AUTHENTICATED U.S. GOVERNMENT INFORMATION GPO

Vol.41—No.241
12–14–76

PAGES
54475–54749

# federal register

TUESDAY, DECEMBER 14, 1976

## highlights

PART I:

**PUBLIC ASSISTANCE**
HEW/SRS determines an SSI recipient shall not be regarded as member of an AFDC family for purposes of determining need and amount of payment; effective 1–1–73 ..... 54489

**SCHOOL LUNCHES**
USDA/FNS proposes amendments determining eligibility in Puerto Rico and Virgin Islands; comments by 1–16–77 ..... 54493

**TRUTH IN LENDING**
FRS publishes official staff interpretations ..... 54477

**INDIAN HEALTH SERVICES**
HEW announces available funds during period 10–1–76 through 9–30–77 for grants and contracts under the Indian Self-Determination Act ..... 54544

**CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES**
Justice/DEA publishes aggregate production quotas for amphetamine—final 1977 and ecgonine—interim 1976 (2 documents); effective 12–14–76 ..... 54550

**EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY**
Labor/FCCPO proposes to amend its affirmative action compliance program; comments by 1–13–77 ..... 54499

**PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION ACT**
USDA/AMS proposes miscellaneous amendments to regulations; comments by 1–13–77 ..... 54492

**COMMUNICATION CHARGES**
FCC issues proposal concerning interface of international telex service with domestic telex and TWX services; comments by 1–24–77 ..... 54500

**RURAL TELEPHONE PROGRAM**
USDA/REA proposes revision of standard for station installations; comments by 1–13–77 ..... 54494

**CABLE TELEVISION**
FCC issues proposal relating to applications for compliance certificates and Federal-State/local regulatory relationships; comments by 1–31–77; reply comments due 3–2–77 ..... 54506

CONTINUED INSIDE

# reminders

(The items in this list were editorially compiled as an aid to FEDERAL REGISTER users. Inclusion or exclusion from this list has no legal significance. Since this list is intended as a reminder, it does not include effective dates that occur within 14 days of publication.)

## Rules Going Into Effect Today

DOT/FAA—Airworthiness directives; British Aircraft Corp. BAC 1–11 200 and 400 Series airplanes ..... 52292; 11–29–76

Airworthiness directives; Morane Saulnier (Socata) Models MS 892A–150, MS 893A, MS 893E airplanes.. 52294; 11–29–76

Airworthiness directives; Rolls-Royce Bristol Viper Mk 601–22 engines. 52294; 11–29–76

HEW/FDA—Lemonade and colored lemonade; frozen concentrate; standards of identity ..... 45543; 10–15–76

## List of Public Laws

NOTE: No public bills which have become law were received by the Office of the Federal Register for inclusion in today's LIST OF PUBLIC LAWS.

## AGENCY PUBLICATION ON ASSIGNED DAYS OF THE WEEK

The six-month trial period ended August 6. The program is being continued on a voluntary basis (see OFR notice, 41 FR 32914, August 6, 1976). The following agencies have agreed to remain in the program:

| Monday | Tuesday | Wednesday | Thursday | Friday |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| NRC | USDA/ASCS | | NRC | USDA/ASCS |
| DOT/COAST GUARD | USDA/APHIS | | DOT/COAST GUARD | USDA/APHIS |
| DOT/NHTSA | USDA/FNS | | DOT/NHTSA | USDA/FNS |
| DOT/FAA | USDA/REA | | DOT/FAA | USDA/REA |
| DOT/OHMO | CSC | | DOT/OHMO | CSC |
| DOT/OPSO | LABOR | | DOT/OPSO | LABOR |
| | HEW/FDA | | | HEW/FDA |

Documents normally scheduled on a day that will be a Federal holiday will be published the next work day following the holiday.

Comments on this program are still invited. Comments should be submitted to the Day-of-the-Week Program Coordinator, Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration, Washington, D.C. 20408.

**ATTENTION: For questions, corrections, or requests for information please see the list of telephone numbers appearing on opposite page.**


federal register

Area Code 202 Phone 523–5240

Published daily, Monday through Friday (no publication on Saturdays, Sundays, or on official Federal holidays), by the Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration, Washington, D.C. 20408, under the Federal Register Act (49 Stat. 500, as amended; 44 U.S.C., Ch. 15) and the regulations of the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register (1 CFR Ch. I). Distribution is made only by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

The FEDERAL REGISTER provides a uniform system for making available to the public regulations and legal notices issued by Federal agencies. These include Presidential proclamations and Executive orders and Federal agency documents having general applicability and legal effect, documents required to be published by Act of Congress and other Federal agency documents of public interest. Documents are on file for public inspection in the Office of the Federal Register the day before they are published, unless earlier filing is requested by the issuing agency.

The FEDERAL REGISTER will be furnished by mail to subscribers, free of postage, for $5.00 per month or $50 per year, payable in advance. The charge for individual copies is 75 cents for each issue, or 75 cents for each group of pages as actually bound. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

## INFORMATION AND ASSISTANCE

Questions and requests for specific information may be directed to the following numbers. General inquiries may be made by dialing **202–523–5240.**

**FEDERAL REGISTER,** Daily Issue:

| | |
|---|---|
| Subscriptions and distribution | 202–783–3238 |
| "Dial - a - Regulation" (recorded summary of highlighted documents appearing in next day's issue). | 202–523–5022 |
| Scheduling of documents for publication. | 523–5220 |
| Copies of documents appearing in the Federal Register. | 523–5240 |
| Corrections | 523–5286 |
| Public Inspection Desk | 523–5215 |
| Finding Aids | 523–5227 |
| **Public Briefings: "How To Use the Federal Register."** | 523–5282 |
| **Code of Federal Regulations (CFR)** | 523–5266 |
| Finding Aids | 523–5227 |

**PRESIDENTIAL PAPERS:**

| | |
|---|---|
| Executive Orders and Proclamations. | 523–5233 |
| Weekly Compilation of Presidential Documents. | 523–5235 |
| Public Papers of the Presidents | 523–5235 |
| Index | 523–5235 |

**PUBLIC LAWS:**

| | |
|---|---|
| Public Law dates and numbers | 523–5237 |
| Slip Laws | 523–5237 |
| U.S. Statutes at Large | 523–5237 |
| Index | 523–5237 |
| **U.S. Government Manual** | 523–5230 |
| **Automation** | 523–5240 |
| **Special Projects** | 523–5240 |

---

**HIGHLIGHTS—Continued**


**CABLE TELEVISION SERVICES**
FCC proposes to amend its rules to add frequency channeling requirements and restrictions and to require monitoring for signal leakage from systems; comments by 1–17–77 ..... 54512

**FREQUENCIES**
FCC adopts rules to change status of 157.425 and 162.025 MHz, to form into VHF channel 88 and to make channel 88 available for assignment to ship stations for public correspondence; effective 1–6–77 ..... 54490

**AIR CARRIERS**
CAB amends rules applicable to study group charters conducted by educational institutions; effective 1–13–77 ..... 54480

**COTTON BOARD**
USDA/AMS proposes revision of membership determination; comments by 12–28–76 ..... 54494

**PRIVACY ACT OF 1974**
CSC publishes analysis of comments and newly adopted routine use; effective 12–14–76 ..... 54522
National Study Commission on Records and Documents of Federal Officials publishes additional routine use of payroll records system; comments by 1–13–77 ..... 54569
Office of Special Representative for Trade Negotiations publishes additional routine uses ..... 54571
State proposes to change system of records; comments by 12–24–76 ..... 54580

**MEETINGS—**
USDA/AMS: Shippers Advisory Committee, 1–11–77 .. 54518
DOD: Patriot Vulnerability Defense Science Task Force Advisory Committee, 1–4 thru 1–6–77 ..... 54523
FCC: WARC–79 Broadcasting Service Groups, 1–4, 1–5, and 1–12–77 ..... 54523
NSF: Experts on Ethnic Minority Participation in Science and Engineering, 1–14 and 1–15–77 ..... 54569

**PART II:**

**MOBILE HOME CONSTRUCTION AND SAFETY**
HUD extends the effective date to 12–15–76 regarding amendments to procedural and enforcement regulations .. 54595

**PART III:**

**STATUTORY BAD DEBTS AND CHARITABLE CONTRIBUTIONS**
National Banks Treasury/Comptroller proposes revision of interpretive rulings; (2 documents); comments by 1–12–77 and 1–20–77 ..... 54600

**PART IV:**

**CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES**
Justice/DEA proposes amending regulations to allow exceptions in drugs; comments by 1–12–77 ..... 54603

**PART V:**

**PRIVACY ACT OF 1974**
FEC makes minor changes and publishes for comment its new systems of records (2 documents) ..... 54718, 54719

**PART VI:**

**PRIVACY ACT OF 1974**
Labor reissues its systems of records; comments by 1–13–77 ..... 54723

# contents


**AGENCY FOR INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT**

**Notices**

Voluntary foreign aid agencies; certificates of registration:
Rav Tov Committee to Aid New Immigrants ..... 54580

**AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE**

**Rules**

Walnuts grown in Calif ..... 54475

**Proposed Rules**

Cherries grown in Mich. et al ..... 54493
Cotton Research and Promotion Board membership, determination ..... 54494
Plant variety protection:
Plant Variety Protection Board proceedings; practice rules and authority citation changes ..... 54492

**Notices**

Meetings:
Shippers Advisory Committee ..... 54518

**AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT**

*See* Agricultural Marketing Service; Food and Nutrition Service; Packers and Stockyards Administration; Rural Electrification Administration; Soil Conservation Service.

**ANTITRUST DIVISION, JUSTICE DEPARTMENT**

**Notices**

Competitive impact statements and proposed consent judgments; U.S. vs. listed companies:
Monroc, Inc., et al ..... 54548

**CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD**

**Rules**

Charters:
Study groups; conditions and limitations, etc ..... 54480
Organization and functions:
Associate General Counsel; correction ..... 54483

**Proposed Rules**

Tariffs of air carriers and foreign air carriers, construction, publication, etc:
Charter tariff publication service; correction ..... 54495

**Notices**

*Hearings, etc.*:
Alaska Airlines, Inc., et al ..... 54519
American Airlines, Inc., et al ..... 54522
Trans International Airlines, Inc., et al ..... 54522

**CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION**

**Notices**

Privacy Act; systems of records ..... 54522

**COMMERCE DEPARTMENT**

*See* Economic Development Administration.

**COMPTROLLER OF CURRENCY**

**Rules**

Application procedures, supplemental, for charters, branches, mergers and relocations; hearing procedures; correction ..... 54487

**Proposed Rules**

Rulings:
Bad debts; deduction from profits for dividend payment ..... 54600
Charitable contributions by national banks; limits ..... 54600

**CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND REGULATORY FUNCTIONS, OFFICE OF ASSISTANT SECRETARY**

**Rules**

Mobile home procedural and enforcement regulations:
Consumer complaint handling and remedial actions; delay extension ..... 54595

**DEFENSE DEPARTMENT**

**Notices**

Meetings:
Science Board Task Force on Patriot Vulnerability ..... 54523

**DRUG ENFORCEMENT ADMINISTRATION**

**Proposed Rules**

Schedules of controlled substances:
Excepted compounds, stimulant or depressant ..... 54603

**Notices**

Schedules of controlled substances:
Amphetamine; 1977 production quota ..... 54550
Ecgonine; 1976 interim production quota ..... 54550

**ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION**

**Notices**

Import determination petitions:
Alta Products Corp ..... 54522

**EDUCATION OFFICE**

**Rules**

Higher education and vocational students, low-interest loans; program eligibility hearings ..... 54487

**FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION**

**Rules**

Frequency allocations and radio treaty matters; maritime services, etc.:
VHF channel 88 available for public correspondence ..... 54490

**Proposed Rules**

Cable television:
Compliance certificate applications and Federal-State/local regulatory relationships ..... 54506
Frequency channelling requirements and restrictions, and signal leakage monitoring ..... 54512
Telephone companies:
Resale and shared use of services and facilities; extension of time ..... 54505
Tariffs, interface of International Telex Service with Domestic Telex and TWX Services ..... 54500

**Notices**

Meetings:
World Administrative Radio Conference, 1979 Broadcast Service Working Groups ..... 54523
Reports:
Annual, World Administrative Radio Conference ..... 54523

**FEDERAL CONTRACT COMPLIANCE PROGRAMS OFFICE**

**Proposed Rules**

Contractors and subcontractors, affirmative action ..... 54499

**FEDERAL DISASTER ASSISTANCE ADMINISTRATION**

**Notices**

Disaster areas:
Arkansas ..... 54544

**FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION**

Privacy Act; systems of records (2 documents) ..... 54718, 54719

**FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION**

**Notices**

Bridge tolls, etc.:
Bayonne Bridge et al.; briefs ..... 54581

**FEDERAL INSURANCE ADMINISTRATION**

**Rules**

Flood Insurance Program, National; flood elevation determinations, etc.:
Missouri ..... 54487
New Jersey ..... 54486
Wisconsin ..... 54486

**Proposed Rules**

Flood Insurance Program, National; flood elevation determinations, etc.:
Florida ..... 54498
Georgia (2 documents) ..... 54496
Illinois (2 documents) ..... 54498, 54499
Kentucky ..... 54497
Massachusetts (3 documents) ..... 54496,

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

Notices

Natural gas companies:
Pipeline agreements for transportation; certification; policy statement ... 54534
Refund amounts; interest rate ... 54523
*Hearings, etc.:*
Alabama-Tennessee Natural Gas Co ... 54525
Algonquin Gas Transmission Co ... 54525
Boston Edison Co. (2 documents) ... 54525, 54526
Cities Service Gas Co ... 54526
Damson Oil Corp., et al ... 54526
Duke Power Co ... 54526
Eastern Shore Natural Gas Co ... 54526
El Paso Natural Gas Co ... 54526
Florida Gas Transmission Co ... 54528
Florida Power & Light Co ... 54528
Indianapolis Power & Light Co ... 54528
Iowa-Illinois Gas & Electric Co ... 54529
Kansas Nebraska Natural Gas Co., Inc ... 54529
Lawrenceburg Gas Transmission Corp. (2 documents) ... 54530
Louisiana Power & Light Co. (2 documents) ... 54531
McCulloch Interstate Gas Corp ... 54532
Mississippi River Transmission Corp ... 54532
Mississippi River Transmission Corp. and Texas Gas Transmission Corp ... 54532
Montana-Dakota Utilities Co ... 54532
Natural Gas Pipeline Co. of America ... 54533
North Penn Gas Co ... 54534
Northern Natural Gas Co ... 54531
Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Co ... 54534
Puget Sound Power & Light Co ... 54536
Southern California Edison Co ... 54536
Texas Eastern Transmission Corp ... 54536
Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corp. and Mid Louisiana Gas Co ... 54536
Transwestern Pipeline Co., et al ... 54537
Trunkline Gas Co ... 54537

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Rules

Authority delegations:
Board employees and Federal Reserve Banks; specific functions ... 54480
Truth-in-lending:
Finance charge identification on periodic statements, credit card issuance, etc.; interpretations ... 54477

Notices

Board actions; applications and reports ... 54538
*Applications, etc.:*
Bank of New Orleans & Trust Co ... 54540
Chittenden Corp ... 54540
First National Financial Corp ... 54540
FM Co ... 54541
Manchester Financial Corp ... 54541
Trust Co. of Georgia (3 documents) ... 54541, 54542
Westland Banks, Inc. (2 documents) ... 54542

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

Rules

Organization, procedures and practice rules:
Adjudicative and nonadjudicative procedures; authority delegations ... 54485
Organization and conduct standards ... 54483

Notices

Delegation of authority:
Staff members ... 54543

FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

Rules

Fishing:
Medicine Lake National Wildlife Refuge, Mont ... 54490
Public access, entry, use, and recreation:
Russell, Charles M., National Wildlife Range, Mont ... 54490

FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION

Proposed Rules

Human drugs:
Oral contraceptives; patient labeling requirements; inquiry; correction ... 54495

Notices

Human drugs:
Oral contraceptives; physician and patient labeling; inquiry; correction ... 54544

FOOD AND NUTRITION SERVICE

Proposed Rules

Child nutrition programs:
Eligibility for free and reduced price meals and milk in schools; Puerto Rico and Virgin Islands ... 54493

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Rules

Procurement management, management circulars, etc., transferred to OMB; CFR Subchapters recodified; correction ... 54487

Notices

Electric rate increase proceedings; proposed intervention:
Florida Public Service Commission and Florida Power & Light Co ... 54543
Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission and Duquesne Light Co ... 54544
Telephone rate increase proceeding; proposed intervention:
Maryland Public Service Commission and Chesapeake and Potomac Telephone Co ... 54543

HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE DEPARTMENT

*See also* Education Office; Food and Drug Administration; Social and Rehabilitation Service.

HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

*See* Consumer Affairs and Regulatory Functions; Office of Assistant Secretary; Federal Disaster Assistance Administration; Federal Insurance Administration.

INDIAN AFFAIRS BUREAU

Rules

Enrollment:
Cherokee Band of Shawnee Indians; correction ... 54487

INDIAN HEALTH SERVICE

Notices

Indian health service areas; grant and contract funds availability ... 54544

INTERIOR DEPARTMENT

*See also* Fish and Wildlife Service; Indian Affairs Bureau; Land Management Bureau.

Rules

Property management; government vehicles ... 54487

Notices

Authority delegations:
Reclamation Commissioner; Hoover Dam ... 54547

INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE

Notices

Pension benefit plans; use of certain forms ... 54581

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

Proposed Rules

Motor carriers:
Exemptions; agricultural cooperatives; correction ... 54517

Notices

Hearing assignments ... 54581

JUSTICE DEPARTMENT

*See* Antitrust Division; Drug Enforcement Administration.

LABOR DEPARTMENT

*See also* Federal Contract Compliance Programs Office; Occupational Safety and Health Administration.

Notices

Privacy Act; systems of records ... 54723
*Adjustment assistance:*
Acme Nipple Manufacturing Co., Inc ... 54552
Aetna Pipe Products Co. of Illinois ... 54552
Allegheny Ludlum Steel Corp ... 54552
American Valve Manufacturing Corp ... 54553
Babcock & Wilcox Co ... 54553
Berger Industries, Inc ... 54553
Castle & Cooke, Inc ... 54562
Consolidated Novelty Co., Inc ... 54554
Copper Range Co ... 54554
Crane Co ... 54555

Crescent Machine & Nipple Co. 54555
Delton Shirt Makers, Inc. 54555
Ettleson of Philadelphia, Inc. 54556
Freight Consolidation Services, Inc. 54556
Galena Glove & Mitten Co. 54556
Glauber Valve Co., Inc. 54557
Gotham Fifth Avenue, Inc. 54557
Homestake Copper Co. 54558
Hydrill Co. 54558
International Shoe Co. 54558
Iron Wood Products Corp. 54559
ITT Corp. 54559
Jackson-Markus Co. 54560
Kenosha Metal Products, Inc. 54560
Ladish Co. 54560
Mallard Sportswear, Inc. 54561
Maxi Manufacturing Co. 54561
Memphis Nipple Co. 54562
Mohawk Data Sciences Corp. 54562
Nunn Bush Shoe Co. 54563
Progressive Sea Products, Inc. 54564
Racine Glove Co. 54564
Raybestos Manhattan Friction Materials Co. 54565
Saco Tanning Corp. 54565
Salvatori Corp. 54566
Summeytown Pants Shop. 54567
Sun Weld Fitting Co. 54567
Sunshine Kiddie Knitwear Co., Inc. 54567
Textron, Inc. 54568
Triad-Utrad 54568

LAND MANAGEMENT BUREAU

Notices

Withdrawal and reservation of lands, proposed, etc.:
Arizona (5 documents) 54545–54547

MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET OFFICE

Notices

Clearance of reports; lists of requests (2 documents) 54570, 54571

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Notices

Committees; establishment, renewals, etc.:
Engineering Chemistry and Energetics Advisory Panel 54569
Engineering Mechanics Advisory Panel 54569
Ethnic minority participation in science and engineering; meeting 54569

OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH ADMINISTRATION

Notices

Applications, etc.:
Haven Manufacturing Co., Inc. 54551

PACKERS AND STOCKYARDS ADMINISTRATION

Notices

Posting and deposting of stockyards:
Lafayette County Livestock Auction, Lewisville, Ark., et al 54518

RECORDS AND DOCUMENTS OF FEDERAL OFFICIALS, NATIONAL STUDY COMMISSION

Notices

Privacy Act; systems of records 54569

RURAL ELECTRIFICATION ADMINISTRATION

Proposed Rules

Rural telephone program:
Station installation standards 54494

Notices

Environmental statements; availability, etc.:
Cooperative Power Association 54518

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Notices

*Hearings, etc.:*
American Electric Power Co., Inc. et al 54572
Central & South West Corp., et al 54573
Founders of American Investment Corp., et al 54574
Narragansett Capital Corp. 54575
Premier Oil & Gas, Inc. 54578
Union Electric Co. and Missouri Utilities Co 54579

SOCIAL AND REHABILITATION SERVICE

Rules

Financial assistance programs:
Eligibility coverage and conditions; SSI beneficiaries' exclusion from AFDC 54489

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

Notices

Environmental statements on watershed projects; availability, etc.:
Ten Mile-Briar Creek, Ga. 54518

STATE DEPARTMENT

*See also* Agency for International Development.

Proposed Rules

Legal and related services:
Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act; suits, services, etc. 54495

Notices

Privacy Act; systems of records 54580

TRADE NEGOTIATIONS, OFFICE OF THE SPECIAL REPRESENTATIVE

Notices

Privacy Act of 1974; additional routine uses 54571

TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT

*See* Federal Highway Administration.

TREASURY DEPARTMENT

*See* Comptroller of Currency.

"THE FEDERAL REGISTER—WHAT IT IS AND HOW TO USE IT"

Weekly Briefings at the Office of the Federal Register

(For Details, See 41 FR 46527, Oct. 21, 1976)

RESERVATIONS: DEAN L. SMITH, 523–5282

# list of cfr parts affected in this issue

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published in today's issue. A cumulative list of parts affected, covering the current month to date, follows beginning with the second issue of the month.

A Cumulative List of CFR Sections Affected is published separately at the end of each month. The guide lists the parts and sections affected by documents published since the revision date of each title.

**7 CFR**

948 ..... 54475

PROPOSED RULES:

180 ..... 54492
245 ..... 54493
930 ..... 54493
1205 ..... 54494
1701 ..... 54494

**12 CFR**

5 ..... 54477
226 ..... 54477
265 ..... 54480

PROPOSED RULES:

7 (2 documents) ..... 54600

**14 CFR**

373 ..... 54480
385 ..... 54483

PROPOSED RULES:

221 ..... 54495

**16 CFR**

0 ..... 54483
2 ..... 54485
3 ..... 54485
5 ..... 54483

**21 CFR**

PROPOSED RULES:

310 ..... 54495
1308 ..... 54604

**22 CFR**

PROPOSED RULES:

93 ..... 54495

**24 CFR**

1917 (3 documents) ..... 54486, 54487
3282 ..... 54597

PROPOSED RULES:

1917 (9 documents) ..... 54496–54499

**25 CFR**

41 ..... 54487

**34 CFR**

Ch. II ..... 54487

**41 CFR**

114–38 ..... 54487

PROPOSED RULES:

60–1 ..... 54499
60–2 ..... 54499

**45 CFR**

177 ..... 54487
233 ..... 54489

**47 CFR**

2 ..... 54491
81 ..... 54491
83 ..... 54491

PROPOSED RULES:

61 ..... 54500
63 ..... 54505
76 (2 documents) ..... 54506

**49 CFR**

PROPOSED RULES:

1047 ..... 54517

**50 CFR**

26 ..... 54490
33 ..... 54490

## CUMULATIVE LIST OF PARTS AFFECTED DURING DECEMBER

**The following numerical guide is a list of parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published to date during December.**

### 1 CFR

PROPOSED RULES:

455 ..... 54001

### 3 CFR

PROCLAMATIONS:

4304 (Terminated in part by Proc. 4480) ..... 53967
4478 (Amended by Proc. 4480) ..... 53967
4479 ..... 52977
4480 ..... 53967

MEMORANDUMS:

August 27, 1976 (Supplemented by Memorandum of November 19, 1976) ..... 53315
November 19, 1976 ..... 53315

### 4 CFR

56 ..... 53769

### 5 CFR

213 ..... 52979, 53969
300 ..... 52979
305 ..... 52979
591 ..... 52857, 53461, 53969

### 7 CFR

25 ..... 53802
29 ..... 53649
52 ..... 54161
301 ..... 53461, 53462
401 ..... 52643, 53463, 53969–53971
408 ..... 53803
722 ..... 53006, 53463
729 ..... 53006
905 ..... 53007, 53649, 53650
907 ..... 52886, 53805, 54162
909 ..... 52887
910 ..... 53008, 53463, 53973
945 ..... 53008
948 ..... 54475
981 ..... 53650
982 ..... 54163
987 ..... 54163
989 ..... 52645, 53651
999 ..... 52646
1032 ..... 54164
1063 ..... 53317
1464 ..... 52647
1822 ..... 53009
1823 ..... 53973
1832 ..... 53009
1872 ..... 53010
1901 ..... 53973

PROPOSED RULES:

16 ..... 53672
52 ..... 53341, 53346
180 ..... 54492
245 ..... 54493
725 ..... 53035
912 ..... 53035
928 ..... 53035, 53346, 53672
930 ..... 54493
959 ..... 53807
1002 ..... 53346
1205 ..... 53350, 54494
1701 ..... 54494
1861 ..... 52888

### 9 CFR

201 ..... 53769

### 10 CFR

2 ..... 53328
40 ..... 53330
50 ..... 53333
212 ..... 53333

PROPOSED RULES:

2 ..... 54206

### 12 CFR

5 ..... 54477
18 ..... 53977
22 ..... 52979
201 ..... 52979
221 ..... 52980
226 ..... 54477
265 ..... 53775, 54480
226 ..... 52980
329 ..... 52857
563c ..... 52982

PROPOSED RULES:

7 ..... 54600

### 13 CFR

107 ..... 52647
315 ..... 52648, 53317

PROPOSED RULES:

106 ..... 54002
107 ..... 54002
108 ..... 54002
115 ..... 54002
118 ..... 54002
119 ..... 54002
120 ..... 54002
122 ..... 54002
123 ..... 54002
124 ..... 54002

### 14 CFR

1 ..... 53777
13 ..... 54164
39 ..... 53777–53779, 54165
71 ..... 52857, 52858, 53317, 53318, 53779, 53780, 54165, 54167
73 ..... 52858, 53318
95 ..... 52858, 52861
97 ..... 53318, 54166
107 ..... 53777
121 ..... 53319, 53777
123 ..... 53319
135 ..... 53319
191 ..... 53777
212 ..... 53651
249 ..... 52865
253 ..... 52658
371 ..... 52987
373 ..... 54480
385 ..... 54483
1208 ..... 53652
1212 ..... 52866

PROPOSED RULES:

36 ..... 53807
39 ..... 53353
71 ..... 54187
91 ..... 53354
221 ..... 53488, 54495
399 ..... 52698

### 15 CFR

235 ..... 54167

PROPOSED RULES:

920 ..... 53418
931 ..... 53673
1810 ..... 53596

### 16 CFR

0 ..... 54483
2 ..... 54485
3 ..... 54485
4 ..... 52867
5 ..... 54483
13 ..... 52659, 52660, 53464–53471, 53653–53659, 53780–53783
702 ..... 53472

PROPOSED RULES:

450 ..... 53355

### 17 CFR

32 ..... 53475
200 ..... 53473, 53784
239 ..... 52662, 53473
240 ..... 52994, 53784, 53785
249 ..... 53473
270 ..... 52668

PROPOSED RULES:

1 ..... 53355
145 ..... 53355
230 ..... 52701, 53808
239 ..... 53356, 53488
240 ..... 53036, 53356
249 ..... 53488
270 ..... 53356
275 ..... 53356, 53808

### 19 CFR

111 ..... 53001
148 ..... 54167
201 ..... 54168

PROPOSED RULES:

1 ..... 53039
123 ..... 53810
133 ..... 54188
201 ..... 53039

### 20 CFR

345 ..... 53475
404 ..... 53790
405 ..... 53320
410 ..... 53790, 53981
422 ..... 53791

PROPOSED RULES:

404 ..... 53042
416 ..... 53042
614 ..... 53048

### 21 CFR

121 ..... 53001, 53475, 53600–53621, 53981
460 ..... 53476
510 ..... 53002
520 ..... 53477
556 ..... 53002
558 ..... 53002
701 ..... 53477
1308 ..... 52867, 53477

**21 CFR—Continued**

PROPOSED RULES:

121 ... 53622
310 ... 53630, 54495
1308 ... 54604

**22 CFR**

602 ... 53982

PROPOSED RULES:

93 ... 54495
505 ... 54003

**23 CFR**

160 ... 54169
200 ... 53982
655 ... 53003
1215 ... 54170

PROPOSED RULES:

Ch. I ... 52703
1214 ... 54188
1215 ... 54188

**24 CFR**

280 ... 53626
1914 ... 53004, 53478
1915 ... 53664
1916 ... 52868, 52869
1917 ... 52668, 52669, 52870–52876, 53320, 53984–53990, 54486, 54487
1920 ... 53991, 54170–54175
3282 ... 54597

PROPOSED RULES:

24 ... 54302
1917 ... 52703–52705, 53049–53055, 53360, 54496–54499

**25 CFR**

41 ... 54487
231 ... 53320

**26 CFR**

1 ... 53321, 53480, 53681
11 ... 53660, 54176

PROPOSED RULES:

1 ... 53360

**27 CFR**

PROPOSED RULES:

4 ... 53055
270 ... 53055
275 ... 53055
290 ... 53055
295 ... 53055
296 ... 53055

**28 CFR**

0 ... 53005, 53660, 54176
42 ... 52669, 53478

PROPOSED RULES:

2 ... 52889, 53811

**29 CFR**

94 ... 54066
95 ... 54067
96 ... 54068
98 ... 54068
99 ... 54070

**29 CFR—Continued**

2100 ... 53010
2200 ... 53015
2202 ... 53010

PROPOSED RULES:

2201 ... 53067
2300 ... 53067
2608 ... 52890

**30 CFR**

211 ... 53793
250 ... 53016

PROPOSED RULES:

75 ... 52890
77 ... 52890
211 ... 53360, 53811
226 ... 53814
231 ... 54003, 54004

**31 CFR**

349 ... 53335
350 ... 53336

PROPOSED RULES:

8 ... 54191

**32 CFR**

865 ... 53992
890 ... 52672

PROPOSED RULES:

40 ... 53673
230 ... 53488

**33 CFR**

110 ... 54176
117 ... 53325
157 ... 54177

PROPOSED RULES:

110 ... 54199, 54200
117 ... 53362, 53823

**34 CFR**

Ch. II ... 54487

**35 CFR**

253 ... 53325

**36 CFR**

PROPOSED RULES:

211 ... 54200
903 ... 53492

**37 CFR**

PROPOSED RULES:

1 ... 52705

**38 CFR**

3 ... 53797

PROPOSED RULES:

6 ... 54004
8 ... 54004
21 ... 53824, 54201

**39 CFR**

111 ... 53478

PROPOSED RULES:

111 ... 53677

**40 CFR**

50 ... 52676
51 ... 52692
52 ... 53325, 53326, 53661
53 ... 52692
60 ... 53017
61 ... 53017
136 ... 52779
413 ... 53018
429 ... 53930
455 ... 54181

PROPOSED RULES:

260 ... 53363
413 ... 53070
1515 ... 54005

**41 CFR**

1–1 ... 53662
1–5 ... 53662
1–16 ... 53662
3–16 ... 52676
3–50 ... 52676
Ch. 18 ... 53436
114–38 ... 54487

PROPOSED RULES:

60–1 ... 54499
60–2 ... 54499
101–25 ... 54202

**42 CFR**

51c ... 53204

PROPOSED RULES:

101 ... 53215
124 ... 52891

**43 CFR**

3300 ... 53019

PROPOSED RULES:

3041 ... 53360
3800 ... 53428
3830 ... 54084

**45 CFR**

177 ... 54487
233 ... 54489
250 ... 53994
1061 ... 52876
1209 ... 53483
1340 ... 53663
1351 ... 54296
1800 ... 52677

PROPOSED RULES:

74 ... 53412
1903 ... 53495

**46 CFR**

514 ... 53969

PROPOSED RULES:

531 ... 53364

**47 CFR**

0 ... 53326, 53995
1 ... 53019, 53022
2 ... 54491
73 ... 52677, 53022, 53995
74 ... 52879
76 ... 53027, 53797, 53995
81 ... 53327, 54491

47 CFR—Continued

83 ..... 54491
94 ..... 53028
97 ..... 52685

PROPOSED RULES:

15 ..... 52705
21 ..... 54203
61 ..... 54500
63 ..... 54505
73 ..... 54203
76 ..... 54506
97 ..... 52709

49 CFR

1 ..... 53798
211 ..... 54181
228 ..... 53028
260 ..... 53996
393 ..... 53031
571 ..... 52880
1003 ..... 53798
1033 ..... 52695, 52696, 52880

49 CFR—Continued

1056 ..... 53485
1100 ..... 53798

PROPOSED RULES:

170 ..... 53824
171 ..... 53824
172 ..... 52891
173 ..... 53824
174 ..... 53824
175 ..... 53824
176 ..... 53824
177 ..... 53824
178 ..... 53824
179 ..... 53824
180 ..... 53824
181 ..... 53824
182 ..... 53824
183 ..... 53824
184 ..... 53824
185 ..... 53824
186 ..... 53824
187 ..... 53824
188 ..... 53824
189 ..... 53824
228 ..... 53070
267 ..... 54007
525 ..... 53827
533 ..... 53832
571 ..... 52892, 54204
575 ..... 54205
1047 ..... 52893, 54009, 54517
1100 ..... 53832
1300 ..... 53680

50 CFR

17 ..... 53032
26 ..... 52696, 52697, 52881–52884, 53663, 53802, 54490
32 ..... 52884, 52885
33 ..... 52697, 52885, 52886, 53327, 53486, 53487, 53664, 53977, 54490

PROPOSED RULES:

17 ..... 53074, 53075

## FEDERAL REGISTER PAGES AND DATES—DECEMBER

| *Pages* | *Date* |
|---|---|
| 52643–52855 | Dec. 1 |
| 52857–52975 | 2 |
| 52977–53314 | 3 |
| 53315–53459 | 6 |
| 53461–53647 | 7 |
| 53649–53767 | 8 |
| 53769–53966 | 9 |
| 53967–54159 | 10 |
| 54161–54473 | 13 |
| 54475–54749 | 14 |

# rules and regulations

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains regulatory documents having general applicability and legal effect most of which are keyed to and codified in the Code of Federal Regulations, which is published under 50 titles pursuant to 44 U.S.C. 1510.

The Code of Federal Regulations is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of new books are listed in the first FEDERAL REGISTER issue of each month.

Title 7—Agriculture

## CHAPTER IX—AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE (MARKETING AGREEMENTS AND ORDERS; FRUITS, VEGETABLES, NUTS), DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

## PART 984—WALNUTS GROWN IN CALIFORNIA

### Amendment of Administrative Rules and Regulations

Notice was published in the November 10, 1976, issue of the FEDERAL REGISTER (41 FR 49637) regarding a proposal to amend Subpart—Administrative Rules and Regulations (7 CFR 984.437–984.480). The subpart is operative pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 984, as amended (7 CFR Part 984; 41 FR 31541), regulating the handling of walnuts grown in California. The amended marketing agreement and order (hereinafter referred to collectively as the "order") are effective under the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601–674). The amendment, based on a recommendation of the Walnut Marketing Board, conforms the provisions of the subpart with the recent amendment of the order and makes them more reflective of current industry operating practices.

The notice afforded interested persons an opportunity to submit written data, views, or arguments on the proposal. None were received.

The order was amended August 1, 1976. The amendment, among other things, changed the order from a surplus program to a reserve program so the export market, the primary market for walnuts in excess of domestic needs, could be treated as a reserve market, not a surplus market. Also, the order now requires that walnuts held to meet a reserve obligation must be merchantable walnuts. However, substandard walnuts meeting minimum requirements specified pursuant to § 984.50(e) may be disposed of in reserve outlets and credit for such disposition may be deducted from the handler's holding requirement.

For the purpose of nominating two independent grower members and alternate members on the Board, the area of production is divided into two grower districts, relatively equal in respect to walnut acreage and production. Prior to the recent amendment, District 1 included the States of Oregon and Washington and the counties in the State of California lying north of a line drawn on the southern boundaries of San Mateo, Alameda, San Joaquin, Calaveras, and Alpine Counties. Growers from District 1 who marketed their walnuts through independent handlers in California, or independent or cooperative handlers in Oregon or Washington, were allocated one member and one alternative member on the Board.

That amendment removed the States of Oregon and Washington from the production area, and therefore revised District 1 by removing those States from within its boundaries. The amendment also revised the representation allocated to District 1 so that the member position and alternate member position are limited to California growers marketing their walnuts through independent handlers. Therefore, minor conforming changes are made in § 984.437. One other change is made in § 984.437 which was not covered in the notice. Current paragraphs (b) and (c) of § 984.437 are, for all practical purposes, identical, and therefore their provisions are consolidated and designated paragraph (b), and paragraph (d) is redesignated paragraph (c).

The current provisions in § 984.450(b) and (c) specify minimum kernel content requirements for surplus inshell and shelled walnuts, respectively. Therefore, these paragraphs are changed to specify minimum kernel content requirements for reserve disposition credit to conform with the recent amendment. Also, § 984.450(a) currently contains modified minimum standards for inshell walnuts. These modifications are contained in a recent amendment of the U.S. Standards for Walnuts (Juglans Regia) in the Shell (7 CFR 51.2945–51.2966; 41 FR 44187) effective November 15, 1976. Therefore, paragraph (a) is no longer needed and is deleted, and revised paragraphs (b) and (c) are redesignated as paragraphs (a) and (b).

