Vol.42—No.37 2-24-77 PAGES 10833-10958





# THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 24, 1977



# highlights

	A.I			

C	ABLE TELEVISION SYSTEMS	
F	CC amends certificate of compliance requirements; fective 2–25–77	10846
	NOTOR VEHICLE SAFETY	
ta	OT/NHTSA proposes to delay the effective date of cer- sin bumper standard requirements; comments by -11-77	10862
S	HORT REGISTRATION FORM	
cl	EC proposes to develop revised form for certain re- assifications and business combination transactions; omments by 4–18–77	10855
11	NSURED LOANS	
U	SDA/FmHA amends emergency loan regulations; effec- ve 2–24–77	10835
	AMILY PLANNING TRAINING	
Н	EW/HSA announces availability of grants	10897
C	OTTON CLASSING, TESTING, AND STANDARDS	
U	SDA/AMS proposes licensing of warehousemen and nners for cotton sampling; comments by 3–25–77	10848
P	HYSICAL PROTECTION OF PLANTS AND	
	MATERIALS RC amends requirements for the physical protection of	
n	uclear power reactors; effective 3–28–77	10836
	DUCATIONAL BROADCASTING FACILITIES	
H	EW/OE announces closing date of 4–25–77 for receipt f applications	10902
P	ESTICIDE PROGRAMS	
E	PA announces public hearings on pesticide products ontaining nitrosamines	10886
	ROZEN GREEN AND WAX BEANS	
U	SDA/AMS proposes to revise U.S. grade standards; omments by 4–25–77	10849
	PRIVACY ACT	
3	A notice on proposed system of records; comments by -25-77	10923
A	MEETINGS-	
	Commerce/DIBA: Telecommunications Equipment Technical Advisory Committee, 3–11–77	10885
	CONTINUED	INSIDE

# AGENCY PUBLICATION ON ASSIGNED DAYS OF THE WEEK

The six-month trial period ended August 6. The program is being continued on a voluntary basis (see OFR notice, 41 FR 32914, August 6, 1976). The following agencies have agreed to remain in the program:

Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday
NRC	USDA/ASCS		NRC	USDA/ASCS
DOT/COAST GUARD	USDA/APHIS		DOT/COAST GUARD	USDA/APHIS
DOT/NHTSA	USDA/FNS		DOT/NHTSA	USDA/FNS
DOT/FAA	USDA/REA		DOT/FAA	USDA/REA
рот/онмо	CSC		DOT/OHMO	CSC
DOT/OPSO	LABOR	1	DOT/OPSO	LABOR
	HEW/FDA	THE RESERVE		HEW/FDA

Documents normally scheduled on a day that will be a Federal holiday will be published the next work day following the holiday.

Comments on this program are still invited. Comments should be submitted to the Day-of-the-Week Program Coordinator, Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration, Washington, D.C. 20408.

ATTENTION: For questions, corrections, or requests for information please see the list of telephone numbers appearing on opposite page.

# federal register



Published daily, Monday through Friday (no publication on Saturdays, Sundays, or on official Federal holidays), by the Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration, Washington, D.C. 20408, under the Federal Register Act (49 Stat. 500, as amended; 44 U.S.C., Ch. 15) and the regulations of the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register (1 CFR Ch. I). Distribution is made only by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

The Federal Register provides a uniform system for making available to the public regulations and legal notices issued by Federal agencies. These include Presidential proclamations and Executive orders and Federal agency documents having general applicability and legal effect, documents required to be published by Act of Congress and other Federal agency documents of public interest. Documents are on file for public inspection in the Office of the Federal Register the day before they are published, unless earlier filing is requested by the issuing agency.

The FEDERAL REGISTER will be furnished by mail to subscribers, free of postage, for \$5.00 per month or \$50 per year, payable in advance. The charge for individual copies is 75 cents for each issue, or 75 cents for each group of pages as actually bound. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the Federal Register.

# INFORMATION AND ASSISTANCE

Questions and requests for specific information may be directed to the following numbers. General inquiries may be made by dialing 202-523-5240.

FEDERAL REGISTER, Daily Issue:		PRESIDENTIAL PAPERS:	
Subscriptions and distribution "Dial - a - Regulation" (recorded	<b>202</b> –783–3238 <b>202</b> –523–5022	Executive Orders and Proclama- tions.	523-5233
summary of highlighted docu- ments appearing in next day's		Weekly Compilation of Presidential Documents.	523-5235
issue).		Public Papers of the Presidents	523-5235
Scheduling of documents for publication.	523-5220	Index	523-5235
Copies of documents appearing in	523-5240	PUBLIC LAWS:	
the Federal Register.		Public Law dates and numbers	523-5237
Corrections	523-5286	Slip Laws	523-5237
Public Inspection Desk	523-5215	U.S. Statutes at Large	523-5237
Finding Aids	523-5227	Index	523-5237
Public Briefings: "How To Use the Federal Register."	523-5282	U.S. Government Manual	523-5230
Code of Federal Regulations (CFR)	523-5266	Automation	523-5240
Finding Aids	523-5227	Special Projects	523-5240

niu	uriguia	Continued	
DOD/AF: Scientific Advisory Board, 3–14 thru 3–16–77 and 3–28 and 3–29–77 (2 documents). Secy: Defense Intelligence School Board of Visitors, 4–6 thru 4–8–77  Defense Intelligence Agency Scientific Advisory Committee, 4–4, 4–5, 6–1 and 6–2–77 (2 documents)  Defense Science Board Task Force on Intelligence, 3–18–77  DOT/NHTSA: National Highway Safety Advisory Com-	10886 10886	Drug Development Committee, 3–24–77  General Research Support Program Advisory Committee, 3–24 and 3–25–77.  Heart, Lung, and Blood Research Review Committee B, 3–11 and 3–12–77.  High Blood Pressure Working Group, 4–29–77  Maternal and Child Health Research Committee, 3–31 and 4–1–77.  National Arthritis Advisory Board, 3–25–77  Vision Research Program Planning Subcommittee	10899 10901 10902 10901 10901
NIH: Aging Review Committee, 3–28 and 3–29–77.  Arteriosclerosis and Hypertension Advisory Committee, 4–29 and 4–30–77.  Artificial Kidney—Chronic Uremia Advisory Committee, 3–15 and 3–16–77.  Board of Scientific Counselors, 4–15 and	10902 10899 10899	of the National Advisory Eye Council, 3–15–77. NFAH/NEH: Research Grants Panel, 3–10, 3–11, 3–14, 3–18, 3–21, 3–22, 3–25–77 (5 documents). NFA&H: Special Projects Advisory Panel, 3–10 thru 3–13–77 State: International Radio Consultative Committee, 3–15 and 3–16–77. Shipping Coordinating Committee, 3–16–77. USDA/FS: Cibola National Forest Grazing Advisory Board, 3–27–77.	10908 10909 10917 10917
4-16-77 Clinical Applications and Prevention Advisory Committee, 3-22 and 3-23-77 Clinical Trials Review Committee, 4-3 and 4-4-77 Commission for the Control of Huntington's Disease and its Consequences, 4-12 and 4-19-77 (2 documents) Committees Advisory to the National Cancer Institute, 3-17, 3-18, 3-20 thru 3-26, 3-29 thru 4-1-77	10900 10900 10900	RESCHEDULED MEETING—  NRC: Subcommittees on the Cherokee Nuclear Station, Units 1, 2, and 3 and the Perkins Nuclear Station, Units 1, 2, and 3, 3–18–77.  PART II:  PRIVACY ACT  Postal Service notice on systems of records; comments by 3–28–77.	

# contents

AGENCY FOR INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT	Notices Meetings:	Television broadcast stations: Prime-time access rule, effect of
Notices	Defense Intelligence Agency	TV market rank changes 10860
Authority delegations:	Scientific Advisory Committee (2 documents) 10886	Notices
Nicaragua, Mission Director;	Defense Intelligence School	FM and TV translator applica-
contract of guaranty 10917	Board of Visitors 10886	tions ready and available for processing 10890
AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE	Science Board Task Forces 10886	Hearings, etc.:
Rules	DOMESTIC AND INTERNATIONAL	Western States Telephone Co.,
Grapefruit; imported 10835	BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION	et al
Oranges, grapefruit, tangerines,	Notices	FEDERAL ENERGY ADMINISTRATION
and tangelos grown in Fia 10833	Meetings:	Notices
Oranges grown in Ariz, and Calif_ 10834 Sunshine Act; implementation 10833	Telecommunications Equipment	Recovery of increased non-prod-
Proposed Rules	Technical Advisory Commit-	uct costs; applications for ex-
A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	tee 10885	ceptions 10891
Beans, frozen; green and wax; grade standards10849	EDUCATION OFFICE	FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION
Cotton:	Notices	Proposed Rules
Sampling; licensing of ware-	Applications and proposals, closing	Directional sign standards; ex-
housemen and ginners 10848	dates:	tension of time 10856
Milk marketing orders: Chicago 10853	Educational broadcasting facili-	FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK BOARD
	ties program 10902	Notices
Notices	ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY	Applications, etc.:
Warehouse and warehousemen licensed and bonded under U.S.	Notices	Citizens Federal Savings and
Warehouse Act; revised list 10864	Pesticide products containing ni-	Loan Association 10894
Control of the Contro	trosamines; public hearing 10886	Skokie Federal Savings and
AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT	FARMERS HOME ADMINISTRATION	Loan Association 10893
See Agricultural Marketing Serv-		FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION
ice; Farmers Home Administra- tion; Forest Service.	Rules	Rules
tion, Porest Service.	Emergency production loans: Policies, procedures, and author-	Natural gas companies, etc.:
AIR FORCE DEPARTMENT	izations 10835	Rate schedule analysis; Form
Notices		108; stay of filing 10845
Meetings:	FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION	Notices
Scientific Advisory Board (2	Rules	Hearings, etc.:
documents) 10885	Airworthiness directives: Beech 10840	Algonquin Gas Transmission Co., et al
ANTITRUST DIVISION, JUSTICE	Cessna10841	Consolidated Gas Supply Corp
DEPARTMENT	Enstrom 10841	(2 documents) 10894, 10895
Notices	Mitsubishi 10842	Natural Gas Pipeline Co. of
Competitive impact statements	Swearingen 10842	America 10895 Senator Howard Metzenbaum
and proposed consent judg- ments; U.S. versus listed	Control zones and transition areas 10843	and Columbia Gas Transmis-
companies:	Jet routes and area high routes 10843	sion Corp 10895
Phillips Petroleum Co. et al 10905	Standard instrument approach	Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line
ARTS AND HUMANITIES, NATIONAL	procedures10844	Corp 10896
FOUNDATION	Transition areas (2 documents) 10843 VOR Federal airways; correction _ 10843	FEDERAL RAILROAD ADMINISTRATION
Notices		Proposed Rules
Meetings:	Proposed Rules Airworthiness directives:	Railroad Revitalization and Reg-
Research Grants Panel (5 docu-	Bell 10853	ulatory Reform Act of 1976:
ments) 10908	Transition areas 10854	Applications under section 505; extension of time 10862
Special Projects Advisory Panel 10909	VOR Federal airways 10854	
CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD	FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS	FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM
Notices	COMMISSION	Notices
Hearings, etc.:	Rules	Federal Open Market Committee: Domestic Policy Directive 10897
Air BVI et al 10884	Cable television:	Applications, etc.:
COMMERCE DEPARTMENT	Definition and creation of	Standard Prudential Corp 10896
See Domestic and International	classes of systems 10846	FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE
Business Administration.	Proposed Rules	Rules
CONSUMER PRODUCTS SAFETY	FM broadcast stations; table of	Fishing:
COMMISSION SAFETY	assignments: Ohio, et al	Upper Souris National Wildlife
	Wyoming 10858	Refuge, N. Dak 10847
Notices 10005	Telephone companies:	FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION
Meetings 10885	Tariffs, interface of Interna-	Notices
DEFENSE DEPARTMENT	tional Telex Service with Do- mestic Telex and TWX Serv-	Laetrile: hearing and inquiry;
See also Air Force Department.	ices 10856	correction 10897

# CONTENTS

FOREST SERVICE	INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION	Drug Development Committee _ 10899 Eye National Advisory Council _ 10902
Notices	Rules	General Research Support Pro-
Environmental statements; avail-	Railroad car service orders; vari- ous companies:	gram Advisory Committee 10899
ability, etc.: Chugach and Tongass National	Wolfeboro Rail Road Co 10847	Heart, Lung, and Blood Re- search Review Committee B_ 10901
Forests: use of herbicides,	Notices	High Blood Pressure Working
Alaska 10884	Environmental statements, avail-	Group 10902
Meetings: Cibola National Forest Grazing	ability, etc.:	Huntington's Disease and its Consequencies, Control Com-
Advisory Board 10884	Chicago and North Western Transportation Co., abandon-	mission (2 documents) 10900
Ochoco National Forest, timber	ment between Rosemere and	Maternal and Child Health Re-
management plan revision, Oreg 10884	Forest Junction, Wis 10924	search Committee 10901 National Arthritis Advisory
HAZARDOUS MATERIALS OPERATIONS	Abandonment of rail services, etc.:	Board10901
OFFICE OFFICE	Claremont and Concord Rail- way Co., Inc 10924	Scientific Counselors Board 10900
Notices	Hearing assignments 10925	NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY
Exemption from hazardous mate-	Petitions, applications, finance	BOARD
rials regulations; list of applica-	matters (including temporary authorities), railroad abandon-	Notices
tions (3 documents) 10918-10920	ments, alternate route devia-	Safety recommendations and acci-
HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE	tions, and intrastate applica-	dent reports; availability, re- sponses, etc
DEPARTMENT	tions 10925	
See also Education Office; Food and Drug Administration;	JUSTICE DEPARTMENT	NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
Health Services Administra-	See also Antitrust Division.	Rules
tion; National Institutes of	Rules	Nuclear power reactors physical protection requirements 10836
Health.	Information disclosure; Chair-	The state of the s
Notices	man, Parole Commission; au-	Notices
Contract awards: Abt Associates, Inc.; appropri-	thority delegation 10846	Abnormal occurrence reports; pol- icy statement on implementa-
ateness of Federal day care	Notices Pollution control; consent judg-	tion of Energy Reorganization
requirements 10902	ments; U.S. versus listed com-	Act of 1974 10950
Mathematica Policy Research, Inc.; appropriateness of Fed-	panies:	Meetings: Advisory Committee on Reactor
eral day care requirements 10902	Baton Rouge, East Baton Rouge	Safeguards (2 documents) 10913,
Meetings:	Parish, and State of Louisi- ana 10905	10914
Protection of Human Subjects of Biomedical and Behavioral		Commission meeting; agenda item
Research, National Commis-	LAND MANAGEMENT BUREAU	cancelled 10952 Regulatory guides, issuance and
sion 10902	Notices Applications etc.	availability 10909
HEALTH SERVICES ADMINISTRATION	Applications, etc.: New Mexico	Applications, etc.:
Notices	Withdrawal and reservation of	Carolina Power and Light Co. 10909 Commonwealth Edison Co. 10909
Family planning training; proj-	lands, proposed, etc.:	General Atomic Co10910
ects grants; availability 10897	California 10903	Husemeyer, Karen J 10911
INDIAN AFFAIRS BUREAU	NATIONAL HIGHWAY TRAFFIC	Iowa Electric and Light Co., et al. (2 documents) 10910, 10911
Rules	SAFETY ADMINISTRATION Proposed Rules	New England Power Co. et al 10911
Irrigation projects; operation and	Bumper standards 10862	Northeast Nuclear Energy Co.
maintenance charges: Fort Hall, Idaho 10845	Notices	(2 documents) 10911, 10912
INTERIOR DEPARTMENT	Freedom of information exemp-	Philadelphia Electric Co., et al. 10912 Tennessee Valley Authority 10912
See also Pish and Wildlife Serv-	tions; automobile fuel economy	Toledo Edison Co., et al 10913
ice; Indian Affairs Bureau;	standards; confidential infor-	Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power
Land Management Bureau.	mation treatment; inquiry; ex- tension of time 10917	Corp 10913 Western Nuclear Inc 10913
Notices	Meetings:	
Financial interest statements:	Highway Safety National Ad-	POSTAL SERVICE
Campbell, Charles A 10904 Heim, Paul R 10904	visory Committee 10918	Notices -
Hufman, Robert L 10904	NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH	Privacy Act; changes to systems of records 10953
Kepner, Jack W 10904	Notices	
Kerger, Robert E 10904 Lentz, Owen A 10904	Meetings:	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
McLagan, Robert R 10904	Aging Review Committee 10899 Arteriosclerosis and Hyperten-	Proposed Rules
Mochon, Harry H., Jr 10904	sion Advisory Committee 10899	Securities Act and Securities Ex-
Pence, William K 10904 Schultz, Leroy J 10905	Artificial Kidney-Chronic Ure-	change Act:
	mia Advisory Committee 10899 Cancer Institute, National; ad-	Short form registration for busi- ness combination transac-
INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE	visory committees 10898	tions 10855
Notices Freedom of information; written	Clinical Applications and Pre-	STATE DEPARTMENT
determinations subject to pend-	vention Advisory Committee_ 10900	
ing litigation 10923	Clinical Trials Review Commit-	See also Agency for International Development.
		Provide the second seco

# CONTENTS

### Notices

# TRADE NEGOTIATIONS, OFFICE OF SPECIAL REPRESENTATIVE

# Notices

Tariff :	sche	iules			
Speci	alty	steel	quotas;	realloca-	
tio	n				10916

# TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT

See Federal Aviation Administration; Federal Highway Administration; Federal Railroad Administration; Hazardous Materials Operations Office; National Highway Traffic Safety Administration.

# TREASURY DEPARTMENT

See Internal Revenue Service.

# VETERANS ADMINISTRATION

### Notices

Privacy Act, systems of records maintained \_\_\_\_\_\_10923

# list of cfr parts affected in this issue

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published in today's issue. A cumulative list of parts affected, covering the current month to date, follows beginning with the second issue of the month.

A Cumulative List of CFR Sections Affected is published separately at the end of each month. The guide lists the parts and sections affected by documents published since the revision date of each title.

7 CFR	
900	10833
905	
907	10834
944	10835
1832	10835
PROPOSED RULES:	
28	10848
52	10849
1030	10853
10 CFR	
50	10836
73	10836
14 CFR 39 (5 documents) 71 (5 documents)	10843
39 (5 documents) 71 (5 documents) 75	10843
39 (5 documents)	10843 10843 10844
39 (5 documents) 71 (5 documents) 95 97 Proposed Rules: 39 71 (2 documents)	10843 10843 10844
39 (5 documents)	10843 10843 10844 10853 10854
39 (5 documents)	10843 10843 10844 10853 10854
39 (5 documents)	10843 10843 10844 10853 10854

18 CFR	
Ch. I	10845
23 CFR	
PROPOSED RULES:	
665	10856
750	10856
752	10856
753	10856
25 CFR	
221	10845
28 CFR	
16	10846
47 CFR	
76	10846
PROPOSED RULES:	
61	10856
73 (3 documents)	10857-10860
	OF PRINCIPLE
49 CFR	
1033	10847
PROPOSED RULES:	
258	10862
571	
581	10862
50 CFR	
33	10847

# CUMULATIVE LIST OF PARTS AFFECTED DURING FEBRUARY

The following numerical guide is a list of parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published to date during February.

1 CFR		7 CFR—Continued	10 CFR—Continued
Ch. I	5967	7246819, 9158	Proposed Rules:
455	7921	725 9159 6823	31 715
PROPOSED RULES:		726	32 715
460	9388	905 8361, 9663, 10833	73 838
		906 6363	2118382, 939
3 CFR		9076363,	212 6857, 9675, 1031
EXECUTIVE ORDERS:		6364, 6579, 7121, 8629, 9159, 9663.	12 CFR
10407 (Revoked by 11968)	6787	10834	204999
10672 (Revoked by 11968)	6787	910 5971, 6824, 8630, 9998, 10679	226 7948, 9384, 1068
11863 (Revoked by 11968)	6787	91210299	261 9386, 1068
11968	6787	944 9664, 10835	3261031
11969	6791	946 7922	3301031
11970	7919	971 8361	3311031
11971	8100	98010299	310 679
11702	8008	987 9160	545 938
11793	10677	1040 10680	
		1421 6364, 10301-10309	PROPOSED RULES:
PROCLAMATIONS:	aren	1810 10310	225967
4484	6577	182110311	3118146, 968
4485	6789	1822 5971	
4486 9153,	0650	1823 6825	13 CFR
4487	9007	1832 10835	312636
4488	9987	190110310	
SALARY RECOMMENDATIONS:		PROPOSED RULES:	PROPOSED RULES:
Feb. 22, 1977	10297		115 939
		26	118 918
4 CFR		518644, 9391	121 8148, 918
PROPOSED RULES:		5210004-10009, 10849	122 918
3316591, 9389, 1	10318	246 8647	14 CFR
3329389,	10318	6507959	
3519389,	10318	723 7962	39 6580
4019389,	10318	724 7962	6581, 7124, 8362, 8363, 9013, 9014
4029389,	10318	726 7962	9669-9671, 10312, 10313, 10840
4039389,	10318	905 10693	10842
4049389, 1	10318	9078662	71 7121-7123, 8364, 9672, 10314, 1084
4059389, 1	10318	9805982	73712
4069389,	10318	987 10695	75 7125, 1084
4079389, 1	10318	10067962	95712
4089389, 1	10318	10409027	97 7124, 9014, 9673, 1084
4099389,	10318	1030 10853	139 836
413	6594	10719674	288999
5 CFR		10739674	371
		1097 9674	372a 713
	5967,	1102 9674	3737135, 836
5968, 6793, 7922, 9013, 9175,	9989,	1104 9674	378a 713
9990, 10316, 10317	Page	11069674	378a 713 372 679
550		1108 9674	
831	6793	1120 9674	385
PROPOSED RULES:		1126 9674	1814
900	8644	11329674	PROPOSED RULES:
	,ourz	1138 9674	396598
7 CFR		1409 7963	7159, 7160, 9680-9682, 1085
	20000	1430	71 7160
1		1701 5983, 6827, 10318	7161, 9029, 9682, 9683, 1085
6	9377	1890r 9391	75 716
26	9377	1901 9391	241839
58	5968	9 CFR	3048663, 940
180	9157		313918
270	6817	73 8630, 10311	371 815
271	6817	113 6794	378 815
272	8629	PROPOSED RULES:	378a815
273	8629	0000 10010	3996599, 918
701	5970	926827, 10013	
4019990-	9997	3179182	15 CFR
	9997	3189182 3199182	3 713
403	9997	9182	
403	ODON	10 000	PROPOSED RULES:
404	9997	10 CFR	10000
403 404 406	9997		9331031
403404406408	9997 9997 9997	40	
403 404 406 408 409	9997 9997 9997 9998	406579 5010836	16 CFR
403 404 406 408 409	9997 9997 9997 9998	40	16 CFR 13
403404406408	9997 9997 9997 9998	406579 5010836	The state of the s

# FEDERAL REGISTER

1	16 CFR—Continued		21 CFR—Continued	28 CFR	
17 CFR	PROPOSED RULES:		PROPOSED RULES:	0	8140
17 CFR	438 7965, 9	84	1 7834 683		10846
10	17 CER			42	9492
248.	ATT - TO TO				
PROPOSED RULES:  1		0000		Marie and Company of the Company of	0010
Part				10	6610
1	2100	40	200 683	29 CFR	
1	PROPOSED RULES:	- 16	201 683	29	0138
4	The state of the s	90	540 796	708 8108	7949
5			808 918	402	6806
10.   6558   12	7	CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE	22 CFR	16011	0315
12				1611	7949
147			93 636	1952 5972	9168
200 6837 10835 239 10835 239 10835 240 9030, 10698, 16855 240 9030, 10698, 16855 240 10835 240 10835 240 10835 240 10835 240 10835 240 10835 240 10835 240 10835 240 10835 240 10835 240 10835 240 10835 240 10835 240 10835 240 10835 240 10835 240 10835 240 10835 2520 10835 2520 10835 265 10836 275 10836 275 10836 285			PROPOSED RULES:	1960	7952
230			69 968		
239				PROPOSED PULES:	
240			23 CFR	2520	8849
270			140 713'	90	9190
18 CFR			490 713'	97	8806
Ch. I				1209	8155
1	18 CFR		PROPOSED FULES.	1612	7162
Total	Ch 7 100	45	665 10850	19041	0015
101			750 10856	191010017, 1	0018
101				19521	10015
104				19108680.	9190
141		0.000	922 6831	2203	8680
154			24 CED	30 CFR	
204 9165 600 6004 555 7002, 260 7002, 260 9017, 9166 710 9122 56 7002, 295 7948, 9167, 9381, 9673 729 9122 57 7002, 295 7948, 9167, 9381, 9673 729 9122 57 7002, 295 7002, 295 7162 1914 6574, 8102, 8103, 8822, 9510 55 702, 200 1141 1141 1141 1141 1141 1141 1141			24 CFR	10	anna.
204. 9155 600. 9017, 9166 7100. 9122 56. 7002, 295 720. 9017 9166 720. 9122 56. 7002, 295 720. 9122 56. 7002, 295 720. 9122 56. 7002, 295 720. 9122 56. 7002, 295 720. 9122 56. 7002, 295 720. 9122 56. 7002, 295 720. 9122 56. 7002, 295 720. 9122 56. 7002, 295 720. 9122 56. 7002, 295 720. 9122 56. 9122 56. 9122 56. 9125 9125 9125 9125 9125 9125 9125 9125			570 650	EC PAGE	8373
260. 9017, 9166 720. 9122 57. 7002, 2868. 6072 9122 57. 7002, 2868. 6072 9122 57. 7002, 2868. 6072 914. 6574, 8102, 8103, 8852, 9510 1914. 6574, 8104, 8856, 8895, 9110 2. 7162 1915. 8104, 8856, 8895, 9110 56. 56. 517 57. 7162 1917. 8272. 1141. 9398 153. 7162 1917. 8272. 211 1141. 9398 155. 157. 7162 9810 9810 9810 157. 7162 9810 1920. 8289-8303 1 157. 7162 9810 1920. 8289-8303 1 1920. 82			600609		
PROPOSED RULES:   1914   6574, 8102, 8103, 8852, 9510   55   1914   6574, 8102, 8103, 8852, 9510   55   1914   6574, 8102, 8103, 8852, 9510   55   1915   1915   8104, 8856, 8895, 9110   56   57   1916   8104, 8856, 8895, 9110   56   57   1917   8272   111   9398   8289, 8517-8529, 9511-9518, 9806   153   7162   9310   8289-8303   1   157   7162   9310   8289-8303   1   157   7162   917   8272   201   9185   260   9185   270   9032   8324, 8531, 8532, 9811-9814   223   223   2270   9032   8324, 8531, 8532, 9811-9814   223   223   2270   9032   8324, 8531, 8532, 9811-9814   223   223   2270   9032   8324, 8531, 8532, 9811-9814   223   223   2270   9032   8324, 8531, 8532, 9811-9814   223   223   2270   9032   8324, 8531, 8532, 9811-9814   223   223   2270   9032   8324, 8531, 8532, 9811-9814   223   223   2270   9032   8324, 8531, 8532, 9811-9814   223   223   2270   9032   8324, 8531, 8532, 9811-9814   223   223   2270   9032   8324, 8531, 8532, 9811-9814   223		22		67 7000	
Proposed Rules:	295 7948 9167, 9381, 96	73	720 912:	01	7007
1 10015 1915 8104, 8856, 8895, 9110 2 1918 8516, 8517 87 877 221 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 111 1					
1	PROPOSED RULES:				7968
102   1917   1927   1927   1927   1927   1928   1920   1	1100	15		5.6	7968
141	2 77	62		57	7968
15.5	32 71	62	1917	011	
154	141 93	98			0100
157	153 71	62			
201	154 91	85		1	9382
201 9185 280 9185 1917 8304 PROPOSED RULES: 270 9032 8324,8531,8532,9811-9814 223  19 CFR 25 CFR 32 CFR  153 6366 41 8140 8140 8140 8140 8140 8140 8159 8634, 9168 221 9065 906 906 906 906 906 906 906 906 906 906	157 7	62	PROPOSED RULES:	223	8637
270 9032 8324,8531,8532,9811-9814 223  19 CFR 25 CFR 32 CFR  153 6366 20 6568 1159 8634, 9168 221 10845 251.  PROPOSED RULES: 701 706 10 706 11 706 706 70 706 701 706 706 701 706 706 701 706 701 706 706 701 706 706 701 707 8368 724 822 725 726 724 827 726 726 827 726 827 726 726 827 72	TO SECURIT OF THE PROPERTY OF			The same of the sa	
19 CFR					
153	270 90	32	8324, 8531, 8532, 9811-9819	223	5982
153	10 CCD		25 CFR	32 CED	
153	19 CFR				
Tol	153 63	66			7955
PROPOSED RULES:  1	159 8634, 91	68			9169
PROPOSED RULES:   706			201		
1	PROPOSED KULES;		PROPOSED RULES:		
20 CFR 26 CFR PROPOSED RULES:  416	1 66	109	221 796'		9664
416					
656 8634 7 6806 724 822 1900 9034 70 8367 33 CFR 655 10015 71 8368 3 9 110 8368 110 8373 8374, 1 1 6803 197 8370 204 8370 204 8370 207 837	20 CFR		26 CFR	PROPOSED RULES?	
Residual Content of the Content of	110	14	1 6367, 9020	505	9685
PROPOSED RULES:  27 CFR  200	***		= con	724	8156
200 9034 70 8367 33 CFR 655 10015 71 8367 21 CFR 170 8368 110 8369 117 8373, 8374, 1	000	10-12		822	9036
655 10015 71 8367 3  21 CFR 170 8368 110 8369 117 8373, 8374, 1  1 6803 197 8370 204 204 207  2 6803, 10314 201 8370 207  4 6805 211 8371 276 5 10315 213 8371 8371 8371 8371 8371 8371 8371 83	PROPOSED RULES:		27 CFR	1900	8385
655 10015 71 8367 3  21 CFR 170 8368 110 8369 117 8373, 8374, 1  1 6803 197 8370 204 204 207  2 6803, 10314 201 8370 207  4 6805 211 8371 276 5 10315 213 8371 8371 8371 8371 8371 8371 8371 83	200	34	926	22 000	
21 CFR 170 8368 110 194 8369 117 8373, 8374, 1  1 6803 197 8370 204 201 8370 207 4 6805 211 8371 276 5 10315 213 8371 88 5971, 5972, 6805, 6992, 10430 240 8371 PROPOSED RULES:			**************************************		
194 8369 117 8373, 8374, 1  1 6803 197 8370 2 6803, 10314 201 8370 4 6805 211 8371 5 10315 213 8371 8 5971, 5972, 6805, 6992, 10430 240 8371 8 6837 240 8371 8 8371 8 8372 8 8372	000	onen i		J	6581
1 6803 197 8370 117 8373, 8374, 1 2 6803, 10314 201 8370 204 8370 207 807 207	21 CFR			110	9021
2 6803, 10314 201 8370 207 4 6805 211 8371 276 5 10315 213 8371 8 5971, 5972, 6805, 6992, 10430 240 8371 PROPOSED RULES:	e e	10.0			
6805 211 8371 276 8371 276 8371 8 5971, 5972, 6805, 6992, 10430 240 8371 8 8371 PROPOSED RULES:			201 837	MV Same and a second se	
5			011 837	AVI	
85971, 5972, 6805, 6992, 10430 2408371 PROPOSED RULES:			213 837	276	9175
8624 245	0 5071 5079 6905 6009 10	30	240 837	Paoposen Ruive	
	8 5971, 5972, 6805, 6892, 109	34			
8372 1101	121 80	30		1101	0319
7949 117			270 7949	117	8385
Ditanguare and the second seco	500	35	275 7949		9038
The same and the s	020	127	200 7949		9685
0298 295 7949 3071			295 794	3071	10782
801					0782

# FEDERAL REGISTER

33 CFR—Continued	41 CFR—Continued	47 CFR—Continued
PROPOSED RULES-Continued	4-7 1068	CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF
309 10782	4-10	0004
310 10782	4-14	O MANAGEMENT DESCRIPTION TO STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE
36 CFR	8-4636	
903 5973	9-56 1068	8 91 8330
	Ch. 14 1000	
PROPOSED RULES:	14-1 966	0001
16 8386	14-3 966 14-7 966	0001
178386 22110806	14-16	
223 10806	60-1 597	8 45 6054
231 7170	60-2	
37 CFR	60-30597	10056
500	101-32 966	BO 0054 0057 0000 0401 10057
	114-39	10800
38 CFR	114-60 681	
110316	PROPOSED RULES:	49 CFR
6 6807	101-19.6 903	g 99 8144
8 6807		1727139, 7140
PROPOSED RULES:	42 CFR	230 8144
310319	Ch. I 795	5 267 8639 268 9181
39 CFR	PROPOSED RULES:	5019667
952 7955	548 606	
9547955	43 CFR	567 7140
30018141, 8374	20658	6 571 7140, 9022
PROPOSED RULES:	3040814	VINCETTE STATE STATE STATE STATE STATE
		8008379
1116841	PUBLIC LAND ORDERS:	1023 6370
232	5613 917	1000
	PROPOSED RULES:	6372, 6584, 6585, 6817, 7156, 9668,
40 CFR	3800 903	9 1036 8379
Ch. I 5975	4700 815	7 104710002
3 6808	45 CFR	1056 9669
218082	231597	8 1102 8642
33	233658	7 1109 9022-9024
6811, 6812, 7138, 7957, 8375, 8376,	613 863	8 1201 6585
8638, 9176, 9665	1067 1068	9 1207 10691
60 6812	PROPOSED RULES:	1254 9026
616812	155607	8 PROPOSED RULES:
1296532 1806582, 9178	157 608	
2226582	159 608	8 258 10862
4086813-9665	1440 935	9 393 7171
411 10681	1606661 1802598	CD. V10341
415 10681	1904797	0 029 9040
435	46 CFR	57110862 57510320
4557956		580 9045
PROPOSED RULES:	147 658 151 837	581 10862
	502837	g 390 1112
Ch. I	PROPOSED RULES:	9036614
52 5986,	- The state of the	
6613, 6844, 6845, 7968, 7969, 8672,	31 717	20 0111
9189, 9685, 10699, 10700	551918	0 10 9180
6010019	47 CFR	1710465
1246846 1256846		10465
128 6476	0 832	0017 0204
4036476	2832	The same and the s
1517 8673	5 832	9 611 8813
41 CFR	15 7955, 8329, 902	1
	23 832	
4-1 10682 4-4 10682	73636	17 6616 7077 9407 9403 9687
4-5 10687	6816, 7955, 8330, 9021, 9178, 938	
4-6 10688	74 8330, 91	

# FEDERAL REGISTER

# FEDERAL REGISTER PAGES AND DATES-FEBRUARY

Pages		Pages	Date	Pages	Date
5967-6362	Feb. 1	7919-8137	8	9375-9655	16
6363-6575	2	8139-8359	9	9657-9986	17
6577-6786		8361-8628 8629-9012	10	9987-10296	18
6787-7120		9013-9152		10297-10676	22
7121-7917		9153-9373		10677-10832	24

# reminders

(The items in this list were editorially compiled as an aid to FEDERAL REGISTER users. Inclusion or exclusion from this list has no legal significance. Since this list is intended as a reminder, it does not include effective dates that occur within 14 days of publication.)

# Rules Going Into Effect Today

DOT/FAA—Airworthiness directives;
Beech
Airworthiness directives; Pratt & Whitney
JT8D Aircraft Engines 5037; 1–27–77
Airways, Jet Routes and area high
routes, Texas 55863; 12-23-76
IFR Altitudes; miscellaneous changes.
7125; 2-7-77
Jet routes; Quebec to Michigan 7125; 2–7–77
Jet routes; Los Angeles, California.
54921; 12-16-76
Control zone; Artesia, Miss 54167;
12-13-76
Control zone revocation; Pensacola, Fla.
2056; 1–10–77
Control zone; Meridian, Miss 2056;
1-10-77
Establishment of jet routes and area
high routes; alteration of RNAV
Routes 55864; 12-23-76
Federal airway extension; Douglas, Wyo.
to Scottsbluff, Nebraska 56789;
12-30-76
Federal airways; Texas 54921;
12-16-76

Standard instrument approach proce-
dures; recent changes and addi-
tions-Calif., Colo., Tex 55864;
12-23-76
Standard Instrument Approach Proce-
dures; recent changes and additions;
Galveston, Tex. et al 7124;
2-7-77
Temporary restricted areas; Fort Hood,
Texas 56790; 12–30–76
Transition area, alteration; Palmdale,
Calif 7121; 2-7-77
Transition area; Pittsburg, Kansas.
53780; 12-9-76
Transition area, Federal airways; area
low routes, controlled airspace, and
reporting points 55863; 12-23-76
Transition area; Hammond, La., 50806;
11-18-76
Transition area in Hawaii
1-17-77
Transition area; Asheboro, N.C., 52295;
11-29-76
Transition area; Naples, Fla 52858;
12-2-76
Transition area; Maryville, Ohio 50245;
11–15–76
Transition area; Mount Airy, N.C.
52295; 11–29–76

VOR Airways; Glasgow, Montana.. 53779; 12-9-76 Restricted areas; Blair Lakes, Alaska. 56790; 12-30-76 VOR Federal airway alteration; Janesville, Wis. and Lone Rock, Wis. 56789; 12-30-76 HEW/FDA—Administrative functions, practices and procedures..... 4680; 1-25-77 HUD-Housing Assistance Payments Program; additional assistance for projects with HUD-insured and HUD-held mort-..... 5602; 1-28-77 gages ... Labor/ETA-Employment service complaint system, monitoring, and enforcement...... 4724; 1-25-77

# List of Public Laws

This is a continuing numerical listing of public bills which have become law, together with the law number, the title, the date of approval, and the U.S. Statutes citation. The list is kept current in the FEDERAL REGISTER and copies of the laws may be obtained from the U.S. Government Printing Office.

# rules and regulations

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains regulatory documents having general applicability and legal effect most of which are keyed to and codified in the Code of Federal Regulations, which is published under 50 titles pursuant to 44 U.S.C. 1510.

The Code of Federal Regulations is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of new books are listed in the first FEDERAL REGISTER issue of each month.

# Title 7-Agriculture

CHAPTER X—AGRICULTURAL MARKET-ING SERVICE (MARKETING AGREE-MENTS AND ORDERS), DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

PART 900—GENERAL REGULATIONS WITH RESPECT TO MARKETING AGREE-MENTS AND ORDERS

# **Ex Parte Communications**

In accordance with Pub. L. 94-409, commonly known as the "Government in the Sunshine Act," certain amendments to the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 557) will become effective on March 12, 1977. In part, these amendments establish additional restrictions with respect to ex parte communications in certain proceedings of Government agencies.

To implement such amendments to the Administrative Procedure Act, notice is hereby given that the subpart of the Agricultural Marketing Service's General Regulations (7 CFR Part 900) titled "Rules of Practice and Procedure Governing Proceedings to Formulate Marketing Agreements and Marketing Orders" is hereby amended by revising § 900.16 to read as follows:

# § 900.16 Ex parte communications.

(a) At no stage of the proceeding following the issuance of a notice of hearing and prior to the issuance of the Secretary's decision therein shall an employee of the Department who is or may reasonably be expected to be involved in the decisional process of the proceeding discuss ex parte the merits of the proceeding with any person having an interest in the proceeding or with any representative of such person: Provided, That procedural matters and status reports shall not be included within this limitation; and Provided further, That an employee of the Department who is or may reasonably be expected to be involved in the decisional process of the proceeding may discuss the merits of the proceeding with such a person if all parties known to be interested in the proceeding have been given notice and an opportunity to participate. A memorandum of any such discussion shall be included in the record of the proceeding.

(b) No person interested in the proceeding shall make or knowingly cause to be made to an employee of the Department who is or may reasonably be expected to be involved in the decisional process of the proceeding an ex parte communication relevant to the merits of the proceeding except as provided in paragraph (a) of this section.

(c) If an employee of the Department who is or may reasonably be expected to

be involved in the decisional process of the proceeding receives or makes a communication prohibited by this section, the Department shall place on the public record of the proceeding:

(1) All such written communications;

(2) Memoranda stating the substance of all such oral communications; and

(3) All written responses, and memoranda stating the substance of all oral responses thereto.

(d) Upon receipt of a communication knowingly made or knowingly caused to be made by a party in violation of this section, the Department may, to the extent consistent with the interest of justice and the policy of the underlying statute, take whatever steps are deemed necessary to nullify the effect of such communication.

(e) For the purposes of this section, "ex parte communication" means an oral or written communication not on the public record with respect to which reasonable prior notice to all interested parties is not given, but which shall not include requests for status reports (including requests on procedural matters) on any proceeding.

Effective date: March 12, 1977.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on February 18, 1977.

RICHARD L. FELTNER, Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-5595 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

[Orange Reg. 75, Amdt. 8: Grapefruit Reg. 77, Amdt. 5; Tangerine Reg. 48, Amdt. 7; Tangelo Reg. 48, Amdt. 5]

PART 905—ORANGES, GRAPEFRUIT, TAN-GERINES, AND TANGELOS GROWN IN FLORIDA

# **Grade Requirements**

AGENCY: Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA.

ACTION: Final Rule.

SUMMARY: These amendments are issued pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 905, as amended (7 CFR 905). The amendments for Florida oranges, tangerines, and tangelos lower the minimum grade requirements applicable to domestic and export shipments of early and midseason, navel and Valencia varieties of oranges, Temple oranges: Murcott Honey oranges; tangerines, and tangelos. The amendment of the grapefruit regulation lowers the minimum diameter requirement for domestic shipments of Flopink seedless grapefruit. amendments recognize the quality and size composition of the remaining supply of fruit remaining for fresh shipment and are designed to permit movement of available supplies of fruit consistent with the interests of producers and consumers.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 18, 1977. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CON-

Charles R. Brader, Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural Marketing Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250, (202) 447-3545.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Findings. (1) Pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 905, as amended (7 CFR Part 905), regulating the handling of oranges, grapefruit, tangerines, and tangelos grown in Florida, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674), and upon the basis of the recommendations of the committees established under the aforesaid amended marketing agreement and order, and upon other available information, it is hereby found that the regulation of shipments of oranges, grapefruit, tangerines, and tangelos, as hereinafter provided, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

(2) These amendments reflect the Department's appraisal of the current and prospective demand for oranges, grape-fruit, tangerines, and tangelos by domestice and export market outlets. Less restrictive grade and size requirements for such fruit are consistent with the character of much of the fruit remaining for fresh shipment.

(3) It is hereby further found that it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, engage in public rulemakng procedure, and postpone the effective date of these amendments until 30 days after publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER (5 U.S.C. 553) because the time intervening between the date when information upon which these amendments are based became available and the time when these amendments must become effective in the act is insufficient; and these amendorder to effectuate the declared policy of ments relieve restrictions on the handling of oranges, grapefruit, tangerines, and tangelos grown in Florida.

Order. 1. The provisions of paragraphs (a) (1), (a) (3), (a) (5), (a) (7), (a) (9), (b) (1), (b) (3), (b) (5), (b) (7), and (b) (9) of § 905.564 (Orange Regulation 75; 41 FR 42177, 49474, 51029, 53007, 54917; 42 FR 5071, 8361, 42 FR 9663) are revised to read as follows:

# § 905.564 Orange Regulation 75.

(a) · · ·

(1) Any oranges, except navel oranges, Temple oranges, Murcott Honey oranges, and Valencia, Lue Gim Gong, and similar late maturing oranges of the Valencia type, grown in the production area, which do not meet at least the requirements of the U.S. No. 2 grade and the external requirements of the U.S. No. 1 grade;

(3) Any navel oranges, grown in the production area, which do not meet at least the requirements of the U.S. No. 2 and the external requirements of the U.S. No. 1 Golden grade:

(5) Any Temple oranges, grown in the production area, which do not grade at least U.S. No. 2 Russet;

.

.

(7) Any Murcott Honey oranges, grown in the production area, which do not grade at least Florida No. 1 Golden grade for Murcotts:

(9) Any Valencia, Lue Gim Gong, and similar late maturing oranges of the Valencia type, grown in the production area, which do not meet at least the requirements of the U.S. No. 2 grade and the external requirements of the U.S. No. 1 grade; and

.

(b) · · ·

- (1) Any oranges, except navel oranges, Temple oranges, Murcott Honey oranges, and Valencia, Lue Gim Gong, and similar late maturing oranges of the Valencia type, grown in the production area, which do not meet at least the requirements of the U.S. No. 2 grade and the external requirements of the U.S. No. 1 grade;
- . . (3) Any navel oranges, grown in the production area, which do not meet at least the requirements of the U.S. No. 2 and the external requirements of the U.S. No. 1 Golden grade;

(5) Any Temple oranges, grown in the production area, which do not grade at least U.S. No. 2 Russet;

(7) Any Murcott Honey oranges, grown in the production area, which do not grade at least Florida No. 1 Golden grade for Murcotts:

(9) Any Valencia, Lue Gim Gong, and similar late maturing oranges of the Valencia type, grown in the production area, which do not meet at least the requirements of the U.S. No. 2 grade and the external requirements of the U.S. No. 1 grade; and

. 2. The provisions of § 905.565 (Grapefruit Regulation 77; 41 FR 42177, 49474. 51029, 54917, 42 FR 9663) are amended by revising paragraph (a) (4) and adding a new paragraph (a) (5) as follows:

# § 905.565 Grapefruit Regulation 77.

(8) . . .

(4) Any seedless grapefruit, except pink seedless grapefruit, grown in the production area, which are of a size smaller than 3% inches in diameter, except that a tolerance for seedless grapefruit smaller than such minimum diameter shall be permitted as specified in § 51.761 of the United States Standards for Grades of Florida Grapefruit.

(5) Any pink seedless grapefruit, grown in the production area, which are of a size smaller than 3 % inches in diameter, except a tolerance for pink seedless grapefruit smaller than such minimum diameter shall be permitted as specified in § 51.761 of the United States Standards for Grades of Florida Grape-

3. In § 905.566 (Tangerine Regulation 48; 41 FR 42177, 49801, 51029, 51796, 53649, 54917; 42 FR 1022/9663) paragraphs (a) (1) and (b) (1) are revised to read as follows:

# § 905.566 Tangerine Regulation 48.

(a) \* \* \*

(1) Any tangerines, grown in the production area, which do not grade at least U.S. No. 2: or

. (b) \* \* \*

(1) Any tangerines, grown in the production area, which do not grade at least U.S. No. 2; or

4. In § 905.567 (Tangelo Regulation 48; 41 FR 42177, 49474, 51029, 54917) the provisions of paragraphs (a) (1) and (b) (1) are revised to read as follows:

# § 905.567 Tangelo Regulation 48.

(a) \* \* \*

(1) Any tangelos, grown in the production area, which do not grade at least U.S. No. 2: or

(b) \* \* \*

(1) Any tangelos, grown in the production area, which do not grade at least U.S. No. 2; or

(Secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; (7 U.S.C. 601-674.)

Dated: February 18, 1977, to become effective February 18, 1977.

> CHARLES R. BRADER, Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc.77-5596 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

[Navel Orange Reg. 402]

### PART 907-NAVEL ORANGES GROWN IN ARIZONA AND DESIGNATED PART OF CALIFORNIA

# Limitation of Handling

This regulation fixes the quantity of California-Arizona Navel oranges that may be shipped to fresh market during the weekly regulation period Feb. 25-Mar. 3, 1977. It is issued pursuant to the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended, and Marketing Order No. 907. The quantity of Navel oranges so fixed was arrived at after consideration of the total available supply of Navel oranges, the quantity currently available for market, the fresh market demand for Navel oranges, Navel orange prices, and the relationship of season average returns to the parity price for Navel oranges.

### § 907.702 Navel Orange Regulation 402.

(a) Findings (1) Pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 907, as amended (7 CFR Part 907), regulating the handling of Navel oranges grown in Arizona and designated part of California, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937. as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674), and upon the basis of the recommendations and information submitted by the Navel Orange Administrative Committee, established under the said amended marketing agreement and order, and upon other available information, it is hereby found that the limitation of handling of such Navel oranges, as hereinafter provided, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

(2) The need for this section to limit the respective quantities of Navel oranges that may be marketed from District 1, District 2, and District 3 during the ensuing week stems from the production and marketing situation confronting the Navel orange industry.

(i) The committee has submitted its recommendation with respect to the quantities of Navel oranges that should be marketed during the next succeeding week. Such recommendation, designed to provide equity of marketing opportunity to handlers in all districts, resulted from consideration of the factors enumerated in the order. The committee further reports that the fresh market demand for Navel oranges continues to show weakness. Prices f.o.b. averaged \$3.76 a carton on a reported sales volume of 1,137 carlots last week, compared with \$3.77 per carton on sales of 1,214 carlots a week earlier. Track and rolling supplies at 697 cars were down 76 cars from last week.

(ii) Having considered the recommendation and information submitted by the committee, and other available information, the Secretary finds that the respective quantities of Navel oranges which may be handled should be fixed as hereinafter set forth.

(3) It is hereby further found that it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice. engage in public rulemaking procedure. and postpone the effective date of this section until 30 days after publication hereof in the Feberal Register (5 U.S.C. 553) because the time intervening between the date when information upon which this section is based became available and the time this section must become effective in order to effectuate the

declared policy of the act is insufficient, and a reasonable time is permitted, under the cricumstances, for preparation for such effective time; and good cause exists for making the provisions hereof effective as hereinafter set forth. The committee held an open meeting during the current week, after giving due notice thereof, to consider supply and market conditions for Navel oranges and the need for regulation; interested persons were afforded an opportunity to submit information and views at this meeting; the recommendation and supporting information for regulation, including its effective time, are identical with the aforesaid recommendation of the committee, and information concerning such provisions and effective time has been disseminated among handlers of such Navel oranges; it is necessary, in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act, to make this section effective during the period herein specified; and compliance with this section will not require any special preparation on the part of persons subject hereto which cannot be completed on or before the effective date hereof. Such committee meeting was held on February 22, 1977.
(b) Order. (1) The respective quanti-

(b) Order. (1) The respective quantities of Navel oranges grown in Arizona and designated part of California which may be handled during the period February 25, 1977, through March 3, 1977, are

hereby fixed as follows:

(i) District 1: 1,053,000 cartons;
(ii) District 2: 247,000 cartons;
(iii) District 3: Unlimited movement.

(2) As used in this section, "handled," "District 1," "District 2," "District 3," and "carton" have the same meaning as when used in said amended marketing agreement and order.

(Secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601-674.)

Dated: February 23, 1977.

CHARLES R. BRADER,
Deputy Director, Fruit and
Vegetable Division, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc.77-5880 Filed 2-23-77; 11:47 am]

[Grapefruit Reg. 17, Amdt. 3]

# PART 944—FRUITS; IMPORT REGULATIONS

Minimum Size Requirements for Imports of Pink Seedless Grapefruit

AGENCY: Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA.

ACTION: Final Rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment lowers the minimum size requirement applicable to imported pink seedless grapefruit from 3% inches to 3% inches. These requirements are the same as those applicable to grapefruit produced in Florida and regulated pursuant to Marketing Order No. 905.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 18, 1977. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Charles R. Brader, Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural Marketing Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250; telephone (202) 447-3545.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This amendment is consistent with section 8e of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674). This section requires that whenever specified commodities, including grapefruit, are regulated under a federal marketing order, imports of that commodity must meet the same or comparable grade, size, quality or maturity requirements as those in effect for the domestically produced commodity. This amendment fixes the same minimum size requirement on imported pink seedless grapefruit as is effective under Marketing Order No. 905, as amended (7 CFR Part 905), regulating the handling of oranges, grapefruit, tangerines, and tangelos grown in Florida.

Order. In § 944.113 (Grapefruit Regulation 17; 41 FR 42181, 49109, 42 FR 9664) the provisions of paragraph (a) are revised to read as follows:

# § 944.133 Grapefruit Regulation 17.

(a) \* \* \*

 Seeded grapefruit shall grade at least U.S. No. 1;

(2) Seeded grapefruit, other than pink seeded grapefruit, shall be of a size not smaller than 31% inches in diameter, and pink seeded grapefruit shall be of a size not smaller than 3% inches in diameter, except that a tolerance for seeded grapefruit smaller than such minimum diameters shall be permitted, which tolerance shall be applied in accordance with the provisions for the application of tolerances specified in § 51.—761 of the United States Standards for Grades of Florida Grapefruit; and

(3) Seedless grapefruit shall grade at

least Improved No. 2; and

(4) Seedless grapefruit, other than pink seedless grapefruit, shall be of a size not smaller than 3 9/16 inches in diameter, and pink seedless grapefruit shall be of a size not smaller than 3%s inches in diameter, except that a tolerance for seedless grapefruit smaller than such minimum diameters shall be permitted, which tolerance shall be applied in accordance with the provisions for the application of tolerances in § 51.761 of the United States Standards for Grades of Florida Grapefruit. ("Improved No. 2" shall mean grapefruit grading at least U.S. No. 2 and also meeting the requirements of the U.S. No. 1 grade as to shape (form) and color).

It is hereby found that it is impracticable, unnecessary, and contrary to the

public interest to give preliminary notice, engage in public rulemaking procedure, and postpone the effective time of this amendment beyond that hereinafter specified (5 U.S.C. 553) in that (a) the requirements of this amended import regulation are imposed pursuant to Section 8e of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674), which makes such regulation mandatory; (b) this amendment fixes the same requirements for imports of pink seedless grapefruit as are applicable under amended Grapefruit Regulation 77 (§ 905.565) to the shipment of pink seedless grapefruit grown in Florida; and (c) this amendment lowers the minimum size requirement applicable to imported pink seedless grapefruit.

(Secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601-674.)

Dated: February 18, 1977, to become effective February 18, 1977.

CHARLES R. BRADER,
Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural
Marketing Service.

[FR Doc.77-5597; Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

CHAPTER XVIII—FARMERS HOME ADMIN-ISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF AGRICUL-TURE

SUBCHAPTER C-LOANS PRIMARILY FOR PRODUCTION PURPOSES

[FmHA Instruction 441.2]

PART 1832—EMERGENCY LOANS
Subpart A—Emergency Loan Policies,

Procedures, and Authorizations

PHYSICAL LOSS

Various sections of Subpart A of Part 1832 Chapter XVIII, Title 7, Code of Federal Regulations (40 FR 42321) are amended. These amendments add the word "crops" as a physical loss; include as an actual physical loss supplies on hand, harvested or stored crops, and livestock products when lost or destroyed by or as a result of a disaster; allow as an actual physical loss the total costs involved in replanting crops after they are destroyed by a disaster; provide provi-sions for deducting the amount of any crop, livestock or livestock product physical loss loan from any production loss loan for which an applicant later qualifles based on losses to the same enterprise(s).

These amendments are not published for proposed rulemaking because such notice would be contrary to public interest inasmuch as any delay in providing the assistance afforded by these amendments to eligible disaster victims would cause possible financial hardships to many such victims. In addition, such delays may cause an adverse effect on the local economy of areas affected by disasters. Interested persons, however, may submit written comments, sugges-

tions or objections to the Office of the Chief, Directives Management Branch, Farmers Home Administration, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Room 6316, South Building, Washington, DC 20250, on or before March 28, 1977. Material thus submitted will be evaluated and acted upon in the same manner as if this document were a proposal. These amendments, however, will remain effective until further revised or amended. All written submissions made pursuant to this notice will be made available for public inspection at the Office of the Chief, Directives Management Branch, during regular business hours (8:15 a.m. to 4:45 p.m.),

Accordingly, § 1832.3 paragraph (p) is completely revised, § 1832.16 paragraph (a) (2) (ii) is revised to correct a reference, paragraphs (b) (5) and (b) (7) are completely revised, and paragraph (c) is amended by adding "or FmHA loans" in the title and by adding a sentence to the end of the paragraph. These sections now read as follows:

# § 1832.3 Definitions.

(p) Physical Loss. This is a damage to or destruction of physical property including farmland (except sheet erosion); structures on the land such as buildings, fences, dams, etc.; machinery, equipment and tools; basic livestock; crops, and supplies.

# § 1832.16 Determining Losses and Maximum Amount of Loan For Actual

- (a) For production losses. \* \* \*
- (2) .

(ii) Add to the amount derived at in paragraph (a) (2) (i) of this section all insurance or other compensation which has been or is expected to be received for those losses. The sum of these amounts will be the disaster year's gross income.

.

(b) For physical losses. \* \* \*

.

(5) For supplies on hand, harvested or stored crops, and livestock products lost or destroyed by or as a result of the disaster, the market value at the time of the disaster will be considered the actual loss.

(7) The actual physical loss to crops or pasture will be the cost of cleaning debris, preparing the land for replanting, seed, fertilizer, and other expense necessary to reestablishing the crops or pasture whether or not such costs exceed the market value of the crops or pasture at the time of the disaster.

(c) Compensation or FmHA loans for losses. \* \* Also, the amount of any crop, livestock, or livestock product, physical loss loan must be deducted from any production loss loan based on losses to the same enterprise(s) for which an applicant later qualifies.

(7 U.S.C. 1989, delegation of authority by the Secretary of Agriculture, 7 CFR 2.23, delegation of authority by the Assistant Secretary for Rural Development, 7 CFR 2.70.)

Effective date: These amendments are effective on February 24, 1977.

Date: February 18, 1977.

F. W. NAYLOR, Jr., Acting Administrator. Farmers Home Administration,

[FR Doc.77-5631 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

# Title 10-Energy

### CHAPTER I-NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

PART 50-LICENSING OF PRODUCTION AND UTILIZATION FACILITIES

PART 73-PHYSICAL PROTECTION OF PLANTS AND MATERIALS

Requirements for the Physical Protection of Nuclear Power Reactors

On November 13, 1974, the Atomic Energy Commission published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (39 FR 40038) proposed amendments to its regulations in 10 CFR Part 73, "Physical Protection of Plants and Materials," which, in the interest of the common defense and security and the public health and safety. would identify measures to be taken for the protection of nuclear power reactors against industrial sabotage.

Interested parties were invited to submit comments and suggestions in connection with the proposed amendments within 60 days after publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER. Upon consideration of the comments received, and other factors involved, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission has adopted the proposed amendments, with certain modifications

as set forth below.

Significant differences from the proposed amendments published for comments are: (1) Addition of a general performance requirement; (2) clarification of the requirements for multiunit sites; (3) clarifying the number and response requirements of onsite security personnel; (4) rewording of the requirement to have a security supervisor on shift at all times; (5) specification of the level of illumination to be provided for monitoring and observation requirements; (6) replacement of the term "bullet penetration resistance" with a new term "bullet-resisting"; (7) changes to permit off loading of cargo inside of the protected area; (8) a change to require escort for all vehicles in the protected area, except designated licensee vehicles, instead of requiring cleared drivers or licensee employee drivers; (9) a change to permit certain Commission approved delivery and inspection activities to be carried out in protected or vital areas; (10) deletion of the requirement for additional barriers to obstruct ready access to vital areas; (11) changes to permit additional licensee vehicles necessary to the conduct of the official plant functions into the protected area; (12) an addition to require upon termination

of employment of any employee that certain keys, locks, combinations, and other related equipment be changed; (13) changes to require the implementation of the new rules on a graded basis; and (14) changes in the protected area access control provision to delete the requirement for progression of search functions and to define physical protection in terms of a bullet-resisting structure. Editorial changes also were made, as appropriate. This includes eliminating an obsolete provision in § 50.54. In addition, § 73.55 (b) (2), (b) (3), and (b) (4) (physical barriers) and § 73.55 (c) (3) and (c) (8) (access requirements) have been clarified and reorganized into § 73.55 (c)(2), (c)(3), (c)(4), (c)(5) and (d) (3). (d) (4), respectively.

The following discussion pertains to

items (1) through (14) above:

(1) Although performance objectives were considered in the development of the proposed rule, the rule itself did not specify the level of performance that the physical protection system and security organization are to achieve. Many of the comments indicated that inclusion of a general performance requirement would aid in the implementation of the rule and more explicitly indicate the level of protection required. A paragraph has been added to the amendment which addresses these general performance requirements for the physical protection system and the security organization. On the basis of intelligence and other relevant information available to the NRC there are no known groups in this country having the combination of motivation, skill, and resources to attack either a fuel facility or a nuclear power reactor. In addition, studies have indicated that the generic characteristics (i.e., the 'defense-in-depth" concept of reactor plant design) of commercial power reactors make the releasing of radioactivity by acts of sabotage difficult. Furthermore, the potential consequences of a reactor sabotage are judged to be less than the extreme consequences which could be associated with the successful detonation of an illicit nuclear explosive device. Having considered these factors, the Commission has concluded that the level of protection specified in § 73.55 is adequate and prudent at this time. The kind and degree of threat and the vulnerabilities to such threats will continue to be reviewed by the Commission. Should such reviews show changes that would dictate different levels of protection, the Commission would consider changes to meet the changed conditions.

Compliance with the detailed requirements should essentially satisfy the general performance requirements stated in the rule in § 73.55(a). However, there may be instances for some plants where additional requirements will have to be imposed so that the general performance requirements can be met. In these cases, such requirements will be specified by the Commission's staff. In any event all licensees subject to the rule must comply with the general performance requirements. Nothing herein should be construed as precluding licensees from providing the Commission's staff with suggested other equivalent detailed measures that the licensee determines to be necessary to meet the general performance requirements.

It also should be noted that to reduce the vulnerability of operating facilities from the threat of an insider, the Commission is considering a program to require personnel security clearances for individuals employed in sensitive work activities who have access to or control over special nuclear material. However, applicants and licensees should continue to use the employee screening guidance from the American National Standard. ANSI N18.17, "Industrial Security for Nuclear Power Plants." Should the continuing review of such internal threats by the Commission show changes that would dictate different levels of protection, future changes to meet these new conditions would be forthcoming.

(2) In adopting these amendments the Commission considered the special case of the physical security interfaces of an operating reactor on a site at which another reactor is under construction. Specifically, consideration was given to the need for special access procedures, barriers, or guards at the security boundaries common to the two units. It was determined that these amendments require a level of protection along a protected area boundary, i.e., monitored physical barriers, isola-tion zones, and surveillance, which is independent of the activity outside or inside the protected area. While the specific protective measures will vary according to what is adjacent to a protected area boundary, e.g., a river, a parking lot, or a reactor under construction, the level of protection and its functional requirements will not vary. However, to clarify the requirements on these and other special cases of physical security interfaces of operating power reactors, a specific mention is made of the case of adjacent reactor facilities.

(3) Guard force duties have been the subject of review by the Commission in connection with fuel cycle facilities. A specification of those duties, appropriate to licensed power reactors, has been in-

cluded in § 73.55(h) (3).

In addition, minimum and nominal numbers of armed response personnel have been specified in § 73.55 (h) (2). The number of such armed response personnel required at a given facility could be more or less than the nominal number

(a) Selection, training and motivation of response force.

(b) Availability and construction of defensive positions.

(c) Availability and knowledge of weapons and other equipment.

(d) Individual site considerations, including size, topography, configuration, geography, weather, and number of nuclear power plant units.

(e) Location and reliability of initial

detection devices.

(f) Consideration of Local Law Enforcement Agencies response.

(g) Vital area hardening, including plant design, location of and access control to vital areas.

(h) Design and construction of protected area barriers.

(i) Redundancy of security systems.

(j) Initial clearance and continuing reliability assessment of personnel.

(k) Security and contingency procedures.

It also should be noted that, to increase the effectiveness of security organizations, the Commission is considering a regulation concerning guards and other security personnel qualifications and training. The regulation could take the for of an amendment to 10 CFR Part 73 and include performance criteria for use by licensees in developing and applying detailed personnel qualifications, basic training, and tactical training plans to be used in conjunction with security plans.

(4) The proposed rule would have required that a supervisor of the security organization be onsite at all times. Comments indicated that the responsibility of the security supervisor had been confused with that of the shift supervisor. To clarify its intent, the rule set forth below was reworded to require that one full time member of the security organization who is authorized to direct the activities of all other members of the security organization be onsite at all times.

(5) The proposed rule did not specify a level of illumination. Comments indicated that a level of illumination should be specified. The rule set forth below specifies a level of illumination which is sufficient for the monitoring and observa-

tion requirements.

(6) The proposed rule used the term "bullet penetration resistance." Comments indicated a need for a clear meaning. Since the meaning of "bullet penetration resistance" was covered in the term "bullet-resisting" defined and used by the Underwriters' Laboratories (UL) Standard UL-752, the rule set forth below was changed to use the term "bullet-resisting" and a new definition has been added in § 73.2 to correspond to the definition of "bullet-resisting" used by the Standard UL-752.

(7) The proposed rule would have required that cargo be off loaded outside the protected area. On the basis of public comments, it was determined that off loading outside the protected area may not be cost effective. The rule set forth below provides for off loading inside the protected area under appropriate security conditions and, to the extent practicable, at a specifically designated materials receiving area that is not adjacent to a vital area.

(8) The proposed rule would have required that either the driver of a vehicle permitted access into the protected area possess an AEC personnel security clearance, or the vehicle be driven by an employee of the licensee while in the protected area. Based upon the comments received and the attendant increase in traffic that would result from item (7) above, regarding off loading, the rule has been revised to require that all vehicles, except designated licensee vehicles, requiring entry into the protected area shall be escorted by a member of the security organization while within the protected area.

(9) The proposed rule would have required that all packages be searched prior to entry into the protected area. The rule set forth below has been changed to permit certain Commission approved delivery and inspection activities to be conducted within protected or vital areas for reasons of safety, security or operational

necessity.

(10) The proposed rule would have required appropriate barriers to obstruct ready access to vital areas by ground vehicles. The Commission has decided on the basis of studies in progress that this proposed provision as it applies to vehicles should not be included in the regulations at this time. This proposed amendment has been deleted from the rule set forth below, although physical barriers are required for protection against attempts at unauthorized access of the character described in the general performance requirements.

(11) The proposed rule would have limited the admission of vehicles designed primarily for carrying passengers within the protected area to only those designated as emergency or security vehicles except under emergency conditions. Based on comments received the Commission has concluded that additional transportation, other than for emergency and security purposes, is required to perform necessary plant functions. Therefore, the rule set forth below has been modified to permit designated licensee vehicles necessary to perform official plant functions within the protected area but with certain necessary controls.

(12) The proposed amendments specified that locks, keys, combinations, and other related equipment used to control access to protected and vital areas be controlled to reduce the probability of compromise and be changed whenever there is evidence that they may have been compromised. An additional requirement to change upon termination of employment of any employee, keys, locks, combinations, and related equipment to which that employee has access, has been included.

depending on factors such as the following to be considered during evaluation of a licensee's physical security plan, not necessarily in order of importance:

The Commission has published for comment, proposed amendments to 10 CFR 73.50 (g) that correspond to the response requirements contained in \$73.55(h)(3). While the proposed change to 10 CFR 73.50(g) is a separate rulemaking from this proceeding, persons with an interest in the response requirements in \$73.55(h)(3) may comment on the proposed amendment to \$73.50(g) before April 11, 1977. Any changes resulting from comments on \$73.50(g) will also be considered with respect to the present rule, \$73.55(h)(3).

(13) The proposed amendments specified that the new rules be implemented by licensees by 180 days from the date of Commission approval of the physical security plan. Comments received showed a need for additional time for implementation of certain features. It appears that additional time could be provided for compliance with some features of the rule without prejudice to the public health and safety and common defense and security. Other features can be more promptly implemented. The Commission has therefore concluded that a graded program for implementation is desirable. The rule has been changed to permit additional time for construction and installation requirements and to require the procedural aspects in the organization, access, communications, and response provisions to be implemented by May 25, 1977.

(14) The proposed amendments would have required that access control to the protected area proceed progressively from the detection of firearms and explosives to identification and admission. that the function for the detection of firearms and explosives be physically separate from the function of identification, and that individuals performing the identification and controlling admittance be housed in a structure capable of providing physical protection to the occupants to assure their ability to respond and summon assistance. On further consideration, these provisions appear to be unduly restrictive. The important factor in preventing a compromise of such an access control function is to protect the ability of the guards to respond and to summon assistance. Accordingly, the progression and physical separation provisions discussed above have been deleted from the amendments and a requirement added for isolation of the individual(s) responsible for the last access control function within a bullet-resisting structure to assure his ability to respond and communicate.

Pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, the Energy Reorganization Act of 1974, and Section 552 and 553 of title 5 of the United States Code, the following amendments to Title 10. Chapter I, Code of Federal Regulations. Part 73 are published as a document subject to codification.

1. Section 50.54 is changed by amending paragraph (p) and deleting paragraph (q) to read as follows:

### § 50.54 Conditions of licenses.

. . . (p) The licensee shall make no change which would decrease the effectiveness of a security plan prepared pursuant to § 50.34(c) or Part 73 of this chapter without the prior approval of the Commission. A licensee desiring to make such a change shall submit an application for an amendment to his license pursuant to § 50.90. The licensee shall maintain records of changes to the plan made without prior Commission approval for a period of two years from the date of the change, and shall furnish to the Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, with a copy to the appropriate NRC Regional Office specified in Appendix D of Part 20 of this chapter, a report containing a description of each change within two months after the change is made.

2. Section 73.2 is amended by adding a new paragraph (q) to read as follows:

.

.

### § 73.2 Definitions. .

.

(q) "Bullet-resisting" means protection against complete penetration, passage of fragments of projectiles, and spalling (fragmentation) of the protective material that could cause injury to a person standing directly behind the bullet-resisting barrier.

3. Section 73.4 is revised to read as fol-

# § 73.4 Communications.

Except where otherwise specified, all communications and reports concerning the regulations in this part should be addressed to the Director of Nuclear Materials Safety and Safeguards or the Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, as appropriate, Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, or may be delivered in person at the Commission offices at 1717 H Street, N.W., Washington, D.C.; or at 7920 Norfolk Avenue, Bethesda, Maryland.

4. The introductory language of § 73.50 is amended to read as follows:

### § 73.50 Requirements for physical protection of licensed activities.

In addition to any other requirements of this part, each licensee who is authorized to operate a fuel reprocessing plant pursuant to Part 50 of this chapter or who possesses or uses uranium-235 (contained in uranium enriched to 20 percent or more in the U-235 isotope), uranium-233, or plutonium alone or in any combination in a quantity of 5,000 grams or more computed by the formula, grams=(grams contained U-235)+2.5 (grams U-233+grams plutonium), including licensees who are authorized to operate a nuclear reactor pursuant to Part 50 of this chapter who possess or store such material shall comply with the following requirements. The requirements of this section do not apply to such reactor licensees who possess such material only when it is located in the core of a nuclear reactor and/or who possess or store such material only when it is contained in irradiated fuel elements removed from the reactor core.

5. A new § 73.55 is added to read as follows:

- § 73.55 Requirements for physical protection of licensed activities in nuclear power reactors against industrial sabotage.

Each licensee who is authorized on February 24, 1977, to operate a nuclear power reactor pursuant to Part 50 of this

chapter shall comply with the requirements of paragraphs (b), (d), (f), (g), and (h) of this section, except for any requirement involving construction and installation of equipment not already in place expressed in paragraphs (d)(1), (d) (7), (d) (8), (f) (3) and (h) (4)), by May 25, 1977. The licensee shall submit by May 25, 1977, an amended physical security plan describing how the licensee will comply with all of the requirements of this section including schedules of implementation. The licensee shall implement his plan and comply with all of the provisions of this section as soon as practicable after NRR approval of his plan but no later than August 24, 1978. Each applicant for a license to operate a nuclear power reactor pursuant to Part 50 of this chapter whose application was submitted prior to February 24, 1977 shall submit by May 25, 1977, an amended physical security plan describing how the applicant plans to comply with the requirements of this section including schedules of implementation. If such applicant receives an operating license after February 24, 1977 he shall comply with the requirements of paragraphs (b), (d), (f), (g), and (h) of this section, except for construction and installation not already in place pursuant to paragraphs (d) (1), (d) (7), (d) (8), (f) (3) and (h) (4), by May 25, 1977, or on the date of receipt of the operating license, whichever is later, and implement his plan and comply with all of the requirements of this section by August 24. 1978 or on the date of receipt of the operating license whichever is later. Each applicant for a license to operate a nuclear power reactor pursuant to Part 50 of this chapter whose application is submitted after February 24, 1977, shall include in the physical security plan required by \$50.34(c) the information identified in paragraphs (a) through (h) of this section and if such applicant receives an operating license, shall comply with the provisions of this section on receipt of the operating license.

(a) General performance ments. The licensee shall establish and maintain an onsite physical protection system and security organization which will provide protection with high assurance against successful industrial sabotage by both of the following:

(1) A determined violent external assault, attack by stealth, or deceptive actions, of several persons with the following attributes, assistance and equipment: (i) Well-trained (including military training and skills) and dedicated individuals, (ii) inside assistance which may include a knowledgeable individual who attempts to participate in both a passive role (e.g., provide information) and an active role (e.g., facilitate entrance and exit, disable alarms and communications, participate in violent attack), (iii) suitable weapons, up to and including hand-held automatic weapons, equipped with silencers and having effective long range accuracy, (iv) hand-carried equipment, including incapacitating agents and explosives for

use as tools of entry or otherwise destroying the reactor integrity, and (2) An internal threat of an insider,

including an employee (in any position). In meeting these general perforcance requirements, the onsite physical protection system and security organization shall include, but not necessarily be limited to, the capabilities to meet the specific requirements contained in paragraphs (b) through (h) of this section. The Commission may authorize an applicant or licensee to provide measures for protection against industrial sabotage other than those required by this section if the applicant or licensee demonstrates that the overall level of system performance provides protection against industrial sabotage equivalent to that which would be provided by paragraphs (b)-(h) of this section and meets the general performance requirements of paragraph (a) of this section, Specifically, in the special cases of licensed operating reactors with adjacent reactor power plants under construction, the licensee shall provide and maintain a level of physical protection of the operating reactor against industrial sabotage equivalent to the requirements of this

(b) Physical security organization.
(1) The licensee shall establish a security organization, including guards, to protect his facility against industrial

(2) At least one full time member of the security organization who has the authority to direct the physical security activities of the security organization shall be onsite at all times.

(3) The licensee shall establish, maintain and follow written security procedures which document the structure of the security organization and which detail the duties of guards, watchmen, and other individuals responsible for security.

(4) The licensee shall not permit an individual to act as a guard, watchman or armed response individual unless such individual has been properly trained and qualified and has demonstrated: (i) An understanding of the licensee's security procedures, and (ii) the ability to execute all duties required of him by such procedures. Each guard, watchman, and armed response individual shall be requalified at least annually. Such requalification shall be documented.

(c) Physical barriers. (1) The licensee shall locate vital equipment only within a vital area, which in turn, shall be located within a protected area such that access to vital equipment requires passage through at least two physical barriers of sufficient strength to meet the performance requirements of paragraph (a) of this section. More than one vital area may be located within a single protected area.

(2) The physical barriers at the perimeter of the protected area shall be separated from any other barrier designated as a physical barrier for a vital area within the protected area.

(3) Isolation zones shall be maintained in outdoor areas adjacent to the physical barrier at the perimeter of the protected area and shall be of sufficient size to permit observation of the activities of people on either side of that barrier in the event of its penetration. If parking facilities are provided for employees or visitors, they shall be located outside the isolation zone and exterior to the protected area barrier.

(4) Detection of penetration or attempted penetration of the protected area or the isolation zone adjacent to the protected area barrier shall assure that adequate response by the security organization can be initiated. All exterior areas within the protected area shall be periodically checked to detect the presence of unauthorized persons, vehicles, or materials.

(5) Isolation zones and all exterior areas within the protected area shall be provided with illumination sufficient for the monitoring and observation requirements of paragraphs (c) (3), (c) (4), and (h) (4) of this section, but not less than 0.2 footcandle measured horizontally at ground level.

(6) The walls, doors, ceiling, floor, and any windows in the walls and in the doors of the reactor control room shall

be bullet-resisting.

(d) Access requirements. (1) The licensee shall control all points of personnel and vehicle access into a protected area. Identification and search of all individuals shall be made and authorization shall be checked at such points. The search function for detection of firearms, explosives, and incendiary devices shall be conducted either by a physical search or by use of equipment capable of detecting such devices. The individual responsible for the last access control function (controlling admission to the protected area) shall be isolated within a bullet-resisting structure as described in paragraph (c) (6) of this section to assure their ability to respond or to summon assistance.

(2) At the point of personnel and vehicle access into a protected area, all hand-carried packages shall be searched for devices such as firearms, explosives, and incendiary devices, or other items which could be used for industrial

sabotage.

(3) All packages and material for delivery into the protected area shall be checked for proper identification and authorization and searched for devices such as firearms, explosives and incendiary devices or other items which could be used for industrial sabotage, prior to admittance into the protected area, except those Commission approved delivery and inspection activities specifically designated by the licensee to be carried out within vital or protected areas for reasons of safety, security or operational necessity.

(4) All vehicles, except under emergency conditions, shall be searched for items which could be used for sabotage purposes prior to entry into the protected area. Vehicle areas to be searched shall include the cab, engine compartment, undercarriage, and cargo area. All vehicles, except designated licensee vehicles, requiring entry into the pro-

tected area shall be escorted by a member of the security organization while within the protected area and, to the extent practicable, shall be off loaded in the protected area at a specific designated materials receiving area that is not adjacent to a vital area. Designated licensee vehicles shall be limited in their use to onsite plant functions and shall remain in the protected area except for operational, maintenance, repair security and emergency purposes. The licensee shall exercise positive control over all such designated vehicles to assure that they are used only by authorized persons and for authorized purposes.

(5) A numbered picture badge identification system shall be used for all individuals who are authorized access to protected areas without escort. An individual not employed by the licensee but who requires frequent and extended access to protected and vital areas may be authorized access to such areas without escort provided that he receives a picture badge upon entrance into the protected area which must be returned upon exit from the protected area and which indicates (i) Non-employee-no escort required; (ii) areas to which access is authorized and (iii) the period for which access has been authorized. Badges shall be displayed by all individuals while inside the protected area.

(6) Individuals not authorized by the licensee to enter protected areas without escort shell be escorted by a watchman, or other individual designated by the licensee, while in a protected area and shall be badged to indicate that an escort is required. In addition, each such individual shall be required to register his name, date, time, purpose of visit and employment affiliation, citizenship, and name of the individual to be visited.

(7) The licensee shall positively control all points of personnel and vehicle access into vital areas. Access to vital areas shall be limited to individuals who are authorized access to vital equipment and who require such access to perform their duties. Authorization for such individuals shall be provided by the issuance of specially coded numbered badges indicating vital areas to which access is authorized, Access to vital areas for the purpose of general familiarization and other non-work-related activities shall not be authorized except for good cause shown to the licensee. Unoccupied vital areas shall be locked and protected by an active intrusion alarm system.

(8) Access to the reactor containment shall be through doors or hatches which shall be alarmed and have locks of substantial construction to offer penetration resistance and impede both surreptitious and forced entry. Any time frequent access is permitted to containment such as during refueling or major maintenance, positive access control to assure that only authorized personnel and materials are permitted into the containment shall be exercised by the licensee, with a guard or watchman.

(9) All keys, locks, combinations, and related equipment used to control access to protected and vital areas shall be controlled to reduce the probability of compromise. Whenever there is evidence that any key, lock, combination, or related equipment may have been compromised it shall be changed. Upon termination of employment of any employee, keys, locks, combinations, and related equipment to which that employee had access, shall be changed.

(e) Detection aids. (1) All alarms required pursuant to this part shall annunciate in a continuously manned central alarm station located within the protected area and in at least one other continuously manned station, not necessarily onsite, such that a single act cannot remove the capability of calling for assistance or otherwise responding to an alarm. The onsite central alarm station shall be considered a vital area and its walls, doors, ceiling, floor, and any windows in the walls and in the doors shall be bullet-resisting. The onsite central alarm station shall be located within a building such that the interior of the central alarm station is not visible from the perimeter of the protected area. This station shall not contain any operational activities that would interfere with the execution of the alarm response func-

(2) All alarm devices including transmission lines to annunciators shall be tamper indicating and self-checking e.g., an automatic indication is provided when failure of the alarm system or a component occurs, or when the system is on standby power. The annunciation of an alarm at the alarm stations shall indicate the type of alarm (e.g., intrusion alarm, emergency exit alarm, etc.) and location.

(3) All emergency exits in each protected area and each vital area shall be alarmed.

(f) Communication requirements. (1) Each guard, watchman or armed response individual on duty shall be capable of maintaining continuous communication with an individual in each continuously manned alarm station required by paragraph (e) (1) of this section, who shall be capable of calling for assistance from other guards, watchmen, and armed response personnel and from local law enforcement authorities.

(2) The alarm stations required by paragraph (e) (1) of this section shall have conventional telephone service for communication with the law enforcement authorities as described in paragraph (f) (1) of this section.

(3) To provide the capability of continuous communication, radio or microwave transmitted two-way voice communication, either directly or through an intermediary, shall be established, in addition to conventional telephone service, between local law enforcement authorities and the facility and shall terminate in each continuously manned alarm station required by paragraph (e) (1) of this section.

(4) Non-portable communications equipment controlled by the licensee and required by this section shall remain operable from independent power sources in the event of the loss of normal power. (g) Testing and maintenance. Each licensee shall test and maintain intrusion alarms, emergency alarms, communications equipment, physical barriers, and other security related devices or equipment utilized pursuant to this section as follows:

(1) All alarms, communication equipment, physical barriers, and other security related devices or equipment shall be maintained in operable condition. The licensee shall develop and employ compensatory measures including equipment, additional security personnel and specific procedures to assure that the effectiveness of the security system is not reduced by failure or other contingencies affecting the operation of the security related equipment or structures.

(2) Each intrusion alarm shall be tested for performance at the beginning and end of any period that it is used for security. If the period of continuous use is longer than seven days, the intrusion alarm shall also be tested at least once

every seven (7) days.

(3) Communications equipment required for communications onsite shall be tested for performance not less frequently than once at the beginning of each security personnel work shift. Communications equipment required for communications offsite shall be tested for performance not less than once a day.

(h) Response requirement. (1) The licensee shall establish and document liaison with local law enforcement au-

thorities.

- (2) The total number of guards, and armed, trained personnel immediately available at the facility to fulfill these response requirements shall nominally be ten (10), unless specifically required otherwise on a case by case basis by the Commission; however, this number may not be reduced to less than five (5) guards.
- (3) Upon detection of abnormal presence of activity of persons or vehicles within an isolation zone, a protected area, or a vital area, or upon evidence of intrusion into a protected area or a vital area, the facility security organization shall:
- Determine whether or not a threat exists,
- (ii) Assess the extent of the threat, if any.
- (iii) Inform local law enforcement agencies of the threat and request assistance, if necessary.
- (iv) Require guards or other armed response personnel to interpose themselves between vital areas and any adversary attempting entry for purposes of industrial sabotage, and
- (v) Instruct guards or other armed response personnel to prevent or delay an act of industrial sabotage by applying a sufficient degree of force to counter that degree of force directed at them, including the use of deadly force when there is a reasonable belief it is necessary in self-defense or in the defense of others.
- (4) To facilitate initial response to detection of penetration of the protected area and assessment of the existence of

a threat, a capability of observing the isolation zones and the physical barrier at the perimeter of the protected area shall be provided, preferably by means of closed circuit television or by other suitable means which limit exposure of responding personnel to possible attack.

6. The prefatory language of § 73.70 and paragraph (c) of § 73.70 are revised

to read as follows:

### § 73.70 Records.

Each licensee subject to the provisions of §§ 73.30 through 73.36 and/or § 73.50 and/or § 73.55 and/or § 73.60 shall keep the following records:

(c) A register of visitors, vendors, and other individuals not employed by the licensee pursuant to § 73.50(c)(5) and § 73.55(d)(6).

Effective date: The foregoing amendments become effective March 28, 1977. (Sec. 1611, Pub. L. 83-703, 68 Stat. 948, Pub. L. 93-377, 88 Stat. 475; Sec. 201, Pub. L. 93-438, 88 Stat. 1243 (42 U.S.C. 2201, 5841).)

Dated at Washington, D.C., this 18th day of February 1977.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission,

Samuel J. Chilk, Secretary of the Commission. [FR Doc.77-5678 Filed 2-22-77;9;53 am]

### Title 14-Aeronautics and Space

CHAPTER I—FEDERAL AVIATION ADMIN-ISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF TRANS-PORTATION

[Docket No. 76-CE-34-AD; Amdt. 39-2842]

# PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES Beech Model Airplanes

AD 77-02-04, Amendment 39-2813, published in the Federal Register on January 24, 1977 (42 FR 4116), is an Airworthiness Directive (AD) applicable to Beech Models E55, E55A, A56TC, 58, 58A, 60, A60, 65-B80, 70, B90, C90, E90, 95-B55, 95-B55A, 100 and A100 airplanes which are equipped with non-explosion proof strobe lights. AD 77-02-04 requires that strobe light systems installed on the aforementioned airplanes that are not explosion proof be deactivated until replaced with explosion proof strobe lights.

The Applicability Statement of AD 77-02-04 excepted those airplanes which were equipped with certain manufacturer's strobe light assemblies which have been found to be explosion proof. Subsequent to the issuance of AD 77-02-04 one of the strobe light manufacturers, Symbolic Displays, Inc., has advised the agency of certain other of its strobe light assemblies which are explosion proof. Since these strobe light assemblies are not presently excepted from compliance with AD 77-02-04, but should be, the Applicability Statement of said AD is being so amended.

Since this amendment is relieving in nature, compliance with the notice and public procedure provision of the Administrative Procedure Act is not neces-

sary.

NOTE.—The FAA has determined that this document does not contain a major proposal requiring preparation of an Inflation Impact Statement under Executive Order 11821 and OMB Circular A-107.

In consideration of the foregoing and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, 14 CFR 11.89 (31 FR 13697), § 39.13 of Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations, the first paragraph of the Applicability Statement of Amendment 39–2813, AD 77–02–04, is amended so that it now reads as follows:

BEECH. Applies to the following Beech Models and Serial Numbers of airplanes if equipped with wing tip strobe lights, except those airplanes having Grimes Manufacturing Company (Grimes) P/Ns 30-1467-1, 30-1467-3, 30-1467-5, 30-0467-5, 30-0631-1 or 30-0692-1, Symbolic Displays P/Ns 701148-7-2, 30-0002, 30-0005, 30-00534, 30-005-1, 701303, 30-0218-1, 30-0218-2, 30-0020, or 30-0238, and Whelen Engineering Company P/Ns A429, A429PR, A429PG, A430, A450, A460, A460A, or A500 wing tip strobe lights installed.

This amendment becomes effective March 3, 1977.

(Secs. 313(a), 601 and 603 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421 and 1423), and of Section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655 (c)),)

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on February 15, 1977.

C. R. MELUGIN, Jr., Director, Central Region.

[FR Doc.77-5541 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 77-CE-4-AD; Amdt. 39-2838] PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES Cessna 180, 182, 185 and 188 Series Airplanes

There have been reports of Cessna P/ Ns 0752016-10 and -11 induction air box seals installed on Cessna 180, 182, 185 and 188 series airplanes detaching from the carburetor air intake flexible duct assembly and lodging in the carburetor. This can cause partial or complete engine power loss. Since this condition is likely to exist or develop in other airplanes of the same type design an Airworthiness Directive (AD) is being issued, applicable to Cessna 180, 182, 185 and 188 series airplanes which will require visual inspection of the carburetor air intake flexible duct assembly for proper bonding of the air box seal to the duct assembly. If inadequately bonded, the AD will further require replacement of the existing induction air box seal with a new seal.

Since a situation exists which requires expeditious adoption of the amendment, notice and public procedure hereon are impracticable and good cause exists for making this amendment effective in less than thirty (30) days.

Note.—The Federal Aviation Administration has determined that this document does not contain a major proposal requiring preparation of an Inflation Impact Statement under Executive Order 11821 and OMB Circular A-107. In consideration of the foregoing and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator 14 CFR 11.89 (31 FR 13697), § 39.13 of Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended by adding the following new AD.

CESSNA. Applies to 180 Series (Serial numbers 18050662 thru 18052711); 182 Series (Serial Numbers 18251557 thru 18264780); 185 Series (Serial Numbers 18500001 thru 18501832); 188 Series (Serial Numbers 18800001 thru 18802348); and A188 Series (Serial Numbers 18800001 thru 18800707) sirplanes.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless already accomplished.

To prevent ingestion of the induction air box seal into the carburetor, within the next 50 hours' time in service after the effective date of this AD, accomplish the following:

A. Disconnect the carburetor air intake

A. Disconnect the carburetor air intake flexible duct assembly from the induction air box.

B. Visually inspect the condition of the existing carburctor induction air box seal(s) and if not securely bonded to the carburctor intake flexible duct assembly, remove the existing seal(s) and install new P/N 0752016-10 and -11 seals in accordance with the following procedure:

 Clean the metal surface of the carburetor air intake flexible duct assembly and the new P/N 0752016-10 and -11 seals with methyl ethyl ketone, methyl iso butyl ketone, acctone or lacquer thinner solvent.

2. Apply EC 847 adhesive to the carburetor air intake flexible duct assembly adapter and P/N 0752018-10 and -11 seals, allow to dry until tacky (usually between 5 and 30 minutes), and then press firmly together to insure contact (complete cure will occur within 24 hours).

3. Install the lower P/N 0752016-10 seal on the horizontal flat surface on the bottom side of the adapter flange, locating the forward edge of the seal so that it does not extend into the bend radius on the front side of the horizontal surface.

 Pierce a hole in each P/N 0752016-11 seal to match the holes for the fasteners in the carburetor air intake flexible duct assembly adapter flange.

5. Reinstall the carburetor air intake flexible duct assembly flange to the carburetor induction air box.

C. Any equivalent method of compliance with this AD must be approved by the Chief, Engineering and Manufacturing Branch, FAA, Central Region.

Cessna Service Letter SE76-18, dated October 11, 1976, or later approved revisions, covers the subject matter of this AD.

This amendment becomes effective March 1, 1977.

(Secs. 313(a), 601 and 603 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421 and 1423), and of Section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).)

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on Pebruary 11, 1977.

> C. R. MELUGIN, Jr., Director, Central Region.

[FR Doc.77-5537 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 77-GL-4; Amdt. 39-2839]

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES Enstrom Models F28, F28A, 280, F28C, 280C

Amendment 39-2712 (41 FR 37095), AD 76-18-08 requires a repetitive inspection of the tail rotor spindle for cracks on Enstrom helicopters, After issuing Amendment 39-2712, due to service experience the FAA determined that the repetitive inspection interval should be reduced from 100 hours to 50 hours. Therefore, AD 76-18-08 is being superseded by a new AD that reduces the repetitive inspection interval of the tail rotor spindle.

Since a situation exists that requires immediate adoption of this regulation, it is found that notice and public procedure hereon are impracticable and good cause exists for making this amendment effective in less than 30 days.

In accordance with Departmental Regulatory Reform, dated March 23, 1976, we have determined that the expected impact of this proposed regulation is so minimal that it does not warrant an evaluation.

(Sec. 313(a), 601 and 603 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421 and 1423) and of Section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).)

In consideration of the foregoing, and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (49 CFR 11.89) \$39.13 of Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

Enstrom. Applies to Enstrom Models P28, P28A, 280, P28C and 280C helicopters certificated in all categories with a 3.3" chord tall rotor spindle part number 28-15202.

Compliance required (1) within the next five hours time in service after the effective date of this airworthiness directive unless the inspection required by this AD or the inspection required by AD 76-18-08 has already been accomplished in the previous 45 hours and (2) thereafter at intervals not to exceed 50 hours time in service from the last inspection and in addition (3) immediately after any tall rotor strike.

To detect tail rotor spindle cracks accomplish the following:

a. Remove, disassemble and clean tall rotor assembly in accordance with manufacturer's recommended procedures so that the tall rotor spindle may be inspected. CAUTION:

Disassemble tall rotor assembly one side at a time keeping parts separated and in properly matched order. All parts must be reassembled in the same order sequence as removed to prevent unbalancing of the tail rotor assembly.

b. Check spindle shaft runout (total indicator reading—TIR) along the 0.668" diameter section. Remove from service any spindle which exceeds .001" TIR. Replace with an airworthy spindle of the same part number 28-15202, a new spindle P/N 28-15202-13, or a reworked spindle which may be identified by an eight character serial number acid etched on the cutside of the spindle center section. Installation of the 28-15202-13 spindle or a reworked spindle will eliminate the repetitive inspection requirement of this AD.

c. Inspect spindle for cracks using magnetic fluorescent particle or fluorescent dyepenetrant inspection or equivalent approved by the Chief, Engineering and Manufacturing Branch, Great Lakes Region of the Federal Aviation Administration at the first shoulder radius where the 3-thrust bearing stack seats. The spindle section decreases at this point from approximately 0.87 inches

to 0.67 inches in diameter. Inspection of the shoulder radius should be conducted with at least a 4 power magnification and black light.

d. If cracks are found, before further flight remove tail rotor spindle from service and replace with an airworthy part of the same part number 28-15202, a new spindle P/N 28-15202-13, or a reworked spindle which may be identified by an eight character serial number acid etched on the outside of the spindle center section. Installation of the 28-15202-13 spindle or a reworked spindle will eliminate the repetitive inspection requirement of this AD.

e. If no cracks are found, reassemble tail rotor assembly in exact reverse order of disassembly and torque lock nut to 35-40 ft. lbs. Return assembly to service until next

required inspection.

f. Upon installation of an airworthy spindle, a new spindle or a reworked spindle as required by paragraphs (b), (d) and (e), install strike tabs (P/N 28-17808 under the outer balancing screw extending forward of the blade leading edge. Pre-flight inspection of the strike tabs may indicate whether a tail rotor strike has occurred.

Note.—Enstrom Service Directive Bulletin 0037 Revision A pertains to the subject of

this AD.

This supersedes Amendment 39-2712 (41 FR 37095), AD 76-18-08.

This Amendment becomes effective February 28, 1977.

Note.—The Federal Aviation Administration has determined that this document does not contain a major proposal requiring preparation of an Inflationary Impact Statement under Executive Order 11821, amended by Executive Order 11949, and OMB Circular A-107.

Issued in Des Plaines, Illinois, on February 14, 1977.

JOHN M. CYROCKI, Director, Great Lakes Region.

[FR Doc.77-5536 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

[Airworthiness Docket No. 77-SW-8; Amdt. 39-2841]

# PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES Mitsubishi MU-2B -25, -26, -26A, -35, -36, -36A (Certificated Under Type Certificate A10SW)

There have been failures of the elevator trim actuator to bracket attachment on Mitsubishi MU-2B series airplanes certificated under Type Certificate A2PC that could result in loss of control of the airplane. Since this condition is likely to exist or develop in other airplanes certificated under Type Certificate A10SW as well as A2PC, an airworthiness directive is being issued to require an inspection of the elevator trim tab brackets and replacement as necessary on MU-2B series airplanes certificated under Type Certificate A10SW.

Since a situation exists that requires immediate adoption of this regulation, it is found that notice and public procedure hereon are impracticable and good cause exists for making this amendment effective in less than 30 days.

(Secs. 313(a), 601, and 603 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, and 1423) and of Section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).

In consideration of the foregoing, and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (14 CFR 11.89), \$ 39.13 of Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

MITSUEISHI AIRCRAFT INTERNATIONAL, INC.: Applies to MU-2B-25 (S/N 313 S.A.), MU-2B-26 and MU-2B-26A (S/N 321 S.A., 348 S.A., and up), MU-2B-35 (S/N 652 S.A.), and MU-2B-36 and MU-2B-36A (S/N 661 S.A., 697 S.A., and up) certificated in all categories.

Compliance required within the next 100 hours time in service after the effective date of this AD, unless already accomplished, and thereafter at intervals as indicated.

To prevent failure of the elevator trim tab resulting from wear of the elevator trim tab bracket bushing, accomplish the following:

(a) For Model MU-2B-25, S/N 313 S.A., at the initial inspection replace the 010A-22119 brackets with 010A-22127 brackets in accordance with Mitsubishi Aircraft International MU-2 Service Bulletin SB 002/27-001 dated December 8, 1975, or a later approved revision; thereafter inspect the 010A-22127 brackets at intervals not to exceed 300 hours' time in service from the last inspection in accordance with Service Bulletin SB 002/27-001 dated December 8, 1976, or a later approved revision.

(b) For Models MU-2B-26 and MU-2B-26A, 5/N 321 S.A., 348 S.A. through 352 S.A., and 355 S.A., and up, and for Models MU-2B-35, S/N 652 S.A., and MU-2B-36 and MU-2B-36A. S/N 661 S.A., 697 S.A., and up, inspect the 010A-22127 brackets in accordance with Mitsubishi Aircraft International MU-2 Service Bulletin SB 002/27-001 dated December 8, 1976, or a later approved revision. After the initial inspection, inspect the 010A-22127 brackets at intervals not to exceed 300 hours time in service from the last inspection.

(c) For Model MU-2B-25, S/N 313 S.A., and Models MU-2B-26 and MU-2B-26A, S/N 321 S.A., 348 S.A. through 352 S.A., 355 S.A., and up, and for Models MU-2B-35, S/N 652 S.A. and MU-2B-36 and MU-2B-36A, S/N 661 S.A., 697 S.A., and up, apply Molycote grease in accordance with Mitsubishi Aircraft International MU-2 Service Bulletin SB 002/27-001 dated December 8, 1976, or a later approved revision to the inner surface of the bracket bushing and outer surface of the spacer. Apply the grease at intervals not to exceed 100 hours' time in service from the last inspection.

The manufacturer's specification and procedures identified and described in this directive are incorporated herein and made a part hereof pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552(a) (1). All persons affected by this directive who have not already received these documents from the manufacturer may obtain copies upon request to Mitsubishi Aircraft International, Inc., P.O. Box 3848, San Angelo, Texas 76901. The documents may also be examined at the FAA Southwest Region, 4400 Blue Mound Road, Fort Worth, Texas, and at FAA Headquarters, 800 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. A historical file on this AD, which includes the incorporated material in full, is maintained by the FAA at its headquarters in Washington, D.C., and at the FAA Southwest Region.

This amendment becomes effective March 1, 1977.

Note.—The Federal Aviation Administration has determined that this document does not contain a major proposal requiring prep-

aration of an Inflation Impact Statement under Executive Order 11821 and OMB Circular A-107.

Issued in Fort Worth, Texas, on February 14, 1977.

HENRY L. NEWMAN, Director, Southwest Region.

Note.—The incorporation by reference provision in this document was approved by the Director of the Federal Register on June 19, 1967.

[FR Doc.77-5628 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

[Airworthiness Docket No. 77-SW-7; Amdt. 39-2840]

# PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES Swearingen Models SA26-T and SA26-AT Airplanes

There have been cracks of the forward left hand spar brackets, P/N 26-31060-1, which provide a shear tie between the wing main spar and the fuselage beltframe at the wing-fuselage intersection. that could result in a catastrophic failure of the wing. Since this condition is likely to exist or develop in other airplanes and is likely to develop in the right hand forward spar brackets, P/N 26-31060-3, the left hand forward spar brackets, P/N 26-31063-1, and the right hand forward spar brackets, P/N 26-31063-3, an airworthiness directive is being issued to require inspection of the spar brackets for cracks and repair, if necessary, on Swearingen Models SA26-T and SA26-AT airplanes.

Since a situation exists that requires immediate adoption of this regulation, it is found that notice and public procedure hereon are impracticable and good cause exists for making this amendment effective in less than 30 days.

(Secs. 313(a), 601, and 603 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, and 1423) and of Section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655 (c)).)

In consideration of the foregoing, and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (14 CFR 11.89). Section 39.13 of Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

SWEARINGEN. Applies to Models SA26-T and SA26-AT.

Compliance required as indicated.

To prevent failures of spar brackets, P/N 26-31060-1, 26-31060-3, 26-31063-1, and 26-31063-3, accomplish the following inspections and modifications:

- (a) Within the next ten flight hours, unless already accomplished within the last 100 flight hours, inspect the spar brackets in accordance with Swearingen Aviation Corporation Service Bulletin A57-00-27, revised January 14, 1977, or later FAA approved revisions.
- (b) If cracks were found, before further flight, incorporate repair in accordance with Swearingen Aviation Corporation Service Bulletin A57-00-27, revised January 14, 1977, or later PAA approved revisions.
- (c) If no cracks are found at initial inspection, inspect the aircraft each 100 flight hours thereafter or until the repair is incorporated.

(d) Alternate methods of spar bracket repair must be approved by the Chief, Engineering and Manufacturing Branch, Southwest Region, FAA.

This amendment becomes effective March 1, 1977.

Note.—The Federal Aviation Administration has determined that this document does not contain a major proposal requiring prepartion of an Inflation Impact Statement under Executive Order 11821 and OMB Circular A-107.

Issued in Forth Worth, Texas, on February 14, 1977.

HENRY L. NEWMAN, Director, Southwest Region.

[FR Doc.77-5629 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 76-SW-37]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CON-TROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

# Alteration of Federal Airway; Withdrawal

In FR Doc. 76-37063 appearing on page 55332 in the Federal Register of December 20, 1976, a rule was adopted amending § 71.123 of the Federal Aviation Regulations thereby altering the description of VOR Federal Airway V-198 at Hobby, Tex. This alteration is based on the relocation of the Hobby, Tex., VORTAC.

Because technical difficulties have delayed the VORTAC relocation, the implementation of this amendment must be suspended indefinitely and it should be withdrawn. The withdrawal of this amendment, however, does not preclude the future issuance of a similar amendment by the FAA.

In consideration of the foregoing, FR Doc. 76-37063 (ASD No. 76-SW-37) is hereby withdrawn.

Issued in Washington, D.C., on February 17, 1977.

EDWARD J. MALO.
Acting Chief, Airspace
and Air Traffic Rules Division.

[FR Doc.77-5542 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

|Airspace Docket No. 76-EA-93|

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CON-TROLLED AIRSPACE AND REPORTING POINTS

# **Designation of Transition Area**

On page 2078 of the Federal Register for January 10, 1977, the Federal Aviation Administration published a proposed rule which would designate the Dansville, N.Y., Transition Area.

Interested parties were given 30 days after the publication in which to submit written data or views. No objections to the proposed regulations have been received.

In view of the foregoing, the proposed regulation is hereby adopted, effective 0901 G.m.t. April 14, 1977.

Note.—The Federal Aviation Agency has determined that this document does not contain a major proposal requiring preparation of an Inflation Impact Statement under Executive Order 11821 and OMB Circular A-107.

Sec. 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348), and section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).)

Issued in Jamaica, N.Y., on February 11, 1977.

L. J. CARDINALI.
Acting Director,
Eastern Region.

 Amend § 71.181 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations by designating a Dansville, N.Y., 700 foot floor transition area as follows:

### DANSVILLE, N.Y.

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 10.5-mile radius of the center, 42°34′11′ N., 77°42′43′ W. of Dansville Municipal Airport, Dansville, N.Y.; within a 16-mile radius of the center of the airport, extending clockwise from a 025° bearing from the airport to a 090° bearing from the airport; within 5 miles each side of the Genesso. N.Y. VORTAC 178° radial, extending from the 10.5-mile radius area to the VORTAC, excluding the portion that coincides with the Hornell, N.Y., 700 foot floor transition area.

[FR Doc.77-5624 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 76-EA-94]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CON-TROLLED AIRSPACE AND REPORTING POINTS

# **Designation of Transition Area**

On page 1271 of the Federal Register for January 6, 1977, the Federal Aviation Administration published a proposed rule which would designate an Englishtown, N.J., Transition Area.

Interested parties were given 30 days after publication in which to submit written data or views. No objections to the proposed regulations have been received.

In view of the foregoing, the proposed regulation is hereby adopted, effective 0901 G.m.t. April 21, 1977.

Note.—The Federal Aviation Agency has determined that this document does not contain a major proposal requiring preparation of an Inflation Impact Statement under Executive Order 11821 and OMB Circular A-107.

(Sec. 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348), and section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).)

Issued in Jamaica, N.Y., on February 11, 1977.

Louis J. Cardinali, Acting Director, Eastern Region.

1. Amend § 71.181 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations by designating an Englishtown, N.J. 700 foot floor transition area as follows:

ENGLISHTOWN, N.J.

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 5.5-mile

radius of the center, 40°19'47" N., 74°20'47" W. of Old Bridge Airport, Englishtown, N.J.; within 4.5 miles northwest and 6.5 miles southeast of the Robbinsville, N.J. VORTAC 042° radial, extending from 12.5 miles northeast of the VORTAC to 30 miles northeast of the VORTAC.

[FR Doc.77-5625 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 76-EA-87]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CON-TROLLED AIRSPACE AND REPORTING POINTS

# Alteration of Control Zone and Transition Area

On page 1270 of the Federal Register for January 6, 1977, the Federal Aviation Administration published a proposed rule which would alter the Richmond, Va. Control Zone and Transition Area.

Interested parties were given 30 days after publication in which to submit written data or views. No objections to the proposed regulations have been received.

In view of the foregoing, the proposed regulation is hereby adopted, effective 0901 G.m.t. April 21, 1977.

Note.—The Federal Aviation Agency has determined that this document does not contain a major proposal requiring preparation of an Inflation Impact Statement under Executive Order 11821 and OMB Circular A-107.

(Sec. 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348), and section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).)

Issued in Jamaica, N.Y., on February 11, 1977.

L. J. CARDINALI, Acting Director, Eastern Region.

1. Amend § 71.171 of Part 71, Federal Aviation Regulations by adding the following to the description of the Richmond, Va., control zone:

within 3 miles each side of the Richmond VORTAC 212° radial, extending from the 5.5mile radius zone to 8.5 miles southwest of the VORTAC.

2. Amend § 71.181 of Part 71, Federal Aviation Regulations by adding the following to the description of the Richmond, Va. 700 foot floor transition area:

within 3 miles each side of the Richmond VORTAC 212° radial, extending from the VORTAC to 8.5 miles southwest of the VOR-TAC.

[FR Doc.77-5626 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 78-SO-111]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CON-TROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

# PART 75—ESTABLISHMENT OF JET ROUTES AND AREA HIGH ROUTES

# Alteration of VOR Airway and Jet Route

The purpose of these amendments to Parts 71 and 75 are to realign a segment of V-295 and J-45 between Biscayne Bay, Fla., and Vero Beach, Fla., by 6 degrees to accommodate a major change in air traffic flow in Southern Florida.

As parts of these amendments relate to the navigable airspace outside the United States, this action is submitted in consonance with the ICAO International Standards and Recommended Practices.

Applicability of International Standards and Recommended Practices by the Air Traffic Service, FAA, in areas outside domestic airspace of the United States is governed by Article 12 of and Annex 11 to the Convention on International Civil Aviation, which pertain to the establishment of air navigation facilities and services necessary to promoting the safe, orderly, and expeditious flow of civil air traffic. Their purpose is to insure that civil flying on international air routes is carried out under uniform conditions designed to improve the safety and efficiency of air operations.

The International Standards and Recommended Practices in Annex 11 apply in those parts of the airspace under the jurisdiction of a contracting state, derived from ICAO, wherein air traffic services are provided and also whenever a contracting state accepts the responsibility of providing air traffic services over high seas or in airspace of undetermined sovereignty. A contracting state accepting such responsibility may apply the International Standards and Recommended Practices to civil aircraft in a manner consistent with that adopted for airspace under its domestic jurisdiction.

In accordance with Article 3 of the Convention on International Civil Aviation, Chicago, 1944, state aircraft are exempt from the provisions of Annex 11 and its Standards and Recommended Practices. As a contracting state, the United States agreed by Article 3(d) that its state aircraft will be operated in international airspace with due regard for the safety of civil aircraft.

Since these actions involve, in part, the designation of navigable airspace outside the United States, the administrator has consulted with the Secretary of State and the Secretary of Defense in accordance with the provisions of Executive Order 10854.

Since these amendments are a minor adjustment upon which the public would have no particular desire to comment, notice and public procedure thereon are unnecessary.

In consideration of the foregoing, Parts 71 and 75 of the Federal Aviation Regulations are amended, effective 0901 G.m.t., April 21, 1977, as hereinafter set forth.

1. § 71.123 (42 FR 307) is amended as follows: In V-295 "INT Biscayne Bay 021° and Vero Beach, Fla., 143° radials;" is deleted and "INT Biscayne Bay 015° and Vero Beach, Fla., 143° radials;" is substituted therefor.

2. § 75.100 (42 FR 707) is amended as follows: In J-45 "INT Biscayne Bay 021" and Vero Beach, Fla., 143° radials;" deleted and "INT Biscayne Bay 015" and Vero Beach, Fla., 143° radials; "is substituted therefor.

(Sec. 307(a) and 1110 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348(a), and 1510). Executive Order 10854 (24 FR 9565) and Sec. 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on February 16, 1977.

EDWARD J. MALO, Acting Chief, Airspace and Air Tracffic Rules Division.

(FR Doc.77-5538 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am)

[Docket No. 16549; Amdt. No. 1061]

# PART 97-STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURES

# Recent Changes and Additions

This amendment to Part 97 of the Federal Aviation Regulations incorporates by reference therein changes and additions to the Standard Instrument Approach Procedures (SIAPs) that were recently adopted by the Administrator to promote safety at the airports concerned.

The complete SIAPs for the changes and additions covered by this amendment are described in FAA Forms 8260-3, 8260-4, or 8260-5 and made a part of the public rule making dockets of the FAA in accordance with the procedures set forth in Amendment No. 97-696 (35 FR 5609).

SIAPs are available for examination at the Rules Docket and at the National Flight Data Center, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20591, Copies of SIAPs adopted in a particular region are also available for examination at the headquarters of that region. Individual copies of SIAPs may be purchased from the FAA Public Information Center, AIS-230, 800 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20591 or from the applicable FAA regional office in accordance with the fee schedule prescribed in 49 CFR 7.85. This fee is payable in advance and may be paid by check, draft, or postal money order payable to the Treasurer of the United States. A weekly transmittal of all SIAP changes and additions may be obtained by subscription at an annual rate of \$150.00 per annum from the Superintendent of Documents. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402. Additional copies mailed to the same address may be ordered for \$30.00 each.

Since a situation exists that requires immediate adoption of this amendment, I find that further notice and public procedure hereon is impracticable and good cause exists for making it effective in less than 30 days.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 97 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended as follows, effective on the dates specified:

1. Section 97.23 is amended by originating, amending, or canceling the following VOR-VOR/DME SIAPs, effective April 21, 1977.

Yakima, WA-Yakima Air Terminal, VOR-A. Amdt. 3

Yakima, WA-Yakima Air Terminal, VOR/ DME Rwy 27, Amdt. 3

\* \* \* effective April 7, 1977.

Santa Maria, CA-Santa Maria Public Arpt., VOR-B. Amdt. 7

Santa Maria, CA-Santa Maria Public Arpt., VOR Rwy 12, Amdt. 10

LaPorte, IN-LaPporte Municipal, VOR-A. Amdt. 1

Waterloo, IA—Waterloo Muni Arpt., VOR Rwy 12. Amdt. 5

Waterloo, IA-Waterloo Muni Arpt., VOR Rwy 18, Amdt. 4 Waterloo, IA-Waterloo Muni Arpt., VOR Rwy

24, Amdt. 12 Waterloo, IA-Waterloo Muni Arpt., VOR/ DME Rwy 30 (TAC), Amdt. 10

Waterloo, IA—Waterloo Muni Arpt., VOR Rwy 36, Amdt. 13

Abbeville, LA-Abbeville Municipal Arpt., VOR/DME-A, Amdt. 2

Detroit, MI—Detroit Metropolitan-Wayne County, VOR Rwy 27, Amdt. 7

Bay City, TX-Bay City Municipal Arpt., VOR/DME-A, Amdt. 2 Liberty, TX-Liberty Municipal Arpt., VOR-

Longview, TX-Gregg County Arpt., VOR

Rwy 13 (TAC), Amdt. 13 Longview, TX-Gregg County Arpt., VOR/

DME Rwy 31 (TAC) Orig Longview, TX—Gregg County Arpt., VOR/ DME Rwy 35, (TAC) Orig

Longview TX-Gregg County Arpt., VORTAC

Rwy 31, Amdt. 7, cancelled Longview, TX-Gregg County Arpt., VORTAC

Rwy 35, Amdt. 4, cancelled harton, TX—Wharton Municipal Arpt., Wharton. VOR/DME-A, Amdt. 2

\* \* \* effective March 10, 1977.

