GUIDE TO FREEDOM OF INFORMATION INDEXES

The guide to agency Freedom of Information indexes which is published quarterly by the Office of the Federal Register will be carried in the quarterly FEDERAL REGISTER INDEXES, beginning January-March 1978. The FEDERAL REGISTER INDEX is included as part of the FEDERAL REGISTER subscription.

SUNSHINE ACT MEETINGS ........................................... 18128

CHILDREN'S ADVERTISING
FTC proposes certain restrictions regarding television advertising directed towards children; comments by 10-24-78; hearings beginning on 11-6-78 ........................................... 17967

AIR CHARTERS
CAB proposes extension of comment date to 6-30-78 concerning the establishment of uniform procedures for protection of charter participants' funds ........................................... 17967

MEDIA HANDLING OF PUBLIC ISSUES
FCC extends comment period to 7-5-78 regarding an inquiry into the handling of public issues under the Fairness Doctrine ........................................... 18030

COMMUNITY SERVICE AND CONTINUING EDUCATION
HEW/OE applications are being accepted for new projects under the special projects program; closing date 6-27-78 ........................................... 18116

RURAL HOUSING LOANS AND GRANTS
USDA/FmHA publishes procedures for allocation of a supplemental appropriation for "Very Low-Income Housing Repair Grants"; comments by 5-30-78 ........................................... 17988

GUARANTEED STUDENT LOAN PROGRAM
HEW/OE announces a special allowance to be paid to holders of eligible loans for quarter ending 3-31-78 ........................................... 18117

VOCATIONAL EDUCATION TEACHER CERTIFICATION FELLOWSHIP PROGRAM
HEW/OE publishes report enabling applicants to identify a teacher shortage area for which they wish to certify ........................................... 18117

PANAMA CANAL
Panama Canal Company excludes intelligence positions within the Department of Defense in the Canal Zone from the Canal Zone Merit System, effective 4-14-78 ........................................... 17941
The following agencies have agreed to publish all documents on two assigned days of the week (Monday/Thursday or Tuesday/Friday). This is a voluntary program. (See OFR notice 41 FR 32914, August 6, 1976.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monday</th>
<th>Tuesday</th>
<th>Wednesday</th>
<th>Thursday</th>
<th>Friday</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DOT/COAST GUARD</td>
<td>USDA/ASCS</td>
<td></td>
<td>DOT/COAST GUARD</td>
<td>USDA/ASCS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOT/NHTSA</td>
<td>USDA/APHIS</td>
<td></td>
<td>DOT/NHTSA</td>
<td>USDA/APHIS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOT/FAA</td>
<td>USDA/FNS</td>
<td></td>
<td>DOT/FAA</td>
<td>USDA/FNS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOT/OHMO</td>
<td>USDA/FSQS</td>
<td></td>
<td>DOT/OHMO</td>
<td>USDA/FSQS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOT/OPSO</td>
<td>USDA/REA</td>
<td></td>
<td>DOT/OPSO</td>
<td>USDA/REA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSC</td>
<td>LABOR</td>
<td></td>
<td>CSC</td>
<td>LABOR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEW/ADAMHA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HEW/ADAMHA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEW/CDC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HEW/CDC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEW/FDA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HEW/FDA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEW/HRA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HEW/HRA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEW/PHS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HEW/NIH</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEW/PHS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HEW/PHS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Documents normally scheduled for publication on a day that will be a Federal holiday will be published the next work day following the holiday.

Comments on this program are still invited. Comments should be submitted to the Day-of-the-Week Program Coordinator, Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration, Washington, D.C. 20408.

ATTENTION: For questions, corrections, or requests for information please see the list of telephone numbers appearing on opposite page.
INFORMATION AND ASSISTANCE

Questions and requests for specific information may be directed to the following numbers. General inquiries may be made by dialing 202-523-5240.

FEDERAL REGISTER, Daily Issue:
Subscription orders (GPO) .................. 202-783-3238
Subscription problems (GPO) .......... 202-275-3050
“Dial - a - Reg” (recorded summary of highlighted documents appearing in next day’s issue).
Washington, D.C. .................. 202-523-5022
Chicago, Ill .................... 312-663-0884
Scheduling of documents for publication.
Photo copies of documents appearing in the Federal Register.
Corrections ........................................ 523-5237
Public Inspection Desk ...................... 523-5215
Finding Aids................................. 523-5227
Public Briefings: “How To Use the
Federal Register.”
Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) ........ 523-3419
Finding Aids................................. 523-5227

PRESIDENTIAL PAPERS:
Executive Orders and Proclama-
tions. ........................................ 523-5233
Weekly Compilation of Presidential
Documents. ....................................... 523-5235
Public Papers of the Presidents ..... 523-5235
Index ........................................ 523-5235

PUBLIC LAWS:
Public Law dates and numbers..... 523-5266
Slip Laws ..................................... 523-5266
U.S. Statutes at Large ............. 523-5266
Index ........................................ 523-5266
Automation .................................. 523-3408
Special Projects ...................... 523-4534

HIGHLIGHTS—Continued

BLACK LUNG DISEASE
HEW/CDC proposes to revise regulations regarding chest
roentgenographic examinations of underground coal miners .. 17979

EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH AND
DEVELOPMENT
HEW/NIE invites unsolicited proposals .................. 18127

PROCUREMENT REGULATIONS
DOT/Secy proposes to revise the procedures of its Contract
Appeals Board and also amend the authority of Board Mem-
bers; comments by 6-12-78 ........................................ 17974

FARMERS HOME ADMINISTRATION
USDA/FmHA considers establishing a mailing list to make
program regulations more readily available; comments by
5-30-78 .................................................................. 17988

FARMER PROGRAM LOAN
USDA/FmHA provides for the deferral of loan installments on
outstanding loans due to present poor economic conditions;
effective 4-27-78; comments by 5-30-78 .......... 17935

PEANUTS
USDA/CCC proposes to amend regulations regarding farm
storage loans and purchases for 1978 and subsequent crops;
comments by 5-3-78 ........................................ 17964

RICE PRICE SUPPORT PROGRAM
USDA/CCC proposes determinations and issues regulations
relative to the 1978 program; comments by 5-30-78 .......... 17964

RURAL CLEAN WATER SYSTEM
USDA/SCS gives notice of intent to prepare an environmental
impact statement ............................................... 17992

AIR SAFETY
NTSB implements safety recommendations involving complex
fixed wing multiengine aircraft................................. 18073

MOTOR VEHICLE SAFETY STANDARDS
DOT/NHTSA removes the requirement that a camper’s vehi-
cle identification number be printed in its owner’s manual;
effective 4-27-78 ................................................ 17946

MULTIPLE OWNERSHIP OF TELEVISION
BROADCAST STATIONS
FCC issues notice of proposed rulemaking and inquiry relating
to future enforcement of the Top-50 policy; comments by
7-5-78----------------------------------------------------- 17982

PLASTIC PLUMBING FIXTURES
HUD/Secy issues a new use of Materials Bulletin regarding fire
safety requirements for plastic bathtubs, shower units and
lavatories ......................................................... 18034

HAZARDOUS MATERIALS PACKAGING AND
SHIPPING
DOT/MTB issues amendments to provide wider access to
benefits of transportation innovations shown to be effective
and safe; effective 4-27-78 ........................................ 17942

NUMBERING OF VESSELS
DOT/CG revises regulations dealing with leased or chartered
vessels; effective 4-27-78 ................................. 17940

IMPORTED AVOCADOS
USDA/AMS proposes to prescribe grade, size, and weight
requirements; comments by 5-15-78 .......... 17948
HIGHLIGHTS—Continued

MILK PRICING
USDA/AMS proposes continuation of the present method of pricing Class I milk under the Middle Atlantic Federal milk order; comments by 5-12-78 ................................................. 17950
USDA/AMS extends time for filing exceptions to 5-17-78 concerning proposal regulating the handling of milk in Eastern Ohio-Western Pennsylvania marketing area .................................... 17963

OUTER CONTINENTAL SHELF
Interior/BLM makes protraction diagrams available to the public ..................................................................................... 18049

NATIONAL REGISTRY OF NATURAL LANDMARKS
Interior/HCRS revises list ....................................................... 18049

PRIVACY ACT
FCC publishes additional system of records; comments by 5-26-78 ........................................ ........................................ 18019
Justice/AG adopts two systems of records and proposes additions and changes to other systems of records; comments by 5-30-78 (4 documents) ........................................................................ 18059, 18060

MEETINGS—
Commerce/NOAA: Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council's Stone Crab Advisory Panel, 5-19-78 .................. 17993
New England Fishery Management Council, 5-17 and 5-22-78 ....................................................................... 17994
CPSC: Technical Advisory Committee on Poison Prevention Packaging, 5-23-78 ................................................. 17992
DOD/AF: USAF Scientific Advisory Board, 5-12, 5-16, 5-17-78 ................................................. 17995
Secy: Defense Intelligence Agency Scientific Advisory Committee, 5-22-78 ................................................. 17995
DOT/CG: Chemical Transportation Industry Advisory Committee's Subcommittee on Chemical Vessels, 5-23 and 5-24-78 ....................................................................... 18079
EPA: National Drinking Water Advisory Council, 5-23 and 5-24-78 ....................................................................... 18079
HEW/Secy: Advisory Council on Education Statistics, 5-18 and 5-19-78 ....................................................................... 18127
State: Study Group 5 of the U.S. Organization for the International Radio Consultative Committee, 5-19-78 ...... 18079

HEARINGS—
CPSC: Substantial Product Hazards, 5-5-78 ............................ 17995
National Commission on Unemployment Compensation, 6-26 and 6-27-78............................................... 18073
Office of the Special Representative for Trade Negotiations: Certain Imported Ceramic Tableware, 5-23-78 .................. 18076
OMB: Generic Description of Data Collection for Sections 301, 304, 306, and 307 of the Clean Water Act of 1978, 5-8-78 ....................................................................... 18076

SEPARETE PARTS OF THIS ISSUE
Part II, State ....................................................................... 18132

reminders

(The items in this list were editorially compiled as an aid to Federal Register users. Inclusion or exclusion from this list has no legal significance. Since this list is intended as a reminder, it does not include effective dates that occur within 14 days of publication.)

Rules Going Into Effect Today

USDA/FSQS—Poultry slaughtering practices; criteria for processing .......................... 12846; 3-18-78

List of Public Laws

This is a continuing listing of public bills that have become law, the text of which is not published in the Federal Register. Copies of the laws in individual pamphlet form (referred to as “slip laws”) may be obtained from the U.S. Government Printing Office.
[Last listing: April 21, 1978]

H.R. 4979.............................................. Pub. L. 95-265

H.R. 6693.............................................. Pub. L. 95-266

H.R. 9179.............................................. Pub. L. 95-268

H.J. Res. 578.............................................. Pub. L. 95-267
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FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

Proposed Rules
Children's advertising; television restrictions ........................................ 17987

FISCAL SERVICE

Notices
Surety companies acceptable on Federal bonds:
Indiana Bonding & Surety Co.; termination ........................................ 18080

FOREST SERVICE

Notices
Environmental statements; availability, etc.:
Angels, Cleveland, Los Padres and San Bernardino National Forests, Timber Management Plan, Calif ..... 17992
Caribou National Forest, Bear River Land Management Plan, Idaho .......... 17989
Cooperative Services Budworm Suppression Project, Maine, Vt., and N.H. .... 17991
Grifford Pinchot National Forest, Bear Planning Unit Management Plan, Wash ........................................ 17989
Helena National Forest, Magpie-Confederate Land Management Plan, Mont .......... 17991
Salmon National Forest, Leesburg Land Management Plan, Idaho ........... 17991
Primitive areas; redesignation as class I; inquiry ................................ 17990

HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE DEPARTMENT

See also Disease Control Center; Education Office; National Institute of Education.

Notices
Meetings:
Education Statistics Advisory Council ........................................ 18127

HERITAGE CONSERVATION AND RECREATION SERVICE

Notices
Historic Places National Register; additions, deletions, etc.:
Alabama et al. ................................................................................ 18049

HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

See Federal Housing Commissioner—Office of Assistant Secretary for Housing.

INTERIOR DEPARTMENT

See also Heritage Conservation and Recreation Service; Land Management Bureau.

Rules
Hearings and appeals procedures:
Authority to Surface Mining and Reclamation Appeals Board .................. 17941

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Notices
Import investigations:
Luggage products........................................ 18055
Roller units ........................................ 18055
Windows, monumental wood........................................ 18056

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

Proposed Rules
Rail carriers:
Boxcars and gondolas, incentive per diem funds, use of ................................ 17985

Notices
Hearing assignments ........................................ 18080
Motor carriers:
Transfer proceedings ........................................ 18080

Pettitions, applications, finance matters (including temporary authorities), railroad abandonments, alternate route deviations, and intrastate applications (2 documents) .......... 18082, 18094

Railroad car service orders:
Boxcars, distribution ........................................ 18080

Railroad car service rules, mandatory; exemptions (3 documents) ................. 18080

JUSTICE DEPARTMENT

See also Antitrust Division, Justice Department.

Notices
Privacy Act; systems of records (4 documents) ................................ 18059, 18060

LAND MANAGEMENT BUREAU

Rules
Alaska native claims; publication of initial selection applications; waiver of requirements ........................................ 17942

Notices
Applications, etc.:
California; correction ........................................ 18047
New Mexico (3 documents) ........................................ 18047
Colorado ........................................ 18047
Wyoming ........................................ 18049

Indian lands, jurisdiction transfer:
Cherokee Nation of Oklahoma ........................................ 18048

Motor vehicles, off-road, etc.; area closures:
New Mexico ........................................ 18047

Outer Continental Shelf; Mineral and oil and gas leases, Alaska; protraction diagrams, availability ........................................ 18049

Withdrawal and reservation of lands, proposed, etc.:
Alaska ........................................ 18046

MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET OFFICE

Notices
Clean Water Act, data collection; hearing ........................................ 18076
The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published in today's issue. A cumulative list of parts affected, covering the current month to date, follows beginning with the second issue of the month. A Cumulative List of CFR Sections Affected is published separately at the end of each month. The guide lists the parts and sections affected by documents published since the revision date of each title.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CFR Title</th>
<th>Parts Affected</th>
<th>Page Numbers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7 CFR</td>
<td>908</td>
<td>17935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1904</td>
<td>17935</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Rules:</td>
<td>944</td>
<td>17948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>953</td>
<td>17949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1004</td>
<td>17950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1038</td>
<td>17963</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1421 (2 documents)</td>
<td>17964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 CFR</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>17937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>71 (4 documents)</td>
<td>17937-17940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>75</td>
<td>17940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Rules:</td>
<td>71 (2 documents)</td>
<td>17966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>369</td>
<td>17967</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 CFR</td>
<td>461</td>
<td>17967</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1115</td>
<td>17972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1118</td>
<td>17972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33 CFR</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>17940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 CFR</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>17941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41 CFR</td>
<td>Proposed Rules:</td>
<td>12-60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 CFR</td>
<td>Proposed Rules:</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43 CFR</td>
<td>Ch. II</td>
<td>17942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>17941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47 CFR</td>
<td>Proposed Rules:</td>
<td>73 (3 documents)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49 CFR</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>17942</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>173 (2 documents)</td>
<td>17944,17946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>174</td>
<td>17945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>177</td>
<td>17945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>178</td>
<td>17946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>179</td>
<td>17946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>571</td>
<td>17946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed Rules:</td>
<td>1038</td>
<td>17985</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# CUMULATIVE LIST OF CFR PARTS AFFECTED DURING APRIL

The following numerical guide is a list of parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published to date during April.

## 1 CFR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ch.</th>
<th>Numbers</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ch. I</td>
<td>13865</td>
<td>Executive Orders:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## 3 CFR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Numbers</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EXECUTIVE ORDERS:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11286 (Revoked by EO 12050)</td>
<td>14431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11282 (Revoked by EO 12050)</td>
<td>14431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11286 (Amended by Proc. 4561)</td>
<td>15127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12022 (Amended by EO 12052)</td>
<td>15133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12050</td>
<td>14431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12051</td>
<td>15131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12052</td>
<td>15133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12053</td>
<td>16147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12054</td>
<td>17457</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## 4 CFR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Numbers</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PROCLAMATIONS:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4445 (Revoked by EO 4559)</td>
<td>14433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4477 (Proc. 4559)</td>
<td>14433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4509 (Revoked in part by Proc. 4559)</td>
<td>14433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4560</td>
<td>15125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4561</td>
<td>15127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4562</td>
<td>16441</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4563</td>
<td>16443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4564</td>
<td>16965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4565</td>
<td>17798</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4566</td>
<td>17798</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## 5 CFR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Numbers</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MEMORANDUMS:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 27, 1976 (Supplemented by Memorandum of March 24, 1978)</td>
<td>15603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 19, 1976 (Supplemented by Memorandum of March 24, 1978)</td>
<td>15603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 21, 1977 (Supplemented by Memorandum of March 24, 1978)</td>
<td>15603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November 5, 1977 (Supplemented by Memorandum of March 24, 1978)</td>
<td>15603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 21, 1978</td>
<td>13999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 24, 1978</td>
<td>15603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 7, 1978</td>
<td>16689</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## 6 CFR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Numbers</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DETERMINATIONS:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 22, 1978</td>
<td>17789</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## 7 CFR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Numbers</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PROPOSED RULES:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>14518</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## 8 CFR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Numbers</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PROPOSED RULES:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210</td>
<td>17476</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>301</td>
<td>16984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>632</td>
<td>15312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>729</td>
<td>14025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>908</td>
<td>16346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>913</td>
<td>14319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>944</td>
<td>17948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>950</td>
<td>14034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>989</td>
<td>17948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1004</td>
<td>15135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1421</td>
<td>17461</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1472</td>
<td>15320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1823</td>
<td>15138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1701</td>
<td>16998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1822</td>
<td>14322</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## 9 CFR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Numbers</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PROPOSED RULES:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>213</td>
<td>14491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14637, 14638, 15607, 16305-16307, 16967</td>
<td>14001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## 10 CFR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Numbers</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PROPOSED RULES:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92</td>
<td>14042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113</td>
<td>14042, 15137, 17477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>381</td>
<td>14043, 15158</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## 11 CFR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Numbers</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PROPOSED RULES:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>207</td>
<td>14304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>217</td>
<td>15408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>220</td>
<td>14304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>223</td>
<td>14304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>229</td>
<td>15147, 15321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>226</td>
<td>15148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>14322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>404</td>
<td>14438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>541</td>
<td>17465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>545</td>
<td>17465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>565</td>
<td>17467</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>612</td>
<td>16622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>613</td>
<td>16446</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>701</td>
<td>14924, 15409, 15622, 17467</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>703</td>
<td>15622</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## 12 CFR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Numbers</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PROPOSED RULES:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>13839</td>
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[3410-02]
Title 7—Agriculture
CHAPTER IX—AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE (MARKETING AGREEMENTS AND ORDERS; FRUITS, VEGETABLES, NUTS), DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

[Valencia Orange Regs. 585, Amdt. 1, and 586]

PART 908—VALENCIA ORANGES GROWN IN ARIZONA AND DESIGNATED PART OF CALIFORNIA

Limitation of Handling

AGENCY: Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This action establishes the quantity of fresh California-Arizona Valencia oranges that may be shipped to market during the period April 28-May 4, 1978, and increases the quantity of such oranges that may be so shipped during the period April 21-27, 1978. Such action is needed to provide for orderly marketing of fresh Valencia oranges for the periods specified due to the marketing situation confronting the orange industry.


FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Findings. Pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 908, as amended (7 CFR Part 908), regulating the handling of Valencia oranges grown in Arizona and designated part of California, effective under the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674), and upon the basis of the recommendations and information submitted by the Valencia Orange Administrative Committee, established under this marketing order, and upon other information, it is found that the limitation of handling of Valencia oranges, as hereafter provided, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act. The committee met on April 24 and 25, 1978, to consider supply and market conditions and other factors affecting the need for regulation, and recommended quantities of Valencia oranges deemed advisable to be handled during the specified weeks. The committee reports the demand for Valencia oranges is firm.

It is further found that it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, engage in public rulemaking, and postpone the effective date until 30 days after publication in the Federal Register (5 U.S.C. 553), because of insufficient time between the date when information became available upon which this regulation and amendment are based and the effective date necessary to effectuate the declared policy of the act. Interested persons were given an opportunity to submit information and views on the regulation at an open meeting, and the amendment relieves restrictions on the handling of Valencia oranges. It is necessary to effectuate the declared purposes of the act to make these regulatory provisions effective as specified, and handlers have been apprised of such provisions and the effective time.

1. § 908.886 Valencia orange regulation 586.

Order. (a) The quantities of Valencia oranges grown in Arizona and California which may be handled during the period April 28, 1978, through May 4, 1978, are established as follows:

(1) District 1: 224,000 cartons; (2) District 2: 352,000 cartons; (3) District 3: 224,000 cartons.

(b) As used in this section “handled”, “District 1”, “District 2”, “District 3”, and “carton” mean the same as defined in the marketing order.

2. Paragraph (a)(3) in § 908.885 Valencia Orange Regulation 585 (43 FR 16688), is hereby amended to read:

(3) District 3: 328,000 cartons.


CHARLES R. BRADER,
Acting Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc. 78-11732 Filed 4-26-78; 11:48 am]

[3410-07]

CHAPTER XVIII—FARMERS HOME ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

[FMHA Instruction 1904-C]

PART 1904—LOAN AND GRANT PROGRAMS (INDIVIDUAL)

Subpart C—Farmer Program Loans

DEFERRAL OF LOAN INSTALLMENTS

AGENCY: Farmers Home Administration, USDA.

ACTION: Final rule with comments requested.

SUMMARY: The Farmers Home Administration (FMHA) is providing for the deferral of loan installments on outstanding farmer programs loans. This action is taken because the present poor economic conditions, worsened by last year’s drought, have made it increasingly difficult for farmer program loan borrowers to pay expenses and make debt repayments on loans for essential chattels and real estate. The intended effect is to help borrowers, particularly young farmers and those with limited resources, to continue in farming. This will be accomplished by helping reorganize debt structures when individuals are in financial trouble for reasons beyond their control.

EFFECTIVE DATE: April 27, 1978. However, comments must be received on or before May 30, 1978.

ADDRESS: Submit written comments to the Office of the Chief, Directives Management Branch, Farmers Home Administration, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Room 6316, Washington, D.C. 20250. All written comments made pursuant to this notice will be available for public inspection at the address given above.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Larry L. Neaderhiser, 202-447-4572.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Farmers Home Administration is revising § 1904.124 of Subpart C, Part 1904, Chapter XVIII, Title 7 in the Code of Federal Regulations (42 FR 44688) to allow deferred loan payments to present borrowers. It is the
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policy of this Department that rules relating to public property, loans, grants, benefits, or contracts, shall be published for comment, notwithstanding the exception in 5 U.S.C. 553 with respect to such rules. This revision, however, is not published for comment because many farmers are suffering economic hardship and have an immediate need for this favorable servicing action and any delay in implementing this revision would thus be contrary to the public interest. Although this revision is published as a final rule, the Agency is interested in receiving comments which should be submitted to the address given above.

Accordingly, as amended §1904.124 reads as follows:

§1904.124 Renewal, reamortization of loans and deferment of installments.

All borrowers are expected to repay their Farmer Program loans according to the scheduled repayment schedule. However, circumstances may occur which will not permit them to pay as scheduled or to refinance their loans. This section explains how to reamortize or renew a loan and defer installments on existing loans.

(a) Eligibility requirements. (1) For Farmer Program loans the principal and interest balance on a loan note may be reamortized or renewed (renewal authorized only for loans using Form FmHA 441-1, “Promissory Note”) and/or installments may be deferred, as appropriate, when it is determined that it will be in the best interest of the borrower and the Government. The justification for the action being taken will be recorded in the running record of the borrower's case file. It must be documented that the borrower is making satisfactory progress under prevailing conditions and the farm operating plan must show that the borrower can reasonably expect to meet the revised repayment schedule.

(2) Farmer Program loan notes will not be reamortized, renewed or deferred when the account is being serviced or may be serviced in the near future by the State Office or has been referred to OGC or to the U.S. Attorney.

(3) For OL loans when the accrued interest is capitalized, the resulting unpaid loan balance may exceed $50,000.

(4) For FO, SW, and RL loans when the accrued interest is capitalized, the resulting unpaid loan balance may exceed $100,000.

(b) Rates and terms—(1) Interest rate. The interest rate will be the current rate in effect for OL and EM loans (see exception below) using Form FmHA 452-1, “Renewal/Reamortization Promissory Note”. For all EM loans made for actual losses and for all other loans for proposed losses using Form FmHA 452-2, “Reamortization and/or Deferral Agreement,” the interest rate will be the rate in the original note or assumption agreement (new terms).

(2) Reamortization. The County Supervisor may agree to reamortize the balance of a Farmer Program loan provided the new servicing action and any delay in implementing this revision would thus be contrary to the public interest. When a partial deferment is necessary the loan will be reamortized and the first installment may be any amount above $1 in accordance with the borrower's repayment ability. New installments may be authorized under the following conditions:

(i) The farm and home plan shows that scheduled installments cannot be made during the deferred period.

(ii) The scheduled installments cannot be deferred for reasons which are beyond the control of the borrower.

(iii) The borrower has acted in good faith and has properly maintained and accounted for security.

(iv) Borrowers will be advised to make payments on their loans as soon as they have repayment ability, even though the deferment period has not expired.

(c) Processing renewal, reamortization and/or deferment—(1) Loans in Form FmHA 441-1. A separate Form FmHA 452-1 will be prepared for each loan being renewed, reamortized, or deferred.

(i) All parties who executed the note or assumption agreement being renewed or reamortized will be required to execute Form FmHA 452-1, unless otherwise authorized by the State Director after the State Director has consulted OGC on the matter.

(ii) If the County Office is in possession of the original note being reamortized or renewed, Form FmHA 452-1 will be processed in accordance with the provisions of the FMI and this Subpart.

(iii) If the County Office is not in possession of the original note being reamortized or renewed, the County Supervisor will request the Finance Office to have the note assigned to the insurance fund and returned to the County Office before processing Form FmHA 452-1.

(2) Loans using Form FmHA 440-16. A separate Form FmHA 452-2 will be
used for each loan being reamortized or deferred. Form FmHA 452-2 will be processed in the same manner as Form FmHA 452-1 which is outlined in paragraph (c)(1) of the section.

(d) Approval authority. Loan approval authority is hereby authorized to approve the renewal, reamortization or deferment subject to their approval authority in Subpart A of Part 1901 of this chapter.

(e) Disposition of promissory notes. The original and County Office copy of all notes that are renewed or reamortized or deferred will be stamped "Reamortized, Not Paid" or "Reamortized, Not Paid" or "Deferred, Not Paid," as appropriate, by the County Office. The original note will be filed with Form FmHA 452-1 or FmHA 452-2 and the copy filed in the borrower's case file. When a renewed, reamortized or deferred note has been paid in full or otherwise satisfied, it will be handled in accordance with the provisions of Parts 1861 and 1864 of this chapter.

(7 U.S.C. 1999; 5 U.S.C. 301; Sec. 10, Pub. L. 92-357, 96 Stat. 392; delegation of authority by the Secretary of Agriculture, 7 CFR 2.23; delegation of authority by the Assistant Secretary for Rural Development, 7 CFR 2.70.)

Note.—The Farmers Home Administration has determined that this document does not contain a major proposal requiring preparation of an Economic Impact Statement under Executive Order 11821 and OMB Circular A-107.


JAMES E. THORNTON, Associate Administrator, Farmers Home Administration.

[FR Doc. 78-11408 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]

[4910-13]

Title 14—Aeronautics and Space

CHAPTER I—FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

[Docket No. 78-NW-10-AD; Amdt. 36-3199]

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

ADS Supply Co., Air Spares International, Inc. and Spencer Aircraft Industries; Unapproved Appliances Installed in BOEING Model Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment further amends AD 77-05-05, amendment 39-2849, to add Spencer Aircraft Industries as an identified supplier of unap

proved appliances. The AD requires the removal of the unapproved appliance from service since they have not been shown to conform to FAA approved type design and cannot, therefore, be considered to be in a condition for safe operation.

DATES: Effective date May 9, 1978.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Donald L. Riggin, Engineering and Manufacturing Branch, ANW-210, FAA Northwest Region, 9010 East Marginal Way South, Seattle, Wash., 98108, telephone 206-767-2717.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Airworthiness Directive 77-05-05, amendment 39-2849, effective March 10, 1977, requires the removal of certain unapproved appliances from service. Subsequent amendments to AD 77-05-05 added to the listing of unapproved appliances. The FAA has recently identified Spencer Aircraft Industries as a supplier of unapproved appliances. It is necessary, therefore, to further amend AD 77-05-05 to include Spencer Aircraft Industries as a supplier and to require the removal of those unapproved appliances sold by or procured through Spencer Aircraft Industries.

As stated previously, the basis for this amendment is that the appliances specified herein have not been found to comply with FAA approved type design data. Airplanes in which they are installed, therefore, cannot be considered to be in a condition for safe operation.

The principal authors of this document are Donald L. Riggin, Engineering and Manufacturing Branch, Northwest Region, and Richard Salwen, Acting Regional Counsel, Northwest Region.

Since a situation exists that requires immediate adoption of this regulation, it is found that notice and public procedure hereon are impracticable as a good cause exists for making this amendment effective in less than 30 days.

ADOPTION OF THE AMENDMENT

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, § 39.13 of the Federal Aviation Administration (14 CFR 39.13) is amended, by further amending amendment 39-2849 (AD 77-05-05) as follows:

1. By revising the applicability statement to read:

ADS Supply Co., Air Spares International, Inc., and Spencer Aircraft Industries. Applies to various unapproved appliances, identified herein by the Boeing part numbers listed in paragraphs A through I above, remove all appliances with Boeing part numbers listed in paragraphs A through I above which have been sold by or procured through Spencer Aircraft Industries. 

This amendment becomes effective May 9, 1978.

(See S. 4, 101, 503, Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, 1423) sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1650c); 14 CFR 11.89.)

Note.—The Federal Aviation Administration has determined that this document does not contain a major proposal requiring preparation of an Economic Impact Statement under Executive Order 11949, and OMB Circular A-107.


C. B. WALK, JR., Director, Northwest Region.

[FR Doc. 78-11409 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Alteration of Terminal Control Area

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment alters the Dallas-Fort Worth, Tex., terminal control area (TCA) to increase the airspace included in two portions of the TCA to the north and south of the Dallas-Fort Worth Regional Airport. This is accomplished by amending the horizontal boundaries of Area C (airspace from 3,000 feet MSL to 8,000 feet MSL) of the TCA to include certain portions of the present Area D (airspace from 4,000 feet MSL to 8,000 feet MSL). This action enhances safety and improves ATC efficiency by resolving a continuing air traffic control problem. Lowering the altitude in portions of the TCA will permit aircraft to remain within the TCA during simultaneous parallel instrument approaches under current procedures to Runways 17 Right and Left or to Runways 35 Right and Left. This action
does not contemplate any changes in the approach procedures to those runways at this time.


FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

HISTORY

On June 13, 1977, the FAA proposed to amend Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 71) to alter the Dallas-Fort Worth TCA (42 FR 30212). Interested persons were invited to participate in this rulemaking procedure by submitting written comments on the proposal to FAA. Six objections and one favorable comment were received in response to the notice. This amendment is the same as that proposed in the notice. Section 71.401 was republished in the FEDERAL REGISTER on January 3, 1978 (43 FR 647).

THE RULE

This amendment to § 71.401 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (FARs) alters the Dallas-Fort Worth, Tex., TCA to permit aircraft to remain within the TCA when simultaneous ILS approaches are being conducted to Runways 17 Right and Left or to Runways 35 Right and Left. The established glide slope intercept fixes for simultaneous approaches at Dallas-Fort Worth Regional Airport are located at 11.6NM on the respective localizer courses with 1,000 feet above the ground as a turn-on altitude for Runway 17 Right and 35 Left and with 4,000 feet for Runway 17 Left and 35 Right. Since aircraft must be turned onto the final approach course before reaching the glide slope intercept point, the current 15 mile boundary of the 3,000-foot floor portion of the TCA makes aircraft containment within the TCA exceedingly difficult under heavy traffic conditions. This reduction in floor of portions of the TCA resolves an air traffic control problem and enhances safety by assuring that aircraft being turned onto the final approach course beyond the glide slope intercept point remain within the TCA when simultaneous approaches are being conducted to the parallel ILS runways.

DISCUSSION OF COMMENTS

Four adverse comments were received from members of a soaring association which operates a gliderport south of the Dallas-Fort Worth Regional Airport on the 15NM TCA boundary and slightly east of the extended centerline of Runway 35 Right. Those objections to the proposal generally were based on the impact upon glider operations at the gliderport. To improve operations, commenters recommended raising rather than lowering the floor of Area D south of the airport proposed for modification. Additionally, commenters questioned the need to modify the existing TCA configuration as proposed.

Other commenters expressed preference for climb and descent corridors and concern with the compression of certain air traffic below the TCA floor. A commenter stated that FAA was violating its own “keep-em-high” program, that present ILS procedures ignore the possibility of a “two stage” glide slope, and the imminent availability of the microwave landing system which permits approaches on a curved flight path. A recommendation was made for an east-west VFR corridor over the center of the airport to transit the TCA at 4,000 feet.

The U.S. Navy initially objected to the proposed changes along a concern centered on procedural handling with arrivals into naval air station Dallas rather than TCA design. Progress has been made and efforts are continuing by both agencies to resolve these procedural matters which do not involve the proposed rule.

The soaring association’s gliderport is located within a critical area of inbound and outbound traffic to Dallas-Fort Worth Airport. The ATC procedures required for conducting simultaneous parallel ILS approaches require the floor altitudes as proposed to contain aircraft within the TCAs protective airspace. The change in TCAs floor altitudes will correct these current procedures including vector patterns and altitudes and thereby reduce the possibility of arrivals having to exit the TCA. The lowering of the floor does not alter ATC procedures currently used or any noise and pollution impacts associated with the procedures.

The FAA recognizes the alteration of the TCA could affect glider operations; however, the FAA believes that the safety and operational objectives of containing high performance turbojet aircraft within the TCA must be weighed against any disadvantages potentially or actually imposed on gliderport operations. Several public meetings were held with interested persons to clarify and discuss problems and impact of the proposal. The FAA has subsequently learned that the gliderport property has been sold and is scheduled for release to the purchaser in July 1979. The purchaser has indicated the gliderport will then be deactivated. Accordingly, any potential long-term conflicting aircraft space needs between the TCA and the gliderport have been eliminated. The FAA concludes that in light of the benefits achieved, any short-term impacts on airspace use by gliderport operations is necessary and reasonable.

Commenters concerning climb/descent corridors and compression of traffic below TCA floors were discussed in detail when the general air traffic rules for operation within TCAs were established in October 1970 (35 FR 7782) effective June 25, 1970. The FAA has considered those issues as they relate to this action and concludes that the previous resolutions of those matters and the related environment remain valid. Thus, a discussion of those matters presented in Docket No. 9880 is not repeated here.

Regarding the remarks concerning the possibility of a two-segment glide slope approach as an acceptable, standard procedure. An extensive and dispositive discussion of that position was published on November 13, 1976. In response to proposals submitted to the FAA by the U.S. Environment Protection Agency (41 FR 52388). Also, the recommended use of the microwave landing system is not viable at this time since that system is a research and development program and it is not available to solve the immediate air traffic control problems addressed by this action.

The recommendation that an east-west VFR corridor be established over the center of the airport was again considered even though that suggestion is not part of the action proposed. The FAA concludes that a full-time corridor at 4,000 feet MSL and below from the TCA because of the congested air traffic conditions prevailing in the immediate vicinity of the Dallas-Fort Worth Regional Airport and adjoining airport traffic areas. However, except during peak traffic conditions, the Air Traffic Control at Dallas-Forth Worth accommodates requests for VFR transiting flights through the TCA to the extent that traffic conditions permit.

DRAFTING INFORMATION

The principal authors of this document are Mr. John Watterson, Air Traffic Service, and Mr. Richard W. Danforth, Office of the Chief Counsel.

ADOPTION OF THE AMENDMENT

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, Subpart K of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 71) as republished (43 FR 647) is amended, effective 0901 G.m.t., July 13, 1979.

In §71.401 the descriptions of Area C and Area D of the Dallas-Fort
SUMMARY: This amendment alters the airspace around the Rock Springs, Wyo. Control zone and 700-foot transition area. The alteration was necessary to provide additional controlled airspace to contain aircraft executing the new VOR/DME runway 10 approach procedure to the Rock Springs-Sweetwater County Airport, Rock Springs, Wyo.


FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Mr. David M. Laschinger, Operations, Procedures and Airspace Branch, Air Traffic Division, ARM-500, Federal Aviation Administration, Rocky Mountain Region, 10455 East 25th Avenue, Aurora, Colo. 80010, telephone 303-837-3937.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

History
On March 20, 1978, the FAA published for comment a proposal to alter the Rock Springs, Wyo. control zone and 700-foot transition area at Rock Springs, Wyo. The amended control zone and 700-foot transition area will provide adequate controlled airspace to contain the new VOR/DME runway 7 instrument approach procedure to the Rock Springs-Sweetwater County Airport, Rock Springs, Wyo.

DRAFTING INFORMATION

The principal authors of this document are Mr. David M. Laschinger, Operations, Procedures and Airspace Branch, Air Traffic Division, and Mr. Daniel J. Peterson, Office of Regional Counsel.

ADOPTION OF THE AMENDMENT

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 71) redefines the control zone and 700-foot transition area at Rock Springs, Wyo. The amended control zone and 700-foot transition area will provide adequate controlled airspace to contain the new VOR/DME runway 7 instrument approach procedure to the Rock Springs-Sweetwater County Airport, Rock Springs, Wyo.

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE AND REPORTING POINTS

Alteration of Control Zone and Transition Area
AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment alters the Rock Springs, Wyo. Control zone and 700-foot transition area. The alteration was necessary to provide additional controlled airspace to contain aircraft executing the new VOR/DME runway 7 approach procedure to the Rock Springs-Sweetwater County Airport, Rock Springs, Wyo.


FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Mr. David M. Laschinger, Operations, Procedures and Airspace Branch, Air Traffic Division, ARM-500, Federal Aviation Administration, Rocky Mountain Region, 10455 East 25th Avenue, Aurora, Colo. 80010, telephone 303-837-3937.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

History
On March 20, 1978, the FAA published for comment a proposal to alter the Rock Springs, Wyo. control zone and 700-foot transition area at Rock Springs, Wyo. The amended control zone and 700-foot transition area will provide adequate controlled airspace to contain the new VOR/DME runway 7 instrument approach procedure to the Rock Springs-Sweetwater County Airport, Rock Springs, Wyo.

DRAFTING INFORMATION

The principal authors of this document are Mr. David M. Laschinger, Operations, Procedures and Airspace Branch, Air Traffic Division, and Mr. Daniel J. Peterson, Office of Regional Counsel.

ADOPTION OF THE AMENDMENT

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 71) is amended effective July 13, 1978 as follows.

By amending Subpart F, §71.171 so as to alter the following control zone to read:

ROCK SPRINGS, WYO.

Within a 5.5 mile radius of the Rock Springs-Sweetwater County Airport (latitude 41°35′45″ N., longitude 109°04′00″ W.); within 3 miles each side of the Rock Springs VORTAC 102 radial, extending from the 5.5-mile radius zone to 11.5 miles east of the VORTAC; and within 8 miles each side of the Rock Springs VORTAC 277 radial, extending from the 5.5-mile radius zone to 18 miles west of the VORTAC.

By amending Subpart G, §71.181 so as to alter the following transition area to read:

ROCK SPRINGS, WYO.

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within 11.5-mile radius of the Rock Springs-Sweetwater County Airport (latitude 41°35′45″ N., longitude 109°04′00″ W.) Within 12.5 miles north and 4.5 miles south of the 900′ bearing and 12.5 miles north and 7.5 miles south of the 270° bearing from the Thayer LOM (latitude 41°35′49″ N., longitude 108°58′09″ W.) extending from the 11.5-mile radius area to 18.5 miles east of the Thayer LOM and from the 11.5-mile radius area to 32 miles west of the Thayer LOM; and within 1 mile north and 6 miles south of the Rock Springs VORTAC 102 composite area to 15 miles west of the Thayer LOM and from the 11.5-mile radius area to 18.5 miles west of the VORTAC; and that airspace extending * * *

(See 307(a) Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1346(a)); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c); 14 CFR 11.69.))

Note.—The Federal Aviation Administration has determined that this document does not contain a major proposal requiring preparation of an Economic Impact Statement under Executive Order 11921, as amended by Executive Order 11949, and OMB Circular A-107.

Issued in Aurora, Colo. 80010, on April 14, 1978.

M. M. MARTIN, Director, Rocky Mountain Region.

[FR Doc. 78-11450 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]

[4910-13]

[DOCKET No. 78-SO-27]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Alteration of Oxford, Miss., Transition Area
AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This rule alters the Oxford, Miss., transition area. The existing transition area will be extended 3 miles east. This is necessary due to the establishment of a public use instrument approach procedure, RNAV Runway 27, to serve the University-Oxford Airport and additional controlled airspace is required to protect aircraft conducting Instrument Flight Rule (IFR) operations.


ADDRESS: Send comments on the proposal to: Federal Aviation Adminis-
PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

PART 75—ESTABLISHMENT OF JET ROUTES AND AREA HIGH ROUTES

Supplementary Information: A RNAV Runway 27 instrument approach procedure has been established to serve the University-Oxford Airport and is available for use as soon as an extension to the 700-foot transition area is established for the protection of aircraft conducting instrument operations at the airport. Therefore, since this alteration is minor in nature, notice and public procedure hereon are not considered necessary.

Drafting Information
The principal authors of this document are William F. Herring, Airspace and Procedures Branch, Air Traffic Division, and Keith S. May, Office of Regional Counsel.

Auction of Amendment
Accordingly, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 71) is amended, effective 0901 G.m.t., June 30, 1978, by altering the Oxford, Miss., transition area as hereinafter set forth:

In Subpart G, § 71.181 (43 FR 440), by adding the following:
?? within 3 miles each side of the 094° bearing from Runway 27, extending from the 5-mile radius area to 8.5 miles east of the airport ** * * *.

(See 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended (49 U.S.C. 1348(a)) sec. 6(c) Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c))).

Note.—The Federal Aviation Administration has determined that this document does not contain a major proposal requiring preparation of an Economic Impact Statement under Executive Order 11949, and OMB Circular A-107.

Issued in East Point, Ga., on April 19, 1978.

George R. LaCaille, Acting Director, Southern Region.

(FR Doc. 78-11458 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am)

Adoption of the Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, FR Doc. 78-9706, beginning on page 15415 in the Federal Register of April 13, 1978, under §75.100 appearing on page 15416, in the first column, the second sentence of the amendatory language of §75.100 is corrected to read as follows: “In Jet Route No. 63, ‘the TUNNA INT (INT of Kennedy, N.Y., 145° radial, 128NM from Kennedy) via Kennedy;’ is deleted and ‘Kennedy, N.Y., via’ is substituted therefor.”

(See 307(a), 1110, Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348(a), 1354(a) 1510; Executive Order 10854 (24 FR 9565); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)); 14 CFR 11.69.).

Note.—The FAA has determined that this document does not contain a major proposal requiring preparation of an Economic Impact Statement under Executive Order 11949, as amended by Executive Order 11949, and OMB Circular A-107.

Issued in Washington, D.C., on April 19, 1978.

William E. Broadwater, Chief, Airspace and Air Traffic Rules Division.

(FR Doc. 78-11411 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am)

Title 33—Navigation and Navigable Waters

CHAPTER I—COAST GUARD, DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

[CGD 77-117A]

PART 173—NUMBERING OF VESSELS

Leased or Chartered Vessels

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment revises the vessel numbering regulations dealing with leased or chartered vessels. Congress amended the section of the Federal Boat Safety Act which permits the owner of a leased or chartered vessel to retain the certificate of number when the rental period is less than 24 hours. This period was increased to 7 days. The regulations are being amended to conform to this change in the statute.

EFFECTIVE DATE: This amendment is effective when published.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Captain George K. Greiner, Marine Safety Council (G-CMC/81), Room 8117, Department of Transportation, Nassif Building, 400 Seventh Street SW, Washington, D.C. 20590, 202-426-1477.

Drafting Information
The principal authors of this document are Mr. Richard Huff, Air Traffic Service, and Mr. Richard W. Danforth, Office of the Chief Counsel.
SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Since this amendment changes the regulation to conform to a statutory change, notice and public comment are unnecessary and the rule may be made effective in less than 30 days. (§ U.S.C. 563)

DRAFTING INFORMATION


DISCUSSION OF AMENDMENT

Section 20 of the Federal Boat Safety Act of 1971 (46 U.S.C. 1469) permitted the owner of a vessel less than 26 feet in length, leased or rented for non-commercial use, to retain the certificate of number when the leased or rental period was less than 24 hours. 33 CFR 173.21(b) restates this provision in the numbering regulations. Pub. L. 94–531 amended Section 20 to increase the maximum lease or rental period to seven days. The re-statement of this rule in 33 CFR 173.21(b) must therefore be amended to conform with the change in the statute. In preparing the above mentioned change it was noted that the definition of “Act” at § 173.3(a) did not include later amendments. This oversight is also being corrected. In consideration of the foregoing 33 CFR Part 173 is amended as follows:

(1) 33 CFR 173.3(a) is amended to read as follows:

§ 173.3 Definitions.


(2) 33 CFR 173.21(b) is amended to read as follows:

§ 173.21 Certificate of number required.

(a) * * *

(b) Section 20(a) of the Act states in part: The certificate of numbers for vessels less than 26 feet in length and leased or rented to another for the latter’s noncommercial use of less than seven days may be retained on shore by the vessel owner or his representative at the place from which the vessel departs or returns to the possession of the owner or his representative.

(2) The Coast Guard has determined that this document does not contain a major proposal requiring preparation of an Inflation Impact Statement under Executive Order 11821 and OMB Circular A-102.

O. W. SILER, Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard Commandant, April 19, 1978.

[FR Doc. 78–11493 Filed 4–26–78; 8:45 am]

[3640–01]

Title 35—Panama Canal

CHAPTER I—CANAL ZONE REGULATIONS

SUBCHAPTER B—EMPLOYMENT AND COMPENSATION IN THE CANAL ZONE

PART 253—REGULATIONS OF THE SECRETARY OF THE ARMY

Subpart A—General Provisions

EXCLUSIONS

AGENCY: Canal Zone Civilian Personnel Policy Coordinating Board.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This document excludes intelligence positions, and scientific and technical positions involved in intelligence functions within the Department of Defense in the Canal Zone, from the Canal Zone Merit System. This exclusion corresponds to a Civil Service Commission Schedule A exception that is applicable to the Department of Defense elsewhere.


FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:


Accordingly, 35 CFR 253.8 (c)(3), (c)(4) are amended to read as follows:

§ 253.8 Exclusions.

(c) * * *

(3) Intelligence related positions in the Departments of Defense and Army that are excepted from the competitive service by 5 CFR 213.3106(d)(1), 213.3106(d)(2), and 3107(a)(5).

(4) [Reserved.]

Effective date: This amendment is effective April 14, 1978.


CLIFFORD L. ALEXANDER, Secretary of the Army.

[FR Doc. 78–11376 Filed 4–26–78; 8:45 am]
§ 4.1 Scope of authority; applicable regulations.

(4) Board of Surface Mining and Reclamation Appeals. The Board performs finally for the Department the appellate and other review functions of the Secretary under the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977, 30 U.S.C. 1201 et seq.

§§ 4.500—4.666 (Subpart F) [Revoked].

2. Subpart F is revoked.


JAMES O. JOSEPH,
Acting Secretary of the Interior.

[FR Doc. 78-11396 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]

[4310–84]

CHAPTER II—BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT, DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

ALASKA

Waiver of Regulations

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management (Interior).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: A general review of the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act (ANCSA) by the Department of the Interior has concluded that certain publication requirements were inefficient to effective administration of the ANCSA. This waiver of the regulations eliminates the requirements to publish initial selection applications filed under sections 14(h) (1), (2), and (8) of the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act.


FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Beau McClure, 202–343–3078, or
Bob Sorenson, Bureau of Land Management, 555 Cordova Street, Pouch 7–512, Anchorage, Alaska 99510.

A general review by the Department of the Interior of implementation of the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act was completed March 3, 1978. A decision reached in that review was that the regulations should be amended to eliminate the publication requirements on certain initial selection applications filed under section 14(h) of the Settlement Act, retaining publication of only the decision to issue conveyance. Pending publication of the regulations and in furtherance of the Department's efforts to improve the administration of the Settlement Act, a waiver of the regulations is appropriate.

RULES AND REGULATIONS

It is hereby ordered, As authorized by the terms of 43 CFR 2650.0–8, that the requirements of 43 CFR 2653.5(h) and (i), 2653.6(a)(3), and 2653.6(d) to publish initial selection applications filed under sections 14(h) (1), (2), and (8) of the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act are waived.


JAMES A. JOSEPH,
Acting Secretary of the Interior.

[FR Doc. 78–11396 Filed 4–26–78, 8:45 am]

[4910–60]

Title 49—Transportation


HAZARDOUS MATERIALS PACKAGING AND SHIPPING

Conversion of Individual Exemptions to Regulations of General Applicability

AGENCY: Materials Transportation Bureau, DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This action is being taken to incorporate into the Department's hazardous materials regulations a number of changes based on the data and analysis supplied in selected exemption applications, or from existing special permits and exemptions. The need for this action has been created by the public demand to make available new packaging and shipping alternatives that have proven themselves safe under the Department's special permit and exemption programs. The intended effect to these amendments is to provide wider access to the benefits of transportation innovations recognized and shown to be effective and safe.


FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On January 5, 1978, the Materials Transportation Bureau (MTB) published a notice of proposed rulemaking, docket HM–139; notice 77–9 (43 FR 983) which proposed these amendments. The background and the basis for incorporating these exemptions into the regulations were discussed in that notice. Interested persons were invited to give their views prior to the closing date of February 6, 1978. Primary drafters of this document are Darrell L. Raines and John C. Allen of the Office of hazardous Materials Operations, Exemptions Branch, and Evan C. Braude, of the Office of the Chief Counsel, Research and Special Programmes Directorate.

Numerous comments were received concerning the proposed amendments in notice 77-9. These comments and changes based on the comments are discussed under the applicable subject heading below.

1. Empty tank car certifications. Notice 77–9 proposed to amend § 173.29(f)(2) to authorize the return of empty tank cars, which have not been purged or cleaned and which previously contained a hazardous material, without the shippers certification required by § 172.204(a). Comments received on this proposal strongly supported the amendment but suggested that it would be better located in the shippers certification requirements contained in § 172.204. The Bureau agrees with these comments and the amendment has been placed as new § 172.204(b)(2) rather than in the empty packaging requirements in § 173.29.

2. Recovery drums for defective or leaking packages. This proposal to amend parts 174 and 177 to allow rail and highway carriers to transport recovery drums containing defective or leaking packages were well received by the public. Nevertheless, a number of changes to these proposals were suggested.

The foremost suggestion was to add a new paragraph in § 173.3 to allow shippers of hazardous materials an opportunity to take advantage of the recovery drums when damaged or leaking packages are found in storage and warehousing operations. Since the intent of this proposed rule change is to authorize the safe disposition of damaged or leaking containers, whether held in shippers or in transit by carriers, the Bureau agrees with the need to include provisions in part 173 for shippers. Consequently, a new § 173.3(c) has been added to the hazardous materials regulations to allow shippers to offer recovery drums containing damaged or leaking packages for transportation, but only for the purpose of eventual disposal or re-packing of the damaged or leaking packages.

Another suggested change to the recovery drum proposal that MTB has adopted is to allow a maximum 110-gallon drum rather than restrict the size to a 65-gallon drum. A number of commenters pointed out that it is often safer to use a larger size drum for effectively containing damaged or leaking packages, particularly those of 55 gallons capacity. Also, some of the specifications drums authorized by the hazardous materials regulations can have a maximum capacity up to 110 gallons.

Finally, the mandatory requirement in the proposed amendment to provide
cushioning and absorbent material has been deleted. Instead, the use of cushioning and absorbent material is left to the discretion of the person preparing the shipment of the damaged package in the recovery drum. It has been pointed out repeatedly by commenters that the provision that such materials be used in all situations could result in a danger to the personal safety of those who perform the loading and unloading of the recovery drum which is stuffed with cushioning and absorbent material saturated with the hazardous material inside. Since the recovery drum must be closed adequately to prevent leakage during transportation, MTB sees no reason why the cushioning and absorbent material should be mandatorily required in all cases.

To summarize, these amendments contain provisions in §173.3(c), §174.46, and §177.834(c) authorizing the use of recovery drums up to 11 gallons capacity in making portable leakproof packages without mandatory requirements to provide cushioning and absorbent material.

3. Packagings for nitro carbo nitrate. Notice 77-9 contained two proposed amendments to §173.182(c) to authorize new packagings for nitro carbo nitrate. One proposal was to authorize nitro carbo nitrate in all plastic bags or plastic lined bags with a maximum net weight of 100 pounds subject to some additional requirements. A change has been made in the wording of §173.182(c)(5) by deleting the reference to §178.241-3 for bag closures because §178.241-4 makes reference to fitting and absorbing material should be provided. In addition, §173.241-3 is applicable to the plastic lined bags.

The second proposal is to add §173.182(c)(6) to authorize nitro carbo nitrate in bulk hopper-type tanks equipped with local, up-to-date, unloading devices. After further consideration of the comments received on this proposal and based upon further review of DOT exemptions 4453 and 5206, the Bureau has decided to withdraw this proposed amendment from consideration under HM-139 at this time.

4. “Nurse tanks” used for anhydrous ammonia. Eight commenters recommended various changes to the proposed amendment of §173.315(m) which was based on DOT-E 7900-N. The proposal was to authorize private carriage of anhydrous ammonia, for agricultural purposes, under certain specified conditions in non-DOT specification “nurse tanks” which have a minimum design pressure of 200 psig.

One commenter recommended that the cargo tank be further identified by including the words “commonly known as implements of husbandry.” The Bureau concurs and has gone one step further by inserting the word “nurse” so as to avoid any confusion as to the type of tanks in question and their intended use. Also, as recommended the wording is so selected on a “farm wagon” that have been added as paragraph 6 of the final amendment.

Two commenters recommended that “portable tank” be added after the word “cylinders” does not concur with this recommendation because the “nurse tanks” in question meet the definition of a cargo tank. It was not the intent of the notice of proposed rulemaking nor is it our intention at this time to include portable tanks which do not fully comply with DOT specification 51 in the exception.

One commenter recommended that the proposed wording in paragraph 5 be changed to refer to the table in §173.315(a) instead of the specific maximum filling density of 56 percent by weight. Although note 5 of §173.315(a) authorizes certain tanks filled to 87.5 percent by volume under certain temperature conditions; the maximum percent by weight is still 56 percent. In view of the above, no change has been made regarding filling density.

Several commenters objected to the proposed wording of paragraphs 6 and 7 pertaining to maximum speed and hours of operation.

In view of the comments received, and upon further consideration, the Bureau agrees that the exercise of requirements relative to maximum speeds and hours of operation should be the responsibility of States and local jurisdictions that have different requirements pertaining to the operation of implements of husbandry. Therefore, the proposed requirements pertaining to maximum speed and hours of operation have not been adopted.

5. Increase in capacity for specification 4D cylinders. An increase in the maximum authorized capacity for the 4D cylinder from 1,100 cubic inches to 10 pounds capacity (2,575 cubic inches) was proposed based on successful shipping experience under DOT exemption 4239. The few comments received on this proposal supported such an amendment. The Bureau believes that some additional changes to §178.53 should be made with respect to independent test requirements and wall thickness for the larger 4D cylinders.

Section 178.53-3 requires an independent inspection agency to inspect 4D cylinders except those manufactured in the United States which may be inspected by a competent inspector of the cylinder manufacturer. A provision has been added to §178.53-3 to include an independent inspection of 4D cylinders manufactured in the United States when the capacity is greater than the previously authorized 1,100 cubic inches.

Section 178.53-9(a) requires a minimum wall thickness of 0.040 inch for 4D cylinders. A new sentence has been added to this section to require a minimum wall thickness of 0.095 inch for 4D cylinders having a capacity greater than the previously authorized 1,100 cubic inches. This minimum wall requirement is consistent with the provisions of DOT-E 4239.

6. Miscellaneous changes and comments. Several other changes have been made to certain proposed amendments based on public comments or on the Bureau's own initiative. The proposed rulemaking nor is it our intention at this time to include certain insulated bulk containers for flammable solids in §§173.154(a)(16) and (17) have been amended to delete authorization for shipment by cargo vessel. This change is based on recommendations of the U.S. Coast Guard and on the fact that cargo vessel was not previously authorized under DOT-E 7480 (the exemption upon which the rule change is based).

The proposal to add DOT specification 21C fiber drum as an authorized container for picric acid, wet, with not less than 10 percent water, in §173.193 has been changed. Additional provisions to include the package will be vapor tight to prevent loss of moisture have been added. These provisions were part of the exemption (DOT-E 6427) previously authorizing the 21 C fiber drum for picric acid.

Notice 77-9 proposed a change to §172.210(a) to authorize plastic “packagings” not over 10 pounds capacity rather than plastic “bottles” as an inside container to qualify for certain exceptions from the hazardous materials regulations for dry calcium hypochlorite mixtures and other specified oxidizers. The Bureau believes the word “packaging” is not specific enough since the exemption behind this rule change authorized only plastic ‘‘drums.’’ Consequently, the plastic packaging contained in notice 77-9 has been changed in §173.217(b) to “plastic bottles or drums.”

In consideration of the foregoing, 49 CFR Parts 172, 173, 174, 177, and 178 are amended as follows:

PART 172—HAZARDOUS MATERIALS

Table and Hazard Materials Communication Regulations

1. In §172.204 paragraph (b) is revised to read as follows:

§172.204 Shipper's certification.

(b) Exceptions. (1) No certification is required for hazardous materials offered for transportation by highway that is transported—

(i) In a cargo tank supplied by the carrier, or

(ii) By the shipper as a private carrier except for a hazardous material

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 43, NO. 82—THURSDAY, APRIL 27, 1978
that is to be reshipped or transferred from one carrier to another.

(2) No certification is required for the receipt of an empty tank car which previously contained a hazardous material and which has not been cleaned or purged.

PART 173—SHIPPIERS—GENERAL REQUIREMENTS FOR SHIPPMENTS AND PACKAGINGS

2. In §173.3 paragraph (c) is added to read as follows:

§173.3 Packaging and exceptions.

(a) * * *

(c) Packages, other than freight containers, overpacks, portable tanks, cargo tanks and tank cars, that are damaged or leaking and which contain corrosive liquids, corrosive solids, flammable liquids, flammable solids, oxidizers, poison B liquids, poison B solids, or irritating agents may be placed inside a DOT specification drum that is compatible with the lading, provided with adequate closures and, when necessary and appropriate, provided with sufficient cushioning and absorption material to prevent excessive movement of the inner containers and to absorb leaking liquid. Alternatively, a non-DOT specification drum, not exceeding 110-gallon capacity, having equal or greater structural integrity than that prescribed in this subchapter for the respective material, may be used as a recovery drum. Either drum is authorized only for the purpose of shipping damaged, or defective packages to a facility for disposal or repackaging.

3. In §173.148 paragraph (a)(5) is revised and paragraph (a)(6) is added to read as follows:

§173.148 Monoethylamine.

(a) * * *

(5) Tank motor vehicles as prescribed in §173.119(f)(5).

(6) Specification 51 (§178.245 of this subchapter). Portable tanks. Tanks must have no bottom opening, except one 3-inch maximum plugged opening for maintenance purposes is authorized.

4. In §173.154 paragraph (a)(14) is revised and paragraphs (a)(17) and (a)(18) are added to read as follows:

§173.154 Flammable solids, organic peroxide solids, and oxidizers not specifically provided for.

(a) * * *

(14) Specification 12B (§178.205 of this subchapter). Fiberboard boxes with inside polyethylene bottles not over 1-gallon capacity each or polyethylene jars not over 9-pints capacity each. Each jar shall contain not more than 10 pounds net weight of product. Not more than four bottles or jars may be packed in one outside container. Authorized only for materials which will not cause decomposition of polyethylene or container failure.

(17) Specification 103ALW or 111A60ALW (§179.200, 179.201 of this subchapter). Insulated tank cars designed for operation at temperatures up to 190°F. Authorized only for ammonium nitrate with 15 percent or more water in solution at a maximum temperature of 190°F. Transportation by water is not authorized.

(18) Specification MC 307 or MC 311 (§178.342 of this subchapter). Insulated tank motor vehicles designed for operation at temperatures up to 250°F. Authorized only for ammonium nitrate with 15 percent or more water in solution at a maximum temperature of 250°F. Transportation by water is not authorized.

5. In §173.182 paragraph (c)(5) is added to read as follows:

§173.182 Nitrates.

(c) * * *

(5) In all plastic bags or in plastic lined bags having a maximum authorized net weight of 100 pounds. All bags must be capable of withstanding the test requirements of §178.241-4 of this subchapter. Bags other than cross-plied proof seal. The net weight of the test material shall not exceed 25 pounds.

6. In §173.193 paragraph (a)(2) is added to read as follows:

§173.193 Picric acid, trinitrobenzoic acid, or urea nitrate, wet.

(a) * * *

(2) Specification 21C (§178.224 of this subchapter). Fiber drums of not over 16 gallons capacity with one inside 5-mil polyethylene bag. Drum must be made vapor tight through the installation of a 7-mil polyethylene interior lining, plus 1/4 mil of polyethylene buried in the inside ply of the drum. The full open head of the container must be made vapor tight by the use of a 24-gauge metal lid with a 10-mil preformed sealing disc glued to the rubber gasket cover and locked with a lever type locking ring and a
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§ 173.268 Nitric acid.

(b) * * *

(6) Specification 3AA (§178.150 of this subchapter). Polystyrene case (nonreusable container) with inside glass bottles not over 5 pint capacity each. Not more than four 5-pint bottles may be packed in one outside packaging.

(f) * * *

(6) [Deleted]

11. In §173.302 paragraph (a)(3) is revised to read as follows:

§173.302 Charging of cylinders with nonliquefied compressed gases.

(a) * * *

(3) Specification 3AX, 3AAX, or 3T (§§178.36, 178.67, 178.45 of this subchapter) cylinders are authorized only for the following nonliquefied gases: Air, argon, boron trifluoride, carbon monoxide, ethane, ethylene, helium, hydrogen, methane, neon, nitrogen, or oxygen, except that specification 3T is not authorized for hydrogen.

12. In §173.315 paragraph (m) is added to read as follows:

§173.315 Compressed gases in cargo tanks and portable tank containers.

(m) A cargo tank (commonly known as a nurse tank and considered an implement of husbandry) transporting anhydrous ammonia, and operated by a private carrier exclusively for agricultural purposes does not have to meet the specification requirements of Part 176 of this subchapter if it:

(1) Has a minimum design pressure of 250 psig and meets the requirements of the edition of the ASME code in effect at the time it was manufactured and is marked accordingly;

(2) Is equipped with safety relief valves meeting the requirements of CGA pamphlet S1.2;

(3) Is painted white or aluminum;

(4) Has capacity of 5,000 gallons or less;

(5) Is loaded to a filling density no greater than 56 percent; and

(6) Is securely mounded on a farm wagon.

13. In §173.369 the introductory text of paragraph (a)(14) is revised to read as follows:

§173.369 Carbolic acid (phenol), not liquid.

(a) * * *

(b) During transit, damaged or leaking packages which contain corrosive liquids, corrosive solids, flammable liquids, flammable solids, oxidizing materials, poison B liquids, poison B solids, or irritating agents may be placed inside a DOT specification drum that is compatible with the lading, provided with adequate closures and, when necessary and appropriate, provided with sufficient cushioning and absorption material to prevent movement of the inner containers and to absorb leaking liquid. Alternatively, a non-DOT specification drum, not exceeding 110-gallon capacity having equal or greater structural integrity than that prescribed in this subchapter for the respective material, may be used as a recovery drum. Either drum may be forwarded to destination or returned to the shipper for disposal or repackaging.

PART 177—CARRIAGE BY PUBLIC HIGHWAY

17. In §177.854 paragraph (c) and the introductory text of paragraph (d) are revised to read as follows:

§177.854 Disabled vehicles and broken or leaking packages; repairs.

(c) Repairing or overpacking packages. (1) Packages may be repaired when safe and practicable, such repairing to be in accordance with the best and safest practice known and available.

(2) During transit, damaged or leaking packages which contain corrosive liquids, corrosive solids, flammable liquids, flammable solids, oxidizing materials, poison B liquids, poison B solids, or irritating agents may be placed inside a DOT specification drum that is compatible with the lading, provided with adequate closures and, when necessary and appropriate, provided with sufficient cushioning and absorption material to prevent excessive movement of the inner containers and to absorb leaking liquid. Alternatively, a non-DOT specification drum, not exceeding 110-gallon capacity, having equal or greater structural integrity than that prescribed in this subchapter for the respective material, may be used as a recovery drum. Either drum may be forwarded to destination or returned to the shipper for disposal or repackaging.

(d) Transportation of repaired packages. Any package repaired in accordance with the requirements of paragraphs (c) and (d) of this section, except as provided in §§177.856(c), 177.856(c), and 177.856(b), may be transported to the nearest place at which it may
PART 176—SHIPPING CONTAINER SPECIFICATIONS

18. In §178.53, §§178.53-2(a), 178.53-3, and 178.53-9(a) are revised to read as follows:

§178.53 Specification 4D; inside containers, welded steel for aircraft use.

§178.53-2 Type, size, and service pressure.

(a) Type and size. Welded steel spheres (two seamless hemispheres) or circumferentially welded cylinders (two seamless drawn shells) not over 100 pounds water capacity. Cylinders closed in by spinning process not authorized.

§178.53-3 Inspection by whom and where.

Inspections and verifications must be performed by an independent inspection agency approved by the Director, Office of Hazardous Materials Operations (OHMO), in accordance with §173.300a of this subchapter or, in the case of cylinders manufactured in the United States and having a water capacity not exceeding 1,100 cubic inches, inspections may be performed by a competent inspector of the manufacturer. Chemical analyses and tests must be made within the United States unless otherwise approved in writing by the Director, Office of Hazardous Materials Operations. Inspections of cylinders in accordance with §173.300b of this subchapter.

§178.53-9 Wall thickness.

(a) The wall stress at minimum test pressure shall not exceed 24,000 pounds per square inch, except where steels commercially known as 4130X, type 304, 316, 321, and 347 stainless steels are used, stress at test pressures shall not exceed 37,000 pounds per square inch. Minimum wall for any container having a capacity of 1,100 cubic inches or less is 0.40 inch. Minimum wall for any container having a capacity in excess of 1,100 cubic inches is 0.095 inch.

(Rule and Regulations Issued in Washington, D.C., on April 21, 1978.

L. D. Santman, Acting Director, Materials Transportation Bureau.

[FR Doc. 78-11462 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]

[4910-60]

CHAPTER I—MATERIALS TRANSPORTATION BOARD, DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

(Docket No. HM-139 Amdd. Nos. 173-114, 179-22]

PART 173—SHIPPERS—GENERAL REQUIREMENTS FOR SHIPMENTS AND PACKAGINGS

PART 179—SPECIFICATIONS FOR TANK CARS

Conversion of Individual Exemptions to Regulations of General Applicability; Correction

AGENCY: Materials Transportation Bureau, Department of Transportation.

ACTION: Final rule; correction.

SUMMARY: This document corrects a rule published in the Federal Register of March 2, 1978, by removing the requirement that a camper's vehicle identification number (VIN) be printed in its owner's manual. Such a modification will reduce the cost of compliance with the standard, without adversely affecting the level of safety prescribed. This action is being taken in response to a request by the Recreation Vehicle Industry Association.


FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On November 25, 1973, the NHTSA issued a notice proposing to amend Standard No. 126, Truck-Camper Loading, to remove the requirement that the vehicle identification number (VIN) of each camper be printed in its owner's manual (38 FR 32945). The amendment, requested by the Recreation Vehicle Industry Association, was proposed to reduce the burdens and costs associated with compliance with the requirement.

Comments were received from Ford, the Recreation Vehicle Industry Association, and the Recreational Vehicle Division of the Trailer Coach Association. The Vehicle Equipment Safety Commission did not submit comments. The three comments received supported the suggested modification. Some commenters asserted that the requirement added little to vehicle safety while resulting in increased costs and the increased possibility of errors associated with inserting the incorrect VIN in an owner's manual. The NHTSA concurs with the commenters and concludes that the intent of the requirement can be achieved by permitting a manufacturer to state in the owner's manual that the VIN can be found by referring to the camper's cer-
tification label. Accordingly, Standard No. 126 is amended to make optional the provision of the VIN in a camper's owner's manual. If the VIN is not placed in the owner's manual, a refer­ence must be made in the manual to the location of the VIN on the certifi­cation label.

In consideration of the foregoing, the second sentence of paragraph § 5.1.2 of Standard No. 126, 49 CFR Part 571.126 is amended to read as fol­lows:

§ 5.1.2 Owner's Manual.
(a) ** * In­stead of the information re­quired by subparagraphs (b), (c), and (e) of paragraph § 5.1.1, a manufacturer may use the statements, “See camper certification label (located on camper's rear exterior sur­face) for month and year of manufacture and for the Vehicle Identification Number” and “This camper conforms to all applicable Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standards in effect on the date of manufacture”.

Since this amendment relieves a re­striction and imposes no additional burden on any person, it is found for good cause shown that an immediate effective date is in the public interest.

The principal authors of this notice are Kevin Cavey of the Office of Vehi­cle Safety Standards and Roger Tilton of the Office of Chief Counsel.

(Secs. 103, 119, Pub. L. 89-563, 80 Stat. 718 (15 U.S.C. 1392, 1407); delegation of author­ity at 49 CFR 1.50.)

Issued on April 21, 1978,

JOAN CLAYBROOK,
Administrator.

[FR Doc. 78-11568 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]
This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices to the public of the proposed issuance of rules and regulations. The purpose of these notices is to give interested persons an opportunity to participate in the rule making prior to the adoption of the final rules.

[3410-02]  DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

AGENCY: Agricultural Marketing Service

IMPORTS OF AVOCADOS

Proposed Rulemaking with Respect To Issuance of Regulations

DATE: Comments must be received on or before May 15, 1978.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be addressed to the Hearing Clerk, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Room 1077, South Building, Washington, D.C. 20250. Two copies of all written comments shall be submitted, and they will be made available for public inspection at the Office of the Hearing Clerk during business hours (7 CFR 1.27(b)).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This import regulation would be effective pursuant to section 8 of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674).

As proposed, the domestic regulation under the marketing order for Florida avocados would become effective May 29, 1978. To prevent the import of immature avocados, the import regulation would be made effective on the same date. Hence, the time available does not permit preliminary notice beyond that herein provided. Such proposal reads as follows:

§ 944.18 Avocado Regulation 26.

(a) On and after the effective time of this section, the importation into the United States of any avocados is prohibited unless such avocados are inspected and meet the following requirements:

(1) All avocados imported during the period May 29, 1978, through April 30, 1978, shall grade not less than U.S. No. 3.

(2) Avocados of the Pollock variety shall not be imported: (i) Prior to July 3, 1978; (ii) from July 3, 1978, through July 16, 1978, unless the individual fruit in each lot of such avocados weighs at least 18 ounces or measures at least 3 3/16 inches in diameter; (iii) from July 17, 1978, through July 30, 1978, unless the individual fruit in each lot of such avocados weighs at least 3 3/4 inches in diameter; and (iv) from July 31, 1978, through August 13, 1978, unless the individual fruit in each lot of such avocados weighs at least 14 ounces or measures at least 3 3/4 inches in diameter.

(3) Avocados of the Catalina variety shall not be imported: (i) Prior to August 28, 1978; (ii) from August 28, 1978, through September 10, 1978, unless the individual fruit in each lot of such avocados weighs at least 24 ounces; and (iii) from September 11, 1978, through October 1, 1978, unless the individual fruit in each lot of such avocados weighs at least 22 ounces.

(4) Avocados of the Trapp variety shall not be imported: (i) Prior to July 31, 1978; (ii) from July 31, 1978, through August 13, 1978, unless the individual fruit in each lot of such avocados weighs at least 14 ounces or measures at least 3 3/4 inches in diameter; and (iii) from August 14, 1978, through August 27, 1978, unless the individual fruit in each lot of such avocados weighs at least 12 ounces or measures at least 3 3/4 inches in diameter.

(5) Avocados of any variety other than Pollock, Catalina, and Trapp varieties, of the West Indian varieties not listed elsewhere in this regulation, shall not be imported: (i) Prior to July 3, 1978; (ii) from July 3, 1978, through July 30, 1978, unless the individual fruit in each lot of such avocados weighs at least 18 ounces; (iii) from July 31, 1978, through September 3, 1978, unless the individual fruit in each lot of such avocados weighs at least 16 ounces; (iv) from September 4, 1978, through October 1, 1978, unless the individual fruit in each lot of such avocados weighs at least 14 ounces; (v) from October 2, 1978, through November 15, 1978, unless the individual fruit in each lot of such avocados weighs at least 12 ounces; and (vi) from November 16, 1978, through December 15, 1978, unless the individual fruit in each lot of such avocados weighs at least 8 ounces.

(6) Avocados of any variety of the Guatemalan type, including hybrid and hybrid varieties, of the West Indian varieties not listed elsewhere in the regulation shall not be imported: (i) Prior to September 18, 1978; (ii) from September 18, 1978, through October 15, 1978, unless the individual fruit in each lot of such avocados weighs at least 14 ounces; and (iii) from October 16, 1978, through December 17, 1978, unless the individual fruit in each lot of such avocados weighs at least 10 ounces.

(7) Notwithstanding the provisions of subparagraphs (2) through (6) of this paragraph regarding the minimum weight or diameter for individual fruit, not to exceed 10 percent, by count, of the avocados contained in each lot may weigh less than the minimum specified and be less than the specified diameter. Provided, That such avocados weigh not over 2 ounces less than the applicable specified weight for the particular variety specified in such subparagraphs. Such tolerances shall be on a lot basis, but not to exceed double such tolerances shall be permitted for an individual container in a lot.

(b) The Federal or Federal-State Inspection Service, Fruit and Vegetable Quality Division, Food Safety and Quality Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, is hereby designated as the governmental inspection service for the purpose of certifying the grade, size, quality, and maturity of avocados that are imported into the United States. Inspection by the Federal or Federal-State Inspection Service with appropriate evidence thereof in the form of an official inspection certificate, issued by the respective service, applicable to the particular shipment of avocados, is required on all imports of avocados. Since inspectors are not permitted to inspect fruit at some of the small ports of entry, such as those in southern California, importers of avocados should make arrangements for inspection at the Office of the Hearing Clerk.
PROPOSED RULES

§ 953.215 Expenses and rate of assessment.

(a) The expenses the Secretary finds may be necessary to be incurred during the fiscal period ending May 31, 1979, by the Southeastern Potatoe Committee for its maintenance and functioning amount to $11,125.

(b) The rate of assessment to be paid during this period by each handler on all assessable potatoes of which he is the first handler, shall be one-fourth cent ($0.00025) per hundredweight of potatoes of which he is the first handler. However, potatoes for canning, freezing and other processing shall be exempt. Also, the minimum quantity exemption of up to 5 hundredweight of potatoes that may be shipped per day by each handler shall be exempt.

(c) Unexpended income in excess of expenses for the fiscal period may be carried over as a reserve.

(d) Terms used in this section shall have the same meaning as when used in the said amended marketing agreement and this part.


CHARLES R. BRADER,

Acting Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc. 78-11467 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]

[3410-02]

7 CFR Part 953

IRISH POTATOES GROWN IN SOUTHEASTERN STATES

Proposed Expenses and Rate of Assessment

AGENCY: Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This notice invites written comments on proposed expenses of $11,125 and a rate of assessment of one-fourth cent per hundredweight of potatoes for the functioning of the Southeastern Potatoe Committee. The regulation would enable the committee to collect assessments from first handlers on all assessable potatoes and to use the resulting funds for its expenses.

DATES: Comments due May 12, 1978.

ADDRESS: Comments should be sent to: Hearing Clerk, Room 1077-S, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250.

Two copies of all written materials shall be submitted, and they will be made available for public inspection at the office of the Hearing Clerk during regular business hours.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Marketing Agreement No. 104 and Order No. 953, both as amended, regulate the handling of potatoes grown in designated counties of Virginia and North Carolina. It is effective under the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674). The Southeastern Potatoe Committee, established under the order, is responsible for its local administration.

The proposals are as follows:

§ 953.215 Expenses and rate of assessment.

(a) The expenses the Secretary finds may be necessary to be incurred during the fiscal period ending May 31, 1979, by the Southeastern Potatoe Committee for its maintenance and functioning amount to $11,125.

(b) The rate of assessment to be paid during this period by each handler under this part shall be one-fourth cent ($0.00025) per hundredweight of potatoes of which he is the first handler. However, potatoes for canning, freezing and other processing shall be exempt. Also, the minimum quantity exemption of up to 5 hundredweight of potatoes that may be shipped per day by each handler shall be exempt.

(c) Unexpended income in excess of expenses for the fiscal period may be carried over as a reserve.

(d) Terms used in this section shall have the same meaning as when used in the said amended marketing agreement and this part.


CHARLES R. BRADER, 

Acting Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc. 78-11466 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]
MILK IN THE MIDDLE ATLANTIC MARKETING AREA

Recommended Decision and Opportunity to File Written Exceptions on Proposed Amendment to Tentative Marketing Agreement and to Order

AGENCY: Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This decision is based on industry proposals considered at a public hearing held in October 1977. It would provide for the continuation of the present method of pricing Class I milk under the Middle Atlantic Federal milk order. Industry proposals that would establish “bracketed” pricing or lower the Class I price level are not adopted.

The decision also would modify the requirements governing a distributing plant, and would increase the number of days’ milk production of a producer that may be diverted monthly to nonpool plants as pooled milk during the months of September through February. These changes are adopted in response to changed marketing conditions.

DATE: Comments are due on or before May 12, 1978.

ADDRESS: Comments (four copies) should be filed with the Hearing Clerk, Room 1077, South Building, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

Notice is hereby given of the filing with the Hearing Clerk of this recommended decision with respect to proposed amendments to the tentative marketing agreement and order regulating the handling of milk in the Middle Atlantic marketing area, and of the opportunity to file written exceptions thereto. This notice is issued pursuant to the provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601 et seq.), and the applicable rules of practice and procedure governing the formulation of marketing agreements and marketing orders (7 CFR Part 900).

INTERESTED PARTIES may file written exceptions to this decision with the Hearing Clerk, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250, by May 12, 1978. The exceptions should be filed in four copies. A complete list of the exceptions should be attached to this notice and will be made available for public inspection at the office of the Hearing Clerk during regular business hours (7 CFR 1.27 (b)).

The hearing on the record of which the proposed amendments, as herein-after set forth, to the tentative marketing agreement and to the order as amended, were formulated, was conducted at Philadelphia Pa., on October 4-7, 1977, pursuant to notice thereof.

The material issues on the record of the hearing relate to:
1. Class I price: (a) Continuation of the present formula, (b) bracketed pricing, and (c) Class I differential.
2. Provisions for distributing plants: (a) Total Class I disposition, and (b) in-area route disposition.
3. Diversion provisions.

FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

The following findings and conclusions on the material issues are based on evidence presented at the hearing and the record thereof:

1. Class I price. The present method of computing the Class I price should be continued. The order should not provide for bracketed pricing, and the present Class I differential of $2.78 should not be reduced.


The Court concluded that the failure of the secretary in his decision of August 20, 1969 (34 FR 13861) to adopt a formula in connection with the adoption of the Minnesota-Wisconsin formula for Order No. 4 was unsupported by substantial record evidence. The Court ordered that “the Secretary of Agriculture shall convene a hearing to consider what milk pricing method shall be applicable to Order No. 4. The Secretary shall allow all interested parties to submit pricing proposals and appropriate evidence and thereafter, using his discretion, may refuse to adopt any pricing order or may adopt any proposal supported by substantial record evidence. The Secretary may not, however, by refusing to act, retain the present pricing system in Order No. 4.”

Three proposals concerning Class I pricing were published in the hearing notice that was issued in response to the Court’s directive. One proposal would continue the method now provided in the order for pricing Class I milk. Under the proposal, price changes would stem only from changes in the basic formula price (the Wisconsin-Western price). A second proposal would provide a schedule of “brackets” for changing the Class I price in units of 20 cents per hundredweight. A third proposal would reduce the Class I price by at least $1 per hundredweight. The proposals are discussed herein as Issues 1(a), (b), and (c), respectively.

(a) Continuation of the present Class I price formula. The order should continue to provide that the Class I price for the month shall be the basic formula price for the second preceding month plus $2.78. The basic formula price should continue to be the average price per hundredweight for manufacturing grade milk, f.o.b. plants in Minnesota and Wisconsin, as reported by the Department for the month, adjusted to a 3.5 percent butterfat basis and rounded to the nearest cent, as now provided in the order.

A federation of five cooperatives that represent a substantial proportion of the producers supplying milk to the Middle Atlantic market proposed that the present method of computing the Class I price be continued. At the hearing, proponent modified the proposal as discussed under Issue 1(c). In essence, however, the federation supported the continuation of a Class I price formula based on the basic formula price (Minnesota-Wisconsin price) of the second preceding month, plus a Class I differential, and without provision for bracketing. Thus, changes in the Class I price would continue to be determined solely by changes in the Minnesota-Wisconsin price.

Continuation of the present Class I price formula as a method of modification, was proposed by another cooperative, and, in a posthearing brief, an additional cooperative supported the continuation of this method of pricing Class I milk.

Correction of an error in the basic formula price used in the Wisconsin-Western formula was proposed by an otherwise cooperative.

(b) Bracketed pricing. Bracketed pricing was proposed by several cooperatives in the Middle Atlantic market, one cooperative in the Eastern market, and the Marketing Service. The proposal supported the continuation of the present method of bracketing, but with the basic formula price adjusted to a 3.5 percent butterfat basis, as in the Wisconsin-Western formula.

Proponent cooperatives supported the continued use in Order 4 of the basic formula price for the second preceding month, plus a Class I differential, as an integral part of the pricing method. The proponent implements a program-wide basis for pricing Class I milk. In their view, this method of pricing Class I milk was appropriately developed as a Department policy in response to changing milk marketing conditions throughout the country, and to the statutory responsibilities vested in the Secretary.
Proponents testified that the program-wide method of providing Class I milk reflects, necessarily, the competitive conditions prevailing in the largest area of production and sale of manufacturing grade milk in the U.S. Also, in their view, it provides a measure of costs of alternative supplies of milk, and coordinates changes in Federal order Class I prices with changes in price measures established by the dairy price support program.

A witness representing some of the proprietary handlers regulated by Order 4 did not oppose the continued use of the MW price for the second preceding month as the basic formula price for Order 4. However, the handlers, who distribute fluid milk primarily in the Philadelphia area segment of the Order 4 market, proposed a method for providing Class I price changes that is different from that now provided by the Class I price provisions of the proposal. This aspect of the proposal of the Philadelphia handler group (i.e., bracketed pricing) is discussed in connection with Issue 1(b).

Testimony presented by proponents established that over the years the focus of Federal milk orders has shifted. Proponent witnesses testified that in the earlier years of the Federal order program, milk markets essentially were local in character. Consequently, the need for intermarket price coordination was not a prime consideration as it is under present milk marketing conditions.

Proponents noted that the MW price series has not always been the method by which Federal order Class I prices were changed. In the earlier days of the Federal order program, varying Class I price provisions were provided that moved prices automatically and maintained some fixed relationship between milk prices and the value of milk for manufacturing purposes. Proponents cited formulas that the Secretary of Agriculture uses to coordinate Federal order Class I prices, including the present method of pricing Class I milk under Order 4.

Proponent witnesses were united in the view that use of the MW price as the basic formula price and coordinating Federal order Class I prices, including the present method of pricing Class I milk under Order 4, will continue to be an appropriate means of coordinating price changes among Federal orders because it is the best indicator available of changes in the overall supply and demand situation for milk. Additionally, proponents testified that the MW price represents a measure (when appropriate Class I differentials are added) of the cost of alternative supplies of milk. Proponents stated also that use of the MW price provides a means of coordinating changes in Federal order Class I prices with changes in price measures established by the dairy price support program.

The purpose of both programs is to provide a means of coordinating price changes among Federal orders because it is the best indicator available of changes in the overall supply and demand situation for milk. Also, proponents indicated that it was necessary to give increasing weight to the cost at which milk supplies were available in the market's traditional (local) milkshed.

Proponents testified that to meet the foregoing circumstances, the MW price series was developed by the Department and adopted as the basic mover of Class I prices. In this regard, the record of this proceeding established that the Federal milk orders of the Northeast, including Order 4, were among the last to be provided with such price coordination at the end of the 1960's.

A witness for the five federated cooperatives stated that at that time he testified for the federation in favor of retaining the earlier method of pricing milk based on the MW price. This has been accomplished by providing the MW price as the basic formula price in the national supply-demand relation among Federal orders because it is the best indicator available of changes in the overall supply and demand situation for milk. Additionally, proponents testified that the MW price represents a measure (when appropriate Class I differentials are added) of the cost of alternative supplies of milk. Proponents stated also that use of the MW price provides a means of coordinating changes in Federal order Class I prices with changes in price measures established by the dairy price support program.

The price estimate for a given month is derived from two factors: (1) a monthly average of the price received by farmers for the base month, which is the month preceding that to which the MW price estimate relates, and (2) estimate of change from the base month to the month to which the MW price estimate relates. The base-month price estimates are determined from about 200 plants in Wisconsin and 120 in Minnesota. These plants buy about 60 percent of all manufacturing grade milk sold in the two States. Plants report total pounds of manufacturing grade milk received from producers, total pounds of milkfat in the milk, and total dollars paid to producers.

The 320 plants in the base-month sample are well distributed geographically over both States and represent all the major processing plants using manufacturing grade milk. At the end of the year, reports from all manufacturing grade milk plants in each State indicate close agreement with the monthly prices derived from the 320 plants.

The estimate of change from the base month to the month to which the MW price estimate relates is derived from reports from a sample of 110 plants selected in the two States (40 plants in Minnesota and 70 in Wisconsin). The estimated price for each month on a hundredweight basis at the 1964 formula grade milk that was provided prior to the 1960's.

The MW price is representative of prices paid to farmers for more than half of the manufacturing grade milk and reflects prices being paid farmers by processors who are meeting the competitive test of being paid. The price paid at these manufacturing plants are particularly sensitive to changes in the national supply-demand relationship for milk. This characteristic makes the MW price valuable as a means of providing current and simultaneous price changes for Federal milk orders, including Order 4. This has been accomplished by providing the MW price as the basic formula price in Order 4. This should be continued without change.

The use of the MW price series as the basic formula price of Order 4 and other Federal milk orders not only reflects competitive prices being paid for manufacturing grade milk, but also provides coordination with the price support program. A common objective of both programs is to provide an adequate supply of milk for the nation. At the present time, about 66 percent of the milk marketed in the United States is regulated by Federal milk orders.

Under the presently coordinated pricing system, the Secretary of Agriculture can adjust support prices with
the knowledge that the changes will be reflected in both the fluid and manufacturing segments of the dairy industry. If supply prospects indicate that a milk price increase is needed, action can be taken under the price support program to increase prices and to stock alternative supplies and to both Grade A and manufacturing grade shippers. In the absence of coordination, and if overall supplies were short, the Secretary could not take such far-reaching action to assure an adequate supply of milk simply by raising the support price. Instead, he would need to consider separate action under the milk orders to provide the same incentive for all producers to provide the needed production increase. These central controls have resulted in the present coordination between the two programs.

If the Class I price level is not established in a way which reflects price stability in and conditions in the manufacturing milk markets, serious problems can develop. Class I prices could keep arising at a time when heavy milk supplies in the manufacturing industry might require a reduction in the support price level. Rising Class I prices under Federal orders could call forth unneeded supplies of milk which directly, or indirectly, could end up in the hands of the Commodity Credit Corporation and in emergency support costs. Also, the pressures on the price support program from the excess supplies being generated by the Class I prices could result in an adverse impact on manufacturing grade milk producers.

The basic Federal order pricing standard established by the Congress requires that prices be set at those levels necessary to assure an adequate supply of milk. Accordingly, Class I prices under the orders must change promptly in response to changes in the supply and demand for milk. Inherent in the supply-demand standard of the Act is the concept of prices to the handlers that are high enough to encourage the maintenance of adequate resources in dairying so that over the long run consumers will be assured of an adequate supply of milk.

Further, the coordination of Class I price movements is needed throughout the Federal order system because milk can now move readily between and among Federal order markets. The Class I price in one market frequently will be the alternative supply price for another market. Without price coordination, even small disruptions in the dairy market and in abnormal price relationships will encourage an uneconomic movement of milk and disruption of markets. Identical Class I price changes in all orders are a necessary pricing feature under the Federal order system.

The present price system under Federal orders operates in such a way that it provides uniform price changes in all orders. This is accomplished by adding a specific differential directly to the MW price. The system evolved from the necessity to coordinate price changes within regions and also to provide coordination on an interregional basis. It is concluded that the present Class I formula of Order 4, which is an integral part of this coordinated pricing systems, should be continued without change.

(b) Bracketed pricing. The order should not provide for adjusting Class I prices through the use of brackets. A group of Philadelphia area handlers who are regulated by Order 4 proposed that the order be amended to include bracketed pricing, indexed to change Class I prices in 20-cent units, or in such other units as the evidence may demonstrate to be appropriate.

At the hearing, the proponents' spokesman presented a specific proposal for bracketed pricing as a component of the support formula of the order. Under the proposal, the present basic formula price would be continued. A schedule of price ranges or "brackets" would be provided. When the basic formula price (MW price) of the second preceding month changed sufficiently to fall within a new bracket, the Order 4 Class I price would change in units of 20 cents from one bracket to the next. For example, the proposed price of $8.74 for September 1977 would have been within the bracket of $8.71-$8.81 and a Class I price of $11.63 for November 1977 would have resulted. If the MW price for October 1977 had increased to $8.94, such price would have been in the next bracket, i.e., $8.91-$9.01, and would have yielded a 20-cent higher price of $11.83 for December 1977, as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bracket</th>
<th>Class I price</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$8.71 to $8.81</td>
<td>$11.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$8.91 to $9.01</td>
<td>11.83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If the October MW price had been $8.93 (within the same bracket), there would have been no price change. If the October MW price had been $8.83 (in a "twilight" zone between brackets), there would have been no price change.

The proposed schedule of Class I prices would have been lowered 15 cents per hundredweight by a further proposal of proponents, which is discussed under Issue 1(c).

The spokesman indicated that the Philadelphia segment of the present Order 4 marketing program had a long history of pricing Class I milk on the basis of an economic-type formula that charged Class I prices in set amounts, such as 20 cents per hundredweight. This method of charging Class I prices was called bracketed pricing. Based on a hearing held in 1969, the Department denied the use of bracketed pricing for Order 4 (then the Delaware Valley marketing area), which led to the litigation cited earlier. Proponents continue to advocate the use of bracketed pricing for Order 4, but in conjunction with the MW price, rather than with the economic-type formula with which bracketing was previously associated.

In support of the proposal, the proponents' spokesman reiterated the reasons that were presented in support of bracketed pricing at the 1969 hearing. Stated briefly they are:

(a) Bracketed pricing historically provided orderly marketing.

(b) Small changes in price are not meaningful to producers and can be confusing to producers supplying the market also opposed the adoption of bracketed pricing. The spokesman for the federation testified that previously (at the 1969 hearing) the federation had supported the continuation of the earlier formula for Class I milk for Order 4, including bracketed pricing. Since then, however, the federation has concluded from the operation of the Class I price formula now provided by the order that the formula has provided orderly marketing for producer milk, as intended by the Act.

The spokesman indicated that a primary reason that producers wanted to maintain bracketed pricing in 1969 was to continue the historical method of pricing for the Philadelphia area. Since then, he claimed, producers have concluded that the historical experience does not favor bracketed pricing over the present method of pricing because the present method also has resulted in an adequate supply of milk for the market as presently constituted.

The spokesman for the federation of cooperatives testified that marketing conditions for Order 4 have changed and have worked to the demise of bracketed pricing, and in ways that have diminished the utility of such
pricing as a means of changing Class I prices. He indicated that the federation has concluded that the present, coordinated, program-wide method of providing price changes to milk handlers under present marketing conditions is the much earlier method of bracketed pricing.

It is observed that proponents of bracketed pricing comprise a group of seven Philadelphia handlers. None of the 56 other pool plant handlers serving the rather extensive Order 4 marketing area appeared at the hearing to support this change in the method of pricing Class I milk. While this is not a reason for denying bracketed pricing, it reveals the relatively narrow base of support in the record of this proceeding for the proposal among Order 4 handlers.

Evaluation of the record evidence in this proceeding leads to the conclusion that proponents’ arguments are not valid. Proponents’ major points are discussed in the following sections (I) through (V).

(I) The claim that bracketed pricing would not create price alignment problems with other orders, and particularly with Order 2 (New York-New Jersey). Proponents’ spokesman stated that if bracketed pricing had been provided for Order 4 over the past 5 years, there would have been a greater degree of price alignment between Order 4 and Order 2 than has been the case. An exhibit introduced by proponent indicated that over the 5-year period bracketed pricing would have increased the Order 4 Class I price level that actually prevailed under the present provisions an average of 6 cents per hundredweight. Presumably, this would have reduced the competitive advantage that, in a 1976 proceeding for the Order 2 market, Order 4 handlers were found to have in relation to certain Order 2 handlers. The implications of the findings of that proceeding for this one are discussed under Issue 1(c). It is concluded that the improved price alignment between Order 4 and Order 2 that proponents would claim was achieved with bracketed pricing relates to marketing conditions and issues that were considered and resolved by the 1976 proceeding and the Order 2 amendments that became effective November 1, 1977.

The adoption of bracketed pricing, under prevailing marketing conditions, could result in the loss of a contract, such as a contract at a military installation in New Jersey, if bracketed pricing resulted in substantial differences between the Class I prices of Order 4 and Order 2. There are substantial institutional outlets for fluid milk in the Philadelphia metropolitan area that can be served by Order 4 or Order 2 handlers. The ability to acquire such outlets could be affected by Class I price differences which could result from bracketed pricing. A fraction of a cent a quart can mean success or failure to a handler bidding on such contracts. The provision for bracketed pricing in Order 4, alone among Federal milk orders, would not contribute to orderly marketing. Neither would it be in the interest of the dairy farmers because of the potential changes in Class I sales among the markets as a result of price disparity caused by bracketing.

If bracketed pricing were provided for Order 4, the differences in Class I prices that could result would be sufficient to create competitive problems for competing handlers regulated by the respective orders. This would be as true even if the MW price continued to be the basic formula price of both orders. Bracketed pricing for Order 4 could prevent price changes in the MW price from being reflected in both orders simultaneously, as is now the case under the present method of pricing Class I milk under Federal orders.

For the months of January-November 1977, the Order 4 Class I prices changed eleven times and ranged between $1.04 and $1.13, a difference of 9 cents. For the same period, bracketed pricing would have provided price changes, with the Class I prices ranging between $1.03 and $1.15, a difference of 60 cents. The largest difference in any one month between the announced order price and the proposed bracketed price was for May 1977 when the bracketed price would have been 14 cents higher than the actual Order 4 price. The testimony of this hearing is that a price discrepancy of that magnitude between orders can cause disorderly marketing conditions for handlers of the respective orders who compete with each other. This is amply demonstrated by the proposals discussed under Issue 1(c).

It is concluded that bracketed pricing would prevent simultaneous price changes between Order 4 and other orders resulting from changes in the MW price. The record of this proceeding established that handlers often compete with each other on the basis of price differences of a fraction of a cent a quart. Where handlers regulated by different orders compete for the same sales outlets, as is the case here, relatively minor differences in Class I prices can have an adverse competitive impact. Proponents’ own proposal under Issue 1(c) demonstrates this. Bracketed pricing would contribute to such price disparity, at the least, more seriously, whereas the present Class I pricing method does not.

Two of the chief objectives of the Act are to provide orderly marketing for producers’ milk and to attain adequate supplies of milk for the market. The present method of pricing Class I milk has achieved these objectives. It is concluded that bracketed pricing for Order 4, the following discussion provides additional reasons for concluding that bracketed pricing would not promote orderly marketing conditions for producers’ milk and disrupt competitive relationships among Federal milk orders, and particularly between Orders 4 and 2, under current marketing conditions. The proposal, therefore, is denied.

While the foregoing is the chief basis for denying bracketed pricing for Order 4, the following discussion provides additional reasons for concluding that bracketed pricing would not promote orderly marketing conditions for the Middle Atlantic market.

(ii) The claim that small changes in price are not meaningful to producers and can be confusing to them. Proponents’ spokesman stated that producers are confused when the Class I price increases, for example, during a month of heavy milk production when blend prices normally are decreasing. The implication is that such a consequence of Class I price change may result in a wrong production signal being transmitted to producers, or that their confidence in the effectiveness of the order may be shaken. However, there was no testimony from any producer, or from any producer spokesman, that the proponents view of this is correct.

Proponents’ spokesman recognized on cross-examination that bracketed pricing for Order 4, the following discussion provides additional reasons for concluding that bracketed pricing would not promote orderly marketing conditions for producers.

(iii) The claim that small changes in price are not meaningful to consumers and can be confusing to them. The proponents’ spokesman testified that the marketing of milk must be viewed not just in terms of producers marketing their milk to handlers but in terms of all transactions within the milk marketing system including the final sale to consumers. Proponents’ view is that bracketed pricing, as it was provided for the Philadelphia area by Order 4 in earlier years, was a great aid in maintaining market stability, because it resulted in consumer price changes. The handler spokesman stated that market stability is, to a very large extent, generated by stable retail prices. He said that price move-
merits, whether up or down, normally changes are coordinated, this provides a basis for explaining the price change to consumers as a fair price change. His view was that fair prices are the basis for explaining the price change.

In proponents' view, this inconsistent pattern of resale price causes confusion in the minds of consumers.

There were no consumer witnesses at this proceeding who supported this view. On cross examination, the proponents' witness stated that he did not know to what extent prices to consumers actually changed month by month in 1977 as Order 4 Class I prices went up or down. Further, there are no data in the record of this proceeding from which to conclude that consumers react adversely to competitive price changes.

However, the record did provide information about the changed relationships that have occurred between handlers and consumers since 1969. For example, in 1969, 25 percent of Class I milk was sold on home-delivered routes. In November 1979, 24 percent of the fluid milk sales in the Philadelphia area were sold on home-delivered routes. By October 1975, home-delivery was down to 9 percent of fluid sales. When home delivery sales were more prevalent, a change in the Class I price to regulated handlers could be converted by them to a change in price to consumers. Now, with most of the milk being sold through stores, handlers do not, to any great extent, directly set the prices that consumers pay for milk.

(iv) The claim that bracketed pricing will tend to coordinate changes in Order 4 Class I prices and State regulated resale prices to consumers. The handler spokesman testified that the State of Pennsylvania currently regulates milk prices at the wholesale level. Such prices are minimum prices below which no handler is permitted to sell. Handlers may sell at varying prices above the established minimum. This is in contrast to 1969 when handlers' wholesale selling prices were more closely related to consumer resale prices established by the State of Pennsylvania for the Philadelphia area.

The present prices that handlers now charge their customers are not presently coordinated with consumer resale prices established by State authority. Each handler must decide what prices he will charge above the market minimum wholesale price. Bracketed pricing, if adopted now, would not be a means of coordinating Order 4 Class I price changes with either resale or state Resale prices. Bracketed pricing, at this time, could serve primarily as a signal to handlers to consider whether they should change prices to customers in units of one-half cent per quart when the Federal order price changes 20 cents per hundredweight.

Under existing marketing conditions, each firm, whether processing milk or selling it to consumers, must have a marketing strategy within which the cost of goods and services to itself and the price to its customers are very important considerations. It is not reasonable to expect an identical pattern of price changes for fluid milk in the absence of regulation that fixes the price to be charged. This is true for handlers and store operators. At the same time, competition for sales is a major consideration to handlers in establishing the prices they charge their customers.

It is reasonable to conclude that when the MW price changes sufficiently to trigger a 20-cent Class I price change under the proposed bracket schedule, handlers can then decide whether to change their selling prices. In this connection, however, cross-examination developed that in these circumstances handlers who increased their selling prices by one-half cent a quart would be obtaining from customers more than the Class I price increase. The Class I price increase would be 20 cents per hundredweight while the handler price increases, at one-half cents a quart, would be about 23 cents per hundredweight. The handler witness stated that with every increase in the Class I price of, say, 20 cents per hundredweight, there are other cost increases that handlers experience that should be covered in their price change to customers.

There is no basis in this proceeding for concluding that handlers' costs—other than the Class I price for milk—change simultaneously and by the same amounts. Testimony otherwise. Accordingly, there is no persuasive reason for coordinating Order 4 Class I price changes with handlers' price changes to customers since the milk can be and are being negotiated through a contractual arrangement with customers.

(c) Class I differential. The Class I differential should not be changed.
The order now provides that the Class I price for the month shall be the basic formula price for the second preceding month, plus $2.78. The amount added to such basic formula price is called the Class I differential. At the hearing, however, the proposal was withdrawn by the counsel for the proponents, and no testimony was presented in support of it.

Special testimony that the Class I differential should not be reduced by $1 was presented by a federation of five cooperatives. The spokesman for the federation presented data for the record to establish that any change of this magnitude would not be in accord with the alignment of prices now existing under the program-wide structure of Class I prices.

No evidence was introduced into the record from which it could be concluded that a reduction of $1 in the Class I differential would be appropriate. The proposal, therefore, is denied.

In a post-hearing brief, the proponents of the proposal to reduce the Class I differential at least $1 argued that on the basis of testimony and evidence in the record the Class I differential should be reduced about 25 cents per hundredweight. These proponents believed that the previous relationship and evidence presented in the record supported such a reduction. Accordingly, the request is denied.

Two other proposals to reduce the Class I differential were made at the hearing. One proposal would reduce the Class I differential 15 cents; the other request would reduce it 10 cents.

A group of seven Philadelphia area handlers proposed that the Order 4 Class I differential be reduced 15 cents, to $2.63, to restore what the handlers claimed to be the relationship between the announced Class I prices of Orders 2 and 4 that existed prior to the order 2 amendments effective November 1, 1977. Proponents' spokesman stated that it is not claimed that the present $2.78 Class I differential is in itself inappropriate, only that the previous relationship with Order 2 should be restored.

The previous relationship referred to by proponents is the Order 4 Class I differential of $2.78 and the previous $2.40 Class I differential of Order 2 (a difference of $0.38). After the November 1, 1977, amendment of Order 2 the difference became $0.53 ($2.78-$2.25). Proponents' proposal would restore the previous relationship of $0.38 ($2.63-$2.25).

Proponents' spokesman quoted from a portion of the decision of that proceeding which stated that the combination of amendments was designed to improve the competitive situation for Order 2 handlers located in or near the major metropolitan area of the market. He stated that the handlers he represents are regulated by Order 4, and that a majority of them distribute substantial quantities of Class I milk into the Order 2 marketing area. He stated further that such handlers would be affected by the changed competitive conditions resulting from the Order 2 amendments.

Proponents' spokesman hypothesized that under the new changes an order 2 handler located in the 1-10 mile zone could obtain milk that is shipped directly from producers' farms in the 141-150 mile zone at a cost of $2.558 over the MW price, while an order 1-10 milk handler in the 1-15 mile zone would have to pay a differential of $2.84. For the Order 2 handler, this cost was constructed by proponents in terms of the Class I differential at the 141-150 mile zone ($2.356), plus the farm-to-plant shipping cost of 70 cents, and an additional tank hauling deduction ($0.15) and authorized hauling deduction ($0.15) permitted under the order. Proponents' proposal, the cost was represented by the difference of $2.78, plus a direct delivery differential of order 2.

The spokesman stated that other examples, some less favorable and some more favorable to an Order 2 handler, could be constructed, depending on the location of the Order 2 handler. He added that the proposed Order 4 Class I differential is necessary to restore the former relationship between the announced Class I prices of the two orders. In proponents' view, reducing the Order 4 Class I differential, as proposed, would result in lower milk prices, and the procedures he employs in bringing the milk from the farm to the plant (whether direct-shipped or from a supply plant). He stated that there is a substantial supply of milk for use at plants in the 1-15 mile zone of the Order 2 marketing area that could originate within the 141-150 mile zone and compete with milk regulated by Order 4.

Proponents concluded that in view of the cost disadvantage that they believed an Order 2 handler acquired November 1 over an Order 4 handler in receiving milk direct from farms in the 141-150 mile zone, a reduction of 15 cents in the Class I differential is necessary to restore the former relationship between the announced Class I prices of the two orders. Proponents' view is that unless the Order 4 Class I differential is reduced 10 cents, disorderly marketing could occur between Orders 4 and 2.

A federation of five cooperatives proposed that the Class I differential of Order 4 be reduced 10 cents, to $2.68, to maintain price alignment between Orders 4 and 2. Proponents' spokesman stated that the Order 2 Class I price amendments effective November 1 misaligned the prices of Orders 2 and 4. Proponent's view is that unless the Order 4 Class I differential is reduced 10 cents, disorderly marketing could occur between Orders 4 and 2.

It is observed that proponents' testimony focused mainly on a specific problem in the market rather than on a general problem of inter-order price alignment affecting all handlers regulated by Order 4. Proponent's testimony centered on the possible effect of the $2.78 and the $2.25 amounts on the competitive position of an Order 4 handler at Flemington, New Jersey. Proponent stated that unless the Order 4 Class I differential is reduced 10 cents, the
Order 4 handler at Flemington, New Jersey, would find it feasible to become regulated by Order 2, thereby reducing the blend price of Order 4 through a removal of Class I sales. As was the case with the Philadelphia hearing, the Order 2 handlers opposed the present Order 4 Class I differential of $2.78 except that it should be lowered as a result of the Order 2 amendments. The spokesman reiterated his support for a program-wide elimination of Class I differentials based on the application of a transportation factor as is now the case. Any such method not universally applied would, in his view, disrupt orderly market prices.

A reduction of the Class I differential was opposed by a cooperative at Trenton, N.J. However, Order 2 handlers in the 1-10 mile zone prior to the November 1, 1977, amendments to Order 2, handlers in the major metropolitan area. Proponent also introduced data to support the claim on the assumption that Order 2 handlers in the metropolitan area would not negotiate the 15-cent hauling deduction permitted by Order 2 effective November 1, 1977.

Proponent concludes that the cost advantage to Order 2 handlers in the metropolitan area will result in a substantial increase of Order 2 Class I sales. In the Order 4 area, the reduction in the blend prices of Order 4 producers if the Order 4 Class I differential is not reduced 10 cents as proposed.

The data presented by proponent with arithmetic extensions of the purported cost of milk to an Order 2 handler in the metropolitan area when he receives milk direct from farms in selected mileage zones up to 310 miles. Proponent compared such costs before and after November 1, 1977, amended Order 2 with the costs of an Order 4 handler at Flemington, N.J. (which is within the major metropolitan area of Order 2).

For example, that Order 2 handlers in the 31-40 mile zone who receive milk direct from farms in the 91-100 mile zone would have a cost differential of $2.728 after November 1, 1977, compared with $2.962 before that date. This may be compared with a cost of $2.84 for an Order 4 handler at Flemington, N.J. (a difference after November 1 of 11.2 cents). The cost computation of $2.728 is comprised of the following: A Class I differential of $2.25, plus a location adjustment of $0.198, plus a hauling cost of $0.43, and minus a transportation credit of $0.15. If the handler succeeded in obtaining the 15-cent allowable hauling deduction provided by the Order 2 amendment effective November 1, the purported cost advantage of the Order 2 handler after November 1 could be 26.2 cents instead of the 11.2 cents claimed by proponent.

