge, Atomic
Safety and Licensing Board Panel.

[FR Doc. 86-12293 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards, Subcommittee on Reactor Operations; Meeting Revised

The Federal Register published on May 16, 1986 (51 FR 18053) contained notice of a meeting of the ACRS Subcommittee to be held on Tuesday, June 3, 1986, 8:30 A.M.—12:00 Noon, Room 1046, 1717 H Street NW., Washington, DC. To the extent practical, the meeting will be open to public attendance. However, a portion of the meeting may be closed to discuss elements of the Palo Verde Security Plan. All other items regarding this meeting remain the same as previously announced.

Further information regarding topics to be discussed, whether the meeting has been cancelled or rescheduled, the Chairman's ruling on requests for the opportunity to present oral statements and the time allotted therefore can be obtained by a prepaid telephone call to the cognizant ACRS staff member, Mr. Herman Alderman (telephone: 202/634-1413) between 8:15 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. Persons planning to attend this meeting are urged to contact the above named individual one or two days before the scheduled meeting to be advised of any changes in schedule, etc., which may have occurred.

Dated: May 28, 1986.
Morton W. Libarkin,
Assistant Executive Director for Project
Review.

[FR Doc. 86-12294 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

[Docket No. 50-352]

Philadelphia Electric Co., Limerick Generating Station, Unit 1, Receipt of Petition for Director's Decision

Notice is hereby given that the Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation is considering as a request for action under 10 CFR 2.206 a letter dated March 5, 1986, from Robert L. Anthony. Mr. Anthony contends, on the basis of the licensee's applications to the Delaware River Basin Commission (DRBC) concerning the withdrawal of cooling water from the Schuylkill River, that the plant has been operated in violation of the plant's Environmental Protection Plan. Mr. Anthony further contends that on this basis the operating license for Unit 1 should be suspended. A decision will be made on Mr. Anthony's request within a reasonable time. A copy of the letter is available for public inspection in the Commission's Public Document Room at 1717 H Street NW., Washington, DC 20555, and in the local public document room at the Pottstown Public Library, 500 High Street, Pottstown, Pennsylvania 19464.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland this 27th day of May.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Darrell G. Eisenhut,

Acting Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 86-12295 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT**Proposed Extension of a Form Submitted to OMB for Clearance**

AGENCY: Office of Personnel Management.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980, this notice announces the proposed extension of a form which collects information from the public. Optional Form 300, Qualifications Analysis and Appraisal of Candidates for Supervisory Positions, is completed by employers and/or co-workers of applicants for supervisory positions throughout the Federal Government. The qualification standard for supervisory positions in General Schedule occupations (GS-15 and below) contained in OPM Handbook X-118, Qualification Standards for Positions Under the

General Schedule, recommends the use of this form to facilitate the collection of information used in evaluating candidates. For copies of the proposal, call James M. Farron, Agency Clearance Officer, on (202) 632-7714.

DATES: Comments on this extension should be received within 10 working days from date of publication.

ADDRESSES: Send or deliver comments to—

James M. Farron, Agency Clearance Officer, Office of Personnel Management, 1900 E Street NW., Room 6410, Washington, DC 20415, and

Katie Lewin, Information Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, Room 3235, Washington, DC 20503.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Helene Rosenheim, (202) 632-9790.

U.S. Office of Personnel Management.

Constance Horner,

Director.

[FR Doc. 86-12312 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5325-01-M

Notice of Proposed Information Collection for OMB Review

AGENCY: Office of Personnel Management.

ACTION: Notice of proposed information collection, CG Form 25-7, "Survivor Annuity Certification."

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 (Title 44, U.S.C., Chapter 35), we are providing notice of a proposed extension of an information collection from the public. CG Form 25-7 will be used by widows, widowers, and former spouses who have not yet reached age 55 and are receiving a survivor annuity. If an employee or retiree dies, a survivor annuity is payable provided the survivor is otherwise eligible and does not remarry before reaching age 55. This form will be used to verify whether the survivors have remarried and thereby lost their eligibility to receive a survivor benefit (section 8341, Title 5, U.S. Code). For copies of this proposal call James M. Farron, Agency Clearance Officer, on (202) 632-7714.

ADDRESSES: Send or deliver comments within 10 working days from the date of publication to—

James M. Farron, Agency Clearance Officer, U.S. Office of Personnel

Management, 1900 E Street NW., Room 6410, Washington, DC 20415, and

Katie Lewin, Information Desk Officer, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Room 3235, New Executive Office Building, NW., Washington, DC 20503

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James L. Bryson, (202) 632-5472.

U.S. Office of Personnel Management.

Constance Horner,

Director.

[FR Doc. 86-12313 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5325-01-M

PACIFIC NORTHWEST ELECTRIC POWER AND CONSERVATION PLANNING COUNCIL**Hydropower Assessment Steering Committee; Meeting**

AGENCY: The Pacific Northwest Electric Power and Conservation Planning Council (Northwest Power Planning Council).

ACTION: Notice of meeting.

Status: Open.

SUMMARY: The Northwest Power Planning Council hereby announces a forthcoming meeting of its Hydropower Assessment Steering Committee to be held pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C. Appendix I, 1-4. Activities will include:

- Hydro assessment: rivers study, anadromous fish;
- Protected areas designation consultation;
- FERC update;
- Protected areas designation consultation;
- Other; and
- Public comment.

DATE: June 5, 1986, 10:00 a.m.

ADDRESS: The meeting will be held in the State Room, Governor House, 621 S. Capitol Way, Olympia, Washington.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Peter Paquet, 503-222-5161.

Edward Sheets,

Executive Director.

[FR Doc. 86-12220 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 0000-00-M

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE

COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-23268; File No. SR-OCC-86-9]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Options Clearing Corp.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change

On May 1, 1986, the Options Clearing Corporation ("OCC") filed with the Commission a proposed rule change under section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act"). OCC's proposed rule change would add to OCC Rule 604 Interpretation and Policy .08 (the "Interpretation"). That Interpretation would provide that a letter of credit could be issued by a foreign branch of a U.S. bank or trust company (the "Institution") provided that: (1) The Institution conforms with OCC Rule 604 and the Interpretations and Policies thereunder (i.e., OCC has approved the Institution as a letter of credit issuer),¹ and (2) the letter of credit is payable at a United States office of such institution. The proposal clarifies that OCC Rule 604 and its Interpretations and Policies permit foreign branches of U.S. Institutions to issue letters of credit for OCC margin purposes. Previously, only certain U.S. Institutions or foreign banks and trust companies with a U.S. branch or agency could be OCC-approved letters of credit issuers.

OCC believes that the proposal is needed to accommodate increased business activity anticipated from OCC's planned London-Philadelphia Stock Exchange foreign currency options link² and the prospect of greater direct OCC membership of foreign broker-dealers.³

OCC believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 17A of the Act in that it will promote the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of transactions by enabling

clearing members to finance their positions more efficiently.

This rule change has become effective, pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act and Rule 19b-4. The Commission may summarily abrogate the rule change at any time within 60 days of its filing if it appears to the Commission that abrogation is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

To submit written comments on the proposal, please file six copies of your comments with the Secretary of the Commission, Securities and Exchange Commission, 450 Fifth Street NW., Washington, DC 20549. Copies of the submission, with accompanying exhibits, and all written comments, except for material that may be withheld from the public under 5 U.S.C. 552 are available for inspection and copying at the principal office of OCC. All submissions should refer to File No. SR-OCC-86-9 and should be submitted by June 23, 1986.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

Dated: May 23, 1986.
Shirley E. Hollis,
Assistant Secretary.
[FR Doc. 86-12290 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

[Public Notice 967]

Agency Forms Submitted for OMB Review

AGENCY: Department of State.

ACTION: In accordance with the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980, the Department has submitted a proposed collection of information to the Office of Management and Budget for review.

SUMMARY: The following summarizes the information collection proposal submitted to OMB:

Title of information collection—
Application for Department of State Building Pass.
Type of request—New.
Frequency—On occasion.
Respondents—Press corps, maintenance personnel, visitors.
Estimated number of responses—8,000.
Estimated number of hours needed to respond—667.

Section 3504(h) of Pub. L. 96-511 does not apply.

Additional Information or Comments

Copies of the proposed forms and supporting documents may be obtained from Gail J. Cook (202) 647-3538. Comments and questions should be directed to (OMB) Francine Picoult (202) 395-7231.

Dated: May 20, 1986.
Donald J. Bouchard,
Assistant Secretary for Administration.
[FR Doc. 86-12221 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4710-03-M

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Coast Guard

[CGD 86-039]

Lower Mississippi River Waterway Safety Advisory Committee; Meeting

Pursuant to section 10(a) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-403; 5 U.S.C. App I) notice is hereby given of the ninth meeting of the Lower Mississippi River Waterway Safety Advisory Committee. The meeting will be held on Tuesday, June 24, 1986, in the Ambassador Room of the Plimsoil Club, on the 30th floor of the World Trade Center, 2 Canal Street, New Orleans, LA, at 9:00 a.m. The agenda for the meeting consists of the following items:

1. Call to Order.
2. Introduction of Rear Admiral Peter J. Rots, Commander, Eighth Coast Guard District, Committee Sponsor.
3. Minutes of the 11 March 1986 Meeting.
4. Report of the ad hoc communications improvement study group.
5. Discussion of the report of the communications improvement study group.
6. Discussion of bridge lighting rule changes.
7. Call for items of interest for discussion at next meeting.
8. Presentation of information concerning the "tracking box" technology.
9. Adjournment.

