GOVERNMENT INFORMATION GPO

3-3-81
Vol. 46 No. 41
Pages 14885-15128

federal register

Tuesday
March 3, 1981

Highlights

14885 **Red Cross Month, 1981** Presidential proclamation

14904 **Probation and Parole** Justice/PARCOM proposes to place principal offenders behind very large scale opiate distribution rings in "Greatest II" severity category; comments by 4-25-81

15001 **Grant Programs—Corrections** Justice/NIC amends procedures for internal processing of concept papers, grant applications and/or contracts

14915 **Grant Programs—Veterans** ED accepts applications until 5-18-81, from institutions of higher education under Veterans' Cost-of-Instruction Payments Program

14972 **Open Heart Surgery** HHS/PHS plans evaluation of safety and clinical effectiveness of electroencephalographic monitoring during surgery and immediate post-operative period; comments by 6-1-81

14895 **Child Welfare** HHS/HDSO withdraws interim final rule specifying requirements for State eligibility for additional payments under Child Welfare Services Program; effective 3-3-81

15104 **Child Safety** EPA clarifies aspects of child-resistant pesticide packaging regulation (Part III of this issue)

CONTINUED INSIDE

Highlights

FEDERAL REGISTER Published daily, Monday through Friday, (not published on Saturdays, Sundays, or on official holidays), by the Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration, Washington, D.C. 20408, under the Federal Register Act (49 Stat. 500, as amended; 44 U.S.C. Ch. 15) and the regulations of the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register (1 CFR Ch. I). Distribution is made only by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

The **Federal Register** provides a uniform system for making available to the public regulations and legal notices issued by Federal agencies. These include Presidential proclamations and Executive Orders and Federal agency documents having general applicability and legal effect, documents required to be published by Act of Congress and other Federal agency documents of public interest. Documents are on file for public inspection in the Office of the Federal Register the day before they are published, unless earlier filing is requested by the issuing agency.

The **Federal Register** will be furnished by mail to subscribers, free of postage, for $75.00 per year, or $45.00 for six months, payable in advance. The charge for individual copies is $1.00 for each issue, or $1.00 for each group of pages as actually bound. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the **Federal Register.**

Questions and requests for specific information may be directed to the telephone numbers listed under INFORMATION AND ASSISTANCE in the READER AIDS section of this issue.

14955 **Food Additives** EPA announces filing of request for postharvest use of carbon dioxide, nitrogen, and combustion product gas in or on all processed agricultural commodities as insecticide

14965 **Pesticides** EPA permits greater discretion in diluting individual products for forestry and agricultural applications; effective 3–3–81

14916 **Radioactive Wastes** DOE/Sec'y withholds distribution of draft National Site Characterization and Selection Plan

14914 **Marine Mammals** Commerce/NOAA announces that the Republic of Korea has applied for general permit to incidentally take 200 seals and 50 small cetaceans within U.S. fishery conservation zone in 1981; comments by 4–2–81

15086 **Wilderness Areas** Interior/BLM issues supplemental information on study areas in contiguous Western States (Part II of this issue)

15112 **Petroleum Allocation** DOE/ERA proposes to establish mechanism for adjusting entitlements lists under domestic crude oil allocation program for period prior to decontrol on 1–28–81; effective 4–1–81; comments by 4–2–81; hearing 3–17–81 (Part IV of this issue)

14887 **Banks, Banking** NCUA determines that sale of Federal funds to a bank is authorized; effective 3–1–81

15017 **Treasury Notes** Treasury announces interest rate of 13⅞ percent per annum for Series N–1983

14913 **Computer Technology** Commerce/NBS solicits comments by 5–4–81, on proposed transport and session control network protocol standards

14890 **Freedom of Information** DOD/Sec'y assesses fee charges for certain types of requests from news media representatives and prescribes policy and requirements to narrow scope of requests; effective 2–24–81

14908 **Privacy Act Document** USDA/Sec'y

15042 **Sunshine Act Meetings**

Separate Parts of This Issue

15086 **Part II, Interior/BLM**
15104 **Part III, EPA**
15112 **Part IV, DOE/ERA**
15122 **Part V, EPA (4 documents)**

Contents

Federal Register
Vol. 46, No. 41
Tuesday, March 3, 1981

The President
PROCLAMATIONS
14885 Red Cross Month, 1981 (Proc. 4822)

Executive Agencies

Agriculture Department
See also Food Safety and Quality Service; Forest Service; Soil Conservation Service.
NOTICES
14908 Privacy Act; systems of records

Air Force Department
NOTICES:
Meetings:
14908 Scientific Advisory Board

Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and Mental Health Administration
NOTICES
Meetings; advisory committees:
14968 February; changed meetings

Census Bureau
NOTICES
Meetings:
14913 Agriculture Statistics Census Advisory Committee

Civil Aeronautics Board
NOTICES
Hearings, etc.:
14912 Eastern Air Lines, Inc.
14913 Jet America fitness investigation
14912 Standard industry fare level establishment

Commerce Department
See Census Bureau; Maritime Administration; National Bureau of Standards; National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.

Defense Department
See also Air Force Department.
RULES
14890 Freedom of Information Act; implementation
NOTICES
14915 Travel per diem rates; civilian personnel; changes

Economic Regulatory Administration
PROPOSED RULES
Petroleum allocation and price regulations:
15122 Entitlements adjustments for periods prior to decontrol of crude oil; establishment of mechanism
NOTICES
Crude oil, domestic; allocation program, 1980; entitlement notices:
14918 November; correction
Natural gas; fuel oil displacement certification applications:
14920 Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement & Power District
Natural gas exportation and importation petitions:
14920 Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corp. et al.
Powerplant and industrial fuel use:
14916 Electric system compliance plans; acceptance of certain petitions; inquiry
Powerplant and industrial fuel use; existing powerplant or installation; classification requests:
14918 Georgia Power Co.
Powerplant and industrial fuel use; prohibition orders, exemption requests, etc.:
14918 Great Western Malting Co.

Education Department
NOTICES
Grant application and proposals, closing dates:
14915 Veterans' cost-of-instruction payments; payments to institutions of higher education

Energy Department
See also Economic Regulatory Administration; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission; Hearings and Appeals Office, Energy Department.
NOTICES
Environmental statements; availability, etc.:
14916 Waste repositories for disposal of high-level radioactive wastes; draft national site characterization and selection plan; withdrawal

Environmental Protection Agency
RULES
Air quality planning purposes; designation of areas:
14891 Alabama
14892 California and Nevada
14892 New York
Pesticide chemicals in or on raw agricultural commodities; tolerances and exemptions, etc.:
15124 Dimethylformamide
14894 5-Ethoxy-3-trichloromethyl-1,2,4-thiadiazole
15122 Ethylene dibromide
15123 *N*-Methylpyrrolidone
15125 Triforine
Pesticide programs:
15104 Registration, reregistration, and classification procedures; special packaging, child resistant; clarification of policy issues
Pesticides; tolerances on animal feeds:
14889 Butachlor
PROPOSED RULES
Air pollution; standards of performance for new stationary sources:
14905 Bulk gasoline terminals; correction

Toxic substances:
14905 Asbestos manufacturers, importers, and processors; reporting and recordkeeping requirements; correction
NOTICES
Meetings:
14967 Interagency Toxic Substances Data Committee; cancellation
Pesticide programs:
14965 Ultra low volume or low volume pesticide applications; advisory opinion
Pesticide registration, cancellation, etc.:
14955 Hercon Luretape with Multilure, etc.
Pesticides; emergency exemption applications:
14951 Carbofuran
14964 Chlordane
14959 Nemacur
14957 Thiabendazole
Pesticides; experimental use permit applications:
14956 DMB Packing Corp.
Pesticides; temporary tolerances:
14958 DBM Packing Corp.
14964 Florida State Department of Citrus
Pesticides; tolerances in animal feeds and human food:
14956 Ciba-Geigy Corp.
14955 Interregional Research Project No. 4
14954 Mobay Chemical Corp.
14959 Nor-Am Agricultural Products, Inc.
Toxic and hazardous substances control:
14956, 14960 Premanufacture exemption applications (2 documents)
14961 Premanufacture notices; monthly status reports
14952 Premanufacture notices receipts

Federal Communications Commission
PROPOSED RULES
Satellite communications:
14907 Comsat, corporate structure and operations changes; extension of time
NOTICES
15042 Meetings; Sunshine Act (2 documents)

Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation
NOTICES
15042 Meetings; Sunshine Act

Federal Emergency Management Agency
PROPOSED RULES
Flood elevation determinations:
14907 Minnesota; correction

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
PROPOSED RULES
Natural gas companies:
14899 Producer reports, related forms, and filing instructions
NOTICES
Hearings, etc.:
14943 Appalachian Power Co.
14921 Appalachian Power Co. et al.
14944 Association of Oil Pipe Lines
14928 Central Telephone & Utilities Corp. et al.
14922 Cities Service Gas Co. et al.
14931 Continental Hydro Corp. et al.
14947 Cook Electric Co.
14948 Edison Sault Electric Co.
14932 El Dorado Irrigation District
14932-14936, 14948 Enagenics (6 documents)
14923 Gleeson, Lawrence, et al.
14922 Great Lakes Steel Division of National Steel Corp. et al.
14945 Haines, Oreg.
14922 Illinois Power Co.
14949 Illinois Renewable Resources, Inc., et al.
14923 Kansas Gas & Electric Co.
14936 Maine Hydroelectric Development Corp.
14946 Martinsville, Va.
14937 Mason County Public Utility District No. 3
14924 Montana Power Co. (2 documents)
14938 New England Hydro
14924 New England Hydro et al.
14925 Oklahoma Renewable Resources, Inc.
14938 Public Service Co. of New Mexico
14941 Southeastern Power Administration
14926 Southern California Edison Co. (2 documents)
14926 Swift River Co., Inc.
14927 Tampa Electric Co.
14927 Tennessee Gas Pipeline Co.
14928 Tennessee Gas Pipeline Co. et al.
14942 Union Electric Co. et al.
14946 Yelm, Wash., et al.
Small power production facilities; qualifying status; certification applications, etc.:
14921 American McGaw Division of American Hospital Supply Co.

Federal Reserve System
NOTICES
Applications, etc.:
14967 First City Holding Corp.
14967 Flagship Banks, Inc.
14968 South First National Corp.

Federal Trade Commission
RULES
Procedures and practice rules:
14888 Presiding officers' recommended decisions and staff reports; minimum 60-day comment period

Food and Drug Administration
RULES
Animal drugs, feeds, and related products:
14889 Procaine penicillin G sterile aqueous suspension (injectible); correction
Medical devices, hematology and pathology; classification:
14890 Glutathione reduction assays; correction
14890 Platelet factor 4 radioimmunoassay; correction
14890 Vacuum-assisted blood collection system; correction
NOTICES
Animal drugs, feeds, and related products:
14971 Pig Ration-Ban-250; hearing denied and application refused; correction
14971 Clams, fresh and frozen; memorandum of understanding with United Kingdom Health and Social Security Department; correction
Food additives, petitions filed or withdrawn:
14968 Calgon Corp.
14970 Dynapol
14970 Sun Chemical Corp.
GRAS or prior-sanctioned ingredients:
14970 Immobilized lactase composite

14972 Silica gel; correction
Human subjects, protection:
14971 Clinical investigations; expedited review procedures; correction
Medical devices:
14972 Biostator glucose controlled insulin infusion system; premarket approval; correction
14971 Combiflex hydrophilic contact lens solution; premarket approval; correction
14969 Permalens (perfilcon A) Hydrophilic Contact Lens for nonaphakic extended wear; premarket approval
14971 Tomato juice; identity standards deviation; temporary permits for market testing

Food Safety and Quality Service
PROPOSED RULES
14899 Peanuts (shelled); grade standards; extension of time

Forest Service
NOTICES
Environmental statements; availability, etc.:
14908 Okanogan National Forest, Early Winters alpine winter sports site, Wash.

General Accounting Office
NOTICES
14968 Regulatory reports review; proposals, approvals, violations, etc. (NRC)

General Services Administration
RULES
Property management:
14894 Transportation and traffic management procedures; correction

Health and Human Services Department
See also Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and Mental Health Administration; Food and Drug Administration; Human Development Services Office; Public Health Service.

Hearings and Appeals Office, Energy Department
NOTICES
Applications for exception:
14950 Cases filed

Heritage Conservation and Recreation Service
NOTICES
Historic Places National Register; pending nominations:
14973 California et al.
14973 Hawaii

Human Development Services Office
RULES
14895 Adoption assistance and child welfare services; title IV-B funds; State eligibility requirements for additional payments; interim rule withdrawn

Indian Affairs Bureau
RULES
Enrollment:
14890 Preparation of rolls of Cherokee, Kansas, and Idaho Delaware Indians; procedures established; correction

Interior Department
See also Heritage Conservation and Recreation Service; Indian Affairs Bureau; Land Management Bureau.

Interstate Commerce Commission
RULES
Railroad car service orders; various companies:
14895 Chicago & North Western Transportation Co.
14896 Des Moines Union Railway Co.
14896 Escanaba & Lake Superior Railroad Co.
NOTICES
Motor carriers:
14975–14997 Permanent authority applications (6 documents)
Petitions filed:
14974 Middle Atlantic Conference; approval of certain amendments to its ratemaking agreement among its members
Railroad operations, acquisition, construction, etc.:
14974 Lake Superior & Ishpeming Railroad Co.; correction
Rerouting of traffic:
14976 Illinois Terminal Railroad Co.

Justice Department
See National Institute of Corrections; Parole Commission; Prisons Bureau.

Labor Department
See also Mine Safety and Health Administration; Occupational Safety and Health Administration; Pension and Welfare Benefit Programs Office.
NOTICES
Adjustment assistance:
15011 Mallory Buick Co.
15012 Star Watch Case Co.
15012 United Technologies Corp.
15013 White Motor Corp.

Land Management Bureau
NOTICES
Meetings:
14973 Cedar City District Grazing Advisory Board
14974 Gulf of Mexico Regional Technical Working Group
14973 Phoenix District Advisory Council
14974 Regional Oil Shale Team
15086 Wilderness study areas; list

Maritime Administration
NOTICES
Environmental statements; availability, etc.:
14914 Maritime aid programs

Merit Systems Protection Board
NOTICES
15014 Decisions and index publication; availability

Mine Safety and Health Administration
NOTICES
Petitions for mandatory safety standard modifications:
15002 J. S. Redpath Corp.

Minimum Wage Study Commission
NOTICES
15014 Meetings

National Aeronautics and Space Administration
NOTICES
Meetings:
15014 Life Sciences Advisory Committee

National Bureau of Standards
NOTICES
Information processing standards, Federal:
14913 Planned transport and session control network protocol standards; inquiry

National Credit Union Administration
RULES
Federal credit unions:
14887 Federal funds transactions and accounting procedures; interpretation

National Institute of Corrections
NOTICES
Organization and functions:
15001 Advisory Board, etc.; grant and contract review process; responsibilities

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
NOTICES
Marine mammal permit applications, etc.:
14914 Korea
Meetings:
14914 Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council et al.
14914 Western Pacific Fishery Management Council

National Science Foundation
NOTICES
Committees; establishment, renewals, terminations, etc.:
15015 Equal Opportunities in Science and Technology Committee
Meetings:
15014 Alan T. Waterman Award Committee

Nuclear Regulatory Commission
NOTICES
15043 Meetings; Sunshine Act

Occupational Safety and Health Administration
RULES
Health and safety standards:
15127 Lead; occupational exposure standards; trigger levels for medical removal protection; effective date delayed
NOTICES
State plans; development, enforcement, etc.:
15003 New Mexico
15004 Puerto Rico

Parole Commission
PROPOSED RULES
Federal prisoners; paroling, recommitting and supervising:
14904 Offense severity scale
NOTICES
15043 Meetings; Sunshine Act

Pension and Welfare Benefit Programs Office
NOTICES
Employee benefit plans; prohibited transaction exemptions:
15004 Chelsea Emergency Physicians, P.C., Profit Sharing Plan
15008 Kishwaukee Valley Medical Group, S.C., Employees' Retirement Plan and Trust
15009 Merrill Lynch Realty Management, Inc.
15005 Panlmatic Co. Employees' Profit Sharing Plan and Trust
15006 Transamerica Life Insurance & Annuity Co.
15007 Washington-Idaho-Montana Carpenters-Employers Retirement Plan

Personnel Management Office
RULES
Pay administration:
14887 Allotments from Federal employees; correction
NOTICES
Senior Executive Service:
15018 Career reserved positions; list

Prisons Bureau
NOTICES
Meetings:
15002 Corrections Advisory Council

Public Health Service
NOTICES
Medical technology scientific evaluation:
14972 Electroencephalographic monitoring during open heart surgery and immediate post-operative period
Meetings:
14972 Vital and Health Statistics National Committee
Patent licenses, exclusive:
14972 Suntech, Inc.

Securities and Exchange Commission
RULES
14888 Registered competitive and equity market makers; proprietary trading prohibition exemption

Small Business Administration
NOTICES
Applications, etc.:
15015 Central Systems Equity Corp.
15016 Metro Capital Corp.
15016 20th Century S.B.I.C., Inc.
Meetings; advisory councils:
15017 Georgia
15016 Idaho
15017 Mississippi
15017 Missouri
15016 Nevada
15017 Oregon
15017 Tennessee
15017 Washington

Soil Conservation Service
NOTICES
Environmental statements; availability, etc.:
14909 Beck and Alkali Lakes Water-Based Recreation and Fish and Wildlife Development RC&D Measure, Wyo.
14910 Chillicothe Flood Prevention RC&D Measure, Mo.
14910 Fruitland Recreational Park Critical Area Treatment RC&D Measure, Md.
14911 Galey Critical Area Treatment RC&D Measure, Okla.

14911 New London Rivers Water-Based Recreation RC&D Measure, Wis.
14911 Pennsylvania Critical Area Treatment RC&D Measures
14912 Rosedale Flood Prevention and Drainage RC&D Measure, La.

Treasury Department
NOTICES
Notes, Treasury:
15017 N–1983 series

United States Railway Association
NOTICES
15043 Meetings; Sunshine Act

MEETINGS ANNOUNCED IN THIS ISSUE

COMMERCE DEPARTMENT
Census Bureau—
14913 Agriculture Statistics Census Advisory Committee, Suitland, Md., 3–24–81
National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration—
14914 Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council, 3-25-81; South Atlantic Fishery Management Council, 3-25-81; joint meeting, 3-24-81; Tampa, Fla.
14914 Western Pacific Fishery Management Council, Scientific and Statistical Committee, Honolulu, Hawaii, 3-25-81

HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES DEPARTMENT
Public Health Service—
14972 Vital and Health Statistics National Committee, Environmental Health Statistics Subcommittee, Hyattsville, Md., 2-23-81

INTERIOR DEPARTMENT
Land Management Bureau—
14973 Cedar City District Grazing Advisory Board, Cedar City, Utah, 4-9-81
14974 Outer Continental Shelf Advisory Board, Gulf of Mexico Regional Technical Working Group, New Orleans, La., 4-7-81
14973 Phoenix District Advisory Council, Phoenix, Ariz., 4-3-81
14974 Regional Oil Shale Team, Denver, Colo., 4-1-81

JUSTICE DEPARTMENT
Prisons Bureau—
15002 Advisory Corrections Council, Washington, D.C., 3-11-81

MINIMUM WAGE STUDY COMMISSION
15014 Meeting, Washington, D.C., 3-26 through 3-28-81

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION
15014 NASA Advisory Council, Life Sciences Advisory Committee, Washington, D.C., 3-14-81

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION
15014 Alan T. Waterman Award Committee, Washington, D.C., 3-31-81

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION
15017 Region IV Advisory Council; Albany, Ga., 3-26-81; Fayetteville, Tenn., 4-1-81; Jackson, Miss., 4-2-81 (3 documents)
15017 Region VII Advisory Council, Springfield, Mo., 3-26-81
15016 Region IX Advisory Council, Las Vegas, Nev., 3-31-81
15017 Region X Advisory Council; Spokane, Wash., 3-23-81; Boise, Idaho, 3-24-81; Portland, Oreg., 3-25-81 (3 documents)

CHANGED MEETINGS

DEFENSE DEPARTMENT
Air Force Department—
14908 USAF Scientific Advisory Board, Norton AFB, Calif., 3–11 and 3–12–81 changed to 3–18 and 3–19–81

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
14967 Interagency Toxic Substances Data Committee, Washington, D.C., 3–3–81, cancelled

HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES DEPARTMENT
Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and Mental Health Administration—
14968 Alcohol Biomedical Research Review Committee, Washington, D.C., 2-25 through 2-17-81 changed to 2-25 through 2-27-81; Alcohol Biomedical Research Review Committee, Bethesda, Md., 2-25 through 2-27-81, changed to Alcohol Psychosocial Research Review Committee; Basic Behavioral Processes Research Review Committee, Washington, D.C., 2-27 and 2-28-81 changed to 2-26 and 2-27-81

HEARINGS

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD
14912 Eastern Air Lines, Inc., 3-19-81

ENERGY DEPARTMENT
Economic Regulatory Administration—
15122 Decontrol of crude oil, 3-17-81

CFR PARTS AFFECTED IN THIS ISSUE

A cumulative list of the parts affected this month can be found in the Reader Aids section at the end of this issue.

3 CFR
Proclamations:
4822 14885

5 CFR
550 14887

7 CFR
Proposed Rules:
2851 14899

10 CFR
Proposed Rules:
211 15122

12 CFR
Ch. VII 14887

16 CFR
1 14888

17 CFR
240 14888

18 CFR
Proposed Rules:
154 14899
157 14899
250 14899
260 14899

21 CFR
540 14889
561 14889
864 (3 documents) 14890

25 CFR
43c 14890

28 CFR
Proposed Rules:
2 14904

29 CFR
1910 14897

32 CFR
286 14890

40 CFR
81 (3 documents) 14891, 14892
162 15104
180 (5 documents) 14894, 15122–15125
Proposed Rules:
60 14905
763 14905

41 CFR
101–40 14894

44 CFR
Proposed Rules:
67 14907

45 CFR
1357 14895

47 CFR
Proposed Rules:
Ch. I 14907

49 CFR
1033 (3 documents) 14895, 14896

Federal Register
Vol. 46, No. 41
Tuesday, March 3, 1981

Presidential Documents

Title 3—

The President

Proclamation 4822 of February 27, 1981

Red Cross Month, 1981

By the President of the United States of America

A Proclamation

This year we celebrate the 100th Anniversary of the American National Red Cross, a humanitarian movement born in Europe in time of war and founded in our Nation in 1881 by a small group headed by Clara Barton, a woman nearing the age of 60 who was known during the Civil War as the "Angel of the Battlefield."

With unfailing resourcefulness, zeal, and compassion, Red Cross volunteers have proved equal to the challenges of our time. In peace and in war, they have reflected the humanitarian instincts of the American people.

The Red Cross teaches individuals, families, and communities to avoid emergencies; prepares individuals, families, and communities for those emergencies that cannot be avoided; and helps individuals, families, and communities cope with crises when they do come.

The Red Cross serves beside our armed forces at home and abroad; provides blood and its components to our ill and injured; and helps those stricken by disaster.

The primary support of the American Red Cross always has been contributions, given voluntarily. This voluntary support reflects admirably the freedom and generosity of the American people. To insure that the Red Cross emblem continues to fly on banners across this Nation for another 100 years, I urge all Americans to continue this support with undiminished vigor.

NOW, THEREFORE, I, RONALD REAGAN, President of the United States of America and Honorary Chairman of the American National Red Cross, do hereby designate March 1981 as Red Cross Month, a month when every citizen is asked to join, serve, and contribute in the same example of unselfish spirit that has characterized the Red Cross since its founding a century ago.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this twenty-seventh day of February, in the year of the Lord nineteen hundred eighty-one, and of the Independence of the United States of America the two hundred and fifth.

Ronald Reagan

Editorial Note: The President's memorandum to heads of departments and agencies of Feb. 27, 1981, on signing Proclamation 4822, is printed in the Weekly Compilation of Presidential Documents (vol. 17, no. 9).

[FR Doc. 81-6855
Filed 2-27-81; 2:57 pm]
Billing code 3195-01-M

Rules and Regulations

Federal Register
Vol. 46, No. 41
Tuesday, March 3, 1981

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains regulatory documents having general applicability and legal effect, most of which are keyed to and codified in the Code of Federal Regulations, which is published under 50 titles pursuant to 44 U.S.C. 1510.
The Code of Federal Regulations is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of new books are listed in the first FEDERAL REGISTER issue of each month.

OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

5 CFR Part 550

Pay Administration (General)

Correction

In FR Doc. 81-481 appearing at page 2323 in the issue for Friday, January 9, 1981, make the following correction:

On page 2325, in the second column, in the authority citation, in the first line, "E.O. 10082" should have read "E.O. 10982".

BILLING CODE 1505-01-M

NATIONAL CREDIT UNION ADMINISTRATION

12 CFR Ch. VII

Interpretive Ruling—Federal Funds; IRPS 81-2

AGENCY: National Credit Union Administration.

ACTION: Interpretation of General Applicability.

SUMMARY: The purpose of this interpretive ruling is to set forth the Administration's position with respect to transactions in Federal funds by Federal credit unions and to establish accounting procedures for such transactions.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 1, 1981.

ADDRESS: National Credit Union Administration, 1776 G Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20456.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert M. Fenner, Deputy General Counsel or James J. Engel, Assistant General Counsel, at the above address. Telephone (202) 357-1030.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Administration has previously taken the position that the sale of Federal funds to a bank constitutes a loan to the bank and is not authorized under the lending powers of Federal credit unions in Section 107(7) of the Act. Although the Administration continues to maintain its position that the sale of Federal Funds is not authorized by section 107(5), upon further analysis the Administration has determined that the sale of Federal Funds to a bank is authorized under the deposit power in section 107(8) of the Act.

The power to make deposits in national and state banking institutions was included in the original Federal Credit Union Act which was adopted in 1934 (June 26, 1934, c 750, section 7, 48 Stat. 1218). Based on the legislative background and history of this power, the Administration has concluded that it is broad enough to permit the sale of Federal funds to any bank in which a Federal credit union may deposit funds.

If a Federal credit union *purchases* Federal funds from a bank, as contrasted with *selling* Federal funds to a bank, the purchase constitutes a borrowing transaction and is subject to the borrowing limitations of Section 107(9) of the Act.

IRPS 81-2

(a) *Federal Funds Transactions.* As used in this interpretation, a Federal funds transaction is a transaction in which a Federal credit union sells Federal funds to a bank. A sale of Federal funds occurs when a Federal credit union transfers immediately available funds to a bank and the bank agrees to repay the funds to the Federal credit union one or more business days later. The funds may be transferred to the bank by any method, including from an account maintained at the bank by the credit union. The agreement to repay may have a specific maturity date or may be open-ended. An open-ended agreement has no specific maturity date but requires repayment on any date that is specified by either the Federal credit union or the bank.

A Federal funds transaction would ordinarily include the purchase of Federal funds as well as the sale of Federal funds. However, for purposes of this interpretation, a Federal funds transaction includes only the sale of Federal funds as described in this paragraph. A purchase of Federal funds is a borrowing transaction subject to the limitations of Section 107(9) of the Act. Also, for purposes of this interpretation, a Federal funds transaction does not include the transfer of funds to a bank if the funds are to be credited to a transaction account or a nonpersonal time deposit as defined in 12 CFR 204.2, including any such time deposit that is represented by a certificate of deposit. An investment in a certificate of deposit is subject to the limitations in 12 CFR 703.1.

(b) *Banks.* As used in this interpretation, a bank is:

(A) A national bank or

(B) A state bank, trust company, or mutual savings bank operating in accordance with the laws of a state in which the Federal credit union maintains a facility. The word "facility" means the home office of a Federal credit union or any suboffice thereof, including but not necessarily limited to a wire service, telephone service or mechanical teller station.

(c) *Authorization.* A Federal credit union may enter into a Federal funds transaction as described in this interpretation if:

(1) The interest or other consideration received from the bank is at the market rate for Federal funds transactions, and

(2) The transaction does not violate any of the limitations imposed on deposits under 12 CFR 703.3.

(d) *Guidelines.* In entering into a Federal funds transaction under this interpretation, it is recommended that:

(1) The Federal credit union itself transfer the funds to the bank which agrees to repay the funds, and

(2) No consideration be received from a third party in connection with the transaction.

(e) *Accounting Procedures.* Federal funds transactions will be recorded in account 746, Other Shares, Deposits and Certificates.

Rosemary Brady,
Secretary of the Board.
February 25, 1981.

[FR Doc. 81-6812 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7535-01-M

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

16 CFR Part 1

Period for Comments on Presiding Officers' Recommended Decisions and Staff Reports

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Commission has amended § 1.13(h) of its Rules of Practice and Procedure to direct the presiding officers to prescribe time periods for the submission of public comments on staff reports and presiding officers' recommended decisions prepared in proceedings for the promulgation of rules under section 18 (a)(1)(B) of the Federal Trade Commission Act. The time periods shall be no less than 60 days. Section 1.13(h) previously provided for a 60-day comment period.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 3, 1981.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bruce G. Freedman, (202) 523–3865, Office of General Counsel, Federal Trade Commission, 6th Street and Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20580.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The purpose of this amendment is to direct the presiding officers to establish appropriate time limits for the filing of public comments on their recommended decisions and on staff reports issued in rulemaking proceedings under Section 18(a)(1)(B) of the Federal Trade Commission Act. The time periods shall be no less than 60 days. The presiding officers may allow more than 60 days if, based on such factors as the magnitude of the record, the prior amount of time that has been available to rulemaking participants for review of the record, or the complexity of the issues, they believe that a 60-day comment period would be inadequate. This amendment will not apply to proceedings in which, as of the effective date, a presiding officer's report has issued. In those proceedings, the Commission will continue to entertain motions for extensions of the 60-day comment period.

Accordingly, the Commission amends 16 CFR Chapter I, by revising § 1.13(h), to read as follows:

§ 1.13 Rulemaking proceeding.

* * * * *

(h) *Postrecord comment.*—The staff report and the presiding officer's recommended decision shall be the subject of public comment for a period to be prescribed by the presiding officer at the time the recommended decision is placed in the rulemaking record. The comment period shall be no less than sixty (60) days. The comments shall be confined to information already in the record and may include requests for review by the Commission of determinations made by the presiding officer.

* * * * *

(Sec. 6(g), 38 Stat. 721 (15 U.S.C. 46(g)).)

By direction of the Commission.

Carol M. Thomas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 81-6784 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6750-01-M

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

17 CFR Part 240

[Release No. 34-17569]

Transactions By Registered Competitive Market Makers and Registered Equity Market Makers

AGENCY: Securities and Exchange Commission.

ACTION: Adoption of final rules.

SUMMARY: The Commission is adopting a final rule under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act") to exempt from the proprietary trading prohibition of Section 11(a)(1) certain transactions by Registered Competitive Market Makers and Registered Equity Market Makers registered on the New York Stock Exchange and American Stock Exchange respectively.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 3, 1981.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stuart M. Strauss, Esquire, Division of Market Regulation, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549 (202) 272–2413.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 11(a)(1) of the Act [1] prohibits any member of a national securities exchange from effecting any exchange transaction for its own account, the account of an associated person, or an account over which the member or an associated person exercises investment discretion, unless the transaction is covered by one of the specific exemptions set forth in paragraphs (A) through (G) of Section 11(a)(1) or is exempt pursuant to a Commission rule adopted under paragraph (H) of that section.[2]

The Commission has determined to approve on a permanent basis Rule 107 of the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") and Rule 114 of the American Stock Exchange.[3] Those rules require that Registered Competitive Market Makers ("RCMMs") on the NYSE and Registered Equity Market Makers ("REMMs") on the Amex register with their exchanges and that, among other things, RCMMs and REMMs be subject to an "affirmative" obligation to respond when "called-in" by a floor official or a floor broker holding an unexecuted customer order, and to improve the market in a particular stock. In addition, all RCMM and REMM trading must be conducted in a manner consistent with certain negative obligations contained in their respective rules designed to minimize possible destabilizing aspects of their trading and to significantly limit their ability to "reach across the market" to effect destabilizing transactions.

Although the Commission has determined that the RCMM and REMM rules generally are consistent with the Act and the rules thereunder, it is unable to conclude that RCMMs or REMMs qualify generally for any of the specific exemptions to the general proprietary trading prohibition. Nevertheless, the Commission has determined that RCMMs and REMMs have the potential to provide sufficient benefits to their markets to warrant an exemption from the statutory prohibition pursuant to Section 11(a)(1)(H) of the Act. Specifically, the Commission believes that RCMMs and REMMs contribute to the maintenance of fair and orderly markets when trading in response to call-ins, although such contribution presently is limited due to the infrequency with which the call-in obligation is invoked. Further, the Commission believes that RCMMs and REMMs possess the potential to add depth and liquidity to their respective markets even when not responding to call-ins [4] and thus may add to the potential for competition on the floors of both the NYSE and Amex, consistent

---

[1] 15 U.S.C. 78k(a).

[2] Paragraph (H) of Section 11(a)(1), 15 U.S.C. 78k(a)(1)(H), provides that the general proprietary trading prohibition shall not apply to

* * * "any other transaction of a kind which the Commission, by rule, determines is consistent with the purposes of this paragraph, the protection of investors, and the maintenance of fair and orderly markets."

[3] *See* Securities Exchange Act Release No. 17568 (February 24, 1981). File Nos. SR-NYSE-80-11 and SR-Amex-80-6.

[4] Moreover, the Commission has not been presented with any information that leads it to conclude at this time that RCMMs and REMMs have traded in a manner which is inconsistent with the maintenance of fair and orderly markets. And, in light of the restrictions on their ability to effect destabilizing trades, it appears that the potential for RCMM and REMM trades to accentuate price trends or otherwise be harmful to the markets is minimal. *See* NYSE Rule 107.10(ii) and Amex Rule 114.02(e).

with the competitive objectives of the Securities Acts Amendments of 1975.[5]

In view of the potential contributions which RCMMs and REMMs can make to their respective markets and the absence of any discernible harmful effects from their trading, the Commission finds that adoption of a rule exempting transactions by RCMMs and REMMs from Section 11(a)(1) is consistent with the purposes of Section 11(a) of the Act, the protection of investors and the maintenance of fair and orderly markets.

Effects on Competition

For the reasons articulated above, the Commission finds that the rule does not impose any burdens on competition not necessary or appropriate under the Act. In particular, the Commission believes that the rule may promote competition on the NYSE and Amex floors.

The Commission finds, pursuant to Section 4(b) of the Administrative Procedure Act,[6] good cause for dispensing with further notice and public procedure since the rule will not alter the status quo. In addition, notice of the subjects and issues addressed by this rule have been provided in Securities Exchange Act Release No. 14718 (May 1, 1978) by which the Commission approved the RCMM and REMM programs on a pilot basis and which specifically solicited written comments with respect to both programs, and in Securities Exchange Act Release No. 16781 (May 5, 1980) by which the Commission instituted disapproval proceedings with respect to the NYSE and Amex proposed rule change seeking to make permanent the RCMM and REMM programs. Thus, further notice and public proceedings are unnecessary pursuant to Section 553(b) of the Administrative Procedure Act.

Pursuant to Section 4(d) of the Administrative Procedure Act,[7] the Commission is dispensing with the 30-day notice prior to the effectiveness of the rule since the rule is a substantive rule which grants an exemption from the Act.

Text of Rule

Pursuant to its authority under section 11(a)(1)(H) of the Act, the Commission hereby amends Part 240 of Chapter II of Title 17 of the Code of Federal Regulations by adding § 240.11a1–5 as follows:

[5] Pub. L. No. 94–29 (June 4, 1975).
[6] 5 U.S.C. 553(b).
[7] 5 U.S.C. 553(d).

PART 240—GENERAL RULES AND REGULATIONS, SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

§ 240.11a1–5 Transactions by registered competitive market makers and registered equity market makers.

Any transaction by a New York Stock Exchange registered competitive market maker or an American Stock Exchange registered equity market maker effected in compliance with their respective governing rules shall be deemed to be of a kind which is consistent with the purposes of section 11(a)(1) of the Act, the protection of investors, and the maintenance of fair and orderly markets.

By the Commission.

George A. Fitzsimmons,

Secretary.

February 24, 1981.

[FR Doc. 81-6813 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

21 CFR Part 540

Procaine Penicillin G Sterile Aqueous Suspension (Injectable); Penicillin Antibiotic Drugs for Animal Use

Correction

In FR Doc. 81–2814, published at page 8467, in the issue of Tuesday, January 27, 1981, on page 8468, second column, third full paragraph, in the second line from the end of that paragraph the reference in the amendatory language reading "§ 540.247b" should read "§ 540.274b".

BILLING CODE 1505-01-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

21 CFR Part 561

[FAP 6H5143/T62; PH-FRL 1767-3]

Tolerances for Pesticides in Animal Feeds Administered by the Environmental Protection Agency; Butachlor

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This rule extends a feed additive regulation permitting residues of the herbicide butachlor (*N*-(butoxymethyl)-2-chloro-2',6'-diethylacetanilide) in a proposed experimental program involving application of the herbicide to growing rice with tolerance limitations for residues of the herbicide at 1 part per million (ppm) in rice hulls and 0.5 ppm in rice bran. This extension was requested by Monsanto Agricultural Products Co. This extension will permit the marketing of rice bran and rice hulls while further data are collected on the herbicide.

EFFECTIVE DATE: Effective on March 3, 1981.

ADDRESS: Written objections may be submitted to the: Hearing clerk, Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. M–3708 (A–110), 401 M St., SW., Washington, D.C. 20460.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert J. Taylor, Product Manager (PM) 25, Registration Division (TS–767C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. 412, CM#2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA 22202, (703–557–7066).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: EPA issued a notice that published in the **Federal Register** of April 29, 1980 (45 FR 28317) that a temporary feed additive petition had been extended for residues of the herbicide butachlor (*N*-(butoxymethyl)-2-chloro-2',6'-diethylacetanilide) in a proposed experimental use program involving application of the herbicide to growing rice with a tolerance limitation of 1 part per million (ppm) for residues of the herbicide rice hull and 0.5 part per million in rice bran.

Monsanto Agricultural Products Co. has requested a 1-year extension of the temporary feed additive to permit the continued testing to obtain additional data and to permit the marketing of the feed item affected by the application of the herbicide to growing raw agricultural commodities, rice bran and rice hulls.

The scientific data reported and other relevant material have been evaluated and it has been determined that the pesticide may be safely used in accordance with the provisions of the experimental use permit which is being extended under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) as amended (92 Stat. 819; 7 U.S.C. 136). It has further been determined that since residues of the herbicide may result in rice hulls and rice bran from the agricultural use provided for in the experimental use permit, the feed additive regulation should be extended along with the tolerance limitations. Accordingly, the feed additive regulation is extended as set forth below.

Any person adversely affected by this regulation may, within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**, file written objections with the Hearing Clerk, EPA, Rm. E-3708 (A-110), 401 M St., SW., Washington, D.C. 20460. Such objections should be submitted in quintuplicate and specify the provisions of the regulation deemed objectionable and the grounds for the objections. If a hearing is requested, the objections must state the issues for the hearing. A hearing will be granted if the objections are supported by grounds legally sufficient to justify the relief sought.

Under Executive Order 12044, EPA is required to judge whether a regulation is "significant" and therefore subject to the procedural requirements of the Order or whether it may follow other specialized development procedures. EPA labels these other regulations "specialized." This regulation has been reviewed, and it has been determined that it is a specialized regulation, not subject to the procedural requirements of Executive Order 12044.

Effective on: March 3, 1981.

(Sec. 409(c)(1), 72 Stat. 1786, (21 U.S.C. 348(c)(1))

Dated: February 12, 1981.

Edwin L. Johnson,

Deputy Assistant Administrator for Pesticide Programs.

Therefore, Part 561 of 21 CFR is amended by revising § 561.55 to read as follows:

§ 561.55 Butachlor.

Residues of the herbicide [*N*-(butoxymethyl)-2-chloro-2',6'-diethylacetanilide] may be present in the following feed only as a result of application of the herbicide to the growing agricultural commodity in an experimental use program which expires April 23, 1982. Residues not in excess of these tolerances remaining after expiration of this experimental use program will not be considered actionable if the herbicide has been legally applied during the term of and in accordance with the provisions of the experimental use permit and feed additive tolerances.

Feed	Parts per million
Rice bran	0.5
Rice hulls	1.0

[FR Doc. 81-6719 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-32-M

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

21 CFR Part 864

[Docket No. 78N-1888]

Classification of Glutathione Reductase Assays

Correction

In FR Doc. 80-27350 appearing on page 60616, in the issue of Friday, September 12, 1980 make the following correction.

On page 60616, second column, the third line from the bottom of the page should have read: "regulation to classify glutathione reductase".

BILLING CODE 1505-01-M

21 CFR Part 864

[Docket No. 79P-0112]

Classification of Platelet Factor 4 Radioimmunoassays

Correction

In FR Doc. 80-27362, appearing on page 60624, in the issue of Friday, September 12, 1980, make the following correction.

On page 60625, second column, the fifth line of § 864.7695(a) should have read: "activation by radioimmunoassay. This device measures platelet activation, which may indicate a".

BILLING CODE 1505-01-M

21 CFR Part 864

[Docket No. 78N-1920]

Classification of Vacuum-Assisted Blood Collection Systems

Correction

In FR Doc. 80-27382, appearing on page 60639, in the issue of Friday, September 12, 1980, make the following correction.

On page 60639, first column, the fourth line from the bottom of the page reading "without change." Should have read "with a minor clarifying change.".

BILLING CODE 1505-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Indian Affairs

25 CFR Part 43c

Preparation of Rolls of Certain Delaware Indians; Preparation, Certification and Approval of Rolls

AGENCY: Bureau of Indian Affairs.

ACTION: Final rules; correction.

SUMMARY: This document corrects an erroneous telephone number which was published December 17, 1980 (45 FR 82921). The telephone number listed under **"For Further Information Contact"** should read 918-687-2296.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mr. Thomas J. Ellison, Area Director, Bureau of Indian Affairs, Federal Building, Muskogee, Oklahoma 74401, telephone number 918-687-2296.

James F. Canan,

Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs.

[FR Doc. 81-6518 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-02-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

32 CFR Part 286

[DOD 5400.7-R]

DOD Freedom of Information Act Program: Amendment No. 1

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary of Defense.

ACTION: Final rule amendment.

SUMMARY: This amendment assesses fee charges for certain types of Freedom of Information requests received from news media representatives, and prescribes uniform DOD policy and requirements to narrow the scope when the requested record is not reasonably described.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 24, 1981.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Col. R. Farris, Office of the Director, Freedom of Information and Security Review, Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Public Affairs), Washington, D.C. 20301, Telephone 202-697-1180.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In FR Doc. 80-37868, appearing in the Federal Register on December 5, 1980 (45 FR 80502), the Department of Defense published the DOD Freedom of Information Act Program regulation (subparts B through I of Part 286). This is the first amendment to this rule.

Accordingly, 32 CFR, Chapter I, Part 286, is amended to read as follows:

PART 286—DOD FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT PROGRAM

1. Section 286.14 is amended as follows:

a. By revising paragraph (h)(1).

2. Section 286.60 is amended as follows:

a. By revising paragraph (b)(3)(iii) and redesignating paragraphs (b)(3)(iv) and (v) as (b)(3)(v) and (vi).

Section 286.14 is amended by revising paragraph (h)(1).

§ 286.14 Policy.

* * * * *

(h) Description of Requested Record.

(1) Identification of the record desired is the responsibility of the member of the public who requests a record. The requester must provide a description of the desired record, that enables the Government to locate the record with a reasonable amount of effort. The Act does not authorize "fishing expeditions." When a DOD Component receives a request that does not "reasonably describe" the requested record, it shall notify the requester of the defect. The defect should be highlighted in a specificity letter, asking the requester to provide the type of information outlined below in subparagraph (h)(2) of this Part. Components are not obligated to act on the request until the requester responds to the specificity letter.

* * * * *

Section 286.60 is amended by revising paragraph (b)(3)(iii) and redesignating paragraphs (b)(3)(iv) and (v) as (b)(3)(v) and (vi).

§ 286.60 General provisions.

* * * * *

(b) Fee Assessment.

* * * * *

(3) * * *

(i) * * *

(ii) * * *

(iii) The records are to be made available in response to a news media requester whose requests under this Part are reasonable in scope and frequency. Fee charges are appropriate for news media requesters and may be assessed when:

(A) The fee charges exceed the fee waiver threshold of $30.00; and

(B) Either the requested record does not contain information that can be considered as primarily benefiting the general public, or the search for the requested record requires an inordinate amount of DOD Component personnel time, and impacts adversely on the DOD Component concerned in accomplishing its primary functional missions.

* * * * *

(5 U.S.C. 552).

M. S. Healy,

OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Washington Headquarters Services, Department of Defense.

February 25, 1981.

[FR Doc. 81-6519 Filed 3-3-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3810-70-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 81

[A-4-FRL 1731-1]

Designation of Areas for Air Quality Planning Purposes; Alabama, Redesignation for Morgan County

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: On July 14, 1980, the Alabama Air Pollution Control Commission submitted to EPA eight quarters of total suspended particulates (TSP) data from the Morgan County area. These data show no violations of the primary or secondary TSP standards. EPA is today approving the state's request for redesignation of Morgan County from secondary nonattainment for TSP to an attainment classification.

DATES: This action is effective April 2, 1981.

ADDRESSES: The Alabama submittal may be examined during normal business hours at the following EPA offices:

Public Information Reference Unit, Library Systems Branch, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20460.

Library, Environmental Protection Agency, Region IV, 345 Courtland Street NE., Atlanta, Georgia 30365.

In addition, the Alabama revision may be examined at the offices of the Alabama Air Pollution Control Commission, Division of Air Pollution Control, 645 South McDonough Street, Montgomery, Alabama 36130.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Jerry Preston, EPA Region IV at the above address, 404/881-3286 or FTS 257-3286.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On March 3, 1978, EPA promulgated a nonattainment status designation for a portion of Morgan County, Alabama. This designation was for a secondary nonattainment area for particulates around the vicinity of Decatur, Alabama.

EPA's policy for 107 redesignation criteria was issued on June 12, 1978, and stated that for redesignation of a nonattainment area by use of monitoring data, that data must be below the standard for the pollutant measured for a reporting period of no less than eight quarters.

The TSP data submitted by the Alabama Air Pollution Control Commission on July 14, 1980 showed no violations of the 24 hour secondary standard. The eight quarters of data submitted were from July 1, 1978 to June 30, 1980. All data have been documented, determined to show representativeness, and have been subject to an accepted quality assurance program. EPA proposed redesignation of Morgan County to attainment for the TSP secondary standard on October 22, 1980 (45 FR 70006), no comments were received on the proposal.

ACTION: Based on the above statements, EPA is approving the redesignation of the area in Morgan County from nonattainment to attainment for the TSP secondary standard.

Note.—Under Executive Order 12044, EPA is required to judge whether a regulation is "significant" and, therefore, subject to the procedural requirements of the Order or whether it may follow other specialized development procedures. EPA labels these other regulations "specialized." EPA has reviewed these regulations and determined that they are specialized regulations not subject to the procedural requirements of Executive Order 12044.

Under Section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, judicial review of EPA approval of the redesignation of Morgan County, Alabama, is available only by the filing of a petition for review in the United States Court of Appeals for appropriate circuit within 60 days of today. Under 307(b)(2) of the Clean Air Act, the requirements which are the subject of today's notice may not be challenged later in civil or criminal proceedings brought by EPA to enforce these requirements.

(Secs. 107 and 172 of the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7407))

Dated: January 27, 1981.

Walter C. Barber,

Acting Administrator.

PART 81—DESIGNATION OF AREAS FOR AIR QUALITY PLANNING PURPOSES

Part 81 of Chapter I, Title 40, Code of Federal Regulations, is amended as follows:

Subpart C—Section 107 Attainment Status Designations

In § 81.301 the attainment status designation table for TSP is revised to read as follows:

§ 81.301 Alabama.

Alabama—TSP

Designated area	Does not meet primary standards	Does not meet secondary standards	Cannot be classified	Better than national standards
That portion of Etowah Cty within the western section of Gadsden.	X	X		
That portion of Jackson Cty surrounding TVA's Widows Creek plant.	X	X		
Those portions of Jefferson Cty within central Birmingham and the area surrounding the Universal Atlas Cement Plant.	X	X		
That portion of Lauderdale Cty containing Florence			X	
That portion of Mobile Cty within a section of downtown Mobile.			X	
Rest of State				X

[FR Doc. 81-6810 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-38-M

40 CFR Part 81

[A-9 FRL 1743-3]

Designation of Areas for Air Quality Planning Purposes: Attainment Status Designations; California and Nevada: Lake Tahoe Basin

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rulemaking.

SUMMARY: This notice revises the attainment status designation of the Lake Tahoe Basin, in California and Nevada, for ozone. The revision is the result of EPA estalishing a new National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) for ozone of 0.12 parts per million (ppm) to replace the photochemical oxidant (Ox) standard of 0.08 ppm. The Lake Tahoe Basin is redesignated from nonattainment for Ox to attainment for ozone.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 3, 1981.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Louise P. Giersch, Director, Air and Hazardous Materials Division, EPA Region IX, 215 Fremont Street, San Francisco, CA 94105, Attn: Ronald Leach, (415) 556-9728.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On March 3, 1978, under Section 107(d)(2) of the Clean Air Act (CAA), as amended, EPA promulgated attainment status designations for all states. The California and Nevada portions of the Lake Tahoe Basin were designated nonattainment for Ox on March 3, 1978 (43 FR 8973 and 9012). EPA established a new NAAQS for ozone of 0.12 ppm to replace the Ox standard of 0.08 ppm on February 8, 1979 (44 FR 8202). In addition, EPA established a statistical method of determining whether the standard has been violated.

Under Section 107(d)(5) of the CAA, a state may revise its designations of attainment status and submit them to EPA for promulgation. Redesignations were submitted to EPA by the State of Nevada on February 26, 1980, and by the State of California on July 23, 1980. Both States informed EPA that their respective portions of the Basin were currently attaining the revised Federal ozone standard. California's submittal included air quality data taken from both the California and Nevada portions of the Basin. This data showed that the ozone standard had not been exceeded.

Based upon a review of the air quality data and use of the statistical method for determining compliance with the NAAQS, EPA believes that the NAAQS for ozone have been attained. On October 3, 1980 (45 FR 65631) EPA published a notice of proposed rulemaking concerning the redesignation. The notice proposed to approve the redesignation and provided a 30 day public comment period. A single comment supporting the redesignation was submitted by the Nevada Division of Environmental Protection on October 20, 1980. Therefore, today's notice takes final action to redesignate the Lake Tahoe Basin to attainment for ozone.

As a result of this redesignation, the States are no longer subject to the requirements of Title I, Part D (Plan Requirements for Nonattainment Areas) of the CAA, as amended, for ozone in the Lake Tahoe Basin.

EPA has determined that this document is "specialized" and therefore not subject to the requirements of Executive Order 12044.

(Section 107(d) and 301(a) of the Clean Air Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 7407(d) and 7601(a)))

Dated: February 25, 1981.

Walter C. Barber,

Acting Administrator.

Subpart C of Part 81 of Chapter I, Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

Subpart C—Section 107 Attainment Status Designation

1. Section 81.305—California, the attainment status of the Tahoe Air Basin for ozone (last revised August 11, 1980, 45 FR 53149) is revised to read as follows:

§ 81.305 California.

* * * * *

California—Ozone

Designated area	Does not meet primary standards	Cannot be classified or better than national standards
* * * * *		
Tahoe Air Basin		X

2. Section 81.329—Nevada, the attainment status of the Lake Tahoe Basin for Ox (last revised on May 7, 1980, 45 FR 30071) is revised to read as follows:

§ 81.329 Nevada

Nevada—O,

Designated area	Does not meet primary standards	Cannot be classified or better than national standards
* * * * *		
Lake Tahoe Basin		X
* * * * *		

[FR Doc. 81-6717 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-38-M

40 CFR Part 181

[A-2-FRL 1762-2]

Designation of Areas for Air Quality Planning Purposes; Revisions to Section 107 Attainment Status Designation for New York State

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The purpose of this notice is to announce Environmental Protection Agency promulgation of a change to the designation of the Borough of Staten Island in New York City with regard to its attainment of the national secondary

ambient air quality standard for particulate matter. Such designations are required by Section 107(d) of the Clean Air Act and may be revised from time to time at the request of a state. A request was received from New York State to redesignate a portion of Staten Island, currently designed "nonattainment," to "attainment" for the secondary standard for particulate matter. The Environmental Protection Agency believes that the existing evidence is insufficient to warrant a designation of "attainment" and is instead redesignating the west-central portion of Staten Island to "cannot be classified."

EFFECTIVE DATE: This action is effective on March 3, 1981.

ADDRESS: Copies of the proposal submitted by New York State and public comments received are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the following addresses:

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Air Programs Branch, Room 1005, Region II Office, 26 Federal Plaza, New York, New York 10278.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Public Information Reference Unit, 401 M Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20460.

New York State Department of Environmental Conservation, 50 Wolf Road, Albany, New York 12233.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: William S. Baker, Air Programs Branch, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region II Office, 26 Federal Plaza, New York, New York 10278, 212–264–2517.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 107(d) of the Clean Air Act, as amended in August 1977, directed each state to submit to the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) a list of national ambient air quality standard attainment status designations for all areas within the state. EPA received such designations and promulgated them on March 3, 1978 (43 FR 8962). Subsequently, on January 25, 1979 (44 FR 5119), revisions to the designations for the states administrated by the Region II Office of EPA (New York, New Jersey, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands) were promulgated.

On September 12, 1980 New York State requested that the west-central portion of the Borough of Staten Island be redesignated with respect to the national secondary ambient air quality standard for particulate matter. The Borough of Staten Island, south of I–278, west of Richmond Avenue and north of Arthur Kill Road as far west as Rossville Avenue, is currently designated "nonattainment" with respect to this standard. The State proposed that this portion of the New Jersey-New York-Connecticut Interstate Air Quality Control Region be redesignated to "better than national standards."

In the October 24, 1980 issue of the **Federal Register** (45 FR 70515), EPA advised the public that it could not find approvable New York State's request for redesignation of this area to "attainment." Instead EPA proposed redesignation to "cannot be classified." The reader is referred to this notice for a detailed description of the criteria used by EPA in evaluating the State's request and of the technical basis for EPA's decision to propose redesignation of the west-central portion of Staten Island to "cannot be classified."

Comments on EPA's October 24, 1980 notice of proposed rulemaking were received in a November 20, 1980 letter from the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) and in a December 18, 1980 letter from the Power Authority of the State of New York (PASNY). Both agencies requested that EPA concur with NYSDEC's request for redesignation of the area to "attainment."

In its comments PASNY correctly pointed out that a designation of "cannot be classified," as proposed by EPA, is reserved for areas where the monitored data is unrepresentative and where other analyses do not clearly indicate the attainment status of the locale. Both the PASNY and NYSDEC letters contend that if the 24-hour reading of 153 $\mu g/m^3$ recorded on May 23, 1978 at the PS–26 monitoring site were discarded (based on the results of a microscopic filter analysis showing a heavy pollen load on that day), the area is in attainment of the secondary particulate matter standard.

As was mentioned in EPA's October 24, 1980 proposal, EPA agrees that the 153 $\mu g/m^3$ value recorded on May 23, 1978 is unrepresentative; EPA did not claim that monitored data shows a violation of the 24-hour standard. However, it should be noted that the technique used for particulate matter monitoring requires a 24-hour sample to be collected only once every six days.

The chance of detecting a violation of a 24-hour standard is directly dependent on the frequency at which samples are collected. EPA's recommendation of how data obtained from intermittent monitoring should be interpreted is found in its Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards publication number 1.2–008, *Guidelines for the Interpretation of Air Quality Standards*, revised February 1977. The Guideline states that sampling at monitoring sites which yields only partial annual coverage is not necessarily sufficient to show compliance with "once per year" standards. Where the situation is considered marginal (as in this case), statistical evaluation of the data is warranted. Although nonattainment cannot be determined on the basis of statistically predicted values in excess of the standard, such a situation might necessitate more frequent sampling at a particular site.

As a result of EPA's technical analysis of the PS–26 monitoring data, EPA still believes that redesignation of the west-central portion of Staten Island to "attainment" of the secondary standard for particulate matter is not clearly supportable and that additional monitoring would be required before a conclusive determination of attainment can be made. Given this note of doubt regarding the true attainment status of the area, EPA is taking action today to finalize its proposal to redesignate this area to "cannot be classified."

This action is made effective immediately inasmuch at it provides no additional burden upon any affected party. Under Section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, judicial review of this action is available only by the filing for a petition of review in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit within sixty days of today. Under Section 307(b)(2) of the Clean Air Act, the requirements which are the subject of today's notice may not be challenged later in civil or criminal proceedings brought by EPA to enforce these requirements.

Under Executive Order 12044, EPA is required to judge whether a regulation is "significant" and therefore subject to the procedural requirements of the Order or whether it may follow other specialized development procedures. I have reviewed this package and determined that it is a specialized regulation not subject to the procedural requirements of Executive Order 12044.

(Sections 107, 301 of the Clean Air Act, as amended (42 U.S.C. 7407, 7601))

Dated: February 25, 1981.

Walter C. Barber,

Acting Administrator, Environmental Protection Agency.

Title 40, Chapter I, Subchapter C, Part 81 Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

Subpart C—Section 107 Attainment Status Designations

1. Section 81.333 is amended by revising the attainment status designation table for TSP as follows:

§ 81.333 New York.

New York State—TSP

Designated Area	Does not meet primary standards	Does not meet secondary standards	Cannot be classified	Better than national standards
* * * * *				
New Jersey—New York—Connecticut Interstate AQCR:				
* * * * *				
The Borough of Staten Island (south of I-278, west of Richmond Ave., and north of Arthur Kill Road as far west as Rossville Ave).			X*	
Remainder of AQCR				X

*EPA designation replaces State designation.

[FR Doc. 81-6718 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-38-M

40 CFR Part 180

[PH-FRL 1767-2; PP 8E2083/R299]

Tolerances and Exemptions From Tolerances for Pesticide Chemicals in or on Raw Agricultural Commodities; 5-Ethoxy-3-Trichloromethyl-1,2,4-Thiadiazole

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This rule establishes a tolerance for the fungicide 5-ethoxy-3-trichloromethyl-1,2,4-thiadiazole and its mono-acid metabolite 3-carboxy-5-ethoxy-1,2,4-thiadiazole in or on the raw agricultural commodity strawberries at 0.2 part per million (ppm). This regulation was requested by the California Department of Food and Agriculture. This regulation establishes a maximum permissible level for residues of 5-ethoxy-3-trichloromethyl-1,2,4-thiadiazole and its metabolite in or on the raw agricultural commodity strawberries.

EFFECTIVE DATE: Effective on March 3, 1981.

ADDRESS: Written objections may be submitted to the: Hearing Clerk, Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. M-3708 (A-110), 401 M St. SW., Washington, D.C. 20460.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Clinton Fletcher, Registration Division (TS-767C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. 509C, CM#2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA 22202, (703-557-7123).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: EPA issued a notice that published in the **Federal Register** of November 21, 1980 (45 FR 77079) that the California Department of Food and Agriculture submitted pesticide petition number 8E2083 to the EPA. The petition proposed that a tolerance be established for residues of 5-ethoxy-3-trichloromethyl-1,2,4-thiadiazole and its metabolite in or the raw agricultural commodity strawberries at 0.2 part per million. No comments or request for referral to an advisory committee were received in response to this notice of proposed rulemaking.

The data submitted in the petition and all other available material have been evaluated. The pesticide is considered useful for the purpose for which the tolerance is sought.

Any person adversely affected by this regulation may, on or before April 2, 1981, file written objections with the Hearing Clerk, EPA, Rm. M-3708 (A-110), 401 M St. SW., Washington, D.C. 20460. Such objections should be submitted in quintuplicate and specify the provisions of the regulation deemed objectionable and the grounds for the objections. If a hearing is requested, the objections must state the issues for the hearing. A hearing will be granted if the objections are sufficient to justify the relief sought.

Under Executive Order 12044, EPA is required to judge whether a regulation is "significant" and therefore subject to the procedural requirements of the Order or whether it may follow other specialized development procedures. EPA labels these other regulations "specialized." This proposed regulation has been reviewed, and it has been determined that it is a specialized regulation not subject to the procedural requirements of Executive Order 12044.

(Sec. 408(e), 68 Stat. 514, (21 U.S.C. 346a(e)))

Dated: February 18, 1981.

James M. Conlon,

Associate Deputy Assistant Administrator for Pesticide Programs.

Therefore, it is proposed that Subpart C of 40 CFR Part 180 be amended by alphabetically inserting "strawberries" in the table under § 180.370 to read as follows:

§ 180.370 5-Ethoxy-3-trichloromethyl-1,2,4-thiadiazole; tolerances for residues.

* * * * *

Commodity	Part per million
* * * * *	
Strawberries	0.2

[FR Doc. 81-6721 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-32-M

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

41 CFR Part 101-40

[FPMR Amdt. G-49]

Transportation and Traffic Management Policies and Procedures; Correction

AGENCY: Transportation and Public Utilities Service, General Services Administration.

ACTION: Final rule; correction.

SUMMARY: This document corrects a typographical error in the final rule on transportation and traffic management policies and procedures that appeared in the **Federal Register** of Tuesday, December 30, 1980 (45 FR 85751).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joseph M. Napoli, Traffic Programs Branch, Transportation and Public Utilities Service, General Services Administration (TTMP), Washington, D.C. 20406 (202-275-0654). The following correction is made in FR Vol. 45, No. 251, appearing in the issue of December 30, 1980:

On page 85760, § 101-40.403-2 (Disqualification procedures), the 10th line of subparagraph (6) § 101-40.403-2(a)(6)) appearing at the top of the third colum is corrected to read "paragraph (a)(2)(iv) of this section, the" instead of "paragraph (b)(2)(iv) of this section, the."

(Sec. 205(c), 63 Stat. 390; 40 U.S.C. 486(c))

Dated: February 20, 1981.

Allan W. Beres,

Commissioner, Transportation and Public Utilities Service.

[FR Doc. 81-6779 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820-AN-M

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Office of Human Development Services

45 CFR Part 1357

Requirements Applicable to Title IV–B

AGENCY: Office of Human Development Services, HHS.

ACTION: Withdrawal of interim final rule.

SUMMARY: The Office of Human Development Services (OHDS) of the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) is withdrawing the interim final regulation specifying requirements for State eligibility for additional payments under title IV–B (Child Welfare Services Program) of the Social Security Act. The interim final regulation was published December 31, 1980 (45 FR 86812).

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 3, 1981.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Frank Ferro, Associate Chief, Children's Bureau (202) 755–7418.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On December 31, 1980, OHDS issued an interim final rule (45 FR 86812) that described systems and programs that the Secretary would find satisfactory as meeting the requirements of Section 427 of the Social Security Act, recently added by Pub. L. 96–272, the "Adoption Assistance and Child Welfare Act of 1980." Section 427 imposes requirements which States must meet to be eligible for additional payments under title IV–B. The interim final regulation established 45 CFR Part 1357, Requirements Applicable to Title IV–B, and 45 CFR 1357.30, Requirements for State eligibility for additional payments. The effective date of the interim final regulation was stated as December 31, 1980.

For the following reasons the Department is withdrawing the regulation:

Several sections of the regulation contain reporting and recordkeeping requirements. Under the Federal Reports Act, 44 U.S.C. 3509, these requirements must be reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) before they can be implemented. Because OMB has not yet reviewed these requirements, there is doubt as to whether portions of the regulation are legally effective. In light of the questionable effectiveness of the regulation, the Department believes that substantial confusion will result if the regulation is not withdrawn.

In addition, on December 31, 1980, the Department published a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) which was intended to include, at §§ 1357.30 and 1356.40(d), the same provisions as the interim final regulation. Because of a number of minor technical errors in the interim final regulations, there are inconsistencies between the two versions. The correct language appears in the NPRM. Therefore, in order to avoid the confusion resulting from having before the public two versions of the same regulation, the Department believes it is in the public interest to withdraw the interim final regulation.

The Department believes the foregoing reasons also constitute good cause for omitting notice and comment procedures concerning withdrawal of the regulation, as required under section 553(b) of the Administrative Procedures Act (APA) and for making the withdrawal effective immediately rather than waiting 30 days under section 553(d) of the APA. Any delay in withdrawing the interim final regulation to allow for public comment would be likely to increase existing confusion surrounding this situation.

Furthermore, by issuing this withdrawal without notice and comment, the Department is not denying the public an opportunity to comment on the regulations. The public has been invited to comment on these sections as they appear in the NPRM. The comment period extends through March 16, 1981. We believe it would be unnecessary and confusing to request comments on the withdrawal of a regulation while seeking comments on the adoption of the same requirements. Section 1357.30 remains as a proposed regulation for comment in the NPRM. This notice withdraws only the interim final rule.

PART 1357 [Removed]

Part 1357 of 45 CFR Chapter XIII is removed.

Dated: February 13, 1981.

Warren Master,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Human Development Services.

Approved: February 26, 1981.

Richard S. Schweiker,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 81-6777 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4110-92-M

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

49 CFR Part 1033

[S.O. 1492; Amdt. 1]

Chicago and North Western Transportation Company Authorized To Use Tracks and/or Facilities of Chicago, Milwaukee, St. Paul and Pacific Railroad Company, Debtor (Richard B. Ogilvie, Trustee)

AGENCY: Interstate Commerce Commission.

ACTION: Amendment No. 1 to Service Order No. 1492.

SUMMARY: Amendment No. 1 extends the expiration data of Service Order No. 1492 which authorizes Chicago and North Western Transportation Company to use tracks and/or facilities of Chicago, Milwaukee, St. Paul and Pacific Railroad Company, Debtor (Richard B. Ogilvie, Trustee) (MILW). The MILW Trustee has indicated that sufficient progress has been made in negotiations on compensation and that he concurs with this extension.

EFFECTIVE: 11:59 p.m., February 28, 1981, and continuing in effect until 11:59 p.m., March 30, 1981, unless modified, amended or vacated by order of this Commission.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: M. F. Clemens, Jr., (202) 275–7840.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Decided February 25, 1981.

Upon further consideration of Service Order No. 1492, (46 FR 10740), and good cause appearing therefor:

It is ordered, § 1033.1492, *Chicago and North Western Transportation Company authorized to use tracks and/or facilities of the Chicago, Milwaukee, St. Paul and Pacific Railroad Company, Debtor, (Richard B. Ogilvie, Trustee)* is amended by substituting the following paragraph (N) for paragraph (N) thereof:

(N) *Expiration date.* The provisions of this order are extended to permit an additional (30) thirty days for the Chicago and North Western Transportation Company to undertake compensation negotiations, and shall expire at 11:59 p.m., March 30, 1981, unless otherwise modified, amended or vacated by order of this Commission.

Effective date. This amendment shall become effective at 11:59 p.m., February 28, 1981.

This action is taken under the authority of 49 U.S.C. 10304–10305 and 11121–11126.

This amendment shall be served upon the Association of American Railroads, Car Service Division, as agent of the railroads subscribing to the car service and car hire agreement under the terms of that agreement and upon the American Short Line Railroad Association. Notice of this amendment shall be given to the general public by depositing a copy in the Office of the Secretary of the Commission at Washington, D.C., and by filing a copy with the Director, Office of the Federal Register.

By the Commission, Railroad Service Board, members Joel E. Burns, Robert S. Turkington and John H. O'Brien.

Agatha L. Mergenovich,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 81-6782 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7035-01-M

---

49 CFR Part 1033

[S.O. 1494; Amdt. 1]

Des Moines Union Railway Company Authorized To Use Tracks and/or Facilities of Chicago, Milwaukee, St. Paul and Pacific Railroad Company, Debtor, (Richard B. Ogilvie, Trustee)

AGENCY: Interstate Commerce Commission.

ACTION: Amendment No. 1 to Service Order No. 1494.

SUMMARY: Amendment No. 1 extends the expiration date of Service Order No. 1494, which authorizes Des Moines Union Railway Company to use tracks and/or facilities of Chicago, Milwaukee, St. Paul and Pacific Railroad Company, Debtor, (Richard B. Ogilvie, Trustee) (MILW). The MILW Trustee has indicated that sufficient progress has been made in negotiations on compensation and that he concurs with this extension.

EFFECTIVE: 11:59 p.m., February 28, 1981, and continuing in effect until 11:59 p.m., March 30, 1981, unless modified, amended or vacated by order of this Commission.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

M. F. Clemens, Jr., (202) 275–7840.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Decided February 25, 1981

Upon further consideration of Service Order No. 1494 (46 FR 10741), and good cause appearing therefor:

It is ordered, § 1033.1494 *DES MOINES UNION RAILWAY COMPANY AUTHORIZED TO USE TRACKS AND/OR FACILITIES OF THE CHICAGO, MILWAUKEE, ST. PAUL AND PACIFIC, DEBTOR, (RICHARD B. OGILVIE, TRUSTEE)* Service Order No. 1494 is amended by substituting the following paragraph (N) for paragraph (N) thereof:

(N) *Expiration date.* The provisions of this order are extended to permit an additional (30) thirty days for the Des Moines Union Railway Company to undertake compensation negotiations, and shall expire at 11:59 p.m., March 30, 1981, unless otherwise modified, amended or vacated by order of this Commission.

Effective date. This amendment shall become effective at 11:59 p.m., February 28, 1981.

This action is taken under the authority of 49 U.S.C. 10304–10305 and 11121–11126.

This amendment shall be served upon the Association of American Railroads, Car Service Division, as agent of the railroads subscribing to the car service and car hire agreement under the terms of that agreement and upon the American Short Line Railroad Association. Notice of this amendment shall be given to the general public by depositing a copy in the Office of the Secretary of the Commission at Washington, D.C., and by filing a copy with the Director, Office of the Federal Register.

By the Commission, Railroad Service Board, members Joel E. Burns, Robert S. Turkington and John H. O'Brien.

Agatha L. Mergenovich,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 81-6780 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7035-01-M

---

49 CFR Part 1033

[S.O. 1493; Amdt.1]

Escanaba and Lake Superior Railroad Company Authorized To Use Tracks and/or Facilities of Chicago, Milwaukee, St. Paul and Pacific Railroad Company, Debtor (Richard B. Ogilvie, Trustee)

AGENCY: Interstate Commerce Commission.

ACTION: Amendment No. 1 to service order No. 1493.

SUMMARY: Amendment No. 1 extends the expiration date of Service Order No. 1493, which authorizes Escanaba and Lake Superior Railroad Company to use tracks and/or facilities of Chicago, Milwaukee, St. Paul and Pacific Railroad Company, Debtor, (Richard B. Ogilvie, Trustee) (MILW). The MILW Trustee has indicated that sufficient progress has been made in negotiations on compensation and that he concurs with this extension.

EFFECTIVE: 11:59 p.m., February 28, 1981, and continuing in effect until 11:59 p.m., March 30, 1981, unless modified, amended or vacated by order of this Commission.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

M. F. Clemens, Jr., (202) 275–7840.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Decided February 25, 1981.

Upon further consideration of Service Order No. 1493 (46 FR 10742), and good cause appearing therefor:

It is ordered, § 1033.1493 *Escanaba and Lake Superior Railroad Company authorized to use tracks and/or facilities of the Chicago, Milwaukee, St. Paul and Pacific, debtor, (Richard B. Ogilvie, Trustee)* Service Order No. 1493 is amended by substituting the following paragraph (N) for paragraph (N) thereof:

(N) *Expiration date.* The provisions of this order are extended to permit an additional (30) thirty days for the Escanaba and Lake Superior Railroad Co. pany to undertake compensation negotiations, and shall expire at 11:59 p.m., March 30, 1981, unless otherwise modified, amended or vacated by order of this Commission.

Effective date. This amendment shall become effective at 11:59 p.m., February 28, 1981.

This action is taken under the authority of 49 U.S.C. 10304–10305 and 11121–11126.

This amendment shall be served upon the Association of American Railroads; Car Service Division, as agent of the railroads subscribing to the car service and car hire agreement under the terms of that agreement and upon the American Short Line Railroad Association. Notice of this amendment shall be given to the general public by depositing a copy in the Office of the Secretary of the Commission at Washington, D.C., and by filing a copy with the Director, Office of the Federal Register.

By the Commission, Railroad Service Board, members Joel E. Burns, Robert S. Turkington and John H. O'Brien.

Agatha L. Mergenovich,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 81-6781 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7035-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Occupational Safety and Health Administration

29 CFR Part 1910

[Docket No. H-004M]

Occupational Exposure to Lead

AGENCY: Occupational Safety and Health Administration, Labor.

ACTION: Delay of effective date of new trigger levels for medical removal protection.

SUMMARY: OSHA is delaying the effective date of the new trigger levels for medical removal protection under the lead standard from March 1, 1981 to April 1, 1981 (§ 1910.1025(k)). This action is taken upon the request of several industry parties and will allow for the submission and evaluation of additional information from all interested persons concerning any further relief which may be appropriate.

DATES: The effective date of the new trigger levels is April 1, 1981. All data and comments must be received by March 20, 1981.

ADDRESS: All comments should be submitted to the Docket Officer, Docket No. H-004M, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, Room S6212, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20210. Telephone (202) 523-7894.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. Robert P. Beliles, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, Room N3718, U.S. Department of Labor, Washington, D.C. 20210; telephone (202) 523-7081.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On November 13, 1978, OSHA issued a new standard on occupational exposure to lead under the authority of section 6(b) of the Occupational Safety and Health Act, 29 U.S.C. 655(b). The standard was published in the **Federal Register** on November 14 and 21, 1978 (43 FR 52952; 43 FR 54354) and codified at 29 CFR 1910.1025.

The standard, in addition to requirements for reduction of airborne lead exposures, monitoring, protective equipment, training, medical surveillance, and other protective measures, also contains a requirement for the removal of employees whose blood lead levels exceed specified values and for their transfer to lower exposure positions or, in the absence of such positions, for their removal from the workplace. While employees are transferred or removed from the workplace, the employer must maintain their earnings and other employment rights. These employees would then be returned to employment when their blood lead levels drop below specified levels. This provision, known as the medical removal protection ("MRP") requirement, is contained in paragraph (k) of § 1910.1025.

To assure the feasibility of this provision and in recognition of the existing elevated blood lead levels of many exposed employees, the standard provided for a progressive phase-in of the levels which would trigger the removal and subsequent return of employees to lead exposure positions. During the first year of the standard, beginning March 1, 1979, employees would have to be removed if the concentration of lead in their blood was at or above 80 micrograms of lead per 100 grams of whole blood (80 μg/100g). These employees could be returned when their blood lead levels dropped to 60 μg/100g. Starting March 1, 1980, employees with blood lead levels at or above 70 μg/100g would have to be removed and could not be returned until blood lead dropped to 50 μg/100g. Beginning March 1, 1981, the third year of the standard, the trigger levels for removal and return were scheduled to drop to a 60 μg/100g removal level and 40 μg/100g return level.

Several employers and industry groups representing a cross-section of those affected by the lead standard, have petitioned OSHA for a one-year delay in the March 1, 1981 effective date of the new trigger levels. They have alleged that the application of the new trigger levels on March 1 is infeasible because it would necessitate the removal of many skilled employees, including supervisors, foremen and maintenance workers, whose blood lead levels currently exceed 60 μg/100g. They further state that these employees would have to be on removal status for lengthy periods of time until their blood leads dropped below 40 μg/100g, and that because of their skills and experience they could not be easily replaced. As a result, there would be serious adverse effects on the continued operation and productivity of their plants, including the health and safety controls utilized in these industries.

Responses to these requests for a delay in the effective date have been received from several unions, generally challenging the adequacy of the factual basis for the requested delay and suggesting that any delay which is granted be limited in scope and time to those employers and plants where serious feasibility problems can be demonstrated.

These requests and responses raise serious questions concerning the feasibility of the new trigger levels and what relief is appropriate to prevent the disruptions which may occur while protecting employees from harmful exposures to lead. The information submitted so far by affected industries and unions does not fully indicate the precise extent and scope of the problem or the appropriate relief, including an alternative protection which might be warranted.

In light of these uncertainties and other gaps in the evidence submitted, OSHA has decided as an interim measure to delay the effective date of the new trigger values, provided in §§ 1910.1025(k)(1)(i)(C) and 1910.1025(k)(1)(iii)(A)(*3*), until April 1, 1981, for all employers covered by the lead standard. This brief delay will enable all interested persons to submit additional information and views concerning the extent and scope of any appropriate relief, and will enable to the agency to evaluate the requests and determine what long-term action is appropriate.

All interested persons are invited to submit information and views on all issues involved in the requests for delay in the effective date of the new medical removal protection trigger levels. Since there is a very brief period of time available, all comments must be received by March 20, 1981 to be assured of consideration by the agency. Written comments should be submitted, in quadruplicate, to the OSHA Docket Office, Docket No. H-004M, Room S6212, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20210, telephone (202) 523-7894. The requests and responses received so far, as well as all comments received in

response to this notice, will be available for inspection and copying at the Docket Office.

In view of the very brief time available and the limited nature of the delay in the effective date provided herein, OSHA has determined that public notice and comment on the one-month delay are impracticable, unnecessary and contrary to the public interest, within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. 553(b) and section 6(b) of the Occupational Safety and Health Act.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 27th day of February 1981.

David C. Zeigler,

Acting Assistant Secretary of Labor.

[FR Doc. 81-6942 Filed 3-2-81; 10:20 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-26-M

Proposed Rules

Federal Register
Vol. 46, No. 41
Tuesday, March 3, 1981

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices to the public of the proposed issuance of rules and regulations. The purpose of these notices is to give interested persons an opportunity to participate in the rule making prior to the adoption of the final rules.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Food Safety and Quality Service

7 CFR Part 2851

[Docket No. 80-028E]

Solicitation of Information on Proposed Shelled Peanut Standards; Extension of Comment Period

AGENCY: Food Safety and Quality Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of extension of comment period on solicitation of information on proposed shelled peanuts standards.

SUMMARY: The Food Safety and Quality Service has been requested to extend the comment period on the Solicitation of Information on Proposed Rules for Shelled Peanuts published in the **Federal Register** on February 3, 1981. The extension has been requested by the Southeastern Peanut Association to provide additional time for peanut industry representatives to arrange a national meeting on the proposed U.S. Standards for Grades of Shelled Peanuts. In response to the request, the Agency is extending the comment period to April 2, 1981.

DATE: Comments and information must be received on or before April 2, 1981.

ADDRESS: Written comments to: Regulations Coordination Division, Attn: Annie Johnson, Food Safety and Quality Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Room 2637, South Agriculture Building, Washington, DC 20250.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Michael A. Canon, Fruit and Vegetable Quality Division, Food Safety and Quality Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, DC 20250, (202) 447-2093. The Draft Impact Analysis describing the options considered in developing the proposed rule and the impact of implementing each option is available from the above-named individual.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On February 3, 1981, the Food Safety and Quality Service (FSQS) published a solicitation of information (46 FR 10498) on proposed U.S. Standards for Grades of Shelled Peanuts. The solicitation requested further industry comments on the proposed standards which were published December 14, 1979, (44 FR 72599). Interested persons were given until March 5, 1981, to comment.

The Southeastern Peanut Association, representing growers and shellers in Georgia, Alabama, Mississippi, and Florida, has requested additional time to make comments on the FSQS alternate proposals presented in the solicitation of information. They wish to meet with the Southwestern Peanut Shellers Association and the Virginia-Carolina Peanut Association, co-originators of the request to revise the U.S. standards for shelled peanuts. Representatives of these and other industry organizations require additional time to formalize meeting arrangements. In order to resolve industry differences concerning the alternate proposal presented by FSQS in the solicitation for comments, additional time is needed for industry members to formulate their responses.

Since the Agency is interested in receiving meaningful data, the Agency has determined that these circumstances are considered sufficient justification for extending the comment period to April 2, 1981.

Done at Washington, D.C., on February 26, 1981.

L. L. Gast,

Acting Administrator, Food Safety and Quality Service.

[FR Doc. 81-6815 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-DM

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

18 CFR Parts 154, 157, 250, and 260

[Docket No. RM81-16]

Notice of Proposed Rulemaking To Discontinue Certain Producer Reports and Related Form Nos. 108 and 314-B, and To Reinstitute and Revise Producer Filing Instructions

Issued February 19, 1981.

AGENCY: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: By this Notice, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) proposes to eliminate in their entirety, FPC Form No. 108, "Rate Schedule Analysis on a Continuing Current Basis" (18 C.F.R. § 260.6), and FPC Form No. 314-B, "Annual Statement for Independent Producers Holding Small Producers Exemptions" (18 C.F.R. § 154.104).

In place of Form No. 108—which is composed of five separate schedules—the Commission would substitute the following reporting requirements:

In lieu of Schedule No. 502, "Independent Producer Rate Schedule Identification and Contract Data," and Schedule No. 503, "Independent Producer Rate Schedule Pricing Provisions and Actual Quality Data," the Commission proposes to reinstate a simplified version of 18 C.F.R. § 250.5, "Form of Contract Summary to be Filed by All Applicants for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity, Including Successors in Interest."

In lieu of Schedule No. 507, the Commission proposes the addition of a new section—18 C.F.R. § 250.14, "Initial Billing Statement or Notice of Change in Rate."

Schedule Nos. 501 and 505 are proposed to be entirely deleted.

These proposed changes would result not only in the complete elimination of Schedule Nos. 501 and 505 of Form No. 108 but also in the reduction by approximately 72 percent of the current reporting requirements in Schedule Nos. 502, 503 and 507.

Form No. 314-B is to be deleted in its entirety, and will be replaced by a "single statement" filing requirement that must be filed by any small producer who loses that status by producing more than 10 BCF per year.

The total reduction resulting from these changes in the reporting requirements is 88 percent. These reductions are made as part of an ongoing effort at the Commission to eliminate unnecessary reporting burdens and to update its forms, and are based upon the Commission's conclusion that the information proposed to be deleted

is no longer needed by the Commission to carry out its regulatory duties.

DATE: Comments are due by April 6, 1981.

ADDRESS: Comments to this Notice should be addressed to: Office of the Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, and should reference Docket No. RM81-16.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Marvin Hirsh, Chief, General Reports Branch, Office of Pipeline and Producer Regulation, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Room 6410, Washington, D.C. 20426, Phone: (202) 357-8748.

Discontinuance of Producer Reports and Related Form Nos. 108 and 314-B, and Reinstitution and Revision of Producer Filing Instructions; Notice of Proposed Rulemaking

Issued February 19, 1981.

The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) is engaged in an ongoing effort to eliminate unnecessary reporting burdens. This rulemaking would discontinue two of the Commission's reporting requirements: FPC Form No. 314-B, "Annual Statement for Independent Producers Holding Small Producer Exemptions," and FPC Form No. 108, "Rate Schedule Analysis on a Continuing Current Basis."

Two of the five schedules in Form No. 108 would be deleted in their entirety (Schedule Nos. 501 and 505), as would be the supporting documents to the form. In place of two of the remaining three schedules (Schedule Nos. 502 and 503), the Commission proposes to reinstate a simplified reporting requirement. The remaining Form No. 108 schedule (Schedule No. 507) would be replaced by a new, shorter reporting requirement.

Form No. 314-B would be replaced by a requirement that a company file a single statement if it loses its small producer status.

The deletions and amendments proposed in this rulemaking would result in a significant reduction in the respondent's reporting burden; indeed, the Commission recently decided to suspend a number of filings under these two forms.[1]

I. Background

A. Form No. 108

Form No. 108 is prescribed by 18 C.F.R. § 260.6.[2] It requires the reporting of current information from large independent gas producers concerning natural gas flowing in interstate commerce. The form is designed for computer responses.

Form No. 108 currently consists of five schedules: No. 501, "Independent Producer Natural Gas Sales Summary"; No. 502, "Independent Producer Rate Schedule Identification and Contract Data"; No. 503, "Independent Producer Rate Schedule Pricing Provisions and Actual Quality Data"; No. 505, "Independent Producer Annual Rate Schedule Sales Data"; and No. 507, "Independent Producer Rate Change Filing or Initial Billing Statement". Much of the information collected by Form No. 108 had been used for biennial national rate adjustments under section 4 of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) (15 U.S.C. §§ 717-717w). However, with the passage of the Natural Gas Policy Act (NGPA), (15 U.S.C. §§ 3301-3432), the biennial rate adjustments are no longer required. Therefore, the Form No. 108 data bank is obsolete, and should be eliminated.

1. *Schedule Nos. 501 and 505.* Schedule Nos. 501, "Independent Producer Natural Gas Sales Summary", and 505, "Independent Producer Annual Rate Schedule Sales Data", are annual gas sales, volume, and revenue reports. These reports are inadequate for NGPA compliance purposes in that they do not identify: (a) which first sales of gas from individual wells have been found by state agencies to fall into specific NGPA maximum lawful pricing categories,[3] and (b) which first sales of gas were subject to sections 104 and 106(a) of the NGPA. In addition, several of the wells dedicated to interstate sales contracts that have been subject to the NGPA well determination process are operated by either small producers or pipeline operators; and they are not required to file information on Schedule Nos. 501 and 505.

The Commission's field audit program is proving to be an effective means of assuring producer and pipeline compliance with the NGPA and with the Commission's regulations. Furthermore, actual volume and revenue data for individual or company-wide sales may be obtained from producers and pipelines as needed by the Commission or by the Energy Information Administration (EIA) through specific data requests. For these reasons, the Commission proposes that Schedule Nos. 501 and 505 of Form No. 108 should be entirely discontinued, and not replaced.

2. *Schedule Nos. 502 and 503.* Schedule Nos. 502, "Independent Producer Rate Schedule Identification and Contract Data" and 503, "Independent Producer Rate Schedule Pricing Provisions and Actual Quality Data" collect summaries of contract data. They are required to be filed every time a producer submits a new contract or a contract amendment.

While passage of the Natural Gas Policy Act has made the continued filing of much of the Form No. 108 data unnecessary, sections 4 and 7 of the Natural Gas Act still require that certain certificate and rate filings be made for gas that is "committed or dedicated to interstate commerce" within the meaning of section 2(18) of the NGPA.

Therefore, in lieu of Schedule Nos. 502 and 503, the Commission proposes, in effect, to reinstate § 250.5 of the Commission's regulations, to provide for Format No. FERC 558, "Contract Summary to be Filed by all Applicants for Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity, Including Successors in Interest." Section 250.5 had been deleted by the Commission Order which established Form No. 108. (*See* footnote no. 2 above.) This revised § 250.5 would include certain NGPA pricing data which is currently provided for in Schedule Nos. 502 and 503. It would also include a limited number of new data elements which are essential to a certificate application. Certain elements would also be added for clarification purposes. Format No. FERC 558 would, however, be designed for human (*i.e.*, non-computer) use. It would also represent an 82 percent reduction in data elements from Schedule Nos. 502 and 503, and would eliminate a considerable reporting burden for affected respondents.

3. *Schedule No. 507.* Schedule No. 507, "Independent Producer Rate Change Filing or Initial Billing Statement" reports the initial rate which a producer proposes to charge under its requested certificate for a newly certificated sale of natural gas under section 7 of the NGA, or a change in the rate which a producer proposes to make in its filed rate under section 4 of the NGA.[4]

[1] In anticipation of this Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, the Commission issued an order on May 5, 1980, to suspend, until further notice, the filing of Schedule Nos. 501 and 505 of Form No. 108, and the filing of Form No. 314-B (45 *Fed. Reg.* 33600, May 20, 1980).

[2] Form No. 108 was instituted by Order No. 556, Docket No. 76-10, 41 *Fed. Reg.* 52441, November 30, 1976, and revised by Order No. 556-A, Docket No. RM76-10, 42 *Fed. Reg.* 41271, August 16, 1977.

[3] *See* §§ 102, 103, 107 and 108 of the NGPA.

[4] Many changes in rate filings have been eliminated under the blanket affadavit procedures adopted in Order No. 15 (issued November 17, 1978, 43 *Fed. Reg.* 55756, November 29, 1978; amended by

Continued

The Commission believes that the data in Schedule No. 507 could be reported in a simpler format. Thus, new Format No. FERC 559, "Independent Producer Rate Change or Initial Billing Statement", is proposed to be set out at § 250.14 of the Commission's regulations. Format No. FERC 559 would collect some of the same data as Schedule No. 507. Certain new elements would be included to conform to requirements of the NGPA. However, as a result of the simplification of the format and deletion of items which are not essential to the report, a 30 percent net reduction in data elements would result, as compared to Schedule No. 507. Format No. FERC 559 would also be designed for human (*i.e.*, non-computer) use. Finally, the new format could be used for either of two purposes, *i.e.*, as an Initial Billing Statement, or as a Notice of Change in Rate Filing.

B. Form No. 314–B

Section 154.104 of the Commission's regulations requires that small producers file annual reports of interstate sales. The data are submitted on Form No. 314–B. This report is used to identify small producers and their affiliates whose combined annual jurisdictional sales exceed 10 million Mcf, so that such certificates may be cancelled with respect to future sales. Small producer status is terminated as of April 1 of the year immediately following the calendar year in which a producer's jurisdictional sales (including affiliate sales) exceeded 10 million Mcf. Small producer status is also lost if a small producer merges with, is acquired by, or otherwise become affiliated with: (a) another producer, and the total jurisdictional sales of such parties exceeds 10 million Mcf, or (b) a Class A pipeline company. This annual report currently constitutes notice to the Commission of such excess sales. (*See* § 157.40 (a)(1) and (d).

Since enactment of the Natural Gas Policy Act, which prescribes the maximum statutory prices for producer sales in both interstate and intrastate markets, the annual reporting requirements for interstate small producer sales are of minimal value to the Commission's regulatory responsibilities. Therefore, the Form No. 314–B and the reporting burden that it represents could be eliminated, without any apparent detriment.

The Commission accordingly proposes to require that a company report a loss of small producer status. This information would, however, be required merely in the form of a simple statement to the Commission that the company's sales have exceeded 10 million Mcf during the previous calendar year.

II. Summary of Changes

A. Form No. 108

The Commission proposes to delete the "Form No. 108" and the following supporting documents to the Form in their entirety:

Schedule Nos. 501 and 505; the Cover Sheet; Table of Contents; Schedule 0000, "Footnotes to FPC Public Use Schedules"; Directions for Submitting Completed Schedules to the FPC; Attestation; Index; and the Register of Data Standards.

Schedule Nos. 502 and 503 would be replaced by a single noncomputer format, Format No. FERC 558, set out at § 250.5 of the Commission's regulations. The following changes in the reporting requirement would result:

Deletions

Current Schedule Nos. 502 and 503

Respondent Code
Submission Period
Page Footnote
Original—Revision
Page of Pages
FERC Rate Schedule Number
Multiple Analysis Code
Reason for Multiple Analysis
Supplement Number
Proposed Effective Date

Current Schedule No. 502

Contract Date
Contract Ratification Date
Contract Renegotiation Date
Well Spud-In Date Category
Buyer Code
First State, First County Code
First State, Second County Code
First FPC Area Code
Second State, First County Code
Second State, Second County Code
Second FPC Area Code
Supplement Filing Date
Supplement Effective Date
Supplement Effective Date—Estimated-Indicator
Supplement Effective Date—Indefinite
Type Supplemental Filing
Rate Schedule Effective Date—Actual
Rate Schedule Effective Date—Indefinite
Contract Initial Term
Contract Term Extended
Contract Term—Date From
Contract Term—Indefinite Date From
Contract Measurement Pressure Base
Analysis Code Pressure Base
Type of Gas Seller
Status of Contract
Maximum Contract Delivery Pressure—First Set
Maximum Contract Delivery Pressure Indicator—First Set
Minimum Contract Delivery Pressure—First Set
Minimum Contract Delivery Pressure Indicator—First Set
Maximum Contract Delivery Pressure—Second Set
Maximum Contract Delivery Pressure Indicator—Second Set
Minimum Contract Delivery Pressure—Second Set
Minimum Contract Delivery Pressure Indicator—Second Set
Processing Rights
Actual Delivery Points
Actual Delivery Points
Actual Measurement Point
Actual Measurement Point
Actual Measurement Point
Take-or-Pay Provision
Accelerated Take Provision
Btu Requirements, Minimum
Btu Requirements, Price Adjustable Below
Btu Adustment, Type Downward
Btu Requirements, Maximum
Btu Requirements, Price Adjustable Above
Btu Adjustment, Type Above Maximum Total Sulphur
Maximum Sulfur Commercially Free Indicator
Maximum Hydrogen Sulfide
Maximum Water
Maximum Water Abbreviation
Maximum Total Diluent
Maximum CO_2, Percent
Maximum Oxygen, Percent
Maximum Nitrogen, Percent
Maximum Liquid Hydrocarbons
Maximum Liquid Hydrocarbons Indicator
Purity Clause Indicator
FERC Field Plant Code
Field Depth Limitation
Effective Date of Seller—Buyer Change
Seller Buyer Code
Name Change Indicator
Type of Change Indicator
Type Identification Change Indicator
Rate Schedule Name Suffix
Prior/Present Rate Schedule Identification Number

Current Schedule No. 503

Revenue Sharing Clause
Spiral Escalation Clause
Liquid Revenue Clause
Favored Nation Clause
FERC Area Rate Clause
Area Rate Clause, Actual Date From
Deregulation Clause
Tax Reimbursement, Percent
Maximum Tax Reimbursement
Percent Gas Well Gas
Percent Casinghead Gas
Percent Residue Gas
Residue Gas Mixture—Gas Well
Residue Gas Mixture—Casinghead
Delivery Pressure Indicator
Actual Average Btu
Average Btu Content Indicator
Fixed Increase—Actual Date
Fixed Increase—Indefinite Date
Fixed Increase—Base Rate
Type Impurity
Impurity Content
Impurity Content Indicator

Order No. 15–A, issued December 28, 1978, 44 *Fed. Reg.* 1100, January 4, 1979), and in Order No. 25 (issued March 27, 1979, 44 *Fed. Reg.* 19389, April 3, 1979).

Waiver of Price Renegotiation/ Redetermination
Price Renegotiation/Redetermination Indicator
Current Ratio to Reserves Denominator
Estimated Annual Sales Volume
Price Redetermination Date, Actual
Price Redetermination Date, Indefinite
Historical Annual Sales, Year
Historical Annual Sales, Volume

Seller Additive Charge—Type, Seller Additive Charge—Amount, Buyer Deductive Charge—Type, and Buyer Deductive Charge—Amount would be combined into one element in Format No. FERC 558.

Additions

Person Responsible for Application
Location of Sale—State and County Name
Estimated Sales Volume—Monthly
Assignor's FERC Docket Nos.
Proposed Disposition of Rate Schedule
Suspension Docket Number
Price Subject to Refund, Dollars/MMBtu
Date Price Made Effective Subject to Refund
Estimated Amount Subject to Annual Refund
Bond or Undertaking Intention

Schedule No. 507 would be replaced by a non-computer format, Format No. FERC 559, set out at § 250.14 of the Commission's regulations. The following changes in the reporting requirements would result:

Deletions

Respondent Code
Submission Period
Page—Footnote
Original—Revision
Page of Pages
Multiple Analysis Code
Reason for Multiple Analysis
FPC Rate Schedule Supplement Number
Type Rate Schedule Identification
Buyer Code
State Abbreviation
County Code
Field/Plant Code
Proposed Effective Date—Indefinite
Proposed Revenue Differences—DOL
Tax Reimbursement, CTS/Mcf
Other Charges, CTS/Mcf
Other Charges—Dehydration, CTS/Mcf
Other Charges—Compression, CTS/Mcf
Other Charges—Treating, CTS/Mcf
Other Charges—Other, CTS/Mcf
Line Sequence Number

Type of Rate Change and Other Type of Rate Change would be combined into one element in format No. FERC 559.

Additions

Total Rate, CTS/MMBtu
Covered by Affidavit under § 154.94(h)
Rate Under Sections 102(d) or 108 of NGPA
FERC Notice of Determination Control Number
Effective Date of Determination
Date

County Name, and State Name requirements which are currently prescribed in Schedule No. 507 would be modified for inclusion in Format No. FERC 559.

B. Form No. 314–B

Form No. 314–B would be deleted in its entirety. Only a statement to the effect that annual producer sales have exceeded 10,000,000 Mcf would be required to be filed by the producer, with the Commission and with its purchasers.

III. Certification of no Significant Economic Impact

The Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA),[5] requires certain statements, descriptions, and analyses of proposed rules that will have "a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities."

Pursuant to section 605(b) of the RFA, the Commission finds that the provisions of that Act do not apply to this rulemaking. If promulgated, this rulemaking would simplify the reporting requirements for independent natural gas producers, by eliminating two cumbersome reports, Form No. 108, "Rate Schedule Analysis on a Continuing Current Basis", and Form No. 314–B, "Annual Statement for Independent Producers Holding Small Producer Exemptions".

Two of the five schedules in Form No. 108 would be deleted in their entirety, two others would be replaced by Format No. FERC 558, and another schedule would be replaced by Format No. 559. Format Nos. FERC 558 and 559 would be simplified, noncomputer reporting requirements which would result in a significant reduction in respondent reporting burdens. Neither the current Form No. 108 nor the proposed revised formats, Format Nos. FERC 558 and 559, impose any requirements upon "small producers" (*i.e.*, independent producers of natural gas who are not affiliated with a Class A natural gas pipeline company and whose total "jurisdictional sales" on a nationwide basis, together with sales by affiliated producers were not in excess of 10,000,000 Mcf during the preceding calendar year) (*see* 18 C.F.R. 157.40(a)). Only those independent producers whose sales exceed 10,000,000 Mcf during the preceding calendar year would submit these filings.

Form No. 314–B would be replaced by a "single statement" filing which would be required of any small producer who loses that status by producing more than 10,000,000 Mcf per year.

As such, this rulemaking does not impose any regulatory or administrative burden upon small entities nor does it require an expense of resources by such entities. For these reasons, the Commission hereby certifies that this rulemaking, if promulgated, would not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities.

IV. Written Comment Procedures

The Commission invites interested persons to submit written data, views and other information concerning the matters set out in this Notice. An orginal and 14 copies of such comments should be filed with the Commission by April 6, 1981. Comments should be submitted to the Office of the Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426 and should reference Docket No. RM81–16.

All written submissions will be placed in the Commission's public files and will be available for public inspection in the Commission's Office of Public Information, Room 1000, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426 during regular business hours.

(Department of Energy Organization Act, 42 U.S.C. 7101–7352; Natural Gas Policy Act, 15 U.S.C. 3301–3432, Natural Gas Act, 15 U.S.C. 717–717w; Regulatory Flexibility Act, Pub. L. No. 96–354; E.O. 12009, 3 C.F.R. 142)

In consideration of the foregoing, the Commission proposes to amend Parts 154, 157, 250 and 260, of Chapter I, Title 18 of the Code of Federal Regulations as set forth below.

By direction of the Commission.

Kenneth F. Plumb,
Secretary.

1. Section 154.92 is amended by revising the second sentence of paragraph (a) and the second sentence of paragraph (b) to read as set forth below; and removing paragraph (e) in its entirety.

PART 154—RATE SCHEDULES AND TARIFFS

§ 154.92 Filing of rate schedules by independent producer.

(a) * * * To each such rate schedule, there shall be attached a billing statement, in the format specified in § 250.14.

(b) * * * To each such rate schedule there shall be attached a statement, in the format specified in § 250.14, which shows the estimated sales and billing for the first month of service, in sufficient detail to show the method of billing and price used.

* * * * *

(e) [Removed]

* * * * *

2. Section 154.94 is amended by revising paragraph (f), and Appendix A in the fourth paragraph of Item II of the

[5] 5 U.S.C. §§ 601–612 (Pub. L. 96–354).

Instructions for Competing Exhibit A to read as follows:

§ 154.94 Changes in rate shcedules.

* * * * *

(f) Notice of change in rate level. An independent producer who is proposing a contractual change in rates, charges, etc., shall file the information specified in § 250.14.

* * * * *

Appendix A to § 154.94

* * * * *

Exhibit A

* * * * *

Instructions for Completing Exhibit A

* * * * *

II. *Specific Instructions. Respondent Name and Code:*

* * * * *

Applicable Just and Reasonable Rate Previously Established Under the NGA: Enter either the base rate (Mcf at 14.73 psia) reflected in the last notice of change in rate submitted for the vintage involved or the base rate reflected in the initial billing statement. *See* § 250.14 of this chapter.

* * * * *

§ 154.104 [Removed]

3. Section 154.104 is removed in its entirety.

4. Section 157.24 is amended by revising the first sentence of paragraph (a) to read as follows:

PART 157—APPLICATIONS FOR CERTIFICATES OF PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY AND FOR ORDERS PERMITTING AND APPROVING ABANDONMENT UNDER SECTION 7 OF THE NATURAL GAS ACT

§ 157.24 Contents of application.

(a) Every application for a certificate of public convenience and necessity required under § 157.23 shall be filed with the Commission and shall contain, in the form specified in § 250.5, a summary of each contract for the sale or transportation of natural gas for which a certificate is requested. * * *

5. Section 157.40 is amended in paragraph (a)(1) by revising the last two sentences of the second paragraph, which begins as set forth below, to read as follows:

§ 157.40 Exemption of small producers from certain filing requirements.

(a) Definitions. (1) * * *

Upon termination of small producer status * * *

If such termination occurs as a result of merger or affiliation, the producer shall give notice thereof to its purchasers and to the Commission within 30 days of the effective date of such occurrence. If such termination occurs as a result of sales having exceeded the 10,000,000 Mcf limitation during a calendar year, the producer shall give notice thereof to its purchasers and to the Commission by letter to be submitted on or before April 1 of the following year.

* * * * *

6. A new § 250.5 is added to read as follows:

PART 250—FORMS

§ 250.5 Contract summary to be filed by all applicants for certificates of public convenience and necessity, including successors in interest. (See § 157.24(a) of this chapter.)

Note.— All applicants shall complete Part I. An applicant who is an assignee (including farmout) filing as a successor shall also complete Part II–A and Part II–B if the related rate schedule is under suspension, if the rate is in effect subject to refund, or if the sale is being made by the assignor under temporary certificate with a rate refund condition. The reporting pressure base is 14.73 psia.

Date _____

Part I

(1) Name of applicant _____
(2) Person responsible for application:
  (a) Name and title _____
  (b) Mailing address _____
  (c) Telephone No _____
(3) Name of purchaser _____
(4) Are applicant and purchaser affiliated?
  No _____
  Yes _____
(5) Location of sale:
  (Field, County, State) _____
(6) Type of application [1] _____
(7) Date of contract _____
(8) Total price in dollars per MMBtu (including all adjustments and tax reimbursement)—
(9) Specify adjustments included in total price per MMBtu in (8) above [2] _____
(10) Estimated sales volumes (Mcf per month)
(11) Delivery pressure _____
(12) Delivery point e.g., wellhead, plant tailgate, central point in field, etc _____
(13) Other special conditions affecting price—
(14) Advance payments:
  Yes _____
  No _____

Part II–A

(15) Assignor _____
(16) Description of service to be continued:
(a) FERC Docket No.(s) under which assignor was originally authorized: _____
(b) Proposed disposition of assignor's FERC Gas Rate Schedule(s) _____

Part II–B

(17) Suspension Docket No _____
(18) Price currently being collected subject to refund (in dollars per MMBtu _____
(19) Date price made effective subject to refund
(20) Estimated amount subject to possible annual refund $——
(21) In addition to the refund obligation requred by § 154.92(d)(3), does assignee intend to file bond or undertaking to assure total refund: (a) from the date increased rate of assignor became effective subject to refund or (b) from date operation commenced under assignor's temporary certificate containing a refufnd condition? Yes——
No——

§ 250.11 [Removed]

7. Section 250.11 is removed it its entirety.

8. A new § 250.14 is added to read as follows:

§ 250.14 Independent producer rate change or initial billing statement. (See §§ 154.92 and 154.94)

Note.—This format is to be used to file either a Notice of Change in Rate or an Initial Billing Statement by checking the appropriate box and answering the applicable questions. Applications filed to collect Natural Gas Policy Act rates must include rate information items (9)(a) through (f) and also include a statement, under oath, stating that in addition to collecting NGPA rates as shown, the filing party will be reimbursed only for taxes actually paid, to the extent contractually authorized. Do not include tax reimbursement data for NGPA rates on the form. Applications filed to collect rates at Natural Gas Act or contract rate levels must include tax items (9)(a) through (e). Applicable tax reimbursement should be included under item (9)(d). Rates should be shown in dollars to three decimal places. The reporting pressure base is 14.73 psia.

Check One: Producer Rate Change (§ 154.94) ☐ Initial Billing Statement (§ 154.92) ☐

(1) Name of Producer _____
(2) FERC Gas Rate Schedule No. _____
(3) Name of Buyer _____
(4)Location:
  (a) Field _____
  (b) County _____
  (c) State _____
(5) Basic Contract Date _____
(6) Proposed Effective Date _____
(7) Contract Basis _____
(8) Regulation Section (type of change) _____
(9) Rate information:

	Current	Proposed
(a) Base rate ($/Mcf)	$	$
(b) Btu adjustment		
(c) Gathering allowance		
(d) Other (explain)		
(e) Total rate ($/Mcf)		
(f) Total rate ($/MMBtu)		

(10) Average Btu content (Btu/cu.ft.) _____
(11) Estimated annual sales volume (Mcf)——
(12) Are future escalations to be covered by affidavit filed under § 154.94(h)? _____
(13) Is the application for a rate under sections 102(d) or 108 of NGPA? _____
If yes, what is (a) The FERC Notice of Determination Control No.? _____
(b) The effective date of final determination? _____
(14)Remarks:
(15) Person responsible for this filing:
(a) Name and title _____
(b) Signature _____

[1] Specify whether initial service, add acreage, delete acreage, continue service of predecessor, farmout, or other. If "other", give details.

[2] Specify each type of adjustment (e.g. gathering, dehydration, compression, liquefiable hydrocarbons, etc.) and each related adjustment amount in price per MMBtu reflected in the total price.

(c) Address:
Street No
City
State
Zip
(d) Telephone No
(e) Date

PART 260—STATEMENTS AND REPORTS (SCHEDULES)

§ 260.6 [Removed]

9. Section 260.6 is removed it its entirety.

[FR Doc. 81-6520 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6450-85-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Parole Commission

28 CFR Part 2

Paroling, Recommiting and Supervising Federal Prisoners

AGENCY: United States Parole Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Commission is proposing to modify its offense severity scale, an important feature of its paroling policy guidelines, to place in the "Greatest II" severity category the principal offenders behind very large scale opiate (e.g., heroin) distribution rings. In addition, the Commission is considering subdividing the "Greatest II" severity category in order to provide a maximum guideline limit for some of the offenses currently in the "Greatest II" category, and to raise the minimum guideline limit for other offenses in that category. One effect of such a restructuring would be to require a change in nomenclature for all of the severity categories in the guidelines. These changes are proposed in an effort to modify the paroling guidelines to more accurately reflect the actual decision-making practices observed over the past year for cases involving these most serious offenses.

DATE: Public comment must be received by April 25, 1981.

ADDRESS: Send comments to Barbara Meierhoefer at the address shown below.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Barbara Meierhoefer, U.S. Parole Commission Research Unit, 320 First Street, N.W., Washington D.C. 20537, (202) 724-3153.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: As an aid in making equitable parole release decisions, the U.S. Parole Commission utilizes a guideline chart which sets forth a customary range of months to be served for various combinations of offense severity and offender risk (see 28 C.F.R. § 2.20). One axis of this two-dimensional guideline chart is the offense severity scale which groups offenses of similar gravity into one of seven categories ranging from "low" to "Greatest II" severity. The offense examples listed in the "Greatest II" category represent the most serious crimes.

The conference report accompanying the Parole Commission and Reorganization Act of 1976 requires that the Commission monitor the use of its guidelines and consider modifications if decisions outside of the guidelines are being rendered so frequently as to warrant a change in the published policy. Such now appears to be the case with decisions involving very large scale opiate (e.g., heroin) offenders.

The Commission currently places the offense of "opiates, possession with intent to distribute/sale, managerial or proprietary interest and very large scale (e.g., offense involving more than 50 grams of 100% pure heroin, or equivalent amount)" in the Greatest I category. However, the Commission has found that when it is faced with a conspiracy involving multi-kilo amounts of opiates, its release decisions in these cases are consistently above the guidelines. These offenders are usually major heroin importers and suppliers whose profit expectations are enormous, and whose criminal sophistication and daring are unusually high.

It is therefore proposed that the amount of drugs used to place opiate offenses in the Greatest I severity category be limited to one kilogram of 100% pure heroin (or equivalent amount), and that offenses involving more than one kilogram of 100% pure heroin (or equivalent amount) be placed in "Greatest II".

The Commission would also like to solicit comment pertaining to the possible subdivision of the current "Greatest II" category. At the present time, there is no maximum guideline range for the "Greatest II" severity category because of the limited number of cases falling into the category and the wide variation of behaviors which can be encompassed within the category.

The offenses currently rated "Greatest II" include the following:

Murder

Aggravated felony—serious injury (e.g., robbery: injury involving substantial risk of death, or protracted disability, or disfigurement) or extreme cruelty/brutality toward victim.

Aircraft hijacking

Espionage

Kidnaping (for ransom or terrorism; as hostage; or harm to victim)

Treason

5 or more bank robberies

If the current proposal were to be adopted, offenders with a managerial or proprietary interest in more than one kilogram of 100% pure heroin (or equivalent amount) would be added to the list. Additionally, there is a separate proposal currently out for public comment which would raise voluntary manslaughter cases to the "Greatest II" category (45 FR 84090, December 22, 1980).

The Commission is considering some of these offenses for the setting of an upper limit to the guideline range. The offenses being considered are those which are relatively less variable in circumstances and for which data on the Commission's practice is available for a sufficiently large number of offenders to constitute a "customary policy" (e.g., five or more bank robberies). Setting an upper guideline limit for as many offenses as possible furthers the Commission's aim of structuring discretion and providing increased certainty in the paroling process.

For some of the less frequent and more serious offenses (e.g., murder) the Commission is considering raising the minimum guideline limit, and thus the customary penalty, to reflect more adequately actual Commission practice.

If the Commission does adopt a subdivision of the Greatest II severity category, a renaming of the severity categories is planned so that the categories would be labeled Category 1 (currently LOW); Category 2 (currently LOW-MODERATE), etc.

I certify that this rule would not have any significant impact upon small business entities, with respect to 94 Stat. 1164 (PL 96-354), since the rule would only affect the time to be served by convicted and sentenced prisoners.

The Commission has consulted with the Office of Management and Budget pursuant to Executive Order 12291, and received their clearance for this publication.

Dated: February 26, 1981.

Benjamin J. Malcolm,
Vice-Chairman.

[FR Doc. 81-6675 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4410-01-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 60

[AD-FRL-1634-4]

Standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources; Bulk Gasoline Terminals

Correction

In FR Doc. 80-38932, published at page 83126, on Wednesday, December 17, 1980, on page 83142, in the third column, the last line, "(date of publication in **Federal Register**" should be corrected to read "December 17, 1980".

BILLING CODE 1505-01-M

---

40 CFR Part 763

[TSH-FRC 1708; OPTS 84004]

Asbestos; Reporting and Recordkeeping Requirements

Correction

In FR Doc. 81-2457, appearing at page 8200 in the issue of Monday, January 26, 1981 certain proposed forms were published. In § 763.76(a), EPA Form 7710-30, Reporting Industrial and Commercial Use of Asbestos, Part H appeared at page 8239 but was illegible. Part H is reprinted here for the convenience of the reader.

BILLING CODE 1505-01-M

H. SUMMARY OF CURRENT WORKER EXPOSURES

16. This section requires you to report the eight-hour Time Weighted Average (TWA) for all employees at the reporting site for whom you have already determined a TWA. You should group all employees with known TWA's into the ranges of TWA values indicated on the following chart. For each group of employees, you will then determine the total person hours that those employees worked at the given TWA range. Persons who mine and mill asbestos will report summaries of measurements pursuant to the requirements of the Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA) (30 CFR 55., 56., 57.5-1(a)). Persons who mill, process, or import asbestos will report summaries of measurements pursuant to the requirements of the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) (29 CFR 1910.1001). Do not estimate TWA figures for any employees. Report only known TWA values.

(H)1. 8-Hour Time Weighted Average (TWA)

For each range of exposure levels listed below:

Column 1 - enter the number of workers whose existing TWA falls within each exposure range. Where more than one TWA is available for a particular worker in the most current year, use the geometric mean of these values as the TWA for the worker.

Column 2 - enter for each exposure range the total number of hours worked in 1980 by the employees listed in column 1.

Column 3 - enter the total number of actual measurements (filters) that you used to determine the employees' TWA's that are reported in this chart.

(H)2. Ceiling Concentration Levels

For each exposure level range:

Enter the number of workers for whom ceiling concentration levels of asbestos fiber were determined in 1980 pursuant to the monitoring requirements of MSHA or OSHA.

Enter the number of ceiling concentration measurements that were recorded in 1980.

(H)1. 8-Hour Time Weighted Average (TWA)

Average 8-hour TWA (fibers/cc)	(1) Number of Workers Affected	(2) Total Person Hours Per Year at TWA in 1980	(3) Number of Measurements Used (filters)
≤0.1			
0.1-0.5			
0.6-1.0			
1.1-2.0			
>2.0			

(H)2. Ceiling Concentration Levels

	Ceiling Concentration Levels (fibers/cc)		
	2.0-5.0	5.1-10.0	>10.0
Number of Workers Affected			
Number of Measurements Recorded			

BILLING CODE 1505-01-C

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

44 CFR Part 67

[Docket No. FEMA-5955]

National Flood Insurance Program; Proposed Flood Elevation Determinations

Correction

In FR Doc. 80-38902, appearing at page 83272, on Thursday, December 18, 1980, on page 83277, in the table, in the entry for "Minnesota . . . (c), Lanesboro" in the right-hand column, in the sixth line "Just upstream corporate limit" should be corrected to read "Just upstream of dam".

BILLING CODE 1505-01-M

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Ch. I

[CC Docket No. 80-634]

Changes in the Corporate Structure and Operations of the Communications Satellite Corporation; Order Extending Time for Filing Reply Comments

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed Rule; Extension of reply comment period.

SUMMARY: This Order extends the time for filing reply comments in response to the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in Docket No. 80-634 on corporate structure and operations of the Communications Satellite Corporation from February 20, 1981, to March 6, 1981. The extension was granted pursuant to a request filed by Magnavox Government and Electraonic Company.

DATES: Reply comments shall be filed on or before March 6, 1981.

ADDRESS: Reply comments should be submitted to: The Secretary, Federal Communications Commission, 1919 M Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James L. Ball/Glenn deChabert, International Facilities Planning Division, Common Carrier Bureau, Federal Communications Commission, Washington, D.C. 20554, (202) 632-3214.

In the matter of changes in the corporate structure and operations of the Communications Satellite Corporation.

Request for Extension of Time; Order; (46 FR 5008)

Adopted: February 19, 1981.

Released: February 23, 1981.

1. Magnavox Government and Industrial Electronics Company (Magnavox) has filed a request for a twenty-day extension of time, to and including March 12, 1981, within which to file reply comments in this proceeding. The current deadline for filing reply comments is February 20, 1981. In support of its request, Magnavox maintains that additional time is necessary for it to prepare a response to COMSAT General's January 19, 1981 letter to the Commission indicating its intention to manufacture and sell shipboard terminals in connection with the INMARSAT system.

2. We will grant Magnavox's request in part. The prospect of Comsat's involvement in the shipboard terminal market raises organizational and operational issues similar to those outlined in the Commission's Notice in this proceeding concerning Comsat's involvement in other non-INTELSAT/INMARSAT activities. COMSAT General's letter was filed pursuant to the Commission's requirement in the Comsat Study that Comsat provide written notice of any intention to supply shipboard terminals in connection with the INMARSAT system. *Communications Satellite Corporation*, 77 FCC 2d 564 (1980). The Commission indicated in the Comsat Study that it would provide an opportunity for public comment to such notice and initiate any proceeding necessary to address issues raised by commenting parties. COMSAT General's letter accordingly has been made part of the record in this proceeding. We believe that a fourteen-day extension of time should be sufficient to prepare comments to the letter as a part of reply comments in this proceeding.

3. Accordingly, it is ordered, pursuant to authority delegated in Section 0.291 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations, 47 C.F.R. Section 0.291 (1979), that the request of Magnavox Government and Electronics Company, for an extension to file reply comments in CC Docket No. 80-634 is granted, in part, and denied, in part.

4. It is further ordered, that interested parties shall file reply comments in this proceeding on or before March 6, 1981.

Federal Communications Commission.

Willard L. Demory,

Assistant Bureau Chief, International Common Carrier Bureau.

[FR Doc. 81-6660 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-M

Notices

Federal Register
Vol. 46, No. 41
Tuesday, March 3, 1981

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Air Force

USAF Scientific Advisory Board; Meeting

February 27, 1981.

The March 11–12, 1981 meeting of the USAF Scientific Advisory Board Chief's Technical Advisory Group (CTAG) published in the **Federal Register**, Volume 46, No. 30, page 1225 on Friday, February 13, 1981 has been rescheduled for March 18–19, 1981 from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. at Norton AFB, CA. All other information remains the same.

For further information contact the Scientific Advisory Board Secretariat at (202) 697–4648.

Carol M. Rose,

Air Force Federal Register, Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 81-6636 Filed 3-2-81; 9:41 am]

BILLING CODE 3910-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

Early Winters; Alpine Winter Sports Site; Okanogan National Forest, Okanogan County, Washington; Intent To Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement

Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, the Forest Service, Department of Agriculture, will prepare an environmental impact statement for development of the proposed Early Winters alpine winter sports site.

The Winthrop District Multiple-Use Plan was completed and adopted in 1968. The Plan refers to a potential alpine winter sports site near Early Winters. The North Cascades Winter Sports Study, Forest Service, Department of Agriculture, Pacific Northwest Region, 1970, describes the area as having good potential for a winter sports site.

The Integrated Forest Plan, in progress, will determine the allocation of the use for the area. The Early Winters EIS will display different levels of development and their effects.

Several scoping sessions will be held in the Spring of 1981, to gain information on the issues to be analyzed. Because of the probable significance of any social and biological changes, it has been determined that an environmental impact statement be prepared.

The project evaluation will include a reasonable range of management alternatives from no action to a development with facilities that will serve the maximum feasible capacity which could be up to 10,000 persons at one time.

The Forest Supervisor was contacted by the Board of County Commissioners of Okanogan County who were concerned that the proposed winter sports development may trigger further land development in the upper portion of the Methow Valley. Okanogan County Planning Department personnel will cooperate in the environmental analysis which will meet both NEPA and SEPA (State Environmental Policy Act) requirements in a single cooperative effort.

R. E. Worthington, Regional Forester, is the responsible official. Questions about the proposed action and environmental impact statement should be directed to Irv Smith, Recreation and Planning Staff, Okanogan National Forest (Phone 509–422–2704).

It is anticipated that the environmental analysis will require about eighteen months. The draft environmental impact statement should be available for public review by December 1981. The final environmental impact statement is scheduled to be completed in September 1982.

Written comments and suggestions regarding this analysis should be sent to William McLaughlin, Forest Supervisor, Okanogan National Forest, P.O. Box 950, Okanogan, Washington 98840.

Dated: February 20, 1981.

James F. Torrence,

Acting Regional Forester.

[FR Doc. 81-6742 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

Office of the Secretary

Privacy Act of 1974; System of Records

Notice is hereby given that the Department of Agriculture, in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552a(e) (4) and (11), intends to adopt this notice of a new system of records as set forth below. Although the Privacy Act requires only that portion of the system which describes the "routine uses" of that system be published for public comment, USDA invites such comment on all portions of this notice. A report of this system of records was sent to the Office of Management and Budget and the Congress on January 2, 1981.

Interested persons may submit written comments on this notice to: Chief, Records, Systems, and Analysis Branch, Economics and Statistics Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250, on or before April 2, 1981.

This final action has been reviewed under USDA procedures established in Secretary's Memorandum 1955 to implement Executive Order 12044, and has been classified "not significant." An impact analysis describing the options considered in developing this action and the impact of implementing each option is available on request from Leonard Vaughan, ESS, USDA, Room 1419–S, Washington, D.C., (202) 447–5671. The impact analysis statement and all comments will also be available for public inspection during business hours in Room 1419–S.

This notice will be adopted without further publication in the **Federal Register** on April 2, 1981, unless modified by a subsequent notice to incorporate comments received from the public.

USDA/ESS–6

SYSTEM NAME:

Farm Production Expenditure Survey, Family Living Expense Section(s), USDA/ESS.

SYSTEM LOCATION:

Survey Questionnaires and raw data in machine readable media are located in State Statistical Offices at the following locations:

Montgomery, AL	Washington, D.C.
Phoenix, AZ	Orlando, FL
Little Rock, AR	Athens, GA
Sacramento, CA	Boise, ID
Denver, CO	Springfield, IL

West Lafayette, IN	Albany, NY
Des Moines, IA	Raleigh, NC
Topeka, KS	Fargo, ND
Louisville, KY	Columbus, OH
Alexandria, LA	Oklahoma City, OK
College Park, MD	Portland, OR
Lansing, MI	Harrisburg, PA
St. Paul, MN	Columbia, SC
Jackson, MS	Sioux Falls, SD
Columbia, MO	Nashville, TN
Helena, MT	Austin, TX
Lincoln, NE	Salt Lake City, UT
Reno, NV	Richmond, VA
Concord, NH	Charleston, WV
Trenton, NJ	Madison, WI
Las Cruces, NM	Cheyenne, WY

Addresses of each State Statistical Office are listed in the telephone directories of the respective cities listed above under the heading "United States Government, Department of Agriculture, Economics and Statistics Service" or "Crop and Livestock Reporting Service."

Magnetic tapes and list frame codes that identify individuals are located in the Martin Marietta Data System (MMDS) file, Orlando, Florida.

CATEGORIES OF INDIVIDUALS COVERED BY THE SYSTEM:

A sample of farmers and ranchers residing in continental United States.

CATEGORY OF RECORDS IN THE SYSTEM:

Questionnaires and machine readable media containing information as listed under the "Category of Individuals" reported by farmers and ranchers who are interviewed in the survey and who voluntarily report family living expenditures on the Farm Production Expenditure Survey for: (1) Food and household supplies; (2) housing-rent, mortgage payments, maintenance on appliances and furnishings, repairs, utilities purchased; (3) non-farm auto transportation purchases, maintenance and operating expenses of motor vehicles, insurance, public transportation; (4) other family living expenses not reported above (clothing, health insurance, medical and dental care, education, personal insurance, hobbies, recreation, gifts and contributions and magazines); and (5) total expenditure for family living expenses.

AUTHORITY FOR MAINTENANCE OF THE SYSTEM:

7 U.S.C. 2204.

ROUTINE USES OF RECORDS MAINTAINED IN THE SYSTEM, INCLUDING CATEGORIES OF USERS AND THE PURPOSES OF SUCH USES:

The family living expense data is used to update the Index of Prices Paid by Farmers for Commodities and Services, Interest, Taxes and Farm Wage Rates to the current base weight period. Summary data as published do not identify specific data with individuals.

POLICIES AND PRACTICES FOR STORING, RETRIEVING, ACCESSING, RETAINING, AND DISPOSING OF RECORDS IN THE SYSTEM:

STORAGE:

Questionnaires packed in boxes and raw data machine readable media are stored in State Statistical Offices at the locations referred to in the paragraph entitled "Systems Locations."

Magnetic tapes and list frame codes that identify individuals are stored in the tape library on MMDS Network.

RETRIEVABILITY:

Questionnaires, machine readable media and magnetic tapes are indexed by reporter identification numbers and/or location of selected small land areas.

SAFEGUARDS:

Questionnaires and raw data machine readable media arae retained in locked storage rooms. Magnetic tapes are stored in an area to which access is limited to authorized personnel of MMDS.

RETENTION AND DISPOSAL:

Questionnaires are retained for a minimum of 30 days after primary data have been summarized. Machine readable raw data media is kept a minimum period of 14 months after satisfactory completion of the summary.

SYSTEM MANAGER(S) AND ADDRESS:

Chairman, Crop Reporting Board, Economics and Statistics Service, Statistics, USDA, Washington, D.C. 20250, telephone (202–447–4415) or the appropriate Statistician in Charge, State Statistical Office at the addressed specified above.

NOTIFICATION PROCEDURE:

Any individual may request information regarding this system of records or information as to whether the system contains records pertaining to the individual from the Chairman, Crop Reporting Board, Economics and Statistics Service, USDA, Washington, D.C., telephone (202–447–4415) or the appropriate Statistician in Charge at the address listed above. If the specific location of the record is not known, the individual should address the request to the Chairman, Crop Reporting Board, who, if necessary, will refer it to the appropriate State Statistical Office. A request for information pertaining to an individual should contain name, address, and date (month and year) that questionnaire was completed and name of survey.

RECORD ACCESS PROCEDURES:

Any farmer or rancher who was interviewed in the survey may obtain information as to the procedures for gaining access to a record in the system which pertains to the individual by submitting a written request to the appropriate official referred to in the paragraph entitled "Notification Procedure."

CONTESTING RECORD PROCEDURES:

Any farmer or rancher who was interviewed in the survey may obtain information as to the procedures for contesting a record in the system which pertains to the individual by submitting a written request to the appropriate official referred to in the paragraph entitled "Notification Procedure."

RECORD SOURCE CATEGORIES:

Information in this system comes solely from farmers and ranchers who were interviewed in this survey.

John R. Block,

Secretary.

February 25, 1981.

[FR Doc. 81-6720 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-01-M

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

Beck and Alkali Lakes Water-Based Recreation and Fish and Wildlife Development RC&D Measure, Wyoming

AGENCY: Soil Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture.

ACTION: Notice of a Finding of No Significant Impact.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mr. Frank S. Dickson, Jr., State Conservationist, Soil Conservation Service, Room 3209, Federal Building, 100 East B Street, P.O. Box 2440, Casper Wyoming 82602, telephone 307–265–5550, Extension 5201.

Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969; the Council on Environmental Quality Guidelines (40 CFR Part 1500); and the Soil Conservation Service Guidelines (7 CFR Part 650); the Soil Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, gives notice that an environmental impact statement is not being prepared for the Beck and Alkali Lakes Water-Based Recreation and Fish and Wildlife Development RC&D Measure, Park County, Wyoming.

The environmental assessment of this federally assisted action indicates that the project will not cause significant local, regional, or national impacts on the environment. As a result of these findings, Mr. Frank S. Dickson, Jr., State Conservationist, has determined that the preparation and review of an

environmental impact statement are not needed for this project.

The measure concerns a plan for the installation of basic facilities for recreation and a fish and wildlife development. The planned works of improvement include picnic tables and shelters, barbecue facilities, horseshoe pits, a boat ramp, children's playground equipment, a fishing pier, a swimming beach, a group picnic area, nature trails, parking, and access roads into the area. Landscaping and grass seeding are also planned.

A fish and wildlife development will be installed on Beck and Alkali Lakes. Water depths will be increased along the south side of Beck Lake. Waterfowl nesting islands will be constructed in the portion of Alkali Lake east ot the Meeteetse highway. Grass seeding for waterfowl food and nesting cover, and fencing for liverstock exclusion are also planned.

The Notice of a Finding of No Significant Impact (FNSI) has been forwarded to the Environmental Protection Agency. The basic data developed during the environmental assessment are on file and may be reviewed by contacting Mr. Frank S. Dickson, Jr. The FNSI has been sent to various Federal, State, and local agencies and interested parties. A limited number of copies of the FNSI are available to fill single copy requests at the above address.

Implementation of the proposal will not be initiated until 30 days after the date of this publication in the **Federal Register.**

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 10.901, Resource Conservation and Development Program. Office of Management and Budget Circular No. A-95 regarding State and local Clearinghouse review of Federal and federally assisted programs and projects is applicable)

Dated: February 19, 1980.

Joseph W. Haas,

Deputy Chief for Natural Resource Projects.

[FR Doc. 81-6731 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-16-M

Chillicothe Flood Prevention RC&D Measure, Missouri

AGENCY: Soil Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture.

ACTION: Notice of a Finding of No Significant Impact.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Kenneth G. McManus, State Conservationist, Soil Conservation Service, 555 Vandiver Drive, Columbia, Missouri 65201, telephone 2314-442-2271, Ext. 3145.

Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969; the Council on Environmental Quality Guidelines (40 CFR Part 1500); and the Soil Conservation Service Guidelines (7 CFR Part 650); the Soil Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, gives notice that an environmental impact statement is not being prepared for the Chillicothe Flood Prevention RC&D Measure, Livingston County, Missouri.

The environmental assessment of this federally assisted action indicates that the project will not cause significant local, regional, or national impacts on the environment. As a result of these findings, Mr. Kenneth G. McManus, State Conservationist, has determined that the preparation and review of an environmental impact statement are not needed for this project.

The measure concerns a plan for flood prevention. The planned works of improvement include one floodwater retarding structure with a sediment pool area of 17 acres and a flood pool surface of 41.7 acres. Mitigation of wildlife habitat lost will be accomplished by re-establishing 21 acres of fenced and managed wildlife habitat on and near the dam and within the waterline of the flood pool.

The Notice of a Finding of No Significant Impact (FNSI) has been forwarded to the Environmental Protection Agency. The basic data developed during the environmental assessment are on file and may be reviewed by contacting Mr. Kenneth G. McManus. The FNSI has been sent to various Federal, State, and local agencies and interested parties. A limited number of copies of the FNSI are available to fill single copy requests at the above address.

Implementation of the proposal will not be initiated until 30 days after the date of this publication in the **Federal Register.**

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 10.901, Resource Conservation and Development Program. Office of Management and Budget Circular No. A-95 regarding State and local Clearinghouse reivew of Federal and federally assisted programs and projects is applicable)

Dated: February 19, 1981.

Joseph W. Haas,

Deputy Chief for Natural Resource Projects.

[FR Doc. 81-6732 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-16-M

City of Fruitland Recreational Park Critical Area Treatment RC&D Measure, Maryland

AGENCY: Soil Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture.

ACTION: Notice of a Finding of No Significant Impact.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Gerald R. Calhoun, State Conservationist, Soil Conservation Service, 4321 Hartwick Road, College Park, Maryland, 20740, telephone 301-344-4180.

Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969; the Council on Environmental quality guidelines (40 CFR Part 1500); and the Soil Conservation Service Guidelines (7 CFR Part 650); the Soil Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, gives notice that an environmental impact statement is not being prepared for the City of Fruitland Recreational Park Critical Area Treatment RC&D Measure, Wicomico County, Maryland.

The environmental assessment of this federally assisted action indicates that the project will not cause significant local, regional, or national impacts on the environment. As a result of these findings, Mr. Gerald R. Calhoun, State Conservationist, has determined that the preparation and review of an environmental impact statement are not needed for this project.

The measure concerns a plan for critical area treatment in an existing recreation area. The planned works of improvement include debris basins, grassed waterways, pipe structures, land smoothing, critical area seeding, and windbreaks.

The Notice of a Finding of No Significant Impact (FNSI) has been forwarded to the Environmental Protection Agency. The basic data developed during the environmental assessment are on file and may be reviewed by contacting Mr. Gerald R. Calhoun. The FNSI has been sent to various Federal, State, and local agencies and interested parties. A limited number of copies of the FNSI are available to fill single copy requests at the above address.

Implementation of the proposal will not be initiated until 30 days after the date of this publication in the **Federal Register.**

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 10.901, Resource Conservation and Development Program. Office of Management and Budget Circular No. A-95 regarding State and local Clearinghouse review of Federal and federally assisted programs and projects is applicable)

Dated: February 19, 1981.

Joseph W. Haas,

Deputy Chief for Natural Resource Projects.

[FR Doc. 81-6733 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-16-M

Galey Critical Area Treatment RC&D Measure, Oklahoma

AGENCY: Soil Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture.

ACTION: Notice of a Finding of No Significant Impact.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mr. Roland R. Willis, State Conservationist, Soil Conservation Service, Agricultural Center Office Building, Stillwater, Oklahoma 74074, telephone 405–624–4360.

Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969; the Council on Environmental Quality Guidelines (40 CFR Part 1500); and the Soil Conservation Service Guidelines (7 CFR Part 650); the Soil Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, gives notice that an environmental impact statement is not being prepared for the Galey Critical Area Treatment RC&D Measure, Pontotoc County, Oklahoma.

The environmental assessment of this federally assisted action indicates that the project will not cause significant local, regional, or national impacts on the environment. As a result of these findings, Mr. Roland R. Willis, State Conservationist, has determined that the preparation and review of an environmental impact state are not needed for this project.

The measured concerns a plan for critical area treatment for erosion control. The planned works of improvement include shaping, topsoiling, fertilizing, sprigging or sodding, grade stabilization structure, diversions, fencing, and tree planting.

The Notice of a Finding of No Significant Impact (FNSI) has been forwarded to the Environmental Protection Agency. The basic data developed during the environmental assessment are on file and may be reviewed by contacting Mr. Roland R. Willis. The FNSI has been sent to various Federal, State, and local agencies and interested parties. A limited number of copies of the FNSI are available to fill single copy requests at the above address.

Implementation of the proposal will not be initiated until 30 days after the date of this publication in the **Federal Register.**

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 10.901, Resource Conservation and Development Program. Office of Management and Budget Circular No. A-95 regarding State and local Clearinghouse review of Federal and federally assisted programs and projects is applicable)

Dated: February 19, 1981.

Joseph W. Haas,

Deputy Chief for Natural Resource Projects.

[FR Doc. 81-6734 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-16-M

New London Rivers Water-Based Recreation RC&D Measure, Wisconsin

AGENCY: Soil Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture.

ACTION: Notice of a Finding of No Significant Impact.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mr. Cliffton A. Maguire, State Conservationist, Soil Conservation Service, 4601 Hammersley Road, Madison, Wisconsin 53711, telephone 608–264–5351.

Pursuant to Section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969; the Council on Environmental Quality Guidelines (40 CFR Part 1500); and the Soil Conservation Service Guidelines (7 CFR Part 650); the Soil Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, gives notice that an environmental impact statement is not being prepared for the New London Rivers Water-Based Recreation RC&D Measure, Waupaca County, Wisconsin.

The environmental assessment of this federally assisted action indicates that the project will not cause significant local, regional, or national impacts on the environment. As a result of these findings, Mr. Cliffton A. Maguire, State Conservationist, has determined that the preparation and review of an environmental impact statement are not needed for this project.

The measure concerns a plan for public water-based recreation facilities and critical area treatment. The planned works of improvement include basic facilities for public water-based recreation such as trails, benches, picnic tables, a canoe launch, signs, fencing, lighting, and landscaping of sitting and picnic areas, and riprapping and vegetating eroding riverbanks within the planning area.

The Notice of a Finding of No Significant Impact (FNSI) has been forwarded to the Environmental Protection Agency. The basic data developed during the environmental assessment are on file and may be reviewed by contacting Mr. Cliffton A. Maguire. The FNSI has been sent to various Federal, State, and local agencies and interested parties. A limited number of copies of the FNSI are available to fill single copy requests at the above address.

Implementation of the proposal will not be initiated until 30 days after the date of this publication in the **Federal Register.**

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 10.901, Resource Conservation and Development Program. Office of Management and Budget Circular No. A-95 regarding State and local Clearinghouse review of Federal and federally assisted programs and projects is applicable)

Dated: February 20, 1981.

Joseph W. Haas,

Deputy Chief for Natural Resource Projects.

[FR Doc. 81-6737 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-16-M

Critical Area Treatment RC&D Measures, Pennsylvania

AGENCY: Soil Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture.

ACTION: Notice of Finding of No Significant Impact.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mr. Graham T. Munkittrick, State Conservationist, Soil Conservation Service, Room 820, Federal Building, 228 Walnut Street, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17108, telephone 717–782–2202.

Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969; the Council on Environmental Quality Guidelines (40 CFR Part 1500); and the Soil Conservation Service Guidelines (7 CFR Part 650); the Soil Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, gives notice that environmental impact statements are not being prepared for certain Critical Area Treatment RC&D Measures in Pennsylvania.

The environmental assessments of these types of federally assisted actions indicate that the projects will not cause significant local, regional, or national impacts on the environment. As a result of these findings, Mr. Graham T. Munkittrick, State Conservationist, has determined that the preparation and review of environmental impact statements are not needed for these projects if the planned action and impacts are substantially as described in the finding of no significant impact for Critical Area Treatment RC&D Measures, Pennsylvania.

The measures concern a plan for critical area treatment. The planned works of improvement include soil and water conservation practices to stabilize eroding areas. Practices include surface water control structures subsurface

drainage, riprap, streambank stabilization, and vegetation establishment including lime, fertilizer, and mulch.

The Notice of a Finding of No Significant Impact (FNSI) has been forwarded to the Environmental Protection Agency. The basic data developed during the environmental assessments are on file and may be reviewed by contacting Mr. Graham T. Munkittrick. The FNSI has been sent to various Federal, State, and local agencies and interested parties. A limited number of copies of the FNSI are available to fill single copy requests at the above address.

Implementation of the proposal will not be initiated until 30 days after the date of this publication in the **Federal Register.**

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 10.901, Resource Conservation and Development Program. Office of Management and Budget Circular No. A-95 regarding State and local Clearinghouse review of Federal and federally assisted programs and projects is applicable)

Dated: February 19, 1981.

Joseph W. Haas,

Deputy Chief for Natural Resource Projects.

[FR Doc. 81-6735 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-16-M

Rosedale Flood Prevention and Drainage RC & D Measure, Louisiana

AGENCY: Soil Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture.

ACTION: Notice of a Finding of No Significant Impact.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Alton Mangum, State Conservationist, Soil Conservation Service, 3737 Government Street, Alexandria, Louisiana 71301, telephone 318-473-7751.

Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969; the Council on Environmental Quality Guidelines (40 CFR Part 1500); and the Soil Conservation Service Guidelines (7 CFR Part 650); the Soil Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, gives notice that an environmental impact statement is not being prepared for the Rosedale Flood Prevention and Drainage R.C. & D. Measure, Iberville Parish, Louisiana.

The environmental assessment of this federally assisted action indicates that the project will not cause significant local, regional, or national impacts on the environment. As a result of these findings, Mr. Alton Mangum, State Conservationist, has determined that the preparation and review of an environmental impact statement are not needed for this project.

The measure concerns a plan for flood prevention and land drainage. The planned works of improvement include work on 21,000 feet of existing channel and 800 feet of new channel to establish an adequate outlet for excess water. Approximately 4,000 feet of diversion levee and two water control structures are also planned. Disturbed areas will be revegetated for stabilization.

The Notice of a Finding of No Significant Impact (FNSI) has been forwarded to the Environmental Protection Agency. The basic data developed during the environmental assessment are on file and may be reviewed by contacting Mr. Alton Mangum. The FNSI has been sent to various Federal, State, and local agencies and interested parties. A limited number of copies of the FNSI are available to fill single copy requests at the above address.

Implementation of the proposal will not be initiated until 30 days after the date of this publication in the **Federal Register.**

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 10.901, Resource Conservation and Development Program. Office of Management and Budget Circular No. A-95 regarding State and local Clearinghouse review of Federal and federally assisted programs and projects is applicable)

Dated: February 19, 1981.

Joseph W. Haas,

Deputy Chief for Natural Resource Projects.

[FR Doc. 81-6736 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-16-M

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

[DOCKET 39102]

Eastern Air Lines, Inc., Civil Penalties for Violations of Part 252; Hearing

Notice is hereby given that a hearing in the above-entitled matter is assigned to be held on March 19, 1981, at 10:00 a.m. (local time) in Room 1003, Hearing Room B, Universal North Building, 1875 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C., before the undersigned judge.

Dated at Washington, D.C., February 25, 1981.

John M. Vittone,

Administrative Law Judge.

[FR Doc. 81-6790 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6320-01-M

[Docket 37554; Order 81-2-108]

Establishment of the Standard Foreign Fare Level; Order

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C. on the 24th day of February, 1981.

The International Air Transportation Competition Act (IATCA), Pub. L. 96-192, requires that the Board establish a Standard Foreign Fare Level (SFFL) by adjusting the SFFL base [1] periodically by percentage changes in actual operating costs per avialable seat-mile (ASM). The SFFL thus computed becomes the benchmark for measuring the statutory no-suspend zone similar to the zone of reasonableness established by the Airline Deregulation Act and set forth in section 1002(d) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (the Act). Order 80-2-69 established the first Interim SFFL and subsequent Order 81-1-44 established the currently effective two-month SFFL applicable through March 31, 1981.

In establishing the SFFL for the two-month period commencing April 1, 1981 we have projected nonfuel costs based on the year ended Sept. 30, 1980, and have adjusted fuel prices to reflect the experienced monthly rate of fuel cost escalation.

Our calculations measure inflation from October 1, 1979, to May 1, 1981, the midpoint of the April-May projection period, for the three ratemaking entities: Atlantic, Latin America, and Pacific. The four-month average of October-January fuel cost increases produces the following rates of escalation: 1.75 cents per gallon in the Atlantic; 1.59 cents per gallon in the Latin America; and 1.57 cents per gallon in the Pacific. However, in order to give greater emphasis to January's prices, we have based our current fuel projection on the mean of four month average and the January increase in each entity. Weekly fuel prices reported to us indicate that the current dramatic fuel cost escalation is continuing unabated, and that an adjustment to our usual methodology is required to achieve realistic results. The resulting projections are fuel prices of 123.13 cents in the Atlantic, 108.13 centers in Latin America, and 122.62 cents in the Pacific at May 1, 1981.

Consequently, based on our calculations, we find the projected cost adjustment factor to be 25.91 percent in the Atlantic, 31.85 percent in Latin America, and 18.87 percent in the Pacific, over the October 1, 1979 level (see Appendix A.) This results in

[1] As defined in section 1002(j)(7) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958.

increases over the last two-month SFFL of 4.33 percent, 6.03 percent, and 4.65 percent, respectively.

We calculated both a two-month and a four-month SFFL effective February 1, 1981 in Order 81–1–44. The four-month period continues through May 31, 1981, and carriers whose filings utilized the higher four-month figures may not rely upon the increases permitted by this Order. The next four-month SFFL, along with the usual two-month projection, will be effective June 1, 1981.

Due to the long lead time required to implement international tariffs, and the large fuel cost escalation not recognized in the currently effective two-month SFFL, the Board will adopt a liberal policy of granting Special Tariff Permission for implementation of these increases prior to April 1.[2]

Accordingly, pursuant to sections 102, 204(a), 403, 801 and 1002(j) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended:

1. Effective April 1, 1981, fares may be increased by the following adjustment factors over the October 1, 1979, level:

Atlantic	1.2591
Latin America	1.3185
Pacific	1.1687

2. We shall serve a copy of this order upon all U.S. certificated air carriers and all foreign air carriers; and

3. We shall publish this order in the **Federal Register.**

By the Civil Aeronautics Board:

Phyllis T. Kaylor,[3]

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 81-6785 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6320-01-M

[Docket 39327]

Jet America Fitness Investigation; Assignment of Proceeding

This proceeding is hereby assigned to Administrative Law Judge John M. Vittone. Future communications should be addressed to Judge Vittone.

Dated at Washington, D.C., February 24, 1981.

Joseph J. Saunders,

Chief Administrative Law Judge.

[FR Doc. 81-6787 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6320-01-M

---

[2] Special Tariff Permissions will not be granted for effectiveness prior to April 1 with respect to those Latin American markets where fares substantially exceeded SFFL ceilings in November 1980 through January 1981.

[3] All Members Concurred.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of the Census

Census Advisory Committee on Agriculture Statistics

Notice of Public Meeting

Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463 as amended by P.L. 94–409), notice is hereby given that the Census Advisory Committee on Agriculture Statistics will convene on March 24, 1981, at 9:15 a.m. The Committee will meet in Room 2424, Federal Building 3 at the Bureau of the Census in Suitland, Maryland.

This Committee was established in 1962 to advise the Director, Bureau of the Census, concerning the kind of information that should be obtained from respondents associated with agricultural production; to prepare recommendations regarding the contents of agricultural reports; and to present the views and needs for data of major agricultural organizations and their members, and other suppliers of agricultural statistics.

The Committee is composed of 20 members appointed by the presidents of the nonprofit organizations having representatives on the Committee, and a representative from the U.S. Department of Agriculture.

The agenda for the meeting, which is scheduled to adjourn at 4:00 p.m. is: 1) introductory remarks by the Acting Director, Bureau of the Census; 2) current Census Bureau activities and legislative budget situation; 3) status of 1978 Census of Agriculture publications and follow-on surveys; 4) review of 1978 comparability report; 5) Economic/Agriculture Data Linkage Study; 6) 1982 Census of Agriculture, including mail list evaluation and plans, census content, and publication plans; 7) 1982 farmer conferences; and 8) Committee recommendations.

The meeting will be open to the public, and a brief period will be set aside for public comment and questions. Extensive questions or statements must be submitted in writing to the Committee Control Officer at least 3 days prior to the meeting.

Persons planning to attend and wishing additional information concerning this meeting or who wish to submit written statements may contact the Committee Control Officer, Mr. Arnold L. Bollenbacher, Chief, Agriculture Division, Bureau of the Census, Room 3015, Federal Building 4, Suitland, Maryland. (Mail address: Washington, D.C. 20233). Telephone (301) 763–5230.

Dated: February 26, 1981.

Daniel B. Levine,

Acting Director Bureau of the Census.

[FR Doc. 81-6698 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-07-M

National Bureau of Standards

Solicitation of Comments on the Impact and Applicability of Planned Transport and Session Control Network Protocol Standards

Under the provisions of Pub. L. 89–306 (79 Stat. 1127; 40 U.S.C. 759(f)) and Executive Order 11717 (38 FR 12315, dated May 11, 1973), the Secretary of Commerce is authorized to established uniform automatic data processing (ADP) standards. The National Bureau of Standards (NBS) plans to propose transport and session control network protocol standards as Federal Information Processing Standards (FIPS) in 1981. The draft technical specifications of these planned standards are available for review from the National Bureau of Standards, Institute for Computer Sciences and Technology, Standards Administration Office, Washington, D.C. 20234.

NBS is requesting comments on these planned standards and is particularly interested in the following items:

1. The impact of implementing these planned transport and session control protocol standards.

Data is solicited on the effort required to implement these planned standards within existing systems through retrofitting and also within planned systems.

2. The applicability of these planned standards.

The objectives of these standards are to provide interoperability among Federal systems and networks and to maximize opportunities for the competitive acquisition of the components of such systems. Comments are requested on specific applicability statements, consistent with these objectives, which may be included in the standard.

3. Time period required to conform to these planned standards.

Comments are solicited on the amount of time which should be allowed for conformance to the planned standards: that is, how much time should be allowed after FIPS have been issued until applicable systems and networks are required to conform.

Comments should be provided in writing by May 4, 1981 to the following address: National Bureau of Standards, Director, Institute for Computer Sciences and Technology, Administration Building, Room A200, Washington, D.C. 20234, ATTN: Planned Transport and Session Standards.