Section 984.451(b) currently requires the inspection of all shelled walnuts to be made on the premises of the sheller prior to moving them to any other location. This requirement is no longer necessary under current industry operating practices, and is deleted. The provisions of current paragraph (c) of § 984.451 are redesignated as paragraph (b), and the provisions of paragraph (d) redesignated as paragraph (c) and rewritten to make it clear that the inspection and certification of inshell and shelled walnuts for export must be completed no more than 60 days prior to shipment from the handler's plant. The language of the current provision may be interpreted to mean that the inspection and certification could be made up to 60 days after shipment from the handler's plant and this is not the intention of the Board.

Section 984.456 is revised to bring all of the rules and regulations governing the disposition of reserve walnuts and walnuts used for reserve disposition credit into one section. The provisions in § 984.458 regarding the transfer of disposition credit in excess of a handler's surplus obligation and § 984.462 regarding the weight of surplus walnuts delivered to the Board are deleted because similar provisions on reserve walnuts are contained in revised § 984.456.

A new paragraph (a) is added to § 984.464 to make it clear that whenever free and reserve percentages are in effect for a marketing year substandard walnuts meeting the requirements of § 984.450 may be delivered by the handler to the Board for pooling at any time during the year and the disposition credited to the handler's reserve holding obligation. Also, the reporting requirements covering the disposal of substandard walnuts by handlers who are not Board-approved crushers, livestock feed manufacturers, or livestock feeders are deleted because they are no longer needed. Under current industry operating practices, all substandard walnuts are disposed of by or through Board-approved crushers, livestock feed manufacturers, or livestock feeders. Current paragraphs (a) and (b) would be redesignated as (b) and (c).

Other changes involve consolidation of some required reports and removal of authority for reports on shipments of walnuts between states of production and declaration privilege, which are no longer necessary. Also, the word "surplus" is changed to "reserve" wherever it appears.

After consideration of all revelant matter presented, including that in the notice, the information and recommendation submitted by the Board, and other available information, it is found that the amendment of Subpart—Administrative Rules and Regulations (7 CFR 984.437–984.480) as hereinafter set forth, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

It is further found that good cause exists for not postponing the effective time of this action until 30 days after publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER (5 U.S.C. 553), and for making this action effective at the time hereinafter specified in that: (1) This action recognizes order changes effective August 1, 1976, and makes the provisions of the subpart more reflective of current industry operating practices; (2) it is needed to implement the applicable provisions of the order and therefore should be effective as soon as possible; (3) handlers have been aware of this action and need no additional time or preliminary preparation to comply therewith; and (4) no useful purpose would be served by postponing the effective time of this action.

Therefore, Subpart—Administrative Rules and Regulations (7 CFR 984.437–984.480) is amended to read as follows:

Subpart—Administrative Rules and Regulations

§ 984.437 Methods for proposing names of additional candidates to be included on walnut growers' nomination ballots.

(a) Whenever the grower member position specified in § 984.35(a)(4) is assigned to growers who marketed their walnuts through independent handlers, any ten or more such growers who marketed an aggregate of 500 or more tons of walnuts through those handlers during the marketing year preceding the year in which Board nominations are held, may petition the Board to include on the nomination ballot the name of an eligible candidate for this position, and the name of an eligible candidate to serve as his alternate. The names of the eligible candidates proposed pursuant to this paragraph shall be included on the ballot together with the names of any incumbents who are willing to continue serving on the Board.

(b) Any ten or more growers eligible to serve in the grower member positions specified in § 984.35(a) (5) and (6) and who marketed an aggregate of 500 or more tons of walnuts through independent handlers during the marketing year preceding the year in which Board nominations are held, may petition the Board to include on the nomination ballot for a district the name of an eligible candidate for the applicable position, and the name of an eligible candidate to serve as his alternate. The names of the eligible candidates proposed pursuant to this paragraph shall be included on the ballot together with the names of any incumbents who are willing to continue serving on the Board.

(c) Petitions made pursuant to paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section shall be on forms supplied by the Board and filed no later than April 1 of the nomination year.

* * * * *

§ 984.450 Grade and size regulations.

(a) *Minimum kernel content requirements for inshell walnuts for reserve disposition credit.* For the purposes of §§ 984.54 and 984.56, no lot of inshell walnuts may be held, exported, or disposed of for use by government agencies or charitable institutions unless it meets the minimum requirements for merchantable inshell walnuts effective pursuant to § 984.59(a). The disposition of any lot of inshell walnuts pursuant to § 984.64 having at least a certified kernelweight of not less than 10 percent of the inshell weight of the lot may be credited against a handler's reserve obligation.

(b) *Minimum kernel content requirements for shelled walnuts for reserve disposition credit.* For the purposes of §§ 984.54 and 984.56, no lot of shelled walnuts may be held, exported, or disposed of for use by government agencies or charitable institutions unless it meets the minimum requirements for merchantable shelled walnuts effective pursuant to § 984.50(b). The disposition of any lot of shelled walnuts pursuant to § 984.64 having at least a certified kernelweight of kernels six sixty-fourths of an inch or larger of not less than 10 percent of the total weight of the lot may be credited against a handler's reserve obligation: *Provided*, That such minimum kernel content requirements shall not apply to any lot of walnut meal certified by the designated inspection service as having been derived from chopping, slicing, or dicing merchantable shelled walnuts.

§ 984.451 Inspection and certification of inshell and shelled walnuts.

(a) The inspection service shall be the DFA of California.

(b) Each handler shall make each container of each lot of walnuts accessible for sampling and sealing or stamping in connection with the inspection and certification of any lot of inshell or shelled walnuts.

(c) Inshell and shelled walnuts for export pursuant to § 984.56(b) shall have been inspected and certified not more than 60 days prior to shipment from the handler's plant.

* * * * *

§ 984.456 Disposition of reserve walnuts and walnuts used for reserve disposition credit.

(a) Beginning August 1 of any marketing year, a handler may become an agent of the Board to dispose of reserve walnuts of such marketing year. The agency shall be established upon execution of an "Agency Agreement for Reserve Walnuts" setting forth the terms and conditions specified by the Board for the sale of reserve walnuts in authorized outlets.

(b) Any handler who desires to transfer disposition credit in excess of his reserve obligation to another handler shall submit a request to the Board for such transfer on WMB Form No. 17 signed by both handlers and the Board shall credit such transfer.

(c) Any reserve walnuts that a handler has not disposed of by the end of the marketing year shall be delivered to the Board for pooling on demand. The Board may delay such demand with respect to reserve walnuts for which the handler has agreed to undertake disposition pursuant to the Board's authority. Each lot of reserve or substandard walnuts delivered to the Board for pooling and disposition shall be separately weighed at the handler's expense by a public weighmaster either upon removal from the handler's premises or in transit to Board storage facilities or diversion point. A copy of each weighmaster's certificate showing the net weight of the walnuts shall be forwarded to the Board by the handler. Walnuts delivered to the Board shall be delivered F.O.B. handler's warehouse or point of storage.

§ 984.464 Disposition of substandard walnuts.

(a) Whenever free and reserve percentages are in effect during a marketing year substandard walnuts meeting the requirements of § 984.450 may be delivered by a handler to the Board for pooling at any time during the year and the disposition credited to the handler's reserve obligation.

(b) The Board shall maintain a list of approved crushers, livestock feed manufacturers and livestock feeders, and of the locations of the facilities within the area of production to which substandard walnuts may be shipped. The Board may deny approval to any applicant or remove any approved crusher, feed manufacturer, or feeder from the list when such denial or removal is deemed necessary to insure control of substandard walnut disposition or the Board determines that substandard walnuts are not shipped to such facilities. Substandard walnuts disposed of to an approved crusher, livestock feed manufacturer, or livestock feeder, may only be shipped directly to an approved location where the crushing, feed manufacture, or feeding is to take place. Applications for approval to crush, manufacture livestock feed, or feed substandard walnuts shall be submitted to the Board on a form prescribed by the Board and which includes the location and a description of the disposal facilities to be used and a certification to the Board and the Secretary of Agriculture that the applicant will:

(1) Crush, manufacture feed, or feed such walnuts at the location;

(2) Use such walnuts for no other purpose than for crushing into oil, manufacturing into livestock feed, or livestock feeding;

(3) Permit such inspection of his premises and of walnuts received and held by him, and such examination of his books and records covering walnut transactions as the Board may require;

(4) Keep a record of his receipts, holdings, and use of substandard walnuts available for examination by authorized representatives of the Board and the U.S. Department of Agriculture for a period of two years after the end of the marketing year in which the recorded transactions are completed; and

(5) Make such reports, certified to the Board and the Secretary as to their correctness, as the Board may require.

(c) Each handler who disposes of substandard walnuts to an approved crusher, livestock feed manufacturer or livestock feeder shall upon shipment report to the Board on WMB Form No. 20, the quantities disposed of or shipped.

* * * * *

§ 984.472 Reports of merchantable walnuts shipped.

(a) Reports of merchantable walnuts shipped during a month shall be submitted to the Board on WMB Form No. 6 not later than the 5th day of the following month. Such reports shall include all shipments during the preceding month and shall show for inshell and shelled walnuts (1) the quantity shipped, (2) whether they were shipped into domestic or export channels, and (3) for exports, the quantity by country of destination. If a handler makes no shipments during any month he shall submit a report marked "None". If a handler has completed his shipments for the season he shall mark the report "Completed", and

he shall not be required to submit any additional WMB Form No. 6 reports during the remainder of that marketing year.

(b) Reports of walnuts purchased directly from growers by handlers who are manufacturers or retailers shall be submitted to the Board on WMB Form No. 6, not later than the 5th day of the month following the month in which the walnuts were purchased. Such reports shall show the quantity of walnuts purchased and the quantity inspected and certified as merchantable walnuts.

* * * * *

(Secs. 1–19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601–674).)

Dated: December 8, 1976 to become effective December 17, 1976.

CHARLES R. BRADER,
*Acting Director,*
*Fruit and Vegetable Division.*

[FR Doc.76-36590 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

---

## Title 12—Banks and Banking

## CHAPTER I—COMPTROLLER OF THE CURRENCY, DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

## PART 5—SUPPLEMENTAL APPLICATION PROCEDURES FOR CHARTERS, DOMESTIC BRANCHES, MERGERS, RELOCATIONS, CONVERSIONS, DOMESTIC OPERATING SUBSIDIARIES, FIDUCIARY POWERS AND TITLE CHANGES

### Procedures for Corporate Activities; Correction

In FR Doc. 76–31912 appearing at page 47934 in the FEDERAL REGISTER of November 1, 1976, and in FR Doc. 76–32221 appearing at page 48333 in the FEDERAL REGISTER of November 3, 1976, § 5.1 appearing on pages 47936–47937 and 48334 is corrected in the tenth line of that paragraph by adding the words, "to convert state-chartered institutions to national banks," immediately following the words, "to relocate offices of national banks," and immediately before the words, "to establish or acquire domestic operating subsidiaries".

Dated: December 8, 1976.

ROBERT BLOOM,
*Acting Comptroller of the Currency.*

[FR Doc.76-36564 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

---

## CHAPTER II—FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

## SUBCHAPTER A—BOARD OF GOVERNORS OF THE FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

[Reg. Z]

## PART 226—TRUTH IN LENDING

### Official Staff Interpretations

In accordance with 12 CFR 226.1(d), the Board is publishing the following official staff interpretations of Regulation Z, issued by a duly authorized official of the Division of Consumer Affairs.

Identifying details have been deleted to the extent required to prevent a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. The Board maintains and makes available for public inspection and copying a current index providing identifying information for the public subject to certain limitations stated in 12 CFR 261.6.

Official staff interpretations may be reconsidered by the Board upon request of interested parties and in accordance with 12 CFR 226.1(d)(2). Every request for reconsideration should clearly identify the number of the official staff interpretation in question, and should be addressed to the Secretary, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551.

Through an oversight, Interpretations FC–0011 through FC–0015 and FC–0025 through FC–0028 shall be effective as of December 6, 1976.

In addition, Interpretation FC–0017 was issued in the FEDERAL REGISTER with a typographical error. The error has been corrected and the letter is being reprinted. The effective date of FC–0017 was November 6, 1976.

[FC–0011]

§ 226.6(a) Asterisks may be used to make finance charge more conspicuous on computer—printed periodic statement in which all printing is of identical size and boldness.

*October 26, 1976.*

This is in reply to your letter dated * * *, asking if the manner in which your company identifies the finance charge on the periodic statement sent to its open end credit plan customers meets the "more conspicuous" requirement of § 226.6(a) of Regulation Z.

Because your company's computers are unable to print the term "finance charge" in a type size larger or bolder than other computer-generated printing, the finance charge appears as the first item in the transaction description column and a double asterisk is added immediately before and immediately after the words "finance charge." The form, thus, would appear as follows:

**finance charge" on average daily balance of $000.00.

It is the opinion of staff that such a use of asterisks complies with the provisions of § 226.6(a) requiring that the finance charge be printed more conspicuously than other required terminology. We also note that this disclosure is proper in that only the words "finance charge" are set off by asterisks.

This is an official staff interpretation of Regulation Z, issued in accordance with § 226.1(d)(3) of the Regulation. I trust that it is responsive to your inquiry.

Sincerely,

JANET HART,
*Director.*

[FC–0012]

§ 226.13(a)(2) Additional features on card issued to replace a previously accepted credit card do not prevent card from being considered "in substitution" for that card under § 226.13(a)(2).

*November 2, 1976.*

This is in response to your letter of * * *, requesting an official staff interpretation of section 132 of the Truth in Lending Act and § 226.13(a) of Regulation Z concerning the unsolicited issuance of credit cards with additional features in substitution for previously issued credit cards.

In your letter you indicated that your bank currently issues [a credit card]. In the near future, you propose to issue to all of your current cardholders a new card under [another name] in substitution for the existing [card]. The [new] card would provide the cardholder with all the features of the [old card] and, additionally, would provide a check guarantee feature not available on the [old card]. The check guarantee feature would permit a merchant who accepts [the new card] to have any check presented by a [ ] cardholder guaranteed by communicating with the bank and obtaining an authorization number. In the event that the check later was returned to the merchant unpaid for any reason, the merchant would be permitted to return the check to the bank and the bank would pay it and debit the amount to the credit card account of the customer. You have asked for an official staff interpretation as to whether the [new] card with the check guarantee feature can be issued as a substitute card to existing [card] holders without violating the prohibition on the unsolicited issuance of credit cards.

The staff is of the opinion that the fact that the [new] card proposed to be issued provides an additional feature not present on the [cards] currently held by customers does not prevent the [new] card from being considered a card issued in substitution for an accepted credit card in accordance with § 226.13(a)(2) of Regulation Z. We should point out, however, that this position is based on the assumption that the [credit card] which is currently held by your bank's customers will be taken out of circulation or voided in some manner. Otherwise, the issuance of the [new] card would be viewed as an issuance not of a substitute card but of a supplemental card which may not be issued on an unsolicited basis.

This is an official staff interpretation of Regulation Z issued in accordance with § 226.1(d)(3) and is confined to the facts as stated above. I trust that it is responsive to your inquiry.

Sincerely,

JERAULD C. KLUCKMAN,
*Assistant Director.*

[FC–0013]

§ 226.10(d) Offering free premiums for enclosing cash with magazine subscription orders, where the subscription can be freely cancelled and refund of unearned amounts is made, does not violate § 226.10(d) because no debtor/creditor relationship is contemplated and such advertisements do not promote extensions of credit.

*November 2, 1976.*

This is in reply to your letter * * * addressed to * * * of the Federal Trade Commission, which was referred to this office for response. Your company is an advertising agency specializing in circulation promotion for approximately 15 magazines.

You are concerned about the impact of Regulation Z on certain offers your clients wish to make. Specifically, you inquire whether the regulation permits certain offers to be made and, if so, whether any disclosures are required. The offers in question are as follows:

(1) Extra issues free if you (the customer) enclose cash with the order;

(2) A booklet or other premium free if you enclose cash with the order; and

(3) Save $1 if you enclose cash with the offer.

In a subsequent telephone conversation with a member of our staff, you indicated that a customer may cancel a subscription resulting from the advertisement at any time and receive a full refund of all amounts paid for issues of the magazines which are not received. In such circumstances, staff does not believe that a creditor/debtor relationship is contemplated or that the advertisement promotes an extension of credit.

In light of the above discussions, it is staff's opinion that your clients are not covered under Regulation Z for purposes of these offers. This is an official staff interpretation of Regulation Z issued in accordance with § 226.1(d). I trust this is responsive to your inquiry.

Sincerely,

JERAULD C. KLUCKMAN,
*Assistant Director.*

[FC–0014]

§ 226.7(a)(4) Disclosure of multiple periodic rates in credit union master open end credit agreement where rates will vary depending upon type of collateral given for each advance—example.

*November 3, 1976.*

This is in response to your letter of * * *, in which you requested an official staff interpretation of Regulation Z § 226.7(a)(4) concerning the disclosure of multiple periodic rates and their corresponding annual percentage rates in connection with open end credit plans offered by certain credit unions.

In your letter, you indicated that credit unions operate open end credit plans under which customers may receive extensions of credit from time to time. According to the terms of the plan described in your letter, each new extension of credit must be secured by some form of collateral and the annual percentage rate that will be applicable to a given loan depends on the type of collateral offered as security.

In your letter you asked whether the following disclosure of the various periodic rates and their corresponding annual percentage rates would suffice for the purposes of § 226.7(a)(4):

> I promise to pay at your address, all sums advanced from time to time on loan accounts under this open end secured loan agreement plus Finance Charge (interest) at the periodic rate at a corresponding Annual Percentage of _____% per _____ which is Rate of _____% for _____ loans, except that the Finance Charge (interest) at the periodic rate of _____% per _____ which is at a corresponding Annual Percentage rate of _____%, for _____ loans; and the periodic rate of _____ per _____ which is at a corresponding Annual Percentage rate of _____% for _____ loans.

Staff feels that it should be noted that it has a policy of not reviewing forms and that § 226.1(d)(3) specifies that the staff has no authority to issue official staff interpretations approving creditors' forms. The question you have raised, however, concerns the sufficiency of a specific disclosure, and staff feels that it is proper within its authority to provide an official comment on the sufficiency of the disclosure.

Staff is of the opinion that the disclosure quoted above gives all the necessary information required under § 226.7(a)(4) but that there are both form and grammatical problems involved in this disclosure making it likely that a consumer might be confused as to its meaning. Staff suggests that the disclosure of the periodic and annual percentage rates for purposes of § 226.7(a)(4) might be revised and clarified to read as follows:

> For loans secured by _____ the periodic rate is _____ per cent per _____ which corresponds to an Annual Percentage Rate of _____ per cent.
>
> For loans secured by _____ the periodic rate is _____ per cent per _____ which corresponds to an Annual Percentage Rate of _____ per cent.

Staff believes that this disclosure, while not providing any information not supplied in your proposed disclosure, is less susceptible to confusion. Of course, to assure full compliance, you should make sure that the above disclosure or any other disclosure you choose to give meets the requirements of § 226.6(a) regarding conspicuousness, sequence, terminology, and size of type.

In closing, I would also like to add that this letter is in no way intended to express any opinion on any other aspect of the plan which you have established. Our opinion is based on the assumption that the plan is a valid open end credit plan, that all other disclosures are made as required by the Act, and that the terms of the plan are as you have disclosed in your letter.

This is an official staff interpretation of Regulation Z issued in accordance with § 226.1(d)(3) of the Regulation. I trust that it is responsive to your inquiry.

Sincerely,

JERAULD C. KLUCKMAN,
*Assistant Director.*

[FC–0015]

§ 226.6(j) Increase in periodic rate to prior level following previously disclosed reduction for leap year must be treated as change in terms subject to § 7(f), but disclosure of prior reduction was not required pursuant to § 6(j).

§ 226.7(f) Increase in periodic rate to prior level following previously disclosed reduction for leap year must be treated as change in terms subject to § 7(f), but disclosure of prior reduction was not required pursuant to § 6(j).

*October 12, 1976.*

This is in response to your letter of * * *, in which you requested an official staff interpretation regarding the necessity to disclose a change in the terms of an open end credit plan where the change in terms involves rate changes caused by leap year.

In your letter you indicated that your client operates an open end credit plan. The initial § 226.7(a) disclosure statement used in 1975 in connection with this plan disclosed a daily periodic rate of .03150 per cent and an annual percentage rate of 11.5 per cent. During leap year 1976 the bank reduced this daily periodic rate to .03142 per cent to take account of the extra day in the year and disclosed this reduced rate on the § 226.7(b) periodic monthly statements. You have indicated that for 1977 the bank intends to increase the daily periodic rate back to the 1975 rate, and you have questioned whether the bank is required under § 226.7(f) to notify customers of the increase of the daily periodic rate back to the amount originally disclosed on the § 226.7(a) initial disclosure statement.

Staff is of the opinion that, in view of the fact that the lower leap year periodic rate has been disclosed to consumers, the subsequent rise in the daily periodic rate to the 1975 level constitutes a change in terms and must be disclosed in accordance with § 226.7(f).

Staff feels that it should be noted that § 226.6(j) provides that variances in disclosures which occur by reason of the additional day in leap year may be disregarded and the term may be disclosed or stated without regard to the variance. In our telephone conversation, you indicated that your client disclosed the lower daily periodic rate because that rate had to be reduced to remain in compliance with the usury laws. Staff is of the opinion that the meaning of § 226.6(j) is that your client could have internally reduced the daily periodic rate to .03142 per cent in order to remain in compliance with the usury laws but could have continued to disclose the higher daily periodic rate without notifying consumers of the internal change. However, due to the fact that the lower rate was disclosed to your client's customers, the return to the 1975 daily periodic rate constitutes a change in the disclosed terms, and the customers must be notified of this change in accordance with § 226.7(f).

This is an official staff interpretation issued in accordance with § 226.1(d)(3) of Regulation Z and is limited to the facts as stated above. I trust that it is responsive to your inquiry.

Sincerely,

JERAULD C. KLUCKMAN,
*Assistant Director.*

[FC–0016]

§ 226.7(k) Transactions with corporate subsidiaries of the card issuer when those subsidiaries operate under completely different names from the card issuer and billing is only in the name of the card issuer, may be described in three party, and not two party manner. The companies would not be considered the same or related person for billing purposes.

§ 226.13(h) State law controls as to whether a card issuer and business card holder must renegotiate a contract setting liability limits higher than $50, entered into prior to effective date of § 135 of the Act.

§ 226.13(h) This section may apply when, for its own reasons, a business actually provides less than 10 cards to less than 10 of its employees. However, a situation in which the section is invoked and the business clearly is not in a position to provide 10 or more cards to 10 or more employees may be an attempt to circumvent or evade the law.

*November 9, 1976.*

This is in response to your letters of * * * and * * * raising several questions under Regulation Z. To the extent possible, I will attempt to answer the questions in the order presented in the September 2 letter.

1. Your first question is whether a card issuer and a business who, prior to the effective date of § 135 of the Act, entered into a special agreement limiting the liability of the business in the event of unauthorized use of any of its credit cards are compelled by § 135 of the Act and § 226.13(h) of the Regulation to enter into a new contract to avoid the liability limits of § 226.13(b). As we understand it, the facts underlying your question can be exemplified as follows:

On a date prior to October 28, 1974, Card Issuer entered into a contract with Business for issuance of 10 or more cards to Business' employees to be used, at least in part, for business purposes. This contract limited Business' liability for unauthorized use of any of the cards so issued to $100, rather than $50 as specified by § 133 of the Act and § 226.13(b) of Regulation Z.

It is staff's view that, until the Act was amended by the addition of § 135, this contract was unenforceable as a matter of Federal law. We assume you agree.

Your question is whether, now that the law permits such an agreement by virtue of the addition of § 135 of the Act and § 226.13(h) of Regulation Z, a new contract must be entered into in order to avoid the $50 limit and use the $100 liability limit in its place. It is staff's opinion that such a contract is presently unobjectionable from the standpoint of the Federal Act and Regulation Z, so long as all the criteria or § 226.13(h) are met.

However, this situation may raise a serious question under local law, such as whether

such a contract is void ab initio, because it contravened prevailing law when entered into and, thus, could be viewed as forever of no force and effect. Staff ventures no opinion with respect to local law in your jurisdiction.

2. Your second question concerns the applicability of § 226.13(h) to situations in which a card issuer may issue 10 or more credit cards to a business which, for its own reasons, issues all or some of the cards to fewer than 10 employees. Your concern is that § 135 of the Act, in your view, appears to permit differing contractual liability only when the cards are actually provided to 10 or more employees. It is this staff's view that § 226.13(h) was written on the general assumption that the 10 or more cards issued to a business will, indeed, be provided to 10 or more employees of that business. However, the Regulation takes into account the fact that there may be instances in which the business may, for its own reasons, have fewer than 10 employees holding the cards. For example, an employee may terminate employment and surrender his or her card, thus bringing the number of employees holding the cards to fewer than 10. It is staff's opinion that in such cases it was not intended by Congress or the Board that the contract setting special liability limits between the card issuer and the business should be abrogated.

However, staff is of the opinion that were a card issuer to issue 10 cards to a business with fewer than 10 employees likely to hold the card and no plans to increase the size of its staff (for example, where the business consists of only 3 employees), any attempt to invoke the provisions of § 226.13(h) could be viewed as an attempt to circumvent or evade the Act and Regulation.

3. Your final question is with respect to the identification of transactions requirements of § 226.7(k). The card issuer, an airline company, has a hotel chain and a restaurant chain as wholly owned subsidiaries. The credit card, issued in the name of the airline company, may be used to purchase goods and services at the hotels and restaurants of these subsidiaries. The names of the airline, hotel, and restaurant companies are all different from each other. You ask whether transactions with these hotels and restaurants may be identified by the name and address of the establishment providing the goods and services or whether they should be identified with reference to the particular goods or services purchased.

In staff's view, the situation you describe does not result in the hotels and restaurants being "the same person or related persons" in relation to the airline company who issued the credit card as was contemplated by § 226.7(k)(2)(i). The basic purpose of these recent amendments is to require identification of transactions in a manner which will assist the customer in recalling a charge made. One of the prime factors underlying development of these regulations was a concern for how the customer views the transaction. In situations such as yours, where the card issuer and the corporate subsidiary operate under entirely different names and the periodic statement is sent in the name of the card issuer alone, staff views it as highly unlikely that any but the most sophisticated of customers would know of the corporate connection between the card issuer and the subsidiary. In such situations staff views it as most beneficial and, therefore, permissible to identify such transactions by providing the amount and date of each transaction and the seller's name and city-state address.

This is an official staff interpretation of Regulation Z issued under § 226.1(d)(3) and limited to the facts enumerated above. I trust it responsive to your inquiry.

Sincerely,

JERAULD C. KLUCKMAN,
*Assistant Director.*

[FC–0025]

§ 226.8(b)(3) Suggested form of disclosure of mortgage guarantee insurance premiums in accordance with § 226.808. Supplements FC–0003.

*November 23, 1976.*

This is in response to your letter of * * *, in which you requested a staff opinion concerning the disclosure of mortgage guarantee insurance premiums under Regulation Z § 226.8(b)(3). Staff has recently issued official staff Interpretation FC–0003 (copy enclosed) stating that mortgage guarantee insurance premiums should be included in computing the amount of each payment for disclosure under § 226.8(b)(3) and that the method of disclosure outlined in Interpretation § 226.808 may be used where the insurance premiums vary from month to month.

In response to the questions raised by you and a number of writers regarding the specifics of such disclosures, staff believes it would be appropriate to disclose the constant amount of the payment composed of principal and finance charge, exclusive of mortgage insurance premiums, with an identification of the components of that amount. You should also disclose with an appropriate identification the amount of the mortgage insurance premium which would be added to the first and last payments for which the premium is required. In those cases, in which mortgage insurance is not required for the entire life of the loan, it would be appropriate to designate which payment is the last one involving a premium for the mortgage guarantee insurance and indicate that all remaining payments are composed only of the constant amount already disclosed.

I apologize for our delay in responding and hope that it has not seriously inconvenienced you. This is an official staff interpretation of Regulation Z issued in accordance with § 226.1(d)(3). It is intended to be read in conjunction with official staff Interpretation FC–0003.

I trust that this is responsive to your inquiry.

Sincerely,

JERAULD C. KLUCKMAN,
*Assistant Director.*

[FC–0026]

§ 226.8(d) Footnote 11 to § 226.8(d)(3), exempting certain interim student loans from the disclosures required by that paragraph, applies to State-guaranteed interim loans to students not qualifying for Federal interest subsidies.

§ 226.809 Footnote 11 to § 226.8(d)(3), exempting certain interim student loans from the disclosures required by that paragraph, applies to State-guaranteed interim loans to students not qualifying for Federal interest subsidies.

*November 23, 1976.*

This is in response to your letter of * * *, regarding the effect of Regulation Z of the Truth in Lending Act on a student loan program.

Your client, a bank, is a participating lender in a student loan program under which a State agency guarantees repayment of loans to lenders in the event of default. Those students who do not qualify for Federal interest payment benefits pursuant to the Federal Higher Education Act pay quarterly interest to the bank during the interim or in-school period of the loan. Thus, at the time an interim loan is consummated in such cases, the bank knows the amounts and the due dates of the interest payments required of students not qualifying for the Federal interest benefits. You ask whether, in this situation, the bank must disclose the finance charge on the interim note or whether the bank still qualifies for the exception provided by footnote 11 to § 226.8(d)(3).

Footnote 11 permits lenders to omit the disclosures required by § 226.8(d)(3) on certain interim student loans made pursuant to federally insured student programs. Interpretation § 226.809 makes it clear that this exception also applies to "other student loans of the same type, including those made to students under federally supported loan programs or programs of loan guarantee." In staff's opinion, the interim loans made pursuant to the program you describe represent interim student loans of the type contemplated by the footnote and the interpretation. Thus, the bank need not make the disclosures called for by § 226.8(d)(3) at the time the interim loans are consummated.

You also ask whether the bank is required to disclose as a prepaid finance charge the fee which the State agency requires the bank to collect from the student at the time of the interim loan closing. It is our understanding that this fee, which equals 1 percent of the interim loan amount, is placed in a State fund which is used to reimburse lenders for defaulted loans under the State's guarantee program. Thus, the fee is apparently a loan guarantee premium of the type described in § 226.4(a)(7). Since this is a portion of the finance charge which is paid at the time of consummation of the interim loan, it constitutes a prepaid finance charge. With regard to the interim loan disclosures, the amount of the fee must be disclosed pursuant to § 226.8(d)(2) and deducted from the amount of loan proceeds in determining the amount financed under § 226.8(d)(1).

This is an official staff interpretation of Regulation Z issued pursuant to § 226.1(d)(3) of the Regulation and relates solely to the facts and issues presented. We trust that it will be of assistance to you.

Sincerely,

JERAULD C. KLUCKMAN,
*Assistant Director.*

[FC–0027]

§ 226.7(k) Creditor who substitutes debiting date and voucher number under 7(k)(7)(i)(A) has not made an erroneous billing. Creditor who uses 7(k)(7)(i)(B) option and does not make substitutions has made an erroneous billing.

*November 23, 1976.*

This is in reply to your letter of * * *, raising questions under § 226.7(k) of Regulation Z. Your question is whether a creditor who operates consistent with § 226.7(k)(7)(i)(A) during the October 28, 1976, through October 28, 1977, transition period must treat any description rendered thereunder as a billing error and erroneous billing.

Specifically, that section permits a creditor to substitute the debiting date for the date otherwise required by the regulation when, due to operational limitations, the primarily required date is not available for purposes of billing. Further, this section permits the creditor to substitute a voucher number for the merchant's name and city/state address or the description of the property or services, as applicable, when, due to operational

limitations, the primarily required information is not available for purposes of billing. You ask whether doing so under clause (A) results in an erroneous billing.

In staff's view, a creditor who has made the substitutions permitted in clause (A) would not be considered to have made an "erroneous billing" under § 226.14(b). Of course, a customer may at any time inquire as to matters which appear on a periodic statement and the creditor would be obligated to respond within the time period set forth in § 226.14(a). However, if the creditor has made the proper substitutions under § 226.7(k)(7)(i)(A) the description of the transaction would not be considered erroneous.

This is in contrast to § 226.7(k)(7)(i)(B) in which the creditor is permitted, during the transition period, to omit information which is not available. In such a case any inquiry as to the identification of the transaction should be answered as a billing error. Further, the absence of the information should be considered an erroneous billing and documentary evidence of the charge must be provided to the customer.

I trust this is responsive to your inquiry. This is an official staff interpretation of Regulation Z issued under § 226.1(d)(3) and limited in its application to the facts set forth above.

Sincerely,

JERAULD C. KLUCKMAN,
*Assistant Director.*

[FC-0028]

§ 226.7(k) Creditor may freely substitute debiting date for other required date for foreign transactions. Such a disclosure would not be an erroneous billing. Other information required to be disclosed may be omitted and the lack thereof treated as billing error and erroneous billing upon customer inquiry. Creditor who substitutes voucher number for description of goods and services or merchant's name and address during transition period (October 28, 1976–October 28, 1977) has not made erroneous billing.

*November 23, 1976.*

This is in reply to your letter of * * *, in which you raise questions under § 226.7(k) of Regulation Z regarding identifying transactions on open end credit account periodic statements.

Your first question is whether under § 226.7(k)(5), disclosure of the date of debiting a foreign transaction to an account triggers the billing error procedure under § 226.7(k)(4) or whether disclosure of the debiting date is all the regulation requires. It is staff's opinion that § 226.7(k)(5)(i) was intended to specifically permit the use of the debiting date in place of the date which would otherwise be required under the regulation. The comments received indicated that significant difficulty could be expected, because of differences in customs, language and law of foreign countries, in retrieving and disclosing the primary information required by § 226.7(k). This justified, in our opinion, the different regulatory treatment of foreign transactions.

A customer may, of course, submit a proper written notification of a billing error for any such transaction under the procedures of § 226.14(a) and the creditor would be obligated to respond. However, providing such a date would not constitute an erroneous billing for which finance charges would have to be forgiven under § 226.14(b).

Section 226.7(k)(5)(ii) provides that the procedures provided in § 226.7(k)(4) apply to foreign transactions whether or not a creditor has adequate procedures to capture the primary identifying information. In staff's view, this provision does not qualify the right of a creditor to provide the debiting date instead of the date otherwise required, as permitted in § 226.7(k)(5)(i), as discussed above. That specific provision of § 226.7(k)(5)(i) regarding the data is controlling in this situation, overriding any of the more general provisions of § 226.7(k)(1), (2), (3) or (4). It is expected that creditors, in order to avoid excessive numbers of billing error inquiries, would attempt to adequately identify foreign transactions in some reasonable manner, possibly in the manner prescribed by § 226.7(k) generally. However, realizing the difficulty, if not impossibility, of developing adequate procedures to capture the information required by this law in such cases, the Board provided that the debiting date may always be substituted for the date otherwise required. Further, with regard to any other required information, the creditor may make the adjustments permitted in § 226.7(k)(4) and treat any inquiry as a billing error notice and the absence of the information as an erroneous billing and provide documentary evidence of the charge, even though the creditor may not have adequate procedures in place to capture the primarily required information in the first instance.

You also ask whether a creditor who, under § 226.7(k)(7)(i)(A), substitutes the voucher number for the merchant's name and address or for the description of goods or services purchased, as applicable, during the transition period between October 28, 1976, and October 28, 1977, has complied with the regulation. In staff's view, the regulation permits a creditor to freely make such a substitution if operational limitations prevent making the primarily required disclosures. A creditor who makes such a substitution remains obligated to respond to any inquiry regarding the transaction under the error resolution procedures of § 226.14 but such an identification, properly made, would not be considered an erroneous billing under § 226.14(b).

This is an official staff interpretation of Regulation Z issued pursuant to § 226.1(d)(3) and limited in its application to the facts outlined herein. I trust it is responsive to your inquiry.

Sincerely,

JERAULD C. KLUCKMAN,
*Assistant Director.*

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, December 3, 1976.

GRIFFITH L. GARWOOD,
*Deputy Secretary of the Board.*

[FR Doc. 76-36640 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

[R-0065]

## PART 265—RULES REGARDING DELEGATION OF AUTHORITY

### Specific Functions Delegated to Board Employees and Federal Reserve Banks

The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System has amended its Rules Regarding Delegation of Authority to delegate to the General Counsel of the Board the authority to make certifications (prior and final) for Federal tax purposes with respect to distributions and divestitures pursuant to the Bank Holding Company Act Amendments of 1970.

The provisions of 5 U.S.C. 553, relating to notice and public participation and deferred effective date, are not followed in connection with the adoption of this amendment because the rule involved relates solely to matters of agency procedure and practice, and does not constitute a substantive rule subject to the requirements of such section.

Effective December 6, 1976, 12 CFR Part 265 is amended by revising § 265.2(b)(3) to read as follows:

**§ 265.2 Specific functions delegated to Board employees and to Federal Reserve Banks.**

* * * * *

(b) The General Counsel of the Board (or, in the General Counsel's absence, the Acting General Counsel) is authorized: * * *

(3) Under the provisions of sections 1101–1103 and section 6158 of the Internal Revenue Code (26 U.S.C. 1101–1103 and 6158) to make certifications (prior and final) for Federal tax purposes with respect to distributions and divestitures pursuant to the Bank Holding Company Act.

* * * * *

By order of the Board of Governors, effective December 6, 1976.

THEODORE E. ALLISON,
*Secretary of the Board.*

[FR Doc.76-36652 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

## Title 14—Aeronautics and Space

## CHAPTER II—CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

### SUBCHAPTER D—SPECIAL REGULATIONS

[Docket No. 28586; Regulation SPR-116, Amendment 12]

## PART 373—STUDY GROUP CHARTERS BY DIRECT AIR CARRIERS AND STUDY GROUP CHARTERERS

### Amendment of Rules Applicable To Educational Institutions

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C. December 8, 1976.

Effective: January 13, 1977.