The purpose of this Advisory Committee is to provide consultation and advice to the Commander, Eighth Coast Guard District on all areas of maritime safety affecting this waterway.

Attendance is open to the public. Members of the public may present written or oral statements at the meeting.

Additional information may be obtained from Commander D. F. Withee, USCG, Executive Secretary, Lower

¹ OCC Rule 604(c) governs clearing member use of letters of credit for OCC margin purposes and its Interpretations and Policies spell out OCC's standards for letter of credit issuers. Among other things, those provisions require an "approved" U.S. institution to be a bank or trust company that: (a) is organized under the laws of the United States or a state thereof and is regulated or examined by federal or state authorities having regulatory authority over banks and trust companies, and (b) has shareholders' equity of \$100,000,000 or more. See OCC Rule 604, Policy and Interpretation .01(a).

² See File No. SR-OCC-85-13, published for comment in Securities Exchange Act Release No. 22847 (January 30, 1986), 51 FR 4551 (February 5, 1986).

³ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 22123 (June 6, 1985), 50 FR 24853 (June 13, 1985), approving File No. SR-OCC-85-02, which enables foreign broker-dealers to become direct OCC Clearing Members.

Mississippi River Waterway Safety Advisory Committee, c/o Commander, Eighth Coast Guard District (mps), Room 1341, Hale Boggs Federal Building, 500 Camp Street, New Orleans, LA 70130-3396, telephone number (504) 589-6901.

Dated: May 19, 1986.

Peter J. Rots,

Rear Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Commander, Eighth Coast Guard District.

[FR Doc. 86-12269 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-14-M

[CGD3 86-08]

New York Harbor Traffic Management Advisory Committee; Meeting

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of Meeting.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463; 5 U.S.C. App. I), notice is hereby given of a meeting of the New York Harbor Traffic Management Advisory Committee to be held on July 16, 1986, in the Conference Room, second floor, U.S. Coast Guard Marine Inspection Office, Battery Park, New York, New York, beginning at 10:00 a.m.

The agenda for this meeting of the New York Harbor Traffic Management Advisory Committee is as follows:

1. Introduction of Committee Sponsor, Committee members, and Coast Guard officers.
2. Election by popular vote of Committee Vice Chairperson.
3. Presentation by Captain Heym on operation and scope of Vessel Traffic Service.
4. Presentation by Captain Henn on role of Vessel Traffic Service regarding port security and harbor defense in other than peace-time.
5. Other topics which might arise and the committee agrees should be addressed at the time.
6. Discussion of agenda items for next meeting.
7. Selection of date for next meeting.

The New York Harbor Traffic Management Advisory Committee has been established by Commander, Third Coast Guard District to provide information, consultation, and advice with regard to port development, maritime trade, port traffic, and other maritime interests in the harbor. Members of the Committee serve voluntarily without compensation from the Federal Government.

Attendance is open to the interested public. With advance notice to the Chairperson, members of the public may make oral statements at the meeting. Persons wishing to present oral

statements should so notify the Executive Director no later than the day before the meeting. Any member of the public may present a written statement to the Committee at any time.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Captain R.J. Heym, USCG, Executive Secretary, N.Y. Harbor Traffic Management Advisory Committee, New York Vessel Traffic Service, Governors Island, New York, NY 10004; or by calling (212) 668-7954.

Dated: May 20, 1986.

P.A. Yost,

Vice Admiral, United States Coast Guard, Commander, Third Coast Guard District.

[FR Doc. 86-12272 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-14-M

Federal Aviation Administration

Advisory Circular 20-88A, Guidelines on the Marking of Aircraft Powerplant Instruments (Displays)

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of issuance of advisory circular.

SUMMARY: This Notice announces the issuance of Advisory Circular (AC) 20-88A, Guidelines on the Marking of Aircraft Powerplant Instruments (Displays). Advisory Circular 20-88A provides revised guidelines on the marking of aircraft powerplant instruments and electronic displays (cathode ray tubes, etc.). These guidelines offer acceptable, but not exclusive, methods of compliance with the powerplant instrument color marking requirements.

DATE: Advisory Circular 20-88A was issued by the Office of Airworthiness in Washington, DC, on September 30, 1985.

How to Obtain Copies

A copy of AC 20-88A may be ordered from the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402, or from any of the Government Printing Office bookstores located in major cities through the United States. Identify the publication as AC 20-88A, Guidelines on the Marking of Aircraft Powerplant Instruments (Displays), Stock Number 050-007-00683-7. The cost of AC 20-88A is \$1.50. Send check or money order with your request, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents. Orders for mailing to foreign countries should include an additional 25 percent of the total price to cover handling. No c.o.d. orders are accepted.

Issued in Seattle, Washington, on May 21, 1986.

Leroy A. Keith,

Manager, Aircraft Certification Division, Northwest Mountain Region.

[FR Doc. 86-12211 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

Receipt of Noise Compatibility Program and Request for Review, St. Louis Regional Airport

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) announces that it is reviewing a proposed noise compatibility program that was submitted for St. Louis Regional Airport under the provisions of Title I of the Aviation Safety and Noise Abatement Act of 1979 (Pub. L. 96-193) (hereinafter referred to as "the Act") and 14 CFR Part 150 by the St. Louis Regional Airport Authority. This program was submitted subsequent to a determination by FAA that associated noise exposure maps submitted under 14 CFR Part 150 for St. Louis Regional Airport were in compliance with applicable requirements effective October 7, 1985. The proposed noise compatibility program will be approved or disapproved on or before November 13, 1986.

EFFECTIVE DATE: The effective date of the start of FAA's review of the noise compatibility program is May 16, 1986. The public comment period ends July 1, 1986.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Henry A. Lamberts, AGL-610, Federal Aviation Administration, 2300 East Devon Avenue, Des Plaines, IL 60018.

Comments on the proposed noise compatibility program should also be submitted to the above office.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This notice announces that the FAA is reviewing a proposed noise compatibility program for St. Louis Regional Airport which will be approved or disapproved on or before November 13, 1986. This notice also announces the availability of this program for public review and comment.

An airport operator who has submitted noise exposure maps that are found by FAA to be in compliance with the requirements of Federal Aviation Regulations, Part 150, promulgated pursuant to Title I of the Act, may submit a noise compatibility program for FAA approval which sets forth the measures the operator has taken or

proposes for the reduction of existing noncompatible uses and for the prevention of the introduction of additional noncompatible uses.

The FAA has formally received the noise compatibility program for St. Louis Regional Airport, effective on May 16, 1986. It was requested that the FAA review this material, and that the noise mitigation measures, to be implemented jointly by the airport and surrounding communities, be approved as a noise compatibility program under Section 104(b) of the Act.

Preliminary review of the submitted material indicates that it conforms to the requirements for the submittal of noise compatibility programs, but that further review will be necessary prior to approval or disapproval of the program. The formal review period, limited by law to a maximum of 180 days, will be completed on or before November 13, 1986.

The FAA's detailed evaluation will be conducted under the provisions of 14 CFR Part 150, subparagraph 150.33. The primary considerations in the evaluation process are whether the proposed measures may reduce the level of aviation safety, create an undue burden on interstate or foreign commerce, or be reasonably consistent with obtaining the goal of reducing existing noncompatible land uses and preventing the introduction of additional noncompatible land uses.

Interested persons are invited to comment on the proposed program with specific reference to these factors. All comments, other than those properly addressed to local land use authorities, will be considered by the FAA to the extent practicable. Copies of the noise exposure maps, the FAA's evaluation of the maps, and the proposed noise compatibility program are available for examination at the following locations:

Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Room 617, Washington, DC 20591

Federal Aviation Administration, Great Lakes Regional Office, AGL-600, 2300 East Devon Avenue, Des Plaines, Illinois 60018

Federal Aviation Administration, Chicago Airports District Office, 2300 East Devon Avenue, Des Plaines, Illinois 60018

St. Louis Regional Airport, 8 Terminal Drive, Suite 1, East Alton, Illinois 62024

Bethalto Village Library, 321 S. Prairie, Bethalto, Illinois 62010

East Alton Public Library, Third and Washington, East Alton, Illinois 62024

Hayner Public Library, 326 Belle Street, Alton, Illinois 62002

Wood River Public Library, 326 E. Ferguson, Wood River, Illinois 62095

Questions may be directed to the individual named above under the heading, **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

Issued in Des Plaines, Illinois, May 16, 1986.

Monte R. Belger,

Manager, Airports Division, Great Lakes Region.

[FR Doc. 86-12212 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

Federal Highway Administration

Commercial Motor Vehicle Safety Regulatory Review Panel; Public Meeting

AGENCY: Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), DOT.

ACTION: Notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: The FHWA announces that the Commercial Motor Vehicle Safety Regulatory Review Panel will hold a meeting on July 1 and 2, 1986, beginning at 8:30 a.m., in Washington, DC, at the Department of Transportation's Headquarters Building, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC, Room 2230. The meeting is open to the public.