For additional information contact: Robert P. Blanc, Chief, Systems and Network Architecture Division, Building 225, Room B218, Washington, D.C. 20234, (301) 921–3817.

Dated: February 26, 1981.

Ernest Ambler,

Director.

[FR Doc. 81-6722 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-13-M

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council and South Atlantic Fishery Management Council; Public Meetings

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service, NOAA.

SUMMARY: The Gulf of Mexico and South Atlantic Fishery Management Councils (FMC's) established by Section 302 of the Magnuson Fishery Conservation and Management Act (Pub. L. 94–265), will hold joint and separate public meetings. The Gulf of Mexico FMC will meet in separate session to review status reports on development of fishery management plans (FMP's); consider foreign fishing applications, if any; conduct a public comment session on the Groundfish FMP, discuss any needed changes and adopt the plan for submission to the Secretary; and conduct other fishery management business. The South Atlantic FMC will also meet in separate session to review the Calico Scallops and Coral FMP's; receive update on the Snapper Grouper and Swordfish FMP's; review comments for the Spiny Lobster FMP, and discuss other management and administrative matters. The Gulf of Mexico and South Atlantic FMC's will also meet jointly to conduct a public comment session on the Spiny Lobster FMP, discuss any needed changes, and adopt the final document for submission to the Secretary; address unresolved issues on plan revision for the Coastal Migratory Pelagics FMP, adopt the final document for submission to the Secretary and conduct other fishery management business.

DATES: The Gulf of Mexico FMC separate public session will convene on Wednesday, March 25, 1981, at 1 P.M., and adjourn at approximately 5 p.m. The South Atlantic FMC separate public session will convene on Wednesday, March 25, 1981, at 1:30 p.m., and adjourn at approximately 5 p.m., reconvening on Thursday, March 26, 1981, at 9 a.m., and adjourning at approximately 12 noon.

The Gulf of Mexico and South Atlantic FMCs' joint public session will convene on Tuesday, March 24, 1981, at approximately 3 p.m., and adjourn at approximately 5 p.m., reconvening on Wednesday, March 25, 1981, at approximately 8 a.m., and adjourning at approximately 12 noon.

ADDRESS: The Gulf of Mexico FMC separate public session will take place at the Riverside Hilton Inn, Ballroom B, 200 Ashley Street, Tampa, Florida. The South Atlantic FMC separate public session will also take place at the same location, Ballroom A, and the Gulf of Mexico and South Atlantic FMCs' joint public session will also take place at the same location, Ballroom A.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council, Lincoln Center, Suite 881, 5401 West Kennedy Boulevard, Tampa, Florida 33609, Telephone: (813) 228–2815

or

South Atlantic Fishery Management Council, One Southpark Circle, Suite 306, Charleston, South Carolina 29407 Telephone: (803) 571–4366.

Dated: February 26, 1981.

Robert K. Crowell,

Deputy Executive Director, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. 81-6793 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-M

Marine Mammals; Receipt of Application for General Permit

Notice is hereby given that the following Application has been received to take marine mammals incidental to the pursuit of commercial fishing operations within the U.S. fishery conservation zone, as authorized by the Marine Mammal Protection Act of 1972 (16 U.S.C. 1361–1407) and the regulations thereunder.

The Embassy of the Republic of Korea has applied for a Category 1: "Towed or Dragged Gear" general permit to incidentally take 100 phocid seals, 100 otariid seals and 50 small cetaceans within the U.S. fishery conservation zone in 1981.

The Application is available for review in the Office of the Assistant Administrator for Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service, 3300 Whitehaven Street, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Interested parties may submit written views on the Application within 30 days of the date of this Notice to the Assistant Administrator for Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service, Washington, D.C. 20235.

Dated: February 25, 1981.

Richard B. Roe,

Acting Director, Office of Marine Mammals and Endangered Species, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. 81-6789 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-M

Western Pacific Fishery Management Council's Scientific and Statistical Committee; Public Meeting

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service, NOAA.

SUMMARY: The Western Pacific Fishery Management Council, established by Section 302 of the Magnuson Fishery Conservation and Management Act (Pub. L. 94–265), has established a Scientific and Statistical Committee, which will meet to discuss changes to the draft Spiny Lobster Fishery Management Plan.

DATES: The public meeting will convene on Wednesday, March 25, 1981, at approximately 9 a.m., and will adjourn at approximately 5 p.m.

ADDRESS: The meeting will take place at the National Marine Fisheries Service, Honolulu Laboratory, 2570 Dole Street, Honolulu, Hawaii.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Western Pacific Fishery Management council, 1164 Bishop Street, Room 1608, Honolulu, Hawaii 96813, Telephone: (808) 523–1368.

Robert K. Crowell,

Deputy Executive Director, National Marine Fisheries Service.

Dated: February 26, 1981.

[FR Doc. 81-6788 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-M

Maritime Administration

Intent To Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement

Notice is hereby given that the Maritime Administration, Department of Commerce, plans to prepare a draft environmental impact statement (DEIS) for its maritime aid programs authorized by the Merchant Marine Act of 1936, as amended (46 U.S.C. 1101 et seq.). The DEIS will address the following:

1. Construction-Differential Subsidy (Title V);
2. Vessel Financing Assistance (Title XI);
3. Operating-Differential Subsidy (Title VI);
4. Capital Construction Fund;
5. Construction Reserve Fund; and
6. Vessel Trade in Program.

The draft environmental impact statement will review potential

alternatives and the environmental effects of implementing such alternatives.

Copies of the DEIS will be made available for agency and public comment upon publication. Requests for copies of the DEIS should be addressed to Mr. Donald H. Seaman, Division of Environmental Activities, Office of Shipbuilding Costs, Maritime Administration, Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230 (202/377–5136).

By Order of the Assistant Secretary for Maritime Affairs, Maritime Administration.

Dated: February 23, 1981.

Robert J. Patton, Jr.,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 81-6617 Filed 3-3-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-15-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

Per Diem, Travel and Transportation Allowance Committee; Changes in Per Diem Rates

AGENCY: Per Diem, Travel and Transportation Allowance Committee, DoD.

ACTION: Publication of changes in per diem rates.

SUMMARY: The Per Diem, Travel and Transportation Allowance Committee is publishing Civilian Personnel Per Diem Bulletin Number 100. This bulletin lists changes in per diem rates prescribed for U.S. Government employees for official travel in Alaska, Hawaii, Puerto Rico and possessions of the United States. Bulletin Number 100 is being published in the **Federal Register** to assure that travelers are paid per diem at the most current rates.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 24, 1981.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Frederick W. Weiser, 325–9330.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This document gives notice of changes in per diem rates prescribed by the Per Diem, Travel and Transportation Allowance Committee for non-foreign areas outside the continental United States. Distribution of Civilian Per Diem Bulletins by mail was discontinued effective June 1, 1979. Per Diem Bulletins published periodically in the **Federal Register** now constitute the only notification of changes in per diem rates to agencies and establishments outside the Department of Defense.

The text of the Bulletin follows:

Civilian Personnel Per Diem Bulletin No. 100 to the Heads of Executive Departments and Establishments

Subject: Table of Maximum Per Diem Rates in Lieu of Subsistence for United States Government Civilian Officers and Employees for Official Travel in Alaska, Hawaii, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico and Possessions of the United States

1. This bulletin is issued in accordance with Memorandum for Heads of Executive Departments and Establishments from the Deputy Secretary of Defense August 17, 1966, "Executive Order 11294, August 4, 1966 Delegating Certain Authority of the President to Establish Maximum Per Diem Rates for Government Civilian Personnel in Travel Status," in which this Committee is directed to exercise the authority of the President (5 U.S.C. 5702(a)(2)) delegated to the Secretary of Defense for Alaska, Hawaii, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the Canal Zone, and possessions of the United States. When appropriate and in accordance with regulations issued by competent authority, lesser rates may be prescribed.

2. The maximum per diem rates shown in the following table are continued from the preceding Bulletin Number 99 except in the case identified by an asterisk which rate is effective on the date of this Bulletin. The date of this Bulletin shall be the date the last signature is affixed hereto.

3. Each Department or Establishment subject to these rates shall take appropriate action to disseminate the contents of this Bulletin to the appropriate headquarters and field agencies affected thereby.

4. The maximum per diem rates referred to in this Bulletin are:

Locality	Maximum rate
Alaska:	
Adak [1]	12.60
Anaktuvuk Pass	140.00
Anchorage	72.00
*Barrow	169.00
Bethel	93.00
College	67.00
Cordova	84.00
Deadhorse	94.00
Dillingham	83.00
Dutch Harbor	82.00
Eielson AFB	67.00
Elmendorf AFB	72.00
Fairbanks	67.00
Ft. Richardson	72.00
Ft. Wainwright	67.00
Juneau	83.00
Kodiak	84.00
Kotzebue	91.00
Murphy Dome	67.00
Noatak	91.00
Nome	80.00
Noorvik	91.00
Prudhoe Bay	94.00
Shemya AFB [1]	11.00
Shungnak	91.00
Spruce Cape	84.00
Tanana	90.00
Valdez	70.00
Wainwright	79.00
All Other Localities	71.00
American Somoa	65.00
Guam M.I.	60.00
Hawaii:	
Oahu	70.00
All Other Localities	60.00
Johnston Atoll [2]	15.50
Midway Islands [1]	12.60
Puerto Rico:	
Bayamon:	
12-16—5-15	102.00
5-16—12-15	75.00
Carolina:	
12-16—5-15	102.00
5-16—12-15	75.00
*Fajardo (Incl. Luquillo):	
12-16—5-15	102.00
5-16—12-15	75.00
Ft. Buchanan (Incl. GSA Service Center, Guaynabo):	
12-16—5-15	102.00
5-16—12-15	75.00
Ponce (Incl. Ft. Allen (NCS))	68.00
Roosevelt Roads:	
12-16—5-15	102.00
5-16—12-15	75.00
Sabana Seca:	
12-16—5-15	102.00
5-16—12-15	75.00
San Juan (Incl. San Juan Coast Guard Units):	
12-15—5-15	102.00
5-16—12-15	75.00
All Other Localities	63.00
Virgin Islands of U.S.:	
St. Thomas:	
12-1—4-30	128.00
5-1—11-30	74.00
Other:	
12-1—4-30	91.00
5-1—11-30	69.00
Wake Islands [2]	15.00
All Other Localities	20.00

[1] Commercial facilities are not available. This per diem rate covers charges for meals in available facilities plus an additional allowance for incidental expenses and will be increased by the amount paid for Government quarters by the traveler.

[2] Commercial facilities are not available. Only Government-owned and contractor operated quarters and mess are available at this locality. This per diem rate is the amount necessary to defray the cost of lodging, meal and incidental expenses.

M. S. Healy,

OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Washington Headquarters Services, Department of Defense.

February 27, 1981.

[FR Doc. 81-6634 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3810-70-M

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Office of Postsecondary Education

Veterans' Cost-of-Instruction Payments Program; Payments to Institutions of Higher Education

AGENCY: Department of Education.

ACTION: Closing date for transmittal of applications for fiscal year 1981.

Applications for awards are being accepted from institutions of higher

education under the Veterans' Cost-of-Instruction Payments Program.

Authority for this program is contained in Section 420 of the Higher Education Act of 1965, as amended by the Education Amendments of 1980.

(20 U.S.C. 1070e–1)

This program issues awards to institutions or combinations of institutions of higher education.

The purpose of the awards is to assist institutions in providing specific educational services to veterans enrolled at the institution.

Closing date for transmittal of applications: Applications for awards must be mailed or hand delivered by May 18, 1981.

Applications delivered by mail: An application sent by mail must be addressed to the U.S. Department of Education, Office of Postsecondary Education, Veterans' Programs Branch, (Room 3514, ROB–3), 400 Maryland Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20202, Attention: 84.064.

An applicant must show proof of mailing consisting of one of the following:

(1) A legibly dated U.S. Postal Service postmark.

(2) A legible mail receipt with the date of mailing stamped by the U.S. Postal Service.

(3) A dated shipping label, invoice, or receipt from a commercial carrier.

(4) Any other proof of mailing acceptable to the U.S. Secretary of Education.

If an application is sent through the U.S. Postal Service, the Secretary does not accept either of the following as proof of mailing. (1) a private metered postmark, or (2) a mail receipt that is not dated by the U.S. Postal Service.

An applicant should note that the U.S. Postal Service does not uniformly provide a dated postmark. Before relying on this method, an applicant should check with its local post office.

An applicant is encouraged to use registered or at least first class mail. Each late applicant will be notified that its application will not be considered.

Application delivered by hand: An application to be hand delivered must be taken to the U.S. Department of Education, Veterans' Programs Branch, Room 3514, ROB–3, 7th and D Streets, S.W., Washington, D.C. Hand-delivered applications will be accepted daily between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. (Washington, D.C. time) daily, except Saturdays, Sundays, and Federal holidays.

Applications that are hand delivered will not be accepted after 4:00 p.m. on the closing date.

Available funds: On January 16, 1981, a budget rescission was proposed to the Congress that may rescind the $12,039,000 that was included in the continuing resolution for this program. If the Congress approves the proposed rescission, a notice to the public will be published in the **Federal Register**, stating that the rescission has been approved. However, the deadline established in this notice will not be extened, and applicants should prepare and submit applications pending further notification.

Program information and application forms: Program information packages and application forms are expected to be ready for mailing by April 10, 1981. They may be obtained by writing to the U.S. Department of Education, Office of Postsecondary Education, Veterans' programs Branch, (Room 3514, ROB–3), 400 Maryland Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20202.

Applicable regulations: Regulations applicable to this program include the following:

(a) Regulations governing the Veterans' Cost-of-Instruction Payments Program, 34 CFR Part 629. (These regulations were published in the **Federal Register** as a notice of proposed rule on December 30, 1980. (45 FR 86328–86331); and

(b) The Education Department General Administrative Regulations (EDGAR), 34 CFR Part 75 (Direct Grant Programs) and Part 77 (General).

Note.—If substantive changes are made to the proposed rules for this program, applicants will be given an opportunity to amend their applications.

Further Information: For further information contact Dr. Stanley B. Patterson, Chief, Veterans' Programs Branch, U.S. Department of Education, (Room 3514, ROB–3), 400 Maryland Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20202. Telephone: (202) 245–2806.

(20 U.S.C. 1070e–1)

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number 84.064; Higher Education—Veterans' Cost-of-Instruction Payments Program (VICP))

Dated: February 25, 1981.

T. H. Bell,

Secretary of Education.

[FR Doc. 81-6783 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Draft National Site Characterization and Selection Plan and Environmental Assessment; Notice of Withdrawal

AGENCY: Department of Energy.

ACTION: Notice of Withdrawal of Draft National Site Characterization and Selection Plan.

SUMMARY: Per the Notice of Availability in the **Federal Register** on February 12, 1981 (46 FR 12049), the Department of Energy announced the release of the draft National Site Characterization and Selection Plan and accompanying Environmental Assessment (NSCSP/EA) for public review and comment. That Notice is now being withdrawn, as the Department has decided to withhold distribution of the draft NSCSP/EA. The NSCSP for siting high-level waste repositories is one element of the Department's National Waste Terminal Storage (NWTS) Program. All of the Department's programs are currently under extensive review. Until such review is complete and necessary revisions made to the Department's NWTS Program, the Department does not intend to release the draft NSCSP/EA document.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Colin A. Heath, Director, Office of Waste Isolation, NE–330, GTN, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, D.C. 20545. Telephone: (301) 353–4068.

Dated: February 23, 1981.

For the United States Department of Energy.

Mahlon E. Gates,

Assistant Secretary for Nuclear Energy.

[FR Doc. 81-6677 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

Economic Regulatory Administration

Acceptance of Certain Petitions Filed for Approval of Electric System Compliance Plans

AGENCY: Economic Regulatory Administration.

ACTION: Notice of Acceptance of Certain Petitions Filed for Approval of Electric System Compliance Plans.

SUMMARY: The Powerplant and Industrial Fuel Use Act of 1978 (FUA) prohibits existing electric powerplants from using natural gas as a primary energy source on or after January 1, 1990, and from using natural gas as a primary energy source before 1990 unless they burned natural gas in 1977, and then in no greater proportion than the average yearly proportion used by such powerplants in the calendar years 1974 through 1976.

The Economic Regulatory Administration (ERA) on June 12, 1979, issued an interim rule (44 FR 36002) and on August 1, 1980 the final rule (45 FR 53682) 10 CFR 504.4, implementing the

electric utility system compliance option authorized by section 501 of FUA. The final rule sets forth the criteria and procedures by which owners and operators of existing powerplants may be considered in compliance with the Title III FUA prohibitions on natural gas use by obtaining ERA approval of a system compliance plan. The regluations required a submission of certain elements of a proposed plan by January 1, 1980, and all additional submissions to complete a proposed plan by August 1, 1980. ERA received petitions for orders for the approval of system compliance plans from 39 eligible utility systems by the August 1, 1980 deadline for submission. Upon preliminary review ERA determined that 13 of those petitions met the minimum requirements of 10 CFR 504.4(b) and published notice of acceptance of those petitions in the **Federal Register** on September 5, 1980 (45 FR 58948). At that time ERA also noticed its determination, pursuant to 10 CFR 501.3(f), that certain other petitions were incomplete. ERA notified those petitioners of the appropriate steps that they should take within 90 days to cure the defects in their petitions. ERA has received supplemental information from 17 of those petitioners, and determined upon preliminary review that those 17 petitions met the minimum requirements of 10 CFR 504.4(b) and published notice of acceptance of those petitions in the **Federal Register** on December 5, 1980 (45 FR 80582). Subsequent to the notices of acceptance published in the **Federal Register** on September 5, 1980 (45 FR 58948) and December 5, 1980 (45 FR 80582) 7 petitioners have submitted information supplemental to their original petitions; and, upon preliminary review, ERA has determined that those 7 petitions now appear to meet the minimum requirements of 10 CFR 504.4(b) and is therefore accepting those petitions. In accordance with 10 CFR 501.31 and 10 CFR 501.33, interested persons are invited to submit written comments in regard to this matter. Also, any interested person may submit a written request for a public hearing.

DATE: Written comments and requests for a public hearing are due on or before April 17, 1981.

ADDRESSES: Fifteen copies of written comments or of any request for a public hearing shall be submitted to: Department of Energy, Case Control Unit, Box 4629, Room 3214, 2000 M Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20461. Docket Number ERA–FC–81–001 should be printed clearly on the outside of the envelope and the document contained therein.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jack Vandenberg, Office of Public Information, Economic Regulatory Administration, Department of Energy, 2000 M Street NW., Room B–110, Washington, D.C. 20461, (202) 653–4055.

James W. Workman, Director, Powerplants Conversion Division, Office of Fuels Conversion, Economic Regulatory Administration, Department of Energy, 2000 M Street NW., Room 3128I, Washington, D.C. 20461, (202) 653–4268.

Henry K. Garson, Acting Assistant General Counsel for Coal Regulations, Office of General Counsel, Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Avenue SW., Room 6B–178, Washington, D.C. 20585, (202) 252–2967.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On June 12, 1979, ERA issued an interim rule (44 FR 36002) and on August 1, 1980 a final rule (45 FR 53682) implementing the authority granted to the Department of Energy by section 501 of FUA.

The final rule (10 CFR 504.4) establishes the procedures that ERA will follow in implementing its authorities under section 501 of FUA. 10 CFR 504.4(b) of the final rule contains a description of the minimum requirements that petitioners must meet in order to obtain approval of their system compliance plans.

Approval of a system compliance plan will allow a utility system to comply with natural gas use prohibitions contained in FUA applicable to existing powerplants on a systemwide basis rather than on an individual powerplant basis. Through utilization of the system compliance option, utilities which are now heavily dependent upon natural gas will be allowed to develop a plan to accomplish an orderly phased reduction in natural gas use.

Upon recepit of petitions for orders for the approval of system compliance plans, ERA performed an intitial review of the plans as specified in 10 CFR 501.3(c). ERA determined that petitions submitted by the owners/operators of certain utility systems did not meet the minimum requirements specified in 10 CFR 504.4(b). Subsequent to the notices of acceptance published in the **Federal Register** on September 5, 1980 (45 FR 58948) and December 5, 1980 (45 FR 80582) 7 petitioners have submitted information supplemental to their original petitions; and, upon preliminary review, ERA has determined that the following utility systems have now submitted information which appears sufficient to meet the minimum requirements specified in 10 CFR 504.4(b).

Name of Petitioner and Location

City of Farmington, Farmington, New Mexico.

Greenwood Utilities, Greenwood, Mississippi.

Oklahoma Gas & Electric Company, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma.

Orlando Utilities Commission, Orlando, Florida.

Sebring Utilities Commission, Sebring, Florida.

Sunflower Electric Cooperative, Inc., Hays, Kansas.

Utilities Commission, New Smyrna Beach, Florida.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 501.3(d), ERA hereby accepts the petitions for orders approving system compliance plans from the above listed petitioners and is, with this **Federal Register** notice, announcing the commencement, in accordance with 10 CFR 501.63, of the administrative proceeding for these petitions.

Acceptance of these petitions does not constitute approval of the plans by ERA, nor does it foreclose ERA from requesting further information during the course of its further review of the petitions and the proceedings. Failure to provide additional information as requested could result in ERA withdrawing its acceptance of the petition or may ultimately result in the denial of the request for the approval of the plan.

ERA is accepting the petitions based upon the petitioners having met the minimum requirements of 10 CFR § 504.4(b). In its preliminary review of the petitions ERA has not determined the appropriateness of any recommended terms and conditions set forth in the petitions nor the accuracy of any information, data or calculations provided as a part of the petitions. Such terms and conditions, contingencies, stipulations, etc. will be reviewed and analyzed by ERA during the course of the administrative proceedings and are available for public review during the public comment period.

The public comment period regarding these seven petitions will remain open until April 17, 1981. During this time ERA will request any necessary additional information or allow the correction of deficiencies in the petitions as originally filed.

The public file, containing all documents relating to accepted petitions is available for inspection upon request at: Economic Regulatory Administration, Room B–110, 2000 M Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., Monday-Friday, 8:00 a.m.–4:30 p.m.

Issued in Washington, D.C. on February 18, 1981.

Robert L. Davies,

Assistant Administrator, Office of Fuels Conversion, Economic Regulatory Administration.

[FR Doc. 81-6679 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

Domestic Crude Oil Allocation Program; Entitlements Notice for November 1980; Correction

AGENCY: Department of Energy, Economic Regulatory Administration.

ACTION: November 1980 Entitlements Notice; Correction.

SUMMARY: This document corrects typographical errors that appeared in a table on page 10192 in the November 1980 Entitlements Notice, published in the **Federal Register** of Monday, February 2, 1981 (46 FR 10191).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

David A. Welsh or Robert H. Thompson (Entitlements Program Office), Economic Regulatory Administration, Room 6212, 2000 M Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20461, (202) 653-3459 or 653-3475.

Jeffrey Stoermer (Office of General Counsel), Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Avenue, S.W., Room 6A-127, Washington, D.C. 20585, (202) 252-6754.

The following corrections are made in the November 1980 Entitlements Notice, published in the **Federal Register** on February 2, 1981 (46 FR 10191):

On page 10192 in the table appearing at the bottom of the third column, the weighted average cost of lower tier crude oil, published as "$7.21", is corrected to "$7.23"; and the weighted average cost of upper tier crude oil (excluding ANS oil), published as "14.12", is corrected to "15.45".

Issued in Washington, D.C. on February 24, 1981.

Barton R. House,

Acting Administrator, Economic Regulatory Administration.

[FR Doc. 81-6680 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

[Docket No. ERA-FC-81-002; OFC Case Number 51100-6257-01-77 and 51100-6257-02-77]

Georgia Power Company; Classification

AGENCY: Economic Regulatory Administration, Department of Energy.

ACTION: Notice of Classification—Georgia Power Company.

SUMMARY: On February 2, 1981, the Economic Regulatory Administration (ERA) published notice in the **Federal Register** (46 FR 10198) of requests from the Georgia Power Company (Georgia Power) to classify as "existing" facilities two major fuel burning installations (MFBI's) pursuant to 10 CFR 515.13 ("Final Rule to Permit Classification of Certain Powerplants and Installations as Existing Facilities" issued by ERA on October 12, 1979, and published in the **Federal Register** on October 19, 1979 (44 FR 60690)) and pursuant to the provisions of the Powerplant and Industrial Fuel Use Act of 1978 (42 U.S.C. 8301 *et seq.*) (FUA or the Act). Pursuant to § 515.13(a) ERA has determined that the two boilers are existing facilities.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On July 24, 1980, Georgia Power requested that ERA classify as existing two boilers designed to burn oil and/or natural gas. Notice of Georgia Power's filing of the requests for classification was published in the **Federal Register** on February 2, 1981, at 46 FR 10198.

The public comment period provided in the notice expired on February 23, 1981. No comments were received.

Georgia Power has established its eligibility to request classification of Start-up Boilers 1 and 2 at their Plant Scherer in Juliette, Georgia, by satisfactorily demonstrating, pursuant to 10 CFR 515.10 that it had executed a contract for the construction or acquisition of such units prior to November 9, 1978. Georgia Power demonstrated that these units should be classified as existing pursuant to 10 CFR 515.13 on the basis that the cancellation, rescheduling, or modification of the two units as of November 9, 1978 would result in a substantial financial penalty. Specifically, Georgia Power demonstrated that charges incurred as a result of cancellation of its contracts for the subject boilers as of November 9, 1978 would, in each case, have been at least 25 percent of the total projected project cost as required by 10 CFR 515.13.

Based upon its review of Georgia Power's request, together with Georgia Power's supplementary filing of September 30, 1980, ERA has now determined to classify the Georgia Power's Plant Scherer Start-up Boilers 1 and 2 as existing facilities pursuant to 10 CFR 515.13.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Ellen Russell, Case Manager, New MFBI Branch, Office of Fuels Conversion, Economic Regulatory Administration, 2000 M Street, NW., Room 3128, Washington, D.C. 20461, Phone (202) 653-4265.

James Renjilian, Office of the General Counsel, Department of Energy, Forrestal Building, Room 6B-178, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, D.C. 20585, Phone (202) 252-2967.

The public files (OFC Case Numbers 51100-6257-01-77 and 02-77) containing documents on these proceedings are available for inspection upon request at: Economic Regulatory Administration, Room B-110, 2000 M Street NW., Washington, D.C., Monday through Friday, 8:00 a.m.-4:30 p.m.

Issued in Washington, D.C. on February 24, 1981.

Robert L. Davies,

Assistant Administrator, Office of Fuels Conversion, Economic regulatory Administration.

[FR Doc. 81-6681 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

[ERA Case No. 63011-9162-01-24; Docket No. ERA-FC-80-017]

Great Western Malting Co.; Decision and Order Granting Exemption From the Prohibitions of the Powerplant and Industrial Fuel Use Act of 1978

The Economic Regulatory Administration (ERA) of the Department of Energy (DOE) hereby issues this Decision and Order to Great Western Malting Company (Great Western) granting a permanent cogeneration exemption from the provisions of the Powerplant and Industrial Fuel Use Act of 1978, 42 U.S.C. 8301 *et seq.* (FUA or the Act), which prohibit the use of petroleum or natural gas in new powerplants.

Background: Great Western proposes to install at its Vancouver, Washington facility a 20,100 kilowatt gas-fired combustion turbine to produce electricity and hot water heat for the company's malting process. On April 22, 1980, after a prepetition conference held in Washington, D.C., Great Western submitted a revised petition to ERA for a permanent cogeneration exemption from the prohibitions of FUA to use natural gas as a primary energy source in its proposed cogeneration powerplant.

ERA accepted the petition on June 13, 1980, and published notice of its acceptance, together with a statement of the reasons set forth in the petition for requesting the exemption, in the **Federal Register** on June 20, 1980 (45 FR 41693). Publication of the Notice of Acceptance commenced a 45-day public comment period pursuant to section 701 of FUA.

During this period interested persons also were afforded an opportunity to request a public hearing. The comment period ended August 4, 1980. Comments were received from Region X of the Environmental Protection Agency (Seattle, Washington). No hearing was requested.

ERA's staff prepared a Tentative Staff Analysis which recommended that ERA issue an order granting Great Western a permanent cogeneration exemption to use natural gas as a primary energy source in the cogeneration facility subject to certain terms and conditions. A Notice of Availability of the Tentative Staff Analysis was published in the Federal Register on January 19, 1981 (46 FR 5042). The publication of the Notice of Availability opened a 14-day public comment period which ended February 2, 1981.

On the basis of ERA's review of the entire record of this proceeding, including a review of the public comments received after publication of the Notice of Acceptance, ERA has determined to grant the exemption requested by Great Western to use natural gas in its proposed cogeneration powerplant, subject to the terms and conditions enumerated below.

Based upon information provided by Great Western, ERA conducted an environmental analysis which was reviewed by the DOE's Office of Environment, in consultation with the Office of General Counsel, and DOE has concluded that the granting of this exemption is not a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, within the meaning of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA). Accordingly, neither an environmental impact statement nor an environmental assessment is required.

DATES: This order will not take effect until May 2, 1981.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jack C. Vandenberg, Office of Public Information, Economic Regulatory Administration, Department of Energy, 2000 M Street, NW., Room B-110, Washington, D.C. 20461, Phone (202) 653-4055.

Louis T. Krezanosky, Office of Fuels Conversion, Economic Regulatory Administration, Department of Energy, 2000 M Street, NW., Room 3012 B, Washington, D.C. 20461, Phone (202) 653-4208.

Douglas F. Mitchell, Office of General Counsel, Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Ave., SW., Room 6B-178, Washington, D.C. 20585, Phone (202) 252-2967.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Economic Regulatory Administration (ERA) of the Department of Energy (DOE), on May 15, 1979 and May 17, 1979, published in the Federal Register (44 FR 28530, 28950), an Interim Rule to implement provisions of Title II of the Powerplant and Industrial Fuel Use Act of 1978, 42 U.S.C. 8301 *et seq.* (FUA or the Act). A Final Rule published on June 6, 1980 (45 FR 38276), became effective August 5, 1980, but those sections of the Interim Rule relating to the cogeneration exemption (44 FR 28994) continued in effect. FUA prohibits the use of natural gas or petroleum in certain new major fuel burning installations and powerplants unless an exemption for such use has been granted by ERA.

Great Western plans to install a 20.1 MW gas-fired cogeneration powerplant to produce electricity and hot water heat for its malting process at its Vancouver, Washington facility. The cogeneration facility will consist of a General Electric LM 2500 gas turbine generator connected to a Johnston waste heat recovery boiler, and three oil-fired stand-by boilers. The gas turbine has a design heat input rate of 183 MM BTU's per hour and each stand-by boiler has a design heat input rate of 55.6 MM BTU's per hour. The waste heat boiler will receive no supplemental firing. All of the electricity produced by the gas turbine will be sold to local utilities, while heat exhaust from the turbine will be utilized by the waste heat boiler to produce hot water used to provide indirect heat for Great Western's production activities. The stand-by boilers will furnish additional process heat on very cold days and serve as a backup system when the gas turbine is down for repairs or maintenance. In either case, the stand-by boilers will not be used in the production of electricity. Pursuant to FUA section 103(a)(7)(B)(ii), the cogeneration facility will be treated as a powerplant because more than half of its annual electric power generation will be sold.

Great Western estimates that the cogeneration facility will consume approximately 1,626 to 1,992 MM cu. ft. of natural gas per year (4.5 to 5.5 MM cu. ft. per day), and expects that it will begin commercial operation in November, 1981. In accordance with 10 CFR § 503.37, a petitioner is required to demonstrate either that the oil and gas to be consumed by the cogeneration facility will be less than that which would otherwise be consumed in the absence of such facility or that it would be in the public interest to grant the exemption.

After reviewing present and future regional power generation, ERA's staff has concluded that the proposed cogeneration facility would provide needed additional power to the grid immediately and into the 1990's to reduce a current and projected electrical shortfall and would also eliminate or reduce the need for new oil- and gas-fired peaking units. ERA has concluded that Great Western has demonstrated that oil and gas savings will result from the use of the proposed cogeneration facility.

On July 29, 1980, the United States Environmental Protection Agency—Region X submitted comments to ERA questioning whether or not the Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) requirements (Part C of the Clean Air Act) applied to the proposed facility. On October 24, 1980, ERA was advised that, based upon EPA's review of information furnished by Great Western, EPA determined that the proposed powerplant will not be subject to PSD review. No hearing was requested.

ERA's staff believed that there may be periods of time when operation of the cogeneration powerplant could result in less than full utilization of available alternate fuel capacity in the region. For example, during periods when both low loads and above average water conditions prevail, generally in the spring, continued operation of the cogeneration powerplant could result in less than full utilization of the water available. Therefore, ERA staff recommended conditioning the grant of any cogeneration exemption upon the requirement that Great Western operate its boilers rather than its cogeneration powerplant during such periods rather than its cogeneration powerplant during such periods. Subsequent telephone conversations between ERA staff and Great Western and between ERA staff and the Public Utility District of Clark County, (Clark County) have resulted in reconsideration of this condition. Both Great Western and Clark County are concerned with the extent to which such requirement must be carried out in view of practical day to day operating considerations. These considerations include the amount of time required to notify Great Western of when to cease operating the cogeneration powerplant, the sometimes rapid change in water conditions, the method of communications, etc. Clark County suggested that the condition be modified to provide operating flexibility. ERA has concluded the insertion of the words "To the maximum extent practicable" at the beginning of the proposed condition will provide the necessary flexibility.

Order

ERA hereby grants to Great Western a permanent cogeneration exemption from the prohibitions of FUA with respect to the use of natural gas as a primary energy source in the company's proposed cogeneration powerplant, subject to the following terms and conditions imposed pursuant to the authority granted to ERA by section 214(a) of the Act:

Terms and Conditions

A. To the maximum extent practicable, Great Western will operate its natural gas- or oil-fired boilers instead of its cogeneration powerplant whenever the generation of electricity by its cogeneration powerplant would result in less than full utilization of alternate fuel capacity available to the West Group of the Northwest Power Pool.

B. This order shall not take effect until May 2, 1981.

Issued in Washington, D.C. on February 24, 1981.

Robert L. Davies,

Assistant Administrator, Office of Fuels Conversion, Economic Regulatory Administration.

[FR Doc. 81-6662 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

[ERA Docket No. 81-CERT-003]

Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District; Recertification of Eligible Use of Natural Gas To Displace Fuel Oil

On January 21, 1981, Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District (Salt River Project), P.O. Box 1980, Phoenix, Arizona 85001, filed an application with the Administrator of the Economic Regulatory Administration (ERA) pursuant to 10 CFR Part 595 for recertification of an eligible use of approximately 19,106,000 Mcf of natural gas per year to displace approximately 2,844,000 barrels of residual fuel oil (0.9 percent sulfur) and approximately 254,000 barrels of distillate fuel oil (0.5 percent sulfur) per year at its Agua Fria Steam Plant in Glendale, Arizona and Kyrene Steam Plant in Tempe, Arizona. The eligible seller of the natural gas is Consumers Power Company and the gas will be transported by the Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company, the Trunkline Pipe Line Company, the Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America, and the El Paso Natural Gas Company. Notice of that application was published in the **Federal Register** (46 FR 11702, February 10, 1981) and an opportunity for public comment was provided for a period of ten (10) calendar days from the date of publication. No comments were received.

On March 21, 1980, Salt River Project received the original certification (ERA Docket No. 79-CERT-115) of an eligible use of natural gas at the above steam plants for a period of one year, which will expire on March 20, 1981.

The ERA has carefully reviewed Salt River Project's application for recertification in accordance with 10 CFR Part 595 and the policy considerations expressed in the Final Rulemaking Regarding Procedures for Certification of the Use of Natural Gas to Displace Fuel Oil (44 FR 47920, August 16, 1979). The ERA has determined that Salt River Project's application satisfies the criteria enumerated in 10 CFR Part 595, and, therefore, has granted the recertification to be effective March 21, 1981, and transmitted that recertification to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. More detailed information including a copy of the application, transmittal letter, and the actual recertification is available for public inspection at the Division of Natural Gas Docket Room, Room 7108, RG-55, 2000 M Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20461, from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

Issued in Washington, D.C., February 24, 1981.

F. Scott Bush,

Assistant Administrator, Office of Regulatory Policy, Economic Regulatory Administration.

[FR Doc. 81-6663 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

[ERA Docket Nos. 81-14-NG; 81-15-NG; and 81-16-NG]

Transcontinental Gas Pipeline Corp. and Midwestern Gas Transmission Co., Natural Gas Imports; Applications To Pay the Canadian Border Price

AGENCY: Department of Energy, Economic Regulatory Administration.

ACTION: Notice of applications to amend natural gas import authorizations to permit purchase price increase.

SUMMARY: The Economic Regulatory Administration (ERA) of the Department of Energy (DOE) gives notice of receipt of applications by Transcontinental Gas Pipeline Corporation (Transco) (ERA Docket Nos. 81-14-NG and 81-15-NG) and Midwestern Gas Transmission Company (Midwestern) (ERA Docket No. 81-16-NG) to amend their current natural gas import authorizations in order to permit the purchase of natural gas imported from Canada into the United States at a price of U.S. $4.94 per MMBtu effective April 1, 1981. Currently, these companies are authorized to purchase natural gas from Canada at a price of U.S. $4.47 per MMBtu.

The applications are filed with ERA pursuant to Section 3 of the Natural Gas Act and DOE Delegation Order No. 0204-54. Protests or petitions to intervene are invited.

DATES: Protests or petitions to intervene are to be filed no later than 4:30 p.m. on March 13, 1981.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Stephen J. Gary (Division of Natural Gas), Economic Regulatory Administration, 2000 M Street N.W., Room 7108, RG-55, Washington, D.C. 20461, (202) 653-3286.

Patricia J. Neel, (Office of the General Counsel, Natural Gas and Mineral Leasing), 1000 Independence Ave. S.W., Forrestal Bldg. Room 5E-074, Washington, D.C. 20585, (202) 252-2900.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

By DOE/ERA Opinion and Order No. 17, issued on July 7, 1981, in ERA Docket No. 79-08-NG, Transco was conditionally authorized jointly with Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company Limited (Tennessee) to import up to 22 Bcf of natural gas per year from Sulpetro Limited. This imported volume is divided equally between Transco and Tennessee. Transco also imports up to 10 Bcf of natural gas per year from Union Gas Limited, as conditionally authorized by DOE/ERA Opinion and Order No. 24, issued on October 31, 1980, in ERA Docket No. 80-14-NG.

Midwestern is currently authorized to purchase and import up to 363,000 Mcf of natural gas per day from TransCanada Pipe Lines Limited (TransCanada). Midwestern is also authorized to import up to 114 Bcf of natural gas purchased from TransCanada on a best efforts basis at a maximum daily rate of 600,000 Mcf per day through October 31, 1981.

In DOE/ERA Opinion and Order No. 14B, issued on May 15, 1980, in ERA Docket Nos. 80-01-NG, *et al.*, Inter-City Minnesota Pipelines Ltd., Inc., *et al.*, and in subsequent orders in other dockets, ERA authorized the import of natural gas from Canada at a border price of U.S. $4.47 per MMBtu. On January 15, 1981, the Government of Canada announced a new border price of U.S. $4.94 per MMBtu for natural gas exported to the United States, effective April 1, 1981.

On February 12, 1981, Transco filed two applications for authorization to pay the new price for its importations of

Canadian gas. In each of its applications, Transco states that the need for this supply of gas was determined previously be ERA at the time the original authorizations were granted and contends such need still exists.

On February 15, 1981, Midwestern filed its application to amend its authorization to allow the importation of Canadian natural gas at the new price. Midwestern submits that continuation of this imported supply is necessary because Midwestern's entire Northern system is wholly dependent upon this source of Canadian natural gas. Also, Midwestern's resale of imported Canadian natural gas to Michigan Wisconsin Pipeline Company (Michigan Wisconsin) and Tennessee represents an important source of supply for those two companies.

Certain other companies authorized to import natural gas from Canada, including Michigan Wisconsin, Montana Power Company, Northern Natural Gas Company, Tennessee, and Vermont Gas Systems, Inc., have not yet applied to amend their import authorizations. ERA anticipates that it will consolidate for decision all applications to amend the price paid for currently authorized imports of Canadian gas. However, persons with a specific interest in the proceedings noticed herein should intervene in these dockets without prejudice to filing comments in other related dockets at a later date. In order to provide sufficient time for ERA to consider these applications prior to the effective date of the price increase, we are limiting the intervention period to ten days.

Other Information

The ERA invites protests or petitions for intervention in the applications noticed herein, or in anticipation of a consolidated proceeding to consider all Canadian price increase requests by companies currently authorized to import natural gas from Canada.

Such protests or petitions are to be filed with the Economic Regulatory Administration, Division of Natural Gas Docket Room, Room 7108, RG–55, 2000 M Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20461, in accordance with the requirements of the applicable rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 and 1.10). Protests or petitions for intervention will be accepted for consideration if filed no later than 4:30 p.m., on March 13, 1981.

Any person wishing to become a party to the proceedings or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene. Protests filed with ERA will be considered in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings.

A hearing will not be held unless a motion for a hearing is made by any party and is granted by ERA, or if the ERA on its own motion believes that a hearing is required. A party filing a motion for hearing must demonstrate how a hearing will advance the proceedings. If a hearing is ordered, due notice will be given to the parties.

A copy of the applications noticed herein is available for public inspection and copying in the Division of Natural Gas Docket Room, Room 7108, 2000 M Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20461, between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

Issued in Washington, D.C. on February 24, 1981.

F. Scott Bush,

Assistant Administrator, Office of Regulatory Policy, Economic Regulatory Administration.

[FR Doc. 81–6684 Filed 3–2–81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450–01–M

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. QF81–12–000]

American McGaw Division of American Hospital Supply Corp.; Application for Commission Certification of Qualifying Status of a Cogeneration Facility

February 26, 1981.

On January 19, 1981, American McGaw Division of American Hospital Supply Corporation, 2525 McGaw Avenue, Irvine, California 92714, filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission an application to be certified as a qualifying cogeneration facility pursuant to § 292.207 of the Commission's rules.

The proposed topping-cycle cogeneration facility will be located in Irvine, California. American McGaw will be installing a Solar Centaur turbine generator with a Deltak Heat Recovery Unit. The system will generate 2.8 megawatts of electricity and supply 15,600 pounds per hour of 125 psig process steam. The system will burn natural gas. American McGaw is the sole owner of the facility.

Any person desiring to be heard or objecting to the granting of qualifying status should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. All such petitions or protests must be filed within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice and must be served on the applicant. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 81–6756 Filed 3–2–81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450–85–M

[Docket No. ER81–280–000]

Appalachian Power Co., et al., Notice of Filing

February 26, 1981.

The filing Company submits the following:

Take notice that American Electric Power Service Corporation (AE) on February 17, 1981, tendered for filing on behalf of its affiliates Appalachian Power Company (Appalachian), Ohio Power Company (Ohio Power) and Wheeling Electric Company (Wheeling), Modification No. 11 dated February 13, 1981, to the Operating Agreement dated June 1, 1971 between Monongahela Power Company, West Penn Power Company, Appalachian Power Company, Ohio Power Company and Wheeling Electric Company. Appalachian's Rate Schedule FERC No. 55, Ohio Power's Rate Schedule FERC No. 73 and Wheeling's Rate Schedule No. 5.

Sections 1 and 2 of Modification No. 11 provides for an increase in the demand charge for Short Term and Limited Term Power from $0.85 to $1.05 per kilowatt per week and $4.50 to $5.50 per kilowatt per month respectively. Both schedules proposed to become effective February 23, 1981.

Applicant states that since the use of Short Terms Power and Limited Term Power cannot be accurately estimated, for the twelve month period succeeding the date of filing, it is impossible to estimate the increase in revenues resulting from its modification for such period. Applicant's Appendix V which was included with revenues which would have resulted had the modification been in effect during the twelve-month period ending December 1980 would have been $2,222,380.91 (i.e., from $47,410,103.26 to $49,632,484.17) for Short Term Power. There were no Limited Term transactions in the year ending December 1981.

Copies of the filing were served upon Allegheny Power Service Corporation,

the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, the Public Service Commission of West Virginia and Virginia State Corporation Commission.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before March 16, 1981. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 81-6757 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-85-M

[Docket No. RP74-4-002, et al.]

Cities Service Gas Co., et al.; Filing of Pipeline Refund Reports and Refund Plans

February 26, 1981.

Take notice that the pipelines listed in the Appendix hereto have submitted to the Commission for filing proposed refund reports or refund plans. The date of filing, docket number, and type of filing are also shown on the Appendix.

Any person wishing to do so may submit comments in writing concerning the subject refund reports and plans. All such comments should be filed with or mailed to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, on or before March 16, 1981. Copies of the respective filings are on file with the Commission and available for public inspection.

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

Appendix

Filing date	Company	Docket No.	Type filing
2/6/81	Cities Service Gas Co.	RP74-4-002	Report.
2/17/81	Tennessee Gas Pipeline Co.	RP73-113-004, *et al*	Report.
2/17/81	Tennessee Gas Pipeline Co.	RP73-113-005, *et al*	Report.
2/18/81	Texas Eastern Transmission Corp.	RP81-40-000	Petition and plan.
2/19/81	South Georiga Natural Gas Co.	RP77-32-010	Report.
2/19/81	Consolidated Gas Supply Corp.	RP72-157-041	Report.

[FR Doc. 81-6758 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-85-M

[Docket No. SA81-15-000]

Great Lakes Steel, Division of National Steel Corp. and McLouth Steel Corp.; Application for Adjustment and Request for Interim Relief

February 26, 1981.

On February 13, 1981, Great Lakes Steel Division of National Steel Corporation and McLouth Steel Corporation (Applicants) filed an application for adjustment pursuant to section 502(c) of the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978 (NGPA) (15 U.S.C. 3301 *et seq.*) and § 1.41 of the Commission's regulations. Applicants seek relief from certain alternative fuel price ceilings published pursuant to 18 CFR 282.404.

Applicants state that in connection with their respective steel-making facilities in Wayne County, Michigan, they purchase natural gas for boiler fuel use and are therefore subject to the incremental pricing program of Title II of the NGPA. Applicants state that the alternative fuel price ceilings published for the states in Region D by the Energy Information Administration (EIA) for December 1980, and January and February 1981, indicate that the February 1981 alternative fuel price ceiling for Michigan was the highest of the seven states in Region D. In particular, applicants note that the price differential between the alternative fuel price ceiling for Michigan and Illinois is $1.35 per MMBtu, and for Michigan and Ohio it is $.90 per MMBtu. Applicants believe that these fuel price ceilings are based on sales occuring predominantly outside southeastern Michigan and therefore include substantial transportation costs for non-water transportation. Since the Applicants are directly competing in the automotive steel market with other Great Lakes Region steel producers as well as foreign steel producers, they state that the great disparity between the alternative fuel price ceilings for Michigan and the ceilings for other states in Region D, particularly Illinois and Ohio, places them at a competitive disadvantage with other Region D states and foreign steel producers. Applicants claim that this disparity also unfairly and inequitably distributes the burdens of incremental pricing on applicants and other Michigan industries.

Applicants request relief similar to that granted to the State of Kentucky in Docket No. RM81-9, issued December 24, 1980 (46 FR 2036, January 8, 1981), and a Commission directive to the EIA to use the Region D price ceiling as the price ceiling for Michigan, pending final action in Docket No. RM79-21.

In addition, Applicants request interim relief pursuant to § 1.41(m) of the Commission's regulations.

The procedures applicable to the conduct of this adjustment proceeding are found in 18 CFR § 1.41 (44 FR 18961, March 30, 1979). Any person desiring to participate in this adjustment proceeding shall file a petition to intervene in accordance with the provisions of § 1.41. All petitions to intervene must be filed on or before March 18, 1981.

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 81-6760 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-85-M

[Docket No. ER81-286-000]

Illinois Power Co.; Notice of Filing

February 26, 1981.

The filing Company submits the following:

Take notice that on February 17, 1981, Illinois Power Company ("Illinois Power") tendered for filing proposed Modification No. 7, dated November 18, 1980, to the Interconnection Agreement, dated July 25, 1975, between Western Illinois Power Cooperative, Inc. and Illinois Power Company.

Illinois Power indicated that this filing is made for an additional point of interconnection at a point near Elkhart, Illinois, which shall be known as "Turris Interchange".

Illinois Power states that a copy of the filing was served upon Western Illinois Power Cooperative, Inc. and the Illinois Commerce Commission.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file comments or protests with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C., 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 or 1.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such comments or protests should be

filed on or before March 17, 1981. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 81-6761 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-85-M

[Docket No. ER81-285-000]

Kansas Gas and Electric Co.; Proposed Tariff Change

February 26, 1981.

The filing Company submits the following:

Take notice that Kansas Gas and Electric Company on February 13, 1981, tendered for filing a proposed change in its FPC Electric Service Tariff No. 125. The proposed Amendatory Agreement changes the minimum and maximum amounts of power.

The Amendatory Agreement is necessary because the present demands are being exceeded.

Copies of this filing were served upon the City of Mindenmines, Missouri.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said Application should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C., 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 or 1.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before March 17, 1981. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this Application are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 81-6762 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-85-M

[Project No. 3935-000]

Lawrence Gleeson, Thomas Blackburn, and Michael Baird; Application for Preliminary Permit

February 27, 1981.

Take notice that Lawrence Gleeson, Thomas Blackburn, and Michael Baird (Applicant) filed on January 6, 1981, an application for preliminary permit [pursuant to the Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. § 791(a)—825(r)] for proposed Project No. 3935 to be known as Littlefield Project located on the Little Androscoggin River in the City of Auburn, Androscoggin County, Maine. The application is on file with the Commission and is available for public inspection. Correspondence with the Applicant should be directed to: Mr. Thomas E. Blackburn, P.O. Box 138, Mechanic Falls, Maine 04256. Any person who wishes to file a response to this notice should read the entire notice and must comply with the requirements specified for the particular kind of response that person wishes to file.

Project Description—The proposed project would consist of: (1) an existing 420-foot long, 21-foot high rock core concrete dam with a breached section 90 feet long which would be repaired; (2) a reservoir with little or no storage capacity; (3) an existing powerhouse at the dam containing an existing turbine to be reconditioned and connected to a new 1 MW generator; and (4) appurtanent facilities. The proposed run-of-the-river project would generate up to 4,380,000 kWh annually saving the equivalent of 7,300 barrels of oil or 2,000 tons of coal.

Purpose of Project—Energy produced at the project would be sold to Central Main Power Company for distribution to its customers.

Proposed Scope and Cost of Studies under Permit—The Work proposed under the preliminary permit would include economic analysis, preparation of preliminary engineering plans, and a study of environmental impacts. Based on results of these studies, Applicant would decide whether to proceed with more detailed studies and the preparation of an application for license to construct and operate the project. Applicant estimates that the cost of the work to be performed under the preliminary permit would be $50,000.

Purpose of Preliminary Permit.—A preliminary permit does not authorize construction. A permit, if issued, gives the Permittee, during the term of the permit, the right of priority of application for license while the Permittee undertakes the necessary studies and examinations to determine the engineering, economic, and environmental feasibility of the proposed project, the market for power, and all other information necessary for inclusion in an application for a license.

Agency Comments—Federal, State, and local agencies that receive this notice through direct mailing from the Commission are invited to submit comments on the described application for preliminary permit. (A copy of the application may be obtained directly from the Applicant.) Comments should be confined to substantive issues relevant to the issuance of a permit and consistent with the purpose of a permit as described in this notice. No other formal request for comments will be made. If an agency does not file comments within the time set below, it will be presumed to have no comments.

Competing Applications—Anyone desiring to file a competing application must submit to the Commission, on or before May 1, 1981, either the competing application itself or a notice of intent to file a competing application. Submission of a timely notice of intent allows an interested person to file the competing application no later than June 30, 1981. A notice of intent must conform with the requirements of 18 CFR 4.33(b) and (c) (1980). A competing application must conform with the requirements of 18 CFR 4.33(a) and (d) (1980).

Comments, Protests, or Petitions to Intervene—Anyone desiring to be heard or to make any protests about this application should file a petition to intervene or a protest with the Commission, in accordance with the requirements of its Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10 (1980). Comments not in the nature of a protest may also be submitted by conforming to the procedures specified in § 1.10 for protests. In determining the appropriate action to take, the Commission will consider all protests or other comments filed, but a person who merely files a protest or comments does not become a party to the proceeding. To become a party, or to participate in any hearing, a person must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules. Any comments, protest, or petition to intervene must be received on or before May 1, 1981.

Filing and Service of Responsive Documents—Any comments, notices of intent, competing applications, protests, or petitions to intervene must bear in all capital letters the title "COMMENTS," "NOTICE OF INTENT TO FILE COMPETING APPLICATION," "COMPETING APPLICATION," "PROTEST," or "PETITION TO INTERVENE," as applicable. Any of these filings must also state that it is made in response to this notice of application for preliminary permit for Project No. 3935. Any comments, notices of intent, competing applications, protests, or petitions to intervene must be filed by providing the original and those copies required by the Commission's regulations to: Kenneth F. Plumb, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C.

20426. An additional copy must be sent to: Fred E. Springer, Chief, Applications Branch, Division of Hydropower Licensing, Federal Energy Regualtory Commission, Room 208, 400 First Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20426. A copy of any notice of intent, competing application, or petition to intervene must also be served upon each representative of the Applicant specified in the first paragraph of this notice.

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 81-6752 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-85-M

[Docket No. ER81-282-000]

The Montana Power Co.; Notice of Filing

February 26, 1981.

The filing Company submits the following:

Take notice that The Montana Power Company (Montana) on February 17, 1981, tendered for filing its proposed Rate Schedule REC-81 applicable for sales to Big Horn County Electric Cooperative, Inc. (currently served under FPC Rate Schedule No. 40, Supplement No. 3), and Central Montana Generation & Transmission Cooperative, Inc. (currently served under FPC Rate Schedule No. 39, Supplement No. 9).

The Company states that, based on the test year ending December 31, 1979, proposed Rate Schedule REC-81 would have provided it with increased revenues of $178,093 from sales to Big Horn and Central Montana. The Company states that the rate increase has become necessary as a result of increasing costs being incurred in providing service to these customers.

The Company has proposed that Rate Schedule REC-81 become effective with service on and after March 1, 1981, in order to enable Big Horn and Central Montana to implement corresponding increases in their rates.

Copies of the Company's filing were served upon Big Horn and upon the Montana Public Service Commission.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before March 20, 1981. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 81-6793 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-85-M

[Docket No. ER81-284-000]

The Montana Power Co., Notice of Filing

February 26, 1981

The filing Company submits the following:

Take notice that The Montana Power Company (Montana) on February 18, 1981, tendered for filing in accordance with Section 35 of the Commission's regulations, Letter Agreements with the Los Angeles Department of Water and Power (Los Angeles). Montana states that these Letter Agreements provide for the sale of firm energy between Montana and Los Angeles.

Montana indicates that the proposed Letter Agreements increased revenues from jurisdictional sales by $2,761,800.00, based upon energy delivered from September 15, 1980 through February 1, 1981. Montana states that the rate for firm energy under these Letter Agreements was negotiated.

An effective date of September 15, 1980, is proposed and waiver of the Commission's requirements is therefore requested.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before March 20, 1981. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 81-6794 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-85-M

[Project Nos. 4013 and 4026]

New England Hydro et al.; Competing Applications for Preliminary Permit

February 27, 1981.

Take notice that New England Hydro (New England) filed on January 16, 1981, an application for preliminary permit [pursuant to the Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. 791(a)—825(r)] for proposed Project No. 4013 to be known as the Aziscohos Project located on the Magalloway River in Oxford County, Maine. Central Maine Power Company and Androscoggin Reservoir Company (CMP), also filed an application on January 16, 1981, for preliminary permit for Project No. 4026 also to be known as the Aziscohos Project at the same exact location. The applications are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. Correspondence with the Applicant should be directed to: Andre Jaeger, New England Hydro, 3 Great Pasture Road, West Redding, Connecticut 06896 and Jon S. Readnour, Central Maine Power Company, Edison Drive, Augusta, Maine 04336. Any person who wishes to file a response to this notice should read the entire notice and must comply with the requirements specified for the particular kind of response that person wishes to file.

Project Description—The proposed Project No. 4013 would consist of: (1) an existing 880-foot long, 67-foot high Ambursen dam built of reinforced concrete; (2) an existing reservoir with a surface area of 8,320 acres and a storage capacity of 221,355 acre-feet; (3) a new powerhouse located immediately below the dam containing a single turbine-generator with a rated capacity of 1.6 MW; (4) a transmission line; and (5) appurtenant facilitities. New England estimates that the average annual energy output would be 8,167,000 kWh.

The proposed Project No. 4026 would utilize the same dam and reservoir described above and would also include a new powerhouse located immediately below the dam with a single turbine-generator with a rated capacity of 2.5 MW annually generating approximately 10,000,000 kWh. CMP owns the dam and reservoir.

Purpose of Project—New England proposes to sell energy produced at the project to Central Maine Power Company. CMP proposes to use the energy produced at the project in Central Maine Power Company's distribution system.

Proposed Scope and Cost of Studies under Permit—The work proposed under this preliminary permit would include economic evaluation, engineering plans, and an environmental

assessment. Based on results of these studies, Applicants would decide whether to proceed with more detailed studies and the preparation of an application for license to construct and operate the project. New England estimates that the work to be performed under this preliminary permit would cost $48,000. CMP estimates that the work to be performed under this preliminary permit would cost $362,000.

Purpose of Preliminary Permit—A preliminary permit does not authorize construction. A permit, if issued, gives the Permittee, during the term of the permit, the right of priority of application for license while the Permittee undertakes the necessary studies and examinations to determine the engineering, economic, and environmental feasibility of the proposed project, the market for power, and all other information necessary for inclusion in an application for a license.

Agency Comments—Federal, State, and local agencies that receive this notice through direct mailing from the Commission are invited to submit comments on the described application for preliminary permit. (A copy of the application may be obtained directly from the Applicant.) Comments should be confined to substantive issues relevant to the issuance of a permit and consistent with the purpose of a permit as described in this notice. No other formal request for comments will be made. If an agency does not file comments within the time set below, it will be presumed to have no comments.

Competing Applications—Anyone desiring to file a competing application must submit to the Commission, on or before May 1, 1981, either the competing application itself or a notice of intent to file a competing application. Submission of a timely notice of intent allows an interested person to file the competing application no later than June 30, 1981. A notice of intent must conform with the requirements of 18 CFR 4.33(b) and (c) (1980). A competing application must conform with the requirements of 18 CFR 4.33(a) and (d) (1980).

Comments, Protests, or Petitions to Intervene—Anyone desiring to be heard or to make any protests about these applications should file a petition to intervene or a protest with the Commission, in accordance with the requirements of its Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10 (1980). Comments not in the nature of a protest may also be submitted by conforming to the procedures specified in § 1.10 for protests. In determining the appropriate action to take, the Commission will consider all protests or other comments filed, but a person who merely files a protest or comments does not become a party to the proceeding. To become a party, or to participate in any hearing, a person must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules. Any comments, protest, or petition to intervene must be received on or before May 1, 1981.

Filing and Service of Responsive Documents—Any comments, notices of intent, competing applications, protests, or petitions to intervene must bear in all capital letters the title "COMMENTS," "NOTICE OF INTENT TO FILE COMPETING APPLICATION," "COMPETING APPLICATION," "PROTEST," or "PETITION TO INTERVENE," as applicable. Any of these filings must also state that it is made in response to these notices of competing applications for preliminary permit for Projects Nos. 4013 and 4026. Any comments, notices of intent, competing applications, protests, or petitions to intervene must be filed by providing the original and those copies required by the Commission's regulations to: Kenneth F. Plumb, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426. An additional copy must be sent to: Fred E. Springer, Chief, Applications Branch, Division of Hydropower Licensing, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Room 208, 400 First Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20426. A copy of any notice of intent, competing application, or petition to intervene must also be served upon each representative of the Applicant specified in the first paragraph of this notice.

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 81-6753 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-85-M

[Project No. 3871-000]

Oklahoma Renewable Resources, Inc.; Application for Preliminary Permit

February 27, 1981.

Take notice that Oklahoma Renewable Resources, Inc. (Applicant) filed on December 16, 1980, an application for preliminary permit [pursuant to the Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. 791(a)—825(r)] for proposed Project No. 3871 to be known as Hugo Dam located on Kiamichi River in Choctaw County. The application is on file with the Commission and is available for public inspection. Correspondence with the Applicant should be directed to: Mr. Jeffrey M. Kossak, 14 Wall Street, Suite 1900, New York, New York 10005. Any person who wishes to file a response to this notice should read the entire notice and must comply with the requirements specified for the particular kind of response that person wishes to file.

Project Description—The proposed project would utilize the existing U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' Hugo Dam and would consist of: (1) a new penstock; (2) a new powerhouse containing generating unit(s) having a total rated capacity of 5.6 MW; (3) a short 69-kV transmission line; and (4) appurtenant facilities. The Applicant estimates that the average annual energy output would be 23 GWh.

Purpose of Project—Project energy would be sold to a public or private utility company.

Proposed Scope and Cost of Studies under Permit—Applicant seeks issuance of a preliminary permit for a period of 36 months, during which time it would prepare studies of the hydraulic, construction, economic, environmental, historic, and recreational aspects of the project. Depending upon the outcome of the studies, Applicant would prepare an application for an FERC license. Applicant estimates the cost of the studies under the permit would be $82,500.

Purpose of Preliminary Permit—A preliminary permit does not authorize construction. A permit, if issued, gives the Permittee, during the term of the permit, the right of priority of application for license while the Permittee undertakes the necessary studies and examinations to determine the engineering, economic and environmental feasibility of the proposed project, the market for power, and all other information necessary for inclusion in an application for a license.

Agency Comments—Federal, State, and local agencies that receive this notice through direct mailing from the Commission are invited to submit comments on the described application for preliminary permit. (A copy of the application may be obtained directly from the Applicant.) Comments should be confined to substantive issues relevant to the issuance of a permit and consistent with the purpose of a permit as described in this notice. No other formal request for comments will be made. If an agency does not file comments within the time set below, it will be presumed to have no comments.

Competing Applications—This application was filed as a competing application to Rmel Corporation's Hugo Dam Project No. 3555 filed on October 10, 1980, under 18 CFR 4.33 (1980). Anyone desiring to file a competing application must submit to the

Commission, on or before February 19, 1981, either the competing application itself or a notice of intent to file a competing application. Submission of a timely notice of intent allows an interested person to file the competing application no later than April 20, 1981. A notice of intent must conform with the requirements of 18 CFR 4.33 (b) and (c) (1980). A competing application must conform with the requirements of 18 CFR 4.33 (a) and (d) (1980).

Comments, Protests, or Petitions to Intervene—Anyone desiring to be heard or to make any protests about this application should file a petition to intervene or a protest with the Commission in accordance with the requirements of its Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10 (1980). Comments not in the nature of a protest may also be submitted by conforming to the procedures specified in § 1.10 for protests. In determining the appropriate action to take, the Commission will consider all protests or other comments filed, but a person who merely files a protest or comments does not become a party to the proceeding. To become a party, or to participate in any hearing, a person must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules. Any comments, protest, or petition to intervene must be received on or before March 26, 1981.

Filing and Service of Responsive Documents—Any comments, notices of intent, competing applications, protests, or petitions to intervene must bear in all capital letters the title "COMMENTS" "NOTICE OF INTENT TO FILE COMPETING APPLICATION", "COMPETING APPLICATION", "PROTEST", or "PETITION TO INTERVENE", as applicable. Any of these filings must also state that it is made in response to this notice of application for preliminary permit for Project No. 3871. Any comments, notices of intent, competing applications, protests, or petitions to intervene must be filed by providing the original and those copies required by the Commission's regulations to: Kenneth F. Plumb, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426. An additional copy must be sent to: Fred E. Springer, Chief, Applications Branch, Division of Hydropower Licensing, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Room 208, 400 First Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20426. A copy of any notice of intent, competing application, or petition to intervene must also be served upon each representative of the Applicant specified in the first paragraph of this notice.

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 81-6734 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-85-M

[Docket No. ER81-289-000]

Southern California Edison Co.; Notice of Filing

February 26, 1981

The filing Company submits the following:

Take notice that on February 18, 1981, Southern California Edison Company (Edison) tendered for filing two letter agreements dated December 31, 1980, executed by: (1) Edison and the City of Anaheim, and (2) Edison and the City of Riverside. These agreements extend the terms of Edison's Rate Schedules FERC Nos. 99 and 83 applicable only to the City of Anaheim and Edison's Rate Schedules Nos. 98 and 84 applicable only to the City of Riverside, and conform the rates for scheduling and dispatching services with Edison's rates to other entities for similar services.

Copies of this filing were served upon the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California, the City of Anaheim and the City of Riverside.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 or 1.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before March 20, 1981. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 81-6765 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-85-M

[Docket No. ER81-287-000]

Southern California Edison Co.; Notice of Filing February 26, 1981

The filing Company submits the following:

Take notice that on February 18, 1981, Southern California Edison Company (Edison) tendered for filing a Notice of Cancellation of Edison's Rate Schedule FERC No. 119, which is applicable only to Portland General Electric Company (Portland).

Edison requests an effective date of March 1, 1981.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.110). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before March 20, 1981. Protests should be filed on or before March 20, 1981. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 81-6766 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-85-M

[Project No. 3986-000]

Swift River Company, Inc.; Application for Preliminary Permit

February 27, 1981.

Take notice that Swift River Company, Incorporated (Applicant) filed on January 12, 1981, an application for preliminary permit [pursuant to the Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. 791(a)-825(r)] for proposed Project No. 3986 to be known as the Bangor Water Works Project located on Penobscot River in the City of Bangor, Penobscot County, Maine. The application is on file with the Commission and is available for public inspection. Correspondence with the Applicant should be directed to: Peter B. Clark, President, Swift River Company, Inc., 148 State Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02109. Any person who wishes to file a response to this notice should read the entire notice and must comply with the requirements specified for the particular kind of response that person wishes to file.

Project Description—The proposed project would consist of: (1) an existing 750-foot long, 15-foot high timber crib dam and a 250-foot long 15-foot high concrete ogee spillway (the Applicant proposes to raise the height of the dam and spillway four feet to elevation 21 feet m.s.l.); (2) a reservoir with a previous storage capacity of 9,000 acre-

feet also to be raised four feet above the previous elevation to 21 feet m.s.l.; (3) an existing powerhouse containing 3 new turbine-generator units with a total rated capacity of 6.6 MW which would generate up to 52 million kWh annually or a new powerhouse containing 3 turbine generator units with a total rated capacity of 13 MW which would generate up to 80 million kWh annually; (4) a new fishway to allow the continued passage of Salmon above the dam; and (5) appurtenant facilities.

Purpose of Project—Energy produced at the project would be sold to either Bangor Hydro-Electric Company of Central Maine Power Company for distribution to their respective customers.

Proposed Scope and Cost of Studies under Permit—The work proposed under the preliminary permit would include economic analysis, preparation of preliminary engineering plans, and a study of environmental impacts. Based on results of these studies and the preparation of an application for license to construct and operate the project. Applicant estimates that the cost of the work to be performed under the preliminary permit would be $125,000. Applicant requests a preliminary permit term of 36 months.

Purpose of Preliminary Permit—A preliminary permit does not authorize construction. A permit, if issued, gives the Permittee, during the term of the permit, the right of priority of application for license while the Permittee undertakes the necessary studies and examinations to determine the engineering, economic, and environmental feasibility of the proposed project, the market for power, and all other information necessary for inclusion in an application for a license.

Agency Comments—Federal, State, and local agencies that receive this notice through direct mailing from the Commission are invited to submit comments on the described application for preliminary permit. (A copy of the application may be obtained directly from the Applicant.) Comments should be confined to substantive issues relevant to the issuance of a permit and consistent with the purpose of a permit as described in this notice. No other formal request for comments will be made. If an agency does not file comments within the time set below, it will be presumed to have no comments.

Competing Applications—Anyone desiring to file a competing application must submit to the Commission, on or before April 30, 1981, either the competing application itself or a notice of intent to file a competing application. Submission of a timely notice of intent allows an interested person to file the competing application no later than June 29, 1981. A notice of intent must conform with the requirements of 18 CFR 4.33(b) and (c) (1980). A competing application must conform with the requirements of 18 CFR 4.33(a) and (d) (1980).

Comments, Protests, or Petitions to Intervene—Anyone desiring to be heard or to make any protests about this application should file a petition to intervene or a protest with the Commission, in accordance with the requirements of its Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR § 1.8 or § 1.10 (1980). Comments not in the nature of a protest may also be submitted by conforming to the procedures specified in § 1.10 for protests. In determining the appropriate action to take, the Commission will consider all protests or other comments filed, but a person who merely files a protest or comments does not become a party to the proceeding. To become a party, or to participate in any hearing, a person must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules. Any comments, protest, or petition to intervene must be received on or before April 30, 1981.

Filing and Service of Responsive Documents—Any comments, notices of intent, competing applications, protests, or petitions to intervene must bear in all capital letters the title "COMMENTS", NOTICE OF INTENT TO FILE COMPETING APPLICATION", COMPETING APPLICATION", "PROTEST", "PETITION TO INTERVENE", as applicable.

Any of these filings must also state that it is made in response to this notice of application for preliminary permit for Project No. 3986. Any comments, notices of intent, competing applications, protests, or petitions to intervene must be filed by providing the original and those copies required by the Commission's regulations to: Kenneth F. Plumb, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426. An additional copy must be sent to: Fred E. Springer, Chief, Applications Branch, Division of Hydropower Licensing, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Room 208, 400 First Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20426. A copy of any notice of intent, competing application, or petition to intervene must also be served upon each representative of the Applicant specified in the first paragraph of this notice.

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 81-6755 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-85-M

[Docket No. ER81-291-000]

Tampa Electric Co.; Notice of Filing

February 26, 1981.

The filing Company submits the following:

Take notice that Tampa Electric Company (Tampa), on February 20, 1981, tendered for filing an Agreement for Interchange Service between City of Kissimmee Electric Utilities (Kissimmee) and Tampa. Correspondence concerning this matter should be addressed to: Mr. G. Pierce Wood, Senior Vice President, Tampa Electric Company, P.O. Box 111, Tampa, Florida 33601; and James M. Broadstone, Esquire, Gallagher, Boland, Meiberger and Brosnan, 821 Fifteenth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20005.

The agreement provides for the emergency and scheduled short-term interchange of capacity and energy between Tampa and Kissimmee. Tampa asks that the agreement be made effective as of January 1, 1981, and therefore seeks waiver of the Commission's notice requirements pursuant to § 35.11 of the Commission's Regulations, 18 CFR 35.11 (1980).

A certificate of concurrence by Kissimmee was filed with the agreement. Copies of the filing have been served upon Kissimmee and the Florida Public Service Commission.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said application should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Sections 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 1.8 and 1.10 (1980). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before March 20, 1981. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of the application are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 81-6787 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-85-M

[Docket No. RP81-38-000]

Tennessee Gas Pipeline Co., a Division of Tenneco Inc.; Tariff Filing

February 26, 1981

Take notice that on February 17, 1981, Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company, a

Division of Tenneco Inc. (Tennessee), tendered for filing Fifth Revised Sheet No. 213D of Ninth Revised Volume No. 1 of its FERC Gas Tariff, which is proposed to become effective April 1, 1981.

Tennessee states that the purpose of this tariff sheet is to change the methodology in Section 4.2 of Article XXIII of the General Terms and Conditions by which Tennessee flows through producer-supplier refunds applicable to periods prior to January 1, 1980.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before March 13, 1981. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene in this proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Kenneth F. Plumb,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 81-6768 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6450-85-M

[Docket No. RP80-97, et al.]

Tennessee Gas Pipeline Co; et al.; Informal Settlement Conference

February 26, 1981.

In the matter of Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company, Docket No. RP80-97; Michigan Wisconsin Pipe Line Company, Docket No. RP80-100; Southern Natural Gas Company, Docket No. RP80-102; Natural Gas Pipe Line Company of America, Docket No. RP80-107; Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation, Docket No. RP80-117; United Gas Pipe Line Company, Docket No. RP80-121; Trunkline Gas Company, Docket No. RP80-106; Columbia Gulf Transmission Company, Docket No. RP80-145; Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation, Docket No. RP80-146; Sea Robin Pipeline Company, Docket Nos. RP80-55 and RP80-118; Florida Gas Transmission Company, Docket No. RP81-7; High Island Offshore System, Docket No. RP81-18, and U-T Offshore System, Docket No. RP81-20.

Take notice that on March 11, 1981, at 10 a.m. there will be an informal conference of the working committee previously established during joint discussions of the above-captioned dockets. The purpose of this meeting will be to discuss the settlement of issues pertaining to the allocation of the costs of transmission of liquids and liquefiable hydrocarbons. Such isues appear to arise in the above-captioned dockets, which while not consolidated, will be the subject of joint discussions as a matter of convenience and to assure consistency in the resolution of these issues to the extent possible.

The meeting place for this conference will be at the offices of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Hearing Room G, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426.

Customers, those retaining an interest in the subject liquids and liquefiable hydrocarbons and other interested persons will be permitted to attend this conference, but if such persons have not previously been permitted to intervene in any of the above-captioned dockets by order of the Commission or of a Presiding Administrative Law Judge attendance will not be deemed to authorize intervention as a party in any of these proceedings nor will attendance by a person granted intervention in one or more of the above-captioned proceedings be deemed to authorize intervention in any other docket.

Participation in the discussion of the working committee will be limited to the designated working committee members.

All working committee members will be expected to come fully prepared to discuss the merits of the issues arising in the above-captioned proceedings and to make such commitments with respect to issues and to any offers of settlement or stipulations discussed at the conference as may be appropriate in light of the representative nature of the working committee.

If prior to March 11, 1981, unanticipated inquiries arise regarding this meeting, participants are advised to contact Lawrence Acker or Norma Rosner at (202) 357-8565.

Kenneth F. Plumb,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 81-6769 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6450-85-M

[Docket No. ER81-199-000]

Central Telephone & Utilities Corp. (Western Power Division); Order Accepting for Filing and Suspending Proposed Rates, Denying Motion To Reject, Directing Summary Disposition, Granting Intervention, and Establishing Procedures

Issued February 27, 1981.

On December 30, 1980, the Western Power Division of Central Telephone & Utilities Corporation (Central) tendered for filing three proposed rate schedules: (1) Schedule 81-CH-2 for firm and partial requirements service to eleven REA Cooperatives (Cooperatives): [1] (2) Schedule 81-MWH-5 for firm and partial requirements service to twenty three municipal wholesale customers (Municipals); [2] and (3) Schedule 81-TSV-1 for transmission service to the Kansas Electric Power Cooperative (KEPCO).[3] The rates under the proposed rate schedules would result in an increase in revenues of approximately $3.9 million (16%) based on the 12 month period ending December 31, 1981.[4] Central requests an effective date of March 1, 1981, for the submittals. Notice of the filing was issued on January 5, 1981, with comments due on or before January 26, 1981. Three pleadings were timely filed.

On January 23, 1981, CKEC filed a petition to intervene and protest, requesting that the proposed rates be suspended for five months and set for hearing. On January 26, 1981, the Municipals also filed a petition to intervene and protest. In their pleading, Municipals request a five month suspension and a hearing based on their objection to Central's failure to provide adequate supporting data to justify the increased Period II costs. In addition,

[1] Of the eleven Cooperatives, ten purchase all of their power requirements from Central. One rural cooperative, Central Kansas Electric Cooperative (CKEC), has generating facilities and purchases partial requirements service from Central. For individual rate schedule designations, see Attachment A.

[2] Thirteen of the twenty-three Municipals are full requirements customers. The remaining ten Municipals (Anthony, Attica, Beloit, Hoisington, Kingman, Pratt, Russell, Osborne, Washington, and Stockman, Kansas) have their own generation and can buy partial requirements from Central.

[3] Rate Schedule 81-TSV-1 has been filed as an "initial" rate schedule. KEPCO, a newly-formed generation and transmission cooperative, will provide (sometime during the Period II test period) bulk power planning and wheeling service to the Cooperatives who presently are receiving service from Central.

[4] By petition filed December 30, 1980, Central requests waiver of certain specific minor filing requirements. Our acceptance for filing of the rates as modified *infra*, shall constitute a grant of such requests.

Municipals raise a variety of cost of service issues,[5] and allege price squeeze.

On January 26, 1981, the Cooperatives filed a (1) protest, (2) petition to intervene, (3) a motion to reject (or, in the alternative, impose a five month suspension), (4) motion to reject the transmission rate as an initial rate and accept it as a change in service subject to suspension and investigation, and (5) request for the initiation of price squeeze procedures. The Cooperatives generally raise cost of service issues similar to those identified by the Municipals. The Cooperatives also object to certain rate design features.[6]

On February 9, 1981, Central filed an answer to the Cooperatives' motion to reject.

Discussion

Initially, we find that participation in this proceeding by the Cooperatives, Municipals, and CKEC is in the public interest. Consequently, we shall grant their petitions to intervene.

We note that Central has excluded accumulated deferred investment tax credits (ADITC) from rate base in the computation of interest expense for tax allowance purposes. This adjustment contravenes prior Commission precedent.[7] Accordingly, Central will be directed to file revised rates and supporting cost statements reflecting the inclusion of ADITC balances in rate base in the computation of interest expenses for income tax purposes.

Central's proposed rate schedule applicable to the full requirements customers provides for minimum billing demand based on 75% of the highest demands established during the preceding months of June through September. Contrary to this provision, however, the billing demands shown Central's cost of service Statement BG do not reflect application of the 75% ratchet provision and, therefore, represent an understatement of the actual billing demands by the wholesale customers. Since Central has utilized the understated billing demands in the design of the proposed demand charge (Statement BL), the demand charge is overstated on a $/kW basis. While this discrepancy does not warrant outright rejection of Central's filing, as suggested by the Cooperatives, Central will be required to revise its rates and supporting Statements BG and BL to reflect billing demands and demand charges which give effect to the operation of the ratchet provision contained in the proposed rate schedule.

The Commission also notes that Central has included expenses associated with the use of limestone (in the anti-pollution process) in the computation of the base fuel component of the fuel adjustment clause. We have concluded that the inclusion of such costs (which are not properly recorded in Account Nos. 151 and 518 of the Uniform System of Accounts) does not comport with the requirements of section 35.14 of the Commission's regulations.[8] Accordingly, the inclusion of limestone expenses in the computation of the base fuel component of the fuel adjustment clause will be summarily rejected. Central will be ordered to refile a fuel adjustment clause and related Statement BI which reflects the exclusion of limestone expenses from the computation of the base cost of fuel. In addition, no such expenses should be included in monthly fuel clause calculations.

Cooperatives have also moved to reject the transmission filing as an initial rate and to instead treat it as a change in rate. Cooperatives contend that although Central will technically be supplying transmission service for the first time to KEPCO, the transmission service is in reality being rendered to the member cooperatives of KEPCO who were previously served by Central. Thus, they argue, the filed transmission charge is a change in the previous full requirements service provided by Central to the Cooperatives.

The Commission has previously held that the provision of transmission service to a customer constitutes a change in rate when interchange or requirements service has been previously provided to that customer. See *Florida Power & Light Company* v. *FERC*, 617 F.2d 809 (D.C. Cir. 1980). We note that although Central is technically providing transmission service to KEPCO for the first time, it is the same service to the same beneficiaries whom Central previously billed directly. The fact that the Cooperatives have a new billing agent, the newly formed KEPCO generation and transmission cooperative, does not alter the essential nature of the transmission service. We therefore agree with Cooperatives that Central's transmission rate filed in this docket is properly considered a change in rate subject to suspension under section 205 of the Federal Power Act.

Apart from the issues addressed above, the Commission finds that Central's filing is in substantial compliance with the requirements of our regulations.[9] The issues raised by the Cooperatives, the Municipals, and CKEC (other than those summarily disposed of herein) are matters which may be explored during the hearing ordered below. Therefore, we shall deny the Cooperatives' motion to reject Central's proposed rate increase.

Our analysis indicates that the proposed rates have not been shown to be just and reasonable and may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, preferential, or otherwise unlawful. Accordingly, we shall accept the proposed rates for filing, as modified by this order, and suspend them as directed below.

In a number of suspension orders,[10] we have addressed the considerations underlying the Commission's policy regarding rate suspensions. For the reasons given there, we have concluded that rate filings should generally be suspended for the maximum period permitted by statute where preliminary study leads the Commission to believe that the filing may be unjust and unreasonable or that it may run afoul of the other statutory standards. We have acknowledged, however, that shorter suspensions may be warranted in circumstances where suspension for the maximum period may lead to harsh and inequitable results. Such circumstances have not been presented here. We shall therefore accept each of the proposed rates for filing, as modified by summary disposition, and suspend them for five months from 60 days after filing, to become effective thereafter on August 1, 1981, subject to refund.

In accordance with the Commission's policy established in *Arkansas Power and Light Company*, Docket No. ER79-339, order issued August 6, 1979, we shall phase the price squeeze issue raised by the Cooperatives and the

[5] These issues relate to the (1) computation of cash working capital, (2) proper level of fuel stocks, (3) composition of construction work in progress, (4) computation of income taxes, (5) reasonableness of increased depreciation charges, and (6) reasonableness of a requested 16% rate of return on common equity.

[6] The Cooperatives, *inter alia*, challenge (1) Central's stated billing determinants asserting that they fail to reflect the impact of the 75% demand ratchet, (2) the allocation of demand costs to the wholesale customers, (3) the reasonableness of the transmission losses assigned to the wholesale customers, and (4) the use of a single (kW) rate for both all requirements service and partial requirements service.

[7] *See Alabama Power Company*, Opinion No. 54, Docket No. E-6851, (August 1, 1979).

[8] *See The Electric Cooperatives of Kansas*, Docket No. EL81-2-000, Declaratory Order, issued February 28, 1981.

[9] *See Municipal Light Boards of Reading and Wakefield Massachusetts* v. *FPC*, 450 F.2d 1341 (D.C. Cir. 1971).

[10] e.g., Boston Edison Company, Docket No. ER80-508 (August 29, 1980) (five month suspension); *Alabama Power Company*, Docket NOs. ER80-506, et al. (August 29, 1080) (one day suspension); *Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company*, Docket No. ER80-488 (August 22, 1980) (one day suspension).

Municipals. As we have noted in previous orders, this procedure will allow a decision first to be reached on the cost of service, capitalization, and rate of return issues. If, in the view of intervenors or staff, a price squeeze persists, a second phase of the proceeding may follow.

The Commission orders:

(A) The motions to reject Central's filing are hereby denied.

(B) The Cooperatives' motion to treat the transmission rate schedule as a change in rate is granted. The proposed rates for transmission service shall be collected subject to refund as prescribed in paragraph (C) below.

(C) Central's proposed schedules 81–CH–2, 81–MWH–5, and 81–TSV–1, as modified by this order, are hereby accepted for filing and suspended for five months, to become effective August 1, 1981, subject to refund.

(D) Central's exclusion of ADITC from rate base in computing interest expense for income tax purposes is summarily rejected. Central is directed to file within thirty (30) days of the issuance of this order revised rate schedules and supporting cost statements reflecting the inclusion of ADITC balances in rate base in the computation of interest expense for income tax purposes.

(E) Central's inclusion of limestone expenses in the computation of the base fuel component of the fuel adjustment clause is summarily rejected. Central is directed to refile within thirty (30) days of the issuance of this order a fuel adjustment clause and related Statement BI which reflects exclusion of limestone expenses from the computation of the base cost of fuel in the fuel adjustment clause.

(F) Central is hereby directed to file within thirty (30) days of the issuance of this order revised rates and revised Statements BG and BL reflecting billing demands and demand charges which give effect to the operation of the ratchet provision contained in the proposed rate schedule.

(G) Pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by section 402(a) of the DOE Act and by the Federal Power Act, particularly sections 205 and 206 thereof, and pursuant to the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure and the regulations under the Federal Power Act [18 CFR, Chapter I (1980)], a public hearing shall be held concerning the justness and reasonableness of the tariff and the rates proposed by Central in this proceeding.

(H) The Cooperatives, Municipals, and CKEC are hereby permitted to intervene in this proceeding subject to the Rules and Regulations of the Commission; *Provided, however,* that participation by such intervenors shall be limited to the matters set forth in their petitions to intervene; and *Provided, further,* that the admission of such intervenors shall not be construed as recognition by the Commission that they might be aggrieved by any order or orders of the Commission entered in this proceeding.

(I) The Commission staff shall serve top sheets in this proceeding on or before March 1, 1981.

(J) A presiding administrative law judge, to be designated by the Chief Administrative Law Judge, shall convene a conference in this proceeding to be held within approximately fifteen (15) days of the service of top sheets in a hearing room of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426. The designated law judge is authorized to establish procedural dates and to rule on all motions (except motions to consolidate or sever and motions to dismiss), as provided for in the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

(K) The Commission hereby orders initiation of price squeeze procedures and further orders that this proceeding be phased so that the price squeeze procedures begin after issuance of a Commission opinion establishing the rate which, but for consideration of price squeeze, would be just and reasonable. The price squeeze portion of this case shall be governed by the procedures set forth in section 2.17 of the Commission's regulations as they may be modified prior to the initiation of the price squeeze phase of this proceeding.

(L) The Secretary shall promptly publish this order in the **Federal Register.**

By the Commission.

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

Attachment A

Central Telephone and Utilities Corporation, Western Power Division; Rate Schedule Designation, Docket No. ER81–199–000

Filed: December 30, 1980

I *Municipal Wholesale Customers Rate 81–MWH–5*

Other Party, Designation and Superseded Supplement No.

1. Cawker City—Supplement No. 4 to Rate Schedule FERC No. 87; Supplement No. 3 (as Supplemented)
2. Cimarron—Supplement No. 4 to Rate Schedule FPC No. 98; Supplement No. 3 (as Supplemented)
3. Coats—Supplement No. 4 to Rate Schedule FERC No. 88; Supplement No. 3 (as Supplemented)
4. Glasco—Supplement No. 4 to Rate Schedule FERC No. 97; Supplement No. 3 (as Supplemented)
5. Glen Elder—Supplement No. 4 to Rate Schedule FERC No. 89; Supplement No. 3 (as Supplemented)
6. Holyrood—Supplement No. 4 to Rate Schedule FERC No. 90; Supplement No. 3 (as Supplemented)
7. Isabel—Supplement No. 4 to Rate Schedule FERC No. 91; Supplement No. 3 (as Supplemented)
8. Jamestown—Supplement No. 4 to Rate Schedule FERC No. 92; Supplement No. 3 (as Supplemented)
9. Lucas—Supplement No. 4 to Rate Schedule FERC No. 93; Supplement No. 3 (as Supplemented)
10. Luray—Supplement No. 4 to Rate Schedule FERC No. 94; Supplement No. 3 (as Supplemented)
11. Mankato—Supplement No. 4 to Rate Schedule FERC No. 95; Supplement No. 3 (as Supplemented)
12. Montezuma—Supplement No. 9 to Rate Schedule FERC No. 70; Supplement No. 8 (as Supplemented)
13. Tipton—Supplement No. 4 to Rate Schedule FERC No. 96; Supplement No. 3 (as Supplemented)
14. Anthony—Supplement No. 15 to Rate Schedule FPC No. 59; 14
15. Attica—Supplement No. 12 to Rate Schedule FPC No. 84; 11
16. Beloit—Supplement No. 13 to Rate Schedule FPC No. 60; 12
17. Hoisington—Supplement No. 15 to Rate Schedule FPC No. 57; 14
18. Kingman—Supplement No. 17 to Rate Schedule FPC No. 58; 16
19. Pratt—Supplement No. 16 to Rate Schedule FPC No. 34; 15
20. Russell—Supplement No. 15 to Rate Schedule FPC No. 41; 14
21. Washington—Supplement No. 15 to Rate Schedule FPC No. 56; 14
22. Osborne—Supplement No. 8 to Rate Schedule FPC No. 86; 7
23. Stockton—Supplement No. 6 to Rate Schedule FERC No. 99; 5

II *Rural Electric Cooperatives Rate 81–CWh–2*

Other Party, Designation and Superseded Supplement No.

1. Ark Valley—Supplement No. 10 to Rate Schedule FPC No. 74; Supplement No. 9 (As Supplemented)
2. C. M. S.—Supplement No. 12 to Rate Schedule FPC No. 75; Supplement No. 11 (As Supplemented)
3. C & W—Supplement No. 10 to Rate Schedule FPC No. 78; Supplement No. 9 (As Supplemented)
4. Jewel-Mitchell—Supplement No. 11 to Rate Schedule FPC No. 77; Supplement No. 10 (As Supplemented)

5. N. C. K.—Supplement No. 10 to Rate Schedule FPC No. 78; Supplement No. 9 (As Supplemented)
6. Ninnescah—Supplement No. 10 to Rate Schedule FPC No. 79; Supplement No. 9 (As Supplemented)
7. Norton-Decatur—Supplement No. 9 to Rate Schedule FPC No. 80; Supplement No. 8 (As Supplemented)
8. Smoky Hill—Supplement No. 9 to Rate Schedule FPC No. 81; Supplement No. 8 (As Supplemented)
9. Sumner-Cowley—Supplement No. 9 to Rate Schedule FPC No. 82; Supplement No. 8 (As Supplemented)
10. Victory—Supplement No. 11 to Rate Schedule FPC No. 83; Supplement No. 10 (As Supplemented)
11. Central Kansas Elec. Coop., Inc.—Supplement No. 15 to Rate Schedule FPC No. 35; Supplement No. 14

III *Rate Schedule 81–TSV–1*

Other Party and Designation

Kansas Electric Power Cooperative—Rate Schedule FERC No. 102

[FR Doc. 81-6601 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-85-M

[Project Nos. 3660-000, 3680-000, and 3971-000]

Continental Hydro Corp., Dam Twelve Development Ltd., and Enagenics; Applications for Preliminary Permit

February 26, 1981.

Take notice that Continental Hydro Corporation (CHC), Dam Twelve Development Ltd (DTD), and Enagenics (Applicants) filed, respectively, on November 4, 1980, November 5, 1980, and January 12, 1981, competing applications for preliminary permit [pursuant to the Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. 791(a)–825(r)] for proposed project Nos. 3660, 3680, and 3971, respectively, to be known as Kentucky River Lock and Dam No. 12, located on the Kentucky River in Estill County, Kentucky. The applications are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection. Correspondence with CHC should be directed to: Mr. A. Gail Staker, President, Continental Hydro Corporation, 141 Milk Street, Suite 1143, Boston, Massachusetts 02109. Correspondence with DTD should be directed to: Mr. Kenneth Lever, Partner, Dam Twelve Development, Ltd, 6566 France Avenue South, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55435. Correspondence with Enagenics should be directed to: Mr. Thomas H. Clark, Jr., President, Enagenics, 1727 Q Street, NW., Washington, D C. 20009. Any person who wishes to file a response to this notice should read the entire notice and must comply with the requirements specified for the particular kind of response that person wishes to file.

Project Descriptions.—The proposed projects would utilize an existing dam owned by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. The Applicant's facilities would be located mostly on U.S. lands.

Project No. 3660 would consist of: (1) a proposed powerhouse, located at the east end of the existing dam, with generating units having a total installed capacity of 3.0 MW; (2) proposed transmission lines; and (3) appurtenant facilities. Applicant estimates the average annual energy output for the project would be 10,800 MWh.

Project No. 3680 would consist of: (1) a proposed powerhouse, located at the east end of the existing dam, with generating units having a total installed capacity of 5.5 MW; (2) proposed transmission lines; and (3) appurtenant facilities. Applicant estimates the average annual energy output for the project would be 16,100 MWh.

Project No. 3971 would consist of: (1) a proposed powerhouse, located at the east end of the existing dam, with generating units having a total installed capacity of 14.5 MW; (2) proposed transmission lines; and (3) appurtenant facilities. Applicant estimates the average annual energy output for the project would be 29,700 MWh.

Purpose of Projects.—The power produced by all the Applicants would be sold to the Kentucky Utilities Company.

Proposed Scope and Cost of Studies under Permit.—Each Applicant seeks issuance of a preliminary permit for a period of 36 months. During that time they would conduct economic, engineering, and environmental studies, consult Federal, State, and local government agencies concerning the potential environmental effects of the project and prepare an application for FERC license. CHC estimates the cost of the studies under the permit to be approximately $62,000. DTD estimates the cost to be approximately $100,000, and Enagenics estimates the cost to be approximately $50,000.

Purpose of Preliminary Permit.—A preliminary permit does not authorize construction. A permit, if issued, gives the Permittee, during the term of the permit, the right of priority of application for license while the Permittee undertakes the necessary studies and examinations to determine the engineering, economic, and environmental feasibility of the proposed project, the market for power, and all other information necessary for inclusion in an application for a license.

Agency Comments.—Federal, State, and local agencies that receive this notice through direct mailing from the Commission are invited to submit comments on the described application for preliminary permit. (A copy of the application may be obtained directly from the Applicant.) Comments should be confined to substantive issues relevant to the issuance of a permit and consistent with the purpose of a permit as described in this notice. No other formal request for comments will be made. If an agency does not file comments within the time set below, it will be presumed to have no comments.

Competing Applications.—Anyone desiring to file a competing application must submit to the Commission, on or before May 4, 1981, either the competing application itself or a notice of intent to file a competing application. Submission of a timely notice of intent allows an interested person to file the competing application no later than July 3, 1981. A notice of intent must conform with the requirements of 18 CFR 4.33 (b) and (c) (1980). A competing application must conform with the requirements of 18 CFR 4.33 (a) and (d) (1980).

Comments, Protests, or Petitions to Intervene.—Anyone desiring to be heard or to make any protests about this application should file a petition to intervene or a protest with the Commission, in accordance with the requirements of its Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10 (1980). Comments not in the nature of a protest may also be submitted by conforming to the procedures specified in § 1.10 for protests. In determining the appropriate action to take, the Commission will consider all protests or other comments filed, but a person who merely files a protest or comments does not become a party to the proceeding. To become a party, or to participate in any hearing, a person must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules. Any comments, protest, or petition to intervene must be received on or before May 4, 1981.

Filing and Service of Responsive Documents.—Any comments, notices of intent, competing applications, protests, or petitions to intervene must bear in all capital letters the title "COMMENTS", "NOTICE OF INTENT TO FILE COMPETING APPLICATION", "COMPETING APPLICATION", "PROTEST", or "PETITION TO INTERVENE", as applicable. Any of these filings must also state that it is made in response to this notice of application for preliminary permit for Project Nos. 3660, 3680, and 3971. Any comments, notices of intent, competing applications, protests, or petitions to intervene must be filed by providing the original and those copies required by the Commission's regulations to: Kenneth F. Plumb, Secretary, Federal Energy

Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426. An additional copy must be sent to: Fred E. Springer, Chief, Applications Branch, Division of Hydropower Licensing, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Room 208, 400 First Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20426. A copy of any notice of intent, competing application, or petition to intervene must also be served upon each representative of the Applicant specified in the first paragraph of this notice.

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 81-6665 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-85-M

[Project No. 3893-000]

El Dorado Irrigation District; Application for Preliminary Permit

February 26, 1981.

Take notice that El Dorado Irrigation District (Applicant) filed on December 22, 1980, an application for preliminary permit [pursuant to the Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. 791(a)–825(r)] for proposed Project No. 3893 to be known as the Sly Park Dam Project located on Sly Park Creek in El Dorado County, California. The application is on file with the Commission and is available for public inspection. Correspondence with the Applicant should be directed to: Mr. William Charpier Jr., District Engineer, El Dorado Irrigation District, Post Office Box 1608, Placerville, California 95667. Any person who wishes to file a response to this notice should read the entire notice and must comply with the requirements specified for the particular kind of response that person wishes to file.

Project Description.—The proposed project would consist of a powerhouse containing a single generating unit with a capacity of between 700 and 800 kW located near the existing outlet works for the Water and Power Resources Service's Sly Park Dam.

The Applicant estimates that the maximum average annual energy output would be 3,000 MWh.

Purpose of Project.—Project power would be sold to the Pacific Gas and Electric Company.

Proposed Scope and Cost of Studies under Permit.—Applicant would conduct: (1) a hydrology study of the natural and diverted drainage areas of the Sly Park Reservoir; (2) a study of friction losses (at various flows) and selection of the optimum turbine size for existing and projected system; (3) a fish and wildlife review, and environmental impact study; and (4) a historical review of the site. Applicant would prepare an economic feasibility report and preliminary designs of the penstock, powerhouse, afterbay and equipment. The estimated cost of this work is $55,000.

Purpose of Preliminary Permit.—A preliminary permit does not authorize construction. A permit, if issued, gives the Permittee, during the term of the permit, the right of priority of application for license while the Permittee undertakes the necessary studies and examinations to determine the engineering, economic, and environmental feasibility of the proposed project, the market for power, and all other information necessary for inclusion in an application for a license.

Agency Comments.—Federal, State, and local agencies that receive this notice through direct mailing from the Commission are invited to submit comments on the described application for preliminary permit. (A copy of the application may be obtained directly from the Applicant.) Comments should be confined to substantive issues relevant to the issuance of a permit and consistent with the purpose of a permit as described in this notice. No other formal request for comments will be made. If an agency does not file comments within the time set below, it will be presumed to have no comments.

Competing Application.—This application was filed as a competing application to Continental Hydro Corporation's Project No. 3846 under 18 CFR (1980). Anyone desiring to file a competing application must submit to the Commission, on or before April 6, 1981, either the competing application itself or a notice of intent to file a competing application. Submission of a timely notice of intent allows an interested person to file the competing application no later than June 5, 1981. A notice of intent must conform with the requirements of 18 CFR 4.33 (b) and (c) (1980). A competing application must conform with the requirements of 18 CFR 4.33 (a) and (d) (1980).

Comments, Protests, or Petitions to Intervene.—Anyone desiring to be heard or to make any protests about this application should file a petition to intervene or a protest with the Commission, in accordance with the requirements of its Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10 (1980). Comments not in the nature of a protest may also be submitted by conforming to the procedures specified in § 1.10. for protests. In determining the appropriate action to take, the Commission will consider all protests or other comments filed, but a person who merely files a protest or comments does not become a party to the proceeding. To become a party, or to participate in any hearing, a person must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules. Any comments, protest, or petition to intervene must be received on or before April 6, 1981.

Filing and Service of Responsive Documents.—Any comments, notices of intent, competing applications, protests, or petitions to intervene must bear in all capital letters the title "COMMENTS", "NOTICE OF INTENT TO FILE COMPETING APPLICATION", "COMPETING APPLICATION", "PROTEST", or "PETITION TO INTERVENE", as applicable. Any of these filings must also state that it is made in response to this notice of application for preliminary permit for Project No. 3893. Any comments, notices of intent, competing applications, protests, or petitions to intervene must be filed by providing the original and those copies required by the Commission's regulations to: Kenneth F. Plumb, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426. An additional copy must be sent to: Fred E. Springer, Chief, Applications Branch, Division of Hydropower Licensing, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Room 208, 400 First Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20426. A copy of any notice of intent, competing application, or petition to intervene must also be served upon each representative of the Applicant specified in the first paragraph of this notice.

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 81-6666 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-85-M

[Project No. 3976-000]

Enagenics; Application for Preliminary Permit

February 26, 1981.

Take notice that ENAGENICS (Applicant) filed on January 12, 1981, an application for preliminary permit [pursuant to the Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. 791(a)—825(r)] for the proposed Project No. 3976 to be known as the Causey Hydro Project located on the Ogden River in Weber County, Utah. The application is on file with the Commission and is available for public inspection. Correspondence with the Applicant should be directed to: Mr. Thomas H. Clarke, Jr., President, ENAGENICS, 1727 Q. Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20009. Any person who wishes to file a response to this notice should read the entire notice and

must comply with the requirements specified for the particular kind of response that person wishes to file.

Project Description—The proposed project would utilize the existing Water and Power Resources Service's Causey Dam and Reservoir, operated and maintained by the Weber Basin Water Conservation District, and would consist of: (1) a penstock utilizing the existing outlet works near the left dam abutment; (2) a new powerhouse containing generating units having a total rated capacity of 4,800 Kw; (3) a tailrace; (4) a new transmission line, approximately 4 miles long; and (5) appurtenant facilities. The Applicant estimates that the average annual energy output would be 7,200,000 Kwh.

Purpose of Project—Project energy would be sold to the Utah Power and Light Company. Other alternative markets, such as nearby public institutions and industrial users, will be investigated.

Purpose Scope and Cost of Studies under Permit—Applicant seeks issuance of a preliminary permit for a period of three years, during which time it would prepare studies of the hydraulic, construction, economic, environmental, historic and recreational aspects of the project. Depending on the outcome of the studies, Applicant would prepare an application for an FERC license. Applicant estimates the cost of the studies under the permit would be $40,000.

Purpose of Preliminary Permit—A preliminary permit does not authorize construction. A permit, if issued, gives the Permittee, during the term of the permit, the right of priority of application for license while the Permittee undertakes the necessary studies and examinations to determine the engineering, economic, and environmental feasibility of the proposed project, the market for power, and all other information necessary for inclusion in an application for a license.

Agency Comments—Federal, State, and local agencies that receive this notice through direct mailing from the Commission are invited to submit comments on the described application for preliminary permit. (A copy of the application may be obtained directly from the Applicant.) Comments should be confined to substantive issues relevant to the issuance of a permit and consistent with the purpose of a permit as described in this notice. No other formal request for comments will be made. If an agency does not file comments within the time set below, it will be presumed to have no comments.

Competing Applications—this application was filed as a competing application to Utah Hydro Corporation's application for Project No. 3544 filed on October 8, 1980, under 18 CFR 4.33 (1980). Anyone desiring to file a competing application must submit to the Commission, on or before, either the competing application April 20, 1981 itself or a notice of intent to file a competing application. submission of a timely notice of intent allows an interested person to file the competing application no later than June 29, 1981. A notice of intent must conform with the requirements of 18 CFR 4.33(b) and (c) (1980). A competing application must conform with the requirements of 18 CFR 4.33(a) and (d) (1980).

Comments, Protests, or Petitions to Intervene—Anyone desiring to be heard or to make any protests about this application should file a petition to intervene or a protest with the Commission, in accordance with the requirements of its Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10 (1980). Comments not in the nature of a protest may also be submitted by conforming to the procedures specified in § 1.10 for protests. In determining the appropriate action to take, the Commission will consider all protests or other comments filed, but a person who merely files a protest or comments does not become a party to the proceeding. To become a party, or to participate in any hearing, a person must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules. Any comments, protest, or petition to intervene must be received on or before April 20, 1981.

Filing and Service of Responsive Documents—Any comments, notices of intent, competing applications, protests, or petitions to intervene must bear in all capital letters the title "COMMENTS", "NOTICE OF INTENT TO FILE COMPETING APPLICATION", "COMPETING APPLICATION", "PROTEST", or "PETITION TO INTERVENE", as applicable. Any of these filings must also state that it is made in response to this notice of application for preliminary permit for Project No. 3976. Any comments, notices of intent, competing applications, protests, or petitions to intervene must be filed by providing the original and those copies required by the Commission's regulations to: Kenneth F. Plumb, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426. An additional copy must be sent to: Fred E. Springer, Chief, Applications Branch, Division of Hydropower Licensing, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Room 208, 400 First Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20426. A copy of any notice of intent, competing application, or petition to intervene must also be served upon each representative of the Applicant specified in the first paragraph of this notice.

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 81-6607 Filed 3-3-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-85-M

[Project No. 3966-000]

Enagenics; Application For Preliminary Permit

February 26, 1981.

Take notice that ENAGENICS (Applicant) filed on January 12, 1981, an application for preliminary permit [pursuant to the Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. 791(a)-825(r)] for proposed Project No. 3966 to be known as Canyon Dam Hydroelectric project located on the Guadalupe River in Comal County, Texas. The application is on file with the Commission and is available for public inspection. Correspondence with the Applicant should be directed to: Mr. Thomas H. Clarke, Jr. President ENAGENICS, 1727 Q Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20009. Any person who wishes to file a response to this notice should read the entire notice and must comply with the requirements specified for the particular kind of response that person wishes to file.

Project Description—The proposed project would utilize the existing Corps of Engineers' Canyon Lake Dam and would consist of a powerhouse containing a single generating unit having a rated capacity of 10.1 MW, the existing transmission lines, and appurtenant facilities. The Applicant estimates that the average annual energy output would be 23.6 GWh.

Purpose of Project—The energy generated by the proposed project would be sold to the San Antonio Utility Co.

Proposed Scope and Cost of Studies under Permit—The proposed term of the requested permit is thirty-six (36) months. The work proposed under the preliminary permit would include economic analysis, preparation of preliminary engineering plans and a study of environmental impacts. Based on results of these studies, Applicant would decide whether to proceed with more detailed studies and the preparation of an application for license to construct and operate the project. Applicant estimates that the cost of the work to be performed under the preliminary permit would be $50,000.

Purpose of Preliminary Permit—A preliminary permit does not authorize

construction. A permit, if issued, gives the Permittee, during the term of the permit, the right of priority of application for license while the Permittee undertakes the necessary studies and examinations to determine the engineering, economic, and environmental feasibility of the proposed project, the market for power, and all other information necessary for inclusion in an application for a license.

Agency Comments—Federal, State, and local agencies that receive this notice through direct mailing from the Commission are invited to submit comments on the described application for preliminary permit. (A copy of the application may be obtained directly from the Applicant.) Comments should be confined to substantive issues relevant to the issuance of a permit and consistent with the purpose of a permit as described in this notice. No other formal request for comments will be made. If an agency does not file comments within the time set below, it will be presumed to have no comments.

Competing Applications—This application was filed as a competing application to Mitchell Energy Company, Inc.'s Project No. 3635 filed on October 31, 1980, under 18 CFR 4.33 (1980). Anyone desiring to be heard or to make any protests about this application must submit to the Commission, on or before April 27, 1981, either the competing application itself or a notice of intent to file a competing application. Submission of a timely notice of intent allows an interested person to file the competing application no later than June 26, 1981. A notice of intent must conform with the requirements of 18 CFR 4.33 (b) and (c) (1980). A competing application must conform with the requirements of 18 CFR 4.33 (a) and (d) (1980).

Comments, Protests, or Petitions to Intervene—Anyone desiring to be heard or to make any protest about this application should file a petition to intervene or a protest with the Commission, in accordance with the requirements of its Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10 (1980). Comments not in the nature of a protest may also be submitted by conforming to the procedures specified in § 1.10 for protests. In determining the appropriate action to take, the Commission will consider all protests or other comments filed, but a person who merely files a protest or comments does not become a party to the proceeding. To become a party, or to participate in any hearing, a person must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules. Any comments, protest, or petition to intervene must be received on or before April 27, 1981.

Filing and Service of Responsive Documents—Any comments, notices of intent, competing applications, protests, or petitions to intervene must bear in all capital letters the title "COMMENTS", "NOTICE OF INTENT TO FILE COMPETING APPLICATION", "COMPETING APPLICATION", "PROTEST", or "PETITION TO INTERVENE", as applicable. Any of these filings must also state that it is made in response to this notice of application for preliminary permit for Project No. 3966. Any comments, notices of intent, competing application, protests, or petitions to intervene must be filed by providing the original and those copies required by the Commission's regulations to: Kenneth F. Plumb, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 N. Capitol St., N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426. An additional copy must be sent to Fred E. Springer, Chief, Application Branch, Division of Hydropower Licensing, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Room 208, 400 First St., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20426. A copy of any notice of intent, competing application, application, or petition to intervene must also be served upon each representative of the Applicant specified in the first paragraph of this notice.

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 81-6668 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-85-M

[Project No. 3979-000]

Enagenics; Application for Preliminary Permit

February 26, 1981

Take notice that Enagenics (Applicant) filed on January 12, 1981, an application for preliminary permit [pursuant to the Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. 791(a)-825(r)] for proposed Project No. 3979 to be known as the Shenango River Dam Project located on the Shenango River in Mercer County, Pennsylvania. The proposed project would utilize Federal lands and a Federal dam under the jurisdiction of the U. S. Army Corps of Engineers. The application is on file with the Commission and is available for public inspection. Correspondence with the Applicant should be directed to: Mr. Thomas H. Clarke, Jr., President, Enagenics, 1727 Q Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20009. Any person who wishes to file a response to this notice should read the entire notice and must comply with the requirements specified for the particular kind of response that person wishes to file.

Project Description.—The proposed project would utilize the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' existing Shenango River Dam and Reservior. The project would consist of the following unconstructed works: (1) a penstock extending to; (2) a powerhouse located on the southwest bank of the river; and (3) appurtenant facilities. The installed capacity would be about 4,000 kW and the project would have an estimated average annual energy production of 10,100,000 kWh.

Purpose of Project.—Project energy would be sold to local public utilities.

Proposed Scope and Cost of Studies under Permit.—Applicant seeks issuance of a preliminary permit for a period of three years, during which time it would prepare studies of the hydraulic, construction, economic, environmental, historic, and recreational aspects of the project. Depending upon the outcome of the studies, the Applicant would proceed with an application for an FERC license. Applicant estimates the cost of the studies would be $35,000.

Purpose of Preliminary Permit.—A preliminary permit does not authorize construction. A permit, if issued, gives the Permittee, during the term of the permit, the right of priority of application for license while the Permittee undertakes the necessary studies and examinations to determine the engineering, economic, and environmental feasibility of the proposed project, the market for power, and all other information necessary for inclusion in an application for a license.

Agency Comments.—Federal, State, and local agencies that receive this notice through direct mailing from the Commission are invited to submit comments on the described application for preliminary permit. (A copy of the application may be obtained directly from the Applicant.) Comments should be confined to substantive issues relevant to the issuance of a permit and consistent with the purpose of a permit as described in this notice. No other formal request for comments will be made. If an agency does not file comments within the time set below, it will be presumed to have no comments.

Competing Applications.—This application was filed as a competing application to Hydro Corporation of Pennsylvania's Project No. 3290, filed on August 4, 1980, under 18 CFR 4.33 (1980), and therefore, no further competing applications or notices of intent to file a competing application will be accpeted for filing.

Comments, Protests, or Petitions to Intervene.—Anyone desiring to be heard or to make any protests about this application should file a petition to intervene or a protest with the Commission, in accordance with the requirements of its Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10 (1980). Comments not in the nature of a protest may also be submitted by conforming to the procedures specified in § 1.10 for protests. In determining the appropriate action to take, the Commission will consider all protests or other comments filed, but a person who merely files a protest or comments does not become a party to the proceeding. To become a party, or to participate in any hearing, a person must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules. Any comments, protest, or petition to intervene must be received on or before March 24, 1981.

Filing and Service of Responsive Documents.—Any comments, protests, or petitions to intervene must bear in all capital letters the title "COMMENTS", "PROTEST", or "PETITION TO INTERVENE", as applicable. Any of these filings must also state that it is made a response to this notice of application for preliminary permit for Project No. 3979. Any comments, protests, or petitions to intervene must be filed by providing the original and those copies required by the Commission's regulations to: Kenneth F. Plumb, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426. An additional copy must be sent to: Fred E. Springer, Chief, Applications Branch, Division of Hydropower Licensing, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Room 208RB Building, Washington, D.C. 20426. A copy of any petition to intervene must also be served upon each representative of the Applicant specified in the first paragraph of this notice.

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 81-6669 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-85-M

[Project No. 3957-000]

Enagenics; Application for Preliminary Permit

February 26, 1981

Take notice that Enagenics (Applicant) filed on January 12, 1981, an application for preliminary permit [pursuant to the Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. 791(a)—825(r)] for proposed Project No. 3957 to be known as Allegheny L/D #6 Hydro Project located on the Allegheny River in Armstrong County, Pennsylvania. The application is on file with the Commission and is available for public inspection. Correspondence with the Applicant should be directed to: Mr. Thomas H. Clarke, Jr. President, Enagenics, 1727 Q Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20009. Any person who wishes to file a response to this notice should read the entire notice and must comply with the requirements specified for the particular knd of response that person wishes to file.

Project Description.—The proposed project would utilize the existing U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Allegheny Lock and Dam No. 6 and would consist of: (1) new penstocks near the left dam abutment; (2) a new powerhouse containing generating units having a total rated capacity of 10,000 Kw; (3) a tailrace; (4) a new transmission line, approximately three miles long; and (5) appurtenant facilities. The Applicant estimates that the average annual energy output would be 74,500,000 Kwh.

Purpose of Project.—Project energy would be sold to the West Penn Power Company. Other alternative markets, such as the nearby public institutions or industrial users, will be investigated.

Purpose, Scope and Cost of Studies under Permit.—Applicant seeks issuance of a preliminary permit for a period of three years, during which time it would prepare studies of the hydraulic, construction, economic, environmental, historic and recreational aspects of the project. Depending on the outcome of the studies, Applicant would prepare an application for an FERC license. Applicant estimates the cost of the studies under the permit would be $50,000.

Purpose of Preliminary Permit.—A preliminary permit does not authorize construction. A permit, if issued, gives the Permittee, during the term of the permit, the right of priority of application for license while the Permittee undertakes the necessary studies and examinations to determine the engineering, economic, and environmental feasibility of the proposed project, the market for power, and all other information necessary for inclusion in an application for a license.

Agency Comments.—Federal, State, and local agencies that receive this notice through direct mailing from the Commission are invited to submit comments on the described application for preliminary permit. (A copy of the application may be obtained directly from the Applicant.) Comments should be confined to substantive issues relevant to the issuance of a permit and consistent with the purpose of a permit as described in this notice. No other formal request for comments will be made. If an agency does not file comments within the time set below, it will be presumed to have no comments.

Competing Applications.—This application was filed as a competing application to Noah Corporation's application for Project No. 3494 filed on September 23, 1980 under 18 CFR 4.33 (1980), and, therefore, no further competing applications or notices of intent to file a competing application will be accepted for filing.

Comments, Protests, or Petitions to Intervene.—Anyone desiring to be heard or to make any protests about this application should file a petition to intervene or a protest with the Commission, in accordance with the requirements of its Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10 (1980). Comments not in the nature of a protest may also be submitted by conforming to the procedures specified in § 1.10 for protests. In determining the appropriate action to take, the Commission will consider all protests or other comments filed, but a person who merely files a protest or comments does not become a party to the proceeding. To become a party, or to participate in any hearing, a person must file petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules. Any comments, protest, or petition to intervene must be received on or before March 23, 1981.

Filing and Service of Responsive Documents.—Any comments, protests, or petitions to intervene must bear in all capital letters the title "COMMENTS", "PROTEST", or "PETITION TO INTERVENE", as applicable. Any of these filings must also state that it is made in response to this notice of application for preliminary permit for Project No. 3957. Any comments, protests, or petitions to intervene must be filed by providing the original and those copies required by the Commission's regulations to: Kenneth F. Plumb, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426. An additional copy must be sent to: Fred E. Springer, Chief, Applications Branch, Division of Hydropower Licensing, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Room 208, 400 First Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20426. A copy of any petition to intervene must also be served upon each representative of the

Applicant specified in the first paragraph of this notice.

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 81-6670 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-85-M

[Project No. 3961-000]

Enagenics; Application for Preliminary Permit

February 26, 1981.

Take notice that Enagencis (Applicant) filed on January 12, 1981, an application for preliminary permit [pursuant to the Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. 791(a)-825(r)] for proposed Project No. 3961 to be known as Allegheny L/D #4 Hydro Project located on the Allegheny River in Allegheny County, Pennsylvania. The application is on file with the Commission and is available for public inspection. Correspondence with the Applicant should be directed to: Mr. Thomas H. Clarke, Jr., President, Enagencis, 1727 Q Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20009. Any person who wishes to file a response to this notice should read the entire notice and must comply with the requirements specified for the particular kind of response that person wishes to file.

Project Description.—The proposed project would utilize the existing U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Allegheny Lock and Dam No. 4 and would consist of: (1) new penstocks near the left dam abutment; (2) a new powerhouse containing generating units having a total rated capacity of 28,800 Kw; (3) a tailrace; (4) a new transmission line, approximately 5 miles long; and (5) appurtenant facilities. The Applicant estimates that the average annual energy output would be 91,900,000 Kwh.

Purpose of Project.—Project energy would be sold to the West Penn Power Company. Other alternative markets, such as nearby public institutions and industrial users, will be investigated.

Purpose Scope and Cost of Studies under Permit.—Applicant seeks issuance of a preliminary permit for a period of three years, during which time it would prepare studies of the hydraulic, construction, economic, environmental, historic and recreational aspects of the project. Depending on the outcome of the studies, Applicant would prepare an application for an FERC license. Applicant estimates the cost of the studies under the permit would be $50,000.

Purpose of Preliminary Permit.—A preliminary permit does not authorize construction. A permit, if issued, gives the Permittee, during the term of the permit, the right of priority of application for license while the Permittee undertakes the necessary studies and examinations to determine the engineering, economic, and environmental feasibility of the proposed project, the market for power, and all other information necessary for inclusion in an application for a license.

Agency Comments.—Federal, State, and local agencies that receive this notice through direct mailing from the Commission are invited to submit comments on the described application for preliminary permit. (A copy of the application may be obtained directly from the Applicant.) Comments should be confined to substantive issues relevant to the issuance of a permit and consistent with the purpose of a permit as described in this notice. No other formal request for comments will be made. If an agency does not file comments within the time set below, it will be presumed to have no comments.

Competing Applications.—This application was filed as a competing application to Noah Corporation's application for Project No. 3494 filed on September 23, 1980, under 18 CFR 4.33 (1980), and, therefore, no further competing applications or notices of intent to file a competing application will be accepted for filing.

Comments, Protests, or Petitions to Intervene.—Anyone desiring to be heard or to make any protests about this application should file a petition to intervene or a protest with the Commission, in accordance with the requirements of its Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10 (1980). Comments not in the nature of a protest may also be submitted by conforming to the procedures specified in § 1.10 for protests. In determining the appropriate action to take, the Commission will consider all protests or other comments filed, but a person who merely files a protest or comments does not become a party to the proceeding. To become a party, or to participate in any hearing, a person must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules. Any comments, protest, or petition to intervene must be received on or before March 23, 1981.

Filing and Service of Responsive Documents.—Any comments, protests, or petitions to intervene must bear in all capital letters the title "COMMENTS", "PROTEST", or "PETITION TO INTERVENE", as applicable. Any of these filings must also state that it is made in response to this notice of application for preliminary permit for Project No. 3961. Any comments, notices of intent, competing applications, protests, or petitions to intervene must be filed by providing the original and those copies required by the Commission's regulations to: Kenneth F. Plumb, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426. An additional copy must be sent to: Fred E. Springer, Chief, Applications Branch, Division of Hydropower Licensing, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Room 208, 400 First Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20426. A copy of any petition to intervene must also be served upon each representative of the Applicant specified in the first paragraph of this notice.

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 81-6671 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-85-M

[Project No. 2809]

Maine Hydroelectric Development Corp.; Application for Amendment of License

February 26, 1981.

Take notice that Maine Hydroelectric Development Corporation (MHEDC) filed on February 6, 1981, an application for amendment of it's license [pursuant to the Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. 791(a)—825(r)] for the American Tissue Project No. 2809 located on the Cobbosseecontee Stream in the Town of Gardiner, Kennebec County, Maine. Correspondence with the Applicant should be directed to: Larry Gleeson, Maine Hydroelectric Development Corporation, Mill Lane, P.O. Box 405, Belfast, Maine 04915.

MHEDC proposes to amend Article 20 of the license of the American Tissue Project issued May 9, 1979. Article 20 required MHEDC to begin installation and construction of hydroelectric generating facilities at the project within 2 years from the date of issuance of the license. MHEDC has requested that Article 20 be amended to require that construction begin within 4 years from the date of issuance of the license. Additional time has been requested because of the anticipated delay caused by the upcoming eminent domain proceeding filed in U.S. District Court for the District of Maine by MHEDC to acquire the real estate and property rights of the American Tissue dam site.

If MHEDC cannot acquire the real estate and property rights of the American Tissue dam site through a voluntary agreement with its owner, Section 21 of the Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. § 814 gives MHEDC the authority

to acquire such property by eminent domain proceedings.

Anyone desiring to be heard or to make any protests about this application should file a petition to intervene or a protest with the Commission, in accordance with the requirements of its Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR § 1.8 or § 1.10 (1980). Comments not in the nature of a protest may also be submitted by conforming to the procedures specified in § 1.10 for protests. In determining the appropriate action to take, the Commission will consider all protests or other comments filed, but a person who merely files a protest or comments does not become a party to the proceeding. To become a party, or to participate in any hearing, a person must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules. Any comments, protest, or petition to intervene must be received on or before April 6, 1981. The Commission's address is: 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426. The application is on file with the Commission and is available for public inspection.

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 81-6872 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-85-M

[Project No. 4045-000]

Mason County Public Utility, District No. 3; Application for Preliminary Permit

February 26, 1981.

Take notice that Mason County Public Utility District No. 3 (Applicant) filed on January 22, 181, an application for preliminary permit [pursuant to the Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. 791(a)–825(r)] for proposed Project No. 4045 to be known as the Goldsborough Creek Hydroelectric Project located on the Goldsborough Creek in Mason County, near Shelton, Washington. The application is on file with the Commission and is available for public inspection. Correspondence with the Applicant should be directed to: Mr. Dennis E. Rohr, General Manager, Mason County PUD No. 3, P.O. Box 490, Shelton, Washington 98584, and Mr. Benjamin N. Settle, P.O. Box 308, Shelton, Washington 98584, with copies to CH2M Hill, Attention Mr. Abbas Orumchian, 1500 114th Avenue SE, Bellevue, Washington 98004.

Any person who wishes to file a response to this notice should read the entire notice and must comply with the requirements specified for the particular kind of response that person wishes to file.

Project Description.—The proposed project would consist of: (1) an existing timber crib dam, 14 feet high by 100 feet long creating a pondage with negligible capacity; (2) an existing wooden fish ladder; (3) a new intake structure; (4) a new 100-foot long, 30-inch diameter steel penstock serving; (5) a new powerhouse, to be operated under a head of 30 feet, to contain one Francis-type, turbine-generating unit with a rated capacity of 400 kW; (6) a tailrace channel; and (7) a new 0.7-mile long, 12.5-kV transmission line to connect to an existing Applicant transmission line.

The Applicant estimates that the average annual energy output would be 1.4 million kWhs.

Purpose of Project.—The power output would be used to meet the Applicant's load growth and to offset power purchases now being made by the Applicant to supply its customers.

Proposed Scope and Cost of Studies under Permit.—The Applicant has conducted some reconnaissance studies of the site. The Applicant now seeks issuance of a preliminary permit for a period of 24 months, during which time it would prepare a definitive project report that would include engineering, economic, and environmental data. The cost of these activities, the preparation of an environmental report, obtaining agreements with various Federal, State, and local agencies, and preparation of an FERC license application is estimated by the Applicant to be about $138,000.

Purpose of Preliminary Permit.—A preliminary permit does not authorize construction. A permit, if issued, gives the Permittee, during the term of the permit, the right of priority of application for license while the Permittee undertakes the necessary studies and examinations to determine the engineering, economic, and environmental feasibility of the proposed project, the market for power, and all other information necessary for inclusion in an application for a license.

Agency Comments.—Federal, State, and local agencies that receive this notice through direct mailing from the Commission are invited to submit comments on the described application for preliminary permit. (A copy of the application may be obtained directly from the Applicant.) Comments should be confined to substantive issues relevant to the issuance of a permit and consistent with the purpose of a permit as described in this notice. No other formal request for comments will be made. If an agency does not file comments within the time set below, it will be presumed to have no comments.

Competing Applications.—Anyone desiring to file a competing application must submit to the Commission, on or before April 13, 1981, either the competing application itself or a notice of intent to file a competing application. Submission of a timely notice of intent allows an interested person to file the competing application no later than June 10, 1981. A notice of intent must conform with the requirements of 18 CFR 4.33 (b) and (c) (1980). A competing application must conform with the requirements of 18 CFR 4.33 (a) and (d) (1980).

Comments, Protests, or Petitions to Intervene.—Anyone desiring to be heard or to make any protests about this application should file a petition to intervene or a protest with the Commission, in accordance with the requirements of its Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10 (1980). Comments not in the nature of a protest may also be submitted by conforming to the procedures specified in § 1.10 for protests. In determining the appropriate action to take, the Commission will consider all protests or other comments filed, but a person who merely files a protest or comments does not become a party to the proceeding. To become a party, or to participate in any hearing, a person must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules. Any comments, protest, or petition to intervene must be received on or before April 13, 1981.

Filing and Service of Responsive Documents.—Any comments, protests, or petitions to intervene must bear in all capital letters, the title "COMMENTS", "NOTICE OF INTENT TO FILE COMPETING APPLICATION", "COMPETING APPLICATION", "PROTESTS", or "PETITION TO INTERVENE", as applicable. Any of these filings must also state that it is made in response to this notice of application for preliminary permit for Project No. 4045. Any comments, notices of intent, competing applications, protests, or petitions to intervene must be filed by providing the original and those copies required by the Commission's regulations to: Kenneth F. Plumb, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426. An additional copy must be sent to: Fred E. Springer, Chief, Applications Branch, Division of Hydropower Licensing, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Room 208, 400 First Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20426. A copy of any notice of intent, competing application, protest, or petition to

intervene must also be served upon each representative of the Applicant specified in the first paragraph of this notice.

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 81-6673 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-85-M

[Project No. 4072-000]

New England Hydro; Application for Preliminary Permit

February 26, 1981.

Take notice that New England Hydro (Applicant) filed on January 27, 1981, an application for preliminary permit [pursuant to the Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. 791(a)—825(r)] for proposed Project No. 4072 to be known as the Snow Pond Project located on Messalonskee Lake near the Town of Oakland, Kennebec County, Maine. The application is on file with the Commission and is available for public inspection. Correspondence with the Applicant should be directed to: Mr. Andre Jaeger, New England Hydro, 3 Great Pasture Road, West Redding, Connectucut 06896. Any person who wishes to file a response to this notice should read the entire notice and must comply with the requirements specified for the particular kind of response that person wishes to file.

Project Description.—The proposed project would consist of: (1) an existing 10-foot high, 251 foot long granite block and concrete dam including a 136-foot wide gate section; (2) Messalonskee Lake with a surface area of 25,597 acres; (3) an existing powerhouse, to be reconditioned, containing a single new turbine-generator with a rated capacity of 2.2 MW; (4) a transmission line; and (5) appurtenant facilities. The existing dam and reservoir is owned by Central Maine Power Company. The Applicant estimates that the averge annual energy output would be 4,237,000 Kwh.

Purpose of Project.—Energy produced at the project would be sold to Central Maine Power Company for distribution to its customers.

Proposed Scope and Cost of Studies Under Permit.—The work proposed under the preliminary permit would include economic analysis, preparation of preliminary engineering plans, and a study of enviromental impacts. Based on results of these studies, Applicant would decide whether or not to proceed with the preparation of an application for license to construct and operate the project. Applicant estimates that the cost of the work to be performed under the preliminary permit would be $39,000. Applicant requests a preliminary permit term of 24 months.

Purpose of Preliminary Permit.—A preliminary permit does not authorize construction. A permit, if issued, gives the Permittee, during the term of the permit, the right of priority of application for license while the Permittee undertakes the necessary studies and examinations to determine the engineering, economic and environmental feasibility of the proposed project, the market for power, and all other information necessary for inclusion in an application for a license.

Agency Comments.—Federal, State, and local agencies that receive this notice through direct mailing from the Commission are invited to submit comments on the described application for preliminary permit. (A copy of the application may be obtained directly from the Applicant.) Comments should be confined to substantive issues relevant to the issuance of a permit and consistent with the purpose of a permit as described in this notice. No other formal request for comments will be made. If an agency does not file comments within the time set below, it will be presumed to have no comments.

Competing Applications.—Anyone desiring to file a competing application must submit to the Commission, on or before April 27, 1981, either the competing application itself or a notice of intent to file a competing application. Submission of a timely notice of intent allows an interested person to file the competing application no later than June 25, 1981. A notice of intent must conform with the requirements of 18 CFR 4.33 (b) and (c) (1980). A competing application must conform with the requirements of 18 CFR 4.33 (a) and (d) (1980).

Comments, Protests, or Petitions to Intervene.—Anyone desiring to be heard or to make any protests about this application should file a petition to intervene or a protest with the Commission, in accordance with the requirements of its Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10 (1980). Comments not in the nature of a protest may also be submitted by conforming to the procedures specified in § 1.10 for protests. In determining the appropriate action to take, the Commission will consider all protests or other comments filed, but a person who merely files a protest or comments does not become a party to the proceeding. To become a party, or to participate in any hearing, a person must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules. Any comments, protest, or petition to intervene must be received on or before April 27, 1981.

Filing and Service of Responsive Documents.—Any comments, notices of intent, competing applications, protests, or petitions to intervene must bear in all capital letters the title "COMMENTS", "NOTICE OF INTENT TO FILE COMPETING APPLICATION", "COMPETING APPLICATION", "PROTEST", or "PETITION TO INTERVENE", as applicable. Any of these filings must also state that it is made in response to this notice of application for preliminary permit for Project No. 4072. Any comments, notices of intent, competing applications, protests, or petitions to intervene must be filed by providing the original and those copies required by the Commission's regulations to: Kenneth F. Plumb, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426. An additional copy must be sent to: Fred E. Springer, Chief, Applications Branch, Division of Hydropower Licensing, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Room 208, 400 First Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20426. A copy of any notice of intent, competing application, or petition to intervene must also be served upon each representative of the Applicant specified in the first paragraph of this notice.

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 81-6674 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-85-M

[Docket No. ER81-187-000]

Public Service Company of New Mexico; Order Accepting for Filing and Suspending in Part Proposed Increased Rates, Granting Interventions, Denying Motions To Reject, Directing Summary Disposition in Part, Providing for Hearing, and Establishing Procedures

Issued February 27, 1981.

On December 23, 1980, Public Service Company of New Mexico (PNM) tendered for filing proposed increased rates for service to five wholesale customers.[1] The rates proposed to four of these customers were tendered as unilateral rate changes pursuant to section 205 of the Federal Power Act and would increase revenues by approximately $13,121,000 (18.2%) for the twelve-month period following the proposed effective date of March 1, 1981. The proposed increase to the fifth wholesale customer, the City of Gallup, New Mexico, was submitted to become

[1] *See* Attachment A for rate schedule designations and customer identification.

effective prospectively only "pursuant to the Commission's order in Docket No. E-9454" as reaffirmed by U.S. Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit.[2] The rates to Gallup would increase revenues by approximately $788,458 (8.65%) over the filed but not yet effective rates in Docket No. ER80-313.

The proposed rate schedules for Gallup are based on the exclusion of ADITC from PNM's capital structure. PNM has also filed two alternative rate schedules. The first alternative schedule includes ADITC in capitalization at the overall rate of return; the second schedule includes ADITC in capitalization at the common equity rate of return. PNM indicates that it reserves the right to implement either of these alternate rate schedules in the event that it prevails in a pending judicial proceeding concerning the Commission's treatment of the ADITC issues.[3]

The proposed rate schedules for PNM's four remaining wholesale customers are based on inclusion of ADITC in the capital structure at the overall rate of return. PNM states that this proposed treatment of ADITC is the result of a stipulation between PNM and the affected customers in PNM's recent settlement in Docket Nos. ER77-464, ER78-337, ER79-479, and ER80-313.[4]

Public notice of PNM's filing was issued on January 2, 1981, with responses due on or before January 23, 1981.[5] Petitions to intervene in this proceeding were filed by the Cities of Farmington and Gallup, New Mexico (Cities), Community Public Service Company (CPS), Plains Electric Generation and Transmission Cooperative, Inc. (Plains), and the General Service Administration (GSA).[6] The petitioners seek permission to intervene and request a hearing. Additionally, the Public Service Commission of New Mexico, (PSCNM) filed a notice of intervention.

Each of the petitioners also requests a five-month suspension of the proposed increased rates alleging, among other things, that PNM's use of a 17.5% rate of return on common equity is excessive, and that PNM has utilized improper cost of service and allocation procedures. Cities contend that PNM's support for its rate of return on equity must be rejected which, in turn, compels rejection of PNM's entire filing. Alternatively, Cities argue that allowing PNM a rate of return on equity of 17.5% would result in a price squeeze. Accordingly, Cities request that PNM be permitted to refile rates which will produce a rate of return for the resale customers no higher than the rate of return produced by the rates currently in effect for its retail customers. Cities also request summary judgment to eliminate PNM's deduction of its ADITC carry forward from Account Nos. 281, 282, and 283.

In its petition, Plains requests that the Commission reject PNM's entire filing on the basis that it fails to comply with section 35.13 of the Commission's regulations. As additional grounds for its request, Plains argues that while PNM elected to apply normalization to the calculation of its income tax expense in the cost of service, it failed to deduct from that cost of service the deferred tax flowback from previous years as required by the Commission. Plains has also moved for a phased, expedited hearing on PNM's request for a 17.5% rate of return in equity. Plains argues that this rate is excessive, that it would impose a tremendous and unreasonable burden and that, therefore, to delay any refund that might be ordered may severely diminish the relief which the Federal Power Act was designed to provide.

On February 6, 1981, PNM filed a response in which it argues that Plains' and Cities' requests for rejection of the rate filing and Cities' request for summary judgment are without merit. PNM also contends that none of the petitioners' arguments justify a maximum suspension. Finally, PNM states that it would not object to Plains' request to sever the issue of the proper rate of return on equity and to set that issue for expedited hearing.

Discussion

The Commission finds that participation in this proceeding by each of the petitioners is in the public interest. Further, we find that good cause exists to permit GSA to intervene out of time. Accordingly, each of the petitions will be granted. The timely notice filed by the New Mexico Commission is sufficient to initiate its participation as an intervenor.

Our review of PNM's filing indicates that the proposed rates have not been shown to be just and reasonable and may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, preferential, or otherwise unlawful. Accordingly, we shall accept the proposed rates for filing and suspend them as directed below.

In a number of suspension orders,[7] we have addressed the considerations underlying the Commission's policy regarding rate suspensions. For the reasons given there, we have concluded that rate filings should generally be suspended for the maximum period permitted by statute where preliminary study leads the Commission to believe that the filing may be unjust and unreasonable or that it may run afoul of other statutory standards. We have acknowledged, however, that shorter suspensions may be warranted in circumstances where suspension for the maximum period may lead to harsh and inequitable results. Such circumstances have not been presented here. We shall therefore accept the proposed rates for filing, as modified below, and with respect to each customer other than Gallup, we shall suspend the rates for five months to become effective thereafter on August 1, 1981, subject to refund.

With regard to Gallup, we note that the proposed rates include Schedule A which provides for service at three existing delivery points and Schedule B which applies to a fourth delivery point expected to become operational in February of 1981. In Docket No. ER80-313, PNM filed an executed supplemental agreement to the PNM/Gallup contract to provide for service at this new delivery point. The supplement was accepted for filing and suspended in Docket No. ER80-313.

The Schedule A and Schedule B rates, shall become effective prospectively only following a Commission order approving the rates as requested by PNM.

Our analysis also indicates that the proposed rates should not be summarily rejected. We find that the submittal substantially complies with the Commission's filing requirements.[8] As to Cities' and Plains' contention that PNM's proposed rate of return on equity requires summary rejection, we believe the more appropriate course, consistent with that taken by the Commission in Docket No. ER80-313, is to consider this matter on the basis of an evidentiary

[2] *Public Service Co. of New Mexico* v. *FERC* 628 F.2d 1267 (Tenth Circuit 1980).

[3] PNM appealed the Commission's orders in Docket Nos. ER78-337, *et al.*, and ER79-48, *et al.*, on the exclusion of ADITC from the capital structure. The issue is presently pending before the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit in consolidated Dockets 80-1200 and 80-1424, *Public Service Company of New Mexico* v. *Federal Energy Regulatory Commission*.

[4] The settlement was accepted for filing by Commission order issued January 29, 1981 in *Public Service Company of New Mexico*, Docket Nos. ER77-364, *et al.*

[5] Additionally an addendum to the January 2, 1981 notice was issued on January 16, 1981.

[6] The petition by GSA was untimely filed on January 28, 1981.

[7] *E.g.*, *Boston Edison Company*, Docket No. ER80-508 (August 29, 1980) (five month suspension); *Alabama Power Company*, Docket Nos. ER80-506, *et al.* (August 29, 1980) (one day suspension) *Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company*, Docket No. ER80-488 (August 22, 1980) (one day suspension).

[8] *See Municipal Light Boards of Reading and Wakefield, Massachusetts* v. *FPC*, 450 F. 2d 1341 (D.C. Cir. 1971).

hearing.[9] Further, we reject Plains' contention that PNM has failed to properly deduct from its cost of service deferred tax flowback from previous years. According to PNM's filing,[10] PNM has deducted approximately $89,000 in deferred tax adjustments from its cost of service. Whether that is the appropriate amount to be deducted is another issue which can be resolved at hearing.

We shall also deny Plains' request for a phased, expedited hearing on the issue of rate of return. We believe that, consistent with the mandate of the Federal Power Act (16 U.S.C. 824(b)), all rate increase cases should be handled expeditiously, and effective case management dictates that, except in extraordinary circumstances, the scheduling of particular cases should be left to the discretion of the presiding judge. We are confident that the presiding judge will take all appropriate factors into account in determining the procedural schedule here. We also note that our decision not to sever the rate of return issue comports with our treatment of Docket No. ER80-313.

Cities urge summary disposition of the ADITC carryover issue. The issue involves the question of the propriety of PNM's accounting for Investment Tax Credit carry forwards in Account Nos. 281-283. We will not grant summary judgment with respect to this issue. The matter has been the subject of an evidentiary hearing and is now pending before the Commission in *Public Service Company of New Mexico*, Docket No. ER78-338.

As noted, PNM has filed three alternative rates for Gallup. The proposed rates exclude ADITC from capitalization; the two alternate rate schedules include ADITC in capitalization. In accordance with established Commission precedent, the Commission previously rejected PNM's proposed rate schedules in Docket Nos. ER78-478 *et al.* which included ADITC in the capital structure.[11] Consistent with our prior holdings, we shall reject the alternative rate schedules that include ADITC in the capital structure.

As to the proposed rates for the remaining customers, PNM has included ADITC in the capitalization at the overall rate of return. PNM justifies this treatment by reference to a settlement agreement between PNM and its customers in Docket Nos. ER77-464, ER78-337, ER79-479, and ER80-313. That agreement states that the parties agree that in PNM's filing in this proceeding "ADITC shall be included in the capital structure at the overall cost of capital, subject to refund pending the outcome of the appeals in [the D.C. Circuit]."

As discussed above, the Commission has consistently held that ADITC should be excluded from capitalization, and it has specifically applied that holding to PNM and to other utilities in previous orders. Additionally, the Commission order adopting the prior settlement specifically did so without prejudice to any future Commission determinations.[12] We continue to believe that the exclusion of ADITC from capitalization is appropriate and, therefore, we shall direct PNM to refile its capital structure and rates to reflect this treatment.

Because this proceeding involves in part an application for increased rates that cannot become effective until the Commission determines the new lawful rate, we will not phase the price squeeze issue raised by Cities for later determination. *See, Public Service Company of New Mexico*, Docket Nos. ER79-478 and ER79-479, issued August 28, 1979.

The Commission Orders

(A) The motions of Plains and Cities to reject PNM's filing are denied.

(B) The requests for summary disposition are hereby denied.

(C) PNM's proposed increased rates tendered for service to CPS, Department of Energy-Los Alamos, Plains, and Farmington as modified by ordering paragraph (E) below, are hereby accepted for filing and suspended for five months to become effective, subject to refund, on August 1, 1981.

(D) PNM's proposed Schedule A and Schedule B rates for service to Gallup are accepted for filing to become effective prospectively only following a Commission order establishing just and reasonable rates.

(E) Summary disposition with respect to PNM's treatment of ADITC in its proposed rates for service to CPS, Department of Energy-Los Alamos, Plains, and Farmington is hereby ordered in accordance with the discussion of this matter above. PNM shall file within thirty (30) days of the issuance of this order a revised cost of service and rates to reflect this summary disposition.

(F) The alternative rate schedules tendered by PNM for service to Gallup that include ADITC in the capital structure are hereby rejected.

(G) Pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by section 402(a) of the Department of Energy Organization Act and by the Federal Power Act, particularly sections 205 and 206 thereof, and pursuant to the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure and the regulations under the Federal Power Act (18 CFR, Chapter I), a public hearing shall be held concerning the lawfulness of PNM's rates.

(H) The petitioners are hereby permitted to intervene in this proceeding subject to the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure and the regulations under the Federal Power Act: *Provided, however,* that participation by such intervenors shall be limited to the matters set forth in the petitions to intervene; and, *Provided, further,* that the admission of such intervenors shall not be construed as recognition by the Commission that they might be aggrieved by any order or orders entered by the Commission in this proceeding.

(I) The Commission staff shall serve top sheets in this proceeding on or before May 15, 1981.

(J) A presiding administrative law judge, to be designated by the Chief Administrative Law Judge for that purpose, shall convene a conference in this proceeding to be held within approximately fifteen (15) days after service of top sheets, in a hearing room of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426. The presiding administrative law judge is authorized to establish procedural dates and to rule on all motions (except motions to consolidate or sever and motions to dismiss) as provided for in the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

(K) We hereby order initiation of price squeeze procedures, although we shall not phase the proceeding at this time. The presiding judge may order a change in this schedule for good cause. The price squeeze portion of this case shall be governed by the procedures set forth in section 2.17 of the Commission's regulations, as amended by subsequent Commission orders.

(L) The Secretary shall promptly publish this order in the **Federal Register.**

---

[9] *See Public Service Company of New Mexico*, Docket No. ER80-313, order issued May 30, 1980, mimeo at 3-4.

[10] *See* Period II, Statement J, p. 1, line 12.

[11] Docket Nos. ER79-478 *et al.*, order issued August 28, 1979, *mimeo* at 2, wherein the Commission cited *Carolina Power & Light Co.*, Opinion No. 19, August 2, 1978; *Virginia Electric Power Company*, Docket No. ER78-522, order issued August 30, 1978; and *Public Service Company of Oklahoma*, Docket No. ER78-511, order issued October 12, 1978.

[12] *Public Service Company of New Mexico*, Docket Nos. ER77-364 *et al.*, order issued January 29, 1981, *mimeo* at 2, Ordering Paragraph (C).

By the Commission.

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

Attachment A

Public Service Company of New Mexico
Docket No. ER81-187-00

Designation and Other Party

Supplement No. 21 to Rate Schedule FPC No. 31 (Supersedes Supplement No. 20)—Plains Electric Generation and Transmission Cooperative, Inc., (345 KV service)
Supplement No. 22 to Rate Schedule FPC No. 31 (Supersedes Supplement No. 20)—Plains Electric Generation and Transmission Cooperative, Inc., (115 KV service)
Supplement No. 11 to Rate Schedule FPC No. 32 (Supersedes Supplement Nos. 8 and 10)—Community Public Service Company
Supplement No. 7 to Rate Schedule FPC No. 34 (Supersedes Supplement Nos. 5 and 6)—Department of Energy—Los Alamo
Supplement No. 8 Rate Schedule FPC No. 35 (Supersedes Supplement Nos. 5 and 7)—City of Farmington
Supplement No. 9 to Rate Schedule FPC No. 2—City of Gallup Service Schedule B

[FR Doc. 81-6062 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-85-M

[Docket No. EF79-3021]

Southeastern Power Administration; Order Confirming and Approving Rate Schedules

Issued February 27, 1981.

By letter filed May 22, 1979, the Assistant Secretary for Resource Applications (AS/RA) of the Department of Energy, on behalf of the Southeastern Power Administration (SEPA), submitted a request for final confirmation and approval of wholesale power rate schedules CR-1-D and CR-2-D for the period ending June 30, 1983.[1] These rate schedules supersede schedules previously confirmed and approved by the Federal Power Commission through June 30, 1978, and apply to power marketed by SEPA from the U.S. Corps of Engineers' multi-purpose reservoir projects known as the Cumberland Basin projects. By Rate Order No. SEPA-4, dated May 22, 1979, the AS/RA approved the rates on an interim basis for the period July 1, 1978, through June 30, 1980. On May 22, 1980, the AS/RA extended the interim approval through June 30, 1981.

Notice of this submittal was published in the **Federal Register** on June 20, 1979, with protests or petitions to intervene due on or before July 9, 1979. No responses have been received.

SEPA is a federal power marketing agency responsible for a number of reservoir projects, including the integrated Cumberland Basin projects in Tennessee and Kentucky.[2] These projects have a combined nameplate capacity of 853,000 kilowatts and produce an average of 2,920,000,000 kilowatt-hours annually. Power and energy from these projects are sold to the Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) under rate schedule CR-1-D, and retained peaking, standby, and emergency standby power and energy are sold to four other customers,[3] under rate schedule CR-2-D. The new rates will produce an estimated average annual revenue of $18,650,000, representing an increase of $2,538,000 (16%) over the previously approved rates.

Discussion

The Secretary of Energy, in Delegation Order No. 0204-33, delegated to this Commission the authority to confirm and approve on a final basis, or to disapprove, federal power marketing agency rates. In accordance with the standards established in section 5 of the Flood Control Act of 1944, the rates are to "encourage the most widespread use [of project power and energy] at the lowest possible rates to consumers consistent with sound business principles" and to recover "the cost of producing and transmitting such electric energy, including the amortization of the capital investment allocated to power over a reasonable period of years."[4]

The Corps of Engineers has allocated $336,775,000 of capital investment to be repaid by fiscal year 1982, including approximately $68,000,000 for remedial work being performed on one of the eight SEPA facilities, the Wolf Creek Project. As a result of increased costs, especially those attributable to the remedial work at Wolf Creek, SEPA entered into supplemental agreements with TVA and the other customers in May of 1978, providing, in part, that the filed rates would be effective as of July 1, 1978. These rates superseded prior rate schedules confirmed and approved by the Federal Power Commission in Docket No. E-7188, on June 29, 1973, for the period July 1, 1973, through June 30, 1978.

On June 2, 1978, the AS/RA filed a request for confirmation and approval of the new rate schedules with the Department of Energy's Economic Regulatory Administration (ERA). By order issued on December 29, 1978, (44 FR 1444) the Administrator of ERA disapproved the filed rates on the basis that they were higher than necessary to recover the costs of producing and transmitting the power and energy sold by the projects. In April of 1979, SEPA prepared a revised Power Repayment Study (PRS) which changed certain repayment criteria used in previous studies and used historical rather than estimated financial data for fiscal year 1978. SEPA concluded that the results of the revised PRS supported the same revenue level as that which has been proposed in ERA Docket No. SEPA 78-2. On May 22, 1979, the AS/RA approved the rates on an interim basis, from July 1, 1978, through June 30, 1980, pending final confirmation and approval by this Commission. On May 22, 1980, the AS/RA extended the interim approval through June 30, 1981.

The filed rate schedules in this docket are the same as those previously filed with ERA and rejected; however, the changes in criteria have eliminated the excess revenues that formed the basis for the prior ERA rejection.