It is not likely, however, that an Order 2 handler in the metropolitan area could obtain the 15-cent allowable hauling deduction provided by the Order 2 amendment effective November 1, 1977. The Department decision, previously cited, found that such handlers had not been successful in obtaining a similar hauling deduction that was provided in the past. A handler might not seek the negotiable 15-cent hauling deduction in order to maintain convenient locations of milk. This might involve such considerations as whether a farm is close to a main highway.

Proponent claims that the cost advantage of Order 2 handlers in the metropolitan area which receive milk direct from farms in the 71-210 mile zone ranges between 9 cents and 13.6 cents per hundredweight. All the factors used in the construction of costs
were taken from the provisions of Order 2 as amended effective November 1 except the farmer-to-plant hauling rates, which ranged between 40 cents and 65 cents per hundredweight in proponent's data. The rates represent those used by one of the proponent cooperatives in the federation for hauling milk from plants to handlers in the metropolitan area. A critical deficiency about them is that they do not represent any of the hauling rates that an Order 2 handler in the metropolitan area actually pays in obtaining bulk tank milk.

Proponent's witness argued that the rates are comparable based on data that he is familiar with from studies he participated in at a midwestern university about four years ago. However, the specific information contained in the studies was not introduced in evidence at this proceeding. If the direct delivery hauling rate for an Order 2 handler obtaining milk from the 201-210 mile zone, for the district was 10 percent greater than proponent's, the cost advantage claimed by proponent would be effectively eliminated.

It cannot be concluded that the cost advantages to Order 2 handlers claimed by proponent are realized to any significant extent because Order 2 handlers in the metropolitan area obtain most of their milk from supply plants with attendant higher costs than to apply to direct-ship milk. The Order 2 amendments effective November 1, 1977, were promulgated to provide a greater degree of competitive equity between Order 2 and Order 4 handlers than previously was the ease. The record of this proceeding does not establish that the pricing changes in Order 2 have now placed Order 4 handlers at a competitive disadvantage.

The record of this hearing provides severely limited data concerning the milk procurement methods and costs of Order 2 plants. Without data available do not demonstrate the purported cost advantage that an order 2 handler in the major metropolitan area of the New York-New Jersey market would have over an Order 4 handler competing for sales in northern New Jersey. The crucial question of whether such advantage exists centers in part on whether there is a substantial supply of nearby milk available for use by such handlers.

There may be isolated pockets of nearby milk, as was noted in the Order 2 decision previously cited. However, there was no persuasive demonstration in this proceeding that such milk is available in substantial quantities to order 2 handlers in the metropolitan area of the market. It cannot be concluded from the record of this proceeding that nearby milk represents a threat to orderly marketing as claimed by proponent. Further, the proposal to lower the Class I differential 10 cents, which is aimed at resolving the dubious question of competitive inequity between Order 4 and Order 2 handlers competing in northern New Jersey, would apply to all Class I milk and all handlers regulated by Order 4. The record of this proceeding does not establish that marketing conditions throughout the Middle Atlantic market require reduction of the Class I differential.

Some of the testimony at the hearing was aimed at demonstrating that it would be feasible for the Order 4 handler at Flemington, N.J., to become regulated by Order 2 and achieve the cost advantages over Order 4 handlers that were claimed by the proponent. The record of this proceeding does not demonstrate that it would be feasible for the handler at Flemington to shift to regulation by Order 2. There is no basis in the record to conclude that an economic incentive exists or that there is a source of direct-shipped milk with which Order 2 market readily available to the handler.

The competitive problems that proponents testified about may well exist to a very limited extent. However, it is not possible for the Department to demonstrate that substantial quantities of nearby milk are available to handlers in northern New Jersey with the cost advantage claimed by proponents. For the foregoing reasons, the proposals to lower the Class I differential are denied.

2. Performance standards for pooling distributing plants. The requirements that a distributing plant must meet to qualify as a pool plant should be continued. The record of the hearing included a proposal by the federation's witness cited performance in this regard are discussed separately under the following subheadings:

a. Total Class I dispositions. The order now requires that a pool distributing plantoid to each month's Class I disposition in the current month's disposition. The spokesman for proponent supported the adoption of the percentage relating Class I disposition to receipts of base milk only. The federation's witness cited the increase in milk production relative to Class I sales as evidence that the pooling standard should be reduced. He took the position that a pooling standard relating Class I disposition to receipts of base milk only would not adversely affect overall returns to farmers because, as farmers build larger bases, handlers would be forced to market more and more milk as Class I from their plants in order to retain pool status.

A federation of five dairy farmer cooperative associations that represent over 60 percent of the market's producers also supported the adoption of a pooling standard that relates Class I disposition to receipts of base milk only. The federation's witness cited performance that the increase in milk production relative to Class I sales as evidence that the pooling standard should be reduced. He took the position that a pooling standard relating Class I disposition to receipts of base milk only would be more responsive to changing supply-demand conditions. This would be especially important, in his view, in the month of December when Class I sales normally decline substantially during the holiday season.

A reduction in the pooling standard also was supported by a spokesman for an organization of producers who are not affiliated with any cooperative association. The spokesman's testimony favored reducing the total Class I disposition percentage required for pool plant status to 40 percent during March through August. He pointed out that the proposed percentages now apply to supply plants and expressed a view that different standards for distributing plants and supply plants are discriminatory. The notice of hearing included a proposal by the organization that a plant be accorded pool status for the month if it meets the above standards in either of the two preceding months. However,
the organization's spokesman indicated that the adoption of that proposal was being urged only in the event that both the alternative proposals by the proponent, Philadelphia handler, and the proponent, Philadelphia handler, were denied.

The witness for the independent producers indicated concern that a plant may lose pool status due to the unexpected loss of a contract or a minor fluctuation in sales, especially when its Class I sales comprise only slightly more than 50 percent of receipts. In such a case, he stated, dairy farmers shipping to the plant would lose the benefits of pooling unless emergency action were taken to maintain pool status for the plant. The spokesman expressed the view that it is preferable to have a qualification standard always in effect, even if at a lower level, than to take emergency suspension actions for the purpose of maintaining market stability.

The supply-demand relationship for milk associated with the market has changed since June 1973 when the marketing area was expanded effective June 1, 1975. Since then, there has been a steady decline in the percentage of producer milk assigned to Class I use. This has occurred primarily because producer milk receipts have increased substantially while Class I utilization generally has been relatively unchanged, varying from above year-earlier levels in some months to below year-earlier levels in other months. For example, June 1976 producer milk receipts were 5.5 percent above a year earlier, while Class I dispositions by pool handlers were down 1.5 percent. June 1977 receipts of producer milk were down 5.7 percent from June 1976, and up 11.5 percent from June 1975. Total Class I dispositions in June 1977 were up 2.1 percent from June 1976, but were only 0.5 percent above the June 1975 level. As a result, the percentage of milk assigned to Class I uses declined from 61 percent in June 1975 to 55 percent in June 1976 and then to 54 percent in June 1977. These data clearly indicate changed conditions that are market-wide in scope.

Increasing supplies of milk relative to Class I sales necessitated the suspension of the 50 percent Class I pooling standard for certain months during each of the last two flush milk production periods. The 50 percent requirement was suspended for June and July 1976, and again in 1977 during May through August. These actions were taken to prevent some distributing plants, and thus the milk of producers who regularly supply the plants, from losing pool status. Such loss of pool status could have occurred because increasing production, at a time when Class I sales were not increasing, caused handlers to divert the additional supplies to nonpool manufacturing outlets for Class II use.

Since such diverted milk must be reported as a receipt at the pool plant to which it is diverted, some plants likely would not have had Class I sales equal to at least 50 percent of their receipts. Thus, the suspension actions were needed.

As witnesses supporting a reduction in the pooling standard pointed out, however, suspension of the 50 percent Class I standard leaves no requirement for pool plant status other than the 10 percent in-area Class I sales requirement. Thus, it is possible during the period of suspension that plants normally not having sufficient Class I dispositions to achieve pool status may nevertheless become pooled. In such a case, additional quantities of milk not regularly associated with the fluid market and not intended by the plant operator to be fully priced under the order would be pooled. This situation should be avoided by providing a lower pooling standard.

The proposal preferred by the proponent pool handler, and by the federation of five cooperatives, would provide a lower pooling standard throughout the marketing area. For example, the Class I disposition requirement had been 50 percent of base milk receipts only during June 1975 through June 1977, that standard would have averaged about 5.5 percentage points below the present standard. Expressed another way, the average pooling standard would have been 44.5 percent of total receipts. The monthly percentages would have varied, however, from as high as 48.1 percent to a low of 42.5 percent. If such a standard had been in effect, the suspension actions referred to above probably would not have been needed to avoid depooling the milk of some producers regularly associated with the market. Although a pooling standard computed on receipts of base milk only would accomplish the purpose intended by proponents, the conclusion that base milk should not be used to determine the pool status of distributing plants is not apparent from the record that there is a need to relax the pooling standard during the months of September through February. Class I utilization during this period in 1975-76 and in 1976-77 remained well above the present performance standard. Moreover, there is no evidence that the problems maintaining pool status during these months. Relaxing the performance standard during the relatively short production months would not meet any needs of the market at this time and could result in the pooling of additional supplies not needed to serve the fluid milk needs of the market.

Testimony favoring receipts of base milk only for determining pool plant status stressed a common view that such a provision is preferred because it would provide more responsive actions in changing supply-demand conditions. One witness maintained that as producers increased base milk production, handlers would be forced to market more Class I milk in order to maintain pool status for their plants, and that testimony consistent from other testimony of the same witness that handlers are not always able to increase Class I sales. If a handler could readily increase Class I disposition to the level necessary to avoid depooling, the plant would have no need to relax the present pooling standard.

A critical consideration in determining whether to adopt a proposed order provision is equity of application to handlers. In this regard, the record clearly shows that the proposed standard for determining pool status of distributing plants would vary from plant to plant. It is possible, for example, that two plants, each having identical quantities of producer milk receipts and Class I dispositions in the marketing area, would not be regulated on the same basis. This could occur if base milk comprise a certain proportion of the producer milk receipts at one plant and a different proportion at the other, a situation that would be expected to exist. Thus, one plant's Class I sales may equal or exceed the percentage of base milk receipts required to achieve pool status for the plant, and yet the plant would not be fully regulated. The other plant, however, might not qualify for pooling because of having a higher proportion of base milk in its receipts from producers.

The only variable in the example just cited is the percentage of base milk in each plant's receipts from dairy farmers. Since there is no definite relationship between base milk and Class I utilization, this is not a determinate factor. Moreover, it is evident whether a plant is associated with the fluid market to a degree that requires full regulation in order to preserve orderly marketing conditions. Moreover, the potential inequity in the application to handlers is, by itself, sufficient reason to deny the proposal.

Instead, the needs of the market can be met by reducing the total Class I percentage requirement from 50 percent to 40 percent for the months of September through August. This will provide handlers, cooperative and proprietary alike, with reasonable means for assuring pool status of distributing plants during the months when increasing production could otherwise result in depooling some milk. A reduced pooling standard in the flush production months should minimize the need for suspension actions, which could still be necessary under future emergency conditions that may unexpectedly arise.
The pooling standard adopted herein is the same as is now provided for supply plants. In this proceeding there was no expressed need to lower the pooling standards for supply plants. Appropriate standards for supply plants were not at issue here. Nevertheless, we must reject the view expressed by a witness at the hearing and in a post-hearing brief that different standards for the two types of operations are discriminatory. Each type of operation functions differently in serving the fluid milk needs of the market and may require different standards for determining whether it is associated with the market to the degree that warrants its full regulation under the order.

(b) In-area Class I disposition. The order should be changed to provide that a pool distributing plant shall dispose of not less than 15 percent of its receipts of milk as Class I route disposition (other than as filled milk) in the marketing area.

The order presently accords pool status to a distributing plant that has route disposition in the marketing area (in-area sales) of not less than 10 percent of its receipts of milk; if it also has 50 percent total Class I utilization. A distributing plant (other than a producer-handler plant and a plant fully regulated under another Federal order) that disposes of less than 10 percent of its receipts of milk as in-area sales is partially regulated. As provided herein, such plant would be partially regulated if in-area sales are less than 15 percent of receipts.

The operator of an Order 4 partially regulated plant in Richmond, Va., proposed that the present in-area sales requirement be increased to 15 percent of receipts. Proponent's spokesman stated that the change would permit fluid milk sales in the Order 4 area to be increased from the plant without having it regulated as a pool plant.

Briefly stated, proponent supported the change on the basis that: (1) The present provision is appropriate for identifying a distributing plant that is sufficiently associated with the market to be regulated as a pool plant, (2) the provisions of the proposal would increase substantially the potential in-area sales of partially regulated plants, and could result in disorderly marketing conditions, and (3) no information presented in the record regarding the past level of prices set by the State of North Carolina. Nevertheless, it is recognized that price disparities in the future could present a competitive problem for the proponent.

In this regard, it is noted that proponent claimed that the Federal order Class I price had been above the Eastern Virginia State Class I price in 46 of the 87 months of August 1970 through October 1977, with the Federal order prices during the 46 months averaging 16 cents per hundredweight higher. This was not actually the case. The Class I prices of the Virginia Milk Commission Class I prices indicates that for the 87-month period the Order 4 prices exceeded the Virginia prices only seven times (3 months in 1973, 1 month in 1974, and 3 months in 1975).

An additional reason for adopting the proposal is that marketing conditions have changed substantially since the current in-area sales requirement was adopted. Fewer but larger plants are now processing milk, and their distribution areas have been greatly extended. Proponent's plant has experienced this type of growth, and the Order 4 market is an outlet for the plant under present marketing conditions.

Also, prior to the merger of the Delaware Valley, Upper Chesapeake Bay and Washington, D.C. markets in 1970, a plant could distribute up to 10 percent of its receipts in each of the three markets without being subject to full regulation. Under the merged order, a plant distributing 10 percent or more of its receipts anywhere in the enlarged marketing area now becomes subject to full regulation. Proponent's distribution is in areas that were previously included in the separate Washington, D.C., and Upper Chesapeake Bay marketing areas. Had the plant disposed of milk into the separate marketing areas it would have distributed up to 20 percent of its receipts without being regulated.

Full regulation by Order 4 could result in lower returns to the dairy farmers who regularly supply a major portion of the milk received at the Richmond plant and who are now paid pursuant to Virginia Milk Commission regulations. The record evidence is not available in the record regarding the past prices paid to producers by the plant under the Commission regulations. Nevertheless, lower producer prices under Order 4 are indicated because Class I utilization under Order 4 is substantially below that of the Virginia Milk Commission markets.

For the period August 1976 through July 1977, the Order 4 Class I utilization effect on the total utilization of the milk (88.94 percent vs. 58.75 percent) below the Virginia Milk Commission market's Class I utilization. Another factor would be that a minus 15 cents per hundredweight location adjustment was made under the Order 4 Class I and uniform prices applicable at the Richmond plant location. If the plant were regulated under Order 4, lower returns to its producers over time could further lower the Order 4 supply of milk for the plant. This is a further reason for adopting proponent's proposal.

Proponent buys Order 4 Class I milk to cover in-area sales, and it was claimed that this procurement policy would continue. Accordingly, the increased in-area sales by proponent that are expected to result from the adoption of a 15 percent in-area pooling standard would not adversely affect the dairy producer. The proponent's milk, and in recent months, has approximated 9 percent of the plant's receipts from dairy farmers. The Order 4 milk received at the plant normally priced under the Order 4 market represent at least 10 percent of its receipts, which is the basis for pooling under the present provisions of the order.

As noted earlier, proponent handler buys milk priced under Order 4 to cover in-area fluid sales, plus an additional amount to cover a part of proponent's Class II milk use in the plant. The Reserve 4 milk is received from a cooperative association as diverted milk, and, in recent months, has approximated 95 percent Class I and 5 percent Class II.

The quantity of Order 4 milk received weekly at the Richmond plant remains almost constant throughout the year. To the extent that production varies seasonally, the cooperative that sells milk to proponent undoubtedly has some production of reserve milk associated with the sales that must be disposed of. This would be no different than if the cooperative's sales were to a fully regulated handler. Since all of proponent's Class I sales in the Order 4 market are covered by milk priced under the order, it cannot be concluded that the burden of carryover 4 milk associated with the Richmond plant provides a sound basis for denying the proposal.

A proprietary handler also opposed the proposal. The handler's representation was that the proposal involves the merger of three markets to form the Tennessee Valley milk order had established a policy of 10 percent of its receipts as the appropriate in-area sales percentage for determining the regulatory status of distributing plants. In a post-hearing brief, the handler maintained that adoption of the proposal would not implement the intent and purposes of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act.

Opponent's view that a decision regarding this issue in another market establishes a policy applicable to Order 4 is without merit. The order provisions for determining the pool status of the milk in the market are decid ed on the basis of the record evidence obtained at a hearing held to consider the issue for the particular market in question. Thus, the appropriate provisions are determined on a market-to-market basis, which is consistent with the provisions of the Act.

It is concluded from the facts of this proceeding that the proposed in-area
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sales percentage should be adopted. The record does not establish that such action will affect adversely the orderly marketing of milk for the Order 4 area.

3. Diversion provisions. The order should be amended to increase to 15 days the number of days' production of an individual producer that may be diverted to nonpool plants each month during the period September through February. The order now provides that a handler's total monthly diversions to nonpool plants during September through February may not exceed 25 percent of the milk delivered to the handler by dairy farmers during the month. Alternatively, up to 10 days' production of each dairy farmer may be diverted during the month to nonpool plants. No diversion limitations apply during the months of March, April through August, and September through February. A handler and a group of independent producers who ship their milk to the handler each proposed that limits now applicable on diversions to nonpool plants (except to the producer handler plant) during September through February be eliminated. As an alternative, the handler proposed that the number of days' production of an individual producer that may be diverted to nonpool plants each month during September through February be increased to 15 days. Proponents' stated preference was to eliminate such restrictions on diversions during September through February. In support of the proposal to eliminate diversion limits, the handler's spokesman stated that prevailing marketing conditions do not warrant diversion limits to nonpool plants. The proposal was supported on the basis that: (1) Milk production by the market's regular producers has increased much faster than Class I sales; (2) milk production by the market's regular producers has increased much faster than Class I sales; (3) there are now fewer distributing plants, and they bottle milk fewer days per week than they used to; and (4) home delivery sales have declined while store sales of milk have increased. It is proponent's view that from a nationwide standpoint these changes have resulted in a need to divert increasing volumes of milk to nonpool plants during September through February. The witness stated that he was the author of a base-excess plan in 1971, wherein four of the base-building months also are months when diversions are limited, had eliminated at least part of the need for diversion limits.

Proponent witness claimed that his distributing plant is faced with the same changes that have occurred nationwide. The witness stated also that proponent has for several years transferred milk to nonpool plants each month during September through February. He maintained that this is more costly than diverting milk from farms. However, increased milk production by the producers who supply proponent's manufacturing outlet necessitates such transfers because more milk must be disposed of to a nonpool plant than can be pooled as diverted milk. Proponent's witness expressed concern that some producers may lose pool status for milk that can be diverted. He indicated, however, that over-diversion of milk has not been a serious problem at proponent's distributing plant.

The group of independent producers that also proposed unlimited diversions during September through February was concerned mainly that some producers would not have their milk priced under the order if a handler of their milk over-diverted. Proponent's witness stated that: (1) Diversion limits do not restrict the association of milk with the market but merely necessitate inefficient movement of milk by costly transfers; (2) diversion limits would not have prevented the high Class I utilization for the market; and (3) due to the relatively low Class I utilization of the market, the qualification standards effectively control and place a limit on the quantity of milk that can be diverted. Proponents contend that the elimination of the diversion limits would be appropriate in present circumstances because such action would not adversely affect the blend prices to producers. Moreover, the spokesman for the group maintained that the recent trend in Federal order actions has been to eliminate diversion limits on individual producers' milk.

Changes in the diversion provisions as opposed at the hearing by a federation of cooperatives. A witness for the cooperatives stated that the present provisions have been adequate and will continue to be so in the foreseeable future. He expressed the view that recent milk production increases will begin to diminish in the second half of 1978. He maintained that for these reasons there is no marketwide need to eliminate or to relax the diversion provisions of the order. He expressed the concern that relaxed diversion limits would permit milk to be pooled that was intended primarily for manufacturing uses. He contended that such milk should not be pooled.

In its post-hearing brief, the federal cooperatives reversed their initial position and stated that unlimited diversions throughout the year should be permitted because of the potential impact on the Order 4 market of the New York-New Jersey order amendments effective November 1, 1977.

In a post-hearing brief, a dairy farmer cooperative association that is not a member of the federal cooperative opposes any modification of the diversion provisions, claiming that the record of this proceeding did not support any change.

a. Elimination of diversion limits. The proposal to eliminate diversion limits was adopted in 1971. The final decision issued in that amendment proceeding contained the following paragraph:

When the Middle Atlantic order was promulgated, the pooling provisions were formulated to accommodate the pool structure of four manufacturing plants which historically had held pool plant status under one or another of the previous three separate orders. The particular plants were the primary outlets for the reserve milk supply then associated with the individual markets. With these plants holding pool plant status, it was anticipated that there would be minimal need for diversions to nonpool plants. The present provisions were formulated to accommodate the then existing market structure, insure an orderly and efficient disposition of the market's necessary reserve, and at the same time to deter handlers from associating with the market unless milk supplies solely for manufacturing uses.

The quoted paragraph explains the basis upon which limits on diversions to nonpool plants were provided in the Middle Atlantic order. The proposal to eliminate diversion limits must be considered from the standpoint of whether these basic reasons for the diversion limits no longer exist. Also, the issue must be determined without regard to the diversion provisions that are provided in other orders.

During the months when production is seasonally low and Class I sales are relatively high, it is necessary to provide assurance that milk supplies will be available to meet the needs of fluid milk handlers. Nevertheless, because of plant bottling schedules, weekends and other variables, not all the milk produced is needed for Class I uses. Rather than require such milk to be physically received at the distributing plant and then moved to a manufacturing outlet for disposal, the order provides for the diversion of milk directly from the farm to the manufacturing plant. The diversion provisions facilitate the economical disposition of milk not needed for Class I uses. The limits are set at levels appropriate to accomplish that purpose, not as a means of attempting to maintain Class I utilization at a predetermined level. Therefore, no diversion limits a handler presumably could move up to half of his milk receipts to nonpool plants for manufacturing. For Order 4, however, Class I utilization is well above the 50 percent Class I pooling standard in the fall months. In 1977 the percentages of producer milk allocated to Class I sales percentage should be adopted. The record does not establish that such action will affect adversely the orderly marketing of milk for the Order 4 area.
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1Official notice is taken of the Assistant Secretary's decision on Proposed Amendments to the Middle Atlantic order that was issued August 17, 1971 (36 FR 16317).
uses were 61.1 in September, 59.5 in October, 60.4 in November, 58.9 in December, and 58.7 percent in January 1978. Some marketing conditions clearly have changed since the present diversion provisions were adopted. One important change has been the increase in milk production without a corresponding increase in Class I milk sales during the past 2 years. A result of this change has been a decline in the percentage of receipts needed to serve the fluid milk market. Concurrently, there has been increased need to dispose of more milk for other than fluid uses. One outcome of this has been a large increase in the quantity of milk diverted to nonpool plants. Such diversions during September 1976 through February 1977 (the months when limits apply) totaled 297.2 million pounds, up 48 percent from the same period a year earlier. Measured in a different way, the September-February diversions amounted to 11.1 percent of total producer milk, compared to 8.3 percent a year earlier. It is noted that these figures include relatively small quantities of milk diverted to nonpool plants for Class II use. Handlers may, of course, receive milk at a pool plant and subsequently transfer the milk to a nonpool plant. However, the record in this proceeding does not show that this is done on a widespread basis in the Middle Atlantic market in order to move more milk to nonpool plants than can otherwise be diverted under the limits provided. Instead, only one handler witness testified that milk is so handled, which is not a sufficient basis for concluding that diversion limits now serve no purpose in the Middle Atlantic market.

During 1976, diversions to nonpool plants totaled 601.8 million pounds; milk received at reserve processing plants either directly from farms or through cooperative associations totaled 1,050.6 million pounds; and transfers of bulk Class II milk from pool handlers to nonpool handlers totaled 92.5 million pounds. Thus reserve processing plants continue to provide the primary outlets for reserve milk. Although the quantity of milk that is diverted to nonpool plants has increased, such diversion continues to be of secondary significance for the market.

The data referred to above also establish that pool handlers generally have not increased the use of transfers as a means of pooling milk regularly associated with the market that could not otherwise be pooled under the diversion limits. It is concluded, therefore, that diversion limits have not generally caused problems for handlers seeking to maintain pool status for their producers, even though some marketing conditions have changed. Thus, the market data support the federal order provisions. The issue at the hearing that elimination of the diversion limits is not needed to facilitate the disposition of reserve milk supplies on a marketwide basis.

In the Middle Atlantic market, reserve processing plants provide extensive outlet for milk not needed for fluid use. When reserve processing plants qualify as pool plants, milk delivered there directly from dairy farms is not associated with the market by any means other than its diversion to nonpool plants. There are three such plants currently operating in the market, two of which are operated by dairy farmer cooperatives. Another manufacturing plant is being built by cooperatives and is expected to qualify as a pool reserve processing plant when completed and operating. Access to such reserve processing plants lessens the need for milk to be moved to nonpool plants for surplus disposition. Provision in the order for pooling such plants is a primary reason for the limits on diversions to nonpool plants being set at the level provided in this market.

During cross-examination of proponent handler's witness at the hearing, the view was expressed that producers associated with a reserve processing plant are less likely to lose pool status for their milk than are producers not so associated. It is perhaps noted here that the order provisions do not preclude any handler from diverting the milk of producers to a reserve processing plant. Also, diversions to reserve processing plants that have pool status are taken into account when the limits imposed on diversions to nonpool plants. It cannot be concluded, therefore, that the order, by itself, provides an advantage for producers associated with a reserve processing plant over those whose milk is used in an outlet for milk not needed for fluid use. Such diversions to nonpool plants under the diversion provisions is not needed to facilitate the disposition of reserve milk supplies associated with the market.

Also pointed out was the fact that the diversion limits were suspended twice in 1970 at the request of one of the major cooperatives. It must be noted that in both cases the actions taken were due to the development of unusual conditions (school closings because of a teachers' strike, and the closing of a pool plant), not due to general changes in marketing conditions.

Two post-hearing briefs referred to a suspension of the limits on diversions to nonpool plants for the month of November 1977 and the proposed suspension of such limits for December 1977 through February 1978. The suspension action was taken because a strike had occurred at a large distributing plant. Again, this action was necessitated by an unusual condition in the market, and in no way is indicative of any marketwide need to abolish diversion limits. Moreover, the suspension requested for December through February was denied. In view of this, it must be concluded that the earlier suspension actions do not support a need for totally eliminating the diversion provisions of the order.

As noted earlier, at the hearing a federation of cooperatives opposed any change in the diversion provisions, but later reversed that position in its post-hearing brief. The fact that the New York-New Jersey order was amended effective November 1, along with the order pending in the Middle Atlantic market, is of importance. The idea that there is a need for further diversion to nonpool plants is no longer necessary to handle the market's supplies of reserve milk.

In the discussion on Class I pricing, it was found that the evidence presented at the hearing concerning the potential impact on Order 4 of the proposed suspension of such limits for December 1977 through February 1978 was speculative and without any apparent foundation. The cooperatives' arguments do not provide a sound basis for determining that the position taken by them at the hearing should now be disregarded in considering this issue. Moreover, as stated earlier, the cooperatives' stated position at the hearing is substantiated by market data presented at the hearing.

It is concluded that the basic reasons for establishing diversion limits are no longer valid. Accordingly, the proposals to remove all diversion limits must be denied.

b. Relaxed diversion limits. As indicated earlier, the handler proposal to increase to 15 the number of days' production that a producer's milk may be diverted to nonpool plants monthly during September through February should be adopted.

Proponent handler operates a distributing plant at which virtually no milk is used for Class II. Thus, reserve milk supplies associated with the Class I operation must be disposed of elsewhere. The handler has, during the entire year the responsibility for pooling the milk production of the dairy
Farmers who supply his distributing plant. The dairy farmers have increased their production at about the same general rate of increase experienced for the market as a whole. As a result, during the months when diversion limits are applicable, the handler utilizes the days of production basis for diverting to nonpool plants because more milk can be diverted under that provision than under the percentage limits.

In addition to diverting milk, the handler regularly receives some milk at the distributing plant and then transfers it to a nonpool plant in order to maintain the pool status of the milk during the months when diversion limits apply. The handler's witness indicated that the need for such transfers is increasing as production increases exceed sales increases. It is more costly to receive and then transfer milk than it is to move the milk directly from the farms to the nonpool plant. Such uneconomic handling can be avoided by providing for the diversion to nonpool plants of up to 15 days' production of individual producers.

The present 10-days' production limit on diversions permits more milk to be diverted to nonpool plants than does the 25 percent limit. Nevertheless, in view of the general increase in production, handlers who do not receive milk from cooperatives, and thus balance their own supplies, may not be able to economically accommodate the disposition of their reserve milk supplies under the diversion limits, even when the days of production basis is used. This is the situation faced by some handlers.

Providing that up to 15 days' production of a dairy farmer may be diverted to nonpool plants as producer milk will make it possible for the handler, and any others similarly situated, to continue to pool all the milk produced by their regular producers without incurring costly transfer expenses. The change will not provide the means by which large volumes of milk intended only for manufacturing milk may be associated with the market.

RULINGS ON PROPOSED FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

Briefs and proposed findings and conclusions were filed on behalf of certain interested parties. These briefs, proposed findings and conclusions and the evidence in the record were considered in making the findings and conclusions set forth above. To the extent that the suggested findings and conclusions filed by interested parties are inconsistent with the findings and conclusions set forth herein, the requests to make such findings or reach such conclusions are denied for the reasons previously stated in this decision.

GENERAL FINDINGS

The findings and determinations hereinafter set forth are supplementary and in addition to the findings and determinations previously made in connection with the issuance of the aforesaid order and of the previously issued amendments thereto; and all of said previous findings and determinations are hereby ratified and affirmed, except insofar as such findings and determinations may be in conflict with the findings and determinations set forth herein.

(a) The tentative marketing agreement and the order, as hereby proposed to be amended, and all of the terms and conditions thereof, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the Act;

(b) The parity prices of milk as determined pursuant to section 2 of the Act are not reasonable in view of the price of feeds, available supplies of feeds, and other economic conditions which affect the supply and demand for milk in the marketing area, and the minimum prices specified in the tentative marketing agreement and the order, as hereby proposed to be amended, are such prices as will reflect the aforesaid factors, insure a sufficient quantity of pure and wholesome milk, and be in the public interest; and

(c) The tentative marketing agreement and the order, as hereby proposed to be amended, will regulate the handling of milk in the same manner as, and will be applicable only to persons in the respective classes of industrial and commercial activity specified in, a marketing agreement upon which a hearing has been held.

RECOMMENDED MARKETING AGREEMENT AND ORDER AMENDING THE ORDER

The recommended marketing agreement is not included in this decision because the regulatory provisions thereof would be the same as those contained in the order, as hereby proposed to be amended. The following order amending the order, as amended, regulating the handling of milk in the Middle Atlantic marketing area is recommended as the detailed and appropriate means by which the foregoing conclusions may be carried out:

1. In §1004.7, the introductory text of paragraph (a) is revised to read as follows:

§1004.7 Pool plant

(a) A plant from which during the month a volume not less than 50 percent in the month of September through February, and 40 percent in the months of March through August, of its receipts described in subparagraph (1) or (2) of this paragraph is disposed of a Class I milk (except filled milk) and a volume not less than 15 percent of such receipts is disposed of as route disposition (other than as filled milk) in the marketing area:

§1004.12 [Amended]

2. In the introductory text of §1004.12(d)(2), the number "10" is changed to "15".

Signed at Washington, D.C., on April 21, 1978.

IRVING W. THOMAS,
Acting Deputy Administrator for Program Operations.

[FR Doc. 78-11464 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]

MILK IN THE EASTERN OHIO-WESTERN PENNSYLVANIA MARKETING AREA

Extension of Time for Filing Exceptions to the Recommended Decision on Proposed Amendments to Tentative Marketing Agreement and Order

AGENCY: Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA.

ACTION: Extension of time for filing exceptions to proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This notice extends the date for filing exceptions to a recommended decision concerning a proposed amended order regulating the handling of milk in the Eastern Ohio-Western Pennsylvania marketing area.

DATE: Exceptions now are due on or before May 17, 1978.

ADDRESS: Exceptions should be filed with the Hearing Clerk, Room 1077, South Building, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C., 20250.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Prior documents in this proceeding:


Notice is hereby given that the time for filing exceptions to the above listed recommended decision with respect to the proposed amendments to the tentative marketing agreement and to the order regulating the handling of milk in the Eastern Ohio-Western Pennsylvania marketing area is hereby extended to May 17, 1978.

This notice is issued pursuant to the provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601 et seq.), and the applicable rules of practice and procedure governing the formulation of marketing agreements and marketing orders (7 CFR Part 900).

Signed at Washington, D.C., on April 24, 1978.

WILLIAM T. MANLEY, Deputy Administrator, Marketing Program Operations.

[3410-05]

Commodity Credit Corporation

PROPOSED RULES

1978 RICE LOAN, PURCHASE AND PAYMENT PROGRAMS

Proposed Determinations Regarding 1978 Rice Price Support Program

AGENCY: Commodity Credit Corporation, USDA.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Secretary of Agriculture proposes to make determinations and issue regulations relative to the 1978 rice price support program, including: (a) The final loan and purchase rate for 1978-crop rice; (b) commodity eligibility and storage requirements; (c) premiums and discounts for grades, classes, other qualities, and location differentials; (d) the final established (target) price; and (e) other related provisions necessary to carry out the loan, purchase, and payment programs. These determinations are required to be made by the Secretary in accordance with provisions of the Agricultural Act of 1949, as amended. This notice invites comments on the proposed determinations.

DATES: In order to be sure of consideration, comments must be received on or before May 30, 1978.

ADDRESS: Acting Director, Production Adjustment Division, ASCS, USDA, Room 3630 South Building, P.O. Box 2415, Washington, D.C. 20013.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

George H. Schaefer (ASCS), 202-447-8480.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

(a) Loan and purchase level. Section 101 of the Agricultural Act of 1949, as amended by section 702 of the Food and Agriculture Act of 1977, provides that the Secretary shall make available to cooperatives loans and purchases for 1978-crop rice at such level as bears the same ratio to the 1977-crop rice loan and purchase rate as the 1978-crop rice established (target) price bears to the 1977-crop established (target) price. The Secretary determines that such loan and purchase level would substantially discourage the exportation of rice and result in excessive stocks of rice in the United States, the Secretary may establish a lower loan and purchase rate for the crop at such level, not less than $6.31 per hundredweight nor more than the rice parity price, as the Secretary determines necessary to avoid such consequences.

(b) Established (target) price level. Section 101 of the Agricultural Act of 1949, as amended by section 702 of the Food and Agriculture Act of 1977, provides that the established (target) price for 1978-crop rice will be the established (target) price for the 1977-crop rice adjusted to reflect any change in: (i) The average adjusted cost of production for the 1978 and 1977-crop years from (ii) the average adjusted cost of production for the 1975 and 1976-crop years. The adjusted cost of production for each such year shall be determined by the Secretary on the basis of such information as the Secretary finds necessary and appropriate for the purpose and shall be limited to: (1) Variable costs, (2) machinery ownership costs, and (3) general farm overhead costs, allocated to the crop involved on the basis of the proportion of the value of the total production derived from the crop.

(c) Additional information. The 1977-crop rice established (target) price is $8.25 per hundredweight. The 1977-crop rice loan and purchase price is $6.19 per hundredweight. The Department has announced a preliminary established (target) price of $8.53 per hundredweight for 1978-crop rice, based on the estimated change in the two-year moving average of variable costs, machinery ownership costs and general farm overhead costs for producing rice, as described in (b). The Department has also announced a preliminary 1978-crop loan and purchase level of $6.40 per hundredweight, in accordance with the ratio relationship described in (a).

PROPOSED RULE

The Secretary of Agriculture is considering the following determinations for the 1978 rice:

A. The final loan and purchase rate and established (target) price.

B. Commodity eligibility and storage requirements.

C. Premiums and discounts for grades, classes, and location differentials.

D. Other related provisions necessary to carry out the loan, purchase, and payment program.

Prior to making these determinations consideration will be given to any data, views, and recommendations submitted in writing to the Acting Director, Production Adjustment Division, Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250. All comments will be made available to the public at the office of the Acting Director, Production Adjustment Division, ASCS, USDA, during regular business hours (8:15 a.m. to 4:45 p.m.), Monday through Friday, in Room 3630, South Building, 14th and Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. (7 CFR 1.27(b)).

A draft economic impact statement has been prepared.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on April 21, 1978.

RAY FITZGERALD, Executive Vice President, Commodity Credit Corporation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Dalton J. Ustynik, ASCS, 202-447-6611, P.O. Box 2415, Washington, D.C. 20013.

[3410-05]

1978 AND SUBSEQUENT CROPS PEANUT FARM-STORED LOAN AND PURCHASE PROGRAM

AGENCY: Commodity Credit Corporation, USDA.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: Commodity Credit Corporation (CCC) proposes to amend the regulations which set forth the terms and conditions under which producers may obtain and settle farm storage loans and purchases for 1978 and subsequent crops of peanuts. Only quota peanuts will be eligible for farm-stored lands and purchases, and CCC will pay the cost of inspection at time of delivery. This proposed revision is necessary in order to implement the 1978 and subsequent crops farm stored peanut price support program.

DATE: In order to be sure of consideration, comments must be received on or before May 30, 1978.

ADDRESS: Send comments to the Director, Price Support and Loan Division, ASCS, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Room 3741, South Building, P.O. Box 2415, Washington, D.C. 20013.
PROPOSED RULES

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commodity Credit Corporation (CCC) is inviting comments on the proposed revisions to the regulations. All written submissions will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Director, Price Support and Loan Division, Room 3741, South Building, 14th and Independence Avenue SW., during regular business hours (7 CFR 1.27 (b)). It is proposed to revise the title of the subpart and 7 CFR sections 1421.280-1421.289 to read as follows:

Subpart—1978 and Subsequent Crops Peanut Farm-Stored Loan and Purchase Program

§1421.280 Purpose.

This subpart and the General Regulations Governing Price Support for the 1978 and Subsequent Crops in this Subchapter B to the extent that the provisions thereof are not made inapplicable by the provisions of this subpart, contain the terms and conditions under which CCC will make farm-stored peanut loans to, and purchases from, eligible producers of eligible 1978 and subsequent crops of farmer stock quota peanuts. Notwithstanding the provisions of the general regulations, CCC will not make warehouse storage loans directly to individual producers on 1978 and subsequent crops of peanuts. The General Regulations Covering 1978 and Subsequent Crops Peanut Warehouse Storage Loans and Handler Operations in this Subchapter B, and any amendments and annual crop supplements thereto (hereinafter referred to in this subpart as “the peanut warehouse storage regulations”), contain the terms and conditions under which eligible producers may obtain price support advances on eligible 1978 and subsequent crops of warehouse stored farmers stock peanuts from certain cooperative marketing associations which, acting in behalf of such producers collectively, will obtain price support warehouse storage loans from CCC.

§1421.281 Availability.

Producers desiring price support for farmers stock peanuts must request a farm-stored peanut loan or notify the ASCS county office of intentions to sell to CCC not later than the dates set forth in the applicable annual peanut crop supplement to the regulations in this subpart.

§1421.282 Eligible peanuts.

(a) General. In order to be eligible for a farm-stored peanut loan or for purchase, farmers stock peanuts, as defined in §1446.3 of the peanut warehouse storage regulations must meet the requirements of this section in addition to the other eligibility requirements of §1421.4 of the general regulations.

(b) Eligible producer. The peanuts must have been produced by an eligible producer in one of the areas defined in §1446.3(b) the peanut warehouse storage regulations. For the purpose of this subpart, an eligible producer is a producer who meets the requirements of §1421.3 of the general regulations and of §1446.13 in the peanut warehouse storage regulations.

(c) Types. The peanuts must be one of the types specified in §1446.3(mm) of the peanut warehouse storage regulations.

(d) Quota peanuts. Peanuts must be quota peanuts as defined in §1446.3(j) of the peanut warehouse storage regulations.

§1421.283 Determination of quantity and quality of farm-stored peanuts.

The type and quality of each lot of farmers stock peanuts acquired by CCC as a result of a loan or purchase shall be determined at the time of delivery to CCC by a Federal-State Inspector authorized or licensed by the Secretary, U.S. Department of Agriculture.

§1421.284 Storage deduction for early delivery.

The storage deduction for early delivery provided for in §1421.213 of the general regulations shall be five (5) per cent per day per ton for Virginia-type peanuts or four and two-tenths (4.2) per cent per day per ton for all other types of peanuts from the date delivery is accomplished to, and including, the original loan maturity date.

§1421.285 Determination of quantity.

The quantity of peanuts placed under loan shall be as set forth in the annual peanut crop supplement to the regulations in this subpart.

§1421.286 Delivery charge.

A delivery charge of 20 cents per ton net weight will be made for the quantity of peanuts acquired by CCC as a result of a loan or purchase and shall be paid in accordance with §1421.11 of the general regulations. As used in this subpart, the term “net weight” shall have the meaning specified in §1446.3(a) of the peanut warehouse storage regulations.

§1421.288 Maturity of loans.

Farm stored peanut loans will mature on demand but not later than the date specified in the annual peanut crop supplement to the regulations in this subpart.

§1421.289 Settlement.

(a) General. Settlement for eligible peanuts acquired by CCC under a loan or purchase will be made with the producer provided in paragraphs (a), (d), (e), (g), (j), and (k) only of §1421.22 of the general regulations and in this section. The producer may deliver under a farm-stored loan only the quantity of peanuts on which he had obtained the loan except as provided in item (b)(2)(i) of this section.

(b) Settlement values. The settlement value of the peanuts acquired by CCC shall be the amount computed on the basis of (1) the net weight and quality thereof; (2) the quota support rates, premiums and discounts provided in the annual peanut crop supplement to the regulations in this subpart except that the additional support rate shall be used (i) for any peanuts for a farm delivered by a producer to CCC which, when added to the peanuts otherwise marketed or considered marketed from the farm as quota peanuts, would exceed the farm poundage quota if CCC determines that the producer made an inadvertent error in determining the loan quantity or (ii) for all peanuts which do not grade Segregation 1 at time of delivery; (3) an allowance of four-tenths of a cent ($0.004) per pound, net weight, to compensate the producer for shrinkage during storage; and (4) discounts of (i) $2 per ton, net weight, for each full 1 percent of foreign material in excess of 10 percent, and (ii) $10 per ton, net weight, for peanuts containing more than 10 percent moisture.


RAY FITZGERALD,
Executive Vice President,
Commodity Credit Corporation.

[FR Doc. 78-11503 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]
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AVAILABILITY OF NPRM

Any person may obtain a copy of this notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) by submitting a request to the Federal Aviation Administration, Office of Public Affairs, Attention: Public Information Center, APA-430, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, D.C. 20591, or by calling 202-426-8058. Communications must identify the notice number of this NPRM. Persons interested in being placed on a mailing list for future NPRMs should also request a copy of Advisory Circular No. 11-2 which describes the application procedures.

THE PROPOSAL

The FAA is considering an amendment to Subpart G of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR Part 71) to designate the Hurtsboro, Ala., 700-foot transition area. This action will provide additional controlled airspace to accommodate aircraft conducting Instrument Flight Rule (IFR) operations.

COMMENTARY

Interested persons may participate in the proposed rulemaking by submitting such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the airspace docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the Director, Southern Region, Federal Aviation Administration, Attention: Chief, Air Traffic Division, P.O. Box 20636, Atlanta, Ga. 30320. Comments must be received on or before June 30, 1978.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mr. Joseph T. Taber, Airspace Specialist, Operations, Procedures and Airspace Branch, Federal Aviation Administration, Rocky Mountain Region, 10455 East 25th Avenue, Aurora, Colo. 80010; telephone 303-837-3937.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The principal authors of this document are William F. Herring, Airspace and Procedures Branch, Federal Aviation Administration, Atlanta, Ga. 30320; telephone 404-783-7646.

HURTSBORO, ALABAMA

This airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 6.5-mile radius of Sehoy Airport (Lat. 32°13'12" N., Long. 85°28'05" W.).

(See 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended (49 U.S.C. 1348(a)); sec. 8(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1658(c))).

NOTE.—The Federal Aviation Administration has determined that this document does not contain a major proposal requiring preparation of an Economic Impact Statement under Executive Order 11218, as amended by Executive order 11494, and OMB Circular A-197.

Submitted in East Point, Ga., on April 19, 1978.

George R. LaCaille,
Acting Director, Southern Region.

[FR Doc. 78-11459 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]
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CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

[14 CFR Part 369]

[Spec. Reg. Docket 31735, SPDR-62B; SPDR-63B]

PROTECTION OF CHARTER PARTICIPANTS' FUNDS

Extension of Comment Period

April 21, 1978.

AGENCY: Civil Aeronautics Board.

ACTION: Supplemental Notice of Proposed Rulemaking.

SUMMARY: This notice extends for another 60 days the filing date for comments in a rulemaking proceeding that proposes a new part to the Board's Special Regulations establishing uniform procedures for the protection of charter participants' funds. The extension was requested by attorneys for the American Society of Travel Agents, Inc. (ASTA) and the Air Charter Tour Operators of America (ACTOA).


Reply comments by: July 31, 1978.

Docket Number: SPDR-63A.

ADDRESS: Comments should be submitted in five copies, when feasible, to Docket 31735, Docket Section, Civil Aeronautics Board, Washington, D.C. 20428.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

By Notice of Proposed Rulemaking SPD-63 and SPDR-62, dated November 22, 1977 (42 FR 61408 and 42 FR 61420, December 2, 1977), the Board proposed amending its Special Regulations to establish a new scheme for the protection of charter participants' funds. The proposed new Part 369 would require a depository escrow account to be established in one of three forms of additional security agreements.

The deadline for filing comments in response to the notice, originally set for January 31, 1978, was extended until May 1, 1978, in SPDR-62A/SPDR-63a, dated January 19, 1978 (43 FR 3285, January 24, 1978), Docket 31735. The extension of time was granted upon the request of several charter operators and carriers, in order to allow additional time to collect data and to study the impact of the proposed rule on the charter industry and particularly on those operators who are small businesses.

The Board has now received letters from attorneys for ASTA and ACTOA, requesting an extension of an additional 60 days for the filing of comments. In support of the request they state that a further extension of time is required in view of significant recent changes in the charter industry. The petitioners state that time is needed to study the impact recent changes in charter regulations will have on the soundness of the proposed rules to protect charter participants' funds. In addition, they note that the extensive involvement of charterer associations in recent Board proceedings has been time-consuming and has diverted effort from the issues raised in SPDR-63 and SPDR-62, particularly from the development of sound alternatives to the Board's proposed rule.

Upon consideration of the above, the undersigned finds good cause to grant these requests for another extension of time for the preparation of views on the proposed rule. However, because of the extraordinary nature of the request, it is emphasized that no further extensions should be expected.

Accordingly, pursuant to authority delegated in § 385.20(d) of the Board's Organization Regulations (14 CFR 385.20(d)), the time for filing comments is extended to June 30, 1978, and the time for filing reply comments is extended to July 31, 1978.

(Sec. 204(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended 72 Stat. 743, 49 U.S.C. 1324).

Simon J. Eisenberg, Associate General Counsel, Rules Division.

[FR Doc. 78-11461 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]

[6750-01]

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

[16 CFR Part 461]

CHILDREN'S ADVERTISING

Proposed Trade Regulation Rulemaking and Public Hearing

AGENCY: Federal Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: This rulemaking will consider certain restrictions regarding television advertising to children. This notice describes the procedures to be followed in this proceeding.


Proposed disputed issues of fact that are material and necessary to resolve at a public hearing, requests to cross-examine at a public hearing witnesses who appeared at the public hearing, and request to present oral rebuttal at a public hearing must be received or before January 15, 1979. Disputed issues, if any, will be published February 4, 1979, and rulings of the Presiding Officer regarding request to present rebuttal statements and conduct cross-examination and regarding the designation of group representatives will be made shortly thereafter. Verbatim rebuttal statements for disputed issues hearing must be received in writing on or before March 29, 1979. A disputed issues hearing, if any, will begin April 2, 1979.

Written rebuttal statements must be received within 20 days following the completion of the disputed issues hearing. A staff report will be released July 27, 1979. The Presiding Officer's report will be released September 12, 1979. Comments on reports will be received until October 12, 1979.

ADDRESS: All documents submitted in response to this notice should be submitted in five copies, when feasible, to Morton Needleman, Presiding Officer, Children's Advertising, Federal Trade Commission, Washington, D.C. 20580. These documents will be available for public inspection in Room 120, Federal Trade Commission Building, 6th Street and Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. In addition, the verbatim statements of witnesses appearing for the San Francisco segment of the legislative hearing will be available for examination in Room 12470, Federal Building, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, Calif. The legislative hearing will commence November 6, 1978 at 9 a.m., in Room 12135, Federal Building, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, Calif. The hearing will continue at 9 a.m., November 20, 1978 in Room 332, Federal Trade Commission Building, 6th Street and Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20580.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Ellis M. Ratner, Program Advisor for Children's Advertising, Federal Trade Commission.
PROPOSED RULES

Consumer Protection and Finance. During those hearings Chairman Eckhartard observed:

For instance, if as in the case of the Federal Trade Commission process you invite, at an early stage, designation of areas for cross-examination, it seems to me that your rather invite a tedious, lengthy, detailed adversarial process. Whereas, under (EPA's) proposed procedure in toxic substances, you don't designate areas until after you have gone through the legislative hearing and you have had the panel-type decision. You get a lot of steam blown off at the level which may lighten you load later. (H.R. Rep. No. 96-44, 95th Cong., 1st Sess. 28-29 (1977).)

The Commission believes this procedure, which is designed to expedite this proceeding while affording interested persons all of their statutory rights, is necessary in view of the need to explore expeditiously the health and other issues raised by this proceeding as well as generally to expedite the conduct of Commission rulemaking.

The Commission has also determined, pursuant to 16 CFR 1.20, to provide for designation of the presiding officer by the Chairman. The current and projected demands on the time of the existing ranks of presiding officers necessitated this departure from the normal procedure (see 16 CFR 1.13 calling for selection of the presiding officer by the Special Assistant Director for Rulemaking).

SECTION A.—THE PROPOSED RULE FOR CHILDREN'S ADVERTISING

The Commission's determination to commence this rulemaking proceeding arises from consideration of two petitions for rulemaking concerning television advertising of sugared products to children. On April 6, 1977, the Commission received a petition from Action for Children's Television (ACT Petition) requesting the promulgation of a trade regulation rule prohibiting the advertising of candy products4 on television (a) where the dominant appeal of that advertising is to children, or (b) before 9:05 p.m., or (c)programs where children make up at least half the audience. On April 26, 1977, the Commission received a petition from the Center for Science in the Public Interest (CSPI Petition) requesting the promulgation of a trade regulation rule prohibiting advertising on television of snack foods5 containing added sugar and requiring disclosures of amounts of added sugar in other food products with significant amounts of sugar6 as well as dental health risks associated with their consumption. The regulations proposed by CSPI would apply only during "children's programming."7

In support of the relief requested, each petition cites evidence relating to the numbers of television advertisements for sugared products seen by children each year;8 the limited ability of young children—particularly pre-schoolers—to recognize "selling intent";9 the "believability" of commercial children's television messages,10 and the tendency of these television advertisements for sugared products to promote unbalanced over-sugared diets which has led to pandemic levels of tooth decay in this country.11 Both petitions cite the dangers to dental health occasioned by consumption of sugared products—particularly between meals—and review other medical evidence that consumption of sucrose—particularly if in excess—contributes to tooth decay in this country.12 Both petitions cite the dangers to dental health occasioned by consumption of sugared products—particularly between meals—and review other medical evidence that consumption of sucrose—particularly if in excess—contributes to tooth decay in this country.12

4.ACT does not define "candy products" and proposes that the American Dental Association or other appropriate body define the term so as to cover offenders. See ACT Petition at 50-51.

5 CSPI is a non-profit organization located in Washington, D.C. which investigates and endeavors to solve problems related to food and the environment. CSPI Petition at 2. That Petition is also on the public record and available for inspection.

6 CSPI defines "children's programming" as comprising those programs which children most often watch, 14. .

7 CSPI defines "significant" when employed in the context of "significant amount of added sugar content" as sugar constituting 10 percent or more of the caloric content of the food product in question. CSPI Petition at 6.

8 CSPI defines "snack foods" as those food products which are depicted in advertising as being eaten between or after meals rather than as part of a meal. CSPI Petition at 15.

9 CSPI defines "significant" when employed in the context of "significant amount of added sugar content" as sugar constituting 10 percent or more of the caloric content of the food product in question. CSPI Petition at 6.

10 CSPI defines "children's programming" as comprising those programs which children most often watch, 14. .

11 CSPI defines "significant" when employed in the context of "significant amount of added sugar content" as sugar constituting 10 percent or more of the caloric content of the food product in question. CSPI Petition at 6.

12 CSPI defines "children's programming" as comprising those programs which children most often watch, 14. .
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The staff's recommendation is one possible response to the need for a comprehensive remedial approach and the Commission desires that the "package" proposed by the staff receive serious consideration. Accordingly, the Commission invites comment on the advisability and manner of implementation of a rule which would include the following three elements:

(a) Ban all televised advertising for any product which is directed to, or seen by, audiences composed of a significant proportion of children who are too young to understand the selling purpose of or otherwise comprehend or evaluate the advertising;

(b) Ban televised advertising for sugared food products directed to, or seen by, audiences composed of a significant proportion of older children, the consumption of which products poses the most serious dental health risks;

(c) Require televised advertising for sugared food products not included in Paragraph (b), which is directed to, or seen by, audiences composed of a significant proportion of older children, to be balanced by nutritional and/or health disclosures funded by advertisers.

In addition, the Commission desires comment on the appropriateness and workability of the following alternative remedial approaches, as well as other possible remedies not contained in the foregoing list or discussed in the staff report:

1. Affirmative disclosures located in the body of advertisements for highly cariogenic products directed to children.

2. Affirmative disclosures and nutritional information contained in separate advertisements, funded by advertisers of highly cariogenic products advertised to children.

3. Limitations upon particular advertising messages, techniques used to advertise to very young children, or to advertise highly cariogenic products to all children.

4. Limitations upon the number and frequency of advertisements directed at very young children based upon the number and frequency of all advertisements of highly cariogenic products directed at all children.

The staff's recommendation is one possible response to the need for a comprehensive remedial approach and the Commission desires that the "package" proposed by the staff receive serious consideration. Accordingly, the Commission invites comment on the advisability and manner of implementation of a rule which would include the following three elements:

(a) Ban all televised advertising for any product which is directed to, or seen by, audiences composed of a significant proportion of children who are too young to understand the selling purpose of or otherwise comprehend or evaluate the advertising;

(b) Ban televised advertising for sugared food products directed to, or seen by, audiences composed of a significant proportion of older children, the consumption of which products poses the most serious dental health risks;

(c) Require televised advertising for sugared food products not included in Paragraph (b), which is directed to, or seen by, audiences composed of a significant proportion of older children, to be balanced by nutritional and/or health disclosures funded by advertisers.

In addition, the Commission desires comment on the appropriateness and workability of the following alternative remedial approaches, as well as other possible remedies not contained in the foregoing list or discussed in the staff report:

1. Affirmative disclosures located in the body of advertisements for highly cariogenic products directed to children.

2. Affirmative disclosures and nutritional information contained in separate advertisements, funded by advertisers of highly cariogenic products advertised to children.

3. Limitations upon particular advertising messages, techniques used to advertise to very young children, or to advertise highly cariogenic products to all children.

4. Limitations upon the number and frequency of advertisements directed at very young children based upon the number and frequency of all advertisements of highly cariogenic products directed at all children.

SECTION B—INVITATION TO COMMENT

Any interested person may submit to Morton Needelman, Presiding Officer, Children's Advertising, Federal Trade Commission, Washington, D.C. 20580, any written comments regarding the above proposal.

1. Affirmative disclosures located in the body of advertisements for highly cariogenic products directed to children.

2. Affirmative disclosures and nutritional information contained in separate advertisements, funded by advertisers of highly cariogenic products advertised to children.

3. Limitations upon particular advertising messages, techniques used to advertise to very young children, or to advertise highly cariogenic products to all children.

4. Limitations upon the number and frequency of advertisements directed at very young children based upon the number and frequency of all advertisements of highly cariogenic products directed at all children.

SECTION C—GENERAL QUESTION AND ISSUES

While interested persons are invited to address any questions of fact, law or policy which they feel may have bearing upon the proposed rule, listed below are a few questions and issues of fact about which the Commission particularly desires comment, in writing or orally at the legislative hearing.

1. Is there a specific age below which significant numbers of children are unable to understand the selling purpose of, or are otherwise unable to comprehend or evaluate, advertising? If so, what is that age?

2. Is televised advertising for any product directed to, or seen by, young children who are unable to understand its selling purpose, or are otherwise unable to comprehend or evaluate that advertising, unfair and/or deceptive within the meaning of Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act? If so, is the remedy suggested in paragraph (a) of the Staff proposal appropriate?

3. How should the terms "directed to" or "seen by" be defined? For example, should restrictions be imposed when young children constitute a certain minimum percentage of the viewing audience? If so, what percentage be? Should the percentage vary with the time of day? Are there other feasible regulatory mechanisms by which to define the term "directed to" or seen by?'

4. Will the proposed ban on televised advertising to young children adversely affect the quantity or quality of children's television programming? If so, what is that age?

5. Are there alternatives to a ban on televised advertising to very young children that the Commission should consider? Comment on the propriety and feasibility of alternatives is invited.

6. Is there evidence which bears upon the proposition that advertising of certain highly sugared products to children causes increased tooth decay in children, by causing greater consumption of highly cariogenic products by children than would occur without the advertising? If so, how serious is the effect of such advertising, and what improvement in the incidence of tooth decay might be expected to result by limiting or prohibiting the advertising of such products to children? Is there evidence to indicate that tele-
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vised advertising of sugared products is more harmful to children than other forms of promotion, especially at the point of sales messages? Does this harm justify more stringent restrictions, including bans?

7. In a report prepared for the Food and Drug Administration, the Federation of American Societies for Experimental Biology stated that "Sucrose is among the most cariogenic substances. However, the magnitude of the effect is complex and depends on frequency of consumption, duration of exposure, the form in which the sucrose is fed and the nature of the other materials eaten with sucrose." What factors affect the cariogenicity of sucrose and what are their relative magnitudes?

8. Does between-meal consumption of sugared products have a sufficiently greater negative impact on dental health than consumption of sugared products at mealtime so as to justify more stringent restrictions on advertising of the former than the latter? If so, how should regulations be phrased so as to distinguish between the two classes of products?

9. What evidence is there that sugar consumption contributes to or aids as a potentiating factor with respect to non-dental health or nutrition-related problems, whether in childhood or in later life?

10. What is the nature and extent of the awareness of children at various ages of the impact on their dental health or nutrition of consumption of sugared products? Does this awareness vary with the product? What is the impact of advertising of sugared products on that awareness? Does the impact vary with the product?

11. How should the term "directed to or seen by" be defined with respect to the elderly group of children, the Federations, how much television advertising be accounted for children when children constitute a majority of the audience viewing it? Are there any other definitions which are appropriate?

12. Should warnings or disclosures be presented to children in televised sugared snack food advertising? Should they include disclosure of sugar content or of dental health risks or other risks to health? How can information concerning possible harms arising out of sugar consumption be communicated most effectively to children? Should it be presented in the course of children's programming or at other times? Should all nutritional and nutritional-related television messages be directed to children and to parents? Can that information be presented most effectively within the advertising for the product itself, or elsewhere? Are there certain techniques or approaches, such as animation or make-believe settings, which will ensure that such message are meaningful? How can messages be made appropriate for children of differing ages in the viewing audience?

13. Who should devise such messages? Should advertisers of products regulated by paragraph (c) prepare these disclosures? Or should they be prepared by other persons or organizations? Are there persons or organizations with expertise in the subject areas of dentistry, nutrition and medicine, as well as in communicating with children, who are interested in participating in a program designed to provide children with supplementary information concerning harms arising out of sugar consumption? How can the Commission ensure that such messages are professionally created and produced?

14. Will the remedies proposed in paragraphs (b) and (c) — a ban on advertising of sugared foods and balanced nutritional and health disclosures for certain other sugared foods — adversely affect the quality or quantity of children's television programming? Are there considerations — economic, competitive, legal or otherwise — which would preclude or limit reductions in the quantity or quality of children's television programming?

15. Various remedies designed to undo harms arising out of television advertising of sugared products to children are identified and discussed at Part VI of the staff's Report to the Commission. Comment on the propriety and feasibility of each of these remedies, whether alone or in combination with others, is invited. In particular, we invite comment on whether there are certain categories of claims which are so likely to negatively influence children, should be prohibited in television advertising of sugared products to children. Such claims might include, for example, excessive promotions of the virtues of the products for which you prefer to appear in San Francisco or Washington, D.C., and it must include a list containing any dates between November 6, 1978, and December 18, 1978, on which you could not be present to give your statement.

The Presiding Officer has the right to limit the number of witnesses to be heard if the orderly conduct of the hearing so requires. Although the Presiding Officer may change the deadlines established by this notice, they will not be extended and hearing dates will not be postponed unless hardship to participants can be demonstrated.

1. Conduct of the legislative hearing. The Presiding Officer, and author for this proceeding shall have all powers prescribed in 16 CFR 1.13(c), subject to the limitations described in this notice. He shall preside at the legislative hearing and may be accompanied by one or more members of the Commission and such experts, including Commission staff economists, as the Presiding Officer may designate to assist him. Any member of this panel may question any participant in the hearing on any subject relating to the rule. Interested persons may submit questions in writing for the Presiding Officer to ask and he may, in his discretion, ask any question. Any person who appears at the legislative hearing and may be required to appear at the disputed issues hearing for cross-examination.

2. Instructions to participants. a. Presentation of your statement. It will not be necessary for you to read your statement or summarize it at the hearing. You may summarize your statement or simply appear to answer questions...
with regard to the important aspects of that statement. No witness will be allowed more than 20 minutes to read or summarize his or her statement unless express approval is given in advance by the Presiding Officer.

b. Use of exhibits. The use of exhibits during oral presentations is encouraged, especially when they are to be used to help clarify technical or complex matters. If you plan to offer documents as exhibits, they must be filed during the general comment period so they can be studied by other interested persons. Mark each of the documents with your name, and number them in sequence, e.g., Jones Exhibit 1.

c. Expert witnesses. If you are going to testify as an expert witness, you must attach to your statement a curriculum vitae, biographical sketch, resume, or summary of your professional background and any bibliography of your publications. It would be helpful if you would also include documentation for the opinions and conclusions you express by footnotes in your statement referring to the exhibits. If your testimony is based upon or chiefly concerned with one or two major scientific works, copies must be furnished. The remaining citations to other works can be accomplished by using footnotes in your statement referring to those works.

d. Results of surveys and other research studies. If in your testimony you will present the results of a survey or other research study, as distinguished from simple references to previous published studies conducted by others, you must also present as an exhibit or exhibits in compliance with paragraph (a) above the following:

i. A complete report of the survey or other research study, including the information and documents listed in (ii) through (v) if they are not included in that report.

ii. A description of the sampling procedure and selection process, including the number of interviews completed, and the number of persons who refused to participate in the survey.

iii. Copies of all completed questionnaires or interview reports used in conducting the survey study if respondents were permitted to answer questions in words of their choice rather than to select an answer from one or more answers printed on the questionnaire or interview.

iv. A description of the methodology used in conducting the survey or other research study including the selection of and instructions to interviewers, introductory remarks by interviewers to respondents, and a sample questionnaire or other data collection instrument.

v. A description of the statistical procedures used to analyze the data and all data tables which underlie the results reported.

Other interested persons may wish to examine the questionnaires, data collection forms and any other underlying data not offered as exhibits and which were used to conduct analyses should be made available (with appropriate explanatory data) upon request of the Presiding Officer. The Presiding Officer will then be in a position to permit their use by other interested persons or their counsel.

e. Other information relevant to the rule. Any person who seeks to present information either orally or in writing, shall also present any studies or surveys in the possession, custody or control of the person or the organization he represents or is otherwise compensated by, in connection with the proceeding which support, contradict or otherwise pertain to the person's presentation. This need not include information submitted by any other person or information which is publicly available.

SECTION E—DISPUTED ISSUES HEARING

1. Designation of issues and witnesses. No later than January 15, 1979, any interested person may submit for inclusion in the Federal Register designating any issues which it has determined to be disputed issues of fact that are material and necessary to resolve within the meaning of Section 18 of the FTC Act.

If you are proposing disputed issues, you must identify your interest with respect to each issue. The issues must be ones of specific or adjudicative, in contrast to legislative, fact. See H.R. Rep. No. 93-1806, 93rd Cong., 2d Sess. 33 (1974) (Conference Report); Davis, Section D, at 7.04 (1974 Supp.). Other types of crucial issues about which a bona fide dispute (established on the written record or at the legislative hearing) exists should be proposed to the Commission.

They are the types of issues that are generally susceptible to definitive resolution through cross-examination. It is therefore possible that few, if any issues will require designation.

In any event, the Commission expects that substantially fewer issues will be designated than have been designated in most previous Commission rulemakings under Section 18 of the Federal Trade Commission Act. Because a disputed issues hearing may be unnecessary and in any event will narrow the issues in scope, interested persons wishing to ensure that their views are fully considered should make full submissions to the record during the initial comment period or at the legislative hearing, the specific presentation to which the cross-examination or rebuttal will be addressed, and a proposed disputed issues hearing to the cross-examination or rebuttal relates. The Presiding Officer has the power to disallow any cross-examination or rebuttal presentation which is not directed to a disputed issue or which will be allowed by the Commission or which is not appropriate and required for a full and true disclosure with respect to such an issue. Further presentations will be permitted at this hearing. The Presiding Officer may conduct any experimentation, including cross-examination, to which a person may be entitled.

2. Notice of disputed issues. No later than February 27, 1979, the Commission shall publish notice in the Federal Register designating any issues which it has determined to be disputed issues of fact that are material and necessary to resolve. The Commission may decide that some of those issues may be resolved by means of written rebuttal submissions only and will identify any such issues in the notice. Shortly thereafter, the Presiding Officer shall determine whether cross-examination and oral rebuttal presentations will be allowed and shall identify groups of persons with the same or similar interests in the proceeding who will be required to choose a single representative for purposes of cross-examination. If a group is unable to select an representative, then the Presiding Officer may select a representative of each group provided that no person shall be denied the opportunity to cross-examine if she or he seeks to present substantial and relevant issues which will not be adequately presented by the group representative.

3. Conduct of hearing. A disputed issues hearing shall begin on April 2, 1979. No later than March 29, 1979, persons who will make oral rebuttal presentations shall file with the Presiding Officer a verbatim account of their presentations. This advance notice is required so that other interested persons can prepare for cross-examination if appropriate. The instructions of Section D (2) above apply equally with respect to rebuttal presentations in the disputed issues hearing.

SECTION F—POST-HEARING PROCEDURES

Interested persons will be afforded 20 days after the close of the disputed issues hearing to file written rebuttal submissions concerning disputed issues designated for the disputed issues hearing or disputed issues identified by the Commission on which only written rebuttal submissions will be re-
PROPOSED RULES

By direction of the Commission.

CAROL M. THOMAS,
Secretary.

(FR Doc. 78-11323 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am)

§ 1.115, 1116
SUBSTANTIAL PRODUCT HAZARDS
Proposed Requirements, Policies, and Procedures

AGENCY: Consumer Product Safety Commission.

ACTION: Opportunity for oral presentation and additional comments on proposed regulation.

SUMMARY: On September 15, 1977, the Commission proposed for public comment a rule setting forth its interpretation of the requirements of section 15(b) of the Consumer Product Safety Act that manufacturers, importers, distributors, and retailers of consumer products immediately report to the Commission products that fail to comply with an applicable consumer product safety rule or contain a defect which could create a substantial product hazard. The proposed rule would clarify when a firm has obtained information which reasonable support the conclusion that one of its products contains a reportable non-conformity with an applicable consumer product safety rule or a defect which could create a substantial product hazard. In addition, the proposed rule defines the information that must be supplied to the Commission as part of a report under section 15 and sets forth procedures and policies governing processing of reports and remedial action. The purpose of this notice is to announce that due to the number of comments received on this proposal and the importance and complexity of the issues raised, the Commission decided to hold a limited public hearing to receive oral presentations and has decided to receive written comments specifically directed to the issues identified in this notice. Comments should not duplicate comments previously submitted.

DATES: (1) Those unable to appear at the public hearing may submit written comments on the specific issues identified in this notice by May 5, 1978. (2) There will be an opportunity for interested persons to orally present data, views, or arguments regarding these specific issues on May 5, 1978, at 9:30 a.m. in Room 310, Third Floor, Federal Building, Second Avenue, Seattle Wash. Oral presentations should not exceed ten (10) minutes. Those wishing to make oral presentations should notify the Commission's Seattle area office 206-442-5278, by May 4, 1978. A written copy of the oral comments should be submitted to the Office of the Secretary of the Commission by May 12, 1978.

ADDRESS: Written comments should be submitted to the Office of the Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Washington, D.C. 20207. All material which the Commission has that is relevant to this proposed regulation, including comments that have been or may be received regarding the proposed regulation, may be seen in and copies obtained from the Office of the Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission.

Persons wishing to make oral presentations should contact: Mr. Lee Baxter at the Commission's Seattle area office, 206-442-5278.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

BACKGROUND

On September 16, 1977, the Consumer Product Safety Commission (Commission) published in the Federal Register proposed regulations entitled "Substantial Product Hazards. Proposed Reporting Requirements for Manufacturers, Importers, Distributors, and Retailers of Products" under section 15(b) of the Consumer Product Safety Act (CPSA) (15 U.S.C. 2064(b)) and invited comments from the public (42 FR 46720). Section 15(b) of the CPSA requires that every manufacturer, distributor, or retailer of a consumer product who obtains information which reasonably supports the conclusion that such product either fails to comply with an applicable consumer product safety rule, or contains a defect which could create a substantial product hazard, shall immediately inform the Commission, unless such manufacturer, distributor, or retailer has actual knowledge that the Commission has been adequately informed of such defect or failure to comply. Section 15(a) defines a substantial product hazard as a failure to comply with an applicable consumer product safety rule or a product defect which because of the pattern of defect, the severity of the risk, the number of defective products, or other reasons, presents a substantial risk of injury to the consumer.

The Commission must take all feasible actions that the Commission can take to eliminate a hazard, including ordering the firms in question to notify the public, and/or to repair, replace, or
refund the purchase price of the product. In addition, the Commission may seek to enjoin further sale or distribution of the product.

The proposed rule combines into one regulation many of the existing Commission policies and procedures under section 15(b) set forth in 16 CFR Parts 1115 and 1116. In addition, it clarifies the reporting requirements of section 15(b) by defining the term “defect” and including the information that are reportable, and explaining when an obligation to report arises.

The Commission received 133 comments from consumer groups, manufacturers, importers, distributors, retailers, trade associations, private labelers, and others concerning various aspects of the proposed rule. Because a number of these comments indicate concern or confusion about basic provisions of the proposed rule, the Commission has determined that it is in the public interest to allow interested parties to make oral presentations on the specific concerns raised by the Commission in a public hearing. Parties unable to make an oral presentation may submit written comments on these issues. The Commission asks that, in providing possible, commenters should provide alternative language, economic data, and specific examples to support their arguments. The Commission believes that the additional comments on these issues will help it to formulate a fair and effective final rule. The Commission is considering issuing this rule as a substantive rather than an interpretative rule. The Commission asks that commenters evaluate the impact of each of the provisions for which comments are solicited with this possibility in mind. As a result, commenters may wish to address the impact that one of these provisions might have with if it is legislative or interpretative in nature.

**Issues Raised**

From the comments already received, the Commission has identified the issues discussed below as those aspects of the proposal producing the greatest misunderstanding or controversy. The Commission is therefore seeking additional comments limited specifically to one or more of these issues. At the oral presentation, commenters not addressing one or more of these issues may be ruled out of order.

The fact that the Commission is not seeking comment on all issues contained in the proposed regulation does not mean that the Commission has foreclosed all thought on issues for which comments are not being sought. Rather, it means that the Commission believes that adequate comments have already been received on the excluded issues.

1. The definition of defect as any aspect of a product which creates an unnecessary risk of injury (proposed §1115.3(b)(3)).

The failure to include a definition of “defect” in the CPSA has created uncertainty for the Commission and those subject to the Act in determining when a section 15(b) report is required. The Commission has viewed the legal concept of “defect” as having a meaning broader than the dictionary or common usage definition. In specific cases, the Commission has applied section 15(b) to consumer products manufactured exactly in accordance with specifications but posing a substantial risk of injury inherent in the design of the product. In another case, the staff believes that the failure to provide adequate installation instructions for an otherwise safety-designed and constructed consumer product creates a reportable “defect.” The proposed definition of “defect,” contained in §1115.3(b)(3), represents an effort to incorporate the Commission’s broad interpretation of the word and provide guidance to parties subject to section 15(b). Numerous comments on the proposed definition have indicated that it is too broad, imprecise and subjective. Alternative approaches would be to have no definition at all or to describe the factors that the Commission includes in its concept of “defect,” including design, construction, packaging, etc. The Commission seeks comments on these alternatives and invites additional proposals.

2. A firm is deemed to have received information 5 days after an employee has received the information (proposed §1115.10(d)).

Proposed §1115.10(d) provides that a firm subject to section 15(b) is deemed to have received information within a reasonable time (less than 5 working days, within which the information has been received by an official or employee of the firm in the normal course of business. The proposal reflects Commission experience with firms that failed to provide adequate internal procedures for transmitting product safety information to the officer or employee responsible for reporting to the Commission. Commenters have objected to the Commission’s selection of 5 working days as a maximum reasonable time. The Commission wishes to know from firms that have established internal procedures for transmitting product safety information and have delegated the responsibility for handling such information in a timely reasonable period of time. Please provide the reasons for the answer. Suggestions and discussions are invited on alternatives for meeting the Commission’s concern.

The presumption that a product-related death or grievous bodily injury should be reported unless a firm has clear evidence that the death or injury is not the result of a product defect or nonconformity with a consumer product safety rule (proposed §1115.11(a)).

The Commission views the reporting requirement of section 15(b) as one of the most important statutory mechanisms for safeguarding the public from injury from consumer products. Proposed §1115.11(a) establishes a presumption that firms have obtained information which reasonably supports the conclusion that a product fails to conform with an applicable consumer product safety rule or contains a defect which could create a substantial product hazard when it receives information that the product was involved in a death or grievous bodily injury, unless it has clear evidence that the injury was not caused by a nonconformity or defect. The Commission staff anticipates that if this section is adopted as a final regulation, firms learning of a death or grievous bodily injury will either utilize the period provided for investigation and evaluation (§1115.11(c)(1)) or will immediately notify the Commission (§1115.11(c)(2)). Many commenters share this presumption and predict that proposed §1115.11(a) will require firms to report a large volume of useless information on conforming or nondefective products because there is not an adequate opportunity for subject firms to assess the safety of nondefective products or the accuracy of the accident report. They question whether the Commission will be able to assess the resulting defect reports. In addition, many express concern that the reporting of useless information will increase the risk of private products liability suits against subject firms. In view of the controversy surrounding this proposal, the Commission invites new comments and alternative proposals to retain the basic idea that firms be encouraged to investigate serious accidents involving their products to determine if a substantial product hazard may be present.

4. The listing of types of information which the Commission believes should be studied and evaluated to determine if there is an obligation to report; the allowance of a period, not to exceed 10 working days, to conduct such study and evaluation (proposed §1115.11(b)).

Proposed §1115.11(b) lists several types of information that a manufacturer, importer, distributor, or retailer should study and evaluate, absent a report of death or grievous bodily injury associated with a consumer product, to determine if there is an obligation to report under section 15(b). The purpose of the section is to be sure subject firms recognize that information which may trigger the reporting obligation may be obtained from sources other than a report of death or grievous bodily injury. For
example, consumer complaints may lead a manufacturer to conduct an engineering or laboratory analysis of the product. The results may, in turn, lead to design or quality control changes. The Commission finds that the product contained a substantial product hazard, the information should be returned to the party that submitted it. The Commission is seeking comments specifically on these proposals.

5. The confidentiality and disclosure of information submitted to the Commission in a report under section 15 (proposed § 1115.13).

Proposed § 1115.13 provides that a person who submits information in a report under section 15 must submit with the report a written request (or indicate that such a request will be submitted within 10 working days) that the information be considered exempt from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, as amended (15 U.S.C. 552(b)), or the CPSA. The proposed section also describes CPSA section 6(b), which generally requires 30 days notification to manufacturers and private labelers before information is made public. Comments on this proposed section included suggestions that the Commission treat as confidential all information received in the initial report, all information submitted until the Commission finds that the product contains a substantial product hazard, or all information submitted until the report. The majority of other boards of contract appeals procedures published in the Federal Register, May 27, 1967 (32 FR 7772), amended September 23, 1967 (32 FR 13411), re-issued March 4, 1972, (37 FR 4867), and again re-issued September 6, 1977, (42 FR 45176). The rule, which the Department's Contract Appeals Board proposes to adopt have been adopted in major part by a majority of other boards of contract appeals in the executive establishment.

Office of the Secretary, 3rd floor, 1111 18th Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20207.


SAYDE DUNN,
Acting Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission.
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DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
Office of the Secretary
[41 CFR Part 12-60]
[OST Docket No. 18; Notice 78-21]

PROCUREMENT REGULATIONS
Contract Appeals Procedures

AGENCY: Department of Transportation, Office of the Secretary.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Department of Transportation is proposing to revise the procedures of its Contract Appeals Board and also to amend the authority of the Board members. The proposed revisions to the Board's contract appeals procedures will bring them into substantial conformity with the Uniform Rules of Practice for Boards of Contract Appeals, as proposed by the National Conference of Boards of Contract Appeals Members.

DATES: Comments should be received by June 12, 1978.

ADDRESS: Comments should be submitted to Docket Clerk, OST Docket No. 18, Department of Transportation, Contract Appeals Board, Room 9126, Nassif Building, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, D.C. 20590, 202-442-4305.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Gerson B. Kramer, Chairman, Department of Transportation Contract Appeals Board, Room 9126, Nassif Building, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, D.C. 20590, 202-442-4305.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
The revision will change current contract appeals procedures published in the Federal Register, May 27, 1967 (32 FR 7772), amended September 23, 1967 (32 FR 13411), re-issued March 4, 1972, (37 FR 4867), and again re-issued September 6, 1977, (42 FR 45176). The rule, which the Department's Contract Appeals Board proposes to adopt have been adopted in major part by a majority of other boards of contract appeals in the executive establishment.

1. The revision will change current contract appeals procedures published in the Federal Register, May 27, 1967 (32 FR 7772), amended September 23, 1967 (32 FR 13411), re-issued March 4, 1972, (37 FR 4867), and again re-issued September 6, 1977, (42 FR 45176). The rule, which the Department's Contract Appeals Board proposes to adopt have been adopted in major part by a majority of other boards of contract appeals in the executive establishment.

2. The majority of other boards of contract appeals procedures published in the Federal Register, May 27, 1967 (32 FR 7772), amended September 23, 1967 (32 FR 13411), re-issued March 4, 1972, (37 FR 4867), and again re-issued September 6, 1977, (42 FR 45176). The rule, which the Department's Contract Appeals Board proposes to adopt have been adopted in major part by a majority of other boards of contract appeals in the executive establishment.

3. The majority of other boards of contract appeals procedures published in the Federal Register, May 27, 1967 (32 FR 7772), amended September 23, 1967 (32 FR 13411), re-issued March 4, 1972, (37 FR 4867), and again re-issued September 6, 1977, (42 FR 45176). The rule, which the Department's Contract Appeals Board proposes to adopt have been adopted in major part by a majority of other boards of contract appeals in the executive establishment.

4. The majority of other boards of contract appeals procedures published in the Federal Register, May 27, 1967 (32 FR 7772), amended September 23, 1967 (32 FR 13411), re-issued March 4, 1972, (37 FR 4867), and again re-issued September 6, 1977, (42 FR 45176). The rule, which the Department's Contract Appeals Board proposes to adopt have been adopted in major part by a majority of other boards of contract appeals in the executive establishment.

5. The majority of other boards of contract appeals procedures published in the Federal Register, May 27, 1967 (32 FR 7772), amended September 23, 1967 (32 FR 13411), re-issued March 4, 1972, (37 FR 4867), and again re-issued September 6, 1977, (42 FR 45176). The rule, which the Department's Contract Appeals Board proposes to adopt have been adopted in major part by a majority of other boards of contract appeals in the executive establishment.

6. The majority of other boards of contract appeals procedures published in the Federal Register, May 27, 1967 (32 FR 7772), amended September 23, 1967 (32 FR 13411), re-issued March 4, 1972, (37 FR 4867), and again re-issued September 6, 1977, (42 FR 45176). The rule, which the Department's Contract Appeals Board proposes to adopt have been adopted in major part by a majority of other boards of contract appeals in the executive establishment.

7. The majority of other boards of contract appeals procedures published in the Federal Register, May 27, 1967 (32 FR 7772), amended September 23, 1967 (32 FR 13411), re-issued March 4, 1972, (37 FR 4867), and again re-issued September 6, 1977, (42 FR 45176). The rule, which the Department's Contract Appeals Board proposes to adopt have been adopted in major part by a majority of other boards of contract appeals in the executive establishment.

8. The majority of other boards of contract appeals procedures published in the Federal Register, May 27, 1967 (32 FR 7772), amended September 23, 1967 (32 FR 13411), re-issued March 4, 1972, (37 FR 4867), and again re-issued September 6, 1977, (42 FR 45176). The rule, which the Department's Contract Appeals Board proposes to adopt have been adopted in major part by a majority of other boards of contract appeals in the executive establishment.

9. The majority of other boards of contract appeals procedures published in the Federal Register, May 27, 1967 (32 FR 7772), amended September 23, 1967 (32 FR 13411), re-issued March 4, 1972, (37 FR 4867), and again re-issued September 6, 1977, (42 FR 45176). The rule, which the Department's Contract Appeals Board proposes to adopt have been adopted in major part by a majority of other boards of contract appeals in the executive establishment.

10. The majority of other boards of contract appeals procedures published in the Federal Register, May 27, 1967 (32 FR 7772), amended September 23, 1967 (32 FR 13411), re-issued March 4, 1972, (37 FR 4867), and again re-issued September 6, 1977, (42 FR 45176). The rule, which the Department's Contract Appeals Board proposes to adopt have been adopted in major part by a majority of other boards of contract appeals in the executive establishment.
All comments received will be available for public inspection and copying in the Office of the Assistant General Counsel for Regulation and Enforcement, Room 10100, Nassif Building, 400 Seventh Street, S.W., Washington, D.C., between the hours of 9:00 a.m. and 5:30 p.m. local time, Monday through Friday except Federal holidays.

DRAFTING INFORMATION

The principal persons involved in drafting this proposal are: Gerson B. Kramer, Chairman, Department of Transportation Contract Appeals Board, and Board members Emanuel P. Snyder, Thaddeus V. Ware, and Howard L. Auten.

In consideration of the foregoing, the Department of Transportation proposes to revise Part 12.60 of Title 49, Code of Federal Regulations, to read as appears below.

PART 12-60—CONTRACT APPEALS

§ 12-60.000 Scope of part.

§ 12-60.001 Definitions.

Subpart 12-60.1—Contract Appeals Board

Rule 1. OffCanvas 12-60.101 Establishment

A Department of Transportation Contract Appeals Board is hereby established and its secretary appoints and terminates the appointments of the members of the Board, and designates one of them as chairman. The Board is responsible directly to the Secretary.

Rule 12-60.102 Qualifications of members.

Each member of the Board must be a qualified attorney who is admitted to practice before the highest court of a State or the District of Columbia, and shall be a civilian employee or a commissioned officer of the Department. Members of the Board are designated as Administrative Judges.

Subpart 12-60.2—Contract Appeals Procedures


A notice of appeal shall indicate that an appeal is intended and identify the Board, and the Board furnishes the appellant, or by an officer of the appellant corporation or member of the appellant firm, or by an appellant's authorized representative or attorney.

Rule 3. Forwarding of appeals by the Contracting Officer. Upon receipt of a notice of appeal in any form the Contracting Officer shall endorse on the notice the date of mailing by the appellant (or date of receipt, if otherwise conveyed) and within 10 days shall forward the notice of appeal to the Board. Following receipt by the Board of the original notice of an appeal, whether through the Contracting Officer or otherwise, the appellant and the Contracting Officer are promptly notified of its receipt and docketed by the Board, and the Board furnishes the contractor with a copy of these rules.
Rule 4. Preparation, contents, organization, forwarding, and status of appeal file.—(a) Duties of Contracting Officer. Within 30 days of receipt of notice that an appeal has been docketed, the Contracting Officer shall assemble and transmit to the Board, with a copy to the Government attorney, an appeal file consisting of all documents pertinent to the appeal, including:

(1) The Contracting Officer's decision and findings of fact from which the appeal is taken;

(2) The contract, including pertinent specifications, modifications, plans, and drawings;

(3) All correspondence between the parties pertinent to the appeal, including the letters of claim in response to which the decision was issued;

(4) Transcripts of any testimony taken during the course of proceedings, and affidavits or statements of any witnesses on the matter in dispute made prior to the filing of the notice of appeal with the Board; and

(c) Any additional information considered pertinent.

Within the 30 days specified above, the Contracting Officer shall furnish the appellant a list of each document transmitted to the Board, together with a copy of each document so sent, except for those listed in subparagraph (a)(2) above or those subject to paragraph (d) below.

(b) Duties of the appellant. Within 30 days after receipt of a copy of the appeal file assembled by the Contracting Officer, the appellant may supplement the file by transmitting to the Board any additional documents which it considers pertinent to the appeal. The appellant shall furnish two copies of each such document to the Government attorney.

c) Organization of appeal file. Documents in the appeal file may be original or legible facsimiles or authenticated copies, and shall be arranged in chronological order where practicable, numbered sequentially, tabbed, and indexed to identify the contents of the file. The Contracting Officer's final decision and the contract shall be conveniently placed in the file for ready reference.

(d) Lengthy documents. The Board may waive the requirement of furnishing to the other party copies of bulky, lengthy, or out-of-size documents in the appeal file when a party has shown that doing so would impose an undue burden. At the time a party files with the Board a document as to which a waiver has been granted, he shall notify the other party that the document or a copy is available for inspection at the offices of the Board or of the party filing the document.

(e) Status of documents in appeal file. Documents contained in the appeal file are, without further action by the parties, a part of the record upon which the Board renders its decision, unless a party objects to the consideration of a particular document at or before the hearing or, if there is no hearing on the appeal, before settling the record. If objection to a document is made, the Board rules upon its admissibility into the record as evidence in accordance with Rule 15 and 21.

Rule 5. Service of documents. A copy of every written communication submitted to the Board shall be sent to every other party to the dispute. Such communications shall be sent by delivering in person or by mailing, properly addressed with postage prepaid, to the opposing party or, where the party is represented by counsel, to its counsel. Each communication with the Board shall be accompanied by a statement, signed by the originating party, saying when, how, and to whom a copy was sent.

Rule 6. Computation and extension of time limits.—(a) Computation of time. Except as otherwise provided by law, in computing any period of time prescribed by these rules or by any order of the Board, the day of the event from which the designated period of time begins to run is not included, but the last day of the period is included unless it is a Saturday, Sunday, or a legal holiday, in which case the period runs to the end of the next business day.

(b) Extensions. All requests for extensions of time shall be submitted to the Board in writing and shall state good cause for the request.

Rule 7. Motions. Motions are made by filing an original and two copies, together with any supporting papers, with the Board. Motions may also be made upon the record in the presence of the other party, at a prehearing conference or a hearing. The Board considers any timely motion:

(a) For extension of time (Rule 6) or to cure defaults;

(b) To require that a pleading be made more definite and certain, or for leave to amend a pleading (Rule 9);

(c) To dismiss for lack of jurisdiction (Rule 21); to dismiss for failure to prosecute (Rule 33); or to grant summary relief because a pleading does not raise a justifiable issue;

(d) For discovery, for interrogatories to a party, or for the taking of deposition (Rules 16, 17);

(e) To reopen a hearing; or to reconsider a decision (Rule 30); or

(f) For any other appropriate order.

The Board may, on its own motion, initiate any such action by notice to the parties. Unless otherwise allowed by the Board, a party who receives a motion shall file any answering document within 10 days after the date of receipt. The Board makes an order on each motion that is appropriate and just to the parties, and upon conditions that will promote efficiency in disposing of the appeal. The Board may permit oral hearing or argument on motions, and may require the presentation of briefs.

Rule 8. Pleadings.—(a) Complaint. Within 30 days after receipt of notice of docketing of the appeal, the appellant shall file with the Board an original and two copies of a complaint setting forth a concise, direct statement of each of its claims, alleging the basis, with appropriate reference to contract provisions, for each claim, and is. Should an answer be claimed. This pleading shall fulfill the generally recognized requirements of a complaint, although no particular form is required. If the complaint is not filed within 30 days and, in the opinion of the Board, the issues before the Board are sufficiently defined, the appellant's claim and notice of appeal may be deemed to set forth its complaint, and the parties are so notified.

(b) Answer. Within 30 days after receipt of said complaint or a Rule 8(a) notice from the Board, the Government shall file with the Board an original and two copies of an answer, setting forth simple, concise, and direct statements of the Government's defenses to each claim asserted by the appellant. This pleading shall fulfill the generally recognized requirements of an answer and shall set forth any affirmative defenses or counterclaims as provided in Rule 9(a). The answer not be filed within 30 days, the Board may, in its discretion, enter a general denial on behalf of the Government, and the parties are so notified.

Rule 9. Amendments of pleadings or record.—(a) Pleadings. The Board upon its own initiative or upon application by a party may, in its discretion, order a party to make a more definite statement of the complaint or answer, or to reply to an answer. The time for amendment of pleadings or the record begins to run upon conditions just to both parties.

(b) Record. When an issue within the proper scope of the appeal, permit either party to amend its pleading upon conditions just to both parties.
Rule 10. Hearing election. (a) Upon receipt of the Government's answer or of the notice that the Board has entered a general denial on behalf of the Government, the appellant shall advise the Board in writing whether he desires a hearing under the Board's regular procedures (Rules 18 through 26), or whether, in the alternative, he elects to submit his case on the written record without a hearing. (Rule 13)
(b) In cases where the sums involved fall within the limits of the Board's optional accelerated procedure the appellant shall, promptly after receipt of a copy of the appeal file, notify the Board whether he elects the use of the optional accelerated procedure. (Rule 14)

Rule 11. Prehearing briefs. The Board, in its discretion, may elect to submit prehearing briefs in any case in which a hearing has already been elected pursuant to Rule 10. If the Board does not ask for briefs, either party may, upon notice to the other party, furnish a prehearing brief to the Board. In any case where a prehearing brief is submitted, it shall be furnished so as to be received by the Board at least 15 days prior to the date set for hearing, and a copy shall be furnished simultaneously to the other party.

Rule 12. Prehearing conference. Whether the case is to be submitted pursuant to Rule 13, or heard pursuant to Rule 18 through 26, the Board, upon its own initiative or upon the application of either party, may call upon the parties to appear before the Board for a conference to consider:
(1) The simplification or clarification of the issues;
(2) The possibility of obtaining stipulations, admissions, agreements on documents, understandings on matters already of record, or similar agreements which will avoid unnecessary proof;
(3) The limitation of the number of witnesses and the avoidance of similar cumulative evidence;
(4) The possibility of agreement disposing of all or any of the issues in dispute; and
(5) Such other matters as may aid in the disposition of the appeal.
The result of the conference is set forth in an appropriate memorandum or order which becomes part of the record.

Rule 13. Submission of appeal without a hearing. Either party may elect to waive a hearing and to submit its case upon the record before the Board pursuant to Rule 18. Submission of a case without hearing does not relieve the parties from the necessity of proving the facts supporting their allegations or defenses. Affidavits, depositions, admissions, answers to interrogatories, and stipulations may be employed to supplement other documentary evidence in the Board record. The Board may permit such submission to be supplemented by oral argument (transcribed if requested) and by briefs in accordance with Rule 15.

Rule 14. Optional accelerated procedure.—(a) Application. In appeals involving $50,000 or less, either party may elect to have the appeal considered under the optional accelerated procedure. The election shall be in writing and filed with the Board as provided in Rule 10(b). For application of this rule the amount in controversy is determined by the sum of the amounts claimed by each party against the other in the appeal proceeding. If no specific amount of claim is stated, a case is considered to fall within this rule if the sum of the amounts claimed by each party exceeds $50,000. A case is considered under this rule unless the Board, at the request of the other party, furnishes a prehearing brief to the Board. In any case where a prehearing brief is submitted, it shall be furnished so as to be received by the Board at least 15 days prior to the date set for hearing, and a copy shall be furnished simultaneously to the other party.
(b) Decisions. Written decisions by the Board in cases proceeding under this rule normally are brief and contain only summaries of fact and conclusions of law. The Board endeavors to render its decision within 30 days after the appeal is ready for decision. Decisions are rendered for the benefit of the Board and are not intended for public dissemination. Any party may request a copy of any decision rendered by the Board. The Board may make any order which justifies the release of personal information or documents in the interest of justice or for good cause shown. Such orders may be supplemented by oral argument.
(c) Weight of the evidence. The weight to be attached to any evidence of record rests within the sound discretion of the Board. The Board may require either party, with appropriate notice to the other party, to submit additional evidence on any matter relevant to the appeal.

Rule 16. Discovery.—Depositions.—(a) General policy and protective orders. The parties shall in good faith engage in voluntary discovery procedures. In connection with any deposition or other discovery procedure, the Board may make any order which justifies the release of personal information or documents in the interest of justice or for good cause shown. Such orders may be supplemented by oral argument.
(b) Obtaining a deposition. If the parties are unable to agree upon the taking of a deposition, the Board, upon application of either party and for good cause shown, may order the taking of testimony of any person by deposition upon oral examination or written interrogatories before any officer authorized to administer oaths at the place of examination, for use as evidence or for purposes of discovery. The application for such order shall specify whether the purpose of the deposition is for discovery or for use as evidence.
(c) Orders on depositions. The time, place, and manner of taking depositions are as mutually agreed upon by the parties, or failing such agreement, as ordered by the Board.
(d) Use of evidence. No testimony taken by deposition is considered as part of the evidence in the hearing of an appeal unless and until such testimony is offered and received in evidence at the hearing. Testimony by deposition is not ordinarily receivable in evidence if the deponent is present and can testify personally at the hearing. However, any deposition may be used to contradict or impeach the testimony of a witness at the hearing. In cases submitted on the record, the Board, in its discretion, may receive depositions as evidence to supplement the record.
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(c) Expenses. Each party bears its own expenses associated with discovery, unless, in the discretion of the Board, the expenses are apportioned otherwise.

Rule 17. Interrogatories to parties, admission of facts, and inspection of documents.


Rule 32. Rule 32. The record. Each party shall be entitled to file a request for reconsideration of any order, decision, or final order. The request shall be filed within 30 days of the date of the order, decision, or final order.

Representation

Rule 27. The appellant. An individual or a corporation by an officer, a partnership or joint venture by a member, or any of these by an attorney at law admitted to practice before the highest court of the District of Columbia or any State, Commonwealth, or territory of the United States. An attorney representing an appellant shall file a written notice of appearance with the Board.

Decisions and Reconsideration of Decisions

Rule 29. Decisions. The Board may suspend further processing of an appeal for good cause, fails to respond to the request for an address. The Federal Rules of Evidence are the law of evidence in Federal courts.

Dismissals and Sanctions

Rule 31. Dismissal for lack of jurisdiction. Any motion addressed to the jurisdiction of the Board shall be promptly filed. A hearing on the motion may be afforded on application of either party. The Board has the right at any time on its own motion to proceed with a particular case and do so by an appropriate order, affording the parties an opportunity to be heard.

Rule 32. Dismissal without prejudice. When the Board is unable to proceed with disposition of an appeal for
reasons not within its control, such appeal is placed in a suspense status. In any case where such suspension has continued, or it appears that it may continue for a period in excess of 1 year, the Board may dismiss the appeal. If the Board issues its decision to the docket when the cause of suspension has been eliminated. Unless either party or the Board acts to reinstate any appeal so dismissed within 3 years from the date of dismissal, the dismissal is automatically converted to a dismissal with prejudice without further action by the parties or the Board.

Rule 33. Dismissal for failure to prosecute. Whenever a record discloses the failure of any party to file documents required by these rules, respond to notices or correspondence from the Board, comply with orders of the Board, or otherwise indicates a party's intention not to continue the prosecution or defense of an appeal, the Board may issue an order requiring the offending party to show cause why the appeal should not be dismissed or granted, as appropriate.

Rule 34. Sanctions. If any party fails or refuses to obey an order issued by the Board, the Board may make such order in regard to the failure as it considers necessary to the just and expeditious conduct of the appeal, including dismissal with prejudice.

COURT REMANDS

Rule 35. Remand from court. Whenever any court remands a case to the Board for further proceedings, each of the parties shall, within 20 days of receipt of such remand, submit a report to the Board recommending procedures to be followed so as to comply with the court's order. The Board considers the report for the purpose of special orders governing the handling of the remanded case. To the extent the court's directive and time limitations permit, such orders conform to these rules.

§ 12-60.203 Ex parte communications.

Ex parte communications, that is, written or oral communications with the Board by or for one party only without notice to the other, are not permitted. No member of the Board or of the Board's staff entertain, nor shall any person directly or indirectly involved in an appeal submit to the Board or to the Board's staff, any off-the-record evidence, explanation, analysis, or advice, whether written or oral, regarding an issue in an appeal. This provision does not apply to consultation between Board members nor to ex parte communications concerning the Board's administrative functions or procedures.

§ 12-60.204 Effective date.

This part becomes effective April 27, 1978, as to all appeals received by the Board after that date. In addition, the Board may, upon notice to the parties, apply these rules to any appeal pending under the prior rules of the Board; however, if any party to such an appeal objects, in writing, within 20 days after receipt of notice, these rules do not apply unless the Board finds their application to be just and warranted. The contract appeals rules in effect prior to the publication of these rules shall remain in effect for the completion of appeals pending on April 27, 1978.

Issued in Washington, D.C., on April 20, 1978.

BROCK ADAMS, Secretary of Transportation.

(4100-86)

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Center for Disease Control

[42 CFR Part 37]

CHEST ROENTGENOGRAPHIC EXAMINATIONS OF UNDERGROUND COAL MINERS

Proposed Amendment To Transfer Criteria

AGENCY: National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Center for Disease Control, PHSS, HEW.

ACTION: Notice of Decision to Develop Regulations.

SUMMARY: The proposed regulation will revise 42 CFR Part 37, section 37.7 entitled "Transfer of Affected Miner to Less Dusty Area," which currently specifies among other things that a coal miner whose chest X-ray shows evidence of the development of simple pneumoconiosis may transfer to a less dusty area of the coal mine only if the miner develops this condition in less than 10 years from first entering the coal mining industry. The revision to section 37.7 affords the miner the opportunity to transfer if his chest X-ray shows evidence of simple pneumoconiosis regardless of the number of years the miner has worked in underground coal mining.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Milfred B. Nesterak, Broadcast Bureau, 202-632-7702.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

In the matter of amendment of § 73.202(b), table of assignments, FM broadcast stations (Barstow, Yermo, and Mountain Pass, Calif.; BC Docket No. 78-129, RM-3008, RM-3009); notice of proposed rulemaking.

Dated: April 7, 1978.

Released: April 21, 1978.

By the Chief, Broadcast Bureau.

1. The Commission has before it two petitions filed by Howard Anderson ("petitioner"), proposing the assignment of class B FM channels 251 and 258 to Yermo and Mountain Pass, respectively, as those communities' first FM assignments. The proposed assignments could be made in conformity with the minimum distance separation requirements provided the transmitter site at Yermo is located approximately 10 kilometers (6 miles) north of Yermo, and 19 kilometers (12 miles) northeast of Barstow.

2. Public notice of the petitions were given on December 14, 1977, report No. 1093.
Calif. Neither community has any local aural broadcast service.

2. Yermo (population 1,304), in San Bernardino County (population 682,233), is located approximately 18 kilometers (11 miles) east of Barstow, Calif., and approximately 144 kilometers (90 miles) from the California border along Highway 15.

3. Mountain Pass (population less than 100), in San Bernardino County, is located approximately 16 kilometers (10 miles) west of the California-Nevada border along Interstate Highway 15, and about 112 kilometers (70 miles) northwest of Needles, Calif.

4. These two proposals are being considered in a single proceeding because the arguments in favor of the assignment rest on essentially the same showing of the need for service to an area along Interstate Highway 15. Although petitioner acknowledges that the sparse permanent population along the portion of the highway which passes through this desert area, it estimates that 8 million people traveled the 150-mile stretch between Barstow, Calif., and Las Vegas, Nev. According to petitioner there are no means of public communication in this entire area. He contends that radio stations from adjoining metropolitan areas penetrate only a portion of the proposed service area and cannot provide effective service to the people traveling the highway because of their obligation to serve all the people living in their metropolitan coverage areas. Petitioner also asserts that the proposed assignments to Yermo and Mountain Pass would provide for a first aural broadcast service which would feature important local highway and weather information, news, public interests, and entertainment features. He adds that while he intends to operate the proposed stations in Yermo and Mountain Pass to serve the needs and interests of the respective communities, much attention will be given to serving the needs and interests of the mobile population passing daily through Highway 15.

5. Although petitioner proposed assigning a class B channel to the small community of Yermo, that does not seem to be the only (or for that matter the best) way of bringing any needed service to this area. Yermo is located about 18 kilometers (11 miles) from Barstow, Calif. (population 17,442). Barstow is clearly the population center for this area and a class B channel is normally assigned to such a larger community. We think this is the better approach to follow here, too. This does not foreclose use of the channel in Yermo, since the proximity of the two communities involves the possibility that an FM channel assigned to Barstow, to be licensed as a Yermo facility instead under section 73.203(b), the "15-mile" rule. Barstow already has an FM assignment, but under the allocation guidelines the population is sufficiently large to justify considering two FM assignments. If the channel is assigned to Barstow, the permittee of the existing class A station could file for its use. Under the "15-mile" rule, it would have been barred from filing to improve its facilities, with the net result that the class B channel would be used in the small town and not be available for use in the nearby town which is 10 times as large. Of course, it would not be improper for petitioner to file for permission to operate an FM station and replace the existing facility at Barstow. But this does not foreclose use of the class B channel to Yermo. We would not intermix classes of channels in a community but exceptions have been made when doing so would bring a first or second service. Thus, intermixture does not constitute a preclusion to adoption of this proposal.

6. In its preclusion study, petitioner shows eight communities with populations over 1,000 which would be precluded as a result of the assignment of channel 258 to Yermo.6 Of these eight communities, six are without an FM assignment or local AM service. Petitioner is requested to indicate whether alternate channels are available for assignment to the six communities which have no FM assignments.

7. Although petitioner has submitted Roanoke Rapids and Anamosa showings indicate a Mountain Pass station, operating with maximum facilities, would provide first and second FM services to 5,928 square kilometers (1,903 square miles) and 3,582 square kilometers (1,383 square miles) area, respectively. However, no data has been submitted as to the population residing in these areas. Since the above showings were based on facilities of stations presently in operation, a proper Roanoke Rapids study made in accordance with the Roanoke Rapids values, would show that the second FM service would be 30 percent less than indicated. The missing information should be provided.

8. Because Mountain Pass' status is not clear, some additional information is needed before the channel could be assigned, namely:

(a) Information which demonstrates whether Mountain Pass in fact is a community. This information should include economic, political, and cultural data, and any other information which could demonstrate that Mountain Pass is a community.

(b) Information as to the permanent population of the unincorporated area in which petitioner claims Mountain Pass is situated, the unofficial boundary of the community and the location of the community relative to any neighboring unincorporated communities.

9. Mountain Pass is a proper way of bringing any needed service to an area along Interstate Highway 15. Although petitioner proposed assigning an FM assignment to Mountain Pass, the population of Mountain Pass is sufficiently large to justify considering more than one or more AM stations and FM assignments with the exception of Boulder City, which has an FM assignment but no local AM service. Petitioner is requested to indicate in comments whether an alternate FM channel is available for assignment to Eagle Mountain.

10. Petitioner's Roanoke Rapids and Anamosa showings indicate a Mountain Pass station, operating with maximum facilities, would provide first and second FM services to 5,928 square kilometers (1,903 square miles) and 3,582 square kilometers (1,383 square miles) area, respectively. However, no data has been submitted as to the population residing in these areas. Since the above showings were based on facilities of stations presently in operation, a proper Roanoke Rapids study made in accordance with the Roanoke Rapids values, would show that the second FM service would be 30 percent less than indicated. The missing information should be provided.

11. Since Barstow and Mountain Pass are located within 320 kilometers (100 miles) of the United States-Mexico border, proposed channels 251 and 258 to Barstow and Mountain Pass, Calif., respectively, require co-
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ordinance by the Mexican Government.

12. It is directed, That the Secretary of the Commission shall send a copy of this notice of proposed rulemaking by regular mail or registered mail, as requested, to Mojave Valley Broadcasting, Inc. (KWTC-FM), Box 1230, Barstow, Calif. 92311.

13. Comments are invited on the following proposals to amend the table of assignments with regard to the communities of Barstow and Mountain Pass, Calif., as follows:

City and Channel No.


14. The Commission’s authority to institute rulemaking proceedings; showings required; cutoff procedures used; and filing requirements are contained in the attached appendix and are incorporated herein.

NOTE.—A showing of continuing interest is required by paragraph 2 of the appendix before a channel will be assigned.

15. Interested parties may file comments on or before June 6, 1978, and reply comments on or before June 26, 1978.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,
Chief, Broadcast Bureau.

APPENDIX

1. Pursuant to authority found in sections 1.400, 1.401, and 1.403 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and § 1.421 of the Commission’s rules, it is proposed to amend the FM table of assignments, § 73.202(b), of the Commission’s rules and regulations, as set forth in the notice of proposed rulemaking to which this appendix is attached.

2. Showings required. Comments are invited on the proposal(s) discussed in the notice of proposed rulemaking to which this appendix is attached.

3. Cutoff procedures. The following procedures will govern the consideration of filings in this proceeding.

(a) Counterproposals adopted in this proceeding itself will be considered, if advanced in initial comments, so that parties may comment on them in reply comments. They will not be considered if advanced in reply comments. (See §1.420(d) of Commission rules.)

(b) With respect to petitions for rulemaking which conflict with the proposal(s) in this notice, will all parties be considered to be filing comments in the proceeding, and public notice to this effect will be given as long as they are filed before the date for filing initial comments herein. If they are filed later than that, they will not be considered in connection with the decision in this docket.

4. Comments and reply comments; service. Pursuant to the procedures set out in §§1.415 and 1.420 of the Commission’s rules and regulations, interested parties may file comments and reply comments on or before the dates set forth in the notice of proposed rulemaking to which this appendix is attached. All submissions by parties to this proceeding by persons acting on behalf of such parties must be made in written comments, reply comments, or other appropriate pleadings. Comments shall be served on the petitioner by the person filing the comments. Reply comments shall be served on the person(s) who filed comments to which the reply is directed. Such comments and reply comments shall be accompanied by a certificate of service. (See §1.420(a), (b), and (c) of the Commission rules.)

5. Number of copies. In accordance with the provisions of §1.420 of the Commission’s rules and regulations, an original and four copies of all comments, reply comments, pleadings, briefs, or other documents shall be furnished the Commission.