The agenda includes the following topics: Status briefing on contractor efforts to organize and abstract State motor carrier safety laws and Regulations, discussion of schedule of future activities and milestones for the panel's accomplishments, briefing on the status of repromulgation of the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Regulations, and briefing on the status of the future plans for the Motor Carrier Safety Assistance Program.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. David R. Lukens, Executive Director, Commercial Motor Vehicle Safety Regulatory Review Panel, Federal Highway Administration, HOA-1, Room 4218, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, DC 20590 (202) 426-0390. Office hours are from 7:45 a.m. to 4:15 p.m. ET, Monday through Friday.

Issued on: May 23, 1986.

R. A. Barnhart,

Federal Highway Administrator, Federal Highway Administration.

[FR Doc. 86-12263 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-22-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Public Information Collection Requirements Submitted to OMB for Review

Date: May 28, 1986.

The Department of Treasury has submitted the following public information collection requirements to OMB for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980, Pub. L. 96-511. Copies of these submissions may be obtained by calling the Treasury Bureau Clearance Officer listed. Comments regarding these information collections should be addressed to the OMB reviewer listed and to the Treasury Department Clearance Officer, Room 7221, 1201 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20220.

Internal Revenue Service

OMB Number: New.

Form Number: PUB. 1075.

Type of Review: New.

Title: Safeguard Procedures and Safeguard Activity Reports.

OMB Number: 1545-0314.

Form Number: IRS Forms 6466 and 6467.

Type of Review: Revision.

Title: Transmittal of Magnetic Tape of Forms W-4, Employee's Withholding Allowance Certificate (Form 6466); and Multiple Employer Transmittal for Magnetic Tape Reporting of Form W-4 (Form 6467).

Clearance Officer: Garrick Shear (202) 566-6150, Internal Revenue Service, Room 5571, 1111 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20224.

OMB Reviewer: Robert Neal (202) 395-6880, Office of Management and Budget, Room 3208, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms

OMB Number: 1512-0475.

Form Number: ATF F 4473, Parts I and II, ATF REC 5300/1 and 7570/2.

Type of Review: Extension.

Title: Record Retention Period and Certain Firearms Records.

Clearance Officer: Robert G. Masarsky (202) 566-7077, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms, Room 7202, Federal Building, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20226.

OMB Reviewer: Milo Sunderhauf (202) 395-6880, Office of Management and Budget, Room 3208, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

Stephen Bashein,

Departmental Reports Management Office.

[FR Doc. 86-12286 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810-25-M

Office of the Secretary and Internal Revenue Service

Privacy Act of 1974; System of Records

AGENCY: Department of the Treasury.

ACTION: Advance notice of proposed new routine uses to be added to two existing systems of records, and a correction to the category of individuals covered in one of the systems.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Treasury, proposes to add several routine uses to the Privacy Act system of records, Treasury/OS .002—Treasury Payroll Information System, last published at 49 FR 46233 (November 23, 1984). This notice also corrects item (1) of the Categories of Individuals covered by the system to include all Treasury employees as previously shown in "Treasury Payroll/Personnel Information System, Treasury/OS .002" at 46 FR 16464 (March 12, 1981).

General Personnel and Payroll Records System, last published at 50 FR 49493 (December 2, 1985).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Part I: Proposed Routine Uses—General.

The Treasury Payroll Information system notice (Treasury/OS .002) is being revised to add several compatible disclosures to the routine uses. These include disclosures to:

(1) The Office of Personnel Management, Merit Systems Protection Board, Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, and General Accounting Office for the purpose of properly administering Federal Personnel systems or other agencies' systems in accordance with applicable laws, Executive Orders, and applicable regulations.

(2) A court, magistrate, or administrative tribunal in the course of presenting evidence, including disclosures to opposing Counsel or witnesses in the course of civil discovery, litigation, or settlement negotiations, in response to a subpoena, or in connection with criminal law proceedings.

(3) Another agency, such as the Department of Labor or Social Security Administration, for Wage and Separation information as required by law for payroll purposes.

(4) A Federal, State, or local agency so that the agency may adjudicate an individual's eligibility for a benefit, such as a state unemployment compensation board, housing administration agency and Social Security Administration.

(5) Appropriate Federal, State, local, or foreign agencies responsible for

investigating or prosecuting the violations of, or for implementing a statute, rule, regulation, order or license, where the disclosing agency becomes aware of an indication of a violation or potential violation of civil or criminal law or regulation.

Disclosures under these proposed routine uses are compatible with the Department's personnel management responsibility of employee integrity.

Part II: Routine Use to Permit Computer Matching

The Department of the Treasury in connection with direction set by the President's Council on Integrity and Efficiency (PCIE) Long Range Computer Matching Group, has determined that it is prudent to identify current or former Treasury Department employees who have improperly received compensation under Federal agency, non-Federal entity, or Treasury benefit programs, and to prevent illegal payment of these benefits. The Department of the Treasury, therefore, proposes to undertake or to participate in efforts to eliminate this problem by disclosing certain Treasury employee information or information of other users of TPIS in connection with computer matching operations conducted by the Department, or the requesting Federal agencies or non-Federal entities, as determined through written agreements. The matches will be conducted in accordance with the Office of Management and Budget's Revised Supplemental Guidelines for Conducting Matching Programs (47 FR 21656, May 19, 1982). The Department of the Treasury or a constituent unit or other user of TPIS will obtain a signed agreement from the Federal agency or non-Federal entity specifying that the information disclosed by the Department will be used for purposes of the computer match and for no other purposes, specifying that the information will be safeguarded against unauthorized disclosure.

The Department of the Treasury is proposing a new routine use in connection with plans to participate with Federal agencies and non-Federal entities in efforts to enhance the integrity of benefit programs whether sponsored by those agencies and non-Federal entities or by the Department of the Treasury or a constituent unit of the Department. The Department plans to provide to Federal agencies and non-Federal entities certain Treasury employee information required in connection with efforts to prevent illegal payments under the benefit programs. Disclosures may be made either upon the request of the Federal agency or

non-Federal entity, or by the Department of the Treasury on its own initiative under a cooperative agreement. This routine use, once in effect, will permit the discretionary disclosure of data from the Department of the Treasury's Payroll Information system files and Internal Revenue Service's General Personnel and Payroll Records system when disclosure is necessary for the Department of the Treasury or any constituent unit of the Department, the Federal agency or non-Federal entity to take appropriate action to improve the integrity of the benefit programs.

These benefit programs include, but are not limited to, the Food Stamp Program, Aid to Families with Dependent Children, Medicaid, Supplemental Security Income, unemployment compensation, state supplementary income assistance, workers compensation, sick leave, education and home loan programs, etc.

Department of the Treasury payroll files (Treasury Payroll Information System Treasury/OS .002 and General Personnel & Payroll Records Treasury/IRS 36.003) contain general payroll information including name, social security number, salary, benefit deductions, leave data, addresses, records of attendance and other relevant payroll information. Under a computer matching arrangement, the Department of the Treasury will disclose only information on "matched" employees which is necessary to make a thorough analysis for determining the recipient's status as to eligibility for compensation under the benefit program in question. The Department of the Treasury retains the authority under the proposed routine use to withhold specific data elements if it is believed that the particular elements are not germane to the purpose of the analysis. This analysis, to be conducted by the involved Federal agency or non-Federal entity, or by the Department of the Treasury or other user of TPIS, is an essential element of the project. The mere existence of an individual's match between a benefit program file and the Treasury Department Payroll System file will not of itself, or without the individual's prior opportunity to respond, be the cause of any benefit reduction or legal collection action.

Disclosure under the proposed routine use is compatible with the Department of Treasury's personnel management responsibility for oversight of its employees' conduct, particularly with regard to the requirement that these individuals comport themselves in a

proper manner and not obtain financial benefits in a fraudulent manner.

The Department of the Treasury shall observe the following limitations with respect to disclosing the categories of records previously described:

(1) All Treasury employees and other users of TPIS shall be governed by OMB's "Guidelines for Conducting Computerized Matching Programs".

(2) Information will not be used for purposes other than those specifically agreed upon.

(3) Information which has been derived wholly or in part by relying on information of the type described above shall not be used by any person without the Department of the Treasury's specific permission.

(4) The file will not be used to extract information concerning "non-matching" individuals for any purpose.

A match either between the Department of the Treasury's Payroll Information System File or the Internal Revenue Service General Personnel and Payroll Records and the Federal agency or non-Federal entity benefit program file is not an indication that any illegality has occurred; the match will alert the participating parties, however, that further study is warranted to see if there is any impropriety.

The TPIS system is being published in its entirety. The IRS General Personnel & Payroll records system reflects only the data elements changed. The last publication of the entire system was December 2, 1985 (50 FR 49493).

DATE: The proposed new routine uses will become effective, without further notice, 30 days from the date of this publication unless comments dictate otherwise.

ADDRESS: Comments may be sent to: Chief, Disclosure Branch, Room 5423, Department of the Treasury, 1500 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20220.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Phyllis De Piazza, 1500 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Room 5423, Washington, D.C. 20220, (202) 566-2789.

Dated: May 13, 1986.

John F.W. Rogers,
Assistant Secretary of the Treasury
(Management).

Treasury/OS .002

SYSTEM NAME:

Treasury Payroll Information System.