SEPA's PRS indicates that, based on estimates of average annual revenues of $18,650,000 and annual operating expenses of $5,450,000, the investment allocated to power, including all but $6,273,000 of the replacement costs, will be repaid by the end of fiscal year 2024 (the 50th year after the last completed project began commercial operation). Supporting data to the PRS indicate that the unpaid $6,273,000 are costs of replacements whose service lives extend beyond the initial project repayment period.

The amount of the unpaid balance on replacement items appears to be reasonable. However, our analysis of the data supporting the instant filing, as well as filings by other federal power marketing agencies before this Commission, reveals certain concerns, including inconsistencies in calculating operation and maintenance expenses and future replacement costs. There appears to be no firm AS/RA policy with respect to determining the price levels to be used for estimating these costs. In addition, we note that SEPA has failed in this filing to assess interest expense on investments in new project additions and replacements for the year in which they commence service, contrary to the policy adopted by the Department of Energy, in Order No. RA

[1] This referral was made pursuant to Department of Energy Secretarial Delegation Order No. 0204-33 and the pertinent provisions of the Flood Control Act of 1944.

[2] The Cumberland Basin projects comprise eight federally constructed hydroelectric generating projects located on the Cumberland River and its tributaries.

[3] The other customers are: Big Rivers Electric Corporation; the Indiana Statewide Rural Electric Cooperative; the Southern Illinois Power Cooperative; and the East Kentucky Power Cooperative.

[4] 16 U.S.C. 825s.

6120.2, issued in September of 1979. We believe it appropriate to express these observations although they do not have a sufficient rate level impact to warrant rejection of the filed rates. Furthermore, we recognize that the AS/RA has initiated efforts to further clarify the policies incorporated in Order No. RA 6120.2.[5]

According to SEPA's PRS, repayment of each major investment allocated to power is to be accomplished within the service life of the investment or 50 years, whichever is less. In the study, SEPA applied the long-standing policy, initially developed by the Department of Interior and continued by the AS/RA, of repaying selected high interest investments ahead of the required repayment date and postponing repayment of lower interest investments. By pursuing this policy, the overall system-wide interest expense paid to the Treasury is minimized in an effort to keep rates at the lowest possible levels. While the Commission has previously expressed concern with regard to this matter,[6] the AS/RA has recently affirmed the position that the existing practice should not be changed at this time.[7]

This payment policy has been in effect for approximately eight years, during which time the Federal Power Commission frequently approved federal rate filings. Further, rates based on this policy reflect actual current payments being made to the U.S. Treasury. We note that, to date, the Treasury and the Office of Management and Budget have neither protested the current practice nor proposed an alternative repayment policy reflecting higher interest payments. Despite the Commission's stated concern regarding this repayment methodology, in exercising our appellate-type review function, we are not prepared to conclude at this time that the AS/RA policy lacks a rational basis.

In light of the foregoing and the lack of any protests or petitions to intervene in the present proceeding, the Commission finds it appropriate and in the public interest to approve and confirm SEPA rate schedules CR-1-D and CRA-2-D for the period July 1, 1978, through June 30, 1983.

The Commission Orders

(A) SEPA rate schedules CR-1-D and CR-2-D are hereby confirmed and approved for the period July 1, 1978, through June 30, 1983.

(B) The Secretary shall promptly publish this order in the **Federal Register.**

By the Commission.

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 81-6664 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-85-M

[Docket Nos. ER80-678, and RM79-29, ER80-592, et al. Docket No. ER80-689]

Union Electric Co. and Iowa Southern Utilities Co., Order Accepting for Filing and Suspending Proposed Rates, Granting Waiver of Notice, Establishing Procedures, and Terminating Docket No. ER80-689

Issued February 27, 1981.

In response to the Commission's Order No. 84,[1] Union Electric Company (Union Electric) submitted for filing on August 15, 1980, in Docket No. ER 80-678, unexecuted amendments to its interchange agreements with various parties, including Iowa Southern Utilities Company (Iowa Southern). With regard to the transmission or third party resale of electric power, Order No. 84 requires utilities to cap percentage adders in their rates as applied to purchased power costs [2] (1) at one mill per kwh or less without providing cost support, or (2) at more than one mill per kwh with cost support. Union Electric's August 15 submittals included its own percentage adder proposals but did not specify proposed rates to be charged by Iowa Southern. By order issued October 29, 1980, in consolidated Docket Nos. RM79-29, and ER80-592 *et al.*, the Commission accepted these proposed rates for filing, suspended them for one day to become effective September 2, 1980, subject to refund, and set them for hearing in conjunction with the consolidated proceedings.

Also on August 15, 1980, Iowa Southern filed in Docket No. ER80-689 an amendment to its interchange agreement with Union Electric. This amendment was filed without the concurrence of Union Electric. The Iowa Southern proposal limited its percentage adders to one mill per kwh, but also included an additional fixed adder of 3.35 mills per kwh for "a system facility charge and system losses." By letter dated September 15, 1980, Iowa Southern was notified that its submittals were deficient because they lacked the required cost support. By letter dated January 23, 1981, Iowa Southern was notified that no response had been received by the Commission to the deficiency letter and that the docket would be terminated absent a response within fifteen days. Iowa Southern thereafter, by letter dated January 30, 1981, requested the Commission to terminate Docket No. ER80-689 in light of Union Electric's January 2, 1981 filing that is discussed below.

On January 2, 1981, Union Electric submitted for filing in Docket No. ER80-678, an executed amendment dated November 21, 1980, to its interchange agreement with Iowa Southern. Iowa Southern has indicated its concurrence in this submittal. The proposed amendment provides that for all energy exchanged under the agreement that is priced according to the purchased energy price from third party systems, the supplier will charge the purchase price plus an adder equal to the greater of (1) a fixed amount per kwh [3] plus an adjustment for transmission losses, or (2) 10% of the transmitting party's internal incremental costs. With respect to Union Electric, the rates proposed in the January 2, 1981 submittals are the same as those included in Union Electric's submittals of August 15, 1980. notice of Union Electric's January 2, 1981 filing was issued on January 16, 1981, with responses due on February 9, 1981. No responses have been received.

Discussion

Neither Union Electric nor Iowa Southern has provided support or rationale for charging more than the specified fixed adder whenever 10 percent of internal incremental costs exceed the adder. Our analysis indicates

---

[5] On January 16, 1981, the AS/RA ratified four general recommendations sponsored by the Director of DOE's Office of Power Marketing Coordination (OPMC) on the basis of a Power Rate Council Amortization Issue Paper. Two recommendations pertinent to this order included: (1) a proposal to continue at this time the existing repayment policy of assigning revenues to the highest interest-bearing investments first, while staying within allowable repayment periods (unless otherwise indicated by legislation); and (2) a proposal for the OPMC, together with the power marketing agencies, to develop guidelines or refine policies in DOE Order No. RA 6120.2, so that applicable policies will be current and will not be, or appear to be, implemented in a manner that discredits them. In soliciting AS/RA approval of these recommendations, the OPMC Director noted that "[t]he recommendations are based on the conclusions that existing repayment practices are basically sound but need to be improved, both substantially and in their implementation."

[6] *E.g., Southeastern Power Administration*, Docket No. EF79-3052, order issued October 25, 1979.

[7] See footnote 5, *supra*.

[1] Order No. 84, Limits for Percentage Adders in Electric Rates for Transmission Service, Docket No. RM79-29, issued May 7, 1980.

[2] A percentage adder is a rate component that recovers revenues computed wholly or in part as a percentage of the price of purchased electric power paid by a transmitting utility for power generated by another utility.

[3] If Union Electric is the supplier, the fixed adder is 3.36 mills per kwh. If Iowa Southern is the supplier, the fixed adder is 3.35 mills per kwh.

that the proposed rates have not been shown to be just and reasonable and may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, preferential, or otherwise unlawful. Therefore, the rate will be suspended as hereinafter ordered, and set for hearing.

In a number of suspension orders,[4] we have addressed the considerations underlying the Commission's policy regarding rate suspensions. For the reasons given there, we have concluded that rate filings should generally be suspended for the maximum period permitted by statute where preliminary study leads the Commission to believe that the filing may be unjust and unreasonable or that it may run afoul of other statutory standards. We have acknowledged, however, that shorter suspensions may be warranted in circumstances where suspensions for the maximum period may lead to harsh and inequitable results. Such circumstances are presented here. In view of the nature of the interchange services at issue, and consistent with our treatment of other Order 84 compliance filings, we shall suspend for only one day to permit the interchange rates to go into effect.

We shall treat Union Electric's request that its submittals of January 2, 1981, be incorporated into its filing of August 15, 1980, as an implied request for waiver of our notice requirements, and we shall grant such waiver. Accordingly, we shall suspend the proposed rates (including the refiled rates for Union Electric) for one day permitting the rates to take effect subject to refund thereafter on September 2, 1980. We shall also set these proposed rates for investigation in conjunction with the pending proceeding in Docket Nos. RM79–29, and ER80–592 *et al.* Moreover, in light of Union Electric's January 2, 1981 submittals, we shall grant Iowa Southern's request to terminate Docket No. ER80–689.

The Commission orders:

(A) Union Electric's implied request for waiver of the Commission's notice requirements in hereby granted.

(B) Union Electric's submittals of January 2, 1981, in Docket No. ER80–678 are accepted for filing and suspended for one day to become effective as of September 2, 1980, subject to refund.

(C) Pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by section 402(a) of the Department of Energy Act, and by the Federal Power Act, particularly sections 205 and 206 thereof, and pursuant to the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure and the regulations under the Federal Power Act (18 CFR, Chapter I), a public hearing shall be held concerning the justness and reasonableness of Union Electric's proposed rates in Docket No. ER80–678. Such hearing shall be held in conjunction with the pending proceeding in Docket Nos. RM79–29, and ER80–592, *et al.*

(D) The presiding administrative law judge designated in Docket Nos. RM79–29, and ER80–592, *et al.*, shall establish such additional procedures or schedules as are necessary to accommodate consideration of Union Electric's and Iowa Southern's currently proposed rates in the pending proceeding.

(E) Docket No. ER80–689 is hereby terminated.

(F) The Secretary shall promptly publish this order in the **Federal Register.**

By the Commission.

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 81–6663 Filed 3–2–81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450–85

Attachment A

Union Electric Company

[Docket No. ER 80–678]

Filed: January 2, 1981.

Other party: Iowa Southern Utilities Company.

Designation

(1) Supplement No. 7 to Rate Schedule FPC No. 63 (Supersedes Supplement No. 6).

Description

Executed Second Amendment dated November 21, 1980.

Iowa Southern Utilities Company

Supplement No. 5 to Rate Schedule FPC No. 19 (Dated November 25, 1980; concurs in (1) above).

[FR Doc. 81–6633 Filed 3–2–81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450–85–M

[Docket Nos. ER81–130–000 and ER81–139–000]

Appalachian Power Co.; Order Denying Rehearing

Issued: February 25, 1981.

On November 20, 1980, Appalachian Power Company (APCO) tendered for filing in Docket No. ER81–130–000 revised rates for its wholesale customers proposed to become effective February 1, 1981. On November 26, 1980, APCO tendered for filing in Docket No. ER81–139–000 revised rates for Kingsport Power Company proposed to become effective February 1, 1981. By order issued January 16, 1981, the Commission accepted the rates for filing and suspended them for one day, to become effective on February 2, 1981, subject to refund. The Commission consolidated the dockets for purposes of hearing and decision and initiated price squeeze procedures.

On January 26, 1981, the Cities of Bedford, Danville, Martinsville, Radford, Richlands, and Salem, Virginia and the Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University (Cities) filed a motion requesting rehearing of the Commission's order of January 16, 1981.[1] The Cities ask the Commission to reconsider its decision to order a one day suspension and to order in its place suspension for the maximum five months, to summarily dispose of issues which they contend conflict with clear Commission precedent, and to order expedited consideration of this proceeding and, particularly, the price squeeze issue.

The Cities argue that the existence of a price squeeze and the magnitude of the rate increase requested warrant suspension for the maximum five months. As we stated in our order of January 16, 1981, our preliminary analysis indicated that the proposed rate increase might not yield excessive revenues and, thus, that it would be inequitable to order suspension for five months.

Allegations of price squeeze are often raised in protests, although they may never be pursued through hearing. The determination that a price squeeze exists requires a careful comparison of the wholesale rates which would be considered just and reasonable, but for a price squeeze, with the relevant retail rates. In addition, the costs of serving the various customer classes must be considered as well. We believe that it would be inequitable to a utility, except in extraordinary circumstances, to prejudge the existence of a price squeeze and to determine on the basis of preliminary pleadings that an otherwise appropriate suspension period should be modified solely on the basis of unproven allegations of price squeeze.

The Cities also argue that the Commission has failed to fully explain the reasons for ordering a day suspension. Our order of January 16, 1981 clearly stated that the one day

[4] *E.g., Boston Edison Co.*, Docket No. ER80–508 (August 29, 1980) (five month suspension); *Alabama Power Co.*, Docket Nos. ER80–506, *et al.* (August 29, 1980) (one day suspension); *Cleveland Electric Illuminating Co.*, Docket No. ER80–488 (August 22, 1980) (one day suspension).

[1] On January 19, 1981, the Cities filed a supplement to their petition to intervene. On February 17, 1981, the Cities filed a petition and sworn affidavits in further support of their motion for rehearing. APCO responded to the motion for rehearing and the supplement to the petition to intervene on February 11, 1981.

suspension was being ordered to insure refund protection for APCO's customers in light of the substantive questions rasied by the Cities, although a preliminary analysis of the proposed rates indicated that they may not yield excessive revenues. The order further stated that to require suspension for the maximum five months when APCO's rates may not yield excessive revenues would be unfair to APCO.

Upon consideration of the request for summary disposition, the Commission finds no new facts or arguments which would warrant reconsideration of its earlier order. With respect to the request for expedited treatment, the Commission notes that undue delay should never be sanctioned. The specifics of scheduling any proceeding, however, is a matter best left to the sound discretion of the presiding judge; the presiding judge will generally be more able to determine what schedule will most successfully bring a proceeding to a swift and just conclusion.

The Commission orders:

(A) The motion for rehearing is hereby denied.

(B) The Secretary shall promptly publish this order in the **Federal Register.**

By the Commission.

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 81-6744 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-85-M

[Docket No. OR81-1-000, SP81-9-000]

Association of Oil Pipe Lines; Order Extending ICC Special Permission No. 76-2730

Issued: February 11, 1981.

The Interstate Commerce Commission (ICC) on February 13, 1976, issued an order in ICC Special Permission Docket No. 76-2730 which grants oil pipeline companies special permission to cancel tariffs under suspension or postponement, subject to certain conditions. On November 13, 1980, the Association of Oil Pipe Lines (AOPL) requested that the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) extend the effectiveness of ICC Special Permission No. 76-2730 which is due to expire on February 13, 1981.

The AOPL supports its request for extension of ICC Special Permission No. 76-2730 by contending that withdrawal and cancellation of suspended or postponed oil pipeline tariff matters, including the termination of any related investigation, will avoid unnecessary and costly proceedings for all concerned and can only be beneficial to the general public interest.

The Commission finds that good cause exists for extending ICC Special Permission No. 76-2730. The Commission will extend the Special Permission for one year (until February 13, 1982) or until the Special Permission is addressed in a final Commission regulation, whichever occurs first. Several technical modifications to the ICC Special Permission No. 76-2730 have been made to reflect the transfer of jurisdiction from the ICC to the Commission.

The Commission orders:

(A) Except as provided in paragraph (B), all common carriers by pipeline in interstate or foreign commerce are hereby authorized to issue, post and file supplements to bound tariffs, and to loose-leaf tariffs as authorized in paragraph (E), or loose-leaf page amendments to loose-leaf tariffs to their respective tariff or tariffs; such supplements or pages to provide for the cancellation of matter under suspension or previously suspended matter which is under postponment, to be made effective prior to the date to which suspended or postponed (whichever is later) and upon not less than 10 days' notice:

Provided that the carrier shall concurrently with the filing of such cancellation, (1) notify all parties of record in the proceeding and the Office of the Secretary of the Commission in Washington, D.C. 20426, by telegram or first class mail, referring to the FERC docket number and to the FERC number of each tariff included in the suspension and to the number of the supplement or revised page(s) effecting the cancellation and the effective date thereof, and (2) certify by first class mail to the Office of the Secretary, that all parties have been notified, and furnish a signed copy of such notification to the Oil Pipeline Board as an attachment to the letter of transmittal accompanying the copies of the publication(s) for official filing.

(B) This permission shall not be used as authority to cancel a suspended or postponed matter:

(1) Where the supplement announcing the suspension as required by 48 CFR 1300.9(k) has not been filed.

(2) Where such cancellation cannot be made effective on or prior to the last day of the suspension or postponement period, or on or before the date a final decision is reached by the Commission, whichever is earlier.

(3) Where a carrier cancels only a portion of the matter in a tariff which is under suspension in a particular FERC docket.

(4) Where there is matter held in force during the period of suspension (or postponement), which matter had been specifically indicated as to be cancelled by the suspended (or postponed) provisions, and this matter is not brought forward without change into the supplement or onto the loose-leaf page amendment (to a loose-leaf tariff) which directs the cancellation of the suspended (or postponed) provisions or into a new tariff concurrently and on the same notice.

(C) The terms of the orders of suspension and 49 CFR 1300.9(k) are hereby modified to the extent necessary to permit the cancellation of suspended matter under authority of this permission, and 49 CFR 1300.9(e) is hereby waived as to supplements to bound tariffs which contain only matter published under authority of this permission and to permit the filing of a supplement to a loose-leaf tariff to the extent authorized in paragraph (E).

(D) Where the matter to be cancelled is in a bound tariff, the supplement issued hereunder providing for the cancellation of suspended (or postponed) matter shall also direct the cancellation of the supplement (if it contains no other matter), item or provisions announcing the suspension or postponement, as the case may be.

(E) Where the matter to be cancelled is in a loose-leaf tariff, the cancellation shall be effected by the issuance of a loose-leaf page amendment or amendments and the cancellation of the supplement announcing the suspension or postponement shall be accomplished by the reissue of the check sheet to the tariff, effective concurrently with the cancellation of the suspended or postponed matter. The cancellation may be accomplished by supplement if a loose-leaf tariff containing only suspended (or postponed) matter is to be cancelled.

(F) No new matter shall be published, nor the effective date of any matter advanced to an earlier date, upon less than statutory notice, under authority of this permission.

(G) All matter published hereunder shall bear the appropriate following notation:

(Where matter is under suspension, not postponement) "Cancellation of suspended matter in FERC Docket No. (here insert docket number) authorized; issued on ten days' notice; FERC Permission No. SP81-9-000."

(Where matter is under postponement) "Cancels matter under postponement in FERC Docket No. (here insert docket number); issued on ten

days' notice; FERC Permission No. SP81–9–000."

(H) Publication of provisions hereunder should comply with the requirements of 49 CFR 1300.8 and 1300.9, including cancellation by notice, except where matter being cancelled is in a tariff that contains only matter under suspension or postponement.

(I) This permission does not, except as expressly indicated, waive or modify any outstanding formal order of the Commission, any of the requirements of its published rules relative to the construction and filing of tariff publications or any of the provisions of the Interstate Commerce Act, nor does it authorize the filing of any publications other than those referred to herein.

(J) This permission shall continue in force and effect to and including February 13, 1982, or until this Special Permission is addressed in a final Commission regulation, whichever occurs first.

By the Commission.

Lois D. Cashell,

Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 81-6745 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-85-M

[Project No. 3492-001]

City of Haines, Oreg.; Application for Preliminary Permit

February 27, 1981.

Take notice that the City of Haines, Oregon (Applicant) filed on December 22, 1980, an application for preliminary permit [pursuant to the Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. 791(a)–825(r)] for proposed Project No. 3492 to be known as Rock Creek Power Project located on Rock Creek in Baker County, Oregon. The application is on file with the Commission and is available for public inspection. Correspondence with the Applicant should be directed to: Honorable Richard H. Camp, Mayor, City of Haines, Haines, Oregon 97833. Any person who wishes to file a response to this notice should read the entire notice and must comply with the requirements specified for the particular kind of response that person wishes to file.

Project Description—The proposed project would consist of: (1) a 40-foot high, 150-foot long concrete gravity dam, just up stream of the existing Haines Diversion Dam of Licensed Project No. 1986, forming; (2) a reservoir with gross storage capacity of 40 acre-feet; (3) a 12,000 foot long penstock leading to; (4) a powerhouse containing a single generating unit with a rated capacity of 15 MW; and (5) appurtenant facilities. The Applicant estimates that the average annual energy output would be 50 million kWh.

Purpose of Project—Project energy would be sold to a private utility.

Proposed Scope and Cost of Studies Under Permit—Applicant has requested an 36-month preliminary permit to prepare a project report, including preliminary designs, and results of geological, hydrological, environmental and economic feasibility studies. Applicant has indicated that: (a) no new roads would be required for conducting the studies; and (b) boring holes would be backfilled, and the ground surface reconditioned to the extent possible.

The cost of the above activities, along with preparation of an environmental impact report, obtaining agreements with the Federal, State, and local agencies, preparing a license application, conducting final field surveys and preparing designs is estimated by the Applicant to be $200,000.

Purpose of Preliminary Permit—A preliminary permit does not authorize construction. A permit, if issued, gives the Permittee, during the term of the permit, the right of priority of application for license while the Permittee undertakes the necessary studies and examinations to determine the engineering, economic, and environmental feasibility of the proposed project, the market for power, and all other information necessary for inclusion in an application for a license.

Agency Comments—Federal, State, and local agencies that receive this notice through direct mailing from the Commission are invited to submit comments on the described application for preliminary permit. (A copy of the application may be obtained directly from the Applicant.) Comments should be confined to substantive issues relevant to the issuance of a permit and consistent with the purpose of a permit as described in this notice. No other formal request for comments will be made. If an agency does not file comments within the time set below, it will be presumed to have no comments.

Competing Applications—Anyone desiring to file a competing application must submit to the Commission, on or before April 10, 1981, either the competing application itself or a notice of intent to file a competing application. Submission of a timely notice of intent allows an interested person to file the competing application no later than June 9, 1981. A notice of intent must conform with the requirements of 18 CFR 4.33 (b) and (c) (1980). A competing application must conform with the requirements of 18 CFR 4.33 (a) and (d) (1980).

Comments, Protests, or Petitions to Intervene—Anyone desiring to be heard or to make any protests about this application should file a petition to intervene or a protest with the Commission, in accordance with the requirements of its Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10 (1980).

Comments not in the nature of a protest may also be submitted by conforming to the procedures specified in § 1.10 for protests. In determining the appropriate action to take, the Commission will consider all protests or other comments filed, but a person who merely files a protest or comments does not become a party to the proceeding. To become a party, or to participate in any hearing, a person must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules. Any comments, protest, or petition to intervene must be received on or before April 10, 1981.

Filing and Service of Responsive Documents—Any comments, notices of intent, competing applications, protests, or petitions to intervene must bear in all capital letters the title "COMMENTS", "NOTICE OF INTENT TO FILE COMPETING APPLICATION", "COMPETING APPLICATION", "PROTEST", or "PETITION TO INTERVENE", as applicable. Any of these filings must also state that it is made in response to this notice of application for preliminary permit for Project No. 3492. Any comments, notices of intent, competing applications, protests, or petitions to intervene must be filed by providing the original and those copies required by the Commission's regulations to: Kenneth F. Plumb, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825, North Capitol Street N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426. An additional copy must be sent to: Fred E. Springer, Chief, Applications Branch, Division of Hydropower Licensing, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Room 208, 400 First Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20426. A copy of any notice of intent, competing application, or petition to intervene must also be served upon each representative of the Applicant specified in the first paragraph of this notice

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 81-6746 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-85-M

[Project No. 3832-000]

City of Martinsville, Virginia; Applicaiton for Preliminary Permit

February 27, 1981.

Take notice that the City of Martinsville, Virginia, (Applicant) filed on December 8, 1980, an application for preliminary permit [pursuant to the Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. 791(a)–825(r)] for proposed Project No. 3832 to be known as the Gathright Dam located at the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' Gathright Dam on the Jackson River in Alleghany County, Virginia. The application is on file with the Commission and is available for public inspection. Correspondence with the applicant should be directed to: Mr. James V. Williamson, R. W. Beck and Associates, 200 Tower Building, 7th Avenue at Olive Way, Seattle, Washington, 98101. Any person who wishes to file a response to this notice should read the entire notice and must comply with the requirements specified for the particular kind of response that person wishes to file.

Project Description—The proposed project would utilize the existing U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' Gathright Dam presently under construction. Project No. 3832 would consist of: (1) a powerhouse containing generating units having a total installed capacity of 13.5 MW; (2) a short tailrace; (3) a 1 to 2-mile long transmission line; and (4) appurtenance facilities.

The Applicant estimates that the averge annual energy output would be 39,500 MWh.

Purpose of Project—The City of Martinsville proposes to utilize the power output of the site, within its existing system, to reduce power purchases from either Appalachian Power Company or Virginia Electric Power Company.

Proposed Scope and Cost of Studies under Permit—The Applicant seeks issuance of a prelimianry permit for a period of 36 months. The Applicant proposes that it would perform data acquisition, investigations, studies, feasibility evaluation, would consult with Federal, State, and local government agencies, and prepare an application for an FERC license, including an environmental report. The Applicant esitmates that the cost of studies under the permit would not exceed $145,000.

Purpose of Preliminary Permit—A preliminary permit does not authorize construction. A permit, if issued, gives the Permittee, during the term of the permit, the right of priority of application for license while the Permittee undertakes the necessary studies and examinations to determine the engineering, economic, and environmental feasibiity of the proposed project, the market for the power, and all other information necessary for inclusion in an application for a license.

Agency Comments—Federal, State, and local agencies that receive this notice through direct mailing from the commission are invited to submit comments on the described application for preliminary permit. (A copy of the application may be obtained directly from the Applicant.) Comments should be confined to substantive issues relevant to the issuance of a permit and consistent with the purpose of a permit as described in this notice. No other formal request for comments will be presumed to have no comments.

Competing Application—This application was filed as a competing application to the Gathright Dam Projects Nos. 3348 and 3422 on the Jackson River, under 18 CFR 4.33 (1980), and therefore, no further competing applications or notices of intent to file a competing application will be accepted for filing.

Comments, Protests, or Petitions to Intervene—Anyone desiring to be heard or to make any protest about this application should file a petition to intervene or a protest with the Commission, in accordance with the requirements of its Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10 (1980). Comments not in the nature of a protest may also be submitted by conforming to the procedures specified in § 1.10 for protests. In determining the appropriate action to take, the Commission will condsider all protests or other comments filed, but a person who merely files a protest or comments does not become a party to the proceeding. To become a party, or to participate in any hearing, a person must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules. Any comments, protest, or petition, to intervene must be filed on or before March 26, 1981.

Filing and Service of Responsive Documents—Any comments, notices of intent, competing applications, protests, or petitions to intervene must bear in all capital letters the title "COMMENTS", "NOTICE OF INTENT TO FILE COMPETING APPLICATION", "COMPETING APPLICATION", "PROTEST", or "PETITION TO INTERVENE", as applicable. Any of these filings must also state that it is made in response to this notice of application for preliminary permit for Project No. 3832. Any comments, notices of intent, competing applications, protests, or petitions to intervene must be filed by providing the original and those copies required by the Commission's regulations to: Kenneth F. Plumb, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426. An additional copy must be sent to Fred E. Springer, Chief, Applications Branch, Division of Hydropower Licensing, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Room 208, 400 First St., NW., Washington, D.C. 20426. A copy of any notice of intent, competing application, application, or petition to intervene must also be served upon each representative of the Applicant specified in the first paragraph of this notice.

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 81-6747 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-85-M

[Project No. 3888-000]

City of Yelm, Washington and Pacific Hydro, Inc.; Application for Preliminary Permit

February 27, 1981.

Take notice that City of Yelm, Washington and Pacific Hydro, Inc. (Applicant) filed on December 18, 1980, an application for preliminary permit [pursuant to the Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. 791(a)–825(r)] for proposed Project No. 3888 to be known as Mud Mountain Dam Project located on White River in King County, Washington. The application is on file with the Commission and is available for public inspection. Correspondence with the Applicant should be directed to: Robert H. Sherman, P.O. Box 572, 14030 Yelm Highway SE., Yelm, Washington 98597. Any person who wishes to file a response to this notice should read the entire notice and must comply with the requirements specified for the particular kind of response that person wishes to file.

Project Description—The proposed project would utilize an existing government dam owned by the United States Army Corps of Engineers and would consist of a tunnel, penstock, and powerplant with a total installed capacity of 25 MW.

The Applicant estimates that the average annual energy output would be 133,000,000 kWh.

Purpose of Project—The power generated by the project would be marketed to the Bonneville Power Administration.

Proposed Scope and Cost of Studies Under Permit—The work proposed under the preliminary permit would include economic anlysis, preparation of

preliminary engineering plans, and a study of environmental impacts. Based on the results of the studies, Applicant would decide to proceed with more detailed studies and the preparation of an application for license to construct and operate the project. Applicant estimates the cost of the studies to be performed under the preliminary permit would be $49,000.

Purpose of Preliminary Permit—A preliminary permit does not authorized construction. A permit, if issued, gives the Permittee, during the term of the permit, the right of priority of application for license while the Permittee undertakes the necessary studies and examinations to determine the engineering, economic, and environmental feasibility of the proposed project, the market for power, and all other information necessary for inclusion in an application for a license.

Agency Comments—Federal, State, and local agencies that receive this notice through direct mailing from the Commission are invited to submit comments on the described application for preliminary permit. (A copy of the application may be obtained directly from the Applicant.) Comments should be confined to substantive issues relevant to the issuance of a permit and consistent with the purpose of a permit as described in this notice. No other formal request for comments will be made. If an agency does not file comments within the time set below, it will be presumed to have no comments.

Competing Applications—This application was filed as a competing application to Mitchell Energy Company, Inc.'s Project No. 3734 submitted on November 11, 1980, under 18 CFR (1980), and, therefore, no further competing applications or notices of intent to file a competing application will be accepted for filing.

Comments, Protests, or Petitions to Intervene—Anyone desiring to be heard or to make any protests about this application should file a petition to intervene or a protest with the Commission, in accordance with the requirements of its Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10 (1980). Comments not in the nature of a protest may also be submitted by conforming to the procedures specified in § 1.10 for protests. In determining the appropriate action to take, the Commission will consider all protests or other comments filed, but a person who merely files a protest or comments does not become a party to the proceeding. To become a party, or to participate in any hearing, a person must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules. Any comments, protest, or petition to intervene must be received on or before March 27, 1981.

Filing and Service of Repsonsive Documents—Any comments, notices of intent, competing applications, protests, or petitions to intervene must bear in all capital letters the title "COMMENTS", "NOTICE OF INTENT TO FILE COMPETING APPLICATION", "COMPETING APPLICATION", "PROTEST", or "PETITION TO INTERVENE", as applicable. Any of these filings must also state that it is made in response to this notice of application for preliminary permit for Project No 3888. Any comments, notices of intent, competing applications, protests, or petitions to intervene must be filed by providing the original and those copies required by the Commission's regulations to: Kenneth F. Plumb, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426. An additional copy must be sent to: Fred E. Springer, Chief, Applications Branch, Division of Hydropower Licensing, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Room 208, 400 First Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20426. A copy of any notice of intent, competing application, or petition to intervene must also be served upon each representative of the Applicant specified in the first paragraph of this notice.

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 81-6748 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-85-M

[Project No. 3898-000]

Cook Electric Co.; Application for Preliminary Permit

February 27, 1981.

Take notice that Cook Electric Company (Applicant) filed on December 22, 1980, an application for preliminary permit [pursuant to the Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. 791(a)–825(r)] for proposed Project No. 3898 to be known as Thief Valley Project located on the Powder River in Union and Baker Counties, Oregon. The application is on file with the Commission and is available for public inspection. Correspondence with the Applicant should be directed to: Mr. Warren P. Chapman, Cook Electric Company, P.O. Box 1071, Twin Falls, Idaho 83301. Any person who wishes to file a response to this notice should read the entire notice and must comply with the requirements specified for the particular kind of response that person wishes to file.

Project Description—The proposed project would consist of: (1) two 50-foot long and 6-foot diameter penstocks through the outlet works of the existing Water and Power Resources Service's 50-foot high concrete Thief Valley Dam; (2) a powerhouse containing two generating units, each rated at 450 kW; and (3) a transmission line. The Applicant estimates that the average annual energy output would be 3.9 million kWh.

Purpose of Project—The energy produced by the project would be sold to a local utility company.

Proposed Scope and Cost of Studies under Permit—Applicant seeks issuance of a preliminary permit for a period of 36 months, during which time it would conduct engineering, environmental, economic, and feasibility studies, and prepare an FERC license application. No new roads would be required to conduct the studies. The cost of the work to be performed under the preliminary permit is estimated to be $40,000.

Purpose of Preliminary Permit—A preliminary permit does not authorize construction. A permit, if issued, gives the Permittee, during the term of the permit, the right of priority of application for license while the Permittee undertakes the necessary studies and examinations to determine the engineering, economic, and environmental feasibility of the proposed project, the market for power, and all other information necessary for inclusion in an application for a license.

Agency Comments—Federal, State, and local agencies that receive this notice through direct mailing from the Commission are invited to submit comments on the described application for preliminary permit. (A copy of the application may be obtained directly from the applicant.) Comments should be confined to substantive issues relevant to the issuance of a permit and consistent with the purpose of a permit as described in this notice. No other formal request for comments will be made. If an agency does not file comments within the time set below, it will be presumed to have no comments.

Competing Applications—Anyone desiring to file a competing application must submit to the Commission, on or before *April 30, 1981*, either the competing application itself or a notice of intent to file a competing application. Submission of a timely notice of intent allows an interested person to file the competing application no later than June

29, 1981. A notice of intent must conform with the requirements of 18 CFR 4.33 (b) and (c) (1980). A competing application must conform with the requirements of 18 CFR 4.33(a) and (d) (1980).

Comments, Protests, or Petitions to Intervene—Anyone desiring to be heard or to make any protests about this application should file a petition to intervene or a protest with the Commission, in accordance with the requirements of its Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10 (1980). Comments not in the nature of a protest may also be submitted by conforming to the procedures specified in § 1.10 for protests. In determining the appropriate action to take, the Commission will consider all protests or other comments filed, but a person who merely files a protest or comments does not become a party to the proceeding. To become a party, or to participate in any hearing, a person must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules. Any comments, protest, or petition to intervene must be received on or before April 30, 1981.

Filing and Service of Responsive Documents—Any comments, notices of intent, competing applications, protests, or petitions to intervene must bear in all capital letters the title "COMMENTS", "NOTICE OF INTENT TO FILE COMPETING APPLICATION", "COMPETING APPLICATION", "PROTEST", or "PETITION TO INTERVENE", as applicable. Any of these filings must also state that it is made in response to this notice of application for preliminary permit for Project No. 3898. Any comments, notices of intent, competing applications, protests, or petitions to intervene must be filed by providing the original and those copies required by the Commission's regulations to: Kenneth F. Plumb, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426. An additional copy must be sent to: Fred E. Springer, Chief, Applications Branch, Division of Hydropower Licensing, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Room 208, 400 First Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20426. A copy of any notice of intent, competing application, or petition to intervene must also be served upon each representative of the Applicant specified in the first paragraph of this notice.

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 81-6749 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-85-M

[Docket No. ER81-283-000]

Edison Sault Electric Co., Proposed Supplement to Electric Service Contract

February 26, 1981.

Take notice that Edison Sault Electric Company (Edison), on February 17, 1981, tendered for filing a Supplement Agreement No. 5 between Edison and Cloverland Electric Cooperative, Inc. (Cloverland), dated November 1, 1980, which agreement will supplement an existing Contract for Electric Service, date February 1, 1977, between the same two parties. The contract between the parties, dated February 1, 1977, has been designated Rate Schedule FERC No. 8 (Docket No. ER77-477). The proposed supplemental agreement provides for a change in the wheeling rate schedule as provided in the contract, dated February 1, 1977, under subsection (d) wheeling change, Section 5, Rate.

Copies of the filing were served upon Cloverland Electric Cooperative, Inc. and the Michigan Public Service Commission.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said agreement, should file a Petition to Intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before March 20, 1981. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a Petition to Intervene. Copies of this agreement are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 81-6759 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-85-M

[Project No. 3992-000]

Enagenics; Application for Preliminary Permit

February 27, 1981.

Take notice that Enagenics (Applicant) filed on January 13, 1981, an application for preliminary permit [pursuant to the Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. 791(a)-825(r)] for proposed East Fork Dam Project No. 3992, to be located at the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' East Fork Dam and Reservoir, a flood control project, on the East Fork of the Little Miami River near Batavia, Clermont County, Ohio. The application is on file with the Commission and is available for public inspection. Correspondence with the Applicant should be directed to: Mr. Thomas H. Clarke, Jr., President, Enagenics, 1727 Q Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20009. Any person who wishes to file a response to this notice should read the entire notice and must comply with the requirements specified for the particular kind of response that person wishes to file.

Project Description—The proposed project would utilize an existing U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' dam and reservoir. Project No. 3992 would consist of: (1) a proposed penstock extending from the outlet works downstream; (2) a proposed powerhouse located on the west bank of the river; (3) transmission lines; and (4) appurtenant facilities. Applicant estimates the capacity of the proposed project to be 14.8 MW, and the annual energy output to be 26.2 GWH.

Purpose of Project—Energy produced at the proposed project would be sold to Cincinnati Power and Light Company.

Proposed Scope and Cost of Studies under Permit—Applicant has requested a 36-month permit to prepare a definitive project report, including preliminary design and economic feasibility studies, hydrological studies, environmental and social studies, and soil and foundation data. The cost of the aforementioned activities along with obtaining agreements with other Federal, State and local agencies is estimated to be $50,000.

Purpose of Preliminary Permit—A preliminary permit does not authorize construction. A permit, if issued, gives the Permittee, during the term of the permit, the right to priority of application for license while the Permittee undertakes the necessary studies and examinations to determine the engineering, economic, and environmental feasibility of the proposed project, the market for power, and all other information necessary for inclusion in an application for a license.

Agency Comments—Federal, State, and local agencies that receive this notice through direct mailing from the Commission are invited to submit comments on the described application for preliminary permit. (A copy of the application may be obtained directly from the Applicant.) Comments should be confined to substantive issues relevant to the issuance of a permit and consistent with the purpose of the permit as described in this notice. No other formal request for comments will be made. If an agency does not file

comments within the time set below, it will be presumed to have no comments.

Competing Applications—This application was filed as a competing application to Continental Hydro Corporation Project No. 3375 on East Fork Dam Project in Batavia, Clermont County, Ohio under 18 CFR 4.33 (1980), and, therefore, no further competing applications or notices of intent to file a competing application will be accepted for filing.

Comments, Protests, or Petitions to Intervene—Anyone desiring to be heard or to make any protests about this application should file a petition to intervene or a protest with the Commission, in accordance with the requirements of its Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10 (1980). Comments not in the nature of a protest may also be submitted by conforming to the procedures specified in § 1.10 for protests. In determining the appropriate action to take, the Commission will consider all protests or comments does not become a party to the proceedings. To become a party, or to participate in any hearing, a person must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules. Any comments, protest, or petition to intervene must be received on or before March 26, 1981.

Filing and Service of Responsive Documents—Any comments, notices of intent, competing applications, protests, or petitions to intervene must bear in all capital letters the title "COMMENTS", "NOTICE OF INTENT TO FILE COMPETING APPLICATION", "COMPETING APPLICTION", "PROTEST", or "PETITION TO INTERVENE", as applicable. Any of these filings must also state that it is made in response to this notice of application for preliminary permit for Project No. 3992. Any comments, notices of intent, competing applications, protests, or petitions to intervene must be filed by providing the original and those copies required by the Commission's regulations to: Kenneth F. Plumb, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426. An additional copy must be sent to: Fred E. Springer, Chief, Applications Branch, Division of Hydropower Licensing, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Room 208, 400 First Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20426. A copy of any notice of intent, competing application, or petition to intervene must also be served upon each representative of the Applicant specified in the first paragraph of this notice.

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 81-6750 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-85-M

[Project No. 3780-000]

Illinois Renewable Resources, Inc. and The City of Shelbyville, Illinois; Application for Preliminary Permit.

February 27, 1981.

Take notice that Illinois Renewable Resources, Inc. and the City of Shelbyville, Illinois (Applicants) jointly submitted on November 25, 1980, an application for preliminary permit [pursuant to the Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. 791(a)–825(r)] for proposed Project No. 3780 to be known as Shelbyville Lake Dam located at the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' Shelbyville Lake Dam, a recreation and flood control project, on the Kaskaskia River in Shelby County near Shelbyville, Illinois. The application is on file with the Commission and is available for public inspection. Correspondence with the Applicant should be directed to: Mr. Jeffrey M. Kossak, Suite 1900, 14 Wall Street, New York, New York 10005. Any person who wishes to file a response to this notice should read the entire notice and must comply with the requirements specified for the particular kind of response that person wishes to file.

Project Description—The proposed project would consist of: (1) a proposed powerhouse; (2) a proposed penstock connected to an existing outlet; (3) a proposed 34.5 kV transmission line extending 400 feet to an existing 34.5 kV line owned by Central Illinois Public Service Company, and (4) appurtenant facilities. Applicant estimates the capacity of their proposed project to be 5.5 MW. The Applicant estimates that the average annual energy output would be 24.3×10^6 kWh.

Purpose of Project—Energy produced by the Applicant would be sold to a public or private utility.

Proposed Scope and Cost of Studies under Permit—Applicant has requested a 36-month permit to prepare a definitive project report, including preliminary design and economic feasibility studies, hydrological studies, environmental and social studies, soils and foundation data. The cost of the aforementioned activities along with obtaining agreement with other Federal, State, and local agencies are estimated to be $55,000.

Purpose of Preliminary Permit—A preliminary permit does not authorize construction. A permit, if issued, gives the Permittee, during the term of the permit, the right of priority of application for license while the Permittee undertakes the necessary studies and examinations to determine the engineering, economic, and environmental feasibility of the proposed project, the market for power, and all other information necessary for inclusion in an application for a license.

Agency Comments—Federal, State, and local agencies that receive this notice through direct mailing from the Commission are invited to submit comments on the described application for preliminary permit. (A copy of the application may be obtained directly from the Applicant.) Comments should be confined to substantive issues relevant to the issuance of a permit and consistent with the purpose of a permit as described in this notice. No other formal request for comments will be made. If an agency does not file comments within the time set below, it will be presumed to have no comments.

Competing Applications—This application was filed as a competing application to Shelbyville Lake Dam Project No. 3520 on November 25, 1980, under 18 CFR 4.33 (1980), and, therefore, no further competing applications or notices of intent to file a competing application will be accepted for filing.

Comments, Protests, or Petitions to Intervene—Anyone desiring to be heard or to make any protests about this application should file a petition to intervene or a protest with the Commission, in accordance with the requirements of its Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10 (1980). Comments not in the nature of a protest may also be submitted by conforming to the procedures specified in § 1.10 for protests. In determining the appropriate action to take, the Commission will consider all protests or other comments filed, but a person who merely files a protest or comments does not become a party to the proceeding. To become a party, or to participate in any hearing, a person must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules. Any comments, protest, or petition to intervene must be received on or before March 25, 1981.

Filing and Service of Responsive Documents—Any comments, notices of intent, competing applications, protests, or petitions to intervene must bear in all capital letters the title "COMMENTS", "NOTICE OF INTENT TO FILE COMPETING APPLICATION", "COMPETING APPLICATION", "PROTEST", or "PETITION TO INTERVENE", as applicable. Any of

these filings must also state that it is made in response to this notice of application for preliminary permit for Project No. 3780. Any comments, notices of intent, competing applications, protests, or petitions to intervene must be filed by providing the original and those copies required by the Commission's regulations to: Kenneth F. Plumb, Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426. An additional copy must be sent to: Fred E. Springer, Chief, Applications Branch, Division of Hydropower Licensing, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Room 208, 400 First Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20426. A copy of any notice of intent, competing application, or petition to intervene must also be served upon each representative of the Applicant specified in the first paragraph of this notice.

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 81-6751 Filed 3-3-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-85-M

Office of Hearings and Appeals

Notice of Cases Filed; Week of February 6 through February 13, 1981

During the week of February 6 through February 13, 1981, the appeals and applications for exception or other relief listed in the Appendix to this Notice were filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals of the Department of Energy.

Under DOE procedural regulations, 10 CFR Part 205, any person who will be aggrieved by the DOE action sought in these cases may file written comments on the application within ten days of service of notice, as prescribed in the procedural regulations. For purposes of the regulations, the date of service of notice is deemed to be the date of publication of this Notice or the date of receipt by an aggrieved person of actual notice, whichever occurs first. All such comments shall be filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals, Department of Energy, Washington, D.C. 20461.

George B. Breznay,

Director, Office of Hearings and Appeals.

February 24, 1981.

List of Cases Received by the Office of Hearings and Appeals

[Week of February 6 through February 13, 1981]

Date	Name and location of applicant	Case No.	Type of submission
Feb. 6, 1981	Adams Oil Company, Charlottesville, Virginia	BRS-0141	Request for Stay. If Granted: Adams Oil Company would receive a stay of the March 7, 1978 Modified Remedial Order, as amended, pending Adams Oil Company's Petition for Review to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission regarding the January 7, 1981 Decision and Order (Case No. FEE-4816) issued by the Office of Hearings and Appeals.
Feb. 9, 1981	City of Columbus, Ohio, Columbus, Ohio	BEE-1623	Exception from the Entitlements Program. If Granted: The City of Columbus, Ohio would receive an exception from the provisions of 10 C.F.R. § 211.67 which would modify the firm's entitlement sales obligations.
Feb. 9, 1981	Conoco, Inc., Houston, Texas	BEA-0601	Appeal of Assignment Order. If Granted: The August 7, 1979 Assignment Order issued to Conoco, Inc. by the Economic Regulatory Administration, Region VI, regarding Conoco's supply obligations to Jones & Brown Enterprises, Inc. would be rescinded.
Feb. 9, 1981	Gulf States Oil & Refining Company Washington, D.C.	BEL-1616	Temporary Exception from the Entitlements Program. If Granted: Gulf States Oil & Refining Company would receive a temporary exception from the provisions of 10 C.F.R. § 211.67 which would modify its entitlements purchase obligations.
Feb. 9, 1981	Koch Industries, Inc./West Side Dist. Co., Washington, D.C.	BRF-0185	Motion for Protective Order. If Granted: Koch Industries, Inc. would enter into a Protective Order with West Side Dist. Co regarding the exchange of proprietary information.
Feb. 9, 1981	Oklahoma Refining Company, Washington, D.C.	BEL-0925	Temporary Exception from the Entitlements Program. If Granted: Oklahoma Refining Company would receive a temporary exception from the provisions of 10 C.F.R. § 211.67 which would its entitlements purchase obligations.
Feb. 9, 1981	Williams & Sons Enterprises, Lake Shall Knob, Missouri	BRX-0158	Request for Supplemental Order. If Granted: The finalization of the Proposal Remedial Order issued to Williams & Sons Enterprises (Case No. BRW-0073) would be rescinded.
Feb. 10, 1981	341 Tract Unit (Citronelle Field), Washington, D.C.	BEX-0159	Request for Supplemental Order. If granted: The December 31, 1980 Decision and Order (Case No. BEN-0078) issued to 341 Tract (Citronelle Field) by the Office of Hearings and Appeals would be modified.
Feb. 10, 1981	Chas. L. Feltus, Chamblee, Georgia	BFA-0603	Appeal of Information Request Denial. If granted: The January 15 and January 16, 1981 Information Request Denials issued by the Personnel Officer and Regional Representative of Region IV would be rescinded and Chas. L. Feltus would receive access to certain DOE personnel information.
Feb. 10, 1981	Chevron U.S.A., Inc. San Francisco, California	BEE-1632	Price Exception. If granted: Chevron U.S.A., Inc. would receive an exception from the provisions of 10 C.F.R. Part 212, regarding the treatment of the costs of imported crude oil on a "loaded basis" or "landed basis".
Feb. 10, 1981	Gulf Oil Corporation Washington, D.C.	BRZ-0083	Motion for Interlocutory Order. If granted: The Office of Hearings and Appeals would enter an order dismissing or remanding for further audit the Proposed Remedial Order issued to Gulf Oil Corporation on May 1, 1979.
Feb. 10, 1981	Gulf Oil Corporation, Washington, D.C.	BRZ-0084	Motion for Interlocutory Order. If granted: The Office of Hearings and Appeals would defer its consideration on the "injection well" issue in Case No. DRO-0194, pending the final resolution of that issue in litigation currently before the United States District Court for the District of Kansas.
Feb. 10, 1981	Independent Documentary Group, San Francisco, California.	BFA-0602	Appeal of an Information Request Denial. If granted: The January 23, 1981, Information Request Denial issued by the Idaho Operations Office would be rescinded, and the Independent Documentary Group would receive access to photographs of the three bodies of the victims of the January 3, 1961 SL-1 Accident.
Feb. 10, 1981	Navajo Refining Company, Washington, D.C.	BER-0103	Request for Modification. If granted: The January 27, 1981, Decision and Order (Case No. BXE-1356) issued to Navajo Refining Company by the Office of Hearings and Appeals would be modified regarding the firm's entitlements purchase obligations.
Feb. 10, 1981	Southwestern Refining Co., Inc., Washington, D.C.	BEX-0160	Request for Supplemental Order. If granted: Southwestern Refining Co., Inc. would receive an exception from the provisions of 10 C.F.R. § 211.67 which would modify its entitlements purchase obligations.
Feb. 11, 1981	Allied Materials Corporation, Washington, D.C.	BED-0092	Motion for Discovery. If granted: Discovery would be granted to Allied Materials Corporation in connection with the firm's Petition for Special Redress (Case No. BEG-0044) regarding allocations to the Buy/Sell Program.
Feb. 11, 1981	Energy Cooperative, Inc., Chicago, Illinois	BEX-0161	Request for Supplemental Order. If granted: The January 19, 1981 Decision and Order (Case No. BEE-1298) issued to Energy Cooperative, Inc. by the Office of Hearings and Appeals would be modified regarding entitlements exception.

List of Cases Received by the Office of Hearings and Appeals—Continued

[Week of February 6 through February 13, 1981]

Date	Name and location of applicant	Case No.	Type of submission
Feb. 11, 1981	Exxon Company, U.S.A., Washington, D.C.	BFA-0604	Appeal of an Information Request Denial. If granted: The January 9, 1981, Information Request Denial issued by the Office of General Counsel would be rescinded, and Exxon Company would receive access to the Owens documents.
Feb. 11, 1981	Ergon Refining, Inc., Washington, D.C.	BEN-1620	Request for Interim Order. If granted: Ergon Refining, Inc. would receive exception relief on an interim basis pending a final determination on its Application for Exception (Case No. BEE-1620).
Feb. 11, 1981	Fletcher Oil and Refining Company, Washington, D.C.	BEN-1611	Request for Interim Order. If granted: Fletcher Oil and Refining Company would receive exception relief on an interim basis pending a final determination on its Application for Exception (Case No. BEE-1611).
Feb. 11, 1981	Gary Energy Corporation, Denver, Colorado	BRS-1344	Request for Stay. If granted: Gary Energy Corporation would receive a stay of the proceedings regarding the November 12, 1980, Proposed Remedial Order issued by the Office of Enforcement, Rocky Mountain District, pending final determination on its Statement of Objections (Case No. BRO-1344).
Feb. 11, 1981	Office of Enforcement (Lewtex), Washington, D.C.	BER-0033	Implementation of Special Refund Procedures. If granted: The Office Hearings and Appeals would implement Special Refund Procedures pursuant to 10 C.F.R., Part 205, in connection with July 31, 1979 Consent Order issued to Lewtex Oil and Gas Corporation.
Feb. 12, 1981	341 Tract Unit (Citronelle Field)/Cities Services *et. al.*, Washington, D.C.	BEJ-0186	Motion for Protective Order. If granted: 341 Tract Unit (Citronelle Field) would enter into a Protective Order with Cities Service *et. al.* regarding the exchange of proprietary information.
Feb. 12, 1981	Apex Oil Company, Washington, D.C.	BFA-0605	Appeal of an Information Request Denial. If granted: The January 7, 1981 Information Request Denial issued by the Office of General Counsel would be rescinded, and Apex Oil Company would receive access to certain DOE information.
Feb. 12, 1981	Brennan Oil and Heating Co., Inc., Providence, Rhode Island.	BEE-1629	Exception to the reporting requirements. If granted: Brennan Oil and Heating Co., Inc. would not be required to file Form EIA-9A ("No. 2 Distillates Price Monitoring Report").
Feb. 12, 1981	Capell, Howard, Knobe and Cobbs, Washington, D.C.	BFA-0607	Appeal of an Information Request Denial. If granted: The January 8, 1981 Information Request Denial issued by Manager of the Idaho Operations Office, DOE, would be rescinded, and Capell, Howard, Knobe and Cobbs would receive access to agency records relating to RFP No. RP07-81ID12175 for security protective services for DOE facilities at the Idaho National Engineering Laboratory and at Idaho Falls and Raft River, Idaho.
Feb. 12, 1981	Hydrotherm, Inc., Stanford, Connecticut	BES-1627	Request for Stay. If granted: Hydrotherm, Inc. would receive a stay of the provisions of 10 CFR Part 430 regarding the energy efficiency test of its new pulse combustion boiler.
Feb. 12, 1981	Office of Enforcement (Palo Pinto), Washington, D.C.	BEF-0034	Implementation of Special Refund Procedures. If granted: The Office of Hearings and Appeals would implement Special Refund Procedures pursuant to 10 C.F.R., Part 205, in connection with the June 28, 1979, Consent Order entered into between Office of Enforcement and Palo Pinto Oil & Gas Company.
Feb. 12, 1981	Stephen M. Shaw, La Jolla, California	BFA-0606	Appeal of an Information Request Denial. If granted: The January 22, 1981 Information Request Denial issued by the Director of Division of the FOI and Privacy Acts Activities would be rescinded, and Stephen M. Shaw would receive access to certain DOE information.
Feb. 13, 1981	DOW Chemical USA/Marathon Oil Co., Freeport, Texas	BEJ-0187	Motion for Protective Order. If granted: Dow Chemical USA would enter into a protective order with Marathon Oil Company regarding the exchange of proprietary information in connection with DOW Chemcal's Application for Exception (Case No. BXE-1520).

List of Cases Involving the Standby Petroleum Product Allocation Regulations for Motor Gasoline

[Week of February 6, 1981 Through February 13, 1981]

If granted: The following firms would be granted relief which would increase their base period allocation of motor gasoline.

Name	Case No. and date	State
School Board of St. Lucie County, Fla.	BXE-1630, 2/9/81	Florida.
White Mountain Apache Enterprise.	BEE-1631, 2/9/81	Arizona.

Notices of Objection Received

[Week of February 6, 1981 Through February 13, 1981]

Date	Name and location of applicant	Case No.
2/2/81	Woolly Fuel Co., Maplewood, N.J.	BEE-1538
2/6/81	Southern Fuel Co., Baltimore, Md.	BEE-1530
2/6/81	Twin-Tech Oil Co., Washington, D.C.	DXE-0069
2/6/81	Twin-Tech Oil Co., Washington, D.C.	DEX-0212
2/6/81	Ireland Transport & Storage Co., Ketchikan, Alaska.	BEE-1552
2/10/81	Navajo Refining Co., Houston, Tex.	DEX-0122
2/10/81	JaMar Oil Distributors, Denver, Colo.	BEE-1553
2/12/81	Sentry Refining, Inc., Washington, D.C.	BEE-1339
2/13/81	Bachelder Oil Co., Athol, Mass.	BEE-1543
2/13/81	Northland Oil & Refining Co., Tulsa, Okla.	DXE-2539
2/13/81	Northland Oil & Refining Co., Tulsa, Okla.	DEE-1892
2/13/81	Northland Oil & Refining Co., Tulsa, Okla.	DEE-0934

[FR Doc. 81-6678 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[OPP-180568; PH-FRC1767-8]

California; Issuance of Specific Exemption for Carbofuran on Grapes

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: EPA has issued a specific exemption to the California Department of Food and Agriculture (hereafter referred to as the "Applicant") for the use of carbofuran to control rootknot and dragger nematodes on a maximum of 645,000 acres or established grapes in California. The specific exemption is issued under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act.

DATE: The specific exemption expires on February 28, 1981.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Edward Gross, Registration Division (TS767), Office of Pesticide Programs,

Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. 509C, CM#2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA, 22202, (203–557–7123).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Applicant reports that all the major grape-growing areas in California are infested with root-knot (*Meloidogyne* spp.) and dagger (*Xiphinema* spp.) nematodes. The nematodes attack the grape vines, destroying the plant roots. The Applicant states that no pesticides are registered for use against nematodes on grapes and that, although nematode-resistant root stocks have been developed, replanting is impractical on a statewide basis. The present danger has arisen, the Applicant claims, because the nematocide DBCP had not been available since 1977 when its registration was cancelled. The Applicant estimates that California grape growers may lose $118 million if carbofuran is not used. Data indicate that carbofuran will control nematodes and increase grape yield.

The Applicant proposed to make a single treatment using Furadan 10G which contains the active ingredient (a.i.) carbofuran. A dosage rate of 10 pounds a.i. per acre will be applied by ground equipment and a preharvest interval of 200 days will be observed.

EPA has determined that residues of carbofuran and its metabolites from the proposed use would not exceed 0.4 part per million (ppm) in or on grapes (of which no more than 0.2 ppm is carbamate), 6 ppm in or on raisin waste (of which no more than 3 ppm are carbamates), 2ppm in or on grape pomace (of which no more than 1.5 ppm are carbamates), and 2 ppm in or on raisins (of which no more than 1 ppm is carbamate). These levels have been judged adequate to protect the public health. EPA has also determined that the proposed use should not present an undue hazard to the environment.

After reviewing the application and other available information, EPA has determined that the criteria for an exemption have been met. Accordingly, the Applicant has been granted a specific exemption to use the pesticide noted above until February 28, 1981, to the extent and in the manner set forth in the application. The specific exemption is also subject to the following conditions:

1. The product Furadan 10G, EPA Reg. No. 279–2712, may be used.

2. A maximum of 1 application per season may be made.

3. Furadan may be applied at a rate of 100 pounds of product (10 pounds a.i.) per acre.

4. Application may be made using ground equipment.

5. Application will be made by or under the direct supervision of State-certified applicators.

6. A maximum of 645,000 acres of grapes may be treated.

7. A total of 6.45 million pounds a.i. is authorized.

8. No application may be made within 200 days of harvest.

9. Carbofuran must not be applied directly to any boby of water, and drift reduction precautions must be observed. The pesticide must not be applied where excessive runoff is likely to occur. Care must be taken to prevent contamination of water by the cleaning of equipment or disposal of wastes or excess pesticides.

10. Residue levels of carbofuran resulting from the above use are not expected to exceed 0.4 ppm in or on graped (of which no more than 0.2 ppm is carbamate), 2 ppm in or on grape pomace (of which no more than 1.5 ppm are carbamates), 6 ppm in or on raisin waste (of which no more than 3 ppm are carbamates), and 2 ppm in or on raisins (of which no more than 1 ppm is carbamate). Grapes, grape pomace, raisins, and raisin waste with residue levels not exceeding these levels may enter interstate commerce. The Food and Drug Administration, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, has been advised of this action.

11. The EPA shall be immediately informed of any adverse effects resulting from the use of carbofuran in connection with this exemption.

12. The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that all of the provisions of this specific exemption are met and must submit a final report summarizing the results of this program by May 1, 1981. Included in this report should be a study of all bird kills as determined by a walk-through survey by qualified personnel conducted in rows near field edges abutting desirable bird habitats or areas of known bird activity. The study should be conducted on the first day of application and 2 days afterward.

(Sec. 18 as amended 92 Stat. 819; (7 U.S.C. 136)).

Dated: February 18, 1981.

Edwin L. Johnson,

Deputy Assistant Administrator for Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. 81-6709 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-32-M

[OPTS-51228; TSH-FRL 1767-4]

Certain Chemicals; Premanufacture Notices

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Section 5(a)(1) of the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) requires any person who intends to manufacture or import a new chemical substance to submit a premanufacture notice (PMN) to EPA at least 90 days before manufacture or import commences. Section 5(d)(2) requires EPA to publish in the **Federal Register** certain information about each PMN within 5 working days after receipt. This Notice announces receipt of four PMN's and provides a summary of each.

DATES: Written comments by:

PMN 81–26—March 22, 1981.
PMN 81–31—March 23, 1981.
PMN 81–33, 81–34—March 27, 1981.

ADDRESS: Written comments to: Document Control Officer (TS–793), Management Support Division, Office of Pesticides and Toxic Substances, Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. E–401, 401 M St. SW., Washington, DC 20460, (202–426–2610).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

For PMN No., Notice Manager, Telephone, and Room No.

80–26—Wendy Cleland-Hamnet (202–426–2601), E–206
80–31—David Dull (202–426–2601), E–208
81–33, 81–34—Cynthia Work (202–426–2601), E–206

Mail address of notice managers: Chemical Control Division (TS–794), Office of Toxic Substances, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St. SW., Washington, DC 20460.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 5(a)(1) of TSCA [90 Stat. 2012 (15 U.S.C. 2604)], requires any person who intends to manufacture or import a new chemical substance to submit a PMN to EPA at least 90 days before manufacture or import commences. A "new" chemical substance is any substance that is not on the Inventory of existing substances compiled by EPA under section 8(b) of TSCA. EPA first published the Initial Inventory on June 1, 1979. Notices of availability of the Inventory were published in the **Federal Register** of May 15, 1979 (44 FR 28558-Initial) and July 29, 1980 (45 FR 50444-Revised). The requirement to submit a PMN for new (45 FR 505444-Revised). The requirement to submit a PMN for new chemical substances manufactured

or imported for commercial purposes became effective on July 1, 1979.

EPA has proposed premanufacture notification rules and forms in the **Federal Register** issues of January 10, 1979 (44 FR 2242) and October 16, 1979 (44 FR 59764). These regulations, however, are not yet in effect. Interested persons should consult the Agency's Interim Policy published in the **Federal Register** of May 15, 1979 (44 FR 28564) for guidance concerning premanufacture notification requirements prior to the effective date of these rules and forms. In particular, see page 28567 of the Interim Policy.

A PMN must include the information listed in section 5(d)(1) of TSCA. Under section 5(d)(2) EPA must publish in the **Federal Register** nonconfidential information on the identity and use(s) of the substance, as well as a description of any test data submitted under section 5(b). In addition, EPA has decided to publish a description of any test data submitted with the PMN and EPA will publish the identity of the submitter unless this information is claimed confidential.

Publication of the section 5(d)(2) notice is subject to section 14 concerning disclosure of confidential information. A company can claim confidentiality for any information submitted as part of a PMN. If the company claims confidentiality for the specific chemical identity or use(s) of the chemical, EPA encourages the submitter to provide a generic use description, a nonconfidential description of the potential exposures from use, and a generic name for the chemical. EPA will publish the generic name, the generic uses), and the potential exposure descriptions in the **Federal Register**.

If no generic use description or generic name is provided, EPA will develop one and after providing due notice to the submitter, will publish an amended **Federal Register** notice. EPA immediately will review confidentiality claims for chemical identity, chemical use, the identity of the submitter, and for health and safety studies. If EPA determines that portions of this information are not entitled to confidential treatment, the Agency will publish an amended notice and will place the information in the public file, after notifying the submitter and complying with other applicable procedures.

After receipt, EPA has 90 days to review a PMN under section 5(a)(1). The section 5(d)(2) **Federal Register** notice indicates the date when the review period ends for each PMN. Under section 5(c), EPA may, for good cause, extend the review period for up to an additional 90 days. If EPA determines that an extension is necessary, it will publish a notice in the **Federal Register**.

Once the review period ends, the submitter may manufacture the substance unless EPA has imposed restrictions. When the submitter begins to manufacture the substance, he must report to EPA, and the Agency will add the substance to the Inventory. After the substance is added to the Inventory, any company may manufacture it without providing EPA notice under section 5(a)(1)(A).

Therefore, under the Toxic Substances Control Act, summaries of the data taken from the PMN's are published herein.

Interested persons may, on or before the dates shown under "DATES", submit to the Document Control Officer (TS–793), Management Support Division, Office of Pesticides and Toxic Substances, Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. E–401, 401 M St. SW., Washington, DC 20460, written comments regarding these notices. Three copies of all comments shall be submitted, except that individuals may submit single copies of comments. The comments are to be identified with the document control number "[OPTS–51228]" and the specific PMN number. Comments received may be seen in Rm. E–106 at the above office between 8:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays.

(Sec. 5, 90 Stat. 2012 (15 U.S.C. 2604)).

Dated: February 23, 1981.

Edward A. Klein,

Director, Chemical Control Division.

PMN 81–26

The following information is taken from data submitted by the manufacturer in the PMN.

Close of Review Period. April 21, 1981.

Manufacturer's Identity. Abcor, Inc., 850 Main St., Wilmington, MA 01887.

Specific Chemical Identity. Claimed confidential business information. Generic name provided: Polyfluorohydrocarbonmethacrylic copolymer.

Use. Modules in ultrafiltration or reverse osmosis equipment.

Production Estimates. Claimed confidential business information.

Physical/Chemical Properties. Non-volatile. Insoluble in water.

Toxicity Data. No data were submitted.

Exposure. The manufacturer states that there will be no worker exposure at user sites because the PMN substance has no measurable vapor pressure and is insoluble in any of the solvents used in the process.

Environmental Release/Disposal. The manufacturer states that during manufacture, very small amounts of the PMN substance will be released into the waters of a POTW. Unused product containing the PMN substance will be returned to manufacturer for disposal according to RCRA guidelines.

PMN–81–31

The following information is taken from data submitted by the manufacturer in the PMN.

Close of Review Period. April 22, 1981.

Manufacturer's Identity. E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Co., Inc., 1007 Market Street, Wilmington, DE 19898.

Specific Chemical Identity. Claimed confidential business information. Generic name provided: Polyurethane polyacrylic block polymer.

Use. The manufacturer states that the PMN substance will be used as an isolated intermediate.

Production Estimates. No data were submitted.

Physical/Chemical Properties. No data were submitted.

Toxicity Data. No data were submitted.

Exposure. The manufacturer states that during manufacture, four workers will be exposed to the new substance through skin contact 8 hr/da, 1 da/yr.

Environmental Release/Disposal. The manufacturer states that there will be a minimal and incidental disposal of the new substance as the wash solvent is recovered and recycled; solid waste is incinerated.

PMN 81–33

The following information is taken from data submitted by the manufacturer in the PMN.

Close of Review Period. April 26, 1981.

Manufacturer's Identity. Synalloy Corporation, Blackman Uhler Chemical Division, PO Box 5627, Spartanburg, SC 29304.

Specific Chemical Identity. Claimed confidential business information. Generic name provided: Tetrahydroacridinone.

Use. Chemical intermediate.

Import/Production Estimates

	Kilograms per year	
	Minimum	Maximum
First year	0	454
Second year	454	4,540
Third year	454	4,540

Physical/Chemical Properties

Melting point—Melting point—366°–368° C.

Solubility—insoluble in water.

Toxicity Data

Acute oral toxicity (male rats)—very low toxicity.

Primary skin irritation (albino guinea pigs)—non-irritant.

Inhalation: approximate lethal concentration (male rats)—10.38 mg/l.

Mutagenicity (Salmonella typhimurium)—mutagenic.

Eye irritation (albino rabbits)—mild irritant.

Exposure. The manufacturer states that during manufacture two workers will be exposed to the new substance through skin contact 2 hr/da, 10 da/yr.

Environmental Release/Disposal. The submitter states that there will be no release of the new chemical into the environment.

PMN 81–34

The following information is taken from data submitted by the manufacturer in the PMN.

Close of Review Period. April 26, 1981.

Manufacturer's Identity. Synalloy Corporation, Blackman Uhler Chemical Division, PO Box 5627, Spartanburg, SC 29304.

Specific Chemical Identity. Claimed confidential business information. Generic name provided: Acridinone.

Use. Pigment component for plastics and paint.

Production Estimates.

	Kilograms per year	
	Minimum	Maximum
First year	0	454
Second year	454	4,540
Third year	454	4,540

Physical/Chemical Properties.

Non-volatile.

Insoluble.

Toxicity Data. The manufacturer states that the PMN substance is non-mutagenic, shows low acute oral and inhalation toxicity, produces no skin irritation or sensitization, and mild eye irritation.

Exposure

Activity and exposure route(s)	Maximum Number Exposed	Maximum duration		Concentration
Peak		Hour per day	Day per year	Average
Manufacture: Dermal	2	2	10	
Process or use:				
Dermal	6	2	15	
Inhalation		2	15	

Environmental Release/Disposal. The manufacturer states that there will be no environmental release of the PMN substance at the manufacture site. At a user's site, environmental release will be minimal and incidental. Off-quality material will be disposed of in a chemical landfill.

[FR Doc. 81–6713 Filed 3–2–81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–01

[PF–216; PH-FRC 1765–7]

Certain Pesticide Chemicals; Filing of Pesticide and Food Additive Petitions

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice announces that certain companies have filed pesticide and food additive petitions for certain pesticides in or on certain raw agricultural commodities.

ADDRESS: Written comments to the product manager cited in each petition at the address below: Registration Division (TS–767C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St. SW., Washington, D.C. 20460.

Written comments may be submitted while a petition is pending before the agency. The comments are to be identified by the document control number "[PF–216]" and the specific petition number. All written comments filed pursuant to this notice will be available for public inspection in the product manager's office from 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The designated product manager cited in each petition at the telephone number provided.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: EPA gives notice that the following pesticide petitions and food additive petitions have been submitted to the agency to establish pesticide tolerances and food additive regulations on certain raw agricultural commodities in accordance with the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act. The analytical method for determining residues, where required, is given in each specific petition.

PP 1F2451. Mobay Chemical Corp., PO Box 4913, Kansas City, MO 64120. Proposes amending 40 CFR 180.387 by establishing a tolerance for the combined residues of the insecticide 1-methylethyl 2-((ethoxy((1-methylethyl)amino) phosphinothioyl)oxy)benzoate and its cholinesterase-inhibiting metabolites 1-methylethyl 2-((ethoxy((1-methylethyl)amino)phosphinoyl)oxy) benzoate, 1-methylethyl 2-((ethoxy(1-amino)phosphinoyl)oxy)benzoate and 1-methylethyl 2-((ethoxy(1-amino)phosphinothioyl)oxy)benzoate in or on the dry bulb of onions at 1.0 part per million (ppm). The proposed analytical method for determining residues is a gas liquid chromatographic method with thermionic detection. (PM 16, William H. Miller, 703–557–7040).

FAP 1H5285. Stauffer Chemical Co., 1200 S. 47th St., Richmond, CA 94804. Proposes amending 21 CFR 193.156 by establishing a regulation permitting the combined residues of the insecticide *0,0*-dimethyl *0*-(4-nitro-*m*-tolyl) phosphorothioate and its metabolites *0,0*-dimethyl *0*-(4-nitro-*m*-tolyl) phosphate and 3-methyl-4-nitrophenol for all food items in food service, manufacturing, or processing establishments at 0.5 part per million.

The fenitrothion may be present as a residue from applications of the insecticide fenitrothion in food handling establishments such as restaurants, cafeterias, supermarkets, bakeries, breweries, dairies, meat slaughtering and packing plants, and canneries in accordance with the following prescribed conditions:

(a) Application shall be limited solely to spot and/or crack and crevice treatment in food handling establishments where food and food products are held, processed, prepared, or served. Spray concentration shall be limited to a maximum of 1.0 percent active ingredient. For crack and crevice treatments, equipment capable of delivering a pin-stream of insecticide shall be used. For spot treatments, a coarse, low-pressure spray shall be used to avoid atomization or splashing of the spray. Contamination of food and food-contact surfaces shall be avoided.

(b) To assure safe use of the insecticide, its label and labeling shall conform to that registered by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, and it shall be used in accordance with such label and labeling. (PM 16, William H. Miller, 703–557–7040).

PP 9F2243. FMC Corp., 2000 Market St., Philadelphia, PA 19103. Proposes

amending 40 CFR 180.378 by establishing tolerances for residues of the insecticide permethrin, (3-phenoxyphenyl)methyl 3-(2,2-dichloroethenyl)-2,2-dimethyl-cyclopropanecarboxylate) in or on the following raw agricultural commodities:

Commodities	Parts per million
Animal fat	2.0
Lettuce	20.0
Meat and meat byproducts of cattle, goats, hogs, horses, and sheep	.1
Milk	.2
Tomatoes	1.0

The proposed analytical method for determining residues is gas liquid chromatography. (PM 17, Franklin D. R. Gee, 703–557–7028).

FAP 9H5234. FMC Corp., 2000 Market St., Philadelphia, PA 19103. Proposes amending 21 CFR Part 193 by establishing a regulation permitting residues of the insecticide permethrin, (3-phenoxyphenyl)methyl 3-(2,2-dichloroethenyl)-2,2-dimethyl-cyclopropanecarboxylate) on the commodity tomato pomace at 160 parts per million. (PM 17, Franklin D. R. Gee, 703–557–7040).

(Secs. 408(d)(1), 68 Stat. 512, (7 U.S.C. 136); 409(b)(5), 72 Stat. 1786, (21 U.S.C. 348)).

Dated: February 18, 1981.

Douglas D. Campt,

Director, Registration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. 81-6699 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-32-M

[PF-214; PH-FRL 1765-6]

Certain Pesticide Chemicals; Filing of Food Additive Petitions

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice announces that the Interregional Research Project No. 4 (IR–4) has filed requests with the EPA to establish a food additive regulation concerning the use of carbon dioxide, nitrogen, and combustion product gas in or on all processed agricultural commodities when used postharvest as an insecticide.

ADDRESS: Written comments and inquiries should be directed to: Clinton Fletcher, Registration Division (TS–767C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St. SW., Washington, D.C. 20460.

Written comments may be submitted while the petitions are pending before the agency. The comments are to be identified by the document control number "[PF–214]" and the specific petition number. All written comments filed pursuant to this notice will be available for public inspection in the office of Clinton Fletcher from 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Clinton Fletcher (703–557–7123).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: EPA gives notice that the Interregional Research Project No. 4 (IR–4), New Jersey Agricultural Experimental Station, P.O. Box 231, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, N.J. 08903, has filed food additive petitions FAP OH5271, OH5273, and OH5274 with the EPA in accordance with the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, on behalf of the IR–4 Technical Committee and the Agricultural Experiment Stations of Oregon and Washington.

These petitions propose that 21 CFR Part 193 be amended by establishing a food additive regulation concerning the use of carbon dioxide, nitrogen, and combustion product gas in or on all processed agricultural commodities when used postharvest as an insecticide.

(Sec. 409(b)(5), 72 Stat. 1786, (21 U.S.C. 348))

Dated: February 18, 1981.

Douglas D. Campt,

Director, Registration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. 81-6700 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-32-M

[OPP-C30196; PH-FRL 1766-3]

Certain Pesticide Products; Receipt of Applications To Conditionally Register Pesticide Products Containing New Active Ingredients

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: EPA has received applications to conditionally register certain pesticide products containing new active ingredients.

DATE: Comments must be received on or before April 2, 1981, and should bear a notation indicating the EPA file symbol.

ADDRESS: Franklin D. R. Gee, Product Manager (PM) 17, Registration Division (TS–767C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St. SW., Washington, D.C. 20460.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Franklin D. R. Gee (703–557–7028).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: EPA gives notice that certain companies have submitted applications to conditionally register certain pesticide products containing new active ingredients. These applications are made pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) as amended (92 Stat. 819; 7 U.S.C. 136) and the regulations thereunder (40 CFR 162.6). Notice of receipt of these applications does not indicate a decision by the agency on the applications.

EPA File Symbol 8730–EG. Herculite Products, Inc., 1107 Broadway, New York, NY 10010. Produce name: Hercon Luretape with Multilure, containing the new active ingredient (-)-4-methyl-3-heptanol at 3.25 percent; (-)α-5-ethyl-2,4-dimethyl-6,8-dioxabicyclo [3.2.1] octane at 0.672 percent; and (3aα,3bα,4α,7β,7aR*)-(-)-3a,3b,4,5,6,7,-hexahydro-3,7-dimethyl-4-(1-methyl-ethyl)-1*H*-cyclopenta[1,3] cyclopropa[1,2] benzene at 0.108 percent. The application proposes that the insecticide be classified for general use on smaller European Elm bark beetle pheromone dispensers to help reduce Dutch Elm disease.

EPA File Symbol 36638–A. Albany International, 110 A St., Needham Heights, MA 02194. Product name: KONTROL H.V. insecticide, containing the new active ingredients: Z-11-Hexadecenal at 5.9102 percent, Z-9-Tetradecenal at 0.8370 percent, Z-11-Hexadecen-1-ol at 0.0009 percent, Z-7-Hexadecenal at 0.0595 percent, Z-9-Hexadecenal at 0.0595 percent, Hexadecanal at 1.6508 percent and Tetradecanal at 0.7821 percent. The application proposes that the insecticide be classified for general use in preventing tobacco budworm in cotton. This is accomplished by interfering with mating communication between adult moths.

EPA File Symbol 44952–R. Texas Forest Service, Texas A & M Univ., College Station, TX 77843. Product name: Frontalure, containing the new active ingredients Frontalin at 19.4 percent and alpha-Pinene at 38.8 percent. The application proposes that the insecticide be classified for restricted use in forested areas to protect several species of pine trees from damage caused by the southern pine beetle.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments of the applications referred to in this notice. Notice of approval or denial of these applications will be announced in the **Federal Register**. Except for such material protected by section 10 of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act as amended, and the regulations thereunder, the test data and

other scientific information deemed relevant to the registration decision may be made available after approval under the provisions of the Freedom of Information Act. The procedure for requesting such data will be given in the **Federal Register** if an application is approved.

(Sec. 3(c), 86 Stat. 972, (7 U.S.C. 136a))

Dated: February 18, 1981.

Douglas D. Campt,

Director, Registration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. 81-6706 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-02-M

[PF-217; PH-FRL 1766-4]

Ciba-Geigy Corp.; Filing of Pesticide and Food Additive Petitions

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice announces that Ciba-Geigy has submitted pesticide and food additive petitions for residues of the insecticide bromopropylate (isopropyl-4,4'-dibromobenzilate) in or on certain raw agricultural commodities.

ADDRESS: Written comments to: Jay S. Ellenberger, Product Manager (PM) 12, Registration Division (TS-767C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St. SW., Washington, D.C. 20460.

Written comments may be submitted while a petition is pending before the agency. The comments are to be identified by the document control number "[PF-217]" and the specific petition number. All written comments filed pursuant to this notice will be available for public inspection in the product manager's office from 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jay S. Ellenberger (703-557-7024).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: EPA gives notice that the following pesticide and food additive petitions have been submitted to the agency by Ciba-Geigy Corp., PO Box 11422, Greensboro, NC 27409, to establish tolerances and a food additive regulation for residues of the insecticide bromopropylate (iospropyl-4,4'-dibromobenzilate) in or on certain raw agricultural commodities in accordance with the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act. The analytical method for determining residues, where required, is given in each specific petition.

PP 1F2436. Proposes that 40 CFR Part 180 be amended by establishing tolerances for residues of the insecticide bromopropylate (isopropyl-4,4'-dibromobenzilate) in or on citrus fruits at 3.0 parts per million (ppm); and milk fat at 1.5 ppm. The proposed analytical method for determining residues is by gas chromatography with an election capture detector.

FAP 1H5279. Proposes that 21 CFR Part 193 be amended by establishing a regulation permitting residues of the insecticide bromopropylate (isopropyl-4,4'-dibromobenzilate) on the commodities dried citrus pulp at 9 parts per million (ppm) and citrus oil at 125 ppm.

PP 1F2436. Proposes that 40 CFR Part 180 be amended by establishing tolerances for residues of the insecticide bromopropylate (isopropyl-4,4'-dibromobenzilate and 4, 4' dibromobenzilic acid) in or on the fat and meat of cattle, goats, hogs, horses, and sheep at 2.0 ppm. The proposed analytical method for determining residues is by gas chromatography with an electron capture detector.

(Secs. 408(d)(1), 68 Stat. 512, (7 U.S.C. 136); 409(b)(5), 72 Stat. 1786, (21 U.S.C. 348)).

Dated: February 24, 1981.

Douglas D. Campt,

Director, Registration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. 81-6705 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-32-M

[OPP-50523; PH-FRL 1766-5]

DMB Packing Corp.; Issuance of Experimental Use Permit

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: EPA has issued an experimental use permit to DMB Packing Corp. Such permits are in accordance with and subject to the provisions of 40 CFR Part 172, which defines EPA procedures with respect to the use of pesticides for experimental purposes.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert J. Taylor, Product Manager (PM) 25, Registration Division (TS-767C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. 412, CM#2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA 22202, (703-557-7066).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: EPA has issued an experimental use permit to DMB Packing Corp., Box 517, Newman, CA 95360. This experimental use permit (44544-EUP-2) allows the use of 1,000 pounds of the plant growth regulator ammonium isobutyrate and isobutyric acid on pomegranates to evaluate the hastening of the maturity of pomegranates. A total of 80 acres are involved. The program is authorized only in the State of California. (A temporary exemption from the requirement of a tolerance for isobutyric acid on pomegranates has been established.) The experimental use permit is effective from January 6, 1981 to January 6, 1982.

Persons wishing to review the experimental use permit are referred to the Product Manager. Inquiries regarding this permit should be directed to the person given above. It is suggested that interested persons call before visiting the EPA Headuarters office so that the appropriate file may be made available for inspection purposes, from 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays.

(Sec. 5, 92 Stat. 819, as amended; (7 U.S.C 136))

Dated: Feburary 10, 1981.

Douglas D. Campt,

Director, Registration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. 81-6701 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-32-M

[OPTS-59044; TSH-FRL 17668]

Disazo Dye; Premanufacture Exemption Application

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (ERA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Section 5(a)(1)(A) of the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) requires any person intending to manufacture or import a new chemical substance for a commercial purpose in the United States to submit a premanufacture notice (PMN) to EPA at least 90 days before he commences such manufacture or import. Under Section 5(h) the Agency may, upon application, exempt any person from any requirement of section 5 to permit such person to manufacture or process a chemical for test marketing purposes. Section 5(h)(6) requires EPA to issue a notice of receipt of any such application for publication in the **Federal Register**. This notice announces receipt of an application for an exemption from the premanufacture reporting requirements for test marketing purposes and requests comments on the appropiateness of granting the exemption.

DATE: The Agency must either approve or deny this application by March 21, 1981. Persons should submit written comments on the application no later the March 18, 1981.

ADDRESS: Written comments to: Document Control Officer (TS-793), Management Support Division, Office of Pesticides and Toxic Substances, Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. E-401, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460, (202-426-2610).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rachel Diamond, Chemical Control Division (TS-794), Office of Toxic Substances, Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. E-221, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460, (202-426-3980).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under section 5 of TSCA (90 Stat. 2012 (15 U.S.C. 2604)), any person who intends to manufacture or import a new chemical substance for commercial purposes in the United States must submit a notice to EPA before the manufacture or import begins. A "new" chemical substance is any chemical substance that is not on the Inventory of existing chemical substances compiled by EPA under section 5(b) of TSCA. EPA first published the Initial Inventory on June 1, 1979. Notices of availability of the Inventory were published in the **Federal Register** on May 15, 1979 (44 FR 28558-Initial) and July 29, 1980 (45 FR 50544-Revised). The requirement to submit a PMN for new chemical substances manufactured or imported for commercial purposes became effective on July 1, 1979.

Section 5(a)(1) requires each PMN to be submitted in accordance with section 5(d) and any applicable requirement of chemical substances that are subject to testing rules under section 4. Section 5(b)(2) requires additional information in PMN's for substances which EPA, by rules under section 5(b)(4), has determined may present unreasonable risks of injury to health or the environment.

Section 5(h), "Exemptions," contains several provisions for exemptions from some or all of the requirements of section 5. In particular, section 5(h)(1) authorized EPA, upon application, to exempt persons from any requirement of section 5(a) or section 5(b) to permit the persons to manufacture or process a chemical substance for test marketing purposes. To grant such an exemption, the Agency must find that the test marketing activities will not present any unreasonable risk of injury to health or the environment. EPA must either approve or deny the application within 45 days of its receipt, and the Agency must publish a notice of its disposition in the **Federal Register.** If EPA grants a test marketing exemption, it may impose restrictions on the test marketing activities.

Under section 5(h)(6), EPA must publish in the **Federal Register** a notice of receipt of an application under section 5(H)(1) immediately after the Agency receives the application. The notice identifies and briefly describes the application (subject to section 14 confidentiality restrictions) and gives interested persons an opportunity to comment on it and whether EPA should grant the exemption. Because the Agency must act on the application withing 45 days, interested persons should provide comments within 15 days after the notice appears in the **Federal Register.**

EPA has proposed Premanufacture Notification Requirements and Review Procedures published in the **Federal Register** of January 10, 1979 (44 FR 2242) and October 16, 1979 (44 FR 59764) containing proposed premanufacture rules and notice forms. Proposed 40 CFR 720.15 (44 FR 2268) would implement section 5(h)(1) concerning exemptions for test marketing and includes proposed 40 CFR 720.15(c) concerning the section 5(h)(6) **Federal Register** notice. However, these requirements are not yet in effect. In the meantime, EPA has published a statement of Interim Policy published in the **Federal Register** of May 15, 1979 (44 FR 28564) which applies to PMN's submitted prior to promulgation of the rules and notice forms.

Interested persons may, on or before March 18, 1981, submit to the Office of Pesticides and Toxic Substances, Rm. E-401, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460, written comments regarding this notice. Three copies of all comments shall be submitted, except that individuals may submit single copies comments. The comments are to be identified with the document control number "[OPTS-59044]". Comments received may be seen in Rm. E-106 at the above address between 8:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m., Monday through Friday excluding legal holidays.

(Sec. 5, 90 Stat. 2021 (15 U.S.C. 2604)).

Dated: February 25, 1981.

Edward A. Klein,

Director, Chemical Control Division.

TM 81-2

The following summary is taken from the data submitted by the manufacturer in the test marketing exemption application.

Close of Review Period. March 21, 1981.

Manufacturer's Identity. Claimed confidential business information. Organizational information provided:

Manufacturing site—South Atlantic, U.S.

Standard Industrial Classification Code—286.

Specific Chemical Identity. Claimed confidential business information. Generic name provided: Disazo dye.

Use. Textile dye.

Production Estimates. The manufacturer states that 4,500 kilograms will be produced for test making purposes involving three customers. Period of test: 45 days.

Physical/Chemical Properties.

Form—Aqueous solvent solution.

Specific gracity—1.06 at 23°C.

Flash point—>200°F (Closed cup).

Boiling point—102°C.

Toxicity Data.

Acute oral toxicity (rats)—LD_{50} 88.6 mg/kg.

Skin irritation (rabbits)—Non-irritant.

Eye irritation (rabbits)—Non-irritant.

Acute dermal toxicity (rabbits)—LD_{50} >2,000 mg/kg.

Exposure. The manufacturer states that during production of the new substance, one worker may be exposed dermally a maximum of 14 hours. Any exposure will be as a result of accidental spill. During use, a maximum of 12 workers may be exposed dermally 1 hr/da for 45 days.

Environmental Release/Disposal. The manufacturer states that there will be no release to the air environment during manufacture use. Cleanup water from manufacture will go to an on-site biological waste treatment facility. Nothing is sent to solid waste dumps.

[FR Doc. 81-6702 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-31-M

[OPP-180562; PH-FRL 1767-7]

Hawaii; Issuance of Specific Exemption for Thiabendazole on Papayas

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: EPA has granted a specific exemption to the Hawaii Department of Agriculture (hereafter referred to as the "Applicant") to use thiabendazole on 70 million pounds of papayas for control of post-harvest fungal decay. The specific exemption was issued under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act.

DATE: The specific exemption expires on September 1, 1981.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Edward Gross, Registration Division (TS-767C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. 509C, CM#2, 1921 Jefferson Davis

Highway, Arlington, VA 22202, (703–557–7123).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

According to the Applicant, post-harvest diseases of papaya are currently the largest single factor contributing to market losses of fresh papayas. Anthracnose and stem-end rot, two fungal diseases, are the most serious. The diseases are not visible on unripened fruits as they are packed, making it impossible for the packer to cull potentially diseased fruits. Later, as fruits ripen after shipping, fungal lesions develop rapidly and fruits may decay within 24 hours. Disease may be reduced but not entirely eliminated by a careful orchard management program, the Applicant reported.

There are no fungicides registered for post-harvest treatment of papayas; however, there are other means of reducing losses due to post-harvest decay. Maneb and Bravo are registered for use on papayas during the growing season, but, according to the Applicant, give only partial control and require a supplemental post-harvest treatment. The only other treatment available is a hot water dip, but the Applicant reports that although effective in significantly reducing the disease incidence, the treatment can scald the fruit if the temperatures are too high and not protect the papayas if too low.

The papaya industry represents Hawaii's fourth largest agricultural crop and is valued at approximately $7.875 million annually under complete control conditions. Without an effective post-harvest treatment of papayas, the Applicant estimates that growers would gross $1.42 million; using the hot water treatment growers would realize $4.1 million; and with the thiabendazole dip, farmers would realize $6.06 million from the papaya market.

The Applicant proposed to use Mertect 340–F (EPA Reg. No. 618–75) which contains the active ingredient (a.i.) thiabendazole. Fruit intended for both local consumption and for export is to be treated.

EPA has determined that residues of thiabendazole on papayas from this use are not likely to exceed five parts per million (ppm). This residue level has been judged adequate to protect the public health. EPA anticipates no unreasonable adverse effects to the environment from the proposed plan.

After reviewing the application and other available information, EPA has determined that the criteria for an exemption have been met. Accordingly, the Applicant has been granted a specific exemption to use the pesticide noted above until September 1, 1981, to the extent and in the manner set forth in the application. The specific exemption is also subject to the following conditions:

1. The Merck and Co. product, Mertect 340-F Fungicide, which contains the a.i. thiabendazole, is authorized at a dosage rate of 14–56 fl. oz. product (6.7–26.6 oz. a.i.) per 100 gallons of water. Papayas are to be treated only once and the treatment period should not exceed 3 minutes.

2. Any diluents used, such as waxes, should be those generally regarded as safe (GRAS) by the Food and Drug Administration, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

3. Up to 70 million pounds of papayas may be treated. Up to 60 gallons of formulated product (228 pounds a.i.) are authorized.

4. Use of thiabendazole is authorized only in processing plants where runoff water is recirculated or in plants where equipment will ensure no runoff will occur.

5. The amount of thiabendazole employed on a daily basis is to be carefully planned so that contamination through waste disposal is kept at a minimum.

6. Care must be taken in the cleaning of equipment or disposal of wastes to prevent contamination of water used for human consumption or by animals, wildlife, aquatic life, or for irrigation purposes.

7. All applicable label directions, precautions, and restrictions must be adhered to.

8. A full report which summarizes the results of this specific exemption must be submitted to the EPA by the end of March, 1982.

9. The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that all of the provisions and restrictions of the specific exemption are met.

10. The EPA shall be immediately informed of any adverse effects resulting from the use of thiabendazole under this specific exemption.

11. Residues of thiabendazole in or on papayas should not exceed five ppm from this use. Papayas with residues of thiabendazole not exceeding this level may enter interstate commerce. The Food and Drug Administration, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, has been notified of this action.

(Sec. 18 as amended 92 Stat. 819; (7 U.S.C. 136)).

Dated: February 18, 1981.

Edwin L. Johnson,

Deputy Assistant Administrator for Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. 81-6710 Filed 3-3-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-32-M

[PP 0G2370/T283; PH-FRL 1766-1]

Isobutyric Acid; Temporary Exemption From the Requirement of a Tolerance

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: A temporary exemption from the requirement of a tolerance has been extended for the active ingredient of the herbicide (plant growth regulator) isobutyric acid in or on pomegranates.

DATE: This temporary exemption expires on January 6, 1982.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert J. Taylor, Product Manager (PM) 25, Registration Division (TS–767C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. 412, CM #2,1921 Jeffersom Davis Highway, Arlington, VA 22202, (703–557–7066).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: EPA issued a notice that published in the **Federal Register** of July 18, 1980 (45 FR 48241) that DBM Packing Corp., Box 517, Newman, CA 95360 had been issued a temporary exemption from the requirement of a tolerance for the herbicide isobutyric acid in or on grapes.

DBM Packing Corp. has requested an extension of the exemption from the requirement of a tolerance for use of the herbicide in or on pomegranates.

This temporary exemption will permit the marketing of the above raw agricultural commodity when treated in accordance with the experimental use permit (44544–EUP–2) which is being issued under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) as amended (92 Stat. 819; 7 U.S.C. 136).

The scientific data reported show that the exemption is adequate to cover residues resulting from the proposed experimental use and that such exemption will protect the public health. Therefore, the temporary exemption from the requirement of a tolerance has been extended on the condition that the pesticide be used in accordance with the experimental use permit with the following provision:

1. The amount of the active ingredient of the plant growth regulator to be used will not exceed the amount authorized in the experimental use permit.

2. DBM Packing Corp. will immediately notify the EPA of any findings from the experimental use that have a bearing on safety. The company will also keep records of production, distribution, and performance, and on request make these records available to any authorized officer or employee of the EPA or the Food and Drug Administration.

This temporary exemption from the requirement of a tolerance expires January 6, 1982. Residues remaining in or on the raw agricultural commodity after the expiration date will not be considered actionable if the pesticide is legally applied during the term of, and in accordance with the provisions of the experimental use permit and the temporary exemption. This temporary exemption may be revoked if the experimental use permit is revoked or if any scientific data or experience with this herbicide indicates that such revocation is necessary to protect the public health.

(Sec. 408(j), 68 Stat. 516, (21 U.S.C. 346a(j)))

Dated: February 10, 1981.

Douglas D. Campt,

Director, Registration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. 81-6708 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-32-M

[PFT-42; PH-FRL 1766-7]

Nor-Am Agricultural Products, Inc.; Filing of a Temporary Feed Additive Petition

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Nor-Am Agricultural Products, Inc., has submitted a petition (FAP 1H5286) proposing a regulation permitting the use of the defoliant thidiazuron *N*-phenyl-*N'*-1,2,3-thiadiazol-5-ylurea and its aniline-containing metabolites on growing cotton with a tolerance limitation of 0.8 part per million on cottonseed hulls. This represents an increase from a tolerance of 0.4 ppm previously established (FAP 9H5198) for this herbicide and metabolites.

ADDRESS: Written comments to: Richard F. Mountfort, Product Manager (PM) 23, Registration Division (TS-767C), Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St. SW., Washington, D.C. 20460.

Written comments may be submitted while a petition is pending before the agency. The comments are to be identified by the document control number "[PFT-42]" and the petition number 1H5286. All written comments filed pursuant to this notice will be available for public inspection in the office of the product manager from 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Richard F. Mountfort (703-557-7070).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: EPA issued a notice that was published in the **Federal Register** of November 2, 1978 (43 FR 51131) that Nor-Am Agricultural Products, Inc., 1275 Lake Ave., Woodstock, IL 60098, had submitted a petition (FAP 9H5198) to the EPA. The petition proposed that 21 CFR 561 be amended by establishing a regulation permitting the use of the defoliant thidiazuron *N*-phenyl-*N'*-1,2,3,-thiadiazol-5-ylurea and its aniline-containing metabolites in an experimental program involving the application of the herbicide to growing cotton with a tolerance limitation of 0.4 part per million in cottonseed hulls.

Nor-Am Agricultural Products, Inc. has submitted a petition (FAP 1H5286) proposing that the tolerance limitation be increased from 0.4 ppm to 0.8 ppm.

(Sec. 408(d)(1), 68 Stat. 512, (7 U.S.C. 136))

Dated: February 10, 1981.

Douglas D. Campt,

Director, Registration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. 81-6703 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-32-M

[OPP-180559; PA-FRC 1767-5]

Oregon; Issuance of Specific Exemption for Nemacur on Raspberries

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice

SUMMARY: EPA has granted a specific exemption to the Oregon Department of Agriculture (hereafter referred to as the "Applicant") for the use of Nemacur 3 EC on 825 acres of raspberries in counties west of the Cascade Mountains in Oregon to control the lesion nematode. The specific exemption was granted under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act.

DATE: The specific exemption expires on January 15, 1981.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Libby Welch, Registration Division (TS-767C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. 502C, CM#2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA 22202, (703-557-7123).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Applicant reports that the lesion nematode *Pratylenchus penetrans* is the major nematode problem for Oregon raspberry growers. It causes damage to raspberry plant roots, and when high populations of nematodes develop, plants lose vigor and the ability to produce good crops, according to the Applicant. The Applicant indicates that the problem is an emergency for those who are losing production, cane growth, and land usable for raspberries.

Telone and D-D are registered as preplant soil fumigants and are usable only on new plantings. According to the Applicant, the precise conditions of moisture, temperature, and soil conditions required for their use preclude application some years. Since raspberries are planted in February, it is necessary to treat soil the previous fall. No products are registered for post-plant use on raspberries. DBCP are registered for post-planting use on raspberries, but registration was withdrawn in 1977. Since then raspberry growers have been left with no feasible nematode control in established plantings. The Applicant indicates that losses to growers due to uncontrolled nematode infestations could reach into the $1 million range.

The Applicant proposed to make a single application of Nemacur 3 EC which contains the active ingredient (a.i.) ethyl 3-methyl-4-(methylthio)phenyl (1-methylethyl)phosphoramidate. A maximum of 2,475 gallons of Nemacur 3 EC (7,425 pounds a.i.) will be needed to treat 825 acres of raspberries in the Oregon counties west of the Cascade Mountains.

EPA has determined that the combined residues of Nemacur and its sulfoxide and sulfone metabolites in or on raspberries resulting from the proposed use should not exceed 0.1 part per million (ppm). This level has been judged adequate to protect the public health. While some nontarget wildlife mortality may occur from this use, EPA does not anticipate an unreasonable threat to the environment.

After reviewing the application and other available information, EPA has determined that the criteria for an exemption have been met. Accordingly, the Applicant has been granted a specific exemption to use the pesticide noted above until January 15, 1981, to the extent and in the manner set forth in the application. The specific exemption is also subject to the following conditions:

1. The product Nemacur 3 EC (EPA Reg. No. 3125-283), manufactured by Mobay Chemical Corp., may be applied at a rate of 6 to 12 pounds a.i. per acre. If an unregistered label is used in

connection with this product, it must contain the identical, applicable precautions and restrictions which appear on the registered label.

2. Applications will be made to established plantings or to new plantings when weather conditions preclude the use of registered preplant fumigant nematocides.

3. Applications will be made only when State personnel determine that populations of lesion nematode are sufficient to warrant treatment.

4. A single ground application will be made when adequate rainfall can be expected to incorporate the nematocide.

5. A maximum of 7,425 pounds a.i. may be applied.

6. A maximum of 825 acres in the area named above may be treated.

7. Applications will be made by State-certified private or commercial applicators.

8. All applicable directions, restrictions, and precautions on the EPA-registered produce label must be followed.

9. Nemacur must not be applied to any body of water and drift reduction precautions must be observed. The pesticide is not to be applied where excessive runoff is likely to occur. Care must be taken to prevent contamination of water by the cleaning of equipment or disposal of wastes or excess pesticides.

10. Nemacur is highly toxic to wildlife. It is recommended that the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife be provided the opportunity to observe the application of Nemacur and monitor its effects on wildlife populations. If any wildlife mortality occurs, it should be reported to the Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife.

11. Treatment of raspberries as proposed should not result in the combined residues of ethyl 3-methyl-4-(methyl-thio)phenyl (1-methylethyl)phosphoramidate and its sulfoxide and sulfone metabolities exceeding 0.1 ppm in or on raspberries. Raspberries with residues not exceeding 0.1 ppm may enter interstate commerce. The Food and Drug Administration, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, has been advised of this action.

12. EPA shall be immediately informed of any adverse effect resutling from the use of this pesticide in connection with this exemption.

13. The Applicant shall be responsible for assuring that all provisions of this specific exemption are met and must submit a report summarizing the results of this program by July 15, 1981.

(Sec. 18 as amended 92 Stat. 819; (7 U.S.C. 136)).

Dated: February 19, 1981.

Edwin L. Johnson,

Deputy Assistant Administrator for Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. 81-6712 Filed 3-2-81: 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-32-M

[OPTS-59043; SH-FRL 1766-6]

Polyester (1,4-Butanediol/Isophthalic Acid, Dimethyl Ester/ Poly(Oxyethylene/Oxypropylene/ Terephthalic Acid, Dimethyl Ester); Premanufacture Exemption Application

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Section 5(a)(1)(A) of the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) requires any person intending to manufacture or import a new chemical substance for a commercial purpose in the United States to submit a premanufacture notice (PMN) to EPA at least 90 days before he commences such manufacture or import. Under Section 5(h) the Agency may upon application, exempt any person from any requirement of section 5 to permit such person to manufacture or process a chemical for test marketing purposes. Section 5(h)(6) requires EPA to issue a notice of receipt of any such application for publication in the **Federal Register.** This notice announces receipt of an application for an exemption from the premanufacture reporting requirements for test marketing purposes and requests comments on the appropriateness of granting the exemption.

DATE: The Agency must either approve or deny this application by March 19, 1981. Persons should submit written comments on the application no later than March 18, 1981.

ADDRESS: Written comments to: Document Control Officer (TS-793), Management Support Division, Office of Pesticides and Toxic Substances, Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. E-401, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460, (202-426-2610).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David Dull, Chemical Control Division, (TS-794), Office of and Toxic Substances, Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. E-206, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460, (202-426-2601).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under section 5 of TSCA (90 Stat. 2012 (15 U.S.C. 2604)), any person who intends to manufacture or import a new chemical substance for commercial purposes in the United States must submit a notice to EPA before the manufacture or import begins. A "new" chemical substance is any chemical substance that is not on the Inventory of existing chemical substances compiled by EPA under section 8(b) of TSCA. EPA first published the Intitial Inventory on June 1, 1979. Notices of availability of the Inventory were published in the **Federal Register** on May 15, 1979 (44 FR 28558—Initial) and July 29, 1980 (45 FR 50544—Revised). The requirement to submit a PMN for new chemical substances manufactured or imported for commercial purposes became effective on July 1, 1979.

Section 5(a)(1) requires each PMN to be submitted in accordance with section 5(d) and any applicable requirement of chemical substances that are subject to testing rules under section 4. Section 5(b)(2) requires additional information in PMN's for substances which EPA, by rules under section 5(b)(4), has determined may present unreasonable risks of injury to health or the environment.

Section 5(h), "Exemptions," contains several provisions for exemptions from some or all of the requirements of section 5. In particular, section 5(h)(1) authorized EPA, upon application, to exempt persons from any requirement of section 5(a) or section 5(b) to permit the persons to manufacture or process a chemical substance for test marketing purposes. To grant such an exemption, the Agency must find that the test marketing activities will not present any unreasonable risk of injury to health or the environment. EPA must either approve or deny the application within 45 days of its receipt, and the Agency must publish a notice of its disposition in the **Federal Register.** If EPA grants a test marketing exemption, it may impose restrictions on the test marketing activities.

Under section 5(h)(6), EPA must publish in the **Federal Register** a notice of receipt of an application under section 5(h)(1) immediately after the Agency receives the application. The notice identifies and briefly describes the application (subject to section 14 confidentiality restrictions) and gives interested persons an opportunity to comment on it and whether EPA should grant the exemption. Because the Agency must act on the application within 45 days, interested persons should provide comments within 15 days after the notice appears in the **Federal Register.**

EPA has proposed Premanufacture Notification Requirements and Review Procedures published in the **Federal Register** of January 10, 1979 (44 FR2242) and October 16, 1979 (44 FR 59764)

containing proposed premanufacture rules and notice forms. Proposed 40 CFR 720.15 (44 FR2268) would implement section 5(h)(1) concerning exemptions for test marketing and includes proposed 40 CFR 720.15(c) concerning the section 5(h)(6) **Federal Register** notice. However, these requirements are not yet in effect. In the meantime, EPA has published a statement of Interim Policy published in the **Federal Register** of May 15, 1979 (44 FR28564) which applies to PMN's submitted prior to promulgation of the rules and notice forms.

Interested persons may, on or before March 18, 1981, submit to the Document Control Officer (TS–793), Management Support Division, Office of Pesticides and Toxic Substances, Rm. E–401, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460, written comments regarding this notice. Three copies of all comments shall be submitted, except that individuals may submit single copies of comments. The comments are to be identified with the document control number [OPTS–59043]. Comments received may be seen in Rm. E–106 of the above address between 8:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m., Monday through Friday excluding legal holidays.

Sec. 5, 90 Stat. 2012 (15 U.S.C. 2604)).

Dated: February 25, 1981.

Edward A. Klein,

Director, Chemical Control Division.

TM 81–1.

The following summary is taken from data submitted by the manufacturer in the test marketing exemption application.

Close of Review Period. March 19, 1981.

Manufacturer's Identity. E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Co., Inc., 1007 Market St., Wilmington, DE 19898.

Specific Chemical Identity. Claimed confidential business information. Generic name provided: Polyester (1,4-butanediol/isophthalic acid, dimethyl ester/poly (oxyethylene/oxypropylene/terephthalic acid, dimethyl ester).

Use. The submitter states that a limited number of companies specializing in compounding and fabricating industrial goods would be provided the material for fabrication of the polymer into industrial products.

Production Estimates. The manufacturer states that limited quantities will be manufactured for test marketing purposes to run approximately four months.

Physical/Chemical Properties. No data were submitted on the new substance; data on component materials were provided.

Toxicity Data. No data were submitted on the new substance, however the manufacturer states that like most polymeric materials, the substance is expected to have a very low toxicity. Data on raw component materials were provided.

Exposure. The manufacturer states that a maximum of 100 persons is expected to be exposed dermally to the polymer and that exposure will occur only in manufacturing operations of the goods. Consumers will not use or be exposed to the new polymer.

Environmental Release/Disposal. No data were submitted. Waste disposal, if any, will be by landfill.

[FR Doc. 81-6704 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-31-M

[OPTS–53022; TSH–FRL 1767–1]

Premanufacture Notices; Monthly Status Report for January 1981

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Section 5(d)(3) of the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) requires EPA to publish a list in the **Federal Register** at the beginning of each month reporting the premanufacture notices (PMN's) pending before the Agency and the PMN's for which the review period has expired since publication of the last monthly summary. This is the report for January 1981.

DATE: Written comments are due no later than 30 days before the applicable notice review period ends on a specific chemical substance.

ADDRESS: Written comments to: Document Control Officer (TS–793), Management Support Division, Office of Pesticides and Toxic Substances, Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. E–401, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460, (202–426–2610).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rick Green, Chemical Control Division (TS–794), Office of Toxic Substances, Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. E–208, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460, (202–426–8816).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 5(a)(1) of TSCA (90 Stat. 2012 (15 U.S.C. 2604)) requires any person who intends to manufacture or import a new chemical substance to submit a PMN to EPA at least 90 days before manufacture or import commences. A "new" chemical substance is any substance that is not on the Inventory of existing substances compiled by EPA under section 8(b) of TSCA. EPA first published the Initial Inventory on June 1, 1979. Notice of availability of the Initial Inventory was published in the **Federal Register** on May 15, 1979 (44 FR 28558) and the notice of availability of the Revised Inventory was published on July 29, 1980 (45 FR 50544). The requirement to submit PMN's for new chemical substances manufactured or imported for commercial purposes became effective on July 1, 1979. EPA has 90 days to review a PMN once the Agency receives it (section 5(a)(1)). The section 5(d)(2) **Federal Register** notice indicates the date when the review period ends for each PMN. Under section 5(c), EPA may, for good cause, extend the review period up to an additional 90 days. If EPA determines that an extension is necessary, it will publish a notice in the **Federal Register.**

The monthly status report published in the **Federal Register** as required under section 5(d)(3), will identify: (a) PMN's received during the month; (b) PMN's received previously and still under review at the end of the month; (c) PMN's for which the notice review period has ended during the month; and (d) chemical substances that EPA has added to the Inventory during the month. Therefore, EPA is publishing the January 1981 PMN Status Report.

Interested persons may submit written comments on the specific chemical substance no later than 30 days before the applicable notice review period ends to the Document Control Officer (TS–793), Management Support Division, Office of Pesticides and Toxic Substances, Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. E–401, 401 M St., SW., Washington, DC 20460. Three copies of all comments shall be submitted, except that individuals may submit single copies of comments. The comments are to be identified with the document control number "[OPTS–53022]" and the specific PMN number. Nonconfidential portions of the PMN's written comments received on individual PMN's, and other documents in public record may be seen in Rm. E–106 at the above address between 8:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays.

Dated: February 25, 1981.

Edward A. Klein,

Director, Chemical Control Division.

Premanufacture Notices Monthly Status Report for January 1981

PMN No.	Identity/generic name	FR citation	Expiration date
	I. Premanufacture Notices Received During the Month		
81-1	Polymer of tall oil fatty acids, neopentyl glycol, trimethylol ethane, phthalic anhydride, and benzoic acid.	In preparation	Apr. 2, 1981.
81-2	Water reducible siliconized alkyd resin	46 FR 11350 (2/6/81)	Do.
81-3	Methyl, bis (hydroxypropyl) tallow alkyl ammonium methyl sulfate	46 FR 11349 (2/6/81)	Do.
81-4	Polymer of maleic anhydride, phthalic anhydride, dicyclopentadiene, diethylene glycol, and adipic acid.	In preparation	Do.
81-5	Generic name: Nylon	do	Do.
81-6	Polyester-amide polymer	do	Apr. 5, 1981.
81-7	Generic name: Modified resorcinol resin	do	Apr. 26, 1981.
81-8	Generic name: Urethane resin	46FR 11352 (2/6/81)	Do.
81-9	Generic name: Silylated phosphonate	46 FR 11352 (2/6/81)	Apr. 13, 1981.
81-10	Generic name: Sodium salt of silylated phosphonate	46 FR 11352 (2/6/81)	Do.
81-11	Polymer of: Esterdiol 204; 1,6-hexanediol; neopentyl glycol; trimethylol propane; isophthalic acid and; and dibutyl tin oxide.	In preparation	Do.
81-12	Generic name: Acrylic copolymer adhesive	do	Do.
81-13	C_{16-22} Polypropylene ether	do	Do.
81-14	Polymer of tetrabromophthalic anhydride, isopthalic acid, ethylene glycol, propylene glycol, and maleic anhydride.	do	Apr. 15, 1981.
81-15	Polymer of tetrabromophthalic anhydride, isophthalic acid, ethylene glycol, propylene glycol, and fumaric acid.	do	Do.
81-16	Generic name: Reaction product of an epoxy and a substituted amine	do	Do.
81-17	Generic name: Polymer of methacrylic acid, alkyl methacrylate, alkyl acrylate, and an acrylic acid derivative.	do	Do.
81-18	Maleic half ester of ethoxylated aliphatic alcohol emulsifier	do	Do.
81-19	Polymer of: Butyl acrylate, methyl acrylate, acrylonitrite, 2-hydroxyethyl acrylate, and acrylic acid.	In preparation	Do.
81-20	Generic name: Sodium salts of *N*-methylene phosphonic acids of a complex substituted amine mixture (a forecut from the fractionation of a crude carboheterocycle) consisting principally of 2-2'-substituted *bis* ethyl amine.	do	Apr. 19, 1981.
81-21	Generic name: Halogenated conjugated diene, polymer with haloalkyl benzene, and alkenoic acid.	do	Do.
81-22	1,3-Biss(4-hydroxybutyl)-1,3-didecyl-dimethyl disioxane	do	Apr. 21, 1981.
81-23	Di(hydrogenatedtallowalkyl)-quaternary ammonium compounds	do	Do.
81-24	Ditallowalkylquaternary ammonium compounds	do	Do.
81-25	Generic name: Derivatized copolymer of acrylic acid and *N*-(1,1-dimethyl-3-substituted butyl) acrylamide.	do	Do.
81-26	Generic name: Polyfluorohydrocarbon-methacrylic copolymer	do	Do.
81-27	Generic name: Alkyd resin 324-45	do	Do.
81-28	Generic name: Alkyd resin 343-42	do	Do.
81-29	Generic name: Reaction product olefins, vegetable oil, and sulfur	In preparation	Apr. 22, 1981.
81-30	Generic name: Polyester resin derived from a mixture of phthalic acids with alkylene glycols and lighter polyols.	do	Do.
81-31	Generic name: Polyurethane polyacrylic block polymer	do	Do.
81-32	Generic name: (Substituted phenoxy) alkanoic acid	do	Do.
81-33	Generic name: Tetrahydro-acridinone	do	Apr. 26, 1981.
81-34	Generic name: Acridinone	do	Do.
81-35	Generic name: *N*-Methylene phosphonic acids of a complex substituted amine mixture (a forecut from the fractionation of a crude carboheterocycle) consisting principally of 2,2'-substituted *bis* ethyl amine.	do	Apr.19, 1981.
81-36	Alkyl acid phosphorous esters	do	Apr. 28, 1981.
81-37	Methylenebis (diisopropylaniline)	do	Do.
81-38	Bicylo [3,2,1] octan-8-ol, 1,5-dimethyl-, acetate bicylo [3,3,0]-octan-2-ol, 1,5-dimethyl-, acetate bicylo [3,3,1] octan-2-ol, 1,5-dimethyl-, acetate bicylo [3,3,1] nonan-1-ol, 5-methyl-, acetate.	In preparation	Do.
81-41	5-Methyl-4*H*-1,2,4-triazole-3-selenol 1,2-dihydro-5-methyl-3*H* 1,2,4-triazole-3-selone	do	Apr. 30, 1981.
81-42	Generic name: (Substituted-phenoxy) alkanoic acid, alky lester	do	Do.
81-43	Generic name: Chromophore substituted poly(oxypropylene)	do	Do.
	II. Premanufacture Notices Received Previously and Still Under Review at the End of the Month		
80-313	2-Chloro-2-sulfopropionic acid	45 FR 83662 (12/19/80)	Feb. 2, 1981.
80-314	2,4-Bis [(4-(*N*-cyano-*N*-phenylsulfonylamino)phenyl)methyl]-*N*-cyano-*N*-phenylsulfonylbenzenamine; 4,4'-methylenebis (*N*-cyano-*N*-phenylsulfonylbenzenamine; 4,4'-methylenebis[2-[(4-(*N*-cyano-*N*-phenylsulfonylamino)phenyl)-methyl)-*N*-cyano-*N*-phenylsulfonylbenzenamine].	45 FR 85151 (12/24/80)	Feb. 1, 1981.
80-315	4,4'-Methylenebis (*N*-cyanobenzenamine); 2,4-bis[(4-(*N*-cyanoamino) phenyl)methyl]-*N*-cyano-benzenamine; 4,4' methylenebis [2-[(4-*N*-cyanoamino)phenyl) methyl]-*N*-cyanobenzenamine].	45 FR 85151 (12/24/80)	Do.
80-316	Generic name: Dialkyltin-diricinoleate	45 FR 83020 (12/17/80)	Feb. 2, 1981.
80-317	Generic name: Polymer of modified resin esters and mixed oils	45 FR 83023 (12/17/80)	Feb. 3, 1981.
80-318	Dimethyl diallyl ammonium chloride-acrylamide-potassium acrylate terpolymer	45 FR 83664 (12/19/80)	Feb. 5, 1981.
80-319	Generic name: Salt form of acrylic acid-acrylate copolymer	45 FR 83661 (12/19/80)	Do.
80-320	Vanadic acid, tris (2-methylpropyl) ester	45 FR 83019 (12/17/80)	Do.
80-321	Generic name: Polymer of an alkyl acrylate, an alkyl methacrylate, and a saturated cyclic methacrylate.	45 FR 82708 (12/17/80)	Feb. 10, 1981.
80-322	Generic name: Polymer of epoxidized soybean oil benzoic acid	45 FR 83021 (12/17/80)	Do.
80-323	Generic name: Acrylic emulsion	45 FR 83662 (12/19/80)	Do.
80-324	Generic name: Poly (allyl ether) hydroxy alkyl ester	45 FR 82706 (12/16/80)	Feb. 11, 1981.
80-325	Generic name: Chromophore substituted poly (oxyethylene)	45 FR 82706 (12/16/80)	Feb. 15, 1981.
80-326	Generic name: Chromophore substituted poly (oxyethylene)	45 FR 82706 (12/16/80)	Do.
80-327	Generic name: Toluene diisocyanate blocked prepolymer	45 FR 83020 (12/17/80)	Do
80-328	Generic name: Unsaturated melamine formaldehyde methanol resin	45 FR 83019 (12/17/80)	Feb. 16, 1981.
80-329	Generic name: Salt of fatty acid dimer	45 FR 83022 (12/17/80)	Do
80-330	Amino Alcohol	46 FR 3963 (1/16/80)	Feb. 16, 1981.
80-331	Methacrylic fatty acid adduct	46 FR 11347 (2/6/81)	Feb. 19, 1981.
80-332	Soya fatty ester	46 FR 11347 (2/6/81)	Feb. 22, 1981.
80-333	Polymer of: Substituted alkanediol, alkanedioic acid, and alkenedioic acid	46 FR 8711 (1/27/81)	Do
80-334	Polymer of: Alkanediol, alkanedioic acid, and alkenedioic acid	46 FR 8711 (1/27/81)	Do
80-335	Polymer of: Substituted alkanediol, alkanedioic acid, and alkenedioic acids	46 FR 8711 (1/27/81)	Do
80-336	1,2,4,-Substituted anthraquinone	46 FR 8710 (1/27/81)	Do
80-337	Acrylamid-methacrylic copolymer	46 FR 1022 (1/5/81)	Feb. 23, 1981.
80-338	Salt form of acrylic acid-acrylate copolymer	46 FR 1022 (1/5/81)	Feb. 22, 1981.

Premanufacture Notices Monthly Status Report for January 1981—Continued

PMN No.	Identity/generic name	FR citation	Expiration date
80-339	Salt form of acrylic acid-acrylate copolymer	46 FR 1022 (1/5/81)	Do.
80-340	Polymer diol, monocarboxylic acid diol, diamine, and a diisocyanate	46 FR 3963 (1/16/81)	Feb. 24, 1981.
80-341	*N-N'*-Nonanedioyl-bis [3,4,5,6-tetrahydro-2(1*H*) pyrimidinone]	46 FR 2717 (1/12/81)	Mar. 1, 1981.
80-342	Diisodecyl dimerate	46 FR 2713 (1/12/81)	Do.
80-343	1, 6-Hexanediamine, distillation residues- amines, C_{++} alkyl di- and C_{+} cyclic di- dichloroethane-epichlorohydrin polymer.	46 FR 6065 (1/21/81)	Do.
80-344	Generic name: Metal resinate	46 FR 8710 (1/27/81)	Do
80-345	Generic name: Dialkyl trithio-carbonate	46 FR 5058 (1/19/81)	Mar. 2, 1981.
80-346	Ferrous complexed sulfonated tannin	46 FR 8718 (1/27/81)	Do
80-347	Generic name: Metal amine propanol complex	46 FR 11026 (2/5/81)	Mar. 3, 1981.
80-348	Sunflower oil, polymer with pentaerythritol phthalic anhydride, soybean oil, and trimethylol ethane.	46 FR 5058 (1/19/81)	Mar. 8, 1981.
80-349	Sunflower oil, polymer with benzoic acid, and pentaerythritol	46 FR 5058 (1/19/81)	Do.
80-350	Resin polymers with glycerol, phthalic anhydride and sunflower oil	46 FR 5058 (1/19/81)	Do.
80-351	Generic name: Substituted alkanoic acid, alkyl ester	46 FR 8718 (1/27/81)	Do.
80-352	Generic name: Polymer of: Acylic acid, styrene, substituted alkyl acrylates, and alkyl mercaptan	46 FR 8711 (1/27/81)	Do.
80-353	Generic name: Polymer of: An isocyonate and mixture of aliphatic polyols	46 FR 8710 (1/27/81)	Mar. 10, 1981.
80-354	Generic name: Polyester polymer of aliphatic polyols, aromatic diacid, and aliphatic diacid	46 FR 8105 (1/26/81)	Do.
80-355	Generic name: (p-Dialkylamino phenyl)-diaryl heterocycle	46 FR 11026 (2/5/81)	Do
80-358	Generic name: Neutralized polymer of substituted polypropylene oxide and an epoxy resin	46 FR 8714 (1/27/81)	Mar. 11, 1981.
80-359	Generic name: Neutralized polymer of substituted polypropylene oxide and an epoxy resin	46 FR 8714 (1/27/81)	Do.
80-360	2-Propanol, 1-methoxy-acetate	In preparation	Mar. 12, 1981.
80-361	Formaldehyde, polymer with *N*-(3-aminopropyl)-1, 3-propane diamine, (chloromethyl) oxirane, and phenol.	46 FR 8716 (1/27/81)	Mar. 15, 1981.
80-362	A resin from bisphenol A-epichlorohydrin copolymer, bisphenol A, linseed oil fatty acids, tall oil fatty acids, styrene, and acrylic acid.	46 FR 8104 (1/26/81)	Do.
80-364	Generic name: Monoethanol amide of long chain fatty acid	46 FR 8717 (1/27/81)	Do.
80-365	Calcium sodium ethylenediamine tetrakis (methylenephosphonate)	46 FR 5058 (1/19/81)	Do.
80-366	1-Propanaminium, *N,N*-dimethyl, *N*-ethyl-3-[(1-oxoco-coalkyl)amino]-, ethylsulfate	46 FR 11354 (2/6/81)	Mar. 16, 1981.
80-367	Generic name: Vegetable fatty acid modified polyester	46 FR 11354 (2/6/81)	Mar. 18, 1981.
80-368	Generic name: Alkenylsuccinic acid, monoester	In preparation	Mar. 19, 1981.
80-369	Generic name: Polyester di-urethane methacrylate resin based on the reaction of a dialkyl diol/adipic acid polyester diol with toluene diisocyanate and hydroxyethyl metha-crylate.	do	Mar. 23, 1981.
80-370	Generic name: Aliphatic tnol	do	Mar. 22, 1981.
80-371	(Alkyl) halothophosphate	do	Mar. 24, 1981.
80-372	Halogenated diphenyl sulfide	do	Do.
80-373	Generic name: Reaction product of 4-nitrosophenol hydroxybenzene and an oxo alkane with sodium sulfide (NA_x (S_x)).	In preparation	Mar. 23, 1981.
80-374	Generic name: 4-(Trifluoromethyl)-2-chioro-1-(3-substituted phenoxy) benzene derivative	do	Do.
80-375	Generic name: Polymer of metha-crylic acid, alkyl acrylate, alkyl methacrylate, and an acrylic acid derivative.	do	Do.
80-376	Generic name: Urethane prepolymer	46 FR 11350 (2/6/81)	Do.
80-377	Polymer of: 1,2-ethanediol; 2,5-furandione; 1,3-isobenzo-furandione; 1,2-propanediol: and 32,4,7,72-tetrahydro-4,7-methano-1*H*-indene.	46 FR 11349 (2/6/81)	Do.
80-378	Generic name: *N*-(Substituted)-*N*-(substituted) acetamide	In preparation	Mar. 24, 1981.
80-379	Generic name: Organosilicon polymer	do	Do.
80-380	Generic name: 4-Substituted (substituted benzene)	46 FR 11350 (2/6/81)	Mar. 29, 1981.
80-381	1-Substituted-4-(substituted hetero-monocyclic) benzene	46 FR 11350 (2/6/81)	Do.
80-382	Generic name: Adipic acid, 1,2-propanediol, monocarboxylic acid polyester	In preparation	Do.
80-383	Ammonium dinoleate	46 FR 11349 (2/6/81)	Do.

III. Premanufacture Notices for Which the Notice Review Period Has Ended During the Month

80-272	*N*-Methyl-*N*-glucitylstearoylamide	45 FR 73128 (11/4/80)	Jan. 1, 1981.
80-273	Amines, C_{++}-alkyldimethyl, phosphate salt	45 FR 73128 (11/4/80)	Do.
80-275	Polymer of: Tall oil fatty acid, isophthalic acid, trimethylol propane, trimellitic anhydride, methyl methacrylate, ethyl acrylate, methacrylic acid, hydroxy ehtyl methacrylate.	45 FR 73132 (11/4/80)	Jan. 4, 1981.
80-276	Generic name: Styrene acrylic polymer	45 FR 71415 (10/28/80	Do.
80-277	Generic name: Modified terpolymer of mixed alkyl acrylates	45 FR 71415 (10/28/80)	Do.
80-278	Generic name: Modified copolymer of mixed alkyl acrylates	45 FR 71415 (10/28/80)	Do.
80-279	Polymer of: 2,2-Dimethyl 1,3-propanediol; 2,2,4-trimethyl 1, 3-pentanediol; isophthalic acid; and fumaric acid.	45 FR 73128 (11/4/80)	Jan. 5, 1981.
80-280	Generic name: Hydrogen zeolites	45 FR 74562 (11/10/80)	Jan. 7, 1981.
80-281	Polymer of: Propylene glycol, neopentyl glyco, isophthalic acid, Empol 1022 dimeric fatty acid, trimellitic anhydride polymer.	45 FR 73131 (11/4/80)	Jan. 8, 1981.
80-282	Aminoalkanol salt, as a 25% aqueous solution	45 FR 75752 (11/17/80)	Jan. 12, 1981.
80-283	Generic name: Styrene methacrylate acrylate polymer	45 FR 74993 (11/13/80)	Jan. 13, 1981.
80-284	Generic name: Polymer of alkanediols and carbomonocylcic anhydrides	45 FR 75752 (11/17/80)	Jan. 14, 1981.
80-286	M(4-Diazo phenyl) morpholine hexafluorophosphate	45 FR 74993 (11/13/80)	Jan. 19, 1981.
80-287	Polymer of: Tall oil fatty acid, isophthalic acid, terephthalic acid, pentaerythritol, benzoic acid, and trimethylol propane.	45 FR 77125 (11/21/80)	DO.
80-288	Polymer of: Soybean oil, pentaerythritol, isophthalic acid, benzoic acid, terephthalic acid	45 FR 77125 (11/21/80)	DO.
80-289	Amines, isopropyl, distillation residues	45 FR 75750 (11/17/80)	Jan. 21, 1981.
80-290	Amines, ethyl, distillation residues	45 FR 75750 (11/17/80)	Do.
80-291	Polymer of: Epoxy resin, bisphenol A, paraformaldehyde, dibutylamine, and diethanolamine	45 FR 79150 (11/28/80)	Do.
80-292	Generic name: Disubstituted carbopolycylic derivative	45 FR 79150 (11/28/80)	Do.
80-293	Generic name: Dimethyl alkylmethyl silicone glycol copolymer	45 FR 79157 (11/28/80)	Jan. 25, 1981.
80-294	Generic name: Siloxane, alkoxylated aminoalkyl	45 FR 79157 (11/28/80)	Do.
80-295	Generic name: Disubstituted nitrobenzene	45 FR 78791 (11/26/80)	Do.
80-296	Generic name: Ethyl, substituted, (((sulfopropyl) heteropolycyclic) methyl) alkenyl heteropolycycle.	45 FR 78791 (11/26/80)	Do.
80-297	Generic name: Ethyl, substituted, methytheteropolycycle tosylate	45 FR 78791 (11/26/80)	Do.
80-298	Generic name: Substituted, methytheteropolycycle	45 FR 78791 (11/26/80)	Do.
80-299	Generic name: Disubstituted benzene	45 FR 78791 (11/26/80)	Do
80-300	Generic name: Bis(Nitro, substituted phenyl) substituent	45 FR 78791 (11/26/80)	Do.
80-301	Cyclohexane, 1,1'-methylene bis [4-isocyansto-, reaction products with 1,3-isobenzofurandione, polymer with 1,6-hexanediol, alpha-hydro-omega-hydroxypolyoxy [1,4-butanediyl], and (2-hydroxyethyl)-2-propenoate.	45 FR 79152 (11/28/80)	Do.
80-302	Generic name: Modified Polyester based on carbomonocyclic anhydride and alkanediols	45 FR 80354 (12/4/80)	Jan. 26, 1981.
80-303	Generic name: Substituted alkyl peroxycarbonate	45 FR 80351 (12/4/80)	Jan. 27, 1981.
80-304	Generic name: Alkyl substituted chlorocarbonic acid	45 FR 80351 (12/4/80)	Do.
80-305	Generic name: Elastomeric urethane	45 FR 82707 (12/16/80)	Jan. 26, 1981.
80-306	Generic name: Urea/carbamate lacquer	45 FR 82707 (12/16/80)	Jan. 27, 1981.

Premanufacture Notices Monthly Status Report for January 1981—Continued

PMN No.	Identity/generic name	FR citation	Expiration date
80-307	Polymer of tall oil fatty acids, neopentyl glycol, trimethylol ethane, phthalic anhydride	45 FR 81651 (12/11/80)	Do.
80-310	Butanenitrile, 2-methyl, 2,2'-azobis	45 FR 80350 (12/4/80)	Jan. 28, 1981.
80-311	Butanenitrile, 2-methyl, 2-amino	45 FR 81826 (12/12/80)	Do.
80-312	„N-Isocyanatotolyl-abietamide	45 FR 83661 (12/19/80)	Do.
	IV. New Substances Added to the Inventory During the Month of January 1981		
80-108	Reichold Chemicals Inc	Hydrogenated petroleum hydrocarbon resin of the cyclopentadion type.	45 FR 41060 (6/17/80).
80-143	CBI	Generic n: Complex of *p*-phenyl phenol and an alkyl amine.	45 FR 46202 (7/9/80).
80-174	CBI	Generic name: Polyester reaction product with toluene diisocyanato-acrylate terminated.	45 FR 52243 (8/6/80).
80-191	CBI	Methylene bis (4-cyclo hexyl isocyanate propylene glycol hydroxy ethyl acrylate polyoxypropylenediamine.	45 FR 59196 (9/8/80).
80-211	CBI	Adduct of polytetra methylene glycol, an aliphatic polyglycol, and an aliphatic diisocyanate.	45 FR 60006 (9/11/80).
80-213	CBI	Generic name: Halogenated copolymer resin.	45 FR 60006 (9/11/80).
80-241	du Pont de Nemours	Generic name: Polyurethane polyacrylic polymer.	45 FR 63344 (9/24/80).
80-260	CBI	Generic name: Neutralized polymer of styrene, alkyl acrylates, and substituted alkyl methacrylates.	45 FR 69293 (10/20/80).
80-263	CBI	Monomers of linseed oil, styrene, glycerine, toluene diisocyanate.	45 FR 73130 (11/4/80).
80-264	CBI	Benzenamine, [*N*-(1-methylidene)-1-methyl butylidene)-4,4-methylene bis.	45 FR 73127 (11/4/80).
80-275	CBI	Polymer of tall oil fatty acid, isophthalic acid, trimethylol propane, trimellitic anhydride, methyl methacrylate, ethyl acrylate, methacrylic acid, hydroxy ethyl methacrylate.	45 FR 73132 (11/4/80).

[1] Expiration of the notice review period does not signify that the chemical had been added to the inventory.

[PP 5G1579/T282; PH-FRL 1766-2]

State of Florida; Renewal of Temporary Tolerance

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: EPA has issued a renewal of a temporary tolerance for residues of the plant growth regulator 5-chloro-3-methyl-4-nitro-1*H*-pyrazole in or on the raw agricultural commodity oranges at 0.1 part per million.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert J. Taylor, Product Manager (PM) 25, Registration Division (TS-767C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. 412E, CM#2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA 22202, (202-557-7066).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: EPA issued a notice that published in the **Federal Register** of January 10, 1980 (44 FR 2092) that a temporary tolerance had been renewed for residues of the plant growth regulator 5-chloro-3-methyl-4-nitro-1*H*-pyrazole in or on the raw agricultural commodity oranges at 0.1 part per million. This tolerance was renewed in response to a pesticide petition (PP 5G1579) submitted by the State of Florida, Department of Citrus, 1115 E. Memorial Blvd., Lakeland, FL 33802.

The State of Florida, Department of Citrus has requested a 1-year renewal of the temporary tolerance to permit the continued marketing of the above raw agricultural commodity when treated in accordance with the provisions of the experimental use permit (43806-EUP-1) which is being renewed under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) as amended, (92 Stat. 819; 7 U.S.C. 136).

The scientific data reported and all other relevant material were evaluated, and it was determined that a renewal of the temporary tolerance will protect the public health. Therefore, the temporary tolerance has been renewed on the condition that the pesticide be used in accordance with the experimental use permit with the following provisions:

1. The total amount of the pesticide to be used must not exceed the quantity authorized by the experimental use permit.

2. The State of Florida must immediately notify the EPA of any findings from the experimental use that have a bearing on safety. The State must also keep records of production, distribution, and performance and on request make the records available to any authorized officer or employee of the EPA or the Food and Drug Administration.

This renewal expires January 5, 1982. Residues not in excess of 0.1 ppm remaining in or on oranges after this expiration date will not be considered actionable if the pesticide is legally applied during the term of, and in accordance with, the provisions of the experimental use permit and temporary tolerance. This tolerance may be revoked if the experimental use permit is revoked or if any scientific data or experience with this pesticide indicates that such revocation is necessary to protect the public health.

(Sec. 408(j), 68 Stat. 561, (21 U.S.C. 136(j)))

Dated: February 10, 1981.

Douglas D. Campt,

Director, Registration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. 81-6707 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-32-M

[OPP-180486A; PH-FRL 1767-6]

Texas, Issuance of Specific Exemption for Chlordane on Flood Control Structures

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: EPA has granted a specific exemption to the Texas Department of Agriculture (hereafter referred to as the "Applicant") for the use of chlordane to control the desert termite on approximately 40 acres of grass on back slopes of flood control structures located

on the Kickapoo Creek Watershed in Coke County, Texas. The specific exemption is issued under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act.

DATE: The specific exemption expires on November 30, 1981.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jack E. Housenger, Registration Division (TS-767C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. 509B, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA 22202, (703-557-7123).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Applicant reports that the U.S. Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service, has constructed flood control structures which are currently under management supervision of local soil conservation districts. Traditionally, structural stabilization is obtained by establishment of native or adapted introduced grass species to prevent erosion of these earthen structures. Heavy infestations of desert termites have denuded six of these structures on about 40 acres in the Kickapoo Creek Watershed, promoting soil erosion, which results in weakened structures and expensive repair efforts. The Applicant states that the only mechanical alternative is to apply riprap, an expensive effort that would cost in excess of $3 million. Rejuvenation of the denuded areas would cost about $700.00 per acre and would require reinstallation approximately every third year because of termite activity. According to the Applicant, there are currently no registered pesticides for control of desert termites.

The Applicant proposes to use a total of 120 pounds of the active ingredient (a.i.) chlordane at a rate of 3 pounds a.i. per acre on approximately 40 acres. The Applicant reports that all the structures are fenced and no grazing is allowed. The Applicant states that chlordane is known to be effective against desert termites and has residual activity.

On March 6, 1978, the Administrator of EPA cancelled virtually all registrations of products containing chlordane. Notice of these cancellations appeared in the Federal Register of March 24, 1978 (43 FR 12372). Under Subpart D, 40 CFR 164.131, the Administrator must hold hearings before permitting the use of a cancelled registration of a pesticide unless he determines to waive hearings as provided for in 40 CFR 164.133.

The proposed use of chlordane has not been registered and therefore does not constitute a petition for reconsideration of the cancellation order as specified in 40 CFR 164.130. Since this is the case, it was not required that interested parties be notified and afforded hearing opportunities before this use of chlordane was permitted. A notice, however, was published in the Federal Register of September 12, 1980 (45 FR 60481), to give the public an opportunity to comment. The comment period closed on September 29, 1980; however, no comments were received.

EPA anticipates little or no applicator exposure from this use of chlordane. Any adverse effects on nontarget species will be minimal due to the limited acreage to be treated and the precautions EPA has imposed.

After reviewing the application and other available information, EPA has determined that the criteria for an exemption have been met. Accordingly, the Applicant has been granted a specific exemption to use the pesticide noted above until November 30, 1981, to the extent and in the manner set forth in the application. The specific exemption is also subject to the following conditions:

1. EPA-registered emulsifiable concentrate formulations of chlordane may be used.

2. A single application may be made using 3 pounds a.i. per acre.

3. All applications will be made using ground equipment in a minimum of 15 gallons of spray per acre.

4. All applications will be made by or under the direct supervision of applicators State-certified in this category of pest control.

5. A maximum of 40 acres may be treated. Treatment of the back slopes of a maximum of six flood control structures located on the Kickapoo Creek watershed in Coke County, Texas, is authorized.

6. A maximum of 120 pounds a.i. is authorized.

7. All applicable directions, restrictions, and precautions on the EPA-registered label are to be adhered to.

8. Applicators must wear a pesticide respirator which has been jointly approved by the Mining Enforcement and Safety Administration (formerly the U.S. Bureau of Mines) and the National Institute of Occupational Safety and Health.

9. Applicators must wear protective clothing including rubber gloves, long-sleeved shirts, and long pants. Applicators must wash thoroughly with soap and water after handling and before eating or smoking. Used clothing must be washed before reuse.

10. It is recommended that a closed system be used for mixing/loading operations of chlordane.

11. Chlordane is extremely toxic to fish and other aquatic organisms. It must not be applied directly to any body of water and drift reduction precautions must be observed. It may not be applied where excessive runoff is likely to occur.

12. Precautions must be taken to avoid or minimize spray drift to nontarget areas. It is recommended that pesticide applications be made when wind speeds are between 2 and 5 miles per hour. No pesticide applications are to be made when wind speed exceeds 10 miles per hour.

13. Participants are to be notified of their obligation to report any and all adverse effects on nontarget organisms arising from the use of chlordane. The EPA shall be immediately informed of any adverse effects resulting from the proposed use.

14. Prior to application, consultations must be held with the State Fish and Game Service and the Office of Endangered Species, Fish and Wildlife Service, U.S. Department of the Interior, regarding safeguards to be implemented in order to reduce potential adverse effects to nontarget organisms. Results of these consultations and those measures actually implemented should be documented and include in the final report (see item 16).

15. In order to prevent residues of chlordane in meat or milk of animals near the treatment area, livestock must not be allowed to graze on treated areas. All applications are to be made on areas which are fenced off from areas to be grazed. Periodic monitoring for chlordane residues should be performed on rangeland bordering treated areas to ensure that runoff from the treated areas has not occurred.

16. The Applicant is responsible for ensuring that all of the provisions of this specific exemption are met and must submit a final report summarizing the results of this program by March 1, 1982.

(Sec. 18 as amended 92 Stat. 819; (7 U.S.C. 136))

Dated: February 18, 1981.

James M. Conlon,

Associate Deputy Assistant Administrator for Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. 81-6711 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-32-M

[OPP-00120; PH-FRL 1745-3]

Ultra Low Volume or Low Volume Pesticide Applications; Issuance of Advisory Opinion

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of advisory opinion.

SUMMARY: EPA is issuing an Advisory Opinion under section 2(ee) of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA), as amended, to permit greater discretion in diluting individual pesticide products for forestry and agricultural applications. This Opinion will remove from the scope of the first Advisory Opinion, issued on July 10, 1979, (44 FR 40399) certain use practices which the Agency has found can be permitted without causing unreasonable adverse effects on the environment.

EFFECTIVE DATE: This notice is effective March 30, 1981.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Richrad W. King, Policy & Liaison Staff, Registration Division (TS-767), Room E-509F, Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA 22202, (703)-557-1736).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: FIFRA as amended in 1978, (Pub. L. 95-396) directed EPA to undertake an investigation addressing methods of pesticide application, including the use of ultra low volume, in cooperation with the Secretary of Agriculture and appropriate State officials. That investigation was completed and a report was submitted to Congress, as required. In that report, the Agency presented its finding that there may be a potential increase in hazard resulting from the sue of less diluent than approved on the product labeling in combination with the authorized amount of active ingredient.

The Agency issued an Advisory Opinion published in the **Federal Register** of July 10, 1979, (44 FR 40399) stating Agency policy that use of a pesticide with less diluent than that specified issued under section 18, constitutes use of a registered pesticide in a manner inconsistent with its labeling and therefore is a violation of FIFRA section 12(a)(2)(G). This Opinion was published under FIFRA section 2(ee), which provides that the term "misuse" shall "not include the use of a pesticide for agricultural or forestry purposes at a dilution less than label dosage" unless EPA issues a regulation or advisory opinion consistent with the report to Congress, which regulation or opinion specifically requires the use of definite amounts of dilution.

The July 10, 1979 Advisory Opinion also stated that the Agency intended to conduct a further review of the nature and effects of low volume (LV) and ultra low volume (ULV) applications and that a second opinion would be issued if appropriate to permit more discretion in diluting individual pesticide products. This statement was premised on the belief that certain LV and ULV applications could be made without causing unreasonable adverse effects but that a determination as to exactly what types of LV and ULV applications could be permitted would require further evaluation. Such an evaluation has now been completed and EPA is today issuing the second Advisory Opinion under FIFRA section 2(ee).

Advisory Opinion

The Advisory Opinion issued July 10, 1979 effectively barred LV or ULV pesticide applications unless such use practices were permitted by product labeling or by the terms of an emergency exemption issued under FIFRA section 18. EPA is now revising that Opinion to permit the use of a pesticide on a forest or agricultural site in a manner which results in application of the same amount of active ingredient(s) to the site as specified on the product label but with less diluent than is specified on the label if certain conditions are met.

In the case of ultra low volume (ULV) applications; i.e., the diluted formulation is applied at a rate of less than or equal to one-half gallon per acre, these conditions are:

(1) The use of less diluent is based on an official written or published recommendation made in accordance with this Advisory Opinion by an Agricultural Extension Service of a land grant college or university or by an appropriate federal, state, or local government agency;

(2) The use of less diluent is not specifically prohibited on the label; and

(3) The pesticide is applied in accordance with the other provisions of this Advisory Opinion.

In the case of low volume (LV) applications, i.e., where the diluted formulation is applied at a rate less than that specified on the label but greater than one-half gallon per acre, the conditions are:

(1) The use of less diluent is based on an official written or published recommendation made in accordance with this Advisory Opinion by an Agricultural Extension Service of a land grant college or university, by an appropriate federal, state, or local government agency, or by persons officially designated by the state lead agency to issue recommendations under this Opinion.

(2) The use of less diluent is not specifically prohibited on the label; and

(3) The pesticide is applied in accordance with the other provisions of this Advisory Opinion.

Persons officially designated by a state lead agency to issue such recommendations, shall not be considered to be duly authorized to make recommendations under this Opinion until the state lead agency has notified the EPA Registration Division of their names and affiliations.

Except as specifically provided in this Advisory Opinion, any use of a registered pesticide with less diluent than specified on the labeling in combination with the authorized amount of active ingredient(s) constitutes use of a registered pesticide in a manner inconsistent with its labeling in violation of FIFRA, section 12(a)(2)(G).

A. Contents of the Recommendation

Each recommendation shall be made in writing, and signed and dated by the appropriate representative. Official publications of these organizations may also be a source of recommendation.

All recommendations must provide operational level guidance to the applicator delineating acceptable methods for reducing all potential increases in exposure levels.

This recommendation must specify the geographical location, (e.g., county) in which the specific use practice(s) will be permitted, the specific amount(s) of diluent to be used, the commodity, crop or area on which the application is to be made, the dates and duration for which the recommendation is applicable, and the specific pesticide(s) to be used.

In addition, the appropriate method(s) of application (e.g. air or ground) must be specified in the recommendation and must be linked to the site/pest combinations listed in the product labeling.

The recommendations must refer to either: (a) The particular pesticide product(s) to be used, (e.g., identified by product name and EPA registration number), or (b) the particular formulation of active ingredient(s) to which the recommendation applies.

The recommendation for the particular use practice(s) will be valid only in the specific geographical region(s) of the State wherein it was made, and for the pesticide(s) to be applied.

B. Notification

Any person or organization (including persons officially designated by a state lead agency) making a recommendation pursuant to this opinion must provide the EPA and the appropriate State pesticide regulatory agency a copy of each recommendation. Copies of recommendations submitted to the EPA should be addressed to either the Fungicide-Herbicide Branch or Insecticide-Rodenticide Branch.

Technical Support Section Head, Registration Division (TS-767), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St. SW, Washington, DC 20460.

C. Limitations

The use of a registered pesticide for the control of pests in accordance with this Advisory Opinion neither modifies other label provisions or directions for use, nor authorizes the use of the pesticide in a manner inconsistent with any other label provisions, including, without limitation, directions for use, precautionary labeling, and warning statements. Under no circumstances does this Advisory Opinion permit any activity which is expressly prohibited on the label.

Label provisions which must be complied with at all times, regardless of the use patterns include, without limitation, precautionary statements regarding product mixing, loading and preparation, other application methods, other label dosage rates (except as provided in **Federal Register** Notice published on July 10, 1979), application frequency or pre-harvest intervals, tolerances, field reentry intervals, protective clothing or equipment requirements, product packaging and transportation requirements, and storage and disposal practices.

Federal and State agencies may not use this opinion to make recommendations for pesticides used under a Section 18 emergency exemption. If a federal or state agency wishes to recommend less diluent than specified on the label, an amended Section 18 application must be submitted to and approved by EPA.

D. Responsibility for Compliance: Civil Liability Matters

The responsibility for complying with the recommendations made under this Advisory Opinion and all applicable label instructions will rest with the person using or supervising the use of the pesticide. Use of a pesticide in accordance with the provisions of this Advisory Opinion will not limit liability for State enforcement sanctions should a State, in administering state law, decline to adopt this Advisory Opinion.

Persons or organizations who make recommendations under this Advisory Opinion should be aware that under state law they may be found liable for civil damages to persons who suffer harm as a result of their recommendation. Therefore, before issuing a recommendation under this Advisory Opinion, it would be prudent to evaluate the proposed use practice to determine whether it would result in (1) an unreasonable increase in risk to applicators, workers, or bystanders; (2) an unreasonable increase in risk of phytotoxicity to the target crop or nearby sensitive crops; (3) an unreasonable increase in risk to nontarget organisms, including birds, fish, wildlife, bees, and beneficial insects; or (4) pesticides residues in excess of established tolerances for agricultural commodities as identified in 40 CFR Part 180.

E. Revocation of Recommendations

EPA may revoke any recommendations(s) issued under the provisions of this Advisory Opinion which has demonstrated or is suspected of producing substantial adverse effects to man and the environment.

Authority

This Advisory Opinion is issued pursuant to the authority granted to the Administrator by section 2(ee) of FIFRA, 7 U.S.C. 136(ee) (Supp. 1979).

Dated: January 28, 1981.