6. Public inspection of filings. All filings made in this proceeding will be available for public inspection at the time of filing during regular business hours in the Commission’s Public Reference Room at its headquarters, 1919 M Street NW, Washington, D.C.

[FR Doc. 78-11473 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]

16. Public notice of the petition was given on or before June 17, 1978, and reply comments must be received on or before July 7, 1978.


FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Mildred B. Nesterak, Broadcast Bureau, 202-632-7792.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION:

In the matter of amendment of §73.202(b), table of assignments, FM broadcast stations. (Ocean City, N.J.), BC Docket No. 78-130, RM-3016; notice of proposed rulemaking.


Released: April 21, 1978.

By the Chief, Broadcast Bureau.

1. Petitioner, proposal and comments. (a) Petition for rulemaking filed by Daniel B. Bradley ("petitioner"), proposing the assignment of FM channel 252A to Ocean City, N.J., as a second class A station.

(b) The channel may be assigned without affecting any existing FM assignments in the table. An opposition was filed by Salt-Tee Radio, Inc. ("Salt-Tee"), licensee of daytime-only AM station WSLT and FM station WSLT-FM, channel 292A, Ocean City, N.J.

(c) Petitioner states that he stands ready to file an application for the construction of an FM station, if the channel is assigned.

2. Community data.—(a) Location. Ocean City, situated in Cape May County on the New Jersey shore, is located approximately 16 kilometers (10 miles) southwest of Atlantic City, N.J., and 48 kilometers (30 miles) southeast of Philadelphia, Pa.

(b) Population. Ocean City—10,575; Cape May County—59,564.

(c) Present local aural services.

Ocean City is presently served by daytime-only AM station WSLT and station WSLT-FM (channel 292A).

(d) Economic considerations. Petitioner states that Ocean City is the northernmost county resort community along the Ocean Drive known as "America’s First Choice in Family Resorts." He asserts that it is expected that both year-round and summer populations will experience large increases due to the approval of gambling in Atlantic City. In support of his petition, petitioner has submitted information regarding the government, education, transportation, and churches in the community. However, he also submitted a list of Ocean City citizens who have stated their support for the proposed assignment.

3. Preclusion studies. No additional preclusion will be caused on any channel as a result of the assignment of channel 292A to Ocean City, N.J.

4. Since the request is for a second class A assignment to a community, petitioner should submit in its comments a Roanoke Rapids, 9 FCC 672 (1967), study showing the number of people who would receive a first or second FM service. In addition, petitioner should show the extent of nighttime service provided by AM stations in the area and the extent of first and second aural service, if any.

5. In opposing comments, Salt-Tee questions the suitability of petitioner’s proposed transmitter site. Salt-Tee

1Public notice of the petition was given on December 14, 1977, released No. 93.

2Population figures are taken from the 1970 U.S. Census.
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with respect to petitions for rulemaking which conflict with the proposal(s) in this notice, they will be considered as comments in the proceeding, and public notice to this effect will be given as long as they are filed before the date for filing initial comments herein. If they are filed later than that, they will not be considered in connection with the decision in this docket.

4. Comments and reply comments; notice. Pursuant to applicable procedures set out in §§1.415 and 1.420 of the Commission’s rules and regulations, interested parties may file comments and reply comments on or before the dates set forth in the notice of proposed rulemaking to which this appendix is attached. All submissions by parties to this proceeding or persons acting on behalf of such parties must be made in written comments, reply comments, or other appropriate pleadings. Comments shall be served on the petitioner by the person filing the comments. Reply comments shall be served on the persons who filed comments to which the reply is directed. Such comments and reply comments shall be accompanied by a certificate of service. (See §1.420 (a), (b), and (c) of the Commission rules.)

5. Number of copies. In accordance with the provisions of §1.420 of the Commission’s rules and regulations, an original and four copies of all comments, reply comments, pleadings, briefs, or other documents shall be furnished the Commission.

6. Public reference. All filings made in this proceeding will be available for examination by interested parties during regular business hours in the Commission’s Public Reference Room at its headquarters, 1919 M Street NW., Washington, D.C.

For further information contact:

Dennis S. Kahane, Broadcast Bureau, telephone 202-632-9356, or

Carol Fociaak, Broadcast Bureau, 632-7792.


Released April 27, 1978.

In the matter of Amendment of §73.636(a) of the Commission’s Rules Relating to Multiple Ownership of Television Broadcast Stations: BC Docket No. 78-101; Notice of Inquiry and Notice of Proposed Rulemaking.

By the Commission: Commissioners Ferris, Chairman; and Fogarty issuing separate statements; Commissioner Brown concurring and issuing a statement.

1. Notice is hereby given of inquiry and proposed rulemaking in the above-entitled matter.

2. Through the years, the Commission has adopted various regulations, generally referred to as the multiple ownership rules (Sections 73.35, 73.240, and 73.636), designed to promote media competition and diversity of programming viewpoints. In 1953, for instance, the Commission adopted a “seven station” rule, placing a strict ceiling on the number of stations which may be commonly owned. 18 FCC 288. In 1964, the Commission adopted its “duopoly” rule, which prohibited the common ownership of stations operating in the same service where there is overlap of their signals at certain specified points. 45 FCC 1476. In 1970, the Commission adopted its “one-to-a-market” rule, which extended the duopoly concept cross-service, 22 FCC 2d 306. In 1978, the Commission determined to prohibit common ownership of broadcast stations with co-located newspapers. 50 FCC 2d 1046. Most recently, the Commission determined to define and thus prohibit the creation of regional concentrations of control. 63 FCC 2d 824 (1977).

3. As an adjunct to the television multiple ownership rules the Commission adopted a “Top-50 Policy” in an effort to stem the proliferation of commonly-owned television broadcast stations in the nation’s largest metropolitan areas. The policy requires applicants seeking to acquire a fourth television station (or a third VHF television station) in the top fifty markets to submit a compelling public interest showing demonstrating that the benefits of the acquisition would outweigh the benefits of diversity of ownership. Since the inception of the Top-50 policy, and a predecessor Top-50 policy adopted in 1964, the Commis-

Prior to April 1, 1974, the Commission’s Top-50 list was based upon the net weekly circulation of the largest station in each market. Since that date, the ARB market rankings, based upon prime time households, have been employed. See 29 RR 2d 411 (1974).
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tion has granted every application presenting Top-50 considerations. Thus, in 1977 we announced our intention to re-examine this policy, stating:

The history surrounding the development of the "Top-Fifty" policy and its subsequent enforcement raises a serious question whether the policy has any continued efficacy. Because of our concern in the area of multiple ownership of broadcast media, we intend to institute in the near future a Notice of Inquiry seeking comments as to whether the Top-Fifty policy serves a useful function in our regulatory scheme or whether multiple ownership is sufficiently limited under our present rules. In re Application of Screen Gems Stations, Inc., 95 FCC 2d 216.

Accordingly, this notice of inquiry and notice of proposed rulemaking concern the Top-50 policy.

HISTORY OF THE POLICY

4. To place this proceeding in proper perspective, we believe it useful to take a look at the history of the development of the Top-50 policy. The Commission on December 18, 1964, adopted a Public Notice, FCC 64-1171, 3 RR 2d 909, announcing an "interim policy" to regulate multiple ownership of VHF television stations in major cities. This policy, the Commission stated, would serve to block the "sufficiently serious" trend toward undesirable media concentration.

We do not believe that this degree of multiple ownership concentration in the largest population centers is desirable. While we do not now propose a divestiture of existing interests, we have determined that the trend toward concentration in the VHF service is sufficiently serious to require the immediate adoption of an interim policy.

Absent a compelling affirmative showing, we will designate for hearing any application for the acquisition of a VHF station in one of the top 50 television markets, if the applicant or any party thereto already owns or has interest in one (Bartley) or more television stations in the top 50 markets; we shall treat likewise any application to acquire interests in two or more VHF stations in the top 50 markets if the applicant now has no interest in VHF stations in these 50 markets.

In a dissenting statement, Commissioner Rosel H. Hyde voiced concern that the impact of the proposed new policy would tend to limit the effectiveness of the competition of other broadcast interests as against the national networks, the dominant forces in the industry. I can see no reason why the Commission should feel that larger units should not be permitted to compete in the larger markets where the number of facilities is the greatest and competition is the strongest.

5. Within six months of the adoption of the "interim policy," the Commission determined that it should consider adopting that policy as a policy, thereby placing a fixed ceiling on top fifty market acquisitions by multiple owners. Thus, on June 21, 1965, the Commission released a Notice of Proposed Rule Making in Docket No. 16068, FCC 85-547, 5 RR 2d 1609, which proposed disallowing (absent a compelling public interest showing) the creation of new common ownership of more than three television stations in the top 50 television markets. At the same time, the Commission terminated the December 18, 1964 interim policy, and adopted a new interim policy based upon the proposed rule.

The applications received by the Commission attempted to show that no need existed which would require or justify the adoption of the proposed rule. Consequently, on February 9, 1965, Chairman Hyde, with Commissioner Lee seconding the motion, moved to adopt a document concluding the proceeding and adopting a policy of case-by-case determination "within the standards of the multiple ownership rules. Report and Order, FCC 65-106, 3 RR 2d 1200, 33 FR 133, 33 FR 3078 (February 16, 1965), 12 RR 2d 1501. The Top-50 policy was adopted with three Commissioners dissenting and two concurring, and provides:

In particular, in light of the special problems concerning the Top-50 markets set forth, we will expect a compelling public interest showing by those seeking to acquire more than three stations (or more than two VHF stations) in those markets. The compelling showing should be directed to the critical statutory requirement of demonstrating, with full specificity, how the public interest would be served by the approval of the application—that is, the benefits in detail that are relied upon to overcome the detriment with respect to the policy of diversifying the sources of mass media communications to the public. However, within the total limits now contained in the rules, we believe the ad hoc approach will better enable us to deal with particular situations in particular communities than would a new fixed limit. Our conclusion in this respect is further reinforced by the present critical need for UHF television receivers. We believe the public interest requires that we have the flexibility to take action which balance promotes the public interest in this vital area upon which the Congress and the American people, through purchase of all-channel receiver sets, have staked so much.

7. Three Commissioners dissented, Bartley, Cox, and Johnson. Bartley objected to the termination of the proceeding without oral argument, while Cox and Johnson sought the adoption of a strict go-no-go rule governing television acquisitions in the top fifty markets.

8. Commissioners Loewinger and Wadsworth concurred in the adoption of the Report and Order, stating that, "there is no evidence of increasing concentration in television station ownership in the top 50 markets." They expressed concern that, "the present interim rule was too broad to perpetuate the present network oligopoly and protect the present multiple owners against new or increased competition, while preventing or discouraging the growth and expansion of smaller enterprises in the television field . . . [T]he proposed rule is likely to do significant harm to the cause of diversity and competition in the field of television broadcasting without countervailing benefits." 12 RR 2d at 1518.

9. Thus, it would appear, upon review of the vote with the benefit of the above history, that the "Top Fifty" policy was favored by three of the Commissioners (Hyde and Lee), that two others (Loewinger and Wadsworth) concurred in order to avoid a stricter rule, that two others (Cox and Johnson) sought the stricter rule, and that one (Bartley) believed no action should have been taken without the benefit of oral argument.

10. Since the adoption of the Top-50 policy, the Commission has dealt with a number of applications raising Top-50 policy considerations. In each case, the Commission has been persuaded by the applicant's "compelling showing," and has granted all such applications without a hearing. A review of Commission Orders granting Top-50 applications, shows the following factors most often to be of decisive significance:


* Cox, unlike Bartley and Johnson, did not issue a statement; however, his view is known by his motion, defeated 4-3, to vacate Chairman Hyde's motion (to adopt the Report and Order) [the "interim rule-making] to retain that portion of the rule-making which would not allow more than two VHF television stations in the top fifty markets to a single multiple owner. Commissioner Bartley seconded Cox's proposal.

Johnson faulted the "compelling public interest showing" requirement saying that it was the same showing "that a Commission majority has so far found to justify waiving the hearing requirement in every case brought to the Commission under the previous interim policy.

The second caveat the divestiture served to increase diversity of television ownership in the State of Ohio by eliminating "grandfathered" Grade B overlap with two other common ownership cases and protect the present multiple ownership interests. Tribune Broadcasting Co., 35 RR 2d 1465 (1976).

* In Tribune, the license had lost money every year for over 30 years; the Commission found that it was the same showing "that a Commission majority has so far found to justify waiving the hearing requirement in every case brought to the Commission under the previous interim policy.
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The sale would allow the resumption of construction of a community's first UHF station. *Memphis Telecasters, 54 FCC 2d 574 (1975).*

The sale would preserve the vitality of a UHF station which, in combination with an experienced management, sales personnel, and syndicated programming, *Field Communications Corporation, 40 RR 2d 1639 (1977).*

Other multiple-owner policies have also been considered decisional at different times include:

- The buyer's stations are not geographically concentrated.
- The buyer's stations do not dominate their respective markets.
- The buyer will improve public affairs programming.
- The buyer faces substantial competition in each market.
- The acquisition will not improve the buyer's competitive position.
- The sale will diversify commonly owned television and non-broadcast media. *Time-Life Broadcast, Inc., 33 FCC 2d 1069 (1972).*

11. Additionally, in one case, *Cris-craft Industries, Inc., 35 FCC 2d 923 (1968).* The Commission allowed Metromedia, Inc. to acquire a fifth VHF television station after Metromedia donated a losing UHF television station in a higher-ranked market to an educational television association.

**THE INQUIRY AND PROPOSAL**

12. As we have noted, no application raising a Top-50 issue has ever been designated for hearing, either under the 1964 or 1965 interim policies or under the 1968 policy. Every showing submitted under these policies has been found to justify a grant of the subject applications. Thus a very real question has existed for some time as to whether the Commission's Top-50 policy retains any value as an effective tool in the regulation of multiple ownership.

13. Therefore, it is reasonable to ask whether the regulation of multiple ownership of television communications is suitably addressed by our multiple ownership rules, and thus whether the Top-50 policy has served as an unnecessary adjunct to those rules. Conversely, the very existence of the policy may have served to discourage the filing of applications which could not be supported by the required showing.

14. In view of the history of the policy the Commission solicits comments concerning whether the Top-50 policy should be retained, terminated, or modified, and whether, if retained or modified, it should be codified as a rule. We request that parties comment as to whether since the adoption of the Top-50 policy, there has been a continuing trend of additional concentrated ownership in the top fifty markets, and, if so, whether this trend is necessarily undesirable. We also seek comments on whether our rule has not in fact, as former Commissioners have argued, served as a deterrent to competition and diversity on a nationwide basis by locking into place existing multiple owners— including the networks—who have acquired their limit of Top-50 market stations, while preventing new multiple owners from acquiring sufficient economic power to acquire or produce competitive programming. With respect to the foregoing, we encourage the submission of economic analysis that better clarifies and, to the extent possible, we seek data on and quantification of the economic effects of concentrated ownership. For example, at what level of ownership concentration in the top 50 markets could we expect the decisions of multi-station owners to be felt industry-wide? Would the costs of the competitors of multi-station firms be expected to change and, if so, how much and in what way? Would there be effects of multi-station ownership in the top 50 markets on advertising prices and, if so, what would be the direction and magnitude of such effects? We also seek comments directed toward whether, and to what extent, ownership is properly addressed and fostered by the several existing limitations of the multiple ownership rules.

15. Additionally, we also invite comments relating to the following matters:

- (1) How is it possible that the Top-50 policy can be considered relevant in what market? Are the Top-50 markets the top fifty, top fifty residences or top forty stations or trading up or down in the top fifty markets; and (5) determination of the dominance or small market share of an applicant's stations in their respective markets. Additionally, we are aware that one station in the nation's top market reaches more potential households than seven that markets 44 through 50. Thus, we question whether we should look at the cumulative number of Top-50 households served or the percentages of Top-50 homes reached rather than making our determination on a market-by-market basis. Commenting parties are also invited to discuss which factors the Commission should consider under its present Top-50 policy. If it is determined to retain that policy in basically unchanged form. We also solicit comments concerning the standards for waiving that should be considered if the Top-50 policy is codified as a rule.

**INTERIM POLICY**

16. All applications now on file or filed during the pendency of this rule making proceeding will be governed by the present policy. Accordingly, all applications raising Top-50 policy considerations must contain the required compelling public interest showing described earlier in this notice.

**AUTHORITY AND PROCEDURE**

17. Authority for the institution of this proceeding and the adoption of rules concerning the matters involved, is contained in Sections 4(i) and 303 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.

18. Pursuant to procedures set forth in § 1.415 of the Commission's Rules, interested persons may file comments on or before July 5, 1978, and reply comments on or before August 4, 1978. The Commission will consider all relevant and timely comments and may also consider other relevant information before it taking further action in this proceeding.

19. In accordance with the provisions of § 1.419, an original and five copies of all comments, replies, briefs, and other documents shall be furnished the Commission. Further, members of the general public who wish to participate informally in the proceeding may submit one copy of their comments, specifying the docket number in the heading. All filings in this proceeding will be available for examination by interested persons during regular business hours in the Commission's Public Reference Room at its headquarters, 1919 M Street NW., Washington, D.C.

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**

1. **WILLIAM J. TRICARICO,**

Secretary.

**SEPARATE STATEMENT OF CHAIRMAN CHARLES D. FERRIS**

RE TOP 50 POLICY INQUIRY

I do not believe that a regulatory agency should have uncodified "policies" that it never enforces. As to this particular area, we seem to have created what is in effect a policy of not applying a policy. This seems to me to have no useful purpose except to generate a great deal of useless paper, and fees for the communications bar, as licensees try to jump through the mythical hoop of waiver criteria we have created.

If our announced "Top 50 Policy" makes sense, we should make it a rule. If it is not, we should change it by either adopting a

Footnotes continued from last page

more rational rule that recognizes the economic facts of life within the Top-50 markets or, if we decide our existing multiple ownership rules are sufficient, we should get rid of this unenforced policy.

SEPARATE STATEMENT OF COMMISSIONER

In Re: Amendment of §73.636(a) of the Commission's rules relating to multiple ownership of television broadcast stations, notice of inquiry and notice of proposed rulemaking.

I join in this Commission action as the adopted Notice of Inquiry and Notice of Proposed Rulemaking are sufficiently broad in scope to allow any and all pertinent comment on the retention, modification or termination of the "Top-50 policy." In view of the Commission's "better record" of administering the existing policy, it is wholly appropriate to institute this re-examination.

The Top-50 policy was founded on a general concern about concentration of ownership of stations in those markets of the country having the largest potential audiences. The premise appears to have been that there is insufficient effective competition on a market-by-market basis. The primary purpose of the policy to be designed to provide a basis for competitive counterweight to what seems to be an increasing concentration of ownership.

Is there any evidence that concentration of multiple ownership in the Top-50 markets has had or is likely to have a negative effect on interstation competition for program supply—e.g., are non-multiple owners in the Top-50 markets unfairly disadvantaged in competition for off-network syndicated programming vis a vis multiple owners?

If we cannot identify any positive public interest benefits resulting from Top-50 multiple ownership, should the Commission's long-stated commitment to diversity dictate a strict limit on such ownership, such as one to a licensee? Or, is the existing "Top-50 policy" sufficient in this respect?

In my judgment, answers to these questions, supported by pertinent economic analysis and actual marketplace evidence, would provide a rational basis and the necessary record for Commission decision-making in this proceeding. I would encourage all interested parties to address these issues in their comments.

CONCURRING STATEMENT OF COMMISSIONER

TYRONE BROWN

In Re: notice of inquiry and notice of proposed rulemaking. In the proposed amendment of §73.636(a) of the Commission's rules relating to multiple ownership of television broadcast stations.

I enthusiastically endorse the action which the Commission has taken today to initiate an inquiry regarding the Commission's Top-50 Market Policy. To date that "policy" has proven to be a "non-policy." I hope and expect that the Commission ultimately will either adopt Rules to loosen the hold which multiple owners have on television stations in the most attractive markets, or articulate a convincing reason why strict application of a multiple ownership limitation in these markets would not be in the public interest.

During the Commission's deliberations on this matter, questions arose concerning our interim policy toward applicants seeking waiver of our Top-50 "policy" during the pendency of this rulemaking proceeding. It was brought to my attention that one of the very few such applications pending involves Post-Newseam Stations, Inc., my former employer. During my Senate confirmation hearings, I stated that during the term to which I have been appointed I would not participate in any proceeding in which Post-Newseam is a party or in other proceedings in which that licensee filed comments while I was employed there. This proceeding does not fall within either of those categories. We are here dealing with a new general rulemaking proceeding concerning our multiple ownership policies. In view of the effect on the Post-Newseam application, I did not participate in the Commission's decision regarding the policy to be applied to pending applications—including that of Post-Newseam stations—as reflected in Paragraph 16 of today's Order.

Is there any evidence that concentration of multiple ownerships in the Top-50 markets has had or is likely to have a negative effect on interstation competition for program supply—e.g., are non-multiple owners in the Top-50 markets unfairly disadvantaged in competition for off-network syndicated programming vis a vis multiple owners?

If we cannot identify any positive public interest benefits resulting from Top-50 multiple ownership, should the Commission's long-stated commitment to diversity dictate a strict limit on such ownership, such as one to a licensee? Or, is the existing "Top-50 policy" sufficient in this respect?

In my judgment, answers to these questions, supported by pertinent economic analysis and actual marketplace evidence, would provide a rational basis and the necessary record for Commission decision-making in this proceeding. I would encourage all interested parties to address these issues in their comments.

CONCURRING STATEMENT OF COMMISSIONER

TYRONE BROWN

In Re: notice of inquiry and notice of proposed rulemaking. In the proposed amendment of §73.636(a) of the Commission's rules relating to multiple ownership of television broadcast stations.

I enthusiastically endorse the action which the Commission has taken today to initiate an inquiry regarding the Commission's Top-50 Market Policy. To date that "policy" has proven to be a "non-policy." I hope and expect that the Commission ultimately will either adopt Rules to loosen the hold which multiple owners have on television stations in the most attractive markets, or articulate a convincing reason why strict application of a multiple ownership limitation in these markets would not be in the public interest.

During the Commission's deliberations on this matter, questions arose concerning our interim policy toward applicants seeking waiver of our Top-50 "policy" during the pendency of this rulemaking proceeding. It was brought to my attention that one of the very few such applications pending involves Post-Newseam Stations, Inc., my former employer. During my Senate confirmation hearings, I stated that during the term to which I have been appointed I would not participate in any proceeding in which Post-Newseam is a party or in other proceedings in which that licensee filed comments while I was employed there. This proceeding does not fall within either of those categories. We are here dealing with a new general rulemaking proceeding concerning our multiple ownership policies. In view of the effect on the Post-Newseam application, I did not participate in the Commission's decision regarding the policy to be applied to pending applications—including that of Post-Newseam stations—as reflected in Paragraph 16 of today's Order.

Is there any evidence that concentration of multiple ownerships in the Top-50 markets has had or is likely to have a negative effect on interstation competition for program supply—e.g., are non-multiple owners in the Top-50 markets unfairly disadvantaged in competition for off-network syndicated programming vis a vis multiple owners?

If we cannot identify any positive public interest benefits resulting from Top-50 multiple ownership, should the Commission's long-stated commitment to diversity dictate a strict limit on such ownership, such as one to a licensee? Or, is the existing "Top-50 policy" sufficient in this respect?

In my judgment, answers to these questions, supported by pertinent economic analysis and actual marketplace evidence, would provide a rational basis and the necessary record for Commission decision-making in this proceeding. I would encourage all interested parties to address these issues in their comments.

CONCURRING STATEMENT OF COMMISSIONER

TYRONE BROWN

In Re: notice of inquiry and notice of proposed rulemaking. In the proposed amendment of §73.636(a) of the Commission's rules relating to multiple ownership of television broadcast stations.

I enthusiastically endorse the action which the Commission has taken today to initiate an inquiry regarding the Commission's Top-50 Market Policy. To date that "policy" has proven to be a "non-policy." I hope and expect that the Commission ultimately will either adopt Rules to loosen the hold which multiple owners have on television stations in the most attractive markets, or articulate a convincing reason why strict application of a multiple ownership limitation in these markets would not be in the public interest.

During the Commission's deliberations on this matter, questions arose concerning our interim policy toward applicants seeking waiver of our Top-50 "policy" during the pendency of this rulemaking proceeding. It was brought to my attention that one of the very few such applications pending involves Post-Newseam Stations, Inc., my former employer. During my Senate confirmation hearings, I stated that during the term to which I have been appointed I would not participate in any proceeding in which Post-Newseam is a party or in other proceedings in which that licensee filed comments while I was employed there. This proceeding does not fall within either of those categories. We are here dealing with a new general rulemaking proceeding concerning our multiple ownership policies. In view of the effect on the Post-Newseam application, I did not participate in the Commission's decision regarding the policy to be applied to pending applications—including that of Post-Newseam stations—as reflected in Paragraph 16 of today's Order.
cars), and encourage the acquisition and maintenance of a car supply adequate to meet the needs of commerce and the national defense."

Commissioner Murphy, concurring in part, dissenting in part:

Although this is simply a fact-gathering exercise, preliminary to a notice of proposed rulemaking, I believe that it is important to restate certain appropriate axioms. The incentive per diem (IPD) program was developed as a means of stemming the rapid decline in the freight car supply, primarily plain boxcars, and to promote the utilization of those cars. Over the years, there have been suggestions that the accrued IPD funds be used for other purposes. Tragically, in some instances, specified carriers were authorized to use those funds for purposes as normal operating expenses. The suggestion herein that such funds also be authorized for use in the repair of tracks, and the acquisition of diverse equipment, including car cleaning facilities,1 seems wholly at odds with the tenets of Section 1(14)(a) of the Interstate Commerce Act.4 If those accrued IPD funds are to be used for purposes largely alien to car acquisition and utilization, then, presumably, the funds should be returned to the payers thereof.

With respect to the use of IPD funds to reduce the bad-order ratio, I am in sympathy with the original proposal that the Commission exercises strict control over such a program so as to prevent any unauthorized use. The Commission's experience in the past with some carriers using the IPD funds for unauthorized purposes and then seeking a waiver should be a reference point. Moreover, I am not fully satisfied that the benchmark mark of 4.5 percent as a bad-order ratio should be an acceptable basis. Realistically, the carriers should be encouraged to reduce the ratio further. Since this is a fact-gathering process, I reserve my prerogative to more fully comment on the matter when the views of the public are received.

To attain the goals, the Commission stated that the incentive per diem proceeding would be continuing and "open-ended." The Commission has issued, since 1969, eight reports and orders concerning incentive per diem for plain boxcars and one each for XF and WP cars. Interim regulatory per diem regulations have changed considerably. XF cars as well as plain unequipped boxcars now earn incentive per diem; the gondola issue is still under consideration. The types of permissible acquisitions have been expanded from building, purchasing, and rebuilding to leasing the equivalent of a purchase (343 I.C.C. 49) and non-equity leasing (349 I.C.C. 303). For boxcars, the one-step lease test periods have been expanded to include a matching requirement or an aggregate test period (353 I.C.C. 336 and the report on reconsideration of July 18, 1977). By order of December 14, 1978,5 the parties were warned that if the bad order ratio of a railroad exceeds 4.5 percent, that railroad should be permitted to spend its accumulated IPD funds, on a matching-fund basis, for car repair until it reduces its bad order ratio to 4.5 percent. The parties should also comment on whether this proposal should be restricted to railroads receiving Federal subsidies, or whether healthy railroads having trouble reducing their bad-order ratios should be permitted to draw down incentive funds.

Another possible regulation might disregard the 50-percent test as the criterion for rebuilt cars, and adopt a new definition of "rebuilt," perhaps with a sliding scale of required matching funds. The parties should also comment on whether a carrier should be required to match the IPD funds it uses for car repair and maintenance with an equal amount of its own, non-IPD funds. The second issue deals with whether IPD funds should be permitted to be used for repair and maintenance of cars. Under the present regulations, except for those used for the bad order ratio, IPD funds can be spent on repair and maintenance only if a car is "rebuilt" according to 11(b) of the Commission's Uniform System of Accounts: the cost of renewals of that car in excess of the 50 percent of the cost of a new car of the same kind and class at that time. There are a number of alternatives to the 50-percent rule. For example, a railroad might spend its accumulated IPD funds in a five-year period to purchase one car. Another possible rule might provide that if the bad order ratio of a railroad exceeds 4.5 percent, that railroad should be permitted to spend its accumulated IPD funds, on a matching-fund basis, for car repair until it reduces its bad order ratio to 4.5 percent.

The third issue involves the lessee's default in a non-equity lease. Such leases must be at least ten years in duration. The problem arises when the lessor enters into another lease after the default of the initial lease. Incen-

---

1 See, Consignee's Obligation to Unload Rail Cars, 340 I.C.C. 405.
tive funds can only be used for "new" or "rebuilt" boxcars in non-equity leases, and under the second lease the boxcars may not be considered "new".

A proposed solution to this problem could be to make the term of the second lease equal to the remainder of the defaulted lease, and consider that an assumption of the initial lease for IPD purposes. For example, if the initial lease was for ten years, and the lessee defaults after two years, the lessor could enter into another lease of eight years' duration with another carrier and receive incentive funds as lease payments.

Decided April 14, 1978.

By the Commission, Commissioner Murphy concurring in part and dissenting in part.

H. G. Homme, Jr.,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 78-11497 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]
DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
Farmers Home Administration

MAILING LIST
Inquiry

AGENCY: Farmers Home Administration, USDA.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Farmers Home Administration is considering establishing a mailing list as a result of inquiries from interested individuals and groups. The intended effect of this action is to make our program regulations more readily available.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before May 30, 1978.

ADDRESS: Submit written comments to the Office of the Chief, Directives Management Branch, Farmers Home Administration, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Room 6316, Washington, D.C. 20250. All written comments made pursuant to this notice will be available for public inspection at the address given above.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Mr. Joseph H. Linsley, Chief, Directives Management Branch, phone 202-447-4057.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
Although Farmers Home Administration program regulations are published in the Code of Federal Regulations as amended in the daily Federal Register, we have received several inquiries from individuals and groups desiring to be placed on a mailing list to receive copies of our regulations. As a result, we are considering establishing such a mailing list.

The cost of a complete set of our program regulations, Administrative Notices, and forms will be $250.00. We will also provide copies of all new regulations and forms, amendments to existing regulations and forms, and Administrative Notices for $75.00 per year for those who are interested. These charges are based on the Freedom of Information Act rates established by the Department of Agriculture.

Individual regulations may still be ordered by contacting our Freedom of Information Officer, Mr. James Bryan, telephone 202-447-2211.


JAMES E. THORNTON, Associate Administrator, Farmers Home Administration.

[FR Doc. 78-11405 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
Farmers Home Administration

SECTION 504—RURAL HOUSING LOANS AND GRANTS
Procedures for Allocation of Supplemental Appropriation

ACTION: Request for Public Comment.

SUMMARY: The Farmers Home Administration has received a supplemental appropriation for “Very Low-Income Housing Repair Grants”. In a committee report the Appropriations Committee indicated that it will expect the Department of Agriculture to develop and publish in the Federal Register, and receive comments on, procedures which will provide for the equitable distribution of these funds to areas of the country and to individuals where this assistance is most needed. This notice is published for public comment in accordance with the statements in the Committee and Conference Reports.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before May 30, 1978.

ADDRESS: Submit written comments to the Office of the Chief, Directives Management Branch, Farmers Home Administration, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Room 6316, Washington, D.C. 20250. All written comments made pursuant to this notice will be available for public inspection at the address given above.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Mr. Reed J. Petersen, Rural Housing Specialist, Single Family Housing Loan Division, 202-447-4295.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:
The selected criteria identifies essential elements that are considered necessary to compare the needs of the various areas and individuals for rural housing to effectively utilize the program funds. The data source as indicated for each criterion is considered to be the latest and best available data to quantify that criterion.

The weight expressed in percentage is used to give a relative value to the importance of the selected criteria. The weight assigned each criterion is constant for all states.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria and source</th>
<th>Weight (percent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. State percentage of occupied housing units lacking complete plumbing and/or crowded (substandard) in areas served by FmHA from 1970 census data. (ERS Stat. Bui. No. 492, pp. 15 and 16)</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. State percentage of households in poverty group in areas served by FmHA from 1970 census data.</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. State percentage of residents over 62 years living in FmHA rural areas, from 1970 Census data.</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. State winter degree days expressed as a percentage of the sum of degree days in all states from NAHB Insulation Manual</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total weight of criteria = 100
### State Factor

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State or Area</th>
<th>Allocation (In thousands)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wyoming</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Samoa</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guam</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puerto Rico</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trust Territory</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reserve and HUD-USDA</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total: 4,000

*HUD-USDA demonstration projects in California, Colorado, Illinois, and West Virginia, project funds would be used for the same purposes as grant allocations for other States.*

**Dated:** April 19, 1978.

**GORDON CAVAONA, Administrator,**

**Farmers Home Administration.**

([FR Doc. 78-11406 Filed 4-26-78, 8:45 am](#))

---

### Forest Service

#### BEAR PLANNING UNIT LAND MANAGEMENT PLAN

**Availability of Final Environmental Statement**

Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, the Forest Service, Department of Agriculture, has prepared a final environmental statement for the Bear River Planning Unit, Gifford Pinchot National Forest, Wash., USDA-FS-R6-

**PLAN**

**State or area**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Allocation (In thousands)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alabama</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idaho</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Hampshire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Mexico</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Carolina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Dakota</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oklahoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oregon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennsylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhode Island</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Carolina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Dakota</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utah</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermont</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Virginia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A limited number of single copies are available upon request to Forest Supervisor Robert Tokarzcyk, Gifford Pinchot National Forest, 500 West 12th Street, Vancouver, Wash. 98660.

Copies of the environmental statement have been sent to various Federal, State, and local agencies as outlined in the CEQ guidelines.

**Dated:** April 20, 1978.

R. Max Peterson, Deputy Chief.

([FR Doc. 78-11388 Filed 4-28-78, 8:45 am](#))
NOTICES

Review of Primitive Areas for Recommending Class I Redesignation

Purpose: This notice is given to inform and invite comments from the public and States concerning procedures used for and preliminary results from a review of 15 National Forest primitive areas to determine if they should be recommended for redesignation to Class I.

Background: The Clean Air Act Amendments of 1977 adopted a system by which clean air areas throughout the nation would be designated in one of three categories—Class I, Class II, or Class III. Each class represents a defined, allowable increase in particular matter and sulfur dioxide. Class I allows the smallest increase and Class III the largest.

The Clean Air Act Amendments initially classified all lands. Mandatory Class I status was given by the Act to international parks, national wilderness areas larger than 5,000 acres, national memorial parks larger than 6,000 acres, national wildlife refuges and national monuments, primitive areas and national preserves and to recommend any appropriate areas for redesignation as Class I where air quality related values are important attributes to the area. The Secretary of Agriculture is, therefore, carrying out the requirements of the Clean Air Act—Section 164(d) as it pertains to 15 primitive areas whose names, locations and sizes are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>Acres</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Blue Range, Arizona and New Mexico</td>
<td>211,710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Sierra, California</td>
<td>10,247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salmon National Forest, California</td>
<td>265,766</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emigrant Basin, California</td>
<td>6,515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncompahgre, Colorado</td>
<td>69,253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilson Mountains, Colorado</td>
<td>30,878</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idaho</td>
<td>1,242,744</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salmon River Breaks, Idaho</td>
<td>217,165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spanish Peaks, Montana</td>
<td>30,062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gila, New Mexico</td>
<td>132,788</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black Range, New Mexico</td>
<td>169,994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Uintas, Utah</td>
<td>257,177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cloud Peak, Wyoming</td>
<td>136,905</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pipo Acre, Wyoming</td>
<td>73,320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glacier, Wyoming</td>
<td>6,497</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Affected States are to be consulted before recommendations are made. The recommendations are to be reported within supporting analysis to Congress and the affected States by August 7, 1978.

PROCEDURE

Key factors in developing our procedure for the review are:

1. States have the authority to redesignate and are required to conduct public hearings and prepare and make available for public inspection, a description and analysis of the health, environmental, economic, social and energy effects of a proposed redesignation (Section 164(4)(C).M.4)

2. Appropriate areas for which to recommend redesignation to Class I are those in which air quality related values are important attributes. Congress, by designating certain Federal lands Class I, has provided us a guide for judging appropriate and important.

3. Air quality related values include the fundamental purpose for which such lands have been established and preserved. The Act (Pub. L. 95-95) specifies visibility as one air quality related value. In our judgment, other air quality related values that primitive areas include odor, flora, fauna, soils, water, geologic features and climate.

Based on the above, our procedure will be to compare primitive areas to those areas designated Class I by Congress and where similar, recommend for Class I redesignation. Comparisons will be made of size, management policies and purposes. For each area, a review will be made to determine the types and importance of air quality related values present.

Our procedure will not evaluate the overall cost/benefit relationship of Class I versus Class II designation. To do so would require analysis of health, economic, social and energy effects and consequently, preempt the responsibilities assigned the States by the Act.

COMPARISONS SIZE

The mandatory Class I areas designated by Congress varied in size. Minimum size was 5,000 acres for national wilderness areas and national memorial parks; 6,000 acres for national parks and no minimum size for international parks. All 15 National Forest primitive areas are larger than 6,000 acres.

MANAGEMENT POLICIES AND PURPOSES

National Forest primitive areas were originally created in the 1930's by the Secretary of Agriculture. The Wilderness Act of 1964 directed the Secretary to review each area for its suitability for nonconsumptive use. Congress designated 15 primitive areas as it is for those wilderness and report his findings to the President. The Secretary was to advise the Congress of his recommendations for wilderness. The Wilderness Act also directed that primitive areas continue to be administered under the rules and regulations affecting such areas until Congress has determined otherwise.

The review of primitive areas required by the Wilderness Act has been completed and administration proposals made to Congress. Wilderness classification has been recommended for all or part of each primitive area. Until such time as Congress acts on these recommendations, Forest Service direction is to manage the primitive areas in accordance with policies and procedures established for wilderness. Therefore, the importance of air quality related values is the same for primitive areas as it is for those wildernesses designated as Class I.

INDIVIDUAL AREAS

A preliminary review of each primitive area has been made. Information has been gathered on the characteristics of each area. Air quality related values are present in each to an extent.
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comparable to mandatory Class I Federal Areas.

PRELIMINARY CONCLUSIONS

The 15 primitive areas administered by the USDA, Forest Service, are similar to wildernesses given mandatory Class I designation by Congress. Air quality related values are present in each area and are important attributes. Therefore, recommendations should be made for redesignating each area to Class I. Recommendations should be made with full realization that factors in addition to those covered by the review must be considered by the States and public prior to their final redesignation decision.

SCHEDULE FOR COMPLETION

Written comments are invited on the review process and results. Comments received by June 7, 1978, will become part of the formal agency record. Comments should be sent to:

Chief, Forest Service, P.O. Box 2417, Washington, D.C. 20013, Attention: Neil Paulson.

Affected States have been consulted and will be sent copies of this notice via each A-85 clearinghouse.

Evaluation of public comments and preparation of final recommendations will be accomplished by June 21, 1978. The Forest Service will meet with affected States to explain final recommendations by July 1, 1978. Recommendations with supporting analysis will be sent to Congress and the States by August 1, 1978. Recommendations will be published as a notice in the Federal Register.

R. M. Housley, Associate Deputy Chief.

[FR Doc. 78-11492 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]

NOTICES

LEESBURG LAND MANAGEMENT PLAN

Availability of Draft Environmental Statement

Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, the Forest Service, Department of Agriculture, has prepared a draft environmental statement for the Leesburg Planning Unit, Salomon National Forest, Idaho. The Forest Service report number is USDA-FS-R4-DES (ADM)-78-5.

The Leesburg Planning Unit contains approximately 85,390 acres of which 2,009 acres are privately owned. The planning unit is in the north central portion of Idaho and entirely within Lemhi County. In developing the land management plan, the planning area was subdivided into two management areas on the basis of similarities such as land types, terrain, major drainages, and management qualities. These inventoried roadless areas are within the unit: Hatstack Mountain No. 4-507, Phelan Mountain No. 4-508, and Deep Creek No. 4-509.

Four management alternatives were evaluated for the Napias Creek Management Area and three alternatives for the Deep Creek Management Area. These alternatives considered a full range of opportunities, from wilderness classification to various resource production, protection, enhancement, and management.

This draft environmental statement was transmitted to EPA on April 17, 1978.

Copies are available for inspection during regular working hours at the following locations:

USDA, Forest Service, South Agriculture Building, Room 3210, 12th St. and Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. 20013.
Regional Planning and Budget Office, USDA, Forest Service, Federal Building, Room 4120, 324 25th Street, Ogden, Utah 84401.

[FR Doc. 78-11492 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]

[3410-11]

COOPERATIVE SPRUCE BUDWORM SUPPRESSION PROJECT, MAINE, VERMONT, AND NEW HAMPSHIRE—1978

Notice of Availability of Final Statement

Pursuant to Section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, the Forest Service has prepared a final environmental statement for the 1978 Cooperative Spruce Budworm Suppression Project in Maine, Vermont, and New Hampshire, USDA-FS-R12-DES (ADM)-78-5.

The final statement concerns a proposed cooperative aerial spray project on a maximum of 1,253,000 acres of State and private woodlands in Aroostook, Piscataquis, Somerset, and Washington Counties, Maine, to protect forest resources from unacceptable damage caused by the spruce budworm. Registered dosage rates of the insecticide carbaryl (Sevin 4 Oil), trichlorfon (Dylox 4), acephate (Orthene Forest Spray), and B.t. will be applied by aircraft to reduce spruce budworm larval populations and to preserve foliage. About 1,807,000 acres will be sprayed with carbaryl (Sevin 4 Oil); 51,000 acres with trichlorfon (Dylox 4); 94,000 acres with acephate (Orthene Forest Spray); and 21,000 acres with B.t. (Thuricide 16B).

This final statement was filed with EPA on April 21, 1978. Copies of the final environmental statement have been sent to various Federal, State, and local agencies as outlined in the EPA guidelines.


ROBERT D. RAISCH, Area Director Northeastern Area, State and Private Forestry.

[FR Doc. 78-11492 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]

[3410-11]

MAGPIE-CONFEDERATE LAND MANAGEMENT PLAN

Availability of Final Environmental Statement

Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, the Forest Service, Department of Agriculture, has prepared a final environmental statement for Magpie-Confederate Planning Unit, report No. USDA-FS-R1(12) FES-ADM-76-19.

The environmental statement concerns a land management plan for the Magpie-Confederate Planning Unit on the Helena National Forest. The planning unit contains 83,773 acres of National Forest land. The planning unit is divided into five management units and the management plan contains specific management direction for activities in each of the five management units.

This final environmental statement was transmitted to EPA on April 20, 1978.

Copies are available for inspection during regular working hours at the following locations:

USDA, Forest Service, South Agriculture Building, Room 3230, 12th St. and Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. 20020.
USDA, Forest Service, Northern Region, Federal Building, Missoula, Mont. 59801.
NOTICES

USDA, Forest Service, Helena National Forest, 616 Helena Avenue, Helena, Mont. 59601.

USDA, Forest Service, Helena-Canyon Ferry Ranger District, 201 Poplar, Helena, Mont. 59601.

USDA, Forest Service, Townsend Ranger District, 111 North Cedar, Townsend, Mont. 59644.

A limited number of copies are available upon request to:

USDA, Forest Service, Helena National Forest, 616 Helena Avenue, Helena, Mont. 59601.

Copies of the environmental statement have been sent to various Federal, State, and local agencies as outlined in the CEQ guidelines.


R. Max Peterson, Deputy Chief.

[FR Doc. 78-11389 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]

[3410-11]

RESOURCE MANAGEMENT PLAN—TIMBER MANAGEMENT PLAN—SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA NATIONAL FORESTS

Availability of Final Environmental Statement

Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, the Forest Service, Department of Agriculture, has prepared a final environmental statement for the proposed revision of the ten-year Timber Management Plan for the Angeles, Cleveland, Los Padres and San Bernardino National Forests, USDA-FS-Region 5-FES(Adm)-77-08. Portions of the forests are located in San Diego, Riverside, Orange, San Bernardino, Los Angeles, Ventura, Kern, Santa Barbara, San Luis Obispo, and Mono County, Calif.

The environmental statement concerns the proposed silvicultural treatments to develop stand conditions which maintain an attractive, healthy forest capable of resisting disease, insects, fire, and the impacts of human use and development on the forest ecosystem.

The draft environmental statement was transmitted to the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) on September 16, 1977. The final environmental statement was transmitted to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) on April 18, 1978.

Copies are available for inspection during regular working hours at the following locations:

USDA, Forest Service, South Agriculture Bldg., Rm. 3210, 12th St. & Independence, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250.

Angels National Forest, 150 S. Los Robles Ave., Room 306, Pasadena, Calif. 91101.

Cleveland National Forest, 3211 Fifth Avenue, San Diego, Calif. 92103.

Regional Forester, U.S. Forest Service, Rm. 529, 630 Sansome Street, San Francisco, Calif.

Los Padres National Forest, 42 Aero Camino, Goleta, Calif. 93117.

San Bernardino National Forest, 144 N. Mountain View Ave., San Bernardino, Calif. 92408.

Copies of the environmental statement have been sent to various Federal, State, and local agencies as outlined in the CEQ guidelines.

ROBERT W. CERMAK, Deputy Regional Forester.

April 18, 1978.

[FR Doc. 78-11346 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]

[3410-16]

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

RURAL CLEAN WATER PROGRAM

Intent To Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement


The program environmental assessment indicates that cumulative effects of the program may cause significant local, regional, or national impacts on the human environment. Therefore, the Soil Conservation Service has determined that the preparation and review of a program environmental impact statement is needed.

Section 35 of Pub. L. 95-217 authorizes the Secretary of Agriculture, with the concurrence of the Administrator, Environmental Protection Agency, to establish and administer a program to enter into long-term contracts of not less than 5 years nor more than 10 years with rural landowners and operators for the purpose of installing and maintaining measures incorporating best management practices to control nonpoint source pollution for improved water quality.

Only those States or areas which have an approved agricultural portion of a “Section 208 Water Quality Management Plan” authorized in Pub. L. 92-500 qualify for technical and financial assistance under the Rural Clean Water Program (RCWP) for the installation of measures incorporating best management practices to control nonpoint source pollution for improved water quality.

The Soi l Conservation Service will solicit public participation in the development of the program environmental impact statement (EIS) through circulation of a draft EIS and holding public meetings. The draft EIS will be published on or about May 15, 1978. The date and location of the public meetings will be announced at the same time the notice of availability of the draft EIS is published in the Federal Register.

Information concerning the Rural Clean Water Program and copies of the draft EIS, when available, may be obtained by contacting Mr. Ernest Todd, Rural Clean Water Program Task Force, Soil Conservation Service, USDA, Washington, D.C. 20247-2711.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Programs number to be assigned.)


Edward E. Thomas, Assistant Administrator for Land Resources, Soil Conservation Service.

[FR Doc. 78-11377 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]

[3410-16]

STICKER FORK WATERSHED, INDIANA

Intent To Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement


The environmental assessment of this federally assisted action indicates that the project will not cause significant local, regional, or national impacts on the environment. As a result of these findings, Mr. Buell M. Ferguson, State Conservationist, has determined that the preparation and review of an environmental impact statement is not needed for this project.

The project concerns a supplement to a partially installed plan for watershed protection and flood prevention that was authorized for construction in September 1962. The supplement provides 16 floodwater retarding structures to augment 15 floodwater retarding structures which have been installed. The 16 structures replace 22.3 miles of channel and a floodwater retarding structure that is deleted from the plan.

The notice of intent to not prepare an environmental impact statement
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has been forwarded to the Environmental Protection Agency. The basic data developed during the environmental assessment is on file and may be reviewed by contacting Mr. Buell M. Ferguson, State Conservationist, Soil Conservation Service, 5610 Crawfordsville Road, Suite 2200, Indianapolis, Ind. 46224; 317-269-3785. An environmental impact appraisal has been prepared and sent to various Federal, State, and local agencies and interested parties. A limited number of copies of the environmental impact appraisal is available to fill single copy request.

No administrative action on implementation of the proposal will be taken until May 30, 1978.


JOSEPH W. HAAS,

[FR Doc. 78-11376 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]

[1505-01]

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

[Docket 32268; Order 78-4-24]

ALOHA AIRLINES, INC. AND HAWAIIAN AIRLINES, INC.

Order Vacating Suspension and Terminating Investigation

Correction

On page 15345 in the issue for Wednesday, April 12, 1978, the following corrections should be made to the document for Aloha Airlines, Inc. and Hawaiian Airlines, Inc.: (1) In the heading add “Order 78-4-24” to the bracketed material. (2) At the end of the document add “[FR Doc. 78-9746 Filed 4-11-78].”

[FR Doc. 78-11373 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]

[1505-01]

[1505-01]

Docket 32163; Order 78-4-25

SOCIETE ANONYME BELGE D'EXPLOITATION, DE LA NAVIGATION AERIENNE (SABENA)

Foreign Air Carrier, Permit; Order to show Cause

Correction

In FR Doc. 78-9748 appearing at page 15346 in the issue for Wednesday, April 12, 1978, add “Order 78-4-25” to the bracketed material in the heading.

[1505-01]

[Docket 30345; Order 78-4-20]

TEXAS INTERNATIONAL AIRLINES, INC.

Order

Correction

In FR Doc. 78-9749 appearing at page 15348 in the issue for Wednesday, April 12, 1978, add “Order 78-4-20” to the bracketed material in the heading.

[3510-22]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

GULF OF MEXICO FISHERY MANAGEMENT COUNCIL'S STONE CRAB ADVISORY PANEL

Public Meeting

The subpanel on Stone Crab of the Advisory Panel of the Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council, established under Section 302 of the Fishery Conservation and Management Act of 1976 (Public Law 94-265) will meet May 19, 1978, at the Lincoln Center, 5401 West Kennedy Boulevard, Suite 881, Tampa, Fla. The meeting starts at 9 a.m. and will adjourn at about 4 p.m.

Proposed Agenda: (1) Develop goal and objectives for the Stone Crab FMP; and (2) Consider special considerations for the plan.

Meeting is open to the public. For more information on seating, changes to the agenda, and/or written comments, contact Wayne E. Swingle, Executive Director, Gulf of Mexico Fishery Management Council, 5401 West Kennedy Boulevard, Suite 881, Tampa, Fla. 33609; 813-228-2818.


WINFRED H. MEIBOHM,
Associate Director, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. 78-11481 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]

[3510-22]

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

HUBBS/SEAWORLD RESEARCH INSTITUTE

Receipt of Application for Permit

Notice is hereby given that an Applicant has applied in due form for a Permit to take marine mammals as authorized by the Marine Mammal Protection Act of 1972 (16 U.S.C. 1361-1407), and the Regulations Governing the Taking and Importing of Marine Mammals (50 CFR Part 216).

1. Applicant:
   a. Name: Hubbs-Seaworld Research Institute, Dr. William Evans, Director.


ROLAND F. SMITH,
Acting Assistant Director for Fisheries Management, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. 78-11373 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]
NOTICES

WINFRED H. MEYERHOF, Associate Director, National Marine Fisheries Service.

(FR Doc. 78-11482 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 a.m.)

[3510-22]
NEW ENGLAND FISHERY MANAGEMENT COUNCIL

Public Meeting

This is a public announcement of a meeting of the Standing Regulatory Measures Committee of the New England Fishery Management Council, established by section 302 of the Fishery Conservation and Management Act of 1976 (Public Law 94-265). Representatives of the Regulatory Measures Committee of the Mid-Atlantic, Gulf of Mexico and Caribbean Fishery Management Councils are invited to participate in this meeting.

The meeting will be held from 10:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. May 22, 1978 at the Holiday Inn, Junction of Route 1 and 128, Peabody, Massachusetts.

Proposed Agenda: (1) Review and adoption of common vessel logbook for all finfish; and (2) review and adoption of common dealer-processor logbook for all finfish.

The meeting is open to the public. For more information on seating, changes to the agenda, or written comments, contact Spencer Appollonio, Executive Director, New England Fishery Management Council, Peabody Office Building, One Newbury Street, Peabody, Mass. 01960, telephone 617-535-5450.

WINFRED H. MEYERHOF, Associate Director, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. 78-11480 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]

[3510-25]

COMMITTEE FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF TEXTILE AGREEMENTS

INDIA

Increasing Import Limits for Certain Cotton and Wool Textile Products

AGENCY: Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements.

ACTION: Increasing the consultation levels for women's, girls', and infants' cotton skirts in Category 342 and wool floor coverings in Category 465 during the twelve-month period which began on January 1, 1978.

(A detailed description of the categories in terms of T.S.U.S.A. numbers was published in the Federal Register on January 4, 1978 (43 FR 884), as amended on January 25, 1978 (43 FR 3421) and March 3, 1978 (43 FR 6826)).

SUMMARY: Under the terms of paragraph 6 of the Bilateral Cotton, Wool and Man-Made Fiber Textile Agreement of December 30, 1977, between the Governments of the United States and India, the Government of India has requested permission to exceed the consultation levels for Categories 342 and 465 during the agreement year which began on January 1, 1978. The U.S. Government has agreed to increase the level for Category 342 to 1.5 million square yards equivalent (84,270 dozen) and the level for Category 465 to 800,000 square yards equivalent (8,000,000 square feet) and will control imports at those levels for the year which began on January 1, 1978.


FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On February 2, 1978, a letter dated January 27, 1978 was published in the Federal Register (43 FR 4451) from the Chairman of the Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements to the Commissioner of Customs which established the levels of restraint applicable to certain categories of cotton, wool and man-made fiber textile products, produced or manufactured in India and exported to the United States during the twelve-month period which began on January 1, 1978, under the terms of the Bilateral Cotton, Wool and Man-Made Fiber Textile Agreement of December 30, 1977. The bilateral agreement also provides consultation levels for categories not subject to designated consultation limits, such as Categories 342 and 465. The U.S. Government has agreed, at the request of the Government of India, to increase the consultation levels for Categories 342 and 465 for the year which began on January 1, 1978, and will control imports in those categories at the increased levels during the twelve-month period which began on January 1, 1978.

In the letter of April 24, 1978, published below, the Chairman of the Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements directs the Commissioner of Customs to prohibit entry into the United States for consumption and withdrawal from warehouse for consumption of textile products in Categories 342 and 465, produced or manufactured in India, in excess of the designated levels of restraint.

ROBERT E. SHEPHERD, Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements and Deputy Assistant Secretary for Domestic Business Development.

COMMITTEE FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF TEXTILE AGREEMENTS

COMMISSIONER OF CUSTOMS, Department of the Treasury, Washington, D.C.

April 24, 1978.

DEAR MR. COMMISSIONER: This directive amends, but does not cancel, the directive issued to you on January 27, 1978 by the Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements, concerning imports into the United States of certain cotton, wool and man-made fiber textile products, produced or manufactured in India.

Under the terms of the Arrangement Regarding International Trade in Textiles done at Geneva on December 20, 1973, as extended on December 18, 1977; pursuant to the Bilateral Cotton, Wool and Man-Made Fiber Textile Agreement of December 30, 1977, between the Governments of the United States and India; and in accordance with the provisions of Executive Order 11651 of March 3, 1972, as amended by Executive Order 11881 of January 6, 1977, you are directed to prohibit, effective on April 24, 1978 and for the twelve-month period beginning on January 1, 1978 and extending through December 31, 1978, entry into the United States for consumption and withdrawal from warehouse for consumption of textile products in Categories 342 (visaed) and 465, produced or manufactured in India, in excess of the following levels of restraint:

12-month level of restraint*:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>342</td>
<td>84,270 dozen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>465</td>
<td>800,000 square feet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The levels of restraint have not been adjusted to reflect any imports after December 31, 1977.

A detailed description of the categories in terms of T.S.U.S.A. numbers was published
NOTICES


In carrying out the above directions, entry into the United States for consumption shall be construed to include entry for consumption into the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico.

The actions taken with respect to the Government of India have been made with respect to imports of cotton and wool textile products from India have been determined by the Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements to involve foreign affairs functions of the United States. Therefore, the directions to the Commissioner of Customs, being necessary to the implementation of such actions, fall within the foreign affairs exception to the rule-making provisions of 5 U.S.C. 553. This letter will be published in the Federal Register.

Sincerely,

ROBERT E. SHEPHERD
Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements, and Deputy Assistant Secretary for Domestic Business Development.

[FR Doc. 78-11540 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]

[6355-01]

CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON POISON PACKAGING

Meeting

AGENCY: Consumer Product Safety Commission.

ACTION: Notice of meeting: Technical Advisory Committee on Poison Prevention Packaging.

SUMMARY: This notice announces a meeting of the Technical Advisory Committee on Thursday, May 25, 1978 from 9 am to 5 pm. The meeting will be held in the third floor conference room, 1111 18th Street NW., Washington, D.C.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Dee Wilson, Committee Management Officer, Office of the Secretary, Suite 300, 1111 18th St. NW., Washington, D.C. 20207, 202-634-700.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Technical Advisory Committee provides advice and recommendations on the types and kinds of products which require special packaging that will protect children from injury or illness resulting from handling or ingestion of household substances. The Tuesday morning session will be devoted to an update of CPSC activities in the area of poison prevention packaging including a discussion of the CPSC policy on the criteria for granting an exemption from the PPPA Regulations. The afternoon session will cover new petitions received by the Commission requesting an exemption and a report of a CPSC contract to evaluate the effectiveness of the poison prevention program at the manufacturer, retailer, and consumer levels.

The meeting is open to the public; however, space is limited. Persons who wish to make oral or written presentations to the Technical Advisory Committee should notify the Office of the Secretary (see address above) by May 12, 1978. The notification should list the name of the individual who will make the presentation, the person, company, group or industry on whose behalf the presentation will be made, the subject matter and the approximate time requested. Time permitting, the presentations and other statements from the audience to the Committee may be allowed by the presiding officer.


SADYE DUNN,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 78-11387 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]

[3910-01]

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

USAF SCIENTIFIC ADVISORY BOARD

Meeting


The USAF Scientific Advisory Board Division Advisory Group, Aeronautical Systems Division will hold a meeting on May 12, 1978 at Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, in Building 12, Room 108, Area B.

The Division Advisory Group will receive unclassified briefings on May 12 from 8:30 a.m. to 6 p.m. on F-16 fatigue test results. These briefings will be open to the public.

FRANKIE S. ESTEP,
Air Force Federal Register Liaison Officer, Directorate of Administration.

[FR Doc. 78-11383 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]

[3128-01]

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

MOUND FACILITY, MIAMISBURG, OHIO

Availability of Draft Environmental Impact Statement

Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) has issued a draft environmental impact statement, DOE/EIS-0014-D, Mound Facility, Miamisburg, Ohio. The statement was prepared pursuant to implementation of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 to support DOE's continued operation of the Mound facility located in Miamisburg, Montgomery County, Ohio. The statement addresses the potential environmental impacts associated with continuing operations at the over 30-year old facility.

Copies of the draft environmental impact statement have been distrib-
ed for review and comment to appropri­
ate Federal, Ohio State and local
agencies, and other organizations and
individuals known to have an
interest in the facility.
Copies of the statement are avail­
able for public inspection at the DOE
public document rooms located at:
DOE Headquarters, 20 Massachusetts
Avenue NW., Washington, D.C.
Albuquerque Operations Office, National
Atomic Museum, Kirtland Air Force Base
East, Albuquerque, N.M.
Chicago Operations Office, 9600 South Cass
Avenue, Argonne, Ill.
Chicago Operations Office, 175 West Jack­
sen Boulevard, Chicago, Ill.
Idaho Operations Office, 550 Second Street,
Idaho Falls, Idaho.
Nevada Operations Office, 2753 South High­
land Drive, Las Vegas, Nev.
Oak Ridge Operations Office, Federal
Building, Oak Ridge, Tenn.
Richland Operations Office, Federal Build­
ing, Richland, Wash.
San Francisco Operations Office, 1333
Broadway, Oakland, Calif.
Savannah River Operations Office, Savan­
hn River Plant, Aiken, S.C.
Comments and views concerning the draft envi­ronmental impact statement
are requested from other interested
agencies, organizations, and indi­
viduals. Single copies of the statement
will be furnished for review and com­
ment upon request addressed to W. H.
Pennington, Director, Office of NEPA
Coordination, Mail Station E-201, U.S.
Department of Energy, Washington, D.C.
Any person desiring to be heard or
make any protest with reference to
said application, on or before May 9,
1978, should file with the Federal
Energy Regulatory Commission,
Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to
appear or be represented at the
hearing.
KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.
[FR Doc. 78-11413 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]

NOTICES

[6740-02]

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[DOCKET NO. CP78-96]

ARKANSAS LOUISIANA GAS CO. AND
SOUTHERN NATURAL GAS CO.

Amendment to Pipeline Application

APRIL 18, 1978.

Take notice that, on March 15, 1978, Arkansas Louisiana Gas Co. (Arkla), P.O. Box 1734, Shreveport, La. 71151, and Southern Natural Gas Co. (Southern), P.O. Box 2563, Birmingham, Ala. 35202, filed in Docket No. CP78-96 on November 21, 1978, to include Natural Gas Pipeline Co. of America (Natural), 122 South Michigan Avenue, Chicago, Ill. 60603, as an Ap­plicant to the proceedings and request the Commission to issue a certificate of public convenience and necessity, authorizing the construction and oper­ation of pipeline and related facilities to connect gas supplies located in Block 57, Eugene Island Area, to the existing pipeline system of United Gas Pipeline Co. (UGPC). The natural gas companies have arranged to purchase 18.75 percent of the reserves attribut­able to the Block 57, Eugene Island Area Field and accordingly, wishes to join Southern and Arkla in construct­ing and operating the necessary pipe­line facilities. As more fully described in the application and the amendment filed thereto, which are on file with the Commission and open to public in­ spection, the total cost of the pro­posed 104 miles of 24-inch pipeline and related facilities is $5,597,243, in­cluding the FERC filing fee.

Any person desiring to be heard or
make any protest with reference to
said application, on or before June 26, 1978, should file with the Federal
Energy Regulatory Commission,
Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to
appear or be represented at the
hearing.

PETITION FOR LEAVE TO INTER­
VENE

Take further notice that, pursuant to
the authority contained in and sub­
ject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission’s rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on the
application if no petition to intervene is
filed within the time required herein, if the Commission, on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the application on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein pro­
vided, unless otherwise advised, it
will be unnecessary for Applicant to
appear or be represented at the
hearing.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.
[FR Doc. 78-11413 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]

[6740-02]

[DOCKET NO. CP78-272]

BROOKLYN UNION GAS CO.

Application


Take notice that on April 5, 1978, The Brooklyn Union Gas Co. (Applicant), 198 Montague Street, Brooklyn, N.Y. 11202, filed in Docket No. CP78-272 an application pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certi­ficate of public convenience and neces­sity authorizing the sales of gas to Delmarva Power and Light Co. (Del­marva), Philadelphia Electric Co. (PECO), and South Jersey Gas Co. (South Jersey), (SG Purchasers) all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

The application states that by order of December 14, 1977, in Transconti­ntental Gas Pipe Line Corporation, Docket No. CP77-494 et al., the Com­mission dismissed Applicant’s petition for a declaratory order disclaiming ju­risdiction over Applicant’s requests in connection with these transactions, which were and are considered by Applicant to be sales of synthetic gas (SG) or artificial gas exempt from Commission jurisdic­tion by virtue of the provisions of the Natural Gas Act. The application fur­ther states that the Commission denied rehearing of the December 14 order by order of March 7, 1978, and stayed the proceedings for thirty days to provide an opportunity for Applicant to file for authorization to make the proposed sales. Consequently, Applicant states that it makes this application under protest and without waiver of its right to contest the Com­mission’s assertion of jurisdiction over it in connection with the proposed transactions.

It is indicated that pursuant to three agreements, dated June 8, 1977, these following companies have contracted with Applicant for the purchase of SG as follows:
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Applicant states that the SG would be produced from a naphtha feedstock and sold to each of the SG purchasers by Applicant, unmixed with natural gas, at the tailgate (outlet) of its SG plant at its Greenpoint Energy Center within its Brooklyn, N.Y. service area. The Applicant's SG plant is used to produce gas to supplement its curtailed natural gas supply in meeting the requirements of its customers, it is stated.

Applicant indicates that additional synthetic gas is to be produced for sale to the SG purchasers by operating the plant to a greater extent than necessary for Applicant's local customer requirements, and that it is only through operation of the SG plant that gas can be made available to the SG purchasers. Applicant states that gas would be available to the SG purchasers only to the extent that the SG plant is operated for such purpose.

It is indicated that ownership of the gas would pass to each SG purchaser at the tailgate of the SG plant, and arrangements for the transportation of the gas from that delivery point to the service area of each customer are the sole responsibility of that customer.

Applicant indicates that it and the SG purchasers are resale customers of Transco, and that the SG purchasers have arranged with Transco for the transportation of the quantities of gas purchased from Applicant to their respective service areas. Applicant states that in that connection, it has agreed to assist the SG purchasers with their transportation arrangements, at no charge to them, by releasing quantities of gas thermally equivalent to the quantities sold to the SG purchasers.

The SG purchasers have separately entered into letter agreements with Transco providing for requisite transportation service, it is said.

Applicant states that the rates for the gas proposed to be sold would be computed on a utility-type, cost of service basis, and that the SG purchasers would pay the sum of the following charges:

(a) An annual demand charge, fixed for the term of the contract, of $1.25 per million Btu based on the contract quantity of SG.

(b) A commodity charge equal to the sum, on a proportional per million Btu basis, of all production-related costs incurred by Applicant in providing the SG service to each SG customer. The production-related costs would be estimated and billed monthly based on current costs and would be adjusted at the end of each contract year to equal the actual costs incurred during that year.

(c) A winter delivery charge, fixed for the term of the contract, of $0.04 per million Btu for all quantities of gas delivered or tendered by Applicant in the winter period of each contract year.

It is stated that each SG purchaser would bear only its proportionate share of the costs actually incurred by Applicant in the provision of gas to such purchaser, and all additional costs.

Applicant indicates that each SG purchaser has stated that it requires the gas to be purchased from Applicant to assure safe and adequate service to its high priority customers in the face of curtailment by its pipeline supplier(s).

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before May 5, 1978, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Section 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Kathleen F. Plumb, Secretary.

[PR Doc. 78-11436 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 a.m.]
Item 4.—Abandon by reclaim approximately 1.04 miles of 6-inch pipeline and replace by constructing approximately 1.04 miles of 6-inch pipeline in Newton County, Mo. Applicant states that since present and anticipated sales on this part of the pipeline can be met by replacing this section with a 4-inch pipeline, the economies of using smaller pipeline for this construction can be achieved without losing the required capacity.

Item 5.—Abandon by transfer to Gas Service approximately 0.91 mile of 3-inch pipeline in the Haven Pipeline, Reno County, Kans. It is stated that the transfer of this segment of pipeline to Gas Service would result in Gas Service owning and operating facilities which are downstream from Applicant’s existing town border meter setting through which deliveries are being made to Haven, Kans. The facilities which Applicant proposes to transfer to Gas Service are more properly a part of Gas Service’s distribution system than Applicant’s transmission system, it is stated.

Item 6.—Abandon by sale to Gas Service approximately 0.91 mile of 3-inch pipeline in the Mt. Hope Pipeline in Sedgwick County, Kans. Applicant indicates that this abandonment by sale would result in Gas Service owning and operating the facilities which are downstream from Applicant’s existing town border meter setting through which deliveries are being made to Mt. Hope, Kans. Applicant further indicates that the facilities proposed for abandonment by sale to Gas Service are more properly a part of Gas Service’s distribution system than Applicant’s transmission system.

It is stated that the total estimated cost of all the proposed facilities to be constructed is $140,600, which cost would be paid from treasury cash, and that total reclaim cost for the proposed abandonments is $11,780, with an estimated salvage value of $5,797.

The abandonment of the facilities proposed in Items 1 through 6 would not result in any abandonment of service to any customers, it is stated.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before May 12, 1978, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the Commission’s rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the intervenants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing thereof must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission’s rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

KENNETH F. PLUMB, Secretary.

[FR Doc. 78-11414 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]

[6740-02]

CLAY BASIN STORAGE CO.

Amendment to Application


On October 1, 1977, pursuant to the provisions of the Department of Energy Organization Act (DOE Act), Pub. L. 95-91, 91 Stat. 565 (August 4, 1977), and Executive Order No. 12050, 42 FR 42687 (September 15, 1977), the Federal Power Commission ceased to exist and its functions and regulatory responsibilities were transferred to the Secretary of Energy and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) which, as an independent commission within the Department of Energy, was activated on October 1, 1977.

Take notice that on April 6, 1978, Clay Basin Storage Co. (Applicant), 100 Broadway, New York, N.Y. 10005, filed in Docket No. CP77-512 an amendment to its application filed herein on July 19, 1977, pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act so as to provide for the continuation of the sale of natural gas by Applicant to El Paso Natural Gas Co.’s (El Paso) cast-of-California (EOC) distributor customers and to El Paso, respectively, and to the extent required, to exchange gas with Northwest Pipeline Corp. (Northwest), for a term extending to March 30, 1980, which sales and exchange of natural gas are necessary to implement part of the proposed extended Clay Basin Interim Storage Arrangements, all as more fully set forth in the amendment on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant indicates that in its original application in the instant docket it requests authorization to undertake the sale of natural gas in interstate commerce for resale and the exchange of gas in interstate commerce, all as part of it participation in the effectuation of the Clay Basin Interim Storage Arrangements. Applicant further indicates that pursuant to the Federal Power Commission order of September 30, 1977, as amended, in Mountain Fuel Resources, Inc., et al., Docket No. CP76-385, et al. it was granted temporary certificate authorization, inter alia, to serve El Paso’s EOC distributor customers, namely, Arizona Public Service Co. (APS), Southern Union Co. (Southern Union), Southwest Gas Corp. (Southwest) and Tucson Gas & Electric Co. (TG&EE, together with APS, Southern Union and Southwest herein jointly referred to as EOC Distributors), in accordance with the terms and conditions of letter agreements, dated June 10, 1977, between El Paso and each of the EOC Distributors; to sell natural gas from time to time to El Paso for use by El Paso to replace compressor fuel usage and lost or unaccounted for gas volumes associated with the physical transportation of Applicant’s gas through El Paso’s interstate facilities, such sale being made in accordance with a gas sales agreement between Applicant and El Paso dated July 6, 1977, and to effectuate the exchange of its gas stored in the Clay Basin Field for equivalent quantities delivered by Northwest Pipeline Corp. (Northwest) to El Paso in the San Juan Basin area for Applicant’s account pursuant to a gas transportation and exchange agreement dated March 24, 1977, between Applicant (as El Paso’s assignee) and Northwest.

It is stated that said sales of natural gas by Applicant to EOC Distributors and to El Paso, respectively, and said exchange arrangements with Northwest, are integral to the effectuation of the Clay Basin Interim Storage Arrangements, which arrangements are designed to assist El Paso in providing for the storage and subsequent utilization of available gas supplies in protestation 1 and 2 requirements of El Paso’s EOC customers through December 31, 1979. It is further stated that operations conducted thus far under the existing ar-
rangements have resulted in the accum-
ulation and retention in storage in the 
Clay Basin Field for Applicant’s ac-
count as of March 31, 1978, of ap-
proximately 400,000 Mcf of natural gas,
and that some 350,000 Mcf of applic-
ant’s gas was redelivered to El Paso by
exchange over a period of six days
during the 1977-78 heating season and
sold by Applicant to the EOC Dis-
tributors for Applicant’s account.

It is indicated that pursuant to Re-
sources’ letter agreement dated March 15,
1978, which provides for the continued
 purchase of natural gas by Applicant
from El Paso through April 30, 1980,
at certain points of delivery in the San
Juan Basin area in Rio Arriba County,
N. Mex., and La Plata County, Colo.,
as provided in the initial arrangement,
and the amendment, would from time to
time, on a daily basis,

Applicant indicates that under the
extended Clay Basin Interim Storage
Arrangements, Northwest, by dis-
placement, would from time to time
through September 30, 1980, deliver to
El Paso at the existing delivery point,

NOTICES
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COLORADO INTERSTATE GAS CO.

Application

April 19, 1978.

Take notice that on April 5, 1978, Colorado Interstate Gas Co. (Applicant), P.O. Box 1087, Colorado Springs, Colo. 80944, filed in Docket No. CP78-273 an application pursuant to section 7(e) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the sale, exchange of natural gas with, Northwest Pipeline Corp. (Northwest), all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

The application states that Applicant has obtained control of certain new natural gas supplies in the Shute Creek Unit (Shute Creek) area in Wyoming, and that this new supply is remote from Applicant's existing pipeline. The application further states that Northwest operates the 30-inch Opal plant lateral which is in the vicinity of applicant's Shute Creek area. Consequently, Applicant and Northwest have entered into a gas gathering and transportation agreement dated December 28, 1977, which agreement provides that Northwest would gather at the wellhead and transport up to 5,000 Mcf of natural gas per day from certain gas supplies Applicant controls in the Shute Creek area, and open to public inspection.

The application states that Applicant indicates that Northwest would conduct the necessary extensions from its existing facilities pursuant to its budget-type authorization in order to provide all services for Applicant's Shute Creek area supply. The gathering facilities would connect to Northwest's Opal plant lateral line, it is said. Applicant states that Northwest would commingle this gas with the other gas flowing in its pipeline and transport it for ultimate delivery to its customers. Applicant further states that redelivery to applicant would occur at the Green River interconnection, and that the volumes redelivered to Applicant would be balanced as nearly as feasible on a monthly basis and would consist of volumes commingled with the volumes delivered to Northwest, adjusted as follows:

1. Reduced by the volumes purchased by Northwest.
2. Reduced by an allowance for fuel usage on Northwest's system applicable to Applicant's share of volume.
3. Reduced or increased, as appropriate, to achieve a thermal balance.
4. Adjusted, as appropriate, by an allowance for fuel usage, shrinkage, and loss of heating value resulting from processing operations at Northwest's Opal processing plant.

It is stated that Northwest would purchase its portion of the Shute creek area gas at a price equal to the same average price paid by Applicant for such gas. Gathering charges, initially, would be assessed by Northwest for all of Applicant's gas which it gathers and transports, it is said.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before May 12, 1978, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules and procedures (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding or become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission in its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Kenneth F. Plumb,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 78-11415 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]

[5740-02]

[Docket No. CP78-274]

COLORADO INTERSTATE GAS CO.

Application

April 19, 1978.

Take notice that on April 5, 1978, Colorado Interstate Gas Co. (Applicant), P.O. Box 1087, Colorado Springs, Colo. 80944, filed in Docket No. CP78-274 an application pursuant to section 7(e) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the sale, exchange of natural gas with, Northwest Pipeline Corp. (Northwest), all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

The application states that Applicant has obtained control of certain new natural gas supplies in the Monument Butte III Unit (Monument Butte) area in Sweetwater County, Wyo., and that this new supply is remote for Applicant's existing pipeline system. Northwest operates an existing gathering system in the Lincoln Road Federal Unit area which is in reasonable proximity to Applicant's Monument Butte area supply, it is said. Consequently, Applicant and Northwest have entered into a gas gathering and transportation agreement dated December 30, 1977, which agreement provides that Northwest would gather at the wellhead and transport up to 3,000 Mcf of natural gas per day from certain gas supplies. Applicant controls in the Monument Butte area in Wyoming to an existing interconnection between Applicant and Northwest at Green River, Wyo., it is stated. It is further stated that in return for gathering and transporting Applicant's gas, Northwest is contractually authorized to purchase 25 percent of the gas delivered by Applicant into Northwest's gathering system. Applicant indicates that Northwest would construct the necessary extensions to its existing gathering facilities pursuant to its gas purchase budget-type authorization in order to provide wellhead gathering services for Applicant's Monument Butte area supply. The extended gathering facilities would commingle this gas with the other gas flowing in its pipeline and transport it for ultimate delivery to its customers, it is said. It is stated that Northwest would redeliver the gas, less the 25 percent of the gas that it would purchase, on a thermally equivalent basis to Applicant at the Green River interconnection. It is indicated.
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the delivery volumes to Applicant would be balanced as nearly as feasible on a monthly basis and would consist of values equivalent to the volumes delivered to Applicant, adjusted as follows:

(1) Reduced by the volumes purchased by Northwest; (2) Reduced by an allowance for fuel usage on Northwest's system applicable to Applicant's share of the volume; (3) Reduced or increased, as appropriate, to achieve a thermal balance; (4) Adjusted, as appropriate, by an allowance for fuel usage, shrinkage, and loss of heating value resulting from processing operations at Northwest's opal processing plant.

Applicant states that Northwest would purchase its portion of the Monument Butte area gas from Applicant at the same average price paid by Applicant for such gas. It is stated that gathering charges, initially 15.9 cents per Mcf, and transmission charges of 2.5 cents per Mcf, initially, would be assessed by Northwest for all of Applicant's gas which it gathers and transports.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before May 11, 1978, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 and 1.10) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.}

Kenneth F. Plumb,
Secretary.

[FPR Doc. 78-11416 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]

[6740-02]

Docket No. CP78-2777

COLORADO INTERSTATE GAS CO.

Application


Take notice that on April 6, 1978, Colorado Interstate Gas Co. (Applicant), P.O. Box 1087, Colorado Springs, Colo. 80944, filed in Docket No. CP78-2777 an application pursuant to section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the installation of a new observation well and the conversion of one existing observation well to a withdrawal well at the Boehm Storage Field in Morton County, Kans., all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant requests authorization to convert Well No. 25, currently an observation well, to a withdrawal well and to drill and equip one additional observation well in the northern sector of the Boehm Storage Field. The total estimated cost of the proposed facilities is $347,500, which cost would be financed from current funds on hand, funds from operations, short-term borrowings, or long-term financing, as it is stated.

The application states that the Boehm Field was developed as a gas storage reservoir to aid Applicant in meeting its peak-day gas requirements, and that the increased pressure resulting from processing operations at Northwest's opal processing plant, forced backward. Applicant further states that Northwest's system applicable to Applicant's share of the volume, would be assessed by Northwest for all of Applicant's gas which it gathers and transports.

Regional facilities which are designed to ensure production of natural gas from the edge of the field to assist in preventing migration. It is stated that the Keyes reservoir in the Boehm Storage Field is operating as anticipated, and that the northwest gas migration was expected; however, the proposed facilities, as well as future operations, are designed to stop that migration and stop the growth of the gas reservoir. Gas would not be injected into Well No. 25, it is said. It is indicated that withdrawals only will be made to reduce the pressure on the north end of the field, and that the entire Keyes reservoir would continue to be operated over the complete injection-withdrawal cycle on a balanced pound-day concept.

Applicant states that it is considered essential to observe the history of further northward gas migration. Thus, a step-out observation well is proposed to monitor the Keyes formation, it is said. It is stated that the location of the step-out well to be designated Well No. 42, is approximately one-half mile west of Well No. 25. It is asserted that the proposed new observation well would be used to detect any additional gas migration.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before May 12, 1978, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20428, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 and 1.10) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a
petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 78-11447 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]

[6740-02]

(Docket No. CP78-276)

COLORADO INTERSTATE GAS CO.

Application


Take notice that on April 6, 1978, Colorado Interstate Gas Co. (Applicant), P.O. Box 1087, Colorado Springs, Colo. 80944, filed in Docket No. CP78-276 an application pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the transportation of gas as requested by National and Columbia of Ohio in Newark and/or Zanesville, Ohio; and

(1) National would deliver natural gas to Columbia Gas of Ohio, Inc. (Columbia of Ohio) at existing interconnections between National and Columbia of Ohio in Newark, Licking County, Ohio, and/or Zanesville, Muskingum County, Ohio; and

(2) Columbia of Ohio would reduce, by equivalent volumes, its receipt from Applicant at existing interconnections near Newark and/or Zanesville, Ohio; and

(3) Applicant would deliver in exchange therefor, like volumes of natural gas to National at existing point of delivery near Somerton, Ohio, and at a specific point as mutually agreed upon Applicant's line 0-1483 near Batesville, Ohio.

Applicant states that its charges for the proposed transportation is its average system-wide unit storage and transmission cost, excluding company-use and unaccounted-for gas. Applicant further states that it would retain for company-use and unaccounted-for gas a percentage of the total volume of natural gas delivered into its system by National, which percentage is currently 4.0 percent.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before May 12, 1978, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the Commission's rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 78-11444 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]

A copy of this filing was served on each of Columbia Gulf's jurisdictional customers.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE, Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with sections 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before May 1, 1978. Protest will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

COLUMBIA GULF TRANSMISSION CO.

CONSORTIUM OF THE NORTHEAST UTILITIES

Consolidated Edison Co. of New York, Inc. (Con Edison) and Western Massachusetts Electric Co.

Con Edison states that the Exchange agreement, dated as of April 28, 1975, and the amendment, dated as of April 1, 1976, essentially extend the term of the Con Edison-RLG Schedule FPC No. 38 (and by concurrence, The Connecticut Light & Power Co. Rate Schedule FPC No. 120; The Hartford Electric Light Co. Rate Schedule FPC...
NOTICES

Third Revised Volume No. 1. The tariff sheet is proposed to become effective, subject to refund, on April 1, 1978. Consolidated proposed that the rates shown on Revised Alternate Second Substitute Original Sheet No. 16 be approved in lieu of the rates filed April 4, 1978.

Consolidated stated that Revised Alternate Second Substitute Original Sheet No. 16 was filed to comply with the Commission’s order of October 31, 1977, as amended and that the revisions are consistent with the allocation factors and treatment of LNG costs in its revised filing in Docket No. RP78-52, made concurrently. The proposed rates reflect an annual decrease of approximately $5 million in revenues from the revenues that would have been generated from the rates contained in Alternate Second Substitute Original Sheet No. 16 and are based upon the resolution of the cost classification, cost allocation rate design and zoning issues provided in the Stipulation and Agreement filed November 28, 1977, and approved by the Commission on April 7, 1978 in Consolidated Gas Supply Corp., Docket Nos. RP73-107, et al.

Consolidated requests a waiver of any of the Commission’s Rules and Regulations as may be required to make the rates effective, subject to refund, on April 1, 1978.

Copies of this filing were served upon Consolidated’s jurisdictional customers, as well as interested State Commissions.

Any persons desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission’s rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before May 2, 1978. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB, Secretary.

[FR Doc. 78-11448 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]

CONSOLIDATED GAS SUPPLY CORP.
Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff


Take notice that Consolidated Gas Supply Corp. (Consolidated) on April 18, 1978, tendered for filing proposed changes in its FERC Gas Tariff, Third Revised Volume No. 1. The proposed changes, reflected in Alternate Third Substitute Original Sheet No. 16, to be effective May 1, 1978, represent PGA adjustments because of changes in the Base Tariff rates for producer purchases included in a revised filing made in Docket No. RP78-52 and a decrease in costs of gas purchased from Texas Eastern, previously filed to become effective May 1, 1978.

Consolidated requests a waiver of any of the Commission’s rules and regulations as may be deemed necessary by the Commission.

Copies of this filing were served upon Consolidated’s jurisdictional customers, as well as interested State Commission.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission’s rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before May 2, 1978. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB, Secretary.

[FR Doc. 78-11448 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]
NOTICES

EAST TENNESSEE NATURAL GAS CO.
Application


Take notice that on April 7, 1978, East Tennessee Natural Gas Co. (Applicant), 80206, filed in Docket No. CP78-278 an application pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act and § 2.78 of the Commission's general policy and interpretations (18 CFR 2.78) for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the transportation of natural gas for two years for Uniroyal, Inc. (Uniroyal) and Eaton Corp. (Eaton), as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

The application states that Uniroyal and Eaton each operate manufacturing plants in Shelbyville, Tenn. The Uniroyal plant uses natural gas for existing processes in the manufacture of tire cord for tires used on automobiles, trucks, tractors, and airplanes, and the Eaton plant uses natural gas for certain processes in the manufacture of heavy duty vehicular transmissions for truck manufacturers as well as construction and agricultural vehicular machinery, it is said. It is stated that Uniroyal and Eaton have requested Applicant to receive and transport natural gas for Applicant's existing customers United Cities Gas Co. (United Cities), for the accounts of Uniroyal and Eaton, for use in their respective plants. It is further stated that Uniroyal and Eaton have contracted to purchase the subject gas in the Douglas Branch Field located in Morgan County, Tenn., from Cumberland Oil Producing Co., Inc. (COPCO) at a price of $2 per Mcf, pursuant to gas purchase contracts dated October 27, 1977, and October 28, 1977, respectively. It is indicated that the subject gas is not available to the interstate market.

Applicant proposes to receive from COPCO for the accounts of Uniroyal and Eaton, at the interconnection of Applicant's and COPCO's facilities located at Applicant's Main Line Valve 3109, a daily volume of gas up to the maximum daily quantity of 700 Mcf and 600 Mcf, respectively, and transport and deliver the respective volumes to United Cities, at Applicant's existing customers United Cities Gas Co., for the accounts of Uniroyal and Eaton. Applicant states that in addi-
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tion to their respective daily volume, Uniroyal and Eaton would each make available to Applicant daily, an additional volume of gas, the product of the scheduled daily volume multiplied by 0.72 percent, as a supplement to Applicant's system gas supply, for Applicant's system fuel and use requirements associated with these transportation services.

It is indicated that Uniroyal and Eaton would each pay to Applicant each month a charge for transportation to be determined by multiplying the rate of 26.67 cents per Mcf by the volume of natural gas delivered by Applicant's system fuel and use requirements, from Uniroyal and Eaton, a daily volume each, determined by multiplying 0.72 percent times the scheduled daily volume delivered by Applicant to United Cities for their respective accounts, and that Applicant would also retain each day for its system fuel and use requirements, from Uniroyal and Eaton, a daily volume each, determined by multiplying 0.72 percent times the scheduled daily volume delivered by Applicant to United Cities for their respective accounts.

Applicant indicates that the proposed service would enable Uniroyal and Eaton to receive natural gas for their plants in Shelbyville, Tenn., in order to mitigate the effects of curtailment being imposed on them by United Cities, the gas distribution company for Shelbyville, Tenn., resulting from curtailment of United Cities by Applicant.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before May 12, 1978, file a protest with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no petition to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[PR Doc. 78-11451 Filed 4-26-78; 8:48 am]

NOTICES

[6740-02]

[Docket No. CP78-282]

EASTERN SHORE NATURAL GAS CO.

Application


Take notice that on April 11, 1978, Eastern Shore Natural Gas Co. (Applicant), 211 W. Main St., Dover, Del. 19901, filed in Docket No. CP78-282 an application pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the sale of up to 27 Mcf of natural gas per day to South Jersey Exploration Co. (South Jersey) to act as the agent in arranging for transportation by Natural Gas Pipeline Co. of America (Natural) as partial compensation for transportation services rendered to Applicant, all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

The Applicant states that pursuant to a contract amendment dated January 30, 1978, Applicant has agreed to purchase natural gas from its producing affiliate, Dover Exploration Co. (Dover) at the wellhead in the North East Traywick Field, Nacogdoches County, Tex. It is stated that Applicant and other limited partners of Enterprise Resources, Inc., have authorized South Jersey Exploration Co. (South Jersey) to act as the agent in arranging for transportation by Natural from the wellhead. Applicant indicates that Natural has agreed to take title to the gas at the wellhead for the account of the limited partners. Dover holds approximately a 5.4 percent interest in the production transported from the North East Traywick Field, and thus Natural would transport a maximum of 27 Mcf per day for the account of Applicant, it is stated. Applicant indicates that Natural would have the option to purchase up to 27 Mcf of natural gas per day from Applicant at a rate which would be the highest applicable price permitted by the Commission.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before May 12, 1978, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20428, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no petition to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[PR Doc. 78-11452 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]

[6740-02]

[Docket No. RP72-1401]

GREAT LAKES GAS TRANSMISSION CO.

Corrected Notice of Proposed Tariff Sheet Change


Take notice that Great Lakes Gas Transmission Co. (Great Lakes), on April 7, 1978, tendered for filing the following revised tariff sheet to its FERC Gas Tariff proposed to be effective May 7, 1978.

First Revised Volume No. 1
First Revised Sheet No. 9
First Revised Sheet No. 9
First Revised Sheet No. 12
This filing has previously been noticed on April 14, 1978, but was erroneously docketed in Docket No. RM77-14.

Great Lakes states that the revised tariff sheets are required to eliminate a redundant Heating Valve Adjustment presently included in its resale gas Tariffs. Great Lakes further states that, since the price of the gas purchased from TransCanada Pipeline Lines Ltd. is determined on a Btu content basis, the Heating Valve Adjustment is already being provided to its customers through the Purchase Gas Adjustment Clause included in Great Lakes’ F.P.C. Gas Tariff.

Great Lakes also states that copies of this filing have been served upon its customers and the Public Service Commission of Minnesota, Wisconsin, and Michigan.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Sections 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission’s rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before May 12, 1978. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB, Secretary.

[FR Doc. 78-11453 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]

NOTICES

Filing of pipeline Refund Reports and Refund Plans

April 19, 1978.

Take notice that the pipelines listed in the Appendix hereto have submitted to the Commission for filing proposed refund reports or refund plans. The date of filing, docket number, and type of filing are also shown on the Appendix.

Any person wishing to do so may submit comments in writing concerning the subject refund reports and plans. All such comments should be filed with or mailed to the Federal

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

APPENDIX

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date filed</th>
<th>Docket No.</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Type filing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[PR Doc. 78-11422 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]

[6740-02]

[Docket No. RP75-79]

LEHIGH PORTLAND CEMENT COMPANY vs.
FLORIDA GAS TRANSMISSION COMPANY

Notice Granting Intervention


On December 5, 1977, the City of Sunrise, Fla., (Petitioner) filed a petition for leave to intervene in the above-captioned proceeding pursuant to section 15 of the Natural Gas Act and section 1.8 of the Commission rules of practice and procedure. No responses to the petition have been received.

The subject proceeding results from a complaint by Lehigh Portland Cement Co. alleging that the curtailment plan of Florida Gas Transmission Co. (FGT) is unduly discriminatory and preferential. In FPC Opinion No. 807, issued on December 24, 1977, the Commission found that the plan is unduly discriminatory and preferential and ordered FGT to file a new curtailment plan. In Opinion No. 807-A, issued on September 22, 1977, the Commission, among other things, amended the ordering clauses of Opinion No. 807 to require FGT to file a case in chief setting forth its views on a curtailment plan and ordered a hearing under Section 8 of the Natural Gas Act to establish a just and reasonable curtailment plan for FGT.

Petitioner states that it is an incorporated community of the State of Florida, that it owns and operates a natural gas distribution system supplying natural gas to residential and small commercial customers in Sunrise, Florida, and that FGT is Petitioner’s sole supplier. Petitioner says that the curtailment plan filed by FGT may affect the service rendered to Petitioner. Petitioner also says that the hearings scheduled in this proceeding may be an appropriate forum for proposing certain changes it wishes to accomplish in FGT’s curtailment plan.

Petitioner further states that its participation in this proceeding will be in the public interest and that its interest in this proceeding cannot be adequately represented by any other party.

On December 19, 1977, in an order issued after Petitioner’s filing, the Commission provided for a prehearing conference in this proceeding and invited any person desiring to become a party to this proceeding to petition to intervene within 20 days.

Pursuant to § 3.5(a)(30) of the Commission’s General Rules, Petitioner is hereby permitted to intervene in this proceeding subject to the rules and regulations of the Commission: Provided, however, that participation of Petitioner shall be limited to matters affecting asserted rights and interests as specifically set forth in the petition to intervene; and Provided, further, that the admission of Petitioner shall be conditioned upon Petitioner's willingness to comply with § 3.5(a)(30) of the Commission's General Rules and Regulations.

It is indicated that pursuant to the gas-storage service agreement further provides that Columbia may elect to deliver redelivered from one winter period to the next of all or any part of the volumes stored. To the extent that such deferred volumes exceed 550,000 Mcf, Columbia would furnish 2 percent of such excess as compressor fuel to permit cycling of such excess gas to maintain storage capacity.

Application pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the rendition of a gas storage service for Columbia Gas of Ohio, Inc. (Columbia), all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant requests authorization to render gas storage service to Columbia for a period of six years (1978-84) or, if Columbia so elects, for a period of thirteen years (1978-91), pursuant to a gas storage agreement dated March 1, 1978, between the two parties. Applicant indicates that the subject agreement provides that during the 1978 and ensuing summer periods (March 1 through October 31) Columbia would cause up to 2,750,000 Mcf of gas to be delivered to Applicant for storage, and that during the 1978-79 and ensuing winter periods (November 1 through March 31), Applicant would redeem an equivalent volume of gas to Columbia. It is indicated that Columbia would also provide a volume of gas for compressor fuel equivalent to 1 percent of such deliveries, and that the storage agreement further provides that Columbia may elect to defer redelivery from one winter period to the next of all or any part of the volumes stored. To the extent that these deferred volumes exceed 550,000 Mcf, Columbia would furnish 2 percent of such excess as compressor fuel to permit cycling of such excess gas to maintain storage capacity.

The application states that Columbia would arrange with Columbia Gas Transmission Corp., Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Co. (Panhandle) and Michigan Wisconsin Pipe Line Co. (Michigan Wisconsin) to have all storage gas delivered to Applicant at Applicant’s Willow station near Ypsilanti, Mich., or other mutually agreeable delivery points.

It is indicated that pursuant to the gas-storage agreement, Columbia may elect each year through 1984 to shift all or any part of its short-term (1978-84) storage service to long-term (1978-91) storage service. Applicant states that it would charge Columbia the following annual rates for these storage services:
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To render the proposed gas storage service, Applicant would utilize the Taggart Storage Field and associated pipeline and compression facilities for which the Commission has granted a temporary certificate in Docket No. CP76-254, it is said.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before May 12, 1978, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice to the parties to the application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

KENNETH F. PLUMS, Secretary.

[FR Doc. 76-11456 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]
increase in the maximum quantity of gas presently authorized for exchange (60,000 Mcf per day) is required at this time, it is said.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said petition to amend should on or before May 11, 1978, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission’s rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission’s rules.

KENNETH F. PLUMB, Secretary.

[FR Doc. 78-11428 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]

Amendment to Application


Take notice that on April 4, 1978, Northern Natural Gas Co. (Applicant), 2223 Dodge Street, Omaha, Nebr. 68102, filed in Docket No. CP77-193 an amendment to its application filed in said docket on February 7, 1977, pursuant to Section 7(e) of the Natural Gas Act so as to provide for the construction and operation of certain compressor facilities necessary to allow for testing of the Cunningham Storage Field, all as more fully set forth in the amendment on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

It is indicated the application in the instant docket requested authorization, among other things, to develop and operate the Cunningham Storage Field, and that a supplement to the application was filed on June 8, 1977. It is further indicated that on December 30, 1977, Applicant was granted a temporary certificate for the proposed 1978 construction and injection of gas.

Applicant states that it proposes to inject 15,000,000 Mcf of gas into the Cunningham Storage Field during the 1978-79 heating season, and that with this volume of gas in storage, Applicant can gain valuable data on the producing characteristics of the reservoir by making limited withdrawals during the 1978-79 heating season. Applicant further states that such withdrawal would provide important data as to well performance and to temperature and gas composition of the withdrawal volumes. This data would provide additional detail for efficient field development, it is said. Applicant anticipates that no withdrawal of approximately 1,000,000 Mcf of gas. It is proposed that the volumes withdrawn during testing would be replaced during the 1979 injection season, and that the development of the test volumes would be in addition to volumes scheduled for injection during 1979 so that the inventory at the beginning of the 1979-80 heating season would equal the volumes in storage projected in the development schedule.

The amendment states that during the withdrawal testing, such volumes would be transported to Applicant’s main line through the 30-inch line between the Cunningham Storage Field and the Macksville compressor station, and that in order for this gas to be delivered into the main line, Applicant proposes to install, at its option, a temporary pumping unit at the Macksville station. Applicant indicates that it would also install on the same temporary basis a dehydration unit in the storage field area.

Applicant asserts that the proposed facilities would enable it to utilize limited volumes from the Cunningham Field to meet emergency situations should such a situation arise during the 1978-79 heating season. It is anticipated that the flowing pressure would be between 125-300 psia during the testing period, and that during the testing period, it is projected that the peak day rate would be approximately 12,000 Mcf and that the total volumes withdrawn would be approximately 12,000 Mcf and that the total volumes withdrawn would be approximately 1,000,000 Mcf. It is said. Applicant indicates that the estimated project cost is $498,650, which cost would be financed from funds on hand.

It is stated that the compressor that Applicant proposes to install would be a unit currently installed at Edwards County No. 2, and that Applicant plans to abandon and then replace the existing 720 horsepower compressor at Edwards County No. 2, and that Applicant further states that such withdrawal would provide important data as to well performance and to temperature and gas composition of the withdrawal volumes. This data would provide additional detail for efficient field development, it is said. Applicant anticipates that no withdrawal of approximately 1,000,000 Mcf of gas. It is proposed that the volumes withdrawn during testing would be replaced during the 1979 injection season, and that the development of the test volumes would be in addition to volumes scheduled for injection during 1979 so that the inventory at the beginning of the 1979-80 heating season would equal the volumes in storage projected in the development schedule.

The amendment states that during the withdrawal testing, such volumes would be transported to Applicant’s main line through the 30-inch line between the Cunningham Field and the Macksville compressor station, and that in order for this gas to be delivered into the main line, Applicant proposes to install, at its option, a temporary pumping unit at the Macksville station. Applicant indicates that it would also install on the same temporary basis a dehydration unit in the storage field area.

Applicant asserts that the proposed facilities would enable it to utilize limited volumes from the Cunningham Field to meet emergency situations should such a situation arise during the 1978-79 heating season. It is anticipated that the flowing pressure would be between 125-300 psia during the testing period, and that during the testing period, it is projected that the peak day rate would be approximately 12,000 Mcf and that the total volumes withdrawn would be approximately 1,000,000 Mcf. It is said. Applicant indicates that the estimated project cost is $498,650, which cost would be financed from funds on hand.

It is stated that the compressor that Applicant proposes to install would be a unit currently installed at Edwards County No. 2, and that Applicant plans to abandon and then replace the existing 720 horsepower compressor at Edwards County No. 2, pursuant to budget-type authorization granted by the Commission, with a lower rated unit more suited to the specific gathering system requirements as now exist on the Edwards County system. After April 1, 1979, a 720 horsepower compressor unit at the Macksville station. Applicant indicates that it would also install on the same temporary basis a dehydration in the storage field area.

Applicant asserts that the proposed facilities would enable it to utilize limited volumes from the Cunningham Field to meet emergency situations should such a situation arise during the 1978-79 heating season. It is anticipated that the flowing pressure would be between 125-300 psia during the testing period, and that during the testing period, it is projected that the peak day rate would be approximately 12,000 Mcf and that the total volumes withdrawn would be approximately 1,000,000 Mcf. It is said. Applicant indicates that the estimated project cost is $498,650, which cost would be financed from funds on hand.

It is stated that the compressor that Applicant proposes to install would be a unit currently installed at Edwards County No. 2, and that Applicant plans to abandon and then replace the existing 720 horsepower compressor at Edwards County No. 2, pursuant to budget-type authorization granted by the Commission, with a lower rated unit more suited to the specific gathering system requirements as now exist on the Edwards County system. After April 1, 1979, the compressor and dehydration unit would be removed and be available for use on Applicant’s system as needed, it is stated.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said amendment should on or before May 12, 1978, file a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission’s rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission’s Rules. Persons who have heretofore filed need not file again.

KENNETH F. PLUMB, Secretary.

[FR Doc. 78-11429 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]

NORTHERN STATES POWER COMPANY (Northern States) on April 21, 1978, tendered for filing Supplement No. 3, dated April 20, 1978, to the Firm Power Service Resale Agreement, dated January 6, 1969, with the City of Granite Falls, Minn.

Northern States states that Granite Falls has agreed to purchase Northern States’ 69-4.16 Kv substation at the Point of Delivery. Northern States further states that Supplement No. 3 amends certain sections of the Resale Agreement to provide for the City’s ownership of the substation.

Northern States requests waiver of the Commission’s notice requirements to allow an effective date of April 20, 1978.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said application should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE, Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission’s rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions and protests should be filed on or before May 8, 1978. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this application are on file with the commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB, Secretary.

[FR Doc. 78-11429 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]
NOTICES
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NOTICES

[Docket No. CF78-268]

NORTHWEST PIPELINE CORP.

Application

APRIL 18, 1978.

Take notice that on April 3, 1978, Northwest Pipeline Corp. (Applicant), P.O. Box 1526, Salt Lake City, Utah 84110, filed in Docket No. CF78-268 an application pursuant to Section 7(e) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the transportation and sale to and the exchange of natural gas with Colorado Interstate Gas Company (CIG), all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant indicates that it has entered into a gas purchase contract dated September 16, 1977, with Northwest Eastern Exploration Co. (Exploration) covering an area which is remote from Applicant's existing transmission system, and that in order to make the volumes of gas to be purchased in the Black Butte Area of Sweetwater County, Wyo. Applicant indicates that it has entered into a gas transportation agreement dated March 16, 1978, with CIG. It is stated that CIG has a need for additional gas supplies in order to serve the requirements of its customers and is willing to transport and exchange natural gas with Applicant to the extent therein proposed in return for the right to purchase up to 25 percent of the volumes of gas received for exchange.

Applicant states that it would deliver to CIG during the term of the agreement, all volumes of gas purchased by Applicant in the Black Butte Area of Sweetwater County, Wyo., and that the gas to be delivered to CIG for transportation and exchange would be at a mutually agreeable point on CIG's pipeline facilities located in Sweetwater County, Wyo. Applicant further states that the volumes of gas delivered to CIG under the authorization sought herein would be transported by Applicant in the Black Butte Area and transported to the facilities of CIG.

Applicant proposes to construct the gathering facilities necessary to deliver the volumes of natural gas to CIG pursuant to its budget-type authorization granted in Docket No. CP77-507, it is said.

It is indicated that CIG would receive for exchange such volumes as are delivered by Applicant from the Black Butte Area and would re-deliver equivalent volumes, subject to CIG's option to purchase up to 25 percent of the volumes delivered for exchange, at an existing point of interconnection between the facilities of Applicant and CIG in Sweetwater County, Wyo., where Applicant is currently authorized to sell and deliver volumes of natural gas to CIG. The volumes of gas so delivered and received for exchange would be balanced on a Btu basis and such balancing would, to the extent possible, be achieved monthly.

Applicant states that it estimates that initially the total volumes of gas to be delivered to CIG would be approximately 500 Mcf per day of which CIG would authorize Applicant to purchase 25 percent or approximately 125 Mcf per day. Applicant further states that CIG's purchase from Applicant would be at a price based on Applicant's cost of gas purchased in the Black Butte Area of Sweetwater County, Wyo. Applicant indicates that it would also charge CIG an initial rate of 26.89 cents per Mcf for the gathering and transportation to CIG of such volumes of natural gas as CIG may purchase from Applicant pursuant to the agreement.

The application states that CIG would not charge Applicant for the mainline displacement service as proposed herein; however, should connection points other than the points previously mentioned be utilized to transport Applicant's gas, then CIG would charge a cost-of-service associated with the new delivery points. Such cost-of-service would be determined in a manner consistent with the procedures normally used in the industry.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before May 9, 1978, address a petition to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to postpone or delay the hearing on the application.

A portion of the net proceeds from the sale of the 400,000 shares of Common Stock, par value $7 per share, in a negotiated underwritten public offering; and (2) not to exceed $12,000,000 principal amount of First Mortgage Bonds in a negotiated private placement. Included in such application is a request for exemption from the competitive bidding requirements of Section 34.1a (b) and (c) of the regulations under the Federal Power Act for each of the separate transactions.

Applicant is incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware and is qualified to do business in the States of Iowa, Nebraska, North Dakota, and South Dakota, with its principal business office being in Huron, S. Dak. Applicant is engaged in generating, transmitting, distributing and selling electric energy in the east central portion of South Dakota where it furnishes electric service in 108 communities and in distributing and selling natural gas in three Nebraska communities and in 24 communities in South Dakota.

It is proposed that the sales price and underwriting fees and commissions for the 400,000 shares of Common Stock will be determined by negotiation with the underwriters, and that the purchase price, interest rate, maturity date, redemption and sinking fund provisions and other terms of the First Mortgage Bonds will be fixed by negotiation with the purchasers.

A portion of the net proceeds from the $12,000,000 principal amount of First Mortgage Bonds will be used to refund $3,000,000 principal amount of Applicant's previously issued First Mortgage Bonds which mature in 1978. The remainder of such net pro-
ceeds, together with the net proceeds from the 400,000 shares of Common Stock (valued at approximately $7,400,000 based on current market prices), will be used to refund outstanding short-term bank loans and to pay part of the costs of Applicant's 1978 construction program.

As of March 31, 1978, Applicant had outstanding $16,000,000 principal amount of short-term bank loans which was used in part to finance a portion of Applicant's 1977 construction which totalled approximately $22,478,000. Of that total amount, approximately $14,856,000 were for electric generating facilities, $3,085,000 for electric substations, $2,284,000 for routine extensions and additions to electric systems, $737,000 for miscellaneous extensions and additions to gas distribution systems, and $691,000 for miscellaneous, general and transportation facilities.

Applicant's 1978 construction expenditures are estimated to be $26,793,000, consisting of approximately $20,349,000 for electric generating facilities (being principally for Applicant's share of the construction costs for Neal Electric Generating Unit No. 4 and Coyote No. 1 Electric Generating Plant), $1,620,000 for transmission lines, $2,218,500 for major electric substations, $992,400 for miscellaneous extensions and additions to gas distribution systems, and $891,000 for miscellaneous, general and transportation facilities. Applicant has an 8.68 percent ownership interest in Neal Electric Generating Unit No. 4 which is being constructed near Sioux City, Iowa, with a planned capacity of 576,000 KW and which is scheduled for completion in 1979. Applicant has a 10 percent ownership interest in Coyote No. 1 Electric Generating Plant which is being constructed near Benuh, N. Dak., with a planned capacity of 410,000 KW and which is scheduled for completion in 1981.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should, on or before May 10, 1978, file the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, petitions to intervene or protest in accordance with the Commission's requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceedings. Persons wishing to become parties to a proceeding or to participate in any hearing therein must file petitions to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules. The application is on file with the Commission and available for public inspection.

[FR Doc. 78-11430 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]
NOTICES
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820, Pecos, Tex. 79772 filed amended petitions for special relief in Docket No. RP78-8 which amended their previous petition filed October 11, 1977.

In their amended petition, filed January 6, 1978, Petitioners included two additional wells (wells No. 3 and No. 5) from the Sun Halley Lease in Weiner-Colby Field, Winkler County, Tex. to their previous petition for special relief.

Petitioners, in their March 27, 1978 amended petition, requested (1) the deletion of two wells from their Brown and Altman "H" Lease and (2) authorization to collect a rate of $1.3563 per Mcf for the sale of natural gas to West Texas Gathering Company from eighteen wells. Sixteen of these wells are on the Brown and Altman Leases and two are of the Sun Halley Lease.

In their original petition for special relief, filed November 8, 1977, petitioners request authorization to collect a rate of $1.70 per Mcf at 14.65 psia for the sale of their gas to West Texas Gathering Co.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said petition should on or before May 11, 1978, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any party wishing to become a party to a proceeding, or to participate as a party in any hearing therein, must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

KENNETH P. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 78-11433 Filed 4-20-78; 8:45 am] [7640-02]

SEA ROBIN PIPELINE CO., ET AL.

Notice of Pipeline Application

APRIL 18, 1978.

Take notice that on March 29, 1978, Sea Robin Pipeline Co., United Gas Pipe Line Co., Southern Natural Gas Co. and Natural Gas Pipeline Co. of America, (Applicants) filed a joint application for a certificate of public convenience and necessity in Docket No. CP78-262, authorizing Applicants to purchase all rights and interests in certain compression facilities and related equipment to be installed at Block 586, West Cameron area and to reimburse the Block Operator, Pennzoil Co. (Pennzoil), for its expenses incurred to date in the transportation, installation, operation, maintenance and rental of such facilities.