Avalon, CA-Catalina Arpt., VOR-A, Original

\* \* \* effective February 15, 1977.

Oxnard, CA-Ventura County Arpt., VORTAC Rwy 7, Amdt. 1, cancelled

\* \* \* effective February 10, 1977.

Oklahoma City, OK-Wiley Post Airport, VOR Rwy 17L, Amdt. 5 Oklahoma City, OK—Wiley Post Airport, VOR

Rwy 35R, Amdt. 5

The FAA published amendments in Docket No. 16467, Amdt. No. 1058 to Part 97 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (42 FR 7124; February 7, 1977) under section 97.23, effective March 17, 1977, which are hereby amended as follows:

a. Philadelphia, Pa.—Philadelphia International Arpt., VOR Rwy 9R Amdt. 1 cancellation is rescinded (Amdt, 1 remains in effect). b. Philadelphia, Pa.—Philadelphia Interna-tional Arpt., VOR/DME-A, original cancellation is rescinded (original remains in effect).

2. Section 97.25 is amended by originating, amending, or canceling the following SDF-LOC-LDA SIAPs, effective April 21, 1977.

Barrow, AK-Wiley Post-Will Rogers Memorial Arpt., LOC Rwy 6, Amdt. 2, cancelled Nome, AK-Nome Arpt., LOC Rwy 27, Amdt.

2, cancelled Yakima, WA-Yakima Air Terminal, LOC/ DME BC Rwy 9, Amdt. 5

\* \* \* effective April 7, 1977.

Santa Maria, CA-Santa Maria Public Arpt., LOC (BC)-A, Amdt. 5

Colorado Springs, CO-City of Colorado Springs, LOC(BC) Rwy 17, Amdt 14, cancelled

Waterloo, IA-Waterloo Muni Arpt., LOC/ DME (BC) Rwy 30, Amdt. 3 Longview, TX—Gregg County, LOC/DME

(BC) Rwy 31, Amdt. 6

· · effective March 3, 1977.

Rochester, MN-Rochester Muni Arpt., LOC (BC) Rwy 13, Amdt. 9, cancelled Rochester, MN—Rochester Municipal, LOC Rwy 13, Original

3. Section 97.27 is amended by originating, amending, or canceling the fol-lowing NDB/ADF SIAPs, effective April 21, 1977.

Barrow, AK-Wiley Post-Will Rogers Memorial Arpt., NDB Rwy 6, Amdt. 3

Barrow, AK-Wiley Post-Will Rogers Memorial Arpt., NDB Rwy 24, Amdt. 3

# effective April 7, 1977.

Rensselaer, IN-Jasper County, NDB Rwy 18.

Waterloo, IA-Waterloo Muni Arpt., NDB

Rwy 12, Amdt. 4
Detroit. MI—Detroit Metropolitan-Wayne County, NDB Rwy 27, Amdt. 5 Pipestone, MN—Pipestone Muni Arpt., NDB

Rwy 36, Amdt. 3

Monroe, NC-Monroe Muni Arpt., NDB Rwy 23, Original

Winston-Salem, NC—Smith Reynolds Arpt., NDB Rwy 33, Amdt. 17

Longview, TX-Gregg County, NDB Rwy 13, Amdt. 7

Eagle River, WI-Eagle River Municipal Arpt., NDB Rwy 23, Amdt. 1

# \* \* \* effective March 3, 1977.

Bochester, MN-Rochester Municipal Arpt., NDB Rwy 31, Amdt. 14

4. Section 97.29 is amended by originating, amending, or canceling the following ILS SIAPs, effective April 21, 1977

Barrow, AK-Wiley Post-Will Rogers Me-morial Arpt., ILS/DME Rwy 6, Original Nome, AK-Nome Arpt., ILS Rwy 27, Original

# \* \* \* effective April 7, 1977.

Santa Maria, CA-Santa Maria Public Arpt.,

ILS Rwy 12, Amdt. 4 Denver, CO—Jeffco Arpt., ILS Rwy 29R, Amdt. 4

Waterloo, IA-Waterloo Muni Arpt., ILS Rwy 12, Amdt. 3

Detroit, MI-Detroit Metropolitan-Wayne County Arpt., ILS Rwy 27, Amdt. 4 Winston-Salem, NC—Smith Reynolds Arpt.,

ILS Rwy 33, Amdt. 16 Longview, TX—Gregg County Arpt. ILS Rwy 13. Amdt. 3

# \* \* \* effective March 3, 1977.

Rochester, MN-Rochester Municipal Arpt., ILS Rwy 31, Amdt. 12

\* \* effective February 15, 1977.

Allentown, PA-Allentown-Bethlehem-Easton Arpt., ILS Rwy 13, Amdt. 1

# · · · effective February 10, 1977.

Oklahoma City, OK-Wiley Post Airport, ILS Rwy 17L, Amdt. 3

5. Section 97.31 is amended by originating, amending or canceling the following RADAR SIAPs, effective April 7, 1977

Denver, CO-Jeffco Arpt., RADAR-1, Amdt.

Charleston, SC-Charleston AFB/MVNI Arpt., RADAR-1, Amdt. 10

Houston, TX-William P. Hobby Arpt., RADAR-1, Amdt. 2

\* \* \* effective March 3, 1977.

Rochester, MN-Rochester Municipal Arpt., RADAR-1, Amdt. 3

\* \* \* effective February 10, 1977.

Muskegon, MI-Muskegon County Arpt., RADAR-1, Amdt. 1

6. Section 97.33 is amended by originating, amending, or canceling the following RNAV SIAPs, effective April 21, 1977

Savannah, GA-Savannah Muni Arpt., RNAV Rwy 27, Original

# \* \* \* effective April 7, 1977.

Denver, CO-Jeffco Arpt., RNAV Rwy 29R. Amdt. 4

Waterloo, IA-Waterloo Muni Arpt., RNAV Rwy 6, Amdt. 2

Longview, TX-Gregg County Arpt., RNAV Rwy 22, Amdt. 2

(Secs. 307, 313, 601, 1110, Federal Aviation Act of 1958; 49 U.S.C. 1348, 1354, 1421, 1510, and Sec. 6(c) Department of Transportation Act, 49 U.S.C. 1655(c).)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on January 18, 1977.

# JAMES M. VINES. Chief, Aircraft Programs Division.

Note.—Incorporation by reference provisions in \$197.10 and 97.20 (35 FR 5610) approved by the Director of the Federal Register on May 12, 1969.

[FR Doc.77-5630 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

# Title 18—Conservation of Power and Water Resources

# CHAPTER I-FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

[Docket No. RM76-10]

### RATE SCHEDULE ANALYSIS ON CONTINU-ING CURRENT BASIS: FPC FORM NO. 108

# **Order Granting Partial Stay**

FEBRUARY 14, 1977.

On November 22, 1976 (41 FR 52441). the Commission issued Order No. 556 promulgating Form No. 108 to provide the Commission with information, by rate schedule, necessary to help in determining the amount of gas flowing in interstate commerce, the impact of future ratemaking proposals, and the enforcement of the prudent operator standard enunciated in Order No. 539-B. Form No. 108 incorporated into one form and eliminated the need to file several other Commission forms. Use of the new form was prospective beginning on January 1, 1977.

Petitions for rehearing of Order No. 556 were filed by Exxon Corporation (Exxon), Shell Oil Company (Shell), Atlantic Richfield Company (Atlantic Richfield), Marathon Oil Company (Marathon), Texaco Inc. (Texaco), Superior Oil Company (Superior), and Tenneco Oil Company (Tenneco), Rehearing for purposes of further consideration was granting on January 21, 1977.

Certain petitioners for rehearing asserted that it would not be possible to complete Schedules 501 and 505 of Form No. 108 by the March 31, 1977 deadline. These new schedules replaced Form Nos. 301-A and 301-B, which had previously been due to be filed on July 1st of each year. Because the Commission is presently deliberating on the matters raised in the applications for rehearing, we will stay the requirement that all respondents file Schedules 501 and 505 on March 31, 1977. Pending further action of the Commission in its final order on rehearing, Schedules 501 and 505 must be submitted no later than July 1, 1977.

Applicants for rehearing have also requested that the Commission delay implementation of certain other schedules of Form No. 108 and convene a conference with the Staff to discuss their objections to the form. These matters are more properly decided by the Commission in its reconsideration of Order No. 556. The instant order serves only to provide respondents for this year with the same time to complete Schedules 501 and 505 that would have been available had Forms 301-A and 301-B still been in effect.

The Commission orders: (A) The requests for the stay of the filing of Schedules 501 and 505 for 1977 are granted to the extent that such schedules shall, pending final Commission action on rehearing, be filed no later than July 1. 1977.

(B) All other requests for extension of time, stay of effectiveness, or convening of a conference will be denied at this time, without prejudice to their further consideration in connection with rehearing of Order No. 556.

By the Commission.

KENNETH F. PLUMB, Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-5584 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

# Title 25-Indians

CHAPTER I-BUREAU OF INDIAN AF-FAIRS, DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### PART 221-OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE CHARGES

Basic and Other Water Charges on the Fort Hall Irrigation Project

These final regulations are issued under the authority delegated to the Commissioner of Indian Affairs by the Secretary of the Interior in 230 DM 1 and redelegated by the Commissioner to the Area Directors in 10 BIAM 3. The authority to issue regulations is vested in the Secretary of the Interior by Sections 161, 463, and 465 of the Revised Statutes (5 U.S.C. 301; 25 U.S.C. 2 and 9).

Beginning on page 56830 of the Feb-ERAL REGISTER of December 30, 1976, (41 FR 252), there was published a notice of intention to modify 25 CFR 221.32 by changing the basic rates for annual operation and maintenance assessments on the Fort Hall Project for Calendar Year 1977 and subsequent years.

Order Clarifying Prior Order And Amending Section 157 Of The Commission's Regulations Under The Natural Gas Act, ... FPC (July 27, 1976), appeal pending, Shell Oil Co. v. F.P.C., Nos. 76-3066, et al. (5th Cir.).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Exxon, Shell, Atlantic-Richfield, Tenneco.

Interested persons were given 30 days in which to submit written comments, suggestions, or objections regarding the proposed regulations. During this period one protest was received from Mr. Gus Carr Anderson, Attorney for the Fort Hall Water Users Association, and answered after due consideration. It was determined that sufficient justification exists for modifying the rate for basic and other water charges on the Fort Hall Irrigation Project. The proposed regulations are hereby adopted without change and are set forth below.

The revised § 221.32 shall become effective March 28, 1977.

# § 221.32 Basic and other water charges.

(a) In compliance with the provisions of the Acts of March 1, 1907 (34 Stat. 1024), and August 31, 1954 (68 Stat. 1026), the annual basic water charges for the operation and maintenance of the lands in non-Indian ownership and Indian-owned lands leased to a non-Indian or a non-member of the Shoshone-Bannock Tribe of the Fort Hall Indian Reservation, Idaho, to which water can be delivered for irrigation are hereby fixed for the Calendar Year 1977 and subsequent years until further notice as follows:

(b) In addition to the foregoing charges, there shall be collected a minimum charge of \$5 for the first acre or fraction thereof on each tract of land for which operation and maintenance bills are prepared. The minimum bill issued for any area will, therefore, be the basic rate per acre plus \$5.

DOYCE L. WALDRIP, Acting Area Director.

FEBRUARY 16, 1977.

[FR Doc.77-5552 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

Title 28—Judicial Administration

CHAPTER I—DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
[Order No. 690-77]

# PART 16—PRODUCTION OR DISCLOSURE OF MATERIAL OR INFORMATION

Subpart B—Production or Disclosure in Response to Subpoenas or Demands of Courts or Other Authorities

DELEGATION OF AUTHORITY TO THE CHAIR-MAN, UNITED STATES PAROLE COMMIS-SION, TO APPROVE PRODUCTION OR DIS-CLOSURE OF MATERIAL OR INFORMATION

AGENCY: Department of Justice

ACTION: Final rule

SUMMARY: Existing Department regulations concerning production of material or disclosure of information of the

Department by employees or former employees, in response to a subpoena or other demand of a court or other authority, authorize certain Department officials to approve release of material or information. At present, the officials authorized to approve release are the Assistant Attorneys General, the Directors of the FBI and Bureau of Prisons, the Commissioner of Immigration and Naturalization and the Administrator of LEAA. (28 CFR 16.23). The authority to refuse disclosures is retained by the Attorney General. (28 CFR 16.24(b)).

This proposed order would add the Chairman of the United States Parole Commission to the list of officials authorized to approve release of information and materials from files under his jurisdiction. The Parole Commission and Reorganization Act established the Commission as an independent agency in the Department of Justice (90 Stat. 219; 18 U.S.C. 4202).

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 24, 1977. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Joseph A. Berry, Legal Counsel, United States Parole Commission, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20530 (202-724-3143).

By virtue of the authority vested in me by 28 U.S.C. 509, 510 and 5 U.S.C. 301, § 16.23 of Subpart B of Part 16 of Title 28, Code of Federal Regulations, is amended by deleting the word "and" at the end of paragraph (b) (2) (iii), by adding the word "and" at the end of paragraph (b) (2) (iv), and by adding the following new paragraph (b) (2) (v):

# § 16.23 Procedure in the event of a demand for production or disclosure.

(b) · · · · · · (2) · · · ·

(v) The Chairman of the United States Parole Commission, if the demand is one made on an employee or former employee of the Commission for information or if the demand calls for the production of material from the files of the Commission.

Date: February 16, 1977.

GRIFFIN B. BELL, Attorney General.

[FR Doc.77-5620 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

Title 47—Telecommunication

CHAPTER I—FEDERAL

COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Docket No. 20561; FCC 77–120]

PART 76-CABLE TELEVISION SERVICES

Requirement of Certificate of Compliance for Cable Television Systems; Interim Order

Adopted: February 10, 1977.

Released: February 18, 1977.

In the Matter of amendment of Part 76 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations with Respect to the Definition of a Cable Television System and the Creation of Classes of Cable Systems.1

1. We have before us a petition filed by the Community Antenna Television Association which concerns the relationship between two rulemaking proceedings the Commission has under consideration. Docket 20561 relates to the Definition of a cable system and Docket 21002 relates to the Commission's present Certificate of Compliance regulations.<sup>3</sup>

2. Under § 76.5(a) of the Commission's Rules and Regulations cable systems with under 50 paying subscribers need not comply with the FCC's regulations concerning cable television. CATA points out that in Docket 20561 this level of exemption could be raised higher. In Docket 21002 the Commission is considering substantial changes in its cable certification program and has suspended portions of its present regulations, while at the same time continued to require cable systems to comply with the majority of the filing requirements of § 76.13(c) by March 1, 1977. It is CATA's position that the rationale for these changes in both dockets is partially the economic burden on cable operators and that this burden falls particularly hard on small opera-tors. Therefore, CATA concludes that during the pendency of both of these dockets, small cable systems be granted stay from any filing requirements. When Docket 20561 is resolved and the Commission makes a final determination as to the burden to be placed on small cable operators they will be in a better position to meet the filing requirements on a one time basis. CATA seeks the postponement for all cable systems under 1.000 subscribers.

3. The Commission has under active consideration in this proceeding the question of whether cable television systems with only a limited number of subscribers should be either totally exempted from the requirements of our rules or, in view of their limited financial resources, subjected only to limited regulation. Among the requirements such systems might be exempted from would be the requirement that a Certificate of Compliance be received before commencing operation or that existing operations that have not already obtained a Certificate file an application for one by March 1, 1977. In view of the possibility that some systems will be excused from these obligations, we believe it appropriate to resolve the policy question of whether such a filing should be required before forcing systems that may be exempted to comply with the obligation. We are, accordingly, receptive to the CATA proposal that Certificate of Compliance applications for existing small systems be deferred until the exemption question has been resolved. To require such a filing by systems ultimately to be exempted

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This Interim Order will also be filed in Docket 21002 because it is related to the March 1977 Certificate of Compliance filing date as well as to the small system exemption under consideration in Docket 20561.

See 40 FR 58866.

would be a waste of both our resources and those of the system operators involved. The difficult question, as the CATA petition recognizes, is how to adopt an appropriate cut-off figure for such a deferral of the filing obligation without appearing to prejudge the more general question of system exemptions which remains under active consideration but unresolved in Docket 20561. In our view the selection of any particular number in connection with ruling on the CATA petition would tend to prejudge our later resolution of the substantive issues in the definition proceeding. Accordingly, we believe that the best procedure to follow is to simply defer for two months, until May 2, (to allow ample time for a completion of this proceeding) the requirement that existing systems file Certificate of Compliance applications pursuant to § 76.11(b) by March 1, 1977. This will not be a burden on the industry because operations may continue in the interim. We are, however, not exempting new systems or systems adding signals from the requirement that a Certificate first be obtained because that could lead to the development of subscriber viewing habits or the development of expectations by system operators that would be difficult to later reverse.

4. Authority for this action is contained in Sections 2, 3, 4(i) and (j), 301, 303, 307, 308, and 309 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. Further we find that, because of the nearness of the March 1, 1977 filing date, the public interest in the avoidance of wasteful filings, and the difficulty in otherwise acting without prejudging the issues in this proceeding, that there is good cause to dispense with notice and comment on such a deferral pursuant to Section 553 (b) (3) (B) of the Administrative Procedure Act. Moreover, because this action to defer the filing requirement relieves a restriction where time is of the essence, the 30-day advance public notice provision of Section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act, Section 553(d), does not apply.

5. Accordingly, it is ordered, That Section 76.11(b) is amended as set forth in the attached appendix. It is further ordered, That this action shall be effective February 25, 1977.

(Secs. 2, 3, 4, 5, 301, 303, 307, 308, 309, 315, 317, 48 Stat., as amended, 1064, 1065, 1066, 1068, 1081, 1082, 1083, 1084, 1085, 1088, 1089; 47 U.S.C. 152, 153, 154, 155, 301, 303, 307, 308, 309, 315, 317.)

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION, VINCENT J. MULLINS, Secretary,

Part 76 of Chapter I of Title 47 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows: Section 76.11(b) is amended to read as follows:

§ 76.11 Certificate of compliance required.

(b) No cable television system lawfully carrying television broadcast signals in a community prior to March 31, 1972, shall continue carriage of such signals beyond the end of its current franchise period, or June 1, 1977, whichever occurs first, unless it receives a certificate of compliance.

[FR Doc.77-5634 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

Title 49—Transportation
CHAPTER X—INTERSTATE COMMERCE
COMMISSION

SUBCHAPTER A—GENERAL RULES AND REGULATIONS

[S.O. No. 1229-A]

### PART 1033-CAR SERVICE

Wolfeboro Rail Road Co. Authorized To Operate Over Certain Tracks Owned by State of New Hampshire

At a Session of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Railroad Service Board, held in Washington, D.C., on the 16th day of February, 1977.

16th day of February, 1977.
Upon further consideration of Service Order No. 1229 (41 FR 5823, 37582), and good cause appearing therefor:

It is ordered, that Service Order No. 1229-A § 1033.1229 Wolfeboro Rail Road Company authorized to operate over certain tracks owned by the State of New Hampshire be, and it is hereby, vacated and set aside.

(Secs. 1, 12, 15, and 17(2), 24 Stat. 379, 383, 384, as amended; 49 U.S.C. 1, 12, 15, and 17 (2). Interprets or applies Secs. 1(10-17), 15 (4), and 17(2), 40 Stat. 101, as amended, 54 Stat. 911; 49 U.S.C. 1(10-17), 15(4), and 17(2).

It is further ordered, that this order shall become effective at 11:59 p.m., February 16, 1977; that copies of this order and direction shall be served upon the Association of American Railroads, Car Service Division as agent of the railroads subscribing to the car service and car hire agreement under the terms of that agreement, and upon the American Short Line Railroad Association; and that notice of this order shall be given to the general public by depositing a copy in the Office of the Secretary of the Commission at Washington, D.C., and by filing it with the Director, Office of the Federal Register.

By the Commission, Railroad Service Board, members Joel E. Burns, Lewis R. Teeple and Thomas J. Byrne,

> ROBERT L. OSWALD, Secretary,

[FR Doc.77-5652 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

Title 50-Wildlife and Fisheries

CHAPTER I—U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE, DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

PART 33-SPORT FISHING

Upper Souris National Wildlife Refuge; N. Dak.

The following special regulation is issued and is effective on February 24, 1977.

§ 33.5 Special regulations; sport fishing; for individual wildlife refuge areas.

NORTH DAKOTA

UPPER SOURIS NATIONAL WILDLIFE REPUGE

Sport fishing on the Upper Souris National Wildlife Refuge, North Dakota, is permitted only on the areas designated by signs as open to fishing. These open areas comprise 7,000 acres and are delineated on maps available at refuge headquarters and from the office of the Area Manager, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, P.O. Box 1897, Bismarck, North Dakota 58501. Sport fishing shall be in accordance with all applicable State laws and regulations subject to the following special conditions:

- (1) The refuge is open to fishing between the hours of 5:00 a.m. and 10:00 p.m. daily.
- (2) Boats are permitted only from May 7 thru October 31, 1977.
- (3) Any boat motor or combination of boat motors may be attached to boats or other watercraft being used on refuge waters. Only those motors or combination of motors capable of developing a total of 19 horsepower or less may be used.
- (4) Boat speed limit on the Souris River above Mouse River Park shall not exceed five miles per hour.
- (5) During the winter ice fishing season fish houses and vehicles will not be permitted on the river below the Lake Darling dam, nor upstream from Dam #41.
- (6) The use of snowmobiles within the refuge boundaries is prohibited.
- (7) Fish houses must be removed from the refuge no later than March 5, 1978.

The provisions of this special regulation supplement the regulations which govern fishing on wildlife refuge areas generally which are set forth in Title 50, Part 33, and are effective through March 26, 1978.

> Merle O. Bennett, Refuge Manager, Upper Souris National Wildlife Refuge.

[FR Doc.77-5550 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

# proposed rules

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices to the public of the proposed issuance of rules and regulations. The purpose of these notices is to give interested persons an opportunity to participate in the rule making prior to the adoption of the final rules,

# DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Marketing Service [ 7 CFR Part 28 ]

# LICENSING OF WAREHOUSEMEN AND GINNERS FOR COTTON SAMPLING

Notice of Proposed Rulemaking

Notice is hereby given that the Agricultural Marketing Service, under authority contained in Section 10 of the United States Cotton Standards Act (42 Stat. 1519; 7 U.S.C. 51b and 61) and in Section 473c of the Smith-Doxey Act (50 Stat. 62; 7 U.S.C. 473c), is considering revisions in regulations to provide licensing of cotton gins to sample cotton in lieu of the cotton sampling performance bond that is now required.

Existing regulations require that each cotton ginner execute and file with the Cotton Division, AMS, each year a bond to secure the faithful performance of his duties as a sampler. This system of bonding is costly to cotton ginners and places an unnecessary administrative paperwork burden on the part of both the ginners and the Cotton Division. AMS. In lieu of this system this proposal would initiate a licensing procedure in conjunction with the present licensing program already provided for sampling at cotton warehouses. The only change this proposal makes in the present warehouse licensing procedure is to require the present open-ended license be renewed every five years. There is no fee proposed for the licensing procedure at gins or warehouses.

This Notice also proposes to make applicable to disciplinary proceedings under the Cotton Standards Act the uniform rules of practice governing formal adjudicatory proceedings (7 CFR 1.130 et seq.) and incorporates uniform rules of practice covering procedures to deny classification service (7 CFR 50.1 et seq.).

Interested persons may participate in this proposed rule-making by submitting written data, views, or arguments in triplicate to the Hearing Clerk, Room 112, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250. All material received on or before March 25, 1977 will be considered. All written submissions made pursuant to this Notice will be made available for public inspection at the Office of the Hearing Clerk during regular business hours (7 CFR 1.27(b)).

In consideration of the foregoing, it is proposed to amend 7 CFR Part 28, as follows:

1. By revising § 28.2(1) as follows:

# § 28.2 Terms defined.

(1) Licensed warehouse or gin. A cotton warehouse or gin licensed under the sample cotton.

2. By revising center heading and § 28.20-28.24 as follows:

LICENSING OF WAREHOUSES AND GINS FOR SAMPLING

# § 28.20 When license is required.

Samples for Form A determination shall be accepted under this subpart from licensed warehouses only. Samples for classification pursuant to §§ 28.901-28.917 shall be accepted from licensed gins or warehouses. No license is required to sample cotton for Form C or Form D determination.

# § 28.21 Application.

Application for licenses to draw and submit samples shall be submitted by warehouses and gins on forms furnished by the Division.

# § 23.22 Authority granted by license.

Licenses issued by the Division shall authorize the warehouse to draw and submit samples from cotton stored in the warehouse for Form A determination or for classification pursuant to §§ 28.901-28.917. Licenses issued by the Division shall authorize gins to draw and submit samples from cotton ginned at the gin for classification pursuant to §§ 28,901-28.917. Licenses shall be valid for a period of five years.

### § 28.23 Suspension or revocation of license.

(a) Any license issued to a warehouse or gin to sample cotton may be suspended or revoked, following notice and opportunity for hearing, if the licensee has knowingly or carelessly sampled cotton improperly, or has submitted improper samples for classification, or has violated any provision of the Act or the regulations, or has used the license, or allowed it to be used, for any improper purpose.

(b) Procedure. (1) All cases arising under this paragraph shall be conducted under the Uniform Rules of Practice, 7 CFR 1:130 et. seq., and instituted upon a complaint filed by the Administrator,

(2) In all cases except those involving willfulness, or in which the public health, interest, or safety otherwise requires, prior to the institution of a formal proceeding, the Administrator shall give written notice to the licensee of facts or conduct which appear to warrant institution of such a proceeding and shall afford the licensee the opportunity, within a reasonable time, to demonstrate or achieve compliance with the Act and regulations.

(c) Suspension pending adjudication. In any situation where the integrity of

United States Cotton Standards Act to sampling procedures would be seriously jeopardized if a license remained valid pending formal adjudication, the Administrator may temporarily suspend the license effective on or after the third day after mailing notice thereof to the licensee's last known address. Notice of temporary suspension may be made at or after the filing of a complaint and shall contain the reasons for the action.

(d) Conditional suspension. (1) The Administrator may temporarily suspend a license, without hearing, for a correctable cause. Such suspension, after appropriate corrective action is taken, will terminate.

(2) Written notice shall be given to the licensee in advance of a temporary suspension if practicable, or within two days of oral notice, stating the reasons and grounds for temporary suspension.

(3) A licensee may request a formal hearing procedure following receipt of oral or written notice of temporary suspension.

(e) During any period in which the cotton sampling license of a warehouse or gin is suspended or revoked, the Division will not accept any samples from the licensee for Form A determination, or for classification pursuant to §§ 28.901-28.917.

# § 28.24 Surrender of license certificate.

In the event of suspension or revocation of a license, the licensee shall promptly surrender the license to the Division.

# § 28.25 [Amended]

3. Section 28.25 would be amended by changing the word "warehouseman" to 'warehouse" in the first sentence and in paragraphs (h) and (i)

4. By revising § 28.31 as follows:

# Denial of service.

The Administrator may for good cause, including the acts or practices set forth in § 28.32, debar any person, including the agents, officers, subsidiaries, or affiliates of such person, from any or all benefits of the Act for a specified period, after notice and opportunity for hearing has been afforded. Procedures outlined, or referred, in Part 50 of this chapter (7 CFR 50.1 et seq.) shall govern proceedings under this section.

5. By revising § 28.906 as follows:

# § 28.906 Sampling arrangements.

- (a) The cotton of members of organized groups shall be sampled at cotton gins or at cotton warehouses. The gin or warehouse must hold a valid license to sample cotton issued pursuant to \$ 28.20-28.22.
- (b) The Director or his authorized representatives may direct that sampling

be performed by employees of the Department of Agriculture for the purpose of appraising the sampling procedures at cotton gins or warehouses, or for the purpose of providing service to organized groups in special cases where a licensed gin or warehouse is not available.

6. By revising § 28.907 as follows:

# § 28.907 Responsibilities of licensed gins or warehouses.

Each licensee shall be primarily responsible for drawing, identifying, handling, and shipping samples of cotton in accordance with this subpart and with instructions furnished by the Director or his representatives from time to time.

7. By revising § 28,908 paragraphs (e) and (f) as follows:

# § 28.908 Samples.

(e) Handling samples. Samples shall not be dressed or trimmed and shall be carefully handled in such manner as not to cause loss of leaf, sand, or other material, or otherwise change their representative character. Samples shall not be handled by any person other than the licensee prior to shipment or delivery to the cotton classing office of the Division.

(f) Identifying and shipping samples. Each sample shall be identified with a tag, supplied or approved by the Division, bearing the gin or warehouse number of the bale from which the sample was drawn and the name and address of the producer of the bale. The tag shall be placed between the two halves of the sample, the sample tightly rolled and enclosed in a package or bag for shipment. Each package or bag shall be labeled or marked with the name and address of the licensed gin or warehouse. The packages shall be shipped or delivered direct to the cotton classing office serving the territory in which the cotton is ginned.

# 8. By revising \$ 28.911 as follows:

# § 28.911 Review Classification.

A producer may request one review classification for each bale of eligible cotton. The fee for review classification is 60 cents per sample. Samples for review classification must be drawn by gins or warehouses licensed pursuant to \$\$ 28.20-28.22, or by employees of the U.S. Department of Agriculture. Each sample for review classification shall be taken, handled, and submitted according to \$ 28.908 and to supplemental instructions issued by the Director or his representatives. Costs incident to sampling, tagging, identification, containers, and shipment for samples for review classification shall be without expense to the Government.

Dated: February 17, 1977.

WILLIAM T. Manley, Deputy Administrator, Program Operations.

[FR Doc.77-5866; Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

# [7 CFR Part 52] FROZEN GREEN BEANS AND FROZEN

# WAX BEANS Proposed United States Standards for Grades

Notice is hereby given that the United States Department of Agriculture is considering amending the United States Standards for Grades of Frozen Green Beans and Frozen Wax Beans. These grade standards are issued under authority of the Agricultural Marketing Act of 1946 (Sec. 205, 60 Stat. 1090, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1624) which provides for the issuance of official U.S. grades to designate different levels of quality for the voluntary use by producers, buyers, and consumers. Official grading services are also provided under this Act upon request of the applicant and upon payment of a fee to cover the cost of such services.

All persons who desire to submit written data, views, or arguments for consideration in connection with the proposal should file the same, in duplicate, not later than April 25, 1977 with the Hearing Clerk, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Room 112, Administration Building, Washington, D.C. All written submissions made pursuant to this notice will be available for public inspection at the office of the Hearing Clerk during regular business hours (7 CFR 1.27(b)).

Note.—Compliance with the provisions of these standards shall not excuse failure to comply with the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, or with applicable State laws and regulations.

# STATEMENT OF CONSIDERATION LEADING TO THE PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

The grade standards for frozen green beans and frozen wax beans were last revised May 8, 1975. This revision converted the previous grade standards from the conventional score point standard to an attributes standard based on statistical principles. Experience with the revised standard during the 1975 packing season suggested the acceptable quality levels (AQL's) for some of the defect classes are somewhat restrictive. As a result, the Western Technical Advisory Committee of the American Frozen Food Institute has requested the following changes:

 Editorial changes in the definitions of certain terms for clarification purposes; and

Increase the acceptable quality levels (AQL's) of some of the defect classes to better coincide with current marketing practices.

After careful study of the committee's request, the Department is proposing to amend the grade standards for frozen green beans and frozen wax beans as suggested. These amendments will bring the defect allowances in the grade standards for frozen green beans and frozen wax beans closer in line with allowances provided in the previous standards.

The Department further proposes to provide for two separate inspection plans—one specifically adapted to a fixed lot inspection (i.e., after an entire lot has been produced) and the second specifically adapted to online inspection (i.e., inspection of the lot as it is being produced). The sampling plans of both procedures are set to the same acceptable quality levels (AQL's).

The acceptance criteria would be changed to accommodate both inspection procedures. The deviant allowance for prerequisite quality factors would be deleted. In addition a separate acceptance criteria for unofficially submitted samples would be provided. These are single sample units that do not represent a lot.

The proposed amendments are as follows:

1. The Table of Contents would be changed to read as follows:

# Subpart—United States Standards For Grades of Frozen Green Beans and Frozen Wax Bean

2500.	
52.2321	Product description.
52.2322	Styles.
52.2323	Style classification and compliance
52.2324	Types.
52,2325	Definitions of terms.
52.2326	Sample unit sizes.
52.2327	Grades.
52.2328	Factors of quality and grade com-
52,2329	Sample size.
52.2330	Compliance for style.
52.2331	Compliance for quality.
52.2332	Compliance for unofficial samples
America	

AUTHORITY: Agricultural Marketing Act of 1946, Sec. 205, 60 Stat. 1090, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1624.

# § 52.2323 [Amended]

# 2. In \$52.2323(b) Tables II, IIa, III and IIIa are changed to read as follows:

Table II.—Style compliance—Culs lot inspection

Number of sample units	Number of units	Total !	Major
	600	136	2
8	1, 200 2, 660	263 554	4
	4, 200	883	14
9	5,800	1, 210	19
	7,800	1,577	25
	9, 600	1,984	-31
	12,000	2,472	- 30

<sup>1</sup> Total minor plus major.

Table IIa.—Cusum sampling plan on-line

-									
To	tal 1	Major							
T	L	T	- L						
43	18	7	4						
20	2.0		3.0						
	T 43		T L T						

<sup>1</sup> Total=minor plus major.

TABLE III.—Style combiance—Short cuts
lot inspection

Absolute limi	00	4		
Number of sample unit	Number of units	Total 1	Major	
	600	188	- 3	
	1, 200	306	1 2	
3	2,600	647	- 2	
d	4, 200 5, 800	1,032	5	
8	7,600	1,847	6	
8	9,600	2,324	6	
0	12,000	2,896	10	
Acceptance quality level (AQL)		23.0	0.7	

I Total-minor plus major.

Table IIIa.—Cusum sampling plan on-line inspection

	T'o	tal :	Me	jor
	T 80	L	T	L
	50	13	2	2
AQL	22	3.0	0.	75

<sup>1</sup> Total - minor plus major.

In § 52.2325(d) (1) and (2), (m) and (p) the definitions would be changed to read as follows:

# § 52.2325 Definitions of terms.

(d) Color. (1) Green beans.—(i) Well colored. The individual units have a distinct green color that may range from a light shade of green color to a moderately dark shade of green characteristic of the variety.

(ii) Fairly well colored. The individual units are lacking in a distinct green color such that they may be a pale shade of green or the color may be dull and/or the individual units may possess a slight brownish cast that materially affects the color appearance of the unit.

(iii) Poorly colored. The individual units are lacking in green color development such that the color appearance is seriously affected by a very pale green to whitish color or may possess more than

a slight brownish cast or are otherwise off-color.

(2) Wax beans, (i) Well colored.—The individual units have a color ranging from a distinct green color to whitish yellow with intermediate stages of greenish-yellow, yellowish-green, and yellow.

(ii) Fairly well colored. The individual units have a yellowish-white color that materially affects the color appearance of the unit and/or the color may be dull.

(iii) Poorly colored. The individual units have a chalky white color that seriously affects the color appearance of the unit or are otherwise off-color.

(m) Mechanical damage. Any unit that is broken or split into two or more parts or that has very ragged edges, or is crushed, or is damaged by other mechanical means to such an extent that the appearance is seriously affected.

(p) Small piece. In sliced lengthwise style only means a piece of pod less than 10 mm (.38 in.) in the longest dimension and loose seeds or pieces of seeds.

4. In § 52.2326 (a) the paragraph would be revised to read as follows:

# § 52.2326 Sample unit sizes.

(a) A mechanically damaged unit that is broken into separate parts will be reassembled to approximate ts original size and counted as one unit in the standard sample unit size.

5. In § 52.2327 (a), (b), and (c) the alternative grade nomenclature (the parenthetical expressions) would be deleted and the grades designated as follows:

# § 52.2327

- (a) "U.S. Grade A" \* \* \*
- (b) "U.S. Grade B" \* \* \*
- (c) "U.S. Grade C" \* \* \*

# § 52.2328 [Amended]

6. In § 52.2328 Tables IV, V, Va, VI, VIa, VII and VIIa would be changed to read as follows:

TABLE IV .- Classification of defects

Blemished	Defeate		Classi	sification			
Quality factors	Defects	Minor	Major	Severe	Critical		
Color	Individual unit adversely affected:		4		-		
Blemished	Materially	×	×				
Decay	Seriously			. ^	×		
Mechanical damage Workmanship	Unstemmed unit Detached stem						
Fiber	Unsnipped unit		X	*********			
Tough strings.	Tough		×				
Character	Fairly good: In grade A only Fairly good: In grade A						
	Poor: In grades A and B	***********		. ×			
ExtraneousVegetable Material	Class 1	********		. ×	-		

Table V .- Grade combiance cut; short cut; mixed lot inspection

		Grade A Grade B						Grade C						
Absolute limit (AL)		25	8	4	2	30	11	8	.4	36	20	11		
Number of sample units	Number of units	Total !	Major	Severe	Critical	Total !	Major	Severe	Critical	Total 1	Major 8	severe Cr	itical	
	600. 1, 200 2, 600 4, 200 5, 806 7, 600 9, 600 12, 000	53 90 204 322 439 570 715 888	18 32 64 99 134 172 215 266	8 14 27 41 54 69 86 105	4 77 12 19 24 31 38 46	69 132 273 - 432 590 766 - 962 1, 196	. 25 46 92 144 196 253 316 391	14 25 49 76 102 132 164 202	8 14 27 41 54 60 86 105	164 341 541 739 962 1, 208	39 73 149 234 318 412 516 640	25 46 92 144 196 253 316 391	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
cceptable quality level (AQL)		7,0	2.0	0.75	0.30	9.5	3.0	1.50	0.75	12.0	5.0	3.0	1.3	

Total=minor plus major plus severe plus critical.

Table Va.—Cusum sampling plan on-line inspection

	Grade A										14	Gra	ie B				Grade C																		
	Total 1 Major		Major		Major		Major		Major		Major		Major		Major		ere -	Critical	ical	Total 1		Major		Severe		Critical		Total i		Major		Severe		Critical	
	T	L	T	L	T	L	T	L	T	L	T	L	T	L	T	L	T	L	T	L	T	L	T	L											
	16	7	5	3	2	2	1	1	21	9	7	4	4	3	2	2	26	10	11	6	7	4	3	3											
AQL	7.	0	2.	0	0.	73	0.	30	9.1		3.	0	1.1	50	0.	75	13	2.0	- 5.	0	3.	0	1.	25											

<sup>&#</sup>x27;Total-minor plus major plus severe plus critical.

TABLE VI .- Grade compliance-Whole lot inspection

			Gra	de A			Gra	de B			Gra	de C	
Absolute limit (AL)	***********	23	12	6.	4	32	14	9	. 6	40	20	14	
Number of sample units	Number of units	Total 1	Major	Severe	Critical	Total !	Major	Severe	Critical	Total :	Major	Severe	Critical
33	300 600 1, 300 2, 100 2, 900 3, 800 4, 800 6, 000	48 89 183 289 394 511 640 705	21 39 78 122 165 213 266 329	8 14 27 41 54 69 86 105	5 8 15 22 29 37 46 56	69 132 273 432 590 766 962 1, 196	25 46 92 144, 196 253 316 391	15 27 53 82 110 142 177 218	8 14 27 41 54 69 80 105	89 170 355 563 769 1,001 1,257 1,564	41 76 156 245 333 432 541 671	25 46 92 144 196 253 316 391	1 2 4 6 8 11 13 17
Acceptable quality level (AQL)		12,50	5.0	1.50	0.75	19.0	6.0	3, 25	1.50	25.0	10.50	6.0	2.5

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Total=Minor plus major plus severe plus critical.

Table VIa.—Cusum sampling plan on-line inspection

				Gra	de A						1	Gra	de B				Grade C							
	T	tal I	M	ajor	Set	vere	Cri	tical	T	tal 1	Ma	jor	Sev	vere	Cr	tical	Te	tal t	M	ajor	Se	vere	Cri	tical
	T	L	T	L	T	L	T	L	T	L	T	L	T	L	T	L	T	L	T	L	T	L	T	L
	14	7	6	4	2	2	1	1	21	9	7 -	4	4	3	2	2	27	10	12	6	7	4	3	3
AQL	12	50	-	5.0	1.	50	0.	75	11	0.0	6	0	3.	25	1.	50	2	5.0	10	.50		8.0	2	.50

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Total=minor plus major plus severe plus critical.

Table VII.—Grade compliance sliced lengthwise or french cut lot inspection

				Grad	le A			Grac	te B			Grad	de C	
Absolute limit (AL)			16	9	6	4	21	15	8	6	24	17	12	8
Number of sample units	Weight of	product		22.4	-		m			w	-	144		- Table 1
-romos or sample units	Grama	Ounces	Total 1	Major	Severe	Unitical	Total I	Major	Sovere	Critical	Total +	Major	bevere	Critical
3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3. 3	3, 311 5, 349 7, 386 9, 679 12, 226	27 54 117 189 261 342 432 540	31 57 116 182 246 319 390 494	15 26 51 79 107 138 171 212	8 15 28 43 57 72 90 110	4 7 14 20 27 34 42 51	43 81 166 262 356 462 578 718	28 51 103 161 219 283 354 438	12 21 41 64 86 110 137	8 15 28 43 57 72 90 110	51 96 197 311 424 550 690 857	34 63 129 202 274 355 444 550	21 39 77 121 163 211 263 325	12 21 41 64 86 110 137
Acceptable quality level (AQL)			8,50	3.50	1.75	0.75	12.50	7.50	2.75	1.75	15.0	9.50	5.50	2.76

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Total=minor plus major plus severe plus critical.

# TABLE VIIa .- Cusum sampling plan on-line inspection

The state of the s		CALLE	100	Gra	de A			111			WII.	Gra	de B		Tel-				154	Gra	de C			E
	To	tal :	Mi	jor	Se	yere	Cri	itical	T	otal :	M	ajor	Sex	rere -	Cri	tical	To	tal :	Ma	Jar	Sev	ere	Crit	ienl
	T	L	T	L	T	L	T	L	T	L	T	L	T	L	T	L	T	L	T	L	T	L	T	L
	9	8	4	3	2	2	1	1	13	6	8	4	3	3	2	2	35	7	10	5	6	4	3	3
AQL	8.	50	3.	50	1	.75	0	75	1	2.50	7.	50	2	75	1	75	31	0.0	9.	50	5.	50	2	75

1 Total=minor plus major plus severe plus critical.

### § 52.2329 [Amended]

7. In § 52.2329 paragraph (b) would be deleted in its entirety.

In § 52.2330 would be revised to read as follows:

# § 52.2330 Compliance for style.

(a) Lot inspection. A lot of frozen green beans or frozen wax beans shall be considered as meeting requirements for style if the AL values and/or the cumulative acceptance numbers for the applicable defect classifications in Table II and III, as applicable, are not exceeded.

(b) On-line inspection. A production of frozen green beans or frozen wax beans shall be considered as meeting requirements for stye if the "L" values specified in Tables IIa and IIIa, as applicable, are not exceeded.

9. In § 52.2331 would be changed to read as follows:

# § 52.2331 Compliance for quality.

(a) Lot inspection. A lot of frozen green beans or frozen wax beans shall

be considered as meeting requirements for quality if:

(1) The requirements for the prerequisite factors for the applicable grade in § 52.2327 are met; and

(2) The AL values and/or the cumulative acceptance numbers for the applicable defect classifications specified in Tables V, VI, or VII, as applicable are not exceeded.

(b) On-line inspection. A production of frozen green beans and frozen wax beans shall be considered as meeting requirements for quality if:

 The requirements for the prerequisite factors for the applicable grade in § 52.2327 are met; and

(2) The "L" values specified in Tables Va, VIa, or VIIa as applicable, are not exceeded.

10. § 52.2332 would be changed as follows:

# § 52.2332 Compliance for unofficial sample.

(a) General. Unofficial sample units submitted for quality evaluation will be treated individually and each sample unit must comply with the allowance values for style in paragraph (b) of this section and for grade in paragraph (c) of this section. The sample unit size must be as specified in § 52.2326.

(b) Style compliance.

# TABLE X .- Cuts

	Number of units	Total	I Mi	jor
Each sample unit	20	0 4	13	7
1 Total=miner plus	and for			
	XI.—Short	cuts		
TABLE 1			1 Ma	jor

1 Total - minor plus major.

(c) Grade compliance.

# TABLE XII .- Cut; short cut; mixed

ere Critic
7
-
ere Critic
7
C

# TABLE XIV .- Sliced lengthwise or french cut

				Ges	de A			Gris	de B			Grad	de C	
	Weight o	f product	Total I	Malor	Rawara	Petition	Total	Malor	Savere	Critical	Wester I	Malor	Serere	Critical
	Grams	Ounces		anager	Severe Crimeni		Total   Major		Severa Cinic		1 Otals	major	CHARAG	Cirioni
Each sample unit	254.7		9	4	2	1	13	- 8	3	2	-15	10	6	

' Total-minor plus major plus severe plus critical.

Dated: February 16, 1977.

WILLIAM T. MANLEY, Deputy Administrator Program Operations.

[FR Doc.77-5330 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

# [7 CFR Part 1030]

# MILK IN THE CHICAGO REGIONAL MARKETING AREA

# Proposed Temporary Revision of Shipping Percentage

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601 et seq.), and the provisions of § 1030.7(b) (6) of the order, the temporary revision of certain provisions of the order regulating the handling of milk in the Chicago Regional marketing area is being considered for the month of March 1977.

All persons who desire to submit written data, views or arguments in connection with the proposed revision should file the same with the Hearing Clerk, Room 112-A, Administration Building, United States Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250, on or be-fore February 28, 1977. All documents filed should be in quadruplicate. The period for filing views is being limited to the above mentioned date to enable the timely consideration of this matter since the proposed action would be applicable to milk shipments made during March. Further, the proposed change provides some relaxation of pooling standards and thus will not require extensive preparation or substantial alteration in method of operation for handlers.

All written submissions made pursuant to the notice will be made available for public inspection at the office of the Hearing Clerk during regular business hours (7 CFR 1.27(b)).

The provisions proposed to be revised are (1) the supply plant shipping percentage of 20 percent set forth in § 1030.7 (b) (4) that is applicable during the month of March, and (2) in § 1030.7(b) (7) (iii), the shipping requirement of 10 percent applicable to each plant in a unit of two or more plants during the month of March.

Pursuant to the provisions of § 1039.7 (b) (6) the supply plant shipping percentages set forth in § 1030.7(b) (4) and § 1030.7(b) (7) (iii) shall be increased or decreased by up to 10 percentage points during the months of August-March, if necessary to obtain needed shipments or to prevent uneconomic shipments.

Cooperative Associations representing a majority of producers supplying the Chicago Regional market, request that during March 1977 the supply plant shipping percentages be reduced 10 percentage points. These cooperatives state that the 20 percent and 10 percent shipping requirements in March would cause un-

economic shipments of milk.

To fulfill their fluid milk requirements, distributing plants obtain a major portion of their milk supplies from supply plants, since about 80 percent of the market's milk supply is assembled at supply plant. In recent months, however, Class I sales have been significantly below a year ago. For the month of January, for instance, Class I sales were down 20 million pounds compared to the same month a year ago. Moreover, receipts of producer milk on the market increased by

31 million pounds for the month of January compared to the same month of 1976.

There is a reduced demand for Class I milk and an increase in the milk supply on the market. Thus, there is a reduced demand for supply plant milk in Class I use and a reduction in required shipments may, accordingly, be appropriate. A reduction in the required shipments of supply plant milk during March would allow greater flexibility in obtaining milk as among supply plants in the market and may prevent uneconomic movements of milk merely for purposes of pool plant qualification.

Therefore, it may be appropriate to reduce the aforementioned pool supply plant shipping percentages for the month of March 1977 to prevent uneconomic

Signed at Washington, D.C. on: February 18, 1977.

H. L. FOREST, Director, Dairy Division.

[FR Doc.77-5865 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

# DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

**Federal Aviation Administration** [ 14 CFR Part 39 ]

[Airworthiness Docket No. 77-SW-4]

# BELL MODEL 212 HELICOPTERS **Proposed Airworthiness Directives**

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering amending Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations by adding an airworthiness directive applicable to Bell Model 212 helicopters. There have been cracks reported in the upper and lower surface skins of the main rotor blades installed on Bell Model 212 helicopters that could result in possible loss of a main rotor blade. These cracks were reportedly caused by corrosion pits, material imperfections, and mechanical imperfections in several locations of the main rotor blade skins and spar, One recent report of a skin crack, detected by use of the Bell blade inspection system (BIS), concerned spar corrosion that probably resulted from moisture entry into the blade at the skin scarf splice, approximately 58 inches from the blade tip. Allegedly, the filler in the splice joint had become eroded.

Bell Helicopter Textron has developed a blade inspection system that is reliable and that will provide for detection of a chordwise skin crack in the main rotor blades that may be located from near the tip to the blade bolt hole doublers. The skin crack may occur after a significant crack has developed in the blade spar. Since other Bell Model 212 helicopter main rotor blades may develop cracks from possible corrosion pits or other possible mechanical or material imperfections that are not readily detectible during an inspection, the proposed airworthiness directive would require installation of the blade inspection system, P/ N 212-704-110-1, on certain main rotor blades with more than 400 hours' total time in service, or would require installa-

tion of blades originally equipped with BIS. It is noted that the manufacturer requires installation of BIS by March 1,

The proposal would also require frequent checks of all Model 212 main rotor blades that are equipped with the blade inspection system after these blades attained 400 hours' time in service and these checks would be required at intervals not to exceed 4 hours' time in service. The manufacturer does not presently require frequent checks of those main rotor blades having a barrier at the scarf joint. For certain specific main rotor blades that do not have a barrier at the blade leading edge scarf joint, the proposal would also require daily checks of the scarf joint for filler erosion to an exposed joint with attendant replacement of the scarf joint filler.

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or comments as they may desire. Communications should identify the docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the Regional Counsel, Southwest Region, Federal Aviation Administration, P.O. Box 1689, Fort Worth, Texas 76101. All communications received on or before March 30, 1977, will be considered by the Director before taking action upon the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received. All comments will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Office of Regional Counsel for examination by interested persons.

This amendment is proposed under the authority of Sections 313(a), 601, and 603 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, 1423) and of Section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).

Note .- The FAA has determined that this document does not contain a major proposal requiring preparation of an Inflation Impact Statement under Executive Order 11821 and OMB Circular A-107.

In consideration of the foregoing, it is proposed to amend § 39.13 of Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

BELL. Applies to Bell Model 212 helicopters certificated in all categories.

Compliance required as indicated.

To detect possible chordwise cracks in the main rotor blade skin from near the inboard end to near the outboard end and to detect eroded scarf joint filler, accomplish the following: (a) Before the first flight of each day, after the effective date of this AD. accomplish the following:

(1) Check the leading edge scarf joints of both main rotor blades for filler erosion.

(2) Clean and fill scarf joints having filler eroded to the metal, as specified in item 4 of Bell Helicopter Textron Service Bulletin No. 212-77-2 dated January 28, 1977, or later approved revision.

(3) Main rotor blades, P/N 204-012-001-33, and blades. P/N 204-012-001-23 and -29, having serial numbers listed in Bell Service Bulletin No. 212-77-2 have a barrier behind the scarf joint and do not require the check in paragraph (a) (1) of this AD.

(b) Modify both main rotor blades by installing the blade inspection system kit P/N 212-704-116-1, in accordance with Bell Helicopter Textron Service Instruction No. 212-61, 204-32, or 205-45 dated August 13, 1976, or later approved revision, as follows:

(1) Accomplish the modification within 100 hours' time in service after the effec-tive date of this AD for helicopters S/N 30501 through 30800 equipped with main rotor blades having 400 or more hours' total time in service on the effective date of this AD.

(2) Accomplish the modification prior to attaining 500 hours' blade time in service for helicopters S/N 30501 through 30800 equipped with main rotor blades having less than 400 hours' time in service on the effective

date of this AD.

(c) For all Bell Model 212 helicopters having main rotor blades with more than 400 hours' total time in service and that are equipped with the blade inspection system (BIS), accomplish the following after the effective date of this AD:

(1) Check the blade inspection system by pressing the test button on the BIS detector located on the butt end of each blade before the first flight of each day and at intervals to exceed 4 hours' time in service from the last check.

(2) Further flight is prohibited if a steady light on the BIS detector occurs or if a crack

in the blade is confirmed.

(3) The affected main rotor blade may be returned to service after a visual inspection is conducted in which no cracks are found, and after a flashing light occurs on the detector. (A flashing light indicates a closed or satisfactory circuit in the blade inspection system.)

(d) The checks in paragraph (a)(1) and (c)(1) of this AD may be performed by the

Note.—For the requirements regarding recording of compliance and method of compliance with this AD in the aircraft perma-

nent maintenance record, see FAR 91.173. Equivalent means of compliance with this AD may be approved by the Chief, Engineering and Manufacturing Branch, FAA. Southwest Region. Bell Helicopter Textron Service Bulletin No. 212-76-14 or later approved revision is an equivalent means of compliance with paragraph (b) of this AD.

(f) Installation of two main rotor blades. P/N 204-012-001-33, which are equipped with the blade inspection system, fulfills compliance with paragraph (b) of this AD.

(g) Upon request of the operator, an FAA maintenance inspector, subject to prior approval of the Chief, Engineering and Manufacturing Branch, FAA, Southwest Region, may adjust the repetitive inspection intervals specified in paragraph (c) of this AD to permit compliance at an established inspection period of the operator if the request contains substantiating data to justify the increase for that operator.

(h) The manufacturer's service bulletin and instruction identified and described in this directive are incorporated herein and made a part hereof pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552(a) (1). All persons affected by this directive who have not already received these documents from the manufacturer may obtain copies upon request to the Service Manager, Bell Helicopter Textron, P.O. Box 482, Fort Worth, Texas 76101. These documents may also be examined at the Office of the Regional Counsel, Southwest Region, PAA, 4400 Blue Mound Road, Fort Worth, Texas, and at FAA Headquarters, 800 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. A. historical file on this AD which includes the incorporated material in full is maintained by the FAA at its headquarters in Washington, D.C., and at the Southwest Regional Office in Fort Worth, Texas.

(Bell Helicopter Textron Service Bulletin No. 212-76-14, Revision A, dated December 1, 1976, pertains to this subject.)

Issued in Fort Worth, Texas, on February 14, 1977.

HENRY L. NEWMAN, Director, Southwest Region.

[FR Doc. 77-5627; Filed 2-23-77; 8:45 am]

# [ 14 CFR Part 71 ]

[Airspace Docket No. 76-SE-13]

### DESIGNATION AND EXTENSION OF LOW ALTITUDE VOR FEDERAL AIRWAYS

### Withdrawal of Notice of Proposed Rulemaking

On January 17, 1977, a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (42 FR 3179) stating that the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) was considering an amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations that would designate and extend VOR Federal Airways in a Kansas/Oklahoma area.

Subsequent to the publication of this NPRM, the FAA received information that airspace in the vicinity of the proposed airways would be requested for military use. Since the dimensions of the airspace and the request for its use have not been finalized, an accurate evaluation of the relationship to, and effect, if any, on this proposed airway amendment cannot be made. The withdrawal of this NPRM, however, does not preclude the future issuance of a similar NPRM by the FAA.

In consideration of the foregoing, notice is hereby given that the proposal contained in Airspace Docket No. 76-CE-13 (42 FR 3179) is withdrawn.

This withdrawal is made under the authority of Sec. 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C 1348(a)) and Sec. 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(e)).

Issued in Washington, D.C., on February 16, 1977.

EDWARD J. MALO. Acting Chief, Airspace and Air Traffic Rules Division.

[FR Doc.77-5539 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

# [ 14 CFR Part 71 ]

[Airspace Docket No. 76-CE-17]

# TRANSITION AREA

# **Proposed Designation**

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering amending Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to designate a transition area at Bloomfield, Iowa.

Interested persons may participate in the proposed rule making by submitting such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Director, Central Region, Attention: Chief, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration, Federal Building, 601 East 12th Street, Kansas City, Mo. 64106. All communications received on or before March 25, 1977 will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Administration officials may be made by contacting the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief. Any data, views or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

A public docket will be available for examination by interested persons in the Office of the Regional Counsel, Federal Aviation Administration, Federal Building, 601 East 12th Street, Kansas City, Mo. 64106.

Note.-The Federal Aviation Administration has determined that this document does not contain a major proposal requiring preparation of an Inflation Impact Statement under Executive Order 11821 and OMB Circular

A new public-use instrument approach procedure is being established for Runway 36 of the Bloomfield, Iowa, Municipal Airport, predicated on a non-directional radio beacon located on the Airport. Consequently, it is necessary to provide controlled airspace protection for aircraft executing this new approach procedure by designating a 700-foot floor transition area at Bloomfield, Iowa.

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations as hereinafter set forth:

In § 71.181 (42 FR 440), the following transition area is added:

# BLOOMFIELD, IOWA

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 6.5 mile radius of the Bloomfield Municipal Airport (latitude 40°44'41" N., longitude 92°25'46" W.); and within 3 miles each side of the 177° bearing from the Bloomfield Municipal Airport, extending from the 6.5 mile radius area to 8.5 miles south of the airport.

This amendment is proposed under the authority of Section 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348), and of Section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c))

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on February 10, 1977.

> C. R. MELUGIN, Jr., Director, Central Region.

[FR Doc.77-5540 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[ 17 CFR Parts 230, 239 and 240 ]

[Release Nos. 33-5806, 34-13276, 35-19887, IC-9650; File No. S7-655]

### BUSINESS COMBINATION TRANSACTIONS

Short Form Registration

AGENCY: Securities and Exchange Commission.

ACTION: Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Commission today announced that, in light of comments received on a proposed short registration form for certain reclassifications and business combination transactions, it does not intend to adopt the proposed form at this time, Instead, the Commission plans to develop a new registration form for the same purpose, consisting of an abbreviated prospectus which incorporates by reference substantial information already on file with the Commission. The contemplated new form is intended to result in cost savings to registrants and more understandable disclosure to investors.

DATES: Comments Must Be Received On Or Before April 18, 1977.

ADDRESS:

Comments should be sent in triplicate to George A. Fitzsimmons, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549, and should refer to File No. S7-655.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CON-

Janet Zimmer, Division of Corporation Finance, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549 (202) 755–1609.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Securities and Exchange Commission is considering proposing a new optional short form for registration under the Securities Act of 1933 ("Securities Act") [15 U.S.C. 77a et seq., as amended by Pub. L. No. 94–29 (June 4, 1975)] of securities to be issued in reclassifications and business combination transactions of the character described in Securities Act Rule 145(a) (17 CFR 230.145(a)).

It is intended that this contemplated new form be proposed for adoption instead of proposed Form S-14A [17 CFR 239.28] which was published for comment in Securities Act Release No. 5744 (September 27, 1976) (41 FR 43876, October 4, 1976). The Commission is requesting comments by interested persons on the advisability of implementing the contemplated new form, although it is not publishing specific rulemaking provisions at this time.

# BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE

On September 27, 1976, the Commission published for comment proposed Form S-14A (Securities Act Release No.

5744), an optional short form for registration under the Securities Act of securities to be issued in certain reclassifications or business combination transactions by issuers meeting the requirements for use of Form S-7 (17 CFR 239.26), and proposed amendments to related rules. The proposed form provides for a short prospectus (and proxy or information statement) consisting principally of information concerning the transaction in which the registered securities are to be issued. More detailed information concerning the transaction and the parties to the transaction would be filed with the Commission as a new Part II of the registration statement and incorporated by reference in the prospectus. The registrant would be required to provide Part II at its own expense, upon request. These proposals were intended to result in cost savings to registrants and to provide disclosure to investors in a simpler, more understandable form.

During the comment period, which expired January 10, 1977, 22 comments were received. Although the comments indicate that there is overwhelming support for the Commission's goals of simplifying disclosure for investors and reducing the burdens on registrants, a large number of commentators feel that the differential disclosure approach embodied in the form does not achieve these goals. Their principal objections are (1) that the two part format would actually increase preparation and printing costs; and (2) that the potential liabilities resulting from use of a prospectus which does not contain all material information would be unduly burdensome and would discourage registrants from using the

After an analysis of the comments received, the Commission has determined

Generally, a registrant may use Form S-7 if it (a) has a class of securities registered under Section 12 or is required to file reports pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") (15 U.S.C. 78a et seq., as amended by Pub. L. No. 94-29 (June 4, 1975)); (b) has been subject to the requirements of Section 12 or 15(d) and has filed all applicable reports, for 36 calendar months prior to the filing of the registration statement and has timely filed all such reports for the past 12 months; (c) has not defaulted on payments on preferred stock, indebtedness for borrowed money or long-term leases during the past 36 months; and (d) has consolidated net income of at least \$250,000 for three of the last four years, including the most recent fiscal year.

ascalyear.

A proposed amendment to Securities Act Ruie 429(a) (17 CFR 230.429(a)) would make the rule unavailable if the issuer's latest registration statement was filed on Form S-14A. Proposed amendments to Rules 14a-3 (17 CFR 240.14a-3), 14a-6 (17 CFR 240.14a-6), 14a-11 (17 CFR 240.14a-11), 14a-12 (17 CFR 240.14a-12), 14c-2 (17 CFR 240.14c-2) and 14c-5 (17 CFR 240.14c-5) under the Exchange Act provide that material filed in a Form S-14A registration statement would satisfy the informational and filing requirements of the proxy or information rules under Section 14 of the Exchange Act.

not to adopt proposed Form S-14A or the proposed amendments to related rules at this time. Instead, the Commission plans to explore alternatives to develop a new optional form for registration under the Securities Act of securities to be issued in Rule 145 business combination transactions. The form, as contemplated, would consist of a single, abbreviated prospectus (and proxy or information statement) which would incorporate by reference reports filed under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") and/or the issuer's annual report to shareholders.

The Commission believes that the contemplated new form might be more in the interest of security holders than proposed Form S-14A and might more satisfactorily reduce the costs, liabilities and other burdens on registrants. This approach is intended to be one more step in the Commission's continuing efforts to simplify and integrate the corporate disclosure requirements under the Securities Act and the Exchange Act.

# Specific Inquiries and Invitation FOR COMMENT

The Commission invites comment from interested persons on the advisability of proceeding with the contemplated new form. In addition, the Commission invites comment upon the following specific issues involved in implementing the proposed form:

1. What specific items of disclosure should be required by the contemplated new form and what information and/or reports should be incorporated by reference, particularly in the areas of financial statements? Should the informational requirements of the contemplated new form differ for any party to the business combination transaction which does not file reports under the Exchange Act?

2. Should the availability of the proposed form be limited to specified classes of issuers and/or types of business combination transactions? If so, what standards would be appropriate? For example, should use of the form be limited to transactions involving only those companies which report under the Exchange Act and meet certain other requirements, or should the form be available for transactions involving the acquisition of a small, privately-held company with relatively few shareholders by a large, publicly-held reporting company in a negotiated transaction?

All interested persons are invited to submit their written views and comments on the foregoing areas and on any other issues which might affect the use of a short registration form for business combination transactions. Such communications will be available for public inspec-

tion.

By the Commission.

George A. Fitzsimmons, Secretary.

FEBRUARY 16, 1977. [FR Doc.77-5517 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

# DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Highway Administration
[23 CFR Parts 665, 750, 752, 753]
[FHWA Docket No. 76-12]

# DIRECTIONAL SIGN STANDARDS Extension of Comment Period

This notice extends the commenting period on the Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, published at 41 FR 46877, October 26, 1976, which asked for comments on the regulations and National Standards governing directional signs and other information systems.

The original commenting period closed January 24, 1977. Substantial numbers of comments have been received since that date. In order to ensure the broadest possible range of public comment, the comment period is hereby extended to March 10, 1977. Comments received subsequent to January 24, 1977, and prior to the publication of this notice will be made part of the record and need not be resubmitted.

Issued on: February 18, 1977.

H. A. Lindberg, Acting Federal Highway Administrator.

[FR Doc.77-5590 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

# FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[ 47 CFR Part 61 ]

[Docket No. 21005; PCC 77-112]

# INTERNATIONAL TELEX SERVICE AND DOMESTIC AND TWX SERVICES

Interface

Adopted: February 9, 1977. Released: February 17, 1977.

In the matter of Interface of the International Telex Service with the Domestic Telex and TWX Service.

1. Presently before the Commission for consideration are: (a) a Petition to Specify, Modify, Enlarge and Clarify Issues, filed December 29, 1976, by ITT World Communications, Inc. Worldcom); (b) an Opposition, filed January 11, 1977, by the Western Union Telegraph Company (Western Union); (c) Comments, filed January 13, 1977, by RCA Global Communications, Inc. (RCA Globcom); and (d) Comments, filed January 21, 1977, by the State of Hawaii (State). By Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (41 F.R. 54500, December 14, 1976) the Commission ordered an investigation, rulemaking, and hearing into the need for, and means of, implementing modifications to the Commission's policies with

respect to interconnection of the telex networks of the international record carriers, the interface of such networks with the domestic telex and TWX networks and the rate structure under which telex services in the United States and between the United State and overseas points are charged.

2. ITT Worldcom asserts that this proceeding is inherently an adjudication and, therefore, a petition filed pursuant to § 1.229 of the Commission' Rules and Regulations, 47 CFR 1.229 (1976), is appropriate.2 In the alternative, if this is properly a rulemaking, ITT Worldcom continues, the Commission should treat the filing as a petition to clarify the proposed policies set forth in our Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (41 F.R. 54500, December 14, 1976), RCA Globcom, commenting on the rulemaking vs. adjudicatory nature of this proceeding, notes that paragraph 26 of the Rulemaking Notice cities the "Adjudications" section of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA), 5 U.S.C. 554 (1970); that the basis for this proceeding stems from complaints filed before the Commission pursuant to Section 208 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. 208 (1974); and that the Commission has imposed a burden of proof upon those who would continue current practices rather than upon those who advocate change. The cummulative effect of these factors, RCA Globcom concludes, tends to cast the proceeding into an adjudicatory posture.

3. In light of the fundamental policy issues raised in this proceeding, we believe that rulemaking rather than adjudication is appropriate. As is apparent from the context of paragraph 26 of the Notice, the reference to Section 554 of the APA is a clerical error. We will correct the error in this order. Accordingly, the rules and procedures set forth in Subpart C of Part 1 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations apply in this proceeding, 47 CFR 1.400-1.429 (1976). Thus, we shall treat the ITT Worldcom pleading as a petition to clarify.

4. ITT Worldcom seeks specific clarification on nine "proposed issue(s)." Supporting comments for inclusion of these "issues" argue that, while the rule-making notice contemplates comments by interested parties, parties should be apprised of the specific scope of the inquiry in order to prepare adequate comments. RCA Globcom concurs in ITT

two additional items be specified.\* Inasmuch as our Notice contemplates that the parties will file comments on these as well as any issues they feel warranted, we will not amend the order to specify the issues raised by ITT Worldcom and RCA Globcom. It is recognized that in a rulemaking concerned with the general characteristics of an industry "rational decision is not furthered by requiring the agency to lose itself in an excursion into detail that too often obscures fundamental issues rather than clarifies them." Granting the requested relief, or even listing the requested specific issues in this discussion, could tend to overemphasize those issues as opposed to the general policy concerns raised in the notice. Our action herein does not limit further inquiry on any issue(s) arising in the course of the proceeding. Section 1.421 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations, 47 CFR 1.421 (1976), specifically permits further notice of proposed rulemaking when deemed warranted. The parties are, of course, free to submit counter proposals. We further note that parties have been granted an opportunity for filing three sets of pleadings rather than the two sets provided for in § 1.415 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations, 47 CFR 1.415 (1976). Thus, we do not see how the parties have been denied full opportunity to present their views in the proceeding." Our action herein does not reach the merits of the issues identifled by the parties. We have reviewed the rulemaking notice and believe that it sets forth the nature of this inquiry in such a manner that all parties are apprised of the areas of concern in this proceeding and our proposed objectives. We encourage all parties to submit comments on all issues they believe are raised in the rulemaking notice, as well as any counter proposals.

Worldcom's request and requests that

5. Accordingly, it is ordered, That the Petition to Specify, Modify, Enlarge and Clarify Issues filed, December 29, 1976, by ITT World Communications, Inc. is denied; and

6. It is further ordered, That paragraph 26, of the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (41 FR 54500, December 14, 1976) is amended as follows:

ITT Worldoom has orally informed the Commission that it does not intend to file reply comments; thus the pleadings are ripe for the action taken herein.

<sup>\*</sup>Section 1.229, Motions to enlarge, change, and delete issues, permits filing of such motions by any party to an adjudicatory hearing or a rule making proceeding required by law to be made on a hearing record.

<sup>\*</sup>See, General Telephone Company of Southwest v. United States, 449 F. 2d 846, 862-863 (1971).

Notice of this proceeding was given pursuant to the "Rule Making" provisions of the APA, 5 U.S.C. 553(b) (1970).

<sup>5</sup> This subpart of the Rules sets forth the procedures to be followed in rule making proceedings.

<sup>\*</sup>The request for additional issues is improperly contained in a responsive pleading. We have, however, considered the additional request. We caution all parties that responsive pleadings shall be limited to the matters raised in the petition being responded to, and new matters must be raised in separate pleadings.

<sup>\*</sup>WBEN, Inc. v. United States, 396 F. 2d 601, 618 (1968), cert. denied, King's Garden, Inc. v. F.C.C., 393 U.S. 914, 89 S. Ct. 238, 21 L. Ed. 2d 200.

<sup>\*</sup>The Chief, Common Carrier Bureau, has granted an extension of time for filing comments in this proceeding pending our action herein so that initial comments can reflect this action.

26. We have adopted the rulemaking approach in this matter because the questions raised relate to the interrelationships of the carriers and affect all users of telex service. Further, the pleadings presently before us do not appear to raise any substantial issue of material fact, but only questions of law and policy. Accordingly, we do not see the need for convening oral evidentiary hearings. Rather, this proceeding is one of argument for which the written-comment procedures of Section 553 of the APA are most appropriate. However, to protect fully all parties' procedural rights, we will leave open the question of oral proceedings should any party demonstrate that such proceeding is neces-sary or desirable in the public interest.

> FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION, VINCENT J. MULLINS, Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-5635 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

# [ 47 CFR Part 73 ]

[Doc. No. 21118; RM-2794, etc.]

# FM BROADCAST STATIONS IN McCONNELSVILLE, OHIO, ET AL.

Proposed Changes in Table of Assignment

Adopted: February 11, 1977.

Released: February 18, 1977.

In the matter of an amendment of § 73.202(b), Table of Assignments, FM Broadcast Stations. (McConnelsville, Ohio; St. Marys, West Virginia; Boulder City, Nevada; Canadian, Texas; Yakutat, Alaska), RM-2794, RM-2795, RM-2804. RM-2805, RM-2807.

1. The Commission has under consideration five petitions which propose amending § 73.202(b) of the Rules, the FM Table of Assignments, by assigning a first FM channel to the above-named communities. None of these communities is located near an urbanized area. All population figures are taken from the 1970 U.S. Census unless otherwise indicated. All of the proposed channels could be assigned to the respective communities in conformity with the Commission's minimum distance separation rules and without affecting any of the presently assigned FM channels. No oppositions were filed to any of the proposals. All petitioners state that, if their proposed assignment is made by the Commission. they will promptly file for the facility and, if authorized, will construct a station. The specific channel that has been proposed for each locality and the identity of the respective petitioners are as follows:

RM-2794 Channel 265A to McConnelsville, Ohio (John Wharff).

RM-2795 Channel 289A to St. Marys, West Virginia (D. Robert Eddy).

RM-2804 Channel 288A to Boulder City, Nevada (Robert H. Ruark)

RM-2805 Channel 276A to Canadian, Texas (Cable FM Six).

RM-2807 Channel 280A to Yakutat, Alaska (Lakeside Broadcasting, Inc.)

We believe, for the reasons indicated, that each proposal merits consideration

2. McConnelsville, Ohio (RM-2794). John Wharff (petitioner) filed a petition on November 17, 1976, proposing the assignment of Channel 265A to McConnelsville, Ohio. McConnelsville (pop. 2,107), seat of Morgan County (pop. 12,375), is located in southeastern Ohio, about 39 kilometers (24 miles) from Zanesville. There is no local broadcast transmission service in McConnelsville or Morgan County.

3. In support of his request petitioner states that McConnelsville has a mayorcouncil form of government. We are told it is a farming and lumbering area with several small industrial concerns. In 1972, the retail sales in the county amounted to \$15,183,000 and the annual payroll to almost \$14,000,000. The county has one weekly newspaper. Petitioner asserts that the proposed assignment would provide a first local aural service for McConnelsville and Morgan County.

4. St. Marys, West Virginia (RM-2795) D. Robert Eddy (petitioner) filed a petition on November 17, 1976, requesting the assignment of Channel 269A to St.

Marys, West Virginia. St. Marys (pop. 2,348), seat of Pleasants County (pop. 7,234), is located about 35 kilometers (22 miles) northeast of Parkersburg. West Virginia. St. Marys has no local aural

broadcast service.

5. Petitioner states that chemical and related industries are located in the area employing over 1,200 people and that an additional 550 work in the retail and service areas. He notes that the annual payroll in the county approaches \$1 million and the assessed valuation of property is about \$37 million. Petitioner adds that, if the channel is assigned, he proposes to experiment with different formats to best provide the first local service, with emphasis on local news, sports, and public affairs. He also states that the proposed station would provide a second aural service to approximately 9,500 people in rural areas of three counties in West Virginia and one county in Ohio.

6. Boulder City, Nevada (RM-2804). Robert H. Ruark (petitioner) filed a petition on November 29, 1976, proposing the assignment of Channel 288A to Boulder City, Nevada. Boulder City (pop. 5,223) 1 in Clark County (pop. 273,288), is located in southeastern Nevada approximately 40 kilometers (25 miles) from Las Vegas, Nevada. It has no local aural broadcast

service.

7. Petitioner states that Boulder City is primarily a tourist area serving visitors to Hoover Dam as well as tourists and sportsmen who utilize the Lake Mead Recreational Area. It is served by a weekly newspaper. Petitioner points out that there is a need for providing coverage of general events and important news items, including storm alerts, nighttime sports, disasters affecting the area, school closings and cancellations. He points to a hope that the station would help stem the flow of consumer spending to larger communities outside of Boulder City by providing new local competitive advertising medium for retail outlets.

8. Canadian, Texas (RM-2805). On December 6, 1976, Cable FM Six (petitioner) filed a petition for the assignment of Channel 276A to Canadian, Texas, Canadian (pop. 2,292), the seat of Hemphill County (pop. 3,084), is located in the northeastern Texas panhandle approximately 156 kilometers (97 miles) northeast of Amarillo, Texas. It has no local aural broadcast service.

Petitioner states that the population of Canadian has readily increased since 1970 from 2,200 to 5,000. Oil and gas production is said to play a key role in the area's healthy economy since 1970, with oil and gas experts predicting the current boom to continue for another 10-20 years. Petitioner asserts that the area is isolated and that an FM radio station would be most helpful in the future development of this progressive area since the nearest broadcasting facility is located some fifty miles away.

 Yakutat, Alaska (RM-2807). On October 6, 1976, Lakeside Broadcasting. Inc. (petitioner) filed a petition for the assignment of Channel 280A to Yakutat, Alaska. Yakutat (pop. 190) in Yukon-Koyukuk Census Division (pop. 4,752) is located approximately 330 kilometers (250 miles) northeast of Juneau, Alaska. There is no local aural broadcast service

in Yakutat.

11. Petitioner states that, if the channel is assigned, it intends to apply for an FM 10 watt educational station. It asserts that, since Yakutat is not accessible by any other means of transportation than air, it would be of great benefit for the youth of the community to be exposed to cultural situations other than those found in Yakutat. Petitioner adds that the use of emergency reports would be of great benefit, especially in the summer months when many of the people are fishing in the nearby waters. Up-to-date news would be of value to the people who only receive news by way of newspapers that are several days old by the time they arrive at Yakutat; and local government would have a reliable vehicle in which to keep the people informed as they endeavor to continue with the processes of government.

12. Since McConnelsville, Ohio; St. Marys, West Virginia; and Yakutat. Alaska, are within 250 miles of the Canadian-U.S. border, the assignment of these channels to their respective communities requires coordination with the Canadian Government.

13. In light of the above, the Commission proposes to amend the FM Table of

in a rule making proceeding. A brief description of each petition follows.

<sup>1</sup> The City Manager estimated the population of Boulder City to be 8,000 in March

<sup>2</sup> Petitioner has not shown that it is an educational entity or organization eligible to become a licensee of an educational station. Otherwise it would be subject to the effective radiated power minimum of 100 watts specified for commercial FM stations. In its comments petitioner should provide information regarding its eligibility as an educational entity or its willingness to meet the power minimum for commercial stations.

Commissioner Lee absent.

Assignments, § 73.202(b), as follows with regard to the communities listed below:

§ 73.202 Table of Assignments.

 City
 Channel

 No.
 Alaska: Yakutat
 280A

 Nevada: Boulder Cltq
 288A

 Ohio: McConnelsville
 265A

 Texas: Canadian
 276A

 West Virginia: St. Marys
 269A

14. Authority to institute rule making proceedings; showings required; cut-off procedures; and filing requirements are contained in the attached Appendix and are incorporated herein.

15. Interested parties may file comments on or before March 28, 1977, and rely comments on or before April 18,

> FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION, WALLACE E. JOHNSON, Chief, Broadcast Bureau.

1. Pursuant to authority found in Sections 4(i), 5(d) (1), 303 (g) and (r), and 307(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and § 0.281(b) (6) of the Commission's Rules, it is proposed to amend the FM Table of Assignments, § 73.202(b) of the Commission's Rules and Regulations, as set forth in this Notice of Proposed Rule Making.

2. Showings required. Comments are invited on the proposal(s) discussed in this Notice of Proposed Rule Making. Proponent(s) will be expected to answer whatever questions are presented in initial comments. The proponent of a proposed assignment is also expected to file comments even if it only resubmits or incorporates by reference its former pleadings. It should also restate its present intention to apply for the channel if it is assigned, and, if authorized, to build the station promptly. Fallure to file may lead to denial of the request.

 Cut-off procedures. The following procedures will govern the consideration of filings in this proceeding.

(a) Counterproposals advanced in this proceeding itself will be considered, if advanced in initial comments, so that parties may comment on them in reply comments. They will not be considered if advanced in reply comments. (See § 1.420 (d) of Commission Rules.)

(b) With respect to petitions for rule making which conflict with the proposal(s) in this Notice, they will be considered as comments in the proceeding, and Public Notice to this effect will be given as long as they are filed before the date for filing initial comments herein. If filed later than that, they will not be considered in connection with the decision in this docket.

4. Comments and reply comments; service. Pursuant to applicable procedures set out in §§ 1.415 and 1.420 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations, interested parties may file comments and reply comments on or before the dates set forth in this Notice of Proposed Rule Making. All submissions by parties to this proceeding or persons acting on behalf of such parties must be made in

written comments, reply comments, or other appropriate pleadings. Comments shall be served on the petitioner by the person filing the comments. Reply comments shall be served on the person(s) who filed comments to which the reply is directed. Such comments and reply comments shall be accompanied by a certificate of service. (See § 1.420(a), (b) and (c) of the Commission Rules.)

5. Number of copies. In accordance with the provisions of § 1.420 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations, an original and four copies of all comments, reply comments, pleadings, briefs, or other documents shall be furnished the Commission.

6. Public inspection of filings. All filings made in this proceeding will be available for examination by interested parties during regular business hours in the Commission's Public Reference Room at its headquarters, 1919 M Street, N.W., Washington, D.C.

[FR Doc.77-5638 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

# [ 47 CFR Part 73 ]

[Doc. No. 21119; RM 2731]

# FM BROADCAST STATIONS IN GILLETTE,

**Proposed Change in Table of Assignments** 

Adopted: February 11, 1977. Released: February 18, 1977.

In the matter of the amendment of § 73,202(b), Table of Assignments, FM Broadcast Stations. (Gillette, Wyoming).

1. Petitioner, Proposal and Comments.
(a) Notice of Proposed Rule Making is given concerning amendment of the FM Table of Assignments (§ 73.202(b) of the Commission's Rules and Regulations) as concerns Gillette, Wyoming.

(b) A petition for rule making was filed by Gillette Broadcasting Company ("petitioner") through its attorneys, proposing the assignment of both Channel 245 and Channel 264 to Gillette, Wyoming, as the second and third FM assignments to the community. No oppositions have been received.

2. Community data. (a) Location. Gillette, seat of Campbell County, is located in the center of Wyoming's five northeastern counties and is about midway between the Black Hills, 105 kilometers (65 miles) to the east, and the Big Horns, 96 kilometers (60 miles) to the west.

(b) Population. Petitioner asserts that Gillette is the seventh largest city in Wyoming with 7,194 persons and that Campbell County's population is 12,957.\textsupersons Although the population is small by eastern standards, petitioner indicates that growth has been phenomenal with a 328 percent increase from 1950-1970. Data was furnished which predicts an additional 282 percent population increase for Gillette and a 208 percent increase for Campbell County over 1970 Census figures by 1985.

(c) Local broadcast service. At present, Gillette and Campbell County are served by AM Station KIML, which operates

with five kilowatts day and one kilowatt night, and FM Station KOLL-FM, a three kilowatt Class A facility on Channel 228A. Petitioner alleges that because Station KIML employs a directional antenna at night it is unable to serve all of Campbell County between sunset and sunrise and that KOLL-FM cannot serve the entire county because of its low power. Petitioner's current request does not ask for the deletion of the currently assigned Class A channel, but rather, requests that two Class C channels be assigned since he alleges that full power Class C facilities are needed to provide the necessary service over the wide area involved."

(d) Economic data. In the early 1950's the largest and most productive oil field in the state of Wyoming was opened in Campbell County, From 1960 to 1974, petroleum production increased by almost 500 percent. Petitioner alleges that the area is rich in oil, natural gas, uranium and coal and quotes a United States Bureau of Mines estimate that 12.1 billion tons of low sulphur coal is found in Campbell County which contains 87 percent of the strippable coal reserves in the State's Powder River Basin. In this era of high energy demand and dwindling natural resources, petitioner alleges that this mineral wealth of Campbell County virtually insures the economic growth of

3. Preclusion studies. Petitioner's engineering study showed that the assignment of Channel 245 to Gillette will cause preclusion to eight communities with over 2,000 population. Of the eight communities Casper, Wyoming, and Deadwood, South Dakota, each have an unused Class C allocation, Each of the remaining six communities is shown to have at least three Class C channels available for allocation to each community. Assignment of Channel 264 to Gillette will cause preclusions to ten communities with over 2,000 population. Of the ten communities Miles City, Montana, and Buffalo, Cody and Worland,

\*Petitioner wants one Class C channel to meet its current needs and one additional channel to provide an opportunity for comparable facilities should the operator of Station KOLL-FM or another FM applicant desire to apply for the assignment at some future date.

future date.

<sup>†</sup>Casper, Wyoming (pop. 39,361) Channels 245, 246; Douglas, Wyoming (pop. 2,677) Channels 245, 246; Wheatland, Wyoming (pop. 2,498) Channel 245; Belle Fourche, South Dakota (pop. 4,236) Channels 2444, 246; Deadwood, South Dakota (pop. 2,409) Channels 244A, 246; Lead, South Dakota (pop. 5,420) Channels 244, 246; Spearfish, South Dakota (pop. 4,661) Channels 224A, 246; Sturgis, South Dakota (pop. 4,536) Channels 244A, 246.

\*Buffato, Wyoming (pop. 3.394) Channels 261A, 263, 264, 265A, 266, 267; Cody, Wyoming 4pop. 3.161) Channel 264; Lovell, Wyoming (pop. 2.371) Channel 264; Powell, Wyoming (pop. 4.807) Channel 264; Riverton, Wyoming (pop. 7.995) Channel 264; Sheridan, Wyoming (pop. 10.586) Channels 263, 265A; Thermopolis, Wyoming (pop. 3.063) Channels 263, 264; Worland, Wyoming (pop. 5.055) Channels 263, 264; Hardin, Montana (pop. 2.733) Channels 263, 164; and Miles City, Montana (pop. 9.023) Channel 263.

<sup>1 1970</sup> U.S. Census figures.

Wyoming, each have an unused Class A allocation. Thermopolis, Wyoming, has two unused Class A allocations and Powell, Wyoming, has an unused Class C allocation. Each of the remaining four communities is shown to have at least two Class A and three Class C channels available for allocation to each community.

- 4. Roanoke Rapids study. Since pecitioner is requesting Class C assignments in a community of the size where Class A channels would normally be assigned, a Roanoke Rapids analysis is necessary," and in this connection petitioner has made a showing which indicates that the assignment of either of the two requested channels will provide a first FM service to 2.611 persons in an area of 8,250 square kilometers (3,188 square miles) and a second FM service to 7,958 persons in an area of 1,710 square kilometers (660 square miles). First nighttime aural service would also be provided to 2,611 persons and second nighttime aural service to 381 persons.
- 5. Comments. (a) Although it has been general Commission policy to assign Class C channels only to communities of over 10,000 persons, exceptions have been made when the assignment would result in a large first or second FM service or when the assignment of a Class C channel would enable a large rural area to be served." Additionally, although population criteria is a factor in determining how many channels are assigned to a particular community, the unusual nature of Wyoming's population distribution indicates that two channels would be appropriate to provide FM service to the area and insure the opportunity for residents to have a choice of FM service." Since petitioner's pleadings indicate that Gillette could fall within one or more exceptions to our general policy regarding Class C assignments, a rule making proceeding is appropriate to further evaluate petitioner's request.
- (b) Because petitioner has not requested removal of the currently assigned Class A channel, but rather has only requested the addition of two Class C channels, it appears that the petition fails to promote the desirable competitive balance we seek to achieve in our policy against intermixture of classes in the same community. In the recent Commission Report and Order involving Mitchell, South Dakota, a similar situation existed where the Commission considered three alternatives and decided to remove the Class A assignment and assign two Class C channels even

though the Class A license holder objected." In the present case, no oppositions were received from KOLL-FM (the current Class A channel in Gillette). Since KOLL-FM could be affected if we were to follow the Mitchell precedent, KOLL-FM must be given an opportunity to indicate whether it consents to or is opposed to the proposed change of its channel assignment and this Order to Show Cause is adopted for that purpose. Its general comments on the appropriateness of the proposal are also invited.

PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO THE FM TABLE OF ASSIGNMENTS

6. In view of the above, the Commission proposes to amend the FM Table of Assignments (§ 73.202(b) of the Commission's Rules and Regulations) with regard to the community below as follows.

§ 73.202 Table of Assignments.

- 7. It is ordered, That pursuant to Section 316(a) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, the licensee of Station KOLL-FM, Gillette, Wyoming shall show cause why its license should not be modified to specify operation on either Channel 245 or 264 if the Commission determines that the public interest would best be served by adopting the proposed assignments.
- 8. Pursuant to § 1.87 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations, the licensee of Station KOLL-FM, Gillette, Wyoming, may, not later than March 28, 1977, request that a hearing be held on the proposed modification. Pursuant to § 1.87 (f), if the right to request a hearing is waived, KOLL-FM may, not later than March 28, 1977, file a written statement showing with particularity why its license should not be modified as proposed in this Order to Show Cause. In this case, the Commission may call on KOLL-FM to furnish additional information, designate the matter for hearing, or issue, without further proceedings, an Order modifying the license as provided in the Order to Show Cause. If the right to request a hearing is waived and no written statement is filed by the date referred to above, KOLL-FM will be deemed to consent to modification as proposed in the Order to Show Cause and a final Order will be issued by the Commission, if the channel changes mentioned above are found to be in the public interest.
- 9. Authority. The Commission's authority to institute rulemaking proceedings, showings required, cut-off procedures, and filing requirements are contained in the attached Appendix and are incorporated by reference herein.

persons and parties may file comments on or before March 28, 1977, and reply comments on or before April 18, 1977. 11. It is further ordered, That the Secretary of the Commission shall send a

10. Comments and replies. Interested

retary of the Commission shall send a copy of this Order by certified mail, return receipt requested, to Midland Broadcasting Company of Wyoming, 917 East Longmont, Gillette, Wyoming 82716, the party to whom the Order to Show Cause is directed.

Federal Communications
Commission,
Wallace E. Johnson,
Chief, Broadcast Bureau.

- 1. Pursuant to authority found in Sections 4(i), 5(d) (1), 303 (g) and (r), and 307(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and § 0.281(b) (6) of the Commission's Rules, it is proposed to amend the FM Table of Assignments, § 73.202(b) of the Commission's Rules and Regulations, as set forth in this Notice of Proposed Rule Making.
- 2. Showings required. Comments are invited on the proposal(s) discussed in this Notice of Proposed Rule Making. Proponent(s) will be expected to answer whatever questions are presented in initial comments. The proponent of a proposed assignment is also expected to file comments even if it only resubmits or incorporates by reference its former pleadings. It should also restate its present intention to apply for the channel if it is assigned, and, if authorized, to build the station promptly. Failure to file may lead to denial of the request.
- Cut-off procedures. The following procedures will govern the consideration of filings in this proceeding.
- (a) Counterproposals advanced in this proceeding itself will be considered, if advanced in initial comments, so that parties may comment on them in reply comments. They will not be considered if advanced in reply comments. (See § 1.420(d) of Commission Rules.)
- (b) With respect to petitions for rule making which conflict with the proposal(s) in this Notice, they will be considered as comments in the proceeding, and Public Notice to this effect will be given as long as they are filed before the date for filing initial comments herein. If filed later than that, they will not be considered in connection with the decision in this docket.
- 4. Comments and reply comments; service. Pursuant to applicable procedures set out in §§ 1.415 and 1.420 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations, interested parties may file comments and reply comments on or before the dates set forth in this Notice of Proposed Rule Making. All submissions by parties to this proceeding or persons acting on behalf of such parties must be made in written comments, reply comments, or other appropriate pleadings. Comments shall be served on the petitioner by the person filing the comments. Reply comments shall be served on the person(s) who filed comments to which the reply is directed. Such comments and reply comments

Mitchell, South Dakota, Report and Order, F.C.C. 76-1002, 41 FR 49101.

See Roanoke Rapids, 9 F.C.C. 2d 672 (1967).

<sup>\*</sup>Lyons, Kansas, 42 F.C.C. 2d 215, 216 (1973); Lexington, Missouri, 53 F.C.C. 2d 893

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Clinton, Oklahoma, 7 F.C.C. 2d 836, 839 (1967); Saratoga, Wyoming, 41 FR 26575, 37 R.R. 2d 813 (1976).

\*Sault Ste. Marie, Michigan, 44 F.C.C. 2d

<sup>786, 792 (1974).</sup> 

See e.g., Fayetteville, North Carolina, 47 P.C.C. 2d 1067, 1071 (1974).

shall be accompanied by a certificate of service. (See § 1.420 (a), (b) and (c) of

the Commission Rules,)

5. Number of copies. In accordance with the provisions of \$ 1.420 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations, an original and four copies of all comments, reply comments, pleadings, briefs, or other documents shall be furnished the Commission.

6. Public inspection of filings. All filings made in this proceeding will be available for examination by interested parties during regular business hours in the Commission's Public Reference Room at its headquarters, 1919 M Street, N.W., Washington, D.C.

[FR Doc.77-8637 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

# [ 47 CFR Part 73 ]

[Docket No. 21115; FCC 77-115]

RADIO BROADCAST SERVICES; PRIME TIME ACCESS RULE; TOP 50 MARKET STANDARD

Memorandum Opinion and Order and Advanced Notice of Proposed Rulemaking

Adopted: February 10, 1977. Released: February 18, 1977.

In the matter of the effect of changes in TV market rank on applicability of prime time access rule (§ 73.658(k)). Request of Station WTOL-TV, Toledo, Ohio, for temporary waiver of prime time access rule.

1. This document, and the rule-making proceeding instituted herein, involve the question of how to apply the primetime access rule (§ 73.658(k)), and its "top 50 market" standard, to markets and stations which come into and leave the "top 50" markets from time to time. We recognize that a problem exists in this area, as described below, and we believe that an amendment to the primetime access rule would provide the best

solution.

2. Background. The question has been raised occasionally before, and now specifically in the above-captioned waiver request filed on behalf of Station WTOL-TV, Toledo, Ohio, and supported by the other two Toledo commercial stations. Basically, the problem relates to the use of off-network programs during "access time". WTOL-TV's claim, discussed more fully below, is that Toledo's rise to a position where it will be in the top 50 for 1977-78 comes as a surprise, making intelligent program-planning impossible,

and that unless the rule is waived the station will be unable to use appropriately some costly off-network series material which it bought recently in the reasonable expectation that the market would continue to be below the top 50.

3. Under § 73.658(k), as modified in May 1975 and in effect since September 1975, the rule applies to network-owned or affiliated stations "in the 50 largest television markets (see NOTE 1 to this paragraph) \* \* ." Note 1 states that:

The top 50 markets to which this paragraph applies are the 50 largest markets in terms of prime time audience for all stations in the market, as listed each year in the Arbitron publication "Television Market Anal-This publication is currently issued each November, and shortly thereafter the Commission will issue a list of markets to which the rule will apply for the year starting the following September.

Under the arrangement contemplated by the rule, stations have several months notice as to whether they will be covered by the rule, following the Commission's listing early in the calendar year, or more than 9 months notice following issuance of the Arbitron publication (now called "Television Markets and Rankings Guide") each November, if they wish to consult this publication directly or inquire of the Commission staff.

4. Formerly, the Arbitron listing each year was based on the average of three audience "sweeps" - February/March, late spring, and fall. It appears that currently the November Arbitron ranking is based entirely on the previous February/ March sweep, whose results are published some time in April. To the extent that stations get this information-as WTOL-TV has done-they are able to have more than 16 months notice, from April of one year to September of the following year. However, according to the petition, this is not sufficient.

5. In its "Petition for Waiver," WTOL-TV calls attention to the shifting position of the Toledo market in relation to the rule-53rd in 1971-72 (the first year of the rule), 50th and subject to the rule the next two years, 51st in 1974-75, 53rd in 1975-76 and 1976-77, and now for 1977-78 about to be No. 50 and therefore again subject to the rule. It is claimed that "The uncertainties created by these undesirable market gyrations are manifestly inconsistent with the Commission's intent to instill certainty into its access policy." WTOL-TV states that there are numerous other markets and stations with the same uncertainties, for example 7 markets have been No. 51 and 6 markets have been No. 49 since 1971; but so far the Commission has paid little attention to this matter "-in its 1974

In the PTAR revision proceeding (Docket 19622), suggestions concerning this subject were advanced at oral argument in July 1973, including use of a standard other than prime time homes, and giving stations considerably more lead time such as 3 years (although it is not entirely clear, it appears that a guaranteed longer period of status in relation to the rule, such as 3 years either under it or outside, was also sought). The January 1974 decision (44 FCC 2d 1081, 1145)

decision concerning the rule, rejecting suggesions which had been made but suggesting that separate petitions be filed-and a compelling problem exists requiring attention and relief, particularly in light of recent developments. WTOL-TV also claims that the present practice of basing the ranking on only one sweep makes it particularly arbitrary and subject to vagaries, such as extreme weather conditions during the period: this especially is likely to be significant because of the small differences between markets at about the 50 level (a tie for 50 and 51 in 1971-72, and a maximum difference since then of 10,000 homes, with an average difference over the 7 years of about 4,300 homes between the 50th and 51st markets).

6. It is claimed that since Toledo has been out of the top 50 for four of the last 6 years (including the last three years), WTOL-TV was in the position of assuming that it probably would so continue. Therefore, it made heavy and long-term financial commitments in popular offnetwork programs, including "The Brady Bunch", "My Three Sons", "Family Affair" and "The Mary Tyler Moore Show" costing from \$40,000 to well over \$200,000 for periods from 2 to 7 years." It is claimed that such heavy advance commitments are necessitated by changes in program buying practices in recent years. prompted by the advent into syndication of certain extremely popular network shows (Ironsides, Welby, MASH, etc.) and a highly competitive demand situation for them. Commitments for long periods, considerably in advance, are now required. Thus, while in 1971 the rule's arrangement may have provided adequate lead time, this certainly is not true now, and some reasonable adjustment is clearly needed to permit more adequate planning. Accordingly, WTOL-TV asks that the rule be waived for it until the Toledo market is in the top 50 for three consecutive years. This, it is said, would give certainty and permit the stations needed flexibility in buying, and would not "adversely affect the program base for the rule." Rather, the resulting list would reflect more nearly actual growth trends. It is also asserted that while offnetwork commitments are what is directly involved here, a more stable situation might work to encourage the commitment of capital to non-network programming, required if such material is to attain the needed standard of excellence.

declined to adopt such suggestions chiefly because they were advanced much too late to permit adequate exploration and also considering the small number of changes each year. It was stated that these questions might be raised by separate petition for rulemaking.

According to further information submitted by WTOL-TV, the contracts for the four series were entered into at various dates between May 1975 and April 1976 (before the ARB ratings were published), and they ran for periods of 2, 3, 4 and 7 years from their starting dates. The starting dates were September 1975, September 1976 or September

<sup>1</sup> In general, the rule limits network-owned and affiliated stations in the top 50 markets to no more than three hours of network and off-network programs each evening during prime time (7-11 p.m. E.T., etc.). In practice under the rule, the national networks pro-vide only that amount of prime-time programming which their regular major-market affiliates may carry; therefore it makes little difference, with respect to network programming, whether or not a given station falls under the rule. The question really in-volved is whether a particular station may or may not carry off-network programs during access time without their counting toward the permissible three hours.

7. The other two Toledo commercial stations, WDHO-TV (ABC) and WSPD-TV (NBC) filed statements supporting WTOL-TV, both asserting the need, under present conditions and in a very competitive market, for long-term and advance commitments. WSPD-TV states that "It is neither equitable nor productive in any sense to declare at that point that the program commitments and investments made in preceding years should be jettisoned because of the vagaries of one rating period." WSPD-TV asserts that it too has made advance commitments on the same assumption, mentioning five series (Adam 12, Ironsides, etc.) bought for periods from 3 to 6 years, at prices from \$62,000 to well over \$100,000.

8. Changes in market status under the rule. At the end of 1977-78, there will have been seven years of PTAR, and 7 listings of top 50 markets. Nine markets will have been under the rule in one or more, but not all, of these years. Toledo will have changed status three times, as mentioned above (entry, dropout and reentry); Salt Lake City, also reentering for 1977-78, will have had four changes; Greensboro-Winston Salem-High Point will have had three; three markets will have had two changes and three only one during the period. Since 1972-73, no new market has entered the top 50 for the first time.

DISCUSSION, CONCLUSIONS AND QUESTIONS

9. WTOL-TV's immediate request. We must decline to grant WTOL-TV's specfic request for action to cure its present problems with program commitments for the 1977-78 season. Neither WTOL-TV nor either of the other Toledo stations claims economic hardship. While they appear to have made substantial commitments to purchase off-network programs on the assumption that the material could be used during "access time," it is not shown that these agreements could not be modified, or that the programs could not be scheduled, instead, at other times which would permit recovery of some, if not all, of the sums invested (or use of the material in access time by preempting network programs). The stations entered into these agreements with full knowledge of the rule. which had applied in Toledo before, and do not now have a meritorious claim for relief from developments which should not have been highly unexpected and which could have been avoided by the exercise of reasonable prudence, when such relief would have results inconsistent with the objective of the rule involved. Were we to hold the rule inapplicable to Toledo for this coming broadcast year without taking steps to substitute another market, this would leave the rule applicable to only 49 markets, and (according to WTOL-TV's data) to 269,-000 fewer TV homes than would otherwise be the case. This would be inconsistent with the objective of providing access to valuable prime time in 50 major markets, to further the development of new non-network material.

10. Proposed amendment. However, the facts before us suggest that there may well be a legitimate problem with the application of the "top 50 market" standard to markets which, like Toledo and some others, are close to the line and enter and leave the top 50 group with some frequency. It appears that, as WTOL-TV points out and other information also indicates, there now exists a market for off-network material characterized by highly competitive demand for a small number of very popular series which have recently ended or are close to ending their network run. Stations are thus faced with the need to make programming decisions well in advance, involving the commitment of extensive sums, and the present arrangement for establishing the top 50 PTAR markets for any given broadcast year may no longer be sufficient to permit adequate advance notice and planning in light of the commercial realities of the syndicated program marketplace. We are of the view that more ample lead time can be provided to affected stations, in these rather few markets, without sacrificing the primary goal of the rule, stated above. It is noted in this connection that while the applicability of the rule to 50 markets is important, it is much less significant, at the lower end of that group, which particular ones are in-cluded, in view of the small differences between markets around 50 pointed out

11. In order to give considerably more notice-nearly three years, or about two years more than at present-we are proposing the rule amendment summarized in the next paragraph. It should be noted that, as at present, once the rule goes into full effect a particular list of markets would apply for only one year. We do not believe that it would be appropriate to increase the period of time to which a given listing should apply, such as two years or three years, since this might tend to increase the purchase of off-network material at the expense of new non-network material. However, comments on this point are invited.

12. The amended rule § 73.658(k) would operate as follows:

(a) Starting with the broadcast year 1980-1981, the top 50 markets for PTAR purposes will be those listed as the top 50 in the Arbitron television markets ranking issued some 34 months before, or in November or December of the third previous year; the markets for 1980-81 would be those listed in the November or December 1977 ranking. The markets for 1981-82 would be based on the November or December 1978 ranking.

(b) With respect to the years 1978-79 and 1979-1980, we are presently of the view that these should be governed by the list which will be issued by Arbitron in November or December of this year, 1977. Comments are invited on whether, instead, the list applicable in 1977-78 should be applied to one or both of the following years.

13. We invite comments on the proposed change in the rule, as well as on variations or alternatives thereto, or retention of the status quo, and on matters mentioned in pars. 11 and 12(b), above.

SUMMARY AND PROCEDURAL MATTERS

14. In view of the foregoing:

(a) Comments are invited upon:

(1) the adoption of a change in § 73.658(k), the prime time access rule, to provide that the rule will apply to stations in the top-50 market, based on the Arbitron market ranking issued in November or December, some 34 months before the September of the year to which it would apply (see par. 12(a), above).

(2) Alternatives or variations of that proposal, or maintaining the present provisions of § 73.658(k); and

(3) When any change in § 73.658(k) should become effective; see par. 12(b) above.

(b) The "Petition for Waiver" filed on July 23, 1976, by Cosmos Broadcasting Corporation (WTOL-TV, Toledo, Ohio) is denied, except to the extent indicated above.

15. Authority for adoption of rules discussed herein is contained in §§ 4(1) and 303 (g), (i) and (r) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.

16. Pursuant to applicable procedures set forth in § 1.415 of the Commission's Rules, interested parties may file comments herein on or before April 1, 1977 and reply comments on or before May 2, 1977. All relevant and timely comments will be considered by the Commission before final action is taken in this proceeding. In reaching its decision herein, the Commission may take into account other relevant information before it, in addition to the comments invited by this Notice.

17. In accordance with the provisions of § 1.419 of the Rules, an original and 5 copies of all comments, replies, pleadings, briefs and other documents shall be furnished the Commission. All filings made in this proceeding will be made available for examination by interested parties during regular business hours in the Commission's Public Reference Room at its headquarters, 1919 M Street, N.W., Washington, D.C.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,\* VINCENT J. MULLINS, Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-5636 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

<sup>&#</sup>x27;Salt Lake City was under the rule the first two years, out in 1973-74, in for the next two years, out in 1976-77 and in for 1977-78. Oriando-Daytona Beach, Syracuse and Wilkes Barre-Scranton have changed twice: Little Rock, San Diego and Norfolk will have had one change (the first two respectively left and entered the top 50 in 1972-73 and have remained so; Norfolk has been under the rule through this year but will drop out for 1977-78).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> If the Salt Lake City market, which also has been in and out of the top 50 in the past and will re-enter in 1977-78, were excluded as well as Toledo, the homes lost to the rule would total 589,000.

<sup>\*</sup> Commissioner Lee absent.

nancing.

# DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Railroad Administration

[ 49 CFR Part 258 ] [Docket No. 76-01]

RAILROAD REVITALIZATION AND REGU-

AMENDED
Proposed Standards for Evaluations and Determinations and Other Miscellane-

ous Amendments; Extension of Com-

ment Period

This notice extends the period for comments to the notice, published January 25, 1977 (42 FR 4660), proposing evaluation standards for preference share fi-

The American Association of Railroads ("AAR") requested that the comment period be extended for two weeks, to March 4, 1977. The Federal Railroad Administration has determined that an additional eight days is a reasonable extension of the comment closing period given the complexity of the subject matter of the proposed rule. The comment period is hereby extended to March 4,

Dated: February 22, 1977.

BRUCE M. FLOHR, Deputy Administrator, Federal Railroad Administration.

[FR Doc.77-5727 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[ 49 CFR Parts 571, 581 ]

[Docket Nos. 74-11; 73-19; Notice 13; 10]

# MOTOR VEHICLE SAFETY AND DAMAGE STANDARDS

Proposed Amendments to Bumper Requirements

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration.

ACTION: Proposed Rule.

SUMMARY: This notice proposes to delay for 1 year, until September 1, 1980, the effective date for the second phase of requirements prescribed under 49 CFR Part 581, Bumper Standard. The action is taken in response to petitions for reconsideration of the final rule establishing Part 581 (41 FR 9346, March 4, 1976). Under this proposal, manufacturers would be required to meet the first phase of bumper requirements (effective September 1, 1978) for two years before having to meet the more stringent second phase requirements.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before April 11, 1977. Proposed effective date: The change in the text of the rule would be effective June 1, 1977.

ADDRESS: Comments should refer to the docket numbers and be submitted to: Docket Section, Room 5219, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, D.C. 20590. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Guy Hunter, Motor Vehicle Programs, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, Washington, D.C. 20590. (202-426-2264).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On March 4, 1976, the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) published a Federal Register notice establishing a new bumper standard that limits damage to vehicle bumpers and other vehicle surfaces in low-speed collisions. As issued, the new standard provides that all passenger cars manufactured on or after September 1, 1978, must be capable of undergoing prescribed pendulum and barrier crash tests while experiencing damage only to the vehicle bumper and those components that attach it to the vehicle frame. The standard also provides that passenger cars manufactured on or after September 1, 1979, must be capable of undergoing the same tests while experiencing no damage to vehicle exterior surfaces except on the bumper, where dents not exceeding 3/8 inch and set not exceeding 3/4 inch may occur.

Petitions for reconsideration from General Motors and Ford Motor Company submitted in response to the final rule form the basis for this proposal. General Motors objected to the prescribed escalation of the bumper requirements for September 1, 1979, only 1 year after the standard's initial effective date, on the grounds that compliance with two sets of bumper requirements within such a short period of time would result in unrecoverable costs relating to research, design, development, and tooling. According to their petition, optimization of a bumper system produced in compliance with requirements scheduled to be effective for only 1 year would not be feasible.

Ford stated in its petition that compliance with Part 581 with entail some redesign of the automobile. It requested that the effective date for implementation of the bumper requirements be delayed until September 1, 1980, in order that the necessary design changes may be made concurrently with ones scheduled to be made in order to comply with fuel economy provisions of the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (Pub. L. 94-163).

The NHTSA has tentatively concluded that petitioners' suggestions have merit. The primary goal of Part 581 is to reduce consumer costs occasioned by low-speed collisions. A bumper system that complies with the requirements of Part 581, yet costs and weighs an unnecessarily excessive amount, does not meet the intent of the Act. As stated in earlier notices, the agency has reason to believe that automobile bumpers can be designed more efficiently than they are at present. However, the NHTSA also recognizes that significant optimization of a bumper system may not be effectively accomplished when the performance level mandated in the standard is scheduled to change after only one model year.

With regard to the Ford petition, the NHTSA finds that consideration of the effect of compliance with motor vehicle standards on the achievement of the fuel economy levels mandated by Congress in the Energy Policy and Conservation Act is appropriate. Since a determination has been made that bumpers account for a significant amount of vehicle weight, it can be expected that major redesign of the bumper system will be in order when the more stringent second phase bumper requirements become effective. The existance of both a bumper damagebility standard and the fuel economy standards means that manufacturers will have to concentrate on upgrading the damage resistance of bumper systems, while reducing bumper weight. It would appear that design changes to accommodate both goals could be most effectively and economically accomplished simultaneously.

Although Ford requested that implementation of the entire Part 581 standard be delayed until 1980, the agency has concluded that delay in application of the first phase requirements would not be justified. It has been determined that most cars already come close to meeting the performance level specified for September 1, 1978. Thus, major redesign to conform to the initial requirements would probably not be necessary.

The agency has tentatively determined that a 1-year delay, until September 1, 1980, in the effective date for the second phase of bumper requirements is advisable. It would appear that maintenance of the initial phase of bumper requirements for a period of 2 years until September 1, 1980, is sufficient time for manufacturers to produce systems that produce systems that meet those requirements in an efficient manner. In addition, a 1-year delay would enable major bumper design changes undertaken in reaction to both Part 581 and the Energy Act to be made simultaneously.

Under this proposal, the initial phase of bumper requirements would go into effect on schedule (September 1, 1978). Thus, consumers would begin enjoying the benefits of the Part 581 damageability standard without delay. During the 2-year interim period between implementation of the initial requirements and the proposed date for implementation of the second phase requirements, manufacturers could be preparing for an efficient and economical transition to bumpers that will comply with the more stringent, permanent Part 581 requirements.

In consideration of the foregoing, it is proposed that Section S5 of 49 CFR Part 581 be amended as follows:

(1) In S5.2 the date "September 1, 1979" in the title and the text is changed to "September 1, 1980."

(2) In S5.3.8 the phrase "from September 1, 1978 to August 31, 1979" is changed to "from September 1, 1978 to August 31, 1980."

(3) In S5.3.10 the date "September 1, 1979" is changed to "September 1, 1980."

Interested persons are invited to submit comments on the proposal. It is re-

quested but not required that 10 copies be submitted.

All comments received before the close of business on the comment closing date indicated above will be considered, and will be available for examination in the docket at the above address both before and after that date. To the extent possible, comments filed after the closing date will also be considered. However, the rulemaking action may proceed at the docket for new material.

any time after that date, and comments received after the closing date and too late for consideration in regard to the action will be treated as suggestions for future rulemaking. The NHTSA will continue to file relevant material as it becomes available in the docket after the closing date, and it is recommended that interested persons continue to examine

(Secs. 103, 119, Pub. L. 89-563, 80 Stat. 718 (15 U.S.C. 1392, 1407); sec. 102, Pub. L. 92-513, 86 Stat. 947 (15 U.S.C. 1912); delegations of authority at 49 CFR 1.50 and 501.8.) Issued on February 22, 1977.

ROBERT L. CARTER.

Associate Administrator, Motor Vehicle Programs.