Edwin L. Johnson,

Deputy Assistant Administrator for Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. 81-6723 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-32-M

[OPTS-00021; TS FRL 1768-4]

Interagency Toxic Substances Data Committee; Cancellation of Meeting

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The March 3, 1981 meeting of the Interagency Toxic Substances Data Committee has been cancelled.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nan Fremont (TS-777), Executive Secretary, Interagency Toxic Substances Data Committee, Office of Pesticides and Toxic Substances, Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M St., SW., Washington, D.C. 20460, (202-755-8040).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The regular meetings of the Interagency Toxic Substances Data Committee take place on the first Tuesday of each month at 9:30 a.m. and are open to the public. The meetings are held in: Rm. 2010, New Executive Office Building, 17th St. and Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Washington, D.C. 20006.

The March meeting has been cancelled. The next meeting of the Interagency Toxic Substances Data Committee will take place on April 7, 1981.

Dated: February 19, 1981.

Nan Fremont,

Executive Secretary, Interagency Toxic Substances Data Committee.

[FR Doc. 81-6906 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-31-M

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

First City Holding Corp.; Formation of Bank Holding Company

First City Holding Corporation, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, has applied for the Board's approval under section 3(a)(1) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(a)(1)) to become a bank holding company by acquiring 80 percent or more of the voting shares of City National Bank and Trust Company, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma. The factors that are considered in acting on the application are set forth in section 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)).

The application may be inspected at the offices of the Board of Governors or at the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City. Any person wishing to comment on the application should submit views in writing to the Reserve Bank, to be received not later than March 25, 1981. Any comment on an application that requests a hearing must include a statement of why a written presentation would not suffice in lieu of a hearing, identifying specifically any questions of fact that are in dispute and summarizing the evidence that would be presented at a hearing.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, February 25, 1981.

Jefferson A. Walker,

Assistant Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 81-6794 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-M

Flagship Banks, Inc.; Acquistion of Bank

Flagship Banks, Inc., Miami, Florida, has applied for the Board's approval under section 3(a)(3) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(a)(3)) to acquire 100 per cent of the voting shares of Flagship National Bank of Sarasota County, Sarasota, Florida. The factors that are considered in acting on the application are set forth in section 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)).

The application may be inspected at the offices of the Board of Governors or at the Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta. Any person wishing to comment on the application should submit views in writing to the Reserve Bank to be

received not later than March 27, 1981. Any comment on an application that requests a hearing must inlcude a statement of why a written presentation would not suffice in lieu of a hearing, identifying specifically any question of fact that are in dispute and summarizing the evidence that would be presented at a hearing.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, February 25, 1981.

Jefferson A. Walker,

Assistant Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 81-6795 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-M

South First National Corp., Formation of Bank Holding Company

The South First National Corporation, Ocean Springs, Mississippi, has applied for the Board's approval under section 3(a)(1) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(a)(1)) to become a bank holding company by acquiring 80 per cent or more of the voting shares of First National Bank of the South, Ocean Springs, Mississippi. The factors that are considered in acting on the application are set forth in section 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)).

The application may be inspected at the offices of the Board of Governors or at the Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta. Any person wishing to comment on the application should submit views in writing to the Reserve Bank, to be received not later than March 27, 1981. Any comment on an application that requests a hearing must include a statement of why a written presentation would not suffice in lieu of a hearing, identifying specifically any questions of fact that are in dispute and summarizing the evidence that would be presented at a hearing.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, February 25, 1981.

Jefferson A. Walker,

Assistant Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 81-6796 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-M

GENERAL ACCOUNTING OFFICE

Regulatory Reports Review; Notice of Receipt of Report Proposal

The following request for clearance of a report intended for use in collecting information from the public was received by the Regulatory Reports Review Staff, GAO, on February 24, 1981. See 44 U.S.C. 3512(c) and (d). The purpose of publishing this notice in the **Federal Register** is to inform the public of such receipt.

The notice includes the title of the request received; the name of the agency sponsoring the proposed collection of information; the agency form number, if applicable; and the frequency with which the information is proposed to be collected.

Written comments on the proposed NRC request are invited from all interested persons, organizations, public interest groups, and affected businesses. Because of the limited amount of time GAO has to review the proposed request, comments (in triplicate) must be received on or before March 23, 1981, and should be addressed to Mr. John M. Lovelady, Senior Group Director, Regulatory Reports Review, United States General Accounting Office, Room 5106, 441 G Street NW, Washington, DC 20548.

Further information may be obtained from Patsy J. Stuart of the Regulatory Reports Review Staff, 202-275-3532.

Nuclear Regulatory Commission

The NRC requests clearance of revisions to Form NRC-2, Application for Source Material. The use of Form NRC-2 provides a mechanism for applicants who wish to obtain a license to possess or use source material to provide the information needed by NRC to review the applicant's proposed programs. The regulation, 10 CFR Part 40, provides general requirements for issuance of a license. Form NRC-2 has benefit and value in that it seeks the specific information which an applicant must submit so that NRC can make a determination as to whether or not the applicant's program is adequate and meets the general requirements for a license. One revision entails a change in item 10 from "minimum" technical qualifications of the supervisory personnel responsible for the radiation safety program to "resume" of the technical qualifications to ensure information more pertinent to the license is obtained; items 5 and 6 which formerly asked for citizenship, state, age and business/occupation of applicant, if an individual, have been replaced by a block requesting person to contact regarding the application and a phone number; and all references to pounds were changed to kilograms for conformance with the metric system.

Norman F. Heyl,

Regulatory Reports Review Officer.

[FR Doc. 81-6606 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 1610-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and Mental Health Administration

Basic Behavioral Process Research Review Committee et al.; Meeting Changes

In **Federal Register** Document 81-1766, appearing on pages 5069-72, in the issue of Monday, January 19, 1981, the following changes are made:

The Basic Behavioral Process Research Review Committee will meet February 26-27. The meeting will be open on February 26 from 9:00 to 10:00 a.m.

The Alcohol Biomedical Research Review Committee will meet February 25-27.

The second listing for the Alcohol Biomedical Research Review Committee (on page 5071) is changed to the Alcohol Psychosocial Research Review Committee—contact: James C. Teegarden.

Other arrangements for these meetings remain as announced on January 19, 1981.

Dated: January 29, 1981.

Elizabeth A. Connolly,

Committee Management Officer, Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and Mental Health Administration.

[FR Doc. 81-6649 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4110-88-M

Food and Drug Administration

[Docket No. 81F-0009]

Calgon Corp.; Filing of Food Additive Petition

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration announces that the Calgon Corp. has filed a petition proposing that the food additive regulations be amended to provide for the safe use of 1, 2-dibromo-2,4-dicyanobutane as a preservative for latexes used as pigment binders in paper coatings.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mary W. Lipien, Bureau of Foods (HFF-334), Food and Administration, 200 C St. SW., Washington, DC 20204, 202-472-5740.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 409(b)(5), 72 Stat. 1786 (21 U.S.C. 348(b)(5))), notice is given that a petition (FAP 8B3373) has been filed by

the Calgon Corp., Pittsburgh, PA 15230, proposing that § 176.170 (21 CFR 176.170) be amended to provide for the safe use of 1,2-dibromo-2,4-dicyanobutane as a preservative for latexes used as pigment binders in coatings of paper and paperboard in contact with aqueous and fatty foods.

The agency has carefully considered the potential environmental effects of this proposed action and has concluded that the action will not have a significant impact on the human environment and that an environmental impact statement is not required. The agency's finding of no significant impact and the evidence supporting that document may be seen in the Dockets Management Branch (HFA–305), Food and Drug Administration, Rm. 4–62, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857, between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

Dated: February 10, 1981.

Sanford A. Miller,

Director, Bureau of Foods.

[FR Doc. 81-6655 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4110-03-M

[Docket No. 79M-0438]

Cooper Vision, Inc.; Premarket Approval of Permalens ® (Perfilcon A) Hydrophilic Contact Lens for Nonaphakic Extended Wear

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) announces its approval of the supplemental application for premarket approval under the Medical Device Amendments of 1976 of the Permalens ® (perfilcon A) Hydrophilic Contact Lens for Nonaphakic Extended Wear, sponsored by Cooper Vision, Inc., Mountain View, CA. After reviewing the recommendation of the Ophthalmic Device Section of the Ophthalmic; Ear, Nose, and Throat; and Dental Devices Panel, FDA notified the sponsor that the application was approved because the device had been shown to be safe and effective for use as recommended in the submitted labeling.

DATE: Petitions for administrative review by April 2, 1981.

ADDRESS: Requests for copies of the summary of safety and effectiveness data and petitions for administrative review may be sent to the Dockets Management Branch (formerly the Hearing Clerk's office) (HFA–305), Food and Drug Administration, Rm. 4–62, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Henry Goldstein, Bureau of Medical Devices (HFK–402), Food and Drug Administration, 8757 Georgia Ave., Silver Spring, MD 20910, 301–427–7445.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On August 27, 1980, Cooper Vision, Inc., Mountain View, CA, submitted to FDA a supplemental application for premarket approval of Permalens ® (perfilcon A) Hydrophilic Contact Lens for Nonaphakic Extended Wear. FDA initially approved this device for aphakic extended wear on June 11, 1979, following the agency's determination that the lenses were safe and effective for use as recommended in the submitted labeling (see 44 FR 76862; December 28, 1979). The current supplemental application requested approval of the lenses for extended wear by persons with nondiseased eyes. The application was reviewed by the Ophthalmic Device Section of the Ophthalmic; Ear, Nose, and Throat; and Dental Devices Panel, an FDA advisory committee, which recommended approval of the application. On January 29, 1981, FDA approved the application by a letter to the sponsor from the Acting Director of the Bureau of Medical Devices.

Before enactment of the Medical Device Amendments of 1976 (the amendments) (Pub. L. 94–295, 90 Stat. 539–583), soft contact lenses and solutions were regulated as new drugs. Because the amendments broadended the definition of the term "device" in section 201(h) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (the act) (21 U.S.C. 321(h)), soft contact lenses and solutions are now regulated as class III devices (premarket approval). As FDA explained in a notice published in the **Federal Register** of December 16, 1977 (42 FR 63472), the amendments provide transitional provisions to ensure continuation of premarket approval requirements for class III devices formerly regulated as new drugs. Furthermore, FDA requires, as a condition to approval, that sponsors of applications for premarket approval of soft contact lenses or solutions comply with the records and reports provisions of Part 310 (21 CFR Part 310), Subpart D, until these provisions are replaced by similar requirements under the amendments.

A summary of the safety and effectiveness data on which FDA's approval is based is on file with the Dockets Management Branch (address above) and is available upon request from that office. Requests should be identified with the name of the device and the docket number found in brackets in the heading of this document.

The labeling of the Permalens ® (perfilcon A) Hydrophilic Contact Lens, like that of other approved contact lenses, states that the lens is to be used only with certain solutions for disinfection and other purposes. The restrictive labeling informs new users that they must avoid using inappropriate products, e.g., solutions intended for use with hard contact lenses. However, the restrictive labeling needs to be updated periodically to refer to new lens solutions that FDA approves for use with an approved contact lens. A sponsor who fails to update the restrictive labeling may violate the misbranding provisions of section 502 of the act (21 U.S.C. 352) as well as the Federal Trade Commission Act (15 U.S.C. 41–58), as amended by the Magnuson-Moss Warranty-Federal Trade Commission Improvement Act (Pub. L. 93–637). Furthermore, failure to update the restrictive labeling to refer to new solutions that may be used with an approved lens may be grounds for withdrawing approval of the application for the lens, under section 515(e)(1)(F) of the act (21 U.S.C. 360e(e)(1)(1)). Accordingly, whenever FDA publishes a notice in the **Federal Register** of the agency's approval of a new solution for use with an approved lens, the sponsor of the lens shall correct its labeling to refer to the new solution at the next printing or at any other time FDA prescribes by letter to the sponsor.

Opportunity for Administrative Review

Section 515(d)(3) of the act (21 U.S.C. 360e(d)(3)) authorizes any interested person to petition, under section 515(g) of the act (21 U.S.C. 360e(g)) for administrative review of FDA's decision to approve this application. A petitioner may request either a formal hearing under Part 12 (21 CFR Part 12) of FDA's administrative practices and procedures regulations or a review of the application and FDA's action by an independent advisory committee of experts. A petition is to be in the form of a petition for reconsideration of FDA action under § 10.33(b) (21 CFR 10.33(b)). A petitioner shall identify the form of review requested (hearing or independent advisory committee) and shall submit with the petition supporting data and information showing that there is a genuine and substantial issue of material fact for resolution through administrative review. After reviewing the petition, FDA will decide to grant or deny the petition and will publish a notice of its decision in the **Federal Register.** If FDA grants the petition, the

notice will state the issues to be reviewed, the form of review to be used, the persons who may participate in the review, the time and place where the review will occur, and other details.

Petitioners may, at any time on or before April 2, 1981, file with the Dockets Management Branch (HFA–305), Food and Drug Administration, Rm. 4–62, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857, four copies of each petition and supporting data and information, identified with the name of the device and the docket number found in brackets in the heading of this document. Received petitions may be seen in the office above between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

Dated: February 25, 1981.

William F. Randolph,

Acting Associate Commissioner for Regulatory Affairs.

[FR Doc. 81–6652 Filed 3–2–81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4110–03–M

[Docket No. 81G–0035]

Corning Glass Works; Filing of Petition for Affirmation of GRAS Status

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) announces that Corning Glass Works has filed a petition (GRASP 1G0273) proposing affirmation that the use of an immobilized lactase composite is generally recognized as safe (GRAS) for use in the production of low-lactose whey.

DATE: Comments by May 4, 1981.

ADDRESS: Written comments to the Dockets Management Branch (formerly the Hearing Clerk's office) (HFA–305), Food and Drug Administration, Rm. 4–62, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Vivian Prunier, Bureau of Foods (HFF–335), Food and Drug Administration, 200 C St. SW., Washington, DC 20204, 202–426–9463.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (secs. 201(s), 409, 72 Stat. 1784–1788 as amended (21 U.S.C. 321(s), 348)) and the regulations for affirmation of GRAS status under § 170.35 (21 CFR 170.35), notice is given that a petition (GRASP 1G0273) has been filed by Corning Glass Works, Corning, NY 14830, and placed on public display at the Dockets Management Branch, Food and Drug Administration, proposing affirmation that the use of an immobilized lactase composite is generally recognized as safe for producing low-lactose whey.

The immobilized lactase composite is prepared by covalently bonding β-galactosidase (from *Aspergillus niger* or other approved organisms) to a porous ceramic support via the linking agents γ-aminopropyltriethoxy silane and glutaraldehyde.

Any petition which meets the format requirements outlined in § 170.35 is filed by FDA. There is no prefiling review of the adequacy of data to support a GRAS conclusion. Thus the filing of a petition for GRAS affirmation should not be interpreted as a preliminary indication of suitability for affirmation.

Interested persons may, on or before May 4, 1981, review the petition and file comments (four copies) with the Dockets Management Branch (HFA–305), Food and Drug Administration, Rm. 4–62, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857. Comments should include any available information that would be helpful in determining whether the substance is, or is not, generally recognized as safe. A copy of the petition and received comments may be seen in the Dockets Management Branch, address above, between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

Dated: February 23, 1981.

Sanford A. Miller,

Director, Bureau of Foods.

[FR Doc. 81–6653 Filed 3–2–81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4110–03–M

[Docket No. 80F–0031]

Dynapol; Filing of Food Additive Petition

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) announces that Dynapol has filed a petition proposing that the food additive regulations be amended to provide for the safe use of an antioxidant for use in food for human consumption.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rudolph Harris, Bureau of Foods (HFF–334), Food and Drug Administration, 200 C St. SW., Washington, DC 20204, 202–472–5690.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 409(b)(5), 72 Stat. 1786 (21 U.S.C. 348(b)(5))), FDA gives notice that Dynapol, 1454 Page Mill Rd., Palo Alto, CA 94304, has filed a petition (FAP OA3517) proposing that a new section be added under Part 172 (21 CFR Part 172), Subpart B—Food Preservatives, to provide for the safe use of an antioxidant, 1,4-benzenediol, 2-(1,1-dimethylethyl)-polymer with diethenylbenzene, 4-(1,1-dimethylethyl)phenol, 4-methoxyphenol, 4,4'-(1-methylethylidene)bis(phenol) and 4-methylphenol (CAS Reg. No. 60837–57–2), for use in food for human consumption. The antioxidant is also called anoxomer or *tert*-butylhydroquinone polymer with divinylbenzene, *p-tert*-butylphenol, *p*-methoxyphenol, 4,4'-isopropylidenediphenol, and *p*-cresol.

The potential environmental impact of this action is being reviewed. If the agency finds that an environmental impact statement is not required and this petition results in a regulation, the notice of availability of the agency's finding of no significant impact and the evidence supporting that finding will be published with the regulation in the **Federal Register** in accordance with 21 CFR 25.40(c) (proposed December 11, 1979; 44 FR 71742).

Dated: February 17, 1981.

Sanford A. Miller,

Director, Bureau of Foods.

[FR Doc. 81–6657 Filed 3–2–81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4110–03–M

[Docket No. 81F–0008]

Sun Chemical Corp.; Filing of Food Additive Petition

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration announces that Sun Chemical Corp. has filed a petition proposing that the food additive regulations be amended to provide for the safe use of methylated ethylene urea-glyoxal copolymer as a starch insolubilizer for paper and paperboard which will contact dry food.

FOR FUTHER IMFORMATION CONTACT: Richard C. Kraska, Bureau of Foods (HFF–334), Food and Drug Administration, 200 C St. SW., Washington, DC 20204, 202–472–5690.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec 409(b) (5), 72 Stat. 1786 (21 U.S.C. 348(b) (5))), notice is given that a petition (FAP OB3530) has been filed by Sun Chemical Corp., Chester, SC 29706, proposing that § 176.180 Component of paper and paperboard in contact with dry food (21 CFR 176.180) be amended to provide for the safe use of methylated ethylene ureaglyoxal copolymer as a starch insolubilizer for paper and paperboard which will contact dry food.

The potential environmental impact of this action is being reviewed. If the agency finds that an environmental

impact statement is not required and this petition results in a regulation, the notice of availability of the agency's finding of no significant impact and the evidence supporting that finding will be published with the regulation in the **Federal Register** in accordance with 21 CFR 25. 40(c) (proposed December 11, 1979; 44 FR 71742).

Dated: February 10, 1981.

Sanford A. Miller,

Director, Bureau of Foods.

[FR Doc. 81-6650. Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4110-03-M

[Docket No. 80P-0522/CP]

Tomato Juice Deviating From Identity Standard; Temporary Permit for Market Testing

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) announces that a temporary permit has been issued to KMC Foods, Inc., to market test tomato juice from concentrate. The purpose of this temporary permit is to permit the applicant to measure consumer acceptance of the food.

DATES: This permit is effective for 15 months, beginning on the date the new food is introduced or caused to be introduced into interstate commerce, but no later than June 1, 1981 However, the permit may terminate sooner, depending upon the final action on FDA's proposal to amend the standard of identity for tomato juice published in the **Federal Register** of May 9, 1978 (43 FR 19864). If the proposal is affirmed, the permit will terminate on the effective date of the final regulation. If the proposal is rejected, the permit will expire 30 days after such negative ruling on the proposal.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: F. Leo Kauffman, Bureau of Foods (HFF-214), Food and Drug Administration, 200 C St. SW., Washington, DC 20204, 202-245-1164.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In accordance with § 130.17 (21 CFR 130.17) concerning temporary permits to facilitate market testing of foods deviating from the requirements of the standards of identity promulgated under section 401 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 341), notice is given that a temporary permit has been issued to KMC Foods, Inc., P.O. Box 158, Cheriton, VA 23316. The permit covers limited interstate marketing tests of tomato juice that deviates from the standard of identity prescribed for tomato juice under 21 CFR 156.145. The product is prepared from concentrated tomato juice that complies with the requirements of § 155.192(a)(1) (21 CFR 155.192(a)(1)). The test product is equivalent to a single-strength tomato juice normally found in the marketplace. The finished product contains not less than 5.5 percent tomato soluble solids. The permit provides for the temporary marketing of 100,000 cases of twelve 46-ounce cans of the product to be distributed in the States of Alabama, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Tennessee, Virginia, Vermont, and West Virginia.

The test product is to be packed at the KMC Foods, Inc., plant located in Cheriton, VA.

The principal display panel of the label states the product's name as "tomato juice from concentrate". Each of the ingredients used is stated on the label as required by the applicable sections of 21 CFR Part 101, except that the tomato ingredient complying with the requirements of § 155.192(a)(1) is declared as "tomato concentrate". This permit is effective for 15 months, beginning on the date the new food is introduced or caused to be introduced into interstate commerce, but no later than June 1, 1981. However, the permit may terminate sooner, depending upon the final action on FDA's proposal to amend the standard of identity for tomato juice published in the **Federal Register** of May 9, 1978 (43 FR 19864). If the proposal is affirmed, the permit will terminate on the effective date of the final regulation. If the proposal is rejected, the permit will expire 30 days after such negative ruling on the proposal.

Dated: February 23, 1981.

William F. Randolph,

Acting Associate Commissioner for Regulatory Affairs.

[FR Doc. 81-6654 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4110-03-M

[Docket No. 81M-0002]

Burton, Parsons and Co., Inc.; Premarket Approval of Combiflex™ Hydrophilic Contact Lens Solution

Correction

In FR Doc. 81-2825, published at page 5736, in the issue of Tuesday, January 27, 1981, make the following corrections.

1. The Trademark symbol,"™" should appear after the name "Combiflex" in:

a. The heading on page 8736, as set for TM above.

b. Page 8737, first column, sixth line under "**SUMMARY**".

c. Page 8737, first column, fifth line under "**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**".

d. Page 8737, second column, second full paragraph, second line.

2. On page 8737, second column, in the last line of the second full paragraph the reference "21 U.S.C. 360(e)(1)(F)" should read "21 U.S.C. 360e(e)(1)(F)".

BILLING CODE 1505-01-M

[FDA-225-80-2001]

Clams; Memorandum of Understanding With the Department of Health and Social Security of the United Kingdom

Correction

In FR Doc. 81-2827, published at page 8737, in the issue of Tuesday, January 27, 1981, make the following corrections:

1. On page 8738, second column, under "**III. Liaison Between Food and Drug** * * * ", the next to the last paragraph, in the second line from the end of that paragraph, the ZIP code reading "2024" should read "20204".

2. On page 8739, first column, second line from the top of the page, "NSS" should read "NSSP".

BILLING CODE 1505-01-M

[Docket No. 78N-0376]

International Nutrition, Inc.; Denial of Request for Hearing and Refusal To Approve Application

Correction

In FR Doc. 81-2819, published at page 8741, in the issue of Tuesday, January 27, 1981, in the second column, fifth line from the top of the page the reference reading "§ 558.45" should read "§ 558.145".

BILLING CODE 1505-01-M

[Docket No. 77-0350]

Protection of Human Research Subjects; Clinical Investigations Which May Be Reviewed Through Expedited Review Procedure Set Forth in FDA Regulations

Correction

In FR Doc. 81-2690, published on page 8980, in the issue of Tuesday, January 27, 1981, in the second column, seventh line from the top of the page, the word "weighting" should read "weighing".

BILLING CODE 1505-01-M

[Docket No. 80G-0516]

Davidson Chemical Division of W. R. Grace and Co., Filing of Petition For Affirmation of GRAS Status

Correction

In FR Doc. 81-5557, appearing on page 13375, on Friday, February 20, 1981, in the first column, in the "**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**" paragraph "(HFA-" should be corrected to read "(HFF-".

BILLING CODE 1505-01-M

[Docket No. 80M-0509]

Miles Laboratories, Inc., Biostator®

Glucose Controlled Insulin Infusion System; Premarket Approval

Correction

In FR Doc. 81-5354, appearing at page 13375, on Friday, February 20, 1981, on page 13376, in the first column, in the "Supplementary Information" paragraph, in the seventeenth line "GDA's" should be corrected to read "FDA's".

BILLING CODE 1505-01-M

Public Health Service

National Committee on Vital and Health Statistics; Environmental Health Statistics Subcommittee; Meeting

Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Act (Pub. L. 92-463), notice is hereby given that the Subcommittee on Environmental Health Statistics of the National Committee on Vital and Health Statistics, pursuant to functions established by Section 306(k), Paragraph (4) of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 242(k)), will convene Monday, February 23, 1981, 9:00 a.m. in Room G-20 of the Federal Center Building, 3700 East-West Highway, Hyattsville, Maryland 20782.

Principal consideration will be devoted to a discussion of guidelines and plans for the Subcommittee, and recommendations to be made to the National Committee on Vital and Health Statistics. Agenda items are subject to change as priorities dictate.

The meeting is open to the public for observation and participation. Further information regarding this meeting of the Subcommittee or other matters pertaining to the National Committee on Vital and Health Statistics may be obtained by contacting Samuel P. Korper, Ph.D., M.P.H., Executive Secretary, National Committee on Vital and Health Statistics, Room 17A-55, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, Maryland 20857, telephone: 301-443-2660.

Dated: January 29, 1981.

Wayne C. Richey, Jr.,

Acting Executive Secretary, Office of Health Research, Statistics and Technology.

[FR Doc. 81-6644 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4110-85-M

National Center for Health Care Technology; Scientific Evaluation of Medical Technology

The National Center for Health Care Technology (Center) announces that it is conducting an evaluation of what is known of the safety and clinical effectiveness of electroencephalographic monitoring during open heart surgery and the immediate post-operative period. Based on this evaluation, a recommendation will be formulated to assist the Health Care Financing Administration (HCFA) in establishing Medicare coverage policy. Any person or group wishing to provide the Center with information relevant to this evaluation should do so in writing no later than June 1, 1981. To enable the Center's staff to give appropriate consideration to any literature references or analyses of clinical data, a written summary no longer than 10 pages be attached to any such material submitted.

Written material should be submitted to: Division of Medical and Scientific Evaluation, National Center for Health Care Technology, Room 17-A-29, Parklawn Building, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, Maryland 20857.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephen P. Heyse, M.D., M.P.H., Health Science Analyst at the above address or by telephone. (301) 443-4990.

Dated: January 29, 1981.

Wayne C. Richey, Jr.,

Acting Executive Secretary, Office of Health Research, Statistics, and Technology.

[FR Doc. 81-6645 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4110-85-M

Intent To Grant Exclusive Patent License

Pursuant to 45 CFR 6.3 of the Department of Health and Human Services Patent Regulations and 41 CFR 101-4 of the Federal Procurement Regulations, notice is hereby given of an intent to grant to Suntech, Inc., of Radnor, Pennsylvania, an exclusive license to manufacture, use, and sell inventions of Robert E. Moore and Leland C. Clark, Jr., entitled as follows:

1. "Novel Process for Production of Perfluro p-Methane from Pinene," U.S. Patent No. 4,143,079, issued March 6, 1979;

2. "Determination of Perflurorocarbon Critical Solution Temperature," U.S. Application Serial No. 81,584;

3. Invention entitled "Preparation of a Mixture of 1-Hydropentadeca fluroroadamantane and Perfluoroadamantane," U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 165,902.

Copies of the above U.S. applications and issued U.S. patent may be obtained upon written request to the Chief, Patent Branch, Department of Health and Human Services, c/o National Institutes of Health, Westwood Building, Room 5A03, Bethesda, MD 20205.

The proposed license will have a duration of five (5) years from the date of first commercial sale in the United States of America, or eight (8) years from the date of the license, whichever occurs first, may be royalty-bearing, and will contain other terms and conditions to be negotiated by the parties in accordance with the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) Patent Regulations. HHS will grant the license unless, within sixty (60) days of this Notice, the Chief of the Patent Branch, named hereinabove, receives in writing any of the following, together with supporting documents:

A. A statement from any person setting forth reasons why it would not be in the best interest of the United States to grant the proposed license; or

B. An application for a nonexclusive license to manufacture, use or sell the invention in the United States is submitted in accordance with 41 CFR 101-4 of the Federal Procurement Regulations, and 45 CFR 6.3 of the Department of Health and Human Services Patent Regulations, and the applicant states that he has already brought the invention to practical application or is likely to bring the invention to practical application expeditiously.

The Assistant Secretary for Health of the Department of Health and Human Services will review all written responses to this Notice.

(45 CFR 6.3 and 41 CFR 101-4)

Dated: February 24, 1981.

Charles Miller,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Health.

[FR Doc. 81-6740 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4110-12-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Heritage Conservation and Recreation Service

National Register of Historic Places; Notification of Pending Nominations

Nominations for the following properties being considered for listing in the National Register were received by the Heritage Conservation and Recreation Service before February 20, 1981. Pursuant to § 1202, written comments concerning the significance of these properties under the National Register criteria for evaluation may be forwarded to the National Register, Heritage Conservation and Recreation Service, U.S. Department of the Interior, Washington, DC 20243. Written comments should be submitted by March 18, 1981.

Carol Shull,

Acting Chief, Registration Branch.

CALIFORNIA

Kern County

Bakersfield, *Kern Branch Beale Memorial Library*, 1400 Baker St.

Los Angeles County

Los Angeles, *Los Angeles Pacific Company Ivy Park Substation*, 9015 Venice Blvd.
Pasadena, *Vista del Arroyo Hotel and Bungalows*, 125 S. Grand Ave.

Sacramento, County

Sacramento, *Brighton School*, 3312 Bradshaw Rd.

San Joaquin County

Stockton, *Hotel Stockton*, 133 E. Weber Ave.

Santa Clara County

Palo Alto, *U.S. Post Office*, 380 Hamilton Ave.

FLORIDA

St. Johns County

St. Augustine, *St. Augustine Lighthouse and Keepers Quarters*, Old Beach Rd.

INDIANA

DeKalb County

Spencerville, *Spencerville Covered Bridge*, SR 68 at St. Joseph River

Henry County

New Castle, *Henry County Courthouse*, Courthouse Sq.

Lawrence County

Mitchell, *Mitchell Opera House*, 67th and Brook Sts.

NEW YORK

Westchester County

Peekskill vicinity, *Van Cortlandt Upper Manor House*, Oregon Rd.

OKLAHOMA

Bryan County

Kemp vicinity, *Carpenter's Bluff Railroad Bridge*, W of Kemp

Creek County

Drumright, *Santa Fe Depot*, Broadway and Harley Sts.
Drumright vicinity, *Tidal School*, S of Drumright off Ok 16

[FR Doc. 81-46 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-03-M

National Register of Historic Places; Notification of Pending Nominations

Nominations for the following properties being considered for listing in the National Register were received by the Heritage Conservation and Recreation Service before February 26, 1981. Pursuant to § 1202.13 of 36 CFR Part 1202, written comments concerning the significance of these properties under the National Register criteria for evaluation may be forwarded to the National Register, Heritage Conservation and Recreation Service, U.S. Department of the Interior, Washington, DC 20243. Written comments should be submitted by March 18, 1981.

Carol Shull,

Acting Chief, Registration Branch.

Hawaii

Maui County

Kaho'olawe Island, *Kaho'olawe Island Archeological District*, Kaho'olawe Island

[FR Doc. 81-6087 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-03-M

Bureau of Land Management

Arizona; Phoenix District, Bureau of Land Management District Advisory Council Meeting

The third meeting of the Phoenix District, BLM, District Advisory council will be held April 3, 1981. The meeting will be held at the district office, 2929 West Clarendon Avenue, Phoenix, Arizona, Between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m.

The council has been established by, and is managed according to the Federal Advisory Committee Act of 1972, the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976, and the Public Rangelands Improvement Act of 1978.

The agenda for the meeting includes the following:

1. Explanation of BLM's Annual Work Plan process. Council recommendations on budget priorities.
2. Dscussion of State Director's guidance on resource management plan preparation.
3. Discussion of status of district planning efforts in the Lower Gila North, Lower Gila South, and Hualapai-Aquarius planning areas, including a review of the draft Environmental Impact Statement for Hualapai-Aquarius.
4. Discussion and information on BLM fire management policy.
5. Introduction of National advisory council member Peter Guerrero and discussion of national council's role.

The meeting is open to the public. Interested people may make oral statements to the Council from 1:00 to 1:30 p.m., or may file written statements for the Council's consideration. Anyone wishing to make an oral statement must notify the District Manager at the above address by March 27, 1981. Depending on the number of people wishing to make oral statements, a time limit may be established for each speaker.

Summary minutes of the meeting will be maintained at the district office and will be available for public inspection and reproduction during regular business hours within 30 days following the meeting.

For further information, contact Jack de Golia at (602) 241-2908.

Dated: February 20, 1981.

W. K. Barker,

District Manager.

[FR Doc. 81-3876 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-84-M

Cedar City District Grazing Advisory Board Meeting

Notice is hereby given in accordance with Public Law 92-463 that a meeting of the Cedar City District Grazing Advisory Board will be held on Thursday, April 9, 1981. The meeting will begin at 9:30 a.m. in the Bureau of Land Management District Office located at 1579 North Main Street, Cedar City, Utah.

The agenda items to be discussed are:

1. Report on recommendations from the last meeting.
2. District Range Betterment and Improvement Policy.
3. Review of AMPs and Grazing Systems.

Grazing advisory board meetings are open to the public. Interested persons may make oral statements or file written statements for the board's consideration. Oral statements will be received at 11:00 a.m. Anyone wishing to make an oral statement must notify the District Manager, Bureau of Land Management, 1579 North Main Street, Cedar City, Utah 84720, phone 801-586-2401, by April 7, 1981. Depending on the number of persons wishing to make statements, a per person time limit may be established by the District Manager or Board Chairman.

Summary minutes of the board meeting will be maintained in the District Office and be available for public inspection and reproduction (during regular business hours) within 30 days following the meeting.

Dated: February 20, 1980

Morgan S. Jensen,

District Manager.

[FR Doc. 81-6738 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-84-M

Intergovernmental Planning Program, Gulf of Mexico Regional Technical Working Group; Meeting

As authorized by the Secretary of the Interior and pursuant to 43 CFR 1784, a public meeting of the Outer Continental Shelf Advisory Board's Gulf of Mexico Regional Technical Working Group will be held on April 7, 1981, beginning at 9:30 a.m. (cst) and ending at 3 p.m. (cst). Major agenda items include: (1) Environmental, Nomination, and Tentative Tract Selection Briefings for OCS Sales No. 72 and 74; (2) Regional Environmental Impact Statement; (3) National and Regional Study Plans; and (4) Transportation Management Planning.

The meeting on April 7 will be held in the Conference Room of the Bureau of Land Management's New Orleans OCS Office, 500 Camp Street, Suite 841, New Orleans, Louisiana 70130. The meeting is open to the public and interested persons may make oral or written presentations beginning at 2:45 p.m.

A taped transcript and complete Summary Minutes of all sessions will be available for public inspection at the New Orleans OCS Office not later than 60 days after the meeting. Further information in regard to this meeting is available from Sydney H. Verinder, telephone number (504) 589-6541.

John L. Rankin,

Manager, New Orleans Outer Continental Shelf Office.

February 23, 1981.

[FR Doc. 81-6739 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3430-84-M

Regional Oil Shale Team; Notice of Meeting

Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Public Law 92-163) notice is hereby given that a meeting of the Regional Oil Shale Team (ROST) will be held at 8:30 a.m., Wednesday, April 1, 1981, Century Room, Cosmopolitan Hotel, 1780 Broadway, Denver, Colorado 80202.

The team will meet to discuss Bureau of Land Management Planning Guidance with respect to oil shale development, tract delineation criteria, and tract selection criteria to be used in ranking oil shale tract for possible leasing.

Attendance is open to the interested public. Seating will be available for approximately 80-100 persons. A public comment period will begin at approximately 10:30 a.m. Persons wishing to speak should contact, prior to the meeting, Andrew Heard, Bureau of Land Management, 1600 Broadway, Denver, Colorado 80202, telephone 303-837-3008.

At the conclusion of the ROST meeting a public meeting will be held at the same location to discuss staff papers describing bidding systems and methods to encourage the use of certain technologies in oil shale development. The meeting is expected to adjourn at approximately 5 p.m.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael H. Schwartz, Division of Onshore Energy Resources (530), Bureau of Land Management, Washington, D.C. 20240, Telephone: 202-343-7753.

George D. Lea,

Deputy Director.

[FR Doc. 81-6658 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-10-M

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

[Finance Docket No. 29520]

Lake Superior & Ishpeming Railroad Co., Purchase of Chicago and North Western Transportation Co.; Notice of Exemption: Correction [1]

Pursuant to 49 CFR 1111.4(g) and 1111.5(c)(1) as amended by *Railroad Consolidation* Procedures, 363 I.C.C. 200, 224 and 226 (1980), the Lake Superior & Ishpeming Railroad Company (LS&D) has notified the Commission of its intention to participate in transactions that are considered exempt under 49 U.S.C. 10505.

LS&I will acquire from the Chicago and North Western Transportation (C&NW) its 50% ownership of the line from Coops Spur to Duncan, MI, a distance of 4.3 miles. This line is presently jointly owned, 50% by LS&I and 50% by C&NW. C&NW obtained Commission approval to abandon its 50% joint ownership of the line in AB-1 (Sub-No. 100F) which was issued September 5, 1980.

This transaction involves the acquisition of a line of railroad which would not constitute a major market extension where the Commission has found that the public convenience and necessity permit abandonment. For this reason, the transaction is eligible for exemption, 49 CFR 1111.5(c)(1).

In granting the exemption we may not relieve a carrier of its obligation to protect the interests of employees. See 49 U.S.C. 10505(g)(2) as amended by the Staggers Rail Act of 1980 (Pub. L. No. 96-448), October 14, 1980. Therefore, we will require, as a condition to use of this exemption, the same level of labor protection as is usually required in the type of transactions proposed here. We have determined that the employee protective provisions set forth in *New York Dock Ry.—Control—Brooklyn Eastern Dist.*, 360 I.C.C. 60 (1979), satisfy the statutory requirements of 49 U.S.C. 11347 for protection of employees involved in these transactions, which normally would require approval under 49 U.S.C. 11343. The exemption, therefore, will be subject to those protective provisions.

L&SI's failure to comply with these requirements may be grounds to revoke the exemption.

Agatha L. Mergenovich,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 81-6728 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7035-01-M

[1] Republished to cure defects in our December 5, 1980 notice, which did not comply fully with the requirements of the Staggers Rail Act of 1980.

[Section 5a Application No. 23A]

Middle Atlantic Conference Petition for Approval of Amendments to Agreement

AGENCY: Interstate Commerce Commission.

ACTION: Second notice of proposed amendments to ratemaking agreement.

SUMMARY: Middle Atlantic Conference (MAC), a motor carrier rate bureau, filed a petition on September 15, 1980, requesting Commission approval of certain amendments to the ratemaking agreement among its members. The proposed amendments would consolidate the operations of MAC and the New England Motor Rate Bureau, Inc. (NEB), and thus enable MAC to extend its operations to transportation activities within the New England Territory. The Commission invited comments from interested parties in a notice served October 2, 1980. 45 FR 65341 (1980). Comments were filed representing supporting, opposing and qualified positions. On January 19, 1981 MAC filed a petition for leave to file a reply to the initial comments and filed its reply. Leave is granted, and the reply comments are accepted as filed. In the interest of fairness, parties are given the

opportunity to reply to the comments of MAC.

DATE: Comments are due 30 days from publication of this notice in the **Federal Register.**

ADDRESS: Send an original and 15 copies (if possible) of comments to: Interstate Commerce Commission, Office of Proceedings, Room 5340, Washington, DC 20423.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Richard Felder or Jane Mackall, (202) 275–7656.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Under the provisions of 49 U.S.C. 10706 (formerly section 5a of the Interstate Commerce Act), motor common carriers providing transportation or service subject to this Commission's jurisdiction may enter into agreements with one or more such carriers concerning rates and related rules and procedures for joint consideration and establishment of rates. Agreements may then be submitted to the Commission. Approval confers antitrust immunity for these activities.

Approval will be granted only if the Commission finds that the agreements meet the requirements of section 10706 and are not inconsistent with the transportation policy set forth at 49 U.S.C. 10101. The Commission may also impose reasonable conditions in connection with any approval. Activities conducted under approved ratemaking agreements are immunized from liability under the antitrust statutes.

MAC seeks approval of proposed amendments to its existing agreement under which its operations would be consolidated with those of NEB. This consolidation and the petition filed with this Commission have been approved by both MAC and NEB pursuant to their respective by-laws. The proposed amendments cover the structure and operating procedures of the consolidated organization. We requested comments on these amendments in a notice published in 45 FR 65341 (1981).

Comments in opposition to the merger were received from approximately 70 small New England carriers, a transportation consultant and jointly from the National Small Shipments Traffic Conference, Inc. and the Drug and Toilet Preparation Traffic Conference, Inc. (Conferences). Comments filed by the National Industrial Traffic League, Inc. and Charles Donley and Associates, clearly favored merger, three shipper groups filed comments qualifying their support or opposition to merger.

After the closing date for the filing of comments, MAC filed reply comments to clarify matters. Included in the reply were statements by the NEB President, the NEB Assistant General Manager, the MAC Executive Vice-President, and a member of the MAC committee formed to explore the possible merger. Also, the reply contained the report of the joint NEB-MAC committee which studied and recommended merger, the resolutions adopted by the MAC and NEB Boards of Directors, as well as supporting statements from over 70 MAC carriers. Based on this information, four New England carriers subsequently withdrew their opposition to the merger. In addition, the Conferences filed a petition to reject MAC's reply or, alternatively, to allow supplemental comments in response to the reply.

Because MAC's reply provides important supplementary and clarifying information about events leading up to, and the effects of, the proposed amendments, we believe the reply should be made part of the record. However, since MAC's reply comments fall outside the scope of our previous **Federal Register** notice and request for comments, we find it only fair to allow the parties a chance to address MAC's reply.

This will necessarily postpone somewhat the disposition of MAC's original petition; however, we do not believe the parties will be harmed by this delay, notwithstanding MAC's request for expedited action. Indeed, the need for such action is necessitated by MAC's submission of the supplementary information in a late filed reply, instead of as a comment to the original notice.

The parties filing comments to our original notice are given the opportunity to file additional comments directed to MAC's reply comments. Notice to the parties in this proceeding will be given by depositing a copy of this notice in the Office of the Secretary of the Commission for public inspection and by filing a copy with the Director, Office of the Federal Register.

(49 U.S.C. 10321, 10706, 5 U.S.C. 554)

Decided: February 24, 1981.

By the Commission, Gary J. Edles, Director, Office of Proceedings.

Agatha L. Mergenovich,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 81-6724 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7035-01-M

[Permanent Authority Decisions Volume No. OP4-035]

Motor Carriers; Decision-Notice

Decided: February 24, 1981.

The following applications, filed on or after July 3, 1980, are governed by Special Rule 247 of the Commission's Rules of Practice, see 49 CFR 1100.247. Special rule 247 was published in the **Federal Register** on July 3, 1980, at 45 FR 45539.

Persons wishing to oppose an application must follow the rules under 49 CFR 1100.247(B). Applications may be protested *only* on the grounds that applicant is not fit, willing, and able to provide the transportation service and to comply with the appropriate statutes and Commission regulations. A copy of any application, together with applicant's supporting evidence, can be obtained from any applicant upon request and payment to applicant of $10.00.

Amendments to the request for authority are not allowed. Some of the applications may have been modified prior to publication to conform to the Commission's policy of simplifying grants of operating authority.

Findings

With the exception of those applications involving duly noted problems (e.gs., unresolved common control, fitness, water carrier dual operations, or jurisdictional questions) we find, preliminarily, that each applicant has demonstrated its proposed service warrants a grant of the application under the governing section of the Interstate Commerce Act. Each applicant is fit, willing, and able to perform the service proposed, and to conform to the requirements of title 49, Subtitle IV, United States Code, and the Commission's regulations. Except where noted, this decision is neither a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment nor a major regulatory action under the energy Policy and Conservation Act of 1975.

In the absence of legally sufficient protests in the form of verified statements filed within 45 days of publication of this decision-notice (or, if the application later becomes unopposed) appropriate authority will be issued to each applicant (except those with duly noted problems) upon compliance with certain requirements which will be set forth in a notice that the decision-notice is effective. Within 60 days after publication an applicant may file a verified statement in rebuttal to any statement in opposition.

To the extent that any of the authority granted may duplicate an applicant's

other authority, the duplication shall be construed as conferring only a single operating right.

By the Commission, Review Board Number 1, Members Carleton, Joyce, and Jones.

Agatha L. Mergenovich,

Secretary.

Note.—All applications are for authority to operate as a motor common carrier in interstate or foreign commerce over irregular routes, unless noted otherwise. Applications for motor contract carrier authority are those where service is for a named shipper "under contract".

MC 119777 (Sub-519), filed February 2, 1981. Applicant: LIGON SPECIALIZED HAULER, INC., Hwy 85-East, Madisonville, KY 42431. Representative: Carl U. Hurst, P.O. Drawer "L", Madisonville, KY 42431. Transporting *general commodities*, between La Center and Pine Grove, KY, Deputy, IN, North Muskegon, MI, Roseboro and Garland, NC, Raymond, Carpenter, Tutwiler, Ruleville, Sunflower, Inverness and Belzoni, MS, Kaufman, Gastonia, Frankston, Ponta and Sacul, TX, Bell, Port Charlotte, El Jobean and Boca Grande, FL, Rock Creek and Mendon, IL, Devol, OK, Silverdale, KS and Henry, SD, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the U.S. Condition: To the extent the certificate to be issued in this proceeding authorizes the transportation of classes A and B explosives, it shall be limited in point of time to a period expiring 5 years from its date of issue.

Note.—The purpose of this application is to substitute motor carrier for abandoned rail carrier service.

MC 144957 (Sub-10F), filed January 2, 1981, and previously noticed in the **Federal Register** issue of January 29, 1981. Applicant: PETERCLIFFE, LTD., 12623 E. Imperial, Santa Fe Springs, CA 90670. Representative: Patrick H. Smyth, 19 So. LaSalle St., Suite 401, Chicago, IL 60603. To operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting (1) for or on behalf of the United States Government, *general commodities* (except used household goods, hazardous or secret materials, and sensitive weapons and munitions), between points in the U.S., and (2) transporting *shipments weighing 100 pounds or less* if transported in a motor vehicle in which no one package exceeds 100 pounds, between points in the U.S.

Note.—The purpose of this republication is to correctly state applicant's name.

[FR Doc. 81-6729 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7035-01-M

[ICC Order No. 74-A Under Service Order No. 1344]

Illinois Terminal Railroad Co.; Rerouting Traffic

Upon further consideration of ICC Order No. 74, and good cause appearing therefor:

It is ordered, ICC Order No. 74 is vacated.

This order shall become effective February 18, 1981, and shall be served upon the Association of American Railroads, Car Service Division, as agent of all railroads subscribing to the car service and car hire agreement under the terms of that agreement and upon the American Short Line Railroad Association. A copy shall be filed with the Director, Office of the Federal Register.

Issued at Washington, D.C., February 18, 1981.

Interstate Commerce Commission.

Joel E. Burns,

Agent.

[FR Doc. 81-6727 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7035-01-M

[Volume No. 413]

Permanent Authority Decisions; Decision-Notice

Decided: February 20, 1981.

The following applications, filed on or after March 1, 1979, are governed by Special Rule 247 of the Commission's *Rules of Practice* (49 CFR 1100.247). These rules provide, among other things, that a petition for intervention, either in support of or in opposition to the granting of an application, must be filed with the Commission within 30 days after the date notice of the application is published in the **Federal Register.** Protests (such as were allowed to filings prior to March 1, 1979) *will be rejected.* A petition for intervention without leave must comply with Rule 24(k) which requires petitioner to demonstrate that it (1) holds operating authority permitting performance of any of the service which the applicant seeks authority to perform, (2) has the necessary equipment and facilities for performing that service, and (3) has performed service within the scope of the application either (a) for those supporting the application, or, (b) where the service is not limited to the facilities of particular shippers, from and to, or between, any of the involved points.

Persons unable to intervene under Rule 247(k) may file a petition for leave to intervene under Rule 247(l) setting forth the specific grounds upon which, it is made, including a detailed statement of petitioner's interest, the particular facts, matters, and things relied upon, including the extent, if any, to which petitioner (a) has solicited the traffic or business of those supporting the application, or, (b) where the identity of those supporting the application is not included in the published application notice, has solicited traffic or business identical to any part of that sought by applicant within the affected marketplace. The Commission will also consider (a) the nature and extent of the property, financial, or other interest of the petitioner, (b) the effect of the decision which may be rendered upon petitioner's interest, (c) the availability of other means by which the petitioner's interest might be protected, (d) the extent to which petitioner's interest will be represented by other parties, (e) the extent to which petitioner's participation may reasonably be expected to assist in the development of a sound record, and (f) the extent to which participation by the petitioner would broaden the issues or delay the proceeding.

Petitions not in reasonable compliance with the requirements of the rule may be rejected. An original and one copy of the petition to intervene shall be filed with the Commission indicating the specific rule under which the petition to intervene is being filed, and a copy shall be served concurrently upon applicant's representative, or upon applicant if no representative is named.

Section 247(f) provides in part, that an applicant which does not intend to timely prosecute its application shall promptly request that it be dismissed, and that failure to prosecute and application under the procedures of the Commission will result in its dimissal.

If an applicant has introduced rates as an issue it is noted. Upon request, an applicant must provide copy of the tentative rate schedule to any protestant.

Further processing steps will be by Commission notice, decision, or letter which will be served on each party of record. *Broadening amendments will not be accepted after the date of this publication.*

Any authority granted may reflect administrative acceptable restrictive amendments to the service proposed below. Some of the applications may have been modified to conform to the Commission's policy of simplifying grants of operating authority.

Findings

With exception of those applications involving duly noted problems (e.gs., unresolved common control, unresolved fitness questions, and jurisdictional

problems) we find, preliminarily, that each common carrier applicant has demonstrated that its proposed service is required by the present and future public convenience and necessity, and that each contract carrier applicant qualifies as a contract carrier and its proposed contract carrier service will be consistent with the public interest and the transportation policy of 49 U.S.C. 10101. Each applicant is fit, willing, and able properly to perform the service proposed and to conform to the requirements of Title 49, Subtitle IV, United Codes, and the Commission's regulation. Except where specifically noted, this decision is neither a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment nor a major regulatory action under the Energy Policy and Conservation Act of 1975.

In those proceedings containing a statement or note that dual operations are or may be involved we find, preliminarily and in the absence of the issue being raised by a petitioner, that the proposed dual operations are consistent with the public interest and the transportation policy of 49 U.S.C. 10101 subject to the right of the Commission, which is expressly reserved, to impose such terms, conditions or limitations as it finds necesssary to insure that applicant's operations shall conform to the provisions of 49 U.S.C. 10930(a) [formerly section 210 of the Interstate Commerce Act]

In the absence of legally sufficient petitions for intervention, filed within 30 days of publication of this decision-notice (or, if the application later becomes unopposed), appropriate authority will be issued to each applicant (except those with duly noted problems) upon compliance with certain requirements which will be set forth in a notification of effectiveness of the decision-notice. To the extent that the authority sought below may duplicate an applicant's other authority, such duplication shall be construed as conferring only a single operating right.

Applicants must comply with all specific conditions set forth in the following decision-notices within 30 days after publication, or the application shall stand denied.

By the Commission, Review Board Number 3, Members Fortier, Hill and Parker, Member Parker not participating.

Agatha L. Mergenovich,

Secretary.

Note.—All applications are for authority to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, in interstate or foreign commerce, over irregular routes, except as otherwise noted.

MC 144622 (Sub-105F), filed October 1, 1979. Applicant: GLENN BROTHERS TRUCKING, INC., P.O. Box 9343, Little Rock, AR 72219. Representative: Bob Gisvold, 1000 First National Bank Bldg., Minneapolis, MN 54402. Transporting *general commodities* (except commodities in bulk, those of unusual value, household goods as defined by the Commission, classes A and B explosives, and commodities requiring special equipment), between the facilities of the Louisiana Midland Railway at or near Trout, LA, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the U.S. (except AK and HI)

MC 146632 (Sub-1F), filed October 4, 1979. Applicant: BETS TRUCK LEASING INC., P.O. Box 1050, Bennington, VT 05201. Representative: Robert George Erb (same address as applicant). Transporting (1) *gift wrapping paper, wall paper, bows, ribbons, tags, cards and decorative boxes* and (2) *materials and supplies* used in the manufacture and distribution of the comodities in (1) above, between Bennington VT, and Rotterdam NY, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the U.S. east of LA, AR, MO, IA, and MN, under continuing contract(s) with Ben Mont Corporation, of Bennington, VT.

MC 146832 (Sub-2F), filed October 3, 1979. Applicant: H. G. CONWAY, d.b.a. R.V. TOWING, 13507 US 99 South, Everett, WA 98204. Representative: Michael D. Duppenthaler, 211 South Washington St., Seattle, WA 98104. Transporting *trailers,* designed to be drawn by passenger vehicle, from Portland, McMinnville and Mt. Angel, OR, and Woodland and Vacaville, CA, to points in WA, under continuing contract(s) with (a) Karr's Korral, Inc. and Hearron's Recreational Vehicle Center, Inc., of Everett, WA, (b) Apache Camping Trailers, of Seattle, WA, and (c) Northern Lite Manufacturing, Inc., of Portland, OR.

[FR Doc. 81-6807 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7035-01-M

[Volume No. OP4-44]

Motor Carrier Permanent Authority Decisions; Decision-Notice

Decided: February 25, 1981.

The following applications, filed on or after July 3, 1980, are governed by Special Rule 247 of the Commission's Rules of Practice, see 49 CFR 1100.247. Special rule 247 was published in the **Federal Register** on July 3, 1980, at 45 FR 45539.

Persons wishing to oppose an application must follow the rules under 49 CFR 1100.247(B). Applications may be protested *only* on the grounds that applicant is not fit, willing, and able to provide the transportation service and to comply with the appropriate statutes and Commission regulations. A copy of any application, together with applicant's supporting evidence, can be obtained from any applicant upon request and payment to applicant of $10.00.

Amendments to the request for authority are not allowed. Some of the applications may have been modified prior to publication to conform to the Commission's policy of simplifying grants of operating authority.

Findings

With the exception of those applications involving duly noted problems (e.gs., unresolved common control, fitness, water carrier dual operations, or jurisdictional questions) we find, preliminarily, that each applicant has demonstrated its proposed service warrants a grant of the application under the governing section of the Interstate Commerce Act. Each applicant is fit, willing, and able to perform the service proposed, and to conform to the requirements of Title 49, Subtitle IV, United States Code, and the Commission's regulations. Except where noted, this decision is neither a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment nor a major regulatory action under the Energy Policy and Conservation Act of 1975.

In the absence of legally sufficient protests in the form of verified statements filed within 45 days of publication of this decision-notice (or, if the application later becomes unopposed) appropriate authority will be issued to each applicant (except those with duly noted problems) upon compliance with certain requirements which will be set forth in a notice that the decision-notice is effective. Within 60 days after publication an applicant may file a verified statement in rebuttal to any statement in opposition.

To the extent that any of the authority granted may duplicate an applicant's other authority, the duplication shall be construed as conferring only a single operating right.

By the Commission, Review Board Number 2, Members Chandler, Eaton and Liberman.

Agatha L. Mergenovich,

Secretary.

Note.—All applications are for authority to operate as a motor common carrier in interstate or foreign commerce over irregular

routes, unless noted otherwise. Applications for motor contract carrier authority are those where service is for a named shipper "under contract".

MC 145976 (Sub-4), filed February 5, 1981. Applicant: C & Y LEASING CORPORATION, 475 Swingle Acres, Casper, WY 82601. Representative: Edwards A. O'Donnell, 1004 29th St., Sioux City, IA 51104. Transporting, (1) for or on behalf of the United States Government, *general commodities* (except used household goods, hazardous or secret materials, and sensitive weapons and munitions), and (2) *shipments weighing 100 pounds or less* if transported in a motor vehicle in which no one package exceeds 100 pounds, between points in the U.S.

MC 136366 (Sub-8), filed February 6, 1981. Applicant: BEE LINE, INC., 17 Commerce Rd., Fairfield, NJ 07006. Representative: George A. Olsen, P.O. Box 357, Gladstone, NJ 07934. Transporting, for or on behalf of the United States Government, *general commodities* (except used household goods, hazardous or secret materials, and sensitive weapons and munitions), between points in the U.S.

MC 136366 (Sub-9), filed February 6, 1981. Applicant: BEE LINE, INC., 17 Commerce Rd., Fairfield, NJ 07006. Representative: George A. Olsen, P.O. Box 357, Gladstone, NJ 07934. Transporting *shipments weighing 100 pounds or less* if transported in a motor vehicle in which no one package exceeds 100 pounds, between points in the U.S.

[FR Doc. 81-6806 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7035-01-M

[Permanent Authority Decisions Volume No. 29]

Restriction Removals; Decision-Notice

Decided: February 25, 1981.

The following restriction removal applications, filed after December 28, 1980, are governed by 49 CFR 1137. Part 1137 was published in the **Federal Register** of December 31, 1980, at 45 FR 86747.

Persons wishing to file a comment to an application must follow the rules under 49 CFR 1137.12. A copy of any application can be obtained from any applicant upon request and payment to applicant of $10.00.

Amendments to the restriction removal applications are not allowed.

Some of the applications may have been modified prior to publication to conform to the special provisions applicable to restriction removal.

Findings

We find, preliminarily, that each applicant has demonstrated that its requested removal of restrictions or broadening of unduly narrow authority is consistent with 49 U.S.C. 10922(h).

In the absence of comments filed within 25 days of publication of this decision-notice, appropriate reformed authority will be issued to each applicant. Prior to beginning operations under the newly issued authority, compliance must be made with the normal statutory and regulatory requirements for common and contract carriers.

By the Commission, Restriction Removal Board, Members Sporn, Alspaugh, and Shaffer.

Agatha L. Mergenovich,
Secretary.

MC 263 (Sub-239)X, filed February 17, 1981. Applicant: GARRETT FRIEGHTLINES, INC., 2055 Garrett Way, Pocatello, ID 83201. Representative: Wayne S. Green (same as applicant). Applicant seeks removal of restrictions in its Sub-Nos. 226F and 230F certificates to (1) remove all exceptions in its regular-route general commodities authority, which presently authorize the transportation of explosives, to have the commodity description read "general commodities" in both certificates; remove territorial restrictions which limit service to precise intermediate points, to authorize service at all intermediate points on the described regular-routes between Albuquerque, NM and New Orleans, LA in Sub-No. 226F, and between (a) Salt Lake City, UT and Minneapolis, MN, (b) Denver, CO and Billings, MT in Sub-No. 230F; remove the restriction against the transportation of traffic by carrier when the point where the shipment begins and ends its journey on carrier's route are both within TX, and/or within LA in Sub-No. 226F; (2) broaden the commodity description of the irregular-route authority to "food and related products" from frozen foods and potatoe products (not frozen), and change from one-way to radial service between points south of the southern boundary of Idaho County, ID, and points in TX and LA in Sub-No. 226F.

MC 8544 (Sub-40)X, filed February 12, 1981. Applicant: GALVESTON TRUCK LINERS INC., 7415 Wingate, Houston, TX 77011. Representative: Gerald K. Gimmel, 4 Professional Drive, Suite 145, Gaithersburg, MD 20760. Applicant seeks to remove restrictions in its lead and Sub-4, 6, 9, 10, 18, 23, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31F and 32F certificates to (1) broaden the commodity descriptions in (a) the lead and Sub-9 and 10 certificates, from general commodities (with the usual exceptions and livestock) to "general commodities (except classes A and B explosives)," "(b) in the lead and Sub-4, 6, 25, 28 and 30 certificates, from bags, bagging and ties and wall paper, and cash register paper, and, newsprint, groung wood paper and wood pulp to "pulp, paper and related products," "from wool and mohair, and cotton and cotton linters to "textile mill products," from automobile tires and manufactured rubber products to "rubber and plastic products," and from glass other than glassware to "clay, concrete, glass or stone products," "(c) in the lead and Sub-10 certificate, from food preparing machinery, agricultural machinery and implements, and, cash registers, electric batteries and parts, and machinery, materials, supplies and equipment to "machinery," (d) in the lead and Sub 29 and 32F certificates, from petroleum products and petroleum wax to "petroleum, natural gas and their products," (e) in Sub-18, 23 and 27, from tea, sugar, and cooking oil and foodstuffs to "food and related products," (f) in Sub-23, 26, 27 and 31F, from antifreeze, aluminum sulfate, bleaching, cleaning and scouring preparations, and, drilling mud compounds and stabilizer emulsifier to "chemicals and related products," and (g) in Sub-27, from chopped alfalfa to "farm products"; (2) replace city-wide authority with authority with county-wide authority: in the lead certificate; Beckham, Blaine, Kay, Pontotoc, Tulsa, Creek, and Okmulgee counties, OK (for Sayre, Watonga, Ponca City, Blackwell, Ada, Sand Springs, Sapulpa and Okmulgee, OK), Jasper and Greene Counties, MO (for Jopline and Springfield, MO), Fulton, Will and Grundy Counties, IL (for Canton, Joliet and Coal City, IL), Summit & Miami Counties, OH (for Akron, Barberton, and Troy, OH), Lake County, IN (for Hammond, IN); in Sub-4, Fayette County, OH (for Washington Court House, OH); in Sub-Nos. 6 and 31F, Okmulgee County, OK (for Okmulgee, OK); in Sub-18, Comanche, Stephens and Carter Counties, OK (for Lawton, Duncan, and Ardmore, OK); in Sub-No. 25, McLennan County, TX (for Waco, TX); in Sub-No 28, Angelina County, TX (for Herty, TX); and, in Sub-32F, Osage County, OK, and Gregg County, TX (for Barnsdall, OK, and Kilgore, TX); (3) in the lead and Sub-4, 6, 9, 10, 18, 23, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, and 32, authorize radial authority in place of exisiting one-way authority between various combinations of points in OK, TX, OH, MO, KS, IL, and IN for example, in Sub-4, between points in Fayette County, OH, and

Houston, TX; and in Sub-6, between points in Okmulgee County, OK, and points in a specified portion of TX; and (4) eliminate plantsite restrictions in Sub-27, 28, 29, and 30.

MC 22254 (Sub-85)X, filed February 12, 1981. Applicant: TRANS-AMERICAN VAN SERVICE, INC., P.O. Box 12608, Fort Worth, TX 76116. Representative: Marshall Kragen, 1919 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. Suite 300, Washington, DC 20006. Applicant seeks to remove restrictions in its Sub-8, 12, 15, 16, 23, 31, 34, 53, 54, 55, 57, 66, 67, 68, and 78 certificates, E-1 and E-2 letter notices: (1) in Sub-8, 12, 15, 23, 31, 34, 53, 54, 55, 57, 67, 68, 78, E-1 and E-2 to broaden either "pianos" or "organs" to "musical instruments"; (2) in Sub-16 to broaden "unboxed used typewriters" to "machinery"; (3) in Sub-21 to broaden "new self-propelled passenger or property carrying electric motor vehicles weighing less than 1,000 pounds" to "transportation equipment"; (4) in Sub-54 to broaden "off-highway recreational and utility vehicles" to "transportation equipment"; (5) in Sub-55 to broaden "phonographs, vending machines, and parts and accessories thereof" to "machinery"; (6) in Sub-66 to broaden "carpet padding" to "textile mill products"; (7) in Sub-12, 23, 31, 34, 53, 57, 66 and 78 to broaden oneway to radial authority; (8) to substitute in Sub-8 Wells County (for Bluffton) and Ogle County (for Oregon), IL; in Sub-12 De Kalb County (for De Kalb), IL, and Ottawa County (for Grand Haven), MI; in Sub-15 and 18, De Kalb County (for De Kalb), IL; in Sub-23 Alcorn County (for Corinth), MS; in Sub-31F Faulkner (for Conway) and Washington (for Fayetteville) AR, and Leflore County (for Greenwood), MS; in Sub-34 Wells County (for Bluffton) IN; in Sub-53 Marshall County (for Holly Springs), MS; in Sub-55 Cache County (for Logan), UT, in Sub-57 Caldwell County (for Granite Falls), NC; in Sub-66 Dyer County (for Dyersburg), TN; and in Sub-78 Northumberland County (for Elysburg), PA; (9) in Sub-8, 31, 34, 53, 54, 55, 66, 67, and 78 to remove the exceptions of AK and HI, and (10) in Sub-55 to remove a facilities limitation at Logan, UT.

MC 40971 (Sub-5)X, filed February 13, 1981. Applicant: UTAH-WYOMING FREIGHT LINE, INC., 2818 West 2700 South, Salt Lake City, UT 84119. Representative: William S. Richards, P.O. Box 2465, Salt Lake City, UT 84110. Applicant seeks to remove restrictions in its lead and Sub-2, 3, and 4 certificates by (1) eliminating from its general commodity authority all exceptions except "classes A and B explosives" in the irregular route portion of the lead, and Sub-2, 3, and 4; (2) authorizing service to all intermediate points along a described regular route between: Manila, UT, and Rock Springs WY, and Linwood and Salt Lake City, UT, in the lead; Rock Springs and Kemmerer, WY, in Sub-3F; and Kemmerer, WY, and Idaho Falls, ID in Sub-4F.

MC 56270 (Sub-52)X, filed February 17, 1981. Applicant: LEICHT TRANSFER & STORAGE CO., 1401 State Street, P.O. Box 2385, Green Bay, WI 54306. Representative: Alki E. Scopelitis, Michael D. McCormick, 1301 Merchants Plaza, Indianapolis, IN 46204. Applicant seeks to remove restrictions in its Sub-46F certificate to broaden its commodity description from clay, brick, and tile products, to "clay, concrete, glass or stone products;" to change its one-way authority to radial authority between the U.S. and points in WI and the Upper Peninsula of MI, and to remove the exception of AK and HI.

MC 63792 (Sub-36)X, filed February 18, 1981. Applicant: STILES TRUCK LINE, INC., 1329 Boyles Street, Houston, TX.. Representative: C. W. Ferebee, 720 N. Post Oak Road, Suite 230, Houston, TX 77024. Applicant seeks to remove restrictions in its Sub-12 certificate to broaden its commodity description from iron and steel articles, to "metal products". Applicant also seeks to change its one-way authority to radial authority, between Houston, TX, and points in AR, LA, and TX.

MC 76429 (Sub-9)X, filed February 17, 1981. Applicant: STEWART TRUCK LINE, INC., P.O. Box 109, Dry Ridge, KY 41035.. Representative: William L. Willis Suite 708 McClure Building, Frankfort, KY 40601. Applicant seeks to remove restrictions in a portion of its lead and Sub-8 certificate, which authorize the transportation of general commodities (with the unusual exceptions) to (1) allow service at all intermediate points between Corinth, KY and Lexington, KY, and to eliminate the restriction against the handling of traffic originating at, or destined to, moving through or interchanged at, Cincinnati, OH, or points within its commercial zone, in the lead, sheet 2, and (2) eliminate the restriction against the handling of traffic originating at, or destined to Lexington, KY, and points within its commercial zone, in Sub-8F, from its irregular radial authority between a facility at Owenton, KY, and Chicago, IL, South Bend, Elkhart, and Bremen, IN.

MC 80498 (Sub-13)X, filed February 17, 1981. Applicant: EARL C. SMITH, INC., 1720 Dove Street, Port Huron, MI 48060. Representative: Ronald J. Mastej, 900 Guardian Building, Detroit, MI 48226. Applicant seeks to remove restrictions in its Sub-10F certificate by (1) broadening the commodity description from general commodities (with usual exceptions) to "general commodities (except classes A and B explosives)" and (2) remove the restriction limiting service to traffic having an immediately prior or subsequent movement by rail and/or water.

MC 93764 (Sub-4)X, filed February 17, 1981. Applicant: BOYER TRUCK LINE, INC., 2645 East 51st Avenue, Denver, CO 80216. Representative: David J. Lister, P.O. Box 17039, Portland, OR 97217. Applicant seeks to remove restrictions in its lead certificate to (1) broaden its commodity descriptions from livestock to "farm products", feed, chipboard, boxes, paper, fibre-board, pulpboard, binder twine, and farm machinery, to "feed, pulp paper and related products, and machinery", from binder twine, farm machinery, and agricultural implements, to "machinery", from general commodities (with the usual exceptions), to "general commodities (except classes A and B explosives)", from coal, to "coal and coal products", and from feed and livestock, to "feed and farm products"; (2) change its one-way authority to radial authority between various combinations of points in IL and IA; (3) authorize intermediate point service in its regular route authority, between DeWitt, IA and Chicago, IL; (4) replace its cities with county-wide authority, in its irregular route authority (a) Sandwich, Rockford, Moline, East Moline, and Rock Island, IL, with Dekalb, Winnebago, and Rock Island Counties, IL, Low Moor and DeWitt, IA, with Clinton County, IA, Geneseo, IL, with Henry County, IL, Maquoketa, IA, with Jackson, IA, and Rock Falls and Canton, IL, with Whiteside and Fulton Counties, IL; and (5) eliminate the restriction prohibiting joint-line service with F-B Truck Line Company and Lester Smith Trucking, Inc., or any of their successors on the transportation of farm machinery.

MC 100666 (Sub-540)X, filed February 18, 1981. Applicant: MELTON TRUCK LINES, INC., P.O. Box 7666, Shreveport, LA. Representative: Wilburn L. Williamson, Suite 615-East, 2601 Northwest Expressway, Oklahoma City, OK 73112. Applicant seeks to remove restrictions in its Sub-389F, 412F and 463 certificates to (1) broaden the commodity description from (a) metal and metal articles to "metal products" in Sub-389, (b) general commodities (with exceptions) to "general commodities (except classes A and B explosives)"

and remove the requirement that they move in mixed loads with size and weight commodities in Sub-412; (2) eliminate the in bulk, in dump vehicle, and *Mercer* commodities exceptions in Sub-389 and 463; (3) replace a plantsite limitation with Shreveport, LA, in Sub-412; (4) authorize radial in lieu of existing one-way authority between AL, FL, GA, NC, SC, VA, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in IL, IN, IA, MI, MN, NE, OH, WI, in Sub-463 (5) delete the exceptions of AK and HI in Sub-412.

MC 102285 (Sub-8)X, filed February 17, 1981. Applicant: MIAMI TRANSFER CO., INC., P.O. Box 680579 10340 N.W. 37th Avenue, Miami, FL 33147. Representative: Norman J. Bolinger, 3100 University Blvd. S., Suite 225, Jacksonville, FL 32216. Applicant seeks to remove restrictions in its Sub-4F certificate to (1) remove all exceptions in its general commodity authority except "classes A and B explosives," (2) remove the restrictions limiting transportation to traffic (a) moving in containers and in trailers and (b) having a prior or subsequent movement by water, and (3) broaden the one-way authority to radial authority between points in FL, on the one hand, and, on the other, Charleston, SC, Savannah, GA, and Mobile, AL.

MC 111320 (Sub-75)X, filed February 17, 1981. Applicant: KEEN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 1417, Hudson, OH 44236. Representative: Michael Spurlock, 275 E. State St., Columbus, OH 43215. Applicant seeks to remove restrictions in its Sub-23F certificate to (1) broaden the commodity description from (a) new road building machinery and equipment, and parts thereof, and (b) road building machinery and equipment, to "commodities which because of their size or weight require the use of special handling or equipment, machinery, and equipment, materials and supplies used in the manufacture, sale or distribution thereof and (2) eliminate the restriction against the transportation of shipments originating at the facilities of Deere and Co., in Black Hawk, Dubuque, Polk, Scott, and Wapello Counties, IA and Rock Island County, IL and destined to points in IL, IA, KS, MN, MO, NE, ND, OK, SD, TX, and WI, and the exception of AK and HI, to authorize service between points in the U.S. (except Points in AZ, ID, MT, NV, NM, OR, UT, WA, WY, and CA).

MC 112617 (Sub-477)X, filed February 17, 1981. Applicant: LIQUID TRANSPORTERS, INC., P.O. Box 21395, Louisville, KY 40221. Representative: Edward J. Kiley, 1730 M St., NW., Washington, DC 20036. Applicant seeks to remove restrictions from its lead certificate and Sub-77, 173, 179, 204, E1, E2, E3, E4, E5, E6, E7, E8, E9, E10, E11, E12, E13, E14, E26, E35, E36, E39, E40, E41, E42, E43, E44, E45, and E118, by (1) broadening the commodity description in each from chemicals, petroleum and petroleum products, and liquid chemicals, in bulk, and/or in tank vehicles, to "commodities in bulk"; (2) replacing specific authority with county-wide authority (a) in Sub-77, 173, 179, 204, E35, E39, and E118, change Calvert City, KY or Calvert City, KY and points in Marshall County, KY, within 5 miles thereof to Marshall County, KY, (b) in Sub-E26, E36, E43, E44, and E45, change the named facilities at Owensboro, KY, to Daviess County, KY, and (c) in Sub-E40, E41, and E42, change from points in KY within 5 miles of Brandenburg, KY, excluding Brandenburg and Ekron, KY to Meade County, KY; (3) in Sub-E1, E2, E3, E4, E5, E6, E7, E8, E9, E10, E11, E12, E13, and E14, deleting the restriction against transportation to or from points in the Louisville, KY, commercial zone located in the State of IN; and (4) in all sub-numbers, expanding one-way authorities to authorize radial service between specified cities or counties in KY and numerous specified States located throughout the U.S.

MC 113528 (Sub-55)X, filed February 17, 1981. Applicant: MERCURY FREIGHT LINES, INC., 67 Midtown Park, East, P.O. Box 1247, Mobile, AL 36633. Representative: John C. Bradley, Suite 1301, 1600 Wilson Blvd., Arlington, VA 22209. Applicant seeks to remove restrictions in its regular-route authority in the lead and Sub-4, 5, 8, 10, 11, 12, 13, 16, 26, 35, 41F, 51, and 54F certificates which authorize operations primarily in southern States, and irregular-route authority in Sub-19, 21, 22, 23G, 25, 34, 36F, 37F, 38F, 39F, 40F, 43F, 44F, 45F, 46F, and 50F certificates which also authorize operations primarily in southern States. It seeks to remove all exceptions in its regular-route general commodities authority "except classes A & B explosives," (2) broaden the second commodity description of the lead certificate to authorize "transportation equipment" from empty vehicles, (3) remove territorial restrictions which limit service to some intermediate points or no intermediate point service, to authorize service at all intermediate points on its described regular-routes, (4) remove restrictions which limit traffic to specified points for purpose of joinder only in the lead and Subs 4, 5, 10, 11, and 26, and (5) remove restrictions against the transportation of shipments moving between Birmingham, AL and points within 15 miles of Birmingham and seven-named counties in GA in Sub 16; of traffic moving from, to, or through Mobile, AL or points in its commercial zone in Sub-26; to traffic moving to, from, or thru Atlanta, GA in routes (1) thru (21), in Sub-51F. Applicant also seeks to (1) remove all exceptions in its irregular-route general commodities authority "except classes A and B explosives" in Sub-19, 22, 23G, 45F, and 50F; (2) broaden commodity descriptions to: "tobacco products" from tobacco and tobacco products in Sub-21; "furniture and fixtures" from new furniture, kitchen cabinets set up, and kitchen sinks, and "building materials" from mineral and rock wool, siding, roofing and materials in Sub-22; "metal products" from iron, steel, and aluminum articles, tanks, and parts, attachments and accessories in Sub-25; "lumber and wood products" from particle-board and paneling in Sub-34; "plastic and metal products" from plastic pipe, pipe fittings, couplings, valves, and materials, equipment and supplies, except commodities in bulk, in Sub-36F; "metal products" from metal articles, except commodities which because of size or weight require the use of special equipment, in Sub-37F; "metal products" from iron and steel articles, except commodities which because of size or weight require the use of special equipment, in Sub-38F and 39F; "metal products" from pipe and pipe fittings, except those which because of size or weight require the use of special equipment, in Sub-40F; "building materials" from doors, door hardware, hardwood and accessories, and materials, equipment and supplies, except commodities in bulk, in Sub-43F; "building materials" from mineral fibre products and ipsulating materials, supplies and equipment in Sub-44F; and "metal products" from iron and steel articles in Sub-46F. Applicant also seeks to (1) remove restrictions limiting transportation to traffic originating at or destined to named facilities in Sub-25, 34, 35, 37F, 38F, 40F, 43F, 44F, 45F, 46F, and 50F, (2) substitute some county-wide authority for the specified plantsites and cities: Marlboro County, SC, for Bennettsville, SC, and Shelby County, AL, for Leeds, AL, in Sub-22; Sabine Parish, LA, for facilities at Many, LA, in Sub-34; Burnsville, AL, for plantsite near Burnsville, AL, in Sub-13; Coweta County, GA, for facilities in Coweta County, GA, in Sub-35; Richland County, SC, for facilities near Columbia, SC, in Sub-37; Leon County, TX, for facilities near Jewett, TX, in Sub-38F; Mecklenburg County, NC, for facilities at Charlotte and Bakers, NC, in Sub-40F; Escambia County, FL, for Century, FL,

and Kaufman County, TX, for Terrell, TX; Jefferson County, AL for Leeds, AL, in Sub-43F; Ellis County, TX, for facilities at Waxahachie, TX, in Sub-44F; Tarrant County, TX, for facilities near Fort Worth, TX; Arcadia and Lafayette Parishes, LA, for Church Point and Lafayette, LA, in Sub-45F; Harris County, TX, for facilities at Baytown, TX, in Sub-46F; and Escambia County, FL, for facilities near Gonzalez and Pensacola, FL, in Sub-50F, and (3) change from existing one-way service to radial service in Sub-21, 22, 25, 34, 36F, 37F, 38F, 39F, 40F, 43F, 44F, 45F and 46F between points in various combinations named southern States.

MC 118202 (Sub-169)X, filed February 17, 1981. Applicant: SCHULTZ TRANSIT, INC., 323 Bridge Street, P.O. Box 406, Winona, MN 55987. Representative: Robert S. Lee, 1600 TCF Tower, Minneapolis, MN 55402. Appliant seeks to remove restrictions in its Sub-88F and 124F certificates to (1) broaden the commodity description from magazines, magazine sections, and periodicals to "printed matter"; (2) to expand the territorial authority from facilities at Pewaukee, WI to Waukesha County, WI and in Sub-88F & 124F; from the destination points of Bethany, CT, Cottage City, MD, Hoboken, Bayonne and North Bergen, NJ, Troy and Carle Place, NY and McKees Rocks, PA to New Haven County, CT, Prince Georges County, MD, Hudson and Bergen County, NJ, Rensselaer & Nassau Counties, NY, and Allegheny County, PA; in Sub-88; (3) authorize radial authority in lieu of existing one-way authority; between Waukesha County, WI, and points in 15 states in Sub-124F and between the counties named in (2) and Baltimore, MD, Boston, MA, Columbus, OH, Harrisburg, PA, and DC and Waukesha County, WI in Sub-88F and (4) eliminate the restriction to traffic orginating at and destined to named facilities in 88F.

MC 118833 (Sub-74)X, filed February 18, 1981. Applicant: GABOR TRUCKING, INC., R.R. 4, Detroit Lakes, MN 56501. Representative: Robert D. Gisvold, 1600 TCF Tower, 121 So. 8th St., Minneapolis, MN 55402. Applicant seeks to remove restrictions in its Sub-38F certificate by (1) broadening its commodity description from fiberglass reinforced concrete slabs to "building materials," (2) substituting Butler County for named facilities at Hamilton, OH, (3) replacing one-way with radial authority between Hamilton and points in the U.S., and (4) removing (a) originating at or destined to restrictions and (b) restrictions against the transporation of "size or weight" commodities.

MC 118838 (Sub-75)X, filed February 18, 1981. Applicant: GABOR TRUCKING, INC., R.R. 4, Detroit Lakes, MN 56501. Representative: Robert D. Gisvold, 1600 TCF Tower, 121 So. 8th St., Minneapolis, MN 55402. Applicant seeks to remove restrictions from its Sub-41F certificate to (1) broaden the commodity description from prefabricated agricultural processing facilities, parts and accessories thereof, and materials, equipment and supplies used in the manufacture and distribution thereof, to "building materials, and machinery"; (2) expand the territorial authority from Wahpeton, ND and Watertown, WI, to Richland County, ND and Jefferson County, WI; and (3) expand its one-way authority to radial authority between Richland County, ND, and Jefferson County, WI, and, points in ID, IL, IN, IA, KS, MN, MO, MT, NE, ND, OH, OR, SD, WA and WI.

MC 119118 (Sub-69)X, filed: February 13, 1981. Applicant: McCURDY TRUCKING, INC., P.O. Box 388, Latrobe, PA, 15650. Representative: RICHARD C. McGINNIS, 711 Washington, Building, Washington, DC, 20005. Applicant seeks to remove restrictions in its Sub-11, 27, 31, 32, 33, 34, 36, 37, 39, 41, 42, 43, 47, 50, 54, 60F, 62F, 64F, and 65F, certificates and its Sub-E1 letter notice by (A) broadening the commodity descriptions in all of the above authorities, (except Sub-31 and parts (4) and (5) of Sub-11) to "food and related products" from malt beverages or from malt beverages, in containers, (B) eliminating in Sub-31 the restrictions against the transportation of commodities in bulk and foods or foodstuffs transported in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration; (C) expanding one-way authority to radial authority to authorize service between specified cities or counties in MD, OH, PA, NJ, MI, NC, NY, GA, and IN, and specified cities or counties mainly in the eastern portion of the U.S. and DC, and (D) replacing authority to serve specified facilities at named points and authority to serve specified points with county-wide authority and, in some instances, with authority to serve a city; In Sub-11, sheet 2 facilities at Columbus, OH, with Columbus, OH, facilities at Pittsburgh, PA, with Pittsurgh, PA, facilities at Curwensville, PA, with Clearfield County, PA, facilities at Homer City, PA, with Indiana County, PA, and facilities at Meadville, PA, with Crawford County, PA; Erie, PA, with Erie County, PA, Jamestown, NY, with Chautauqua County, NY, and Weirton, WV, with Hancock County, WV; warehouse facilities at or near Pittsburgh, PA, with Pittsburgh, PA, in Sub-11, sheet 3, Bradford, PA, with McKean County, PA, Ridgeway, PA with Elk County, PA, Greensburg, PA, with Westmoreland County, PA, Erie, PA with Erie County, PA, Oil City, PA, with Venango County, PA, and Warren, PA, with Warren County, PA; and facilities at Newark, NJ, with Newark, NJ; in Sub-27, 32 and 33, Winston-Salem, NC, with Forsyth County, NC; in Sub-34 and 36, Frankenmuth, MI, with Saginaw County, MI; in Sub-37, Raddison (Lysander Township), NY, with Onondaga County, NY; in Sub-39, South Volney, NY with Oswego County, NY; in Sub-42, Latrobe, PA, with Westmoreland County, PA, and Wilmington, DE, with New Castle County, DE; in Sub-43, Frankenmuth, MI, with Saginaw County, MI; in Sub-47, Pabst, GA, with Houston County, GA, Cambridge, OH, with Guernsey County, OH, Rochester, NY with Monroe County, NY, Atlantic City, NJ, with Atlantic County, NJ; in Sub-60F, Eden, NC, with Rockingham County, NC in Sub-62F, Latrobe, PA, with Westmoreland County, PA; in Sub-64F, facilities in Onondaga and Oswego Counties, NY, With Onondaga and Oswego Counties, NY; and in Sub-65F, Latobe, PA, with Westmoreland County, PA. Applicant also seeks to eliminate restrictions (a) to shipments moving from, to or between wholesale hardware supply houses, warehouses, or other facilities of such houses from its radial authority in Sub-11, sheet 2, between Pittsburgh, PA and OH and (b) to the transportation of shipments originating at or destined to facilities of named shippers in Sub-31.

MC 119934 (Sub-237)X, filed February 13, 1981. Applicant: ECOFF TRUCKING, INC., R. R. 10, Box 100A, Greenfield, IN 46140. Representative: Robert W. Loser, II, 1101 Chamber of Commerce Bldg., Indianapolis, IN 46204. Applicant seeks to remove restrictions in its Sub-81 certificate to (1) broaden the commodity description in part 1 from phosphoric acid, phosphatic fertilizer solutions, tetra-potassium-pyrophosphates, and dry phosphates in bulk, in tank vehicles and in part 2 from spent phosphoric acid to "chemcials and related products", and (2) expand the territorial application in part 1 from city to county-wide authority, change one-way authority to radial authority under part 1 between Hamilton County, OH (Fernald, OH) and points in KY, MD, NJ, PA, NY and WV, and under part 2 between points in KY, MD, NJ, PA, NY, and WV and points in IA, IL, IN, NY, MO and OH.

MC 119974 (Sub-235)X, filed February 13, 1981. Applicant: L. C . L. TRANSIT COMPANY, 949 Advance Street, Green

Bay, WI 54305. Representative: Carl L. Steiner, 39 South LaSalle Street, Chicago, IL 60603. Applicant seeks to remove restrictions in its (Sub-82F) certificate by eliminating the restriction to transportation of traffic originating at the named orgin states and destined to the named destination states from its non-radial grant between points in IL, IN, IA, KY, MI, MN, MO, OH, and WI, and its radial grant between the 9 States named above and points in KS, NE, NY, PA, and TN; and by removing the restriction in the commodity authority against the transporttion of commodities in bulk in tank vehicles.

MC 120636 (Sub-14)X, filed February 18, 1981. Applicant: BRUNTON STORAGE & VAN CO., INC., P.O. Box 577, Chatsworth, IL 60921. Representative: E. Stephen Heisley, 805 McLachlen Bank Bldg., 666 Eleventh St. NW., Washington, DC 20001. Applicant seeks removal of restrictions in its (Sub-5) certificate to (1) remove all exceptions in its irregular-route general commodities authority "except classes A and B explosives," and (2) substitute county-wide authority for the named facility near Des Plaines, IL, and remove the restriction against service to AK and HI, to authorize radial service between Cook County, IL, and points in the U.S.

MC 121496 (Sub-56)X, filed February 17, 1981. Applicant: CANGO CORPORATION, 2727 North Loop West, Houston, TX 77008. Representative: E. Stephen Heisley, 805 McLachlen Bank Bldg., 666 Eleventh Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20001. Applicant seeks to remove restrictions in its (Sub-40F) certificate to (1) broaden the commodity description from liquid chemicals, in bulk, in tank vehicles, to "chemicals and related products," (2) eliminate the plantsite limitation, (3) authorize radial service between Houston and Beaumont, TX, and, points in the U.S., and (4) remove the restriction against service to Alaska and Hawaii.

MC 121496 (Sub-57)X, filed February 18, 1981. Applicant: CANGO CORPORATION 2727 North Loop West, Houston, TX 77008. Representative: E. Stephen Heisley, 805 McLachlen Bank Bldg., 666 Eleventh Street NW., Washington, DC 20001. Applicant seeks to remove restrictions in its (Sub-42F) certificate to (1) broaden its commodity description from petroleum and petroleum product, in bulk, in tank vehicles, to "commodities in bulk", (2) replace the plansite restriction at or near Baton Rouge, LA, with Baton Rouge, LA, (3) change its one-way authority to radial authority between Baton Rouge, LA, and points in the United States, and (4) remove the Alaska and Hawaii exception.

MC 123383 (Sub-97)X, filed February 10, 1981. Applicant: BOYLE BROS., INC., Rural Delivery 2, Box 329C, Medford, NJ 08055. Representative: Morton E. Kiel, Suite 1832, Two World Trade Center, New York, NY 10048. Applicant seeks to remove restrictions in all certificates by (1) changing existing one way authority to radial authority between points primarily in eastern and midwestern States in MC 123383 and in (Sub-6, 10, 11, 12, 14, 17, 21, 23, 24, 25, 26, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 35, 36, 38, 40, 41, 42, 44, 45, 46, 48, 50, 57, 58, 62, 64, 68G, 69, 73, 74, 75, 76, 78, 79, 80, 81, 84, 88, 91, 94, E-1, E-2, E-3, E-4, E-5, E-6, E-7, E-9, E-10, E-11, E-12, E-13, E-14, E-16, E-19, E-20, E-22, E-24, E-26, E-27, E-30, E-31, E-32, E-33, E-34, E-37, E-38, E-39, E-40, E-43, E-44, E-45, E-46, E-47, E-48); (2) removing plant site or facilities restrictions from and to which traffic may move in MC 123383 and (Sub-6, 14, 21, 24, 25, 29, 32, 36, 40, 42, 44, 46, 48, 50, 62, 65, 69, 71, 73, 74, 75, 78, 79, 80, 86, E-1, E-2, E-3, E-4, E-5, E-6, E-48); (3) removing restrictions against transportation in bulk or requiring special equipment or "size and weight" in (Sub-6, 10, 11, 12, 14, 17, 21, 23, 25, 32, 34, 35, 36, 38, 41, 45, 46, 62, 65, 68G, 69, 71, 73, 74, 82, 86, 89, E-1, E-2, E-3, E-5, E-6, E-7, E-10, E-12, E-13, E-14, E-16, E-17, E-18, E-19, E-20, E-21, E-22, E-23, E-24, E-25, E-26, E-27, E-30, E-31, E-32, E-34, E-37, E-38, E-39, E-40, E-41, E-42, E-43, E-44, E-46, E-47); (4) and removing restrictions "with no transportation for compensation on return in MC 123383, and (Sub-11, 21, 24, 25, 26, 30, 31, 32, 33, 40, 41, 42, 44, 45, 46, 48, 50, 57, 58, 62, 64, 68G, 74, 75, 76, 78, 80). Applicant also seeks in MC 123383 to remove the "except structural steel" restriction from building materials; in MC 123383 and (Sub-79), remove all restrictions from general commodities except classes A and B explosives; in (Sub-6), Remove "except fly ash and asphalt" from building materials; in (Sub-9), remove restrictions "dry" from dry cement and "prior movement by rail"; in (Sub-10), remove restriction of "loose" from quarry products; in (Sub-30), remove the mixed traffic requirement; in (Sub-34, E-9, E-10, E-11, E-12, E-13, E-14, E-41, E-46, and E-47), remove restriction requiring movement from, to or between types of facilities, and excluding "iron and steel products"; in (Sub-58), eliminate restriction "in mixed loads with plywood"; in (Sub-58), remove restriction to traffic having a prior movement by water; in (Sub-68G), remove restriction excluding transportation of iron and steel products from building materials and excluding commodities which are not building materials and excluding lumber (except plywood and veneer); in (Sub-73), remove Mercer commodity exception from aluminum products; in (Sub-78 and E-8), remove restriction against transportation of certain named commodities from building materials; in E-6 eliminate the restriction against structural steel from building materials; in E-7, remove restriction as to type of use of composition board; in E-9, E-10, E-11, E-12, E-13, and E-14, eliminate exclusion of iron and steel products from such commodities * * * group; in E-16, E-17, E-18, E-19, E-20, E-21, E-22, E-23, E-25, E-26, E-27, E-30, E-31, E-32, E-33, E-41, E-42, E-43, E-44, E-46, E-47, eliminate "except iron and steel products" from commodity groups named. Applicant also seeks to change cities and locations shown in certificates to counties as follows: in the lead No. MC 123383, from Farnams, MA, Falls Village, CT, Aberdeen, MD, Dahlgren, VA, to Berkshire County, MA, Litchfield County, CT, Hartford County, MD, and King County, VA; in (Sub-10), from Mt. Hope, NJ, to Morris County, NJ, in (Sub-11), from Mt. Hope, NJ, to Morris County, NJ; in (Sub-12 and 25), from Bowie, MD, to Prince Georges County, MD; in (Sub-21), from Akron, NY, to Erie County, NY; in (Sub-23, 26, 30, 32, 38, E-1, E-2, E-3), from Deposit, NY, to Broome County, NY; in (Sub-24), from Manchester and North Haven, CT, to Hartford County and New Haven County, CT; in (Sub-25), from West Conshohocken and Evansville, PA, to Montgomery County and Berks County, PA; in (Sub-29, E-48), from Meridian, MS, to Lauderdale County, MS; in (Sub-38, 44, and 46), from Carteret, NJ, to Middlesex County, NJ; in (Sub-38 and 46), from Pittston, PA, to Luzerne County, PA; in (Sub-38), from Sunbury, PA, to Northumberland County, PA; in (Sub-41 and 45), from Port Clinton, OH, to Ottawa County, OH; in (Sub-42), from Roseland, NJ, and Orange, VA, to Essex County, NJ, and Orange County, VA; in (Sub-44 and 46), from Port Newark and Edgewater, NJ, to Essex County, and Bergen County, NJ; in (Sub-46), from Jamesburg, NJ, to Middlesex County, NJ; in (Sub-50), from Doswell, VA to Hanover County, VA; in (Sub-57), from Moncure, NC, to Chatham County, NC; in (Sub-65), from Springfield, KY, to Washington County, KY; in (Sub-68), from Millis, MA, Oakfield, NY, Akron, NY, and Fairless Hills, PA, to Norfolk County, MA, Genessee County, NY, Erie County, NY, Bucks County, PA; in (Sub-71), from Gloucester, NJ, Thorofare (West Deptford Township, NJ) to

Camden County, and Gloucester County, NJ; in (Sub-73), from North Brunswick, NJ, to Middlesex County, NJ; in (Sub-73 and 78), from Burlington, NC, to Alamance County, NC; in (Sub-73 and 78), from Winton, NC, to Hertford County, NC; in (Sub-74), from Wilmington, DE, to New Castle County, DE; in (Sub-75), from Milford, Va, to Carolina County, VA; in (Sub-78), from New Brunswick, Dayton, Parlin, NJ, Ellenville, NY, Frederick, MD, Dover, NJ, to Middlesex County, NJ, Ulster County, NY, Frederick County, MD, Morris County, NJ; in (Sub-79), from E. Hempfield Township, PA, to Lancaster County, PA; in (Sub-80), from Hanover, South Boston, Charleston, Westfield, MA, Norwich, and Windsor Locks, CT, to Plymouth County, Suffolk County, Hampden County, MA, New London County, and Hartford County, CT; in (Sub-81), from Burns Harbor, IN, to Porter County, IN; in (Sub-84), from Ashtabula, OH, to Ashtabula County, OH; in (Sub-86), from Quakertown, PA, to Bucks County, PA; in (Sub-89), from Buchanan, NY, and Wilmington, DE, to Westchester County, NY, and New Castle County, DE; in (Sub-94), from Hyde Park, Palmer, Springfield, MA, and Harrisburg, PA, to Suffolk County, Hamden County, MA, and Dauphin County, PA. Applicant also seeks to broaden its commodity authorities as follows: in its lead MC 123383, (a) from insulating materials, gypsum and gypsum products, sprinkler systems, plumbing equipment and supplies, roofing supplies and materials to "building materials, clay, concrete, glass or stone products, machinery, rubber and plastic products, and metal products", (b) from sashweights, metallic castings, metallic scrap, to "metal products and waste or scrap materials", (c) from brick and tile to "clay, concrete, glass or stone products", (d) from lime products to "clay, concrete, glass or stone products", (e) from limestone to "ores and minerals", (f) from concrete slabs to "clay, concrete, glass or stone products", (g) from empty shells to "ordnance and accessories", (h) from scrap shells ordnance and accessories"; in (Sub-6), from gypsum products to "clay, concrete, glass or stone products"; in (Sub-9), from cement to "Clay, concrete, glass or stone products"; in (Sub-10), from quarry products to "ores and minerals"; in (Sub-11), from sand and gravel and magnetite ore to "ores and minerals"; (Sub-12), from cement to "clay, concrete, glass or stone products"; in (Sub-14), from roof deck plaster or cement to "clay, concrete, glass or stone products"; in (Sub-17), from gypsum products and urethane foam to "clay, concrete, glass or stone products, and, rubber and plastic products"; in (Sub-21), from joint system materials to "building materials"; in (Sub-23), from fibreboard and wallboard to "lumber and wood products"; in (Sub-24), from building sections to "building materials"; (Sub-25), from cement to "clay, concrete, glass or stone products"; in (Sub-26), from composition boards to "lumber and wood products"; In (Sub-29), from wallboard, building board, insulating board, fibreboard, pulp board and strawboard, to "lumber and wood products"; in (Sub-30), from composition board to "lumber and wood products"; in (Sub-31), from plywood, harboard and molding, to "lumber and wood products"; in (Sub-32), from composition board to "lumber and wood products"; in (Sub-33), from plywood, hardboard and molding, to "lumber and wood products"; in (Sub-34), from heating materials and supplies, and insulating materials and supplies, to "metal products, building materials, and clay, concrete, glass or stone products"; in (Sub-35), from compostion boards, to "lumber and wood products"; in (Sub-36 and 38), from gypsum and gypsum products, asphalt and compostion roofing products, composition boards, urethane and urethane products, and insulating materials, to "clay, concrete, glass or stone products, petroleum products, lumber and wood products rubber and plastic products, and building materials"; in (Sub-38), from steel door frames, steel doors, and elevator cabs to "metal products and machinery"; in (Sub-40), from plywood, hardboard and particle board, to "lumber and wood products"; in (Sub-41), from gypsum and gypsum products, to "clay, concrete, glass or stone products"; in (Sub-42), from fireplace logs to "lumber and wood products", in (Sub-44), from gypsum and gypsum products, roofing and roofing supplies and materials, boards, urethane and urethane products, and insulating material to "clay, concrete, glass or stone products, building materials, lumber and wood products"; and rubber and plastic Products"; in (Sub-45), from gypsum and gypsum products, asphalt and composition roofing and products, urethane and urethane products, insulating materials, "clay, concrete, glass or stone products, petroleum products, rubber and plastic products, and building materials"; in (Sub-46), from gypsum products, compostioin boards, insulating materials, roofing and roofing materials, and urethane and urethane products to "clay, concrete, glass or stone products, lumber and wood products, building materials, and rubber and plastic products"; in (Sub-48), from plywood, hardboard and particleboard to "lumber and wood products"; in (Sub-50 and 57), from wood fibreboard "to lumber and wood products"; in (Sub-58), from pictures, furniture, furniture parts, and wooden shelves to "furniture and fixtures, printed matter, and metal products"; in (Sub-62), from roofing and roofing material, gypsum and gypsum products, composition boards, insulation materials, urethane and urethane products, to "building materials, clay, concrete, glass or stone products, lumber and wood products, and rubber and plastic products"; in (Sub-64), from compostion board and particleboard to "lumber and wood products"; in (Sub-65), from wood paneling, and fiberglass panelling to "lumber and wood products, clay, concrete, glass or stone products"; in (Sub-68), from gypsum and gypsum products, roofing supplies and materials, boards, urethane and urethane products, insulating materials, to "building materials, petroleum products, rubber and plastic products"; in (Sub-69 and 71), from plastic articles to "rubber and plastic products"; in (Sub-73, and 78), from aluminum products to "metal products"; in (Sub-74), from gypsum and gypsum products products to "clay, concrete, glass or stone products"; in (Sub-75), from plasterboard joint systems to "clay, concrete, glass or stone products"; in (Sub-76), from paperboard panels to "pulp, paper and related products"; in (Sub-80), from furniture stock panels, wood dimension stock, and composition board, to "lumber and wood products"; in (Sub-81), from composition board and plywood to "lumber and wood products"; in (Sub-84), from composition board, to "lumber and wood products"; in (Sub-86), from roofing materials to "building materials"; in (Sub-88), from lumber to "lumber and wood products"; in (Sub-89), from gypsum, gypsum products to "clay, concrete, glass or stone products"; in (Sub-91), from lumber and composition board to "lumber and wood products"; in (Sub-94), from printing paper, egg cartons, matches, and scrap waste paper to "pulp, paper and related products, matches, and waste or scrap materials"; in (Sub-E-1), from composition board as are building and roofing supplies and materials, to "building materials and supplies"; in (Sub-E-2), from composition board to "lumber and wood products"; in (Sub-E-3), from composition board, to "lumber and wood products"; in (Sub-E-4), from plywood to "lumber and wood products"; (Sub-E-5), from gypsum

products as are building and roofing materials or supplies to "building materials and supplies, and clay, concrete, glass or stone products"; (Sub-E-6), from gypsum products as are building and roofing materials or supplies to "building materials and supplies, clay, concrete, glass or stone products"; (Sub-E-7), from composition board as are building, construction, heating and insulating materials and supplies to "lumber and wood products"; (Sub-E-16), from gypsum and gypsum products, asphalt and composition roofing products, composition boards, urethane and urethane products, insulting materials, (all of the above "as are building supplies and equipment") to "clay, concrete, glass or stone products, petroleum products, lumber and wood products, rubber and plastic products, and building supplies and equipment"; (Sub-E-17), from insulting materials, heating equipment and supplies, to "builiding materials, machinery, and metal products"; (Sub-E-18), from insulating materials, heating equipment and supplies, to "building materials, machinery, and metal products"; (Sub-E-19), from composition boards as are building materials or supplies, to "building materials and supplies"; (Sub-E-20), from gypsum and gypsum products, asphalt and composition roofing products, composition boards, urethane and urethane products, insulating materials, (all of the above "as are building supplies and equipment"), to "clay, concrete, glass or stone products, lumber and wood products, rubber and plastic products, and building supplies and equipment"; (Sub-E-24), from gypsum products, urethane foam (as are building materials), to "clay, concrete, glass or stone products, and building materials"; (Sub-E-27), from compostion boards as are building materials, to "building materials"; (Sub-E-33), from gypsum and gypsum products, roofing and roofing supplies and materials, boards, urethane and urethane products, and insulating materials (all as are building materials), to "clay, concrete, glass or stone products, building materials, lumber and wood products, and rubber and plastic products"; (Sub-E-34), from roofing materials to "building materials"; (Sub-E-37), from fibreboard and wall board as are building materials and supplies, gypsum and gypsum products to "building materials and supplies, and clay, concrete, glass or stone"; (Sub-E-38), from composition boards as are building supplies, insulating materials, gypsum and gypsum products, to "building matreials and supplies, clay, concrete, glass or stone products"; (Sub-E-39), from gypsum products, urethane foam, (all as are building materials and supplies or insulating materials), and insulating materials to "building materials and supplies"; (Sub-E-40), from gypsum and gypsum products, asphalt and composition roofing products, and urethane and urethane products (as are building materials or supplies), insulating materials, to "clay, concrete, glass or stone products, and building materials and supplies"; (Sub-E-41), from insulting materials, plumbing and heating equipment and supplies, such gypsum and gypsum products as are construction materials, and supplies and materials as are dealth in by wholesale and retail hardware stores, to "building materials, machinery, metal products, rubber and plastic products, clay, concrete, glass or stone products, construction materials, and such commodities as are dealth in by hardware stores"; (Sub-E-42), from such gypsum roofing materials and supplies as are construction, heating, and insultating materials, supplies or equipment, and such commodities as are dealt in by wholesale and retail department stores, to "building materials, clay concrete, glass or stone, and heating materials, supplies and equipment, and such commodities as are dealt in by hardware stores"; (Sub-E-45), from gypsum and gypsum products, insulting materials, roofing and roofing supplies and materials, boards, urethane and urethane products (all as are building materials or supplies, plumbing or heating equipment or supplies or metal products), to "clay, concrete, glass or stone products, building materials or supplies, rubber or plastic products, lumber and wood products, petroleum, all as are plumbing or heating equipment or supplies or metal products"; (Sub-E-46), from insultating materials, such gypsum roofing materials as are construction or heating materials, or equipment or such commodities as are dealt in by wholesale and retail hardware stores, to "clay, concrete, glass or stone products, building materials, and heating materials, or equipment, or those dealth in by hardware stores"; (Sub-E-47), from insulation materials, such gypsum roofing materials as are construction materials, supplies and equipment or such commodities as are dealt in by wholesale and retail hardware stores, to "clay, concrete, glass or stone products, building materials, and those dealt in by hardware stores"; and, in (Sub-E-48), from wallboard, building board, insulating board, fibreboard, pulpboard and strawboard, to "lumber and wood products".

MC 124004 (Sub-67)X, filed February 17, 1981. Applicant: RICHARD DAHN, INC., 620 W. Mountain Rd., Sparta, NJ 07871. Representative: Richard W. Dahn (same address). Applicant seeks to remove restrictions in its lead and (Sub-15, 20, 27, 28, 29, 34, and 43) certificates in order to (1) broaden the commodity descriptions (a) from animal and poultry feed, animal and poultry feed ingredients (dry, in some instances, animals, poultry and pet feed and feed ingredients and supplements, cracklings, and animal offal to "food and related products" in the lead and each above-numbered sub; (b) from lumber and logs and firewood to "forest products" in the lead; (c) from dry fertilizer and dry fertilizer ingredients and agricultural insecticides, fungicides, and weed killing compounds to "chemicals and related products" in (Sub-15); (d) from cracklings, in bulk to "commodities in bulk" in (Sub-28); and (e) from quarry products and stone and decorative stone and decorative stone products to "clay, concrete, glass or stone products" in (Sub-29); (2) remove the restrictions on its commodities and territory (in some instances) in (a) (Sub-15), "in containers, when moving in mixed loads with the commodities above, and in bulk and in bags", (b) (Sub-20), "in bulk in dump vehicles, and in bags", (c) (Sub-27), the restriction against the transportation of salt and commodities in tank vehicles, and the "originating at or destined to points in Canada" restriction, and (d) (Sub-29), "except liquid commodities in tank vehicles" and the restriction against the transportation of lime, limestone, limestone products, sand, cement and soda ash, and packinghouse by-products, from Smithfield, VA; (3) replace authority to serve specified facilities at named points and authority to serve specified point with county-wide authority; (a) in the lead, sheet 2, change Ford, VA, to Dinwiddie County, VA; Swedesboro and Pitman, NJ to Gloucester County, NJ; (b) in (Sub-15), sheet 2 and (Sub-20), sheet 1, change Jersey City, Bayonne, and Secausus, NJ to Hudson County, NJ; (c) in (Sub-27), sheet 2, change Lumberville, PA to Bucks County, PA; (d) in (Sub-28), change the named facilities at Circleville, OH, to Pickaway County, OH; Green Bay WI, to Brown County, WI; (e) in (Sub-34), sheet 2, change Siler City, NC, to Chatham County, NC and Zanesville, OH, to Muskingham County, OH; and (f) in (Sub-43), change Port Monmouth, NJ, to Monmouth County, NJ, and (4) in all sub-numbers, expand one-way authorities to authorize radial

service between specified cities or counties in 18 eastern and central States and numerous specified eastern and central States.

MC 127651 (Sub-68)X, filed February 17, 1981. Applicant: EVERETT G. ROEHL, INC., East 29th Street, Box 7, Marshfield, WI 54449. Representative: Richard A. Westley, 4506 Regent Street, Suite 100, Madison, WI 53705. Applicant seeks to remove restrictions in its (Sub-53F) certificate by expanding its one-way authority to radial authority to transport iron and steel articles, between Chicago, IL, and points in MN and WI.

MC 133993 (Sub-4)X, filed January 28, 1981, previously noticed in the **Federal Register** of February 2, 1981, republished as corrected this issue. Applicant: SAND MOUNTAIN AUTO AUCTION, INC., P.O. Drawer 638, Boaz, AL 35957. Representative: Gerald D. Colvin, Jr., 603 Frank Nelson Bldg., Birmingham, AL 35203. Applicant seeks to remove restrictions from its lead and Sub-1 and 3 by (1) broadening the commodity descriptions used automobiles and motor vehicles to "transporation equipment," (2) eliminating restrictions limiting service to "in truckaway service" in all certificates to "in secondary movements" and "to shipments moving on freight forwarder bills of lading of TN'T, Inc." in Sub-3, (3) broadening from one-way authority to radial authority between points in AL and specified States in the eastern half of the U.S. in its lead and Sub-1, (4) substituting counties for specified facility, or city restriction; Marshall County, Al, for Mountain Auto Auction, Inc., at or near Boaz, AL, in its lead and Sub-1; Lake County, IN, for Gary, Hammond, and East Chicago, IN in Sub-1; Washtenaw and Genessee Counties, MI, for Ann Arbor and Flint, MI, respectively in Sub-1: and Newark, NJ, for Port Newark, NJ, in Sub-3.

Note.—The purpose of this republication is to correct the scope of removal sought to include Sub-No. 3 which was inadvertently omitted from the original **Federal Register** publication on February 2, 1981.

MC 134866 (Sub-2)X, filed February 18, 1981. Applicant: COURY MOTOR FREIGHT, 580 Ottawa, St. Paul, MN 55107. Representative: Robert P. Sack, P.O. Box 6010, West St. Paul, MN 55118. Applicant seeks to remove restrictions from its lead and Sub-1 certificates by (1) eliminating from general commodity authority all exceptions except "classes A and B explosives," (2) changing city-wide to county-wide authority from: Osceola, Garfield, Lincoln, Balsam Lake, and St. Croix Falls to Polk County, WI in the lead and Sub-1; South St. Paul, St. Paul, and Newport to Dakota, Ramsey, and Washington Counties, MN in the lead; and Minneapolis to Hennepin County, MN in the lead and Sub-1; and (3) authorizing radial authority in place of existive one-way authority between: Dakota, Ramsey, Washington, and Hennepin Counties, MN and points in Polk County, WI.

MC 135070 (Sub-184)X, filed February 18, 1981. Applicant: JAY LINES, INC., Box 61467, DFW Airport, TX 75261. Representative: Gailyn L. Larsen, P.O. Box 82816, Lincoln, NE 68501. Applicant seeks to remove restrictions in numerous certificates to broaden its commodity descriptions to "food and related products" in (1) Sub-17F, 39F, 44F, 48F, 51F, 57F, 59F, 73F, 88F, and 144F, from foodstuffs; (2) Sub-25F, 49F, and 66F, from frozen foods; (3) Sub-26F, 34F, 63F, 83F, and 151F, from confectionery; (4) Sub-71F and 146F, from bananas and agricultural commodities which are otherwise exempt from economic; (5) Sub-89F from dairy products; (6) Sub-91F from bakers yeast and ingredients thereof; and (7) Sub-95F from refined sugar. Applicant also seeks in (1) Sub-17F, 25F, 26F, 34F, 39F, 44F, 48F, 49F, 51F, 57F, 59F, 63F, 66F, 71F, 73F, 83F, 88F, 89F, 91F, 95F, 144F, 146F, and 151F, to change the one-way authority to radial authority between specified cities or counties and points in states throughout the U.S.; (2) Sub-17F, 39F, 49F, 57F, 59F, 73F, and 88F, to remove the "except commodities in bulk" restrictions (3) Sub-26F, 73F, 88F, and 151F, to remove the restriction requiring transportation in vehicles equipped with mechancial regfrigeration; (4) Sub-17F, 25F, 26F, 34F, 39F, 44F, 48F, 49F, 51F, 57F, 59F, 63F, 66F, 73F, 83F, 88F, 89F, 91F, 144F, and 151F, to eliminate the origin plantsite restrictions named therein such as M&M/Mars, at or near Elizabeth and Warren County, NJ and Lancaster County, PA in Sub-26F, and to remove all restrictions limiting service to the transportion of traffic originating at the named origins and/or destined to named destinations, in Sub-17F, 25F, 26F, 34F, 48F, 49, 51F, 59F, 73F, 83F, 88F, and 89F; (5) in all Sub numbers named above, to substitute counties for cities as follows: Dunn, Baron, Wood, and Eau Claire Counties, WI, for Menomonie, Cameron, Vesper, Wisconsin Rapids, and Eau Claire, WI, in Sub 17F; Benzie, Berrien and Oceana Counties, MI, for Frankfort, Benton Harbor, and Hart, MI, in Sub-25F; Warren County, NJ, for Hackettstown, NJ, and Lancaster County PA, for Elizabethtown, PA, in Sub-26F; Northampton, Cumberland, and Chester Counties, PA, for Bethlehem, Shiremanstown, Mechanicsburg, and Parkesburg, PA, in Sub-39F; Sullivan, Carroll, Macon and Saline Counties, MO, for Milan, Carrolton, Macon and Marshall, MO, in Sub-44F; Outagamie and Brown Counties, WI, for Appleton and Green Bay, WI, in Sub-49F; Hartford County, WI, for Appleton and Green Bay, WI, in Sub-49F; Hartford County, CT, for Wethersfield and Hartford, CT, and Ulster County, NY, for New Paltz, NY, in Sub 57F; Jasper County, MO, for Joplin and Carthage, MO, in Sub-59F; Grandy County, OK, for Chickasha, OK, in Sub-66F; Bradley County, TN, for Cleveland, TN, in Sub-73F; Waukesha and Wood Counties, WI, for New Berlin and Marshfield, WI, and Jackson and Chickasaw Counties, IA, for Preston and Fredericksburg, IA, in Sub-89F; Kern County, CA, for Bakersfield, CA in Sub-91F; Fort Bend County, TX, for Sugar Land, TX in Sub-95F; Santa Clara, Glenn, and Merced Counties, CA, for Gilroy, Willows and Los Banos, CA, in Sub-144F; and (6) in Sub-17F to remove the "in tank vehicles" restriction and Sub-71F, 73F, and 95F to remove restrictions against service to AK and HI.

MC 135170 (Sub-55)X, filed February 17, 1981. Applicant: TRI-STATE ASSOCIATES, INC., P.O. Box 188, Federalsburg, MD 21632. Representative: James C. Hardman, 33 N. LaSalle St., Chicago, IL 60602. Applicant seeks to remove restrictions in its Sub-50F permit by (1) broadening the commodity description from foodstuffs (except in bulk) to "food and related products," and (2) broadening its territorial description to between points in the U.S. under continuing contract(s) with a named shipper.

MC 135170 (Sub-56)X, filed February 17, 1981. Applicant: TRI-STATE ASSOCIATES, INC., P.O. Box 188, Federalsburg, MD 21632. Representative: James C. Hardman, 33 N. LaSalle St., Chicago, IL 60602. Applicant seeks to remove restrictions in it Sub-12, 18, 28F, 36F and 48F permits to (1) broaden its commodity descriptions from containers, container closures, and materials, equipment and supplies used in the manufacture, sale and distribution of containers and container closures (except commodities in bulk and those which because of size or weight require the use of special equipment) to "such commodities as are dealt in or used by manufacturers of containers"; and (2) replace one-way authority with authority between points in the United States under continuing contract(s) with named shippers.

MC 135231 (Sub-52)X, filed February 17, 1981. Applicant: NORTH STAR TRANSPORT, INC., Rt. 1, Highway 1 and 59 West, Thief River Falls, MN 56701. Representative: Robert P. Sack, P.O. Box 6010, West St. Paul, MN 55118. Applicant seeks to remove restrictions in its Sub-43F certificate to eliminate a restriction to shipments originating at named facilities, eliminate the HI and AK exception from its nation-wide authority, and change its one-way authority to radial authority between named facilities in MN and points in the United States (except AL, FL, GA, MS, and SC).

MC 138902 (Sub-19)X, filed February 18, 1981. Applicant: ERB TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, INC., P.O. Box 65, Crozet, VA 22932. Representative: Harry C. Ames, Jr., 805 McLachlen Bank Bldg., 666 Eleventh St., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20001. Applicant seeks to remove restrictions in its Sub-2, 3, 5, 6, 7F, 8F, 9F, 11F, 12F, and 16F certificates to (1) broaden its commodity descriptions from various food items such as frozen foods, materials and supplies used in the processing, manufacturing, and packaging of frozen foods (except commodities in bulk), bananas, agricultural commodities, frozen bakery products, and frozen foodstuffs, to "food and related products", in each certificate; (2) change its one-way authority to radial authority between various combinations of points and midwestern and eastern States, in Sub-6, 11F, 12F, and 16F; (3) replace its cities or plantsites with county-wide authority (a) Crozet, VA, with Albermarle County, VA, in Sub-2, 5, 6, 7F, 8F, and 9F, (b) a plantsite at Crozet, VA, with Albermarle County, VA, and Russellville, AR, with Pope County, AR, in Sub-3, (c) a plantsite in Cumberland County, NJ, with Cumberland County, NJ, in Sub-11F, part (1), and plantsites at 3 cities in MI, with Kent, Oceana, and Ionia Counties, MI, in part (2), and (d) a plantsite at or near Pottstown, PA, with Montgomery County, PA, Russellville, AR, with Pope County, AR, Greenville, MI, with Montcalm County, MI, and Madison, WI, with Dane County, WI, in Sub-16F, and (3) remove the originating at and destined to restriction, in Sub-11f.

MC 139904 (Sub-1)X, filed February 18, 1981. Applicant: INGRAM TRUCKING CO., INC., Box 306, Ball Ground, GA 30107. Representative: Paul M. Daniell, P.O. Box 872, Atlanta, GA 30301. Applicant seeks to remove restrictions in it lead certificate to (1) change the commodity descriptions from monumental, building, and crushed marble (some in bulk), crushed and rubble stone; and stone setting contractors' machinery equipment (except "size and weight" commodities) to "clay, concrete, glass or stone products" and "machinery"; (2) broaden city origins to county-wide authority: from Marblehill, and Tate, GA to Pickens County, GA; from Nelson, GA to Cherokee County, GA; from Whitestone, GA to Gilmer County, GA; and from Mansfield, NJ to Burlington County, NJ; (3) expand its one-way authority to authorize radial service between specified counties in GA, MO, TX, and NJ, and specified States in the eastern and central U.S. and DC; and (4) eliminate the "originating at and destined to" restriction on sheet 4.

MC 140942 (Sub-2)X, filed February 17, 1981. Applicant: CLOVERDALE TRANSPORATION COMPANY, P.O. Box 578, Mandan, ND 58554. Representative: Michael J. Ogborn, Nelson & Harding, P.O. Box 82028, Lincoln, NE 68501. Applicant seeks to remove restrictions in its lead permit to (1) broaden the commodity description from (a) meat, meat products, and meat-by-products, dairy products, and articles distributed by meat packinghouses to "food and related products" and (b) from such commodities as are dealt in by wholesale and retail grocery and food houses and food processors to include "materials and supplies used by wholesale and retail grocery and food business processors", (2) to remove restrictions against the transportation of hides and commodities in bulk, and (3) to broaden the territorial description to "between points in the United States" under a continuing contract(s) with a named shipper.

MC 141995 (Sub-1)X, filed February 17, 1981. Applicant: INTERNATIONAL EX-AIR TRANSPORT, INC., 270 Guadalupe Street, Laredo, TX 78040. Representative: Eduardo Pena, Jr., 243 National Press Building, Washington, DC 20045. Applicant seeks to remove restrictions in its lead certificate to (1) broaden its commodity description from general commodities (with usual exceptions) to "general commodities (except classes A and B explosives)"; (2) replace San Antonio, TX with the county-wide authority of Bexar County, TX, (3) eliminate the restriction prohibiting transportation of shipments having prior or subsequent movement by air carrier and (4) expand the territorial authority to authorize ports of entry on the United States-Republic of Mexico in Texas in place of those located at or near Laredo, TX, on radial movements between Bexar County, TX, and Laredo, TX, and ports of entry on the United States-Republic of Mexico, in TX.

MC 142703 (Sub-31)X, filed February 18, 1981. Applicant: INTERMODAL TRANSPORTATION SERVICES, INC., P.O. Box 14072, Cincinnati, OH 45214. Representative: Michael Spurlock, 275 E. State St., Columbus, OH 43215. Applicant seeks to remove restrictions in its Sub 16F certificate to (a) broaden its general commodities authority by removing all commodity exceptions except classes A and B explosives and (b) remove a restriction which restricts transportation to shipments having a prior or subsequent movement by rail in trailer-on-flatcar-service.

MC 143443 (Sub-6)X, filed February 17, 1981. Applicant: D.J. KIRBY, INC., Box 195, Gilberts, IL 60136. Representative: Lavern R. Holdeman, P.O. Box 81849, Lincoln, NE 68501. Applicant seeks to remove restrictions in its lead and Sub-4F certificate to (1) broaden its commodity description (a) from steel to "metal products" in its lead certificates, and (b) from construction materials (except in bulk) to "building materials" in Sub-4F; (2) eliminate facility limitations at or near Elgin, and Hampshire, IL, substitute county-wide authority, and change the one-way authority to radial authority between Kane County, IL (Elgin and Hampshire, IL), and points in 8 Western States in Sub-4F; and (3) remove restriction limiting transportation to traffic originating at the named origins destined to named destinations in Sub-4F.

MC-144806 (Sub-8)X, filed February 18, 1981. Applicant: FRED M. ANDERSON, d.b.a. DELTAL VALLEY TRUCKING, 69 N. 200 West, Delta, UT 84624. Representative: Bruce W. Shand, 430 Judge Bldg., Salt Lake City, UT 84111. Applicant seeks to remove restrictions in its Sub-2F and 3F permits to (1) in Sub 2F, broaden the commodity description from beet pulp pellets, in bulk, to "food and related products" and (2) in Sub-2F and 3F, expand its territorial description to between points in the U.S. under continuing contract(s) with a named shipper.

MC 146097 (Sub-3)X, filed February 17, 1981. Applicant: LENNEMAN TRANSPORT, INC., 10 N. Michigan St., Hutchinson, MN 55350 Representative: Robert P. Sack, P.O. Box 6010 W. St. Paul, MN 55118. Applicant seeks to remove restrictions from its Sub-1F and 2F certificates to (1) change its commodity descriptions from iron or steel bars, iron or steel plates, and iron or steel rods; and agricultural fertilizing equipment to "metal products"; (2)

replace the city-wide authority in each sub-number with county-wide authority: From Sterling, IL to Whiteside County, IL; from Hutchinson, MN to McLeod County, MN; from Yankton, SD to Yankton County, SD; (3) expand one-way authorities to authorize radial service between specified counties or cities in IL, MN and SD, and points in 12 central States; and (4) eliminate the "originating at and destined to" restriction in each sub-number.

MC-148127 (Sub-30)X, filed February 18, 1981. Applicant: LINEHALL EXPRESS CORP., P.O. Box 5078, Manchester, NH 03108. Representative: John A. Sykas (same as above). Applicant seeks to remove restrictions in its Sub-No. 22F certificate to (1) remove the plantsite restriction and replace it with city-wide authority of Portland, ME, and (2) replace one-way authority with radial authority between Portland, ME, and, points in CA, CO, AZ, UT, and OR.

[FR Doc. 81-6809 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7035-01-M

Permanent Authority Decisions; Decision-Notice

The following applications, filed on or after July 3, 1980, are governed by Special Rule 247 of the Commission's Rules of Practice, see 49 CFR 1100.247. Special Rule 247 was published in the **Federal Register** of July 3, 1980, at 45 FR 45539. For compliance procedures, refer to the **Federal Register** issue of December 3, 1980, at 45 FR 80109.

Persons wishing to oppose an application must follow the rules under 49 CFR 1100.247(B). A copy of any application, together with applicant's supporting evidence, can be obtained from any applicant upon request and payment to applicant of $10.00.

Amendments to the request for authority are not allowed. Some of the applications may have been modified prior to publication to conform to the Commission's policy of simplifying grants of operating authority.

Findings

With the exception of those applications involving duly noted problems (e.g., unresolved common control, fitness, water carrier dual operations, or jurisdictional questions) we find, preliminarily, that each applicant has demonstrated its proposed service warrants a grant of the application under the governing section of the Interstate Commerce Act. Each applicant is fit, willing, and able to perform the service proposed, and to conform to the requirements of Title 49, Subtitle IV, United States Code, and the Commission's regulations. Except where noted, this decision is neither a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment nor a major regulatory action under the Energy Policy and Conservation Act of 1975.

In the absence of legally sufficient interest in the form of verified statements filed on or before 45 days from date of publication (or, if the application later becomes unopposed) appropriate authorizing documents will be issued to applicants with regulated operations (except those with duly noted problems) and will remain in full effect only as long as the applicant maintains appropriate compliance. The unopposed applications involving new entrants will be subject to the issuance of an effective notice setting forth the compliance requirements which must be satisfied before the authority will be issued. Once this compliance is met, the authority will be issued.

Within 60 days after publication an applicant may file a verified statement in rebuttal to any statement in opposition.

To the extent that any of the authority granted may duplicate an applicant's other authority, the duplication shall be construed as conferring only a single operating right.

Note.—All applications are for authority to operate as a motor common carrier in interstate or foreign commerce over irregular routes, unless noted otherwise. Applications for motor contract carrier authority are those where service is for a named shipper "under contract."

Volume No. OPI-042

Decided: February 19, 1981.

By the Commission, Review Board No. 1, Members Carleton, Joyce, and Jones.

MC 83850 (Sub-16), filed February 2, 1981. Applicant: JOHNSON'S TRANSFER, INC., 6951 Norwitch Drive, Philadelphia, PA 19153. Representative: James W. Patterson, 1200 Western Savings Bank Bldg., Philadelphia, PA 19107. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives), between the facilities used by United States Gypsum Company, its affiliates and subsidiaries, in the U.S., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the U.S.

MC 98391 (Sub-4), filed February 2, 1981. Applicant: JEKEL MOVING & STORAGE CO., a corporation, 405 36th St., SE., Grand Rapids, MI 49508. Representative: George A. Pendleton, P.O. Box 51, 5116 Brookgate, NW., Comstock Park, MI 49321. Transporting *household goods*, between points in Allegan County, MI, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the U.S., under continuing contract(s) with Thermotron Corporation, of Holland, MI.

MC 112801 (Sub-258), filed February 2, 1981. Applicant: TRANSPORT SERVICE CO., a corporation, 15 Salt Creek Lane, Hinsdale, IL 60521. Representative: E. Stephen Heisley, 805 McLachlen Bank Bldg., 666 Eleventh St., NW., Washington, D.C. 20001. Transporting *commodities in bulk*, between the facilities of Dow Chemical Company in the U.S., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the U.S.

MC 115821 (Sub-55), filed February 3, 1981. Applicant: BEELMAN TRUCK CO., P.O. Box 93, St. Libory, IL 62282. Representative: Ernest A. Brooks II, 1301 Ambassador Bldg., St. Louis, MO 63101. Transporting *lime, limestone, and limestone products*, between those points in the U.S., in and east of ND, SD, NE, KS, OK, and TX.

MC 116280 (Sub-25), filed February 2, 1981. Applicant: W. C. McQUAIDE, INC., 153 Macridge Ave., Johnstown, PA 15904. Representative: Christian V. Graf, 407 N Front St., Harrisburg, PA 17101. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives), between points in Camden County, NJ, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in PA.

MC 117940 (Sub-370), filed January 27, 1981. Applicant: NATIONWIDE CARRIERS, INC., P.O. Box 104, Maple Plain, MN 55359. Representative: Allan L. Timmerman, 5300 Highway 12, Maple Plain, MN 55359. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives), between the facilities used by The Charter Oak Shippers Cooperative Association, Inc., in CT, MA, and RI, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the U.S.

MC 119700 (Sub-76), filed January 28, 1981. Applicant: STEEL HAULERS, INC., 306 Ewing Ave., Kansas City, MO 64125. Representative: Frank W. Taylor, Jr., 1221 Baltimore Ave., Suite 600, Kansas City, MO 64125. Transporting *metal products*, between points in Shawnee County, KS, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in AR, IL, IN, IA, KY, LA, MI, MN, MS, MO, NE, OH, OK, SD, TN, TX and WI.

MC 128520 (Sub-6), filed January 16, 1981. Applicant: ROBINSON FREIGHT LINES, INC., P.O. Box 10234, Knoxville, TN 37919. Representative: Warren A. Goff, 2008 Clark Tower, 5100 Poplar Ave., Memphis, TN 38137. Transporting *lime, limestone and limestone products*, between points in Union and Knox Counties, TN, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in AL, FL, GA, KY, MS, NC, OH, SC, VA, and WV.

MC 128541 (Sub-3), filed January 30, 1981. Applicant: WESLEY WAYNE MACOMBER d.b.a. MACOMBER TRUCKING, RFD 1A, Pond Rd., Gardiner, ME 04345. Representative: Wesley Wayne Macomber (same address as applicant). Transporting *pulp, paper and related products*, between points in the U.S., under continuing contract(s) with Yorktowne Paper Mills of Maine, Inc., of Gardiner, ME.

MC 144710 (Sub-7), filed January 28, 981. Applicant: MONROE CONTRACTORS EQUIPMENT, INC., 1640 Penfield Rd., Rochester, NY 14625. Representative: S. Michael Richards, PO Box 225, Webster, NY 14580. Transporting *electrical appliances, carbon electrodes, furnace or electrolytic bath and carbon plugs, carbon scrap, coke flour, machinery and machinery parts*, between points in Erie, Niagara and St. Lawrence Counties, NY, and Elk County, PA, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in AL, AZ, AR, CA, CO, DE, ID, IA, KS, LA, ME, MD, MI, MN, MS, MO, MT, NE, NV, NH, NM, NY, ND, OK, OR, RI, SD, TX, UT, VT, WA, WY, and DC.

MC 147681 (Sub-17), filed February 3, 1981. Applicant: HOYA EXPRESS, INC., P.O. Box 543, West Middlesex, PA 16159. Representative: David M. O'Boyle, 2310 Grant Bldg., Pittsburgh, PA 15219. Transporting (1) *equipment, materials and supplies* used in the manufacture and installation of equipment used by banks and financial institutions, (2) *equipment, materials, and supplies* used in the construction of banks and financial institutions, and (3) *furniture and fixtures*, between points in OH, CT, DE, IN, KY, ME, MD, MA, NH, NJ, NY, PA, RI, VT, VA, WV, MI, IL, TX, and DC.

Volume No. OP2-019

Decided: February 13, 1981.

By the Commission, Review Board No. 2, Members Chandler, Eaton, and Liberman.

MC 16872 (Sub-26), filed January 23, 1981. Applicant: WILLIAM MIRRER, d.b.a. MIRRER'S TRUCKING, 100 E. 25th St., Paterson, NJ 07514. Representative: George A. Olsen, P.O. Box 357, Gladstone, NJ 07934 (201) 435-7140. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives), between the facilities used by Witco Chemical Corp. and its subsidiaries in the U.S., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the U.S.

MC 73533 (Sub-14), filed January 22, 1981. Applicant: KEY WAY TRANSPORT, INC., 820 South Oldham St., Baltimore, MD 21224. Representative: William F. Lamperelli (same address as applicant). Transporting *printed matter*, between points in the U.S., under continuing contract(s) with Port City Press, Inc., of Baltimore, MD.

MC 107012 (Sub-648), filed January 22, 1981. Applicant: NORTH AMERICAN VAN LINES, INC., 5001 U.S. Hwy 30 West, P.O. Box 988, Fort Wayne, IN 46801. Representative: David D. Bishop (same address as applicant) (219) 429-2110. Transporting *textile mill products*, between points in Moore County, NC, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in PA.

MC 119702 (Sub-81), filed January 29, 1981. Applicant: STAHLY CARTAGE CO., 119 S. Main St., Edwardsville, IL 62025. Representative: E. Stephen Heisley, 666 Eleventh St., NW #805, Washington, DC 20001. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives), between the facilities used by (a) Amoco Chemicals Corporation and (b) W. & W. Sales and Leasing Co., in the U.S., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the U.S.

MC 139973 (Sub-87), filed January 29, 1981. Applicant: J. H. WARE TRUCKING, INC., P.O. Box 398, Fulton, MO 65251. Representative: Larry D. Knox, 600 Hubbell Building, Des Moines, IA 50309. Transporting (1) *metal products*, (2) *rubber and plastic products*, (3) *chemicals and related products*, between the facilities used by Ross Daniels, Inc., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the U.S.

Volume No. OP2-021.

Decided: February 12, 1981.

By the Commission, Review Board No. 2, Members Chandler, Eaton, and Liberman.

MC 263 (Sub-237), filed January 27, 1981. Applicant: GARRETT FREIGHTLINES, INC. 2055 Garrett Way, Pocatello, ID 83201. Representative: Wayne S. Green, (same address as applicant), 208-232-8831. Transporting *general commodities*, between Denver and Pueblo, CO, over Interstate Hwy 25, serving all intermediate points and the off-route point of Canon City, CO in connection with carrier's authorized regular-route operations. Condition: To the extent this certificate authorizes the transportation of classes A and B explosives, it shall be limited to a period expiring 5 years from its date of issuance.

MC 2052 (Sub-25), filed January 5, 1981. Applicant: BLAIR TRANSFER, INC., 203 South Ninth, Blair, NE 68008. Representative: Arlyn L. Westergren, Suite 201, 9202 West Dodge Rd., Omaha, NE 68114, (402) 397-7033. Transporting *food and related products*, between Milwaukee, WI, St. Paul, MN, and points in Peoria and St. Clair Counties, IL, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in NE.

MC 11012 (Sub-5), filed January 26, 1981. Applicant: JENKINS-SIMMONS TRANSPORTATION CO., INC., 678 Adams St., North Abington, MA 02351. Representative: Russell S. Callahan, P.O. Box 1806, Brockton, MA 02403, (617) 697-7748. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives), between points in CT, ME, MA, NH, NJ, NY, RI and VT.

MC 29613 (Sub-10), filed January 26, 1981. Applicant: JAYNE'S MOTOR FREIGHT, INC., 860 North Avenue East, Elizabeth, NJ 07201. Representative: George A. Olsen, P.O. Box 357, Gladstone, NJ 07934, (201) 435-7140. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives) between points in CT, RI, MA, NY, NJ, PA, DE, MD, and DC.

MC 47583 (Sub-137), filed February 2, 1981. Applicant: TOLLIE FREIGHTWAYS, INC., 1020 Sunshine Rd., Kansas City, KS 66115. Representative: D. S. Hults, P.O. Box 225, Lawrence, KS 66044, 913-843-0110.Transporting *rubber and plastic products*, between points in Douglas County, NE, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the U.S.

MC 107162 (Sub-78), filed January 28, 1981. Applicant: NOBLE GRAHAM TRANSPORT, INC., R. R. 1, Brimley, MI 49715. Representative: Michael S. Varda, 121 South Pinckney St., Madison, WI 53703, (608) 255-8891. Transporting (1) *lumber and wood products*, and *forest products*, between points in the upper peninsula of MI, and those points in WI on and north of WI Hwy 64, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in AZ, CA, CO, ID, MT, NV, NM, OR, UT, WA and WI, (2) *forest products* between the origin territory in (1), on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the U.S. in and east of ND, SD, NE, KS, OK, and TX, (3) *lumber and wood products* between points in the lower peninsula of MI, on the one hand, and, on the other, those points in the U.S. in and east of ND, SD, NE, KS, OK and TX, and (4) *such commodities* as are dealt in by manufacturers and distributors of fertilizer between points in Lucas County, OH, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the upper Peninsula of MI.

MC 112223 (Sub-135), filed January 3, 1981. Applicant: QUICKIE TRANSPORT COMPANY, 1700 New Brighton Blvd, Minneapolis, MN 55413. Representative: Earl Hacking (same address as applicant), 612-781-6653. Transporting

clay, concrete, glass or stone products, between St. Paul, MN, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in WI.

MC 114123 (Sub-51), filed January 21, 1981. Applicant: HERMAN R. EWELL, INC., East Earl, PA 17519. Representative: J. Bruce Walter, P.O. Box 1146, Harrisburg, PA 17108, (717) 233-5731. Transporting *food and related products,* between points in King County, NY, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in ME, VT, NH, NY, MA, RI, CT, and NJ.

MC 123922 (Sub-21), filed January 26, 1981. Applicant: AMTRUK TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 4327, Bergen Station, Jersey City, NJ 07304.Representative: Eric Meierhoefer, Suite 423, 1511 K St., N.W., Washington, DC 20005, (202) 347-9332. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives), between points in the U.S., under a continuing contract(s) with Celanese Chemical Company, Inc., of Dallas, TX.

MC 136123 (Sub-13), filed January 3, 1981. Applicant: MEAT DISPATCH, INC., P.O. Box 1058, Palmetto, FL 33561. Representative: William L. Beasley (same address as applicant). Transporting *such commodities* as are dealt in or used by manufacturers and distributors of floor tile and flooring accessoreis, between the facilities of Uvalde Rock Asphalt Company, of Harris County TX, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the U.S.

MC 138882 (Sub-378), filed January 21, 1981. Applicant: WILEY SANDERS TRUCK LINES, INC., P.O. Drawer 707, Troy, AL 36081. Representative: John J. Dykema (same address as applicant), (202) 566-518. Transporting *building materials,* between points in TX, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the U.S.

MC 139642 (Sub-9) filed January 6, 1981. Applicant: BAMA TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, INC., 5247 East Pine, Tulsa, OK 74115. Representative: Jack R. Anderson, Suite 305, Reunion Center, 9 East Fourth St., Tulsa, OK 74103, (918) 583-9000. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives), between points in the U.S., under continuing contract(s) with International American Ceramics, Inc., of Tulsa, OK.

MC 139763 (Sub-5) filed January 28, 1981. Applicant: OAK HARBOR FREIGHT LINES, INC., 6350 S. 143rd St., Seattle, WA 98168. Representative: John A. Anderson, Suite 1600, One Main Pl., 101 SW Main St., Portland, OR 97204, (503) 224-5525. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives), between points in Multnomah, Washington and Clackamas Counties, OR and Clark, Cowlitz, Lewis, Thurston, Pierce, King, Snohomish, Island, Skagit and Whatcom Counties, WA.

Note.—applicant holds regular route authority and has indicated intention to tack with existing authority.

MC 141932 (Sub-41) filed January 5, 1981. Applicant: POLAR TRANSPORT, INC., 176 King St., Hanover, MA 02339. Representative: Alton C. Gardner (same address as applicant). Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives), between the facilities of (a) Jordan Marsh Co., and (b) Marshall's, Inc., at points in the U.S. on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the United States.

MC 141993 (Sub-41) filed January 21, 1981. Applicant: CHIPPEWA YELLOW BUS CO., INC., d.b.a. CHIPPEWA TRAILS, 515 Roland St., Chippewa Falls, WI 54729. Representative: Yvonne I. Hebert (same address as applicant), (715) 723-2094. As a *broker,* at Chippewa Falls, WI, to arrange for the transportation, by motor vehicle, of *passengers and their baggage,* in round-trip special and charter operations, beginning and ending at points in Chippewa, Rusk, Barron, Eau Claire, Dunn, and Clark Counties, WI, and extending to points in the U.S. (except AK and HI).

MC 142603 (Sub-40) filed January 27, 1981. Applicant: CONTRACT CARRIERS OF AMERICA, INC., P.O. Box 1968, Springfield, MA 01101. Representative: Stephen J. Habash, 100 E. Broad St., Columbus, OH 43215, (614) 228-1541. Transporting *pulp, paper and related products* between points in the U.S., under continuing contract(s) with Scott Paper Company of Scott Plaza II, Philadelphia, PA.

MC 144083 (Sub-14) filed February 3, 1981. Applicant: RALPH WALKER, INC., P.O. Box 3222, Jackson, MS 39207. Representative: Fred W. Johnson, Jr., P.O. Box 22807, Jackson, MS 39205, 601-969-3424. Transporting *machinery,* between points in Madison County, MS, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the U.S.

MC 144672 (Sub-26), filed January 21, 1981. Applicant: VICTORY EXPRESS, INC., P.O. Box 26189, Trotwood, OH 45426. Representative: Richard H. Schaefer (same address as applicant), (513) 277-8933. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives), between points in the U.S.

MC 145593 (Sub-11), filed February 3, 1981. Applicant: HAROLD SHULL TRUCKING, INC., P.O. Box 1533, Hickory, NC 28601. Representative: Fran Finley, 1050 21st Ave. NW No. 31, Hickory, NC 28601, 704-322-8238. Transporting *metal products,* between points in Trumbull and Cuyahoga Counties, OH, and Allegheny County, PA, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Surry County, NC.

MC 146293 (Sub-72), filed January 26, 1981. Applicant: REGAL TRUCKING CO., INC., P.O. Box 849, Lawrenceville, GA 30246. Representative: Richard M. Tettlebaum, Fifth Floor, Lenox Towers S, 3390 Peachtree Rd., N.E., Atlanta, GA 30326, (404) 262-7855. Transporting *such commodities* as are dealt in or used by manufacturers of musical instruments, between points in GA and TN, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in WI.

MC 146523 (Sub-2), filed January 21, 1981. Applicant: R. A. HOWARD BUS SERVICE LIMITED, P.O. Box 268, Henry St. Athens, Ontario, Canada KOE 1BO. Representative: Brian S. Stern, North Springfield Professional Centre II, 5411-D Blacklick Rd., Springfield, VA 22151, (703) 941-8200. Transporting, in foreign commerce only, *passengers and their baggage,* in the same vehicle with passengers, in round-trip special and charter operations, beginning and ending at ports of entry on the international boundry line between the U.S. and Canada, and extending to points in the U.S.

MC 149152 (Sub-3), filed January 28, 1981. Applicant: L & L MOTOR FREIGHT, INC., 1911 N. W. 1st St., Oklahoma City, OK 73126. Representative: William P. Parker, Suite 615, East, The Oil Center, 2601 Northwest Expressway, Oklahoma City, OK 73112 Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives), between Oklahoma City, OK and Lubbock, TX.

MC 151632 (Sub-6), filed January 22, 1981. Applicant: EASTWOOD CARRIERS, INC., P.O. Box 1073, Lockhouse Rd., Westfield, MA 01086. Representative: James M. Burns, 1383 Main St., Suite 413, Springfield, MA 01103, (413) 781-8205. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives), between points in CT, ME, MA, NH, NY, NJ, PA, RI, and VT, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the U.S.

MC 153733, filed January 21, 1981. Applicant: METRO TRANSPORT COMPANY, INC., P.O. Box 11074, Charlotte, NC 27602. Representative: David H. Permar, P.O. Box 527, Raleigh, NC 27602, (919) 828-5952. Transporting *petroleum, natural gas and their products* between points in NC and SC.

Volume No. OP2-022

Decided: February 17, 1981.

By the Commission, Review Board No. 3, Members Parker, Fortier, and Hill.

MC 63562 (Sub-80), filed January 28, 1981. Applicant: BN TRANSPORT INC., 6775 East Evans Ave., P.O. Box 22694—Wellshire Station, Denver, CO 80222. Representative: Cecil L. Goettsch, 1100 Des Moines Bldg., Des Moines, IA 50307. Over Regular routes, transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives), (1) between Seattle, WA, and Chicago, IL, over Interstate Hwy 90, (2) between junction Interstate Hwys 90 and 94 (near Billings, MT) and Detroit, MI, over Interstate Hwy 94, (3) between Portland, OR, and junction Interstate Hwy 84 and Interstate Hwy 80: from Portland over Interstate Hwy 84 to junction Interstate Hwy 80, and return over the same route, (4) between San Francisco, CA and Toledo, OH, over Interstate Hwy 80, (5) between Indianapolis, IN, and junction Interstate Hwy 70 and Interstate Hwy 15: from Indianapolis over Interstate Hwy 70 to junction Interstate Hwy 15, and return over the same route, (6) between Kansas City, MO, and the intersection of Interstate Hwy 29 and the international boundary line between the U.S. and Canada, over Interstate Hwy 29, (7) between Duluth MN, and Loredo, TX, over Interstate Hwy 35, (8) between Memphis, TN, and junction Interstate Hwy 40 and Interstate Hwy 15: from Memphis over Interstate Hwy 40 to junction Interstate Hwy 15 and return over the same route, (9) between New Orleans, LA, and Los Angeles, CA, over Interstate Hwy 10, (10) between Chicago, IL, and junction Interstate Hwy 55 and Interstate Hwy 10: from Chicago over Interstate Hwy 55 to junction Interstate Hwy 10 and return over the same route, (11) between Indianapolis, IN, and junction Interstate Hwy 74 and Interstate Hwy 80: from Indianapolis over Interstate Hwy 74 to junction Interstate 80 and return over the same route, (12) between Oklahoma City, OK, and St. Louis, MO, over Interstate Hwy 44, (13) between Little Rock, AR, and Galveston, TX: from Little Rock over Interstate Hwy 30 to junction Interstate Hwy 45, then over Interstate Hwy 45 to Galveston, and return over the same route, (14) between Jackson, MS, and junction Interstate Hwy 20 and Interstate Hwy 10: from Jackson over Interstate Hwy 20 to junction Interstate Hwy 10, and return over the same route, (15) between Las Cruces, NM, and junction Interstate Hwy 25 and Interstate Hwy 90: from Las Cruces over Interstate Hwy 25 to junction Interstate Hwy 90 and return over the same route, (16) between Indianapolis, IN, and Flint, MI, over Interstate Hwy 69, (17) between Toledo, OH, and Sault Ste Marie, MI, over Interstate Hwy 75, (18) between junction Interstate Hwys 10 and 8 and the intersection of Interstate Hwy 15 and the international boundary line between the U.S. and Canada: from junction Interstate Hwys 10 and 8 over Interstate Hwy 8 to junction Interstate Hwy 15, then over Interstate Hwy 15 to the intersection of the international boundary line between the U.S. and Canada, and return over the same route, (19) between the intersection of Interstate Hwy 5 and the international boundary line between the U.S. and Canada, and, the intersection of Interstate Hwy 5 and the international boundary line between the U.S. and the Republic of Mexico, over Interstate Hwy 5, (20) between Chicago, IL, and junction Interstate Hwys 57 and 55 over Interstate Hwy 57, (21) between Chicago, IL, and Indianpolis, IN, over Interstate Hwy 65, serving points in AZ, AR, CA, CO, ID, IL, IN, IA, KS, LA, MI, MN, MO, MT, NV, NE, NM, ND, OK, OR, SD, TX, UT, WA, WI, and WY as off route points in connection with carrier's authorized regular routes.

MC 108313 (Sub-16), filed January 26, 1981. Applicant: CALEDONIA LINES, INC., 100 Sunny Sol Blvd., P.O. Box 8, Caledonia, NY 14423. Representative: Herbert M. Canter, 305 Montgomery St., Syracuse, NY 113202, (315) 472-8845. Transporting (1) *chemicals and related products*, between points in the U.S., under continuing contract(s) with (a) Jones Chemicals, Inc., of Caledonia, NY, (b) Friendship Supply Company, Inc., of Friendship, NY, (c) Monarch Chemicals, Inc., of Utica, NY, (d) L.C.P. Chemicals—NY, of Solvay, NY, and (e) Allied Chemical Corporation, of Morristown, NJ; and (2) *petroleum, natural gas and their products*, between points in the U.S., under continuing contract(s) with (a) Jones Chemicals, Inc., of Caledonia, NY, (b) Friendship Supply Company, Inc., of Friendship, NY, (c) Monarch Chemicals, Inc., of Utica, NY, and (d) L. R. Cross, Inc., of Syracuse, NY. Condition: Applicant has requested coincidental cancellation of its permits in MC-108313 (Sub Nos. 1, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 14, and 15), and discontinuance and dismissal of a petition to modify now pending in MC-108313 (Sub No. 14 (M1)F) upon issuance of a permit in this proceeding.

MC 110563 (Sub-322), filed January 29, 1981. Applicant: COLDWAY FOOD EXPRESS, INC., P.O. Box 747; Sidney, OH 45365. Representative: Victor J. Tambascia (same as applicant). Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives), between Baltimore, MD, Los Angeles, CA, and points in Dade County, FL, Tarrant County, TX, and Lake County, IL, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the U.S.

MC 136123 (Sub-12), filed February 3, 1981. Applicant: MEAT DISPATCH, INC., P.O. Box 1058, Palmetto, FL 33561. Representative: William L. Beasley (same address as applicant) 813-722-0506. Transporting *food and related products*, between points in the U.S., under continuing contract(s) with Fearn International, Inc., of Franklin Park, IL.