Applicants state that, they are entitled to purchase 100 percent of the natural gas produced from Block 586, West Cameron area, and that the installation of compression by the operator will be necessary to increase production and to recover additional volumes of gas which would otherwise be lost. Both the subsequent accelerated production and enhanced recovery of volumes of gas committed to them, all as fully described in the application which is on file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) and open to public inspection.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application, on or before May 9, 1978, should file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding, or to participate as a party in any hearing therein, must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

KENNETH P. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 78-11425 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]
is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

KENNETH F. PLUMB, Secretary.

[FR Doc. 78-11426 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]

NOTICES

[6740-02]

(Docket No. RP75-73; AP78-2)

TEXAS EASTERN TRANSMISSION CORP.

Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff


Take notice that Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation on March 31, 1978 tendered for filing as a part of its FERC Gas Tariff, Fourth Revised Volume No. 1, the following tariff sheets:

Fortieth Revised Sheet No. 14
Fortieth Revised Sheet No. 14A
Fortieth Revised Sheet No. 14B
Fortieth Revised Sheet No. 14C
Fortieth Revised Sheet No. 14D

Texas Eastern is reducing its rates pursuant to Article V of the Stipulation and Agreement under Docket No. RP75-73. The proposed effective date of this reduction in rates is May 1, 1978.

Copies of the filing were served on the company's jurisdictional customers and interested state commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filings must file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street N.W., Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the Commission's rules.

[FR Doc. 78-11434 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]

NOTICES

[6740-02]

(THERMALITO AND TABLE MOUNTAIN IRRIGATION DISTRICTS)

NOTICE GRANTING INTERVENTION


On March 23, 1978, the State of California, acting through its Department of Fish and Game (Fish and Game), filed a petition to intervene respecting an application filed by the Thermalito and Table Mountain Irrigation Districts for a new minor license for their constructed Concow Dam Project. Docket No. 488. The Concow Dam Project is located on Concow Creek in Butte County, Calif., in the vicinity of the town of Paradise and the city of Oroville. No response to the petition has been received.

In its petition Fish and Game states that it is charged with the administration of affairs of the State of California relating to the protection, propagation, and preservation of fish and wildlife in the State. Fish and Game claims, (a) that the manner of the operation of the Concow Dam Project materially affect the existence and magnitude of trout and warm-water fisheries resources sustained by Concow Creek, and (b) that it is studying the fishery and recreational resources of the area to determine what conditions of operation will protect and enhance those resources. Fish and Game states that it expects to reach voluntary agreement with the Ther-
malite and Table Mountain Irrigation Districts, but that it seeks, through intervention, the right to be heard and present evidence. In any proceeding that the Commission may conduct to resolve any differences that may arise.

It appears to be in the public interest to allow Fish and Game to participate in this proceeding.

Pursuant to section 5.5(a)(30) of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (Rules), 18 CFR 5.5(a)(30) (1977), as promulgated by Federal Power Commission Order No. 577 (issued December 16, 1976), Fish and Game is hereby permitted to intervene in this proceeding subject to the Commission's rules and regulations under the Federal Power Act: Provided, That participation of Fish and Game shall be limited to matters affecting asserted rights and interests specifically set forth in its petition to intervene: Provided further, That the admission of Fish and Game shall not be construed as recognition by the Commission that Fish and Game might be aggrieved by any order entered in this proceeding.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 78-11437 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]

NOTICES

18015

Apcot, respectively, have reserved 25 percent of the gas to be produced from the field, Finandel, Inc. (Finandel), owner of a 37.5-percent interest; and American Petrofina Co. of Texas (Apcot), owner of a 12.5-percent interest; and have in advance payment agreements with Transco and Natural. Applicant natural states that it has a call on 100 percent of the gas to be produced from the reservations are exercised, any other application. Applicant Natural states that it has a call on 100 percent of the gas to be produced by Texaco Inc., in Block 437, West Cameron, under an advance payment agreement. Applicants further state that the proposal facilities will have maximum capacity of 50,000 MCF a day, of which Transco and Natural expect to utilize one-half each, or 25,000 MCF a day, for the delivery of the gas supplies committed to them in Blocks 436 and 437, West Cameron area. Applicant Transco states that it has a call on 100 percent of the gas to be produced from Block 436, West Cameron, pursuant to an advance payment agreement with Getty Oil Co. and Transco and Natural, owners of a 50-percent interest in the field, Finandel, Inc. (Finandel), owner of a 37.5-percent interest; and American Petrofina Co. of Texas (Apcot), owner of a 12.5-percent interest. It is stated that Finandel and Apcot, respectively, have reserved 25 percent of their interest in the production from Block 436 for their own use or use by affiliates; in the event that the reservation is not exercised, their reserved interests will be sold to Transco. It is further stated that, if the reservations are exercised, any transportation service for the reserved interests will be the subject of any other application. Applicant Natural states that it has a call on 100 percent of the gas to be produced by Texaco, Inc., in Block 437, West Cameron, under an advance payment agreement. Applicants state that an estimated 21,491 MCF of reserves are available to Transco and Natural from both blocks.

It is also stated that Transco's gas delivered into Texas Eastern's system through the proposed facilities will be transported by Texas Eastern and re-delivered to Transco at existing points of interconnection onshore between the two pipeline systems, and Natural's gas will be re-delivered through an exchange of gas committed to Texas Eastern in Block 593, West Cameron area, which will be delivered into facilities of Stingray Pipeline Co. for Natural's account.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application, on or before May 9, 1978, should file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding, or to participate as a party in any hearing therein, must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's rules of practice and procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 78-11483 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]

NOTICES

1-U-T OFFSHORE SYSTEM

Petition to Amend


Take notice that on April 12, 1978, U-T Offshore System (UTOS) filed a petition to amend its existing certificate requesting blanket authority to transport, within the limits of certificate capacity, natural gas volumes for shippers which are not affiliated with UTOS.1 In addition, UTOS requests the issuance of temporary authorization to perform such service.

1The affiliated shippers are Natural Gas Pipeline Co. of America, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corp., and United Gas Pipe Line Co.
NOTICES

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 43, NO. 82—THURSDAY, APRIL 27, 1978

UNION ELECTRIC CO.

Take notice that on April 14, 1978, Upper Peninsula Generating Co. (Upper Peninsula) filed a supplement to the 1974 Power Contract (FERC Rate Schedule No. 3). Upper Peninsula states that the supplement provides that the sale of power from Upper Peninsula’s Seventh and Eighth Units at its Presque Isle Station will be governed by the terms of the 1974 Power Contract. Upper Peninsula indicates that the supplement also amends paragraph 21 of the 1974 Power Contract so as to clarify the costs of the unit which must be met by the purchaser, Cliffs Electric Service Company.

Upper Peninsula proposes an effective date of April, 1978, and therefore requests waiver of the Commission’s notice requirements.

Any person desiring to be heard or protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 823 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with the Commission’s rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before May 1, 1978. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding, or to participate as a party in any hearing therein, must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission’s rules.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[F. R. Doc. 78-11439 Filed 4-28-78; 8:45 am]

[6740-02]

(Docket No. ER78-312) UPPER PENINSULA GENERATING CO.

Filing


KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 78-11439 Filed 4-28-78; 8:45 am]

[6740-02]

(Docket No. ER78-312) UPPER PENINSULA GENERATING CO.

Filing


Take notice that on April 14, 1978, Upper Peninsula Generating Co. (Upper Peninsula) filed a supplement to the 1974 Power Contract (FERC Rate Schedule No. 3). Upper Peninsula states that the supplement provides that the sale of power from Upper Peninsula’s Seventh and Eighth Units at its Presque Isle Station will be governed by the terms of the 1974 Power Contract. Upper Peninsula indicates that the supplement also amends paragraph 21 of the 1974 Power Contract so as to clarify the costs of the unit which must be met by the purchaser, Cliffs Electric Service Company.

Upper Peninsula proposes an effective date of April, 1978, and therefore requests waiver of the Commission’s notice requirements.

Any person desiring to be heard or protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 823 North Capitol Street NE.
NOTICES

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before May 1, 1978. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB, Secretary.

[FR Doc. 78-11440 Filed 4-29-78; 8:45 am]

VERMONT ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE

Re-Notice of Application for Preliminary Permit


Take notice that an application was filed on October 13, 1977, with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by the Vermont Electric Cooperative, Inc. (Correspondence to: Mr. Walter N. Cook, Executive Manager, Vermont Electric Cooperative, Inc., School Street, Johnson, Vermont 05656; Copy to: Acres American Incorporated, 900 Liberty Bank Building, Buffalo, New York 14202, ATTENTION: Mr. John D. Lawrence) for a preliminary permit for the proposed Hart Island Hydroelectric Project, which would be located in Windsor County, Vermont, near the Town of North Hartland, on the Connecticut River about 400 feet downstream from Hart Island. 1.5 miles downstream from Hartland, Vermont, and 12 miles downstream from the existing Wilder Project (FERC No. 1892).

The proposed project would have a capacity of 10,000 to 20,000 kW and consist of: (1) A concrete dam across the main river channel, with earth abutments, having an overall length of 1,300 feet and a maximum height of 51 feet above the streambed; (2) A storage reservoir having a normal power pool elevation of 331 m. extending 12 miles upstream from the tailwater of the existing Wilder Project No. 1892; (3) A powerhouse located on the right bank of the river containing three vertical Francis-type turbines driving generators; and (4) Appurtenant facilities. Applicant states that the proposed use or market for the power to be developed is to meet present and future electric energy requirements of the residential members served by the Applicant. Any surplus capacity and/or any surplus capacity and/or

energy would be sold by, or exchanged with, electric utilities in the area for the public utility purposes.

A preliminary permit does not authorize construction. A permit, if issued, gives the Permittee, during the term of the permit, the right of priority of application for license while the Permittee undertakes the necessary studies and examinations to determine the engineering and economic feasibility for the project, the market for the power, and all information necessary for inclusion in an application for license.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR §1.8 or §1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before June 26, 1978. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules. The application is on file with the Commission and is available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB, Secretary.

[FR Doc. 78-11441 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]

VERMONT ELECTRIC POWER CO., INC.

Filing


Take notice that on April 17, 1978, Vermont Electric Power Co., Inc., (Velco) tendered for filing a Rate Schedule containing five separate bulk power transmission contracts between Velco and the Villages of Hardwick Electric Department, Ludlow Electric Light Department, Morrisville Water & Light Department, Northfield Electric Department, and the Stowe Water & Light Department, respectively, all being dated August 31, 1977. Velco states that the service to be rendered under this Rate Schedule is the provision of Velco's transmission facilities to Hardwick, Ludlow, Morrisville, Northfield, and Stowe for the transmission of bulk power purchased by them other than from the State of Vermont or Velco from points of interconnection of Velco's facilities with the transmission facilities of other companies. Velco further states that

the quantities of power which Velco will be transmitting for the five Villages under this Rate Schedule and the charges by Velco are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Purchasers</th>
<th>Kilowatts</th>
<th>Estimated Charges</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Village of Hardwick Electric Department</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>$550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Village of Ludlow Electric Light Department</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Village of Morrisville Water and Light Department</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Village of Northfield Electric Department</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Village of Stowe Water and Light Department</td>
<td>2,300</td>
<td>2,550</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Velco requests an effective date of November 1, 1977, and therefore requests waiver of the Commission's notice requirements.

According to Velco copies of this filing were served upon the Vermont Public Service Board, and the parties to the Rate Schedule.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said application should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with the Commission’s rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR §1.8, §1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before May 8, 1978. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB, Secretary.

[FR Doc. 78-11442 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FR No. 889-1]

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

Notice of Request for State Program Approval for Control of Discharges of Pollutants to Navigable Waters

On October 18, 1973, Congress passed the Federal Water Pollution Control Act amendments of 1972 (33 U.S.C. §§1251-1376, Supp. 1973; hereinafter the Act). This legislation established the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit program, under which the Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) may issue permits to municipal, indus-
trial, and agricultural entities to control the discharge of pollutants into navigable waters.

Section 402(b) of the Act (including amendments effective in December of 1977) provides that the Governor of a State desiring to administer the NPDES program to control discharges of pollutants into navigable waters within its jurisdiction may submit to the Administrator of the EPA a full and complete description of the program it intends to administer, including a statement from the State Attorney General that the laws of the State provide adequate authority to carry out the described program. If the program does not meet the requirements of section 402(b) and EPA's guidelines. Among other authorities, the State must have: (1) Adequate authority to issue permits which comply with all pertinent requirements of the Act; (2) adequate authority, including civil and criminal penalties, to abate violations of permits; and (3) authority to ensure that the Administrator, the public, and any other affected State, and other affected agencies, are given notice of each application and are given the opportunity for a public hearing before acting on each permit application. Also, the State must have and commit itself to use manpower and resources sufficient to act on all outstanding permit applications in a timely manner and consistent with the periods prescribed by the Act, EPA's guidelines establishing State Program Elements Necessary for Program Approval the in the NPDES are published in 40 CFR Part 124.

The Commonwealth of Pennsylvania has submitted a full and complete request for State Program Approval to the EPA and proposed that the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Resources, Harrisburg, Pa. 17120, operate the NPDES permit program for discharges into the navigable waters within the Jurisdiction of the Commonwealth in accordance with the Act.

Jack J. Schramm, Regional Administrator of EPA's Region III Office, has scheduled a public hearing to consider this request and enable all interested parties to present their views on the State's submission. The hearing will be held in the Fulton Building, Second Floor Hearing Room, Third and Locust Street, Harrisburg, Pa. 17120, on Thursday, May 26, 1978, at 10:30 a.m.

Any interested person may comment upon the Commonwealth's submission by writing to the EPA, Region III Office, Sixth and Walnut Streets, Philadelphia, Pa. 19106. Written comments will be made available to the public for inspection and copying. All comments or objections received by June 2, 1978, or presented at the public hearing, will be considered by the EPA before EPA grants or denies the Pennsylvania request for State Program Approval.

A three-member hearing panel will hear the matter. The panel will consist of the Administrator of the EPA or his representative who will serve as the Presiding Officer, the Director of the Bureau of Water Quality Management or his representative and the Regional Administrator of EPA's Region III Office, or his representative. Oral statements will be heard and considered, but for the accuracy of the record, all testimony should be submitted in writing. Statements should summarize extensive written material so there will be time for all interested parties to be heard. Persons are encouraged to bring extra copies of their written statements for the use of the hearing panel and other interested persons.

Comments are particularly invited with respect to the Commonwealth's representations that it will not implement the provisions of section 316(a) of the Act (relating to thermal effluents) or its implementing regulations, 40 CFR Part 124. After the date of program approval, EPA will not entertain new applications pursuant to these procedures.

The Commonwealth's submission, related documents, and all comments received are on file and may be inspected and copied (at 10 cents per page) at the EPA, Region III Office, in Philadelphia.

Copies of this notice are available upon request from the Enforcement Division of EPA, Region III, 215-597-8541. Please bring the foregoing to the attention of persons you know would be interested in this matter.


A. R. Morris, Acting Regional Administrator, Region III.

[FR Doc. 78-11667 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]

[6560-01] (FRRL 686-4)

NATIONAL DRINKING WATER ADVISORY COUNCIL

Open Meeting

Under section 10(a)(2) of Pub. L. 92-423, "The Federal Advisory Committee Act," notice is hereby given that a meeting of the National Drinking Water Advisory Council established under Pub. L. 92-523, the "Safe Drinking Water Act," will be held at 9:00 a.m. on May 23, 1978, and at 8:30 a.m., May 24, 1978, in the 29th Floor Conference Room, Environmental Protection Agency, Region VI, 1201 Elm Street, First International Building, Dallas, Tex.

The purpose of the meeting is to discuss water reuse policy issues, EPA's proposed Underground Injection Control Regulations, and to exchange information with Region VI State Public Water Supply Directors.

Both days of the meeting will be open to the public. The Council encourages the hearing of outside statements and allocates a portion of time for public participation. Any outside parties interested in presenting an oral statement should petition the Council in writing. The petition should include the general topic of the proposed statement and the petitioner's telephone number.

Any person who wishes to file a written statement can do so before or after a Council meeting. Accepted written statements will be recognized at Council meetings.

Any member of the public wishing to attend the Council meeting, present an oral statement, or submit a written statement should contact Patrick Tobin, Executive Secretary for the National Drinking Water Advisory Council, Office of Drinking Water (WH-550), Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street SW, Washington, D.C. 20460.

The telephone number is 202-428-8677.

THOMAS C. JORGING, Assistant Administrator for Water and Hazardous Materials.


[FR Doc. 78-11328 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]

[6560-01] (FRRL 686-8; OPP-30139A)

PESTICIDE PROGRAMS

Approval of Application to Register Pesticide Product Containing a New Active Ingredient

On November 9, 1977, notice was given (42 FR 58442) that Conrel, an Albany International Company, 735 Providence Highway, Norwood, Mass. 02062, had filed an application (EPA File Symbol No. 36638-R) with the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to register the pesticide product GOSSYPLURE, H,F, containing 3.8 percent of the active ingredient (Z,Z)-7,11-hexadecadien-1-ol-acetate and 3.8 percent (Z,E)-7,11-hexadecadien-1-ol-acetate which was not previously registered at the time of submission. As stated in the November 9 notice, Conrel proposed that the product be classified for general use.

This application was approved on February 9, 1978 and the product has been assigned the EPA Registration No. 36638-1. GOSSYPLURE, H,F, is classified for general use for preventing pink bollworm damage in cotton.

A copy of the approved label and the list of data references used to support
NOTICES

REGISTRATION

[R. Doc. 78-11339 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]

[6560-01]

(FRL 888-6; OPP-240015A]

STATE OF COLORADO

Approval of Amendment of Request for Interim Certification To Register Pesticides To Meet Special Local Needs

Pursuant to section 24(c) of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA), as amended (68 Stat. 1087; 15 U.S.C. 1221 et seq.), the State of Colorado submitted to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) a request for Interim Certification to register pesticides for special local needs (Request), which was subsequently approved on August 26, 1976. Notice of approval of this Request was published in the Federal Register on September 20, 1976 (41 FR 40557). This initial Request sought authority to amend EPA registrations which do not involve "changed use patterns," as that term is defined in §162.152(c) of the proposed regulations as they were published in the Federal Register on September 3, 1976 (41 FR 40534).

On February 3, 1978, the State of Colorado sought to amend its Request to include authority to register "new products," as that term is defined in §162.152(g) of the proposed regulations, and to amend EPA registrations which involve changed use patterns. This Agency has found that the specific requirements of the Interim Certification program are satisfied in the Request, in that Colorado's registration program provides for both efficacy determination and product hazard review.

Accordingly, notice is hereby given that the Administrator, EPA, has approved the amendment from the State of Colorado for Interim Certification. The State agency designated responsible for issuance of such registrations, the Colorado Department of Agriculture, was notified on March 14, 1978, that the amendment to its Request had been approved.

Copies of the amendment to the Request for Interim Certification from Colorado, along with the letter reflecting the Agency's decision to approve the amendment, are available for public inspection at the following locations:


EDWIN L. JOHNSON,
Deputy Assistant Administrator
for Pesticide Programs.

[FRL 888-6; OPP-240015A]

[6712-01]

(FRL 78-11340 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

PRIVACY ACT OF 1974

Systems of Records

Notice is hereby given that the Federal Communications Commission has under consideration an additional system which it intends to adopt in accordance with the Privacy Act of 1974, 5 U.S.C. 552a.

It is proposed that this system will be established and maintained for records of money received, refunded, and returned, and personal checks destroyed.

The system notice, as it is proposed, is attached.

Comments on the proposed notice may be submitted to the Privacy Act Liaison Officer, Records Management Division, Room A-102, Federal Communications Commission, Washington, D.C. 20554. All comments received on or before May 26, 1978, will be considered. Copies of any comments received may be inspected in Room A-102, 1229-20th Street NW., Washington, D.C.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
Commission,
WILLIAM J. THIGARICO,
Secretary.
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System name:
Records of money received, refunded, and returned and personal checks destroyed.

System location:
1919 M Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20554; 334 York Street, Gettysburg, Pa. 17325; and Room 207, Post Office Building, Gettysburg, Pa. 17325.

Categories of individuals covered by the system:
Individuals and companies making payments to cover goods acquired, forfeitures assessed, and services rendered; refunds for incorrect payments or overpayments; billing and collection of bad checks; and miscellaneous monies received by the Commission.

Categories of records in the system:
Names of individuals or companies; goods acquired or services rendered; forfeitures assessed and collected; amounts; dates; check numbers; locations; bank deposit information; transaction type information; United States Treasury deposit numbers; and information substantiating a refund issued to applicant.

Authority for maintenance of the system:
Budget and Accounting Act of 1921; Budget and Accounting Procedures Act of 1950; and 31 U.S.C. 523.

Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purpose of such uses:
Accounting for all monies received by the Commission from the Public, refunded to the Public, and release of the information to Federal, State, or local Government agencies performing a tax or regulatory function.

Policies and practices for storing, retrieving, accessing, retaining and disposing of records in the system:

Storage:
Manual copy, computer copy, microfilm, microfiche, magnetic disc, and magnetic tape.

Retrieveability:
By name and/or tape of transaction; call sign; processing number; social security number, employer identification number or sequential number.

Safeguards:
Records are located in lockable metal file cabinets, metal vaults, in secured rooms or secured premises, with access limited to those individuals whose official duties require access.
NOTICES


1. On October 1, 1977, the Commission released its decision in Docket No. 18128, “American Telephone and Telegraph Company Private Line Rate Cases,” 61 FCC 2d 587 (1976). This Memorandum Opinion and Order concerned the rate methodology to be used by the American Telephone and Telegraph Company (A.T. & T.), the relationship between the rate levels of A.T. & T.’s various classes of service, and the lawfulness of Telpak, A.T. & T.’s bulk discount private line service. The Commission released a Memorandum Opinion and Order ruling on certain petitions for reconsideration and/or clarification of its previous decision in Docket No. 18128 on June 13, 1978. The American Telephone and Telegraph Company Private Line Rate Cases,” 64 FCC 2d 971 (1977). This ruling held that the following A.T. & T. rate changes were unlawful since A.T. & T. had failed to meet its burden of proof in justifying them: (1) The teletypewriter station equipment rate increase which became effective on November 1, 1968; (2) the reduction in the Telpak telephone to voice grade channel equivalency from 12:1 to 2:1; and (3) the revisions in the private line telephone, private line telegraph and Telpak rates which became effective on May 4, 1972. Id. at pp. 989-991.

2. Aeronautical Radio, Inc. (ARINC) and the Air Transport Association of America (ATA) filed a Petition for Refund on July 13, 1977 seeking a refund with interest of the amounts paid as the result of certain of the rate increases which the Commission had found unlawful in its Memorandum Opinion and Order (released February 24, 1978.) The Commission’s determination that the subject rate changes are unlawful will have a direct bearing on the requests for refunds. “In such circumstances,” A.T. & T. contends that “all further proceedings related to these requests, including the filing of responsive pleadings, should be deferred pending final court review.” A.T. & T. takes the position that a grant of its request would not injure any of the other parties involved.

5. The Newswire Services filed an Opposition to Motion to Defer Filing of Responses which asks that the date for responding to the requests for refunds be deferred until thirty days after final disposition of petitions for appellate review of the Commission’s actions in Docket No. 18128. In support of this request, A.T. & T. states that court review of the Commission’s determination that the subject rate changes are unlawful will have a direct bearing on the requests for refunds. “In such circumstances,” A.T. & T. concludes that “all further proceedings related to these requests, including the filing of responsive pleadings, should be deferred pending final court review.” A.T. & T. asks that the date for responding to the requests for refunds be deferred until thirty days after final disposition of petitions for appellate review of the Commission’s actions in Docket No. 18128.

In its Motion to Defer Filing of Responses A.T. & T. states that it “plans to file a petition for judicial review of the Commission’s orders in Docket No. 18128, including its June 15 Reconsideration Order, and its February 24 Second Order on Reconsideration.”
real way by exacerbating the already difficult problems of coping with mounting costs under budgetary constraints."

6. The Newswire Services also believe that A.T. & T.'s Motion should be denied on the * * * ground that it is contrary to the sensible and orderly administration of justice." They state that "[i]f A.T. & T.'s motion is granted, the issues relating to these rate increases may pile upon and fortify between the Commission and the court for years * * *." In the opinion of the Newswire Services "[i]t would be far more desirable for the FCC to act first on the refund requests so that the court can then consider action at the same time as it considers the legal basis for the action arising in Docket 18128."

7. An Opposition to Motion to Defer Filing of Responses was also filed by ARINC and ATA. ARINC and ATA "submit that it would be extremely inefficient and wasteful of administrative and judicial resources for the Commission to defer the refund question until after judicial disposition of all the other issues in Docket 18128." They argue that determining the amount subject to refund should be a relatively simple task for the Commission. The rate increases found to be unlawful have been subject to accounting orders. Accordingly, ARINC and ATA contend that the Commission should act expeditiously on this question in order to permit consolidated court review of the determinations concerning the lawfulness of the rate changes and the payment of refunds.

8. ARINC and ATA recognize that appellate reversal of the Commission's finding that rate increases were unlawful would eliminate the need for a determination concerning refunds. However, they argue that this possibility is far outweighed by the chance of delay due to piecemeal appellate litigation. ARINC and ATA also contend that the Commission has a duty to direct payment, as soon as due process permits, when refunds are found to be in order. They state that "[i]f failure to order A.T. & T. to refund the excess charges collected under the unlawful rate increases would render meaningless the Commission's finding of unlawfulness."

9. While ARINC and ATA opposed A.T. & T.'s Motion seeking deferral of the filing of its response to the requests for refunds pending judicial review, they "do not * * * oppose a reasonable extension of the date for filing responses, consistent with getting the entire Docket 18128 matter before the Court of Appeals expeditiously."

10. A.T. & T. filed a Reply to the Newswire Services and ARINC and ATA Oppositions. A.T. & T. contends that it is not seeking a stay of substantive action already taken by the Commission. A.T. & T. states that it is simply requesting "that the Commission adopt the prudent administrative course of (permitting) completion of judicial review of the Docket 18128 orders before embarking on the complex issues related to these refund requests." A.T. & T. emphasizes that determining whether refunds should be ordered, and if so, the amount of any such refund, is not a simple matter. A.T. & T. points out that "the Commission has made no determination as to what a lawful rate would be and therefore has made no finding as to what portion, if any, of the specific rate increases are excessive and should be refunded." The Commission has found that the rates of return for the service categories involved in these rate changes have generally been too low rather than too high.

11. At the outset we would note that the Bureau does not believe that A.T. & T.'s Motion should be treated as a request for stay pending judicial review. A.T. & T. is not seeking stay of a substantive determination reached by the Commission. It simply requests deferral of the proceedings concerning the question of refunds.

12. We are aware that judicial action on an appeal of the Commission's determination concerning the lawfulness of the subject rate changes might eliminate the need for further proceedings concerning refunds. In our opinion this contingency does not warrant deferring the date for the filing of A.T. & T.'s response until judicial review has been completed. However, we will give A.T. & T. until April 28, 1978, in which to file its response. This action should not be considered a commitment that the Commission will rule on the requests for refunds so as to permit consolidated review with other appeals concerning Docket No. 18128.  

In support of this contention, ARINC and ATA cite "Federal Power Commission v. Tennessee Gas Transmission Company," 371 U.S. 145 (1962) and "American Television Relay, Inc.,” PCC 78-81 (released February 1978). These cases involve situations in which rate increases found to be excessive. No such finding has been made in the present case.

*The process of determining what, if any, sums are to be refunded is much more complex than the determination that the rate increases are unlawful. ARINC and ATA appear to believe. Section 204 of the Communications Act, 47 U.S.C. 204, states that the Commission * * * upon reconsideration of any order or decision may by further order enter the required carrier or carriers to carry refund, with interest, to  

13. Accordingly, it is ordered, That A.T. & T.'s Motion to Defeer Filing of Responses is denied.

14. It is further ordered, That A.T. & T. is to file its response to the above referenced requests for refunds on or before April 26, 1978.  

William D. Hinckman, Chief, Common Carrier Bureau.

[FR Doc. 78-11343 Filed 4-25-78; 8:45 am]
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BONDUEL TELEPHONE CO.

Memorandum Opinion and Order Designating Applications for Consolidated Hearing on Stated Issues


By the Commission: Commissioner Fogarty absent.

1. Presently before the Commission is an "Application for Review" filed by Telephone Communications, Inc. (TCI) on December 5, 1977. TCI seeks review of the action of the Chief, Common Carrier Bureau, released on November 4, 1977, denying TCI's "Petition for reconsideration" of the granting of a construction permit to Bonduel Telephone Co. (Bonduel) to establish additional facilities in the Domestic Public Land Mobile Radio Service (DPLMRS) on frequency 158.10 MHz at Green Bay, Wis. Responsive pleadings were filed by the parties. In its Application for Review, TCI incorporates by reference the arguments raised in its Petition for Reconsideration. Accordingly, we will at times refer to the Petition for Reconsideration.

2. The Commission has granted TCI permission to file a "Petition for rulemaking" in which TCI "requests * * * [p]rovision for determination what, if any, sums are to be refunded is much more complex than the determination that the rate increases are unlawful. ARINC and ATA appear to believe. Section 204 of the Communications Act, 47 U.S.C. 204, states that the Commission * * * upon reconsideration of any order or decision may by further order enter the required carrier or carriers to carry refund, with interest, to

the persons in whose behalf such amounts were paid, such portion of such increased charges as by its decision shall be found not justified." (Emphasis added). In exercising its discretionary authority to grant refunds the Commission must evaluate numerous and sometimes conflicting equitable considerations.

3. This action is taken by the Chief, Common Carrier Bureau pursuant to the delegation of authority contained in 37 U.S.C. 603(c) of the Communications Commission's rules, 47 CFR 603(c). This provision states that the Chief, Common Carrier Bureau may act on "requests * * * if the extension of the time within which briefs, comments and pleadings may be filed in common carrier rulemaking proceedings." Docket No. 18128, "American Telephone and Telegraph Private Line Rate Cases," concerns the prescription of costing methodologies and inter-service rate level relationships for A.T. & T. and therefore clearly falls within the definition of rulemaking contained in section 551 of the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. 551, "Wilson & Co. Inc. v. United States", 335 F. 2d 788 (1964).
n consideration as well as the Application phone company presently providing a wireline telephone service in an area outside of Green Bay, and is the license of one-way signaling station KUSZ79 operating on frequency 156.10 MHz with a control point in its wireline service area.

3. The following issues are raised for our consideration:
   (a) Whether the Bureau erred in concluding that the guardband frequencies allocated for use by "communication common carriers engaged also in the business of affording public landline message telephone service" may properly be assigned to a telephone company applicant for use wholly outside its certificated wireline service area.
   (b) Whether the Bureau erred in concluding that Bonduel established public need for its proposed service; and
   (c) Whether the Bureau erred in concluding that TCI failed to establish a prima facie case of anti-competitive rates.
Green Bay. We do not agree. Bonduel operates Location No. 1 of Station KUS279, as well as a wireline telephone service in the Bonduel area. The Bureau reaffirmed the Guardband conditions in its grant to Bonduel in its Memorandum Opinion and Order. Bonduel is in Bonduel in order to prevent Bonduel from using its control of its wireline facilities in the Bonduel area as a means of engaging in anticompetitive practices against any RRCs.

12. TCI further argues:

Bonduel is immune from the conditions. They do not impose on it any obligations simply because Bonduel does not control the dial access interconnection in the Green Bay area. Nor does control any other aspects of interconnection in Green Bay. At the same time, Bonduel is free with impunity to subsidize the Green Bay paging service from its revenues derived from its monopoly services in another exchange which is wholly beyond the reach of the conditions of its Green Bay guardband authorization.

Application for Review at 9. We fail to understand TCI's argument. If Bonduel does not control any aspects of interconnection in Green Bay, then Bonduel cannot use control of aspects of interconnection in Green Bay as a means of gaining an unfair competitive advantage over TCI or any other RRC. Nor is Bonduel free to subsidize its Green Bay paging service with revenues derived from its wireline telephone service because both the General Mobile Radio Service allocations of 1949 and the Guardband decisions of 1968 prohibit any anticompetitive practices on the part of wireline telephone companies. If Bonduel is ever shown to be subsidizing the Green Bay paging service from its wireline revenues, the Commission will take appropriate action at that time.

13. In conclusion, we find that the Bureau did not err in granting a construction permit to Bonduel to build facilities to operate a paging service outside its wireline service area on a frequency allocated to wireline common carriers.

14. Need. TCI alleges that in its "Supplement to Petition to Dismiss or Deny Application" filed on March 30, 1977, it raised substantial and material questions of fact concerning the validity of Bonduel's need survey submitted on February 28, 1977, and that the Bureau erred by not designating the application for a hearing on this matter. In the Supplement, TCI reasserts the requisite counter survey it had conducted where it is alleged that it contacted approximately 20 percent of the firms listed in the Green Bay Yellow Pages and the Green Bay Area Manufacturers Processors Directory for each of the firms included in Bonduel's survey and that Bonduel had originally claimed it contacted TCI claimed that not one of the people it had contacted answered affirmatively to the question of ever being contacted by Bonduel with reference to a paging service. TCI concluded that these results cast doubt on the validity of the original Bonduel survey. In response to the TCI Supplement, Bonduel stated: "Bonduel Telephone Company has reviewed the TCI supplemental petition and believes that the nature of the allegations requires no response." In its order granting the construction permit and in its order denying reconsideration, the Bureau found that the Bonduel survey method used and the results reported were sufficiently within the survey criteria described in New York Telephone Company, 47 FCC 2d 488, recon. denied, 49 FCC 2d 284 (1974), aff'd sub nom. Pocket Phone Broadcast Service, Inc. v. FCC, 535 F.2d 447 (D.C. Cir. 1976), to sustain a finding of need for the proposed service. The Bureau found that the TCI counter survey did not present a prima facie case of invalidity of the Bonduel need survey for the following reasons:

The countersurvey does not duplicate the Bonduel survey because it does not take into account whether Bonduel may have spoken to an individual in the firm other than the individual to whom TCI may have spoken, nor does it consider whether Bonduel may have contacted firms not listed in either of the listings used by TCI. Finally, we must consider that Bonduel had contacted only a sampling of firms in Green Bay and that TCI contacted only 20% of the firms in its two lists.

Memorandum Opinion and Order (on Petition for Reconsideration) released November 4, 1977, at 6, — FCC 2d —, —.

15. In its Application for Review, TCI submitted, for the first time, an affidavit from Ernest R. Freeman, an electrical engineer in the State of Maryland. This affidavit contains a statistical analysis of the counter survey demonstrating that the chance of TCI not reaching one of the firms previously contacted by Bonduel is one in $209,000,000. Bonduel responded to the TCI application by stating that "any statistical study based upon the TCI counter-survey which had the defects referred to by the Bureau does not show that the Bureau erred in finding that the TCI countersurvey is mere surmise." Opposition to Application for Review at 7. After reviewing the new data submitted, we do not agree with Bonduel. In New York Telephone we stated:

If the application for a new frequency can demonstrate substantial unsatisfied need for service by one or more alternative methods set forth in Long Island Paging and existing carriers fail to raise substantial and material questions regarding that need showing, no hearing will be required on this issue.

The statistical analysis of the counter survey presented by TCI raises substantial and material questions regarding the Bonduel need showing. Although the defects mentioned by the Bureau may have an effect on the accuracy of the $31,250,000,000 to one ratio, this ratio is so overwhelming that no defect is sufficient to invalidate the doubts raised by TCI. Because Bonduel merely reiterated the findings made by the Bureau when it dismissed the TCI Petition for Reconsideration and did not present sufficient data to rebut to conclusions that must be drawn from reading the Freeman affidavit, we find that it is necessary to reverse the Bureau and designate the Bonduel application for hearing on the need issue.

16. Because the TCI counter survey raises sufficient doubts as to the validity of the Bonduel need survey, we question whether the applicant has been fully candid in its communications with the Commission in connection with the need survey which it claims to have conducted. Accordingly, we find that it is necessary to designate the Bonduel application for hearing on the issue of candor.

17. Anticompetitive rates. TCI alleges that Bonduel's proposed rates are noncompensatory, and hence anticompetitive. In its Petition for Reconsideration, TCI submitted an affidavit from its vice president, A. L. Gignac, containing an analysis of the items expected to go into Bonduel's rate base for its Green Bay service. Gignac based his calculations on data presented by Bonduel in its application and on Gignac's personal knowledge regarding his experience in the RRC industry.

18. Gignac calculated that based on 80 tone-plus-voice pagers in service, the estimated direct base station costs would be $6.08 per pager per month. This was based on a 10-year life, $1.00 for maintenance, $2.00 for WATS Service, $.31 for site rental, and $.01 for return on investment based on a 10 percent rate of return and a 48 percent tax bracket. This estimate did not include the cost of a terminal which would be shared with Bonduel's operation in the Town of Bonduel.

19. Gignac calculated that the direct costs for the paging equipment would be $10.43 per pager per month for tone-plus-voice pagers. This was based on per pager per month costs of $3.48 for return on investment based on a 10 percent rate of return and a 48 percent tax bracket, $2.58 for depreciation based on a seven-year life, $2.01 for marketing, $1.00 for field support, $1.36 for inventory, and $.25 maintenance per pager per year after the first two years.

"The Bonduel need survey represented that there was a need for 80 paging units."
20. Gignac thus concluded that the direct costs per pager per month for tone-plus-voice service would total $16.48 and that indirect costs including accounting, building allocation, utilities, insurance, labor, office supplies, legal costs, administrative salaries, administrative support (secretarial), executive salaries, executive support (secretarial), engineering salaries, engineering support (secretarial), payroll taxes, ad valorem taxes, employee benefits, and rate case expenses, reserve for bad debt, data processing expenses, billing expenses, and contributions, could not be included in his calculations because the necessary information's filing. Gignac's calculations are inadequate to provide a compensatory rate for Bonduel. He thus concluded that there is no justification for Bonduel's proposed Green Bay rates which are $2.00 lower in each category than Bonduel's rates in the Town of Bonduel.

21. Bonduel responded to the Gignac affidavit by amending its application to state that the rates for its station on the Town of Bonduel as filed with the Wisconsin Public Service Commission are $15.50 per unit per month for tone-only service and $18.50 per unit per month for tone-plus-voice service are inadequate to provide a compensatory rate for Bonduel. He thus concluded that there is no justification for Bonduel's proposed Green Bay rates which are $2.00 lower in each category than Bonduel's rates in the Town of Bonduel.

22. Because we are designating the Bonduel application for a hearing on the issue of lack of public necessity, we held that Gignac's affidavit raises questions that can be answered only by Bonduel, and because Bonduel did not respond to the questions raised by the Gignac affidavit, we are reversing the Bureau on its finding with respect to alleged anticompetitive rates and are including an issue concerning anticompetitive rates in this order. In designating this issue, we find that consideration of the Gignac affidavit is required in the public interest. See § 1.108(c) of the Commission's Rules.

23. As in United Telephone Co. of Ohio, 26 FCC 2d 417 (1970), we find it appropriate to set out guidelines on the evidentiary burdens to be met in the hearing. Cf. Section 309(e) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. In United we stated:

In D&B Broadcasting Co. v. FCC 5 RR 2d 478 (1965), we outlined our policy with respect to evidentiary burdens where issues involving charges of misconduct were to be tried, and held that in such cases the burden of going forward with the introduction of evidence and the burden of proof should be on the party first raising the issue, and that exceptions to that general policy might occasionally be appropriate. One such exception which exists in instances where the opposing party, on the basis of its knowledge, Gignac then stated that when the indirect costs are added to the direct costs, Bonduel's proposed rates of $16.50 per unit per month for tone-plus-voice service and $19.50 per unit rates of $16.50 per unit per month for tone-plus-voice service are inadequate to provide a compensatory rate for Bonduel. He thus concluded that there is no justification for Bonduel's proposed Green Bay rates which are $2.00 lower in each category than Bonduel's rates in the Town of Bonduel.

On the Town of Bonduel as filed with the Wisconsin Public Service Commission, we stated:

In violation of any rule, decision, or order of the Commission; and

(d) To determine, in light of the evidence adduced pursuant to the foregoing issues, whether a grant of the above-captioned application would serve the public interest, convenience, and necessity.

27. It is further ordered, That, with respect to issue (a), the burden of introduction of evidence and burden of proof are placed upon Bonduel Telephone Co.

28. It is further ordered, That with respect to issue (b), the burden of introduction of evidence and burden of proof are placed upon Telecommunications, Inc.

29. It is further ordered, That, with respect to issue (c), the burden of introduction of evidence and burden of proof are placed upon Bonduel Telephone Co.

30. It is further ordered, That the hearing shall be held at the Commission's offices in Washington, D.C., at a time and place and before an Administrative Law Judge to be specified in a subsequent order.

31. It is further ordered, That Telephone Communications, Inc. is made a party to the proceeding.

32. It is further ordered, That the Chief, Common Carrier Bureau, is made a party to the proceeding.

33. It is further ordered, That parties may avail themselves of an opportunity to be heard by filing with the Commission pursuant to § 1.221 of the Rules within 20 days of the release date hereof, a written notice stating an intention to appeal any order, decision, or order of the Commission or its policies.

Final action will not be taken on any of these applications earlier than 31 days from the date of publication.
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21076-CD-P-78 Tel-Car, Inc. (KUA224). C.P. to relocate facilities operating on 152.025 MHz from location No. 4 to a new location No. 5: Table Rock, 1/4 mile east-southeast of Boise, Idaho.

21077-CD-P-78 Rock Port Telephone Co., Inc. (KVS999). C.P. to change antenna system and replace transmitter operating on 152.57 MHz and for additional facilities to operate on 454.025 MHz located 1 mile north of Junction U.S. 275 and 136, Rock Port, Mo.

21079-CD-P-78 Betty Bowen Brashaw dba. Salleyburne Answering Service (KH8388). C.P. for additional facilities to operate on 152.18 MHz at a new site described as location No. 3: South of U.S. 15, 5.1 miles northwest of Pocokome City, Md.

21083-CD-P-78 Professional Communications, Inc. (KCI780). C.P. for additional facilities to operate on 72.58 MHz. Control at location No. 2: 5 miles south of Erle, Pa.

21084-CD-P-78 Hawkins Communications, Inc. (KUD292). C.P. for additional antenna system operating on 454.275 MHz at location No. 1: 5321 First Place, NE., Washington, D.C.

21085-CD-P-(2)-78 Southwestern Bell Telephone Co. (KQA448). C.P. to change antenna system operating on 152.06 MHz located 1.5 miles west of Rosalia, Kans.

21136-CD-P-(2)-78 Keystone and Westmore Corp. (KCI778). C.P. for additional facilities to operate on 454.275 MHz at a new site described as location No. 10: Hilltop, Houghton Lake, N.Y.; same facilities at a new site described as location No. 9: 4001 Grandview Avenue, Edison, N.J.; same facilities at a new site described as location No. 10: Off Sweetman Lane, Millstone Township, N.J.; same facilities at a new site described as location No. 11: 15 South Green Avenue, Neptune Township, N.J.

21121-CD-(4)-78 The Ohio Bell Telephone Co. (KQA769). C.P. to change antenna system and replace transmitter operating on 152.51 and 152.69; and for additional facilities to operate on 152.63 and 152.81 MHz to be located at 750 Huron Road, Cleveland, Ohio.

21240-CD-P-78 Southwestern Bell Telephone Co. (KKA262). C.P. for additional auxiliary facilities to operate on 157.77, 157.80, 157.89, 158.01, 158.04, 158.06, 459.375, 459.400, 459.425, 459.475, 459.500, 459.590 and 459.850 MHz located at 405 North Broadway Avenue, Oklahoma City, Okla.


21244-CD-TC-78 Utilities, Inc. Consent to transfer of control from Duane L. Day and June C. Day, Transferees to Day Management Corp., Transferee, Station: KOPSB, Westlake, Ohio.


21245-CD-P-78 Ranch Radio, Inc. (KXX713). C.P. for additional facilities to operate on 152.06 MHz at location No. 1: 1000 feet south of junction of Juan Linn and Kalamazoo Road, 1 mile south of Victoria, Tex.

21246-CD-P-ML-78 Tadlock's Radio Dispatch (KMA269). C.P. and license to re-
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Applications Accepted For Filing:

RURAL RADIO SERVICE

60107-CP-P-78 Continental Telephone Co. of the West (WBX306). W.C. to relocate facilities operating on 158.04 MHz to be located at 5 Corners No. 6 Mine, 12 miles west and 2 miles north of Green River, Utah.


60231-CR-TC-4)78 Cascade Utilities, Inc. Consent to transfer of control from Duane L. Day and June C. Day, transferors to L. Day and June C. Day, transferors to

Applications Accepted For Filing:

Point To Point Microwave Radio Service

NY—1934-CP-P-78 New York Telephone Co. (KHE992) 158 State St. Albany, (Albany) N.Y. Lat. 42°39'33" N., Long. 73°39'26" W. C.P. to add frequencies 6304.95, 6306.56, 6309.85 MHz toward Beadie Mountain, N.Y.

NY—1936-CP-P-78 Same (KXXM79) Beadie Mountain, 0.5 mile west-northwest of Shiphter (Cattaraugus) N.Y. Lat. 42°21'40" N., Long. 73°41'00" W. C.P. to add frequencies 6010.93, 6012.33, 6014.54 MHz toward

NY—1943-CP-P-78 Same (KXXR80) 314 Glen Street Glen Falls, (Warren) N.Y. Lat. 43°30'54" N., Long. 73°38'34" W. C.P. to add frequencies 6123.11, 6129.85 MHz toward

NY—1944-CP-P-78 Same (KKJ501) 334 North Cumberland St. Jackson, (Madison) Tenn. Lat. 35°37'01" N., Long. 88°43'56" W. C.P. to add a new point of communication of frequency 3730V MHz on azimuth 134.6 degrees toward Trenton, Tenn., replace antennas on frequencies 3730H, 3750V, 3810V, 4050H, 4070V, 4100H, and 4150V MHz on azimuth 264.8 degrees toward Brownsville, Tenn., and 3730H, 3750V, 3810V, 3910V, 3990V, 4070V, 4130H, and 4150V MHz on azimuth 108.6 degrees toward Lexington, Tenn., on的感情 coordinates.

TN—1992-CP-P-78 Same (KKJ501) 334 North Cumberland St. Jackson, (Madison) Tenn. Lat. 35°37'01" N., Long. 88°43'56" W. C.P. to add a new point of communication on frequency 3730H MHz on azimuth 344.6 degrees toward Trenton, Tenn., replace antennas on frequencies 3730H, 3750V, 3810V, 4050H, 4070V, 4100H, and 4150V MHz on azimuth 264.8 degrees toward Brownsville, Tenn., and 3730H, 3750V, 3810V, 3910V, 3990V, 4070V, 4130H, and 4150V MHz on azimuth 108.6 degrees toward Lexington, Tenn., on的感情 coordinates.

TN—1992-CP-P-78 Same (KKJ501) 334 North Cumberland St. Jackson, (Madison) Tenn. Lat. 35°37'01" N., Long. 88°43'56" W. C.P. to add a new point of communication on frequency 3730H MHz on azimuth 344.6 degrees toward Trenton, Tenn., replace antennas on frequencies 3730H, 3750V, 3810V, 4050H, 4070V, 4100H, and 4150V MHz on azimuth 264.8 degrees toward Brownsville, Tenn., and 3730H, 3750V, 3810V, 3910V, 3990V, 4070V, 4130H, and 4150V MHz on azimuth 108.6 degrees toward Lexington, Tenn., on的感情 coordinates.
tion on frequencies 2182V MHz on azimuth 315.7° toward Hickory Ridge, Calif. (Lat. 33°52'08" N., Long. 118°14'51" W.).

CA—1929-CF-P-78 Same (WPF 95) Palmer Avenue, Orlando, Fla. (Lat. 28°36'25" N., Long. 81°28'05" W.). Construction permit to add 6330.7V MHz toward East Meadow, N.Y., on azimuth 270.5°.

CA—1928-CF-P-78 Same (WJL 77) 4 miles west of Thousand Springs, Calif., on azimuth 165.1 degrees.

CA—1927-CF-P-78 Same (WTK 53) 15 Columbus Circle, N.Y., on azimuth 24.9 degrees west of Thousand Springs, Calif., on azimuth 165.1 degrees.

CA—1926-CF-P-78 Same (WJO 131) 1699 W. Peachtree Street, N.W., Atlanta, Ga. (Lat. 33°41'52" N., Long. 84°24'37" W.). Construction permit to add 6390V MHz toward West of Kissimmee, Fla. (Lat. 28°17'27" N., Long. 81°24'37" W.).

CA—1925-CF-P-78 Same (WA8J 59) 0.5 miles south of Edom, Tex. (Lat. 32°03'26" N., Long. 96°37'12" W.), via power split, in azimuth 141.5 degrees.

CA—1924-CF-P-78 Same (KHZ 17) the west-northwest of Loi, (San Juan Quin), Calif. Lat. 38°38'31" N., Long. 121°18'38" W. to add frequency 4130V MHz toward Jackson, Calif.

CA—1923-CF-P-78 Same (KKE 53) 3175 Spring Street, Redwood City, (San Mateo) Calif. Lat. 37°28'36" N., Long. 122°11'57" W. and frequency 10775V MHz toward Oak Hill, Calif.

CA—1922-CF-P-78 Same (WJW 54) Oak Hill 2400 Canoas Garden Road, San Jose, (Santa Clara) Calif. Lat. 37°13'58" N., Long. 121°51'24" W. to add frequency 11625V MHz toward Redwood City, Calif.

CA—1921-CF-P-78 Same (WJW 54) 0.5 miles south of Edom, Tex. (Lat. 33°41'52" N., Long. 84°24'37" W.). Construction permit to add 6390V MHz toward West of Kissimmee, Fla. (Lat. 28°17'27" N., Long. 81°24'37" W.).

CA—1920-CF-P-78 Same (WJO 72) 15 Columbus Circle, N.Y., on azimuth 24.9 degrees west of Thousand Springs, Calif., on azimuth 165.1 degrees.

Fl.—1921-CF-P-78 Same (KCL 77) River Road, N.W., Washington, D.C. (Lat. 38°53'24" N., Long. 77°05'13" W.). Construction permit to add 6390V MHz toward West of Kissimmee, Fla. (Lat. 28°17'27" N., Long. 81°24'37" W.).

CA—1920-CF-P-78 Same (WJO 72) 15 Columbus Circle, N.Y., on azimuth 24.9 degrees west of Thousand Springs, Calif., on azimuth 165.1 degrees.

CA—1919-CF-P-78 Same (WJO 72) 15 Columbus Circle, N.Y., on azimuth 24.9 degrees west of Thousand Springs, Calif., on azimuth 165.1 degrees.

CA—1918-CF-P-78 Same (WJO 72) 15 Columbus Circle, N.Y., on azimuth 24.9 degrees west of Thousand Springs, Calif., on azimuth 165.1 degrees.

CA—1917-CF-P-78 Same (WJO 72) 15 Columbus Circle, N.Y., on azimuth 24.9 degrees west of Thousand Springs, Calif., on azimuth 165.1 degrees.

CA—1916-CF-P-78 Same (WJO 72) 15 Columbus Circle, N.Y., on azimuth 24.9 degrees west of Thousand Springs, Calif., on azimuth 165.1 degrees.

CA—1915-CF-P-78 Same (WJO 72) 15 Columbus Circle, N.Y., on azimuth 24.9 degrees west of Thousand Springs, Calif., on azimuth 165.1 degrees.
to add 6315.9H MHz toward Orange City, Fla., on azimuth 7.0 degrees. (Applicant requests waiver of section 21.701(i).)

FL—1983—CP-P-78 Same (WPE 66) Near I-18028

NOTICES

of operations, the name, address and telephone number of any other such applicant appearing herein, it must be substantially complete and tendered for filing by whichever date is earlier: (a) The close

of business one business day preceding the day on which the Commission takes action on the previously filed application; or (b) within 60 days after the close of business one business day preceding the first prior filed application, with which the subsequent application is in conflict as having been accepted for filing. In common carrier radio services other than those listed under part 21, the off date for filing a mutually exclusive application is the close of business one business day preceding the day on which the previously filed application is designated for processing. With respect to an application which is subsequently amended by a major change will be considered as a newly filed application for purposes of the cut-off rule. (See §§1.227(b)(3) and 21.300(b) of the Commission’s rules.)

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION, WILLIAM J. TRICARICO, Secretary.

Applications accepted for filing:

DOMESTIC PUBLIC LAND MOBILE RADIO SERVICE

21186—CD-P-78 Central Telephone Co. (new). C.P. for a new 1-way signaling station to operate on 155.10 MHz to be located at 1503 South of Fieldcrest Road, Draper, N.C.

21187—CD-P-78 Mobile Radio System of San Jose, Inc. (KMA741). C.P. for additional facilities to operate on 454.075 MHz at a new site described as location No. 2: At Black Mountain, approximately 5.0 miles southwest of Los Altos, Calif.

21188—CD-P-78 Airsignal International, Inc. (KTF653). C.P. to relocate facilities operating on 35.22 MHz at location No. 2: 6060 Mount Mortah Exit at Hickory Hill Road, Germantown, Tenn.

21189—CD-P-78 Answering Service of Trenton, Inc. (KED352). C.P. for additional facilities to operate on 35.58 MHz at a new site described as location No. 1: 6.5 mile southwest of Rancocas Creek, Delran, N.J.

21190—CD-P-78 Southern Bell Telephone & Telegraph Co. (KIF653). C.P. to change antenna system and replace transmitter operating on 152.63 MHz located at 310 West Fourth Street, Winston-Salem, N.C.

21191—CD-P-78 The Mountain States Telephone & Telegraph Co. (KUO653). C.P. to relocate facilities operating on 152.84 MHz at location No. 2: 3033 North Third Street, Phoenix; change power operating on 152.84 MHz at location No. 4: 12403 North 15th Avenue, Phoenix; change antenna system operating on 152.84 MHz at location No. 6: 0.7 mile north of Tempe; additional facilities to operate on 152.64 MHz at a new site described as location No. 7: 850 West Main Street, Mesa; and for additional facilities to operate on 152.84 MHz at a new site described as location No. 8: 10401 Thunderbird Boulevard, Phoenix, Ariz.

21193—CD-TC-(2)-78 WJIBC Communications Corp. Consent to transfer of control from Evergreen Communications, Inc., Transferee to Timothy R. Ivcs and other individual stockholders of Bloomington Broadcasting Corp., Transferees. Stations: KRM966 and KSA744, Bloomington, Ill.

21195—CD—ML-78 Phone Depots of Connecticut, Inc. d.b.a. Liberty Communications (KCI310). C.P. to change frequency from 72.12 MHz to 75.84 MHz, control at location No. 6: Video Lane (Booth Hill), Trumbull, Conn.

21200—CD-P-(2)-78 New England Telephone & Telegraph Co. (KCC650). C.P. for additional facilities operating on 152.72 MHz to a new site described as location No. 1: Court Street (and Putnam Street), Brockton; and change frequency from 454.625 MHz to 454.650 MHz to a new site described as location No. 2: South of Fieldcrest Hills, Marshfield, Mass.

21201—CD-P-78 Morris Communications, Inc. (KFT504). C.P. for additional facilities to operate on 43.58 MHz at a new site described as location No. 3: On Whitehall road, 1.1 miles northwest of Anderson, S.C.

21202—CD-P-78 Gulf Central Communications & Electronics, Inc. (KLP721). C.P. for additional facilities to operate on 43.58 MHz at a new site described as location No. 3: Arcenololy Road, 0.2 mile east of Louisiana 92 and 1.3 miles south of U.S 80, 0.8 mile west of Lafayette, La.

21203—CD-P-78 The Ohio Bell Telephone Co. (KQA652). C.P. to change antenna system and replace transmitter operating on 157.95 MHz, test located at 106 West Rayen Avenue, Youngstown, Ohio.

21204—CD-P-78 Comex, Inc. (KCI925). C.P. for additional facilities to operate on 152.24 MHz to be located at a new site described as location No. 1: 708 E. Mt. Agamenticus, Agamenticus Village, Maine.

21206—CP-(3)-78 The Ohio Bell Telephone Co. (KQA653). C.P. to change antenna system, replace transmitter operating on 153.72 MHz and for additional facilities to operate on 153.57 MHz at location No. 2: Grove Road approximately 1 mile west of Manchester; change antenna system and replace transmitter operating on 157.98 MHz, test and for additional facilities to operate on 157.98 MHz, test located at 50 West Bowery Street, Akron, Ohio.

21207—CD-P-(3)-78 The Ohio Bell Telephone Co. (KQA654). C.P. to change antenna system and replace transmitter operating on 152.63 MHz, and for additional facilities to operate on 152.69 MHz to be located at Struthers-Liberty Road, Youngstown; change antenna system and replace transmitter operating on 157.89 MHz, test and for additional facilities to operate on 157.95 MHz, test located at 106 West Rayen Avenue, Youngstown, Ohio.

21210—CD-P-(3)-78 The Bell Telephone Co. (KQA655). C.P. to change antenna system and replace transmitter operating on 152.51 MHz and to change frequency from 152.51 MHz to 157.89 MHz located at 401 Cleveland Avenue, NW, Canton, Ohio.

21212—CD-MP-78 The Ohio Bell Telephone Co. (KQA656). C.P. to relocate facilities operating on 152.54 MHz to be located at 1.2 miles southeast of Blue Ball, Ohio.
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N. Long. 122°27'01" W. C.P. to add a new point of communications on frequencies 10835V and 10995V MHz on azimuth 86.6 degrees toward Seattle-6, Wash.

WA—1922-CF-P-78 Same (new), Seattle-6, N. Long. 112°29'27" W. C.P. to replace transmitters on frequencies 6412.2V and 11605.0H MHz toward Bremeront Drive, Washington.

WY—1925-CF-P-78 Mountain States Telephone & Telegraph Co. (KPR61), 602 East Washington Street, Riverton (Caspermont), Wyo. Lat. 43°01'26" N., Long. 108°22'58" W. C.P. for a new station on frequencies 11868V and 23035V MHz toward the new station.

WY—1926-CF-P-78 Same (KPZ67), South Pass, Wyo. Lat. 43°01'26" N., Long. 108°22'58" W. C.P. to replace transmitters on frequencies 6412.2V and 11605.0H MHz toward South Pass, Wyo.

WY—1926-CF-P-78 Same (KPFZ67), South Pass, Wyo. Lat. 43°01'26" N., Long. 108°22'58" W. C.P. to replace transmitters on frequencies 6412.2V and 11605.0H MHz toward Riverton, Wyo.

NY—1870-CF-P-78 Southern Pacific Communications Co. (new) Route 9 West near Glenmont, Bethlehem, N.Y. Lat. 42°37'30" N., Long. 73°47'14" W. Construction permit for new station; 6197.2H and 6345.5H MHz toward Jordanville, N.Y., on azimuth 74.5 degrees; 6197.2H and 6286.2V MHz toward Jordanville, N.Y., on azimuth 174.5 degrees.

NY—1867-CF-P-78 Same (new) 3 miles southeast of South Egremont, N.Y. Lat. 42°38'07" N., Long. 73°09'57" W. Construction permit for new station; 5974.8H and 6034.2H MHz toward Pompey, N.Y., on azimuth 148.8 degrees; 6226.9H and 6345.5H MHz toward Jordanville, N.Y., on azimuth 74.5 degrees; 6197.2H and 6286.2V MHz toward Jordanville, N.Y., on azimuth 174.5 degrees.

NY—1867-CF-P-78 Same (new) 6 miles West of Schenectady, N.Y. Lat. 42°48'06" N., Long. 74°10'39" W. Construction permit for new station; 6226.9H and 6345.5H MHz toward Jordanville, N.Y., on azimuth 174.5 degrees.

NY—1868-CF-P-78 Same (new) 6 miles West of Danville, Pa. (Lat. 40°57'32" N., Long. 76°42'54" W.). Construction permit for new station; 6226.9H and 6345.5H MHz toward Jordanville, N.Y., on azimuth 174.5 degrees.

NY—1869-CF-P-78 Same (new) 6 miles Northwest of Adams, Mass. (Lat. 42°38'07" N., Long. 73°09'57" W.). Construction permit for new station; 5974.8H and 6034.2H MHz toward Attica, N.Y., on azimuth 148.8 degrees; 6226.9H and 6345.5H MHz toward Jordanville, N.Y., on azimuth 174.5 degrees.

NY—1870-CF-P-78 Southern Pacific Communications Co. (new) 20 Inverness Place East,恩格伍德,科罗拉多州,Colo.,(Lat. 39°34'20" N., Long. 104°81'28" W.). Construction permit for a new station to operate on 6617.6V MHz toward Lookout Mountain, Colo., on azimuth 299 degrees. (Norr.—Carrier requests a waiver of § 21.701(i).)

OK—1873-CF-P-78 Western TV Relay, (WCU213) 0.1 mile Northwest of Clinton, Okla., Lat. 39°31'09" N., Long. 98°58'45" W.). Construction permit to add 6197.2H and 6315.9H MHz toward Bristol Court, N.Y., on azimuth 97.2 degrees.

NY—1871-CF-P-78 Same (WAH622) Temporary Conduct permit for new station; 6268.2V and 6404.8V MHz toward Glenmont, N.Y., on azimuth 333.7 degrees.

NY—1872-CF-P-78 Same (new) 10.5 Miles Southeast of Canalsugua, N.Y., Bristol Center, N.Y. (Lat. 42°45'43" N., Long. 77°25'24" W.). Construction permit for new station; 5974.8H and 6034.2H MHz toward Attica, N.Y., on azimuth 277.8 degrees; 5974.8H and 6034.2H MHz toward South Butler, N.Y., on azimuth 61.2 degrees.

NY—1876-CF-P-78 Same (new) 0.8 Mile North or South Butler, N.Y. (Lat. 43°08'51" N., Long. 76°46'04" W.). Construction permit to add 6197.2H and 6315.9H MHz toward Bristol Court, N.Y., on azimuth 61.2 degrees.

MA—1877-CF-P-78 Same (WAH560), 3 miles southwest of South Egremont, Mass., on an azimuth of 193.4 degrees.

NY—1882-CF-P-78 Eastern Microwave, Inc. (KCK70) Mt. Greylock-1, 2 miles Northwest of Adams, Mass. (Lat. 43°28'07" N., Long. 73°09'57" W.). Construction permit to add 6197.2H and 6315.9H MHz toward Great Barrington, Mass., on azimuth 193.4 degrees. (Norr.—Carrier requests a waiver of § 21.701(i).)

MA—1883-CF-P-78 Mountain Microwave Corp. (new) 20 Inverness Place East, En-

yu—1978, respectively.

TE—1931-CF-P-78 Southwestern Bell Telephone Co. (WAH222) Temporary Conduct permit to add 6197.2H and 6315.9H MHz toward Rockefeller, Okla., on azimuth 73.4 degrees. (Norr.—Carrier requests a waiver of § 21.701(i).)

UT—1938-CF-P-78 Beehive Telephone Co., Inc. (new) C.P. for a new station 13 miles South of Lakeville, Utah, at Lat. 41°03'45" N., Long. 112°29'27" W. Frequency 2162.0V MHz toward Rocky Pass, Utah.

UT—1938-CF-P-78 Same as above (new) C.P. for a new station at Rocky Pass, Utah, 12 miles Southeast of Grouse Creek, Utah, at Lat. 41°32'36" N., Long. 113°45'20" W. Frequencies 2112.0V MHz toward Lakeville, Utah; 2118.4H MHz toward Grouse Creek, Utah, and 2151.2H MHz toward Park Valley, Utah.

UT—1929-CF-P-78 Same as above (new) C.P. for a new station at Rocky Pass, Utah, at Lat. 41°42'31" N., Long. 113°53'15" W. Frequency 2153.4H MHz toward Rocky Pass, Utah.

UT—1928-CF-P-78 Same as above (new) C.P. for a new station at Rocky Pass, Utah, 12 miles Southeast of Grouse Creek, Utah, at Lat. 41°32'36" N., Long. 113°45'20" W. Frequencies 2112.0V MHz toward Lakeville, Utah; 2118.4H MHz toward Grouse Creek, Utah, and 2151.2H MHz toward Park Valley, Utah.

W. Frequency 2165.2H MHz toward Rocky Pass, Utah.
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[6712-01] [Report No. I-457]

INTERNATIONAL AND SATELLITE RADIO
Applications Accepted for Filing

APRIL 17, 1978.

The applications listed herein have been found, upon initial review, to be acceptable for filing. The Commission reserves the right to return any of these applications if, upon further examination, it is determined they are defective and not in conformance with the Commission’s rules, regulations and its policies. Final action will not be taken on any of these applications earlier than 31 days following the date of this notice. Section 309(d)(1). Effective March 6, 1978, all applications accepted for filing will be assigned Call Signs. However these assignments are for administrative purposes only and do not in any way prejudice Commission actions.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
WILLIAM J. TRICARICO,
Secretary.

[Satellite Communications Services Corrections]
Report No. I-453 Dated 4-3-78, entry for
COMMUNICATIONS SATCOM, INC., New York, N.Y. (WG28). The correct file number for this entry should have been: SSA-655.

Report No. I-454 Dated 4-10-78, entry for 3-SSA-78, Harris Corp. The correct file number for this entry should have been: SSA-375.

Report No. I-455 Dated 4-17-78, entry for 3-SSA-78, Harris Corp. The correct file number for this entry should have been: SSA-567.

Report No. I-456 Dated 4-20-78; 8:45 am

[Antenna Application]

Applications for Consents to Transfer of Control of this station from: American Television & Communications Corp. (old) to: American Television & Communications Corp. (new).


11-DSS-MP-78 Satellite Business Systems, Inc. Modification of construction permit to change the designated orbital location from 106° West Long. to 119° West Long. of this satellite.

518-DSE-ML-78 Florida Cablevision Inc., Ft. Lauderdale, Fla. (WF46). Modification of license to operate this facility on a cost-sharing basis with Teleprompter Southeast, Inc.

514-DSE-P-78 Scientific-Atlanta, Inc., Doraville, Ga. (WB26). Renewal of this station's experimental (developmental) operation due to only earth station from: May 12, 1976 to May 12, 1978.

516-DSE-ML-78 Scientific-Atlanta, Inc., Doraville, Ga. (WB26). Modification of license to request authority to utilize another antenna having a diameter of not less than 1.22 meters nor more than 12 meters. This will enable them to experiment with, and demonstrate the capabilities of various antennas offering a wide range of performance and cost.
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00496-DSE-P -78 NS49 COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS, INC.
APPLICATION FOR AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT EARTH STATION
SERVICE: DOMESTIC FIXED SATELLITE
LOCATION: EUFAULA MC 1NSOSH OKLAHOMA
35 24 0 N LAT. 95 33 25 W LONG.
PARTICULARS OF OPERATION:
3700.000- 4200.000 MHZ 36000F9 --- DBW
ANTENNAS:
5.0 METERS SCIENTIFIC ATLANTA MODEL 8008

00497-DSE-P/L -78 NS50 COMMUNITY TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.
APPLICATION FOR AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT AND OPERATE NEW EARTH STATION
SERVICE: DOMESTIC FIXED SATELLITE
LOCATION: LEASSTOWN, FERNDALE MONTANA
47 4 24 N LAT. 109 24 13 W LONG.
PARTICULARS OF OPERATION:
3700.000- 4200.000 MHZ 36000F9 --- DBW
ANTENNAS:
5.0 METERS SCIENTIFIC ATLANTA MODEL 8008

00507-DSE-P/L -78 NS51 COMMUNITY TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.
APPLICATION FOR AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT AND OPERATE NEW EARTH STATION
SERVICE: DOMESTIC FIXED SATELLITE
LOCATION: LOGAN, LOUGAN COLORADO
40 37 24 N LAT. 103 16 0 W LONG.
PARTICULARS OF OPERATION:
3700.000- 4200.000 MHZ 36000F9 --- DBW
ANTENNAS:
5.0 METERS SCIENTIFIC ATLANTA MODEL 8008

00498-DSE-P/L -78 NS52 COMMUNITY TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.
APPLICATION FOR AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT AND OPERATE NEW EARTH STATION
SERVICE: DOMESTIC FIXED SATELLITE
LOCATION: PRESCOTT WYOMING
40 30 3 N LAT. 108 44 14 W LONG.
PARTICULARS OF OPERATION:
3700.000- 4200.000 MHZ 36000F9 --- DBW
ANTENNAS:
5.0 METERS SCIENTIFIC ATLANTA MODEL 8008

00508-DSE-P/L -78 NS54 COMMUNITY CABLEVISION
APPLICATION FOR AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT AND OPERATE NEW EARTH STATION
SERVICE: DOMESTIC FIXED SATELLITE
LOCATION: EAGLEFIELD OKLAHOMA
36 24 3 N LAT. 97 52 20 W LONG.
PARTICULARS OF OPERATION:
3700.000- 4200.000 MHZ 36000F9 --- DBW
ANTENNAS:
5.0 METERS SCIENTIFIC ATLANTA MODEL 8008

00520-DSE-P/L -78 NS55 COMMUNITY CABLEVISION
APPLICATION FOR AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT AND OPERATE NEW EARTH STATION
SERVICE: DOMESTIC FIXED SATELLITE
LOCATION: EAGLEFIELD OKLAHOMA
36 24 3 N LAT. 97 52 20 W LONG.
PARTICULARS OF OPERATION:
3700.000- 4200.000 MHZ 36000F9 --- DBW
ANTENNAS:
5.0 METERS SCIENTIFIC ATLANTA MODEL 8008

00510-DSE-P/L -78 NS56 PULASKI MULTIPLE CHANNEL CABLE SYSTEMS, INC.
APPLICATION FOR AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT AND OPERATE NEW EARTH STATION
SERVICE: DOMESTIC FIXED SATELLITE
LOCATION: GILES TENNESSEE
39 11 8 N LAT. 87 0 22 W LONG.
PARTICULARS OF OPERATION:
3700.000- 4200.000 MHZ 36000F9 --- DBW
ANTENNAS:
4.5 METERS ANDREW COR-95 MODEL 8008-4HP

00499-DSE-P/L -78 NS57 GENSEE PROPERTIES
APPLICATION FOR AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT AND OPERATE NEW EARTH STATION
SERVICE: DOMESTIC FIXED SATELLITE
LOCATION: ANN ARUNDEL MARYLAND
39 12 37 N LAT. 76 37 18 W LONG.
PARTICULARS OF OPERATION:
3700.000- 4200.000 MHZ 36000F9 --- DBW
ANTENNAS:
4.5 METERS ANDREW CORP. E45-4HP

00499-DSE-P/L -78 NS58 ST LAWRENCE VALLEY EDUCATIONAL TV COUNCIL, INC.
APPLICATION FOR AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT AND OPERATE NEW EARTH STATION
SERVICE: DOMESTIC FIXED SATELLITE
LOCATION: WASHINGTON D.C.
43 58 40 N LAT. 75 56 14 W LONG.
PARTICULARS OF OPERATION:
3700.000- 4200.000 MHZ 36000F9 --- DBW
ANTENNAS:
4.5 METERS ANDREW CORP. E45-4HP

00511-DSE-P/L -78 NS59 LAWRENCEBURG CABLE TV, INC.
APPLICATION FOR AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT EARTH STATION
SERVICE: DOMESTIC FIXED SATELLITE
LOCATION: LAWRENCEBURG TENNESSEE
35 13 16 N LAT. 87 19 42 W LONG.
PARTICULARS OF OPERATION:
3700.000- 4200.000 MHZ 36000F9 --- DBW
ANTENNAS:
4.5 METERS ANDREW CORP. E45-4HP

00512-DSE-P/L -78 NS40 CEDAR GROVE TV CABLE
APPLICATION FOR AUTHORITY TO CONSTRUCT AND OPERATE NEW EARTH STATION
SERVICE: DOMESTIC FIXED SATELLITE
LOCATION: KANSAS CITY MISSOURI
38 15 51 N LAT. 91 29 20 W LONG.
PARTICULARS OF OPERATION:
3700.000- 4200.000 MHZ 36000F9 --- DBW
ANTENNAS:
4.5 METERS ANDREW CORP. MODEL E45-4HP

[FR Doc. 78-11342 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]
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LYCURGUS G. TSIMPIDES

Designating Application for Hearing on Stated Issues; Order

Released: April 21, 1978.

The Chief, Safety and Special Radio Services Bureau, has under consideration the above-entitled application for a Citizens Band radio station license, filed by Lycurgus G. Tsimpides, 545 Durham Drive, Birmingham, Ala. 35209, and dated February 9, 1978.

The applicant's previous license for citizens radio station KCQ-6091 was revoked, effective August 13, 1973, in Docket No. 19406, Lycurgus G. Tsimpides, 48 FCC 2d 248, following a hearing held December 5, 1972. In an Initial Decision issued June 22, 1973, the presiding Administrative Law Judge found and concluded, inter alia, that Tsimpides had operated his radio station in violation of various Commission rules and that he had made misrepresentations of material facts, which continued throughout the hearing process. No exceptions were filed to the Initial Decision and it became final on August 13, 1973 (FCC 73 R-328, released September 18, 1973).

In an Order released on January 8, 1974, Tsimpides was directed to cease and desist from further operation of an unlicensed Citizens radio station (SS-194-74). The cease-and-desist order was predicated on Tsimpides having operated radio transmitting apparatus without authorization on September 4, 1973.

Notwithstanding the Order to Cease and Desist from further unlicensed operations on Citizens Band radio frequencies, Tsimpides apparently so operated on January 18, 1974; October 28, 1974; and April 9 and 10, 1976. In the April 1976 transmissions, it appears that Tsimpides identified as "HF 83," indicating membership in HF International, an organization which promoted the operation of radio transmitting equipment by citizens band radio operators on frequencies not assigned by the Commission for use by Citizens Band licensees.

In view of the Findings and Conclusions of the Initial Decision (48 FCC 2d 248) and the Order to Cease and Desist (SS-194-74), and his apparently unlicensed operation subsequent thereto, it cannot be determined that a grant of Tsimpides' application would serve the public interest, convenience, and necessity. Therefore, the Commission must designate the application for hearing. The findings and conclusions of the Initial Decision and the Order to Cease and Desist shall be res judicata as to the applicant and shall not be reiterated in this proceeding.

Accordingly, it is ordered, pursuant to section 309(e) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and section 1.75(b) of the Commission's Rules, that the captioned application is designated for hearing, at a time and place to be specified by subsequent Order, upon the following issues:

1. To determine the effect of the facts and conclusions contained in the Initial Decision (48 FCC 2d 248) and Order to Cease and Desist (SS-194-74) upon the applicant's requisite qualifications to be a licensee of the Commission.

2. To determine whether the applicant engaged in unlicensed operation subsequent to the release of the Order to Cease and Desist.

3. To determine whether the applicant has participated in the activities of organizations which promote illegal radio operation.

4. To determine, in light of the evidence adduced under the foregoing issues, whether the applicant has the requisite qualifications to be a licensee of the Commission.

5. To determine whether a grant of the subject application for a Citizens Band radio station license would serve the public interest, convenience, and necessity.

It is further ordered, That, to avail himself of the opportunity to be heard, the applicant herein, pursuant to section 1.221(c) of the Commission's Rules, in person or by attorney, shall within 20 days of the mailing of this Order file with the Commission, in triplicate, a written appearance stating an intent to appear on the date fixed for hearing and to present evidence on the issues specified in this Order.

CHIEF, SAFETY AND SPECIAL RADIO SERVICES BUREAU

By: GERALD M. ZUCKERMAN,
Chief, Legal, Advisory and Enforcement Division.

[FR Doc. 78-1447 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]

LYCURGUS G. TSIMPIDES

Designating Application for Hearing on Stated Issues; Order

Released: April 21, 1978.

The Chief, Safety and Special Radio Services Bureau, has under consideration the above-entitled application for a Citizens Band radio station license, filed by Lycurgus G. Tsimpides, 545 Durham Drive, Birmingham, Ala. 35209, and dated February 9, 1978.

The applicant's previous license for citizens radio station KCQ-6091 was revoked, effective August 13, 1973, in Docket No. 19406, Lycurgus G. Tsimpides, 48 FCC 2d 248, following a hearing held December 5, 1972. In an Initial Decision issued June 22, 1973, the presiding Administrative Law Judge found and concluded, inter alia, that Tsimpides had operated his radio station in violation of various Commission rules and that he had made misrepresentations of material facts, which continued throughout the hearing process. No exceptions were filed to the Initial Decision and it became final on August 13, 1973 (FCC 73 R-328, released September 18, 1973).

In an Order released on January 8, 1974, Tsimpides was directed to cease and desist from further operation of an unlicensed Citizens radio station (SS-194-74). The cease-and-desist order was predicated on Tsimpides having operated radio transmitting apparatus without authorization on September 4, 1973.

Notwithstanding the Order to Cease and Desist from further unlicensed operations on Citizens Band radio frequencies, Tsimpides apparently so operated on January 18, 1974; October 28, 1974; and April 9 and 10, 1976. In the April 1976 transmissions, it appears that Tsimpides identified as "HF 83," indicating membership in HF International, an organization which promoted the operation of radio transmitting equipment by citizens band radio operators on frequencies not assigned by the Commission for use by Citizens Band licensees.

In view of the Findings and Conclusions of the Initial Decision (48 FCC 2d 248) and the Order to Cease and Desist (SS-194-74), and his apparently unlicensed operation subsequent thereto, it cannot be determined that a grant of Tsimpides' application would serve the public interest, convenience, and necessity. Therefore, the Commission must designate the application for hearing. The findings and conclusions of the Initial Decision and the Order to Cease and Desist shall be res judicata as to the applicant and shall not be reiterated in this proceeding.

Accordingly, it is ordered, pursuant to section 309(e) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and section 1.75(b) of the Commission's Rules, that the captioned application is designated for hearing, at a time and place to be specified by subsequent Order, upon the following issues:

1. To determine the effect of the facts and conclusions contained in the Initial Decision (48 FCC 2d 248) and Order to Cease and Desist (SS-194-74) upon the applicant's requisite qualifications to be a licensee of the Commission.

2. To determine whether the applicant engaged in unlicensed operation subsequent to the release of the Order to Cease and Desist.

3. To determine whether the applicant has participated in the activities of organizations which promote illegal radio operation.

4. To determine, in light of the evidence adduced under the foregoing issues, whether the applicant has the requisite qualifications to be a licensee of the Commission.

5. To determine whether a grant of the subject application for a Citizens Band radio station license would serve the public interest, convenience, and necessity.

It is further ordered, That, to avail himself of the opportunity to be heard, the applicant herein, pursuant to section 1.221(c) of the Commission's Rules, in person or by attorney, shall within 20 days of the mailing of this Order file with the Commission, in triplicate, a written appearance stating an intent to appear on the date fixed for hearing and to present evidence on the issues specified in this Order.

CHIEF, SAFETY AND SPECIAL RADIO SERVICES BUREAU

By: GERALD M. ZUCKERMAN,
Chief, Legal, Advisory and Enforcement Division.

[FR Doc. 78-1447 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]

WESTERN UNION TELEGRAPH CO.

Instituting Investigation Memorandum Opinion and Order

Released: March 27, 1978.
service may also be miscalculated, and therefore in violation of section 201(b) of the Act.

4. Third, under the Program Distribution Channels category of Long Term Multi-Schedule Service, rates progressively increase for the initial two years of a service that requires a 3 year commitment in the first instance. WU has not supplied any data that indicates that its costs also increase during the first 2 years of the 3 year commitment period. Furthermore, WU has not justified the rate structure for this classification of Video Channel Service, nor has it justified the differing rate levels for each period of service. The burden of proof thus lies with WU to clearly demonstrate that the rates and rate structure for the Program Distribution Channels category of Long Term Multi-Schedule Service meets the requirements of sections 201(b) and 202(a) of the Communications Act. See "Resale and Shared Use of Common Carrier Services", 60 FCC 2d 261, 284-85 (1976); aff'd sub nom., "American Telephone & Telegraph Co. v. FCC", Capital Jour. v. FCC, 512 F.2d 247, 252 (D.C. Circuit Jan. 26, 1978).

5. Accordingly, it is ordered, That pursuant to sections 4(1), 4(3), 201, 202, 204, 205, 403 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, an investigation is instituted into the lawfulness of the above-specified tariff revisions filed by The Western Union Telegraph Co., via Transmittal No. 7314, to its Tariff FCC No. 261, including any cancellations, amendments, or re-issues thereof.

6. It is further ordered, That, without in any way limiting the scope of the investigation, it shall include consideration of the following:

(1) Whether the charges, classifications, practices, and regulations published in the aforesaid tariffs are or will be unjust and unreasonable within the meaning of section 201(b) of the Act;

(2) Whether such charges, classifications, practices, and regulations will, or could be applied, to subject any person or class of persons to unjust or unreasonable preference, or prejudice any person, class of persons, or locality, within the meaning of section 202(a) of the Act;

(3) If any such charges, classifications, practices, or regulations are found to be unlawful whether the Commission, pursuant to section 205 of the Act, shall prescribe charges, classifications, practices, and regulations for the service governed by the tariffs, and if so, what should be prescribed.

7. It is further ordered, That a Separated Trial Staff of the Common Car-

HUD has carefully considered all the arguments raised in the comments on the proposed fire safety requirements. These comments, together with HUD's response to each, and the revised requirement are summarized below.

1. Respondents questioned the rationale behind HUD's decision to reevaluate its standards covering fire safety of plastics as a result of the Federal Trade Commission restriction on foam plastics.

HUD is not constrained to reevaluate only those materials that have been proven hazardous such as foam plastics, but may appropriately question whether or not other new materials could possibly be hazardous.

2. One respondent asked that all areas of health, safety, hazards and utility be given weighted consideration.

HUD does not believe there is adequate experience to practically do such a comparative analysis; e.g., to compare the possibility of reducing falls against the potential increase in fire hazard by introducing a flammable material.

3. One respondent took issue with the fact that the proposed requirements appeared to approve any other material of construction by virtue of not being mentioned.

Non-polymeric materials of construction are either covered by the Minimum Property Standards or as needed by a Materials Release.

4. Applicability. Three responses stated the requirements should apply to all housing instead of just single-family housing.

HUD concurs; the final requirements also apply to multifamily housing and care-type housing, including housing for the elderly and handicapped.

5. Resistance Requirements.

There were several comments which pointed out that "fire resistance" implies resistance to burn-through as measured by ASTM E 119. HUD now uses the term "fire safety."

The ASTM D 2584-68 (Ignition—Loss of Cured Reinforced Resins) was considered inappropriate by two respondents. It was also contended that the test is applicable only to reinforced plastics or reinforced thermosetting plastics and therefore excludes from the marketplace plastics which are not reinforced. Further, it was argued that the method measures volatile content, not the combustible content, and thus bears no relationship to flammability. Further, when alumina trihydrate is used, it gives off water vapor, an inert gas thereby invalidating the ignition requirements.

It is not the intent of HUD to use D 2584 to measure flammability but rather as an inexpensive comparative measure within a manufacturer's line. The test measures the amount of inert filler content as a check that the sample tested is essentially of the same composition as those in production. This is necessary where a non-production sample is made for an E-84 test. This usage of the test will not preclude non-reinforced plastics.

HUD acknowledges that the presence of alumina trihydrate and inert gas will affect the measurement but it should not be enough to destroy the validity of this test for quality control within a manufacturer's line.

Whenever any specially-made section is required for an ASTM E 84 test, samples from both it and a production unit shall be tested by ASTM D 2584 or other method acceptable to the certifying test laboratory to ascertain that both samples are of the same composition.


a. Many respondents contend that plastic units are less a fire hazard than building products in other parts of the living unit and questioned why HUD should accept this plastic product for discriminatory treatment and propose requirements far more stringent than those HUD published just 3 weeks earlier in the Federal Register for mobile homes. Respondents asked HUD to prove there is demonstrative need; they contend there is insufficient documentation that a problem exists.

HUD acknowledges that, historically, the probability of fire developing in the kitchen and other parts of a house is greater than in the bathroom. In a house of conventional materials the bathroom therefore would be of a lesser hazard. However, it does not follow that the bathroom remains a lesser hazard because it is made of an inflammable product (i.e. plastic bath/shower unit) is introduced.

The Department concurs with these respondents that there is little evidence of plastic bathtub and shower units being involved in fire on the finish side. This scarcity of fire incidents cannot be taken as a valid indicator of the fire safety of this product because there has not been a statistically significant amount of experience with it. Moreover, HUD has a responsibility to insure that new products do not create an undue fire hazard. This is fulfilled by careful evaluation of the product before acceptance for FHA-insured housing instead of waiting until a significant number of deaths and injuries have occurred to trigger public reaction and restrictions.

Because a major portion of requests to HUD for Material Releases involved plastic bathtub and shower units, the Department gave priority to establishing requirements for this product prior to upgrading the requirements on other plastic products in other areas of the house. When the requirements for plastic products in the kitchen and elsewhere now being developed by the Department are completed the requirements for plastic bathtub and shower units will be seen to reflect the appropriate relative hazard with respect to other plastic products elsewhere in the house.

1. One respondent contended that the state-of-the-art in plastic fire tests was such that neither a satisfactory test method nor rating exists. HUD recognizes that this problem has been faced many times with other products and acknowledges the need to work with less than perfect solutions. The need for solutions can provide one of the strongest incentives for industry to develop better test methods.

8. Test Method. The appropriate test method and test limits were questioned by nearly all the respondents. None of the responses favored ASTM E 162 and only three considered it acceptable. In contrast, seven respondents favored ASTM E 84 and three found it acceptable. The remaining eight responses were noncommittal while two recommended other tests.

The objections to ASTM E 162 were that: ASTM says E 162 is intended for research and development purposes and not for use as a basis of ratings for code purposes; E 162 conflicts with the intent and purpose of the Federal Trade Commission order regarding foam plastics; the accuracy with which E 162 results can be repeated within a single testing laboratory or reproduced by severral laboratories is less than with E 84; E 162 needs validation with full-scale fire tests; its ratings do not correlate with ratings from E 84; E 162 is not referenced by building code authorities which do recognize E 84 and the latter would expect to perform both tests with added expense.

Further, they noted, even HUD's Minimum Property Standards and its recent Mobile Home Standards use E 84 although the latter also allows E 162.

Respondents preferred E 84 because it is in common use by industry and is better known than E 162 by regulators, researchers, and building and fire officials.

Respondents were concerned lest E 162 allow plastic bathtub and shower units which were not acceptable under E 84; respondents also contended that the E 162 method rated unit as being "safer" and therefore that the testing laboratories could be held legally liable for certifying units which would otherwise be considered "unsafe" by the E 84 method.

H UD understands that the ASTM caveat of limiting the test for R & D
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purposes was simply the requirement of a competing industry for allowing its publication. This caveat would not be a legitimate contrast to the use of E 162 by this Department. The E 162 test method can not be singled out here since the principle of the Federal Trade Commission order since E 84 was also cited. Further, and particularly relevant, the principle was meant to apply to form plastics which are not involved here. Analysis of the same data submitted by the respondents together with information from test experts indicated that the repeatability and reproducibility of E 162 and E 84 are comparable. Further, the validity of E 84 specifically in regards to plastic bathtub and shower units depends upon the accuracy with which specially-made samples to fit the E 84 test chamber can duplicate the production units. This is unknown, and will require verification at the manufacturers.

There is no need for the results of E 84 and E 162 to correlate since the acceptance of a plastic bathtub and shower unit will not be based on the transference of a rating by E 84 to the same data certified by E 162. HUD believes that while full-scale fire tests are desirable to further validate E 162, there is sufficient data to permit the use of E 162. Industry's contention that the Department would be less expensive than with the E 84 method regardless of the need for a one-time test by E 84 for state and local regulators. It is possible these organizations may prefer to switch to a more appropriate test only if the practicality of E 162 is demonstrated.

However, at this time it does not appear that the use of the E 84 test method will adversely affect the safety being sought and since the industry prefers E 84 even though more costly, the Department now accepts E 84 in addition to E 162 as an interim test method for surface flammability of plastic bathtub and shower units. As a further alternative to E 84, HUD also permits a full-scale compartment test. This will allow consideration of the effect of design on the fire safety of the units. Those units which fail to pass the E 162 or E 84 tests but pass this full-scale test are considered acceptable for the particular designs and installation situations tested. The tests shall be performed with the bathtub and shower unit installed in a corner in the manner intended for actual use, with a 1.75 gallon wasterbasket as specified by the University of California, Berkeley instead of the wood crib as ignition source (see Appendix II).

Since the cost of periodic testing for fire safety was one of the principal objections of industry to HUD's proposed flame spread requirements, the need for periodic testing is being eliminated. However, in order to obtain a fair evaluation of fire safety, HUD now requires testing of four specimens by E 162 or three specimens by E 84. The results of the tests shall be averaged to obtain the flame spread rating for a product. As an alternate, the manufacturer may elect to run two successive full scale tests, both of which must be passed for the product to be acceptable. These tests need be conducted only once as prequalifying tests unless the manufacturer changes either the design or the materials formulations, or the Administrator/Validator or HUD requires additional tests.

9. Flame Spread. The views of the manufacturers of the two basic types of units (gel-coated and acrylic-coated) were in direct opposition to each other. The gel-coat group, representing all the acrylic bathtub and shower industry, recommended safer ratings than those proposed by HUD. The acrylic group stated that the proposed ratings were too stringent and would force their portion of the industry out of business. They contended that the ratings proposed by HUD would allow gel-coated units of greater flammability while still excluding the acrylic units. The progressively more stringent requirements for wall and ceiling portions of tub and shower enclosures was seen as posing a discriminatory constraint on the acrylic group. The group contended that there is little possibility in the near future of developing retardants which will not adversely affect other desirable performance characteristics of acrylics. Also, for gel-coated fixtures, they believed that the large proportion of alumina trihydrate filler required to achieve the proposed ratings below 100 would weaken the fixtures and cause hairline crazing.

HUD believes that attention to design features of the face can reduce the susceptibility of plastic units, especially those of acrylic) to fire hazards when tested by a full scale room fire tests.

The Department based its earlier proposed flame spread requirements for bath and shower units and ceilings on the recommendation of HUD's fire consultants. Their recommendations were based on data which indicated that flashover of a room could occur unless walls of conventional finish materials had a Flame Spread Classification (FSC) significantly below 200 or unless walls of plastics had a 100 FSC or less. In addition, the effect of the ceiling on enhancing fire development in a room indicated that a more stringent FSC of 50 would be appropriate for those bathtub and shower units which are an integral unit with the tub and walls (or separate).

In consideration of the alleged economic hardship on the acrylic portion of the bathtub industry and their technological potential, HUD now requires an average flame spread by E 84 or E 162 of 200 on the finish face (75 for units in Care-type housing and housing for the elderly), or passing two (2) successive full-scale room fire tests. It should be noted that the 75 flame spread limit is presently required in the Minimum Property Standards for Care-type Housing and Multifamily housing for the elderly and therefore is not a new requirement. These requirements will apply to the tub, enclosures and dome whether as an integral unit or segments.

Investigation into the acrylic industry's claims of discriminatory constraint of their portion of the industry yields counter information that the manufacturers of finished acrylic units could use acrylic-faced composite sheets in place of pure acrylic sheets with little or no economic hardship. Further, it appears there are other substitutes, such as urethane coatings, which could be used by the product manufacturers. At worst, the few producers of raw acrylic would possibly suffer a small diminution of their total acrylic sales. Counteracting this possible economic setback is the projected growth of the acrylic unit market.

In brief, HUD has seen no substantive evidence that acrylic bathtub and shower units cannot meet either a 150 flame spread requirement or a full-scale room test, which would be an insignificant economic hardship; in fact it appears that the gel-coated unit manufacturers may suffer greater economic losses while the consumer receives a potentially less fire-safe product now that HUD has accepted the less stringent requirements desired by the acrylic industry.

10. The National Fire Protection Association recommended that the ratings be reversed, i.e., that the more restrictive rating be on the back side. HUD believes the ANSI Z124.1 ignition requirements appear to provide adequate safety for the back side.

11. Two respondents pointed out that the earlier proposed flame spread requirements did not agree with those proposed 20 days earlier by HUD for Mobile Homes.

The Department's new flame spread requirements now agree with those in the present Mobile Home Standards.

The Department's new flame spread requirements now agree with those in the present Mobile Home Standards.
to apply until full-scale research or tests correlated with real fire situations are developed.

Revisions to the final requirements will be considered when additional data become available. Further delay is justified, however, especially considering that the final requirements are in general agreement with the MPS and the Mobile Home Standards.

13. Objections were raised to the proposed requirement for one set of tests for each segment when the plumbing unit is manufactured as separate segments (tubs, surrounds, and domes).

HUD now requires only one set of tests per plumbing unit regardless of the number of segments composing it if the individual segments are of the same composition as the segment tested. This conformity within a manufacturer's line shall be assured by ASTM D 2584 or other method as mentioned in item 6 above.

14. Smoke Generation. The industry again was divided over whether there was a need for a smoke limitation, and whether the proposed tests, NFPA 258, was appropriate. The majority believed in the need and concurred in the proposed test with a rating of 450. One respondent indicated the non-flaming mode should also be considered. The requirement for testing each individual segment of a unit was again objected to.

HUD requires a smoke rating by NFPA 258 not exceed 450 for the face side of the unit in the thickness manufactured. This rating will not solve the smoke problem but it will provide a modicum of smoke control. There does not appear to be justification for greater control until appropriately stringent smoke limits are required by major household furnishings which usually become involved in fire before the interior building materials.

For care-type housing, HUD believes a required smoke rating by NFPA 258 should not exceed 300. This is not optimum but it would provide some improvement in safety to the occupants of care-type housing who cannot easily escape from the smoke of a fire. However, in the absence of adequate technical support for a lower rating and in deference to the alleged limitations of the plastic industry, a smoke rating by NFPA 258 not to exceed 450 is also being required for care-type housing.

As in Item 13, only one set of smoke tests, regardless of the number of segments in the unit, are acceptable with appropriate quality assurance and control.

In the near future HUD may propose more restrictive smoke requirements, particularly for building products in general in multifamily housing, care-type housing, and housing for the elderly and handicapped. In anticipation of these requirements the Department suggests that the plastic plumbing fixture industry strive to obtain the lowest possible smoke ratings rather than the maximum limits stated in Table 1 above.

15. Ignition. The industry concurred in the continuation of the requirements of ANSI Z124.1 and Z124.2.

16. Continuing Inspection and Testing Program. Several respondents concurred in the need for a continuing program to maintain compliance, but with reservations about unnecessary testing.

The Department is continuing the existing certification and labeling programs, adding only the additional tests necessary to cover the fire safety requirements. Only one set of fire tests is required if appropriate quality assurance and control is provided by the manufacturer. These fire tests need not be repeated unless the product design and/or material formulation is changed.

17. Use of Materials Bulletin. Two responses urged the rapid development of a Use of Materials Bulletin. This has been accomplished and is attached herewith.

18. Labeling. The Society of the Plastics Industry and the acrylic committee supported a mandatory labeling program on plastic bathtub and shower units calling attention to the combustible nature of these products. Such HUD requirement for labeling presumably cases concern for potential conflict that an industry-generated labeling program would have with anti-trust regulations. Four responses, however, objected to the proposed requirement for warning of the possibility of ignition from heat sources such as radiators on the basis that test data from NBS suggests this would not occur and that it is unreasonable to require a label when products in the bathrooms such as towels are more susceptible to ignition and are not labeled.

HUD accepts these concerns and requires as a minimum that the label stipulates conformance to the HUD Use of Materials Bulletin and identifies the validating agency. The label may also include such other symbols, trademarks or trade names as are consistent with the nature and purposes of the certification imprints. For example, calling attention to the combustible nature of the product can be included on the label. However, as an option such a warning may be included in the instructional material furnished with the product.

19. One respondent noted it was redundant to require a notice in the installation instructions that walls hidden by the plastic bathtub and shower unit shall comply with the fire resistance requirements listed in Table 4–5.1 of the Minimum Property Standards, since the requirements are already in the MPS.

The Department deems it desirable to remind the installer, especially since he may be unaware of that requirement in the Minimum Property Standards.

20. Two respondents took exception to the proposed requirement for manufacturers to furnish company test reports for those items affixed herewith.

They claim it places the research and innovative manufacturer at an economic disadvantage to the manufacturer who relies on the findings of others.

HUD accepts these concerns and has removed this requirement.

HUD USE OF MATERIALS BULLETIN

Attached is a new HUD Use of Materials Bulletin No. 73 which is based on the results of the comments discussed above. It outlines the new HUD acceptance requirements for plastic bathtub, plastic shower stalls and receptacles, and plastic lavatories.

TRANSMISSION PROCEDURE

UM 73 will become mandatory one year after publication of this Federal Register Notice. In the interim, the following acceptance procedures shall be used by HUD/FHA field offices:

(a) Field offices may accept plastic plumbing fixtures labeled under either of the two existing certification and labeling programs for compliance with the appropriate ANSI A 124 Standard (NAHB Research Institute, or United States Testing Company).

(b) Field offices may accept products covered by existing Materials Releas.

(c) Field offices may accept products labeled by Administrator/Validators who have been determined acceptable under the new UM 73 provisions. Listings of accepted Administrator/Validators will be updated periodically under Appendix III of UM 73.

The following recommendations and comments are pertinent to the transition or interim period:

1. Where possible, field offices shall encourage producers/manufacturers to align themselves with testing laboratories willing to administer an administrator/validator program as outlined in Appendix III of UM 73.

2. Qualified parties interested in becoming program Administrator/Validators shall submit their programs to HUD. The following address for evaluation as soon as possible to allow sufficient time for Departmental review and determination prior to the mandatory implementation of the UM: Department of Housing and Urban Development, Architecture and Engineering Division, Office of Technical Support, Washington, D.C. 20411.
3. The existing Materials Release program for plastic bathtubs originally required that laboratory test reports be submitted to Central Office. HUD has eliminated this requirement. However, manufacturers shall continue to conduct tests and have test reports be available upon request. A Finding of Inapplicability respecting the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 has been made in accordance with HUD procedures. A copy of this Finding of Inapplicability will be available for public inspection during regular business hours in the Office of the Rules Docket Clerk, Office of the Secretary, Room 5218, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20411. It is hereby certified that the economic and inflationary impact have been carefully evaluated in accordance with Executive Order No. 11821.


LAWRENCE B. SIMONS, Assistant Secretary, Housing, Federal Housing Commissioner.

USE OF MATERIALS BULLETIN No. 73

From: Department of Housing and Urban Development, Federal Housing Administration.

To: Area Office Directors and Insuring Office Directors.

Subject: Plastic bathtubs, plastic shower stalls and receptors, and plastic lavatories. Cases and inspecting construction shall use the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 has been made in accordance with HUD procedures. A copy of this Finding of Inapplicability will be available for public inspection during regular business hours in the Office of the Rules Docket Clerk, Office of the Secretary, Room 5218, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20411. It is hereby certified that the economic and inflationary impact have been carefully evaluated in accordance with Executive Order No. 11821.


LAWRENCE B. SIMONS, Assistant Secretary, Housing, Federal Housing Commissioner.
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Subject: Plastic bathtubs, plastic shower stalls and receptors, and plastic lavatories. Cases and inspecting construction shall use the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 has been made in accordance with HUD procedures. A copy of this Finding of Inapplicability will be available for public inspection during regular business hours in the Office of the Rules Docket Clerk, Office of the Secretary, Room 5218, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20411. It is hereby certified that the economic and inflationary impact have been carefully evaluated in accordance with Executive Order No. 11821.
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LAWRENCE B. SIMONS, Assistant Secretary, Housing, Federal Housing Commissioner.
instructions and HUD’s Minimum Property Standards, as applicable.

(2) Installation instructions furnished by the manufacturer with each unit shall draw particular attention to the HUD requirement that all walls and floors surrounding the unit including those concealed from view behind the unit shall be constructed to comply with the fire rating requirements of the Minimum Property Standards. For example, in a duplex construction where the abutting wall is an interior fire wall, the fire rating of that wall must be 1 hour when tested by ASTM E 119. The manufacturer is urged to incorporate as much of the fire safety requirements from the MPS as practical in his installation instruction, rather than draw attention through reference only.

(3) The manufacturer shall also supply instructions for use by the homeowner which cover the care and maintenance of his product.

WARRANTY

A properly executed warranty against defects in workmanship and material for the units shall be furnished for the homeowner by the manufacturer. The warranty shall extend for a period of at least 3 years after installation.

The warranty shall provide for repair by the manufacturer of a faulty unit to the satisfaction of the homeowner, including labor and materials.

FACSIMILES OF LABELS FOR ACCEPTED ADMINISTRATOR/VALIDATOR PROGRAMS

Facsimiles of presently accepted Administrator/Validator labels are reproduced in Appendix III. Appendix III will be revised as additional Administrator/Validators are accepted, or as changes are made. Appropriate practices shall be reported by the Field office to the Architecture and Engineering Division, Department of Housing and Urban Development, Washington, D.C. 20411, for possible Federal action.

Appendix I

INTERIM STANDARD PLASTIC LAVATORIES WITH OR WITHOUT INTEGRAL TOPS

APPENDIX I FOR HUD/FHA USE OF MATERIALS

BULLETIN NO. 73

NOTE.—This Interim Standard will be replaced by ANSI Z 124.3 when it becomes available.

Department of HUD, Federal Housing Administration, Washington, D.C. 20411.

INTERIM STANDARD FOR PLASTIC LAVATORIES WITH OR WITHOUT INTEGRAL TOPS

1. Scope and Purpose

1.1 Scope. This standard covers physical requirements and test methods for performance pertaining to structure, water resistance and absorption, colorfastness, stain resistance, wear, cleanliness, and other significant properties, in addition to general requirements of materials and workmanship and finish of plastic lavatories.

1.2 Purpose. The purpose of the standard is to establish generally acceptable quality standards for plastic lavatories. Its purpose is also to serve as a guide for producers, distributors, architects, engineers, contractors, home builders, code authorities, and users, to promote understanding regarding materials, manufacture, and installation; to form a basis for fair competition; and to provide a basis for identifying lavatories that conform to this standard.

2. General Requirements

2.1 Materials. The units shall be made of filled or unfilled, reinforced or unreinforced, gel-coated or not gel-coated, cast-molded or formed plastic materials.

2.1.1 Reinforcing Materials. The reinforcing materials, if used, shall be a suitable commercial grade for the intended use.

2.1.2 Plastic Resins. The plastic resins used shall be of suitable commercial grades.

2.1.3 Fillers and Pigments. Fillers and pigments, if used, shall be of suitable commercial grades for the intended use.

2.1.4 Gel-Coat. Gel-coats, if used, shall be of uniform quality.

2.1.5 Supporting Structure. The material of the supporting structure integral with the unit and its attachment shall be adequate to resist anticipated conditions of use for a period of time at least equal to the period which the bowl unit is expected to satisfactorily resist its anticipated conditions of use.

2.2 Dimensional Tolerances. The finished dimensional tolerances for units shall be the manufacturer’s stated dimension ± 1/4 inch.

2.3 Conditioning of Samples for Testing. Units to be inspected and tested shall be conditioned for at least 30 days at a minimum room temperature of 80°F unless the manufacturer of the unit specifically waives this conditioning period.

3. Workmanship and Finish

3.1 Unit Preparation. The unit shall be installed according to the manufacturer’s instructions so as to simulate conditions of permanent installation. The unit shall be washed with a standard liquid detergent¹ and water solution, rinsed with clear water, and dried prior to the application of the ink and standard dirt as specified in 3.3.1 and 3.4.1.

3.2 Method of Inspection of Surface of Units. The surface of the unit shall be visually inspected for defects and blemishes from a distance of between one foot and two feet after being soiled or inked in accordance with the Ink Test (See 3.3.1) and the Standard Dirt Test (See 3.4.1). The light source shall be diffuse north daylight or substantially equivalent artificial light giving an illumination intensity near the surface to be inspected of between 100 and 200 foot-candles.

3.3 Surface Test.

3.3.1 Ink Test. Rub the surface of the unit, observable when installed, with a sponge and a 50-percent solution of tap water and water-soluble black or blue-black ink, after the unit has been washed and dried as described in Unit Preparation (See 3.1). When inspecting colored units, use a contrasting colored ink. Rinse the ink from the surface of the unit and dry before inspection.

3.3.2 Performance Requirement. The units shall be free from cracks, chipped areas, blisters, and surface porosity. The number and size of molding and other defects or blemishes shall not exceed those in Table 1. Such defects or blemishes are to be determined by visual inspection, as specified in 3.3.2 after surface conditioning and application of ink and Standard Dirt as specified in 3.3.1 and 3.4.1.

¹Standard liquid detergent consists of: Morex TKPE 8.0%, Sterox MJ-b 7.0%, Butyl Cellosolve 1.5%, Stepan EXS 8.0%, Water 75.5%.

*To hasten drying, surfaces may be wiped with a clean chamois skin.

Table 1. Allowable defects or blemishes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Defects and blemishes*</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Maximum number allowed per fixture</th>
<th>Maximum number allowed within any 3-in diameter toilet area below rim</th>
<th>Integral top</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cracks</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chipped areas</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blisters</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface porosity</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molding irregularities</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinholes and small specs**</td>
<td>Smaller than 1/4 in</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1/SP</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium specs**</td>
<td>1/4 to 1/2 in</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1/SP</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large specs**</td>
<td>Greater than 1/2 in</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Not applicable to unobservable portion of installed units.

** Specks. Particles of foreign matter which produce irregularities in the finished surface, not including specks or flecks incorporated in the unit to produce a decorative pattern.

NOTES

Cracks. Actual fractures at or beneath the finished surface of unit.

Chipped area. Any area in which the unit has been chipped.

Blisters. Unsupported rounded elevations of the finished surface.

Surface porosity. Presence of numerous voids in the finished surface of the unit.

Molding irregularities. Any visible distortion related to forming, such as dimple, dome, short, let-go, or sink-marks as defined in ASTM standard nomenclature for plastics, ASTM D 883-66T.

Pinholes. A very small hole in the finished surface of the unit.
3.4 Subsurface Test

3.4.1 Standard Dirt Test. One area of the unit, approximately 16 square inches inside the bowl, shall be conditioned by scrubbing at least 500 cycles by hand with a wet sponge and a household abrasive cleanser. Following the scrubbing, this area shall be rinsed with tap water, dried and soiled by the application of standard dirt. Apply about 5 grams of standard dirt to this scrubbed area and rub dirt with dampened chamois for about 25 cycles. After the dirt is allowed to dry for at least one hour, the area shall then be washed by rubbing with a clean, dampened chamois skin and standard liquid detergent before visual inspection.

3.4.2 Performance Requirement. There shall be no visible voids larger than \( \frac{1}{4} \) inch in diameter below the surface. The total allowable number of voids smaller than \( \frac{1}{4} \) inch for the scrubbed area is 4.

4. Structural Integrity of Complete Units

4.1 Unit Preparation. These load tests are to be performed on complete full-size units installed according to manufacturer’s directions so as to simulate conditions of permanent installation.

4.2 Drain Fitting Connection

4.2.1 Test Method. A 25-pound weight shall be applied by means of a lever arm 2 feet in length connected to the drain fitting and extending horizontally as shown in Figure 1. The arm and weight shall be placed in three radial positions, two of which shall be approximately 180 degrees apart.

4.2.2 Performance Requirement. Following the test in 4.2.1 there shall be no visible cracks in the unit when inspected with the fitting in place after again inking as described in 3.3.1.

4.3 Loads on Lavatory Top

4.3.1 Test Method. Units with integral tops and wall-hung units shall be subjected to concentrated loadings on two places on the top. Figure 2 illustrates the locations for the applied loadings. A load of 600 pounds shall be applied to the top of the unit in two places as indicated in Figure 2. The load shall be applied on a 3-inch-diameter weight distribution disc covered by a \( \frac{3}{8} \)-inch thickness of sponge rubber or other suitable soft material between the disc and the surface being loaded. The load shall remain in place for not less than one nor more than two minutes. Measure the residual deformation not more than 10 minutes after removal of the load with a device having a least reading of 0.001 inch.