By SPDR-46, 41 FR 29426 (July 16, 1976) the Civil Aeronautics Board proposed various amendments to its Study Group Charter regulations, set forth in Part 373 of its Special Regulations (14 CFR Part 373). The proposed amendments would allow educational institutions (as defined in § 373.2) to operate study group charters (SGCs) where the charter price to each participant does not include return transportation, meals, or sleeping accommodations. Such charters would be authorized only in connection with academic programs lasting a minimum of 70 days abroad, and only where the sponsoring educational institution submitted to the Board a statement, signed by its president, certifying that the sponsoring institution assumes the responsibility of ensuring that participants are in fact provided with meals, sleeping accommodations, and return air transportation until a specified date, not earlier than 2 weeks after the end of the study-abroad program.

The Board also proposed an amendment that would allow the transportation of a married participant's "immediate family" on certain study group charters, and an amendment that would

remove, in certain circumstances, the requirement that participants prefile itineraries for independent travel. Comments supporting the proposal were filed by the University of Wisconsin, the University of Delaware, Stanford University, Goshen College, Pomona College, Middlebury College, the National Association for Foreign Student Affairs, Indiana University Southeast, The University of Colorado, the School for International Training, Capitol International Airways, Inc. (Capitol), Pan American World Airways, Inc., the Department of Health, Education and Welfare's Office of Consumer Affairs (HEW), and the Civil Aeronautics Board's Office of the Consumer Advocate (OCA). Trans World Airlines, Inc. (TWA) filed a comment opposing the proposed rules.

The Board has decided to adopt the proposed rules, and except as modified herein, the Board adopts as final its tentative findings and conclusions set forth in SPDR-46.

Although it supported the Board's proposal, Capitol urged that the Board further amend the SGC rule by extending to commercial SGC operators the same privileges enjoyed by educational institutions. Capitol argued, inter alia, that "in contrast to the flexible concepts found elsewhere," the SGC rule "is one of the most restrictive of the Board's charter regulations * * *," and that the young people of the United States do not need "paternalistic charter rules" to structure their travel abroad. Capitol also argued that the requirement that student participants pre-file itineraries for independent travel should be totally eliminated, because once abroad students cannot be prevented from deviating from such itineraries.

As explained in SPR-46,[1] when it established the SGC rule the Board was primarily concerned with the financial stability of commercial SGC operators. These operators are required to meet certain financial security requirements, and various classroom and reporting requirements, from which educational institutions have been excepted. Capitol's comment adduced no good reason for the Board to abandon its policy of imposing different requirements on bona fide educational institutions than on commercial SGC operators. Although Capitol characterized the Board's attitude toward student participants as "paternalistic," Capitol failed to set forth any evidence that the teenagers and others who currently participate in commercial SGCs would benefit from the elimination of provisions designed to prevent strandings abroad and other similar hardships. Consequently, the Board finds that it would not be in the public interest to adopt amendments extending to commercial SGC operators the same flexibility allowed to educational institutions in the operation of SGCs.

However, the Board finds merit in Capitol's argument regarding the unenforceability of the requirement that students adhere to pre-filed itineraries during periods of independent travel. That requirement appears to be unenforceable regardless of the type of study-abroad program involved. Therefore, the Board has decided to eliminate it.

HEW and OCA argued that the final rule should specify more precisely the procedures by which educational institutions must certify both their financial responsibility for participants abroad, and that participants have sufficient funds to meet their needs abroad.

The Board is of the opinion that the final rules need not be more specific than the proposed rules, which would require a statement, signed by the president of the sponsoring institution, certifying that the institution assumes the responsibility of ensuring that participants are in fact provided with meals, sleeping accommodations and return air transportation for a period ending not earlier than 2 weeks after the end of the study abroad program. Although HEW expressed concern that the aforementioned statement not be an empty gesture, neither OCA or HEW stated why they thought that more specificity was necessary, or what problems might arise if the rule were adopted as proposed.

In SPDR-46, the Board proposed that the filing of the subject statement be a prerequisite for the operation of SGCs with the features discussed herein. That requirement is thus one of the most important provisions of the proposal, and its importance is emphasized by the requirement that it be signed by the president of the institution. If any educational institution should wish to avail itself of the special provisions being adopted herein, in lieu of the normal provisions which have been designed as prepaid round-trip study group "packages" in order to avoid strandings and other hardships to students without funds, then the Board would fully expect that institution to strictly honor its commitment to avoid all such hardships as may arise during the period of its written undertaking. This being the case, the Board is of the opinion that it is not necessary to prescribe the precise language of the subject statement, or to prescribe procedures that must be used by educational institutions in meeting the commitments contained therein.

OCA also contended that without guaranteed and prepaid return air transportation the possibility of strandings increases, and that such occurrences would be less likely if the final rule required that all SGC solicitation materials include a list of one-way scheduled air fares from major European capitals to New York, Los Angeles, and Chicago, in order to give participants notice of the approximate cost of their return travel. The Board is not persuaded that the public interest would be served by such a requirement. Fare information is readily available to participants, and would be of limited value in preventing strandings. The final rule requires that all solicitation material clearly state whether the charter price includes return transportation, and the Board is of the opinion that such notice, coupled with the sponsoring institution's responsibility to provide return air transportation until a specified date, will provide adequate protection against strandings abroad.

Regarding the proposed requirement that each sponsoring institution certify its responsibility for a period ending not less than 2 weeks after the end of the study-abroad program, OCA stated that certain surveys[2] indicate that U.S. residents who travel abroad remain there an average of 3½-4 weeks, and argued that therefore educational institutions should be required to certify their responsibility for students abroad for a period of not less than 4 weeks after the end of the study-abroad program.

It is not clear that the studies cited by OCA relating to general tourist behavior have any predictive value with respect to the special case of students who have been living abroad for at least 70 days prior to the end of the study-abroad program. The purpose of extending an institution's financial responsibility for a time period after the end of the program is to prevent strandings during a reasonable period of time for independent travel abroad. Two weeks was the period of time suggested by petitioners and proposed by the Board. OCA's argument, based on a dissimilar fact situation, is insufficient to persuade the Board that 2 weeks is unreasonable under the circumstances. The final rule therefore requires that the sponsoring educational institution certify its responsibility to ensure that participants are provided with meals, sleeping accommodations and return air transportation until a date certain not less than 2 weeks after the end of the study-abroad program.

TWA argued that the proposed amendments would remove all of the "essential and material" elements of the SGC regulations, and that instead of adopting the proposed amendments, the Board should simply eliminate the SGC rule entirely. Educational institutions, TWA stated, could operate Advance Booking Charters (ABCs) which would meet their needs. However, educational institutions can operate SGCs without being subject to the financial security requirements imposed on ABC operators, they can sell SGCs until the day of departure, and as a result of the present amendments can operate SGCs on a one-way basis. The ABC rule does not authorize the operation of ABCs with such features. Therefore, the Board finds that it would not be in the public interest to eliminate the SGC rule and thereby force educational institutions to operate charters under regulations less suited for providing low cost air transportation in conjunction with study-abroad programs.

Pan American argued that permitting a participant's immediate family to be

[1] Adopted March 30, 1971, 36 FR 6677.

[2] Etienne H. Miller, "International Travel and Passenger Fares: 1975," Survey of Current Business, July 15, 1976, The Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development, Tourism Policy and International Tourism in OCED Member Countries, OCED: Paris, 1975.

transported as a member of an SGC group would divert revenues from scheduled service and that it should therefore not be allowed. The Board finds this argument speculative and unpersuasive. The overall effect of these amendments may actually be to increase scheduled service revenues, since the number of students returning to the U.S. on scheduled service will probably increase. The Board has therefore decided to adopt its proposal to allow a participant's immediate family to be transported as a member of a charter group.

The Board is also taking this occasion to issue two interpretative amendments to the SGC rule. The first defines "itinerary" as was done in the One-stop-inclusive Tour Charter and Inclusive Tour Charter rules (14 CFR Parts 378a and 378, respectively) by SPR–102 and SPR–103, 41 FR 20160 (May 17, 1976). In addition, as proposed in SPDR–46, the Board is amending the definition of "study group charter" to explicitly state that such charters must normally be operated on a round-trip basis.

Finally, in accordance with its proposal, the Board is adopting a new § 373.10a, collecting all of the provisions applicable to SGCs operated by educational institutions.

All comments not discussed by the Board herein have been considered and are found to be without merit.

In light of the foregoing, the Civil Aeronautics Board hereby amends Part 373 of its Special Regulations (14 CFR Part 373), effective January 13, 1977, as set forth below.

1. The table of contents is amended to read as follows:

* * * * *

**Subpart B—Conditions and Limitations**

Sec.
373.10 Study group statement.
373.10a Study group charters conducted by educational institutions.
373.11 Discrimination.

* * * * *

**§ 373.2 [Amended]**

2. Section 373.2, Definitions, is amended as follows:

a. A new definition of "immediate family" is added, in proper alphabetical sequence, to read:

"Immediate family" means persons who are living in the same household as the student participant, and who are either married to or minor dependents of the student participant.

b. A new definition of "itinerary" is added, in proper alphabetical sequence, to read:

"Itinerary" means all the components of a tour package, as described in the tour prospectus, including not only the points named therein but also all hotels, and other ground accommodations and services described therein.

c. The definition of "independent travel" is amended by inserting the phrase "except as provided in § 373.10a" after the words "*Provided, however,* That," by revoking subparagraph (ii), and by renumbering subparagraph (iii) as subparagraph (ii), to read as follows:

(ii) "Independent travel" means travel abroad by student participants, at their own expense, during any period of travel not in the charterer's itinerary: *Provided, however,* That, except as provided in § 373.10a, the study group charterer shall (i) not allow such independent travel to any student participant under 18 years of age, unless said student is over 17 years of age and has completed at least 1 year (two semesters) of college; and (ii) undertake to provide financial or other assistance (subject to reimbursement), where necessary to assure that student participants are not without food, shelter, or other necessities during any period of independent travel, and that they may be able to board the return charter flight: *And provided further,* That the aggregate duration of any period or periods of independent travel provided in connection with each study group charter program shall not exceed one-half of the aggregate duration of the period or periods of formal academic study furnished in connection therewith, and shall not receive substantial emphasis in the study group charter's promotional materials.

d. The definition of "study group charter" is revised to read:

"Study group charter" means a charter by a study group charterer, or, with respect to study groups which originate in a foreign country, by a foreign study group charterer, for the carriage on a direct air carrier of persons traveling in air transportation as a study group, and which, except as provided in § 373.10a, meets all of the following requirements:

(1) The group qualifies as a study group as defined herein.

(2) A minimum of 4 weeks must elapse between departure and return.

(3) The charter must be on a round-trip basis.

(4) An aircraft under charter to one study group charterer may carry any number of study groups: *Provided,* That if more than one group is carried, the charter contract for each of the groups shall be for 40 or more seats.

(5) Except for periods of independent travel, as defined in this section, the price to each participant shall include at a minimum, at least two meals per day, and all sleeping accommodations, and necessary air or surface transportation between all places on the itinerary, including transportation to and from air and surface carrier terminals utilized at such places other than the point of origin, and tuition.

(6) The promotional materials distributed by a study group charterer which offers a period or periods of independent travel, as defined in this section, shall clearly indicate that the price of the study group program, as quoted to the student participant, does not cover the provision of any services or facilities during or in connection with independent travel.

e. The proviso in the definition of "educational institution" in § 373.2 is deleted so that the definition reads as follows:

"Educational institution" means a bona fide school or college which * * * (6) is so constituted in the utilization of capital, physical plant and personnel as to be primarily engaged in the educational process when viewed against all other activities or businesses.

3. Section 373.10 is amended by inserting the phrase "Except as provided in § 373.10a" at the beginning of paragraph (b) (1), and by deleting the proviso at the end of the section, to read as follows:

**§ 373.10 Study group statement.**

* * * * *

(b) (1) Except as provided in § 373.10a, no study group charter shall be operated * * *

* * * * *

(8) Samples of the solicitation material proposed by the study group charterer (all advertising and solicitation materials employed by the study group charterer shall state the name of the direct air carrier to be utilized).

4. A new § 373.10a is added immediately following § 373.10, to read as follows:

**§ 373.10a Study group charters conducted by educational institutions.**

The provisions of this section apply only to an educational institution that submits to the Board (Supplementary Services Division, Bureau of Operating Rights) a statement, signed by its president, certifying that it meets the definition of "educational institution" set forth in § 373.2.

(a) An educational institution conducting a study group charter need not comply with the requirements of § 373.10(b), 373.10(c) or 373.15.

(b) The following provisions apply to study group charters conducted by an educational institution in connection with an academic study-abroad program lasting at least 70 days:

(1) A student participant's immediate family may be transported as part of the study group.

(2) The charter price to each participant need not include return transportation, meals, or sleeping accommodations if, prior to departure, the sponsoring educational institution submits to the Board (Supplementary Service Division, Bureau of Operating Rights) a statement, signed by its president, certifying that the sponsoring institution assumes the responsibility of ensuring that participants are in fact provided with meals, sleeping accommodations, and return transportation until a specified date certain, not earlier than 2 weeks after the end of the study-abroad program.

(3) Promotional materials distributed by an educational institution conducting study group charters shall conform to the requirements set forth in the definition of "study group charter" in § 373.2, and in addition shall clearly indicate whether return transportation, meals, or sleeping accommodations are included in the charter price. Unless return transportation, meals, and sleeping accommodations are included in the charter price, the promotional materials shall clearly indicate a date certain (not earlier than 2 weeks after the ending date of the

study-abroad program) on which the responsibility of the sponsoring institution to ensure that participants abroad are provided with meals, sleeping accommodations, and return transportation ceases.

5. Section 373.15, *Surety Bond*, is amended by inserting the phrase "or in § 373.10a," in paragraph (b), and by deleting the proviso at the end of the section, to read as follows:

§ 373.15 Surety bond.

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, or in § 373.10a, the study group charterer shall * * *

* * * * *

(d) The bond required by this section shall provide that unless the student participant files a claim with the study group charterer, or, if he is unavailable, with the surety, within sixty (60) days after termination of the study group charter, the surety shall be released from all liability under the bond to such student participant. The contract between the study group charterer and the student participant shall contain notice of this provision.

(Sec. 101, 204, 401 and 402, Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended (72 Stat. 737, 743, 754, and 757) (49 U.S.C. 1301, 1324, 1371, and 1372).)

By the Civil Aeronautics Board:

JAMES R. DERSTINE,
*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc.76-36717 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

---

SUBCHAPTER E—ORGANIZATION REGULATIONS

[Reg. OR-104, Amdt. 50]

## PART 385—DELEGATION AND REVIEW OF ACTION UNDER DELEGATION; NON-HEARING MATTERS

### Delegation To Associate General Counsel, Rules, To Extend Time to Answer Petitions for Rulemaking

*Correction*

In FR Doc. 76-34271, appearing on page 51033 in the issue of Friday, November 19, 1976, the bracket number in the heading should read as set forth above.

---

Title 16—Commercial Practices

## CHAPTER I—FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

SUBCHAPTER A—ORGANIZATION, PROCEDURES AND RULES OF PRACTICE

## PART 0—ORGANIZATION

## PART 5—STANDARDS OF CONDUCT

### Organization; Standards of Conduct

Pursuant to the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. 552 (a)(1)(A), and in consideration of the recommendations of the Administrative Conference of the United States published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on July 19, 1976, (41 FR 29653, 1 CFR 305.76-2), for strengthening the information and notice-giving functions of the FEDERAL REGISTER, the Commission announces the following amendments to 16 CFR Chapter I, effective December 14, 1976. The Standards of Conduct now appearing under Part 0 are being transferred to Part 5 and renumbered, and the organization of the Commission is being codified under Part 0.

1. The Title of Subchapter A of Chapter I of 16 CFR is amended to read as set forth above:

2. Part 0 of 16 CFR is redesignated as Part 5 and renumbered as follows:

**Subpart A—General Provisions**

| Old part number: | *New part number* |
|---|---|
| 0.735-1 | 5.1 |
| 0.735-2 | 5.2 |
| 0.735-3 | 5.3 |
| 0.735-4 | 5.4 |
| 0.735-5 | 5.5 |
| 0.735-6 | 5.6 |
| 0.735-7 | 5.7 |
| 0.735-8 | 5.8 |

**Subpart B—Ethical and Other Conduct and Responsibilities of Employees**

| | |
|---|---|
| 0.735-10 | 5.10 |
| 0.735-11 | 5.11 |
| 0.735-12 | 5.12 |
| 0.735-13 | 5.13 |
| 0.735-14 | 5.14 |
| 0.735-15 | 5.15 |
| 0.735-16 | 5.16 |
| 0.735-17 | 5.17 |
| 0.735-18 | 5.18 |

**Subpart C—Ethical and Other Conduct and Responsibilities of Special Government Employees**

| | |
|---|---|
| 0.735-21 | 5.21 |
| 0.735-22 | 5.22 |
| 0.735-23 | 5.23 |
| 0.735-24 | 5.24 |
| 0.735-25 | 5.25 |
| 0.735-26 | 5.26 |

**Subpart D—Statements of Employment and Financial Interests**

| | |
|---|---|
| 0.735-31 | 5.31 |
| 0.735-32 | 5.32 |
| 0.735-33 | 5.33 |
| 0.735-34 | 5.34 |
| 0.735-35 | 5.35 |
| 0.735-36 | 5.36 |
| 0.735-37 | 5.37 |
| 0.735-38 | 5.38 |
| 0.735-39 | 5.39 |
| 0.735-40 | 5.40 |
| 0.735-41 | 5.41 |
| 0.735-42 | 5.42 |

**Subpart E—Statutory Disqualification and Provision for Exemptions Thereto**

| | |
|---|---|
| 0.735-51 | 5.51 |
| 0.735-52 | 5.52 |

3. A new Part 0 is added to 16 CFR to read as follows:

Sec.
0.1 The Commission.
0.2 Official address.
0.3 Hours.
0.4 Laws administered.
0.5 Meetings.
0.6 Quorum.
0.7 Delegation of functions.
0.8 The Chairman.
0.9 Organization structure.
0.10 Office of the Executive Director.
0.11 Office of the General Counsel.
0.12 Office of the Secretary.
0.13 Office of Policy Planning and Evaluation.
0.14 Office of Administrative Law Judges.
0.15 Bureau of Competition.
0.16 Bureau of Consumer Protection.
0.17 Bureau of Economics.
0.18 The Regional Offices.

AUTHORITY: Sec. 6(g), 38 Stat. 721 (15 U.S.C. 46); 80 Stat. 383, as amended (5 U.S.C. 552).

### § 0.1 The Commission.

The Federal Trade Commission is an independent administrative agency which was organized in 1915 pursuant to the Federal Trade Commission Act of 1914 (38 Stat. 717, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 41-58. It is responsible for the administration of a variety of statutes which, in general, are designed to promote competition and to protect the public from unfair and deceptive acts and practices in the advertising and marketing of goods and services. It is composed of five members appointed by the President and confirmed by the Senate for terms of seven years.

### § 0.2 Official address.

The principal office of the Commission is at Washington, D.C. All communications to the Commission should be addressed to the Federal Trade Commission, Pennsylvania Avenue and Sixth Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20580, unless otherwise specifically directed.

### § 0.3 Hours.

Principal and field offices are open on each business day from 8:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.

### § 0.4 Laws administered.

The Commission exercises enforcement and administrative authority under the Federal Trade Commission Act (38 Stat. 717, as amended (15 U.S.C. 41-58)), the Clayton Act (38 Stat 730, as amended (15 U.S.C. 12-27)), the Export Trade Act (40 Stat. 516, as amended (15 U.S.C. 61-65)), the Packers and Stockyards Act (42 Stat. 159, as amended (7 U.S.C. 181-229)), the Wool Products Labeling Act (54 Stat. 1128, as amended (15 U.S.C. 68-68j)), the Trade Mark Act (60 Stat. 427, as amended (15 U.S.C. 1051-72)), the Fur Products Labeling Act (65 Stat. 175, as amended (15 U.S.C. 69-69j)), the Textile Fiber Products Identification Act (72 Stat. 1717, as amended (15 U.S.C. 70-70k)), the Federal Cigarette Labeling and Advertising Act (79 Stat. 282, as amended (15 U.S.C. 1331-39)), the Fair Packaging and Labeling Act (80 Stat. 1296, as amended (15 U.S.C. 1451-61)), the Truth in Lending Act (82 Stat. 146, as amended (15 U.S.C. 1601 et seq.)), the Fair Credit Reporting Act (84 Stat. 1128 (15 U.S.C. 1681 et seq.)), the Fair Credit Billing Act (88 Stat. 1511; (15 U.S.C. 1666)), the Equal Credit Opportunity Act (88 Stat. 1521, as amended (15 U.S.C. 1691)), Hobby Protection Act (87 Stat. 686 (15 U.S.C. 2101)), the Magnuson-Moss Warranty—Federal Trade Commission Improvement Act (88 Stat. 2183 (15 U.S.C. 2301-12, 45-58)), the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (89 Stat. 871 (42 U.S.C. 6291)), the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976 (90 Stat. 1383 (15 U.S.C. 1311)), and other Federal statutes.

### § 0.5 Meetings.

(a) The Commission may meet and exercise all its powers at anyplace, and

may, by one or more of its members or by such representatives as it may designate, prosecute any inquiry necessary to its duties in any part of the United States.

(b) Meetings of the Commission are held as ordered by the Commission and unless otherwise ordered, are held at the principal office of the Commission, Pennsylvania Avenue and Sixth Street, NW., Washington, D.C. Hearings before the Commission, except when the Commission is in executive session, are public, unless otherwise ordered.

### § 0.6 Quorum.

A majority of the members of the Commission constitutes a quorum for the transaction of business.

### § 0.7 Delegation of functions.

The Commission, under the authority provided by Reorganization Plan No. 4 of 1961, may delegate, by published order or rule, certain of its functions to a division of the Commission, an individual Commissioner, an administrative law judge, or an employee or employee board.

### § 0.8 The Chairman.

(a) The Chairman of the Commission is designated by the President, and, subject to the general policies of the Commission, is the executive and administrative head of the agency. He presides at meetings of and hearings before the Commission and participates with other Commissioners in all Commission decisions. Attached to the Office of the Chairman, and reporting directly to him, and through him to the Commission, is the following staff unit:

(b) The Office of Public Information furnishes information concerning Commission activities to news media and the public.

### § 0.9 Organization structure.

The Federal Trade Commission comprises the following principal units:

Office of the Executive Director.
Office of the General Counsel.
Office of the Secretary.
Office of Policy Planning and Evaluation.
Office of Administrative Law Judges.
Bureau of Competition.
Bureau of Consumer Protection.
Bureau of Economics.
The Regional Offices.

### § 0.10 Office of the Executive Director.

(a) The Executive Director, under the direction of the Chairman, is the chief operating official. He exercises executive and administrative supervision over all the offices, bureaus, and staff of the Commission, and, in coordination with the Office of Policy Planning and Evaluation, resolves problems concerning priorities in case handling. Immediately under his direction is the Assistant Executive Director for Management.

(b) The Assistant Executive Director for Management functions as staff adviser to the Executive Director in all aspects of administrative management; provides administrative policy guidance to agency management and provides general supervision to the programs of management analysis and organization, personnel, budget and finance, data processing, and administrative service activities; initiates and develops long-range plans to assure that the Commission acquires and effectively utilizes the manpower, financial resources, physical facilities and management tools necessary to accomplish its mission.

### § 0.11 Office of the General Counsel.

The General Counsel is the Commission's chief law officer and adviser. He renders necessary legal services to the Commission, represents the Commission in the Federal and State Courts, advises the Commission with respect to questions of law and policy, including advice with respect to legislative matters, assists businessmen in obtaining advice from the Commission as to the legal propriety of proposed courses of action in particular situations under the statutes which it administers, cooperates with and assists State and local officials in the efforts to eliminate local and national trade restraints, and coordinates all liaison activities with Congress.

### § 0.12 Office of the Secretary.

The Secretary is responsible for the minutes of Commission meetings and is the legal custodian of the Commission's seal, property, papers, and records, including legal and public records. He, or in his absence an Acting Secretary designated by the Commission, signs Commission orders and official correspondence, and coordinates all liaison activities with the executive and administrative government departments and agencies. The office of the Secretary maintains a current index of opinions, orders, statements of policy and interpretations, staff manuals and instructions that affect any member of the public, and other public records of the Commission; makes available for inspection and copying all public records of the Commission; is responsible for publication of all Commission action which must appear in the FEDERAL REGISTER and publication of the Federal Trade Commission Decisions and the Statutes and Court Decisions involving the Commission; maintains the Commission's procedures and rules of practice; and screens incoming correspondence addressed to the Commission, provides acknowledgments and replies to the extent appropriate and practicable, and routes correspondence requiring more specialized or detailed attention to the appropriate offices and bureaus for reply.

### § 0.13 Office of Policy Planning and Evaluation.

This office is responsible for the development of studies and reports with recommendations directly to the Commission with respect to how and where its resources should be utilized in order to best serve the public interest. This office works closely with the Office of the Executive Director and with the bureau directors in evaluating and coordinating the overall work of the Commission and in developing its budgetary requirements. Its functions include particularly the systematic review of Commission planning procedures, and of specific policies and programs, with emphasis on initiation and evaluation, so as to make informed recommendations with respect to each important area of Commission activity.

### § 0.14 Office of Administrative Law Judges.

Administrative law judges are officials to whom the Commission, in accordance with law, delegates the initial performance of its adjudicative fact-finding functions to be exercised in conformity with Commission decisions and policy directives and with its rules of practice. Administrative law judges are appointed under the authority and subject to the prior approval of the Civil Service Commission.

### § 0.15 Bureau of Competition.

This bureau is responsible for enforcing federal antitrust and trade regulation laws under section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act, the Clayton Act, and a number of other special statutes which the Commission is charged with enforcing. The bureau work aims to preserve the free market system and assure the unfettered operation of the forces of supply and demand. Its activities seek to ensure price competition, quality products and services and efficient operation of the national economy. The bureau carries out its responsibilities by investigating alleged law violations, and recommending to the Commission such further action as may be appropriate. Such action may include injunctive relief in Federal District Court, complaint and litigation before the agency's administrative law judges, formal nonadjudicative settlement of complaints, trade regulation rules, or reports. The bureau also conducts compliance investigations and initiates proceedings for civil penalties to assure compliance with final Commission orders dealing with competition and trade restraint matters.

### § 0.16 Bureau of Consumer Protection.

This bureau investigates potentially unfair or deceptive acts or practices under section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act as well as potential violations of numerous special statutes which the Commission is charged with enforcing. It prosecutes before the agency's administrative law judges alleged violations of law after issuance of a complaint by the Commission or obtains through negotiation consented-to orders, which must be accepted and issued by the Commission. The bureau conducts ruemaking proceedings to define with specificity, in trade regulation rules issued by the Commission, acts or practices which are violations of section 5. To that end, presiding officers are designated with the responsibility for the orderly conduct of such rulemaking proceedings. It investigates compliance with final orders and trade regulation rules and seeks civil penalties or consumer redress for their

violation. In addition, the bureau seeks to educate both consumers and the business community about the laws it enforces.

§ 0.17 Bureau of Economics.

This bureau aids and advises the Commission concerning the economic aspects of all of its functions, and is responsible for the preparation of various economic reports and surveys. The bureau provides economic and statistical assistance to the enforcement bureaus in the investigation and trial of cases. It also carries on a continuing financial reporting program for the primary purpose of supplying authoritative statistics concerning the financial characteristics of different groups of industries and of various classes of manufacturing corporations.

§ 0.18 The Regional Offices.

(a) These offices are investigatory arms of the Commission, and, with respect to matters of a regional nature, have responsibility for investigational, trial, compliance, and consumer educational activities as delegated by the Commission. Each regional office has general responsibility for its own activities and for the smaller offices, designated as field stations, located in its area of responsibility. They are under the general supervision of the Office of the Executive Director, and clear their activities through the appropriate operating bureaus.

(b) The addresses of the respective regional offices, and of the field stations located in the area of each are as follows:

(1) *Atlanta Regional Office.* Federal Trade Commission, Room 1000, 1718 Peachtree Street, N.W., Atlanta, GA 30309.

(2) *Boston Regional Office.* Federal Trade Commission, Room 1301, 150 Causeway Street, Boston, MA 02114.

(3) *Chicago Regional Office.* Federal Trade Commission, Suite 1437, 55 East Monroe Street, Chicago, IL 60603.

(4) *Cleveland Regional Office.* Federal Trade Commission, Room 1339, Anthony J. Celebrezze Federal Office Building, 1240 East 9th Street, Cleveland, OH 44199.

(5) *Dallas Regional Office.* Federal Trade Commission, Suite 2665, 2001 Bryan Street, Dallas, TX 75201.

(6) *Denver Regional Office.* Federal Trade Commission, Suite 2900, 1405 Curtis Street, Denver, CO 80202.

(7) *Los Angeles Regional Office.* Federal Trade Commission, Room 13209, Federal Building, 11000 Wilshire Boulevard, Los Angeles, CA 90024.

(8) *New York Regional Office.* Federal Trade Commission, 2243-EB, Federal Building, 26 Federal Plaza, New York, NY 10007.

(9) *San Francisco Regional Office.* Federal Trade Commission, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36005, San Francisco, CA 94102. Field Station: Federal Trade Commission, Room 605, Melim Building, 333 Queen Street, Honolulu, HI 98613.

(10) *Seattle Regional Office.* Federal Trade Commission, 28th Floor, Federal Building, 915 Second Avenue, Seattle, WA 98174.

(11) *Washington, D.C. Regional Office.* Federal Trade Commission, 6th Floor, Gelman Building, 2120 L Street N.W., Washington, D.C. 20037.

(c) Each of the regional offices is supervised by a Regional Director, who is available for conferences with attorneys, consumers, and other members of the public on matters relating to the Commission's activities.

(Sec. 6(g), 38 Stat. 721 (15 U.S.C. 46); 80 Stat. 383, as amended (5 U.S.C. 552).)

Because the amendments pertain to matters of agency organization and procedures, the relevant provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 553) requiring notice of proposed rulemaking, opportunity for public participation, and delay in effective date are inapplicable.

By direction of the Commission dated December 7, 1976.

JAMES A. TOBIN,
*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc.76-36558 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

## PART 2—NONADJUDICATIVE PROCEDURES

## PART 3—RULES OF PRACTICE FOR ADJUDICATIVE PROCEEDINGS

### Delegation of Authority

Pursuant to the provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. 552 (a)(1)(B), and in consideration of the recommendations of the Administrative Conference of the United States published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on July 19, 1976, (41 FR 29653, 1 CFR 305.76-2), for strengthening the information and notice-giving functions of the Federal Register, the Commission announces the following amendments to Parts 2 and 3 of 16 CFR Chapter I, effective December 14, 1976. The amendments reflect delegations of authority by the Commission.

Because the amendments pertain to matters of agency policy and procedures, the relevant provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 553) requiring notice of proposed rulemaking, opportunity for public participation, and delay in effective date are inapplicable.

1. The title of Subpart A of Part 2 is amended to read as follows:

### Subpart A—Inquiries; Investigations; Compulsory Processes

2. Section 2.7(a) is amended by adding the following sentence:

§ 2.7 Subpoena in investigations.

(a) * * *

Specifically, the subpoena power conferred by section 329 of the Energy Policy and Conservation Act (42 U.S.C. 6299) is included within this delegation.

3. Paragraph (a) of § 2.12 is amended to read as follows:

§ 2.12 Reports.

(a) The Commission may issue an order requiring a person, partnership, or corporation to file a report or answers in writing to specific questions relating to any matter under investigation, study or survey, or under any of the Commission's reporting programs.

4. Paragraphs (b), (c) and (d) of § 2.12 are redesignated as paragraphs (d), (e) and (f), and new paragraphs (b) and (c) are added to read as follows:

(b) The Assistant Director for Financial Statistics, Bureau of Economics, pursuant to delegation of authority by the Commission, without power of redelegation, is authorized to issue, in the name of the Commission, all orders to file special reports in the form approved by the Commission and notices of default under the Commission's quarterly financial reporting program.

(c) The Directors, Deputy Directors, and Assistant Directors of the Bureaus of Competition, Consumer Protection, and Economics, and the Regional Directors and Assistant Regional Directors of the Commission's regional offices, pursuant to delegation of authority by the Commission, without power of redelegation, are authorized, for good cause shown, to extend the time prescribed for compliance with orders requiring reports or answers to questions issued during the investigation, study or survey of any matter or in connection with any of the Commission's reporting programs.

5. Section 2.13 is revised to read as follows:

§ 2.13 Noncompliance with compulsory processes.

(a) In cases of failure to comply with Commission compulsory processes, appropriate action may be intiated by the Commission or the Attorney General, including actions for enforcement, forfeiture, or penalties or criminal actions.

(b) The General Counsel, pursuant to delegation of authority by the Commission, without power of redelegation, is authorized

(1) To institute, on behalf of the Commission, an enforcement proceeding in connection with the failure or refusal of a person, partnership, or corporation to comply with, or to obey, a subpoena, if the return date or any extension thereof has passed;

(2) To institute enforcement proceedings on behalf of the Commission and to request on behalf of the Commission the institution of civil actions, as appropriate, in conjunction with the Commission's quarterly financial reporting program, if the return date or any extension thereof has passed;

(3) To approve and have prepared and issued, in the name of the Commission when deemed appropriate by the General Counsel, a notice of default in connection with the failure of a person, partnership, or corporation to timely file a report pursuant to section 6(b) of the Federal Trade Commission Act, if the return date or any extension thereof has passed;

(4) To institute, on behalf of the Commission, an enforcement proceeding and to request, on behalf of the Commission, the institution, when deemed appropriate by the General Counsel, of a civil action in connection with the failure of a person, partnership, or corporation to timely file a report pursuant to an

order under section 6(b) of the Federal Trade Commission Act, if the return date or any extension thereof has passed; and

(5) To seek civil contempt in cases where a court order enforcing compulsory process has been violated.

6. Section 3.52 is amended to prescribe procedures to initiate corrections in the transcript of oral arguments. New paragraph (g) is added to § 3.52 to read as follows:

§ 3.52 Appeal from initial decisions.

* * * * *

(g) *Corrections in transcript of oral argument.*—The Commission will entertain only joint motions of the parties requesting corrections in the transcript of oral argument, except that the Commission will receive a unilateral motion which recites that the parties have made a good faith effort to stipulate to the desired corrections but have been unable to do so. If the parties agree in part and disagree in part, they should file a joint motion incorporating the extent of their agreement, and, if desired, separate motions requesting those corrections to which they have been unable to agree. The Secretary, pursuant to delegation of authority by the Commission, is authorized to prepare and issue in the name of the Commission a brief "Order Correcting Transcript" whenever a joint motion to correct transcript is received.

(Sec. 6(g), 38 Stat. 721; (15 U.S.C. 46); 80 Stat. 383, as amended (5 U.S.C. 552).)

By direction of the Commission dated December 7, 1976.

JAMES A. TOBIN,
*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc.76-36559 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

## Title 24—Housing and Urban Development

## CHAPTER X—FEDERAL INSURANCE ADMINISTRATION

### SUBCHAPTER B—NATIONAL FLOOD INSURANCE PROGRAM

[Docket No. FI-2463]

### PART 1917—APPEALS FROM FLOOD ELEVATION DETERMINATION AND JUDICIAL REVIEW

### Final Flood Elevation for the Borough of Ho-Ho-Kus, New Jersey

The Federal Insurance Administrator, in accordance with section 110 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93-234), 87 Stat. 980, which added section 1363 to the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (Pub. L. 90-448), 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128, and 24 CFR Part 1917 (§ 1917.10)), hereby gives notice of the final determinations of flood elevations for the Borough of Ho-Ho-Kus, New Jersey under § 1917.8 of Title 24 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

The Administrator, to whom the Secretary has delegated the statutory authority, has developed criteria for flood plain management in flood-prone areas. In order to continue participation in the National Flood Insurance Program, the Borough must adopt flood plain management measures that are consistent with these criteria and reflect the base flood elevations determined by the Secretary in accordance with 24 CFR Part 1910.

In accordance with Part 1917, an opportunity for the community or individuals to appeal this determination to or through the community for a period of ninety (90) days has been provided. Pursuant to § 1917.8, no appeals were received from the community or from individuals within the community. Therefore, publication of this notice is in compliance with § 1917.10.

Final flood elevations (100-year flood) are listed below for selected locations. Maps and other information showing the detailed outlines of the flood-prone areas and the final elevations are available for review at Borough Hall, East Franklin Turnpike, Ho-Ho-Kus, New Jersey 07423.

Accordingly, the Administrator has determined the 100-year (i.e., flood with one percent chance of annual occurrence) flood elevations as set forth below:

| Source of flooding | Location | Elevation in feet above mean sea level | Width in feet from shoreline or bank of stream (facing downstream) to 100-yr flood boundary | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Right | Left |
| Ho-Ho-Kus Brook | Maple Ave | 123 | (1) | 550 |
| | Warren Ave. (downstream side) | 129 | 20 | 35 |
| | Erie R.R. (downstream side) | 144 | 25 | 40 |
| Saddle River | Bogert Rd | 94 | 440 | 470 |
| | Hollywood Ave | 102 | 490 | 70 |
| Saddle Brook | East Saddle River Rd | 93 | 350 | 330 |

(1) To corporate limits.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended (42 U.S.C. 4001-4128); and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended by 39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974.)

Issued: November 15, 1976.

J. ROBERT HUNTER,
*Federal Insurance Administrator.*

[FR Doc.76-36508 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. FI-2467]

### PART 1917—APPEALS FROM FLOOD ELEVATION DETERMINATION AND JUDICIAL REVIEW

### Final Flood Elevation for the City of Durand, Wisconsin

The Federal Insurance Administrator, in accordance with section 110 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93-234), 87 Stat. 980, which added section 1363 to the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (Pub. L. 90-448), 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128, and 24 CFR Part 1917 (§ 1917.10)), hereby gives notice of the final determinations of flood elevations for the City of Durand, Wisconsin under § 1917.8 of Title 24 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

The Administrator, to whom the Secretary has delegated the statutory authority, has developed criteria for flood plain management in flood-prone areas. In order to continue participation in the National Flood Insurance Program, the City must adopt flood plain management measures that are consistent with these criteria and reflect the base flood elevations determined by the Secretary in accordance with 24 CFR Part 1910.