[FR Doc.77-5724 Filed 2-22-77;2:13 pm]

# notices

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

# DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Marketing Service

REVISED LIST OF WAREHOUSES AND WAREHOUSEMEN LICENSED UNDER U.S. WAREHOUSE ACT

Pursuant to section 26 of the United States Warehouse Act (7 U.S.C. 266), notice is hereby given as follows: As of December 31, 1976, the following warehouses and warehousemen were licensed and bonded under the United States Warehouse Act. This list of warehouses and warehousemen licensed and bonded under the United States Warehouse Act (7 U.S.C. 241 et seq.) supersedes the list published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of March 19, 1976 (41 FR 11567).

## Cotton

For the storage of cotton:

# ALABAMA

Town, Warehouse, and Warehouseman

Atmore; Farmers and Merchants Warehouse; Dan A. Currie, Jack A. Currie and J. Floyd Currie, copartners trading as Atmore Milling and Elevator Company.

Attalla; North Alabama Warehouse; North Alabama Warehouse Company.

Belle Mina; South Limestone Cooperative

Warehouse; South Limestone Cooperative, Birmingham; Gulf Atlantic Warehouse; Gulf Atlantic Distribution Service (division of Anderson, Clayton & Co.)

Decatur; State Bonded Warehouse; State Bonded Warehouse & Storage Company.

Decatur; Union Compress Warehouse; Un-

ion Service Industries, Inc.

Geraldine; Geraldine Warehouse; Geral-dine Warehouse and Storage Company, Inc. Greenbrier: Elliott Bonded Warehouse; George R. Elliott, trading as J. D. Elliott and

Guntersville; Guntersville Warehouse & Storage Co.; J. H. Alford, an individual, trading as Alford Cotton Company.

Haleyville; Haleyville Cotton Warehouse; Haleyville Mill and Gin Company,

Huntsville; Cummings Bonded Warehouse; Cummings Bonded Warehouse, Inc.

Huntsville; Huntsville Warehouse; Huntsville Warehouse Company.

Huntsville; Madison Bonded Warehouse; Madison Bonded Warehouse, Inc.

Huntsville; Planters Warehouse: Planters Warehouse and Storage Company.

McCullough; McCullough Bonded Ware-house: Frank P. Currie, Mobile; Alabama State Docks Bonded

Warehouse; Alabama State Docks Department

Montgomery; Hodges Bonded Warehouse; Hodges Stock Yards, Inc.

Panola; Panola Bonded Warehouse; E. A. Parker, and Merle Walker Parker and W. O. Parker, Jr., Executrix and Executor of the Trust Estate of W. O. Parker, Deceased, trad-ing as Panola Bonded Warehouse.

Scottsboro; Gladish Bonded Warehouse; W. L. Gladish, Jr.

Selma; Dallas Bonded Warehouse; Dallas Compress Company.

Selma; Selma Compress Warehouse; Selma Compress Company.

Sylacauga; Sylacauga Bonded Warehouse; Parker Fertilizer Company, Incorporated.

## ARIZONA

Glendale; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company. Picacho; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company. Yuma; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.

Blytheville; Blytheville Compress Warehouse; Blytheville Compress Company. Blytheville; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company

Bradley; Bradley Bonded Warehouse; Bradley Warehouse, Inc.

Brinkley; Southern Compress Warehouse;

Southern Compress Company.

Clarendon; Clarendon Warehouse; Southern Compress Company.

Cotton Plant; Cotton Plant Warehouse; Cotton Plant Warehouse Company.

Dardanelle; Dardanelle Compress Warehouse; Planters Compress Company

Dell; Dell Compress Warehouse; Dell Compress Company of Dell, Arkansas. Dumas; Federal Compress Warehouse; Fed-

eral Compress & Warehouse Company. Earle; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company

England; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company. Eudora; Federal Compress Warehouse;

Federal Compress & Warehouse Company. Evadale (P.O. Wilson); Wilson Compress Warehouse; Memphis Compress & Storage Company.

Forrest City; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company Helena; Federal Compress Warehouse; Fed-

eral Compress & Warehouse Company Helena; Helena Compress Warehouse; Hel-

ena Compress Company.

Hughes; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company. Jonesboro; Jonesboro Compress Company's Warehouse; B. C. Land Company.

Leachville; Arkansas Compress Warehouse; Arkansas Compress Company, Inc.

Warehouse; Lonoke: Lonoke Bonded Southern Compress Company.

Marianna; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company. Marked Tree; Federal Compress Ware-

house; Federal Compress & Warehouse Com-Marked Tree; Rittço Cotton Warehouse;

Rittco Cotton, A Division of E. Ritter & Company.

Marvell: Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company. McCrory; Federal Compress Warehouse;

Federal Compress & Warehouse Company. McGehee; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company. Newport: Federal Compress Warehouse;

Federal Compress & Warehouse Co.

North Little Rock: Southern Compress Warehouse; Southern Warehouse Co.

Osceola; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company. Pine Bluff; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.

Pine Bluff: Port Pine Bluff Warehouse; Southern Compress Pine Bluff, Inc.

Portland: Federal Compress Warehouse: Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.

Trumann; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.

Walnut Ridge; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Com-

West Memphis; Stapleservice Compress Warehouse; Staple Cotton Services Association (A.A.L.)

Wynne; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.

#### CALIFORNIA

Fresno; Fresno Warehouse; Bayside Warehouse Company (California Compress Divi-

# GEORGIA

Arlington; Ward's Bonded Warehouse; Mrs. Carol Clements Ward.

Atlanta; Gulf Atlantic Warehouse; Gulf Atlantic Distribution Services, (division of Anderson, Clayton & Co.)

Augusta; Georgia-Carolina Warehouse; Georgia-Carolina Warehouse & Compress Company.

Augusta; S. M. Whitney Warehouse; S. M.

Whitney Company, Incorporated.

Bartow; Bryant's Bonded Warehouse; Bryant's Incorporated.

Blakely: Farmers Warehouse: The Maddox Corporation.

Camilla; Camilla Cotton Oil Company Bonded Warehouse: Camilla Cotton Oil Com-Cochran;

Cochran Bonded Warehouse; William Carlton Lawson. Columbus; Bay Street Bonded Warehouse;

Fieldcrest Mills, Inc.

Columbus; W. C. Bradley Co. Warehouse; W. C. Bradley Co. Cordele; Harris and McCutchen Bonded

Warehouse; Harris and McCutchen, Cordele; McCay Bonded Warehouse; McCay Gin and Warehouse Company, Inc.

Cuthbert; Walker & Daniel Bonded Ware-house; N. M. Walker and G. W. Daniel, co-partners, trading as Walker & Daniel, Dawson; Dawson Compress Bonded Ware-

house; Dawson Compress and Storage Com-

Dublin; Lovett and Brinson Bonded Warehouse: Stevens Industries, Inc. DeSoto: DeSoto Bonded Warehouse: De-

Soto Gin and Peanut Co.

Doerun: Taylor's Bonded Cotton Ware-house; Floyd M. Taylor, Jr.; T. Elkin Taylor and Anna T. Brewer, copartners, trading as Taylor Gin and Warehouse,

Dubin; Lovette and Brinson Bonded Warehouse; Lovett and Brinson, Incorporated. Dudley: FSC Bonded Warehouse; Farm

Service Center, Inc. Fitzgerald; Ben Hill Bonded Warehouse; Fitzgerald Oil & Fertilizer Company.

Fitzgerald; Planters Warehouse and Loan Company's Warehouse; Planters Warehouse and Loan Company.

Hawkinsville: Blount's Warehouse: Blount's Warehouse and Gin, Inc.

Hawkinsville: Cochran Bonded Warehouse; William Carlton Lawson.

Leslie; Sumter-Lee Warehouse; Leslie Peanut & Gin Co., Inc.

Louisville: Planters Bonded Warehouse; Hardeman Seed Co., Inc.

Madison; Mason Bonded Warehouse; Mason Gin and Fertilizer Company.

McDonough; The Planters Warehouse; The Planters Warehouse and Lumber Company. Meigs; Meigs Bonded Warehouse; B & J Company, Inc.

Metter: Farmers Union Warehouse; Farmers Union Warehouse of Metter.

Midville; Midville Bonded Warehouse; Mid-

ville Cotton Warehouse Company.

Monroe; Walton Bonded Warehouse; B. R. Anderson, d/b/a Walton Bonded Warehouse. Moultrie: Tayler's Bonded Cotton Ware-house: Floyd M. Taylor, Jr., T. Elkin Taylor and Anna T. Brewer, copartners trading as Taylor Gin and Warehouse.

Parrott; W. M. Dunn's Warehouse; W. G.

Dunn.

Pitts; Shell's Bonded Warehouse; A. C.

Shell, Jr.

Plains; Carter's Bonded Warehouse; James Earl Carter, Jr., William A. Carter, H and Lillian G. Carter, d/b/a Carter's Warehouse. Rome: Commercial Bonded Warehouse; Commercial Bonded Warehouse, Inc.

Rome: Floyd County Bonded Warehouse;

Ployd County Bonded Warehouse, Inc.

Rome: Georgia and Alabama Warehouse;
Georgia and Alabama Warehouse Company. Rome; Rome Warehouse; Ledbetter Trucks,

Rutledge: Hollis Bonded Warehouse; J. W.

Hollis.

Sandersville; Tarbutton Bonded Ware-house; Ben J. Tarbutton, Jr. and Hugh M. Tarbutton, trading as Tarbutton Bonded Warehouse.

Senoia; Senoia Bonded Warehouse A. G. Estes Inc.

Social Circle: Social Circle Bonded Ware-

house; Duval and Co.

Statesboro: Planters Cotton Warehouse; Renfrow Cotton Company, Inc.

Sylvester: Houston Bonded Warehouse; Houston Gin & Warehouse Co.

Tennille: Planters Bonded Warehouse; The Planters Warehouse.

Tennille; Tennille Bonded Warehouse;

Washington Ginning Company.

Vienna; Dooly Bonded Warehouse; John

Hill Harris.

Vienna; J. A. Whitehead & Co. Bonded Warehouse; J. A. Whitehead.

Waynesboro; Burke County Bonded Ware-

house; Mundy, Inc.

Waynesboro; Neely Bonded Cotton Warehouse; Neely Bonded Cotton Warehouse, Inc. Waynesboro: Planters Warehouse; Planters Warehouse Company of Waynesboro. Winder: Smith Bonded Warehouse; Smith

Bonded Warehouse, Inc.

Wrightsville: Lovett & Brinson of Wrightsville Bonded Warehouse; Lovett & Brinson of Wrightsville, Inc.,

Wrightsville: Rowland's Bonded Ware-house: Rowland's Gin and Bonded Warehouse of Wrightsville, Georgia, Inc.

Wrightsville; Union Warehouse; Roche Manufacturing Company.

# LOUISIANA

Alexandria; American Compress Warehouse; Frost-Whited Company, Inc.

Bernice: Lindsey Bonded Warehouse;

Bernice; Lindsey Bonded Warehouse, James D. Lindsey, individually and Donna Ruth Lindsey, trustee for Barbara Jo Lindsey Lindsey Trust and Barsey Trust and Claire Lindsey Trust and Barbara Jo Lindsey, trustee for Donna Ruth Lindsey Trust, co-partners, trading as Lindsey Bonded Warehouse Company.

Delhi; Union Compress Warehouse; Union

Service Industries, Inc.

Ferriday; Union Compress Warehouse; Union Service Industries, Inc. Lake Providence; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.

Lake Providence; H. & W. Warehouse; H. & W. Warehouse, Inc.

Lake Providence; Hollybrook Warehouse; Hollybrook Warehouse, Inc.

Mansfield; Mansfield Bonded Warehouse; Riemer Calhoun.

Mer Rouge; Louisiana Cotton Warehouses; Louisiana Cotton Warehouses Company, Inc. Monroe; Pederal Compress Warehouse; Pederal Compress & Warehouse Company.

Natchitoches; American Compress Warehouse; Frost-Whited Company, Inc.

New Orleans; Shippers Compress Warehouse; Meta Davis Atkinson, Clifford Atkinson, Jr., and Eugene Atkinson, Jr., trading as Atkinson & Company

Newellton; Federal Compress Warehouse; Pederal Compress & Warehouse Company.
Oak Grove; Union Compress Warehouse;

Union Service Industries, Inc.

Plain Dealing: Farmers-Merchants Ware-house & Storage Company, Inc.; Farmers-Merchants Warehouse & Storage Company,

Rayville: Rayville Compress Warehouse; Rayville Compress & Warehouse Cooperative. Shreveport; American Compress Ware-house; Prost-Whited Company, Inc. Tallulah; Federal Compress Warehouse;

Federal Compress & Warehouse Company. Winnsboro; Union Compress Warehouse: Union Service Industries, Inc.

## MISSISSIPPI

Aberdeen: Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.

Batesville; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company. Belzoni; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.

Booneville: Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company. Canton; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.

Carthage; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company. Clarksdale; Dunavant Warehouse; Dunavant Enterprises, Inc.

Clarksdale; Federal Compress Warehouse; Pederal Compress & Warehouse Company. Clarksdale; North Delta Compress Warehouse; North Delta Compress & Warehouse

Cleveland; Federal Compress Warehouse; Pederal Compress & Warehouse Company.

Como; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.

Co.

Corinth; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company. Drew; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company

Drew; National Compress Warehouse; MFC Services (A.A.L.).

(Kearney Park): Flora Compress Flora Warehouse; Flora Compress and Warehouse

Greenville: Delta Cooperative Compress Warehouse: Delta Cooperative Compress. Greenville: Greenville Compress Ware-

house; Greenville Compress Company. Greenwood; Federal Compress Warehouse: Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.

Greenwood; Stapleservice Compress Warehouse; Staple Cotton Services Association

Grenada; Federal Compress Warehouse; Pederal Compress & Warehouse Company.

Gulfport; Mississippi Gulfport Ware-houses; Mississippi-Gulfport Compress & Warehouses, Inc.

Hollandale; Deer Creek Compress Warehouse; Deer Creek Compress Company,

Holly Springs; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.

Houston; Houston Compress Warehouse; Houston Compress Co., Inc.

Indianola; Planters Gin Co., Inc., Ware-house; Planters Gin Company, Incorporated of Indianola.

Indianola; Sunflower Compress Warehouse;

The Sunflower Compress Company Inverness; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.

Itta Bena; Itta Bena Cooperative Warehouse; Itta Bena Cooperative Compress Company.

Kosciusko; United Warehouse; United Warehouses, Inc.

Leland: Leland Compress Warehouse; Leland Compress Company.

Marks; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company New Albany: Federal Compress Warehouse:

Federal Compress & Warehouse Company, Paynes; Paynes Cotton Warehouse; Paynes

Warehouse, Inc. Pontotoc; Pontotoc Compress Warehouse; Pontotoc Warehouse Company.

Quitman; Quitman Bonded Warehouse;

Daniel Marston Bonney.

Ripley: Pederal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company. Rolling Fork; Rolling Fork Compress Ware-

house; Deer Creek Compress Company. Rosedale; Rosedale Compress Warehouse;

Rosedale Compress Company. Ruleville: Pederal Compress Warehouse; Pederal Compress & Warehouse Company.

Shaw: Federal Compress Warehouse: Federal Compress & Warehouse Company

Shelby: Federal Compress Warehouse: Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.

Shuqualak; Shuqualak Bonded Warehouse; Augustus Temple Evans and Gladys T. Evans Powe, copartners, trading as E. F. Nunn and Company.

Sledge; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.

Tunica; Pederal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company

Tuticiler; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company. Vicksburg: Union Compress Warehouse; Union Service Industries, Inc.

Yazoo City: Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.

# Missouri

Arbyrd; Arbyrd Compress Warehouse; John O. Hoyt, Jr.

Caruthersville; Federal Compress Warehouse; Pederal Compress & Warehouse Company

Gideon: Gideon Compress Warehouse; Memphis Compress & Storage Company.

Hayti; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company

Kennett: Dunklin County Compress Warehouse; Dunklin County Compress and Warehouse Company. Lilbourn; Federal Compress Warehouse;

Federal Compress & Warehouse Company. Malden; Dunklin County Compress Ware-

house; Dunklin County Compress and Warehouse Company Portageville; Federal Compress Warehouse;

Federal Compress & Warehouse Company Portageville; Missouri Cotton Warehouse; Missouri Grain & Warehouse, Inc.

Sikeston; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.

# NORTH CAROLINA

Charlotte: Gulf Atlantic Warehouse: Gulf Atlantic Distribution Services (division of Anderson, Clayton & Co.).

Merchants Bonded house; Merchants Bonded Warehouse Com-

Cherryville; Gaston Bonded Warehouse; Mauney Cotton Company, Inc.

Conway: Conway Bonded Warehouse; Conway Bonded Warehouse, Inc.

Dunn; General Utility Company's Warehouse; General Utility Company.

Edenton; Edenton Bonded Warehouse;

Leary Bros. Storage Company.

Elizabeth City: Elizabeth City Bonded
Warehouse: Robinson Manufacturing Company

Enfield; Enfield Bonded Warehouse; Whit-

Warehouse, Incorporated.

Falls (P.O. Wake Forest); Wake Bonded Warehouse; W. W. Holding III and Wal-ter H. Holding, Copartners, trading as W. W. Holding & Company.

Fayetteville: Cotton Growers Warehouse;

Cotton Growers Warehouses, Inc.

Gastonia; Avon Bonded Warehouse; Avon Bonded Warehouse, Incorporated. Gastonia: Broad Street Bonded Warehouse;

Broad Street Bonded Warehouse, Inc.

Gastonia; Central Bonded Warehouse Division of Bayside Warehouse Company; Bayside Warehouse Company.

Gastonia; Peoples Bonded Warehouse; Peoples Bonded Warehouse, Incorporated. Gibson; Gibson Bonded Warehouse; Z. V.

Pate, Incorporated.

Jackson: Northampton Warehouse; Bain Cotton Company, Inc.

Laurinburg: Dickson Bonded Warehouse; McNair Investment Company.

Lincoln Bonded Warehouse; Lincoln Bonded Warehouse Company. Lumberton; Cotton Growers Warehouse;

Cotton Growers Warehouses, Inc. Morven; Cotton Growers Warehouse; Cot-

ton Growers Warehouses, Inc.

Nashville; Cotton Growers Warehouse; Cotton Growers Warehouses, Inc.

Parkton; Parkton Bonded Warehouse; J. Q. Parnell, Inc.

Pembroke: Pembroke Bonded Warehouse: Maxton Cotton Company, Incorporated.

Racford; Hoke Cotton Warehouse and Storage Company's Warehouse; Hoke Cotton Warehouse and Storage Company, Inc.

Red Springs; Red Springs Bonded Warehouse; Maxton Cotton Company, Incorporated

Rich Square: Rich Square Bonded Ware-house; Sam K. Edwards.

Rognoke Rapids; Farmers Warehouse of Roanoke Rapids; Farmers' Warehouse of Roanoke Rapids, Inc.

Roanoke Rapids; Roanoke Warehouse; The

Black Hawk Corporation.

Roanoke Rapids; Rosemary Bonded Ware-William O. Dean, t/a Rosemary Bonded Warehouse Co.

Rowland; Barrow Warehouse; Jenkins and

Company, Inc.

Salisbury: Salisbury Bonded Warehouse;

Rowan Cotton Mills Company.

Scotland Neck; Cotton Growers house; Cotton Growers Warehouses, Inc.

Shelby: Planters and Merchants Ware-house; Planters and Merchants Warehouse Company. Shelby: Shelby Bonded Warehouse: Shelby

Bonded Warehouse, Incorporated,

Smithfield: Cotton Growers Warehouse; Cotton Growers Warehouses, Inc.

St. Pauls; McColl Cotton Warehouses; McColl Cotton Warehouses, Inc.
Tarboro; Edgecombe Bonded Warehouse;

Edgecombe Bonded Warehouse Company. Wagram: Farmers Bonded Warehouse;

Johnston Brothers, Inc. Weldon: Cotton Growers Warehouse; Cot-

ton Growers Warehouses, Inc.
Wilson; Wilson Bonded Warehouse; Wil-

son Bonded Warehouse, Inc.

Woodland; Cotton Growers Warehouse;

Cotton Growers Warehouses, Inc.

# SOUTH CAROLINA

Anderson; Appleton Warehouse; The Biack Hawk Corporation.

Anderson; The Standard Warehouse; Standard Corporation.

Bennettsville; Marlboro Warehouses; Marlboro Warehouse Company.

Bishopville; Cotton Growers Warehouses; Cotton Growers Warehouses, Inc.

Bishopville; Farmers Bonded Warehouse; Wiley B. King.

Bishopville: King and Jordan Bonded Warehouse; W. Brent King and B. P. Jordan, trading as King and Jordan copartners

Bonded Warehouse. Columbia; Palmetto Compress Warehouse; Palmetto Compress and Warehouse Company. Columbia: The Standard Warehouse:

Standard Corporation.

Denmark; Denmark Bonded Warehouse; W. Williamson, Jr., H. M. Williamson, J. A. Williamson and J. S. Williamson, copartners trading as J. W. Williamson Sons.

Greenville; Black Hawk Warehouse; The Black Hawk Corporation.

Greenville; Commodity Warehouse; Memphis Compress & Storage Company.

Greenville; Gantt Warehouse; Gantt Warehouse Corp.

Greenville; Gulf Atlantic Warehouse; Gulf Atlantic Distribution Services (division of Anderson, Clayton & Co.)

Greenville; Industrial Storage Corporation Warehouse; Industrial Storage Corporation. Greenwood; Textile Bonded Storage; Tex-

tile Bonded Storage, Inc. Manning; United Bonded Warehouse; United Bonded Warehouse, Inc.

McColl; Marie Warehouse; The Black Hawk

Corporation. Newberry; Farmers Bonded Warehouse; Evelyn M. Brooks, d/b/a Farmers Bonded

Warehouse. Norway; Norway Bonded Warehouse; J. W. Williamson, Jr., H. M. Williamson, J. A. Williamson and J. S. Williamson, copart-

ners trading as J. W. Williamson Sons. Spartanburg; Spartanburg Bonded Warehouses; Spartanburg Bonded Warehouses, Incorporated.

Summerton; Sumter Bonded Warehouse No. 2; Sumter Storage Company, Inc. Sumter; Rowland Warehouse; Rowland

Warehouse Company.

Union; Union Bonded Warehouse; Jack B.

# TENNESSEE

Brownsville; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.
Covington; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.

Covington: Tennessee Warehouse: Tennessee Warehouses, Inc.

Dyersburg; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company

Five Points; Hammond Bonded house, Laura Mae Hammond.

Henderson; Henderson Compress Ware-house; Henderson Compress Company, Inc. Jackson: Federal Compress Warehouse, Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.

Kingsport; Borden Warehouse; The Black Hawk Corporation.

Lawrenceburg; Gladish Bonded Ware-house; Martha E. Gladish.

Memphis; Federal Compress Warehouse (South Memphis Plant); Federal Compress Warehouse Company.

Memphis; Gulf Atlantic Warehouse; Gulf Atlantic Distribution Services (division of Anderson, Clayton & Co.).

Memphis: Memphis Compress Warehouse; Memphis Compress & Storage Company.

Memphis; Memphis Compress Warehouse (Dunavant Plant); Memphis Compress & Storage Company.

Milan; Milan Compress Warehouse; Milan Compress, Company.

Ripley; Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.

Tiptonville; Federal Compress Warehouse;

Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.

### TEXAS

Abilene: Abilene Cotton Warehouse: National-Western Compress & Warehouse Co.

Ballinger; Ballinger Compress Warehouse; National Diversified Co. T/A Ballinger Compress & Warehouse Co.

Brownsville; Gulfside Warehouse; Bayside

Hearne Cotton Compress Company, Inc. Cameron, Cameron Compress Warehouse;

Central Texas Compress Company. Corsicana; Corsicana Compress

house; Exporters & Traders Compress & Warehouse Company. Ennis; Ennis Compress & Warehouse Co.'s

Warehouse; Ennis Compress & Warehouse

Galveston: Bayside Warehouse Division: Bayside Warehouse Company, Hamlin; Hamlin Compress

Warehouse: Hamlin Farmers Compress Co.

Hearne: Hearne Cotton Warehouse; Hearne

Cotton Compress Company, Inc. Hillsboro; Exporters & Traders Compress & Warehouse Company's Warehouse; Exporters & Traders Compress & Warehouse Com-

Hubbard: Hubbard Compress Warehouse; Exporters & Traders Compress & Warehouse Company.

Marlin; Exporters & Traders Compress & Warehouse Company's Warehouse; Exporters & Traders Compress & Warehouse Com-

Rosebud: Rosebud Cotton Warehouse; Central Texas Compress Company.

Rule; Rule Compress Warehouse; Farmers Compress Company.

San Angelo; Angelo Compress Ware-house; National Diversified Co. T/A Ballinger

Compress & Warehouse Co.

Temple: Temple Compress Warehouse; Temple Compress Warehouse Co.

Texarkana: Federal Compress Warehouse; Federal Compress & Warehouse Company.

Waco; Exporters & Traders Compress & Warehouse Company's Warehouse; Exporters Traders Compress & Warehouse Company. Warahachie: Waxahachie Compress Ware-

house; Waxahachie Compress Warehouse Co. Winters: Winters Warehouse; National Diversified Co.

# Grain

# B. For the storage of grain:

# ALABAMA

Town, Warehouse, and Warehouseman

Andalusia: Anderson's Peanuts Elevator; Alabama Farmers Cooperative, Inc. trading as Anderson's Peanuts.

Decatur; AFC Grain Elevator; AFC Marketing Service, Inc.

Decatur: Gold Kist Soy Plant; Gold Kist, Guntersville; Cargill Guntersville Elevator;

Cargill, Incorporated.

Guntersville; Continental Processing Division Elevator; Continental Grain Company.

# ARIZONA

Cosa Grande; Arizona Grain Warehouse; Arizona Grain, Inc. Yuma; Barkley Seed & Grain Elevator;

Barkley Seed & Grain Company, Inc.

# ARKANSAB

Altheimer; Altheimer Grain Warehouse; The Arkansas Rice Growers Cooperative Association.

Altheimer; (R.F.D. No. 1) Lakewood Plantation Elevator; Lakewood Plantation, Inc.

Bunge Corporation Terminal Augusta: Elevator: Bunge Corporation.

Lockhart-Thompson Elevator; Murray L. Lockhart, d/b/a Murray L. Lockhart Warehouse Co.

Augusta: Taggart & Taggart Warehouse; Taggart & Taggart Seed, Inc. Blackwell (P.O. Morrilton); Blackwell

Grain Warehouse; Riceland Foods, Inc.

Blytheville; Farmers Grain Elevator, Farmers Soybean Corporation.

Bradford; White County Grain Warehouse; Riceland Foods, Inc.

Brinkley; Brinkley Warehouse; Riviana

Foods, Inc. Carlisle: Carlisle Warehouse: Riviana

Foods, Inc. Clarendon; Bunge Corporation Terminal Elevator: Bunge Corporation.

Corning; Corning Rice Warehouse; The Arkansas Rice Growers Cooperative Association.

Corning; Weston's Elevator; Prank S. Weston, trading as Weston's Elevator Com-

Dardanelle; Keenan Grain Elevator; Robert Keenan, d/b/a Keenan Grain Elevator.

Delaplaine; Delaplaine Grain Warehouse; The Arkansas Rice Growers Cooperative As-

Dermott; Lephiew Seed Elevator; Lephiew Seed Company, Inc.

Des Arc; Bunge Corporation Terminal Elevator; Bunge Corporation.

Des Arc; Des Arc Rice Warehouse: The Arkansas Rice Growers Cooperative Association. Desota Landing (P.O. Arkansas City); Bunge Corporation Terminal Elevator; Bunge Corporation.

DeWitt; Cormier Rice Mill Warehouse; Cormier Rice Milling Co., Inc. DeWitt; DeWitt Rice Warehouse; The Ar-

kansas Rice Growers Cooperative Association. DeWitt; Growers Elevator; Growers Ele-

vators, Inc.
DeWitt: Pioneer DeWitt Elevator, Pioneer Food Industries, Inc.

DeWitt; Troy Mitchell Elevator; Troy Mitchell, d/b/a Troy Mitchell Elevator.

Dumas; Dumas Rice Warehouse; The Arkansas Rice Growers Cooperative Association. Elaine; Elaine Grain Warehouse; The Arkansas Rice Growers Cooperative Association. England; Federal Drier; Federal Drier and Storage Company.

Eudora; Eudora Grain Warehouse; The Arkansas Rice Growers Cooperative Association. Eudora; Pioneer Eudora Elevator: Pioneer

Food Industries, Inc.

Evadale (P.O. Wilson); Delta Products
Warehouse; Delta Products Company.

Fair Oaks; Fair Oaks Rice Warehouse; The

Arkansas Rice Growers Cooperative Association.

Fair Oaks; Pioneer Fair Oaks Elevator; Pioneer Food Industries, Inc. Gibson Switch (P.O. Jonesboro); Craig-

head Rice Milling Company's Warehouse; Crain Company.

Gillett; Gillett Grain Warehouse; The Arkansas Rice Growers Cooperative Association. Griffithville; Griffithville Grain Warehouse; The Arkansas Rice Growers Cooperative As-

Hazen; Bogard Seed Company Elevator; Bogard Seed Company.

Hazen; Hazen Rice Warehouse; The Arkansas Rice Growers Cooperative Association. Helena; Helena Cotton Oil Company's Warehouse; Helena Cotton Oil Company, Inc. Helena; Helena Grain Warehouse; Riceland Foods, Inc.

Helena; Targca Rice Warehouse; The Arkansas Rice Growers Cooperative Association. Hickory Ridge; Hickory Ridge Rice Ware-house; The Arkansas Rice Growers Coopera-

tive Association.

Holly Grove; Holly Grove Grain Warehouse; The Arkansas Rice Growers Cooperative Association.

Huffman (P.O. Blytheville); Bunge Corporation Terminal Elevator; Bunge Corporation.

Indiana Switch (P.O. DeWitt); Dixle Dryer Elevator; Pioneer Food Industries, Inc.

Jonesboro; Jonesboro Rice Warehouse; The Arkansas Rice Growers Cooperative Association.

Jonesboro: Nettleton Gin and Elevator: Nettleton Gin and Elevator Company

Linwood (Rt. I Grady); Bunge Corporation Terminal Elevator; Bunge Corporation.

Lonoke; Lonoke Rice Warehouse; The Arkansas Rice Growers Cooperative Association. Marianna; Lee County Grain Warehouse; Riceland Foods, Inc.

Marked Tree; St. Francis Valley Grain Warehouse; St. Francis Valley Seed Company

Marvell; Marvell Gfain Warehouse; Arkansas Rice Growers Cooperative Association.

McGehee: McGehee Rice Warehouse: The Arkansas Rice Growers Cooperative Association.

Mellwood; Mellwood Grain Warehouse; The Arkansas Rice Growers Cooperative Association:

Morrilton: Morrilton Grain Warehouse: Riceland Foods, Inc.

Needham (P.O. Jonesboro); Crafton Gin & Elevator; Crafton Gin & Elevator Company Newark; Griffin Seed & Grain Elevator; Griffin Seed & Grain Co., Inc.

Newport; Jackson County Rice Warehouse: The Arkansas Rice Growers Cooperative Association.

Osceola; Bunge Corporation Terminal Elevator; Bunge Corporation.

Osceola: Osceola Products Warehouse:

Osceola Products Company.

Parkin; East Arkansas Rice Warehouse;
The Arkansas Rice Growers Cooperative Association.

Patterson; MAC Warehouse Company; G. L. Morris, trading as MAC Warehouse Company. Penjur (P.O. Hughes); Hughes Granary

Elevator: Hughes Grain Corporation. Pine Bluff; Bunge Corporation Terminal

Elevator; Bunge Corporation. Pine Bluff: Pioneer Pine Bluff Elevator, Pioneer Food Industries, Inc.

Proctor: Proctor Elevator: Critco Grain Corporation.

Rector; Graves-Paramenter Elevator; Graves-Parmenter, Inc.

Rector: Holly Island Farm Center Warehouse; Holly Island Farm Center, Inc. St. Charles; Bunge Corporation Terminal

Elevator; Bunge Corporation. Stuttgart; Acme Warehouse; Riviana

Foods Inc. Stuttgart; Bogard Elevator; Bogard Grain

and Seed Company, Inc. Stuttgart; Hartz Elevators; Jacob Hartz

Seed Co., Inc. Stuttgart; Producers Warehouse: Producers Rice Mill, Inc.

Stuttgart; Stuttgart Grain Warehouse, Riceland Foods, Inc.

Stuttgart; Stuttgart Rice Warehouse; The Arkansas Rice Growers Cooperative Associa-

Tichnor; Tichnor Drier; Tichnor Drier and Storage, Inc.

Tuckerman; Tuckerman Rice Warehouse; The Arkansas Rice Growers Cooperative Association.

Van Buren; Van Buren Soybean Processing Plant; Farmland Industries, Inc. Wabash; Wabash Driers Warehouse; Wa-

bash Driers. Inc.

Waldenburg; Waldenburg Warehouse:

Riviana Foods, Inc. Weiner; Weiner Rice Warehouse; The Ar-

kansas Rice Growers Cooperative Association.

Wheatley; Wheatley Rice Warehouse; The Arkansas Rice Growers Cooperative Association.

Wilmot; Pioneer Wilmot Elevator; Pioneer Food Industries, Inc.

Wynne; Gibbs-Harris Rice Dryer, Division of Producers Rice Mill, Inc.; Producers Rice Mill. Inc.

#### CALIFORNIA

Arbuckle: Strain Ranches Warehouse Strain Ranches, Inc.

Artois: Artois Warehouse; Rivelco, Inc. Berenda; Valley Grain Drier Warehouse; Valley Grain Drier, Inc.

Biggs: Doty Brick Warehouse: Charlotte M. Brink, individually and as Trustee of the Leon Brink trust; John K. and Betty P. Eberle, his wife and Pamela A. Dahl, as Executrix of the Estate of Helen H. Persons, Copartners, doing business as Doty Brink

Warehouse. Biggs; Rice Growers Association Warehouse; Rice-Growers Association of Califormir.

Blythe; D. L. Mudd Warehouse; D. L. Mudd, Inc.

Blythe; Southwest Marketing Corporation Warehouse; Southwest Marketing Corpora-

Boyer Landing; Erdman Warehouse; Erd-man Warehouse, Inc.

Brawley; Balfour-Imperial Warehouse; Balfour, Guthrie & Co., Limited (a Delaware Corporation) and Stafford E. Hannon (an individual), a joint venture doing business as Balfour-Imperial.

Codora Station; Glenn Growers Warehouse; Glenn Growers.

Colton; Producers Elevator; Producers Grain Corporation.

Colusa; Terhel Farms Warehouse; Terhel

Farms Drier & Storage Co.

Corcoran; Salver Grain & Milling Company; Salyer Grain and Milling Company

Delano; Continental Elevator; Continental Grain Company.

Dufour Siding; Farmers Grain Elevator: Thomas Mezger Dufour Siding; Mezger Brothers Elevator;

Thomas Mezger East Los Angeles; Pillsbury-Globe Eleva-

tor; The Pillsbury Company.

Firebaugh; N. F. Davis Drier & Elevator;

N. F. Davis Drier and Elevator French Camp; Continental Elevator; Con-tinental Grain Company.

Gridley; Gridley Warehouse; Gridley Ware-

Grimes; Sacramento River Warehouse Co.:

Delta Lines, Inc. Hershey Station: County Line Warehouse: Robert D. Youngmark, D/B/A County Line Warehouse.

Imperial; Southwest Marketing Corporation Warehouse; Southwest Marketing Corporation.

Isleton: Riverside Elevators: Rivelco, Inc. King City; L. A. Hearne Warehouse; L. A. Hearne Warehouse Co.

Knights Landing; Sutter Basin Growers' Cooperative Warehouse; Sutter Basin Grow-Cooperative.

Knights Landing; Tyndall Mound Warehouse; Tyndall Warehouse Company, Inc. Lemoore; Continental Elevator; Continen-

tal Grain Company.

Long Beach; Koppel Bulk Terminal; Koppel, Inc.

Maxwell; Colusa-Glenn Drier Company Warehouse; Colusa-Glenn Drier Company. Maxwell; United Rice Growers and Millers Warehouse; United Rice Growers and Millers.

Meridian; Josephine Warehouse; T. A.
LaMaida, dba Josephine Warehouse,
Riz Station (P.O. Willows); Rice Growers
Association Warehouse; Rice-Growers Asso-

ciation of California.

Ryer Island; Island Elevators; Rivelco, Inc. Saco Siding (P.O. Bakersfield); Continen-tal Elevator; Continental Grain Company.

San Diego; San Diego Bulk Terminal; Garnac Grain Co., Inc.

San Diego; Koppel Inc., San Diego Terminal; Koppel Inc.

San Francisco; Port of San Francisco Grain

Terminal; Stockton Elevators,

Soledad; Soledad Warehouse; Soledad Warehouse Co.

South Dos Palos; Farmers' Rice Cooperative Warehouse; Farmers' Rice Cooperative. Spence Switch; Eckhart Seed Warehouse;

Eckhart Seed Company. Stegeman Station; Farmers' Rice Cooperative Warehouse; Farmers' Rice Cooperative.

Stockton; Stockton Elevators; Stockton Elevators.

Sutter; Hi and Dry Warehouse; Hi and Dry Warehouse, Inc.

West Sacramento: California Dehydrating Warehouse; California Dehydrating Co. West Sacramento; Farmers' Rice Coopera-

tive Warehouse; Farmers' Rice Cooperative. West Sacramento; Port of West Sacramento Grain Terminal; Cargill of California, Inc. West Sacramento; Rice Growers Associa-

tion Warehouse; Rice-Growers Association of California.

Williams; De Pue Warehouse; De Pue Warehouse Company.

Williams; Rice Growers Association Warehouse; Rice-Growers Association of Califor-

Willows; Willows Rice Drier & Storage Company Warehouse; Pacific International Rice Mills, Inc.

Woodland; C. B. C. Warehouse; Philip R. Collins, Kenneth E. Brown and Allen D. Collins, copartners doing business as C. B. C. Warehouse Co.

Woodland; Hayrico, Inc. Warehouse; Hayrico. Inc.

Woodland; Sunset Rice Dryer Warehouse; Pacific International Rice Mills, Inc. Woodland; Woodland Warehouses;

neth E. Brown, doing business as Woodland Warehouses.

# COLORADO

Amherst; Farmers Elevator: Amherst Cooperative Elevator, Inc.

Burlington: Equity Elevator: Equity Cooperative Exchange.

Burlington; Plains Grain Co. Warehouse; Plains Grain Company, Inc.

Byers; Farmers Marketing Elevator; Farmers Marketing Association.

Campo; Stafford Elevator, Van Stafford. Commerce City; Par-Mar-Co Denver Eleva-

tor: Far-Mar-Co, Inc.

Commerce City: Industrial Foods Group
Elevator: Peavey Company.

Denver; Cargill Denver Elevator: Cargill, Incorporated.

Denver; Farmers Marketing Association Elevator; Farmers Marketing Association. Dove Creek; Blue Mountain Coop Ware-

house; Blue Mountain Farmers Cooperative. Flagler; Flagler Equity Elevator; The Flagler Equity Co-Operative Company

Haswell; Haswell Elevator; Eads Consumers

Supply Co., Inc. Hartun; Commercial Grain Elevator; Commerical Grain Co.

Haxtun; Haxtun Farmers Co-Op Elevator; The Haxtun Farmers' Co-Operative Elevator

Holly: Southeastern Colorado Co-Op Elevator; South Eastern Colorado Coop.

Holyoke; Holyoke Cooperative Elevator; Holyoke Cooperative Association.

Idalia; Idalia Equity Elevator; The St. Francis Mercantile Equity Exchange.

Limon; Limon Co-op Elevator; Limon Cooperative Exchange, Inc.

Otis; Washington County Grain Company, Division Elevator; Rickel, Inc.

Pacit; Pacit Coop Elevator; The Pacit Farmers Cooperative Elevator Company.

Peetz; Farmers Co-op, Elevators; The Peetz Farmers Co-operative Company.

Roggen; Roggen Farmer's Elevator; Roggen Farmer's Elevator Association.

Seibert; Co-op Elevator; The Seibert Equity Cooperative Association.

Sheridan Lake; 1 Parmco Tribune Elevator; Farmeo, Inc.

Sterling; Commercial Grain Elevator; Com mercial Grain Co.

Strasburg: Kiowa Grain Company Elevator; Chase County Grain, Inc.

Stratton; Co-op Elevator; The Stratton Equity Cooperative Company Vilus; Vilas Elevator; Baca Grain, Inc.

Watkins; Watkins Elevator; Watkins Elevator, Inc.

Wray; Farmers Union Elevator; The Farms Union Cooperative Elevator Company. Yellow Jacket; Yellow Jacket Coop; South-

west Colorado Bean Producers, Inc. Yuma, M & M Coop Elevator: The Yuma Parmers Milling-Mercantile Co-operative

Company of Yuma, Colorado.

### FLORIDA

Freeport; Bunge Grain Corporation Terminal Elevator; Bunge Corporation dba Bunge Grain Corporation

Live Oak; Gold Kist Grain Elevator; Gold Kist, Inc.

Tampa; Cargill Hookers Point Terminal; Cargill, Incorporated.

#### GEORGIA

Gainesville; Cargill Gainesville Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.

Macon; Central Cotton Oil; Central Cotton Oil Company.

American Falls; Power County Grain Growers Warehouse; Power County Grain Growers. Inc.

Bancroft; Grain Growers Warehouse; Bancroft Grain Growers, Inc.

Downey; Downey Grain Growers Warehouse; Downey Grain Growers, Inc.

Drummond; Yellowstone Grain Growers

Drummond; Warehouse; Yellowstone Grain Growers, Inc. Fairfield; Grain Growers Warehouse; Camas Prairie Grain Growers, Inc.

Grace; Gem Valley Grain Growers Warehouse; Gem Valley Grain Growers, Inc.

Grangeville; Union Warehouse & Supply Company's Warehouse; Union Warehouse & Supply Co.

Greer; Nezperce Rochdale Warehouse; Nezperce Rochdale Company.

Jerome; Marshall Warehouse; Marshall

Warehouses, Inc. Lewiston; Lewiston Grain Growers Warehouse; Lewiston Grain Growers, Inc.

Maiad City; Grain Growers Warehouse; Farmers Grain Cooperative.

McCammon; Grain Growers Warehouse; Farmers Grain Cooperative.

Moreland; Shields of Blackfoot Warehouse; Shields of Blackfoot, Inc.

Moscow; Dumas Seed Company Ware-

house; Dumas Seed Company.

Moscow; Latah County Grain Growers.

Warehouse; Latah County Grain Growers. Inc

Nesperce; Nesperce Rochdale Warehouse; Nezperce Rochdale Company.

Nesperce; Nesperce Storage Co.; Nesperce Storage Co.

Ririe; Grain Growers Warehouse; Ririe Grain and Feed Cooperative, Inc.

Soda Springs; Grain Growers Warehouse; Parmers Grain Cooperative.

Soda Springs; Soda Springs Elevator; Soda Springs Elevator, Inc.

Tetonia; Grain Growers Warehouse; Farmers Grain Cooperative.

Weston; Weston Grain Cooperative Ware-

house; Weston Grain Cooperative, Inc. Worley; 1 Rockford Grain Growers Warehouse; Rockford Grain Growers, Inc.

#### TELENOIS

Adrian; Adrian Elevator; Hancock Grain Company.

Agnew (RR 4, Sterling); Kobbeman Grain; Henry J. Kobbeman and Mary B. Kobbe-man, Copartners, trading as Kobbeman Grain Company.

Albany; Bunge Corporation Albany Grain Terminal; Bunge Corporation. Allerton; Allerton Elevator; Homer Grain

Company. Alton; Terminal Operations; Peavey Com-

pany. Alvin; Alvin Elevator; Conard Grain Co.,

Inc. Amboy: Amboy Elevators: Lee FS Inc.

Anchor; Anchor Elevator; Anchor Grain Company.

Andres (P.O. Peotone); Andres Elevator; Andres & Wilton Farmers Grain & Supply

Arenzville; Arenzville-Hagener Elevators; Arenzville-Hagener Farmers Grain Co.

Ashland; Ashland Elevator; Ashland Farm-

ers Elevator Co.

Ashton; M. L. Ewing Grain Co.; M. L.

Ewing, trading as M. L. Ewing Grain Co.

Assumption; Assumption Elevators; As-

sumption Cooperative Grain Company Atkinson; Atkinson Elevator; Atkinson Grain & Fertilizer, Inc. Atwood; Atwood Elevator; Atwood Grain

and Supply Co.

Barr Station (P.O. Athens); Amac Barr Elevator; Amac, Inc

Bartonville; Allied Mills Peoria Elevator; Allied Mills, Inc.

Beardstown; Critic Mills Elevator; Critic Beardstown; Farmers Terminal Elevator;

Garnac Grain Co., Inc.

Bellflower; Bellflower Elevator; Foosland

Grain Co. Belvidere; Central Grain Co. Elevator; Central Commodities, Ltd.

Bement; Farmers Elevator; Bement Grain

Company. Bethany; The Bethany Grain Company evator; The Bethany Grain Company. Blandinsville; King Feed Company Ele-Elevator;

vator; King Feed Company. Bloomington; Pillsbury Bloomington Ele-

vator; The Pillsbury Company.

Bloomington; Ralston Purina Bloomington

Elevator: Ralston Purina Company. Bluff Springs; Bluff Springs Farmers Elevator; Bluff Springs Farmers Elevator Co.

Bourbon; Ullrich Grain Co. Elevator: Harvey C. Ulirich, trading as Ulirich Grain

Brocton; Brocton Elevator; Agre Grain Company. Bushnell; McDonough FS Elevators; Mc-

Donough FS, Inc. Cadwell (P.O. Arthur); Cadwell Elevator;

Moultrie Grain Association. Cairo; Mikco Grain Co. Elevator; Bunge

Corporation. Cambridge; Yarger Bros. Elevator; Yarger

Bros. Elevator, Inc. Campus; Hamilton Elevator; Hamilton

Elevator Company. Carthage; Cargill Carthage Elevator; Car-

gill, Incorporated. Cayuga (R. R. 3, Pontiac); Cayuga Ele-

vator; Jacobson Grain Co. Chandlerville; Chandlerville Elevator: Chandlerville Grain Co., Inc.

In Colorado and Kansas (Coolidge).

In Colorado and Kansas (Tribune).

<sup>\*</sup>In Idaho and Washington (Asotin).

<sup>&</sup>quot;In Idaho and Washington (Pullman).

In Idaho and Washington (Rockford).

Chatsworth: Chatsworth and Stoddard Siding Warehouses; The Livingston of Chats-

worth,

Chebanse; Hansen Bros. Grain Elevator; Arthur L. Hansen, Orval Hansen, Louie V. Hansen, Vincent Hansen, Laverne Hansen, and Virgil Hansen, Copartners, trading as Clifton Grain Co. at Clifton, Illinois, and Hansen Bros. Grain Elevator at Chebanse,

Chestnut; Chestnut Elevator; The Farmers Grain Company of Chestnut

Chicago; Calumet Elevators; The Pillsbury

Company.

The Cargill Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.

Chicago; Continental Elevator C; Continental Grain Company.

Chicago; Continental Elevators; Continen-

tal Grain Company. Chicago; Garvey Rock Island Elevator; Garvey International, Inc.

Chicago; Gateway Elevator; Indiana Farm Bureau Cooperative Association, Inc.

Chicago; Rialto Elevator; General Mills,

Chicago; Santa Fe Elevator; Garvey In-

ternational, Inc.

Christman, B. C. Christopher & Co. Elevator; B. C. Christopher & Company, a limited partnership with Hearne Christopher, John H. Collett, Lawrence P. Hogan, Edward G. Mader, Donald F. George, Norman R. Supper, Kenneth G. Nefl. John J. Sullivan, Sam L. Willoughby, Robert F. Wilson, John P. Gattermeir, Joe F. W. Kirshe, Robert C. Peters, Jr., Donald D. Killion, Larry G. McCully, Carl Hohnbaum, Edward A. Connelly Christman; B. C. Christopher & Co. Eleva-Cully, Carl Hohnbaum, Edward A. Connelly, Cisco; Cisco Grain Elevator; Cisco Coop-

erative Grain Co. Clarence (P.O. Rankin); Carson Grain Co. Elevator; J. Kemp Carson and John M. Car-

son, copartners, trading as Carson Grain Co. Clifton; Clifton Grain Elevator; Arthur L. Hansen, Orval Hansen, Louie V. Hansen, Vincent Hansen, Laverne Hansen, and Virgil Hansen, copartners, trading as Clifton Grain Co. at Clifton, Illinois, and Hansen Bros. Grain Elevator at Chebanse, Illinois.

Crescent City; A-Way Grain Co. Elevator; Jerry D. Ash, trading as A-Way Grain Co. Creve Coeur; Illinois Grain Corporation, Creve Coeur Elevator; Illinois Grain Corpo-

ration.

Cruger (R.R. I, Eureka); Parmers Elevators; Farmers Grain Cooperative of Eureka. Culver Station (P.O. Athens); Culver Ele-

vator: Culver-Fancy Prairie Cooperative Co. Dalton City; Parmers Co-op Grain Co. Elevator; Farmers Co-operative Grain Company of Dalton City.

Danville; Lauhoff Elevator; Lauhoff Grain

Company. Darrow (P.O. Sheldon); Darrow Elevator; Woodland-Darrow Farmers Co-operative, Inc. Deer Creek (RFD 1); Bell Elevator; Bell Enterprises, Inc.

Deer Grove; Cady Elevator; Cady Grain Co., Inc

Deer Grove; Hahnaman Station Elevator; Hahnaman Elevator, Inc. DeLand; DeLand Farmer's Elevators; De-

Land Farmer's Cooperative Grain Company. Delavan: Delavan Elevator: Delavan Cooperative Elevator Co.

Dorans (P.O. Mattoon); Dorans Elevator;

Farmers Grain Company of Dorans.

Dwight Township (P.O. Dwight); Jacobson Terminal; Jacobson Seaway Grain Terminal Company.

Earlville; Earlville Parmers' Co-operative Elevator; Earlville Farmers' Co-operative Elevator Company.

East Hannibal (P.O. Hannibal, Missouri); Bunge Corporation East Hannibal Grain Terminal; Bunge Corporation.

East Peoria; East Peoria Elevator, Tabor & Co., Tabor Grain Co.

East St. Louis: Continental Elevator: Con-

tinental Grain Company.

East St. Louis: \* St. Louis Grain Corporation Elevator; St. Louis Grain Corporation. Edwardsville; Dippold Elevator; H. B. Stubbs, trading as Dippold Bros.

Edwardsville; Edwardsville Elevator; Madison Service Company.

Efflingham; Efflingham Equity Elevator; Effingham Equity.

Elburn; Elburn Co-op; Elburn Cooperative

Eldorado; W. J. Meyer Elevator-Eldorado; B. C. Christopher & Company, a limited part-nership with Hearne Christopher, John H. Lawrence P. Hogan, Edward G. Mader, Donald F. George, Norman R. Supper, Kenneth G. Neff, John J. Sullivan, Sam L. Willoughby, Robert F. Wilson, John P. Gattermeir, Joe F. W. Kirshe, Robert C. Peters, Jr., Donald D. Killion, Larry G. McCully, Carl Hohnbaum, Edward A. Connelly.

Elliott; Elliott Farmers Grain Company

Elevator; Elliott Farmers Grain Company.

Ellis (R.R. 2, Potomac); C & J Grain Elevator; Cornelius & Johnson, Inc.

El Paso; El Paso Elevator; El Paso Grain & Equipment Inc.

Elwin; Ralston Purina Elwin Elevator; Ralston Purina Company.

Erie: Erie & Galt Elevators; Whiteside FS.

Esmond; Esmond Elevator; Farmers' Grain Company of Esmond. Fairbury; Farmers Grain Elevator; Farm-

era Grain Co. of Fairbury Farmer City; Mitsui Elevator; Pacific Grain

Fisher; Fisher Elevator; Fisher Farmers Grain and Coal Company.

Fithian; Fithian Elevator; Kenneth W. Stotler, Howard A. Stotler and Ronald B. Izard, Copartners trading as Pithian Grain Company.

Foosland; Foosland Elevator; Foosland Grain Co.

Franklin; Tabor & Co. Pranklin Elevator; Tabor Grain Co.

Franklin Grove; Herbst Grain Co. Elevator; Herbst Grain Company.

Galesburg; Consumers; S K S Development Corporation.

Galton (RR 3 Arcola); Tabor & Co. Galton Elevator; Tabor Grain Co. Galva; Galva Elevator; Galva Co-operative

Grain and Supply Company.

Georgetown; B. C. Christopher & Co. Elevator; B. C. Christopher & Company, a limit-ed partnership with Hearne Christopher, John H. Collett, Lawrence P. Hogan, Edward G. Mader, Donald F. George, Norman R. Supper, Kenneth G. Neff, John J. Sullivan, Sam L. Willoughby, Robert F. Wilson, John P. Gattermeir, Joe F. W. Kirshe, Rob-ert C. Peters, Jr., Donald D. Killion, Larry G. McCully, Carl Hohnbaum, Edward Connelly.

Gibson City; Farmers Elevator; The Farmers Grain Co. of Gibson City.

Gilman; Continental Elevator; Continental Grain Company.

Girard; Girard Elevator; Girard Elevator,

Gladstone; Gladstone Grain Co. Elevator; Charles McChesney, trading as Gladstone Grain Co.

Goodwine; Goodwine Co-operative Grain Co. Elevator; Goodwine Co-operative Grain

Company.

Grand Tower; Bunge Corporation Foun-tain Bluff Grain Terminal; Bunge Corporation.

Grant Park; Grant Park Elevator; Grant Park Co-operative Grain Co.

Greenup; Greenup Grain Company Elevator; Marshall Taggart, Dorothy Taggart and

Frank Sherwood, Copartners, trading as Greenup Grain Company.

Gridley; Gridley Elevator; Garvey International, Inc.

Hampshire; Hampshire Elevator; Gersten-

berg and Tucker, Inc. Harmon; Albrecht Elevator; Albrecht Grain Company.

Harris (P.O. Farmer City); Tabor & Co. Harris Station; Tabor Grain Co. Heaton (R.R. #1, Rossville); Heaton Grain

Company Elevator; Heaton Grain Company, Inc

Henkel (P.O. Mendota); Henkel Grain Co.: Henkel Grain Co., Inc.

Heyworth; Pillsbury Heyworth Elevator;

The Pillsbury Company.

Hinckley; Hinckley Grain Company Elevators; Hinckley Grain Company.

Homer; Homer Elevators; Homer Grain

Company.

Honegger (P.O. Fairbury); Fairbury Elevator; Honeggers & Co., Inc.

Hudson; Hudson Elevator; Hudson Grain Company
Hull; M.F.A. Elevator; Missouri Parmers

Association, Inc.

Illiopolis; Illiopolis Grain Co. Elevator; Illiopolls Grain Co.

Iroquois: Iroquois Farmers Elevator; Iroquois Farmers Elevator.

Ivesdale: Ivesdale Elevator: Ivesdale Co-op Grain Company.

Jamaica (R.R. 1, Fairmount); Farmers Elevator; Parmers' Elevator Company of Jamaica, Illinois.

Jerseyville; Jerseyville Elevators; Jersey County Grain Company,
Kansas; ICM Grain Company Elevator;

ICM Grain Company.

Kerrick (R.F.D. 1 Normal); Kerrick Elevator; Kerrick Grain, Inc.

Ladd; Ladd Elevator; The Ladd Elevator Company.

Leng; Ralston Purina Lena Elevator; Ralston Purins Company.

Lerna; Lerna Elevator; David P. Daily and Roy E. Adair, Copartners, trading as Lerna Grain and Fertilizer Company.

Leroy; Pillsbury Leroy Elevator; The Pillsbury Company.

Lexington; Kemp Elevator, Kemp Grain Co. Lisbon Center (P.O. Newark); Lisbon Center Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Grain & Supply Co. of Lisbon Center.

Lostant; Tabor Elevator; Tabor Grain Co. Ludlow; Ludlow Elevators; Ludlow Cooperative Elevator Company.

Macon; Macon Elevator; Tabor Grain Co. Mahomet; James F. Parker Co. Elevator; James F. Parker Co.

Mansfield; Mansfield Grain Co. Elevator: Joseph W. Walsh, trading as Mansfield Grain Co.

Manteno; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Elevator Company of Manteno.

Maple Park (RFD 1); Troxel Plant; Hintzsche Feed and Grain, Inc.

Maroa; Maroa Farmers Coop, Elevator; Maroa Farmers Cooperative Elevator Company.

Mason; Quandt Grain Elévator; Quandt

McNabb; McNabb Elevator; McNabb Grain Company.

Meadows (Route 1, Chenoa); Meadows Elevators; Meadows Cooperative Company.

Mechanicsburg; Mechanicsburg Elevator; Mechanicsburg Farmers Grain Co.

Mendota; Fasco Elevator; Fasco Mills Com-

Milmine; Milmine Farmers Elevator; Milmine Grain Company.

Mineral; Milmral Elevator, Duane Rake-

straw and Kenneth Sierens, Copartners, trading as Mineral Elevator.

Minier; Minier Cooperative Elevator; Minier Cooperative Grain Company.

<sup>\*</sup>In Missouri and Illinois.

Minooka; Minooka Elevator; The Minooka Grain, Lumber and Supply Company.

Monticello: Monticello Elevator: Monti-

cello Grain Company.

Morrisonville; Morrisonville-Harvel Farmers Elevator; The Morrisonville Farmers Cooperative Co.

Moneagua; Moweagua Farmers Elevator; Moweaqua Farmers Cooperative Grain Com-Dany

Mt. Auburn; Tabor & Co.; Mt. Auburn Ele-

vator; Tabor Grain Co.

Mt. Carroll; Johnston Feed Service; Johnston Feed Service, Inc.

Mt. Sterling; Ralston Purina Mt. Sterling Elevator; Ralston Purina Company.

Mulkeytown; Southern Grain Co. Elevator; Gwen Lewis, trading as Southern Grain Co. Myra Station (RR 3 Urbana); Myra Divi-

sion Elevator; Savoy Grain Company, Newman; Miller Grain Division Elevator;

Tabor Grain Co.

Niantic; Niantic Farmers Elevators; Niantic Farmers Grain Company.

Oakland; Miller Grain Division Elevator;

Tabor Grain Co.

Ogden; Wilson-Richter Elevator; Wilson-

Richter, Inc.

Old Shawneetown (RR I, Shawneetown); Bunge Corporation Shawneetown Grain Terminal; Bunge Corporation.

Olive Branch; B. C. Christopher & Co. Elevator; B. C. Christopher & Company, a limited partnership with Hearne Christo-pher, John H. Collett, Lawrence P. Hogan, Edward G. Mader, Donald F. George, Nor-man R. Supper, Kenneth G. Neff, John J. Sullivan, Sam L. Willoughby, Robert F. Wilson, John P. Gattermeir, Joe F. W. Kirshe, Robert C. Peters, Jr., Donald D. Killion, Larry G. McCully, Carl Hohnbaum, Edward A. Connelly.

Orleans (RR 1, Alexander); Orleans Farm-

ers Elevators; Garnac Grain Co., Inc.

Owaneco; Owaneco-Millersville Elevators; Mid-Illinois Farmers Co-Operative.

Paris; Adams Elevator; Agre Grain Company.

Paris: Paris Elevator; Illinois Cereal Mills, Inc

Parneil (R.R. 2, Farmer City); Walsh Grain Elevator; Walsh Grain Elevator, Inc. Peoria; Riverside Elevator; Riverside Ele-

vator Co. Pesotum; Pesotum Elevator; Janet Horton Boyer, Fred G. Boyer and Mary Martha Messmore, copariners trading as Pesotum Grain

Company. Petersburg; Amac Petersburg Elevator; Amac, Inc.

Pittsfield; King Elevator; M. D. King Milling Company.

Pittsfield; Webel Feed Mill Elevator; George Webel, trading as Webel Feed Mill.

Pittwood (RB 4, Watseka); Gillespie Grain Company; Gillespie Grain Company,

Pleasant Plains; Pleasant Plains Elevator; P.P. Farmers' Elevator Co.

Polo; Olsen Elevator; Edward G. Olsen, trading as Olsen's Elevator and Feeds.

Pontiac: Bunge Corporation Pontiac Grain Terminal; Bunge Corporation.

Pontiac; Pontiac Elevator; Jacobson Grain

Co. Popler Grove; McLay Elevator; McLay

Grain Company. Princeton; Hopkins Grain Co. Elevator; Hopkins Lumber Company, Incorportad.

Quarter mile west of Quaker, Indiana; Quaker Elevator, Quaker Elevator, Inc.

Bansom; Ransom Elevator; The Farmers

Elevator Co. of Ransom, Illinois. Raymond; Raiston Purina Raymond Ele-

vator; Ralston Purina Company. Redmon; English Elevator, English Grain,

Inc.

Ridgefield (P.O. Crystal Lake); Seegers Grain Co. Elevator; Robert P. Seegers, d/b/a Seegers Grain Co.

Ridge Farm; B. C. Christopher & Co. Elevator; B. C. Christopher & Company, a limited partnership with Hearne Christopher, John H. Collett, Lawrence P. Hogan, Ed-ward G. Mader, Donald F. George, Norman R. Supper, Kenneth G. Neff, John J. Sullivan, Sam L. Willoughby, Robert F. Wilson, John P. Gattermeir, Joe F. W. Kirshe, Rob-ert C. Peters, Jr., Donald D. Killion, Larry G. McCully, Carl Hohnbaum, Edward A. Connelly.

Roberts; Pillsbury Roberts Elevator; The

Pillsbury Company.

Rochelle (RR 1); Maplehurst Farms Eleva-

tor: Maplehurst Farms, Inc.
Rossville; Rossville Grain Company Eleva-

tor; Rossville Grain Co. Rowe (R. R. No. 3, Pontiac); Rowe-Cornell

Elevators; Jacobson Grain Co. Royal; Busboom Grain Co, Elevator; Bus-

boom Grain Co., Inc. Rushville; Schuyler-Brown FS Elevator;

Schuyler-Brown PS. Sadorus; Sadorus Co-op Elevators; Sa-

dorus Co-operative Elevator Co. Saybrook; Pillsbury Saybrook Elevator;

The Pillsbury Company.

Secor: Secor Elevator; The Secor Elevator

Company. Serena; Serena Elevator; La Salle County

Farm Supply Company. Seward; Fasco Elevator; Pasco Mills Com-

St. Jacob; St. Jacob Elevator; Toberman Grain Company.

Shawneetown; T. Y. Williams Grain & Seed Co. Elevator; B. C. Christopher & Company, a limited partnership with Hearne Christopher, John H. Collett, Lawrence P. Hogan, Edward G. Mader, Donald F. George, Norman R. Supper, Kenneth G. Neff, John J. Sullivan, Sam L. Willoughby, Robert F. Wilson, John P. Gattermeir, Joe F. W. Kirshe, Robert C. Peters, Jr., Donald D. Killion, Larry G. McCully, Carl Hohnbaum; Edward A. Connelly.

Sheldon; Sheldon Elevator; The Early &

Daniel Company, Inc.
Shipman; Shipman Elevator; Shipman Elevator Company.

Sibley; Sibley Grain Company Elevator; The Sibley Grain Company. Sidell; B. C. Christopher & Co. Elevator;

B. C. Christopher & Company, a limited partnership with Hearne Christopher, John H. Collett, Lawrence P. Hogan, Edward G. Mader, Donald F. George, Norman R. Supper, Kenneth G. Neff, John J. Sullivan, Sam L. Willoughby, Robert F. Wilson, John P. Gat-termeir, Joe F. W. Kirshe, Robert C. Peters, Jr., Donald D. Killion, Larry G. McCully, Carl Hohnbaum, Edward A. Connelly.

Smithshire; Twomey Company; Twomey

Company. South Beloit; Demeter Inc. Warehouse-Beloit Div.; Demeter, Inc.

Speer; Allen Grain Inc. Elevator; Allen Grain Inc.

State Line; T State Line Elevator; State Line Elevator, Inc.

Stockland; Stockland Elevator; Stockland Grain Company, Inc.

Stonington; Stonington Cooperative Grain Company Elevator; Stonington Cooperative Grain Company.

Strawn; Strawn Warehouses; Honeggers &

Sullivan; Sullivan Elevator; Tabor Grain

Succtwater (RR #1 Greenview); Sweet-water Elevator; Sweetwater Grain Cooperative Association.

Symerton (P.O. Wilmington); Symerton Elevator; Will-DuPage Service Company.

Tallula: Tabor & Co.: Tallula-Elevator; Tabor Grain Co.

Tampico; Tampico Farmers' Elevator; The

Tampico Farmers' Elevator Company.

Taylorville; Continental Grain Co. Taylorville Elevator; Continental Grain Company.

Taylorville; Wayne Feed Supply Co. Elevator: Allied Mills, Inc.

Thomasboro; Thomasboro Grain Co. Elevator; Thomasboro Grain Co.

Tolono, R.R. 2; Apex Terminal Warehouses; Apex Terminal Warehouses, Inc.

Tolono; Tolono Elevator; Savoy Grain Company.

Trenton; Trenton Farmers Elevator; Trenton Cooperative Equity Exchange,

Ursa; Ursa Elevator; Ursa Farmers Co-operative Company.

Villa Grove; Villa Grove Farmers Elevator, Villa Grove Farmers Elevator Company. Walton (B.R. 2, Dixon); Walton Elevator;

Walton Elevator Company.

Wapella; Pilisbury Wapella Elevator; The Pillsbury Company.

Warsaw; Warsaw Elevator; Hancock Grain Company.

Watkins (P.O. Farmer City); Watkins Flevator; Weedman Grain and Coal Com-Watkins pany.

Weedman (R.R. I, Farmer City); Weedman Elevator; Weedman Grain and Coal Company

Weldon; Weldon Grain Co. Elevator; Weldon Co-operative Grain Company.

Wenona (RR); Moon Grain-Wenona Elevator; Moon Grain and Agri-Services, Inc. Wenong; Tabor & Co.—Wenons; Tabor

Grain Co. West Brooklyn; West Brooklyn Elevator;

West Brooklyn Farmers Co-operative Co. Westervelf; Ralston Purina Westervelt El-

evator; Raiston Purina Company.

Williamsville; W. W. Hill Williamsville
Elevator; W. W. Hill Feed & Grain Co.

Windsor; Neal-Cooper Grain Co. Elevator. Neal-Cooper Grain Co.

Winnebago; W. T. Berg Elevator; Beloit Grain Company. Woodyard (R.R. #1, Chrisman); Cargill

Woodyard Siding Elevator, Cargill, Incorporated.

Wyanet; Wyanet Elevator; Carl Lavern Barker, trading as Barker Milling and Grain

Yuton (R.R. 4, Bloomington); McLean County Service Co., Elevator; McLean County Service Company.

# INDIANA

Amboy: Amboy Elevator: Amboy Grain

Boswell; Boswell Grain Elevator; Boswell Grain Elevator, Inc. Bourbon; Bourbon Elevator Co. Elevator;

Central States Grain Co., Inc. Brookston; Brookston Grain Co. Elevators;

Demeter, Inc. Brookston; Green Prairie Company Eleva-

tor: Green Prairie Company, Inc. Burlington: Star Elevator: Star Roller Mills

Corporation. Camden: Camden Elevator; Allison, Stein-

hart & Zook, Inc. Camden (R.R. No. 1); Triangle Feeds, Inc.

Elevator; Triangle Feeds, Inc.

Carlisle; Sprinkle Elevator; Sprinkle Elevators, Inc.

Cicero; A.B.C. Grain Elevator; A.B.C. Grain Corp.

Contesville: Contesville Elevator; Contesville Elevator and Feed Co., Inc.

Columbia City; Farmers Grain and Feed Co.-Elevator; Farmers Grain and Feed Company, Inc.

Dana; Cargill Dana Elevator; Cargill Incorporated.

Decatur; Central Soya Decatur Elevator; Central Soya Company, Inc.

<sup>&</sup>quot;In Illinois and Indiana.

Dunn (RR 2, Fowler); Dunn-Raub Grain Elevators; Demeter, Inc. Earl Park; York-Richland Grain Elevators;

York-Richland Grain Elevators, Inc. East Chicago (Indiana Harbor); The New

York Central Elevator; Farmers Grain Dealers Association of Iowa (Cooperative) .

Edinburg (R.R. No. 1); Durham Road Elevator; Community Grain, Inc.

Elizabethtown; Elizabethtown Elevator; Snyder Elevator of Elizabethtown, Inc. Emporia (RR #1, Markleville); Emporia Elevator; Emporia Elevator, Inc.

Falmouth; Falmouth Elevator; Falmouth Farm Supply, Inc.

Fishers; Hamilton County Farm Bureau Fishers Elevator; Hamilton County Farm Bureau Co-Operative Association, Inc.

Flora; Flora Elevator; Allison, Steinhart & Zook, Inc.

Fowler (R.R. 1); Lochiel-Goodland Elevators; Demeter, Inc.
Francesville; Prancesville Cooperative Ele-

vator; Cooperative Elevator Company of Francesville.

Franklin; R.R. 2; Norton Grain Elevator;

Crystal Springs Grain Corporation.

Free (R.R. 2, Fowler); Pree Grain Elevator; Watland Farms, Inc., trading as Free Grain Company.

Greensburg; Lowe's Elevator; Lowe's Pellets and Grain, Inc.

Horton (R.R. 3, Sheridan); Hamilton County Farm Bureau Horton Elevator; Hamilton County Farm Bureau Co-Operative Association, Inc.

Indianapolis; Acme-Evans Elevator; General Grain, Inc.

Indianapolis; Beech Grove Elevator; The

Early & Daniel Company, Inc.
Indianapolis; Central Soya Indianapolis Elevator; Central Soya Company, Inc.

Kempton; Kempton Elevator; Kempton Grain & Supply Corp.

Kewanna; Daily Warehouse; Darl Daily & Sons, Inc.

Kirklin; Moore-Costlow Elevator; Moore-Costlow, Inc.

Kokomo; Kokomo Elevator; Kokomo Grain

Co., Inc. Kouts; Helnold Elevator; Helnold Elevator

Company, Inc. Laotto; Laotto Elevator Co. Elevator; Cen-

tral States Grain Co., Inc. Lebanon; Lebanon Feed and Grain Elevator; Lebanon Feed and Grain, Inc.

Ligonier; Lyon and Greenleaf Elevator; Lyon and Greenleaf Company, Incorporated. Linden; Cargill Linden Elevator; Cargill,

Incorporated. Lyons; Sprinkle Elevator; Sprinkle Elevators. Inc.

Manilla Grain Co. Elevator; Manilla: Manilla Grain Co., Inc.

Marshfield; Marshfield Elevator; Conard Grain Co., Inc.

Martinsville; Coleman Elevator; James E. Coleman, trading as Coleman Farm Service.

Muzwell; Maxwell Grain Company Elevator; Maxwell Grain Company, Inc.

Milroy; A and A Farms Elevator; Roy S.

Alverson, trading as A and A Farms.

Monroe City; Harrison Grain Elevator;
Harrison Elevators, Inc.

Monterey; Buckeye Feed and Supply Elevator; The Buckeye Feed and Supply, Inc.

Morocco; Golden Rule Grain Morocco Elevator; George L. Sterrenberg, Lester E. Whaley, and Wendell Whaley, copartners, trading as Golden Rule Grain.

Morristown; Morristown Elevator; Morristown Elevator Co., Inc.

Mount Ayr; Grow Elevator; Grow Farms Grain Corporation.

New Haven; Allen County Grain & Storage;

Central States Grain Co., Inc. New Market; Layne & Myers Elevator; Layne & Myers Grain Company, Inc.

New Puris; Martin's Grain Elevator; Martin's Feed Mills, Inc.

Noblesville; Noblesville Elevator; Hamilton County Farm Bureau Co-Operative Associa-

Peru; Canal Elevator, Allison, Steinhart & Zook, Inc.

Pinola (R.R. #1 La Porte); Pinola Elevator; Pinola Elevator Co., Inc.

Portland; Haynes Milling Co., Inc. Elevator; Haynes Milling Co., Inc.

Princeton; Cargill Princeton Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.

Rensselaer; Jasper County Farm Bureau Co-operative Association, Inc.

Reynolds; Pillsbury Reynolds Elevator; The Pillsbury Company.

Rushville; Allied Mills Rushville Elevator; Allied Mills, Inc.

Rushville; Master Feed & Grain Co. Eleva-tor; Master Feed & Grain Co., Inc. of Rushville.

Rushville: Rush County Grain Elevator; Rush County Grain, Inc.

Sandborn; Sprinkle Elevator; Sprinkle Elevators, Inc.

Schneider; Central Soya Schneider Elevator; Central Soya Company, Inc.

Shideler (R.R. #1, Eaton); Shideler Grain Co. Elevator; Shideler Grain Co., Inc. Shirley; Shirley Feed Mill; Shirley Feed

Mill Inc. Star City; Moyer Grain Co. Elevator; Moyer

Grain Company, Inc. State Line; State Line Elevator; State Line Elevator, Inc.

Sullivan; Johnson Mill & Elevator; John-

son Experimental Farm, Inc. Sulphur Springs; Wilson Elevator; Wilson Grain, Inc.

Swanington; Central States Grain Storage; Central States Grain Co., Inc.

Tab (PO Ambia); Pillsbury Tab Elevator; The Pillsbury Company.

Thorntown; Sugar Creek Elevator; Allison,

Steinhart & Zook, Inc.

Tipton: Cargill Tipton Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.

Vincennes; Baltic Mills, Inc. Elevator; Baltic Mills, Inc.

Vincennes; Cargill Vincennes Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.

Vincennes; Riverside Grain Co. Elevator-

South 6th St.; Riverside Grain Company,

Wakarusa: Allied Mills Wakarusa Elevator;

Allied Mills, Inc.

Waterloo; Waterloo Grain Terminal; De
Kalb County Farm Bureau Cooperative Association, Inc.

# IOWA

Adair; Adair Elevator; Adair Feed and

Albert City; Farmers Elevators; Albert City Elevator, a cooperative.

Algona; Cargill Algona Elevator; Cargill,

Incorporated.

Allendorf: Farmers Elevator; Farmers Co-operative Elevator Company. Alta; Agland Elevators; Agland Coopera-

tives. Alta; Cargill Alta Elevator; Cargill, Incor-

porated. Farmers Cooperative Elevator; Alton;

Farmers Mutual Cooperative Company. Anita; Anita Elevator; Anita Feed Service,

Archer; Archer Elevator; Archer Coopera-

tive Grain Company. Armstrong; Cargill Elevator; Cargill, In-

corporated. Auburn; Pick Elevator; Pick Grain Company, Inc.

Aurelia; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Company.

Avon Lake (PO Carlisle); General Mills Elevator; General Mills, Inc.

Beaver; Cargill Beaver Elevator; Cargill,

Incorporated.

Blanchard; Farmers Coop Elevator; Farm-

ers Cooperative Elevator Company. Blencoe; Farmers Elevators; Blencoe Cooperative Company,

Blockton; MFA Exchange Elevator; Mis-

souri Farmers Assolcation, Inc. Bondurant; Farmers Elevator "B"; Farm-

ers Elevator Company.

Booneville; Bonneville Coop.; Bonneville Cooperative Elevator Co.

Boyden; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Asociation.

Bradgate; Farmers Elevators; Farmers Cooperative Company.

Burlington; Burlington & Mississippi Ele-vator; Tabor Grain Co., d/b/a Tabor & Co. California Junction (P.O. Missouri Val-ley); Loveland Elevator; B. C. Christopher &

Company, a limited partnership with Hearne Christopher, John H. Collett, Lawrence P. Hogan, Edward G. Mader, Donald F. George, Norman R. Supper, Kenneth G. Neff, John J. Sullivan, Sam L. Willoughby, Robert F. Wilson, John P. Gattermeir, Joe F. W. Kirshe, Robert C. Peters, Jr., Donald D. Killion, Larry G. McCully, Carl Hohnbaum, Edward A. Connelly.

Farmers Cooperative Elevator: Carnes: Parmers Mutual Cooperative Company. Carpenter; Northwood Co-op Elevator;

Northwood Cooperative Elevator.

Cedar Rapids; Cargill Cedar Rapids Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.

Cedar Rapids; Carglil Cedar Rapids East Elevator; Carglil, Incorporated. Chariton; Chariton Feed and Grain Eleva-

tor; Chariton Feed and Grain, Inc.

Chariton; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Association.

Cherokee; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Co-

operative Company, of Cleghorn, Iowa. Clarion; Cargill Clarion Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated. Clarion; Farmers Elevators; Clarion Farm-

ers Elevator Cooperative. Clarksville; Voss Milling Company; Paul P.

Voss, trading as Voss Milling Company. Clearfield; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.

Cleghorn; Farmers Elevators; Farmers Cooperative Company, of Cleghorn, Iowa. Coburg: Johnson Bros. Elevator, Johnson

Bros. Mills, Inc.

Conroy: Farmers Coop Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Grain and Lumber Company. Corydon; Corydon Elevator; The Hubinger Company.

Council Bluffs; Bartlett Elevator; Bartlett and Company Grain. Council Bluffs; Cargill Council Bluffs Ele-

vator; Cargill, Incorporated.

Council Bluffs; Pillsbury Company Elevator; The Pillsbury Company.

Council Bluffs; Scoular-Welsh Council Bluffs Elevator; Scoular-Welsh Grain Co.

Cresco; Huntting Elevator; Huntting Elevator Company. Cresco; United Shippers Cooperative Ele-

vator; United Shippers Cooperative. Creston; Farmers Coop Elevator; Farmers

Cooperative Company. Cumberland; Farmers Elevator; Farmers

Cooperative Association.

Dana; Juhl Feed Store Elevator; Eldon Juhl Inc.

Des Danville: Farm Service Elevator; Moines County Farm Service Company.

Dedham; Farmers Elevators; Dedham Cooperative Association.

Des Moines; Cargill Des Moines Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.

<sup>7</sup> In Illinois and Indiana.

<sup>&</sup>quot;In Iowa and Nebraska.

Des Moines; F-G-D-A Des Moines Terminals; Farmers Grain Dealers Association of Iowa (Cooperative).

Dike; Farmers Cooperative Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Company.

Donnellson; Parm Service Elevator; Des

Moines County Farm Service Company.

Dunlap; Sullivan Elevators; Sullivan Seed, Weed & Grain Co.

Emerson; Farm Supply Elevator; Emerson Farm Supply Company

Emmetsburg; Cargill Elevator; Cargill, In-

corporated. Esser; Essex Elevator; Essex Elevator, Inc.

Everly: Farmers Elevator; Parmers Co-Operative Elevator Company of Everly, Iowa. Farnhamville; Farnhamville Co-Op Elevator: Farmers Cooperative Company.

Farragut; Parmers Coop Elevators; Fre-

mont County Cooperative

Farragut; Farragut Elevator; Farragut Elevator Co.

Fonda; Cargill Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.

Fontanelle: Parmers Coop Co. Elevator: Farmers Cooperative Company.

Fort Dodge; Big 4 Elevator; Land O'Lakes,

Gillett Grove; Cargill Elevator; Cargill

Incorporated. Gilman; Parmers Coop Warehouse; Farm-

ers Cooperative. Gladbrook; Tabor & Co. Elevator; Tabor

Grain Co. d/b/a Tabor & Co. Glidden; Parmers Elevator; Farmers Co-

operative Company

Gowrie; Consolidated Elevators; Consolidated Cooperative, Inc.

Granger; Cargill Granger Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.

Granville; Bunkers Elevator; Bunkers Feed & Supply, Inc.

Granville; Granville Farmers Elevators;

Farmers Cooperative Company. Greenfield; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Co-

operative Company.

Greenfield; Feeders Service Warehouse;

Feeders Service, Inc. Grinnell; Farmers Exchange Elevator:

Farmers Exchange, Inc.

Grinnell; Grinnell Feed & Grain Elevator; Grinnell Feed and Grain, Inc.

Griswold; Muller Brothers Elevator; Kent Muller, Gary Muller and Wendell Muller Co-

partners, trading as Muller Brothers. Grundy Center; Parmers Elevator; Farm-Cooperative Elevator Company.

Hamburg; Cargill Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.

Harlan; Squealer Grain Elevator; Squealer

Grain Company.

Hartley; Cook Industries, Inc. Grain Terminal Warehouse; Cook Industries, Inc.

Hartley; Huntting Elevator; Huntting Ele-

vator Company Haverhill; Haverhill Elevator; Haverhill

Elevator, Inc. Hawarden; Scroggs Elevator; Scroggs Feed

and Grain Co. Highview (P.O. Webster City); United Coop

Elevators; United Cooperative. Hillsboro; Hillboro Elevator; Hillsboro

Elevator, Inc. Hinton; Farmers Elevators; Farmers Co-

operative Company.

Holstein; Holstein Cooperative Elevator; Holstein Cooperative Elevator. Hospers; Bosma Elevator; Joe's Feed Serv-

Hospers; Van Iperen Elevator; Van Iperen

Feed & Grain Co. Houghton; Houghton Elevator; Houghton

Elevator, Inc. Hull; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Coopera-

tive Association.

Imogene: Imogene Elevator: Imogene Grain, Inc.

Ireton; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Society.

Ireton; Ireton Elevator; Jack's Feed Store, Inc.

Keoig; Wayne Feed Supply Co. Elevator; Allied Mills, Inc.

Kingsley; Farmers Elevators; The Farmers Elevator Company

Lacona: Lacona Elevator; Lacona Cooperative Oil Company.

Lake View; Reiter Elevator; Reiter Feed Company Incorporated.

Lakota; Wayne Feed Supply Co., Elevator;

Allied Mills, Inc.

Lamoni; Parmers Co-op Grain & Seed Elevator: Farmers Cooperative Grain & Seed Company.

Larrabee; Parmers Cooperative Elevator; Parmers Cooperative Elevator Company of Larrabee.

Laurens; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Elevator Company

Le Mars; Good Morning Elevators; Meis Seed & Feed Co

Le Mars; Le Mars Elevator; Le Mars Hatchery and Feed, Incorporated.

Lenox; Country Boys Elevator; A. J. Ettleman and Mildred P. Ettleman, Copartners, trading as Country Boys' Lumber and Concrete Company and the Country Boys' Elevator Company,

Lidderdale; Wenck Warehouse; Wenck

Feeds, Incorporated.

Liscomb; Welp Elevator; Welp Elevator Co.

Lynnville; Tice Feed & Grain; Roger L.

Tice, trading as Tice Feed & Grain.

Lytton; Lytton Elevator; Lytton Cooperative Elevator Company.

Malcom; Malcom Farmers Cooperative Elevator; Malcom Farmers Cooperative Elevator.
Manning; Manning Agricultural Center;

Orland D. Fara, trading as Manning Agricultral Center.

Manson; Farmers Co-Op Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Company.

Mapleton; Flanigan Brothers Elevator; Joseph P. Flanigan and Robert M. Flanigan, Copartners, trading as Flanigan Brothers.

Marathon; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Association. Marcus; Parmers Elevators; Farmers Co-

operative Elevator. Marengo; Marengo Elevator; Marengo Ele-

vator Company, Inc.

Mason City, F-G-D-A Mason City Ele-

vator; Farmers Grain Dealers Association of Iowa (Cooperative). Massena; Massena Elevator; Massena Co-

operative Company. Massena; Massena Farm Center Warehouse;

Massena Farm Center, Inc.
Matlock; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Co-

operative Elevator Association of Sheldon, Iowa

Mediapolis; Parm Service Elevator; Des Moines County Farm Service Company,

McGregor; Mississippi River Terminal No. 2; Parmers Grain Dealers Association of Iowa (Cooperative)

McPaul (P.O. Thurman); Lincoln Grain Elevator; Lincoln Grain, Inc.

Meckers Landing (Rt. 2, Burlington); Mississippi River Terminal; Farmers Grain Dealers Association of Iowa (Cooperative)

Meriden; Fredrickson Elevator; Fredrickson Grain Co., Inc.

Missouri Valley; Loveland Elevator; B. C. Christopher & Company, a limited partnership with Hearne Christopher, John H. Collett, Lawrence P. Hogan, Edward G. Mader, Donald F. George, Norman R. Supper, Kenneth G. Neff, John J. Sullivan, Sam L. Willoughby, Robert F. Wilson, John P. Gattermeir, Joe F. W. Kirshe, Robert C. Peters, Jr., Donald D. Killion, Larry G. McCully, Carl Hohnbaum, Edward A. Connelly.

Modale; Farmers Elevators; Modale Cooperative Association.

Modale; Loveland Elevator; B. C. Christopher & Company, a limited partnership with Hearne Christopher, John H. Collett, Lawrence P. Hogan, Edward G. Mader, Donald F. George, Norman R. Supper, Kenneth G. Neff, John J. Sullivan, Sam L. Willoughby, Robert Wilson, John P. Gattermeir, Joe F. Kirshe, Robert C. Peters, Jr., Donald D. Killion, Larry G. McCully, Carl Hohnbaum, Edward A. Connelly.

Mondamin: Farmers Elevators: Farmers Co-operative Co.

Montezuma; Montezuma Feed and Grain; Montezuma Feed and Grain, Inc.

Moorhead; Moorhead Elevator; Moorhead Cooperative.

Moulton; Moulton Elevator; Kenneth E. Hass, trading as Moulton Elevator Co. Mount Union; Mount Union Coop; Mount

Union Cooperative Elevator Co. Muscatine; Mississippi River Terminal No.

3; Farmers Grain Dealers Association of Iowa (Cooperative) New Hartford; Farmers Cooperative Eleva-

tor; Farmers Cooperative Company.
New London; Farmers Coop Elevator; New

London Farmers Cooperative. Nodaway Elevator, Nodaway Nodaway:

Elevator, Inc.

Nora Springs; Nora Springs Elevator; Nora Springs Cooperative Company.
Northwood; Northwood Co-op Elevator;

Northwood Cooperative Elevator

Oakville; Oakville Elevator; Oakville Feed & Grain, Inc.

Ocheyedan; Ocheyedan Elevator; Cooperative Elevator Association,

Odebolt; Odebolt Cooperative Elevator; Odebolt Cooperative Elevator Company.

Onawa; Parmers Coop Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Elevator Company.

Onawa; Langren Elevator; Langren Grain Company, Incorporated.

Pacific Junction; Lincoln Grain Elevator: Lincoln Grain, Inc. Pacific Junction; Vinton Elevator; Vinton

Fert. & Equip., Inc. Palmer; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Coop-

erative Company. Paullina; Paullina Farmers Elevators;

Farmers Cooperative Company Pella; Farmers Co-operative Exchange Elevator; Farmers' Co-operative Exchange.

Percival; Percival Grain Elevators; Percival Grain, Inc. Peterson; Peterson Elevator; Peterson Co-

operative Elevator Company. Pierson: Farmers Elevators: Farmers Co-

operative Elevator Company. Pocahontas; Parmers Cooperative Eleva-tors; Parmers Cooperative Company.

Polk City; Polk City Elevator; Polk City Grain Co.

Port Neal (P.O. Sergeant Bluff); Farmland Industries Elevator; Farmland Industries,

Portsmouth; G & R Elevator; G & R Feed and Grain Co., Inc.

Prairie City; Caldwell Elevator; Walter Caldwell, Inc.

Primghar; Nicholson & Edwards Elevator; William R. Nicholson, William A. Edwards and R. S. Nicholson, Copartners, trading as Nicholson & Edwards Grain Co.

Radcliffe; Farmers Cooperative Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Elevator Company

Raiston; Farmers Elevators; Farmers Cooperative Association.

Redfield; Cargill Redfield Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.

Red Oak; Farmers Mercantile Elevator; Farmers Mercantile Company, A Cooperative. Red Oak; Nishna Grain Elevator; Nishna Grain Company.

Reinbeck; Reinbeck Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Company.

Rembrandt; Cargill Elevator, Cargill, Incorporated.

Remsen; Farmers Cooperative Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Company.

Remsen; Remsen Roller Mill; Remsen Roller Mill, Inc.

Biceville; Riceville Elevator; R. A. Nauman, Carl H. Smith and Keith K. Eastman, copartners, trading as Farmers Feed & Grain Company.

Rockford; Farmers Cooperative Elevator;

Farmers Cooperative Exchange.

Rock Rapids; Cargill Elevator, Cargill, Incorporated. Roland; Roland-Nevada Farmers Elevator: Farmers Cooperative Elevator

Association.

Royal; Cargill Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.

Rudd; Rudd Coop. Elev.; Farmers Cooperative Company.

Salem; Salem Elevator; Salem Elevator,

Sanborn; Farmers Elevator; Sanborn Cooperative Grain Company.

Sexton; Cargill Sexton Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.

Shelby; Farmers Elevator; Shelby Farmers Elevator, Inc.

Sheldon; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Co-operative Elevator Association of Sheldon,

Sheldon; Land O' Lakes Elevator; Land O' Lakes, Inc.

Shenandoah; Farmers Elevators; Farmers' Cooperative Exchange.

Shenandoah; Johnson Bros. Elevators: Johnson Bros. Mills, Inc.

Shenandoah; Van Buskirk Elevator; The Nishna Valley Grain Company. Sherwood (P.O. Rockwell City); Sherwood Elevator; George Reko, trading as Sherwood

Grain. Sibley; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Co-Op

Elevator Co. Sigourney; Wayne Feed Supply Co., Ele-

vator; Allied Mills, Inc.

Sioux Center; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Society

Sioux Center; Sioux Feed Elevator; Sioux Feed Company, Inc. Sioux City; Cargill Sioux City Elevator "A";

Cargill, Incorporated.

Sioux City; Elevator "B"; Harley G. Hall, trading as Hall Grain Company.

Sioux City; Farmers Union Elevator; Farmers Union Grain Terminal Association.

Sioux City; Terminal Grain Corporation Elevator; Terminal Grain Corporation. Sloan; Cargill Elevator; Cargill, Incorpo-

Sloan; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Cereal

Company (Cooperative).

Spencer; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Elevator Company.

Superior; Superior Cooperative Elevator; Superior Cooperative Elevator Company. Sutherland; Sutherland Elevator; Sutherland Farmers Cooperative Company.

Swea City; Cargill Elevator; Cargill, Incor-

porated. Tabor; Tabor Feed & Grain Elevator;

Tabor Feed & Grain Corp.

Tama; Werner Grain & Feed Elevator; Werner's Inc.

Templeton; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Company.

Treynor; Treynor Elevator; Treynor Feed & Grain, Inc.

Ute; Gregerson Elevator; Gregerson Elevator, Inc.

Victor; M & N Grain Elevator; M & N Grain, Inc.

Villisca; Villisca Elevator; Villisca Elevator,

Vincent; Co-Op Elevators; New Coopera-

Wapello; Wapello Elevator; Farmers Elevator & Exchange, Inc.

Ware (P.O. Havelock); Cargill Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.

Washington; Cargill Washington Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.

Washta; Cargill Elevator; Cargill, Incorpo-

Webb; Webb Elevator; Scoular-Bishop Grain Company.

Wightman (P.O. Lohrville); Wightman Elevator; Joseph B. Kavanaugh, trading as Wightman Feed and Grain.

Williams; Farmers Cooperative Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Elevator Company.

Winfield; Farmers Coop Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Company.

Woodward; Cargill Woodward Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.

Abbyville; Abbyville Coop Elevator; The Farmers Cooperative Grain Company.

Abilene; ADM Elevator; ADM Milling Co. Alamota; Alamota Parmers Elevator; The Farmers Cooperative Elevator and Mercantile Association.

Albert; Pawnee Elevator; The Pawnee

County Cooperative Association.

Amy: Amy Farmers Elevator: The Farmers Cooperative Elevator and Mercantile Association.

Andale; Farmers Elevator; The Andale Farmers Cooperative Company.

Anthony; Farmers Cooperative Elevator; Anthony Farmer's Cooperative Elevator Co. Argonia; Danville Coop Elevator; Danville Cooperative Association.

Arkansas City; Ark City Elevator; Dixie Portland Flour Mills, Inc.

Arkansas City: New Era Mill: The New Era Milling Company.

Arlington; Coop Elevator; The Co-operative Exchange.

Atchison; Lincoln Grain, Inc. Elevator; Lincoln Grain, Inc.

Atlanta; Atlanta Co-op Elevator; The At-

lanta Cooperative Association.

Atwood; Equity Elevator; Equity Co-Operative Exchange. The Atwood Bavaria; Farmers Elevator; The Farmers

Elevator Cooperative Company. Basine; Co-op Elevator, The Co-operative

Grain & Supply Company.

Beaver; Beaver Grain Elevator; Beaver Grain Corporation, Inc. Beeler; Beeler Coop; The Beeler Coopera-

tive Exchange, Bosse Stding (P.O. Jetmore); Bosse Elevator; Bosse Grains, Inc.

Brewster; Reid Elevator; Reid Grain of Brewster, Inc.

Brewster; Coop Elevator; Farmers Co-operative Association.

Bucklin; The Bucklin Co-op Exchange Elevator; The Bucklin Cooperative Exchange. Bucklin; Bucklin Grain Co.; Wright-Lorenz Grain Co., Inc.

Cambridge; Holt Grain Company Elevator; Lawrence L. Holt and Norman P. Holt, Copartners, trading as The Holt Grain Company.

Carlton; Carlton Elevator; Farm Co-op Association.

Castleton; Farmers Grain Co. Castleton Elevator: The Farmers Cooperative Grain

Company Charleston (P.O. Ingalls); Parmers Eleva-

tors; The Garden City Co-Op Inc. Chase; Chase Co-operative Elevator; The Chase Co-operative Elevator, Mill and Mercantile Union.

Chency; Cheney Co-op Elevator; Cheney Co-operative Elevator Ass'n.

Cimarron; The Cimarron Co-operative Ele-

vators; The Cimarron Co-operative Equity Exchange.

Cimarron; Irsik and Doll Elevator; Irsik & Doll Feed Services, Inc.

Claffin; Coop Elevator; The Claffin Coopcrative Association.

Clearwater; Clearwater Coop Elevator; Clearwater Cooperative Association

Coffeyville; Coop Elevator; Farmland Industries, Inc.

Colby: Cooper Terminal; Cooper Grain, Inc.

Colby: Hi-Plains Co-op Elevator; The Hi-Plains Co-operative Association.

Colwich; Farmers Elevator; The Andale

Farmers Cooperative Company.

Conway Springs; Conway Springs Elevator; Charles P. Garretson, trading as Garretson Grain Company.

Conway Springs; The Parmers Cooperative Grain Association Elevator; The Farmers Cooperative Grain Association.

Coolidge; Southeastern Colorado Co-Op Elevator; South Eastern Colorado Coop

Coolidge; Sullivan, Inc. Elevator; Sullivan, Inc.

Corwin; Farmers Co-operative Elevators; The Farmers Co-operative Business Associa-

Cullison (P.O. Pratt); Farmers Grain Elevator; Cullison Cooperative Association.

Danville; Danville Coop Elevator; Danville Cooperative Association.

Deerfield; Farmers Elevators; The Garden City Co-Op Inc.

Delphos; Delphos Coop Elevator; The Delphos Cooperative Association.

Dighton; Farmers Elevator; The Farmers Cooperative Elevator and Mercantile Asso-

Dillon (P.O. Hope); Dillon Elevator; Farm Co-op Association

Dillwyn (P.O. Macksville); Coop Elevator; The Dillwyn Grain and Supply Company

Dodge City; Grain Products Terminal Elevator; Grain Products, Inc.

Douglass; Douglass Grain Co. Elevator;

James L. Taylor, trading as Douglass Grain Company.

Edgerton; Coop Elevator in Edgerton; The Farmers Cooperative Association.

El Dorado; Taylor Elevators; James L. Taylor and Robert D. Haaga, copartners, trad-

ing as Taylor Grain Company.

Emporia; Cook Industries Processing and Refining Division Elevator; Cook Industries.

Feterita (P.O. Hugoton); Feterita Co-op Elevator; The Farmers Co-Operative Grain

and Supply Company.

Florence: Coop Elevator; The Burns Farmers Co-operative Union.

Fowler; Powler Equity Elevator "B"; The Fowler Equity Exchange

Fredonia; ADM Elevator; Archer-Daniels-Midland Company.

Galva Grain Elevator; Western Galva; Grain, Inc. Gano (P.O. Garden City); Parmers Eleva-

tor, The Garden City Co-Op, Inc.

Garden City; Parmers Elevators; The Garden City Co-Op Inc.

Garden Plain; Farmers Cooperative Elevator; The Farmers Cooperative Elevator Company Garfield: Garfield Co-operative Elevator;

The Garfield Co-operative Company. Garnett; Garnett Elevator; Western Grain,

Goodland; Monfort Elevator; Monfort of Colorado, Inc.

Goodland; Reid Elevator; Reid Grain of Goodland, Inc.

Great Bend; Great Bend Elevators; The Great Bend Cooperative Association. Green; Lippert Elevator; Maxine Fried-

erich, trading as Lippert Grain Co.

Greensburg; Farmers Grain and Supply Elevator; The Farmers Grain and Supply Co. of Klowa Co., Kans.

Gypsum; Morrison Grain Company, Inc.

Elevator: Morrison Grain Company, Inc.

Harper; Farmers Cooperative Elevator; Anthony Farmer's Cooperative Elevator Co. Haven; Farmers Grain Co.; The Farmers

Co-operative Grain Company.

Hays; Co-op Elevator; The Farmer's CoOperative Association of Hays, Ellis County, Kansas.

<sup>\*</sup> In Colorado and Kansas.

Hazelton; Farmers Co-operative Elevators; The Farmers Co-operative Business Associa-

Hickok (P.O. Ulysses); Co-op Elevator; The Ulysses Co-operative Oil and Supply Company

Hickok (P.O. Ulysses); Sullivan, Inc., Ele-

vator; Sullivan, Inc.

Hozie: Cooper Terminal; Cooper Grain Inc. Hugoton: Hugoton Co-op Elevator; The Farmers Co-operative Grain and Supply Company

Hugoton; Parker Elevator; Earl Bryan,

trading as Parker Grain Co.

Hutchinson; Continental Elevator; Conti-nental Grain Company.

Hutchinson; Smoot Elevator; The Smoot Grain Company

Ingalls; Ingalls Grain Elevator; Ingalls Co-

operative. Inman; Chase Elevator; The Chase Grain

Co., Inc.

Iuka; Iuka Coop; Iuka Cooperative Exchange.

Jamestown; Lincoln Grain, Inc. Elevator; Lincoln Grain, Inc.

Junction City; Mid-Continent Elevator; Western Grain, Inc.

Kalvesta: Bosse Elevator: Bosse Grains,

Kanorado; Kanorado Co-op Elevator; The Kanorado Co-operative Association.

Kanorado; Reid Elevator; Reid Grain of Kanorado, Inc.

Kansas City; Bunge Elevator; Bunge Corporation.

Kansas City; Far-Mar-Co Fairfax Elevator;

Far-Mar-Co., Inc.

Kansas City; River-Rail Elevator; Bartlett
and Company Grain.

Kansas City: Turnpike Elevator: Seaboard

Allied Milling Corporation.

Kellogg (Route 2, Winfield); Kellogg Coop

Elevator; Kellogg Farmers Union Cooperative Association. Kensington; Kensington Coop Elevators;

The Kensington Cooperative Association. Kiowa; O. K. Elevators; The O. K. Co-

operative Grain & Mercantile Company. Kismet; Equity Elevator; The Plains Equity

Exchange and Co-operative Union.

LaCygne: Farmers Coop Elevator; The Linn

County Farmers Cooperative Association. Larned; Pawnee Elevators; The Pawnee

County Cooperative Association.

Laurence; Farmers Coop Elevator; The Farmers Cooperative Association.

Liberal; Perryton Equity Elevator; Perryton Equity Exchange.

Lowe (P.O. Holcomb); Farmers Elevators, The Garden City Co-Op, Inc.

Lyons; Central Kansas Elevator; The Smoot Grain Company

Macksville; English Bros. Elevator; Robert H. English and William T. English, copartners, trading as English Grain Company

Marienthal; West Plains Elevator; West Plains Grain, Inc.

Mayfield: Farmers' Co-op Elevator: Farmers' Cooperative Grain Association of Wellington, Kansas.

McPherson; Chase Elevator; The Chase

Grain Co., Inc.

Meade: The Co-operative Elevators: The
Co-Operative Elevator and Supply Company. Milepost ( P.O. Ulysses); Co-Op Elevator; The Ulysses Co-Operative Oil and Supply Company.

Moscow; Brollier's C & D Elevator; C & D

Grain, Inc. Moscow; Moscow Co-op Elevator; The Farmers Co-operative Grain and Supply Company.

Moscow; Moscow Elevator; Moscow Elevator Company; E. L. Gaskill, Inc. Moscow; Thurow Elevator; Carl M. Thu-

row, trading as Carl G. Thurow & Sons Mount Hope; Parmers Co-Op Elevator; The Parmers Cooperative Elevator Co.

Mullinville; Equity Exchange Elevator; The Equity Grain and General Merchandise Exchange.

Mulvane; Mulvane Co-op Elevator; The Mulvane Cooperative Union.

Nashville; Farmers Co-op Elevator; The Zenda Grain and Supply Company.

Neodesha; Neodeshia Co-op Elevator; The Neodesha Cooperative Association.

Ness City; Co-op Elevator; The Right Co-operative Association. Newton; Ross Ind. Dept. of Cargill, Inc.

Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated. Oberlin; Decatur Coop Elevator; The De-

catur Cooperative Association. Olis; Otis Grain Elevator; Otis Grain Eleva-

Incorporated.

Offawa; Ottawa Co-Op Elevator; The Ottawa Cooperative Association.

Overbrook; Overbrook Farmers Co-op Ele-The Overbrook Farmer's Union Co-Operative Association.

Oxford; Farmers' Co-op Elevator; Farmers' Cooperative Grain Association of Wellington, Kansas.

Pierceville; Christensen Elevator; Christensen Grain, Inc.

Pierceville; Farmers Elevators; The Garden City Co-Op Inc.

Plains; Equity Elevator; The Plains Equity Exchange and Co-operative Union. Plainville; Coop Elevator; The Farmer's

Co-Operative Association of Hays, Ellis County, Kansas.

Preston; Farmers Elevator; The Preston Cooperative Grain & Mercantile Company. Protection; Parmers Elevator; The Protection Cooperative Supply Company.

Randall; Lincoln Grain, Inc. Elevator; Lincoln Grain, Inc.

Rock; Rock Elevator; Quentin F. Waples, d.b.a. The Rock Grain Co.

Rome (P.O. Wellington); Rome Elevator; McDaniel-Waples, Inc.

Rozbury; Morrison Grain Company, Inc. Elevator; Morrison Grain Company, Inc. Elevator; Morrison Grain Company, Inc. Russell; Russell Elevator; Ageo, Inc. Salina; Bunge Elevator; Bunge Corpora-

tion.

Salina; Koppel Elevator; Koppel, Inc. Satanta; Satanta Coop Elevator; The Satanta Cooperative Grain Company.

Scott City; Coop Elevator; The Scott Cooperative Association.

Scott City; Scott City Elevator; The Scott City Grain Company, Inc.

Sedgwick; Farmers Elevator; The Andale

Farmers Cooperative Company, Scdgwick; The Sedgwick Alfalfa Mills; Sedgwick Alfalfa Mills, Inc. Selden: Lincoln Grain, Inc. Elevator; Lin-

coln Grain, Inc. The Nemaha

Seneca: Coop Elevator; The Nemaha County Co-operative Association. Sharon; Farmers Co-operative Elevators;

The Farmers Co-operative Business Associa-

Shields; Shields Farmers Elevator; The Farmers Cooperative Elevator and Mercantile Association.

St. Francis; Equity Elevator; The St. Prancis Mercantile Equity Exchange.

St. John; Coop Elevator; The Dillwyn Grain and Supply Company.

Stafford; Stafford Coop; Stafford Coop. Sterling; Farmers Elevator; The Farmers

Cooperative Union. Sublette: Haskell County Elevator; Haskell County Grain Company, Inc.

Sublette; Sublette Coop Elevator; Sublette Cooperative, Inc.

Syracuse; Irsik & Doll Elevator; Irsik & Doll Feed Services, Inc.

Tennis (P.O. Friend); Farmers Elevators;

The Garden City Co-op Inc.

Timken; Timken Coop Elevator; The Tim-

ken Cooperative Association.

Topeka; Far-Mar-Co Topeka Elevator; Par-Mar-Co., Inc.

Tribune; 10 Farmeo Tribune Elevator; Parmeo, Inc.

Turon; Farmers Elevator; The Preston Cooperative Grain & Mercantile Company,

Ulysses; Co-Op Elevator; The Ulysses Co-Operative Oil and Supply Company. Ulysses; Sullivan Inc. Elevator; Sullivan.

Valley Center; Valley Center Farmers Elevator, Inc.; Valley Center Farmers Elevator,

Wellington; Farmers' Co-op Elevator; Farmers' Cooperative Grain Association of Wellington, Kansas.

Wellington; Rosa Ind. Dept. of Cargill, Inc. Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated. White City; Mor-Kan Elevator; Western

Grain, Inc.

White Cloud; White Cloud Elevator: The White Cloud Grain Company, Inc.

Wichita; Checkerboard Elevator; Ralston Purina Company, trading as Checkerboard Grain Company.

Wichita; Western Grain Elevator; Western Grain, Inc.

Wilson; Kyner Elevator; Kyner Elevators. Inc

Wilson; Soukup Elevator; Arthur C. Soukup, trading as Soukup Grain Company, Wolf (P.O. Deerfield); Farmers Elevators; The Garden City Co-op, Inc.

Woodston; Lincoln Grain, Inc. Elevator; Lincoln Grain, Inc.

Wright; Co-op Elevators; The Right Cooperative Association.

Zenda; Farmers Co-op Elevato Zenda Grain and Supply Company. Elevator; The

Zenith; Farmers Elevator; Zenith Cooperative Grain Company.

# KENTUCKY

Beaver Dam; Beaver Dam Grain Co. Elevator; B. C. Christopher & Company, a limited partnership with Hearne Christopher, John Collett, Lawrence P. Hogan, Edward G. Mader, Donald P. George, Norman R. Sup-per, Kenneth G. Neff, John J. Sullivan, Sam L. Willoughby, Robert F. Wilson, John P. Gattermeir, Joe F. W. Kirshe, Robert C. Peters, Jr., Donald D. Killion, Larry G. McCully, Carl Hohnbaum, Edward A. Con-

Clay; B. C. Christopher & Co. Elevator; B. C. Christopher & Company, a limited partnership with Hearne Christopher, John H. Collett, Lawrence P. Hogan, Edward G. Mader, Donald F. George, Norman R. Supper, Kenneth G. Neff, John J. Sullivan, Sam L. Willoughby, Robert F. Wilson, John P. Gattermeir, Joe F. W. Kirshe, Robert C. Peters, Jr., Donald D. Killion, Larry G. McCully, Carl Hohnbaum, Edward A. Connelly.

Fulton; 11 Browder Grain, Inc. Warehouse; Browder Grain, Inc.

Hardin; Hardin Grain Elevator; B. C. Christopher & Company, a limited partner-ship with Hearne Christopher, John H. Collett, Lawrence P. Hogan, Edward G. Mader, Donald F. George, Norman R. Supper, Kenneth G. Neff, John J. Sullivan, Sam I. Willoughby, Robert F. Wilson, John P. Gat-termeir, Joe F. W. Kirshe, Robert C. Peters, Jr., Donald D. Killion, Larry G. McCully, Carl Hohnbaum, Edward A. Connelly.

Hickman; Bunge Corporation Terminal El-

evator; Bunge Corporation.

Hickman; Pulton County Grain Company Elevator; B. C. Christopher & Company, a limited partnership with Hearne Christopher, John H. Collett, Lawrence P. Hogan, Edward G. Mader, Donald F. George, Norman R. Supper, Kenneth G. Neff, John J. Sullivan, Willoughby, Robert F. Wilson, John P. Gattermeir, Joe P. W. Kirshe, Rob-ert C. Peters, Jr., Donald D. Killion, Larry G. McCully, Carl Hohnbaum, Edward A. Connelly.

n In Kentucky and Tennessee.

Hopkinsville; Hopkinsville Elevator; Hopkinsville Elevator Co., Inc.

Livermore; Bunge Corporation Livermore Grain Terminal; Bunge Corporation.

Louisville; Kentucky Public Elevator; The

Early & Daniel Company, Inc.

Mayfield; Mayfield Milling Co. Elevator; B. C. Christopher & Company, a limited partnership with Hearne Christopher, John H. Collett, Lawrence P. Hogan, Edward G. Mader, Donald F. George, Norman R. Supper, Kenneth G. Neff, John J. Sullivan, Sam L. Willoughby, Robert F. Wilson, John P. Gattermeir, Joe F. W. Kirshe, Robert C. Peters, Jr., Donald D. Killion, Larry G. Mc-Cully, Carl Hohnbaum, Edward A. Connelly.

Princeton; Princeton Grain & Supply Elevator; B. C. Christopher & Company, a limited partnership with Hearne Christopher, John H. Collett, Lawrence P. Hogan, Edward G. Mader, Donald F. George, Norman R. Supper, Kenneth G. Neff, John J. Sullivan, Sam L. Willoughby, Robert F. Wilson, John P. Gattermeir, Joe F. W. Kirshe, Rob-ert C. Peters, Jr., Donald D. Killion, Larry G. McCully, Carl Hohnbaum, Edward Connelley.

Sebree; Sebree Feed & Grain Elevator; B. C. Christopher & Company, a limited partnership with Hearne Christopher, John H. Collett, Lawrence P. Hogan, Edward G. Mader, Donald F. George, Norman R. Supper, Kenneth G. Neff, John J. Sullivan, Sam L. Willoughby, Robert F. Wilson, John P. Gattermeir, Joe F. W. Kirshe, Robert C. Peters, Jr., Donald D. Killion, Larry G. McCully. Carl Hohnbaum, Edward A. Connelly.

#### LOUISIANA

Abbeville: Planters Warehouse; Riviana Foods Inc.

Ama; Farmers Export Elevator; Farmers

Export Co.

Book (P.O. Jonesville); Louisiana Delta Elevator; Louisiana Delta Plantation, a joint venture of Morrison Quirk Grain Corporation, a Nebraska corporation, and Morrison

Grain Company, Inc., a Kansas corporation Crowley; Acadia Warehouse; Riviana

Foods Inc.

Crowley; Farmers' Warehouse; MFC Serv-

ices (A.A.L.).

Associated Producers' Coop. Crowville: Elevator; Associated Producers' Cooperative, Delhi; Terrick Elevator; Lake Providence Port Elevator, Inc.

Destrehan; Bunge Corporation Elevator;

Bunge Corporation.

Destrehan; St. Charles Grain Elevator; The St. Charles Grain Elevator Company, a joint venture of Archer-Daniels-Midland Company, a Delaware Corporation, and Garnac Grain Co. Inc., a New York Corporation. Egan: Egan Warehouse; Riviana Foods

Inc

Goodrich Landing (P.O. Transylvania); Bunge Corporation Terminal Elevator, Bunge Corporation.

Gueydan; Gueydan Warehouse; Riviana Foods Inc.

Jennings; Northern Warehouse; Riviana

Foods Inc. Jonesville; Bunge Corporation Terminal

Elevator; Bunge Corporation.

Kaplan; Agnes Warehouse; Riviana Foods Krots Springs; Illa Grain Warehouse; Illa

Grain Corporation. Lake Charles; Lake Charles Warehouse;

Riviana Foods Inc.