4.4 Unit Preparation. These load tests are to be performed on complete full-size units installed according to manufacturer’s directions so as to simulate conditions of permanent installation.

4.2 Drain Fitting Connection

4.2.1 Test Method. A 25-pound weight shall be applied by means of a lever arm 2 feet in length connected to the drain fitting and extending horizontally as shown in Figure 1. The arm and weight shall be placed in three radial positions, two of which shall be approximately 180 degrees apart.

4.2.2 Performance Requirement. Following the test in 4.2.1 there shall be no visible cracks in the unit when inspected with the fitting in place after again inking as described in 3.3.1.

4.3 Loads on Lavatory Top

4.3.1 Test Method. Units with integral tops and wall-hung units shall be subjected to concentrated loadings on two places on the top. Figure 2 illustrates the locations for the applied loadings. A load of 600 pounds shall be applied to the top of the unit in two places as indicated in Figure 2. The load shall be applied on a 3-inch-diameter weight distribution disc covered by a \( \frac{3}{8} \)-inch thickness of sponge rubber or other suitable soft material between the disc and the surface being loaded. The load shall remain in place for not less than one nor more than two minutes. Measure the residual deformation not more than 10 minutes after removal of the load with a device having a least reading of 0.001 inch.

4.4 Unit Preparation. These load tests are to be performed on complete full-size units installed according to manufacturer’s directions so as to simulate conditions of permanent installation.

4.2 Drain Fitting Connection

4.2.1 Test Method. A 25-pound weight shall be applied by means of a lever arm 2 feet in length connected to the drain fitting and extending horizontally as shown in Figure 1. The arm and weight shall be placed in three radial positions, two of which shall be approximately 180 degrees apart.

4.2.2 Performance Requirement. Following the test in 4.2.1 there shall be no visible cracks in the unit when inspected with the fitting in place after again inking as described in 3.3.1.

4.3 Loads on Lavatory Top

4.3.1 Test Method. Units with integral tops and wall-hung units shall be subjected to concentrated loadings on two places on the top. Figure 2 illustrates the locations for the applied loadings. A load of 600 pounds shall be applied to the top of the unit in two places as indicated in Figure 2. The load shall be applied on a 3-inch-diameter weight distribution disc covered by a \( \frac{3}{8} \)-inch thickness of sponge rubber or other suitable soft material between the disc and the surface being loaded. The load shall remain in place for not less than one nor more than two minutes. Measure the residual deformation not more than 10 minutes after removal of the load with a device having a least reading of 0.001 inch.

4.4 Unit Preparation. These load tests are to be performed on complete full-size units installed according to manufacturer’s directions so as to simulate conditions of permanent installation.

4.2 Drain Fitting Connection

4.2.1 Test Method. A 25-pound weight shall be applied by means of a lever arm 2 feet in length connected to the drain fitting and extending horizontally as shown in Figure 1. The arm and weight shall be placed in three radial positions, two of which shall be approximately 180 degrees apart.

4.2.2 Performance Requirement. Following the test in 4.2.1 there shall be no visible cracks in the unit when inspected with the fitting in place after again inking as described in 3.3.1.

4.3 Loads on Lavatory Top

4.3.1 Test Method. Units with integral tops and wall-hung units shall be subjected to concentrated loadings on two places on the top. Figure 2 illustrates the locations for the applied loadings. A load of 600 pounds shall be applied to the top of the unit in two places as indicated in Figure 2. The load shall be applied on a 3-inch-diameter weight distribution disc covered by a \( \frac{3}{8} \)-inch thickness of sponge rubber or other suitable soft material between the disc and the surface being loaded. The load shall remain in place for not less than one nor more than two minutes. Measure the residual deformation not more than 10 minutes after removal of the load with a device having a least reading of 0.001 inch.

4.4 Unit Preparation. These load tests are to be performed on complete full-size units installed according to manufacturer’s directions so as to simulate conditions of permanent installation.

4.2 Drain Fitting Connection

4.2.1 Test Method. A 25-pound weight shall be applied by means of a lever arm 2 feet in length connected to the drain fitting and extending horizontally as shown in Figure 1. The arm and weight shall be placed in three radial positions, two of which shall be approximately 180 degrees apart.

4.2.2 Performance Requirement. Following the test in 4.2.1 there shall be no visible cracks in the unit when inspected with the fitting in place after again inking as described in 3.3.1.

4.3 Loads on Lavatory Top

4.3.1 Test Method. Units with integral tops and wall-hung units shall be subjected to concentrated loadings on two places on the top. Figure 2 illustrates the locations for the applied loadings. A load of 600 pounds shall be applied to the top of the unit in two places as indicated in Figure 2. The load shall be applied on a 3-inch-diameter weight distribution disc covered by a \( \frac{3}{8} \)-inch thickness of sponge rubber or other suitable soft material between the disc and the surface being loaded. The load shall remain in place for not less than one nor more than two minutes. Measure the residual deformation not more than 10 minutes after removal of the load with a device having a least reading of 0.001 inch.

4.4 Unit Preparation. These load tests are to be performed on complete full-size units installed according to manufacturer’s directions so as to simulate conditions of permanent installation.
FIGURE 1. Load Test for Drain Fitting Connection

Unit installed in accordance with manufacturer's instructions

FIGURE 2. Locations of Concentrated Loadings for Units and Integral Tops and Wall Hung Units

Locations for Concentrated Loadings

Unit installed in accordance with manufacturer's instructions
NOTES

4.2.2 Performance Requirement. Following the test in 4.3.1 there shall be no cracks in the surface of the unit when inspected after again inking as described in 3.3.1. The maximum residual deflection shall remain 10 minutes after removal of the load shall not exceed 0.005 inch.

4.4.1 Impact Loads

4.4.1 Test Method. A 1 1/4-inch diameter, 1/4-pound steel ball shall be dropped from a height of 24 inches to strike three different points inside the unit, and three places on the rim. A 1-inch diameter, 1/4-pound steel ball shall be dropped from a height of 24 inches to strike three different points inside the bowl.

5.1 Water Resistance

5.1.1 Test Method. A new lavatory bowl shall be used for this test. Fill bowl to approximately one-inch from the rim with distilled water at room temperature. If an overflow drain is contained in the unit, the overflow procedure shall be nonreacting material. Elevate the water temperature. While continuously stirring to 150±1° F. Maintain this water temperature for 4 hours or a change in color. Specimens not staining at this point shall have a rating of 1, nonstaining.

5.2 Performance Requirement. No specimens shall show an appreciable change in color or surface texture when compared to the control specimen.

5.2.1 Test Method. Cut three test specimens from different areas in the unit. At least two of these will be removed from the bowl below the overflow rim. These three specimens shall be prepared, conditioned, and tested in accordance with USA Standard Method of Test for Water Absorption of Plastics, K65.16-1965 (ASTM D 570-63).

Specimens shall be conditioned in accordance with Paragraph 5.2(a) and test procedure shall be in accordance with Paragraph 5.2(a) of USA Standard K65.16-1965 with the exception that each specimen shall be weighed within 50 seconds after being removed from the water. Percentage increase in weight shall be calculated to the nearest 0.01 percent.

5.2.2 Performance Requirement. No specimens shall absorb water in excess of 0.50 percent in 24 hours when tested in accordance with 5.2.1.

5.3 Colorfastness

5.3.1 Test Method. Four specimens (one to be used as a control specimen) shall be cut from unit. Three specimens shall be tested in xenon-arc equipment for testing the resistance of surface coating to weather.

Black panel temperature shall be maintained at 150°F±1°F (65°C±5°C). Humidity need not be controlled. Specimens shall be exposed to the ultraviolet radiation using clear glass filters for 200 hours, then compared visually with the control specimen.

5.4 Stain Resistance

5.4.1 Test Method. Specimens shall be cut from unit and conditioned by wet rubbing with household scouring powder and cheesecloth using at least 20 scrub cycles. Apply approximately two drops of each liquid reagent listed below and a similar amount of water to the test specimen(s). Conduct one test with each reagent covered with a small watch glass to prevent contamination of other test specimen(s). Allow the specimen(s) to remain for the prescribed time duration at a temperature of 73±2°F (23°C±2°C) and a relative humidity of 50±5 percent. At the end of the specified time interval, remove the excess reagents by blotting lightly with a paper towel.

5.4.1.1 Reagents and time

- Black liquid shoe polish, 16 hrs.
- Gentian violet solution, 18 hrs.
- Lipstick (contrasting color), 16 hrs.
- Hair dye (contrasting color), 16 hrs.
- Iodine solution (alcohol containing 1 percent iodine), 5 min.
- Iodine solution (alcohol containing 1 percent iodine), 4 hrs.
- Iodine solution (alcohol containing 1 percent iodine), 18 hrs.

The test specimen(s) shall be subjected to cleansing tests immediately after the period shown above and rated at that time. Visual inspection of staining shall be in accordance with Paragraph 5.2.2. Specimens not staining at this point shall have a rating of 1, nonstaining.

(2) Stains present after initial water wash shall be washed with alcohol (commercial rubbing alcohol) or naphtha (lighter fluid) using cheesecloth with 20 scrub cycles with normal hand pressure. Dry by blotting. A stain is defined as a change in surface texture or a change in color. Specimens not staining at this point shall have a rating of 1, nonstaining.

(3) Stains present after above cleanings, shall be scrubbed 20 scrub cycles with household scouring powder and wet cheesecloth with normal hand pressure. Wash with tap water and dry by blotting. Reduction of gloss due to scrubbing with household scouring powder does not constitute staining. Specimens whose stain is removed by household scouring powder shall have a rating of 4, removability by household scouring powder.

(4) Stain present after above cleanings shall be removed additional 40 scrub cycles with household scouring powder and wet cheesecloth using normal hand pressure. Wash with tap water and dry by blotting. Reduction of gloss due to scrubbing with household scouring powder does not constitute staining. Specimens whose stain is removed by household scouring powder shall have a rating of 4, removability by household scouring powder.

- Bon Ami was used in preparing this test method.
- Avon lipstick was used in preparing this test method.
- Miss Clair air hair dye was used in preparing this test method.

5.5 Cigarette Burn Resistance

5.5.1 Test Method. Performance Requirement. The average thickness of gel-coated material removed to eliminate the stain shall not exceed 0.005 inches. Furthermore, there shall be no objectionable surface distortion in the stain area for either gel-coated or non-gel-coated units.

5.6 Cleanability and Wear

5.6.1 Specimen Preparation. Cut three test specimens from the bottom areas of the unit. Place the cigarettes on the specimens with the lighted end approximately one inch from the edge. Allow the cigarettes to burn for 5 minutes. 15 seconds after each cigarette, specimens shall be washed with alcohol (commercial rubbing alcohol) or naphtha (lighter fluid) using cheesecloth with 20 scrub cycles with normal hand pressure. Dry by blotting. A stain is defined as a change in surface texture or a change in color. Specimens not staining at this point shall have a rating of 1, nonstaining.

(2) Stains present after initial water wash shall be washed with alcohol (commercial rubbing alcohol) or naphtha (lighter fluid) using cheesecloth with 20 scrub cycles with normal hand pressure. Wash with tap water and dry by blotting. Reduction of gloss due to scrubbing with household scouring powder does not constitute staining. Specimens whose stain is removed by household scouring powder shall have a rating of 4, removability by household scouring powder.

(3) Stains present after above cleanings shall be removed additional 40 scrub cycles with household scouring powder and wet cheesecloth using normal hand pressure. Wash with tap water and dry by blotting. Reduction of gloss due to scrubbing with household scouring powder does not constitute staining. Specimens whose stain is removed by household scouring powder shall have a rating of 4, removability by household scouring powder.

(4) Stain present after above cleanings shall be removed additional 40 scrub cycles with household scouring powder and wet cheesecloth using normal hand pressure. Wash with tap water and dry by blotting. Reduction of gloss due to scrubbing with household scouring powder does not constitute staining. Specimens whose stain is removed by household scouring powder shall have a rating of 4, removability by household scouring powder.

- The average thickness of gel-coated material removed to eliminate the stain shall not exceed 0.005 inches. Furthermore, there shall be no objectionable surface distortion in the stain area for either gel-coated or non-gel-coated units.

5.6.2 Test Method. The test equipment is a modification of a heavy-duty wear tester. The abrasive slurry is contained in a 8-inch-diameter by 12-inch-high glass jar or equivalent with cover to accept tubes and
motor-driven stirring apparatus sufficient to maintain particulate matter in suspension. Extend 1/8-inch I.D. vinyl laboratory tubes from the abrasive slurry container through the inside of each stirring pump or equivalent to each of the brush holders on the scrub tester. Special 1/2 x 3 1/2-inch horn bristle brushes are required for this test. Each brush block shall contain 58 bristles placed in alternate rows of five and four bristles each which are staggered, resulting in nine lanes of bristles in the direction of brush travel. Each brush shall contain 54 NO. 40 (drill size) holes through the brush block and adjacent to each bristle to allow the abrasive slurry to feed through each bristle area. Brush blocks shall not be allowed to wear to a total length of less than 1/2 inch.

(1) Mix abrasive slurry consisting of 3000, ml tap water; 15 g sodium carboxy-methyl cellulose; 60 g trisodium phosphate (N3P94; 12 H2O); 2,700 g 160-mesh pottery flint or ground quartz. Flow rate of the slurry shall be 3 to 3.5 ml/min through each tube. To check flow rate, run pump until no further bubbles are visible in tubing, then place each tube in a separate 200-ml graduate and run water through the tube. Add tops of antifoam and flow warm water on outside of cylinders to break any foam which may be present in the slurry. Level in each cylinder should be 30 to 35 ml.

(3) Clamp specimens in specimen trays and shim end plates so that they are level and at the same height as the specimen. Start pump and make certain slurry is free for the passage of slurry. Follow table below for changing brushes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cycles</th>
<th>Brush numbers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3000</td>
<td>1 2 3 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3000 to 6000</td>
<td>1 2 3 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6000 to 9000</td>
<td>4 1 2 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9000 to 12000</td>
<td>2 4 1 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12000 to 18000</td>
<td>2 3 4 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(3) Again measure white light reflectance as before to obtain the average of three readings for each specimen and determine the absolute percentage loss in reflectance from the averages of the first readings.

(4) If the average absolute percentage loss of white light reflectance is greater than 2 percent but less than 5 percent, give the specimen an additional cleaning by rubbing with a cleaned dampened chamois skin and abrasive dust or with a clean dampened chamois skin and standard liquid detergent for about 50 cycles. Rinse specimens in tap water and allow to dry.

(5) Again measure white light reflectance as before, taking a total of three readings, and determine the absolute percentage loss in reflectance from the average of the first readings.

5.64 Performance Requirement. Each specimen shall withstand 12,000 cycles without wear-through of the gel-coat (if gel-coated) or if not gel-coated, maximum wear depth shall not exceed 0.020 inch. In addition, the specimen shall pass the cleanability test with an absolute percentage loss of white light reflectance of no more than 2 percent and the additional cleaning with abrasive slurry.
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Appendix II

HUD/FHA INTERIM STANDARD FULL SCALE FIRE SAFETY ROOM TEST FOR PLASTIC BATHTUBS AND SHOWER UNITS

1. Purpose
To provide a full scale fire safety test method as an alternate to ASTM E 84 and E 162 flame spread tests, for determining the fire safety acceptability of plastic bathtubs and shower units in connection with HUD/FHA Use of Materials Bulletin No. 73.

2. Test Set-Up
(a) Test Room.—The full scale test room shall measure 8 feet by 12 feet, and shall have an 8 foot ceiling, one end of the otherwise totally closed room shall have a 40 inch wide by 84 inch high door and observation opening centered in the 8 foot wide wall.

Walls and ceilings shall be constructed of 1/4 inch unpainted asbestos millboard or 1/4 inch gypsum wallboard securely attached to suitable framing. A service door of convenient size may be provided if necessary. It shall be kept tightly closed during tests. See Figure 1.

(b) Test Unit.—The plastic bathtub or shower units being tested shall be installed in either corner opposite the observation opening. If enclosed walls or domed ceiling are provided with the unit, they shall be installed in a manner simulating actual residential construction. The narrow space between the test unit and the 12 foot sidewalk shall not be filled, sealed or taped, and the space shall be kept to the smallest possible opening. A wall shall be erected at the exposed end of the test unit opposite the corner, if such wall is normally included in the installation for the unit. The end wall shall be relocated so as to be one inch from the face of the unit. Six thermocouples shall be located in the 30 inch wide doorway on the vertical centerline and located at 3, 19, 35, 51, 67 and 83 inches from the floor.

4. Ignition Source
The ignition source shall consist of a 1.75 gallon rectangular plastic wastebasket (Rubbermaid No. 2952) filled with water and a quart size empty conventional milk cartons made of cardboard with a low molecular weight polyethylene coating. They shall be purchased in their flat folded condition. Half of the cartons shall be opened up and placed upright in the waste container. The bottom and tops of these cartons shall not be replaced, thus forming a lattice. The other six cartons shall be randomly torn up into two or three inch squares and dropped into the standing cartons.

The wastebasket ignition source shall be placed with zero clearance in the corner formed by the 12 foot wallboard sidewall which is in contact with one end of the bathtub or shower stall test unit and the exposed front skirting of the test unit. The wastebasket shall be mechanically held in place for the duration of the test. As mentioned above, the narrow space between the unit and the sidewalk shall be kept to the smallest possible opening.

Laboratory experience with the waste container ignition source has proven it to be dependable and uniform ignition device. There is little smoke contributed, the course of burning is uniform, and failure of ignition has not occurred. The 1.75 gallon ignition source normally produces a maximum flame height of about three feet from the bottom of the container. Such an ignition device is believed to represent a reasonable and dependable ignition source which could actually exist in a residence.
5. Test Procedure

In order to begin the test, the last torn piece of carton is lighted with a match and dropped into one of the upstanding open cartons in the middle of the wastebasket. This carton should not be completely filled. Time zero is counted from the moment that flames are first visible above the rim of the container. If there is violent flaming during the test, the fire is extinguished using a 1/2 inch water hose with fog nozzle. Such behavior no longer represents preflashover intensity, and shall constitute failure of the test. Otherwise, the fire is allowed to go out by itself, which may take as long as 30 minutes.

6. Condition of Acceptance

Test units shall be considered as having passed the test if the following conditions result from the test:

(a) There is no excessive burning of the test unit as described in the previous paragraph.
(b) Flames (resulting from the combustion of the test unit as ignited by the wastebasket ignition source) do not extend along the ceiling and out through the 30 inch by 84 inch door opening.
(c) The average maximum temperature of the five ceiling thermocouples does not exceed 500°C.

7. Test Reports

Test reports shall include the following information:
(a) Preparation of test specimens.
(b) Description of the room test set-up with details, drawings, and photographs.
(c) Test observations commencing with wastebasket ignition and ending with a final description of panels after all combustion ceases.
(d) Maximum temperatures recorded at each of the five ceiling thermocouples.
(e) Continuous recording for the duration of the test of the six thermocouples in the doorway.
(f) Statement on passing or failing of the test unit according to Paragraph 6.
(g) Photographic records of the test.
(h) The seal of the laboratory, the signature of the test supervisor, and the signature of the laboratory head of the laboratory report as certification regarding the accuracy of the test and the test results.

8. Testing Laboratory

Tests shall be conducted by a qualified independent laboratory accepted by the Administrator/Validator.
FIGURE NO. 1

Ignition Source: (1.75 gallon waste basket, zero clearance to test assembly & wall.)

Asbestos Millboard or
gypsum wallboard

8'-0" Ceiling

Door

(Door: 2'-6" Wide by 7'-0" High)
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3. Standards

The standards and tests for use with this program are those described in the Reference Standards section of HUD/FHA Use of Materials Bulletin No. 73.

3. Sampling and Examination

The following outlines a minimum acceptable certification and labeling program for compliance with the provisions of UM Bulletin No. 73. Testing frequencies may be increased at the discretion of the Administrator/Validator depending on testing results and the manufacturer’s quality control program.

(a) The manufacturer shall maintain a quality control system acceptable to the Administrator/Validator that will assure continuing conformity to these requirements.

(b) All units to be tested shall be selected by the Administrator/Validator. Specimens of units tested shall be retained for a period of 1 year by the Administrator/Validator.

(c) Complete drawings shall be submitted to the Administrator/Validator and to the testing laboratory before testing.

(d) As a minimum, specified tests shall be conducted as follows:

Part 1—For conformity with ANSI Z 124:

1. At intervals of approximately 30 calendar days, or 300 production units, whichever comes first, tests covering the following items and specified in the appropriate Standard for the product shall be conducted:

(a) Workmanship and finish.

(b) Structural integrity of complete units.

2. At intervals of approximately every 120 calendar days or 1000 production units, whichever comes first, all tests specified in the Standard for the product shall be conducted.

Part 2—for compliance with Fire Safety Testing:

1. Tests a and b required by the UM Bulletin are prequalifying tests and need to be conducted only once unless the manufacturer changes either the design or the material formulations, or the Administrator/Validator requires additional tests.

2. Ignition loss tests 3 a and b shall be conducted as required by the Administrator/Validator.

4. Evidence of Certification

Label of certification shall indicate:

(a) Identification of Administrator/Validator.

(b) Manufacturer’s certification of conformity to Use of Materials Bulletin No. 73, and, if desired, to the appropriate ANSI Standard.

5. Identification

Production units shall be identifiable after installation by a permanent label. The following information shall be included:

Manufacturer’s name, date of manufacture, and unit number. This information may be coded.

6. Facsimiles of Labels for Accepted Administrator/Validator Programs

Facsimiles of presently accepted Administrator/Validator labels are reproduced below. This Appendix may be revised as additional Administrator/Validators are accepted, or changes are made. Improper practices shall be reported by the field office to the Architecture and Engineering Division, Department of Housing and Urban Development, Washington, D.C. for possible Federal action.
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DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

ALASKA

Opportunity for Public Hearing and Repudication of Notice of Proposed Withdrawal

APRIL 14, 1978.

The Forest Service, Department of Agriculture has filed an application, serial No. AA-6060, on October 22, 1977, for a withdrawal in relation to the following described lands:

CHUGACH CREEK ROAD TRAVEL INFUENCE ZONE AND RECREATION AREA

CHUGACH NATIONAL FOREST Seward

MERIDIAN, ALASKA

T. 11 N., R. 2 E.

sec. 34, SE\1/4SW\4, SW\4SE\4, NE\4SW\4, NE\4NW\4, sec. 27, SE\4SW\4, and that portion of NE\1/4SW\4 not included in patented Mineral Survey No. 753, Crow Creek Mining Co.

Containing approximately 270 acres. Located 5 miles northeast of the townsite of Girdwood, Alaska, on the Crow Creek Road.

The applicant desires that the land be closed from operation of the mining laws and reserved as the Crow Creek Road Travel Influence Zone and Recreation Area.


Pursuant to section 204(h) of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976, 90 Stat. 2754, notice is hereby given that an opportunity for a public hearing is afforded in connection with the pending withdrawal application. All interested persons who desire to be heard on the proposed withdrawal must file a written request for a hearing with the State Director, Bureau of Land Management, 555 Cordova Street, Pouch 7-512, Anchorage, Alaska 99510 on or before June 1, 1978. Notice of the public hearing will be published in the Alaska Federal Register giving the time and place of such hearing. The hearing will be scheduled and conducted in accordance with BLM Manual 2351.16 B. All previous comments submitted in connection with the withdrawal application have been included in the record and will be considered in making a final determination on the application.

In lieu of or in addition to attendance at a scheduled public hearing, written comments or objections to the pending withdrawal application may be filed with the undersigned authorized officer of the Bureau of Land Management on or before June 1, 1978.

The above-described lands are temporarily segregated from the operation of the public land laws, including the mining and mineral leasing laws, to the extent that the withdrawal applied for, if and when effected, would prevent any form of disposal or appropriation under such laws. Current administrative jurisdiction over the segregated lands will not be affected by the temporary segregation. In accordance with section 204(g) of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976, the segregative effect of the pending withdrawal application will terminate on October 20, 1991, unless sooner terminated by action of the Secretary of the Interior.

All communications except for public hearing requests in connection with the pending withdrawal application should be addressed to the Chief, Branch of Lands and Minerals Operations, Alaska State Office, Bureau of Land Management, Department of the
NOTICES

Mescalero Sands South Dune Area A, New Mexico

Off-Road Vehicles on Public Lands Closure Notice

Notice is hereby given relating to the use of off-road vehicles on public lands under the authority of Executive Order 11644 and related amendments published in the Federal Register on May 25, 1977, and regulations contained in 43 CFR Part 6010.4. The following described land under administration of the Bureau of Land Management is designated as closed to off-road vehicle use.

Mescalero Sands South Dune Area A is designated as closed to vehicle use. The Mescalero Sands Recreation Complex is located approximately 33 miles east of Roswell, N. Mex. The area within Mescalero Sands known as South Dune Area A is closed to vehicles in order to protect the unique scenic, cultural, biological, and geological values of the area. It contains approximately 1920 acres.

The designation becomes effective April 27, 1978, and supersedes a similar notice published in the Federal Register on Thursday, December 22, 1977. This notice shall remain in effect until final regulations pertaining to the use of off-road vehicles are published in the Federal Register. A map of the area affected by this designation is available from the Roswell District Office, Bureau of Land Management, P.O. Box 1397, Roswell, N. Mex. 88201.

Roy K. Stovall
Acting District Manager

April 19, 1978.

New Mexico

Applications

April 19, 1978

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to section 28 of the Mineral Leasing act of 1920 (30 U.S.C. 185), as amended by the Act of November 16, 1973 (87 Stat. 576), Gas Company of New Mexico has applied for three 2-inch and one 4-inch natural gas pipeline rights-of-way across the following land:

New Mexico Principal Meridian, New Mexico

T. 32 N., R. 11 W., Sec. 7, 10, 15; SW 1/4 SE 1/4, 10.6
Sec. 8. NE 1/4 SE 1/4
Sec. 9, 10, 11, 12, NE 1/4 SE 1/4
Sec. 14, NE 1/4 NE 1/4

These pipelines will convey natural gas across 0.171 miles of public land in Rio Arriba County, N. Mex.

The purpose of this notice is to inform the public that the Bureau will be proceeding with consideration of whether the applications should be approved, and if so, under what terms and conditions.

Interested persons desiring to express their views should promptly send their name and address to the District Manager, Bureau of Land Management, P.O. Box 6770, Albuquerque, N. Mex. 87107.

Fred E. Padilla
Chief, Branch of Lands and Minerals Operations.

[FR Doc. 78-11396 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]

New Mexico

Application

April 19, 1978

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to section 28 of the Mineral Leasing act of 1920 (30 U.S.C. 185), as amended by the Act of November 16, 1973 (87 Stat. 576), Gas Company of New Mexico has applied for one 4-inch natural gas pipeline right-of-way across the following land:

New Mexico Principal Meridian, New Mexico

T. 26 N., R. 6 W., Sec. 4, S 1/4 NE 1/4
Sec. 7, SE 1/4 SE 1/4
Sec. 11, NE 1/4 NE 1/4
Sec. 14, NE 1/4 NE 1/4

This pipeline will convey natural gas across 0.440 miles of public land in Rio Arriba County, N. Mex.

The purpose of this notice is to inform the public that the Bureau will be proceeding with consideration of whether the applications should be approved, and if so, under what terms and conditions.

Interested persons desiring to express their views should promptly send their name and address to the District Manager, Bureau of Land Management, P.O. Box 6770, Albuquerque, N. Mex. 87107.

Fred E. Padilla
Chief, Branch of Lands and Minerals Operations.

[FR Doc. 78-11398 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]

New Mexico

Application

April 19, 1978

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to section 28 of the Mineral Leasing act of 1920 (30 U.S.C. 185), as amended by the Act of November 16, 1973 (87 Stat. 576), El Paso Natural Gas Co. has applied for two 4 1/2-inch natural gas pipelines rights-of-way across the following lands:

New Mexico Principal Meridian, New Mexico

T. 29 N., R. 8 W., Sec. 15, S 1/4 NW 1/4 and NE 1/4 SW 1/4
T. 32 N., R. 11 W., Sec. 29, SW 1/4 SW 1/4

These pipelines will convey natural gas across 0.440 miles of public land in San Juan County, N. Mex.

The purpose of this notice is to inform the public that the Bureau will be proceeding with consideration of whether the applications should be approved, and if so, under what terms and conditions.

Interested persons desiring to express their views should promptly send their name and address to the District Manager, Bureau of Land Management, P.O. Box 6770, Albuquerque, N. Mex. 87107.

Fred E. Padilla
Chief, Branch of Lands and Minerals Operations.

[FR Doc. 78-11401 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]
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3. INDIAN MERIDIAN, OKLAHOMA

T. 21 N., R. 22 E.,
Sec. 1, lots 1, 2, 3, S% of lot 4, SE\%NE\% 4,
SW NW\%4, W NW SE\%4, W NW\%4,
Sec. 2, SW SE\%4;
Sec. 10, SW NW\%4 NE\%4, W NW\%4,
N NW\%4, E SW SE\%4, W NW\%4,
Sec. 11, NW NW\%4 NE\%4, N NW\%4,
S NW\%4, SE\%4;
Sec. 12, E NW\%4 NE\%4, NW NW\%4,
N NW\%4, E NW\%4,
N NW\%4, SW NW\%4,
Sec. 13, S NW\%4, NW\%4,
SW\%4,
SE\%4,
NW SE\%4;
Sec. 14, E NW\%4 NW\%4, E NW\%4,
N NW\%4 SE\%4, W NW\%4;
Sec. 15, N NW\%4 NE\%4, E SE\%4,
NW SE\%4, NW\%4;
Sec. 16, N NW\%4 NE\%4, E NW\%4,
NW SE\%4, NW\%4;
Sec. 22, NW NW\%4 NW\%4, NW\%4,
NW\%4, SE\%4;
Sec. 23, NW NW\%4 NW\%4, NW\%4,
NW\%4, SE\%4;
Sec. 24, E SE\%4, SW NW\%4,
W NW\%4, SE\%4;
Sec. 25, NW NW\%4, NW\%4,
NW\%4, SE\%4;
Sec. 26, W NW\%4, W NW\%4;
Sec. 27, N NW\%4, NW\%4;
Sec. 28, NW NW\%4 NW\%4, NW\%4,
NW\%4, SE\%4;
Sec. 29, NW NW\%4 NW\%4, NW\%4,
NW\%4, SE\%4;
Sec. 30, E NW\%4 NW\%4, NW\%4,
NW\%4, SE\%4;
Sec. 31, NW NW\%4 NW\%4, NW\%4,
NW\%4, SE\%4;
Sec. 32, NW NW\%4 NW\%4, NW\%4,
NW\%4, SE\%4;
Sec. 33, NW NW\%4 NW\%4, NW\%4,
NW\%4, SE\%4;

T. 21 N., R. 23 E.,
Sec. 1, lot 1, E of lot 2, N, 1/4 ac of NW\%4,
SW NW\%4, NW\%4, SE\%4;
Sec. 2, lots 3, 4, SE\%4, NW\%4,
SW NW\%4, NW\%4,
Sec. 3, SE\%4, SE\%4,
Sec. 4, lot 2, SW SE\%4 and NW\%4;
Sec. 6, lots 1, 2, 3, NW\%4,
SE\%4 and SE\%4;
Sec. 9, E of NW\%4, W NW\%4,
NW\%4, SW NW\%4, NW\%4,
Sec. 12, NW\%4 NW\%4, SW NW\%4;
Sec. 13, NW\%4 NW\%4, NW\%4,
SW NW\%4, NW\%4;
Sec. 14, NW\%4 NW\%4, NW\%4,
NW\%4, SW NW\%4, NW\%4;
Sec. 15, NW\%4, NW\%4, SW NW\%4,
NW\%4, SW NW\%4, NW\%4;
Sec. 16, NW\%4, SW NW\%4, NW\%4,
NW\%4, SW NW\%4, NW\%4;
Sec. 17, NW\%4, SW NW\%4, NW\%4,
NW\%4, SW NW\%4, NW\%4;
Sec. 18, lots 1, 2, 3, NW\%4,
SW NW\%4, NW\%4, SW NW\%4, NW\%4,
Sec. 19, lots 1, 2, 3, NW\%4,
SW NW\%4, NW\%4, SW NW\%4, NW\%4,
Sec. 20, NW\%4 and SE\%4; 
Sec. 21, NW\%4,
Sec. 22, NW\%4 NW\%4, SW NW\%4,
Sec. 23, NW\%4 NW\%4, SW NW\%4,
Sec. 24, NW\%4 NW\%4, SW NW\%4,
Sec. 25, NW\%4 NW\%4, SW NW\%4,
Sec. 26, NW\%4, SW NW\%4,
Sec. 27, NW\%4 NW\%4, SW NW\%4,
Sec. 28, NW\%4 NW\%4, SW NW\%4,
Sec. 29, NW\%4 NW\%4, SW NW\%4,
Sec. 30, E NW\%4 NW\%4, NW\%4,
NW\%4, SW NW\%4, NW\%4,
Sec. 31, NW\%4 NW\%4, SW NW\%4,
Sec. 32, NW\%4 NW\%4, SW NW\%4,
Sec. 33, NW\%4 NW\%4, SW NW\%4,
Sec. 34, NW\%4 NW\%4, SW NW\%4,
NOTICES

OUTER CONTINENTAL SHELF

Approval of Official Protraction Diagrams

1. Notice is hereby given that, effective with this publication, the following OCS Official Protraction Diagrams, approved on the dates indicated, are available for information in the Outer Continental Shelf Office, Bureau of Land Management, Anchorage, Alaska. In accordance with title 43, Code of Federal Regulations, these protraction diagrams are the basic record for the description of mineral and oil and gas lease offers in the geographic area they represent.

OUTER CONTINENTAL SHELF PROTRACTION DIAGRAMS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Approval date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NM 2-2 Maury Deep</td>
<td>Oct. 12, 1977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NM 59-2</td>
<td>Dec. 1, 1977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NN 1-5 Pochmol Trough</td>
<td>Oct. 12, 1977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NN 1-6 Korovin Canyon</td>
<td>Do.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NN 2-5 Amsia Knoll</td>
<td>Do.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NN 3-6 Segam</td>
<td>Do.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NN 3-7 Sanak Bank</td>
<td>Do.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NN 3-8</td>
<td>Dec. 1, 1977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NN 4-8 Derikson Seamount</td>
<td>Oct. 12, 1977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NN 4-9 Walls Knoll</td>
<td>Do.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NN 4-10</td>
<td>Do.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NN 5-3 Uluwatu Island</td>
<td>Do.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NN 6-3 Ilulissat Island</td>
<td>Do.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NN 7-3 Ulm Plateau</td>
<td>Oct. 12, 1977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NN 8-3 Bowers Bank</td>
<td>Dec. 1, 1977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NN 9-3 Rude Canyon</td>
<td>Oct. 12, 1977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP 2-7 St. Matthew</td>
<td>Do.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP 2-8 Cooper Bay</td>
<td>Do.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP 3-7 Nunivak Island</td>
<td>Do.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP 3-8 Baird Inlet</td>
<td>Do.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP 3-9 Point Lay</td>
<td>Do.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP 4-1</td>
<td>Do.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP 4-3 Wainwright</td>
<td>Do.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Copies of these diagrams are for sale at two dollars ($2.00) per sheet by the Manager, Outer Continental Shelf Office, Bureau of Land Management, P.O. Box 1159, Anchorage, Alaska 99610. The street address is 800 A Street, Anchorage, Alaska. Checks or Money Orders should be made payable to the Bureau of Land Management.

Edward J. Hoffmann,
Manager, Alaska Outer Continental Shelf Office.

[FR Doc. 78-11380 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]

WYOMING

Application, Amendment

April 18, 1978.

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to section 28 of the Mineral Leasing Act of 1920, as amended (30 U.S.C. 185), the Colorado Interstate Gas Co. of Colorado Springs, Colo., filed an amendment application to reroute their pending right-of-way application to construct a 4 1/2 inch O.D. pipeline for the purpose of transporting natural gas across the following described public lands:

SIXTH PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, WYOMING

T. 36 N., R. 93 W., Secs. 7, 18, 19, and 30.
T. 36 N., R. 94 W., Sec. 28.

The proposed pipeline will transport natural gas from the Nos. 22-25 and 41-25 Fuller Reservoir II wells located in the N 1/4 of Section 25, T. 36 N., R. 94 W., to a point of connection with Montana-Dakota Utilities Co.'s existing pipeline located in the N 1/4 of Section 7, T. 36 N., R. 93 W., Fremont County, Wyo.

The purpose of this notice is to inform the public that the Bureau will be proceeding with consideration of whether the amendment application should be approved, and if so, under what terms and conditions.

Interested persons desiring to express their views should do so promptly. Persons submitting comments should include their name and address and send them to the District Manager, Bureau of Land Management, 1300 Third Street, P.O. Box 670, Rawlins, Wyo. 82301.

Harold G. Stinchcomb, Chief, Branch of Lands and Minerals Operations.

[FR Doc. 78-11378 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]

[4310-03]

Heritage Conservation and Recreation Service

NATIONAL REGISTRY OF NATURAL LANDMARKS

Revision of List

Pursuant to authority contained in the Act of August 21, 1935 (48 Stat. 886; 16 U.S.C. 461), the Department of the Interior administers and implements a natural areas program, includ-
NOTICES
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The National Registry of Natural Landmarks. It is the purpose of this notice to revise the National Registry of Natural Landmarks as published in the Federal Register of May 5, 1975 (40 FR 19503). All Federal agencies should take cognizance of the sites included in the National Registry of Natural Landmarks to fulfill the intent of section 102 of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (83 Stat. 852; 42 U.S.C. 4331).


The owner(s) of sites indicated by an asterisk have entered into a voluntary agreement to protect the sites’ nationally significant values.

CHRIS THERIAL DELAPORTE, Director, Heritage Conservation and Recreation Service.


ARIZONA

*Barringer Meteor Crater, Coconino County—15 miles west of Winslow.
*Caneo Hills Cienega, Santa Cruz County—1½ miles north-northeast of Caneo.
*Comb Ridge, Navajo County.
*Hualapai Valley Joshua Tree, Mohave County—45 miles north of Kingman.
*Onyx Cave, Santa Cruz County—7 miles northwest of Northolita.
*Palatago-Sonota Creek Sanctuary, Santa Cruz County—1 mile from Palatagonia.
*Ramsey Canyon, Cochise County—7 miles south of Sierra Vista.
Willcox Playa, Cochise County—4 miles south of Willcox.

ARKANSAS

*Big Lake National Wildlife Refuge, 25 miles north of Umsak in the Aletian Archipelago.
*Brown Bear Refuge—50 miles southwest of Anchorage.

CONNECTICUT

*Bartholomew’s Cobble, Litchfield County, Conn., and Berkshire County, Mass.—1 mile west of Ashley Falls, Mass. (See also Massachusetts.)

DEEP SPRINGS MARSH, Inyo County—20 miles southeast of Bishop.
*Eider Creek, Nome County—4 miles north of Nome.
*Emerald Bay, El Dorado County—10 miles south of Tahoe City.
*Fish Slough, Mono and Inyo Counties—8 miles due north of Bishop.
*Moun Shasta, Siskiyou County—50 miles south of Redding.
*Morrison Fossil Area, Jefferson County—just north of Morrison.
*Raton Mesa, Las Animas County—30 miles southwest of Denver.
*Roca Pinnacles, San Bernadino County—7 miles south of Argus.
*Field Mountains Natural Area, San Bernadino County—30 miles south-southwest of Needles.

COLORADO

*Beautiful Creek Area, Fremont County—10 miles northeast of Pikes Peak.
*Lost Creek Scenic Area, Park County—40 miles south of the town of Lake City.
*Point Lobos, Monterey County—15 miles east of Pismo Beach.
*Rainbow Basin, San Bernardino County—20 miles southwest of San Bernardino.
*San Andreas Fault, San Benito County—30 miles south of the city of Pismo Beach.
*San Juan River Estuary, San Diego County—20 miles northwest of San Diego.
*San Luis Obispo, and Santa Barbara Counties—extends from Pismo Beach south for 17 miles.
*Miwuk Vernal Pools, Tulare County—6 miles east of Pixley.
*Point Lobos, Monterey County—near Carmel.
*Pinyon Forest, Mendocino County—5 miles southwest of Fort Bragg.
*Rainbow Basin, San Bernardino County—8 miles north of Barstow.
*San Andrews Fault, San Benito County—at Cienega Winery, 8 miles south of Hollister.
*San Benito, Imperial County—15 miles west of Yuma.
*San Felipe Creek Area, Imperial County—15 miles northwest of Westmoreland.
*Sharktooth Hill, Kern County—8 miles north of Bakersfield.
*Shoshone River Refugue, San Diego County—between the city of Imperial Beach and the U.S.-Mexico International Boundary.
*Torrey Pines Reserve, San Diego County—20 miles north of San Diego.
*Trona Pinnacles, San Bernadino County—7 miles south of Argus.
*Trinity Mountains Natural Area, San Bernadino County—30 miles south-southwest of Needles.

Deep Springs Marsh, Inyo County—20 miles southeast of Bishop.
*Eider Creek, Nome County—4 miles north of Nome.
*Emerald Bay, El Dorado County—10 miles south of Tahoe City.
*Fish Slough, Mono and Inyo Counties—8 miles due north of Bishop.
*Moun Shasta, Siskiyou County—50 miles south of Redding.
*Morrison Fossil Area, Jefferson County—just north of Morrison.
*Raton Mesa, Las Animas County—30 miles southwest of Denver.
*Roca Pinnacles, San Bernadino County—7 miles south of Argus.

*Field Mountains Natural Area, San Bernadino County—30 miles south-southwest of Needles.

CONNECTICUT

*Bartholomew’s Cobble, Litchfield County, Conn., and Berkshire County, Mass.—1 mile west of Ashley Falls, Mass. (See also Massachusetts.)

DEEP SPRINGS MARSH, Inyo County—20 miles southeast of Bishop.
*Eider Creek, Nome County—4 miles north of Nome.
*Emerald Bay, El Dorado County—10 miles south of Tahoe City.
*Fish Slough, Mono and Inyo Counties—8 miles due north of Bishop.
*Moun Shasta, Siskiyou County—50 miles south of Redding.
*Morrison Fossil Area, Jefferson County—just north of Morrison.
*Raton Mesa, Las Animas County—30 miles southwest of Denver.
*Roca Pinnacles, San Bernadino County—7 miles south of Argus.

*Field Mountains Natural Area, San Bernadino County—30 miles south-southwest of Needles.
NOTICES

FLORIDA

*Casa Blanca Sand Dunes Natural Area, Nueces County—10 miles southeast of Port Mansfield.

*Big Cypress Bend, Collier County—1 mile east of U.S. 41.

*Corkscrew Swamp Sanctuary, Collier County—25 miles southeast of Fort Myers.

*Devil's Millhopper, Alachua County—6 miles northwest of Gainesville.

*Emeralda Marsh, Lake and Marion Counties—10 miles northeast of Leesburg.

*Florida Caverns Natural Area, Jackson County—9 miles northeast of Marianna.

*Jekyll Island State Park, Glynn County—On the southeast coast of Georgia near the mouth of the St. Marys River.

*Varnum Forest, Lincoln County—20 miles southwest of Bainbridge.

*Okefenokee Swamp, Ware, Charlton, and Bacon Counties—15 miles southwest of Waycross. (see also South Carolina)

*Big Pignol Marsh, Adams County—5 miles west of Harahan.

*Rock City, Ottawa County—2 miles west-northwest of Ruthven.

*Hayden Prairie, Tama County—3 miles south of Jewell.

*White Pine Hollow Preserve, Dubuque County—1 mile southeast of the town of Osceola.

*Vanderburgh County—15 miles west-southwest of Crawfordsville.

*Pinhook Bog, La Porte County—4 miles south of Waterford.

*Pioneer Mothers Memorial Forest, Orange County—Wayne-Hoosier National Forest, 1 mile southeast of the town of Paoli.

*Pine Arch Nature Preserve, Pownal County—7 miles northeast of Covington.

*Rise at Orangeville, Orange County—south of West Road in Orangeville.

*Rocky Hollow Falls Canyon Nature Preserve, Parke County—Turkey Run State Park, 9 miles north of Rockville.

*Shrader-Wagner Woods, Fayette County—7 miles northwest of Connersville.

*Tamarack Bog Nature Preserve, Lagrange County—Pigeon River State Game Preserve, 1 mile southeast of the town of Mingo.

*Tolliver Swamp-hole, Orange County—4 miles north-northwest of Paoli.

*Wes Welty Wetlands, Crawford County—2 miles east-southeast of Orangeville.

*Wes Welty Wetlands, Crawford County—1 mile west of the town of Evansville.

*Wyandotte Cave, Crawford County—Harrison-Crawford State Forest, 30 miles west of New Albany.

*IOWA

*Anderson Goose Lake, Hamilton County—1 mile east of Jewell.

*Capy Prairie, Dickinson County—5 miles west of West Okoboji.

*Devey's Pasture and Smith's Slough, Clay and Palo Alto Counties—4 miles north-northwest of Austin.

*Hayden Prairie, Howard County—12 miles northwest of Cresco.

*White Pine Hollow Preserve, Dubuque County—20 miles northwest of Dubuque.

*KANSAS

*Baker University Wetlands, Douglas County—3 miles south of Lawrence.

*Monument Rocks Natural Area, Gove County—23 miles south of Oakley.

*Rock City, Ottawa County—2½ miles southwest of Minneapolis.

*KENTUCKY

*Henderson Sloughs, Henderson and Union Counties—4 miles northeast of Uniontown.


*Ohio Coral Reef (Falls of the Ohio), Jefferson County—In Ohio River between Louis-
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**Red River Gorge, Menifee, Powell and Wolfe Counties—Daniel Boone National Forest, 50 miles east-southeast of Lexington.**

**Rock Creek Research Natural Area, Laurel County—Daniel Boone National Forest, center of site is 2 miles west of Baldrock.**

**Bigelow Mountain, Somerset and Franklin Counties—center of site is 6 miles east of Stratton.**

**Crystal Bog, Aroostook County—4 miles southeast of Patten.**

**Gulf Hagas, Piscataquis County—14 air miles east of Greenville.**

**Meddybemps Heath, Washington County—3 miles west of the village of Meddybemps.**

**Monhegan Island, Lincoln County—10 miles south of Port Clyde, in the Atlantic Ocean.**

**Mount Katahdin, Piscataquis County—20 miles north of Millinocket.**

**New Gloucester Black Gum Stand, Cumberland County—2 miles southwest of Upper Gloucester.**

**Orono Bog, Penobscot County—6 miles southwest of Old Town.**

**Passadumkeag Marsh and Boglands, Penobscot County—2 miles east of Passadumkeag.**

**Penney Pond-Joe Pond Complex, Dennibec County—2½ miles south of the village of Belgrade.**

**The Hermitage, Piscataquis County—6 miles northwest of Katahdin Iron Works.**

**Bienville Pines Scenic Area, Scott County—Bienville National Forest, South of Forest.**

**Chestnut Oak Disjunct, Calhoun County—2 miles north of Bruce.**

**Green Ash-Onercup Oak-Sweetgum Research Natural Areas, Sharkey County—Delta National Forest, 3 noncontiguous tracts are 16 miles west-northwest of Yazoo City.**

**Harrell Prairie Hill, Scott County—Bienville National Forest, 2 miles southeast of Forest.**

**Mississippi Petrified Forest, Madison County—17 miles north of Jackson.**

**Carroll Cave, Camden County—**

**Copula Pond, Ripley County—Mark Twain National Forest, 12 miles south-southeast of Premont.**

**Golden Prairie, Barton County—16 miles northeast of Carthage.**

**Marmee Spring, Phelps County—Marmee Spring Park, 8 miles southeast of St. James.**

**Oak Trees and Cameron Canes, Marion County—2 miles southeast of Hannibal.**

**Marvel Cave, Stone County—50 miles south of Springfield.**

**Pickle Springs, St. Genevieve County—7 miles east of Farmington.**

**Taberrell Prairie, St. Clair County—2¼ miles north of Taberrell.**

**Trucker Prairie, Covington County—7 miles north-northwest of Fulton.**

**Wepener Woods, Warren County—¼ mile north of Holstein.**

**Montana**

**Bridger Fossil Area, Carbon County.**

**Bug Creek Fossil Area, McCone County—34 miles southeast of Port Peck.**

**Capitol Rock, Carter County—30 miles southeast of Great Falls.**

**Cloverly Formation Site, Big Horn County.**

**Glacial Lake Missoula, Sanders County—12 miles north of Perma.**

**Hell Creek Fossil Area, Garfield County—18 miles north of Jordan.**

**Middle Fork Canyon, Gallatin County—30 miles north of Bozeman.**

**Red Rock Lakes National Wildlife Refuge, Beaverhead County—center of site is 2 miles north of Lakeview.**

**Nebraska**

**Fontenelle Forest, Sarpy County—1 mile south of Omaha.**

**Valentine National Wildlife Refuge, Cherry County—25 miles south of Valentine.**

**Nevada**

**Hot Creek Springs and Marsh, Nye County—35 miles south of Lund.**

**Ishiyoysaur Site, Nye County—20 miles east of the town of Gabbs.**

**Little Nye, Nye County—70 miles north-northeast of Tonopah.**

**Ruby Marsh, Elko and White Pine Counties—Ruby Lake National Wildlife Refuge, 50 miles southeast-southeast of Elko.**

**Timber Mountain Caldera, Nye County.**

**Valley of Fire, Clark County—35 miles northeast of Las Vegas.**

**New Hampshire**

**East Inlet Natural Area, Coos County—northeast of Second Connecticut Lake.**

**Floating Island, Coos County—2¼ miles east-northeast of Errol.**

**Franconia Notch, Grafton County—18 miles south of Littleton.**

**Heath Pond Bog, Carroll County—2 miles northeast of Center Ossipee.**

**Madison Boulder, Carroll County—3 miles north of Madison.**

**Pendicherry Wildlife Refuge, Coos County—2 miles northeast of Whitefield Airport in Jeannette.**

**Spruce Hole Bog, Strafford County—2 miles west-southwest of Durham.**

**New Jersey**

**Great Falls of Paterson, Passaic County—Paterson.**

**Great Swamp, Morris County—Great Swamp National Wildlife Refuge, 7 miles south of Morristown.**

**Manahawkin Bottomland Hardwood Forest, Ocean County—Manahawkin Fish and Wildlife Management Area, 2 miles southwest of Manahawkin.**

**Moppy Hollow Natural Area, Somerset County—2 miles west of Par Hills.**

**Pigeon Swamp, Middlesex County—centered 8 miles southwest of New Brunswick.**

**Riker Hill Fossil Site, Essex County—in the borough of Roseland.**

**Stone Harbor Bird Sanctuary, Cape May County—Stone Harbor Borough.**

**Sunfish Pond, Warren County—3 miles northeast of the Delaware Water Gap.**

**Troy Meadows, Morris County—near Troy Hills.**

**William L. Hutchins Memorial Forest, Somerset County—6 miles west of New Brunswick.**
NEW YORK

- Bear Swamp, Albany County—3 miles south of the village of Westerlo.
- Bergen-Byron Swamp, Genesee County—between Ben Bergen and Byron.
- Big Reed Pond, Suffolk County—3 miles west of Montauk Point.
- Deer Lick Nature Sanctuary, Cattaraugus County—1 mile southeast of Gowanda.
- Dexter Marsh, Jefferson County—2 miles southwest of the town of Dexter.
- Ellenville Fault-Ice Caves, Ulster County—1 mile south-west of Ellenville.
- Fall Brook Gorge, Livingston County—1 mile south of Fall Brook Gorge.
- Fossil Coral Reef, Genesee County—4 miles southeast of Mayfield.
- Holden Natural Areas, Lake and Geauga Counties—3 miles southeast of Holden.
- Ironsides Island, Jefferson and St. Lawrence Counties—in St. Lawrence River, 8 miles southeast of Ellenville.
- dieta Springs, Allegany County—2 miles south of Portland.
- Lansing Bog, New York County—2 miles southeast of Hastings.
- Mona and Monito Islands—2 miles west of Mona.
- Montezuma Marsh, Seneca County—Montezuma National Wildlife Refuge, 4 miles northwest of Solidar.
- Moss Lake Bog, Otsego County—1 mile southeast of Moss Lake.
- Nurse Pond, Schoharie County—2 miles northeast of Nurse Pond.
- Nysyr-Orchard Creek Marsh, Genesee and Orleans Counties—between Nysyr and Orchard Creek.
- North Bay, Orleans County—2 miles northwest of North Bay.
- O'Hara Bog, Schoharie County—2 miles northeast of O'Hara.
- Oatka Creek Bog, Genesee County—1 mile southeast of Oatka Creek.
- Owasco Lake, Tompkins County—1 mile west of Owasco Lake.
- Oxbow Marsh, Allegany County—2 miles northeast of Oxbow.
- Oyster Bay, Suffolk County—2 miles southeast of Oyster Bay.
- Parmigianino Bog, Schuyler County—1 mile west of Parmigianino.
- Pesotum Bog, Orange County—2 miles northeast of Pesotum.
- Pirandello Bog, Albany County—1 mile southeast of Pirandello.
- Pincushion-Moss Bog, Cayuga County—1 mile southeast of Pincushion-Moss.
- Polkville Marsh, Schuyler County—2 miles south of Polkville.
- Portage Marsh, Genesee County—2 miles southeast of Portage.
- Presque Isle, Erie County—20 miles east of Presque Isle.
- Pulteney Bog, Chemung County—2 miles southwest of Pulteney.
- Putney Bog, Montgomery County—1 mile west of Putney.
- Quitman Bog, Schoharie County—2 miles west of Quitman.
- Quitman Bog, Schoharie County—2 miles northwest of Quitman.
- Quill Bog, Schoharie County—1 mile southwest of Quill.
- Rattlesnake Bog, Schuyler County—1 mile southwest of Rattlesnake.
- Rattlesnake Bog, Schuyler County—2 miles southwest of Rattlesnake.
- Rattlesnake Bog, Schuyler County—2 miles south of Rattlesnake.
- Round Lake Bog, New York County—2 miles northwest of Round Lake.
- Round Lake Bog, New York County—2 miles north of Round Lake.
- Round Lake, Oneida County—1 mile south of Round Lake.
- Round Lake, Oneida County—2 miles northeast of Round Lake.
- Round Lake, Otsego County—2 miles north of Round Lake.
- Round Lake, Otsego County—2 miles south-southeast of Round Lake.
- Round Lake, Otsego County—2 miles south-southeast of Round Lake.
- Round Lake, Otsego County—2 miles south-southeast of Round Lake.
- Round Lake, Oneida County—2 miles south of Round Lake.
- Round Lake, Otsego County—2 miles southeast of Round Lake.
- Round Lake, Otsego County—2 miles south of Round Lake.
- Round Lake, Otsego County—2 miles south of Round Lake.
- Round Lake, Otsego County—2 miles southwest of Round Lake.
- Round Lake, Otsego County—2 miles southwest of Round Lake.
- Round Lake, Otsego County—2 miles west of Round Lake.
- Round Lake, Otsego County—2 miles northwest of Round Lake.
- Round Lake, Otsego County—2 miles northwest of Round Lake.
- Round Lake, Otsego County—2 miles west of Round Lake.
- Round Lake, Otsego County—2 miles southwest of Round Lake.
- Round Lake, Otsego County—2 miles northwest of Round Lake.
- Round Lake, Otsego County—2 miles northwest of Round Lake.
- Round Lake, Otsego County—2 miles west of Round Lake.
- Round Lake, Otsego County—2 miles southwest of Round Lake.
- Round Lake, Otsego County—2 miles southwest of Round Lake.
- Round Lake, Otsego County—2 miles west of Round Lake.
- Round Lake, Otsego County—2 miles southwest of Round Lake.
- Round Lake, Otsego County—2 miles southwest of Round Lake.
- Round Lake, Otsego County—2 miles west of Round Lake.
- Round Lake, Otsego County—2 miles southwest of Round Lake.
- Round Lake, Otsego County—2 miles southwest of Round Lake.
- Round Lake, Otsego County—2 miles west of Round Lake.
- Round Lake, Otsego County—2 miles southwest of Round Lake.
- Round Lake, Otsego County—2 miles southwest of Round Lake.
- Round Lake, Otsego County—2 miles west of Round Lake.
- Round Lake, Otsego County—2 miles southwest of Round Lake.
- Round Lake, Otsego County—2 miles southwest of Round Lake.
- Round Lake, Otsego County—2 miles west of Round Lake.
- Round Lake, Otsego County—2 miles southwest of Round Lake.
- Round Lake, Otsego County—2 miles southwest of Round Lake.
- Round Lake, Otsego County—2 miles west of Round Lake.
- Round Lake, Otsego County—2 miles southwest of Round Lake.
- Round Lake, Otsego County—2 miles southwest of Round Lake.
- Round Lake, Otsego County—2 miles west of Round Lake.
- Round Lake, Otsego County—2 miles southwest of Round Lake.
- Round Lake, Otsego County—2 miles southwest of Round Lake.
- Round Lake, Otsego County—2 miles west of Round Lake.
- Round Lake, Otsego County—2 miles southwest of Round Lake.
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**TEXAS**

*Attwater Prairie Chicken Preserver, Colorado County—55 miles west of Houston.*

*Caverns of Sonora, Sutton County—16 miles southwest of Sonora.*

*Dinosaur Valley, Somervell County—just west of Glen Rose.*

*Enchanted Rock, Llano and Gillespie Counties—12 miles southwest of Oxford.*

*Eagle's Cave, Hays County—within the city limits of San Marcos.*

*Greenwood Canyon, Montague County.*

**WASHINGTON**

*Ginkgo Petrified Forest, Kittitas County—29 miles east of Ellensburg.*

*Grand Coulee Dam, Grant County—between towns of Grand Coulee and Soap Lake.*

*Mima Mounds, Thurston County—west of Little Rock.*

*Nisqually Delta, Pierce and Thurston Counties—18 miles east of Olympia.*

*Point of Arches, Cowlitz County—10 miles south of Cape Flattery.*

*Steptoe Butte, Whitman County—50 miles south of Spokane.*

**WEST VIRGINIA**

*Big Run Bog, Tucker County—Monongahela National Forest, 7 miles east of Parsons.*

*Bisler Run Swamp, Randolph County—Monongahela National Forest, 4 miles north-west of Durbin.*

*Canaan Valley, Tucker County—center of site is 5 miles due east of Davis.*

*Cathedral Park, Preston County—4 miles west of U.S. 219, on U.S. 50.*

*Cranberry Glades Botanical Area, Pocahontas County—Monongahela National Forest, 5 miles northwest of Hinton.*

*Cresseyville Swamp Nature Sanctuary, Preston County, WV and Garrett County, Md.—8 miles north of Terra Alta, W. Va. (See also Maryland).*

*Fisher Spring Run Bog, Tucker County—Monongahela National Forest, 11 miles southeast of Davis.*

*Gaudineer Scenic Area, Randolph and Pocahontas Counties—Monongahela National Forest, 5 miles north of Durbin.*

*Germany Valley Karst Area, Pendleton County—between Riverton and mouth of Seneca.*

*Greenbrier Caverns, Greenbrier County—north and south of Organ Cave.*

*Greenville Saltpeter Cave, Monroe County—% mile north of the town of Greenville.*

*Lost World Caverns, Greenbrier County—2 miles north of Lewisburg.*

*Sewell-Thorn Mountain Cave System, Pendleton County—1/8 mile northwest of Moyers.*

*Shenando Mountain Spruce-Hemlock Stand, Randolph County—Monongahela National Forest, 7 miles northeast of Harman.*

*Swamp Forest Area, Pocahontas County—3 miles west of Marlinton.*

**WISCONSIN**

*Abraham's Woods, Green County—2 miles southwest of Albany.*

*Cedarburg Bog, Ozaukee County—4 miles west of Saukville.*

*Chippewa River Bottoms, Buffalo County—north of Wabasha, Minn.*

*Chisago County Pritrie, Kenosha County—5 miles south of Kenosha.*

*Finnerud Forest Scientific Area, Oneida County—2 miles southwest of Minocqua.*

*Flamborough-Uphill Hardwood Forest, Sawyer County—Flamborough State Forest, 20 miles southwest of Park Falls.*

*Kokagon Sloughs, Ashland County—2 miles north of the town of Odanah.*

*Kickapoo River Natural Area, Vernon County—between Ontario and La Farge.*

*Ridges Sanctuary-Toft's Point-Mud Lake Area, Door County—center of site is 1 1/4 miles northeast of the village of Baileys Harbor.*

*Spruce Bog Lake, Fond du Lac County—Kettle Moraine State Forest, 2 miles north-west of the village ofIRCLE.*

*Summerton Bog, Marquette County—3 miles southeast of the village of Oxford.*

*Wyatting Hardwood Forest, Grant County—Wyatting State Park, 6 miles north of Bagley.*

**WYOMING**

*Bone Cabin Fossil Area, Albany County—Comino Bluff, Carbon and Albany Counties—5 miles east of the town of the Bighorn.*

*Crooked Creek Natural Area, Big Horn County—15 miles northeast of Lovell.*

*Lance Creek Fossil Area, Niobrara County—20 miles north of Lusk.*
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INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION
(CASE NO. TA-39)
CERTAIN LUGGAGE PRODUCTS
Notice and Order Concerning Procedure for Commission Action

Notice is hereby given that—

On March 24, 1978, the Presiding Officer in Investigation No. 337-TA-39 (Certain Luggage Products), an investigation being conducted by the U.S. International Trade Commission under the authority of Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337) issued his recommended determination that:—

1. There is reason to believe, at this stage of the investigation, that there is a violation of Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 in the unauthorized importation into the United States, and the sale of certain luggage products infringing U.S. Letters Patent No. 2,422,181, with the effect or tendency to destroy or substantially injure an industry, efficiently and economically operated in the United States; and, further,

2. The motions to terminate this investigation as to respondents Alexander's, Inc.; Amba Marketing Systems, Inc., d.b.a. Ambassador Leather Goods; Dayco Corp. (Gaward Luggage Division); and Suh Won America, Inc., should be granted.

The Presiding Officer has certified the evidentiary record to the Commission for its consideration. Copies of the Presiding Officer's recommended determination can be obtained by interested persons by contacting the Secretary, to the Commission, 701 E Street NW, Washington, D.C. 20438, telephone 202-523-0161.

Requests for oral argument and oral presentation. The Commission will hold a hearing beginning at 9:30 a.m., e.d.t., May 5, 1978, in the Commission's Hearing Room, Room 331, 701 E Street NW, Washington, D.C., for the purposes of: (1) Hearing oral argument with respect to the recommended determination of the Presiding Officer concerning whether there is reason to believe there is a violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930; and the appropriate standard for granting temporary relief in section 337 proceedings; and (2) oral presentations concerning whether any action (exclusion of articles from entry except under bond or a cease and desist order) should be ordered, the form in which such action should be ordered, the amount and type of bond required, and the public interest factors.

For the purpose of this hearing, parties wishing to make oral argument with respect to the recommended determination and the appropriate standard for temporary relief in section 337 proceedings shall be limited to no more than 30 minutes per party, with the total time in any case not to exceed 10 minutes of which may be reserved by complainant for rebuttal. The Commission will receive information concerning the appropriate relief in this investigation. The amount of any bond required, and the public interest factors from all parties and interested persons and agencies. Each participant will be limited to no more than 30 minutes time in making such oral presentation, and each participant will be permitted an additional 5 minutes time for closing arguments after all of the 30 minute presentations have been concluded.

Requests for appearances at the hearing should be filed, in writing, with the Secretary of the Commission at his office in Room 331, 701 E Street NW, Washington, D.C., no later than noon, April 28, 1978. Requests should indicate the part of the hearing (i.e., with respect to the recommended determination, relief; bonding; or the public interest factors or any combination of them) in which the requested person desires to participate.

Written submissions from the parties, other interested persons, Government agencies and departments, Government or the public with respect to the recommended determination, the appropriate standard for granting temporary relief in section 337 proceedings, and the subject matter of subsections (a)(1), and (a)(2), and (a)(3) of § 210.14 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (19 CFR 210.14(a) (1), (2), and (3)) (i.e., with respect to remedy, bonding, and the public interest) will be considered if received by May 22, 1978.

Notice of the Commission's institution of the investigation was published in the Federal Register of November 30, 1977 (42 FR 69062).

By order of the Commission.

Issued April 21, 1978.

KENNETH R. MASON
Secretary.
United States International Trade Commission, and the Presiding Officer recommended granting the motion on April 4, 1978.

The Commission determined that good cause was shown for the amendment and that there is no prejudice to the public interest and the rights of the parties to the investigation pursuant to § 210.20(d) of the Commission’s rules of practice and procedure (19 CFR 210.20(d)). The purpose of the amendment to the complaint is to clarify an ambiguity involving the time period covered by the investigation.

Notice of institution of the investigation was published in the Federal Register on February 9, 1978 (42 FR 5093).

Issued April 21, 1978.

By Order of the Commission.

KENNETH R. MASON, Secretary.

[FR Doc. 78-11335 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]

MONUMENTAL WOOD WINDOWS
Notice of Amendment of Complaint

Notice is hereby given that the United States International Trade Commission granted the motion of complainant Wrand Industries, Inc. filed March 14, 1978, to amend the complaint in investigation No. 337-TA-40 on monument wood windows by deleting paragraph 4 of the complaint. There was no opposition to the motion, and the Presiding Officer recommended granting the motion on April 4, 1978.

The Commission determined that good cause was shown for the amendment and that there is no prejudice to the public interest and the rights of the parties to the investigation pursuant to § 210.20(d) of the Commission’s rules of practice and procedure (19 CFR 210.20(d)). The purpose of the amendment to the complaint is to clarify an ambiguity involving the time period covered by the investigation.

Notice of institution of the investigation was published in the Federal Register on February 9, 1978 (42 FR 5093).

Issued April 21, 1978.

By Order of the Commission.

KENNETH R. MASON, Secretary.

[FR Doc. 78-11335 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
Antitrust Division
UNITED STATES v. HOLSUM BAKERY, INC., ET AL

Proposed Consent Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement Thereon

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. § 16 (b) through (h), that a proposed consent judgment and a competitive impact statement as set out below were filed on April 17, 1978, with the U.S. District Court for the District of Arizona in United States v. Holsum Bakery, Inc., et al. Civil No. 74-102-PHX-CAM.

The complaint in this case alleges that the defendants, wholesale producers of bakery products, conspired to fix, maintain and stabilize wholesale bakery product prices and conspired to rig and allocate bids to federal and state agencies in the State of Arizona. The proposed judgment prohibits the defendants from entering into any agreement to fix or stabilize bakery product prices. The judgment also prohibits any collusion or rigging of any sealed bid to any governmental agency.

Public comment is invited within the statutory 60-day waiting period. Such comments and responses thereto will be published in the Federal Register and filed with the District Court.

Comments should be directed to Anthony E. Desmond, Chief, Antitrust Division, U.S. Department of Justice, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 38048, San Francisco, Calif. 94102.


CHARLES F. B. MCALEER,
Special Assistant for Judgment Negotiations, Antitrust Division.

John F. Young, Christopher S. Crook, Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Room 16432, Box 38046, San Francisco, Calif. 94102. Telephone: 415-550-6300.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, DISTRICT OF ARIZONA


Civil No. 74-102-PHX-CAM.

Filed: April 17, 1978.

STIPULATION

It is hereby stipulated by and between the undersigned parties, plaintiff United States of America, and defendants Holsum Bakery, Inc., Rainbo Baking Company of Phoenix, Rainbo Baking Company of Tucson, Baird's Bread Company, and C. J. Patterson Company, by their respective attorneys, that:

1. The parties consent that a Final Judgment in the form hereto attached may be entered upon the consent and upon the Court's own motion, at any time after compliance with the requirements of the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act (15 U.S.C. § 16) and without further notice to any party or other proceedings, provided that plaintiff has not withdrawn its consent which it may do at any time before the entry of the proposed Final Judgment by personal service or otherwise, and to all other persons in active commerce, industry, or business or legal entity; and

2. In the event plaintiff withdraws its consent or if the proposed Final Judgment is not entered pursuant to this Stipulation, this Stipulation shall be of no effect whatever and the making of this Stipulation shall be without prejudice to any party in this or any other proceeding.


For the plaintiff: John H. Shenefield, Assistant Attorney General; William E. Swope; Charles F. B. McAleeer; Anthony E. Desmond; John F. Young; Christopher S. Crook, attorneys, Department of Justice.

For the defendants: Snell & Wilmer, Phoenix, Ariz., by John J. Bouma, attorneys for Holsum Bakery, Inc.; Har-
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Each defendant is enjoined and restrained from directly or indirectly:

(a) Communicating to or exchanging with any other person producing, distributing or selling any bakery product any actual or proposed price, price change, discount, or other term or condition of sale or upon which any bakery product is to be, or has been, sold to any third person, prior to the communication of such information to customers generally.

(b) Communicating to or exchanging with any other person, any information relating to price, discount or any other term or condition of sale of bakery products which has been charged or allowed or is to be charged or allowed by any person to any customer prior to the communication of such information to customers generally.

VII

For a period of five (5) years from the date of entry of this Final Judgment, each defendant shall preserve all written price computations and other written calculations actually performed by such defendant in the preparation and submission of any bid required to be sealed which is submitted to any federal or state institution, and shall retain such written computations and calculations for a period of five (5) years from the date each bid which is based on such computations or calculations is submitted to any federal or state institution.

VIII

The injunctions contained in this Final Judgment shall not apply to relations between a defendant and a parent or subsidiary of, or corporations under common control with, such defendant or between the officers, directors, agents and employees thereof.

IX

Each defendant shall:

(a) Serve within sixty (60) days after the entry of this Final Judgment a copy of this Final Judgment upon each of its officers and directors, and upon each of its employees and agents who have any responsibility for establishing prices, discounts or other terms or conditions for the sale of bakery products;

(b) Serve a copy of this Final Judgment upon each successor to any of the persons described in Paragraph (a) of this Section IX within sixty (60) days after such successor becomes employed or associated with such defendant;

(c) Serve a copy of this Final Judgment upon each successor to any of the persons described in Paragraph (a) of this Section IX within sixty (60) days after such successor becomes employed or associated with such defendant;

(d) Serve a copy of this Final Judgment upon each entity, officer, director, employee, or agent of such defendant who has any responsibility for determining or setting prices, discounts or other terms or conditions of sale of bakery products.

XII

Jurisdiction is retained by this Court for the purpose of enforcing any of the provisions of this Final Judgment, and for the enforcement of compliance therewith, and for the punishment of violations thereof.

XIII

Entry of this Final Judgment is in the public interest. Dated:

John F. Young, Christopher S. Crook, United States District Judge.

Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36046, San Francisco, Calif. 94102.

United States District Court, District of Arizona

United States of America, Plaintiff, v. Holman Bakers, Inc.; Rainbo Baking Company; Holman Baking Company and its authorized representatives of the Department of Justice; on written request of the Attorney General or the Assistant Attorney General in charge of the Antitrust Division, and on reasonable notice, subject to any legally recognized privilege:

(1) To examine, in the business hours of defendants, who may have counsel present, to those books, ledgers, accounts, correspondence, memoranda and other records and documents in the possession or under the control of defendants which relate to any material fact necessary to the preparation of the Final Judgment;

(2) Subject to the reasonable convenience of defendants and without re-straint or interference from them, to interview officers, directors, agents, partners or employees of defendants, any of whom may have counsel present, regarding any matters contained in this Final Judgment.

(B) For the purpose of determining or securing compliance with this Final Judgment, upon written request of the Attorney General or the Assistant Attorney General in charge of the Antitrust Division, defendants shall submit such reports in writing, with respect to the matters contained in this Final Judgment, as may from time to time be requested.

(c) No information obtained by the means provided in this Section XII of the Final Judgment shall be divulged by a representative of the Executive Branch of the United States, except in the course of legal proceedings to which the United States is a party, or for the purpose of securing compliance with this Final Judgment, or as otherwise required by law.

(d) No information, documents or other records furnished by a defendant to plaintiff, such defendant identifies in writing the material in any such information, documents or other records furnished by a defendant to plaintiff, shall be divulged by a representative of the Department of Justice to any other third person, prior to the compliance with this Final Judgment.

John F. Young, United States District Judge.

Christopher S. Crook, Assistant Attorney General in charge of the Antitrust Division.

United States District Court, District of Arizona

United States of America, Plaintiff, v. Holman Bakers, Inc.; Rainbo Baking Company; Holman Baking Company and its authorized representatives of the Department of Justice; on written request of the Attorney General or the Assistant Attorney General in charge of the Antitrust Division, and on reasonable notice, subject to any legally recognized privilege:

(1) To examine, in the business hours of defendants, who may have counsel present, to those books, ledgers, accounts, correspondence, memoranda and other records and documents in the possession or under the control of defendants which relate to any material fact necessary to the preparation of the Final Judgment;

(2) Subject to the reasonable convenience of defendants and without restraint or interference from them, to interview officers, directors, agents, partners or employees of defendants, any of whom may have counsel present, regarding any matters contained in this Final Judgment.

(B) For the purpose of determining or securing compliance with this Final Judgment, upon written request of the Attorney General or the Assistant Attorney General in charge of the Antitrust Division, defendants shall submit such reports in writing, with respect to the matters contained in this Final Judgment, as may from time to time be requested.

(c) No information obtained by the means provided in this Section XII of the Final Judgment shall be divulged by a representative of the Executive Branch of the United States, except in the course of legal proceedings to which the United States is a party, or for the purpose of securing compliance with this Final Judgment, or as otherwise required by law.

(d) No information, documents or other records furnished by a defendant to plaintiff, such defendant identifies in writing the material in any such information, documents or other records furnished by a defendant to plaintiff, shall be divulged by a representative of the Department of Justice to any other third person, prior to the compliance with this Final Judgment.

United States District Court, District of Arizona,

United States of America, Plaintiff, v. Holman Bakers, Inc.; Rainbo Baking Company; Holman Baking Company and its authorized representatives of the Department of Justice; on written request of the Attorney General or the Assistant Attorney General in charge of the Antitrust Division, and on reasonable notice, subject to any legally recognized privilege:

(1) To examine, in the business hours of defendants, who may have counsel present, to those books, ledgers, accounts, correspondence, memoranda and other records and documents in the possession or under the control of defendants which relate to any material fact necessary to the preparation of the Final Judgment;

(2) Subject to the reasonable convenience of defendants and without restraint or interference from them, to interview officers, directors, agents, partners or employees of defendants, any of whom may have counsel present, regarding any matters contained in this Final Judgment.

(B) For the purpose of determining or securing compliance with this Final Judgment, upon written request of the Attorney General or the Assistant Attorney General in charge of the Antitrust Division, defendants shall submit such reports in writing, with respect to the matters contained in this Final Judgment, as may from time to time be requested.

(c) No information obtained by the means provided in this Section XII of the Final Judgment shall be divulged by a representative of the Executive Branch of the United States, except in the course of legal proceedings to which the United States is a party, or for the purpose of securing compliance with this Final Judgment, or as otherwise required by law.

(d) No information, documents or other records furnished by a defendant to plaintiff, such defendant identifies in writing the material in any such information, documents or other records furnished by a defendant to plaintiff, shall be divulged by a representative of the Department of Justice to any other third person, prior to the compliance with this Final Judgment.
Pursuant to Section 2(b) of the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act (15 U.S.C. § 16(b)), the United States hereby submits this Competitive Impact Statement relating to the proposed consent judgment submitted for entry in this civil antitrust proceeding.

I. NATURE OF THE PROCEEDING

On February 14, 1974, the United States filed a civil complaint under Section 4 of the Sherman Act (15 U.S.C. § 1) which alleged that defendants Holsum Bakery, Inc., Rainbo Baking Company of Phoenix, Rainbo Baking Company of Tucson, Baird’s Bread Company, and C.J. Patterson Company violated Section 1 of the Sherman Act (15 U.S.C. § 1). The complaint alleges that defendants and various co-conspirators engaged in a number of anticompetitive acts, including: (a) a conspiracy to fix, raise, and maintain wholesale bakery product prices in Arizona; (b) to fix and stabilize other terms and conditions of the sale of bakery products such as bread, rolls, and similar products such as bread, rolls, and similar products; and (c) to allocate and divide the public agency business among themselves pursuant to discussions among themselves.

II. DESCRIPTION OF PRACTICES INVOLVED IN THE ALLEGED VIOLATION

Defendants are producers of bakery products which may be required to interpret, modify or enforce the judgment, or to punish all alleged violations of any of the provisions of the judgment.

A. Prohibited conduct

The proposed judgment will permanently prohibit the defendants from entering into or adhering to any agreements or arrangements which may be required to interpret, modify or enforce the judgment, or to punish all alleged violations of any of the provisions of the judgment.

B. Required conduct

To ensure that all bids to public agencies are made without collusion or agreement, the proposed judgment requires each defendant, for a period of five years, to submit a copy of the judgment on each of their directors and officers, and upon each of their employees or agents who have any responsibility for establishing prices, discounts, or other terms and conditions in the sale of bakery products. The judgment also requires the defendants to give such notice to their responsible personnel serves two purposes: it enables the affected employees to know what activities they are prohibited from engaging in, and it permits prosecution for criminal contempt of those employees who disregard the provisions of the judgment.

C. Effect of the proposed judgment on competitors

The relief encompassed in the proposed consent judgment is designed to prevent a
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REMEDIES AVAILABLE TO POTENTIAL PRIVATE LITIGANTS

Section 4 of the Clayton Act (15 U.S.C. § 15) provides that any person who has been injured as a result of conduct prohibited by the antitrust laws may bring suit in federal court to recover three times the damages sustained, as well as costs and reasonable attorney fees. Following the filing of the complaint in this action, several lawsuits were brought under Section 4 on behalf of various classes of persons allegedly injured as a result of the violation of the antitrust laws in connection with the government's lawsuit. These lawsuits, consolidated under the case title *In re Arizona Bakery Products Price Fixing Litigation* , include five class action suits: (a) one on behalf of all purchasers of bakery products who sold prepared food (the "restaurant class," captioned *Holsum Bakery, Inc., et al.* v. *Rainbo Baking Co. of Phoenix, et al.*, No. CIV 74-246 PHX-CAM); (b) one on behalf of all purchasers of bakery products who sold bakery products at retail (the "grocery store class," captioned *Miller, et al.* v. *Holsum Bakery, Inc., et al.* , No. CIV 74-226 PHX-CAM); (c) one on behalf of all state and local government purchasers of bakery products (the "governmental class," captioned *State of Arizona, et al.* v. *Holsum Bakery, Inc., et al.* , No. CIV 74-308 PHX-CAM); (d) one on behalf of all nongovernmental health care institutions, such as hospitals and nursing homes (the "hospital class," captioned *Rainbo Baking Co. of Phoenix, Inc., et al.* v. *Holsum Bakery, Inc., et al.* , No. CIV 74-246 PHX-CAM); and (e) one on behalf of all consumers who purchased at retail bakery products produced by defendants between January 1, 1969 and December 31, 1973 (the "consumer class," captioned *Arizona Consumers Council v. Holsum Bakery, Inc., et al.* , No. CIV 75-444 PHX-CAM). The judgment will ensure that all pricing deception committed by the defendants will lose their special protection under the anti-liason law, and as to C. J. Patterson Company, also the view of the Department that disposition of the case with the judgment will retain the same rights to seek monetary damages and equitable remedies that the judgment is necessary to prevent any recurrence of the illegal conduct.

The judgment contains sufficient record-keeping requirements and access to defendants' records to allow the Department to adequately monitor defendants' activities in the future. Accordingly, it is the opinion of the Department that the proposed judgment is fully adequate to prevent any future antitrust violations by the defendant corporations. It is also the view of the Department that disposition of the case with the judgment will ensure that all pricing deception committed by the defendants will lose their special protection under the anti-liason law, and as to C. J. Patterson Company, also the view of the Department that disposition of the case with the judgment will retain the same rights to seek monetary damages and equitable remedies that the judgment is necessary to prevent any recurrence of the illegal conduct.

IV

ALTERNATIVES TO THE PROPOSED CONSENT JUDGMENT

This case does not involve any unusual or novel issues of fact or law which might make litigation a more desirable alternative than the entry of the negotiated consent judgment. The proposed judgment contains, with one exception, all the relief which was requested in the Complaint. The Department did not insist in this case that the Judgment apply to activities of the defendants outside the State of Arizona. While nationwide injunctive relief is normally sought in price-fixing cases, it was determined that in the particular circumstances of this case, such a scope of relief was unnecessary. Four of the five defendant corporations did virtually no business outside Arizona. The fifth one, C. J. Patterson Company, does engage in the baking business in several other states. However, the evidence in the case demonstrated that the offense was entirely confined to the Arizona market. As to C. J. Patterson Company, there was no evidence of participation by employees other than those in Patterson's Arizona operations. Accordingly, a decree limited in scope to the Arizona market fully meets the need to prevent future violations by the firms named as defendants in the case.

VII

OTHER MATERIALS

No materials and documents of the type described in Section 2(b) of the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act (15 U.S.C. § 16(b)) were considered in formulating this proposed judgment.


JOHN P. YOUNG, CHRISTOPHER S. CROOK, Attorneys, Department of Justice.

(FR Doc. 78-11349 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 a.m.)
NOTICES

[4410-01] [Order No. 775-78]
PRIVACY ACT OF 1974
System of Records

On October 5, 1977 (42 FR 54337), consistent with the provisions of the Privacy Act, 5 U.S.C. 552a(11), a proposal by the Immigration and Naturalization Service to add a new subsystem of records entitled the "Application and petition system" to the Immigration and Naturalization Service Index System, JUSTICE/INS-001, was published in the Federal Register. No comments were received regarding the proposed system. Pursuant to the authority vested in me by 5 U.S.C. 552a, the new subsystem is hereby added.


GRIFFIN B. BELL,
Attorney General.

(PR Doc. 78-11510 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am)

[4410-01] [Order No. 776-78]
PRIVACY ACT OF 1974
Proposed Routine Uses and Changes to Systems of Records

Notice is given that pursuant to the provisions of the Privacy Act of 1974, 5 U.S.C. 552a, the following routine uses and other modifications to the systems reported in the Annual Compilation of Systems of Records publication (42 FR 53228 through 53429) are proposed by the Department of Justice.

JUSTICE/FBI-001, National Crime Information Center: The "routeine uses" have been restated to include the exchange of criminal history data with the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico to show the exchange of "want" files with the Royal Canadian Mounted Police.

JUSTICE/FBI-009, Identification Division Records System: The "routine uses" have been restated to include the exchange of "rap sheet" (criminal history) information with foreign governments.

JUSTICE/DAG-002, Freedom of Information and Privacy Appeals Index: The information in "categories of records" has been restated to describe more accurately the records covered by the system.

JUSTICE/PRC-002, Freedom of Information Act Record System: This has been expanded to include Privacy Act records. The "system name" has been changed to "Freedom of Information and Privacy Act Record System," and the notice has been modified accordingly.

JUSTICE/PRC-004, Inmate and Supervision Files: A change is proposed in paragraph (f) of the "routine uses" portion of the system notice to provide details regarding the use of these records by U.S. District Court judges.

JUSTICE/PRC-007, Workload Record, Decision Result, and Annual Report System: The "storage," "retrievability," and "retention and disposal" portions of the system notice have been amended to reflect implementation of a program to convert part of the recordkeeping system to magnetic tape.

JUSTICE/CIV-002, Civil Division Case File System: Customs Section: The "notification procedure" portion of the system notice, which had been inadvertently omitted in previous publications, is presented along with the system notice in its entirety.

JUSTICE/USA-003, Civil Case Files: A new "routine use" is proposed, which would permit release of records relating to actual or potential violations of title 17, U.S. Code, to individuals injured by such violations.

JUSTICE/DAG-006, Investigative Reporting and Filing System: The "categories of records" portion of the notice has been amended to describe the Narcotics and Dangerous Drugs Information System (NADDIS) index in more detail.

JUSTICE/LEAA-002, Law Enforcement Education System: A "routine use" is proposed, which would permit release of records to state and local agencies to verify eligibility of applicants for LEAA grant and loan programs.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments on these proposals. Comments should be mailed to: Office of Administrative Counsel, Office of Management and Finance, Room 1118, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20530. All comments must be received on or before May 30, 1978. No oral hearings are contemplated.


GRIFFIN B. BELL,
Attorney General.

JUSTICE/FBI-001

System name: National Crime Information Center (NCIC).


Categories of individuals covered by the system:

A. Wanted Persons: 1. Individuals for whom Federal warrants are outstanding. 2. Individuals who have committed or have been identified with an offense which is classified as a felony or serious misdemeanor under the existing penal statutes of the jurisdiction originating the entry and felony or misdemeanor warrant has been issued for the individual with respect to the offense which was the basis of the entry. Probation and parole violators meeting the foregoing criteria. 3. A "Temporary Felony Want" may be entered when there is reason to believe that the agency has reason to take prompt action to establish a "wanted" entry for the apprehension of a person who has committed, or the officer has reasonable grounds to believe has committed, a felony and who may seek refuge by fleeing across jurisdictional boundaries and circumstances preclude the immediate procurement of a felony warrant. A "Temporary Felony Want" shall be specifically identified as such and subject to verification and support by a proper warrant within 48 hours following the initial entry of a temporary want. The agency originating the "Temporary Felony Want" shall be responsible for subsequent verification or removal of a want of a permanent want.

B. Individuals who have been charged with serious and/or significant offenses.

C. Missing Persons: 1. A person of any age who is missing and who is under proven physical/mental disability or is senile, thereby subjecting himself or others to personal and immediate danger. 2. A person of any age who is missing under circumstances indicating that his disappearance was not voluntary. 3. A person of any age who is missing and in the company of another person under circumstances indicating that his physical safety is in danger. 4. A person who is missing and whose disappearance was not anticipated as defined by the laws of his state of residence and does not meet any of the entry criteria set forth in 1, 2, or 3 above.

Categories of records in the system:

A. Stolen Vehicle File: 1. Stolen vehicles. 2. Vehicles wanted in conjunction with felonies or serious misdemeanors. 3. Stolen vehicle parts, including certificates of origin or title.

B. Stolen License Plate File: 1. Stolen or missing license plates.

C. Stolen/Missing Gun File: 1. Stolen or missing guns. 2. Recovered guns, ownership of which has not been established.

B. Stolen Article File.

A. Wanted Person File: Described in the system: A. Wanted Persons.

F. Securities File: 1. Serially numbered, never issued, canceled, or registered, missing securities. 2. "Securities" for present purposes of this file are currency (e.g. bills, bank notes) and those documents or certificates which generally are considered to be evidence of debt (e.g. bonds, debentures, notes) or ownership of property (e.g. common stock certificates). Decided cases have not been excluded.

JUSTICE/PRC-004

Inmate and Supervision Files: A change is proposed in paragraph (f) of the "routine uses"
NOTICES
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types traded in the securities exchanges in the United States, except for commodities not otherwise required to be released pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552, may be made available to a Member of Congress or staff acting upon the Member's behalf when the Member or staff requests the information on behalf of and at the request of the individual who is the subject of the record.

Release of information to the news media:

On-line access to data in NCIC is achieved by using the following search descriptors. 1. Vehicle File: (a) Vehicle identification number; (b) License plate number; (c) NCIC number. 2. License Plate File: (a) License plate number; (b) NCIC number. 3. Gun File: (a) Serial number of gun; (b) NCIC number. 4. Article File: (a) Serial number of article; (b) NCIC number. 5. Wanted Person File: (a) Name and one of the following numerical identifiers date of birth, FBI number (unique number assigned by the Federal Bureau of Investigation to an arrest fingerprint record), Social Security number; (b) driver's license number; (c) Miscellaneous identifying number (military number or number assigned by Federal, state, or local authorities to an individual's record. Originating agency case number, (b) Vehicle or license plate known to be in the possession of the wanted person; (c) NCIC number (unique number assigned to each NCIC record). 6. Securities File. (a) Security type, serial number or number assigned by an exchange; (b) Type of security and name of owner of security; (c) Social Security number of owner of security; (d) NCIC number. 7. Boat File: (a) Registration number of boat; (b) Hull number or number assigned by a Federal, state, or local authority; (c) NCIC number. 8. Computerized Criminal History File: (a) Name, sex, race, and date of birth; (b) FBI number; (c) State identification number; (d) Social Security Number; (e) Miscellaneous number. 9. Missing Person File—Same as "Wanted Person" File.

Safeguards:

Data stored in the NCIC is documented criminal justice agency information and access to that data is restricted to duly authorized criminal justice agencies. The following security measures are the minimum to be adopted by all criminal justice agencies having access to the NCIC Criminal Justice Information System. These measures are designed to prevent unauthorized access to the system data and/or unauthorized use of data obtained from the computerized file.

1. Computer Centers: a. The criminal justice agency computer site must have adequate physical security to protect against any unauthorized personnel gaining access to the computer equipment or to any of the stored data.

b. Since personnel at these computer centers can access data stored in the system, the system must be screened thoroughly under the authority and supervision of an NCIC control terminal agency. This screening will also apply to non-criminal justice agencies. c. All visitors to these computer centers must be accompanied by staff personnel at all times.

d. Computers having access to the NCIC must have the proper computer instructions written and other built-in controls to prevent criminal history data from being accessible to any terminals other than authorized terminals.

e. Computers having access to the NCIC must maintain a record of all transactions against the criminal history file in the same manner the NCIC computer logs all transactions. The NCIC identifies each specific agency entering or receiving information and maintains a record of those transactions.

The transaction record must be monitored and reviewed on a regular basis to determine possible misuse of criminal history data. Each state control terminal shall build its data system around a central computer, through which each inquiry must pass for screening and verification. The configuration and operation of the center shall provide for the integrity of the data base.

2. Communications: a. Lines/channels being used to transmit criminal history information must be dedicated solely to criminal justice use, i.e., there must be no terminals belonging to agencies outside the criminal justice system sharing these lines/channels.

b. Physical security of the lines/channels must be protected to prevent unauthorized access to the system and other built-in controls to prevent criminal history data from being accessible to any terminals other than authorized terminals.

c. Computers having access to the NCIC must maintain a record of all transactions against the criminal history file in the same manner the NCIC computer logs all transactions. The NCIC identifies each specific agency entering or receiving information and maintains a record of those transactions.

The transaction record must be monitored and reviewed on a regular basis to determine possible misuse of criminal history data. Each state control terminal shall build its data system around a central computer, through which each inquiry must pass for screening and verification. The configuration and operation of the center shall provide for the integrity of the data base.
must have terminal operators screened agency, b. The agencies having terminal locations within the authorized physically place these terminals in signals on the system must by required to minimum number of authorized employees. c. Copies of criminal history data obtained from terminal devices will maintain a hard copy of computerized criminal history inquiries with notations of individual making request for record (90 days).

Retention and disposal:
Unless otherwise removed, records will be retained in file as follows:
1. Vehicle File: a. Unrecovered stolen vehicle records (including snowmobile records) which do not contain vehicle identification numbers (VIN) therein, will be purged from file 90 days after the date on which plate expiration year as shown in the record. Unrecovered stolen vehicle records (including snowmobile records) which contain VIN's will remain in file for the year of entry plus 4. Unrecovered stolen vehicles wanted in conjunction with a felony will remain in file for 90 days after entry. In the event a longer retention period is desired, the vehicle must be reentered. b. Unrecovered stolen VIN plates, certificates of origin or title, and serially numbered stolen vehicle engines or transmissions will remain in file for the year of entry plus 4.
2. License Plate File: Unrecovered stolen license plates not associated with a vehicle will remain in file for one year after the end of the plate's expiration year as shown in the record.
3. Gun File: a. Unrecovered weapons will be retained in file for an indefinite period until action is taken by the original agency to clear the record. b. Weapons entered in file as "recovered" weapons will remain in file for the balance of the year entered plus 2.
4. Article File: Unrecovered stolen articles will be retained for the balance of the year entered plus one year.
5. Wanted Person File: Persons not located will remain in file indefinitely until action is taken by the originating agency to clear the record (except Temporary Felony Wants", which will be automatically removed from file after 48 hours).
6. Securities File: Unrecovered, stolen, embezzled, counterfeited or missing securities will be retained for the balance of the year entered plus 4, except for travelers checks and money orders, which will be retained for the balance of the year entered plus 2.
7. Boat File: Unrecovered stolen boats will be retained in file for the balance of the year entered plus 4.

8. Missing Person File: Will remain in the file until the individual is located or, in the case of unemancipated persons, the individual reaches the age of emancipation as defined by laws of his state.

System manager(s) and address:

Notification procedure:
Same as the above.

Record access procedures:
It is noted the Attorney General is exempting this system from the access and contest procedures of the Privacy Act. However, the following alternative procedures are available to a requester. The procedures by which an individual may obtain a copy of his Computerized Criminal History are as follows:
1. If an individual has a criminal record supported by fingerprints and that record has been entered in the NCIC CCH File, it is available to that individual for review, upon presentation of appropriate identification, and in accordance with applicable State and Federal administrative and statutory regulations.
2. If the cooperating law enforcement agency which has access to the NCIC CCH File, it is available to that individual for review, upon presentation of appropriate identification, and in accordance with applicable State and Federal administrative and statutory regulations.
3. If the cooperation law enforcement agency can make an identification with fingerprints previously taken which are on file locally and if the FBI identification number of the individual's record is available to that agency, it can make an on-line inquiry of NCIC to obtain his record on-line or, if it does not have suitable equipment to obtain an on-line response, obtain the record from Washington, D.C., by mail. The individual will then be afforded the opportunity to see that record.
4. If the cooperating law enforcement agency not have the individual's fingerprints on file locally, it is necessary for that agency to relate his prints to an existing record by having his identification prints compared with those already on file in the FBI or, possibly, in the State's central identification agency.

Contesting record procedures:
The subject of the requested record shall request the appropriate arresting agency, court, or correctional agency to initiate action necessary to correct any stated inaccuracy in his record or provide the information needed to make the record complete.

Record source categories:
Information contained in the NCIC system is obtained from local, State, Federal and international criminal justice agencies.

Systems exempted from certain provisions of the act:
The Attorney General has exempted this system from subsections (c) (3) and (4), (d), (e) (1), (2) and (3), (e)(4) (G), (H), (e)(8) (f), (g) and (m) of the Privacy Act pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(j). Rules have been promulgated in accordance with the requirements of 5 U.S.C. 553 (b), (c) and (e) and have been published in the Federal Register.
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System name:
Identification Division Records System.

System location:
Federal Bureau of Investigation; J. Edgar Hoover Bldg.; 10th and Pennsylvania Avenue NW.; Washington, D.C. 20535.

Categories of individuals covered by the system:
A. Individuals fingerprinted as a result of arrest or incarceration by Federal, state or local law enforcement agencies.
B. Persons fingerprinted as a result of Federal, state or local civil records are maintained in separate compilations of criminal history information pertaining to individuals who have criminal fingerprint cards maintained in the system.
C. Identification records sometimes referred to as "rap sheets" which are compilations of criminal history information pertaining to individuals who have criminal fingerprint cards maintained in the system.
D. An alphabetical name index pertaining to each individual whose fingerprints are maintained in the system. The criminal records and the civil records are maintained in sepa-
rate files and each file has an alphabetical name index related to the data contained therein.

Authority for maintenance of the system:

The system is established, maintained, and authorized under authority granted by 28 U.S.C. 534 and P.L. 92-544 (86 Stat. 1115). The authority is also codified in 28 C.F.R. 0.85 (b), and (j).

Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:

The FBI operates the Identification Division Records System to perform identification and criminal history record information functions for federal, state, local, and foreign criminal justice agencies, and for noncriminal justice agencies, and other entities where authorized by Federal statute, state statute pursuant to Public Law 92-544 (86 Stat. 1115), Presidential executive order, or regulation of the Attorney General of the United States. In addition, identification assistance is provided in disasters and for other humanitarian purposes. Dissemination is also conducted in accordance with Public Law 94-43, known as the Securities Acts Amendments of 1975.

Release of information to the news media: Information permitted to be released to the news media and the public pursuant to 28 C.F.R. 50.2 may be made available to systems of records maintained by the Department of Justice unless it is determined that release of the specific information in the context of a particular case would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

Release of information to Members of Congress. Information contained in a system of records maintained by the Department of Justice not otherwise required to be released pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552, may be made available to a Member of Congress or staff acting upon the Member's behalf when the Member requests the information on behalf of and at the request of the individual who is the subject of the record.

Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:

Release of information to the National Archives and Records Service: A record from a system of records may be disclosed as a routine use to the National Archives and Records Service (NARS) in records management inspections conducted under the authority of 44 U.S.C. 2904 and 2906.

Policies and practices for storing, retrieving, accessing, retaining, and disposing of records in the system:

Storage:

Information in the system is stored manually in file cabinets either in its natural state or on microfilm. In addition, some of the information is stored electronically in converting the manual system to an automated system.

Retrievability:

(1) All information in the system is retrievable by technical fingerprint classification index and positive identification is effected only by comparison of the unique characteristics obtained from fingerprint impressions submitted for search against the fingerprint cards maintained within the system.

(2) An auxiliary means of retrieval is through the alphabetical name indexes which contain names of the individuals, their birth data, other physical descriptors and the individuals' technical fingerprint classifications and FBI numbers, if such have been assigned.

(3) The name of an individual and his FBI number may assist in retrieval of information about that individual from within the system. Since July 1971, all individuals whose fingerprints have been placed in the criminal file have been assigned unique FBI numbers. Prior to July 1971, all individuals who had two or more fingerprint cards in the criminal file were assigned FBI numbers.

Safeguards:

Information in the system is unclassified. Disclosure of information within the system is made only to authorized recipients upon authentication and verification of the right to access the system by such persons and agencies. The physical security and maintenance of information within the system is provided by FBI rules, regulations and procedures.

Retention and disposal:

(1) The Archivist of the United States has approved the destruction of records maintained in the criminal file when the records indicate individuals have reached 80 years of age and the destruction of records maintained in the civil file when the records indicate individuals have reached 75 years of age.

(2) Fingerprint cards and related arrest data in the system are destroyed seven years following notification of the death of an individual whose record is maintained within the system.

(3) Fingerprint cards submitted by state and local criminal justice agencies are returned upon requests of the submitting agencies. The return of a fingerprint card under this procedure results in the deletion from the system of all arrest information related to that fingerprint card.

(4) Fingerprint cards and related arrest data are removed from the Identification Division Records System upon receipt of Federal court orders for expunctions when accompanied by necessary identifying information. Routine use of jurisdiction of local and state courts over an entity of the Federal Government, the Identification Division Records System, as a matter of comity, returns fingerprint cards and related arrest data to local and state criminal justice agencies upon receipt of orders of expunction directed to such agencies by local and state courts when accompanied by necessary identifying information.

System manager(s) and address:

Director; Federal Bureau of Investigation; 10th and Pennsylvania Avenue NW; Washington, D.C. 20535.

Notification procedure:

Address inquiries to the System Manager. The Attorney General has exempted the Identification Division Records System from compliance with subsection (d) of the Act.

Record access procedures:

The Attorney General has exempted the Identification Division Records System from compliance with subsection (d) of the Act. However, pursuant to 28 CFR 16.30-34, and Rules and Regulations promulgated by the Department of Justice on May 20, 1975 at 40 FR 22114 (Sec. 20.34) for Criminal Justice Information System, an individual is permitted access to his identification record maintained in the Identification Division Records System and procedures are furnished for correcting or challenging alleged deficiencies appearing therein.

Contesting record procedures:

Same as the above.

Record source categories:

See Categories of Individuals.

Systems exempted from certain provisions of the Act:

The Attorney General has exempted this system from subsections (c) (3) and (4), (d) (1), (2) and (3), (e) (4), (G), (H), (e) (5) and (6), (f), (g) and (m) of the Privacy Act pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(j). Rules have been promulgated in accordance with the requirements of 5 U.S.C. 553 (b), (c) and (e) and have been published in the Federal Register.

JUSTICE/DAG-002

System name:

Freedom of Information and Privacy Appeals Index.

System location:

NOTICES

Categories of individuals covered by the system:

The system encompasses all individuals who submit administrative appeals under the Freedom of Information or Privacy Acts and initial requests for access to records located in the Office of the Attorney General, Deputy Attorney General, or Associate Attorney General.

Categories of records in the system:

The system contains copies of administrative requests, appeals and other related correspondence filed under the Freedom of Information and Privacy Acts and copies are filed sequentially by date of receipt based on a numerical identifier assigned to each appeal. Also included are index cards which list the name of the appellant and the numerical identifier assigned.

Authority for maintenance of the system:

The system was established and is maintained to enable the Office of the Deputy Attorney General to comply with the reporting requirements set forth in 5 U.S.C. 552 and 552a.

Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:

These records are maintained for the purpose of processing administrative requests and appeals under the Freedom of Information and Privacy Acts and to comply with the reporting requirements of those Acts.

Release of information to the news media: Information permitted to be released to the news media and the public pursuant to 28 CFR 50.2 may be made available from systems of records maintained by the Department of Justice unless it is determined that release of the specific information in the context of a particular case would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

Release of information to Members of Congress. Information contained in systems of records maintained by the Department of Justice, not otherwise required to be released pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552, may be made available to a Member of Congress or staff acting upon the Member's behalf when the Member or staff requests the information on behalf of and at the request of the individual who is the subject of the record.

Release of information to the National Archives and Records Service: A record from a system of records may be disclosed as a routine use to the National Archives and Records Service (NARS) in records management inspections conducted under the authority of 44 U.S.C. 2904 and 2906.

Policies and practices for storing, retrieving, accessing, retaining, and disposing of records in the system:

Storage:

These records are stored in file folders in cabinets.

Retrievability:

These folders are filed by the number assigned to each.

Safeguards:

These records are stored in cabinets in a lockable room.

Retention and disposal:

These folders are kept indefinitely.

System manager(s) and address:

Director, Office of Privacy and Information Appeals, Office of the Deputy Attorney General; United States Department of Justice; 10th and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20530.

Notification procedure:

Same as the System Manager.

Record access procedures:

Same as the System Manager.

Contesting record procedures:

Same as the System Manager.

Record source categories:

Those individuals who submit certain requests and all appeals under the Freedom of Information and Privacy Acts.

Systems exempted from certain provisions of the act:

None.

JUSTICE/PRC-002

System name:

Freedom of Information and Privacy Act Record System:

System location:

Records may be retained at any of the Regional Offices as indicated in the Inmate and Supervision Files System and the Headquarters Office. All requests for records may be made to the Central Office: United States Parole Commission: 320 First Street NW.; Washington, D.C. 20537; Attn: Executive Assistant to Chairman, or to the appropriate Regional Office.

Categories of individuals covered by the system:

Current and former inmates under the custody of the Attorney General, including former inmates on supervision.

Categories of records in the system:

(1) Administrative Requests and Responses to requests for information and records under 5 U.S.C. 552 and 552a and appeals from denials of data; (2) Final orders of Commission following all parole rescission and revocation hearings, record reviews, and appeals are maintained in the Freedom of Information Act Reading Room at Commission Headquarters with names and register numbers removed to protect individual privacy of inmates and persons on supervision. Final decisions in labor and pension cases are maintained in said reading room.

Authority for maintenance of the system:

5 U.S.C. 552 and 552a.

Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:

The system is used: (a) to maintain records concerning the processing and determination of requests for information made pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552 and Privacy Act, 552a; and make final orders available in a reading room pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552; (b) to provide documentation of receipt and processing requests for information made pursuant to the Freedom of Information and Privacy Acts if needed for processing contested denials of release of data; (c) to furnish information to employees of the Department of Justice who have a need for information from the system in performance of their duties; (d) to maintain a count of requests and method of compliance as required by Freedom of Information and Privacy Acts.

Release of information to the news media: Information permitted to be released to the news media and the public pursuant to 28 CFR 50.2 may be made available from systems of records maintained by the U.S. Parole Commission unless it is determined that release of the specific information in the context of a particular case would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

Release of information to Members of Congress. Information contained in systems of records maintained by the U.S. Parole Commission not otherwise required to be released pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552, may be made available to a Member of Congress or staff acting upon the Member's behalf when the Member or staff requests the information on behalf of and with the consent of the individual who is the subject of the record.

Release of information to the National Archives and Records Service: A record from a system of records may be disclosed as a routine use to the National Archives and Records Service (NARS) in records management inspections conducted under the authority of 44 U.S.C. 2904 and 2906.
Policies and practices for storing, retrieving, accessing, retaining, and disposing of records in the system:

Storage:
Information maintained in the system is stored on documents.

Retrievability:
Documents are indexed by name and/or register number. Final orders in the reading room are indexed by type, and within each type the source (Region or National Appeals Board).

Safeguards:
Information is stored in file cabinets in rooms supervised by day and locked at night and are made available to Commission personnel and other Department of Justice employees on a "need to know" basis. Each requester may see his own file. The public may use the reading room.

Retention and disposal:
Records in this system are retained for a period of ten (10) years after expiration of sentence, then destroyed by shredding.

System manager(s) and address:
General Counsel; United States Parole Commission; 320 First Street NW; Washington, D.C. 20537.

Notification procedure:
Same as the above.

Record access procedures:
Same as the above.

Contesting record procedures:
Same as the above.

Record source categories:
(1) Inmates and persons on supervision; (2) Department of Justice employees.

Systems exempted from certain provisions of the act:
None.

NOTICES

System name:
Inmate and Supervision Files.

System location:
Records are maintained at each of the Commission's Regional Offices for inmates incarcerated in and persons under supervision in each region. Records are housed temporarily at the Commission's Headquarters office located at 320 First Street, Washington, D.C. 20537 when used by the National Appeals Board or other Headquarters personnel. Prior to the first parole hearing, the inmate's file is maintained at the institution at which he is incarcerated. All requests for records should be made to the appropriate regional office at the following addresses: U.S. Parole Commission; Scotti Plaza II; Industrial Highway, 6th Floor; Philadelphia, Pa. 19113. U.S. Parole Commission; 3500 Greenbriar Parkway Blg. 6300; Atlanta, Ga. 30331. U.S. Parole Commission; KCI Bank Bldg.; 8800 N.W. 112th Street; Kansas City, Mo. 64153. U.S. Parole Commission; 3863 Turtle Creek Blvd., Suite I; Dallas, Tex. 75219. U.S. Parole Commission; 330 Primrose Drive, 5th Floor; Burlingame, Calif. 94010.

Categories of individuals covered by the system:
Current and former inmates under the custody of the Attorney General. Former inmates include those presently under supervision as parolees or mandatory releasees.

Categories of records in the system:
1. Computation of sentence and supportive documentation.
2. Correspondence concerning pending charges, and wanted status, including warrants.
3. Requests from other federal and non-federal law enforcement agencies for notification prior to release.
4. Records of the allowance, forfeiture, withholding and restoration of good time.
5. Information concerning present offense, prior criminal background, sentence and parole from the U.S. Attorneys, the Federal Courts, and federal prosecuting agencies.
6. Identification data, physical description, photograph and fingerprints.
7. Order of designation of institution of original commitment.
8. Records and reports of work and housing assignments.
10. Conduct records.
11. Social background.
12. Educational data.
13. Physical and mental health data.
14. Parole Commission applications, appeal documentation, orders, actions, examiner's summaries, transcripts or tapes of hearings, guideline evaluation documents, parole or mandatory release certificates, statements of third parties for or against parole, special reports on youthful offenders and adults required by statute and related documents.
15. Correspondence regarding release planning, adjustment and violations.
16. Transfer orders.
17. Mail and visit records.
18. Personal property records.
19. Safety reports and rules.
20. Release processing forms and certificates.
21. Interview request forms from inmates.

22. General correspondence.
24. Reports of probation officers, Commission correspondence with former inmates and others, and Commission orders and memoranda dealing with provisions and conditions of parole or mandatory release.
25. If an alleged parole violation exists, correspondence requesting a revocation warrant, warrant application, warrant, instructions as to service, retainers and related documents.