MC 136393 (Sub-6), filed January 30, 1981. Applicant: NY, NJ, CT FREIGHT & MESSENGER CORP., 351 West 38th St., New York, NY 10018. Representative: Ronald I. Shapss, 450 Seventh Avenue, New York, NY 10123. Transporting *such commodities* as are dealt in by department stores, between points in the U.S., under continuing contract(s) with (a) K Mart Apparel Corp., of North Bergen, NJ, and (b) Strawbridge & Clothier, Inc., of Philadelphia, PA.

MC 145632 (Sub-1), filed January 26, 1981. Applicant: OTTUMWA TRANSIT LINES, INC., 1414 West 2nd St., Ottumwa, IA 52501. Representative: James M. Hodge, 1980 Financial Center, Des Moines, IA 50309, (515) 245-4300. Transporting *passengers and their baggage*, in the same vehicle with passengers, in round-trip charter operations, beginning and ending at points in Schuyler, Adair, Macon, and Knox Counties, MO, and extending to points in the U.S.

MC 149112 (Sub-2), filed January 23, 1981. Applicant: FLORIDA-NEW ORLEANS EXPRESS, INC., 635 North Wabash St., Lakeland, FL 33801. Representative: Rudy Yessin, 113 West Main St., Frankfort, KY 40601, (502) 227-7326. Transporting *food and related products*, between the facilities of Tropicana Products Co., in Manatee County, FL, on the one hand, and, on the other, New Orleans, LA, St. Louis, MO, Indianapolis, IN, and Minneapolis, MN.

MC 149202 (Sub-3), filed January 30, 1981. Applicant: MOUNTAIN TRUCKING, INC., 5121 Breakwater Way, Oxnard, CA 93030. Representative: Milton W. Flack, 8383 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 900, Beverly Hills, CA 90211. Transporting *chemicals and related products* between points in Orange County, CA, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the U.S.

MC 150482 (Sub-2), filed January 23, 1981. Applicant: McCAULEY AIR FREIGHT, R.D. No. 4, Box 314A, Punxsutawney, PA 15767. Representative: John W. Smith (same

address as applicant) (814) 938–3790. Transporting *metal products*, between points in Elk County, PA, on the one hand, and, on the other, those points in the U.S. in and east of MN, IA, MO, AR, and LA.

MC 151192, filed January 23, 1981. Applicant: MARTHA M. KLIMAS, d.b.a. KLIMAS PETROLEUM HAULING, 9139 South 88th Ave., Hickory Hills, IL 60457. Representative: Jack H. Blanshan, 205 West Touhy Ave., Suite 200, Park Ridge, IL 60068, (312) 692–3020. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives), between points in the U.S., under continuing contract(s) with Ashland Chemical Company, Division, Ashland Oil, Inc., of Dublin, OH.

MC 151293 (Sub-1), filed December 10, 1980. Applicant: HUTCHENS TRUCKING CO., INC., 615 Rosann Dr., Winston-Salem, NC 27107. Representative: B.G. Martin, 1979 Beach St., Winston-Salem, NC 27103. Transporting *electrical controllers and parts for electrical controllers, transformers, switch gear equipment, appliances and electrical switches, breakers, and parts for breakers*, between points in the U.S., under continuing contract(s) with General Electric Company, of Fort Wayne, IN.

MC 151952, filed January 23, 1981. Applicant: DOUGHTY MOVING & STORAGE, INC., P.O. Box 1502, Natchez, MS 39210. Representative: Robert L. McArty, P.O. Box 22628, Jackson, MS 39205, (601) 948–8820. Transporting (1) *metal products*, between points in Adams County, MS, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in AL, LA, MS, and Nacogdoches County, TX; and (2) *machinery*, between points in Nacogdoches County, TX, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in AL and MS.

Volume No. OP4–034

Decided: February 24, 1981.

By the Commission, Review Board No. 1, Members Carleton, Joyce, and Jones.

MC 34227 (Sub-23), filed January 29, 1981. Applicant: PACIFIC INLAND TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, a Corporation, 15910 E. Colfax, Aurora, CO 80011. Representative: James P. Beck, 717 17th St., Suite. 2600, Denver, CO 80202. Transporting *pulp, paper and related products*, between points in the U.S., under continuing contract(s) with Univeral Paper Goods Company, of Los Angeles, CA.

MC 42487 (Sub-1026), filed February 2, 1981. Applicant: CONSOLIDATED FREIGHTWAYS CORPORATION OF DELAWARE, 175 Linfield Drive, Menlo Park, CA 94025. Representative: V. R. Oldenburg, P.O. Box 3062, Portland OR 97208. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B Explosives), serving points in Monroe County, OH, as off-route points in connection with applicant's otherwise-authorized regular-route operations.

Note.—Applicant intends to tack with its existing authority and any authority it may acquire in the future.

MC 98327 (Sub-51), filed January 30, 1981. Applicant: SYSTEM 99, a Corporation, 8201 Edgewater Dr., Oakland, CA 94621. Representative: Bruce H. Howe (same address as applicant). Over regular routes, transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives), (1) between Portland, OR, and Trinidad, CA: from Portland over OR Hwy 99W to Junction City, OR, then over OR Hwy 99 to Eugene, OR, then over Interstate Hwy 5 to junction U.S. Hwy 199, then over U.S. Hwy 199 to junction U.S. Hwy 101, then over U.S. Hwy 101 to Trinidad, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points, and serving points in Multnomah, Washington, Yamhill, Polk, Benton, Lane, Douglas, and Josephine Counties, OR, and Del Norte and Humboldt Counties CA, as off-route points; and (2) between Grants Pass, OR, and Alturas, CA: from Grants Pass over Interstate Hwy 5 to junction OR Hwy 62, then over OR Hwy 62 to junction OR Hwy 140, then over OR Hwy 140 to junction OR Hwy 39, then over OR Hwy 39 to the OR–CA State line, then over CA Hwy 139 to Junction CA Hwy 299, then over CA Hwy 299 to Alturas, and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points, and serving points in Jackson and Klamath Counties, OR, and Siskiyou and Modoc Counties, CA as off-route points.

Note.—Applicant states it intends to tack with its existing authority in order to render a through service.

MC 105407 (Sub-18), filed January 13, 1981. Applicant: HANNIBAL QUINCY TRUCK LINES, INC., 2816 Market St., Hannibal, MO 63401. Representative: L. F. Blackstun, 3820 Wisman Ln., Quincy, IL 62301. Transporting *general commodities* (except Classes A and B explosives), serving the facility of Associated Electric Cooperative, Inc., at Thomas Hill, MO as an off-route point in connection with carrier's otherwise authorized regular route operations.

Note.—Applicant states it intends to tack the requested authority with its regular route authority in MC–105407.

MC 123387 (Sub-30), filed February 4, 1981. Applicant: E.E. HENRY, INC., 1128 S. Military Hwy., Chesapeake, VA 23320. Representative: Dwight L. Koerber, Jr., P.O. Box 1320, 110 N. 2nd St., Clearfield, PA 16830. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives), between points in MI, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the U.S.

MC 144827 (Sub-55), filed January 22, 1981. Applicant: DELTA MOTOR FREIGHT, INC., P.O. Box 18423, Memphis, TN 38118. Representative: R. Connor Wiggins, Jr., Suite 909, 100 N. Main Bldg., Memphis, TN 38103. Transporting *machinery*, between points in the Shelby County, TN, on the one hand, and, on the other, points ithe U.S.

MC 148647 (Sub-15), filed January 29, 1981. Applicant: HI-CUBE CONTRACT CARRIER CORP., 5501 W. 79th St., Burbank, IL 60459. Representative: Arnold L. Burke, 180 N. LaSalle St., Chicago, IL 60601. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives), between points in the U.S. under continuing contract(s) with RASCO, Incorporated, of Lakeville, CT.

MC 148647 (Sub-19), filed February 3, 1981. Applicant: HI-CUBE CONTRACT CARRIER CORP., 5501 W. 79th St., Burbank, IL 60459. Representative: Arnold L. Burke, 180 N. LaSalle St., Chicago, IL 60601. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives), between points in the U.S. under continuing contract(s) with Mobil Chemical Company, of Macedon, NY.

MC 153917, filed February 2, 1981. Applicant: DAVID E. BAILEY, d.b.a. DAVID BAILEY TRUCKING, 406 W. Maple St., Auburn, IL 62615. Representative: Robert T. Lawley, 300 Reisch Bldg., Springfield, IL 62701. Transporting *machinery*, between points in Jasper County, IA, on the one hand, and, on the other, those points in the IL in and south of Whiteside, Henry, Stark, Peoria, Woodford, McLean, Champaign, and Vermilion Counties, IL, those in IN on and south of U.S. Hwy 40, points in Jefferson County, KY, and St. Louis, MO.

MC 148647 (Sub-18), filed February 2, 1981. Applicant: HI-CUBE CONTRACT CARRIER CORP., 5501 W. 79th St., Burbank, IL 60459. Representative: Arnold L. Burke, 180 N. LaSalle St., Chicago, IL 60601. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives), between points in the U.S. under continuing contract(s) with Western Electric Company, Inc., of New York, NY.

MC 153927, filed February 2, 1981. Applicant: ROGERS PETRO-CHEM., INC., R.R. 1, Grove City, MN 56243. Representative: Samuel Rubenstein, P.O. Box 5, Minneapolis, MN 55440. Transporting *hazardous materials*, between points in the U.S. Condition: To the extent any certificate issued herein

authorizes the movement of dangerous commodities, it shall be limited to a period of five (5) years from the date of issue.

Volume No. OP4–039

Decided: February 24, 1981.

By the Commission, Review Board No. 1, Members Carleton, Joyce, and Jones.

MC 153637, filed January 12, 1981, and noticed in the **Federal Register** issue of February 4, 1981, and republished in this issue. Applicant: LFS COACH, INC., 2200 Ridge Rd., Baltimore, MD 21207. Representative: Steven L. Weiman, Suite 145, 4 Professional Dr., Gaithersburg, MD 20760. Transporting *passengers and their baggage*, in round-trip charter and special operations, between Baltimore and points in Baltimore County, MD, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the U.S.

Note.—The purpose of this republication is to correctly reflect the territorial description.

Volume No. OP4–040

Decided: February 24, 1981.

By the Commission, Review Board No. 3, Members Parker, Fortier, and Hill.

MC 125687 (Sub-22F), filed January 5, 1981, and previously noticed in the **Federal Register** issue of January 29, 1981. Applicant: EASTERN STATES TRANSPORTATION PA., INC., 1060 Lafayette St., York, PA 17405. Representative: Jeremy Kahn, Suite 733 Investment Bldg., Washington, D.C. 20005. To operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting *malt beverages*, (1) between points in Providence, RI and Boston, MA, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in CT, RI, MA, NY and NJ, and (2) between points in Philadelphia, PA, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in PA, NJ, and NY.

Note.—The purpose of this republication is to correctly reflect the territorial description.

Volume No. OP5–55

Decided: February 11, 1981.

By the Commission, Review Board No. 2, Members Chandler, Eaton, and Liberman.

MC 98039 (Sub-5), filed January 23, 1981. Applicant: LENOIR TRANSFER CO., INC., P.O. Box 696, Lenoir, NC 28465. Representative: C. Douglas Woods (same address as applicant). Transporting *furniture and fixtures*, between points in NC.

MC 99149 (Sub-16F), filed October 10, 1980. Applicant: MIDWAY MOTOR FREIGHT LINES, INC., 8400 New Benton Highway, Little Rock, AR 72219. Representative: Charles J. Lincoln II, 1550 Tower Building, Little Rock, AR 72201. Transporting *general commodities* (except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring the use of special equipment), between Miller County, AR, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in TX, LA and OK.

Note.—The Applicant intends to tack the requested authority to its regular-route authority at Texarkana, AR/TX. This republication broadens the application previously published November 6, 1980.

MC 107478 (Sub-85), filed January 28, 1981. Applicant: OLD DOMINION FREIGHT LINE, INC., P.O. Box 2006, 1791 Westchester Drive, High Point, NC 27261. Representative: Kim D. Mann, Suite 1010, 7101 Wisconsin Ave., Washington, D. C. 20014. (A) over regular routes transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives) (1) between Charlotte, NC and Mobile, AL: from Charlotte over Interstate Hwy 85 to Montgomery, AL, then over Interstate Hwy 65 to Mobile, and return over the same routes; (2) between Charlotte, NC and Miami, FL: from Charlotte over U.S. Hwy 21 to Columbia, SC, then over U.S. Hwy 321 to junction U.S. Hwy 17, then over U.S. Hwy 17 to junction U.S. Hwy 1, then over U.S. Hwy 1 to Miami, and return over the same routes; (3) between junction U.S. Hwys 321 and 301 and Tampa, FL over U.S. Hwy 301; (4) between Daytona Beach and Tampa, FL over Interstate Hwy 4; (5) between Charleston, SC and Memphis, TN: from Charleston over Interstate Hwy 26 to Asheville, NC, then over Interstate Hwy 40 to Memphis, and return over the same routes; (6) between Charleston, SC and Tallahassee, Fl: from Charleston over U.S. Hwy 17 to junction U.S. Hwy 82, then over U.S. Hwy 82 to Waycross GA, then over U.S. Hwy 84 to Thomasville, GA, then over U.S. Hwy 319 to Tallahassee, and return over the same routes; (7) between Jacksonville, FL and Mobile, AL over U.S. Hwy 90; (8) between Columbia, SC and Memphis, TN: from Columbia over Interstate Hwy 20 to Birmingham, AL, then over U.S. Hwy 78 to Memphis, and return over the same routes; (9) between Tampa, FL and Nashville, TN over U.S. Hwy 41; (10) between Savannah, GA and Montgomery, AL over U.S. Hwy 80; (11) between Atlanta, GA and Jacksonville, FL over U.S. Hwy 23; (12) between Memphis, TN and Mobile, AL: from Memphis over Interstate Hwy 55 to Jackson, MS, then over U.S. Hwy 49 to Hattiesburg, MS, then over U.S. Hwy 98 to Mobile, and return over the same routes; (13) between Tallahassee and Miami, FL over U.S. Hwy 27; (14) between Ocala and Orlando, FL over U.S. Hwy 441; (15) between Nashville, TN and Cottondale, FL: from Nashville over U.S. Hwy 31 to Montgomery, AL, then over U.S. Hwy 231 to Cottondale, and return over the same routes; (16) between Birmingham, AL and Winchester, VA: from Birmingham over U.S. Hwy 11 to Knoxville, TN, then over Interstate Hwy 40 to junction Interstate Hwy 81, then over Interstate Hwy 81 to Winchester, and return over the same routes; (17) Between Evergreen, AL and Pensacola, FL: from Evergreen over U.S. Hwy 31 to Brewton, AL, then over U.S. Hwy 29 to Pensacola, and return over the same routes; (18) between Anniston and Huntsville, AL over U.S. Hwy 431; (19) between Huntsville, AL and Memphis, TN; (a) from Huntsville over U.S. Hwy 72 to Memphis, and return over the same route; (b) from Huntsville over Alternate U.S. Hwy 72 to junction U.S. Hwy 72, then over U.S. Hwy 72 to Memphis, and return over the same routes; (20) between junction Interstate Hwys 85 and 185 and Dothan, AL: from junction Interstate Hwys 85 and 185 over Interstate Hwy 185 to Columbus, GA, then over U.S. Hwy 431 to Dothan, and return over the same routes; (21) between Atlanta, GA and Tampa, FL over U.S. Hwy 19; (22) between Roanoke and Richmond, VA: from Roanoke over U.S. Hwy 460 to junction VA Hwy 307, then over VA Hwy 307 to junction U.S. Hwy 360, then over U.S. Hwy 360 to Richmond, and return over the same routes: (23) Serving in connection with routes (1) through (22) above, all intermediate points; and (24) serving, in connection with routes (1) through (22) above and the carrier's otherwise authorized regular-route operations, points in the following counties as off-route points: Knox, Anderson, Hamilton, Bradley, Davidson, Shelby, Fayette and Tipton Counties, TN; Amherst, Bedford, Botetourt, Campbell, Franklin, Montgomery, and Roanoke Counties, VA; Morgan, Madison, Limestone, Laurence, Colbert, Lauderdale, Jefferson, Elmore, Macon, Montgomery, Autauga, Mobile, Baldwin, and Houston Counties, AL; and Escambia, Santa Rosa, Duval, Orange, Seminole, Pinellas, Leon, Hillsborough, Gadsden, Dade, Broward, and Palm Beach Counties, FL. (B) Over irregular routes transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives) (1) between points in AL, FL, TN, and VA; and (2) between points in AL, FL, TN, and VA, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Steuben County, NY, and points in MA, CT, RI, NJ, NC, SC, and GA, and those points in NY on and south of NY Hwy 7, those in PA on, east, and south of a line beginning at the MD–PA state line and

extending along Interstate Hwy 83 to York, PA, then along U.S. Hwy 30 to junction U.S. Hwy 202, then along U.S. Hwy 202 to the PA–NJ state line, and those in that part of MD west of the Susquehanna River and Chesapeake Bay and east of Garrett County, MD.

MC 124078 (Sub-1038), filed January 23, 1981. Applicant: SCHWERMAN TRUCKING CO., 611 South 28th St., Milwaukee, WI 53215. Representative: Richard H. Prevette, P.O. Box 1601, Milwaukee, WI 53215. Transporting *salt and salt products*, between Chicago and East St. Louis, IL, Louisville, KY, Baltimore, MD, Cincinnati, OH, Nashville, TN, Milwaukee, WI, points in LaSalle County, IL, Posey County, IN Dubuque County, IA, and St. Mary Parish, LA on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the U.S.

MC 124328 (Sub-141), filed January 28, 1981. Applicant: BRINK'S INCORPORATED, Thorndal Circle, Darien, CT 06820. Representative: Richard H. Street, 1729 H St., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20006. Transporting *currency, coin, and negotiable instruments*, between points in the United States, under continuing contract(s) with Heritage Village Church and Missionary Fellowship, Inc., of Charlotte, NC.

MC 136669 (Sub-17F), filed December 31, 1980, previously noticed in the FR issue of January 28, 1981. Applicant: PROCESSED BEEF EXPRESS, INC., P.O. Box 522, Dakota City, NE 68731. Representative: Eugene D. Anderson, 910 17th St., Suite 428, Washington, DC 20006. Transporting *food and related products*, and *machinery* between points in the U.S., under continuing contract(s) with Iowa Beef Processors, Inc., of Dakota City, NE.

Note.—This republication shows applicant's representative which was erroneously deleted from the previous publication.

MC 142429 (Sub-3)(M1–F) (Notice of filing of petition to modify certificate.) Filed February 10, 1981. Petitioner: HORACE G. STROUD, d.b.a. STROUD TRUCK SERVICE, 11030 Weaver St., South El Monte, CA 91733. Representative: John C. Russell, 1545 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, CA 90017. Petitioner holds a motor *contract carrier* permit in No. MC–142429 Sub 3, issued August 29, 1980, authorizing the transportation of *meats, meat products, and meat by products, and articles distributed by meat-packing houses*, as described in Sections A and C of Appendix 1 to the report in *Descriptions of Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except hides and commodities in bulk), in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration from points in IL, IA, KS, MN, MO, NE, OK, SD, TX, and WI, to points in CA, under continuing contract(s) with Foster Farms, of Livingston, CA. By the instant petition, petitioner seeks to add Swift & Co., of Chicago, IL, as a contracting shipper.

MC 145129 (Sub-5F), filed December 30, 1980, previously noticed in FR issue (Republication) of February 3, 1981. Applicant: WHITAKER TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, INC., 2909 South Hickory St., Chattanooga, TN 37407. Representative: M. C. Ellis, 1001 Market St., Chattanooga, TN 37402. Transporting *those commodities* which because of their size or weight require the use of special equipment or special handling, and *iron and steel articles* between points in Bradley and Hamilton Counties, TN, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in AL, GA, KY, MS, NC, SC, TN, and VA.

Note.—Purpose of republication to modify the authority as above.

MC 146078 (Sub-32F), filed October 22, 1980. Applicant: CAL-ARK, INC., 854 Moline, P.O. Box 610, Malvern, AR 72104. Representative: John C. Everett, 140 E. Buchanan, P.O. Box A, Prairie Grove, AR 72753. Transporting *foodstuffs* and *materials* used in the preparation of foodstuffs, between the facilities of CPA Corporation at Wichita, KS, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the U.S. (except AK and HI).

MC 146678 (Sub-6), filed January 29, 1981. Applicant: SOUTHLAND TRANSPORTATION, INC., P.O. Box 7660, Washington, DC 20044. Representative: Henry E. D. Seaton, 929 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, 425 13th St., NW, Washington, DC 20004. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives), between points in Chatham County, GA, on the one hand, and, on the other, those points in TN within an area bounded on the west by the western branch of the Tennessee River and on the east by US Hwy 27.

Volume No. OP5–056

Decided: February 17, 1981.

By the Commission, Review Board No. 1, Members Carleton, Joyce, and Jones.

MC 78228 (Sub-184), filed January 30, 1981 Applicant: J. MILLER EXPRESS, INC., 962 Greentree Road, Pittsburgh, PA 15220. Representative: Henry M. Wick, Jr., 2310 Grant Bldg., Pittsburgh, PA 15219. Transporting *metal products*, between points in the U.S.

MC 100449 (Sub-124), filed January 28, 1981 Applicant: MALLINGER TRUCK LINE, INC. R.R. 4, Ft. Dodge, IA 50501. Representative: Thomas E. Leahy, Jr., 1980 Financial Center, Des Moines, IA 50309. Transporting *meat, meat products, meat by-products and articles distributed by meat packinghouses*, between Louisa and Black Hawk Counties, IA, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in OK, NM, and TX.

MC 110988 (Sub-438), filed February 2, 1981 Applicant: SCHNEIDER TANK LINES, INC., 4321 W. College Ave., Appleton, WI 54911. Representative: Patrick M. Byrne, P.O. Box 2298, Green Bay, WI 54306. Transporting *general commodities*, between points in the U.S., under continuing contract(s) with Union Carbide Corporation, of New York, NY.

MC 125018 (Sub-6), filed January 28, 1981 Applicant: TENNESSEE TRUCK LINES, INC., Route 4, Dandridge, TN 37735. Representative: Henry E. Seaton, 929 Pennsylvania Bldg., 425 13th St., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives), between points in the U.S., under continuing contract(s) with Blytheville Canning Company, Inc. of Muskogee, OK, Bush Brothers & Co. of Dandridge, TN, Heekin Can Division of Diamond International Corp. of Cincinnati, OH, New Era Canning Company of New Era, MI, Shiocton Kraut Company, Inc., of Shiocton, WI, and Valley Canning Company, Inc. of Ville Platte, LA.

MC 126428 (Sub-13), filed January 26, 1981 Applicant: ZIBERT TRANSPORT CO., P.O. Box 65, Peru, IL 61354. Representative: Robert T. Lawley, 300 Reisch Bldg., Springfield, IL 62701. Transporting *chemicals and related products*, between points in IA, IL, IN, MN, MO, OH, and WI.

MC 129908 (Sub-74), filed January 26, 1981 Applicant: AMERICAN FARM LINES, INC., 8125 S.W. 15th Street, Oklahoma City, OK 73107. Representative: T. J. Blaylock, P.O. Box 75410, Oklahoma City, OK 73147. Transporting *such commodities* as are dealt in or used by the manufacturers and distributors of plastic articles, between points in the U.S. on the one hand, and, on the other, the facilities of Mobil Chemical Company in the U.S.

MC 136899 (Sub-54), filed January 26, 1981. Applicant: HIGGINS TRANSPORTATION LTD., P.O. Box 637, Richland Center, WI 53581. Representative: Wayne W. Wison, 150 E. Gilman St., Madison, WI 53703. Transporting *such commodities* as are dealt in or use by manufacturers, coverters and printers of paper, paper products, and plastic products, between points in WI, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in CO, IL, IN, IA, KS,

KY, MI, MN, MO, MT, NE, ND, OH, SD, TN, WI, and WY.

MC 138308 (Sub-133), filed January 16, 1981, previously noticed in FR issue of February 9, 1981. Applicant: KLM, INC., P.O. Box 6098, Jackson, MS 39208. Representative: Donald B. Morrison, P.O. Box 22628, Jackson, MS 39205. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives), between points in the U.S., on the one hand, and, on the other, the facilities used by T. G. & Y. Stores Co.

Note.—This republication changes the territorial description.

MC 138308 (Sub-139), filed January 26, 1981. Applicant: KLM, INC., P.O. Box 6098, Jackson, MS 39208. Representative: Donald B. Morrison, P.O. Box 22628, Jackson, MS 39205. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives), between points in the U.S. on the one hand, and on the other, the facilities used by Ralston Purina Company.

MC 146038 (Sub-3), filed January 28, 1981. Applicant: QUICK SILVER, INC., Box 213, Liberty, MO 64068. Representative: Patricia F. Scott, 20 East Franklin, Liberty, MO 64068. Transporting *such commodities* as are dealt in or used by distributors of foodstuffs, between Kansas City, MO, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the U.S.

MC 147159 (Sub-1), filed February 2, 1981. Applicant: PIPE HAULERS, INC., 2045 South High St., Columbus, OH 43207. Representative: Frank L. Calvary, 3066 N. Star Rd., Columbus, OH 43207. Transporting *clay, concrete, glass or stone products*, between points in OH, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in PA, WV, VA, KY, IN, and MI.

MC 150339 (Sub-25), filed February 5, 1981. Applicant: PIONEER TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS, INC., 151 Easton Blvd., Preston, MD 21655. Representative: J. Cody Quinton, Jr., (same address as applicant). Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives), between points in the U.S., under continuing contract(s) with Tippens Machinery Co., Inc., of Pittsburgh, PA.

MC 152779 (Sub-1), filed February 2, 1981. Applicant: KFM TRANSPORT, INC., 222 E. 5th Ave., Naperville, IL 60540. Representative: Norman A. Cooper, 145 W. Wisconsin Ave., Neenah, WI 54956. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives), between points in the U.S., under continuing contracts) with Kroehler Mfg. Company and Gary Schroeder Chair Co., both of Naperville, IL, and their subsidiaries.

Volume No. OP5–057

Decided: February 18, 1981.

By the Commission, Review Board No. 1, Members Carleton, Joyce, and Jones.

MC 108119 (Sub-282), filed February 5, 1981. Applicant: E. L. MURPHY TRUCKING COMPANY, P.O. Box 43010, St. Paul, MN 55164. Representative: James L. Nelson, 1241 Pierce Butler Route, St. Paul, MN 55104. Transporting *metal products*, between Box Elder County, UT, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the U.S.

MC 112989 (Sub-138), filed January 28, 1981. Applicant: WEST COAST TRUCK LINES, INC., 85647 Hwy. 99 S., Eugene, OR 97405. Representative: John W. White, Jr., (same address as applicant). Transporting *pulp, paper and related products*, between points in the U.S.

MC 117119 (Sub-834), filed January 26, 1981. Applicant: WILLIS SHAW FROZEN EXPRESS, INC., P.O. Box 188, Elm Springs, AR 72728. Representative: L. M. McLean (same address as applicant). Transporting *such commodities* as are dealt in or used by a florist supplier, between points in the U.S.

MC 119098 (Sub-9), filed January 28, 1981. Applicant: BILL ROHRBAUGH'S CHARTER SERVICE, INC., 121 N. Ruth Ave., Spring Grove, PA 17362. Representative: Christian V. Graf, 407 N. Front St., Harrisburg, PA 17101. Transporting *passengers and their baggage*, in the same vehicle with passengers, beginning and ending at points in Carroll County, MD, and that portion of Frederick County, MD north of MD Hwy 26 and on and east of U.S. Hwy 15, and extending to points in the U.S.

MC 126119 (Sub-7), filed January 28, 1981. Applicant: EASTERN MOTOR TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 501, Richmond, VA 23204. Representative: Carroll B. Jackson, 1810 Vincennes Rd., Richmond, VA 23229. Transporting *petroleum and petroleum products*, between points in Guilford County, NC, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in VA.

MC 129908 (Sub-73), filed January 27, 1981. Applicant: AMERICAN FARM LINES, INC., 8125 S.W. 15th St., Oklahoma City, OK 73147. Representative: T. J. Blaylock, P.O. Box 75410, Oklahoma City, OK 73147. Transporting *chemicals and related products*, between points in the U.S.

MC 129908 (Sub-75), filed January 22, 1981. Applicant: AMERICAN FARM LINES, INC., 8125 S. W. 15th St., Oklahoma City, OK 73147. Representative: T. J. Blaylock, P.O. Box 75410, Oklahoma City, OK 73147. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives), between points in AL, AR, AZ,CA, CO, DC, DE, FL, GA, IL, IN, KS, LA, KY, MD, MI, MO, MS, NC, NJ, NM, NV, OH, OK, OR, PA, SC, TN, TX, UT, VA, WA, WI, and WV.

MC 135328 (Sub-6), filed February 4, 1981. Applicant: MARVIN E. YATES, d/b/a YATES TRUCKING CO., 1600 Joe Wright Rd., Klamath Falls, OR 97601. Representative: Lawrence V. Smart, Jr., 419 NW. 23rd Ave., Portland, OR 97210. Transporting (1) *building materials*, and (2) *lumber and wool products*, between points in OR, and those in CA in and north of Mendocino, Lake, Yolo, Sacramento and El Dorado Counties, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in CA, OR, WA, MT, ID, NV, and UT.

MC 136828 (Sub-38), filed February 5, 1981. Applicant: COOK TRANSPORTS, INC., P.O. Box 6362–A, Birmingham, AL 35217. Representative: John R. Frawley, Jr., Suite 200, 120 Summit Parkway, Birmingham, AL 35209. Transporting *machinery*, between points in Shelby County, TN, and Lubbock County, TX, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the U.S.

MC 138198 (Sub-13), filed February 2, 1981. Applicant: SPD TRUCK LINE, INC., 401 Cottage St., Abilene, KS 67410. Representative: William B. Barker, P.O. Box 1979, Topeka, KS 66601. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives), between points in the U.S., under continuing contract(s) with Duckwall-Alco Stores, Inc., of Abilene, KS.

MC 138328 (Sub-131), filed January 30, 1981. Applicant: CLARENCE L. WERNER, d/b/a WARNER ENTERPRISES, I–80 & Hwy 50, P.O. Box 37308, Omaha, NE 68137. Representative: Donna Ehrlich (same address as applicant). Transporting *building materials*, between points in McPherson County, KS on the one hand, and, on the other, points in AR, IL, IA, LA, MN, MO, NE, ND, OK, SD, TX, and WI.

MC 144219 (Sub-8), filed February 6, 1981. Applicant: B.I.T., INCORPORATED, P.O. Box 968, Reedley, CA 93654. Representative: Greg P. Stefflre, 261 South Figueroa St., Los Angeles, CA 90012. Transporting *Clay, concrete, glass or stone products*, between points in the U.S.

MC 144219 (Sub-9), filed February 6, 1981. Applicant: B.I.T., INCORPORATED, P.O. Box 968, Reedley, CA 93654. Representative: Greg P. Stefflre, 261 South Figueroa St., Los Angeles, CA 90012. Transporting (1) *forest products*, (2) *lumber and wood*

products, and (3) *building materials*, (except those described in (1) and (2) above) between points in WA, ID, MT, WY, OR, CA, NV, UT, CO, AZ, and NM.

MC 144549 (Sub-8), filed January 28, 1981. Applicant: PITTSVILLE SERVICES, INC., 45 Downing Parkway, Pittsfield, MA 01201. Representative: Sherwood Guernsey, II, 57 Wendell Ave., Pittsfield, MA 01201. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives), between points in Berkshire County, MA, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the U.S.

MC 144889 (Sub-11), filed February 5, 1981. Applicant: RONWAL TRANSPORTATION, INC., 2600 Calumet Ave., Hammond, IN 46320. Representative: Alki E. Scopelitis, 1301 Merchants Plaza, Indianapolis, IN 46204. Transporting metal products between points in Putnam County, IL, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in MI.

MC 145059 (Sub-7), filed January 28, 1981. Applicant: SPINELLI BROS. TRUCKING, INC., 55 South Wade Blvd., Millville, NJ 08332. Representative: Robert B. Pepper, 168 Woodbridge Ave., Highland Park, NJ 08904. Transporting *food and related products*, between points in Cumberland County, NJ, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the U.S.

MC 146819 (Sub-3), filed January 30, 1981. Applicant: JOHN ARYON, d.b.a. TRANS-WEST SERVICE, 8856 E. Rosecrans Ave., Downey, CA 90241. Representative: John Aryon (same address as applicant). Transporting *food and related products*, between points in Los Angeles and Orange Counties, CA, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in AZ, NV, OR, and WA.

MC 148428 (Sub-16), filed February 6, 1981. Applicant: BEST LINE, INC., P.O. Box 765, Hopkins, MN 55343. Representative: Andrew R. Clark, 1600 TCF Tower, 121 South 8th St., Minneapolis, MN 55402. Transporting *food and related products*, between points in Hennepin, Anoka, and Ramsey Counties, MN, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the U.S.

MC 149388 (Sub-6), filed January 29, 1981. Applicant: FEPCO TRUCKING, INC., 3458 Moreland Ave., Conley, GA 30027. Representative: Archie B. Culbreth, Suite 202, 2200 Century Parkway, Atlanta, GA 30345. Transporting *such commodities* as are dealt in or used by manufacturers and distributors of chemicals, between points in the U.S.

MC 150339 (Sub-24), filed February 4, 1981. Appliant: PIONEER TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS, INC., 151 Easton Blvd., Preston, MD 21655. Representative: J. Cody Quinton, Jr. (same address as applicant). Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives), between points in the U.S., under continuing contract(s) with Warner-Lambert Company, of Morris Plains, NJ.

MC 150589 (Sub-2), filed February 6, 1981. Applicant: J & K TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, INC., 1600 Industrial, Dearborn, MI 48120. Representative: Michael F. Morrone, 1150 17th St. NW, Suite 1000, Washington, DC 20036. Transporting *building materials*, between points in Jackson and Wayne Counties, MI, Lucas and Pike Counties, OH, and Douglas County, KS, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the U.S.

MC 151819 (Sub-1), filed February 2, 1981. Applicant: CARGO MASTER, INC., 917 S. Harwood St., Dallas, TX 75201. Representative: Jackson Salasky, P.O. Box 45538, Dallas, TX 75245. Transporting *such commodities* as dealt in or used by wholesale, retail, and discount stores, between Dallas, TX, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the U.S.

MC 152218 (Sub-1), filed February 2, 1981. Applicant: OHIO PIGGYBACK TRANSPORTATION, INC., 2662-A Fisher Rd., Columbus, OH 43204. Representative: David A. Turano, 100 E. Broad St., Columbus, OH 43215. Transporting (1) *such commodities* as are dealt in or used by manfacturers and distributors of wall coverings, between points in the U.S., under continuing contract(s) with Columbus Coated Fabrics, Inc., Division of Borden Chemical, Borden, Inc., of Columbus, OH; (2) *such commodities* as are dealt in or used by manufacturers and distributors of heating and cooling units, between points in the U.S., under continuing contract(s) with Lennox Industries, Inc., of Columbus, OH; (3) *such commodities* as are dealt in or used by manufacturers and distributors of plastic products, between points in the U.S., under continuing contract(s) with Lustro-Ware, Division of Borden Chemical, Inc., of Columbus, OH; and (4) *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives) between points in the U.S., under continuing contract(s) with DeSoto, Inc., of Des Plaines, IL.

Volume No. OP5-059

Decided: February 19, 1981.

By the Commission, Review Board No. 1, Members Carleton, Joyce, and Jones.

MC 8758 (Sub-5), filed February 5, 1981. Applicant: CITIZENS TRANSPORTATION OF CALIFORNIA, INC., 1670 E. Holt Blvd., Ontario, CA 91761. Representative: Robert Fuller, 13215 E. Penn St., Whittier, CA 90602. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives), (1) between points in AZ, CA, NM, and NV, and (2) between points in AZ, CA, NM, NV, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in CO, ID, MT, OR, TX, UT, WA, and WY. Condition: Issuance of a certificate in this proceeding is subject to the coincidental cancellation at applicant's written request, of its authorities in MC 8758 (Subs-1 and 4), acquired in MC-FC-78286.

MC 9269 (Sub-22), filed February 4, 1981. Applicant: BEST WAY MOTOR FREIGHT, INC., 1765 6th Ave., S., Seattle, WA 98134. Representative: George LaBissoniere, 15 S. Grady Way, Suite 233, Renton, WA 98055. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives), between points in WA and OR.

MC 29328 (Sub-7), filed February 4, 1981. Applicant: SCHIEK MOTOR EXPRESS, INC., 90 Casseday Ave., Joliet, IL 60432. Representative: Anthony E. Young, 29 South LaSalle St., Suite 350 Chicago, IL 60603. Transporting *pulp, paper, and related products*, between points in Grundy and Kane Counties, IL, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in IN, IA, WI, MI, MO, OH, KY, and KS.

MC 52579 (Sub-213), filed January 30, 1981. Applicant: GILBERT CARRIER CORP., One Gilbert Drive, Secaucus, NJ 07094. Representative: Zoe Ann Pace, Suite 2373, One World Trade Center, New York, NY 10048. Transporting *wearing apparel*, between points in Grayson County, KY, on the one hand, and, on the other, New York, NY.

MC 108119 (Sub-281), filed February 4, 1981. Applicant: E. L. MURPHY TRUCKING COMPANY, A Corporation, P.O. Box 43010, St. Paul, MN 55164. Representative: Donald A. Morken, 1600 TCF Tower, Minneapolis, MN 55402. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives), between points in the U.S., restricted to traffic moving from or to the vacilities of or utilized by American Hoist & Derrick Company, its subsidiaries or suppliers.

MC 121568 (Sub-79), filed February 4, 1981. Applicant: HUMBOLDT EXPRESS, INC., 345 Hill Ave., Nashville, TN 37210. Representative: James G. Caldwell (same address as applicant). Transporting *lead wheel weights and battery terminals*, between points in Sullivan County, TN, on the one hand, and, on the other, those points in the U.S. in and east of MT, WY, CO, and NM.

Note.—Applicant intends to tack with existing authority.

MC 109448 (Sub-29), filed January 27, 1981. Applicant: PARKER TRANSFER CO., a corporation, P.O. Box 256, Elyria, OH 44036. Representative: David A. Turano, 100 East Broad St., Columbus, OH 43215. Transporting *plastics and related products*, between points in Lorain County, OH, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the U.S.

MC 113658 (Sub-45), filed February 3, 1981. Applicant: SCOTT TRUCK LINE, INC., 5280 Newport St., Commerce City, CO 80022. Representative: Richard J. Loose (same address as applicant). Transporting *food and related products*, between points in Kearney County, NE, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in IL, NY, PA, CT, and NJ.

MC 134769 (Sub-6), filed February 6, 1981. Applicant: BILL BURTON & SONS, INC., P.O. Box 404 Newberry, MI 49868. Representative: William B. Elmer, 624 Third St., Traverse City, MI 49684. Transporting (1) *forest products*, (2) *lumber and wood products*, and (3) *building materials*, (a) between those points in the U.S. in and east of ND, SD, NE, KS, OK, and TX, and (b) between those points in the U.S. in and east of ND, SD, NE, KS, OK, and TX, on the one hand, and, on the other, points on the international boundary line between the U.S. and Canada.

MC 138469 (Sub-264), filed January 30, 1981. Applicant: DONCO CARRIERS, INC., P.O. Box 75367, Oklahoma City, OK 73147. Representative: Daniel O. Hands, 205 W. Touhy Ave., Suite 200, Park Ridge, IL 60068. Transporting *malt beverages* between points in Jefferson County, CO., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in AR, LA, MS, and TN.

MC 142848 (Sub-14), filed February 4, 1981. Applicant: JAMES R. POSHARD AND SON, INC., P.O. Box 69, Mt. Vernon, IN 47620. Representative: Norman R. Garvin, 1301 Merchants Plaza, East Tower, Indianapolis, IN 46204. Transporting *coal and coal products* between points in IN, IL, and KY.

MC 144219 (Sub-7), filed February 6, 1981. Applicant: B.I.T., INCORPORATED, P.O. Box 968, Reedley, CA 93654. Representative: Greg P. Stefflre, 261 South Figueroa St. Los Angeles, CA 90012. Transporting *chemicals and related products* between points in the U.S., under continuing contract(s) with E.I. DuPont de Nemours & Co., Inc., of Wilmington, DE, SCM Glidden Painting & Resins, of San Francisco, CA, Monsanto Company, of St. Louis, MO, and PPG Industries, Inc., of Pittsburgh, PA.

MC 144969 (Sub-28), filed February 2, 1981. Applicant: WHEATON CARTAGE COMPANY, a corporation, 3rd and G Sts., Millville, NJ 08332. Representative: Laurence J. DiStefano, Jr., 101 Wheaton Ave., Millville, NJ 08332. Transporting *foodstuffs*, between the facilities utilized by Progress Quality Foods and their suppliers at points in the U.S., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the U.S.

MC 147698 (Sub-4), filed January 30, 1981. Applicant: J.L.M.S., INC., 115 Moonachie Ave., Moonachie, NJ 07074. Representative: Zoe Ann Pace, Suite 2373 One World Trade Center, New York, NY 10043. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives), between New York, NY, on the one hand, and, on the other, Philadelphia, PA, and Boston, MA.

MC 151238 (Sub-2), filed January 30, 1981. Applicant: ZERO TANK & TRUCK LINES, INC., P.O. Box 551, Channelview, TX 77530. Representative: Billy R. Reid, 1721 Carl St., Fort Worth, TX 76103. Transporting *such commodities* as are dealt in or used by manufacturers and distributors of (1) irrigation systems, and (2) solar energy systems, between points in Carter County, OK, and Washington, and Lubbock Counties, TX, on the one hand, and, on the other, New Orleans, LA, and Houston and Galveston, TX.

MC 153988 (Sub-1), filed February 6, 1981. Applicant: RYAN TRANSFER & STORAGE CO., a corporation, 888 Mackubin St., St. Paul, MN 55117. Representative: Robert P. Sack, P.O. Box 6010, West St. Paul, MN 55118. Transporting (1) *metal products*, and (2) *transportation equipment*, between points in the U.S., under continuing contract(s) with The Maxson Corporation, of St. Paul, MN.

Volume No. OP5-060

Decided: February 18, 1981.

By the Commission, Review Board No. 1 members Carleton, Joyce, and Jones.

MC 52579 (Sub-214), filed January 30, 1981. Applicant: GILBERT CARRIER CORP., One Gilbert Drive, Secaucus, NJ 07094. Representative: Zoe Ann Pace, Suite 2373, One World Trade Center, New York, NY 10048. Transporting *wearing apparel*, between Greer, SC, on the one hand, and, on the other, Wilmington, DE, and points in Franklin County, OH. Condition: The person or persons which appear to be in common control of applicant and another regulated carrier must either file an application for approval of common control under 49 R.S.C. 11343, or submit an affidavit indicating why such approval is unnecessary.

MC 56388 (Sub-43), filed January 30, 1981. Applicant: HAHN TRANSPORTATION, INC., New Market, MD 21774. Representative: Francis J. Ortman, 7101 Wisconsin Ave., Suite 605, Washington, DC 20014. Transporting *commodities in bulk*, between points in VA, WV, PA, DE, MD, NJ, OH, NC, and DC.

MC 89228 (Sub-3), filed January 28, 1981. Applicant: V. IACONO TRUCKING CO., INC., 23 Ring Street, Providence, RI 02904. Representative: Charles R. Reilly, 391 Davisville Rd., North Kingstown, RI 02852. Transporting *general commodities*, (except classes A and B explosives) between points in CT, MA, and RI.

MC 99969 (Sub-5), filed February 2, 1981. Applicant: HUNTLEY TRUCKING CO., Rt. 1, New Plymouth, OH 46654. Representative: A. Charles Tell, 100 E. Broad St., Columbus, OH 43215. Transporting *coal and coal products*, between points in Hocking County, OH, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in IN.

MC 100449 (Sub-125), filed January 28, 1981. Applicant: MALLINGER TRUCK LINE, INC., Rural Route 4, Ft. Dodge, IA 50501. Representative: Thomas E. Leahy, Jr., 1980 Financial Center, Des Moines, IA 50309. Transporting *food and related products*, between points in Webster County, IA, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in CO, KS, MN, MO, NE, ND, SD, and WI.

MC 105808 (Sub-13), filed February 3, 1981. Applicant: PLYMOUTH ROCK TRANSPORTATION CORPORATION, 1230 Massachusetts Ave., Boston, MA 02125. Representative: Frank J. Weiner, 15 Court Square, Boston, MA 02108. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives), between points in ME, NH, VT, MA, RI, CT, MY, NJ, PA, MD, DE, OH, VA, and DC.

MC 106398 (Sub-1092), filed February 2, 1981. Applicant: NATIONAL TRAILER CONVOY, INC., 705 South Elgin, Tulsa, OK 74120. Representative: Gayle Gibson (same address as applicant). Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives) between points in the U.S., under continuing contract(s) with Edward Hines Lumber Company, of Chicago, IL.

MC 116319 (Sub-17), filed February 2, 1981. Applicant: WASHINGTON TRUCKING, INC., P.O. Box 107, Darrington, WA 98241. Representative: George R. LaBissoniere, 15 S. Grady Way, Suite 233 Renton, WA 98055. Transporting *commodities in bulk, between points in WA, OR, CA, ID, and MT.*

MC 145088 (Sub-6), filed January 30, 1981. Applicant: S & T TRUCKLOAD, INC., 2527 N.E. 28th Street, Forth Worth, TX 76106. Representative: M. Ward Bailey, 2412 Continental Life Bldg., Fort Worth, TX 76102. Transporting *such commodities* as are dealt in or used by the manufacturers and distributors of boat trailers, between points in Tarrant County, TX, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the U.S.

MC 150589 (Sub-3), filed February 6, 1981. Applicant: J & K TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, INC., 1600 Industrial St., Dearborn, MI 48120. Representative: Michael F. Morrone, 1150 17th St., N.W., Washington, DC 20036. Transporting (1) *rubber and plastic products, and* (2) *metal products*, between points in the U.S., under continuing contract(s) with Carolina Can Company, of Arden, NC.

MC 150939 (Sub-11), filed February 4, 1981. Applicant: GEMINI TRUCKING, INC., 1533 Broad Street, Greensburg, PA 15601. Representative: William A. Gray, 2310 Grant Bldg., Pittsburgh, PA 15219. Transporting *such commodities* as are dealt in or used by the manufacturers and distributors of copper wire products, between points in the U.S., under continuing contract(s) with Essex Group, Division of United Technologies Corp., of Ft. Wayne, IN. Condition: The person or persons which appear to be in common control of applicant and another regulated carrier must either file an application for approval of common control under 49 U.S.C. § 11343, or submit an affidavit indicating why such approval is unnecessary.

MC 151428 (Sub-1), filed January 28, 1981. Applicant: J & H TRUCKING, INC., 12425 Telephone, Chino, CA 91710. Representative: Miles L. Kavaller, 315 So. Beverly Dr., Suite 315 Beverly Hills, CA 90212. Transporting *chemicals and related products*, between points in the U.S., under continuing contract(s) with Harwick Chemical Co., of Akron, OH.

MC 152068 (Sub-2), filed January 28, 1981. Applicant: HOC-EXPRESS, INC., 125 N. Elizabeth, Wichita, KS 67203. Representative: Clyde N. Christey, KS Credit Union Bldg., 1010 Tyler, Suite 110L, Topeka, KS 66612. Transporting *air conditioning units and refrigeration units*, (1) between points in Sedwick County, KS, and points in OK, and AR, and (2) between points in Sedgwick County, KS, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in IN.

MC 152479 (Sub-1), filed February 4, 1981. Applicant: WABASH EXPRESS, INC., 2 Warwick Road, Stratford, NJ 08084. Representative: James H. Sweeney, 468 Kentucky Ave., Williamstown, NJ 08094. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives) between Philadelphia, PA, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in DE, NJ, and PA.

MC 152839 (Sub-1), filed February 2, 1981. Applicant: ROCKET MOTOR FREIGHT LINES, INC., P.O. Box 623, Peoria, IL 61606. Representative: Michael W. O'Hara, 300 Reisch Bldg., Springfield, IL 62701. Transporting *petroleum, natural gas and their products*, between points in IA, IN, IL, OH, PA, and WI.

MC 153199 (Sub-1), filed February 2, 1981. Applicant: DOUGLAS EUGENE HARRIS, d.b.a. HARRIS AND SONS, 1004 N. 12th Street, Chickasha, OK 73108. Representative: Michael H. Lennox, 8903 N. Western Ave., Oklahoma City, OK 73114. Transporting *metal products*, between points in the U.S., under continuing contract(s) with Agnew Steel Co., Inc., of Oklahoma City, OK.

MC 153429 (Sub-1), filed February 4, 1981. Applicant: LAKEWAY TRUCKING COMPANY, P.O. Box 763, Morristown, TN 37814. Representative: Carl W. Eilers, 111 East Market Street, Kingsport, TN 37660. Transporting (1) *lumber and wood products*, (2) *pulp, paper and related products*, and (3) *clay, concrete, glass, and stone products*, between points in Hamblen and Jefferson Counties, TN, on the one hand, and, on the other, those points in the U.S. in and east of TX, OK, KS, NE, SD, and ND.

Agatha L. Mergenovich,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 81-6725 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7035-01-M

Permanent Authority Decisions; Decision-Notice

The following applications, filed on or after July 3, 1980, are governed by Special Rule 247 of the Commission's Rules of Practice, see 49 C.F.R. 1100.247. Special rule 247 was published in the **Federal Register** on July 3, 1980, at 45 FR 45539. For compliance procedures, refer to the **Federal Register** issue of December 3, 1980, at 45 FR 80109.

Persons wishing to oppose an application must follow the rules under 49 C.F.R. 1100.247(B). Applications may be protested *only* on the grounds that applicant is not fit, willing, and able to provide the transportation service and to comply with the appropriate statutes and Commission regulations. A copy of any application, together with applicant's supporting evidence, can be obtained from any applicant upon request and payment to applicant of $10.00.

Amendments to the request for authority are not allowed. Some of the applications may have been modified prior to publication to conform to the Commission's policy of simplifying grants of operating authority.

Findings:

With the exception of those applications involving duly noted problems (e.g.s, unresolved common control, fitness, water carrier dual operations, or jurisdictional questions) we find, preliminarily, that each applicant has demonstrated its proposed service warrants a grant of the application under the governing section of the Interstate Commerce Act. Each applicant is fit, willing, and able to perform the service proposed, and to conform to the requirements of Title 49, Subtitle IV, United States Code, and the Commission's regulations. Except where noted, this decision is neither a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment nor a major regulatory action under the Energy Policy and Conservation Act of 1975.

In the absence of legally sufficient interest in the form of verified statements filed on or before [45 days from date of publication], (or, if the application later becomes unopposed) appropriate authorizing documents will be issued to applicants with regulated operations (except those with duly noted problems) and will remain in full effect only as long as the applicant maintains appropriate compliance. The unopposed applications involving new entrants will be subject to the issuance of an effective notice setting forth the compliance requirements which must be satisfied before the authority will be issued. Once this compliance is met, the authority will be issued.

Within 60 days after publication an applicant may file a verified statement in rebuttal to any statement in opposition.

To the extent that any of the authority granted may duplicate an applicant's other authority, the duplication shall be construed as conferring only a single operating right.

Note.—All applications are for authority to operate as a motor common carrier in interstate or foreign commerce over irregular routes, unless noted otherwise. Applications for motor contract carrier authority are those where service is for a named shipper "under contract".

Volume No. OP1-044

Decided: February 18, 1981.

By the Commission, Review Board No. 2, Members Chandler, Eaton, and Liberman.

MC 96770 (Sub-1), filed January 27, 1981. Applicant: FLORIDA TERMINALS & TRUCKING CO., a Corporation, P.O. Box 13607, Orlando, FL 32809. Representative: Lawrence E. Lindeman, 1032 Pennsylvania Bldg., Pennsylvania Ave. and 13th St., N.W., Washington, DC 20004. Transporting for or on behalf of the United States Government, *general commodities* (except used household goods, hazardous or secret materials, and sensitive weapons and munitions) between points in the U.S.

MC 148281 (Sub-13), filed February 3, 1981. Applicant: SUSANA TRANSPORT SYSTEMS, INC., 2845 Workman Mill Road, Whittier, CA 90601. Representative: Miles L. Kavaller, 315 S. Beverly Dr., Suite 315, Beverly Hills, CA 90212. Transporting, for or on behalf of the United States Government, *general commodities* (except used household goods, hazardous or secret materials, and sensitive weapons and munitions), between points in the U.S.

Volume No. OPI–045

Decided: February 20, 1981.

By the Commission, Review Board No. 2, members Chandler, Eaton, and Liberman.

MC 200 (Sub-565), filed February 5, 1981. Applicant: RISS INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION, P.O. Box 100, 215 W. Pershing Road, Kansas City, MO. 64141. Representative: H. Lynn Davis (same address as applicant). Transporting *food and related products*, between points in Gloucester County, NJ, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Cache County, UT.

MC 200 (Sub-576), filed February 6, 1981. Applicant: RISS INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION, P.O. Box 100, 215 W. Pershing Rd., Kansas City, MO 64141.Representative: H. Lynn Davis (same address as applicant). Transporting *pulp, paper and related products*, between points in Clay County, MO, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Alameda County, CA.

MC 200 (Sub-580), filed February 6, 1981. Applicant: RISS INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION, P.O. Box 100, 215 W. Pershing Rd., Kansas City, MO 64141. Representative: H. Lynn Davis (same address as applicant). Transporting *containers and container closures*, between points in CO, GA, IL, IN, NC, NJ, NY, OH, OK, PA, TX, and VA, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the U.S.

MC 33970 (Sub-24), filed February 6, 1981. Applicant: GEORGE HILDEBRANDT, INC., R.D. No. 4, Hudson, NY 12534. Representative: Michael R. Werner, 167 Fairfield Rd., P.O. Box 1409, Fairfield, NJ 07006. Transporting (1) *building materials*, (2) *coal and coal products*, and (3) *ores and minerals*, between points in NY, ME, NH, MA, RI, CT, PA, DE, MD, VT, NJ, VA and DC.

MC 47171 (Sub-203), filed February 4, 1981. Applicant: COOPER MOTOR LINES, INC., P.O. Box 2820, Greenville, SC 29602. Representative: Harris G. Andrews (same address as applicant). Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives), between points in TN, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in CT, DE, DC, GA, MD, MA, NJ, NY, NC, SC, PA, RI and VA.

MC 48441 (Sub-70), filed February 5, 1981. Applicant: R.M.E. INC., P.O. Box 418, Streator, IL 61364. Representative: E. Stephen Heisley, 805 McLachlen Bank Bldg., 666 Eleventh St., N.W., Washington, DC 20001. Transporting *such commodities* as are dealt in or used by wholesale, retail, and discount food business houses, between Chicago, IL, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the U.S.

MC 65491 (Sub-17), filed February 6, 1981. Applicant: GEORGE W. BROWN, INC., 1475 East 222nd St., Bronx, NY 10469. Representative: William Biederman, 371 Seventh Ave., New York, NY 10001. Transporting *metal products*, between points in Cuyahoga County, OH, on the one hand, and, on the other, Philadelphia, PA, New York, NY, Baltimore, MD, and points in Fairfield and New Haven Counties, CT.

MC 72420 (Sub-11), filed January 21, 1981. Applicant: THE ACE MOTOR TRANSPORT CO., a corporation, 3103 Tiffin Ave., Sandusky, OH 44870. Representative: James W. Muldoon, 50 W. Broad St., Columbus, OH 43215. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives), between Detroit, MI, and points in Erie, Sandusky, Ottawa and Lucas County, OH, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the U.S.

MC 85970 (Sub-47), filed January 30, 1981. Applicant: SARTAIN TRUCK LINE, INC., 1625 Hornbrook St. Dyersburg, TN 38024. Representative: Warren A. Goff, 2008 Clark Tower, 5100 Poplar Ave., Memphis, TN 38137. Transporting *farm products*, between points in Fulton County, KY, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the U.S.

MC 106920 (Sub-124), filed February 6, 1981. Applicant: RIGGS FOOD EXPRESS, INC., West Monroe St., P.O. Box 26, New Bremen, OH 45869. Representative: E. Stephen Heisley, 805 McLachlen Bank Bldg., 666 Eleventh St., N.W., Washington, DC 20001. Transporting *food and related products*, between points in FL, on the one hand, and, on the other, those points in the U.S. in and east of MT, WY, CO, and NM.

MC 108341 (Sub-202), filed February 6, 1981. Applicant: MOSS TRUCKING COMPANY, INC., 3027 North Tryon St., P.O. Box 26125, Charlotte, NC 28213. Representative: Jack F. Counts (same address as applicant). Transporting *metal products and building materials*, between points in Chester County, SC, on the one hand, and, on the other, those points in the U.S. in and east of MN, IA, MO, OK, and TX.

MC 113460 (Sub-11), filed January 23, 1981. Applicant: BLACKHAWK TRANSPORTATION, INC., Box 3008, Des Moines, IA 50316. Representative: Thomas E. Leahy, Jr., 1980 Financial Center, Des Moines, IA 50309. Transporting *food and related products*, between points in Polk County, IA, on the one hand, and, on the other, those points in MN, NE, KS, MO, WI, and IL.

MC 115311 (Sub-404), filed February 6, 1981. Applicant: J & M TRANSPORTATION CO., INC., P.O. Box 488, Milledgeville, GA 31061. Representative: Paul M. Daniell, P.O. Box 872, Atlanta, GA 30301. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives), between points in the U.S. Condition: Issuance of a certificate in this proceeding is conditioned upon the coincidental cancellation, at applicant's written request, of its existing certificates in No. MC 115311 and sub numbers.

MC 119641 (Sub-188), filed February 2, 1981. Applicant: RINGLE EXPRESS, INC., 450 E. Ninth St., Fowler, IN 47944. Representative: Alki E. Scopelitis, 1301 Merchants Plaza, Indianapolis, IN 46204. Transporting *such commodities* as are dealt in or used by manufacturers and distributors of agricultural and industrial equipment, and lawn care and leisure products, between points in Harrison County, MS, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in CO, IL, IA, KS, MI, MN, MO, MT, NE, ND, OK, SD, WI and WY.

MC 121081 (Sub-8), filed February 4, 1981. Applicant: COLUMBUS MOTOR LINES, INC., P.O. Box 26741, Charlotte, NC 28213. Representative: Terrell C. Clark, P.O. Box 25, Stanleytown, VA 24168. Transporting *machinery, metal products, and rubber and plastic products*, between points in Mecklenburg and Union Counties, NC, on the one hand, and, on the other, those

points in the U.S. in and east of LA, AR, MO, IA, and MN.

MC 124221 (Sub-72), filed February 2, 1981. Applicant: HOWARD BAER, P.O. Box 47, Morton, IL 61550. Representative: Robert W. Loser, 1101 Chamber of Commerce Bldg., 320 N. Meridian St., Indianapolis, IN 46204. Transporting *food and related products*, between points in the U.S., under continuing contract(s) with Bunge Edible Oil Corporation, of Kankakee, IL, and John Morrell & Co., of Chicago, IL.

MC 127820 (Sub-19), filed February 5, 1981. Applicant: TRANS-SERVICE, INC., 1943 S. Lawn Ext., Coshocton, OH 43812. Representative: James Duvall, P.O. Box 97, 220 W. Bridge St., Dublin, OH 43017. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives), between points in Coshocton County, OH, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the U.S.

MC 127840 (Sub-170), filed February 5, 1981. Applicant: MONTGOMERY TANK LINES, INC., 17550 Fritz Drive, Lansing, IL 60438. Representative: William H. Towle, 180 North LaSalle St., Chicago, IL 60601. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives), between points in the U.S., under continuing contract(s) with Union Carbide Corporation, of New York, NY.

MC 140460 (Sub-12), filed February 6, 1981. Applicant: COAST REFRIGERATED TRUCKING CO., INC., P.O. Box 188, Holly Ridge, NC 28445. Representative: Herbert Alan Dubin, 818 Connecticut Ave., N.W., Washington, DC 20006. Transporting *rubber and plastic products*, between points in Luzerne County, PA, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the U.S.

MC 141700 (Sub-3), filed February 4, 1981. Applicant: KENNETH SCHUCK TRUCKING, INC., R.D. No. 8, Box 392, Allentown, PA 18104. Representative: Robert J. Brooks, Suite 1115, 1828 L St., N.W., Washington, DC 20036. Transporting *metal and metal products*, between points in Lehigh and Northampton Counties, PA, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the U.S.

MC 142680 (Sub-16), filed January 28, 1981. Applicant: SUMTER TIMBER CO., INC., P.O. Box 104, Cuba, AL 36907. Representative: Virgil H. Smith, Suite 12, 1587 Phoenix Blvd., Atlanta, GA 30349. Transporting (1) *forest products* (2) *lumber and wood products*, between points in MS, and points in Sumter and Lamar Counties, AL, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in MS, AL, LA, GA, FL, TX, and AR.

MC 143300 (Sub-8), filed February 2, 1981. Applicant: J. C. WOOLDRIDGE, INC., Route 7, Box 43, Martinsville, VA 24112. Representative: Terrell C. Clark, P.O. Box 25, Stanleytown, VA 24168. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives), between Norfolk and Richmond, VA, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Campbell, Franklin, Henry, Patrick, Pittsylvania, Smyth, and Warren Counties, VA.

Volume No. OP1-047

Decided: February 23, 1981.

By the Commission, Review Board No. 2, members Chandler, Eaton and Liberman.

MC 200 (Sub-558), filed February 6, 1981. Applicant: RISS INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION, P.O. Box 100, 215 W. Pershing Rd., Kansas City, MO 64141. Representative: H. Lynn Davis (same address as applicant). Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives), between points in AL, CA, CO, IL, LA, NJ, OH, TX, and WA, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the U.S.

MC 200 (Sub-578), filed February 4, 1981. Applicant: RISS INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION, P.O. Box 100, 215 W. Pershing Rd., Kansas City, MO 64141. Representative: H. Lynn Davis (same address as applicant). Transporting *food and related products*, between points in Buchanan County, MO, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in CA and KY.

MC 890 (Sub-4), filed February 5, 1981. Applicant: ADKINS TRUCKING AND FREIGHT LINES, INC., Box 190, 750 Charles St., Gloucester City, NJ 08030. Representative: Robert B. Einhorn, 3220 P. S. F. S. Bldg., 12 South 12th St., Philadelphia, PA 19107. Transporting *food and related products*, between Camden, NJ, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Ulster and Orange Counties, NY.

MC 75840 (Sub-153), filed January 30, 1981. Applicant: MALONE FREIGHT LINES, INC., P.O. Box 11103, Birmingham, AL 35202. Representative: Raymond Hamilton, 3400 Third Ave. South, Birmingham, AL 35222. Transporting *transportation equipment and metal products*, (1) between points in MI, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in MS, TN, and NC, and (2) between points in NC, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in OH.

MC 90870 (Sub-44), filed January 23, 1981. Applicant: RIECHMANN ENTERPRISES, INC., Route 1, Box 1284, Granite City, IL 62040. Representative: Cecil L. Goettsch, 1100 Des Moines Bldg., Des Moines, IA 50307. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives), between points in Madison County, IL, on the one hand and, on the other, points in the U.S.

MC 106400 (Sub-129), filed February 5, 1981. Applicant: KAW TRANSPORT COMPANY, A Corporation, P.O. Box 8510, Sugar Creek, MO 64054. Representative: John E. Jandera, P.O. Box 1979, Topeka, KS 66601. Transporting *commodities in bulk*, (1) between points in AR, CO, IA, IL, KS, LA, MN, MO, ND, NE, NM, OK, SD, TX, WI, and WY, and (2) between points in AR, CO, IA, IL, KS, LA, MN, MO, ND, NE, MN, OK, SD, TX, WI, and WY, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the U.S.

MC 108341 (Sub-203), filed February 6, 1981. Applicant: MOSS TRUCKING COMPANY, INC., 3027 North Tryon St., P.O. Box 26125, Charlotte, NC 28213. Representative: Jack F. Counts (same address as applicant). Transporting *metal products*, between points in Monroe County, GA, on the one hand, and, on the other, those points in the U.S. in an east of MN, IA, MO, AR, and LA.

MC 111871 (Sub-11), filed February 5, 1981. Applicant: SOUTHEASTERN FREIGHT LINES, INC., U.S. Hwy 387, P.O. Box 5887, Columbia, SC 29250. Representative: Thomas G. Sloan (same address as applicant). Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives), between points in GA, SC, and NC.

MC 117201 (Sub-50), filed February 6, 1981. Applicant: INTERSTATE DISTRIBUTING CO., a corporation, 8311 Durango SW., Tacoma, WA 98499. Representative: George R. LaBissioniere, 15 S. Grady Way, Suite 233, Renton, WA 98055. Transporting (1) *pulp, paper and related products, and* (2) *rubber and plastic products*, between points in the U.S., under continuing contract(s) with North Pacific Paper Co., of Tacoma, WA., and International Paper Company, of New York, NY.

MC 128720 (Sub-13), filed February 6, 1981. Applicant: MERCHANTS FREIGHT LINE, INC., 1185 Omohundro Drive, Nashville, TN 37210. Representative: Henry E. Seaton, 929 Pennsylvania Bldg., 425 13th Street NW., Washington, D.C. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives), between points in TN, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in KY.

Note.—Applicant intends to tack the rights sought to its existing regular route authority in MC-128720 Subs. 2, 4, 5, 6, and 7.

MC 135410 (Sub-121), filed January 26, 1981. Applicant: COURTNEY J. MUNSON, d.b.a. MUNSON TRUCKING,

P.O. Box 266, North 6th St. Rd., Monmouth, IL 61462. Representative: Daniel O. Hands, Suite 200, 205 W. Touhy Ave., Park Ridge, IL 60068. Transporting *such commodities* as are dealt in or used by manufacturers and distributors of automotive care products, between Chicago, IL, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the U.S.

MC 144471 (Sub-1), filed February 6, 1981. Applicant: CLAUSEN BUS SERVICE, INC., 123 East Mineola Ave., Valley Stream, NY 11580. Representative: Sidney J. Leshin, 575 Madison Ave., New York, NY 10022. Transporting *passengers and their baggage*, in special and charter operations, beginning and ending at points in NY, and extending to points in the U.S. (including AK, but excluding HI).

MC 145230 (Sub-8), filed January 23, 1981. Applicant: H & S TRUCKING, INC., P.O. Box 127, Wesson, MS 39191. Representative: Fred W. Johnson, Jr., P.O. Box 22807, Jackson, MS 39205. Transporting *forest products, and lumber and wood products*, between points in the U.S., under continuing contract(s) with Vanply, Inc., of Charlotte, NC.

MC 145371 (Sub-7), filed January 30, 1981. Applicant: MFCH, INC., Route 1, Kings, IL 61045. Representative: Daniel O. Hands, Suite 200, 205 W. Touhy Ave., Park Ridge, IL 60068. Transporting (1) *pulp, paper and related products* and (2) *chemicals and related products*, between points in Putnam County, OH, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the U.S.

MC 145441 (Sub-141), filed January 30, 1981. Applicant: A.C.B. TRUCKING, INC., P.O. Box 5130, North Little Rock, AR 72119. Representative: Ralph E. Bradbury (same address as applicant). Transporting *food and related products*, between points in Alameda and Santa Clara Counties, CA, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in IL, MI, OH, and NY. CONDITION: Issuance of a certificate in this proceeding is subject to the coincidental cancellation, at applicants written request of existing certificates in MC-145441 Sub-Nos. 68F and 86F.

MC 145441 (Sub-142), filed January 30, 1981. Applicant: A.C.B. TRUCKING, INC., P.O. Box 5130, North Little Rock, AR 72119. Representative: Ralph E. Bradbury (same address as applicant). Transporting *food and related products*, between the facilities used by Lamb-Weston, Inc., in the U.S., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the U.S.

MC 146890 (Sub-33), filed February 6, 1981. Applicant: C & E TRANSPORT, INC., d./b./a C.E. SUMSTEIN CO., a corporation P.O. Box 27, Lewisburg, OH 45338. Representative: E. Stephen Heisley, 666 Eleventh Street NW., Suite 805, Washington, DC 20001. Transporting *food and related products*, between points in Morgan County, IL, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the U.S.

MC 146041 (Sub-7), filed February 5, 1981. Applicant: CAL-TEX, INC., P.O. Box 1678, Costa Mesa, CA 92626. Representative: Eric Meierhoefer Suite 423, 1511 K St., N.W. Washington, DC 20005. Transporting *such commodities* as are dealt in or used by manufacturers of containers, between points in King County, WA, Los Angeles County, CA, and Maricopa County, AZ, on the one hand, and, on the other, those points in the U.S. in and east of ND, SD, NE, CO, OK, and TX.

MC 146761 (Sub-3), filed February 2, 1981. Applicant: P.J. TRUCKING, INC., P.O. Box 376, Summit, IL 60501. Representative: Norman A. Cooper 145 W. Wisconsin Ave., Neenah, WI 54956. Transporting *food and related products* (1) between points in CA, and (2) between Chicago, IL, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in IA, IL, IN, KY, MI, MO, OH, PA and WI.

MC 1418060 (Sub-9), filed February 2, 1981. Applicant: STOVER LINES, INC., 5636 NW 17th St., Topeka, KS 66618. Representative: Clyde N. Christey, Ks Credit Union Bldg., 1010 Tyler, Suite 110L, Topeka, KS 66612. Transporting *such commodities* as are dealt in or used by retail shoe stores, between points in PA, NC, and Shawnee County, KS, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in OK, KS, CO, MO, NM, TX, IL, NE, IN, OH, KY, TN and AR.

MC 148600 (Sub-8), filed February 2, 1981. Applicant: TRANSHIELD TRUCKING, INC., 1000 North Harvester Road, West Chicago, IL 60185. Representative: E. Stephen Heisley, 805 McLachlen Bank Bldg., 666 Eleventh Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20001. Transporting (1) *rubber and plastic products*, (2) *chemicals and related products*, (3) *metal products*, (4) *textile mill products*, and (5) *petroleum, natural gas, and their products*, between points in Rockdale County, GA, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the U.S.

MC 148871 (Sub-3), filed February 5, 1981. Applicant: PORT TERMINAL REFRIGERATED TRANSPORT, INC., Foot of Algiers St., Port Newark, NJ 07114. Representative: Frank D. Hall, Suite 713, 3384 Peachtree Rd., NE., Atlanta, GA 30326. Transporting *food and related products*, between Newark, NJ, Baltimore, MD, and points in Albany County, NY, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in PA, OH, MI, IN, NY, CT, NJ, IL, WI, RI, MA, NH, VT, and ME.

MC 152190 (Sub-1), filed February 2, 1981. Applicant: HOUGHTON TRUCKING, INC., Houghton, IA 52631. Representative: Richard D. Howe, 600 Hubbell Bldg., Des Moines, IA 50309. Transporting *chemicals and related products*, between points in Lee, Story, and Polk Counties, IA, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in IL, and MO.

MC 152391 (Sub-2), filed February 3, 1981. Applicant: LITTLE GINNY TRANSPORT SYSTEMS, INC., 824 27th Ave., SW., Cedar Rapids, IA 52404. Representative: Virginia A. Wilson (same address as applicant). Transporting *metal products, and machinery*, between points in the U.S., under continuing contract(s) with LeFebure Corporation of Cedar Rapids, IA.

MC 152741 (Sub-1), filed February 6, 1981. Applicant: APPALACHIAN FREIGHT CARRIERS, INC., P.O. Box 307, Edinburg, VA 22824. Representative: Lawrence E. Lindeman, 1032 Pennsylvania Bldg., Pennsylvania Ave. & 13th St., NW., Washington, D.C. 20004. Transporting *building materials*, between points in VA, on the one hand, and, on the other, those points in the U.S. in and east of WI, IL, KY, TN, and MS.

MC 153621 (Sub-54), filed February 5, 1981. Applicant: TENNESSEE STEEL HAULERS, INC., P.O. Box 100991, Nashville, TN 37210. Representative: Kim D. Mann, Suite 1010, 7101 Wisconsin Ave., Washington, D.C. 20014. Transporting *lumber and wood products*, between points in AL, AR, GA, IL, IN, IA, KS, KY, MI, MS, MO, NE, NC, OH, OK, PA, SC, TN, TX, WV, and WI.

MC 154112, filed February 5, 1981. Applicant: HENRY J. BLANCHARD & SONS, INC., 98 Liberty St., Danvers, MA 01923. Representative: Joseph M. Klements, 84 State St., Boston, MA 02109. Transporting *machinery*, between points in MA, ME, NH, RI, and VT.

Volume No. OP2-020

Decided: February 12, 1981.

By the Commission, Review Board No. 2. Members Chandler, Eaton, and Liberman.

MC 128932 (Sub-12), filed January 21, 1981. Applicant: COMMERCIAL STORAGE & DISTRIBUTION COMPANY, 432 Richmond Rd., Texarkana, TX 75501. Representative: Alan F. Wohlstetter, 1700 K St., NW, Washington, DC, (202) 833-8884.

Transporting, for or on behalf of the United States Government, *general commodities* (except used household goods, hazardous or secret materials, and sensitive weapons and munitions), between points in the U.S.

MC 151862, filed January 28, 1981. Applicant: B. R. GLYNN INTERNATIONAL, DIVISION, OF SCOTTISH EXPRESS INTERNATIONAL, 878 Burbank Ave., Suffield, CT 06078. Representative: B. R. Glynn (same as applicant) (203) 668-1244. As a *broker of general commodities* (except household goods), between points in the U.S.

Volume No. OP5-058

Decided: February 18, 1981.

By the Commission, Review Board No. 1, Members Carleton, Joyce, and Jones.

MC 143259 (Sub-2), filed January 30, 1981. Applicant: TOM DURKIN, d.b.a. TOM DURKIN TRUCKING, 36 East Chestnut St., Walla Walla, WA 99362. Representative: Steve VanWyk, 12012 NE Lonetree, Poulsbo, WA 98370. Transporting, for or on behalf of the United States Government, *general commodities* (except used household goods, hazardous or secret materials, and sensitive weapons and munitions), between points in the U.S.

MC 153918, filed January 6, 1981. Applicant: TRANSPORTATION & CONSOLIDATION CENTERS, INC., P.O. Box 1524, Harrisburg, PA 17105. Representative: Peter Wolff, 722 Pittston Ave., Scranton, PA 18505. Transporting, for or on behalf of the United States Government, *general commodities* (except used household goods, hazardous or secret materials, and sensitive weapons and munitions), between points in the U.S.

Agatha L. Mergenovich,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 81-6726 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7035-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

National Institute of Corrections

Grant and Contract Review Process; Procedures

Notice is hereby given that the National Institute of Corrections (NIC) has amended its procedures—which were published in the **Federal Register**, Volume 41, No. 216—Monday, November 8, 1976 at pp. 49200-49201 and amended in the **Federal Register**, Volume 43, No. 251—Friday, December 29, 1978 at pp. 61033-61035—for the internal processing of concept papers, grant applications and/or contracts. These procedures cover concept papers, grant applications and/or contracts submitted by appropriate individuals, organizations or agencies with NIC's enabling legislation (18 U.S.C. Sections 4351-4353 (1974)).

Allen F. Breed,
Director, National Institute of Corrections.

1. *Purpose:* To formalize the responsibilities of the Advisory Board, Grant Review Committee of the Advisory Board, and the Director of the National Institute of Corrections with regard to grant and contract review and award. To detail the steps involved in the review and award process.

2. *Directives affected:* Supersedes procedures contained in June 23-24, 1975, National Institute of Corrections Advisory Board Minutes. Supplements all official Government regulations.

3. *Definition of terms:*

A. For purposes of this statement, the term *"Director"* means the Director of the National Institute Corrections; the term *"Advisory Board"* means the Advisory Board of the National Institute of Corrections; and the term *"Grant Review Committee"* means the Grant Review Committee of the Advisory Board, National Institute of Corrections.

B. An *"application"* is a duly executed Standard Form 424—Federal Assistance, properly completed in compliance with application instructions and submitted from eligible parties in accordance with Federal Management Circular FMC 74-7 and FMC 73-7.

C. A *"concept paper"* is any submission of materials other than the Standard Form 424 which outlines in brief the submitters's programmatic and budgetary proposal.

(Concept papers and applications for grants originate from three primary sources: 1) in response to announced initiative efforts; 2) solicited by National Institute of Corrections staff; and 3) forwarded to the National Institute of Corrections unsolicited.)

D. *"Employee"* means an officer or employee of the Department of Justice and includes a special Government employee (as defined in paragraph E of this section).

E. *"Special Government Employee"* means an individual who is retained, designated, appointed, or employed by the Department of Justice to perform, with or without compensation, for not more than 130 days during any period of 365 consecutive days, temporary duties either on a full-time or intermittent basis. For purposes of this policy statement, members of the National Institute of Corrections Advisory Board shall be considered "Special Government Employees."

F. *"Conflict of Interest"*—The Department of Justice Standards of Conduct, 28 CFR Part 45.735-4, defining "Conflicts of Interest," apply to "employees" and "special government employees" as defined in this section.

(1) A conflict of interest exists whenever the performance of the duties of an employee has or appears to have a direct and predictable effect upon a financial interest of such employee or of his spouse, minor child, partner, or person or organization with which he is associated or is negotiating for future employment.

(2) A conflict of interest exists even though there is no reason to suppose that the employee will, in fact, perform the duties to his/her own personal advantage rather than to the advantage of the Government.

(3) An employee shall not have a direct or indirect financial interest that conflicts, or appears to conflict, with his Government duties and responsibilities.

(4) This section does not preclude an employee from having a financial interest or engaging in a financial interest or engaging in a financial transaction to the same extent as a private citizen not employed by the Government so long as it is not prohibited by statute.

(a) No employee shall have or make investments (a) in enterprises which it is reasonable to believe will be involved in decisions to be made by him, (b) on the basis of information which comes to him by reason of his status as a Department of Justice employee and which has not become part of the body of public information, or (c) which are reasonably likely to create any conflict in the proper discharge of his official duties.

G. The term *"Contract"* means establishment of a binding legal relationship obligating the seller to furnish personal property or nonpersonal services (i.e., unsupervised delivery of services or a product) and the buyer to pay therefore. It includes all types of commitments which obligate the Government to an expenditure of funds. In addition to a two-signature document, it includes a transactions resulting from acceptance of offers by awards or notices of awards; agreements and job orders or task letters issued thereunder; letter contracts; letters of intent; and order, such as purchase orders, under which the contract becomes effective by written acceptance of performance. It also includes contract modifications.

H. *"Field readers"* are those individuals who review NIC-selected

concept papers and application statements from their professional perspectives for the purpose of assessing the relative merits and reasibility of proposed actions or activities of the submitter. These individuals appear on the Advisory Board's approved list of readers.

I. The term *"Grant"* means an agreement between the Federal Government and another party whereby the Federal Government provides funds or aid in kind to carry out specified programs, services, or activities.

4. *Annual program plan:*

Each year the Director of the National Institute of Corrections shall prepare for the approval of the Advisory Board an Annual Program Plan. This Plan shall outline the program priorities or thrust areas of the Institute, the types of grants and contracts to be undertaken in the next fiscal year, and their estimated funding levels. The Annual Program Plan, once approved, may be modified at any duly authorized meeting of the Advisory Board.

The Annual Program Plan shall serve as the framework and guidelines by which concept papers or applications will be reviewed.

5. *Action:*

A. If any NIC Advisory Board member or staff member (for one year after their separation from the Institute) shall have a substantial personal involvement (review of draft, personal financial gain from the project if approved, etc.) in the preparation and/or submission of a proposal to NIC, then he or she shall declare the nature of that involvement to the Director and shall not participate in the review of the proposal in any manner. In such instances the Director shall not advise the Board of the appearance of conflict of interest, to avoid any possible influence such knowledge might have in the Board's decision process.

B. Each concept paper and application will receive a fiscal and programmatic review by the National Institute of Corrections staff. When submissions are deemed nonfundable by staff, a rejection letter briefly stating the reason for rejection will be forwarded to the organization or person submitting the concept paper or application.

C. Where the concept paper or application is deemed potentially fundable and there is no known conflict of interest, the following will apply:

(1) If the proposed project is (a) within the approved National Institute of Corrections thrust areas and the funding level is $100,000 or less; or (b) for the performance of any internal administrative functions of the National Institute of Corrections; or (c) an interagency agreement where another federal agency is the recipient, the Director will review staff recommendations and make the award decision.

(2) If the proposed project is within the approved National Institute of Corrections thrust areas but requested funding is greater than $100,000, the Director will obtain at least three field reviews from the Board-approved list of field readers, as the the concept paper or application's suitability, feasibility, and contribution to the field of corrections.

The Director will then review staff recommendations and field reviews and make an award decision.

(3) If the proposed project is within the approved National Institute of Corrections thrust areas but requested funding is $300,000 or more, the process will proceed as in C(2) above with the exception that the Director will seek the advice of the Grant Review Committee as to the appropriateness of the project to the Annual Program Plan.

D. Where the concept paper or application is deemed potentially fundable but the declaration referred to in 5(A) above or other data indicates *in the judgement of the Director or his designee* that there may be a substantial personal conflict of interest, the procedures outlined in Section C above will apply, but in addition:

(1) There will be fiscal and programmatic review by staff and review by three field readers from the Board-approved list of reviewers, as to the concept paper or application's suitability, feasibility, and contribution to the field of corrections.

(2) Where such reviews are positive, the Director will seek the advice of the Grant Review Committee regarding the appropriateness of an award and then make an award decision.

E. Each organization or person submitting a concept paper or application will have the right to appeal nonfavorable decisions

(1) Where concept papers or applications meet guidelines presented in C(1) or C(2) above, the Grant Review Committee will serve as the appellate review board and make recommendations to the Director for appropriate action.

(2) Where concept papers or applications meet guidelines contained in C(3) above, the Chairman of the Advisory Board will appoint from the Board an appeals committee to make recommendations to the Director for appropriate action.

F. *Continuation grants.* Any grant for which a renewal or continuation is requested will be reviewed by NIC program staff. This review will include consideration of any evaluations and reports completed during the term of the grant, NIC's Annual Pogram Plan, as well as the need for a continued effort in the particular area covered by that particular grant. The reviewing staff will submit a recommendation to the Director. The Director will then make a decision on the continuation of the grant in question.

Appeals to decisions on continuation grants may be made in accordance with the specifications outlined by Section E above.

6. *Reporting:* The Grant Review Committee will receive a listing of NIC grant awards quarterly. In addition, the Grant Review Committee will be advised quarterly of programmatic contracts entered into by NIC.

[FR Doc. 81-6741 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4410-05-M

Bureau of Prisons

Advisory Corrections Council; Notice of Meeting

Notice is hereby given that the Advisory Corrections Council in accordance with section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463; 86 Stat. 770) will meet on March 11, 1981, in Washington, D.C.

This meeting is being held in conjunction with the Judicial Conference of the United States. The purpose of the meeting is to discuss eight agenda items related to the role of the Federal Government in Corrections.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 26th day of February 1981.

Norman A. Carlson,

Director, Bureau of Prisons.

[FR Doc. 81-6694 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4410-05-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Mine Safety and Health Administration

[Docket No. M-81-6-M]

J.S. Redpath Corp.; Petition for Modification of Application of Mandatory Safety Standard

J.S. Redpath Corporation, P.O. Box 27328, Tempe, Arizona 85282 has filed a petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 57.19-22 (cable on hoisting drum) to its Silver Shaft Development Project located in Shoshone County, Idaho. The petition is filed under section 101(c) of the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977.

A summary of the petitioner's statements follows:

1. The petition concerns the requirements for attachment of wire rope to the drum end of the stage winches at the petitioner's mine.

2. The construction of the circular concrete shaft is by conventional methods and utilizes a work platform (galloway stage) supported by three (3) individual synchronized winch hoists. The manufacturer's design of the winch drums provides for the attachment of the wire ropes by placement of three (3) clamps to the side of the drum. The three (3) winches are synchronized for simultaneous operation and have a maximum rated speed of 28 feet per minute. The permanent shaft steel is being installed approximately 100 feet above the shaft bottom and concrete lining; therefore the vertical movement of the galloway stage is restricted.

3. Each winch is supplied with high pressure hydraulic disc brakes, which are spring applied and pressure released. The brakes are designed to automatically apply during a power failure and/or loss of pressure within the system.

4. J.S. Redpath Corporation is submitting this petition to the Mine Safety and Health Administration with the belief that the standards in 30 CFR Part 57.19 do not apply to the stage winch equipment.

In support of the petition for modification, petitioner states that:

a. Due to the manufacturer's design, any modification of the drum to apply the application of 57.19–22 could result in drum damage thereby reducing the designed safety factors in the units;

b. There is not the continual stress on the equipment or wire rope such as longitudinal or associated transverse vibrations which occur with the high speed hoisting equipment;

c. The number of rope clamps on the drum has been increased from the manufacturer's requirements of three (3) to five (5);

d. The rope was wound on the drum under thirty thousand pounds of tension;

e. The number of full turns of wire rope remaining on the drum at the maximum depth will be over seventeen wraps. This figure takes into consideration the requirements of 30 CFR 57.19–124 (annual cutting of the wire rope).

5. For these reasons, petitioner reqests a modification of the standard.

Request for Comments

Persons interested in this petition may furnish written comments. These comments must be filed with the Office of Standards, Regulations and Variances, Mine Safety and Health Administration, Room 627, 4015 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, Virginia 22203. All comments must be postmarked or received in that office on or before April 2, 1981. Copies of the petition are available for inspection at that address.

Dated: February 23, 1981.

Frank A. White,

Director, Office of Standards, Regulations and Variances.

[FR Doc. 81-6801 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-43-M

Occupational Safety and Health Administration

New Mexico State Standards; Approval

1. *Background.* Part 1953 of Title 29, Code of Federal Regulations prescribes procedures under section 18 of the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 (hereinafter called the Act) by which the Regional Administrator for Occupational Safety and Health (hereinafter called the Regional Administrator) under a delegation of authority from the Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health (hereinafter called the Assistant Secretary), (29 CFR 1953.4) will review and approve standards promulgated pursuant to a State plan which has been approved in accordance with section 18(c) of the Act and 29 CFR Part 1902. On December 10, 1975, notice was published in the **Federal Register** (40 FR 57455) of the approval of the New Mexico plan and the adoption of Subpart DD to Part 1952 containing the decision.

The New Mexico plan provides for the adoption of Federal standards as State standards by reference after:

1. Technical Advisory Committee submittal of recommendations to the Environmental Improvement Division.

2. Notice of public hearing(s) published in a newspaper of general circulation in the state at least thirty (30) days prior to the date of such hearing(s).

3. Open public hearing(s) conducted by the Environmental Improvement Board.

4. Filing of adopted regulations, amendments or revocations under the State Rules Act.

Section 1953.20 of 29 CFR provides that "where any alteration in the Federal program could have an adverse impact on the 'at least as effective as' status of the State program, a program change supplement to a State plan shall be required."

In response to the Federal program changes, the State of New Mexico has submitted by letters dated July 14, 1980, and August 12, 1980, from Thomas E. Baca, Director Environmental Improvement Division, to Gilbert J. Saulter, Regional Administrator and incorporated as part of the plan, amended State standards comparable to Federal standards § 1910.177 Servicing Multi-Piece Rim Wheels dated January 29, 1980. The State included in their submission amendments, corrections, or deletions comparable to § 1910.217 Mechanical Power Presses dated February 8, 1980, § 1910.1043 Cotton Dust dated December 5, 1978, and February 26, 1980, and §§1910.1046/1928.113 Cotton Dust in Cotton gins dated December 5, 1978.

These standards which are contained in New Mexico Occupational Health and Safety Regulations, Section 200—General Standards, and Section 400—Agricultural Standards, were promulgated after public hearings held on April 29, 1980, pursuant to the New Mexico Occupational Health and Safety Act, Section 50–9–1 through 50–9–25, N.M.S.A. 1978.

2. *Decision.* Having reviewed the State submission in comparison with the Federal standards it has been determined that the State standards are identical to the Federal standards and accordingly they are hereby approved.

3. *Location of supplement for inspection and copying.* A copy of the standards supplement, along with the approved plan, may be inspected and copied during normal business hours at the following locations: Office of the Regional Administrator, U.S. Department of Labor—OSHA, Room 602, 555 Griffin Square Building, Griffin and Young Streets, Dallas, Texas 75202, Director, Environmental Improvement Division, Crown Building, 725 St. Michael's Drive, Santa Fe, New Mexico 87503; and Technical Data Center, Room N2439R, Third and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210.

4. *Public participation.* Under 29 CFR 1953.2(c), the Assistant Secretary may prescribe alternative procedures to expedite the review process or for other good cause which may be consistent with applicable laws. The Assistant Secretary finds that good cause exists for not publishing the supplement to the New Mexico State plan as a proposed change and making the Regional Administrator's approval effective upon publication for the following reasons:

1. The standards are identical to the Federal standards which were promulgated in accordance with Federal law including meeting requirements for public participation.

2. The standards were adopted in accordance with the procedural

requirements of State law and further participation would be unnecessary.

This decision is effective March 3, 1981.

(Sec. 18, Pub. L. 91–596, 84 Stat. 1608 (29 U.S.C. 667))

Signed at Dallas, Texas this 28th day of August 1980.

Gilbert J. Saulter,

Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 81-6790 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-26-M

Puerto Rico State Standards; Approval

1. *Background.* Part 1952 of Title 29, Code of Federal Regulations prescribes procedures under section 18 of the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 (hereinafter called the Act) by which the Regional Administrator for Occupational Safety and Health (hereinafter called the Regional Administrator) under a delegation of authority from the Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health (hereinafter called the Assistant Secretary), (29 CFR 1953.4) will review and approve standards promulgated pursuant to a State Plan which has been approved in accordance with section 18 (c) of the Act and 29 CFR Part 1902. On August 30, 1977, notice was published in the **Federal Register** (42 FR 43628) of the approval of the Puerto Rico plan and the adoption of Subpart FF to Part 1952 containing the decision.

The Puerto Rico plan provides for the adoption of Federal standards as State standards by reference. Section 1953.20 of 29 CFR provides that "where any alteration in the Federal program could have an adverse impact on the 'at least as effective as' status of the State program, a program change supplement to a State plan shall be required."

In response to a Federal standard change, the State has submitted by letter dated March 26, 1980 from Assistant Secretary John Cinque to Assistant Regional Administrator Richard Andree, and incorporated as part of the plan, State standards comparable to the Occupational Safety and Health Administration final standard for Occupational Exposure to Lead, as published in the **Federal Register** (43 FR 52952) dated November 14, 1978, with corrections published in the **Federal Register** (44 FR 50338) dated August 28, 1979. This standard which is contained in the P.R. regulations Number Four (equivalent to 29 CFR Part 1910) was promulgated by resolution adopted by the P.R. Department of Labor and Human Resources on December 26, 1979, pursuant to the P.R. Act number 16 and Chapter 43 of the P.R. rules and regulations Act of 1958. In response to a Federal standard change, the State has submitted by letter dated October 28, 1980, from Assistant Secretary John Cinque to Deputy Regional Administrator Nicholas DiArchangel, and incorporated as part of the plan, State standards comparable to the Occupational Safety and Health Administration Permanent Standard for Appendices to the Final Standard for Occupational Exposure to Lead as published in the **Federal Register** (44 FR 60980) dated October 23, 1979; Corrections to the Appendices of the Final Standard for Occupational Exposure to Lead as published in the **Federal Register** (44 FR 68827) dated November 30, 1979, Mechanical Power Presses—Corrections as published in the **Federal Register** (45 FR 8593) dated February 8, 1980; Servicing Multi-Piece Wheels—as published in the **Federal Register** (45 FR 6706) dated January 29, 1980; Air Contaminants Tables—Corrections as published in the **Federal Register** (43 FR 57601) dated December 8, 1978; Safety and Health Regulations identified as applicable to Construction as published in the **Federal Register** (44 FR 20940) dated April 6, 1979. These standards which are contained in the Puerto Rico Regulations, Number Four (equivalent to 29 CFR Part 1910) were promulgated by resolution adopted by the Puerto Rico Department of Labor and Human Resources on June 23, 1980, pursuant to the Puerto Rico Act Number 16 and Chapter 43 of the Puerto Rico Rules and Regulations Act of 1958.

2. *Decision.* Having reviewed the State submission in comparison with the Federal standard it has been determined that the State standard is identical to the Federal standard and accordingly is hereby approved.

3. *Location of supplement for inspection and copying.* A copy of the standard supplement, along with the approved plan, may be inspected and copied during normal business hours at the following locations: Office of the Regional Administrator, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, Room 3445, 1515 Broadway, New York, New York 10036; Puerto Rico Department of Labor and Human Resources, Prudencio Rivera Martinez Bldg. Munoz Rivera Avenue 505, Hato Rey, Puerto Rico 00917; and Office of the Director, Federal Compliance and State programs, Room N–3619, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210.

4. *Public Participation.* Under 29 CFR 1953.2(c), the Assistant Secretary may prescribe alternative procedures to expedite the review process or for other good cause which may be consistent with applicable laws: The Assistant Secretary finds that good cause exists for not publishing the supplement to the Puerto Rico State Plan as a proposed change and making the Regional Administrator's approval effective upon publication for the following reasons:

1. The standards are identical to the Federal standards which were promulgated in accordance with Federal law meeting requirements for public participation.

2. The standards were adopted in accordance with the procedural requirement of State Law and further participation would be unnecessary.

The decision is effective March 3, 1981.

(Sec. 18 Pub. L. 91–596, 84 Stat. 1608 (29 U.S.C. 667)))

Signed at New York City, New York this fifth day of December 1980.

Roger A. Clark,

Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 81-6791 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-26-M

Pension and Welfare Benefit Programs

[Prohibited Transaction Exemption 81–17; Exemption Application No. D–1571]

Exemption from the Prohibitions for a Certain Transaction Involving the Chelsea Emergency Physicians, P.C. Profit Sharing Plan Located in Ann Arbor, Michigan

AGENCY: Department of Labor.

ACTION: Grant of Individual Exemption.

SUMMARY: This exemption permits the sale of a certain parcel of real property by the Chelsea Emergency Physicians, P.C. Profit Sharing Plan (the Plan) to Dr. Michael D. Papo (Dr. Papo), a party in interest.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Linda Hamilton of the Office of Fiduciary Standards, Pension and Welfare Benefit Programs, Room C–4526, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20216. (202) 523–7462. (This is not a toll-free number.)

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On December 2, 1980, notice was published in the **Federal Register** (45 FR 79944) of the pendency before the Department of Labor (the Department) of a proposal to grant an exemption from the restrictions of section 406(a) and 406(b) (1) and (2) of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (the Act) and from the sanctions resulting from the application of section 4975 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 (the Code) by reason of section 4975(c)(1) (A)

through (E) of the Code, for a transaction described in an application filed on behalf of Dr. Papo and the Plan. The notice set forth a summary of facts and representations contained in the application for exemption and referred interested persons to the application for a complete statement of the facts and representations. The application has been available for public inspection at the Department in Washington, D.C. The notice also invited interested persons to submit comments on the requested exemption to the Department. In addition, the notice stated that any interested person might submit a written request that a public hearing be held relating to this exemption. The applicant has represented that it has complied with the notification requirements as set forth in the Notice of Pendency. No public comments and no requests for a hearing were received by the Department.

This application was filed with both the Department and the Internal Revenue Service. However, the notice of pendency was issued and the exemption is being granted solely by the Department because, effective December 31, 1978, section 102 of Reorganization Plan No. 4 of 1978 (43 FR 47713, October 17, 1978) transferred the authority of the Secretary of the Treasury to issue exemptions of the type proposed to the Secretary of Labor.

General Information

The attention of interested persons is directed to the following:

(1) The fact that a transaction is the subject of an exemption granted under section 408(a) of the Act and section 4975(c)(2) of the Code does not relieve a fiduciary or other party in interest or disqualified person with respect to a plan to which the exemption is applicable from certain other provisions of the Act and the Code. These provisions include any prohibited transaction provisions to which the exemption does not apply and the general fiduciary responsibility provisions of section 404 of the Act, which among other things require a fiduciary to discharge his or her duties respecting the plan solely in the interest of the participants and beneficiaries of the plan in a prudent fashion in accordance with section 404(a)(1)(B) of the Act; nor does the fact the transaction is the subject of an exemption affect the requirement of section 401(a) of the Code that a plan must operate for the exclusive benefit of the employee of the employer maintaining the plan and their beneficiaries.

(2) This exemption does not extend to transactions prohibited under section 406(b)(3) of the Act and section 4975(c)(1)(F) of the Code.

(3) This exemption is supplemental to, and not in derogation of, any other provisions of the Act and the Code, including statutory or administrative exemptions and transitional rules. Furthermore, the fact that a transaction is subject to an administrative or statutory exemption or transitional rule is not dispositive of whether the transaction is, in fact, a prohibited transaction.

Exemption

In accordance with section 408(a) of the Act and section 4975(c)(2) of the Code and the procedures set forth in ERISA Procedure 75-1 (40 FR 18471, April 28, 1975), and based upon the entire record, the Department makes the following determinations:

(a) The exemption is administratively feasible;

(b) It is in the interests of the Plan and of its participants and beneficiaries; and

(c) It is protective of the rights of the participants and beneficiaries of the Plan.

Accordingly the restrictions of section 406(a) and 406(b)(1) and (2) of the Act and the sanctions resulting from the application of section 4975 of the Code, by reason of section 4975(c)(1) (A) through (E) of the Code, shall not apply to the sale by the Plan of certain real property located at 220 East Washington Street, Ann Arbor, Michigan, to Dr. Michael D. Papo, a party in interest with respect to the Plan, for the cash price of $160,000, provided that this amount is not less than fair market value at the time of the sale.

The availability of this exemption is subject to the express condition that the material facts and representations contained in the application are true and complete, and that the application accurately describes all meterial terms of the transaction to be consummated pursuant to this exemption.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 24th day of February.

Ian D. Lanoff,

Administrator, Pension and Welfare Benefit Programs, Labor-Management Services Administration, Department of Labor.

[FR Doc. 81-6636 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-29-M

[Prohibited Transaction Exemption 81-16; Exemption Application No. D-1188]

Exemption from the Prohibitions for Certain Transactions Involving Panimatic Co. Employees' Profit Sharing Plan and Trust, Located in Elk Grove Village, Ill.

AGENCY: Department of Labor.

ACTION: Grant of Individual Exemption.

SUMMARY: This exemption permits, prospectively, the leasing of certain real property by Panimatic Company Employees' Profit Sharing Plan and Trust (the Plan) to Panimatic Company (the Employer), a party in interest with respect to the Plan.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: C. E. Beaver of the Office of Fiduciary Standards, Pension and Welfare Benefit Programs, Room C-4526, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20216, (202) 523-8671. (This is not a toll-free number.)

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On January 9, 1981, notice was published in the **Federal Register** (46 FR 2414) of the pendency before the Department of Labor (the Department) of a proposal to grant an exemption from the restrictions of sections 406(a), 406 (b)(1) and (b)(2) and 407(a) of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (the Act) and from the taxes imposed by section 4975(a) and (b) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 (the Code) by reason of section 4975 (c)(1) (A) through (E) of the Code, for the transaction described in an application filed jointly by the Employer and the Plan pursuant to section 408(a) of the Act and section 4975(c)(2) of the Code and in accordance with procedures set forth in ERISA Procedure 75-1 (40 FR 18471). The notice set forth a summary of facts and representations contained in the application for exemption and referred interested persons to the application for a complete statement of the facts and representations. The application has been available for public inspection at the Department in Washington, D.C. The notice also invited interested persons to submit comments on the requested exemption to the Department. In addition the notice stated that any interested person might submit a written request that a public hearing be held relating to this exemption. The applicants have represented that they have complied with the requirements of the notification to interested persons as set forth in the notice of pendency. No public comments and no requests for a

hearing were received by the Department.

The notice of pendency was issued and the exemption is being granted solely by the Department because, effective December 31, 1978, section 102 of Reorganization Plan No. 4 of 1978 (43 FR 47713, October 17, 1978) transferred the authority of the Secretary of the Treasury to issue exemptions of the type proposed to the Secretary of Labor.

General Information

The attention of interested persons is directed to the following:

(1) The fact that a transaction is the subject of an exemption granted under section 408(a) of the Act and section 4975(c)(2) of the Code does not relieve a fiduciary or other party in interest or disqualified person with respect to a plan to which the exemption is applicable from certain other provisions of the Act and the Code. These provisions include any prohibited transaction provisions to which the exemption does not apply and the general fiduciary responsibility provisions of section 404 of the Act, which among other things, require a fiduciary to discharge his or her duties respecting the plan solely in the interest of the participants and beneficiaries of the plan and in a prudent fashion in accordance with section 404(a)(1)(B) of the Act; nor does the fact the transaction is the subject of an exemption affect the requirement of section 401(a) of the Code that a plan must operate for the exclusive benefit of the employees of the employer maintaining the plan and their beneficiaries.

(2) This exemption does not extend to transactions prohibited under section 406(b)(3) of the Act and section 4975(a)(1)(F) of the Code.

(3) This exemption is supplemental to, and not in derogation of any other provisions of the Act and the Code, including statutory or administrative exemptions and transitional rules. Furthermore, the fact that a transaction is subject to an administrative or statutory exemption or transitional rule is not dispositive of whether the transaction is, in fact a prohibited transaction.

Exemption

In accordance with section 408(a) of the Act and section 4975(c)(2) of the Code and the procedures set forth in ERISA Procedure 75-1 (40 FR 18471, April 28, 1975), and based upon the entire record, the Department make the following determinations:

(a) the exemption is administratively feasible;

(b) It is in the interests of the Plan and of its participants and beneficiaries; and

(c) It is protective of the rights of the participants and beneficiaries of the Plan.

Accordingly, the restrictions of sections 406(a), 406 (b)(1) and (b)(2) and 407(a) of the Act and the taxes imposed by section 4975 (a) and (b) of the Code by reason of section 4975(c)(1) (A) through (E) of the Code shall not apply, prospectively, to the leasing of the Bond Property, located at 73 East Bond Street, Elk Grove Village, Illinois, for the duration of the lease, and its two optional renenal periods. The exemption is subject to the conditions (1) that any prohibited transaction committed in connection with the use of the assets of the Plan for the benefit of the Employer or with the lease of the Bond Property to the Employer by the Plan are to be "corrected" within the meaning of section 4975(b)(5) of the Code, and (2) that the excise taxes imposed by reason of such prohibited transactions are paid within 90 days of the published grant of this exemption.

The availability of this exemption is subject to the express conditions that the material facts and representations contained in the application are true and complete, and that the application accurately describes all material terms of the transaction to be consummated pursuant to this exemption.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 24th day of February, 1981.

Ian D. Lanoff,

Administrator, Pension and Welfare Benefit Programs, Labor-Management Services Administration, Department of Labor.

[FR Doc. 81-6637 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-29-M

[Prohibited Transaction Exemption 81-15; Exemption Application Nos. D-821 and 1081]

Exemption From the Prohibitions for Certain Transactions Involving the Transamerica Life Insurance and Annuity Co.; Located in Los Angeles, Calif.

AGENCY: Department of Labor.

ACTION: Grant of Individual Exemption.

SUMMARY: This exemption exempts, under certain conditions, the reinsurance by the Transamerica Life Insurance and Annuity Company (Transamerica Life) of liabilities under life insurance and annuity contracts, including those held in separate accounts, which were sold to employee pension benefit plans by Occidental Life Insurance Company of California (Occidental).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Gary H. Lefkowitz of the Office of Fiduciary Standards, Pension and Welfare Benefit Programs, Room C-4526, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. 20216. (202) 523-8881. (This is not a toll-free number.)

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On December 16, 1980, notice was published in the **Federal Register** (45 FR 82765) of the pendency before the Department of Labor (the Department) of a proposal to grant an exemption from the restrictions of section 406 (a) and (b) of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (the Act) and from the sanctions resulting from the application of section 4975 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 (the Code) by reason of section 4975(c)(1) (A) through (F) of the Code, for transactions described in applications filed on behalf of Occidental, Transamerica Life, and Transamerica Insurance Company of California. The notice set forth a summary of facts and representations contained in the applications for exemption and referred interested persons to the applications for a complete statement of the facts and representations. The applications have been available for public inspection at the Department in Washington, D.C. The notice also invited interested persons to submit comments on the requested exemption to the Department. In addition the notice stated that any interested person might submit a written request that a public hearing be held relating to this exemption. The applicants have represented that they have complied with the notice to interested persons requirement as set forth in the notice of pendency. The only comment received by the Department was submitted by the applicants, who request that the proposed exemption be modified to clarify that the exemption includes relief for the payment and receipt of consideration between Occidental and Transamerica Life with respect to the reinsurance of liabilities under the contracts covering employees of members of the Transamerica Corporation controlled group. The applicants also request that the proposed exemption be modified to clarify that the exemption exempts the reinsurance of liabilities under a covered contract to the extent that the applicable conditions are satisfied with respect to that particular contract. For purposes of clarification, the Department has agreed to modify the exemption accordingly.

The applications were filed with both the Department and the Internal

Revenue Service. However, the notice of pendency was issued and the exemption is being granted solely by the Department because, effective December 31, 1978, section 102 of Reorganization Plan No. 4 of 1978 (43 FR 47713, October 17, 1978) transferred the authority of the Secretary of the Treasury to issue exemptions of the type proposed to the Secretary of Labor.

General Information

The attention of interested persons is directed to the following:

(1) The fact that a transaction is the subject of an exemption granted under section 408(a) of the Act and section 4975(c)(2) of the Code does not relieve a fiduciary or other party in interest or disqualified person with respect to a plan to which the exemption is applicable from certain other provisions of the Act and the Code. These provisions include any prohibited transaction provisions to which the exemption does not apply and the general fiduciary responsibility provisions of section 404 of the Act, which among other things require a fiduciary to discharge his or her duties respecting the plan solely in the interest of the participants and beneficiaries of the plan and in a prudent fashion in accordance with section 404(a)(1)(B) of the Act; nor does the fact the transaction is the subject of an exemption affect the requirement of section 401(a) of the Code that a plan must operate for the exclusive benefit of the employees of the employer maintaining the plan and their beneficiaries.

(2) This exemption is supplemental to, and not in derogation of, any other provisions of the Act and the Code, including statutory or administrative exemptions and transitional rules. Furthermore, the fact that a transaction is subject to an administrative or statutory exemption or transitional rule is not dispositive of whether the transaction is, in fact, a prohibited transaction.

Exemption

In accordance with section 408(a) of the Act and section 4975(c)(2) of the Code and the procedures set forth in ERISA Procedure 75–1 (40 FR 18471, April 28, 1975), and based upon the entire record, the Department makes the following determinations:

(a) The exemption is administratively feasible;

(b) It is in the interests of the plans and their participants and beneficiaries; and

(c) It is protective of the rights of the participants and beneficiaries of the plans.

Accordingly the restrictions of section 406 (a) and (b) of the Act and the sanctions resulting from the application of section 4975 of the Code, by reason of section 4975(c)(1) (A) through (F) of the Code, shall not apply to the reinsurance by Transamerica Life of liabilities under a life insurance or annuity contract sold by Occidental to an employee benefit pension plan with respect to which Transamerica Life is a party in interest by reason of section 3(14) (E) or (G) of the Act, the reinsurance by Transamerica Life of liabilities under a life insurance or annuity contract sold by Occidental to an employee pension benefit plan where funds under the contract are held by Occidental in a separate account, and the payment or receipt of amounts between Occidental and Transamerica Life in connection with such reinsurance, provided the following conditions are met: (1) the amount paid to Occidental by the plan for the contract is no greater than fair market value; and (2) regarding the funds which are held by Occidental in a separate account under the contract which was or is to be issued to a pension plan unrelated to the Transamerica controlled group, the transfer of the assets held in such an account and the reinsurance of liabilities relating to such an account by Transamerica Life shall not be entered into until Occidental has received a statement in writing from a plan trustee or named fiduciary unrelated to the Transamerica controlled group that such trustee or fiduciary has determined that the transaction is appropriate for the plan and is in the best interests of the plan's participants and beneficiaries.

The availability of this exemption is subject to the express condition that the material facts and representations contained in the applications are true and complete, and that the applications accurately describe all material terms of the transactions to be consummated pursuant to this exemption.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 24th day of February, 1981.

Ian D. Lanoff,

Administrator, Pension and Welfare Benefit Programs, Labor-Management Services Administration, Department of Labor.

[FR Doc. 81-6638 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-29-M

[Prohibited Transaction Exemption 81-18; Exemption Application No. D-1936]

Exemption From the Prohibitions for Certain Transactions Involving the Washington-Idaho-Montana Carpenters-Employers Retirement Plan Located in Spokane, Washington

AGENCY: Department of Labor.

ACTION: Grant of Individual Exemption.

SUMMARY: This exemption would exempt the lease of office space by Washington-Idaho-Montana Carpenters-Employers Retirement Plan (the Plan) to Administration Services, Inc. (ASI), a service provider to the Plan.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 1, 1979.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Alan H. Levitas of the Office of Fiduciary Standards, Pension and Welfare Benefit Programs, Room C-4526, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20216. (202) 523-8884. (This is not a toll-free number.)

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On January 9, 1981, notice was published in the **Federal Register** (46 FR 2432) of the pendency before the Department of Labor (the Department) of a proposal to grant an exemption from the restrictions of section 406(a) of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (the Act) and from the sanctions resulting from the application of section 4975 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 (the Code) by reason of section 4975(c)(1) (A) through (D) of the Code, for a transaction described in an application filed by legal counsel for the Plan. The notice set forth a summary of facts and representations contained in the application for exemption and referred interested persons to the application for a complete statement of the facts and representations. The application has been available for public inspection at the Department in Washington, D.C. The notice also invited interested persons to submit comments on the requested exemption to the Department. The applicant has represented that it has complied with the requirements of the notification to interested persons as set forth in the notice of pendency. One public comment was received which was in favor of the exemption as proposed by the Department.

The notice of pendency was issued and the exemption is being granted solely by the Department because, effective December 31, 1978, section 102 of Reorganization Plan No. 4 of 1978 (43 FR 47713, October 17, 1978) transferred the authority of the Secretary of the

Treasury to issue exemptions of the type proposed to the Secretary of Labor.

General Information

The attention of interested persons is directed to the following:

(1) The fact that a transaction is the subject of an exemption granted under section 408(a) of the Act and section 4975(c)(2) of the Code does not relieve a fiduciary or other party in interest or disqualified person with respect to a plan to which the exemption is applicable from certain other provisions of the Act and the Code. These provisions include any prohibited transaction provisions to which the exemption does not apply and the general fiduciary responsibility provisions of section 404 of the Act, which among other things require a fiduciary to discharge his or her duties respecting the plan solely in the interest of the participants and beneficiaries of the plan and in a prudent fashion in accordance with section 404(a)(1)(B) of the Act; nor does the fact the transaction is the subject of an exemption affect the requirement of section 401(a) of the Code that a plan must operate for the exclusive benefit of the employees of the employer maintaining the plan and their beneficiaries.

(2) This exemption does not extend to transactions prohibited under section 406(b) of the Act and section 4975(c)(1)(E) and (F) of the Code.

(3) This exemption is supplemental to, and not in derogation of, any other provisions of the Act and the Code, including statutory or administrative exemptions and transitional rules. Furthermore, the fact that a transaction is subject to an administrative or statutory exemption or transitional rule is not dispositive of whether the transaction is, in fact, a prohibited transaction.

Exemption

In accordance with section 408(a) of the Act and section 4975(c)(2) of the Code and the procedures set forth in ERISA Procedure 75-1 (40 FR 18471, April 28, 1975), and based upon the entire record, the Department makes the following determinations:

(a) The exemption is administratively feasible;

(b) It is in the interests of the Plan and of its participants and beneficiaries; and

(c) It is protective of the rights of the participants and beneficiaries of the Plan.

Accordingly the restrictions of section 406(a) of the Act and the sanctions resulting from the application of section 4975 of the Code, by reason of section 4975(c)(1)(A) through (D) of the Code, shall not apply to the lease of office space by the Plan to ASI, provided that the terms of the transaction were not less favorable to the Plan than those obtainable in an arm's length transaction with an unrelated party at the time of consummation of the transaction.

The availability of this exemption is subject to the express condition that the material facts and representations contained in the application are true and complete, and that the application accurately describes all material terms of the transaction which is the subject of this exemption.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 24th day of February, 1981.

Ian D. Lanoff,

Administrator, Pension and Welfare Benefit Programs, Labor-Management Services Administration, Department of Labor.

[FR Doc. 81-6639 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-29-M

[Prohibited Transaction Exemption 81-19; Exemption Application No. D-1575]

Exemption From the Prohibitions for Certain Transactions Involving the Kishwaukee Valley Medical Group, S.C. Employees' Retirement Plan and Trust Located in Woodstock, Illinois

AGENCY: Department of Labor.

ACTION: Grant of Individual Exemption.

SUMMARY: This exemption permits both the proposed sale of certain real property by the Kishwaukee Valley Medical Building Partnership (the Partnership) to the Kishwaukee Valley Medical Group, S.C. Employees' Retirement Trust (the Trust) and the proposed lease of the same real property by the Trust to the Kishwaukee Valley Medical Group, S.C. (the Employer).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: C. E. Beaver of the Office of Fiduciary Standards, Pension and Welfare Benefit Programs, Room C-4526, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20216, (202) 523-8671. (This is not a toll-free number.)

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On January 9, 1981, notice was published in the **Federal Register** (46 FR 2424) of the pendency before the Department of Labor (the Department) of a proposal to grant an exemption from the restrictions of sections 406(a), 406(b)(1) and (b)(2) and 407(a) of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (the Act) and from the taxes imposed by section 4975(a) and (b) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 (the Code) by reason of section 4975(c)(1)(A) through (E) of the Code, for the transactions described in an application filed by the Partnership, the Employer, and the trustee of the Trust pursuant to section 408(a) of the Act and section 4975(c)(2) of the Code and in accordance with procedures set forth in ERISA Procedure 75-1 (40 FR 18471, April 28, 1975). The notice set forth a summary of facts and representations contained in the application for exemption and referred interested persons to the application for a complete statement of the facts and representations. The application has been available for public inspection at the Department in Washington, D.C. The notice also invited interested persons to submit comments on the requested exemption to the Department. In addition the notice stated that any interested person might submit a written request that a public hearing be held relating to this exemption. The applicants have represented that they have complied with the requirements of the notification to interested persons as set forth in the notice of pendency. No public comments and no requests for a hearing were received by the Department.

This application was filed with both the Department and the Internal Revenue Service. However, the notice of pendency was issued and the exemption is being granted solely by the Department because, effective December 31, 1978, section 102 of Reorganization Plan No. 4 of 1978 (43 FR 47713, October 17, 1978) transferred the authority of the Secretary of the Treasury to issue exemptions of the type proposed to the Secretary of Labor.

General Information

The attention of interested persons is directed to the following:

(1) The fact that a transaction is the subject of an exemption granted under section 408(a) of the Act and section 4975(c)(2) of the Code does not relieve a fiduciary or other party in interest or disqualified person with respect to a plan to which the exemption is applicable from certain other provisions of the Act and the Code. These provisions include any prohibited transaction provisions to which the exemption does not apply and the general fiduciary responsibility provisions of section 404 of the Act, which among other things, require a fiduciary to discharge his or her duties

respecting the plan solely in the interest of the participants and beneficiaries of the plan and in a prudent fashion in accordance with section 404(a)(1)(B) of the Act; nor does the fact the transaction is the subject of an exemption affect the requirement of section 401(a) of the Code that a plan must operate for the exclusive benefit of the employees of the employer maintaining the plan and their beneficiaries.

(2) This exemption does not extend to transactions prohibited under section 406(b)(3) of the Act and section 4975(c)(1)(F) of the Code.

(3) This exemption is supplemental to, and not in derogation of any other provisions of the Act and the Code, including statutory or administrative exemptions and transitional rules. Furthermore, the fact that a transaction is subject to an administrative or statutory exemption or transitional rule is not dispositive of whether the transaction is, in fact, a prohibited transaction.

Exemption

On accordance with section 408(a) of the Act and section 4975(c)(2) of the Code and the procedures set forth in ERISA Procedure 75–1 (40 FR 18471, April 28, 1975), and based upon the entire record, the Department makes the following determinations:

(a) The exemption is administratively feasible;

(b) It is in the interests of the Plan and of its participants and beneficiaries; and

(c) It is protective of the rights of the participants and beneficiaries of the Plan.

Accordingly, the restrictions of section 406(a), 406(b)(1) and (b)(2) and 407(a) of the Act and the taxes imposed by section 4975(a) and (b) of the Code, by reason of section 4975(c)(1)(A) through (E) of the Code shall not apply to both the proposed sale of the real property at 13707 West Jackson Street, Woodstock, Illinois by the Partnership to the Trust and to the proposed lease of that real property by the Trust to the Employer, if such sale and lease are according to the terms set forth in the application for exemption.

The availability of this exemption is subject to the express conditions that the material facts and representations contained in the application are true and complete, and that the application accurately describes all material terms of the transaction to be consummated pursuant to this exemption.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 25th day of February, 1981.

Ian D. Lanoff,

Administrator, Pension and Welfare Benefit Programs, Labor-Management Services Administration, U.S. Department of Labor.

[FR Doc. 81-6646 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-29-M

[Application No. D-2389]

Proposed Exemption for Certain Transactions Involving Merrill Lynch Realty Management, Inc., Located in New York City, New York

AGENCY: Department of Labor.

ACTION: Notice of proposed exemption.

SUMMARY: This document contains a notice of pendency before the Department of Labor (the Department) of a proposed exemption from certain of the prohibited transaction restrictions of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (the Act) and the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 (the Code). The proposed exemption involves certain aspects of the proposed provision of real estate services by Merrill Lynch Realty Management, Inc. (Merrill Lynch Realty) and its affiliates to banking and other financial institutions with trust powers (Banking Institutions) maintaining collective investment funds in which employee benefit plans invest. The proposed exemption, if granted, would affect Merrill Lynch Realty and its affiliates, the Banking Institutions and the participants and beneficiaries of the employee benefit plans that are invested in the collective investment funds.

DATES: Written comments and requests for a public hearing must be received by the Department on or before April 13, 1981.

ADDRESS: All written comments and requests for a hearing (at least three copies) should be sent to the Office of Fiduciary Standards, Pension and Welfare Benefit Programs, Room C–4526, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20216, Attention: Application No. D–2389. The application for exemption and the comments received will be available for public inspection in the Public Documents Room of Pension and Welfare Benefit Programs, U.S. Department of Labor, Room N–4677, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20216.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Richard Small of the Department, telephone (202) 523–8881. (This is not a toll-free number.)

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Notice is hereby given of the pendency before the Department of an application for exemption from the restrictions of section 406(b) of the Act and from the sanctions resulting from the application of section 4975 of the Code, by reason of section 4975(c)(1) (E) and (F) of the Code. The proposed exemption was requested in an application filed by Merrill Lynch Realty and its affiliates, pursuant to section 408(a) of the Act and section 4975(c)(2) of the Code, and in accordance with procedures set forth in ERISA Procedure 75–1 (40 FR 18471, April 28, 1975). Effective December 31, 1978, section 102 of Reorganization Plan No. 4 of 1978 (43 FR 47713, October 17, 1978) transferred the authority of the Secretary of the Treasury to issue exemptions of the type requested to the Secretary of Labor. Therefore, this notice of pendency is issued solely by the Department.

Summary of Facts and Representations

The application contains representations with regard to the proposed exemption which are summarized below. Interested persons are referred to the application on file with the Department for the complete representations of the applicant.

1. Merrill Lynch Realty is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Merrill Lynch, Hubbard Inc., which is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Merrill Lynch & Co. which is a corporation that provides diversified financial services. Merrill Lynch Realty provides a full line of real estate management services covering the full life cycle of properties or of the owner's involvement in a property. Such services include conceptual planning, zoning, development, evaluation of existing properties, physical and financial management, marketing, leasing, brokerage and disposition. In addition, on a case-by-case basis, Merrill Lynch Realty may evaluate, select and supervise on-site personnel; structure and manage rental and leasing programs; and direct the efforts of architects and builders in the construction phases of developing a property. Merrill Lynch Realty's scope encompasses properties in many locations around the country, including office buildings, shopping centers, apartment buildings, motels, primary residential communities, and land. Merrill Lynch Realty has formed and will continue to form a number of subsidiaries throughout the United States. The state-by-state incorporation of subsidiaries of Merrill Lynch Realty is used to facilitate compliance with the real estate laws in the jurisdictions in

which Merrill Lynch Realty conducts its activities. The wholly-owned subsidiaries of Merrill Lynch Realty provide essentially the same services as Merrill Lynch Realty.

2. Banking Institutions are becoming increasingly interested in investing in real estate because their employee pension benefit plan trust department customers are interested in diversifying their investments into real estate. Because of the difficulties encountered in connection with the direct ownership of real estate by employee pension benefit plans, plan fiduciaries are exhibiting an interest in participating in Banking Institution-maintained collective investment funds (Collective Investment Funds) that invest in real estate.

3. Numerous Banking Institutions have indicated to Merrill Lynch Realty that they would like to establish Collective Investment Funds for the purpose of investing in real estate, but believe they do not have the staffing necessary to search the country for acceptable properties. Customarily, this function is provided by licensed real estate brokers. Merrill Lynch Realty represents that the general solicitation of brokers might result in a significant number of submissions and inquiries from brokers requesting an opportunity to present properties, many of which may not conform to such Banking Institutions' investment guidelines. Merrill Lynch Realty represents that it would be more efficient, and effective for such banking institutions to engage a broker with national and international contracts on an exclusive basis. An exclusive brokerage arrangement will permit the exclusive broker to screen properties and to make an initial determination with respect to which properties would be brought to the Banking Institution's attention in accordance with guidelines established by the Banking Institution.

4. Merrill Lynch Realty and its affiliates are requesting an exemption that would allow them to enter into an agreement (the Proposed Agreement) with Banking Institutions which are independent of Merrill Lynch Realty and its affiliates in which Merrill Lynch Realty and its affiliates would serve as the Banking Institution's exclusive real estate broker for such Banking Institution's Collective Investment Funds and to be compensated for such brokerage.

5. Pursuant to the Proposed Agreement, in the event that the Banking Institution purchases a property which was brought to its attention by Merrill Lynch Realty, the latter will receive standard negotiated brokerage fees from the seller of such property. In the event that Merrill Lynch Realty does not receive a brokerage fee from the seller, under certain circumstances, the Banking Institution may determine that the Collective Investment Fund will pay the brokerage fee. If the Banking Institution decides to sell a property held by its Collective Investment Fund, Merrill Lynch Realty will be called upon to find a purchaser and, in such case, it will be paid a fee for such brokerage by the Collective Investment Fund.

6. A Banking Institution entering into the Proposed Arrangement with Merrill Lynch Realty and its affiliates will establish written investment guidelines (Investment Guidelines) for its Collective Investment Fund. Neither Merrill Lynch Realty nor any affiliate will be involved in the formulation of such Investment Guidelines. If Merrill Lynch Realty is presented with Investment Guidelines it determines to be inappropriate, Merrill Lynch Realty will suggest that the Banking Institution retain the services of another broker in lieu of Merrill Lynch Realty. Pursuant to the Proposed Agreement, Merrill Lynch Realty will use its best efforts to locate and bring to the attention of the Banking Institution properties which appear to meet the Investment Guidelines. In connection with its presentation of such properties to the banking institution, Merrill Lynch Realty will provide the Banking Institution with the information the Banking Institution deems necessary for it to determine whether such property should be acquired. In addition, Merrill Lynch Realty will make certain disclosures. To the extent that Merrill Lynch Realty is aware that it or any affiliate is an affiliate of the seller, such relationship will be brought to the attention of the Banking Institution. Similarly, Merrill Lynch Realty will disclose whether it or any affiliate is a service provider with respect to the Property, who will be paying the brokerage commission and whether any portion of such commission will be shared with an affiliated or non-affiliated cooperating broker.

7. Although Merrill Lynch Realty will present properties to the Banking Institution, the Proposed Agreement specifically provides that the Banking Institution will not ask Merrill Lynch Realty to recommend any property as being appropriate or inappropriate for the Collective Investment Fund or to otherwise advise as to the value of any property. Merrill Lynch Realty Management will merely submit properties to the Banking Institution (along with the information required by such Banking Institution and the appropriate disclosures) for review and approval or rejection. The Proposed Agreement provides that the Banking Institution, in its sole discretion, must determine whether or not the property is an appropriate investment for its Collective Investment Fund. The applicants are not requesting relief from section 406(a) of the Act. The applicants represent that the proposed transactions are exempted from section 406(a) of the Act by the statutory exemption provided by section 408(b)(2) of the Act. Notwithstanding the above, Merrill Lynch Realty represents that it may be deemed to be a fiduciary as defined in section 3(21)(A) of the Act with respect to the employee benefit plans that invest in the Collective Investment Funds and therefore may require relief from section 406(b) of the Act.

8. Merrill Lynch Realty represents that it is a sophisticated entity with a considerable amount of expertise in the real estate industry and that the requested exemption is in the interests of plans participating in Collective Investment Funds because the proposed arrangement will permit employee benefit plans to indirectly invest in real estate without committing a disproportionate amount of plan assets in real estate in a particular district or in a particular class of property. In addition to providing diversification, employee benefit plans will be able to participate in the real estate market with the benefit of experts in the acquisition and disposition of real estate and in the management thereof. Merrill Lynch Realty further represents that (1) it is subject to state laws and the rules and regulations relating to the conduct of real estate brokerage activities; (2) Banking Institutions maintaining Collective Investment Funds in which employee benefit plans participate are fiduciaries subject to the rules and regulations of the Act; and (3) the Collective Investment Funds are subject to the requirements of Section 9.18 of Regulation 9 of the Comptroller of the Currency and either Section 501(a) or Section 585 of the Code.

9. In summary, the applicants represent that the proposed transactions meet the statutory criteria for an exemption under section 408(a) of the Act as follows (1) the terms of the transactions will be negotiated at arm's length between two unrelated and sophisticated parties; (2) the Banking Institution will make an independent determination whether or not such transactions will be entered into; (3) Merrill Lynch Realty and its affiliates are subject to the state laws and rules and regulations relating to the conduct of real estate brokerage activities; (4)

the Collective Investment Funds are subject to the rules and regulations of the Act and certain banking regulations; and (5) it will allow certain employee benefit plans to invest in real estate by using Collective Investment Funds.

Notice to Interested Persons

This notice of pendency will constitute the only notice to interested persons because the identity of the interested persons that will be affected by the proposed exemption is not known at this time.

General Information

The attention of interested persons is directed to the following: (1) The fact that a transaction is the subject of an exemption under section 408(a) of the Act and section 4975(c)(2) of the Code does not relieve a fiduciary or other party in interest or disqualified person from certain other provisions of the Act and the Code, including any prohibited transaction provisions to which the exemption does not apply and the general fiduciary responsibility provisions of section 404 of the Act, which among other things require a fiduciary to discharge his duties respecting the plan solely in the interest of the participants and beneficiaries of the plan and in a prudent fashion in accordance with section 404(a)(1)(B) of the Act; nor does it affect the requirement of section 401(a) of the Code that the plan must operate for the exclusive benefit of the employees of the employer maintaining the plan and their beneficiaries;

(2) The proposed exemption, if granted, will not extent to transactions prohibited under section 406(a) of the Act and section 4975(c)(1)(A) through (D) of the Code;

(3) Before an exemption may be granted under section 408(a) of the Act and section 4975(c)(2) of the Code, the Department must find that the exemption is administratively feasible, in the interests of the plan and of its participants and beneficiaries and protective of the rights of participants and beneficiaries of the plan; and

(4) The proposed exemption, if granted, will be supplemental to, and not in derogation of, any other provisions of the Act and the Code, including statutory or administrative exemptions and transitional rules. Furthermore, the fact that a transaction is subject to an administrative or statutory exemption is not dispositive of whether the transaction is in fact a prohibited transaction.

Written Comments and Hearing Requests

All interested persons are invited to submit written comments or requests for a hearing on the pending exemption to the address above, within the time period set forth above. All comments will be made a part of the record. Comments and requests for a hearing should state the reasons for the writer's interest in the pending exemption. Comments received will be available for public inspection with the application for exemption at the address set forth above.

Proposed Exemption

Based on the facts and representations set forth in the application, the Department is considering granting the requested exemption under the authority of section 408(a) of the Act and section 4975(c)(2) of the Code and in accordance with the procedures set forth in ERISA Procedure 75-1 (40 FR 18471, April 28, 1975). If the exemption is granted, the restrictions of section 406(b) of the Act and the sanctions resulting from the application of section 4975 of the Code, by reason of section 4975(c)(1)(E) and 4975(c)(1)(F) of the Code shall not apply to the provision of real estate brokerage services as described above and the receipt of commission with respect to such services by Merrill Lynch Realty and its affiliates.

The proposed exemption, if granted, will be subject to the express conditions that the material facts and representations contained in the application are true and complete, and that the application accurately describes all material terms of the transaction to be consummated pursuant to the exemption.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 24th day of February, 1981.

Ian D. Lanoff,

Administrator, Pension and Welfare Benefit Programs, Labor-Management Services Administration, Department of Labor.

[FR Doc. 81-6647 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-29-M

Office of the Secretary

[TA-W-9488]

Mallory Buick Co.; Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor herein presents the results of an investigation regarding certification of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance.

In order to make an affirmative determination and issue a certification of eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance each of the group eligibility requirements of section 222 of the Act must be met.

(1) that a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated.

(2) that sales or production, or both, of the firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely.

(3) that increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by the firm or appropriate subdivision have contributed importantly to the separations, or threat thereof, and to the absolute decline in sales or production.

The investigation was initiated on July 21, 1980 in response to a petition which was filed by the International Association of Machinists and Aerospace Workers on behalf of workers at Mallory Buick Company, St. Louis, Missouri. Workers at the firm sell and service automobiles.

The investigation revealed that criterion (3) has not been met.

As a general rule, workers may not be certified as eligible to apply for worker adjustment assistance if the firm in which they are employed does not produce an article within the meaning of Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974. *See, e.g., Fortin* v. *Marshall*, 608 F.2d 525 (1st Cir. 1979). However, such workers may be certified if their separation from employment was caused importantly by a reduced demand for their services from a firm which produces an article and which is related to the service worker's firm by ownership or by a substantial degree of proprietary control, or if the workers are determined to be *de facto* (according to the facts of the case) employees of the producing firm. In addition, the reduction in demand for services must be determined to have originated at a production facility whose workers independently meet the statutory criteria for certification, and that reduction must directly relate to the product adversely affected by increased imports.

During the course of investigations covering 20 General Motors Corporation assembly plants it was determined that GM's production of various mid-size, standard and luxury/specialty cars, vans, and light-duty trucks was adversely affected by increasing imports of like or directly competitive vehicles

(TA-W-6783, 6917, 6999-7000, 7009, 7015-16, 7059, 7071, 7073-76, 7078-82, 8613 and 9570).

Average employment at Mallory Buick Company (majority stockholder General Motors Corporation) increased from 1978 to 1979. Compared to the same quarter of the previous year, employment either increased or remained the same in each quarter of 1979 and in the first quarter of 1980. Mallory Buick Company did not experience a significant decline in its average employment until the April through July period of 1980, compared with the same months in 1979. The sales decline which Mallory Buick Company experienced during those months occurred in the sales of used cars, parts and service. New car sales remained virtually unchanged during that period. Consequently, no significant connection can be established between Mallory Buick Company's employment decline and production declines at the assembly plants of General Motors Corporation.

Conclusion

After careful review, I determine that all workers of Mallory Buick Company, St. Louis, Missouri are denied eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 23rd day of February 1981.

C. Michael Aho,

Director, Office of Foreign Economic Research.

[FR Doc. 81-6797 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-28-M

[TA-W-8428]

Star Watch Case Co. Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustmemt Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor herein presents the results of an investigation regarding certification of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance.

In order to make an affirmative determination and issue a certification of eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Act must be met.

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, have become totally or partially separate, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated.

(2) That sales or production, or both, of the firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely.

(3) That increase of imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by the firm or appropriate subdivision have contributed importantly to the separations, or threat thereof, and to the absolute decline in sales or production.

The investigation was initiated on June 2, 1980 in response to a petition which was filed by the Allied Industrial Workers of America on behalf of workers at Star Watch Case Company, Ludington, Michigan. Workers at the company produce watch cases.

The investigation revealed that criterion (3) has not been met.

The petitioners allege that imports of watches have adversely affeted sales, production and employment at Star Watch Case Company.

Although imported watches incorporate watch cases imports of the whole product are not like or directly competitive with their component parts. Imports of watch cases must be considered in determining import injury to workers producing watch cases at the Star Watch Case Company.

Declines in production and employment at the company in 1979 can be attributed to disruptions caused by the sale of the company and the implementation of changes instituted by the new management. Another contributing factor to the decline was the loss of important customers which went out of the watch making business in 1979.

Sales of watch cases by Star increased in the first 10 months of 1980 compared to the first 10 monts of 1979, following the changes in company ownership and management. Sales increased each quarter of 1980 when compared with the previous quarter.

Conclusion

After careful review, I determine that all workers of Star Watch Case Company, Ludington, Michigan are denied eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 25th day of February 1981.

James F. Taylor,

Director, Office of Management Administration and Planning.

[FR Doc. 81-6798 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-28-M

[TA-W-8750; 9455; 9987; 10,021; 10,637]

United Technologies Corp., Automotive Products Division; Certifications Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor herein presents the results of investigations regarding certification of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance.

In order to make an affirmative determination and issue a certification of eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance, each of the group eligibility requirements of section 222 of the Act must be met. It is determined in this case that all of the requirements have been met.

The investigations were initiated on June 16, July 21, August 11, and September 8, 1980 in response to petitions which were filed by the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers on behalf of workers at the plants of the Automotive Products Division of United Technologies Corporation listed in the Appendix. The workers of these plants produce(d) automotive wire harnesses.

U.S. imports of automotive wire harnesses increased both absolutely and relative to domestic production in 1979 compared to 1978, and increased absolutely in the January-June period of 1980 compared to the same period of 1979.

Division imports of automotive wire harnesses increased in value both absolutely and relative to total division sales of automotive wire harnesses in 1979 compared to 1978. The Division opened another foreign plant in June 1980. Division imports increased in value both absolutely and relative to total division sales in the July-August period of 1980 compared to the same period of 1979. Division imports of automotive wire harnesses were a significant proportion of total division sales in 1978, 1979 and the first eight months of 1980.

The Ruston, Louisiana plant closed in May 1980. The Wauseon, Ohio plant closed in March 1980 and was sold in September 1980.

Conclusion

After careful review of the facts obtained in the investigation, I conclude that increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with automotive wire harnesses produced at the plants of

the Automotive Products Division of United Technologies Corporation listed in the Appendix contributed importantly to the decline in sales or production and to the total or partial separation of workers at those plants. In accordance with the provisions of the Act, I make the following certification:

All workers at the plants of the Automotive Products Division of United Technologies Corporation listed in the Appendix who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after the impact dates and before the applicable termination date listed in the Appendix are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 24th day of February 1981.

C. Michael Aho,

Director, Office of Foreign Economic Research.

[FR Doc. 81-6799 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-28-M

[TA-W-10,920; 10,929; 11,496]

White Motor Co.; Negative Determinations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2273) the Department of Labor herein presents the results of investigations regarding certification of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance.

In order to make an affirmative determination and issue a certification of eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance each of the group eligibilty requirements of section 222 of the Act must be met.

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated.

(2) That sales or production, or both, of the firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely.

(3) That increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by the firm or appropriate subdivision have contributed importantly to the separations, or threat thereof, and to the absolute decline in sales or production.

The investigations were initiated on September 22 and October 27, 1980 in response to petitions which were filed by the United Automobile, Aerospace and Agricultural Implement Workers of America on behalf of workers at the Cleveland, Ohio; Farmington Hills, Michigan; and Eastlake, Ohio facilities of White Motor Corporation.

Workers at the Cleveland, Ohio facility are engaged in the minor fabrication and warehousing of heavy-duty truck parts. Workers at the Farmington Hills, Michigan and Eastlake, Ohio facilities perform a variety of administrative functions for White Motor Corporation.

The investigation revealed that, with respect to the Cleveland, Ohio facility of White Motor Corporation, criterion (3) has not been met.

The Cleveland, Ohio facility of White Motor Corporation is engaged in the warehousing and minor fabrication of heavy-duty truck parts for the replacement market. At one time the Cleveland facility was a major truck assembly facility of White Motor Corporation. In 1978 heavy-duty truck assembly operations were terminated at the Cleveland facility and transferred to White's Dublin, Virginia assembly plant. Since early 1978 White has been in the process of terminating related parts production at the Cleveland facility. Some of the parts production has been or will be transferred to other facilities of White Motor Corporation. The remainder of the parts production will be replaced by outside domestic suppliers. White Motor Corporation did not import any of the truck parts which were produced by the Cleveland facility in 1979 and 1980.

Once the transfer of parts production has been completed the Cleveland, Ohio facility will serve primarily as a warehouse facility for White. The majority of the parts handled by the Cleveland, Ohio facility are produced by independent parts companies and not by White-owned plants which produce parts.

Petitioners allege that increased imports of trucks have contributed importantly to declines in sales, production and employment at the Cleveland, Ohio facility of White Motor Corporation. Although imported trucks incorporate truck parts, imports of the whole product are not like or directly competitive with their component parts. Imports of truck parts must be considered in determining import injury to workers producing truck parts at the Cleveland, Ohio facility of White Motor Corporation.

With respect to the Farmington Hills, Michigan facility of White Motor Corporation, criterion (1) has not been met.

White Motor Corporation opened its Farmington Hills, Michigan facility in November 1979. The facility serves as the corporate office for White Motor and performs a variety of administrative functions for the corporation. Compared to the previous quarter, employment at the Farmington Hills, Michigan facility increased during each of the first three quarters of 1980.

With respect to the Eastlake, Ohio facility of White Motor Corporation, criterion (3) has not been met.

As a general rule, workers may not be certified as eligible to apply for worker adjustment assistance if the firm in which they are employed does not produce an article within the meaning of Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974. *See, e.g., Fortin* v. *Marshall*, 608 F.2d 525 (1st Cir. 1979). However, such workers may be certified if their separation from employment was caused importantly by a reduced demand for their services from a firm which produces an article and which is related to the service workers' firm by ownership or by a substantial degree of proprietary control, or if the workers are determined to be *de facto* (according to the facts of the case) employees of the producing firm. In addition, the reduction in demand for services must be determined to have originated at a production facility whose workers independently meet the statutory criteria for certification, and that reduction must directly relate to the product adversely affected by increased imports.

In order to determine if increased imports contributed importantly to production and employment declines at administrative support facilities of White Motor Corporation, the Department sought to determine the degree to which each facility was integrated into the production of White Motor Corporation heavy-duty trucks. Where substantial integration was established the Department considered imports of "like or directly competitive" trucks in determining import injury to workers at administrative support facilities.

The Eastlake, Ohio facility performs a variety of administrative functions for White Motor Corporation, including sales and engineering services, in support of the corporation's heavy-duty truck assembly operations. Therefore the facility is substantially integrated into the corporations's production of heavy-duty trucks.

U.S. imports of heavy-duty trucks decreased in quantity absolutely and relative to domestic shipments in January–September 1980 compared to January–September 1979. U.S. imports amounted to a small proportion of total U.S. shipments in 1979 and the first nine months of 1980. U.S. exports of heavy-duty trucks significantly exceeded U.S. imports during the 1975–September 1980 period.

Declines in employment at the Eastlake, Ohio facility in 1979 and 1980 were the result of a general corporate reorganization by White Motor

Corporation. The corporate staff was transferred from Eastlake to the newly formed Farmington Hills, Michigan facility in November 1979. In May 1980 the truck group staff of Eastlake was eliminated. Most of these functions were transferred to White Motor's manufacturing plants. After the reorganization, only engineering and sales personnel remained at the Eastlake facility.

Conclusion

After careful review, I determine that all workers of the Cleveland, Ohio; Farmington Hills, Michigan; and Eastlake, Ohio facilities of White Motor Corporation are denied eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 24th day of February 1981.

C. Michael Aho,

Director, Office of Foreign Economic Research.

[FR Doc. 81-6600 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-28-M

MERIT SYSTEMS PROTECTION BOARD

Publication of Decisions

AGENCY: Merit Systems Protection Board.

ACTION: Notice of publication of decisions.

SUMMARY: The Merit Systems Protection Board announces the publication of the first two volumes of Merit Systems Protection Board decisions and accompanying index volume. The three-volume set of Decisions of the United States Merit Systems Protection Board and Index to Decisions of the United States Merit Systems Protection Board is available through the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402; Stock No. 062-000-0002-3; price: $27.00.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ada R. Kimsey, Director, Publications Division, Office of the Secretary, Room 220, 1717 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20419, 202-653-7130.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Covering the period January 11, 1979 through July 22, 1980, the publications consist of Board final actions taken after the issuance of an initial decision in an appeal from agency action, Board actions in cases where it has original jurisdiction, and precedential interlocutory actions. In most cases, the preceding initial or recommended decision is included. These cases are indexed in the accompanying multi-part index volume. All other final Board actions taken on behalf of the Board by its presiding officials in Board Field Offices are available on microfiche at the Board and its Field Offices.

Merit Systems Protection Board.

Ruth T. Prokop,

Chairwoman.

February 23, 1981.

[FR Doc. 81-6530 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7400-01-M

MINIMUM WAGE STUDY COMMISSION

Notice of Meeting

In accordance with Section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463), announcement is made of the following meeting:

Name: Minimum Wage Study Commission.
Date: March 26, 27 and possibly 28, 1981.
Place: 1430 K St. NW, Suite 700, Washington, DC.
Time: 10 a.m. on first day; other days at 9 a.m.

Original notification of this meeting appeared in the **Federal Register** February 6, 1981.

Proposed agenda:

(1) Presentation of preliminary integrated reports on:
(a) Income Distribution.
(b) Indexation.
(c) Inflation.
(d) Employment/Unemployment Effects.
(e) Executive, Administrative and Professional Exemptions.
(f) Agricultural Service Exemptions.

Next meeting of the Commission will be held April 8, 9 and possibly 10, 1981.

All communications regarding this Commission should be addressed to: Mr. Louis E. McConnell, Executive Director, 1430 K St. NW, Suite 500, Washington, DC 20005, telephone (202) 376-2450.

Louis E. McConnell,

Executive Director.

[FR Doc. 81-6792 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-23-M

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[Notice (81-23)]

NASA Advisory Council (NAC), Life Sciences Advisory Committee; Meeting

The NAC Life Sciences Advisory Committee (LSAC) will meet at the George Washington University on March 14, 1981. The meeting will take place from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. in Room 104/108 of the Government Building, 710 21st Street NW., Washington, DC 20009. A portion of the meeting will be open to the public up to the seating capacity of the room (approximately 50 persons including 10 Committee members and participants).

A closed Executive Session will be held to allow discussion of the compendium of experiments that is being considered for selection on the first dedicated Life Sciences Spacelab Mission. Although individual experiments have been evaluated and categorized, additional advice and counsel is being sought from the Committee on the merits of experiment combinations, the designation of lead and subordinate investigators and upon the value of the fully integrated payload. Throughout this session, the qualifications of the proposers will be candidly discussed and appraised. Since this session will be concerned throughout with matters listed in 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(6), it has been determined that this session should be closed to the public.

The Life Sciences Advisory Committee consults with and advises the Council and NASA on the accomplishments and plans of NASA's Life Sciences Programs. Following is the approved agenda for the meeting:

Agenda

March 14, 1981

8:30 a.m. to 8:45 a.m.—Introductory Remarks
9:00 a.m. to 9:45 a.m.—Assignments from Previous Meeting
9:45 a.m. to 10:00 a.m.—Planning for Overall Research Review
10:00 a.m. to 10:30 a.m.—Status of Payload
10:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.—Executive Session (Closed)

For further information please contact Dr. Paul Rambaut, Executive Secretary for the Committee, Code SBT-3, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, DC 20546, Telephone (202) 755-3723.

Gerald Griffin,

Acting Associate Administrator for External Relations.

February 25, 1981.

[FR Doc. 81-6650 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7510-01-M

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Alan T. Waterman Award Committee; Notice of Meeting

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act, P.L. 92-463, as amended, the National Science Foundation announces the following meeting:

Name: Alan T. Waterman Award Committee.
Date: March 31, 1981.

Time: 9 a.m. to 5 p.m.
Place: Room 642, National Science Foundation, 1800 G St., NW., Washington, D.C.
Type of meeting: Closed.
Contact person: Mrs. Lois J. Hamaty, Executive Secretary of the Alan T. Waterman Award Committee, National Science Foundation, Washington, D.C. 20550, telephone: 202–357–9471.
Purpose of committee: To provide advice and recommendations in the selection of the Alan T. Waterman Award recipient.
Agenda: To review nominations, with supporting documentation, as part of the selection process for the Award.
Reason for closing: The nominations being reviewed include information of a personal nature where disclosure would constitute unwarranted invasions of personal privacy. These matters are within exemption 6 of 5 U.S.C. 552b(c), Government in the Sunshine Act.
Authority to close meeting: The determination made on February 25, 1981, by the Director of the National Science Foundation pursuant to the provisions of Section 10(d) of P.L. 92–463.

M. Rebecca Winkler,

Committee Management Coordinator.

February 26, 1981.

[FR Doc. 81-6682 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-M

Committee on Equal Opportunities in Science and Technology; Notice of Establishment

Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act (P.L. 92–463), it is hereby determined that the establishment of the Committee on Equal Opportunities in Science and Technology is necessary, appropriate, and in the public interest in connection with the performance of the duties imposed upon the Director, National Science Foundation (NSF) by the National Science Foundation Act of 1950, as amended, and other applicable law.

Name of committee: Committee on Equal Opportunities in Science and Technology.

Statutory authority: The Committee was established by P.L. 96–516 (December 15, 1980) entitled "National Science Foundation Authorization and Science and Technology Equal Opportunities Act."

Purpose: To provide advice to the NSF concerning (1) implementation of the provisions of the Science and Technology Equal Opportunities Act (P.L. 96–516) and (2) other policies and activities of the Foundation to encourage full participation of women, minorities, and other groups currently underrepresented in scientific, engineering, professional, and technical fields.

Structure: There will be a subcommittee of the Committee known as the Subcommittee on Women in Science and Technology. This Subcommittee will have responsibility, under the cognizance of the full Committee, for all Committee matters relating to (1) the participation in and opportunities for education, training, and research for women in science and technology and (2) the impact of science and technology on Women. The Subcommittee will be composed primarily of women members of the committee and such other members of the Committee as the Chairperson of the Committee, with the concurrence of the Committee, may designate.