Lake Providence; Lake Providence Port Elevator, Lake Providence Port Elevator, Inc. Monterey: Tensas Port Elevator, Black River Division; Tensas Port Elevator Company, Inc.

Myrtle Grove (P.O. Belle Chasse); Mississippi River Grain Elevator; Mississippi River Grain Elevator, Inc.

New Orleans; Public Grain Elevator of New Orelans; Public Grain Elevator of New Orleans, Inc.

Port Allen; Port of Baton Rouge Grain Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.

Rayne; Rayne Warehouse; Riviana Poods

Reserve: Bayside Elevator Co., a division of Bayside Warehouse Company; Bayside Warehouse Company.

Terre Haute Elevator; Cargill, Reserve; Incorporated.

St. Joseph; Tensas Port Elevator; Tensas Port Elevator Company, Inc.

Taliulah; Madison Grain Company Ele-vator, a Division of Terral-Norris Seed Co., Inc.; Terral-Norris Seed Company, Incorporated.

Tallulah: Tallulah Port Elevator: Lake Providence Port Elevator, Inc.

Westwego; Continental Grain Elevator, Port of New Orleans; Continental Grain Company.

### MARYLAND

Williamsburg; Whiteley Elevator; W. O. Whiteley & Son, Inc.

### MICHIGAN

Adrian; Adrian Elevator; Adrian Grain Company.

Augusta; Knappen Elevator; Knappen Milling Company.

Dowagiac; Mennel-Michigan Elevator; The Mennel Milling Company of Michigan. Hillsdale; Stock Elevator; DCA Food In-

dustries Inc.

Lowell; King Milling Company Elevator; King Milling Company.

### MINNESOTA

Ada; Cargill Ada Elevator; Cargill, Incor-

Alberta; Cargill Alberta Elevator; Cargill. Incorporated.

Alpha; Cargill Alpha Elevator; Cargill Incorporated.

Bingham Lake; Cargill Bingham Lake Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.

Blomkest; Cargill Blomkest Elevator; Car-

gill, Incorporated.

Blooming Prairie; Pairle Farm Service Elevator; Prairie Farm Service, Inc. Cargill

Breckenridge; Cargill Elevator; Incorporated. Buffalo Lake: Farmers Co-op Elev. Co.;

Farmers' Cooperative Elevator Company of Buffaio Lake, Minnesota, Byron; Byron Elevator Co.; Byron Eleva-

tor Company.

Cannon Falls; Dill Company Elevator; Dill

Company. Cannon Falls; Searle Grain Elevator;

Searle Grain Company. Cleveland: Cargill Cleveland Elevator;

Cargill, Incorporated.

Columbia Heights; Cargill Minneapolis Flax Plant; Cargill, Incorporated. Comfrey; Cargill Comfrey Elevator; Car-

gill, Incorporated. Cargill Elevator; Cargill, Crookston;

Incorporated. Dodge Center; Cargill Dodge Center Ele-

vator; Cargill, Incorporated. Donaldson; Cargill Donaldson Elevator;

Cargill, Incorporated.

Dovray; Dovray Grain & Feed Elevator; Merle E. Miesen, doing business as Dovray Grain & Feed.

Duluth; Cargill Duluth Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.

Duluth; Elevator A; General Mills, Inc. Duluth; International Multifoods Elevator; International Multifoods Corporation.

Elgin; Farmers Elevator Co., Farmers Elevator Company of Stewartville, Incorporated.

Eyota; Farmers Elevator Co.; Farmers Elevator Company of Stewartville Incorporated.

Glencoe; Independent Elevator; Independent Elevator Co.

Graceville; Cargill Graceville Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.

Janesville; Dill Company Elevator; Dill Company

Lake City; Independent Grain & Feed Ele-vator; Independent Grain & Feed Company. Litchfield; Cargill Litchfield Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.

Marshall; Cargill Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.

Marshall; Marshall Feed and Grain Eleva-

tor; Marshall Feed and Grain, Inc. Missville (P.O. Hastings); S.C.P.F. El tor; S.C.P.F. Industries of Hastings, Inc.

Minneapolis; Calumet Elevator; Star Barge & Warehouse Corporation. Minneapolis; Checkerboard Elevator; Ral-

ston Purina Company trading as Checkerboard Grain Company.

Minneapolis: Consolidated A; North Star Barge & Warehouse Corporation.

Minneapolis; The Continental Elevator; Continental Grain Company.

Minneapolis; Electric Steel Elevator; Peavey Company.

Minneapolis; Elevator K; Tabor Grain Co., d/b/a ADM Grain Co.

Minneapolis; Great Northern Elevator; Farmers Union Grain Terminal Association. Minneapolis; Minneapolis Elevator "T";

Minneapons,
Schreier Malting Company.
Schreier Malting Company.

\*\*A" Elevator: The

Pillsbury Company. Minneapolis; Pioneer Steel Elevator, Pea-

vey Company. Minneapolis: Shoreham Elevator; The

McMillan Company. Minneapolis; Soo Elevator; Tabor Grain Co., d/b/a ADM Grain Co.

Minneapolis; Victoria Elevator; Victoria Grain Company of Minneapolis,

Minneapolis: Washburn Elevator: General Mills, Inc.

New Ulm; Burdick Elevator; Burdick Grain Company.

Pine Island; Pine Island Farmers Elevator Co.; Pine Island Farmers Elevator Company Port Cargill (P.O. Savage); Port Cargill Elevator C; Cargill, Incorporated.

Red Wing; Central Elevator; Central Soya of Minnesota, Inc.

Savage; Port Bunge; Bunge Corporation. Savage; Port Cargill Elevator "A"; Cargill. Incorporated.

Savage; Port Continental Elevator; Continental Grain Company. Shakopee: Peavey River Concrete Termi-

nal; Peavey Company. Sleepy Eye; Cargill Elevator; Cargill, Incor-

porated. St. Louis Park; Belco Elevators; Burdick

Grain Company. St. Paul; Capital B Elevator; International

Multifoods Corporation. St. Paul; Elevator D; Tabor Grain Co.,

d/b/a ADM Grain Co. St. Paul; Farmers Union Elevator; Farmers

Union Grain Terminal Association. Stewartville; Farmers Elevator Co.; Farm-

Elevator Company of Stewartville, Incorporated.

Wabasha; Dill, Company Elevator, Dill Company.

Waltham; Cargill Waltham Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.

Wesota (P.O. Gluck); Cargill Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.

West Concord; Concord Co-Op Elevator; Concord Co-Op.

Winona; GTA Winona Elevator; Farmers Union Grain Terminal Association.

Winona; Winona River Terminal Elevator; Garnac Grain Co., Inc.

Worthington; Cargall Worthington Elevator; Cargill. Incorporated.

# MISSISSIPPI

Alligator; Rice Sales & Services Elevator; Rice Sales & Services, Inc.

Alligator; Rolinda Enterprises Elevator;

Rolinda Enterprises, Inc. Clarksdale; Delta Rice Warehouse; The

Arkansas Rice Growers Cooperative Associa-

Cleveland; Mississippi Delta Rice Warehouse; Mississippi Delta Rice, Inc.

Greenville; Bunge Corporation Terminal Elevator; Bunge Corporation.

Greenville; Farmers Grain Warehouse; Farmers Grain Terminal, Inc.

Greenville; Greenville Warehouse; Riviana

Hollandale; Staplservice Hollandale Ele-tor; Staple Cotton Services Association

Hurricane Point (Rt. I Gunnison); Bunge Corporation Terminal Elevator; Bunge Cor-

poration. Indianola; Farmers Grain Warehouse;

Farmers Grain Terminal, Inc. Marks; Cook Industries, Inc. Warehouse;

Cook Industries, Inc.

Mayersville; Bunge Corporation Terminal Elevator; Bunge Corporation.

Natchez; Cargill Natchez Elevator; Cargill. Incorporated.

Nettleton; Bunge Corporation Terminal Elevator; Bunge Corporation.

Pascagoula: Jackson County Terminal Elevator: Louis Dreyfus Corporation.

Webb; Staplservice Webb Elevator; Staple Cotton Services Association (A.A.L.)

Yazoo City; Bunge Corporation Terminal Elevator; Bunge Corporation.

## MISSOURI

Adrian; Co-op Elevator; Central Cooperatives, Inc.

Advance; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.

Albany; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.

Alma; Alma Coop Elevator; Alma Farmers Cooperative Association of Alma, Missouri. Armstrong; Co-op Elevator; Mid-Missouri Farmers Cooperative.

Aurora; MFA Milling Co. Elevator; M. F. A.

Milling Company. Bernie; MFA Exchange Elevator, Missouri

Farmers Association, Inc. Bethany; MPA Exchange Elevator; Mis-

souri Farmers Association, Inc. Bigelow; Morris Elevator; Donald E. Morris,

trading as Morris Grain Co. Boonville; MFA Exchange Elevator; Mis-

souri Farmers Association, Inc.

Brookfield; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.

Brunswick; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.

Buckner; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.

Burlington Junction; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association,

Butler; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.

Callao; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.

Carrollton; Barlett and Company Elevator;

Bartlett and Company Grain. Carrollton; Ray-Carroll Elevator; Ray-Car-

roll County Grain Growers, Inc. Caruthersville; MFA Elevator; Missouri

Farmers Association, Inc.

Centralia; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.

Chillicothe; MPA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.

Chillicothe; Reed Elevator; Reeds Seeds,

Clarence; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.

Chinton; Larabee Elevator; The Smoot Grain Company

Columbia; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.

Conception Junction; MPA Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.

Craig; Rickel, Inc. Elevator; Rickel, Inc. Dalton; Dalton Elevator; B. C. Christopher & Company, a limited partnership with Hearne Christopher, John H. Collett, Lawrence P. Hogan, Edward G. Mader, Donald F. George, Norman R. Supper, Kenneth G. Neff, John J. Sullivan, Sam L. Willoughby, Robert F. Wilson, John P. Gattermeir, Joe F. W. Kirshe, Robert C. Peters, Jr., Donald D. Killion, Larry G. McCully, Carl Hohnbaum, Edward A. Connelly.

Degrborn; Halferty Bros. Elevator; Halferty

Dudley; Dudley Grain Warehouse; The Arkansas Rice Growers Cooperative Association, trading as The Arkansas Rice Growers Cooperative Association, Inc., in the State of Missouri.

Elsberry; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.

Essex; Farmers Storage Warehouse; Farmers Storage, Inc.

Fayette; Coop E Farmers Cooperative. Coop Elevator; Mid-Missouri

Forest City; Cargill Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.

Fortescue; 12 White Cloud Elevator; The White Cloud Grain Company, Inc.

Gallatin; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Parmers Association, Inc.

Grant City; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc. Gregory Landing (P.O. Canton); Gregory Elevator; Gabe Logsdon & Sons, Inc.

Guilford; MFA Exchange Elevator; Mis-

souri Farmers Association, Inc. Hamilton; MFA Exchange Elevator; Mis-

souri Farmers Association, Inc. Hannibal; Hannibal Terminal Elevator; Hannibal Grain Terminal, Inc.

Hardin; Ray-Carroll Elevator; Ray-Carroll County Grain Growers, Inc.

Henrietta; MPA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.

Higginsville; M.F.A. Cooperative Elevator; Missouri Parmers Association, Inc.

Kahoka; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Parmers Association, Inc.

Kansas City; Boulevard Elevator; Seaboard Allied Milling Corporation Kansas City; Cargill Milwaukee Elevator;

Cargill, Incorporated.

Kansas City: Continental Elevators; Con-tinental Grain Company. Kansas City: General Mills Elevator; Gen-

eral Mills, Inc.

Kansas City; K.C.T. Elevator; Kansas City Terminal Elevator Company.

Kansas City; Missouri Pacific Elevator "B"; Bartlett and Company Grain.

Kansas City; Purina Soybean Elevator; Ralston Purina Company.

Kennett; Kennett Soybean Elevator; Ken-

nett Soybean, Inc.

La Belle; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.

Laddonia; Fowles Grain Co. Laddonia El-

evator: Powies Grain Co. Laddonia; MFA Exchange Elevator; Mis-

souri Farmers Association, Inc. LaGrange; Bunge Corporation Elevator;

Bunge Corporation.

Lamar; M.F.A. Cooperative Elevator; Mis-

souri Farmers Association, Inc. Lexington; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.

13 In Missouri and Kansas.

Linda; Bunge Corporation Terminal Elevator; Bunge Corporation.

Linneus; MPA Exchange Elevator; Missouri

Farmers Association, Inc.
Lock Springs; MPA Exchange Elevator, Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.

Louisiana; M.P.A. Cooperative Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.

Macon; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Parmers Association, Inc.

Maitland; Rother Grain & Feed Co. Elevator: Rother Grain & Feed Co. Malta Bend; Pletcher Elevator; Fletcher

Grain Company, Inc. Marshall; Fletcher Elevator; Fletcher Grain

Company, Inc. Marshall; MFA Exchange Elevator; Mis-

souri Farmers Association, Inc. Marston: E. B. Gee Cotton & Grain Co. Warehouse: E. B. Gee Cotton & Grain Co.

Marthasville; MFA Exchange Elevator:

Missouri Parmers Association, Inc.

Maryville; M.F.A. Cooperative Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.

Mexico; M.F.A. Cooperative Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc. Mexico: M-P-A Exchange Elevator:

Missouri Farmers Association, Inc. Moberly: MFA Exchange Elevator: Mis-

souri Farmers Association, Inc.

Napton; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.

Nelson: Nelson Elevator; Nelson Elevator,

New Franklin; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc. Norborne; MPA Exchange Elevator; Mis-

souri Farmers Association, Inc. Norborne; Ray-Carroll Elevator: Ray-Car-

roll County Grain Growers, Inc. North Kansas City; Monarch Elevator;

ADM Milling Co. North Kansas City; Checkerboard Elevator; Ralston Purina Company, trading as Check-

erboard Grain Company. North Kansas City; International Elevator; International Multifoods Corporation.

North Kansas City; Tabor Milling Co./ Elevator; Taber Milling Co.

Odessa; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc. Orrick; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri

Farmers Association, Inc. Orrick; Orrick Parm Service Elevator; Or-

rick Farm Service, Inc. Palmyra; Farmers Coop Elevator: Farmers

Cooperative Services, Inc. of Palmyra, Missouri. Pattonsburg; MFA Exchange Elevator; Mis-

souri Farmers Association, Inc. Perry; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.

Phelphs City (P.O. Rock Port); Stanton Elevator; Stanton Grain Co.

Pleasant Hill; Co-op Elevator; Central Cooperatives, Inc.

Poplar Bluff; Butler County Grain Warehouse; The Arkansas Rice Growers Cooperative Association, trading as The Arkansas Rice Growers Cooperative Association, Inc., in the State of Missouri.

Prices Landing (Rt. 2 Charleston); Bunge Corporation Terminal Elevator; Bunge Corporation.

Ravenwood; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.

Rea; Rea Elevator; Rea Grain & Feed Co.

Richmond; Ray-Carroll Elevator; Ray-Carroll County Grain Growers, Inc.

Ristine (P.O. New Madrid); Checkerboard Elevator: Ralston Purina Company, trading as Checkerboard Grain Company.

Salisbury; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Parmers Association, Inc.

Sedalia; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.

Senath; Senath Grain Elevator; B. C. Chistopher & Company, a limited partnership with Hearne Christopher, John H. Collett, Lawrence P. Hogan, Edward G. Mader, Donald P. George, Norman R. Supper, Kenneth G. Neff, John J. Sullivan, Sam L. Willoughly, Robert F. Wilson, John P. Gattermeir, Joe F. W. Kirshe, Robert C. Peters, Jr., Donald D. Killion, Larry G. McCully, Carl Hohnbaum, Edward A. Connelly.

Shelbina; MFA Exchange Elevator; Mis-

souri Farmers Association, Inc.

Sheridan; MFA Exchange Elevator; Mis-

souri Farmers Association, Inc.

South Lineville (P.O. Lineville, Iowa); MFA Exchange Elevator; Misouri Farmers Asso-

Springfield; M F A Milling Co. Elevator; M. F. A. Milling Company,

St. Joseph; Bartlett Elevator; Bartlett and

Company Grain. St. Joseph; Far-Mar-Co. St. Joseph Ele-

vator; Far-Mar-Co., Inc. St. Joseph; Mo-Kan Elevator; Mo-Kan Grain, Inc.

Joseph; Pillsbury Company Elevator;

The Pillsbury Company St. Louis; Missouri Pacific Elevator; Powles Grain Co.

St. Louis; Pillsbury St. Louis Elevator; The Pillsbury Company.

St. Louis and East St. Louis; 18 St. Louis Grain Corporation Elevator; St. Louis Grain Corporation.

St. Marys; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.

Stanberry; Alldredge Grain & Storage Elevator; Alldredge Grain & Storage, Inc.

Sumner; Ray-Carroll Elevator; Ray Carroll County Grain Growers, Inc.

Tebbetts; Rootes Elevator; W. A. Rootes and Company

Trenton; Hoffman & Reed Elevator; Hoffman and Reed, Inc.

Trenton; MFA Exchange Elevatos; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.

Triplett; Ray-Carroll Elevator; Ray-Carroll County Grain Growers, Inc.

Truesdale: MFA Exchange Elevator: Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.

Vandalia; MFA Exchange Elevator; Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.

Wakenda; Ray-Carroll Elevator; Ray-Carroll County Grain Growers, Inc.

Watson; Stanton Elevator; Stanton Grain Waverly; Bartlett and Company Elevator;

Bartlett and Company Grain. Wayland; Logsdon's Elevator; Gabe Logs-

don & Sons, Inc.

Winfield; West Point Elevator; The Pillsbury Company.

# MONTANA

Kershaw Siding (P.O. Fort Benton): United Grain Elevator; United Grain Corporation.

Macon (P.O. Wolf Point); United Grain Elevator; United Grain Corporation.

# NEBRASKA

Ames; Ames Elevator; Donald L. Flamme, Vernon L. Flamme and Velma Flamme, Co-partners, trading as Flamme Brothers.

Arapahoe; Arapahoe Agri Services Eleva-tor; Saline Valley Investments, Inc.

Ashland; Kuhl-Reece Company's Elevator; Kuhl-Reece Company.

Aurora; Aurora Coop Elevator; Aurora Co-operative Elevator Company.

Avoca; Lincoln Grain, Inc. Elevator; Lin-

coln Grain, Inc. Bancroft; Holmquist Elevator; The Holm-

quist Grain and Lumber Company.

Barneston; Lincoln Grain, Inc. Elevator; Lincoln Grain, Inc.

Beatrice; Farmers Cooperative Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Elevator Company.

Beaver Crossing; Farmers Elevators; Farmers Cooperative Company.

Rellwood: Farmers Elevator: Farmers Cooperative Grain Company.

Belvidere; Belvidere Grain Elevator; J. Lynch & Company, Inc.

Benedict; Farmers Grain Association Elevator: Farmers Co-Operative Grain Association of Benedict, Nebraska.

Benkelman; Benkelman Elevators; Independent Elevators, Inc.

Berea (P.O. Alliance); Deaver Elevator;

Deaver Grain Co., Inc.

Bertrand; Bertrand Elevator; B. C. Christopher & Company, a limited partnership with Hearne Christopher, John H. Collet, Lawrence P. Hogan, Edward G. Mader, Donald F. George, Norman R. Supper, Kenneth G. Neff, John J. Sullivan, Sam L. Willoughby, Robert F. Wilson, John P. Gattermeir, Joe F. W. Kirshe, Robert C. Peters, Jr., Donald D. Killion, Larry G. McCully, Carl Hohnbaum, Edward A. Connelly.

Bixby; Bixby Cooperative Elevator; Bixby Cooperative Company.

Blair; Holmquist Elevator; The Holmquist Grain and Lumber Company

Brownville; Continental Elevator; Continental Grain Company.

Cambridge; Urling Elevator; Miller Grain Company, Inc.

Central City: Cargill Central City Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.

Chappell; Dudden Elevator; Dudden Elevator, Inc.

Chappell; Farmers Elevators; Farmers Elevator Company, A co-operative. Clatonia; Clatonia Agri Services Elevator;

Saline Valley Investments, Inc. Coleridge: Holmquist Elevator; The Holm-

quist Grain and Lumber Company Columbus; Columbus Farmers Elevators;

Farmers Co-operative Grain Company Cornlea; Continental Elevator; Continen-

tal Grain Company. Craig; Farmers Union Elevator; Farmers

Union Co-Operative Association. Crete; Crete Mills Division Elevator; Lau-

hoff Grain Company Curtis: Garvey Elevators: Garvey Eleva-

Deshler; Deshler Grain Elevator; J. Lynch & Company, Inc.

Deweese; Deweese Grain Elevator; J. Lynch & Company, Inc.

Doane; Doane Elevators; Independent Ele-

Dorchester; Farmers' Elevators; The Dorchester Farmers Co-operative Grain and Livestock Company.

Durant; Durant Elevator; Scoular-Bishop

Grain Company,
Edgar; Mid-States Elevator; Scoular-

Bishop Grain Company. Elmwood; Parmers Elevator; Parmers Co-

operative Association of Elmwood, Nebraska. Elsie; Elsie Elevator; Scoular-Bishop Grain Company.

Enders: Farmers Elevator: Farmers Co-op-

erative Exchange.

Fairbury; Farmers Union Co-op Elevator; Parmers Union Co-operative Association of Fairbury, Nebraska.

Farwell; Loup Valley Elevators; Scoular-Bishop Grain Company.

Franklin; Val-Co. Elevators; Midwest Agri Services, Inc.

Fremont; Conagra Elevator; Conagra, Inc. Fremont; Far-Mar-Co., Fremont Elevator; Far-Mar-Co., Inc.

Fremont; Fremont Cake & Meal Elevator; Archer-Daniels-Midland Company.

Fremont; Lincoln Grain, Inc., Elevator; Lincoln Grain, Inc.

Friend; Friend Elevator; B. C. Christopher & Company, a limited partnership with Hearne Christopher, John H. Collett, Lawrence P. Hogan, Edward G. Mader, Donald F.

George, Norman R. Supper, Kenneth G. Neff, John A. Sullivan, Sam L. Willoughby, Robert Wilson, John P. Gattermeir, Joe F. W. Kirshe, Robert C. Peters, Jr., Donald D. Killion, Larry G. McCully, Carl Hohnbaum, Edward A. Connelly.

Fullerton; Cargill Fullerton Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.

Geneva; B H & L Elevator; B, H and L Grain Company, Inc.

Gibbon; Fox Elevator; Scoular-Bishop Grain Company.

Goehner; Farmers Elevators; Farmers Cooperative Company. Grainton; Grainton Elevator; Scoular-

Bishop Grain Company. Grainton; Rickel, Inc. Elevator; Rickel.

Inc. Grant; Co-Operative Elevator; The Grant Co-Operative Exchange.

Grant; Perkins County Elevator; Scoular-Bishop Grain Company,

Hartington; Hartington Elevator; Hart-

ington Elevator Company.

Hartington: Holmquist Elevator: Holmquist Grain and Lumber Company.

Harvard; Farmers Elevators; The Farmers Union Cooperative Elevator Company.

Hastings; Par-Mar-Co Hastings Elevator; Far-Mar-Co., Inc.

Hastings; Garvey Elevator; Garvey Elevators, Inc.

Hayes Center; Rickel, Inc. Elevator; Rickel, Inc

Heartwell; Lincoln Grain, Inc. Elevator; Lincoln Grain, Inc.

Hebron; Hebron Elevator; Farmers Co-Operative Oil Association of Hebron, Nebraska.

Hemingford; Cargill Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.

Hemingford; Farmers Co-Operative Eleva-Farmers Co-operative Elevator Com-

Herman; Holmquist Elevator, The Holmquist Grain and Lumber Company.

Imperial; D & D Bean Warehouse; D & D

Bean Co. Imperial; Farmers Elevator; Frenchman

Valley Farmers Cooperative, Inc. Imperial; Imperial Elevator; Chase County

Grain, Inc. Grain's Elevator; Imperial: Imperial

Scoular-Bishop Grain Company Indianola; Urling Elevator; Miller Grain

Company, Inc.

Julian; Lincoln Grain, Inc. Elevator; Lin-

coln Grain, Inc. Laurel; Holmquist Elevator; The Holm-

quist Grain and Lumber Company.

Lebanon; Garvey Elevators; Garvey Elevators, Inc.

Lincoln; ADM Elevator; Archer-Daniels-Midland Company

Lincoln; Far-Mar-Co Lincoln Elevator; Far-Mar-Co., Inc.

Lincoln; Gooch Mill Elevators; ADM Milling Co.

Lincoln, Lincoln Grain, Inc. Elevator; Lincoln Grain, Inc. Long Pine; Lincoln Grain, Inc. Elevator;

Lincoln Grain, Inc. Lyons; Holmquist Elevator; The Holm-

quist Grain and Lumber Company.

Madrid: Burge Elevators; Scoular-Bishop

Grain Company Malcolm; Lincoln Grain, Inc. Elevator; Lin-

coln Grain, Inc. Max; Max Elevators; Independent Eleva-

tors, Inc. Maywood; Farmers Elevators; Maywood

Cooperative Association. Mead; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Union Co-operative Company, Mead, Nebraska.

Milligan; Lincoln Grain, Inc. Elevator; Lincoln Grain, Inc.

Motala Siding (P.O. Minden); Continental Elevator; Continental Grain Company.

<sup>13</sup> In Missouri and Illinois.

Murray; Lincoln Grain, Inc. Elevator; Lincoln Grain, Inc.

Nebraska City; Bartlett Elevator; Bartlett and Company Grain.

Nebraska City; Missouri Valley Grain, Inc. Elevator; Missouri Valley Grain, Inc.

North Bend; North Bend Elevator; North Bend Grain Company, Inc.

Oak; Oak Grain Elevator; J. Lynch & Company, Inc. Oakland: Holmquist Elevator: The Holm-

quist Grain and Lumber Company

Ogallala: Farmers Coop Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Association.

Omaha; " Cargill Council Bluffs Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.

Omaha; Conagra Elevator; Conagra, Inc. Omaha; Far-Mar-Co Omaha Elevator; Far-

Mar-Co., Inc. Omaha; Scoular-Welsh Omaha Elevator;

Scoular-Welsh Grain Co. Orleans; Orleans Grain Elevator; J. Lynch

& Company, Inc. Osceola: Farmers Grain Elevator; Farmers

Co-operative Grain Company. Osceola; Smith Elevator; Smith Grain

Company.

Parks Elevator; Independent Ele-Parks; vators, Inc

Paul; Lincoln Grain, Inc. Elevator; Lincoln Grain, Inc.

Potter; Farmers Elevators; Potter Coopera-

tive Grain Company.

Potter: The Wright-Lorenz Grain Co. Ele-

vator: The Wright-Lorenz Grain Co., Inc. Ranch Spur (P.O. Herman); Ranch Spur Elevator; H. C. Fankhouser and V. R. Fankhouser. Copartners trading as Fankhouser

Ranch Spur (P.O. Herman); Scoular Elevator; Scoular-Bishop Grain Company.

Red Cloud; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Union Co-Operative Association of Red Cloud, Nebraska

Red Willow (P.O. McCook); Urling Eleva-

tor: Miller Grain Company, Inc. Riverdale; Riverdale Elevator; Scoular-

Bishop Grain Company Rock Bluff (P.O. Plattsmouth); Par-Mar-Co. Rock Bluff Elevator; Far-Mar-Co., Inc.

Rosalie; Holmquist Elevator; The Holmquist Grain and Lumber Company

Roscoe; Roscoe Elevator; John L. Gordon and Jeanette D. Gordon, copartners d/b/a Roscoe Grain Company.

Scribner; Farmers Elevator; Parmers Co-operative Mercantile Company, Non-Stock. Scribner; Scribner Elevator; Scribner Grain

& Lumber Company. Shickley; B & B Grain Elevator; Scoular-Bishop Grain Company.

Silver Creek; Farmers Grain Elevators; Parmers Co-operative Grain Company

St. Paul; Loup Valley Elevators; Scoular-Bishop Grain Company.

Stamford, Stamford Grain Elevator; J. Lynch & Company, Inc.

Stella; Stella Elevator; C-G-F Grain Com-Pany, Inc.

Strang: Strang Elevator; Scoular-Bishop

Grain Company.

Stromsburg: Parmers Elevators: Parmers Cooperative Grain Association of Stromsburg.

Superior: Scoular-Bishop Elevator, Scoular-Bishop Grain Company. Tekamah; Parmers Elevator; Farmers Non-

Stock Cooperative Grain Association. Tekamah; Holmquist Elevator; The Holm-

quist Grain and Lumber Co. Thurston; Merry Elevator; Darrel Merry,

trading as Merry Grain & Lumber Co. Tobias; Lincoln Grain, Inc. Elevator; Lin-

coln Grain, Inc.

Ulysses; Farmers Cooperative Elevators; Farmers Cooperative Grain & Supply Co.

Utica; Utica Co-operative Grain Company's Elevators; Utica Co-operative Grain Company.

Venanco: Dudden Elevator: Dudden Elevator, Inc.

Farmers' Elevators; Farmers Venango: Union Cooperative Grain Company of Venango, Nebraska.

Verdel; Allied Mills Elevator; Allied Mills,

Wallace; Wallace Elevator; Scoular-Bishop

Grain Company.

Walthill: Holmquist Elevator: The Holmquist Grain and Lumber Company.

Wauneta; Parmers Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Exchange

Wausa; Allied Mills Elevator; Allied Mills,

Western; Lincoln Grain, Inc. Elevator; Lincoln Grain, Inc.

Wilber: Farmers Elevator: Farmers Elevator Company.

Wilcox; Continental Elevator; Continental Grain Company

Wilsonville; Garvey Elevators; Garvey Elevators, Inc.

Winnebago: Holmquist Elevator; The Holmquist Grain and Lumber Company.

Winslow; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Co-operative Mercantile Company, Non-stock.

#### New Mexico

Clovis; El Rancho Elevator; El Rancho Milling Co. (no stockholders' liability)

Parmers Cooperative Elevators;

Parmers Cooperative Elevators, Inc. Clovis; New Mexico Mill Elevator; New Mexico Mill & Elevator Co. (no stockholders' liability)

Clovis; Worley Mills Elevator; Worley Mills. Inc. (no stockholder's liability)

Melrose; Melrose Elevator; Melrose Grain & Elevator Co., Inc.

Portales; Worley Mills Elevator; Worley Mills, Inc. (no stockholder's liability).

Texico; New Mexico Mill Elevator; New Mexico Mill & Elevator Co. (no stockholder's liability)

Terico, Sheriey-Anderson Texico Elevator; Sheriey-Anderson-Pitman, Inc.

Tucumcari; Worley Mills Elevator; Worley Mills, Inc. (no stockholder's liability).

# NEW YORK

Albany: Port of Albany Elevator No. 1: Cargill, Incorporated.

Buffelo; Standard Elevator; Standard Milling Company, d/b/a Standard Milling Company, Inc., in New York State.

# NORTH CAROLINA

Ayden; King Bros. Elevator; King Brothers' Farm Center, Incorporated.

Battleboro; E-B Grain Co., Inc.; E-B Grain Company, Inc.

Bentonville; Murphy Farms Elevator; Murphy Parms, Inc.

Burgaw; Murphy Bros. Grain Elevator; Murphy Bros. Milling Co., Inc.

Calypso; Murphy Farms Elevator; Murphy Farms, Inc.

Camden: Wood Bonded Warehouse; P. P. Wood and Son, Inc.

Dunn; General Utility Granary; General Utility Company.

Dunn; Murphy Farms Elevator; Murphy

Farmville: Morgan Grain & Fertilizer Co.

Elevator; Morgan-Carolina Corporation. Fayetteville: Cargill Fayetteville Elevator;

Cargill, Incorporated. Goldsboro: Murphy Farms Elevator; Mur-

phy Farms, Inc. Fred Webb Elevator; Fred Greenville;

Webb, Inc.

Kinston: Johnson Grain Elevator: Kinston Equipment Company, Inc. d/b/a Johnson Grain Company.

LaGrange; Murphy Farms Elevator; Murphy Parms, Inc.

Monroe: Producers Cooperative Feed Mill Warehourse; Producers Cooperative Feed Mill

Robersonville; Robersonville Elevator; Glenn Lancaster, trading as Lancaster Grain

Company. Hill: Murphy Milling Elevator; Murphy Farms, Inc.

Saratoga: Saratoga Supply Company Eleva-tor: Morgan-Carolina Corporation.

Selma; Gurley's Inc. Elevator; Gurley's Inc Washington; Cargill Washington, N.C. Ele-

vator; Cargill, Incorporated.

Whiteville; Edge's Grain Elevator; Edge's
Grain Market, Inc.

Williamston; Central Soya Elevator; Central Soya Company, Inc.

Wilson; Cargill Elevator; Cargill, Incorpo-

Windsor; Windsor Elevator; Glenn Lancaster, trading as Lancaster Grain Company.

#### NORTH DAKOTA

Grand Forks: Cook Elevator: Cook Grain of North Dakota, Inc.

Jamestown; Cook Elevator; Cook Grain of North Dakota, Inc.

Jamestown: Peavey Jamestown Elevator; Peavey Company

Valley City: International Multifoods Corporation Elevator; International Multifoods Corporation.

#### OHIO

Arcanum; Continental Elevator; Continental Grain Company.

Bucyrus; Zeigler Milling Co. Elevators; The Zeigler Milling Company,

Chillicothe; Standard Elevator; The Standard Elevator and Supply Company

Cincinnati; Fairmount and Riverside Elevators; The Early & Daniel Company, Inc.

Cincinnati: Indiana Grain Queen City Elevators; Indiana Farm Bureau Cooperative Association, Inc.

Columbus; Continental Elevator; Continental Grain Company,

Columbus; International Multifoods Elevator; International Multifoods Corporation. Columbus; Landmark Grain Terminal; Landmark, Inc.

Coshocton; Coshocton Elevator; Coshocton Grain Co.

Dayton; Cargill Dayton Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.

Elgin; Elgin Elevator; Elgin Grain Com-

Fletcher: Fletcher Elevator; Shepard Grain Company, Inc. Fostoria: Postoria Elevator; The Ohio

Farmers' Grain Corporation Fostoria; Mennel Elevator; The Mennel

Milling Company. Glandorf; Glandorf Elevator; Glandorf

Feed Company. Green Camp: Green Camp Co-operative Elevator; The Green Camp Co-operative Ele-

vator Company Harrison (Route 4); J. A. Cornelius Grain Elevator; J. A. Cornelius.

Hume (RR #4 Lima); Hume Elevator; The Farm Service Center of Hume, Ohio, Inc.

Kileville (P.O. R.R. No. 3, Plain City); Kileville Elevator; The Obio Grain Company, Lima; Cargill Lima Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.

Mansfield; Mansfield Elevator; The Early and Daniel Company, Inc.

Marysville; Marysville Elevator; The Ohio Grain Company.

Maumee: Cargill Toedo Elevator: Cargill, Incorporated.

Mechanicsburg: Mechanicsburg Elevator; The Ohio Grain Company.

Pitsburg; Pitsburg Grain Elevator; Pitsburg Feed and Grain, Inc.

<sup>&</sup>quot; In Iowa and Nebraska.

Shelby: Shelby Equity Elevator; The

Shelby Equity Exchange Company.

Spencerville; Farmers Union Company
Elevator; The Spencerville Farmers Union Company.

Thackery; Thackery Elevator; Shepard

Grain Company, Inc.
Toledo; Cargill East Side Elevator; Cargill,

Incorporated. Troy; Troy Elevator; The Early and Daniel

Company, Inc. Van Wert; Weiker Elevator; Weiker Grain

### ORLAHOMA

A/ton; Afton Co-op Elevator; Afton Cooperative Association.

Apache; Apache Farmers Co-operative; Apache Farmers Co-operative.

Beaver; Perryton Equity Elevator; Perryton Equity Exchange

Bison; Farmers Elevator; Bison Cooperative Association.

Blackwell; Blackwell Co-op Elevator; Blackwell Co-operative Elevator Association. Boise City; Consumers Elevator; Bolse City Farmers Cooperative.

Broken Arrow; Farmers Co-op Elevator; Farmers Cooperative.

Buffalo; Buffalo Farmers Elevator; The Buffalo Farmers' Co-operative Elevator Com-

Wheeler Brothers Grain Canton:

Wheeler Brothers Grain Company, Incorporated Elevator;

Cashion; Farmers Exchange Farmers Exchange of Cashion. Catoosa: O. K. Grain Elevator: Oklahoma-

Kansas Grain Corporation.

Cherokee; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Elevator Association.

Clinton; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Association.

Clyde; Clyde Elevator; Clyde Co-operative Association.

Crescent; Crescent Cooperative Elevator; Crescent Cooperative Association.

Custer City; Parmers Elevator; Custer City

Farmers Cooperative Exchange. Deer Creek; Deer Creek Elevator; Clyde

Co-operative Association. Douglas, Farmers Elevators; Farmers Co-Operative Elevator Company of Douglas.

Enid; Continental Elevator; Continental Grain Company.

Enid; Enid Terminal Elevator; Goodpasture, Inc.

Enid; General Mills Elevator; General Mills, Inc.

Enid; Johnston Terminal Elevator; Johns-Terminal Elevator (a joint venture of Johnston Seed Company, Inc. an Oklahoma corporation and W. B. Johnston Grain Com-

pany, an Oklahoma corporation) Enid; Union Equity Co-operative Exchange Elevator; Union Equity Co-operative Exchange.

Fairview; Sooner Co-op Elevator; Sooner Cooperative, Incorporated.

Fargo; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Association ...

Garber; Cooperative Elevator; Garber Cooperative Association.

Goodwell; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Elevator of Goodwell, Oklahoma, Inc.

Guymon; Collingwood Grain, Inc. Eleva-

tor; Collingwood Grain, Inc. Hardesty; Perryton Equity Elevator; Per-

ryton Equity Exchange. Helena; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Coop-

erative Association.

Hennessey; Farmers Co-operative Elevator: Farmers Elevator and Co-operative Association.

Hooker; Cargill Hooker Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.

Hooker; Equity Exchange Elevator; The Hooker Equity Exchange.

Hunter; Hunter Farmers Elevator; Farm-

ers Grain Company,
Hydro: Farmers Elevator; Hydro Cooperative Association.

Imo; Imo Farmers Elevators; Parmers Cooperative Elevator Company.

Keyes; Perryton Equity Elevator; Perryton Equity Exchange.

Kingfisher; Kingfisher Cooperative Eleva-Kingfisher Cooperative Elevator Asso-

Knowles; Perryton Equity Elevator; Perryton Equity Exchange.

Kremlin: Farmers Elevator: Farmers Grain Company. Lamont; Lamont Elevator; Clyde Coopera-

tive Association. Lauton; Cooperative Elevator A; Coop

Services, Inc. Marshall; United Co-op Elevator; United

Cooperative, Inc. May; May Elevator; Woodward Cooperative Elevator Association.

Medford; Medford Elevator; Clyde Co-operative Association.

Meno; Farmers Cooperative Assn. Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Association.

Miami; Miami Co-op Elevator; The Miami Cooperative Association.

Mooreland; Farmers Co-Op Elevator; Parmers Co-operative Trading Company. Nardin; Cooperative Elevator; Clyde Co-

operative Association. Oksene; Sooner Co-op Elevator; Sooner

Cooperative, Incorporated. Perry; Parmers Cooperative Elevator;

Farmers Cooperative Exchange. Pond Creek; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Grain Company.

Ranch Drive (P.O. Ponca City); Ranch Drive Elevator; Parmers Cooperative Association

Red Rock; Farmers Co-Op. Elevator; Red Rock Farmers Co-Operative.

Reeding; General Mills Elevator; General Renfrow; Renfrow Elevator; Clyde Cooper-

erative Association. Shawnee; Shawnee Elevator; Shawnee

Milling Company. Tonkawa; Tonkawa Elevator; Farmers Co-

operative Association. MFC Elevator; Mid-Continent Farmers Co-op.

Vici; Farmer's Co-op Ass'n Elevator;

Farmers Cooperative Association of Vici.

Walters: Walters Cooperative Elevator; Walters Cooperative Elevator Association.

Wakifa; Farmers Co-operative Elevators; Farmers Co-operative Elevator Company of Wakita

Watonga: Wheeler Brothers Grain Co.; Wheeler Brothers Orain Company, Incorporated.

Weatherford; Co-Op. Elevator; Farmers Co-operative Exchange.

Woodward; Woodward Elevator; Woodward Cooperative Elevator Association.

Yukon: MFC Elevator; Mid-Continent Farmers Co-op.

# OREGON

Biggs (P.O. Wasco); Sherman Co-operative Grain Growers Warehouse; Sherman Cooperative Grain Growers.

Condon; Condon Grain Growers Warehouse; Condon Grain Growers, Inc.

Dufur: Dufur Elevator: Dufur Elevator Company

Enterprise; Wallowa County Grain Grow-Wallowa County Grain Warehouse; Growers.

Jordan; Jordan Elevator Company's Warehouse; Jordan Elevator Company.

Lexisgton; Morrow County Grain Growers, Warehouse; Morrow County Grain Growers, Inc

Morgan; Morgan Elevator; John Eubanks.

Moro; Mid Columbia Grain Growers Warehouse: Mid Columbia Grain Growers, Inc.

North Powder; North Powder Milling and Mercantile Company's Warehouse; North Powder Milling and Mercantile Company.

Pendleton; Pendleton Grain Growers Warehouse; Pendleton Grain Growers, Inc.

#### PENNSYLVANIA

Eric; Continental Eric Elevator; Continental Grain Company.

High Spire; Highspire Flour Mills Elevator; Standard Milling Company.

Philadelphia; Girard Point Elevator; Tidewater Grain Company. Philadelphia; Port Richmond Elevator;

Bunge Corporation.

Pittsburgh; Expanded Grain Products, Inc., Pittsburgh Grain Elevator; Expanded Grain Products, Inc.

#### SOUTH CAROLINA

Alcolu: Gold Kist Soy Elevator; Gold Kist Inc.

Bamberg; Gold Kist Soy Elevator; Gold

Bennettsville: Gold Kist Soy Elevator; Gold Kist Inc.

Fountain Inn; Gold Kist Soy Elevator; Gold Kist Inc.

Johnston; Gold Kist Soy Elevator; Gold

Leesville; Gold Kist Soy Elevator; Gold Kist Inc.

Little Rock; Gold Kist Soy Elevator; Gold Kist Inc

Lynchburg; Gold Kist Soy Elevator; Gold

Newberry; Gold Kist Soy Elevator; Gold Kist Inc.

Owings (P.O. Gray Court); Gold Kist Soy Elevator; Gold Kist Inc.

St. Matthews; Gold Kist Soy Elevator;

Gold Kist Inc. Vance; Gold Kist Soy Elevator; Gold Kist

Williamston; Gold Kist Soy Elevator; Gold Kist Inc.

# SOUTH DAKOTA

Aberdeen; Cargill Elevator; Cargill Incorporated.

Ashton; Cargill Ashton Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated. Athol; Cargill Elevator; Cargill, Incorpo-

rated. Avon; Cargill Avon Elevator; Cargill, In-

corporated. Beardsley; Terminal Grain Elevator; Ter-

minal Grain Corporation. Centerville; Centerville Grain Elevator; McMaster Grain Company.

Colome; Colome Elevator-Dallas Branch; Parmers Co-operative Association of Dallas; South Dakota

Dallas; Farmers Elevators; Farmers Cooperative Association of Dallas, South Da-

Gettysburg; Potter County Grain Cooperative Elevator; Potter County Grain Cooper-

ative. Kennebec: Farmers Coop Elevator: Farmers Union Cooperative Elevator of Kennebec,

S. Dak Mahto; Farmers Coop Elevator; Farmers

Cooperative Association of McLaughlin, Marion; Terminal Grain Elevator; Terminal Grain Corporation.

McLaughlin; Farmers Coop Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Association of Laughlin.

Milbank; Cargill Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.

Monroe; Terminal Grain Elevator; Terminal Grain Corporation.

Onida: Oahe Elevator: Oahe Grain Corporation.

Parker: Terminal Grain Elevator; Terminal Grain Corporation.

Philip; Parmers Coop Ass'n; Farmer's Co-operative Association of Philip, South Dakota.

Pierre Farmers Elevator; Pierre

Farmers Elevator Association Sotland; Cargill Scotland Elevator; Car-

gill Incorporated. Trent; Cargill Elevator; Cargill Incorporated.

Vermillion; Terminal Farm Service Eleva-

Terminal Grain Corporation.

Wagner; Terminal Grain Elevator; Terminal Grain Corporation.

Winner; Deaver-Meyer Elevator; Deaver-Meyer Grain Company.

Yankton; Cargill Yankton Elevator; Cargill Incorporated.

# TENNESSEE

Alamo; Gold Kist Soy Elevator; Gold Kist Inc.

Boothspoint (P.O. Dyersburg); Bunge Corporation Terminal Elevator; Bunge Corporation.

Brownsville; Gold Kist Soy Elevator; Gold Kist Inc.

Chattanooga; Cargill Chattanooga Eleva-

tor; Cargill, Incorporated.

Decherd; Gold Kist Soy Elevator; Gold Kist Inc

Dyersburg; Gold Kist Soy Elevator; Gold Kist Inc.

Henderson; Gold Kist Soy Elevator; Gold Kist Inc.

Huntingdon; Gold Kist Soy Elevator; Gold Kist Inc.

Kenton; Gold Kist Soy Elevator; Gold Kist

Manchester; Gold Kist Soy Elevator; Gold Kist Inc.

Memphis; ADM Elevator; Tabor Grain Co. Memphis; Allied Mills, Inc., Memphis Plant: Allied Mills, Inc.

Memphis: Cargill President Island Oil Plant; Cargill Incorporated.

Memphis; Continental Memphis Elevator;

Continental Grain Company. Memphis; Port of Memphis Grain Elevator;

Cargill, Incorporated. Paris; Gold Kist Soy Elevator; Gold Kist

Savannah; Gold Kist Soy Elevator; Gold

Kist Inc. Somerville; Gold Kist Soy Elevator; Gold

Kist Inc. South Fulton; " Browder Grain, Inc. Ware-

house: Browder Grain, Inc.
Springfield; Gold Kist Soy Elevator; Gold

Kist Inc. Trenton; Boyd Price Grain Co., Warehouse; Boyd Price, trading as Boyd Price Grain Co.

Trenton; Gold Kist Soy Elevator; Gold Kist Inc. Tullahoma; Gold Kist Soy Elevator; Gold

Kist Inc. Union City; Parmers Grain Elevator; Farmers Grain & Fertilizer Company, Inc.

Union City; Warterfield Elevator; Warterfield Grain Company.

# TEXAS

Adrian; Wheat Growers Elevator; Adrian Wheat Growers, Inc.

Amarillo; Cargill Amarillo Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.

Amarillo; Garvey Elevators, Inc. Elevator; Garvey Elevators, Inc.

Amarillo; Producers Elevator; Producers Grain Corporation.

Amarillo; Western Beef Grain Co. Elevator; Western Beef, Inc. d/b/a Western Beef Grain Co.

Anna; Sherley Elevator; Norman E. Jones, trading as N. E. Jones Grain.

Bay City; Rice Belt Warehouse; Rice Belt Warehouse, Inc.

Beaumont; Beaumont Elevator; Continental Grain Company.

Black; Black Grain Co. Elevator; Friona Industries, Inc.

Black; Tri-County Elevator; Lawrence Systems, Inc.

Blessing: Rice Belt Warehouse: Rice Belt Warehouse, Inc. Booker; Booker Equity Elevator; Booker

Equity Union Exchange. Bovina; Sherley Elevator; Sherley Grain

Company.

Bovina; Wheat Growers Elevator; Bovina

Wheat Growers Inc.

Brookshire; Brookshire Drying Co. Elevator; Brookshire Drying Co., Inc.

Brownfield; Goodpasture, Inc.-Brownfield Elevator; Goodpasture, Inc. Canadian; Co-op Elevator; Canadian Grain

Co-op. Capps Switch (P.O. Sunray); Continental

Elevator; Continental Grain Company, Channelview; Cargill Houston Elevator;

Cargill, Incorporated. Conlen; Conlen Grain & Mercantile Ware-

house; Conlen Grain & Mercantile Co. Dalhart; Consumers Elevator; Dalhart

Consumers Fuel Association, Inc.

Dalhart; Welch Elevator; T. I. Welch and Thompson Irwin Welch, copartners, trading as Welch Grain Company.

Dallas; Cargill Dallas Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.

Darrouzett; Farmers Elevators; Darrouzett Co-operative Association.

Dawn; Dawn Co-op Elevator; Dawn Co-op. Deer Park; Union Equity Export Elevator; Union Equity Co-operative Exchange.

Dimmitt; Farmers Elevator; Dimmitt Agri-Industries, Inc.

Dumas; Co-op Elevator; Dumas Co-op. El Campo; Rice Belt Warehouse; Rice Belt Warehouse, Inc.

Etter (P.O. Dumas); Continental Elevator; Continental Grain Company.

Farnsworth; Batman Elevator; Batman Grain, Inc.

Farusworth; Perryton Equity Elevator; Perryton Equity Exchange.

Farwell; Sherley-Anderson-Pitman Elevator: Sherley-Anderson-Pitman, Inc. Farwell; Worley Mills Elevator; W Mills, Inc. (No Stockholder's Liability) Worley

Follett; Farmers Grain & Supply Co. Ele-

vator; Farmers Grain and Supply Company of Follett. Fort Worth; Katy Elevator; Bunge Corpo-

ration Forth Worth: Producers Elevator Section.

B: Producers Grain Corporation. Friona; Farmers Cooperative Elevator;

Friona Wheat Growers, Inc Galveston; Galveston "B" Elevator; Bunge

Corporation. Galveston; Cook Terminal Elevator; Cook

Terminal Company, Inc. Ganado; Rice Belt Warehouse; Rice Belt Warehouse, Inc.

Groom; Wheat Growers Elevator; Groom

Wheat Growers, Inc. Groom; Wheeler-Evans Elevator; Wheeler-

Evans Elevator Company.

Gruper: Continental Elevator: Continental Grain Company.

Hale Center: Lawrence Systems, Inc.-G & P Grain Co., Inc.; Lawrence Systems, Inc.

Hamlin; Moore Elevator; Moore Elevator

Hart: Farmers Grain Elevator; The Farmers Grain Company of Hart, Texas.

Hartley: Farmers Supply Company Elevators: Farmers Supply Company of Hartley, Texas.

Hereford; Farmers Co-op Elevator; Hereford Grain Corp.

Hereford; Hereford Elevator; Continental Grain Company.

Hereford; Pitman Industries, Inc. Elevator;

Pitman Industries, Inc.

Higgins; Wheat Growers Elevator; Higgins Wheat Growers, Inc.

Hoover; Western Beef Grain Co. Elevator; Western Beef, Inc. d/b/a Western Beef Grain

Huntoon; Perryton Equity Elevator; Perryton Equity Exchange.

Jacinto City; Texas Rice Warehouse; Texas Rice, Inc.

Kress: Kress Farmers Elevator; Kress Farmers Elevator Co. of Kress, Texas

Lariat; Sherley-Anderson Elevator; Sherley-Anderson Grain Company

Littlefield; Goodpasture, Inc.-Littlefield Elevator; Goodpasture, Inc.

Lockney; Lockney Co-op Elevator; Lockney Cooperative Gin.

Lockney: Patterson Elevator; Patterson Grain Company, Inc.

Lubbock; Goodpasture, Inc.-Lubbock Elevator: Goodpasture, Inc.

Lubbock; Producers Elevator; Producers Grain Corporation.

Markham; Rice Belt Warehouse; Rice Belt Warehouse, Inc.

Mathis: Mathis Elevator: Mathis Grain & Elevator Corp.

(P.O. Spearman); McKibben Equity Elevator; Perryton Equity Exchange. Morse; Perryton Equity Elevator; Perryton Equity Exchange.

Muleshoe; Farmers Cooperative Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Elevator of Muleshoe, Texas.

Pampa; Wheeler-Evans Elevator; Wheeler-Evans Elevator Company

Perryton; Perryton Equity Elevators; Perryton Equity Exchange.

Plainview; Harvest Queen Elevator; Lawrence Systems, Inc.

Plainefew; Plainsman Elevator; Plainsman Elevators, Inc.

Plainview; Producers Elevator; Producers Grain Corporation. Plainview; Southwestern Grain Elevator;

Southwestern Grain, Inc. Port Arthur; Cargill Port Arthur Elevator;

Cargill, Incorporated. Pringle; Perryton Equity Elevator; Perry-

ton Equity Exchange Rosenberg: Ansel Grain; Ansel Grain, Inc.

Saginaw; Cargill Fort Worth Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated. Saginaw; Continental Elevator; Continen-

tal Grain Company.

Saginate; Saginaw Terminal-Cook Export Corporation; Cook Export Corporation. Saginate; Union Equity Pt. Worth Elevator: Union Equity Co-operative Exchange

Spearman; Perryton Equity Elevator; Perryton Equity Exchange

Stratford; Perryton Equity Elevator, Perryton Equity Exchange

Sudan; Feeders Elevator; Feeders Grain, Inc.

Sunray: Continental Elevator; Continental Grain Company.

Sunray; Sunray Co-Op Elevator; Sunray Co-Op.

Texarkana: Pioneer of Texarkana Elevator, Pioneer Food Industries, Inc.

Texhoma; Wheat Growers Elevator; Texhoma Wheat Growers, Inc.

Tulia; Hipp, Inc.-Star Grain Division; Lawrence Systems, Inc.

Tulia; Prairie Elevator; Prairie Cattle and Grain Co.

Tulia; Wheat Growers Elevator; Tulia Wheat Growers, Inc.

Twichell; Perryton Equity Elevator; Perryton Equity Exchange.

Vega; Wheat Growers Elevator; Vega Wheat Growers, Inc.

<sup>12</sup> In Kentucky and Tennessee.

Waka: Perryton Equity Elevator; Perryton Equity Exchange.

White Deer; Wheeler-Evans Wheeler-Evans Elevator Company. Wheeler-Evans Elevator;

Wichita Falls: Berend Bros, Elevator; Berend Brothers Feed Stores, Incorporated. Wildorado; Wildorado Producers Elevator;

Wildorado Producers Ass'n.

#### UTAH

Cache Junction; West Cache Growers Warehouse; West Cache Growers, Inc.

### VIRGINIA

Chesapeake; Cargill Norfolk Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.

Norfolk; N. & W. Grain Elevator; Continen-

tal Grain Company.

Roanoke; City Mills Elevator; Roanoke City Mills, Incorporated.

### WASHINGTON

Asotin; 10 Lewistown Grain Growers Warehouse: Lewiston Grain Growers, Inc.

Connell; Connell Grain Growers Warehouse; Connell Grain Growers, Inc.

Dayton; Columbia County Grain Growers Warehouse; Columbia County Grain Growers. Inc.

Goldendale; Grain Growers Warehouse; Klickitat Valley Grain Growers, Inc.

Huntsville; Columbia County Grain Grow-Warehouse; Columbia County Grain Growers, Inc.

Johnson (P.O. Star Route, Pullman); Johnson Union Warehouse; Johnson Union Warehouse Company.

Kahlotus; Kahlotus Cooperative Elevator; Kahlotus Cooperative Elevator Company

Oukesdale; Oakesdale Grain Growers Warehouse: Oakesdale Grain Growers, Inc.

Pomeroy; Pomeroy Grain Growers Warehouse; Pomeroy Grain Growers, Inc.

Rockford; Rockford Grain Growers
Warehouse; Dumas Seed Company.
Rockford; Rockford Grain Growers
Warehouse; Rockford Grain Growers. Inc.

Roosevelt; Farmers Warehouse and Commission Co.; Farmers Warehouse and Commission Company

Starbuck; Columbia County Grain Growers Warehouse; Columbia County Grain Growers, Inc.

Uniontown; Uniontown Co-Operative Warehouse: Uniontown Co-Operative Association.

Waitsburg; The Touchet Valley Grain Growers Warehouse; The Touchet Valley Grain Growers, Inc.

# WISCONSIN

Glendale; Pillsbury Milwaukee Elevator; The Pillsbury Company.

Green Bay; Strid Grain Company Elevator; T. A. Strid and Roland G. Strid, copartners

trading as Strid Grain Company.

Janesville; Bob Mullikin Grain Service
Elevator; Robert Mullikin, trading as Bob Mullikin Grain Service.

La Crosse; Cargill La Crosse Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.

Milwaukee; Cargill Milwaukee "E" Eleva-

tor; Cargill, Incorporated; Superior; Continental Elevator, Superior;

Continental Grain Company.

Superior: Farmers Union Elevator. Farmers Union Grain Terminal Association.
Superior: Globe Elevator: Peavey Company.
Superior: Great Northern Elevators S-X; Tabor Grain Co., d/b/a ADM Grain Co.

Superior; Elevator M.; The McMillan Company.

# Beans

C. For the storage of beans: Town, Warehouse, and Warehouseman.

#### CALIFORNIA

Delano; Continental Elevator; Continental Grain Company.

King City; L. A. Hearne Warehouse; L. A. Hearne Warehouse Co.

Knights Landing; Sutter Basin Growers' Cooperative Warehouse; Sutter Basin Growers' Cooperative.

Soledad: Soledad Warehouse; Soledad Warehouse Co.

Spence Switch: Eckhart Seed Warehouse;

Eckhart Seed Company. Sutter: Hi and Dry Warehouse; Hi and Dry Warehouse, Inc.

#### COLORADO

Burlington, Plains Grain Co. Warehouse; Plains Grain Company, Inc. Dove Creek; Blue Mountain Coop Ware-house; Blue Mountain Farmers Cooperative. Fowler; Fowler Warehouse; Fowler Cooperative Association.

Olathe; Co-op Warehouse; The Olathe Po-

tato Growers' Cooperative Association, Roggen; Roggen Farmer's Bean Warehouse; Roggen Farmer's Elevator Association.

Stratton; Co-op Elevator; The Stratton Equity Cooperative Company.

Yellow Jacket: Yellow Jacket Coop; Southwest Colorado Bean Producers, Inc.

#### TOAHO

Hansen; L. W. Moore Warehouse; L. W. Moore.

Jerome: Marshall Warehouse; Marshall Warehouses, Inc.

Twin Falls; Idaho Bean and Elevator Warehouse; Idaho Bean & Elevator Co. of Twin

## KANBAS

Leoti; Western Seed & Supply Warehouse; Charles R. Whitham, trading as Western Seed & Supply.

Ruleton (P.O. Goodland); Western Seed & Supply Warehouse; Charles R. Whitham, trading as Western Seed & Supply.

# NEBRASIKA

Grant; Grant Bean & Seed Co. Warehouse; Grant Bean & Seed, Inc.

Hemingford; Cargill Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated.

Imperial; D & D Bean Warehouse; D & D Bean Co.

# OREGON

Pendleton: Pendleton Grain Growers Warehouse; Pendleton Grain Growers, Inc.

# Sirup

# D. For the sterage of sirup:

# CALIFORNIA

Town, Warehouse, and Warehouseman

Anaheim; Anaheim Warehouse; Sloux Honey Association, Cooperative.

Umatilla; Umatilla Warehouse; Sioux Honey Association, Cooperative.

# GEORGIA

Waycross; Waycross Warehouse; Sloux Honey Association, Cooperative.

# TRAHO

Wendell; Sioux Honey Association Warehouse; Sloux Honey Association, Cooperative,

Sioux City; Stoux Honey Association Warehouse; Sloux Honey Association, Cooperative. as Anderson's Peanuts,

### TEXAS

Temple; Temple Honey Warehouse; Sioux Honey Association, Cooperative.

#### Wool

E. For the storage of wool:

Town, Warehouse, and Warehouseman

### CALIFORNIA

Stockton; Cal-Wool Marketing Association Warehouse; Cal-Wool Marketing Association.

#### KANSAS

South Hutchinson; Mid-States Wool Warehouse; Mid-States Wool Growers Cooperative Association.

## OHIO

Columbus; Mid-States Wool Warehouse; Mid-States Wool Growers Cooperative Association.

Danville; Banbury Wool Warehouse; John M. McDonald, trading as Banbury Wool Co.

# SOUTH CAROLINA

Greenville; Black Hawk Warehouse; The Black Hawk Corporation.

Salt Lake City; Utah Wool Marketing Association Warehouse; Utah Wool Marketing Association.

# VIRGINIA

Clarksville; Burlington Worsteds Combing Warehouse; Burlington Industries, Inc.

# Cottonseed

F. For the storage of cottonseed:

Town, Warehouse, and Warehouseman

### ARKANSAS

Evadale (P.O. Wilson); Delta Products Warehouse; Delta Products Company. Forrest City; Forrest City Cotton Oil Mill

Warehouse; Forrest City Cotton Oil Mill, Inc. Helena; Helena Cotton Oll Company's Warehouse; Helena Cotton Oll Company, Inc.

Osceola; Osceola Products Warehouse; Osceola Products Company.

Pine Bluff; Cook Industries, Inc. Warehouse; Cook Industries, Inc.

# GEORGIA

Macon; Central Cotton Oil; Central Cotton Oil Company.

Bossier City; Riverland Oil Mill Ware-house; Riverland Oil Mill, Inc.

# MISSISSIPPI

Crenshaw; Cook Industries, Inc. Warehouse; Cook Industries, Inc.

Marks; Cook Industries, Inc. Warehouse; Cook Industries, Inc.

# Nuts

# G. For the storage of nuts:

# ALABAMA

Town, Warehouse, and Warehouseman

Andalusia: Anderson's Peanuts Warehouse: Alabama Farmers Cooperative, Inc., trading as Anderson's Peanuts.

Ashford: Gold Kist Peanuts Warehouse: Gold Kist Inc.

Banks; Gold Kist Peanuts Warehouse; Gold Kist Inc.

Florals; Anderson's Peanuts Warehouse; Alabama Farmers Cooperative, Inc., trading

<sup>&</sup>quot; In Idaho and Washington.

Goshen; Anderson's Peanuts Warehouse; Alabama Farmers Cooperative, Inc., trading as Anderson's Peanuts.

Haleburg (Route 1, Columbia); Gold Kist Peanuts Warehouse; Gold Kist Inc.

Hartford; Anderson's Peanuts Warehouse; Alabama Farmers Cooperative, Inc., trading as Anderson's Peanuts.

Headland; Anderson's Peanuts Warehouse; Alabama Farmers Cooperative, Inc., trading as Anderson's Peanuts

Headland; Gold Kist Peanuts Warehouse; Gold Kist Inc.

Luverne; Anderson's Peanuts Warehouse; Alabama Farmers Cooperative, Inc., trading as Anderson's Peanuts.

Opp; Anderson's Peanuts Warehouse; Alabama Farmers Cooperative, Inc., trading as Anderson's Peanuts.

Slocomb; Gold Kist Peanuts Warehouse;

Gold Kist Inc. Wicksburg (P.O. Newton); Gold Kist Pea-nuts Warehouse; Gold Kist Inc.

### PLORIDA

Town, Warehouse, and Warehouseman

Graceville; Gold Kist Peanutz Warehouse; Gold Kist Inc.

Jay; Anderson's Peanuts Warehouse; Alabams Farmers Cooperative, Inc., trading as Anderson's Peanuts

Malone; Gold Kist Peanuts Warehouse; Gold Kist Inc.

Marianna; Gold Kist Peanuts Warehouse; Gold Kist Inc.

### GEORGIA

Arlington; Gold Kist Peanuts Warehouse; Gold Kist Inc.

Ashburn; Gold Kist Peanuts Warehouse; Gold Kist Inc.

Blakely; Gold Kist Peanuts Warehouse; Gold Kist Inc.

Colquitt; Gold Kist Peanuts Warehouse; Gold Kist Inc.

Cordele; Gold Kist Peanuts Warehouse; Gold Kist Inc.

DeSoto; DeSoto Bonded Warehouse; De-

Soto Gin and Peanut Co. Donalsonville; Gold Kist Peanuts Ware-

house; Gold Kist Inc.

Hawkinsville; Gold Kist Peanuts Warehouse; Gold Kist Inc. Milan; Gold Kist Peanuts Warehouse; Gold

Kist Inc. Moultrie: Gold Kist Peanuts Warehouse;

Gold Kist Inc.

Newton; Gold Kist Peanuts Warehouse; Gold Kist Inc.

Oglethorpe; Gold Kist Peanuts Warehouse; Gold Kist Inc. Rt. 1, Poulan; Gold Kist Peanuts Ware-

house: Gold Kist Inc. Rentz; Gold Kist Peanuts Warehouse;

Gold Kist Inc. Rochelle; Gold Kist Peanuts Warehouse;

Gold Kist Inc. Statesboro: Gold Kist Peanuts Warehouse;

Gold Kist Inc. Rt. 3. Sylvester; Gold Kist Peanuts Ware-

house; Gold Kist Inc. Gold Kist Peanuts Warehouse;

Gold Kist Inc. Vienna; Gold Kist Peanuts Warehouse; Gold Kist Inc.

# OKLAHOMA

Anadarko; Gold Kist Peanuts Warehouse; Gold Kist Inc.

Bokchito; Gold Kist Peanuts Warehouse; Gold Kist Inc.

Gold Kist Peanuts Warehouse; Calvin; Gold Kist Inc.

Coleman, Gold Kist Peanuts Warehouse: Gold Kist Inc.

Durant; Gold Kist Peanuts Warehouse; Gold Kist Inc.

Eakly; Gold Kist Peanuts Warehouse; Gold

Hydro; Gold Kist Peanuts Warehouse; Gold Kist Inc.

Mannsville; Gold Kist Peanuts Warehouse; Gold Kist Inc. Marlow; Gold Kist Peanuts Warehouse:

Gold Kist Inc. Okmulgee; Gold Kist Peanuts Warehouse;

Gold Kist Inc. Rush Springs; Gold Kist Peanuts Warehouse; Gold Kist Inc.

Sickles: Gold Kist Peanuts Warehouse: Gold Kist Inc.

#### TEXAS

Comanche; Gold Kist Peanuts Warehouse; Gold Kist Inc.

Cross Plains; Gold Kist Peanuts Warehouse; Gold Kist Inc.

DeLeon; Gold Kist Peanuts Warehouse; Gold Kist Inc.

Gold Kist Peanuts Ware-Pleasanton: house; Gold Kist Inc.

Rising Star; Gold Kist Peanuts Warehouse; Gold Kist Inc.

LIST OF WAREHOUSES CANCELED OR TERMINATED SINCE DECEMBER 31, 1975

#### Cotton

A. For the storage of cotton:

# ALABAMA

Attalla; North Alabama Warehouse; North Alabama Warehouse Company. Warehouse sold.

#### ARKANSAS

Sparkman; P. H. Taylor Cotton Ware-house; Benton Taylor. Warehouse closed. West Memphis; Planters Compress Ware-house; Planters Compress Company, Inc.

Warehouse sold.

# GEORGIA

Camilla; Walker Gin Bonded Warehouse; Walkers, Inc. Failure to furnish renewal

Cordele; Nesbitt Bonded Warehouse; Nesbitt Bonded Warehouse, Inc. Liquidating business.

Gay: Gay Bonded Warehouse: A. G. Estes. Warehouse closed.

Kingston; Kingston Gin & Bonded Warehouse; Fun City Enterprises, Inc. Fallure to furnish renewal bond.

Meigs; Camilla Cotton Oil Bonded Ware-house; Camilla Cotton Oil Company. Failure to furnish renewal bond.

Social Circle; Malcom's Bonded Warehouse; D. J. Chandler. Death of Licensee.

Tennille; Planters Bonded Warehouse; W. B. Smith. Death of Licensee.

# LOUISIANA

Bernice; Lindsey Bonded Warehouse; James D. Lindsey, Mrs. Rosalind Lindsey Albritton et al., copartners, trading as Lindsey Bonded Warehouse Company, Death of Partner.

Mansfield; Mansfield Bonded Warehouse, Inc.; Mansfield Bonded Warehouse, Inc. Warehouse sold.

Rayville; Union Compress Warehouse; Union Service Industries, Inc. Warehouse Bold.

Charleston; National Compress Warehouse; Dunklin County Compress and Warehouse Company, Warehouse closed.

# MISSISSIPPI

Brookhaven; Brookhaven Compress Warehouse; MFC Services (A.A.L.) Warehouse closed.

Shuqualak; Shuqualak Bonded Ware-house; A. T. Evans, executor of the estate of Harrison Evans, Estate settled.

Union; Union Bonded Warehouse; Compress of Union, Liquidating business.

### New Mexico

Artesia; Artesia Compress Warehouse; Alma Sanders Francis, Leslie Paul Francis, William Kavanaugh Francis, and Christine Francis Jones, copartners, trading as Artesia Compress Company. Warehouseman's request.

### NORTH CAROLINA

Battleboro; Braswell Bonded Warehouse, Mattie May B. Gorham, Vivian B. Braswell and Elizabeth B. Pearsall, Copartners, trading as M. C. Braswell Agriservices. Death of Partner.

Murjreesboro; Revelle Bonded Warehouse; Chas. L. Revelle & Sons, Inc. Failure to furnish renewal bond.

Scotland Neck; Edwards Bonded Warehouse; Sam K. Edwards. Leased warehouse facilities.

#### SOUTH CAROLINA

Orangeburg; The Standard Warehouse; Standard Corporation. Warehouse closed.

Turbeville; East Clarendon Bonded Warehouse; East Clarendon Storage Company. Failure to furnish renewal bond.

Sweetwater; Sweetwater Compress Warehouse; National-Western Compress & Warehouse Co. Warehouse sold.

B. For the storage of grain:

## ARKANSAS

DeWitt; Farmers Coop. Elevator; The Farmers Co-operative Elevator Company. Leased warehouse facilities.

DeWitt: Rollison Seed Elevator; Rollison Seed, Inc. Failure to renew bond.

Morritton; Stallings Brothers Elevator; Joe H. Stallings and Alan B. Stallings, copartners, trading as Stallings Brothers Feed Mills. Warehouse sold.

# CALIFORNIA

Arbuckle; Farmers Grain Elevator; Thomas Mezger, dba Farmers Grain Elevator. Gave up lease.

# COLORADO

Bristol; Bristol Elevator; South Eastern Colorado Coop. Included as a section under another federal license held by South Eastern Colorado Co-op.

Lamar; Southeastern Colorado Co-op Elevator; South Eastern Colorado Coop. Included as a section under another federal license held by South Eastern Colorado Co-op.

# TOARO

Kennedy Ford; Latah County Grain Growers Warehouse; Latah County Grain Growers. Inc. Included as a section under another federal license held by Latah County Grain Growers, Inc.

Malad; Grain Growers Warehouse; Oneida County Grain Growers, Inc. Failed to furnish renewal bond.

# ILLINOIS

Alvin; Alvin Elevator; Jack Conard, trading as Conard Grain Company, Corporation formed.

Armington; Hittle Elevator; Atkinson Grain & Fertilizer, Inc. Gave up lease.

Broadwell; W. W. Hill Broadwell Elevator; W. W. Hill Feed & Grain Co. Included as a section under another federal license held by W. W. Hill Feed & Grain Co.

Broughton; L. S. Harper Grain Co. Elevator; B. C. Christopher & Company, a limited partnership with Hearne Christopher. John H. Collett, Lawrence P. Hogan, Edward G. Mader, Donald F. George, Norman R. Supper, Kenneth G. Neff, John J. Sullivan, Sam L. Willoughby, Robert F. Wilson, John P. Gattermeir, Joe F. W. Kirshe, Robert C. Peters, Jr., Donald D. Killion, Larry G. Mc-Cully, Carl Hohnbaum, Edward A. Connelly. Gave up lease.

Bushnell; Bushnell O.K. Elevator; O.K.

Grain Company, Warehouse sold.

Cameron, Ralston Purina Cameron Elevator, Ralston Purina Company, Warehouse

Dwight: Jacobson Elevator; John E. Jacobson, Trading as John Jacobson Grain, Leased warehouse facilities.

Fancy Prairie: Pancy Prairie Elevator; Culver-Pancy Prairie Cooperative Co, Inlicense held by Culver-Fancy Prairie Cooperative Co.

Freeport; Raiston Purina Freeport Elevator, Ralston Purina Company, Warehouse

Leroy: Hasenwinkle Elevator; Hasenwinkle Grain Co. Leased warehouse facilities.

Loami, Loami Warehouse, Loami Grain

Company, Inc. Warehouse closed.

Metcalf; Metcalf Elevator; Metcalf Grain, Inc. Warehouse sold.

Redmon: English Elevator: Edward English; trading as English Grain Company, Cor-

poration formed.

South Beloff: Elevator B: Beloff Grain Company, Leased warehouse facilities, Springfield; W. W. Hill Springfield Eleva-W. W. Hill Feed & Grain Co. Included

as a section under another federal license held by W. W. Hill Feed & Grain Co. Steward; Steward Elevators; Lee PS Inc. Included as a section under another federal license held by Lee FS Inc.

Stillman Valley: Griffith Grain Terminal; Consol Builders and Supply Co., Inc. Warehouse sold.

Wilson (P.O. Manhattan); Wilson Elevator; Andres & Wilson Farmers Grain & Supply Co. Included as a section under another Federal license held by Andres & Wilton Farmers Grain & Supply Co.

# INDIANA

Frankfort; Swift & Co. Soybean Mill Elevator: Swift & Company. Warehouse sold.

Indianapolis; Acme-Evans Elevator; General Grain, Inc. Corporate merger.

Kewanna; Daily Warehouse; Darl Daily, trading as Darl Daily & Sons. Corporation formed.

Marshfield; Marshfield Elevator; Jack Conard, trading as Conard Grain Company, Corporation formed.

Monroe City: Harrison Grain Elevator; Wm. A. Harrison, trading as Harrison Grain Elevator. Corporation formed.

Romney: Tippecanoe Grain Co. Elevator; Central States Grain Co., Inc. Warehouse nold.

Rushville; Rush County Grain Elevator; William Ervin Smith, trading as Rush County Grain Company. Corporation formed.

# TOWA

Akron; Akron Feed and Grain Co. Elevator; Robert B. Scroggs, and Prank D. Scroggs, copartners, trading as Akron Feed and Grain Co. Warehouse sold.

Barnum; Barnum Elevator; Wieston Grain Company, Incorporated. Failure to furnish renewal bond.

Bouton; Cargill Bouton Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated, Included as a section under an-

other federal license held by Cargill, Inc.

Burlington; Burlington & Mississippi Elevator; ADM Export Company. Corporate merger.

Cooper; Milligan Elevator; Milligan Bros. Grain Co. Fallure to furnish bond.

Corydon; Corydon Elevator; The Hubinger Company. Fallure to furnish renewal bond. Council Bluffs: Omaha Elevator A: Hawkeye Elevator Company, Warehouseman's request.

Fort Dodge: Fort Dodge Elevator: Wieston Grain Company, Incorporated, Fallure to furnish renewal bond.

NOTICES

Greenville: Farmers Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Elevator Company. Included as a section under another federal license held

by Farmers Cooperative Elevator Company. Jefferson; Milligan Elevators; Milligan Bros. Grain Co. Failure to furnish renewal

Langdon; Farmers Elevator; Parmers Cooperative Elevator Company, Included as section under another federal license held by Farmers Cooperative Elevator Company.

Le Mars; West Le Mars Elevator; West

Le Mars Feed and Grain, Inc. Warehouse sold. River Sioux; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Co-operative Co. Included as sections under another federal license held by Farmers Cooperative Co.

Sherman (P.O. Hubbard): Farmers Co-operative Elevator Company. Warehouse sold. Stanhope; Cargill Elevator; Cargill, Incorporated. Failed to furnish renewal bond.

Westfield; Westfield Feed and Grain Co. Westfield Feed and Grain Co. Warehouse sold. Wieston (P.O. Manson): Wieston Elevator; Wieston Grain Company, D. Failed to furnish renewal bond. Incorporated.

#### KANNAS

Coolidge; Coolidge Co-op. Elevator; South Eastern Colorado Coop, Included as sections under another federal license held by South

Eastern Colorado Co-op.

Elisworth; Salina Terminal Elevator; The Smoot Grain Company, Warehouse sold.

Hamlin; Lincoln Grain, Inc., Elevator;

Lincoln Grain, Inc. Warehouse sold. Maize; Maize Mills Elevator; Maize Mills,

Inc. Failure to furnish renewal bond. Newton; Ross Elevator; Ross Industries,

Inc. Warehouse sold.

Patterson P.O. Burrton: Farmers Co-op Elevator: The Farmers Cooperative Elevator Co. Included as a section under another federal license held by The Farmers Cooperative Elevator Co.

Reserve; Reserve Elevator; The White Cloud Grain Company, Inc. Included as sections under another federal license held by The White Cloud Grain Company.

Wellington; Hunter Elevators; Ross Industries, Inc. Warehouse sold

# MINNESOTA

Minneapolis; Elevator K; ADM Export Company, d/b/a ADM Grain Co. Corporate

Minneapolis; Soo Elevator; ADM Export Company, d/b/a ADM Grain Co. Corporate

Minneapolis; St. Anthony Elevator; Peavey Company, Warehouse sold.
St. Paul; Elevator D; ADM Export Com-

pany, d/b/a ADM Grain Co. Corporate mer-

Thief River Falls; The McMillan Elevator at Thief River Falls; The McMillan Company. Warehouse sold.

# MISSOURI

Clinton; Larabee Elevator; Archer-Daniels-Midland Company, Warehouse sold. Elmo; M.F.A. Elevator; Missouri Farmers

Association, Inc. Included as a section under another federal license held by Missouri Farmers Association, Inc.

Fortescue; Fortescue Elevator; The White Cloud Grain Company, Inc. Included as sections under another federal license held by The White Cloud Grain Company, Inc.

St. Joseph; B & E Elevator; The B & E Grain Company. Failure to furnish renewal

# NEBRASKA

Elsie; Kellogg Elevator; O. M. Kellogg Grain Company. Leased elevator facilities.

Hebron; Hebron Elevator; Hebron Agri Services, Inc. Warehouse sold.

Jacinto (P.O. Dix); The Wright-Lorenz rain Co. Elevator; The Wright-Lorenz Grain Co. Elevator: The Wright-Lorenz Grain Co. Inc. Included as a section under another federal license held by The Wright-Lorenz Grain Co., Inc.

O'Neill; Dowd Elevator; Dowd Grain Com-

pany, Inc. Warehouseman's request.

Shuyler; Golden West Grain Company's
Elevator; Golden West Grain Company. Failure to renew bond.

Wallace; Kellogg Elevator; O. M. Kellogg Grain Company, Leased elevator facilities.

### New Mexico

Farmers Cooperative Elevators; Farmers Cooperative Elevators, Inc. Included as sections under another federal license held by Farmers Cooperative Elevator, Inc.

Melrose; Farmers Cooperative Elevators; Parmers Cooperative Elevators, Inc. Included as sections under another federal license held by Farmers Cooperative Elevator, Inc.

#### NORTH DAKOTA

Clyde; Victoria Clyde Elevator; Victoria Grain Company of Minneapolis, Warehouse

Grand Forks; Garvey Elevator; Garvey Elevators, Inc. Failure to furnish renewal bond. Jamestown; Garvey Elevator; Garvey Elevators, Inc. Failure to furnish renewal bond.

Cincinnati: Queen City Grain Company Elevator: Queen City Grain Company. Ware-house sold.

# OKLAHOMA

Cordell; Farmers Elevator; Farmers Cooperative Association. Warehouse sold.

Guymon; Knutson Elevator; Knutson Elevators, Inc. Warehouse sold.

Hough; Hough Elevator; Knutson Eleva-tor, Inc. Warehouse sold,

Midway (P.O. Hooker); Midway Elevator; Knutson Elevators, Inc. Warehouse sold.

Tyrone; Compton Elevator; Knutson Elevators, Inc. Warehouse sold.

Eakin's Siding; Eakin Elevator; Eakin Cooperative Grain Growers. Failure to furnish renewal bond.

Heppner; Morrow County Grain Growers, Warehouse; Morrow County Grain Growers, Inc. Included as a section under another federal license held by Morrow County Grain Growers, Inc.

Ione; Morrow County Grain Growers Warehouse: Morrow County Grain Growers, Inc. Included as a section under another federal license held by Morrow County Grain Growers, Inc.

# SOUTH DAKOTA

Roscoe; Roscoe Grain and Feed Company Elevator; Roscoe Grain and Feed Company, Inc. Warehouse sold.

# TENNESSEE

Memphis; ADM Elevator; ADM Export Company. Corporate merger.

Conway; Coop Elevator; Conway Wheat Growers, Inc. Warehouse sold. Galena Park; Goodpasture Elevator; Good-

pasture, Inc. Failure to furnish bond. Plainview; Harvest Queen Elevator; Har-

vest Queen Mill & Elevator Company, Leased warehouse facilities.

Ogden; Evans Elevator; Evans Elevator Corporation. Pailure to furnish renewal bond.

### WASHINGTON

McKay, The Touchet Valley Grain Growers Warehouse: The Touchet Valley Grain Growers, Inc. Included as sections under another federal license held by The Touchet Valley Grain Growers, Inc.

Prescott; The Touchet Valley Grain Growers Warehouse; The Touchet Valley Grain Growers, Inc. Included as sections under another federal license held by The Touchet Valley Grain Growers, Inc.

Pullman: Dumas Seed Company warehouse; Dumas Seed Company. Included as sections under another federal warehouse license held by Dumas Seed Company.

# Cottonseed

# C. For the storage of cottonseed:

#### ARKANSAS

West Memphis; Ginners Oil Mill Warehouse; Ginners Oil Mill, Inc. Failure to furnish renewal bond.

#### LOUISIANA

West Monroe; Union Oil Mill Warehouse; The Union Oil Mill, Inc. Failure to furnish renewal bond.

#### Nuts

# D. For the storage of nuts:

#### NORTH CAROLINA

Murfreezboro; Revelle Bonded Warehouse; Chas. L. Revelle & Sons, Inc. Pallure to furnish bond.

Done at Washington, D.C., February 17, 1977.

DONALD E. WILKINSON,
Administrator.

[FR Doc.77-5667 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

# **Forest Service**

# CIBOLA NATIONAL FOREST GRAZING ADVISORY BOARD

# Meeting

The Cibola National Forest Grazing Advisory Board will meet on March 27, 1977, at the Four Seasons Motor Inn, 2500 Carlisle NE., Albuquerque, New Mexico at 12:30 p.m. The agenda of this meeting is as follows:

12:30 p.m. to 1:00 p.m.—Luncheon. 1:00 p.m. to 1:30 p.m.—Election of Board Officers and organization of board.

Officers and organization of board.

1:30 p.m. to 2:30 p.m.—Discussion of Forest Grazing Problems and items pertinent to grazing on the Cibola National Forest.

The meeting will be open to the public. Persons who wish to attend should notify Supervisor Pfefferie through telephone number 766-2185 or at 10308 Candelaria NE., Albuquerque. NM 87112. Written statements may be filed with the board before or after the meeting.

Dated: February 16, 1977.

KEITH T. PFEFERLE, Forest Supervisor,

[FR Doc.77-5544 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

# HERBICIDES USE ON NATIONAL FORESTS OF ALASKA

## Availability of 1977 Draft Addendum to the 1975 Final Environmental Statement

Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, the Forest Service, Department of Agriculture, has prepared a draft addendum to the 1975 Final Environmental Statement on the use of herbicides on the National Forests of Alaska, USDA-FS-R10-FES(Adm)-75-03.

Action proposed by this statement concerns vegetation control with the use of herbicides on road, railroad and power line rights-of-way through the Chugach and Tongass National Forests in Alaska.

This draft addendum was transmitted to CEQ on February 14, 1977.

Copies are available for inspection during regular working hours at the following locations:

USDA, Forest Service, South Agricultural Building, Room 3231, 12th Street and Independence Ave., SW. Washington, D.C. 20250.

USDA, Forest Service, Alaska Region, Federal Building, Juneau, Alaska 99802. Forest Supervisor, Chatham Area, Tongass

Forest Supervisor, Chatham Area, Tongass National Forest, Federal Building, Sitka, Alaska 99835.

Forest Supervisor, Stikine Area, Tongass National Forest, Federal Building, Petersburg, Alaska 99833.

Forest Supervisor, Ketchikan Area, Tongass National Porest, Pederal Building, Room 313, Ketchikan, Alaska 99901.

A limited number of copies are available upon request to the Regional Environmental Coordinator, U.S. Forest Service, Federal Building, P.O. Box 1628, Juneau, Alaska 99802.

Copies of the draft addendum have been sent to various Federal. State and local agencies which are authorized to develop and enforce environmental standards, and from Federal agencies having jurisdiction by law or special expertise with respect to any environmental impact invalued for which comments have not been requested specifically.

Comments concerning the proposed action and requests for additional information should be addressed to John A. Sandor. Regional Forester, U.S. Forest Service, P.O. Box 1628, Juneau, Alaska 99802. Comments must be received by April 15, 1977 in order to be considered in the preparation of the final addendum.

Carl W. Swanson, Environmental Coordinator, Alaska Region.

FEBRUARY 14, 1977.

[FR Doc.77-5545 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

# TIMBER MANAGEMENT PLAN REVISION Availability of Draft Environmental Statement

Pursuant to section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, the Forest Service, Department of Agriculture, has prepared a draft environmental statement for Timber Management Plan, USDA-FS-R6-DES (Adm)-77-7.

The environmental statement concerns a proposed revision of the 10-year Timber Management Plan on the Ochoco National Forest, Pacific Northwest Region. The draft environmental statement was transmitted to CEQ on February 16, 1977.

Copies are available for inspection during regular working hours at the following locations:

USDA, Forest Service, South Agriculture Bidg, Room 3210, 12th St. and Independence Ave. SW., Washington, D.C. 20250. USDA, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Re-

USDA, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Region, 319 S.W. Pine Street, Portland, Oregon 97204.

USDA, Forest Service, Ochoco National Forest, 131 North Court, Prineville, Oregon 97754.

A limited number of single copies are available upon request to Forest Supervisor, Ochoco National Forest, P.O. Box 490, Prineville, Oregon 97754.

Copies of the environmental statement have been sent to various Federal, state, and local agencies as outlined in the CEQ

guidelines.

Comments are invited from the public, and from state and local agencies which are authorized to develop and enforce environmental standards, and from federal agencies having jurisdiction by law or special expertise with respect to any environmental impact involved for which comments have not been requested specifically.

Comments concerning the proposed action and requests for additional information should be addressed to Forest Supervisor Glen E. Hetzel, P.O. Box 490, Prineville, Oregon 97754. Comments must be received by April 17, 1977, in order to be considered in the preparation of the final environmental statement.

CURTIS L. SWANSON,
Regional Environmental Coordinator, Planning, Programing and Budgeting.

FEBRUARY 16, 1977.

[FR Doc.77-5546 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

# CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

|Docket No. 29747|

# AIR BVI ET AL

# Hearing Regarding Foreign Air Carrier Permit Investigation

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended, that a hearing in the above-entitled procedeing will be held on March 16, 1977, at 9:30 a.m. (local time), in Room 1003, Hearing Room D. Universal North Building, 1875 Connecticut Avenue, Washington, D.C.

For information concerning the issues involved and other details in this proceeding, interested persons are referred to the prehearing conference report served on December 16, 1976, and other documents which are in the docket of this proceeding on file in the Docket Section of the Civil Aeronautics Board.

Dated at Washington, D.C., February 18, 1977.

RICHARD V. BACKLEY.

Administrative Law Judge.

[FR Doc.77-5646 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

# DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Domestic and International Business Administration

# TELECOMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

# **Partially Closed Meeting**

Pursuant to Section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act. 5 U.S.C. App. I (Supp. V, 1975), notice is hereby given that a meeting of the Telecommunications Equipment Technical Advisory Committee will be held on Friday, March 11, 1977, at 10:00 a.m. in Room 5611, Main Commerce Building, 14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.

The Telecommunications Equipment Technical Advisory Committee was initially established on April 5, 1973. On March 12, 1975, the Acting Assistant Secretary for Administration approved the recharter and extension of the Committee for two additional years, pursuant to Section 5(c)(1) of the Export Administration Act of 1969, as amended, 50 U.S.C. App. Sec. 2404 (c)(1) and the Federal Advisory Committee Act.

The Committee advises the Office of Export Administration, Bureau of East-West Trade, with respect to questions involving technical matters, worldwide availability and actual utilization of production and technology, and licensing procedures which may affect the level of export controls applicable to telecommunications equipment, including technical data related thereto, and including those whose export is subject to multilateral (COCOM) controls.

The Committee meeting agenda has five parts:

GENERAL SESSION

(1) Opening remarks by the Chairman.

(2) Presentation of papers or comments by the public.

(3) Nomination and election of a new Chairman.

(4) Discussion on draft sections of Findings—Volume I of the annual report.

# EXECUTIVE SESSION

(5) Discussion of matters properly classified under Executive Order 11652, dealing with the U.S. and COCOM control program and strategic criteria related thereto.

The General Session of the meeting is open to the public, at which a limited number of seats will be available. To the extent time permits members of the public may present oral statements to the Committee. Written statements may be submitted at any time before or after the meeting.

With respect to agenda item (5), the Acting Assistant Secretary of Commerce for Administration, with the concurrence of the delegate of the General Counsel, formally determined on January 28, 1976, pursuant to Section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act that the matters to be discussed in the Executive Session should be exempt from the provisions of the Act relating to open meetings and public participation therein, because the Executive Session will be concerned with matters listed in 5 U.S.C.

552(b) (1), i.e., it is specifically required by Executive Order 11652 that they be kept confidential in the interest of the national security. All materials to be reviewed and discussed by the Committee during the Executive Session of the meeting have been properly classified under the Executive Order. All Committee members have appropriate security clearances.

Copies of the minutes of the open portion of the meeting will be available upon written request addressed to the Freedom of Information Officer, Domestic and International Business Administration, Room 3012, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230.

For further information, contact Mr. Charles C. Swanson, Director, Operations Division, Office of Export Administration, Domestic and International Business Administration, Room 1617M, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230, telephone: A/C 202-377-4196.

The complete Notice of Determination to close portions of the meetings of the Telecommunications Equipment Technical Advisory Committee and of any subcommittees thereof, was published in the Federal Register on April 30, 1976 (41 FR 18129).

Dated: Pebruary 17, 1977.

RAUER H. MEYER,
Director, Office of Export Administration, Bureau of East-West
Trade, Department of Commerce.

[FR Doc.77-5662 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

# CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

# GOVERNMENT IN THE SUNSHINE ACT Notice of Meeting

This notice announces a meeting of the Consumer Product Safety Commission under requirements of the Government in the Sunshine Act. As specified in the Commission's Proposed and Interim Rules for Meetings (16 CFR Part 1012), this notice sets forth the agenda of that meeting, including date, time and place of the meeting, the subject matter, and whether all or part of the meeting is open or closed.

For additional information on the meeting, interested persons can contact Sheldon D. Butts, Assistant Secretary, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Suite 300, 1111-18th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20207, telephone (202) 634-7700.

S. John Byington, Chairman. Barbara Hackman Franklin, Commissioner. Thaddeus Garrett, Jr., Commissioner. Lawrence M. Kushner, Commissioner. R. David Pittle, Commissioner.

Commission Meeting, March 3, 1977, 3rd Floor Hearing Room, 1111-18th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C.

All matters will be considered in open session unelss otherwise noted.

# AGENDA

2:00 p.m., Convene Meeting
1. Petition on Vi-Penta F Multivitamin
Drops and Vi-Penta F Infant Drops (PP

74-43). The Commission must consider whether to grant or deny a petition from Hoffmann-La Roche, Inc. seeking exemption from child-resistant packaging requirements of the Poison Prevention Packaging Act for these two prescription drugs.

2. Request for Extension of Effective Date of Architectural Glazing Standard. In a letter to the Commission, PPG Industries, Inc. has requested an extension of the effective date of the safety standard for architectural glazing (currently July 6, 1977). PPG notes that while the Commission has not yet issued a certification standard for architectural glazing, the Commission has stated that both the safety standard and certification standard should become effective at the same time. The Office of the General Counsel has drafted a response for Commission approval which would deny the request as premature, based on a discussion of the issue in the preamble of the standard which was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER January 6, 1977.

3. Voluntary Standard for Electric Hedgetrimmers. In November, 1974, the Commission directed the staff to work with industry representatives who were developing a voluntary safety standard for electric hedgetrimmers. In this item, the staff presents and discusses the voluntary standard (UL 1448) that has been developed, and seeks Commission guidance for future action.

Adjournment.

Dated: February 22, 1977.

SADYE E. DUNN, Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-5729 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

# DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Air Force
USAF SCIENTIFIC ADVISORY BOARD
Meeting

FEBRUARY 10, 1977.

The USAF Scientific Advisory Board Ad Hoc Committee on Advanced ICBM Technology will hold a meeting on March 14-16, 1977 in the Space and Missile Systems Organization facilities at Norton Air Force Base, California, from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

The Committee will receive classified briefings and conduct classified discussions concerning an in-depth review of the technical aspects of the advanced ICBM program.

The meeting concerns matters listed in section 552(b) of Title 5, United States Code, specifically subparagraph (1) thereof, and accordingly, will be closed to the public.

For further information contact the Scientific Advisory Board Secretariat at (202) 697-8845.

> Frankie S. Estep, Air Force Federal Register Liaison Officer, Directorate of Administration.

[FR Doc.77-5547 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

# USAF SCIENTIFIC ADVISORY BOARD Meeting

FEBRUARY 14, 1976.

The USAF Scientific Advisory Board Aerospace Vehicles Panel Committee on B-1 Structures will hold a meeting at Rockwell International, Los Angeles, California, on March 28-29, 1977 from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

The Committee will receive classified informational briefings on the structural aspects of the B-1 aircraft development program.

The meetings concern matters listed in section 552(b) of Title 5, United States Code, specifically subparagraph (1) thereof, and accordingly the meetings will be closed to the public.

For further information contact the Scientific Advisory Board Secretariat at (202) 697-8845.

FRANKIE S. ESTEP,
Air Force Federal Register,
Liaison Officer, Directorate of
Administration.

[FR Doc.77-5548 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

# Office of the Secretary

# DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE SCHOOL BOARD OF VISITORS

# Notice of Partially Closed Meeting

Pursuant to the provisions of subsection (d) of section 10 of Pub. L. 92-463, as amended by Pub. L. 94-409, notice is hereby given that a partially closed meeting of the Defense Intelligence School Board of Visitors will be held on-site at the School in Washington, D.C. on 6-8 April 1977.

Portions of the two-day meeting, as determined and announced by Board Chairman, will be devoted to the discussion of classified information as defined in section 552(b) (1), Title 5 of the U.S. Code and will therefore be closed to the public. Subject matter will be concerned with specialized instructional requirements and related curricula content.

MAURICE W. ROCHE, Director, Correspondence and Directives OASD (Comptroller).

FEBRUARY 18, 1977.

[FR Doc.77-5587 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

# DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY SCIENTIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE

# Notice of Closed Meeting

Pursuant to the provisions of subsection (d) of section 10 of Pub. L. 92-463, as amended by Pub. L. 94-409, notice is hereby given that closed meetings of the DIA Scientific Advisory Committee will be held at the Pentagon, Washington, D.C. on:

Wednesday & Thursday, 1-2 June 1977.

The entire meetings commencing at 0900 hours are devoted to the discussion of classified information as defined in section 552(b) (1). Title 5 of the U.S. Code and therefore will be closed to the public. The Committee will receive briefings on and discuss several current critical intelligence issues and advise the Di-

B-1 Structures will hold a meeting at rector, DIA on related scientific and Rockwell International. Los Angeles, technical intelligence matters.

MAURICE W. ROCHE, Director, Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller).

FEBRUARY 18, 1977.

[FR Doc.77-5589 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

# DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY SCIENTIFIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE

### Notice of Closed Meeting

Pursuant to the provisions of subsection (d) of section 10 of Pub. L. 92-463, as amended by Pub. L. 94-409, notice is hereby given that closed meetings of the DIA Scientific Advisory Committee will be held at the Pentagon, Washington, D.C. on:

Monday & Tuesday, 4-5 April 1977.

The entire meetings commencing at 0900 hours are devoted to the discussion of classified information as defined in section 552(b) (1). Title 5 of the U.S. Code and therefore will be closed to the public. The Committee will receive briefings on and discuss several current critical intelligence issues and advise the Director, DIA on related scientific and technical intelligence matters.

MAURICE W. ROCHE, Director, Correspondence and Directives OASD (Comptroller).

FEBRUARY 18, 1977.

[PR Doc.77-5588 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

# DEFENSE SCIENCE BOARD

# Task Force on Intelligence; Advisory Committee Meeting

The Defense Science Board Task Force on Intelligence will meet in closed session on 18 March 1977 in the Pentagon, Washington, D.C.

The mission of the Defense Science Board is to advise the Secretary of Defense and the Director of Defense Research and Engineering on scientific and technical matters as they affect the perceived needs of the Department of Defense

The Task Force will provide a review of intelligence requirements and issues reporting on alternative solutions.

In accordance with Section 10(d) of Appendix I, Title 5, United States Code, it has been determined that this Task Force meeting concerns matters listed in section 552b.(c) of Title 5 of the United States Code, specifically subparagraph (1) thereof, and that accordingly this meeting will be closed to the public.

Dated: February 18, 1977.

MAURICE W. ROCHE, Director, Correspondence and Directives Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Comptroller).

[FR Doc.77-5633 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL 691-7; OPP-210007]

# PESTICIDE PRODUCTS CONTAINING NITROSAMINES

# Notice of Public Hearings

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has received a petition to suspend in accordance with the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA), as amended (86 Stat. 973; 89 Stat. 753; 7 U.S.C. 136(a)), the registrations of certain pesticide products containing nitrosamines. Specifically, the petition refers to the following herbicide products used on food and non-food crops:

EPA regula- tion No.	Product name	Registrant
264-92	Benzae 1281	Amchem Products, Inc., Brookside Ave., Ambler, Pa. 19002.
352-250	Trysben 200	E. I. DuPont De Nemours & Co., 7656 DuPont Bidg., Wilmington, Del. 16868.
1471-35	Treflan E.C.,	

Because of the need to assess the magnitude and imminency of the potential hazard which may be posed by these pesticides and because of the apparent extent of the concern suggested by the petition, the Agency is seeking information on the issues raised explicitly or implicitly by this petition. Such information includes: (1) The analytical method used in detecting nitrosamines in the past, present, and future supplies of these and alternative herbicides (n.b. paragraph 59 of the petition).

(2) The production or potential for production of nitrosamines from the manufacturing, storage, and application of these and alternative herbicides.

(3) The quantities and the users of existing stocks of these and alternative herbicides.

(4) The extent of past, present and planned production of these and alternative herbicides, as well as the potential future production of these and alternative herbicides.

(5) The fate and metabolism of these and alternative herbicides following their use.

(6) Any human exposure and risk data on these and alternative herbicides, especially with regard to farm workers, applicators, and garden users (such as their exposure through lack of protective clothing).

(7) Toxicological information on nitrosamines

In accordance with the provisions of Section 21(b) of FIFRA, notice is hereby given that the EPA is soliciting oral and written information and comments on the issues raised by the suspension petition. Two public hearings will be held:

Mar. 7,1977 9 a.m. Ramada Inn. 3801 East Van Buren St., Phoenix, Aris. 85008. Mar. 9,1977 8 a.m. Environmental Protection Agency, Waterside Mall, room 2117, 401 M St., SW., Washington, D.C. 20161.

Time

Date

Place

Short notice is given to expedite the handling of the suspension petition which is reproduced below. A written copy of any oral remarks should be submitted either before or at the time they are made. Written statements will be accepted at either hearing or they may be mailed to the Federal Register Section, Technical Services Division (WH-569), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, Room 401 East Tower, 401 M Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20460. Three copies of the material should be submitted to facilitate the work of the Agency and others interested in inspecting the document. The comments must be received within 30 days from the date of publication of this notice and should bear the document control number "OPP-210007". All written submissions filed pursuant to this notice will be available for public inspection in the office of the Federal Register Section from 8:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. Monday through Friday.

Questions concerning the hearing to be held in Phoenix may be addressed to the Board of Pesticide Control, 2538 East University Drive, Suite 135, Phoenix, Arizona, 85034 (phone: 602-275-2183).

The petition to the Agency is reproduced below.

Dated: February 18, 1977.

EDWIN L. JOHNSON, Deputy Assistant Administrator for Pesticide Programs.

BEFORE THE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

In the Matter of Treflan, Trysben 200 and Benzac, Docket No. ......, Petition to Su-

spend Registration.

Come now the Honorable Andrew Maguire, member of the House of Representatives of the Congress of the United States, the Honorable Henry A. Waxman, member of the House of Representatives of the Congress of the United States, the Migrant Legal Action Program, Inc., the Maricopa County Legal Aid Society, Legal Services for Farmworkers, John Soliz, Beatrice Barron, Ramon Marquez, Maria Macias, Jose Morales, Jr., David Morales, Eddie Gonzales, Joe Gomez, Maria A. Gomez, and Carla Sue Williamson, migrant farmworkers, and petition the Environmental Protection Agency, in the exercise of power conferred on it by the Federal Insecticides, Fungicides and Rodenticides Act, 7 U.S.C. 135 et seq., as amended by the Federal Environmental Pesticides Control Act of 1972, 7 U.S.C. 136 et seq., to suspend the registration of the pesticides trefian, Trysben 200 and Benzac.

# PETITIONERS

1. The Honorable Andrew Maguire is a member of the House of Representatives from New Jersey, and is a member of the Subcommittee on Oversight and Investigations of the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce of the House of Representatives of the Congress of the United States. On May 28, 1976 the Subcommittee held a field hearing in New Jersey concerning the relationship between cancer and the environment, and on September 20, 1976 it held a hearing on the environmental causes of cancer. Petitioner Maguire chaired the Subcommittee's New Jersey hearings, has taken the lead on the Subcommittee in examining the problem of environmental causes of cancer, and has by letter to the Environmental Protection Agency requested the suspension of registration of certain pesticides containing nitrosamines. As a representative of the state which has the highest incidence of cancer in the nation, petitioner Maguire has a direct interest in the suspension of the registration of carcinogenic pesticides.

2. The Honorable Henry W. Waxman is a member of the House of Representatives from California, and is a member of the Subcommittee on Oversight and Investigations of the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce of the House of Representatives of the Congress of the United States. He is also a member of the Environmental Study Conference and is Co-Chairman of the Task Force on National Health. He has a direct interest in the suspension of the registration of carcinogenic pesticides.

3. The Maricopa County Legal Aid Society, Legal Services for Farmworkers, is charged with the protection of the legal rights of migrant farmworkers in Maricopa County, Arizona. As such it has a direct interest in and responsibility for ensuring that migrant farmworkers are not exposed to carcinogenic pesticides. In addition, said petitioner has migrant farmworkers on its client advisory council, and they have a direct interest in avoiding their own exposure to carcinogenic pesticides and accordingly an interest in the suspension of the registration of such pesticides.

4. The Migrant Legal Action Program, Inc., is a national support center funded by the national Legal Services Corporation. It is charged with assisting local migrant legal services programs such as petitioner Maricopa County Legal Aid Society, Legal Services for Farmworkers, in protecting the legal rights of migrant farmworkers. As such, it is directly interested in the suspension of the registration of carcinogenic pesticides.

the registration of carcinogenic pesticides.

5. John Soliz is a 25 year old migrant farmworker who worked in Maricopa County, Arizona during the 1975 and 1976 cotton seasons. He worked chopping and thinning cotton which upon information and belief had been treated with Treflan, and thus was exposed to that pesticide. He will perform the same labor in Maricopa County, Arizona in 1977 and subsequent years and thus is threatened with further exposure to Treflan.

6. Beatrice Barron is a 16 year old migrant farmworker who worked in Maricopa County, Arizona during the 1975 and 1976 cotton seasons. She worked chopping and thinning cotton which upon information and belief had been treated with Treflan, and thus was exposed to that pesticide. She will perform the same labor in Maricopa County, Arizona in 1977 and subsequent years and thus is threatened with further exposure to Treflan.

7. Ramon Marquez is a 17 years old migrant farmworker who worked in Maricopa County, Arizona during the 1975 and 1976 cotton seasons. He worked chopping and thinning cotton which upon information and belief had been treated with Treflan, and thus was exposed to that pesticide. He will perform the same labor in Maricopa County, Arizona in 1977 and subsequent years and thus is

threatened with further exposure to

8. Maria Marcias is a 20 year old migrant farmworker who worked in Maricopa County, Arizona during the 1975 and 1976 cotton seasons. She worked chopping and thinning cotton which upon information and belief had been treated with Treflan, and thus was exposed to that pesticide. She will perform the same labor in Maricopa County, Arizona in 1977 and subsequent years and thus is threatened with further exposure to Treflan.

9. Jose Morales, Jr. is a 20 year old migrant farmworker who worked in Maricopa County, Arizona during the 1975 and 1976 cotton seasons. He worked chopping and thinning cotton which upon information and belief had been treated with Treinan, and thus was exposed to that pesticide. He will perform the same labor in Maricopa County, Arizona in 1977 and subsequent years and thus is threatened with further exposure to Treinan.

10. David Morales is a 19 year old migrant farmworker who worked in Maricopa County, Arizona during the 1975 and 1976 cotton seasons. He worked chopping and thinning cotton which upon information and belief had been treated with Treflan, and thus was exposed to that pesticide. He will perform the same labor in Maricopa County, Arizona in 1977 and subsequent years and thus is threatened with further exposure to Treflan.

11. Eddie Gonzales is a 25 year old migrant farmworker who worked in Marlcopa County, Arlzona during the 1975 and 1976 cotton seasons. He worked chopping and thinning cotton which upon information and belief had been treated with Treflan, and thus was exposed to that pesticide. He will perform the same labor in Marlcopa County, Arizona in 1977 and subsequent years and thus is threatened with further exposure to Treflan.

12. Joe Gomez is a 14 year old migrant farmworker who worked in Maricopa County. Arizona during the 1975 and 1976 cotton seasons. He worked chopping and thinning cotton which upon information and belief had been treated with Treflan, and thus was exposed to that perticide. He will perform the same labor in Maricopa County, Arizona in 1977 and subsequent years and thus is threatened with further exposure to Treflan.

13. Maria A. Gomez is a 20 year old migrant farmworker who worked in Maricopa County, Arlzona during the 1975 and 1976 cotton seasons. She worked chopping and thinning cotton which upon information and belief had been treated with Treflan, and thus was exposed to that pesticide. She will perform the same labor in Maricopa County, Arizona in 1977 and subsequent years and thus is threatened with further exposure to Treflan.

threatened with further exposure to Treflan.

14. Carla Sue Williamson is a 15 year old
migrant farmworker who worked in Maricopa
County, Arizona during the 1975 and 1976
cotton seasons. She worked chopping and
thinning cotton which uson information and
belief had been treated with Treflan, and
thus was exposed to that pesticide. She will
perform the same labor in Maricopa County,
Arizona in 1977 and subsequent years and
thus is threatened with further exposure to
Treflan.

# CANCER AND THE ENVISONMENT

15. Approximatly 1,000 Americans die each day of cancer, amounting to 16% of all deaths in the United States. The estimated annual cost of cancer for direct care and treatment and loss of earning power and productivity is \$15,000,000,000. Report to the Congress by the Comptroller General of the United States, "Federal Efforts to Protect the Public From Cancer-Causing Chemicals Are Not Very Eff-

fective", MWD-76-59, June 16, 1976 (hereafter referred to as "GAO 76-59"), p. 1.

16. The impact of cancer on affected indi-

viduals and their families in terms of physical and mental suffering and economic costs is particularly severe. Russell Train, Administrator, Environmental Protection Agency (hereafter referred to as "EPA"), Preamble to "Interim Procedures & Guidelines for Health Risk and Economic Impact Assessments of Suspected Carcinogens", Environmental News, May 19, 1976.

17. Up to 90% of human cancer, according to some scientists, is environmentally caused

and controllable, GAO 78-59, p. 1.

18. The public has been exposed to car-cinogenic chemicals. In addition, new chemicals which may be carcinogenic are entering the environment because in some cases there is no premarket testing and in other cases the premarket testing that is done is insufficient. Statement of Gregory J. Ahart, Director, Human Resources Division, United States General Accounting Office, before the petitioner Subcommittee on Oversight and Investigations, p. 11. September 20, 1976. (Hereafter referred to as "Ahart".)

19. It is difficult to prove that a substance will cause cancer in man. Train, Preamble, 3. The cancer process seems to be irreversible, no safety threshold for a carcinogen can be measured, and cancer is a disease which often occurs after chronic exposure to low levels of a chemical, with disease becoming apparent many years after exposure to the chemical occurred. Most scientists also agree with the Director of the National Can-cer Institute that "there is no practical scientific method to prove experimentally the safety of any level of exposure to a carcino-Ahart, pp. 8, 9.

20. Occupational cancer usually does not become manifest for at lesst 20 years after exposure. Statement of Dr. John P. Pinklea, Director, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, Center for Disease Con-Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, before the petitioner Subcommit-tee on Oversight and Investigations, p. 4.

# N-NITEOSO COMPOUNDS AND CANCER

21. As a class of chemicals, N-nitroso compounds are extremely potent animal carcino-gens. "Assessment of Scientific Information on Nitrosamines", A Report of an Ad Hoc Study Group of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Science Advisory Board Executive Committee, August 26, 1976, p. 1. (Hereafter referred to as "SAB Report".)

22. An extensive group of compounds of the nitrosamine group are known carcino-gens in a-wide variety of species and at a large number of organ sites. SAB Report, p. Shank, "Toxicology of N-Nitroso Com-pounds". Toxicology and Applied Pharmacol-ogy 31, 361, 363. Most nitrosamines induce cancer of the liver in experimental animals, often with tumors at other sites. SAB Report,

p. 11. 23. Single administrations of N-nitroso compounds to infant animals can result in high tumor incidences when the animals reach adulthood. Shank, p. 363. Dimethyl-and diethylnitrosamine are N-nitroso compounds and are hepatotoxins causing centriobular necrosis with hemorrhages in 24 48 hours; death occurs in 3-4 days or the animals survive and recover completely in ap-proximately three weeks. Shank, p. 362. 24. Experience indicates that chemicals

that are carcinogenic in animals are also carcinogenic in people and vice versa. Ahart, p. 7: therefore, nitrosamines are highly suspect human carcinogens. Statement of John R. Quarles, Deputy Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency before petitioner Subcommittee on Investigations and Oversight, September 20, 1976, p. 1.

25. N-nitroso compounds are acutely toxic to every animal species tested and to man; in all species observed, the pathology demonstrates similar lesions in target organs. SAB Report, p. 29.

26. Since no animal species so far tested has proved resistant to one or more carcino-genic nitrosamines, it is highly probable that man is susceptible. SAB Report, p. 11.

27. Despite the inconclusive nature of the scientific work done on N-nitroso compounds, biochemical studies of the nitrosamine group and toxicological studies of their effects in animal systems make it reasonable to consider them as probable human carcinogens, and their environmental distribution suggests a possible contribution to some of the epidemiologic features of certain human cancers. SAB Report, p. 10. 28. In view of the high potency of nitro-

samines and the likelihood that the human is sensitive to their carcinogenic potential, it would seem necessary to make every reasonable effort to minimize this body burden.

SAB Report, p. 11. 29. N-nitrosodimethylamine "NDMA") caused dizziness and weakness in a scientist directly exposed to it in 1933. He was found to have ascites and hepatomegaly and it took him three years to recover, His and it took him three years to recover. His successor mopped up a spill of NDMA and over a period of two days developed non-specific symptoms similar to those of the first scientist, plus specific symptoms of jaundice and an abdominal mass. Laparotomy revealed massive ascitos and hepato-spienomegaly. He died within eight days after laparotomy, and autopsy showed acute de-generation of liver parenchyma. SAB Report,

30. About 20 N-nitroso compounds have been found in animal species to be carcinogenic for the esophagus and to have an organotropic action on the esophagus even on parenteral administration. SAB Report,

p. 6.