SYSTEM LOCATION:

ICC Building, 1201 Constitution Avenue NW., Room 7329, Washington, DC 20220 and a contractor data processing facility, Johnstown

Computing Resources Inc., located at 90 Lulay Street, Johnstown, PA 15094

CATEGORIES OF INDIVIDUALS COVERED BY THE SYSTEM:

(1) Current and former personnel data on all employees of the Treasury, payroll data on all Treasury employees except IRS; (2) Executive Offices of the President; (3) Federal Emergency Management Agency; (4) Federal Trade Commission; (5) National Gallery of Art; (6) National Labor Relations Board; (7) Farm Credit Administration; (8) Commodities Futures Trading Commission.

CATEGORIES OF RECORDS IN THE SYSTEM:

There are two basic components to the system, a personnel component (PERMITS Application) and a payroll component (Treasury Payroll Information System), which provide current, year-to-date and historical data on the individuals covered by the system. The separate files in the system consist of payroll records, personnel records and time and attendance records. Information contained in these records, include such data as:

(1) Employee identification and status data such as name, social security number, date of birth, sex, race and national origin designator, awards received, suggestions, work schedule, type of appointment, education, training courses attended, veterans preference, and military service.

(2) Employee date data such as service computation date for leave, date probationary period began, date of performance rating, and date of within-grade increases.

(3) Position and pay data such as position identification number, pay plan, grade, step, salary and pay basis, occupational series, position skill codes, organization location and accounting classification codes.

(4) Payroll data such as earnings, e.g., overtime and night differential; deductions, e.g., Federal, State and local taxes, bonds and allotments; and time and attendance data.

(5) Tables of data for editing, reporting and processing any or all personnel pay actions. These include nature of action codes, civil service authority codes, standard remarks, signature table, position title table, financial organization table and salary table.

AUTHORITY FOR MAINTENANCE OF THE SYSTEM:

The Office of Personnel Management Manual, 50 U.S.C. App. 1705-1707; 31 U.S.C. and Departmental Circulars 145 and 830. The Department of the

Treasury, Fiscal Requirement Manual; 5 U.S.C. 301; FPM Letter 298-10, Office of Personnel Management; Federal Personnel Manual (Chapter 713 Subchapter 3A).

ROUTINE USES OF RECORDS MAINTAINED IN THE SYSTEM, INCLUDING CATEGORIES OF USERS AND PURPOSE OF SUCH USERS:

These records and information in these records may be used:

(1) To furnish the Internal Revenue Service and other jurisdictions which are authorized to tax the employee's compensation with wage and tax information in accordance with a withholding agreement with the Department of Treasury pursuant to U.S.C. 5516, 5217, and 5520.

(2) To provide records to the Office of Personnel Management, Merit Systems Protection Board, Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, and General Accounting Office for the purpose of properly administering Federal Personnel systems or other agencies' systems in accordance with applicable laws, Executive Orders, and applicable regulations.

(3) To furnish another federal agency information to effect interagency salary offset; to furnish another federal agency information to effect interagency administrative offset, except that addresses obtained from the Internal Revenue Service shall not be disclosed to other agencies; to furnish a consumer reporting agency information to obtain commercial credit reports; and to furnish a debt collection agency information for debt collection services. Current mailing addresses acquired from the Internal Revenue Service are routinely released to consumer reporting agencies to obtain credit reports and to debt collection agencies for collection services.

(4) To disclose information to a Federal, State, or local agency, maintaining civil, criminal or other relevant enforcement information or other pertinent information, which has requested information relevant to or necessary to the requesting agency's or the bureaus' hiring or retention of an employee, or issuance of a security clearance, license, contract, grant, or other benefit.

(5) To disclose information to a court, magistrate, or administrative tribunal in the course of presenting evidence, including disclosures to opposing Counsel or witnesses in the course of civil discovery, litigation, or settlement negotiations in response to a subpoena, or in connection with criminal law proceedings.

(6) To disclose information to foreign governments in accordance with formal or informal international agreements.

(7) To provide information to a congressional office in response to an inquiry made at the request of the individual to whom the record pertains.

(8) To provide information to the news media in accordance with guidelines contained in 28 CFR 50.2 which relate to civil and criminal proceedings.

(9) To provide information to unions recognized as exclusive bargaining representatives under the Civil Service Reform Act of 1978, 5 U.S.C. 7111 and 7114.

(10) To provide information to third parties during the course of an investigation to the extent necessary to obtain information pertinent to the investigation.

(11) To provide wage and separation information to another agency such as the Department of Labor or Social Security Administration as required by law for payroll purposes.

(12) To provide information to a Federal, State, or local agency so that the agency may adjudicate an individual's eligibility for a benefit, such as a state unemployment compensation board, housing administration agency and Social Security Administration.

(13) To disclose pertinent information to appropriate Federal, State, local, or foreign agencies responsible for investigating or prosecuting the violations of, or for implementing, a statute, regulation, order, or license, where the disclosing agency becomes aware of an indication of a violation or potential violation of civil or criminal law or regulation.

(14) Disclosure of information about particular Treasury employees may be made to requesting Federal agencies or non-Federal entities under approved computer matching efforts, limited to only those data elements considered relevant to making a determination of eligibility under particular benefit programs administered by those agencies or entities or by the Department of Treasury or any constituent unit of the Department, to improve program integrity, and to collect debts and other monies owed under those programs (i.e., matching for delinquent loans or other indebtedness to the government).

DISCLOSURE TO CONSUMER REPORTING AGENCIES:

Disclosures pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a (b)(12) and section 3 of the Debt Collection Act of 1982; Debt information concerning a Government claim against an individual is also furnished, in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552a(b)(12)

and section 3 of the Debt Collection Act of 1982 (Pub. L. 97-365), to consumer reporting agencies to encourage repayment of an overdue debt.

POLICIES AND PRACTICES FOR STORING, RETRIEVING, ACCESSING, RETAINING, AND DISPOSING OF RECORDS IN THE SYSTEM:

STORAGE:

Magnetic media, microfiche and hard copy print out. Disbursement records are stored at Federal Records Center.

RETRIEVABILITY:

Records are retrieved generally by social security number or position identification number within a bureau and region. Secondary identities are used to assure accuracy of data accessed, such as name and date of birth.

SAFEGUARDS:

Entrance to data center is restricted to only those employees whose work requires them to be there for the system to operate. ID cards are verified to insure that only authorized personnel are present. Disclosure of information through remote terminals is restricted through the use of passwords and sign on protocols which are periodically changed. Reports produced from the remote printers are in the custody of personnel officers and are subject to the same privacy controls as other personnel documents of like sensitivity.

RETENTION AND DISPOSAL:

The Treasury Payroll Information System master file is kept on magnetic tape. Hard copies of reports are kept for a period of up to 3 years. Additional payroll data is maintained on microfiche. Employee records are retained in automated form as long as the employee is active on the system (separated employee records are maintained in an "inactive" status within PERMITS for five years). The master file is purged of inactive payroll records on a yearly basis. Files are purged in accordance with Treasury Directives Manual TD 80-05.5 "Records Disposition Schedule".

SYSTEM MANAGER AND ADDRESS:

Director of Personnel, Department of the Treasury, Room 7115, 1201 Constitution Avenue N.W., Washington, D.C. 20220.

NOTIFICATION PROCEDURE:

Individuals wishing to be notified if they are identified in this system or gain access to records maintained in the system must submit a request containing the following elements: (1) Identify the record system; (2) Identify the category and types of records sought; (3) Provide

at least two items of secondary identification (date of birth), employee identification number and date of employment or similar information. Address inquiries to Chief, Disclosure Branch (see access below).

RECORD ACCESS PROCEDURE:

Chief, Disclosure Branch, Department of the Treasury, Room 5423 Main Treasury, 1500 Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20220.

CONTESTING RECORD PROCEDURE:

(See access above).

RECORD SOURCE CATEGORIES:

The information contained in these records is provided by or verified by the subject of the record, supervisors, and non-Federal sources such as private employers.

SYSTEMS EXEMPTED FROM CERTAIN PROVISIONS OF THE ACT:

None.

Treasury/IRS 36.003

SYSTEM NAME:

General Personnel and Payroll Records—Treasury/IRS.

* * * * *

ROUTINE USES OF RECORDS MAINTAINED IN THE SYSTEM, INCLUDING CATEGORIES OF USES AND THE PURPOSES OF SUCH USES.

(1) * * *

(18) * * *

(19) Disclosure of information about particular Treasury employees may be made to requesting Federal agencies or non-Federal entities under approved computer matching efforts, limited to only those data elements considered relevant to making a determination of eligibility under particular benefit programs administered by those agencies or entities or by the Department of the Treasury or any constituent unit of the Department, to improve program integrity, and to collect debts and other monies owed under those programs.

* * * * *

[FR Doc. 86-12285 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810-25-M

Customs Service

Application for Recordation of Trade Name: "The Baltimore Luggage Company"

AGENCY: U.S. Customs Service, Department of the Treasury.

ACTION: Notice of Application for Recordation of Trade Name.

SUMMARY: Application has been filed pursuant to § 133.12, Customs Regulations (19 CFR 133.12), for the recordation under section 42 of the Act of July 5, 1946, as amended (15 U.S.C. 1124), of the trade name "THE BALTIMORE LUGGAGE COMPANY" used by The Baltimore Luggage Company, a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Rhode Island, located at 1919 Annapolis Road, Baltimore, Maryland 21230.