There will also be a subcommittee known as the Subcommittee on Minorities in Science and Technology which will have responsibility, under the cognizance of the full Committee, for all committee matters relating to (1) the participation in and opportunities for education, training, and research for minorities in science and technology and (2) the impact of science and technology on minorities. The Subcommittee will be composed primarily of minority members of the Committee and such other members of the Committee as the Chairperson of the Committee, with the concurrence of the Committee, may designate.

Effective date of establishment and duration: The Committee is effective upon filing the charter with the Director, NSF, and the standing committees of Congress having legislative jurisdiction of the Foundation. The Committee will operate on a continuing basis contingent upon its renewal every two years. The next renewal date for the Committee will be December 15, 1982, the date the Public Law was enacted.

Membership: The Committee will be composed of 12 to 15 members appointed by the Director, NSF, with the concurrence of the National Science Board. The Chairperson of the National Science Board Committee on Minorities and Women will be an *ex officio* member. Members will be broadly representative of the research and science education community. Because of the specialized nature of the Committee, a significant number of women and minority group individuals will be appointed members. Professional stature and familiarity with the National Science Foundation and its programs will be considered in selecting Committee members.

Operation: The Committee will operate in accordance with provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (P.L. 92–463); NSF policy and procedures, OMB Circular No. A–63, Revised, and other directives and instructions issued in implementation of the Act.

John B. Slaughter,

Director.

February 25, 1981.

[FR Doc. 81-6693 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-M

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

[Proposed License No. 07/07–5082]

Central Systems Equity Corporation; Application for a License To Operate as a Section 301(d) Licensee

Notice is hereby given that an application has been filed with the Small Business Administration (SBA) pursuant to Section 107.102 of the Regulations governing Section 301(d) Licensees (13 CFR Section 107.102 (1980)), under the name of Central Systems Equity Corporation, 350 West Douglas, Wichita, Kansas 67202, for a license to operate as a Section 301(d) Licensee under the provisions of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958, as amended (the Act) (15 U.S.C. 661 et seq.), and the Rules and Regulations promulgated thereunder.

The proposed officers, directors and shareholders of the Applicant are as follows:

Clarence E. Wesley, 4819 N. Harding, Wichita, Kansas 67220, President, General Manager, Director, .031 percent
Anthony R. Hardrick, 1601½ N. Hillside, Wichita, Kansas 67214, Assistant General Manager
Bobbye T. Humphrey, 2323 N. Woodlawn, Wichita, Kansas 67220, Vice President, Director, .001 percent
Otis G. Milton, 3845 N. Clarence Avenue, Wichita, Kansas 67204, Secretary, Treasurer, Director, .002 percent
Brent A. Berry, 7019 E. 14th Street, Wichita, Kansas 67206, Director, .001 percent
Wendell M. Smith, 6404 Jacqueline, Wichita, Kansas 67206, Director, .001 percent
Johnie P. Nulan, 1435 N. Platt, Wichita, Kansas 67214, Director, .001 percent
Robert T. Cornwell, 23 Chisholm Creek, 3900 N. Woodlawn, Wichita, Kansas 67220, Director
Central Systems Development Co., Inc., 350 W. Douglas, Wichita, Kansas 67202, 71.9 percent
Wilson Propane Wholesale, Employee Stock Ownership Trust, 125 N. Market, Suite 1600, Wichita, Kansas 67202, 23.8 percent

There will be two classes of stock authorized: two hundred thousand shares of $10 par common, and twenty thousand shares of $100 par preferred. Initially, 105,000 shares of common stock will be issued with a resultant private capital of $1,050,000. Applicant proposes

to conduct its operations principally in the State of Kansas.

As a Section 301(d) Licensee the investment policy of the Applicant will be limited to making investments solely in small concerns which will contribute to a well balanced national economy by facilitating ownership in such concerns by persons whose participation in the free enterprise system is hampered because of social or economic disadvantage.

Matters involved in SBA's consideration of the application include the general business reputation and character of shareholders and management, and the probability of successful operation of the new company in accordance with the Act and Regulations.

Notice is further given that any person may, not later than March 18, 1981, submit to SBA, in writing, comments on the proposed licensing of this company. Any such communications should be addressed to: Acting Associate Administrator for Investment, Small Business Administration, 1441 "L" Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20416.

A copy of this notice shall be published by the Applicant in a newspaper of general circulation in Wichita, Kansas.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 59.011, Small Business Investment Companies)

Dated: February 24, 1981.

Peter F. McNeish,

Acting Associate Administrator for Investment.

[FR Doc. 81-6722 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8025-01-M

[License No. 04/04-5177]

Metro Capital Corp.; Issuance of License

On November 14, 1980, a Notice was published in the **Federal Register** (45 FR 75412) stating that an application had been filed by Metro Capital Corporation, 5403 Aloha Place, Holiday, Florida 33570, with the Small Business Administration pursuant to Section 107.102 of the Regulations governing small business investment companies (SBICs).

Interested parties were given until the close of business December 1, 1980, to submit their comments to SBA. No comments were received.

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to Section 301(d) of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958, as amended, after having considered the application and all other pertinent information, the SBA issued License No. 04/04-5177 to Metro Capital Corporation, to operate as a Section 301(d)SBIC.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 59.011, Small Business Investment Companies)

Dated: February 24, 1981.

Peter F. McNeish,

Acting Associate Administrator for Investment.

[FR Doc. 81-6770 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8025-01-M

[Application No. 05/05-5152]

20th Century S.B.I.C., Inc.; Application for a License To Operate as a Small Business Investment Company

An application for a license to operate as a small business investment company under the provisions of Section 301(d) of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958, as amended (15 U.S.C. 661 *et seq.*), has been filed by 20th Century S.B.I.C., Inc. (20th Century), with the Small Business Administration (SBA), pursuant to 13 CFR 107.102 (1980).

The officers, directors and principal stockholders of 20th Century are as follows:

David W. Mays III, 3616 Barham Blvd., Los Angeles, CA 90068, President, Director, 9.5%

Toby J. Bryant, 4560 Don Milagro Dr., Los Angeles, CA 90008, Vice President, Director, 9.5%

James O. Clark, DDS, 19901 N. Park Blvd., Shaker Heights, OH 44122, Chief Financial Officer, Director, 71.5%

James H. Biggins, 26773 Sweetbriar Dr., North Olmsted, OH 44070, Secretary, Director, 9.5%

20th Century, an Ohio corporation, with its principal place of business located at 11811 Shaker Boulevard, #426, Cleveland, Ohio 44120, will begin operations with $500,000 of net combined paid-in capital and paid-in surplus derived from the sale of common stock to management.

20th Century will conduct its activities principally in the States of California, Ohio and Texas.

As a small business investment company under Section 301(d) of the Act, the Applicant has been organized and chartered solely for the purpose of performing the functions and conducting the activities contemplated under the Small Business Investment Act of 1958, as amended, from time to time, and will provide assistance solely to small business concerns which will contribute to a well-balanced national economy by facilitating ownership in such concerns by persons whose participation in the free enterprise system is hampered because of social or economic disadvantages.

Matters involved in SBA's consideration of the Applicant include the general business reputation and character of the proposed owners and management, and the probability of successful operation of the Applicant under this management, including adequate profitability and financial soundness, in accordance with the Small Business Investment Act and SBA Rules and Regulations.

Notice is hereby given that any person may, not later than 15 days from the date of publication of this notice, submit to SBA written comments on the proposed Applicant. Any such communication should be addressed to the Acting Associate Administrator for Investment, Small Business Administration, 1441 L Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20416.

A copy of this notice shall be published in a newspaper of general circulation in Cleveland, Ohio and Los Angeles, California.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 59.011, Small Business Investment Companies)

Dated: February 24, 1981.

Peter F. McNeish,

Acting Associate Administrator.

[FR Doc. 81-6771 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8025-11-M

Region IX Advisory Council; Public Meeting

The U.S. Small Business Administration Region IX Advisory Council, located in the geographical area of Las Vegas, Nevada, will hold a public meeting from 10:00 a.m. to 12 Noon, on Tuesday, March 31, 1981, at the U.S. Small Business Administration, 301 E. Stewart Street, Las Vegas, Nevada, to discuss such business as may be presented by members, the staff of the U.S. Small Business Administration and others attending.

For further information, write or call Robert S. Garrett, District Director, U.S. Small Business Administration, 301 E. Stewart, Las Vegas, Nevada 89101—(702) 385-6611.

Dated: February 24, 1981.

Robert P. O'Malley,

Executive Director, Office of Advisory Councils.

[FR Doc. 81-6773 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8025-01-M

Region X Advisory Council; Public Meeting

The U.S. Small Business Administration Region X Advisory

Council, located in the geographical area of Boise, Idaho, will hold a public meeting at 9:30 a.m. (MDT), on Tuesday, March, 24, 1981, at the Royal Restaurant "Executive Room", 1112 Main Street, Boise, Idaho, to discuss such business as may be presented by members, the staff of the U.S. Small Business Administration, and others attending.

For further information, write or call Verne A. Leighton, District Director, U.S. Small Business Administration, 1005 Main Street, Boise, Idaho 83702—(208) 334–1096.

Dated: February 24, 1981.

Robert P. O'Malley,

Executive Director, Office of Advisory Councils.

[FR Doc. 81-6774 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8025-01-M

Region X Advisory Council; Public Meeting

The U.S. Small Business Administration Region X Advisory Council, located in the geographical area of Portland, Oregon, will hold a public meeting at 9:30 a.m., on Wednesday, March 25, 1981, in the Third Floor Conference Room of the Orbanco Building, at 1001 Southwest Fifth Avenue, Portland, Oregon, to discuss such business as may be presented by members, the staff of the U.S. Small Business Administration and others attending.

For further information, write or call Peter A. Plumridge, Acting District Director, U.S. Small Business Administration, 1220 Southwest Third Avenue, Room 676, Portland, Oregon 97204—(503)–221–3451.

Dated: February 24, 1981.

Robert P. O'Malley,

Executive Director, Office of Advisory Councils.

[FR Doc. 81-6775 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8025-01-M

Region X Advisory Council; Public Meeting

The U.S. Small Business Administration Region X Advisory Council, located in the geographical area of Spokane, Washington, will hold a public meeting at 9:00 a.m. (PST) on Monday, March 23, 1981, at the Flamingo Restaurant, North 5005 Division Street, Spokane, Washington, to discuss such business as may be presented by members, the staff of the U.S. Small Business Administration and others attending.

For further information, call or write Valmer W. Cameron, District Director, U.S. Small Business Administration, U.S. Court House, Room 651, Post Office Box 2167, Spokane, Washington 99210—(509) 456–3781.

Dated: February 24, 1981.

Robert P. O'Malley,

Executive Director, Office of Advisory Councils.

[FR Doc. 81-6776 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8025-01-M

Region IV Advisory Council; Public Meeting

The U.S. Small Business Administration Region IV Advisory Council, located in the geographical area of Atlanta, Georgia, will hold a public meeting from 2 p.m. on Thursday, March 26, 1981, to 12 Noon on Friday, March 27, 1981, at the Ramada Inn, 2505 N. Slappey Boulevard, Albany, Georgia, to discuss such matters as may be presented by members, staff of the U.S. Small Business Administration, or others attending.

For further information, write or call Clarence B. Barnes, District Director, U.S. Small Business Administration, 1720 Peachtree Road NW., 6th Floor, Atlanta, Georgia 30309—(404) 881–4749.

Dated: February 26, 1981.

Robert P. O'Malley,

Executive Director, Office of Advisory Councils.

[FR Doc. 81-6802 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8025-01-M

Region IV Advisory Council; Public Meeting

The U.S. Small Business Administration Region IV Advisory Council, located in the geographical area of Jackson, Mississippi, will hold a public meeting at 9 a.m., (CST) on Thursday, April 2, 1981, in Room 707 Federal Building, 100 West Capitol Street, Jackson, Mississippi, to discuss such business as may be presented by members, the staff of the U.S. Small Business Administration, and others attending.

For further information, write or call Sam M. Baker, District Director, U.S. Small Business Administration, Suite 322 Federal Building, 100 West Capitol Street, Jackson, Mississippi 39201, (601) 960–4363.

Dated: February 26, 1981.

Robert P. O'Malley,

Executive Director, Office of Advisory Councils.

[FR Doc. 81-6803 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8025-01-M

Region IV Advisory Council; Public Meeting

The U.S. Small Business Administration Region IV Advisory Council, located in the geographical area of Nashville, Tennessee, will hold a public meeting at 9:00 a.m., on Wednesday, April 1, 1981, at Fayetteville Country Club, Fayetteville, Tennessee, to discuss such matters as may be presented by members, staff of the U.S. Small Business Administration, and others attending.

For further information, write or call W. J. Shaver, District Director, U.S. Small Business Administration, Suite 1012 Parkway Towers, 404 James Robertson Parkway, Nashville, Tennessee 37219—(615)251–5850.

Dated: February 26, 1981.

Robert P. O'Malley,

Executive Director Office of Advisory Councils.

[FR Doc. 81-6805 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8025-01-M

Region VII Advisory Council; Public Meeting

The U.S. Small Business Administration Region VII Advisory Council, located in the geographical area of Kansas City, Missouri, will hold a public meeting at 9:30 a.m., on Thursday, March 26, 1981, in the Decision Center, Chamber of Commerce Building, 320 North Jefferson, Springfield, Missouri, to discuss such matters as may be presented by members, staff of the U.S. Small Business Administration, or others attending.

For further information, write or call Patrick E. Smythe, Acting District Director, U.S. Small Business Administration, 1150 Grand Avenue, 5th Floor, Kansas City, Missouri (816) 374–5557.

Dated: February 26, 1981.

Robert P. O'Malley,

Executive Director, Office of Advisory Councils.

[FR Doc. 81-6804 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8025-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

[Public Debt Series—No. 5–81]

Series N–1983 Notes, Interest Rates

The Secretary announced on February 24, 1981, that the interest rate on the notes designated Series N–1983, described in Department Circular-Public Debt Series-No. 5–81, dated February 18, 1981, will be 13⅞ percent. Interest on

the notes will be payable at the rate of 13⅞ percent pre annum.

Supplementary Statement

The announcement set forth above does not meet the Department's criteria for significant regulations and, accordingly, may be published without compliance with the departmental procedures applicable to such regulations.

Paul H. Taylor,

Fiscal Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 81-6650 Filed 3-3-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810-40-M

OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

Positions Which Were Career Reserved During 1980

AGENCY: Office of Personnel Management.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: As required by the Civil Service Reform Act of 178 this gives notice of all positions in the Senior Executive Service (SES) that were career reserved during 1980.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Anne A. Andrews, Executive Personnel and Management Development, (202) 632-6820.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Below is a list of titles of SES positions that were designated career reserved any time in 1980, whether or not they were still career reserved on December 31, 1980. Section 3132(b)(4) of title 5 U.S.C. requires that the head of each agency publish the list by March 1 of the following year; OPM is publishing the list for all agencies.

OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT,

Beverly McCain Jones,

Issuance System Manager.

Positions That Were Career Reserved During Calendar Year 1980

Agency and organization	Career reserved positions
ACTION:	
Ofc of the Director	Budget Officer.
Ofc Assoc Dir for Domestic and Anti-Poverty Operations.	Director—Accounting Division.
Administrative Conference of the U.S.	Executive Director.
	Executive Secy.
	Research Director.
Advisory Council on Historic Preservation: Ofc of the Exec Director.	Princ Dep Exec Dir.
	Dep Exec Dir.
Department of Agriculture:	
Ofc of the Inspector General.	Asst Inspector General for Investigations.
	Dep Asst Inspector General for Investigation.
	Asst Inspector General for Auditing.
	Dep Asst Inspector General for Auditing.
	Asst Inspector Gen for Planning Res and Eval.
Office of Asst Sec'y Administration.	Assistant Secretary for Administration.
Office of Operations and Finance.	Dep Dir for Administrative Services.
Farmers Home Administration.	Dep Admr—Financial and Administrative Opers.
	Dir, Finance Ofc.
	Asst Dep Admin for Mgt.
Rural Electrification Administration.	Asst Admr—Mgmt.
	Financial Mgr (Director) REA.
	Director Distribution Systems Division.
	Director Power Supply Division.
Agricultural Marketing Service.	Deputy Administrator, Management.
	Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division.
	Director, Cotton Division.
	Director, Dairy Division.
	Dir—Livestock Poultry Grain and Seed Division.
	Dir Warehouse Division
	Director, Tobacco Division.
Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.	Dep Admr for Management.
	Asst Dep Admr for Internal and Emergency Progs.
Veterinary Services	Asst Dep Admr—Animal Health Progs—Vet Serv.
	Asst Dep Admr, Inmatl Prog, Vet Services.
	Dir, Veterinary Services Laboratories, Ames.
	Dir, Nat Prog PLanning Staffs, Vet Services.
	Co—Dir, U.S./Mexico Screwworm Comm.
	Director, Northern Region.
	Dir, SE Region, Veterinary Services.
	Dir, Natl Brucellusis Eradication Program.
Plant Protection and Quarantine Service.	Dir, Nat Prog Plan Staff, Plant Prot and Quarantine.
	Dir, SE Region, Plant Prot and Quarantine.

Positions That Were Career Reserved During Calendar Year 1980—Continued

Agency and organization	Career reserved positions
	Asst Dep Admr for Natl Programs, PPQ.
	Director Northeastern Region.
Federal Grain Inspection Service.	Asst Dep Admr—Program Operations.
	Asst Dep Admin—Program Opers.
Food and Nutrition Service.	Dep Admr—Financial Mgmt (Comptroller).
	Deputy Admr for Management.
Food Safety and Quality Service.	Asst Dep Admr Technical Services.
	Dir, Poultry and Dairy Quality Div.
	Dep Admr—Administrative Mgmt.
	Dir, Technical Service Staff.
	Dir Northeast Region, Phila., Pa.
	Regl Director, Atlanta, Georgia.
	Dir, North Central Region, Des Moines, Iowa.
	Director, Southwestern Region, Dallas, Texas.
	Dir, Western Region, Alameda, California.
	Dir Fruit and Vegetable Quality Div FSQS.
	Assistant Deputy Admr Complisnce FSQS.
	Dir Meat Quality Div FSQS.
	Director of Operations MPI-FO FSQS.
	Assistant Deputy Admr Science FSQS.
	Asst Dep Admin (Admin Mgt).
	Deputy Administrator, Science.
	Regional Director, Atlanta, Georgia.
Agracultural Stabilization and Conservation Service.	Dir, Financial Management Div.
Foreign Agricultural Service.	Asst Administrator, Management.
Commodity Programs	Dep Asst Admin, Commodity Programs.
	Dir Tobacco and Cotton Div.
	Dir, Grain and Feed Div.
	Dir, Oilseeds and Prod Div.
	Dir, Dairy, Livestock and Poultry Div.
Ofc of Dir Science and Education Administration.	Research Leader, Wheat Research.
	Research Leader—Fruit and Vegetable Chem Research.
	Research Leader—Forage and Turf Research.
	Res Leader—Plant Physio and Photosynthesis Res.
	Dep Dir for Adm.
	Chf International Programs.
	Director, Nutrition Research Ctr on Aging.
	Assoc Dep Dir for Adm Management.
	Dir, Children's Nutrition Research Center.
	Chief Livestock and Veterinary Sciences Staff.
	Chief Post Harvest Science and Technology Staff.
	Chief Crop Production Staff.
	Dir, Western Human Nutrition Research Center.

Positions That Were Career Reserved During Calendar Year 1980—Continued

Agency and organization	Career reserved positions
Agricultural research Service.	Chrman—Nutrition Inst, Beltsville, Md.
	Chief Oilseed Crops Laboratory.
	Chief Fermentation Laboratory.
	Research Leader—Soil and Water Research Mgmt.
	Cht, Plant Virology Lab, Beltsville, Md.
	Res Leader Natural Products Chemistry Res.
	Dir, Southern Regional Res Center, New Orlean.
	Chief, Crop Protection Staff.
Regional Offices, ARS.	Dir, Northern Region Research Center.
	Dir Natl Animal Disease Ctr.
	Dir, Beltsville, Agricultural Research Center.
	Chf Tobacco Lab.
	Director, Eastern Regl Research Center.
	Dir, Plum Island Animal Disease Ctr.
	Chf Scientist, Pladc-Orient Point, NY.
	Director, Western Regional Research Center.
	Research Leader Feedstuffs Research.
	Director, California-Hawaii-Nevada Area.
	Director, Florida-Antilles Area.
	Director, Oklahoma-Texas Area.
	Director, Athens, Georgia Area.
	Chief Soil, Water and Air Sci Staff.
National Program Staff.	Asst Admr, National Program Staff.
Research Staff	Director, U.S. Salinity Laboratory.
	Dir Insects Affecting Man Laboratory.
Soil Conservation Service.	Dir Midwest Tech Service Center.
	Dir NE Tech Service Center.
	Dir, SW Technical Service Center.
	Dir Technical Field service Center.
	Asst Admr for Soil Survey.
	Asst Admr for Mgmt.
	Dir Conservation Operations Division.
	Dir, Resource Dev Div.
	Dir River Basin Div.
	Dir Watersheds Division.
	Director Engineering Division.
	Dir Ecological Sciences and Technology Division.
	D/C for Admin.
	Dir, Consv Planning and App.
	Dir, Project Dev and Maint.
	Dir, Basin and Area Planning (Soil Conserv).
	Assoc Dep Chief for Administration.
	Dir, Soils (Soil Scientist).
	Dir, Land Treatment Program.
Forest Service	Dep Chf for Administration.
	Assoc Dep Chief for Adm.
	Dir Forest Insect and Disease Mgmt Staff.
Research	Director, Timber Mgmt Research Staff.
	Dir Insect and Disease Research Staff.
	Dir Forest Environment Research Staff.
	Dir Forest Economics Research Staff.
	Dir Forest Products and Eng Res Staff.
Nat'l Forest System	Dir, Range Management Staff.
	Dir, Recreation, Mgmt Staff.
	Dir Timber Management Staff.
	Director, Engineering Staff.
	Director Fire Control Staff.
	Director, Lands Staff.
	Dir Land Management Planning Staff.
	Dir, Wildlife Mgt Staff.
	Dir, Minerals and Geology Staff.
	Dir, Watershed Mgt Staff.
State and Private Forestry	Dir of Area Planning and Dev Staff
	Dir Cooperative Forestry.
Field Units	NE Area Dir, State and Private Forestry, U Darb
	Dir, SE Area State and Private Forestry.
	Dir Intermountain Forest and Range Exp State, Ogd.
	Dir Northeastern Forest Experiment Station.
	Dir, North Central Forest Exp Station.
	Dir, Pacific NW Forest and Range Exp Station.
	Dir, Pacific SW For and Range Exper Sta.
	Director Rocky Mt Forest and Range Exper Stat.
	Dir S Eastern Forest Experiment Station.
	Dir, S Forest Experiment Station, New Orlean.
	Director, Forest Products Laboratory.
	Dep Dir Forest Products Lab.
Economics and Statistics Service.	Assoc Admr
	Admr Economics and Statistics Service.
	Dep Admr for Economics.
	Dep Admr for Statistics.
	Deputy Admr for Cooperatives.
	Deputy Administration for Management.
	Dep Admr for Adm.
Economic Research Service.	Director, Commodity Economics Div.
	Director International Economics Division.
	Dir, National Economic Analysis Div.
	Dir, Natural Resource Economic Div.
	Dir, Economic Dev Div.
	Outdoor and Situation Officer.
Statistical Reporting Service.	Asst Dep Admr for Statistics.
	Dir Estimates Div.
	Director Survey Division.
	Dir Statistical Research Div.
	Dir, State Statistical Div.
World Food and Agric Outlook and Situation Board.	Chrman, World FD and Agric Outlook and Situation.
	D/Chrperson for Res Weather and Remote Sensing.
	Deputy Chairperson for Economic Intelligence.
Board for International Broadcasting.	Exec Director.
	Dir for Research and Engineering.
	Financial Manager.
	Foreign Information Officer.
Department of Commerce:	
Ofc of the Inspection General.	Asst Inspection Gen for Audits.
	Dep Dir Ofc of Audits.
	Asst Inspection General for Investigations.
	Dir, Ofc of Policy and Administration.
Ofc of Asst Secy for Administration.	Dir Ofc of Investigation and Security.
	Dep Asst Secy for Acquisition, Grants and Information.
Ofc of Personnel	Dir, Office of Personnel.
	Dep Dir for Personnel Administration.
	Dep Dir for Personnel Development.
	Deputy Direction of Personnel.
Ofc of Procurement and ADP Management.	Dir-Ofc of Procurement and Adp Mgmt.
	Dep Dir for Adp Management.
	Dep Dir for Procurement.
Economic Development Administration.	Spec Asst for Indian Affairs.
	Dir, Ofc of Development Finance Management.
	Dir Ofc of Dev Finance Projects.
	Dir Ofc of Portfolio Administration.
Dep Asst Sec'y for Economic Dev Operation.	Dir, Ofc of Public Works.
	Director Ofc of Business Development.
	Dir, Ofc of Technical Assistance.
Dep Asst Secy for Econ Dev Policy and Planning.	Dir Ofc of Dev Organization.
	Director Ofc of Economic Research.
	Dir Ofc of Eligibility and Industry Studies.
	Associate Dep Asst Sec for Policy and Planning.
Maritime Administration.	Deputy Asst Secy for Maritime Affairs.
	International Activities Officer.
	Secy, Maritime Subsidy Board.
	Asst Admr for Marketing and Domestic Enterprise.
Office of Asst Adm for Commercial Development.	Asst Program Dev and Control.
	Dir, National Maritime Research Center, K P.
	Dir, Ofc of Advanced Ship Development.
	Dir, Ofc of Advanced ship Operations.
	Director Ofc of Maritime Technology.
	Director Office of Advanced Ship Development.
	Dir Office of Advanced Ship Operations.
	Director, Office of Maritime Technology.
Ofc of Asst Adm for Shipbldg. and Ship Ops.	Dir, Ofc of Ship Construction.
	Dir Ofc of Shipbuilding Costs.
Office of Asst Administrator for Maritime Aids.	Asst Administration for Maritime Aids.
	Dep Asst Admr for Maritime Aids (Trade).
	Dep Asst Admr for Maritime Aids (Finance).
Ofc of the Asst Admr for Management and Budget.	Director, Ofc of Program Evaluation and Budget.
	Dir Ofc of Mgmt and Computer Sys.
	Chf Personnel Div.
	Dep, Dir, Off Prog Eval and Budget.
	Director Office of Management and Computer Sys.
	Dir Office of Administrative Operations.
Asst Admr for Policy and Planning.	Chf, Non-Living Resources Office.
	Dir National Marine Pollution Program Office.
	Program Analysis Officer.
National Marine Fisheries Service.	Dir Ofc of Policy Dev and Long Range Planning.
	Asst Dir for Internal Fisheries.
Fisheries Centers and Laboratories.	Dir NE Fisheries Ctr.
	Dir SE Fisheries Ctr.
	Dir SW Fisheries Ctr.
	Dir NW and Alaska Fisheries Ctr.
Regional Directors, Nmfs.	Regl Dir, Northeast Reg, Reg III.
	Reg Dir Southeast Reg, Reg II.
	Dir SW Region.
	Regl Dir, Northwest Reg, Reg I.
	Regl Dir, Alaska Reg, Region V.
Oceanic and Atmospheric Services.	Chief, Oceanographic Services.
	Chf, Fld Res Projects Div.
	Chf, Meteorological and Hydrological Services.
	Dir, Satellite and Advanced Technology.
	Dir Operations and Program Evaluation Office.
Office of Ocean Technology and Engineering Services.	Director Plans and Programs Office.
	Dep Dir Ofc of Ocean Tech and Engr Serv.
	Chf Office of Interni Eng Standards.
	Dir, Undersea Science and Technology Program.
	Dep Dir, Manned Undersea Science and Technology.
	Dir Ncaa Data Body Ofc
	Dir Ocean Inst Eng Ofc.
	Internat'l and Interagency Affairs Officer.
National Weather Service.	Dep Dir-National Weather Service.
	Dir Climate Analysis Ctr.

Positions That Were Career Reserved During Calendar Year 1980—Continued

Agency and organization	Career reserved positions
	Chf, Alds Implementation Staff
	Superv Meteoro
	Executive Director, Nws.
Office of Meteorology and Oceanography.	Dir, Ofc of Meteorology and Oceanography.
	Chf Meterological Services Division.
Office of Hydrology	Associate Director.
	Dir Hydrologic Services Division.
	Director, Hydrologic R&D Laboratory.
National Meteorological Center.	Director.
	Deputy Director.
	Chf, Data Automation Div.
	Chief, Development Div.
	Chf-Forecast Division.
Ofc of Technical Services.	Dir, Ofc of Technical Service.
	Chf, Communications Division.
	Chf, Data Systems Division.
	Chief, Engineering Division.
Systems Development Office.	Dir Systems Development Ofc.
	Director, Integrated Systems Laboratory.
	Director, Equipment Development Laboratory.
	Dir, Techniques Dev Lab.
Regional Offices	Dir, Nail Severe Storms Forecast Center.
	Dir Southern Region, Ft Worth.
	Director, Nat'l Hurricane Center.
	Dir, Salt Lake City Region.
	Dir, Alaska Region, Anchorage.
	Dir Eastern Region NWS.
	Director Central Region.
Environmental Data and Information Service.	Dep Dir, Environmental Data Service.
	Associate Director for Marine Sciences.
	Dir Center for Environmental Assessment Svcs.
	Dir, Environmental Science Info Center.
	Dir, Nat'l Oceanographic Data Center.
	Dir, Nat'l Climatic Center
	Dir, National Geophy and Solar Terres Data Cente.
National Earth Satellite Service.	Dep Dir, Nat'l Environmental Satellite Servic.
	Dir, Ofc of Operations.
	Assoc Dir For Data Processing.
	Dir, Ofc of Research.
	Director, Systems Integration.
	Director, Systems Engineering.
	Dir, Meteorological Satellite Lab.
	Dir, Satellite Experiment Lab.
	Director Earth Sciences Laboratory.
National Ocean Survey.	Dep Dir.
	Chf Scientist.
	Dir Geodetic Research and Development Lab.
	Chf, Control Networks Division.
	Assoc Dir Ofc of Aeronautical Charting and Cart.
	Assoc Dir for Marine Technology.
	Dir, Engrg Dev Lab.
	Oceanographic Science Advisor.
	Chief Geodesist.
Ofc of Research and Development.	Director, Ofc of Ocean Programs.
	Dep Dir, Ofc of Marine Pollution Assessment.
	Director Special Research Programs Office.
Environmental Research Labs.	Dep Dir, Environmental Research Laboratories.
	Dir, Weather Modification Prog Ofc.
	Director, Outer Continental Shelf Program Ofc.
	Dir Great Lakes Environmental Research Lab.
	Dir Pacific Marine Environmental Lab.
	Dir Nat'l Severe Storms Lab.
Atlantic Oceanographic and Meteorological Labs.	Dir, Atlantic Oceanographic and Meteorological.
	Depy Dir Atlantic Oceanographic & Meteorologi.
Space Environment Lab.	Director, Space Environment Laboratory.
Aeronomy Lab	Director, Aeronomy Laboratory.
	Senior Scientist/Deputy Director.
Wave Propagation Lab.	Director.
	Dep Dir, Wave Propagation Laboratory.
Atmospheric Physics and Chemistry Lab.	Dir-Atmospheric Physics and Chemistry Lab.
Air Resources Labs	Dir ARL.
	Dir, Meteorological Lab, Durham, NC.
	Div Ar Atm Turbulence & Diffusion Lab.
Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Lab.	Director.
	Research Meteorologist.
	Res Team Leader Exp Predictions.
	Research Meteorologist.
Assoc Admr for Telecommunications Sciences.	Assoc Admr for Telecommunications Science.
	Dep Dir, Institute for Telecom Sciences.
	Associate Director, Its.
	Assoc. Dir., Its.
	Assoc. Dir., Its.
Ofc of Asst Commr for Trademarks.	Director, Trademark Examining Operation.
	Chairman, Trademark Trial & Appeal Board.
	Deputy Asst Commissioner for Trademarks.
Ofc of Asst Commr for Patents.	Admr for Documentation.
	Dir, Ofc of Patent Prog Control.
Chemical	Group Director 110.
	Group Director 120.
	Group Director 140.
	Group Director 160.
	Group Director 170.
Electrical	Group Director 210.
	Group Director 220.
	Group Director 230.
	Group Director 240.
	Group Director 250.
Mechanical	Group Director 350.
	Group Director 320.
	Group Director 340.
	Group Director 310.
	Group Director 330.
Board of Patent Interferences.	Chairman.
National Bureau of Standards.	Assoc Dir for International Affs.
	Director, Boulder Labs.
	Tech Advisor to the Director.
	Chief Program Office.
Inst for Computer Sciences and Technology.	Assoc Dir, Teleprocessing and Supportcomm Tech.
	Assoc Dir Automatic Data Processing Standards.
	Dir Cntr for Prog Sci and Technology.
	Chf, Ofc of Developmental Automation and Contrl.
	Dir., Cntr for Computer Sys. Eng.
	Staff Asst for Computer Science.
	Chf Systems and Network Architecture Div.
	Assoc Dir for Planning Budget and Evaluation.
National Measurement Lab.	Assoc Dir for Long Range Planning.
	Senior Science Advisor.
	Senior Mathematical Statistician.
	Assoc Dir for Measurement Service.
	Associate Director for Planning.
Deputy Director for Programs.	Chief, Off of Environmental Mgmts.
	Deputy Director for Programs.
	Chief, Office of Standard Reference Data.
	Chief Office Nondestructive Evaluation Progra.
	Chief Off of Standard Reference Materials.
	Chf-Ofc of Measurements for Nuclear Safeguard.
	Prog Manager, Industrial Process Data.
	Program Manager, Energy and Environmental dat.
	Program Manager Materials Utilization Data.
	Principal Scientist for Environmental Measure.
Center for Absolute Physical Quantities.	Dir-Ctr for Absolute Physical Quantities.
	Dep Dir, Center for Absolute Physical Quantie.
	Sr Research Fellow and Chf Quantum Metrology.
	Chf-Electrical Measurements and Stds Division.
	Chf, Time and Frequency Div.
	Research Physicist (Nuclear).
	Chf Atomic and Plasma Radiation Div.
	Senior Scientist.
Quantum Physics Division.	Sr Sci and Fellow of Jila.
	Senior Research Scientist.
	Senior Scientist, Boulder.
	Sr. Scientist and Fellow of Jila.
	Senior Scientist-Fellow of Jila.
	Chf, Quantum Physics Div (Ctr for Apq)
Center for Analytical Chemistry.	Director-Center for Analytical Chemistry.
	CHF, Radiochemical Analysis Section.
	Scientific Asst to the Dir Ctr for Analytical.
Gas and Particulate Science Division.	CHF-Gas and Particulate Science Division.
	Group Leader for Thermal Analysis.
	Group Leader for Spectro-Chemical Analysis.
Center for Radiation Research.	Group Leader for Radiation Thermometry.
	Dir Center for Radiation Research.
	CHF-Radiation Source and Instrumentation Divisi.
	Deputy Director, Ctr for Radiation Research.
Nuclear Radiation Division.	Chief Nuclear Radiation Div.
	Physicist, (Nuclear).
	Physicist (Nuclear).
	Principal Scientist, Neutron Standards.
	Chief, Radiation Theory Section.
	Group Leader for Radioactivity.
Radiation Physics Division.	Prin Scientist in X-ray Physics.
	Group Leader for Far Ultraviolet Physics.
Center for Materials Science.	Dir, Center for Materials Science.
	Dep Dir-Ctr for Materials Science.
	Chf, Polymers Science and Standards Div.
	Chf, Reactor Radiation Div.
	Senior Scientist.
	Chf-Chemical Stability & Corr Div.
	Chf Ceramics Glass and Solid State Sci Division.
	Chf Fracture and Deformation Division.
	Group Leader for Fiberous Systems.
	Group Leader, Corrosion and Electrodeposition.
	Physicist (Solid State).
	Group Leader for Solid State Materials Section.
	Group Leader for Crystallography.
	Chf, Inorganic Glass Section.
	Research Chemist.
	Chf, Metallury Div-Ctr for Materials Science.
	Group Leader, Frac Cer and Comp Frac and Deb Div.
	Group Leader, Reactor Radiation Division.
Center for Thermodynamics & Molecular Science.	Dir-Ctr for Thermodynamics and Molecular Scienc.
	Dep Dir Cntr for Therm and Mol Science.
	Quantum Chemistry Group Leader.
	Group Leader for Environ Chemical Process.
	Senior Scientist.
	Scientific Asst to Dir Cen for Thermo & M/S.

Positions That Were Career Reserved During Calendar Year 1980—Continued

Agency and organization	Career reserved positions
National Engineering Lab.	Group Leader Equation of State.
	Chief, Surface Science Div.
	Senior Research Scientist.
	Assoc Dir for Technical Evaluation.
	Assoc Director for Program Planning.
	Asst Chf for Fluid Dynamics/Chf Aerodynamics.
	Assoc Dir for Program Coordination.
Center for Fire Research.	Director-Center for Fire Research.
	CHF Fire Science Division.
	Chf Fire Safety Engineering Div.
	Deputy Director, Center for Fire Research.
Center for Building Technology.	Director-Center for Building Technology.
	Dep Dir (Operations), Ctr for Building Techno.
	Chf, Structures, Materials, and Life Safety Div.
	Chief, Building Thermal and Service systems.
	Chf-Building Economics and Regulatorytech Divis.
	Chf Thermal Analysis Program.
Center for Mechanical Engineering and Process Technology.	Dir-Ctr for Mechanical Engineering & Process.
	Dep Dir Ctr for Mechanical Engineering & Proc.
	Chf-Mechanical Processes Division.Chf Thermal Processes Division.
	Chief Automated Production Technology Div.
	Chf, Thermophysical Properties Div.
Center for Applied Mathematics.	Director, Center for Applied Mathematics.
	Deputy Director.
	Chf Mathematical Analysis Div.
	Chf Statistical Engineering Division.
	Senior Research Fellow.
	Assoc Dir for Computing.
	Chief, Operations Research Division.
Center for Electronics and Electrical Engineering.	Dir-Ctr for Electronics & Electrical Engineer. Dep Director.
	Chief, Electron Devices Div.
	Chf Electrosystems Division.
	Chief, Electromagnetic Division.
	Chf-Electromagnetic Technology Division.
	Senior Research Scientist.
Center for Consumer Product Technology.	Dir-Center for Consumer Product Technology.
	Dep Dir-Center for Consumer Product Technolog
Center for Field Methods.	Chf, Product Perform Eng Div.
	Dir-Ctr For Field Methods.
Ofc of Engineering Standards.	Chf Off of Eng Stds.
Ofc of Energy Programs.	Chf, Ofc of Energy Programs.
Ofc of Federal Statistical Policy and Standards.	Director, Ofc of Industrial Economics.
	Dir, Ofc of Federal Statistical Policy & Stds.
	Deputy Director, Social Statistics.
	Deputy Director, Economic Statistics.
Bureau of Industrial Economics.	Dir Ofc of Ind Stat and Data Coord & Dev.
	Dir, Bureau of Industrial Economics.
	Dir Ofc of Industry Econ Res and Analysis.
	Dep Dir Bureau of Industrial Economics.
Bureau of Economic Analysis.	Director
	Dep Dir, Bur of Economic Analysis.
	Assoc Dir for National Economic Accounts.
	Assuc Dir for National Analysis & Projections.
	Assoc Dir for Regional Economics.
	Assoc Dir for Internat'L Economics.
	Chief Economist.
	Chf Statistician.
	Asst to the Director for Econometrics.
	Chf, Nat'l Income and Wealth Div.
	Chief, Current Business Analysis Div.
	Chief, Business Outlook Div.
Bureau of the Census.	Dep Dir
	Asst Dir for International Programs.
	Associate Director for Demographic Fileds.
	Asst Dir for Demographic censuses.
	Chf, Population Div.
	Chf, Statistical Method's Div.
	Chief demographic Surveys Division.
Statistical Standards and Methodology.	Assoc Dir for Statistical Standards and Methodo.
	Chf, Geography Div.
	Chf, Res Center for Measurement Methods.
	Chief, Statistical Rsch Division.
Information Technology.	Asst Dir for Computer Services
	Chief, Computer Operations Division.
Administration and Field Operations.	Associate Director for Administration.
	Assoc Dir for Field Operations.
	Chief Data User Services Division.
	Chief, Field Div.
	Asst to the Associate Dir for Field Operation.
	Assoc Dir for Economic Fields.
	Asst Dor Fpr Economic and Agriculture Censuses.
	Chief, Agriculture Div.
	Chf, Business Div.
	Chf, Construction Statistics Div.
	Chf, Econ Surveys Div.
	Chf, Foreign Trade Div.
	Chf, Government Div.
	Chf, Industry Div.
	Senior Economic Advisor.
Industry and Trade Administration.	Deputy A/S for Admin & Legis Policy.
Commodity Futures Trading Commission:	
Office of the Chairman.	Executive assistant to the Chairman.
Office of the General Counsel..	Assoc Gen Counsel for Opinions and Review.
	Assoc Gen Coun (Litigation).
	Assoc Gen Counsel (Rules).
Office of the Executive Director.	Dep Exec Dir.
Office of the Chief Economist.	Dep Chf Economist.
Division of Enforcement.	Deputy Dir Division of Enforcement.
Division of Trading and Markets.	Dep Dir Div of Trading and Markets.
Div of Mgmt Programming Planning and Evaluation.	Dir Div of Mgmt Program Planning & Evaluation.
Regional Offices	Regional Director-Central Region.
Community Services Administration:	
Ofc of Inspector General.	Deputy Inspector General.
	Asst Inspector General for Investigations.
	Asst Inspector General for Auditing.
Ofc of Management	Controller.
Consumer Product Safety Commission:	
Consumer Product Safety Commission..	Dir Ofc of Prog Mgt.
Ofc of Aed for Hazard Id & Strategy Analysis.	Dep Assoc Exec Dir for Epidemiology.
Ofc of Aed for for Engineering & Science.	Dep Associate Executive Dir for Engr Sciences.
Ofc of Aed for Compliance & Enforcement.	Assoc Exec Dir for Compliance & Enforcement.
Ofc of Aed for Field Operations.	Assoc Exec Dir for Field Opers.
Ofc of Aed for Administration.	Assoc Exec Dir for Adm.
Ofc Secy of Defense:	
Office of the Secretary.	Inspector General for Defense Intelligence.
Ofc of Deputy Under Secy for Policy Review.	Dir, Counter Intel and Investigative Prog.
	Dir, Information Security.
Ofc of Dep Under Secy for Policy Planning.	Dep Director-DOD Salt Task Force.
	Asst Dep Dir for Strat Sys & Senior OSD Adv.
Ofc Deputy Asst Sec Civilian Personnel Policy.	Staff Dir CPP/Dir for Personnel Mgmt.
	Dir Career Dev and Training.
	Dir Labor-Management Relations.
	Dir Compensation and Position Mgmt.
	Dir Staffing and Career Mgmt.
Ofc of Dir of DOD Dependents Schools.	Dir DOD Dependents Schools.
	Dir Pacific Region Dodds.
Office of Assistant Secretary, Public Affairs.	Dir, Freedom of Information & Security Review.
Ofc of Dep Asst Secy (Strategic Programs).	Dir Strat Def and Theater Nuclear Forces Div.
Washington Headquarters Services.	Director of Personnel and Security.
Ofc Dep Asst Secy of Defense (Audit).	Dep Asst Sec (Audit).
	Dir for Internal Audit Policy.
	Dep Comptroller for Audit Policy.
Ofc of Under Secretary for Research and Engineering.	Staff Specialist for Program Planning.
Ofc of Asst to Secy for Atomic Energy.	Staff Spec for Strategic Sys Assessment.
	Dep Asst to Secy (AE) (Assessment).
	Dir-Salt/Arms Control Support Group.
	Specialist for Arms Control Assessment.
	Sr Analyst for Long Range Resource Planning.
Ofc of International Program and Technology.	Dir, Technology Export.
	Director, Technology Trade.
	Staff Specialist for Internal Programs & Poli.
	Special Asst for Planning and Requirements.
Ofc Dep Under Secy Acquisition Policy.	Dep Dir Major Sys Acquisition.
Ofc-Dir-Material Acquisition Policy.	Dirpctor (Material Acq Policy).
	Staff Spec for Def Sys Computer Res & Electro.
	Dep Dir Standardization and Support.
	Dep Dir for Production Resources.
Ofc-Dir-Contract and Sys Acquisition.	Dir (Contracts & System Acquisition
	Dep Dir-Cost-Pricing-and Finance
	Dep Dir-(Contract Placement & Administration)
	Dep Dir-Defense Acquisition Regulatory Syst
Ofc Dep Under Secy Test and Evaluation.	Dep Dir (Strategic & Naval Sys Div).
	Dep Dir for Test Facilities and Resources.
Ofc of Dep Under Secy for Research and Advanced Tech.	Spec Asst to Dus (Research & Advanced Technol).
Ofc-Dir-Engineering Technology.	Dir (Engineering Technology).
	Staff Spec for Aeronautics and Hydronautics.
	Staff Specialist for Propulsion.
	Staff Specialist for Materials & Structures.
	Staff Specialist for Ordnance.
	Staff Specialist for Weapons Technology.
Ofc-Dir-Electronics and Physical Sciences..	Dir Electronics and Physical Sciences.
	Staff Spec for Electron Dev & Integrated Circ.
	Staff Spec for Elec Warfare and Target Acq.
	Staff Specialist for Search and Surveillance.

Positions That Were Career Reserved During Calendar Year 1980—Continued

Agency and organization	Career reserved positions
Ofc-Dir-Environmental Life and Sciences.	Staff Specialist for Chemical Technology.
Ofc-Dir-Rsch and Technical Info.	Dir, Research & Technical Information Ofc.
Office of Directed Energy Programs.	Dir, Directed Energy Programs.
Ofc of the Dir, Offensive and Space Systems.	Dir Offensive and Space Systems. Staff Specialist for Space & Advanced Systems. Staff Spec for Techn & Analysis (Off Sys).
Ofc-Dir-Defensive Systems.	Dir Defensive Systems. Staff Specialist for Early Warning & Attack. Staff Specialist for Defensive Systems.
Ofc of Dir Cruise Missile Systems.	Dir Ofc of Cruise Missile Sys.
Ofc of Dep Under Secy Tactical Warfare Progs.	Asst for Material Acquisition. Spec Asst for Plans and Anaysis.
Ofc-Dir-Land Warfare	Dir-Ofc of Land Warfare. Staff Specialist for Close Combat Systems. Staff Specialist for Ground Air Defense Sys.
Ofc Dir Aircraft Systems.	Dir-Ofc of Aircraft Systems.
Ofc Dir Missile Systems.	Staff Spec for Interdiction/Naval Strike. Staff Spec, Close Air Sup/Battlefield Int.
Ofc of Director-Naval Warfare.	Director-Naval Warfare. Staff Spec-Sub Warfare and Undersea Surveillanc. Staff Spec for Tds and Electromagnetic Techno. Staff Spec for Strategic and Naval Warfare Sys.
Ofc of Prin Das/Dep Under Sec (Comms Cmd Control & Intel).	Dep Asst Secy of Def (Comun, Command & Cont). Spec Asst for Technical Plans and Research. Dir Systems Research and Evaluation. Dep Asst Secy of Defense (Plans and Resources).
Ofc of Dir Communications Systems.	Dir Office of Communication Systems. Staff Spec for Switched and Spec Purpose Comm S. Staff Spec for Satellite Communications Syste.
Ofc of Dir Strategic Theater Cmd & Control Systems.	Dir Ofc Strategic & Theater Com and Cont Sys. Staff Spec for WWMCCS and other C Systems Arc.
Ofc of Dir of Info Sys	Director, Information Systems.
Ofc of Dir Intelligence Systems.	Dir-Ofc of Intelligence Sys. Staff Specialist (Intelligence Programs). Director, Tactical Intelligence Systems.
Ofc of Dir Surveillance and Warning Systems.	Director-Ofc of Surveillance and Warning System.
Ofc of Dir Combat Support.	Dir (Combat Support). Stf Spec-(Elec Warfare and Counter C3I). Staff Specialist for Tactical Command and Contr.
Ofc of Dir Electronic Warfare & C3 Countermeasures.	Dir Electronic Warfare and C3 Countermeasures. Staff Spec for E W and C3 CSA.
Directorate, National Intelligence Systems.	Director National Intelligence Systems.
Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency.	Deputy Director for Research. Deputy Director for Technology.
Regional Office-Europe.	Dir Darpa Reg:L Ofc-Europe.
Regional Office-Pacific.	Dir Darpa Regional Ofc, Pacific.
Tactical Technology Office.	Dir-Tactical Technology Office. Dep Dir Tactical Technology Office. Asst Dir for Target Acq and Engagement. Dir for Ocean Monitoring and Control Div. Asst Dir for Weapons Technology and Concepts.
Information Processing Techniques Office.	Dep Dir Info Process G Techniques Ofc. Dir Information Processing Techn Ofc. Principal Research Manager.
Material Sciences Office.	Director for Materials Sciences. Stf Spec for Electro-Optical Materials & Devi. Staff Specialist for Advanced System material. Asst Dir for Cybernetics Technology. Assistant Director for Materials Sciences.
Defense Sciences Office.	Dep Dir Def Sciences Ofc. Dir Defense Sciences Ofc.
Nuclear Monitoring Research Office.	Dir for Nuclear Monitoring Research.
Strategic Technology Office.	Dir-Strategic Technology Office. Asst Dir (Tech). Asst Director, Systems. Chf Space and Optics Technology Division. Chief, Laser Technology Div. Chf Director Energy Div.. Asst Dir, Surveillance Division. Deputy Director, Strategic Technology.
Directed Energy Office.	Dir, Directed Energy Ofc. Dep Dir, Directed Energy Ofc. Asst Dir for Technology, Directed Energy Off. Assistant Dir for Space Defense Technology.
Office of the Joint Chiefs of Staff.	Scientific and Technical Advisor to the Chief.
Defense Contract Audit Agency.	Director, DCAA. Deputy Director DCAA. Asst Dir Operations and Professional Dev. Asst Dir Policy and Plans.
Regional Managers	Regional Director, Atlanta. Regional Director, Boston. Regional Director, Chicago. Regional Director, Los Angeles. Regional Director, Philadelphia. Regional Director, San Francisco.
Defense Logistics Agency.	Staff Director Logistics Concepts and Mgmt.
Office Deputy Director for Contract Adm Services.	Asst Dep Dir Contract Administration Service.
Off of Exec Dir, Contract Admin, ODDCAS.	Dep Ecec Dir, Contract Management.
Off of Exec Dir, Production, ODDCAS.	Executive Director, Production
Off of Exec Dir, Qual Assurance, ODDCAS.	Dep Exec Dir, Quality Assurance
Ofc of the Staff Dir-Small and Disadvantaged Business Util.	Staff Dir Small and Disadvantaged Businessess Util.
Exec Dir, DLA Contracting.	Executive Director, Contracting. Chief, Contracts Division.
Exec Dir, DLA, Tech and Logistics Services.	Chf Property Disposal Div.
Office of the Director	Chief Scientist and Assoc Dir, Technology.
National Communications System.	Asst Manager for Technology and Standards. Dep Mgr National Communications System. Asst Mgr NCS Plans and Operations.
WWMCCS System Engr Organ.	WWMCCS-System Engineer. Dep WWMCCS System Engineer-Europe. Dep WWMCCS System Engineer. Chief WWMCCS Information System Office.
Defense Communications Engineering Center.	Dep Dir, DEF Communications Engineering Cente. Chf, Computer and Software Systems Division. Senior Science Advisor for Technolgy. Chf Interoperability and Standards Div. Chf, Switched Systems Engr Div. Chf, Systems Engineering Div.
Ofc of Dep Dir, Commd and Control Techn Ctr.	Dep Dir, Command and Control Technical Center. Tech Dir, WWMCCS ADP Technical Supt Direct.
Engineering Directorate, CCTC.	Chf, Subsysten Engineering Division. Chf ADP System Engineering Div. Tech Dir MEECN Engineering Division. Chief, Systems Plans and Programs Division. Technical Dir UAMHS Project Management Office. Assoc Dep Dir For Research and Technology.
System Directorate, CCTC.	Deputy Director for Engineering.
Natl Military Comd Syst ADP Directorate, CCTC.	Scientific Advr to the Commander, FD Command. Asst Deputy Dir, NMCS ADP. Asst Dep Dir for Computer Services.
Military Satellite Communications Office.	Dep Dir, Military Satellite Communications.
Office of the Director	Dir (Biomedical Effects).
Office of Deputy Director Science and Technology.	Dep Dir (Science and Technology). Scientific Asst to Dep Dir (Science and Tech. Asst to Dep Dir (Sci and Tec) for Theoretical. Asst to the Dep Dir (Experimental Res). Asst to the Dep Dir (Sci and Tech) for Testing. Chf Electronics Vulnerability Division. Chf, Strategic Structures Division. Chf Aerospace Sys Div. Scientific Advisor to the Director (AFRRI). Scientific Advisor (AFFRI). Tech Pro Mngr for Nuclear Assesmnt Director. Chief Emp Effects Division.
Defense Mapping Agency.	Dep Dir, Mngmt and Technology. Asst Dep Dir for Plans and Requirements. Staff Director of Personnel. Tech Div-DMA Hydrographic/Topographic Center. Dep Dir Prog Prod Oper DMA HTC. Dep Dir for Progs, Production and Operations.
Off of Dep Dir, Programs, Production and Operations.	Dep Dir for Progs, Prod and Opers. Chief, Program Integration Division. Chf Spec Programs Div. Tech Dir DMA Aero Center. Asst Deputy Dir for Programming. Asst Deputy Dir for Production and Distributi.
Ofc of Deputy Director Systems and Techniques.	Dep Dir for Systems and Techniques. Chief, Advanced Technology Division. Chf Acq Sys Dev Div.
Defense Audit Service.	Deputy Director, Defense Audit Service. Assoc Director-Special Program Audits. Assoc Dir Intelligence and Communications AUD. Assoc Dir, Systems and Logistics Audits. Assoc Dir, Resources Reports and Overseas Audit. Assoc Dir Financial Mgmt and Manpower Audits. Director, Defense Audit Service.
Defense Investigative Service.	Dir Defense Investigative Service. Dir for Operations.
Department of Air Force:	
Office of the Secretary.	Administrative Assistant to the Secy. Dep Adm Asst.
OAS Manpower and Reserve Affairs and Installations.	Dep for Air Force Review Boards.
OPDAS Installations	Deputy for Installations Management.
OAS Research Development and Logstics.	Principal Depy Asst Secy (Research Dev Logst.
ODAS Research and Development.	Deputy for Systems Requirements.

Positions That Were Career Reserved During Calendar Year 1980—Continued

Agency and organization	Career reserved positions
ODAS Programs/ Acquisition.	Dep for Acquisition.
	Dep for Programs and Production.
ODAS Logistics	Dep for Supply and Maintenance.
	Dep for Transportation and Civil Aviation.
Office of Assistant Secretary, Financial Management.	Deputy for Accounting and Internal Audit.
	Deputy for Productivity Management.
	Deputy for Financial Systems and Analysis.
The Air Force Audit Agency.	Deputy Auditor General.
	Dir, Acquisition and Logistics Systems.
	The Auditor General of the Air Force.
Office, Chief of Staff	Chf, Office of AF History.
	Deputy Director, Air Force Commissary Service.
Dir of Data Automation/ Commander Data Automation Agency.	Associate Dir of Computer Resources.
	Tech Asst to Comdr Data Sys Design Center.
Office of Deputy Chief of Staff, Systems and Logistics.	Assoc Dir for Logistics Plans and Programs.
	Assoc Dir of Maintenance Engineering and Supply.
	Assoc Director of Procurement Policy.
Special Advisory Group.	Special Advisor.
Director of Civilian Personnel.	Deputy Director of Civilian Personnel.
	Chf Otc of Civilian Personnel Opers.
	DAS (Civ Personnel Pol) Dir Civilian Pers.
Office of Deputy Chief of Staff, Comptroller.	Dep Comptroller.
Director of Management Analysis.	Assoc Dir of Mgmt Analysis.
Director of Budget	Assistant Director of Budget (Management).
	Chf, Operating Appropriations Div.
	Chf, Investment Appropriations Div.
Directorate of Accounting and Finance.	Director, Plans and Systems.
	Dep Dir, Acctng and Finance.
Director of Programs	Associate Dir for Progs.
Directorate of Engineering and Services.	Assoc Dir for Engineering and Services.
AF Engrg and Services Center (Field).	Technical Director.
	Dir of Personnel.
Office of the Commander.	Assistant for Product Assurance.
DCS Procurement and Manufacturing.	Prin Asst/Contracting and Manufacturing.
	Chairperson Contract Review Committee.
	Dir of Manufacturing.
Deputy Chief of Staff/Personnel.	Director of Civilian Personnel.
Deputy Chief of Staff/Comptroller.	Asst to the DCS/Comptroller.
Space and Missile Systems Organization.	Asst Dep for Procurement and Manufacturing.
Foreign Technology Div.	Tech Dir (Electromagnetic Threat).
	Technical Director (Engineering)
	Technical Director (Technology and Threat).
Flight Test Center, Edwards AFB, Calif.	Technical Director, Test Engineering and Service.
AF Weapons Lab	Techn Dir (Physical Optics, Lasers, Prototype).
	Sr Advisor (Radiation Effects).
Materials Laboratory AFWAL.	Dir, Metals and Ceramics Div.
	Senior Scientist (Environment Effects).
	SR SCI Organic Chemistry
	Dir, Systems Support Division.
Aero Propulsion Laboratory AFWAL.	Dir, Turbine Engine Div.
AF Rocket Propulsion Laboratory.	Dir, Solid Rocket Div.
USAF School of Aerospace Medicine.	Reseach Director (Crew Technology).
Aerospace Medical Research Lab.	Dir, Toxic Hazards Div.
	CHF, Mathematics and Analysis BR.

Positions That Were Career Reserved During Calendar Year 1980—Continued

Agency and organization	Career reserved positions
Aeronautical Syst Div	Deputy Comptroller.
	Techn Dir, Flt Systems Engineering.
Dep for Systems Mgmt, ASD.	Technical Director (Systems).
Deputy for Tactical Wartare, ASD.	Tech Dir (Propulsion System).
Dep for Proc and Manufacturing ASD.	Asst Deputy for Procurement and Manufacturing.
Deputy for Engineering.	Tech Dir Directorate of Equipment Engineerin
	Techn Dir, Directorate of Avionics
	Technical Director, Deputy for Avionics.
Sys Engrg Office	Sys Eng Dir, F-15.
Dep for Engrg ASD.	Systems Engineering Director (Advanced Systems) Systems Engineering Director (F-16).
Electronic Systems Division.	Deputy-Command and Mgmt Systems.
	Asst Dep for Contracting and Manufacturing.
Rome Air Development Center.	Director (Plans).
	Techn Dir (Intelligence and Reconnaissance)
	Technical Director (Communications and Control)
	Dir, Reliability and Compatibility Div
	Technical Dir (Surveillance).
Armament Division	Technical Advisor
	Techn Dir, Directorate of Range Engineering.
Aeronomy Division	Director Aeronomy Division
	CH, Atmospheric Structure Br.
Space Physics Division.	Dir, Space Physics Div, AFGL.
Aerospace Instrumentation Division.	Dir, Aerospace Instrumentation Div., AFGL.
Meterology Division	Chief Climatology Dynamics Branch.
	Sr Scientist Heat Transfer.
Optical Physics Division.	Dir Optical Physics Division.
Air Force Logistics Command.	Asst Dep Chf of Staff, Maintenance.
	Chairman A F Logistics Command Procur Committ.
	Asst DCS/Logistics Operations.
	Asst DCS/PLans and Operations.
	Asst DCS/Procurement and Production.
	Dir, Direc of Mat Requ and Financial Res Mgmt.
	Deputy Asst to the Commdr-Internl Logistics.
Headquarters, Dayton, Ohio.	Director of Civilian Personnel.
AF Acquisition Logistics Div.	Asst Dcs-Comptroller.
	Asst to the Commander AFALD.
	Deputy for Contracting and Manufacturing.
Air Logistics Center, San Antonio.	Dep Dir, Directorate of Maintenance.
	Dep Dir, Directorate of Materiel Mgmt.
Air Logistics Center, Oklahoma City.	Dep Dir, Directorate of Materiel Mgmt.
	Dep Dir, Directorate of Maintenance.
Air Logistics Center, Warner Robins.	Deputy Director, Directorate of Materiel Mgt.
	Deputy Dir, Dir of Maintenance.
Air Logistics Center, Ogden.	Dep Dir, Directorate of Maintenance.
	Deputy Director, Directorate of Materiel Mgt.
Air Logistics Center, Sacramento.	Dep Dir, Directorate of Materiel Management
	Dep Dir, Directorate of Maintenance.
Air Force Security Service.	Asst to the Commander.
Military Airlift Command.	Asst Dep Chf of Staff Plans, Scott AFB, Ill.
Science and Research.	Chf Technology Assessment Division
	Chf Systems Evaluation Division.
Tactical Air Command.	Chief Scien Tactical Fighter Weapons Ctr
	Chief Scientist Tactical Air Warfare Ctr.
Headquarters, Pacific Air Force.	Chief, Operations Analysis.
U.S. Air Forces in Europe.	Director of Civilian Personnel.

Positions That Were Career Reserved During Calendar Year 1980—Continued

Agency and organization	Career reserved positions
AF Test and Eval Center (AFTEC).	Scientific Advisor (Test and Evaluation).
Joint Electronic Warfare Center (JEWC).	Technical Director.
Department of Army.	
Office of the Under Secretary.	Asst Dep Under Sec (Operations Research)
	Operations Research Analyst
	Chf, Opers Res Grp for Forces and Readiness
	Operations Research Analyst for Systems.
Ofc of the Administrative Assistant.	Adm Asst to the Secy of the Army
	Dep Adminstrative Assistant.
Oasa Research Development and Acquisition.	Assoc Dir for Procurement Mgmt Review.
Odasa Acquisition	Dep for Materiel Acq Mgmt
	Dep for Procurement Policy.
Odasa Management and Budget.	Deputy for Management and Budget.
Ofc of Asst Secy-Installations Logistics and Finan Mgmt.	Asst Deputy for Installations and Housing
	Asst Dep for Logistics.
Off of Asst Secretary, Manpower and Reserve Affairs.	Dasa (DA Review Bds and Personnel Security).
Office, Assistant Chief of Staff, Intelligence.	Spec Asst to the ACSI.
Ofc of Asst Chief of Staff, Automation and Communications.	Dir, Army Mgmt Systems Support Agency
	Dep Asst Chf of Staff Automation and Comms
	Army Spectrum Manager
	Spec Asst to Asst Chf of Staff.
Ballistic Missile Def Sys Command.	Chief, Contracts Office.
Ballistic Missile Def Adv Techn Ctr.	Dir Technology Analysis Directorate.
	Dir Discrimination Directorate
	Dir Missile Directorate.
	Director Radar Directorate
	Dir-Data Processing Directorate
	Director Optics Directorate.
Chf Scientist and Director of Army Research.	Asst Dir for Laboratory Activities.
	Asst Director for Research Programs
	Asst Dir for Technology Overview
	Rda Analysis Office.
Dir of Combat Support Systems.	Physical Scientist Admr (Chem and Engr Sci).
	Combat Spt Sys Technol Mgr.
Dir of Weapons Systems.	Physical Scientist (Aerospace Engr)
	Weapons Systems Technol Mgr.
Office, Dep Chief of Staff for Personnel.	U.S. Army Safety Director.
Directorate of Civilian Personnel.	Chief Labor and Employee Relations Division.
	Director of Civilian Personnel.
	Dep Director, Off Civ Personnel
	Chief, Position and Pay Management Division
	Chief, Staffing and Career Management Div.
Civilian Personnel Center.	Chf-Civilian Personnel Ctr.
Army Research Institute for Behavioral and Social Sciences.	Dir Org and Systems Lab and Assoc Dir Ari.
	Dir, Ind Train and Perf Res Lab, and Assoc Dir, A.
Dir Manpower Plans and Budget.	Dep Dir Manpower Plans and Budget.
Office, Deputy Chief of Staff for Logistics.	Chief Security Assistance Policy Coord Ofc.
	Asst Director for Supply Mgmt.
	Asst Dir of Resources and Mgmt.
	Asst Dir for Maintenance Mgmt
	Spec Asst to Dcslog and Chf Av Log Ofc.
Office of the Comptroller of the Army.	Dep Comptroller.
	Director of Cost Analysis
	Deputy Director of Operations and Maintenance.
Finance and Accounting Center.	Dep Cmdr US Army Finance and Acctng Ctr.
	Dep Dir of Finance and Accounting.
Director of Army Budget.	Deputy Director
	Dep Dir of Army Budget for Budget Management.

Positions That Were Career Reserved During Calendar Year 1980—Continued

Agency and organization	Career reserved positions
Army Audit Agency	Director, Logistical and Financial Audits.
	Auditor General
	Deputy Auditor General
	Dir Acquisition and Force Management Audits
	Dir Personnel and Force Management Audits
	Dir Audit Plans and Resources.
Ofc Dep Chf of Staff for Operations and Plans.	Dep Dir, Force Program and Structure Direc, DCS.
U.S. Army Nuclear Agency.	Technical Director.
Concepts Analysis Agency, DCSOPS.	Dir for Joint Forces and Strategy.
	Dir of Methodology and Resources and Computation.
Army Center of Military History.	Chief Historian
Army War College	Director of Academic Affairs.
U.S. Army Med Res Inst of Infectious Dis, Ft Detrick Md.	Program Director Hazardous Viruses.
	Deputy for Science.
Training and Doctrine Command (TRADOC).	Dir, Combined Arms Studies and Analysis Actv.
Combat Developments Experimentation Command.	Scientific Advisor
U.S. Army Tradoc Systems Analysis Activity.	Dir U.S. Army Tradoc Systems Analysis Activity.
Tradoc Combined Arms Test Facility.	Scientific Advisor
Military Traffic Mgmt Commd.	Spec Asst for Transportation Engr.
U.S. Army Forces Command.	Civilian Personnel Director.
U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.	Chief, Office of Personnel.
	Spec Asst to the Chf of Engn for Internl Aff.
Ofc, Asst Chf of Engineers.	Chief, Programming Div, Ace.
Director of Civil Works.	Chf-Ofc of Policy.
	Chf, Eng Div, Civil Works.
	Chief, Elect and Mech Br, Engineering Div.
	Chf, Programs Div.
	Chf-Planning Division.
	Chf Construction-Operations Division.
MX Program Agency	Deputy Director for CEMXPA.
Director of Military Programs.	Chief, Engineering Division.
	Chf, Construction Division.
	Chf, Operations and Maintenance Div.
Board of Engineers for Rivers and Harbors.	Tech Dir, Bd Engr Rivers and Harbors.
Institute for Water Resources.	Director Institute for Water Resources.
Planning Divisions, COE.	Chief Planning Division.
	Chf Planning Div, Ohio River Div.
	Chf Planning Div, No Pacific Dir.
	Chf, Planning Div, South Atlantic Div.
	Chief, Planning Division Lower Mississippi Va.
	Chf Planning Div, Mo River Div.
	Chf, Planning Div, South Pacific.
	Chf Planning Div-Southwestern Div.
	Chf Planning Dir North Central Div.
Engineering Divisions, COE.	Chief, Engineering Div, Ohio River Div.
	Chief, Engineering Div, Southwest Div.
	Chf, Eng Div, N Central Div, Chicago.
	Chief, Engr Div, S Pacific Div, San Francisco.
	Chief, Engineering Div, N Atlantic Div, NY.
	Chf Engineering Div, S Atl Div, Atlanta.
	Chief, Engr Div, L Miss Val Div, Vicks, Miss.
	Chief Engineering Div Middle East.
	Chief, Engineering Div, Missouri River Div.
	Chief, Engineering Div, North Pacific Div.
	Chief, Eng Div, Pacific Ocean Div, Honolulu.
	Chf Engineering Div, European Div.
	Chf Engineering Div, Huntsville.
Construction Divs-COE.	Chief Construction-Operations Division.
Construction Divs-COE.	Chf Construction Div, Middle East.
	Chf, Construction-operations Div, S Atlantic.
Direc for Mgmt Info Sys.	Dir of Mgmt Information Systems.
Direc for Quality Assurance Darcum HQ.	Director of Product Assurance.
Ofc Dep Cmdg Gen Material Dev DARCOM.	Asst Dep for Material Dev.
	Asst Dep for Internal Research, Dev & Standar.
	Chief Lab and Dev Command Management.
Dir for Dev and Energ DARCOM.	Assoc Dir for Systems Evaluation and Testing.
	Assoc Dir for Systems Development.
Office of Manufacturing Technology.	Chf Ofc of Manufacturing Technology.
Ofc Dep Cmdg Mat'l Readiness.	Asst Dep for Material Readiness.
Direc for Internat'l Logistics.	Dep Dir of Security Assistance.
Direc for Material Management.	Associate Director for Material Programs.
	Dep Dir of Material Mgmt.
	Assoc Dir for Requirements and Resources.
Direc for Readiness	Dep Dir of Readiness.
Direc for Procurement and Production.	Assoc Dir for Procurement.
	Dep Dir Procurement and Production.
Ofc Dep Commanding General for Resource Management.	Assistant Deputy for Resources and Management.
Direc for Personnel Trng and Force Dev.	Dep Dir for Personnel Train and Forcedevelopmen.
	Chief, Civilian Personnel Div.
Office of Comptroller Darcom Hq.	Deputy Comptroller.
	Chf, Cost Analysis Div.
Armament Material Readiness Command (ARRCOM).	Comptroller.
	Deputy for Procurement and Production.
Office of Commander ARRADCOM.	Tech Dir Armament Research and Dev Command.
	Assoc Tech Dir (Adv Process Technology).
	Assoc Tech Dir (Sys Dev and Engineering).
Large Caliber Weapon Systems Lab ARRADCOM.	Chief Nuclear and Fuze Division.
	Chf-Energetic Materials Div.
	Chf-Non Nuclear Munitions Div.
	Chf-Applied Sciences Division.
Fire Cont and Small Caliber Weapon Sys Lab ARRADCOM.	Chf Fire Control Systems Division.
	Chf, Armament Div.
Technology Lab (MICOM).	Chf Solid Propellant Chemistry Function.
	Asst Dep for Readiness.
	Director for Advanced Sensors.
	Chief, Advanced Technology Function.
Missile Intelligence Agency.	Dep Dir, Missile Intelligence Agency.
Mobility Equip Rsch and Dev Command (MERADCOM).	Assoc Techn Dir for Research and Dev.
	Assoc Tech Dir for Eng and Acquisition.
Natick Res and Dev Comd (NARADCOM).	Dep Techn Dir, Clothing and Equipment Sys Pprog.
	Dir, Clothing, Equip and Matls Engr Lab.
	Dir, Food Science Laboratory.
	Director, Food Engineering Laboratory.
	Dep Techn Dir, Food Service Systems Program.
Tank-Automotive Mat Readiness Comd (TARCOM).	Comptroller, TARCOM.
	Dir for Procurement and Production.
Aberdeen Proving Ground, Md. T&E Command.	Assoc Dir-Material Testing Directorate.
White Sands Missile Range, T&E Command.	Tech Dir and Chf Sci.
Troop Spt and Aviation Mat Readiness Cmd (TSARCOM).	Dir of Procurement and Production.
Program Mgr Army Tactical Data Systems (CORADCOM).	Deputy Program Manager, Army Tact Data Sys.
Depot Systems Command (DESCOM).	Deputy for Technical Operations.
Electronics Research and Development Cmmd (ERADCOM).	Assoc Technical Dir for Res and Technology.
	Assoc Tech Dir for Elec Warfare and Intel.
	Assoc Techn Dir for Production and Acqui.
Harry Diamond Labs (ERADCOM).	Chf, Dev and Eng Div.
Night Vision and Electro-Optics Lab (ERADCOM).	Dep Dir, Night Vision and Electro-Optics Lab.
Ofc of Commander-(Micom).	Director for Procurement and Production.
	Chief Guidance and Control Analysis.
Project Mgmt Offices (MICOM).	Deputy Project Manager, U.S. Roland.
	Dep Proj Mngr, High Energy Laser Sys, Ala.
	Deputy Director for Directed Energy.
Engineering Lab (MICOM).	Dir for Test and Evaluation.
	Dep Dir Systems Simulation and Development.
	Dir for System Engineering.
	Dir-Engineering Laboratory.
	Dir for Sys Engineering and Product Dev.
Chemical Sys Lab ARRADCOM.	Chf Munitions Division.
	Chf-Research Division.
	Chf Toxicology Branch.
Ballistics Research Lab ARRADCOM.	Chf, Ballistic Modeling Div.
	Chief Interior Ballistics Division.
	Chf-Launch and Flight Division.
	Chf Terminal Ballistics Div.
	Chf Vulnerability Lethality Division.
Directorate of Systems Engineering.	Director, Development and Qualification.
Research and Technology Labs-AVRADCOM.	Dir-Aeromechanics Laboratory.
	Dir-Structures Laboratory.
	Director Propulsion Laboratory.
	Dir-Research and Technology Labs.
Communications and Elect Materiel Read Commd (CERCOM).	Comptroller, CERCOM.
Communications Res and Dev Command (CORADCOM).	Director, Communications Systems Center.
	Dir Systems Enginering and Integration.
Satellite Communication Agency (CORADCOM).	Dir of Engineering Dev
Patriot Project Office	Dep Project Manager, Patriot.
	Asst Proj Mgr for Dev and Acq Operations.
XM-1 Tank Project Office.	Chf Engineer/Chf, Systems Engineering Division.
Fighting Vehicle Systems Project Ofc.	Dep Program Mgr-Fighting Vehicle Systems.
Army Research Office DARCOM (Durham NC).	Dir, Engr Sci Div.
Foreign Science and Technol Ctr DARCOM.	Dep Dir—Foreign Science and Technology Center.
	Dir of Intelligence Production.
Army Material Systems Analysis Agency DARCOM.	Chf Combat Support Div AMSAA.
	Chf Air Warfare Div AMSAA.
	Chf, Reliabilty, Availability and Maintainbl.
	Chf Ground Warfare Division-AMSAA.
Army Communications Command.	Comptroller.
Headquarters, US Army, Europe.	Asst Dep Chf of Staff, Personnel (Civ Pers).
	Director, Overseas Dependents Schools, Europe.
Joint Tactical Communications (TRITAC).	Dep Dir for Engineering.
	Associate Director TRITAC Office.
	Chf, Systems Div.
DOD Wage Fixing Authority.	Director, Technical Staff.

Positions That Were Career Reserved During Calendar Year 1980—Continued

Agency and organization	Career reserved positions
Department of Navy:	
OAS, Financial Management/ Comptroller of the Navy.	Director of Banking and Contract Financing.
Asst Comptroller Budget & Reports/ Fiscal Mgt Div.	Assoc Dir, Budget & Reports/ Fiscal Manag Div.
	Dir, Investment & Dev Div.
	Assoc Dir for Finance.
	Dir Budget Policy & Procedures Division.
	Dir, Budget Evaluation Group.
Asst Comptroller Financial Mgt Systems.	Dep Asst Comptroller, Financial Management Sy.
	Deputy Assistant Comptroller (Accounting).
Office of Auditor General.	Auditor General of the Navy.
	Dep Auditor Gen/Dep Dir Naval Audit Service.
	Dir Naval Audit Service Capital Region.
Office of the Comptroller.	Comptroller, ONR & Spec Asst (Fin Manag) to AS.
	Deputy Comptroller.
Office of Assistant Chief for Patents.	Dir Navy Patents Program.
	Field Dir, Navy Patents.
	Departmental Director, Navy Patents.
Office of Procurement Services.	Director, Acquistion.
Office of Assistant Chief for Research.	Dir, Physics Program.
	Engineering Sciences Advisor, Math Sciences Di.
	Hd, Fluid Dynamics Br, Math Sciences Div.
Assist Chief for Technology.	Dir Analysis and Support Div.
	Dir-Naval Vehicles and Weapons Technology Div.
Ocean Science and Technology Division.	Dir, Ocean Sci and Technology Div.
Navy Ocean Research and Development Activity.	Assoc Tech Dir and Dir Ocean Prog Mgmt Ofc.
Material Science and Component Technology Directorate.	Chf Sci, Lab for Structure of Matter.
Chemistry Division	Head Combustion and Fuels Branch.
Material Science and Technology Division.	Assoc Supt Mat Sci and Tech Div/ Hd Thermos Mat B.
Electronics Technology Division.	Head, Magnetism Br.
Optical Sciences Division.	Associate Superintendent Optional Science.
Radiation Technology Div.	Head, X-ray Optics Br.
	Head, Cyclotron Applications Branch.
Space and Communications Technology Directorate.	Chf Sci, Lab for Cosmic Ray Physics.
	Head, Spacecraft Technology Center.
	Manager, Advanced Projects Ofc.
Space Systems Division.	Hd Space Applications Branch.
	Head, Space Technology Br.
Environmental Sciences Division.	Superintendent Environmental Sciences Div.
	Assoc Superintendent for Ocean Sci Application.
U.S. Marine Corps	Fiscal Dir of the Marine Corps.
	Asst Dos for Installations and Logistics.
	Dir Contracts Division.
	Accounting Officer of Marine Corps.
Dir Command and Control and Communications (C3) Programs.	Dir, Electromagnetic Spectrum Management.
Dir-Naval Intelligence	Spec Asst to Dir of Naval Intel-Investigations.
Asst Dep Chf of Naval Operations (Civilian Personnel).	Asst Dep Chf of Naval Opers (Civilian Personnel).
	Dep Dir, Civilian Personnel Div.
	Hd, Personnel Dev Branch.
	Hd Personnel Mgmt Branch.
	Dir, Labor & Employee Relations Div.
	Dir-Navy Civilian Personnel Command.
	Director, Total Force Info Systems Div.
	Head Personnel Management and Evaluation Br.
Director, Research, Development, Test and Evaluation.	Spec Asst for Financial Matters.
Offc DCNO (Submarine Warfare).	Scientific and Tech Advisor for SSBN Survivabil.
Systems Analysis Div	Asst for Net Assessment and Mid-range Objective.
	Asst for Spec Analyses and Head, Proj Forces Br.
	Asst for Advanced Res and Analytical Techniques.
	Hd Support Force Manpower and Logistics Branch.
U.S. Naval Observatory.	Dir, Time Service Div.
Office of the Oceanographer.	Dep for International and Interagency Affairs.
Ofc of the Chf of Naval Training.	Comptroller.
Spec Assts to Chief of Bureau.	Comptroller.
Naval Aerospace Medical Institute.	Spec Asst. Scien Progs and Hd, Psychophysiology.
Naval Medical Research Unit #3.	Hd, Medical Zoology Dept, Cairo, Egypt.
Military Sealift Command.	Dep Ex Dir.
	Comptroller.
Director of Resources Management.	Dir of Resources Management.
	Cnm Advisor for Cost Analysis/ Estimating.
	Spec Asst to Dir Res Mgmt/Dir Fin Mgmt Sys Di.
Dep Chf of Naval Material (Logistics).	Asst Dep Chf of Naval Material (Oper and Logist).
Asst Dep Chf of Naval Material (Contracts and Bus Mgmt).	Hd-Tri Svc Negotiation Staff.
	Exec Dir for Contracts and Business Management.
	Dir Procurement Control and Clearance Division.
Asst Dep Chf of Naval Material (Technology and Labs).	Assoc Dir of Nv Labs.
	Hd, Vehicles Branch.
	Hd Weapons Branch.
	Dep Tech Dir/Dir, Tech Plan'g and Assess Grpup.
	Dep Admin for Acquisition Control.
Asst Dep Chf of Naval Material (Reliability and Eng).	Asst Dep Chf Nav Mat (Reliability and Eng).
U.S. Navy Ord Test Unit.	Chf Engr, US Nav Ord Test Unit.
Technical Division, Navigation Branch.	Hd, Navigation Equip Sect.
	Hd, Navigation Systems Sect.
Test and Operations Branch.	Test and Operations Branch Engineer.
Fire Control and Guidance Branch.	Guidance Section Head.
	Head Fire Control Section.
Missile Branch	Sec Engr, Re-entry Body Section.
	Head, Prod, Quality Assurance and Fleet Readine.
	Sec Engr, Engrg Section.
	Asst for Syst Investigation and Compatibility.
Launcher and Handling Branch.	Branch Engr Launcher and Handling Branch.
Antisubmarine Warfare Systems project Office.	Dir, Financial Management Ofc.
Trident Systems project Office.	Scientific Dev and Eval Director.
Joint Cruise Missile Project Office.	Exec Dir, Acquisition Directorate.
David W Taylor Naval Ship R&D Center.	Dir of Long Range Plans and Program Card, Md.
Aviation and Surface Effects Dept.	Assoc Tech Dir for Systems Development.
	Asst Tech Dir (Research Consultant).
Ship Performance Department.	Head, Naval Hydromechanics Div.
Structures Dept	Head Submarine Division.
Naval Ocean Sys Center, San Diego	Dir Command Control and Communications.
	Hd Electronics, Engineering and Comp Sci Dept.
	Director Ocean Surveillance.
	Scientific Consultant-Electromagnetics.
	Director Weapon Systems.
	Director Engineering and Computer Sciences.
	Chief Res Sci Subm Arctic Tech and Dir Arctic Subm.
	Dir Independent Research and Development.
	Dir for Administration.
Laboratory Directorate—NWC.	Hd, Earth and Planet Sci Div, Research Dept.
	Hd, Detonation Physics Div, Research Dept.
Naval Air Development Center, Johnsonville, Pa.	Dir-Communication and Navigation Tech Directorate.
	Dir Sensors and Avionics Technology Directorate.
	Head-Computer Department.
	Dir-Systems Directorate.
	Dir Aircraft and Crew Systems Technology Dire.
	Software and Computer Technology Dir.
Naval Underwater System Center	Deputy Technical Director
Assoc Tech Dir Plans and Analysis.	Head, Systems Analysis Dept
	Head, Warfare Analysis Dept.
Systems Deviopment Directorate.	Hd Submarine Sonar Sys Dept
	Hd Weapons Sys Dept
	Hd Surface Ship Sonar Sys Dept
	Hd Spec Projects Dept
	Hd Combat Sys Control Dept
Off of Asst Commander for Res & Technology.	Research Admr
	Surveillance Technology Admr.
	Propulsion Technology Admr.
	Command Control and Guidance Technology Admr.
	Ordnance Technology Admr.
	Aerodynamics and Structured Technology administ.
	Dir, Advanced Weapons Systems Division.
Off of Asst Chf for Contracts.	Exec Dir, Procurement Management
	Dir Aircraft Weapons Systems Purchase Div.
Dep Cmdr for Asw Warfare Projects.	Deputy Projet Manager (Lamps)
Organization and Manpower Management Office.	Asst Dir, Org and Manpwr Mgmt Off
Asst Comdr for Systems and Engineering.	Deputy Comptroller
	Exec Dir for Acquisition Management.
	Asst Dir, Adv Sys Div.
	Asst Dir, Evaluation Div.
	Tech Dir—Armament Div.
	Asst Dir, Engr Div.
	Technical Director, Avionics Division.
	Dir, Systems Alternatives Directorate.
	Dir, Design Analysis Div.
Asst Cmdr for Test and Evaluation, Navair.	Tech Dir, Test and Evaluation Group (Air-06)
Naval Air Engineering Center.	Technical Director
Naval Avionics Facility.	Dir of Applied Research, Research and Engr Div
	Director of Engineering.
Naval Aviation Logistics Center.	Exec Dir
Systems Evaluation Directorate.	Assoc Dir—Sys Evaluation Directorate
	Head Systems Technology Department.
Range Directorate	Assoc Dir and Assoc to (Ranges and Tests)
	Dept. Hd, Capabil:s Devel Dept PT Mugu Ca.

Positions That Were Career Reserved During Calendar Year 1980—Continued

Agency and organization	Career reserved positions
Naval Electronic Systems Command.	Deputy Comptroller
	Hd Command and Control Systems Department.
Director, Logistics Directorate.	Exec Dir, Logistics Directorate
Contracts Directorate	Executive Director—Contracts
Navelex Project Offices.	Dep Proj Mgr and Tech Dir Rewtson Systems Proj
	Asst Project Mgr for Elf Communications.
	Asst Proj Mgr for Onboard Submarinesystems.
Research and Tech Directorate.	Tech Dir—Research and Technology
Acquisition Engrg Directorate.	Techn Dir, Material Acquisition Directorate
	Techn Dir, Telecommunications Div.
	Techn Dir, Air Traffic Cont Surv and Nag Sys Di.
Command Support Sys Ofc.	Techn Dir, Command and Control and Communicati
Designated Project Offices, Navsea.	Dep Proj Mgr for Anti Ship Misle Defense Proj
	Exec Dir—Aegis Shipbuilding Project.
	Dep Proj Mgr/Tech Dir Nucl Power Aircraft Lab.
	Dep Proj Mgr/Tech Dir Attack Sub Project Ofc.
	Dep Proj Mgr—Destroyer Ship Acq Project.
	Dep Proj Mgr and Tech Dir—Amphib Ship Acqui Proj.
	Director—Ssw Submarine Systems Division.
Acquisition Directorate.	Executive Director Acquisition Directorate
Management and Admin, Navsea.	Dep Commander Management and Adm Directorate
Plans, Pgms and Fin Management/ Compt, Navsea.	Asst Dep Commdr, Plans, Prog Financ Mgt/Dep Com
Contracts, Navsea	Asst Dep Commander for Contracts
	Dir Shipbldg and Overhaul Contracts Div.
Surface Combatsnt Ships Directorate.	Dep Dir, Surface Combatant Ship Logistics Div
Aircraft Carriers, Amphib and Aux Ships Dir.	Exec Dir Aircraft Carriers Amph and Aux Ships
Surface Warfare Systems Group WS and E Navsea.	Exec Dir, Surface Warfare Sys Grp
Nuclear Power, Navsea.	Hd Advanced Design Br
	Dir Nuclear Propulsion Plant Valves Division.
	Director—Reactor Materials Division.
	Head Advanced Design Branch.
	Head, Improved Reactor Design Branch.
	Hd, Surface Ship Br.
	Dir—Secondary Plant Components Division.
	Dir Surface Ship Systems Division.
Naval Undersea Warfare Engr Station, Keyport, Wa.	Technical Director
Naval Weapons Station, Seal Beach, Ca.	Tech Dir, Flt Msl Sys Anal and Eval Group
Naval Ordnance Station, Indian Head, Md, Navsea.	Special Assistant/Propulsion Technologist
Ship Design and Integration Directorate.	Asst Dir and Chf Engr, Advanced Tech Sys Div
	Dir Adv Dda, Dep Dir, Ship Concepts Dev Group.
	Asst Tech Dir, Ship Design Div/Hd Adv Tech Br.
	Asst for Structures (Naval Architecture).
Ship Systems Directorate.	Dir, Ship Systems Res and Technology Office
	Asst for Propulsions Sys.
	Asst for Hull Mech and Weapons Sys.
	Dep Dir, Auxiliary Systems Group.
Combat Systems Design and Integration Division.	Head Tactical Data Systems Branch
	Hd Ship Design and Fleet Engineering Branch.
	Exec Director, Combat Systems Directorate.

Positions That Were Career Reserved During Calendar Year 1980—Continued

Agency and organization	Career reserved positions
Headquarters	Asst Dep Commander for Plans, Policy and Syst D
	Asst Dep Cmdr for Fin Mgmt/ Comp.
Office of Commander	Dep Dir of Programs and Comptroller
Dep Comdr for Acquisition.	Dir, Contracts Div
Department of Education:	
Management	Deputy Asst Secy for Finance—Controller
	Dir, Ofc of Procurement and Assistance Mgmt
Inspector General	Assistant Inspector General for Audits
	Asst Inspector General for Investigation.
	Deputy Asst Inspector Gen for Investigation.
	Dep Asst Inspector General for Tech Serv and Fld Ope.
	Dep Asst Inspector General (Hq Audit Div).
Department of Energy:	
Ofc of Intergovernmental Affairs.	Dir Exec Office
Ofc of the Under Secretary.	Dir Ofc of Program Plan and Integration
Chicago Operations Ofc.	Asst Manager for Administration
	Area Manager Batavia Area Office.
	Asst Mgr for Acquisition and Assistance
Idaho Operations Ofc.	Asst Mgr for Plns Budget and Engr
	Asst Mgr for Admin.
	Dir Reactors Ops and Prgms.
	Dir Nuclear Fuel Cycle and Waste Mgmt Div.
Oak Ridge Operations Ofc.	Dir Enriching Op Div
	Dir Mtg Div.
	Asst Mgr for Admin.
Richland Operations Ofc.	Asst Mgr for Admin
	Asst Mgr for Tech Op.
	Dir Nuc Fuel Cy and Prod Div.
	Dep Asst Manager for Technical Operations.
San Francisco Operations Ofc.	Asst Mgr for Admin
	Asst Mgr for Projects.
Ofc of Asst Insp Gen for Audits.	Asst Inspector General for Audits
	Dep Asst I/G for Programs.
	Dir Financial and Procure Audits.
	Director Division of Program Audits.
Ofc of Asst Insp Gen for Inspections.	Asst Insp Gen for Inspec
	Dep Asst IG for Inspec.
	Dep Inspector General for Inspections.
Ofc of Asst Insp Gen for Investigations.	Asst Ins/Gen for Investigations
	Dep Asst I/G for Investigations.
Ofc of Hearings and Appeals.	Dep Dir for Legal Analysis
	Dep Dir for Financial Analysis.
	Dep Dir for Econ Analysis.
Ofc of Chief Accountant.	Deputy Chief Accountant
	Dir Division of Audits.
Ofc of Pipeline and Producer Regulation.	Dep Dir, Div of Audits
Economic Regulatory Administration.	Dir Ofc of Mgmt and Program Coordination
Ofc of Planning and Evaluation.	Dir Ofc of Op Plng and Eval
Ofc of Utility Systems.	Dir Reg Asst Div
	Dir Reg Intervention Div.
	Dir Pwr Supply and Reliability Div.
Energy Information Administration.	Dir, Ofc of Statistical Design and Analysis
	Dir, Ofc of Petroleum and Natural Gas Data Dev.
	Director, Office of Management and Program.
Asst Admr for Energy Systems Support.	Dir Ofc of Adp Svcs.
	Dir-Engy Emergency Mgmt Infor Sys Project Ofc.
	Dir Ofc of En Data Stnd and Stat Design.
	Dep Asst Adm for Sys Spt.
	Asst Admr Energy Systems and Support.
	Director, Ofc of Energy Data Development.
	Dir Ofc of Coal Elec and Alter Source Data Dev.

Positions That Were Career Reserved During Calendar Year 1980—Continued

Agency and organization	Career reserved positions
Asst Admr for Energy Information Validation.	Asst Admn for Energy Data Valid.
	Dep Asst Adm for En Info Valid.
	Dir Ofc of Validation Anal.
	Dir Ofc of Validation Resources.
	Dir Ofc of Systems Validation.
Asst Admr for Applied analysis.	Dir Ofc of Energy Use Anal.
	Asst Adm for Applied Sciences.
	Dir Off of En Source Analysis.
	Dir-Ofc of Intergrative Analysis.
	Dir Ofc of Functional Anal.
	Dir Ofc of Analysis Oversight and Access.
	Dep Asst Admr for Appl Analy Energy Info Adm.
	Dir Ofc of Economic Analysis.
Office of Energy Information Services.	Dir Ofc of Energy Infor Serv.
Asst Admr for Program Development.	Dir Ofc of Financial Reporting System.
	Dir Ofc of Oil and Gas Info Sys.
	Dir Ofc of the Nail En Info Sys.
	Dir Ofc of Consumption Data Systems.
AA for Energy Data Operations.	Asst Admr for Energy Data Operations.
	Dep Asst Admr for Energy Data Operations.
	Dir Ofc of Oil and Gas Statistics.
	Dir Ofc of Coal and Elec Power Statistics.
	Dir Div of Interfuel Nucl and Ot En Sources.
	Dir Ofc of Interfuels Int'l and Emg Engs Stats.
Asst Secy for Conservation and Solar Energy.	Dir, Conservation Techn Dev Div.
	Directory Consumer Products Division.
	Director Automotive Tech Development Division.
	Dir, Photovoltaic Energy Systems Division.
	Director Buildings Division.
Executive Director	Dir Policy Plng and Eval Div.
	Dir Ofc of Budg and Adm Spt.
Ofc of Commercialization.	Dir Resources Management Division.
Ofc of Transportation Programs.	Dir, Transportation Systems Utilization Div.
Ofc of Adv Conservation Technologics.	Chf Thermal and Mechanical Sys Br.
Ofc of State and Local Programs.	Dir Weatherization Assistance Programs Div.
Deputy A/S for Solar Energy.	Project Advisor.
Ofc of Solar Applications for Bldgs.	Chf Photovoltaics Sys Br.
	Dep Dir, Ofc of Solar Applications for Buildg.
	Dir Market Development Division.
Ofc of Solar Applications for Indus.	Dir Biomass Energy Systems Division.
	Dir Program Res Mgmt Div.
	Chf Solar Thermal Branch.
	Manager, Technology Transfer.
	Dir, Solar Thermal Energy Systems Div.
Ofc of Solar Power Applications.	Progm Mgr for Elec Sys.
	Chf, Wind Systems Branch.
Deputy A/S for Field Ops and Int Programs.	Dep Dir Central Solar Tech Div.
Ofc of Solar Applications.	Dir Ofc of International and Sci Prgms.
	Dep Dir Distributed Solar Tech Division.
Asst Secy for Resource Applications.	Director Finance and Administration Division.
	Dir, Prog/Proj Mgmt and Planning Division.
Ofc of Resource Management.	Dir Ofc of Resource Mgmt.
Dep Asst Secy for Utility and Indus Energy Appl.	Chf Power Delivery Br.
	Dep Dir Geo En/Chf Hydro Spt Br.
Ofc of Uranium Resources and Enrichment.	Chf Pwr Supply and Integration Br.
	Chf Supt Eval Br.
	Chf, Facilities Construction Branch.
	Chf Tech Spt Br.
	Chf Contracting and Marketing Br.
Ofc of Leasing Policy Development.	Dep Dir Lpd and Dir P and Pd Div.
Dep Asst Secy for Strategic Petroleum Reserve Office.	Dir Mgmt Div.

Positions That Were Career Reserved During Calendar Year 1980—Continued

Agency and organization	Career reserved positions
Bonneville Power Adminsitration.	Asst Admr for Mgmt Serv.
Western Area Powar Administraton.	Asst Admr for Mgmt Svcs.
Asst Secy for Environment.	Dir Health Effects Research Division. Chief Envir Control Technology Branch.
Office of Management Support.	Dir Ofc of Mgmt Support. Dir Financial Serv Div.
Office of Environmental Compliance and Overview.	Dep Dir Op and Env Safety. Chf Fossil and Inexhaustible Prog Br. Chf Safety Analysis Branch. Chf Policy Measurements and Instit Stnds. Medical Director, Oper and Environ Safety Div. Chf, Environmental Protection and Pub Safe Br. Chf, Occupatonal Safety Branch.
Office of Technology Impacts.	Dep Dir Tech Ass Div and Chf N T Br. Chf Nuclear Tech Br.
Office of Environmental Research.	Director, Human Health and Assessment Div.
Asst Secy for Defense Programs.	Dir for Assessments and Liaison.
Ofc of Policy analysis Operations.	Exec Dir.
Ofc of Special Projects.	Dir Ofc of Spec Proj.
Ofc of Resource Management.	Dir Off of Prgms Coord.
Ofc of Military Applications.	Dir Finan Mgmt Div. Special Asst.
Ofc of Safeguards and Security.	Dir Incident Mgmt Div.
Albuquerque Operations Office.	Dir Weapons Prod Div. Asst Mgr for Admin. Asst Mgr for Plns and Bud. Dir Res Mgmt Div. Dir Weapons Dvlmp Div. Director Quality Assurance Division. Dir Operational Safety. Asst Mgr for Logistics. Dir Fac and Const Mgmt Div. Dir Transportation and Safeguards Div. Asst Mgr for Administration.
Savannah River Operations Office.	Asst Mgr for Admin.
Nevada Operations Ofc.	Asst Mgr for Admin. Asst Mgr for Plns Engr and Bud.
Office of International Program Support.	Dir Ofc of Intl Prgm Spt.
Asst Secy for Policy and Evaluation.	Dir Div of Plng and Budget Sys.
Office of Energy Research.	Director, Division of Financial Management. Dir Div of Acquisition and Coordination.
Ofc of Program Administration and Operation.	Asst Dir for Pgm Adm and Op. Dir Budg and Prm Coord Div. Dep Assoc Dir, Ofc of Management.
Ofc of Fusion Energy.	Mgr R&D Prog Planning. Dir Div of Rsrc Mgmt and Acq. Assoc Dir, Ofc of Management.
Office of Basic Energy Sciences.	Dir Admin Div. Dir Engr Math and Geo Sci Div. Dir Chem Sci Div. Dir Adv Egy Proj Div. Dir Mat Sci Div. Chf Metallurgy and Ceramics Br. Chf Fund Interactions Br. Chf Processes and Tech Br. Chf Solid St Phy and Mat Chemistry Branch.
Office of High Energy and Nuclear Physics.	Chf Physics Research Branch. Dir High En Physics Div.
Directorate of Administration.	Director Personnel Management Evaluation.
Office of Personnel Management.	Dir Ofc of Personnel. Dep Dir of Personnel. Dir-Exec Resources Management Division. Dir Policies and Prgm Div. Dir Empl Dvlmt and Trng Div. Dir Hq Personnel Operations Div. Dir Labor Relations Div.

Positions That Were Career Reserved During Calendar Year 1980—Continued

Agency and organization	Career reserved positions
Office of Organization and Management Systems.	Dir Ofc of Org and Mgmt Sys. Dir Management Sys Analysis Div. Dir Manpwr Res Mgmt Div. Dep Dir Ofc of Org and Mgmt. Dir Org Plng and Mgmt Div. Dir Records and Rep Mgmt Div. Dir Office of Facility Planning & Support.
Office of Administrative Services.	Dir Ofc of Admin Svcs. Dep Dir Ofc of Admin Serv.
Office of Computer Services and Telecommunications Mgmt.	Dir Ofc of Comp Serv and Tel Mgmt. Dep Dir Ofc of Comp Serv and Tele Mgmt. Asst Dir For Ops. Dir Div of Telecommunications. Director, Operations Division. Director Division of Technical Support.
Office of Contractor Industrial Relations.	Dir Ofc of Industrial Relations. Dir Cont Psnl Mgmt Div.
Office of Contractor Industrial Relations.	Dir Prgm Mgmt and Asmt Div. Dep Dir Offc of Industrial Relations.
Ofc of ADP Management.	Dir Otc of ADP Mgmt. Dep Dir Ofc of ADP Mgmt.
Office of Construction and Facility Management.	Dir Div of Real Estate and Fac Mgmt. Dir of Construction and Facility Mgmt.
Controller	Dir, Ofc of Management Inf Systems.
Office of Budget	Dir Ofc of budget Dir Budget Anal Div. Dir Bidget Oper Div. Dir External Coord Staff. Dep Dir Ofc of Budget. Dir Budget Analysis Div.
Office of Program Management Support.	Dir Ofc of Prgm Mgmt Support.
Office of Program and Project Assessment and Control.	Dir Engr and Const Support Div. Dir Ofc of Prgm Apvl and Contr. Dir Program and Project Mgmt Division. Dir policy and Analysis Division
Office of Finance and Accounting.	Dir Otc of Finance and Accounting. Dir Accounting Pol and Proc Div. Dir Wash. Finan Serv Div. Dep Dir, Ofc of Fin and Accig. Deputy Dir, Washington Financial Services Div.
Office of Financial Policy.	Dir Ofc of Financial Pol. Dir, Financial Analysis Div. Dir, Financial Policy Div.
Directorate of Procurement and Contracts Management.	Dir Tech and Resource Applications Support Div. Director, Procurement Management Rev Div. Director, Financial Incentives Ofc.
Office of Small and Disadvantaged Utilization.	Dir of Sm and Disadv bus Utiliz. Dep Dir Proc Bus Affs Otc.
Office of Policy	Dir Ofc of Policy. Dir Policy and Procedures Div. Dir Contr and Prop Mgmt Div.
Office of Program Support.	Dir Ofc of Prgm Support. Dep Dir Pso. and Dir CS and IS Div.
Ofc of Procurement Management.	Dir Ofc of Procur Mgmt. Dir Cont Bus Clrnce Div.
Ofc of Procurement Operations.	Dir Ofc of Procurement Operations. Dep Dir Ofc of Procur Op.
Assistant Secretary for fossil Energy.	Dir Ofc of Res Mgmt and Acquis. Spec Advsr to Prgm for Fos En. Manager, Prog. Facilitation—Solar. Mgr Prog Facilitation—Fossil Energy. Manager, Prog. Facilitation—Nucl. Wst. Dupty Director for Management. Director, Office of Resource Mgmt. Dir, Ofc and Major Proj Management.
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Management.	Asst Dir for Budget. Dep Asst Secy for Management.
Dep Asst Secy for Coal Technology.	Dep Dir Magnetohydroynsmics Div. Dep Dir Fossil Fuel Extraction Div.

Positions That Were Career Reserved During Calendar Year 1980—Continued

Agency and organization	Career reserved positions
Program Director for Project Management.	Chf Plans and Policy Br. Chf Syn Fuels Pgm Dvlmt Br. Asst Dir for Tech Acquis and Eval. Dir Fossil Energy Research Div. Mgr., Process and Prgm. Mgmt. Br. Dir, Ofc of Prog Support.
Assistant Secretary for Nuclear Energy.	Exec Dir Ofc of Nuc En Pgms. Exec Dir, Ofc of Nuclear Energy Programs. Director, Fiscal Division Dir Otc of Plans and Resource Mgmt.
Ofc of Resource Managment.	Director, Ofc of Resource Management.
Dep Asst Secy for Naval Reactors.	Assoc Dir for Commiss Subs. Asst Dir for Reactor Saf and Comp. Assoc Dir for Reactors. Hd Op Reactors Sec. Sr Nav Reactors Rep (MAO). Chf Surface Ship Sys Br. Chf Submarine Sys Br. Chf Instrumentation and Control Br. Assoc Dir for Fiscal Mtrs. Asst to Asso Dir for SS and WCB. Hd Welding and Non-Dest Test Sec. Hd Submarine Section. Assoc Dir for Trid and Adv Sub Projs. Chf Reactor Refueling Br. Dep Reactors Refueling Br. Assoc Dir for Surf Ship and Wcb. Sr Nav Reactors Rep (Mare Is). Chf Nuclear Technology Branch Hd Surface Ship Section. Sr Nav Reactors Rep (W Milton) Asst. Dir. for Shpyd. Mtrs. Asst. to the Assoc, Dir, for SS and WCB. Dep Chf. Nucl. Compon branch. Assoc Dir. for High Spod Sub Proj. Chf Nucl Compon Branch. Dep Dir Nav Reactors Div. Waste Isolation Pilot Plnt. Pjt Comd. Spec Asst to Dep Dir Nr Div. Porg Mgr Prototype and Saps Operations. Dir Mgmt Supt Div. Asst Chf Scientist. Chf Nuc Tech Br. Asst to the Dir for Special Projects. Assoc Dir for Security, Public and Foreign Mat. Chf Quality Assurance and Stds and Oper Saf Br. Sr Naval Reactors Representative (Pasca, Ms). Senior Naval Reactors Representative. Chief Reactor Engineering Branch. Asst Manager for Operations.
Pittsburgh Naval Reactors Office.	Sr. Naval Reactors Rep (Portsmth). Sr. Naval Reactors Rep. (Nwpt News). Senior Naval Reactors Rep (Pearl Harbor).
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Nuclear Reactor Program.	Assoc Dep Asst Secy for Nuclear Reactor Prog. Chf Adv Isotope Separation Br. Chf Facl Mgmt Br. Chf Nucl Reactor Eval Br. Chf Safety and Physics Br. Chf Nucl Cycle Prgm Br. Dep Dir Nucl Pwr Devlp Div. Dir, Engineering Components Div. Dir Nucl Pwr Dvlpmt Div. Chf Space and terres Sys Br. Chf Gas Cooled Fast Reactor Br.
Environmental Protection Agency:	
Ofc of the Inspector General.	Assist Inspector Gen for Investigations. Dep I/G-asst I/G for Auditing Asst inspector General for Audits. Asst Dep Inspector General for Audits.
Ofc of Intern'l Activities.	Dep Dir, Programs and Operations.
Region I, Boston	Dir Enf Div Reg I. Dir Water Div Reg I. Dir-Air and Hazardous Materials Div Reg I.

Positions That Were Career Reserved During Calendar Year 1980—Continued

Agency and organization	Career reserved positions
Region II, New York	Dir-Surveillance and Analysis Div, Reg II.
	Dir-Enforcement Div-Reg II.
	Dir-Water Div Reg II.
	Asst Regl Admr for Planning and Mgmt.
	Dir Air and Hazardous Material Div.
Region III, Philadelphia.	Dir Enforcement Div Reg III.
	Dir Water Div Reg III.
	Dir Air and Hazardous Materials Division.
Region IV, Atlanta	Dir Water Div Reg IV.
	Dir Enf Div Reg IV.
	Dir Air and Hazardous Materials Div Reg IV.
	Dir Surveillance and Analysis Division.
Region V, Chicago	Dir Enforcement Div Reg V.
	Dir, Air and Hazardous Materials Div Reg V.
	Dir Surveillance and Analysis Region V.
	Director-Water Admr for Planning and Management.
	Asst Regl Admr for Planning and Management.
Region VI, Dallas	Dir Enf Div Reg VI.
	Dir-Air and Hazardous Materials Div Reg VI.
	Director-Water Div Reg VI.
	Dir-Surveillance and Analysis Div.
	Asst Regional Admr for Planning and Mgmt.
Region VII, Kansas City.	Dir Water Div Reg VII.
	Dir-Enforcement Div-Reg-VII.
	Dir-Air and Hazardous Materials Div Region VII.
Region VIII, Denver	Dir Water Div Reg VIII.
	Dir Air and Hazardous Materials Div Reg VIII.
	Director Enforcement Division Region VIII.
	Dir, Surveillance and Analysis Div.
Region IX, San Francisco.	Dir-Water Div Reg IX.
	Dir, Air and Hazardous Material Div.
	Dir-Enforcement Div-Reg IX.
	Director Surveillance and Analysis Division.
Region X, Seattle	Dir, Air and Hazardous Materials Div Reg X.
	Dir-Water Div Reg X.
	Dir Enforcement Div-Reg X.
	Dir Surv and Analysis Division—Reg 10.
Office of the Asst Adm for Planning and Management.	Dir Ofc of Occupaitonal Health and Safety.
	Assoc to Asst Admr for Management Reform.
	Dir, Contracts Mgmt Div.
	Dep Asst Admr for Admin.
	Dir Budget Operations Div.
	Dir Econ Analysis Div.
	Dir Program Analysis Division.
	Dir Policy Planning Division.
	Assoc to Asst Adm for Prog Mgmt and Policy.
	Dir Mgmt Info and Data Sys.
	Director, Personnel Management Div.
	Dep Dir Budget Operations Division.
	Assoc Dep Asst Admr for Mgmt and Agency SVCS.
	Dir, Facilities and Support Services Division.
	Dir Ofc Admin Cincinnati.
	Dir Ofc Admin Research Triangle Park.
	Dir, Management and Organization Division.
Ofc of the Dep Asst Admin for Planning and Evaluation.	Dep Asst Adm for Planning and Evaluation.
	Dir Standards and Regulations.
	Director Program Evaluation Division.
Ofc of the Dep Asst Admin for Resources Management.	Dep Asst Admr for Resources Mgmt.
	Dir Program Integration Division.
	Dir, Program Reporting Div.
	Senior Resources Management Assistant.
	Dir, Grants Admin Div.
	Dir, Financial Mgmt Div.
	Dir, Control Project Staff.
Ofc of Audit	Dir, Ofc of Audit.

Positions That Were Career Reserved During Calendar Year 1980—Continued

Agency and organization	Career reserved positions
Ofc of the Asst Adm'r for Enforcement.	Dir Ofc of Progs and Mgmt Opers.
National Enforcement Investigations Center.	Dir Nat'l Enforcement Investigations Center-D.
Ofc of Dep Asst Admin for Water Enforcement.	Director, Enforcement Division.
	Director, Permits Division.
	Assoc Dep Asst Admr for Water Enforcement.
Ofc of the Dep Asst Adm'r for Gen Enforcement.	Dir, Pesticides Enforcement Div.
	Dir-Stationary Source Enforcement Div.
Ofc of the Dep Asst. Adm'r for Mobile Source and Noise Enf.	Dir-Mobile Source Enforcement Div.
	Dir Manufacturers Operations Division.
	Dir Noise and Radiation Enforcement Div.
	Dir Field Operations and Support Division.
Ofc of Asst Admr'r for Air, Noise, and Radiation.	Director, Ofc of Program Mgmt.
	Dir, Surveillance and Emergency Prepared Div.
	Dir, Air, Noise and Radiation Health Res Div.
	Dir Statistics and Applied Mathematics Div.
Ofc of the Dep Asst Adm for Air Quality Plans and Standard.	Director Control Programs Development Division.
	Director, Monitoring and Data Analysis Division.
	Dir, Strategies and Air Stds Div-Durham, NC.
	Dir, Standards and Engineering Division.
Ofc of the Dep Asst Adm for Mobile Source Air Poll Con.	Director, Emission Control Technology Div.
	Dir Certification Division.
Ofc of the Dep Asst Adm for Radiation Programs.	Director Technology Assessment Div.
	Dir, Criteria and Standards Div.
Ofc of Dep Asst Adm for Noise Abatement and Control.	Dir Tech and Federal Programs Division.
	Tech Dir/Dep Asst Adm for Noise Abatement and Control.
	Director State and Local Programs Div.
	Director, Standards and Regulation Div.
Ofc of Asst Adm'r for Water and Waste Management.	Dir Facility Requirements Division.
	Dir State Programs Div.
	Senior Science Advisor (Minor Use Pesticides)
	Policy Advisor.
Ofc of DAA for Solid Waste.	Dir, Hazardous and Industrial Waste Div.
	Director, Land Disposal Division.
	Dir, State Prog and Resource Recovery Div.
Ofc of DAA for Water Program Operations.	Dir, Oil and Hazard-US Materials Division.
	Director Municipal Construction Division.
	Dir Municipal Operations and Training Division.
Ofc of DAA for Water Supply.	Dir Criteria and Standards Division.
	Dir, Ofc of Prog Dev and Evaluation.
Ofc of DAA for Water Planning and Stds.	Director, Effluent Guidelines Division.
	Director, Monitoring and Data Support Div.
	Dir Water Planning Division.
	Dir Criteria and Stds Div.
	Director Chemical Control Division.
Ofc of Asst Admr for Pesticides and Toxic Substances.	Dir, Health and Environmental Rev Div.
	Dir, Integration Staff.
Ofc of DAA for Prog Integration and Information.	Dir, Chemical Info Div.
	Dir-Monitoring Div.
	Tech Asst for Industrial Liaison.
	Dir International Chemical Affairs Staff.
Ofc of DAA for Chemical Control.	Director Premanufacturing Review Division.
	Director Control Action Division.
Ofc of DAA for Testing and Evaluation.	Dir-Environmental Review Division.
	Director Assessment Div.
Ofc of DAA for Pesticides Program.	Chf, Toxicology Branch.
	Dir, Spec Pesticide Review Division.
	Dir-Registration Division.
	Director-Program Support Division.
	Dir-Hazard Evaluation Division.

Positions That Were Career Reserved During Calendar Year 1980—Continued

Agency and organization	Career reserved positions
	Dir Benefits and Field Studies Div.
	SR Science Advr (Hazard Evaluation).
Ofc of Asst Adm'r for Research and Development.	Dep Dir for Technical Information.
	Dep Dir for Operations.
	Exec Dir, Reproductive Effects Assess Group.
	Executive Director Exposure Assessment Group.
Ofc of the Principal Science Advisor.	Principal Engineering Science Advisor.
	Principal Physical Science Advisor.
	Dir, Ofc of Exploratory Research.
	Senior Scientific Advisor.
	Principal Radiation Science Advisor.
Ofc of DAA for Monitoring and Technical Support.	Dir—Monitoring Technology Division
	Dir Environmental Research Information Center.
	Dir Technical Support Division.
	Director Environ Rsch Info Center, Cincinnati.
Ofc of DAA for Energy, Minerals and Industry.	Dep Dir—Environmental Research Lab Cincinnati.
	Dir Industrial and Extractive Processes Division.
	Dir Energy Processes Division.
	Dep Dir, Industrial Environmental Res Lab-Rtp.
	Dir Energy Coordination Staff.
Ofc of DAA for Environ Process and Effects Research.	Dir Waste Management Division
	Dir Agri and Non-Point Source Management Division.
	Dir Media Quality Management Division.
	Director, Water and Land Division.
Environmental Research Lab.	Dep Dir—Environmental Sciences Rsch Lab-Rtp
	Dir Water Supply Research Div.
Ofc of DAA for Health Research.	Dir Health Effects Division
	Director Criteria Development and Special Studies.
	Dir Water and Toxic Substance Health Res Div.
Health Effects Research Lab.	Dir, Res Adv and Spec Studies Ofc
Equal Employment Opportunity Commission.	Dist Dir (Baltimore)
	Dist Dir (New York).
	Dist Dir (Atlanta).
	Dist Dir (Houston).
	District Director (Detroit).
	Dist Dir (Sasn Francisco).
	Dist Dir (Dallas).
	Dist Dir (Chicago).
	Dist Dir (St Louis).
	Dist Dir (Miami).
	Dist Dir—(Indianapolis).
	Dist Dir (Memphis).
	Program Manager.
	Dist Dir—(Denver).
	Dist Dir—(Birmingham).
	Dist Dir—(New Orleans).
	Dist Dir—(Phoenix).
	Dist Dir—(Milwaukee).
	Dist Dir—(Charlotte).
	Dist Dir—(Seattle).
	Dist Dir—(Cleveland).
	Dist Dir—(Philadelphia).
Farm Credit Administration:	
Ofc of Supervision	Asst Dep Governor—Supervision
Ofc of Examination	Assoc Dep Governor and Chf Examiner
Ofc of Administration	Director Administrative Division
Federal Communications Commission:	
Office of the Executive Director.	Chief Data Automation Division
Broadcast Bureau	Chf, Complaints and Compliance Div
	Chief Broadcast Facilities Div.
	Chief Renewal and Transfer Division.
	Chf Hearing Div.
Field Operations Bureau.	Chief Enforcement Division
Common Carrier Bureau.	Managing Coun Comp and Litigation Task Force
	Chf Program Evaluation Staff.
	Chief Economics Division.
	Chf Enforcement Division.
	Chf, Mobil Services Div.
	Asst Bureau Chief (International).

Positions That Were Career Reserved During Calendar Year 1980—Continued

Agency and organization	Career reserved positions
Ofc of Science and Technology.	Chief International and Operations Division
	Chief Research and Standards Division.
	Chief Laboratory Division.
	Chief Spectrum Management Division.
	Chief Research and Analysis Division.
	Chief Authorization and Standards Div.
Federal Emergency Management Agency:	
Office of the Director	Asst Dir for Information Services
	Chf SC Adv.
Office of the Inspector General.	Inspector General
Ofc of Finance and Administration.	Dir of Finance and Administration
Office of Operations Support.	Dir, Computer Model Div
	Director, Special Facility Div.
	Supervisory Mathematician.
Assoc Dir for Mitigation and Research.	Asst Dir for Research
	Chf, Hazard Evaluation and Vulnerability Red Di.
	Chf, Emergency Operations Systems Division.
	Staff Dir, Techn Hazards Mitigation.
	Asst Assoc Dir for Natl Security.
Assoc Dir for Plans and Preparedness.	Asst Assoc Dir
	Asst Associate Director.
	Dir, Resources Assessment Div.
Federal Home Loan Bank Board:	
Office of Administration.	Director Administration
Office of Examinations and Supervision.	Dep Dir Examinations/Field Operations
	Deputy Director—Examinations/Policy.
Ofc of Internal Eval and Compliance.	Dir Internal Evaluation and Compliance Ofc
Federal Labor Relations Authority:	
Federal Service Impasses Panel.	Exec Director Fsip
Ofc of the Executive Director.	Executive Director
	Deputy Executive Director.
	Solicitor.
Ofc of Operations and Technical Assistance.	Dir, Ofc of Oper and Technical Asst.
Office of Case Handling.	Chf Counsel, Ofc of Case Handling
Ofc of the General Counsel.	Deputy General Counsel
	Assoc General Counsel.
	Asst General Counsel (Field Management).
	Asst General Counsel (Field Management).
	Asst General Counsel (Appeals).
Regional Offices	Regl Dir
Federal Maritime Commission:	
Office of the Secretary.	Secretary
Office of the General Counsel.	Dep Gen Coun for Legis and Ord and Lra
	Dep Gen Coun for Reports Opinions and Decision.
Office of the Managing Director.	Dir Bureau of Certification and Licensing.
	Dep Managing Dir.
	Dir, Bureau of Agreements.
	Dir, Bureau of Investigation and Enforcement.
	Dir, Bureau of Tariffs.
	Dir, Bur of Industry Economics.
	Dep Dir Bur of Ocean Comm Regulation.
	Dir Bureau of Hearing Counsel.
	Dir Bureau of Enforcement.
Federal Mediation and Conciliation Service: Ofc of Administration.	Dir Office of Administration
Federal Trade Commission: Ofc of Executive Director	Deputy Executive Director

Positions That Were Career Reserved During Calendar Year 1980—Continued

Agency and organization	Career reserved positions
General Services Administration:	
Office of the Administrator.	Special Council to the Admr for Ethics
Office of the Inspector General.	Asst Inspector Gen for Auditing
	Deputy Asst Inspector General for Auditing.
	Asst I/G for Investigations.
	Asst Insp General for Inspections.
Office of Acquisition Policy.	Asst Admr for Acquisition Policy
	Deputy Assistant Admr for Acquisition Policy.
	Dir, of Contract Clearance.
	Dir, Acquisition Management Rev Directorate.
	Dir Acquisition Policy.
	Director Federal Procurement Regulation.
	Dir, Ofc of Small and Disadvantaged Bus Utiliz.
Office of Human Resources and Organization.	Director, Ofc of Employee Dev and Training
	Dir, Ofc of Organization and Management.
	Dep Dir of Personnel (EP&PD).
	Executive Director.
	Director of Personnel.
	Dep Dir for Personnel Admin and Opers.
Office of Plans, Programs and Financial Management.	Dir of Finance
	Director of Budget.
	Director of Data Systems.
	Deputy Director of Planning and Analysis.
Federal Property Resources Service.	Asst Commr for Personal Property
	Dep Asst Commr for Personal Property.
	Asst Commr for Real Property.
	Asst Commissioner for Stockpile Management.
	Asst Commissioner for Stockpile Transactions.
Transportation and Public Utilities Service.	Asst Comm for Transportation Audits.
	Asst Commr for Transportation and Travel Mgmt.
	Asst Commr for Public Utilities.
	Asst Commr for Motor Equipment.
Public Buildings Service.	Asst Commissioner for Buildings Management.
	Dep Asst Commr for Buildings Management.
	Asst Regional Admin for PBS Regional 5.
	Asst Commr for Space Planning and Management.
	Asst Commr for Design and Construction.
	Internatl Projects Officer.
	Dep Asst Commr for Construction Programs.
	Asst Commr for Contracts.
	Asst Commissioner for Fed Protective Ser Mgmt.
Automated Data and Telecommunications Service.	Asst Commr for Procurement.
	Asst Commr for Systems Engineering and Oper.
	Asst Commr for Systems Engineering and Oper.
	Asst Commr for Policy and Planning.
	Dir-Data Communications Systems Div.
	Director, Advanced Planning and Reseach Divisi.
	Dir Voice Communications Systems Division.
	Dir Software Development Office.
National Archives and Records Service.	Asst Archivist, Pres Libraries.
	Dir, L B Johnson Library.
	Asst Archivist for Fed Archives and Records Ct.
	Asst Archivist for Records Mgmt.
	Dir, Harry S Truman Library.
	Dir, Dwight D Eisenhower Library.
	Asst Archivist, Natl Archives.
	Asst Archivist for Educational Programs.
Federal Supply Service.	Dir of Supply.
	Dep Dir of Contracts.

Positions That Were Career Reserved During Calendar Year 1980—Continued

Agency and organization	Career reserved positions
	Asst Dir for Requirements.
	Asst Commissioner for Supply.
	Asst to the Dir of Programs and Requirements.
	Asst Commissioner for Contracts.
	Asst Commissioner for Contract Management.
	Asst Commissioner for Programs and Requirements.
	Director of Supply Policy.
	Asst Comr for Property Management.
	Director Ofc of Acquisition Planning FSS.
Region 2—New York	Asst Regl Admr for PBS.
	Asst Regl Admr for Federal Supply Service.
Region 3—Philadelphia.	Asst Regional Admr for Contracting.
National Capital Region.	Deputy Regional Admr, National Capital Region.
	Regl Controller and Dir of Adm, Natl Capital Re.
	Asst Regl Adm for Public Building Service.
Region 5—Chicago	Deputy Regional Admr R-5 (Chicago).
Region 6—Kansas City.	Asst Regl Admr for Administration.
Region 7—Fort Worth.	Asst Regl Admr for Public Buildings Service.
Region 10—San Francisco.	Asst Regl Administrator for Administration.
	Asst Regl Admr for Public Buildings Service.
Department of Health and Human Services:	
Office of the Inspector General.	Dep Asst Inspector General for Auditing.
	Assoc Dir-Division of Audit Coordination.
	Dep Asst Inspector Gen for Investigations.
	Assoc Dir, Div of Univ and Nonprofit Audits.
OAS—for Management and Budget.	Dep Asst Sec, Finance.
	Dir, Div of Accounting Systems and Procedures.
	Dep Asst Secy for Grants and Procurement Mgmt.
	Dir, Div of Grants Policy and Regulations Devel.
	Asst Sec for Mgmt and Budget.
	Dir Ofc of Grant and Contract Financial Mgmt.
Ofc of Asst Secy for Personnel Adm.	Asst Sec for Personnel Administration.
	Dir Ofc of Personnel Systems Integrity.
Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluatin.	Director of Evaluation.
Office of the General Counsel.	Asst Gen Counsel (Business and Admin Law Div).
Office of Human Development Services.	Director, Office of Policy Development.
Health Care Financing Administration.	Dep Dir, Bureau of Support Services.
	Dir Ofc of Prog Adm, Bur of Porg Operations.
	Dir, Bur of Support Services.
	Dir Ofc of Demonstrations and Evaluations.
	Dir Ofc of Program Validation.
	Dir Ofc of Financial Management.
	Deputy Director Bureau of Quality Control.
	Director, Ofc of Research.
	Dir Office of Quality Control Programs.
	Dir Office of Human Resources and Admin Service.
	Dep Dir, Ofc of Res Demonstrations and Stat.
	Dir, Ofc of Professional Stds Review Org.
Ofc of Policy	Chf Actuary.
	Dir Ofc of Res and Statistics.
	Dep Chief Actuary (Long-Range).
	Chief Mathematical Statistician.
	Dep Chief Actuary Short Range SSA.
	Dep Dir, Ofc of Research and Statistics, SSA.

Positions That Were Career Reserved During Calendar Year 1980—Continued

Agency and organization	Career reserved positions
Ofc of Mgmt, Budget, and Pers.	Dir Ofc of Materiel Resources.
	Dir Ofc of Financial Resources.
Ofc of Assessment	Assoc Commr for Assessment.
	Dir Ofc of Security and Program Integrity.
	Dir Supplemental Security Studies SSA.
	Deputy Associate Commissioner Assessment.
Ofc of Hearings and Appeals.	Dep Assoc Commr OHA.
Assistant Secretary for Health.	Dir, Natl Ctr, Hlth Svcs Research.
	Dep Dir, Office of Administrative Management.
	Director, Office of Resource Management.
	Assoc Dir, Analysis.
	Assoc Dir for Data Systems.
	Assoc Dir, Cooperative Hlth Stats Sys.
	Assoc Dir for Mathematical Statistics.
	Economist.
	Dir, Div of Intramural Research.
	Associate Director for Research.
Division of Research Grantsd.	Assoc Dir for Statistics and Analysis Org.
Division of Research Resources.	Dir, Div of Res Resources.
	Dep Dir, Div of Res Resources.
Division of Research Servicesd.	Chf Biomedical Engineering and Instrumentation.
Associate Director for Administration.	Director, Div of Financial Management.
	Director, Division of Contracts and Grants.
Division of Computer Research and Tech.	Chief, Computer Center Branch.
	Chief, Lab of Applied Studies.
	Chf, Physical Science Lab.
National Library of Medicine.	Dep Dir, Natl Lib of Medicine.
	Dep Dir for Res and Education.
	Assoc Dir for Intramural Activities.
	Assoc Director for Extramural Programs.
	Dir-Lister Hill Natl Ctr for Biomedical Comm.
	Assoc Dir, Specialized Info Services.
	Asst Dir for International Programs.
	Dep Dir, Lister Hill Natl Ctr for Biomedical.
	Associate Director for Planning.
National Institute of Health Clinical Center.	Assoc Dir for Clin Care NIH and Dir Clinical Cen.
	Dep Assoc Dir for Clin Care-NIH and Dep Dir Cli.
	Hospital Administrator, Clinical Center, PHS.
Natl Institute of Environmental Health Sciences.	Assoc Dir for Health Hazard Assessment.
	Chf Lab of Pharmacology.
	Chf Laboratory of Biomedical Genetics.
	Chf, Laboratory of Molecular Genetics.
	Chf, Lab of Affimal Genetics.
	Chf, Lab of Pulmonary Function and Toxicology.
	Chief, Biometry Branch.
National Inst of Allergy and Infectious Diseases.	Dir-Immunology-Allergic and Immunologic Disease.
	Assoc Dir for Extramural Programs.
	Assoc Dir-Intramural Research Prog.
	Chf, Lab of Parasitic Diseases.
	Chf, Lab of Biology of Viruses.
	Chf, Laboratory of Microbial Immunity.
	Dep Dir Extramural Activities program.
	Spec Asst for Biometry, Off Sci Dir.
	Chf Molecular Biology Rocky Mt Lab Hamilton M.
	HD, Molecular Viral Disease.
	Director, Microbiology and Infectious Dis Progs.
	Chief, Lab of Immunogenetics.

Positions That Were Career Reserved During Calendar Year 1980—Continued

Agency and organization	Career reserved positions
Nat'l Institute of Neurological and Comm Disorders and Stroke.	Laboratory Director.
	Chf, Office of Biometry.
	Chf Lab of NeuroChemistry.
	Chf, Surgical Neurology Branch.
	Chf, Lab of Neuro-Otolaryngology.
	Clinical Dir, NINCDS.
	Director, Communicative Disorders Program.
	Director, Stroke and Trauma Program.
	Chief, Laboratory Neural Control.
Intramural Research	Chf, Devel and Metab Neurology Branch.
	Chf Lab of Molecular Biology.
	HD Cellular Neuropathology Section.
	Chief, Section on Neuroradiology.
	Chief, Lab of Biophysics.
	Chf, Lab of Neuropathology and Neuroanatomical S.
	HD, Section on Neuronal Interactions.
	Dir Fundamental Neurosciences Program.
	Chf, Lab of Experi Neurology.
Collaborative and Field Research.	Chief Lab of Central Nervous System Studies.
	Deputy Chief, Lab of Central Nervous Sys Stud.
Nat'l Heart, Lungs, and Blood Institute.	Asst. Dir. for Review, Nhlbi.
	Dir Div of Lung Diseases.
	Dep Dir Div of Lung Diseases.
	Dir, A/Sclerosis, Hypertension and Lip Met Prog.
Div of Blood diseases and Resources.	Chf Sickle Cell Disease Branch.
	Dir, Div of Blood Diseases and Resources.
Associate Director for Intramural Research.	chf Surgery Br.
	Chf Lab of Biochemical Genetics.
	Chf Lab of Biochemistry.
	Chf, Molecular Hematology Branch.
	Chief Macromolecules Section.
Laboratory of Biochemistry.	Sr Rsch Chemist, Sect on Cell Biology.
Laboratory of Chemical Pharmocology.	HD, Section on Enzyme Drug Interaction.
Molecular Disease Branch.	HD, Section on Chemistry.
Division of Heart and Vascular Diseases.	Dir Div of Heart and Vascular Diseases.
	Assoc Dir for Epidemiology and Biometry.
Associate Director for Extramural Research and Training.	Dep Assoc Dir, Extramural Res and Training.
National Cancer Institute.	Dir, Div of Cancer Res Resources and Center.
	Chf-Drug Evaluation Branch.
	Chf-Lab of Medical Chemistry and Biology.
	Chf, Epidemiology Branch.
	Deputy Director, Div of Cancer Cause and Preven.
	Chief Laboratory of Biochemistry.
	Assoc Dir for Medical Appl of Cancer Research.
	Dir Collaborative Research Program.
	Dir, Div of Resources, Centers Comm Activity.
	Dir, Div of Cancer Cause and Prevention.
	Chief, Radiotherapy Development Branch.
	Assoc Dir, Cancer Therapy Evaluation Program.
Div of Resources Centers and Community Activity.	Director, Div of Cancer Control and Rehabilitat.
	Special Asst for Liaison Activity.
Div of Cancer Cause and Prevention.	Chf Lab of Experimental Pathology.
	Sci Coord for Environmental Carcinogenisis.
	Assoc Director, Carcinogenesis Testing Progra.
	Chief, Lab of Viral Carcinogenesis.
General Laboratories and Clinics.	Head, Mathematical Biology Section.
	Chief, Laboratory of Cell Biology.
	Head, Nucleic Acids Section.
	HD, Protein Chemistry Section.

Positions That Were Career Reserved During Calendar Year 1980—Continued

Agency and organization	Career reserved positions
Division of Cancer Biology and Diagnosis.	Chf-Laboratory of Pathophysiology.
	Chf Lab of Immunobiology.
	Head, Nucleic Acid Enzymology Section.
	HD Biochemical Genetics Section.
	Head Comparative Oncology Section.
	Assoc Chf-Lab/Immunbiology/HD Humoral Immunit.
	Head, Cell Organization Sect, Lab Molecular.
	Head Invitro Carcinogenisis Section.
	Head Tumor Immunology Sect/ Asst Chf Surgery Br.
	Head Developmental Biochemistry Section.
Radiation Branch	Chf-Radiation Oncology Br.
Associate Scientific Director for Viral Oncology.	Chief, Lab of Molecular Virology.
	Assoc Scientific Director for Viral Oncology.
Associate Scientific Director for Demography.	Chf Biometry Branch.
	Assoc Dir, Field Studies and Statistics.
	Head, Math Statistics and Applied Mathematics S.
Associate Scientific Director for Carcinogenesis.	Chf, Biology Br.
	Chief Laboratory of Molecular Carcinogenesis.
Chemotherapy	Dep Dir-Div of Cancer Treatment.
	Assoc Dir Development Tharaputics Prog.
	Chf, Laboratory of Chemical Pharmacology.
Natl Inst of Arthritis, Metabolic and Digestive Diseases.	Assoc Dir Prog Anal and Scientific Communication.
	Assoc Dir for Digestive Diseases and Nutrition.
	Assoc Dir for Kidney, Urologic, and Blood Disea.
	AD for diabetes, Endocrine and Metabolic Dis.
	Assoc Dir for Arthritis, Bone, Skin Diseases.
	Chief, Laboratory of Bio-Organic Chemistry.
Extramural Programs	Assoc Dir for Extramural Prog Activities.
Intramural Research	Chf Sect on Enzymes and Celeular Biochemistry.
	Chf Sect on Intermediary Metabolism.
	Chief Section on Biochemical Mechanisms.
	Chf, Laboratory of Chemical Physics.
	Chf, Section on Spectroscopy and Structure.
	Chf Section on Human Biochemical Genetics.
	Sr Res Physicist, Mathematical Research Br.
	Sr Chemist, Clenical Endocrionology Br.
	Senior Research Chemist.
	Chf, Mathematical Res Br.
	Chief Section on Physical Biochemistry.
	Chf Arthritis and Rheumatism Br.
	Chf Pediatric Metabolism Br.
Laboratory of Physical Biology.	Chf, Lab of Physical Biology.
	Chf, Section on Comparative Physiology.
Laboratory of Biochemical Pharomacology.	Chf Sect on Biochemistry.
	Ch Sect Biochemistry of Amino Acids.
Laboratory of Chemistry.	Chief Lab of Chemistry.
Laboratory of Nutrition and Endocrinology.	Chf, Laboratory of Nutrition and Endocrinology.
	Chf Sect Nutritional Biochemistry.
Laboratory of Molecular Biology.	Senior Research Chemist.
	Chf Sect on Metabolic Enzymes.
	Chf Sect on Physical Chemistry.
	Head, Section on Molecular Structure.
Laboratory of Chemical Biology.	Chf Lab of Chemical Biology.
Nat'l Institute of General Medical Sciences.	Dir, Pharmacology/Toxicology Program.
	Dir, Cell and Molec Basis of Disease Prog.
	Dir Genetics Program.
	Assoc Dir for Program Activities.

Positions That Were Career Reserved During Calendar Year 1980—Continued

Agency and organization	Career reserved positions
National Institute of Child Health and Human Development.	Dep Dir Center for Population Res.
	Chf, Endocrinology and Reproduction Research Br.
	Chief Perinatal Physiology.
	Clin Dir Nat'l Inst for Child HLT and Human Dev.
Center for Population Research.	Chf, Fertility Regulating Methods Eval Br, Md.
Intramural Research	Chief, Laboratory of Molecular Genetics.
National Institute on Aging.	Scientific Dir NIA.
	Clinical Dir and Chf Clin Physiology Branch.
	Chief, Lab of Molecular Aging.
	HD, Molecular Chemistry Section.
National Eye Institute	Clinical Director NEI.
	Chf, Lab of Vision Research.
	Chf, Ofc of Biometry and Epidemiology.
	Dep Chief Ofc of Biometry and Epidemiology.
	Head, Sec on Exp Embrylogy.
	Head Experimental Pathlogy Section.
	Scientific Director.
National Institute for Dental Health.	Chief, Lab of Biochemistry.
	Chf, Lab of Microbiology.
	Chf, Laboratory of Dev Biology and Anomalies.
	Chf Oral Pharyngeal Dev and Function Sec.
	Chf, Enzyme Chemistry Section.
	Chf, Caries Prevention and Research Branch.
Food and Drug Administration.	Regional Director, FDA, Reg II, New York.
	Reg'l. Dir, Food and Drug Adm, Reg IX (San Franc.
	Reg'l. Dir, Food and Drug Adm, Reg IV, Atlanta.
	Regl Food and Drug Director, Reg V. Chicago.
	Regl Food and Drug Dir, Reg X, Seattle.
	Regl Food and Drug Dir, Reg III, Philadelphia.
	Regl Food and Drug Dir, Reg VII, Kansas City.
	Regl Food and Drug Dir, Reg I, Boston.
	Regional Food and Drug Dir, Reg VI, Dallas.
	Regl Food and Drug, Reg VIII, Denver.
Associate Commissioner for Compliance.	Dep Assoc Commisioner for Regulatory Affairs .
	Director, Enforcement Policy Staff.
National Center for Toxicological Research.	Assoc Dir for Biometry and Experimental Design.
	Assoc Dir for Scientific Res, NCTR.
	Assoc Dir for Chronic Studies, NCTR.
Bureau of Foods	Assoc Dir for Regulatory Evaluation.
	Assoc Dir for Laboratory Investigations.
	Dir Div of Food and Color Additives.
	Assoc Dir for Compliance.
	Dep Dir, Bureau of Foods.
	Dir, Div of Microbiology.
	Director, Division of Regulatory Guidance.
Ofc of assoc Dir for Nutrition and Consumer Sciences.	Assoc Dir for Nutrition and Consumer Sciences.
	Dir Div of Nutrition.
Office of Technology	Assoc Dir for Technology.
	Dir, Div of Food Technology.
	Dep Assoc Dir for Technology.
Ofc of Sciences	Dep Dir, Office of Sciences.
	Dir, Div of Pathology.
	Dir Division of Toxicology.
	Dir Div of Chemistry and Physics.
	Dep Dir, Div of Microbology.
Bureau of Drugs	Dir Div Oncology and Radiopharmaceutical Drug P.
	Director, Scientific Investigations Staff.
	Dir Div of Cardio-Renal Drug Products.

Positions That Were Career Reserved During Calendar Year 1980—Continued

Agency and organization	Career reserved positions
	Assoc Dir for Compliance.
	Assoc Dir for Information Systems.
	Dep Assoc for Biometrics Dir. and Epidemiology.
	Dir Div of Biometrics.
	Dir Div of Drug Experience.
	Dir Div of Neuropharmacological Drug Prod.
	Assoc Dir for Regulatory Affairs.
	Dir Div of Surgical Dental Drug Products.
	Dir, Div of Metabolism and Endocrine Drug Prod.
	Assoc Dir Biometrics and Epidemiology.
Office of Scientific Evaluation.	Assoc Director for New Drug Evaluation.
	Dir, Div of. Anti-Infective Drug Products.
	Dep Assoc Dir for Drug Monographs.
Off of Pharmaceutical Research and Testing.	Assoc Dir for Pharmaceutical Research and Test I.
	Chief Drug Pharmacology Br.
	Dir, Div of Drug Biology.
	Director-Division of Drug Chemistry.
	Director Div of Biopharmaceutics.
	Dir, Nat'l Ctr for Antibiotic Analysis.
	Dep Assoc Dir, Pharmaceutical Res and Testing.
Bureau of Biologics	Asst to the Dir for Medical Programs.
	Dir, Div of Control Activities.
	Dir Div of Pathology.
	Dir, Div of Blood and Blood Products.
	Dir, Division of Control Activities.
	Assoc Dir for Comp, Bureau of Biologics.
Bureau of Veterinary Medicine.	Dir Div of Veterinary Medical Research
	Dir Div of Drugs for Ruminant Species.
	Dir-Div of Drugs for Non Food Animals.
	Dir Div of Drugs for Avian Species.
	Dir Div of Drugs for Swine and Minor Species.
	A/D for Surveillance and Compliance.
	Assoc Dir for Science Evaluation.
	Assoc Dir for Research.
	Deputy Dir Bureau of Veterinary Medicine.
	Assoc Dir for Human Food Safety.
Bureau of Radiological Health.	Dir, Div of Biological Effects.
	Dir Div of Radioactive Mat and Nuclear Medicine.
Bureau of Medical Devices.	Assoc Dir for Standards.
	Assoc Dir for Device Evaluation.
	Associate Director for Compliance.
	Assoc Dir for Device Research and Testing.
Center for Disease Control.	Dir, Bureau of Training.
Bureau of Laboratories.	Dir Virology Division.
	Dir, Bacteriology Div.
	Chf, Licensure and Proficiency Testing Division.
	Dir, Parasitology Div.
Nat'l Inst of Occupational Safety and Health.	Dir, Div of Biomedical and Behavioral Science.
	Dir Clinical Chemistry Div.
	Director Division of Safety Research.
	Assoc Director for Research.
	Assoc Dir, Morgantown Operations.
Alcohol, Drug Abuse and Mental Health Administration.	Assoc Admr for Extramural Programs.
	Associate Director for Research.
National Institute of Mental Health.	Dir, Div of Scientific and Techn Info.
	Chief Lab of Psychology and Psychopathology.
	Associate Director, Minority Concern.
Office of Program Planning and Evaluation.	Chf, Theoretical Statistics and Mathmatics Bran.
	Assoc Dir Extramural Programs.
Division of Mental Health Service Programs.	Dir Div of Mental Health Svc Programs.

Positions That Were Career Reserved During Calendar Year 1980—Continued

Agency and organization	Career reserved positions
Division of Extramural Research Programs.	Dir Div of Extramural Res Progs.
Division of Special Mental Health Research.	Dir Division of Special Mental Health Research.
	Chief, Lab. of Preclinical Pharmacology.
Associate Director for Mental Health Intramural Res Prog.	Associate Director.
Division of Clinical and Behavioral Research.	Chf, Lab of Neurophysiology.
	Dir Div of Clinical and Behavorial Research.
	Chf Lab of Socio-Environmental Studies.
	Chf Lab of Developmental Psychology.
	Chf Sect on Neuropsychology.
	Chf, Sect on Pharmacology.
	Chf-Clinical Neuropharmacology Branch.
	Chf Clinical Psychobiology Branch.
	Chf, Psychopharmacology Research.
Division of Biological and Biochemical Research.	Chf, Lab of Cerebral Metabolism.
	Chief, Section on Myelin Chemistry.
	Chf, Lab of Neurochemistry.
	Chf Lab of Gen and Comparative Biochemistry.
	Chief, Lab of Brain Evolution and Behavior.
	Chief Lab of Neurobiology.
	Chf, Section of Cerebral Mechanism.
Saint Elizabeths Hospital.	Asst Superintendent, St Elizabeths Hospital.
	Assoc Superintendent for Psychiatry.
	Clinical Dir John F Marr Div.
	Clinical Director, Godding Division.
	Clinical Dir, Richardson Div.
	Clinical Director, Mary O'Malley Division.
	Dir Overholser Division of Training.
	Dir, Div of Medical Surgical Support Programs.
	Director, Laboratory Branch.
	Dir, Medicine and Surgery Branch.
	Chief Department of Medicine
	Chf Dept of Surgery.
	Director, Div of Forensic Programs.
Department of Housing and Urban Development:	
Ofc of the Inspector General.	Assist Inspector General for Investigations.
	Asst Inspector General for Audit.
	Deputy Inspector General
	Asst I G for Fraud Control and Mgmt Operations.
Asst Sec for Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity.	Dir Ofc of HUD Program Compliance.
	Dir Ofc of Fair Housing Enforce and Sec 3
Assistant Secretary for Administration.	Deputy Director of Personnel.
	Director, Office of Finance and Accounting.
	Director Ofc of Procurements and Contracts.
	Director, General and Program Accounting Group.
Assistant Secretary for Policy Development and Research.	Dir, Div of Energy Building and Tech Standards.
	Dir, Div of Gov Capacity Building.
Ofc of Asst Secy for Community Planning and Development.	Dir, Ofc of Environmental Quality.
OAS for Neighborhoods, Vol Assoc and Cons Protection.	Dir Ofc of Interstate Land Sales Regis.
Region I Boston	Area Manager, Reg I Boston.
Region II New York	Area Manager, Newark Region II.
	Area Manager, NY Region II.
Region III Philadelphia.	Area Manager, Philadelphia Region III.
	Area Manager, Pittsburgh Reg III.