In accordance with Part 1917, an opportunity for the community or individuals to appeal this determination to or through the community for a period of ninety (90) days has been provided. Pursuant to § 1917.8, no appeals were received from the community or from individuals within the community. Therefore, publication of this notice is in compliance with § 1917.10.

Final flood elevations (100-year flood) are listed below for selected locations. Maps and other information showing the detailed outlines of the flood-prone areas and the final elevations are available for review at City Hall, 113 East Main Street, Durand, Wisconsin 54736.

Accordingly, the Administrator has determined the 100-year (i.e., flood with one percent chance of annual occurrence) flood elevations as set forth below:

| Source of flooding | Location | Elevation in feet above mean sea level | Width in feet from shoreline or bank of corporate boundary to 100-yr flood boundary |
|---|---|---|---|
| Chippewa River | 7th Ave. west | 712 | 140 |
| | 4th Ave. west | 713 | 310 |
| | 1st Ave. east | 713 | 250 |
| | 6th Ave. east (extended) | 714 | 1,350 |

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended (42 U.S.C. 4001–4128); and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended by 39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974.)

Issued: November 15, 1976.

J. ROBERT HUNTER,
*Federal Insurance Administrator.*

[FR Doc.76-36509 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. FI-2468]

## PART 1917—APPEALS FROM FLOOD ELEVATION DETERMINATION AND JUDICIAL REVIEW

### Final Flood Elevation for the City of Richmond Heights, Missouri

The Federal Insurance Administrator, in accordance with section 110 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93-234), 87 Stat. 980, which added Section 1363 to the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (Pub. L. 90-448), 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128, and 24 CFR Part 1917 (§ 1917.10)), hereby gives notice of the final determinations of flood elevations for the City of Richmond Heights, Missouri under § 1917.8 of Title 24 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

The Administrator, to whom the Secretary has delegated the statutory authority, has developed criteria for flood plain management in flood-prone areas. In order to continue participation in the National Flood Insurance Program, the City must adopt flood plain management measures that are consistent with these criteria and reflect the base flood elevations determined by the Secretary in accordance with 24 CFR Part 1910.

In accordance with Part 1917, an opportunity for the community or individuals to appeal this determination to or through the community for a period of ninety (90) days has been provided. Pursuant to § 1917.8, no appeals were received from the community or from individuals within the community. Therefore, publication of this notice is in compliance with § 1917.10.

Final flood elevations (100-year flood) are listed below for selected locations. Maps and other information showing the detailed outlines of the flood-prone areas and the final elevations are available for review at City Hall, 133 Big Bend Boulevard, Richmond Heights, Missouri 63117.

Accordingly, the Administrator has determined the 100-year (i.e., flood with one percent chance of annual occurrence) flood elevations as set forth below:

| Source of flooding | Location | Elevation in feet above mean sea level | Width in feet from shoreline or bank of stream (facing downstream) to 100-yr flood boundary | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | Right | Left |
| Hampton Creek | West Bruno Ave | 465 | 10 | 80 |
| | Laclede Station Rd | 470 | 30 | 10 |
| | Elinor Ave | 475 | 30 | 0 |
| | Dale Ave | 483 | 55 | 55 |
| | U.S. Highway 40 | 484 | 15 | 15 |
| | South Dr | 494 | 45 | 40 |
| | Park Dr | 508 | 5 | 115 |
| Claytonia Creek | West Bruno Ave | 465 | 105 | 40 |
| | Bredell Ave | 469 | 65 | 65 |
| | Dale Ave | 477 | 15 | 20 |
| | Lovella Ave | 481 | 10 | 35 |
| | U.S. Highway 40 | 486 | 0 | 50 |
| | Wise Ave | 493 | 20 | 20 |
| | Clayton Rd | 512 | 15 | 15 |
| Black Creek | Hoover Ave | 483 | 40 | 35 |
| | Clayton Rd | 486 | 15 | 20 |

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended (42 U.S.C. 4001–4128); and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended by 39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974.)

Issued: November 11, 1976.

J. ROBERT HUNTER,
*Federal Insurance Administrator.*

[FR Doc.76-36507 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

## Title 25—Indians

## CHAPTER I—BUREAU OF INDIAN AFFAIRS, DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### SUBCHAPTER F—ENROLLMENT

## PART 41—PREPARATION OF ROLLS OF INDIANS

### Roll of Cherokee Band of Shawnee Indians of Oklahoma

*Correction*

In FR Doc. 76-35061, appearing at page 52452, in the issue for Tuesday, November 30, 1976, the first line of § 41.3 (bb) was omitted and should read as follows: "(bb) *Cherokee Band of Shawnee In-*".

## Title 34—Government Management

## CHAPTER II—GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

### Recodification

*Correction*

In FR Doc. 76-34924, appearing at page 52454, in the issue for Tuesday, November 30, 1976, remove the word "(Reserved)" from the title of Part 235 appearing in the table of contents.

## Title 41—Public Contracts and Property Management

## CHAPTER 114—DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

## PART 114-38—MOTOR EQUIPMENT MANAGEMENT

### Preparation and Control of Standard Form 149, U.S. Government National Credit Card

Pursuant to the authority of the Secretary of the Interior contained in 5 U.S.C. 301 and 40 U.S.C. 486(c) Subpart 114-38.12 of Chapter 114, Title 41 of the Code of Federal Regulations, is amended as set forth below.

This amendment relates only to matters of internal Department practice. It is, therefore, determined that the public rulemaking procedure is unnecessary and this amendment shall become effective on December 14, 1976.

Dated December 7, 1976.

ALBERT C. ZAPANTA,
*Assistant Secretary of the Interior.*

Section 114-38.1200 is added to read as follows:

**§ 114-38.1200 General.**

The Defense Fuel Supply Center publishes a handbook entitled "Government Vehicle Operators: Your Guide to Service Stations for Gasoline, Oil and Lubrication" (DFSC H 4280.1). This handbook lists the firms participating in the National Credit Card Program. It also provides an explanation of authorized services and procedures for use of the U.S. Government National Credit Card (Standard Form 149), and should be made available to operators of Government vehicles.

**§ 114-38.1201 [Amended]**

In 114-38.1201(d), the entries for the Fish and Wildlife Service and "Reserved" are revised to read as follows:

Fish and Wildlife Service: 040 through 059; 100 through 199; 460 through 489. Reserved: 490 through 499.

[FR Doc.76-36555 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

## Title 45—Public Welfare

## CHAPTER I—OFFICE OF EDUCATION, DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

## PART 177—FEDERAL, STATE AND PRIVATE PROGRAMS OF LOW-INTEREST LOANS TO VOCATIONAL STUDENTS AND STUDENTS IN INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER EDUCATION

### Interim Provisions On Program Eligibility Hearings

Subpart G of Part 177, which deals with procedures for the limitation, suspension or termination of educational institution eligibility under the Guaranteed Student Loan Program and lender eligibility under the Federal Insured Student Loan Program, presently provides that a party subject to limitation or termination proceedings may request

a hearing before an Administrative Law Judge.

This provision is currently thwarting enforcement efforts under the program because the Civil Service Commission, in an attempt to allocate its limited number of Administrative Law Judges among the many requests for their services which it receives, is presently making Administrative Law Judges available to Federal agencies only upon a finding that the hearing over which they are requested to preside is required by statute. Since the right to a hearing before an Administrative Law Judge included in Subpart G is not one conferred by statute, the Office of Education is unable to satisfy a request by a party subject to proceedings under Subpart G for such a hearing and hence is effectively precluded from limiting or terminating the participation of noncompliant lenders or educational institutions in the Guaranteed Student Loan Program.

The Commissioner has determined that it is in the public interest to amend Subpart G as expeditiously as possible since enforcement activity under the Guaranteed Student Loan Program will continue to be frustrated as long as the reference to Administrative Law Judges remains in the regulations. To this end he has decided to immediately amend, without public notice and opportunity for comment, §§ 177.73 and 177.77 of Subpart G by deleting all references to Administrative Law Judges contained therein, by deleting the references to the procedures followed by Administrative Law Judges, and by providing that the Commissioner may designate impartial presiding officers to conduct hearings. This action is being taken in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 553(b)) in that the delay associated with affording the public an opportunity to comment preliminarily upon this action, besides being contrary to the public interest, is also unnecessary since the sole reasonable alternative open to the Commissioner, given his inability to provide a hearing by an Administrative Law Judge, is to remove from the regulations his offer to do so.

*Public comment invited.* This remedial action is an interim measure designed to immediately remove an unforeseen impediment which has blocked enforcement efforts under the Guaranteed Student Loan Program. However, the Commissioner will reevaluate these regulatory amendments on the basis of comments submitted by the general public. Interested persons are invited to submit written comments concerning these amendments to Associate Commissioner, Office of Guaranteed Student Loans, U.S. Office of Education, Washington, D.C. 20202. Hand delivered comments may be delivered to Room 4636, Regional Office Building No. 3, Seventh and D Streets, SW., Washington, D.C. All comments must be received not later than January 28, 1977.

Effective date: Pursuant to section 431(d) of the General Education Provisions Act, as amended (20 U.S.C. 1232 (d)), these regulations have been transmitted to the Congress concurrently with the publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER. That section provides that regulations subject thereto shall become effective on the 45th day following the date of such transmission, subject to the provisions therein concerning Congressional action and adjournment.

*Inflationary impact.* It is hereby certified that this interim final regulation has been screened pursuant to Executive Order No. 11821, and does not require an Inflationary Impact Evaluation.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No. 13.460—Guaranteed Student Loan Program.)

Dated: October 4, 1976.

WILLIAM F. PIERCE,
*Acting U.S. Commissioner of Education.*

Approved: December 1, 1976.

DAVID MATTHEWS,
*Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare.*

1. Section 177.73 is amended to read as follows:

**§ 177.73 Possible sanctions.**

(a) *Limitations.* A limitation on an institution's or a lender's participation established under this part may include any of the following:

(1) A limit on the number or total amount of loans which a lender may make under the Federal Insured Student Loan Program;

(2) A limit on the number or percentage of students enrolled in an institution who may receive loans under this part;

(3) A limit on the percentage of an institution's total receipts for tuition and fees which may be derived from loans under this part for a stated period of time;

(4) A requirement that an institution obtain a bond, in an appropriate amount, to provide assurance that it will be able to meet its financial obligations to students enrolled in such institution who have received loans under this part;

(5) A requirement that an institution, acting as a lender, utilize a special promissory note form as provided in § 177.46 (c–1) (3); and

(6) Such other requirements or conditions as a designated OE official, the presiding officer at a hearing, or the Commissioner may determine: (i) Are reasonable and appropriate as a direct means of correcting a violation of applicable laws, regulations or agreements or of correcting a condition coming within the terms of § 177.66 of Subpart F; (ii) have a high probability for successfully correcting such violation or condition; and (iii) will promote the purposes of the programs provided for in this part.

(b) *Reimbursements and refunds.* As part of any decision resulting in a limitation, suspension, or termination under this subpart, a designated OE official, the presiding officer at a hearing, or the Commissioner may also require an institution or lender to take such other corrective action as is reasonable and appropriate to remedy a violation of applicable laws, regulations, agreements or limitations. Such corrective action may include payment, to the Office of Education or to recipients designated by the designated OE official, the presiding officer at a hearing, or the Commisisoner, for:

(1) Ineligible interest benefits, special allowances, or other claims paid by the Commissioner;

(2) Discounts, premiums or excess interest paid in violation of § 177.6(e); or

(3) Refunds due to students under the regulations of this Part.

(20 U.S.C. 1080(d), 1082(a), 1087–1(a))

2. Section 177.77 (b) and (c) are amended to read as follows:

**§ 177.77 Limitation and termination.**

* * * * *

(b) *Procedures.* (1) A limitation or termination may be initiated whether or not suspension proceedings have been initiated under § 177.76. Limitation or termination procedures shall be initiated by a designated OE official mailing to the institution or lender in question a notice, by certified mail with return receipt requested:

(i) Informing the institution or lender of the Office of Education's intent to limit or terminate the institution's or lender's eligibility, the nature of any proposed limitation and the basis of such action;

(ii) Specifying the proposed effective date for the limitation or termination and the consequences of such action; and

(iii) Informing the institution or lender of its rights, if exercised within a stated period of time, to submit written material and to request a hearing before the limitation or termination takes effect. The notice shall also provide information pertaining to this proceeding as the designated OE official may determine to be appropriate. The notice shall also invite voluntary efforts to correct the violation which led to the initiation of this action.

(2) Except as provided in paragraph (c) of this section, the proposed effective date of limitation or termination shall not be less than 21 days after the notice of intent has been sent. The period of time within which the institution or lender may submit written material or request a hearing shall not be less than 15 days after such notice has been sent. If a hearing requested by the institution or lender cannot be held prior to the proposed effective date of the limitation or termination, the date shall be extended until the completion of such proceedings.

(3) If the institution or lender does not request a hearing, the designated OE official may, after considering any written material submitted on a timely basis by the institution or lender, dismiss the matter or notify the institution or lender that it has been limited or terminated.

(4) If the institution or lender requests a hearing within the time permitted, such hearing shall be conducted as promptly as possible. The presiding officer at the hearing shall be one or more impartial persons, having no prior involvement with the facts giving rise to the limitation or termination, and selected by the Commissioner to conduct the hearing. Proposed findings of fact, conclusions of law, and briefs shall be submitted to the persiding officer within 15 days of the conclusion of the hearing.

(5) The presiding officer shall issue an initial decision consisting of findings of fact and conclusions of law. The initial decision of the presiding officer shall become final 10 days after being issued, unless within such 10 days the institution or lender or the designated OE official who initiated these proceedings notifies the Commissioner that it or he wishes to appeal the decision, in whole or in part. If any such party makes such an appeal, it must submit, within 20 days of the initial decision of the presiding officer, any further written material it wishes to be considered by the Commissioner, including exceptions to the decision of the presiding officer, proposed findings and conclusions, and supporting reasons. The opposing party will have 15 days to submit a response. Parties making any submission to the Commissioner must simultaneously transmit copies of such submission to all other parties which participated in the hearing. Any decision by the presiding officer limiting or terminating the institution or lender shall be stayed pending the appeal, unless the Commissioner determines that such stay would produce a serious and adverse effect on the program involved. The Commissioner may, in his discretion, provide an opportunity for an oral presentation by the institution or lender and by members of the Office of Education staff. The Commissioner shall issue a decision on the appeal, including a statement of reasons for this decision, no later than 20 days following receipt of all written materials from the parties involved. Such decision may affirm, reverse or modify the initial decision of the presiding officer: *Provided, however*, That findings of fact made by the presiding officer shall not be set aside unless found to be clearly unsupported by the evidence. The decision of the Commissioner shall be final.

(c) *Effect of prior proceedings.* If any proceedings have been previously initiated under this subpart against an institution or lender at the time limitation or termination proceedings are initiated, such proceedings need not duplicate the previous proceedings. Any matters resolved under the previous proceedings shall be considered final and any hearings undertaken in the subsequent limitation or termination proceedings shall be limited to new evidence or new issues: *Provided, however*, That the presiding officer, or the Commissioner in the case of an appeal, may, in his discretion, agree to reconsider matters previously resolved. Moreover, the time schedules set forth in paragraphs (b)(2), (4) and (5) of this section may be shortened to reflect the previous proceedings in such manner as the presiding officer or the Commissioner map deem appropriate.

(20 U.S.C. 1080(d), 1082(a), 1087-1(a))

[FR Doc.76-36567 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

## CHAPTER II—SOCIAL AND REHABILITATION SERVICE (ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS), DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

## PART 233—COVERAGE AND CONDITIONS OF ELIGIBILITY IN PUBLIC ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

### Need and Amount of Assistance Exclusion of SSI Beneficiaries From AFDC

Notice of proposed rulemaking was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on February 24, 1976 (41 FR 8070-8071), to implement section 402(a)(24) of the Social Security Act which was added by section 414 of Pub. L. 92-603, the Social Security Amendments of 1972. This statutory provision, which specifies that a recipient of benefits under title XVI (SSI) of the Act shall not be regarded as a member of the AFDC family for purposes of determining the amount of benefits of the family and his income and resources shall not be counted as income and resources under title IV-A, formed the basis of the proposed regulation. The proposed regulation also defined an individual receiving SSI benefits under title XVI to include individuals receiving mandatory or optional State supplementary payments. The purpose of the proposed regulation was to bring the Department's regulations into conformity with the statutory change which is already in effect.

Comments were received from 11 respondents, including State agencies, county agencies, and one legal services center.

1. Three State agencies supported the proposed regulation.

2. Six respondents opposed the proposed regulation. Their major concern was that the exclusion of the income and resources of the SSI beneficiary in determining eligibility and the amount of the AFDC payment results in major differences in AFDC payments for a family with an SSI beneficiary and a similar family in which there is no SSI beneficiary. In addition, they expressed concern that an SSI beneficiary's income is not considered available for his children or his spouse who is receiving AFDC.

*Response:* No change has been made in the regulation, as Federal law mandates the exclusion of the income and resources of an SSI beneficiary in determining eligibility and the amount of assistance in AFDC. Any change in this provision would require legislative action by Congress.

The Department recognizes the differences in AFDC payments which result from exclusion of the SSI beneficiary's income and resources. It believes, however, that Congress intended to prevent duplicate counting of income and resources of individuals eligible under both programs; and that the differences in payments will be offset by entitlement to or exclusion from other benefits, such as Medicaid.

3. One respondent objected to the inclusion of a State supplementary payment as a title XVI benefit.

*Response:* The Department's review of State practice revealed that most States that pay supplements make no distinction between individuals who receive Federal SSI benefits and those who receive only State supplements. The Department, therefore, determined that an SSI beneficiary, for purposes of the SSI beneficiary disregard under AFDC, shall include any individual receiving a benefit, whether it is a Federal SSI benefit under title XVI or a State supplement.

The definition of an SSI beneficiary has been revised to clarify that a State supplementary payment includes both mandatory and optional State supplementary payments.

4. Two respondents requested clarification regarding the AFDC status of a caretaker relative whose only dependent child receives SSI.

*Response:* Section 406(a) of the Act defines a dependent child for purposes of Aid to Families with Dependent Children and section 406(b) provides for payments to meet the needs of the relative with whom the child is living. The Department's position has been that there can be no AFDC case if there is no needy dependent child. Legal options to this position are currently under consideration.

Accordingly, after consideration of all comments and suggestions permissible under Federal law, the proposed regulation with modification of the definition of an SSI beneficiary is hereby adopted.

Sections 233.20 (a)(1), (a)(3)(vi), and (a)(3)(x) of Part 233, Chapter II, Title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations are revised to read as set forth below:

**§ 233.20 Need and amount of assitance.**

(a) *Requirements for State Plans.* A State Plan for OAA, AFDC, AB, APTD or AABD must, as specified below:

(1) *General.* (i) Provide that the determination of need and amount of assistance for all applicants and recipients will be made on an objective and equitable basis and all types of income will be taken into consideration in the same way except where otherwise specifically authorized by Federal statute and (ii) Provide that individuals receiving SSI benefits under title XVI, for the period for which such benefits are received, shall not be included in the AFDC assistance unit for purposes of determining need and the amount of the assistance payment. Under this requirement, "individuals receiving SSI benefits under title XVI" includes individuals receiving mandatory or optional State supplementary payments under section 1616(a) of the Act or under section 212 of Pub. L. 93-66.

* * * * *

(3) * * *

(vi) If the State agency holds rela-

tives responsible for the support of applicants and recipients, (*a*) include an income scale for use in determining whether responsible relatives have sufficient income to warrant expectation that they can contribute to the support of applicants or recipients, which income scale exceeds a minimum level of living and at least represents a minimum level of adequacy that takes account of the needs and other obligations of the relatives; and (*b*) provide that no request will be made for contributions from relatives whose net cash income is below the income scale. In family groups living together, income of the spouse is considered available for his spouse and income of a parent is considered available for children under 21, except that, under the AFDC plan, if a spouse or parent is recieving SSI benefits under title XVI, then, for the period for which such benefits are received, his income and resources shall not be counted as income and resources available to the AFDC unit. For purposes of this exception, "a spouse or parent receiving SSI benefits" includes a spouse or parent receiving mandatory or optional State supplementary payments under section 1616 (a) of the Act or under section 212 of Pub. L. 93–66.

* * * * *

(x) Provide that the income and resources of individuals who are receiving SSI benefits under title XVI, for the period for which such benefits are received, shall not be counted as income and resources of an assistance unit applying for or receiving assistance under title IV–A. Under this requirement, "individuals receiving SSI benefits under title XVI" includes individuals receiving mandatory or optional State supplementary payments under section 1616(a) of the Act or under section 212 of Pub. L. 93–66.

* * * * *

Effective date. This regulation was effective on and after January 1, 1973.

(Sec. 1102, 49 Stat. 647 (42 U.S.C. 1302).)

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 13.761, Public Assistance-Maintenance Assistance (State Aid).)

Answers to specific questions may be obtained by calling Mrs. Constance Katz, area code 202–245–8817.

Dated: September 30, 1976.

ROBERT FULTON,
*Administrator, Social and Rehabilitation Service.*

Approved: December 8, 1976.

DAVID MATHEWS,
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc.76–36568 Filed 12–13–76;8:45 am]

## Title 50—Wildlife and Fisheries

## CHAPTER I—U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE, DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

## PART 26—PUBLIC ENTRY AND USE

### Charles M. Russell National Wildlife Refuge, Montana

The following special regulation is issued and effective on December 14, 1976.

§ 26.33 Special regulations.

MONTANA

CHARLES M. RUSSELL NATIONAL WILDLIFE RANGE

The unauthorized operation of aircraft below 1,000 feet above ground level, or the landing of aircraft on the Charles M. Russell National Wildlife Range is prohibited.

Violations of this regulation will be treated under the provisions of § 26.21 of Title 50 of the Code of Federal Regulations dealing with unauthorized entry on National Wildlife Refuges.

The special regulation is effective through August 31, 1977.

LARRY L. CALVERT,
*Refuge Manager, Charles M. Russell National Wildlife Range, Lewistown, Montana.*

DECEMBER 2, 1976.

[FR Doc.76–36553 Filed 12–13–76;8:45 am]

## PART 33—SPORT FISHING

### Medicine Lake National Wildlife Refuge; Montana

The following special regulation is issued and is effective on December 14, 1976.

§ 33.5 Special regulations; sport fishing, for individual wildlife refuge areas.

MONTANA

MEDICINE LAKE NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE

Sport fishing by rod, reel, pole, setlines and gigs, including the use of live bait on the Medicine Lake National Wildlife Refuge, Medicine Lake, Montana, is permitted on all of Medicine Lake from December 1, 1976 through September 15, 1977, inclusive. All islands in Medicine Lake are closed to trespass and bank fishing. Motorized travel is prohibited on the lake. Maps showing access points are available at refuge headquarters, three miles southeast of Medicine Lake, Montana 59247 and from the Regional Director, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, P.O. Box 25486, Denver, Colorado 80225. Sport Fishing shall be in accordance with all applicable State Regulations.

The provisions of this special regulation supplement the regulations which govern fishing on wildlife refuge areas generally which are set forth in Title 50, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 33, and are effective through March 31, 1977.

JAY R. BELLINGER,
*Refuge Manager, Medicine Lake National Wildlife Refuge, Medicine Lake, Montana.*

DECEMBER 6, 1976.

[FR Doc.76–36659 Filed 12–13–76;8:45 am]

## Title 47—Telecommunication

## CHAPTER I—FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Docket No. 20838; FCC 76–1078]

## SHIP STATIONS FOR PUBLIC CORRESPONDENCE

### Great Lakes and Saint Lawrence Seaway

Adopted: November 23, 1976.

Released: December 7, 1976.

In the Matter of Amendment of Parts 2 and 83—On the Great Lakes and along the Saint Lawrence Seaway: to change the status of 157.425 and 162.025 MHz, to form them into VHF channel 88, and to make channel 88 available for assignment to ship stations for public correspondence.

1. The Commission released its notice of proposed rulemaking (FCC 76–523) in the instant proceeding on June 16, 1976, which was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on June 21, 1976 (41 FR 24914).

2. Comments were filed by American Telephone and Telegraph Company (AT&T), American Waterways Operators, Inc. (AWO), Lorain Electronics Corporation (Lorain), and Waterway Communications System, Inc. (Watercom). All of the commenters supported the proposed addition to the rules of public correspondence channel 88 for use in the Great Lakes area.

3. One of the commenters (AT&T) noted, correctly, that the other public correspondence VHF channels are included in Part 81 in § 81.304(a) and (b) and that, to be uniform, channel 88 should be added to that section of Part 81, in addition to the proposed inclusion in Part 83. This has been done.

4. While supporting the addition of channel 88 in the Great Lakes area, AT&T, AWO, Lorain and Watercom all point to the inadequacy of existing maritime VHF public correspondence channels and all urge the Commission to increase the number of frequencies. AT&T expresses the view that the relief to congestion provided in the Great Lakes area by the addition of channel 88 will last no longer than one year and that, thereafter, additional channels will be re-

quired in the Great Lakes area. AT&T also points to the need for additional VHF channels in other areas of the United States. Similarly, AWO and Watercom urge the Commission to provide additional very high frequencies (VHF) as quickly as practicable to meet the needs of vessel operators on the inland waters. Lorain expresses the hope that further Commission action will be forthcoming to make additional channels available.

5. In response to these requests, the Commission calls attention to the broad reallocation of spectrum being considered in preparation for the ITU 1979 World Administrative Radio Conference (Docket No. 20271). In that regard, the needs of the maritime mobile service are being expressed through Special Committee 69 of the Radio Technical Commission for Marine Services (RTCM) and the Commission will, of course, consider those needs together with the needs expressed by other services.

6. In view of the foregoing, the Commission finds that amendment of the rules as proposed would be in the public interest. Accordingly, *it is ordered*, That pursuant to authority contained in section 303(c), (f), (g) and (r) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, Parts 2, 81 and 83 of the Commission's rules are amended, effective January 6, 1977, as set forth below.

7. *It is further ordered*, That this proceeding is terminated.

(Secs. 4, 303, 48 Stat., as amended, 1066, 1082 (47 U.S.C. 154, 303).)

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
VINCENT J. MULLINS,
*Secretary.*

Parts 2, 81 and 83 of Chapter I of Title 47 of the Code of Federal Regulations are amended as follows:

## PART 2—FREQUENCY ALLOCATIONS AND RADIO TREATY MATTERS; GENERAL RULES AND REGULATIONS

1. § 2.106, footnote "US223" is added in column 6 opposite the band 157.1875—162.0125 MHz and the band 162.0125—173.2 MHz; and a new footnote, US223, is added under the heading "U.S. Footnotes", as follows:

**§ 2.106 Table of frequency allocations.**

| United States | | Federal Communications Commission | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Band (megahertz) | Allocation | Band (megahertz) | Service | Class of station | Frequency (megahertz) | Nature (of services and stations) |
| 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| 157.1875 to 162.0125. | NG. (US77) (US200) (NG111) (US223) | 157.1875 to 157.450. | Maritime mobile. (NG5). | Ship | 157.200<br>157.225<br>157.250<br>157.275<br>157.300<br>157.325<br>157.350<br>157.375<br>157.400<br>157.425 | Maritime mobile. |
| * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| 162.0125 to 173.2. | G. (US8) (US11) (US13) (US216) (US223) | | | | 166.25<br>170.15<br>170.425<br>170.475<br>170.575<br>171.425<br>171.475<br>171.575<br>172.225<br>172.275<br>172.375 | Public safety. Remote pickup.<br>Public safety. |

U.S. Footnotes

* * * * *

US223 Within 75 miles of the United States/Canada border on the Great Lakes and Saint Lawrence Seaway, use of coast transmit frequency 162.025 MHz and ship station transmit frequency 157.425 MHz (VHF maritime mobile service channel 88) may be authorized for use by the maritime mobile service for public correspondence.

## PART 81—STATIONS ON LAND IN THE MARITIME SERVICES AND ALASKA-PUBLIC FIXED STATIONS

1. In § 81.304, the tables in paragraph (a) and in paragraph (b)(22) are amended and a new paragraph (b)(62) is added, to read as follows:

**§ 81.304 Frequencies available.**

(a) * * *

| Carrier frequency (MHz) | Conditions of use: Section | Conditions of use: Limitations |
|---|---|---|
| * | * | * |
| 162.000 | 81.304 | 22. |
| 162.025 | 81.304 | 22, 62. |

(b) * * *

* * * * *

(22) To the extent practicable, the order of assignment of public correspondence channels will be in accord with the U.S. priority numbering system, as follows:

| Priority No. U.S. | Priority No. I.T.U. | Transmit (MHz) | Receive (MHz) | Channel designator |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| * | * | * | * | * |
| 8 | 17 | 161.875 | 157.275 | 85 |
| 9 | 18 | 162.025 | 157.425 | 88 |

(62) Within 75 miles of the United States/Canada border on the Great Lakes and Saint Lawrence Seaway, use of coast transmit frequency 162.025 MHz and ship station transmit frequency 157.425 MHz (VHF maritime mobile service channel 88) may be authorized for use by the maritime mobile service for public correspondence.

* * * * *

## PART 83—STATIONS ON SHIPBOARD IN THE MARITIME SERVICES

1. In § 83.351, the table in paragraph (a) and paragraph (b)(55) are amended, and a new paragraph (b)(72) is added, to read as follows:

**§ 83.351 Frequencies available.**

(a) * * *

| Carrier frequency (MHz) | Conditions of use: Section | Conditions of use: Limitations |
|---|---|---|
| * | * | * |
| 157.425 | 83.359 | 40, 49, 55, 72 |

(b) * * *

* * * * *

(55) Except in the Great Lakes and along the St. Lawrence Seaway, available for intership and commercial communications and may be assigned to ship stations aboard commercial transport vessels engaged in commercial fishing, in addition to the frequencies designated by subparagraph (49) of this paragraph, and between these commercial vessels and associated aircraft while engaged in commercial fishing activities.

* * * * *

(72) In the areas of the Great Lakes and along the St. Lawrence Seaway, 157.425 MHz is half of the duplex pair designated as channel 88. In these two areas, channel 88 is available for use by ship stations for public correspondence communications.

* * * * *

2. In § 83.359, that part of the table headed "Public Correspondence" is amended to read as follows:

**§ 83.359 Frequencies in the band 156–162 MHz available for assignment.**

* * * * *

| Channel designator | Frequency (MHz): Ship | Frequency (MHz): Coast | Points of communication |
|---|---|---|---|
| PUBLIC CORRESPONDENCE | | | |
| * | * | * | * |
| 28 | 157.400 | 162.000 | Do. |
| 88 | 157.425 | 162.025 | Do. |

[FR Doc.76-36324 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

# proposed rules

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices to the public of the proposed issuance of rules and regulations. The purpose of these notices is to give interested persons an opportunity to participate in the rule making prior to the adoption of the final rules.

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Agricultural Marketing Service

### [ 7 CFR Part 180 ]

### PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION ACT REGULATIONS AND RULES OF PRACTICE

### Miscellaneous Amendments

*Statement of considerations.* Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Part 180 (7 CFR, Part 180) is issued pursuant to the Plant Variety Protection Act (7 U.S.C. 2321, et seq.) Section 180.2(c) of the regulations and rules of practice (7 CFR 180.2(c)) provides that the proceedings of the Plant Variety Protection Board shall be conducted in accordance with an Executive Order that is superseded, Administrative Regulations that are not specified, and an Instruction which has been superseded. It is proposed that this section be reworded to indicate that the proceedings of the Board are subject to the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463) and certain administrative regulations.

Section 180.7(a) of the regulations and rules of practice under the Plant Variety Protection Act (7 CFR 180.5(c)) provides that the one-year period allowed applicants to file for protection after having filed an application in a foreign country on the same variety may be extended by the Commissioner to a total of 4 years because of official grow-out tests required by such foreign country. Information received from foreign applicants indicates that up to 5 years are required to complete grow-out tests to grant protection on perennial forage varieties. It is proposed that the 4-year maximum period previously provided be increased to 5 years.

Section 180.20 of the regulations and rules of practice under the Plant Variety Protection Act (7 CFR 180.20) provides that if an applicant fails to advance actively his application within 6 months after the date when the last request for action was mailed to him by the Office, or within such longer time as may be fixed by the Commissioner, the application shall be deemed abandoned. Section 180.22 of the regulations and rules of practice under the Plant Variety Protection Act (7 CFR 180.22) provides that an application abandoned for failure on the part of an applicant to advance actively his application may be revived under certain circumstances, but no time limit for reviving such an application is specified. An application voluntarily abandoned, if revived, must be revived within 3 months under the provisions of § 180.23 (b). These sections are inconsistent in that under § 180.22 an applicant who fails to act on his application has no time limit imposed for reviving his application after abandonment; whereas, under § 180.23(b) an applicant who voluntarily abandons his application must revive it within a time limit of 3 months. In order to make these sections consistent, it is proposed that an application abandoned for either reason, if revived, must be revived within 3 months.

Sections 180.11, 180.20, 180.100, 180.101, 180.103, 180.175 and 180.178 of the regulations and rules of practice of the Plant Variety Protection Act (7 CFR 180.11, 180.20, 180.100, 180.101, 180.103, 180.175 and 180.178) refer to the collection of $250 each for (1) filing an application, and (2) search or examination, and refunds thereof. The separate collection of the filing fee and the search fee delays the search or examination. It is proposed to amend these and other sections of the regulations and rules of practice to collect both the filing fee and the search fee at the time the application is filed, with a provision that any application abandoned before a search is begun would entitle the applicant to a $250 refund.

Section 52(3) (7 U.S.C. 2422) of the Plant Variety Protection Act provides that a viable sample of basic seed will be deposited by the applicant in accordance with regulations to be established. Section 180.101(b) of the regulations and rules of practice (7 CFR 180.101(b)) provides that the applicant shall submit a reasonable quantity of the viable basic seed as determined by the Commissioner. It has been the practice to request such samples at the time a notice of allowance is mailed. This has resulted in delays in the issuance of certificates and does not permit examination of the seed sample before a notice of allowance is issued. It is proposed that the regulations be reworded to require the original viable basic seed sample to be submitted with the application and to specify the minimum number of seeds required to be submitted.

*Proposal.* It is proposed that the following amendments to the regulations and rules of practice be adopted:

1. That § 180.2(c) be amended as follows:

**§ 180.2 Plant Variety Protection Board.**

* * * * *

(c) The proceedings of the Board shall be conducted in accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463), OMB Circular A–63, and Administrative Regulations of the U.S. Department of Agriculture (7 CFR, Part 25) and such additional operating procedures as are adopted by members of the Board.

2. That new paragraphs § 180.6(c) and § 180.6(d) be added as follows:

**§ 180.6 Application for certificate.**

* * * * *

(c) The fees for (1) filing application, and (2) search or examination, shall be submitted with the application in accordance with sections 180.175–180.178.

(d) The applicant shall submit with the application at least 2,500 seeds of the viable basic seed required to reproduce the variety.

3. That the proviso in § 180.7(a)(7) be amended as follows:

**§ 180.7 Statement of applicant.**

(a) * * *

(7) * * *

*Provided, however,* That the total period allowed does not exceed 5 years.

4. That § 180.11(b) be revised as follows:

**§ 180.11 Application accepted and filed when received.**

* * * * *

(b) If any part of an application is so incomplete or so defective that it cannot be handled as a completed application for examination, as determined by the Commissioner, the applicant will be notified. The application will be held a maximum of 6 months for completion. Applications not completed at the end of the prescribed period will be considered abandoned. The application fee in such cases will not be refunded."

**§ 180.20 [Amended]**

5. That § 180.20(a) be amended by adding to the subparagraph the sentence, "The application fee in such cases will not be refunded."

6. That the first sentence of § 180.22 be amended to read as follows:

**§ 180.22 Revival of application abandoned for failure to reply.**

An application abandoned for failure on the part of the applicant to advance actively his application to its completion, in accordance with the regulations in this part, may be revived as a pending application within 3 months of such abandonment upon a finding by the Commissioner that the failure was inadvertent or unavoidable and without fraudulent intent. * * *

* * * * *

**§ 180.100 [Amended]**

7. That 180.100, paragraph (a), be deleted.

**§ 180.101 [Amended]**

8. That § 180.101(b) be deleted.

**§ 180.103 [Amended]**

9. That the first sentence of § 180.103 be amended by deleting "and sample of viable basic seed received by the Office."

§ 180.178 [Amended]

10. That in § 180.178 insert after the first sentence "except for the examination or search fee, which shall be refunded if an application is voluntarily abandoned pursuant to § 180.23(a) before a search or examination has begun."

Any person who wishes to submit written data, views, or arguments concerning this proposal may do so by filing them with the Hearing Clerk, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250 by January 13, 1977.

All written submissions made pursuant to this notice will be made available for public inspection at the office of the Hearing Clerk during regular business hours (7 CFR 1.276).

Done at Washington, D.C. on December 7, 1976.

DONALD E. WILKINSON,
*Administrator.*

[FR Doc.76-36591 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

---

## Food and Nutrition Service

## [7 CFR Part 245]

## FREE AND REDUCED PRICE MEALS AND FREE MILK IN PUERTO RICO AND THE VIRGIN ISLANDS

### Determining Eligibility

The Department proposes to amend the regulations governing the determination of eligibility for free or reduced price meals and free milk in schools participating in the National School Lunch Program, School Breakfast Program, and Special Milk Program to allow Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands, in lieu of taking individual family applications, to conduct a statistical sample of their population to determine the number of children eligible for free or reduced price meals and free milk. The results of such a statistical sample would be the basis on which a percentage factor for special cash assistance fund withdrawal would be developed.

In Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands all breakfasts, lunches and milk are provided to children in school at no charge to the child regardless of the economic need of the child's family. These jurisdictions use the Federal assistance they receive to supplement their own funds.

Inasmuch as all children are receiving meals at no charge, the Department believes it is unnecessary to make eligibility determinations on each individual child. However, under the national average payment procedure, the Department must determine the amount of special cash assistance funds to which the State agency is entitled for the number of free and reduced price meals served. For that purpose, in lieu of individual determinations of eligibility, the Department will accept the results of a statistical sample as a means of establishing entitlement to special cash assistance funds, subject to certain proposed conditions.