31. Studies have suggested an association between stomach cancer and nitrosamine consumption. SAB Report, p. 7.

32. NDMA was demonstrated in 1956 to be carcinogenic in certain experimental sys-

tems in rodents. SAB Report, p. 4.

33. In 1954 two research laboratory workers developed signs of liver injury after exposure to large amounts of NDMA. One died of bronchopneumonia and the second recovered. SAB Report, p. 5.

34. NDMA is a known acute liver toxin to

human beings. SAB Report, pp. 9, 11

35. NDMA at concentration levels as high as 0.06% in herbicides formulated for home use may lead to human exposures many orders of magnitude in excess of that attrib utable to exposures from nitrite preserved foods or from tobacco smoke. "Determination of N-Nitroso Pesticides in Aid, Water and Soil", Report by David Fine, et al., Septem-her 2, 1976, p. 6. (Hereafter "Fine Report".)

THE ENVIRONMENT AND IN VIVO NITROBATION

36. In vivo nitrosation reactions could very conceivably contribute a body burden greater than the combined contributions of air contamination, inhalation of tobacco smoke and fried bacon in the diet. SAB Report, p. 1.

37. If nitrosation in vivo occurs, then human exposure to precursors of N-nitroso compounds may be of considerable impor-

tance. SAB Report, p. 1.

38. Precursors (secondary amines and nitrite) are ubiquitous in soils and water and are readily formed from other nitrogenous compounds. Amines are present in soils as a result of biological decomposition of natural organic materials such as crop residues, animal manures, sewage sludge or soil humus and as a result of nitrogen-contain-

ing pesticides. SAB Report, pp. 19, 26. Since low concentrations of secondary amines and nitrite are present in most soils and waters, the potential for formation of nitrosamines exists, SAB Report, p. 75.

39. Recent studies suggest that, formed, nitrosamines may persist in natural environments for substantial periods of time and may thus be significant hazards. SAB Report, p. 26. For soil samples, systematic exhaustive extraction techniques are lacking, Fine Report, p. 5, thus there is no indication that this hazard does not exist.

# TRYSBEN 200 AND BENZAC

40. Trysben 200 and Benzac contain NDMA as follows:

a. Trysben 200, 187,000 parts per billion.

b. Benzac, 640,000 parts per billion. Fine

Report Table 1. 41. Thousands of American citizens have been exposed to Trysben 200 and Benzac, and thousands more will be exposed if the EPA registration of these chemicals is not suspended

The toxicity of D-n-propylnitrosamine (NDPA) is 400 mg/kg, as tested in male and female Syrian Golden Hamsters by Pour, et al., in 1973, Shank, p. 362. 43, NDPA is an N-nitroso compound, and

is a nitrosamine, Pine Report.

44. NDPA was found to be present at the 154,000 part per billion level in Trefian by the Fine team. The identity of NDPA in Treflan was confirmed by three independent

laboratories, Fine Report, p. 4.
45. 21 million pounds AI of Treflan were produced in 1972. "Production, Distribution, Use and Environmental Impact Potential of Selected Pesticides", Office of Pesticide Programs, EPA, 1975, p. 260. (Hereafter referred to as "OPP Report".)

46. Treflan is a preemergence herbicide ap-plied broadcast by ground equipment and soil-incorporated. OPP Report, p. 260.

47. Treffan's target crops are soybeans, cotton, Spanish peanuts, beans, sugar beets, sugarcane, and many other field and vegetable crops. It is registered for use on more than 50 crops. OPP Report, p. 258.

48. Treffan is used 60% on Soybeans, 30% on cotton, and 10% on other usages, OPP Report, p. 258.

49. Trefian is used once per season, mostly in the spring, although there are some fall applications. OPP Report, p. 260.

50. In Arizona in 1974, approximately-426,700 acres of cotton were planted and harvested and in 1975, approximately 298,000 acres of cotton were planted and harvested in that state. 1975 Arizona Agricultural Statistics Reporting Service.

In 1974 approximately 200,000 lbs. of Treflan and in 1975 approximately 181,400 lbs. of Treflan were used in Arizona. Figures published by Cooperative Extension Service, University of Arizona (September 1, 1976).

52. Upon information and belief, approximately sixty percent (80%) of all cotton grown in Arozina is treated with Treflan.

53. The Arizona Department of Economic Security has estimated that approximately 8,228 farmworkers were engaged in agricultural work directly involving cotton in Arizona in 1975 (Department of Economic Security Form ES 223). This estimate is admittedly low, other estimates suggest that the actual total number of farmworkers who worked in Arizona cotton in 1975 approaches 18,000. Many of these farmworkers are women and children.

54. These farmworkers chop and thin the weeds from the cotton field, irrigate the fields and operate the machinery which applies Treffan to the soil. They are not provided with any special protective clothing to prevent or limit exposure to potentially dangerous pesticides, herbicides and the like. They often wear tennis shoes without socks.

55. When these farmworkers are in the cotton field, dust laden with Treflan is blown about by the wind and is inhaled by the workers. When the cotton is weeded, workers put their hands in the soil treated with Treflan and are thereby exposed. Further, since neither protective gloves nor water to wash their hands is supplied, these workers eat their junch, and often consume drinking

water with their unwashed hands, 56. Tractor operators have the highest degree of exposure to Treflan since they must apply the pesticide and then disk it into the ground soon after application; the disking raises a great deal of dust which is inhaled or comes to rest on the worker's skin, covering his entire body. It is estimated that these tractor drivers number about 2,000. These tractor drivers also handle the Treflan, and put it in the sprayers.

67. Approximately the same number of migrant farmworkers will be employed in cotton in Artzona in 1977 and subsequent years and, like petitioners, will be exposed to Tradian in the same fashion.

to Treflan in the same fashion.

58. Treflan is additionally hazardous because of the likelihood of precursors to nitrosamines in the Arizona cotton soil.

59. There exist other herbicides which can replace the dangerous use of Treflan: nitralin (Planarin), dinitramine (Corbex), chloramben (Amibex), linuron (Lorox), vernolate (Vernam), florodifen (Preforman, Boyex), chlorobromuron (Maloran, Bromex), diphenamid (Enide), and others, OPP Report, p. 262.

CONCLUSION

The continued use of nitrosamine-containing herbicides will have an unreasonable adverse effect on the environment and constitutes an imminent hazard to man during the time required for cancellation. The risks involved in the case of Trysben 200, Benzac and Treflan far outweigh their benefits when viewed in light of the facts that; (a) these three herbicides have been found to contain significant quantities of nitrosamines; (b) nitrosamines are known to be potent carcinogens; and (c) there is a high risk of human exposure to these herbicides in both agricultural and garden use. Moreover, there are many, less harmful alternatives to the use of these herbicides.

Wherefore, Petitioners pray that the Environmental Protection Agency commence proceedings under 7 U.S.C. § 136d to suspend the registrations of Trysben 200, Benzac and Trefian until final cancellation proceedings can be completed, and grant such other and further relief as may be appropriate.

Respectfully submitted,

Hon. Andrew Maguire, Member of Congress; Hon. Henry A. Waxman, Member of Congress; Garry Bryant, Maricopa County Legal Aid Society, Legal Services for Farmworkers; Rafael Gomez, Migrant Legal Action Program, Inc.; John Soliz; Beatrice Barron; Ramon Marquez; Maria Macias; Jose Morales, Jr.; David Morales; Eddie Gonzales; Joe Gomez; Maria A. Gomez; Carla Sue Williamson.

[FR Doc.77-5680 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

# FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

NOTICES

[FCC 77-109: Docket No. 16883]

# WESTERN STATES TELEPHONE CO. ET AL.

Memorandum Opinion and Order Designating Matter for Hearing

In the matter of Western States Telephone Co., Los Angeles, California, Complainant v. American Telephone & Telegraph Co. (AT&T), Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Co., Southwestern Bell Telephone Co., New York Telephone Co., Bell Telephone Company of Nevada, General Telephone and Electronics Corp., General Telephone Company of California, General Telephone Company of Florida, Defendants.

Adopted: February 9, 1977. Released: February 17, 1977.

1. The Commission has before it a formal complaint filed September 1966, pursuant to sections 201-209 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, against the above-named defendants in which complainant alleges that the defendants have violated Sections 201 and 202 of the Act by taking certain actions designed to prevent telephone customers from connecting simulated antique French cradle-type telephones distributed by complainant to the telephone facilities of defendants. At the time the complaint was filed, and for more than a year prior thereto, defendants' tariff prohibited customers from connecting the inner electrical components of complainant's telephone to defendants' interstate message toll telephone service (MTS) facilities. However, the tariff permitted customers to use that portion of complainant's telephone that consisted of the outer decorative enclosures so long as the inner electrical components were provided and maintained by the telephone company. The complaint alleged that as a result of the aforesaid actions of defendants, complainant had sustained damages in the amount of \$3 million and, if said actions of defendants were permitted to continue, it would sustain further damages. It requests such monetary damages and injunctive relief as appropriate. Thus, the complaint is both prospective and retrospective in nature. It seeks changes in the practices of the defendants for the present and the future and it also seeks damages for alleged past law violations. Action on this complaint has been deferred pending resolution of certain related questions in other proceed-

<sup>1</sup>The applicable tariff was AT&T's message toll telephone service tariff P.C.C. No. 132 which has since been superseded by AT&T tariff P.C.C. No. 263.

For a detailed statement of complainant's allegations and defendants' answers, see 19 F.C.C. 2d 1068, 1069-70 (1969). ings (both formal and informal) before this Commission.

2. A brief historical review of related proceedings is helpful in placing Western's complaint in its proper perspective. Subsequent to the filing of the complaint herein, the Commission issued its Carterfone decision " which, relying on the holding in Hush-A-Phone,' found that a device used to interconnect mobile radio systems to the interstate and foreign message telecommunications system filled a need, that its use did not adversely affect the telephone system, and that the AT&T tariff prohibiting its use was unreasonable and unlawful within the meaning of Section 201(b) of the Communications Act of 1934 because it made no distinction between harmless and harmful devices. We also made it clear that the tariff had been unlawful since its inception," and that our Carterfone decision was not limited to the Carterfone device per se, but was rather a broad enunciation of policy requiring deletion of the unlawful tariff."

3. We did not prescribe the terms of the tariff revisions required to satisfy the Carterfone policy, but left that to the initiative of the telephone company. However the Carterfone decision placed the burden of proof upon the carriers-not the users or this Commission-to demonstrate that a particular unit or class of customer-provided equipment would cause either technical or economic harm to the telephone network. AT&T filed revised tariffs on behalf of itself and concerned interstate carriers, which generally allowed the interconnection of customer-provided couipment through the use of carrier-supplied connecting arrangements subject to certain technical requirements and, if required, network control signalling units supplied by the telephone company. The tariffs became effective without an explicit ruling on their lawfulness. In addition, the Commission instituted informal proceedings to obtain technical and operational data to assist its evaluation of the public interest factors involved in liberalizing the network control signalling unit and connecting arrangement provisions of the revised tariffs.

4. Shortly after the commencement of the informal proceedings, we adopted a Memorandum Opinion and Order with respect to Western's complaint." In that

<sup>\*</sup>Carterfone, 13 F.C.C. 2d 420 (1968), reconsideration denied, 14 F.C.C. 2d 571 (1968), \*Hush-A-Phone Corp. v. U.S., 99 U.S. App.

<sup>\*</sup>Hush-A-Phone Corp. v. U.S., 99 U.S. App. D. C. 190, 238 F. 2d 266 (1956). Hush-A-Phone established "the telephone subscriber's right reasonably to use his telephone in ways which are privately beneficial without being publicly detrimental." 238 F. 2d at 269.

<sup>\*</sup>Carterfone, 13 F.C.C. 2d 420, 425 (1968).

<sup>\*</sup>See AT&T "Foreign Attachment" Tariff Revisions, 15 F.C.C. 2d 605 (1968), reconsideration denied, 18 F.C.C. 2d 871 (1969).

order, we recognized both the prospective (seeking changes in AT&T's tariffs and practices) and retrospective (seeking damages) aspects of Western's complaint. With respect to the prospective aspects of the complaint, we found that what Western was seeking was a change in AT&T's tariffs which would offer service permitting interconnection without the network control signalling unit. We said that the then recent Carterfone case did not resolve this question but that informal industry meetings were being held to look into that matter. Thus we decided to defer action on the prospective aspects of the complaint pending the outcome of such informal proceedings. We also decided to "defer consideration of Ithe retrospective aspects of the complaint, including the claim for damages, until we have determined the extent to which and the conditions under which subscribers may, consistent with the public interest, provide their own network control signalling devices, including telephone instruments such as those of complainant."

5. The informal proceedings eventually led to formal proceedings. On June 14, 1972, we instituted Docket No. 19528, by Notice of Inquiry and Proposed Rule Making," to determine whether and under what terms, conditions, or limitations the interstate MTS and WATS teriffs should be revised to allow customers to have the option of furnishing any needed network control signalling units and connecting arrangements (or the functional equivalent thereof), and to determine what rules, if any, the Commission should adopt with respect to the foregoing. In our decision in Docket No. 19528, we found that:

the present tariff provisions requiring the use of carrier-supplied connecting arrangements impose an unnecessarily restrictive limitation on the customer's right to make reasonable use of the services and facilities furnished by the carriers, [and] they constitute an unjust and unreasonable discrimination both among users (or classes of users) and among suppliers of terminal equipment.

As a result of these findings, we established a registration program designed to allow users of the nationwide telephone network to connect terminal equipment to the network without the need for carrier-supplied protective couplers, provided that such equipment complies with standards incorporated into the registration program to protect the network against harm. The registra-

tion program is now in effect for data and ancillary equipment.15

6. With the conclusion of Docket No. 19528, 11 is now appropriate to once again consider Western's complaint. As a result of the conclusions in Docket No. 19528 set out in the previous paragraph and the establishment of the FCC's registration program, the prospective aspects of Western's complaint appear to have been mooted. However, the retrospective aspective aspects of the complaint (seeking damages) are now ripe for consideration.

7. Accordingly, it is ordered that, pursuant to Sections 4(1), 4(1), 201-209, and 409 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, the above-captioned complaint of Westen States Telephone Company is designated for hearing on the issue of what damages, if any, should be awarded to Western States Telephone Company as a result of the enforcement by defendants of tariffs which have been found to be unlawful in our decisions in Carterfone and Interstate and Foreign Message Toll Telephone Service.

8. It is further ordered that the hearing in this proceeding shall be held before an Administrative Law Judge at a time and place to be specified by subsequent order; and that such Administrative Law Judge shall upon closing of the record prepare and issue an initial decision, which shall be subject to the submittal of exceptions and requests for oral argument as provided in §§ 1.276 and 1.277 of the Commission's Rules (47 CFR. 1.276 and 1.277), after which the Commission shall issue its decision as provided in § 1.282 of the Commission's Rules (47 CFR 1.282).

9. It is further ordered that Western States Telephone Company, American Telephone and Telegraph Company, the Pacific Telephone and Telegraph Company, Southwestern Bell Telephone Company, New York Telephone Company, Bell Telephone Company of Nevada, General Telephone and Electronics Corporation, General Telephone Company of California, General Telephone Company of Florida, and the Chief, Common Carrier Bureau are made parties to this proceeding.

 It is further ordered that the burden of proof shall be upon Western States Telephone Company.

11. It is further ordered, that the parties herein may avail themselves of an opportunity to be heard by filing with the Commission pursuant to § 1.221(e) of the Rules within twenty (20) days of the release date of this Memorandum Opinion and Order, a written notice

" Pursuant to orders of the U.S. Court of

Appeals for the Fourth Circuit, the registration program is stayed, pending litigation, for extension telephones, main telephones,

PBXs, and key telephone systems, and for carrier-provided equipment.

13 Although certain minor procedural mat-

ters still remain unresolved, for the purposes

of proceeding herein we may consider that case as concluded, notwithstanding the ap-

peals of the decisions in Docket No. 19528.

stating an intention to appear on the date set for hearing and present evidence on the issues specified.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION, VINCENT J. MULLINS, Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-5639 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

# FM AND TV TRANSLATOR APPLICATION READY AND AVAILABLE FOR PROCESSING

Adopted: February 7, 1977.

Released: February 16, 1977.

Notice is hereby given pursuant to \$§ 1.572(c) and 1:573(d) of the Commission's Rules, that on April 18, 1977, the TV and FM translator applications listed in the attached Appendix will be considered as ready and available for processing. Pursuant to § 1.227(b) (1) and § 1.519(b) of the Commission's Rules, an application, in order to be considered with any application appearing on the attached list or with any other application on file by the close of business on April 15, 1977, which involves a conflict necessitating a hearing with any application on this list, must be substantially complete and submitted for filing at the offices of the Commission in Washington, D.C., by the close of business on April 15, 1977.

The attention of any party in interest desiring to file pleadings concerning any pending TV and FM translator application, pursuant to section 309(d) (1) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, is directed to Section 1.580(1) of the Commission's Rules for provisions governing the time for filing and other requirements relating to such pleadings

Federal Communications Commission, Vincent J. Mullins, Secretary.

UHF TV TRANSLATOR APPLICATIONS

BPTT-3146 (new), Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, Spanish International Communications Corporation. Req: Channel 57, 728-734 MHz, 1000 watts. Primary: WXTV-TV, Paterson, New Jersey.

Paterson, New Jersey.

BPIT-3147 (new), Stroudsburg, Pennsylvania, Scranton Broadcasters, Inc. Req: Channel 60, 746-752 MHz, 100 watts. Primary: WDAU-TV, Scranton, Pennsylvania.

mary: WDAU-TV, Scranton, Pennsylvania.
BPTT-3148 (new), Valley Village of Wintergreen, Virginia, Wintergreen, a Virginia Limited Partnership. Req: Channel 54, 710-716 MHz, 10 watts. Primary: WTVR-TV, Richmond, Virginia.

BPTT-3149 (new), Valley Village of Wintergreen, Virginia, Wintergreen, a Virginia Limited Partnership, Req: Channel 56, 723-728 MHz, 10 watts. Brimary: WXEX-TV, Bichmond-Petershurg, Virginia.

Richmond-Petersburg, Virginia.
BPTT-3150 (New), Valley Village of Wintergreen, Virginia, Wintergreen, a Virginia Limited Partnership. Req: Channel 59, 740-746 MHz 10 watts. Primary: WVIR-TV. Charlottesville, Virginia.

BPTT-3153 (New), Loudonville, Ohio, Educational Television Network Commission. Req: Channel 65, 776-782 MHz 100 watts. Primary: WOUC-TV, Cambridge, Ohio.

<sup>\*</sup>Western States Telephone Company, 19 F.C.C. 2d 1070 (1969).

<sup>\*</sup> Ibid at 1072.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Interstate and Foreign Message Toll Telephone Service, 35 F.C.C. 2d 539 (1972).

Interstate and Foreign Message Toll Telephone Service, 57 P.C.C. 2d 593, 598 (1975). See also 58 F.C.C. 2d 736, 748 (1976).

BPTT-3154 (New), Quinn River Area, Win-nemucca, Nevada, Humboldt County. Req: Channel 69, 800-806 MHz 20 watts. Primary: KOLO-TV, Reno, Nevada.

BPTT-3155 (New), Grand Marais, Minnesota, Channel 10, Incorporated, Req: Channel 55, 716-722 MHz, 100 watts. Primary: WDIO-TV, Duluth, Minnesota.
BPTT-3156 (New), Grand Portage, Minnesota, Channel 10, Incorporated, Req: Chan-

nel 63, 764-770 MHz, 100 watts. Primary: WDIO-TV, Duluth, Minnesota.

BPTT-3157 (W74A0), Manchester, Manchester Center, Bennington, Vermont, University of Vermont and State Agricultural. Req: To delete Manchester Center, Vermont and add Dorset, Vermont to present principal community.

BPTT-3160 (new), Baudette; Minnesota, Lake of the Woods County, Req: Channel 53, 704-710 MHz 100 watts. Primary: WDAZ-TV, Devils Lake, North Dakota.

# VHF TV TRANSLATOR APPLICATIONS

BPTTV-5738 (KØ8CT), Chadron, Nebraska and Chadron Area Housing Development, Nebraska, Nebraska Educational Television Commission. Req; To change frequency to channel 6, 82-88 MHz, and add Chadron Area Housing Development Nebraska to present principal community.

BPTTV-5740 (KØSFB), Olney and Stryker, Montana, Stillwater TV Association. Req: Change frequency to channel 11, 198-204

BPTTV-5741 (new), Glenwood, Washington, Glenwood Television Association. Reg: Channel 7, 174-180 MHz 1 watt. Primary: KATU-TV, Portland, Oregon.

BPTTV-5742 (new), Glenwood, Washington, Glenwood Television Association. Req: Channel 9, 186-192 MHz 1 watt. Primary:

KPTV-TV, Portland, Oregon.

BPITV-5743 (new), Cape Girardeau, Missouri, O. L. Turner, O. L. Turner as Trustee and Ethel Turner d/b as Turner-Farrar Association. Req: Channel 10, 192-198 MHz 10 watts. Primary: WSIL-TV, Harrisburg, Tilinois

BPTTV-5744 (new), Cedar Canyon, Utah, Cedar Canyon TV. Req: Channel 6, 82-88 MHz 1 watt. Primary: KTVX-TV, Salt Lake

BPTTV-5745 (new). Cedar Canyon, Utah, Cedar Canyon TV. Req: Channel 7, 174-180 MHz 1 watt. Primary: KUTV Salt Lake City, Utah.

BPTTV-5747 PTTV-5747 (new), Anaktuvik, Alaska, North Slope Borough School Board, Req: Channel 4, 66-72 MHz, 10 watts. Primary: KYUK, Bethel, Alaska.

BPTTV-5748 (new), Crawford and Lazear, Colorado, Grand Mesa Television. Req: Channel 9, 186-192 MHz 1 watt. Primary: KOAA-TV, Pueblo, Colorado.

BPTTV-5752 (new), Stapleton, Village of Stapleton, Req: Channel 12, 204-210 MHz 1 watt. Primary: KWNB-TV, Hayes Center, Nebraska.

BPTTV-5753 (new), Hulett, Wyoming, Hulett TV Club. Req: Channel 9, 186-192 MHz 1 watt. Primary: KIVV-TV, Lead, South Da-

BPTTV-5754 (new), Hydro Jet Services, Inc., Mining Site, Utah, Hydro Jet Services, Inc. Req: Channel 5, 76-82 MHz 1 watt. Pri-mary: KSL-TV, Salt Lake City, Utah.

BPTTV-5755 (new), Federal Mining Camp, Wyoming, Federal Resources Corporation, American Nuclear Corporation d/b as Federal-American Partners, Req: Channel 7, 174-180 MHz 1 watt Primary: KOA-TV, Denver, Colorado.

# FM TRANSLATOR APPLICATIONS

BPFT-381 (new), Davenport, Iowa, University of Northern Iowa, Req; Channel 272, 102.3 MHz 10 watts. Primary KUNI-FM, Cedar Falls, Iowa.

BPFT-382 (new), Yreka, California, Yreka Christian Radio, Req: Channel 292, 106.3 MHz, 10 watts, Primary; KVIP-FM, Redding, California,

BPFT-383 (new), Rifle and Anvil Points, Colorado, Mesa Broadcasting Company. Req: Channel 296, 107.1 MHz 10 watts. Primary: KQIX-FM, Grand Junction,

BPFT-384 (new), Bison, South Dakota, Sturgis Radio Co., Inc., Req: Channel 249, 97.7 MHz, I watt. Primary: KBHB-FM, Sturgis, South Dakota. BPFT-385 (new), Sundance, Wyoming, Stur-

gis Radio Co., Inc. Req: Channel 280, 103.9 MHz 1 watt. Primary: KBHB-FM, Sturgis, South Dakota.

BPFT-386 (new), Deadwood, South Dakota, Sturgis Radio Co., Inc. Req: Channel 280, 103.9 MHz 1 watt. Primary: KBHB-FM, Sturgls, South Dakota.

BPFT-387 (new), Broadus, Montana, Sturgis Radio Co., Inc. Req: Channel 249, 97.7 MHz 1 watt. Primary KBHB-FM, Sturgis, South Dakota.

BPFT-388 (new), Baker, Montana, Sturgis Radio Co., Inc. Req: Channel 280, 103.9 MHz, 1 watt. Primary: KBHB-FM, Sturgis, South Dakota.

BPFT-389 (new), Pierre, South Dakota, Stur-gis Radio Co., Inc. Req: Channel 249, 97.7 MHz 1 watt. Primary: KBHB-FM, Sturgis, South Dakota,

BPFT-390 (new), Dupree, South Dakota, Sturgls Radio Co., Inc. Req: Channel 249, 97.7 MHz 1 watt. Primary: KBHB-FM, Sturgis, South Dakota.

BPFT-391 (new), Rapid City, South Dakota, Sturgis Radio, Co., Inc. Req: Channel 280, 103.9 MHz, 10 watts. Primary: KBHB-FM, Sturgis, South Dakota.

BPFT-392 (new), Moab, Utah, XYZ-Tele vision, Inc. Req: Channel 272, 102.3 MHz 10 watts. Primary: KREX-FM, Grand Junction, Colorado.

[FR Doc.77-5640 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

# FEDERAL ENERGY ADMINISTRATION

# RECOVERY OF INCREASED NONPRODUCT COST

# Applications for Exceptions

In the matter of invitation to representatives of consumer interests to file applications to intervene and to receive financial assistance, applications for exceptions to calculate recovery of increased non-product costs in a manner different from the method described under former 10 CFR § 212.83,

The Federal Energy Administration ("FEA") hereby gives notice that representatives of consumer interests may file with the FEA Office of Exceptions and Appeals applications to intervene in pending exception pro-ceedings involving the manner in which refiners recouped their increased nonproduct costs during the period January 1, 1975 through January 31, 1976. A consumer organization may also be entitled to receive financial assistance to enable it to participate in the exception proceedings if the particular organization establishes that it is a non-profit organization whose principal function involves the protection of consumer interests, that consumer representation in the exception proceedings is necessary to represent adequately opposing points of view and that the organization itself cannot bear the financial costs of participation in the proceedings.

By Decision and Order issued to Consumers Union of United States, Inc. on February 18, 1977 (FSG-0037), FEA's Office of Private Grievances and Redress has determined to afford appropriate representatives of consumer interests an opportunity to request permission to intervene in the exception proceedings referred to above and to request financial assistance. The manner in which requests should be made is described in the Decision and Order, the full text of which is appended to this Notice.

Issued in Washington, D.C., February 18, 1977.

> ERIC J. FYCI. Acting General Counsel, Federal Energy Administration.

> > APPENDIX

PETITION FOR SPECIAL REDRESS.

Name of Petitioner: Consumers Union of United States, Inc. Date of Filing: October 7, 1976

Case Number: FSG-0037

On October 7, 1976 Consumers Union of United States, Inc. (Consumers Union) filed an Application in which it requests that the Federal Energy Administration appoint a Special Public Counsel for the purpose of representing the interests of consumers in certain proceedings before the FEA Office of Exceptions and Appeals. The proceedings to which Consumers Union refers involve Applications for Exception from those provisions of the FEA Mandatory Petroleum Price Regulations which required refiners during 1975 and January 1976 to regard increased product costs as having been recovered prior to the recovery of any increased non-product costs. As of February 17, 1977 eight refiners had filed exception applications which, if granted, would permit each firm to calculate its recovery of increased non-product costs during 1975 and January 1976 in a manner which is different from the method required under the regulatory provisions that were in effect prior to February 1, 1976. The Applica-tion filed by Consumers Union seeks a type of extraordinary assistance which is not specifically provided for in the FEA Regulations. Consequently, we have determined that the Consumers Union submission should be construed as a Petition for Special Redress.

Consumers Union is a non-profit membership organization chartered in 1936 under the laws of the State of New York to provide information, education and counsel with respect to consumer goods and services and the management of the family income. Consumers Union's income is derived solely from the sale of Consumer Reports, other publications and films. In its Petition for Special

The eight firms which have filed exception applications are the Apco Oil Corporation: Exxon Company, U.S.A.: Southland Oil Company; TOSCO Corporation (Lion Oil Company); Continental Oil Company; Shell Oil Company; Champlin Petroleum Company; and Amoco Oil Company.

Pursuant to Section 21(b) of the Federal Energy Administration Act of 1974—

Any person, adversely affected by any order, rule, or regulation issued by the Administrator in carrying out the functions assigned to him under this Act, may petition the Administrator for special redress, relief, or other extraordinary assistance, apart from, or in addition to, any right or privilege to seek redress of grievances provided in section 7

Redress, Consumers Union contends that the FEA appears to have prejudged the merits of exception applications of the nature described above and that consequently the agency should take steps to ensure that the determinations reached are fair both in fact and in appearance. Consumers Union also asserts that since the approval of exception relief could involve substantial sums of money, consumers have a very significant financial interest in these particular exception proceedings. Consumers claims that it is therefore extremely important that the public participate in the proceedings so that a determination in each case can be made on the basis of a fully developed record. According to Consumers Union, consumer organizations do not have the resources necessary to participate effectively in the proceedings. Consumers Union therefore urges that the FEA fund a Special Public Counsel to ensure that the interests of the public are effectively represented.

In general, the FEA has viewed as its responsibility the protection of the interests of all segments of the public in agency determinations. Thus, the FEA has never before utilized the procedure requested by Consumers Union of appointing a Special Public Counsel for the purpose of representing the interests of consumers in a particular proceeding or type of proceeding. Instead, the FEA has sought in the adjudicatory process to weigh consumer in the adjudicatory addressed with the adjudicatory process.

However, the Applications for Exception described above arose in an unusual manner and the FEA itself had a large part in prompting those submissions. As we indicated previously, prior to amendments to the refiner price regulations set forth in 10 CFR, Part 212, Subpart E, which were effective as of February 1, 1976, a refiner was required to consider increased product costs as having been recovered prior to the recovery of any increased non-product costs ("sequential recovery") in calculating the amount of increased product and non-product costs that it had recovered. These calculations are an essential element in determining the maximum permissible selling prices which a firm may charge for the covered products which it sells and the amount of increased costs that is available to be carried forward as 'banked" costs for recovery in future periods. The applicable regulations prohibited the banking of unrecovered increased non-product costs. 10 CFR 212.83(e) (9) (deleted in 41 FR 15330 (April 12, 1976)). Thus, all increased product costs were required to be passed through first and any increased nonproduct costs which were not recovered could not be reflected in the selling prices charged in subsequent months. The FEA Regulations also provded that a refiner could pass through its increased non-product costs only if its profit margin in the current fiscal year did not exceed its base period profit margin. 10 CFR 212.11 (deleted in 41 FR 9087 (March 3, 1976)).

On August 3, 1976 the FEA itself proposed a "class exception" to permit refiners which had not been constrained during 1975 by the profit margin limitation to compute their recoveries of increased non-product costs under a proportional method from January 1975 through January 1976 rather than under the sequential method required by the FEA Regulations. 41 Fed. Reg. 33282 (August 9, 1976). In proposing the class exception, the FEA indicated that it had become aware that a large number of refiners had determined their selling prices by regarding increased non-product costs as having been recovered on a proportional basis or as having been recovered prior to the recovery of any increased product costs. In this regard, the FEA stated that:

To enforce the regulations as requiring recovery of all increased product costs prior to the recovery of any increased non-product costs during the period January 1, 1975 through January 31, 1975—thus resulting in potentially massive refunds or "bank" reductions—could have a drastic effect ou cash resources of refiners who in good faith set prices based on the "proportional" interpretation of the regulations.

If firms were to be required to apply individually for exceptions relief, FEA believes that a significant number of refiners would apply and be eligible for such relief on the basis of serious hardship or gross incentify Id.

On September 30, 1976 the PEA clairfied its proposal with respect to the class exception, stating that:

The present proceeding does not constitute a true class exception proceeding \* \* \* since each party which seeks to be benefited by the approval of exception relief has already or will make a specific appearance in the proceeding and will submit appropriate data to demonstrate on an individual basis that to demonstrate on an individual basis that it is subject to an alleged serious hardship or gross inequity. Consequently, it is unlikely that any participant in the proceeding will be attempting to establish that relief should be afforded to all members of a class. Rather, each firm which is allegedly experiencing the serious hardship or gross inequity is or will appear on its own behalf in the proceeding.

The nature of this proceeding, therefore, although termed a "class exception," is more accurately described as an invitation to all refiners to apply for individual exception relief within the scope of a consolidated exceptions proceeding, 41 FR 43953 (October 5, 1976).

Thus, the FEA played a significant part in initiating the exception proceedings referred to in the Consumers Union Petition.

Moreover, the data which the FEA obtained from refiners at the time it was considering the class exception indicated that there is a substantial monetary difference between the calculation of cost recovery under the sequential method authorized by the FEA Regulations and the calculation of cost recovery under the proportional method utilized by a considerable number of firms during the January 1, 1975 through January 31, 1976 period. On an aggregate basis, the data provided by the 104 refiners which responded to the FEA's request for information showed that the amount of increased non-product costs permitted to be recovered under the proportional interpretation of the price regulations was \$3.6 billion, or 1.7 cents per gallon, while the amount permitted to be recovered under the sequential interpretation

was \$2.4 billion, or 1.1 cents per galion. The amount of increased non-product costs actually reflected in selling prices during the period was, according to the data furnished to the FEA. \$3.7 billion, or 1.7 cents per gallon, which is substantially higher than the \$2.4 billion permitted to be recovered under the method specified in the FEA Regulations during the January 1, 1975 through January 31, 1976 period. See "Proposed Class Exception on Passthrough of Increased Non-Product Costs by Refiners Before February 1, 1976—Results of Refiner Survey", supra note 5. Thus, it is clear that the approval of exception relief which would effectively relieve firms of any responsibility to make restitution resulting from the use of an incorrect method of cost recovery could involve very large sums of money.

In view of the unusual history leading to the exception proceedings which have been initiated and the highly significant monetary value of the type of relief being requested, we have concluded that it would be most desirable in this particular case to provide the necessary financial assistance to ensure that intervenors who represent consumer linterests are able to fully participate in the exception proceedings.

However, the expenditure of funds by a federal agency can only be made pursuant to a specific statutory mandate. That requirement applies of course to the use of public funds to secure consumer representation in PEA exception proceedings. In this regard, the Emergency Petroleum Allocation Act of 1973 (EPAA, Pub. L. 93-159), as amended, and the Federal Energy Administration Act of 1974 (PEAA, Pub. L. 93-275), as amended, provide ample authority for concluding that the PEA's mandates include a responsibility to take into account the interests of consumers of petroleum products. Section 4(b) (1) (F) of the EPAA specifies that the regulations promulgated by the FEA are, to the maximum extent practicable, to provide for the "equitable distribution of crude oil, residual fuel oil, and refined petroleum products at equitable prices among all re-gions and areas of the United States and sectors of the petroleum industry, including independent refiners, small refiners, non-branded independent marketers, branded independent marketers, and among all users". Section 5(b) (5) of the FRAA directs the Administrator of the Federal Energy Administration to "promote stability in energy prices to the consumer, promote free and open competition in all aspects of the energy field, prevent unreasonable profits within the various segments of the energy industry, and promote free enterprise"

The exception proceedings to which Consumers Union refers in its Petition will certainly have a very significant impact on consumers of petroleum products. Under the particular circumstances presented in this matter and in view of the statutory responsibilities of the FEA with respect to consumer interests, a strong argument has been made that the use of public funds would be warranted to ensure that consumer interests are effectively and fully represented in the exception proceedings relating to the pass-through of increased non-product costs.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> For a description of the amendments which were issued on April 6, 1976, see FR 15330 (April 12, 1976).

<sup>\*</sup>Under the proportional method of computing costs recovery, a portion of each type of increased costs—product and nonproduct—is deemed to have been recovered in prices charged by a refiner whether or not all available increased product costs had first been recovered.

<sup>&</sup>quot;The cents per gallon figures relate the aggregate dollar amounts set forth above to the total volume of domestic refinery output during the period. See "Proposed Class Exception on Passthrough of Increased Non-Product Costs by Refiners Before February 1, 1976—Results of Refiner Survey", 41 Fed. Reg. 40559 (September 20, 1976).

Although the effective implementation of the statutory objectives which the FEA has been directed to further might well require the use of public funds to ensure the direct representation of consumer views in the nonproduct cost exception proceedings, the specific mechanism which Consumers Union proposes to secure that representation does not appear to be consistent with prior determinations reached by the Comptroller General of the United States. In its Petition, Consumers Union appears to be requesting that the FEA designate an entity which is outside the agency itself as a "Special Public Counsel." In a Decision which he issued to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) on February 19, 1976, Decision B-92288, the Comptroller General held that "\* \* \* [N]o authority exists for NRC to supply funds for an independent Public Counsel outside of the regulatory agency." However, in that same Decision the Comptroller General also held that under certain conditions the NRC could provide financial assistance to intervenors in an adjudicatory proceeding before it. According to the Decision:

NRC has the statutory authority to facilitate public participation in its proceedings by using its own funds to reimburse intervenors when (1) it believes that such participation is required by statute or necessary to represent adequately opposing points of view on a matter, and (2) when it finds that the intervenor is indigent or otherwise unable to bear the financial costs of participation in

the proceedings.

\* \* \* Under the broad mandate to NRC to issue commercial licenses for the utilization and production of atomic materials "subject to such conditions as the Commission may by rule or regulation establish to effectuate the purposes and provisions of this chapter" (chapter 10 of the Act of August 30, 1954, as amended, supra, 42 U.S.C. 2133(a) (1970)), it would appear that the Commission has considerable regulatory flexibility. Thus, as discussed above, if the Commission should find it necessary to the commercial licensing process to establish some form of assistance to participants, including intervenors, who otherwise could not afford to participate, it may do so.

The statutory objectives which the FEA is directed to further are certainly as broad as those of the NRC and there is every reason to believe that the Comptroller General's determination would apply equally to the

The determination which the Comptroller General reached in the February 19, 1976 Decision was restated in a somewhat different form in a determination which he issued on May 10, 1976 in response to an inquiry from Representative John E. Moss, Chairman of the Oversight and Investigations Subcommittee, Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce, House of Representatives. In response to Representative Moss'

inquiry as to whether funds could be provided directly to public participants in proceedings before certain agencies, the Comptroller General stated that:

may be used to finance the costs of participants in agency hearings whenever the agency finds that it cannot make the required determination unless it extends financial assistance to certain interested parties who require it and whose representation is necessary to dispose of the matter before it; and (2) the party is indigent or otherwise unable to finance its participation \* \* \*,

wise unable to finance its participation \* \* \*.

We would like to emphasize \* \* that
it is within the discretion of each individual agency to determine whether the
participation of the particular party involved is necessary in order for it to
properly carry out its functions and whether
the party is indigent or otherwise unable to

finance its participation.

As we discussed above, the FEA's involvement in the matters presented for resolution in the exception applications relating to the passthrough of increased non-product costs prior to February 1, 1976 has been somewhat unusual. Under the circumstances and in view of the fact that the disposition of those matters could have a significant impact on consumers of petroleum products, it is our judgment that the participation of a consumer representative in the exception pro-ceedings which have already been initiated might well be necessary to ensure that the views of all parties affected by the proceedings are fully considered in the determinations which are reached. In order to secure that type of consumer representation in the manner which the Comptroller General de-termined to be proper, we will permit any non-profit organization that wishes to intervene in the eight exception proceedings that have been submitted as of the present date to file a special application to intervene. The organizations involved may also submit appropriate documentation which establishes that they would not be able to finance their participation in the exception proceedings unless they receive financial assistance from the FEA to do so. Upon receiving the applications to intervene and any request for financial assistance that might be submitted in conjunction with such an application, the FEA will arrive at a final determination on the basis of the arguments and data presented as to whether any such intervention on behalf of consumer interests is necessary to adequately represent opposing points of view in the exception proceedings. If the conclusion is reached that intervention is necessary a further determination will then be made as to whether the extension of financial assistance is necessary to secure the appropriate intervention on behalf of consumer interests. If the conclusion is then reached on the basis of the arguments and data presented in applications for intervention and any request for financial assistance that consumer representation in this matter is necessary to represent adequately opposing points of view, that a satisfactory application has been submitted to intervene on behalf of consumers by a non-profit organiza-tion whose principal function involves the protection of consumer interests and that it will be necessary to provide financial assistance in order to enable the organization to participate in the matter, then reasonable and appropriate financial assistance will be made available to the organization involved. It is therefore ordered, that: (1) The Peti-

It is therefore ordered, that: (1) The Petition for Special Redress filed by Consumers Union of United States, Inc. be and hereby is denied in the form submitted. The Petition is however granted in the manner set forth below.

- (2) On or before March 7, 1977, Consumers Union as well as any other non-profit organization whose principal function involves the protection of consumers interests may file a request to intervene in the exception proceedings which have been filed as of the present date in which relief is sought by certain refiners from the FEA regulatory provisions governing the calculation of the recovery of increased non-product costs during the period January 1, 1975 through January 1976. At the same time that it files its request to intervene, any such organization may also file a further request that public funds be made available to it by the FEA to assist it in adequately representing the views of consumers in the exception proceedings. That request shall be accompanied by documentation which establishes that, unless the requested financial assistance is provided, the organization involved will be unable to bear the financial costs of participation in the proceedings. The documents referred to in this Paragraph shall be filed with the FEA Office of Exceptions and Ap-
- (3) If the FEA Office of Exceptions and Appeals concludes that the application to intervene referred to in the previous Paragraph of this Order is satisfactory in view of the principles established in this Decision and that the organization involved is an appropriate representative of consumer interests, then the Office of Exceptions and Appeals shall forthwith grant the request to intervene.
- (4) If the Office of Exceptions and Oppeals further determines that the material which any such non-profit organization has submitted satisfactorily establishes that consumer representation in the exception proceedings is necessary in order to represent adequately opposing points of view, that the particular organization involved is an appropriate representative of consumer interests, that the organization has submitted a satisfactory application to intervene on be-half of consumers and that the extension of financial assistance to the organization necessary to ensure that consumer interests are adequately represented in the exception proceedings, then the Office of Exceptions and Appeals shall take all reasonable and necessary measures to ensure that financial assistance is provided to the organization for the purpose of facilitating its participation in the exception proceedings.
- (5) This is a final order of the Federal Energy Administration of which any aggrieved party may seek judicial review.

Dated: February 18, 1977.

[FR Doc.77-5660 Filed 2-18-77;4:19 pm]

# FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK BOARD

[No. AC-32]

SKOKIE FEDERAL SAVINGS AND LOAN ASSOCIATION, SKOKIE, ILLINOIS

Approval of Conversion; Notice of Final Order

FEBRUARY 18, 1977.

Notice is hereby given that on February 17, 1977, the Federal Home Loan Bank Board, as the operating head of the Federal Savings and Loan Insurance Corporation, by Resolution No. 77–142, approved the application of Skokie Federal Savings and Loan Association, Skokie, Illinois, for permission to convert to the stock form of organization. Copies of the application are available for inspection at the Office of the Secretary of said Corporation, 320 First Street,

<sup>&</sup>quot;The Comptroller General's letter is included in a Pederal Register notice in which the Food and Drug Administration proposed consideration of a rule to provide for the funding of public participation in agency proceedings. See 41 FR 35855 (August 25 1976). The letter sets forth the Comptroller General's opinion as to whether public participants in proceedings before the following agencies may be afforded certain types of assistance: The Federal Communications Commission, the Federal Trade Commission, the Federal Power Commission, the Interstate Commerce Commission, the Consumer Product Safety Commission, the Securities and Exchange Commission, the Food and Drug Administration, the Environmental Protection Agency and the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration.

Appendix A

N.W., Washington, D.C. 20552 and the Office of the Supervisory Agent of said Corporation at the Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago, 111 East Wacker Drive, Chicago, Illinois 60601.

By the Federal Home Loan Bank

RONALD A. SNIDER. Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-5658 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

[No. AC-31]

# CITIZENS FEDERAL SAVINGS AND LOAN ASSOCIATION, MIAMI, FLORIDA

Approval of Amendment to Conversion Application (Notice of Final Action)

FEBRUARY 18, 1977.

Notice is hereby given that on February 17, 1977, the Federal Home Loan Bank Board, as the operating head of the Federal Savings and Loan Insurance Corporation, by Resolution No. 77-143 approved the amendment to the application of Citizens Federal Savings and Loan Association, Miami, Florida, for permission to convert to the stock form of organization. The application had been approved on January 6, 1977, by Resolution No. 77-29. Copies of the application and the amendment are available for inspection at the Office of the Secretary of said Corporation, 320 First Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20552 and the Office of the Supervisory Agent of said Corporation at the Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta, 260 Peachtree Street, N.W., Atlanta, Georgia 30303.

By the Federal Home Loan Bank Board.

> RONALD A. SNIDER, Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-5857 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

# FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

[Docket No. RP76-15 et al.]

# ALGONOUIN GAS TRANSMISSION CO., ET AL.

Notice of Filing of Pipeline Refund Reports and Refund Plans

FEBRUARY 16, 1977.

Take notice that the pipelines listed in the Appendix hereto have submitted to the Commission for filing proposed refund reports or refund plans. The date of filing, docket number, and type of filing are also shown on the Appendix.

Any person wishing to do so may submit comments in writing concerning the subject refund reports and plans. All such comments should be submitted to the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, on or before March 1, 1977. Copies of the respective filings are on file with the Commission and available for public inspection.

> KENNETH F. PLUMB, Secretary.

	filling Type of filing Docket	t No.
Algenquin Gas Transmission Co Dec. 2	zi, 1976 Report BP76-15,	
Consolidated Gas Supply Corp. Nov. 2 East Tennessee Natural Gas. Jan. 1	22, 1976 do RP72-157, 10, 1977 do RP75-114	ot al.
El Paso Natural Gas Co. Jan. 2 Florida Gas Transmission Co. Aug. 1	24, 1977do	et al.
Do Feb.	2, 1977do RP74-19,	et al.
Do Go Kansus-Nebraska Natural Gas Co, Inc. Jan. 3	31, 1977 Report RP72-32,	
Mid-Louisiana Gas Co. Dec. 2 Northern Natural Gas Co. Sept. 1		
Do Dec. 1 Northwest Pipeline Corp. Jun. 2	17, 1976do R.P75-89.	
Sea Robin Pipeline Co Oct.	7,1976 Report	-
Texas Eastern Transmission Corp	14, 1977	K-ML-
Texas Gas Transmission Corp. Dec. 2 Transwestern Pipeline Co. Jan. 1	31, 1976 Plan G-12823. 18, 1977 do R-478.	
West Texas Gathering Co. Jan. 2 Do. Jan. 3	21, 1977do	

[FR Doc.77-5419 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

[Docket No. CP77-205]

# CONSOLIDATED GAS SUPPLY CORP. Notice of Application for Temporary Certificate

FEBRUARY 17, 1977.

Take notice that on February 9, 1977, Consolidated Gas Supply Corporation (Applicant), 445 West Main Street, Clarksburg, West Virginia 26301, filed in Docket No. CP77-205 an application pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a temporary certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the transportation of natural gas on behalf of American Cyanamid Company (American), all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant proposes to transport up to 365 Mcf of gas per day for American, which gas would be received by Applicant into its existing facilities in Ritchie County, West Virginia, at a specific point to be mutually agreed upon and delivered into the existing distribution facilities of Hope Natural Gas Company (Hope), for American's account.

Applicant states that it would charge American 7.60 cents per Mcf for the transportation service and would retain for company-use and unaccounted-for gas 4.0 percent of the volume received for the account of American. Applicant also states that no additional facilities would be required to perform the transportation service herein requested, and such transportation service would be subject to the limits of Applicant's pipeline capacity and to its service obligations to its sales and storage customers.

<sup>3</sup> The instant application is a telegraphic request. Applicant indicates that it will file a formal application for a permanent certifi-cate under \$2.79 of the Commission's Gen-eral Policy and Interpretations (18 CFR

It is stated that such gas is to be purchased by American from Haught Energy Corporation (Haught) in Ritchie County, West Virginia, for a term of one year, at a rate of \$2.15 per Mcf. It is also stated that this gas would not be sold by Haught in interstate commerce because of the price ceilings on gas sold in the interstate market and the uncertainties involved in the refunding obligations set forth in Docket No. RM75-14.

It is asserted that the gas to be transported would be used by American in its Willow Island, West Virginia, plant to help offset curtailments by Hope. It is further asserted that such gas would be used in Priority 2 industrial uses and would permit American to call approximately 50 employees back to work to produce catalysts for use in refining petroleum products. It is stated that American's Willow Island plant produces pharmaceuticals, intermediates for animal feed supplements and other chemical products; employs approximately 860 employees, and is the county's largest employer.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before February 23, 1977, file with the Pederal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20428, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

> KENNETH F. PLUMB, Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-5591 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

i Supersedes plan filed on Aug. 19, 1977, supra.

3 Company states no refunds are due from producers under opinion No. 749, docket No. 478.

[Docket No. CP77-201]

# CONSOLIDATED GAS SUPPLY CORP. Notice of Application for Temporary Certificate

FEBRUARY 17, 1977.

Take notice that on February 9, 1977, Consolidated Gas Supply Corporation (Applicant), 445 West Main Street, Clarksburg, West Virginia 26301, filed in Docket No. CP77-201 an application pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a temporary certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the transportation of nautral gas on behalf of Mobay Chemical Corporation (Mobay), all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant proposes to transport up to 2,000 Mcf per day for Mobay, which gas would be received by Applicant at a mutually-agreeable point on Applicant's existing pipeline facilities in Wetzel County, West Virginia, and would be delivered into existing distribution facilities of Hope Natural Gas Company (Hope), its distribution division.

Applicant states that it would charge Mobay 7.60 cents per Mcf for the transportation service and would retain for company-use and unaccounted-for gas 4.0 percent of the volume received for the account of Mobay. Applicant also states that no new or additional facilities would be required to perform the transportation service herein requested, and such transportation service would be subject to the limits of Applicant's pipeline capacity and to its service obligations to its sales and storage customers.

It is stated that the gas transported would be produced from wells owned by Mobay and the production therefrom has never been sold in interstate commerce. It is also stated that the gas would not be sold in the interstate market because, if Commission approval of this application is not received, Mobay would not continue production from its wells.

It is asserted that the gas to be transported would be used by Mobay to offset curtailments of gas used for Priority 2 industrial uses at Mobay's New Martinsville, West Virginia, plant, which plant produces polyurethanes, plastics and coatings used for insulation and other consumer products.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before February 28, 1977, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with

the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

any day, Natural would deliver thermally equivalent quantities of natural gas less 5 percent for fuel to Columbia Gulf at Texaco's Henry Plant, Erath, Louisiana; and Columbia Gas for transport gas received from Natural and deliver thermally equivalent quantities of natural gas less 5 percent for fuel to Columbia Gulf at Texaco's Henry Plant, Erath, Louisiana; and Columbia Gulf at Texaco's Henry Plant, Erath, Louisiana;

KENNETH F. PLUMB, Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-5578 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

[Docket No. CP77-158]

### NATURAL GAS PIPELINE CO. OF AMERICA Notice of Application To Amend Order

FEBRUARY 17, 1977.

Take notice that on February 14, 1977, Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America (Natural), 122 South Michigan Avenue, Chicago, Illinois 60603, filed in Docket No. CP77-158 an application pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act to amend the Commission's order, issued January 28, 1977, in said docket so as to authorize Natural to transport an ethane-propane stream for Commonwealth Natural Gas Company (Commonwealth), all as more fully set forth in the application to amend which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

The order of January 28, 1977, granted Natural a temporary certificate authorizing it to transport a combined ethanepropane stream for a period not to exceed 60 days for an existing customer, The Peoples Gas Light and Coke Company (Peoples). Natural states that it is now advised by Peoples that as a result of improved weather in the Midwest and the resolution of operating problems at Peoples' Manlove Field, Peoples' supply situation has improved substantially and that Peoples has been able to lift its curtailments to priority 2 industrial customers. It is indicated that while reductions in levels of deliveries are continuing to other industrial customers, the extreme emergency situation which existed on Peoples' system on January 28, 1977, has now been to some extent alleviated. It is stated that as a result, Peoples is agreeable to having Commonwealth assume its right to purchase from Mid-America Pipeline Company (Mid-America) the subject liquids for the balance of the 60-day period.

Accordingly, it is now proposed that 10,000 barrels per day of ethane-propane, equivalent to approximately 30,000 Mcf of gas per day at 1,000 Btu's per cubic foot, would be transported by Natural, Columbia Gulf Transmission Company (Columbia Gulf), and Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation (Columbia Gas) for Commonwealth in lieu of the transportation previously authorized for Peoples. Under the proposal, Natural would continue to receive approximately 10,000 barrels of liquids per day at the Beaver County, Oklahoma, delivery point. It is indicated that with respect to liquids received by Commonwealth on equivalent quantities of natural gas less 5 percent for fuel to Columbia Gulf at Texaco's Henry Plant, Erath, Louisiana; and Columbia Gulf would transport gas received from Natural and deliver such gas to Columbia Gas for transportation and redelivery to Commonwealth, an existing customer of Columbia Gas. Natural states that it would charge 30.0 cents per million Btu's for transportation and would retain 5 percent for compressor fuel. Natural further states that it would also charge Commonwealth for its pro rata share of the cost of the facilities constructed by Natural to receive the liquids. It is indicated that transportation would be on a best-efforts basis and would be accomplished with existing facilities

The application indicates that the subject proposal would assist Commonwealth in alleviating emergency conditions on its system.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on before February 28. 1977, file with the Federal Power Commission. Washington. D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10), All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

> KENNETH F. PLUMB, Secretary.

[FE Doc.77-5580 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

[Decket No. RP77-35]

### SENATOR HOWARD METZENBAUM AND COLUMBIA GAS TRANSMISSION CORP.

Notice of Complaint

FEBRUARY 17, 1977.

Take notice that on February 11, 1977. Senator Howard M. Metzenbaum (Complainant), United States Senate, Washington, D.C. 20510, filed in Docket No. RP77-35 a complaint pursuant to § 1.6 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.6) and section 5 of the Natural Gas Act requesting the Commission to issue an order directing Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation (Columbia) to show cause why it should not be prohibited from passing on to the consumers additional costs incurred by reason of its own errors in judgment, all as more fully set forth in the complaint on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

In is stated that on September 27, 1976, Columbia notified its wholesale customers that its October curtailment plan, amounting to 21,200,000 Mcf, was being

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The instant application is a telegraphic request. Applicant indicates that it will file a formal application for a permanent certificate under Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act and § 2.79 of the Commission's General Policy and Interpretations (18 CFR 2.79).

cancelled, based on Columbia's opinion that it had a surplus of gas reserves in storage on October 1, 1976, and upon a forecast for a mild winter. Complainant states that as a result of the decision of lift curtailment, Columbia sold an excess 20,700,000 Mcf of gas reserves and that much of such gas was sold to industrial users which had ready access to alternative fuel sources. Complainant further states that by reason of this sale, and a pre-existing shortfall in its reserves as of November 1, 1976, Columbia was approximately 24,000,000 Mcf below the shortage level considered necessary to begin the peak winter season.

Complainant asserts that the impact of Columbia's actions stated above is being felt by Columbia Gas of Ohio (Columbia Gas) which announced in late January that Ohio industries and schools must close in order to conserve scarce gas supplies, which closings are expected to continue until temperatures moderate. Columbia Gas, it is further asserted, has announced strict curtailment plans for the remainder of the winter season which could affect thousands of Ohio industries.

It is stated that on January 11, 1977, Columbia received approval from the Commission to enter into the intrastate gas market to purchase deficit supplies for delivery to its distribution customers at a cost of approximately two to four times the price of interstate gas customarily purchased. Complainant states that he has serious doubts as to the wisdom of Columbia's decision to sell off substantial shortage reserves prior to the peak winter season, and maintains that these acts, at the very least, constitute poor business judgment, the effects of which should not be paid for by the consumers. Complainant, therefore, proposes that the Commission issue an order to Columbia to show cause why it should not be prohibited from passing on to the consumers such additional costs.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said complaint should on or before February 28, 1977, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

> KENNETH F. PLUMB, Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-5581 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

[Docket No. CP76-241]

### TRANSCONTINENTAL GAS PIPE LINE CORP.

Notice of Petition To Amend Order

FEBRUARY 17, 1977.

Take notice that on February 10, 1977, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation (Petitioner), P.O. Box 1396, Houston, Texas 77001, filed in Docket No. CP76-241 a petition to amend the Commission's order issued May 24, 1976, in Docket No. CP76-241 (55 FPC pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act and Section 2.79 of the Commission's General Policy and Interpretations (18 CFR 2.79) so as to authorize the transportation and delivery of up to 680 Mcf of natural gas per day to Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation (Texas Eastern) for the account of Nabisco, Inc. (Nabisco), all as more fully set forth in the petition to amend which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Petitioner states that it is currently authorized for a two-year period to transport and deliver up to 1,125 Mcf of natural gas per day to Public Service Electric and Gas Company (PSE&G) and Commonwealth Natural Gas Corporation (CNGC) for Nabisco's ac-count. It is stated that gas is delivered into Petitioners pipeline in Pointe Coupee Parish, Louisiana, and transported and delivered to PSE&G and CNGC at existing points of delivery for utimate delivery to Nabisco's plants in Fairlawn, New Jersey, and Richmond, Virginia. It is further stated that Petitioner collects a charge of 22 cents per Mcf for all quantities transported and delivered to PSE&G and CNGC for Nabisco's account and retains 3.8 percent of the volumes received for transportation to CNGC and 4.4 percent of the volumes received for transportation to PSE&G as make-up for compressor fuel and line loss.

Petitioner now proposes to transport and deliver up to 680 Mcf of the 1,125 Mcf presently authorized to be transported, to Texas Eastern for Nabisco's account for ultimate delivery to Nabisco facilities located in Philadelphia, Penn-

On January 27, 1977, Transco filed a petition to amend the authorization granted on May 24, 1976, in order to permit a portion of Nabisco's gas to be redirected to Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America for ultimate delivery to Nabisco's bakery in Chicago, Illinois. That petition to amend was granted on a temporary basis on January 28, 1977. On February 4, 1977, Transco filed a second petition to amend further the authorization in order to permit a portion of Nabisco's gas to be redirected to Texas Eastern Transmis sion Corporation and National Fuel Supply Corporation for ultimate delivery to Nabisco's facilities in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, Buffalo and Niagara Falls, New York. That petition to amend was granted on a temporary basis on February 4, 1977.

sylvania. It is asserted that Nabisco's Philadelphia Bakery is presently experiencing 100 percent curtailment of supplies available for Priority 2 purposes.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said petition to amend should on or before February 28, 1977, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

> KENNETH F. PLUMB, Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-5579 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

## FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM STANDARD PRUDENTIAL CORP.

Order Granting Determination Under Bank Holding Company Act

Standard Prudential Corporation ("Standard"), New York, New York, a bank holding company within the meaning of section 2(a) of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended (12 U.S.C. 1841(a)) ("Act"), has requested a determination, pursuant to section 2(g) (3) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1841(g) (3)), that Standard is not, in fact, capable of controlling Uintah National Corporation ("Uintah"), Salt Lake City. Utah, notwithstanding the fact that Uintah is indebted to Standard, in connection with Standard's indirect sale of 53 percent of the issued and outstanding voting shares of Talcott National Corporation ("Talcott"), New York, New York, to Uintah.

Under the provisions of section 2(g) (3) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1841(g) (3)), shares transferred after January 1, 1966, by any bank holding company to a transferred that is indebted to the transferor or has one or more officers, directors, trustees, or beneficiaries in common with or subject to control by the transferor, are deemed to be indirectly owned or controlled by the transferor unless the Board, after opportunity for hearing, determines that the transferor is not in fact capable of controlling the transferee.

Standard has submitted to the Board evidence to support its contention that Standard does not in fact control Uintah.

Notice of an opportunity for hearing with respect to Standard's request for a determination under section 2(g)(3) was published on August 30, 1976 (41 FR 36552 (1976)). The time provided for requesting a hearing has expired. No such request has been received by the Roard

It is hereby determined that Standard is not, in fact, capable of controlling Uintah. This determination is based upon the evidence of record in this matter, including the following facts.

Standard has no common directors, officers, or shareholders with Uintah. While the total purchase price of the 1.6 million Talcott shares that were transferred was \$6.9 million, \$5.6 million of that price was paid by Uintah from funds borrowed from a consortium of six banks unrelated to Standard. Standard holds a \$1.3 million subordinated note for the remainder. However, that note will not become due until one year after the \$5.6 million loan is paid to the consortium of banks. The note becomes due, assuming scheduled payments are made on the bank debt, June 30, 1982. At that point, the note becomes guaranteed by individual shareholders of Uintah.

Uintah does not now own any incomeproducing assets (Talcott currently being prohibited by a revolving credit agree-ment with 127 banks from paying dividends on its common stock until 1978). A default on the \$1.3 million note would not necessarily return the shares to Standard because none of the 1.6 million shares is pledged to Standard or its subsidiaries, nor does Standard or any of its subsidiaries have any lien or security interest in the shares. Sterling National Bank and Trust Company of New York ("Sterling"), a subsidiary of Standard, is an indirect participant in the aforementioned revolving credit agreement. However, Sterling's participation is less than .5 percent of the line and any voting rights Sterling may receive in the event of a default on the agreement would be insignificant.

Accordingly, it is ordered. That the request of Standard for a determination pursuant to section 2(g)(3) be and hereby is granted. Any material change in the facts or circumstances relied upon by the Board in making this determination could result in the Board reconsidering the determination made herein.

By order of the Board of Governors, acting through its Acting General Counsel, pursuant to delegated authority (12 CFR 265.2(b) (1)), effective February 17, 1977.

GRIFFITH L. GARWOOD, Deputy Secretary of the Board, [FR Doc.77-5892 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

## FEDERAL OPEN MARKET COMMITTEE Domestic Policy Directive of January 17–18, 1977

In accordance with § 271.5 of its rules regarding availability of information, there is set forth below the Committee's

Domestic Policy Directive issued at its meeting held on January 17-18, 1977.

The information reviewed at this meeting suggests that growth in real output of goods and services slowed somewhat further in the fourth quarter, mainly because of a sharp decline in the rate of inventory accumulation. In December retail sales increased sharply, following strong gains in the pre-ceding 2 months. Industrial production and total employment rose further, and the unemployment rate declined from 8.1 to 7.9 percent. The wholesale price index for all commodities rose substantially, reflecting a sharp increase in average prices of farm products and foods; the rise in average prices of industrial commodities slowed, owing largely to declines in prices of fuels. The advance in the index of average wage rates over recent months has remained below the rapid rate of increase during 1975.

The average value of the dollar against leading foreign currencies declined in December but has since recovered somewhat. The pound sterling strengthened following negotiation of an IMF standby arrangement and of a medium-term facility to offset reductions in official sterling balances. In November the U.S. foreign trade deficit increased, bringing the October-November average deficit to about the third-quarter rate.

M-1, which was unchanged in November, expanded appreciably in December: from the third to the fourth quarter growth in M-1 was moderate. Inflows of the time and savines deposits included in M-2 and M-3 were almost as large in December as in November, and erowth in these broader aggregates was substantial. Interest rates changed little in late December but recently have moved up.

In light of the foregoing developments, it is the policy of the Federal Open Market Committee to foster financial conditions that will encourage continued economic expansion while resisting inflationary pressures and contributing to a sustainable pattern of intrinational transactions.

To implement this policy, while taking account of developments in domestic and international financial markets, the Committee seeks to achieve bank reserve and money market conditions consistent with moderate growth in monetary aggregates over the period ahead.

By order of the Federal Open Market Committee, February 18, 1977.

> ARTHUR L. BROIDA, Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-5594 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

### DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Food and Drug Administration

[Docket No. 77N-0048]

### LAETRILE

**Administrative Rule Making Hearing** 

Correction

In FR Doc. 77-5245, appearing at page 10066 in the issue of Friday, February

The Record of Policy actions of the Committee for the meeting of January 17-18, 1977, is filed as part of the original document. Copies are available on request to the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551.

18, 1977, the docket number should read as set forth above.

### Health Services Administration

### ASSISTANCE UNDER PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE ACT PROJECT GRANTS FOR FAMILY PLANNING TRAINING

### Announcement of Availability of Grants

The Bureau of Community Health Services, Health Services Administration, announces that competitive applications for grants in fiscal year 1977 for general family planning training and nurse practitioner training awards are now being accepted. The grants are offered under the authority of section 1003(a), Title X, of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 300a-1). Section 1003(a) of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 300a-1) authorizes the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare to make grants to public or nonprofit private entities to provide training for personnel to carry out family planning service programs described in sections 1001 and 1002 of the Public Health Service Act (42 U.S.C. 300a).

Grants to eligible applicants may be made by the Secretary for projects:

To assist in the establishment and operation of those projects which will promote the purposes of Section 1003 of the Act, taking into account:

1. The extent to which the training program will increase the delivery of services to people, particularly low-income groups with a high percentage of unmet need for family planning services;

The extent to which the training program promises to fulfill the family planning services delivery needs of the area to be served, which may include among other

 (I) Development of a capability within family planning service projects to provide pre- and in-service training to their own staffs;

 (II) Improvement of the family planning services delivery skills of family planning health services personnel;

(III) Improvement in the utilization and career development of paraprofessional and paramedical manpower in family planning services;

(IV) Expansion of family planning services, particularly in rural areas, through new or improved approaches to program planning and deployment of resources.

3. The capacity of the applicant to make rapid and effective use of such assistance;

4. The administrative and management capability and competence of the applicant;

5. The competence of the project staff in relation to the services to be provided; and

6. The degree to which the project provides for the stipulated requirements as set forth in the Regulations (42 CFR 59.206(a)).

Application kits, including all necessary forms, instructions, and information relating to the grant application may be obtained (by written request) from, and completed applications returned to:

Grants Management Branch, Bureau of Community Health Services, Health Services Administration, Room 7A-39, Parklawn Building, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, Maryland 20857.

Consultation and technical assistance relative to development of an application are available from the staff of the Office for Family Planning, Room 7-49, Park-lawn Bullding, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, Maryland 20857.

Completed applications must be re-

ceived by April 1, 1977.

The amount available for family planning training grants under this an-nouncement is \$1,800,000.

Dated: February 14, 1977.

JOHN H. KELSO, Acting Administrator, Health Services Administration.

[FR Doc.77-5549 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

### National Institutes of Health ADVISORY COMMITTEES Notice of Meetings

Pursuant to Public Law 92-463, notice is hereby given of the meetings of committees advisory to the National Cancer Institute.

These meetings will be open to the public to discuss administrative details or other issues relating to committee business as indicated in the notice. Attendance by the public will be limited to

space available.

These meetings will be closed to the public as indicated below in accordance with the provisions set forth in Sections 552b(c)(4) and 552b(c)(6), Title 5, U.S. Code and Section 10(d) of Public Law 92-463, for the review, discussion and evaluation of individual contract proposals and grant applications, as indicated. The proposals and applications contain information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including detailed research protocols, designs, and other technical information; financial data, such as salaries; and personal information concerning individuals associated with the proposals and applications.

Mrs. Marjorie F. Early, Committee Management Officer, NCI, Building 31, Room 4B43, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland 20014 (301/ 496-5708) will furnish summaries of the meetings and rosters of committee members, upon request. Other information pertaining to the meeting can be obtained from the Executive Secretary indicated. Meetings are at the National Institutes of Health, 9000 Rockville Pike. Bethesda, Maryland 20014, unless other-

wise stated.

Name of committee: Committee on Cancer

Immunotherapy. Dates: March 17, 1977; 1:15 p.m.

Place: Building 10, Room 4B14, National Institutes of Health.

Times: Open-March 17, 1:15 p.m.-1:45 p.m.; closed-March 17, 1:45 p.m. to adjournment.

Closure reason: To review research contract proposals:

Executive secretary: Dr. George Steinberg; address: Building 10, Room 4B09, National Institutes of Health; phone: 301-496-1791.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance 13.395, National Institutes of Number Health.)

Name of committee: Cancer Control Intervention Programs, A Review Committee. Dates: March 17-18, 1977; 8:30 a.m.

Place: Building 31C, Conference Room 7, Na-tional Institutes of Health.

Times: Open: March 17, 8:30 a.m.-2:00 p.m.; closed: March 17, 2:00 p.m.-5:00 p.m., closed: March 18, 8:30 a.m.-adjournment. Closure reason: To review research contract

proposals.

Executive secretary: Dr. Carlos E. address: Blair Building, Room 7A07, National Institutes of Health: phone: 301/ 427-7945

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number 13.399, National Institutes of Health.)

Name of Committee: Committee on Cancer Immunodiagnosis.

Dates: March 20-23, 1977; 7:00 p.m.

Place: Landow Building, C-418, 7910 Woodmont Avenue, Bethesds, Maryland.

Times: Open: March 20, 7:00 p.m. -

p.m.; closed: March 20, 7:30 p.m. - 11:30 p.m.; closed: March 21, 8:30 a.m. - 11:30 p.m.; closed: March 22, 8:30 a.m. - 11:30 p.m.; closed; March 23, 8:30 a.m. - 11:30 p.m.

Closure reason: To review research contract

proposals. Executive secretary: Mrs. Judith M. Whalen; address: Building 10 Room 4B17, National Institutes of Health; phone: 301/496-1791.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number 13.394, National Institutes of Health A

Name of Committee: Developmental Therapeutics Committee.

Dates: March 24, 1977; 9:00 a.m.

Place: Blair Building Room 110, 8300 Colesville Road, Silver Spring, Maryland.

Times: Open: March 24, 9:00 a.m.-11:00 a.m.; agenda/open portion: General discussion of ongoing contracts involving drug metabolism, toxicology, pharmacology tumor cell biology; closed: March 24, 11:00 a.m.-adjournment.

Closure Reason: To review research contract proposals.

Executive secretary; Dr. J. A. R. Mead: address: Blair Building Room 5A03, National Institutes of Health; phone: 301/427-7263,

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance 13.395, National Institutes of Health.)

Name of committee: Joint Meeting of the Cancer Control Grant Review Committee and Cancer Control Community Activities Review Committee.

Dates: March 24-25, 1977; 8:30 a.m.

Building 31C, Conference Room 8. National Institutes of Health.

Times: Open: March 24, 8:30 a.m.-9:00 am.; closed: March 24, 9:00 a.m.-5:00 p.m.; closed: March 25, 8:30 a.m.-adjournment.

Closure reason: To review research grant ap-

plications and contract proposals.

Executive secretary: Dr. Robert Browning; address: Blair Building, Room 7A07, National Institutes of Health; phone: 301/ 427-7944

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assitance number 13.399, National Institutes of number

Name of committee: Cancer Center Sup-port Grant Review Committee. Dates: March 25-26, 1977; 8:30 a.m.

Place: Building 31C, Conference Room 6, National Institutes of Health.

Times: Open: March 25, 8:30 a.m.-10:00 a.m.; closed: March 25, 10:00 a.m.-6:00 p.m.; closed: March 26, 8:30 a.m.-adjournment.

Closure reason: To review research grant applications.

Executive secretary: Dr. Robert L. Manning: address: Room 803, Westwood Building, National Institutes of Health; phone: 301/ 498-7721.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance 13.397, National Institutes of Number Health.)

of committee; Drug Development Committee.

Dates: March 29, 1977; 9:00 a.m.

Place: Building 31C, Conference Room 7, National Institutes of Health. Times: Open: March 29, 9:00 a.m.-9:30 a.m.;

closed: March 29, 9:30 a.m.-adjournment Closure reason: To review research contract

proposals Executive secretary: Mrs. Naomi T. PitzGib-bon; address: Blair Building, Room 5A09, National Institutes of Health; phone:

301/427-7263 (Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance

Number 13.395, National Institutes of Health.) Name of committee: Committee on Cancer

Immunobiology.

Dates: March 29, 1977; 2:00 p.m. Place: Building 10, Room 4B14, National Institutes of Health.

Times: Open: March 29, 2:00 p.m.-2:30 p.m.; Closed: March 29, 2:30 p.m.-adjournment. Closure reason: To review research contract proposals.

Executive secretary: Mrs. Judith M. Whalen; address: Building 10, Room 4B17, National Institutes of Health; Phone: 301/496-1791.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance 13.396, National Institutes of Number Health.)

Name of committee: Virus Cancer Program Scientific Review Committee B.

Date: March 30, 1977, 9:00 a.m.

Place: Landow Building, C418, 7910 Woodmont Avenue, Bethesda, Maryland. Times: Open: March 30, 9:00 a.m.-9:30 a.m.;

closed: March 30, 9:30 a.m.-adjournment. Closure reason: To review research contract

Executive secretary: Dr. Wilna A. Woods, Acting; address: Landow Building, Room C306, National Institutes of Health; phone: 301/498-4533.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance 13.393, National Institutes of Number Health.)

Name of committee: Committee on Cancer

Immunotherapy, Dated: March 31, 1977; 1:15 p.m. Place: Building 10, Room 4B14, National Institutes of Health.

Times: Open: March 31, 1:15 p.m.-1:45 p.m.; closed: March 31, 1:45 p.m.-adjournment. Closure reason: To review research contract proposals.

Executive secretary: Dr. George M. Steinberg; address: Building 10, Room 4B09, National Institutes of Health; phone: 301/496-1791.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance 13.395, National Institutes of Number Health.)

Name of Committee: Clinical Trials Committee.

Dates: March 31, 1977-April 1, 1977, 8:30 a.m. Place: Building 31C, Conference Room 7, National Institutes of Health.

Times: Open: March 31, 8:30 a.m.-9:00 a.m., open: April 1, 8:30 a.m.-9:00 a.m.; closed: March 31, 9:00 a.m.-5:00 p.m.; closed: April 1, 9:00 a.m.-5:00 p.m.

Closure reason: To review research contract

Executive secretary: Dr. William P. McGuire III; address: Building 37, Room 6D28, Na-tional Institutes of Health; phone: 301/ 496-1774.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance 13.395, National Institutes of Number Health.)

Dated: February 10, 1977.

SUZANNE L. FREMEAU, Committee Management Officer, National Institutes of Health.

[FR Doc.77-5603 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

### DRUG DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE Meeting

Pursuant to Public Law 92-463, notice is hereby given of the meeting of the Drug Development Committee, National Cancer Institute, March 24, 1977, at the Catholic Medical Center of Brooklyn and Queens, Inc., 88-25 153rd Street, Jamaica, New York. This meeting will be open to the public on March 24, 1977, from 9:00 a.m. to 12:00 noon, to discuss and review progress to date and projected studies under contract CM6-7081 with Catholic Medical Center. Attendance by the public will be limited to space available.

In accordance with the provisions set forth in Section 552b(c) (6), Title 5, U.S. Code and Section 10(d) of Pub. L. 92-463, the meeting will be closed to the public on March 24, 1977, from 1:00 p.m. to adjournment for consideration of personnel qualifications and performance, the competence of individual investigators, and similar items, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

Mrs. Marjorie F. Early, Committee Management Office, NCI, Building 31, Room 4B43, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland 20014 (301/ 496-5708) will furnish summaries of the meeting and roster of committee members, upon request. Mrs. Naomi T. Fitz-Gibbon, Executive Secretary, Blair Building, Room 5A09, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland 20014 (301/ 427-7263) will furnish substantive program information.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Number 13.395 National Institutes of Health.)

Dated: February 15, 1977.

SUZANNE L. FREMEAU. Committee Management Officer National Institutes of Health.

[FR Doc.77-5602 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

### GENERAL RESEARCH SUPPORT PROGRAM ADVISORY COMMITTEE

### Meeting

Pursuant to Public Law 92-463, notice is hereby given of the meeting of the General Research Support Program Advisory Committee, Division of Research Resources, March 24-25, 1977, from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. in Building 31, Conference Room 9, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland 20014.

This meeting will be open to the public from 9 a.m. to 1:30 p.m. on March 24, 1977, to discuss administrative matters relating to the programs. Attendance by

able.

In accordance with provisions set forth in sections 552b(c)(4) and 552b(c)(6), Title 5, U.S. Code and Section 10(d) of Public Law 92-463, the meeting will be closed to the public on March 24, 1977 from 1:30 p.m. to 5:00 p.m., and on March 25, 1977 from 9 a.m. to adjournment for the review, discussion and evaluation of individual grant applications. The applications contain information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including detailed research protocols, designs, and other technical information; financial data, such as salaries; and personal information concerning individuals associated with the applications.

Mr. James Augustine, Information Officer, Division of Research Resources, National Institutes of Health, Building 31, Room 5B39, Bethesda, Maryland 20014, telephone AC 301 496-5545 will provide summaries of meetings and rosters of committee members. Dr. Dorothy F. Travis, Executive Secretary, General Research Support Program Advisory Committee, Building 31, Room 4B04, Bethesda, Maryland 20014, telephone AC 301 496-6743 will furnish substantive program information.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Pro-No. 12.345, National Institutes of Health.)

Dated: February 3, 1977.

SUZANNE L. FREMEAU, Committee Management Officer, National Institutes of Health.

[FR Doc.77-5601 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

### AGING REVIEW COMMITTEE Meeting

Pursuant to Pub. L. 92-463, notice is hereby given of the meeting of the Aging Review Comittee, National Institute on Aging, on March 28-29, 1977, in Building 31C, Conference Room 8, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland.

The meeting will be open to the public from 9:00 a.m. to 10:00 a.m. on March 28 for introductory remarks. Attendance by the public will be limited to space available.

In accordance with the provisions set forth in sections 552b(c)(4) and 552b(c) (6), Title 5, U.S. Code and section 10(d) of Pub. L. 92-463, the meeting will be closed to the public on March 28 from 10:00 a.m. to adjournment on March 29 for the review, discussion, and evaluation individual grant applications. The applications contain information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including detailed research protocols, designs, and other technical information; financial data, such as salaries; and personal information concerning individuals associated with the applications.

Mrs. Suzanna Porter, Committee Management Officer, NIA, Building 31, Room 4B63, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, Area Code 301, 496-5345, will provide summaries of meetings

the public will be limited to space avail- and rosters Committee members as well as substantive program information.

> (Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 13.866, National Institutes of

Dated: February 11, 1977.

SUZANNE L. FREMEAU. Committee Management Officer, National Institutes of Health.

[FR Doc.77-5613 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

### ARTERIOSCLEROSIS AND HYPERTENSION ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Pursuant to Pub. L. 92-463, notice is hereby given of the meeting of the Arteriosclerosis and Hypertension Advisory Committee, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, April 29-30, 1977, National Institutes of Health, Conference Room 7, Building 31, Bethesda, Maryland.

The entire meeting will be open to the public from 12:00 noon to 5:00 pm on Friday, April 29 and from 8:30 to 1:00 pm on Saturday, April 30, to evaluate program support in Arteriosclerosis and Hypertension. Attendance by the public will be limited to space available.

Mr. York Onnen, Chief, Public Inquiries and Reports Branch, NHLB7. Room 5A-03, Building 31, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland 20014, Phone (301) 496-4236, will provide summaries of the meeting and rosters of committee members.

Dr. Gardner C. McMillan, Associate Director for Etiology of Arterioscleros's and Hypertension Program, NHLB, Room C803, Landow Building, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Marylan 20014, Phone (301) 496-1613, will furnish substantive program information.

Dated: February 17, 1977.

SUZANNE L. FREMEAU. Committee Management Offcer, National Institutes of Health.

[FR Doc.77-5610 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

### ARTIFICIAL KIDNEY-CHRONIC UREMIA ADVISORY COMMITTEE

### Meeting

Pursuant to Pub. L. 92-463, notice is hereby given of the meeting of the Artificial Kidney-Chronic Uremia Advisory Committee, National Institute of Arthritis, Metabolism, and Digestive Diseases. March 15-16, 1977. The meeting will be held in Building 31, Conference Room 7, at the National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland.

This meeting will be open to the publie both days from 9 a.m. to 10 a.m. to discuss administrative reports. Attendance by the public will be limited to space available.

In accordance with the provisions set forth in sections 552b(c) (4) and 552b(c) (6), Title 5, U.S. Code and section 10 (d) of Pub. L. 92-463, the meeting will be closed to the public both days from 10 a.m. to 5 p.m. for the review, discussion and evaluation of individual contract proposals. The proposals contain information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including detailed research protocols, designs, and other technical information; financial data, such as salaries; and personal information concerning individuals associated with the proposals.

Messrs. James N. Fordham or Leo E. Treacy, Office of Scientific and Technical Reports. NIAMDD. National Institutes of Health, Building 31, Room 9A04, Bethesda, Maryland 20014, (301) 496–3583, will provide summaries of the meeting and rosters of the committee members.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 13.849, National Institutes of Health.)

Dated: February 3, 1977.

Suzanne L. Fremeau, Committee Management Officer, National Institutes of Health.

[FR Doc.77-5611 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

### BOARD OF SCIENTIFIC COUNSELORS

### Meeting

Pursuant to Pub. L. 92-463, notice is hereby given of the meeting of the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Board of Scientific Counselors, April 15 and 16, 1977, National Institutes of Health, Building 10, Room 7N214. This meeting will be open to the public from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. on April 15 for discussion of the general trends in research relating to cardiovascular, pulmonary and certain hematologic discases. Attendance by the public will be limited to space available.

In accordance with the provisions set forth in Section 552b(c) (6), Title 5, U.S. Code Section 10(d) of Pub. L. 92-463, the meeting will be closed to the public from the review, discussion, and evaluation of individual programs and projects conducted by the National Institutes of Health, including consideration of personnel qualifications and performance, the competence of individual investigators, and similar items, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

Mr. York Onnen, Chief, Public Inquiries and Reports Branch, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, Building 31, Room 5A03, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland 20014, phone (301) 496-4236, will provide summaries of the meeting and rosters of the Board members. Substantive program information may be obtained from Dr. Jack Orloff, Director, Division of Intramural Research, NHLBI, NIH Building 10, Room 7N214, phone (301) 496-2116.

Dated: February 15, 1977.

Suzanne L. Fremeau, Committee Management Officer, National Institutes of Health.

[FR-Doc.77-5608 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

### CLINICAL APPLICATIONS AND PREVENTION ADVISORY COMMITTEE

### Meeting

Pursuant to Pub. L. 92-463, notice is hereby given of the meeting of the Clinical Applications and Prevention Advisory Committee, Division of Heart and Vascular Diseases, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, March 22-23, 1977, Building 31, Conference Room 6, Bethesda Maryland.

This meeting will be open to the public on March 22 and 23, 1977, from 8:30 to 9:30 a.m., to discuss the current progress of the Multiple Risk Factor Intervention Trial. Attendance by the public will be

limited to space available.

In accordance with provisions set forth in sections 552b(c)(4) and 552b(c)(6), Title 5, U.S. Code and section 10(d) of Pub. L. 92-463, the meeting will be closed to the public on March 22 and 23, from 9:30 a.m. to adjournment, for the review, discussion and evaluation of individual contract renewal proposals. The proposals contain information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including detailed research protocols, designs, and other technical information; financial data, such as salaries; and personal information concerning individuals associated with the proposals.

Mr. York Onnen, Chief, Public Inquiries and Reports Branch, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, Building 31, Room 5A03, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, 20014, phone (301) 496-4236, will provide summaries of meetings and rosters of committee members. Dr. William J. Zukel, Executive Secretary of the Committee, Landow Building, Room C809, Bethesda, Maryland, 20014, phone (301) 496-2533, will furnish substantive program information.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 13.837, National Institutes of Health.)

Dated: February 3, 1977.

Suzanne L. Friemeau, Committee Management Officer, National Institutes of Health.

[FR Doc.77-5606 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

### CLINICAL TRIALS REVIEW COMMITTEE Meeting

Pursuant to Pub. L. 92-463, notice is hereby given of the meeting of the Clinical Trials Review Committee, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, April 3-4, 1977, at the National Institutes of Health, Building 31C, Conference Room 7.

This meeting will be open to the public from 8:30 a.m. to 9:00 a.m. on April 3, 1977, to discuss administrative details and to hear a report concerning the current status of the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute.

In accordance with the provisions set forth in sections 552b(c) (4) and 552b(c) (6). Title 5, U.S. Code and section 10(d) of Pub. L. 92-463, the meeting will be closed to the public on April 3, 1977 from 9:00 a.m. to adjournment and from 8:30

a.m. to adjournment on April 4, 1977, for the review, discussion and evrluation of individual contract proposals. The proposals contain information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including detailed research protocols, designs, and other technical information; financial data, such as salaries; and personal information concerning individuals associated with the proposals.

Mr. York Onnen, Chief, Public Inquiries and Reports Branch, NHLBI, National Institutes of Health, Building 31. Room 5A03, phone (301) 496-4236, will provide summaries of the meeting and rosters of the committee members. Dr. Fred P. Heydrick, Chief, Contract Review Section, Division of Extramural Affairs, NHLBI, Westwood Building, Room 548B, phone (301) 496-7363, will furnish substantive program information.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 13.839, National Institutes of Health)

Dated: February 11, 1977.

SUZANNE L. FREMEAU, Committee Management Officer, National Institutes of Health.

[FR Doc.77-5607 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

### COMMISSION FOR THE CONTROL OF HUNTINGTON'S DISEASE AND ITS CONSEQUENCES

### Meeting

Pursuant to Pub. L. 92-463, notice is hereby given of the meeting of the Commission for the Control of Huntington's Disease and Its Consequences, National Institute of Neurological and Communicative Disorders and Stroke, National Institutes of Health, on April 19, 1977, in the Community Center, Helenic Orthodox Church (Ascension Cathedral), 4610 East Alameda Avenue, Denver, Colorado 80222.

The entire meeting will be open to the public from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. subject to space available. The purpose of the meeting is to hear testimony from interested members of the public. Persons who wish to appear shall file a written statement or detailed summary of remarks with the Commission before 5:00 p.m. on April 12, 1977. The time allotted to each participant will be determined by the Commission based upon the number of individuals who request an opportunity to make presentations.

Requests to appear should be sent to:

Commission for the Control of Huntington's Disease and Its Consequences, National Institutes of Health, Building 31, Room 8A11, Bethesda, MD 20014.

Dr. Nancy S. Wexler, Executive Director, Commission for the Control of Huntington's Disease and Its Consequences, NIH, Building 31, Room 8A11, Bethesda, MD 20014 (301) 496–9275, will provide substantive program information.

Mrs. Ruth Dudley, Chief, Office of Scientific and Health Reports, NINCDS, Building 31, Room 8A02, Bethesda, MD 20014 (301) 496-5751, will provide summaries of the meeting and rosters of Commission members.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 13,852, National Institutes of Health.)

Dated: February 11, 1977.

SUZANNE L. FREMEAU. Committee Management Officer, National Institutes of Health.

[FR Doc.77-5616 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

### COMMISSION FOR THE CONTROL OF HUNTINGTON'S DISEASE AND ITS CON-SEQUENCES

#### Meeting

Pursuant to Pub. L. 92-463, notice is hereby given of the meeting of the Commission for the Control of Huntington's Disease and Its Consequences, National Institute of Neurological and Communicative Disorders and Stroke, National Institutes of Health, on April 12, 1977, in the Auditorium, Phalien's Community Mental Health Center, 8730 Alden Drive, Los Angeles, CA 90048.

The entire meeting will be open to the public from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. subject to space available. The purpose of the meeting is to hear testimony from interested members of the public. Persons who wish to appear shall file a written statement or detailed summary of remarks with the Commission before 5:00 p.m. on April 5, 1977. The time allotted to each participant will be determined by the Commission based upon the number of individuals who request an opportunity to make presentations. Requests to appear should be sent to:

Commission for the Control of Huntington's Disease and Its Consequences, National Institutes of Health, Building 31, Room 8A11, Bethesda, MD 20014.

Dr. Nancy S. Wexler, Executive Director, Commission for the Control of Huntington's Disease and Its Consequences, NIH, Building 31, Room 8A11, Bethesda, MD 20014 (301) 496-9275, will provide substantive program information.

Mrs. Ruth Dudley, Chief, Office of Scientific and Health Reports, NINCDS, Building 31, Room 8A02, Bethesda, MD 20014 (301) 496-5751, will provide summaries of the meeting and rosters of Commission members.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 13,852, National Institutes of Health)

Dated: February 11, 1977.

SUZANNE L. FREMEAU, Committee Management Officer National Institutes of Health.

[FR Doc.77-5615 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

### HEART, LUNG, AND BLOOD RESEARCH REVIEW COMMITTEE B

### Meeting

Pursuant to Pub. L. 92-463, notice is hereby given of the meeting of the Heart, and the Executive Secretary of the Com-

Lung, and Blood Research Review Committee B, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, March 11-12, 1977, Conference Room 8, Building 31, NIH Campus, Bethesda, Maryland.

This meeting will be open to the public on March 11, 1977, from 8:30 a.m. to approximately 9:30 a.m. to discuss administrative details and to hear reports concerning the current status of the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute and the Division of Lung Diseases. Attendance by the public will be limited

to space available.

In accordance with the provisions set forth in sections 552b(c) (4) and 552b(c) (6), Title 5, U.S. Code and section 10(d) of Pub. L. 92-463, the meeting will be closed to the public on March 11, 1977 from 9:30 a.m. until the adjournment on March 12, 1977, for the review, discussion and evaluation of individual grant applications. The applications contain information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including detailed research protocols, designs, and other technical information; financial data, such as salaries; and personal information concerning individuals associated with the application.

Mr. York E. Onnen, Chief, Public Inquiries and Reports Branch, NHLBI, NIH, Room 5A03, Building 31, Bethesda, Maryland 20014, phone (301) 496-4236, will provide summaries of the meeting and rosters of the committee members. Dr. Henry G. Roscoe, Executive Secretary, NHLBI, NIH, Room 554, Westwood Building, Bethesda, Maryland 20014, phone (301) 496-7917, will furnish substantive program information.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 13.837, 13.838, National Insti-tutes of Health)

Dated: February 3, 1977.

SUZANNE L. FREMEAU. Committee Management Officer National Institutes of Health.

### MATERNAL AND CHILD HEALTH RESEARCH COMMITTEE

### Meeting

Pursuant to Pub. L. 92-463, notice is hereby given of the meeting of the Maternal and Child Health Research Committee, National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, on March 31 and April 1, 1977, in the Landow Building, Room C-418, 7910 Woodmont Avenue, Bethesda, Maryland.

This meeting will be open to the public on March 31 from 9:00 a.m. to 10:30 a.m. to discuss items relative to the Committee's activities including announcements by the Director, Center for Research for Mothers and Children, the Chiefs, Human Learning and Behavior, Pregnancy and Infancy, and Developmental Biology and Nutrition Branches

mittee. Concept clearance for contract programs of the Center for Research for Mothers and Children will be discussed. Attendance by the public will be limited to space available.

In accordance with provisions set forth in sections 552b(c) (4) and 552b(c) (6), Title 5, U.S. Code and section 10(d) of Pub. L. 92-463, the meeting will be closed to the public on March 31 from 10:30 a.m. to adjournment on April 1 for the review, discussion and evaluation of individual grant applications. The applications contain information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including detailed research protocols, designs, and other technical information; financial data, such as salaries; and personal information concerning individuals assoclated with the applications.

Mrs. Marjorie Neff, Committee Management Officer, NICHD, Building 31, Room 2A-04, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, Area Code 301, 496-1848, will provide a summary of the meeting and a roster of committee members. Dr. Nancy F. Russo, Executive Secretary, Maternal and Child Health Research Committee, NICHD, Landow Building, Room C-717, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, Area Code 301, 496-5575, will furnish substantive program information

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 13.865, National Institutes of Health.)

Dated: February 11, 1977.

SUZANNE L. FREMEAU, Committee Management Officer National Institutes of Health.

[FR Doc.77-5614 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

### NATIONAL ARTHRITIS ADVISORY BOARD Meeting

Pursuant to Pub. L. 92-463, notice is hereby given of a meeting of the Na-Arthritis Advisory Board on tional March 25, 1977 (times below) in Conference Room 5051 in the North Building of Health, Education and Welfare, at 330 Independence Avenue, Southwest, Washington, D.C.

The entire meeting, which will be open to the public from 9:00 a.m. to 5 p.m., is being held to discuss the Board's organization and activities, and to initiate its evaluation of the implementation of the long-range plan to combat arthritis, formulated by the National Commission on Arthritis and Related Musculoskeletal Diseases. Attendance by the public will be limited to space available.

Messers, James N. Fordham or Leo E. Treacy, Office of Scientific and Technical Reports, NIAMDD, National Institutes of Health, Building 31, Room 9A04, Bethesda, Maryland 20014, (301) 496-3583, will provide summaries of the meeting and rosters of the committee members.

(Catalog of Pederal Domestic Assitance Program No. 13.846, National Institutes of Health.)

Dated: February 17, 1977.

Suzanne L. Fremeau, Committee Management Officer, National Institutes of Health.

[FR Doc.77-5612 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

### HIGH BLOOD PRESSURE WORKING GROUP

### Meeting

Notice is hereby given of the meeting of the High Blood Pressure Working Group sponsored by the National Heart, Lung. and Blood Institute, to be held on April 29, 1977 from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. in Conference Room 7, Building 31, "C" Wing, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland.

This meeting will be open to the public. Attendance by the public will be

limited to available space.

For detailed program information contact: Mr. Graham W. Ward, Program Coordinator, National High Blood Pressure Education Program, Room D126, Landow Building, National Institutes of Health, 9000 Rockville Pike, Bethesda, Maryland 20014, (301) 496-2311.

For the agenda, list of participants and meeting summary contact: Mr. York Onnen, Chief, Public Inquiries and Reports Branch, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, National Institutes of Health, 9000 Rockville Pike, Bethesda, Maryland 20014, Bullding 31, Room 5A-03, (301) 496-4236.

Dated: February 10, 1977.

Suzanne L. Fremeau, Committee Management Officer, National Institutes of Health.

[FR Doc.77-5609 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

### VISION RESEARCH PROGRAM PLANNING SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE NATIONAL AD-VISORY EYE COUNCIL

### Meeting

Pursuant to Pub. L. 92-463, notice is hereby given of the meeting of the Vision Research Program Planning Subcommittee of the National Advisory Eye Council, National Eye Institute, on Tuesday, March 15, 1977, National Institutes of Health, Building 31, Room 6A-21, Bethesda, Maryland.

The meeting will convene at 9:00 a.m., and will be open to the public until adjournment, approximately 4:00 p.m. The meeting will be devoted to review of the status of the subcommittee's draft report on vision research. Attendance by the public will be limited to space available.

Substantive information may be obtained from Mr. Julian Morris, Head Office of Scientific Reports and Program Planning, National Eye Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda,

Maryland 20014, Building 31, Room 6A-27, telephone (301) 496-5248.

Dated February 15, 1977.

Suzanne L. Fremeau, Committee Management Officer, National Institutes of Health.

[PR Doc.77-5604 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

### Office of the Assistant Secretary for Health MEETING

The National Commission for the Protection of Human Subjects of Biomedical and Behavioral Research will meet on March 11 and 12, 1977, in Conference Room 6, C Wing, Building 31, National Institutes of Health, 9000 Rockville Pike, Bethesda, Maryland. The meeting will convene at 9:00 a.m. each day and will be open to the public, subject to the limitations of available space. Topics identified in the mandate to the Commission under the National Research Act (Pub. L. 93-348), as amended, and the Health Research and Health Services Amendments of 1976 (Pub. L. 94-278), including research involving children, the Special Study (section 203 of Pub. L. 93-348), and the disclosure of research information, will be the agenda for this meeting.

Written materials of any length may be submitted to the Commission at any time. Requests for information should be directed to the Information Officer (301-496-7776), Room 125, Westwood Building, 5333 Westbard Avenue, Bethesda, Maryland 20016.

Dated: February 16, 1977.

MICHAEL S. YESLEY, Staff Director, National Commission for the Protection of Human Subjects of Biomedical and Behavioral Research.

[FR Doc.77-5642 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

Office of the Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation

[Contract No. HEW-100-77-0007]

ASSISTANCE IN PREPARATION OF A RE-PORT ON THE APPROPRIATENESS OF FEDERAL INTERAGENCY DAY CARE RE-QUIREMENTS (SECTION II)

### Contract Award

Pursuant to Section 606 of the Community Services Act of 1974, (Pub. I. 93-644) 42 USC 2946, this agency announces the award of Contract Number HEW-100-77-0007 to Mathematica Policy Research, Inc. 2101 L Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. for an analytical work entitled, "Preparation of a Report on the Appropriateness of Federal Interagency Day Care Requirements (Section II)". The purpose of this contract is to obtain analytical support to assist the HEW Committee assigned to evaluate the appropriateness of Federal day care requirements (FIDCR). The contract

provides for analytical support relating to the impact of FIDCR on children and their families. The estimated contract amount is \$59,924. The intended expiration date is July 10, 1977.

Dated: February 17, 1977.

Gerald Britten, Acting Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation.

[FR Doc,77-5643 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

[Contract No. HEW-100-77-0004]

ASSISTANCE IN PREPARATION OF A RE-PORT ON THE APPROPRIATENESS OF FEDERAL INTERAGENCY DAY CARE RE-QUIREMENTS (SECTIONS I AND III)

#### Contract Award

Pursuant to Section 606 of the Community Services Act of 1974, (Pub. L. 93-644) 42 USC 2946, this agency announces the award of Contract Number HEW-100-77-0004 to Abt Associates, Inc., 55 Wheeler Street, Cambridge, Massachusetts, for analytical work entitled, "Preparation of a Report on the Appropriateness of Federal Interagency Day Care Requirements (Sections I and III)". The purpose of this contract is to obtain analytical support to assist the HEW Committee assigned to evaluate the appropriateness of Federal day care requirements (FIDCR). The contractor will assist in the evaluation and analysis of information and field studies relating to: the role of governments; assessment of Federal and State administrative and management practices; and relationship of FIDCR to the market place. The estimated contract amount is \$59,997. The intended expiration date is June 21, 1977.

Dated: February 17, 1977.

GERALD BRITTEN, Acting Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation.

[FR Doc.77-5644 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

Office of Education
EDUCATIONAL BROADCASTING
FACILITIES PROGRAM

Closing Date for Acceptance of Applications and Amendments

Pursuant to the authority contained in Part IV of Title III of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended (47 U.S.C. 390-392; 394-395; 397-398) applications for grants are being accepted from eligible applicants under the Educational Broadcasting Facilities Program for the purpose of establishing, expanding, or upgrading noncommercial radio and television broadcast stations. Amendments to deferred applications accepted for filing in a previous fiscal year are also being accepted under the program.

Applications and amendments required to reactivate a previously accepted application under § 153.5(a) (2) of the program regulation (45 CFR 153.5(a) (2)) must be received by the U.S. Office of Education, Applications Control Center on or before April 25, 1977.

References hereinafter to "applications" refer both to applications and to required amendments for previously ac-

cepted applications.

A. Applications sent by mail. An application sent by mail should be addressed as follows: Office of Education, Application Control Center, 400 Maryland Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20202; Attention 13.413. An application sent by mail will be considered to be received on time by the Application Control Center if:

(1) The application was sent by registered or certified mail not later than April 20, 1977, as evidenced by the U.S. Postal Service postmark on the wrapper or envelope or on the original receipt from the U.S. Postal Service; or

(2) The application is received on or before April 25, 1977, by either the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, or the U.S. Office of Education mail rooms in Washington, D.C. In establishing the date of receipt, the Commissioner will rely on the time-date stamp of such mail rooms or other documentary evidence of receipt maintained by the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, or the U.S. Office of Education.

Hand delivered applications. An application to be hand delivered must be taken to the U.S. Office of Education Application Control Center, Room 5673. Regional Office Building Three, 7th and D Streets, S.W., Washington, D.C. Hand delivered applications will be accepted daily between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. Washington, D.C. time except Saturdays, Sundays, and Federal holidays. Applications for FY 77 funding consideration will not be accepted after

4:00 p.m. on the closing date.

C. Program information and forms. Eligible applicants are specified in § 153.4 of the proposed amendment to the program regulation referenced under paragraph D below. Applications submitted and found to be in accordance with 45 CFR Part 153 will be accepted for filing and a notice of such acceptance will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. Interested persons are given a 30-day comment period from the date of such notice of acceptance in which to file comments with the Commissioner of Education.

The amount of funds which is expected to be available in Fiscal Year 1977 for the Educational Broadcasting Facilities Program is \$14,000,000. Matching grants (up to 75 percent) to extend and improve the service of approximately 32 noncommercial television and 44 noncommercial radio stations are anticipated this fiscal year as well as the full funding of a limited number of logging recorders. This statement on the availability of funds is only an estimate and does not bind the Office of Education to any particular pattern of distribution, except as required by Part IV of Title III of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, appplicable regulations, and appropriation acts.

Further information and application forms may be obtained from the Educational Broadcasting Facilities Program, Bureau of Elementary and Secondary Education, Office of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW. (Regional Office Building #3, Room 3122A), Washington, D.C. 20202.

D. Application regulations. Awards made pursuant to this notice will be subject to (1) The Office of Education General Provisions Regulations (45 CFR Parts 100 and 100a) published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on November 6, 1973; and (2) The proposed regulation for the Educational Broadcasting Facilities Program published in the FEWERAL REGISTER on January 19, 1977 (42 FR 3792-3801) when it is republished in final form and becomes effective. (Applicants should prepare their applications in the light of the provisions in the proposed regu-

Also, applicants' attention is directed to rules and regulations of the Federal Communications Commission pertinent to the operation of noncommercial educational broadcasting stations which are referenced in 45 CFR 153.2(b).

(47 U.S.C. 390-392; 394-395; 397-398.)

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number 13.413, Educational Broadcasting Facilities (Public Broadcasting).)

Dated: February 17, 1977.

WILLIAM F. PIERCE. Acting Commissioner of Education.

[FR Doc.77-5654 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

### DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[CA 3872]

### CALIFORNIA

Proposed Withdrawal and Reservation of Lands

FEBRUARY 16, 1977.

The Forest Service, Department of Agriculture, on August 10, 1976, filed application, serial No. CA 3872, for the withdrawal of 10 acres of national forest lands from location and entry under the mining laws, but not from leasing under the mineral leasing laws, subject to valid existing rights. The lands will remain within the Eldorado National Forest and will be administered in accordance with applicable laws and regulations of the

The Forest Service desires these lands for the purpose of establishing a buffer zone and overflow camping to the existing 10 acre Middle Meadow Campground located 20 miles west of Foresthill, California.

On or before March 28, 1977, all persons who wish to submit comments, suggestions, or objections in connection with the proposed withdrawal may present their views in writing to the undersigned officer of the Bureau of Land Management.

Pursuant to section 204(h) of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976, notice is hereby given that an opportunity for a public hearing is afforded. All interested persons who desire to be heard on the proposed withdrawal must submit a written request for a hearing to the State Director, Bureau of Land Management, at the address shown below, by March 28, 1977. Upon determination by the State Director that a public hearing will be held, the time and place will be announced.

The Department of the Interior's regulations provide that the authorized officer of the Bureau of Land Management will undertake such investigations as are necessary to determine the existing and potential demands for the lands and their resources. He will also undertake negotiations with the applicant agency with the view of adjusting the application to reduce the area to the minimum essential to meet the applicant's needs, to provide for the maximum concurrent utilization of the lands for purposes other than the applicant's, and to reach agreement on the concurrent management of the lands and their resources.

The authorized office will also prepare a report for consideration by the Secretary of the Interior who will determine whether or not the lands will be withdrawn as requested by the applicant agency. The determination of the Secretary on the application will be published in the Federal Register. A separate notice will be sent to each interested party

of record.

The lands involved in the application

ELDORADO NATIONAL FOREST

MIDDLE MEADOWS ADMINISTRATIVE SITE

MOUNT DIABLO MERIDIAN

The area described aggregates 10 acres in El Dorado County, California.

All communications in connection with this withdrawal should be addressed to the undersigned officer of the Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior, Room E-2841 Federal Office Building, 2800 Cottage Way, Sacremento, California 95825.

> WALTER F. HOLMES, Chief, Branch of Lands and Minerals Operations.

[FR Doc.77-5619 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

[NM 29738]

### **NEW MEXICO** Application

FEBRUARY 15, 1977.

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to section 28 of the Mineral Leasing Act of 1920 (30 U.S.C. 185), as amended by the Act of November 16, 1973 (87 Stat. 576), Transwestern Pipeline Company has applied for one 6-inch natural gas pipeline right-of-way across the following land:

NEW MEXICO PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, NEW MEXICO

T. 19 S. R. 25 E.

Sec. 31, lot 4 and SE148W14.

This pipeline will convey natural gas across 0.244 miles of national resource land in Eddy County, New Mexico.

The purpose of this notice is to inform the public that the Bureau will be proceeding with consideration of whether the application should be approved, and if so, under what terms and conditions.

Interested persons desiring to express their views should promptly send their name and address to the District Manager, Bureau of Land Management, P.O. Box 1397, Roswell, New Mexico 88201.

> FRED E. PADILLA, Chief, Branch of Lands and Minerals Operations.

[FR Doc.77-5618 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

### Office of the Secretary CHARLES A. CAMPBELL

### Statement of Changes in Financial Interests

In accordance with the requirements of section 710(b) (6) of the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended, and Executive Order 10647 of November 28, 1955, the following changes have taken place in my financial interests during the past six months:

- (1) No change.
- (2) No change.
- (3) No change.
- (4) No change.

This statement is made as of February 10, 1977.

Dated: January 21, 1977.

CHARLES A. CAMPBELL.

[FR Doc.77-5553 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

### PAUL R. HEIM

### Statement of Changes in Financial Interests

In accordance with the requirements of section 710(b) (6) of the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended, and Executive Order 10647 of November 28, 1955, the following changes have taken place in my financial interests during the past six months:

- (1) No change.
- (2) No change. No change.
- (4) No change.

This statement is made as of February 14, 1977.

Dated: January 25, 1977.

PAUL R. HEIM.

[FR Doc.77-5554 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

### ROBERT L. HUFMAN

#### Statement of Changes in Financial Interests

In accordance with the requirements of section 710(b)(6) of the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended, and Executive Order 10647 of November 28, 1955, the following changes have taken place in my financial interests during the past six months:

- (1) No change.
- (2) No change
- (3) No change.
- (4) No change.

This statement is made as of February 9, 1977.

Dated: January 20, 1977.

ROBERT L. HUFMAN.

[FR Doc.77-5555 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

### JACK W. KEPNER

### Statement of Changes in Financial Interests

In accordance with the requirements of section 710(b)(6) of the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended, and Executive Order 10647 of November 28, 1955, the following changes have taken place in my financial interests during the past six months:

- (1) No change.
- (2) No change.
- (3) No change,
- (4) No change.

This statement is made as of February 14, 1977.

Dated: January 28, 1977.

JACK W. KEPNER.

IFR Doc.77-5556 Filed 2-23-77:8:45 am1

### ROBERT E. KERGER

### Statement of Changes in Financial Interests

In accordance with requirements of section 710(b) (6) of the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended, and Executive Order 10647 of November 28, 1955, the following changes have taken place in my financial interests during the past six months:

- No change.
- (2) No change.
- (3) No change.
- (4) No change.

This statement is made as of February 28, 1977.

Dated: February 3, 1977.

ROBERT E. KERGER.

(FR Doc.77-5557 Filed 2-23-77:8:45 am)

### OWEN A. LENTZ

### Statement of Changes in Financial Interests

In accordance with the requirements of section 710(b) (6) of the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended, and Executive Order 10647 of November 28, 1955, the following changes have taken place in my financial interests during the past six months:

(1) No change,

(2) Delete, Ohio Power Company, Add, Southern Bell Telephone.

- (3) No change.
- (4) No change.

This statement is made as of February 23, 1977.

Dated: January 27, 1977.

OWEN A. LENTZ.

[FR Doc.77-5558 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

### ROBERT R. McLAGAN

### Statement of Changes in Financial Interests

In accordance with the requirements of section 710(b) (6) of the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended, and Executive Order 10647 of November 28. 1955, the following changes have taken place in my financial interests during the past six months:

- (1) No change.
- (2) No change. (3) No change.
- (4) No change.

This statement is made as of February 13, 1977.

Dated: February 1, 1977.

ROBERT R. MCLAGAN.

[FR Doc.77-5559 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

### HARRY H. MOCHON, JR.

### Statement of Changes in Financial Interests

In accordance with the requirements of section 710(b) (6) of the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended, and Executive Order 10647 of November 28. 1955, the following changes have taken place in my financial interests during the past six months:

- (1) No Change.
- (2) Delete, Northeast Utilities. Delete. Tenneco Offshore. Add, NL Industries.
  - (3) No change.
  - (4) No change.

This statement is made as of February 14, 1977.

Dated: January 24, 1977.

HARRY H. MOCHON, Jr.

[FR Doc.77-5560 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

### WILLIAM K. PENCE

### Statement of Changes in Financial Interests

In accordance with the requirements of section 710(b) (6) of the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended, and Executive Order 10647 of November 28, 1955, the following changes have taken place in my financial interests during the past six months:

- (1) No change. (2) No change.
- (3) No change. (4) No change.

This statement is made as of February 23, 1977.

Dated: January 26, 1977.

WILLIAM K. PENCE.

[FR Doc.77-5561 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

### LEROY J. SCHULTZ

### Statement of Changes in Financial Interests

In accordance with the requirements of section 710 (b) (6) of the Defense Production Act of 1950, as amended, and Executive Order 10647 of November 28, 1955, the following changes have taken place in my financial interests during the past six months:

- (1) As a result of a stock split, I acquired ten additional shares of First National Bank of Anchorage since the last reporting date.
  - (2) No change,
  - (3) No change. (4) No change.

This statement is made as of February 23, 1977.

Dated: January 21, 1977.

LEROY J. SCHULTZ.

[FR Doc. 77-5562 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

### DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Attorney General

#### CITY OF BATON ROUGE AND PARISH OF EAST BATON ROUGE

Proposed Consent Decree in Action to Enforce Secondary Treatment Require-ments in NPDES Permit

In accordance with Departmental Polley, 28 CFR 50.7, 38 FR 19029, notice is hereby given that on February 4, 1977, a proposed consent decree in United States v. City of Baton Rouge, Louisiana, Parish of East Baton Rouge, and State of Louisiana was lodged with the United States Court for the Middle District of Louisiana. The proposed decree would require the defendants to continue their construction of secondary treatment plants in an expedited and bona fide effort to attain the construction completion date of April 13, 1978, and to submit certain reports concerning this construction to the Environmental Protection Agency and the United States Attorney on specified dates.

The Department of Justice will re-ceive for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of the notice written comments relating to the proposed consent decree. Coments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General of the Land and Natural Resources Division, Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20530, and should refer to United States v. City of Baton Rouge, Louisiana. et al. D.J. Ref. 90-5-1-1-696.

The proposed consent decree may be examined at the office of the United States Attorney, Federal Building and United States Courthouse, Room 130, 707 Florida Street, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70801; at the Region VI Office of the Environmental Protection Agency, Enforcement Division, 1600 Patterson Street, Dallas, Texas 75201; and at the Pollution Control Section. Land and Natural Resources Division of the Department of Justice, Room 2625, Ninth Street and Pennsylvania Avenue, Northwest, Washington, D.C. 20530. A copy of the consent decree may be obtained in person or by mail from the Pollution Control Section, Land and Natural Resources Division of the Department of Justice.