Positions That Were Career Reserved During Calendar Year 1980—Continued

Agency and organization	Career reserved positions
Region IV Atlanta	Area Director Reg IV Atlanta.
	Area Mgr-Reg IV, Jacksonville, Fla.
	Area Mgr, Reg IV, Greensboro, NC.
Region V Chicago	Area Mgr, Columbus Area Office.
	Area Manager, Chicago Area.
	Area Mgr, Detroit Area.
	Area Manager, Indianapolis Area.
	Area Manager, Minn/St Paul area.
Region VI Dallas	Area Manager, Dallas, Reg VI.
	Area Mgr, Okla City Area, Dallas.
	Area Mgr, New Orleans Area.
Region IX San Francisco.	Area Office Director (Los Angeles, Calif).
	Area Mgr.
Department of Interior:	
Ofc of the Inspector General.	Assistant Inspector General for Auditing.
	Asst Inspector General for Investigations.
	Asst Inspect Gen for Policy and Resource Manage.
Office of Hearings and Appeals.	Chairman, Board of Land Appeals.
Board of Surface Mining and Reclamation Appeals.	Chrman-Bd of Surface Mining and Reclamation A.
	Mem-Bd of Surface Mining and Reclamation Appe.
	Mem-Bd of Surface Mining and Reclamation Appeal.
Ofc of Territorial Affairs.	Dep Dir for Finance and Administration.
	Director, Office of the Comptroller.
Ofc of the Solicitor	Deputy Assoc Solicitor, General Law.
	Deputy Assoc Solicitor, Indian Affairs.
	Asst Solicitor, Offshore Minerals and Int Law.
Nat'l Park Service	Ch Off of Pk Plan and En Qual.
	Asst Dir, Park Operations.
	Asst Dir, Cultural Resources.
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.	Deputy Assoc Dir-Federal Assistance.
	Chief Office of Biological Services.
	Deputy Assoc Dir-Natl Wildlife Refuge Syste.
	Deputy Associate Director-Research.
	Senior Scientist.
	Dir, Natl Fisheries Center.
	Dir Denver Wildlife Research Center.
Bureau of Mines	Chf Mining Engineer.
	Chief Scientist.
	Dir Div of Minerals Resource Technology.
	Research Director Denver Mining Res Con.
	Dir Div of Minerals Health and Safety Technol.
	Dir Div of Analytic Studies.
	Dir Div of Minerals and Land Assessment.
	Chief, Division of Helium.
	Chief Branch of Foreign Data.
	Dir Div of Prod/Consump/Data Collec and Interp.
	Dir Div of Minerals Availability.
	Res Dir Pittsburgh Mining and Safety Res Ctr.
	Research Dir, Twin Cities Research Ctr.
	Research Dir, Albany Metallurgy Res Ctr.
	Dir, Ofc of Mineral Information Systems.
	Dir Div of Research Ctr Operations.
	Dir Div of Minerals Environmental Technology.
	Dir Div of Minerals Availability.
	Chf Branch of Domestic Data.
	Research Dir-Minn-St. Paul Research Center.
	Dir Div of Field Operations.
	Dir-Minerals Information Systems.
U.S. Geological Survey.	Chief, Earth Resources Observation Sys Prog.
	Chief, Computer Center Div.
	Chief Publication Div.
	Prog Chf-Environmental Impact Analysis Progra.
	Chief of Natl Petroleum Reserve in Alaska.
	Assoc Chf, Land Information and Analysis Ofc.
	Chief, Eros Data Center.
	Chief, Geography Program.
	Chief Branch of Surface Water.
National Mapping Div	Chief, National Mapping Division.
	Associate Chief, National Mapping Division.
	Chf, Special Mapping Center.
	Asst Division Chief for Plans Operations.
	Chief, Off of Res and Tech Stds.
	Chf, Western Mapping Ctr-Topographic Division.
	Chf, Mid Continent Mapping Ctr Topographic Div.
	Chief, Rocky Mountain Mapping Center.
	Asst Div Chief for Information and Data Svc.
	Chief Eastern Mapping Center.
	Asst Div Chf for Research.
Water Resources Div	Chief Hydrologist.
	Assoc Chief Hydrologist.
	Regl Hydrologist Central Reg Lakewood.
	Research Hydrologist.
	Research Hydrologist (Ground Water).
	Regl Hydrologist Southeastern Region.
	Asst Chief Hydrologist, Res and Tech Coordinati.
	Regional Hydrologist, Western Region.
	Regional Hydrologist, Northeastern Region.
	Res Hydrologist (Water Quality).
	Chf, Water Data Coordn.
	Asst Chf Hydrologist for Operations.
	Asst Chf Hydrologist, Scien Public and Data Mg.
	Dep Asst Chf Hydrologist for Res and Tech Coord.
Geologic Div	Chief, Geologist.
	Chf, Ofc Earthquake Studies.
	Chief, Ofc of Scientific Publications.
	Assoc Chf Geologist.
	Chf, Ofc of Mineral Resources.
	Chf, Ofc of Energy Resources.
	Chief, Office of International Geology.
	Chief, Office of Environmental Geology.
	Chief, Ofc of Marine Geology.
	Chief, Ofc of Geochemistry and Geophysics.
Conservation Div	Chf Conservation Division.
	Asso Chief, Conservation Division.
	Regional Conservation Manager-Western Region.
	Regional Conservation Mgr-Eastern Region.
	Asst Div Chf for Operations.
	Depy Div Chief for Offshore Minerals Regula.
	Regional Manager, Central Region.
	Regl Conservation Mgr, Gulf of Mex Ocs Operat.
	Dep Div Chf for Onshore Mineral Regulation.
	Dep Div Chf Royalty Management.
	Regional Conservation Mgr, Pacific (OCS).
Water and Power Service.	Chief, Div of Design.
	Chief, Div of Construction.
	Chief, Div of Atmospheric Water Resources Mgm.
	Chief, Division of General Research.
Bureau of Land Management.	Asst Dir-Technical Services.
	Asst Dir-Renewable Resources.
	Asst Dir-Lands and Rights to Way.
	Asst Dir-Energy and Minerals Resources.
	Asst Director Recreatl and Environmental Areas.
Ofc of Water Research and Technology.	Assoc Dir-Technology Development.
	Interagency Water Research Coordinator.
Bureau of Indian Affairs.	Deputy Dir-Indian Education programs.
	Assistant Dir of Admin (Financial Manager).
International Communication Agency: Ofc of Assoc Dir for Management.	Dir Off Security.
International Development Cooperation Agency:	
Ofc of the Auditor General.	Deputy Auditor General.
Ofc of Financial Management.	Deputy Controller.
Ofc of Personnel Management.	Dep Dir Ofc of Personnel Management.
Bureau for Program and Management Services.	Dir Ofc Contract Management.
	Dir Commodity Management.
	Dep Dir-Ofc of Contract Management.
Interstate Commerce Commission:	
Office of the General Counsel.	Associate General Counsel, Litigation.
	Assoc Gen Counsel (Research and Opinions).
Ofc of the Managing Director.	Dir of Personnel.
	Dir Bureau of Operations.
Bureau of Accounts	Dir, Bur of Accounts.
	Asst Dir, Bu of Accounts.
Bureau of Traffic	Director, Bu of Traffic.
	Asst Dir, Bu of Traffic.
Office of Consumer Potection.	Deputy Director for Enforcement.
Ofc of Policy Analysis-Rail Svcs Planning Office.	Asst Director.
	Associate Director.
	Deputy Director and Chief, Section of Analysis.
	Dep Dir, Section to Rail Services Planning.
	Dep Dir and Chf, Sect of Motor Policy.
	Deputy Director for Special Projects.
Ofc of Consumer Protection.	Dir Ofc of Consumer Protection.
	Associate Director.
	Deputy Director for Policy Development.
Regional Offices	Regional Director (Boston).
	Regional Director (Philadelphia).
	Regional Director (Atlanta).
	Regional Director (Chicago).
	Regional Director (Forth Worth).
	Regional Director (San Francisco).
Ofc of Proceedings	Associate Dir.
	Assist to Director.
Section of Finance	Dep Dir and Chf, Section Finance.
	Asst Dep Dir and Asst Chief, Section of Finance.
Section of Rates	Dep Dir and Chf Section of Rates.
	Asst Dep Dir and Asst Chf, Section of Rates.
Section of Operating Rights.	Dep Dir and Chief Section to Operation Rights.
	Asst Dep Dir and Asst Chf, Sect of Operating Rt.
	Dep Dir for Cost, Economical and Financial Anal.
Department of Justice:	
Ofc of Professional Responsibility.	Counsel on Professional Responsibility.
U.S. Marshals Service.	Asst Dir for Operations.
	Asst Dir for Planning Budge and Admin.
	Asst Dir for Legal and Personnel Programs.
Executive Office for U.S. Attorneys and Trustees.	Dep Dir Exec Ofc for U.S. Attorneys.
Statistics Division	Dir Statistics Div.
Ofc of Correctional Programs.	Asst Dir for Correctional Programs.
	Dep Ast Dir for Correctional Programs.
	Dep Ast Dir for Correctional Programs.
Ofc of Federal Prison Industries.	Assoc Commr, Fed Prisons Industries, Unicor.
	Dep Assoc Commr-Sec. Fed Prison Industries, I.
Ofc of Planning and Development.	Asst Dir for Planng and Dev.

Positions That Were Career Reserved During Calendar Year 1980—Continued

Agency and organization	Career reserved positions
Ofc of General Counsel.	General Counsel.
Southeast Region	Regional Director.
	Warden Atlanta.
	Warden-Lexington KY.
	Warden Butner North Carolina.
Northeast Region	Regional Director.
	Warden Lewisburg, Pa.
	Asst Regional Dir.
South Central Region	Regional Director.
	Warden El Reno, Okla.
Western Region	Regional Director.
	Warden Terminal Island, CA.
	Warden, Lompoc, CA.
	Warden, McNeial Island, WA.
North Central Region	Regional Director.
	Warden Leavenworth, Kansas.
	Warden Springfield, Missouri.
	Warden Marion, Illinois.
	Warden Terre Haute, IN.
Office of Justice, Assistance, Research and Statistics.	Asst Dir, Ofc of Planning and Management.
	Asst Dir, Ofc of Audit and Investigations.
	Asst Dir, Ofc of Operations Support.
	Gen Counsel.
	Comptroller, Ofc of the Comptroller.
Law Enforcement Assistant Administration.	Asst Admr Ofc Criminal Justice Progs.
	Dep Ast Admr Ofc of Crminal justice Progs.
National Institute of Justice.	Asst Dir, Ofc of Research Programs.
	Asst Dir, Ofc of Dev Testing and Dissemination.
Bureau of Justice Statistics.	Assistant Administrator Bus.
	Asst Dir, Systems Dev Div.
Justice Management Division.	Prin Dep Asst General for Administration.
	Dep Asst Attorney General.
	Director Personnel and Training Staff.
	Director Internal Audit Staff.
	Director Budget Staff.
	Dir, Security Programs Staff.
	Dir-Systems Design and Dev Staff.
	Dir Systems Operations Staff.
	Dir Financial Staff.
	Deputy Assistant Attorney General.
	Special Asst to the Asst Attorney Gen for Adm.
	Deputy Assistant Attorney Geenral.
	Dir Library Staff.
	Director, Evaluation Staff.
	Dir, Propertyu Management and Procurement Staff.
	Dir, Systems Policy and Planning Staff.
Immigration and Naturalization Service.	Exec Asst to the Commissioner.
	Asst Commr for Naturalization.
	Chf Spec Inquiry Officer.
	Asst Commissioner for Detention and Deportation.
	Asat Comm for Administration.
	Asst Comm for Adjudication.
	Asst Comm for Inspection.
	Asst Commissioner for Information Services.
	Asst Commissioner for Investigation.
	Asst Commr for Border Patrol.
	Dep Regional Commissioner, Burlington, VT.
	Dep Regl Commr, Twin Cities, Min.
	Dep Regional Commissioner—Southern Region.
	Dep Regional Commissioner, San Pedro, Calif.
	Dis Director, SW Region, San Francisco Dis.
	District Director—San Diego.
Community Relations Service.	Regl Director, Region V, Chicago.
	Regl Director, Region IX, San Francisco.
	Regl Director, Region III, Philadelphia.
Department of Labor: Office of the Secretary.	Deputy Director Office of Civil Rights.
	Dir, Ofc of Civil Rights.
Ofc of the Inspector General.	Asst Inspector Gen for Investigations.
	Asst Inspector Gen for Audit.
	Dir Ofc of Loss Prevention and Analysis.
Office of the Dep Under Secy for International Affairs.	Dir Ofc of Trade Adjustment Assistance.
	Dir Ofc of Management Administration and Planng.
Office of the Solicitor.	Assoc Solicitor, Div of Labor-Management Laws.
	Assoc Solicitor for Plan Benefits Security.
	Assoc Solicitor for Labor Relations and Civil Rights.
	Assoc Solicitor for Occupational Safety and Health.
	Assoc Solicitor for Mine Safety and Health.
	Assoc Solicitor for Fair Labor Standards.
	Assoc Solicitor for Employee Benefits.
	Assoc Solicitor for Gen Legal Services.
	Director Special Litigation Staff.
Regional Solicitors.	Regional Solicitor, Chicago.
	Regional Solicitor Region IV—Atlanta.
	Regl Solicitor Boston.
	Regl Solicitor New York.
	Regional Solicitor Philadelphia.
	Regl Solicitor Dallas.
	Regl Solicitor Kansas City.
	Regl Solicitor San Francisco.
DAS for Administration and Management.	Asst Sec'y for Admin and Mgmt.
	Dep Asst Sec for Adm and Mgmt.
	Director Administrative Programs and Service.
	Dir Ofc of Budget.
	Dir Ofc Labor Mgmt Relations.
	Dir of Management Policy.
	Comptroller for the Department.
	Dir, Office of Education and Career Development.
	Dir, Office of Equal Employment Opportunity.
	Dir of Personnel Management.
	Dep Dir of Personnel Management.
	Deputy Comptroller.
	Dir Ofc of Information Technology.
	Dir Ofc of Executive Personnel Management.
Ofc of Prog Dev and Accountability.	Dir, Ofc of Prog Development and Accountability.
Ofc of Federal Contract Compliance Programs.	Asst Adm'r for Fair Labor Standards.
	Dir—Div of Enforcement Coordination.
Wage and Hour Division.	Asst Adm'r for Govt Contract Wage Standards.
Ofc of Workers Compensation Programs.	Dir Federal Employees Compensation.
	Dir Coal Mine Workers Compensation.
Labor-Management Services Administration.	Asst Admr for Field Operations.
Pension and Welfare Benefits Programs.	Dep Admr for Pension and Welfare Benefits Prog.
	Asst Admr, Enforcement.
	Asst Admr for Pension and Welfare Benefit Prog.
	Asst Admr for Fiduciary Standards.
	Asst Admr, Reporting and Plan Standards.
Planning Evaluations and Systems.	Director, Office of Management.
Labor-Management Standards Enforcement.	Dir Ofc of Labor-Management Stds Enforcement.
	Dep Dir Ofc Labor Mgt Standards Enforcement.
Bureau of Labor Statistics.	Deputy Commissioner.
	Asst Commr for Field Operations.
	Dir/Prog Eval and Coord.
Date Analysis.	Asst Commr, Occupational Safety and Health Stat.
	Asst Commr, Economic Growth.
	Assoc Comr for Prices and Living Conditions.
	Asst Comm Productivity and Technology.
	Asst Commissioner for Current Employment Anal.
	Asst Commr for Wages and Industrial Relations.
	Asst Commr for Research Methods and Standards.
	Asst Commr for Employment Structure and Trends.
	Dep Assoc Commr for Prices and Liv Conditions.
	Asst Commr for Consumer Prices and Price Indexes.
	Asst Commr for Indust Prices and Price Indexes.
	Deputy Asst Commr for Employment Str and Trends.
Statistcal Operations and Processing.	Asst Commissioner for Survey Design.
	Assoc Commr for Statistical Operations.
	Asst Commissioner for Systems and Standards.
	Dep Assoc Commr for Statistical Operations.
Administrative Management.	Assoc Commr for Administrative Management.
Regional Commissioners.	Regional Commr New York.
	Reg Commr—Bls (Chicago).
Employment and Training Admin.	Dir Ofc of Investigation and Compliance.
U.S. Employment Service.	Director, Office of Technical Support.
Ofc of Management Assistance.	Director Office of Management and Training.
Ofc of Administration and Management.	Admr Administration and Mgt.
	Dir, Ofc of Mgmt Info Systs.
	Comptroller.
	Dep Admr, Administration and Mgmt.
Ofc of National Programs.	Chf, Div of Indian and Native American Programs.
Ofc of Policy, Eval, and Research.	Director Ofc of Program Evaluation.
Administrative Programs.	Dir, Adm Progs Occup Safety and Health Administ.
Health Standards Programs.	Dir Health Standards Programs.
Safety Standards Programs.	Dir Safety Standards Programs.
Federal Compliance and State Programs.	Dir Federal Compliance and State Programs.
Technical Support.	Dir Tech Support.
Mine Safety and Health Administration.	Chf of Standards, Regulations and Variances.
	Director of Administration and Management.
	Director of Assessments.
	Director of Technical Support.
Merit Systems Protection Board:	
Ofc of Managing Director.	Managing Dir.
	Deputy Managing Director.
Ofc of General Counsel.	General Counsel.
	Deputy General Counsel.
Office of Legislative Counsel.	Legislative Counsel.
Ofc of Merit Systems Review and Studies.	Assoc Dir for Special Studies, MSRS.
	Associate Director for Operations, MSRS.
Ofc of Appeals.	Dir Ofc of Appeals.
Ofc of Administration.	Director of Administration.
Field Offices.	Chief Appeals Officer—New York.
	Chief Appeals Officer—San Francisco.
	Chief Appeals Officer—Chicago.
	Chief Appeals Office—Atlanta.
	Chief Appeals Officer—Philadelphia.
	Chief Appeals Officer—Dallas.
	Chief Appeals Officer—Washington, D.C.
Ofc of Special Counsel.	Assoc Spec Counsel (Investigation).
	Assoc Special Counsel (Prosecution).

Positions That Were Career Reserved During Calendar Year 1980—Continued

Agency and organization	Career reserved positions
National Aeronautics and Space Administration:	
Ofc of the Chief Engineer	Director, Program Assurance Division.
Ofc of Procurement	Director of Procurement.
	Deputy Director of Procurement.
	Assoc Dir of Procurement (Policy and Management).
Ofc of the Inspector General	Deputy Assistant Inspector Gen for Auditing.
	Assist Inspector General for Investigation.
	Assistant Inspector General for Auditing.
Ofc of the Assoc Adm/Comptroller	Deputy Director for Programming.
	Director of Facilities.
	Deputy Director, Facilities Division.
	Dir, Budget Operations Div.
	Dir, Supply and Equipment Management.
	Dir Financial Mgmt Div.
	Dep Dir, Financial Management Div
	Asst Compt for Prog Status Rev and Cost Assess.
Ofc of the Assoc Admr for Mgmt Opers	Chrman NASA Inventions and Const Bd.
	Asst Dir Inst Opers Div.
	Chief, NASA Safety Office.
Personnel Programs Division	Dir Personnel Programs Div.
	Dep Dir Personnel Progs Div.
International Systems Division	Chf Mgmt Processes and Directives Br.
	Dep Dir Info Sys Div.
	Chf Scientific and Tech Info Br.
Offc of Assoc Admr for Space and Terr Applics	Spec Asst to Assoc Admr for Sp and Terres Apps.
Materials Processing Space Div	Asst Dir for Materials Science.
	Cht, Communications Systems Branch.
Environmental Observations Div	Dep Director, Environmental Observations Div.
	Chief, Atmospheric Processes Branch.
	Manager, Operational Meteorological Satellite.
	Chief, Oceanic Process Branch.
	Mgr, Advanced Instrumentations and Sensor Engineer.
Resource Observation Div	Deputy Director, Special Programs.
	Chief, Non-Renewable Resources Branch.
	Chief, Renewable Resources Branch.
	Chief, Scientist, Earth and Ocean Dynamics.
	Chief, Geodynamics Branch.
Applications System Div	Deputy Dir Resource Observation Div
	Chief, Flight Systems Branch.
Technology Transfer Div	Chief, Data Systems Branch.
	Chief, Terrestrial Applications Branch.
	Chief, Applications Development Branch.
Ofc of Assoc Admr for Space Transp Sys	Chief Systems Engineering Branch.
	Director Space Shuttle Orbiter Division
	Chief, Sts Program Budget and Control.
	Chief Sts Integration Branch.
Space Transp Syst Opers Div	Dep Dir, Space Transportation Sys Operations.
	Chief, Pricing Launch Agreements & Customer.
	Chief, Mission Analysis and Integration Branch.
	Chief, Systems Engineering Branch.
	Chf Integrated Operations Branch.
	Dir, Sts Ground Sys and Flight Tests Div.
	Chief, Mechanical/Structural Systems Br.
	Dep Dir, Sts Ground Sys and Flight Tests Div.
	Chf, Flight Test Branch.
	Dir Operations and Systems Requirements.

Positions That Were Career Reserved During Calendar Year 1980—Continued

Agency and organization	Career reserved positions
Dir Expendable Launch Vehicles Prog Div.	Dep Dir, Expendable Launch, Veh Prog Div.
	Chf, Upper Stages Branch.
	Chf, Small and Medium Launch Veh Progs.
	Dep Dir, Sts Expendable Equipment Div.
	Chief, Inertial Upper Stage Branch.
Space Lab Prog Div	Deputy Director, Spacelab Program Division.
	Chief, Engineering Branch.
	Chf Integration and Test Branch.
Space Shuttle Proj Div.	Deputy Directork Space Shuttle Program.
	Chf, Space Shuttle Systems Operations.
	Chief, Avionics and Electrical Systems Branch.
	Dir, Sts Systems Eng & Integration Div.
	Chief, External Tank Branch.
	Dep Dir, Space Shuttle Main Engine Program.
Reliability Quality and Safety Div.	Director, Reliability/Quality and Safety Office.
Resource Mgmt/ Admin Div.	Dep Dir, Resource Management Administration.
	Chief Space Shuttle Prog Budget and Control.
	Chf Budget Ofc.
	Cht, Sts Operations Program Budget Control.
	Director, Sts Effectiveness Analysis.
	Deputy Director, Resources Management & Adm.
Advanced Projs Div	Dep Dir Adv Progs.
	Chf Adv Concepts Br.
	Chf Adv Dev.
Ofc of the Assoc Admr for Aeronautics and Space Tech.	Deputy Chief Engineer for Facilities.
Space Systems Div	Dep Dir Space Sys Div.
	Information Systems Ofc, Manager.
	Manager, Trasnportation Systems Office.
	Manager, Spacecraft Systems Office.
Aeronautical Systems Div.	Deputy Director, Aeronautical Systems Div
	General Aviation Ofc, Manager of the
	Low-Speed Aircraft, Manager of the
	High-Speed Aircraft, Manager of the
	Transport Aircraft Ofc, Manager of the
	Manager for Propulsion.
Research & Technology Div.	Dep Dir Res and Tech.
	Elec and Human Factors Office.
	Space Power and Propulsion Office
	Aeronautical Propulsion Manager.
	Mgr of the Aerodynamics and Fluid
	Materials and Structures, Manager of the
Energy Systems Division	Conservation and Fossil Energy Systems
	Dep Dir, Energy Systems Div.
	Space Utilization Sys Offc.
	Solar Terrestrial Sys Office.
Ofc of Assoc Admr for Space Science.	Dep Dir, Prog Analysis Div & Chief Resources
	Dep Dir Spacelab Mission Integration Division.
	Asst Director, Technical.
Astrophysics Division	Dep Dir Astrophysics Div.
	Discipline Scientist, High Energy Astrophysic
	Discipline Scientists, Astronomy/ Relativity
	Mgr Explorers/Suborbital Progs and A/Phy Paylds.
	Manager Research Flight Programs
	Manager, Astrophysical Observatories.
	Mgr Adv Progs and Tech.
Life Sciences Div	Dep Dir Life Sciences Division.
	Chief, Biological Sciences.
	Associate to the Director, Life Sciences Div.
	Cht, Res & Tech Development Branch.

Positions That Were Career Reserved During Calendar Year 1980—Continued

Agency and organization	Career reserved positions
Planetry Division	Deputy Director, Planetary Division.
	Chf, Planetary Programs Branch.
	Discipline Scientist, Planetary Astronomy.
	Chf, Planetary Mission Operations Branch.
Solar Terrestrial Div	Dep Dir, Solar Terrestrial Divison.
	Manager, Solar Terrestrial Satellites.
	Manager, Advanced Programs & Technology
	Manager, International Solar Polar Mission.
	Discipline Sci, Solar and Heliospheric Physics.
	Discipline Scientist, Space Plasma Physics.
Space Lab Mission Integration Division	Manager Space Science Missions.
Ofc of Assoc Admr for Space Tracking and Data Sys.	Assoc Dir Tracking and Data Relay/Sspd.
	Assoc Dir, Communications and Data Systems Div.
	Dir, Communications and Data Systems Div
	Chief, Network Operations Branch.
	Chief, Tdrss Operations.
	Asst Assoc Admir (Technical).
Network Sp Dev-Programs Div.	Chief, Systems Support Program.
	Director, Deep Space Network Systems.
Network Opers and Comms Prog Div	Manager, Deep Space Network Operations.
	Mgr Space Tracking and Data Network Prog.
Ames Research Center	Assoc Dir, NASA Ames Res Ctr
Aeronautics and Flight Systems Directorate	Dep Dir of Aeronautics and Flight Systems.
	Chief, Aircraft Operations Division.
	Chief, Flight Systems Research Division.
	Chief, Helicopter Technology Division.
	Chief, Simulation Sciences Division.
	Chief, V/Stol Aircraft Technology Division.
	Chief, Aerodynamics Division.
Astronautics Directorate	Deputy Director of Astronautics.
	Chief, Airborne Mission & Applications Div
	Project Manager, Pioneer.
	Chief, Space Science Division
	Chief, Thermo- and Gas-Dynamics Division
	Chief Computational Fluid Dynamics Branch
	Chief, Space Projects Division.
	Dir, Space Shuttle Main Engine Program
Life Sciences Directorate	Deputy Director of Life Sciences.
	Chief, Biomedical Research Division
	Chief, Extraterrestrial Research Division.
	Chief, Biosystems Division.
	Chief, Man-Vehicle Systems Research Division
Research Support Directorate	Chief, Research Facilities and Instrumentation.
Dryden Flight Research Center	Director of Project/Test Liaison Office.
	Deputy Dir, Flight Operations and Support.
	Chief, Research Pilots Division.
	Associatet Director.
	Deputy Dir of Project/Test Liaison Office.
	Deputy Director for Engineering.
Goddard Space Flight Center	Special Assistant to the Director.
	Chief, Advanced Plans Office (Staff).
	Director of Flight Assurance.
	Comptroller.
	Dir, Prog and Institutional Planning Ofc.
	Spec Asst to the Dir.
Administration and Management Directorate	Dep Dir of Management Operations.
	Procurement Officer.

Positions That Were Career Reserved During Calendar Year 1980—Continued

Agency and organization	Career reserved positions
Project Management Directorate.	Dep Dir of Project Mgmt.
	Mgr, Preliminary Systems Design Group.
	Project Manager, Landsat-D.
	Assoc Dir of Flight Proj for User Systems.
	Assoc Dir of Flight Proj for Sys Mgmt.
	Assoc Dir of Flight Projects for New Projects.
	Delta Project Manager.
	Project Mgr, Tracking and Data Relay Satellite Sy.
	Proj Mgr, Natl Oceanic Satell Sys Proj.
	Proj Mgr, Shuttle Payloads Interg and Rocket Ex.
	Proj Mgr, Tires Proj.
	Assoc Dir of Flight Proj for Mission Systems.
	Deputy Director of Flight Projects.
Applications Directorate.	Asst Dir of Applications for Operations.
	Asst Dir of Aps for Earth and Ocean Phys Aps Prog.
	Asst Dir of Applications for System Studies.
	Chief, Lab for Atmospheric Sciences.
	Chief, Information Extraction Div.
	Chief, Earth Observations Systems Div.
	Deputy Director of Applications.
Sciences Directorate	Assoc Dir of Sciences.
	Chief, Lab for Planetary Atmospheres.
	Heat, Electrodynamics Branch.
	Chief, Lab for High Energy Astrophysics.
	Chief, Lab for Astronomy and Solar Physics.
	Chief, Lab for Extraterrestrial Physics.
Engineering Directorate.	Dep Dir of Engineering.
	Chief, Instrument Division.
	Chf, Applied Engineering Div.
Networks Directorate	Deputy Director of Networks.
	Assoc Dir of Networks (for Operations).
Mission and Data Operations Directorate.	Dep Dir of Mission and Data Operations.
	Asst Dir of Mission and Data Ops (Rsch and Tech).
Johnson Space Center.	Ted Asst to the Dir Spec Asst for Mgmt.
	Chief, Tech Planning Office.
	Manager, Programs Operations Ofc.
	Manager, Nasa White Sands Test Facility.
Space Shuttle Program Ofc.	Asst Mgr, Space Shuttle Prog Offc, Huston, Te.
	Manager for Orbital Flight Test.
	Mgr for Flight Safety, Space Shuttle Prog Ofc.
	Manager for Operational Integration.
	Manager Systems Integration.
Shuttle Payload Integration and Dev Proj Ofc.	Deputy Manager, Sts Operations Program Ofc.
Center Operations Directorate.	Dep dir of Center Operations.
Administration and Program Support Directorate.	Dep Dir of Administration and Program Support.
	Asst Dir of Administration and Program Support.
	Dir of Procurement.
Space and Life Sciences Directorate.	Asst. Dir. of Space and Life Sciences.
	Asst. Dir. for Medical Operations.
	Deputy Dir for Earth Resources.
	Chief Planetary and Earth Sciences Duv.
	Chief, Medical Sciences Division.
	Dep Dir of Space and Life Sciences.

Positions That Were Career Reserved During Calendar Year 1980—Continued

Agency and organization	Career reserved positions
Engineering and Development Directorate.	Assoc Dir of Eng and Dev (for Program Support).
	Assoc Dir of Eng and Dev (for Program Dev).
	Assoc Dir of Eng and Dev (for Avionic systems).
	Chief, Traching and Communication Dev Div.
	Chief, Avionics Systems Div.
	Manager, Engineering Office, Orbiter Project.
	Chief, Propulsion and Power Division.
	Chief, Structures and Mechanics Division.
	Chf, Engineering Analysis Div.
	Chf., Spacecraft Design Div., Engineering.
	Chf., Shuttle Avionics Integ. Div., Engineeri.
	Chf., Crew Systems Div., Engineering and Dev..
	Chf., Experiment Systems Div., Engineering.
	Chf., Space Environ. Test Div., Engineering and.
	Deputy Director of Engineering and Dev.
	Asst Director for Systems Engineering.
	Assistant Director for Research and Dev.
	Asst Director for Integration and Products.
Flight Operations Directorate.	Deputy Dir of Flight Operations.
	Chief, Astronaut Office.
	Chief, Aircraft Operations Division.
	Chief, Crew Training and Procedures Div.
	Chf., Flight Control Div., Flight Operations.
	Chief Payload Operations Div.
Data Systems and Analysis Directorate.	Dep Dir of Data Systems and Analysis.
	Chf, Flight Simulation Div.
	Chief, Mission Planning and Analysis Division.
	Chf., Ground Data Systems Div., Data Systems.
	Chf., Spacecraft Software Div., Data Systems.
	Chf., Institutional Data Systems Div..
	Asst Dir of Data Systems and Analysis.
Safety Reliability and Quality Assurance Direct.	Dir, Safety, Reliability, and Quality Assurance.
	Deputy Dir. Safety, Reliability and Quality.
	Dep Dir, Safety, Reliability and Qual Assurance.
Kennedy Space Center.	Chf., Biomedical Office.
	Dir., Safety, Reliability and Quality Assurance.
	Associate Director for Sts Development.
Shuttle Projects Ofc	Deputy Manager, Shuttle Projects Office.
	Manager, Ksc Off-Site Operations Shuttle Proj.
Cargo Projects Office.	Deputy Manager, Cargo Projects Office.
Shuttle Opers Directorate.	Deputy Director of Shuttle Operations.
	Director, Spacelab Operations.
	Director, Shuttle Engineering.
	Director, Shuttle Processing.
	Director, Deployable Payloads.
Cargo Payloads Directorate.	Deputy Director, Cargo Operations.
Design Engineering Directorate.	Deputy Director of Design Engineering.
	Director, Project Management.
	Dir., Mechanical and Facilities Engineering.
	Director, Electronic engineering.
Technical Support Directorate.	Deputy Director of Technical Support.
	Director, Operations Managements.
	Director of Information systems.
	Director, Ground Systems.
Adm and Mgmt Opers Directorate.	Deputy Director of Center Support Operations.
	Director of Center Support Operations.

Positions That Were Career Reserved During Calendar Year 1980—Continued

Agency and organization	Career reserved positions
Projects Directorate	Mgr Aircraft Energy Efficiency Project Ofc.
Electronics Directorate.	Chf., Terminal Configured Vechiles Pro. Ofce..
	Chf., Analysis and Computation Division..
	Chief, Flight Dynamics and Control Div.
	Chf., Flight Electronics Division.
	Chf., Instrument Research Division.
Structures Directorate.	Chf., Acoustics and Noise Reduction Div.
	Asst Chief, Acoustics and Noise Reduction Div.
	Chief, Structures and Dynamics Div.
	Chf., Materials Division.
	Chief, Loads and Aeroelasticity Division.
Aeronautics Directorate.	Chief, Aeronautical Systems Div.
	Chief, Subsonic-Transonic Aerodynamics Div.
	Head, Transonic Aerodynamics Branch.
	Chief, High-Speed Aerodynamics Div.
	Assoc Chief High-Speed Aerodynamics Div.
	Chief, Flight Mechanics Div.
Space Directorate	Chief, Space Systems Division.
	Chief, Marine and Applications Technology Div.
	Chief, Atmospheric Environmental Sciences Div.
Lewis Research Center.	Dir, Reliability and Quality Assurance.
	Chief Scientist.
Aeronautics Directorate.	Chf Aerothermodynamics and Fuels Division.
	Chf Propulsion Systems Division.
	Chief Engine Systems Division.
	Chief Fluid Mechanics and Acoustics Div.
	Chief Transport Propulsion Office.
Energy Programs Directorate.	Dep Dir of Energy Programs (Technology).
	Dep Dir of Energy.
	Chief, Transportation Propulsion Division.
	Chief, Solar & Electrochemistry Div.
	Chief, Materials & Structures Div.
	Chf Fractures Branch.
	Chief, Wind and Stationary Power Division.
Space Systems and Technology Directorate.	Chf, Communications & Applications Div.
	Chief, Space Propulsion & Power Division.
	Chf Physics and Electronics Division.
	Chief Structures and Mechanical Technol Div.
Launch Vehicles Directorate.	Deputy Director of Space.
Administration Directorate.	Dep Dir of Adm (Resources and Financial Manag).
	Dir, Computer Services Div.
Marshall Space Flight Center.	Center Comptroller, NASA MSFC.
	Manager, Space Science Projects Office (Proj
	Dep Dir, Administration and Program Support.
Shuttle Projects Office.	Deputy Manager, Shuttle Projects Office.
	Manager, External Tank Project Office.
	Manager, Solid Rocket Booster Project Office.
	Project Manager, Main Engine Project.
	Manager Major Test & Engineering Mgmt Office.
Special Projects Ofc	Manager, Solar Energy Applications Project.
	Manager, Inertal Upper Stage Project.
Space Telescope Project Office.	Deputy Manager, Space Telescope Project.
Spacelab Program Office.	Deputy Manager, Spacelab Program Office.
Spacelab Payload Project Ofc.	Deputy Manager, Spacelab Payload Project.
	Manager, Office of Space Science Missions.

Positions That Were Career Reserved During Calendar Year 1980—Continued

Agency and organization	Career reserved positions
	Manager, Office of Applications Missions.
	Manager, 25 Kw Power Module Project.
Program Development Directorate.	Deputy Director, Program Development.
	Director, Program Planning Office.
	Director, Preliminary Design Office.
Science and Engineering Directorate.	Dep Dir, Science & Engineering
	Dir, Reliability & quality Assurance Ofc.
Assoc Dir for Engineering.	Assoc Dir for Engineering
	Deputy Associate Director for Engineering.
	Chf Engineer, Solid Rocket Booster Integration
	Chf, Engineer, Space Shuttle Main Engineer.
	Chf Engineer, Space Telescope.
	Chf Engineer, Intertial Upper Stage.
	Chf Engineer, External Tank Project.
	Chief Engineer.
Electronics and Control Lab.	Director, Electronics and Control Laboratory.
	Dep Dir, Electronics and Control Laboratory.
	Chf Guidance Cont and Instrumentation Div.
Systems Dynamics Lab.	Director, Systems Dynamics Laboratory.
	Chf, Aerophysics Div.
Data Systems Laboratory.	Dir Data Systems Laboratory
	Dep Dir Data Sys Lab Science and Engineering.
Materials and Processes Laboratory.	Dir, Materials and Processes Laboratory.
Systems Analysis and Integration Lab.	Director, Syst Anal and Integration Laboratory.
	Dep Dir Sys Analysis and Integration Lab.
	Chf Mission Analysis Division.
Structures and Propulsion Lab.	Director, Structures and Propulsion Laboratory.
	Dep Dir Structures and Propulsion Lab.
	Chf, Structures Division.
	Chf Engineering Analysis Division.
Space Sciences Lab.	Director, Space Sciences Lab.
	Chf, Atmospheric Sciences.
Test Laboratory	Dir-Test Laboratory.
Nat'l Space Technology Laboratories.	Deputy Manager.
	Deputy Manager—Programs.
National Capital Planning Commission.	Assoc Exec Dir Regional Affairs.
	Executive Director.
	Assoc Exec Dir D.C. Affairs.
	Asst Executive Dir (Admin).
	General Counsel.
National Credit Union Administration.	Comptroller
	Director, off of Internal Audit & Invest.
	Dir Ofc of Administration.
National Endowment for the Arts.	Director of Adm
	Director of Program Coordination.
National Endowment for the Humanities.	Deputy Chairman for Management.
National Labor Relations Board:	
Ofc of the Board Members.	Executive Secy
	Deputy Executive Secretary.
Div of Enforcement Litigation.	Deputy Assoc Gen. Counsel Appellate Court Br.
	Director, Office of Appeals.
Div of Advice	Associate Gen Counsel, Div of Advice.
	Deputy Assoc Gen Counsel
Div of Administration	Director of Administration.
	Deputy Director of Administration.
Div of Operations Management.	Assoc General Counsel, Div of Operation-Mgmt.
	Dep Assoc Gen Counsel, Div of Operations-Mgmt.
	Assistant General Counsel.
	Assistant General Counsel.
	Assistant General Counsel.
	Assistant General Counsel.
	Assistant General Counsel.
	Assistant General Counsel.
Regional Offices	Regl Dir Reg 1 Boston.
	Regional Director, Reg. 2, New York.
	Regional Director, Reg. 3, Buffalo.
	Regl Dir Reg 4 Philadelphia.
	Regional Director, Reg. 5, Baltimore.
	Regional Director, Reg. 6, Pittsburgh.
	Regl Dir, Region 7, Detroit Mich.
	Regional Director, Reg. 8, Cleveland.
	Regional Director, Reg. 9, Cincinnati.
	Regl Dir Reg 10 Atlanta.
	Regl. Dir., Reg. 11, Winston Salem.
	Regional Director, Reg 12, Tampa.
	Regional Director, Reg 13, Chicago.
	Regl Dir Reg 14 St. Louis.
	Regl Dir Reg 15 New Orleans.
	Regl Dir Reg 16 Ft Worth.
	Regl Dir Reg 17 Kansas City.Regl Dir Reg 18 Minneapolis.
	Regl Dir Reg 19 Seattle.
	Regional Dir, Reg 20, San Francisco.
	Regional Director, Reg. 21, Los Angeles.
	Regional Director Reg 22 Newark.
	Regional Director Reg 23 Houston Texas.
	Regional director Reg 24 Hato Rey Puerto Rico.
	Regl Dir, Reg 25, Indianapolis.
	Regl Dir Reg 26 Memphis.
	Regl Dir Reg 27 Denver.
	Regl. Dir. Reg. 28 Phoenix.
	Regl Dir Reg 29 Brooklyn.
	Regl Dir Reg 30 Milwaukee.
	Regl. Dir., Reg 32, Oakland.
	Regional Director, Reg. 33 Peoria, Ill.
	Regl Dir Reg 31 Los Angeles.
National Science Foundation:	
Office of the Director	Special Assistant.
Office of the General Counsel.	Associate Gen Counsel.
Office of Audit and Oversight.	Director
	Deputy Director.
	Head, Evaluation Staff.
Ofc of Planning and Resources Management.	Dir Budget and Program Analysis.
	Dir Strategic Planning and Policy Analysis.
Office of Government and Public Programs.	Assoc Dir for Public Programs.
	Head Congressional Liaison Branch.
Div of Astronomical Sciences.	Section Head, Ast Center Section.
	Section Head, Ast Research Section.
Div of Atmospheric Sciences.	Section Head-Ctrs and Facilities Program Sec.
	Section Head Grant Programs Section.
Div of Ocean Sciences.	Ofc Head Ocean Fac and Support.
	Section Head Oceanography Section.
Div of Polar Programs.	Deputy Division Director.
	Manager Polar Ops Section.
Division Of Ocean Drilling Programs.	Field Operations Officer.
Direct for Engineering and Applied Science.	Senior Staff Associate.
Div of Problem Focused Research.	Deputy Div Dir.
	Dep Dir for Social Sciences.
	Integrated Basic Research Group Leader.
Div of Applied Research.	Sect Hd Applied Social and Behavior Science.
	Sect Hd Math and Bio Sci and Eng.
Div of Intergovernmental Sci and Pub Tech.	Dir Intergov:t Programs.
	Dir Industrial Programs.
	Dirctor-Experimental Program.
Direct for Biological, Behavioral and Soc Sciences.	Executive Assistant.
	Executive Asst.
Div of Environmental Biology.	Deputy Division Director.
Div of Physiology, Cellular, and Molecular Biology.	Senior Scientist.
Div of Social Sciences.	Sect Hd Econ Geo and Poly Sci.
	Sect Hd Social Meas and Anal.
Direct for Mathematical and Physical Sciences.	Special Assistant.
	Senior Staff Associate.
Div of Physics	Deputy Division Dir.
	Sect Hd Nuclear Science.
Div of Mathematical and Computer Sciences.	Section Hd Computer Sciences.
	Sect Hd Math Sciences.
	Senior Staff Associate.
Div of Materials Research.	Section Head Material Research Lab.
	Sect Hd Metalurgy and Metals.
	Sect Hd Cond Matter Science.
Div of Chemistry	Sect Hd Chem Syn and Analy.
	Sect Hd Phy Chem and Dynam.
Directorate for Science Education.	Senior Staff Asociate.
Div of Scientific Personnel Improvement.	Deputy Division Director.
Div of Sci Education Resources Improvement.	Deputy Division Director.
Div of Science Education Dev and Research.	Deputy Division Director.
Div of Policy Research and Analysis.	Deputy Division Director.
	Group Leader, Socio Econ.
	Group Leader, Tech Assessment.
	Group Leader, Envir Energy and Resources.
	Group Leader, Inno Pro and Management.
Div of Science Resources Studies.	Dep Division Director.
	Section Head, Sci and Tech Pers.
Div of International Programs.	Deputy Division Director.
	Sect Hd Africa and Asia.
	Sect Hd Latin Amer and Pacific.
	Sect Hd Europe.
	Sect Hd Oper Studies and Analysis.
	Sect Hd Middle East.
Div of Grants and Contracts.	Division Director.
Div of Financial and Admin Mgmt.	Division Director.
Div of Information Systems.	Division Director.
Div of Personnel and Management.	Division Director.
National Transportation Safety Board:	
Office of the Managing Director.	Deputy Managing Director.
Bureau of Administration.	Adm Officer.
Bureau of Accident Investigation.	Dir, Bureau of Acc and Dent Investigation.
	Deputy Director for Operations.
	Field Inv Chief.
	Dep Dir for Management.
Bureau of Technology.	Dir Bureau of Technology.
	Dir Bureau of Technology.
	Deputy Director for Operations.
	Dep Dir for Management.
Nuclear Regulatory Commission:	
Atomic Safety and Licensing Brd Panel.	Chairman Aslbp.
	Exec Sec Aslbp.
	V Chairman—Exec Secy.
Atomic Safety and Liscensing Appeal Panel.	Chairman Aslap.
	Vice Chairman Aslap.
Office of Inspector and Auditor.	Dir OIA.
	Asst Dir for Investigations.
	Asst Dir for Audits.
Office of Public Affairs.	Dep Dir OPA.
Contracts	Director Division of Contracts.
Hearing Division	Assistant Chief Hearing Counsel.
	Assistant Chief Hearing Counsel.
	Assistant Chief Hearing Counsel.
	Assistant Chief Hearing Counsel.
Division of Accounting.	Director Division of Accounting.
Technical Support	Chf Applied Statistics Br.
General Engineering Stds.	Chf Structures and Components Stds Br.
	Chf Eng Methodology Stds Br.
	Chf Reactor Sys Stds Br.
Material Safety Stds	Chf Transpo and Product Stds Br.
	Chf Fuel Process Sys Stds Br.
Siting Stds	Chf Site Safety Stds Br.
	Chf Site Designations Stds Br.
	Chf Env Protection Stds Br.
	Chf Waste Management Standards Br.
	Chf Geosciences Standards Br.
	Chf Siting and Environmental Standards Br.
Safeguard Stds	Chf Occupational Hlth Stds Br.
	Chf Safeguards Stds Br.
	Chf Radiological Hlth Stds Br.

Positions That Were Career Reserved During Calendar Year 1980—Continued

Agency and organization	Career reserved positions
Planning and Program Analysis Staff.	Chf Research Analysis Branch.
TMI Program Office	Chf Antitrust and Indemnity Group.
Light Water Reactors	Chf Lwr Branch #1.
	Chief Lwr Branch #2.
	Chf Lwr Branch #3.
	Chf Lwr Branch #4.
	Chf Emergency Preparedness Licensing Branch.
Quality Assurance and Opns.	Chf Operator Licensing Branch.
	Chf Quality Assurance Branch.
Standardization and Advance Reactors.	Chief Standardization Branch.
	Chief Advanced Reactors Branch.
Engineering Projects	Chief Operating Reactors Branch #3.
	Chief Operating Reactors Branch #4.
	Chief Engineering Branch.
	Chief Environmental Evaluation Branch.
	Chf Operating Reactors Branch #1.
	Chief Operating Reactors Br #2.
	Chief Operating Reactors Br #3.
	Chief Operating Reactors Br #4.
Systems and Projects.	Chief Operating Reactors Branch #1.
	Chief Operating Reactors Branch #2.
	Chief Reactor Safety Branch.
	Chief Systematic Evalu Program Branch.
	Chief Plant Systems Branch.
	Chief Licensing Branch #1.
	Chief Licensing Branch #2.
	Chf Standardization and Spec Projects Board.
	Chief Licensing Branch #3.
Reactor Safeguards	Chief Reactor Safeguards Licensing Bu.
	Chief Operating Reactors Branch #5.
	Chief Operating Reactors Assessment Branch.
	Chf, Systematic Evaluation Program Branch.
	Chf, Operation Reactors Assessment Br.
Engineering	Chief Mechanical Engineering Branch
	Chief Materials Engineering Branch.
	Chief Structural Engineering Br.
	Chief Mechanical Engineering Br.
	Chief Hydraulic and Geotech Eng Brnch.
	Chief Geoscience Branch.
Reactor Safety	Chief Core Performance Branch.
	Chief Reactor Systems Branch.
	Chief Analysis Branch.
	Chief Containment Systems Branch.
	Chief Materials Engineering Branch.
	Chief Equipment Quals Branch.
	Chief Quality Assurance Branch.
	Chief Chemical Engineering Branch.
Plant Systems	Chief Auxiliary Systems Branch
	Chief Power Systems Branch.
	Chief Instrumentation and Control Systems.
	Chief, Environmental Engineering Br.
	Chief Sting Analysis Branch.
	Chief Utility Finance Branch.
Environmental Tech	Chf Environmental Specialists Branch.
	Chf Cost Benefit Analysis Branch.
	Chief Instrum and Control Systems Branch.
	Chief Power Systems Branch.
	Chief Containment Systems Branch.
	Chief Auxiliary Systems Branch.
Environmental Projects.	Chf Environmental Projects Br #1.
	Chf Env Proj Branch #2.
	Chief Radiological Assessment Branch.
	Chief Accident Evaluation Branch.
	Chief Effluent Treatment Systems Branch.

Positions That Were Career Reserved During Calendar Year 1980—Continued

Agency and organization	Career reserved positions
Site Analysis	Chf Effluent Treatment Sys Br.
	Chf Accident Analy Br.
	Chf Radiological Assessment.
	Chief Reactor Systems Branch.
	Chief Systems Interaction Branch.
	Chief Core Performance Branch.
Site Technology	Chf Hydrology—Meeteorology Br.
	Chf Geosciences Br.
Human Factors Engineering Branch.	Chief Human Factors Engr Branch.
	Chief Procedures and Test Review Branch.
	Chief Licensee Qualifications Branch.
	Chief Operator Licensing Branch.
Asst Dir for Generic Projects.	Chief Generic Issues Branch.
	Chief Licensing Guidance Branch.
	Chief Research and Stds Coordination Branch.
Asst Director for Technology.	Chief, Safety Program Evaluation Branch.
	Chief Operating Experience Eval Branch.
	Chief Reliability and Risk Assessment Branch.
Offc of Nuc Materiel Safety and Safeguards.	Dir NMSS.
Safeguards	Chief Physical Security Development Br.
	Chief Regulatory Improvements Branch.
	Chief Physical Security Licensing Br.
	Chief Mtl Control and Acountability Lic Br.
	Chief Technical Planning and Info Branch.
	Chief Technology Assessment Branch.
Fuel Cycle Safety and Licensing	Chf Uranium Fuel Licensing Br.
	Chf Advanced Fuel and Spent Fuel Licensing Br.
Materiel Safety and Licensing.	Chief Transportation Branch.
	Chief Procedures and Certification Br.
Operations and Technology.	Chf Tech Assessment Br.
Waste Management	Chf Hi-level Waste Tech Dev Br.
	Chf Hi-level Waste Licens Mgmt Br.
	Chf Licensing Proc and Integration Br.
	Chf Uranium Recovery License Br.
	Chief Low-level Waste License Br.
Probablistic Analysis Staff.	Dir Prob Anal Staff.
Water Reactor Safety Research.	Chf Analytical Adv Reac Sfty Res Br.
	Chf Analysis Dev Br.
	Chief Fuel Behavior Res Branch.
	Chf Metallurgy and Materials Res Br.
	Chief Expermntl Fast Reactor Safty Res Br.
	Chf, Fuel Behavior Br.
General Reactor Safety Research.	Chf Site Safety Res Br.
Safeguards Research	Chf Tech Support Br.
Fuel Cycle and Environ Res.	Chf, Waste Management Branch.
Office of Inspection and Enforcement.	Exec. Officer for Mgmt and Analysis.
	Executive Officer for Operations.
Region I	Dep Dir Reg I
Region II	Dep Dir Reg II
Region III	Dep Dir Reg III
Reactor Construction and Inspection.	Dir Div of Reac Cons Insp.
	Asst Dir Div of Reac Cons Insp.
	Chf, Performance Appraisal Br.
Reactor Opn Inspection.	Dir Div of Reac Operation Inspection.
	Asst Dir for Field Coordination.
	Asst Dir for Tech Prog.
Fuel Facilities and Mtls Safety Inspection.	Dir Div of Fuel Facil and Mtls Sfty Insp.
	Asst Dir Div of Fuel Fac and Mtl Sfty Insp.
Safeguards Inspection.	Dir Div of Safeguards Inspection.
	A/D Div of Safeguards Inspection.
Office of Management and Budget:	
Ofc of Administration	Asst. to the Director for Administration.

Positions That Were Career Reserved During Calendar Year 1980—Continued

Agency and organization	Career reserved positions
Ofc of Legislative Affairs.	Asst Dir Legislative Reference.
	Dep Asst Dir, Legislative Reference Division.
	Chief, Economics, Science and Govt. Branch.
	Chf, Resources, Defense, Internal Br.
Ofc of Federal Procurement Policy.	Asst Admr for Logistics.
	Asst Admr for Regulations.
	Asst Admr for Commercial Products.
	Dir Federal Acquisition Institute.
	Principal Assoc Admr for Procurement.
Budget Review Division.	Asst Dir for Budget Review.
	Dep Assistant Director for Budget Review.
	Chf, Budget Preparation Branch.
	Dep Chief Fiscal Analysis Branch.
	Chief, Financial Management Branch.
	Chief Fiscal Analysis Branch.
	Chief, Resources Systems Branch.
	Chief Fed Prog Info Branch.
Ofc of the Assoc Dir for Nat'l Secur and Int'l Affs.	Dep Associ Dir for Management.
Nat'l Security Div	Dep Assoc Dir for National Security.
	Dep Chief.
	Chf., Intelligence Community Branch.
	Chief, Navy Branch.
	Chief, Air Force Branch.
	Chief, Army Branch.
	Chief, Manpower, Pay and Policy Branch.
Intern'l Affs Div	Dep Assoc Dir for Internal Affairs.
	Dep Chief, International Affairs Division.
	Chief, State—ICA Branch.
	Chief, Economic Affairs Branch.
	Chief International Security Affairs Branch.
Ofc of the Assoc Dir for Nat'l Res, Engy and Sci.	Dep Assoc Dir for Spec Studies.
Energy and Science Division.	Dep. Assoc. Dir for Energy and Science.
	Chief Science and Space Programs Branch.
	Dep Div Chf for Programming.
	Chief Energy Technology Branch.
	Chief, Energy Conservation and Regulation Branch.
	Chief, Science and Space Programs.
Natural Resources Division.	Dep. Associate Dir. For Natural Resources.
	Chief, Water Resources Branch.
	Chief, Agricultural Branch.
	Chief, Environment Branch.
	Chief Interior Branch.
Ofc of the Assoc Dir for Human Res, Vet and Labor.	Dep Assoc Dir for Management.
Human Resources Programs Division.	Dep. Assoc. Dir. for Health and Income Maintena.
	Chief Health Branch.
	Chf, Income Maintenance Branch.
Veterans Labor and Education.	Deputy Associate Director for Labor, Vet and Ed.
	Dep Div Chf—Labor.
	Chief, Education Branch.
	Chf, Veteran Affairs Branch.
Ofc of Assoc Dir for Economics and Government.	Dep Assoc Dir for Special Studies.
Transportation, Commerce and Housing Division.	Dep Assoc Dir for Transportation-Comm and Housi.
	Dep Div Chief (Transportation).
	Chief, Commerce Branch.
	Chf, Housing and Urban Dev Branch.
Justice, Treasury and General Management Division.	Chf, General Management Branch.
	Dad for Justice, Treas and Gen Mgmt.
	Chief, Justice, Treasury Branch.
Management Improvement and Evaluation Div.	Asst Dir Mgt Improvement & Eval.
	Dep Asst Dir for Evaluation.
	Sr Mgmt Assoc, Mgmt Improvement and Eval.
	Sr Mgmt Assoc.
	Senior Management Associate.
Federal Personnel Policy Division.	Asst Dir Fed Pers Policy.
	Asst to the Asst Dir for Fed Pers Policy.

Positions That Were Career Reserved During Calendar Year 1980—Continued

Agency and organization	Career reserved positions
Office of Regulatory and Information Policy.	Dep Assoc Dir for Regulatory Pol and Reports MG.
	Dad for Info Pol (Nat Sec and Gen Gov Group).
	Deputy Asst Dir for Reports Management.
	Senior Mgmt Policy Analyst.
	Dep Asst Dir for Reg Pol Econ and Nat Res Group.
	Senior Regulatory Policy Analyst.
Intergovernmental Affairs Division.	Dep Assoc Dir. for Intergovernmental Affairs.
	Chief, Field Operations Branch.
	Director, Human Services Reorg Study.
	Chief, Assistance Policy Branch.
	Chf, Fed Assistance Information Branch.
Office of Personnel Management:	
Office of Internal Evaluation.	Dir-Office of Internal Evaluation.
Ofc of Intergovernmental Personnel Programs.	Dep Assi Dir for Grants Administration.
Asst Dir/Agency Compliance and Evaluation.	Dep Assi Dir for Agency Compliance and Evaluati.
Personnel Research and Development Center.	Dir, Personnel Research and Development Center.
Standards Development Center.	Dir, Standards Development Center.
Dep Assoc Dir-Personnel Investigations.	DAD Personnel Investigations.
	ADAD Personnel Investigations.
Compensation	Chief Actuary.
Asst Dir for Retirement Programs.	Asst Dir for Retirement Programs.
Asst Dir for Insurance Operations.	Asst Dir for Insurance Operations.
	Chief, Medical Operations BR.
Railroad Retirement Board.	Dir of Research.
	Dir Unemployment and Sickness Ins.
	Dir of Retirement Claims.
	Chief Executive Officer.
	Chf Actuary.
	Dep Executive Officer.
	Dir Budget and Fiscal Opers.
	Dir Bureau Processing and Accounts.
	Gen Counsel.
	Director of Investments.
Securities and Exchange Commission:	
Ofc of the Executive Dir.	Dep Exec Director.
Div of Corporation Finance.	Assoc Dir (Operations).
Office of the Chief Accountant.	Dep Chf Accountant.
Selective Service System.	Manager Administrative and Logistics Division.
	Assoc. Director, Planning.
	Assoc Dir, Management Information Systems.
	Assoc Dir, Policy Development.
Small Business Administration:	
Office of the Administrator.	Spec Asst and Dir, Ofc of Equal Op and Compliance.
Ofc of the Inspector General.	Asst Insp Gen Audits.
	Asst Inspector General for Investigations.
Bureau for Minority Small Business and Cap Own Dev.	Assoc Admr for Business Development.
	Dep Assoc Admin for Business Development.
Bureau for Support Services.	Assoc Admin for Personnel Management.
	Dir Ofc of Data Mgmt.
District Directors	District Dir, Phila.
	District Director, Region IX, San Francisco.
	District Dir, Reg IX, Los Angeles.
	District Dir, Puerto Rico.
	District Director, Region V, Chicago.
	District Director, New York.
Department of State:	
Policy Planning Staff	Member, Planning and Coordination Staff.

Positions That Were Career Reserved During Calendar Year 1980—Continued

Agency and organization	Career reserved positions
Office of Under Sec for Economic Affairs.	Dir, Office of East-West Trade.
	Spec Asst, Asst Sec State, Economic Affs.
Bureau of European Affairs.	Spec Asst (Labor and UN Affs).
Bureau of Intelligence and Research.	Deputy Director for Research.
	Deputy Director for Coordination.
	Dir, Ofc of Resources Policy.
	Dir, Ofc of Res. and Analysis Near East and S Asia.
	Dir, Ofc of Research and Analysis Soviet Affrs.
International Boundary and Water Commission.	Supervisory Civil Engineer.
Bureau of Personnel	Dir, Ofc of Civil Svc Career Dev and Assign.
Department of Transportation:	
Office of Inspector General.	Assistant Inspector General for Audits.
	Dep Assi Inspector General for Audit.
	Director, Special Program and Evaluations.
	Asst Inspector General for Pol, Plan and Resour.
	Dir, Dot-Wide Programs.
	Director, Regional Programs.
	Assistant Inspector General for Investigation.
Asst Sec for Administration.	Asst Secy for Administration.I02Ofc of Installations and Logistics.
	Dir, Ofc of Installations and Logistics.
	Dep Dir, Ofc of Installations and Logistics.I02Assoc Adm'r for Safety.
	Assoc Admr for Safety.
	Dep Dir Bureau of RR Safety.
Ofc of Pipeline Safety Regulation.	Assoc Dir for Pipeline Safety Regulation.
Ofc of Operations and Enforcement.	Assoc. Dir for Operations and Enforcement M.T.B.
Office of Accounting and Audits.	Dir, Office of Accounting and Audit.
Logistics Service	Director Logistics Service FAA.
	Dep Dir Logistics Service.
	Chf, Contracts Div.
Air Traffic Service	Dir Air Traffic SVC.
	Deputy Director Air Traffic Service.
	Chief, ATC Operations and Procedures Div.
	Chief Flight Service Division.
	Chf, ATC Automation Division.
	Chief, ATC System Programs Div.
	Chief Airspace and Air Traffic Rules Division.
Office of Airport Planning and Programming.	Dir., Office of Airport Planning and Program.
	Dep Dir Office of Airport Planning and Program.
	Chief, Grants-in-aid Division.
Assoc Admr for Aviation Standards.	Assoc Admr for Aviation Standards.
	Deputy Assoc Admr for Aviation Standards.
	Chf, Safety Regulations Staff.
Office of Airworthiness.	Director Office of Airworthiness.
	Chief, Aircraft Engineering Division.
	Chief, Aircraft Maintenance Division.
Office of Aviation Safety	Director, Office of Aviation Safety.
	Dep Dir, Ofc of Aviation Safety.
Office of Civil Aviation Security	Director, Office of Civil Aviation Security.
	Dep Dir of Civil Aviation Security.
Office of Flight Operations.	Dir Ofc of Flight Operations.
	Chf Aircraft Programs Division.
	Chief, Flight Standards Natl Field Ofc.
	Chief General Aviation and Commerical Div.
	Chief, Air Transportation Div.
Office of Aviation Medicine.	Fed Air Surgeon.
	Deputy Federal Air Surgeon.
	Chief, Aeromedical Stds Div.
Mike Monroney Aeronautical Center.	Chief Civil Aeromed Institute.
Great Lakes Region—FAA.	Chf Air Traffic Div—Great Lakes Region.
	Chf—Flight Standards Division.
New England Region—FFA.	Chief Flight Standard Division.

Positions That Were Career Reserved During Calendar Year 1980—Continued

Agency and organization	Career reserved positions
Northwest Region—FAA.	Chief Flight Standard Division.
	Chf, Los Angeles Area Aircraft Cert Ofc.
	Chief Aircraft certification Division.
	Chief, Seattle area Aircraft Cert Office
Central Region-Kansas City.	Chief, Flight Standards Div.
Rocky Mountain Region—FAA.	Chf, Air Traffic Div.
Eastern Region—New York.	Chf, Air Traffic Div, Eastern.
	Chf, Flight Standards Div (Eastern).
Southern Region—Atlanta.	Chief, Flight Standards Div Atlanta.
	Chf, Air Traffic Div, Atlanta.
Southwest Region—Ft. Worth.	Chf, Air Traffic Div Ft Worth.
	Chf, flight Standards Div.
Western Region—Los Angels.	Chf., Air Craft Engr. Div., Western Region.
	Chief, Flight Standards Divison Los Angels.
	Chief, Air Traffic Div, Los Angeles.
Ofc of the Federal Highway Adm'r.	Asst Admir (FHR).
Assoc. Adm'r for Safety.	
Assoc Adm'r for Safety.	
Bu of Motor Carr Safety.	Director.
	Dep Dir.
Off of Highway Safety.	Dir, Off of Highway Safety.
Assoc Admr for Right of Way and Environment.	Assoc Admr for Right-of-Way and Environment.
Off of Environmental Policy.	Dir, Ofc of Environmental Policy
	Chf, Environmental Programs Div.
Off of Right of Way	Director, Office of Right-of-Way.
	Chf, Real Property Acquistition Div.
	Chf, Relocation Assistance Div.
Natl Center for Statistics and Analysis.	Chf, Mathematical Analysis Div.
	Chf, Accident Investigation Div.
Vehicle research and Test Ctr.	Chf-Engineering Test Facility
	Chf, Safety Research Lab.
Ofc of Vehicle Safety Comp.	Chief, Validation Division.
Ofc of Vehicle Safety Standards.	Chf, Crash Avoidance Division.
	Chf, Crashworthiness Division.
Ofc of Automotive Fuel Economy Standards.	Chf, Light Truck Standards Division.
	Chf, Passenger Automobile Standards Branch.
US Coast Guard	Technical Director.
Department of Treasury.	
Office of the Secretary.	Senior National Intelligence Adviser.
Ofc of Tax Analysis	Deputy Director (Revenue Estimating).
	Assistant Director (Revenue Forecasting).
Debt Management	Deputy Director, Office of Debt Analysis.
Ofc of Asst Secy (Economic Policy).	Asst Dir for Economic Forecasting.
	Dir, Ofc of Pol Research.
	Senior Adv for Bal of Payments Anal and Pros.
	Dir, Energy Leg and Regulatory Anal Staff.
	Sr Economist.
Ofc of Under Sec for Monetary Affrs.	Spec Asst to the Under Sec (monetary Affs).
Ofc of Developing Nations Finance.	Dep Dir, Ofc of Dev Nations Finance.
Ofc of Multilateral Development Banks.	Alternate Dir, Asian Dev Bank.
	Dep Dir, Ofc of Internat'l Development Banks.
Ofc of Foreign Exchange Operations.	Dir, Ofc of Foreign Exchange Operations.
Ofc of International Monetary Affairs.	Dep Dir, International Monetary Affairs.
	Dep Dir, Ofc of International Monetary Affs.
Ofc of the Comptroller of the Currency.	Dir for inspections and Audit.
Ofc of the Chief Counsel.	Dir, Enforcement and Compliance.
	Dir, Securities Disclosure Div.
	Dir, Litigation Div.
Senior Dep Comptroller for Policy.	Sr Dep Comptroller for Policy.
Interagency Coordination.	Dep Comp for Interagency Coordination.

Positions That Were Career Reserved During Calendar Year 1980—Continued

Agency and organization	Career reserved positions
Customer and Community Programs.	Dep Comp Customer and Comm Progs.
	Asst Dep Comptroller for Customer and comm Prog.
Research and Economic Programs.	Dep Compt for Research and Economic Progs.
	Dir, Bank Org and Structure.
	Dir, Strategic Analysis Division.
Sr Dep Comptroller for Bank Supervision.	Sr Dep Comptroller for Bank Supervision.
Multinational Banking	Dep Comp for Multinational Banking.
Specialized Examination.	Dep Compt for Specialized Exams.
Special Surveillance	Dep Comptroller for Spec Surveillance.
	Dir, for Spec Projects.
Chief National Bank Examiner.	Chf, National Bank Examiner.
	Asst Chf, National Bank Examiner.
	Asst Chf, Natll Bank Exam (Multinatl Banking).
Senior Dep Comptroller for Operations.	Senior Dep Comptroller for Operations.
Administration	Dep Compt for Adm.
Regional Offices	Reg'l Adm'r of National Banks (Region 2).
	Reg'l Adm'r of National Banks (Reg 7).
	Reg'l Adm'r of Nat. Banks (Reg 12).
	Reg'l Adm'r of Nat. Banks (Reg 8).
	Reg'l Admr of Nat. Banks (Reg 3).
	Regional Administrator of Nat. Banks
	Reg'l Admr of Nat. Banks (Reg 10).
	Reg'l Admr of Nat. Banks (Reg 11).
	Reg'l Admr of Nat. Banks (Reg 9).
	Reg'l Admr of Nat. Banks (Reg 13).
	Reg'l Admr of Nat. Banks (Reg 5).
	Reg'l Admr of Nat. Banks (Reg 14).
	Reg'l Admr of Nat. Banks (Reg 1).
	Reg'l Admr of Nat. Banks (Reg 4).
	Fiscal Assistant Secretary.
Ofc of the fiscal Asst. Secy.	Deputy Fiscal Asst. Secy.
Asst Fiscal Asst Secy (Finance).	
Asst Fiscal Assistant Secretary (Banking).	
Bureau of Government Financial Operations.	Comm'r of Government Financial Operatins.
	Dep Commr Bur of Gov Financial Operations.
	Asst Commissioner, Banking and Cash Management.
	Asst Commissioner, Comptoller.
	Asst Commr, Gov't wide Accounting.
	Asst Commr, disrbursement and Claims.
	Asst Commr Adm.
	Dir Div of Check Claims.
	Disbursing Officer.
	Dir Govt Accounting Sys Staff.
	Dir Div of govt Accounts and Reports.
	Dir Div of ADP.
	Assoc Commr, Bur of Gov Financial Operations.
Bureau of Public Debt.	Commissioner.
	Dep Comm of the Public Debt.
	Asst Commr (Fisd).
	Assistant Commissioner (Washington).
	Asst Commr (Financing)
Ofc of Personnel	Dep Dir of Personnel.
	Asst. Dir. (Exec Manpower and Emp).
Ofc of Budget and Prog Ana.	Dep Dir (Prog analysis) Chf Prog Analysis Div.
Ofc of management and Organization.	Deputy Inspector General (Audit).
Ofc of Audit	Dir of Audit.

Positions That Were Career Reserved During Calendar Year 1980—Continued

Agency and organization	Career reserved positions
Bur of Alchhol, Tabacco, Firearms.	Asst Dir (Regulatory Enforcement).
	Asst Dir (Criminal Enforcement).
	Asst Dir (Tech and Scientific Ser).
	Dep Asst Dir (Reg Enforcement).
	Dep Asst Dir (Criminal Enforcement).
	Reginal Director for Investigations.
	Regional Director for Investigations.
	Regional Director for Investigation.
	Special Asst to the Dir.
	Midwest Regl Counsel.
	Central Regl Counsel.
	Southeast Regl Counsel.
	Assistant Director (Internal Affairs).
U.S. Customs Service.	Asst Commr (Mgmt Integrity).
	Dir, Management Inspection Staff.
Ofc of the Chief Counsel.	Asst Chief Counsel (Customs Court Litigat.
	Miami Regl Counsel.
	Chicago Regl Counsel.
	New York Reg Counsel.
	San Francisco Regl Counsel.
Office of the Comptroller.	Asst Commr (Human Res Mgmt and Dev).
	Director, Planning and Budget Division.
	Dir, Ofc of Financial Management and Prog Eval.
Ofc of Asst Commr for Boarder Operations.	Director, Ofc of Inspection.
	Dept Asst Commr (Border Operations).
	Dir Ofc of Patrol.
	Dir Ofc of Investigations.
Ofc of Asst Commr for Commercial Operations.	Asst Commr for the Ofc of Regulations and Ruli.
	Director, Technical Services Div.
	Director, Classification and Value Division.
	Dir Ofc of Regulations and Rulings.
	Director, Entry Procedures and Penalties Div.
	Dir Duty Assessment Div.
	Dir Ofc of Trade Operations.
Regional Offices	District Director Detroit.
	Regl Commr Reg 2 NY.
	Dir, Ofc of Investigations.
	Reg Commr, Reg 1, Boston.
	Regl Commr of Customs, Reg 7, Los Angeles.
	Asst Regn Commr Operations Reg II New York.
	Dir Inspection and Control Div—Reg II New York.
	Regl Commr Reg 3 Baltimore.
	Regl Commr, Reg 4, Miami.
	Regl Commr of Customs, Reg 8, San Francisco.
	Regl Commr, Reg V, New Orleans.
	Reg Commr of Customs Reg VI.
	Regional Commissioner of Customs.
	Asst Regional Commer (Operations).
	Asst Regl Commr (Operations).
	Asst Regl Commr.
	Asst Regl Commr (Operations).
	Asst Regional Commr (Operations).
US Secret Service	Director of the Secret Service.
	Deputy Director U.S. Secret Service.
	Asst to the Dir, Training.
	Asst to the Dir, Pub Affs.
Ofc of Administration	Assistant Director, Administration.
Ofc of Inspection	Asst Director—OMS&ECTOPM (USSS).
Ofc of Protective Research.	Asst Dir (Protective Research).
	Dep Asst Dir Protective Res.
	Spec Agent in Charge—Tech Sec Div.
	Sec Agent in Charge—Intelligence Div.
Ofc of Protective Operations.	Asst Dir (Protective Operations).
	Dep Asst Dir (Protective Operations).
	Spec Agent in Charge—Presidential Protective.
	DAD Protective Opers (Uniformed Div).
	Spec Agent in Charge—VP Protect Div.

Positions That Were Career Reserved During Calendar Year 1980—Continued

Agency and organization	Career reserved positions
Office of Investigations.	Asst Director, Investigations.
	Special Agent in Charge, New York Office.
	Special Agent in Charge, Chicago.
	Special Agent in Charge, Los Angeles Office.
	Dep Asst Dir Investigations.
	Spec Agent in Charge—Washington Field Office.
	Spec Agent in Charge—Philadelphia Field Office.
	Dep Spec Agent in Charge—NY Field Office.
Offc of the Commissioner.	Deputy Commissioner IRS.
	Problem Resolution Officer.
	Asst to the Deputy Commissioner.
	Asst to the Commissioner (Public Affairs).
	Asst to the Commissioner (EEO).
	Asst to the Dep Commr.
Ofc of Asst Commr, Compliance.	Assistant Commissioner (Compliance).
	Dir, Examination Div.
	Director, Appeals Division.
	Dir, Criminal Div.
	Director, International Operations.
	Asst Dir, Examination Div.
	Asst Dir, Criminal Investigation Div.
	Asst Dir, Appeals Div.
	Deputy Assistant Commissioner (Compliance).
Asst Commr, Planning and Research.	Assistant Commissioner (Planning and Research).
	Dir-Legislative Analysis Div.
	Director, Statistics Division.
	Dir Research and Operations Analysis Div.
	Asst Dir, Statistics Div.
	Asst Dir, Research Operations Analysis Div.
	Asst Dir, Research.
Asst Commr, Technical.	Assistant Commissioner.
	Dep Asst Commr (Technical).
	Director Individual Income Tax Div.
	Director, Corporation Tax Division.
	Tech Adv to Dir—Corp Tax Div.
	Chf, Corporation Tax Branch.
	Chf Individual Income Tax Branch.
	Chf, Excise Tax Branch.
	Chief, Engineering and Valuation Branch Corp Ta:
	Asst Dir, Individual Tax Division.
	Dir, Tax Forms and Publications Div.
	Asst Dir, Corporation Tax Div.
	Assistant Director Tax Forms and Publications.
	Branch Chf, Reorg Br Corp Tax Div.
	Techn Advr to the Asst Commr (Technical).
Asst Commr, Tax Payer Serv and Returns Processing.	Deputy Asst Commissioner.
	Director, Taxpayer Service Division.
	Dir-Systems Programming Div.
	Director, National Computer Center.
	Dir, IRS Data Center Detroit.
	Dir, Returns Processing and Accounting Div.
	Assistant Dir Taxpayer Service Division.
	Dir, Disclosure Operations Div.
	Director, Tax Administration Adv Serv Div.
	Asst Dir, Returns Processing and Accounting Div.
	Asst Dir, Collection Div.
	Director, Collection Division.
	Asst Commissioner (Taxpayer Service and Returns).
Ofc of the Asst Commr (Data Services).	Asst Commr (Data Services).
	Dir, Planning and Control Staff.
	Deputy Asst Commissioner (Data Services).
	Dir, Systems Analysis Div.
	Dir Systems Development Office.
	Asst Dir, Sys Design and Programming Div.
	Dir, Systems Design and Programming Div.
	Dir, Systems Support Div.
	Asst Dir, Tax Systems Division.
	Director Tax Systems Division.

Positions That Were Career Reserved During Calendar Year 1980—Continued

Agency and organization	Career reserved positions
Ofc of Asst Commr, Inspection.	Assistant Commissioner (Inspection).
	Director, Internal Audit Div.
	Director, Internal Security Division.
	Asst Commr (Inspection).
	Dir-Service and Design Division.
	Asst Dir, Internal Security Division.
	Regional Inspector, Midwest Reg.
	Regional Inspector, North Atlantic.
	Regional Inspector, Western Reg.
	Regional Inspector, Southwest Reg.
	Regional Inspector, Mid-Atlantic Reg.
	Regional Inspector, Central.
	Regional Inspector, Southeast.
	Asst Dir, Internal Audit Div.
	Dep Asst Commr (Inspection).
Ofc of Asst Commr, Resources Management.	Asst Commr (Resources Management).
	Dep Asst Commr (Resources Management).
	Fiscal Management Officer.
	Director, Security Standards and Evaluation Div.
	Dir, Training Div.
	Dir, Facilitiesd Mgt Div.
	Director, Personnel Division.
	Asst Dir, Personnel Div.aPAsst Dir, Fiscal Management Div.
	Dir, Resources Management Div.
	Dir, Program Planning and Review Staff.
Central Region	Regional Commr
	District Dir (Cleveland).
	Dir Service Ctr Cincinnati.
	District Director (Parkersburg).
	District Dir, (Indianapolis).
	District Dir, (Louisville).
	District Dir, Cincinnati.
	Asst Dist Dir (Cleveland).
	Assistant District Director (Detroit).
	ARC (Tax Payer Service and Returns Processing.
	ARC (Examination)Central Region.
	Regl Dir of Appeals—Central Region.
	ARC (Criminal Inv).
	Asst Regil Comm (Resource Management).
	Assistant Regional Commissioner (Collection).
Mid-Atlantic Region	Regl Commissioner
	District Dir, Newark.
	District Dir, Philadelphia.
	Service Center Dir, Philadelphia.
	District Dir, Pittsburgh.
	District Dir, Richmond.
	Asst District Dir, Philadelphia.
	Asst District Dir, Newark.
	Assistant District Director—Baltimore, Md.
	ARC (TS/RP) Mid-Atlantic Region.
	ARC (Examination) Mid-Atlantic.
	Reg Dir of Appeals, Mid-Atlantic Region.
	ARC (Criminal Investigation) Mid-Atlantic Reg.
	ARC (Resources Mgmt).
	Asst Regil Commr (Collection).
	District Director, Wilmington.
	District Dir, Baltimore.
Midwest Region	Regional Commissioner Midwest Region
	Regional Commr, Midwest Region.
	District Dir, Chicago.
	District Dir, St Louis.
	Srvc Ctr Dir, Kansas City.
	District Dir, Des Moines.
	District Dir, St Paul.
	District Dir, Omaha.
	District Dir, Springfield.
	District Dir, Milwaukee.
	Asst District Dir, Chicago.
	Asst District Dir, St Louis.
	ARC (Taxpayer Serv and Return Processing).
	Asst Regional Commr-Comp (Midwest Region).
	Regl Dir of Appeals, Midwest Reg.
	Asst Regil Commdr (Resources Mgmt).

Positions That Were Career Reserved During Calendar Year 1980—Continued

Agency and organization	Career reserved positions
	District Director, Fargo.
	District Director, Aberdeen.
	Chief, Examination Division Chicago.
	ARC (Examination), Midwest Region.
	ARC (Collection) Midwest Region.
North Atlantic Region	Regl Commr
	District Dir, Manhattan.
	District Dir, Brooklyn.
	District Dir Boston.
	Service Center Director, Andover, Mass.
	Srvc Ctr Dir, Brookhaven.
	Chief Examination Div Manhattan.
	District Dir, Albany.
	Dist Dir (Hartford).
	District Dir, Buffalo.
	Asst Dist Dir, Brooklyn.
	Asst District Dir, Manhattan.
	Asst District Dir, Boston.
	ARC (Taxpayer Serv and Return Processing).
	Asst Regil Commr (Exam) North Atlantic Reg.
	Regional Dir of Appeals North Atlantic Region.
	ARC (Criminal Investigating).
	ARC (Resources Mgmt).
	ARC (Collection) North Atlantic Region.
	District Dir Providence.
	Dist Dir, Augusta.
	District Director, Portsmouth.
	District Director, Burlington.
	Chief Appeals Office New York City.
Southeast Region	Regl Commr
	District Dir, Jacksonville.
	District Dir, Atlanta.
	Service Center Director, Memphis.
	Srvc Ctr Dir, Atlanta.
	District Dir, Greensboro.
	District Dir, Nashville.
	District Dir, Birmingham.
	District Director, Columbia.
	District Director, Jackson, Miss.
	Asst District Dir, Jacksonville, Fla.
	ARC (TS/RP) Southeast Region.
	ARC (Examination) Southeast Region.
	Regl Dir of Appeals—Southeast Reg.
	Asst Reg Comm (Criminal Investigation.
	ARC—(Resources Management).
	Asst Regil Commr (Collection)—SE Reg Atlanta.
	Assistant District Director, Atlanta.
Southwest Region	Regional Commr
	District Dir, Austin.
	District Director, Dallas.
	Service Center Dir, (Austin).
	District Dir, Wichita.
	District Dir, Oklahoma City.
	District Dir, New Orleans.
	District Dir, Little Rock.
	District Dir, Denver.
	Asst Dist Dir, Austin.
	Asst District Dir, Dallas.
	Asst Reg Commr (Taxpayer Serv and Returns Proc).
	Asst Reg Commr, Southwest Region.
	Regl Dir of Appeals—Southwest Reg.
	ARC (Criminal Investigation) SW Region.
	ARC (Resources Mgmt).
	Assistant Regional Commissioner (Collection).
	District Director, Albuquerque.
	District Director, Cheyenne.
	Assoc District Director (Wichita).
Western Region	Regl Commr
	District Dir, Los Angeles.
	District Dir, San Francisco.
	Service Center Director, Fresno.
	Service Center Dir, Ogden.
	District Dir Reno.
	District Dir, Portland.
	District Dir, Seattle.

Positions That Were Career Reserved During Calendar Year 1980—Continued

Agency and organization	Career reserved positions
	District Dir, Phoenix.
	Asst District Dir, Los Angeles.
	Asst Dist Dir San Francisco.
	ARC (Taxpayer Service and Returns Proc) WR.
	Asst Regl Commr (Examination)
	Regl Dir of Appeals.
	ARC (Criminal Investigation).
	ARC (Resources Management).
	Asst Regl Commr (Collection)—Western Region.
	District Director, Salt Lake Cty.
	District Director, Honolulu.
	District Director Anchorage.
	District Director Boise.
	District Director, Helena.
	Chf, Examination Div, Los Angeles.
Employee Plans and Exempt Organizations	Asst Comm'r (Employee Plans and Exemp and Organiza.
	Deputy Asst Commr
	Director, Exempt Organizations Division.
	Dir Employee Plans Division.
	Director, Acturarial Division.
	Special Asst for Exempt Organization Matters .
	Asst Dir, Exempt Organizations Div.
	Asst Dir, Employee Plans Div
	Chf, Technical Branch .
	Chf Operations Branch .
	Chf, Technical Branch .
	Chf Operations Branch .
	Asst Dir, Ofc International Operations.
	Spec Asst for Employee Plans.
	Tech Adv/Asst to Dir Employee Plans Div.
	Dep Chf Counsel (Technical).
	Dep Chief Counsel (Litigation).
	Director, General Litigation Division.
	Dir, Tax Litigation Div.
	Dir, Interpretive Div.
	Dir, Legislative and Regulations Div.
	Dir, Administrative Services Div.
	Dir, Criminal Tax Div.
	Dir, Gen Legal Services Div.
	Technical Advisor to the Chf Counsel.
	Dep Chf Counsel (General).
	Dir, Disclosure Litigation Division.
	Dir-Employee Plans and Exempt Org Division.
	Asst Dir, Tax Court Litigation Division.
	Technical Advisor to the Chief Counsel.
	Asst Dir Interpretive Div.
	Asst Dir, Legislation and Regulations Div.
	Techn Asst to the Dep Chf Coun (Gen).
Regl Counsels	Regl Counsel, Central Reg
	Regional Counsel, Mid-Atlantic Region
	Regl Counsel Midwest Region
	Regl Counsel, North Atlantic Region
	Regional Counsel, SE Region
	Regl Counsel Southwest Region
	Regl Counsel Western Region
	District Counsel-Boston
	District Counsel-Los Angeles
	Dep Regl Counsel (Criminal Tax)
	District Counsel Cincinnati
	Dep Regl Counsel (Criminal Tax)
	District Counsel-Philadelphia
	District Counsel-Newark
	Dep Regl Counsel (Criminal Tax)
	Dep Regl Counsel (General Litigat)
	District Counsel-Chicago
	District Counsel-Manhattan
	Dep Regl counsel (Tax Litigation)
	Deputy Regional Counsel (General Litigation)
	District Counsel-Atlanta
	Dep Regl Counsel (Tax litigation)
	District Counsel-Dallas
	Dep Regl Counsel (Gen Litigation)
	District Counsel-San Francisco

Positions That Were Career Reserved During Calendar Year 1980—Continued

Agency and organization	Career reserved positions
U.S. Arms Control and disarament Agency:	
Office of Director	Chf Scientist.
Ofc of Administration	Administrative Director.
Office of Operations Analysis.	Chf-Ofc of Operations Analysis.
	Dep Chf-Ofc of Operations Analysis.
Office of the General Counsel.	Assistant General Counsel.
Off of the Public Affairs Adviser.	Dep Public Affairs Advisor.
Regional Division	Chief, Regional Div.
Strategic Affairs Division.	Chf, Strategic Affs Div.
	Chf, Strategic Affs Div.
Non-Proliferation Bureau.	Chief Nuclear Energy Division.
	Chief, Nuclear Exports Div.
	Chf Nuclear Safeguard Division.
Weapons Evaluation and Control Bureau.	Chief Technology Transfer Group.
	Chf, Arms Transfer Div.
Multilateral Affairs Bureau.	Chief Advanced Technology Div.
U.S. International Trade Commission:	
Ofc of the Dir of Operations	Dir, Ofc of Investigations.
	Dep Dir Ofc of Operations.
Ofc of Industries	Dir of Industries.
Veterans Administration:	
Board of Veterans Appeals.	Chairman.
	Vice Chairman.
Office of Personnel	Assoc Asst Admr for Personnel.
	Assoc Assistant Administrator for Personnel.
	Asst for Classification and Compensation.
	Assoc Asst Admr for Personnel.
	Assoc Asst Admin for Personnel Policy.
	Assoc Asst Admin for Personnel Operations.
	Executive Assistant.
Office of Inspector General.	Dep Inspector General.
	Asst Inspector General for Audit.
	Asst Inspector General for Investigation.
	Dep I/G for Intergovernmental Pol and Coord.
	Executive Asst to Inspector General.
Office of the Controller.	Dir Reports and Statistics SVC.
Office of Data Management and Telecommunications.	Dir, Benefits Delivery Support SVC.
	Dir Health Care Delivery Systems Support SVC.
	Dir Memorial Affairs and Genl Sys Support SVC.
Office of Construction.	Project Director, Northeastern Region.
	Project Dir Southern Region.
	Project Director—Central Region.
	Project Director, Western Region.
	Dir Program Control and Analysis.
Office of Supply Services.	Assistant Administrator for Supply Services.
Department of Veterans Benefits.	Director Budget Staff.
	Dep Dir Compensation and Pension Service.
	Dep Dir Loan Guaranty SVC.
	Dep Dir Education and Rehabilitation SVC.
Department of Medicine and Surgery.	Dep Dir Resources Mgmt.
	Dep Dir Program Mgmt Staff.
	Dir Facility Management Offic.
	Director Medical Statistics Service.
	Dep Dir, Mental Health and Behavioral Sciences.
	Director, Supply Service.
	Dir Canteen Service.
	Dep Dir Facility Mgmt Ofc.
	Dep to Asst Chf Med Dir for Academic Affairs.
	Dir, Resources Management Ofc.
	Deputy Director, Resource Management Office.
Research Positions	Chf Calcium Research Lab.
Water Resources Council: Water Resources Council Staff.	Asst Director-Program Coordination and Manage.
	Assistant Director-Policy.
	Dir Reports Evaluation Division

[FR Doc. 81-6695 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6325-01-M

Sunshine Act Meetings

Federal Register
Vol. 46, No. 41
Tuesday, March 3, 1981

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices of meetings published under the "Government in the Sunshine Act" (Pub. L. 94-409) 5 U.S.C. 552b(e)(3).

CONTENTS

	Items
Federal Communications Commission	1, 2
Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation	3
Nuclear Regulatory Commission	4
Parole Commission	5
United States Railway Association	6

1

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION.

The following item has been deleted at the request of the Office of Commissioner Lee, Acting Chairman; from the agenda items scheduled for consideration at the February 25, 1981 Open Meeting, and previously listed in the Commission's Public Notice of February 18, 1981 (#07149).

Agenda, Item No., and Subject

Private Radio—4—*Title:* Public coast radiotelegraph (Class I) station applications at Arcadia, TX. *Summary:* The FCC will consider disposition of two applications regarding servive by public coast radiotelegraph Class I stations. One application submitted by ITT World Communications, Inc., seeks to close a station at Arcadia, TX; and the other application requests authority to operate a new station at the same location.

The following item has been deleted at the request of the Office of Commissioner Lee, Acting Chairman; from the agenda items scheduled for consideration at the February 25, 1981 Closed Meeting, and previously listed in the Commission's Public Notice of February 18, 1981.

Agenda, Item No., and Subject

Common Carrier—1—Carrier applications for authority to expand communication links between the United States and Cuba.

Additional information concerning this meeting may be obtained from Edward Dooley, FCC Public Affairs Office, telephone number (202) 254-7674.

Issued: February 25, 1981.

Federal Communications Commission.
William J. Tricarico,
Secretary.

[S-338-81 Filed 2-27-81; 11:40 am]
BILLING CODE 6712-01-M

2

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION.

The Federal Communications Commission will hold a Special Open Meeting on the subjects listed below on Wednesday, March 4, 1981 at 9:30 a.m. in Room 856, at 1919 M Street NW., Washington, D.C.

Agenda, Item No., and Subject

Common Carrier—1—*Title:* An Inquiry Into the Use of the Bands 825-845 MHz and 870-890 MHz for Cellular Communications; and Amendment of Parts 2 and 22 of the Commission's Rules Relative to Cellular Communcations Systems (CC Docket No. 79-318). *Summary:* The Commission will consider adoption of rules and standards for commercial authorization of cellular mobile communications systems. The Commission will consider how the relevant market should be defined; what entities should be eligible to provide cellular service; how many systems to authorize and how much spectrum should be available; whether there should be separate allocations for wireline and non-wireline carriers; and whether separate subsidiaries should be required for some entities. Also to be considered are questions of interconnection, resale, and equipment and service offerings of cellular carriers. Technical standards, alternative proposals, and regulatory structure will be considered, as will be the mechanism for handling competing applications and questions of jurisdiction.

Common Carrier—2—*Title:* A, F & L Telephone. *Summary:* FCC considers petitions for reconsideration regarding application to renew license for DPLMRS Station KCC480 in Leominster, Massachusetts, operating on frequencies 152.21 and 454.025 MHz. It also considers petition for reconsideration regarding acceptance for filing of a DPLMRS application from Rivers Associates, Inc. requesting frequency 454.025 MHz in Fitchburg, Massachusetts.

This meeting may be continued the following work day to allow the Commission to complete appropriate action.

Additional information concerning this meeting may be obtained from Edward Dooley, FCC Public Affairs Office, telephone number (202) 254-7674.

Issued: February 25, 1981.

Federal Communications Commission.
William J. Tricarico,
Secretary.

[S-339-80 Filed 2-27-81; 11:41 am]
BILLING CODE 6712-01-M

3

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION.

Pursuant to the provisions of the "Government in the Sunshine Act" (5 U.S.C. 552b), notice is hereby given that at 4:30 p.m. on Thursday, February 26, 1981, the Borad of Directors of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation met in closed session, by telephone conference call, to consider a recommendation regarding the liquidation of assets acquired by the Corporation from Mohawk Bank and Trust Company (In Liquidation), Greenfield, Massachusetts (Case No. 44,706-L).

In calling the meeting, the Board of Directors determined, on motion of Chairman Irvine H. Sprague, seconded by Director William M. Isaac (Appointive), concurred in by Mr. Paul M. Homan, acting in the place and stead of Director John G. Heimann (Comptroller of the Currency), that Corporation business required its consideration of the matter on less than seven days' notice to the public, that no earlier notice of the meeting was practicable; that the public interest did not require consideration of the matter in a meeting open to public observation; and that the meeting was exempt from the open meeting requirements of the "Government in the Sunshine Act" by authority of subsections (c)(6) and (c)(9)(B) thereof (5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(6) and (c)(9)(B)).

Dated: February 27, 1981.

Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.
Hoyle L. Robinson,
Executive Secretary.

[S-337-81 Filed 2-27-81; 11:40 am]
BILLING CODE 6714-01-M

4

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION.

DATE: Tuesday, March 3, 1981.

PACE: Commissioners' conference room, 1717 H Street NW., Washington, D.C.

STATUS: Open.

10 a.m.: Discussion of Anticipated Transients ithout Scram Policy (public meeting)

2 p.m.: Meeting with Representatives of Nuclear Insurance Pools (public meeting)

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Walter Magee (202) 634–1410.

AUTOMATIC TELEPHONE ANSWERING SERVICE FOR SCHEDULE UPDATE: (202) 634–1498. Those planning to attend a meeting should reverify the status on the day of the meeting.

February 24, 1981.

Walter Magee,

Office of the Secretary.

[S-340-81 Filed 2-27-81; 3:16 p.m.]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

5

[1P0401]

PAROLE COMMISSION

TIME AND DATE: 2 p.m., Tuesday, March 3, 1981.

PLACE: Room 724, 320 First Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20537.

STATUS: Closed pursuant to a vote to be taken at the beginning of the meeting.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED: Referrals from Regional Commissioners of approximately 5 cases in which inmates of federal prisons have applied for parole or are contesting revocation of parole or mandatory release.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Linda Wines Marble, Chief Case Analyst, National Appeals Board, United States Parole Commission (202) 724–3094.

[S-341-81 Filed 2-27-81; 3:16 pm]

BILLING CODE 4410-01-M

6

UNITED STATES RAILWAY ASSOCIATION.

TIME AND DATES:

11 a.m., March 4, 1981;
9 a.m., March 5, 1981.

PLACE: Board Room 2–500, fifth floor, 955 L'Enfant Plaza North SW., Washington, D.C. 20595.

"FEDERAL REGISTER" CITATION OF PREVIOUS ANNOUNCEMENT: 46, FR 38, Thursday, February 26, 1981.

STATUS: The sessions will be closed to the public.

CHANGES IN THE MEETINGS:

The meeting on March 4, 1981, was previously scheduled for 2:00 p.m. is now scheduled to begin at 11:00 a.m.

The meeting on March 5, 1981 was previously scheduled for 10:00 a.m. is now scheduled to begin at 9:00 a.m.

[S-342-81 Filed 2-27-81; 3:31 pm]

BILLING CODE 8240-01-M

federal register

Tuesday
March 3, 1981

Part II

Department of the Interior

Bureau of Land Management

List of Wilderness Study Areas

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

List of Wilderness Study Areas

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: List of Wilderness Study Areas.

SUMMARY: This notice contains supplemental information on wilderness study areas administered by the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) in the contiguous Western States as of January 1, 1981, and published in the **Federal Register** on January 19. The supplemental information is published to assist the public in locating the wilderness study areas and to make minor corrections in the January 19 publication.

Members of the public who wish to participate in wilderness studies of particular areas listed here are invited to write to the appropriate BLM State Directors listed in Appendix A, and make their interest known. There will be opportunities for public participation in all the studies.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Questions about particular wilderness study areas should be directed to the appropriate BLM State Directors, whose addresses appear at the end of this notice. Questions about the nationwide aspects of the program should be directed to the Division of Wilderness and Environmental Areas (430), Bureau of Land Management, 18th and C Streets NW., Washington, D.C. 20240. Telephone (202) 343-6064.

RESULT OF THE INVENTORY: As a result of all BLM wilderness inventory efforts, as of January 1, 1981, there is a total of some 24 million acres of public land under study as wilderness study areas or instant study areas. Also as a result of the wilderness inventory, some 149 million acres have been determined to lack wilderness characteristics; these will not be considered further in the wilderness review.

Some of the wilderness inventory decisions are subject to change at a later date as a result of decisions on protests and appeals.

The total figures shown in Table I below have changed from those in the Statistical Summary Table (Table I) published in the **Federal Register** on November 14, 1980 (45 FR 75575.). The changes are a result of the following:

1. Corrections have been made to account for acreage according to State political boundaries instead of BLM administrative boundaries.

2. Certain lands in Colorado were identified as wilderness study areas, contingent upon the status of contiguous Federal lands administered by the U.S. Forest Service as proposed wilderness areas. Congress acted, denying wilderness designation to the contiguous lands, and therefore the BLM-administered lands ceased to be wilderness study areas.

3. Corrections have been made to eliminate double-counting of acreage in some wilderness study areas that overlap State boundaries.

4. The number of Wilderness Study Areas has been corrected to recognize as separate WSA's those areas which may have previously been combined as subunits of a single inventory unit.

5. The acreage figures for Arizona have been corrected to reflect lands for which the inventory is still in progress.

In addition, more precise geographic identification is provided to assist the public in locating each wilderness study area.

The results of the inventory are presented in three tables below:

Table I. Statistical Summary Table

Table II. List of Wilderness Study Areas

Table III. List of Instant Study Areas

Dated: February 25, 1981.

Ed Hastey,

Acting Director.

Table I.—*Statistical Summary Table*

[BLM wilderness inventory results [1] as of Jan. 1, 1981]

Contiguous Western States	Public lands subject to wilderness inventory (acres)	Identified as wilderness study areas [2]		Determined to lack wilderness characteristics (acres)	Inventory still in progress (acres)
		Number of areas	Acres		
Arizona	12,596,000	124	2,994,000	8,780,000	822,000
California	16,585,000	230	5,838,000	10,747,000	0
Colorado	7,996,000	86	790,000	7,203,000	3,000
Idaho	11,949,000	63	1,627,000	10,070,000	252,000
Montana	8,140,000	45	466,000	7,628,000	46,000
Nevada	49,118,000	121	5,249,000	43,766,000	103,000
New Mexico	12,847,000	54	1,053,000	11,785,000	9,000
North Dakota	68,000	0	0	68,000	0
Oklahoma	7,000	0	0	7,000	0
Oregon	13,965,000	92	2,501,000	11,184,000	280,000
South Dakota	277,000	0	0	277,000	0
Utah	22,076,000	100	2,580,000	19,496,000	0
Washington	310,000	3	6,000	304,000	0
Wyoming	17,793,000	49	585,000	17,208,000	0
Total	173,727,000	[3] 967	23,689,000	148,523,000	1,515,000

[1] Figure represents final intensive inventory decisions, some of which are subject to protest and appeal. There may be changes in acreage at a later date as a result of decisions on protests and appeals.
[2] Includes instant study areas (ISA s) in State total for areas and acres.
[3] Excludes Alaska (1 ISA) and double counting interstate units.

Table II.—*BLM Wilderness Study Areas (WSA's)*

[Excludes instant study areas]

Reference number meaning:
[1] Crosses State political boundaries.
[2] Crosses BLM resource administrative boundary.
[3] Abuts wilderness area or study area on lands administered by Federal agency other than BLM.

Table II A.—*Arizona*

WSA name	No.	Acreage	County	Resource area
Arizona strip district				
Starvation Point	AZ-010-005 [1]	16,410	Coconino	Shivwits.
Ferry Swale	AZ-010-006A	10,170	Coconino	Vermillion.
Judd Hollow	AZ-010-006B	1,226	Coconino	Vermillion.
Pana Rim	AZ-010-006C	106	Coconino	Vermillion.
Cedar Mtn.	AZ-010-006D	12	Coconino	Vermillion.
Paria Plateau	AZ-010-008A/19	124,428	Coconino	Vermillion.
Overlook	AZ-010-008B	7,348	Coconino	Vermillion.
Emmett Wash	AZ-010-009 [2]	12,913	Coconino	Vermillion.
Kanab Creek	AZ-010-031 [2]	39,907	Coconino	Vermillion.
Hack Canyon (A)	AZ-010-033A [2]	63,682	Coconino	Vermillion.
Robinson	AZ-010-034 [1]	9,671	Coconino	Vermillion.
Cottonwood Point	AZ-010-041	6,449	Coconino	Vermillion.
Toroweap	AZ-010-050 [2]	5,312	Coconino	Vermillion.
Mount Logan	AZ-010-051 [2]	8,803	Coconino	Vermillion.
Mount Trumbull	AZ-010-052	7,285	Coconino	Vermillion.
Poverty Mountain	AZ-010-091	7,872	Coconino	Shivwits.
Parashaunt	AZ-010-093 [2]	28,141	Coconino	Shivwits.
Damsil Canyon	AZ-010-096A [2]	294	Coconino	Shivwits.
Grassy Mountain	AZ-010-096C [2]	5,503	Coconino	Shivwits.
Andrus Canyon	AZ-010-96D	48,248	Coconino	Shivwits.
North Dellenbaugh	AZ-010-097	10,678	Coconino	Shivwits.
G&F	AZ-010-099 [2]	640	Coconino	Shivwits.
Salt House	AZ-010-104A	13,465	Coconino	Shivwits.
Mustang Point	AZ-010-104B	27,250	Coconino	Shivwits.
Nevershine Mesa	AZ-010-105A [2]	19,457	Coconino	Shivwits.
Snap Point	AZ-010-105B [2]	9,500	Coconino	Shivwits.
Tincanebitts	AZ-010-105C [2]	2,715	Coconino	Shivwits.
Grand Gulch	AZ-010-107 [2] [3]	8,141	Coconino	Shivwits.
Pigeon Canyon	AZ-010-109	33,348	Coconino	Shivwits.
Last Chance	AZ-010-111	38,050	Coconino	Shivwits.
Grand Wash Cliffs	AZ-010-112	31,503	Coconino	Shivwits.
Pakoon Springs	AZ-010-114	24,800	Coconino	Shivwits.
Hidden Rim	AZ-010-119	16,563	Coconino	Shivwits.
Hobbie Canyon	AZ-010-124	11,825	Coconino	Shivwits.
Ide Valley	AZ-010-127	7,970	Coconino	Shivwits.
Sand Cove	AZ-010-128	40,061	Coconino	Shivwits.
Virgin Mountains	AZ-010-129	36,696	Coconino	Shivwits.
Virgin River	AZ-010-130 [2]	1,440	Coconino	Shivwits.
Purgatory	AZ-010-132	7,557	Coconino	Shivwits.
Lime Hills	AZ-010-134 [2]	12,850	Coconino	Shivwits.
Narrows	AZ-010-135	7,725	Coconino	Shivwits.
Mount Emma	AZ-010-136 [2]	6,480	Coconino	Shivwits.
Phoenix District				
Mount Wilson	AZ-020-001A [2]	24,821	Mohave	Kingman.
Van Deeman	AZ-020-107 [2]	1,550	Mohave	Kingman.
Mockingbird	AZ-020-008 [2]	5,700	Mohave	Kingman.
Black Mountains North	AZ-020-009 [2]	20,398	Mohave	Kingman.
Burns Spring	AZ-020-010	29,961	Mohave	Kingman.
Mount Tipton	AZ-020-012/042	19,550	Mohave	Kingman.
Grapevine Wash	AZ-020-014 [2]	2,200	Mohave	Kingman.
Grand Wash Cliffs	AZ-020-015	12,176	Mohave	Kingman.
Mount Davis (4 parcels)	AZ-020-021 [2]	2,600	Mohave	Kingman.
Mount Nutt	AZ-020-024	29,200	Mohave	Kingman.
Warm Spring	AZ-020-028/029	118,455	Mohave	Kingman.
Wabayuma Peak	AZ-020-037/043	36,730	Mohave	Kingman.
Planet	AZ-020-053 [2]	12,765	Mohave	Kingman.
Aubrey Peak	AZ-020-054	15,240	Mohave	Kingman.
Black Mesa	AZ-020-056	17,010	Mohave	Kingman.
Rawhide Mountains	AZ-020-058 [2]	62,300	Yuma	Kingman.
Arrastra Mountains	AZ-020-059 [2]	114,000	Mohave/Yavapai	Kingman.
Lower Burro Creek	AZ-020-060	22,300	Mohave	Kingman.
Upper Burro Creek	AZ-020-062	27,390	Mohave/Yavapai	Kingman.
Peoples Canyon	AZ-020-068	3,480	Yavapai	Lower Gila.
Buckskin Mountains	AZ-020-071 [2]	47,582	Yuma	Lower Gila.
Harcuvar Mountains	AZ-020-075	74,778	Yuma/Yavapai	Lower Gila.
Hassayampa River Canyon	AZ-020-083 [2]	24,200	Yavapai	Lower Gila.
S. Bradshaws E	AZ-020-084A [2]	640	Yavapai	Phoenix.
Big Horn Mountains	AZ-020-099	22,337	Maricopa	Lower Gila.
Hummingbird Springs	AZ-020-100	67,680	Maricopa	Lower Gila.
Hells Canyon	AZ-020-119	9,379	Yavapai/Maricopa	Phoenix.
New Water Mountains	AZ-020-125 [2]	58,600	Yuma	Lower Gila.
Little Horn Mountains West	AZ-020-126A [2]	13,800	Yuma	Lower Gila.
Fact Mountain	AZ-020-136	27,575	Maricopa	Lower Gila.
Signal Mountain	AZ-020-138	20,920	Maricopa	Lower Gila.
Woolsey Peak	AZ-020-142/144	73,930	Maricopa	Lower Gila.
N. Maricopa Mountains	AZ-020-157	75,483	Maricopa	Lower Gila.
Sierra Estrella	AZ-020-160	14,190	Maricopa	Lower Gila.
S. Maricopa Mountains	AZ-020-163	72,004	Maricopa	Lower Gila.
Butterfield Stage Memorial	AZ-020-164	9,566	Maricopa	Lower Gila.
Table Top Mountain	AZ-020-172	39,823	Maricopa/Pinal	Lower Gila.
Sikort Chapa Mountains	AZ-020-176	10,665	Pima	Lower Gila.
White Canyon	AZ-020-187	6,968	Pinal	Phoenix.

Table II A.—*Arizona*—Continued

WSA name	No.	Acreage	County	Resource area
	Phoenix District—Continued			
Picacho Mountains	AZ-020-194	6,400	Pinal	Phoenix.
Ragged Top	AZ-020-197	4,460	Pima	Phoenix.
Coyote Mountains	AZ-020-202	5,080	Pima	Phoenix.
Baboquivari Peak South	AZ-020-203B	2,065	Pima	Phoenix.
Ives Peak	AZ-020-204	9,675	Yavapai	Lower Gila.
Tres Alamos	AZ-020-205	8,910	Yavapai	Lower Gila.
Harquahala Mountains	AZ-020-095	74,890	Yuma/Maricopa	Lower Gila.
Little Horn Mountains	AZ-020-127	95,000	Yuma	Lower Gila.
Eagletail Mountain	AZ-020-128	112,000	Yuma/Maricopa	Lower Gila.
East Clanton Hills	AZ-020-129	30,000	Yuma/Maricopa	Lower Gila.
Saddle Mountains	AZ-020-135	5,500	Maricopa	Lower Gila.
	Safford District			
Needles' Eye	AZ-040-001A	9,485	Pinal	Lower Gila.
Black Rock	AZ-040-008 [3]	8,492	Graham	Lower Gila.
Fishhooks	AZ-040-014	15,013	Graham	Lower Gila.
Daymine	AZ-040-016	16,639	Graham	Lower Gila.
Gila Box	AZ-040-022/023 024A [2]	13,470	Graham/Greenlee	Lower Gila.
Turtle Mountains	AZ-040-022/023 024B	17,422	Graham/Greenlee	Lower Gila.
Javelina Peak	AZ-040-048	17,870	Graham	San Simon.
Peloncillo Mountains	AZ-040-060 [1]	8,971	Cochise	San Simon.
Happy Camp Canyon	AZ-040-065	16,771	Cochise	San Simon.
Hoverrocker	AZ-040-077 [2]	2,769	Greenlee	San Simon.
Bowie Mountain	AZ-040-066 [3]	6,156	Cochise	San Simon.
Baker Canyon	AZ-040-070 [3] [1]	4,812	Cochise	San Simon.
Galiuro Addition #3	AZ-040-081 [2]	640	Graham	San Simon.
	Yuma District			
Needles No. Add	AZ-050-005B [3]	465	Mohave	Havasu.
Mohave Wash	AZ-050-007C/5-48/2-52 [2]	104,605	Mohave	Havasu.
Gibraltar Mountains	AZ-050-012	25,260	Mohave	Havasu.
Planet Peak	AZ-050-013	17,645	Mohave	Havasu.
Cactus Plain	AZ-050-014 A/B [4]	70,360	Mohave	Havasu.
Swansea	AZ-050-015A [3]	41,690	Mohave	Havasu.
E. Cactus Plain	AZ-050-017	13,735	Mohave	Havasu.
Crossman Peak	AZ-050-007B	37,760	Mohave	Havasu.
C. Trigo Mountains	AZ-050-023A [3]	4,500	Yuma	Yuma.
Trigo Mountains	AZ-050-023B [3]	36,870	Yuma	Yuma.
Kofa Unit 3 S.	AZ-050-031 [3]	3,400	Yuma	Yuma.
Kofa Unit 4 N.	AZ-050-033 [3]	1,900	Yuma	Yuma.
Kofa Unit 4 S.	AZ-050-034 [3]	11,220	Yuma	Yuma.
Total for State	116	2,682,340		

See table II for superior reference numbers.

Table II B.—*California*

WSA name	Number	Acreage	County	Resource area
	Bakersfield District			
Tepusquet Peak	CA-010-007 [3]	1,024	Santa Barbara	Caliente.
Garcia Mountain	CA-010-012 [3]	494	San Luis Opispo	Caliente.
Black Mountain	CA-010-020 [3]	150	San Louis Obispo	Caliente.
Sheep Ridge	CA-010-022 [3]	4,905	Tulare	Caliente.
Milk Ranch/Case Mountain	CA-010-23 [3]	6,382	Tulare	Caliente.
Moses	CA-010-025 [3]	558	Tulare	Caliente.
Owens Peak	CA-010-026	22,560	Tulare/Kern	Caliente.
Sacatar Meadow	CA-010-027	8,938	Tulare/Inyo	Caliente.
Rockhouse	CA-010-029 [3]	34,795	Tulare/Kern	Caliente.
Scodie	CA-010-030 [3]	5,847	Kern	Caliente.
Dometand	CA-010-032 [3]	2,209	Kern	Caliente.
Spoor Canyon	CA-010-036 [3]	240	Santa Barbara	Caliente.
Cuyama	CA-010-037 [3]	1,014	Santa Barbara	Caliente.
Caliente	CA-010-042	19,018	San Luis Obispo	Caliente.
Kelso Creek Valley	CA-010-045 [3]	2,244	Kern	Caliente.
Cerro Gordo	CA-010-055	16,102	Inyo	Bishop.
Southern Inyo	CA-010-056	23,568	Inyo	Bishop.
Independence Creek	CA-010-057 [3]	9,760	Inyo	Bishop.
Wonoga Peak	CA-010-058 [3]	3,530	Riverside	Bishop.
Tinemaha	CA-010-059 [3]	3,280	Riverside	Bishop.
Paiute	CA-010-060 [3]	7,600	Inyo	Bishop.
Crater Mountain	CA-010-062	6,760	Riverside	Bishop.
Coyote Southeast	CA-010-063	3,211	Inyo	Bishop.
Symmes Creek	CA-010-064 [3]	7,700	Inyo	Bishop.
Black Canyon	CA-010-65 [3]	6,518	Inyo	Bishop.
Wheeler Ridge	CA-010-68 [3]	3,197	Inyo/Mono	Bishop.
Rock Creek West	CA-010-070	414	Mono	Bishop.
Laural—McGee	CA-010-072 [3]	110	Mono	Bishop.
White Mountain	CA-010-275 [3]	1,260	Inyo/Mono	Bishop.
Benton Range	CA-010-077 [3]	4,052	Mono	Bishop.
Chidago Canyon	CA-010-079	20,246	Mono	Bishop.
Fish Slough	CA-010-080	19,730	Inyo/Mono	Bishop.
Volcanic Tableland	CA-010-081	11,840	Inyo/Mono	Bishop.

Table II B.—*California*—Continued

WSA name	Number	Acreage	County	Resource area
Bakersfield District —Continued				
Casa Diablo	CA-010-082	5,547	Mono	Bishop.
Excelsior (South ½)	CA-010-088	3,300	Mono	Bishop.
Excelsior (North ½)	CA-010-088	9,100	Mono	Bishop.
Granite Mountains	CA-010-090 [3]	52,781	Mono	Bishop.
Log Cabin—Saddlebags	CA-010-091A [3]	520	Mono	Bishop.
Walford Springs	CA-010-092	13,200	Mono	Bishop.
Mormon Meadow	CA-010-094	7,280	Mono	Bishop.
Mt. Biedeman	CA-010-095	12,420	Mono	Bishop.
Bodie Mountains	CA-010-099	23,360	Mono	Bishop.
Bodie	CA-010-100	15,455	Mono	Bishop.
Masonic Mountains	CA-010-102	6,600	Mono	Bishop.
Sweetwater	CA-010-103 [3]	960	Mono	Bishop.
Sinkard	CA-010-105 [3]	2,830	Alpine/Mono	Bishop.
	NV-030-531 [3]	3,930		
Carson—Iceberg	CA-010-105B [3]	1,040	Mono	Bishop.
	NV-030-532	550	Mono	Bishop.
Machesna Mountain	CA-010-106 [3]	720	San Luis Obispo	Caliente.
Panoche Hills North	CA-040-301A	6,677	Fresno	Diablo.
Panoche Hills South	CA-040-301B	11,267	Fresno	Diablo.
Pinnacles Wilderness	CA-040-303	5,838	Monterey/San Benito	Diablo.
Black Butte	CA-040-305A [3]	40	Monterey	Diablo.
Bear Mountain	CA-040-305B [3]	3,198	Monterey	Diablo.
Bear Canyon	CA-040-305C [3]	318	Monterey	Diablo.
Ventana Wilderness contiguous	CA-040-308 [3]	640	Monterey	Diablo.
North Fork American, American	CA-040-102 [3]	50	Placer	Bay Sierra
Tuolumne River	CA-040-201 [3]	3,005	Tuolumne	Bay Sierra.
Merced River	CA-040-203	12,835	Mariposa	Bay-Sierra.
Susanville District				
Pit River Canyon	CA-020-103	11,575	Lassen	Pit River.
Tule Mountain	CA-020-211	16,950	Lassen Modoc	Pit River.
Tunnison Mountain	CA-020-311	20,650	Lassen	Pit River.
Five Springs	CA-020-609 [1]	45,800	Lassen Washoe	Pit River.
Skedaddle	CA-020-612 [1]	60,800	Lassen Washoe	Eagle Lake.
Dry Valley Rim	CA-020-615 [1]	17,140	Lassen Washoe	Pit River.
Buffalo Hills	CA-020-619 [1]	880	Lassen Washoe	Eagle Lake.
Twin Peaks	CA-020-619A [1]	23,060	Lassen	Eagle Lake.
South Warner Contiguous	CA-020-708 [3]	4,330	Modoc	Pit River.
Redding District				
Timbered Crater	CA-030-201 [3]	18,690	Shasta/Modoc/Siskiyou	Ishi.
Lava	CA-030-203	11,632	Shasta	Ishi.
Tunnel Ridge	CA-030-402 [3]	4,623	Trinity	Ishi.
Yolla Bolly	CA-030-501 [3]	640	Techema	Ishi.
Ishi	CA-030-503 [3]	200	Techema	Ishi.
Oroville Lake	CA-030-504 [3]	68	Butte	Ishi.
Ukiah District				
King Range	CA-050-112	32,342	Humbold	Mendocino.
Red Mountain	CA-050-132	6,173	Mendocino	North Coast.
Big Butte	CA-050-211 [3]	9,548	Trinity/Mendocino	North Coast.
Thatcher Ridge	CA-050-212	17,167	Mendocino	Mendocino.
Eden Valley	CA-050-214	6,674	Mendocino	Mendocino.
Rocky Cr./Cache Cr	CA-050-317	33,582	Lake/Yolo	Clear Lake.
Cedar Roughs	CA-050-331	7,183	Napa	Clear Lake.
California Desert District (Non-California Desert Conservation Area WSAs)				
Aqua Tibia	CA-060-002 [3]	360	Riverside	Indio.
Beauty Mountain G	CA-060-020G	11,342	San Diego/Riverside	Indio.
Combs Peak A	CA-060-021A	71	San Diego	Indio.
San Ysidro Mountain	CA-060-022	2,131	San Diego	Indio.
San Felipe Hills	CA-060-023	5,285	San Diego	Indio.
Sawtooth Mountains A	CA-060-24A [3]	3,892	San Diego	Indio.
Sawtooth Mountains B	CA-060-24B [3]	24,419	San Diego	Indio.
Sawtooth Mountains C	CA-060-24C	2,509	San Diego	Indio.
Eastern McCain Valley A	CA-060-025A	14,573	San Diego	Indio.
Table Mountain	CA-060-026	958	San Diego	Indio.
Hauser Mountain C	CA-060-027C	5,489	San Diego	Indio.
Western Otay Mountain	CA-060-028	5,570	San Diego	Indio.
So. Otay Mountain	CA-060-029	7,940	San Diego	Indio.
(California Desert Conservation Area)				
McAfee Creek	CDCA-100 [3]	456	Mono	Bishop.
North Tip	CDCA-100A [3]	407	Mono	Bishop.
Tolar Creek	CDCA-100 [3]	897	Mono	Bishop.
N.W. Fishlake Valley	CDCA-102 [3]	12,585	Mono	Bishop.
White Mountain	CDCA-103 [3]	7,784	Mono/Inyo	Bishop.
Cottonwood Creek	CDCA-104 [3]	3,729	Inyo	Bishop.
Wyman Creek	CDCA-105 [3]	5,729	Inyo	Bishop.
Antelope Spring	CDCA-107A [3]	851	Inyo	Bishop.
Sylvania Mountains	CDCA-111	14,993	Inyo	Bishop.
Last Chance Mountain	CDCA-112	36,287	Inyo	Bishop.
Piper Mountain	CDCA-115	69,282	Inyo	Bishop.
Saline Valley	CDCA-117 [1] [3]	405,215	Inyo	Bishop/ Ridgecrest.
Lower Saline Valley	CDCA-117A [3]	6,560	Inyo	Ridgecrest.
No. Death Valley	CDCA-118	7,951	Inyo	Bishop.

Table II B.—*California*—Continued

WSA name	Number	Acreage	County	Resource area
(California Desert Conservation Area)—Continued				
Little Sand Spring	CDCA-119 [3]	32,876	Inyo	Bishop.
Wancoba Wash	CDCA-120 [3]	11,465	Inyo	Bishop.
Saline Dunes	CDCA-121	5,760	Inyo	Bishop.
Inyo Mountain	CDCA-122 [1]	87,145	Inyo	Bishop/ Ridgecrest.
Hunter Mountain	CDCA-123 [2]	23,604	Inyo	Ridgecrest.
Cerro Gordo Peak	CDCA-124 [1]	56,690	Inyo	Bishop/ Ridgecrest.
Panamint Dunes	CDCA-127 [1]	90,427	Inyo	Ridgecrest.
North Coso Range	CDCA-130	8,102	Inyo	Barstow.
Coso Range	CDCA-131	24,873	Inyo	Barstow/ Ridegecrest.
Great Falls Basin	CDCA-132	5,972	Inyo	Ridgecrest.
Darwin Falls	CDCA-132A	8,319	Inyo	Ridgecrest.
No. Argus Range	CDCA-132B	21,089	Inyo	Ridgecrest.
Wildrose Canyon	CDCA-134 [3]	36,949	Inyo	Ridgecrest.
Surprise Canyon	CDCA-136 [3]	52,696	Inyo	Ridgecrest.
Manly Peak	CDCA-137	33,390	Inyo	Ridgecrest.
Middle Park Canyon	CDCA-137A [3]	6,532	Inyo	Ridgecrest.
Slate Range	CDCA-142 [3]	89,528	Inyo	Ridgecrest.
Funeral Mountains	CDCA-143 [3]	46,529	Inyo	Ridgecrest.
Resting Springs Range	CDCA-145	89,772	Inyo	Ridgecrest.
Greenwater Range	CDCA-147 [3]	123,131	Inyo	Ridgecrest.
Greenwater Valley	CDCA-148 [3]	54,022	Inyo	Ridgecrest.
Ibex Hills	CDCA-149 [3]	33,929	Inyo	Ridgecrest.
Ibex Spring	CDCA-149A [3]	2,346	Inyo	Ridgecrest.
Nopah Range	CDCA-150	109,701	Inyo	Ridgecrest.
So. Nopah Range	CDCA-150A	13,779	Inyo	Ridgecrest.
Pahrump Valley	CDCA-154	33,914	Inyo	Ridgecrest.
Owlshead Mountain	CDCA-156 [3]	113,901	San Bernardino	Ridgecrest.
Little Lake Canyon	CDCA-157	25,207	Inyo	Ridgecrest.
Owens Peak	CDCA-158	36,023	Inyo/San Bernardino	Ridgecrest.
Cow Heaven	CDCA-159	5,564	Kern	Ridgecrest.
Horse Canyon	CDCA-160	4,067	Kern	Ridgecrest.
Kelso Peak	CDCA-160B	6,826	Kern	Ridgecrest.
Skinner Peak	CDCA-160C	1,036	Kern	Ridgecrest.
Frog Creek	CDCA-163	9,225	Kern	Ridgecrest.
El Paso Mountain	CDCA-164	17,064	Kern	Ridgecrest.
Golden Valley	CDCA-170	32,208	San Bernardino	Barstow.
Red Mountain	CDCA-172	7,040	San Bernardino	Barstow.
Blackwater Well	CDCA-173	7,260	San Bernardino	Barstow.
Grass Valley	CDCA-173A	13,875	San Bernardino	Barstow.
Black Mountain	CDCA-186C	7,602	San Bernardino	Barstow.
Newberry Mountain	CDCA-206	21,966	San Bernardino	Barstow.
Hollow Hills	CDCA-228	26,422	San Bernardino	Cima.
Shadow Valley	CDCA-235A	10,452	San Bernardino	Cima.
Magee/Atkins	CDCA-237	11,092	San Bernardino	Cima.
Deer Springs	CDCA-237A	2,560	San Bernardino	Cima.
Valley View	CDCA-237B	3,200	San Bernardino	Cima.
Teutonia Peak	CDCA-238A	2,976	San Bernardino	Cima.
Cima Dome	CDCA-238B	15,333	San Bernardino	Cima.
Ander Cones	CDCA-239	44,992	San Bernardino	Cima.
Soda Mountains	CDCA-242	106,841	San Bernardino	Cima.
Old Dad Mountains	CDCA-243	49,301	San Bernardino	Cima.
Rainbow Wells	CDCA-244	16,019	San Bernardino	Cima.
Eight-Mile Tank	CDCA-245	18,714	San Bernardino	Cima.
Kelso Mountains	CDCA-249	64,273	San Bernardino	Cima.
Kelso Dunes	CDCA-250	124,518	San Bernardino	Cima.
Cady Mountains	CDCA-251	65,177	San Bernardino	Cima.
Mesquite Spring	CDCA-251A	14,447	San Bernardino	Cima.
Sleeping Beauty Mountain	CDCA-252	18,333	San Bernardino	Cima.
Bristol/Granite Mountain	CDCA-256	72,206	San Bernardino	Cima.
Lava Hills	CDCA-258	18,423	San Bernardino	Cima.
South Bristol Mountain	CDCA-258B	23,238	San Bernardino	Cima.
Marble Mountains	CDCA-259	29,178	San Bernardino	Cima.
Clipper Mountains	CDCA-260	37,787	San Bernardino	Cima.
South Providence Mountain	CDCA-262	23,938	San Bernardino	Cima.
Providence Mountains	CDCA-263	51,057	San Bernardino	Cima.
Mid Hills	CDCA-264	13,300	San Bernardino	Cima.
New York Mountains	CDCA-265	35,583	San Bernardino	Cima.
Castle Peaks	CDCA-266	36,239	San Bernardino	Cima.
Fort Pointe	CDCA-267	37,561	San Bernardino	Cima.
Table Mountain	CDCA-270	7,556	San Bernardino	Cima.
Woods Mountain	CDCA-271	37,758	San Bernardino	Cima.
Signal Hill	CDCA-272	32,477	San Bernardino	Cima.
Dead Mountains	CDCA-276	29,411	San Bernardino	Cima.
Pinte Mountains	CDCA-288	17,083	San Bernardino	Cima.
Essex	CDCA-288A	10,984	San Bernardino	Cima.
Bigelow Cholla Gorden	CDCA-290	9,136	San Bernardino	Cima.
Sacramento Mountains	CDCA-292	36,450	San Bernardino	Cima.
Stepp Lodden Mountains	CDCA-294	111,685	San Bernardino	Cima.
Pilot Peak	CDCA-295	29,434	San Bernardino	Cima.
Old Woman Mountains	CDCA-299	100,826	San Bernardino	Cima.
Ship Mountains	CDCA-300	17,889	San Bernardino	Cima.
Cleghorn Lakes	CDCA-304	26,912	San Bernardino	Cima.
Amboy Crater	CDCA-304A	13,414	San Bernardino	Cima.
Sheephole/Coding	CDCA-305	135,827	San Bernardino	Cima.
Turtle Mountains	CDCA-307	229,241	San Bernardino	Cima.
Chemehuevi	CDCA-310	57,229	San Bernardino	Cima.
Whipple Mountains	CDCA-312	61,548	San Bernardino	Cima.
Big Maria Mountains	CDCA-321	50,538	Riverside	Indio.
Rive Valley	CDCA-322	48,845	Riverside	Indio.

Table II B.—*California*—Continued

WSA name	Number	Acreage	County	Resource area
(California Desert Conservation Area)—Continued				
Palen/McCoy	CDCA-325	239,878	Riverside	(Indio.
Coxcomb Mountains	CDCA-328 [1] [3]	52,992	Riverside/San Bernardino	Cima/Indio.
So. Coxcomb Mountains	CDCA-328A	8,532	Riverside	Cima/Indio.
Eagle Mountains	CDCA-334 [3]	49,723	Riverside	Cima/Indio.
Pinto Basin	CDCA-334 A [2]	4,480	Riverside	Cima/Indio.
Pinto Mountains	CDCA-335 [1] [3]	24,710	San Bernardino/Riverside	Barstow/Indio.
Santa Rosa Mountains	CDCA-341	68,051	Riverside/San Diego	Indio.
Mecca Hills	CDCA-343	15,665	Riverside	Indio.
Orocopia Mountains	CDCA-344	44,195	Riverside	Indio.
Chuckwalla Mountain	CDCA-348 [1]	126,057	Riverside/Imperial	Indio.
Little Chuckwalla Mountain	CDCA-350 [1]	44,422	Riverside/Imperial	Indio/El Centro.
Palo Nirde Mountains	CDCA-352	25,428	Imperial	El Centro.
Indian Pass	CDCA-355	25,971	Imperial	El Centro.
Picacho Peak	CDCA-355A	6,982	Imperial	El Centro.
Little Picacho Peak	CDCA-356	37,196	Imperial	El Centro.
No. Algodones Dunes	CDCA-360	20,778	Imperial	El Centro.
So. Algodones Dunes	CDCA-362	35,524	Imperial	El Centro.
In-Ko-Pah Mountains	CDCA-368	26,868	Imperial	El Centro.
Fish Creek Mountains	CDCA-372	10,958	Imperial	El Centro.
Coyote Mountains	CDCA-373	8,766	Imperial	El Centro.
Yuma (Arizona) District (Areas in California administered by Yuma District, Non-California Desert Conservation Area)				
Dead Mountain, North Addition	AZ-050-011 [2]	1,815	San Bernardino	Havasu.
Dead Mountains, South Addition	AZ-050-002 [2]	630	San Bernardino	Havasu.
Chemehuevi Mountains	AZ-050-003 [2]	195	San Bernardino	Havasu.
Chemehuevi/needles	AZ-050-004 [2]	960	San Bernardino	Havasu.
Whipple Mountain Addition	AZ-050-010 [2]	1,380	San Bernardino	Havasu.
Big Maria Mountains, North	AZ-050-018 [2]	415	Riverside	Havasu.
Big Maria Mountains, South	AZ-050-019 [2]	1,420	Riverside	Havasu.
Little Picacho Peak Addition	AZ-050-035 [2]	2,915	Imperial	Havasu.
Total for State	224	5,827,354		

See table II for superior reference numbers.

Table II C.—*Colorado*

WSA Name	Number	Acreage	County	Resource area
Craig District				
Bull Canyon	CO-010-001 [1]	11,777	Moffat	White River.
Willow Creek	CO-010-002	13,368	Moffat	White River.
Skull Creek	CO-010-003	13,740	Moffat	White River.
Black Mountain	CO-010-007A	9,932	Rio Blanco	White River.
Windy Gulch	CO-010-007C	12,274	Rio Blanco	White River.
Oil Spring Mountain	CO-010-046	17,740	Rio Blanco	White River.
Troublesome	CO-010-155	8,250	Grand	Kremmling.
Cold Springs West	CO-010-208 [1]	14,352	Moffat	Little Snake.
Diamond Breaks	CO-010-214 [1]	31,480	Moffat	Little Snake.
Cross Mountain	CO-010-230	14,081	Moffat	Little Snake.
Adjacent to DNM	CO-010-224 [3]	4,340	Moffat	Little Snake.
Adjacent to DNM	CO-010-224A [3]	1,320	Moffat	Little Snake.
Adjacent to DNM	CO-010-226 [3]	4,880	Moffat	Little Snake.
Adjacent to DNM	CO-010-228 [3]	5,200	Moffat	Little Snake.
Adjacent to DNM	CO-010-229D	6,900	Moffat	Little Snake.
Montrose District				
Dillon Mesa	CO-030-063 [3]	2,520	Gunnison	Gunnison Basin.
Bill Hare Gulch	CO-030-085 [3]	350	Hinsdale	Gunnison Basin.
Larson Creek	CO-030-086 [3]	880	Hinsdale	Gunnison.
Sparling Gulch	CO-030-088 [3]	1,120	Hinsdale	Gunnison Basin.
Red Cloud Peak	CO-030-208	38,100	Hinsdale	Gunnison Basin.
Slumgullion Slide	CO-030-211 [3]	1,640	Hinsdale	Gunnison Basin.
Friends Creek	CO-030-213 [3]	720	Hinsdale	Gunnison Basin.
American Flats	CO-030-217 [3]	3,400	Hinsdale San Juan Ouray	Gunnison Basin.
West Needles Contiguous	CO-030-229A [3]	5,780	San Juan	San Juan.
Needle Creek	CO-030-229B [3]	4,200	San Juan La Plata	San Juan.
Whitehead Gulch	CO-030-230B [3]	5,640	San Juan	San Juan.
Weminuche Contiguous	CO-030-238B [3]	1,640	San Juan	San Juan.
Handies Peak	CO-030-241	16,100	Hinsdale San Juan	Gunnison Basin.
Menefee Mountain	CO-030-251	7,360	Montezuma	San Juan.
Weber Mountain	CO-030-252	6,320	Montezuma	San Juan.
Cross Canyon	CO-030-265 [1]	8,440	Montezuma Dolores	San Juan.
Squaw/Papoose Canyons	CO-030-265A [3]	4,660	Montezuma	San Juan.
Cahone Canyon	CO-030-265D	8,385	Dolores	San Juan.
McKenna Peak	CO-030-286	21,900	Montezuma Dolores	San Juan.
Dolores River Canyon/Coyote Wash	CO-030-290	25,550	Dolores San Miguel	San Juan Uncompahgre.
Tabeguache Creed	CO-030-300	7,270	Montrose	Uncompahgre.
Sewemup Mesa	CO-030-310A [3]	1,660	Montrose Mesa	Uncompahgre/ Grand Junction.
Camel Back	CO-030-353	10,900	Montrose	Uncompahgre.
Dominquez Canyon	CO-030-363	43,810	Delta Mesa	Uncompahgre.
Adobe Badlands	CO-030-370B	10,560	Delta	Uncompahgre.
Gunnison Gorge	CO-030-388 [3]	19,560	Montrose Delta	Uncompahgre.

Table II C.—*Colorado*—Continued

WSA Name	Number	Acreage	County	Resource area
Canon City District				
Browns Canyon	CO-050-002	6,614	Chaffee Fremont	Royal Gorge.
McIntyre Hills	CO-050-013	16,800	Fremont	Royal Gorge.
Lower Grape Creek	CO-050-014	11,700	Fremont	Royal Gorge.
Beaver Creek	CO-050-016	26,150	Fremont, Teller, El Paso	Royal Gorge.
Upper Grape Creek	CO-050-017	10,200	Fremont/Custer	Royal Gorge.
Black Canyon	CO-050-131 [3]	2,300	Sagnache	San Luis.
South Piney Creek	CO-050-132B [3]	870	Sagnache	San Luis.
Sand Castle	CO-050-135 [3]	1,644	Alamosa	San Luis.
Papa Keal	CO-050-137 [3]	1,020	Almosa	San Luis.
Zapata Creek	CO-050-139B [3]	720	Alamosa	San Luis.
San Luis Hills	CO-050-141	10,240	Conejos	San Luis.
Grand Junction District				
Demaree Canyon	CO-070-009	21,050	Garfield	Grand Junction.
Little Bookcliffs Wildhorse Area	CO-070-066	26,525	Mesa	Grand Junction.
Black Ridge Canyons	CO-070-113	18,150	Mesa	Grand Junction.
Black Ridge Canyons West	CO-070-113A [1]	49,200	Mesa	Grand Junction.
The Palisade	CO-070-132	26,050	Mesa	Grand Junction.
Dominguez	CO-070-150 [2]	31,990	Mesa/Delta	Uncompahgre.
Sewemup Mesa	CO-070-176 [3]	17,420	Mesa & Montrose	Grand Junction Uncompahgre.
Eagle Mountain	CO-070-393 [3]	330	Pitkin	Glenwood Springs.
Hack Lake	CO-070-425 [3]	3,360	Garfield/Eagle	Glenwood Springs.
Bull Gulch	CO-070-430	15,000	Eagle	Glenwood Springs.
Castle Peak	CO-070-433	11,940	Eagle	Glenwood Springs.
Total for State	81	737,592		

See table II for superior reference numbers.

TABLE II D.—*Idaho*

WSA Name	Number	Acreage	County	Resource Area
Boise District				
North Fork Owyhee River	ID-016-40	55,147	Owyhee	Owyhee.
Horsehead Spring	ID-016-41	6,211	Owyhee	Owyhee.
Squaw Creek Canyon	ID-016-42	11,379	Owyhee	Owyhee.
Deep Creek-Nickel Creek	ID-016-44 [3]	11,510	Owyhee	Owyhee/Bruneau.
Middle Fork Owyhee River	ID-016-45	13,336	Owyhee	Owyhee.
West Fork Red Canyon	ID-016-47	12,882	Owyhee	Owyhee.
Deep Creek—Owyhee River	ID-016-49a [2]	72,083	Owyhee	Owyhee/Bruneau.
Yatahoney Creek	ID-016-49d	9,331	Owyhee	Owyhee/Bruneau.
Battle Creek	ID-016-49e	31,540	Owyhee	Owyhee.
Upper Owyhee River	ID-016-52 [3]	12,682	Owyhee	Owyhee/Bruneau.
Lower Salmon Falls Creek	ID-017-10 [3]	3,500	Twin Falls	Jarbidge/Magic.
Jarbidge River	ID-017-11	75,340	Twin Falls	Jarbidge/Bruneau.
King Hill Creek	ID-019-02 [3]	23,815	Elmore	Jarbidge/Bennett Hills.
Box Creek	ID-110-91a [3]	428	Valley	Cascade.
Little Jack's Creek	ID-111-06	58,040	Owyhee	Bruneau.
Big Jack's Creek	ID-111-07c	54,833	Owyhee	Bruneau.
Bruneau River	ID-111-17 [3]	107,020	Owyhee	Bruneau/Jarbidge.
Pole Creek	ID-111-17B	24,509	Owyhee	Bruneau.
Sheep Creek West	ID-111-36a	11,680	Owyhee	Bruneau.
Burley District				
Petticoat Peak	ID-028-01	11,298	Bannock & Caribon	bannock/Oneida.
Idaho Falls District				
Appendicitis Hill	ID-031-14	24,870	Butte	Big Butte.
White Knob Mountains	ID-031-17	9,950	Custer	Big Butte.
Hawley Mountain	ID-032-03	15,510	Butte	Big Butte.
Black Canyon	ID-032-09	5,400	Butte	Big Butte.
Cedar Butte	ID-033-04	35,700	Bingham	Big Butte.
Hell's Half Acre	ID-033-15	66,200	Boneville & Bingham	Big Butte
Table Rock Islands	ID-034-02	380	Bonneville	Medicine Lodge.
Pine Creek Islands	ID-034-03	155	Bonneville	Medicine Lodge.
Conant Valley Islands	ID-034-04	235	Bonneville	Medicine Lodge.
Henry's Lake	ID-035-77 [3]	350	Fremont	Medicine Lodge.
Worm Creek	ID-037-77 [4]	40	Bear Lake	Soda Springs.
Salmon District				
Eighteen Mile	ID-043-03 [3]	24,922	Lemhi	Lemhi.
Goldburg	ID-045-01 [1]	3,290	Custer	Pahsimeroi.
Burnt Creek	ID-045-12 [2 3]	24,980	Custer	Pahsimeroi & Big Butte.
Corral—Horse Basin	ID-046-11	51,500	Custer	Challis.
Boulder Creek	ID-046-13 [3]	2,573	Custer	Challis.
Jerry Peak	ID-046-14	48,000	Custer	challis.
Jerry Peak West	ID-046-14a	15,000	Custer	Challis.

TABLE IID.—*Idaho*—Continued

WSA Name	Number	Acreage	County	Resource Area
Salmon District —Continued				
Borah Peak	ID-047-04 [3]	3,100	Custer	Challis.
Shoshone District				
Little Wood River	ID-053-04 [3]	4,385	Blaine/Lustery Butte	Monument.
Friedman Creek	ID-053-05	9,773	Blaine	Mounment.
Black Butte	ID-054-02	4,002	Lincoln/Blaine	Bennett Hills.
Little City of Rocks	ID-054-05	5,875	Gooding	Bennett Hills.
Black Canyon	ID-054-06	10,371	Gooding	Bennett Hills.
Gooding City of Rocks	ID-054-08a	14,743	Gooding	Bennett Hills.
Gooding City of Rocks	ID-054-08b	6,287	Gooding	Bennett Hills.
Deer Creek	ID-054-10	7,487	Camas/Gooding	Bennett Hills.
Lava	ID-056-02	23,680	Lincoln	Monument.
Shale Butte	ID-057-02	15,968	Lincoln	Monument.
Sand Butte	ID-057-08	20,792	Lincoln	Monument.
Raven's Eve	ID-057-10	67,110	Blaine/Lincoln	Monument.
Little Deer	ID-057-11	33,531	Blaine/Lincoln/Minidoka	Monument.
Bear Den Butte	ID-057-14	9,700	Blaine/Minidoka	Monument.
Shoshone	ID-059-07	6,914	Lincoln	Bennett Hills.
Coeur D'Alene District				
Selkirk Crest	ID-061-01 [3]	720	Boundary	Emerlad Empire.
Crystal Lake	ID-061-10	9,027	Kootenai & Benewah	Emerald Empire.
Grandmother Mountain	ID-061-15a	10,339	Shoshone	Emerald Empire.
Grandmother Mountain	ID-061-15b	6,790	Shoshone	Emerald Empire.
Snowhole Rapids	ID-062-01	5,068	Lewis/Idaho	Cottonwood.
Marshall Mountain	ID-062-10	6,524	Idaho	Cottonwood.
Total for State	60	1,225,801		

See table II for reference numbers.

Table II E.—*Montana*

WSA Name	Number	Acreage	County	Resource area
Miles City				
Billy Creek	MT-024-633 [3]	3,480	Garfield	Big Dry.
Seven Blackfoot	MT-024-657 [3]	19,677	Garfield	Big Dry.
Bridge Coulee	MT-024-675	5,650	Garfield	Big Dry.
Musselshell Breaks	MT-024-677	8,050	Garfield	Big Dry.
Terry Badlands	MT-024-684	44,515	Prairie	Big Dry.
Zook Creek	MT-027-701	8,440	Rosebud	Powder River.
Buffalo Creek	MT-027-702	5,650	Powder River	Powder River.
Tongue River Breaks Contiguity	MT-027-763 [3]	1,484	Rosebud	Powder River.
Lewistown				
Bitter Creek	MT-064-356	59,112	Valley	Valley.
Antelope Creek	MT-065-266 [3]	9,400	Phillips	Phillips.
Burnt Lodge	MT-065-278 [3]	15,000	Phillips/Valley	Phillips.
Stafford	MT-066-250	4,700	Blaine	Havre.
Ervin Ridge	MT-066-253	12,000	Blaine	Havre.
Cow Creek	MT-066-256	36,200	Blaine/Phillips	Havre.
Pryor Mountain	MT-067-206 [1 3]	12,575	Carbon	Billings.
Big Horn Tack On	MT-067-207 [1 3]	4,470	Carbon	Billings.
Twin Coulee	MT-067-212 [3]	6,870	Golden Valley	Billings.
Two Calf Creek	MT-068-231	8,500	Fergus	Judith.
Dog Creek South	MT-068-244	5,230	Fergus	Judith.
Butte				
Whales Creek	MT-074-150	11,560	Powell	Garnet.
Hoodoo Mountain	MT-074-151a	11,380	Powell	Garnet.
Gallagher Creek	MT-074-151b	4,257	Powell	Garnet.
Quigg West	MT-074-155 [3]	520	Granite	Garnet.
Blind Horse Creek	MT-075-102	4,927	Teton	Headwaters.
Chute Mountain	MT-075-105 [3]	3,085	Teton	Headwaters.
Deep Cr./Battle Creek	MT-075-106 [3]	3,086	Teton	Headwaters.
North Fork, Sun River	MT-075-107 [3]	3,195	Teton	Headwaters.
Beaver Meadows	MT-075-110 [3]	595	Lewis & Clark	Headwaters.
Elkhorn	MT-075-114 [3]	3,585	Jefferson	Headwaters.
Black Sage	MT-075-115 [3]	5,976	Jefferson	Headwaters.
Yellowstone River Island	MT-075-133	53	Park	Headwaters.
Ruby Mountains	MT-076-001	26,357	Madison	Dillon.
Blacktail Mountains	MT-076-002	17,639	Beaverhead	Dillon.
East Fork, Blacktail Deer Creek	MT-076-007	6,180	Beaverhead	Dillon.
Hidden Pasture Creek	MT-076-022	15,475	Beaverhead	Dillon.
Bell/Limekiln Canyons	MT-076-026	9,588	Beaverhead	Dillon.
Henneberry Ridge	MT-076-028	9,760	Beaverhead	Dillon.
Farlin Creek	MT-076-034 [3]	1,260	Beaverhead	Dillon.
Tobacco Root Tack Ons	MT-076-063 [3]	860	Madison	Dillon.
Axolotl Lakes	MT-076-069	6,578	Madison	Dillon.
Madison Tack Ons	MT-076-079 [3]	1,469	Madison	Dillon.
Total for State	41	418,404		

See table II for superior reference numbers.

Table II F.—*Nevada*

WSA Name	No.	Acreage	County	Resource area
Elko District				
Bluebell	NV-010-027	63,150	Elko	Wells.
Goshute Peak	NV-010-033	88,440	Elko	Wells.
South Pequop	NV-010-035	39,900	Elko	Wells.
Cedar Ridge	NV-010-068	14,080	Elko	Elko.
Red Spring	NV-010-091	6,400	Elko	Elko.
Owyhee Canyon	NV-010-106	17,170	Elko	Elko.
Little Humboldt River	NV-010-132	40,100	Elko	Elko.
Rough Hills	NV-010-151	6,300	Elko	Elko.
Badlands	NV-010-184	9,000	Elko	Elko.
Winnemucca District				
East Fork High Rock Canyon	NV-020-006A,[3] CA-020-914	53,920	Washoe/Humboldt	Sonoma-Gerlach.
High Rock Lake	NV-020-007	62,527	Humboldt	Sonoma-Gerlach.
Little High Rock Canyon	NV-020-008,[3] CA-020-913	52,143	Washoe/Humboldt	Sonoma-Gerlach.
Poodle Mountain	NV-020-012,[3] CA-020-618	127,829	Washoe/Humboldt	Sonoma-Gerlach.
Fox Mountain Range	NV-020-014	72,347	Washoe/Humboldt	Sonoma-Gerlach.
Calico Mountains	NV-020-019	65,861	Washoe/Humboldt	Sonoma-Gerlach.
Selenite Mountain	NV-020-200	31,920	Pershing	Sonoma-Gerlach.
Mount Limbo	NV-020-201	24,126	Pershing	Sonoma-Gerlach.
Tobin Range	NV-020-406	21,952	Pershing	Sonoma-Gerlach.
Blue Lakes	NV-020-600	23,397	Humboldt	Paradise-Denio.
South Jackson Mountains	NV-020-603	61,708	Humboldt	Paradise-Denio.
North Jackson Mountains	NV-020-606	25,780	Humboldt	Paradise-Denio.
Black Rock Desert	NV-020-620	333,111	Humboldt/Pershing	Paradise-Denio.
Pahute Peak	NV-020-621 [2]	55,052	Humboldt	Paradise-Denio.
North Black Rock	NV-020-622 [2]	29,945	Humboldt	Paradise-Denio.
McGee Mountain	NV-020-637 [2]	25,406	Humboldt	Paradise-Denio.
Pueblo Mountain	NV-020-642 [1]	600	Humboldt	Paradise-Denio.
North Fork Little Humboldt	NV-020-827	81,320	Humboldt/Elko	Paradise-Denio.
Disaster Peak	NV-020-859 [1]	18,200	Humboldt	Paradise-Denio
Carson City District				
Clan Alpine Mountains	NV-030-102	193,120	Churchill	Lahonton.
Stillwater Range	NV-030-104	92,053	Churchill	Lahonton.
Augusta Mountain	NV-030-108 [2]	93,200	Churchill, Pershing/Lander	Lahonton.
Desatoya Mountains	NV-030-110 [2]	48,150	Churchill	Lahonton.
Job Peak	NV-030-127	91,022	Churchill	Lahonton.
Gabbs Valley Range	NV-030-407	77,330	Mineral	Walker-Mina.
Basalt	NV-030-430 [2]	27,560	Mineral	Walker-Mina.
Hontone Mine	NV-030-432 [2]	1,570	Mineral	Walker-Mina.
Burbank Canyons	NV-030-525A	13,395	Douglas	Walker-Mina.
Ely District				
Gosdhute Canyon	NV-040-015	35,100	White Pine	Egan.
Granite Springs	NV-040-029 [2]	23,400	White Pine	Schell.
Park Range	NV-040-154	46,500	Nye	Egan.
Riordan's Well	NV-040-166 [2]	56,800	Nye	Egan.
South Egan Range	NV-040-168 [2]	65,100	White Pine/Lincoln/Nye	Egan.
Mount. Grafton	NV-040-169 [2]	54,500	White Pine/Lincoln	Evan.
Granite Springs	NV-040-086 [1] [2]	23,400	White Pine	Schell.
Far South Egan	NV-040-172 [2]	49,800	Nye/Lincoln	Schell.
Fortification Range	NV-040-177	39,600	Lincoln	Schell.
Table Mountain	NV-040-197 [2]	35,600	Lincoln	Schell.
White Rock Range	NV-040-202	19,590	Lincoln	Schell.
Parsnip Peak	NV-040-206 [2]	77,000	Lincoln	Schell.
Worthington Mountains	NV-040-242 [2]	47,100	Lincoln	Schell.
Weepah Spring	NV-040-246	61,000	Lincoln/Nye	Schell.
Las Vegas District				
East Pahranagat	NV-050-031 [2]	16,200	Lincoln	Caliente-Virgin Valley.
South Pahrocs/Hiko	NV-050-032	28,600	Lincoln	Caliente-Virgin Valley.
Grapevine Spring	NV-050-039	84,935	Lincoln	Caliente-Virgin Valley.
Medsger Pass	NV-050-054 [2]	11,462	Lincoln	Caliente-Virgin Valley.
Meadow Valley Mountains	NV-050-056	185,744	Lincoln/Clark	Caliente-Virgin Valley.
Mormon Mountains	NV-050-061	162,887	Lincoln/clark	Caliente-Virgin Valley.
Lower Pahranagat Lake	NV-050-065 [2]	3,350	Lincoln	Caliente-Virgin Valley.
Tunnel Spring	NV-050-066 [1]	5,400	Lincoln	Caliente-Virgin Valley.
Delmar Mountains	NV-050-077	126,700	Lincoln	Caliente-Virgin Valley.
Evergreen	NV-050-01R-16 [2]	2,834	Lincoln	Caliente-Virgin Valley.
Fish & Wildlife No. 1	NV-050-0201 [2]	8,991	Lincoln/clark	Caliente-Virgin Valley.
Arrow Canyon Range	NV-050-0215	28,000	Clark	Caliente-Virgin Valley.
Fish & Wildlife No. 2	NV-050-0216 [2]	16,516	Clark	Caliente-Virgin Valley.
Fish & Wildlife No. 3	NV-050-0217 [2]	22,002	Clark	Caliente-Virgin Valley.
Muddy Mountains	NV-050-0229	89,000	Clark	Caliente-Virgin Valley.
Lime Canyon	NV-050-0231 [2]	30,747	Clark	Caliente-Virgin Valley.
Million Hills	NV-050-0233 [1] [2]	11,523	Clark	Caliente-Virgin Valley.
Garrott Buttes	NV-050-0235 [2]	7,360	Clark	Caliente-Virgin Valley.
Jumbo Springs	NV-050-0236 [2]	3,811	Clark	Caliente-Virgin Valley.
Bonelli Peak	NV-050-0238 [2]	6,581	Clark	Caliente-Virgin Valley.
Silver Peak Range North	NV-050-0338	33,900	Esmeralda	Stateline-Esmeralda.
Pigeon Spring	NV-050-0350 [1]	3,575	Esmeralda	Stateline-Esmeralda.
Queer Mountain	NV-050-0354 [1] [2]	81,550	Esmeralda	Stateline-Esmeralda.
Bonnie Claire Flat	NV-050-0355 [1] [2]	69,000	Esmeralda/Nye	Stateline-Esmeralda.
Porter Mine	NV-050-0368 [1] [2]	6,089	Nye	Stateline-Esmeralda.
Amargosa	NV-050-0369 [1] [2]	12,453	Nye	Stateline-Esmeralda.
Nothing Flats	NV-050-0370 [1] [2]	9,510	Nye	Stateline-Esmeralda.