Comments, suggestions, or objections are invited. In order to be assured of consideration, such comments, suggestions, or objections should be delivered to William G. Boling, Manager, Child Nutrition Programs, Food and Nutrition Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250, no later than January 16, 1977, or submitted by mail post-marked no later than that date. Communications should identify the regulations section and paragraph on which comments, etc., are offered. All written submissions received pursuant to this notice will be made available for public inspection at the Office of the Manager, Child Nutrition Programs, during the regular business hours (8:30 am to 5 pm) (7 CFR 1.27(b)).

The following changes are proposed:

1. In § 245.1, a sentence is added to the end of paragraph (a) to read as follows:

**§ 245.1 General purpose and scope.**

(a) * * * Use of a statistical sampling procedure for Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands is provided in lieu of eligibility determinations on each particular child.

* * * * *

2. Section 245.4 is added to read as follows:

**§ 245.4 Exceptions for Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands.**

Notwithstanding any provision of this part, individual eligibility determinations and public announcement of the eligibility criteria need not be made by the State agencies of Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands since all children in school are provided free meals and milk at no charge regardless of the economic need of the child's family. In lieu thereof, such State agencies may use a statistical sample to determine the number of children eligible for free or reduced price meals and free milk on which a percentage factor for the withdrawal of special cash assistance funds can be developed subject to the following conditions: (a) A statistical sample must be conducted annually in accordance with the standards provided by FNS; (b) The sample design must be submitted to FNS for approval prior to proceeding with the survey; (c) The results of the statistical sample and the factor for fund withdrawal developed must have FNS approval prior to receiving any reimbursement under such factor; (d) The statistical sample must be conducted and the factor for fund withdrawal developed between July 1 and December 31 of each school year; (e) All material relating to the conduct of the survey and determination of the factor for fund withdrawal must be retained in accordance with the record retention requirements in § 210.8(e)(16) of this chapter; (f) Pending the results of the annual statistical sample, the factor for fund withdrawal shall be the same as for the previous school year with retroactive adjustments as appropriate; and (g) When the State agency does not conduct such a statistical sample, individual family size and income determinations must be made.

Dated: December 8, 1976.

JOHN DAMGARD,
*Deputy Assistant Secretary.*

[FR Doc.76-36736 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

---

## Agricultural Marketing Service

## [7 CFR Part 930]

## CHERRIES GROWN IN MICHIGAN, NEW YORK, WISCONSIN, PENNSYLVANIA, OHIO, VIRGINIA, WEST VIRGINIA, AND MARYLAND

### Proposed Miscellaneous Amendments

Notice is given of a proposal to amend the rules and regulations of Marketing Order No. 930 to: (1) Increase the amount which may be set aside from reserve pool funds to defray certain costs from $10,000 to $15,000; and (2) Clearly indicate that the conditions governing the sale of reserve pool cherries are applicable to cherries which are released from the reserve pool as a result of a revision of percentages.

The proposal was unanimously recommended by the Cherry Administrative Board, established under Marketing Order No. 930 (CFR Part 930), as the agency to administer the order. This order regulates the handling of cherries grown in Michigan, New York, Wisconsin, Pennsylvania, Ohio, Virginia, West Virginia, and Maryland, and is effective under the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937 as amended (U.S.C. 601–674).

Section 930.109(c) specifies that in the event of complete disposition of all reserve pool cherries, the Board may set aside a portion of the funds, not to exceed $10,000, from such disposition as a reserve to defray costs of storage and maintenance of records and supporting material of the pool. The Board concluded that $10,000 may be insufficient for such purposes and recommended the maximum amount be increased to $15,000.

Section 930.591 contains the conditions governing the sale of reserve pool cherries. The Board makes sales of reserve tonnage cherries to handlers by two methods: (1) By releasing reserve pool cherries; and (2) By revising free and restricted percentages applicable to cherries acquired by handlers. Section 930.591 does not now clearly indicate that the conditions are applicable to sales of reserve pool cherries in the event of a revision of percentages. During the 1974–75 season the free (and restricted) percentage was revised and some uncertainty arose as to the applicability of these conditions of sale to the cherries which were released. To remove any uncertainties in the future, § 930.591 should be amended to clearly indicate that its provisions govern such releases.

All persons who desire to submit written data, views, or arguments in connection with this proposal should file them, in quadruplicate, with the Hearing Clerk, United States Department of Agriculture, Room 112A, Washington, D.C. 20250, not later than December 31, 1976. All written submissions made pursuant to this notice will be made available for public inspection at the office of the Hearing Clerk during regular business hours (7 CFR 1.27(b)).

The proposal is as follows:

§ 930.109 [Amended]

1. Amend the second sentence of § 930.109(c) to change the amount contained therein from "$10,000" to "$15,000."

2. Amend § 930.591, Conditions governing the sale of reserve pool cherries, by inserting the following sentence after the heading and prior to paragraph (a) of the section.

§ 930.591 Conditions governing the sale of reserve pool cherries.

The procedure set forth in this section shall be applicable to cherries released from the reserve pool as a result of a revision of percentages pursuant to § 930.53(a) or release of reserve pool cherries pursuant to § 930.53(b).

* * * * *

Dated: December 9, 1976.

CHARLES R. BRADER,
*Acting Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural Marketing Service.*

[FR Doc.76-36719 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

---

[7 CFR Part 1205]

## DETERMINATION OF COTTON BOARD MEMBERSHIP

### Proposed Revision in Membership Determination

Notice is hereby given that the Agricultural Marketing Service, under authority contained in Section 15 of the Cotton Research and Promotion Act, as amended (80 Stat. 285; 7 U.S.C. 2114), is considering the revision of § 1205.402 of the subpart—Members of Cotton Board (7 CFR 1205.402).

The Cotton Research and Promotion Act provides that Cotton Board members will be selected "* * * so that the representation of cotton producers on the Board for each cotton-producing State shall reflect, to the extent practicable, the proportion which that State's marketings of cotton bears to the total marketings of cotton in the United States: *Provided, however,* That each cotton-producing State shall be entitled to at least one representative on the Cotton Board * * *"

Section 1205.318 of the Cotton Research and Promotion Order provides that each cotton-producing State shall be represented by at least one member and by an additional member for each 1 million bales or major fraction (more than one-half) thereof of cotton produced in the State and marketed above 1 million bales during the period specified in the regulations for determining Board membership.

Section 1205.402 provides that average annual production of Upland cotton for the 5 crop years 1961 through 1965 shall be used as the basis for determining representation on the Cotton Board for States entitled to more than one Board member. Production volumes in cotton-producing States have changed since the 1961–65 period and a more recent base period should be used to assure compliance with the Cotton Research and Promotion Act and Order.

In lieu of a 5-year stated period which might need updating from time-to-time it is proposed to use the average of Upland cotton production in each cotton-producing State during the most recent five marketing years. Under the proposed revision of § 1205.402 the Director of the Cotton Division, AMS would notify all certified cotton producer organizations in each cotton-producing State of the number of vacancies to be filled on the Cotton Board each year prior to the caucus. It would also provide that each member and alternate member will serve a full 3-year term even if by using the 5-year moving average criteria it is determined that a State's membership should be reduced.

In 1971 the industry adopted net weight trading terms in lieu of gross weight trading. Therefore, the basis for stating annual production in 500-pound gross weight bales as presently provided in § 1205.402 needs to be changed to 480-pound net weight bales. A 480-pound net weight bale is equivalent to a 500-pound gross weight bale and production figures released by the Department of Agriculture and the Bureau of the Census are stated in 480-pound net weight terms.

In consideration of the foregoing, it is proposed to amend 7 CFR Part 1205 by revising § 1205.402 as follows:

§ 1205.402 Determination of Cotton Board membership.

In determining whether any cotton-producing State is entitled to be represented by more than one member on the Cotton Board pursuant to § 1205.318, average annual production of upland cotton in terms of 480-pound net weight bales for the most recent 5 marketing years shall be used each year as the criteria for determination of such additional members. All members appointed from a State will serve a full 3-year term even though it is determined in a subsequent year that a State should have fewer additional members by using the average production of the most recent 5 marketing years. Each year the Director shall, based on such criteria, notify all certified cotton producer organizations in each cotton-producing State the number of vacancies to be filled on the Cotton Board.

Interested persons may participate in this proposed rule-making by submitting written data, views, or arguments in triplicate to the Hearing Clerk, Room 112, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250, not later than December 28, 1976. All written submissions made pursuant to this notice will be made available for public inspection at the Office of the Hearing Clerk during regular business hours (7 CFR 1.27(b)).

Dated: December 9, 1976.

WILLIAM T. MANLEY,
*Deputy Administrator, Program Operations.*

[FR Doc.76-36718 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

---

Rural Electrification Administration

[7 CFR Part 1701]

## RURAL TELEPHONE PROGRAM

### Proposed Revised Pages of REA Standard PC–5 for Station Installations

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the Rural Electrification Act, as amended (7 USC 901 et seq.), REA proposes to revise REA Bulletin 345–52 to announce revised pages 28, 29, 31, and 67, as well as new page 71a of REA Standard PC–5 for station installations. On issuance of REA Bulletin 345–52, Appendix A to Part 1701 will be modified accordingly.

Persons interested in the revised pages of the specification may submit written data, views or comments to the Director, Telephone Operations and Standards Division, Rural Electrification Administration, Room 1355, South Building, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250, not later than January 13, 1977. All written submissions made pursuant to this notice will be made available for public inspection at the Office of the Director, Telephone Operations and Standards Division during regular business hours.

A copy of the revised pages 28, 29, 31, 67, and new page 71a to REA Standard PC–5 may be secured in person or by written request from the Director, Telephone Operations and Standards Division.

The text of revised REA Bulletin 345–52 announcing the revised pages of the standard is as follows:

REA BULLETIN 345–52

SUBJECT: REA STANDARD PC–5 FOR STATION INSTALLATION

I. *Purpose:* To announce issuance of revised pages 28, 29, 31, and 67, as well as new page 71a (Figure 31), of REA Standard PC–5 for Station Installations.

II. *General:* Two important changes have been made in PC–5 as follows:

1. The previous arrangement for showing the entrance of filled buried wire into the trailer has been changed to require the wire to be terminated in a beam mounted terminal block beneath the trailer. Station wire would then be used to run from this block to a connecting block inside the trailer. This would eliminate any problem associated with the soiling of floors, walls or carpeting by the grease of filled wires.

2. Public Laws 90–480 and 93–112 now require public and pay station telephones to be accessible for use by handicapped persons. Therefore, requirements have been introduced in this standard for the mounting of public and pay station telephones to meet the provisions of those public laws.

The revised pages 28, 29, 31 and 67 and new page 71a will each bear a revision date of January 1977. The basic issue of PC–5 dated September 1975 shall be appended by the revised pages and they become a part of the specification. These changes become effective upon their issuance.

III. *Availability of Specification Changes:* Copies of the revised pages and new page of PC–5 will be furnished by REA upon request. Questions concerning these changes may be referred to the Chief, Station Equipment and Protection Branch, Telephone Operations and Standards Division, Rural Electrification Administration, U.S. Department of Agricul-

ture, Washington, D.C. 20250, telephone number 202-447-3173.

Dated: December 6, 1976.

C. R. BALLARD,
*Assistant Administrator, Telephone.*

[FR Doc.76-36587 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

## CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

### [ 14 CFR Part 221 ]

[EDR-305, Docket 29421, Dated: September 21, 1976]

### CONSTRUCTION, PUBLICATION, FILING AND POSTING OF TARIFFS OF AIR CARRIERS AND FOREIGN AIR CARRIERS

### Service of Charter Tariff Publications On Charterers; Correction

*Correction*

In FR Doc. 32777, appearing on page 49151 in the issue of Monday, November 8, 1976, delete the period at the end of the paragraph and add ", should read October 26, 1976.".

## DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

[Docket No. 76N-0487]

### Food and Drug Administration

### [ 21 CFR Part 310 ]

### ORAL CONTRACEPTIVES

### Patient Labeling Revision

*Correction*

In FR Doc. 76-36029, appearing at page 53630, in the issue of Tuesday, December 7, 1976, on page 53632, the following changes should be made:

1. In column 3, in the "Note:" paragraph, on lines 1 and 2, delete the date "February 7, 1977" and insert the following: "(insert the effective date of the final regulation)" * * *.

2. In column 3, paragraph "(7)", lines 7 and 8, delete the date, "February 7, 1977." and insert "(insert date 60 days after the effective date of the final regulation).".

## DEPARTMENT OF STATE

### [22 CFR PART 93]

[Docket No. SD-125]

### FOREIGN SOVEREIGN IMMUNITIES

### Proposed Service on Foreign State Regulations

Notice is hereby given that the Department of State proposes to amend Title 22 of the Code of Federal Regulations by adding a new Part 93 to Subchapter J and by changing the title of Subchapter J.

Pursuant to section 1608(a) of the Foreign Immunities Act of 1976 (Pub. L. 94-583, 90 Stat. 2891), the Secretary of State is to promulgate regulations prescribing the form of a "Notice of Suit" which is, in certain circumstances, to accompany the service of a summons and complaint upon a foreign state or its political subdivisions. Under section 1608 (a)(4) of the Act, the Secretary of State is also to take steps to transmit certain papers through diplomatic channels in prescribed circumstances. Proposed regulations relating to these statutory requirements are set forth below.

Interested persons wishing to express their views on the proposed regulations should submit written comments, on or before January 13, 1977, to the Office of the Legal Adviser, Department of State, Washington, D.C. 20520.

1. The title of Subchapter J of Title 22 of the Code of Federal Regulations is changed to read as follows.

SUBPART J—LEGAL AND RELATED SERVICES

2. The proposed new Part 93 will read as follows:

### PART 93—SERVICE ON FOREIGN STATE

Sec.
93.1 Service through the diplomatic channel).
93.2 Notice of Suit (or of default judgment).

AUTHORITY: Sec. 1608(a), Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act of 1976, Pub. L. 94-583 (28 U.S.C. 1608(a)); sec. 4, 63 Stat. 111, as amended (22 U.S.C. 2658).

#### § 93.1 Service through the diplomatic channel.

(a) The Director of the Office of Special Consular Services in the Bureau of Security and Consular Affairs, Department of State ("The Director of Special Consular Services"), shall perform the duties of the Secretary of State under section 1608(a)(4) of Title 28, United States Code.

(b) When the clerk of the court concerned sends documents under section 1608(a)(4), of Title 28, United States Code, the Director of Special Consular Services shall promptly ascertain if the documents include the required copies of the notice of suit and of the summons and complaint (or default judgment), and any required translations. If not, he shall promptly advise the clerk of the missing items.

(c) Upon receiving the required copies of documents and any required translations, Director of Special Consular Services shall promptly cause one copy of each such document and translation ("the documents") to be delivered—

(1) to the Embassy of the United States in the foreign state concerned, and the Embassy shall promptly deliver them to the foreign ministry or other appropriate authority of the foreign state, or

(2) to the embassy of the foreign state in the District of Columbia.

(d) The documents, when delivered under paragraph (c) of this section, shall be accompanied by a diplomatic note of transmittal, requesting that the documents be forwarded to the appropriate authority of the foreign state or political subdivision upon which service is being made. The note shall state that, under United States law, questions of jurisdiction and of state immunity must be addressed to the court and not to the Department of State, and that it is advisable to consult with an attorney in the United States.

(e) If the documents are delivered under paragraph (c)(1) of this section, the Embassy of the United States shall promptly transmit by diplomatic pouch, to the Director of Special Consular Services, a certified copy of the diplomatic note of transmittal. If the documents are delivered under paragraph (c)(2) of this section, the Director of Special Consular Services shall prepare a certified copy of the diplomatic note of transmittal. In either case, the certification shall state the date and place the documents were delivered. The Director of Special Consular Services shall then promptly send the certified copy to the clerk of the court concerned.

#### § 93.2 Notice of suit (or of default judgment).

(a) A Notice of Suit prescribed in section 1608(a) of Title 28, United States Code, shall be prepared in the form that appears in the Annex to this section.

(b) In preparing a Notice of Suit, a party shall in every instance supply the information specified in items 1 through 5 of the form appearing in the Annex to this section. A party shall also supply information specified in item 6, if notice of a default judgment is being served.

(c) In supplying the information specified in item 5, a party shall in simplified language summarize the nature and purpose of the proceeding (including principal allegations and claimed bases of liability), the reasons why the foreign state or political subdivision has been named as a party in the proceeding, and the nature and amount of relief sought. The purpose of item 5 is to enable foreign officials unfamiliar with American legal documents to ascertain the above information.

(d) A party may attach additional pages to the Notice of Suit to complete information under any item.

ANNEX

NOTICE OF SUIT
(OR OF DEFAULT JUDGMENT)

1. Title of legal proceeding; full name of court; case or docket number:

2. Name of foreign state (or political subdivision) concerned:

3. Identity of the other Parties:

JUDICIAL DOCUMENTS

4. Nature of documents served (e.g., Summons and Complaint; Default Judgment):

5. Nature and purpose of the proceeding; why the foreign state (or political subdivision) has been named; relief requested:

7. A response to a "Summons" and "Complaint" is required to be submitted to the court, not later than 60 days after these documents are received. The response may present preliminary defenses (including defenses relating to state immunity or the jurisdiction of the court). The failure to submit a timely response with the court can result in a Default Judgment and a request for execution to satisfy the judgment.

8. Questions relating to state immunities and to the jurisdiction of United States courts over foreign states are governed by the Foreign Sovereign Immunities Act of 1976, which appears in sections 1330, 1391(f), 1441 (d), and 1602 through 1611, of Title 28, United States Code (Pub. L. 94-583; 90 Stat. 2891).

Date: December 8, 1976.

MONROE LEIGH,
*Legal Adviser.*

[FR Doc.76-36776 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

## DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

### Federal Insurance Administration

### [ 24 CFR Part 1917 ]

[Docket No. FI-2470]

### APPEALS FROM FLOOD ELEVATION DETERMINATION AND JUDICIAL REVIEW

### Proposed Flood Elevation Determinations for the City of Camilla, Georgia

The Federal Insurance Administrator, in accordance with section 110 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93-234), 87 Stat. 980, which added Section 1363 to the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 Pub. L. 90-448), 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128, and 24 CFR Part 1917 (§ 1917.4-(a)), hereby gives notice of his proposed determinations of flood elevations for the City of Camilla, Georgia.

Under these Acts, the Administrator, to whom the Secretary has delegated the statutory authority, must develop criteria for flood plain management in identified flood hazard areas. In order to participate in the National Flood Insurance Program, the City of Camilla, Georgia must adopt sound flood plain management measures that are consistent with the flood elevations determined by the Secretary.

Proposed flood elevations (100-year flood) are listed below for selected locations. Map and other information showing the detailed outlines of the flood-prone areas and the proposed flood elevations are available for review at City Hall, P.O. Box 328, Camilla, Georgia 31730.

Any person having knowledge, information, or wishing to make a comment on these determinations should immediately notify Mayor Lewis B. Campbell, City Hall, P.O. Box 328, Camilla, Georgia 31730. The period for comment will be ninety days following the second publication of this notice in a newspaper of local circulation in the above-named community.

The proposed 100-year Flood Elevations are:

| Source of flooding | Location | Elevation in feet above mean sea level |
|---|---|---|
| Butler Branch | Chili St. | 160 |
| | Bay St. | 160 |
| | Newton Rd. | 161 |
| | Cemetery Rd. | 167 |
| Westwood Branch 2. | Fuller St. | 164 |
| | Andrews St. | 165 |
| Westwood Branch 1. | Georgia Northern RR. | 160 |
| | Laurel Lane | 160 |
| | Watt St. | 162 |
| Lowland-Big Slough. | 2d bridge—Route 3 | 162 |
| | Seaboard Coast Line. | 164 |
| | Sylvester Rd. | 168 |
| | Georgia Northern RR. | 168 |
| Seaboard Branch. | Moultrie Rd. | 169 |
| | McArthur Blvd. | 173 |
| Lowland Branch 1. | Bridge—Route 3 | 161 |

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended (42 U.S.C. 4001-4128); and Secretary's delegation of atuhority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended by 39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974.)

Issued: November 17, 1976.

HOWARD B. CLARK,
*Acting Federal Insurance Administrator.*

[FR Doc.76-36499 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

### [ 24 CFR Part 1917 ]

[Docket No. FI-2475]

### APPEALS FROM FLOOD ELEVATION DETERMINATION AND JUDICIAL REVIEW

### Proposed Flood Elevation Determinations for the City of Lowell, Middlesex County, Massachusetts

The Federal Insurance Administrator, in accordance with section 110 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93-234), 87 Stat. 980, which added section 1363 to the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 Pub. L. 90-448), 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128, and 24 CFR Part 1917 (§ 1917.4(a)) hereby gives notice of his proposed determinations of flood elevations for the City of Lowell, Middlesex County, Massachusetts.

Under these Acts, the Administrator, to whom the Secretary has delegated the statutory authority, must develop criteria for flood plain management in identified flood hazard areas. In order to participate in the National Flood Insurance Program, the City must adopt flood plain management measures that are consistent with the flood elevations determined by the Secretary.

Proposed flood elevations (100-year flood) are listed below for selected locations. Maps and other information showing the detailed outlines of the flood-prone areas and the proposed flood elevations are available for review at the Lobby of the City Hall and at the John F. Kennedy Civic Center Office of Planning and Development.

Any person having knowledge, information, or wishing to make a comment on these determinations should immediately notify Mr. William S. Taupier, City Manager of Lowell, City Hall, Lowell, Massachusetts 01852. The period for comment will be ninety days following the second publication of this notice in a newspaper of local circulation in the above-named community.

The proposed 100-year Flood Elevations are:

| Source of flooding | Location | Elevation in feet above mean sea level |
|---|---|---|
| Merrimack River | Hunts Falls Bridge | 64 |
| | Concord River Bridge. | 66 |
| | Aiken St./Oulette Bridge. | 69 |
| | Moody Street Bridge | 80 |
| | School Street Bridge | 92 |
| | Old Ferry Rd. | 101 |
| Beaver Brook | Lafayette St. | 73 |
| Black Brook | East Aldea | 100 |
| | Pratt St. | 100 |
| | Wood St. | 100 |
| | Thorncliff Ave. | 100 |
| Meadow Brook | Crosby St. | 80 |
| | Newhall St. | 81 |
| Concord River | Church St. | 73 |
| | Lawrence St. | 104 |
| | Acton St. | 104 |
| | I-495 | 105 |

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended (42 U.S.C. 4001-4128); and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended by 39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974.)

Issued: November 29, 1976.

HOWARD B. CLARK,
*Acting Federal Insurance Administrator.*

[FR Doc.76-36504 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

### [ 24 CFR Part 1917 ]

[Docket No. FI-2471]

### APPEALS FROM FLOOD ELEVATION DETERMINATION AND JUDICIAL REVIEW

### Proposed Flood Elevation Determinations for the City of Moultrie, Georgia

The Federal Insurance Administrator, in accordance with section 110 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93-234), 87 Stat. 980, which added section 1363 to the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 Pub. L. 90-448), 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128, and 24 CFR Part 1917 (§ 1917.4 (a)), hereby gives notice of his proposed

determinations of flood elevations for the City of Moultrie, Georgia.

Under these Acts, the Administrator, to whom the Secretary has delegated the statutory authority, must develop criteria for flood plain management in identified flood hazard areas. In order to participate in the National Flood Insurance Program, the City of Moultrie must adopt sound flood plain management measures that are consistent with the flood elevations determined by the Secretary.

Proposed flood elevations (100-year flood) are listed below for selected locations. Maps and other information showing the detailed outlines of the flood-prone areas and the proposed flood elevations are available for review at City Hall, P.O. Box 1580, Moultrie, Georgia 31768.

Any person having knowledge, information, or wishing to make a comment on these determinations should immediately notify Mayor William B. Withers, City Hall, P.O. Box 1580, Moultrie, Georgia 31768. The period for comment will be ninety days following the second publication of this notice in a newspaper of local circulation in the above-named community.

The proposed 100-year Flood Elevations are:

| Source of flooding | Location | Elevation in feet above mean sea level |
|---|---|---|
| Ochlockonee Creek. | Meigs Rd. | 246 |
| | SR 37 | 258 |
| | Old Quitman Rd. | 269 |
| | Southern RR.[1] | 272 |
| Channel A | Northwest 8th St. | 272 |
| Channel B | Northwest 11th St.[1] | 276 |
| Channel C | Southwest 13th Ave. | 257 |
| | Southwest 7th Ave. | 260 |
| | Southwest 6th St. | 285 |
| | Southwest 8th St. | |
| Channel C-1 | Southwest 10th St. | 260 |
| | Southwest 6th St.[1] | 263 |
| | Southwest 5th St.[1] | 264 |
| Channel D | Circle Dr. | 254 |
| Channel F | Northeast 8th St. | 272 |
| | Northeast 2nd Ave. | 278 |
| Channel G | Southeast 10th St.[1] | 277 |
| | Southeast 8th St.[1] | 278 |
| | Southeast 6th St.[1] | 283 |
| Channel H | Farmers Market Blvd.[1] | 271 |

[1] Downstream side of road.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended (42 U.S.C. 4001–4128); and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended by 39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974.)

Issued: November 11, 1976.

J. ROBERT HUNTER,
*Federal Insurance Administrator.*

[FR Doc.76-36500 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

## [ 24 CFR Part 1917 ]

[Docket No. FI-2476]

## APPEALS FROM FLOOD ELEVATION DETERMINATION AND JUDICIAL REVIEW

### Proposed Flood Elevation Determinations for the City of Northampton, Massachusetts

The Federal Insurance Administrator, in accordance with section 110 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93–234), 87 Stat. 980, which added section 1363 to the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 Pub. L. 90–448), 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128 and 24 CFR Part 1917 (§ 1917.4(a)), hereby gives notice of his proposed determinations of flood elevations for the City of Northampton, Massachusetts.

Under these Acts, the Administrator, to whom the Secretary has delegated the statutory authority, must develop criteria for flood plain management in identified flood hazard areas. In order to participate in the National Flood Insurance Program, the City of Northampton must adopt sound flood plain management measures that are consistent with the flood elevations determined by the Secretary.

Proposed flood elevations (100-year flood) are listed below for selected locations. Maps and other information showing the detailed outlines of the flood-prone areas and the proposed flood elevations are available for review at City Hall, Main Street, Northampton, Massachusetts 01060.

Any person having knowledge, information, or wishing to make a comment on these determinations should immediately notify Mayor David Cramer, City Hall, Main Street, Northampton, Massachusetts 01060. The period for comment will be ninety days following the second publication of this notice in a newspaper of local circulation in the above-named community.

The proposed 100-year Flood Elevations are:

| Source of flooding | Location | Elevation in feet above mean sea level |
|---|---|---|
| Mill River | Meadow St. | 244 |
| | Clement St. | 191 |
| | West St. | 131 |
| Connecticut River. | Route 9. | 130 |

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended (42 U.S.C. 4001–4128); and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended by 39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974.)

Issued: November 22, 1976.

J. ROBERT HUNTER,
*Federal Insurance Administrator.*

[FR Doc.76-36505 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

## [ 24 CFR Part 1917 ]

[Docket No. FI-2474]

## APPEALS FROM FLOOD ELEVATION DETERMINATION AND JUDICIAL REVIEW

### Proposed Flood Elevation Determinations for the City of West Point, Hardin County, Kentucky

The Federal Insurance Administrator, in accordance with Section 110 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93–234), 87 Stat. 980, which added section 1363 to the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 Pub. L. 90–448), 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128, and 24 CFR Part 1917 (§ 1917.4(a)) hereby gives notice of his proposed determinations of flood elevations for the City of West Point, Hardin County, Kentucky.

Under these Acts, the Administrator, to whom the Secretary has delegated the statutory authority, must develop criteria for flood plain management in identified flood hazard areas. In order to participate in the National Flood Insurance Program, the City must adopt flood plain management measures that are consistent with the flood elevations determined by the Secretary.

Proposed flood elevations (100-year flood) are listed below for selected locations. Maps and other information showing the detailed outlines of the flood-prone areas and the proposed flood elevations are available for review at City Hall, 508 Elm Street, West Point.

Any person having knowledge, information, or wishing to make a comment on these determinations should immediately notify Mayor Lee Brown, City Hall, 508 Elm Street, West Point, Kentucky 40177. The period for comment will be ninety days following the second publication of this notice in a newspaper of local circulation in the above-named community.

The proposed 100-year Flood Elevations are:

| Source of flooding | Location | Elevation in feet above mean sea level |
|---|---|---|
| Ohio River | 2d St. | 443 |
| | 6th St. | 443 |
| | 10th St. | 443 |
| | Shirley St. | 443 |
| | 16th St. | 443 |
| | Kelona St. | 443 |

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended (42 U.S.C. 4001–4128) and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended by 39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974.)

Issued: November 17, 1976.

HOWARD B. CLARK,
*Acting Federal Insurance Administrator.*

[FR Doc.76-36503 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

## [ 24 CFR Part 1917 ]

[Docket No. FI-2477]

## APPEALS FROM FLOOD ELEVATION DETERMINATION AND JUDICIAL REVIEW

### Proposed Flood Elevation Determinations for the Town of Rehoboth, Massachusetts

The Federal Insurance Administrator, in accordance with section 110 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93–234), 87 Stat. 980, which added section 1363 to the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 Pub. L. 90–448), 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128, and 24 CFR Part 1917 (§ 1917.4(a)), hereby gives notice of his proposed determinations of flood elevations for the Town of Rehoboth, Massachusetts.

Under these Acts, the Administrator, to whom the Secretary has delegated the statutory authority, must develop criteria for flood plain management in identified flood hazard areas. In order to participate in the National Flood Insurance Program, the Town of Rehoboth must adopt sound flood plain management measures that are consistent with the flood elevations determined by the Secretary.

Proposed flood elevations (100-year flood) are listed below for selected locations. Maps and other information showing the detailed outlines of the flood-prone areas and the proposed flood elevations are available for review at Town Hall, Nike Court, Rehoboth, Massachusetts 02769.

Any person having knowledge, information, or wishing to make a comment on these determinations should immediately notify Ms. Sue Ellen Snape, Chairwoman, Board of Selectmen, Town Hall, Nike Street, Rehoboth, Massachusetts 02769. The period for comment will be ninety days following the second publication of this notice in a newspaper of local circulation in the above-named community.

The proposed 100-year Flood Elevations are:

| Source of flooding | Location | Elevation in feet above mean sea level |
|---|---|---|
| West Branch Palmer River. | Carpenter Street Bridge. | 53.9 |
| | Danforth Street Bridge. | 78.3 |
| | Perryville Road Bridge. | 95.1 |
| | Homestead Avenue Bridge. | 104.4 |
| | Fairfield Street Bridge. | 118.0 |
| Bliss Brook | Ash Street Bridge | 117.3 |
| | Agricultural Avenue Bridge. | 131.1 |
| East Branch Palmer River. | Winthrop Street Bridge. | 36.7 |
| | Bay State Road Bridge. | 41.2 |
| | County Street Bridge. | 48.5 |
| | Williams Street Bridge. | 67.7 |
| | Fairview Avenue Bridge. | 98.0 |
| Bad Luck Brook | County Street Bridge. | 54.0 |
| | Elm Street Bridge | 60.9 |
| | Kelton Street Extension Bridge. | 83.4 |
| Rocky Run | Martin Street Bridge | 47.0 |
| | Pleasant Street Bridge. | 35.0 |
| | Davis Street Bridge | 25.2 |
| | Mason Street Bridge | 10.0 |
| Oak Swamp Brook. | Providence Street Bridge. | 20.4 |
| Sabin Pond Brook. | Downstream Dam | 26.3 |
| | Upstream Dam | 26.8 |
| Palmer River | Fall River Avenue Bridge. | 4.8 |
| | Providence Street Bridge. | 9.9 |
| | Wheeler Street Bridge. | 19.1 |
| | Summer Street Bridge. | 26.1 |
| | Danforth Street Bridge. | 34.6 |

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended (42 U.S.C. 4001–4128); and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended by 39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974.)

Issued: November 22, 1976.

J. ROBERT HUNTER,
*Federal Insurance Administrator.*

[FR Doc.76-36506 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

## [ 24 CFR Part 1917 ]

[Docket No. FI-2472]

## APPEALS FROM FLOOD ELEVATION DETERMINATION AND JUDICIAL REVIEW

### Proposed Flood Elevation Determinations for the Village of Hazel Crest, Cook County, Illinois

The Federal Insurance Administrator, in accordance with section 110 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93-234), 87 Stat. 980, which added section 1363 to the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 Pub. L. 90-448), 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128, and 24 CFR Part 1917 (§ 1917.4(a)) hereby gives notice of his proposed determinations of flood elevations for the Village of Hazel Crest, Cook County, Illinois.

Under these Acts, the Administrator, to whom the Secretary has delegated the stautory authority, must develop criteria for flood plain management in identified flood hazard areas. In order to participate in the National Flood Insurance Program, the Village must adopt flood plain management measures that are consistent with the flood elevations determined by the Secretary.

Proposed flood elevations (100-year flood) are listed below for selected locations. Maps and other information showing the detailed outlines of the flood-prone areas and the proposed flood elevations are available for review at the Village Hall, 1818 West 170th Street, Hazel Crest, Illinois.

Any person having knowledge, information, or wishing to make a comment on these determinations should immediately notify Mr. Robert Franz, Manager of Hazel Crest, 1818 West 170th Street, Hazel Crest, Illinois 60429. The period for comment will be ninety days following the second publication of this notice in a newspaper of local circulation in the above-named community.

The proposed 100-year Flood Elevations are:

| Source of flooding | Location | Elevation in feet above mean sea level |
|---|---|---|
| Calumet Union Drainage Canal. | 167th St | 620 |
| | 170th St | 627 |
| | Holmes Ave | 633 |
| | 172nd St | 636 |
| | Elm Dr | 639 |
| | 175th St | 648 |
| Cherry Creek | Rockwell Ave | 630 |
| | Lexington Dr | 634 |
| East Branch Cherry Creek. | 5 ft upstream of 175th St. | 635 |
| | Governors Highway | 638 |
| | Corporate limits | 639 |
| West Branch Cherry Creek. | Mahoney Parkway | 635 |
| | Turtle Creek Dr. (extended). | 638 |
| | Grandview Dr. (extended). | 640 |
| | Oakwood Dr | 645 |
| | Fountainbleau Dr | 654 |
| | 183rd St | 664 |
| East Fork, West Branch Cherry Creek. | South corporate limits. | 677 |
| | 1,200 ft downstream of corporate limits. | 675 |
| West Fork, West Branch Cherry Creek. | Crawford Ave | 690 |
| | 1,600 ft downstream of Crawford Ave. | 683 |

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended (42 U.S.C. 4001-4128); and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended by 39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974.)

Issued: November 29, 1976.

HOWARD B. CLARK,
*Acting Federal Insurance Administrator.*

[FR Doc.76-36501 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

## [ 24 CFR Part 1917 ]

[Docket No. FI-2469]

## APPEALS FROM FLOOD ELEVATION DETERMINATION AND JUDICIAL REVIEW

### Proposed Flood Elevation Determinations for the Village of Lazy Lake, Florida

The Federal Insurance Administrator, in accordance with section 110 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 Pub. L. 93-234), 87 Stat. 980, which added section 1363 to the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 Pub. L. 90-448), 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128, and 24 CFR Part 1917 (§ 1917.4 (a)), hereby gives notice of his proposed determinations of flood elevations for the Village of Lazy Lake, Florida.

Under these Acts, the Administrator, to whom the Secretary has delegated the statutory authority, must develop criteria for flood plain management in identified flood hazard areas. In order to participate in the National Flood Insurance Program, the Village of Lazy Lake must adopt sound flood plain management measures that are consistent with the flood elevations determined by the Secretary.

Proposed flood elevations (100-year flood) are listed below for selected locations. Maps and other information showing the detailed outlines of the flood-prone areas and the proposed flood elevations are available for review at Village Officers, Lazy Lane, Lazy Lake, Florida 33305.

Any person having knowledge, information, or wishing to make a comment on these determinations should immediately notify Mayor Phyllis Moore, Lazy Lane, Lazy Lake, Florida 33305. The period for comment will be ninety days following the second publication of this notice in a newspaper of local circulation in the above-named community.

The proposed 100-year Flood Elevations are:

| Source of flooding | Location | Elevation in feet above mean sea level |
|---|---|---|
| Atlantic Ocean | Entire town | 6 |

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended (42 U.S.C. 4001–4128); and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended by 39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974.)

Issued: November 11, 1976.

J. ROBERT HUNTER,
*Federal Insurance Administrator.*

[FR Doc.76-36498 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

---

## [ 24 CFR Part 1917 ]

[Docket No. FI-2473]

## APPEALS FROM FLOOD ELEVATION DETERMINATION AND JUDICIAL REVIEW

### Proposed Flood Elevation Determinations for the Village of Woodridge, Du Page County, Illinois

The Federal Insurance Administrator, in accordance with section 110 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93-234), 87 Stat. 980, which added Section 1363 to the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 Pub. L. 90-448), 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128, and 24 CFR Part 1917 (§ 1917.4(a)) hereby gives notice of his proposed determinations of flood elevations for the Village of Woodridge, Du Page County, Illinois.

Under these Acts, the Administrator, to whom the Secretary has delegated the statutory authority, must develop criteria for flood plain management in identified flood hazard areas. In order to participate in the National Flood Insurance Program, the Village must adopt flood plain management measures that are consistent with the flood elevations determined by the Secretary.

Proposed flood elevations (100-year flood) are listed below for selected locations. Maps and other information showing the detailed outlines of the flood-prone areas and the proposed flood elevations are available for review at the main lobby of Village Hall, 2900 West 83rd Street, Woodridge.

Any person having knowledge, information, or wishing to make a comment on these determinations should immediately notify the Honorable Joel A. Kagann, 2900 West 83rd Street, Woodridge, Illinois 60515. The period for comment will be ninety days following the second publication of this notice in a newspaper of local circulation in the above-named community.