The application states that the trade name is used in connection with the following merchandise manufactured in Taiwan: vinyl luggage; nylon luggage; vinyl attaches; leather attaches; jute luggage; attaches; and luggage carts.

Before final action is taken on the application, consideration will be given to any relevant data, views, or arguments submitted in writing by any person in opposition to the recordation of this trade name. Notice of the action take on the application for recordation of this trade name will be published in the **Federal Register**.

DATE: Comments must be received on or August 1, 1986.

ADDRESS: Written comments should be addressed to the Commissioner of Customs, Attention: Entry, Licensing and Restricted Merchandise Branch, 1301 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20229.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Beatrice Moore, Entry, Licensing and Restricted Merchandise Branch, 1301 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20229 (202-566-5765).

Dated: May 27, 1986.

Marilyn G. Morrison,
Acting Director, Entry Procedures and Penalties Division.

[FR Doc. 86-12142 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4820-02-M

Internal Revenue Service

Inspection and Copying of Public Comments on Proposed Tax Regulations

AGENCY: Internal Revenue Service, Treasury.

ACTION: Notice of change of procedure.

SUMMARY: Written comments from the public in response to proposed tax regulations may be inspected and copied in the Freedom of Information Reading Room, Internal Revenue Service National Office. The comment files were moved to the Reading Room from room 4429, Internal Revenue Service National Office, on May 12, 1986, for easier accessibility by the public.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: B. Faye Easley, Carroll Yue or Sheila Page of the Legislation and Regulations Division; Office of the Chief Counsel, 1111 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20224 (Attention: CC:LR:T). Telephone 202-566-3935 (not a toll-free number).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: When published in the **Federal Register**, every proposed tax regulation is accompanied by an invitation to the public to submit

written comments to the Internal Revenue Service with respect to the proposed provisions. These comment letters are available to the public for inspection and copying in their entirety. Until May 12, 1986, the comment files were maintained in room 4429 of the Internal Revenue Service National Office. They have now been relocated to the Freedom of Information Reading Room where they are available to the public for inspection and copying. No part of any comment file may be removed from the Reading Room except by Service personnel.

Depending on the availability of shelf space, the comment file for each published proposed tax regulation will remain in the Reading Room until the proposal is adopted as a final regulation or is officially withdrawn. Requests for copies of written comments on regulations projects that are closed, either because final regulations were issued or the proposal was withdrawn, should be submitted to the Internal Revenue Service, Attention: CC:LR:T, Room 4429, Washington, DC 20224, or may be left with Reading Room personnel for forwarding to CC:LR:T.

The Statement of Procedural Rules (26 CFR Part 601) is in the process of being revised to reflect the new procedure.

Peter K. Scott,

Associate Chief Counsel (Technical).

[FR Doc. 86-12266 Filed 5-30-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4830-01-M

Sunshine Act Meetings

Federal Register

Vol. 51, No. 105

Monday, June 2, 1986

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices of meetings published under the "Government in the Sunshine Act" (Pub. L. 94-409) 5 U.S.C. 552b(e)(3).

CONTENTS

	<i>Item</i>
Federal Reserve System.....	1
Securities and Exchange Commission .	2,3

1

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM BOARD OF GOVERNORS

"FEDERAL REGISTER" CITATION OF PREVIOUS ANNOUNCEMENT: Notice forwarded to the Federal Register on May 23, 1986.

PREVIOUSLY ANNOUNCED TIME AND DATE OF THE MEETING: 11:00 a.m., Monday, June 2, 1986.

CHANGES IN THE MEETING: Addition of the following closed item(s) to the meeting: Proposed statement to be presented to the Subcommittee on Financial Institutions Supervision, Regulation and Insurance of the House Committee on Banking, Finance and Urban Affairs on the Depository Institution Examination Improvement Act of 1986 and the Truth in Savings Act.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:

Mr. Joseph R. Coyne, Assistant to the Board; (202) 452-3204.

Dated: May 28, 1986.

James McAfee,

Associate Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 86-12314 Filed 5-29-86; 8:50 a.m.]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-M

2

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the Government in the Sunshine Act, Pub. L. 94-409, that the Securities and Exchange Commission will hold the following meetings during the week of June 2, 1986:

A closed meeting will be held on Tuesday, June 3, 1986, at 10:00 a.m. An open meeting will be held on Tuesday, June 3, 1986, at 2:00 p.m., in Room 1C30.

The Commissioners, Counsel to the Commissioners, the Secretary of the Commission, and recording secretaries will attend the closed meeting. Certain staff members who are responsible for the calendared matters may also be present.

The General Counsel of the Commission, or his designee, has certified that, in his opinion, one or more of the exemptions set forth in 5 U.S.C. 552b (c)(4), (8), (9)(A) and (10) and 17 CFR 200.402(a)(4), (8), (9)(i) and (10), permit consideration of the scheduled matters at a closed meeting.

Commissioner Fleischman, as duty officer, voted to consider the items listed for the closed meeting in a closed session.

The subject matter of the closed meeting scheduled for Tuesday, June 3, 1986, at 10:00 a.m., will be:

Institution of injunctive actions.

Settlement of injunctive action.

Settlement of administrative proceeding of an enforcement nature.

The subject matter of the open meeting scheduled for Tuesday, June 3, 1986, at 2:00 p.m., will be:

Discussion with invited representatives of the accounting profession, reporting companies, and other interested parties. Issues to be addressed include, but will not be limited to, differences in expectations of financial reporting and the independent auditor's role, and recent private sector and legislative initiatives concerning financial disclosures and independent auditors. The discussion of these initiatives is expected to focus on the merits of these proposals and any cost benefit considerations. For further information, please contact Robert Kueppers at (202) 272-2130.

At times changes in Commission priorities require alterations in the scheduling of meeting items. For further information and to ascertain what, if any, matters have been added, deleted or postponed, please contact: Gerald Laport at (202) 272-3085.

Shirley E. Hollis,

Acting Secretary.

May 27, 1986.

[FR. Doc. 86-12289 Filed 5-28-86; 4:39 pm]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

3

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the Government in the Sunshine Act, Pub. L. 94-409, that the Securities and Exchange Commission will hold the following meetings during the week of June 2, 1986:

A closed meeting will be held on Tuesday, June 3, 1986, at 10:00 a.m. Open meetings will be held on Tuesday, June

3, 1986, at 1:00 p.m. and on Thursday, June 5, 1986, at 10:00 a.m., in Room 1C30.

The Commissioners, Counsel to the Commissioners, the Secretary of the Commission, and recording secretaries will attend the closed meeting. Certain staff members who are responsible for the calendared matters may also be present.

The General Counsel of the Commission, or his designee, has certified that, in his opinion, one or more of the exemptions set forth in 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(4), (8), (9)(A) and (10) and 17 CFR 200.402(a)(4), (8), (9)(i) and (10), permit consideration of the scheduled matters at a closed meeting.

Commissioner Fleischman, as duty officer, voted to consider the items listed for the closed meeting in a closed session.

The subject matter of the closed meeting scheduled for Tuesday, June 3, 1986, at 10:00 a.m., will be:

Institution of injunctive actions.

Settlement of injunctive action.

Settlement of administrative proceeding of an enforcement nature.

The subject matter of the open meeting scheduled for Tuesday, June 3, 1986, at 1:00 p.m., will be:

Discussion with invited representatives of the accounting profession, reporting companies, and other interested parties. Issues to be addressed include, but will not be limited to, differences in expectations of financial reporting and the independent auditor's role, and recent private sector and legislative initiatives concerning financial disclosures and independent auditors. The discussion of these initiatives is expected to focus on the merits of these proposals and any cost benefit considerations. For further information, please contact Robert Kueppers at (202) 272-2130.

The subject matter of the open meeting scheduled for Thursday, June 5, 1986, at 10:00 a.m., will be:

1. Consideration of whether to publish a release proposing revisions to Form D, the notice of sales requirement under Regulation D of the Securities Act of 1933, to eliminate some of the current informational requirements required by the Form, revise other parts of the Form and, further, to structure the Form so that it can be used as a uniform notification form to be filed with the Commission and, to the extent provided by individual state laws, with the states, when certain exempt offerings are made. The Commission will also consider whether to publish for comment a proposal to amend Regulation D to remove the requirement to

file periodic updates of Form D every six months. For further information, please contact Karen M. O'Brien at (202) 272-2644.

2. Consideration of issues relating to program or arbitrage trading strategies involving index options that have been associated with stock market volatility, particularly on Expiration Fridays. For further information, please contact Sharon Lawson at (202) 272-3116.

At times changes in Commission priorities require alterations in the scheduling of meeting items. For further information and to ascertain what, if any, matters have been added, deleted or postponed, please contact: Gerald Laporte at (202) 272-3085.

Shirley E. Hollis,

Acting Secretary.

May 28, 1986.

[FR Doc. 86-12422 Filed 5-29-86; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

Registered Federal Report

Monday
June 2, 1986

Part II

Veterans Administration

38 CFR Part 17

Charges for Care or Medical Services;
Proposed Regulations

VETERANS ADMINISTRATION

38 CFR 17

Charges for Care of Medical Services

AGENCY: Veterans Administration.

ACTION: Proposed regulations.