Authority for maintenance of the system:

Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:
(a) The file is the 'working tool' used by Parole Commission examiners to frame the questions at the initial hearing. After that hearing, it is placed in the appropriate regional office where it provides the principle information source for decisions necessary during the pre-release stage (before parole), the review hearing or record review, and the post release stage (when supervision takes place). It is sent temporarily to Commission Headquarters when appeals come before the National Appeals Board or when needed by Counsel and others on the Headquarters Staff. It is used by employees at all levels including Commission Members to provide the information for decision making in every area of Commission responsibility. Files of released inmates are used to make statistical studies of subjects related to parole and revocation.
(b) The system is used to provide information to employees of the Department of Justice who have a need for the information in the performance of their duties.
(c) The system is used to provide information sources and employees of the Department of Justice for responding to inquiries from federal inmates involved, their families or representatives, or Congressional inquiries.
(d) The system is used to provide information for responding to inquiries from federal inmates involved, their families or representatives, or Congressional inquiries.

(e) External Users—U.S. Probation Officers, who supervise parolees and mandatory releasees, and U.S. District Court Judges to review the status of sentences or when Commission action is attacked in litigation. Very rarely, to enforcement authorities outside of the Department of Justice.
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Systems exempted from certain provisions of the act:
The Attorney General has exempted this system from subsections (e)(3) and (4), (d), (e)(2) and (3), (e)(4)(G) and (H), (e)(8), (f) and (g) of the Privacy Act pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a (j)(2). Rules have been promulgated in accordance with the requirements of 5 U.S.C. 552(b), (c) and (e) and have been published in the Federal Register.

JUSTICE/PRC-007

System name:
Workload Record, Decision Result, and Annual Report System.

System location:
U.S. Parole Commission Headquarters; 320 First Street, 3d Floor; Washington, D.C. 20537.

Categories of individuals covered by the system:
Any inmate and parolee or mandatorily released who has been the subject of a decision for the period covered in the report for which the data is used (prior month, prior quarter, or prior year).

Categories of records in the system:
Certain original input forms indicate the inmate or person under supervision by name and register number and give the date and specific statistical detail as to the decision made. They include criminal history type of information regarding the persons in question. Types of decisions covered in order of the form numbers above are after hearing or record review, after recommendation, after National Appeal, and after a decision reopening and modifying. The data is input into a computer through punch cards and is used to provide the following: (a) A monthly report of workload containing number and type of hearings per region further broken out by institutions within regions and type of sentence; (b) A quarterly report on decision results indicating, among other statistics, number and type of decisions within, above, and below guidelines broken out by examiners making the decisions; (c) Together with hand posted data on other items of statistical value, this data is being used to create the Annual Report of the Commission.

Authority for maintenance of the system:

Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:
(a) These records are used internally to analyze work product, the perfor-
mance of evaluators, and various types of procedures and hearings and to evaluate the guidelines themselves.

(b) These records are used to prepare an indication of whether General and Congress and the public is indicating in quantitative and qualitative terms Commission activity and accomplishment.

(c) In the event that material in this system indicates a violation or potential violation of law, whether civil, criminal or regulatory in nature, and whether arising by general statute, or by regulation, rule or order issued pursuant thereto, the relevant records may be referred to the appropriate agency, whether federal, state, local or foreign, charged with the responsibility of investigating or prosecuting such violation or charged with enforcing or implementing the statute, rule, regulation or order issued pursuant thereto.

(d) A record from this system of records may be disclosed to a federal, state or local agency maintaining civil, criminal or other relevant information if necessary to obtain information relevant to Parole Commission matters.

(e) A record from this system may be disclosed to a federal agency, in response to its request, in connection with the hiring or retention of an employee, the issuance of a security clearance, the reporting of an investigation of an employee, the letting of a contract, or the issuance of a license, grant or other benefit by the requesting agency, to the extent that information is relevant and necessary to the requesting agency's decision on the matter.

Release of information to the news media: Information permitted to be released to the news media and the public pursuant to 28 C.F.R. 50.2 may be made available from systems of records maintained by the U.S. Parole Commission only if it is determined that release of the specific information in the context of a particular case would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

Release of information to Members of Congress: Information contained in systems of records maintained by the U.S. Parole Commission not otherwise required to be released pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552, may be made available to a Member or Congress or staff acting upon the Member's behalf when the Member of staff requests the information on behalf of and with the consent of the individual who is the subject of the record.

Release of information to the National Archives and Records Service: A record from a system of records may be disclosed as a routine use to the National Archives and Records Service (NARS) in records management in connection with the guidelines and other similar means except for individual case retrievability in the guidelines section of the quarterly report. Except for this, there is no output from this system now produced in which any information is identifiable by the name or register number of any person. Such identification exists in the input and storage data area.

Safeguards: Data on forms and IBM cards and/or tape retrievable by individual is stored in the Research Sections Office in cabinets. Research personnel (all selected Commission employees) supervise this data by day and use it on a 'need to know' basis. The room where it is stored is locked outside of office hours, and the entire Headquarters building is guarded and secured. Monthly and quarterly reports are for use of the Chairman, his Executive Assistant and Commission Members and professional personnel. No information thereon is retrievable as pertaining to any individual except certain breakouts by Parole Commission employee examiners and by inmate in the guideline section of the quarterly reports. These printouts are stored in the Commission Headquarters offices, all of which are supervised by day, locked at night, and are in a secured building. The Annual Report contains no information identifiable by individual and is a public document.

Retention and disposal: 1. Completed input forms—Until data is keypunched into IBM cards—usually one month after forms are completed. They are then destroyed; 2. IBM card decks or tape substitute—Ten years after preparation, cards will be destroyed, tape degaussed; 3. Printouts of annual and quarterly reports—10 years; 4. Annual Reports—Some copies retained perpetually in Archives.

System manager(s) and address: Executive Assistant to the Chairman, Room 354-B, U.S. Parole Commission, 320 First Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20537.

Record source categories: (a) Commission inmate files; (b) Docket sheets; (c) Commission notices of action, orders and documentation following hearings; (d) Commission warrant applications and warrants; (e) General Commission records and data.

Systems exempted from certain provisions of the act: The Attorney General has exempted this system from subsec- 

(e)(4)(G) and (H), (e)(8), (f) and (g) of the Privacy Act pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a (J)(k). Rules have been promulgated in accordance with the requirements of 5 U.S.C. 553(b), (c) and (e) and have been published in the Federal Register.

JUSTICE/CIV-002

System name: Civil Division Case File System: Customs Section.

System location: 26 Federal Plaza, New York, N.Y. 10007, and U.S. Department of Justice Data Services Center, 10th and constitution Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20530.

Categories of individuals covered by the system: Any and all parties and counsel involved in the cases handled by the Customs Section of the Civil Division will have identifying data contained in this system.

Categories of records in the system:

1. The main record of the system is the case file which is retained on each case under the jurisdiction of the Customs Section of the Civil Division and constitutes the official record of the Department of Justice thereon. All record material relating to a case is retained in the file. Each file is assigned the Customs Court number given to the summons filed in that court or, in cases filed prior to October 1, 1970, to reappraisal appeals or to protests filed with the Customs Court.

The number assigned to the file will change to the number assigned by the Court of Customs and Patent Appeals, if that case becomes the subject of an appeal before that court. In addition, the Customs
Section retains a log of communications received and communications sent. The correspondence is identified thereon by court received and communications sent. The communication, and the person receiving it.
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Certain information contained in the record may also be disseminated to the U.S. Customs Service, the Depart-
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diction of an agency, or where the agency or officials may be affected by a case or matter, pleas bargaining, or formal or informal discovery proceedings;

(6) A record relating to a case or matter that has been referred by an agency for in-

vestigation, prosecution, or enforcement, or to any other agency or individual concerned with the

maintenance, transportation, or release of such a person;

(7) A record relating to a case or matter may be disseminated to a foreign country pursuant to an international treaty or con-

vention entered into and ratified by the United States or to an executive agreement, including the defense of all suits in the Court of

attacks by the United States in any court or tribunal of the United States or one of its officers or agencies has an interest;

(9) A record may be disseminated to a for-
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partment of State or directly to the repre-
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Release of information to Members of Congress: Information contained in systems of records maintained by the Department of Justice, not otherwise required to be released pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552, may be made available to a Member of Congress or staff acting upon the Member's behalf when the Member or staff requests the informa-

tion, in accordance with the provisions of 28 CFR 17.60.

Release of information to the news media: Information permitted to be re-

leased to the news media and the public pursuant to 28 CFR 50.2 may be made available from systems of rec-

ords maintained by the Department of Justice unless it is determined that the release of the specific information in the context of a particular case would con-

stitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

Release of information to Members of Congress: Information contained in systems of records maintained by the Department of Justice, not otherwise required to be released pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552, may be made available to a Member of Congress or staff acting upon the Member's behalf when the Member or staff requests the informa-

tion, in accordance with the provisions of 28 CFR 17.60.

Release of information to the news media: Information permitted to be re-

leased to the news media and the public pursuant to 28 CFR 50.2 may be made available from systems of rec-

ords maintained by the Department of Justice, not otherwise required to be released pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552, may be made available to a Member of Congress or staff acting upon the Member's behalf when the Member or staff requests the informa-

tion, in accordance with the provisions of 28 CFR 17.60.

Release of information to Members of Congress: Information contained in systems of records maintained by the Department of Justice, not otherwise required to be released pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552, may be made available to a Member of Congress or staff acting upon the Member's behalf when the Member or staff requests the informa-

tion, in accordance with the provisions of 28 CFR 17.60.

Release of information to the news media: Information permitted to be re-

leased to the news media and the public pursuant to 28 CFR 50.2 may be made available from systems of rec-

ords maintained by the Department of Justice, not otherwise required to be released pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552, may be made available to a Member of Congress or staff acting upon the Member's behalf when the Member or staff requests the informa-

tion, in accordance with the provisions of 28 CFR 17.60.

Release of information to Members of Congress: Information contained in systems of records maintained by the Department of Justice, not otherwise required to be released pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552, may be made available to a Member of Congress or staff acting upon the Member's behalf when the Member or staff requests the informa-

tion, in accordance with the provisions of 28 CFR 17.60.

Release of information to the news media: Information permitted to be re-

leased to the news media and the public pursuant to 28 CFR 50.2 may be made available from systems of rec-

ords maintained by the Department of Justice, not otherwise required to be released pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552, may be made available to a Member of Congress or staff acting upon the Member's behalf when the Member or staff requests the informa-

tion, in accordance with the provisions of 28 CFR 17.60.

Release of information to Members of Congress: Information contained in systems of records maintained by the Department of Justice, not otherwise required to be released pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552, may be made available to a Member of Congress or staff acting upon the Member's behalf when the Member or staff requests the informa-

information, in accordance with the provisions of 28 CFR 17.60.

Release of information to Members of Congress: Information contained in systems of records maintained by the Department of Justice, not otherwise required to be released pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552, may be made available to a Member of Congress or staff acting upon the Member's behalf when the Member or staff requests the informa-

information, in accordance with the provisions of 28 CFR 17.60.
Retention and disposal:
(1) Closed case files are sent to the Federal Records Center for retention in accordance with the authorized Records Disposal Schedule for the classification of the case. Such schedules are approved by the National Archives. After the designated period is passed, the file is destroyed. The communication logs are sent to the Federal Records Center for retention in accordance with the authorized records disposal schedule after five years. After the designated period those records are also destroyed. The index cards, however, are not purged. (2) Periodically, the inactive cases will be purged from the main tape in the data processing system and transferred to the historical tape where the information will be retained indefinitely. There is a provision in the data processing system to delete and remove an entire case history from the main or historical tape. This may be done on request from the Chief of the Customs Section and such removed cases will not be saved or written on any other tape.

System manager(s) and address:
Assistant Attorney General, Civil Division, U.S. Department of Justice, 10th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20530.

Notification procedure:
Address inquiries to Assistant Attorney General, Civil Division; U.S. Department of Justice; 10th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20530.

Record access procedures:
A request for information concerning the cases of the Customs Section of the Civil Division should be submitted in writing, with the envelope and letter clearly marked “Privacy Access Request”. The request should include the file number and/or the names of any non-government litigant known to the requestor. The requestor should also provide a return address for transmitting the information. Such access request should be submitted to the System Manager listed above.

Contesting record procedures:
Individuals desiring to contest or amend information maintained in the system should direct their request to the Assistant Attorney General, Department of Justice, 10th and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20530. The request should clearly state what information is being contested, the reasons for contesting it, and the proposed amendment to the information sought.

Record source categories:
All litigants involved in the cases of this Division are sources of information. Such information is either contained in the record material in the case files or has been extracted from that record material and put on communication logs and/or index cards.

Systems exempted from certain provisions of the act:
None.

JUSTICE/USA-005
System name:
Civil Case Files.
System location:
Ninety-four United States Attorneys' Offices (See attached Appendix).

Categories of individuals covered by the system:
(a) Individuals being investigated in anticipation of Civil suits; (b) Individuals involved in Civil suits; (c) Defense Counsel; (d) Information sources; (e) Individuals relevant to the development of Civil suits.

Categories of records in the system:
(a) All Civil Cases Files (USA-34); (b) Docket Cards (USA-116); (c) Civil Debtor Cards—(USA-117b); (d) Civil Case Activity Card (USA-164); (e) Civil Debtor Activity Card (USA-166); (f) 3" x 5" Index Cards; (g) Caselead Printouts; (h) General Correspondence re: Civil Cases; (i) Reading Files re: Civil Cases; (j) Information Source File; (k) Attorney Assignment sheets; (l) Telephone records; (m) Miscellaneous Investigative files; (n) Lands Condemnation files (Appraisal and Negotiator Reports); (o) Tax Case Resource File; (p) Material in Civil File related to Criminal cases arising out of Civil Proceedings; (q) Search Warrants; (r) Files uniques to District; (s) Civil Miscellaneous Correspondence File.

Authority for maintenance of the system:
These systems are established and maintained pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 301 and 44 U.S.C. 3101.

Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:
A record maintained in this system of records may be disseminated as a routine use of such record as follows:
(a) in any case in which there is an indication of a violation or potential violation of law, civil, or regulatory in nature, the record in question may be disseminated to the appropriate federal, state, local or foreign agency charged with the responsibility for investigating, defending or pursuing such violation, civil claim or remedy, or charged with enforcing, defending or implementing such law;
(b) in the course of investigating the potential or actual violation or civil liability of any government action or
law, civil, or regulatory in nature, or during the course of a trial or hearing or the preparation for a trial or hearing. The record may be disseminated to a federal, state, local, or foreign agency, or to an individual or organization, if there is reason to believe that such agency, individual, or organization possesses information in connection with the investigation or civil action trial, or hearing and the dissemination is reasonably necessary to elicit such information or to obtain the cooperation of a witness or an agency;

(c) a record relating to a case or matter may be disseminated in an appropriate federal, state, local, or foreign court or grand jury proceeding in accordance with established constitutional, substantive, or procedural law or practice;

(d) a record relating to a case or matter may be disseminated to a federal, state, or local administrative or regulatory proceeding or hearing according to the procedures governing such proceeding or hearing;

(e) a record relating to a case or matter may be disseminated to an actual or potential party or his attorney for the purpose of negotiation or discussion on such matters as settlement of the case or matter, or in formal discovery proceedings;

(f) a record relating to a case or matter that has been referred by an agency for investigation, civil action, or enforcement, or that involves a case or matter within the jurisdiction of an agency, may be disseminated to such agency to notify the agency of the status of the case or matter or of any decision or determination that has been made, or to make such other inquiries and reports as are necessary during the processing of the case or matter;

(g) a record relating to a case or matter may be disseminated to a foreign country pursuant to an international treaty or convention entered into and ratified by the United States or to an executive agreement;

(h) a record may be disseminated to a federal, state, local, foreign, or international law enforcement agency to assist in the general crime prevention and detection efforts of the recipient agency or to provide investigative leads to such agency or to assist in general civil matters or cases;

(i) a record may be disseminated to a federal agency, in response to its request, in connection with the hiring or retention of an employee, the issuance of a security clearance, the reporting of an investigation of an employee, the letting of a contract, or the issuance of a license, grant, or other benefit by the requesting agency, to the extent that the information relates to the requesting agency's decision on the matter;

(j) a record may be disseminated to the public, news media, trade associations, or organized groups, when the purpose of the dissemination is educational or informational, such as descriptions of types or courses of action or distinctive or unique modus operandi, provided that the record does not contain any information identifiable to a specific individual other than such modus operandi;

(k) a record may be disseminated to a foreign country, through the United States Department of State or directly to the representative of such country, to the extent necessary to assist such country in general crime prevention, the pursuit of general civil, regulatory or administrative civil actions or to provide investigative leads to such country, or assist in the location and/or returning of witnesses and other evidence;

(l) a record that contains classified national security information and material may be disseminated to persons who are engaged in historical research projects, or who have previously occupied positions in which they were appointed by the President, in accordance with the provisions codified in 28 CFR 17.60.

(m) a record relating to an actual or potential civil or criminal violation of title 17, United States Code, may be disseminated to a person injured by such violation to assist him in the institution or maintenance of a suit brought under such title.

Release of information to the news media: Information permitted to be released to the news media and the public pursuant to 28 CFR 50.2 may be made available from systems of records maintained by the Department of Justice unless it is determined that release of the specific information in the context of a particular case would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

Release of information to Members of Congress: Information contained in systems of records maintained by the Department of Justice, not otherwise required to be released pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552, may be made available to a Member of Congress or staff acting upon the Member's behalf when the Member or staff requests the information on behalf of and at the request of the individual who is the subject of the record.

Release of information to the National Archives and Records Service: A record from a system of records may be disclosed as a routine use to the National Archives and Records Service in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552a(j)(2), (k)(1) and (k)(2). To the extent that this system is not subject to exemption, it is subject to access. A determination as to examination shall be made at the time a request for access is received. A request for access to a record from this system shall be made in writing, with the envelope
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and the letter clearly marked "Privacy Access Request." Include in the request the name of the individual involved, his birth date and place, or any other identifying number or information which may be of assistance in locating the record and the name of the case or matter involved, if known. The requester will also provide a return address for transmitting the information. Access requests will be directed to the System Manager. (See attached appendix.)

Contesting record procedures:

The major part of the information maintained in this system is exempt from this requirement under 5 U.S.C. 552a (j)(2), (k)(1) and/or (k)(2). To the extent that this system is not subject to exemption, it is subject to contest. A determination as to exemption shall be made at the time a request for contest is received. Individuals desiring to contest or amend information maintained in this system should direct their request to the System Manager (see attached appendix) stating clearly and concisely what information is being contested, the reasons for contest, and the proposed amendment to the information sought.

Record source categories:

Sources of Information contained in this system include, but are not limited to investigative reports of federal, state and local law enforcement, civil litigation, regulatory and administrative agencies; client agencies of the Department of Justice; other non-Department of Justice investigative agencies; forensic reports; statements of witnesses and parties; verbatim transcripts of deposition and court proceedings; data, memoranda and reports from the court and agencies thereof; and the work product of Assistant United States Attorneys, Department of Justice attorneys and staff, and legal assistants working on particular cases.

Categories of individuals covered by the system:

A. Drug offenders.
B. Alleged drug offenders.
C. Persons suspected of drug offenses.
D. Confidential informants.
E. Defendants.
F. Witness.
G. Non-implicated persons with pertinent knowledge of some circumstance or aspect of a case or suspect.

These are pertinent references of fact developed by personal interview or third party interview and are recorded as a matter for which a probable need for recall will exist. In the regulatory portion of the system, records are maintained on the following categories of individuals: (a) Applicants registered with DEA under the Comprehensive Drug Abuse Prevention and Control Act of 1970; (b) Persons registered with DEA under the Controlled Substances Act of 1970; (c) Non-implicated persons with pertinent knowledge of some circumstance or aspect of a case or suspect.

Categories of records in the system:

The Investigative Reporting and Filing System includes, among other things, a system of records as defined in the Privacy Act of 1974. Individual records, i.e. items of information on an individual may be decentralized in separate investigative file folders. Such records as well as certain other records on persons and subjects not covered by the act, are made retrievable and are retrieved by reference to the following subsystems:

A. The Narcotics and Dangerous Drugs Information System (NADDIS) consists of two centralized automated indexes and machine readable records. The indexes are generated from selection criteria and an autonomous means of developing investigative leads and aids in selecting source materials for studies of a strategic nature. The system is accessible by telecommunications equipment. Bulk products generated via off-line runs may be formatted on computer tape, printed on microfiche depending on the needs of the user.

Direct references to the discrete file folders in which the source files are located are provided within the system. Therefore, the NADDIS records point to the more comprehensive manual reports maintained centrally at Headquarters. Records are retrievable by name and by certain identifying numbers in the on-line mode and by virtually any record data element in the off-line mode.
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Justice/DEA-008

System name:
Investigative Reporting and Filing System.

System location:
Drug Enforcement Administration; 1405 I Street NW., Washington, D.C.

System exempted from certain provisions of the act:
The Attorney General has exempted this system from subsections (c) (3) (4), (d), (e) (1), (2) and (3), (e)(4) (5) and (H), (e) (5) and (8), (f), (g) and (l) of the Privacy Act pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a (j)(2) and (k) (1) and (2). Rules have been promulgated in accordance with the requirements of 5 U.S.C. 553 (b) (c) and (e) and have been published in the Federal Register.

Justice/DEA-008

System name:
Investigative Reporting and Filing System.

System location:
Drug Enforcement Administration; 1405 I Street NW., Washington, D.C.
organization Plan No. 2 of 1973, and to fulfill United States obligations under the Single Convention on Narcotics Drugs. Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:

This system may be used as a data source or reference facility for numerous summary, management and statistical reports produced by the Drug Enforcement Administration. Only on rare occasions do such reports contain identifiable individual records. Information contained in this system is provided to the following categories of users as a matter of routine use for law enforcement and regulatory purposes:

(a) Other Federal law enforcement and regulatory agencies; (b) State and local law enforcement agencies; (c) Foreign law enforcement agencies with whom DEA maintains liaison; (d) The Department of Defense and Military Departments; (e) The Department of State; (f) U.S. Intelligence agencies concerned with drug enforcement; (g) The United Nations in U.N. (Interpol); (h) To individuals and organizations in the course of investigations to elicit information.

In addition, disclosures are routinely made to the following categories for the purposes stated:

(a) To Federal agencies for national security clearance purposes and to federal and state regulatory agencies responsible for the licensing or certification of individuals in the fields of pharmacy and medicine; (b) To the Office of Management and Budget upon request in order to justify the allocation of resources; (c) To State and local prosecutors for assistance in preparing cases concerning criminal and regulatory matters; (d) To the news media for public information purposes; (e) To respondents and their attorneys for purposes of discovery, formal and informal, in the course of an adjudicatory, rule-making, or other hearing held pursuant to the Controlled Substances Act of 1970.

Release of information to the news media: Information permitted to be released to the news media and the public pursuant to 26 CFR 50.2 may be made available to systems of records maintained by the Department of Justice unless it is determined that release of the specific information in the context of a particular case would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

Release of information to Members of Congress. Information contained in systems of records maintained by the Department of Justice, not otherwise required to be released pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552, may be made available to a Member of Congress or staff acting upon the Member’s behalf when the Member or staff requests the information on behalf of and at the request of the individual who is the subject of the record.

Release of information to the National Archives and Records Service: A record from a system of records may be disclosed as a routine use to the National Archives and Records Service (NARS) in records management inspections conducted under the authority of 44 U.S.C. 2904 and 2906.

Policies and practices for storing, retrieving, accessing, retaining, and disposing of records in the system:

Administration regulations include detailed instructions for the preparation, adoption, handling, dissemination, indexing of individual records, storage, safeguarding of investigative reports and the accounting of disclosure of individual records.

Storage:

1. The Headquarters central files and the field office subsets of the Investigative Reporting and Filing System are maintained in standard file folders. Standard formats are employed. Manual indices are maintained using standard index record formats.

2. The Narcotics and Dangerous Drugs Information subset is stored electronically on the Department of Justice Information System separate from DEA Headquarters.

Retrievability:

Access to individual records is gained by reference to either the automated or manual indices. Retrievability is a function of the presence of items in the index and the matching of names in the index with search argument names or identifying numbers in the case of the automated system. Files identified from field office indices are held by the field office and Headquarters. Files identified from the automated index may not be held by the interested office, but the originators of such files are identified. In addition a number of telecommunication terminals have been added to the existing network.

Safeguards:

The Investigative Reporting and Filing System is protected by both physical security methods and dissemination and access controls. Fundamental in all cases is that access to investigative information is limited to those persons or agencies with a demonstrated and lawful need to know for the information in order to perform assigned functions.

1. Physical security when investigative files are attended is provided by responsible DEA employees. Physical security when files are unattended is provided by the secure locking of material in approved containers or facilities. The selection of containers or facilities is made in consideration of the sensitivity or National Security Classification, as appropriate, or the files and the extent of security guard and/or surveillance afforded by electronic means.

2. Protection of the automated index is provided by physical, procedural and electronic means. The Master file resides on the Department of Justice Computer Center System and is attended or guarded on a full-time basis. Access or observation to active telecommunications terminals is limited to those with a demonstrated need to know for retrieval information. Sur-repitious access to an unattended terminal is precluded by a complex sign-on procedure. The procedure is provided only to authorized DEA employees. For certain terminals, access is further restricted by cryptological equipment.

3. An automated log of queries is maintained for each terminal. Improper procedure results in no access. Terminals are signed-off after use. The terminals are otherwise located in locked facilities after normal working hours.

4. The dissemination of investigative information on an individual outside the Department of Justice is made in accordance with the routine uses as described herein or otherwise in accordance with the conditions of disclosure prescribed by the Act. The need to know of the recipient is determined in both cases by DEA as a prerequisite of the release.

Retention and disposal:

Records contained within this system except for those in general files are retained for fifty-five (55) years. Records in general files are retained for twenty (20) years.

System manager(s) and address:

Assistant Administrator for Enforcement, Drug Enforcement Administration; 1405 Eye Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20537.

Record source categories:

(a) DEA personnel; (b) Cooperating individuals; (c) Suspects and defendants; (d) Federal, State and local law enforcement and regulatory agencies; (e) Other federal agencies; (f) Foreign law enforcement agencies; (g) Business records by subpoena; (h) Drug and chemical companies; (i) Concerned citizens.

Systems exempted from certain provisions of the act:

The Attorney General has exempted this system from subsections (e) (2) and (4), (d), (e) (1), (2), and (3), (e)(4) (G), (H), (e) (5) and (8), (f), (g), (h) of the Privacy Act pursuant to 5 U.S.C 552a (f) and (k). Rules have been promulgated in accordance with the re-
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**Federal Records Center**

The National Commission on Unemployment Compensation will hold public hearings on June 26 and June 27, 1978, in Washington, D.C., at a location to be announced, to receive information and recommendations from Washington-based national organizations and associations. Additional hearings will be held in the future at other locations throughout the country.

These hearings will be held pursuant to the provisions of Public Law 94-566, which directs that the Commission's study and evaluation of the unemployment compensation system shall include: "conduct of such surveys, hearings, research, and other activities as it deems necessary to enable it to formulate recommendations, and to obtain relevant information, attitudes, opinions, and recommendations from individuals and organizations representing employers, employees, and the general public." (Italic supplied.)

Hearings will be conducted in the afternoon of Monday, June 26, from the hours of two to five, and in the morning of Tuesday, June 27, from the hours of nine to noon. Testimony and prepared statements should be directed to the statutory mandate that the Commission shall: "* * * study and evaluate the present unemployment compensation programs in order to assess the long-range needs of the programs, to develop alternatives, and to recommend changes in the programs."

Requests to be heard by the Commission, and other inquiries or communications should be directed to: Mr. James M. Rosbrow, Interim Executive Director, National Commission on Unemployment Compensation, 601 D Street, NW., Room 7000, Washington, D.C. 20213.

Mr. Rosbrow's telephone number is area code 202-376-7034.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 20th day of April 1978.

JAMES M. ROSBROW
Interim Executive Director, National Commission on Unemployment Compensation

[FR Doc. 78-11491 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]

**NATURAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD**

[4910-58]

SAFETY RECOMMENDATION; FOLLOWUP LETTERS; AND RESPONSE

A-78-27 thru 29. — The Safety Board is concerned about the number of acci-
NOTICES

Inadequacies involving complex fixed wing, multiengine aircraft in air taxi and corporate/executive operations in which the accident circumstances remain unknown. Of the 194 fatal accidents in these operations from 1970 to 1977, cause has not been determined for 34 of the accidents. In addition, the Safety Board has recently investigated or is investigating five other accidents in the corporate/executive fleet alone in which there appears to be little hope of determining definite cause.

In view of the above, on April 13, the Safety Board recommended that the Federal Aviation Administration:

- Develop, in cooperation with industry, flight recorder standards (FDR/CVR) for complex aircraft which are predicted upon intended aircraft usage. (A-73-27)
- Draft specifications and fund research and development for a low cost FDR, CVR, and noise Recorders which can be used on complex general aviation aircraft. Establish guidelines for these recorders, such as maximum cost, compatible with their weight and volume, which will be installed and with the use for which the airplane is intended. (A-78-28)

In the interim, amend 14 CFR to require that no operation (except for maintenance ferry flights) may be conducted with turbine-powered aircraft certificated to carry six passengers or more, which require two pilots by their certificate, without an operable CVR capable of retaining at least 10 minutes on intracoastal conversation when power is interrupted. Such requirements can be met with available equipment to facilitate rapid implementation of this requirement. (A-78-28)

Each of the above recommendations is designated “Class II,” Priority Action 1.

In order to further encourage implementation of its safety recommendations, the Safety Board has within recent weeks forwarded followup letters to recommendation addresses concerning:

H-72-8 thru 53, H-72-56, H-75-8, and H-75-34 and 36. —The Board’s letter of December 22, 1977, replies to NHTSA’s letter of October 21, 1977, regarding recommendations dealing with reduced visibility driving. The Safety Board indicates that recommendations H-72-51 thru 53, and H-75-34, relating to driver education, are closed with acceptable action by NHTSA, but H-75-8 (driver education) and H-72-56 and H-75-36 (police traffic services) are in open status. H-75-8 will remain in an open status while standard revisions are being considered by NHTSA and the Congress. The Safety Board’s principal comments are directed to a report from the International Association of Chiefs of Police (IACP) in response to H-75-56 and H-75-36, transmitted with NHTSA’s letter of October 21.

The Board states that the conclusions from the study sponsored by NHTSA and conducted by IACP, and from NHTSA’s Fatal Accident Reporting System (FARS) tabulations appear to be that the problem is so small that it does not warrant any significant attention. The Board suggests that the two reports warrant further correlation and that the safety information in these two reports are far more meaningful than the credit given by NHTSA; and that the recommendations set forth by the IACP report should be given more serious consideration.

The Board further states that there is confusion as to what constitutes “reduced visibility driving” and as to what action should be taken by local authorities to ensure safety; and that the responsibility of leadership in defining reduced visibility situations and corrective action to be taken rests with NHTSA.

H-77-8. —A Board letter of January 3 replies to FHWA’s letter of September 22 on the subject of partially loaded liquid tank trucks and the possibility of overturn due to lateral surge, and encloses data requested by FHWA. The Safety Board feels strongly that the lateral surge factor is present in partially filled tank trucks; wishes to understand the nature of this problem; and is interested in working with FHWA to more fully explain this phenomenon.

H-77-10. — A Board letter of February 14 replies to a letter of November 2, 1977, from the Village of Stratton, concerning the City of Stratton working with the Burlington Northern Railroad to correct the outdated control system at the Beaver Avenue crossing. The Board suggests to the City of Stratton that the next step to obtain a more effective grade crossing warning system would be to contact the Federal Highway Administration and make application for design and implementation of the crossing system under the Federal Highway Administration program for upgrading off system railroad/highway grade crossings.

H-77-11 thru 15. — A Board letter of February 14 replies to FHWA’s letter of October 31, 1977, related to these recommendations, made as a result of the investigation and analysis of the bus accident near Martinez, California, on May 21, 1976. Based on FHWA’s preliminary response, the Safety Board would like to offer further clarification.

H-77-13 and H-77-15. — The Safety Board states that H-77-13 is concerned with the effect of the geometric configuration of the roadway and the type and condition of the surface of the adjacent roadway on the performance of the traffic barrier system installed. The Safety Board asked that the FHWA clarify the relationship between the performance of a barrier and roadway geometrics and surface through dynamic crash testing and mathematical modeling. The Board is primarily interested in the outcome of the three studies mentioned in FHWA’s letter of October 31, as they relate directly to the problem identified in the FHWA response and is hopeful that these studies do in fact address that problem.

The Board states that recommendations H-77-15 asks that highway signs and traffic control devices be modified to exit rapidly, and be identified with a red background as to providing the driver with understandable and performance related information. Since attachments 2, 3, 4, and 5 of FHWA’s response were addressed predominantly to the western driver, the Board stresses that the recommendation is concerned with all information sources at highway exit ramps.

The Board states that responses to H-77-12 and H-77-14 are positive and within the scope of the recommendations.

M-73-5. — The Board’s letter of February 3 gives the Coast Guard a status review of this recommendation which concerns the definition of “shall not hamper” rule with respect to the Coast Guard’s responsibilities for initiation of whistle signals in the Rules of the Road, of the “shall not hamper” rule as well as the publication of interpretations of actions required as the “shall not hamper” rules interacts with other rules. The Safety Board states that the Coast Guard’s special study showed that for fiscal years 1973 and 1974 there were no citations issued for violations of the “shall not hamper” rule in the western rivers, whether there was a collision involved or not, but that the Coast Guard gave no conclusion as to the meaning of this lack of citations issued for this violation.

The Safety Board’s opinion that the lack of citations issued for the two year period of the study is an indication that not only are the boat operators confused as to when a “shall not hamper” situation exists, but that the Coast Guard itself is unable to determine when a violation has occurred. The Board feels that it is important that the Coast Guard publish an interpretation of the effects of the “shall not hamper” rule on other rules. The Board further requests that the Coast Guard review its ability to issue an interpretation of the Rules of the Road. This recommendation will be kept in an open status pending further response from the Coast Guard.

The Board’s letter of February 3 states that the objective of this recommendation was to protect ship personnel from being exposed to a hazardous situation by requiring equipment to be either located or designed so as to preclude a hazardous material spill from occurring in areas where crewmembers may be working.

The Coast Guard’s response of March 21, 1977 states, in part, that
there is no practical method of providing the necessary positive isolation of cargo between tanks other than blind flanges which require tank entry for installation. It is the Safety Board's opinion that the most positive method of reaching the goal of personnel safety, as suggested by the recommendation, should be provided for the handling of hazardous materials in enclosed spaces. The Board states that prevention of such cargo spills when persons enter cargo tanks will eliminate the human factors elements of compliance and enforcement.

The Safety Board would like for the Coast Guard to reconsider their position on this declaration of inspection of a brochure describing the use of a remotely operated line blind valve that does not require the breaking of a line for normal operation. The Board states that the use of such blind valves would make the line blind valve operation much safer for shipboard personnel if the alternative of eliminating all blind valves from within such tanks is not selected.

M-72-31—A Board letter of February 3 to the Coast Guard states that this recommendation, which requires that a written cargo loading plan be made and posted each time cargo is to be transferred aboard chemical tankers, and that a checklist procedure be developed to assure the desired gaging is achieved, is in open status.

The intent of this recommendation, as stated by the Board, was to go beyond the previous regulatory requirements for procedures as called for in 46 CFR 35.35-30: the written cargo loading plan should include a schedule that will indicate the operation and control of the tanks at any given time and identify tanks reserved for special loading. The Board states that the checklist procedure should provide documented continuity of activities from watch to watch and allow the Senior Deck Officer on duty to assume the responsibility delegated to him by 46 CFR 35.35-33. The Board restated its opinion that the use of a written loading plan and a checklist for that plan would have prevented the oversight described in the SS Willam T. Steeles Marine Casualty Report, to which the Coast Guard replied on March 21, 1975, that adequate safety measures are already provided if personnel conduct their duties with conscientiousness toward existing regulations.

The Safety Board would appreciate a reconsideration by the Coast Guard of their position on this recommendation.

R-72-11—A Board letter of January 23 states that this recommendation was forwarded to the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) on March 29, 1972, following investigation of a trespasser being electrocuted on the Penn Central (Conrail) trackage at Washington, D.C. The Board states that this recommendation proposed that regulations be promulgated to govern the operation of catenary and third rail electric power supply systems in the event of power interruptions. On August 23, 1972, an FRA response indicated that the incident was an infrequent occurrence which did not justify regulatory action.

On August 8, 1973, the Safety Board reiterated the recommendation to FRA following investigation of an accident on the Long Island Railroad. On January 7, 1975, FRA advised that a study would be initiated to determine the feasibility of the recommendation; on January 5, 1976, FRA advised that the study was still in progress. The Safety Board asks that it be advised of the current status of the study.

R-72-31 through 33.—The Board states, in its letter of January 19 to FRA, that it would appreciate being informed of the extent of the study and remedial action contemplated by FRA concerning these recommendations which dealt with crashworthiness features of railroad passenger cars.

R-75-4 A Board letter of January 19 to FRA states that these recommendations were forwarded to the National Railroad Passenger Corporation (Amtrak), and relates to crashworthiness improvements in rail passenger cars. Amtrak, on July 21, 1976, advised the Board of the crashworthiness features of new equipment and their intent to modify the windows of the existing fleet of passenger cars. The Safety Board requests advice from the FRA if inspection by Motive Power and Equipment Inspectors confirms that Amtrak's modification program is presently operative.

R-76-24 through 32.—A Board letter of February 17 states that these recommendations were forwarded to FRA on July 30, 1976, specifically, R-75-26 recommended that trains be equipped with emergency flagging equipment. FRA's response of August 22, 1977, indicated that the necessary remedial action was considered in Part 218 of Title 49 CFR issued January 27, 1977. The Safety Board notes that Part 218.5, Definitions, does specify flagman's signals; however, Part 218.37(a)(2), as amended, lists flag conditions under which flag protection is not required and the regulation does not positively require trains to be equipped with flagman's signals. The Board remarked that the FRA would consider a further amendment to Part 218 so that train crews would have the means of flagging rule compliance available at all times.

RESPONSE

R-77-14 through 17.—In its letter of January 20, the Chicago Transit Au-

thority (CTA) gives the status of these recommendations.

Regarding R-77-14, CTA advises that they have rewritten their rail system rule book, including the form and style of the text, to reflect the best current practice in writing for industrial manuals; a similar program for rewriting instruction manuals has also been initiated.

Regarding R-77-16, CTA states that this recommendation has now been carefully evaluated and is believed to be acceptable, and that engineering work has been done to implement it. CTA is now working on cost estimates, funding requirements and work staging.

Regarding R-77-17, CTA states that the present limitation of record review to the preceding 12 months in considering the employee's operating capability was forced on them after arbitration of a demand by the affected union several years ago. Although CTA demanded relief from this restriction, in negotiating a new contract last December 1, CTA and the affected union were unable to resolve the differences in their positions on this issue. They have mutually agreed to submit the matter immediately to binding arbitration.

Note.—The above notice consists of summaries of a safety recommendation followed by letters and a response to safety recommendations received by the Safety Board. Copies of the full text of these letters may be obtained at a cost of 50¢ for service and 10¢ per page for reproduction. All requests must be in writing, identified by recommendation number and the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register. Address inquiries to: Public Inquiries Section, National Transportation Safety Board, Washington, D.C. 20594.


Barbara Bush, Acting Federal Register Liaison Officer.

April 24, 1978.

[FR Doc. 78-11506 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]
PUBLIC HEARING
Pursuant to Public Law 92-463, notice is hereby given of a public hearing to be held by the Office of Management and Budget under the provisions of the Federal Reports Act of 1942 (44 U.S.C. 3506).

The purpose of the hearing is for the Office of Management and Budget to give interested persons an opportunity to be heard before determining whether or not the provisions for public reports contained in the proposed information collection protocol, "Generic Description of Data Collection for Sections 301, 304, 306, and 307 of the Clean Water Act of 1978" are necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Environmental Protection Agency or for any other proper purpose.

The hearing, to be held in Room 726 Jackson Place NW, Washington, D.C. on May 8, 1978, at 9:30 a.m., will be open to public observation and participation.

Further Information regarding the hearing may be obtained from the Regulatory Policy and Reports Management Division, Room 10201, New Executive Office Building, Washington, D.C. 20503, telephone 202-395-3772.

VELMA N. BALDWIN, Assistant to the Director for Administration.
(FPR Doc. 78-11470 Filed 4-29-78; 8:45 am)

NOTICES

CERTAIN IMPORTED CERAMIC TABLEWARE
Public Hearings in Connection With Consideration of Articles for Modification or Continuance of U.S. Duties or Additional Duties

1. In accordance with section 133 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2133), notice is hereby given of public hearings to be held by the Trade Policy Staff Committee (TPSC) in connection with the current consideration by the TPSC of possible modification or continuance of U.S. import duties, or possible additional import duties, for certain imported ceramic tabletopware items. These hearings will be held on May 23, 1978, in room 730 at the Office of the Special Representative for Trade Negotiations, 1800 G Street NW, Washington, D.C. 20508. The hearings will begin at 10 a.m. Information regarding the hearings may be obtained from Mrs. Carolyn Frank, Secretary, Trade Policy Staff Committee, at the address given above, and at telephone 202-395-7210.

2. In the Federal Register of March 14, 1978, the Special Representative for Trade Negotiations provided the following notice:

In conformity with section 131 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2131), notice is hereby given of articles that may be considered for modification or continuance of U.S. duties, or additional duties. These articles are set forth in list I and list II below.

Some of the articles in list I and parts of some of the items in list II (those that are marked with an asterisk) are subject to import relief provided initially pursuant to section 351 of the Trade Expansion Act of 1962 (19 U.S.C. 2251) and extended pursuant to section 203(b)(x) of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2253(b)(x)). In accordance with section 127(b) of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2137), the President is exercising such articles, or parts of items, from international trade negotiations as long as any import relief action is in effect with respect to them. This notice of the possible future consideration of articles or parts of items in international trade negotiations, and the request for advice of the U.S. International Trade Commission referred to in paragraph 3 below, are being given to prepare for the possibility of negotiations with respect to them should the import relief action terminate.

The U.S. International Trade Commission is being requested to furnish its advice, pursuant to section 131 of the Trade Act of 1974, as to the probable economic effects of:

(a) Modifications or continuances of existing import duties for the articles in list I; and
(b) Increases in existing duties, incidental to modifications in the tariff nomenclature, for the items in list II.

3. Public hearings in connection with the possible modifications or continuances of existing import duties for the articles set forth in list I, and regarding the possible increase in existing duties incidental to modifications in the tariff nomenclature, referred to in paragraph 3 below, will be held at the time and place described in paragraph (1) above.

Persons requesting to appear at the hearings should advise the Secretary of the Trade Policy Staff Committee, in writing, at the address given in paragraph (1), not later than the close of business on May 17, 1978. Briefs or written material may be submitted in 20 copies in support of, or in lieu of, an oral presentation at the hearings, but are not required.

There is no prescribed format for such written submissions, but they should:

(a) Clearly designate on the first page the name and address of the persons submitting the brief, and
(b) Be presented in nonconfidential form a summary statement of the views submitted. Persons making such submissions are requested to designate clearly each page for which they request confidential treatment and so indicate such pages in nonconfidential form a summary statement of the views submitted. Those articles are subject to import relief provided initially pursuant to section 351 of the Trade Expansion Act of 1962 (19 U.S.C. 2251) and extended pursuant to section 203(b)(x) of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2253(b)(x)).

THE "TERM EXISTING" IS USED HEREIN AS DEFINED IN SECTION 601(7) OF THE TRADE ACT.

William B. Kelly, Jr., Chairman, Trade Policy Staff Committee.

LIST I
Articles which will be considered for modification or continuance of the existing U.S. duties, or additional duties, to the extent permitted by sections 101(a), 101(b), 101(c), and 109 of the Trade Act.

1. Articles which will be considered for modification or continuance of the existing U.S. duties, or additional duties, to the extent permitted by sections 101(a), 101(b), 101(c), and 109 of the Trade Act.

TSUS Item* and Articles
Articles chiefly used for preparing, serving, or storing food or beverages, or for food or beverage ingredients:

Of fine-grained earthenware (except articles provided for in items 334.14 and 334.16 of the Tariff Schedules of the United States) or of fine-grained stoneware:

Available in specified sets:

353.28 pt.* In any pattern for which the appropriate value of the articles listed in headline 20(e)(b) of subpart C, part 2 of schedule 5 of the Tariff Schedules of the United States is over $12 but not over $22.

Not available in specified sets:

353.31 pt. Steins and mugs, if valued not over $3.50 per dozen.

Other articles:

353.33 pt. Cups valued not over $0.50 per dozen; saucers valued not over $0.90 per dozen; plates not over 9 inches in maximum diameter valued not over $0.50 per dozen; plates over 9 but not over 11 inches in maximum diameter and valued not over $1 per dozen; and creamers, sugars, vegetable dishes or bowls, platters or chop dishes, butter dishes or trays, gravy boats or gravies and stands, any of the foregoing articles valued not over $1 per dozen.

353.35 pt. Cups valued over $0.50 but not over $1 per dozen; saucers valued over $0.90 but not over $0.50 per dozen; plates not over 9 inches in maximum diameter valued not over $0.90 per dozen; plates over 9 but not over $0.90 per dozen; plates over 9 but

"The term "existing" is used herein as defined in section 601(7) of the Trade Act."

* "The term 'existing' means, when used, without the specification of any date, with respect to any matter relating to entering into or carrying out a trade agreement or other action authorized by this Act, existing on the day on which such trade agreement is entered into or such other action is taken; and (b) when used with respect to a rate of duty (however established, and even though temporarily suspended by Act of Congress or otherwise) set forth in rate column number 1 of the schedules 1 through 7 of the Tariff Schedules of the United States on the date specified or (if no date is specified) on the day referred to in clause (A)."


These articles are currently subject to import relief provided initially pursuant to section 351 of the Trade Expansion Act of 1962 (19 U.S.C. 2251) and extended pursuant to section 203(b)(x) of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2253(b)(x))."
not over 11 inches in maximum diameter and valued over $1 but not over $1.55 per dozen; and creamers, sugars, vegetable dishes or bowls, platters or chop dishes, butter dishes or trays, gray boats or gravy boats and stands, any of the foregoing articles valued over $1 but not over $2 per dozen.

533.36 pt.* Cups valued over $1 but not over $1.70 per dozen; saucers valued over $0.95 but not over $1.55 per dozen; plates not over 9 inches in maximum diameter and valued over $0.50 but not over $2.65 per dozen; and creamers, sugars, vegetable dishes or bowls, platters or chop dishes, butter dishes or trays, gray boats or gravy boats and stands, any of the foregoing articles valued over $1 but not over $2 per dozen.

533.38 pt.* Cups valued over $1.70 but not over $3.10 per dozen; saucers valued over $0.95 but not over $1.75 per dozen; plates not over 9 inches in maximum diameter and valued over $1.55 but not over $2.85 per dozen; plates over 9 but not over 11 inches in maximum diameter and valued not over $4.65 per dozen; and creamers, sugars, vegetable dishes or bowls, platters or chop dishes, butter dishes or trays, gray boats or gravy boats and stands, any of the foregoing articles valued over $3.40 but not over $8.20 per dozen.

Of nonbone chinaware or of subporcelain:
Household ware not covered by item 533.65, 533.67, 533.68, 533.69, or 533.70 of the Tariff Schedules of the United States:

533.71 pt. Steins and mugs, if valued not over $3.60 per dozen.

Other articles:

533.73 pt.* Cups valued over $1.35 per dozen; saucers valued not over $0.50 per dozen; plates not over 9 inches in maximum diameter and valued not over $1.30 per dozen; plates over 9 but not over 11 inches in maximum diameter and valued not over $3.40 per dozen; plates over 9 but not over 11 inches in maximum diameter and valued over $2.70 but not over $8 per dozen; and creamers, sugars, vegetable dishes or bowls, platters or chop dishes, butter dishes or trays, gray boats or gravy boats and stands, any of the foregoing articles valued over $4.50 but not over $11.50 per dozen.

533.75 pt.* Cups valued over $1.35 but not over $4 per dozen; saucers valued over $0.95 but not over $1.90 per dozen; plates not over 9 inches in maximum diameter and valued over $1.30 but not over $3.40 per dozen; plates over 9 but not over 11 inches in maximum diameter and valued over $2.70 but not over $8 per dozen; and creamers, sugars, vegetable dishes or bowls, platters or chop dishes, butter dishes or trays, gray boats or gravy boats and stands, any of the foregoing articles valued over $4.50 but not over $11.50 per dozen.

List II

Articles which may be considered for increases in existing duties, to the extent permitted by sections 101(a) and 101(c) of the Trade Act, incidental to modifications in the tariff nomenclature.

TSUS Item and Articles

Articles chiefly used for preparing, serving, or storing food or beverages, or food or beverage ingredients:

533.11 Of coarse-grained earthenware, or of coarse-grained stoneware.

Of fine-grained earthenware, whether or not colored, having a reddish-color body and a lustrous glaze which, on teapots, may be any color, but which, on other articles, must be mottled, streaked, or solidly colored brown to black with metallic oxide or salt:

533.14 Valued not over $1.50 per dozen articles.

533.16 Valued over $1.50 per dozen articles.

Of fine-grained earthenware (except articles provided for in items 533.14 and 533.16) or of fine-grained stoneware:

Available in specific sets:

533.23 In any pattern for which the aggregate value of the articles listed in headnote 2(b) of subpart C, part 2 of schedule 5 of the Tariff Schedules of the United States is not over $3.30.

533.25 In any pattern for which the aggregate value of the articles listed in headnote 2(b) of subpart C, part 2 of schedule 5 of the Tariff Schedules of the United States is over $3.30 but not over $7.

533.29 In any pattern for which the aggregate value of the articles listed in headnote 2(b) of subpart C, part 2 of schedule 5 of the Tariff Schedules of the United States is over $7 but not over $12.

533.28* In any pattern for which the aggregate value of the articles listed in headnote 2(b) of subpart C, part 2 of schedule 5 of the Tariff Schedules of the United States is over $12.

Not available in specific sets:

533.31 Steins, mugs, candy boxes, decanters, punch bowls, pretzel dishes, tidbit dishes, tiered servers, and bonbon dishes.

Other articles:

533.33 Cups valued not over $0.50 per dozen; saucers valued not over $0.30 per dozen; plates not over 9 inches in maximum diameter and valued not over $0.50 per dozen; plates over 9 but not over 11 inches in maximum diameter and valued not over $1 per dozen, and other articles valued over $1 per dozen.

533.35 Cups valued over $0.50 but not over $1 per dozen, saucers valued over $0.30 but not over $0.55 per dozen, plates not over 9 inches in maximum diameter and valued over $0.50 but not over $0.90 per dozen, plates over 9 but not over 11 inches in maximum diameter and valued over $1 but not over $1.55 per dozen, and other articles valued over $1 but not over $2 per dozen.

533.36 Cups valued over $1 but not over $1.70 per dozen; saucers valued over $0.95 but not over $0.95 per dozen; plates not over 9 inches in maximum diameter and valued over $0.55 but not over $0.95 per dozen; plates over 9 but not over 11 inches in maximum diameter and valued over $1.55 but not over $2.65 per dozen; in so much of the foregoing articles valued over $2 but not over $3.40 per dozen.

*Part of this item is currently subject to import relief provided initially pursuant to section 205 of the Trade Expansion Act of 1962 (19 U.S.C. 1811) and extended pursuant to Section 204(h)(3) of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 U.S.C. 2255(h)(3)).
NOTICES

RAILROAD RETIREMENT BOARD

BEGINNING OF A "PERIOD OF HIGH UNEMPLOYMENT"

Determination of, as Defined in Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act

In accordance with the provisions of section 2(h)(4) of the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act (45 U.S.C. 351(h)(4)), the Railroad Retirement Board has determined that a "period of high unemployment" (as defined in section 2(h)(2) of that Act), shall begin on April 20, 1978. That date is the 20th day after the three-consecutive-calendar-month period from January through March 1978 in each month of which the rate of railroad unemployment equaled or exceeded 4.5 percent, the lowest applicable unemployment rate specified for the national "on" indicator in section 203(d) of the Federal-State Extended Unemployment Compensation Act of 1970, Public Law 91-373, as amended. Consequently, extended unemployment benefits under the second proviso of section 2(c) of the Railroad Unemployment Insurance Act, as amended by section 11(d) of Public Law 94-92, will be payable for days of unemployment on and after April 20, 1978.


By authority of the Board.

R. F. BUTLER,
Secretary of the Board.
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SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

(SEctor No. 34-14696; File No. SR-MSE-78-91)

MIDWEST STOCK EXCHANGE, INC.

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Proposed Rule Change

Pursuant to section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1), as amended by Pub. L. No. 94-29, 16 (June 4, 1975), notice is hereby given that on March 18, 1978, the above-mentioned self-regulatory organization filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission a proposed rule change as follows:

MSE STATEMENT OF THE TERMS OF SUBSTANCE OF THE PROPOSED RULE CHANGE

(Proposed rule change is in brackets; italics indicate new material)

ARTICLE XI

NET CAPITAL AND AGGREGATE INDEBTEDNESS

Rule 3(a) No change in text.

SPECIAL CAPITAL REQUIREMENT

(b)(1) A member organization registered as a specialist who has no other securities activity other than as a floor broker must be able to assume a position of 1,000 shares in each common stock in which he is registered, 500 shares in each non-convertible preferred stock in which he is registered, and 200 shares in each non-convertible preferred stock in which he is registered.

(c)(1) Each estimated balance subject to this paragraph must be established so that it can meet with its own net liquid assets a minimum capital requirement which is the greater of $100,000 or 25% of the position requirements as set forth in this paragraph. Withdrawals from the greater of these position requirements only be made with the permission of the Exchange. Such specialists must maintain net liquid assets no less than the greater of $75,000 or 18.75% of the position requirements set forth in this paragraph. In the event that a specialist fails below the initial capital requirements but is above the maintenance capital requirement set forth herein, it shall furnish the Exchange such daily financial information as it shall be indi-

## Article 8010-01

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Release No. 34-14696; File No. SR-MSE-78-91

The Midwest Stock Exchange, Incorporated has neither solicited nor received comments on the proposed rule changes.

The Midwest Stock Exchange, Incorporated believes that this Rule change removes a burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Exchange Act.

On or before June 26, 1978, or within such longer period (i) as the Commission may designate up to 90 days of such date if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the above-mentioned self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission will:

(A) By order approve such proposed rule change.

(B) Institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and argu-
ments concerning the foregoing. Persons desiring to make written submissions should file 6 copies thereof with the Secretary of the Commission, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20548. Copies of the filing with respect to the foregoing and of all written submissions will be available for inspection and copying in the Public Reference Room, 1100 L Street NW., Washington, D.C. Copies of such filings will also be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the above-mentioned self-regulatory organization. All submissions should refer to the file number referenced in the caption above and should be submitted on or before May 30, 1978.

For the Commission by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.
[FR Doc. 78-11372 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

[FR Doc. 78-11353 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

[FR Doc. 78-11490 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]

NOTICES

[4710-01]

STUDY GROUP 5 OF THE U.S. ORGANIZATION FOR THE INTERNATIONAL RADIO CONSULTATIVE COMMITTEE (CCIR)

Meeting

The Department of State announces that Study Group 5 of the U.S. Organization for the International Radio Consultative Committee (CCIR) will meet on May 19, 1978, from 2 p.m. until 6 p.m. in Room 1100 of the Adult Education Center, University of Maryland, College Park, Md.

Study Group 5 deals with propagation of radio waves (including radio noise) at the surface of the earth, through the non-ionized regions of the earth's atmosphere, and in space where the effect of ionization is negligible. The purpose of the meeting is an editorial review of the U.S.-originated documents to be considered by the CCIR XIVth Plenary Assembly, June, 1978.

Members of the general public may attend the meeting and join in the discussions subject to instructions of the Chairman.


RUTH H. PHILLIPS,
Acting Chairman,
U.S. CCIR National Committee.

CHEMICAL TRANSPORTATION INDUSTRY ADVISORY COMMITTEE, SUBCOMMITTEE ON CHEMICAL VESSELS

Open Meeting

Pursuant to section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463; 5 U.S.C. App. I) notice is hereby given of a meeting of the Chemical Transportation Industry Advisory Committee's Subcommittee on Chemical Vessels to be held on Wednesday, May 24, 1978, beginning at 9 a.m., Room 8236, Nassif Building, 400 7th Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20590. The agenda for this meeting is as follows:

To consider revisions and corrections to the rules for tankers carrying hazardous liquids, 46 CFR part 153.

Attendance is open to the interested public. With the approval of the Chairman, members of the public may present oral statements at the meeting. Persons wishing to attend and persons wishing to present oral statements should notify, not later than the day before the meeting, and information may be obtained from, Captain C. E. Mathieu, Commandant (G-MHM/83) U.S. Coast Guard, Washington, D.C. 20590, 202-426-2306. Any member of the public may present a written statement to the Committee at any time.

Issued in Washington, D.C., on April 20, 1978.

H. G. Lyons,
Captain, U.S. Coast Guard,
Acting Chief, Office of Merchant Marine Safety.

[CGD (78-047)]

CHEMICAL TRANSPORTATION INDUSTRY ADVISORY COMMITTEE, SUBCOMMITTEE ON CHEMICAL VESSELS

Open Meeting

Pursuant to section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463; 5 U.S.C. App. I) notice is hereby given of a meeting of the Chemical Transportation Industry Advisory Committee's Subcommittee on Chemical Vessels to be held on Wednesday, May 24, 1978, beginning at 9 a.m., Room 8236, Nassif Building, 400 7th Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20590. The agenda for this meeting is as follows:

To consider revisions and corrections to the rules for tankers carrying hazardous liquids, 46 CFR part 153.

Attendance is open to the interested public. With the approval of the Chairman, members of the public may present oral statements at the meeting. Persons wishing to attend and persons wishing to present oral statements should notify, not later than the day before the meeting, and information may be obtained from, Captain C. E. Mathieu, Commandant (G-MHM/83) U.S. Coast Guard, Washington, D.C. 20590, 202-426-2306. Any member of the public may present a written statement to the Committee at any time.

Issued in Washington, D.C., on April 20, 1978.

H. G. Lyons,
Captain, U.S. Coast Guard,
Acting Chief, Office of Merchant Marine Safety.

[FR Doc. 78-11490 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]
Notice is hereby given that the certificate of authority issued by the Treasury to Indiana Bonding and Surety Company, Houston, Texas, under Section 6 to 13 of Title 6 of the United States Code, to qualify as an acceptable surety on Federal bonds is hereby terminated effective this date.

The company was last listed as an acceptable surety on Federal bonds at 42 FR 34073 July 1, 1977.

With respect to any bonds currently in force with Indiana Bonding and Surety Company, bond-approving officers of the Government should secure new bonds with acceptable sureties in those instances where a significant amount of liability remains outstanding.


[FR Doc. 78-11412 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]

**NOTICES**

**INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION**

Modification No. 2 to S.O. No. 1306

**ALL RAILROADS**

Modification to Car Service Order

Pursuant to the authority vested in me by section (a), paragraph (3) of Service Order No. 1306, other railroads are authorized to load C. & N.W. 50-ft. plain boxcars to any station on the lines of the car owner.


INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION, ROBERT S. TURKINGTON, Acting Director, Bureau of Operations.

[FR Doc. 78-11512 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]

**ASSIGNMENT OF HEARINGS**

April 24, 1978.

Cases assigned for hearing, postponement, cancellation, or oral argument appear below and will be published only once. This list contains prospective assignments only and does not include cases previously assigned hearing dates. The hearings will be on the issues as presently reflected in the Official Docket of the Commission. An attempt will be made to publish notices of cancellation of hearings as promptly as possible, but interested parties should take appropriate steps to insure that they are notified of cancellation or postponements of hearings in which they are interested.

MC 120427 (Sub-No. 8), Williams Transfer, Inc., now assigned April 25, 1978, at Chicago, Ill., is canceled and application dismissed.

No. MC 116551 (Sub-No. 32), Kaney Transportation, Inc., now assigned May 2, 1978, at Chicago, Ill., is canceled and application dismissed.

H. G. Homme, Jr., Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 78-11498 Filed 4-25-78; 8:45 am]

**INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION**

Exception No. 2 to Rev. S. O. No. 1322

**BURLINGTON NORTHERN INC., ET AL.**

Revised Car Service Order

Pursuant to the authority vested in me by section (f) of Revised Service Order No. 1322, the Burlington Northern Inc., Chicago & North Western Transportation Co., and the Soo Line Railroad Co. are directed to assign to grain service at least sixty (60) percent of its ownership of jumbo covered hoppers, as defined in section (a)(2) of Revised Service Order No. 1322, regardless of the provisions of section (c)(1) of the order.

Effective 12:01 a.m., April 18, 1978.


INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION, JOEL E. BURNS, Acting Chairman, Railroad Service Board.

[FR Doc. 78-11514 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]

**INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION**

Exception No. 3 to Rev. S. O. No. 1322

**BURLINGTON NORTHERN INC., ET AL.**

Revised Car Service Order

Pursuant to the authority vested in me by section (f) of Revised Service Order No. 1322, the Burlington Northern Inc., Chicago & North Western Transportation Co., and the Soo Line Railroad Co. are directed to assign to grain service at least fifty-five (55) percent of its ownership of jumbo covered hoppers, as defined in section (c)(1) of Revised Service Order No. 1322, regardless of the provisions of section (g)(1) of Revised Service Order No. 1322.

Effective 12:01 a.m., April 18, 1978.


INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION, JOEL E. BURNS, Acting Chairman, Railroad Service Board.

[FR Doc. 78-11516 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]

**INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION**

Exception No. 4 to Rev. S. O. No. 1322

**MISSOURI PACIFIC RAILROAD CO.**

Revised Car Service Order

Pursuant to the authority vested in me by section (f) of Revised Service Order No. 1322, the Missouri Pacific Railroad Co. is authorized to maintain the car order and distribution report at its system car distribution offices in St. Louis, Mo., instead of maintaining such reports separately at each division headquarters regardless of the provisions of section (g)(1) of Revised Service Order No. 1322.

Effective 12:01 a.m., April 18, 1978.


INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION, JOEL E. BURNS, Acting Chairman, Railroad Service Board.

[FR Doc. 78-11514 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]

**MOTOR CARRIER BOARD TRANSFER PROCEEDINGS**

The following publications include motor carrier, water carrier, broker, and freight forwarder transfer applications filed under sections 212(b), 206(a), 211, 312(b), and 410(g) of the Interstate Commerce Act.

Each application (except as otherwise specifically noted) contains a statement by applicants that there will be no significant effect on the quality of the human environment resulting from approval of the application.

Protests against approval of the application, which may include a request for oral hearing, must be filed with the Commission on or before May 30, 1978. Failure seasonably to file a protest will be construed as a waiver of opposition and participation in the proceeding. A protest must be served upon applicants' representative(s), or applicants if no such representative is named, and the protestant must certify that such service has been made.

Unless otherwise specified, the signed original and six copies of the protest shall be filed with the Commission. All protests must specify with
particularity the factual basis, and the section of the Act, or the applicable rule governing the proposed transfer which protestant believes would preclude approval of the application. If the protest contains a request for oral hearing, the request shall be supported by an affidavit. A protest shall not be considered unless it is filed within the period of time set forth in the notice of the proposed transfer.

The operating rights set forth below are for the account of the protestants and are intended sufficient to place interested persons on notice of the proposed transfer.

No. MC-FC-77568, filed March 3, 1978. Transferee: FRANK W. BRIGGS, RD No. 1, Bear Lake, PA, 16402. Transferor: Roger A. Cross and Frank W. Briggs, d.b.a. Cross & Briggs, RD No. 1, P.O. Box No. 65, Niohe, NY, 14758. Applicants' representative: Gregory B. Fraser, Esq., Bankers Trust Building, Jamestown, NY, 14701. Authority sought for purchase by transferee of the operating rights of transferor as set forth in Certificate No. MC-119494, issued September 27, 1960, as follows: Agricultural limestone, in bulk and in bags, from Conesus, OH, to points in Chautauqua County, NY, those in Warren County, PA, and those in that part of Erie County, PA, in the Townships of Venango, Amity, Union, Wayne, and Concord, the Boroughs of Watтsburg, Union City, and Ellin, and the city of Corry, PA. Transferee presently holds no authority from this Commission. Application has not been filed for temporary authority under Section 210a(b).

No. MC-FC-77568, filed March 20, 1978. Transferee: A-ACJ COAL CITY TRASNSFER, INC., 300 North East Industrial Road, Aurora, IL 60505. Transferor: Curtis G. Gildewell, d.b.a. Coal City Transfer, 29 Rickard Drive, Oswego, IL 60543. Applicant's representative: Paul J. Maton, 10 South La Salle Street, Suite 1630, Chicago, IL 60603. Authority sought for purchase by transferee of the operating rights set forth in Certificate No. MC-135915 issued August 3, 1972 as follows: General commodities with the usual exceptions between Aurora, Oswego, Morris, Plato, Ottawa, Ladd, Spring Valley, Braceville, and Joliet, IL on the one hand, and, on the other, Chicago and Elk Grove Village, IL. Transferee holds no Commission authority and does not seek temporary authority.

No. MC-FC-77594, filed March 22, 1978. Transferee: NOVAK TRUCKING SERVICE, INC., Box 5626, Laona, WI 54541. Transferor: John Novak, Box 11, Laona, WI 54541. Applicant's representative: Nancy J. Johnson, Attorney for Applicants, 4508 Regent Street, Madison, WI 53705. Authority sought for purchase by transferee of the operating rights of transferor, as set forth in Permits Nos. MC 128085 and MC 128085 Sub 4, issued February 16, 1968, and March 25, 1975, respectively, as follows: Rough and finished lumber, hardwood flooring and flooring, juvenile furniture, and general commodities, from the plaznties of Conner Lumber & Land Co. at Laona, WI and Wakefield, MI to points in WI, MI, MN, IA, IL, IN, and OH; and supplies and materials used in the manufacture of the above-named products, from points in WI, MI, MN, IA, IL, IN, and OH to the plaznties of Conner Lumber & Land Co. at Laona, WI and Wakefield, MI for the account of Conner Lumber & Land Co. at Laona, WI. Lumber, from points in Forest, Florence, Langlade, Oneida, Vilas, Oconto, and Menominee Counties, WI to points in IL, MI, IA, IN, OH, MS, and KY; and materials, equipment, and supplies used in the manufacture of hardwood flooring, juvenile furniture, bed, and kitchen cabinets, from points in IL, MI, IA, IN, OH, MS, and KY, to points in Forest, Florence, Langlade, Oneida, Vilas, Oconto, and Menominee Counties, WI. Transferee presently holds no authority from this Commission. Application has not been filed for temporary authority under Section 270a(b).
Register of a notice that the proceeding has been assigned for oral hearing.

Each applicant states that there will be no significant effect on the quality of the human environment resulting from approval of its application.

No. MC 1977 (Sub-No. 28F), filed March 2, 1978. Applicant: NORTHWEST TRANSPORT SERVICE, INC., a corporation, 5251 Monroe Street, Denver, CO 80216. Applicant’s representative: Leslie R. Kehl, Suite 1600, Lincoln Center, 1660 Lincoln Street, Denver, CO 80264. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, in the transportation of: General commodities (except commodities in bulk, those requiring special equipment, and household goods as defined by the Commission), (1) Between Blackfoot, Boise, Idaho Falls, and Pocatello, ID, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Ada, Bannock, Bear Lake, Bingham, Boise, Bonneville, Cassia, Canyon, Caribou, Elmore, Franklin, Fremont, Gem, Gooding, Jefferson, Jerome, Lincoln, Madison, Minidoka, Otero, Power, Twin Falls, and Washington Counties, ID; (2) between Salt Lake City, UT, and a 50-mile radius thereof on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Ada (except Boise, ID), Bannock (except Pocatello, ID), Bear Lake, Bingham (except Blackfoot, ID), Boise, Bonneville, Cassia, Canyon, Caribou, Elmore, Franklin, Fremont, Gem, Gooding, Jefferson (except Idaho Falls, ID), Jerome, Lincoln, Madison, Minidoka, Otero, Power, Twin Falls, and Washington Counties, ID; (3) between Denver, CO, and Cheyenne, WY, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Adams, Bannock, Bear Lake, Bingham, Boise, Bonneville, Cassia, Canyon, Caribou, Elmore, Franklin, Fremont, Gem, Gooding, Jefferson, Jerome, Lincoln, Madison, Minidoka, Otero, Payette, Power, Twin Falls, and Washington Counties, ID. (Hearing site: Denver, CO, Salt Lake City, UT, Boise and Twin Falls, ID.)

Note.—Applicant seeks authorization to tack the authority sought in section (1) with presently held authority at Blackfoot, Boise, Idaho Falls, and Pocatello, ID, to provide a through service to Salt Lake City, UT. Common control may be involved.

No. MC 4405 (Sub-No. 575F), filed March 6, 1978. Applicant: DEALERS TRANSIT, INC., 522 South Boston Avenue, Tulsa, OK 74103. Applicant’s representative: Alan Foss, 502 First National Bank Building, Fargo, ND 58102. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, in interstate or foreign commerce, transporting: (1) Material handling equipment, self-propelled, each weighing less than 15,000 lbs. (except agricultural and farm machinery and implements), and (2) Household goods, commodities in bulk, requiring special handling equipment, self-propelled, each weighing less than 15,000 lbs. (except agricultural and farm machinery and implements), from Crystal Lake, IL, and Houston, TX, to points in the United States (excluding AK and HI). Restriction: The commodities in parts (1) and (2) above restricted against shipments which, because of size or weight, require special handling or special equipment. (Hearing site: Chicago IL.)
NOTICES

MACK'S HIGHWAY TRANSPORTATION, INC., R.D. 3, Box 4, Campbell Road, Schenectady, NY 12306. Applicant's representative: Paul Montarello (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Such merchandise as is sold and used by wholesale, retail, and discount stores (except foodstuffs, alcoholic and malt beverages, books and periodicals, and tobacco, which, because of size or weight, require the use of special equipment), between Golden, MS, on the one hand, and, on the other points in the United States in and east of MS, TN, KY, IL, and WI. (Hearing site: Washington, DC, or Memphis, TN.)

Note.—Common control may be involved.

No. MC 19193 (Sub-No. 14F), filed March 13, 1978. Applicant: LAPPERTY TRUCKING COMPANY, 3103 Beale Avenue, Alloupa, PA 16601. Applicant's representative: John T. Barksdale, P.O. Box 1166, (100 Pine Street), Harrisburg, PA 17108. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Such merchandise as is sold and used by wholesale, retail, and discount stores (except foodstuffs, alcoholic and malt beverages, books and periodicals, and tobacco, which, because of size or weight, require the use of special equipment), between Golden, MS, on the one hand, and, on the other points in the United States in and east of MS, TN, KY, IL, and WI. (Hearing site: Washington, DC, or Roanoke, VA.)

No. MC 30618 (Sub-No. 13F), filed March 7, 1978. Applicant: HENRY V. RABOUIÎN, Richmond Road, P.O. Box 204, Pittsfield, MA 01201. Applicant's representative: Sherwood Guernsey II, 57 Wendell Avenue, Pittsfield, MA 01201. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: "Talc and t alc, in bulk, from Johnson, VT, to Pittsfield, MA. (Hearing site: Springfield or Boston, MA.)

No. MC 32882 (Sub-No. 91F), filed March 6, 1978. Applicant: MITCHELL BROS. TRUCK LINES, Inc., 3841 North Columbia Boulevard, Portland, OR 97217. Applicant's representative: Lenn Page. P.O. Box 17039, Portland, OR 97217. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: "Pipe (other than iron and steel pipe) and fittings, accessories and materials used in the installation thereof from the facilities of Johnsville Products Corporation at or near Stockton, CA, to points in NY and AZ. (Hearing site: Portland, OR, Sacramento or San Francisco, CA.)

No. MC 36556 (Sub-No. 41F), filed March 6, 1978. Applicant: BLACK-MON TRUCKING, INC., P.O. Box 186, Somers, WI 53171. Applicant's representative: Fred H. Fugge, P.O. Box 186, Somers, WI 53171. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Pet food, from St. Joseph, MO, Jefferson, WI, Pt. Dodge, IA, to points in IL, IN, MI, OH, PA, KY, TN, MO, KS, IA, WI and MN.

Note.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Chicago, IL or Milwaukee, WI.

No. MC 36556 (Sub-No. 42F), filed March 8, 1978. Applicant: NORTH & SOUTH LINES, INCORPORATED, 2110 S. Main Street, Harrisonburg, VA 22801. Applicant's representative: John R. Sims, Jr., 915 Pennsylvania Blvd., 425 13th Street NW., Washington, DC 20004. Applicant seeks to engage in operation, in interstate or foreign commerce, as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, in the transportation of malt beverages from Fulton, NY, and Eden, NC, to Rockingham County, VA.

Note.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Chicago, IL or Milwaukee, WI, in connection with like applications being filed by Continental Trans- plant, Inc., MC 118699, Adams Transit, Inc., MC 139577, and McCarthy Truck Lines, Inc., MC 1283.

No. MC 50307 (Sub-No. 95F), filed March 10, 1978. Applicant: INTERSTATE DRESS CARRIERS, INC., 247 West 35th Street, New York, NY 10001. Applicant's representative: Arthur Libeinstein, P.O. Box 1409, 167 Fairfield, NJ 07006. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Wearing apparel and materials, supplies and equipment used in the manufacture of wearing apparel (except commodities in bulk), between New York, NY, on the one hand, and, on the other, Hanson, WV. (Hearing site: New York, NY.)

No. MC 52657 (Sub-No. 742) (Amendment), filed December 22, 1977, previously published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of March 2, 1978. Applicant: ARCO AUTO CARRIERS, INC., 18 West 181 Shore Court, Burr Ridge, IL 60521. Applicant's representative: James Bouril (same as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Motor vehicles, in secondary movement, in truckaway service, (1) between points in CT, NY, and PA, (2) between points in MD, NY, OH, PA and WV, and (3) between points in IL, KY, MO, OH, and WI, restricted aggregations of motor vehicles having an immediately prior movement by rail. (Hearing sites: Detroit, MI or Chicago, IL.)

Note.—The purpose of this publication is to delete an unacceptable trade name restriction.

No. MC 52704 (Sub-No. 164F), filed March 23, 1978. Applicant: GLENN McCLENDON TRUCKING CO., INC., P.O. Drawer H, LaFayette, AL 36862. Applicant's representative Archie B. Culbreth, Suite 202, 2200 Century Parkway, Atlanta, GA 30345. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Glass and plastic containers and container accessories, and (2) materials, equipment, and supplies used in the manufacture of glass and plastic containers and container accessories (except commodities in bulk), between the facilities of Brockway
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Glass Co., located in Pittsylvania County, VA, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in AL, AR, DE, DC, FL, GA, IL, IN, KS, KY, LA, MD, MN, MS, MO, NC, NJ, NY, OH, OK, PA, SC, TN, TX, VA, WV, and WI. (Hearing sites: Atlanta, GA)

No. MC 59150 (Sub-No. 120) (amendment), filed January 18, 1978, previously published in the Federal Register issue of March 2, 1978. Applicant: PLOOP TRUCK LINES, INC., 1414 Lindrose Street, Jacksonville, FL 32206. Applicant's representative: Martin Sack, Jr., 1754 Gulf Tower, Jacksonville, FL 32207. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Lumber and veneer; from points in AL, to points in VA, NC, SC, TN, GA, FL, MS, and LA. (Hearing site: Birmingham, AL.)

Note—The purpose of this republication is to delete applicant's request for a shipper restriction.

No. MC 60014 (Sub-No. 75F), filed March 7, 1978. Applicant: AERO TRUCKING, INC., Box 308, Monroeville, PA 15146. Applicant's representative: A. Charles Tell, 100 East Broad Street, Columbus, OH 43215. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Iron and steel articles from shipper's facilities at or near Anniston, Dothan, and Montgomery, AL to points in CT, DE, DC, GA, IL, IN, KY, ME, MD, MA, MI, NH, NJ, NY, NC, OH, PA, RI, SC, TN, VT, VA, WV, and WI. (Hearing site: Birmingham, AL or Washington, DC.)

No. MC 60014 (Sub-No. 76F), filed March 8, 1978. Applicant: AERO TRUCKING, INC., Box 308, Monroeville, PA 15146. Applicant's representative: A. Charles Tell, 100 East Broad Street, Columbus, OH 43215. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Iron and steel articles from shipper's facilities at or near Anniston, Dothan, and Montgomery, AL to points in CT, DE, DC, GA, IL, IN, KY, ME, MD, MA, MI, NH, NJ, NY, NC, OH, PA, RI, SC, TN, VT, VA, WV, and WI. (Hearing site: Birmingham, AL or Washington, DC.)

No. MC 60014 (Sub-No. 79F), filed March 15, 1978. Applicant: AERO TRUCKING, INC., Box 308, Monroeville, PA 15146. Applicant's representative: A. Charles Tell, 100 East Broad Street, Columbus, OH 43215. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Iron and steel forms and parts and accessories from the shipper's facilities at or near Des Moines, IA to CT, DE, DC, IL, IN, KY, MN, MD, MA, MI, NH, NJ, NY, OH, PA, RI, VT, VA, WV, and WI. (Hearing site: Washington, DC.)

No. MC 63417 (Sub-No. 135F), filed March 13, 1978. Applicant: BLUE RIDGE TRANSFER CO., INC. P.O. Box 13447, Roanoke, VA 24034. Applicant's representative: William E. Bain, P.O. Box 13447, Roanoke, VA 24034. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Insulating materials from the facilities of Rock Wool Manufacturing Co. at or near Leesville, AL to points in FL (Hearing sites: Roanoke, VA or Birmingham, AL.)

No. MC 63417 (Sub-No. 136F), filed March 13, 1978. Applicant: BLUE RIDGE TRANSFER CO., INC., P.O. Box 13447, Roanoke, VA 24034. Applicant's representative: William E. Bain, P.O. Box 13447, Roanoke, VA 24034. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Petroleum, petroleum products, vehicle body sealer and sound deadening compound from the facilities of Wico Chemical Corp. at Roanoke, VA (except in bulk) (1) from Red Bay, AL to points in GA, NC, OH, SC, TN; (2) from Isola, MS to points in DE, GA, KY, MD, NC, OH, PA, SC, TN, VA, WV, and WI. (Hearing sites: Roanoke, VA or Birmingham, AL.)

No. MC 63417 (Sub-No. 137F), filed March 13, 1978. Applicant: BLUE RIDGE TRANSFER CO., INC., P.O. Box 13447, Roanoke, VA 24034. Applicant's representative: William E. Bain, P.O. Box 13447, Roanoke, VA 24034. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Animal feed (except in bulk) (1) from Red Bay, AL to points in GA, NC, OH, SC, TN; (2) from Isola, MS to points in DE, GA, KY, MD, NC, OH, PA, SC, TN, VA, WV, and WI. (Hearing sites: Roanoke, VA or Birmingham, AL.)

No. MC 63417 (Sub-No. 138F), filed March 13, 1978. Applicant: BLUE RIDGE TRANSFER CO., INC., P.O. Box 13447, Roanoke, VA 24034. Applicant's representative: William E. Bain, P.O. Box 13447, Roanoke, VA 24034. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes transporting: Incandescent bulbs and bases from Cleaveland and Cincinnati, OH to Multifiles and packaging material on return. (Hearing sites: Roanoke, VA or Cleveland, OH.)

No. MC 63417 (Sub-No. 139F), filed March 13, 1978. Applicant: BLUE RIDGE TRANSFER CO., INC., P.O. Box 13447, Roanoke, VA 24034. Applicant's representative: William E. Bain, P.O. Box 13447, Roanoke, VA 24034. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Plumbing goods, vanities and vanity cabinets; 1. From the facilities of Universal Rundle, Inc. at Union Point, GA to DE, LA, MD, MS, WV; 2. From the facilities of Universal Rundle, Inc. at Charleston, SC to LA, DE, FL, KY, LA, MD, MS, NC, SC, TN, VA, WV; and 3. Between the facilities of Universal Rundle, Inc. at Union Point, GA; Corsicana, TX; House Springs, MO; New Castle, PA; Salem, OR; Monroe, GA; Rensselaer, IN and Crawfordsville, IN. (Hearing sites: Roanoke, VA or Pittsburgh, PA.)

No. MC 65088 (Sub-No. 5F), filed March 6, 1978. Applicant: FAYARD MOVING AND TRANSPORTATION CORP., 2615 26th Avenue, Gulfport, MS 39501. Applicant's representative: Donald B. Morrison, 1500 Deposit Guaranty Plaza, P.O. Box 22628, Jackson, MS 39205. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier by motor vehicle over regular routes transporting: general commodities (except those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment): between New Orleans, LA and Mobile, AL; between New Orleans, LA over U.S. Hwy 90 and/or Interstate 10 to Mobile, AL, and return over the same routes, serving all intermediate points. (Hearing site: New Orleans, LA and Mobile, AL.)

No. MC 65541 (Sub-No. 50F), filed March 6, 1978. Applicant: TOWER LINES, INC., 3rd, and Warwood Avenue (Box 600), Wheeling, WV 26003. Applicant's representative: Paul M. DanieII, P.O. Box 872, Atlanta, GA 30301. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over regular routes transporting: General commodities, except those of unusual value, Children's and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment. (A) Between Wheeling, WV and Columbus, GA. From Wheeling to points in AL over Interstate Hwy 70 to Columbus, GA, then over Interstate Hwy 71 to Cincinnati, OH, then over Interstate Hwy 75 to Atlanta, GA, then over Interstate Hwy 85 to LaGrange, GA, then over U.S. Hwy 27 to Columbus, GA, and return over the same route serving all intermediate points in GA.

(B) Between Wheeling, WV and Macon, GA. From Wheeling, WV over WV Hwy 2 to Parkersburg, WV, then over Interstate Hwy 77 to Charlotte, NC, then over Interstate Hwy 85 to Atlanta, GA, then over Interstate Hwy 75 to Macon, GA and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points in GA, NC and SC.

(C) Between Cambridge, OH and Marietta, OH. From Cambridge, OH over Interstate Hwy 77 to Marietta, OH and return over the same route, serving Cambridge and Marietta, OH for purposes of joinder only.

(D) Between Charleston, OH and Lexington, KY. From Charleston, WV over Interstate Hwy 64 to Lexington,
KY and return over the same route, serving Charleston, WV and Lexington, KY for purposes of joinder only.

(E) Between Wytheville, VA and the intersection of Interstate Hwy 40 and Interstate Hwy 81 at its intersection with Knoxvile, TN. From Wytheville, VA over Interstate Hwy 81 to its intersection with Interstate Hwy 40, then over Interstate Hwy 40 to its intersection with Interstate Hwy 75 and return over the same route then along KY, VA, and the intersection of Interstate Hwy 40 and Interstate Hwy 75 for purposes of joinder only.

(F) Between Raleigh, NC and the intersection of Interstate Hwy 40 and Interstate Hwy 81. From Raleigh, NC over U.S. Hwy 70 to Durham, NC, then over Interstate Hwy 85 to Greensboro, NC, then over Interstate Hwy 40 to its intersection with Interstate Hwy 81 and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points in NC.

(G) Between Wytheville, VA and Columbus, SC. From Wytheville, VA over U.S. Hwy 52 to Lexington, NC, then over Interstate Hwy 26 to Spartanburg, SC, then over Interstate Hwy 77 to Columbus, SC, serving all intermediate points in NC.

(H) Between Bristol, TN, and Columbus, SC. From Bristol, TN over U.S. Hwy 11E to Johnson City, TN, then over U.S. Hwy 23 to Asheville, NC, then over Interstate Hwy 26 to Columbus, SC and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points in NC and SC.

(I) Between Raleigh, NC and Atlanta, GA. From Raleigh, NC over U.S. Hwy 1 to Columbus, SC, then over Interstate Hwy 20 to Atlanta, GA and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points.

(J) Between Lexington, NC and Greensboro, NC. From Lexington, NC over Interstate Hwy 85 to Greensboro, NC and return over the same route serving all intermediate points.

(K) Between Augusta, GA and Columbus, GA. From Augusta, GA over U.S. Hwy 278 to Warrenton, GA, then over GA Hwy 16 to Sparta, GA, then over GA Hwy 22 to Columbus, GA, and return over the same route serving all intermediate points.

(L) Serving Clarksburg and Manning, WV and Martins Ferry, OH, all points in NC and SC on, north, and west of U.S. Hwy 1, all points in GA on and north of a line beginning at Augusta, GA and extending along U.S. Hwy 1 to Louisville, KY, then along GA Hwy 24 to junction GA Hwy 22, and return along GA Hwy 22 to Columbus, GA, and those points in WV within 30 miles of Wheeling, WV, not on the above described routes, as off-points.

Restriction: Restricted to the transportation of traffic moving from, to or through Wheeling, Clarksburg and Manning, WV and Martins Ferry, OH.

Note: Applicant is authorized to perform the proposed serving in southbound movements under irregular route authority in MC-65941. It is authorized to transport specified commodities and services. This application is to convert an existing southbound general commodity authority in (L) and expand the northbound commodity description in applicant's operating authority. Duplicating authority to be cancelled. (Hearing site: Wheeling, WV and Atlantic, GA).

No. MC 68660 (Sub-No. 32F), filed February 27, 1978. Applicant: RUSSELL TRANSFER, INC., 5259 Aviation Drive, Roanoke, VA 24012. Applicant's representative: Linel G. Gregory, Jr., 5259 Aviation Drive, Roanoke, VA 24012. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Glass containers from the plant site and storage facilities of Midland Glass at or near Warner Robins, GA to Eden, NC and points in Roanoke, Montgomery, Pulaski, Floyd, Carroll, Patrick, Alleghany, Clay, Halifax, Charlotte, Campbell and Botetourt counties VA, and Stokes, Rockingham, Surry, Caswell, Person, Granville, Yadkin, Forsyth, Guilford, Orange, Durham, Alamance, Chatham, Randolph, Davidson, Davie, Iredell and Rowan counties, NC.

Note.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests that it be held in Roanoke, VA, Washington DC, or Atlanta, GA.

No. MC 82063 (Sub-No. 89F), filed March 13, 1978. Applicant: KLIPSCHELL HAULING CO., 10796 Watson Road, Sunset Hills, MO 63127. Applicant's representative: W. E. Klipsch, 10796 Watson Road, Sunset Hills, MO 63127. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Liquid chemicals, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from the plant site and storage facilities of Midland Glass to all points in the United States (except AK and HI).

Note.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests that it be held in Memphis, TN.

No. MC 82492 (Sub-No. 179F), filed March 9, 1978. Applicant: MICHIGAN & NEBRASKA TRANSIT CO., INC., 2109 Olmstead Road, P.O. Box 2853, Kalamazoo, MI 49003. Applicant's representative: William C. Harris (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Frozen foods, from the facilities of Ore-Ida Foods, Inc, at or near Greenville, MI, to points in OH and in that part of NY in and west of Allegany, Livingston and Monroe Counties, and those in that part of PA on and west of U.S. Hwy 219. (Hearing site: Chicago, IL or Washington, DC.)

No. MC 89779 (Sub-No. 37F), filed March 6, 1978. Applicant: Illinois Central Gulf Railroad Co., 233 North Michigan Avenue, Chicago, IL 60601. Applicant's representative: John H. Doering, 233 North Michigan Avenue, 26th Floor, Chicago, IL 60601. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes of general commodities, including class A and B explosive (except commodities in bulk, household goods as defined by the Commission, articles of unusual value, and those requiring special equipment, as follows: Between Indianapolis, IN and Louisville, KY, via I-65, serving no intermediate points; Between Bloomington IN and Louisville, KY, as follows: from Bloomington via IN Hwy 46 to junction I-65, then I-65 to Louisville and return over the same route, serving no intermediate points; Between Indianapolis, IN and Mattoon, IL, as follows: from Indianapolis via I-70 to junction I-70, then I-70 to junction I-121; then I-121 to Mattoon and return over the same route, serving no intermediate points; Between Bloomington, IN and Mattoon, IL, as follows: from Bloomington via IN Hwy 46 to junction I-65, then I-65 to Louisville and return over the same route, serving no intermediate points; Between Bloomington, IN and Mattoon, IL, as follows: from Bloomington via I-65 to junction IN-59, then IN-59 to junction I-70, then I-70 to junction I-121; then I-121 to Mattoon and return over the same route, serving no intermediate points. Service restricted to shipments having a prior or subsequent rail movement via Illinois Central Gulf Railroad Co. (Hearing site: Indianapolis, IN or Chicago, IL.)

Note.—Common control may be involved.

No. MC 89684 (Sub-No. 100) (correction), filed October 17, 1977. Published in the Federal Register issue of December 22, 1977 and republished this issue. Applicant: WYCOFF CO., INC., 560 South 300 West, Salt Lake City, UT 84110. Applicant's representative: Kent W. Capener, (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: General commodities (except Classes A and B explosive) commodities in bulk, commodities which require special equipment, and household goods.
as defined by the Commission), restricted to the transportation of packages or articles each not exceeding 100 pounds in weight, and restricted against the transportation of shipments of packages or articles weighing in the aggregate more than 200 pounds from one consignor to one consignee on any one day, between Elko, NV, and Reno, NV. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle over irregular routes, transporting: Chemicals, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from the facilities of Dow Corning Corp. at or near Hemlock, MI, to points in the United States (except AK and HI). (Hearing site: Washington, DC or Columbus, OH.)

No. MC 103051 (Sub-No. 423F), filed March 6, 1978. Applicant: FLEET TRANSPORT CO., INC., 934 44th Avenue, North, Nashville, TN 37209. Applicant's representative: Russell E. Stone, P.O. Box 1970, Nashville, TN 37209. Permanent authority sought to operate as a common carrier, over irregular routes, by motor vehicles, transporting: Petroleum products, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from the facilities of RK Trucking, Inc., located at or near Weatherstone, TN, to all points in SC. (Hearing site: Nashville, TN or Atlanta, GA.)

No. MC 103993 (Sub-No. 928F), filed Mar. 6, 1978. Applicant: MORGAN DRIVE-AWAY, INC., 28651 U.S. 20 East, Elkhart, IN 46515. Applicant's representatives: Paul D. Borghesani, 1599 Bay Street, P.O. Box 1936, Nashville, TN 37209, and E. Serby, Suite 375, 3379 Peachtree Road NE, Atlanta, GA 30326. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Frozen fruits and vegetables, from Wichita, KS, to Phillipsburg, NJ. (Hearing site: New York, NY or Washington, DC.)

No. MC 107515 (Sub-No. 1129F), filed March 6, 1978. Applicant: RE-FRIGERATED TRANSPORT CO., INC., P.O. Box 308, Forest Park, GA 30330. Applicant's representative: Alan E. Serby, Suite 375, 3379 Peachtree Road NE, Atlanta, GA 30326. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Bananas and agricultural commodities, otherwise exempt from economic regulations under section 203(b)(6) of the Act when transported in mixed loads with bananas in intermodal containers, and (2) empty intermodal containers and trailer chassis, between Gulfport, MS, on the one hand, and, on other, points in MO. (Hearing site: Atlanta, GA.)

No. MC 108341 (Sub-No. 90F), filed March 6, 1978. Applicant: MOSS TRUCKING COMPANY, INC., 8027 North Tryon Street, P.O. Box 9459, Charlotte, NC 28208. Applicant's representative: Morton E. Kiel, Suite 6193, 5 World Trade Center, New York, NY 10048. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Air preheater elements or modules, fuel economizers, storage racks, dust collectors, exchangers or equipment, and (2) empty intermodal containers and accessories for commodities in (1) above, when moving in mixed loads with commodities in (1) above, from the facilities of CE-Air Preheater Co., McDowell County, NC, to all points in the United States in and east of ND, SD, NE, CO, OK, and TX. (Hearing site: Washington, DC.)

No. MC 109124 (Sub-No. 43F), filed March 6, 1978. Applicant: SENTELE TRUCKING CORP., P.O. Box 7850, Toledo, OH 43619. Applicant's representative: James M. Burtch, 100 East Broad Street, Suite 1800, Columbus, OH 43215. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Frozen fruits and vegetables, from Jacksonville, FL, to traffic having an immediately prior move by water. (Hearing site: Jacksonville or Tallahassee, FL.)

No. MC 105733 (Sub-No. 64F), filed March 13, 1978. Applicant: H. R. RITTER TRUCKING CO., INC., 928 East Hazelwood Avenue, Rahway, NJ 07065. Applicant's representative: Chester A. Zybliut, 396 Executive Boulevard, Elmsford, NY 10523. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle over irregular routes, transporting: Chemicals, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from the facilities of McCullough & Co., located at or near Westcliffe, KS, to Phillipssburg, NJ. (Hearing site: New York, NY or Washington, DC.)
FOOD EXPRESS, INC., P.O. Box 747, State Route 29 North, Sidney, OH 43365. Applicant's representative: Mr. Joseph M. Scanlan, 111 West Washington Avenue, Chicago, IL 60602. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Paper and paper products and pulpboard, from the facilities of American Colloid Co., at or near Dalota City, NE to points in the States of DE, IL, IN, MD, MI, NH, NJ, NY, OH, PA, VA, WV, and WI, and the ports of entry on the International Boundary Line between the United States and Canada located in MI and NY. Restricted to the transportation of traffic originating at the named origin and destined to the indicated destinations except for export traffic. (Hearing site: Sioux City, IA or Omaha, NE.)

Note.—Common control may be involved.

No. MC 114632 (Sub-No. 153F), filed March 13, 1978. Applicant: APPLE LINKS, INC., P.O. Box 287, Madison, SD 57042. Applicant's representative: Kenneth L. Core (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Hides, skins, and pelts, and pieces therefrom, from the hide plant of Hide Co., at or near Maquoketa, IA to points in the States of IL, MI, OH, IA, KS, KS, LA, MO, MT, NE, WV, PA, MD, VA, NY, and WI. (Hearing site: Chicago, IL or Washington, DC.)

Note.—Common control may be involved.

No. MC 114273 (Sub-No. 356F), filed March 6, 1978. Applicant: CRST, INC., P.O. Box 68, Cedar Rapids, IA 52406. Applicant's representative: Kenneth L. Core (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Hides, skins, and pelts, and pieces therefrom, from the facilities utilized by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Baked products, from Chicago, IL to points in CT, MA, NJ, NY, and PA. (Hearing site: Chicago, IL.)

No. MC 111309 (Sub-No. 14F), filed March 13, 1978. Applicant: NEWPORT TRUCKING, CORP., 4600 Fifth Street, Long Island City, NY 11101. Applicant's representative: Arthur Liberstein, Esq., P.O. Box 1409, St. Paul, MN 55102. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Flavored syrup and compounds, and materials, supplies and equipment (except in bulk) used in the manufacture thereof, between Arlington, TX, and on the one hand, and, on the other, all points in the continental United States, (except AK and HI) under continuing contract with Pepsi-Cola Co. (Hearing site: New York, NY.)

Note.—Common control may be involved.

No. MC 113678 (Sub-No. 723F), filed March 13, 1978. Applicant: CURTIS, INC., 4810 Pontiac Street, Commerce City, CO 80022. Applicant's representative: Roger M. Shaner (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Candy, confectionery and confectionery products (except commodities in bulk in tank vehicles), in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration, from the facilities utilized by Blum's of San Francisco, located at or near San Francisco, CA and Jackson, MN to Harrisburg, PA and points in the States of PA. (Hearing site: Minneapolis-St. Paul, MN.)

No. MC 113678 (Sub-No. 723F), filed March 10, 1978. Applicant: CURTIS, INC., 4810 Pontiac Street, Commerce City, CO 80022. Applicant's representative: Roger M. Shaner (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Meat, meat products, meat byproducts, and articles distributed by meat packinghouses, as described in sections A and C of Appendix I to the regulations in parts 300 and 305, Carrier Certificates, 61 MCC 209 and 766 (except commodities in bulk in tank vehicles), from Fremont, NE to Ports of Entry located on the United States/Canada International Boundary, the United States/Mexico International Boundary; and International Ports of Entry located in the states of CA, CT, DE, GA, FL, IA, ME, MD, MA, MI, NH, NJ, NY, NC, OR, RI, TX, VA, and WI, restricted to traffic having a subsequent movement by water or air or moving in foreign commerce, and further restricted to traffic originating at the named origin point. (Hearing site: Omaha or Lincoln, NE.)

No. NY 13783 (Sub-No. 272F), filed March 13, 1978. Applicant: CURTIS, INC., P.O. Box 6120, Panama City, FL 32405. Applicant's representative: Kenneth L. Core (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Meats, meat products, meat byproducts, and articles distributed by meat packinghouses, as described in sections A and C of Appendix I to the regulations in parts 300 and 305, Carrier Certificates, 61 MCC 209 and 766 (except commodities in bulk in tank vehicles), from Fremont, NE to Ports of Entry located on the United States/Canada International Boundary, the United States/Mexico International Boundary; and International Ports of Entry located in the states of CA, CT, DE, GA, FL, IA, ME, MD, MA, MI, NH, NJ, NY, NC, OR, RI, TX, VA, and WI, restricted to traffic having a subsequent movement by water or air or moving in foreign commerce, and further restricted to traffic originating at the named origin point. (Hearing site: Omaha or Lincoln, NE.)

No. MC 113828 (Sub-No. 255F), filed March 20, 1978. Applicant: O'BOYLE & O'BOYLE TANK LINES, INC., P.O. Box 20006, Washington, DC 20014. Applicant's representative: William P. Sullivan, Federal Bar building West, 1819 H Street NW., Washington, DC 20006. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Soybean products, and soup mixes (except commodities in bulk in tank vehicles), from Minneapolis, MN to points in AZ, CA, CO, KS, LA, MO, MT, NE, NM, OR, TX, UT, and WA. (Hearing site: Minneapolis, MN.)

No. MC 113843 (Sub-No. 260F), filed March 13, 1978. Applicant: REFRIGERATED FOOD EXPRESS, INC., 216 Summer Street, Boston, MA 02210. Applicant's representative: William J. Boyd, 600 Enterprise Drive, Suite 222, Oak Brook, IL 60521. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Foodstuffs (except commodities in bulk), in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration, from the facilities of Terminal Ice & Cold Storage Co. at or near Bettendorf, IA to points in IL, IN, MI, MO, KY, OH, MN, WI, and NY. (Hearing site: Chicago, IL or Washington, DC.)

No. MC 114273 (Sub-No. 355F), filed March 6, 1978. Applicant: CRST, INC., P.O. Box 68, Cedar Rapids, IA 52406. Applicant's representative: Kenneth L. Core (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Hides, skins, and pelts, and pieces therefrom, from the facilities utilized by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Baked products, from Chicago, IL to points in CT, MA, NJ, NY, and PA. (Hearing site: Chicago, IL or Washington, DC.)
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MICKOW CORP., 531 SW Sixth Street, P.O. Box 1774, Des Moines, IA 50306. Applicant's representative: Cecil L. Goettsch, 1100 Des Moines Building, Des Moines, IA 50309. Authority sought to engage in operation, in interstate commerce, as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, in the transportation of: (1) Iron and steel articles, from Norfolk, NE to points in CO; (2) Ferrous scrap, from points in CA to Norfolk, NE. (Hearing site: Omaha, NE or Washington, DC.)

No. MC 115828 (Sub-No. 299F), filed March 1, 1978. Applicant: W. J. DIGBY, INC., 1960 31st Street, P.O. Box 5018 T.A., Denver CO 80217. Applicant's representative: Howard Gore, 1960 31st Street, P.O. Box 5088 T.A., Denver, CO 80217. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Carpets, carpeting, rugs, floor covering, textiles, and textile products, from CA to TX, WA and OR. (Hearing site: Portland, OR.)

No. MC 116626 (Sub-No. 12F), filed March 9, 1978. Applicant: C. W. EANES, R.F.D. 1, Box 6, Gretna, VA 24557. Applicant's representative: Edward G. Villalon, 1032 Pennsylvania Building, Pennsylvania Avenue and 13th Street NW, Washington, DC 20004. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, in interstate or foreign commerce, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Lumber and laminated truck flooring, from points in Campbell and Charlotte Counties, VA, and Lynchburg, VA, to points in IN. (Hearing site: Lynchburg, VA or Washington, DC.)

No. MC 117666 (Sub-No. 208F), filed March 13, 1978. Applicant: HIRSCHBACH MOTOR LINES, INC., 5000 South Lewis boulevard, P.O. Box 417, Sioux City, IA 51102. Applicant's representative: Herbert Hirschbach, P.O. Box 417, Sioux City, IA 51102. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Materials, equipment and supplies, used in the manufacture and sale of clothing and wearing apparel (except in bulk), from Landis, Henderson, Ranlo, Statesville, Goldsboro, Tuxedo, Madison, Kinston, Salisbury and Lumberton, NC, to Minneapolis, MN. (Hearing site: Minneapolis, MN or Omaha, NE.)

No. MC 112232 (Sub-No. 87F), filed March 6, 1978. Applicant: SCHULTZ TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 406, Winona, MN 55987. Applicant's representative: Thomas J. Beener, P.O. Box 5000, Waterloo, IA 50704. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Magazines and periodicals, from Pewaukee, WI, to Las Vegas and Reno, NV, Phoenix, AZ, Portland, OR and Salt Lake City, UT. (Hearing site: Chicago, IL.)

No. MC 119090 (Sub-No. 5P), filed March 9, 1978. Applicant: THRUWAY FREIGHT LINES, INC., P.O. Box 56, Elmwood Park, NJ 07407. Applicant's representative: Joseph A. Oisen, P.O. Box 387, Gladstone, NJ 07934. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, over irregular routes, transporting: Paper and paper products, from the facilities of the International Paper Co. at or near Cortinol and Ticonderoga, NY, to Nassau, Suffolk Counties, NY and points in NJ, CT, PA, DE, MD and DC. (Hearing site: New York, NY or Washington, DC.)

No. MC 119777 (Sub-No. 346F), filed March 6, 1978. Applicant: LIGON SPECIALIZED HAULER, INC., a corporation, Madisonville, KY, 42431. Applicant's representative: Carl U. Hurst, P.O. Drawer L, Madisonville, KY, 42431. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Iron and steel articles, from the facilities of Armco Steel Corp. at or near Ashland, KY, to points in CA, CO, FL, KS, NE, NM, ND, OK, SD, TX, and UT. (Hearing site: Ashland, KY.)

Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, in interstate or foreign commerce, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Meats, meat products, meat byproducts, and articles distributed by meat packing-houses, as described in sections A and C of appendix I to the Report in Descriptions in Motor Carriers Certificates, 61 MCG 209 and 766 (except hides and commodities in bulk), from the facilities of Dubuque Packing Inc., at or near Omaha, NE to AL, CT, DE, MD, MA, MS, NH, NJ, NY, NC, OH, PA, RI, SC, TN, VT, VA, and WV. (Hearing site: Omaha, NE.)

No. MC 121470 (Sub-No. 14F), filed March 10, 1978. Applicant: TANKSLEY TRANSFER CO., a corporation, 801 Cowan Street, Nashville, TN 37207. Applicant's representative: John M. Nader, Route 3, Box 4, Bowling Green, KY 42101. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle over irregular routes, transporting: (A)(1) Prefabricated, insulated and jacketed piping systems; (3) paper, paperboard and corrugated paper, and (4) paper and paper products, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from the facilities of Midwesco, Inc., Perma-Pipe Division, at or near Lebanon, TN to points in the United States (except AK and HI) and in bulk, in tank vehicles, in straight or mixed loads, from the facilities of Midwesco, Inc., Perma-Pipe Division, at or near Lebanon, TN. Restriction: Restricted to the transportation of traffic originating at or destined to the facilities of Midwesco, Inc., Perma-Pipe Division, at or near Lebanon, TN. (Hearing site: Nashville, TN.)

No. MC 123310 (Sub-No. 14F), filed March 6, 1978. Applicant: DOUG ANDRUS & SONS, INC., 1820 Broadway, Idaho Falls, ID. Applicant's representative: Timm C. Helliwell, P.O. Box 152, Blackfoot, ID 83710. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle over irregular routes, transporting: Feed, feed ingredients, mineral mixtures, whey and/or powdered dry milk solids, and animal health aid products, from the facilities of Rabson Purina Co. at or near Henderson or Denver, CO, to points in UT. (Hearing site: St. Louis, MO.)

No. MC 124251 (Sub-No. 46F), filed March 13, 1978. Applicant: JACK JORDAN, INC., Highway 41 South, P.O. Box 669, Dalton, GA 30720. Applicant's representative: Archie B. Culbreth, Suite 202, 2200 Century Parkway, Atlanta, GA 30345. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Liquid plastic, in bulk, in pressure containers, in specialized insulated vans, and in drums, from points in MA, IN, IL, WV, CT, CO, UT, MI, WI, NJ, MD, NY, DE, and TX, and (2) isocyanate, in bulk, from Whitfield County, GA, to points in KS, MO, PA, and TX. (Hearing site: Atlanta, GA.)

No. MC 124711 (Sub-No. 56F), filed March 10, 1978. Applicant: BECKER CORP., P.O. Box 1050, El Dorado, KS 67042. Applicant's representative: T. M. Brown, 223 Civil Building, Oklahoma City, OK 73112. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Fertilizer solutions, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Ulysses, KS, to points in CO, OK, NM, and TX. (Hearing site: Oklahoma City, OK; Kansas City, MO; Denver, CO.)

NOTE.—Common control may be involved.

No. MC 124947 (Sub-No. 101F), filed March 10, 1978. Applicant: MACHIN-
No. MC 125305 (Sub-No. 91F), filed March 9, 1978. Applicant: BOYD BROTHERS TRANSPORTATION CO., INC., R.D. 1, Clayton, AL 36016. Applicant's representative: George A. O. Olsen, P.O. Box 357, Gladstone, NJ 07934. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, over irregular routes, transporting: Asphalt plants, rotary dryers, kilns, cyclone and wet dust collectors, crushers, screens, car shakers, solid waste shredders, paper making and handling machinery and equipment, and other fabricated equipment (except in bulk), from the facilities of Allis-Chalmers Corp., at or near Appleton, WI, to points in AZ, AR, CA, GA, ME, MI, MN, NC, NY, PA, RI, SC, SD, UT, VA, WA, WV, and WY. (Hearing site: Chicago, IL.)

No. MC 132646 (Sub-No. 25F), filed March 13, 1978. Applicant: SOUTHWEST TRUCK SERVICE, a corporation, P.O. Box A.D., Watsonville, CA 95076. Applicant's representative: William F. King, Suite 400, Overlook Building, 6121 Lincolnia Road, Alexandria, VA 22312. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, over irregular routes, transporting: Frozen fruits and vegetables, frozen meats, frozen meat products, and frozen meat byproducts, as described in section A and C of appendix I to the report in Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates, 61 MCC 209 and 766 (except commodities in bulk), from the facilities of Spencer Foods, Inc., at or near Schuyler, NE, to points in MI, or VT, MA, CT, NY, NJ, PA, RI, MD, DE, VA, WV, and DC. (Hearing site: Omaha, NE, or York, PA.)

No. MC 132926 (Sub-No. 25F), filed March 13, 1978. Applicant: YULE TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 42, Moford, MN 55040. Applicant's representative: Val M. Higgins, 1000 First National Bank Building, Minneapolis, MN 55402. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Canned goods, from Owatonna, MN, to points in IL, OH, MA, NJ, PA, MD, KY, TX, AL, GA, OK, TX, NM, and LA under a continuing contract or contracts with Owatonna Canning Co., Tendersweet Sales Corp., and Olivia Canning Co. (Hearing site: Minneapolis-St. Paul, MN.)