The proposed 100-year Flood Elevations are:

| Source of flooding | Location | Elevation in feet above mean sea level |
|---|---|---|
| Du Page River | Hobson Rd. | 655 |
| Prentiss Creek | Marshall Dr. extended (corporate limits). | 665 |
| | Clarke Dr. extended (corporate limits). | 668 |
| | Tyler Dr. extended | 680 |
| | East corporate limits | 691 |
| Crabtree Creek | West corporate limits | 651 |
| | State Highway 53 | 663 |
| | Westview Lane | 683 |
| | Woodview Dr. extended. | 688 |
| | Woodridge Dr. | 713 |

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended (42 U.S.C. 4001–4128); and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended by 39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974.)

Issued: November 29, 1976.

HOWARD B. CLARK,
*Acting Federal Insurance Administrator.*

[FR Doc.76-36502 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

---

# DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

## Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs

## [41 CFR Parts 60-1, 60-2]

## EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY

### Proposed Rulemaking

Section 201 of Executive Order 11246, as amended (30 FR 12319; amended, 32 FR 14303), provides that the Secretary of Labor shall adopt rules, regulations and orders as he deems necessary and appropriate to achieve the purposes of the Order.

On September 17, 1976, the Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs (OFCCP), U.S. Department of Labor, published a document in the FEDERAL REGISTER (41 FR 40340, volume 182) proposing to revise and redesignate the regulations in 41 CFR Parts 60-1 through 60-60. Section 60-1.6 of that document proposed a general revision of the present 41 CFR 60-1.40 (affirmative action compliance programs) in part by raising from 50 to 100 the minimum number of employees and from $50,000 to $100,000 the smallest contract a contractor must have to be covered by the written affirmative action program requirement. Similarly, conforming changes were made in 41 CFR Part 60-2 (Revised order 4). Part 60-2 of Chapter 60, 41 CFR also was generally revised.

In addition to raising the employee and contract dollar volume floors, § 60-1.6 also proposed that each nonconstruction contractor who has 100 or more employees and has Government bills of lading which, in any 12-month period, total or can reasonably be expected to total $100,000 or more shall develop a written affirmative action program. Conforming changes also were proposed for Part 60-2.

On September 20, 1976, the Federal district court at San Francisco entered an order which embodied a settlement agreement reached between the Department of Labor and the plaintiffs in "Castillo v. Usery," USDC ND Calif., Civil No. 73-282 AJZ. That agreement provided in relevant part:

> Defendant Secretary of Labor agrees to publish a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking to provide for the application of Revised Order Number 4, 41 CFR 60-2, to contractors having Government bills of lading, in a twelve month period which aggregate $50,000 or more, even though no one bill of lading is in excess of that amount, so long as the remaining requirements for Order 4 coverage are met.

Because the overriding purpose of the settlement agreement was to extend Revised Order Number 4 to cover the surface transportation industry and because the Department of Labor for the reasons stated in the preamble (which are unrelated to the "Castillo" case) proposed to raise both the employee number and contract amount standards in Order 4, it was the considered judgment of this Department that the September 17, 1976, proposal completely satisfied the court's order. That is, the surface transportation industry would be covered on the same basis as all other contractors are covered except that industry's contracts (Government bills of lading) would be aggregated to reach the dollar volume required for coverage. (Although the proposal was published before the court order was entered, the Department of Labor approved the settlement agreement a number of days before the proposal was published.)

In subsequent proceedings, however, the court has indicated its belief that the September 17, 1976, proposal does not comply with the settlement agreement. It was this Department's intention to comply fully with the court's order; therefore in order to remove all questions in this connection, the Department of Labor proposes to amend 41 CFR 60-1.40 (a) and 41 CFR 60-2.1 as specifically provided for in the settlement agreement, and as set forth below. The Department by this proposal is not withdrawing the proposed rulemaking published on September 17, 1976; however, on final rulemaking the Department of Labor will consider the comments submitted on each proposal.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments, suggestions or objections regarding the proposed amendment to Lawrence Z. Lorber, Director, Office of Federal Contract Compliance

Programs, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210, on or before January 13, 1977.

Prior to final adoption of the proposed regulations, consideration will be given to any data, views or arguments pertaining thereto which are submitted in writing. Copies of all comments received in response to this notice will be available for public inspection during regular business hours at the office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210.

Dated: December 9, 1976.

W. J. USERY, Jr.,
*Secretary of Labor.*

JOHN C. READ,
*Assistant Secretary, Employment Standards Administration.*

LAWRENCE Z. LORBER,
*Deputy Assistant Secretary Director, Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs.*

1. By amending § 60–1.40(a) to read as follows:

**Subpart C—Ancillary Matters**

**§ 60–1.40 Affirmative Action Compliance Programs.**

(a) *Requirements of programs.* Each agency or applicant shall require each prime contractor who has 50 or more employees and a contract of $50,000 or more or Government bills of lading which, in any 12-month period, total or can reasonably be expected to total $50,000 or more and each prime contractor and subcontractor shall require each subcontractor who has 50 or more employees and a subcontract of $50,000 or more or Government bills of lading which, in any 12-month period, total or can reasonably be expected to total $50,000 or more to develop a written affirmative action compliance program for each of its establishments. A necessary prerequisite to the development of a satisfactory affirmative action program is the identification and analysis of problem areas inherent in minority employment and an evaluation of opportunities for utilization of minority group personnel. The contractor's program shall provide in detail for specific steps to guarantee equal employment opportunity keyed to the problems and needs of members of minority groups, including, when there are deficiencies, the development of specific goals and time tables for the prompt achievement of full and equal employment opportunity. Each contractor shall include in its affirmative action compliance program a table of job classifications. This table should include but need not be limited to job titles, principal duties (and auiliary duties, if any), rates of pay, and where more than one rate of pay applies (because of length of time in the job or other factors), the applicable rates. The affirmative actions compliance program shall be signed by an executive official of the contractor.

2. By amending § 60–2.1 to read as follows:

**Subpart A—General**

**§ 60–2.1 Title, purpose and scope.**

(a) This part shall also be known as "Revised Order No. 4" and shall cover nonconstruction contractors. Section 60–1.40 of this Chapter, Affirmative Action Compliance Programs, requires that within 120 days from the commencement of a contract each prime contractor or subcontractor with 50 or more employees and a contract of $50,000 or more or Government bills of lading which, in any 12-month period, total or can reasonably be expected to total $50,000 or more develop a written affirmative action compliance program for each of its establishments. A review of agency compliance surveys indicates that many contractors do not have affirmative action programs on file at the time an establishment is visited by a compliance investigator. This part details the agency review procedure and the results of a contractor's failure to develop and maintain an affirmative action program and then sets forth detailed guidelines to be used by contractors and Government agencies in developing and judging these programs as well as the good faith effort required to transform the programs from paper commitments to equal employment opportunity. Subparts B and C are concerned with affirmative action plans only.

(b) Relief for members of an affected class who, by virtue of past discrimination, continue to suffer the present effects of that discrimination shall be provided in the conciliation agreement entered into pursuant to § 60–60.6 of this title. An "affected class" problem must be remedied in order for a contractor to be considered in compliance. Section 60–2.2 herein pertaining to an acceptable affirmative action program is also applicable to the failure to remedy discrimination against members of an "affected class."

[FR Doc.76-36863 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

**[ 47 CFR Part 61 ]**

[Docket No. 21005; FCC 76-1088]

## INTERNATIONAL TELEX AND DOMESTIC TELEX AND TWX

**Interface of Services**

Adopted: November 23, 1976.

Released: December 9, 1976.

1. Notice is hereby given, pursuant to section 553(b) of the Administrative Procedure Act (APA), 5 U.S.C. 553(b) (1970), and § 1.412 of the Commission's rules and regulations, 47 CFR 1.412 (1975), of proposed rulemaking in the above-captioned proceeding intended to enhance the usefulness of international teleprinter exchange (telex) service,[1] eliminate unnecessary duplication of terminal facilities and tielines and correct anomalies between the rate structure for international telex service and the rate structure for domestic telex and TWX services.[2] We are also affording hereby an opportunity for hearing pursuant to section 201(a) of the Communications Act of 1934, 47 U.S.C. 201(a) (1971), looking toward an order or orders of interconnection.

BACKGROUND

2. This proceeding arises out of two complaints filed with the Commission by Hartog Trading Corporation (Hartog) and The Western Union Telegraph Co. (WU) in which the complainants isolate various aspects of the structure of the United States record communications industry and the differing bases on which the carriers develop their tariff charges which complainants allege unduly restrict users in their use of telex service and place an undue economic burden on various classes of telex users. The facts in the present matter are not in dispute.

3. Telex service between the United States and overseas points is provided by five international record carriers (IRCs): French Telegraph Cable Co. (FTC), ITT World Communications Inc (ITT), RCA Global Communications, Inc. (RCA), TRT Telecommunications Corp. (TRT), and Western Union International, Inc. (WUI).[3] The IRS tariffs presently list more than 200 discrete overseas points to which they offer telex service from the United States Mainland. However, the IRCs do not all offer service to the same points and, in fact, no one IRC offers service to all points accessible by telex.[4] Each of the IRCs offers service directly to subscribers in its

[1] Telex refers to a customer-to-customer switched record service using telegraph-grade connecting circuits and characterized by a two-way communications capability.

[2] TWX refers to the Teletypewriter Exchange Service developed by American Telephone and Telegraph Co. (AT&T) and Presently offered by the Western Union Telegraph Company. TWX is similar to telex, but uses a different network of transmission circuits and differs in engineering details such as transmission speed and the pulse code employed.

[3] In addition, a joint venture of Cable and Wireless, Ltd. (A British Corporation) and WUI called C&W/WUI provides telex service between San Juan, Puerto Rico and overseas points, as well as serving as WUI's correspondent in Puerto Rico.

[4] To illustrate the significance of this limitation, we note that three of the highest-volume overseas points (Japan, Puerto Rico and Hawaii), which in 1974 collectively represented 13.5 percent of total overseas usage, are not served by all carriers. As is apparent, the fact that a given carrier does not serve one or more of these points would make that carrier's service unattractive to a substantial number of telex users.

gateway cities [5] by means of a network of tielines and teleprinters provided and maintained by the IRC.[6] Each IRC limits the terminals and tielines it provides to use in connection with its overseas transmission facilities and the points to which it provides service. Consequently, if a customer wishes to subscribe to IRC service and has a need for communication to all overseas destinations, he must subscribe to service from at least two IRCs.

4. Telex (as well as TWX) service between points within the Continental United States is offered solely by WU.[7] WU also provides its subscribers access to overseas points through interconnection with all of the IRCs. Subscription to a WU service, therefore, gives the subscriber access to all available overseas points. However, WU subscribers may not use their terminal to communicate with an IRC gateway subscriber, and since the IRCs are not presently authorized to provide service between two domestic points, IRC subscribers may not use their IRC terminal to communicate with other IRC subscribers or with WU subscribers. The domestic/international dichotomy also denies or limits IRC subscriber access in other ways. Under section 222(a)(5), the contiguous foreign countries of Canada and Mexico are classified with domestic points. As a result, because service to Canada is provided only by WU, IRC subscribers do not have access to users in that country. Service to Mexico is subject to a similar restriction, although TRT and RCA also provide service there because they did so before section 222 was enacted. On the other side of the coin, service between the U.S. Mainland and offshore U.S. points is classified under section 222 as international and is provided solely by the IRCs. The IRCs typically serve as their own correspondent at these points and interconnect there with any local telex suppliers. However, because they generally do not connect with other IRCs, Mainland IRC subscribers do not have access to subscribers of other IRCs at such overseas U.S. points. WU subscribers, however, have access to such users through its interconnections with all the IRCs.

5. As we have noted, the IRCs provide international telex service in two operating modes: (1) Direct service in the gateways and (2) indirect service through interconnection with WU. As a result, customer choice on the availability of telex service varies depending whether the customer is located in the gateway or the hinterland (the area of the Mainland outside the gateways). Both gateway and hinterland customers may subscribe to WU telex or TWX, at the same charges and with the same degree of access. Gateway customers, however, have an additional choice of subscribing directly to the service of an IRC—if they have no need of domestic communications capability. While hinterland customers may also subscribe to IRC service, they must also obtain (at extra expense) a private line from an appropriate domestic carrier to connect their premises to those of the IRCs and must also provide their own teleprinter. If customers have an additional need for domestic service, however, they must subscribe to one of the WU services.

6. As can be seen, IRC telex service is significantly more restricted, both in its availability and flexibility. It is pertinent, therefore, to inquire why anyone would choose to subscribe to the IRC service. One possible explanation is the comparative costs of IRC and WU service. As will be detailed below, WU service entails greater startup and monthly charges than does IRC service. This is because WU and the IRCs employ different rate structures in developing their charges. WU divides its service into three elements: (1) Terminal equipment (teleprinter), (2) network access (tieline and attachment to a WU switch), and (3) transmission. To recover its costs, WU imposes non-recurring (installation) and recurring (monthly) charges for the teleprinter and tieline [8] and a separate charge for usage. The IRCs, however, employ a unified rate structure in which they essentially recover their costs solely from usage charges.[9] To help assure that they recover their costs, the IRCs impose a minimum annual revenue guarantee of $120 for a manual teleprinter and $900 for an automatic machine.[10] As is apparent, because the elements of the rate structure on which charges are based are different, it is difficult to compare the relative costs to subscribers of domestic vs. international telex. However, looking at the first year of service, and assuming an equivalent dollar amount of usage, the minimum cost for WU telex service would be $1,102 for a manual teleprinter ($2,086 for automatic), $1,126 for 60-speed TWX ($2,230 for automatic), and $1,162 for 100-speed TWX service ($2,146 for automatic). For IRC telex service, on the other hand, the minimum cost under the same assumptions would be $120 for a manual machine ($1,020 for automatic).[11] The costs shown are for a gateway subscriber; for a hinterland IRC subscriber, the costs would be higher, since he must add to these costs the charges for a private line and teleprinter.[12] Since the charges for a private line vary according to distance, the total cost depends on the specific facts of each case.[13]

[5] Section 222(a)(5) defines the domestic handling of international record traffic as a domestic telegraph operation, but permits the IRCs direct public operations within the cities approved by the Commission as gateways. At present, there are five approved gateway cities for telex: New York; Washington; Miami, New Orleans; and San Francisco. ITT, RCA, TRT and WUI are authorized to provide international telex service in each of these cities. Additionally, FTC is authorized to provide telex service in New York.

[6] The IRC tariffs, however, permit the customer to provide his own teleprinter and tieline by purchase or lease. In this connection, the IRCs publish tariff lease rates for such equipment which can be connected to the telex service and permit customers to obtain equipment from independent suppliers.

[7] Section 222 of the Communications Act creates a dichotomy between domestic and international record communications. Under section 222(a)(5), communications between points within the 48 contiguous states and between such states and the State of Alaska, Canada, Saint Piere-Miquelon and Mexico are defined as domestic. Under section 222(a)(6), communications between the contiguous 48 states and all other overseas points (including the State of Hawaii, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, and the United States possessions) is defined as international. See also section 222(a)(10).

[8] The charges for basic WU telex or TWX service are broken down as follows:

| | Telex | TWX (60 speed) | TWX (100 speed) |
|---|---|---|---|
| Nonrecurring (installation) charges: | | | |
| Manual teleprinter | $50.00 | $50.00 | $50.00 |
| Network access | 50.00 | 50.00 | 50.00 |
| Total | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Recurring (monthly) charges: | | | |
| Teleprinter rental | 49.50 | 59.00 | 62.50 |
| Network access | 24.00 | 16.50 | 16.50 |
| Total (manual) | 73.50 | 75.50 | 78.50 |
| Surcharge for least expensive automatic machine | 17.00 | 27.00 | 17.00 |
| Total (automatic) | 90.50 | 102.50 | 95.50 |

NOTE.—Beyond the terminal charges, WU assesses usage charges based on a combination of time and distance. Additionally, WU permits customers to provide their own teleprinters; in which case, the customer is spared the installation and rental charges for teleprinters (he need pay WU only for network access).

[9] For a manual teleprinter, the only charge is the per-minute usage charge. For an automatic machine, however, the IRCs impose a $10 monthly rental charge which contributes toward, but does not cover, the costs of placing the machine. The balance of their costs is recovered by usage charges in the same way as for a manual machine. In addition, the IRC tariffs also permit the customer to supply his own terminal equipment, or tieline, or both.

[10] The IRC tariffs provide that a customer failing in any year to generate sufficient traffic must pay the difference between his actual use and the applicable minimum. Where the customer supplies his own terminal equipment and tieline, the minimum revenue guarantees do not apply.

[11] The costs shown above were calculated on the following basis:

| Cost item | WU Telex | WU TWX 60-speed | WU TWX 100-speed | IRC Telex |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Manual | | |
| Nonrecurring charges | $100 | $100 | $100 | 0 |
| Rental of teleprinter (12 mo.) | 594 | 708 | 744 | 0 |
| Network access (12 mo.) | 288 | 198 | 198 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 982 | 1,006 | 1,042 | 0 |
| Minimum usage | 120 | 120 | 120 | $120 |
| Total (manual) | 1,102 | 1,126 | 1,162 | 120 |
| | | Automatic | | |
| Nonrecurring charges | 100 | 100 | 100 | 0 |
| Rental of teleprinter (12 mo.) | 798 | 1,032 | 948 | 120 |
| Network access | 288 | 198 | 198 | 0 |
| Subtotal | 1,186 | 1,330 | 1,246 | 120 |
| Minimum usage | 900 | 900 | 900 | 900 |
| Total (automatic) | 2,086 | 2,230 | 2,146 | 1,020 |

[12] Similar extra costs would also be incurred by a gateway subscriber who elected to provide his own teleprinter and tieline.

[13] Because IRC subscribers can get access to all overseas points only by subscribing to service from at least two IRCs, however, the actual cost of IRC service would be somewhat higher than it appears at first glance.

CONTENTIONS OF THE PARTIES

7. *Hartog complaint.* In an informal complaint, Hartog protested the lack of interconnection of the IRC telex networks both with each other and with the WU domestic networks. Hartog notes that it presently subscribes to the telex service of one of the IRCs but, although it is satisfied with the quality of service it receives from that IRC, feels that its inability to communicate with all overseas destinations unreasonably limits its use of telex service. Second, Hartog complains that it is not able to communicate with domestic points except by subscribing to WU service which is available only by incurring the "fairly expensive" WU monthly rental charges for terminal equipment in addition to usage charges Hartog asserts that these matters indicate areas where the United States communications service is deficient and requests the Commission to investigate ways by which U.S. telex users may receive wider service coverage on a basis comparable to that available to users in other countries.

8. The Hartog complaint was referred to the various IRCs and WU for comment. In response, the IRCs generally asserted that the matters raised by Hartog arose from the historical development of the United States telex service and that, because the issues involved are interwoven, any resolution of the matter should be undertaken only after a thorough investigation to determine the full ramifications of a departure from present practices. Additionally, TRT enumerated three courses which it believes the Commission could follow in resolving the Hartog complaint. First, TRT states that we could require all IRCs to interconnect with WU for the purpose of originating and receiving domestic traffic. Second, TRT suggests that by ordering interconnection of the IRC systems with each other we could permit all IRC subscribers to reach all overseas service points. Third, TRT asserts that we could achieve equal access by encouraging the use of WU machines in gateway cities and allowing (or requiring) WU to reduce its monthly rental charges. TRT notes that only the third approach would meet all the problems outlined in the complaint and recommends that we adopt it. WU noted that while the cost of subscribing to its domestic systems is higher than for the IRC service, the customer receives greater flexibility; since the domestic system may be used to communicate with all domestic as well as all international points. WU agreed with TRT's recommendation that gateway customers be encouraged to use WU teleprinters but challenged the suggestion that WU lower its terminal charges. WU characterized the present IRC rate structure as discriminatory, since high-volume IRC subscribers as well as WU subscribers must pay unnecessarily high usage charges for international telex. Rather, WU suggested that the IRCs be required to institute separate, compensatory terminal charges and reduce the usage charges to eliminate the apparent subsidization of terminals.

9. *The WU complaint.* Subsequently, WU filed a formal complaint which expanded on its challenges to the IRC telex rate structure and sought investagation of the IRCs' rates. WU asserts that the present rate structure under which telex is offered is unlawful because it is not based on the cost of providing service, cannot be justified on the basis of competitive necessity and is unlawful discriminatory with respect to WU telex and TWX subscribers as well as IRC subscribers who generate large volumes of overseas telex. Specifically, WU asserts that the IRCs provide subscribers free, or at a nominal and noncompensatory charge, teleprinters, tielines and operating supplies even though they incur considerable expense in providing them. This, WU asserts, is contrary to the Commission's policy of requiring carriers to support their tariff offerings on the basis of cost of service. In this connection, WU argues that the minimum revenue guarantees applicable to international telex do not remedy the fact that the rates are noncompensatory for two reasons: First, no additional revenues are generated as a result of the guarantees (all revenues come from usage charges). Second, the levels of the guarantees are set too low realistically to assure that the IRCs will recover the costs of providing terminal equipment.

10. As a result, WU asserts that for the IRCs to recover their costs from usage charges, those charges must be set at a level far above usage-sensitive costs. Thus, WU which assesses compensatory monthly charges for terminal equipment, network access (tielines) and operating supplies, asserts that it is unable to compete with the IRCs equally in the gateway cities—since one could hardly expect users to incur the costs of subscribing to WU's terminals when they can get terminals from the IRCs at little or no direct cost. WU also alleges that the IRC rate structure makes it impossible for independent suppliers to sell equipment to IRC subscribers. Beyond this, WU asserts that the present rate structure is not mandated by past Commission decisions and that the objections it notes are not ontweighed by any competitive need. Further, WU argues that, by removing the lure of free equipment which the IRCs use to capture customers, all IRCs would be available to carry a portion of a customer's overseas communications. This, WU believes, would enhance rather than destroy competition among the IRCs. Finally, WU asserts that IRC telex rates should be investigated, since it believes that they allow the major carriers to achieve an excessive rate of return.

11. Answers to the WU complaint were filed by FTC, ITT, RCA, TRT and WUI. The IRCs in their answers do not challenge WU's factual allegations concerning the IRC unified telex rate structure, but they do dispute WU's characterization of the rate structure as discriminatory or otherwise unlawful. Rather, they assert that WU's Complaint merely shows that the IRC rate structure differs from that of WU and that no single rate structure is the only reasonable one. With respect to WU's allegation of discrimination against its customers, the IRCs note that section 202(a) of the Act does not apply where the alleged differential treatment is between the customers of one carrier and those of another. Beyond this, the IRCs note that WU subscribers, pay the same usage charges as IRC subscribers, even though they receive higher-cost service due to the fact that their communications must also transit the WU networks. WUI further states that the landline payments to WU for use of its network "are approximately the same" as the amount the IRCs incur in providing their own customers with terminals. WUI Answer at p. 21. The IRCs also assert that there is no discrimination against high-volume IRC telex users. They argue that the higher-volume user places a greater demand on his terminal equipment and uses more supplies and thus should be expected to contribute more toward recovery of terminal costs. Further, even assuming one could find some cross-subsidization, the IRCs argue that the Commission has never held that this would per se establish a discrimination or violaton of the Act.

12. On the contrary, the IRCs assert that the unified rate structure has benefitted the public by encouraging growth in telex, and thereby helped keep unit costs lower than they otherwise would be. The IRCs also assert that the unified rate structure is necessary to preserve competition among the IRCs and to permit the IRCs to compete with WU. They note that WU offers access both to the domestic and the international spheres, while they are limited solely to international. Therefore, if they were required to institute terminal charges, the IRCs argue that all customers would take WU service. Finally, the IRCs challenge WU's argument that the unified rate structure frustrates the Commission's interconnection policies. See, e.g., Carterfone, 13 FCC 2d 420 reconsid. denied 14 FCC 2d 571 (1968). The IRCs argue that our policies were aimed at arbitrary restrictions on connection of customer-supplied equipment, which the IRC tariffs do not contain, and that they were designed to give the customer flexibility—not to protect equipment suppliers. Therefore, they assert that merely because the IRCs may give customers a better deal than other suppliers does not mean that the unified rate structure violates Commission policy.

DISCUSSION

13. After reviewing the above complaints and associated pleadings, we believe that the complainants have raised substantial questions that United States telex users are unduly restricted in the availability and flexibility of telex service. Essentially, we see two separate but interrelated problem areas which we believe need correction. First, we believe that the present industry arrangements, which have the effect of denying or impeding access by such users to all overseas points and to many other United States users, are inherently undesirable.

The worldwide telex service was developed through international cooperation to assure that each country's system is compatible with those in other countries. The operational factors discussed above, which limit the access of U.S. users, frustrate this goal of universality and disserve the public interest. Second, and more fundamentally, we believe that these industry arrangements, and particularly the IRC unified rate structure, encourage an inefficient and potentially wasteful duplication of terminal facilities. As we view the matter, the key to the problems of the present arrangement is the lack of interconnection of the IRC and WU networks. This is because both the IRCs and WU have sought to keep their respective networks independent of the other networks and the fact that terminal equipment provided by a carrier is tied to use solely with that carrier's transmission facilities. Whatever the historical reasons for these practices, we believe that they presently disserve the public interest and that we have an obligation under the Communications Act to assure U.S. telex users universal access on reasonable terms and conditions.[14]

14. Accordingly, we are instituting the present rulemaking to consider ways in which this goal may be achieved. We are calling upon the carriers and interested members of the public to make their suggestions known to us. On the basis of the material now before us, we are tentatively of the view that our goal may best be achieved by adopting a policy which would require: (1) Interconnection of the various IRC telex networks; (2) Interconnection of the IRC networks with the WU telex and TWX networks for through domestic service; and (3) Reformation of the IRC unified telex rate structure to separate provision of terminals and transmission and to require institution of separate, compensatory charges for each. Since adoption of this proposed policy is likely to lead to the issuance of one or more orders of interconnection, we are also affording hereby opportunity for the carriers to address the issues related to interconnection.

15. As is readily apparent, the inability of an IRC to serve a particular overseas point significantly impairs the usefulness of its service to an existing or potential customer with a need to communicate with that point. Similarly, the fact that the IRCs cannot give their customers access to other IRC subscribers in the U.S., or to WU subscribers, is also a significant limitation on the usefulness of IRC service. While potential customers can obviate these limitations to some extent by subscribing to multiple IRC services and to WU service, this course will not give them complete access since WU customers do not have access to IRC subscribers. Under present industry arrangements, there is no way a U.S. customer can get access to all other telex users. The lack of full access is an obvious problem which, standing alone, is a serious weakness in the United States communications service and one which we believe needs correction. What is perhaps not so apparent, however, is that the exclusivities of the telex networks and the tying of terminals to transmission have resulted in a complex and inefficient industry structure which places an unequal economic burden on particular classes of users.

16. An example will illustrate our concern in this respect. Assume two telex customers located in a gateway city with need only for overseas telex. Assume also that both customers generate enough telex only to meet the minimum revenue guarantee for an IRC automatic teleprinter ($900 per year). Customer A's needs can be satisfied by the service points offered by one IRC. A therefore can meet his communications needs for an annual outlay of $1,020. B, on the other hand, must communicate with more points than any one IRC services. B's options in this situation are limited. First, he can subscribe to service from two IRC's. This will give him the access he needs, but requires him to pay rental charges and meet the minimum guarantees of two IRCs and makes his total annual costs $2,040. Alternatively, he can subscribe to WU telex or TWX service. This will also give him access to all desired destinations; but WU telex will cost him at least $2,086 annually and WU TWX $2,230 (60-speed) or $2,266 (100-speed).[15] As can be seen, B's costs will be significantly higher than A's. The situation in this example is not unrealistic and illustrates one way in which some customers are forced to pay more for their telex than others and are economically restricted in making the most flexible use of telex service. We wish to emphasize that the cost differences we find here come from the industry structure and the exclusivity of the various networks and not necessarily from any conscious effort at discrimination by the carriers.

17. A second way the present structure economically disadvantages customers may be seen from another example. Again, assume two customers with need only for international service and volume at the level of the IRC minimums. Customer X, located in a gateway city, can satisfy his needs with the service of one IRC. As before, X can meet his needs at an annual cost of $1,020. Customer Y needs communications with exactly the same points as X, but is located just outside the gateway where the IRCs may not provide service. Y, in this example, again has two options. First, he can subscribe to WU service—at a cost of between $2,086 and $2,266. Second, Y can also subscribe to an IRC service but because he is outside the gateway, he must pay approximately $1,836[16] for the same service. Here, the differential treatment is a result of the gateway provision in section 222(a)(5) and the limit it places on IRC operations. We do not propose to address herein the question of the gateway/hingerland distinction because it raises issues which are beyond the scope of the present proceeding. We merely recognize that the distinction exists and that it results in differential treatment among customers with essentially similar communications needs.

18. Telex service between the United States and overseas points is charged on a country-to-country basis; i.e., the per-minute charges to any such points are the same irrespective of where the call originated on the U.S. Mainland. Thus, the $900 overseas transmission charges we assumed in our examples buy the same minutes of usage whether originated on a WU or an IRC machine.[17] Therefore, the differences in customer costs noted in our examples relate chiefly to the fact that WU imposes monthly charges for terminal equipment while the IRCs recover their terminal costs from the per-minute usage charges. In this connection, we note that WU in its complaint has challenged the reasonableness of the IRC unified rate structure on several grounds. See paragraphs 9–10, supra. After reviewing WU's arguments and the IRC comments thereon, we are of the opinion that WU has raised substantial question whether the unified rate structure is reasonable under present conditions which we believe need to be examined.[18] Accordingly, we will include this aspect of the WU complaint in the proceeding we are instituting herein.

---

[14] It is important to note that the industry structure also denies overseas users access to or from many IRC subscribers. Unless a particular IRC serves a given country, users in that country cannot communicate with the U.S. carrier's subscribers. All overseas users have access to WU subscribers—so long as at least one U.S. IRC serves the country.

[15] By subscribing to WU, B is also forced to pay for a domestic communications capability—which he does not need under our example.

[16] The difference in charges is that Y must pay extra for a private line between his premises and the IRC switch and for a teleprinter. For purposes of the example, we assume a private line 10 miles in length which could be obtained from AT&T at a monthly charge of $32 ($384 per year) and a Model 32 ASR teleprinter which can be obtained from an IRC at a monthly charge of $46 ($522 per year). We will ignore the $50 IRC installation charge and the charge for operating supplies.

[17] When overseas telex calls originate on a WU machine, the IRCs reimburse WU for the use of its domestic system at an agreed-upon land-line haul settlement rate. The WU customer, however, pays only the IRC overseas tariff charge.

[18] On the question of the lawfulness of the unified rate structure, the IRCs rely chiefly on Mackay Radio and Telegraph Co., 26 FCC 557 (Board of Commissioners) (1958), aff'd. 26 FCC 566 (1959), in which we permitted to become effective a form of unified telex rate structure with essentially the same features as the one presently in effect. We do not believe, however, that our decision in Mackay is controlling here. In that case, we recognized that a unified rate structure was a departure from our traditional cost-of-service ratemaking standard and held only that, on the peculiar facts then before us, such a departure appeared justified. 26 FCC at 563. Moreover, we specifically noted that we would follow the developing telex industry and that we would require changes to the rate structure should we find that changed conditions warranted it. Id, at 564.

19. In the present matter, we are concerned by the existing industry arrangements and the effect they have in restricting the customer's most efficient use of telex service. Fundamentally, we believe that customers should be able to communicate with every overseas point with which telex service is available, irrespective of the carrier from which the customer obtains his basic service, without the necessity of duplicating his basic message entry and reception facilities. We do not attach blame to the fact that a given carrier does not serve every overseas point—there are many reasons for this, including in at least a few cases, the refusal of the overseas administration to deal with the U.S. carrier. Further, we recognize the legitimate desires of competitors to seek to hold their customers. However, while we regard the competition which has traditionally characterized telex services as healthy, we cannot let competition, or the carriers' view of it, perpetuate unreasonable service restrictions. In this connection, we wish to emphasize that by restrictions we refer not only to the inability of a carrier to provide service to a particular point, but also any partial remedy which forces a customer to incur higher charges than another with similar needs. Thus, we do not regard as adequate any of the alternatives presently open to U.S. telex users—since none of them will give universal access and all of them will increase the out-of-pocket cost some users must pay for like telex service. We believe that the answer to the present situation lies in the development of a universal terminal and tieline which will give the user in one machine access to all overseas and domestic points.

20. We note that something like a universal terminal already exists in the form of the WU telex and TWX terminals and, as TRT suggested, we could accomplish our goal by requiring or encouraging customers to obtain their terminals from WU. While this would achieve the universal access we seek, we do not believe that this is desirable or necessary. Making WU the sole pickup and delivery agent for telex in the U.S. would effectively reduce the IRCs to the status of a carrier's carrier and eliminate the present interplay between the IRCs and the public which we believe is beneficial by keeping the carriers responsive to public needs. Further, such a course would require the relatively immediate shift of IRC customers to WU facilities which would place a substantial demand on those facilities and could lead to impairment of service quality. We believe, however, that we can achieve the universal terminal while still preserving competition among the carriers both in the provision of terminals and in overseas transmission.

21. Briefly stated, we believe the universal terminal may be achieved most efficiently through a program of interconnection of the IRC's central offices with each other and with an appropriate WU switch. Under this proposal, a customer would obtain basic telex service from the IRC of his choice, or from WU. A WU subscribed would have the same overseas service he presently does. An IRC subscriber would use his IRC to communicate with all overseas points the IRC serves. Where he wishes to reach a point his IRC does not serve, however, he would now have the ability through a single terminal and tieline to choose another IRC which is able to carry his call. Similarly, a telex subscriber in an overseas point will be able to gain access to U.S. subscribers even where those U.S. users subscribe to an IRC which does not serve that country.

22. IRC interconnection, alone, will not allow IRC subscribers to reach other IRC subscribers or WU subscribers. This can be achieved, however, through a similar central office interconnection of the IRC and WU networks. In this way, all IRC subscribers would have access to WU subscribers, and WU subscribers access to IRC subscribers. WU/IRC interconnection, however, raises a question which we believe should be addressed herein. Several of the IRCs in their comments on the Hartog Complaint have indicated their belief that this form of interconnection would involve them in domestic telegraph operations which they are barred from offering by section 222. We do not agree with this view. At the outset, we believe that, conceptually, the interconnection we propose here does not greatly differ from that which presently exists between WU and the IRCs for through international service to or from WU subscribers. IRC participation in such service does not involve them in domestic operations—even where the WU customer is located in the hinterland. Conversely, this does not involve WU in international operations. A carrier's participation ends at the point of interconnection where it receives or hands over the call to the other's nework. Under our proposal, calls originating on the terminal of a subscriber to IRC service destined to a WU subscriber would go to the IRC's central office switch where it would be handed over to WU for onward transmission to its customer. Similarly, a call from a WU subscriber would be handed over to the IRC for transmission to its subscriber. As in the case of overseas telex, the extent of each carrier's involvement ends at the point of interconnection.

23. In neither case, do we see a conflict with section 222. From the legislative history to section 222, the separation of domestic and international record communications was based on a two-fold policy. First, Congress wanted to prevent an IRC from acquiring power through its own domestic operations which it could use to lessen or destroy competition in the international market and to prevent WU from engaging in international operations which would allow it to use its de facto monopoly of the domestic market to the same deleterious effect. Second, Congress wanted to guarantee the viability of the domestic telegraph industry by preventing the IRCs from operating parallel, competing domestic networks which would dilute domestic record revenues.[19] The proposed interconnection does not raise either of these problems. As we have noted, there will be no intrusion of the IRCs into the domestic sphere—all IRC operations will be limited to the gateway cities where they will connect with WU. They will not gain thereby any power to lessen or destroy competition in either the international or the domestic record industry. Further, interconnection would not create any parallel domestic telex networks which could divert revenues from WU. On the contrary, it would permit WU to provide service to IRC customers it cannot now reach and may therefore allow WU to increase its revenues.[20] Most importantly, interconnection of the networks will reduce the present duplication of terminals, without reducing service, and thus reduce both WU's and the IRCs' costs while giving customers greater flexibility.

24. We turn now to the matter of the IRC unified rate structure. In Comsat General Corporation, 52 FCC 2d 983 (1975), reconsid. pending, where we adopted a similar universal terminal policy in connection with the Navy/Maritime Satellite System (MARISAT), we stated that

> [t]he terminal and communications service offering should be kept entirely separate, so that the costs of the terminal * * * are in no way recovered through charges for the communications service. * * *

It appears to us that this principle applies with equal force to the interconnection we propose here. As in MARISAT, the proposed telex interconnection will involve the use of a terminal provided by one IRC at least occasionally with the overseas facilities of another IRC. Therefore, the IRCs must assure that they recover their costs of providing terminals apart from usage charges. It is only in this way that we can be sure that the interconnection here will not create an undue burden on any class of user. Accordingly, we will include in the inquiry herein the question whether we should separate terminals and transmission and require separate, cost-based charges for each.

---

[19] Congress had found that the most severe problem the domestic industry faced was what it characterized as ruinous competition between WU and Postal—which was exacerbated by competition from the domestic operations of Mackay and RCA as well as the TWX operations of AT&T.

[20] The only potential revenues WU stands to lose derives from the fact that some gateway subscribers who presently subscribe to both WU and IRC telex will no longer need both subscriptions and may choose to terminate their WU subscription. However, the loss here would be only the monthly rental charges for terminal equipment—which also occurs any time a customer elects to provide his own terminal equipment—and the monthly charge WU imposes for network access. Since WU alleges that these charges exactly cover the costs of providing terminals, the amount of loss would appear to be more than offset by the increase in transmission revenues it may generate by being able to serve IRC subscribers.

25. In summary, we believe that the matters which form the basis of the Hartog and WU complaints represent ways in which the United States user is unduly restricted in his use of telex service and that the public interest requires their elimination. Further, we believe that our proposed policy represents the most efficient and reasonable way to achieve this goal. The various steps in our program were designed to satisfy the needs of the customer, while balancing the various economic considerations and interests of the carriers. The parties herein have had opportunity to comment on the various parts of our proposal; but since the combination of steps, as a whole, may represent different considerations from each step in isolation, we are desirous of their views on the whole program. Accordingly, we will invite all interested persons to file comments on our proposed policy. By proposing a policy, however, we do not wish to limit the respondents. We encourage them to suggest and support any alternatives they see which will solve the problems we have discussed herein.