PETER R. TAFT, Assistant Attorney General, Land and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc.77-5621 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

#### **Antitrust Division** UNITED STATES v. PHILLIPS PETROLEUM CO.

**Proposed Consent Judgment and** Competitive Impact Statement Thereon

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. 16 (b) through (h) (APPA), that a proposed consent judgment and a competitive impact statement as set out below have been filed with the United States District Court for the Central District of California in Civil No. 75-974-HP, United States v. Phillips Petroleum Company, et al. Consenting to the proposed judgment are all defendants in the case, Phillips Petroleum Company; Douglas Oil Company of California; Powerine Oil Company; Fletcher Oil & Refining Company; Golden Eagle Refining Company, Inc.; and Macmillan Ring-Free Oil Company, Inc. The complaint in this case had alleged that the defendants had agreed to increase and fix the price of rebrand gasoline sold in the States of California, Washington, Oregon, Nevada, and Arizona.

The proposed consent judgment enjoins such agreements. It also enjoins defendants for a period of ten years from exchanging information or opinions regarding the future price of rebrand gasoline in these states except in the course of bona fide business negotiations. The competitive impact statement describes the anticipated effects of the proposed judgment on competition, and evaluates alternative relief proposals actually considered by the United States. Public comment is invited on or before April 11, 1977. Such comments and responses thereto will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER and filed with the Court. Comments should be directed to Dwight B. Moore, Chief, Los Angeles Office, Antitrust Division, Department of Justice, 1444 United States Court House. 312 North Spring Street, Los Angeles, California 90012.

Dated: February 9, 1977.

DONALD I. BAKER. Assistant Attorney General, Antitrust Division.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

United States of America, Plaintiff v. Phillips Petroleum Company; Douglas Oil Company of California; Powerine Oil Company; Fletcher Oil & Refining Company; Golden Eagle Refining Company, Inc.; and MacMil-lan Ring-Free Oil Company, Inc., Defendants.

Civil No. 75-974-HP. Filed: February 9, 1977.

### STIPULATION

It is stipulated by and between the undersigned parties, by their respective attorneys, that:

1. A Final Judgment in the form hereto attached may be filed and entered by the Court, upon the motion of any party or upon the Court's own Motion, at any time after compliance with the requirements of the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act (15 U.S.C. 16), and without further notice to any party or other proceedings, provided that plaintiff has not withdrawn its consent. which it may do at any time before the entry of the proposed Final Judgment by serving notice thereof on defendants and by filing that notice with the Court.

2. In the event plaintiff withdraws its consent or if the proposed Final Judgment is not entered pursuant to this Stipulation, this Stipulation shall be of no effect whatever and the making of this Stipulation shall not in any manner prejudice any consenting party

in any subsequent proceeding. Dated: February 9, 1977.

For the Plaintiff: Donald I. Baker, As-sistant Attorney General: William E. Swope, Raymond P. Hernacki, John Wilson, Attorneys, Department of Justice.

For the Defendants:

AGNEW, MILLER & CARLSON, THOMAS J. READY, MOLLY MUNGER, SULLIVAN & CROMWELL, JOHN W. DICKEY, CARROLL NEISEMAN, LEWIS J. OTTAVIANI, by Thomas J. Ready, Attorneys for De-fendant, Phillips Petroleum Company: MITCHELL, SCHMIDT, D'AMICO & MC-CABE, DONALD W. SCHMIDT, JOHN A. MITCHELL, by Donald W. Schmidt, Attorneys for Defendant, Fletcher Oil Refining Company; ADAMS, Duque & HAZELTINE, JOHN H. BRINSLEY, ROBERT M. MITCHELL, EUGENE K. O'SHEA, Attorneys for Defendant, Golden Eagle Refining Company, Inc.; Adams, Duque & Hazeltine, John H. Beinsley, Robert M. Mitchell, J. David Torin. Attorneys for Defendant, Macmillan Ring-Pree Oil Co., Inc., by John H. Brinsley, Kadison, Praelzer, Woodard, Quinn & Rossi, John J. Quinn, THOMAS J. McDERMOTT, JR., RICHARD WILLIAMS, by Richard T. Williams, Attorneys for Defendant, Powerine Oil Company; LATHAM & WATKINS, MAX L. GILLAM, MORRIS A. THURSTON, BRUCE R. MERRILL, by Max L. Gillam, Attorneys for Defendant, Douglas Oil Company of America.

UNITED STATES DESTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

United States of America, Piaintiff v. Phillips Petroleum Company; Douglas Oil Company of California; Powerine Oil Company; Fletcher Oil & Refining Company; Golden Eagle Refining Company, Inc.; and Macmillian Ring-Free Oil Company, Inc., Defendants.

Civil No. 75-974-HP. Filed: February 9, 1977.

Raymond P. Hernacki, Edwin D. Hausmann, Jonathan C. Gordon, Polly L. Frenkel Department of Justice, Antitrust Division, 1444 United States Court House, 312 North Spring Street, Los Angeles, California 90012, Telephone: (213) 688-2502, Attorneys for

Plaintiff. FINAL JUDGMENT

Plaintiff, United States of America, having filed its complaint herein on March 19, 1975. and plaintiff and the defendants, Phillips Petroleum Company; Douglas Oil Company of California; Powerine Oil Company; Fletcher Oil & Refining Company; Golden Eagle Refining Company, Inc.; and Macmillan Ring-Pree Oil Co., Inc., by their respective attorneys each having consented to the entry of this Final Judgment, without trial or adjudication of any issue of fact or law herein,

and without this Final Judgment constituting evidence or an admission by any party consenting hereto with respect to any such issue.

Now, therefore, before any testimony or evidence has been taken herein and without trial or adjudication of any issue of fact or law herein, and upon the consent of the parties hereto.

It is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed,

an follows:

This Court has jurisdiction of the subject matter hereof and of the parties hereto. The complaint states a claim upon which relief may be granted against the defendants and each of them under Section 1 of the Act of Congress of July 2, 1890, entitled "An Act to Protect Trade and Commerce Against Unlawful Restraints and Monopolies," com-monly known as the Sherman Act (15 U.S.C. I, as amended).

As used in this Final Judgment:

(a) "Person" shall mean any individual, partnership, firm, corporation, association, or other business or legal entity:

(b) "Rebrand gasoline" shall mean gaso-line sold for ultimate resale in service sta-tions under a trademark or brand name not owned or controlled by an oil refiner;

(c) "Price" shall mean the sum or figure charged, or any component thereof, including, but not limited to, any terms or conditions of sale, credit arrangements, price in-creases, percentage price increases, discounts;

(d) "Western area" shall mean the States of California, Oregon, Washington, Nevada, and Arizona.

The provisions of this Final Judgment applicable to any defendant shall apply only to each such defendant and to each of its officers, agents, employees, subsidiaries, successors, and assigns, and to all persons in active concert or participation with any of them who shall have received actual notice of this Pinal Judgment by personal service or otherwise but shall not apply to or be enforceable as to activities or agreements between or among a defendant and its parents, subsidiaries or commonly controlled affiliates. However, in the case of defendant Powerine Oil Company, business entities and trusts owned or controlled by Harry S. Rothschild, Harry R. Rothschild, Peter B. Rothschild, members of their families or former spouses or by any of them, directors of Powerine Oil Company, or individuals who own ten (10) percent or more of Powerine's voting stock shall be deemed to be affiliates of Powerine

TV

(A) The defendants are jointly and severally enjoined from entering into or maintaining any agreement, understanding, plan or program with any other supplier of pebrand gasoline:

(1) To fix, stabilize, or maintain the price of rebrand gasoline sold to any third person

in the Western area:

- (2) To stabilize or change price differen-tials between or among different grades of rebrand gasoline sold to any third person in the Western area; and
- (3) To limit or restrict supplies of rebrand gasoline to any third person in the Western
- (B) For a period of ten (10) years each defendant is enjoined from giving to or obtaining from, or agreeing to give or to obtain from, any other supplier of rebrand gasoline information or an opinion concerning the future price of rebrand gasoline in

the Western area except in connection with bona fide and arm's length negotiations of inter-refinery sales, exchange agreements, or sales to actual or potential purchasers of rebrand gasoline.

Each defendant shall for a period of five (5) years from the date of entry of this Pinal Judgment file semi-annually with this Court and the Department of Justice a statement by an officer or employee who had direct personal responsibility for the actual prices charged by the defendant for rebrand gasoline in the Western area. Such certification shall state that the prices charged for rebrand gasoline in the Western area during the reporting period were not established as a result of an agreement, understanding, plan or program with another supplier of rebrand gasoline.

For the purpose of determining or securing compliance with this Final Judgment and subject to any legally recognized privilege, from time to time:

(A) Duly authorized representative of the Department of Justice shall, upon written request of the Attorney General or of the Assistant Attorney General in charge of the Antitrust Division, and on reasonable notice to a defendant made to its principal office, be permitted:

(1) Access during office hours of such defendant to inspect and copy all books, ledgers, accounts, correspondence, memoranda and other records and documents in the possession or under the control of the defendant, who may have counsel present, relating to any of the matters contained in this Final Judgment; and

(2) Subject to the reasonable convenience of such defendant, and without restraint or interference from it, to interview officers, employees and agents of such defendant, who may have counsel present, regarding any

such matters.

(B) Upon written request of the Attorney General or the Assistant Attorney General in charge of the Antitrust Division made to a defendant's principal office, such defendant shall submit such written reports, under oath if requested, with respect to any of the matters contained in this Final Judgment as may be requested.

(C) No information or documents obtained the means provided in this Section VI shall be divulged by any representative of the Department of Justice to any person other than a duly authorized representative of the Executive Branch of the United States, except in the course of legal proceedings in which the United States is a party, or for the purpose of securing compliance with this Final Judgment, or as otherwise required by

If at the time information or documents are furnished by a defendant to plaintiff, said defendant represents and identifies in writing the material in any such information or documents which is of the type described in Rule 26(c) (7) of the Pederal Rules of Civil Procedure, and said defendant marks each pertinent page of such material, "Subject to claim of protection under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure," then 10 days notice shall be given by plaintiff to such defendant prior to divulging such material in any legal proceeding (other than a Grand Jury proceeding) to which that defendant is not a party.

VII

Within thirty (30) days after the date of entry of this Final Judgment, each defendant is ordered and directed to deliver a copy of this Final Judgment to its directors, officers, regional & local managers in the

Western area, and any other persons who have pricing responsibility in connection with the sale of rebrand gasoline in the Western area, Within sixty (60) days after the date of entry of this Final Judgment, each defendant shall file an Affidavit of Compliance with the Court, copy to plaintiff's counsel, reciting the steps taken to comply with the provisions of this section.

VIII

Jurisdiction of this cause is retained for the purpose of enabling any of the parties to this Final Judgment to apply to this Court at any time for such further orders and directions as may be necessary or appropriate in relation to the construction of any of the provisions thereof, and for the purpose of the enforcement of compliance therewith and the punishment of violations thereof.

IX

Entry of this Judgment is in the public interest Dated:

United States District Judge.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

United States of America, Plaintiff, Company of California; Powerine Oil Com-Company of California; Powerline Oil Company; Fletcher Oil & Refining Company; Golden Eagle Refining Company, Inc.; and MacMillan Ring-Free Oll Company, Inc., Defendants.

Civil No. 75-974-HP. Filed: February 9, 1977.

COMPETITIVE IMPACT STATEMENT

This Competitive Impact Statement is filed with the Court and published in the PEDERAL REGISTER pursuant to the requirements of the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, U.S.C. 16 (b) through (h). The United States and all the defendants in this action have filed with the Court a proposed Consent Judgment to settle this litigation.

NATURE AND PURPOSE OF THE PROCEEDINGS

This action was brought under the Sherman Act to enjoin and prevent continuation of an alleged conspiracy among the defendants to fix and stabilize the price of rebrand gasoline in the western area of the United States. The Complaint also seeks such other and different relief as may appear just and proper.

II

DESCRIPTION OF THE COMPLAINT

The Complaint in this action, filed March 19, 1975, alleges that the defendants unlawfully combined and conspired to fix prices on rebrand gasoline in the western area of the United States during 1970 and 1971. Named as defendants are Phillips Petroleum Company; Douglas Oil Company of California; Powerine Oil Company; Fletcher Oil & Refining Company, Inc.; Golden Eagle Refining Company, Inc.; and Macmillan Ring-Free Oil Company, Inc. Rebrand gasoline is defined to mean "gasoline sold for resale in service stations under a trademark or brand name not owned or controlled by an oil refiner." The western area, as defined in the Complaint, covers the states of Cali-fornia, Oregon, Washington, Nevada, and Arizona. In 1970 the defendants sold more than eight hundred million gallons of rebrand gasoline in the western area with a wholesale value in excess of \$90 million. The defendants have previously pleaded no contest to criminal charges with respect to this alleged conspiracy.

### DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED CONSENT JUDGMENT

proposed Consent Judgment defines rebrand gasoline and western area as they are defined in the Complaint. The Judgment is applicable to each of the defendants "and to each of its officers, agents, employees, subsidiaries, successors, and assigns." It does not apply to activities and agreements between a defendant, and its parents, subsidiaries, and affiliates. Because of the complex ownership of business entitles controlled by the family members who own defendant Powerine, a special provision is included defining these businesses as "amliates," In this regard, businesses or trusts owned or controlled by an individual-a living human being—who owns more than ten percent of Powerine's stock are also deemed to be affiliates for purposes of the Judgment only. A letter from counsel for Powerine contains the representations regarding these ownership interests. This document is available for inspection and copying as noted hereafter. The United States concluded that because of the wide variety of forms of business organization and ownership interests, it was not feasible to deal with this problem in a provision with general applicability. We concluded that these businesses were, for most purposes, operated as a single commercial enterprise and, therefore, agreements and activities among them should not be subject to the provisions of this Judgment

Section IV enjoins renewal of the type of conspiracy alleged in the Complaint. Specifically, Section IV(A) enjoins each defendant from entering into an agreement or understanding with any other supplier of rebrand gasoline to fix prices of rebrand gasoline sold to any third person in the western area, to fix or stabilize price differentials of rebrand gasoline sold to any third person in the western area, or to limit sales of rebrand gasoline sold to any third person in the western area. These injunctions run perpetually.

Section IV(B) of the proposed Consent Judgment enjoins each of the defendants for a period of ten (10) years from giving to or obtaining from any other supplier of rebrand gasoline an opinion or information concerning the future price of rebrand gasoline in the western area except in connection with the negotiation of bona fide business transactions.

Section V requires that each defendant file, for a period of five (5) years, affidavits of persons having responsibility for pricing rebrand gasoline in the western area stating the company's compliance with the injunctions of Section IV.

Section VI provides methods for determining each defendant's compliance with the terms of the proposed Judgment. Officers, employees and agents of each defendant may be interviewed by duly authorized representatives of the Department of Justice regarding the defendant's compliance with the Judgment. Also, the Government is given access, upon reasonable notice, to the records of the defendants in order to examine them for possible violations of the Judgment. Reports on matters contained in the Judgment may also be required.

Section VII of the proposed Judgment requires defendants to deliver copies of the Judgment to certain key employees, as well as to officers and directors. Section VIII provides for retention of jurisdiction by the Court.

It is the opinion of the Department of Justice that the proposed Consent Judgment provides fully adequate provisions to precent continuance or recurrence of the violation of the antitrust laws charged in the com-

plaint. In the Department's view, disposition of the lawsuit without further litigation is appropriate since the proposed Judgment provides the relief which the Government sought in its Complaint; the additional expense of litigation would therefore not result in additional public benefit.

#### IV

#### ALTERNATIVE RELIEF PROPOSALS ACTUALLY CONSIDERED BY THE UNITED STATES

The prayer for relief in this action seeks a perpetual injunction against each of the defendants enjoining them from continuing or reviving any conspiracy, plan, program or understanding with "a similar purpose or effect." The purpose and effect of the conspiracy alleged in the complaint was to fix the price of rebrand gasoline in the western area, and the proposed Judgment enjoins such activities. In addition, the prayer for relief seeks "such other and different" relief as may appear "just and proper." The injunctions contained in Sections IV (B) and (V) are responsive to this prayer; but in addition the Government considered the following types of additional relief:

The Department of Justice considered insisting upon relief applicable to "automotive gasoline" rather than to rebrand gasoline. Each of the defendants is a refiner which sells gasoline, not only to rebranders but also through its own service stations. Since the allegations of the Complaint, however, relate only to rebrand gasoline, and since the Government did not develop evidence of price fixing with respect to gasoline other than reband gasoline, the Department concluded that limiting the relief to apply only to re-

brand gasoline was appropriate in this case.

The Department of Justice also considered insisting upon relief which applied to the rebrand gasoline anywhere in the United States. Such relief, however, was not deemed necessary in this case. Five out of the six defendants—Douglas, Powerine, Fletcher, Golden Eagle and Macmillan and Ring-Freeoperate only in the western area. Since it sold its separate Tidewater Division, Phillips operates primarily outside the western area, and the facts in this case did not indicate involvement by Phillips' personnel whose responsibilities extend outside the western area. Similarly, there was no evidence of participation by officials of Continental Oil Company, the nationwide marketer which is the parent corporation of Douglas. Under these circumstances, the Government concluded that limiting the relief to the area where the conspiracy occurred provides an adequate remedy for the violation and a sufficiently strong safeguard against its recurrence.

Section IV(B) of the Judgment enjoins the giving or obtaining from a supplier of rebrand gasoline information or an opinion regarding the future price of rebrand gasoline in the western area. An exception is made for negotiations in connection with certain bona fide business transactions among the defendants. Consideration was given to expanding this provision to enjoin exchange of information or opinions as to current or past prices of rebrand gasoline. Consideration was also given to enjoining giving or receiving information or opinions with respect to the supply of rebrand gasoline. In view of the numerous legitimate sale and exchange transactions among the companies, however, it was felt that such prohibitions would provide no genutne relief.

Section V of the Judgment requires that persons "\* \* \* who have direct personal responsibility for establishing the prices charged by the defendant for rebrand gasoline in the western area," file certifications. The Government considered requiring such certifications with respect to every price

change and every quotation of a price for rebrand gasoline. However, since these changes and quotations amount to many thousands per year, it was apparent that no useful purpose would be served by this repetitious paperwork. Consideration was also given to who should make the certifications was felt that the highest officials of the defendant companies might not have any real knowledge of the facts, and salesmen who actually book the orders probably have very little discretion or authority in pricing. Thus the formulation provided for in the Judgment is deemed to put the responsibility on the person with knowledge and authority. The Government withdrew its proposal that a defendant be barred from continuing to employ any person found to have made a false affidavit under this provision, since upon consideration the preposal appeared unneccessarily severe.

#### v

### REMEDIES AVAILABLE TO POTENTIAL PRIVATE PLAINTIPPS

Any potential private plaintiffs who might have been damaged by the alleged violations will retain the same right to sue for monetary damages and any other legal and equitable remedies which they would have had were the proposed consent decree not entered. However, this Judgment may not be used as prima facie evidence in private litigation pursuant to Section 5(a) of the Clayton Act, as amended, 15 U.S.C. 16(a).

#### VI

### PROCEDURES AVAILABLE FOR MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

The proposed Final Judgment is subject to a Stipulation by and between the United States and the defendants, which provides that the United States may withdraw its consent to the proposed Final Judgment until the Court has found that entry of the proposed Judgment is in the public interest. By its terms, the proposed Judgment provides for retention of jurisdiction of this action in order, among other things, to permit any of the parties thereto to apply to the Court for such orders as may be necessary or appropriate for its modification.

As provided by the "Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act," any person wishing to comment on the proposed Judgment may do so during a sixty (60) day period by submitting such comments in writing to Dwight B. Moore, Chief, Los Angeles Field Office, Antitrust Division, Department of Justice, 1444 United States Court House, 312 North Spring Street, Los Angeles, California 90012. Such comments, together with responses thereto, will be filed with the Court and published in the FEWERAL REGISTER. The Department of Justice will evaluate such comments and determine whether there is any reason for withdrawal of its consent to the proposed Final Judgment.

Section 2(b) of the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. 15(b), requires the United States to file with the Court and make available for inspection and copying "materials and documents which considered determinative in formulating such proposal \* \* \*." The United States is therefore filing copies of a letter from Richard T. Williams (counsel for Powerine Oil Company), dated July 14, 1976, which letter described the numerous businesses owned or controlled by the principal owners of Powerine, members of their families, and former spouses, which are operated for most purposes as a single business enterprise. The proposed consent Judgment does not adopt the language suggested in attachment (B) to this letter. The representations in the letter

were, however, determinative on the point that a special provision was required to deal with problems raised by this multiplicity of business organizations and ownership arrangements.

No other materials or documents are considered to have been determinative in formulating the proposed Consent Judgment,

Dated: February 9, 1977.

RATMOND P. HERNACKI,
Assistant Chief,
Los Angeles Field Office.
EOWIN D. HAUSMANN,
Attorney,

Department of Justice.
JOHN L. WILSON,
Attorney,

Department of Justice.

[FR Doc.77-5622 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

### NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES

RESEARCH GRANTS PANEL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Meeting

FEBRUARY 10, 1977.

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463) notice is hereby given that a meeting of the Research Grants Panel will be held at 806 15th Street, N.W., in Room 1130, Washington, D.C. from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. on March 10 and 11, 1977.

The purpose of the meeting is to review applications to the Centers of Research Programs for national archival projects submitted to the National Endowment for the Humanities for projects beginning after August 1, 1977.

Because the proposed meeting will consider financial information and personnel and similar files the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy, pursuant to authority granted me by the Chairman's Delegation of Authority to Close Advisory Committee Meetings, dated August 13, 1973, I have determined that the meeting would fall within exemptions (4) and (6) of 5 U.S.C. 552(b) and that it is essential to close the meeting to protect the free exchange of internal views and to avoid interference with operation of the Committee.

It is suggested that those desiring more specific information contact the Advisory Committee Management Officer, Mr. John W. Jordan, 806 15th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20506, or call area code 202–382–2031.

John W. Jordan, Advisory Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc.77-5567 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

RES ARCH GRANTS PANEL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Meeting

FEBRUARY 10, 1977.

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463) notice is hereby given that a meeting of the Research Grants Panel will be held at 806 15th Street, N.W., in

Room 1130, Washington, D.C. from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. on March 14, 1977.

The purpose of the meeting is to review applications to the Centers of Research Programs for national library projects submitted to the National Endowment for the Humanities for projects beginning after August 1, 1977.

Because the proposed meeting will consider financial information and personnel and similar files the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy, pursuant to authority granted me by the Chairman's Delegation of Authority to Close Advisory Committee Meetings, dated August 13, 1973, I have determined that the meeting would fall within exemptions (4) and (6) of 5 U.S.C. 552(b) and that it is essential to close the meeting to protect the free exchange of internal views and to avoid interference with operation of the Committee.

It is suggested that those desiring more specific information contact the Advisory Committee Management Officer, Mr. John W. Jordan, 806 15th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20506, or call area code 262-382-2031.

> JOHN W. JORDAN, Advisory Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc.77-5568 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

### RESEARCH GRANTS PANEL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Meeting

FEBRUARY 10, 1977.

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463) notice is hereby given that a meeting of the Research Grants Panel will be held at 806 15th Street, N.W., in Room 1130, Washington, D.C. from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. on March 18, 1977.

The purpose of the meeting is to review applications to the Centers of Research Programs for regional archival projects submitted to the National Endowment for the Humanities for projects beginning after August 1, 1977.

Because the proposed meeting will consider financial information and personnel and similar files the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy, pursuant to authority granted me by the Chairman's Delegation of Authority to Close Advisory Committee Meetings, dated August 13, 1973, I have determined that the meeting would fall within exemptions (4) and (6) of 5 U.S.C. 552(b) and that it is essential to close the meeting to protect the free exchange of internal views and to avoid interference with operation of the Committee.

It is suggested that those desiring more specific information contact the Advisory Committee Management Officer, Mr. John W. Jordan, 806 15th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20506, or call area code 202-382-2031.

> JOHN W. JORDAN, Advisory Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc.77-5569 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

### RESEARCH GRANTS PANEL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Meeting

FEBRUARY 11, 1977.

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463) notice is hereby given that a meeting of the Research Grants Panel will be held at 806 15th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20506, in room 1130, from 9 a.m. to 5:30 p.m. on March 21 and March 22, 1977.

The purpose of the meeting is to review General Research applications in the field of State, Local and Regional History submitted to the National Endowment for the Humanities for projects beginning after October 1, 1977.

Because the proposed meeting will consider financial information and personnel and similar files the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly imwarranted invasion of personal privacy, pursuant to authority granted me by the Chairman's Delegation of Authority to Close Advisory Committee Meetings, dated August 13, 1973, I have determined that the meeting would fall within exemptions (4) and (6) of 5 U.S.C, 552(b) and that it is essential to close the meeting to protect the free exchange of internal views and to avoid interference with operation of the Committee.

It is suggested that those desiring more specific information contact the Advisory Committee Management Officer, Mr. John W. Jordan, 806 15th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20506, or call area code 202-382-2031.

John W. Jordan, Advisory Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc.77-5570 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

### RESEARCH GRANTS PANEL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Meeting

FEBRUARY 10, 1977.

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463) notice is hereby given that a meeting of the Research Grants Panel will be held at 806 15th Street, N.W., in Room 1130, Washington, D.C. 20506, from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. on March 25, 1977.

The purpose of the meeting is to review applications for Research Conferences submitted to the National Endowment for the Humanities for projects beginning after June 1, 1977.

Because the proposed meeting will consider financial information and personnel and similar files the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy, pursuant to authority granted me by the Chairman's Delegation of Authority to Close Advisory Committee Meetings, dated August 13, 1973, I have determined that the meeting would fall within exemptions (4) and (6) of 5 U.S.C. 552(b) and that it is essential to close the meeting to protect the free exchange of internal views and to avoid interference with operation of the Committee,

It is suggested that those desiring more specific information contact the Advisory Committee Management Officer, Mr. John W. Jordan, 806 15th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20506, or call area code 202-382-2031.

JOHN W. JORDAN, Advisory Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc.77-5571 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

### SPECIAL PROJECTS ADVISORY PANEL Meeting

Pursuant to Section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463), notice is hereby given that a meeting of the Special Projects Advisory Panel (Folk Arts) to the National Council on the Arts will be held on March 10, from 8:00 p.m. to 11:00 p.m., and March 11-13, 1977, from 9:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., in Room 1345, Columbia Plaza Building, 2401 E Street NW., Washington, D.C.

A portion of this meeting will be open to the public on March 12, from 9:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., on a space available basis. Accommodations are limited. The agenda for this session will include discussion of

program guidelines.

The remaining sessions of this meeting on March 10, from 8:00 p.m. to 11:00 p.m., and March 11 and 13, from 9:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m., are for the purpose of Panel review, discussion, evaluation, and recommendation on applications for financial assistance under the National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities Act of 1965, as amended, including discussion of information given in confidence to the agency by grant applicants. In accordance with the determination of the Chairman published in the Federal Register of June 16, 1975. these sessions, which involve matters exempt from the requirements of public disclosure under the provisions of the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552(b), (4), (5), and (6)) will not be open to the public.

Further information with reference to this meeting can be obtained from Mr. Robert M. Sims, Advisory Committee Management Officer, National Endowment for the Arts, Washington, D.C. 20506, or call (202) 634-6377.

> ROBERT M. SIMS, Administrative Officer, National Endowment for the Arts, National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities.

[FR Doc.77-5663 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

### NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

REGULATORY GUIDE

Notice of Issuance and Availability

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission has issued a guide in its Regulatory Guide Series. This series has been developed to describe and make available to the public methods acceptable to the NRC staff of implementing specific parts

of the Commission's regulations and, in some cases, to delineate techniques used by the staff in evaluating specific problems or postulated accidents and to provide guidance to applicants concerning certain of the information needed by the staff in its review of applications for permits and licenses.

Regulatory Guide 1.33, Revision 1, "Quality Assurance Program Requirements (Operation)," describes a method acceptable to the NRC staff for complying with the Commission's regulations with regard to overall quality assurance program requirements for the operation phase of nuclear power plants. This guide was revised to endorsed revised ANSI Standard N18.7-1976/ANS 3.2, "Administrative Controls and Quality Assurance for the Operational Phase of Nuclear Power Plants," and to clarify the NRC staff's position on the "requirements" and "guidelines" included in that standard.

Comments and suggestions in connections in connection with (1) items for inclusion in guides currently being developed or (2) improvements in all published guides are encouraged at any time. Public comments on Regulatory Guide 1.33, Revision 1, will, however, be particularly useful in evaluating the need for an early revision if received by April 20, 1977.

Comments should be sent to the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Docketing and Service Branch.

Regulatory guides are available for inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C. Requests for single copies of issued guides (which may be reproduced) or for placement on an automatic distribution list for single copies of future guides should be made in writing to the Director, Office of Standards Development, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555. Telephone requests cannot be accommodated. Regulatory guides are not copyrighted and Commission approval is not required to reproduce them.

(5 U.S.C. 552(a).)

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 14th day of February 1977.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ROBERT B. MINOGUE, Director, Office of Standards Development.

[FR Doc.77-5362 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50-261]

### CAROLINA POWER & LIGHT CO.

### Notice of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued Amendment No. 26 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-23, issued to Carolina Power & Light Company (the licensee),

which revised Technical Specifications for operation of the H. B. Robinson Steam Electric Plant Unit No. 2 (the facility) located in Darlington County. Hartsville, South Carolina, The amendment is effective as of its date of issuance.

This amendment specifies more restrictive limits on the reactor coolant system pressure and temperature during system heatup and cooldown and when the

reactor is critical.

The application for the amendment complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendment. Prior public notice of this amendment was not required since the amendment does not involve a significant hazards consideration.

The Commission has determined that the issuance of this amendment will not result in any significant environmental impact and that pursuant to 10 CFR 51.5(d)(4) an environmental impact statement, or negative declaration and environmental impact appraisal need not be prepared in connection with Issuance

of this amendment.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the application for amendment dated January 4, 1977, (2) Amendment No. 26 to License No. DPR-23, and (3) the Commission's related Safety Evaluation. All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street N.W., Washington, D.C. and at the Hartsville Memorial Library, Home & Fifth Avenues, Hartsville, South Carolina.

A copy of items (2) and (3) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Operating Reactors.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 11th day of February 1977.

For the Nuclear-Regulatory Commission.

ROBERT W. REID, Chief, Operating Reactors Branch No. 4, Division of Operating Reactors.

[FR Doc.77-5360 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. 50-10, 80-237, 50-249, 50-254, 50-265, 50-295 and 50-304]

### COMMONWEALTH EDISON CO.

### Notice of Issuance of Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued Amendment Nos. 20, 27, 26, 39, 37, 26 and 23 to Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-2, DPR-19, DPR-25, DPR-29, DPR-30, DPR-39 and DPR-48, respectively, issued to the Commonwealth Edison Company (the licensee), which revised Technical Specifications for operation of

Unit Nos. 1, 2 and 3 of the Dresden Nuclear Power Station (located in Grundy County, Illinois), Unit Nos. 1 and 2 of the Quad Cities Nuclear Power Station (located in Rock Island County, Illinois), and Unit Nos. 1 and 2 of the Zion Nuclear Power Station (located in Lake County, Illinois). These amendments are effective as of their date of issuance.

The amendments revised the Administrative Controls Section of the Technical Specifications to achieve uniform implementation of the quality assurance pro-

gram for the above facilities.

The application for the amendments complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendments. Prior public notice of these amendments was not required since the amendments do not involve a significant hazards consideration.

The Commission has determined that the issuance of these amendments will not result in any significant environmental impact and that pursuant to 10 CFR 51.5(d) (4) an environmental impact statement or negative declaration and environmental impact appraisal need not be prepared in connection with issuance of the amendments.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the application for amendments dated April 17, 1975, and supplements thereto dated September 12, 1975, September 1, 1976, and November 4, 1976, (2) Amendment Nos. 20, 27 and 26 to License Nos. DPR-2, DPR-19 and DPR-25, (3) Amendment Nos. 39 and 37 to License Nos. DPR-29 and DPR-30, (4) Amendment Nos. 26 and 23 to License Nos. DPR-39 and DPR-48, and (5) the Commission's concurrently issued related Safety Evaluation. All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. For those items relating to Dresden Unit Nos. 2 and 3, the above items are also available at the Morris Public Library, 604 Liberty Street, Morris, Illinois 60450; for those items relating to Quad Cities Unit Nos. 1 and 2, at the Moline Public Library, 504 17th Street, Moline, Illinois 60625, and for those items relating to Zion Unit Nos. 1 and 2, at the Waukegan Public Library, 128 North County Street, Waukegan, Illinois 60085

A copy of items (2) through (5) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Operating Reactors.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 4th day of February, 1977.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

RICHARD D. SILVER,

Acting Chief, Operating Reactors Branch No. 2, Division of Operating Reactors.

[FR Doc.77-5369 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50-579] GENERAL ATOMIC CO.

Notice of Application for and Nuclear Regulatory Commission Consideration of Issuance of Facility Export License

Please take notice that General Atomic Company, San Diego, California, has submitted to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission an application for a license to authorize the export of a TRIGA Mark II pool-type research reactor with a thermal power level of one megawatt to Malaysia and that the issuance of such license is under consideration by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

No license authorizing the proposed reactor export will be issued until the Nuclear Regulatory Commission determines that such export is within the scope of and consistent with the terms of an applicable agreement for cooperation arranged pursuant to section 123 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (Act), nor until the Nuclear Regulatory Commission has found that:

(a) The application complies with the requirements of the Act, and the Commission's regulations set forth in 10 CFR,

Chapter 1, and

(b) The reactor proposed to be exported is a utilization facility as defined

in said Act and regulations.

In its review of applications solely to authorize the export of production or utilization facilities, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission does not evaluate the health and safety characteristics of the facility to be exported. Consequently, there are no safety analysis or Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards reports.

Unless on or before March 25, 1977, a request for a hearing is filed with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission by the applicant, or a petition for leave to intervene is filed by any person whose interest may be affected by the proceeding, the Director of the Office of International Programs may, upon the determinations and findings noted above, cause to be issued to General Atomic Company a facility export license and may cause to be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER a notice of issuance of the license. If a request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene is filed within the time prescribed in the notice, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

A copy of the application is on file in the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's Public Document Room located at 1717 H Street, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland this 10th day of February, 1977.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

MICHAEL A. GUHIN,
Assistant Director, Export/
Import and International
Safeguards Office of International Programs.

[FR Doc.77-5357 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

IOWA ELECTRIC LIGHT AND POWER CO.,

Notice of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued Amendment No. 27 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-49 issued to Iowa Electric Light and Power Company, Central Iowa Power Cooperative, and Corn Belt Power Cooperative, which revised Technical Specifications for operation of the Duane Arnold Energy Center, located in Linn County, Iowa. The amendment is effective 60 days from the date of issuance.

The amendment will revise specific sections of the Administrative Controls portion of the Technical Specifications to reflect organizational changes and to revise the present structure for Safety Review and Audit of facility operations.

The applications for the amendment comply with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendment. Prior public notice of this amendment was not required since the amendment does not involve a significant hazards consideration.

The Commission has determined that the issuance of this amendment will not result in any significant environmental impact and that pursuant to 10 CFR § 51.5(d) (4) an environmental impact statement or negative declaration and environmental impact appraisal need not be prepared in connection with issuance of this amendment.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the applications for amendment dated April 23, 1976, and June 17, 1976, (2) Amendment No. 27 to License No. DPR-49, and (3) the Commission's related Safety Evaluation. All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street N.W., Washington, D.C. and at the Cedar Rapids Public Library, 426 Third Avenue, S.E., Cedar Rapids, Iowa 52401.

A copy of items (2) and (3) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Operating Reactors.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 11th day of February 1977.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

George Lear, Chief, Operating Reactors Branch No. 3, Division of Operating Reactors.

[FR Doc.77-5364 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50-331]

### IOWA ELECTRIC LIGHT AND POWER CO., ET AL.

### Notice of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued Amendment No. 28 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-49 issued to Iowa Electric Light and Power Company, Central Iowa Power Cooperative, and Corn Belt Power Cooperative, which revised Technical Specifications for operation of the Duane Arnold Energy Center, located in Linn County, Iowa. The amendment is effective as of its date of issuance.

This amendment to the Technical Specifications will (1) Revise the Bases portion of the Limiting Safety System Settings related to fuel cladding integrity (specifically the total peaking factor) to be consistent with changes implemented by Amendment No. 19 issued on March 19, 1976, (2) Revise the trip level setting for the Reactor Core Isolation Cooling (RCIC) System Turbine High Flow to be consistent with the design criteria for a trip at 300% of design steam flow, and (3) Revise the trip function description for the Suppression Chamber High level to more accurately describe the function associated with the trip.

The applications for the amendment comply with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendment. Prior public notice of this amendment was not required since the amendment does not involve a significant hazards consideration.

The Commission has determined that the issuance of this amendment will not result in any significant environmental impact and that pursuant to 10 CFR 51.5 (d) (4) an environmental impact statement or negative declaration and environmental impact appraisal need not be prepared in connection with issuance of this amendment.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the applications for amendment dated April 23, 1976 and June 17, 1976, (2) Amendment No. 28 to License No. DPR-49, and (3) the Commission's related Safety Evaluation. All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. and at the Cedar Rapids Public Library, 426 Third Avenue, S.E., Cedar Rapids, Iowa 52401.

A copy of items (2) and (3) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Operating Reactors.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 11th day of February 1977.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

George Lear, Chief, Operating Reactors Branch No. 3, Division of Operating

No. 3, Division of Operating Reactors.

[FR Doc.77-5366 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

#### [PRM-2-4]

### KAREN J. HUSEMEYER

### Filing of Petition for Rule Making

Notice is hereby given that Ms. Karen J. Husemeyer, Pismo Beach, California, by letter dated December 30, 1976, has filed with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission a petition for rule making requesting amendment of the Commission's "Rules of Practice," 10 CFR Part 2.

The petitioner requests the Commission to amend § 2.806, Commission action, by addition of the following:

Petitions for rule changes having received a docket number will be reviewed annually. The status of proposed rule changes will be made a part of a yearly administrative report subject to public review. This report will include, but not be limited to, the reasons why the proposed rule change has not been acted upon.

The petitioner states that the basis for the requested amendment is to insure a timely response by the Commission to proposed rule changes, to maintain public awareness of what is being considered before the Commission, and to facilitate planning by the nuclear industry as it affects their investments, manpower requirements, safety procedures, etc.

A copy of the petition for rule making is available for public inspection in the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. A copy of the petition may be obtained

A copy of the petition may be obtained by writing to the Division of Rules and Records, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555.

All interested persons who desire to submit written comments or suggestions concerning the petition for rule making should send their comments to the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Docketing and Service Branch, by April 25, 1977.

Dated at Washington, D.C. this 17th day of February 1977.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Samuel J. Chilk, Secretary of the Commission.

[FR Doc.77-5521 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. STN 50-568, etc.]

### NEW ENGLAND POWER CO., ET AL. Notice of Oral Argument

In the matters of New England Power Co., et al. (NEP Units 1 and 2) (Docket Nos. STN 50-568, 50-569) and Public Service Co. of New Hampshire, et al. (Seabrook Station, Units 1 and 2) (Docket Nos. 50-443, 50-444).

Notice is hereby given that, in accordance with the order dated February 14, 1977, entered jointly by the Appeal Boards in the subject proceedings, oral argument on the issue of emergency planning for the protection of persons located outside of the low population zone of a facility is calendared for 9:30 a.m., Tuesday, March 8, 1977 in the NRC Public Hearing Room, 5th floor, East-West Towers, 4350 East West Highway, Bethesda, Maryland.

Dated: February 14, 1977.

For the Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board.

MARGARET E. DU FLO, Secretary to the Appeal Board, [FR Doc.77-5368 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. 50-496 and 50-497]

### NORTHEAST NUCLEAR ENERGY CO.

### Notice of Availability of Final Environmental Statement for the Montague Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2

Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission's regulations in 10 CFR Part 51, notice is hereby given that the final Environmental Statement prepared by the Commission's Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, related to the proposed construction of Montague Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2, in Franklin County, Massachusetts, by the Northeast Nuclear Energy Company is available for inspection by the public in the Commission's Public Document Room at 1717 H Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. and in the Carnegie Library, Avenue A, Turners Falls, Massachusetts. The Final Environmental Statement is also being made available at the Office of State Planning, John McCormack Building, 1 Ashburton Place. Boston, Massachusetts, and at the Franklin County Planning Department, 425 Main Street, Greenfield, Massachusetts.

The notice of availability of the Draft Environmental Statement for the Montague Nuclear Power Station, Units 1 and 2 and requests for comments from interested persons was published in the PEDERAL REGISTER on November 7, 1975 (40 FR 52123). The comments received from Federal, State and local agencies and interested members of the public have been included as appendices to the Final Environmental Statement.

Copies of the Final Environmental Statement (Document No. NUREG-0084) may be purchased at \$12.00 for printed copies and \$3.00 for microfiche, from the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Va. 22161.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 4th day of February 1977.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

> WM. H. REGAN, Jr., Chief, Environmental Projects Branch 2, Division of Site Safety and Environmental Analysis.

[PR Doc.77-5363 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50-336]

### NORTHEAST NUCLEAR ENERGY CO., ET AL.

### Notice of Issuance of Amendment to **Facility Operating License**

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued Amendment No. 22 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-65 issued to Northeast Nuclear Energy Company. The Connecticut Light and Power Company. The Hartford Electric Light Company, and Western Massachusetts Electric Company, which revised Technical Specifications for operation of the Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit No. 2, 10cated in the Town of Waterford, Connecticut. The amendment is effective as of the date of issuance.

The amendment to the Technical Specifications will upgrade the provisions for steam generator tube inspection to be consistent with the guidance contained in Regulatory Guide 1.83, Revision 1, dated July 1975.

The application for amendment complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954. as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendment. Prior public notice of this amendment was not required since the amendment does not involve a significant hazards consideration.

The Commission has determined that the issuance of this amendment will not result in any significant enivronmental impact and that pursuant to 10 CFR 51.5 (d) (4) an environmental statement or negative declaration and environmental impact appraisal need not be prepared in connection with issuance of this

amendment.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the application for amendment dated November 16, 1976, (2) Amendment No. 22 to License No. DPR-65, and (3) the Commission's related Safety Evaluation, All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commision's Public Docu-ment Room, 1717 H Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. and at the Waterford Pub-lic Library, Rope Ferry Road, Waterford, Connecticut 06385.

A copy of items (2) and (3) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Operating Reactors.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 10th day of February, 1977.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

> GEORGE LEAR, Operating Reactors Chief. Branch No. 3, Division of Operating Reactors.

[FR Doc.77-5365 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

[Dockets Nos. 50-277 and 50-278]

### PHILADELPHIA ELECTRIC CO., ET AL.

### Notice of Issuance of Amendments to **Facility Operating Licenses**

Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued Amendments Nos. 27 and 26 to Facility Operating Licenses Nos. DPR-44 and DPR-56, respectively, issued to Philadelphia Electric Company, Public Service Electric and Gas Company, Delmarva Power and Light Company, and Atlantic City Electric Company, which revised Technical Specifications for operation of the Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Units Nos. 2 and 3, located in Peach Bottom, York County, Pennsylvania. The amendments are effective as of the date of issu-

These amendments involve changes to the Technical Specifications to state explicit remedial action to be taken in the event that maximum average planar linear heat generation rate (MAPLHGR), local linear heat generation rate (LHGR) or minimum critical power ratio (MCPR) operating limit is

exceeded.

The application for these amendments complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendments. Prior public notice of these amendments was not required since the amendments do not involve a significant hazards considerations.

The Commission has determined that the issuance of these amendments will not result in any significant environmental impact and that pursuant to 10 CFR 51.5(d) (4) an environmental statement, negative declaration or environmental impact appraisal need not be prepared in connection with issuance of

these amendments.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the application for amendments dated August 6, 1976, (2) Amendments Nos. 27 and 26 to Licenses Nos. DPR-44 and DPR-56, and (3) the Commission's related Safety Evaluation. All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. and at the Martin Memorial Library, 159 E. Market Street, York, Pennsylvania 17401.

A copy of items (2) and (3) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Operating Reactors.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 10th day of February 1977.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission

GEORGE LEAR, Operating Reactors Chief, Branch No. 3, Division of Operating Reactors.

IFR Doc.77-5367 Filed 2-23-77:8:45 am l

[Docket No. 50-296]

### TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

### Notice of Issuance of Amendment to **Facility Operating License**

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued Amendment No. 3 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-68 issued to Tennessee Valley Authority which revised Technical Specifications for operation of the Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant, Unit 3, located in Limestone County, Alabama. The amendment is effective as of its date of issuance.

This amendment revises the Technical Specifications (Appendix A) of the Browns Ferry Nuclear Plant, Unit 3 to provide a uniformity of statement with the Technical Specifications in effect for Units 1 and 2 of the Browns Ferra Nuclear Plant and to correct identified errors of understanding, omission, designation, grammar and spelling. In addition, the amendment addresses proposed modifications to certain valves, a part of the containment isolation system, which will be used to maintain a pressure differential between the drywell and torus atmospheres.

The amendment complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, a: amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendment. Prior public notice of this amendment was not required since the amendment does not involve a significant hazards consideration.

The Commission has determined that the issuance of this amendment will not result in any significant environmental impact and that pursuant to 10 CFR 51.5(d)(4) an environmental impact statement or negative declaration and environmental impact appraisal need not be prepared in connection with issuance of this amendment.

For further details with-respect to this action, see (1) Amendment No. 3 to License No. DPR-68, and (2) the Commission's related Safety Evaluation dated February 15, 1977. These items are available for public inspection at the ComNOTICES

mission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., and at the Athens Public Library, South and Porrest, Athens, Alabama.

A copy of items (1) and (2) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Project Management. Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this

15th day of February, 1977.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commis-

JOHN F. STOLZ, Chief, Light Water Reactors Branch No. 1, Division of Project Management.

[FR Doc.77-5361 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

|Docket No. 50-364A, etc.|

### TOLEDO EDISON CO., ET AL.

In the matter of the Toledo Edison Co. and the Cleveland Electric Illuminating Co. (Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station, Units 1, 2 and 3) (Docket Nos. 50-346A; 50-500A; 50-501A) and the Cleveland Electric Illuminating Co., et al. (Perry Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2) (Docket Nos. 50-440A; 50-441A).

### Notice of Oral Argument

Notice is hereby given that, in accordance with the Appeal Board's order of February 15, 1977, oral argument on the applicants' motion for a pendente lite stay of the antitrust conditions directed to be imposed by the Licensing Board's initial decision of January 6, 1977 is calendared for 9:30 a.m., Wednesday, March 9, 1977 in the NRC Public Hearing Room, 5th floor, East-West Towers, 4350 East West Highway, Bethesda, Maryland.

Dated: February 16, 1977.

For the Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board.

MARGARET E. Du Flo, Secretary to the Appeal Board.

[FR Doc.77-5356 Filed 2-23-77:8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50-271]

### VERMONT YANKEE NUCLEAR POWER CORP.

### Notice of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued Amendment No. 30 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-28, issued to Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corporation (the licensee), which revised Technical Specifications for operation of the Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Station (the facility) located near Vernon, Vermont. The amendment is effective as of its date of issuance.

The amendment revises the Maximum Average Planar Linear Heat Generation Rate curves to be consistent with the current design limit linear heat operation rate of 13.4 km/ft. The application for the amendment complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendment, Prior public notice of this amendment was not required since the amendment does not involve a significant hazards consideration.

The Commission has determined that the issuance of this amendment will not result in any significant environmental impact and that pursuant to 10 CFR. 51.5(d) (4) an environmental impact statement or negative declaration and environmental impact appraisal need not be prepared in connection with issuance

of this amendment.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the application for amendment dated August 26, 1976, (2) Amendment No. 30 to License No. DPR-28, and (3) the Commission's related Safety Evaluation. All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. and at the Brooks Memorial Library, 224 Main St., Brattleboro, Vermont.

A copy of items (2) and (3) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Operating Reactors.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 10th day of February 1977.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission

ROBERT W. REID.
Chief, Operating Reactors
Branch No. 4, Division of
Operating Reactors.

[FR Doc.77-5359 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 40-1163]

### WESTERN NUCLEAR INC.

### Notice of Availability of Applicant's Environmental Report

Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and the regulations of the Commission in 10 CFR Part 51, Western Nuclear, Inc. has filed an environmental report and supplement in support of their application for renewal of Source Material License SUA-56 for the Split Rock Uranium Mill and satellite operations near Crooks Gap, Frement County, Wyoming. The reports, which discuss environmental considerations related to the operation of the mill, are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20555. Copies of the reports are also being made available at the State Clearinghouse, State Planning Coordinator, Office of the Governor, Capitol Building, Cheyenne, Wyoming 02001.

After the environmental reports have been analyzed by the staff, a draft environmental statement will be prepared. Upon preparation of the draft environmental statement, the Commission will. among other things, cause to be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER a summary notice of availability of the draft statement, with a request for comments from interested persons on the draft statement. The summary notice will also contain a statement to the effect that comments of Federal agencies and State and local officials will be made available when received. Upon consideration of comments submitted with respect to the draft environmental statement, the staff will prepare a final environmental statement, the availability of which will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

10913

Dated at Silver Spring, Maryland, this 15th day of February, 1977.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

L. C. ROUSE, Chief, Fuel Processing and Fabrication Branch, Division of Fuel Cycle and Material Safety.

[FR Doc.77-5358 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON REACTOR SAFEGUARDS SUBCOMMITTEES ON THE CHEROKEE NUCLEAR STATION, UNITS 1, 2, AND 3 AND THE PERKINS NUCLEAR STATION, UNITS 1, 2, AND 3

### Meeting Postponed

The March 1, 1977 meeting of subject Subcommittees has been postponed to March 18, 1977. It will be held in the Mecklenburg City Commissioners Board Room, Fourth Floor, 720 East 4th Street, Charlotte, NC. The agenda will remain the same as announced in Federal Register Vol. 41, No. 252, page 56895, December 30, 1976. (This meeting was originally scheduled to be held on January 19, 1977 and was subsequently postponed to be held March 1, 1977 as announced in Federal Register Vol. 42, No. 11, page 3224, January 17, 1977.)

If written comments are submitted for consideration at this meeting, they should be postmarked no later than March 11, 1977, addressed to Mr. Gary R. Quittschreiber, ACRS, NRC, Washington, D.C. 20555.

Copies of the transcript and minutes of this meeting will be made available for inspection on or after March 25 and June 20, 1977, respectively, at the Public Document Rooms listed in the December 30, 1976, Federal Register, cited above.

Dated: February 17, 1977.

JOHN C. HOYLE, Advisory Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc.77-5679 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

### ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON REACTOR SAFEGUARDS NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

### Notice of Meeting

In accordance with the purposes of Sections 29 and 182 b. of the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 2039, 2232 b.), the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards will hold a meeting on March 10-12, 1977, in Room 1046, 1717 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C.

The agenda for the subject meeting

will be as follows:

#### THURSDAY, MARCH 10, 1977

8:30 A.M .- 8:45 A.M .: Executive Session (Closed)-The Committee will discuss the qualifications of candidates nominated for appointment to the ACRS.

8:45 A.M .- 9:15 A.M .: Executive Session (Open)-The Committee will hear and discuss the report of the ACRS Subcommittee and consultants who may be present related to the request for a preliminary site evaluation for the Sundesert Nuclear Plant. Portions of this session will be closed if necessary to discuss Proprietary Information related to this facility.

9:15 A.M.-11:00 A.M.: Sundesert Nuclear Plant (Open) -The Committee will hear presentations and hold discussions with representatives of the NRC Staff and the Applicant related to the request for a preliminary site review for this facility. Portions of this session will be closed if necessary to discuss Proprietary Information related to this facility.

11:00 A.M .- 12:30 P.M .: Executive Session (Open) - The Committee will meet in open Executive Session to hear and discuss the report of the ACRS Subcommittee on Regulatory Activities related to proposed Regulatory Guides. The members will also exchange and discuss the opinions and recommendations of members regarding ACRS activities including reevaluation of the Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant.

1:30 P.M .- 2:00 P.M .: Executive Session (Open)-The Committee will meet in open session to hear and discuss the report of the ACRS Subcommittee and consultants who may be present related to the request for a preliminary site review for the Blue Hills Station, Units 1 and 2. Portions of this session will be closed if required to discuss Proprietary Information related to this facility.

2:00 P.M .- 5:00 P.M .: Blue Hills Station, Units 1 and 2 (Open)-The Committee will hear presentations by and hold discussions with representatives of the applicant related to the request for a preliminary site review for this station. Portions of this session will be closed if necessary to discuss Proprietary Information related to this facility.

5:00 P.M .- 5:30 P.M .: Executive Session (Open) - The Committee will discuss the status of ACRS activities related to special reviews requested by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

5:30 P.M .- 6:30 P.M .: Executive Session (Closed) - The Committee will exchange and discuss the personal opinions and recommendations of individual ACRS members related to procedures for conduct of ACRS activities and the nomination of candidates for appointment to the Committee.

### FRIDAY, MARCH 11, 1977

8:30 A.M .- 12:45 P.M .: Meeting with NRC Staff (Open) -8:30 A.M .- 9:15 A.M. -The Committee will meet with the Executive Director for Operations to discuss procedural matters including:

Implementation of ACRS Subcommittee comments regarding the Diablo Canyon Nuclear Plant

Implementation of ACRS comments regarding resolution of future safety issues related to light-water reactors

Review and application of safety research data and regulatory requirements of the Federal Republic of Germany and other foreign countries.

9:15 A.M.-10:00 A.M.-Recent reactor operating experience and licensing ac-

10:00 A.M .- 12:45 P.M .- Evaluation of generic matters related to light-water reactors including:

Resolution of plant modifications to accommodate Anticipated Transients Without Scram (ATWS)

Reclassification of the Turbine Trip

Without Bypass Transient

Implementation of Regulatory Guide 1.97, "Instrumentation for Light-Water Cooled Nuclear Power Plants to Assess Plant Conditions During and Following an Accident"

NRC program to evaluate causes of excessive vibration in feedwater piping

systems

1:45 P.M .- 2:45 P.M .: Meeting with NRC Commissioners (Open/Closed) -The Committee will meet with the Commissioners in open session to discuss the status of ACRS activities with respect to several special reviews requested by the NRC including qualification of packages for air shipment of plutonium, transportation of radiocative materials by air and other modes, safeguards provi-sions at nuclear facilities and safety considerations related to the use of mixedoxide fuel. The Committee will meet with the Commissioners in closed session to discuss the opinion and recommendations of individual ACRS members related to procedures for conduct of ACRS activities and nomination of candidates for appointment to the Committee.

2:45 P.M .- 3:15 P.M .: Executive Session (Open) -The Committee will hear and discuss the report of the ACRS Subcommittee and consultants who may be present regarding the reevaluation of NRC siting policies and practices.

3:15 P.M .- 5:15 P.M .: Meeting with NRC Staff (Open) -The Committee will hear presentations by and hold discussions with representatives of the NRC Staff regarding the NRC reevaluation of current siting policies and practices.

5:15 P.M .- 6:30 P.M .: Executive Session (Closed) - The Committee will discuss the basis for preparation of additional information related to the Midland Nuclear Plant.

SATURDAY, MARCH 12, 1977

8:30 A.M.-2:00 P.M.: Executive Session (Open)-The Committee will discuss proposed ACRS reports and comments regarding matters considered during this meeting. Portions of this session will be closed if required to discuss Proprietary Information related to the matters being considered. A one-hour lunch break will be scheduled during this session at the discretion of the Chairman.

2:00 P.M .- 4:00 P.M .: Executive Session (Closed)-The Committee will exchange and discuss personal opinions and recommendations of individual members regarding candidates for appointment the ACRS, provisions at nuclear facilities to preclude sabotage and other acts of terrorism and the basis for development of additional information related to the Midland Nuclear Plant.

I have determined in accordance with Subsection 10(d) of Public Law 92-463 that it is necessary to close portions of the meeting as noted above to protect material, which has been designated national security information and is classified under E.O. No. 11652 (5 U.S.C. 552(b)(1)), to protect proprietary data (5 U.S.C. 552(b)(4)), to protect information which, if written, would fall within the provisions of exemption 5 of 5 U.S.C. 552(b) (5 U.S.C. 552(b) (5)), to preserve the confidentiality of information related to safeguarding of special nuclear material (5 U.S.C. 552(b)(4)), and to protect information which, if released, would represent an undue invasion of privacy (5 U.S.C. 552(b) (6)). Separation of factual information and information considered exempt from disclosure under exemption (1), exemption (4), exemption (5), and exemption (6) of 5 U.S.C. 552(b) during these portions of the meeting is not considered practical.

Practical considerations may dictate alterations in the above agenda or schedule. The Chairman of the Committee is empowered to conduct the meeting in a manner that in his judgment will facilitate the orderly conduct of business, including provisions to carry over an incompleted session from one day to the

The Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards is an independent group established by Congress to review and report on each application for a construction permit and on each application for an operating license for a reactor facility and on certain other nuclear safety matters. The Committee's reports become a part of the public record. Although ACRS meetings are ordinarily open to the public and provide for oral or written statements to be considered as a part of the Committee's information gathering procedure concerning the health and safety of the public, they are not adjudicatory type hearings such as are conducted by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's Atomic Safety & Licensing Board as part of the Commission's licensing process. ACRS meetings do not normally deal with matters pertaining to environmental impacts outside the safety area.

With respect to public participation in the open portion of the meeting, the following requirements shall apply:

(a) Persons wishing to submit written statements regarding the agenda items may do so by providing a readily reproducible copy to the Committee at the beginning of the meeting. Comments should be limited to safety related areas within the Committee's purview. Persons desiring to mail written comments may do so by mailing a readily reproducible copy thereof in time for consideration at this meeting. Comments postmarked no later than March 2, 1977, to the Executive Director, Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards, Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555 will normally be received in time to be considered at this meeting. Background information concerning items to be considered at this meeting can be found in documents on file and available for public inspection at the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's Public Document Room. 1717 H Street, NW, Washington, DC 20555 and at the following Public Document Rooms:

Blue Hills Station, Units I and 2

Newton County Library, Newton, TX 77034. Sundesert Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2 Palo Verde Valley District Library, 125 West Chanslorway, Blythe, CA 92255.

- (b) Those persons wishing to make oral statements regarding agenda items at the meeting should make a request to do so prior to the meeting, identifying the topics and desired presentation time so that appropriate arrangements can be made. The Committee will receive oral statements in safety related areas within the Committee's purview at an appropriate time chosen by the Chairman of the Committee.
- (c) Further information regarding topics to be discussed, whether the meeting or portions of the meeting have been cancelled or rescheduled, the Chairman's ruling on requests for the opportunity to present oral statements, and the time allotted therefor, can be obtained by a prepaid telephone call on March 9, 1977. to the Office of the Executive Director of the Committee (Telephone: 202-634-1371) between 8:15 A.M. and 5:00 P.M., Eastern Time. It should be noted that the above schedule is tentative, based on the anticipated availability of related information, etc. It may be necessary to reschedule items to accommodate required changes. The ACRS Executive Director will be prepared to describe these changes on March 9, 1977.
- (d) Questions may be propounded only by members of the Committee and its consultants.
- (e) The use of still, movie, and television cameras, the physical installation and presence of which will not interfere with the course of the meeting, will be permitted both before and after the meeting and during any recess. The use of such equipment will not, however, be allowed while the meeting is in session.
- (1) Persons with agreements or orders permitting access to proprietary infor-

mation other than safeguards information may attend portions of ACRS meetings where this material is being discussed upon confirmation that such agreements are effective and relate to the material being discussed.

The Executive Director of the ACRS should be informed of such an agreement at least 3 days prior to the meeting so that the agreement can be confirmed and a determination can be made regarding the applicability of this agreement to the material that will be discussed during the meeting. Minimum information provided should include information regarding the date of the agreement, the scope of material included in the agreement, the project or projects involved, and the names and titles of the persons signing the agreement. Additional information may be requested to identify the specific agreement involved. A copy of the executed agreement should be provided to the Executive Director at the beginning of the meeting.

(g) A copy of the transcript of the open portion(s) of the meeting where factual information is presented will be available for inspection during the following workday at the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW, Washington, DC. Copies of the minutes of the meeting will be made available for inspection at the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW, Washington, DC, on or after June 10, 1977. Copies may be obtained upon payment of appropriate charges.

Dated: February 18, 1977.

JOHN C. HOYLE, Advisory Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc.77-5677 Filed 2-22-77;8:45 am]

Note: This document is republished without change from the Federal Register of February 23, 1977, 42 FR 10746.

Note: Nuclear Regulatory Commission documents continued on page 10950.

### NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD

[N-AR 77-8]

### SAFETY RECOMMENDATIONS AND RESPONSES

Availability and Receipt

Aviation Safety Recommendations.—Certain problems regarding airman medical certification procedures, discovered during investigation of several recent accidents, have prompted the National Transportation Safety Board to issue four new safety recommendations, Nos. A-77-8 through A-77-8, to the Federal Aviation Administration.

The Safety Board stated that these problems demonstrate nonadherence to 14 CFR Part 67 as well as a lack of quality control by Aviation Medical Examiners and the FAA's Civil Aeromedical Institute. The problems concern the issuance of a medical certificate to a pilot with deficient corrected vision, the responsibility of medical certificate applicants to inform the medical examiner of

certain restrictions governing their previous medical certificate (statement of demonstrated ability), and inadequacies in the administration of hearing tests.

To correct these deficiencies, the Safety Board by letter issued February 15 recommended that the FAA—

Develop procedures that would enhance the quality control functions at the Civil Aeromedical Institute with respect to the medical certification of airmen. (A-77-5)

Issue a Federal Air Surgeon's Bulletin to emphasize to the aviation medical examiners the need for quality control and the need for adherence to the provisions of 14 CPR Part 67 and the Guide for Aviation Medical Examiners. (A-77-6)

Amend 14 CFR Part 67 to require that all applicants for first- and second-class medical certificates be administered periodically an audiometric hearing test. (A-77-7)

Require that all medical certificates be annotated appropriately when that certificate is governed by a statement of demonstrated ability. (A-77-8)

Two other recommendations, Nos. A-77-9 and A-77-10, were issued last week to the Federal Aviation Administration, these resulting from the Safety Board's investigation of a midair collision near Brighton, Florida, last September 13. The accident involved a Cessna 414 and a United States Air Force F-4E Phantom II fighter. The F-4E, one of a formation flight of three fighters from Homestead Air Force Base, Florida, was on a composite IFR-VFR flight plan to the Avon Park Restricted Area and return to Homestead. The Cessna 414 was operating VFR, and the pilot had not filed a flight plan.

Investigation of the midair collision revealed that it was accepted practice for military tactical flightcrews from Homestead AFB to cancel IFR as soon as possible before reaching the clearance limit fix so that the pilots could descend below the Lake Placid Military Operating Area to obtain radio reports of essential gunnery range information. The flight leader was required to call Avon Operations to obtain this information. Since there was no communications land line between Avon Control and Miami Center, the Miami Air Route Traffic Control Center could not relay the information.

As a corrective measure, the Safety Board on February 16 recommended that the FAA—

Establish direct lines of communication between appropriate air traffic control facilities and military tactical operations so that essential tactical information can be relayed to military flight-crews while they are being afforded instrument flight rules separation in positive control airspace. (A-77-9)

Assure ultrahigh-frequency guard-transmitting and receiving capability at all control positions were air traffic control services are provided routinely to military tactical flights.

(A-77-10)

Highway Safety Recommendations.— Concern about national efforts in effective roadway maintenance programs was expressed by the Safety Board in issuing three safety recommendations on February 15, following investigation of a schoolbus accident which occurred last August 6 on State Highway 191 near Neola, Iowa, The accident involved a Tri-Center Community schoolbus which left the roadway, struck and overrode a guardrail and concrete bridge parapet, fell about 15 feet onto a sloped embankment below the bridge, and landed on its roof. Three children were killed, and the driver and 29 children were injured.

Investigation revealed that the 36foot-long, flared section of single Wbeam guardrail was mounted directly on 10-inch-diameter wood posts, which were spaced at 12-foot intervals. This guardrail provided the bus little, if any, protection against exposure to roadside hazards at this location. At the time the guardrail and bridge parapet/rail were installed, no design had been developed and tested for a system that would have contained and safely redirected the bus. The Board states that, by today's standards, the guardrail would not offer an appropriate level of protection even to automobiles. The design deficiencies were the inadequate length of the approach guardrail, the lack of modification of the guardrail's approach end, the inappropriately wide post spacing, the substandard guardrall support system, and the lack of integration of this guardrail with the adjoining bridge parapet/ rail system.

The Board further notes that systems without these deficiencies are currently available, yet, on the day after the accident, the State of Iowa replaced the damaged guardrail with one that had the same design deficiencies as the old guardrail. In keeping with Highway Safety Program Standard Number 12; administered by the Federal Highway Administration of the U.S. Department of Transportation, the replacement guardrail should have met current design practices for W-beam guardrail, and, since there are guardrails of similar design in this area, the State of Iowa Department of Transportation should have prepared a new guardrail design for maintenance purposes before the accident, according to the Safety Board. This design should have provided for updating the damaged segment and should have insured that the replacement segment could function as an integral part of a future total update of the entire guardrail and bridge rail system. Duplication of a substandard design is not considered in the interest of the public safety, the Board stated.

Accordingly, the Safety Board now recommends that the Federal Highway Administration—

Examine and report to the Board on the effectiveness of FHWA efforts to establish roadway maintenance programs that comply with Highway Safety Program Standard Number 12. This report should, as a minimum, review: (1) the adequacy of information about postcrash corrective maintenance procedures and devices in the FHWA maintenance policy; (2) the availability and implementation of training programs in, and up-to-date standards for, postcrash corrective maintenance; and (3) a sample of accidents to assess postcrash maintenance practices within each FHWA region. (H-77-1)

Additionally, the Safety Board recommends that the State of Iowa Department of Transportation—

Adhere to the maintenance principles of Highway Safety Program Standard Number 12 and establish procedures that will insure appropriate, cost-efficient, and timely corrective repair of damaged highway safety equipment. (H-77-2)

Incorporate the general principles and standards identified in the Handbook of Highway Safety Design and Operating Practices (U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, rev. ed. (1973)) and the National Cooperative Highway Research Program Report 118, Location Selection and Maintenance of Highway Traffic Barriers (Highway Research Board (1971)) into those designs established for corrective repair of damaged highway safety equipment. (H-77-3)

Each of the above aviation and highway safety recommendations is designated "Class II," asking for priority followup.

Response to Aviation Safety Recommendations.—Federal Aviation Administration letter dated February 7 is a further response to recommendations A-76-67 through A-76-70 concerning "look-see" provisions at foreign airports. (See 41 FR 20747, May 20, 1976.)

FAA states, after reviewing the Safety Board's reply of December 29 (42 FR 3907, January 21, 1977) to FAA's initial recommendation response of last July 30 (41 FR 34125, August 12, 1976), "We do not concur that safety has been jeopardized specifically because of the existence and use of the 'look-see' at foreign airports. We also do not agree that a higher level of safety has been provided for supplemental air carriers and commercial operators."

Due to the nature of their operations, FAA comments, U.S. flag air carrier pilots routinely make approaches and landings at the same foreign airports, allowing more familiarity with the runway environment. Also, 14 CFR 121.447 places a higher standard on route qualifications for flag air carrier pilots than is imposed on supplemental air carriers or commercial pilots.

FAA states, "A pilot conducting a 'look-see' approach as permitted by 14 CFR 121.651 may not land unless upon reaching the authorized minimum descent altitude (MDA) or decision height (DH) he finds that actual weather conditions are at least equal to the prescribed minimums. In addition, the operations specifications state that no person may continue an approach below the MDA or DH at an airport outside the U.S. (regardless of whether the reported weather conditions are above or below the prescribed minimums) unless: (1) The aircraft is in a position from which a normal approach to the runway of intended landing can be made; and (2) The approach threshold of that runway, or approach lights or other markings identifiable with the approach end of the runway, are clearly visible to the pilot."

FAA agrees that weather reporting facilities are improving worldwide, stating that as countries become more sophisticated and gain confidence in their weather reporting facilities, they tend to pass rules that restrict the "look-see." Examples are France and the United Kingdom. The rules of these countries are binding on our flag air carrier pilots.

FAA states, "We believe each country should establish weather observation standards for its own airports that meet or exceed ICAO standards and quality control of these observations should be the responsibility of the particular country. We, therefore, believe that Federal Aviation Administration evaluation, on an individual airport basis, with specific restrictions in the carriers' operations specifications is not the proper vehicle to prescribe weather minimums at foreign airports."

The FAA letter notes that the intent of Air Carrier Operations Bulletin 76-5 is to discourage pilots from executing an approach when the reported weather is below minimums.

FAA concludes that the operating authority for the "look-see" should be retained for U.S. flag air carriers.

Safety recommendation letters are available to the general public; single copies may be obtained without charge. Copies of letters responding to safety recommendations may be obtained at a cost of \$4.00 for service and 10c per page for reproduction. All requests must be in writing, identified by recommendation number and date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register, Address inquiries to: Public Inquiries Section, National Transportation Safety Board, Washington, D.C. 20594.

(Sec. 307 of the Independent Safety Board Act of 1974 (Pub. L. 93-633, 88 Stat. 2172 (49 U.S.C. 1906)).)

Dated: February 18, 1977.

MARGARET L. FISHER, Federal Register Liaison Officer,

[FR Doc.77-5647 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

### OFFICE OF THE SPECIAL REPRE-SENTATIVE FOR TRADE NEGO-TIATIONS

SPECIALTY STEEL QUOTAS

Proposed Reallocation

AGENCY: Office of the Special Representative for Trade Negotiations.

ACTION: Notice of Intent to Reallocate Specialty Steel Quota Shortfalls.

SUMMARY: Written comments are solicited from interested parties on the anticipated reallocation, within certain quota categories, from suppliers who are not likely to export the quantity of steel which would fill the quotas assigned them, to other suppliers who are able to supply additional steel.

DATES: Comments should be received by or before March 2, 1977. It is anticipated that initial reallocations will be published in the Federal Register during the week of March 14, 1977.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be sent to the Secretary, Trade Policy Staff Committee, Room 728, 1800 G Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20506.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Richard Heimlich, Office of the Special Representative for Trade negotiations, Washington, D.C. 20506, (202–395– 3395).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On June 16, 1976, the President pro-

claimed import relief for the domestic specialty steel industry for a period of three years in the form of an orderly marketing agreement with Japan and quotas on imports from other foreign suppliers. Headnote 2 of Subpart A, part 2 of the Appendix to the Tariff Schedules of the United States (TSUS) gives the Special Representative for Trade Negotiations authority to reallocate shortfalls during the last three months of each quota year.

Shortfalls for certain quota items appear likely to occur during the current restraint period (June 14, 1976–June 13, 1977) as listed below:

[In short tons

TSU8 item	Supplier	Quota	Estimated charges by June 13, 1977	Potential shortfall
(03.20 (sheet and strip)	Canada Sweden	8, 800 6, 700	6,300 8,655	2,50 1,00
(23.22 (bar)	Sweden	400 3,300 2,500 1,500	153 1,666 1,018	1, 63 1, 485 50
923.26 (alloy tool steel)	Col. 2 1	6	0	- 1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Col. 2 countries are listed in general neadnote 3(e) of the Tariff Schedules of the United States, <sup>2</sup> European Economic Community.

Estimated charges by June 13, 1977, are based on the rate of entry under the quota program through February 11, 1977. No shortfalls are projected for the stainless steel rod category.

For each of the categories in which potential shortfalls by some suppliers are projected, there are other suppliers who may be able to ship amounts in excess of the quotas now allocated to them and thus would benefit from any reallocations made. These suppliers are:

TSUS Item	Supplier
923.20 (sheet and	European Economic
strip).	Community, other
	column 1 countries.
923.21 (plate)	
THE PART OF THE PA	Community.
923.22 (bar)	Sweden, other column 1
	countries."
923.26 (alloy tool	European Economic
steel).	Community, Sweden,
	Austria, other column

Dountries entitled to the rate of duty in rates of duty columns numbered 1 in the Tariff Schedules of the United States but which have not been provided separate quota

> Acting Special Representative for Trade Negotiations.

I countries.

[FR Doc.77-5641 Flied 2-23-77;8:45 am]

### DEPARTMENT OF STATE

Agency for International Development DIRECTOR, USAID/NICARAGUA

Redelegation of Authority No. 165-12]
Pursuant to the authority vested in me as Assistant Administrator, Bureau for Latin America, by the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as amended, and the delegations of authority issued thereunder, I hereby delegate to the Director, USAID/Nicaragua, authority to ne-

gotiate, execute and implement a contract of guaranty with the Central Bank of Nicaragua for a productive credit guaranty project in accordance with and subject to the terms and conditions set forth in the project authorization dated February 14, 1977.

The delegation of authority to negotiate and execute shall lapse 120 days from the date of execution of the project authorization.

Dated: February 14, 1977.

E. N. S. GIRARD, II, Assistant Administrator, Bureau for Latin America.

[FR Doc.77-5563 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

### Office of the Secretary [Public Notice CM-7/80]

### SHIPPING COORDINATING COMMITTEE, SUBCOMMITTEE ON SAFETY OF LIFE AT SEA

Meeting

The working group on fire protection of the Subcommittee on Safety of Life at Sea, a subcommittee of the Shipping Coordinating Committee, will hold an open meeting at 9:30 a.m. on Wednesday, March 16, 1977, in Room 8236 of the Department of Transportation, 400 Seventh Street, S.W., Washington, D.C.

The purpose of the meeting will be to discuss results of the 19th Session and preparations and requirements for the 20th Session of the Intergovernmental Maritime Consultative Organization (IMCO) Subcommittee on Fire Protection scheduled to meet in London July 4–8, 1977; in particular, fire protection requirements for

Mobile offshore drilling units Tank vessels Vessels carrying dangerous goods Requests for further information on the meeting should be directed to Mr. Daniel F. Sheehan, United States Coast Guard. He may be reached on (area code 202) 426-2197.

The Chairman will entertain comments from the public as time permits,

> RICHARD K. BANK, Chairman, Shipping Coordinating Committee.

FEBRUARY 14, 1977.

[FR Doc.77-5564 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

[Public Notice CM-7/31]

### STUDY GROUP 6 OF THE U.S. NATIONAL COMMITTEE FOR THE INTERNATIONAL RADIO CONSULTATIVE COMMITTEE (CCIR)

Meeting

The Department of State announces that Study Group 6 of the U.S. National Committee for the International Radio Consultative Committee (CCIR) will meet on March 15-16, 1977 at Boulder. Colorado. The meeting will open on March 15 at 9:00 a.m. in Room 3012 of the Radio Building of the Department of Commerce Laboratories, 325 S. Broadway.

Study Group 6 deals with matters relating to the propagation of radio waves by and through the ionosphere. The purpose of the meeting will be a review of work programs in preparation for the international meeting of Study Group 6. Geneva, January 1978.

Members of the general public may attend the meeting and join in the discussions subject to instructions of the Chairman, Admittance of public members will be limited to the seating available.

Dated: February 14, 1977.

GORDON L. HUFFCUTT, Chairman, National Committee.

[FR Doc.77-5565 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

### DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. FE 77-1; Notice 2]

AVERAGE FUEL ECONOMY

Treatment of Confidential Information

AGENCY: National Highway Traffic Safety Administration.

ACTION: Extension of comment closing date to February 23, 1977.

SUMMARY: The purpose of this notice is to extend the period for submission of comments to a notice published January 17, 1977, (42 FR 3240) which requested comments from interested persons on how the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) should treat confidential business information submitted to the agency in connection with rulemaking to establish or amend automobile fuel economy standards.

The NHTSA has received a petition from the Motor Vehicle Manufacturers Association requesting a two week extension of the comment period. Although severe statutory time constraints on our fuel economy rulemaking necessitate a prompt decision on the issue of disclosure of information, the importance of the issues raised by the request for comments and the need for well-developed, substantive comments from the automobile industry as well as other interested persons, indicate that some extension of time is warranted. A one week extension will enhance the public's opportunity to comment in this matter, while not unduly compromising the NHTSA's rule-making schedule. Therefore, the comment closing period is extended until February 23, 1977.

ADDRESSES: Comments should refer to the docket number and be submitted to: Docket Section, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, Room 5108, 400 Seventh Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20590. It is requested, but not required, that ten copies be submitted.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

David A. Zisser, Office of Chief Counsel, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, Department of Transportation, Washington, D.C. 20590. (202-426-9511).

(Sec. 505, Pub. L. 94-163, 89 Stat. 871 (15 U.S.C. 2005); delegation of authority at 41 FR 25015, June 22, 1976.)

Issued: February 16, 1977.

JOHN W. SNOW, Administrator.

[PR Doc.77-5495 Filed 2-17-77;4:40 pm]

### NATIONAL HIGHWAY SAFETY ADVISORY COMMITTEE

### **Public Meeting**

Pursuant to section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463; 5 U.S.C. App. I), notice is hereby given of a meeting of the National Highway Safety Advisory Committee to be held March 14, 15, 16, and 17, 1977, in Washington, D.C.

The agenda for this meeting is as follows:

On March 14 starting at 9:00 a.m. in room 2230 of the DOT Headquarters Building, 400 Seventh Street, S.W., Washington, D.C., the Adjudication and Alcohol Subcommittee will meet to review the final report on Rural Court Systems and old-new business.

On March 15 at 9:00 a.m. in room 4234 there will be a General Session of the full Committee. The Committee will have an orientation on reappraisal effort of the State and Community Highway Safety Program (402–403 Program) and then will break into task forces for discussion purposes.

On March 16 at 8:30 a.m. in room 2230 the Vehicle Subcommittee will meet to discuss a proposed resolution on national limits for truck length and weights and old-new business.

At 9:45 a.m. on March 16 in room 4234 the Driver Subcommittee will meet to discuss proposed resolution on expansion of authority and use of National Driver Register and need for additional funding for electronic interface and a proposed resolution on State legislation which excludes accessibility to motor vehicle records under the Privacy Acts and also old-new business.

Also on March 16 starting at 11:00 a.m., in room 2230 the full Committee will meet to discuss the reports of the task forces.

On March 17 at 8:30 a.m. in room 2230 the full Committee will meet to hear continuation of the reports of the task forces, report of the Vehicle Subcommittee, report of the Driver Subcommittee, report of the Adjudication and Alcohol Subcommittee and old-new business.

Attendance is open to the interested public but limited to the space available. With the approval of the Chairman, members of the public may present oral statements at the meeting. Any member of the public may present a written statement to the Committee at any time.

This meeting is subject to the approval of the Secretary of Transportation.

Additional information may be obtained from the NHTSA Executive Secretary, Room 5215, 400 Seventh Street, S.W. (DOT Headquarters Building), Washington, D.C. 20590, telephone 202-425-2872.

Issued in Washington, D.C. on: February 16, 1977.

WILLIAM H. MARSH.

Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-5502 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

## Office of Hazardous Materials Operations EXEMPTION FROM HAZARDOUS MATERIALS REGULATIONS

### List of Applications

AGENCY: Materials Transportation Bureau, DOT.

ACTION: List of Applications for Renewal of Exemption or Applications to become a Party to an Exemption.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the procedures governing the application for, and the processing of, exemptions from the Department of Transportation's Hazardous Materials Regulations (49 CFR Part 107, Subpart B), notice is hereby given that the Office of Hazardous Materials Operations of the Materials Transportation Bureau has received the applications described herein. Normally, the modes of transportation would be identified and the Nature of application would be described, as in past publications. However, this notice is abbreviated to expedite docketing and public notice. These applications have been separated from the new applications for exemptions because they represent the large

majority of applications awaiting disposition.

DATES: Comments by March 11, 1977, with respect to applications for renewal and applications to become a party.

ADDRESSED TO: Section of Docket, Office of Hazardous Materials Operations, Department of Transportation, Washington, D.C. 20590. Comments should refer to the application umber and be submitted in triplicate.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION: Complete copies of the applications are available for inspection and copying at the Public Docket Room, Office of Hazardous Materials Operations, Department of Transportation, Room 6500, Trans Point Building, 2100 Second Street, S.W., Washington, D.C.

	Appli- eation No.	Applicant	Renewal of special permit or exemptions
100	0.000		
	2008-X	Ireco Chemicals, Salt Lake City, Utah.	2508
	3134-X	Utah. Hooker Chemicals & Plastics Corp., Ningara Fulls, N.Y. Matson Navigation Co., San Francisco, Calif. Balecock & Wilcax Co., Lynch- burs, Va. Teledyne Wah Chang Albany Corp., Albany, Oreg. Walter Kidde & Company, Inc., Belleville, N.J.	3134
	3188-X	Matson Navigation Co., San	3188
100	3330-X	Babcock & Wilcox Co., Lynch-	18350
0	3330-X	Teledyne Wah Chang Albany	2000
ď	3606-X	Walter Eidde & Company, Inc.,	3900
	3780-X	American Cyanamid Co.,	3784
	4248-X	J. T. Baker Chemical Co.,	4245
	4763-X	Phillipsburg, N.J. Axton Cross Co., Holliston, Mrss.	4763
	5778-X	Lif-O-Gen by Survivar, Cambridge, Md.	6778
•	5861-X	HTL Advanced Technology,	pest
	5944-X	Monrovia, Calif. Dow Chemical Co., Midland, Mich.	3911
	6230-X	McDonnell Donnles Corp., Et.	4013
	6501-X	GOEX, Inc., Cleburne, Tex	1000
	6548-X	Louis, Mo. GOEX, Inc., Cleburne, Tex M. & T. Chemicals Inc., South San Francisco, Calif.	6543
	6575-X	Remington Arms Company, Inc., Bridgeport, Cons.	6375
	0014-X	San Francisco, Cadir. Remington Arms Company, inc., Bridgeport, Conn. Continental Chemical Co., Sacramento, Calif. Axton-Cross Co., Holliston,	6614
	6622-X	A STATE OF THE STA	
	0022-X	Chemtech Industries, Inc., St. Louis, Mo.	6623
	6626-X	Gald N. I.	0620
	- 6724-X	Department of Defense, Washington, D.C. McKesson Chemical Co., San	6724
	6500-X	McKesson Chemical Co., San Francisco, Calif. McDonnell Douglas Astronau-	6860
	6826-X	tiez Co., Huntington Beach,	6826
•	6864-X	Calif. Westerwalder Eisenwerk, Welte- feld, West Germany. Explosive Technology, Fair-	6831
	6800-X	Explosive Technology, Fair-	6890
5	6894-X	field, Calif.  Energy Research and Development Administration, Wash-	6891
	6963-X	logton, D.C.  1. S. C. Chemicals Ltd., Avon-mouth, Bristol, England. Cenlin Chemicals, Facksonville,	6903
	7001-X	mouth, Bristol, England. Cealin Chemicals, Jacksonville,	700
	7052-X	Fig. GTE Laboratories, Inc., Waltham, Mass.	7002
	7070-X	T. Maries Chamberl Declinets	7076
	7087-X	Co., Chestertown, Md. Unitek Corp., Monrovia, Calif.	7067
100	7207-X	Co., Chestertown, Md. Unitek Corp., Monrovia, Calif. Matheson Gas Products, Lynd- hurst, N.J. National Aeronautics and Space	7207
	7208-X	Administration, directions,	72/6
	7214-X	Md. Mobay Chemical Corp., Pitts- burgh, Pa.	7214
	7218-X	burgh, Pa.  Boeing Commercial Airplane Co., Seattle, Wash. Greif Bros. Corp., Union, N.J. Luxfer USA Ltd., Riverside,	7218
	7220-X	Greif Bros. Corp., Union, N.J.,	- 7200 7205
	7235-X	Turner Car reg" refreinde"	1,500

-			
Appli- eation No.		Renewal of special permit or exemptions	Application Applicant special permit or exemptions
7419-X	Department of Defense, Washington, D.C.	7410	6793-P Monsanto Co., St. Louis, Mo. 6793- 6825-P Northern Petrochemical Co., 6825
7434-X 7480-X	Air Products and Chemicals	7434 7490	Des Plaines, III. 6908-P Hughes Aircraft Co., Culver 6908
7620-X	Inc., Allentown, Pa.	7020	City, Calif. 6919-P Chemplex Co., Rolling Mead- 6919
	Ltd., West Yorkshire, Eng-		ows, Ill. 0384-P IMC Chemical Group, Inc., Al-
7.5		Party to	lentown, Pa. 7256-P Baker Manufacturing Co., 7256
		special permit or	Evansville, Wis. 7444-P Chemplex Co., Rolling Mend-
		exemption	ows, III. 7521-P Enthone, Inc., New Haven, 7521
2805-1	SunOlin Chemical Co., Clay- mont, Del.	2805	Conn. 7526-P Schering AG, Berlin, Germany 7256
2630-1,	Mallinekrodt, Inc., St. Louis, Mo.	3630	7000-P Chemplex Co., Rolling Mead- ows, Ill.
4007 P	Matson Navigation Co., San Francisco, Calif.	4007	***************************************
4052-P	Northwest Airlines, Inc., St. Paul, Minn.	4052	This notice of receipt of applications
4248-P	Sargent-Weich Scientific Co., Skokie, Ill.	4248	for renewal of exemptions and for party to an exemption is published in accord-
4453-P	Austin Powder Co., Cleveland, Ohio,	4458	ance with Section 107 of the Hazardous
4459-P	Dow Chemical Co., Midland, Mich.	4450	Materials Transportation Act (49 U.S.C.
4575-31	1C1 United States, Inc., Wil- mington, Del.	4575	1806; 49 CFR 1.53(e)).
4717-P	Mobil Chemical Co., Beaumont, Tex.	4717	Issued in Washington, D.C., on February 15, 1977.
4763-P	Chemical Products, Inc., Tulso,	4263	J. R. GROTHE,
5038-P	M. & T. Chemicals Inc., South	5638	Chief, Exemptions Branch, Of-
5196-P	San Francisco, Calif. Chemplex Co., Rolling Mead-	3196	fice of Hazardous Materials Operations.
5206-P	ows, III. Austin Powder Co., Cleveland,	5206	[FR Doc.77-5347 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]
5736-1	Ohio. Northern Petrochemical Co.,	5736	
5792-P	Des Plaines, Ill. Chemplex Co., Rolling Mead-	8792	EXEMPTION FROM HAZARDOUS
5792-P	ows, M. Nerthern Petrochemical Co.,	5792	MATERIALS REGULATIONS
5861-P	Des Plaines, Ill. APCO Products, Pacoima,	5861	List of Applications
8067-P	Calif. Northwest Airlines, Inc., St.	5967	AGENCY: Materials Transportation Bureau, DOT.
6007-P	Paul, Minn. National Warehouse, Inc., Min-	6007	ACTION: List of Applications for Ex-
6007-12	neapolis, Minn. S. S. White Division, Pennwalt	6007	emptions.
0071-F	Corp., Holmdel, N.J. Northwest Airlines, Inc., St.	6071	SUMMARY: In accordance with the pro-
6113-P	Paul, Minn. Chemplex Co., Rolling Mead-	6113	cedures governing the application for,
6126-P	ows, Ill. Rhodia, Inc., Moumouth June-	6126	and the processing of, exemptions from the Department of Transportation's Haz-
6205-P	tion, N.J. Chemplex Co., Rolling Mead-	6306	ardous Materials Regulations (49 CFR
6231-P	ows, III.	6231	Part 107, Subpart B), notice is hereby given that the Office of Hazardous Ma-
6260-P	Baker Manafacturing Co., Evansville, Wis.	6260	terials Operations of the Materials
6267-P	Coastal Industries, Inc., Carl- stadt, N.J.	6267	Transportation Bureau has received the
6309-P	Joseph Products, Inc., Jollet, Ill.	6300	applications described herein.
6392-P	Northern Petrochemical Co., Des Plaines, III.	6392	DATES: Comments by March 28, 1977, with respect to application for a new
6479-P	Chemplex Co., Rolling Men- dows, Ill.	6479	exemption.
6196-P	Stevens Industries, Inc., Daw- son, Ga.	6496	ADDRESSED TO: Section of Dockets,
6496-P	Inland Steel Container Co., Chicago, Ill.	6496	Office of Hazardous Materials Opera- tions, Department of Transportation,
6536-P	Mineree Corp., Baltimore, Md	6516 6536	Washington, D.C. 20590, Comments
6543-P	town, N.J. M. & T. Chemicals, Inc., South	6543	should refer to the application number
6554-P	Sun Francisco, Calif. Utility Chemical Co., Paterson,	6554	and be submitted in triplicate.
	N.J. Constal Industries, Inc., Carl-	6754	FOR FURTHER INFORMATION: Complete copies of the applications are avail-
	stadt, N.J. Chemplex Co., Rolling Mea-		able for inspection and copying at the
6000-P	dows, Ill. California Paper Converters,	6571	Public Docket Room, Office of Hazardous
6614-P	Inc., San Jose, Calif. Jones Chemicals, Inc., Cale-	6600	Materials Operations, Department of Transportation, Room 6500, Trans Point
	donia, N. X.	6614	Building, 2100 Second Street, SW., Wash-
	Enthone, Inc., New Haven, Coun.	6651	ington, D.C.  Each mode of transportation for which
	Gases & Arc Supply Co., Pueblo, Colo.	0657	a particular exemption is requested is in-
6667-11	Beaver Aviation Service, Inc., Beaver Falls, Pa.	068 <sup>7</sup>	dicated by a number in the "Nature of
6687-P	Bud Antle, Inc., Salinas, Calif University of California, Law-	0687	Application" portion of the table below as follows: 1—Motor vehicle, 2—Rail
WOOL E	remos Livermore Laboratory, Livermore, Calif.	6894	freight, 3-Cargo vessel, 4-Cargo-only
	Actorizate Calife		aircraft, 5—Passenger-carrying aircraft.

### New exemptions

Application No.	Applicant	Regulation(s) affected	Nature of application
7618-N	Koppers Co., Inc., Pittsburgh, Pa	49 CFR 172.101, 173.154	To authorize product name "Wolmanae concentrate" as a proper shipping name and its transportation in DOT MC-312 tank truck trailers. (Mode L)
7626-N			To authorize shipment of metal borings, shavings, turnings or cuttings in bulk
7630-N			To authorize shipment of a certain class B poison liquid in unlabeled packages
7601-N	Southern Airways, Inc., Atlanta, Ga.,	49 CFR 475.10(a)(2)(x)	To authorize transportation of wheel and tire assemblies inflated to a maximum pressure of 175 lb/m²g (Mode 4.)
7602-N	Enterprise Service Co., Houston, Tex.		To authorize shipment of flammable compressed gas, n.o.s. in an uninsulated
7638-N			To authorize the manufacture of DOT-4L292 cylinder for a shipment of Equenced
7610-N	Mauser Packaging Ltd., New York,		To authorize manufacture of non-DOT polyethylene jerry cans of 60 1 capacity
7612-N	Wonder Corp. of America, Stamford,		To authorize shipment of liquid butane in 35,685 in 1 capacity non-DOT metal
7643-N	AND THE PARTY OF T	49 CFR 175,83	the earth rates assessed of manufally appealed regularit to the cable of passengers
76H-N	Sireo Systems, Inc., Birmingham,	49 CFR 178.131-7.	ourrying aircraft. (Mode 5.) To authorize construction of the DOT 37A drums with less than full curl construction to hold the head on. (Modes 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5.)
7045-N	Southern Pacific Transportation Co.,		To authorize operation of unit trains of tank ears without buffer cars for petro-
7646-N	Witeo Chemical Corp., Richmond,		To authorize shipment of certain organic perculdes (solid), in a non-DOT
7647-N	Union Carbide Corp., Bound Brook,		To anthorize shipment of vinyl chloride in DOT at portable tanks. (Mode 1.)
7648-N	Inc., Salt Lake City, Utah.	(a), 173.91(a), 175.35.	To authorize transportation of flares without marking or labeling of emtaluer, in a non-DOT container without shipping papers accompanying shipment. (Mode 4.)
7649-N			To exempt shipment of monochlorodifluoromethane in DOT 39 cylinders placed in the trunk compartment of motor vehicles from the regulations.
7650-N	ICI United States, Inc., Wilmington, Del.	49 CFR 173.315(a)(l)	To authorize shipment of monochloropentafluoroethane and dichlorodifluoro-
7652-N	Ciba-Geigy Corp., Ardsley, N.Y	49 CFR 172.400	To authorize shipment of liquid and solid class B poisons in excepted quantities
7633-N	Calif.		To authorize shipment of metal borings, shavings, turnings or cuttings in 20 ii by 40 ft open containers, plastic lined, hardboard sheathed, covered with sheathed, every covering it. (Made 3.)
7654-N	Tennessee Eastman Co., Kingsport, Tenn.	49 CFR 173.119(f)	To authorize shipment of acetaldebyde in 1 pt glass bottles cushioned with vermicuilte in a metal container overpacked in a fiberboard box. (Modes I and 2.)
7655-N			To authorize shipment of diketene in 1-gal polyethylene bottles surrounded by
7656-N			To authorize shipment of certain corrosive liquids in 1-gal unlined tin came overwarded in a correspond Operboard carton. (Modes I and 3.)
7657-N	Welker Engineering Co., Bellaire, Tex.	49 CFR 173.304.	. To authorize manufacture and use of a non-DOT 2-compartment cyanuer as
7658-N	Spectro Industries, Inc., Jenkintown, Pa.	49 CFR 173.1300	To authorize shipment of ORM-D items on a fiberboard tray with entire tray shrink wrapped in a polyethylene film. (Mode I.)

This notice of receipt of applications for new exemptions is published in accordance with Section 107 of the Hazardous Materials Transportation Act.

(49 U.S.C. 1806; 49 CFR 1.53(e).)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on February 15, 1977.

J.R. GROTHE, Chief, Exemptions Branch, Office of Hazardous Materials Operations.

[FR Doc.77-5348 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

### HAZARDOUS MATERIALS REGULATIONS EXEMPTIONS

### Notice of Grants and Denials of Applications for Exemptions

In accordance with the procedures governing the applications for, and the processing of, exemptions from the Department of Transportation's Hazardous Materials Regulations (49 CFR Part 107, Subpart B), notice is hereby given of the exemptions granted December 1976. The modes of transportation involved are identified by a number in the "Nature of Exemption Thereof" portion of the table below as follows: 1—Motor vehicle, 2—Rail freight, 3—Cargo vessel, 4—Cargo-only aircraft, 5—Passenger-carrying aircraft.

Application numbers prefixed by the letters EE represent application for Emergency Exemptions.

### Renewals

Application No.	Exemption No.	Applicant	(tegulation(s) affected	Nature of exemption thereof
2000-X	DOT-E 2000	Union Carbide Corp., Tarrytown,	49 CFR 172.101, 173.301(a), 173.316(a)(2),	To ship liquelied methane and liquelied hydrogen in a non-DOT specifi- cation portable tank or a DOT specification 4L cylinder. (Mode 1.)
2787-X	DOT-E 2787	U.S. Department of Defense, Washington, D.C.; Raytheon Co., And-	49 CFR 173.302(a)(1), 175.3.	To ship nitrogen in a non-DOT specification pressure vessel. (Modes i 2, 3, and 4.)
3128-X	DOT-E 3128	over, Mass. Walter Kidde & Co., Inc., Belleville,	49 CFR 173.304, 175.3	To ship compressed gas, n.o.s. in a non-DOT specification cylinder. (Mode- 1, 2, 3, and 4.)
1007-X	DOT-E 3307	N.J. Heronles, Inc., Wilmington, Del.; Tro-	49 CFR 173.154, 173.183(c)	To ship nitre carbo nitrate or exidizers, n.o.s. in various types of plastic
4168-X	DOT-E 4168	jan U.S. Powder, Allentown, Pa. Air Products & Chemicals, Inc.,	49 UFR 173.304(a)(2)	bags. (Modes I, 2, and 3.) To ship sulfur hexafluoride in DOT specification 3A1800 and 3AA1800 cylinders with increased filling density. (Modes I and 2.)
4248-X	DOT-E 4248	Allentown, Pa. Mallinekrodt, Inc., St. Louis, Mo	49 OFR 173.245(a)(27), 173.268(d).	To ship corresive liquids in a DOT specification 33A polystyrene case will certain exceptions. (Modes 1, 2, and 3.)
0064-X	DOT-E 5064	FMC Corp., Philadelphia, Pa.; Mobay Chemical Corp., Kansas		To ship organic phosphate compound mixtures, dry in DOT specification 44B multiwall paper bags having up to 5 DOT specification 2D inne- bags, (Modes 1, 2, and 3.)
5196-P	DOT-E 5196	City, Mo.  Air Products & Chemicals, Inc., Allentown, Pa.; El Paso Products	49 CFR 172.101, 173.315(a)	To become a party to exemption 5196. (See application No. 5196-X.) (Mod-1.)
5822-X	DOT-E 5322	Co., Odessa, Tex. Boston Gas Co., Boston, Mass	. 49 CFR 172.101, 173.815(a)	. To ship liquefied natural gas or liquefied ethylene in a non-DOT specification vacuum-perlite insulated tank designed and constructed in accordance with sec. VIII of the ASME Code, with certain exceptious. (Mode I.
\$873-X	DOT-E 5372	Union Carbide Corp., Tarrytown,	49 CFR 178.301(d)(1), 178 302(a)(3), 173.304(a)(2),	<ul> <li>To ship certain compressed gases in a cylinder complying with DOT specification 3T with certain exceptions. (Modes 1 and 3.)</li> </ul>
5879-P	DOT-E 5872	N.Y. Stauffer Chemical Co., Westport, Conn.		To become a party to exemption 5372. (See application 5372-X.) (Mode 1 and 3.)

### Renewals

Application No.	Exemption No.	Applicant	Regulation(s) affected	Nature of exemption thereof
X-100	DOT-E 5634	Matson Navigation Co., San Fran- cisco, Calif.	49 CFR 173.119, 173.125	To ship certain flammable liquids in non-DOT specification portable
5649-X	DOT-E 5689	Drug Research, Inc., Adrian, Mich	46 CFR 173.154(a)	tanks with certain exceptions. (Modes I and 3.) To ship bromochlopodimethylhydantom maccordance with Olin Packagins Division drawings S.O. No. 68-19531 with certain exceptions. (Modes and 2.)
680-X	DOT-E 5680	Stauffer Chemical Co., Westport,	49 CFR 173.358(a)(II)	
736-X	DOT-E 5736		49 CFR 172.101, 173.314(c)	To ship liquefied ethylene in 2 proposed AAR specification 113C126W tani cars. (Modes 2 and 3.)
78-X	DOT-E 5749	E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Co., Inc., Wilmington, Del.	49 CFR 173.815(a)	To ship compressed gas, n.o.s. in an insulated nickel-steel DOT specification MC-331 cargo tank. (Mode I.)
849-X	DOT-E 5840	Diamond Shamrock Corp., Cleve- land, Ohio.	49 CFR 173.217(a)(5), 175.3.	To ship calcium hypochlorite mixture, dev in DOT englishmen MC about
812-X	DOT-E 5852	Gas Inc., Lowell, Mass.; South Jersey Gas Co., Folsom, N.J.		lated cargo tank designed and constructed to comply with sec. VIII of the ASME Code. (Mode 1.)
067-X	DOT-E 5067	Wash,		To ship monochlorodifluoromethane in steel pressure vessels complyin with the DOT specification SHT with certain exceptions. (Modes 1, 1, 4, and 5.)
1000-X	DOF-E 6000			To ship ethyl chlorothioformate in a portable tank constructed according to DOT specification 51 with certain exceptions. (Modes 1 and L.)
ин-х	DOT-3 6111	Air Products & Chemicals, Inc.,		designed and constructed in accordance with sec. VIII of ASME Code
6)13-I <sup>2</sup>		Providence, R.I.; Gas Inc., Lovell, Mass.; Fitchburg Gas & Electric Co., Boston, Mass.; South Jersey Gas Co., Folsom, M.J.; Bay State Gas Co., Folsom, M.J.;		To become a party to exemption 6113 anthorizing shipments of certain cryogenic liquids in tank motor vehicles. (See application No. EE-6113-X.) (Mode 1.)
1197-X	DOT-E 6197	Providence Gas Co., Providence, R.I.; Philadelphia Gas Works, Philadel- phia Gas Works, Philadelphia, Pa.; Capitol Truck Line, Compton, Calif.; Boston Gas Co., Malden, Mass.; El: Paso Gas Products, Odessa, Tex.; South Jersey Gas Co.		. To ship liquefied natural gas and liquefied methane in a vacuum-perlit insulated non-DOT specification cargo tank. (Mode L)
6225-X	DOT-E 6225	Great Lakes Chemical Corp., El- Dorado, Ark.	(9 CFR 178.252(g)	To ship bromine in glass jugs in DOT-33A polystyrene cases or DOT 13A- 100 wooden boxes. (Rodes 1 and 3.) To ship flammable liquids in a non-DOT specification pertable tank
1398-X	DOT-E 6398	Puerto Rico Marine Management, Inc., Elizabeth, N.J.	40 CFR 173.119	To ship flammable liquids in a non-DOT specification portable tank (Modes I and 3.)
6405-P	DOT-E 6164	Public Service Electric & Gas Co., Newark, N.J.; Boeton Gas Co., Boeton, Mase.; Northern Petro- chemical Co., Des Plaines, Ili.; South Jersey Cas Co., Folsom, N.J.; New Jersey Natural Gas Co., Asbury, N.J.; Bay State Gast Co.; Boston, Mass.; Philadelphia Gas Warks Philadelphia Pa.		To become a party to exemption 6464 authorizing shipment of certain cryogenic liquids in non-DOT specification tank motor vehicles. (Se application No. 6464-X) (Mode 1.)
6502-X	DOT-E 6502		40 CFR 173.34(e)	To ship sulfur hexafluoride in certain BOT 3A and 3AA cylinders with
017-X	DOT-E 6517	Coyne Cylinder Co., Huntsville, Ala.,	49 CFR 173.303(a)	To ship shifar hexafluoride in certain DOT 3A and 3AA cylinders will reless period extended to 10 yr under certain conditions. (Modes I and 2. To manufacture, mark and sell non-DOT specification steel cylinder complying with DOT specification 4BW, with certain exceptions, for shipment of acctyslene, (Modes 1, 2, and 3.)
1530-X	DOT-E 6530	Air Products & Chemicals, Inc., Allentown, Pa., Union Carbide Corp., Tarytown, N.Y.; Aireo Industrial Gases, Murray Hill, N.J.; Liquid	49 CFR 173,302(e)	shipment of acctylene, (Modes 1, 2, and 3.) To ship nonliquefied finnmable compressed gases in DOT specification 3A 3AA, 3AX, or 3AAX steel cylinders charged to a pressure 10 pect in excess of marked service pressure. (Modes 1 and 2.)
6536-X	DOT-E 6536	South Jersey Gas Co., Folsom, N.J., New Bedford Gas & Edison Light Co., New Bedford, Mass., Northern Petrochemical Co., Des Plaines, III., Bay State Gas Co., Beston, Mass., New Jersey Natural Gas Co., Asbury, N.J., Public Service Electric & Gas Co., Newark, N.J., E. P. Transportation Corp., Chester, N.Y., Chemical Leman Tank Line, Inc., Downington, Pa., Philadelphia Gas Works, Philadel- phia Pa.	49 CFR 172.101, 173.315(a)	To ship liquefied compressed gases in a non-DOT specification insulated rargo tank designed and constructed in accordance with sec. VIII of the AEME Code with certain exceptions. (Mode 1.)
034-X	DOT-E 6354	Bio-Lab, Inc., Decatur, Ga.; Airwick Industries, Inc., Teterboro,		To ship certain dry oxidizing materials in single trip, molded removable head, polyethylene containers. (Modes 1, 2, and 3.)
X25-X	DOT-E 6625	Martin Marietta Chemicals, Char- lotte, N.C.		To ship sodium pleramate, wet, in a DOT specification 21P fiber drum. (Mode L)
612-X	DOT-E 6632	Roper Plastics, Inc., Norwalk, Calif		To ship certain oxidizing materials in a 6 gal or 352 gal removable head polyethylene pail. (Modes 1, 3, and 3.)
MAX.	DOT-E 6636	Pennwalt Corp., Philadelphia, Pa	49 CFR 173.264(a)(11), 179.201-3(b),	To ship hydrofluorie acid solution in DOT specification 111A100W-17ubber lined tank cars. (Mode 2)
675-X	DOT-E 6675	Olia Corp., Stomford, Coun		To ship a compressed gas, n.o.s. In (win DOT specification MC-331 carge fanks, (Mode 1.)
730-X	DOT-E 6730	Sen-Land Service, Inc., Elizabeth,	100, 146.23-200, 146.23- 100; 48 OFR pt. 173.	To skip certain liquid hazardons materials in portable tanks comparable to DOT specification MC-307. (Modes 1, 2, and 3.)
6763-X	DOT-E 6763	Purex Corp., city of Industry, Calif	40 CFR 173.217(b)	To ship certain dry oxidizing materials in a non-DOT specification single wall corrugated fiberboard box having inside polyethylene containers (Modes I and 2.)

Application No.	Exemption No.	Applicant	Regulation(s) affected	Nature of exemption thereof
6774-X	DOT-E 6774	Hydraulic Research Textron, Pa- coima, Calif.; The Boeing Co., Seattle, Wash.	49 CFR 178.302(a) (2), 175.8	To ship belium in a non-DOT specification cylinder complying with DOT specification zHT with certain exceptions. (Modes 1 and 4.)
0605-X	DOT-E 6806	Union Carbide Corp., Tarrytown,	49 CFR 173.901(d), 173.302	To ship a compressed gas, n.o.s. in DOT specification 3AAX steel cylinder
6820-X	DOT-E 6828	N.Y. Boyle-Midway Division, American Home Products Corp., New York,	(a) (3). 49 CFR 173.244(a), 173.1200 (a).	with certain exceptions. (Mode 1.) To ship certain corrosive liquid packages described as consumer commodity. (Modes 1, 2, and 3.)
6838-X	DOT-E 6838	N.Y. Douglas Aircraft Co., Long Beach, Calif.; Air Cruisers Co., Belmar, N.J.	49 CFR 178.108(a)	. To ship hand signal devices integral to life raft or alide raft packages. (Mode 1, 4, and 5.)
0004-X	DOT-E 6904	Aldrich Chemical Co., Milwaukee, Wis.; Cationics, Inc., Columbia, S.C.	49 CFR 178.246(a), 175.8	To ship antimony pentafluoride in a DOT specification 12A fiberboard both aving inside glass bottle/metal can packaging. (Modes 1, 3, and 4.)
6021-X	DOT-E 0921		49 CFR 172,101, 178,315(a) (1).	To ship liquefied helium in an ASME Code insulated, containerized portable tank. (Modes 1 and 3.)
8941-X	DOT-E (011	Mobay Chemical Corp., Pittaburgh, Pa.; Allied Chemical Corp., Morris- town, N.J.; BASF Wyandotte Corp., Parsipanny, N.J.	Control of the Contro	To ship toluene disocyanate in tank motor vehicles complying with DO's specification MC-304 or MC-307 cargo tanks except for external bal valves. (Mode 1.)
7026-X	DOT-E 7026	Hydraulie Reserach Textron, Paco- ima, Calif.	49 CFR 173.304(a) (1), 175.3, 178.47.	To ship a compressed gas, n.o.s. in a non-DOT specification spherica steel pressure vessel complying with DOT specification 4DS with certain
7007-X	DOT-E 7087	ican, and International Air Trans-	40 CFR 173.34(e) (18)(iii), 175.3.	exceptions. (Modes 1, 4, and 5.) To ship nonflammable compressed gases in DOT specification 3HT cylin ders having service life period extended from 16 yr to 17 yr. (Modes 1, 4)
7344-X	DOT-E 7244	port Association, Washington, D.C. United Airlines, Sau Francisco, Calif.	49 CFR 173,302, 173,304, 175,3, 175,85(a).	and 5.)  To ship certain compressed gases in prescribed cylinders integral to pertable organ transplant units for transporting human kidneys for transplant.
7233-P	DOT-E 7253	Foote Mineral Co., Exton, Pa	49 CFR 172.101	purposes abourd aircraft. (Mode 5.)  To become a party to exemption 7253. (See application Nos. 76-281 and 76-310.) (Mode 3.)
7250-X	DOT-E 7200	Monsante Co., St. Louis, Me	. 49 CFR 176.76(g)(5)	To become a party to exemption 7220. (See application 76-79.) (Mode 3.
			[NEW EXEMPTIONS	
7472-N	DOT-E 7472	Garvey-Labelmatic, Maryland Heights, Mo.	49 CFR 173.144, 175.8, 178.24s-6.	To ship ink in polyethylene bottles complying with DOT specification 2E except for marking, overpacked in DOT specification 12B fiberboar
7480-N	DOT-E 7480			boxes. (Modes 1, 2, and 4.) To ship ammonium nitrate in water in DOT specification MC-311 insulate cargo tanks and 193ALW and 111A60ALW insulated tank cars. (Mode
7494-N	DOT-E 7694	Aireo Cryoplants, Murray Hill, N.J	49 CFR 172.101; 178.315(a)	1 and 2.) . To ship certain pressurized cryogenic liquids in a non-DOT specification cargo tank designed and constructed in accordance with sec. VIII of the ASME Code. (Mode 1.)
7496-N	DOT-E 7496	Thiokol Corp., Brigham City, Utah.,	40 CFR 178.88(e)(2)(ii)	To ship class B explosive rocket motors in a propulsive state under certain conditions. (Modes 1 and 3.)
		EMERGENCY E	XEMPTIONS—APPLICATIONS BEI	CHIVED AND GRANTED
EE2587-X	DOT-E 2587	Denisoa, Inc., Fredonia, Kans	49 CFR 172.101, 173.315(a)(1).	To ship liquid oxygen in non-DOT specification cargo tanks designed an constructed in accordance with sec. VIII of the ASME Code. (Mode 1.)
EE7444-X	DOT-E 7444	Northern Petrochemical Co., Des Phaines, Ill.; Public Service Electric & Gas Co., Newark, N.J.; New Jersey Natural Gas Co., Asbury Park, N.J.; South Jersey Natural Gas Co., Folsom, N.J.; Philhdel- phia Gas Works, Philadelphia, Pa.; Bay State Gas Co., Boston, Mass.		To ship liquefied instiral gas and liquefied ethylene in a non-DOT specification cargo tank designed and constructed in accordance with sec. VII of the ASME Code. (Mode I.)
	100			and the control of th

### DENTALS

76-375 Request by Bennett Industries, Peotone, III. To allow use of its 5-gallon capacity non-DOT specification removable head polyethylene (PE) container for shipment of certain corrosive liquids, denied December 15, 1976.

5242-X Request by Mitsubishi International Corp., New York, N.Y. To ship hydrogen peroxide in a DOT Specification 34 container without an overpack, denied December 14, 1976. (Docket HM-112 obviates the need.)

5242-X Request by Riches-Nelson, Inc., Greenwich, Conn. To ship hydrogen peroxide in a DOT Specification 34 container without an overpack, denied December 14, 1976. (Docket HM-112 obviates the need.)

5854-X, 6720-X Request by Sea-Land Service, Inc., Elizabeth, N.J. To delete the requirements for vacuum relief valves, denied December 13, 1976.

7250-X Request by Atlantica, New York, N.Y. To stow hazardous materials on board vessels in accordance with the International Maritime Dangerous Goods Code, denied December 13, 1976. (Docket HM-112 obviates the need.)

7421-X Request by Simon Wrecking Co., Inc., Williamsport, Pa. To ship flammable liquid waste material containing suspended solids in a non-DOT specification cargo tank, denied December 15, 1976.

7434-P Request by ICI United States, Inc., Wilmington, Del. To become party to E 7434 authorizing use of a non-DOT specification steel drum for shipment of damaged, leaking, of defective DOT Specification 17C or 17E metal drums, Denied December 14, 1976 as being unnecessary.

7456-X Request by E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Co., Inc., Wilmington, Del. To ship sodium cyanide in steel flo-bin containers, denied December 13, 1976. (Docket HM-112 obviates the need.)