SUMMARY: In order to conform VA regulations to the requirements of Pub. L. 99-272, the Consolidated Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1985, the Veterans Administration is proposing to amend its medical regulations (38 CFR 17) to recover the cost of medical care furnished to nonservice-connected veterans from third party health insurance policies carried by those veterans. No attempt will be made by the VA to collect deductibles or coinsurance payments from the veteran.

DATES: Written comments must be received on or before July 16, 1986. It is proposed to make these changes effective on April 7, 1986, the effective date of the law which they implement.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit written comments, suggestions or objections regarding this proposed regulations to: Administrator of Veterans Affairs (217A), 810 Vermont Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20420. All written comments received will be available for public inspection only in the Veterans Services Unit, Room 132, of the above address, between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday (except holidays) until July 23, 1986.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Karen Walters, Chief, Policies and Procedures Division, Medical Administration Service, (202) 389-2337.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The VA now routinely bills for medical care provided veterans in tortfeasor, worker's compensation, automobile accident reparations insurance, and crimes of personal violence cases. It is authorized to do so by the Federal Medical Care Recovery Act and the Veterans' Health Care, Training and Small Business Loan Act of 1981.

Although many nonservice-connected veterans are covered by private medical care insurance, most health insurance policies contain exclusionary clauses that prohibit payment for medical care provided in Federal hospitals. In 1981, legislation was enacted that provided statutory authority to recover medical care costs under worker's compensation, automobile accident reparations insurance, and crimes of personal violence statutes. However, the provision to eliminate exclusionary clauses in private medical care

insurance plans and programs was deleted during that legislative process.

In May 1985, the VA submitted legislation to the 99th Congress that would amend Title 38, U.S.C., to allow the VA to recover the costs of medical care furnished to nonservice-connected veterans from third party health insurance policies carried by those veterans.

This similar measure has been enacted as Section 19013 of Pub. L. 99-272 amending 38 U.S.C. 629. These proposed regulatory changes implement this amendment. Under section 629 as amended, the VA will seek recovery for the cost of medical care and services rendered by the VA to otherwise eligible veterans who have no service-connected disabilities and who also are covered by a third party health insurance policy or plan. The VA will prepare bills to the third party insurance carriers for VA medical care and services rendered to such nonservice-connected veterans using the Uniform Bill, UB-82. In all circumstances, the VA will complete those data elements and codes identified by the National Uniform Billing Committee as required entries for submission of bills to commercial third party carriers. As required by 38 U.S.C. 629(h), as amended, VA health care facilities will, when requested, make the VA health care record of individual nonservice-connected veterans, for whose care the VA is seeking recovery of costs, available for inspection and review by representatives of the third party carrier covering the veteran's medical care; those will be done solely for the purposes of permitting the carrier to verify (1) that the care for which recovery of costs is sought by the VA was furnished, and (2) that the provision of such care to the veteran meets criteria generally applicable under the health-plan contract involved.

No deductible and/or coinsurance charge prescribed by any such policy, contract, membership or agreement shall be required by the VA.

The VA proposes to make these changes retroactively effective to April 7, 1986, the effective date of section 19013 of Pub. L. 99-272, the law which they implement. These changes repeat and implement requirements of that law. The VA finds that there is good cause to make these changes retroactively effective since a delayed effective date would be contrary to statutory design, could be inequitable, and would complicate administration of this provision of law.

The VA has met with representatives of the General Accounting Office (GAO) to discuss provisions of this new

legislation and implementing regulations.

Although cost recoveries from all third party carriers are expected to be in excess of \$100 million per year in future years, since these are cost recoveries, the impact on the economy as a whole will not be in excess of the E.O. 12291 criterion for a major rule. These proposed regulations, as distinguished from the law which requires them, will result in no significant increase in costs or prices for consumers, individual industries, Federal, State or local government agencies, or geographic regions. They will have no adverse effects on competition, employment, investment, productivity, innovation or on the ability of United States based enterprises to compete with foreign based enterprises in domestic or export markets. They also will impose no regulatory, paperwork, or administrative burdens on small entities.

For the above reasons, the Administrator certifies that these regulations will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities as they are defined in the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA), 5 U.S.C. 601-612.

The Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance numbers are 64.009, 64.010, 64.011.

List of Subjects in 38 CFR Part 17

Alcoholism, Claims, Dental Health, Drug abuse, Foreign relations, Government Contracts, Grants programs, health, Health care, Health facilities, Health professions, Medical devices, Medical research, Mental health programs, Nursing homes, Philippines, Veterans.

Approved: May 30, 1986.

Thomas K. Turnage,
Administrator.

PART 17—[AMENDED]

38 CFR Part 17, MEDICAL, is amended as follows:

1. In § 17.48, paragraph (d) is revised to read as follows:

§ 17.48 Considerations applicable in determining eligibility for hospital, nursing home or domiciliary care.

(d)(1) Persons hospitalized who have no service-connected disabilities pursuant to § 17.47, and/or persons receiving outpatient medical services pursuant to paragraphs (e), (f), (i), (j), and/or (k) of § 17.60 who have no service-connected disabilities who it is believed may be entitled to hospital care and/or medical services, or

reimbursement for the expenses of care or services for all or part of the cost thereof by reason of the following:

(i) Membership in a union, fraternal or other organization; or

(ii) Coverage under an insurance policy, or contract, medical, or hospital service agreement, membership, or subscription contract or similar arrangement under which health services for individuals are provided or the expenses of such services are paid; will not be furnished hospital care or medical services without charge therefor to the extent of the amount for which such parties referred to in paragraphs (g)(1)(i) or (g)(1)(ii) of this section, are, or will become liable. Persons seeking hospital or medical care under (g)(1) shall provide such information relating to membership or affiliation referred to in paragraphs (g)(1)(i) or (g)(1)(ii), as the Administrator may require. Provisions of this subparagraph are effective as of April 7, 1986, except that in the case of a health care policy or contract that was entered into before that date, the effective date shall be the day after the plan was modified or renewed or on which there was any change in premium or coverage and will apply only to care and services provided by the VA after the date the plan was modified, renewed, or on which there was any change in premium or coverage. (38 U.S.C. 629; sec. 19013, Pub. L. 99-272.)

(2) Persons hospitalized for the treatment of nonservice-connected disabilities pursuant to § 17.47, or persons receiving outpatient medical services pursuant to paragraph (e), (f), (h), (i), (j), or (k) of § 17.60, and who it is believed may be entitled to hospital care and/or medical services or to reimbursement for all or part of the cost thereof from any one or more of the following parties:

(i) "Workers' Compensation" or employer's liability statutes, State or Federal;

(ii) By reason of statutory or other relationships with third parties, including those liable for damages because of negligence or other legal wrong;

(iii) By reason of a statute in a State, or political subdivision of a State, (A) which requires automobile accident reparations, (B) which provides compensation or payment for medical care to victims suffering personal injuries as the result of a crime of personal violence;

(iv) Right to maintenance and cure in admiralty; will not be furnished hospital care or medical services without charge therefor to the extent of the amount for

which such parties are, or will become, liable. Persons believed entitled to care under circumstances described in paragraph (g)(2)(ii) of this section will be required to provide such information as the Administrator may require. Notice of this assignment will be mailed promptly to the party or parties believed to be liable. When the amount of charges is ascertained, a bill therefor will be mailed to such party or parties. Persons believed entitled to care under circumstances described in paragraph (g)(2)(i) or (g)(2)(iii) of this section will be required to provide such information as the Administrator may require. (38 U.S.C. 629; sec. 19013, Pub. L. 99-272.)

* * * * *

2. In § 17.62, paragraph (h) is revised to read as follows:

§ 17.62 Charges for care or services.

* * * * *

(h) *Furnished for nonservice-connected disabilities.*

(1) Charges at rates prescribed by the Administrator shall be made for inpatient or outpatient care and services rendered a veteran for nonservice-connected disabilities.

(i) Incident to the veteran's employment and the disability is covered under a workers' compensation law or plan that provides reimbursement or indemnification for the cost of such care and services,

(ii) As the result of a motor vehicle accident in a State which required automobile accident reparations insurance, or

(iii) As the result of a crime of personal violence that occurred in a State, or a political subdivision of a State, in which a person so injured is entitled to receive health care and services for that injury at the expense of the State or subdivision.

Authority: 38 U.S.C. 629; sec. 19013, Pub. L. 99-272.

(2) Charges at rates prescribed by the Administrator shall be made for inpatient or outpatient care and services rendered to a veteran who has no service-connected disabilities and who is entitled to care, or reimbursement for the expenses of care, under an insurance policy, or contract, medical, or hospital service agreement, membership, or subscription contract, or similar agreement for the purpose of providing, paying for, or reimbursing expenses for health services.

(i) No deductible and/or coinsurance charge prescribed by any such policy, contract, membership or agreement shall be required by the VA.

(ii) VA medical records shall be made available for inspection and review by the parties to any kind of agreement referred to in paragraph (h) (1) and (2) of this section with respect to which recovery or collection is sought by the VA for the purpose of verifying that services for which recovery or collection is sought were furnished and that the provision of such services meets criteria generally applicable under the health-plan contract involved.

Authority: 38 U.S.C. 629 (h)(1); Pub. L. 99-272.