26. We have adopted the rulemaking approach in this matter because the questions raised relate to the interrelationships of the carriers and affect all users of telex service. Further, the pleadings presently before us do not appear to raise any substantial issue of material fact, but only questions of law and policy. Accordingly, we do not see the need for convening oral evidentiary hearings. Rather, this proceeding is one of argument for which the written-comment procedures of section 554 of the APA are most appropriate. However, to protect fully all parties' procedural rights, we will leave open the question of oral proceedings should any party demonstrate that such proceeding is necessary or desirable in the public interest.

27. We wish also to make a special note with respect to the IRC rate-structure question. We have recently instituted in FCC 76-396 ____ FCC 2d ____ (Docket No. 20778, 1976) a preliminary audit and study of the international operations of all U.S. carriers, which may lead to one or more formal investigations of such carriers' rates. While the overseas telex charges of the IRCs are included among the matters to be explored in Docket No. 20778, we do not consider it necessary or appropriate to defer the present investigation until the outcome of that docket. It appears reasonable and feasible to determine herein this one rate-structure policy question as to IRC telex service; whether terminal costs should be recouped through usage charges, as at present, or through institution of separate, compensatory terminal charges as we propose. Once this question has been resolved, the lawfulness of particular charges, as well as rate of return questions, may be explored in the normal course of tariff filing or in a proceeding arising out of Docket No. 20778.[21]

28. Accordingly, *it is ordered*, pursuant to sections 2(a), 4(i), 4(j), 201–205 and 403 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. 152(a), 154(i), 154(j), 201–205 and 403 (1971), that an investigation, rulemaking and hearing is hereby instituted into the need for, and means of implementing, modifications to the Commission's policies with respect to interconnection of the telex networks of the international record carriers, the interface of such networks with the domestic telex and TWX networks and the rate structure under which telex services in the United States and between the United States and overseas points is charged.

29. *It is further ordered*, That the investigation, rulemaking and hearing instituted herein shall include inquiry into the reasonableness of the above-described unified international telex rate structure under sections 201(b) and 202(a) of the Communications Act and whether the Commission should prescribe an alternative thereto pursuant to Sections 201(a) and 205 of the Communications Act, and if so what;

30. *It is further ordered*, That the investigation, rulemaking and hearing instituted herein shall include inquiry into whether it is necessary or desirable for the Commission to order interconnection of the various telex networks of the international record carriers with each other and with the telex and TWX networks of The Western Union Telegraph Co. pursuant to section 201(a) of the Communications Act;

31. *It is further ordered*, That any interested person may, file by December 17, 1976, a notice of intention to participate. The Commission shall then issue a Public Notice stating the names of parties intending to participate herein. All comments, responses and replies, pleadings, and other submissions in the proceeding instituted herein shall be served on all parties listed in the Public Notice;

32. *It is further ordered*, That any interested person participating in the proceeding instituted herein shall file comments, responses and replies in accordance with the following schedule:

(a) Comments shall be filed on or before January 24, 1977;

(b) Responses to those comments may be filed on or before February 21, 1977; and

(c) Replies may be filed on or before March 7, 1977;

33. *It is further ordered*, In accordance with the provisions of § 1.419 of the Commission's rules and regulations, that all participants in the proceeding ordered herein shall file with the Commission an original and eleven (11) copies of all comments, responses, replies or other pleadings provided for herein. In addition to the material filed in response to this Notice, the Commission may consider any other relevant material before it. Copies of responses filed in this proceeding shall be available for public inspection during regular business hours in the Commission's Reference Room at its headquarters at 1919 M Street, N.W., Washington, D.C.; and

34. *It is further ordered*, That, to the extent consistent herewith, the above-referenced complaints of Hartog Trading Corp. and the Western Union Telegraph Company are hereby granted and otherwise denied in all respects.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
VINCENT J. MULLINS,
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc.76-36665 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

[21] Accordingly, we do not pass herein on the merits of WU's arguments that the IRCs' rates should be investigated. We will therefore defer action on this aspect of the WU complaint until the audit and study have been completed.

---

[47 CFR Part 63]

[Docket No. 20097; RM-1997, RM-2218]

## REGULATORY POLICIES ON RESALE AND SHARED USE OF COMMON CARRIER SERVICES AND FACILITIES

### Order

Adopted: December 3, 1976.

Released: December 7, 1976.

By the Chief, Common Carrier Bureau:

1. On July 16, 1976, the Commission released its Report and Order in the above-captioned proceeding (FCC 76-641, 60 F.C.C. 2d 261; 41 FR 30657, July 26, 1976). Carriers subject to the Commission's jurisdiction were required to file revised tariffs which eliminate restrictions on the resale and shared use of interstate private line communication services and facilities. By Memorandum Opinion and Order released September 14, 1976 (FCC 76-848; 41 FR 40502, Sept. 24, 1976), such revised tariffs were required to be filed not later than December 15, 1976.

2. Petitions for Reconsideration of the Report and Order have been filed and are under Commission consideration. Although these Petitions will be acted upon in the near future, it appears that release of a decision thereon may not be possible prior to the December 15 deadline. We recognize that the issues herein are complex and that carriers should have the benefit of final Commission action in this proceeding before they are required to file revised tariffs to implement the policy set forth in the Report and Order. Accordingly, the date by which tariffs must be filed as required by paragraph 132 of the Report and Order is hereby extended to January 17, 1977.

3. This action is taken by the Chief, Common Carrier Bureau on his own motion pursuant to authority delegated by section 0.291 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations.

WALTER R. HINCHMAN,
*Chief, Common Carrier Bureau.*

[FR Doc.76-36663 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

[47 CFR Part 76]

[Docket No. 21002; RM-2695, RM-2723; FCC 76-1070]

## APPLICATIONS FOR CERTIFICATES OF COMPLIANCE AND FEDERAL—STATE/LOCAL REGULATORY RELATIONSHIPS

### Proposed Rule Making

Adopted: November 17, 1976.

Released: December 9, 1976.

By the Commission: Chairman Wiley concurring and issuing a statement in which Commissioner Quello joins; Commissioners Hooks and Washburn concurring and issuing statements; Commissioner Fogarty absent.

1. Notice is hereby given of proposed rule making in the above-entitled matter. In the Cable Television Report and Order, FCC 72-108, 36 FCC 2d 143 (1972), the Commission announced its plan to obtain, consistent with the public interest standard of the Communications Act, the full benefits of developing cable television technology and services for the public. The plan was roughly divided into four parts:

a. Television broadcast signal carriage;

b. Access to and use of nonbroadcast cable channels;

c. Technical standards;

d. Division of regulatory responsibility between the federal and state-local levels of government.[1]

Specific rules have been adopted to govern each area of concern, as well as such ancillary matters as cross-ownership and equal employment opportunity. To assure that all proposed cable operations were consistent with the new rules and that effective public notice was given of the cable proposal, the Commission adopted a procedure of requiring that a certificate of compliance be obtained prior to commencing new operations, prior to adding a new television signal, or at the expiration or substantial modification of an existing franchise. Existing operations not having a franchise, or whose franchise did not expire until after March 31, 1977, were given a five-star grace period until March 31, 1977, to obtain a franchise fully consistent with the requirements of § 76.31. Therefore, by March 31, 1977, all cable television systems would be expected to have, or have pending applications for, certificates of compliance. In advance of this date we believe it appropriate to consider streamlining our application requirements and modifying our franchise standards for the reasons stated herein.

#### APPLICATIONS FOR CERTIFICATES OF COMPLIANCE

2. While no standard form was prescribed for certificate of compliance applications, each was required to include, pursuant to § 76.13 of the Commission's Rules, certain information. Apart from several modifications made by the *Reconsideration of Cable Television Report and Order*, FCC 72-530, 36 FCC 2d 326 (1972), the provisions of § 76.13 remain essentially unchanged. Briefly stated, the proposed operator of a new cable television system is required to submit: [2]

A statement identifying himself, the community to be served, the signals to be carried and the date upon which operations are to commence (§ 76.13(a)(1));

A completed Annual Report (FCC Form 325) (§ 76.13(a)(2));

His franchise, including any state authorization (§ 76.13(a)(3));

A statement explaining the consistency of his franchise, access proposal (§ 76.13(a)(4)); signal carriage proposal (§ 76.13(a)(5)); and equal employment opportunity program (§ 76.13(a)(8)) with the Rules;

A certificate of service of the information required by § 76.13(a)(1) on various parties, including certain television licensees (§ 76.13(a)(6));

Statements that the completed application has been served on local regulatory authorities and is available for public inspection (§ 76.13(a)(7));

A statement that the appropriate filing fee has been paid (§ 76.13(a)(9)).

The rules for existing systems either adding signals or requesting certification of existing operations are essentially the same except they do not require submission of an EEO program and an FCC Form 325, which would already be on file. The provisions of § 76.13, then, serve two fundamental needs: informational, to advise the Commission and others of certain facts concerning the operator and the system ((a)(1), (2), (6), (7)), and educational, to assure that the operator is aware of and understands the Rules and their application ((a)(3), (4), (5), (8), (9)).

3. During the past four and one-half years, we have issued certificates of compliance to approximately 5,000 different cable television systems. 1,500 have been issued certificates of compliance which extend beyond March 31, 1977, and 3,500 systems have been certified only until March 31, 1977. In addition some 3,900 systems have not had either their franchises or signal carriage complement reviewed. With this background of certificating experience, we are able to draw a number of conclusions as to the efficacy of the certificating process in implementing our plan for cable. The evidence indicates that the process has worked well. The great majority of applications we have reviewed, especially in the last two years, indicate a sophisticated understanding of both the rules and their application. Those deficiencies which have appeared are, for the most part, of two types: an inadvertent failure to serve a party, most often either the proper educational television authority or a broadcast station miles away from the cable system which just casts a predicted Grade B contour over the cable community, or the failure to explain the consistency of the application with a new or newly modified Commission Rule, such as §§ 76.31 (a)(2), (a)(5), and 76.252, .254, .256, .258. The first of these deficiencies may be attributed to inadvertence, the latter to the inevitable time-delay that occurs between Commission modification of a rule and reflection of that modification in various copies of the Rules. Few signal carriage proposals are submitted that are flatly inconsistent with the Rules which do not acknowledge that fact and ask for waiver. Rare, too, is the case where operations commenced or a signal was added after March 31, 1972, without Commission authorization. A measure of this general compliance may be seen in the decline in the ratio of oppositions filed to applications. Early predictions were that from thirty to fifty percent of all applications would be opposed.[3] The actual incidence of opposition has been in the seven percent range, and many of these are directed against waiver requests.

4. Our increasing experience has enabled us to streamline our internal processing procedures. Where once even the most often occurring variation from the strict letter of the Rules (e.g., a request to permit a four percent franchise fee or an alternate proposal where no franchising authority exists) required Commission action, Section 0.288 of the Rules now permits the Chief of the Cable Television Bureau to act upon many such matters on delegated authority. The resultant efficiency has led to both higher productivity by processing personnel and a dramatic decline in processing time for newly-filed applications. Indeed, those applications which are unopposed and require no amendment may be expected to be acted upon within sixty to ninety days of the date of filing. The number of requests for full Commission review of action taken on delegated authority has been so slight as to be insignificant.

5. These facts—an awareness, understanding and general acceptance of our program by both the cable television and broadcast television industries and the streamlining of internal processing procedures—raise the question of whether the provisions of § 76.13 should not be revisited as part of our continuing reregulation effort. Any modifications would of course have to be consistent with our acknowledged consumer protection responsibilities.[4] Our goal is to streamline § 76.13 by eliminating those provisions that are no longer necessary and thereby lighten the burden on both prospective and operating cable television systems without sacrificing the goals we have identified.

6. Sections 76.13(a)(1), (2), (b)(1), (2) and (c)(1) provide the Commission with vital information which is often of a transitory nature. None of these requirements seems burdensome or time consuming, and we are considering revision of FCC Form 325 to simplify annual reporting so that after the initial report is filed a system operator need only supply information which has changed. These provisions are essential in even a "name, rank and serial number" ap-

[1] 36 FCC 2d 147.

[2] Section 76.13 is appended hereto (Appendix A).

[3] An Organization and Procedures Study of the Cable Television Bureau, Harbridge House, Inc., Vol. II, pp. VII 7-9 (1973).

[4] Cable Television Report and Order, supra, at p. 145.

proach, and no modifications seem indicated.

7. Sections 76.13(a) (3), (4) (to the extent it refers to § 76.31)), (b)(3) and (c)(2) require both a franchise and an explanation of its consistency, and may be regarded as primarily educational. One of the most frequent causes of processing delays continues to be franchise deficiencies, most often of two types: (1) Franchise omissions, such as the identity of the official having primary responsibility for the continuing administration of the franchise, and (2) Franchise inconsistencies, such as a fee in excess of three percent of gross subscriber revenues that is not justified by the planned local regulatory program or a provision prohibiting subscription cablecasting ("Pay–TV"). The first most often results in the need for franchise amendment; the latter may be remedied by Commission preemption of the offending provisions.[5] The franchise review function serves not only to ensure that the cable operator is aware of the franchise requirements but also to "educate" local officials to their considerable responsibilities under our dual jurisdictional approach. Moreover, through preemption, we are able to limit locally imposed franchise obligations in areas that are properly in the federal domain (such as technical standards, signal carriage requirements and the like). Since an applicant for certification must have a franchise, it does not seem burdensome to explain its consistency with our Rules. It can be argued, however, that such explanation is redundant given the fact that the franchise is before us. Accordingly, we request comments on whether the recitations required in §§ 76.13(a)(4) (to the extent it refers to § 76.31), 76.13(b)(3) and (c)(2) are necessary at all.[6] One change in this requirement, the deletion of the notes to § 76.13 (a)(4) and (b)(3), does appear to be in order. Since we are only a few months from March 31, 1977, it no longer seems wise to certify cable systems until that time under the doctrine of substantial compliance. Accordingly, we propose to delete those notes, and require all franchises hence forth submitted in conjunction with a certificate of compliance application to be in strict compliance with the Rules.

8. Sections 76.13(a)(4) (to the extent it refers to §§ 76.252, .254, .256 and .258), (b)(4) and (c)(3), requiring an explanation of the system's access program, at this point seem unnecessary. While our newly revised access rules admit a greater number of permutations as to possible access programs that are consistent with our Rules than was previously the case (varying with the number and type of signals carried, the date operations commenced, channel capacity, etc.), the Rules have an external enforcing feature: any party being denied access may bring this fact to our attention either by way of our complaints office or a petition for order to show cause. In this regard the access rules seem analogous to the nonduplication rules. Our policy of not requiring a statement of intention to comply with non-duplication has not led to widespread abuse. Just as parties are conscious of their non-duplication obligations, so we believe they are conscious of their access obligations. Section 76.301 requires that the system operator have a current copy of Part 76 and be familiar with the rules governing cable television systems, and we believe specific assurances are not therefore necessary. Since our educational mission here appears to be largely accomplished, we propose to delete § 76.13(a)(4) (to the extent it refers to §§ 76.252, .254, .256, .258), 76.13 (b)(4) and 76.13(c)(3).

9. With reference to § 76.13 (a)(5) and (b)(5), it is true that the self-interest of television broadcast stations aids in the enforcement of the carriage rules. It is also true that we have not been presented any evidence showing widespread violations of the Rules. However, while the nonduplication and access rules do not apply to certain smaller cable television systems, the signal carriage rules apply to all possible market situations, and therefore govern the operations of all systems. Accordingly, requiring the system operator to designate the rule authorizing a particular signal provides for a prescreening of the carriage proposal, thereby aiding Commission processing. However, here again it may be argued that the operator should merely submit his signal carriage proposal, without a consistency statement, and the Commission with its attendant expertise can readily evaluate the carriage consistency. Comments are requested on this point.

10. Consistent with our equal employment opportunity rules (§ 76.311(c)), we shall continue to require each proposed, non-operating system with five or more full time employees, to file a copy of its equal employment opportunity program (§ 76.13(a)(8)).

11. Sections 76.13 (a)(9), (b)(8) and (c)(6) serve to remind applicants to enclose the proper filing fee. Since the rule is a significant aid to processing, and does not appear burdensome, we do not at this time propose its deletion.

12. We believe these proposed filing changes will simplify the burdens of certification for both cable system operators and the Commission's processing staff, without sacrificing any of our regulatory goals. We invite comments on our proposals and ask whether any other modifications may be made to serve the ends we have identified more efficiently. Additionally, in view of certification's present function, inter alia, as an initial means of assuring compliance with our Rules, we believe that the process might be further modified should Congress grant the Commission the authority to impose forfeitures for rule violations, and we specifically invite comments on this point. Furthermore, we invite comments on how the absence of forfeiture authority may affect our considerations in this proceeding.

FEDERAL-STATE/LOCAL REGULATORY RELATIONSHIPS

13. In the Cable Television Report and Order, FCC 72–108, 36 FCC 2d 143, para. 171 (1972), the Commission noted that the lack of an overall plan as to the Federal-local relationship had resulted in a "patchwork of disparate approaches affecting the development of cable television." While the Commission was attempting to promote a national cable policy, local and state governments were adopting policies which reflected local needs and desires. Although this dual approach sometimes worked well, the rapid expansion of the cable industry often led to overlapping and incompatible regulations. Accordingly, the Commission considered three approaches:

(a) Federal licensing of all cable television systems.

(b) Maintenance of the regulatory program then in effect enforced by section 312(b) cease and desist proceedings.

(c) Federal regulation of some aspects, with local regulation of others under federal prescription of standards.

14. The Commission decided not only that federal licensing would place an unmanageable burden on the Commission, but also that local governments are inescapably involved in, and bring a special expertness to, the regulatory process. Because of the Commission's obligation to insure an efficient communications service with adequate facilities at reasonable charges, the Commission decided to adopt "a deliberately structured dualism" (Cable Television Report and Order, supra at para. 177), with franchises issued by local authorities, but with minimum standards for these franchises set by the Commission and administered by it in the certification process.[7]

15. In the Clarification of Rules and Notice of Proposed Rule Making, FCC 74–384, 46 FCC 2d 175, paras. 41–42 (1974), the Commission stated that the blend of nationwide rules and guidelines, along with local participation and enforcement, appeared to be basically successful. However, the Commission was concerned about a third tier which had, in many cases, been added to the regulatory scheme by state governments which also asserted a regulatory role in cable television. When the "dual" approach to regulation was adopted, the Commission did not specify whether the "local" authority would be a state or a smaller political subdivision or both. By 1972 a few states had already asserted state jurisdiction over cable franchising, but at that time there were no states asserting a partial regulatory function, while leaving other regulatory matters to localities. This "third tier" development was a prime topic of concern and discussion in the Federal-State/Local Advisory Committee Steering Committee meetings. In

[5] See Report and Order in Docket No. 22072, FCC 75–897, 54 FCC 2d 855, 863 at paras. 24, 25 (1975).

[6] Comments should also speak to the broader issues concerning § 76.31 raised at paras. 23–33, infra.

[7] Section 76.31 is appended hereto (Appendix B).

Report and Order in Docket No. 20272, FCC 75-897, 54 FCC 2d 855 (1975), the Commission considered the alternative courses it might follow in attempting to avoid this duplicative and excessive over-regulation of cable television, and determined inter alia that de-regulation might be appropriate to ease the burdens imposed by the Commission's Rules.

16. After nearly five years of experience with the operation of federal franchise standards the Commission has found it possible to revise some of its regulatory procedures. While generally the cable television industry and the local franchising authorities have been cooperative in advancing the policies the franchise standards seek to establish, certain bottlenecks arose in the processing of certification applications. Many communities were either not familiar with the Commission's franchise standards and adopted a franchise which was inconsistent with, or lacked, several of the required standards, or they first refused to adopt a particular clause required by the Commission's Rules. The latter often occurred when the cable company submitted a proposed franchise consistent with the Rules, and the local authority adopted part of the proposed ordinance but omitted certain clauses, including ones required by the Commission. Initially the Commission notified the cable company and the city of the franchise deficiency and delayed certification of the cable system until appropriate amendments were adopted. In some instances the city refused to adopt the required amendment. This could lead to dismissal or denial of the application for a new cable television system or a cease and desist order against an existing cable system. Even when the local franchising authority was eager to make the necessary changes to adopt a consistent franchise, the initial inconsistency led to considerable delay in processing the application for certification due to the time necessary to inform the system of the defect, draft a new ordinance provision, and follow appropriate local requirements to amend an ordinance. This could also involve great expense for both the local jurisdiction and the cable operator. For example, in certain jurisdictions franchise amendments had to be approved by referendum.

17. Besides lacking clauses required by the Commission's franchise standards, many franchises contained clauses that were at variance with other Commission requirements. The Commission's signal carriage rules, technical standards rules, and access rules require certain things of a cable system; local ordinances often required actions either conflicting with those duties set by the federal rules, or in excess of what was required by the Commission. To alleviate the delay caused by an inconsistent provision, the Commission has been declaring franchise terms in regulatory areas preempted by the Rules null and void.[8] If a franchise fee is in excess of the allowable maximum, the Commission approves only the allowable part of the fee.[9] This does not solve the problem of delay, however, if a necessary clause is omitted, nor does it resolve the conflict if the local franchising authority refuses to adopt a franchise consistent with the Rules.

18. Congress also has been concerned with federal-state relations in the cable franchising process. The staff of the House Commerce Committee's Communications Subcommittee issued a report in January 1976 entitled "Cable Television: Promise versus Regulatory Performance." Although this report considered the Commission franchise objectives generally commendable, it recommended that the Commission's minimum franchise requirements be eliminated. It asserted that the matters covered therein are not appropriate matters for federal regulation; moreover, it recommended establishment of state cable commissions which would provide for statewide licensing of cable systems but would ensure participation of local governments.

19. The franchise standards adopted in the 1972 Rules were applicable to all franchises adopted after March 31, 1972, and "substantial compliance" with these franchise standards was required of all cable systems which did not commence operations until after March 31, 1972, but which had franchises effective before that date. Cable systems in operation before March 31, 1972, as well as those operating in "substantial compliance" after March 31, 1972, did not have to obtain a franchise in strict compliance with the standards until the expiration of the existing franchise, or until March 31, 1977, whichever occurred first.

20. With the approach of the March 31, 1977, deadline, there is considerable support within the cable television industry for a cancellation or postponement of this requirement. In Petitions for Rule Making filed by the Community Antenna Television Association (RM-2695, filed May 4, 1976) and the California Community Television Association and National Cable Television Association, Inc. (RM-2723, filed July 1, 1976), and supported by several other cable television associations and cable television operators, it is argued that the natural expiration date of the existing franchise, or some other alternative, should be adopted as a franchise revision point, instead of March 31, 1977, to avoid the substantial difficulties foreseen if the 1977 date is enforced. Both petitions cite the fear that the 1977 deadline is viewed by many localities as an opportunity not only to conform franchises to federal standards but also to extract new and onerous requirements from a cable operator who is in no position to contest the new obligations for fear of losing his franchise altogether.

21. In evaluating these concerns it is of course necessary to review our performance in certificating over the past four and one half years. As previously noted, we have certificated beyond March 31, 1977, approximately 1,500 applications with fully consistent franchises. We have certificated approximately 900 applications with substantially compliant franchises until March 31, 1977. And we have not passed at all upon the franchises of approximately 6,500 systems. Thus, it appears that as of March 31, 1977, the Commission will be required to begin review of some 7,400 franchises for existing systems.

22. We begin by addressing some confusion engendered by Paragraph 75 of the Clarification. There we stated that an FCC certificate of compliance would not cover renewal or substantial amendment—e.g. changes in termination date, service obligations or franchise fees—of the franchise for which it was granted, and that re-certification would be necessary. Two documents are involved here—a federal certificate and a local franchise. We stated that renewal or substantial amendment of a franchise would require a new certificate. We did not say—indeed, we have no authority to declare—that the happening of some event, such as amendment, would terminate the franchise. Moreover, while any effect of our certification process on that franchise document is properly a matter of local law, we did not contemplate—and from an equitable standpoint would not wish—that amendments arising not from free bargaining, but from the compulsion of our Rules, should "reopen" the entire franchise. In most cases where franchise amendment now would be necessary for strict compliance with the standards of § 76.31, the parties were not on notice of them. They bargained for the terms in the franchise before that date in March 1972 when the rules became effective.

23. In this proceeding, however, we are reevaluating these standards and proposing three possible alternatives or some combination thereof: (a) The Commission should eliminate its franchase standards. Experience has shown that the cable operators and the franchising authorities themselves insure that the various goals of our standards are effectuated. It can be argued that it is redundant for the Commission to require recitations in the franchise of requirements that are in any case imposed by the franchising authorities or dictated by business realities. (b) The Commission should maintain its standards but delete the requirement that they be embodied in the franchise. The act of amending the franchise often creates numerous procedural complications and delays. It could be argued that assurances given to the Commission by the applicant or the franchising authority (as appropriate) accomplish our regulatory goals and eliminate the problems attendant upon franchise amendment. However, we question whether this approach would not place the Commission unwillingly in the role of the franchising au-

[8] Report and Order in Docket No. 20272, supra, n. 5.

[9] Report and Order in Docket No. 20578, FCC 76-448, 59 FCC 2d 378 (1976).

thority as enforcer of the franchisee's obligations. (c) The Commission should maintain its present franchise standards since they are necessary to ensure that our regulatory goals in this area are accomplished.

24. Section 76.31(a)(1) requires that the franchising authority review in a public proceeding affording due process the applicant's legal, technical, financial, and character qualifications. While we have never specifically resolved the issue of what constitutes at a minimum an appropriate public proceeding affording due process, we noted in the Clarification, supra, at paragraphs 50–57, that our intent was that the public be given notice and the opportunity to be heard by the selecting officials. Our experience is that the myriad of local and state laws around the country assure that the franchising process is open to the public. Public proceeding requirements in local laws and ordinances range from regular city council meetings to special citizen task forces and in some states to public voting referenda. The process succeeds or fails on the local level. This Commission, at the federal level, cannot assure meaningful public participation, because of the unique local conditions present in the political, social, and economic climate of the community. We cannot act as the "court of last resort" for those who disagree with the decision of their local elected officials. Our process does presuppose the legitimacy of local action and only in the extraordinary case will the Commission examine closely that local process. The question that now must be asked is: Given the inherent limitation in the federal process of review and the apparently universal public proceeding requirements of local law, does a federal requirement serve a useful purpose? Perhaps the question can be put: Does the extraordinary case provide a justification for this rule? Alternatively, if the public proceeding requirement were present but did not have to be recited in the franchise, would the Commission's public policy concern be served as well? [10]

25. Section 76.31(a)(2) requires significant construction the first year and reasonable and equitable extension of the system each year, the percentage to be determined by the local authorities. It is also required that when less than the full franchise area will be wired, those in sparsely populated areas affected be given notice of this possible lack of service. Our experience and knowledge tell us that existing systems seeking a certificate of compliance for the first time on March 31, 1977, are generally built, and for the most part, the provisions of 76.31(a)(2) are accordingly unnecessary. To the extent that part of the franchise area has not been built consistent with pre-existing franchise terms, the resolution of that failure rests on the franchising authorities who have permitted it to occur and the cable operator who may not have fulfilled his agreement. In relation to unbuilt systems, the provision seeks to insure that franchises are not obtained and left to lie fallow while no service is provided. It seeks reasonable and equitable extension of service to the whole franchise area. Our Report and Order in Docket No. 20020, 50 FCC 2d 61 (1974), stressed the importance of opportunity for public comment in the event a "line extension policy" proposes to serve less than this full area. Once again the schedule of construction and the decision on line extension as well as the enforcement of significant construction in each year depends on the local authority's diligence. The requirements of this rule are different depending on whether the system is built or proposed. For established systems is the requirement a superfluous statement in the franchise process? For new systems, does the requirement serve a useful purpose of guidance absent a viable means to enforce the schedule, from a federal standpoint? Does the mere existence of the requirement usefully alert the local official to the desirability of obligating the franchisee to build the system on a reasonable timetable? Comment is sought on these questions.

26. The greatest concern over the March 31, 1977, deadline has arisen with respect to the franchise duration limitation of § 76.31(a)(3), particularly as it relates to our public proceeding requirements. That requirement set the maximum initial franchise period at fifteen years and requires that franchise renewal be of a reasonable duration. In the Clarification the Commission made it clear that such a renewal may be granted only after an appropriate public proceeding. Let us repeat what we have told cable operators and citizens groups: The nature of the renewal proceeding is a matter of local concern. We do not require that the franchise be thrown open for public bids nor do we preclude such a technique. The choice is strictly a local one as long as a public review takes place. The key concept behind both the fifteen-year maximum initial term and the renewal only after a public proceeding review is the opportunity for the citizens and the local officials to assess the performance of the cable operator in their community. We strongly believe this should take place at least at some fixed interval. This is, of course, a concept adopted as a standard in 1972 and we recognize there are many ongoing contractual relationships which either have been in existence for more than fifteen years or will extend for more than fifteen years. That was an important factor in setting March 31, 1977, as a uniform point in time for requiring some public review of these relationships. It is these contracting parties, including franchisors and franchisees, who question our interference with agreements arrived at, at a time when this Commission was not regulating (before 1966), or only regulating in part (1966–1972), the cable television industry. The key question is should we require this review prior to the natural expiration date of the franchise. Should we only require review if the system has been operating already for fifteen years or more and consequently permit existing operations that have not run fifteen years (i.e., franchised in 1970) to wait until that period for review? Should the fifteen year period run from 1972 when the Commission entered the franchising area, which would in effect grandfather old systems until 1987 if their franchises ran that far?

27. Section 76.31(a)(5)[11] deals with: complaints; (iii) designation by the (i) the establishment of subscriber complaint procedures at the local level; (ii) a local business office to handle such franchisor of a local official for administering the compaint procedures; and (iv) notice to new subscribers of such procedures. The latter two requirements were adopted in 1974 (FCC 74–1381, 50 FCC 2d 43) and apply to franchises granted after August 1, 1975. The information presented to us in the course of the last five years indicates that while old franchises may not have these provisions, they are, with the possible exception of the designation of a local official, a fundamental aspect of cable operations. It is aparent that some manner of receiving and resolving complaints is essential to this service-oriented business. However, whether the resolution of the complaint is done expeditiously and fairly depends not on the existence of the requirement but on the operator's desire and ability to run a proper operation and the local franchising authority's ability to keep abreast of the situation. The major question is, should old franchises which lack this formal provision be required to be amended to include this requirement in the franchise document or should documentation of the existence of complaint procedures satisfy the requirement? In general, for all systems, is this provision necessary in view of the fact that these matters can be and are handled at the local level?

28. The provision of § 76.31(a)(6) relating to modifying the franchise to conform with federal changes in § 76.31 is frequently omitted from new franchises. Pursuant to paragraph 90 of the Clarification, we have processed such applications out of conviction that whether the franchise contained the provision or not, any required modification would have to be made. Consequently, an elimination of this requirement as a provision in the franchise appears to be appropriate since compliance with our standards is required in any case.

29. The remaining franchise provision, § 76.31(b), limiting the fees that fran-

[10] We note that we have previously requested comments on related matters in the still pending Docket No. 20019 at para. 53 of the Clarification, supra. We expect that any comments filed in this proceeding would not repeat views submitted in Docket 20019, and would focus specifically on the certificating context.

[11] The provision of § 76.31(a)(4) which required the franchising authority to prescribe initial basic subscriber rates and grant rate increases only after a public proceeding has been deleted as a federal requirement. Report and Order in Docket No. 20681, FCC 76–747, ——— FCC 2d ——— (1976).

chisors may impose on franchisees, of course has been recently addressed in the *Report and Order in Docket No. 20578*, FCC 76-448, 59 FCC 2d 378 (1976). In that document we reiterated our position that a fee limitation of 3-5 percent of gross subscriber revenues (where justified) was essential to prevent unduly burdensome fees which would frustrate our national goal for the development of cable television. The rule was amended so that any inconsistent fee could be declared null and void, if not adequately justified, and "rolled back" to 3 percent, a process which has worked well. On the other hand, the report of the House Subcommittee staff, discussed in paragraph 18, supra, concludes that fee regulation is not a matter of federal concern. We invite comments on the concept of a franchise fee limit, on the appropriate numerical level for such a standard—whether it should be higher or lower than the proposed limit—and on our application of this requirement. A further aspect of the fee question is the revenue base on which the fee is calculated. Our fee limitation states that the fee shall be based on only gross subscriber revenues. Paragraph 95 of the Clarification emphasizes that this definition is limited to:

> those revenues derived from the supplying of regular subscriber service, that is, the installation fees, disconnect and reconnect fees, and fees for regular cable benefits including the transmission of broadcast signals and access and origination channels if any. It does not include revenues derived from per-program or per-channel charges, leased channel revenues, advertising revenues, or any other income derived from the system.

More recently, in New York State Cable Commission, FCC 76-599, 59 FCC 2d 1344 (1976), we stated that as long as the fee does not exceed 3 percent (or up to 5 percent with a justification) of gross subscribed revenues, the base upon which the fee is calculated need not be restricted to gross subscriber revenues. This limitation in base has been in effect for nearly five years and there has been a strong development of auxiliary services such as pay TV. Is it appropriate, therefore, to modify our requirements to permit franchising authorities to collect fees based upon the full range of cable services offered in a community. If so, should the maximum percentage fee be lowered, in recognition of the broader base to which it would be applied?

30. Alternative Proposals. The Commission has been following a policy of certifying cable systems to March 31, 1977, in those instances where no regulatory authority exists to grant franchises. These authorizations stem from paragraph 116 of the Reconsideration of the Cable Television Report and Order, supra at 366, and paragraph 46 of the Clarification of Rules and Notice of Proposed Rule Making, supra at 189, which provided for case by case consideration of such applications pursuant to the special relief provisions of § 76.7 of the Rules. Applicants in these situations filed alternative proposals pledging in detail to adhere to the franchise provisions of Section 76.31.

31. Nothing in these documents limited the certification date to March 31, 1977. This date evolved from the early Commission decisions in this area. At that time there was a belief that 1977 was a reasonable date to review this situation. It was also assumed that by 1977 regulatory authority would exist to grant appropriate franchises. See Sun Valley Cable Communications (Sun City, Arizona), FCC 73-27, 39 FCC 2d 105 (1973), and Mahoning Valley Cablevision, Inc. (Liberty Township, Ohio), FCC 73-243, 39 FCC 2d 939 (1973). This policy helped foster cable development in these communities and we have not had any problems with operators failing to adhere to the alternative proposals. However, in most instances state laws have not been changed or clarified to create the appropriate regulatory authority. We are, therefore, faced with deciding what to do with respect to these systems seeking recertification in 1977 and also with new operations faced with the same regulatory dilemma.

32. We propose that future grants based on such alternative proposals be for the initial fifteen years prescribed in § 76.31(a)(3). This would apply to both new systems and ones seeking recertification. A system seeking recertification in 1977 pursuant to § 76.13(c) would not be required to restate its alternative proposal, but simply to assure the Commission that there was still no regulatory authority and that the system would continue to adhere to the proposals it set forth earlier. All these applications would be placed on public notice and interested parties would have an opportunity to comment. As is the case now, should a regulatory authority come into existence in these areas, the operator would immediately have to seek appropriate authorization.

33. Finally, we note that in the *Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in Docket No. 20561*, FCC 75-896, 54 FCC 2d 824 (1975), we are considering exempting a certain category of small cable systems, (e.g. 250-1000 subscribers), from a number of our procedural and substantive requirements. We shall consider here by incorporation pertinent comments previously submitted in Docket No. 20561. Consequently, we request new or updated comments herein as to what extent this category of smaller systems should be required to comply with the certificating requirements of Section 76.13. Is it appropriate to establish less burdensome standards in both 76.13 and 76.31 for these systems? Particularly with respect to franchise standards are there reasons for a lesser degree of compliance?

### Interim Procedures

34. The Commission believes that its cable signal carriage regulatory goals require the certification process to continue, even while possible changes in that process are under consideration. We are especially concerned that the signal carriage offerings of previously uncertified existing cable systems should be submitted for examination on or before March 1, 1977, the filing deadline required by § 76.11(c) of the Rules in order for such a system to have continuing operating authority beyond March 31, 1977. Likewise, the proposed signal carriage of new cable systems should continue to be submitted for certification during the pendancy of this proceeding, and certified systems proposing to add signals should also file applications. We recognize that some of the procedural elements of these applications may be changed or eliminated as a result of this proceeding, but do not believe that the benefits of certification of new operations and the certainty that accompanies ratification of pre-1972 uncertified operations should be postponed on this account alone.

35. On the other hand, in view of the substantive changes we are considering in franchise standards, and recognizing that too little time remains before March 1, 1977, for these matters not only to be decided by the Commission but also implemented locally, we believe that it would be burdensome and potentially wasteful to require the continuation of the franchise negotiation and re-negotiation process at this time. To do so would mean that each time a cable operator or franchising authority negotiated to meet a particular federal franchise standard, and each time the Commission reviewed the outcome of that negotiation, the results could be only temporary if the standard later were modified or eliminated through this rule making. In the event a standard were changed, re-negotiation might be called for. If the standard were deleted from our rules, then the negotiation, in the first instance, would have been wasted motion.