Table II F.—*Nevada*—Continued

WSA Name	No.	Acreage	County	Resource area
Las Vegas District—Continued				
Gem	NV-050-03R-22 [1] [2]	2,153	Nye	Stateline-Esmeralda.
Mount Stirling	NV-050-0401	69,650	Nye/Clark	Stateline-Esmeralda.
Quail Springs	NV-050-0411	12,225	Clark	Stateline-Esmeralda.
LaMadre Mountains	NV-050-0412	56,243	Clark	Stateline-Esmeralda.
Resting Springs Range	NV-050-0460	3,850	Nye	Stateline-Esmeralda.
El Dorado	NV-050-0423 [1]	12,596	Clark	Stateline-Esmeralda.
North McCullough Mountains	NV-050-0425	52,258	Clark	Stateline-Esmeralda.
McCullough Mountains	NV-050-0435	62,000	Clark	Stateline-Esmeralda.
Ireteba Peaks	NV-050-0438 [2]	12,000	Clark	Stateline-Esmeralda.
Nellis	NV-050-04R-15 [2]	5,718	Clark	Stateline-Esmeralda.
Battle Mountain District				
Kawich	NV-060-019	27,360	Nye	Tonopah.
Rawhide Mountain	NV-060-059	64,360	Nye	Tonopah.
th Reveille	NV-060-112	106,200	Nye	Tonopah.
isade Mesa	NV-060-142/162	99,550	Nye	Tonopah.
Blue Eagle	NV-060-158/199 [2]	59,560	Nye	Tonopah.
The Wall	NV-060-163	38,000	Nye	Tonopah.
Grant Range	NV-060-166 [2]	5,840	Nye	Tonopah.
Fandango	NV-060-190	40,940	Nye	Tonopah.
Morey	NV-060-191	20,120	Nye	Tonopah.
Antelope	NV-060-231/241 [2]	87,400	Nye	Shoshore-Eureka.
Simpson Park	NV-060-428	49,670	Lander	Shoshore-Eureka.
Roberts	NV-060-541	15,090	Eureka	Shoshore-Eureka.
Susanville (Calif.) District				
Five Springs	CA-020-609 [1]	1,360	Washoe	Surprise.
Skedaddle Mountain	CA-020-612 [1]	120	Washoe	Surprise.
Dry Valley Rim	CA-020-615 [1]	76,065	Washoe	Surprise.
Buffalo Hills	CA-020-619 [1]	39,135	Washoe	Surprise.
Twin Peaks	CA-020-619A [1]	67,285	Washoe	Surprise.
Wall Canyon	CA-020-605	45,790	Washoe	Surprise.
Yellow Rock Canyon	CA-020-913A	13,050	Washoe	Surprise.
High Rock Canyon	CA-020-913B	33,985	Washoe	Surprise.
Sheldon Contiguous	CA-020-1012 [1] [3]	24,130	Washoe	Surprise.
Massacre Rim	CA-020-1013	110,000	Washoe	Surprise.
Total for State	110	5,125,997		

See Table II for superior reference numbers.

Table II G.—*New Mexico*

WSA name	Number	Acreage	County	Resource area
Albuquerque District				
Denazin	NM-010-004	19,000	San Juan	Farmington.
Ah-She-Sle-Pah	NM-010-009	6,000	San Juan	Farmington.
Ignacio Chavez	NM-010-020 [3]	32,248	McKinley/Sandoval	Rio Puerco.
Chamisa	NM-010-021	11,091	Sandoval	Rio Puerco.
Cabezon	NM-010-022	7,235	Sandoval	Rio Puerco.
Ojito	NM-010-024	11,200	Sandoval	Rio Puerco.
San Antonio	NM-010-035	7,050	Rio Arriba	Taos.
Sabinosa	NM-010-055	15,760	San Miguel	Taos.
Bisti	NM-010-057	3,520	San Juan	Farmington.
Navajo Peak	NM-010-059 [3]	7,750	Rio Arriba	Taos.
Emperdrado	NM-010-063	8,419	Sandoval	Rio Puerco.
La Lena	NM-010-063A	9,359	Sandoval	Rio Puerco.
Manzano	NM-010-092 [3]	845	Torrance	Rio Puerco
Socorro District				
Rimrock	NM-020-007	29,430	Valencia	San Augustine.
Sand Canyon	NM-020-008	8,320	Valencia	San Augustine.
Little Rim Rock	NM-020-009	9,540	Valencia	San Augustine.
Pinyon	NM-020-010	13,160	Valencia	San Augustine.
Petaca Pinta	NM-020-014	12,400	Valencia	San Augustine.
Sierra Ladrones	NM-020-016	38,922	Socorro	Jornada.
Misita Blanca	NM-020-018	19,440	Catron	Jornada.
Eagle Peak	NM-020-019	43,520	Catron	Jornada.
Veranito	NM-020-035	7,450	Socorro	Jornada.
Sierra Las Canas	NM-020-038	11,000	Socorro	Jornada.
Stallion	NM-020-040	22,000	Socorro	Jornada.
Horse Mountain	NM-020-043	5,140	Catrono	Jornada.
Continental Divide	NM-020-044	70,000	Catron	Jornada.
Devil's Backbone	NM-020-047A [3]	8,820	Socorro	Jornada.
Devil's Reach	NM-020-047B [3]	860	Socorro	Jornada.
Journada De Muerto	NM-020-055 [3]	25,260	Socorro	Jornada.
Las Cruces District				
Cowboy Spring	NM-030-007	6,710	Hidalgo	Las Cruces—Lordsburg.
Gila Box	NM-030-023	7,980	Grant/Hidalgo	Las Cruces—Lordsburg.
Blue Creek	NM-030-026	13,584	Grant/Hidalgo	Las Cruces—Lordsburg.

Table II G.—*New Mexico*—Continued

WSA name	Number	Acreage	County	Resource area
Las Cruces District—Continued				
Cooke Range	NM-030-031	19,870	Luna	Las Cruces—Lordsburg.
Big Hatchet Mtns.	NM-030-035	65,950	Hidalgo	Las Cruces—Lordsburg.
Alamos Hueco Mtns.	NM-030-038	20,840	Hidalgo	Las Cruces—Lordsburg.
Cedar Mtns.	NM-030-042	16,680	Luna	Las Cruces—Lordsburg.
West Potrillo Mtns./Mt Riley	NM-030-052A&C	Luna/Dona Ana 150,545		Las Cruces—Lordsburg.
Aden Lava Flow	NM-030-053	24,725	Dona Ana	Las Cruces—Lordsburg.
Robledo Mtns.	NM-030-063	11,640		Las Cruces—Lordsburg.
Las Uvas Mtns.	NM-030-065	10,680	Dona Ana	Las Cruces—Lordsburg.
Organ Mtns.	NM-030-074	7,200	Dona Ana	Las Cruces—Lordsburg.
Jornada Del Muerto	NM-030-081 [2]	3,659	Sierra	White Sands.
Sacramento Escarpment	NM-030-104 [2]	3,510	Otero	White Sands.
Brokeoff Mtns.	NM-030-112 [2]	28,600	Otero	White Sands.
Guadalupe Escarpment	NM-030-114 [2]	7,053	Otero	White Sands.
Culp Canyon	NM-030-152	10,937	Otero	White Sands.
Peloncillo Mtns.	AZ-030-060 [1] [2]	4,061	Hidalgo	Las Cruces—Lordsburg.
Apache Box	AZ-040-076 [2]	932	Grant	Las Cruces—Lordsburg.
Roswell District				
Little Black Peak	NM-060-109	15,570	Lincoln	Roswell.
Carrizozo Lava Flow	NM-060-110A	11,000	Lincoln	Roswell.
Mudgetts	NM-060-819/819A [2]	2,941	Eddy	Carlsbad.
Total for State	51	909,406		

See table II for superior reference numbers.

Table II H.—*Oregon/Washington*

WSA Name	Number	Acreage	County	Resource area
Oregon				
Lakeview District				
Devils Garden Lava Bed	OR-001-02 [4]	29,640	Lake	High Desert.
Squaw Ridge Lava Bed	OR-001-03	28,320	Lake	High Desert.
Four Craters Lava Bed	OR-001-22	12,120	Lake	High Desert.
Sand Dunes	OR-001-24	15,520	Lake	High Desert.
Diablo Mountain	OR-001-58	113,120	Lake	High Desert.
Orejana Rim	OR-001-78	22,800	Harney	Warner Lakes.
Abert Rim	OR-001-101	22,240	Lake	Warner Lakes.
Monument Flat	OR-001-117B	18,720	Lake	Warner Lakes.
Guano Creek	OR-001-132	10,560	Lake	Warner Lakes.
Spaulding Reservoir	OR-001-139B	65,720	Lake and Harney	Warner Lakes.
Hawksie Walksie	OR-001-146A [3] [5]	68,360	Harney	Warner Lakes/Andrews.
Hawksie Walksie	OR-001-146B [3] [5]	8,520	Harney	Warner Lakes.
Burns District				
Malheur River-Bluebucket Creek	OR-002-14A	5,560	Harney	Riley.
Stonehouse	OR-002-23L [2]	21,000	Harney	Riley/Andrews.
Stonehouse	OR-002-23M	8,090	Harney	Andrews.
Sheepshead Mountains	OR-002-72C [2]	54,190	Harney and Malheur	Andrews/Southern Malheur.
Sheepshead Mountains	OR-002-72D [2]	35,000	Malheur	Andrews/Southern Malheur.
Sheepshead Mountains	OR-002-72F	20,330	Harney	Andrews.
Sheepshead Mountains	OR-002-72I	38,855	Harney/Malheur	Andrews.
Sheepshead Mountains	OR-002-72J	7,755	Harney	Andrews.
Winter Range	OR-002-73A	21,395	Harney	Andrews.
Winter Range	OR-002-73H	14,640	Harney/Malheur	Andrews.
Alvord Desert	OR-002-74F [2]	223,970	Harney/Malheur	Andrews/Southern Malheur.
Mahogany Ridge	OR-002-77B	27,370	Harney	Andrews.
Red Mountain (Part of OR-003-153)	OR-002-78G	2,720	Harney	Andrews.
Red Mountain	OR-002-78F	14,730	Harney	Andrews.
Pueblo Mountains	OR-002-81L [1]	67,430	Harney	Andrews.
Rincon	OR-002-82H [2]	97,395	Harney	Andrews and Warner Lakes.
Alvord Peak	OR-002-83A	14,655	Harney	Andrews.
Basque Hills	OR-002-84 [2]	138,420	Harney	Andrews/Winter Lakes.
South Steens	OR-002-85F	65,940	Harney	Andrews.
South Steens	OR-002-85G	35,850	Harney	Andrews.
South Steens	OR-002-85H	24,990	Harney	Andrews.

Table II H.—*Oregon/Washington*—Continued

WSA Name	Number	Acreage	County	Resource area
Burns District—Continued				
Blitzen River	OR-002-86E	52,060	Harney	Andrews.
Blitzen River	OR-002-86F	9,380	Harney	Andrews.
Bridge Creek	OR-002-87I	14,060	Harney	Andrews.
Strawberry Mountain	OR-002-98A [3]	180	Grant	John Day.
Strawberry Mountain	OR-002-98C [3]	720	Grant	John Day.
Strawberry Mountain	OR-002-98D [3]	208	Grant	John Day.
Aldrich Mountain	OR-002-103	9,395	Grant	John Day.
Vale District				
Castle Rock	OR-003-18	7,100	Harney/Malheur	Northern Malheur.
Beaver Dam Creek	OR-003-27	18,900	Malheur	Northern Malheur.
Camp Creek	OR-003-31	19,100	Malheur	Northern Malheur.
Cottonwood Creek	OR-003-32	7,900	Malheur	Northern Malheur.
Cold Creek	OR-003-33A	13,400	Malheur	Northern Malheur.
Cherry Creek	OR-003-35	5,300	Malheur	Northern Malheur.
Cedar Mountain	OR-003-47	46,300	Malheur	Northern Malheur.
Dry Creek	OR-003-53	22,800	Malheur	Northern Malheur.
Dry Creek Buttes	OR-003-56	51,000	Malheur	Northern Malheur.
Owyhee Breaks	OR-003-59 [3]	12,800	Malheur	Northern Malheur/ Southern Malheur.
Blue Canyon	OR-003-73	12,800	Malheur	Northern Malheur.
Upper Leslie Gulch	OR-003-74A	3,000	Malheur	Northern Malheur.
Slocum Creek	OR-003-75	7,600	Malheur	Northern Malheur.
Honeycombs	OR-003-77A	38,200	Malheur	Northern Malheur.
Honeycombs	OR-003-77B	12,500	Malheur	Northern Malheur.
Lower Owyhee Canyon	OR-003-110 [3]	73,200	Malheur	Northern Malheur/ Southern Malheur.
Saddle Butte	OR-003-111	87,500	Malheur	Southern Malheur.
Palomino Hills	OR-003-114	54,700	Malheur	Southern Malheur.
Bowden Hills	OR-003-118A	59,000	Malheur	Southern Malheur.
Clarks Butte	OR-003-120	31,500	Malheur	Southern Malheur.
Jordan Craters	OR-003-128A	28,700	Malheur	Southern Malheur/ Andrews.
Willow Creek	OR-003-152 [3]	29,800	Harney/Malheur	Southern Malheur.
Disaster Peak (Part of OR-002-78D)	OR-003-153 [1 2]	13,300	Harney/Malheur	Southern Malheur/ Andrews.
Fifteenmile	OR-003-158A	48,500	Malheur	Southern Malheur.
Oregon Canyon	OR-003-157	41,900	Malheur	Southern Malheur.
Twelvemile	OR-003-162A	27,100	Malheur	Southern Malheur.
Upper West Little Owyhee	OR-003-173A	87,200	Malheur	Southern Malheur.
Prineville District				
Thirtymile	OR-005-01	7,560	Sherman/Gilliam	Central Oregon.
Lower John Day	OR-005-06	19,370	Sherman/Gilliam	Central Oregon.
North Pole Ridge	OR-005-08	6,062	Sherman/Gilliam	Central Oregon.
Spring Basin	OR-005-09	5,982	Wheeler	Central Oregon.
Steelhead Falls	OR-005-14 [3]	3,114	Deschutes/Jefferson	Deschutes.
Badlands	OR-005-21	32,053	Deschutes/Crook	Deschutes.
North Fork	OR-005-31A	10,745	Crook	Central Oregon.
South Fork	OR-005-33	19,631	Crook	Central Oregon.
Sand Hollow	OR-005-34	8,791	Crook	Central Oregon.
Gerry Mountain	OR-005-35	20,700	Crook	Central Oregon.
Hampton Butte	OR-005-42A	10,600	Crook/Deschutes	Central Oregon.
Cougar Well	OR-005-43	17,315	Crook/Deschutes	Central Oregon.
Baker District				
McGraw Creek	OR-006-01 [3]	1,610	Wallowa	Baker.
Homestead	OR-006-02 [3]	10,700	Baker	Baker.
Sheep Mountain	OR-006-03	6,350	Baker	Baker.
Cache Creek Ranch	OR-006-10 [3 3]	1,960	Wallowa	Grand Ronde.
Medford District				
Mountain Lakes	OR-011-01 [3]	320	Klamath	Klamath.
Soda Mountain	OR-011-17	5,640	Jackson	Klamath.
Coos Bay District				
North Sisters Rock	OR-012-08A	3	Curry	Myrtlewood.
Zwagg Island	OR-012-14A	5	Curry	Myrtlewood.
Total for State	87	2,491,539		
Washington				
Spokane District				
Chopaka Mountain	OR-013-02	5,520	Okanogan	Border.
Little Patos Island	OR-013-24	15	San Juan	Border.

Table II H.—*Oregon/Washington*—Continued

WSA Name	Number	Acreage	County	Resource area
Baker District				
Cache Creek Ranch	OR-006-10 [1] [3]	975	Asotin	Grande Ronde.
Total for State	3	6,510		

See table II for superior reference numbers.

Table II I.—*Utah*

WSA name	Number	Acreage	County	Resource area
Salt Lake District				
Deep Creek Mtns	UT-020-060 [2]	68,910	Tooele	Pony Express. House Range.
North Stansbury Mtns	UT-020-089 [3]	10,480	Tooele	Pony Express.
Cedar Mtns	UT-020-094	50,500	Tooele	Pony Express.
Big Hollow	UT-020-105 [3]	3,593	Tooele	Pony Express.
Cedar City District				
Cottonwood Canyon	UT-040-046 [3]	11,330	Washington	Dixie.
Starvation Point	UT-040-057 [1]	8,575	Washington	Dixie.
Steep Creek	UT-040-061 [3]	22,034	Garfield	Escalante.
Carcass Canyon	UT-040-076	46,711	Garfield	Escalante.
Mud Spring Canyon	UT-040-077 [3]	38,075	Kane/Garfield	Kanab/Escalante.
Fifty Mile Mtn	UT-040-080 [3]	146,143	Kane/Garfield	Escalante.
Scorpion	UT-040-082 [3]	35,884	Kane/Garfield	Escalante.
Cougar Canyon	UT-040-123 [1]	10,568	Washington	Dixie.
Red Mountain	UT-040-132	18,250	Washington	Dixie.
Canaan Mountain	UT-040-143 [1] [3]	47,170	Washington/Kane	Dixie/Kanab.
Orderville Canyon	UT-040-145 [3]	1,750	Kane	Kanab.
Deep Creek	UT-040-146 [3]	3,320	Washington	Dixie.
Red Butte	UT-040-147 [3]	804	Washington	Dixie.
Spring Canyon	UT-040-148 [3]	4,433	Iron	Beaver River.
The Watchman	UT-040-149 [3]	600	Washington	Dixie.
North Fork Virgin River	UT-040-150	1,040	Kane	Kanab.
LaVerkin Creek Canyon	UT-040-153 [3]	567	Washington	Dixie.
Taylor Creek Canyon	UT-040-154 [3]	35	Washington	Dixie.
Goose Creek Canyon	UT-040-176 [3]	89	Washington	Dixie.
Beartrap Canyon	UT-040-177 [3]	40	Washington	Dixie.
White Rock Range	UT-040-216 [1]	2,600	Beaver	Beaver River.
Moquith Mtn	UT-040-217 [1]	14,830	Kane	Kanab.
Parunuweap Canyon	UT-040-230 [3]	30,800	Kane	Kanab.
Paria-Hackberry	UT-040-247	105,822	Kane	Kanab.
The Blues	UT-040-268 [3]	19,030	Garfield	Kanab.
Richfield District				
Conger Mtn	UT-050-035	22,863	Millard	Warm Springs.
Swasey Mtn	UT-050-061	49,500	Millard/Juab	House Range.
King Top	UT-050-070	84,771	Millard	Warm Springs.
Wah Wah Mountains	UT-050-073 [2]	35,000	Millard	Warm Springs/ Beaver River.
Howell Peak	UT-050-077	23,825	Millard	Warm Springs.
Notch Peak	UT-050-078	51,130	Millard	Warm Springs.
Fish Springs Range	UT-050-127	52,500	Juab	House Range.
Rockwell	UT-050-186	9,151	Juab	House Range.
Fremont Gorge	UT-050-221 [3]	2,540	Wayne	Henry Mountains.
Dirty Devil	UT-050-236AB [2]	86,000	Wayne/Garfield	Henry Mountains.
Horseshoe Canyon	UT-050-237 [3]	38,800	Wayne	Henry Mountains.
Blue Hills—Mt. Ellen	UT-050-238	54,480	Wayne/Garfield	Henry Mountains.
Fiddler Butte	UT-050-241 [3]	27,000	Garfield	Henry Mountains.
Bull Mountain	UT-050-242	11,800	Wayne/Garfield	Henry Mountains.
Little Rockies	UT-050-247 [3]	38,700	Garfield	Henry Mountains.
Mt. Pennell	UT-050-248	27,300	Garfield	Henry Mountains.
Mt. Hillers	UT-050-249	20,000	Garfield	Henry Mountains.
Moab District				
Muddy Creek	UT-060-007	31,360	Emery	San Rafael.
Sids Mountain	UT-060-023	80,970	Emery	San Rafael.
Devil Canyon	UT-060-025	9,610	Emery	San Rafael.
Crack Canyon	UT-060-028A	25,315	Emery	San Rafael.
San Rafael Reef	UT-060-029A	55,540	Emery	San Rafael.
Horseshoe Canyon	UT-060-045 [3]	20,550	Emery	San Rafael.
Mexican Mountain	UT-060-054 [3]	60,360	Emery	Price River/San Rafael.
Turtle Canyon	UT-060-067	33,870	Emery	Price River.
Desolation-Gray Canyon	UT-060-068A [2]	217,130	Carbon/Emery/Grand	Price River/ Grand.
Diamond Canyon	UT-060-100B	48,240	Grand	Grand.
Cottonwood Canyon	UT-060-100C	82,900	Grand	Grand.
Wrigley Mesa/Jone Canyon	UT-060-116/117	5,100	Grand	Grand.
Star Marble Canyon	UT-060-118 [1]	30,800	Grand	Grand.
South Lost Spring Canyon	UT-060-131A [3]	3,860	Grand	Grand.
Mill Creek	UT-060-139A	10,320	Grand/San Juan	Grand.
Behind the Rocks	UT-060-140A	12,930	Grand/San Juan	Grand.
Lockhart Basin	UT-060-164 [3]	7,300	San Juan	San Juan.
Bridger Jack Mesa	UT-060-167	5,300	San Juan	San Juan.

Table II I.—*Utah*—Continued

WSA name	Number	Acreage	County	Resource area
Moab District —Continued				
Butler Wash	UT-060-169 [3]	22,120	San Juan	San Juan.
Middle Point	UT-060-175	5,990	San Juan	San Juan.
Pine Canyon	UT-060-188	11,300	San Juan	San Juan.
Cheese Box Canyon	UT-060-191	15,410	San Juan	San Juan.
Bullet Canyon	UT-060-196	8,730	San Juan	San Juan.
Slickhorn/John's Canyon	UT-060-107/198	46,800	San Juan	San Juan.
Road Canyon	UT-060-201	34,740	San Juan	San Juan.
Fish Creek Canyon	UT-060-204	48,530	San Juan	San Juan.
Mule Canyon	UT-060-205B [3]	5,320	San Juan	San Juan.
Sheiks Canyon	UT-060-224	3,070	San Juan	San Juan.
Squaw Canyon	UT-060-227 [1]	6,580	San Juan	San Juan.
Cross Canyon	UT-060-229 [1]	1,000	San Juan	San Juan.
Vernal District				
Cold Springs Mtn.	UT-080-103	235	Daggett	Diamond Mtn.
Diamond Breaks	UT-080-113 [1]	3,900	Daggett	Diamond Mtn.
Daniel's Canyon	UT-080-414 [3]	2,475	Uintah	Book Cliffs.
Bull Canyon	UT-080-419 [1]	520	Uintah	Book Cliffs.
Total for State	90	2,307,538		

See table II for superior reference numbers.

Table II J.—*Wyoming*

WSA name	Number	Acreage	County	Resource area
Worland District				
Owl Creek	WY-010-104A/B/C [3]	710	Hot Springs	Grass Creek.
Bobcat Draw Badlands	WY-010-126	17,150	Washakie	Grass Creek.
Sheep Mountain	WY-010-130	23,250	Big Horn	Grass Creek.
Red Butte	WY-010-131	11,350	Big Horn	Grass Creek.
Honeycombs	WY-010-221A	21,000	Big Horn	Washakie.
Cedar Mountain	WY-010-222A	21,570	Washakie	Washakie.
South Paint Rock	WY-010-236A [3]	660	Washakie	Washakie.
Paint Rock	WY-010-239A [3]	2,770	Big Horn	Washakie.
Medicine Lodge	WY-010-240A [3]	7,740	Big Horn	Washakie.
Alkali Creek	WY-010-241c	10,100	Big Horn	Washakie.
Trapper Creek	WY-010-242a	7,200	Big Horn	Washakie.
McCullough Peaks	WY-010-335a	25,210	Park	Cody.
Pryor Mountain	MT-067-206 [1 3]	4,352	Big Horn	Cody.
Big Horn Tack-On	MT-067-207 [1 2]	80	Big Horn	Cody.
Rawlins District				
Sweetwater Canyon	WY-030-101	9,056	Fremont	Lander.
Dubois Badlands	WY-030-109	4,520	Fremont	Lander.
Whiskey Mountain	WY-030-110 [3]	487	Fremont	Lander.
Copper Mountain	WY-030-111	6,858	Fremont	Lander.
Sweetwater Rocks	WY-030-120	6,316	Fremont	Lander.
Sweetwater Rocks	WY-030-122	12,789	Fremont	Lander.
Sweetwater Rocks	WY-030-123A	7,041	Fremont	Lander.
Sweetwater Rocks	WY-030-123A	6,429	Fremont	Landers.
Encampment River Canyon	WY-030-301	3,380	Carbon	Medicine Bow.
Prospect Mountain	WY-030-303A [3]	1,099	Carbon	Medicine Bow.
Bennett Mountains	WY-030-304	5,722	Carbon	Medicine Bow.
Pedro Mountains	WY-030-305	5,990	Carbon	Medicine Bow.
Adobe Town	WY-030-401 [3]	33,000	Sweetwater	Divide.
Ferris Mountains	WY-030-407	20,495	Carbon	Divide.
Rock Springs District				
East Fork	WY-040-106 [3]	1,415	Sublette	Pinedale.
Lake Mountain	WY-040-110	13,970	Sublette	Pinedale.
Raymond Mountains	WY-040-221	32,236	Lincoln	Pinedale.
Buffalo Hump	WY-040-306	10,300	Sweetwater	Big Sandy.
Sand Dunes	WY-040-307	27,200	Sweetwater	Big Sandy.
Alkali Draw	WY-040-311	16,990	Sweetwater	Big Sandy.
South Pinnacles	WY-040-313	10,826	Sweetwater	Big Sandy.
Alkali Basin-East Sand Dunes	WY-040-316, 317	12,800	Sweetwater	Big Sandy.
Red Lake	WY-040-318	9,515	Sweetwater	Big Sandy.
Honeycomb Buttes	WY-040-323	41,620	Sweetwater	Big Sandy.
Oregon Buttes	WY-040-324	5,700	Sweetwater	Big Sandy.
Whitehorse Creek	WY-040-325	4,028	Fremont	Big Sandy.
Mill Creek	WY-040-335 [3]	1,300	Sublette	Big Sandy.
Devils Playground	WY-040-401	15,646	Sweetwater	Salt Wells.
Twin Buttes	WY-040-402	8,630	Sweetwater	Salt Wells.
Red Creek Badlands	WY-040-406, 407, 410	7,100	Sweetwater	Salt Wells.
Adobe Town	WY-040-408 [2]	52,710	Sweetwater	Salt Wells.
Casper District				
Gardner Mountain	WY-060-201A	6,423	Johnson	Platte.
North Fork Powder River	WY-060-202	10,089	Johnson	Buffalo.
Fortification Creek	WY-060-204	12,419	Johnson/Campbell	Buffalo.
Total for State	48	577,241		

See table II for superior reference numbers.

Table III.—*Instant Study Areas (ISA's)* [1]

District	State	Name	Acreage			Remarks	Resource area	County
			ISA	Contiguous	BLM total			
Anchorage	Alaska	Halibut Cove	120	0	120	Study subject to legal review of Alaska Land Legislation passed 12/80.	Cook Inlet	Kenai (Borough)
Subtotal					120			
Safford	Arizona	Aravaipa	4,044	2,626	6,670	All one area; total roadless is 6,899 acres.	Gila	Pinal/Graham.
Arizona Strip	do	Big Sage	160	0	160	Contiguous land found to have no wilderness characteristics and was dropped from further wilderness review.	Vermillion	Coconino.
Do		Paiute	35,092	299	35,382	Part of Virgin River and Lime Hills WSA's; total roadless area is 49,382 acres.	Shivwits	Do.
Do	do	Paria	18,855	0	18,855	Contiguous to Paria Plat., Overlook, Cedar mts., Paria Rim, Judd Hollow and Ferry Swale WSA's and Vermillion Cliffs ISA; total roadless acreage in Arizona is 239,795 acres. Utah contiguous acreage is 21,470 acres admin. from Arizona.	Vermillion	Do.
Do	do	Turbinella Oak	154	0	154	Part of Sand Cove, WSA; total roadless acreage is 33,960 acres.	Shivwits	Do.
Do	do	Vermillion Cliffs	50,135	0	50,135	See Paria ISA	Vermillion	
Subtotal					111,356			
Redding	California	Baker Cypress/Lava Rock.	1,148	0	1,148	Part of Timber Crater WSA, total roadless size is 18,690 acres of public land.	Ishi	Shasta.
Susanville	do	Bitterbrush	640	0	640	Part of Buckhorn inventory unit CA-020-604, which was dropped from further wilderness review.	Eagle Lake	Lassen.
Ukiah	do	Chemise Mt	3,941	0	3,941	Part of King Range Conservation Area	Mendocino	Mendocino.
Bakersfield	do	Negit Island	197	0	197	Isolated ISA total roadless acres is 197.	Bishop	Mono.
Do	do	Paiute Cypress	760	2,818	3,578	All one area i.e., total roadless WSA is 3,578 acres.	Caliente	Kern.
Folsom	do	San Benito	1,500	0	1,500	Contiguous to unit CA-040-309 land found not to have wilderness characteristics and was dropped from further wilderness review.	Hollister	San Bernardino.
Subtotal					11,004			
Canon City	Colorado	High Mesa	680	0	680	Contiguous land found not to have wilderness characteristics and was dropped from further wilderness review.	Royal Gorge	Fremont.
Montrose	do	Needle Rock	80	0	80	do	San Juan	Delta.
Craig	do	N. Sand Dunes	791	0	791	do	Kremmling	Jackson.
Montrose	do	Powderhorn	40,480	9,660	50,140	All one area; total roadless WSA is 50,140 acres.	Gunnison Basin	Gunnison.
Do	do	Rare Lizard and Snake.	443	0	443	Contiguous land found not to have wilderness characteristics and was dropped from further wilderness review.	San Juan	Montezuma.
Subtotal					52,134			
Boise	Idaho	Birds of Prey	26,713	135,022	26,713	Contiguous land found not to have wilderness characteristics and was dropped from further wilderness review.	Owyhee/Bruneau	Ada/Owyhee/Elmore.
Idaho Falls	do	Chian Cup Butte	160	15,950	160	do	Big Butte	Butte/Blaine/Bingham.
Idaho Falls/Shoshone.	do	Great Rift	160	374,240	374,400	All one area; total roadless WSA is 374,400 acres.	Big Butte Monument	Blaine/Minidoka/Butte/Power.
Subtotal					401,273			
Butte	Montana	Bear Trap	2,861	1,154	4,015	Inventory decision deferred	Dillon	Madison.
Do	do	Centennial Mountain	21,774	8,256	30,030	do	do	Do.
Do	do	Humbug Spires	7,041	4,261	11,302	do	do	Do.
Lewistown	do	Square Butte	1,947	0	1,947	No contiguous public land to designated natural area.	Judith	Fergus.
Subtotal					47,294			
Ely	Nevada	Bristlecone	480	0	480	Contiguous land found not to have wilderness characteristics and was dropped from further wilderness review.	Egan	White Pine.
Do	do	Goshute Canyon	7,524	209,350	35,100	All one area; total area is 216,874 acres only 35,100 acres were found to have wilderness characteristics.	do	Do.
Winnemucca	do	Lahontan Cutthroat Trout.	12,316	29,945	41,661	Part of large road wilderness characteristics, however, only 29,945 acres were found to have wilderness characteristics.	Sonoma Gerlach	Humbbolt.
Battle Mountain	do	Mountain Meadow	22	0	22	Contiguous land found not to have wilderness characteristics and was dropped from further wilderness review.	Shoshone/Eureka	Nye.
Las Vegas	do	Pine Creek	240	23,760	24,000	All one area; total roadless WSA is 24,000 acres.	Stateline/Esmeralda	Clark.

Table III.—*Instant Study Areas (ISA's)* [1]—Continued

District	State	Name	Acreage			Remarks	Resource area	County
			ISA	Contiguous	BLM total			
Las Vegas	Nevada	Pinyon-Joshua	640	0	640	Contiguous land found not to have wilderness characteristics and was dropped from further wilderness review.	do	Esmeralda.
Ely	do	Pygmy Sage	160	0	160	Contiguous land found not to have wilderness characteristics and was droped from further wilderness review.	Schell	White Pine.
Do	do	Swamp Cedar	3,200	0	3,200	do	Schell	Do.
Do	do	Shoshone Ponds	1,240	0	1,240	do	do	Do.
Las Vegas	do	Sunrise Mtn	10,240	0	10,240	do	Caliente/Virgin Valley	Clark.
Do	do	Virgin Mtn	6,560	0	6,560	do	do	White Pine.
Subtotal					123,303			
Roswell	New Mexico	Mathers	360	19,520	19,880	All one area; total roadless WSA is 19,880 acres.	Carlsbad	Chaves.
Las Cruces	do	Guadalupe	3,692	[2] 454	8,960	Part of larger area being jointly studied with USFS.	Las Cruces/ Lordsburg	Hidalgo.
				[3] 4,814		USFS has 15,850 and BLM has 6,950 acres.		
Socorro	do	El Malpais	84,000	31,000	115,000	All one area; total roadless WSA is 115,000 acres.	Jornada	Valencia.
Subtotal					143,840			
Medford	Oregon	Brewer Spruce	210	1,500	210	Contiguous land found not to have wilderness characteristics and was dropped from further wilderness review.	Grants Pass	Josephine.
Coos Bay	do	Douglas Fir	590	50	590	do	Burnt Mtn	Coos.
Salem	do	Little Sink	80	0	80	do	Yamhill	Polk.
Lakeview	do	Lost Forest	8,960	14,520	7,960	1,000 acres of IRS is included in Sand Dunes WSA; total roadless acres. Remaining 7,960 acres of ISA will be reported separately. Remaining pacels contiguous to ISA were found not to have characteristics.	High Desert	Lake.
Prineville	do	Western Juniper	600	0	600	Contiguous land found not to have wilderness characteristics and was dropped from further wilderness review.	Deschutes	Deschutes.
Subtotal	do				9,440			
Moab	Utah	Book Cliffs	400	0	400	Contiguous land found not to have wilderness characteristics and was dropped from further wilderness review.	Book Cliffs	Uintah.
Do	do	Dark Canyon	49,904	0	49,904	Contiguous to Middle Point WSA; total roadless area 55,894 acres.	San Juan	San Juan.
Cedar City	do	Devils Garden	640	0	640	Contiguous land found not to have wilderness characteristics and was dropped from further wilderness review.	Escalante	Garfield.
Moab	do	Grand Gulch	34,928	0	34,928	Contiguous to Pine Canyon, Slickhorn Canyon, Bullet Canyon, Sheiks Canyon WSA's; total roadless area is 104,828 acres.	San Juan	San Juan.
Cedar City	do	Joshua Tree	1,040	0	1,040	Contiguous land found not to have wilderness characteristics and was dropped from further wilderness review.	Dixie	Washington.
Moab	do	Link Flats	792	0	792	Contiguous to Devil's Canyon WSA; total roadless area is 10,522 acres. Two contiguous areas found not to have wilderness characteristics.	San Rafael	Emery/Wayne.
Cedar City	Utah	Escalante Canyon	1,160			Four ISA's are contiguous in one roadless area, total roadless area is 202,433 acres.	Escalante	Kane/Garfield.
		N. Escalante Canyon	5,800					
		The Gulch	3,427	118,641	163,216			
		Phipps Death Hollow	34,288					
		Paria	8,660	12,810	21,470	Part of ISA and contiguous acreage is in Utah but is administered by Arizona.	Kanab	Kane.
Subtotal					272,390			
Rock Springs	Wyoming	Scab Creek	6,680	956	7,636	All one area; total roadless WSA is 7,636 acres.	Pinedale	Sublette
Subtotal					7,636			

[1] Figure represents final decisions, some of which are subject to protest and appeal. There may be changes in acreage at a later date as a result of decisions on protests and appeals.
[2] New Mexico.
[3] Arizona.

Appendix A—State Offices

U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management

Alaska
701 C Street, P.O. box 13, Anchorage, AK 99513
Arizona
2400 Valley Bank Center, Phoenix, AZ 85073
California
Federal Building, 2800 Cottage Way, Sacramento, CA 95825
Colorado
Colorado State Bank Building, 1600 Broadway, Denver, CO 80202
Eastern States Office
350 S. Pickett Street, Alexandria, VA 22304
Idaho
Federal Building, 550 West Fort Street, P.O. Box 042, Boise, ID 83724
Montana
222 N. 32nd Street, P.O. Box 30157, Billings, MT 59107
Nevada
Federal Building, 300 Booth Street, Reno, NV 89520
New Mexico
U.S. Post Office and Federal Building, P.O. Box 1449, Santa Fe, NM 87501
Oregon
729 N.E. Oregon Street, P.O. Box 2965, Portland, OR 97208
Utah
University Club Building, 136 East South Temple, Salt Lake City, UT 84111
Wyoming
2515 Warren Avenue, P.O. Box 1828, Cheyenne, WY 82001

[FR Doc. 81-6606 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-84-M

federal register

Tuesday
March 3, 1981

Part III

Environmental Protection Agency

Pesticide Registration, Reregistration, and Classification Procedures; Clarification of Policies on Special Packaging

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 162

[PH-FRL 1744-1; OPP 250011B]

Pesticide Registration, Reregistration, and Classification Procedure; Clarification of Policy Issues on Special Packaging

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Rule related notice.

SUMMARY: This interpretation of the child-resistant packaging regulation (40 CFR 162.16) provides clarification on several aspects of the regulation. This interpretation became necessary because the pesticide industry had raised a number of questions which the agency feels can best be resolved by this publication. It is intended that through these clarifications the affected pesticide registrants will be in a better position to comply with the regulation by March 9, 1981, when it becomes effective.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Rosalind L. Gross, Registration Division (TS-767C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. 307, CM#2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA 22202, (703-557-7181).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On March 9, 1979, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) issued final regulations which require certain toxic pesticides to be in special, i.e., child-resistant, packaging after March 9, 1981. The regulations are now contained in 40 CFR 162.16. Because so many registrants have had questions about the implementation of these regulations, EPA has decided to publish this Notice, to answer those questions and to clarify the regulations in areas where they might be unclear. These clarifications can be used immediately in order to amend registrations with respect to child-resistant packaging. Where EPA proposes to amend the regulations, those amendments will of course become effective after full notice and comment rulemaking.

A. Eye and Skin Irriation Criteria

Many questions were received concerning the conduct and interpretation of eye and skin irritation studies. As indicated in the preamble to the final regulations (44 FR 13021), testing for a pesticide's eye and skin irritation effects should be done in accordance with the National Academy of Science/National Research Council Publication 1138 protocols.

B. "Inherently Child-Resistant" Products

A number of registrants have questioned whether their products need to be tested for child-resistant effectiveness, contending that they are "inherently" child-resistant. These products fall into two main categories: (1) those where it is claimed that the package is intrinsically unopenable by children, and therefore "inherently" child-resistant, and (2) those where it is admitted that the product is toxic and accessible to children, but where it is contended that it would not be possible for a child to consume or be exposed to a sufficiently large amount of the toxic pesticide to cause him harm.

It is the agency's position that neither of these classes of products is "inherently child-resistant." In the first case, where product design is the basis for the "inherently child-resistant" claim, it will be necessary for that package to be tested, like all other pesticide packages, in accordance with the Consumer Product Safety Commission protocols, as discussed in the regulations and clarified below. In the second case, it is open to the registrant to attempt to show that its product falls within the exemption category of 40 CFR 162.16(c)(3), on the ground that despite the pesticide's meeting of one or more of the toxicity criteria, it is not hazardous to man. The registrant must show that a child could not be exposed to a toxic or harmful amount of the pesticide. The fact that a pesticide has not been implicated in a large number of accidents involving children is not in itself sufficient grounds for exemption. Product specific test data and an appropriate scientific rationale must be submitted in support of an exemption request.

C. Distinction Between "Exempt From Special Packaging" and "Not Being Subject to Special Packaging"

Many registrants are requesting exemptions for products, when in actuality they are asking if their product is subject to the regulation. An exempt is only appropriate for a product which is subject to the regulation.

A product whose label does not allow for residential use, is classified for restricted use, or does not meet the toxicity criteria, is generally not subject to the special packaging regulations. A product "allows for residential use" if its label either directly recommends residential use or reasonably can be interpreted to permit residential use.

Determining the potential for residential use from the label directions may be difficult. Many ornamental plants and garden vegetables, for example, are grown by the individual homeowner and, therefore, a label which lists them can be interpreted as permitting residential use. Residential use thus may not be automatically excluded for a relatively large number of products. In fact, the same formulation may be used, for example, by the commercial vegetable grower as well as the home gardener raising the same crop. The registrant of a product is best aware of the actual use area/user group of the pesticide. The registrant is therefore in a position to either choose proper labeling (see I below) for those products not intended to be used by the homeowner or to choose child-resistant packaging for those products used and stored in residential areas. For those products which will not enter the residential area even by use of a serviceperson (see I below) the agency will continue to follow its customary distinction between pesticides products entering the home market and those which are intended for commercial production of food crops and ornamental plants. This distinction has over the years been accomplished by a number of means, for example, use directions and size limitations. On a case-by-case basis, and in case of uncertainties, the agency has also allowed or requested label language such as "for agricultural use only" or "not for use in or around the home."

The regulations provide for exemptions under two circumstances: (1) technical infeasibility or (2) where a product's meeting of the toxicity criteria is not indicative of a genuine hazard to man.

Technically feasible means that the technology exists to produce special packaging for a particular pesticide. The agency is not required to find either that the packing is readily available or that it will lend itself conveniently to the existing packages or packaging equipment of the registrant. EPA considers practicability and appropriateness to be components of technical feasibility. In EPA's view, packaging is practicable if it is susceptible to modern mass production and assembly line techniques and appropriate if it is chemically compatible with the pesticide contained in the package.[1]

[1] This definition is consistent with that of the Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC), published in the **Federal Register** of February 16, 1972 (37 FR 3427).

D. "Dormant" Pesticide Registrations

A pesticide whose registration is "dormant" is one which is validly registered with EPA but is not currently in production. Obviously, the registrant of a "dormant" product subject to the child-resistant packaging regulations must comply with those regulations when it either begins or renews production after March 9, 1981.

E. Voluntary Child-Resistant Packaging

A number of registrants whose products are not required to be in child-resistant packaging have asked whether they may nonetheless use it. The anwer is yes. However, once a registrant chooses to use child-resistant packaging, the registrant must comply in all respects with the child-resistant packaging regulations. It would be misleading to the public to have two types of child-resistant packaging—voluntary and compulsory—which were not of equal safety or effectiveness.

F. Product Toxicity and Child-Resistant Packaging

A pesticide product's toxicity places it in one of three categories in regard to child-resistant packaging. These are:

1. Those products which clearly meet or exceed the toxicity criteria in 40 CFR 162.16(c)(2). These products must be in child-resistant packaging unless the registrant can demonstrate grounds for an exemption under 40 CFR 162.16(c)(3).

2. Those products for which the existing toxicity data are not precise enough to indicate whether the product meets or exceeds the toxicity criteria of 40 CFR 162.16(c)(2). The registrants of these products may either do further testing to determine the precise toxicity of their products, or they may concede that their products are sufficiently toxic to be in child-resistant packaging, and comply with the child-resistant packaging regulations. This second situation does not constitute voluntary child-resistant packaging. There are three notable situations where this may occur:

(i) For purposes of precautionary labeling the agency may have considered oral toxicity data sufficient to show that the product was in toxicity category III (LD_{50} of from 500 through 5,000 mg/kg), but not specific enough to determine whether the product has an LD_{50} of 1.5 g/kg or less.

(ii) Eye irritation studies may have been submitted which showed corneal effects past day 7, and the study may have been terminated without determining whether the effects were reversible in 21 days. Such a study was sufficient for purposes of precautionary labeling (category I) but is no longer adequate to determine whether or not child-resistant packaging (CRP) is needed.

(iii) The third situation applies to skin irritation studies using an exposure period of 24 hours. These studies would not necessarily allow a conclusion as to whether the criteria for CRP have been exceeded.

3. Those products which clearly do not meet the toxicity criteria in 40 CFR 162.16(c)(2). These products are not required to be in child-resistant packaging, although a registrant may choose to use child-resistant packaging voluntarily, in accordance with E above.

G. Released for Shipment

The phrase "released for shipment" is defined as follows: "A product is released for shipment by a producing establishment when it is the intent of the producer to introduce the product into commerce." Products at the distributor and retail levels are considered to have been previously released for shipment by the producing establishment.

H. Testing Procedure for Child-Resistant Packaging Which Requires a Tool for Opening

As the regulations indicate, the protocol testing procedures of the Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC) 16 CFR 1700.20) are to be followed in testing the child-resistant packaging of pesticides. The CPSC policy for testing child-resistant packaging which requires a tool to open the packaging, published in the **Federal Register** of October 13, 1972 (37 FR 21632), will also be followed. The test policy is that:

1. children need not be given the tool during the test unless the tool and product are marketed together, and

2. adults will be given the tool for testing.

I. Limited Exception for Products Sold to, and Used by, Servicepersons

EPA has determined, based on comments submitted by various industry groups, that pesticides which are used in residential areas by janitors, professional pest control applicators, exterminators, swimming pool operators, lawncare and landscaping personnel, and other similar people need not be in child-resistant packaging. This is because the storage and usage patterns generally employed by these people are such that a significant hazard to young children is not apparent.

Therefore, EPA plans to propose to amend the present child-resistant packaging regulations to provide that pesticides applied and used by servicepersons, as defined below, will not be within the scope of the regulations. EPA's proposed amendments to the regulations will be published shortly, and will be subject to public comment. In the meantime, EPA will exercise its prosecutional discretion not to enforce the child-resistant packaging regulations against those manufacturers who comply with those restrictive measures enumerated below.

EPA now plans to propose amendments which are substantially as follows:

1. Serviceperson. As used in the child-resistant packaging regulations, a serviceperson is defined as one who provides a service of controlling pests without delivering any unapplied pesticide to any person so served. The term "serviceperson" includes, but is not limited to, a janitor, pest control operator, maintenance person, lawncare and landscaping personnel. "Serviceperson" does not include a household servant, such as maid, housekeeper, or private gardener.

2. A pesticide which meets the criteria for child-resistant packaging and is distributed only to servicepersons may be marketed without child-resistant packaging if the registrant labels its product (either by conventional or sticker label), "Only for Sale to, Use, and Storage by Servicepersons." This statement must be in type at least as large as the child hazard warning statement. Sale or other distribution of a pesticide labeled in this way to a person other than a serviceperson will violate FIFRA section 12(a)(2)(F), once the restriction is imposed by regulation. Use of a pesticide labeled in this way by anyone other than a serviceperson will be a violation of FIFRA section 12(a)(2)(G).

J. Testing Each Size of a Design

The regulations provide that, "Standards for special packaging shall be evaluated for each size of a design used pursuant to the Consumer Product Safety Commission protocols specified in 16 CFR 1700.20 * * *" 40 CFR 162.16(d)(3). In explaining the necessity for testing each size of a design, the Preamble to the final regulations stated that:

> Tests do not have to be run on each pesticide product, only on each special packaging design * * *. However, in § 162.16(d)(3) a requirement has been added to test each size of a closure design used. In discussions with the Consumer Product Safety Commission, EPA was advised that changing the size of a design often reduces the child-resistant effectiveness. Requiring each closure size to be tested will eliminate

the possibility of an ineffective package being marketed (44 FR 13020).

EPA recognizes that this preamble language is somewhat ambiguous, because it refers both to the testing of the entire special packaging design and to the testing of the closure size alone. While it was the intent of the drafters of the regulations to require that the entire special package be tested for its child-resistant effectiveness,[2] the preamble language apparently prompted some registrants to test only the closure size which they intended to use on their pesticide product.

In view of the closeness of the March 9, 1981, deadline for compliance with the special packaging regulations, EPA is now prepared to resolve this possible ambiguity by permitting pesticide registrants to certify that their pesticides packages are in compliance with the regulations based solely on the testing of each closure size. EPA will then propose amended regulations, to be open for public comment, which will make it clear that the phrase "each size of a design" means the entire package. The entire package will be defined to consist of the following elements: belonging to a specific ASTM (American Society for Testing and Materials) classification of packages; being made by a particular packaging manufacturer; having a specific trade name; being a specific model/style number; having a particular shape; having a particular size (volume capacity) container/package; having a particular size closure (if applicable); having a specific liner (if applicable); and having the container/closure or package being constructed of a particular material.

EPA recognizes that the time and expense necessary to test each specific package of each product requiring registration would be considerable. Accordingly, to lessen the impact which would occur if such testing were required, EPA will offer manufacturers an alternative method by which the packaging of products can be certified.[3] It is believed that this scheme provides a reasonable degree of assurance that a package not tested will still meet the effectiveness specifications for special packaging.

[2] As early as the 1977 proposed regulations, EPA stated that, "[U]nder this regulation it is intended that the entire package be tested. Containers which, for example, use safety closures which are not compatible with the container body are not acceptable" (42 FR 55235).

[3] However, should a pesticide package be tested and found not to comply with the child-resistant effectiveness standards of 16 CFR 1700.20, it will not be a defense that the similar product on which the registrant relied did meet those standards. Ultimately, each special package must be evaluated on its own merits.

EPA's proposal is set forth below, in the hope that some manufacturers will voluntarily comply with it before it becomes effective.

Proposed Testing Scheme

If a registrant uses a package other than the exact package system for which the protocol test data are available, EPA will accept certification if the package used meets the following criteria:

(1) Package shape: The package for which protocol data are available must be a conventional shape, e.g., cylindrical, rectangular, square, and the package for which certification is sought, even if different from the shape of the package tested, must also be conventional in shape. If an "F" style metal can or a conical metal can are involved, the shape used must be the same as the shape tested. All nonconventional package shapes, e.g., hourglass configuration, triangular packages, must be tested individually.

(2) Container/package material: The material of the package/container for which certification is sought must be generically equivalent and have the same physical/chemical properties (e.g., bursting strength, tear strength, etc.) as the material of the package for which protocol data are available. Different materials include polypropylene, low density polyethylene (LDPE), high density polyethylene (HDPE), glass, tin plate (steel), aluminum, etc. If data are available for a glass package and an LDPE package is used, it must be tested.

(3) Volume of the package: For certification on the basis of data involving a package other than the exact one used by the registrant, the protocol test data must demonstrate that the package tested has a child-resistant effectiveness of 88 percent of more after demonstration. The size of the package used must fall within the limits set forth below. The 88 percent figure was chosen, based on statistical analysis, to ensure that a package similar but not identical to the one tested would meet the 80 percent and 85 percent effectiveness testing requirements of the CPSC protocols. See 16 CFR 1700.20. If a registrant wants to certify that its package is child-resistant on the basis of testing the package actually used, a minimum of 80 percent child-resistant effectiveness after a demonstration is proper.

1. To use test data from a package which contains 0 to 8 fl. oz.,[4] the registrant's package must be the exact size of the package tested.

2. To use test data from a package which contains 8–64 fl. oz.,[4] the registrant's package can be equal to or as much as twice the size of the package test. For example, if an 8 fl. oz. package has been tested, its data (assuming that all other factors were equal) could be used for a package from 8 to 16 fl. oz.

3. To use data from a package which is larger than 64 fl. oz.,[4] the registrant's package can be equal to or as much as 1.5 times the volume of the package tested.

4. Closure/package: The closure/package used must be the same ASTM classification, the same model/style, the same model/style number, manufacturer, and trade name as the package tested.

5. Closure size: For certification on the basis of data involving a closure other than the exact one used by the registrant, the protocol test data must demonstrate that the closure tested has a child-resistant effectiveness of 88 percent or more after demonstration (see (3) above). The size of the closure used must fall within the limits set forth below:

a. To use data from a closure with a diameter less than 20 mm or greater than 45 mm, the registrant's closure must be the exact size of the closure tested.

b. If the registrant wishes to rely on data from one other closure, which is between 20 and 45 mm in diameter, inclusive, he may do so, provided that the diameter of the registrant's closure is in the size range between 20 and 45 mm inclusive and is between 1 and 1.15 times that of the closure tested. (Numbers should be rounded to the next highest whole number). For example, a registrant with a 28 mm closure could rely on test data for a 24 mm closure.

c. If the registrant wishes to rely on test data for two closures, which are between 20 and 45 mm in diameter, inclusive, and within 10 mm of each other, he may rely on that data for any closure which falls between the two tested. For example, if a 24 mm and a 33 mm closure of the same design were tested, the registrant could certify to the child-resistant effectiveness of its similar closures which were 26, 28, and 30 mm in diameter.

6. Closure material: The material of the closure used must be generically equivalent and have the same physical/chemical properties, i.e., bursting strength, tear strength, etc., as the closure material for which protocol data are available.

[4] Volumes measured in cubic inches can be converted to fluid ounces using the rule that 1 fl. oz. = 1.8 cu. in. Numbers should be rounded to the next highest whole number.

7. Liners: The materials of the registrant's liner and the liner on whose test data the registrant wishes to rely must be identical. This is because, in the experience of the Consumer Product Safety Commission, the closure liner is an integral part of the child-resistant package, and changing the liner may impair or eliminate the child-resistant effectiveness of a closure or packaging design.[8] In the situation where test data exist for a closure-liner system that differs from the closure-liner system used only in terms of closure diameter (>20 mm and <45 mm) certification is permissible if:

a. closure diameter used is greater than the diameter tested but is within the permissible range (size used is equal to or greater than the size tested and equal to or less than 1.15 times the size tested), then the closure to be used need not be tested if scientific data exist to demonstrate that the mechanical properties of the closure-liner system used are comparable to that tested. An example is if a 24 mm closure with a PVC liner is tested, then its data may be used for certification of a 28 mm version of the same closure with a PVC liner, provided there is scientific evidence that the 28 mm closure-liner system has mechanical properties similar to the 24 mm closure-liner system or

b. test data exist for two closure sizes with a difference equal to or less than 10 mm, the liner used in the two closure sizes for which data exist must be the same as the liner in the size used, then the closure to be used need not be tested. An example would be the test data for a 24 mm and 33 mm closure, both with a vinyl pulp backed liner. These data can be used for the certification of a 28 mm closure with a vinyl pulp backed liner.

The agency acknowledges that only a limited amount of data are available on large size packages. Consequently, exceptions will be considered in those cases where a registrant has submitted appropriate scientific and technical data.

K. Label Language

The particular wording of the label giving directions for child-resistant packaging opening and closing instructions is at the discretion of the registrant, so long as it clearly explains to the adult user how to use the package.

[8] This is why even an apparently minor modification in the liner, such as adding an insert for promotional purposes, may require retesting to ensure that the child-resistant effectiveness of the closure or package has not been impaired.

L. Certification of Child-Resistant Effectiveness

According to 40 CFR 162.16(e), the amendments for child-resistant packaging shall include a certification by the registrant that the package meets the standards of § 162.16(d).

The registrant is furthermore required to maintain records concerning the package (§ 162.16(f)) which include a complete copy of the data resulting from the tests conducted in accordance with § 162.16(d). As indicated under J. above, the agency realizes that it was not made entirely clear whether certification as to the child-resistant effectiveness of a particular package should be based on protocol tests carried out on the entire package or merely the closure. Therefore, by March 9, 1981, the agency is allowing two types of certifications, both of which will equally serve to comply with the regulation. After the agency has formally proposed and published a final regulation concerning the testing of package designs (see J. above) certain packages may have to be retested and recertified.

(a) Certification of effectiveness based on testing scheme listed under J above. If the protocol test data in the registrant's files show that either the exact package or a package meeting the criteria enumerated under J. above, has been tested, the registrant shall certify that the package meets the effectiveness criteria for child-resistance. The certification statement must include the registration number of the product, the registered product name, the registrant's name and address, date, the name, title, and signature of the company official. The certification, which should be submitted in triplicate, should include at a minimum the following statement:

> I hereby certify that the special packaging for this product and all applicable supplementally registered distributor products have been tested and found to meet the requirements of 40 CFR 162.16(d), Standards of Special Packaging.
>
> I understand that I am required to retain the records described in 40 CFR 162.16(f)(1), (2), and (3) for as long as the registration is valid. These records shall be available upon request, for inspection or copying purposes or for submission to EPA. Furthermore, I certify that should the special packaging for this product be changed, I will update this certification statement.

Additionally, if the product is sold in several sizes of packages all the sizes which this certification applies must be listed.

(b) Certification of effectiveness based on testing the package closure only. If the protocol test data in the registrant's files show that the closure used has been tested for effectiveness but it was actually tested on a container (package) other than the one used or outside the limitations of the scheme listed under J. above, he shall certify the effectiveness on the basis of the exact size closure. The certification statement, which should be submitted in triplicate, shall include the information listed under (a) above and shall include at a minimum the following statement:

> I hereby certify that the exact closure used on the package of this product and all applicable supplementally registered distributor products have been tested and found to meet the requirements of 40 CFR 162.16(d), Standards for Special Packaging.
>
> I understand that I am required to retain the records described in 40 CFR 162.16(f)(1), (2), and (3) for as long as the registration is valid. These records shall be available upon request, for inspection and copying purposes or for submission to EPA. Furthermore, I certify that should the special packaging for this product be changed, I will update this certification statement.

If a product is sold in a line of several size packages, some of these packages may be certifiable under method (a) and some under (b). In this case two certification statements are required, one for those packages meeting the specifications of (a) and one for those which meet the specifications of (b).

(c) Information concerning the package/closure used. The child-resistant packaging regulation does not require the registrant to submit information concerning the type and make of child-resistant packaging used, although this information is part of those records which must be kept, and which must be available for inspection and submission upon request by EPA. The agency at this time, however, requests the cooperation of registrants to supply this information voluntarily as an addendum to the certification statement. This request is made for purposes of gathering information on the variety of packages and/or closures which have undergone extensive testing to allow certification by (a) above, for example. The agency feels that this information will be extremely helpful in providing data which may permit it to propose a more liberal testing scheme than the one listed under J. The information requested is as follows: Product sizes; name of special packaging manufacturer; name of special packaging and model/style; (ASTM classification); closure sizes, and liners used.

M. Amended Product Registrations for Child-Resistant Packaging (CRP)

There are basically three types of amended registration applications that may be submitted in conjunction with

the Special Packaging Regulations. An amendment may be filed to indicate the use of special packaging and to submit the associated certification statement; an amendment may be filed to revise labeling to remove a package from the scope of the special packaging regulations; or an amendment may be filed to change the product formulation or submit new toxicity data to remove a pesticide from the scope of the special packaging regulations. The registrant must indicate the use of special packaging on Form 8570–11 and must designate CR Package in Box 6 under "type of amendment."

1. Amendments for child-resistant packaging certification: The registrant must submit the required certification statement (original and two copies) along with the amended application. If child-resistant packaging instructions for opening and resecuring such packaging appear on the product label or on an accompanying circular, then the label or circular must be submitted. If the instructions are on the container or closure, only a copy of the instructions should be submitted.

2. Labeling amendments: Registrants must file an amended application to revise their labeling to indicate specific nonresidential use areas, or restricted use, i.e., the elected restriction of purchase, storage, and use only by a serviceperson; see section I. Registrants who wish to amend their registrations to have different labels for the same product may do so. The same product may be packaged in child-resistant packaging and non-child-resistant packaging in accordance with sections A through L of this Notice. The revised labeling must be submitted to and reviewed by EPA to determine if it complies with the special packaging regulations. If sticker labels are used on an interim basis the sticker must be submitted with the amendment.

3. Revisions to registrations to submit new toxicity data and/or to change formulations: A product may be less toxic than is currently indicated by its registration and precautionary labeling. Some registrants may subject their product to additional testing to determine its precise toxicity, or they may reformulate their products so that they do not meet the toxicity criteria under 40 CFR 162.16(c)(2) (see F. 2 above). If a product is reformulated an application for amended or new registration must be filed as for any other formulation change. If the registrant's retesting indicates that the product is more toxic than previously indicated, the registrant must submit these new test data to EPA, pursuant to FIFRA section 6(a)(2). If this toxicity testing also indicates that the registrant's product should be placed in a new (either higher or lower) toxicity category for labeling pruposes under 40 CFR 162.10(h)(1), the registrant must file an application for amended registration. If the new toxicity testing indicates that the registrant's product is less toxic than previously known, so that child-resistant packaging is not required but does not require that the product be placed into a different category, the registrant is not required to bring this information to EPA's attention. However, it would be helpful for him to do so, in order to avoid unnecessary enforcement actions in regard to the child-resistant packaging regulations.

N. Child-Resistant Packaging Certification for Registration of a New Pesticide Product Which Meets the Criteria

1. *Forms.* An applicant for the registration of a new pesticide product must indicate the use of special packaging on Form 8570–01 but a sample of the packaging need not be submitted. The applicant must submit the required certification statement (original and two copies).

2. *When certification is required.* Applicants may initiate registration procedures for new products before they obtain the child-resistant packaging data for certification. However, the child-resistant effectiveness certification must be submitted before any new product registration is issued after March 9, 1981.

3. *Labeling.* Instructions for opening and resecuring child-resistant packaging may appear on either the product label, accompanying labeling or circular, the container itself, or the closure. Instructions for special packaging on the label or circular will normally be submitted, as labeling is required for a new registration. If such instructions appear on the container or closure, then a copy of the instructions should be submitted. The container or closure should not be submitted.

O. Requesting Exemptions

The Special Packaging Regulations allow for exemption from child-resistant packaging of those products which meet the criteria for required special packaging only on the basis of technical infeasibility or on the basis that the hazards indicated by the toxicity criteria are not indicative of hazard to man.

An examption should be mailed or delivered to Ms. D. Jean Jenkins, Special Packaging Coordinator, Registration Division (TS–767), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. E–317, 401 M St. SW., Washington, D.C. 20460, (202–755–8930).

The regulation states that in order for an exemption to be granted it must be based on sufficient data which accompany the request, to support the decision. Additionally, it provides that any such decision shall be published in the **Federal Register** and shall be applicable to any product with identical or substantially similar composition and intended uses.

Initially, the procedures and data necessary to consider an exemption request will be determined on an individual basis. However, this document recommends certain procedures and data to help the agency in its consideration of requests for exemption. The agency requests but does not require that an exemption:

1. Be written in the English language.

2. Contain the name, address, and telephone number of the registrant.

3. Contain the Pesticide Registration Number and name of the Pesticide Product Manager.

4. Contain an explicit request for exemption from special packaging requirements.

5. Identify the particular product for which the exemption is sought.

6. Describe the package size of the product for which the exemption is sought.

7. Be typewritten.

8. Be accompanied by at least two copies of the request.

9. Explain the reason for the exemption based on one or more of the following grounds:

a. If the justification is based on the hazard indicated by the toxicity criteria in 40 CFR 162.16(c)(2) not being indicative of the risk to man, the justification shall state how the lack of human exposure, certain pharmocological mechanisms, or other human experience evidence [6] for the product clearly support granting of the exemption.

b. If the exemption is requested because special packaging is not technologically feasible (which includes compatible, practicable, or appropriate for the product), the justification shall explain why.

c. Where the exemption request is based upon an allegation that special packaging is incompatible with the particular product or would seriously and adversely compromise the utility or stability of a product, the registrant shall

[6] Human experience data, indicating the lack of accidents, are considered helpful but not primary evidence for an exemption.

submit adequate evidence to support the allegation.

d. If the allegation of incompatibility is based upon the fact that the shelf-life of the product limits package choice, the exemption shall outline the particular limitation and shall include a time schedule for the registrant to reestablish shelf-life data.

Granting exemptions: Where the agency determines that reasonable grounds for an exemption are presented, the agency shall publish that decision in the **Federal Register**, and it shall be applicable to any product with identical or substantially similar composition and intended uses.

"Reasonable grounds" for granting an exemption are information and data sufficient to support the conclusion that:

(a) The hazard indicated by the toxicity criteria in 40 CFR 162.16(c)(2) are not indicative of the risk to man.

(b) Special packaging is not technically feasible, which includes compatible, practicable, or appropriate for the product.

Denying exemptions: Where the agency determines that reasonable grounds for an exemption are not presented, the exemption shall be denied, and the registrant notified in writing of the denial, including a brief statement of the reasons therefor.

Effect of filing an exemption: The filing of an exemption shall not have the effect of staying the regulation from which the exemption is sought. Therefore, products subject to special packaging shall be considered to be in violation of the law unless packaged in special packaging during the agency's consideration of the exemption request after March 9, 1981.P.

Data Compensation

In accordance with the data compensation regulations contained in 40 CFR 162.9–1, and particularly paragraph (b)(17) thereof, all amendments to registration which are made solely to achieve compliance with the special packaging regulations and which do not require EPA to review scientific data before deciding whether to permit the amended registration, will not be subject to the data compensation provisions of FIFRA sections 3(c)(1)(D) and 3(c)(2)(B).

(Sec. 25(c)(3) as amended (Pub. L. 92–516, 86 Stat. 983; Pub. L. 94–140, 89 Stat. 755; Pub. L. 95–396, 92 Stat. 819; 7 U.S.C. 136 et seq.))

Dated: January 26, 1981.

Edwin L. Johnson,

Deputy Assistant Administrator for Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. 81-6716 Filed 3-2-81; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-32-M

federal register

Tuesday
March 3, 1981

Part IV

Department of Energy

Economic Regulatory Administration

Establishment of Mechanism for Entitlements Adjustments for Periods Prior to Decontrol of Crude Oil

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Economic Regulatory Administration

10 CFR Part 211

[Docket No. ERA-R-81-01]

Establishment of Mechanism for Entitlements Adjustments for Periods Prior to Decontrol of Crude Oil

AGENCY: Economic Regulatory Administration, Department of Energy.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking and public hearing.

SUMMARY: The Economic Regulatory Administration (ERA) of the Department of Energy (DOE) is proposing to adopt a Special Rule establishing a mechanism for adjusting entitlements lists under the domestic crude oil allocation program ("entitlements program," 10 CFR Part 211, section 211.67) for periods prior to the decontrol of crude oil on January 28, 1981. Two alternative methods are proposed to allow refiners and other participants on the entitlements lists to adjust reported crude oil runs-to-stills and receipts for periods prior to January 28, 1981, and either to make payments after the last regular entitlements list is published in March 1981. Adjustments also would be made for administrative and judical decisions affecting the entitlements program issued after the last entitlements list in March. We are also specifically requesting industry comments and views regarding appropriate action in the event that the national domestic crude oil supply ratio for the period January 1, 1981, through January 27, 1981, is negative.

DATES: Proposed effective date: April 1, 1981; Comments by 4:30 p.m., April 2, 1981; Requests to speak at hearing by 4:30 p.m., March 12, 1981; Hearing date: March 17, 1981, 9:30 a.m.

ADDRESSES: Comments and requests to speak at hearing to: Department of Energy, Economic Regulatory Administration, Office of Public Hearings Management, Docket No. ERA-R-81-01, Room B-210, 2000 M Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20461. Hearing location: James Forrestal Building, 1000 Independence Avenue SW., Room GE-086 (Auditorium), Washington, D.C. 20585.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Cynthia Ford (Office of Public Hearings Management), Economic Regulatory Administration, Room B-210, 2000 M Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20461 (202) 653-3971

Jack Vandenberg (Office of Public Information), Economic Regulatory Administration, Room B-110, 2000 M Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20461 (202) 653-4055

Daniel J. Thomas (Office of Petroleum Price Regulations), Economic Regulatory Administration, Room 7116, 2000 M Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20461 (202) 653-4288

Mary McDonald (Office of Petroleum Allocation Regulations), Economic Regulatory Administration, Room 7202-G, 2000 M Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20461 (202) 653-3254

David Welsh or Robert Thompson (Entitlements Program Office), Economic Regulatory Administration, Room 6212, 2000 M Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20461 (202) 653-3459 (Welsh); 653-3476 (Thompson)

William Funk, Peter Schaumberg or Jack Kendall (Office of General Counsel), U.S. Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. 20585 (202) 252-6736 (Funk); 252-6754 (Schaumberg); 252-6739 (Kendall)

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background
II. Proposal
III. Proposal with Respect to a Negative DOSR
IV. Comment Procedures
V. Procedural Matters.

I. Background

On January 28, 1981, the President issued Executive Order 12287 ("E.O. 12287," 46 FR 9909, January 30, 1981), effective 12:01 a.m., January 28, 1981, exempting all crude oil and refined petroleum products from the price and allocation regulations adopted pursuant to the Emergency Petroleum Allocation Act (Pub. L. 93-159), as amended. Section 3 of the Executive Order provides, however, that:

> The Secretary of Energy may, pursuant to Executive Order No. 11790, as amended by Executive Order No. 12038, adopt such regulations and take such actions as he deems necessary to implement this Order, including the promulgation of entitlements notices for periods prior to this Order and the establishment of a mechanism for entitlements adjustments for periods prior to this Order.

In accordance with the provisions of Section 3 of E.O. 12287, entitlements notices for December 1980 and the period January 1, 1981, through January 27, 1981, will be issued in accordance with the entitlements regulations in 10 CFR 211.67 in effort on January 27, 1981. (*See*, Ruling 1981-1, 46 FR 12945, February 19, 1981). The last regular entitlements list published in late March 1981 for crude oil runs-to-stills and receipts in January 1981 will reflect adjustments from prior entitlements lists resulting from reporting errors or recertifications of crude oil, as permitted by the regulations. In addition, the March entitlements list will be adjusted in accordance with normal procedures to give effect to ERA Decision and Orders, decisions by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), judicial decrees and Decision and Orders issued by DOE's Office of Hearings and Appeals (OHA) prior to the issuance date of the list.

We anticipate, however, that many refiners will continue ro receive recertifications of crude oil for several months, particularly those relating to tertiary incentive crude oil production (*See*, Ruling 1981-1, *supra*), and will have need to file invoice adjustments for periods prior to decontrol after March 5, 1981 (the date reports for January are due). In addition, judicial decrees, FERC decisions and Decision and Orders issued by DOE's Office of Hearings and Appeals (OHA) after March 5, 1981, may necessitate that there be a mechanism to adjust prior entitlements notices to give effect to those decisions. Still further adjustments may be necessary after publication of the March entitlements list to give effect to any ERA Decision and Orders that may be issued after the March entitlements list and that provide for the retroactive grant of entitlements to firms that made applications for entitlements for petroleum substitutes before January 28, 1981, but that still were outstanding as of the publication of the March list.

Had there not been decontrol, all such adjustments would have been included by ERA in the next regular entitlements list issued after the date of the report in accordance with established practice. Under the existing regulations in § 211.67, however, decontrol means that once the March 1981 entitlements list is issued for January transactions, DOE would have no effective means of accommodating errors or adjustments for prior months' lists. These potential adjustments should be required to be reported and accounted for through transfers of monies between firms. Additional regulatory authority for DOE to effect these transfers for periods prior to decontrol therefore is necessary and is recognized in Sec. 3 of E.O. 12287.

In view of this need for a mechanism to allow for adjustments in months prior to decontrol, but after the last regular entitlements data for January is reported in March 1981, ERA held a public conference on February 11, 1981, to discuss with industry how such a mechanism should be conceived. Written comments also were invited (*See*, "Notice of Public Conference Concerning the Establishment of a

Mechanism for Entitlements Adjustments for Periods Prior to Crude Oil Decontrol," 46 FR 11291, February 6, 1981).

ERA has considered all of the written and oral comments, and in accordance with Section 3 of E.O. 12287, is proposing a Special Rule for entitlements adjustments for periods prior to decontrol.Two alternative methods for accomplishing this adjustment are presented, and commenters are requested to direct comments to the relative merits of the two options.

II. Proposal

A. Adjustments Included in the Clean-up List

In order to insure that final entitlements adjustments are achieved in an efficient yet equitable manner, we are proposing that the adjustment regulations provide for invoice adjustments and amendments with respect to crude oil runs-to-stills and receipts only for the months January 1980 through December 1980 and the period January 1, 1981 through January 27, 1981 (*i.e.*, entitlements lists issued during the period March 1980 through March 1981). This would be designated as the reporting period. Although the provisions of § 211.67 historically have not specified the period for which amendments and adjustments could be made, it is our view that participants in the program already have filed any amended reports and prior month invoice adjustments that are required with respect to months prior to January 1980 and, therefore, that limiting adjustments to the proposed period would appropriately balance our objective of minimizing administrative burdens while insuring the equitable treatment of all refiners. Furthermore, this proposed period corresponds to the period of gradual decontrol during which most reporting errors have occurred. An exception to the limitation on the time with respect to which amendments and adjustments could be made would be provided when a judicial or administrative order requires giving effect to an adjustment or amendment for a period outside the reporting period. However, we solicit comments as to whether it would be necessary to give effect to amended reports for periods prior to January 1980 in order to prevent serious prejudice to any participants.

We recognize that the opportunity to modify past entitlements reports may be misused by some persons. ERA therefore will make every effort to ensure that claims reported by refiners and others are legitimate before their inclusion on a final clean-up list. Past practices will be reviewed and any claims may be subject to audit.

In addition to adjustments to prior entitlements lists resulting from reporting errors and crude oil recertifications, the clean-up list would reflect adjustments resulting from administrative and judicial decisons. ERA Decison and Orders (such as those pending for petroleum substitutes), OHA Decison and Orders, decisions of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission and decisions by the courts which are issued after the March entitlements list but before the issuance date of the clean-up list proposed herein, all would be accounted for on the clean-up list.

There is proposed to be created an "escrow" account under the clean-up list which would be funded proportionally by all firms. The purpose of this "escrow" account would be to provide a fund to pay or receive any monies as required pursuant to judicial and administrative decisions on the entitlements program issued after the date of publication of the clean-up list. Ultimately, the balance in the escrow account, after satisfying all claims, would be refunded to firms in the same proportion as it was paid.

It is our intention to include on the final clean-up list any claims and obligations resulting from ERA and OHA decisions even though these decisions still would be subject to appeal.

ERA concurs with those commenters who supported the earliest possible issuance date for the final clean-up list. As a further measure to insure that final adjustments are completed at the earliest possible date, we are proposing that no invoice adjustments or amendments for the final clean-up list would be accepted after June 1, 1981. We believe this filing date would permit adequate time to be sure that refiners will have received all recertifications that are required to be made by producers and resellers under section 212.131. In this regard, we are proposing to adopt provisions providing that a refiner will not be required to honor for pricing purposes any recertification it receives after May 1, 1981. This limitation would provide an incentive for resellers to expedite recertifications or risk not receiving payments. Refiners would be protected against receiving a recertification and not being able to recover entitlements already paid.

In addition, the proposed June 1, 1981, filing date should allow sufficient time to process pending appeals and applications for exception relief before DOE's Office of Hearings and Appeals, as well as time to process applications filed with ERA requesting the inclusion of petroleum substitutes in the entitlements program for periods prior to decontrol.

We are considering issuing a proposed final clean-up list in July 1981 before a final list is promulgated in August. This would allow all interested parties an opportunity to review the list and ascertain any errors or anomalies. We specifically solicit comments as to the practicality of a proposed clean-up list and a brief period to raise any challenges.

B. First Alternative Adjustment Mechanism

Under the first alternative adjustment mechanism, ERA would collect reports from all refiners and other participants in the entitlements program with respect to invoice adjustments and amendments to reported data in any month in the prescribed adjustment period. ERA then would convert each reported adjustment into a dollar amount. These dollar amounts would be considered "claims" if the firm was entitled to receive money from the entitlements program, and would be considered "obligations" if the firm owed money to the program.

Amendments would be handled in the same manner as for past entitlements lists. ERA would take into account the entitlement price for the month in issue in calculating the dollar value of the error.

It would be necessary to establish a new procedure, however, to calculate the dollar value of prior months invoice adjustments. In past months, the then current month's volume of controlled crude oil receipts simply was adjusted on the ERA–49 to account for past invoice adjustments. The impact of the adjustment would then be determined by the current month's data. For the clean-up report, there is no "current month." Therefore, we propose that to compute the dollar value of the invoice adjustments, data on crude costs, etc., used to compute deemed old oil ratios for the month of December 1980 would be used to transform the adjustments into a volume of barrels of deemed old oil. December was selected because it was the last full month of the program.

A dollar value for the deemed old oil would be determined by multiplying the calculated volume by the average entitlements price for the months of October through December 1980, and January 1981. An average of four months was selected because most prior month invoice adjustments cannot be related to any month.

All other claims which cannot be attributed to any month would be divided among all months in the reporting period for which other claims are made. However, since it is unlikely that any invoice adjustment would relate back before October 1980, we are proposing to divide the claim equally among the months of October 1980 through January 1981.

By way of illustration, if Refiner A, a net entitlements buyer, reported in the month of December 1980 10,000 barrels of lower tier crude oil which subsequently was recertified as stripper well production (and therefor exempt from price controls), then in that month Refiner A was required to purchase 10,000 entitlements too many. Assuming an average entitlements price for October 1980 through January 1981 of $25.00, then Refiner A would be entitled to a claim of $250,000. This would be divided equally among the months of October through January. Similarly, if Refiner B discovered a reporting error where it reported excessive runs-to-stills in a given month of 20,000 barrels, and the DOSR in that month was .10, then that refiner was issued 2,000 too many entitlements. If the entitlement price again was assumed to be $25.00 in that month, then that refiner has an obligation of $50,000 attributable to that month.

Claims or obligations also would be determined to the extent of the dollar value of ERA Decision and Orders (*e.g.* petroleum substitute orders) exception and appeals relief granted by OHA, FERC decisions and judicial relief provided to persons since the issuance of the March entitlements list, and an escrow account (discussed below).

After calculating all the claims and obligations, a net adjustment for each month, equal to the sum of all claims minus the sum of all obligations for that month, would be determined. It is possible that some adjustments may not be identifiable as to a particular month. These adjustments—"no-month" adjustments—would be divided equally among the months for which a claim or obligation was reported and would be added to the sum of each month's adjustments. The only exception would be claims from prior month invoice adjustments, discussed above.

A total adjustment, equal to the sum of all net adjustments, then would be calculated. For purposes of discussion, it will be assumed that firms' claims for prior months will exceed firms' obligations for prior months. The result, therefore, will be a net claim. The essence of the proposed clean-up mechanism would be the method of allocating among firms the burden of paying the claim.

In practical terms, the effect in any given month of adjustments to previous reports is to change the so-called "runs credit" in the affected month. If the error was in receipts of controlled crude oil, the DOSR either was too high or too low in that month resulting in too many or too few entitlements being issued, respectively. Similarly, if a refiner misreported its crude oil runs-to-stills, then the number of entitlements issued to that refiner was in error. In either case, the runs credit, which all refiners receive in the month according to their volume of runs in that month, will be either too high or too low. Thus, all refiners (and others on the entitlements list with deemed runs, *e.g.*, importers of residual fuel oil into the eligible market), including the refiner which misreported, either were over—or undercompensated in that month directly in proportion to their crude oil runs-to-stills. Repaying the claim in the clean-up list in proportion to runs therefore would be the appropriate mechanism.

The first alternative proposal, therefore, is that the proportional share of the total adjustment for each firm would be determined by multiplying the total adjustment for the period January 1980 through January 27, 1981, by a fraction which represents that firm's percentage of all runs. The numerator of the fraction would be the sum of that firm's crude oil runs-to-stills for all months in which any firm reported an adjustment, and the denominator of the fraction would be the sum of all firms' crude oil runs-to-stills for all months in which any firm reported an adjustment. For example, if firms only reported adjustments for six of the months in the period January 1980 through January 1981, only runs data for the affected months would be used.

The result of applying the proposed fractions to the total adjustment would be to provide that each firm would pay the claim represented by the total adjustment in the same proportion as its runs to total runs in the period. Since the benefit each firm received as the result of excessive run credits in those months, explained above, also was generally in direct proportion to runs, the distribution of the burden using the proposed method would be fair and equitable.

We recognize that anomalies could result in the event we determine to adopt the first alternative adjustment mechanism. For example, a participant on the clean-up list which had substantially reduced runs-to-stills in a given month due to a refinery shutdown might nevertheless have a sizable proportional obligation attributable to that month under the first alternative proposal if there were large claims by participants with respect to that month. The reverse also could be true. Although we believe that any such anomalies would be mitigated by the proportional approach described above, we are proposing a second alternative proposal that would effectively eliminate such distortions but which has the detriment of being administratively more burdensome.

C. Second Alternative Adjustment Mechanism

Under the second proposal, firms would report adjustments, and ERA would calculate the dollar value of the adjustments, in the same manner as in the first alternative. However, rather than aggregate all the monthly claims and obligations into one total and then use one fraction to determine each firm's proportional share, ERA would account for each month separately. As in the first alternative, only those months in the period of January 1980 through January 1981 for which any adjustment is reported would be affected.

For every firm that was on the entitlements list for a month in issue, ERA would multiply the net adjustment for that month by a fraction. The numerator would be equal to that firm's runs-to-stills in that month, and the denominator would be equal to the sum of the runs of all firms in that month. A firm would have a fraction calculated for each month. The proportional shares, in dollar amounts, determined for each firm for each of the separate months, then would be added to determine that firm's share of the total adjustment for the entire adjustment period.

The effect of this proposal with respect to any given month in which a net claim was reported would be for each firm on the entitlements list for that month to pay the claim directly in proportion to its runs-to-stills in that month. Since runs are the basis upon which entitlements issuances and the "runs credit" were determined in that month, each firm would be in effect repaying the exact amount it was overcompensated in that month.

It should be noted that this second alternative approach has the same effect as recalculating the national domestic crude oil supply ratio (DOSR) using the adjusted volumes and the entitlements list for the month in issue. ERA has determined, however, not to propose an option which is expressly dependent upon recalculation of the DOSR. First, as just noted, recalculation of the DOSR for each month, redetermining the

entitlements lists and then calculating dollar obligations, has the same effect as the approach of simply apportioning any claims for a month on the basis of runs. More important, however, if the DOSR is recalculated for a month in which there are substantial changes to reports of controlled crude oil (*e.g.*, recertifications of controlled crude oil under the tertiary incentive program), it is possible that a negative DOSR would result. The effect of a negative DOSR and its related problems are discussed in a later section. For purposes of this analysis, however, calculating a negative DOSR in a month which previously was positive is an unnecessary problem which could be avoided by using the approach described in the second option.

The essential difference between the second and first alternative options would be that the second option would ensure that no firm would be disproportionately burdened (or overcompensated) by the clean-up list as a result of a large claim or obligation in a month when its runs were substantially reduced or increased for one reason or another. However, this would not appear to be a serious problem if the first option were adopted, since our analysis shows that the average of a firm's percentage of monthly total runs for the reporting period does not differ significantly from its percentage of total runs for the period.

As was noted earlier, for purposes of illustration it was assumed that claims by firms with respect to previous months would exceed reports by firms of obligations for those months. Of course, for any month that reported obligations exceeded claims, the same fractions described above would be used for each firm to determine its share of the benefits.

D. Calculation of Net Claims and Obligations and Issuance of List

The remaining steps to completing the final clean-up list would be the same irrespective of which alternative is used. After calculating the proportional share of the total adjustment for each firm using the above-described fractions, ERA would calculate the following for each firm: the sum of that firm's claims for the adjustment period, minus the sum of its reported obligations for the adjustment period, and minus its fractional share of the net claim in the clean-up account (including the escrow account). If the result of this calculation is a postive number, then that firm would be placed on the final clean-up list as a payee in that amount. If it is a negative number, the firm would be a payor. When the final clean-up list is published in the **Federal Register** showing dollar amounts for payors and payees, payments would be required to be effected among the parties within a prescribed period of time, proposed to be 10 days, in a manner similar to entitlement purchases and sales. ERA could direct firms which did not liquidate their obligations to do so.

The basis for the adjustment mechanism is crude oil runs-to-stills. Some firms, however, are not refiners and do not run crude oil in the technical sense. These firms were deemed under the entitlements regulations to have runs. For example, firms that imported residual fuel oil into the eligible market were issued pursuant to § 211.67 a number of entitlements equivalent to fifty percent of the number of entitlements that generally would be received by a refiner in that month if the number of barrels of imported residual fuel oil were deemed crude oil runs-to-stills. In order to insure that firms that received entitlements benefits for residual fuel oil imported during the period January 1980 through January 27, 1981, also receive any claims to which they are entitled, or are required to pay any obligations under the program after claims are reported by firms for those months, we propose to impute a runs-to-stills fraction for these importers. Each barrel of residual fuel oil imported during the period January 1980 through January 27, 1981, and with respect to which entitlements were issued would be treated as one-half of a barrel of crude oil for purposes of determining runs-to-stills. The importing firm's fraction of net claims under both the first and the second proposed adjustment mechanisms then would be determined on the basis of these imputed runs-to-stills.

Similarly, under the entitlements program, entitlements are issuable each month to each unit of a petroleum substitute that is specified in the regulations or in an order issued by the ERA as being equivalent to a fraction of a barrel of crude oil on the basis that a refiner would be issued entitlements if each such unit of the petroleum substitute were an additional fraction of a barrel of crude oil runs-to-stills by a refiner in the month concerned. In order to insure that firms that have been or become eligible to receive entitlements with respect to petroleum substitutes are treated in a manner consistent with that of other program participants, we would treat each unit of a petroleum substitute as a fraction of a barrel of crude oil runs in performing calculations under either the first or second proposed entitlements adjustment mechanism.

E. Establishment of an Escrow Account

As was noted earlier, ERA is proposing to establish a special interest-bearing entitlements "escrow account," with an initial balance proposed to be $50,000,000. The purpose of this account is essentially twofold. First, it is very likely that after the final clean-up list is published in August 1981, a decision could be rendered with respect to an entitlements issue requiring that a firm be awarded entitlements or a money equivalent. In previous periods, when the entitlements program was functioning normally, such awards would have been included on the next entitlements list and all firms on the list that month would have shared the burden of that award in proportion to runs. Similarly, should a judicial decision be issued in the period between issuance of the March entitlements list and the final clean-up list in August, any award would be included in the clean-up list as a claim and divided among firms in proportion to runs. It therefore would be consistent with this practice to pay any awards after August 1981 out of an escrow account which, as was explained above, would be paid for by firms on the entitlements list in proportion to their crude oil runs-to-stills.

The second principal purpose of such an escrow account would be to provide an avenue of relief for a firm which was unable to collect its monies under the final clean-up list. For example, if a firm which was designated as a payor on the final list was unable to pay its obligation, one or more payees would be denied their payments. If an obligation cannot be enforced within a reasonable period of time, we believe that it would be fair and equitable to have all firms share that burden by allowing such payees to recover from the escrow account. At such time as DOE was able to collect such obligations, they would be placed back into the escrow account for future distribution to all the firms on the clean-up list.

The principal benefit of establishing the escrow account is that it would preclude the need to issue amended final clean-up lists or to resort to other *ad hoc* measures to handle claims which arise after the final clean-up list is promulgated. Similarly, any monies paid to the entitlements program for any reason, such as a judgment against a delinquent entitlements purchaser or reversal of an OHA decision, could be added to the escrow account.

In addition to the $50,000,000 initial balance for the escrow account, we are proposing to include in the escrow account monies collected as a result of enforcement actions from alleged violations of the entitlements program. This money, approximately $8 million, currently is held in a separate Department of Energy escrow account. Only that portion of the existing escrow account which resulted from alleged violations under the entitlements program would be transferred. It would be a one-time transfer of whatever amounts were in the enforcement escrow account on the transfer date.

The escrow account proposed to be created either would be maintained at a bank or in a special account at the Treasury Department as deemed to be appropriate. If the account is held at the Treasury Department, the funds therein would not be considered to be Treasury Department funds.

After a period of time after the final clean-up list is issued, and periodically thereafter, ERA would review the balance in the escrow account and the anticipated liabilities which the account was created to cover, *e.g.*, outstanding litigation. If it would be determined by ERA that there are excess funds in the account, it could distribute those funds to firms on the final clean-up list in exactly the same proportion as funds were paid in by firms.

Commenters are requested to address comments both to the merits of the escrow account and the appropriateness of the $50,000,000 funding level. While we have proposed the escrow account because it appears the most simple and equitable means of dealing with post-clean-up list problems, we invite commenters to suggest other mechanisms that would achieve these goals without the use of an escrow account. Commenters also should consider the inclusion of the funds from the enforcement account. Particularly, we seek comments on whether any "escrow" account would adversely influence firms' actions in completing transactions under the proposed rule.

F. Reporting Requirements and Related Issues

It is ERA's intention that the Special Rule proposed herein stand by itself. Rather than repeat all the necessary supporting provisions in Part 211, such as definitions of terms and reporting requirements, we are proposing to incorporate by reference in this Special Rule all necessary provisions of Parts 210, 211 and 212, which but for this Rule will be otherwise generally revoked.

III. Proposal With Respect to a Negative DOSR

On January 19, 1981 (46 FR 8646, January 27, 1981), ERA issued a Notice of Intent regarding the effect on the entitlements program when the "national domestic crude oil supply ratio" (DOSR) becomes a negative number. At that time, it was projected that a negative DOSR likely would not occur before May 1981, reflected in the July 1981 Entitlements Notice. However, due to actions taken in expectation of decontrol shortly after January 20, 1981, as well as accelerated recertifications after decontrol that otherwise would have been spread over several months, the DOSR may become negative for January 1981, which would otherwise have been reflected in the March 1981 Entitlements Notice.

Data for December 1980 crude oil receipts have shown a marked acceleration in the decline rates for the controlled tiers. This trend likely will continue for January 1981 for a variety of factors, including a large volume of recertifications of controlled crude oil resulting from the tertiary incentive program. In addition, the number of entitlements issued to refiners and others as exception relief also may increase as the Office of Hearings and Appeals decides the remaining cases on its docket. it therefore is possible that the DOSR calculation for the March Entitlements Notice will result in a negative number. The General Counsel of DOE has determined, however, that at such time as the DOSR becomes a negative number, the entitlements program as currently structured would cease to function, and, in the absence of some regulatory action, no list could be published.

ERA will not know whether the DOSR will be negative until data on January crude oil runs-to-stills and receipts is reported to ERA by March 5, 1981. In the event the DOSR would be negative, it would be necessary for DOE to act quickly to remedy the situation through expedited rulemaking. We have tentatively determined that if a negative DOSR should occur, one of the options described below should be adopted. We would like comments addressed to these proposals as soon as possible, so that if an expedited rulemaking is required, ERA will have the benefit of as much public comment as is feasible.

One remedy to the negative DOSR problems would be to combine firms' January 1981 data on crude oil runs-to-stills and receipts with December 1980 data (and November 1980, if necessary) to achieve a positive DOSR. This is the equivalent of issuing one entitlements notice for two (or three) months combined. Thus, for all entitlements calculations, each firm's reported crude receipts and runs-to-stills would reflect both months' activities. Similarly, the DOSR, small refiner bias, California adjustment, etc., would reflect crude runs-to-stills and receipts for all months being combined. The Entitlements Notice for January 1981 then would be published with adjustments for transactions already effected after the earlier entitlements lists initially were issued.

A second option if the DOSR for January is negative is to limit recertifications of crude oil previously reported as controlled crude. Presently, on the Form ERA–49, for each tier of controlled crude oil, refiners may subtract from the reported volumes of monthly receipts any volumes from prior months which were recertified. Recertifications of crude oil were voluminous for December, and some refiners reported a net negative volume for a controlled tier.

To possibly prevent the negative DOSR, it is proposed that any negative volumes of deemed old oil for January would be treated for purposes of the January Entitlements Notice as a report of zero. The value of the entitlements which would be disallowed to refiners as a result of this cut-off would be deemed to be a claim for the month of January 1981 on the final clean-up list. The value of the claim would be determined by converting the negative barrels of controlled crude into barrels of deemed old oil using January 1981 data, and then multiplying the number of barrels of deemed old oil by the January entitlement price. The net effect would be that refiners would receive the same value as if the negative volumes were included in January and had the DOSR not been negative.

Of course, if the result of the cut-off proposed in this second option still would result in a negative DOSR for January because of the low volume of deemed old oil, another method would be required to be adopted.

Commenters are urged to consider carefully the above options and to comment on their respective merits.

IV. Comment Procedures

A. Written Comments

You are invited to participate in this proceeding by submitting data, views or arguments with respect to the issues set forth in this notice of proposed rulemaking. All comments should be submitted by 4:30 p.m., e.s.t., of the day specified in the "DATES" section, to the

appropriate address indicated in the "ADDRESSES" section of this preamble and should be identified on the outside envelope and on documents submitted with the designation "Establishment of Entitlements Adjustment Mechanism," Docket No. ERA–R–81–01. Ten copies should be submitted. All comments received by the ERA will be available for public inspection in the DOE Freedom of Information Office, Room 1E–190, Forrestal Building, 1000 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday.

You should identify any information or data considered by you to be confidential and submit it in writing, one copy only. We reserve the right to determine the confidential status of the information or data and to treat it according to our determination.

B. Public Hearing

1. *Procedure for requests to make oral presentations:* The time and place for the hearing are indicated in the "Dates" and "addresses" sections of the preamble. If necessary to present all testimony, the hearing will resume at 9:30 a.m. on the next business day following the first day of the hearing.

You may make a written request for an opportunity to make an oral presentation. If so, you should describe the interest concerned; if appropriate, state why you are a proper representative of a group or class of persons that has such an interest; and provide a concise summary of the proposed oral presentation and a phone number where you may be contacted through the day before the hearing. If you are selected to be heard at the hearing, we will notify you before 4:30 p.m., March 13, 1981. You will be required to make 100 copies of your statement available at the hearing location.

2. *Conduct of the hearing:* We reserve the right to select the persons to be heard at the hearing (in the event there are more requests to be heard than time allows), to schedule their respective presentations, and to establish the procedures governing the conduct of the hearing. The length of each presentation may be limited, based upon the number of persons requesting to be heard.

An ERA official will be designated to preside at the hearing. This will not be a judicial-type hearing. Questions may be asked only by those conducting the hearing. At the conclusion of all initial oral statements, each person who has made an oral statement will be given the opportunity, if he or she so desires, to make a rebuttal statement. The rebuttal statements will be given in the order in which the initial statements were made and will be subject to time limitations.

If you wish to ask a question at the hearing, you may submit the question, in writing, to the presiding officer. The presiding officer will determine whether the question is relevant, and whether time limitations permit it to be presented for answer.

Any further procedural rules needed for the proper conduct of the hearing will be announced by the presiding officer.

A transcript of the hearing will be made. The entire record of the hearing, including the transcript, will be retained by the ERA and made available for inspection in the DOE Freedom of Information Office, Room 1E–190, Forrestal Building, 1000 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C., and in the ERA Office of Public Information, Room B–110, 2000 M Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday. You may purchase a copy of the transcript from the reporter.

V. Procedural Matters

A. Executive Order 12291

Under Section 6(a)(4) of Executive Order 12291 (46 FR 13193, February 19, 1981), the Director of the Office of Management and Budget ("Director") has the authority, subject to the direction of the Presidential Task Force on Regulatory Relief, to waive those requirements of Sections 3 and 4 of Executive Order 12291 relating to the preparation of regulatory impact analyses and regulatory review.

Under the regulations, each firm to which entitlements are issuable is required to submit to the ERA any reports necessary to permit adjustments to entitlements issuances to insure that monies paid or received by the firm under the entitlements program are in accordance with those provisions of the regulations pertaining to the issuance of entitlements. Today's proposed Special Rule would establish a mechanism to insure that any such adjustments that may be necessary are accomplished in an efficient, yet equitable, manner so as to permit close-out of the entitlements program at the earliest possible time in conformance with the President's mandate in E.O. 12287. In view of these considerations, an exemption from the requirements of Sections 3 and 4 of Executive Order 12291 was requested of the Director with respect to today's proposed Special Rule. The request was granted.

B. Section 7 of the FEA Act

As required by section 7(a) of the Federal Energy Administration Act of 1974 (15 U.S.C. § 787 *et. seq.*, Pub. L. 93–275, as amended) a copy of this notice has been submitted to the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for comment concerning the impact of this proposal on the quality of the environment. The EPA Administrator submitted the following comments:

> On the basis of our preliminary review, we do not foresee these actions having an unfavorable impact on the quality of the environment as related to the duties and responsibilities of the Environmental Protection Agency. Although we have no comments to make at this time, we reserve the right to provide further comments in accordance with our responsibilities under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act once the proposed rule has been released for more extensive review and public comment.

C. Section 102 of the NEPA

After reviewing today's proposal, it has been determined that it would not constitute a major federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment within the meaning of section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act and, therefore, that the preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement for this proposal is not required under 10 CFR Part 208.

D. Section 404 of the DOE Act

Pursuant to the requirements of section 404(a) of the Department of Energy Organization Act (42 U.S.C. § 7101 *et seq.*, Pub. L. 95–91), we have referred this proposed rule, concurrently with the issuance hereof, to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission for a determination as to whether the Proposal would significantly affect any matter within the Commission's jurisdiction.

E. Regulatory Flexibility Act

Section 605(b) of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. § 601 *et seq.*) provides that the provisions of Sections 603 and 604 of that Act pertaining to the preparation of regulatory flexibility analyses shall not apply to any proposed or final rule if the head of the issuing agency certifies that the rule would not, if promulgated, have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities.

Executive Order 12287 effectively mandated the termination of the entitlements program allowing for necessary adjustments for periods prior to the Order. Today's proposed Special

Rule merely provides a mechnanism whereby the orderly and equitable termination of the entitlements program could be effected in such a manner as to insure that, prior to final close-out of the program, a firm will be required to refund any excess monies it has received under the program or receive any additional monies to which it was entitled under the program.

In view of the nature of the proposed Special Rule, the ERA is hereby certifying that the Special Rule would not, if promulgated, have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities.

(Emergency Petroleum Allocation Act of 1973, 15 U.S.C. § 751 *et seq.*, Pub. L. 93–159, as amended, Pub. L. 93–511, Pub. L. 94–99, Pub. L. 94–133, Pub. L. 94–163, and Pub. L. 94–385; Federal Energy Administration Act of 1974, 15 U.S.C. § 787 *et seq.*, Pub. L. 93–275, as amended, Pub. L. 94–332, Pub. L. 94–385, Pub. L. 95–70, and Pub. L. 95–91; Energy Policy and Conservation Act, 42 U.S.C. § 6201 *et seq.*, Pub. L. 94–163, as amended, Pub. L. 94–385, Pub. L. 95–70, Pub. L. 95–619, and Pub. L. 96–30; Department of Energy Organization Act, 42 U.S.C. § 7101 *et seq.*, Pub. L. 95–91, Pub. L. 95–509, Pub. L. 95–619, Pub. L. 95–620, and Pub. L. 95–621; E.O. 11790, 39 FR 23185; E.O. 12009, 42 FR 46267; E.O. 12287, 46 FR 9909)

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 211 of Chapter II, Title 10 of the Code of Federal Regulations, is proposed to be amended as set forth below.

Issued in Washington, D.C., February 27, 1981.

Barton R. House,

Acting Administrator, Economic Regulatory Administration.

1. Part 211 is amended by adding a Special Rule at the beginning thereof to read as follows:

Special Rule Establishing Entitlements Adjustment Mechanism

1. *Scope.* This Special Rule applies to all refiners and eligible firms listed on any entitlements notice issued during the period March 1980 through March 1981, as well as to any other firms to which entitlements may be issuable for the period January 1, 1980 through January 27, 1981, by the ERA pursuant to the provisions of the Mandatory Petroleum Allocation Regulations in effect on January 27, 1981.

2. *Purpose.* This Special Rule provides a method for an orderly termination of the domestic crude oil entitlements progrm originally established in § 211.67 of 10 CFR.

3. *Definitions.* For purposes of this Special Rule, all terms that are contained in or necessary to the implementation of this Special Rule shall have the same meanings as under the provisions of 10 CFR Part 211 and 10 CFR Part 212 that were in effect on January 27, 1981, except as specifically set forth in the following definitions:

"Claim" means the dollar amount determined by ERA to be owed to a firm resulting from adjustments to any one or more Entitlements Notices issued by ERA pursuant to 10 CFR 211.67 for the period from January 1, 1980 through January 27, 1981, or as a result of an administrative or judicial determination.

"Crude oil runs-to-stills" means crude oil runs-to-stills as determined by ERA to be applicable to the Entitlements Notice issued for each month in the reporting period, increased for any month in which a refiner or eligible firm received entitlements pursuant to sections 211.67(a)(3) or 211.67(a)(5) by a number equal to the number of entitlements issued pursuant to these sections in that month, divided by the national domestic crude oil supply ratio for that month.

"ERA" means the Economic Regulatory Administration of the Department of Energy (DOE).

"Firm" means refiners, eligible firms and other participants in entitlements lists.

"Net adjustment" means for each month the sum of all claims minus the sum of all obligations.

"Obligation" means the dollar amount determined by ERA to be owed by a firm as a result of adjustments to any one or more Entitlements Notices issued by ERA pursuant to 10 CFR 211.67 for the period from January 1, 1980 through January 27, 1981, or as the result of an administrative or judicial determination.

"Reporting period" means the period January 1, 1980 through January 27, 1981.

"Total adjustment" means the sum of net adjustments for the period January 1, 1980 through January 27, 1981.

4. *Determination of claims and obligations.*

(a) *Amendments.* Firms shall correct any errors contained in reports filed pursuant to 10 CFR § 211.66 or 10 CFR § 211.67(a)(5)(ii) for the reporting period by filing an amended report which must be received by ERA by June 1, 1981. For each month for which an amended report is filed by a firm pursuant to this subsection, ERA shall determine for that firm: (i) the obligation for that month by determining the dollar value of the excess entitlements issued, using the entitlement price for that month; or (ii) the claim for that month by determining the dollar value of the additional entitlements which should have been issued, using the entitlement price for that month.

(b) *Invoice adjustments.* Refiners shall report to DOE on Form ERA–49 for each reported category of crude oil the sum of all adjustments to the volume of price-controlled crude oil received prior to January 28, 1981, based upon prior invoice adjustments not previously included by ERA in any Entitlements Notices for the reporting period. Such reports must be received by ERA by June 1, 1981.

ERA shall determine for each refiner the number of barrels of deemed old oil represented by the reported adjustments. The deemed old oil calculation shall be made by ERA using data on crude oil costs used to determine the Entitlements Notice for crude oil runs-to-stills and receipts in the month of December 1980.

Obligations and claims for refiners reporting invoice adjustments shall be determined as follows:

(i) If the deemed old oil calculation in this subsection results in an increased volume of receipts of deemed old oil for any refiner, that refiner shall have an obligation equal to the increased number of barrels of deemed old oil multiplied by the average entitlement price for the months of October 1980, November 1980, December 1980 and January 1981.

(ii) If the deemed old oil calculation in this subsection results in a decreased volume of receipts of deemed old oil for any refiner, that refiner shall have a claim equal to the decreased number of barrels of deemed old oil multiplied by the average entitlement price for the months of October 1980, November 1980, December 1980 and January 1981.

(iii) One-fourth of the claims and obligations determined for any refiner pursuant to this subsection shall be deemed to have been reported for each of the months of October 1980, November 1980, December 1980 and January 1981, respectively.

(c) *Other adjustments.* (i) ERA shall determine any other claims or obligations to which any firm is entitled in any month and is not otherwise included in subsections (a) or (b), above, by using the entitlement price and other entitlement data for that month.

(ii) To the extent that any other such claim or obligation determined pursuant to subsection (i) is not applicable to a particular month, ERA shall prorate the amount of such claim or obligation equally among all months in the reporting period for which another claim or obligation has been determined pursuant to subsection (a) or (b).

(d) *Escrow account.* (i) The escrow account for the United States Department of Energy created pursuant to section (8) shall be deemed to have a cliam of [$50,000,000.00].

(ii) The claim of the escrow account shall be prorated equally among all months in the reporting period for which another claim or obligation has been determined pursuant to subsections (a) or (b).

[First alternative]

[5. *Share of total adjustment.*

(a) ERA shall determine the "net adjustments" and "total adjustment" for the reporting period.

(b) The proportional share of the "total adjustment" for each firm shall be determined by multiplying the "total adjustment" by a fraction. The numerator of the fraction is the sum of crude oil runs-to-stills for that firm for months in which adjustments were made in the reporting period, and the denominator of the fraction is the sum of the crude oil runs-to-stills for all firms for months in which adjustments were made in the reporting period.]

[Second alternative]

[5. *Share of total adjustment.*

(a) ERA shall determine the "net adjustment" for each month in which a claim or obligation has been made or has been deemed to have been made pursuant to section (4).

(b) The proportional share of the "net adjustment" for each firm for each month shall be determined by multiplying the "net adjustment" by a fraction. The numerator of the fraction is equal to the crude oil runs-to-stills for that firm for that month, and the denominator is equal to the sum of the crude oil runs-to-stills for that month of all firms.

(c) For each firm, ERA shall determine the sum of its proportional shares of the "net adjustments" calculated pursuant to subsection (b), which shall be deemed to be the proportional share of the total adjustment for that firm.]

6. *Net obligations and net claims.*

(a) ERA shall determine each firm's net obligation or net claim as follows:

(i) If the sum of its claims for the reporting period, minus the sum of its obligations for the reporting period, and minus its proportional share of the total adjustment (determined pursuant to section (5)) is greater than zero, it is a net claim and the firm shall be entitled to that sum of money from firms with obligations.

(ii) If the sum of its claims for the reporting period, minus the sum of its obligations for the reporting period, and minus its proportional share of the total obligation (determined pursuant to section (5)) is less than zero, it is a net obligation and the firm shall be required to pay that sum of money to firms with net claims.

(b) The United States Department of Energy shall be deemed to have a net claim equal to the amount of the escrow account created pursuant to section (8).

7. *Settlement of Net Obligations and Net Claims.*

(a) As soon as practicable after June 1, 1981, ERA shall publish in the **Federal Register** a proposed list of the net obligations and net claims of firms. Firms shall have a period of 15 days after publication of the proposed list in the **Federal Register** to comment to ERA, in writing, on the proposed net claims and net obligations. Comments should be addressed to:

Daivd A. Welsh, Chief, Crude Oil Pricing Branch, Economic Regulatory Administration, Room 6212, 2000 M Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20461

(b) Within 30 days of the publication of the proposed list, ERA shall publish a final list in the **Federal Register** of the net claim and net obligation of each firm. Firms with net obligations shall complete payments of such obligations to firms with net claims within 10 days from the date of publication of the final list in the **Federal Register.**

(c) *Failure to consummate transactions:* ERA may direct firms which have not paid monies equal to their net obligations under this section to transfer money, not in excess of their net obligations, to such firms as determined by ERA.

(d) Within fourteen days from the date of publication of the final list, each firm with a net claim or obligation shall certify to ERA in writing that it has completed the transaction required by this section. Certification should be addressed to the same person designated in subsection (a).

8. *Escrow Account.*

(a) *Establishment of "escrow" account:* (i) There is to be established an interest-bearing "escrow" account held by the United States Department of Energy. The "escrow" account shall be maintained at a bank or with the Department of the Treasury, as deemed appropriate.

(ii) The initial balance of the escrow account shall be $50,000,000.00 plus any sums then held by the Department of Energy in escrow as the result of enforcement actions against alleged violations of the entitlements program.

(iii) Additional amounts may be added to the balance of the escrow account.

(b) Twenty-one days after publication of the final list of net obligations and net claims, ERA may pay to any firm from the escrow account created pursuant to this section an amount equal to the difference between its net claim and the sums already received from firms with net obligations pursuant to this section.

(c) If at any time after all net claims have been paid pursuant to section (7) a firm is directed by the Office of Hearings and Appeals of the Department of Energy, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission or a court of competent jurisdiction to pay a sum of money to the entitlements program, it shall be paid to the escrow account.

(d) If at any time after all net claims and obligations have been paid pursuant to section (7) any firm is determined by the Office of Hearing and Appeals of the Department of Energy, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission or a court of competent jurisdiction to be entitled to a sum of money from the entitlements program, it shall be paid from the escrow account.

(e) ERA may, at any time that it determines that excess funds are in the escrow account, distribute any such excess funds. Each firm's share shall be determined by multiplying the total funds in the account by a fraction. The numerator of the fraction is equal to the total runs-to-stills for that firm in the reporting period. The denominator of the fraction is the sum of the runs-to-stills for all firms in the reporting period.

9. *Other Matters.*

(a) *Recertification.* No purchaser of crude oil shall be required to pay a higher price for that crude oil as a result of a certification pursuant to 10 CFR 212.131(b) that the purchaser receives after May 1, 1981.

2. Part 211 is amended by adding a Special Rule at the beginning thereof as follows:

Special Rule To Amend the Entitlements Program

(1) If for the month of January 1981 (which shall be deemed to be the first 27 days of the month) the "national domestic crude oil supply ratio" (DOSR) as defined in § 211.62 would result in a number less than zero, the provisions of this subsection shall apply.

[First Alternative]

[(2) ERA shall recompute the DOSR using aggregate reported data for the months of December 1980 and January 1981. If the DOSR which results from this calculation still is less than zero, ERA shall recompute the DOSR using aggregate data for each immediately preceding month as shall be necessary to result in a DOSR greater than or equal to zero.

(3) ERA shall perform each calculation required by § 211.67 with the term "month" for each such calculation

deemed to include the month of January 1981 and each month aggregated with January 1981 pursuant to subsection (2).

(4) An Entitlements Notice for January 1981 shall be issued by ERA. Requirements to purchase or sell entitlements shall be adjusted by ERA before issuance of the Entitlements Notice to account for entitlements purchases or sales already consummated by participants on the list pursuant to the Entitlements Notices for December 1980 or any other month aggregated with January 1981 pursuant to subsection (2). Such adjustments shall take into account differences in the entitlement price calculated in the prior months and the entitlement price calculated pursuant to this section.

(5) Upon issuance of the Entitlements Notice in March 1981 for the month of January 1981, the provisions of Part 211 applicable to any regular monthly Entitlements Notice shall apply to that Notice.]

[Second Alternative]

[(2) Any refiner which reports on Form ERA–49 for the month of January 1981 (which shall be deemed to be the first 27 days of the month) a volume of receipts for a controlled tier of crude oil less than zero, shall be deemed for purposes of the Entitlements Notice for that month to be reporting a volume of zero for that controlled tier.

(3) For each negative barrel of crude oil receipts excluded from the Entitlements Notice for January 1981 by operation of subsection (2), ERA shall calculate for each refiner the number of barrels of deemed old oil represented by those negative crude oil receipts, using data for January 1981. For each refiner, ETA shall multiply the number of barrels of deemed old oil by the entitlements price calculated for January 1981. The dollar value calculated pursuant to this subsection shall be included for each refiner as a claim for the month of January 1981 for purposes of section (4) of the "Special Rule Establishing Entitlements Adjustment Mechanism" in Part 211.]

[FR Doc. 81-6686 Filed 2-27-81; 5:11 pm]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

federal register

Tuesday
March 3, 1981

Part V

Environmental Protection Agency

Tolerances and Exemptions From Tolerances for Pesticide Chemicals in or on Raw Agricultural Commodities

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 180

[PP 9F2167/R316; PH FRL 1769-1]

Tolerances and Exemptions From Tolerances for Pesticide Chemicals in or on Raw Agricultural Commodities; Ethylene Dibromide

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This rule establishes tolerances for residues of the nematocide, ethylene dibromide at .001 part per million (ppm) for ethylene dibromide, *per se* and at 125 ppm for inorganic bromides (calculated as bromide ion) on soybeans grown in soil treated with the nematocide ethylene dibromide. The regulation was requested by Auburn University. This rule establishes a maximum permissible level for residues of the nematocide on soybeans.

EFFECTIVE DATE: Effective on March 3, 1981.

ADDRESS: Written objections may be submitted to the: Hearing Clerk, Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. M-3708 (A-110), 401 M Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20460.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: William H. Miller, Product Manager (PM) 16, Registration Division (TS-767C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. 403, CM#2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Alexandria, VA 22202, (703-557-7040).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: EPA issued a notice that published in the **Federal Register** of April 22, 1980 (45 FR 27010) that Auburn University, 107 Corner Hall, Auburn, AL 36830, had filed a petition (PP 9F2167) with the EPA. This petition proposed that 40 CFR Part 180 be amended by establishing a tolerance at 125 ppm for residues of inorganic bromides (calculated as bromide ion) in or on the raw agricultural commodity soybeans grown in soil treated with the nematocide ethylene dibromide. No comments were received in response to this notice of filing.

For purposes of consistency with the regulatory action proposed in the document, Ethylene Dibromide: Position Document 2/3, published in the **Federal Register** of December 10, 1980, the petition was amended to revise the proposed tolerance on soybeans to include a tolerance level of .001 ppm for ethylene dibromide, *per se* in soybeans.

The data submitted in the petition and other relevant material have been evaluated, and it is concluded that the pesticide may be safely used in prescribed manner.

A comprehensive review of the data available for the chemical was conducted in connection with the Rebuttable Presumption Against Registration (RPAR) of Ethylene Dibromide (EDB) which was issued by the agency on December 14, 1977.

This presumption was based on data showing that EDB is oncogenic, mutagenic, and has adverse effects on reproduction. These data were discussed in detail including a quantitative risk analysis of the oncogenic data in the subsequent document, Ethylene Dibromide: Position Document 2/3 which was published in the **Federal Register** on December 10, 1980. This document also detailed a benefit/risk analysis of the presently registered pesticidal uses of the chemical in light of the adverse effects finding of the RPAR document; and proposed regulatory actions to reduce the potential health risks from these uses. As a result of this risk/benefit analysis, the agency has proposed to allow registration to continue for preplant soil fumigation. It is this same type of use pattern for which this tolerance on soybeans is being established. This proposal is based on the reduction of the health risks to soil fumigation applicators through required use of respirators and protective clothing; and the prohibition of re-entry into a treated field for 24 hours following fumigation; and on the minimal potential health risk to the general public since on EDB residues have been detected in soybeans grown in fumigated soil at the limit of sensitivity of the analytical methodology (currently 1 ppb or .001 ppm).

Food residue studies on soybeans grown in soil fumigated with ethylene dibromide were submitted and evaluated for the petition. These studies demonstrate that residues of EDB *per se* in soybeans grown in ethylene dibromide fumigated soils at maximum and exaggerated allowed application rates, will be less than the limits of sensitivity of analytical methodology, or less than .001 ppm.

There are no expectations of residues occurring in milk, eggs, meat, and/or poultry from the proposed use and § 180.6(a)(3) applies. An adequate analytical method is available for enforcement purposes and the nature of the residues is adequately understood.

Tolerances have previously been established for residues of inorganic bromides (calculated as bromide ion) in or on a variety of vegetables and fruits grown in soil treated with ethylene dibromide (40 CFR 180.126); in or on various grains, fruits and vegetables resulting from postharvest fumigation (40 CFR 180.146); and various processed foods and feeds (21 CFR 193.225, 21 CFR 193.230(d), 21 CFR 193.250 and 21 CFR 561.260(b)). As cited above, there is currently a proposed regulatory action pending against registration of the pesticide. Prior to registration of the use on soybeans, the restrictions as recommended in the RPAR Position Document 2/3 for the continuation of the soil fumigant use pattern will be implemented. There are no other considerations involved in establishing the proposed tolerances.

The pesticide is considered useful for the purpose for which the tolerances are sought. Establishment of the tolerances will protect the public health. Therefore, the regulations amending 40 CFR Part 180 by amending § 180.126 and establishing § 180.397 are set forth below.

Any person adversely affected by this regulation may on or before April 2, 1981, file written objections with the Hearing Clerk, EPA, Rm. M-3708 (A-110), 401 M St., SW, Washington, DC 20460. Such objections should be submitted in quintriplicate and specify the provisions of the regulation deemed to be objectionable and the grounds for the objections. If a hearing is requested, the objections must state the issues for the hearing. A hearing will be granted if the objections are supported by grounds legally sufficient to justify the relief sought.

Under Executive Order 12044, EPA is required to judge whether a regulation is "significant" and therefore subject to the procedural requirements of the Order or whether it may follow other specialized development procedures. EPA labels these other regulations "specialized". This regulation has been reviewed, and it has been determined that it is a specialized regulation not subject to the procedural requirements of Executive Order 12044.

For information on Regulatory Flexibility Act, see appendix to this rule.

Effective on: March 3, 1981.

(Sec. 408(d)(2), 68 Stat. 512, (21 U.S.C. 346a(d)(2))

Dated: February 25, 1981.

Edwin L. Johnson,

Deputy Assistant Administrator for Pesticide Programs.

Therefore, Subpart C of 40 CFR Part 180 is amended as follows:

1. By alphabetically inserting soybeans at 125 ppm in the list under § 180.126 to read as follows:

§ 180.126 Inorganic bromides resulting from soil treatment with ethylene dibromide; tolerances for residues.

* * * * *

125 parts per million in or on soybeans

2. By adding § 180.397 to read as follows:

§ 180.397 Ethylene dibromide; tolerances for residues.

A tolerance is established for the nematocide ethylene dibromide in or on soybeans at .001 part per million.

Appendix

Certification Under Regulatory Flexibility Act: Congress recently enacted the Regulatory Flexibility Act (Pub. L. 96-543, 94 Stat. 1164, 5 U.S.C. 601-612, effective January 1, 1981). The purpose of the act is to assure that the Agency analyzes the effect of regulatory requirements on small businesses, government jurisdictions, and organizations (collectively referred to as small entities). The law requires that all "notice and comment" rulemaking, both proposed and final, be accompanied by an initial or final regulatory flexibility analysis, or by a certification by the Administrator that no such analysis is necessary because the regulation will not have significant adverse impact on a substantial number of small entities.

Under Sec. 408 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA), as amended, (21 U.S.C. 346a), the Agency is authorized to establish by regulation tolerance levels, or exemptions from the requirements for a tolerance, for pesticides resulting in residues on raw agricultural commodities. Under Sec. 409 of the same act (21 U.S.C. 348), the Agency is authorized to issue regulations establishing permissible levels of residues of pesticides found as additives in processed food or feed. These tolerance and additive regulations are intended to protect the public while giving appropriate consideration to the production of an adequate, wholesome and economical food supply.

The establishment of a tolerance or an exemption or an additive level allows a pesticide product to be registered for a particular use resulting in residues on food or feed. This generally has beneficial economic impacts on the producer, distributor, and professional applicator of the pesticide, all of whom benefit through sale of the pesticide. It also benefits the ultimate user of the pesticide, usually a grower or food processor, who would otherwise not be able to sell crops containing residues of that pesticide.

This regulation establishes a tolerance for residues of the nematodes, ethylene dibromide on soybeans at .001 part per million (PPM) and a tolerance for residues of inorganic bromides (calculated as Br) on soybeans grown in soil treated with the nematocide ethylene dibromide at 125 ppm. This rule establishes a maximum permissible level for residues of the nematocide on soybeans, does not restrict existing uses of the pesticide, and is beneficial in that it would allow registration of an additional use for the control of nematocide on soybeans.

Accordingly, I hereby certify that this proposed regulation would not, if promulgated, have a significant adverse impact on a substantial number of small entities. Therefore, this regulation does not require a regulatory flexibility analysis.

Dated: February 27, 1981.

Walter C. Barber, Jr.,

Acting Administrator.

[FR Doc. 81-6824 Filed 3-2-81; 9:32 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-32-M

---

40 CFR Part 180

[PP OF2386/R312; PH-FRL 1769-3]

Tolerances and Exemptions From Tolerances for Pesticide Chemicals in or on Raw Agricultural Commodities; N-Methylpyrrolidone

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This rule establishes an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance for residues of the pesticide chemical *N*-methylpyrrolidone in or on apricots, bell peppers, cantaloupes, cherries, cucumbers, eggplant, nectarines, plums, fresh prunes, strawberries, and watermelons. The regulation was requested by EM Industries, Inc. This regulation will allow the presence of residues of *N*-methylpyrrolidone in or on the above raw agricultural commodities when applied in formulations of the fungicide triforine (*N,N*-[1,4-piperazinediylbis(2,2,2-trichloroethylidene)] bis[formamide]) and in the absence of tolerances establishing maximum permissible levels of the residues of *N*-methylpyrrolidone in or on these commodities.

EFFECTIVE DATE: Effective on March 3, 1981.

ADDRESS: Written objections may be submitted to the: Hearing Clerk, Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. M-3708 (A-110), 401 M Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20460.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Henry M. Jacoby, Product Manager (PM) 21, Registration Division (TS-767C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. 418, CM No.2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA 22202, (703) 557-7060.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: EPA issued a notice that published in the **Federal Register** of February 18, 1981 (46 FR 12763) that EM Industries, Inc., 5 Skyline Drive, Hawthorne, NY 10523 had submitted a pesticide petition (PP OF2384) to the EPA. The petition proposed that the Administrator, pursuant to section 408(e) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act amend 40 CFR Part 180 by establishing an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance for residues of the solvent *N*-methylpyrrolidone in or on the raw agricultural commodities apricots, bell peppers, cantaloupes, cherries, cucumbers, eggplant, nectarines, plums, fresh prunes, strawberries, and watermelons when used in formulations with the fungicide triforine (*N,N*-[1,4-piperazinediylbis(2,2,2-trichloroethylidene)] bis[formamide]). No comments or request for referral to an advisory committee were received in response to the notice of proposed rulemaking.

The toxicology data considered in support of the proposed exemption from the requirement of a tolerance included a subacute mouse feeding study and a subacute rat feeding study. The no-observed-effect-level (NOEL) determined from a 90-day dog feeding study is 1,000 parts per million (ppm) based on the findings of increased platelet counts in males. Studies found in the literature raised concern over the chemical's teratogenic potential. Although this exemption from a tolerance is adequately supported, any further request to expand the exemption regulation will need to be supported by an adequate theratology study. An adequate analytical method (gas chromatography with flame ionization detection) is available for enforcement purposes. The residues of *N*-methylpyrrolidone and its metabolites from the proposed use pattern are not expected to exceed 18 ppm in or on apricots, bell peppers, cantaloupes, cherries, cucumbers, eggplant, nectarines, plums, fresh prunes, strawberries, and watermelons.

No actions are currently pending against the use of *N*-methylpyrrolidone as an inert ingredient in pesticide formulations. Other considerations in establishing this tolerance exemption include the establishment of tolerances for residues of triforine in or on raw agricultural commodities—apricots, bell peppers, cantaloupes, cherries, cucumbers, eggplant, nectarines, plums, fresh prunes, strawberries, and watermelons [PP OF2351/OF2352/R314] which appears elsewhere in this issue of the **Federal Register**.

N-methylpyrrolidone is considered useful for the purpose for which the tolerance exemption is sought. There is

no reasonable expectation of residues in eggs, meat, milk, or poultry, as delineated in 40 CFR 180.6(a)(3). The agency has determined that the exemption from the requirement of a tolerance will protect the public health. Therefore, the exemption from the requirement of a tolerance is established as set forth below.

Any person adversely affected by this regulation may, on or before April 2, 1981, file written objections with the Hearing Clerk, EPA, Rm. M-3708 (A-110), 401 M St., SW., Washington, D.C. 20460. Such objections should be submitted in quintuplicate and specify the provisions of the regulation deemed objectionable and the grounds for the objections. If a hearing is requested, the objections must state the issues for the hearing. A hearing will be granted if the objections are supported by grounds legally sufficient to justify the relief sought.

Under Executive Order 12044, EPA is required to judge whether a regulation is "significant" and therefore subject to the procedural requirements of the Order or whether it may follow other specialized development procedures. EPA labels these other regulations "specialized." This proposed rule has been reviewed, and it has been determined that it is a specialized regulation not subject to the procedural requirements of Executive Order 12044.

For information on the Regulatory Flexibility Act, see appendix to this rule.

Effective date: March 3, 1981.

(Sec. 408(e) 68 Stat. 514; (21 U.S.C. 346a(e)))

Dated: Febraury 27, 1981.

Edwin L. Johnson,

Deputy Assistant Administrator for Pesticide Programs.

Therefore, Subpart D of 40 CFR Part 180 be amended by revising § 180.1047 to read as follows:

§ 180.1047 N-Methylpyrrolidone; exemption from the requirement of a tolerance.

N-methylpyrrolidone is exempted from the requirement of a tolerance for residues in or on the raw agricultural commodities—apricots, bell peppers, blueberries, cantaloupes, cherries, cranberries, cucumbers, eggplant, nectarines, peaches, plums, fresh prunes, strawberries, and watermelons when used in accordance with good agricultural practices in formulations with the fungicide triforine (*N,N*-1,4-piperazinediylbis(2,2,2-trichloroethylidene)]bis[formamide]) if such formulations contain not more than 30 percent *N*-methylpyrrolidone.

Appendix

Certification Under Regulatory Flexibility Act: Congress recently enacted the Regulatory Flexibility Act (Pub. L. 96-543, 94 Stat. 1164, 5 U.S.C. 601-612, effective January 1, 1981). The purpose of the Act is to assure that the Agency analyzes the effect of regulatory requirements on small businesses, Government jurisdictions, and organizations (collectively referred to as "small entities"). The law requires that all "notice-and-comment" rulemaking, both proposed and final, be accompanied by an initial or final regulatory flexibility analysis, or by a certification by the Administrator that no such analysis is necessary because the regulation will not have a significant adverse impact on a substantial number of small entities.

Under Sec. 408 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA), as amended (21 U.S.C. 346a), the Agency is authorized to establish by regulation tolerance levels, or exemptions from the requirements for a tolerance, for pesticides resulting in residues on raw agricultural commodities. Under Sec. 409 of the same Act (21 U.S.C. 348), the Agency is authorized to issue regulations establishing permissible levels of residues of pesticides found as additives in processed food or feed. These tolerance and additive regulations are intended to protect the public while giving appropriate consideration to the production of an adequate, wholesome and economical food supply.

The establishment of a tolerance or an exemption or an additive level allows a pesticide product to be registered for a particular use resulting in residues on food or feed. This generally has beneficial economic impacts on the producer, distributor, and professional applicator of the pesticide, all of whom benefit through sale of the pesticide. It also benefits the ultimate user of the pesticide, usually a grower or food processor, who would otherwise not be able to sell crops containing residues of that pesticide.

This regulation would exempt the inert ingredient from the need of a tolerance on certain raw agricultural commodities when used in formulation with the fungicide triforine. The rule is necessary before the Agency can register the pesticide product Funginex for additional agricultural uses. No additional restrictions are placed on the registrants by this rule.

Accordingly, I hereby certify that this proposed regulation would not, if promulgated, have a significant adverse impact on a substantial number of small entities. Therefore, this regulation does not require a regulatory flexibility analysis.

Dated: February 27, 1981.

Walter C. Barber, Jr.,

Acting Administrator.

[FR Doc. 81-6825 Filed 3-2-81; 9:32 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-32-M

40 CFR Part 180

[PP OF2384/R313; PH-FRL 1769-2]

Tolerances and Exemptions From Tolerances for Pesticide Chemicals in or on Raw Agricultural Commodities; Dimethylformamide

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This rule establishes an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance for residues of the pesticide chemical dimethylformamide in or on apricots, bell peppers, cantaloupes, cherries, cucumbers, eggplant, nectarines, plums, fresh prunes, strawberries, and watermelons. The regulation was requested by EM Industries, Inc. This regulation will allow the presence of residues of dimethylformamide in or on the above raw agricultural commodities when applied in formulations of the fungicide trioforine (*N,N*-[1,4-piperazinediylbis (2,2,2-trichloroethylidene)] bis[formamide]) and in the absence of tolerances establishing maximum permissible levels of the residues of dimethylformamide in or on these commodities.

EFFECTIVE DATE: Effective on March 3, 1981.

ADDRESS: Written objections may be submitted to the: Hearing Clerk, Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. M-3708 (A-110), 401 M Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20460.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Henry M. Jacoby, Product Manager (PM) 21, Registration Division (TS-767C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. 418, CM#2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA 22202, (703-557-7060).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: EPA issued a notice that published in the **Federal Register** of February 18, 1981 (46 FR 12764) that EM Industries, Inc., 5 Skyline Drive, Hawthorne, NY 10523 had submitted a pesticide petition (PP OF2384) of the EPA. The petition proposed that the Administrator, pursuant to section 408(e) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act amend 40 CFR Part 180 by establishing an exemption from the requirement of a tolerance for residues of the solvent dimethylformamide in or on the raw agricultural commodities—apricots, bell peppers, cantaloupes, cherries, cucumbers, eggplant, nectarines, plums, fresh prunes, strawberries, and watermelons when used in formulations

with the fungicide trioforine (*N,N*-[1,4-piperazinediylbis (2,2,2-trichloroethylidene)] bis[formamide]).

The data submitted in this petition and other revelant material have been evaluated. The toxicological data considered in support of the proposed exemption from the requirement of a tolerance included a subacute mouse feeding study and subacute rat feeding study. The no-observed-effect level (NOEL) determined from the subacute rat feeding study is 554 parts per million (ppm) based on finding congested liver volumes at higher levels. Studies found in the literature raised concern over the chemical's teratogenic potential. Although this exemption from a tolerance is adequately supported, any further requests to expand the exemption regulation will need to be supported by an adequate teratology study. An adequate analytical method (gas chromatorgrapy with flame ionization detection) is available for enforcement purposes.

The residues of dimethylformamide (DMF) and its methabolites from the proposed use pattern are not expected to exceed 18 ppm in or on apricots, bell peppers, cantaloupes, cherries, cucumbers, eggplant, nectarines, plums, fresh prunes, strawberries, and watermelons.

No actions are currently pending against the continued exemption of dimethylformamide when used as an inert ingredient in pesticide formulations. Other considerations in establishing this tolerance exemption include the establishment of tolerances for residues triforine in or on apricots, bell peppers, cantaloupes, cherries, cucumbers, eggplant, nectarines, peaches, plum, fresh prune, strawberries, and watermelons.

Dimethylformamide is considered useful for the purpose for which the exemption from requirement of a tolerance is sought. There is no reasonable expectation of residues in eggs, meat, milk, or poultry as delineated in 40 CFR 180.6(a)(3). The agency has determined that the proposed exemption from the requirement of a tolerance will protect public health. Therefore, 40 CFR Part 180 established as set forth below.

Any person adversely affected by this regulation may, on or before April 2, 1981, file written objections with the Hearing Clerk, EPA, Rm. M-3708 (A-110), 401 M St., SW., Washington, D.C. 20460. Such objections should be submitted in quintuplicate and specify the provisions of the regulation deemed objectionable and the grounds for the objections. If a hearing is requested the objections must state the issues for the hearing. A hearing will be granted if the objections are supported by grounds legally sufficient to justify the relief sought.

Under Executive Order 12044, EPA is required to judge whether a regulation is significant and therefore subject to the procedural requirements of the Order or whether it may follow other specialized development procedures. EPA labels these other regulations "specialized." This proposed rule had been reviewed, and it has been determined that it is a specialized regulation not subject to the procedural requirements of Executive Order 12044.

For information on Regulatory Flexibility Act, see appendix to this rule.

(Sec. 4(8e), 68 Stat. 514 (21 U.S.C. 346a(e)))

Dated: February 27, 1981.

Edwin L. Johnson,

Deputy Assistant Administrator for Pesticide Programs.

Therefore, Subpart D of 40 CFR Part 180 be amended by revising § 180.1046 to read as follows:

§ 180.1046 Dimethylformamide; exemption from the requirement of a tolerance.

Dimethylformamide is exempted from the requirement of a tolerance for residues in or on the raw agricultural commodities apricots, bell peppers, blueberries, cantaloupes, cherries, cranberries, cucumbers, eggplant, nectarines, peaches, plums, fresh prunes, strawberries, and watermelons when used in accordance with good agricultural practices in formulations with the fungicide triforine (*N,N*-[1,4-piperazinediylbis (2,2,2-trichloroethylidene)]bis[formamide]) if such formulations contain not more than 30 percent dimethylformamide.

Appendix

Certification Under Regulatory Flexibility Act: Congress recently enacted the Regulatory Flexibility Act (Pub. L. 96-543, 94 Stat. 1164, 5 U.S.C. 601-612, effective January 1, 1981). The purpose of the Act is to assure that the Agency analyzes the effect of regulatory requirements on small businesses, Government jurisdictions, and organizations (collectively referred to as "small entities"). The law requires that all "notice-and comment" rulemaking, both proposed and final, be accompanied by an initial or final regulatory flexibility analysis, or by a certification by the Administrator that no such analysis is necessary because the regulation will not have a significant adverse impact on a substantial number of small entities.

Under Sec. 408 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA), as amended (21 U.S.C. 346a), the Agency is authorized to establish by regulation tolerance levels, or exemptions from the requirements for a tolerance, for pesticides resulting in residues on raw agricultural commodities. Under Sec. 409 of the same Act (21 U.S.C. 348), the Agency is authorized to issue regulations establishing permissible levels of residues of pesticides found as additives in processed food or feed. These tolerance and additive regulations are intended to protect the public while giving appropriate consideration to the production of an adequate, wholesome and economical food supply.

The establishment of a tolerance or an exemption or an additive level allows a pesticide product to be registered for a particular use resulting in residues on food or feed. This generally has beneficial economic impacts on the producer, distributor, and professional applicator of the pesticide, all of whom benefit through sale of the pesticide. It also benefits the ultimate user of the pesticide, usually a grower or food processor, who would otherwise not be able to sell crops containing residues of that pesticide.

This regulation would exempt the inert ingredient from the need of a tolerance on certain raw agricultural commodities when used in formulation with the fungicide triforine. The rule is necessary before the Agency can register the pesticide product Funginex for additional agricultural uses. No additional restrictions are placed on the registrants by this rule.

Accordingly, I hereby certify that this proposed regulation would not, if promulgated, have a significant adverse impact on a substantial number of small entities. Therefore, this regulation does not require a regulatory flexibility analysis.

Dated: February 27, 1981.

Walter C. Barber, Jr.,

Acting Administrator.

[FR Doc. 81-6628 Filed 3-2-81; 9:32 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-32-M

---

40 CFR Part 180

[PP OF2351/OF2352/R314; PH-FRL 1769-4]

Tolerances and Exemptions From Tolerances for Pesticide Chemicals in or on Raw Agricultural Commodities; Triforine

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This rule establishes a tolerance for residues of the fungicide triforine (*N,N*-[1,4-piperazinediylbis(2,2,2-trichloroethylidene)]bis[formamide]) in or on certain raw agricultural commodities. This regulation was requested by EM Industries, Inc. This regulation will establish maximum permissible levels for residues of triforine in or on these raw agricultural commodities.

EFFECTIVE DATE: Effective on March 3, 1981.

ADDRESS: Written objections may be submitted to the: Hearing Clerk,

Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. M–3708 (A–110), 401 M Street, SW., Washington, D.C. 20460.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Henry M. Jacoby, Product Manager (PM) 21, Registration Division (TS–767C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. 418, CM#2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA 22202, (703–557–7060).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: EPA issued a notice that published in the **Federal Register** of February 18, 1981 (46 FR 12762) that EM Industries, Inc., 5 Skyline Drive, Hawthorne, NY 10523 had submitted pesticide petitions PP OF2351 and PP OF2352 to the EPA. These petitions proposed that tolerances be established for residues of the fungicide triforine (*N,N*-[1,4-piperazinediylbis(2,2,2-trichloroethylidene)]bis[formamide]) in or on the raw agricultural commodities apricots at 8.0 parts per million (ppm); bell peppers 5.0 ppm; blueberries at 0.1 ppm; cantaloupes at 1.0 ppm; cherries at 3.0 ppm; cranberries at 0.1 ppm; cucumbers at 0.5 ppm; eggplant at 1.0 ppm; nectarines at 8.0 ppm; peaches at 8.0 ppm; plums at 3.0 ppm; prunes (fresh) at 3.0 ppm; strawberries at 2.0 ppm; and watermelons at 1.0 ppm. No comments or request for referral to an advisory committee were received in response to this notice of proposed rulemaking.

The data submitted in these petitions and other relevant material have been evaluated. The pesticide is considered useful for the purpose for which the tolerances are sought. Because there are no animal feed items in this petition, there are no reasonable expectations for residues in eggs, milk, meat, or poultry. The toxicology data considered in support of the tolerances included a 2-year dog feeding study with a no-observed-effect-level (NOEL) of 100 ppm; a 2-year rat feeding study with a NOEL of 625 ppm, with no oncogenic effect at 3,125 ppm; an 18-month mouse feeding study (negative for oncogenicity at 750 ppm; teratology and fetotoxicity effects at 800 ppm); two rat metabolism studies (satisfactory to determine major metabolites); a three-generation rat reproduction study with a NOEL of 2,500 ppm; mutagenicity (negative, male dominant lethal mouse test); and a 60-day dog feeding study with a NOEL of 100 ppm.

Based on the dog feeding study, the NOEL is 100 ppm or 2.5 milligrams (mg)/kilogram (kg) of body weight (bw). Using a 100-fold safety factor, the acceptable daily intake (ADI) is 0.025 mg/kg of body weight/day and the maximum permissible intake (MPI) is 1.5 mg/day for a 60-kg person. These tolerances will result in a maximum theoretical exposure of 0.1863 mg/day.

An adequate analytical method for determining residues of triforine is available (gas chromatography with an electron capture detector) for enforcement purposes.

No actions are currently pending against the continued registration of triforine. Other considerations in the registration of triforine include the exemption from the requirement of a tolerance for residues of the solvents *N*-methylpyrrolidone and dimethylformamide used in the formulated product when applied to apricots, bell peppers, cantaloupes, cherries, cucumbers, eggplant, nectarines, plums, fresh prunes, strawberries, and watermelons. These regulations [PP OF2384/R313 and PP OF 2386/R312] appear elsewhere in this issue of the **Federal Register.** It is concluded that the tolerances will protect the public health, and therefore the tolerances are established as set forth below.

Any person adversely affected by this regulation may, on or before April 2, 1981, file written objections with the Hearing Clerk, EPA, Rm. M–3708 (A–110), 401 M St., SW., Washington, D.C. 20460. Such objections should be submitted in quintuplicate and specify the provisions of the regulation deemed objectionable and the grounds for the objections. If a hearing is requested, the objections must state the issues for the hearing. A hearing will be granted if the objections are supported by grounds legally sufficient to justify the relief sought.

Under Executive Order 12044, EPA is required to judge whether a regulation is significant and therefore subject to the procedural requirements of the order or whether it may follow other specialized development procedures. EPA labels these other regulations "specialized." This regulation has been reviewed, and it had been determined that it is a specialized regulation not subject to the procedural requirements of Executive Order 12044.

For information on Regulatory Flexibility Act, see appendix to this rule.

(Sec. 408(d)(2), 68 Stat. 512 (21 U.S.C. 346a(d)(2)))

Dated: February 27, 1981.

Edwin L. Johnson,

Deputy Assistant Administrator for Pesticide Programs.

Therefore, Subpart C of 40 CFR Part 180 be amended by revising § 180.382 to read as follows:

§ 180.382 Triforine; tolerances for residues.

Tolerances are established for residues of the fungicide triforine (*N,N*-[1,4-piperazinediylbis(2,2,2-trichloroethylidene)]bis[formamide]) in or on the following raw agricultural commodities:

Commodities	Parts per million
Apricots	8.0
Bell peppers	5.0
Blueberries	.1
Cantaloupes	1.0
Cherries	3.0
Cranberries	.1
Cucumbers	.5
Eggplant	1.0
Nectarines	8.0
Peaches	8.0
Plums	3.0
Prunes (fresh)	3.0
Strawberries	2.0
Watermelon	1.0

Appendix

Certification Under Regulatory Flexibility Act:

Congress recently enacted the Regulatory Flexibility Act (Pub. L. 96–543, 94 Stat. 1164, 5 U.S.C. 601–612, effective January 1, 1981). The purpose of the Act is to assure that the Agency analyzes the effect of regulatory requirements on small businesses, Government jurisdictions, and organizations (collectively referred to as "small entities"). The law requires that all "notice and comment" rulemaking, both proposed and final, be accompanied by an initial or final regulatory flexibility analysis, or by a certification by the Administrator that no such analysis is necessary because the regulation will not have a significant adverse impact on a substantial number of small entities.

Under Sec. 408 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA), as amended (21 U.S.C. 346a), the Agency is authorized to establish by regulation tolerance levels, or exemptions from the requirements for a tolerance, for pesticides resulting in residues on raw agricultural commodities. Under Sec. 409 of the same Act (21 U.S.C. 348), the Agency is authorized to issue regulations establishing permissible levels of residues of pesticides found as additives in processed food or feed. These tolerance and additive regulations are intended to protect the public while giving appropriate consideration to the production of an adequate, wholesome and economical food supply.

The establishment of a tolerance or an exemption or an additive level allows a pesticide product to be registered for a particular use resulting in residues on food or feed. This generally has beneficial economic impacts on the producer, distributor, and professional applicator of the pesticide, all of whom benefit through sale of the pesticide. It also benefits the ultimate user of the pesticide, usually a grower or food processor.

who would otherwise not be able to sell crops containing residues of that pesticide.

This proposed regulation would revise the present tolerance regulation for the fungicide triforine § 180.382, to include several additional raw agricultural commodities. This revision of the regulation is necessary before the Agency can register the pesticide product, funginex, for additional agricultural uses. No additional restrictions are placed on the product or the registrant(s) by this rule.

Accordingly, I hereby certify that this proposed regulation would not, if promulgated, have a significant adverse impact on a substantial number of small entities. Therefore, this regulation does not require a regulatory flexibility analysis.

Dated: February 27, 1981.

Walter C. Barber, Jr.,

Acting Administrator.

[FR Doc. 81-6927 Filed 3-3-81; 9:32 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-32-M

Reader Aids

Federal Register
Vol. 46, No. 41
Tuesday, March 3, 1981

INFORMATION AND ASSISTANCE

PUBLICATIONS

Code of Federal Regulations

CFR Unit	202-523-3419 523-3517
General information, index, and finding aids	523-5227
Incorporation by reference	523-4534
Printing schedules and pricing information	523-3419

Federal Register

Corrections	523-5237
Daily Issue Unit	523-5237
General information, index, and finding aids	523-5227
Public Inspection Desk	633-6930
Scheduling of documents	523-3187

Laws

Indexes	523-5282
Law numbers and dates	523-5282 523-5266
Slip law orders (GPO)	275-3030

Presidential Documents

Executive orders and proclamations	523-5233
Public Papers of the President	523-5235
Weekly Compilation of Presidential Documents	523-5235

Privacy Act Compilation	523-3517
United States Government Manual	523-5230

SERVICES

Agency services	523-3408
Automation	523-3408
Dial-a-Reg	
Chicago, Ill.	312-663-0884
Los Angeles, Calif.	213-688-6694
Washington, D.C.	202-523-5022
Magnetic tapes of FR issues and CFR volumes (GPO)	275-2867
Public briefings: "The Federal Register—What It Is and How To Use It"	523-5235
Public Inspection Desk	633-6930
Regulations Writing Seminar	523-5240
Special Projects	523-4534
Subscription orders and problems (GPO)	783-3238
TTY for the deaf	523-5239

14727-14884.....2
14885-15128.....3

CFR PARTS AFFECTED DURING MARCH

At the end of each month, the Office of the Federal Register publishes separately a list of CFR Sections Affected (LSA), which lists parts and sections affected by documents published since the revision date of each title.

3 CFR

Proclamations:
4822.....14885

5 CFR

550.....14887

7 CFR

Proposed Rules:
2851.....14899

10 CFR

Proposed Rules:
211.....15122

12 CFR

Ch. VII.....14887
546.....14727
563.....14727

14 CFR

39.....14728-14729
71.....14730-14734
95.....14735

Proposed Rules:
Ch. I.....14749

15 CFR

935.....14741
936.....14741
937.....14741
938.....14741

16 CFR

1.....14888
13.....14741

17 CFR

240.....14888

Proposed Rules:
240.....14749

18 CFR

282.....14742

Proposed Rules:
1.....14751
4.....14751
154.....14899
157.....14899
250.....14899
260.....14899

21 CFR

540.....14889
561.....14889
864.....14890

24 CFR

203.....14743
207.....14743
220.....14743

25 CFR

43c.....14890

28 CFR

Proposed Rules:
2.....14904

29 CFR

1910.....14897
2200.....14744

32 CFR

286.....14890

33 CFR

230.....14745

39 CFR

111.....14746

40 CFR

81.....14891, 14892
162.....15104
180.....14894, 15122-15125

Proposed Rules:
60.....14905
763.....14905

41 CFR

101-40.....14894

44 CFR

Proposed Rules:
67.....14907

45 CFR

1357.....14895

47 CFR

Proposed Rules:
Ch. I.....14907
63.....14754

49 CFR

1033.....14895, 14896

AGENCY PUBLICATION ON ASSIGNED DAYS OF THE WEEK

The following agencies have agreed to publish all documents on two assigned days of the week (Monday/Thursday or Tuesday/Friday).

This is a voluntary program. (See OFR NOTICE 41 FR 32914, August 6, 1976.)

Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday
DOT/SECRETARY	USDA/ASCS		DOT/SECRETARY	USDA/ASCS
DOT/COAST GUARD	USDA/FNS		DOT/COAST GUARD	USDA/FNS
DOT/FAA	USDA/FSQS		DOT/FAA	USDA/FSQS
DOT/FHWA	USDA/REA		DOT/FHWA	USDA/REA
DOT/FRA	MSPB/OPM		DOT/FRA	MSPB/OPM
DOT/NHTSA	LABOR		DOT/NHTSA	LABOR
DOT/RSPA	HHS/FDA		DOT/RSPA	HHS/FDA
DOT/SLSDC			DOT/SLSDC	
DOT/UMTA			DOT/UMTA	
CSA			CSA	

Documents normally scheduled for publication on a day that will be a Federal holiday will be published the next work day following the holiday.
Comments on this program are still invited.
Comments should be submitted to the Day-of-the-Week Program Coordinator, Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration, Washington, D.C. 20408

Rules Going Into Effect Today

List of Public Laws

Note: No public bills which have become law were received by the Office of the Federal Register for inclusion in today's **List of Public Laws.**

Last Listing February 19, 1981



Just Released

Code of Federal Regulations

Revised as of October 1, 1980

Quantity	Volume	Price	Amount
_____	Title 46—Shipping (Parts 1 to 29)	$5.00	$_____
_____	Title 46—Shipping (Parts 70 to 89)	5.50	_____
_____	Title 46—Shipping (Parts 166 to 199)	6.00	_____
		Total Order	$_____

A Cumulative checklist of CFR issuances for 1980 appears in the back of the first issue of the Federal Register each month in the Reader Aids section. In addition, a checklist of current CFR volumes, comprising a complete CFR set, appears each month in the LSA (List of CFR Sections Affected).

Please do not detach

Order Form

Mail to: Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402

Enclosed find $_____ Make check or money order payable to Superintendent of Documents. (Please do not send cash or stamps). Include an additional 25% for foreign mailing.

Charge to my Deposit Account No.

☐☐☐☐☐☐☐–☐

Order No._____

VISA

MasterCard

Credit Card Orders Only

Total charges $_____ Fill in the boxes below.

Credit Card No. ☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐

Expiration Date Month/Year ☐☐☐☐

Please send me the **Code of Federal Regulations** publications I have selected above.

Name—First, Last

Street address

Company name or additional address line

City State ZIP Code

(or Country)

PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE

For Office Use Only.

	Quantity	Charges
Enclosed		
To be mailed		
Subscriptions		
Postage		
Foreign handling		
MMOB		
OPNR		
UPNS		
Discount		
Refund		