(3) The method for computing the charges for medical care and services is based on the Cost Distribution Report, which sets forth the actual basic costs and per diem rates by type of inpatient care and outpatient visit. Factors for depreciation of buildings and equipment and Central Office overhead are added, based on accounting manual instructions. Additional factors are added for interest on capital investment and for standard fringe benefit costs covering government employee retirement and disability costs. The current year billing rates are projected on prior year actual rates by applying the budgeted percentage increase. (38 U.S.C. 629; sec. 19013, Pub. L. 99-272.)

(4) The reasonable cost of care or services sought to be recovered or collected from a third party liable under a health-plan contract may not exceed the amount that such third party demonstrates to the satisfaction of the Administrator it would pay for the care or services in accordance with the prevailing rates at which the third party makes payments under comparable health-plan contracts with facilities (other than facilities of departments or agencies of the United States) in the same geographic area. (38 U.S.C. 629; sec. 19013, Pub. L. 99-272.)

(5) Any contract or agreement into which the Administrator enters with a person under 31 U.S.C. 3718 for collection services to recover indebtedness owed the United States under this section shall provide, with respect to such services, that such person is subject to 38 U.S.C. 3301 and 4132. (38 U.S.C. 629; sec. 19013, Pub. L. 99-272.)

(6) Amounts collected or recovered on behalf of the United States under this section shall be deposited into the Treasury as miscellaneous receipts. (38 U.S.C. 629; sec. 19013, Pub. L. 99-272.)

* * * * *

[FR Doc. 86-12487 Filed 5-30-86; 11:13 am]
BILLING CODE 8320-01-M

Reader Aids

Federal Register

Vol. 51, No. 105

Monday, June 2, 1986

INFORMATION AND ASSISTANCE

SUBSCRIPTIONS AND ORDERS

Subscriptions (public)	202-783-3238
Problems with subscriptions	275-3054
Subscriptions (Federal agencies)	523-5240
Single copies, back copies of FR	783-3238
Magnetic tapes of FR, CFR volumes	275-1184
Public laws (Slip laws)	275-3030

PUBLICATIONS AND SERVICES

Daily Federal Register

General information, index, and finding aids	523-5227
Public inspection desk	523-5215
Corrections	523-5237
Document drafting information	523-5237
Legal staff	523-4534
Machine readable documents, specifications	523-3408

Code of Federal Regulations

General information, index, and finding aids	523-5227
Printing schedules and pricing information	523-3419

Laws	523-5230
------	----------

Presidential Documents

Executive orders and proclamations	523-5230
Public Papers of the President	523-5230
Weekly Compilation of Presidential Documents	523-5230

United States Government Manual	523-5230
---------------------------------	----------

Other Services

Library	523-4986
Privacy Act Compilation	523-4534
TDD for the deaf	523-5229

FEDERAL REGISTER PAGES AND DATES, JANUARY

19747-19816.....3

LIST OF PUBLIC LAWS

Last List May 30, 1986

This is a continuing list of public bills from the current session of Congress which have become Federal laws. The text of laws is not published in the Federal Register but may be ordered in individual pamphlet form (referred to as "slip laws") from the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402 (phone 202-275-3030).

H.R. 4382/Pub. L. 99-325

To require the Architect of the Capitol to place a plaque at the original site of Providence Hospital. (May 28, 1986; 100 Stat. 501; 2 pages) Price: \$1.00

H.J. Res. 492/Pub. L. 99-326

To designate the week beginning on June 1, 1986, as "National Neighborhood Housing Services Week." (May 28, 1986; 100 Stat. 503; 1 page) Price: \$1.00

H.J. Res. 526/Pub. L. 99-327

To designate the week of May 25, 1986, through May 31, 1986, as "Critical Care Week." (May 28, 1986; 100 Stat. 504; 1 page) Price: \$1.00

H.J. Res. 613/Pub. L. 99-328

Allowing qualified persons representing all the States to be naturalized on Ellis Island on July 3 or 4, 1986. (May 28, 1986; 100 Stat. 505; 2 page) Price: \$1.00

S.J. Res. 271/Pub. L. 99-329

Designating "Baltic Freedom Day." (May 28, 1986; 100 Stat. 507; 2 pages) Price: \$1.00

CFR CHECKLIST

This checklist, prepared by the Office of the Federal Register, is published weekly. It is arranged in the order of CFR titles, prices, and revision dates.

An asterisk (*) precedes each entry that has been issued since last week and which is now available for sale at the Government Printing Office.

New units issued during the week are announced on the back cover of the daily **Federal Register** as they become available.

A checklist of current CFR volumes comprising a complete CFR set, also appears in the latest issue of the LSA (List of CFR Sections Affected), which is revised monthly.

The annual rate for subscription to all revised volumes is \$595.00 domestic, \$148.75 additional for foreign mailing.

Order from Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402. Charge orders (VISA, MasterCard, or GPO Deposit Account) may be telephoned to the GPO order desk at (202) 783-3238 from 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. eastern time, Monday-Friday (except holidays).

Title	Price	Revision Date
1, 2 (2 Reserved)	\$5.50	Jan. 1, 1986
3 (1985 Compilation and Parts 100 and 101)	14.00	⁶ Jan. 1, 1986
4	11.00	Jan. 1, 1986
5 Parts:		
1-1199	18.00	Jan. 1, 1986
1200-End, 6 (6 Reserved)	6.50	Jan. 1, 1986
7 Parts:		
0-45	24.00	Jan. 1, 1986
46-51	16.00	Jan. 1, 1986
52	18.00	Jan. 1, 1986
53-209	14.00	Jan. 1, 1986
210-299	21.00	Jan. 1, 1986
300-399	11.00	Jan. 1, 1986
*400-699	19.00	Jan. 1, 1986
700-899	17.00	Jan. 1, 1986
900-999	20.00	Jan. 1, 1986
1000-1059	12.00	Jan. 1, 1986
1060-1119	9.50	Jan. 1, 1986
1120-1199	8.50	Jan. 1, 1986
1200-1499	13.00	Jan. 1, 1986
1500-1899	7.00	Jan. 1, 1986
1900-1944	23.00	Jan. 1, 1986
1945-End	23.00	Jan. 1, 1986
8	7.00	Jan. 1, 1986
9 Parts:		
1-199	14.00	Jan. 1, 1986
200-End	14.00	Jan. 1, 1986
10 Parts:		
0-199	22.00	Jan. 1, 1986
200-399	13.00	Jan. 1, 1986
400-499	14.00	Jan. 1, 1986
500-End	23.00	Jan. 1, 1986
11	7.00	Jan. 1, 1986
12 Parts:		
1-199	8.50	Jan. 1, 1986
200-299	22.00	Jan. 1, 1986
300-499	13.00	Jan. 1, 1986
500-End	26.00	Jan. 1, 1986
13	19.00	Jan. 1, 1986
14 Parts:		
1-59	20.00	Jan. 1, 1986
60-139	19.00	Jan. 1, 1986
140-199	7.50	Jan. 1, 1986
200-1199	14.00	Jan. 1, 1986
1200-End	8.00	Jan. 1, 1986
15 Parts:		
0-299	7.00	Jan. 1, 1986
300-399	20.00	Jan. 1, 1986
400-End	15.00	Jan. 1, 1986

Title	Price	Revision Date
16 Parts:		
0-149	9.00	Jan. 1, 1986
150-999	10.00	Jan. 1, 1986
1000-End	18.00	Jan. 1, 1986
17 Parts:		
1-239	20.00	Apr. 1, 1985
240-End	14.00	Apr. 1, 1985
18 Parts:		
1-149	12.00	Apr. 1, 1985
150-399	19.00	Apr. 1, 1985
400-End	7.00	Apr. 1, 1985
19	21.00	Apr. 1, 1985
20 Parts:		
1-399	8.00	Apr. 1, 1985
400-499	16.00	Apr. 1, 1985
500-End	18.00	Apr. 1, 1985
21 Parts:		
1-99	9.00	Apr. 1, 1985
100-169	14.00	Apr. 1, 1986
170-199	13.00	Apr. 1, 1985
200-299	6.00	Apr. 1, 1986
300-499	25.00	Apr. 1, 1986
500-599	16.00	Apr. 1, 1985
600-799	7.50	Apr. 1, 1986
800-1299	10.00	Apr. 1, 1985
1300-End	5.50	Apr. 1, 1985
22	21.00	Apr. 1, 1985
23	14.00	Apr. 1, 1985
24 Parts:		
0-199	11.00	Apr. 1, 1985
200-499	19.00	Apr. 1, 1985
500-699	6.50	Apr. 1, 1985
700-1699	13.00	Apr. 1, 1985
*1700-End	12.00	Apr. 1, 1986
25	18.00	Apr. 1, 1985
26 Parts:		
§§ 1.0-1.169	21.00	Apr. 1, 1985
§§ 1.170-1.300	12.00	Apr. 1, 1985
§§ 1.301-1.400	7.50	Apr. 1, 1985
§§ 1.401-1.500	15.00	Apr. 1, 1985
§§ 1.501-1.640	12.00	² Apr. 1, 1984
§§ 1.641-1.850	11.00	Apr. 1, 1985
§§ 1.851-1.1200	22.00	Apr. 1, 1985
§§ 1.1201-End	22.00	Apr. 1, 1985
2-29	15.00	Apr. 1, 1985
30-39	9.50	Apr. 1, 1985
40-299	18.00	Apr. 1, 1985
300-499	11.00	Apr. 1, 1985
500-599	8.00	¹ Apr. 1, 1980
*600-End	4.75	Apr. 1, 1986
27 Parts:		
1-199	18.00	Apr. 1, 1985
200-End	13.00	Apr. 1, 1985
28	16.00	July 1, 1985
29 Parts:		
0-99	11.00	July 1, 1985
100-499	5.00	July 1, 1985
500-899	19.00	July 1, 1985
900-1899	7.00	July 1, 1985
1900-1910	21.00	July 1, 1985
1911-1919	5.50	³ July 1, 1984
1920-End	20.00	July 1, 1985
30 Parts:		
0-199	16.00	July 1, 1985
200-699	6.00	July 1, 1985
700-End	13.00	July 1, 1985
31 Parts:		
0-199	8.50	July 1, 1985
200-End	11.00	July 1, 1985