No. MC 133566 (Sub-No. 108F), filed April 7, 1978. Applicant: GANGLOFF & DOWNHAM TRUCKING CO., INC., a corporation, P.O. Box 479, Langscoat, PA 19047. Applicant's representative: Charles W. Beinhauer, Suite 405, One World Trade Center, New York, NY 10048. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Canned and preserved food-stuffs, in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration, from the plant-site and storage facilities of La Choy Food Products, Inc., to or near Archbold, OH, to points in CO, IL, IA, KS, MO, MN, NE, TN, WI. Restricted to traffic originating at the above-named point and destined to the named destination States. (Hearing site: May 5, 1978 (1 day), at 9:30 a.m., local time, at Columbus, OH, in Room 235, Federal Building, 85 Marconi Boulevard.}

No. MC 133666 (Sub-No. 23F), filed March 8, 1978. Applicant: JACOBSON
NOTICES

TRANSPORT, INC., 1112 Second Avenue South, Wheaton, MN 55096. Applicant's representative: Thomas J. Burke, Jr., 1600 Lincoln Center Building, 1660 Lincoln Street, Denver, CO 80264. Authority sought as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: road asphalt and fuel oils, from Superior, WI, to points in ND and SD.

Note.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests that it be held in Minneapolis, MN, or St. Louis, MO.

No. MC 136857 (Sub-No. 2F), filed March 6, 1978. Applicant: ROSELAND TRUCKING CORP., 462 Eagle Rock Avenue, Roseland, NJ 07068. Applicant's representative: Eugene M. Malkin, 5 World Trade Center, Suite 3607, New York, NY 10048. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Preserved and processed fruits, jellies and jams, from Modesta, CA, to Dallas and Fort Worth, TX, and (2) commodities used in the manufacture, packing, and distribution of preserved and processed fruits, jellies and jams (except in bulk), from Dallas, Brownsville, Houston, and Fort Worth, TX, to Modesta, CA. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Water heaters and water heater parts and associated packing material, from Ashland City, TN, to points in the States of NV, CA, OR, WA, NM, and AZ. (Hearing site: Nashville, TN.)

No. MC 138336 (Sub-No. 14F), filed March 8, 1978. Applicant: THE GRADER LINE, INC., 434 Atlas Avenue, Roseland, NJ 07068. Applicant's representative: Edward C. Blank II, Middle Tennessee Bank Building, P.O. Box 1004, Columbia, TN 38401. Authority sought to operate as a contract irregular carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Trailer tires, trailer axles, trailer components including steel trailer components, trailer landing gear and mud flaps, from MI, IL, and OH, to the facilities of Doonan Trailer Corp. at Great Bend, KS. (Hearing site: Wichita, KS or Oklahoma City, OK.)

No. MC 138469 (Sub-No. 58F), filed March 9, 1978. Applicant: DONCO CARRIERS, INC., P.O. Box 75354, Oklahoma City, OK 73107. Applicant's representative: K. E. McLinn, P.O. Box 75354, Oklahoma City, OK 73107. Authority sought as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, to transport: Trailer tires, trailer axles, trailer components including steel trailer components, trailer landing gear and mud flaps, from MI, IL, and OH, to the facilities of Doonan Trailer Corp. at Great Bend, KS. (Hearing site: Wichita, KS or Oklahoma City, OK.)

No. MC 138469 (Sub-No. 58F), filed March 9, 1978. Applicant: DONCO CARRIERS, INC., P.O. Box 75354, Oklahoma City, OK 73107. Applicant's representative: K. E. McLinn, P.O. Box 75354, Oklahoma City, OK 73107. Authority sought as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, to transport: Trailer tires, trailer axles, trailer components including steel trailer components, trailer landing gear and mud flaps, from MI, IL, and OH, to the facilities of Doonan Trailer Corp. at Great Bend, KS. (Hearing site: Wichita, KS or Oklahoma City, OK.)

No. MC 138469 (Sub-No. 58F), filed March 9, 1978. Applicant: DONCO CARRIERS, INC., P.O. Box 75354, Oklahoma City, OK 73107. Applicant's representative: K. E. McLinn, P.O. Box 75354, Oklahoma City, OK 73107. Authority sought as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, to transport: Frozen foods (except commodities in bulk), in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration, from the facilities of Southern Frozen Foods, Montesuma, GA, to points in
Applicant further states that it already holds authority to provide similar service for the manufacturer of the commodities named in (1) other points, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the United States (except AK and HI). (2) Applicant states that dual operations and common control may be approved in MC-F-12514.

No. MC 139206 (Sub-No. 22F), filed March 20, 1978. Applicant: F.M.S. TRANSPORTATION, INC., Box 1240, Arlington, VA 22210. Authority sought as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Chemicals (except in bulk); and (2) materials, equipment and supplies used in the manufacture, sale, processing, production, blending, packaging and transportation of the commodities in (1) supra (except commodities in bulk), between San Antonio, TX, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the United States (except AK and HI). (2) Applicant further states that it already holds authority to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Chemicals (except in bulk); and (2) materials, equipment and supplies used in the manufacture, sale, processing, production, blending, packaging and transportation of the commodities in (1) supra (except commodities in bulk), between San Antonio, TX, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the United States (except AK and HI). (2) Applicant further states that it already holds authority to provide similar service for the manufacturer of the commodities named in (1) other points, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the United States (except AK and HI). (2) Applicant states that dual operations and common control may be approved in MC-F-12514.

No. MC 139206 (Sub-No. 22F), filed March 20, 1978. Applicant: F.M.S. TRANSPORTATION, INC., Box 1240, Arlington, VA 22210. Authority sought as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Chemicals (except in bulk); and (2) materials, equipment and supplies used in the manufacture, sale, processing, production, blending, packaging and transportation of the commodities in (1) supra (except commodities in bulk), between San Antonio, TX, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the United States (except AK and HI). (2) Applicant further states that it already holds authority to provide similar service for the manufacturer of the commodities named in (1) other points, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the United States (except AK and HI). (2) Applicant states that dual operations and common control may be approved in MC-F-12514.
No. MC 141450 (Sub-No. 2F), filed March 6, 1978. Applicant: OLIN WOOTEN, d.b.a. WOOTEN TRANSPORT, INC., 700 East Omaha Avenue, Faselhurst, GA 31538. Applicant's representative: Sol H. Proctor, 1101 Blackstone Building, Jacksonville, FL 32202. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier by motor vehicle, over irregular routes transporting: Contaminated, contaminated ends and packing material used in the shipment of container ends, between the facilities of National Can Corp. in the States of GA, SC, AL and FL, under a continuing contract or contracts with National Can Corp. of Piscataway, NJ. (Hearing site: Jacksonville, FL or Atlanta, GA.)

No. MC 141575 (Sub-No. 9F), filed March 9, 1978. Applicant: TFS, INC., Box 126, Rural Route 2, Grand Island, NE 68801. Applicant's representative: Gailyn L. Larsen, 521 South 14th Street, P.O. Box 81849, Lincoln, NE 68501. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes transporting: (1) Cheese, between the facilities of North American Philips Lighting Co., located at or near Essex County, MA, Hammond, IN, South Brunswick and Hightstown, NJ, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the United States (except AZ, CA, CO, IA, KS, MO, NV, UT, and WY), and (b) from points in the United States to Oxford, NE, and (2) Commodities in bulk, from points in the United States (except CO, KS, IA, MO, and UT) to Oxford, NE; and (3) Cheese and commodities in bulk used in the manufacture and distribution of cheese, between Hebron and Ravenna, NE, and Milan, MO, on the one hand, and, on the other, all points in the United States, under continuing contract or contracts with Oxford Cheese Corp., Oxford, NE. (Hearing site: Lincoln, NE or Omaha, NE.)

No. MC 141776 (Sub-No. 22F), filed March 16, 1978. Applicant: FOOD-TRAIN, INC., Spring and South Center Streets, Ringtown, PA 17967. Applicant's representative: Pauline E. Myers, Suite 407, Walker Building, 734 15th Street NW., Washington, DC 20001. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes transporting: Frozen foods, in mechanically refrigerated equipment, except in bulk, from the plant of Ore-Ida Foods, Inc., at 75 Muriatic acid, a manufactured product, in bulk, from the facilities of North American Philips Lighting Co., located at or near Channahon, IL; Fort Wayne or Indianapolis, IN.)

No. MC 141914 (Sub-No. 32F), filed March 9, 1978. Applicant: FRANKS & SON, INC., Route 1, Box 108A, Big Sandy, TX 75551. Applicant's representative: E. Stephen Heisley, 666 11th Street NW., Washington, DC 20001. Authority sought by applicant to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Vinyl coated fabrics and plastic sheathing, other than cellulose exceeding fifteen pounds per square foot, between the facilities of Columbus Cased Fabric, division of Borden Chemical Co., Inc., at or near Columbus, OH, and Indianapolis, IN, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in and west of WI, IL, MO, AR, and LA. (Hearing site: Columbus, OH.)

No. MC 142515 (Sub-No. 8F), filed March 5, 1978. Applicant: G. J. Good, 1 Hackensack Avenue, Kearny, NJ 07032. Applicant's representative: George A. Olsen, P.O. Box 357, Gladstone, NJ 07834. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Lamps, lighting fixtures and materials, equipment, and supplies used in the manufacture and sale of lamps and lighting fixtures (except commodities in bulk), between the facilities of North American Philips Lighting Co., located at or near Essex County, MA, Hammond, IN, South Brunswick and Hightstown, NJ, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the United States (except AZ, CA, CO, IA, KS, MO, NV, UT, and WY), and (b) from points in the United States to Oxford, NE, under a continuing contract or contracts with North American Philips Lighting Co. (Hearing site: New York, NY or Washington, DC.)

No. MC 143071 (Sub-No. 10F), filed March 6, 1978. Applicant: UNIVERSAL DEVELOPMENT, INC., P.O. Box 568, York, NE 68467. Applicant's representative: William B. Barker, 641 Harrison Street, Topeka, KS 66603. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Meals, meat products, meat byproducts and articles distributed by meat packinghouses as described in sections A and C of Appendix I to the report in Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates, 61 MCC 209 and 766 (except hides and commodities in bulk), from the facilities of Zum Industries, Inc. at Erie, PA to points in NE, under a continuing contract or contracts with Zum Industries, Inc., of Erie, PA. (Hearing site: Washington, DC.)

No. MC 143532 (Sub-No. 1F), filed March 13, 1978. Applicant: MELVIN A. and THERESA L. AUman, d.b.a. AUman Trucking, 107 Grissom Drive, Walworth, IN 46574. Applicant's representative: Charles O. Lloyd, 529 South Main Street, Bourbon, IN 46504. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: General commodities between the facilities of Zurn Industries, Inc., at Erie, PA on the one hand and, on the other, points in AL, CA, CO, FL, GA, IL, IN, KS, MA, MI, MO, NY, NM, OK, TX, VA and WA, said transportation to be performed under a continuing contract with Zurn Industries, Inc., of Erie, PA. (Hearing site: Washington, DC.)

No. MC 144041 (Sub-No. 7F), filed March 8, 1978. Applicant: DOWNS TRANSPORTATION CO., INC., 2750 Canna Ridge Circle NE., Atlanta, GA 30345. Applicant's representative: Paul M. Daniell, P.O. Box 872, Atlanta, GA 30301. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes transporting: Expanded plastic products (except in bulk), from the facilities of The Dow Chemical Co. at or near Savannah, GA, Charleston, SC, MAGNOLIA, MO; and Hanging Rock, OH, to points in the United States on and east of U.S. Hwy 85. (Hearing site: Chicago, IL; Fort Wayne or Indianapolis, IN.)

NOTE.—Applicant holds motor contract authority in MC 140908. Authorities thereunder are subject to local or state authority as to the extent of operations may be involved.

No. MC 144239 (Sub-No. 1F), filed March 8, 1978. Applicant: J.L.T. Corp., 150 North Queen Street, Etobicoke, Ontario, Canada M8Z 2C8. Applicant's representative: James R. Silversone, 1396 West Fifth Avenue, Columbus, OH 43212. Authority sought as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Muratic acid, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from the port of entry on the international boundary line between the United States and Canada, located at or near Port Huron to Ecorse, MI. Restricted to the transportation of traffic having an immediate prior movement in foreign commerce. (Hearing site: Washington, DC.)
NOTICES
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233 Green Village Road, Green Village, NJ 07935. Applicant's representative: Charles J. Williams, 1815 Front Street, Scotch Plains, NJ 07076. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Cheese, in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration, (a) from Lona, WI to Providence, RI, DC, and points in CT, ME, MD, MA, NJ, NY, PA, and VA, and (b) from Saddle Brook, NJ and the ports in the New York, NY commercial zone to Lona, WI, and (c) from Blair, WI to New York, NY, points in Nassau and Suffolk Counties, NY, and to points in NJ, and (2) Feren boxes from Pennsauken, NJ to Lona, WI, and (3) labels from Mt. Holly, NJ to Lona, WI under a continuing contract or contracts with Frigo Cheese Corp., located at Lona, WI. (Hearing site: New York, NY.)

No. MC 144248 (Sub-No. 2F), filed March 7, 1978. Applicant: Wayne Alberts, d.b.a. ALBERTS LEASING, 11030 South Nagle Avenue, Worth, IL 60482. Applicant's representative: Patrick H. Smyth, Suite 521, 19 South LaSalle Street, Chicago, IL 60603. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Iron and steel articles, (1) between Berwe Warehouse, Inc., Chicago, IL, on the one hand and, on the other, Cedar Rapids, IA, Cleveland, OH, Detroit, MI, Fort Recovery, OH, Kansas City, Mo, Milwaukee, WI, Omaha, NE, St. Louis, MO, and Valley, NE, and (2) from Cleveland, OH and Omaha, NE to Streater Dependable Co. at or near Streater, IL. Restriction: The transportation services authorized above are restricted against the transportation of commodities of bulk and under a continuing contract or contracts with D-L Steel Co., Chicago, IL. (Hearing site: Chicago, IL.)

No. MC 144309 (Sub-No. 1F), filed March 6, 1978. Applicant: GENE WATERS and CLARK WURTELE, d.b.a. M. & M. TRUCKING, Buchanan, ND. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Iron and steel articles, from the facilities of Whittaker Metals at Minneapolis, MN, to points in ND, SD, and MT. Restriction: Restricted to a transportation service to be performed under a continuing contract or contracts with Whittaker Metals. (Hearing site: Minneapolis, MN.)

Note.—Applicant holds common carrier authority in MC 142699 (Sub-No. 5), therefore, dual operations may be involved.

No. MC 144344 (Sub-No. 1P), filed February 22, 1978. Applicant: DE ANZA DELIVERY SYSTEM, INC., P.O. Box 1119, San Jose, CA 95113. Applicant's representative: J. H. Guleseth, 100 Bush Street, San Francisco, CA 94104. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Such commodities as are dealt in by department stores or mail order houses (except commodities in bulk and commodities requiring special equipment), between mail order house and department store facilities and between mail order house and other points in CA, and that portion of NV on or west of U.S. Hwy 395, on the one hand and, on the other, points in CA and points in that portion of NV on or west of U.S. Hwy 395. (Hearing site: San Francisco, CA.)

Note.—Applicant holds motor contract carrier authority in No. MC 142650 and subnumbers thereunder and is filing concurrently a conversion application, therefore dual operations may be involved.

No. MC 144344 (Sub-No. 1P), filed February 22, 1978. Applicant: DE ANZA DELIVERY SYSTEM, INC., P.O. Box 1119, San Jose, CA 95113. Applicant's representative: J. H. Guleseth, 100 Bush Street, San Francisco, CA 94104. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Such commodities as are dealt in by department stores and mail order houses (except commodities in bulk and commodities requiring special equipment), between Los Angeles, CA on the one hand and, on the other, points in CA, and (2) such commodities as are dealt in by department stores and mail order houses (except commodities in bulk and commodities the transportation of which requires the use of special equipment), between facilities of Montgomery Ward, at San Leandro, Sacramento, Fresno, San Diego, Los Angeles, and Garden Grove, CA on the one hand and, on the other, points in CA. (Hearing site: San Francisco, CA.)

No. MC 144365 (Sub-No. 1P), filed March 7, 1978. Applicant: SOUTH TEXAS MARKETING ASSOCIATION, INC., 806 N. Cage, Pharr, TX 78577. Applicant's representative: Thomas R. Kingsley, 1819 H Street NW, Washington, DC 20006. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Plumbing supplies, from points in IN, MI, OH and GA to Waco, TX. (Hearing site: Dallas or Ft. Worth, TX.)

Note.—Applicant holds contract carrier authority in No. MC 138885 (Sub-1) therefore dual operations may be involved.


No. MC 144399 F, filed March 6, 1978. Applicant: CRAWFORD TRUCKING, INC., 323 De La Marie Avenue, Fairhope, AL 36532. Applicant's representative: Robert E. Tate, P.O. Box 517, Evergreen, AL 36401. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Malt Beverages and related advertising material between Mobile, AL, on the one hand, and, Montgomery, AL, and Birmingham, AL, on the other, in interstate or foreign commerce. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Flavoring syrup and compounds, and materials, supplies and equipment (except in bulk), used in the manufacture thereof, between Arlington, TX on the one hand, and, on the other, all points in the continental United States, under continuing contract with Pepsi Cola Co. (Hearing site: New York, NY.)

Note.—Common control may be involved.

No. MC 144413 (Sub-No. 1F), filed March 9, 1978. Applicant: MARTIN THOMPSON, d.b.a. THOMPSON TRUCK TRANSPORTATION, 11218 Elm Street, Omaha, NE 68144. Applicant's representative: Arthur Libeinstein, P.O. Box 1409, 167 Fairfield Road, Fairfield, NJ 07006. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Flavoring syrup and compounds, and materials, supplies and equipment (except in bulk), used in the manufacture thereof, between Arlington, TX on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the continental United States, under continuing contract with Pepsi Cola Co. (Hearing site: New York, NY.)

Note.—Common control may be involved.
NOTICES

8, 1975, authorizing transportation, over regular routes, as pertinent, of:

General commodities (except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, household goods, and those requiring special equipment), (1) Between Elkton, MD, and Wye Mills, MD, serving all intermediate points: From Elkton over U.S. Hwy 213 to Wye Mills, and extending parallel to the same route; (2) between Galena, MD, and Federalsburg, MD, serving all intermediate points: From Galena over MD Hwy 313 to Federalsburg, and return over the same route; (3) between Denton, MD, and Baltimore, MD, serving all intermediate points: From Denton over MD Hwy 404 to junction U.S. Hwy 50 near Wye Mills, MD, then over MD Hwy 50 to junction MD Hwy 2 near Arnold, MD, then over MD Hwy 2 to Baltimore, and return over the same route. Restriction: The operations authorized under route (4) above are restricted to transportation of traffic moving by carrier to or from points in MD east of Chesapeake Bay and south of the Chesapeake-Delaware Canal. (5) Between Washington, DC, and Stevensville (Kent Island), MD, serving all intermediate points: From Washington over U.S. Hwy 50 to Stevensville, and return over the same route. Restriction: The operations authorized in (5) above are restricted to transportation of traffic moving by carrier to or from points in MD east of Chesapeake Bay and south of the Chesapeake-Delaware Canal, and restricted against joinder to the authority contained in route (4) above (except at Stevensville). (6) Between Chestertown, MD, and Rock Hall, MD, serving all intermediate points: From Chestertown over MD Hwy 20 to Rock Hall, and return over the same route; (7) between junction U.S. Hwys 50 and 301 near Queenstown, MD, and junction U.S. Hwys 301 and 213 near Centerville, MD, serving all intermediate points: From junction U.S. Hwys 50 and 301 over U.S. Hwy 301 to junction U.S. Hwy 213, and return over the same route; (8) between MD Hwys 312 and 313 at or near Baltimore Corners, MD, and junction MD Hwys 312 and 404 at or near Downes, MD, serving all intermediate points; From junction MD Hwys 312 and 313 over MD Hwy 312 to junction MD Hwy 404, and return over the same route; (9) in connection with operations over all routes set forth above, except route (5), service is authorized at all off-route points in Cecil, Kent, Queen

West Street, West Bridgewater, MA 02379. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Prefabricated Steel Buildings and component parts thereof, from Taunton, MA, to points in ME, NH, VT, RI, CT, NY, NJ, PA, OH, DC, WV, VA, DE, MD; and (2) materials, equipment and supplies (except commodities in bulk) used in the manufacturing, production, processing, installation, sale, and distribution of the commodities named in (1) above, from points in the destination territory described in (1) above to Taunton, MA, under a continuing contract or contracts with Space Building Corp. d.b.a. Space Metal Bldgs. at Taunton, MA, requiring specialized equipment.

NOTE.—Applicant holds common carrier authority in MC 34096 and sub-numbers thereunder. Common control may be involved. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Passengers and their baggage, in the same vehicle with passengers, in round-trip charter operations, and in special operations, in round-trip sightseeing and pleasure tours, (1) beginning and ending at points in Cumberland, Sampson, Johnston, Wilson, Greene, and Bladen Counties, NC, and extending to points in and west of the State of MD, SD, NE, KS, OK, and TX (except AK and HI); and (2) beginning and ending at points in Edgecombe, Harnett, Nash, Pitt, and Wayne Counties, NC, and extending to points in the United States (except AK and HI). (Hearing site: Fayetteville, NC, and Wilson, NC.)

NOTE.—Common control may be involved.

No. MC 134378 (Sub-No. 2P), filed April 14, 1978. Applicant: WILSON Bros., Inc., Alexander & Blount, Fayetteville, NC 28301. Applicant's representative: Wilmer B. Hill, 805 McLachlan Bank Building, 866 11th Street NW., Washington, DC 20001. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Passengers and their baggage, in the same vehicle with passengers, in round-trip charter operations, and in special operations, in round-trip sightseeing and pleasure tours, (1) beginning and ending at points in and west of the State of MD, SD, NE, KS, OK, and TX (except AK and HI); and (2) beginning and ending at points in Edgecombe, Harnett, Nash, Pitt, and Wayne Counties, NC, and extending to points in the United States (except AK and HI). (Hearing site: Fayetteville, NC, and Wilson, NC.)

NOTE.—Common control may be involved.

No. MC 144397F, filed March 6, 1978. Applicant: LAMCO LINES, a division of Robert Showers & Sons, Ltd., 24400 Stockham's Lane, Aberdeen, MD 21001. Petitioner's representative: Robert D. Schuler, 100 West Long Lake Road, Bloomfield Hills, MI 48013. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Passengers, and their baggage, in the same vehicle with passengers, in round-trip charter operations, and in special operations, in round-trip sightseeing and pleasure tours, (1) beginning and ending at points in and west of the States of ND, SD, NE, KS, OK, and TX (except AK and HI); and (2) beginning and ending at points in Edgecombe, Harnett, Nash, Pitt, and Wayne Counties, NC, and extending to points in the United States (except AK and HI). (Hearing site: Fayetteville, NC, and Wilson, NC.)

Notice of this hearing is hereby published in the Federal Register, Vol. 43, No. 82—Thursday, April 27, 1978.
NOTICES
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Annes, Caroline, and Talbot Counties, MD, and at those in Dorchester County, MD, which are 75 miles of Aberdeen, MD; (10) in connection with operations over routes (4) and (5) above, service is authorized at offset route points in or east of Prince Georges Counties, MD, restricted to transportation of traffic moving by the carrier to or from points in MD east of Chesapeake Bay and south of the Chesapeake-Delaware Canal. By the instant petition, petitioner seeks to modify the above authority by the deleting the restriction which reads: "restricted to transportation of traffic moving by the carrier to or from points in MD east of Chesapeake Bay and south of the Chesapeake-Delware Canal."

Note.—The purpose of this correction is to correct the restriction in (10) above.

No. MC 51146 (Sub-Nos. 121, 159, and 173) (M1F) (notice of filing of petition to modify commodity description), filed March 9, 1978. Petitioner: SCHNEIDER TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 54086, Chicago, IL. Petitioner's representative: John R. Patterson (same address as petitioner). Petitioner holds motor common carrier certificates in No. MC 51146 (Sub-Nos. 121, 159, and 173), issued February 18, 1977, and April 18, 1977, respectively, authorizing transportation, over irregular routes, as follows: No. MC 51146 (Sub-No. 121), of (1) Plastic products,** from the plantite and warehouse facilities of Presto Products, Inc., at Appleton, WI, to points in AL, AR, CT, DE, FL, GA, IL, IN, IA, KS, KY, LA, ME, MD, MA, MI, MN, MS, MO, NE, NH, NJ, NY, NC, ND, OH, OK, PA, RI, SC, SD, TN, TX, UT, VA, WV, WI, and DC, to the plantite and warehouse facilities of Presto Products, Inc., at Appleton, WI, restricted to the transportation of shipments destined to the above-specified plantite and warehouse facilities; and (2) Equipment, materials, and supplies used in the manufacture and distribution of plastic products,** from points in AL, AR, CT, DE, FL, GA, IL, IN, IA, KS, KY, LA, ME, MD, MA, MI, MN, MS, MO, NE, NH, NJ, NY, NC, ND, OH, OK, PA, RI, SC, SD, TN, TX, UT, VA, WV, WI, and DC, to the plantite and warehouse facilities of Presto Products, Inc., at Appleton, WI, restricted to the transportation of shipments destined to the above-specified plantite and warehouse facilities, and further restricted against the transportation of commodities in bulk; No. MC 51146 (Sub-No. 159) of (1) plastic products except boats, furniture, and commodities in bulk,** (a) from the plantite and warehouse facilities of Presto Products, Inc., at Wayauwega, WI, to points in the United States (except AK and HI); (b) from the plantite and storage facilities of Presto Products, Inc., at Appleton, WI, to points in MT, WY, CO, NM, AZ, UT, ID, WA, OR, NV, and CA, restricted to the transportation of merchandise originating at the above-named points; (2) returned shipments, and equipment, materials, and supplies used in the manufacture and distribution of the above-named commodities (except in bulk), from points in the above-named destination States, to the plantite and storage facilities of Presto Products, Inc., at Wayauwega and Appleton, WI, restricted to the transportation of traffic destined to the immediately above-named plantsite and storage facilities.

No. MC 51146 (Sub-No. 173) of, as pertinent, plastic products (except commodities in bulk)** from Lewiston and Clearfield, UT, to points in the United States (except AK, AZ, CA, CO, HI, ID, MT, NM, NV, OR, UT, WA, and WY); and equipment, materials, and supplies used in the manufacture and distribution of the above-named commodities (except in bulk) from Lewiston and Clearfield, UT, to points in the United States (except AK, AZ, CA, CO, HI, ID, MT, NM, NV, OR, UT, WA, and WY); and equipment, materials, and supplies used in the transportation of traffic originating at the above-named plantsite and storage facilities. No. MC 51146 (Sub-No. 173) of, as pertinent, plastic products (except commodities in bulk)** from Lewiston and Clearfield, UT, to points in the United States (except AK, AZ, CA, CO, HI, ID, MT, NM, NV, OR, UT, WA, and WY); and equipment, materials, and supplies used in the transportation of traffic destined to the immediately above-named plantsite and storage facilities.

PETITIONS TO MODIFY COMMODITY DESCRIPTIONS

No. MC 51146 (Sub-No. 173) (M1F) (notice of filing of petition to remove restriction), filed January 17, 1978. Petitioner: EVANS DELIVERY CO., Inc., P.O. Box 268, Pottsville, PA 17901. Petitioner's representative: Joseph F. Hoary, 121 South Main Street, Taylor, PA 18517. Petitioner holds a motor common carrier certificate in No. MC 57591 (Sub-No. 4) (M1F) (notice of filing of petition to remove restriction), filed May 1, 1972, authorizing transportation, over irregular routes, as follows: From Reading over PA Hwy 61 to junction PA Hwy 229 at Frackville, PA, then over PA Hwy 924 to Shenandoah, PA, serving all intermediate points which are stations on the railroad. All contraband passengers and their baggage having a prior movement by air to Springfield, MA, and, "from points in the United States (including AK, but excluding HI), to Springfield, MA. Restriction: The operations authorized next above are restricted to the transportation of passengers and their baggage having a subsequent movement by air to Springfield, MA." By the instant petition, petitioner seeks to modify the above authority by deleting the two restrictions.

No. MC 51146 (Sub-No. 173) (M1F) (notice of filing of petition to delete restrictions), filed March 3, 1978. Petitioner: FREDERICK TRANSPORT, INC., 1776 Main Street, Springfield, MA 01103. Petitioner's representative: Charles A. Webb, Suite 800, 1250 Connecticut Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20036. Petitioner holds a motor common carrier certificate in No. MC 51146 (Sub-No. 173), issued January 18, 1969, for the transportation of passengers and their baggage, in the same vehicle with passengers, over irregular routes, in special operations, in one-way pleasure and sightseeing tours: "From Springfield, MA, to points in the United States (including AK, but excluding HI). Restriction: The operations authorized above are restricted to the transportation of passengers and their baggage having a subsequent movement by air to Springfield, MA." By the instant petition, petitioner seeks to modify the above authority by deleting the two restrictions.

No. MC 116519 (Sub-No. 27) (M1F) (notice of filing of a petition to delete restriction), filed March 14, 1978. Petitioner: FREDERICK TRANSPORT LTD., Rural Route 6, Chatham, Ontario, Canada. Petitioner's representative: Jeremy Kahn, Suite 733 Investment Building, 1521 K Street NW., Washington, DC 20005. Petitioner holds a motor common carrier certificate in No. MC 116519 (Sub-No. 27), issued August 28, 1977, authorizing transportation, over irregular routes, of bus vehicles of the following types: transportation of passengers and their baggage, in the same vehicle with passengers. Restriction: The service by bus vehicle to be performed by carrier shall be limited to service which is auxiliary to, or supplemental of, train service of the Pennsylvania Railroad Company, hereafter called the railroad. Carrier shall not render any service to or from any point not a station on the rail lines of the railroad. Shipments transported by carrier shall be limited to those which are lawfully in the possession or under the control of the railroad under a through bill of lading covering, in addition to movement by said carrier, a prior or subsequent movement by rail. All contractual arrangements between carrier and the railroad shall be reported to the Interstate Commerce Commission and shall be subject to revision if and as the Commission shall find it to be necessary in order that such arrangements shall be fair and equitable to the parties. By the instant petition, petitioner seeks to remove the above restriction in its entirety from the above authority.
NOTICES

in MI and NY, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in CT, DE, FL, GA, IL, IN, LA, ME, MD (except Baltimore), MA, MI, MS, MO, NH, NJ, NY, NC, OH, PA, RI, TN, VT, VA, WV, and WI. Restricted: (1) Against the transportation of refractories, and materials and supplies used in the production and installation of refractories; (2) against the transportation of traffic originating at or destined to the facilities of M & C McCleary Ltd. and/or Industrial Docks and Supplies Ltd. at Thorold, Ontario, Canada; (3) against the transportation of shipments to and from the Province of Quebec, Canada, other than via the ports of entry on the United States-Canada Boundary line in MI and along the Niagara River in NY; (4) against the transportation of traffic moving to or from Cymalan of Canada Ltd. at Niagara Falls and Fort Robinson, Ontario, Canada; and (5) restricted to the transportation of traffic having an immediately prior rail movement to Bridgewater Township, NJ, and further restricted against tacking or joining with carrier's presently held authority for the purpose of performing a through transportation service. By the instant petition, petitioner seeks to (1) delete the restriction in both certificates requiring that the traffic have an immediately prior or prior movement by rail; and (2) to include as additional origin points in both certificates Port Newark and Port Elizabeth, NJ and (3) to restrict each certificate as follows: The authority granted herein is restricted to shipments having a prior movement in interstate or foreign commerce.

No. MC 134848 (Sub-No. 2) (MIP) (Notice of filing of petition to modify territorial description), filed March 24, 1978. Petitioner: EMPRISE TRUCKING CO., INC., 140 Federal Street, Boston MA 02110. Petitioner's representative: E. Stephen Heiss, 805 McLachlen Bank Building, 666 Eleventh Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20001. By permit issued October 4, 1977, Emprise Trucking Co., Inc., holds authority to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, in interstate or foreign commerce, transporting: (1) iron and steel articles, and aluminum articles, and (2) materials, equipment and supplies used in the manufacture, installation, or distribution of the commodities described in (1) above (except commodities in bulk), between the facilities of Roll Form Products, Inc., at or near Fairless Hills, PA, and Tulsa, OK, on the one hand, and on the other, points in the United States (except AK and HI). Restriction: The operations authorized herein are limited to a transportation service to be performed under a continuing contract(s) with Roll Form Products, Inc. By the instant petition, petitioner requests that the additional base point of Camden, NJ, be added to the aforesaid permit.

No. MC 134599 (Sub-No. 5) (MIP) (Notice of filing of petition to modify territorial description), filed March 20, 1978. Petitioner: INTERSTATE CONTRACT CARRIER CORP., 265 West 2700 South, Salt Lake City, UT 84115. Petitioner's representative: A. J. Swanson, 8144 Jefferson Street, Lincoln, NE 68501. Petitioner holds a motor contract carrier permit in No. MC 134599 (Sub-No. 5), issued February 14, 1973, authorizing transportation, over irregular routes, as performed under a continuing contract, or contracts, with S. D. Warren Co., a division of Scott Paper Co., of Muskegon, MI. By the instant petition, petitioner seeks to modify the above authority by deleting the language "except St. Louis, MO, and points in its commercial zone as defined by the Commission," and (2) from Muskegon, MI, to points in FL, GA, AL, TN, NC, LA, AR, TX, and OK, restricted in the 2 route descriptions next above to a transportation service to be performed under a continuing contract, or contracts, with S. D. Warren Co., a division of Scott Paper Co., of Muskegon, MI. By the instant petition, petitioner requests to delete "in dump vehicles."
NOTICES
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VA 22101. Petitioner holds a motor contract carrier permit No. MC-141355 (Sub-No. 2), issued July 12, 1977, authorizing petitioner to engage in transportation over irregular routes, of uncrated aircraft engines, parts and propellers, between Williamsport, PA, and Baltimore, MD, on the one hand, and the other, Vero Beach, FL, restricted to a transportation service to be performed under a continuing contract, or contracts, with Piper Aircraft Corp., of Vero Beach, FL. By the instant petition, petitioner seeks to modify the commodity description to authorize it to transport uncrated aircraft engines, aircraft parts and propellers; and to add Lock Haven, PA, to the radial base territory and Lakeland, FL, to the radial destination territory.

REPUBLICATIONS OF GRANTS OF OPERATING RIGHTS AUTHORITY PRIOR TO CERTIFICATION

NOTICE

The following grants of operating rights authorities are republished by order of the Commission to indicate a broadened grant of authority or the addition of points in territory that previously noticed in the Federal Register.

An original and one copy of a petition for leave to intervene in the proceeding must be filed with the Commission within 30 days after the date of this Federal Register notice. All pleadings and documents must clearly specify the "F" suffix where the docket is so identified in this notice. Such pleadings shall comply with Special Rule 247(e) of the Commission's General Rules of Practice (49 CFR 1100.247) addressing specifically the issue(s) indicated as the purpose for republication, and including copies of intervenor's conflicting authorities and a concise statement of intervenor's interest in the proceeding setting forth in detail the precise manner in which it has been prejudiced by lack of notice of the petition. A copy of the pleading shall be served concurrently upon the carrier's representative, or carrier if no representative is named.

No. MC 105375 (Sub-No. 70) (republication), filed May 19, 1977, published in the Federal Register issue of June 30, 1977, and republished this issue. Applicant: DAILEN TRANSPORT, INC., 1680 Fourth Avenue, Newport, MN 55055. Applicant's representative: Leonard A. Jaskiewicz, 1730 M. Street NW, Washington, DC 20036. An Order of the Commission, by the initial decision, served February 16, 1978, effective March 6, 1978, finds that the applicant has been prejudiced by lack of notice of the proceeding, by the initial decision, served February 16, 1978, effective March 6, 1978, finds that the applicant is fit, willing, and able properly to perform the granted service and to conform to the requirements of the Interstate Commerce Act and the Commission's regulations. The purpose of this republication is to indicate the broadening of the destination territory.

No. MC 105045 (Sub-No. 64) (republication), filed February 15, 1977, published in the Federal Register issue of April 7, 1977, and republished this issue. Applicant: R. L. JEFFRIES TRUCKING, INC., P.O. Box 418, Newport, VA 23060. Applicant's representative: Gary M. Crist (same address as applicant). An order of the Commission, by the initial decision, served January 16, 1978, effective March 6, 1978, finds that the present and future public convenience and necessity require operation, by applicant, in interstate or foreign commerce, as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Air pollution, heating and cooling equipment (restricted to traffic which because of size or weight requires the use of special equipment or special handling), and parts and accessories of such commodities, from the facilities of the Fuller Co., located at Houston, TX, to points in the United States east of TX, and in and east of ND, SD, NE, KS, and OK; that applicant is fit, willing, and able properly to perform the granted service and to conform to the requirements of the Interstate Commerce Act and the Commission's regulations. The purpose of this republication is to indicate the broadening of the destination territory.

No. MC 107012 (Sub-No. 255) (republication), filed October 13, 1977, published in the Federal Register issue of December 1, 1977, and republished this issue. Applicant: SCHULTZ TRANSIT, INC., P.O. Box 406, 323 Bridge Street, Winona, MN 55987. Applicant's representative: Thomas J. Benner, P.O. Box 5000, Waterloo, IA 50704. An order of the Commission, by the initial decision, served February 16, 1978, effective April 7, 1978, finds that the present and future public convenience and necessity require operation, by applicant, in interstate or foreign commerce, as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Meal, meat products, meat byproducts and articles distributed by meat packinghouses (except animal feed and animal feed ingredients, hides, and commodities in bulk), from Fort Morgan, CO, to points in IL, IA, MN, MO, MT, NE, ND, OH, SD, WI, and WI, to facilities of a division of Vega Airline, Inc., located in IL, IA, MN, ND, SD, and WI; that applicant is fit, willing, and able properly to perform the granted service and to conform to the requirements of the Interstate Commerce Act and the Commission's regulations. The purpose of this republication is to broaden the commodity description by adding Massachusetts as a destination State.
NOTICES
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that applicant is fit, willing, and able properly to perform the granted ser­vice and to conform to the requirements of the Interstate Commerce Act and the Commission's regulations. The purpose of this publication is to broaden the commodity description.

**Motor Carrier, Broker, Water Car­rier and Freight Forwarder Operating Rights Applications**

The following applications are governed by special rule 247 of the Com­mission's general rules of practice (49 CFR 1100.247). These rules provide, among other things, that a protest to the granting of an application must be filed with the Commission within 30 days after the date of notice of filing of the application is published in the *Federal Register*. Failure to seasonably file a protest will be construed as a waiver of opposition and partic­i­pation in the proceeding. A protest under these rules should comply with §247e(3) of the rules of practice which requires that it set forth specifically the grounds on which the protest is made, contain a detailed statement of protestant's interest in the proceeding (including a copy of the specific portions of its authority which protestant believes to be in conflict with that sought in the application, and describ­ing in detail the method—whether by joinder, interline, or other means—by which protestant would use such authority to provide all or part of the service proposed), and shall specify with particularity the facts, matters, and things relied upon, but shall not include issues or allegations phrased generally. Protest not in reasonable compliance with the requirements of the rules may be rejected. The original and one copy of the protest shall be filed with the Commission, and a copy shall be served concurrently upon applicant, representative, or applicant if not represented, and the protest includes a request for oral hearing, such requests shall meet the requirements of §247e(4) of the special rules, and shall include the certifica­tion required thereunder.

Section 247(f) further provides, in part, that an applicant who does not intend timely to prosecute its application shall promptly request dismissal thereof, and that failure to prosecute an application under procedures ordered by the Commission will result in dismissal of the application.

Further processing steps will be by Commission order which will be served on each party of record. Broadening amendments will not be accepted after the date of this publication except for good cause shown, and restrictive amendments will not be entertained following publication in the *Federal Register* of a notice that the proceeding has been assigned for oral hearing. Each applicant states that there will be no significant effect on the quality of the human environment resulting from approval of its application.

No. MC 531 (Sub-No. 357F), filed March 13, 1978. Applicant: YOUNG­ER BROTHERS, INC., 4904 Griggs Road, P.O. Box 14048, Houston, Texas 77021. Applicant's representative: Tray E. Huse, (same name as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Wines, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Delano, CA, to Alexandria, Baton Rouge, and Church Point, LA. (Hearing site: San Francisco, CA, or New Orleans, LA.)

Note.—Common control may be involved.

No. MC 720 (Sub-No. 49F), filed March 13, 1978. Applicant: BIRD TRUCKING CO., INC., P.O. Box 227, Waupun, WI 53963. Applicant's representative: Wayne W. Wilson, 150 East Gilman Street, Madison, WI 53703. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Canned foods and preserved foodstuffs, from Kent City, MI, to points in IL, IN, IA, MO, OH, and WI. (Hearing site: Chicago, II., or Indiana­polis, IN.)

Note.—Common control may be involved.

No. MC 2302 (Sub-No. 556F), filed March 13, 1978. Applicant: ROAD­WAY EXPRESS, INC., P.O. Box 471, 1077 Gorge Boulevard, Akron, OH 44309. Applicant's representative: William O. Turner, Suite 1010, 710 Wisconsin Avenue, Washington, DC 20014. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: General commodities (except those of unusual value, class A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, in bulk, and those requiring special equipment), serving Texarkana, TX, as an off-route point in connection with applicant's present regular routes. (Hearing site: Texarkana, TX.)

Note.—Common control may be involved.

No. MC 4941 (Sub-No. 38F), filed March 13, 1978. Applicant: QUINN FREIGHT LINES, INC., 1093 North Montello Street, Brockton, MA 02403. Applicant's representative: Francis P. Barrett, P.O. Box 238, 60 Adams Street, Milton, MA 02187. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Lumber, in bundles, returned shipments of the above-specified commodities, from Sharon, VT, to points in DE, MD, NJ, NY, NC, PA, SC, VA, and WV. (Hearing site: Boston, MA.)

No. MC 5227 (Sub-No. 36F), filed March 15, 1978. Applicant: ECKLEY TRUCKING, INC., P.O. Box 201, Mead, NE 68041. Applicant's representative: Galynn L. Larsen, 521 South 14th Street, P.O. Box 31848, Lincoln, NE 68501. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Buildings, knocked down and in sections, including buildings, building panels, and metal prefabricated structural components, and equipment, materials, and supplies used in the manufacture and distribution thereof, between the facilities of American Build­ings Co., at or near Carson City, NV, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in AZ, CA, CO, ID, MT, NV, NM, OR, UT, WA, and WY. (Hearing site: Montgomery, AL, or Lincoln, NE.)

No. MC 11207 (Sub-No. 414F), filed February 27, 1978. Applicant: DEATON, INC., 317 Avenue W., P.O. Box 938, Birmingham, AL 35201. Applicant's representative: Kim D. Mann, Suite 1010, 7101 Wisconsin Avenue, Washington, DC 20014. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Iron and steel and iron and steel articles (except commodities in bulk and except com­modities which because of size or weight require the use of special equipment), from Alabama City, AL, to points in TX. (Hearing site: Bir­mingham, AL.)

No. MC 11207 (Sub-No. 415F), filed February 27, 1978. Applicant: DEATON, INC., 317 Avenue W., Post Office Box 938, Birmingham, AL 35201. Applicant's representative: Kim D. Mann, Suite 1010, 7101 Wisconsin Avenue, Washington, DC 20014. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: General com­modities (except those of unusual value, commodities in bulk, household goods as defined by the Commission, classes A and B explosives, canned foods, and commodities which because of size or weight require the use of special equipment), between Canton, AL, and, on the other, points in AL, FL, GA, KY, LA, NC, SC, TN, VA, and WV. (Hearing site: Montgomery, AL, or Lincoln, NE.)

Note.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests that it be held at Jack­son, MS.

No. MC 11207 (Sub-No. 418F), filed March 13, 1978. Applicant: DEATON, INC., 317 Avenue W., Post Office Box 938, Birmingham, AL 35201. Applicant's representative: Kim D. Mann, Suite 1010, 7101 Wisconsin Avenue, Washington, DC 20014. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Iron and steel and iron and steel articles, between points in Richland County, SC, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in AL, FL, GA, IL, IN, KY, MO, OH, TN, TX, VA, and WV. (Hearing site: Montgomery, AL, or Lincoln, NE.)

Note.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests that it be held at Colum­bia, SC or Birmingham, AL.
NOTICES


Note.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests that it be held at Charleston, SC or Birmingham, AL.

No. MC 25798 (Sub-No. 293) (correction), filed October 4, 1977, published in the Federal Register issue of November 25, 1977, and republished this issue. Applicant: CLAY HYDER TRUCKING LINES, INC., Post Office Box 1186, Auburndale, FL 33823. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Citrus products, juices and beverages, from points in Cameron, Hidalgo, and Nueces counties, TX to points in AL, AR, GA, CO, IN, IA, KS, LA, MI, MN, NE, OK and WI.

Note.—The purpose of this republication is to clarify commodity description and amend points of origin. Common Control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either San Antonio, TX or Tampa, FL.

No. MC 25869 (Sub-No. 138F), filed March 13, 1978. Applicant: NOLTE BROS. TRUCK LINE, INC., 6217 Gilmore St. P.O. Box 7184, Omaha, NE 68107. Applicant's representative: Donald L. Stern, Suite 530, Unicar Building, 700 West Center Road, Omaha, NE 68104. Authority sought to operate as a motor common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes transporting: Electrical appliances, equipment and parts from points in the Chicago, IL, commercial zone to points in CO, IA, and NE.

Note.—The purpose of this application is to substitute single line service for existing joint line operation and service. Hearing site, Chicago, IL. Common control may be involved.

No. MC 29886 (Sub-No. 339F), filed March 13, 1978. Applicant: KEY LINE FREIGHT, INC., 15 Andre Street SE., Northville, MI 48167. Applicant's representative: Martin J. Leavitt, 22375 Haggerty Road, P.O. Box 400, Northville, MI 48167. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Communications, (except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, livestock, household goods, as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk and those requiring special equipment, livestock, and gasoline), serving the facilities of the Georgia Power Co, Nuclear Generating Plant (Plant Vogtle), located in Burke County, GA, as an off-route point in connection with carrier's regular-route operations. (Hearing site: Augusta, GA or Dallas, TX.)

Note.—Common control and dual operations may be involved.

No. MC 42000 (Sub-No. 6F), filed February 13, 1978. Applicant: TEXAS MOTOR FREIGHT LINES, INC., P.O. Box 626, Galena Park, TX 77547. Applicant's representative: R. C. "Bob" Jacobs, P.O. Box 626, Galena Park, TX 77547. Applicant seeks to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, in the transportation of: General commodities (except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, livestock, household goods, as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk and those requiring special equipment), between Houston, Galveston, Freeport, Big Spring, Sweetwater, Odessa, and Big D, TX, and those on TX on and east of a line beginning at the Red River and extending along U.S. Hwy 277 through Wichita Falls to Abilene, TX, then along U.S. Hwy 67 to San Angelo, TX, then along U.S. Hwy 67 to San Antonio, TX, and then along U.S. Hwy 181 via Floresville, TX to Corpus Christi, TX. (Hearing site: Houston, TX.)

No. MC 48280 (Sub-No. 83) (Correction), filed January 30, 1978. Published in the Federal Register issue of March 16, 1978, and republished this issue. Applicant: KEY LINE FREIGHT, INC., 15 Andre Street SE., Grand Rapids, MI 49507. Applicant's representative: Martin J. Leavitt, 22375 Haggerty Road, P.O. Box 400, Northville, MI 48167. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, in the transportation of: General commodities (except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, livestock, household goods, as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment), between Grand Rapids and Clare, MI, from Grand Rapids over U.S. Hwy 131 to junction with MI Hwy 57, then over MI Hwy 57 to its junction with U.S. Hwy 27, then north on U.S. Hwy 27 to Clare and return over the same route, serving all intermediate points, and serving the off-route point located in Burke County, GA, as an off-route point in connection with other carriers. (Hearing site: Chicago, IL or Washington, DC.)

Note.—The purpose of this republication is to show applicant's correct name. Common control may be involved.
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No. MC 47583 (Sub-No. 67F), filed March 14, 1978. Applicant: TOLLIE FREIGHTWAYS, INC., 1920 Sunshine Road, Kansas City, KS 66115. Applicant's representative: D. S. Hults, P.O. Box 225, Lawrence, KS 66044. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) feed and feed ingredients (except commodities in bulk) from the plantsite and storage facilities of Kal Kan Foods, Inc., located at or near Mattoon, IL, to all points in the United States (except AK and HI); and (2) Materials, equipment and supplies used in the manufacture and production of feed and feed ingredients (except commodities in bulk), from all points in the United States (except AK and HI), to the plantsite and storage facilities of Kal Kan Foods, Inc., located at or near Mattoon, IL. Restricted to traffic originating at or destined to the plantsite and storage facilities of Kal Kan Foods, Inc., located at or near Mattoon, IL. (Hearing site: Kansas City, MO.)

No. MC 52460 (Sub-No. 207F), filed March 2, 1978. Applicant: ELLEX TRANSPORTATION, INC., 1420 W. 35th Street, P.O. Box 6977, Tulsa, OK 74107. Applicant's representative: William L. Williamson, 280 National Foundation Life Building, 3535 North-west 58th Street, Oklahoma City, OK 73112. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle over irregular routes, transporting: Potash and petroleum products, in packages, from Houston, TX to points in NM. (Hearing site: (1) Denver, CO, (2) Houston, TX.)

No. MC 52579 (Sub-No. 171F), filed March 10, 1978. Applicant: GILBERT CAR CHASSIS, INC., One Gilbert Drive, Secaucus, NJ 07094. Applicant's representative: Irving Klein, 371 7th Ave., New York, NY 10001. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle over irregular routes transporting: Wearable apparel on hangers from points in NC, SC, GA, and FL to DC. (Hearing site: Housto, TX or Washington, DC.)

No. MC 57778 (Sub-No. 20F), filed March 13, 1978. Applicant: MICHIGAN REFRIGERATED TRUCKING SER, INC., P.O. Box 498, 6135 West Jefferson Avenue, Detroit, MI 48206. Applicant's representative: William B. Elmer, 21835 East Nine Mile Road, St. Clair Shores, MI 48080. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle over irregular routes, in the transportation of frozen foods, from the plantsite of American Home Food Products at LaPorte, IN, to points in the Lower Peninsula of MI. (Hearing site: Lansing, MI.)

No. MC 59150 (Sub-No. 127F), filed March 20, 1978. Applicant: PLOOF TRUCK LINES, INC., 1414 Lindrose Street, Jacksonville, FL 32206. Applicant's representative: Martin Sack, Jr., P.O. Box 1754, Gulf Breeze, FL 32207. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Precast concrete and concrete products, from Peachtree City, GA to points in GA, FL, AL, NC, SC, LA, KY, and TN.

Note.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests that it be held at Atlanta, GA.

No. MC 60014 (Sub-No. 77F), filed March 13, 1978. Applicant: AERO TRUCKING, INC., P.O. Box 308, Monroeville, PA 15146. Applicant's representative: A. Charles Tell, 100 East Broad Street, Columbus, OH 43215. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Contractors equipment and supplies, bulk storage tanks and smoke stacks, which because of unusual size or weight, require special handling and use of special equipment from the facilities of Armstrong Cork Co. at Minneapolis, MN to points in the U.S. (except AK and HI); and (2) materials and supplies, and contractors equipment, used in the manufacture of and construction of bulk storage tanks and smoke stacks from points in the U.S. (except AK and HI) to Minneapolis, MN. (Hearing site: Washington, DC.)

No. MC 60014 (Sub-No. 78F), filed March 13, 1978. Applicant: AERO TRUCKING, INC., Box 308, Monroeville, PA 15146. Applicant's representative: A. Charles Tell, 100 East Broad Street, Columbus, OH 43215. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle over irregular routes, transporting: Face brick, fire brick, fire clay, glazed face brick, and glazed and unglazed structural facing tile, from shipper's facilities located in Bastrop County, TX to points in AL, FL, GA, IL, NV, IA, IA, MN, MS, OH, SC, TN, VA, WV, ND, SD, and WI. (Hearing site: Houston, TX or Washington, DC.)

Note.—Common control may be involved.

No. MC 61592 (Sub-No. 415F), filed March 13, 1978. Applicant: JENKINS TRUCK LINES, INC., P.O. Box 697, Jeffersonville, IN 47130. Applicant's representative: E. A. DeVine, 6917 E. 16th Avenue, P.O. Box 737, Moline, IL 61265. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Floor sweeping compounds and absorbents (except commodities in bulk, in tank vehicles), from Ripley, MS to points in IL, IN, IA, KY, MI, MO, OH, PA, WV, and WI. (Hearing site: Chicago, IL.)

Note.—Common control may be involved.

No. MC 70557 (Sub-No. 3F), filed March 13, 1978. Applicant: NIELSEN BROS. CARTAGE CO., INC., 4619 West Homer Street, Chicago, IL 60639. Applicant's representative: Carl L. Steiner, 39 South La Salle Street, Chicago, IL 60603. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Building, wall and insulating boards, and materials and supplies used in connection with the installation thereof (except commodities in bulk), from the facilities of Armstrong Cork Co. located at Pensacola, FL and points in its Commercial Zone, to points in GA. (Hearing site: Atlanta, GA or Pensacola, FL.)

Note.—Applicant holds motor contract carrier authority in No. MC 134488 and subnumbers thereunder, therefore dual operations may be involved.

No. MC 73165 (Sub-No. 430F), filed March 6, 1978. Applicant: EAGLE MOTOR LINES, INC., 830 North 33rd Street, Birmingham, AL 35202. Applicant's representative: R. Cameron Rollins (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Material handling equipment and equipment, materials and supplies used in the manufacture of material handling equipment, and (2) parts, attachments and accessories used in connection with the commodities described in (1) above, from the plantsite of Continental Conveyor and Equipment Co. at or near Durant, OK to points in the United States (except AK and HI). (Hearing site: Dallas, TX.)

Note.—Applicant holds motor contract carrier authority in No. MC 134488 and subnumbers thereunder, therefore dual operations may be involved.

No. MC 73165 (Sub-No. 434F), filed March 6, 1978. Applicant: EAGLE MOTOR LINES, INC., 830 North 33rd Street, Birmingham, AL 35202. Applicant's representative: R. Cameron Rollins (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Equipment, materials and supplies used in the manufacture of mobile homes, and (2) parts, attachments and accessories, used in connection with the commodities described in (1) above, from the facilities of Commercial Pab, Inc. (a division of Continental Conveyor Equipment Co.) at or near Newton, NC to points in the United States (except AK and HI). (Hearing site: Atlanta, GA.)

No. MC 73165 (Sub-No. 435F), filed March 13, 1978. Applicant: EAGLE MOTOR LINES, INC., 830 North 33rd Street, Birmingham, AL 35202. Applicant's representative: R. Cameron Rollins (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Equipment, materials and supplies used in the manufacture of mobile homes, and (2) parts, attachments and accessories, used in connection with the commodities described in (1) above, from the facilities of Commercial Pab, Inc. (a division of Continental Conveyor Equipment Co.) at or near Newton, NC to points in the United States (except AK and HI). (Hearing site: Atlanta, GA.)
tion equipment, wastewater purification equipment, and irrigation equipment, from Knoxville, TN to points in the United States (except AK and HI). (Hearing site: Nashville, TN or Atlanta, GA.)

No. MC 85934 (Sub-No. 77F), filed March 15, 1978. Applicant: MICHI-

t's representative: Martin J. Lea-
sought to operate as a common carri-
er, by motor vehicle, over irregular
routes, transporting: Muriatic acid in
bulk, in tank vehicles, from Montague,
MI to points in IN, IL and WI. (Hear-
ing site: Washington, DC or Chicago,
IL.)

No. MC 87689 (Sub-No. 15F), filed March 9, 1978. Applicant: INTER-

or irregular routes, in the transporta-
tion of: General commodities, (except
those of unusual value, classes A and B
explosives, household goods as de-

by motor vehicle, over irregular

routines, transporting: General com-
modities (except those of unusual
value, classes A and B explosives, hu-
hold goods as defined by the Commissi-
nommon control may be involved.

No. MC 89408 (Sub-No. 1F), filed March 9, 1978. Applicant: SAMUEL L.

400 Hubbell Building, Des Moines, IA 50309. Au-

ty sought to operate as a common carri-
er, by motor vehicle, over irregular
routes, transporting: Plastic pipe, tab-

No. MC 103993 (Sub-No. 930F), filed March 6, 1978. Applicant: MORGAN
DRIVE-AWAY, INC., 22851 U.S. 20
West, Elkhart, IN 46514. Applicant's
representative: Paul D. Borghesani
(same address as applicant). Authority
sought to operate as a common carri-
er, by motor vehicle, over irregular
routes, transporting: Plywood, from
Darlington, SC to points in the United
States in and east of MN, IA, MO, AR,
and LA. (Hearing site: Columbia, SC.)

No. MC 103993 (Sub-No. 930F), filed March 6, 1978. Applicant: MORGAN
DRIVE-AWAY, INC., 22851 U.S. 20
West, Elkhart, IN 46514. Applicant's
representative: Paul D. Borghesani
(same address as applicant). Authority
sought to operate as a common carri-
er, by motor vehicle, over irregular
routes, transporting: General commodi-
ties in bulk), from the facilities
of Swift & Co. plant located at/or near
Grand Island and Omaha, NE. Audi-
tory, over irregular routes, transporting:
Glass bottles and/or contain-
ers from Parkersburg, WV and Joliet,
IL to points in IL, IA, KS, KY, LA, MI,
MS, OH, and WI. (Hearing site: Dallas
or Brownsville, TX.)

Note.—The purpose of this publication is to clarify applicant's commodity descrip-
tions and amend points of origin. Common control may be involved.

No. MC 106603 (Sub-No. 173F), filed March 13, 1978. Applicant: DIRECT
TRANSIT LINES, INC., 200 Colrain
Street SW., Grand Rapids, MI 49508.
Applicant's representative: Martin J.
Leavitt, 22375 Haggerty Road, P.O.
Box 400, Northville, MI 48167. Author-
ity sought to operate as a common carri-
er, by motor vehicle, over irregular
routes, transporting: Building ma-
terials and asbestos millboard prod-
cts (except in bulk), from the facili-
ties of GAP Corp., Waco, TX, to points
in IL, IN, IA, MI, and WI. (Hearing site:
Chicago, IL or Washington, DC.)

Note.—Common control and dual oper-
ations may be involved.

No. MC 107403 (Sub-No. 1066F), filed March 13, 1978. Applicant: MAT-
lACK, INC., Ten West Baltimore
Avenue, Lansdowne, PA 19050. Ap-
pli's representative: Martin C.
Hynes, Jr., (same address as appli-
cant). Authority sought to operate as a
common carrier, over irregular routes,
transporting: Meat products, fresh,
perishable, along with any type of:
Meats, meat pack, meat byproducts,
and articles described as meat pack-
houses as described in sections A and C
of Appendix I to report in Description
in Motor Carrier Certificates, 61 MCC
209 and 766 (except hides and com-
mmodities in bulk), from the facili-
ties of Swift & Co. plant located at/or
near Grand Island and Omaha, NE. Au-
ditory, over irregular routes, transport-
ing: General commodities (except
those of unusual value, classes A and B
explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment), between Detroit, MI and ports of entry on the International boundary line between the United States and Canada, located at or near Port Huron and return over Interstate Hwy 94, serving no intermediate points. (Hearing site: Buffalo, NY or Detroit, MI.)

Note.—Common control may be involved.

The purpose of this application is for an alternat route only.

No. MC 90820 (Sub-No. 35F), filed March 13, 1978. Applicant: GLESS
BROS., INC., P.O. Box 216, Blue
Grass, IA 52726. Applicant's represent-
tive: Larry D. Knox, 600 Hubbell
Building, Des Moines, IA 50309. Au-
thority sought to operate as a common carri-
er, by motor vehicle, over irregular
routes, transporting: Gypsum and
limestone, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from
the facilities of American Pelletizing
Corp. in Marion County, IA, to points in II, MN, NE, MO, SD, KS, and WI. (Hearing site: Des Moines, IA or St. Paul, MN.)

Note.—Common control may be involved.

No. MC 105813 (Sub-No. 227) (Cor-
rection), filed November 28, 1978, pub-
lished in the Federal Register issue of
February 22, 1978, and republished this
issue. Applicant: BELFORD
TRUCKING CO., INC., 1759 South-
west 12th Street, P.O. Box 2009, Oc-
als, FL 32670. Applicant's representa-
tive: Arnold L. Burke, 180 North La-
Salle Street, Chicago, IL 60601. Au-
thority sought to operate as a common carri-
er, by motor vehicle, over irregular
routes, transporting: Citrus prod-
cts, juices and beverages, from points in and east of CT, NY, MA, RI, VT, and N.C., from the facilities of Chaffee's Inc., Winn-Dixie Stores Inc., and the Fruit Company, to points in the States of AR, CO, NE, OK, SD, TX, and OK. (Hearing site: New Orleans, LA.)

Note.—The purpose of this publication is to clarify applicant's commodity descrip-
tions and amend points of origin. Common control may be involved.
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Center, 666 Grand Avenue, Des Moines, IA 50309. Applicant's representative: E. Check, P.O. Box 855, Des Moines, IA 50304. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Dry plastics, in bulk, in tank or hopper type vehicles, from Indianapolis, IN, to points in the United States and on and east of U.S. Hwy. 85, and facilities of the U.S. Postal Service. (Hearing site: Des Moines, IA or Chicago, IL).

No. MC 107515 (Sub-No. 1110) (correction), filed October 13, 1978, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of December 15, 1977, and republished this issue. Applicant: REFRIGERATED TRANSPORT CO., INC., P.O. Box 36, Forest Park, GA 30230. Applicant's representative: Alan E. Serby, 3379 Peachtree Road NE., Suite 375, Atlanta, Ga 30326. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, in the transportation of fruits, vegetables, juices, and beverages (except in bulk), from points in Columbus, OH, to points in NV, NE, KS, KS, NE, OK, and TX, to points in Montgomery County, TN. Restriction: Restricted to the transportation of goods originating at or destined to the named points and named destinations in (1) and (2) above, except traffic moving in foreign commerce. (Hearing site: Nashville, TN, or Washington, DC.)

No. MC 109397 (Sub-No. 400F), filed March 13, 1978. Applicant: TRISTATE MOTOR TRANSPORT CO., a corporation, P.O. Box 113, Joplin, MO 64801. Applicant's representative: A. N. Jacobs (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Grains, marble, slate, and stone, including precast stone, from points in ID and MT, to points in the United States (including AK, but excluding HI). (Hearing site: Salt Lake City, UT, or Denver, CO.)

NOTE.—Common control may be involved.

No. MC 109533 (Sub-No. 104F), filed March 10, 1978. Applicant: OVERNITE TRANSPORTATION CO., 1000 Semmes Avenue, Richmond, VA 23224. Applicant's representative: E. T. Lindert, Suite 1100, 1860 L Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20036. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle over regular routes, transporting: General commodities (except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission), commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment): (1) Between Cincinnati, OH, and Columbus, OH, serving all intermediate points from Cincinnati over Interstate Hwy 71 to Columbus and return over the same route; (2) between Dayton, OH, and Cincinnati, OH, serving all intermediate points from Dayton over Interstate Hwy 75 to Cincinnati, and return over the same route; (3) between Dayton, OH, and Columbus, OH, serving all intermediate points from Dayton over U.S. Hwy 4 to Junction Interstate Hwy 70, then over Interstate Hwy 70 to Columbus and return over the same route; (4) between Columbus, OH, and Wheeling, WV, serving all intermediate points from Columbus over Interstate Hwy 70 to Wheeling and return over the same route; (5) between Columbus, OH, and Parkersburg, WV, serving all intermediate points from Columbus over U.S. Hwy 33 to junction U.S. Hwy 50, at or near Athens, OH, then over U.S. Hwy 50 to Parkersburg and return over same route; (6) between Dayton, OH, and Point Pleasant, WV, serving all intermediate points from Dayton over U.S. Hwy 35 to Point Pleasant and return over same route; (7) between Cincinnati, OH, and Harrison, OH, serving all intermediate points and the off-route point of New Paris, OH, from IN-OH State line over Interstate Hwy 70 to junction Interstate Hwy 75, then over Interstate Hwy 75 to Dayton and return over the same route; (8) serving points in Adams, Brown, Butler, Champaign, Clark, Clay, Crawford, Darke, Fayette, Franklin, Greene, Hamilton, Highland, Jackson, Licking, Madison, Marion, Miami, Montgomery, Pickaway, Pike, Preble, Ross, Union, Vinton, Warren, Washington, OH, and WV, and as off-route points, in connection with routes (1) through (8). (Hearing site: Cincinnati or Columbus, OH.)

Notes.—Common control may be involved.

No. MC 109818 (Sub-No. 22F), filed March 13, 1978. Applicant: WENGER TRUCK LINE, INC., P.O. Box 3427, Davenport, IA 52808. Applicant's representative: Larry D. Knox, 600 Hubbell Building, Des Moines, IA 50309. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Meats, meat products, meat byproducts, and articles distributed by meat packershing, as described in sections A and C of appendix I to the report in Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates, 61 MCC 209 and 786 (except hides and commodities in bulk), from the facilities of Swift Fresh Meats Co. at Grand Island, NE, to points in IA and NE, restricted to shipments originating at the named origin and destined to the named states. (Hearing site: Chicago, II, or Omaha, NE.)

No. MC 109821 (Sub-No. 55F), filed March 13, 1978. Applicant: TAYTON FREIGHT SYSTEM, INC., 40 Main Street, Wellsboro, PA 16901. Applicants representative: Dewey T. Whitford (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Foodstuffs and pet foods and equipment, materials, and supplies used in the manufacture or distribution of foodstuffs and pet foods (except in bulk), between the facilities of N.B. Whitford Ltd., 61 Fairlawn, NJ, on the one hand and on the other points in PA; (2) Buffalo, Geneva, Niagara Falls, NY, on the one hand and on the other points in PA, (3) Wellsboro, PA, and OH on the east of Interstate 71 and U.S. Highway 220, Wellsboro, PA, on the one hand and on the other points in PA and NY and on west of U.S. Highway 11. Common control may be
involved. (Hearing site: Philadelphia, PA, or New York, NY.)

No. MC 112668 (Sub-No. 59F), filed March 13, 1978. Applicant: TRANS-CON LINES, P.O. Box 92220, Los Angeles, CA 90009. Applicant's representative: Wentworth E. Griffin, 1221 Baltimore Avenue, Kansas City, MO 64111. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: General commodities (except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment), between Atlanta, GA, and Georgia Power Co., Plant Vogtle (Burke County, GA), serving no intermediate points but serving the junction of GA Hawys 21 and 56 for purposes of joinder only: From Atlanta over Interstate Hwy 20 to junction GA Hwy 232, then over GA Hwy 232 to junction GA Hwy 56, then over GA Hwy 56 to junction GA Hwy 23, then over GA Hwy 23 to junction GA Hwy 80, then over GA Hwy 80 to Plant Vogtle and return over the same route, restricted to the transportation of traffic originating at or destined to points west of the Mississippi River and points west of the eastern boundary of the State of MN (except points in the St. Louis, MO-East St. Louis, IL, commercial zone, as defined by the Commission, and except points in the Davenport, IA-Moline and Rock Island, IL, commercial zone, as defined by the Commission). (Hearing site: Atlanta, GA.)

No. MC 110420 (Sub-No. 777F), filed March 13, 1978. Applicant: QUALITY CARRIERS, INC., P.O. Box 186, Pleasant Prairie, WI 53158. Applicant's representative: John R. Sims, Jr., 815 Pennsylvania Building, 1315 Pennsylvania Ave., Washington, DC 20004. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Agricultural pesticides, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from the plantsite and storage facilities utilized by Shell Chemical Co., a division of Shell Oil Co., at or near El Paso, TX, to points in the United States (except AK and HI). (Hearing site: Chicago, IL or Milwaukee, WI.)

Note.—Common control may be involved.


Note.—Common control may be involved.

No. MC 114045 (Sub-No. 488) (correction), filed November 28, 1978, published in the Federal Register issue of January 26, 1978 and republished this issue. Applicant: TRANS-COLD EXPRESS, INC., P.O. Box 61228, DFW Airport, TX 75261. Applicant's representative: Arnold L. Burke, 180 North LaSalle Street, Chicago, IL 60601. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Citrus products, juices and beverages, from points in Cameron, Hidalgo, and Nueces Counties, TX, to points in CA, CO, OK, OR and WA. (Hearing site: Dallas or Brownsville, TX.)

Note.—The purpose of this republication is to clarify commodity description and points of origin. Common control may be involved.

No. MC 114273 (Sub-No. 355F), filed March 13, 1978. Applicant: CRST, INC., P.O. Box 68, Cedar Rapids, IA 52406. Applicant's representative: Kenneth L. Core (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Meats, meat products, and meat by-products, and articles distributed by meat packing-houses as described in sections A and C of appendix I to the report in Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates, 61 MCC 209 and 786 (except hides and commodities, in bulk, in tank vehicles), from the facilities of Swift & Co. located at Rochelle and St. Charles, IL, to points in OH, CT, DE, MD, MA, NH, NJ, PA, RI, VT, VA, and DC. (Hearing site: Chicago, IL or Washington, DC.)
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No. MC 115531 (Sub-No. 453F), filed March 13, 1978. Applicant: TRUCK TRANSPORT INC., 29 Claytin Hills Lane, St. Louis, MO 63131. Applicant's representative: J. R. Ferris, 23rd St., Clair Avenue, East St. Louis, IL 62201. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Liquid chemicals, in bulk, in tank vehicles from Washington County, MO, to all points in the United States (except AK and HI). (Hearing site: Memphis, TN or St. Louis, MO.)

No. MC 115496 (Sub-No. 87F), filed March 13, 1978. Applicant: LUMBER TRANSPORT INC., P.O. Box 111, Cochran, GA 31014. Applicant's representative: Virgil H. Smith, Suite 12, 1587 Phoenix Boulevard, Atlanta, GA 30349. Authority to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, in the transportation of: Lumber, plywood, particleboard, J. Between points in GA, SC, and AL. (Hearing site: Atlanta, GA.)

No. MC 115654 (Sub-No. 81F), filed March 10, 1978. Applicant: TENNESSEE CARTAGE CO., INC., P.O. Box 23193, Nashville, TN 37202. Applicant's representative: Henry E. Seaton, 917 Pennsylvania Building, 13th and Pennsylvania Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20004. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, over irregular routes, transporting: Foodstuffs (except in bulk), from La Porte, IN, to points in TN and AR restricted to traffic originating at the facilities of American Home Foods, Division of American Home Products Corp. Common control may be involved. (Hearing site: New York, NY or Washington, DC.)

No. MC 115826 (Sub-No. 339F), filed March 8, 1978. Applicant: W. J. DIGBY, INC., 1969 31st Street, P.O. Box 5088 T.A., Denver, CO 80217. Applicant's representative: Howard Gore, 1969 31st Street, P.O. Box 5088 T.A., Denver, CO 80217. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Meats, meat products, meat by-products, and articles distributed by meat packing houses from CO to TX and NM. (Hearing site: Denver, CO.)

No. MC 115841 (Sub-No. 616F), filed March 13, 1978. Applicant: Colonial Refrigerated Transportation, Inc., Suite 110, 9041 Executive Park Drive, Knoxville, TN 37919. Applicant's representative: E. Stephen Helmsley, McLachlan Bank Building, 666 Eleventh Street NW., Washington, DC 20001. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Meats, meat products, meat by-products, and articles distributed by meat packing houses from CO to TX and NM. (Hearing site: Denver, CO.)

No. MC 115873 (Sub-No. 387) (Correction), filed August 1, 1977, and republished in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of September 8, 1977, and republished this issue. Applicant: CARL SUBLER TRUCKING, INC., North West Street, Versailles, OH 45380. Applicant's representative: H. M. Richters, P.O. Box 51, Versailles, OH 45380. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Citrus products, juices, and beverages, from points in Cameron, Hildalgo, and Nueces Counties, TX, to points in AL, AR, IL, IA, KS, KY, LA, MI, MN, MS, MO, NE, OH, OK, TX, and WI. (Hearing site: San Antonio, TX.)

Note.—The purpose of this republication is to clarify commodity description, and amend points of origin.

No. MC 116763 (Sub-No. 406F), filed March 13, 1978. Applicant: CARL SUBLER TRUCKING, INC., North West Street, Versailles, OH 45380. Applicant's representative: H. M. Richters, North West Street, Versailles, OH 45380. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Fruits, canned in containers, from Delta, CO, to points in AZ, CA, KS, NM, OK, and TX. (Hearing site: Dallas, TX or Denver, CO.)

No. MC 118159 (Sub-No. 252F), filed March 6, 1978. Applicant: NATIONAL REFRIGERATED TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 51386, Dawson Station, Tulsa, OK 74161. Applicant's representative: Warren Taylor (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Paper and paper products, and cellulose materials and products (except commodities in bulk), from the facilities of Scott Paper Co. at Winslow and Portland, ME, and Somerset County, ME to points in the United States (except points in WV, VA, MD, AK, HI, DE, PA, NJ, NY, CT, RI, MA, NH, VT, ME, and DC). (Hearing site: Chicago, IL.)

No. MC 118304 (Sub-No. 6F), filed March 13, 1978. Applicant: CALDWELL TRANSPORT LTD., Florenceville, NB. Applicant's representative: Francis E. Barrett, Jr., Esq., 10 Indus...
NOTICES
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...tribal Park Road, Hingham, MA 02043. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier by motor vehicle over irregular routes, transporting: Gypsum, gypsum products and plasterboard joint system from Buchanan and Akron, OH, to points in IL, IN, KY, MI, MN, MO, NC, OH, PA, SC, TX and WA, to the facilities of W. E. Walker Stores, Inc., at or near Columbia, MS, and Diboll, TX. (Hearing site: Jackson, MS or Birmingham, AL.)

No. MC 118682 (Sub-No. 7F), filed March 10, 1978. Applicant: JOE L. LAMBERT, d.b.a., JOE LAMBERT TRUCKING SERVICE, 715 Fox cant's representative: John M. Friedman, 2930 Putnam Avenue, Huronville, WV 26332. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Precast concrete pipe and precast concrete products (1) from points in OH, to points in IN, KY, MD, MI, NC, PA, TN, VA, WV, and (2) from points in WV, to points in IN, KY, MD, MI, NC, OH, PA, TN and VA. (Hearing site: Charleston, WV or Columbus, OH.)

No. MC 119619 (Sub-No. 124F), filed March 15, 1978. Applicant: DISTRIBUTORS SERVICE CO., 2000 West 43rd Street, Chicago, IL 60609. Applicant's representative: Arthur J. Piken, One Lefrak City, Plaza, Flushing, NY 11368. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Frozen foods, from the plant site and/or storage facilities of Lender's Bagel Bakery, Inc., located at or near Buffalo, NY, to points in IL, IA, KS, KY, MI, MN, MO, NE, OH, and WI. Restricting goods to traffic originating at and destined to the above named points. (Hearing site: Buffalo, NY.)

No. MC 119765 (Sub-No. 49F), filed March 9, 1978. Applicant: EIGHT WAY EXPRESS, INC., 5403 South 27th Street, Omaha, NE 68107. Applicant's representative: Arlyn L. Westergren, Suite 530 Univac Building, 7100 West Center Road, Omaha, NE 68106. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Foodstuffs and inedible foods (except in bulk), in moving in mechanically refrigerated vehicles, (1) From the facilities of Terminal Ice & Cold Storage Co. at or near Bettendorf, IA, to points in IL, IN, KY, MI, MN, MO, OH and WI; and, (2) returned or rejected shipments from the destinations named in part (1) above to Bettendorf, IA. Restriction: Restricted to traffic originating at the named origins and destined to the named destinations.

No. MC 119777 (Sub-No. 348F), filed March 14, 1978. Applicant: LIGN SPECIALIZED HAULER, INC., a corporation, Madisonville, KY 42431. Applicant's representative: Carl U. Hurst, P.O. Drawer "L", Madisonville, KY 42431. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Forgings, and pipe couplings, and fittings, from Louisville, KY, to points in the United States (except AK and HI). (Hearing site: Seat­...
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MA, NC, NY, NJ, OH, PA, TN and VA. (Hearing site: Columbus, OH.)

No. MC 124887 (Sub-No. 51F), filed March 14, 1978. Applicant: SHELTON TRUCKING SERVICE, INC., Route 1, Box 230, Altha, FL 32421. Applicant's representative: Sol H. Proctor, 1101 Blackstone Bldg., Jacksonville, FL 32202. Applicant's authority is sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes transporting: Crude, calcined and dead burned magnesite, and magnesite-based products, on flatbed equipment, from Port St. Joe, FL to points in AL, AR, OK, IL, LA, MS, NC, OH, PA, SC, TN, TX. (Hearing site: Jacksonville or Tallahassee, FL or Atlanta, GA.)

No. MC 124947 (Sub-No. 102F), filed March 13, 1978. Applicant: MACHINERY TRANSPORTS, INC., 1945 South Redwood Road, Salt Lake City, UT 84104. Applicant's representative: David J. Lister, 1945 South Redwood Road, Salt Lake City, UT 84104. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes transporting: (1) Plastic battery boxes, (2) plastic battery covers, (3) plastic battery vents; and (4) parts for items (1), (2), and (3) (except in bulk), between Milwaukee, WI, Vicksburg, MS, restricted to shipments originating at or destined to the facilities of Gould, Inc., located at or near the above-named cities. (Hearing site: Chicago, IL.)

Note.—Common control may be involved.

No. MC 125470 (Sub-No. 30F), filed March 13, 1978. Applicant: MOORE'S TRANSFER, INC., P.O. Box 1153, Norfolk, VA 23501. Applicant's representative: Gaillyn L. Larsen, 521 South 14th Street, P.O. Box 81849, Lincoln, NE 68501. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Salt and salt products, and materials and supplies, used in the agricultural, water treatment, food processing, wholesale and grocery, and institutional supply industries, including loads with salt and salt products, from the facilities of American Salt Co., at or near Lyons, KS, to points in NE, SD, ND, MN, WI, IL, and IN. (Hearing site: Kansas City, MO or Lincoln, NE.)

No. MC 127303 (Sub-No. 34F), filed March 16, 1978. Applicant: ZELLMER TRUCKING CO., Inc., Box 343, Granville, OH 43023. Applicant's representative: E. Stephen Heisley, 666 11th Street NW, No. 805, Washington, D.C. 20001. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Glass containers and container accessories, from Dunkirk, IN, to points in IA, MO, and IL. (Hearing site: Chicago, IL or Washington, DC.)

No. MC 127478 (Sub-No. 8F), filed March 13, 1978. Applicant: WILLIAM M. HAYES, d.b.a. HAYES TRUCKING CO., P.O. Box 31, Winterville, NC 28693. Applicant's authority is sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, in the transportation of foodstuffs, from the facilities of CFS Continental Inc., at Chicago, IL, or in Atlanta and Macon, GA. (Hearing site: Atlanta, GA.)

No. MC 127705 (Sub-No. 51F), filed March 10, 1978. Applicant: KREVDA BROS. EXPRESS, INC., P.O. Box 68, Glass City, IN 47333. Applicant's representative: Donald W. Smith, Suite 945, 9000 Keystone Crossing, Indianapolis, IN 46240. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Glass containers and container accessories, from the facilities of Anchor Hocking Corp., at Winchester and Richmond, IN, to points in IA, MO, PA, OH, MI, IL, WI, KY, NY, NJ, and MD; (2) materials, equipment, and supplies supplied used in the manufacture and distribution of glass containers (except commodities in bulk), and returned glass containers, from points in IA, MO, PA, OH, MI, IL, WI, KY, NY, NJ, and MD, to the facilities of Anchor Hocking Corp. at Winchester and Richmond, IN, restricted to traffic originating at and destined to the named origins and the named destinations. (Hearing site: Washington, DC.)

No. MC 128905 (Sub-No. 4F), filed March 10, 1978. Applicant: ZERKLE TRUCKING CO., a corporation, 34 Race Street, Middleport, OH 45670. Applicant's representative: John M. Pantel, 256 Montgomery Street, San Francisco, CA 94104. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Automobile body parts and plastic trim, from the facilities of Pantel, Inc., located in Lapeer and Genessee Counties, MI, to points in the United States (Excluding AK and HI), under contract with Pantel, Inc. (Hearing site: San Francisco, CA.)

No. MC 133233 (Sub-No. 58F), filed March 3, 1978. Applicant: CLARENCE L. WERNER, d.b.a. WERNER ENTERPRISES, I-50 and Hwy 50, P.O. Box 37308, Omaha, NE 68137. Applicant's representative: Michael J. Ogborn, P.O. Box 82028, Lincoln, NE 68501. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Aluminum and aluminum products, from points in Milam County, TX, to points in the United States in the east of WY, MT, UT, and NM; and (2) materials, equipment and supplies utilized in the manufacture of aluminum and aluminum products, from points in the United States in and east of WY, MT, UT and NM, to points in Milam County, TX, under a continuing contract or contracts, with Aluminum Co. of America. Applicant holds common carrier authority in MC 133328 Sub 1 and other subs thereunder, therefore dual operations may be involved. (Hearing site: Pittsburgh, PA.)

No. MC 133735 (Sub-No. 5P), filed March 13, 1978. Applicant: AUDUBON TRANSPORT, INC., Wever, IA 52658. Applicant's representative: Larry D. Knox, 600 Hubbell Building, Des Moines, IA 50309. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Fertilizer, from the facilities of Merschman Seed & Fertilizer, Inc. at or near Fort Madison, IA, to points in IL and MO. (Hearing site: Des Moines, IA or Kansas City, MO.)

Note.—Common control may be involved.

No. MC 134477 (Sub-No. 223F), filed March 15, 1978. Applicant: SCHANNO TRANSPORTATION, INC., 5 West Meridota Road, West St. Paul, MN 55118. Applicant's representative: Robert F. Sack, P.O. Box 6010, West St. Paul, MN 55118. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Such commodities as are dealt in by retail department stores, and equipment, materials and supplies thereunder, therefore dual operations may be involved. Common control may be involved.

No. MC 129510 (Sub-No. 13F), filed March 9, 1978. Applicant: ENGLUND EQUIPMENT CO., a corporation, 740 Old Stage Road, Salinas, CA 93901. Applicant's representative: John Paul Fischer, 745 Montgomery Street, San Francisco, CA 94104. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Automobile body parts and plastic trim, from the facilities of Pantel, Inc., located in Lapeer and Genesee Counties, MI, to points in the United States (Excluding AK and HI), under contract with Pantel, Inc. (Hearing site: San Francisco, CA.)
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used in the conduct of such business (except commodities in bulk and food-stuffs) from Statesville, NC to Denver, CO, Chicago, IL, Kansas City and St. Louis, MO, and Dallas and Houston, TX. Restricted to traffic originating at the facilities of C. G. R. Transport Co., at Statesville, NC and destined to the above named destinations. (Hearing site: Minneapolis, MN.)

No. MC 134591 (Sub-No. 4F), filed March 14, 1978. Applicant: R. C. PILKINS, INC., Route 8, Cheshire, MA 01225. Applicant's representative: Thomas W. Murrett, 342 North Main Street, West Hartford, CT 06117. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Dry animal and poultry feed ingredients, and (3) agriculture commodities otherwise exempt from regulation under Section 203(b)(6) of the Act when manufactured, packed, marketed, or sold in the commodities in (1) and (2) above, in bulk, between points in NY, CT, MA, RI, NH, VT, and ME. (Hearing site: Hartford, CT.)

Note.—Common control may be involved.

No. MC 134672 (Sub-No. 11F), filed March 7, 1978. Applicant: GOSSELIN EXPRESS LTD., 141 Smith Boulevard, Albany, NY 12210. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Goods in bulk, from ports of entry on the United States-Canada boundary located in NY to points in NJ, OH, PA and VT. (Hearing site: Syracuse, NY or Washington, DC.)

No. MC 135732 (Sub-No. 30F), filed March 13, 1978. Applicant: AUBREY FREIGHT LINES, INC., P.O. Box 563, Elizabeth, NJ 07208. Applicant's representative: Daniel J. Kozera, Jr., The McKay Company, 3 West 40th Street, New York, NY 10018. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Liquefied petroleum gases, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Lemont, IL, to points in IN and MI. (Hearing site: Lansing or Detroit, MI or Chicago, IL.)

No. MC 136197 (Sub-No. 12P), filed March 3, 1978. Applicant: LEESER TRANSPORTATION, INC., Route 3, Palmyra, NY 14520. Applicant's representative: Herman W. Huber, 101 East High Street, Jefferson City, MO 65101. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, in the transportation of: Asbestos, in bags, from the points of entry on the International boundary line at Champlain, NY, and Detroit, MI to points in H, Norristown, PA, and Meredith, NH. (Hearing site: Albany, NY or Plattsburgh, NY.)


No. MC 136343 (Sub-No. 128F), filed March 13, 1978. Applicant: MILTON TRANSPORTATION, INC., P.O. Box 355, Milton, PA 17847. Applicant's representative: George A. Olsen, P.O. Box 357, Gladstone, NJ 07834. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, over irregular routes, transporting: Containers, fibreboard or pulpboard, with or without ends of same or other material and supplies used in the manufacture and distribution thereof, (except commodities in bulk), from the facilities of Sonoco Products Co. at or near Corinth, NY, and Camden Counties; NY, east of the one hand, and, on the other, points in Ulster, Orange, Dutchess and Sullivan Counties, NY, restricted to transportation of shipments having a prior or subsequent movement by water, motor air or common carriers. (Hearing site: New York or Newburgh, NY.)

No. MC 136334 (Sub-No. 129F), filed March 9, 1978. Applicant: MILTON TRANSPORTATION, INC., P.O. Box 355, Milton, PA 17847. Applicant's representative: George A. Olsen, P.O. Box 357, Gladstone, NJ 07834. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, over irregular routes, transporting: Containers, fibreboard or pulpboard, with or without ends of same or other material and supplies used in the manufacture and distribution thereof, (except commodities in bulk), from the facilities of Sonoco Products Co. at or near Alpha, OH and warehouse facilities at or near Dayton, OH, to points in the United States (except AK and HI). (Hearing site: Columbus, OH, New York, NY or Washington, DC.)

No. MC 136511 (Sub-No. 18F), filed March 15, 1978. Applicant: VIRGINIA APPALACHIAN LUMBER CORPORATION, 9640 Timberlake Road, Lynchburg, VA 24502. Applicant's representative: E. Stephen Reade. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: New furniture and furniture parts, from Henry County, VA to points in CO, NM, WY, MO, and ID, and, (2) from Smyth County, VA to points in CA, AZ, WA, OR, ID, MT, WY, CO, UT, NE, and NM. (Hearing site: Washington, DC.)

No. MC 136713 (Sub-No. 10F), filed March 10, 1978. Applicant: AERO LIQUID TRANSIT, INC., 1717 Four Mile Road N.E., Grand Rapids, MI 49505. Applicant's representative: Daniel J. Kozera, Jr., The McKay Company, 3 West 40th Street, New York, NY 10018. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Liquefied petroleum gases, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Lemont, IL, to points in IN and MI. (Hearing site: Lansing or Detroit, MI or Chicago, IL.)

Note.—Authority in MC 136013, therefore dual operations may be involved. (Hearing site: Lansing or Detroit, MI or Chicago, IL.)

No. MC 136328 (Sub-No. 32F), filed March 2, 1978. Applicant: GRAND ISLAND MOVING & STORAGE CO., INC., P.O. Box 2122, 432 South Sturh Road, Grand Island, NE 68801. Applicant's representative: Gailyn L. McLachlan, 1101 Blackstone Building, Jackson, AK and 305 Montgomery Street, Sydney, Canada J8X 3Z2. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Rough and dressed lumber, from ports of entry on the United States-Canada boundary line located in NY to points in NJ, OH, PA and VT. (Hearing Site: Syracuse, NY or Washington, DC.)

No. MC 136874 (Sub-No. 2F), filed March 13, 1978. Applicant: BRADY'S TRANSPORT LIMITED, P.O. Box 1940, Hull, Quebec, Canada JX3 3Z2. Applicant's representative: Herbert M. Cantor, 305 Montgomery Street, Syracuse, NY 13202. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Rough and dressed lumber, from ports of entry on the United States-Canada boundary line located in NY to points in NJ, OH, PA and VT. (Hearing Site: Syracuse, NY or Washington, DC.)

No. MC 138157 (Sub-No. 60F), filed March 10, 1978. Applicant: SOUTH-
WEST EQUIPMENT RENTAL INC.,
d.b.a. SOUTHWEST MOTOR FREIGHT, 2931 South Market Street, Chattanooga, TN 37410. Applicant’s representative: Patrick E. Quinn, P.O. Box 3586, Chattanooga, TN 37402. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Heating and air conditioning equipment and ranges, refrigerators, dishwashers, diaposals and range hoods, from Los Angeles and City of Industry, CA, to points in the United States (except AK and HI); (2) commodities named in (1) above, and materials, equipment and supplies used in the manufacture, production and distribution of the commodities named in (1) above, from Elk hart and Connersville, IN; Annis­ ton, AL; Columbus and Bellevue, OH; and Cleveland, TN; to Los Angeles and City of Industry, CA. Restricting against the transportation of commodities in bulk and further restricted to traffic originating at or destined to the facilities of Gaffers & Satter, Inc.

NOTES—Applicant holds contract carrier authority in MC 134150 and subs hereunder, therefore dual operations may be involved. Common control may be involved. The purpose of this application is to convert the contract carrier authority held by applicant in MC 134150 (Sub-Nos. 3 (portion), 5 and 6). (Hearing site: Los Angeles, CA; Washington, D.C.)

No. MC 133255 (Sub-No. 18 F), filed March 13, 1978. Applicant: DECKER TRANSPORT COMPANY, INC., 412 ROUTE 23, Pompton Plains, NJ 07444. Applicant’s representative: George A. Olsen, P.O. Box 357, Gladstone, NJ 07934. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Motor vehicles (except trucks, automobiles and motor homes, new tractor and chassis in secondary move­ ments in driveway service), hardware, conveyance and conveyor equipment, furniture, lawn mowers, power equipment, and wheel goods (2 parts, attachments and accessories for the commodities in (1) above and (3) materials, equipment and supplies used in the manufacture and sale of the commodities in (1) and (2) above (except commodities in bulk), between the fac­ ilities of MTD Products, Inc., located at or near Westfield, MA, on the one hand, and points in the states of AZ, CA, CO, ID, MT, NM, ND, OR, SD, UT, WA, WY, under a continuing contract or contracts with MTD Products, Inc. (Hearing site: Columbus, Ohio; Washington, D.C.)

No. MC 138308 (Sub-No. 33F), filed March 20, 1978. Applicant: KLM, Inc., 2102 Old Brandon Road, P.O. Box 6098, Jackson, MS 39208. Applicant’s representative: Donald E. Morrison, 1500 Deposit Guaranty Plaza, P.O. Box 22628, Jackson, MS 39209. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Such commodities as are dealt in by retail, department and variety stores (except commodities in bulk): (1) In points in CT, IN, KY, IA, ME, MD, MI, NH, NJ, OK, RI, TN, VT, VA, WV and WI to the facilities of Value Mart, Inc. at or near Hattiesburg, MS; (2) from points in AL, AR, CA, CT, FL, IL, IN, KY, LA, MA, MN, MO, MS, NH, NY, NC, OH, OK, PA, RI, SC, TN, TX, VT, TA, WA, WV and WI to the facilities of Value Mart, Inc. at or near Atlanta, GA, restricted in (1) and (2) above to the transportation of shipments originating at the named origins and destined to the indicated destinations. (Hearing site: Jackson, MS.)

No. MC 138375 (Sub-No. 83F), filed March 13, 1978. Applicant: SHOE­ MAKER TRUCKING CO., a corpora­ tion, 11900 Franklin Road, Boise, ID 83705. Applicant’s representative: F. L. Sigl, 11900 Franklin Road, Boise, ID 83705. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Frozen foods, dependencies and related advertising materi­ als, from Linden, NJ, to points in OR and WA. (Hearing site: Boise, ID.)

No. MC 138882 (Sub-No. 50F), filed March 14, 1978. Applicant: WILEY SANDERS, INC., P.O. Box 357, Gladstone, NJ 07934. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Wrapping paper in rolls and boxes, at the facilities of Damsky Paper Co., located at Bir­ mingham, AL, to points in GA, FL, MS, TX, OK, KS, AR, TN, KY, SC, NC, VA, NY, and IL; and (2) scrap and waste paper for recycling from points in GA, FL, MS, TX, OK, KS, AR, TN, KY, SC, NC, VA, NY, and IL to the fac­ ilities of Damsky Paper Co., Birmingham, AL. (Hearing site: Birmingham or Montgomery, AL.)

No. MC 138882 (Sub-No. 51F), filed March 14, 1978. Applicant: WILEY SANDERS, INC., P.O. Box 707, Troy, AL 36081. Applicant’s representative: George A. Olsen, P.O. Box 357, Gladstone, NJ 07934. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, over irregular routes, transporting: Food stuffs, not frozen, in containers, from the facilities of Value Mart, Inc. at or near Brundidge, AL to points in LA. (Hearing site: Birmingham or Montgomery, AL.)

No. MC 138882 (Sub-No. 52F), filed March 14, 1978. Applicant: WILEY SANDERS, INC., P.O. Box 347, Gladstone, NJ 07934. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, over irregular routes, transporting: Steel wire, in coils, from the facilities of Andrews Wire of Tennessee, Division of Georgetown Steel at or near Gallatin, TN to points in KY, IN, IL, MI, OH, MS, AR, TX, and AL. (Hearing site: Birmingham or Montgomery, AL.)

No. MC 138882 (Sub-No. 53F), filed March 24, 1978. Applicant: WILEY SANDERS, INC., P.O. Box 707, Troy, AL 36081. Applicant’s representative: George A. Olsen, P.O. Box 357, Gladstone, NJ 07934. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, over irregular routes, transporting: Pre-cut log buildings, knocked down, and materi­ als and supplies used in the con­ struction of such commodities, between Blountstown, FL on the one hand, and, on the other, the points in the United States in and east of MN, IA, IL, KY, TN, and MS. (Hearing site: Birmingham or Montgomery, AL.)

No. MC 138890 (Sub-No. 8F), filed March 16, 1978. Transporting: Mo­ dole, INC., 301 Acorn Street, Milwaukee, WI 53445. Applicant’s representative: Wayne W. Wilson, 150 East Galman Street, Madison, WI 53703. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, over irregular routes, transporting: Potato products (except in bulk) from the facilities utilized by American Potato Co. at Beaver Dam and Milwaukee, WI to points in the United States (except AK and HI). (Hearing site: Beaver Dam or Milwaukee, WI.)

No. MC 138999 (Sub-No. 1F), filed March 9, 1978. Applicant: FRED­ NARK AND ROBERT NARK, d.b.a. NARK BROS., P.O. Box 336, Cobs­ kill, NY 12043. Applicant’s representa­ tive: George A. Olsen, P.O. Box 357, Gladstone, NJ 07934. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Plastic foam in­ sulating materials, from Linden, NJ, to points in CT, DE, MD, ME, MA, NY, PA, RI, VA, VT, WV, NH, and DC, under contract or with any other of Apache Foam Products, Division of
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Millmaster Onyx Corp., of Linden, NJ.

No. MC 138206 (Sub-No. 17F), filed March 15, 1978. Applicant: F.M.S. TRANSPORTATION, INC., Box 1597, 2564 Harley Drive, Maryland Heights, MO 63043. Applicant's representative: E. Stephen Heisley, 805 McFadden Bank Building, 666 Eleventh Street NW, Washington, DC 20001. Authority sought by applicant to operate as a contract carrier by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Farm machinery; farm equipment; farm implements; auxiliaries; back fillers; scraper bars; diggers; blades; excavators; back shoes; ditchers; rakes; tractor cranes; snow blowers; box scrapers; stump cutters; trenchers; teeth; fork lifts; loaders; and parts and accessories therefor; and (2) materials, equipment and supplies therefor.

No. MC 139485 (Sub-No. 326F), filed March 10, 1978. Applicant: NATIONAL CARRIERS, INC., 1501 East 6th Street, P.O. Box 1358, Liberal, KS 67901. Applicant's representative: Herbert A. Dorn, 1320 Fenwick Lane, Suite 500, Silver Spring, MD 20910. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Canoes and camping equipment and supplies used in the manufacture and production of candy (except commodities in bulk), from the plant site and storage facilities of Blum's of San Francisco, Inc., at or near Jackson, MN, to all points in the United States except AK and HI, and (2) parts, (except in bulk), from the facilities of Commercial Distribution Center, Inc., located at or near Kansas City, MO, to points in OH, PA, NY, NJ, DE, CT, RI, MA, ME, MD, KY, VT, NH, and DC, restricted to traffic originating at the named origin. (Hearing site: Kansas City or St. Louis, MO.)

No. MC 139615 (Sub-No. 12F), filed March 2, 1978. Applicant: D.R.S. TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 29, Oskaloosa, IA 52577. Applicant's representative: Larry D. Knox, 600 Hubbell Building, Des Moines, IA 50309. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Pipe, fittings, valves, hydrants, and materials and supplies (except in bulk) used in the installation thereof, from the facilities of Clow Corp., located at or near Buchanan, WV, to points in CO, IL, IN, IA, KS, MN, MO, MT, NE, ND, SD, and WY. (Hearing site: Chicago, IL or Washington, DC.)

No. MC 139958 (Sub-No. 5F), filed March 6, 1978. Applicant: R. T. TRUCK SERVICE, INC., 801 South 13th Street, Louisville, KY 40210. Applicant's representative: Rudy Yessin, 314 Wilkinson Street, Frankfort, KY 40601. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Chemicals, in packages and drums; from the plant of the Olin Chemicals, at Do Run, KY, to points and places in the States of IN, IL, OH, WV, MI, MO, TN, GA, NC, LA, and KY; (2) materials, equipment and supplies therefor.

TRUCK SERVICE, INC., 801 South 13th Street, Louisville, KY 40210. Applicant's representative: Rudy Yessin, 314 Wilkinson Street, Frankfort, KY 40601. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Chemicals, in packages and drums; from the plant of the Olin Chemicals, at Do Run, KY, to points and places in the States of IN, IL, OH, WV, MI, MO, TN, GA, NC, LA, and KY to the plant sites of the Olin Chemicals at Do Run, KY. (Hearing site: Louisville, KY.)
vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (a) Frozen foods (except commodities in bulk) from the facilities used by Ore-Ida Foods, Inc., and the facilities of Terminal Ice & Cold Storage Co. at or near Plover, WI, to points in IL, IN, IA, IL, MN, MO, OH, WI, and NC, OH, PA, RI, SC, VA, WV, and VA, (and by motor, over irregular routes, transporting: Such goods composed in or used by discount department stores (except commodities in bulk and foodstuffs), between the facilities of Uni­shops, Inc., at Jersey City, NJ, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the United States (except AK and HA). (Hearing site: New York, NY, or Washington, DC.)

Note.—Applicant holds motor contract authority in No. MC 142756 (Sub-No. 3 and 4), therefore dual operations may be involved.

No. MC 143436 (Sub-No. 10P), filed March 15, 1978. Applicant: CONTROLLED TEMPERATURE TRAN­SIT, INC., 9049 Stonegate Road, In­dianapolis, IN 46227. Applicant’s repre­sentative: Stephen M. Gentry, 1500 Main Street; Speedway, IN 46224. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Such general merchandise as is dealt in by wholesale and retail grocery houses, retail chain department stores, and drug stores, and materials and supplies used in the manufacture and assembly of such merchandise, between the plantsite and storage facilities of Col­gate-Palmolive Co., located at or near Jeffersonville, IN, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in IL, MN, and WI. (Hearing site: Indianapolis, IN, or Louisville, KY.)

No. MC 143594 (Sub-No. 2P), filed March 8, 1978. Applicant: NATIONAL BULK TRANSPORT, INC., P. O. Box 5078, Atlanta, GA 30302. Applicant’s representative: Charles W. Singer, 2440 E. Commercial Blvd., Fort Lau­derdale, FL 33308. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Liquid amorphous polypropylene, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Bayport, TX to Crowley, LA.

Note.—Hearing site, Chicago, IL. Common control may be involved.

No. MC 143739 (Sub-No. 4P), filed March 2, 1978. Applicant: SHURSON TRUCKING CO., INC., P.O. Box 147, New Richmond, MN. 55072. Applicant’s representative: William L. Fairbank, 1980 Financial Center, Des Moines, IA 50309. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Frozen foods (except commodities in bulk), from the plantsites and storage facilities of General Foods Corp., located at points in MN, to points in AR, IL, IN, IA, KS, LA, MI, MO, NE, ND, OH, OK, SD, TX, and WI. Restricted to traffic originating at the plantsites and storage facilities of General Foods Corp., and destined to the named des­ination states.

Note.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at St. Paul, MN.

No. MC 143804 (Sub-No. 1P), filed March 13, 1978. Applicant: RAYDEE TRANSPORTATION, INC., 1 Hacken­sack Avenue, Kearny, NJ 07032. Applicant’s representative: Edward N. Button, 1329 Pennsylvania Avenue, Post Office Box 1417, Hagerstown, MD 21740. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Nonedible animal, vegetable, fish and mineral oils (except in bulk, in tank vehicles), from Newark, NJ to points in the United States (except AK and HI), under a continuing con­tract or contracts with Atlas Refinery, Inc.

Note.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Newark, NJ.

No. MC 143907 (Sub-No. 1P), filed March 14, 1978. Applicant: ALL STATE MESSENGER AND DELIV­ERY SERVICE, INC., 2400 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, VA 22201. Applicant’s representative: Edward F. Schiff, 11000 Madison Office Building, 1155 Fifteenth Street NW, Washing­ton, D.C. 20005. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Business or office machine parts, supplies, devices and units used in connection therewith between the facilities of International Business Ma­chines Corp. located in the Washing­ton, D.C. Commercial Zone, on the one hand, and on the other, (1) points in the Counties of Albemarle, Culpeper, Spotsylvania, Shenandoah, Henrico, York, Rockingham, Loudoun, Prince William, James City, Prince George, Stafford, Chesterfield and Frederick Counties and the Independent Cities of Charlottesville, Fredericksburg, Hampton, Harrisonburg, Newport News, Norfolk, Petersburg, Richmond, Waynesboro and Winchester, all in the Commonwealth of VA, and (2) points in the Counties of Anne Arundel, Allegany, Washington, St. Mary's, Baltimore, Frederick, Charles and Montgomery Counties and the Independent City of Baltimore, all in the state of MD; under a continuing con­tract or contracts, with International Business Machines Corp.

Note.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held in Wash­ington, DC or New York, NY.

No. MC 143933 (Sub-No. 1P), filed March 6, 1978. Applicant: ABCO MOVING AND STORAGE, INC., 1700 Atlantic Avenue, Chesapeake, VA 23324. Applicant’s representative: Blair P. Wakefield, Suite 1001 First
and Merchants Bank Building, Norfolk, VA 23510. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Used household goods, unaccompanied baggage, and personal effects, as defined by the Commission, between all points and places in the cities of Norfolk, Portsmouth, Chesapeake, Hampton, Newport News, Norfolk, Portsmouth, Suffolk, Virginia Beach and Williamsburg, VA, and the counties of York, James City, Accomack, Charles City, Gloucester, Isle of Wight, James City, Mathews, Southampton, Surry, Sussex, and York Counties in VA, restricted to (1) traffic having a prior or subsequent movement in containers, beyond points authorized and (2) pickup and delivery service in connection with packing, crating, and containerization or unpacking, uncrating, and decontamination of such traffic. (Hearing site: Norfolk or Richmond, VA, or Washington, DC.)

No. MC 143934 (Sub-No. 1F), filed March 9, 1978. Applicant: HARRISON'S MOVING & STORAGE CO., 516 Oxford Road, Oxford, CT 06483. Applicant's representative: John L. Dickerson, 516 Oxford Road, Oxford, CT 06483. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Frozen foods and vegetable packaging supplies and materials, between points in VA, WA, ID and MT, and from points in Colusa, Glenn, Sacramento, Tehama and Yolo Counties, CA, to points in OR, WA, ID and MT, restricted to service to be performed under a continuing contract or contracts with Willson Products Division of ESB Inc. (Hearing site: Washington, DC.)

Note.—The purpose of this republication is to show applicant as a contract carrier.

No. MC 144331 (Sub-No. 2P), filed March 13, 1978. Applicant: EDWARD F. MADEIRA, INC., 514 Island Street, Hampton, VA 23664. Applicant's representative: William F. King, Suite 400, Oxford Road, Oxford, CT 06483. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Personal safety equipment and parts thereof, from the facilities of Willson Products Division of ESB, Inc. at Reading, PA, to the facilities of Willson Products Division of ESB, Inc. located at or near Oklahoma City, OK and Porterville, CA, under a continuing contract or contracts with Willson Products Division of ESB Inc. (Hearing site: Washington, DC.)

Note.—Applicant holds common carrier authority in MC 119871, therefore dual operations may be involved.

No. MC 144352 (Sub-No. 1P), filed March 9, 1978. Applicant: HARRIS TRANSPORTATION, INC., 516 Oxford Road, Oxford, CT 06483. Applicant's representative: Kenneth B. Williams, 84 State Street, New London, CT 06320. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Bakery products, from East Hartford, CT, to points in ME and Stratham, NH, under a continuing contract or contracts, with The First National Stores, Inc., at East Hartford, CT. (Hearing site: Boston, MA or Portland, ME.)

No. MC 144406 F, filed March 8, 1978. Applicant: PACKARD TRANSFER, INC., 253 Reeves Avenue, Trenton, NJ 08610. Applicant's representative: Donald W. Smith, Suite 945, 9000 Keystone Crossing, Indianapolis, IN 46240. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Matt beverages and incidental adver-

vising material and paraphernalia, from Trenton, NJ and Norfolk, VA, to points in NY and PA; and (2) materials, equipment and supplies used in the manufacture and distribution of the commodities named in (1) above, from points in NY and PA to Trenton, NJ, and Norfolk, VA. (Hearing site not specified.)

No. MC 144409 (Sub-No. 1F), filed March 8, 1978. Applicant: F.C. AUTO SALES, INC., 10645 South Woodlawn, Chicago, IL 60618. Applicant's representative: Edward G. Fleming, 188 West Randolph Street, Chicago, IL 60601. Authority sought, Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity as a common carrier, by motor vehicle over irregular routes in the State of IL other than in Chicago, IL. (Hearing site: Chicago, IL.)

No. MC 144468 F, filed March 13, 1978. Applicant: KEVIN A. SMITH d.b.a. AUTO TRANSPORT, 141 Sperry Road, Bethany, CT 06525. Applicant's representative: Milo J. Altschuler, 812 Island Street, Eustis, FL 32726. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Antique, classic and special interest autos, between points in the United States exclusive of HI and AK. (Hearing site: Hartford, CT.)

No. MC 109889 (Sub-No. 1A), filed March 13, 1978. Applicant: VALLEY TRANSPORTATION, INC., 516 Oxford Road, Oxford, CT 06483. Applicant's representative: L. C. Major, Jr., Suite 400 Overlook Building, 6121 Lincolnia Road, Alexandria, VA 22312. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Travelers' baggage, in charter operations, from Springfield and West Springfield, MA, and points in New London County, CT, to points in the United States, excluding HI, and return, restricted to charter tour movements being operated for tour brokers which also involve the pickup and discharge of tourists at the State of CT other than in New London County. (Hearing site: Hartford CT or Washington, DC.)

Note.—An application for similar temporary authority has been filed.

No. MC 144471 F, filed March 13, 1978. Applicant: CLAUSEN BUS SER-
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VICE, INC., 25 Surrey Lane, Valley Stream, NY. Applicant's representative: Thomas D. Morath, 575 Madison Avenue, New York, NY 10022. Authority sought to operate as a motor carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: 

'Passengers and their baggage' in charter operations, beginning and ending in Nassau and Suffolk Counties, NY, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in ME, NH, VT, MA, CT, RI, NY, PA, NJ, MD, VA, NC, SC, DA, AL, GA, FL, LA, MS, NO, TN, KY, WV, OH, IN, IL and DC. (Hearing site: Minoa or New York, NY.)