7465-N Request by Department of Public Works, Juneau, Alaska. To authorize recreational vehicles which have as part of their equipment liquid petroleum gas cylinders to be transported on board passenger vessels, denied December 10, 1976. 7500-N Request by Park Chemical Co., Detroit, Mich. To ship certain nitrates and nitrites in plastic bags overpacked in non-DOT specification fiberdrums, denied December 8, 1976.

EE7614-N Request by Union Carbide Corp. Bound Brook, N.J. For an emergency exemption to ship certain flammable and corrosive amines in DOT-105A100W and higher pressure rated tank cars, denied December 17, 1976.

### WITHDRAWALS

6728-X Request by Ethyl Corporation, Baton Rouge, La. To ship chlorine in DOT Specification 105A500W tank car tanks containing less than the minus 2 percent prescribed in Note 12 of § 173.314(c), withdrawn December 29, 1976. (Docket HM-139 obviates the need.)

7429-X Request by Ethyl Corp., Baton Rouge, La. To ship a poisonous liquid, n.o.s. in DOT Specification 51 portable tank, withdrawn December 29, 1978. (Docket HM-139 obviates the need.) 7553-X Request by Ethyl Corp., Baton Rouge, La. To authorize stowage of motor fuel antiknock compound on more than 50 percent of total open deck area, with-drawn December 28, 1976. (Docket HM-112 obviates the need.)

J. R. GROTHE. Chief. Exemptions Branch, Office of Hazardous Materials Operations.

[FR Doc.77-5346 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

### DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Internal Revenue Service

### WRITTEN DETERMINATIONS SUBJECT TO PENDING LITIGATION

Disclosure of Written Determinations and Related Background File Documents

FEBRUARY 17, 1977.

Section 1201(b) of the Tax Reform Act of 1976 provides that any written determination (letter ruling, technical advice memorandum, or determination letter) or related background file document that has been requested in a proceeding under the Freedom of Information Act, must be made available to the complainant if the proceeding was commenced before January 1, 1976. Accordingly, the Internal Revenue Service is preparing to make available to the complainant in Internal Revenue Service v. Fruehauf Corporation, et al., 522 F 2d 284 (6th Cir. 1975), cert. granted 423 U.S. 1047 (1976), the categories of documents described by the court as follows:

(1) All unpublished private rulings and/ or letter rulings originating in the Miscellancous and Special Provisions Tax Division, Excise Tax Branch, of the Office of Assistant Commissioner (Technical), Internal Revenue Service, which were issued between Jan-uary 1, 1947 and to September 13, 1973 to manufacturers of automobile truck chassis, automobile truck bodies, truck and bus trailer and semi-trailer chassis, truck and bus trailer and semi-trailer bodies, and tractors of a kind chiefly used for highway transportation in combination with a trailer or semi-trailer, or to any trade association of any one or more such manufacturers, in which determinations were made of:

(e) All items includable or excludable in the price for which a taxable article is sold under section 4216(a) of the Internal Revenue Code (or any predecessor section) and

- any Regulations issued pursuant thereto. (b) The methods, means, formulae or procedures for determining or computing, by a manufacturer of taxable articles, the applicable constructive sales price, under section 4216(b), section 4216(b)(1), and section 4216(b)(2), of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 (or any predecessor section), and any Regulations issued pursuant thereto, upon sales by such manufacturers to:
  - A retailer (2) A wholesaler
  - (3) A wholesaler distributor
  - (4) A user or ultimate consumer

(c) The existence or non-existence under section 4216(b) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 (or any predecessor section). and any Regulations issued pursuant thereto, particularly (without limitation) Treasury Regulations Section 148.1-5 and Treasury Regulations 46(1940), Sections 216.8. 316.10, 316.12, 316.13, 316.14, and 316.15, of: (1) A retailer

(2) A wholesaler

A wholesaler distributor (3)

(4) Sales at retail (5) Sales at wholesale

(6) Sales to wholesale distributors

The methods, means, formulae, or procedures for determining or computing, by a manufacturer of taxable articles, the applicable exclusion of local advertising charges from the sales prices of taxable articles under section 4216(f) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 (or any predecessor section) and any Regulations issued pursuant thereto.

(e) The methods, means, formulae, or procedures for determining or computing, by a manufacturer of taxable articles, the credit for tax paid on tires or inner tubes under section 6416(c) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 (or any predecessor section) and any Regulations issued pursuant thereto.

(f) The definition of the term "the purchase price" as used in section 6416(c) (1) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 (or any predecessor section) and any Regulations is-

sued pursuant thereto.

(g) The definition(s) of taxable and nontaxable trailers, semi-trailers, truck and bus trailers and semi-trailer chassis, truck and bus trailer and semi-trailer bodies and containers under section 4061(a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 (or any predecessor section) and any Regulations issued pursuant thereto.

(h) The methods, means, formulae or procedures for computing the applicable tax under sections 4061(a) and 4216(b) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 (or any predecessor section) and any Regulations is-sued pursuant thereto on a projected or estimated basis instead of upon an article

by article basis.

The terms "private rulings and/or letter rulings" shall include (without limitation) both ordinary letter rulings, unpublished private rulings, and those portions of the responses to Technical Advice requests that are or were intended for issuance to taxpayers; and

(2) Communications with respect to such private rulings and/or letter rulings received by the Internal Revenue Service from persons outside the Executive Branch of United States Government (including, with-out limitations, members of Congress, Congressional staff members, and persons acting on behalf of the parties seeking rulings), together with the responses of the Internal Revenue Service to these outside communications. The communications requested include (without limitation) letters, conference memoranda and memoranda of telephone conversations.

Section 6110(c) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 requires the Internal Revenue Service to delete certain information from the documents described in this notice. The Internal Revenue Service intends to delete names and addresses, and will also attempt to recognize and delete other identifying details, trade secrets, and the other information described in section 6110(c), before making the documents available to the complainant.

Persons who have received written determinations described in this notice may contact the Internal Revenue Service to ascertain whether their particular written determinations or related background file documents will be made available to the complainant. These persons may also submit comments with respect to the information that the Internal Revenue Service intends to delete under section 6110(c). Questions and comments should be sent before March 25, 1977, to:

Assistant Director, Tax Forms and Publications Division, 1111 Constitution Avenue. N.W., Room 5577, Washington, D.C. 20224. (202) 566-6150.

If no questions or comments concerning a particular document are received by the Internal Revenue Service before March 25, 1977, that document will be made available to the complainant. If a timely comment is received concerning a particular document, the Internal Revenue Service will consider it before that document is made available to the complainant.

Comments with respect to information deleted under section 6110(c) must be in writing. Those comments made by authorized representatives on behalf of their clients must be accompanied by an appropriate power of attorney.

> DONALD C. ALEXANDER, Commissioner.

[FR Doc.77-5520 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am ]

### VETERANS ADMINISTRATION PRIVACY ACT OF 1974

### Proposed Notice of System of Records

The Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. 552a(e)(4)), requires that all agencies publish in the FEDERAL REGISTER, at least annually, a notice of the existence and character of their systems of records. Accordingly, the Veterans Administration published a notice of its inventory of personal records on September 7, 1976 (41 FR 37718). The proposed descriptions of records were adopted without change by notice published on page 48200 of the FEDERAL REGISTER of November 2, 1976.

Notice is hereby given that the Veterans Administration proposes to establish a new system of records entitled Employee ADP Training Records-VA" (59VA31). The purposes of this system of records are: (1) to assure the correct reporting of employee ADP (automatic data processing) training to the em-ployee's personnel folder; (2) to control the administration of Veterans Administration ADP training courses; and (3) to report ADP proficiency evaluation results to supervisor and employee.

The proposed description has been drafted in compliance with OMB Circular No. A-108, Transmittal Memorandum No. 1 (40 FR 45877, October 3, 1975) and is subject to the new system reporting requirements. Accordingly, a "New System" report and an advance copy of the revised system notice were sent, on January 14, 1977, to the Speaker of the House, the President of the Senate, the Privacy Protection Study Commission, and the Office of Management and Budget, as required by the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552a(o) of the Privacy Act and guidelines issued by the Office of Management and Budget (40 FR 45877), October 3, 1975.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments, suggestions, or objections regarding the proposal to the Veterans Affairs Administrator of (217A), Veterans Administration Central Office, 810 Vermont Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20420. All relevant material received before March 25, 1977, will be considered. All written comments received will be available for inspection at at the above address only between the hours of 8 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. Monday through Friday (except holidays), during the mentioned 30-day period and for 10 days thereafter. Any person visiting Central Office for the purpose of inspecting any such comments will be received by the Central Office Veterans Services Unit in room 132. Such visitors to any Veterans Administration field station will be informed that the records are available for inspection only in Central Office and furnished the address and the above room number.

Notice is hereby given that it is proposed to make this description effective on the date of final approval.

Approved: February 16, 1977.

R. L. ROUDEBUSH.
Administrator.

59VA31

System name:

Employee ADP Training Records-VA.

System location:

Records are maintained at the Department of Data Management, Central Office, Veterans Administration and the VA data processing centers. Address locations are listed in VA Appendix 1, "Address of Veterans Administration Pacilities" published September 7, 1976 (41 FR 37718).

Categories of individuals covered by the system:

Personnel currently employed at Veterans Administration Central Office and VA data processing centers who participate in ADP training.

Categories of records in the system:

Records that insure the proper reporting of completed employee ADP training to the employee personnnel folder. Records such as enrollment lists, examinations, and instructor evaluations of students that are created in the administration of in-house training programs. Records created in the administration of ADP proficiency evaluations used to determine training needs.

Authority for maintenance of the system: Title 5, United States Code, Section 301.

Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:

1. In the event that a system of records maintained by this agency to carry out its functions indicates a violation or potential violation of law, whether civil, criminal, or regulatory in nature, and whether arising by general statute

or particular program statute, or by regulation, rule or order issued pursuant thereto, the relevant records in the system of records may be referred, as a routine use, to the appropriate agency, whether Federal, state, local or foreign, charged with the responsibility of investigating or prosecuting such violation or charged with enforcing or implementing the statute, or rule, regulation or order issued pursuant thereto.

Disclosure may be made to a congressional office from the record of an individual in response to an inquiry from the congressional office made at the re-

quest of that individual.

3. A record from this system of records may be disclosed as a "routine use" to a Federal, state or local agency maintaining civil, criminal or other relevant enforcement information or other pertinent information, such as current licenses, if necessary to obtain information relevant to an agency decision concerning the hiring or retention of an employee, the issuance of a security clearance, the letting of a contract, or the issuance of a license, grant or other benefit.

4. A record from this system of records may be disclosed to a Federal agency, in response to its request, in connection with the hiring or retention of an employee, the issuance of a security clearance, the reporting of an investigation of an employee, the letting of a contract, or the issuance of a license, grant, or other benefit by the requesting agency, to the extent that the information is relevant and necessary to the requesting agency's decision on the matter.

Policies and practices for storing, retrieving, accessing, retaining, and disposing of records in the system:

Storage:

Paper documents.

Retrievability:

By course name, date, or employee name.

Safeguards:

Physical Security: Maintained under lock and key in file cabinets or desk drawers. Access to files is restricted to authorized training personnel.

Retention and disposal:

Records are maintained and destroyed in accordance with approved VA records control schedules.

System manager(s) and address:

Chief, Training and Standards Division, Department of Data Management, Veterans Administration Central Office, Washington, D.C. 20420.

Notification procedure:

A VA Central Office employee seeking information on records related to ADP training or proficiency evaluation results may make inquiry at the office of the Chief, ADP Training and Standard Division, Department of Data Management.

A VA data processing center employee seeking information on records related to ADP training or proficiency evaluation results may make inquiry through the station training coordinator or authorized training personnel.

### Record access procedures:

A VA Central Office employee may contact the office of the Chief, Training and Standards Division, Department of Data Management. A VA data processing center employee may contact the station training coordinator or authorized training personnel.

Contesting record procedures:

(See Record Access Procedures above).

Record source categories:

From the enrollment of an employee in an ADP training course or from the participation of an employee in an ADP proficiency evaluation.

[FR Doc.77-5499 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

[AB 1 (Sub-No. 52)]

### INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

CHICAGO AND NORTH WESTERN TRANS-PORTATION CO. ABANDONMENT BE-TWEEN ROSEMERE AND FOREST JUNC-TION IN MANITOWOC AND CALUMET COUNTIES, WISCONSIN

**Environmental Impact Determination** 

FEBRUARY 11, 1977.

The Interstate Commerce Commission hereby gives notice that comments received in response to the environmental threshold assessment survey (TAS) in the above-entitled proceeding have not caused the Commission's Section of Energy and Environment to modify its previous conclusion that this proceeding does not represent a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment within the meaning of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, 42 U.S.C. 4321, et seq.

Said comments have been responded to in an addendum to the TAS which is available upon request to the Office of Proceedings, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C. 20423, telephone 202–275–7011.

ROBERT L. OSWALD, Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-5651 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

[Docket No. AB-98 (Sub-No. 1)]

### CLAREMONT AND CONCORD RAILWAY COMPANY, INC.

Abandonment of Lines; Findings

Notice is hereby given pursuant to Section 1a(6)(a) of the Interstate Commerce Act (49 U.S.C. 1a(6)(a)) that by an order entered on December 20, 1976, a finding, which is administratively final, was made by the Administrative Law Judge, stating that, subject to the conditions for the protection of railway

employees prescribed by the Commission in Chicago, B. & Q. R. Co., Abandonment, 257 I.C.C. 700, and for public use as set forth in said order, the present and future public convenience and necessity permit the abandonment by the Claremont and Concord Railway Company of that portion of its line of railroad extending from railroad milepost 4 near Claremont, New Hampshire, in an easterly direction to end of line at Newport, New Hampshire, a distance of 11 miles, all in Sullivan County, New Hampshire. A certificate of abandonment will be issued to the Claremont and Concord Railway Company based on the above-described finding of abandonment, March 28, 1977, unless on or before March 28, 1977, the Commission further finds that:

A financially responsible person (including a government entity) has offered financial assistance (in the form of a rall service continuation payment) to enable the rail service involved to be continued; and

(2) It is likely that such proffered assist-

ance would:

(a) Cover the difference between the revenues which are attributable to such line of railroad and the avoidable cost of providing rail freight service on such line, together with a reasonable return on the value of such line, or

(b) Cover the acquisition cost of all or any portion of such line of railroad.

If the Commission so finds, the issuance of a certificate of abandonment will be postponed for such reasonable time, not to exceed 6 months, as is necessary to enable such person or entity to enter into a binding agreement, with the carrier seeking such abandonment, to provide such assistance or to purchase such line and to provide for the continued operation of rail services over such line. Upon notification to the Commission of the execution of such an assistance or acquisition and operating agreement, the Commission shall postpone the issuance of such a certificate for such period of time as such an agreement (including any extensions or modifications) is in effect. Information and procedures regarding the financial assistance for continued rail service or the acquisition of the involved rail line are contained in the Notice of the Commission entitled "Procedures for Pending Rail Abandonment Cases" published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on March 31, 1976, at 41 FR 13691. All interested persons are advised to follow the instructions contained therein as well as the instructions contained in the above-referenced order.

ROBERT L. OSWALD. Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-5650 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

[Notice No. 332]

### ASSIGNMENT OF HEARINGS

FEBRUARY 18, 1977.

Cases assigned for hearing, postponement, cancellation or oral argument appear below and will be published only once. This list contains prospective assignments only and does not include

cases previously assigned hearing dates. The hearings will be on the Issues as presently reflected in the Official Docket of the Commission, An attempt will be made to publish notices of cancellation of hearings as promptly as possible, but interested parties should take appropriate steps to insure that they are notified of cancellation or postponements of hearings in which they are interested.

W 1278 Sub No. 4, Bulk Food Carriers, Inc. now being assigned March 28, 1977 (1 week) at San Francisco, California and will be held in Room 510, 5th Floor, 211 Main Street.

MC 10761 Sub 280, Transamerican Freight Lines, Inc., now being assigned March 3, 1977, at the Office of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C. MC 127042 (Sub-172), Hagen, Inc., now being

MC 127042 (Sub-172), Hagen, Inc., now being assigned May 3, 1977 (1 day) at Chicago, Illinois in a hearing room to be later designated.

MC-F-12928, Ryder Truck Lines, Inc.—Purchase (Portion)—Transamerican Freight Lines, Inc., now being assigned May 4, 1977 (3 days) at Chicago, Illinois, in a hearing room to be later designated.

MC-C-8826, Agricultural Transportation
Association of Illinois, Charles Mansfield,
Marvin S. Mason, Lyle C. Becker, Robert
Klopp, Preeman L. Vaughn, Frank M. Cavanaugh and A. R. Schertz—Investigation
of Operations and Practices, now being assigned May 9, 1977 (1 week) at Chicago,
Illinois, in a hearing room to be later
designated.

MC 113666 (Sub-103), Freeport Transports, Inc. and MC 123744 (Sub-22), Butler Trucking Company, now being assigned March 21, 1977 (3 days) at Buffalo, New York, in Room No. 226 Federal Building, 111 West Huron.

MC 105369 Sub 12, N.Y. & N.J. Freightways, Inc. now being assigned April 20, 1977 (3 days) at New York, New York in a hear-

ing room to be later designated.
MC 133095 Sub 112, Texas Continental Express, Inc. now being assigned April 18, 1977 (2 days) at New York, New York in a hearing room to be later designated.

MC 107743 Sub 41, System Transport, Inc. now being assigned May 9, 1977 (1 week) for continued hearing at Chicago, Illinois in a hearing room to be later designated.

MC 59909 (Sub-13), Jacobs Transfer, Inc., now assigned March 23, 1977 at Washington, D.C., has been postponed to March 29, 1977, at the Offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C.

> ROBERT L. OSWALD, Secretary.

[FR Doc.77-5649 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

[Volume No. 5]

PETITIONS, APPLICATIONS, FINANCE MATTERS (INCLUDING TEMPORARY AUTHORITIES), RAILROAD ABANDON-MENTS, ALTERNATE ROUTE DEVIA-TIONS, AND INTRASTATE APPLICA-TIONS

Petitions for Modification, Interpretation or Reinstatement of Operating Rights Authority

NOTICE

PEBRUARY 18, 1977.

The following petitions seek modification or interpretation of existing operating rights authority, or reinstatement of terminated operating rights authority. An original and one copy of protests to the granting of the requested authority must be filed with the Commission on or before March 28, 1977. Such protest shall comply with Special Rule 247 (d) of the Commission's General Rules of Practice (49 CFR 1100.247) and shall include a concise statement of protestant's interest in the proceeding and copies of its conflicting authorities. Verified statements in opposition should not be tendered at this time. A copy of the protest shall be served concurrently upon petitioner's representative, or petitioner if no representative is named.

No. MC 2860 (Sub-No. 85) (Notice of filing of petition to modify exception), filed January 27, 1977. Petitioner: NA-TIONAL FREIGHT, INC., 57 West Park Avenue, Vineland. N.J. 08360, Petitioner's representative: W. Randall Tye, 1400 Candler Building, Atlanta, Ga. 30303. Petitioner holds a motor common car-rier Certificate in No. MC 2860 (Sub-No. 85) issued December 2, 1971, authorizing transportation over irregular routes, of (1) fibrous glass products and materials; (2) plastic products and materials; (3) asphalt and asbestos and asphalt and asbestos products; and (4) materials, supplies, and equipment used in the production, distribution, and installation of the commodities described in (1), (2), and (3) above (except commodities in bulk, commodities requiring the use of special equipment, and fiberglass boats), between the plant site and warehouse facilities of the Owens-Corning Fiberglass Corporation, located at or near Fairburn, Ga., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Alabama, Georgia, Florida, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, Virginia, and West Virginia, restricted to the transportation of shipments originating at or destined to the above-named plant site and warehouse facilities. By the instant petition, petitioner seeks to broaden the commodity description above by the deletion of commodities in bulk and commodities requiring the use of special equipment from the exception above, thereby allowing the transportation of those commodities.

No. MC 59150 (Sub-No. 37) (Notice of filing of petition to modify certificate, filed January 11, 1977. Petitioner: PLOOF TRUCK LINES, INC., 1414 Lindrose Street, P.O. Box 3277, Jacksonville, Fla. 32202. Petitioner's representative: Martin Sack, Jr., 1754 Gulf Life Tower, Jacksonville, Fla. 32207. Petitioner holds a motor common carrier Certificate in No. MC 59150 (Sub-No. 37), issued June 23, 1969, authorizing transportation over irregular routes, of Plywood, from the plant site of Panel Products Company, located at or near Lithonia, Ga., to points in that part of North Carolina on and west of U.S. Highway 21, South Carolina, and Tennessee, with no transporta-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Copies of Special Rule 247 (as amended) can be obtained by writing to the Secretary, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C. 20423.

tion for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized. By the instant petition, petitioner seeks (a) to modify the commodity description as stated above to read: "particleboard" in lieu of "plywood"; and (b) delete the plantsite of Panel Products Company, as the origin plantsite and substitute in lieu thereof, Georgia Pacific Corporation.

No. MC 118882 (Sub-No. 3) (Notice of filing of petition for declaratory order), filed December 30, 1976. Petitioner: JOE L. LAMBERT, doing business as JOE LAMBERT TRUCKING SERVICE, 715 Fox Avenue, Harrisville, W. Va. 26362, Petitioner's representative: John M. Friedman, 2930 Putnam Avenue, Hurricane, W. Va., 25526. Petitioner holds a motor common carrier Certificate in No. MC 118882 (Sub-No. 3), issued January 6, 1977, authorizing transportation over irregular routes, of coal, in bulk, in dump vehicles, from points in a specified portion of West Virginia to points in a specified portion of Ohio. By the instant petition, petitioner seeks a finding by the Commission that the authority above authorizes stoppage in transit for processing coal by crushing, or in the alternative, if no authority is found, requests that appropriate proceedings be instituted, with requisite carriers made parties thereto, to establish guidelines for the necessary enlargement of petitioner's and other carriers' authority, and that past attempts in good faith be recognized as an instrument justifying said enlargement of territory.

No. MC 139113 (Notice of filing of petition to modify territorial description), filed December 22, 1976, Petitioner: BRUNDIDGE TRANSPORTATION, INC., P.O. Box 187, Brundidge, Ala. 36010. Petitioner's representative: William P. Jackson, Jr., 3426 North Washington Boulevard, P.O. Box 1267, Arlington, Va. 22210. Petitioner holds a motor contract carrier Permit in No. MC 139113, issued July 25, 1975, authorizing transportation, as pertinent, over irregular routes, of (1) mayonnaise, salad dressing and salad dressing products, mustard, ketsup, jelly, tartar sauce, gelatin and gelatin products, from the facilities of Brundidge Foods, Inc., located at Brundidge, Ala., to points in the United States in and east of Minnesota, Iowa, Missouri, Kansas, Oklahoma, and Texas (except points in Maine, Vermont, and New Hampshire); and (2) materials, equipment and supplies used in the manufacture and distribution of the commodities named in (1) above, from points in the United States in and east of Minnesota, Iowa, Missouri, Kansas, Oklahoma, and Texas (except points in Maine, Vermont, and New Hampshire) to the facilities of Brundidge Foods, Inc., located at Brundidge, Ala.; (1) and (2) above are under a continuing contract, or contracts, with Brundidge Foods, Inc., of Brundidge, Ala. By the instant petition, petitioner seeks to modify the territorial description above (I) by adding in (1) above the facilities Brundidge Foods, Inc., lo-

cated at Troy, Ala, as an additional origin point; and (II) by adding in (2) above the facilities of Brundidge Foods, Inc., located at Troy, Ala., as an additional destination point.

No. MC 141941 (Notice of filing of petition to modify certificate), filed December 23, 1976, Petitioner: J & S Intermodal Service, Inc., 137 Fair St., Savannah, Ga. 31402. Petitioner's representative: William P. Jackson, Jr., 3426 North Washington Boulevard, P.O. Box 1267. Arlington, Va. 22210. Petitioner holds a motor common carrier Certificate in No. MC 141941, issued October 7, 1976, authorizing transportation over irregular routes, of, General commodities (except commodities in bulk, cement, and automobiles), between points in the Savannah, Ga., Commercial Zone as defined by the Commission, including Savannah, Ga., restricted against the transportation to or from points in South Carolina within the commercial zone of Savannah, Ga., as defined by the Commission; between points in the Jacksonville, Fla., Commercial Zone, as defined by the Commission, including Jacksonville, Pla., restricted to the transportation of shipments having an immediately prior or subsequent movement by water, (a) traffic in intermodal cargo containers, and (b) empty containers, container chassis, and accessory and auxiliary equipment, and further restricted, to the extent that it authorizes the transportation of Classes A and B explosives shall be limited in point of time to a period expiring January 21, 1981. By the instant petition, petitioner seeks to delete a portion of the restriction "to the transportation of shipments having an immediately prior or subsequent movement by water, (a) traffic in intermodal cargo containers, and (b) empty containers, container chassis, and accessory and auxiliary

REPUBLICATIONS OF GRANTS OF OPERATING RIGHTS AUTHORITY PRIOR TO CERTIFICA-

### NOTICE

The following grants of operating rights authorities are republished by order of the Commission to indicate a broadened grant of authority over that previously notice in the Federal Register.

An original and one copy of protests to the granting of the authority must be filed with the Commission within 30 days after the date of this FEDERAL REGISTER notice. Such protest shall comply with Special Rule 247(d) of the Commission's General Rules of Practice (49 CFR 1100.247) addressing specifically the issue(s) indicated as the purpose for republication, and including a concise statement of protestant's interest in the proceeding and copies of its conflicting authorities. Verified statements in opposition shall not be tendered at this time. A copy of the protest shall be served concurrently upon the carrier's representative, or carrier if no representative is named.

No. MC 133259 (Sub-No. 6) (Republication), filed January 26, 1976, published in the Federal Register issue of March 11, 1976, and republished this issue. Applicant: ALLIED AIR FREIGHT COR-PORATION, Griswold Industrial Park. Williston, Vt. 05495. Applicant's representative: John F. O'Donnell, 60 Adams St., P.O. Box 238, Milton, Mass. 02187. A Supplemental Order of the Commission, Review Board Number 2, dated December 10, 1976, and served January 10, 1977, finds that the present and future public convenience and necessity require operation by applicant, in interstate or foreign commerce, as a common carrier by motor vehicle, over irregular routes. in the transportation of (1) household cleaning agents, automobile care products, personal care products, vitamins. and food supplements (except commod-Ities in bulk); and (2) plastic articles, other than expanded, from Burlington, Vt., to points in St. Lawrence, Franklin. Clinton, and Essex Counties, N.Y., restricted in part (1) to the transportation of traffic originating at the facilities of Amway Corporation and destined to the indicated destinations, and further restricted in part (2) to the transportation of traffic originating at the facilities of Green Mountain Parties, Inc., and destined to the indicated destinations; that applicant is fit, willing, and able properly to perform such service and to conform to the requirements of the Interstate Commerce Act and the Commissions's rules and regulations thereunder. The purpose of this republication is to indicate (I) applicant's grant of common carrier authority in lieu of contract carrier authority; and (II) the addition of points in Franklin County, N.Y. as additional destination points in applicant's grant of authority.

No. MC 141571 (Republication), filed November 18, 1975, published in the Fen-ERAL REGISTER issue of January 8, 1976. and republished this issue. Applicant: DOMENICK BATTELINI, doing business as BATTELINI'S GARAGE, 351 Harding Highway, Landisville, N.J. 08326. Applicant's representative: George A. Olsen, 69 Tonnele Ave., Jersey City, N.J. 07306. An Order of the Commission, Review Board Number 1, dated February 7, 1977, and served February 10, 1977, finds that the present and future public convenience and necessity require operation by applicant, in interstate or foreign commerce. as a common carrier by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, in the transportation of (1) wrecked and disabled motor vehicles (except trailers designed to be drawn by passenger automobiles), by use of wrecker equipment only, and (2) replacements for the vehicles named in (1), by use of wrecker equipment only, between Newfield, Vineland, and points in Atlantic County, N.J., on the one hand. and, on the other, points in Pennsylvania. Maryland, Delaware, New York, Virginia, West Virginia, Ohio, Connecticut, Massachusetts, and the District of Columbia; that applicant is fit, willing, and able properly to perform such service and to conform to the requirements of the Interstate Commerce Act and the Commission's rules and regulations thereunder. The purpose of this republication is to indicate the addition of Newfield and Vineland, N.J., as additional base points in applicant's grant of authority.

MOTOR CARRIER, BROKER, WATER CARRIER AND FREIGHT FORWARDER OPERATING RIGHTS APPLICATIONS

#### NOTICE

The following applications are governed by Special Rule 247 of the Commission's General Rules of Practice (49 CFR § 1100.247). These rules provide, among other things, that a protest to the granting of an application must be filed with the Commission within 30 days after the date of notice of filing of the application is published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. Failure to seasonably to file a protest will be construed as a waiver of opposition and participation in the proceeding. A protest under these rules should comcomply with Section 247(d)(3) of the rules of practice which requires that it set forth specifically the grounds upon which it is made, contain a detailed statement of protestant's interest in the proceeding (including a copy of the specific portions of its authority which protestant believes to be in conflict with that sought in the application, and describing in detail the method-whether by joinder, interline, or other means-by which protestant would use such authority to provide all or part of the service proposed), and shall specify with particularity the facts, matters, and things relied upon, but shall not include issues or allegations phrased generally. Protests not in reasonable compliance with the requirements of the rules may be rejected. The original and one copy of the protest shall be filed with the Commission, and a copy shall be served concurrently upon applicant's representative, or applicant if not representative is named. If the protest includes a request for oral hearing, such requests shall meet the requirements of section 247(d)(4) of the special rules, and shall include the certification required therein.

Section 247(f) further provides, in part, that an applicant who does not intend timely to prosecute its application shall promptly request dismissal thereof, and that failure to prosecute an application under procedures ordered by the Commission will result in dismissal of the application.

Further processing steps will be by Commission order which will be served on each party of record. Broadening amendments will not be accepted after the date of this publication except for good cause shown, and restrictive amendments will not be entertained following publication in the Federal Register of a notice that the proceeding has been assigned for oral hearing.

Each applicant states that there will be no significant effect on the quality of the human environment resulting from approval of its application. No. MC 4705 (Sub-No. 4), filed January 6, 1977. Applicant: LAWRENCE NEPPL TRUCKING, INC., Halbur, Iowa 51444. Applicant's representative: James M. Hodge, 1980 Financial Center, Des Moines, Iowa 50309. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Soybean oil, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from the facilities of Farmers Cooperative Association located at or near Ralston, Iowa, to Terre Haute, Ind.

Note.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Omaha, Nebr.

No. MC 9728 (Sub-No. 8), filed January 7, 1977. Applicant: T. F. DUNLAP TRUCKING CO., INC., 1280 Hicks Blvd., Fairfield, Ohio 45014. Applicant's representative: James R. Stiverson, 1396 West Fifth Avenue, Columbus, Ohio 43212. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Prejabricated buildings, knocked down, in sections, or assembled in units, and building materials, between Denver, Colo, and Yorktown, Ind., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii), under a continuing contract or contracts with Pease Company.

Norm.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Columbus, Ohio or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 19157 (Sub-No. 29), filed January 14, 1977. Applicant: McCORMACK'S HIGHWAY TRANSPORTATION, INC., Route 3—Box 4, Campbell Road, Schenectady, N.Y. 12306, Applicant's representative: Clem Tomlins (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transport-ing: Plastic and rubber materials and plastic and rubber articles (except commodities in bulk and those which because of size or weight require the use of special equipment), between Preston, Ark., on the one hand, and, on the other, Louisville, Ky., and points in Alabama, Georgia, Indiana, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Mississippi, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Tennessee, Virginia and West Virginia.

Note.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Washington, D.C., or Memphis, Tenn.

No. MC 19157 (Sub-No. 30), filed January 10, 1977, Applicant: McCORMACK'S HIGHWAY TRANSPORTATION, INC., Route 3—Box 4 Campbell Road, Schenectady, N.Y. 12306. Applicant's representative: Clem Tomlins (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Aluminum, glass and wood products (except commodities in bulk and those which because of size or weight require the use of special equipment), between Magnolia, Miss., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Michigan, Ohio, Tennessee and Wisconsin.

Note.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Schenectady, N.Y., or Jackson, Miss.

No. MC 19157 (Sub-No. 31), filed January 11, 1977. Applicant: McCORMACK'S HIGHWAY TRANSPORTATION, INC., R.D. No. 3, Box 4 Campbell Road, Schenectady, N.Y. 12306. Applicant's representative: Clem Tomlins (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Polywrethane, polywrethane foam, synthetic fibers and compounds (except in bulk), from points in Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Michigan, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Virginia, West Virginia and Wisconsin, to Future City, Ill., and St. Albans, W. Va.

Norz.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Albany, N.Y., or Louisville, Ky.

No. MC 19157 (Sub-No. 32), filed January 13, 1977. Applicant: McCORMACK'S HIGHWAY TRANSPORTATION, INC., R.D. 3, Box 4, Campbell Road, Schenectady, N.Y. 12306. Applicant's representative: Clem Tomlins (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: General commodities (except in bulk), between Keithsburg, Ill., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the United States in and east of Illinois, Kentucky, Mississippi, Tennessee and Wisconsin, restricted to traffic having a prior or subsequent movement by rail.

Nore.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests that it be held at either Albany, N.Y., or Springfield, Ill.

No. MC 19311 (Sub-No. 32), filed January 6, 1977. Applicant: CENTRAL TRANSPORT, INC., 34200 Mound Road, Sterling Heights, Mich. 48077. Applicant's representative: Walter N. Bieneman, 100 West Long Lake Road, Suite 102, Bloomfield Hills, Mich. 48013, Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: General commodities (except those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and commodities requiring special equipment), serving Greenup, Ky, as an offroute point in connection with otherwise authorized operations.

Note.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Washington, D.C. or Detroit, Mich.

No, MC 25798 (Sub-No. 285), filed January 11, 1977. Applicant: CLAY HYDER TRUCK LINES, INC., P.O. Box 1186, Auburndale, Fla, 33823. Applicant's representative: Tony G. Russell (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Frožen bakery goods, from Livonia, Mich., to points in Arkansas, Louisiana, New Mexico, Oklahoma and Texas.

Nore.—Common control may be involved.

If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Detroit, Mick.

No. MC 29120 (Sub-No. 200), filed January 6, 1977, Applicant: ALL-AMERI-CAN, INC., P.O. Box 769, 900 West Delaware, Sioux Falls, S. Dak. 57101. Applicant's representative: Ralph H. Jinks (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier. by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Electric ranges and/or microwave ovens and such commodities as are used in the manufacture of electric ranges and/or microwave ovens, and materials, supplies, and accessories related thereto, between the plantsite and/ or storage facilities utilized by Litton Microwave Cooking Products located at Sioux Falls, S. Dak., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Indiana. Michigan and Ohio, restricted to traffic originating at or destined to the above named facilities located at Sioux Falls. S Dak

Note.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Sioux Falls, S. Dak, or Minneapolis, Minn.

No. MC 31389 (Sub-No. 223), filed Jan-Applicant: McLEAN 1977. unry TRUCKING COMPANY, a corporation, 617 Waughtown Street, P.O. Box 213. Winston-Salem, N.C. 27102. Applicant's representative: David F. Eshelman (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: General commodities (except those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission commodities in bulk and those requiring special equipment): Serving points in Putnam, Cabell, Mason and Jackson Counties, W. Va., as offroute points in connection with applicant's presently held regular-route operations.

Note—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Washington, D.C., or Charleston, W. Va.

No. MC 36556 (Sub-No. 37), filed January 6, 1977. Applicant: BLACKMON TRUCKING, INC., P.O. Box 186, Somers, Wis. 53171. Applicant's representative: Fred H. Figge (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Fertilizer compounds, ice melting compounds, vermicuitle products, (except crude, in bulk, in tank vehicles), from Union Grove, Wis., to points in Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, Ohio and South Dakota.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill. or Milwaukee, Wis.

No. MC 43683 (Sub-No. 29), filed December 20, 1976. Applicant: BAKER DRIVEAWAY COMPANY, INC., 3999 East South Boulevard, Bloomfield Hills, Mich. 48013. Applicant's representative:

S. S. Eisen, 370 Lexington Avenue, New York, N.Y. 10017. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: New automobiles, new trucks, bodies, cabs, chassis and unfinished automobiles, in truckaway service, in initial and secondary movements, (1) from the plantsites and facilities of the Chrysler Corporation located in Belvidere, Ill., and Boone County, Ill., to points in Maryland, Michigan, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, West Virginia, and the District of Columbia; and (2) from the plantsites and facilities of the Chrysler Corporation located in St. Louis, Mo. and St. Louis County, Mo., to points in Maryland, Michigan, New Jersey, New York. North Carolina, Ohio. Pennsylvania, South Carolina, West Virginia, and the District of Columbia.

Note.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Detroit Mich, or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 47171 (Sub-No. 92), filed January 7, 1977. Applicant: COOPER MOTOR LINES, INC., 301 Hammett Street, P.O. Box 4259. Greenville, S.C. 29608. Applicant's representative: Harris G. Andrews (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Drugs, medicines and toilet preparations, between Norwich and North Norwich, N.Y., on the one hand, and, on the other. Greenville, S.C.

NOTE—Common control may be involved.
If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 52460 (Sub-No. 190), filed December 29, 1976. Applicant: ELLEX TRANSPORTATION, INC., 1420 West 25th St., P.O. Box 9637, Tulsa, Okla. 74107. Applicant's representative: Wilburn L. Williamson, 280 National Foundation Life Bldg., 3535 N.W. 58th St., Oklahoma City, Okla, 73112, Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Anhydrous ammonia, nitrogen fertilizer solutions, and urea liquor, from the plantsites of Oklahoma Nitrogen Corporation and Bison Chemical Company located at or near Woodward, Okla., to points in Arkansas, Colorado, Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Missouri, Nebraska, New Mexico, Oklahoma, South Dakota and Texas.

Note.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Oklahoma City, Okla.

No. MC 52579 (Sub-No. 160), filed Jannary 13, 1977. Applicant: GILBERT CARRIER CORP., One Gilbert Drive. Secaucus. N. J. 07094. Applicant's representative: Irving Klein, 371 Seventh Ave.. New York, N. Y. 10001. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Wearing apparel on hangers, in packages or cartons, and wearing apparel accessories and supplies, used, sold and dealt in by wearing apparel stores.

for retail store distribution, from Shreveport, La., to Arlington, Tex., and points in the New York, N.Y. Commercial Zone.

Nork.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at New York, N.Y., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 57697 (Sub-No. 6), filed February 9, 1977. Applicant: LESTER SMITH TRUCKING, INC., 2645 E. 51st Avenue, Denver, Colo, 80216, Applicant's representative: Michael J. Norton, Suite 404. Boston Building, P.O. Box 2135, Salt Lake City, Utah 84110, Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Lumber, lumber mill products, sawmill products, wood products, composition board, and wallboard, from points in Idaho, Montana, Oregon and Washington, to points to Colorado, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Michigan, Minn-esota, Missouri, Nebraska, New Mexico, Ohio, Oklahoma, North Dakota, South Dakota, Wisconsin and Wyoming.

HEARING: On March 14, 1977, at 9:30 a.m., Local Time, at the Island Room. Edgewater Inn. 2411 Alaska Way, Seattle. Wash.

Nore.-Common control may be involved.

No. MC 59583 (Sub-No. 158), filed January 12, 1977. Applicant: THE MASON AND DIXON LINES, INCORPORATED. Eastman Road, P.O. Box 969, Kingsport, Tenn. 37662. Applicant's representative: Kim D. Mann, 7101 Wisconsin Avenue. N.W., Suite 1010, Washington, D.C. 20014. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: General commodities (except those of unusual value. Classes A and B explosives, household goods, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment), serving the plantsite and facilities of Tennessee Valley Authority, Hartsville Nuclear Plant, located at or near Hartsville (Trousdale County). Tenn., as off-route points in connection with applicant's authorized regular-route operations.

Note.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests that it be held at either Chattanooga, Tenn. or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 59957 (Sub-No. 48), filed January 11, 1977. Applicant: MOTOR FREIGHT EXPRESS, a corporation. Arsenal Road and Toronita St., York, Pa. 17402. Applicant's representative: Walter M. F. Neaugebauer, (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: General commodities (except those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, livestock, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment), Serving the facilities of Philip Morris, U.S.A., located at or near Chesterfield, Va., as an off-route point in connection with applicant's presently authorized regular-route operations.

Note.-Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the appli-Va. or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 61396 (Sub-No. 321), filed January 10, 1977. Applicant: HERMAN BROS. INC., 2565 St. Marys Avenue P.O. Box 189, Omaha, Nebr. 68101. Applicant's representative: John E. Smith, II, (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Liquefled petroleum gas, in bulk, in tank vehicles, (1) from points in Kansas, to points in Missouri; and (2) from points in that part of Kansas on and west of U.S. Highway 81, to points in that part of Iowa on and east of U.S. Highway 169.

Note.-If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Minneapolis, Minn. or Omaha. Nebr.

No. MC 61396 (Sub-No. 322), filed January 10, 1977. Applicant: HERMAN BROS, INC., 2565 St. Marys Avenue, P.O. Box 189, Omaha, Nebr. 68101. Applicant's representative: John E. Smith, II (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier. by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Flour, from the plantsites and storage facilities of ConAgra, Inc., located at Omaha, Nebr., to points in

Note.-- If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests that it be held at

No. MC 65626 (Sub-No. 32), filed February 15, 1977. Applicant: FREDONIA EXPRESS, INC., P.O. Box 222, Fredonia. N.Y. 14063. Applicant's representative: E. Stephen Heisley, 666 Eleventh Street. N.W., Suite 805, Washington, D.C. 20001. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Foods, food products, food ingredients, and products requiring mechanical refrigeration (except in bulk), (1) from the warehouses of Beatrice Foods Co., located at Scranton, Pa., and located at or near Allentown, Pa., to points in Connecticut. Delaware, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky. Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Virginia, Vermont, West Virginia and the District of Columbia, restricted to the transportation of traffic originating at the warehouses of Beatrice Foods Co. located at Scranton, Pa., and located at or near Allentown, Pa., and destined to the named destination states; and (2) from points in Connecticut, Delaware, Indiana, Illinois, Kentucky, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Vermont, Rhode Island. Virginia, West Virginia, and the District of Columbia to the warehouses of Beatrice Foods Co., located at Scranton, Pa., and located at or near Allentown, Pa., restricted to the movement of traffic originating in the named origin states and destined to the warehouses of Beatrice Foods Co., located at Scranton, Pa., and located at or near Allentown, Pa. HEARING: On March 17, 1977, at 9:30 a.m., Local Time, at the offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C.

No. MC 74321 (Sub-No. 127), filed January 7, 1977. Applicant: B. WALKER, INC., P.O. Box 17-B, 1555 Tremont Place, Denver, Colo. 80217. Applicant's representative: Richard P. Kissinger, Suite 140 Cherry Creek Ctr., 360 South Monroe, Denver, Colo. 80209. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Machinery, equipment, materials, and supplies used in, or in connection with, the discovery, development, production, refining, manufacture, processing, storage, transmission, and distribution of natural gas and petroleum and their products and by-products, and machinery, materials, equipment and supplies used in, or in connection with construction, operation, repair, servicing, maintenance and dismantling of pipe lines, including the stringing and picking up thereof; and (2) earth drilling machinery and equipment, and machinery, equipment, materials, supplies and pipe incidental to, used in, or in connection with (a) the transportation, installation, removal, operation, repair, servicing, maintenance, and dismantling of drilling machinery and equipment, (b) the completion of holes or wells drilled, (c) the production, storage, and transmission of commodities resulting from drilling operations at well or hole sites and (d) the injection or removal of commodities into or from holes or wells, between points in, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Virginia, and Pennsylvania, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in United States, including Alaska but excluding Hawaii.

Nore.-Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held on a consolidated record with a similar application at Houston, Tex., Tulsa, Okla., San Francisco, Calif., St. Louis, Mo. and Pittsburgh, Pa.

No. MC 78400 (Sub-No. 49), filed December 30, 1976. Applicant BEAUFORT TRANSFER COMPANY, a Corporation. P.O. Box 151, Gerald, Mo. 63037, Applicant's representative: Thomas F. Kilroy, P.O. Box 2069, Springfield, Va. 22152. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Roofing, roofing supplies, and roofing materials, between St. Louis, Mo., Little Rock, Ark., and points in Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, Missouri, Oklahoma, Tennessee, and Texas.

Note.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at St. Louis,

No. MC 78687 (Sub-No. 45), filed February 15, 1977. Applicant: LOTT MO-

Penn Yan, N.Y. 14527. Applicant's representative: E. Stephen Heisley, Suite 805, 666 Eleventh Street, N.W., Washington. D.C. 20001. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle. over irregular routes, transporting: Foods, food products, food ingredients, and products requiring mechanical refrigeration (except in bulk), (1) from the warehouses of Beatrice Foods Co., located at Scranton, Pa., and at or near Allentown, Pa., to points in Connecticut, Delaware, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Virginia, Vermont, West Virginia and the District of Columbia, restricted in (1) above to the transportation of traffic originating at the warehouses of Beatrice Foods Co. at Scranton, Pa. and at or near Allentown, Pa. and destined to the name destination states; and (2) from points in Connecticut, Delaware, Indiana, Illinois, Kentucky, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Vermont, Rhode Island, Virginia, West Virginia, and the District of Columbia, to the warehouses of Beatrice Foods Co., located at Scranton, Pa. and at or near Allentown, Pa., restricted in (2) above to the movement of traffic originating in the name origin states and destined to the warehouses of Beatrice Foods Co. at Scranton, Pa., and at or near Allentown,

Nore.-This application is to be consolidated with similar applications. Applicant holds contract carrier authority in No. MC 2505; therefore dual operations may be involved. Common control may also be in-volved: Hearing: Set for March 17, 1977, at 9:30 a.m., Local Time, at the offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C.

No. MC 83539 (Sub-No. 453), filed January 10, 1977. Applicant: C & H TRANSPORTATION CO., INC., 1936-2010 West Commerce Street, P.O. Box 5976, Dallas, Tex. 75222. Applicant's representative: Thomas E. James (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Aircraft loading and maintenance equipment, from point in Monterey County, Calif., to points in the United States, including Alaska but excluding Hawaii.

Note. -Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the appli-cant requests it be held at either San Francisco, Calif. or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 83539 Sub-No. 454), filed January 10, 1977, Applicant: C & H TRANSPORTATION CO., INC., 1936-2010 West Commerce Street, Dallas. Tex. 75208. Applicant's representative: Thomas E. James (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Pre-cut log building and materials and supplies used in or incidental to the TOR LINES, INC., 118 Monell Street, erection thereof, from points in Colorado,

to points in Arizona, Iowa, Kansas, Montana, Nebraska, New Mexico, North Dakota, Oklahoma, South Dakota, Texas, Utah and Wyoming.

Note.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Denver, Colo. or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 93903 (Sub-No. 14), filed January 10, 1977. Applicant: ANDERSON'S TRUCKING CORPORATION, P.O. Box 709, Englishtown, N.J. 07726, Applicant's representative: Nathaniel H. Yohalem, Sutton Metropark, Woodbridge, N.J. 07095. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Concrete pipes and poles, light and telephone poles. fittings, forms, molds, connectors, grout and other equipment and accessories used in the manufacture and installation thereof (except cranes and other lifting devices and heavy machinery), between Hillsboro, N.J., and points in Connecticut, Delaware, Indiana, Kentucky, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hamp-shire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, and the District of Columbia, under a continuing contract, or contracts, with Interpace Corporation.

Note.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests that it be held at either New York, N.Y. or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 100449 (Sub-No. 71), filed January 10, 1977. Applicant: MAL-LINGER TRUCK LINES, INC., R.R. No. 4, Fort Dodge, Iowa 50501. Applicant's representative: Thomas E. Leahy, 1980 Financial Center, Des Moines, Iowa 50309. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Frozen foods, from Chicago and Deerfield, Ill., to points in Oklahoma and Texas, restriced to traffic originating at the plant-site and storage facilities of Kitchens of Sara Lee located at Chicago and Deerfield, Ill., and destined to the named destination states.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests that it be held at either Chicago, Ill. or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 103798 (Sub-No. 12), filed January 10, 1977. Applicant: MARTEN TRANSPORT, LTD., a Corporation, Route No. 3, Mondovi, Wis. 54755. Applicant's representative: Val M. Higgins, 1000 First National Bank Bldg., Minneapolis, Minn. 55402. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Ferric methionine sulfate, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Pearl Rivers La., to Mondovi, Wis.

Note.—Applicant holds contract carrier authority in MC-39853 and Sub-No. 1 thereunder, therefore dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Minneapolis, Minn.

No. MC 105813 (Sub-No. 220), filed January 13, 1977. Applicant: BELFORD TRUCKING CO., INC., 1759 S.W. 12th Street, P.O. Box 1936, Ocala, Fla. 32670. Applicant's representative: Arnold L. Burke, 180 North LaSalle Street, Chicago, Ill. 60601. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Pickles, pickled tomatoes, sauerkraut and relishes, in mechanically refrigerated vehicles (except commodities in bulk, in tank vehicles), from the plantsite of Claussen Pickle Co. a wholly-owned subsidiary of Oscar Mayer & Co., Inc., located at or near Woodstock, Ill., to points in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, North Carolina, South Carolina and Tennessee, restricted to traffic originating at the above-named origin and destined to the states named.

Note.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Chicago. Ill.

No. MC 106398 (Sub-No. 766), filed January 10, 1977. Applicant: NATIONAL TRAILER CONVOY, INC., 525 South Main, Tulsa, Okla. 74103. Applicant's representative: Irvin Tull (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Material and supplies, used in the manufacture of fiberglass reinforced plywood panels, from points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii), to the piantsite of Cor-Tec, Inc., located at Washington Court House, Ohio.

Nore.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Cincinnati, Ohio.

No. MC 106398 (Sub-No. 767), filed January 10, 1977. Applicant: NATIONAL TRAILER CONVOY, INC., 525 South Main, Tulsa, Okla. 74103. Applicant's representative: Irvin Tull (same address as applicant). Authority scught to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Heating, cooling and ventilating equipment; cabinets and shelves; wall facing and materials; and unihouse panels and accessories, from point in Spaulding County, Ga., to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii).

Nore.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Atlanta, Ga.

No. MC 107403 (Sub-No. 996), filed January 6, 1977. Applicant: MATLACK, INC., Ten West Baltimore Avenue, Lansdowne, Pa. 19050. Applicant's representative: Martin C. Hynes, Jr. (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Soybean products, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Cairo, Ill., to points in Alabama, Arkansas, Georgia, Indiana, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Michigan, Mississippi, Missouri, Ohio, Tennessee and Wisconsin.

Note.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 108119 (Sub-No. 55), filed January 7, 1977. Applicant: E. L. MURPHY TRUCKING CO., a Corporation, 3303 Sibley Memorial Highway, P.O. Box 3010, St. Paul, Minn. 55165. Applicant's representative: Andrew C. Clark, 1000 First

National Bank Building, Minneapolis, Minn. 55402. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Trailer-mounted asphalt plants in truckaway and driveaway service, between the plantsites and facilities of the Boeing Company, located in King County, Wash., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the United States, (excluding Alaska and Hawaii).

Note.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Seattle, Wash, or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 108460 (Sub-No. 57), filed January 10, 1977. Applicant: PETROLEUM CARRIERS COMPANY, a Corporation, 5104 West 14th Street, P.O. Box 762, Sioux Falls, S. Dak. 57101. Applicant's representative: Gary Mundhenke (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Anhydrous ammonia, from the facilities of Gulf Central Pipeline located at or near Spencer and Holstein, Iowa, and David City, Nebr., to points in Iowa, Minnesota, Nebraska, North Dakota and South Dakota.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applican requests it be held at either Sioux Falls. S. Dak. or Des Moines, Iowa.

No. MC 108473 (Sub-No. 38), filed De-cember 27, 1976. Applicant; ST. JOHNS-BURY TRUCKING COMPANY, INC., 87 Jeffrey Avenue, Holliston, Mass. 01746. Applicant's representative: Francis P. Barrett, P.O. 238, 60 Adams Street, Milton, Mass. 02187. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: General commodities (except those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, livestock, household goods as defined by the Commission, automobiles, commodities in bulk and those requiring special equipment), serving points in New Hampshire as off-route points to applicant's existing regular route authority.

Note.—Applicant states that it holds radial irregular route authority to serve points in New Hampshire in No. MC 108473, as well as a network of regular routes throughout the state. Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests that it be held at either Boston, Mass. or Concord, N.H.

No. MC 108937 (Sub-No. 44), filed January 10, 1977, Applicant: MURPHY MOTOR FREIGHT LINES, INC., 2323 Terminal Road, St. Paul, Minn, 55113. Applicant's representative: Raymond L. Stevens (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Electrical ranges and microwave ovens, and such commodities as are used in the manufacture of electric ranges and microwave ovens, including materials, supplies and accessories related thereto, between the plantsite and storage facilities utilized by Litton Microwave Cooking Products, at Sioux Falls, S. Dak., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Michigan, Minnesota, Ohio, and Wisconsin, restricted to traffic originating at or destined to the above named facilities at Sioux Falls, S. Dak.

Note,-Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at either St. Paul or Minneapolis, Minn.

No. MC 109708 (Sub-No. 69), January 3, 1977, Applicant: INDIAN RIVER TRANSPORT CO., doing business as INDIAN RIVER TRANSPORT. INC., 2580 Executive Road, Dundee, Fla. 33838. Applicant's representative: James E. Wharton, Suite 811, Metcalf Building, 100 South Orange Avenue, Orlando, Fla. 32801. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Potable alcohol and neutral grain spirits, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Peoria, Ill., to points in Indiana, Kentucky and Ohio.

Note -- If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests that it be held at either Peoria or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 111302 (Sub-No. 101), filed January 14, 1977. Applicant: HIGH-WAY TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 10470, 1500 Amherst Road, Knoxville, Tenn. 37919. Applicant's representative: David A. Petersen (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting; Chemicals, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Gordon, Ga., to points in Alabama, Floriida, North Carolina, South Carolina and Tennessee.

Note.-Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests that it be held at Tampa, Fla.

No. MC 111383 (Sub-No. 42), filed January 11, 1977. Applicant: BRAS-WELL MOTOR FREIGHT LINES, INC. 3925 Singleton, Blvd., P.O. Box 4447, Dallas, Tex. 75208. Applicant's representative: James Smith (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: General commodities, (except those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk and those requiring special equipment): Serving the plantsite of Ditto of California, located at Colfax, La., as an offroute point in connection with applicant's presently authorized regularroute operations.

Nore.-If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Dallas,

No. MC 111545 (Sub-No. 229), filed January 3, 1977. Applicant: HOME TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, a Corporation, 1425 Franklin Road, S.E., Marietta, Ga. 30067. Applicant's representative: Robert E. Born, P.O. Box 6426, Station A, Marietta, Ga. 30065. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Mining equipment; (2) attachments and parts of mining and supplies (except commodities in bulk), used in the manufacture of mining equipment, between the facilities of Reading, U.S., Inc., located at or near Orange Park, Fla., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the United States, including Alaska but excluding Hawaii.

Nove.-- If a hearing is deemed necessary the applicant requests it be held at Jacksonville, Fla., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 111729 (Sub-No. 692), filed January 3, 1977. Applicant: PUROLA-TOR COURIER CORP., 3333 New Hyde Park Road, New Hyde Park, N.Y. 11040. Applicant's representative: Elizabeth L. Henoch (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Business papers, records, audit and accounting medial of all kinds; (a) between Lincoln and Omaha, Nebr., restricted to traffic having an immediately prior or subsequent movement by air; (b) between points in Illinois, Missouri, and Texas, restricted to traffic having an immediately prior or subsequent movement by air; (c) between Lincoln, Nebr., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Iowa and Minnesota; (2) replacement parts related to the telephone industry, (a) between Lincoln and Omaha, Nebr., stricted to traffic having an immediately prior or subsequent movement by air; (b) between points in Florida, Illinois, Missouri, North Carolina, Virginia, and Texas, restricted to traffic having an immediately prior or subsequent movement by air; (c) between Lincoln, Nebr., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Iowa and Minnesota; restricted in (2) above against the transportation of packages or articles exceeding 50 pounds per shipment or 100 pounds in the aggregate from one consignor to one consignee on any one day.

Note.—Applicant holds contract carrier authority in No. MC 112750 and subs thereunder, therefore dual operations may be involved. Common control may also be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, ap-plicant requests it be held at either Omaha. Nebr. or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 111729 (Sub-No. 693), filed January 10, 1977, Applicant: PUROLA-TOR COURIER CORP., 3333 New Hyde Park Road, New Hyde Park, N.Y. 11040. Applicant's representative: Elizabeth L. Henoch (same address as applicant), Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: General commodities (except articles of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, those requiring special equipment and commerical papers, documents and written instruments as are used in the business of banks and banking institutions), (1) between Portland, Oreg., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Benton, Clackamas, Clatsop, Columbia, Coos, Curry, Douglas, Jackson, Josephine, Lane, Lincoln, Linn, Marion, Multnomah, Polk, Tillamook, Washington and Yam-

equipment; and (3) equipment, materials hill Counties, Oreg., restricted to traffic having an immediately prior or subsequent movement by air, rail or motor vehicles; and (2) between all airports in Oregon, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Oregon, restricted to traffic having an immediately prior or subsequent movement by air, and further restricted in (1) and (2) above against the transportation of packages or articles weighing in excess of 150 pounds in the aggregate.

> Note.-Applicant holds contract carrier authority in MC 112750 and subs thereunder, therefore dual operations may be involved Common control may also be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 111812 (Sub-No. 527) January 6, 1977. Applicant: MIDWEST COAST TRANSPORT, INC., 900 West Delaware P.O. Box 1233, Stoux Falls, S. Dak, 57104, Applicant's representative; Ralph H. Jinks (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Electric ranges and microwave ovens and such commodities as are used in the manufacture of electric ranges and microwave ovens, including materials, supplies, and accessories related thereto, from the plantsite and storage facilities utilized by Litton Microwave Cooking Products located at Sioux Falls, S. Dak., to points in Arizona, California, Montana, New Mexico, Oregon, Utah and Washington, restricted to traffic originating at the above named origin.

Nore.-Common control may be involved If a hearing is deemed necessary, the appli-cant requests it be held at either Sioux Falls, S. Dak. or Minneapolis, Minn.

No. MC 113658 (Sub-No. 13), filed January 13, 1977. Applicant: SCOTT TRUCK LINE, INC., 5820 Newport, Commerce City, Colo. 80022. Applicant's representative: William J. Boyd, 600 Enterprise Drive, Suite 222, Oak Brook, Ill. 60521. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Meats. packinghouse products and commodities used by packinghouses as described in Appendix I to the report in Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766, from Greeley, Fort Morgan and Sterling, Colo., to points in Connecticut, Delaware, Illinois, Indiana, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and the District of Columbia.

Nore.-If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests that it be held at either Denver, Colo. or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 113843 (Sub-No. 237), filed January 7, 1977, Applicant: REFRIG-ERATED FOOD EXPRESS, INC., 316 Summer Street, Boston, Mass. 02210. Applicant's representative: Lawrence T. Sheils (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Food and food products, in vehicles equipped with

mechanical refrigeration (except commodities in bulk in tank vehicles), from Manchester, N.H., to points in Colorado, Delaware, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Maryland, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Tennessee, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin and the District of Columbia, restricted to the transportation of traffic originating at Manchester, N.H. and destined to the named destinations.

NOTE—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Manchester, NH. or Boston, Mass.

No. MC 114045 (Sub-No. 456), filed January 3, 1977. Applicant: TRANS-COLD EXPRESS, INC., P.O. Box 61228, D/FW Airport, Tex. 75261, Applicant's representative: J. B. Stuart (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Cleaning and polishing compounds; scale preventing and scale removing compounds; thermal or acoustical in-sulating, vehicle body sealer and sound deadener compounds; antifreeze; oils (except petroleum, lubricating); petroleum oils; radiator cement; gum or sludge removing carbon compounds; electrode joint and electrical contract compounds; engine starting fluids; and belt dressing, in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration, from Newark. N.J., to points in California, Louisiana, Oklahoma and Texas, restricted against the transportation of commodities in bulk.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved.

If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at New York, N.Y.

No. MC 114045 (Sub-No. 457), filed January 14, 1977. Applicant: TRANS-COLD EXPRESS, INC., P.O. Box 61228, D/FW Airport, Tex. 75261. Applicant's representative: J. B. Stuart (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Roasted and instant coffee, from Edgewater, N.J., to points in Oklahoma and Texas.

Note.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests that it be held at either San Francisco, Calif. or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. 284) (Correction), filed September 16, 1976, published in the Federal Register issue of October 21, 1976, and republished as corrected this issue. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 420, 324 Manhard Street, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Dan Sullivan, 327 South LaSalie Street, Chicago, Ill. 60604. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Iron and steel articles, from Granite City, Ill., to points in Iowa.

Note.—The purpose of this republication is to include the word "articles" in appli-

cant's commodity description which was omitted in the previous publication. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either St. Louis, Moor Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 114273 (Sub-No. 284), filed January 6, 1977. Applicant: CRST, INC., P.O. Box 68, Cedar Rapids, Iowa 52406. Applicant's representative: Robert E. Konchar, Suite 315, Commerce Exchange Bldg., 2720 First Avenue N.E., P.O. Box 1943, Cedar Rapids, Iowa 52406. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Elevators, elevator parts, and elevator legs, between Springfield, Ohio, on the one hand, and, on the other, West Point, Nebr.

Nork.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 114273 (Sub-No. 285), filed January 6, 1977. Applicant: CRST, INC., P.O. Box 68, Cedar Rapids, Iowa 52406. Applicant's representative: Robert E. Konchar, Suite 315, Commerce Exchange Bldg., 2720 First Avenue N.E., P.O. Box 1943, Cedar Rapids, Iowa 52406. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Chemicals, from Joliet, Ill., to Cedar Rapids, Iowa.

Nors.—Common control may be invoiced. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 114273 (Sub-No. 286), filed January 13, 1977. Applicant: CRST, INC., P.O. Box 68, Cedar Rapids, Iowa 52406. Applicant's representative: Robert E. Konchar, Suite 315, Commerce Exchange Building, 2720 First Avenue N.E., P.O. Box 1943, Cedar Rapids, Iowa 52406. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Body sealer, liquid or paste, in drums, from Kankakee, Ill., to Davenport, Iowa.

Note.—Common control may be involved, If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 114273 (Sub-No. 287), filed January 13, 1977. Applicant: CRST, INC., P.O. Box 68, Cedar Rapids, Iowa 52406. Applicant's representative: Robert E. Konchar, Suite 315, Commerce Exchange Building, 2720 First Avenue N.E., P.O. Box 1943, Cedar Rapids, Iowa 52406. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Plastic pipe, from Grinnell, Iowa, to points in Illinois.

Note.—Common control may be involved, if a hearing is deemed necessary, the applican requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 114273 (Sub-No. 288), filed January 13, 1977. Applicant: CRST, INC., P.O. Box 68, Cedar Rapids, Iowa 52406. Applicant's representative: Robert E. Konchar, Suite 315, Commerce Exchange Building, 2720 First Avenue N.E., P.O. Box 1943, Cedar Rapids, Iowa 52406. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Agricultural implements and machinery, and parts thereof,

from Grinnell, Iowa, to points in Indiana and Ohio, and Louisville, Ky.

Note.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests that it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 114284 (Sub-No. 76), filed January 5, 1977. Applicant: FOX-SMYTHE TRANSPORTATION CO., INC., P.O. Box 82307, Stockyards Station, Oklahoma City, Ola. 73108. Applicant's representa-tive: John E. Jandera, 641 Harrison Street, Topea, Kans. 66603. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Meats, meat products, meat by-products, and articles distributed by meat packing plants, and foodstuffs (except hides and commodities in bulk), from the plantsite and warehouse facilities utilized by Geo. A. Hormel & Co., located at or near Fremont. Nebr., to points in Kansas and Missouri. restricted to the transportation of traffic originating at the above origin and destined to the above destination.

Note.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Minneapolis, Minn.

No. MC 114457 (Sub-No. 286), filed January 6, 1977. Applicant: DAR'T TRANSIT COMPANY, a corporation, 2102 University Avenue, St. Paul, Minn. 55114. Applicant's representative: James H. Wills (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Meat, meat products, and articles distributed by meat packinghouses, as described in Sections A and C of Appendix I to the report in Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates. 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except commodities in bulk), from Eau Claire, Wis., to points in and east of Kansas, Nebraska, North Dakota, Oklahoma, South Dakota and Texas.

Note.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either St. Paul, Minn., or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 114569 (Sub-No. 160), January 4, 1977. Applicant: SHAFFER TRUCKING, INC., P.O. Box 418, New Kingstown, Pa. 17072. Applicant's representative: N. L. Cummins (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Meat, meat products, meat by-products and articles distributed by meat packing houses (except animal feed and animal feed ingredients, hides, and commodities in bulk), from Pt. Morgan, Colo., to points in Delaware, Illinois, Indiana, Maryland, Michigan, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and the District of Columbia, restricted to traffic originating at Ft. Morgan, Colo.

Note.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests that it be held at either Denver. Colo. or Lincoln, Nebr.

No. MC 114569 (Sub-No. 161), filed January 6, 1977. Applicant: SHAFFER

TRUCKING, INC., P.O. Box 418, New Kingstown, Pa. 17072. Applicant's representative: N. L. Cummins (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Meat, meat products, meat by-products, and articles distributed by meat packinghouses as described in Sections A and C of Appendix I to the report in Description in Motor Carrier Certificates, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except commodities in bulk, hides, pet foods and pet food ingredients), from Dension, Carroll, and Iowa Falls, Iowa, to points in Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Maryland, Michigan, Minnesota, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Tennes-see, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and the District of Columbia.

Norg.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Omaha, Nebr. or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 114969 (Sub-No. 57), filed January 7, 1977. Applicant: PROPANE TRANSPORT, INC. P.O. Box 232, 1734 State Route 131, Millford, Ohio 45150. Applicant's representative: James R. Stiverson. 1396 West Fifth Avenue, Columbus, Ohio 43212. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Anhydrous ammonia, from Middletown, Ohio, to points in Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Michigan, Pennsylvania and West Virginia.

Note.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Washington, D.C. or Columbus, Ohio.

No. MC 115162 (Sub-No. 346), filed January 10, 1977, Applicant: POOLE TRUCK LINE, INC., P.O. Drawer 500, Evergreen, Ala. 36401. Applicant's representative: Robert E. Tate (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Pipe, cable, conduit, wire, and strip steel and attachments therefore, between Glendale (Marshall County), W. Va., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nebraska, North Carolina, North Dakota, Oklahoma, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, Wisconsin, and the District of Columbia.

NOTE—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests that it be held at either New York, N.Y. or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 115582 (Sub-No. 5), filed January 13, 1977. Applicant; KUBACH TRUCKING COMPANY, a corporation, 4800 Wyoming, Dearborn, Mich. 48126. Applicant's representative: William B. Elmer, 21635 East Nine Mile Road, St. Clair Shores, Mich. 48080. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes,

transporting: Parts, assemblies, and materials, used in the manufacture of motor vehicles, between Detroit, Mich., on the one hand, and, on the other, the plantsite of The Ford Motor Company, located in Chesterfield Township (Macomb County), Mich., under a continuing contract or contracts with The Ford Motor Company, located at Dearborn, Mich.

Note.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at either Detroit or Lansing, Mich.

No. MC 115841 (Sub-No. 531), filed January 10, 1977. Applicant: COLONIAL REFRIGERATED TRANSPORTATION, INC., P.O. Box 168, McBride Lane, Concord. Tenn. 37922. Applicant's representative: Chester Groebel (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Bakery goods and materials, (except in bulk), in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration, from points in Davidson County, Tenn., to points in Arizona, Colorado, Minnesota, New Mexico, Oklahoma, South Dakota, Texas and Wisconsin; and those points in that part of Kansas and Nebraska west of U.S. Highway 81, restricted to shipments originating at and destined to the above named points.

Note.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at either Nashville, Tenn. or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 116280 (Sub-No. 16), filed January 10, 1977. Applicant: W. C. Mc-QUAIDE, INC., 153 Macridge Avenue, Johnstown, Pa. 15904. Applicant's representative: Christian V. Graf, 407 North Front Street, Harrisburg, Pa. 17101. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Piece goods, fabrics, fibres and notions, between points in the Township of Bethel, Berks County, Pa., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Pennsylvania.

Nore.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests that it be held at either Harrisburg, Pa. or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 116710 (Sub-No. 27), filed January 10, 1977, Applicant: MISSIS-SIPPI CHEMICAL EXPRESS, INC., 2001 E. Texas Street, P.O. Box 6176, Bossier City, La. 71010. Applicant's representative: Joe T. Lanham, 1102 Perry-Brooks Bldg., Austin, Tex. 78701. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Anhydrous aluminum chloride, in bulk, or in sealed bins, from the plantsite of Aluminum Company of America located near Palestine, Tex., to Ashtabula, Ohio; Baltimore, Md.; Baton Rouge, Carville and St. James, La.; Bound Brook and East Hanover, N.J.; Gulfport, and Hamilton, Miss.; Institute, W. Va.; Memphis, Tenn.; Mobile, Ala.; Port Huron, Mich.; Sugar Creek, Mo.; and West Elizabeth, Pa., under a continuing contract, or contracts, with Aluminum Company of America.

Note.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Shreveport, La.; or Dallas or Houston, Tex.

No. MC 117119 (Sub-No. 609), filed January 7, 1977. Applicant: WHLLIS SHAW FROZEN EXPRESS, INC., P.O. Box 188, Elm Springs, Ark. 72728. Applicant's representative: L. M. McLean (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Frozen foods, from Salisbury and Pocomoke City, Md., to points in the Lower Peninsula of Michigan.

Note.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Philadelphia, Pa. or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 117639 (Sub-No. 8), filed December 28, 1976. Applicant: PICK'S PACK HAULER, INC., doing business as PICK'S PACK HAULER, 607 South Burlington, Hastings, Nebr. 68901. Applicant's representative: Frederick J. Coffman, P.O. Box 81849, 521 South 14th Street, Lincoln, Nebr. 68501, Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier. by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Brick and clay products, (1) from the facilities of Endicott Clay Products Co., at or near Endicott, Nebr., to points in the United States (except Alaska, Hawaii, Colorado, Iowa, Kansas. Missouri, South Dakota, Wyoming, and Cook, Dupage, Lake and Will Counties. Ill., and Lake County, Ind.); and (2) from points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii), to the facilities of Endicott Clay Products Co., at or near Endicott, Nebr., under contract with Endicott Clay Products Co.

Note.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at either Lincoln or Omaha, Nebr.

No. MC 117765 (Sub-No. 221), filed January 12, 1977. Applicant: HAHN TRUCK LINE, INC., 5315 N.W. 5th Street, P.O. Box 75218, Oklahoma City, Okla. 73107. Applicant's representative: R. E. Hagan (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Empty used containers, from points in Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Minnesota, North Dakota, South Dakota and Wisconsin, to Coffeyville and Kansas City, Kans., and Tulsa, Okla.

Note.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Oklahoma City, Okla.

No. MC 117940 (Sub-No. 194) (Amendment), filed October 28, 1976, published in the FR Issue of December 2, 1976, and republished as amended this issue. Applicant: NATIONWIDE CARRIERS, INC., P.O. Box 104, Maple Plain, Minn. 55359. Applicant's representative: Allan L. Timmerman (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over

irregular routes, transporting: (1) Floor coverings, stair treads, wall tile, counter top coverings, mouldings, plumbers goods, kitchen fixtures and accessories, bathroom and lavatory fixtures and accessories, kitchen and bathroom cabinets and cabinet tops; and (2) materials and supplies used in the installation, maintenance, and repair of the commodities described in (1) above, from points in Connecticut, Illinois, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Vermont, and San Antonio, Tex., to points in Iowa, Minnesota, North Dakota, South Dakota and Wisconsin, restricted to traffic originating at named origins and destined to facilities of or utilized by Minnesota Tile Supply at named destinations. Note: The purpose of this republication is to indicate applicant's broadening origin territory to include San Antonio, Tex. Applicant holds contract carrier authority in MC 114789 and subs thereunder, therefore dual operations may be involved.

Nore.—Common control may also be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Minneapolis or St. Paul, Minn.

No. MC 118159 (Sub-No. 195), filed January 17, 1977. Applicant: NATIONAL REFRIGERATED TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 51366 Dawson Station, Tulsa, Okla. 74151. Applicant's representative: Neil A. DuJardon, P.O. Box 2298, Green Bay, Wis. 54306. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Metal containers; and (2) returned empty pallets and other dunnage materials, from Richmond, Va., to points in Alabama, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Florida, Georgia, Idaho, Kansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Mexico, North Carolina, North Dakota, Oklahoma, Oregon, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Washington and Wyoming; and (3) returned, rejused, and rejected merchandise, from the destination states in (1) and (2) above, to the origin point in (1) and (2) above.

Note.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests that it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 118739 (Sub-No. 10), filed January 11, 1977. Applicant: FRITZ TRUCK-ING. INC., East Highway 7, Clara City, Minn. 56222. Applicant's representative: Samuel Rubenstein, 301 North Fifth Street, Minneapolis, Minn. 55403. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Such merchandise as is dealt in by wholesale and retail dry goods and variety store business houses, from Minneapolis, Minn., to Clara City, Minn., under a continuing contract or contracts with U.S.C. Inc., restricted to traffic having an immediately prior movement by rail or motor carrier service.

Norz.—Applicant has common carrier authority pending in MC 140549 (Sub-No. 3), therefore dual operations may be involved.

Common control may also be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Minneapolis or St. Paul, Minn.

No. MC 118739 (Sub-No. 11), filed January 7, 1977. Applicant: FRITZ TRUCKING, INC., East Highway 7, Clara City, Minn. 56222. Applicant's representative: Samuel Rubenstein. 301 North Fifth Street, Minneapolis, Minn. 55403. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Canned goods, from Arlington and Ortonville, Minn., to points in Arizona, California, New Mexico and Utah, under a continuing contract, or contracts, with Big Stone, Inc. located at Chaska, Minn.

Note.—Applicant holds common carrier authority in No. MC 140549 and subs thereunder, therefore dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Minneapolis or St. Paul, Minn.

No. MC 119399 (Sub-No. 67), filed January 10, 1977. Applicant: CONTRACT FREIGHTERS, INC., 2900 Davis Boulevard, P.O. Box 1375, Joplin, Mo. 64801. Applicant's representative: David L. Sitton, (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Insulating materials, from Joplin, Mo., to points in Alabama (except Jefferson County), Florida, Georgia, Illinois (except Chicago and those points on and south of Interstate Highway 70), Indiana, Kentucky, Michigan, Mississippi, New Mexico, Ohio and Tennessee.

Note.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests that it be held at either Kansas City, Mo. or Oklahoma City, Okla.

No. MC 119493 (Sub-No. 148), filed January 10, 1977. Applicant: MONKEM COMPANY, INC., P.O. Box 1196, Joplin, Mo. 64801. Applicant's representative: Harry Ross, 58 South Main Street, Winchester, Ky. 40391. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Minerals, mineral mixtures, feed, fertilizer materials and compounds and ingredients thereof, from points in Cherokee County, Kans., to points in the United States (except Alaska, Hawaii, Colorado, Nebraska, Kansas, Oklahoma, Texas, Louisiana, Arkansas, Missouri, Iowa, Kentucky and Tennessee); and (2) animal and poultry feed and ingredients thereof, (a) from points in Jasper County, Mo. (except Joplin, Mo.), to points in the United States (except Alaska, Hawaii, Nebraska, Iowa, Illinois, Kansas, Missouri, Oklahoma, Arkansas, Tennessee, Louisiana, and Mississippi); and (b) from Joplin, Mo., to points in the United States (except Alaska, Hawaii, South Dakota, Minnesota, Iowa, Nebraska, Kansas, Oklahoma, Texas, Louisiana, Mississippi, Arkansas, Missouri, Illinois, Indiana and Wiscon-

Norz.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Kansas City, Mo.

No. MC 119619 (Sub-No. 95) (amendment), filed December 6, 1976, and published in the FR issues of January 13. 1977 and republished as amended this issue. Applicant. DISTRIBUTORS SERV-ICE CO., 2000 West 43rd Street, Chicago, III. 60609. Applicant's representative: Arthur J. Piken, One Lefrak City Plaza, Suite 1515, Flushing, N.Y. 11368. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Fresh meats in combination bins, in Government-sealed trailers, from the facilities of Illinois Meat Company, located at Chicago, Ill., to the facilities of Granite State Packing Co., located at Manchester, N.H.; (2) meat, fresh or frozen, suspended or in boxes, from Chicago, Ill., and its commercial zone, to Bedford and Manchester, N.H., and (3) returned, refused and rejected shipments of the commodities described in (1) and (2) above, from the destinations to the origins specified in (1) and (2) above. The purpose of this republication is (a) to broaden applicant's commodity and territorial descriptions to read as stated in (2) and (3) above; and (b) to add M. M. Mades, Inc. as an additional supporting shipper.

Note.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 123405 (Sub-No. 46), filed January 17, 1977. Applicant: FOOD TRANS-PORT, INC., R.D. No. 1, Thomasville, Pa. 17364. Applicant's representative: Christian V. Graf, 407 North Front Street, Harrisburg, Pa. 17101. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Canned and preserved foodstuffs, from Wilson, N.C., to points in Delaware, Maryland, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania and West Virginia.

Note.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests that it be held at either Harrisburg, Pa. or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 123872 (Sub-No. 63), filed January 16, 1977. Applicant: W & L Motor LINES, INC., P.O. Box 2607, Hickory, N.C. 28601. Applicant's representative: Allen E. Bowman (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: New furniture and furniture parts, from Alexander and Iredell Countles, N.C., to points in New Mexico, Oklahoma and Texas.

Nore.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests that it be held at either Charlotte or Raleigh, N.C.

No. MC 124071 (Sub-No. 11), filed December 20, 1976. Applicant: LIVE-STOCK SERVICE, INC., 1420 Second Ave. South, St. Cloud, Minn. 56301. Applicant's representative: Robert P. Sack. P.O. Box 6010. West St. Paul, Minn. 55118. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Meats, meat products, meat by-products and articles distributed by meat packing-

houses, as described in Sections A and C of Appendix I to the report in Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except hides and commodities in bulk), from the plantsite and storage facilities of Long Prairie Packing Co., located at Long Prairie, Minn., to points in Arkansas, Arizona, California, Colorado, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Missouri, Mississippi, Nebraska, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin and Wyoming, under a continuing contract, or contracts, with Long Praire Packing Co.

Nore.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Minneapolis, Minn.

No. MC 124078 (Sub-No. 713), filed January 10, 1977. Applicant SCHWER-MAN TRUCKING CO., a Corporation, 611 South 28 Street, Milwaukee, Wis. 53215. Applicant's representative: James R. Ziperski, P.O. Box 1601, Milwaukee, Wis. 53201. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Anhydrous ammonia, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Middletown, Ohlo, to points in Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Michigan, Pennsylvania and West Virginia.

Note.—Applicant holds contract carrier authority in No. MC 113832 (Sub-No. 68), therefore dual operations may be involved. Common control may also be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Cleveland, Ohio.

No. MC 124111 (Sub-No. 53), filed January 17, 1977. Applicant: OHIO EASTERN EXPRESS, INC., P.O. Box 2297 300 West Perkins Avenue, Sandusky, Ohio 44870. Applicant's representative: John P. McMahon, 100 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215, Authority sought to operate as a common carrier. by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Frozen bakery products. from the facilities of Awrey Bakeries, Inc., located at Livonia, Mich., to points in Delaware, Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, and West Virginia, restricted to the transportation of shipments originating at the facilities of Awrey Bakeries, Inc., located at Livonia, Mich.

Note.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests that it be held at Columbus, Ohio.

No. MC 124172 (Sub-No. 1), filed January 5, 1977. Applicant: M. BRUEN-GER & CO., INC., 6250 North Broadway, Wichita, Kans. 67219. Applicant's representative: Lester C. Arvin, 814 Century Plaza Building, Wichita, Kans. 67202. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Flurochloro hydrocarbons and new containers, between Wichita, Kans., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii), under a continuing contract, or contracts, with Racon, Incorporated.

Note.—Applicant holds common carrier authority in No. MC 118142 and subs thereunder, therefore dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Wichita, Kans. or Kansas City, Mo.

No. MC 124211 (Sub-No. 283), filed January 5, 1977. Applicant: HILT TRUCK LINE, INC., P.O. Box 988, D.T.S., Omaha, Nebr. 68101. Applicant's representative: Thomas L. Hilt (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Meats, meat products, meat byproducts. and articles distributed by meat packinghouses, as described in Sections A and C of Appendix I to the report in Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except commodities in bulk, in tank vehicles), from points in Burt County, Nebr., to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii).

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Omaha, Nebr.

No. MC 134211 (Sub-No. 284), January 5, 1977. Applicant: HILT TRUCK LINE, INC., P.O. Box 988, D.T.S., Omaha, Nebr. 68101. Applicant's representative: Thomas L. Hilt (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Meats, meat products, meat byproducts, dairy products, and articles distributed by meat packinghouses, as described in Sections A, B and C of Appendix I to the report in Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766, and foodstuffs (except commodities in bulk, in tank or hopper type vehicles), from Omaha, Nebr., to points in Alabama, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Indiana, Kentucky, Maine. Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Tennes-see, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, and the District of Columbia.

Nore.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests a consolidated hearing with other similar applications at Omaha, Nebr.

No. MC 125433 (Sub-No. 92), filed January 7, 1977. Applicant: F-B TRUCK LINE COMPANY, a Corporation, 1945. South Redwood Road, Salt Lake City, Utah 84104. Applicant's representative: Michael J. Norton, P.O. Box 2135, Salt Lake City, Utah 84110. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Lumber and lumber products, treated or untreated, from Whitewood, S. Dak., to points in Nevada and Utah.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Salt Lake City, Utah or Denver, Colo.

No. MC 126243 (Sub-No. 19), filed December 10, 1976. Applicant. ROBERTS TRUCKING CO., INC., U.S. Highway 271 South, P.O. Box G, Poteau, Okla. 74953. Applicant's representative: Prentiss Shelley (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Carpeting and materials and supplies used in the manufacture of carpet (except commodities in bulk), between Poteau and Wilburton, Okla.

Nork.—Applicant intends to tack its requested authority at Poteau. Okla., to provide a through service in the transportation of carpeting to points in Alabama, California, Colorido, Florida, Georgia, Idaho, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Mississippi, Missouri, North Carolina, Ohio, Oregon, South Carolina, Texas, Utah, and Washington, Applicant holds contract crivier authority in MC 140849 (Sub-No. 2), therefore dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Tulsa, Okla., or Atlanta, Ga., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 126276 (Sub-No. 166), filed December 23, 1976. Applicant: FAST MOTOR SERVICE, INC., 9100 Plainfield Road, Brookfield, Ill. 60513. Applicant's representative: Albert A. Andrin, 180 North LaSalle Street, Chicago, Ill. 60691. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Paper products: plastic products; metal foil products products composed of combination of paper, plastic or metal foil; toothpicks, wire goods; scouring pads; and holders and dispensers, for above named commodities, and materials and supplies, used in the manufacture, distribution and sale of the above named commodities (except commodities in bulk), from the plantsite of American Can Company, at Ft. Smith, Ark., to points in Illinois, Indiana, Louisiana, Michigan, Ohio Oklahoma, Texas and Wisconsin, under a continuing contract or contracts with American Can Company.

Note.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Chicago m

No. MC 127042 (Sub-No. 185). January 7, 1977. Applicant: HAGEN. INC., 3232 Highway 75 North, P.O. Box 98—Leeds Station, Sioux City, Iowa 51108. Applicant's representative: Robert G. Tessar (same address as applicant) Authority sought to operate as a common earrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Cleaning, washing, scouring and polishing compounds (except in bulk), in temperature controlled equipment, from the facilities of U.S. Chemical Corp., located at or near Wate-town, Wis., to points in Arizona, California, Colorado, Idaho, Iowa, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Mexico, North Dakota, Oregon, South Dakota, Texas, Utah, Washington and Wyoming, restricted to traffic originating at the named origin and destined to the named destination points.

Note.—If a hearing is geemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Milwaukee or Madison, Wis.

No. MC 127204 (Sub-No. 10), filed January 10, 1977, Applicant: KINDS-VATER, INC., P.O. Box 1027, Dodge City, Kans. 67801. Applicant's representative: Clyde N. Christey, 514 Capitol Federal Bldg... 700 Kansas Avenue, Topeka, Kans. 66603. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Anhydrous ammonia, nitrogen fertilizer solutions and urea liquor, from the plantsites of Oklahoma Nitgrogen Corporation and Bison Chemical Company, located at or near Woodward, Okla... to points in Arkansas, Colorado, Iowa, New Mexico, Oklahoma, South Dakota and Texas.

Note.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Oklahoma City, Okla.

No. MC 128007 (Sub-No. 94), filed January 7, 1977. Applicant: HOFER, INC., P.O. Box 583, Pittsburg, Kans. 66762. Applicant's representative: Larry E. Gregg, 641 Harrison Street, Topeka, Kans. 66603. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Minerals, mineral mixtures, feed and fertilizer materials and compounds and ingredients thereof, from Galena, Kans., to points in Arkansas, Colorado, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kentucky, Louislana, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nebraska, New Mexico, North Dakota, Ohlo, Oklahoma, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas and Wisconsin.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests a consolidated hearing with other similar applications at Kansas City, Mo.

No. MC 128246 (Sub-No. 15), filed January 6, 1977. Applicant: SOUTH-WEST TRUCK SERVICE, a corporation. P.O. Box AD, Watsonville, Calif. 95076. Applicant's representative: Michael P. Groom, 777 N. First Street, 500 The Swenson Building, San Jose, Calif. 95112. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Foodstuffs (except frozen in containers), and such commodities as are manufactured or dealt in by food processors when shipped in mixed shipments with foodstuffs, between Manteca, Sacramento, Salinas, San Jose, Spreckels, Union City and Woodland, Calif., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Oregon and Washington, under a continuing contract or contracts with Amstar Corporation.

Note.—Applicant presently holds authority in MC 128246 (Sub-No. 9) which includes foodstuffs, (except frozen in containers), between Manteca, Spreckels and Woodland, Calif., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Oregon and Washington. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at San Francisco, Calif.

No. MC 128273 (Sub-No. 246), filed January 10, 1977. Applicant: MID-WESTERN DISTRIBUTION, INC., P.O. Box 189, Fort Scott, Kans. 66701. Applicant's representative: Elden Corban (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Animal feed, from Santa Fe Springs and Trvine, Calif., to points

Clyde N. Christey, 514 Capitol Federal in that part of the United States in and Bldg., 700 Kansas Avenue, Topeka, Kans. east of Kansas, Nebraska, North Dakota, 66603. Authority sought to operate as a Oklahoma, South Dakota, and Texas.

Nore.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Los Angeles, Calif.

No. MC 128273 (Sub-No. 247), filed January 11, 1977. Applicant: MID-WESTERN DISTRIBUTION, INC., P.O. Box 189, Fort Scott, Kans. 66701. Applicant's representative: Elden Corban (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: coffee and coffee products, from points in New Jersey, to points in Alabama, Arkansas, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas and Wisconsin.

Norz.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 129032 (Sub-No. 34), filed February 7, 1977. Applicant: TOM INMAN TRUCKING, INC., P.O. Box 9667, Tulsa, Okla, 74107. Applicant's representative: John Paul Fischer, 256 Montgomery Street, San Francisco, Calif. 94104. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Foodstuffs, including dairy products (except in bulk), in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration, from Plymouth, Wis., to points in Arizona, California, Colorado, Oregon, and Washington.

Nore.—If a hearing is deemed necessary applicant requests it be held at San Francisco, Calif. or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 129057 (Sub-No. 3), filed January 5, 1977. Applicant: ACADEMY MOVERS, INC., 421 West Sycamore, Junction City, Kans. 66441. Applicant's representative: Alan F. Wohlstetter, 1700 K St., N.W., Suite 301, Washington, D.C. 20006. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Used household goods, between Junction City, Kans., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Pottawatomie County, Kans., restricted to the transportation of shipments having a prior or subsequent movement, in containers, beyond the points authorized, and further restricted to the performance of pickup and delivery service in connection with the packing, crating, and containerization or unpacking, uncrating, and decontainerization of such shipments.

Nore.—If a hearing is deemed necessary applicant requests it be held at Junction City, Kans., or St. Louis, Mo.

No. MC 129600 (Sub-No. 28), filed January 10, 1977. Applicant: POLAR TRANSPORT. INC., 176 King Street, Hanover, Mass. 02339. Applicant's representative: Frank J. Weiner, 15 Court Square, Boston, Mass. 02108. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes.

transporting: Yogurt, iced tea, juices, juice concentrates, and fruit sections (except in bulk), from Dunedin, Fla., to points in Alabama, Arkansas, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Georgia, Illinois. Indiana, Kansas, Kentucky, Louislana, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and the District of Columbia, under a continuing contract, or contracts, with H. P. Hood, Inc. of Charlestown, Mass.

Nore.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Boaton. Mass.

No. MC 129759 (Sub-No. 17), filed January 10, 1977. Applicant: TRIANGLE TRUCKING CO., a corporation, P.O. Box 490, McKees Rocks, Pa. 15136. Applicant's representative; A. Charles Tell. 100 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Pipe, cable, conduit, wire, and strip steel and attachments therefor, between Glendale (Marshall County), W. Va., and points in Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Kansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, Nebraska, North Carolina, North Dakota, Oklahoma, South Carolina, South Dakota and Texas, under a continuing contract, or contracts, with Triangle PWC. Inc.

Note.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests that it be held at Columbus, Ohio.

No. MC 133095 (Sub-No. 135), filed January 3, 1977. Applicant: TEXAS CONTINENTAL EXPRESS, INC., P.O. Box 434, Euless, Texas 76039, Applicant's representative: Kim G. Meyer, 1600 First Federal Building, Atlanta, Ga. 30303. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Steel ball bearings, power transmission pillow blocks, unfinished machine housing castings and bearing parts, (1) from the plantsites of the Fafnir Bearing Company, located in Newington, Conn. and Pulaski, Tenn., to points in Alabama, California, Georgia, Illinois, Nevada, North Carolina, South Carolina, Texas and Utah, and (2) between the plantsites of the The Fafnir Bearing Company located in Newington, Conn. and Pulaski, Tenn.

Note.—Applicant holds contract carrier authority in No. MC 136032 and subs thereunder, therefore dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either New York, N.Y. or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 133095 (Sub-No. 138), filed January 11, 1977. Applicant: TEXAS CONTINENTAL EXPRESS, INC., P.O. Box 434. Euless, Tex. 76039. Applicant's representative: Rocky Moore, (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Meats, meat products, meat by-products and articles distributed by

meat packinghouses, as described in Sections A and C of Appendix I to the report in Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except hides and commodities in bulk), from the plantsite and storage facilities of Swift Fresh Meats Company, located at or near Grand Island, Nebr., to points in Connecticut, Delaware, Maine, Massachusetts, Maryland, New Jersey, New Hampshire, New York, North Carolina, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia and the District of Columbia.

Norm.—Applicant holds contract carrier authority in MC 186032 and subs thereunder, therefore dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Chicago, III., or Dallas, Tex.

No. MC 133095 (Sub-No. 139), filed January 10, 1977. Applicant: TEXAS-CONTINENTAL EXPRESS. INC., P.O. Box 434, Euless, Tex. 76039. Applicant's representative: Rocky Moore, (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Alcoholic beverages (except in bulk), in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration, from Dallas, Tex., to points in Arkansas, Kansas and Oklahoma.

Note.—Applicant holds contract carrier authority in No. MC 136032 and substhereunder, therefore dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Dallas, Tex.

No. MC 133189 (Sub-No. 9), filed January 10, 1977, Applicant: VANT TRANSFER, INC., 5075 Mulcare Drive, Minneapolis, Minn. 55421. Applicant's representative: John V. Van de North, Jr., 4610 IDS Center, Minneapolis, Minn. 55402. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by enotor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Iron and steel articles, from the plantsites and storage facilities of Minneapolis Electric Steel Castings Co., located at points in Minnesota, to points in the United States (excluding Alaska and Hawaii); and (2) iron and steel articles and materials, equipment and supplies (except in bulk), used in the manufacture of iron and steel articles, from the destination points named in (1) above, to the plantsites and storage facilities of Minneapolis Electric Steel Castings Co., located at points in Minnesota, restricted to the transportation of traffic originating at and destined to the named origins and destinations.

Note.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at either Minneapolis, Minn. or St. Paul, Minn.

No. MC 133614 (Sub-No. 7), filed January 14, 1977. Applicant: PAPPAS TRUCKING, INC., P.O. Box 8, Gering, Nebr, 69341. Applicant's representative: Michael J. Ogborn, P.O. Box 82028, Lincoln, Nebr. 68501. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over tregular routes, transporting: (1) Agricultural machinery and

implements; (2) rock pickers; (3) liquid and solid waste recycling systems; (4) spraying equipment; and (5) parts and attachments for the commodities described in (1), (2), (3), and (4) above, between the plantsites of Lockwood Corp., located at or near Presque Isle, Maine; Antigo, Wis.; Grand Forks and Grafton, N. Dak.; Monte Vista, Colo.; Idaho Falls and Rupert, Idaho; Othello and Pasco, Wash.; Woodland, Calif.; and Gering and Aurora, Nebr., restricted in all of the above against the transportation of commodities in bulk; all of the above are under a continuing contract, or contracts, with Lockwood Corp.

Norm.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests that it be held at Omaha, Nebr.

No. MC 133684 (Sub-No. 24), filed January 17, 1977, Applicant: GORDON FAST FREIGHT, INC., 2205 Pacific Highway East, Tacoma, Wash. 98422. Applicant's representative: Michael D. Duppenthaler, 515 Lyon Building, 607 Third Avenue, Seattle, Wash. 98104, Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irragular routes, transporting: Alcoholic beverages, from Fairfield, Calif., to Tacoma and Kent, Wash.

Norz.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests that it be held at Seattle, Wash.

No. MC 134096 (Sub-No. 7), January 6, 1977. Applicant: TROPICANA TRANSPORTATION CORP., P.O. Box 338, Bradenton, Fla. 33506. Applicant's representative: Thomas F. McFarland, Jr., 20 North Wacker Drive, Chicago, Ill. 60606. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Canned, chilled and frozen citrus products, citrus by products, beverages, and beverage preparations, (a) from the plant and storage facilities of Tropicana Products, Inc. located at Bradenton and Fort Pierce, Fla., to the storage and distribution facilities of Tropicana Products, Inc. located at Hammond, Ind., restricted to shipments destined to the above named facilities for in-transit storage; and (b) from the storage and distribution facilities of Tropicana Products, Inc. located at Hammond, Ind., to points in Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Mich-lgan, Minnesota, Ohio and Wisconsin, restricted to shipments originating at the above named facilities, under a continuing contract, or contracts, with Tropicana Products, Inc.; and (2) canned and frozen foods (except in bulk), from the plant and storage facilities of Green Giant Company located at Belvidere and Chicago, Ill., Lafayette, Ind., Niles, Mich., and points in Minnesota and Wisconsin, to the storage and distribution facilities of Green Giant Company located in Florida and Georgia, under a continuing contract, or contracts, with Green Giant

Nork.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests that it be held at either Tampa, Fla. or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 134369 (Sub-No. 7), filed January 10, 1977, Applicant: CARLSON TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box R. Byron. III, 61010. Applicant's representative: Allan C. Zuckerman, 39 South LaSalle Street, Chicago, Ill. 60503. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier. by motor vehicle, over irregular routes transporting: Sand and sand with additives, in bulk, in dump or hopper-type vehicles equipped with a conveyor and pneumatic unloading systems, from the plant site and facilities of Kordell Industries, Division of Niles Chemical Paint Co., located at or near Mishawaka, Ind., to points in Iowa, Michigan, Ohio and Wisconsin.

Norm.—'f a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Chicago, III.

No. MC 134405 (Sub-No. 32), January 7, 1977. Applicant: BACON TRANSPORT COMPANY, a corporation, P.O. Box 1134, Ardmore, Okla. 73401. Applicant's representative: Wilburn L. Williamson, 280 National Foundation Life Bldg., 3535 N.W. 58th Street, Oklahoma City, Okla. 73112. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transport-Anhydrous ammonia, nitrogen fertilizer solutions, and urea liquor, from the plantsites of Oklahoma Nitrogen Corporation and Bison Chemical Company located at or near Woodward, Okla., to points in Arkansas, Colorado, Kansas, Iowa, Louisiana, Missouri, Nebraska New Mexico, Oklahoma, South Dakota and

Norm.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Oklahoma City, Okla.

No. MC 134477 (Sub-No. 136), filed January 6, 1977, Applicant; SCHANNO TRANSPORTATION, INC., 5 West Mendota Road, West St. Paul, Minn. 55118. Applicant's representative: Robert P. Sack, P.O. Box 6010, West St. Paul, Minn. 55118. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting; Meat, meat products, meat by-products and articles distributed by meat packinghouses, as described in Sections A and C of Appendix I to the report in Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766, (except hides and commodities in bulk), From Eu Claire. Wis., and St. Paul, Minn., to points in Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New North Carolina, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, and the District of Columbia.

Note.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Minneapolis, Minn.

No. MC 134477 (Sub-No. 137), filed January 6, 1977. Applicant: SCHANNO TRANSPORTATION, INC., 5 West Mendota Road, West St. Paul, Minn. 55118. Applicant's representative: Rob-

ert P. Sack, P.O. Box 6010, West Paul, Minn. 55118. Author-St. ity sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Meats. meat products and meat by-products and articles distributed by packinghouses, as described in Sections A and C of Appendix I to the report in Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except hides and commodities in bulk, (1) from Estherville, Iowa, to points in Connecticut, Delaware, Maine. Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont. Virginia, West Virginia, and the District of Columbia; (2) from St. Paul, Minn., to points in Connecticut, Delaware, Maine, Maryland, Michigan, New Hampshire, Ohio, Rhode Island, Ver-mont, West Virginia, and the District of Columbia, restricted to the transportation for shipments originating at facilities of John Morrell & Co. located at the named origins in (1) and (2) above. and destined to points in the destination states named in (1) and (2) above.

Norz.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Minneapolis, Minn.

No. MC 134477 (Sub-No. 138), filed January 6, 1977. Applicant: SCHANNO TRANSPORTATION, INC., 5 West Mendota Road, West St. Paul, Minn, 55118. Applicant's representative: Robert P. Sack, P.O. Box 6010, West St. Paul, Minn. 55118. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Meats, meat products, meat by-products and articles distributed by meat packinghouses (except hides and commodities in bulk), as defined in Sections A and C of Appendix I to the report in Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766, from the plant site and warehouse facilities of Wilson Foods Corp. located at Albert Lea, Minn., to points in Connecticut, Delaware, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, Virginia and the District of Columbia, restricted to the transportation of traffic originating at the above named origins and destined to the named destinations.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Minneapolis, Minn.

No. MC 134477 (Sub-No. 139), filed January 7, 1977. Applicant: SCHANNO TRANSPORTATION, INC., 5 West Mendota Road, West St. Paul, Minn. 55118. Applicant's representative: Robert P. Sack. P.O. Box 6010, West St. Paul, Minn. 55118. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Meats, meat products, meat by-products and articles distributed by meat packing-houses, as described in Sections A and C of Appendix I to the report in Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except hides and

commodities in bulk), (1) from Eau Claire, Wis., to points in Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska and Ohio; and (2) from St. Paul, Minn., to points in Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Michigan, Missouri, Nebraska and Ohio.

Nore.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Minneapolis, Minn.

No. MC 134625 (Sub-No. 1), filed January 10, 1977. Applicant: R. S. D. ENTER-PRISES, INC., 128 South Main Street West Lebanon, N.H. 03766. Applicant's representative: Albert J. Cirone, Jr., 20 West Park Street, Lebanon, N.H. 03766. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Pre-cut timber homes, prefabricated buildings and building materials, (1) between Sullivan County, N.H., and Windsor County, Vt., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in that part of the United States on and east of a line beginning at the mouth of the Mississippi River, and extending along the Mississippi River to its junction with the western boundary of Itasca County, Minn., thence northward along the western boundaries of Itasca and Koochiching Counties, Minn., to the International Boundary Line between the United States and Canada; (2) and between points in Sullivan County, N.H., and Windsor County, Vt., under a continuing contract or contracts with Cluster Shed. Inc.

Note.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Concord, N.H., or Boston or Springfield, Mass., or Burlington, Vt.

No. MC 134806 (Sub-No. 44), filed January 10, 1977. Applicant: B-D-R TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 1277, Brattleboro, Vt. 05301. Applicant's representative: Francis J. Ortman, 7101 Wisconsin Avenue, Suite 605, Washington, D.C. 20015. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Titanium rings and metal alloy rings, from points in Los Angeles County, Calif., to points in Connecticut, under contract with Pratt & Whitney Aircraft.

Note.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Boston, Mass., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 134922 (Sub-No. 217), filed December 29, 1976. Applicant: B. J. Mc-ADAMS, INC., Route 6, Box 15, North Little Rock, Ark. 72118. Applicant's representative: Bob McAdams (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: General commodities, (except commodities in bulk), between Oakville, Iowa, on the one hand, and, on the other, those points in that part of the United States in and west of Louisiana, Arkansas, Missouri, Iowa, and Minnesota including Alaska, but excluding Hawaii, restricted to traffic having a prior or subsequent movement by rail.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Memphis, Tenn. or Little Rock, Ark.

No. MC 134922 (Sub-No. 219), filed January 3, 1977. Applicant: B. J. Mc-ADAMS, INC., Route 6, Box 15, North Little Rock, Ark. 72118. Applicant's representative: Bob McAdams (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Such commodities as are dealt in and used by manufacturers and distributors of paint, from Wooster, Ohio, to points in that part of the United States in and west of Arizona, Utah and Idaho.

Note.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at either Little Rock, Ark, or Cleveland, Ohio.

No. MC 134922 (Sub-No. 220), filed January 5, 1977. Applicant: B. J. Mc-ADAMS, INC., Route 6, Box 15, North Little Rock, Ark. 72118. Applicant's representative: Bob McAdams (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Hardware, and such commodities as are manufactured or distributed by manufacturers or distributors of hardware (except commodities in bulk and those which because of size or weight require the use of special equipment), between Youngstown, Ohio, on the one hand, and, on the other, those points in the United States in and west of Idaho, Utah and Arizona (except Alaska and Hawaii)

Note.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Little Rock, Ark., or Cleveland, Ohio.

No. MC 134922 (Sub-No. 224), filed January 10, 1977. Applicant: B. J. McADAMS INC., Route 6, Box 15, North Little Rock, Ark. 72118. Applicant's representative: Bob McAdams (Same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Clocks, electrical products, electrical supplies and electrical equipment (except commodities in bulk and those which because of size or weight require the use of special equipment), between Louisville, Miss., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the United States in and west of Arkansas, Iowa, Louisiana, Minnesota and Missouri.

Note.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Memphis, Tenn. or Little Rock, Ark.

No. MC 135082 (Sub-No. 40), filed December 29, 1976. Applicant: BURSCH TRUCKING, INC., doing business as ROADRUNNER TRUCKING INC., P.O. Box 26748, 415 Rankin Road, N.E., Albuquerque, N. Mex. 87125. Applicant's representative: D. F. Jones, (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Gypsum wallboard and gypsum products, from the plantsite of the Celotex Corporation, located in Fisher County, Tex. to points in Arizona, Colorado, and New Mexico.

NOTE—Applicant holds contract carrier authority in MC 115524 Sub-No. 2 and other subst hereunder, therefore dual operations may be involved. Common control may also be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Albuquerque, N. Mex. or Dallas, Tex.

No. MC 135867 (Sub-No. 2), filed Jan-uary 13, 1977. Applicant: H.T.L., INC., P.O. Box 122, Fairfield, Ala. 35064. Applicant's respresentative: Robert E. Tate. P.O. Box 517, Evergreen, Ala. 36401, Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Pipe, valves and fittings, from Birmingham, Decatur and Mobile, Ala.; Denver, Colo.; Jacksonville, Fla.; Atlanta, Ga.; Louisville, Ky.; Kenner, La.; St. Louis, Mo.; Pascagoula, Miss.; Tulsa, Okla.; New Castle, Pa.; Knoxville, Memphis and Nashville, Tenn. to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii); and (2) materials and supplies used in the manufacture of pipe. values and fittings (except commodities in bulk, in tank vehicles), from points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii), to the origin points named in (1) above, restricted in (1) above to traffic from the facilities owned or utilized by Consolidated Pipe & Supply Co., and restricted in (2) above to traffic to the facilities owned or utilized by Con-solidated Pipe & Supply Co.; (1) and (2) above are under a continuing contract, or contracts, with Consolidated Pipe & Supply Co. of Birmingham, Ala.

Nore.—Applicant holds common carrier authority in No. MC 139294; therefore dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests that it be held at either Birmingham, Ala. of Atlanta, Ga.

No. MC 136786 (Sub-No. 109), filed January 6, 1977. Applicant: ROBCO TRANSPORATION, INC., 309 5th Avenue Northwest, New Brighton, Minn. 55112. Applicant's representative: Stanley C. Olsen, Jr., 7525 Mitchell Road, Eden Prairie, Minn. 55343. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Printed matter, from Bridge-port, Conn., to points in Arkansas, Arizona, California, Colorado, Florida, Georgia, Idaho, Iowa, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Louisiana, Minnesota, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Mexico, North Carolina, North Dakota, Oklahoma, Oregon, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Virginia, Washington and Wyoming; (2) from Corinth, Mississippi, and Dresden, Tenn., to points in Colorado, Idaho, Iowa, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, North Dakota, Oklahoma, Oregon, South Dakota, Washington, Wisconsin and Wyoming; (3) from Detroit, Mich., to points in the United States except Alaska and Hawail); and (4) from Rockville, Md., to points in Arizona, California, Colorado, Idaho, Iowa, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Ne-braska, Nevada, New Mexico, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, South Dakota, Texas, Utah, Washington, Wisconsin and Wyoming.

Note.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Minneapolis, Minn.

No. MC 136818 (Sub-No. 16), filed January 10, 1977. Applicant: SWIFT TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, INC., 335 West Elwood Road, Phoenix, Ariz. 85041. Applicant's representative: Donald E. Fernaays, Suite 320, 4040 East McDowell Road, Phoenix, Ariz. 85008. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Composition and prepared roofing, from Los Angeles, Riverside, San Bernardino and San Joaquin Counties, Calif., to points in Arizona.

Note—Applicant holds contract carrier authority in No. MC 136897 and subs thereunder, therefore dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Phoenix, Ariz.

No. MC 138126 (Sub-No. 8), filed January 10, 1977, Applicant: WILLIAMS REFRIGERATED EXPRESS, INC., P.O. Box 47, Old Denton Road, Federalsburg, Md. 21632. Applicant's representative: Chester A. Zyblut, 366 Executive Building, 1030 Fifteenth Street, N.W., Washington. D.C. 20005. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Frozen foods, and (2) commodities, the transportation which is exempt under the provisions of Section 203(b) (6) of the Interstate Commerce Act when moving in the same vehicle and at the same time with frozen foods, from Syracuse, N.Y., to points in Connecticut, Delaware, Maine, Maryland, Massachu-setts, Michigan, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, and the District of Columbia, restricted to traffic originating at and destined to points in the named states.

Norm.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Washington.

No. MC 138144 (Sub-No. 18), filed January 10, 1977. Applicant: FRED OLSON CO., INC., 6022 West State Street, Milwaukee, Wis. 53213. Aplicant's representative: Daniel C. Sullivan, 327 South LaSalle Street, Chicago, Ill. 60604. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Iron and steet articles, between points in Cook, DuPage, Lake and Will Countles, Ill.; Lake and Porter Counties, Ind.; St. Louis, Mo., and the plant site and warehouse facilities of Morton Building Company located at or near Spencer, Iowa and Winfield, Kans.

Norm.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Milwaukee, Wis. or Chicago. Ill.

No. MC 138144 (Sub-No. 19), filed January 10, 1977. Applicant: FRED OLSON CO., INC., 6022 West State Street, Milwaukee, Wis. 53213. Applicant's representative: Daniel C. Sullivan, 327 South LaSalle Street, Chicago, Ill. 60604. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular

routes, transporting: Metal articles and metal products, from Northbrook, Ill., to points in Arkansas, Iowa, Kentucky, Missouri, Nebraska, Tennessee, and Wisconsin.

Note.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at either Milwaukee, Wis. or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 138181 (Sub-No. 4), filed January 10, 1977. Applicant: TRANSPORT EXPRESS, INC., Box 663, Dodge City, Kans. 67801. Applicant's representative: Clyde N. Christey, 514 Capitol Federal Bldg., 700 Kansas Avenue, Topeka, Kans. 66603. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Anhydrous ammonia, nitrogen fertilizer solutions and urea liquor, from the plantsites of Oklahoma Nitrogen Corporation and Bison Chemical Company, located at or near Woodward. Okla., to points in Arkansas, Colorado, Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Missouri, Nebraska, New Mexico, Oklahoma, South Dakota and Texas.

Nore.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Oklahoma City, Okla.

No. MC 138274 (Sub-No. 40), filed January 7, 1977. Applicant: SHIPPERS BEST EXPRESS, INC., 2151 North Redwood Road, Salt Lake City, Utah 84116. Applicant's representative: Chester A. Zyblut, 366 Executive Building, 1030 Fifteenth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20005. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Insulated wire and cable and materials, supplies and equipment used in the manufacture of insulated wire and cable, (1) from Linden and Hillside, N.J. and Nashua, N.H., to those points in that part of the United States west of the Mississippi River; and (2) from W. Jordan, Utah., to points in California, Arizona, Colorado, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, Oregon, Washington and Wyoming.

Note.—Applicant holds contract carrier authority in MC 138056 (Sub-No. 1), therefore dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Salt Lake City, Utah.

No. MC 138308 (Sub-No. 18), filed January 10, 1977. Applicant: KLM, INC., 2102 Old Brandon Road, P.O. Box 6098, Jackson, Miss. 39208. Applicant's representative: Donald B. Morrison, 1500 Deposit Guaranty Plaza, P.O. Box 22628, Jackson, Miss. 39205. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Plastic articles, from Urbana, Ohio, to points in Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Louisiana, Mississippi, Nevada, New Mexico, Oregon, Texas, Utah and Washington.

Norz.—Applicant holds contract carrier authority in No. MC 128592 and subs thereunder, therefore dual operations may be invoived. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Jackson, Miss, or Memphis, Tenn.

No. MC 138336 (Sub-No. 13), filed January 17, 1977. Applicant: THE GRADER LINE, INC., 1022 Sixth Avenue, North,

P.O. Box 5807, Nashville, Tenn. 37208. Applicant's representative: Edward C. Blank II, Middle Tennessee Bank Bullding. P.O. Box 1064, Columbia, Tenn. 28401. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Industrial and residential electrical heating and air conditioning equipment and assorted packaging, from the plant sites of T.P.I. Corporation, located at Johnson City, Tenn., to point in California, Idaho, Nevada, Oregon, Utah, Washington and Wyoming, restricted to traffic originating at the above location.

Note.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests that it be held at either Johnson City or Nashville, Tenn.