Title	Price	Revision Date	Title	Price	Revision Date
32 Parts:			1000-3999.....	18.00	Oct. 1, 1985
1-39, Vol. I.....	15.00	⁴ July 1, 1984	4000-End.....	8.50	Oct. 1, 1985
1-39, Vol. II.....	19.00	⁴ July 1, 1984	44.....	13.00	Oct. 1, 1985
1-39, Vol. III.....	18.00	⁴ July 1, 1984	45 Parts:		
1-189.....	13.00	July 1, 1985	1-199.....	10.00	Oct. 1, 1985
190-399.....	16.00	July 1, 1985	200-499.....	7.00	Oct. 1, 1985
400-629.....	15.00	July 1, 1985	500-1199.....	13.00	Oct. 1, 1985
630-699.....	12.00	³ July 1, 1984	1200-End.....	9.00	Oct. 1, 1985
700-799.....	15.00	July 1, 1985	46 Parts:		
800-999.....	7.50	July 1, 1985	1-40.....	10.00	Oct. 1, 1985
1000-End.....	5.50	July 1, 1985	41-69.....	10.00	Oct. 1, 1985
33 Parts:			70-89.....	5.50	Oct. 1, 1985
1-199.....	20.00	July 1, 1985	90-139.....	9.00	Oct. 1, 1985
200-End.....	14.00	July 1, 1985	140-155.....	8.50	Oct. 1, 1985
34 Parts:			156-165.....	10.00	Oct. 1, 1985
1-299.....	15.00	July 1, 1985	166-199.....	9.00	Oct. 1, 1985
300-399.....	8.50	July 1, 1985	200-499.....	15.00	Oct. 1, 1985
400-End.....	18.00	July 1, 1985	500-End.....	7.50	Oct. 1, 1985
35.....	7.00	July 1, 1985	47 Parts:		
36 Parts:			0-19.....	13.00	Oct. 1, 1985
1-199.....	9.00	July 1, 1985	20-69.....	21.00	Oct. 1, 1985
200-End.....	14.00	July 1, 1985	70-79.....	13.00	Oct. 1, 1985
37.....	9.00	July 1, 1985	80-End.....	18.00	Oct. 1, 1985
38 Parts:			48 Chapters:		
0-17.....	16.00	July 1, 1985	1 (Parts 1-51).....	16.00	Oct. 1, 1985
18-End.....	11.00	July 1, 1985	1 (Parts 52-99).....	12.00	Oct. 1, 1985
39.....	9.50	July 1, 1985	2.....	15.00	Oct. 1, 1985
40 Parts:			3-6.....	13.00	Oct. 1, 1985
1-51.....	16.00	July 1, 1985	7-14.....	17.00	Oct. 1, 1985
52.....	21.00	July 1, 1985	15-End.....	17.00	Oct. 1, 1985
53-80.....	23.00	July 1, 1985	49 Parts:		
81-99.....	18.00	July 1, 1985	1-99.....	7.00	Oct. 1, 1985
100-149.....	18.00	July 1, 1985	100-177.....	19.00	Nov. 1, 1985
150-189.....	13.00	July 1, 1985	178-199.....	15.00	Nov. 1, 1985
190-399.....	19.00	July 1, 1985	200-399.....	13.00	Oct. 1, 1985
400-424.....	14.00	July 1, 1985	400-999.....	16.00	Oct. 1, 1985
425-699.....	13.00	July 1, 1985	1000-1199.....	13.00	Oct. 1, 1985
700-End.....	8.00	July 1, 1985	1200-1299.....	13.00	Oct. 1, 1985
41 Chapters:			1300-End.....	2.25	Oct. 1, 1985
1, 1-1 to 1-10.....	13.00	⁵ July 1, 1984	50 Parts:		
1, 1-11 to Appendix, 2 (2 Reserved).....	13.00	⁵ July 1, 1984	1-199.....	11.00	Oct. 1, 1985
3-6.....	14.00	⁵ July 1, 1984	200-End.....	19.00	Oct. 1, 1985
7.....	6.00	⁵ July 1, 1984	CFR Index and Findings Aids.....	21.00	Jan. 1, 1986
8.....	4.50	⁵ July 1, 1984	Complete 1986 CFR set.....	595.00	1986
9.....	13.00	⁵ July 1, 1984	Microfiche CFR Edition:		
10-17.....	9.50	⁵ July 1, 1984	Complete set (one-time mailing).....	155.00	1983
18, Vol. I, Parts 1-5.....	13.00	⁵ July 1, 1984	Complete set (one-time mailing).....	125.00	1984
18, Vol. II, Parts 6-19.....	13.00	⁵ July 1, 1984	Subscription (mailed as issued).....	185.00	1986
18, Vol. III, Parts 20-52.....	13.00	⁵ July 1, 1984	Individual copies.....	3.75	1986
19-100.....	13.00	⁵ July 1, 1984			
1-100.....	7.50	July 1, 1985			
101.....	19.00	July 1, 1985			
102-200.....	8.50	July 1, 1985			
201-End.....	5.50	July 1, 1985			
42 Parts:					
1-60.....	12.00	Oct. 1, 1985			
61-399.....	7.00	Oct. 1, 1985			
400-429.....	16.00	Oct. 1, 1985			
430-End.....	11.00	Oct. 1, 1985			
43 Parts:					
1-999.....	10.00	Oct. 1, 1985			

¹ No amendments to this volume were promulgated during the period Apr. 1, 1980 to March 31, 1985. The CFR volume issued as of Apr. 1, 1980, should be retained.

² No amendments to this volume were promulgated during the period Apr. 1, 1984 to March 31, 1985. The CFR volume issued as of Apr. 1, 1984, should be retained.

³ No amendments to this volume were promulgated during the period July 1, 1984 to June 30, 1985. The CFR volume issued as of July 1, 1984, should be retained.

⁴ The July 1, 1985 edition of 32 CFR Parts 1-189 contains a note only for Parts 1-39 inclusive. For the full text of the Defense Acquisition Regulations in Parts 1-39, consult the three CFR volumes issued as of July 1, 1984, containing those parts.

⁵ The July 1, 1985 edition of 41 CFR Chapters 1-100 contains a note only for Chapters 1 to 49 inclusive. For the full text of procurement regulations in Chapters 1 to 49, consult the eleven CFR volumes issued as of July 1, 1984 containing those chapters.

⁶ Because Title 3 is an annual compilation, this volume and all previous volumes should be retained as a permanent reference source.

TABLE OF EFFECTIVE DATES AND TIME PERIODS—JUNE 1986

This table is used by the Office of the Federal Register to compute certain dates, such as effective dates and comment deadlines, which appear in agency documents. In computing these

dates, the day after publication is counted as the first day.

When a date falls on a weekend or holiday, the next Federal business day is used. (See 1 CFR 18.17)

A new table will be published in the first issue of each month.

DATE OF FR PUBLICATION	15 DAYS AFTER PUBLICATION	30 DAYS AFTER PUBLICATION	45 DAYS AFTER PUBLICATION	60 DAYS AFTER PUBLICATION	90 DAYS AFTER PUBLICATION
June 2	June 17	July 2	July 17	August 1	September 2
June 3	June 18	July 3	July 18	August 4	September 2
June 4	June 19	July 7	July 21	August 4	September 2
June 5	June 20	July 7	July 21	August 4	September 3
June 6	June 23	July 7	July 21	August 5	September 4
June 9	June 24	July 9	July 24	August 8	September 8
June 10	June 25	July 10	July 25	August 11	September 8
June 11	June 26	July 11	July 28	August 11	September 9
June 12	June 27	July 14	July 28	August 11	September 10
June 13	June 30	July 14	July 28	August 12	September 11
June 16	July 1	July 16	July 31	August 15	September 15
June 17	July 2	July 17	August 1	August 18	September 15
June 18	July 3	July 18	August 4	August 18	September 16
June 19	July 7	July 21	August 4	August 18	September 17
June 20	July 7	July 21	August 4	August 19	September 18
June 23	July 8	July 23	August 7	August 22	September 22
June 24	July 9	July 24	August 8	August 25	September 22
June 25	July 10	July 25	August 11	August 25	September 23
June 26	July 11	July 28	August 11	August 25	September 24
June 27	July 14	July 28	August 11	August 26	September 25
June 30	July 15	July 30	August 14	August 29	September 29