FINANCE APPLICATIONS

The following applications seek approval to consolidate, purchase, merge, lease operating rights and properties, acquire control through ownership of stock, or rail carriers or motor carriers pursuant to Sections 5(2) or 210a(b) of the Interstate Commerce Act.

An Original and two copies of protests against the granting of the requested authority must be filed with the Commission on or before May 26, 1978. Such protests shall comply with Special Rules 240(c) or 240(d) of the Commission's general rules of practice (49 CFR 1100.240) and shall include a concise statement of protestant's interest in the proceeding. A copy of the protest must be promptly served upon applicant's representative, or applicant, if no representative is named.


No. MC-F 13531. Authority sought for purchase by LAW TRUCKING COMPANY, Crow Point Road, Lincoln, RI 02858 of the operating rights of METROPOLITAN TRUCK TRANSPORTATION, INC., 115 Jacobs Avenue, S. Kearny, NJ 07032 and for acquisition by MARGARET M. LAW, Crow Point Road, Lincoln, RI 02858 of the right through the purchase. Applicants' attorneys: John F. O'Donnell, Barrett and Barrett, 60 Adams Street, P.O. Box 236, Milton, MA 02182 and George H. Olsen, 69 Tonnele Avenue, Jersey City, NJ 07306. Operating rights sought to be transferred: General commodities, with exceptions, as a common carrier over irregular routes, between New York, NY on the one hand, and, on the other, Seattle and Tacoma, WA with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized; (c) General commodities, except those of unusual value, A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, and commodities in bulk, between Seattle and Tacoma, WA on the one hand, and, on the other, the Mt. Rainier Ordnance Depot, Washington, DC for temporary authority under section 210a(b).

No. MC-F 13552. Authority sought for continuing common control of SEA-LAND FREIGHT SERVICE, INC, P.O. Box 900, Edison, NJ 08817 and PETROLEUM TRANSPORTATION CORPORATION, 8117 East 42nd Street, Toledo, OH 43605 by R.J. REYNOLDS INDUSTRIES, INC., Reynolds Buildings, Reynolds Boulevard, Winston-Salem, NC 27102. Applicant's attorney: Harry J. Jordan, 1000-16th Street, NW, Washington, DC. Operating rights sought to be commonly controlled: Sea-Land Freight Service, Inc., irregular routes: (a) General commodities, except those of unusual value, household goods as defined by the Commission, and commodities in bulk other than animal feed and flour, as a common carrier. Between points in AK (except those in the AK Panhandle), Restriction: The authority granted above, to the extent it authorizes the transportation of classes A and B explosives, shall be limited, in point of time, to a period expiring February 24, 1978; (b) Fruits and vegetables, fresh and frozen, meats, lard and lard substitutes, rendered pork fats, and dairy products, as classified in (B) of the appendix to the report in Modification of Permits-Packing House products, 48 M.C.C. 426, From the United States Army Supply Depot, Bolling Air Force Base, Washington, DC, and PETROLEUM TRANSPORTATION, INC., 115 Jacobs Avenue, S. Kearny, NJ 07032 and Tacoma, WA with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized; (c) General commodities, except those of unusual value, A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, and commodities in bulk, between Seattle and Tacoma, WA on the one hand, and, on the other, the Mt. Rainier Ordnance Depot, Washington, DC, for temporary authority under section 210a(b).

No. MC-F 13570. Authority sought for temporary control of common control of Sea-Land Freight Service, Inc., P.O. Box 900, Edison, NJ 08817 and PETROLEUM TRANSPORTATION CORPORATION, 8117 East 42nd Street, Toledo, OH 43605 by R.J. REYNOLDS INDUSTRIES, INC., Reynolds Buildings, Reynolds Boulevard, Winston-Salem, NC 27102. Applicant's attorney: Harry J. Jordan, 1000-16th Street, NW, Washington, DC. Operating rights sought to be commonly controlled: Sea-Land Freight Service, Inc., irregular routes: (a) General commodities, except those of unusual value, household goods as defined by the Commission, and commodities in bulk other than animal feed and flour, as a common carrier. Between points in AK (except those in the AK Panhandle), Restriction: The authority granted above, to the extent it authorizes the transportation of classes A and B explosives, shall be limited, in point of time, to a period expiring February 24, 1978; (b) Fruits and vegetables, fresh and frozen, meats, lard and lard substitutes, rendered pork fats, and dairy products, as classified in (B) of the appendix to the report in Modification of Permits-Packing House products, 48 M.C.C. 426, From the United States Army Supply Depot, Bolling Air Force Base, Washington, DC, and PETROLEUM TRANSPORTATION, INC., 115 Jacobs Avenue, S. Kearny, NJ 07032 and Tacoma, WA with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized; (c) General commodities, except those of unusual value, A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, and commodities in bulk, between Seattle and Tacoma, WA on the one hand, and, on the other, the Mt. Rainier Ordnance Depot, Washington, DC, for temporary authority under section 210a(b).
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ity from the Interstate Commerce Commission, however, it controls Sea-Port, Incorporated, P.O. Box 47808, and for acquisition by A. L. Honbarrier, P.O. Box 7007, Uwharrie Road, High Point, NC 27264 of control of such rights through the purchase.


Operating rights sought to be transferred:

(A) Certificate of public convenience and necessity, MC 7863: General commodities, in bulk, as a common carrier, over regular routes, between Baltimore, MD and Jacksonville, FL, as follows:

- From Jacksonville over U.S. Hwy 17 to Pocotaligo, SC, via Spartanburg, SC, then U.S. Hwy 29 to Anderson, SC, and then via Spartanburg County to all points in the following counties: Cherokee,捡获, Oconee, and Yates, NC; (B) under a certificate of registration in docket No. MC 7683 (Sub-No. 3) to operate solely within the State of NY over irregular routes, from Stockton, CA, to points in the following counties: Erie, Genesee, Monroe, and Port of Stockton, CA, to points in the following counties: Erie, Monroe, Ontario, and Port of Stockton, CA, to points in NV, MT, WY, UT, CO, and NM. Applicant is authorized to operate pursuant to certificate MC 107541 and serves as a common carrier in the States of WA, OR, CA, ID, NV, MT, YT, UT, CO, and NM. Applicant is authorized to operate as a common carrier in MI and NY. Application has been filed for temporary authority under section 210a(b). MC 118831 (Sub-No. 16F) is a directly related matter.
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Operating Rights Application(s) Directly Related to Finance Proceedings

The following operating rights application(s) are filed in connection with pending finance applications under section 521 of the Interstate Commerce Act, or seek tacking and/or gateway elimination in connection with transfer applications under section 212(b) of the Interstate Commerce Act.

An original and two copies of protests to the granting of the authorities must be filed with the Commission within 30 days of this notice. All pleadings and documents must clearly specify the “FP” suffix where the docket is so identified in this notice. Protests shall comply with special rule 24(c) of the Commission’s general rules of practice (49 CFR 1100.247) and include a concise statement of protestant’s interest in the proceeding and copies of its conflicting authoritities. Verified statements in opposition should not be tendered at this time. A copy of the protest shall be served concurrently upon the representative, or applicant if no representative is named.

Each applicant states that there will be no significant effect on the quality of the human environment resulting from approval of its application.

No. MC 99764 (Sub-No. 37F), filed April 5, 1978. Applicant: LAW TRUCKING CO., a corporation, Crow Point Road, Lincoln, RI 02885. Applicant’s representatives: John F. O’Donnell, 60 Adams Street, Milton, MA 02187. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Textiles, textile products, and equipment, supplies, and materials used in the manufacture of textiles and the maintenance of textile mills, between points in RI, on the one hand, and, on the other, New York, NY, and its commercial zone. (Hearing site: On a consolidated record with MC-F 13531 at Boston, MA, or New York, NY.)

Note:—This application is directly related to MC-F 13531, published in a previous section of this Federal Register issue. The purpose of this application is to eliminate the gateways of Providence, RI, and points in CT within the New York, NY, commercial zone and to permit tacking to provide a through service between points in RI, on the one hand, and, on the other, New York, NY, and its commercial zone. (Hearing site: Washington, DC.)

No. MC 118831 (Sub-No. 16F), filed March 27, 1978. Applicant: CENTRAL TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 7007, High Point, NC 27264. Applicant’s representative: E. Stephen Heisley, 805 McLachlin Bank Building, 666 11th Street NW., Washington, DC 20001. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: General commodities, in bulk, between Jacksonville, FL, and Baltimore, MD, as follows: From Jacksonville over U.S. Hwy 17 to Pocatello, SC, then over Alternate U.S. Hwy 17 (formerly portion U.S. Hwy 15) to Walterboro, SC, then over U.S. Hwy 15 to Society Hill, SC, then over U.S. Hwy 52 to Cheraw, SC, then over U.S. Hwy 220 to Rockingham, NC, then over U.S. Hwy 13 to Junction U.S. Hwy 311, then over U.S. Hwy 311 via High Point, NC, to Winston-Salem, NC, then over U.S. Hwy 421 to Greensboro, NC, then over U.S. Hwy 70 to re-enter Va., then over U.S. Hwy 15 to Oxford, NC, then over U.S. Hwy 158 to Henderson, NC, and then over U.S. Hwy 1 to Baltimore, and return over the same route; from Jacksonville to High Point as specified above, then over U.S. Hwy 90 to Durham, NC, and then to Baltimore as specified above, and return over the same route; from Jacksonville to Walterboro, SC, as specified above, then over U.S. Hwy 15 to Laurinburg, NC, then over U.S. Hwy 501 to Aberdeen, NC, and then over U.S. Hwy 1 to Baltimore, and return over the same route; from Jacksonville to Laurinburg as specified above, then over U.S. Hwy 401 (formerly portion Alternate U.S. Hwy 15) to Pembroke, NC, then over U.S. Hwy 301 to Petersburg, VA, and then over U.S. Hwy 1 to Baltimore, and return over the same route. Service is authorized to and from all intermediate points between Walterboro, SC, and Baltimore, MD, inclusive, without restriction; Brunswick and Savannah, GA, restricted to southbound traffic only; and the off-route points of Columbia, SC, and Charlotte, NC. (Hearing site: Washington, DC.)

Notes.—This application is directly related to Central Transport, Inc.—Purchase (Portion)—Eastern Express, Inc. Docket No. MC-F 13554, published in a previous section of this Federal Register issue. The purpose of this application is to insure that Central receives either under the section 5 application or this application all of the operating rights sought to be acquired from Eastern.

Motor Carrier Intestate Application(s)

The following application(s) for motor common carrier authority to operate in interstate commerce seek concurrent motor carrier authorization in interstate or foreign commerce within the limits of the application, authority sought, pursuant to section 206(a)(8) of the Interstate Commerce Act. These applications are governed by special rule 245 of the Commission’s general rules of practice (49 CFR 1100.245), which provides, among other things, that protests and requests for information concerning the time and place of State commission hearings or other proceedings, any subsequent changes therein, and any other related matters shall be directed to the State commission with which the application is filed and shall not be addressed to or filed with the Interstate Commerce Commission.

AK Docket No. 78-38-MP/O, filed February 2, 1978. Applicant: VIRGINIA WAY TRUCKING, doing business as Wrangell Mountain Motor Freight Co., Box 113, Glennallen, AK 99588. Applicant’s representative: George L. Benesch, 213 West 6th Avenue, Anchorage, AK 99588. Requests for procedural information concerning this application should be addressed to or filed with the Interstate Commerce Commission.
Certificate of public convenience and necessity sought to operate a freight service, as follows: Transportation of: (1) Iron and steel articles and concrete forming systems, composed of iron, steel, aluminum, and/or wooden parts; and (2) building and construction materials and supplies, in truckload lots, on flatbed equipment only, between all points in FL. Intrastate, interstate, and foreign commerce authority sought. (Hearing site: date, time, and place not yet fixed. Requests for procedural information should be addressed to FL Public Service Commission, 700 South Adams Street, Tallahassee, FL 32304, and should not be directed to the Interstate Commerce Commission.)

NC Docket No. T-1906, filed February 21, 1978. Applicant: REGIONAL STORAGE & TRANSPORT, INC., North Industrial Park, Greenville, NC 27853. Applicant's representative: Ralph McDonald, P.O. Box 2246, Raleigh, NC 27602. Certificate of public convenience and necessity sought to operate a freight service, over irregular routes, as follows: Transportation of: (1) Rolled and baled processed pulp, served in Columbia, TN, as an intermediate point and all points in Giles County, TN. Intrastate and foreign commerce authority sought. (Hearing site: May 4, 1978, at 9:30 a.m., Room 217, Dobbs Building, Raleigh, NC. Requests for procedural information should be addressed to NC Utilities Commission, P.O. Box 991, Raleigh, NC 27602, and should not be directed to the Interstate Commerce Commission.)

TN Docket No. MC 7174, filed March 27, 1978. Applicant: MAURY-GILES COUNTY EXPRESS, INC., 402 Maplewood Avenue, Nashville, TN 37201. Applicant's representative: Ward Crutchfield, 1776 Commerce Union Tower, Chattanooga, TN 37401. Certificate of public convenience and necessity sought to operate a freight service, as follows: Transportation of: General commodities (except classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, and commodities requiring special equipment or handling), between Nashville, TN, and all points in Giles County, TN. Intrastate, interstate, and foreign commerce authority sought. (Hearing site: May 11, 1978, at 9:30 a.m., Cl-110, Cordell Hull Building, Nashville, TN. Requests for procedural information should be addressed to TN Public Service Commission, Cl-110, Cordell Hull Building, Nashville, TN 37219, and should not be directed to the Interstate Commerce Commission.)

By the Commission.

H. G. Homme, Jr.,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc. 78-11310 Filed 4-26-78; 8:45 am]
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DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE
Office of Education

COMMUNITY SERVICE AND CONTINUING EDUCATION—SPECIAL PROJECTS PROGRAM

Closing Date for Receipt of New Applications for Fiscal Year 1978

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the authority contained in section 106 of the Higher Education Act of 1965, as amended, (20 U.S.C. 1005a), applications will be accepted for new projects under the Community Service and Continuing Education-Special Projects program. Under the Special Projects program, awards are made to institutions of higher education to assist them in carrying out special programs and projects of community service and continuing education, including resource materials sharing, designed to seek solutions to national and regional problems relating to technological and social changes and environmental pollution. Applications for new awards must be received by the U.S. Office of Education Application Control Center on or before the closing date.


A. APPLICATION FORMS AND INFORMATION: Application forms are available and will be mailed on or about April 28, 1978.

Applications must be prepared and submitted in accordance with the regulations, instructions, and forms included in the program information package.

B. APPLICATIONS SENT BY MAIL: An application sent by mail should be addressed to: U.S. Office of Education, Application Control Center, Attention: 13.557A, Washington, D.C. 20202. In an effort to prevent the late arrival of applications due to any unforeseen circumstance, the Office of Education suggests that applicants consider the use of registered or certified mail as explained below.

An application sent by mail will be considered to be received on time by the Application Control Center if:

1. The application was sent by registered or certified mail not later than June 23, 1978, as evidenced by the U.S. Postal Service postmark on the wrapper or envelope, or on the original receipt from U.S. Postal Service; or

2. The application is received on or before the closing date by either the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare or the U.S. Office of Education.

In establishing the date of receipt, the Commissioner will be guided by the time stamp on such mail orders or other documentary evidence of receipt maintained by the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, or the U.S. Office of Education.

C. HAND-DELIVERED APPLICATIONS: An application to be hand-delivered must be taken to the U.S. Office of Education, Application Control Center, Room 5673, Regional Office Building Three, 7th and D Streets SW., Washington, D.C. Hand-delivered applications will be accepted daily between the hours of 8 a.m. and 4 p.m. Washington, D.C. time except Saturdays, Sundays, or Federal holidays. Applications will not be accepted after 4 p.m. on the closing date.

D. PROGRAM INFORMATION: (a) Revised priorities. Applicants are informed that priority areas for new applications for fiscal year 1978 have been revised as a result of public comment directed to the proposed priorities published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on November 28, 1977 (42 FR 60574).

Commenters suggested that the proposed priorities be modified to reflect the enlarged emphasis on continuing education and resource sharing provided by the Education Amendments of 1976. It was recommended that priorities (2), (3) and (4) be revised to reflect problems and concerns that have grown in significance over the last few years and that priority (1) be modified to include “water conservation and land use planning” and to remove “transportation.” Commenters also suggested that priorities give increased emphasis to the following concerns: professional development for continuing education; non-traditional degree programs; linkages between higher education and management and organized labor; resource sharing among institutions of higher education, agencies, and organizations, that expand continuing education opportunities for adults. As revised in response to these comments, the priorities are:

1. Experimentation with programs of continuing education directed to the problems of regional or national water or energy conservation, land use planning, and/or environmental pollution.

2. Demonstrations of effective linkages between institutions of higher education and management and/or organized labor in developing innovative continuing education programs to retrain workers whose jobs have been adversely affected by technological change.

3. Planning and demonstration of resource sharing among institutions of higher education, agencies, and organizations, that expand continuing edu-
cation opportunities for particular populations who have traditionally been underserved such as women, minorities, the handicapped, older adults and parents/families.

(4) Demonstrations of new or improved professional development programs meeting the needs of individuals working in continuing education and postsecondary lifelong learning.

(5) Evaluations of selected non-traditional degree programs that meet the continuing education needs of adults, particularly those that integrate liberal and experiential learning.

(6) Demonstrations of innovative State or local programs which provide effective linkages between postsecondary continuing education and State or local comprehensive manpower programs to enhance long-term employability.

(b) Available Funds. In formulating proposals, potential applicants should be aware that there is approximately $1,800,000 available for the Special Projects program in fiscal year 1978. We estimate that these funds would be used to support eleven or twelve new projects and fifty additional projects currently in operation. The anticipated average award for new projects for fiscal year 1978 will be between $80,000 and $800,000.

These estimates of fund distribution are basically for informational purposes and do not bind the Office of Education except as may be required by the applicable statute and regulation.

(c) Applicable regulations. The regulations applicable to this program are the Office of Education General Provisions Regulations (45 Parts 100 and 106a) and the revised regulations governing the Community Service and Continuing Education Program—C.F.R Part 173—which are expected to be published in the near future. The revised regulations will contain and codify priority areas for Special Projects under C.F.R § 173.42. If there are further changes made in the priority areas in the Publication of the final rule, applicants will be given an opportunity to revise their applications accordingly. (20 U.S.C. 1005a)

E. STATE AND AREAWIDE CLEARINGHOUSE REVIEW (OMB Circular A-95): Applicants under the Community Service and Continuing Education Program are subject to both the State and areawide clearinghouse procedures required by OMB Circular A-95, for facilitating coordinated planning under the Intergovernmental Cooperation Act. Applicants should check with the appropriate Federal Regional Office to obtain the name(s) and address(es) of the clearinghouse(s) in the State. All applicants must provide an assurance of compliance with clearinghouse review requirements in the application to the Commissioner. The assurance may consist of:

(1) A State application identifier number obtained from the clearinghouse and clearinghouse comments if available; or

(2) Certification by the applicant that either or both State and areawide clearinghouse have been provided with the opportunity to review the application and that no comments have been received.

Clearinghouse comments received by the applicant after the submission of the application to the U.S. Office of Education must be forwarded to the Community Service and Continuing Education Branch, U.S. Office of Education (see address in paragraph below). Clearinghouse comments received by the Community Service and Continuing Education Branch no later than July 27, 1978 will be considered in reviewing applications.

F. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:


(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number 13.578: Community Service and Continuing Education Program.)


ERNST L. BOYER,
U.S. Commissioner of Education.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:


SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Education Amendments of 1976, Pub. L. 94-482 (20 U.S.C. 2402), part B, subpart 2, section 172(c)(7) requires the Commissioner to publish a "listing of the areas of teaching in vocational education where there are or will be shortages of personnel." This requirement is further emphasized in the applicable regulation (45 C.F.R. Part 105.441). Beyond keeping the public informed, the purpose of this listing is to enable the vocational educational teacher certification fellowship program applicants to identify a teacher shortage area for which they wish to certify.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:


NOTICES
The BOAE issued a contract for the purpose of conducting a survey to identify shortage areas, since NCES was unable to locate data of sufficient specificity to meet the requirements set forth by section 172. An OMB approved survey instrument requesting an enumeration of shortages by OE codes was sent to the 56 State directors of vocational education.

The teacher shortages identified by the 37 States reporting shortages, are included in the table below. Twelve additional States also responded. Three States (Louisiana, Mississippi, and Pennsylvania) indicated they did not have the information available. Nine States (Hawaii, Idaho, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Mexico, Oklahoma, Rhode Island, Vermont, and the Trust Territories of the Pacific) reported no shortages. In addition, seven States (Delaware, Minnesota, Oregon, Washington, American Samoa, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands) did not respond to the survey request. Response to the survey by the States was voluntary, not mandatory. In the following table, the 37 States are listed horizontally and the 101 OE codes under which the shortages were reported are listed vertically.

### Applicable regulations
The regulations applicable to this notice and report is 45 CFR Part 105, Section 441. The applicable regulations for the vocational education teacher certification fellowship program are 45 CFR Part 100, 100a (Office of Education general provisions) and 45 CFR Part 105, sections 431-443.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No. 13.578, Vocational Educational Teacher Certification Fellowship Program.)


**ERNEST L. BOYER,**
*U.S. Commissioner of Education.*

---

### Vocational Education Teacher Shortage School Year 1977-78—Reported shortages by generic field, instructional area, and by State

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OE codes and titles for generic fields and instructional areas in vocational education</th>
<th>Alabama</th>
<th>Alaska</th>
<th>Arizona</th>
<th>Arkansas</th>
<th>California</th>
<th>Colorado</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01.00 Agriculture</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.01 Agricultural production</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.02 Agricultural supplies/services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.03 Agricultural mechanics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.04 Agricultural products</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.05 Ornamental horticulture</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.06 Agricultural resources</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.07 Forestry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.08 Agriculture, other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04.00 Distributive education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.01 Advertising services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.02 Apparel and accessories</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.03 Automotive</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.04 Finance and credit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.05 Floristry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.06 Food distribution</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.07 Food services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.08 General merchandise</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.09 Hardware, building material</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.10 House furnishings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.11 Hotel and lodging</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.12 Industrial marketing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.13 Insurance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.14 International trade</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.15 Internal services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.16 Petroleum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.17 Real estate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.18 Recreation and tourism</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.19 Transportation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.20 Retail trade, other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.21 Wholesale trade, other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.22 Distributive education, other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07.00 Health occupations, education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.01 Dental</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.02 Medical laboratory technology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.03 Nursing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.04 Rehabilitation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.05 Radiologic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.06 Ophthalmic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.07 Environmental health</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.08 Mental health technology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.09 Miscellaneous health occupations, education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.99 Health occupations education, other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09.00 Home economics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.01 Homemaking: Preparation for personal home and family living</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.02 Occupational preparation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.00 Business occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.01 Accounting and computing occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.02 Business data processing systems occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.03 Clerical, office machine, and general office clerical occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.04 Information communication occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.05 Materials support occupations (transporting, storing, and recording)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.06 Relational occupations, and related occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.07 Stenographic, secretarial, and related occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.08 Supervisory and administrative management occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OE codes and titles for generic fields and instructional areas in vocational education</th>
<th>Alabama</th>
<th>Alaska</th>
<th>Arizona</th>
<th>Arkansas</th>
<th>California</th>
<th>Colorado</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>.09 Typing and related occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.09 Office occupations, clerical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.00 Technical education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.01 Engineering related technology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.02 Agricultural related technology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.03 Health related technology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.04 Office related technology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.05 Economics related technology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.06 Miscellaneous technical education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.00 Trade and industrial occupations</td>
<td>.72</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.01 Appliance repair</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.02 Automotive service</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.03 Aviation service</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.04 Aviation occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.05 Blueprint reading</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.06 Business machine maintenance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.07 Commercial art occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.08 Commercial fishery occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.09 Commercial photography occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.10 Construction and maintenance trades</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.11 Custodial services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.12 Drafting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.13 Electrical occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.14 Electrical occupations, other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.15 Forestry services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.16 Fabric maintenance services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.17 Foreman, supervisor, and management development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.18 General construction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.19 Graphic arts occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.20 Industrial atomic energy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.21 Instrument maintenance and repair</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.22 Machine maintenance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.23 Metalworking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.24 Metallurgy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.25 Machine maintenance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.26 Metalworking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.27 Automotive service</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.28 Automotive service, other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.29 Automotive service, other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.30 Refrigeration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.31 Small engine repair, internal combustion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.32 Stationary energy sources occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.33 Textile production and fabrication</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.34 Leatherworking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.35 Upholstering</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.36 Woodworking occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.39 Trade and industrial occupations, other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99.00 Industrial arts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OE codes and titles for occupational fields and instructional technology</th>
<th>Connecticut</th>
<th>Florida</th>
<th>Georgia</th>
<th>Illinois</th>
<th>Indiana</th>
<th>Iowa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>.01 Agriculture</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.01 Agricultural supplies/services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.02 Agricultural supplies/services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.03 Agricultural mechanics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.04 Agricultural products</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.05 Ornamental horticulture</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.06 Agricultural resources</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.07 Forestry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.08 Agriculture, other</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.09 Distributive education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.09 Distributive education, other</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.09 Distributive education, other</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.10 Finance and credit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.11 Floristry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.12 Food distribution</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.13 Food services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.14 General merchandise</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.15 Home furnishings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.16 Hotel and lodging</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.17 Insurance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.18 Independent trade</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.19 International trade</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.20 Medical laboratory technology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.21 Metalworking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.22 Plumbing and wiring</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.23 Printing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.24 Rehabilitation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Vocational Education Teacher Shortage School Year 1977-78—Reported shortages by generic field, instructional area, and by State—Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OE codes and titles for generic fields and instructional areas in vocational education</th>
<th>Connecticut</th>
<th>Florida</th>
<th>Georgia</th>
<th>Illinois</th>
<th>Indiana</th>
<th>Iowa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>05 Radiologic-related technology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06 Optometric-related technology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07 Environmental health</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08 Mental health technology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09 Miscellaneous health occupations education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09.01 Home economics</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09.02 Homemaking: Preparation for personal home and family living</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09.03 Filing, office machines, and general office clerical occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09.04 Information communication occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09.05 Materials support occupations (transporting, storing, and recording)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09.06 Personnel, training, and related occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09.07 Stenographic, secretarial and related occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09.08 Supervisory and administrative management occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09.09 Typing and related occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09.90 Office occupations, other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.00 Technical education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.01 Engineering related technology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.02 Agricultural related technology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.03 Health related technology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.04 Office related technology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.05 Home economics related technology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.06 Miscellaneous technical education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.07 Maritime occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.08 Commercial fishery occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.09 Commercial photography occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.10 Construction and maintenance trades</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.11 Custodial services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.12 Diesel mechanic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.13 Drafting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.14 Electrical occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.15 Electronics occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.16 Fabric maintenance services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.17 Foremanship, supervision, management development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.18 General continuation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.19 Graphics arts occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.20 Industrial atomic energy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.21 Instrument maintenance and repair</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.22 Maritime occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.23 Metalworking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.24 Metallurgy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.25 Personal services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.26 Plastics occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.27 Public service occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.28 Refrigeration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.29 Small engine repair, internal combustion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.30 Stationary energy sources occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.31 Textile production and fabrication</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.32 Leatherworking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.33 Upholstering</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.34 Woodworking occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.90 Trade and industrial occupations, other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.99 Industrial arts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>92</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>446</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>358</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Kansas</th>
<th>Kentucky</th>
<th>Maine</th>
<th>Maryland</th>
<th>Massachusetts</th>
<th>Michigan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01.00 Agriculture</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01.01 Agricultural production</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01.02 Agricultural supplies/services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01.03 Agricultural mechanics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01.04 Agricultural products</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01.05 Ornamental horticulture</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01.06 Agricultural resources</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01.07 Forestry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01.08 Agriculture, other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01.09 Distributive education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01.10 Advertising services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01.11 Apparel and accessories</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01.12 Automotive</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01.13 Finance and credit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01.14 Floristry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Vocational Education Teacher Shortage School Year 1977-78—Reported shortages by generic field, instructional area, and by State—Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic Field</th>
<th>Instructional Area</th>
<th>Kansas</th>
<th>Kentucky</th>
<th>Maine</th>
<th>Maryland</th>
<th>Massachusetts</th>
<th>Michigan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Food distribution</td>
<td>08.00 Food distribution</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food services</td>
<td>07.00 Food services</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardware, building, material</td>
<td>09.00 Hardware, building, material</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home furnishings</td>
<td>10.00 Home furnishings</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial marketing</td>
<td>12.00 Industrial marketing</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance</td>
<td>13.00 Insurance</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International marketing</td>
<td>14.00 International marketing</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal services</td>
<td>15.00 Personal services</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real estate</td>
<td>17.00 Real estate</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreation and tourism</td>
<td>18.00 Recreation and tourism</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>19.00 Transportation</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail trade, other</td>
<td>20.00 Retail trade, other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wholesale trade, other</td>
<td>21.00 Wholesale trade, other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distributive education, other</td>
<td>22.00 Distributive education, other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health occupations education</td>
<td>07.00 Health occupations education</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dental</td>
<td>01.00 Dental</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical laboratory technology</td>
<td>02.00 Medical laboratory technology</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing</td>
<td>03.00 Nursing</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rehabilitation</td>
<td>04.00 Rehabilitation</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiologic</td>
<td>05.00 Radiologic</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ophthalmic</td>
<td>06.00 Ophthalmic</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General health</td>
<td>07.00 General health</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental health occupations</td>
<td>08.00 Mental health occupations</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous health occupations education</td>
<td>09.00 Miscellaneous health occupations education</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health occupations education, other</td>
<td>09.00 Health occupations education, other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home economics</td>
<td>09.00 Home economics</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homemaking: Preparation for personal home and family living</td>
<td>01.00 Homemaking: Preparation for personal home and family living</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupational preparation</td>
<td>16.00 Occupational preparation</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office occupations</td>
<td>11.00 Office occupations</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounting and computing occupations</td>
<td>12.00 Accounting and computing occupations</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business data processing systems occupations</td>
<td>13.00 Business data processing systems occupations</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filing, office machines, and general office clerical occupations</td>
<td>14.00 Filing, office machines, and general office clerical occupations</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information communication occupations</td>
<td>04.00 Information communication occupations</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material support occupations (transporting, storing, and recording)</td>
<td>05.00 Material support occupations (transporting, storing, and recording)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personnel, training, and related occupations</td>
<td>06.00 Personnel, training, and related occupations</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretarial and related occupations</td>
<td>07.00 Secretarial and related occupations</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervisory and administrative management occupations</td>
<td>08.00 Supervisory and administrative management occupations</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Typing and related occupations</td>
<td>09.00 Typing and related occupations</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office occupations, other</td>
<td>09.00 Office occupations, other</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical education</td>
<td>15.00 Technical education</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineering related technology</td>
<td>01.00 Engineering related technology</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agricultural related technology</td>
<td>02.00 Agricultural related technology</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health related technology</td>
<td>03.00 Health related technology</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office related technology</td>
<td>04.00 Office related technology</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home economics related technology</td>
<td>05.00 Home economics related technology</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vocational training</td>
<td>36.00 Vocational training</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade and industrial occupations</td>
<td>17.00 Trade and industrial occupations</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1,219</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air-conditioning</td>
<td>01.00 Air-conditioning</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appliance repair and service</td>
<td>02.00 Appliance repair and service</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Automotive services</td>
<td>03.00 Automotive services</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aviation occupations</td>
<td>04.00 Aviation occupations</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instrument repair and maintenance</td>
<td>05.00 Instrument repair and maintenance</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business machine maintenance</td>
<td>06.00 Business machine maintenance</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial art occupations</td>
<td>07.00 Commercial art occupations</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child care occupations</td>
<td>08.00 Child care occupations</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial photography occupations</td>
<td>09.00 Commercial photography occupations</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction and maintenance trades</td>
<td>10.00 Construction and maintenance trades</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical services</td>
<td>11.00 Chemical services</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diesel mechanic</td>
<td>12.00 Diesel mechanic</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drafting</td>
<td>13.00 Drafting</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical and maintenance trades</td>
<td>14.00 Electrical and maintenance trades</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronics occupations</td>
<td>15.00 Electronics occupations</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fabric maintenance services</td>
<td>16.00 Fabric maintenance services</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General maintenance and repair</td>
<td>21.00 General maintenance and repair</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial atomic energy</td>
<td>20.00 Industrial atomic energy</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maritime occupations</td>
<td>22.00 Maritime occupations</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical engineering and repair</td>
<td>21.00 Mechanical engineering and repair</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metalworking</td>
<td>23.00 Metalworking</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metallurgy</td>
<td>24.00 Metallurgy</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal services</td>
<td>26.00 Personal services</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plastic occupations</td>
<td>28.00 Plastic occupations</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plastics occupations</td>
<td>28.00 Plastics occupations</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quantity food occupations</td>
<td>29.00 Quantity food occupations</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refrigeration</td>
<td>30.00 Refrigeration</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small engine repair, internal combustion</td>
<td>31.00 Small engine repair, internal combustion</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stationary energy sources occupations</td>
<td>32.00 Stationary energy sources occupations</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Textiles, and clothing</td>
<td>33.00 Textiles, and clothing</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upholstering</td>
<td>35.00 Upholstering</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teacher education</td>
<td>19.00 Teacher education</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vocational education</td>
<td>19.00 Vocational education</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development</td>
<td>18.00 Development</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distributive education</td>
<td>09.00 Distributive education</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Vocational Education Teacher Shortage School Year 1977-78—Reported Shortages by Generic Field, Instructional Area, and by State—Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OE codes and titles for generic fields and instructional areas in vocational education</th>
<th>Kansas</th>
<th>Kentucky</th>
<th>Maine</th>
<th>Maryland</th>
<th>Massachusetts</th>
<th>Michigan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>.36 Woodworking occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.38 Trade and industrial occupations, other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1,886</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Missouri</th>
<th>Montana</th>
<th>Nebraska</th>
<th>New Jersey</th>
<th>New York</th>
<th>North Carolina</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>.07 Health occupations education</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td>72</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.01 Dental</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.02 Medical laboratory technology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.03 Nursing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.04 Rehabilitation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.05 Radiologic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.06 Ophthalmic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.07 Environmental health</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.08 Mental health technology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.09 Miscellaneous health occupations education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.99 Health occupations education, other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.09.00 Home economics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.01 Homemaking; Preparation for personal home and family living</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.02 Occupational preparation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.00 Office occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.01 Accounting and bookkeeping occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.02 Business data processing systems occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.03 Filing, office machines, and general office clerical occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.04 Information communication occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.05 Materials support occupations (transporting, storing, and recording)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.06 Personnel, training, and related occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.07 Secretarial, clerical and related occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.08 Supervisory and administrative management occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.09 Typing and related occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.99 Office occupations, other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.16.00 Technical education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.01 Engineering related technology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.02 Agricultural related technology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.03 Health related technology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.04 Office related technology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.05 Home economics related technology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.06 Miscellaneous technical education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.17.00 Trade and industrial occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.01 Air-conditioning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.02 Appliance repair</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.03 Automotive services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.04 Aviation occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.05 Blueprint reading</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.06 Business machine maintenance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.07 Commercial art occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.08 Commercial fisheries occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.09 Commercial photography occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.10 Construction and maintenance trades</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.11 Horticultural services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.12 Diesel mechanic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 43, NO. 82—THURSDAY, APRIL 27, 1978
## Vocational Education Teacher Shortage School Year 1977-78

### Reported Shortages by Generic Field, Instructional Area, and by State—Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OE Codes and Titles for Generic Fields and Instructional Areas in Vocational Education</th>
<th>Missouri</th>
<th>Montana</th>
<th>Nebraska</th>
<th>New Jersey</th>
<th>New York</th>
<th>North Carolina</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Drafting</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical occupations</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronics occupations</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foremanship, supervision, and management development</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graphic arts occupations</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial atomic energy</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maritime occupations</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metallurgy</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal services</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fitness occupations</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public service occupations</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quantity food occupations</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business and finance</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small engine repair, internal combustion</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stationary energy sources occupations</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Textile production and fabrication</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leatherworking</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upholstering</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Window cleaning occupations</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trade and industrial occupations, other</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial arts</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>379</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### North Dakota

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OE Codes and Titles for Generic Fields and Instructional Areas in Vocational Education</th>
<th>North Dakota</th>
<th>Ohio</th>
<th>South Carolina</th>
<th>South Dakota</th>
<th>Tennessee</th>
<th>Texas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agricultural production</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture, other</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distributive education</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apparel and accessories</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Automotive</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business, office services</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floristry</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food distribution</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General merchandise</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardware, building material</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home and heating</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hotel and lodging</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial marketing</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insurance</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International trade</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal services</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petroleum</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real estate</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recreation and tourism</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retail trade, other</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distributive education, other</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health occupations education</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dental</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical laboratory technology</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nursing</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rehabilitation</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiology</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Criminal justice</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental health</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mental health technology</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous health occupations education</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health occupations education, other</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home economics</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home making, preparation for personal home and family living</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupational preparation</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounting and computer occupations</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business data processing systems occupations</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional and general office clerical occupations</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information communication occupations</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Materials support occupations (transporting, storing, and recording)</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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## Vocational Education Teacher Shortage School Year 1977-78—Reported Shortages by Generic Field, Instructional Area, and by State—Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OE codes and titles for generic fields and instructional areas in vocational education</th>
<th>North Dakota</th>
<th>Ohio</th>
<th>South Carolina</th>
<th>South Dakota</th>
<th>Tennessee</th>
<th>Texas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>00 Supervisory and administrative management occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09 Typing and related occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>00 Office occupations, other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.00 Technical education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01 Engineering related technology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02 Agricultural related technology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03 Health related technology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 Office related technology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05 Home economics related technology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06 Miscellaneous technical education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.00 Trade and industrial occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01 Air conditioning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02 Appliance repair</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03 Automotive services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 Aviation occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05 Blueprint reading</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06 Business machine maintenance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07 Commercial art occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08 Commercial fishery occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09 Commercial photography occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Construction and maintenance trades</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Custodial services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Diesel mechanics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Drafting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Electrical occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Electronics occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 Fabric maintenance services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 Foremanship, supervision, and management development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 General continuation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 Graphic arts occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 Industrial atomic energy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 Instrument maintenance and repair</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 Maritime occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 Metalworking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 Metallurgy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 Personal services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 Personal services, other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 Pesticide occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 Public service occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 Quantity food occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Refrigeration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 Small engine repair, internal combustion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 Stationary energy sources occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33 Textile production and fabrication</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34 Leatherworking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 Upholstering</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 Woodworking occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99.00 Industrial arts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99.01 Trade and industrial occupations, other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04.00 Distributive education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01 Advertising services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02 Apparel and accessories</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03 Automotive</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 Finance and credit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05 Floristry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06 Food distribution</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07 Food services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08 General merchandise</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09 Hardware, building material</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Home furnishing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Hotel and lodging</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Industrial marketing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Insurance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 International trade</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Personal services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 Petroleum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 Retail</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 Recreation and tourism</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 Transportation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 Retail trade, other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99.00 Health occupations education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01 Dental</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02 Medical laboratory technology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03 Nursing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Utah</th>
<th>Virginia</th>
<th>West Virginia</th>
<th>Wisconsin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Vocational Education Teacher Shortage School Year 1977-78—Reported shortages by generic field, instructional area, and by State—Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OE codes and titles for generic fields and instructional areas in vocational education</th>
<th>Utah</th>
<th>Virginia</th>
<th>West Virginia</th>
<th>Wisconsin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>04 Rehabilitation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05 Radiologic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06 Ophthalmic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07 Environmental health</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08 Mental health technology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09 Miscellaneous health occupations education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Health occupations education, other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90.00 Home economics</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91 Homemaking: Preparation for personal home and family living</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92 Occupational preparation</td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.00 Office occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93 Accounting and computing occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94 Business data processing systems occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95 Filing, office machines, and general office clerical occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96 Information communication occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97 Materials support occupations (transporting, storing, and recording)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98 Petrochemical, training, and related occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99 Stenographic, secretarial and related occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Supervisory and administrative management occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Typing and related occupations</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90 Office occupations, other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.00 Technical education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.00 Engineering related technology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Agricultural related technology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Health related technology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Office related technology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 Home economics related technology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 Miscellaneous technical education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 Trade and industrial occupations</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 Air-conditioning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 Appliance repair</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 Automotive services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 Aviation occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 Blueprint reading</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 Business machine maintenance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 Commercial art occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 Commercial fishery occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 Commercial photography occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 Construction and Maintenance trades</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 Custodial services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Diesel mechanic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 Drafting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 Electrical occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33 Electronics occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34 Electronic maintenance services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 Foremanship, supervision, and management development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 General continuation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37 Graphic arts occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38 Industrial atomic energy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39 Instrument maintenance and repair</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 Maritime occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41 Metalworking</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42 Metallurgy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43 Personal services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44 Plastic occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 Public service occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46 Quantity food occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47 Refrigeration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48 Small engine repair, Internal combustion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49 Stationary energy sources occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 Textile production and fabrication</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51 Leatherworking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52 Tire and rubber products</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53 Woodworking occupations</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54 Woodworking occupations, other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56 Total of all trade and industrial occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td>71</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wyoming</th>
<th>District of Columbia</th>
<th>Guam</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Wyoming</th>
<th>District of Columbia</th>
<th>Guam</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>.05</td>
<td>Floristry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.06</td>
<td>Food distribution</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.07</td>
<td>Food services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.08</td>
<td>General merchandise</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.09</td>
<td>Hardware, building material</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.10</td>
<td>Home furnishing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.11</td>
<td>Hotel and lodging</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.12</td>
<td>Industrial marketing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.13</td>
<td>Insurance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.14</td>
<td>International trade</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.15</td>
<td>Personal services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.16</td>
<td>Petroleum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.17</td>
<td>Real estate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.18</td>
<td>Recreation and tourism</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.19</td>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.20</td>
<td>Retail trade, other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.31</td>
<td>Wholesale trade, other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.99</td>
<td>Distributive education, other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.07</td>
<td>Health occupations education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>858</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.01</td>
<td>Dental</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.02</td>
<td>Medical laboratory technology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.03</td>
<td>Nursing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.04</td>
<td>Rehabilitation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.05</td>
<td>Radiologic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.06</td>
<td>Ophthalmic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.07</td>
<td>Environmental health</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.08</td>
<td>Mental health technology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.99</td>
<td>Miscellaneous health occupations education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.02</td>
<td>Health occupations education, other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.09</td>
<td>Home economics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.01</td>
<td>Homemaking: Preparation for personal home and family living</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.02</td>
<td>Occupational preparation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.00</td>
<td>Office occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.01</td>
<td>Accounting and computing occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.02</td>
<td>Business data processing systems occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.03</td>
<td>Piling, office machines, and general office clerical occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.04</td>
<td>Information communication occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.05</td>
<td>Materials support occupations (transporting, storing, and recording)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.06</td>
<td>Personnel, training, and related occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.07</td>
<td>Steriographic, secretarial and related occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.08</td>
<td>Supervisory and administrative management occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.09</td>
<td>Typing and related occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.09</td>
<td>Office occupations, other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.00</td>
<td>Technical education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1376</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.01</td>
<td>Engineering related technology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.02</td>
<td>Agricultural related technology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.03</td>
<td>Health related technology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.04</td>
<td>Office related technology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.05</td>
<td>Home economics related technology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.06</td>
<td>Miscellaneous technical education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.00</td>
<td>Trade and industrial occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.01</td>
<td>Air-conditioning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.02</td>
<td>Appliance repair</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.03</td>
<td>Automotive services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.04</td>
<td>Aviation occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.05</td>
<td>Blueprint reading</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.06</td>
<td>Business machine maintenance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.07</td>
<td>Commercial art occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.08</td>
<td>Commercial fishery occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.09</td>
<td>Commercial photography occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.10</td>
<td>Construction and maintenance trades</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.11</td>
<td>Custodial services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.12</td>
<td>Diesel mechanic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.13</td>
<td>Drafting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.14</td>
<td>Electrical occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.15</td>
<td>Electronics occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.16</td>
<td>Fabric maintenance services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.17</td>
<td>Foremanship, supervision, and management development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.18</td>
<td>General continuation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.19</td>
<td>Graphic arts occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.20</td>
<td>Industrial atomic energy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.21</td>
<td>Instrument maintenance and repair</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.22</td>
<td>Maritime occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.23</td>
<td>Metallurgy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.24</td>
<td>Metalurgy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.29</td>
<td>Personal services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VOCATIONAL EDUCATION TEACHER SHORTAGE SCHOOL YEAR 1977-78—Reported shortages by generic field, instructional area, and by State—Continued

OE codes and titles for generic fields and instructional areas in vocational education

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generic Field</th>
<th>District of Columbia</th>
<th>Guam</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>.21 Plastics occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.22 Public service occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.29 Quantity food occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.30 Refrigeration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.31 Small engine repair, internal combustion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.32 Stationary energy sources occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.33 Textile production and fabrication</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.34 Leatherworking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.35 Upholstering</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.36 Woodworking occupations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.39 Trade and industrial occupations, other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.99 Trade and industrial occupations, other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>108</td>
<td>5,692</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Administrator's Report on the state of the Center's budget, organization and surveys, a discussion of the Center's program in statistical standards, and procedures for the development of meeting agendas and the Council's Annual Report.

The meeting is open to the public; however, because of limited accommodations, those members of the public wishing to attend should make reservations by writing, no later than May 8, 1978, to:

Acting Executive Director, Advisory Council on Education Statistics, Room 3003, FOB-6, 400 Maryland Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. 20202.

Records shall be kept of all Council proceedings and shall be available for public inspection in the Office of the Administrator, National Center for Education Statistics, located at 400 Maryland Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. 20202.


MARIE D. ELDRIDGE,
Administrator, National Center for Education Statistics.

National Institute of Education
UNSO LICITED PROPOSALS
Educational Research and Development Activities

Pursuant to the authority contained in section 408 of the General Education Provisions Act (20 U.S.C. 1221e) and regulations published at 45 CFR 1403.6, the Institute has announced its interest in receiving unsolicited proposals to conduct educational research and development activities. Unsolicited proposals may be submitted at any time, but awards will usually be made twice a year in June/July and January/February based upon competitive reviews of proposals received by April 30 and October 31, respectively.

Since April 30, 1978 is a Sunday, all proposals received by 4:30 p.m. on Monday, May 1, 1978 will be considered for award in the June/July cycle. Additional information may be obtained from the National Institute of Education, Proposal Clearinghouse, Room 708, 1932 M Street NW., Washington, D.C. 202-254-5600.


JOHN W. CHRISTENSEN,
Associate Director for Administration and Management.
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[6351-01] 1

COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION.

TIME AND DATE: 10 a.m., May 2, 1978.

PLACE: 2033 K Street NW., Washington, D.C., 5th floor hearing room.

STATUS: Parts of this meeting will be open to the public. The rest of the meeting will be closed to the public.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

Portions open to the public:

Part 8—Disciplinary rules and proposed rules relating to exchange procedures for disciplinary, summary and membership denial actions.

Portions closed to the public:

Enforcement matter and offer of settlement.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:

Jane Stuckey, 254-6314.

[S-881-78 Filed 4-25-78; 10:49 am]

[6570-06] 4

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION.


PREVIOUSLY ANNOUNCED TIME AND DATE OF MEETING: 9:30 am, (eastern time), Tuesday, April 25, 1978.

CHANGES IN THE MEETING: The time of the meeting is changed to 11:30 a.m. (eastern time), and the entire meeting will be open to the public.

The vote was as follows:

In favor of change.—Eleanor Holmes Norton, Chair; Daniel E. Leach, Vice Chair; and Ethel Bent Walsh, Commissioner.

Opposed.—None.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:

Jane Stuckey, 254-6314.

[S-882-78 Filed 4-25-78; 10:49 am]
STATUS: Open regular conference.

MATTER TO BE CONSIDERED:
1. Long-Term Utilization of Budget Resources.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:
Douglas Baldwin, Director, Office of Communications, telephone 202-275-7252.

The Commission's professional staff will be available to brief news media representatives on conference issues at the conclusion of the meeting.

---

[8010-01]

SEcurities AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION.

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the Government In the Sunshine Act, Pub. L. 94-409, that the Securities and Exchange Commission will hold the following meetings during the week of May 1, 1978, in Room 825, 500 North Capitol Street, Washington, D.C.

Closed meetings will be held on Wednesday, May 3, 1978, at 10 a.m. and on Thursday, May 4, 1978, immediately following the open meeting. An open meeting will be held on Thursday, May 4, 1978, at 10 a.m.

The Commissioners, their legal assistants, the Secretary of the Commission, and recording secretaries will attend the closed meetings. Certain staff members who are responsible for the calendared matters may be present.

The General Counsel of the Commission, or his designee, has certified in his opinion, that the items to be considered at the closed meetings may be considered pursuant to one or more of the exemptions set forth in 5 U.S.C. 552b(c) (4), (8), (9)(A), and (10) and 17 CFR 200.402(a) (8), (9)(i), and (10).

Chairman Williams, Commissioners Loomis, and Karmel determined to hold the aforesaid meetings in closed session.

The subject matter of the closed meeting scheduled for Wednesday, May 3, 1978, at 10 a.m., will be:

1. Consideration of amendments to Guide 42, of the Guides for the Preparation and Filing of Registration Statements under the Securities Act of 1933, to clarify and relax the provisions relating to the submission of supplemental material concerning registrants.

2. Consideration of proposed rule changes filed by the New York Stock Exchange, Inc. and the American Stock Exchange, Inc., which would establish uniform procedures for the arbitration of small claims by investors.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Kathy Malfa at 202-376-8004 or Linda Schneider at 202-755-1119.


---

[7910-01]

RENEGOTIATION BOARD.

DATE AND TIME: Tuesday, April 25, 1978 at 2 p.m.


STATUS: Closed to public observation.

MATTER TO BE CONSIDERED: Executive session concerning personnel matter.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:
Kelvin H. Dickinson, Assistant General Counsel-Secretary, 2000 M Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20446.


GOODWIN CHASE, Chairman.

---
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STATE DEPARTMENT

Fishery Conservation and Management Act of 1976

APPLICATIONS FOR PERMITS TO FISH OFF THE COASTS OF THE UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF STATE

[Federal Register: 43 FR 16064, April 13, 1978]

NOTICES

[4710-09]

FISHERY CONSERVATION AND MANAGEMENT ACT OF 1976

Applications for Permits To Fish Off the Coasts of the United States

The Fishery Conservation and Management Act of 1976 (Pub. L. 94-265) (the "Act") provides that no fishing shall be conducted by foreign fishing vessels in the Fishery Conservation Zone of the United States after February 28, 1977, except in accordance with a valid and applicable permit issued pursuant to section 204 of the Act.

The Act also requires that all applications for such permits be published in the Federal Register.

Applications for fishing during 1978 have been received from the Governments of Bulgaria, Cuba, Japan, and the Union of the Soviet Socialist Republics, and are published herewith.


JAMES A. STORER,
Director,
Office of Fisheries Affairs.
### FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN)  
**No. JA-78-0279**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Name of Vessel</th>
<th>BAIHAI MARU No.5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2. Type of Vessel</td>
<td>STEEL Trawler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Gross Tonnage</td>
<td>264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Net Tonnage</td>
<td>157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Owner’s Name and Address</td>
<td>TAIYO FISHERY CO., LTD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>6. Types of Processing Equipment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flash Freezer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>7. Fisheries for which Permit is Requested:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fishery</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN)  
**No. JA-78-0272**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Name of Vessel</th>
<th>TAIHEI MARU No.2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2. Type of Vessel</td>
<td>Longliner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Gross Tonnage</td>
<td>345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Net Tonnage</td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Owner’s Name and Address</td>
<td>TAIHEI FISHERY CO., LTD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>6. Types of Processing Equipment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flash Freezer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>7. Fisheries for which Permit is Requested:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fishery</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN)  
**No. VA-78-0618**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Name of Vessel</th>
<th>YEMIK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2. Type of Vessel</td>
<td>TANKER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Gross Tonnage</td>
<td>2940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Net Tonnage</td>
<td>2920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Owner’s Name and Address</td>
<td>YEMIK YAMAHA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>6. Types of Processing Equipment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Offshore Support</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>7. Fisheries for which Permit is Requested:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fishery</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Fishing Vessel Identification Form (Foreign)

**Vessel Name:** ARGONAUT  
**Type of Vessel:** Stern Trawler  
**Owner’s Name and Address:** Okeanski Ribolov, 3 Industrialna Street, Bourgas, Bulgaria  
**Types of Processing Equipment:** 3 Filletters, 1 Heading, 1 Skinning M., 2 Freezer Apps., 2 Fishmeal Plants

**Fishery** | **Target Species** | **Gear to Be Used** | **Catching** | **Processing** | **Support**
--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | ---
NNA | Silver Hake | Bottom and Midwater Trawl | X | X | X

**Fisheries for which Permit is Requested:**

1. **NNA** Silver Hake Bottom and Midwater Trawl X X

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag?

Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessel, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

### Fishing Vessel Identification Form (Foreign)

**Vessel Name:** ARGONAUT  
**Type of Vessel:** Stern Trawler  
**Owner’s Name and Address:** Okeanski Ribolov, 3 Industrialna Street, Bourgas, Bulgaria  
**Types of Processing Equipment:** 3 Filletters, 1 Heading, 1 Skinning M., 2 Freezer Apps., 2 Fishmeal Plants

**Fishery** | **Target Species** | **Gear to Be Used** | **Catching** | **Processing** | **Support**
--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | ---
NNA | Silver Hake | Bottom and Midwater Trawl | X | X | X

**Fisheries for which Permit is Requested:**

1. **NNA** Silver Hake Bottom and Midwater Trawl X X

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag?

Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessel, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)
In accordance with the provisions of the Fishery Conservation and Management Act of 1976, the Government of Cuba hereby submits this application for permits for vessels under its jurisdiction to fish within the Fishery Conservation Zone of the United States, or beyond that zone for anadromous species or Continental Shelf fishery resources subject to the jurisdiction of the United States, during the year 1978.

Fishing Vessel Identification Forms will be submitted in support of this application. The fishing plans, species, and catch contemplated for vessels of the Cuban fla are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fishery Plans</th>
<th>Target Species</th>
<th>Total Tonnage Requested for Each Species (MT)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gulf of Mexico, Shrimp (Pink, White and Brown)</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gulf of Mexico, Bottom Longline (Groundfish, Sagittidae, Lutjanidae, Ocyurus chrysur)</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gulf of Mexico, Bottom Longline Red Grouper and associated species</td>
<td>6200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Submitted: September 5th 1977

Signature of Authorized Officer:

[Signature]

Fishing plans, species, and catch contemplated for vessels of the Cuban fla are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fishery Plans</th>
<th>Target Species</th>
<th>Gear To Be Used</th>
<th>Catching Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gulf of Mexico, Red Grouper</td>
<td>Longline</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This vessel carries 18 longline boats.

Fishing Vessel Identification Form (Foreign) No. CU-78-0019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Vessel</th>
<th>LAMBDA XI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Visual Ident.</td>
<td>CO-2413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of Vessel</td>
<td>Longliner and boat carrier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>22.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross Tonnage</td>
<td>107.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Tonnage</td>
<td>49.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner’s Name and Address</td>
<td>FLOTA DEL GOLFO-Calixto Garcia No.111</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This vessel carries 6 boats that also fish.

Fishing Vessel Identification Form (Foreign) No. CU-78-0020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Vessel</th>
<th>LAMBDA LXXIX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Visual Ident.</td>
<td>CO-2192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of Vessel</td>
<td>Longliner and boat carrier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>21.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross Tonnage</td>
<td>107.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Tonnage</td>
<td>49.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner’s Name and Address</td>
<td>FLOTA DEL GOLFO-Calixto Garcia No.111</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This vessel carries 6 boats that also fish.
### FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) NO. CU-78-0021

| 1. Name of Vessel: | LAMBDA LXXXII |
| 2. Type of Vessel: | Longline and boat carrier |
| 3. Gross Tonnage: | 107.15 ton |
| 4. Net Tonnage: | 40.18 ton |
| 5. Speed (knots): | 9 |

#### Owner's Name and Address:

**FLOTA DEL GOLFO - CALIXTO GARCIA NO. 111 REGLA, HABANA**

| 1. Name of Vessel: | LAMBDA LXXXVII |
| 2. Type of Vessel: | Longline and boat carrier |
| 3. Gross Tonnage: | 107.15 ton |
| 4. Net Tonnage: | 40.18 ton |
| 5. Speed (knots): | 9 |

#### Owner's Name and Address:

**FLOTA DEL GOLFO - CALIXTO GARCIA NO. 111 REGLA, HABANA**

| 1. Name of Vessel: | M/P TIBURON |
| 2. Type of Vessel Used as boat carrier: | 4 |
| 3. Gross Tonnage: | 107.15 ton |
| 4. Net Tonnage: | 40.18 ton |
| 5. Speed (knots): | 9 |

#### Owner's Name and Address:

**FLOTA DEL GOLFO - CALIXTO GARCIA NO. 111 REGLA, HABANA**

| 1. Name of Vessel: | LOWA LXXXI |
| 2. Type of Vessel: | Longline |
| 3. Gross Tonnage: | 107.15 ton |
| 4. Net Tonnage: | 40.18 ton |
| 5. Speed (knots): | 9 |

#### Owner's Name and Address:

**FLOTA DEL GOLFO - CALIXTO GARCIA NO. 111 REGLA, HABANA**

### FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) NO. CU-78-0029

| 1. Name of Vessel: | M/P TIBURON |
| 2. Type of Vessel Used as boat carrier: | 4 |
| 3. Gross Tonnage: | 107.15 ton |
| 4. Net Tonnage: | 40.18 ton |
| 5. Speed (knots): | 9 |

#### Owner's Name and Address:

**FLOTA DEL GOLFO - CALIXTO GARCIA NO. 111 REGLA, HABANA**

| 1. Name of Vessel: | LAMBDA LXXXII |
| 2. Type of Vessel: | Longline |
| 3. Gross Tonnage: | 107.15 ton |
| 4. Net Tonnage: | 40.18 ton |
| 5. Speed (knots): | 9 |

#### Owner's Name and Address:

**FLOTA DEL GOLFO - CALIXTO GARCIA NO. 111 REGLA, HABANA**

### FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) NO. CU-78-0023

| 1. Name of Vessel: | LAMBDA LXXXVII |
| 2. Type of Vessel: | Longline and boat carrier |
| 3. Gross Tonnage: | 107.15 ton |
| 4. Net Tonnage: | 40.18 ton |
| 5. Speed (knots): | 9 |

#### Owner's Name and Address:

**FLOTA DEL GOLFO - CALIXTO GARCIA NO. 111 REGLA, HABANA**

### FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) NO. CU-78-0030

| 1. Name of Vessel: | LAMBDA LXXXV |
| 2. Type of Vessel: | Longline |
| 3. Gross Tonnage: | 107.15 ton |
| 4. Net Tonnage: | 40.18 ton |
| 5. Speed (knots): | 9 |

#### Owner's Name and Address:

**FLOTA DEL GOLFO - CALIXTO GARCIA NO. 111 REGLA, HABANA**

### FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) NO. CU-78-0031

| 1. Name of Vessel: | LAMBDA LXXXI |
| 2. Type of Vessel: | Longline |
| 3. Gross Tonnage: | 107.15 ton |
| 4. Net Tonnage: | 40.18 ton |
| 5. Speed (knots): | 9 |

#### Owner's Name and Address:

**FLOTA DEL GOLFO - CALIXTO GARCIA NO. 111 REGLA, HABANA**

### FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) NO. CU-78-0032

| 1. Name of Vessel: | LAMBDA LXXXIII |
| 2. Type of Vessel: | Longline and boat carrier |
| 3. Gross Tonnage: | 107.15 ton |
| 4. Net Tonnage: | 40.18 ton |
| 5. Speed (knots): | 9 |

#### Owner's Name and Address:

**FLOTA DEL GOLFO - CALIXTO GARCIA NO. 111 REGLA, HABANA**

### FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) NO. CU-78-0033

| 1. Name of Vessel: | LAMBDA LXXXIV |
| 2. Type of Vessel: | Longline |
| 3. Gross Tonnage: | 107.15 ton |
| 4. Net Tonnage: | 40.18 ton |
| 5. Speed (knots): | 9 |

#### Owner's Name and Address:

**FLOTA DEL GOLFO - CALIXTO GARCIA NO. 111 REGLA, HABANA**

### FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) NO. CU-78-0034

| 1. Name of Vessel: | LAMBDA LXXXV |
| 2. Type of Vessel: | Longline |
| 3. Gross Tonnage: | 107.15 ton |
| 4. Net Tonnage: | 40.18 ton |
| 5. Speed (knots): | 9 |

#### Owner's Name and Address:

**FLOTA DEL GOLFO - CALIXTO GARCIA NO. 111 REGLA, HABANA**

### FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) NO. CU-78-0035

| 1. Name of Vessel: | LAMBDA LXXXVI |
| 2. Type of Vessel: | Longline |
| 3. Gross Tonnage: | 107.15 ton |
| 4. Net Tonnage: | 40.18 ton |
| 5. Speed (knots): | 9 |

#### Owner's Name and Address:

**FLOTA DEL GOLFO - CALIXTO GARCIA NO. 111 REGLA, HABANA**

### FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) NO. CU-78-0036

| 1. Name of Vessel: | LAMBDA LXXXVII |
| 2. Type of Vessel: | Longline |
| 3. Gross Tonnage: | 107.15 ton |
| 4. Net Tonnage: | 40.18 ton |
| 5. Speed (knots): | 9 |

#### Owner's Name and Address:

**FLOTA DEL GOLFO - CALIXTO GARCIA NO. 111 REGLA, HABANA**

### FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) NO. CU-78-0037

| 1. Name of Vessel: | LAMBDA LXXXVIII |
| 2. Type of Vessel: | Longline |
| 3. Gross Tonnage: | 107.15 ton |
| 4. Net Tonnage: | 40.18 ton |
| 5. Speed (knots): | 9 |

#### Owner's Name and Address:

**FLOTA DEL GOLFO - CALIXTO GARCIA NO. 111 REGLA, HABANA**

### FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) NO. CU-78-0038

| 1. Name of Vessel: | LAMBDA LXXXIX |
| 2. Type of Vessel: | Longline |
| 3. Gross Tonnage: | 107.15 ton |
| 4. Net Tonnage: | 40.18 ton |
| 5. Speed (knots): | 9 |

#### Owner's Name and Address:

**FLOTA DEL GOLFO - CALIXTO GARCIA NO. 111 REGLA, HABANA**

### FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) NO. CU-78-0039

| 1. Name of Vessel: | LAMBDA LXXX |
| 2. Type of Vessel: | Longline |
| 3. Gross Tonnage: | 107.15 ton |
| 4. Net Tonnage: | 40.18 ton |
| 5. Speed (knots): | 9 |

#### Owner's Name and Address:

**FLOTA DEL GOLFO - CALIXTO GARCIA NO. 111 REGLA, HABANA**

### FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) NO. CU-78-0040

| 1. Name of Vessel: | LAMBDA LXXX |
### FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN)

#### LAMBDA LXXXVIII

1. Name of Vessel: LAMBDA LXXXVIII
2. Tier (Call Sign): CO-2194
3. Type of Vessel: Longliner and boat carrier
4. Length: 22.55
5. Gross Tonnage: 107.15
6. Net Tonnage: 49.18
7. Speed ( knots): 9
8. Owner’s Name and Address: FLOTA DEL GOLFO-CALIXTO GARCIA NO.111, REGLA, HAVANA
9. Types of Processing Equipment: None

#### M/P MORON

1. Name of Vessel: M/P MORON
2. Tier (Call Sign): COLM
3. Type of Vessel: Used as boat carrier
4. Length: 48.7
5. Gross Tonnage: 676.1 ton
6. Net Tonnage: 252.61 ton
7. Speed ( knots): 9.5
8. Owner’s Name and Address: FLOTA DEL GOLFO-CALIXTO GARCIA NO.111, REGLA, HAVANA
9. Types of Processing Equipment: Not been used: 3 fish trundle machines

#### FUCARO

1. Name of Vessel: FUCARO
2. Tier (Call Sign): COLS
3. Type of Vessel: Used as boat carrier
4. Length: 48.7
5. Gross Tonnage: 676.1 ton
6. Net Tonnage: 252.61 ton
7. Speed ( knots): 9.5
8. Owner’s Name and Address: FLOTA DEL GOLFO-CALIXTO GARCIA NO.111, REGLA, HAVANA
9. Types of Processing Equipment: Not been used: 3 fish trundle machines

#### Gulf of Mexico

1. Fishery Plans: Gulf of Mexico
2. Target Species: Red Grouper
3. Gear to Be Used: Longline
4. Species: Gulf of Mexico
5. Processing: None

#### Bottom Longline

1. Fishery Plans: Bottom Longline
2. Target Species: Red Grouper
3. Gear to Be Used: Longline
4. Species: Bottom Longline
5. Processing: None

#### Red Grouper Longline

1. Fishery Plans: Red Grouper Longline
2. Target Species: Red Grouper
3. Gear to Be Used: Longline
4. Species: Red Grouper Longline
5. Processing: None

### Notice

1. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag: 
   - No [X]
   - Yes [ ]

2. If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.

* This vessel carries 18 longline boats

---

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 43, NO. 82—THURSDAY, APRIL 27, 1978
## FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name of Vessel</th>
<th>Visual Identifier (Call Sign)</th>
<th>2. Type of Vessel</th>
<th>Length</th>
<th>Gross Tonnage</th>
<th>Net Tonnage</th>
<th>Speed (knots)</th>
<th>Owner’s Name and Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>LAMBDA VI</strong></td>
<td>LAMBDA VI</td>
<td>CO-2532</td>
<td>Longliner and boat carrier</td>
<td>21.85</td>
<td>92.10</td>
<td>45.60</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>FLOTA DEL GOLFO-Calixto Garcia No.111, Havana, Cuba</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LAMBDA XIV</strong></td>
<td>LAMBDA XIV</td>
<td>CO-2534</td>
<td>Longliner and boat carrier</td>
<td>22.55</td>
<td>107.15</td>
<td>49.28</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>FLOTA DEL GOLFO-Calixto Garcia No.111, Havana, Cuba</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LAMBDA XVIII</strong></td>
<td>LAMBDA XVIII</td>
<td>CO-2535</td>
<td>Longliner and boat carrier</td>
<td>22.55</td>
<td>107.15</td>
<td>49.28</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>FLOTA DEL GOLFO-Calixto Garcia No.111, Havana, Cuba</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Fisheries for which permit is requested:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fishery</th>
<th>Target Species</th>
<th>Gear to Be Used</th>
<th>Catching/Processing/Other Support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gulf of Mexico</td>
<td>Red Grouper</td>
<td>Longline</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bottom</td>
<td>Longline</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Are fishing activities requested in support of vessels of a different flag?

- No [ ]
- Yes * (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

* Each vessel carries 6 boats that also fish.

---

**FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 43, NO. 82—THURSDAY, APRIL 27, 1978**
1. Name of Vessel: LADNA XIX
2. Tier (Call Sign): CO-2183
3. Type of Vessel: Longliner and Boat Carrier
4. Length: 21.85
5. Gross Tonnage: 107.15
6. Net Tonnage: 49.28
7. Speed (Knots): 7
8. Owner's Name and Address: FLOTA DEL GOLFO-Calixto Garcia No. 111
   Regla, Havana
9. Types of Processing Equipment: None
10. Fisheries for Which Permit Is Requested:
    - Gulf of Mexico
    - Bottom
    - Longline

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag: No

* Each vessel carries 6 boats that also fish.

**Fishing Vessel Identification Form**

---

1. Name of Vessel: LADNA XXI
2. Tier (Call Sign): CO-2524
3. Type of Vessel: Longliner and Boat Carrier
4. Length: 21.85
5. Gross Tonnage: 107.15
6. Net Tonnage: 49.28
7. Speed (Knots): 7
8. Owner's Name and Address: FLOTA DEL GOLFO-Calixto Garcia No. 111
   Regla, Havana
9. Types of Processing Equipment: None
10. Fisheries for Which Permit Is Requested:
    - Gulf of Mexico
    - Bottom
    - Longline

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag: No

* Each vessel carries 6 boats that also fish.

---

1. Name of Vessel: LADNA XXII
2. Tier (Call Sign): CO-2597
3. Type of Vessel: Longliner and Boat Carrier
4. Length: 21.85
5. Gross Tonnage: 107.15
6. Net Tonnage: 49.28
7. Speed (Knots): 7
8. Owner's Name and Address: FLOTA DEL GOLFO-Calixto Garcia No. 111
   Regla, Havana
9. Types of Processing Equipment: None
10. Fisheries for Which Permit Is Requested:
    - Gulf of Mexico
    - Bottom
    - Longline

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag: No

* Each vessel carries 6 boats that also fish.
### Fishing Vessel Identification Form (FOREIGN)

**No. CU-78-0040**

1. **Name of Vessel**: LAMDA XXXIV
2. **Visual Identity**: CO-2521
3. **Type of Vessel**: Longliner and boat carrier
4. **Length**: 21.85
5. **Gross Tonnage**: 107.15
6. **Net Tonnage**: 49.28
7. **Speed (Knots)**: 9
8. **Owner's Name and Address**: FLOTA DEL GOLFO-Calixto Garcia No. 111, Havana, Cuba
9. **Types of Processing Equipment**: None

### Table: Fisheries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fishery</th>
<th>Target Species</th>
<th>Gear to Be Used</th>
<th>Catching Processing Other Support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gulf of Mexico</td>
<td>Red Grouper</td>
<td>Longline</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bottom</td>
<td>Longline</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11. **Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag?**
   - [ ] No
   - [ ] Yes

* each vessel carries 6 boats that also fish

### Fishing Vessel Identification Form (FOREIGN)

**No. CU-78-0041**

1. **Name of Vessel**: LAMDA XXXIX
2. **Visual Identity**: CO-2522
3. **Type of Vessel**: Longliner and boat carrier
4. **Length**: 21.85
5. **Gross Tonnage**: 107.15
6. **Net Tonnage**: 49.28
7. **Speed (Knots)**: 9
8. **Owner's Name and Address**: FLOTA DEL GOLFO-Calixto Garcia No. 111, Havana, Cuba
9. **Types of Processing Equipment**: None

### Table: Fisheries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fishery</th>
<th>Target Species</th>
<th>Gear to Be Used</th>
<th>Catching Processing Other Support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gulf of Mexico</td>
<td>Red Grouper</td>
<td>Longline</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bottom</td>
<td>Longline</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11. **Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag?**
   - [ ] No
   - [ ] Yes

* each vessel carries 6 boats that also fish

### Fishing Vessel Identification Form (FOREIGN)

**No. CU-78-0042**

1. **Name of Vessel**: LAMDA XLI
2. **Visual Identity**: CO-2172
3. **Type of Vessel**: Longliner and boat carrier
4. **Length**: 21.85
5. **Gross Tonnage**: 107.15
6. **Net Tonnage**: 49.28
7. **Speed (Knots)**: 9
8. **Owner's Name and Address**: FLOTA DEL GOLFO-Calixto Garcia No. 111, Havana, Cuba
9. **Types of Processing Equipment**: None

### Table: Fisheries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fishery</th>
<th>Target Species</th>
<th>Gear to Be Used</th>
<th>Catching Processing Other Support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gulf of Mexico</td>
<td>Red Grouper</td>
<td>Longline</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bottom</td>
<td>Longline</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11. **Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag?**
   - [ ] No
   - [ ] Yes

* each vessel carries 6 boats that also fish

### Fishing Vessel Identification Form (FOREIGN)

**No. CU-78-0043**

1. **Name of Vessel**: LAMDA XLIV
2. **Visual Identity**: CO-2174
3. **Type of Vessel**: Longliner and boat carrier
4. **Length**: 21.85
5. **Gross Tonnage**: 107.15
6. **Net Tonnage**: 49.28
7. **Speed (Knots)**: 9
8. **Owner's Name and Address**: FLOTA DEL GOLFO-Calixto Garcia No. 111, Havana, Cuba
9. **Types of Processing Equipment**: None

### Table: Fisheries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fishery</th>
<th>Target Species</th>
<th>Gear to Be Used</th>
<th>Catching Processing Other Support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gulf of Mexico</td>
<td>Red Grouper</td>
<td>Longline</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bottom</td>
<td>Longline</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11. **Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag?**
   - [ ] No
   - [ ] Yes

* each vessel carries 6 boats that also fish
**FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN)**

1. Name of Vessel **LAMBDA LXII**
2. Flr (Call Sign) CO-2184
3. Type of Vessel Longliner and boat carrier, Length 21.85
5. Owner’s Name and Address FLOTA DEL GOLFO-Calixto Garcia No.111 *
6. Types of Processing Equipment None
7. Fisheries for Which Permit Is Requested:
   - Gulf of Mexico Longline
   - Bottom Longline
   - Red Grouper Longline

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag: **No**
   (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

* each vessel carries 6 boats that also fish

---

**FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN)**

1. Name of Vessel **LAMBDA LXII**
2. Flr (Call Sign) CO-2184
3. Type of Vessel Longliner and boat carrier, Length 21.85
5. Owner’s Name and Address FLOTA DEL GOLFO-Calixto Garcia No.111 *
6. Types of Processing Equipment None
7. Fisheries for Which Permit Is Requested:
   - Gulf of Mexico Longline
   - Bottom Longline
   - Red Grouper Longline

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag: **No**
   (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

* each vessel carries 6 boats that also fish
### Fishing Vessel Identification Form (Foreign)

**No.:** [CU-78-0049]

1. *Name of Vessel:* LAMBDA LXII  
2. *Visual Identity:* CO-2538  
3. *Type of Vessel:* Longliner and boat carrier.  
5. *Gross Tonnage:* 107.15  
6. *Net Tonnage:* 49.18  
7. *Owner's Name and Address:* FLota Del Golfo-Calixto Garcia No. 111, Havana, Cuba  
8. *Types of Processing Equipment:* None

**10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fishery Type</th>
<th>Target Species</th>
<th>Gear to Be Used</th>
<th>Catching</th>
<th>Processing</th>
<th>Other Support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gulf of Mexico</td>
<td>Red Grouper</td>
<td>Longline</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bottom</td>
<td>Longline</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:**  
*No.*

*Each vessel carries 6 boats that also fish.*

---

**No.:** [CU-78-0050]

1. *Name of Vessel:* LAMBDA LXVI  
2. *Visual Identity:* CO-2185  
3. *Type of Vessel:* Longliner and boat carrier.  
5. *Gross Tonnage:* 109.7  
6. *Net Tonnage:* 48.32  
7. *Owner's Name and Address:* FLota Del Golfo-Calixto Garcia No. 111, Havana, Cuba  
8. *Types of Processing Equipment:* None

**10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fishery Type</th>
<th>Target Species</th>
<th>Gear to Be Used</th>
<th>Catching</th>
<th>Processing</th>
<th>Other Support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gulf of Mexico</td>
<td>Red Grouper</td>
<td>Longline</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bottom</td>
<td>Longline</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:**  
*Yes*  
*If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.*

*Each vessel carries 6 boats that also fish.*

---

**No.:** [CU-78-0051]

1. *Name of Vessel:* LAMBDA LXI  
2. *Visual Identity:* CO-2538  
3. *Type of Vessel:* Longliner and boat carrier.  
5. *Gross Tonnage:* 107.15  
6. *Net Tonnage:* 49.18  
7. *Owner's Name and Address:* FLota Del Golfo-Calixto Garcia No. 111, Havana, Cuba  
8. *Types of Processing Equipment:* None

**10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fishery Type</th>
<th>Target Species</th>
<th>Gear to Be Used</th>
<th>Catching</th>
<th>Processing</th>
<th>Other Support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gulf of Mexico</td>
<td>Red Grouper</td>
<td>Longline</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bottom</td>
<td>Longline</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:**  
*Yes*  
*If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.*

*Each vessel carries 6 boats that also fish.*
FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN)

1. Name of Vessel: LAMBDA VIII

2.视觉标识: CO-2191

3. Type of Vessel: Longliner and boat carrier

4. Length: 21.05

5. Gross Tonnage: 107.15

6. Net Tonnage: 45.18

7. Speed (knots):

8. Owner's Name and Address: FLOTA DEL GOLFO-CALIXTO GARCIA No. 111, Nagla, Havana

9. Types of Processing Equipment: None

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fishery Plans</th>
<th>Target Species</th>
<th>Gear To Be Used</th>
<th>Catching</th>
<th>Processing</th>
<th>Other Support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gulf of Mexico</td>
<td>Red Grouper</td>
<td>Longline</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bottom</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longline</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:

   ☐ No ☑ Yes

   (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

   * each vessel carries 6 boats that also fish

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 43, NO. 82—THURSDAY, APRIL 27, 1978
NOTICES

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN)

1. Name of Vessel: LAMBDA CVII
2. Type of Vessel: Longliner and boat carrier
3. Length: 22.55
4. Gross Tonnage: 107.15
5. Net Tonnage: 49.18
6. Owners Name and Address: FLOTA DEL GOLFO-Calixto Garcia No.111
7. Types of Processing Equipment: None

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fishery</th>
<th>Target Species</th>
<th>Gear To Be Used</th>
<th>Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gulf of Mexico</td>
<td>Red Grouper</td>
<td>Longline</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bottom</td>
<td>Longline</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag: Yes

* each vessel carries 6 boats that also fish

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN)

1. Name of Vessel: LAMBDA CVII
2. Type of Vessel: Longliner and boat carrier
3. Length: 22.55
4. Gross Tonnage: 107.15
5. Net Tonnage: 49.18
6. Owners Name and Address: FLOTA DEL GOLFO-Calixto Garcia No.111
7. Types of Processing Equipment: None

10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fishery</th>
<th>Target Species</th>
<th>Gear To Be Used</th>
<th>Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gulf of Mexico</td>
<td>Red Grouper</td>
<td>Longline</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bottom</td>
<td>Longline</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag: Yes

* each vessel carries 6 boats that also fish
### Fishing Vessel Identification Form (Foreign)

**Notices**

1. **Name of Vessel:** CARIBE II  
2. **Flag (Call Sign):** CO-2195
3. **Type of Vessel:** Longliner and boat carrier  
4. **Length:** 22.55
5. **Gross Tonnage:** 107.15  
6. **Net Tonnage:** 49.18  
7. **Speed (Knots):** 1
8. **Owner's Name and Address:** FLORA DEL GOLFO-Cajicano Garcia No. 111

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Length</th>
<th>22.55</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gross Tonnage</td>
<td>107.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Tonnage</td>
<td>49.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed (Knots)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fishing Activities Requested:**

- **Gulf of Mexico**
- **Bottom Longline**

**Types of Processing Equipment:** None

**Fishery Plans, Target Species, Gear To Be Used, Catching Activity:**

- **Fishing for Which Permit Is Requested:**
  - Gulf of Mexico  
  - Bottom Longline

---

**Notices**

1. **Name of Vessel:** CARIBE XIII  
2. **Flag (Call Sign):** CO-2542
3. **Type of Vessel:** Side Trawler  
4. **Length:** 23.0
5. **Gross Tonnage:** 138.0 ton  
6. **Net Tonnage:** 79.11 ton  
7. **Speed (Knots):** 10
8. **Owner's Name and Address:** FLORA CAMARONERA DEL MARIEL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Length</th>
<th>23.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gross Tonnage</td>
<td>138.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Tonnage</td>
<td>79.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed (Knots)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fishing Activities Requested:**

- **Gulf of Mexico**
- **Bottom Trawl**

**Types of Processing Equipment:** None

**Fishery Plans, Target Species, Gear To Be Used, Catching Activity:**

- **Fishing for Which Permit Is Requested:**
  - Gulf of Mexico  
  - Bottom Trawl

---

**Notices**

1. **Name of Vessel:** CARIBE XIII  
2. **Flag (Call Sign):** CO-2542
3. **Type of Vessel:** Side Trawler  
4. **Length:** 23.0
5. **Gross Tonnage:** 138.0 ton  
6. **Net Tonnage:** 79.11 ton  
7. **Speed (Knots):** 10
8. **Owner's Name and Address:** FLORA CAMARONERA DEL MARIEL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Length</th>
<th>23.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gross Tonnage</td>
<td>138.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Tonnage</td>
<td>79.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed (Knots)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fishing Activities Requested:**

- **Gulf of Mexico**
- **Bottom Trawl**

**Types of Processing Equipment:** None

**Fishery Plans, Target Species, Gear To Be Used, Catching Activity:**

- **Fishing for Which Permit Is Requested:**
  - Gulf of Mexico  
  - Bottom Trawl

---

**Notices**

1. **Name of Vessel:** CARIBE XIII  
2. **Flag (Call Sign):** CO-2542
3. **Type of Vessel:** Side Trawler  
4. **Length:** 23.0
5. **Gross Tonnage:** 138.0 ton  
6. **Net Tonnage:** 79.11 ton  
7. **Speed (Knots):** 10
8. **Owner's Name and Address:** FLORA CAMARONERA DEL MARIEL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Length</th>
<th>23.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gross Tonnage</td>
<td>138.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Tonnage</td>
<td>79.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed (Knots)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fishing Activities Requested:**

- **Gulf of Mexico**
- **Bottom Trawl**

**Types of Processing Equipment:** None

**Fishery Plans, Target Species, Gear To Be Used, Catching Activity:**

- **Fishing for Which Permit Is Requested:**
  - Gulf of Mexico  
  - Bottom Trawl

---

**Notices**

1. **Name of Vessel:** CARIBE XIII  
2. **Flag (Call Sign):** CO-2542
3. **Type of Vessel:** Side Trawler  
4. **Length:** 23.0
5. **Gross Tonnage:** 138.0 ton  
6. **Net Tonnage:** 79.11 ton  
7. **Speed (Knots):** 10
8. **Owner's Name and Address:** FLORA CAMARONERA DEL MARIEL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Length</th>
<th>23.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gross Tonnage</td>
<td>138.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Tonnage</td>
<td>79.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed (Knots)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fishing Activities Requested:**

- **Gulf of Mexico**
- **Bottom Trawl**

**Types of Processing Equipment:** None

**Fishery Plans, Target Species, Gear To Be Used, Catching Activity:**

- **Fishing for Which Permit Is Requested:**
  - Gulf of Mexico  
  - Bottom Trawl

---

**Notices**

1. **Name of Vessel:** CARIBE XIII  
2. **Flag (Call Sign):** CO-2542
3. **Type of Vessel:** Side Trawler  
4. **Length:** 23.0
5. **Gross Tonnage:** 138.0 ton  
6. **Net Tonnage:** 79.11 ton  
7. **Speed (Knots):** 10
8. **Owner's Name and Address:** FLORA CAMARONERA DEL MARIEL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Length</th>
<th>23.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gross Tonnage</td>
<td>138.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Tonnage</td>
<td>79.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed (Knots)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fishing Activities Requested:**

- **Gulf of Mexico**
- **Bottom Trawl**

**Types of Processing Equipment:** None

**Fishery Plans, Target Species, Gear To Be Used, Catching Activity:**

- **Fishing for Which Permit Is Requested:**
  - Gulf of Mexico  
  - Bottom Trawl

---

**Notices**

1. **Name of Vessel:** CARIBE XIII  
2. **Flag (Call Sign):** CO-2542
3. **Type of Vessel:** Side Trawler  
4. **Length:** 23.0
5. **Gross Tonnage:** 138.0 ton  
6. **Net Tonnage:** 79.11 ton  
7. **Speed (Knots):** 10
8. **Owner's Name and Address:** FLORA CAMARONERA DEL MARIEL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Length</th>
<th>23.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gross Tonnage</td>
<td>138.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net Tonnage</td>
<td>79.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed (Knots)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fishing Activities Requested:**

- **Gulf of Mexico**
- **Bottom Trawl**

**Types of Processing Equipment:** None

**Fishery Plans, Target Species, Gear To Be Used, Catching Activity:**

- **Fishing for Which Permit Is Requested:**
  - Gulf of Mexico  
  - Bottom Trawl

---
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NOTICES

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. CU-TR-0064

1. Name of Vessel: CARIBE XV
2. Flag (Call Sign): CARIBE XV
3. Type of Vessel: Side Trawler
4. Length: 23.0
5. Gross Tonnage: 138.0 ton
6. Net Tonnage: 79.11 ton
7. Speed (knots): 10
8. Owner's Name and Address: FLOTA CAMARONERA DEL MARIEL
   Calle Noquina s/n, Mariel
9. Types of Processing Equipment: NONE
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fishery Plans</th>
<th>Target Species</th>
<th>Gear To Be Used</th>
<th>Catching Processing Other Support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gulf of Mexico Bottom Trawl</td>
<td>Shrimp (Pink, White and Brown)</td>
<td>Bottom Trawl</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag: 
   ✔ No □ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. CU-TR-0065

1. Name of Vessel: CARIBE XVII
2. Flag (Call Sign): CARIBE XVII
3. Type of Vessel: Side Trawler
4. Length: 23.0
5. Gross Tonnage: 138.0 ton
6. Net Tonnage: 79.11 ton
7. Speed (knots): 10
8. Owner's Name and Address: FLOTA CAMARONERA DEL MARIEL
   Calle Noquina s/n, Mariel
9. Types of Processing Equipment: NONE
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fishery Plans</th>
<th>Target Species</th>
<th>Gear To Be Used</th>
<th>Catching Processing Other Support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gulf of Mexico Bottom Trawl</td>
<td>Shrimp (Pink, White and Brown)</td>
<td>Bottom Trawl</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag: 
   ✔ No □ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN) No. CU-TR-0066

1. Name of Vessel: CARIBE XXI
2. Flag (Call Sign): CARIBE XXI
3. Type of Vessel: Side Trawler
4. Length: 23.0
5. Gross Tonnage: 138.0 ton
6. Net Tonnage: 79.11 ton
7. Speed (knots): 10
8. Owner's Name and Address: FLOTA CAMARONERA DEL MARIEL
   Calle Noquina s/n, Mariel
9. Types of Processing Equipment: NONE
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fishery Plans</th>
<th>Target Species</th>
<th>Gear To Be Used</th>
<th>Catching Processing Other Support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gulf of Mexico Bottom Trawl</td>
<td>Shrimp (Pink, White and Brown)</td>
<td>Bottom Trawl</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag: 
   ✔ No □ Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)
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**NOTICES**

**FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>1. Name of Vessel</th>
<th>2. Flag (Call Sign)</th>
<th>3. Type of Vessel</th>
<th>4. Length</th>
<th>5. Gross Tonnage</th>
<th>6. Net Tonnage</th>
<th>7. Speed (knots)</th>
<th>8. Owner's Name and Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>CU-78-0069</strong></td>
<td>CARIBE XXXII</td>
<td></td>
<td>Side Trawler</td>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>138.0 ton</td>
<td>79.11 ton</td>
<td></td>
<td>FLOTA CAMARONERA DEL MARIEL Calle Mojica a/n, Mariel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CU-78-0071</strong></td>
<td>CARIBE XXXIV</td>
<td></td>
<td>Side Trawler</td>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>138.0 ton</td>
<td>79.11 ton</td>
<td></td>
<td>FLOTA CAMARONERA DEL MARIEL Calle Mojica a/n, Mariel</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>1. Name of Vessel</th>
<th>2. Flag (Call Sign)</th>
<th>3. Type of Vessel</th>
<th>4. Length</th>
<th>5. Gross Tonnage</th>
<th>6. Net Tonnage</th>
<th>7. Speed (knots)</th>
<th>8. Owner's Name and Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>CU-78-0069</strong></td>
<td>CARIBE XXXII</td>
<td></td>
<td>Side Trawler</td>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>138.0 ton</td>
<td>79.11 ton</td>
<td></td>
<td>FLOTA CAMARONERA DEL MARIEL Calle Mojica a/n, Mariel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CU-78-0071</strong></td>
<td>CARIBE XXXIV</td>
<td></td>
<td>Side Trawler</td>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>138.0 ton</td>
<td>79.11 ton</td>
<td></td>
<td>FLOTA CAMARONERA DEL MARIEL Calle Mojica a/n, Mariel</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>1. Name of Vessel</th>
<th>2. Flag (Call Sign)</th>
<th>3. Type of Vessel</th>
<th>4. Length</th>
<th>5. Gross Tonnage</th>
<th>6. Net Tonnage</th>
<th>7. Speed (knots)</th>
<th>8. Owner's Name and Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>CU-78-0069</strong></td>
<td>CARIBE XXXII</td>
<td></td>
<td>Side Trawler</td>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>138.0 ton</td>
<td>79.11 ton</td>
<td></td>
<td>FLOTA CAMARONERA DEL MARIEL Calle Mojica a/n, Mariel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CU-78-0071</strong></td>
<td>CARIBE XXXIV</td>
<td></td>
<td>Side Trawler</td>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>138.0 ton</td>
<td>79.11 ton</td>
<td></td>
<td>FLOTA CAMARONERA DEL MARIEL Calle Mojica a/n, Mariel</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plan(s)</th>
<th>Target Species</th>
<th>Gear To Be Used</th>
<th>Catching</th>
<th>Processing</th>
<th>Other Support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gulf of Mexico Bottom Trawl</td>
<td>Shrimp (Pink, White and Brown)</td>
<td>Bottom Trawl</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gulf of Mexico Bottom Trawl</td>
<td>Shrimp (Pink, White and Brown)</td>
<td>Bottom Trawl</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gulf of Mexico Bottom Trawl</td>
<td>Shrimp (Pink, White and Brown)</td>
<td>Bottom Trawl</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:

- **CU-78-0069** Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)
- **CU-78-0071** Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)
### FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>CU-78-0072</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Name of Vessel</td>
<td>CARIBE XXXVII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Tier (Call Sign)</td>
<td>CARIBE XXXVII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Type of Vessel</td>
<td>Side Trawler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Length</td>
<td>23.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Gross Tonnage</td>
<td>138.0 ton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Net Tonnage</td>
<td>78.11 ton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Speed (knots)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Owner's Name and Address</td>
<td>CALLE MOJICA S/N, MARIEL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Types of Processing Equipment</td>
<td>NONE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fishery Plans</td>
<td>Target Species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gulf of Mexico</td>
<td>Bottom Trawl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>CU-78-0073</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Name of Vessel</td>
<td>CARIBE XLIII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Tier (Call Sign)</td>
<td>CARIBE XLIII</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Type of Vessel</td>
<td>Side Trawler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Length</td>
<td>23.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Gross Tonnage</td>
<td>138.0 ton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Net Tonnage</td>
<td>78.11 ton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Speed (knots)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Owner's Name and Address</td>
<td>CALLE MOJICA S/N, MARIEL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Types of Processing Equipment</td>
<td>NONE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fishery Plans</td>
<td>Target Species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gulf of Mexico</td>
<td>Bottom Trawl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>CU-78-0074</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Name of Vessel</td>
<td>GILBERTO PICO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Tier (Call Sign)</td>
<td>COVF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Type of Vessel</td>
<td>Auxiliary Vessel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Length</td>
<td>49.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Gross Tonnage</td>
<td>467.35 ton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Net Tonnage</td>
<td>293.68 ton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Speed (knots)</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Owner's Name and Address</td>
<td>CALLE MOJICA S/N, MARIEL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Types of Processing Equipment</td>
<td>NONE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fishery Plans</td>
<td>Target Species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gulf of Mexico</td>
<td>Bottom Trawl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>CU-78-0075</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Name of Vessel</td>
<td>Moroboro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Tier (Call Sign)</td>
<td>COMA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Type of Vessel</td>
<td>Side Trawler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Length</td>
<td>23.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Gross Tonnage</td>
<td>138.0 ton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Net Tonnage</td>
<td>78.11 ton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Speed (knots)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Owner's Name and Address</td>
<td>CALLE MOJICA S/N, MARIEL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Types of Processing Equipment</td>
<td>NONE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fishery Plans</td>
<td>Target Species</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gulf of Mexico</td>
<td>Bottom Trawl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>CU-78-0076</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Name of Vessel</td>
<td>E-78 AC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Flag (Call Sign)</td>
<td>CO-2478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Type of Vessel</td>
<td>Side Trawler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Length</td>
<td>23.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Gross Tonnage</td>
<td>107.27 ton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Net Tonnage</td>
<td>42.77 ton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Speed (Knots)</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Owner's Name and Address</td>
<td>FLOTA CAMARONERA DEL MARTEL, Calle Mojica b/n, Marial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Types of Processing Equipment</td>
<td>NONE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:</td>
<td>Shrimp (Pink, White and Brown) Bottom Trawl X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>CU-78-0079</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Name of Vessel</td>
<td>E-28 JA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Flag (Call Sign)</td>
<td>CO-2505</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Type of Vessel</td>
<td>Side Trawler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Length</td>
<td>23.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Gross Tonnage</td>
<td>107.27 ton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Net Tonnage</td>
<td>42.77 ton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Speed (Knots)</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Owner's Name and Address</td>
<td>FLOTA CAMARONERA DEL MARTEL, Calle Mojica b/n, Marial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Types of Processing Equipment</td>
<td>NONE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:</td>
<td>Shrimp (Pink, White and Brown) Bottom Trawl X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>CU-78-0078</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Name of Vessel</td>
<td>E-27 JA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Flag (Call Sign)</td>
<td>CO-2504</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Type of Vessel</td>
<td>Side Trawler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Length</td>
<td>23.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Gross Tonnage</td>
<td>107.27 ton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Net Tonnage</td>
<td>42.77 ton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Speed (Knots)</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Owner's Name and Address</td>
<td>FLOTA CAMARONERA DEL MARTEL, Calle Mojica b/n, Marial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Types of Processing Equipment</td>
<td>NONE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:</td>
<td>Shrimp (Pink, White and Brown) Bottom Trawl X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>CU-78-0080</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Name of Vessel</td>
<td>E-29 JA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Flag (Call Sign)</td>
<td>CO-2313</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Type of Vessel</td>
<td>Side Trawler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Length</td>
<td>23.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Gross Tonnage</td>
<td>107.27 ton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Net Tonnage</td>
<td>42.77 ton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Speed (Knots)</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Owner's Name and Address</td>
<td>FLOTA CAMARONERA DEL MARTEL, Calle Mojica b/n, Marial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Types of Processing Equipment</td>
<td>NONE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:</td>
<td>Shrimp (Pink, White and Brown) Bottom Trawl X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Visual Identifier</th>
<th>Name of Vessel</th>
<th>Call Sign</th>
<th>Type of Vessel</th>
<th>Length (m)</th>
<th>Gross Tonnage</th>
<th>Net Tonnage</th>
<th>Speed (knots)</th>
<th>Owner’s Name and Address</th>
<th>Types of Processing Equipment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CU-78-0082</td>
<td></td>
<td>E-15 JO</td>
<td></td>
<td>Side Trawler</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>107.27 ton</td>
<td>42.77 ton</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>FLOATA CAMARONERA DEL MARIEL</td>
<td>NONE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CU-78-0084</td>
<td></td>
<td>E-22 JO</td>
<td></td>
<td>Side Trawler</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>107.27 ton</td>
<td>42.77 ton</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>FLOATA CAMARONERA DEL MARIEL</td>
<td>NONE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CU-78-0083</td>
<td></td>
<td>E-17 JO</td>
<td></td>
<td>Side Trawler</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>107.27 ton</td>
<td>42.77 ton</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>FLOATA CAMARONERA DEL MARIEL</td>
<td>NONE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CU-78-0085</td>
<td></td>
<td>E-24 JO</td>
<td></td>
<td>Side Trawler</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>107.27 ton</td>
<td>42.77 ton</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>FLOATA CAMARONERA DEL MARIEL</td>
<td>NONE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Fisheries for Which Permit Is Requested:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fishery Plan</th>
<th>Target Species</th>
<th>Gear To Be Used</th>
<th>Catching</th>
<th>Processing</th>
<th>Other Support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gulf of Mexico</td>
<td>Shrimp (Pink, White and Brown)</td>
<td>Bottom Trawl</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gulf of Mexico</td>
<td>Shrimp (Pink, White and Brown)</td>
<td>Bottom Trawl</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:

- **No**

#### Fisheries for Which Permit Is Requested:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fishery Plan</th>
<th>Target Species</th>
<th>Gear To Be Used</th>
<th>Catching</th>
<th>Processing</th>
<th>Other Support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gulf of Mexico</td>
<td>Shrimp (Pink, White and Brown)</td>
<td>Bottom Trawl</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gulf of Mexico</td>
<td>Shrimp (Pink, White and Brown)</td>
<td>Bottom Trawl</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN)</td>
<td>FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>No.</strong> CV-78-0086</td>
<td><strong>No.</strong> CV-78-0087</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN)

1. Name of Vessel: E-25 JG
2. Visual Identification: CO-2503
3. Type of Vessel: Side Trawler
4. Length: 23.0
5. Gross Tonnage: 107.27 ton
6. Net Tonnage: 42.77 ton
7. Speed (knots): 9
8. Owner’s Name and Address: CALLO NOVICA S/N, MARIEL
9. Types of Processing Equipment: NONE
10. Fisheries for Which Permit Is Requested:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fishery Plan</th>
<th>Target Species</th>
<th>Gear To Be Used</th>
<th>Catching</th>
<th>Processing</th>
<th>Other Support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gulf of Mexico</td>
<td>Shrimp (Pink, White and Brown)</td>
<td>Bottom Trawl</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested In Support of Vessels of a Different Flag: No

### FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN)

1. Name of Vessel: E-26 JG
2. Visual Identification: CO-2221
3. Type of Vessel: Side Trawler
4. Length: 23.0
5. Gross Tonnage: 107.27 ton
6. Net Tonnage: 42.77 ton
7. Speed (knots): 9
8. Owner’s Name and Address: CALLO NOVICA S/N, MARIEL
9. Types of Processing Equipment: NONE
10. Fisheries for Which Permit Is Requested:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fishery Plan</th>
<th>Target Species</th>
<th>Gear To Be Used</th>
<th>Catching</th>
<th>Processing</th>
<th>Other Support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gulf of Mexico</td>
<td>Shrimp (Pink, White and Brown)</td>
<td>Bottom Trawl</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested In Support of Vessels of a Different Flag: No

### FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN)

1. Name of Vessel: E-32 BA
2. Visual Identification: CO-2515
3. Type of Vessel: Side Trawler
4. Length: 23.0
5. Gross Tonnage: 107.27 ton
6. Net Tonnage: 42.77 ton
7. Speed (knots): 9
8. Owner’s Name and Address: CALLO NOVICA S/N, MARIEL
9. Types of Processing Equipment: NONE
10. Fisheries for Which Permit Is Requested:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fishery Plan</th>
<th>Target Species</th>
<th>Gear To Be Used</th>
<th>Catching</th>
<th>Processing</th>
<th>Other Support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gulf of Mexico</td>
<td>Shrimp (Pink, White and Brown)</td>
<td>Bottom Trawl</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested In Support of Vessels of a Different Flag: No

### FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN)

1. Name of Vessel: E-34 SA
2. Visual Identification: CO-2221
3. Type of Vessel: Side Trawler
4. Length: 23.0
5. Gross Tonnage: 107.27 ton
6. Net Tonnage: 42.77 ton
7. Speed (knots): 9
8. Owner’s Name and Address: CALLO NOVICA S/N, MARIEL
9. Types of Processing Equipment: NONE
10. Fisheries for Which Permit Is Requested:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fishery Plan</th>
<th>Target Species</th>
<th>Gear To Be Used</th>
<th>Catching</th>
<th>Processing</th>
<th>Other Support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gulf of Mexico</td>
<td>Shrimp (Pink, White and Brown)</td>
<td>Bottom Trawl</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested In Support of Vessels of a Different Flag: No

---
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### Fishing Vessel Identification Form (Foreign)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>CU-78-0070</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Name of Vessel</td>
<td>E-35 SA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Type of Vessel</td>
<td>Side Trawler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Gross Tonnage</td>
<td>107.27 tons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Net Tonnage</td>
<td>42.77 tons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Speed (knots)</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Owner's Name and Address</td>
<td>FLOTA CAMARONERA DEL MARIEL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Types of Processing Equipment</td>
<td>NONE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fishery</th>
<th>Target Species</th>
<th>Gear to be Used</th>
<th>Catching</th>
<th>Processing</th>
<th>Other Support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gulf of Mexico</td>
<td>Shrimp (Pink, White and Brown)</td>
<td>Bottom Trawl</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:

- No

---

### Fishing Vessel Identification Form (Foreign)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>CU-78-0072</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Name of Vessel</td>
<td>E-38 SA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Type of Vessel</td>
<td>Side Trawler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Gross Tonnage</td>
<td>107.27 tons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Net Tonnage</td>
<td>42.77 tons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Speed (knots)</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Owner's Name and Address</td>
<td>FLOTA CAMARONERA DEL MARIEL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Types of Processing Equipment</td>
<td>NONE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fishery</th>
<th>Target Species</th>
<th>Gear to be Used</th>
<th>Catching</th>
<th>Processing</th>
<th>Other Support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gulf of Mexico</td>
<td>Shrimp (Pink, White and Brown)</td>
<td>Bottom Trawl</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:

- Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

---

### Fishing Vessel Identification Form (Foreign)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>CU-78-0073</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Name of Vessel</td>
<td>E-37 SA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Type of Vessel</td>
<td>Side Trawler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Gross Tonnage</td>
<td>107.27 tons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Net Tonnage</td>
<td>42.77 tons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Speed (knots)</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Owner's Name and Address</td>
<td>FLOTA CAMARONERA DEL MARIEL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Types of Processing Equipment</td>
<td>NONE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fishery</th>
<th>Target Species</th>
<th>Gear to be Used</th>
<th>Catching</th>
<th>Processing</th>
<th>Other Support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gulf of Mexico</td>
<td>Shrimp (Pink, White and Brown)</td>
<td>Bottom Trawl</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:

- No

---

### Fishing Vessel Identification Form (Foreign)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>CU-78-0071</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Name of Vessel</td>
<td>E-36 SA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Type of Vessel</td>
<td>Side Trawler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Gross Tonnage</td>
<td>107.27 tons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Net Tonnage</td>
<td>42.77 tons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Speed (knots)</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Owner's Name and Address</td>
<td>FLOTA CAMARONERA DEL MARIEL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Types of Processing Equipment</td>
<td>NONE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fishery</th>
<th>Target Species</th>
<th>Gear to be Used</th>
<th>Catching</th>
<th>Processing</th>
<th>Other Support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gulf of Mexico</td>
<td>Shrimp (Pink, White and Brown)</td>
<td>Bottom Trawl</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:

- Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)
FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN)

1. Name of Vessel: E-42SA
2. Vessel Name: Yacht II
3. Type of Vessel: Side Trawler
4. Length: 23.0
5. Gross Tonnage: 107.27 ton
6. Net Tonnage: 42.77 ton
7. Speed (knots): 9
8. Owner's Name and Address: FLORIDA CAMARONERA DEL MARIEL
9. Type of Processing Equipment: None
10. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fishing Site</th>
<th>Target Species</th>
<th>Gear to be Used</th>
<th>Catching, Processing, OTHER SUPPORT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gulf of Mexico</td>
<td>Shrimp (Pink, White and Brown)</td>
<td>Bottom Trawl</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11. Are Fishing Activities Requested in Support of Vessels of a Different Flag: Yes
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### FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>CU-78-008</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Name of Vessel</td>
<td>F-18 CNC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Flag (Call Sign)</td>
<td>COVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Type of Vessel</td>
<td>Side Trawler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Length</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Gross Tonnage</td>
<td>124.41 ton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Net Tonnage</td>
<td>55.63 ton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Speed (knots)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Owner’s Name and Address</td>
<td>FLOTA CAMARONERA DEL MARIEL Calle Motica s/n, Mariel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Types of Processing Equipment</td>
<td>Freezing tunnel</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>CU-78-009</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Name of Vessel</td>
<td>F-20 CNC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Flag (Call Sign)</td>
<td>COVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Type of Vessel</td>
<td>Side Trawler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Length</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Gross Tonnage</td>
<td>124.41 ton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Net Tonnage</td>
<td>55.63 ton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Speed (knots)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Owner’s Name and Address</td>
<td>FLOTA CAMARONERA DEL MARIEL Calle Motica s/n, Mariel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Types of Processing Equipment</td>
<td>Freezing tunnel</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>CU-78-010</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Name of Vessel</td>
<td>F-22 CNC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Flag (Call Sign)</td>
<td>COVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Type of Vessel</td>
<td>Side Trawler</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Length</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Gross Tonnage</td>
<td>124.41 ton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Net Tonnage</td>
<td>55.63 ton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Speed (knots)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Owner’s Name and Address</td>
<td>FLOTA CAMARONERA DEL MARIEL Calle Motica s/n, Mariel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Types of Processing Equipment</td>
<td>Freezing tunnel</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fishery Plans</th>
<th>Target Species</th>
<th>Gear To Be Used</th>
<th>Catching Processing Other Support</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gulf of Mexico Bottom Trawl</td>
<td>Shrimp (Pink, White and Brown)</td>
<td>Bottom Trawl</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Are Fishing Activities Requested In Support of Vessels of a Different Flag:
- **No**
- **Yes** (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)

---
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FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN)

1. Name of Vessel: P-9 PZ
2. Type of Vessel: Side Trawler
3. Gross Tonnage: 124.41 ton
4. Net Tonnage: 55.63 ton
5. Owner's Name and Address: Calle Nolica s/n, Marivel

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN)

1. Name of Vessel: F-13 JB
2. Type of Vessel: Side Trawler
3. Gross Tonnage: 107.27 ton
4. Net Tonnage: 42.77 ton
5. Owner's Name and Address: Calle Nolica s/n, Marivel

FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN)

1. Name of Vessel: X-83 HR
2. Type of Vessel: Side Trawler
3. Gross Tonnage: 107.27 ton
4. Net Tonnage: 42.77 ton
5. Owner's Name and Address: FLOTA CAMARONERA DEL MARIEL

1. Are fishing activities requested in support of vessels of a different flag?
   - Yes (If yes, attach supplemental sheet showing flag of other vessels, fishery, species, quantities, dates, locations and specific activities requested.)
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**NOTICES**

**FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>CU-78-0131</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1. Name of Vessel</strong></td>
<td>Cocal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2. Type of Vessel</strong></td>
<td>Auxiliary vessel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3. Length</strong></td>
<td>46.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>4. Gross Tonnage</strong></td>
<td>617.58 ton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5. Nat Tonnage</strong></td>
<td>161.66 ton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>6. Speed (knots)</strong></td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>7. Owner's Name and Address</strong></td>
<td>FLOTA CAMARONERA DEL MARIEL, Calle Notica 9/s, Mariel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>8. Types of Processing Equipment</strong></td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>9. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:</strong></td>
<td>Gulf of Mexico Bottom Trawl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**10. Are fishing activities requested in support of vessels of a different flag:**

No

---

**FISHING VESSEL IDENTIFICATION FORM (FOREIGN)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>CU-78-0132</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1. Name of Vessel</strong></td>
<td>PUERTO ESPERANZA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2. Type of Vessel</strong></td>
<td>Used as boat carrier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3. Length</strong></td>
<td>Maximum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>4. Gross Tonnage</strong></td>
<td>676.1 ton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5. Nat Tonnage</strong></td>
<td>252.61 ton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>6. Speed (knots)</strong></td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>7. Owner's Name and Address</strong></td>
<td>FLOTA DEL GOLFO, CALIXTO GARCIA NO. 111, REGLA, HABANA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>8. Types of Processing Equipment</strong></td>
<td>Not been used: 3 fish trundle machines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>9. Fisheries for Which Permit is Requested:</strong></td>
<td>1 washing machine</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**10. Are fishing activities requested in support of vessels of a different flag:**

No

---

*This vessel carries 18 longline boats*
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