No. MC 138878 (Sub-No. 6), filed January 10, 1977. Applicant: JOHN S. WAT-SON, doing business as JOHN S. WAT-SON TRUCKING COMPANY, Route 2, Box 94, Weston, W. Va. 26452. Appli-cant's representative: John M. Friedman, 2930 Putnam Avenue, Hurricane, W. Va. 25526. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Common brick, from points in North Carolina, to points in Delaware, Maryland, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania and West Virginia; (2) wood chips and wood residuals, from points in West Virginia (except Parsons, W. Va.). to points in Maryland, Ohio and Pennsylvania; and (3) wooden pallets and wood pallet parts, from Jane Lew, W. Va., to points in Delaware, Maryland, New Jersey, Ohio and Pennsylvania.

Note.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests that it be held at Charleston, W. Va.; Columbus, Ohio; or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 139005 (Sub-No. 3) (amendment), filed April 7, 1976, published in the FR issue of May 20, 1976, and republished as amended this issue. Applicant: JAMES D. HOELZEMAN, doing business as SCRAP HAULERS. 13840 S. Halsted, Riverdale, Ill. 60627. Applicant's representative: Philip A. Lee, 120 W. Madison, Suite 618, Chicago, Ill. 60602. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Waste and waste products, for reuse and recycling only, in furtherance of recognized pollution control programs, between points in Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Nebraska, North Dakota, Ohio, South Dakota, and Wisconsin.

Note.—The purpose of this republication is to indicate service including Minnesota, and restrict the commodity description. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 139091 (Sub-No. 17), filed January 10, 1977. Applicant: LOGAN MOTOR LINES, INC., 2829 Mays Street, Amarillo, Tex. 79109. Applicant's representative: Clayton J. Logan, P.O. Box 30038, Amarillo, Tex. 79120. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Meats, meat products, and meat by-products and articles distrib-

uted by meat packinghouses as described in Section A and C of Appendix I to the report in Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766, from the plantsite and storage facilities of Swift Fresh Meats Co., located at or near Grand Island, Nebr., to points in Connecticut, Delaware, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersery, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia and the District of Columbia, under a continuing contract, or contracts, with Swift & Co.

Norn.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at either Chicago, Ill. or Omaha, Nebr.

No. MC 139113 (Sub-No. 9), filed January 4, 1977. Applicant: BRUNDIDGE TRANSPORTATION, INC., P.O. Box 187, Brundidge, Ala. 36010. Applicant's representative: William P. Jackson, Jr., 3426 North Washington Boulevard, P.O. Box 1267, Arlington Va. 22210. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Mayonnaise, salad dressing and salad dressing products. mustard, ketsup, jelly, tartar sauce, gelatin and gelatin products, from the facilities of Brundidge Foods, Inc. located at Brundidge, and Troy, Ala., to points in Arizona, California, Colorado, Idaho, Maine, Montana, Nebraska, New Hampshire, New Mexico, Nevada, North Dakota, Oregon, South Dakota, Utah. Vermont, Washington, and Wyoming; and (2) materials, equipment, and supplies used in the manufacture and distribution of the commodities named in (1) above, from the points in Arizona. California, Colorado, Idaho, Maine, Montana, Nebraska, New Hampshire, New Mexico, Nevada, North Dakota, Oregon, South Dakota, Utah, Vermont, Washington, and Wyoming, to the facilities of Brundidge Foods, Inc., located at Brundidge and Troy, Ala., under a continuing contract or contracts, in (1) and (2) above, with Brundidge Foods, Inc., located at Brundidge, Ala.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Washington, D.C. or Montgomery, Ala.

No. MC 139482 (Sub-No. 11), filed January 10, 1977. Applicant; NEW ULM FREIGHT LINES, INC., County Road 29 West, New Ulm, Minn. 56073. Applicant's representative: Samuel Rubenstein (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Electric ranges and microwave ovens, and such commodities as are used in the manufacture of electric ranges and microwave ovens, between the plantsite and storage facilities utilized by Litton Microwave Cooking Products, located at Sioux Falls, S. Dak., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Connecticut, Illinois, Indiana, Massachusetts, Minnesota, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Virginia and Wisconsin, restricted to traffic originating at or destined to Litton Microwave Cooking Products, located at Sioux Falls, S. Dak. Note.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Minneapolis or St. Paul, Minn.

No. MC 139663 (Sub-No. 2), filed December 29, 1976. Applicant: HASKINS & SON, INC., 815 Max Ave., Lansing, Mich. 48915. Applicant's representative: Karl L. Gotting, 1200 Bank of Lansing, Lansing, Mich. 48933. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Industrial sand, in bulk, from the facilities of the Nugent Sand Company, located at Muskegon, Mich., to Defiance, Ohio.

Note.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the auplicant requests it be held at either Lansing or Detroit, Mich.

No. MC 139999 (Sub-No. 20), filed January 10, 1977, Applicant: REDFEATHER FAST FREIGHT, INC., 2606 North 11th Street, Omeha, Nebr. 68110. Applicant's representative: Arlvn L. Westergreen, Suite 530 Univac Bidg., 7100 West Center Road, Omeha, Nebr. 68106. Authority Westergreen, sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Meats, meat products. meat by products and articles distributed by meat packinghouses, as described in Sections A and C of Appendix I to the report in Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except hides and commodities in bulk). from the plantsite of Dubuque Packing Co, located at or near Mankato, Kans., to points in Connecticut, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Michigan, Missouri, Nebraska, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island and Wisconsin.

Nore.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Omaha, Nebr.

No. MC 140010 (Sub-No. 9). filed January 17, 1977. Aprileant: JOSEPH MOV-ING & STORAGE CO. INC., doing business as ST. JOSEPH MOTOR LINES, 573 Dutch Valley Road, N.E., Atlanta, Ga. 30324. Applicant's representative: Richard M. Tettelbaum. Suite 375, 3379 Peachtree Road, N.E., Atlanta, Ga. 30326. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Non-cellular plastic sheating in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration, from Nashville. Tenn. to Selma, Ala., under a continuing contract, or contracts, with E. I. du Pont de Nemours & Co.

Note.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests that it be held at either Atlanta, Ga. or Wilmington, Del.

No. MC 149421 (Sub-No. 14), filed January 14, 1977. Applicant: ACTION MOTOR EXPRESS, INC., 8307 Almonaster Avenue, New Orleans, La. 70126. Applicant's representative: Sandra H. Roberson, P.O. Box 29102, New Orleans, La. 70189. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Canned goods, from the plantsite of Allen Canning Company located at Moorhead, Miss., to points in Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina,

South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, and (2) cans, boxes, cartons, and containers, from points in Houston, Tex., Collierville, Tenn., New Orleans and Port Allen, La., and Pascagoula, Miss., to Moorhead, Miss., and Oak Grove, La., under a continuing contract, or contracts, with Allen Canning Company.

Nore.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either New Orleans, La. or Baton Rouge, La.

No. MC 140549 (Sub-No. 4), filed January 10, 1977. Applicant: FRITZ TRUCKING, INC., East Highway 7. Clara City, Minn. 56222. Applicant's representative: Samuel Rubenstein, 301 North Fifth Street, Minneapolis, Minn. 55403. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Animal and poultry feed and feed ingredients, in bulk and bags, when moving in mixed loads with bulk, in or on auger equipped vehicles, from Gluek and Montevideo, Minn., to points in North Dakota and South Dakota.

Note.—Applicant holds contract carrier authority in No. MC 118739 and subs thereunder, therefore dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Minneapolis, Minn.

No. MC 140665 (Sub-No. 5), filed January 10, 1977. Applicant: PRIME, INC., Route 1, Box 115-B, Urbana, Mo. 65767. Applicant's representative: Clayton Geer, P.O. Box 786, Ravenna, Ohio 44266. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Electric lamps, lighting fixtures, Christmas tree lamp outfits, electric cord sets, dry cell batteries and portable battery charges, from Bellevue, Cleveland, Warren, Youngstown, Ravenna, Bucyrus, and Circleville, Ohio, to points in Arizona, California, Colorado, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, Oregon, Utah, Washington, and Wyoming. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant

Nore.—Common control may be involved, requests it be held at either Cleveland, Ohio or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 140875 (Sub-No. 3), filed January 6, 1977. Applicant: LONGVIEW HAULING, INC., 2401 Cavitt, P.O. Box 3955, Bryan, Tex. 77801. Applicant's representative: E. Larry Wells, 4645 N. Central Expressway, Dallas, Tex. 75205. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Brewers grain, liquid brewers yeast, liquid brewers grain concentrates and brewers grain mixtures, in bulk, from Longview, Tex., to Olive Branch, Miss., under a continuing contract or contracts with Murphy Products Co., Inc.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Dallas, Tex., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 141652 (Sub-No. 9), filed January 6, 1977. Applicant: ZIP TRUCK-ING, INC., P.O. Box 5717, Jackson, Miss. 39208. Applicant's representative: K. Edward Wolcott, 1600 First Federal Bldg., Atlanta, Ga. 30303. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Drugs and toilet preparations, from Gulfport, Miss., to points in California and Washington, restricted to the transportation of traffic originating at the facilities of Sterling Drug, Inc. located at the above named origin and destined to the above named destinations.

Note.—Applicant states the purpose of this filing is to convert existing contract carrier authority in MC 138807 to common carrier authority in No. MC 138807 and subs thereunder, therefore dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either New York City, N.Y. or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 141781 (Sub-No. 2), filed January 12, 1977. Applicant: LARSON TRANSFER & STORAGE CO., INC., 950 West 94th Street, P.O. Box 877, Minneapolis, Minn. 55431. Applicant's representative: F. H. Kroeger, 1745 University Avenue, St. Paul, Minn. 55104. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier. by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Electric ranges and microwave ovens and such commodities as are used in the manufacture of electric ranges and microwave ovens, including materials, supplies and accessories related thereto, between the plantsite and storage facilities utilized by Litton Microwave Cooking Products located at Sloux Falls, S. Dak., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Minnesota Wisconsin, restricted to traffic originating at or destined to the abovenamed facilities located at Sloux Falls. S. Dak.

Nork.—Applicant holds contract carrier authority in No. MC 128652 and subs thereunder, therefore dual operations may be involved if a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests that it be held at either St. Paul or Minneapolis, Minn.

No. MC 141804 (Sub-No. 44), filed December 29, 1976. Applicant: WESTERN EXPRESS, division of Interstate Rental, Inc., P.O. Box 422, Goodlettsville, Tenn. 37072. Applicant's representative: R. Connor Wiggins, Jr., Suite 909, 100 North Main Bldg., Memphis, Tenn. 38103. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Alcoholic beverages, (except commodities in bulk, in tank vehicles), from points in California, to points in the United States on and east of a line beginning at the mouth of the Mississippi River, and extending along the Mississippi River to its junction with the western boundaries of Itasca and Koochiching Counties, Minn., to the International Boundary Line between the United States and Canada.

Norga.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant request it be held at either San Francisco, Calif., or Los Angeles, Calif.

No. MC 141976 (Sub-No. 1), filed January 7, 1977. Applicant: BAL/TIMORE FREIGHTWAYS, INC., P.O. Box 321,

Randallstown, Md. 21133. Applicant's representative: Charles E. Creager, 1329 Pennsylvania Ave., P.O. Box 1417, Hagerstown, Md. 21740. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Welding wire and rods, welding electrades, welding flux and compounds, from Baltimore, Md., to points in Arizona, California, Colorado, Idaho, Louislana, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, Oklahoma, Oregon, Texas, Utah, Washington, and Wyoming, under a continuing contract or contracts with The Reid Avery Company.

Norm.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 142040 (Sub-No. 1), filed January 4, 1977. Applicant: AMBER DELIV-ERY SERVICE, INC., 25 Franklin Street, Malden, Mass. 02148. Applicant's representative: Joseph T. Bambrick, Jr., 217 Old Airport Road, Douglassville, Pa. 19518. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: General commodities (except those of unusual value, commodities in bulk, commodities requiring special equipment, and household goods as defined by the Commission) between Boston, Mass, and its Commercial Zone, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Windham County, Conn., points in York County, Maine, points in Bristol, Essex, Middlesex, Norfolk, Plymouth and Worcester Counties, Mass., points in Hillsboro and Rockingham Counties, N.H., and points in Kent and Providence Counties, R.I., restricted to individual articles not exceeding 100 pounds in weight from one consignor to one consignee in a single day and further restricted to a service conducted exclusively in two axle vehicles.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Boston Mass., Manchester, N.H., or Providence, R.I.

No. MC 142059 (Sub-No. 4), filed January 7, 1977. Applicant: CARDINAL TRANSPORT, INC., 1830 Mound Road, P.O. Box 911, Joliet, Ill. 60434. Applicant's representative: Jack Riley (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Lead and lead alloys (except commodities which because of size or weight require the use of special equipment), from Herculaneum, Mo., and points in Reynolds and Holt Counties, Mo., to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii).

Note.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either New York, N.Y. or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 142196 (Sub-No. 4), filed January 11, 1977. Applicant: THREE-B'S TRANSPORTATION, INC., 230 South 30th Street, Philadelphia, Pa. 19104. Applicant's representative: J. Michael Farrell, 1725 K Street, N.W., Suite 814, Washington, D.C. 20006. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier,

by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Such foods, commodities and equipment as are used in connection with the operation of hotels, restaurants and others engaged in food operations, from the facilities of Buff-Henley Paper Company, located at Baltimore and Columbia, Md., Wood Ridge, N.J., and Philadelphia, Pa., to points in the District of Columbia, under a continuing contract, or contracts, with Buff-Henley Paper Company.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Washington, D.C. or Philadelphia, Pa.

No. MC 142356 (Sub-No. 2), filed January 4, 1977. Applicant: J. S. BRYANT TRUCKING COMPANY, INC., Route 3, Box 314C, Lynchburg, Va. 24504. Applicant's representative: Michael L. Rigsby, 200 West Grace Street, Suite 415, Richmond, Va. 23220. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Scrap iron, and shredded scrap steel, between Kernersville, Statesville and Winston-Salem, N.C., on the one hand, and, on the other, Lynchburg, Radford and Roanoke, Va., under contract with Lynchburg Foundry Company, located at Lynchburg, Va.

Note.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Lynchburg, Va., or Winston-Salem, N.C.

No. MC 142357 (Sub-No. 2), filed January 11, 1977. Applicant: R. L. BERTO-LINO REFRIGERATED TRUCKS, INC., 404 South 24th Street, Billings, Mont. 59101. Applicant's representative: J. F. Meglen, P.O. Box 1581, Billings, Mont. 59103. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Meat meat products, meat by-products, and articles distributed by meat packinghouses, from Ranchester and Sheridan, Wyo., to Phoenix, Ariz.; Los Angeles and San Francisco, Calif.; Portland, Oreg.; and Seattle, Spokane and Tacoma, Wash., and points in their respective commercial zones.

Norz.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Billings, Mont.

No. MC 142476 (Sub-No. 1), filed January 6, 1977. Applicant: AUTO TRANSPORT, INC., a corporation, 3151 Hull Street, Richmond, Va. 23224. Applicant's representative: Richard J. Lee, 4070 Falstone Road, Richmond, Va. 23234. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes: transporting: Wrecked or disabled automobiles and trucks, restricted to traffic originating at or destined to salvage yards, and restricted against driveaway operations, and the use of wreckers and special trailers, between points in Connecticut, Delaware, Maryland, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Virginia, West Virginia and the District of Columbia.

Norz.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Richmond, Va. No. MC 142588 (Sub-No. 2), filed January 4, 1977. Applicant: R.N.B., INC., Box 457, Flora, Ill. 62839. Applicant's representative: Robert T. Lawley, 300 Reisch Building, Springfield, Ill. 62701. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Silica sand, in bulkfi from Ottawa. Minn., to points in Illinois and Kentucky.

Norm.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at either St. Louis, Mo. or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 142730 (Sub-No. 2), filed December 17, 1976. Applicant: THOMAS McGINNIS, doing business as T. Mc-GINNIS TRUCKING CO., Route 3, Box 329, Catlettsburg, Ky. 41129, Applicant's representative: John M. Friedman, 2930 Putnam Avenue, Hurricane, W. Va. 25526. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Face brick, clay and shale, from Marion, Glasgow and Richlands, Va., to points in Boyd, Greenup, Carter, and Lawrence Counties, Ky.; Gallia, Melgs and Lawrence Counties, Ohio; and Cabell and Wayne Counties, W. Va.; (2) Sand and gravel, in bulk, in dump vehicles, from points in Scioto County, Ohio, to Catlettsburg and Greenup, Ky.; (3) limestone, sand, and gravel, in bulk, in dump vehicles, from points in Adams County, Ohio, to Catlettsburg and Greenup, Ky.; (4) concrete patio blocks, concrete lintels, concrete parking curbs, and concrete decorative blocks, from points in Franklin County, Ohio, to Catlettsburg and Greenup, Ky.; and (5) concrete decorative blocks and concrete blocks in special shapes, from points in Ross County, Ohio, to Catlettsburg, Ky., under a continuing contract, or contracts, with H & H Supply, Inc. located at Catlettsburg. Ky.

Nork.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Charleston, W. Va. or Columbus, Ohio.

No. MC 142769 (Sub-No. 1), filed January 5, 1977. Applicant: COLONIAL REFRIGERATED TRANSPORTATION, INC., P.O. Box 168, Concord, Tenn. 37922. Applicant's representative: Chester G. Groebel, (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Such commodities as are dealt in or used by wholesalers and retailers of fabrics and sewing supply stores, between Amarillo, Tex., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii), under a continuing contract, or contracts, with Clothworld, Inc.

Note.—Applicant holds common carrier authority in MC 115841 and subs thereunder, therefore dual operations may be involved. Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Amarillo or Dallas, Tex.

No. MC 142785 (Sub-No. 1), filed January 4, 1977. Applicant: BROTHERLY LOVE EXPRESS, INC., 2451 Montrose Avenue, Philadelphia, Pa. 19145. Appli-

cant's representative: Brian S. Stern, 2425 Wilson Boulevard, Suite 327, Arlington, Va. 22201. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Iron and steel articles, from the plantsite and facilities utilized by Western Steel Company located at Philadelphia, Pa., to points in Connecticut. Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Maryland. Massaohusetts, New Jersey, New York. North Carolina, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Tennessee, and Virginia; (2) (a) returned shipments of the commodities named in (1) above; and (b) materials, equipment, and supplies used in the manufacture, sales and distribution of the commodities named in (1) above. from points in Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Tennessee, and Virginia, to the plantsite and facilities utilized by Western Steel Company located at Philadelphia, Pa., under a continuing contract, or contracts, with Western Steel Company.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at either Philadelphia, Pa. or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 142796 (Sub-No. 1), filed January 10, 1977. Applicant: VAN HORN MOVING & STORAGE, INC., 1421 Harrison Avenue, Panama City, Fla. 32401. Applicant's representative: Sol H. Proctor, 1101 Blackstone Building, Jacksonville, Fla. 32202. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Household goods, between points in Holmes, Jackson, Washington, Bay, Gulf, Franklin, Liberty, Gadsden, Waukulla, Calhoun and Leon Counties, Fla., restricted to the transportation of shipments having a prior or subsequent movement, in containers, beyond the points authorized, and further restricted to the performance of pickup and delivery service in connection with the packing, crating, and containerization or unpacking, uncrating and decontainerization of such shipments.

Note.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at either Tallahassee or Jacksonville, Fla.

MC 142830, filed December 1976. Applicant: TRANSHIELD TRUCKING, INC., 1035 East State Street, Geneva, Ill, 60134. Applicant's representative: E. Stephen Heisley, 805 McLachlen Bank Building, 666 Eleventh Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20001. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Aluminum building products; aluminum lawn and garden products; aluminum rods and wire; aluminum coil; aluminum fencing; aluminum lineals and parts and accessories therefor; and scrap aluminum; and materials, equipment and supplies used in the manufacture, sale, processing, and distribution of the aforementioned commodities. (1) between Chatworth, Ill., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Alabama, California,

Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas,
Kentucky, Louislana, Maine, Maryland,
Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota,
Mississippi, Missouri, Nebraska, New
Hampshire, New Jersey, New York,
North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohlo,
Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode
Island, South Carolina, South Dakota,
Tennessee, Texas, Vermont, Virginia,
Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin,
and the District of Columbia;

(2) Between West Chicago, Ill., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Alabama, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nebraska, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and the District of Columbia; (3) between Davenport, Iowa, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Alabama, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nebraska, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and the District of Columbia; (4) between Rice Lake, Wis., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Alabama, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nebraka, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, and the District of Columbia: (5) between Atlanta, Ga., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Alabama, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nebraska, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and the District of Columbia; and

(6) Between Tampa, Miami, Hialeah and Orlando, Fla., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Alabama, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan,

Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nebraska, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and the District of Columbia; under a continuing contract, or contracts, in (1) through (6) above, with Nichols-Homeshield, Inc.

Note.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Chicago, III.

No. MC 142845, filed January 10, 1977. Applicant: DAVID BENEUX PRODUCE AND TRUCKING, INC., P.O. Drawer F. Mulberry, Ark. 72947. Applicant's representative: Don Garrison, 204 Highway 71 North, Springdale, Ark. 72764. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Meats, meat prodmeat by-products, and articles distributed by meat packinghouses, as described in Sections A and C of Appendix I to the report in Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except hides and commodities in bulk), in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration, from Searcy, Ark., to points in Alabama, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Massachusetts, Mississippi, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, West Virginia and the District of Columbia.

Note.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Little Rock, Ark., or Chicago, Ili.

No. MC 142877, filed January 17, 1977. Applicant: J. P. HOLLEY, doing business as J. P. TRUCKING CO., General De-livery, Rodney, Ohio 45676. Applicant's representative: John L. Alden, 1396 West Fifth Avenue, Columbus, Ohio 43212. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Lime, limestone, blacktop, sand and gravel, in bulk, in dump vehicles, between points in Adams, Gallia, Jackson, Lawrence, Meigs and Pike Counties, Ohio, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Cabell, Calhoun, Jackson, Kanawha, Lincoln, Pleasants. Mason, Pleasants, Putnam, Ritchie, Roane, Wayne, Wirth and Wood Coun-Putnam, Ritchie. ties, W. Va., under a continuing contract, or contracts, with Holley Brothers Construction Co., Inc.

Nore.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests that it be held at either Columbus, Ohio or Huntington, W. Va.

#### PASSENGER APPLICATIONS

No. MC 142573 (Sub-No. 1), filed January 10, 1977. Applicant: CRAIG JONES, doing business as GILLETTE CREW TRANSPORTERS, Garner Lake Route No. 94, Gillette, Wyo. 82716. Applicant's representative: Craig Jones (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transport-

ing: Passengers who are trained crew members and their baggage in the same vehicle with passengers, between Gillette, Wyo., and points in Albany, Big Horn, Carbon, Campbell, Crook, Converse, Fremont, Goshen, Hot Springs, Johnson, Laramie, Lincoln, Natrona, Niobrara, Park, Platte, Sweetwater, Teton and Weston Counties and Yellowstone National Park, Wyo.; Bennett, Butte, Corson, Custer, Dewey, Fall River, Haakon, Hughes, Harding, Jackson, Jones, Lawrence, Lyman, Meade, Mellette. Perkins. Pennington, Shannon, Washabaugh, Stanley, Ziebach, Sully and Todd Counties, S. Dak.; Carter, Blaine. Daniels, Fallon, Fergus, Gallatin, Golden Valley, Garfield, Big Horn, Meagher, Madison, Musselshell, McCone, Park, Petroleum, Phillips, Prairie, Powder River, Richland, Roosevelt, Rosebud, Stillwater and Valley Counties, Mont.; Bowman, Adams, Billings, Dunn, Golden Valley, Grant, Hettinger, McKenzie, Mercer, Morton, Sioux, Slope, and Stark Counties, N. Dak.; Banner, Cherry, Cheyenne, Dawes, Grant, Garden, Morrill, Kimball, Sheridan, Sioux and Scotts Bluff Counties, Nebr.; Larimer, Routt and Weld Counties, Colo., under a continuing contract, or contracts, with Burlington Northern, Inc.

Note.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests that it be held at either Alliance, Nebr. or Casper, Wyo.

No. MC 142846, filed January 7, 1977. Applicant: JOANNE SMITH, doing business as SMITH'S CHARTER BUS SERV-ICE, 644 Stockton Avenue, San Jose, Calif. 95126. Applicant's representative: Eldon M. Johnson, 650 California Street, Suite 2808, San Francisco, Calif. 94108. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Passengers and their baggage, in the same vehicle with passengers, in special and charter operations, beginning and ending at points in Santa Cruz and Santa Clara Counties. Calif. (except Palo Alto, Calif.) and Fremont and Newark, Calif., and extending to points in Arizona, California, Colorado, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, Oregon, Utah, Washington and Wyoming, restricted against service extending solely to Douglas, Storey and Washoe Counties, Nev. and Carson City,

Note.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either San Jose or San Francisco, Calif.

#### BROKER APPLICATION

No. MC 6319 (Sub-No. 8) filed January 6, 1977. Applicant: CALIFORNIA CARTAGE COMPANY, INC., 20021 Susana Road, Compton, Calif. 90221. Applicant's representative: Carl H. Fritze, 1545 Wilshier Blvd., Los Angeles, Calif. 90017. Authority sought to engage in operation, in interstate or foreign commerce, as a broker at Compton, Calif., to sell or offer to sell the transportation of general commodities (except commodities in bulk and household goods as defined by the Commission), by rail and

motor carriers, from points in Los Angeles, Orange, Riverside, San Bernardino and San Diego Counties, Calif., to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii).

Note.—Common control may be involved, If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Los Angeles, Calif.

#### FINANCE APPLICATIONS

#### NOTICE

The following applications seek approval to consolidate, purchase, merge, lease operating rights and properties, or acquire control through ownership of stock, of rail carriers or motor carriers pursuant to Sections 5(2) or 210a(b) of the Interstate Commerce Act.

An original and two copies of protests against the granting of the requested authority must be filed with the Commission within 30 days after the date of this Federal Register notice. Such protest shall comply with Special Rules 240(c) or 240(d) of the Commission's General Rules of Practice (49 CFR 1100.-240) and shall include a concise statement of protestant's interest in the proceeding. A copy of the protest shall be served concurrently upon applicant's representative, or applicant, if no representative is named.

No. MC-F-13107. Authority sought for purchase by KODIAK REFRIGERATED LINES, INC., 3336 E. Fruitland Avenue, P.O. Box 58327, Vernon, CA 90058, of a portion of the operating rights of JOHN-SRUD TRANSPORT, INC., Highway 9 West, P.O. Box 447, Cresco, IA 52136, and for acquisition by Mel Smookler and Ralph Lemberg, both of 1420 33rd Street, P.O. Box 1018, Denver, CO 80201, of control of such rights through the purchase. Applicants' attorneys: Donald L. Stern, 530 Univac Bldg., 7100 W. Center Road, Omaha, NE 68106 and Patrick E. Quinn, P.O. Box 82028, Lincoln, NE 68501. Operating rights sought to be transferred: Petroleum products, in containers, as a common carrier over regular routes from Minneapolis, Minn. to points in Mitchell County, Iowa; and Empty petroleum containers, from points in Mitchell County, Iowa, to Minneapolis, Minn. Vendee is authorized to operate as a common carrier in Alabama, Arizona, Arkansas, Californa, Colorado, Florida, Georgia, Idaho, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Mexico, North Carolina, North Dakota, Oklahoma, Oregon, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Wash-ington, Wisconsin and Wyoming.

No. MC-F-13108. Authority sought for inerger into YELLOW FREIGHT SYSTEM, INC., 10990 Roe Avenue, (P.O. Box 7270), Overland Park, KS., 66207, of the operating rights and properties of the Adley Corporation, doing business as Adley Express Company, 10990 Roe Avenue (P.O. Box 7270) Overland Park, KS., 66207, and for acquisition by George E, Powell, Jr., 1040 West 57th Street, Kansas City, MO., 64113, of control of such rights and property through the merger.

Applicants' attorneys: David B. Schneider, P.O. Box 7270, Overland Park KS., 66207; Jack Goodman, 39 South La-Salle Street, Chicago, IL., 60603; and Richard K. Andrews, 1500 Commerce Bank Building, Kansas City, MO., 64106. The Adley Corporation, doing business as Adley Express Company, operates as a common carrier, primarily over regular routes, between St. Johnsbury, Vt., Portland, ME and Boston, MA, on the northeast, and Atlanta and Macon, GA, on the southwest. Yellow Freight System. Inc. is authorized to operate as a common carrier in Illinois, Michigan, Ohio, Iowa, Minnesota, Tennessee, Georgia, Colorado, Nebraska, Arizona, California, New Mexico, South Carolina, Wyoming, Wis-consin, Pennsylvania, Maryland, Vir-ginia, Alabama, New Jersey, Arkansas, Texas, Utah, Louisiana, Delaware, New York, Massachusetts and the District of Columbia. Application has not been filed for temporary authority under section 210a(b).

Nors.—Pursuant to a order served September 27, 1973 in MC-F-11641, Yellow Freight System, Inc. was granted control of the Adley Corporation, dba Adley Express Company.

No. MC-F-13110. Authority sought for purchase by DICK SIMON TRUCKING, INC., 3700 South 4355 West, Salt Lake City, UT., 84120, of a portion of the operating rights of Shippers Best Express, Inc., P.O. Box 15533, Salt Lake City, UT., 84120, and for acquisition by Richard D. Simon, 3700 South 4355 West, Salt Lake City UT., 84120, of control of such rights through the purchase. Applicants' attorney: Chester A. Zyblut, 366 Executive Bldg. 1030 Fifteenth St., N.W. Washington, 20005. Operating rights sought to transferred: Frozen cherries and bottled and canned fruit juices, as a contract carrier over irregular routes, from the plant sites of Payson Fruit Growers Association, at or near Payson, Provo, and Moroni, Utah, to points in California; frozen cherries, from the plant site of Payson Fruit Growers Association at or near Payson and Provo, Utah, to points in Oregon, Washington, Arizona, Colorado, and Oklahoma; and packaging materials, from points in Oregon, Washington, Arizona, Colorado, and Oklahoma to the plant site of Payson Fruit Growers Association, at or near Payson, Utah. with restrictions. Vendee is authorized to operate as a common carrier in Utah. Colorado, California, Arizona, Washington, Oregon, and Oklahoma. Application has not been filed for temporary authority under section 210a(b).

No. MC-F-13111. Authority sought for purchase by REFRIGERATED FOODS, INC., 3200 Blake Street, Denver, CO 80205, of a portion of the operating rights of Bray Lines, Inc., P.O. Box 1191, Cushing, OK 74023, and for acquisition by Melburne Smookler and Ralph Lemberg, both of 3200 Blake Street, Denver, CO 80205, of control of such rights through the purchase. Applicants' attorneys: Donald L. Stern, 530 Univac Bldg., Omaha, NE 68106 and Edward T. Lyons,

Suite 1600, 1600 Lincoln Street, Denver, CO 80203. Operating rights sought to be transferred: Meats, meat products, and meat by products and articles distributed by meat packinghouses, as described in Sections A and C of Appendix I to the report in Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except hides, dry acids and chemicals, in bulk, and liquid commodities, in bulk, in tank vehicles), as a common carrier over irregular routes from the plantsite or storage facilities of Griffith Provision Company, Inc., at or near Downs, Kans., to points in Arkansas, Colorado, Idaho, New Mexico, Oklahoma, Oregon, Texas, Washington, and Wyoming; From the plantsite or storage facilities of Griffith Provision Company, Inc., at or near Downs, Kans., to points in Arizona, California, Idaho, Illinois, Minnesota, Montana, Nevada, Oregon, Utah, Washington, Wisconsin, and Wyoming with restriction. Vendee is authorized to operate as a common carrier in Colorado, Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, Missouri, North Carolina, South Carolina and Tennessee, and as a contract carrier in Colorado, Arizona, California, Nevada, Nebraska, Texas, Idaho, Montana, New Mexico, Oregon, Utah, Washington, Wyoming and Kansas, Application has not been filed for temporary authority under section 210a(b).

No. MC-F-13118. Authority sought for purchase by CROUSE CARTAGE COM-PANY, P.O. Box 151, Carroll, IA., 51401, of the operating rights of Crosstown Ex-press, Inc., 231 Guinotte, Kansas City, MO., 64120, and for acquisition by Paul E. Crouse, P.O. Box 151, Carroll, IA., 51401 of control of such rights through the purchase. Applicant's attorneys: William S. Rosen, 630 Osborn Building, St. Paul, MN., 55102, and Donald J. Quinn, Suite 900, 1012 Baltimore, Kansas City, MO., 64114. Operating rights sought to be transferred: General commodities, with exceptions as a common carrier over irregular routes between points within 9 miles of Kansas City, Kans., those within 9 miles of Kansas City, Mo., and those within 9 miles of North Kansas City, Mo., including the points named. Vendee is authorized to operate as a common carrier in Iowa, Illinois, Kansas, Missouri, Nebraska, Wisconsin, Michigan, Ken-Indiana, tucky, Ohio, Connecticut, Delaware, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, the District of Columbia, Minnesota, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Colorado. Application has been filed for temporary authority under section 210a(b).

No. MC-F-13119. Authority sought for purchase by ATKINSON FREIGHT LINES, INC., P.O. Box 520, Blanche Road, Cornwells Heights, PA., 19020, of the operating rights and property of Story's Express Company, Inc., Fourth Street and Melrose Avenue, Chester, Pa., 19013, and for acquisition by Joseph B.

Atkinson, Jr., also of Cornwells Heights, Pa., 19020, of control of such rights through the purchase. Applicants' attorneys: Maxwell A. Howell, Esq., 1100 Investment Building, 1511 K Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., 20005, and Philip A, McMunigal, Jr., Esq., 107 West 3rd Street, Box 647, Media, PA., 19063. Operating rights sought to be transferred: General commodities, with exceptions as a common carrier over irregular routes, between points and places in Delaware County, Pa., on the one hand, and, on the other, points and places in Delaware, Maryland, New Jersey, District of Columbia, and those in that part of New York on and east of U.S. Highway 9W and on and south of a line beginning at Albany, N.Y., and extending east through Center Berlin, N.Y., to the New York-Massachusetts State line, including New York, N.Y., and points and places on Long Island, N.Y., between Chester, Pa., and points in Pennsylvania within ten miles thereof, on the one hand, and, on the other, Philadelphia, Pa.; household goods, between points and places in Delaware County, Pa., on the one hand, and, on the other, points and places in Rhode Island, New York, New Jersey, and the District of Columbia, traversing Connecticut for operating convenience only; chemicals, in tank trucks, from Chester, Pa., to Elkton, Md., Camden, N.J., points and places within 15 miles of Camden, and those in New Castle County, Del., with no transportation for on return except as otherwise authorized; silicate of soda and silicate of potassium, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Chester, Pa., to Washington, D.C. and to Bridgeport, N.J., and points and places in New Jersey within 40 miles of Bridgeport, with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized; silicate of soda, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Chester, Pa., to points in Arlington County, Va., traversing Maryland, New Jersey, Delaware, and the District of Columbia for operating convenience only, with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized. Vendee is authorized to operate as a common carrier in Connecticut. Delaware, the District of Columbia, Maryland, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, and Virginia. Application has been filed for temporary authority under section 210a(b)

No. MC-F-13120. Authority sought for purchase by HOLMES TRANSPORTA-TION, INC., 260 Cochituate Road, Framingham, MA., 01701, of a portion of the operating rights of Eastern Freight Ways, Inc., Sidney B. Gluck, Trustee in in Bankruptcy, c/o Edgar H. Booth, Esquire, 405 Park Ave., New York, N.Y., 10022, and for acquisition by Robert C. Holmes and Dorothy C. Holmes, 260 Cochituate Road, Framingham, MA., 01701, and Saul A. Seder, 339 Main Street, Worcester, MA., 01608, of control of such rights through the purchase. Applicants' attorneys: Kenneth B. Williams, 84 State Street, Boston, MA., 02109, and William W. Becker, 1819 H Street, N.W., Suite

950, Washington, D.C. 20006. Operating rights sought to be transferred: General commodities, with as a common carrier over regular routes between points in Hudson, Bergen, Passaic, Essex, Somerset, Union, and Morris Counties, N.J., on the one hand, and, on the other, New York, N.Y., and points in Nassau, and Suffolk Counties, N.Y.; between Scranton, Pa., and New York, N.Y., serving all intermediate points; between Scranton, Pa., and Junction Pennsylvania Highway 307 and U.S. Highway 611, serving all intermediate points: between Scranton, Pa., and junction U.S. Highway 611 and Pennsylvania Highway 307, serving all intermediate points; between Junction U.S. Highway 46 and New Jersey Highway 3 and New York, N.Y., serving all intermediate points and serving junction U.S. Highway 46 and New Jersey Highway 3 for the purpose of joinder; between Scranton, Pa., and Wilkes-Barre, Pa., serving all intermediate points.

Between junction U.S. Highway 22 and New Jersey Highway 82 and New York, N.Y. serving all intermediate points; between Scranton, Pa., and junction Pennsylvania Turnpike Northeast Extension and U.S. Highway 22, serving no inter-mediate points, and serving junction Pennsylvania Turnpike Northeast Extension and U.S. Highway 22 for the purpose of joinder; between Scranton, Pa., and junction U.S. Highway 206 and U.S. Highway 46, serving all intermediate points and serving junction U.S. Highway 206 and U.S. Highway 46 for the purpose of joinder; between junction U.S. Highways 206 and 46 and junction U.S. Highway 206 and 22, serving intermediate points and serving junction U.S. Highways 206 and 22 for the purpose of joinder; between Wilkes-Barre, Pa., and junction U.S. Highway 202 and U.S. Highway 22, serving all intermediway 22 and New Jersey Highway 24 ate points; between junction U.S. Highand junction New Jersey Highway 24 and U.S. Highway 46, serving all intermediate points and serving both junctions for the purpose of joinder; between junction New Jersey Highways 3 and 17 and junction New Jersey Highways 3 and 20, serving all intermediate points and serving junction New Jersey Highways 3 and 17 and junction New Jersey Highways 3 and 20 for the purpose of joinder; between junction U.S. Highway 46 and New Jersey Highway 17 and Junction New Jersey Highway 17 and County Road 36 (Eastern Avenue) serving all intermediate points and serving junction U.S. Highway 46 and New Jersey Highway 17 and junction New Jersey Highway 17 and County Road 36 for the purpose of joinder; between Scranton, Pa., and New York, N.Y., serving all intermediate points.

Between Scranton, Pa., and Junction Pennsylvania Highway 307 and U.S. Highway 611, serving all intermediate points; between Scranton, Pa., and junction U.S. Highway 611 and Pennsylvania Highway 307, serving all intermediate points; between junction U.S. Highway

45 and New Jersey Highway 3, and New York, N.Y., serving all intermediate points, and serving junction U.S. Highway 46 and New Jersey Highway 3 for purposes of joinder only; between Scranton, Pa., and New York, N.Y., serving all intermediate points; between Scranton, Pa., and Wilkes-Barre, Pa., serving all intermediate points; between junction U.S. Highway 22 and New Jersey Highway 82, and New York, N.Y., serving all intermediate points; between Scranton, Pa., and junction Pennsylvania Turnpike Northeast Extension, and U.S. Highway 22, serving no intermediate points, and serving junction Pennsylvania Turnpike Northeast Extension and U.S. Highway 22 for purpose of joinder only; between Scranton, Pa., and junction U.S. Highways 206 and 46, serving all intermediate points, and serving junction U.S. Highways 206 and 46, for purpose of joinder only; between junction U.S. Highways 206 and 46, and junction U.S. Highways 206 and 22, serving all intermediate points, and serving junction U.S. Highways 206 and 22 for purposes of joinder only; between Wilkes-Barre, Pa., and junction U.S. Highways 202 and 22, serving all intermediate points; between junction U.S. Highway 22 and New Jersey Highway 24, and junction New Jersey Highway 24 and U.S. Highway 46, serving all intermediate points, and serving both junctions for purposes of joinder only: between junction New Jersey Highways 3 and 17, and junction New Jersey Highways 3 and 20, serving all intermediate points, and serving junction New Jersey Highways 3 and 17 and junction New Jersey Highways 3 and 20 for purposes of joinder only.

Between junction U.S. Highway 46 and New Jersey Highway 17, and junction New Jersey Highway 17 and County Road 36 (Moonachie Avenue), serving all intermediate points, and serving junction U.S. Highway 46 and New Jersey Highway 17 and junction New Jersey Highway 17 and County Road 36 for purposes of joinder only; between junction U.S. Highways 46 and 1, and junction U.S. Highway and Truck U.S. Highway 1, serving all intermediate points, and serving junction U.S. Highway 1 and Truck U.S. Highway 1 for purposes of joinder only; between junction U.S. Highway 46 and U.S. Highway 1 and junction U.S. Highway 1 and Truck U.S. Highway 1, serving all intermediate points and serving junction U.S. Highway 1 and Truck U.S. Highway 1 for purpose of joinder, with restrictions: General commodities, with exceptions as a common carrier over irregular routes between New York, N.Y., and Newark, N.J., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Sussex, Passaic, Bergen, Essex. Union, Morris, Somerset, and Hudson Counties, N.J., and those in Pennsylvania on and north of U.S. Highway 202 between Doylestown and the Pennsylvania-New Jersey border, on and east on Pennsylvania Highway 309 (formerly U.S. Highway 309) between Doylestown and Tunkhannock, on and north of U.S. Highway 6 (formerly portion U.S. Highway 309) between Tunkhannock and the

Wyoming-Bradford Co. line, and east of a line from the Wyoming-Bradford Co. line at its junction with U.S. Highway 6, thence along the Wyoming-Bradford Co. line to the Bradford-Susquehanna Co. line, thence along the Bradford-Susquehanna Co. line to the New York State border, between points in Sussex, Passaic, Bergen, Essex, Union, Morris, Somerset, and Hudson Counties, N.J., and those in Pennsylvania on and north of U.S. Highway 202 between Doylestown and the Pennsylvania-New Jersey border, on and east of Pennsylvania High-way 309 (formerly U.S. Highway 309) between Doylestown and Tunkhannock, on and north of U.S. Highway 6 (formerly portion U.S. Highway 309) be-tween Tunkhanock and the Wyoming-Bradford Co. line, and east of a line from the Wyoming-Bradford Co. line at its Junction with U.S. Highway 6. thence along the Wyoming-Bradford Co. line to the Bradford Susquehanna Co. line, thence along the Bradford-Susquehanna Co. line to New York State border, with restrictions; between New York, N.Y., and points in Nassau and Suffolk Counties, N.Y., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Sussex, Passaic, Bergen, Essex, Union, Morris, Warren, Hunterdon, Somerset, and Hudson Counties, N.J. Vendee is authorized to operate as a common carrier in Connecticut, Delaware, the District of Columbia, Maine, Vermont, Virginia, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, and Rhode Island. Application has been filed for temporary authority under section 210a(b).

Note.-MC 30139 (Sub-No. 12) is a directly related matter.

No. MC-F-13121. Authority sought for purchase by OSBORNE TRUCK LINE. INC., 516 North 31st Street, Birmingham, Alabama, 35203, of the operating rights of Cook Refrigerated Express, Inc., c/o Bank of the Southeast, 305 North 20th Street, Birmingham, Alabama, 35203, and for acquisition by Leroy Osborne, Sr., also of 516 North 31st Street, Birmingham, AL., 35203, of control of such rights through the purchase. Applicants' attorney: Maurice F. Bishop, 603 Frank Nelson Building, Birmingham, AL., 35203. Operating rights sought to be transferred: Charcoal, as a common carrier over irregular routes from Sardis, Ala. to points in Illinois, Indiana and Ohio, with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized; canned vegetables, from Rochelle and DeKalb, Ill., and Rockfield, Jackson and Humbird, Wis., to points in Green, Dallas, Montgomery, Hale, and Marengo Counties, Ala., with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized; malt beverages, from St. Louis, Mo., Cincinnati, Ohio, Milwaukee, Wis., Belleville and Peoria, Ill., and Evansville and Terre Haute, Ind., to points in Baldwin, Barbour, Bullock, Colbert, Covington, Crenshaw, Dallas, Escambia, Greene, Henry, Houston, Jefferson, Lee, Lowndes,

Ala., with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized; empty malt beverage containers, from points in Dallas, Greene, Perry and Tuscaloosa Counties, Ala., to St. Louis, Mo., Cincinnati, Ohio, Milwaukee, Wis., Belleville and Peoria, Ill., and Evansville and Terre Haute, Ind., with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized; malt beverages, beer-can openers, and advertising material pertaining to malt beverages, from St. Joseph, Mo., Chicago, Ill., Fort Wayne and South Bend, Ind., Louisville and Covington, Ky., Tampa, Fla., and New Orleans, La., to points in Alabama, and to points in Troup and Muscogee Counties, Ga., with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized; malt beverage containers and pallets, and advertising material pertaining to malt beverages, from points in Alabama and points in Troup and Muscogee Counties, Ga., to St. Louis and St. Joseph, Mo., Chicago, Belleville and Peoria, Ill., Fort Wayne, South Bend, Evansville and Terre Haute, Ind., Cincinnati, Ohio, Louisville and Covington, Ky., Tampa, Fla., and New Orleans, La., with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized; malt beverages and can openers and malt beverage advertising materials, when moving in the same vehicle with malt beverages from Milwaukee, Wis., and Peoria, Ill., to points in Calhoun County, Ala., with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized; malt beverages, from St. Louis and St. Joseph, Mo.; Milwaukee, Wis.; Fort Wayne, Evansville, Terre Haute, and South Bend, Ind.; Belleville, Peoria, and Chicago, fil.; Cincinnati, Ohio; and Louisville, Ky., to points in Etowah, Calhoun, and Talladega Counties, Ala. Vendee is authorized to operate as a common carrier in Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, Missouri, Ohio, Tennessee, and Wisconsin. Application has been filed for temporary authority under section 210a(b).

No. MC-F-13122. Authority sought for purchase by HYMAN FREIGHTWAYS, INC., 1745 University Avenue, St. Paul, MN., 55104, of the operating rights and property of Royal Transportation Limited, Suite 416-159 Bay Street, Toronto, Canada, and for acquisition by Eugene Pikovsky, 1745 University Avenue, St. Paul, MN., 55104, of control of such rights through the purchase. Applicants' attorneys: Donald A. Morken and L. K. Ash, 1000 First National Bank Building, Minneapolis, MN., 55402. Operating rights sought to be transferred: General commodities, with exceptions as a common carrier over regular routes between Pembina, N. Dak, and Noyes, Minn., and the boundary of the United States and Canada, as follows: from Pembina over U.S. Highway 81 to the boundary of the United States and Canada; from Noyes Madison, Mobile, Montgomery, Perry, over U.S. Highway 75 to the boundary

Pike, Russel, and Tuscaloosa Counties. of the United States and Canada; and return over these routes to Pembina and Noyes, service is not authorized to or from intermediate points. Vendee is authorized to operate as a common carrier in Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Minnesota. Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Wisconsin. Application has been filed for temporary authority under section 210a(b).

No. MC-F-13125. Authority sought for purchase by ST. JOHNSBURY TRUCK-ING COMPANY, INC., 87 Jeffrey Avenue, Holliston, MA., 01746, of a portion of the operating rights of Modern Transfer Co., Inc., an alleged Bankrupt, Alan M. Black, receiver, 502 Turner Street, Allentown, PA., 18102, and for acquisition of S.J.T. INC., 87 Jeffrey Avenue, Holliston, MA., 01746, of control of such rights through the purchase. Applicants' attorneys: Francis P. Barrett, 60 Adams Street, Milton, MA., 02187, and Alexander N. Rubin, Jr., 2717 The Fidelity Building, Philadelphia, PA., 19109. Operating rights sought to be transferred: General commodities, with exceptions, as a common carrier over regular routes between Allentown, Pa., and New York, N.Y., serving all intermediate points; and the off-route points of Newton, Hopatcong, and Morris Plains, N.J., points in the New York, N.Y., Commercial Zone, as defined by the Commission and those in that part of New Jersey bounded by a line beginning at junction U.S. Highway 9W and New Jersey Highway 502 (formerly New Jersey Highway 200), and extending westerly along New Jersey 502 to Ridgewood, N.J., thence southwesterly through Paterson and Morristown, N.J., to Somerville, N.J., thence southeasterly through Manville and New Brunswick, N.J., to South River, N.J., and thence northeasterly to Perth Amboy, N.J., including the abovenamed points, and those on the indicated portion of New Jersey Highway 502 (formerly New Jersey Highway 200) only; between Dover, N.J., and Summit, N.J., serving all intermediate points, with restrictions; general commodities with exceptions as a common carrier over irregular routes between points in Pennsylvania within 15 miles of Allentown. including Allentown; those in the Townships of Upper Mount Behel, Lower Mount Behel, Washington, Plainfield. Bushkill, and Forks (Northampton County), Pa.; those in the Townships of East Rickhill, West Rockhill, and Hilltown (Bucks County), Pa.; and those in the Townships of Franconia, Hatfield. Montgomery, and Upper Gwynedd (Montgomery County), Pa., and points in New Jersey within ten miles of Phillipsburg, N.J., including Phillipsburg, N.J., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in New Jersey; between Philadelphia, Pa., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in New Jersey within 25 miles of the Philadelphia-Camden Bridge. Vendee is authorized to operate as a common carrier in Connecticut, Delaware, the District of Columbia, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire,

New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, and Vermont. Application has been filed for temporary authority under section 210a(b).

No. MC-F-13126. Authority sought for purchase by APPLEGATE DRAYAGE COMPANY, P.O. Box 2728, Sacramento, CA., 95812, of the operating rights of Alta Freight & Transfer, Inc., 608 Mc-Clary Avenue, Oakland, CA., 94621, and for acquisition by William Applegate, 21 Riverbank Place, Carmichael, CA., 95812, of control of such rights through the purchase. Applicants' attorneys: Martin J. Rosen, 256 Montgomery Street, San Francisco, CA., 94104, and Mr. E. H. Griffiths, Transportation Consultant, 1182 Market Street, Suite 207, San Francisco, CA., 94102. Operating rights sought to be transferred: General commodities, with exceptions as a common carrier, over irregular routes between Oakland, Alameda, and Richmond, Calif.; hides and wool from points in Alameda County, Calif., to Oakland, Alameda, and Richmond, Calif., with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized; under a certificate of Registration in Docket No. MC-51375 (Sub-No. 4), covering the transportation of general commodities, as a common carrier, in interstate commerce, within the State of California. Vendee is authorized to operate as a common carrier in California and Nevada. Application has been filed for temporary authority under section 210a(b).

Norm.-MC-135865 (Sub-No. 5) is a directly related matter.

OPERATING RIGHTS APPLICATION(S) DIRECTLY RELATED TO FINANCE PROCEEDINGS

#### NOTICE

The following operating rights application(s) are filed in connection with pending finance applications under Section 5(2) of the Interstate Commerce Act, or seek tacking and/or gateway elimination in connection with transfer applications under Section 212(b) of the Interstate Commerce Act.

An original and two copies of protests to the granting of the authorities must be filed with the Commission within 30 days after the date of this Federal Recister notice. Such protests shall comply with Special Rule 247(d) of the Commission's General Rules of Practice (49 CFR 1100.247) and include a concise statement of protestant's interest in the proceeding and copies of its conflicting authorities. Verified statements in opposition should not be tendered at this time. A copy of the protest shall be served concurrently upon applicant's representative, or applicant if no representative is named.

Each applicant states that there will be no significant effect on the quality of the human environment resulting from approval of its application.

No. MC 381 (Sub-No. 7), filed December 13, 1976. Applicant: GENOVA EXPRESS LINES, INC., 484A Clayton Road, P.O. Box 136, Williamstown, N.J. 08094.

Applicant's representative: George A. Olson, 69 Tonnele Avenue, Jersey City, N.J. 07306. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1), Agricultural commodities, canned goods, and packed fruits, from Hammonton, N.J., to New York, N.Y.; Philadelphia, Pa.; and Baltimore, Md.; and (2) General commodities (except those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and commodities requiring special equipment), from New York, N.Y.; Philadelphia, Pa.; and Baltimore, Md., to Hammonton, N.J.

Note.—The purpose of the application is to eliminate the gateway of and tack at Hammonton, N.J., to provide service from Baltimore, Md., and New York, N.Y., to Philadelphia, Pa. This is a matter directly related to a section 5(2) finance proceeding in MC-F-13063 published in Federal Register of January 13, 1977. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Philadelphia, Pa.

No. MC 22988 (Sub-No. 10), filed December 27, 1976. Applicant: K. G. MOORE, INC., 16 Progress Ave., Nashua, N.H. 03060. Applicant's representative: Robert G. Parks, 189 Nehoiden Street, Needham, Mass. 02192. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: General commodities: Serving points in Connecticut as intermediate and off-route points in connection with applicant's presently authorized regular-route operations to and from points in Connecticut.

Note.—The purpose of the application is to convert Certificate of Registration to Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity. This is a matter directly related to a section 5(2) finance proceeding in MC-F-13074, published in the PEDERAL REGISTER of January 21, 1977. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Hartford, Conn., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 93506 (Sub-No. 14), filed January 13, 1977. Applicant: BICKFORD TRANSPORTATION, INC., R. R. No 1, Box 333, Yarmouth, Maine 04096. Applicant's representative: Erving H. Bickford, (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) Lumber, between points in Maine and New Hampshire within 100 miles of Norway, Maine, including points in Norway, Merrimack, Hillsboro, Belknap, Grafton and Rockingham Counties, N.H., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Massachusetts east of U.S. Highway 5. The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of Merrimack, Hillsboro, Belknap, Grafton and Rockingham Counties, N.H. (2) lumber, between points in Maine and New Hampshire within 100 miles of Norway, Maine, including points in Norway, Windham and Windsor Countles, Vt.; and Cheshire and Sullivan Counties, and Hillsboro Township, N.H. (except between points in Cheshire County, N.H. south of U.S. Highways 9 and 10, on the

one hand, and, on the other, points in Rockingham County, N.H. and on and south of U.S. Highways 101 and 51). The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateways of Sullivan County and Hillsboro Township, N.H. (3) lumber, from points in Maine within 100 miles of Norway, Maine: New Hampshire: Windham and Windsor Counties, Vt., points in Massachusetts on and north of the Merrimack River (except points in Cheshire County, N.H. south of U.S. Highway 123, and Windham County, Vt., south of U.S. Highway 121). The purpose of this filing is to eliminate the gateway of Manchester, N.H., and points within 15 miles thereof

Note.—This matter is related to a Section 212(b) transfer proceeding in MC-FC-76881, published in the PEDERAL REGISTER issue of December 16, 1976. Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Portland, Maine, Concord, N.H. or Boston, Mass.

No. MC 97526 (Sub-No. 3), filed Janu-13, 1977. Applicant: NEVADA FREIGHT LINES, INC., 301 E. Commercial Row, Reno, Nev. 89501, Applicant's representative: Marvin Handler. 100 Pine Street, Suite 2550, San Francisco, Calif. 94111. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over regular and irregular routes, transporting: (A) irregular routes: General commodities (except those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk and those requiring special equipment), between Reno, Nev., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Nevada; (B) regular routes: General commodities (except those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk and those requiring special equipment): (1) Between Reno, Nev. and the junction of U.S. Highway Alternate 95 and U.S. Highway 95 near Schurz, Nev., serving all intermediate points: From Reno over Interstate Highway 80 to its junction with U.S. Highway Alternate 95, thence over U.S. Highway Alternate 95, through Silver Springs to its junction with U.S. Highway 95 near Schurz, Nev., and return over the same route: (2) Between Fernley, Nev. and Schurz, Nev., serving all intermediate points: From Fernley over U.S. Highway Alternate 95, through Hazen, Nev. to its junction with U.S. Highway 95, thence over U.S. Highway 95 to Schurz, and return over the same route.

(3) Between Schurz, Nev. and Las Vegas, Nev., serving all intermediate points: From Schurz over U.S. Highway 95 to Las Vegas, and return over the same route: (4) Between Reno, Nev. and Crystal Bay, Nev., serving all intermediate points: From Reno over U.S. Highway 395 to its junction with Nevada Highway 27, thence over Nevada Highway 27 to Crystal Bay, and return over the same route; (5) Between the junction of U.S. Highway 395 and Nevada Highway 17 and Yerington, Nev., serv-

ing all intermediate points: From the junction of U.S. Highway 395 and Nevada Highway 17, over U.S. Highway 395 to its junction with U.S. Highway 50, thence over U.S. Highway 50 to its junction with Nevada Highway 19, thence over Nevada Highway 19 to its junction with U.S. Highway 395, thence over U.S. Highway 395 to its junction with Nevada Highway 3, thence over Nevada Highway 3 to Yerington, and return over the same route; (6) Between the junction of Nevada Highway 27 and Nevada Highway 28 and the junction of Nevada-Highway 28 and U.S. Highway 50, serving all intermediate points: From the junction of Nevada Highway 27 and Nevada Highway 28 over Nevada Highway 28 to its junction with U.S. Highway 50, and return over the same route; and (7) Between the junction of U.S. Highway 395 and U.S. Highway 50 and the junction of U.S. Highway 395 and Nevada Highway 19, serving all intermediate points: From the junction of U.S. Highway 395 and U.S. Highway 50 over U.S. Highway 395 to its junction with Nevada Highway 19, and return over the same route.

(C) Irregular routes. General commodities (except those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk and those requiring special equipment), between Las Vegas, Nev., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Nevada.

Note.—The purpose of this filing in: (A) above is to convert a Certificate of Registration to a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity; in (B) above is to convert irregular route authority to regular route authority; and (C) above is an extension to facilitate service to the territory applicant is seeking in (B) above, and no new service points are therein requested. This matter is directly related to a Section 5(2) finance proceeding in MC-F-13091, published in the Federal Register of January 27, 1977. Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Reno or Las Vegas, Nev.

No. MC 99155 (Sub-No. 2), filed January 25, 1977. Applicant: M & H TRUCK-ING COMPANY, INC., P.O. Box 924, Hickory, N.C. 28601. Applicant's representative: Edward G. Villalon, 1032 Pennsylvania Building, Pennsylvania Ave. & 13th St., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20004. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: General commodities (except those requiring special equipment), (1) between points in Catawba County, N.C.; and (2) between points in Catawba County, N.C., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in North Carolina.

Norm.—The purpose of this filing is to convert a Certificate of Registration to a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity. This matter is directly related to a Section 5(2) finance proceeding in MC-P-13095, published in the Federal Register issue of February 10, 1977. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Charlotte, N.C. or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 108382 (Sub-No. 26), filed January 6, 1977. Applicant: SHORT FREIGHT LINES, INC., 459 South River Road, Bay City, Mich. 48706. Applicant's representative: John P. McMahon, 100 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over regular and irregular routes, transporting: General commodities (except those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment), (I) Regular route: (a) Between Elmore, Ohio and Toledo, Ohio (excluding points in Michigan within the Toledo, Ohio Commercial Zone as described by the Commission), serving all intermediate points: From Elmore, over Ohio Highway 51, to Toledo and return over the same route; (b) Serving all points in Ohio as off-route points in connection with applicant's authorized regular route service at Elmore or Toledo, Ohio, restricted in (a) and (b) above against service to points outside of Ohio which are within the commercial zone of any Ohio border point; or in the alternative, in lieu of I(b) above, (II) irregular routes: Between Elmore, Ohio on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Ohio retricted against service to points outside of Ohio which are within the commercial zone of any Ohio border point.

NOTE.—This application is directly related to a Section 5(2) finance proceeding MC-F-13083, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of January 27, 1977, in which applicant seeks to purchase the interstate operating authority of Red Line Express, Inc., as described in Docket Nos. MC-15394 and MC-15394 (Sub-No. 4). If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Columbus, Ohio.

#### Motor Carrier Alternate Route Deviations

#### NOTICE

The following letter-notices to operate over deviation routes for operating convenience only have been filed with the Commission under the Deviation Rules—Motor Carrier of Property (49 CFR 1042.4(c)(11)).

Protests against the use of any proposed deviation route herein described may be filed with the Commission ir the manner and form provided in such rules at any time, but will not operate to stay commencement of the proposed operations unless filed within 30 days from the date of this Federal Register notice.

Each applicant states that there will be no significant effect on the quality of the human environment resulting from approval of its request.

#### MOTOR CARRIERS OF PROPERTY

No. MC 112713 (Deviation No. 44), YELLOW FREIGHT SYSTEM, INC., P.O. Box 7270, 10990 Roe Ave., Shawnee Mission, Kans. 66207, filed February 8, 1977. Carrier proposes to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, of general commodities, with certain exceptions, over a deviation route as fol-

lows: From Adrian, Mich., over Michigan Highway 34 to junction U.S. Highway 127, thence over U.S. Highway 127 to junction U.S. Highway 20, thence over U.S. Highway 20 to junction Interstate Highway 69, thence over Interstate Highway 69 to Indianapolis, Ind., and return over the same route for operating convenience only. The notice indicates that the carrier is presently authorized to transport the same commodities over a pertinent service route as follows: From Adrian, Mich., over U.S. Highway 223 to junction U.S. Highway 127, thence over U.S. Highway 127 to junction Ohio Highway 29, thence over Ohio Highway 29 to the Ohio-Indiana state line, thence over Indiana Highway 67 to Muncie, Ind., thence over Indiana Highway 32 to Anderson, Ind., thence over Indiana Highway 9 to junction U.S. Highway 36. thence over U.S. Highway 36 to Indianapolis, Ind., and return over the same

#### MOTOR CARRIER INTRASTATE APPLICA-TION(S)

#### NOTICE

The following application(s) for motor common carrier authority to operate in intrastate commerce seek concurrent motor carrier authorization in interstate or foreign commerce within the limits of the intrastate authority sought, pursuant to Section 206(a) (6) of the Interstate Commerce Act. These applications are governed by Special Rule 245 of the Commission's General Rules of Practice (49 CFR 1100.245), which provides, among other things, that protests and requests for information concerning the time and place of State Commission hearings or other proceedings, any subsequent changes therein, and any other related matters shall be directed to the State Commission with which the application is filed and shall not be addressed to or filed with the Interstate Commerce Commission.

California Docket No. A56996, filed January 11, 1977. Applicant: BAYVIEW TRUCKING, INC., 7080 Florin-Perkins Road, Sacramento, Calif. 95828, Applicant's representative: Ann M. Pougiales, 100 Bush Street, 21st Floor, San Francisco, Calif. 94104. Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity sought to conduct operations as a highway common carrier as defined in Section 213 of the Public Utilities Code for the transportation of: General commodities, subject to the exceptions specifically noted, over and along the following routes, including to and between the named points, serving all intermediate points and all off-route points within 20 miles laterally thereof: (1) All streets and highways between points within the San Francisco Territory as described in Note A; (2) Interstate Highway 80 between Oakland and Roseville; (3) State Highway 70 between Marysville and its junction with State Highway 65 and State Highway 65 from said junction to its junction with Interstate 80; (4) State Highway 20 between Marysville and Yuba City; (5)

State Highway 99, thence State Highway 113 between Yuba City and Woodland; (6) Interstate Highway 5, thence State Highway 99 between Woodland and the junction of State Highway 99 with Interstate Highway 80; (7) Interstate Highways 580 205, and 5 used consecutively between Oakland and Stockton; (8) State Highway 120 between its junction with Interstate Highway 5 and Manteca; (9) State Highway 33 between Tracy and its junction with State Highway 152 near Los Banos; (10) State Highway 132 between Vernalis and Modesto.

(11) State Highway 152 between Watsonville and Gilroy; (12) State Highway 129 between Watsonville and its junction with U.S. Highway 101; (13) State Highway 99 between Sacramento and its junction with Interstate Highway 5. near Wheeler Ridge, thence Interstate Highway 5 between Wheeler Ridge and San Diego: (14) State Highway 17 between Oakland and San Jose; (15) State Highway 82 between San Francisco and San Jose; (16) U.S. Highway 101 between San Francisco and the Los Angeles Basin Territory as described in Note B: (17) All streets and highways and points and places within the Los Angeles Basin Territory as described in Note B; and (18) State Highway 152 between Los Banos and its junction with State Highway 99. (except that where specifically noted and pursuant to the authority herein granted, carrier shall not transport any shipments of: (1) Used household goods and personal effects, except that carrier will transport such commodities when shipments of used household goods are securely packed in salesman's hand sample cases, suitcases, overnight or Boston bags, brief cases, hat boxes, valises, traveling bags, trunks, lift vans, barrels, boxes, cartons, crates, cases, baskets, pails, kits, tubs, drums, bags (jute, cotton, burlap or gunny) or bundles (completely wrapped in jute, cotton, buriap, gunny, fiber-board or straw matting). (2) Automo-biles, trucks and buses, viz.: new and used, finished or unfinished passenger automobiles (including jeeps), ambulances, hearses and taxis; freight automobiles, automobile chassis, trucks, truck chassis, truck trailers, truck and trailers combined buses and bus chassis. (3) Livestock, viz.: bucks, bulls, calves, cattle, cows, dairy cattle, ewes, goats, hogs, horses, kids, lambs, oxen, pigs, sheep, sheep camp outfits, sows, steers, stags or

(4) Commodities injurious to or contaminating to other lading.

(5) Liquids, compressed gases, commodities in semiplastic form and commodities in suspension in liquids in bulk, in tank trucks, tank trailers, tank semitrailers or a combination of such highway vehicles. (6) Commodities when transported in bulk in dump trucks or in hopper-type trucks. (7) Commodities when transported in motor vehicles equipped for mechanical mixing in transit. (8) Trisodium phosphate, in bulk, in bins, (9) Wheels, iron or steel requiring or suitable for use with tires, without

tires, in cartons subject to Item 197594 of National Motor Freight Classification 100-C. (10) Aluminum castings NOI, NMFC 13320 Sub. 1, and iron or steel castings NOI, NMFC 104790 Sub. 2, when unitized or strapped onto shipper-owned pallets. (11) Boxes, fiberboard, paper, paperboard or pulpboard, KD, in bundles on pallets, NMFC 29270 and 29280. (12) Can ends, aluminum, in paper sleeves, on pallets with a shrink film overwrap. (13a) Cans, sheet steel or tin. NOI. NMFC 52775. (b) Can ends, sheet steel or tin, NMFC 40250. (c) Tin plate, sheets or coils, NMFC 107140, 107200, from U.S. Steel plant, Pittsburg, California; and (14) Canned foods as described in Item 320 and 320-1 of CAL P.U.C. MRT-2 from the plant and distribution facilities of Libby McNeill & Libby at Sacramento and Sunyvale, California.

## NOTE A .- SAN FRANCISCO TERRITORY

San Francisco Territory includes all the City of San Jose and that area embraced by the following boundary: Be-ginning at the point the San Francisco-San Mateo County Line meets the Pacific Ocean; thence easterly along said County Line to a point one mile west of State Highway 82; southerly along an imaginary line one mile west of and paralleling State Highway 82 to its intersection with Southern Pacific Com-Arastradero pany right-of-way at Road: southeasterly along the Southern Pacific Company right-of-way to Pollard Road, including industries served by the Southern Pacific Company spur line extending approximately two miles southwest from Simla to Permanente; easterly along Pollard Road to W. Parr Avenue: easterly along W. Parr Avenue to Capri Drive; southerly along Capri Drive to Division Street; easterly along Division Street to the Southern Pacific Company right-of-way; southerly along the Southern Pacific right-of-way to the Campbell-Los Gatos City Limits; easterly along said limits and the prolongation thereof to South Bascom Avenue (formerly San Jose-Los Gatos Road); northeasterly along South Bascom Avenue to Foxworthy Avenue; easterly along Foxworthy Avenue to Almaden Road; southerly along Almaden Road to Hillsdale Avenue; easterly along Hillsdale Avenue to State Highway 82.

Northwesterly along State Highway 82 to Tully Road; northeasterly along Tully Road and the prolongation thereof to White Road; northwesterly along White Road to McKee Road: southwesterly along McKee Road to Capitol Avenue; northwesterly along Capitol Avenue to State Highway 238 (Oakland Road); northerly along State Highway 238 to Warm Springs; northerly along State Highway 238 (Mission Blvd.) via Mission San Jose and Niles to Hayward; northerly along Foothill Blvd. and MacArthur Blvd. to Seminary Avenue, easterly along Seminary Avenue to Mountain Blvd.; northerly along Mountain Blvd. to Warren Blvd. (State Highway 13); northerly along Warren Blvd. to Broadway Terrace: westerly along Broadway Terrace to College Avenue; northerly along Col-

lege Avenue to Dwight Way; easterly along Dwight Way to the Berkeley-Oakland Boundary Line; northerly along said boundary line to the Campus Boundary of the University of California; westerly, northerly, and easterly along the campus boundary to Euclid Avenue; northerly along Euclid Avenue to Marin Avenue: westerly along Marin Avenue to Arlington Avenue; northerly along Arlington Avenue to San Pablo Avenue (State Highway 123); northerly along San Pablo Avenue to and including the City of Richmond to Point Richmond; southerly along an imaginary line from Point Richmond to the San Francisco waterfront at the foot of Market Street: westerly along said waterfront and shoreline to the Pacific Ocean; southerly along the shoreline of the Pacific Ocean to the point of beginning.

#### NOTE B .- LOS ANGELES BASIN TERRITORY

Los Angeles Basin Territory includes that area embraced by the following boundary: Beginning at the point the Ventura County-Los Angeles County Boundary Line intersects the Pacific Ocean; thence northeasterly along said county line to the point it intersects State Highway 118, approximately two miles west of Chatsworth; easterly along State Highway 118 to Sepulveda Blvd.; northerly along Sepulveda Blvd. to Chatsworth Drive; northeasterly along Chatsworth Drive to the corporate boundary of the City of San Fernando; westerly and northerly along said corporate boundary of the City of San Fernando to Maclay Avenue; northeasterly along Maclay Avenue and its prolongation to the Angeles National Forest Boundary; southeasterly and easterly along the Angeles National Forest and San Bernardino National Forest Boundary to Mill Creek Road (State Highway 38); westerly along Mill Creek Road to Bryant Street; southerly along Bryant Street to and including the unincorporated community of Yucaipa; westerly along Yucaipa Blvd, to Interstate Highway 10: northwesterly along Interstate Highway 10 to Redlands Blvd.; northwesterly along Redlands Blvd. to Barton Road: westerly along Barton Road to La Cadena Drive; southerly along La Cadena Drive to Iowa Avenue; southerly along Iowa Avenue to State Highway 60.

Southeasterly along State Highway 60 and U.S. Highway 395 to Nuevo Road; easterly along Nuevo Road via Nuevo and Lakeview to State Highway 79; southerly along State Highway 79 to State Highway 74; thence westerly to the corporate boundary of the City of Hemet; southerly, westerly, and northerly along said corporate boundary to The Atchison, Topeka & Santa Fe right-of-way; southerly along said right-of-way to Washington Road; southerly along Washington Road through and including the unincorporated community of Winchester to Benton Road; westerly along Benton Road to Winchester Road (State Highway 79) to Jefferson Avenue; southerly along Jefferson Avenue to U.S. Highway 395; southerly along U.S. Highway 395

to the Riverside County-San Diego County Boundary Line; westerly along said boundary line to the Orange County-San Diego County Boundary Line; southerly along said boundary line to the Pacific Ocean; northwesterly along the shoreline of the Pacific Ocean to point of beginning, including the point of March Air Force Base. Intrastate, interstate and foreign commerce sought, Hearing: Date. time and place not yet fixed. Requests for procedural information should be addressed to the Public Utilities Commission, State of California, State Bullding, Civic Center, 455 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, Calif. 94102 and should not be directed to the Interstate Commerce Commission.

New York Docket No. T 9452, filed January 10, 1977, Applicant: WILLIAM F. CRONIN, JR., doing business as SWEET CHARIOT TRUCKING CO., 115 Bradford Avenue, Rye, N.Y. 10580. Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessify sought to operate a freight service as follows: Transportation of General commodities, and refrigerated products, forest products, processed foods and household goods (new and used), between all points in a territory comprised of New York City and the Counties of Dutchess, Nassau and Westchester, N.Y., and in the state of New Jersey, a territory comprised of the County of Hudson. Intrastate, interstate and foreign commerce authority sought. Hearing: Date, time and place not yet fixed. Requests for procedural information should be addressed to the New York State Department of Transportation, 1220 Washington Avenue, Building 5, State Campus, Albany, N.Y. 12232 and should not be directed to the Interstate Commerce Commission.

Tennessee Docket No. MC 3285 (Sub-No. 1), filed February 2, 1977. Applicant: GOGGIN TRUCK LINE CO., INC., P.O. Box 207, Shelbyville, Tenn. 37160. Applicant's representative: A. O. Buck, Sixth Floor, United American Bank Bldg., Nashville, Tenn. 37219. Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle transporting General commodities (except Classes A and B explosives, household goods, commodities in bulk, and commodities requiring special equipment), between Tullahoma, and Chattanooga, Tenn., from Tulla-homa over alternate U.S. Highway 41 to its junction with U.S. Highway 64, thence over U.S. Highway 64 to its junction with Interstate Highway 24 at or near Monteagle, Tenn., thence over Interstate Highway 24 to its junction with Tennessee Highway 28, thence over Tennessee Highway 28 to its junction with U.S. Highway 41, thence southeast over U.S. Highway 41 to its junction with Interstate Highway 24, thence over Interstate Highway 24 to Chattanooga, (also over U.S. Highway 41 from its junction with U.S. Highway 64 at Monteagle to Chattanooga) and return over the same routes, serving no intermediate points, to be used in conjunction with all of applicant's present authority.

Restriction: Service at Chattanooga, Tenn, and its commercial zone is restricted against the handling of traffic originating at, destined to, or interlined at Nashville, Tenn., and its commercial zone, and Tullahoma, Tenn., and points within 10 miles thereof. Service at Chattancoga and its commercial sohe is further restricted against the handling of traffic originated at, destined to or interchanged at any point applicant is presently authorized to serve south and or southeast of Shelbyville, Tenn., but not restricted as to Shelbyville and its commercial zone. Intrastate, interstate and foreign commerce authority sought. HEARING: Date, time and scheduled for March 23, 1977 at 9:30 a.m. Commission's Court Room, C1-110 Cordell Hull Building, Nashville, Tenn. Requests for procedural information should be addressed to the Tennessee Public Service Commission, Room C1-110 Cordell Hull Building, Nashville, Tenn. 37129 and sould not be directed to the Interstate Commerce Commission.

By the Commission.

ROBERT L. OSWALD, Secretary

[FR Doc.77-5653 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

ABNORMAL OCCURRENCE REPORTS

Implementation of Sectlen 208, Energy Reorganization Act of 1974; Policy Statement

#### BACKGROUND

Section 208 of the Energy Reorganization Act of 1974 (Pub. L. 93-438, 42 U.S.C. 5848) provides that:

The Commission shall submit to the Congress each quarter a report listing for that period any abnormal occurrences at or associated with any facility which is licensed or otherwise regulated pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 as amended, or pursuant to this Act. For the purposes of this section, an abnormal occurrence is an unscheduled incident or event which the Commission determines is significant from the standpoint of public health or safety. Nothing in the preceding sentence shall limit the authority of a court to review the determination of the Commission. Each such report shall contain—

- (1) The date and place of each occurrence;
  (2) The nature and probable consequence of each occurrence:
- (3) The cause or causes of each; and
- (4) Any action taken to prevent reoccurrence.

The Commission shall also provide as wide dissemination to the public of the information specified in clauses (1) and (2) of this section as reasonably possible within fifteen days of its receiving information of each abnormal occurrence and shall provide as wide dissemination to the public as reasonably possible of the information specified in clauses (3) and (4) as soon as such information becomes available to it.

On March 17, 1975, the Commission published a notice in the Federal Recister "Reporting of and Dissemination of Information Concerning Abnormal Occurrences," (40 FR 12166). The notice stated that the Commission has under active consideration the formulation of proposed amendments to its regulations to facilitate implementation of Section 208 of the Energy Reorganization Act of 1974 and that an appropriate notice of proposed rulemaking would be published in the Feberal REGISTER for public comment before any amendments are adopted. Since implementation involves the conduct of Commission bustness and does not impose requirements on licensees, the Commission is not proposing amendments to its regulations but is instead issuing a general statement

In July 1975, in the exercise of the authority conferred upon it by Congress to determine which unscheduled incidents or events are significant from the standpoint of public health or safety and are reportable as abnormal occurrences, the Commission developed interim criteria for evaluating licensee events. On the basis of these criteria and as required by Section 208, the Commission has issued five quarterly reports to Congress on abnormal occurrences. The five reports are: (1) NUREG 75-090, Report to Congress on Abnormal Occurrences, January-June 1975, dated October 1975; (2) NUREG 0090-1, Report to Congress on Abnormal Occurrences, July-September 1975, dated March 1976; (3) NUREG 0090-2, Report to Congress on Abnormal Occurrences, October-December 1975, dated March 1976; (4) NUREG 0090-3 Report to Congress on Abnormal Occurrences; January-March 1976, dated July 1976; and (5) NUREG 0090-4, Report to Congress on Abnormal Occurrences, April-June 1978, dated October 1976. These reports are available from the National Technical Information Service. Springfield, Virginia 22161.

Based on its experience to date in the preparation and issuance of abnormal occurrence reports, the Commission has decided that its responsibilities under Section 208 can be carried out more effectively if the interim criteria now used to identify abnormal ocurrences are further refined. Accordingly, the Commission is issuing this general statement of policy which describes the manner in which the Commission will, as part of the routine conduct of its business, carry out its responsibilities under Section 208 of the Energy Reorganization Act of 1974 for identifying abnormal occurrences and making the requisite information concerning each such ocurrence available to the Congress and the public in a timely manner. Included in the policy statement are revised criteria which the Commission will use in determining whether a particular event is a reportable abnormal occurrence within the meaning of Section 208. It is expected that as ad-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The interim criteria are set out in an appendix to each report to Congress on abnormal occurrences.

ditional experience is gained, further changes in the criteria may be required.

#### ABNORMAL OCCURRENCE CRITERIA

The criteria contained in the general statement of policy have been developed to comply with the legislative intent of Section 208—to keep Congress and the public informed of unscheduled incidents or events which the Commission considers significant from the standpoint of public health or safety. The criteria reflect a range of health and safety concerns and are applicable to events involving a single occupational worker as well as those having an overall impact on the general public.

The criteria establish a threshold for reporting. Occurrences that meet or exceed the threshold wil be reported as abnormal occurrences. The Commission has established the reporting threshold at a level which will assure that all events likely to be of significance from the standpoint of public health or safety will be reported. At the same time, by placing the reporting threshold generally above the level of events required to be reported to the NRC, the Commission will not report for Section 208 purposes those events reported by licensees which involve some variance from regulatory limits but which are not significant from the standpoint of public health or safety.

#### LICENSEE REPORTS

This general statement of policy will not change the reporting requirements imposed on NRC licensees by Commission regulations, license conditions or technical specifications. NRC licensees will continue to submit required reports on a wide spectrum of events, including such events as minor instrument malfunctions and slight deviations from normal operating procedures which are without significance from the standpoint of the public health or safety but which provide data useful to the Commission in monitoring operating trends of nuclear power facilities and in comparing the actual performance of these facilities with the potential performance for which the facilities were designed. In accord with present policy, information relating to all events reported to the NRC will continue to be made available to Congress and placed in the NRC Public Document Rooms for public perusal. Information can also be obtained by writing the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Public Document Room, Washington, D.C. 20555. In addition, the Commission will continue to issue news announcements on events that seem to be newsworthy regardless of whether or not the events are designated abnormal occurrences.

The Commission invites all interested persons who desire to submit written comments or suggestions on the abnormal occurrence criteria in this general statement of policy and on the examples of abnormal occurrences in Appendix A thereto, to send them to the Secretary of the Commission, United States Nu-

clear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Docketing and Service Branch by May 25, 1977. Consideration will be given to such submissions in connection with possible future revision of the criteria. Copies of comments received by the Commission may be examined at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C.

GENERAL STATEMENT OF POLICY ON IM-PLEMENTATION OF SECTION 208 OF THE ENERGY REORGANIZATION ACT OF 1974, AS AMENDED

1. Applicability. Implementation of Section 208. Abnormal Occurrences Reports, involves the conduct of Commission business and does not impose requirements on licensees. Reports will cover certain unscheduled incidents or events related to the manufacture, construction, or operation of a facility or conduct of an activity subject to the requirements of Parts 30, 40, 50, 70 or 71 of Chapter 1, Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations.

2. Definition of terms. As used in this statement: (a) An abnormal occurrence subject to the provisions of Section 208 of the Energy Reorganization Act of 1974 means an unscheduled incident or event at or associated with any activity or facility which is licensed or otherwise regulated pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, or pursuant to the Energy Reorganization Act of 1974, which the Commission determines is significant from the standpoint of public health or safety.

3. Abnormal Occurrence criteria. The Commission will apply the following critria in determining whether an event at a facility or involving an activity licensed or otherwise regulated by the Commission is an abnormal occurrence within the purview of Section 208 of the Energy Reorganization Act of 1974. Events determined to be at or above the threshold established by the criteria will be subject to the reporting and public information requirements of Section 208 of the Energy Reorganization Act of 1974.

(a) An event will be considered an abnormal occurrence if it involves a major reduction in the degree of protection of the public health or safety. Such an event would involve a moderate or more severe impact on the public health or safety and could include but need not be limited to:

 Moderate exposure to, or release of, radioactive material licensed by or otherwise regulated by the Commission;

(2) Major degradation of essential safety-related equipment; or

(3) Major deficiencies in design, construction, use of, or management controls for licensed facilities or material.

Examples of types of events which might be determined to be abnormal occurrences in accordance with these criteria are set out in Appendix A of this general statement of policy.

4. Commission dissemination of abnormal occurrence information. (a) The Commission will provide as wide a dissemination of information to the public as reasonably possible.\* A Federal Register Notice will be issued on each abnormal occurrence with copies distributed to the NRC Public Document Room and all local public document rooms. When additional information is anticipated the notice will indicate that the information can be obtained at the NRC Public Document Room and in all local public document rooms.

(b) Each quarter, the Commission will submit a report to Congress listing for that period any abnormal occurrences at or associated with any facility or activity which is licensed or otherwise regulated pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, or pursuant to the Energy Reorganization Act of 1974, as amended. This report will contain the data, place, nature and probable consequence of each abnormal occurrence the cause or causes of each abnormal occurrence and an action taken to prevent recurrence.

## APPENDIX A—Examples of Abnormal Occurrences

Examples of types of events which might qualify as abnormal occurrences under the criteria in paragraph 3 of the General Statement of Policy are listed. These examples are hypothetical only. Whether a particular event will be determined to be an abnormal occurrence will depend on the specific facts and circumstances of the event.

I. For all Licensees:

A. Human Exposure to Radiation from Licensed Material:

1. Exposure of the whole body of any individual to 25 rems or more of radiation; exposure of the skin of the whole body of any individual to 150 rems or more of radiation; or exposure of the feet, ankles, hands or forearms of any individual to 375 rems or more of radiation (10 CFR 20.403(a) (1)), or equivalent exposures from internal sources.

 An exposure to an individual in an unrestricted area such that the whole body dose received exceeds 0.5 rems in one calendar year (10 CFR 20.105(a)).

B. Discharge or Dispersal of Radioactive Material from its Intended Place of Confinement:

- 1. The release of radioactive material to an unrestricted area in concentrations which, if averaged over a period of 24 hours, exceeds 500 times the regulatory limit of Appendix B, Table II, 10 CFR Part 20 (10 CFR 20.403
- 2. Radiation or contamination levels in excess of design values on packages or loss of confinement of radioactive material such as:
  (a) a radiation dose rate of 1,000 mrem per hour three feet from the surface of a package containing the radioactive material, or (b) release of radioactive material from a package in amounts greater than the regulatory limit (10 CFR 71.36(a)).

\*These examples are not all inclusive. Other incidents of similar significance will be considered for reporting.

<sup>\*</sup>Information relating to certain incidents may either be classified or under consideration for classification because of national security implications, Classified information will be withheld when formally reporting these incidents per Section 208. Any classified details regarding such incidents would be available to the Congress, upon request, under appropriate security arrangements.

C. Theft, Diversion, or Loss of Licensed Material, or Sabotage or Security Breach; \*

1. Any loss of licensed material in such quantities and under such circumstances that substantial hazard may result to persons in unrestricted areas.

2. A substantiated case of actual or attempted theft or diversion of licensed ma-

terial or sabotage of a facility.

3. Any substantiated loss of special nuclear material or any substantiated inventory discrepancy which is judged to be significant relative to normally expected performance and which is judged to be caused by theft or diversion or by substantial breakdown of the accountability system.

4. Any substantial breakdown of physical

security or material control (i.e., access control, containment, or accountability systems) that significantly weakened the protection theft, diversion, or sabotage.

D. Other Events (i.e., concerning design, analysis, construction, testing, operation, use or disposal of licensed facilities or regu-

lated materials);

1. An accidental criticality (10 CFR 70.52

(2) A major deficiency in design, construction or operation having safety im-plication requiring immediate remedial

3. Serious deficiency in management or procedural controls in major areas.

\*Information relating to certain incidents may either be classified or under consideration for classification because of national security implications. Classified information will be withheld when formally reporting these incidents per Section 208. Any classified details regarding such incidents would be available to the Congress, upon request, under appropriate security arrangements.

4. Series of events (where individual events are not of major importance), recurring incidents, and incidents with implications for similar facilities (generic incidents), which create major safety concern.

II. For Commercial Nuclear Power Plants:

A. Malfunction of Facilities, Structures or Equipment:

1. Exceeding a safety limit of license Tech-

nical Specifications (10 CFR 50.36(c)).

2. Major degradation of fuel integrity, primary coolant pressure boundary, or pri-mary containment boundary.

Loss of plant capability to perform essential safety functions such that a poten-tial release of radioactivity in excess of 10 CFR Part 100 guidelines could result from a postulated transient or accident (e.g., loss of emergency core cooling system, loss of control rod system)

B. Design or Safety Analysis Deficiency. Personnel Error, or Procedural or Adminis-

trative Inadequacy:

 Discovery of a major condition not spe-cifically considered in the Safety Analysis Report (SAR) or technical specifications that require immediate remedial action.

2. Personnel error or procedural deficiencles which result in loss of plant capability to perform essential safety functions such that a potential release of radioactivity in excess of 10 CFR Part 100 guidelines could result from a postulated transient or accident (e.g., loss of emergency core cooling system, loss of control rod system).

III. For Fuel Cycle Licensees: A. For Reprocessing Facilities

1. A safety limit of license Technical Specifications is exceeded and a plant shutdown is required (10 CFR 50.36 (c))

2. A major condition not specifically considered in the Safety Analysis Report or technical specifications that required immediate remedial action.

B. All Fuel Licensees:

1. An event which seriously compromised the ability of a confinement system to perform its designated function.

Effective date: This general statement of policy shall be effective February 24. 1977.

Dated at Washington, D.C. this 23rd day of February, 1977.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commis-

SAMUEL J. CHILK. Secretary of the Commission.

(FR Doc.77-5846 Filed 2-23-77:10:41 am)

#### MEETING

#### Item Cancellation

On February 16, 1977, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on February 18, 1177 (42 FR 10080), the Nuclear Regulatory Commission announced a series of meetings which would take place on February 25, 1977 in its D.C. Office. Item three of that notice "Affirmation of Amendments to 10 CFR Part 70, Plans for Coping with Radiological Emergencies" is cancelled. Other items remain as stated on the February 16, 1977 notice.

Dated this 22nd day of February 1977 at Washington, D.C.

For the Commission.

SAMUEL J. CHILK. Secretary of the Commission. [FR Doc.77-5847 Filed 2-23-77;10:41 am]

THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 24, 1977
PART II



# POSTAL SERVICE

PRIVACY ACT

Changes to Systems of Records

## POSTAL SERVICE PRIVACY OF INFORMATION

Systems of Records

The purpose of this document is to publish, as required by 5 U.S.C. 552a(e) (4) and (11), proposed changes to the Postal Service list of systems of records, as defined in the Privacy Act of 1974, Pub. L. 93-579. The most current complete list of Postal Service Systems of Records was published in the Federal Register on October 14, 1976 (40 FR 45132). In accordance with a provision of the Privacy Act Implementation guidelines issued by the Office of Management and Budget (40 FR 28961:1), the Postal Service has instituted a regular program of review of its record keeping practices. As a result of the first comprehensive review, the Postal Service has discovered a system of records which is no longer necessary, has identified a series of inaccuracies within previously defined systems of records, and finally, has identified six previously unreported systems of records.

#### Deletion of System of Records

The Postal Service has determined to discontinue the operation of its pre-paid transit pass program in the Boston Post Office. Accordingly, Postal Service maintenance of system USPS 100.030, Office Administration—Pre-Paid Pass Program—Massachusetts Bay Transit Authority (MBTA), is no longer necessary and relevant to the accomplishment of a purpose of the Postal Service. Therefore, under 5 U.S.C. 552a(e)(1), effective immediately, this system is deleted from the list of systems as it appears at 40 FR 45146. This document constitutes final notice of this deletion.

#### Modifications of Systems of Records

The Postal Service has also determined it is necessary to make certain editorial corrections and revisions to various systems of records. These corrections and revisions do not change the general character or purpose of any system nor do they expand the population to whom the systems apply. The modifications merely provide a more accurate description of the affected systems of records. The following constitutes final notice of the necessary changes:

#### USPS 010.020-Collection and Delivery Records-Boxholder Records

Storage: Change to read, "Information is stored on card form filed in metal file cabinets. In locations where the records have been automated, information may be found on magnetic tape, magnetic cards or mylar strips."

#### USPS 050.020-Finance Records-Payroll System

Categories of records in the system: Add new sentence to read, "Also includes automated Form 50 records."

Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses: Change use number 2 to read, "2. Tax information—To disclose to Federal, state and local government agencies having taxing authority, pertinent records, relating to individual employees, including name, home address, social security number, and wages and taxes withheld for other jurisdictions."

System manager(s) and address: Add new sentence to read, "APMG, Employee Relations Department."

#### USPS 050.040-Finance Records-Uniform Allowance Program

System location: Change to read, "Postal facilities employing personnel entitled to uniform allowances and the Postal Data Center, St. Louis, MO 63180."

Categories of records in the system: Change to read, "Information maintained includes name, social security number, designation code, account balance and pay location."

Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses: Change use number 1 to read, "1. Certain information may be furnished to a duly licensed uniform vendor from whom individual employees have made purchases for the purpose of accounting for payments."

## USPS 070.040—Inquiries and Complaints—Patrons Complaint Records.

System name: Change to read, "Inquiries and Complaints—Customer Complaint Records, 070.040."

USPS 090.020—Non-mail Services—Passport Application Records

System location: Change to read, "Eight hundred (800) post offices in all states except New Jersey."

Categories of records in the system: Change to read, "Name, telephone number and services received."

Retention and disposal: Change to read, "Information is retained at post offices for three months following the close of the quarter in which application was made."

Notification procedure: Change to read, "A customer wishing to know whether information about him is maintained in this system of records should address inquiries to the postmaster of the post office where a passport application was made. Inquiries should contain full name and date of application."

USPS 120.020—Personnel Records—Blood Bank Record System System name: Change to read, "Personnel Records—Blood Donor Records System, 120.020."

USPS 120.035—Personnel Records—Employee Accident Records System location: Change to read, "Safety offices in any USPS

Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses: Delete use number 1.

Retention and disposal: Change to read, "Records are maintained locally for two years. Copies are maintained at National Headquarters for five years following the end of the calendar year to which they relate as required by OSHA."

# USPS 120.036—Personnel Records—Employee Discipline, Grievance and Appeals Records

Categories of individuals covered by the system: Change to read, "Records are maintained on non-bargaining employees in the Postal Service (PS), Postal Management Salary (PMS), Postal Technical, Administrative, and Clerical (PTAC), Postal Executive Salary (PES) (except officers) and Non-City Delivery (NCD). Schedules who have completed six months of continuous service in the U.S. Postal Service or a minimum of twelve months of combined service, without break of a work day, in positions in the same line of work in the Civil Service and the Postal Service, unless any part of such service was pursuant to a temporary appointment in the competitive service with a definite time limitation."

## USPS 120.050-Personnel Records-Employee Suggestion Control

Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses: Change, under purpose, the word "Case" to "Cash."

Notification procedure: Change to read, "Employees wishing to know whether information about them is maintained in this system of records should contact the head of the facility where employed. Also, employees who have appealed decisions or whose suggestions have been adopted nationwide should submit requests to the System Manager. Headquarters employees should submit all requests to the System Manager."

#### USPS 120.060—Personnel Records—Employment and Financial Information Records

System manager(s) and address: Change to read, "General Counsel, Law Department, Headquarters."

#### USPS 120.070—Personnel Records—General Personnel Folders (Official Personnel Folders and records related thereto)

Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses: Add use number 13, "Disclosure of relevant and necessary information pertaining to an employee's participation in health, life insurance and retirement programs may be made to the Civil Service Commission and private carriers for the provision of related benefits to the participant (also see USPS 050.020)."

#### USPS 120.080-Personnel Records-Master Minority File Records

Categories of records in the system: Change to read, "Consists of the Minority Designation Code and social security number of USPS employees."

Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses: Delete all present routine uses and add new use number 1 to read, "Disclosure may be made to the Civil Service Commission for the oversight and enforcement of Federal EEO regulations."

Safeguards: Change to read, "Computer password security, physical security, specialized access instructions."

Notification procedure: Change to read, "Employees wishing to know whether a minority code is maintained for them, should address inquiries to the System Manager. Inquiries should contain full name and social security number."

#### USPS 120,090-Personnel Records-Medical Records

System location: Change to read, "Postal Service medical facilities, control points and designee offices.'

Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses: Change to read, Purpose-To provide employees with necessary health care and to determine fitness for duty.

Retrievability: Change to read, "Records are retrieved by employee name.

Saleguards: Change to read, "Maintained in locked files."

#### USPS 120.130-Personnel Records-Postmaster Selection Program Records

Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses: Delete use number 1.

Retrievability: Change to read, "Applicant's name and Post Office for which application was made.

Retention and disposal: Change to read, "Records for positions 24 and above are maintained at National Headquarters for two years. All records are maintained at Regional Headquarters for five years. Records are destroyed by shredding or burning."

System manager(s) and address: Change to read, "SAPMG, Employee and Labor Relations Group, Headquarters.'

Systems exempted from certain provisions of the act: Add, "Reference 39 CFR 266.9 for details."

## USPS 120.140-Personnel Records-Program for Alcoholic Recovery (PAR)

System location: Change to read, "PAR Offices."

Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses: Delete all routine uses. Add, "None."

Retention and disposal: Change to read, "1. Case card is destroyed six years following close of case. 2. Correspondence and reports are destroyed after three years (field) or ten years (headquarters), 3. Historical case records card is destroyed six years after close of case file, 4. Case files are destroyed three years after recovery or one year after participant terminates enrollment. All records are destroyed by shredding."

Record source categories: Change to read, "The participating employee, PAR counselor and the referring source."

#### USPS 120.150-Personnel-Recruiting, Examining, Training and Placement Records

Categories of records in the system: Change to read, "Personal and professional resumes, personal applications, test scores, academic transcripts, letters of recommendation, notes and comments from interviews, investigations and related correspondence, promotion, merit forms and records, including those for the Initial-Level Supervisor Selection, Management Associates, Management Trainee, and Executive Leadership Programs as well as those of applicants for PAR Counseling and MAS Instructorships."

#### USPS 120.190-Personnel Records-Supervisor's Discretionary Records

Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses: Add, "3. Pursuant to the National Labor Relations Act, records from this system may be furnished to a labor organization to perform properly its duties as the collective bargaining representative of postal employees in an appropriate bargaining unit.

#### USPS 150.020-Records and Information Management Records-Information Disclosure Accounting Records (Privacy Act)

Retention and disposal: Change to read, "Request letters and re-lated correspondence are retained for two years. Accountings of disclosures are retained for five years or the life of the disclosed record, whichever is longer. All records are destroyed by burning or shredding.

USPS 160.010-Special Mail Services-Registered Mail Inquiry for Delivery and/or Application for Indemnity

Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses: Add to uses, "5. To refer an international registered mail claim to the appropriate foreign postal authority when required for claim resolution."

USPS 160.020-Special Mail Services-Request for Payment

of Postal Insurance (Claim) Records Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses: Add to uses, "5. To refer an international insured mail claim to the appropriate foreign postal authority when required for claim resolution.

#### Notice of Additional Systems of Records

Finally, the Postal Service presents initial statements of the existence and character of six systems in existence on September 27, 1975, but which were not previously considered systems of records under the Privacy Act. These Systems are designated:

(a) USPS 030.030, Equal Employment Opportunity—EEO Administrative Litigation Case Files.

(b) USPS 120.220, Personnel Records—Arbitration Case Files.

(c) USPS 120.230, Personnel Records—Adverse Actions

(Administrative Literation Case Files)

(Administrative Litigation Case Files).
(d) USPS 120.240, Personnel Records—Garnishment Case Files.
(e) USPS 120.250, Personnel Records—Monetary Claims Involving Present or Former Employees (case files).
(f) USPS 190.010, Labor Law Civil Action—Civil Action Case

Piles.

Public comment is solicited regarding USPS systems 030.030, 120.220, 120.230, 120.240, 120.250, and 190.010. Any person may submit written comments on or before March , 1977, to the Records Officer, U.S. Postal Service, Washington, D.C. 20260. Final notice regarding these systems will be given after the time for public comment has elapsed.

The officer of elapsed and shareter follows:

The statements of existence and character follow.

Roger P. Craig, Deputy General Counsel.

#### USPS 030.030

System name: Equal Employment Opportunity—EEO Administra-tive Litigation Case Files, 030.030

System location: Law Department, Regional and National Headquarters.

Categories of individuals covered by the system: Employees and applicants for employment involved in EEO Litigation.

Categories of records in the system: (a) Formal pleadings and memoranda of law; (b) Other relevant documents; (c) Miscellaneous notes and case analyses prepared by Postal Service attorneys and other personnel; (d) Correspondence and telephone records.

Authority for maintenance of the system: 39 USC 401, 409(d)

Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses: Purpose—This information is used to provide legal advice and representation to the Postal

I. Pursuant to the National Labor Relations Act, records from this system may be furnished to a labor organization upon its request when needed by that organization to perform properly its duties as the collective bargaining representative of postal employees in an appropriate bargaining unit.

2. Disclosure may be made from the record of an individual, where pertinent, in any legal proceeding to which the Postal Service is a party before a court or administrative body or other tribunal.

nal.

3. Disclosure may be made to a congressional office from the record of an individual in response to an inquiry from the congressional office made at the request of that individual.

4. Transferred to Department of Justice, when needed by that department to perform properly its duties as legal representative of the Postal Service.

the Postal Service.

5. To refer, where there is an indication of a violation or potential violation of law, whether civil, criminal, or regulatory in nature, to the appropriate agency, whether Federal, state, or local, charged with the responsibility of investigating or prosecuting such violation or charged with enforcing or implementing the statute, or rule, regulation, or order issued pursuant thereto.

Policies and practices for storing, retrieving, accessing, retaining, and disposing of records in the system:

Storage: Paper form, original or copies, preprinted or handwritten forms.

Retrievability: By name of litigant(s).

Safeguards: Records are maintained in ordinary filing equipment under general scrutiny of USPS legal counsels and staff.

Retention and disposal: These case files are maintained for three (3) years following close of matter.

System manager(s) and address: General Counsel, Law Department, Headquarters.

Notification procedure: Persons interested in reviewing records within specific case files should submit their name; and case number, if known; to the General Counsel, Law Department, National Headquarters.

Record access procedures: See "System Manager" above.

Contesting record procedures: See "System Manager" above.

Record source categories: (a) Individuals involved in EEO Litiga-tion; (b) Counsel(s) and other representative(s) for parties in action other than Postal Service; (c) Other individuals involved in the development of EEO litigation. Source documents include administrative complaint/action file, and other records relevant to the

USPS 190.010

System name: Labor Law Civil Action-Civil Action Case Files, 190.010

System location: Law Department, Regional and National Headquarters.

Categories of individuals covered by the system: Individuals involved in litigation pertaining to employee and labor relations.

Categories of records in the system: (a) Formal pleadings and memoranda of law; (b) Other relevant documents (c) Miscellaneous notes and case analyses prepared by Postal Service attorneys and other personnel; (d) Correspondence and telephone records.

Authority for maintenance of the system: 39 USC 401, 409(d)

Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses: Purpose—This informa-tion is used to provide legal advice and representation to the Postal Service.

1. Pursuant to the National Labor Relations Act, records from this system may be furnished to a labor organization upon its request when needed by that organization to perform properly its duties as the collective bargaining representative of postal employees in an appropriate bargaining unit.

2. Disclosure may be made from the record of an individual, where pertinent in any legal proceeding to which the Postal Service is a party before a court or administrative body or other tribunal.

3. Disclosure may be made to a congressional office from the record of an individual in response to an inquiry from the congressional office made at the request of that individual.

4. Transferred to Department of Justice, when needed by that department to perform properly its duties as legal representative of the Postal Service.

5. To refer, where there is an indication of a violation or potential violation of law, whether civil, criminal, or regulatory in nature, to the appropriate agency, whether Federal, state, or local, charged with the responsibility of investigating or prosecuting such violation or charged with enforcing or implementing the statute, or rule, regulation, or order issued pursuant thereto.

Policies and practices for storing, retrieving, accessing, retaining, and disposing of records in the system:

Storage: Paper form, original or copies, preprinted or handwritten forms.

Retrievability: By name of litigant(s).

Safeguards: Records are maintained in ordinary filing equipment under general scrutiny of USPS legal counsels and staff

Refention and disposal: These case files are maintained for three (3) years following close of matter.

System manager(s) and address: General Counsel, Law Department, Headquarters.

Notification procedure: Persons interested in reviewing records within specific case files should submit their name; case number and court of record, if know, to the General Counsel, Law Department, National Headquarters.

Record access procedures: See "System Manager" above.

Contesting record procedures: See "System Manager" above.

Record source categories: (a) Individuals involved in litigation pertaining to employee and labor relations; (b) Counsel(s) and other representatives for parties in litigation other than Postal Service; (c) Other individuals involved in litigation pertaining to employee and

labor relations. Source documents include administrative complaint/action file, grievance file, and/or other records relevant to

USPS 120.220

System name: Personnel Records-Arbitration Case Files, 120.220 System location: Law Department, Regional and National Headquarters.

Categories of individuals covered by the system: Employees involved in labor arbitration.

Categories of records in the system: (a) Formal pleadings and memoranda of law; (b) Other relevant documents; (c) Miscellaneous notes and case analyses prepared by Postal Service attorneys and personnel; (d) Correspondence and telephone records.

Authority for maintenance of the system: 39 USC 401, 409(d)

Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses: Purpose—This information is used to provide legal advice and representation to the Postal Service.

Use-

1. Pursuant to the National Labor Relations Act, records from this system may be furnished to a labor organization upon its request when needed by that organization to perform properly its duties as the collective bargaining representative of postal employees in an appropriate bargaining unit.

2. Disclosure may be made from the record of an individual,

where pertinent in any legal proceeding to which the Postal Service is a party before a court or administrative body or other tribunal.

3. Disclosure may be made to a congressional office from the

record of an individual in response to an inquiry from the congressional office made at the request of that individual.

4. Transferred to Department of Justice, when needed by that department to perform properly its duties as legal representative of

the Postal Service.

To refer, where there is an indication of a violation or poten-tial violation of law, whether civil, criminal, or regulatory in nature, to the appropriate agency, whether Federal, state, or local, charged with the responsibility of investigating or prosecuting such violation or charged with enforcing or implementing the statute, or rule, regulation, or order issued pursuant thereto.

Policies and practices for storing, retrieving, accessing, retaining, and disposing of records in the system:

Storage: Paper form, original or copies, preprinted or handwritten forms

Retrievability: By name of litigant(s).

Safeguards: Records are maintained in ordinary filing equipment under general scrutiny of USPS legal counsels and staff.

Retention and disposal: These case files are maintained for three (3) years following close of matter.

System manager(s) and address: General Counsel, Law Department, Headquarters.

Notification procedure: Persons interested in reviewing records within specific case files should submit their name; and case number, if known, to the General Counsel, Law Department, National Headquarters.

Record access procedures: See "System Manager" above.

Contesting record procedures: See "System Manager" above.

Record source categories: (a) Employees involved in labor arbitration cases; (b) Counsel(s) or other representative(s) for parties involved in the arbitration case other than Postal Service; (c) Arbitrators; (d) Other individuals involved in labor arbitration cases. Source documents include the formal case file, and other records relevant to the case.

USPS 120,230

System name: Personnel Records-Adverse Actions (Administrative Litigation Case Files) 120.120.

System location: Law Department, Regional and National Headquarters.

Categories of individuals covered by the system: Employees involved in Veterans' Appeal and other adverse action appeals.

Categories of records in the system: (a) Formal pleadings and memoranda of law; (b) Other relevant documents; (c) Miscellaneous notes and case analyses prepared by Postal Service attorneys and other personnel; (d) Correspondence and telephone records.

Authority for maintenance of the system: 39 USC 401, 409(d)

Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses: Purpose—This information is used to provide legal advice and representation to the Postal Service.

Use-

1. Pursuant to the National Labor Relations Act, records from this system may be furnished to a labor organization upon its request when needed by that organization to perform properly its duties as the collective bargaining representative of postal employees in an appropriate bargaining unit.

2. Disclosure may be made from the record of an individual, where pertinent, in any legal proceeding to which the Postal Service is a party before a court or administrative body or other tribu-

Disclosure may be made to a congressional office from the record of an individual in response to an inquiry from the congres-

sional office made at the request of that individual.

4. Transferred to Department of Justice, when needed by that department to perform properly its duties as legal representative of the Postal Service.

5. To refer, where there is an indication of a violation or potential violation of law, whether civil, criminal, or regulatory in nature, to the appropriate agency, whether Federal, state, or local, charged with the responsibility of investigating or prosecuting such violation or charged with enforcing or implementing the statute, or rule, regulation, or order issued pursuant thereto.

Policies and practices for storing, retrieving, accessing, retaining, and disposing of records in the system:

Storage: Paper form, original or copies, preprinted or handwritten

Retrievability: By name of litigant(s).

Safeguards: Records are maintained in ordinary filing equipment under general scrutiny of USPS legal counsels and staff.

Retention and disposal: These case files are maintained for three (3) years following close of matter.

System manager(s) and address: General Counsel, Law Department, Headquarters.

Notification procedure: Persons interested in reviewing records within specific case files should submit their name; and case number, if known, to the General Counsel, Law Department, National Headquarters.

Record access procedures: See "System Manager" above.

Contesting record procedures: See "System Manager" above.

Record source categories: (a) Employees involved in Veterans Appeals and other adverse actions appeals; (b) Counsel(s) or other representative(s) for parties in administrative litigation other than Postal Service; (c) Other individuals involved in appeals. Source documents include the formal case file, and other records relevant to the case.

#### USPS 120.240

System name: Personnel Records-Garnishment Case Files, 120.240 System location: Law Department, Headquarters; Regional Counsel Offices, Regional Headquarters.

Categories of individuals covered by the system: Employees involved in garnishment cases.

Categories of records in the system: (a) Formal pleadings and memoranda of law; (b) Other relevant documents; (c) Miscellaneous notes and case analyses prepared by Postal Service attorneys and other personnel; (d) Correspondence and telephone records.

Authority for maintenance of the system: 39 USC 401, 409(d).

Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses: Purpose—This information is used to provide legal advice and representation to the Postal Service.

Hise-

1. Pursuant to the National Labor Relations Act, records from this system may be furnished to a labor organization upon its request when needed by that organization to perform properly its duties as the collective bargaining representative of postal em-

ployees in an appropriate bargaining unit.

2. Disclosure may be made from the record of an individual, where pertinent, in any legal proceeding to which the Postal Service is a party before a court or administrative body or other tribu-

3. Disclosure may be made to a congressional office from the record of an individual in response to an inquiry from the congressional office made at the request of that individual.

Transferred to Department of Justice, when needed by that de-partment to perform properly its duties as legal representative of the Postal Service.

5. To refer, where there is an indication of a violation or potential violation of law, whether civil, criminal, or regulatory in nature, to the appropriate agency, whether Federal, state, or local, charged with the responsibility of investigating or prosecuting such violation or charged with enforcing or implementing the statute, or rule, regulation, or order issued pursuant thereto.

Policies and practices for storing, retrieving, accessing, retaining,

and disposing of records in the system:

Storage: Paper form, original or copies, preprinted or handwritten

Retrievability: By name of litigant(s) or case and state of court

Safeguards: Records are maintained in ordinary filing equipment under general scrutiny of USPS legal counsels and staff.

Retention and disposal: These case files are maintained for three (3) years following close of matter.

System manager(s) and address: General Counsel, Law Department, Headquarters.

Notification procedure: Persons interested in reviewing records within specific case files should submit their name; and case number, if known, to the General Counsel, Law Department, National Headquarters.

Record access procedures: See "System Manager" above.

Contesting record procedures: See "System Manager" above.

Record source categories: (a) Employees involved in garnishment cases; (b) Counsel(s) or other representatives for parties other than Postal Service; (c) Other individuals involved in garnishment cases. Source documents include internal memoranda and court related documents.

#### USPS 120.250

System name: Personnel Records—Monetary Claims involving present or former employees (case files), 120.250.

Security classification: Law Department, Headquarters; Regional Counsel Offices, Regional Headquarters.

System location: Individuals involved in monetary claims cases.

Categories of individuals covered by the system: (a) Formal pleadings and memoranda of law; (b) Other relevant documents; (c) Miscellaneous notes and case analyses prepared by Postal Service attorneys and other personnel; (d) Correspondence and telephone

Categories of records in the system: 39 USC 401, 409(d).

Authority for maintenance of the system: Purpose—This informa-tion is used to provide legal advice and representation to the Postal Service.

Use-

1. Pursuant to the National Labor Relations Act, records from this system may be furnished to a labor organization upon its request when needed by that organization to perform properly its duties as the collective bargaining representative of postal employees in an appropriate bargaining unit.

2. Disclosure may be made from the record of an individual,

where pertinent, in any legal proceeding to which the Postal Service is a party before a court or administrative body or other tribu-

nal.

Disclosure may be made to a congressional office from the record of an individual in response to an inquiry from the congres-sional office made at the request of that individual.

4. Transferred to Department of Justice, when needed by that de-partment to perform properly its duties as legal representative of

the Postal Service

5. To refer, where there is an indication of a violation or potential violation of law, whether civil, criminal, or regulatory in nature, to the appropriate agency, whether Federal, state, or local, charged with the responsibility of investigating or prosecuting such violation or charged with enforcing or implementing the statute, or rule, regulation, or order issued pursuant thereto.

Policies and practices for storing, retrieving, accessing, retaining, and disposing of records in the system:

Storage: Paper form, original or copies, preprinted or handwritten

Retrievability: By name of litigant(s).

Safeguards: Records are maintained in ordinary filing equipment under general scrutiny of USPS legal counsels and staff.

Retention and disposal: These case files are maintained for three (3) years following close of matter.

System manager(s) and address: General Counsel, Law Department, Headquarters.

Notification procedure: Persons interested in reviewing records within specific case files should submit their name; and case number, if known, to the General Counsel, Law Department, National Headquarters.

Record access procedures: See "System Manager" above.

Contesting record procedures: See "System Manager" above.

Record source categories: (a) Individuals involved in monetary claims cases; (b) Counsel(s) or other representatives for parties in litigation other than Postal Service. Source documents include records relevant to the case. records relevant to the case.

[FR Doc.77-5352 Filed 2-23-77;8:45 am]