36. Thus, we conclude that the requirements of §§ 76.11 and 76.13 of the Rules that new or existing uncertified cable systems must obtain franchises conforming to the standards of § 76.31 (a) and (b) and must submit such franchises as part of their certificate applications should be stayed.[12] Since we expect to complete this proceeding no later than June 30, 1977, we will stay the effective date for any franchise-related post-proceeding submission no later than March 31, 1978. However, with reference to new systems, although franchises need not be submitted, the authority granted them to operate will be conditioned upon the system possessing all necessary local authority. Further, we believe there is good cause to dispense with notice and comment on such a stay pursuant to sec-

[12] The stay would also apply to alternative proposals, submitted in lieu of a franchise when no franchising authority exists. See para. 116, *Reconsideration of Cable Television Report and Order, supra*, at 366. However, this stay does not suspend the effectiveness of our Rules and policies in other federally preempted areas such as pay cable, technical standards, access and signal carriage. See *Report and Order in Docket 20272*, FCC 75-897, 54 FCC 2d 855 (1975).

tion 553(b)(3)(B) of the Administrative Procedures Act.[13]

37. Although we are staying the franchise negotiation and submission requirements, cable operators are at liberty to continue both activities during the pendency of this proceeding, if they desire. Any franchises that are submitted will be reviewed for conformity with present § 76.31 (a) and (b), and, if all aspects of the certificate applications are consistent with Commission rules, certificates of compliance for the length of the franchise will be issued. Our primary intent during this interim period is the maintenance of the status quo. As has been true in the past, any certificates we have previously issued based upon the franchise fee approved in the application will be voided if the franchise fee is raised during the pendency of this proceeding. As for uncertified systems, whether operating under existing franchises or seeking renewal, we call upon franchising authorities to assist in preserving the status quo by refraining from raising fees during the pendency of this rulemaking.

38. As to systems whose signal carriage has already been certified (but only until March 31, 1977, or before), the stay of franchise and franchise-related submissions announced above has the effect of extending their present certificates beyond March 31, 1977. Unless such previously certified systems propose to add television signals, there is no need for them to file applications by March 1, 1977, since their only remaining obligation—that of franchise compliance—has been stayed. If these systems wish to add signals, of course, they should submit appropriate applications (without franchises).

39. In sum, during the pendency of this proceeding and until further notice, applications for certificates of compliance should not contain either franchises or statements of consistency with the present standards except on an optional basis as noted in paragraph 37, supra. However, all other filing elements of § 76.13 should be observed. These applications if not accompanied by a franchise will be scrutinized for consistency with all cable rules except § 76.31 (franchise standards) and appropriate notifications of the results of this review will be made. New systems and systems adding signals will receive special relief to carry requested consistent signals pending the outcome of this proceeding.

40. Authority for the rule making proposed herein is contained in sections 2, 3, 4 (i) and (j), 301, 303, 307, 308, and 309 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. All interested parties are invited to file written comments on this rule making proposal on or before January 31, 1977, and reply comments on or before March 2, 1977. In reaching a decision on this matter, the Commission may take into account any other relevant information before it, in addition to the comments invited by this notice.

41. In accordance wth the provisions of section 1.419 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations, formal participants shall file an original and 5 copies of their comments, reply comments and other materials; participants wishing each Commissioner to have a personal copy may file an original and 11 copies; members of the general public who wish to express their interest by participating informally may do so by submitting one copy. Responses will be available for public inspection during regular business hours in the Commission Docket Reference Room (Room 239) at its Headquarters in Washington, D.C. (1919 M Street, NW).

Accordingly, *it is ordered*, That the petitions for institution of rulemaking (RM-2695 and RM-2723) filed by Community Antenna Television Association and California Community Television Association and National Cable Television Association, Inc., respectively, are granted to the extent reflected herein and are otherwise denied.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,[1]
VINCENT J. MULLINS,
*Secretary.*

APPENDIX A—PRESENT TEXT OF § 76.13

§ 76.13 Filing of applications.

No standard form is prescribed in connection with the filing of an application for a certificate of compliance; however, three (3) copies of the following information must be provided:

(a) For a cable television system not operational prior to March 31, 1972 (other than systems that were authorized to carry one or more television signals prior to March 31, 1972, but did not commence such carriage prior to that date), an application for certificate of compliance shall include:

(1) The name and mailing addresses of the operator of the proposed system, community and area to be served, television signals to be carried (other than those permitted to be carried pursuant to § 76.61(b)(2) or § 76.63(a) (as it relates to § 76.61(b)(2)), proposed date on which cable operations will commence, and, if applicable, a statement that microwave radio facilities are to be used to relay one or more signals;

(2) A copy of FCC Form 325, "Annual Report of Cable Television Systems," supplying the information requested as though the cable system were already in operation as proposed;

(3) A copy of the franchise, license, permit, or certificate granted to construct and operate a cable television system;

(4) A statement that explains how the proposed system's franchise and its plans for availability and administration of access channels and other nonbroadcast cable services are consistent with the provisions of §§ 76.31, 76.252, 76.254, 76.256, and 76.258.

NOTE.—If the proposed system's franchise was issued prior to March 31, 1972, only substantial consistency with the provisions of § 76.31 need be demonstrated in the statement required by subparagraph (4), until the end of the current franchise period, or March 31, 1977, whichever occurs first.

(5) A statement that explains, in terms of the provisions of Subpart D of this part, how carriage of the proposed television signals is consistent with those provisions, including any special showings as to whether a signal is significantly viewed (see § 76.54(b));

(6) A certificate of service of the information described in subparagraph (1) of this paragraph on the licensee or permittee of any television broadcast station within whose predicted Grade G contour or specified zone the community of the system is located in whole or in part, the licensee or permittee of any 100-watt or higher power television translator station licensed to the community of the system, the superintendent of schools in the community of the system, and any local or state educational television authorities;

(7) A statement that a copy of the completed application has been served on any local or State agency or body asserting authority to franchise, license, certify, or otherwise regulate cable television, and that if such application is not made available by any such authority for public inspection in the community of the system, the applicant will provide for public inspection of the application at any accessible place (such as a public library, public registry for documents, or an attorney's office) in the community of the system at any time during regular business hours;

(8) A statement of the proposed system's equal employment opportunity program, as described in § 76.311. However, if the operator of the proposed system believes that the system will (continuously during January, February, and March of the year following commencement of operations) satisfy the conditions in § 76.311(c)(1)(i)(b), he may submit a statement justifying that conclusion in lieu of a statement of the proposed system's equal employment opportunity program.

(9) A statement that the filing fee prescribed in § 1.1116 of this chapter is attached.

(b) For a cable television system that proposes to add a television signal to existing operations, or that was authorized to carry one or more television signals prior to March 31, 1972, but did not commence such carriage prior to that date, an application for certificate of compliance shall include:

(1) The name and mailing address of the system, community and area served or to be served, television signals already being carried, television signals authorized to be carried but not carried prior to March 31, 1972, television signals not previously authorized and now proposed to be carried (other than those permitted to be carried pursuant to § 76.61(b)(2) or § 76.63(a) (as it relates to § 76.61(b)(2)), and, if applicable, a state-

[13] Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(3): Except when notice or hearing is required by statute, this subsection does not apply—

* * * * *

(B) when the agency for good cause finds (and incorporates the finding and a brief statement of reasons therefor in the rules issued) that notice and public procedure thereon are impracticable, unnecessary or contrary to the public interest.

The Commission herein specifically finds that because of the nearness of the March 31, 1977, date, it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give notice and request comments on such a stay. It is our belief that without immediate stay of the impending date, cable operators will be forced to begin or complete franchise negotiations. As we stated above, in light of our reexamination of the federal franchise standards, such negotiations may result in either needless burden or wasted efforts and possibly moot out the value of this rulemaking.

[1] Concurring Statement of Chairman Wiley in which Commissioner Quello joins and Concurring Statement of Commissioner Washburn are filed as part of the original.

[2] Concurring Statement of Commissioner Hooks to be issued at a later date.

ment that microwave relay facilities are to be used to relay one or more signals;

(2) If the system has not commenced operations but has been authorized to carry one or more television signals, a copy of FCC Form 325, "Annual Report of Cable Television Systems," supplying the information requested as though the cable system were already in operation as proposed;

(3) If the system has not commenced operations but has been authorized to carry one or more television signals, a copy of the franchise, license, permit, or certificate granted to construct and operate a cable television system, and a statement that explains how the system's franchise is substantially consistent with the provisions of § 76.31;

NOTE.—If only substantial consistency with the provisions of § 76.31 is demonstrated in the statement required in subparagraph (3), a certificate of compliance that is granted pursuant to § 76.11 shall be valid only until the end of the system's current franchise period, or March 31, 1977, whichever occurs first.

(4) A statement that explains how the system's plans for availability and administration of access channels and other nonbroadcast cable services are consistent with the provisions of §§ 76.252, 76.254, 76.256, and 76.258;

(5) A statement that explains, in terms of the provisions of Subpart D of this part, how carriage of the television signals not previously authorized is consistent with those provisions, including any special showings as to whether a signal is significantly viewed (see § 76.54(b));

(6) A certificate of service of the information described in paragraph (b)(1) of this section on the parties named in paragraph (a)(6) of this section;

(7) A statement that a copy of the completed application has been served on any local or State agency or body asserting authority to franchise, license, certify, or otherwise regulate cable television, and that if such application is not made available by any such authority for public inspection in the community of the system, the applicant will provide for public inspection of the application at any accessible place (such as a public library, public registry for documents, or an attorney's office) in the community of the system at any time during regular business hours;

(8) A statement that the filing fee prescribed in § 1.1116 of this chapter is attached.

(c) For a cable television system seeking certification of existing operations in accordance with § 76.11(b), an application for certificate of compliance shall include:

(1) The name and mailing address of the system, community and area served, television signals being carried (other than those permitted to be carried pursuant to § 76.61(b)(2) or § 76.63(a) (as it relates to § 76.61(b)(2)), television signals authorized or certified to be carried but not being carried, date on which operations commenced, and date on which its current franchise expires;

(2) A copy of the franchise, license, permit, or certificate under which the system will operate upon Commission certification (if such franchise has not previously been filed), and a statement that explains how the franchise is consistent with the provisions of § 76.31;

(3) A statement that explains how the system's plans for availability and administration of access channels and other nonbroadcast cable services are consistent with the provisions of §§ 76.252, 76.254, 76.256, and 76.258;

(4) A certificate of service of the information described in subparagraph (1) of this paragraph on the parties named in paragraph (a)(6) of this section;

(5) A statement that a copy of the completed application has been served on any local or State agency or body asserting authority to franchise, license, certify, or otherwise regulate cable television, and that if such application is not made available by any such authority for public inspection in the community of the system, the applicant will provide for public inspection of the application at any accessible place (such as a public library, public registry for documents, or an attorney's office) in the community of the system at any time during regular business hours;

(6) A statement that the filing fee prescribed in § 1.1116 of this chapter is attached.

APPENDIX B—PRESENT TEXT OF § 76.31

Subpart C—Federal-State/Local Regulatory Relationships

§ 76.31 *Franchise standards.*

(a) In order to obtain a certificate of compliance, a proposed or existing cable television system shall have a franchise or other appropriate authorization that contains recitations and provisions consistent with the following requirements:

(1) The franchisee's legal, character, financial, technical, and other qualifications, and the adequacy and feasibility of its construction arrangements, have been approved by the franchising authority as part of a full public proceeding affording due process;

(2) The franchisee shall accomplish significant construction within one (1) year after receiving Commission certification, and shall thereafter reasonably make cable service available to a substantial percentage of its franchise area each year, such percentage to be determined by the franchising authority; *Provided, however,* That where a franchise contains a policy of construction requiring less than complete wiring of the franchise area, such policy shall be adopted only after a full public proceeding (as contemplated by paragraph (a)(1) of this section) which includes specific notice of the consideration of such a policy.

NOTE.—The proviso to this paragraph is applicable only to franchises granted after August 1, 1975.

(3) The initial franchise period shall not exceed fifteen (15) years, and any renewal franchise period shall be of reasonable duration;

(5) The franchise shall: (i) specify that procedures have been adopted by the franchisee and franchisor for the investigation and resolution of all complaints regarding cable television operation; (ii) require that the franchisee maintain a local business office or agent for these purposes; (iii) designate by title, the office or official of the franchising authority that has primary responsibility for the continuing administration of the franchise and implementation of complaint procedures; and (iv) specify that notice of the procedures for reporting and resolving complaints will be given to each subscriber at the time of initial subscription to the cable system.

NOTE.—Subparagraphs (iii) and (iv) of this paragraph are applicable only to franchises granted after August 1, 1975.

(6) Any modifications of the provisions of this section resulting from amendment by the Commission shall be incorporated into the franchise within one (1) year of adoption of the modification, or at the time of franchise renewal, whichever occurs first.

*Provided, however,* That, in an application for certificate of compliance, consistency with these requirements shall not be expected of a cable television system that was in operation prior to March 31, 1972, until the end of its current franchise period, or March 31, 1977, whichever occurs first; *And provided, further,* That on a petition filed pursuant to § 76.7, in connection with an application for certificate of compliance, the Commission may waive consistency with these requirements for a cable system that was not in operation prior to March 31, 1972, and that, relying on an existing franchise, made a significant financial investment or entered into binding contractual agreements prior to March 31, 1972, until the end of its current franchise period, or March 31, 1977, whichever comes first.

(b) Franchise fees shall be no more than 3 percent of the franchisee's gross subscriber revenues per year from cable television operations in the community (including all forms of consideration, such as initial lump sum payments). If the franchise fee is in the range of 3 to 5 percent of such revenues, the fee shall be approved by the Commission if reasonable upon showings: (i) by the franchise, that it will not interfere with the effectuation of federal regulatory goals in the field of cable television, and (ii) by the franchising authority, that it is appropriate in light of the planned local regulatory program. With respect to a cable television system that was in operation prior to March 31, 1972, the provisions of this paragraph shall not be effective until the end of a system's current franchise period, or March 31, 1977, whichever occurs first.

[FR Doc.76-36664 Filed 12-13-76; 8:45 am]

---

[ 47 CFR Part 76 ]

[Docket No. 21006; FCC 76-1092]

## CABLE TELEVISION SYSTEMS; FREQUENCY CHANNELING AND MONITORING FOR SIGNAL LEAKAGE

### Notice of Proposed Rule Making

Adopted: November 24, 1976.

Released: December 8, 1976.

I. SCOPE OF PROCEEDING

1. This Notice of Proposed Rule Making addresses two closely interrelated issues concerning the operation of cable television systems. The questions are (1) How can it be assured that cable television systems operating on frequencies used by air navigation and aeronautical and marine emergency radio services do not cause harmful interference to those safety of life services, and (2) What frequency channelling plan or plans should be used by cable television systems for equipment compatibility, for prevention of interference to over-the-air services, and for other purposes? Two alternative approaches to the first question are offered. In one alternative, certain restrictions on operation in those bands in which harmful interference could be the most damaging, and stringent requirements for the prevention of signal leakage would be imposed. The second approach, offered by the Office of Telecommunications Policy, would prohibit the use of all radio navigation and safety frequency bands used by cable television systems until such time as adequate discipline, standards, enforcement and equipment have been provided to ensure that interference is not caused to safety of life services. Since the OTP approach would leave cable operators with only 19

possible television channels in most cases, that approach would require that some signals now being carried on cable television systems be dropped, and that our existing channel capacity and service requirements would have to be reduced. These rules were recently revised according to our Report and Order in Docket 20508, FCC 76-313, 59 FCC 2d 294 (1976). Such prohibitions would limit the future potential of cable as envisioned by this Commission and OTP.[1]

2. The proposals herein would affect §§ 76.252(a)(1), 76.254, 76.256, 76.258, 76.605(a), 76.605(a)(1), 76.605(a)(12), 76.609(h), and 76.613 of the Commission's Rules. Those sections address channel capacity and access services requirements, frequency boundaries for Class I cable television channels, limitations on signal leakage from cable television systems, methods for measurement of signal leakage, and interference to authorized radio services.

3. In brief, we propose:

A. To adopt a frequency channelling plan for the delivery of television signals to cable television subscribers. This frequency channelling plan may include the so-called midband and superband channels[2] as well as the standard television broadcast channels. Alternatively the channelling plan may prohibit operation in whole or in part on frequency bands used for navigation and safety purposes.

B. To require the use of the proposed frequency channelling plan for all Class I and Class II cable television channels, and for some Class III and Class IV channels as well.

C. To adopt standard designations for those channels not used in over-the-air service.

D. To modify our requirements for monitoring cable television systems for possible signal leakage.

E. To adopt rules specifying the conditions under which a cable television system which is found to be causing harmful interference to authorized radio services may be required to cease operation, and specifying the conditions under which operation may be resumed.

F. To adopt restrictions on cable carriage of signals within certain air traffic control and safety services bands.

In all the above actions except E we anticipate that a transition period will be specified to reduce any burdens associated with implementing the new standards, except that cable television systems commencing operation on or after the effective date of the new standards will be allowed no such transition period. The proposed rules would be applicable on the basis of whether or not the specified frequencies are used on the cable system, not on the basis of system size.

4. In this matter, we may face a conflict between our desire to permit the freest possible choice of frequencies on cable systems and our ability to enforce standards which may be adopted. We have clear authority to require termination of cable operations which are known to be causing interference to over-the-air services. But with out forfeiture authority, we feel that we have limited ability to enforce preventative measures. Prevention, as compared to after the fact correction, is clearly to be preferred in the case of safety and emergency over-the-air services. We will go as far as we can toward permitting cable operation without frequency restrictions. But it is possible that we might not be able to permit as free a choice of frequencies as we would prefer, due to our lack of authority to impose forfeitures on cable operators who do not meet our standards in the most critical frequency bands.

## II. Frequency Channelling Plans

5. Early cable television systems used frequency channels that were the same as those assigned to VHF television broadcasting under § 73.603(a) of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. These systems' channel capacity was limited to 12 channels (channels 2–13) and did not require the use of converters at the subscriber interface. Little use was made by the cable industry of channel capacity which existed outside of the VHF TV spectrum, except for system control signals, occasional use of sub-VHF for intersystem links, and sparing use of the UHF band by master antenna television systems.

6. Our *Cable Television Report and Order*[3] required major market cable television systems that began operation after March 31, 1972, to provide certain additional channel capacity. The details of those requirements have been modified since that time.[4] But our requirements together with the desire of cable operators to offer additional services, have caused many cable operators to build systems capable of carrying 20 or more television channels. Others will do so in the future. It is primarily this use of television channels beyond the twelve VHF over-the-air channels which leads to the need for standard frequency channelling plans for cable delivery of television signals. Some specific reasons for interest in frequency channelling plans at this time are as follows:

(a) To permit the design and widespread sale of television receivers compatible with both over-the-air and cable reception;

(b) To avoid interference with over-the-air radio services of all types in the event of signal leakage from a malfunctioning cable television system, by prohibiting the use of certain frequencies;

(c) To facilitate identification of the source of interference if signal leakage from a cable system should cause interference;

(d) To permit reduction of effects of second and third order harmonic distortion products on cable systems; and

(e) To pave the way for an overall cable frequency allocation plan, which will probably be voluntary for the indefinite future, and which would encourage frequency standardization of equipment for data, subscriber response, system control, and other non-television signals.

We are aware of other possible uses of broadband cable, such as telephone services, particularly in rural areas. These services may or may not be provided through the same facilities as cable television services. The proposed frequency channelling plans are not intended to discourage in any way the use of cable frequencies for purposes other than television signals, provided such use complies with other regulations such as cross ownership restrictions and technical standards.

7. Our Cable Technical Advisory Committee (CTAC) identified and discussed five frequency channelling plans.[5] Table I shows the frequencies assigned to the carriers for television signals under the three basic CTAC plans which we address here. The CTAC Plan 4 is based on Plan 1, but includes specific assignments for pilot carriers, data channels, upstream carriage, and special purpose channels. These are not needed for our present purpose, so long as any restrictions which may be imposed to prevent interference to over-the-air services are met. Plan 5 is the same as Plan 2(2A) except that Plan 5 implies very precise and accurate frequency control on the part of television broadcast stations as well as on the part of cable systems. Since the benefits of non-offset precise frequency control of all broadcast transmitters have yet to be convincingly demonstrated, we are not considering Plan 5 in this Proposed Rule Making.

8. The Steering Committee of CTAC, in addition to recommending a channelling plan specifically for standard television signals, also recommended adoption of a Table of Allocation for specifying the uses other than television to which the various frequency bands within the cable should be dedicated. This table is incorporated here as Table II. The allocations proposed seem generally reasonable, except that restrictions in addition to radiation limitation may have to be imposed in certain bands for air traffic control and other safety functions. However, we are not inclined to impose a general allocation plan until both the need has been established and more experience with certain Class III and Class IV services has been gained. Comments on this position are invited.

9. The CTAC frequency channelling Plan 1 includes channels 2–13 and A–Q. Plan 1A adds the six channels R–W. Plan 1 corresponds to the channelling plan considered by the Cable Television Standards Committee of the Electronic Industries Association (EIA/CTSC). For reasons having to do with tuner channel identification, EIA considered only channels J through Q in the so-called "superband" above Channel 13.

---

[1] Cable, Report to the President, The Cabinet Committee on Cable Communications (1974).

[2] The so-called "midband" and "superband" channels are those between 108 MHz and 174 MHz, and those above 216 MHz, respectively.

[3] Cable Television Report and Order, 36 FCC 2d, 143 (1972).

[4] 54 FCC 2d 207 (1975); FCC 75-644, 40 FR 27250 (June 27, 1975); FCC 76-313, 41 FR 20665 (May 20, 1976).

[5] The Cable Technical Advisory Committee Report to the Federal Communications Commission, in two volumes, FCC Report No. FCC-CTB-75-01, released May 1975. These volumes are available from the National Technical Information Service, Springfield VA 22151.

TABLE I.—*CTAC frequency channelling plans*

| Channel | Plans 1 and 1A (nominal FCC visual carrier) (frequency megahertz) | Plans 2 and 2A (constant interval carriers) | | Plan 3 (harmonically related carriers) | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | n [1] | Frequency megahertz | n [1] | Frequency megahertz |
| 2 | 55.25 | 9 | 55.25 | 9 | 54 |
| 3 | 61.25 | 10 | 61.25 | 10 | 60 |
| 4 | 67.25 | 11 | 67.25 | 11 | 66 |
| 5 | 77.25 | 13 | 79.25 | 13 | 78 |
| 6 | 83.25 | 14 | 85.25 | 14 | 84 |
| (A) | 121.25 | 20 | 121.25 | 20 | 120 |
| (B) | 127.25 | 21 | 127.25 | 21 | 126 |
| (C) | 133.25 | 22 | 133.25 | 22 | 132 |
| (D) | 139.25 | 23 | 139.25 | 23 | 138 |
| (E) | 145.25 | 24 | 145.25 | 24 | 144 |
| (F) | 151.25 | 25 | 151.25 | 25 | 150 |
| (G) | 157.25 | 26 | 157.25 | 26 | 156 |
| (H) | 163.25 | 27 | 163.25 | 27 | 162 |
| (I) | 169.25 | 28 | 169.25 | 28 | 168 |
| 7 | 175.25 | 29 | 175.25 | 29 | 174 |
| 8 | 181.25 | 30 | 181.25 | 30 | 180 |
| 9 | 187.25 | 31 | 187.25 | 31 | 186 |
| 10 | 193.25 | 32 | 193.25 | 32 | 192 |
| 11 | 199.25 | 33 | 199.25 | 33 | 198 |
| 12 | 205.25 | 34 | 205.25 | 34 | 204 |
| 13 | 211.25 | 35 | 211.25 | 35 | 210 |
| (J) | 217.25 | 36 | 217.25 | 36 | 216 |
| (K) | 223.25 | 37 | 223.25 | 37 | 222 |
| (L) | 229.25 | 38 | 229.25 | 38 | 228 |
| (M) | 235.25 | 39 | 235.25 | 39 | 234 |
| (N) | 241.25 | 40 | 241.25 | 40 | 240 |
| (O) | 247.25 | 41 | 247.25 | 41 | 246 |
| (P) | 253.25 | 42 | 253.25 | 42 | 252 |
| (Q) | 259.25 | 43 | 259.25 | 43 | 258 |
| (R) | 265.25 | 44 | 265.25 | 44 | 264 |
| (S) | 271.25 | 45 | 271.25 | 45 | 270 |
| (T) | 277.25 | 46 | 277.25 | 46 | 276 |
| (U) | 283.25 | 47 | 283.25 | 47 | 282 |
| (V) | 289.25 | 48 | 289.25 | 48 | 288 |
| (W) | 295.25 | 49 | 295.25 | 49 | 294 |

[1] The frequencies of plan 2 and (2A) are obtained from the integer $n$ according to the formula $f_n=6n+1.25$ MHz. The frequencies of plan 3 are $f_n=6n$ MHz.

TABLE II.—*CTAC table of allocations*

| Frequency band Megahertz | Allocation | Possible uses |
|---|---|---|
| Below 54 | Experimental | Television, subscriber response signals, telemetry, facsimile, control of monitoring signal. |
| 54 to 72 | Television | Cable television classes I and II. |
| 72 to 76 | Experimental | Pilot signals, control signals. |
| 76 to 88 | Television | Cable television, classes I and II. |
| 88 to 108 | Aural broadcast | FM broadcast signals; AM broadcast signals, remodulated to FM; Local origination, FM. |
| 108 to 120 | Experimental | Subscriber interrogation signals, control signals, pilot signals. |
| 120 to 1974 | Television | Cable television, classes I and II. |
| 174 to 216 | do | Do. |
| 216 to 270 | do | Do. |
| 270 to 300 | Experimental | Cable television, classes I and II and III, facsimile. |
| 300 to 490 | do | Cable television, class IV; telemetry; subscriber response signals; monitoring signals. |
| Above 490 | Not allocated | |

Source: See footnote 5.

10. The CTAC Plans 2 and 2A are the same as Plans 1 and 1A, respectively, except that channels 5 and 6 are moved upward by 2 MHz in each case.[4] This modification has an advantage over Plan 1 in that, when coupled with frequency and phase control of the carriers, Plan 2 would further reduce the effects of in-channel beats produced by third order distortions in amplifier characteristics. However, Plan 2 has the disadvantage of not corresponding to the frequency allocation plan applied to over-the-air television transmission and therefore to all existing television receivers in the United States.

[4] In the remainder of this document we will make no distinction between Plan 1 and Plan 1A or between Plan 2 and Plan 2A. "Plan 1" and "Plan 2" will refer to the full 35-channel versions (Plan 1A and Plan 2A in the CTAC Table).

11. Plan 3 is fundamentally different from Plans 1 and 2, in that the carrier frequencies are all precise multiples of 6 MHz. As in Plan 2, all carriers are generated from a single oscillator, so that the relative phases of the carriers remain constant in time. In this case, however, both second and third order distortion products all fall directly on carrier frequencies, and their undesirable effects on television pictures are reduced or eliminated. None of the carrier frequencies of Plan 3 correspond to frequencies used in over-the-air television service. Although many television sets can tune to the frequencies of Plan 3, not all will do so. The practical result is that either a special receiver or a converter supplied by the cable operator is required for successful implementation of Plan 3 on the cable system at the present time.

12. The recommendation of CTAC's Frequency Channelling Plans panel (Panel 5) was that the Commission consider adopting Plan 2, with precise frequency control, and with special attention to the problems of moving channels 5 and 6 into the "comb" of frequencies separated from each other by exact multiples of 6 MHz. The Panel also recommended consideration of implementing this plan by requiring television transmitters in the over-the-air service to broadcast on precise carrier assignments without offsets, within 1 part in $10^{11}$ of the assigned frequency and with a frequency drift of less than 7 parts in $10^{10}$. Such implementation could have certain advantages in the over-the-air service, in that certain types of co-channel interference might be reduced. It would also have advantages to receiver manufacture, including the possible elimination of the need for fine-tuning controls on the television set. These advantages, however, have not been established, and it would require a considerable time to do so. Economic impact studies would also be required prior to implementation of such a plan. Investigations now in progress at the Commission laboratory are expected to indicate whether precise frequency control in the over-the-air service would have a significant effect on co-channel interference.

13. After considering the suggestions of Panel 5, the Steering Committee of CTAC in its Report recommended the interim adoption of cable channels further urged that the Commission and other qualified organizations make a penetrating analysis of Plan 2 for possible adoption if and when its advantages are firmly established and a feasible mechanism for its implementation can be found. We recognize the potential advantages of Plan 2, but are also aware that its implementation for delivery of Channels 5 and 6 directly to subscribers is impractical at this time. The proposals we make here anticipate the possibility of adopting Plan 2 if it should become feasible and desirable to do so at a later time.

14. We propose to amend § 76.605(a) to accomplish the following:

(a) *At the subscriber interface.* Assure that subscribers can receive all Class I and Class II channels. To this end we propose to require that Class I and Class II cable television channels be delivered to subscribers on the channels numbered 2–6 and 7–13 as given in Plan 1, Table I, with the following exception: If an individual subscriber's receiver is able to accomodate signals on channels of Plan 1 other than channels 2–13, Class I and II channels may be delivered to such a subscriber directly on those other channels of Plan I. Thus, a subscriber owning a "cable compatible receiver" could receive all Class I and II channels without the necessity of a converter to provide outputs on one of the over-the-air channels 2–13. Channels 5 and 6 need not be used if signal quality would be degraded thereby.

(b) *At all points on the cable television system between the head end and*

*the subscriber interface.* Assure that interference to critical over-the-air radio services will not occur in the event of signal leakage from cable television systems, and assure that should signal leakage occur the frequencies used by cable television systems would be known to officials attempting to locate the source of any interference which might be caused, while at the same time allowing maximum flexibility for use of special frequency plans and for development of new services. To this end we propose to require the use of Plan 1, Plan 2, or Plan 3 for all signals which are carried in the frequency range 50 MHz to 300 MHz and which have a peak power greater than $1.3\times10^{-4}$ watts at any location between (but not including) the headend and the subscriber interface.

(c) *For all channels other than over-the-air broadcast channels.* Provide for nationwide uniformity in the labeling of equipment designed to receive such channels. The midband and superband channels might be designated A through W, 84 through 106, or Cable–14 through Cable–36. We invite comments on these or other possible designations.

15. All three frequency plans avoid placing television carriers and other high level signal components on frequencies considered to be the most critical for air traffic control and for marine and air emergency calling services. (These frequencies are given in paragraph 22 of this document.) Our reason for proposing to exempt low-level signals is to allow flexibility for the development of non-television services or special television delivery techniques (such as suppressed-carrier techniques) without regard to frequency channelling plans which may be unnecessary or counterproductive for those purposes. The proposed cut-off level, $1.3\times10^{-4}$ watts, is approximately 10 decibels lower than peak carrier levels commonly occurring in normal cable television operations. It is suggested that most services other than carriage of standard television signals can be successfully operated at levels below $1.3\times10^{-4}$ watts on frequencies of Plans 1, 2, or 3 or on other frequencies without disrupting television signals carried according to those plans. Furthermore, results of studies performed by the U.S. Department of Commerce, Office of Telecommunications (OT)[7] suggest (but do not purport to prove) that accidental leakage of signals carried at such low levels on the cable is unlikely to cause interference to the most critical air navigation services, at least if the number of leakages sources is small. We are open to comment on whether this power level is appropriate to prevent interference to navigation as well as other types of over-the-air radio service in case of signal leakage.

### III. Prevention of Harmful Interference

#### Choice of Frequency Plan

16. One of the benefits of adopting standard frequency channelling plans is reduction of the probability of harmful interference to over-the-air radio services. Use of a small number of alternative frequency plans will assure that in the event of interference to an over-the-air service a field official of the Commission would know which frequencies might be in use by a nearby cable television system. Further, the frequency channelling plan can itself be designed to avoid certain critical frequencies which might permit especially serious consequences should interference occur.

17. We are concerned with interference to any licensed over-the-air service, but are especially interested in those providing safety and emergency services. For some time the Commission has been alert to the question of whether interference to certain air traffic control and emergency calling frequencies could occur because of excessive leakage from a malfunctioning cable television system. The Commission first addressed the question in response to a letter from the Office of Telecommunications Policy (OTP) to the Commission's (Acting) Chief Engineer. OTP suggested that cable television systems be forbidden to use certain frequencies. In paragraph 162 of the Cable Television Report and Order,[8] the Commission declined to adopt the suggested frequency restrictions.

18. On January 31, 1975, the Commission released a Public Notice,[9] calling the attention of cable television operators to the possibility of interference by malfunctioning cable television systems, and noting that restrictions might have to be implemented should further study show that the probability of interference was significant.

19. The first reported example of such interference recently occurred, involving a cable system in Harrisburg, Pennsylvania and an airport approach control service operating on 118.25 MHz. The operator of the cable system (Sammons Communications, Inc.) cooperated fully with the Commission staff and with the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) to remove the source of interference as soon as it was discovered. However, the source of interference might have been identified more quickly or interference might have been avoided altogether if a standard frequency channelling plan had been available for use by the cable operator and for reference by personnel of this Commission and the FAA. It should be noted that after attempting to reduce the leakage of the cable system, the operator decided to abandon the interfering pilot carrier and employ a different reference signal. The interference case at Harrisburg, Pennsylvania, has not been closed and certain patterns appear to be developing as the investigation progresses. There are aspects of this case which concern the Commission and, consequently, the following areas should be given careful consideration in light of the Commission's proposals for prevention of harmful interference:

A. Our field reports raise a question concerning the capability of present cable systems to comply with the Commission's technical standards for field strength near connections between subscribed drop cables and feeder cables.

B. The cause of the interference experienced in this case appears to be the result of multiple sources of radiation from the cable system. This effect is cumulative and for this reason careful consideration must be given this factor when establishing limits for radiated energy or power levels at which signals can be carried on the cable system if harmful interference to safety and navigation radio services is to be prevented.

20. Since our 1972 Cable Television Report and Order[10] and the 1975 Public Notice, the Office of Telecommunications (OT), U.S. Department of Commerce, has completed an investigation of possible interference to the non-voice VHF Omni Directional Range (VOR) and Instrument Landing System (ILS) instrumentation used in air traffic control, and the circumstances under which such interference might occur because of a malfunctioning cable television system.[11] The OT work indicates that a cable system having a single leak generating fields within the specifications of § 76.605 (a)(12) of the Commission's Rules will not cause interference to these navigation systems. OT found, however, that there are circumstances under which a malfunctioning cable system could cause interference.

21. The research performed by OT indicates that ILS Localizer, ILS Glide Slope and VOR receivers could suffer harmful interference if the ratios of power in the radiated cable television signals to the power in the navigation signal reached certain critical levels and if certain relationships between the two signal frequencies were met. When the cable system visual carrier frequency is offset from the ILS Localizer carrier frequency by exactly 90 Hz or 150 Hz and the level of the received cable television signal is as high or higher than 40 dB below the received ILS Localizer power, the ILS Localizer exhibits unaccepable degradation in performance. When the cable system visual carrier frequency is offset from the ILS Glide Slope frequency by exactly 0 Hz, 90 Hz, or 150

[7] Electromagnetic Compatibility of Simulated CATV Signals and Aircraft Navigation Receivers, OT Report 74–39 (Harr, et al., 1974); Flight Test Measuring Compatibility of Simulated CATV and VOR Signals, OT Report 75–75, (John R. Juroshek and Tom Harr, Jr., 1975); and Radiating Aerial Coaxial Cable Measurements, OT Report 75–73, (Tom Harr, et al., 1975). Available from the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA 22151.

[8] See footnote 3. Interference due to cable television systems had not been documented, and the probability of such interference was judged to be small compared to the probability of interference from several other potential sources.

[9] FCC 75–105, 40 5815 (1975).

[10] See footnote 3.

[11] See footnote 7.

Hz and the received cable system visual carrier level is as high or higher than 21 dB below the received ILS Glide Slope power, the ILS Glide Slope exhibits unacceptable degradation in performance. When the cable system visual carrier frequency is offset from the VOR frequency by exactly 30 Hz and received cable system visual carrier level is as high or higher than 34 dB below the desired VOR power, the VOR exhibits unacceptable degradation in performance. The OT data suggest that if carrier signals on cable systems are carried either at frequencies more than 2 or 3 Hz removed from certain critical frequencies in the ILS and VOR systems, or at power levels reduced (by about 10 decibels) from the maximum power levels normally used on cable systems, harmful interference will not occur even under the most severe leakage conditions anticipated.

RESTRICTIONS WITHIN CERTAIN BANDS

22. Because of the possibility of unforeseen circumstances or human error, we are reluctant to rely completely on either of these two techniques alone to avoid the possibility of interference in the special case of ILS and VOR systems. In order to provide a still larger margin of safety, this proposal would adopt both power limitations and frequency restrictions in the spectrum used by ILS and VOR services. It is proposed that in the ILS and VOR bands (108 MHz and 118 MHz and 328.6 MHz to 335.4 MHz) carrier signals should be limited to a peak power of $1.3\times10^{-4}$ watts and all carrier frequencies and all frequency components having power levels greater than $1.3\times10^{-5}$ watts should be placed at frequencies approximately 25 kHz (or more) removed from the allocated VOR and ILS frequencies. Further, it is proposed that no carrier frequencies or frequency components having power levels greater than $1.3\times10^{-4}$ watts be placed within 50 kHz in either direction from the frequencies 121.5 MHz, 156.8 MHz, and 243.0 MHz. These later frequencies are used over the air for emergency purposes in aeronautical and marine radio services. We note that the proposed frequency channelling plans would avoid these specific frequencies as well as the 74.8–75.2 MHz air navigation band, for television signals.

23. We recognize that these restrictions are quite severe compared to restrictions imposed on other devices such as television and FM receivers which can radiate in the bands of interest. It may well be possible to build and maintain systems which use these regions of the spectrum without simultaneously meeting both the power and the frequency restrictions and which will still not cause harmful interference in case of damaged or broken cable. Underground plant, for example is quite secure against such accidents, except possibly for pedestals where cables are raised above grade. Such systems may be dealt with on a case by case basis, considering waiver of the restrictions upon a showing of (1) strong public interest in the use of the frequencies of concern as compared to some other frequencies, and (2) a system design and maintenance plan which will give high confidence that harmful interference would not be encountered even should signal leakage occur.

PROHIBITION OF OPERATION WITHIN CERTAIN BANDS

24. The Director of the Office of Telecommunications Policy, in a letter dated September 16, 1976, has suggested to the Chairman of this Commission an alternative approach. The Director suggests that "* * * the use of frequency bands 74.8–75.2, 108–136 and 225–400 MHz and frequency 156.8 MHz (Maritime Emergency Frequency), which are used directly in support of the safety of millions of our citizens, must take precedence over the use of these frequencies by cable systems." The Director requests that "* * * these frequency bands be excluded from use by cable systems until such time as adequate discipline, standards, enforcement and equipment have been provided to ensure that interference is not caused to safety of life services."

25. As an integral part of the OTP approach, it would be necessary to relieve cable television systems from certain of our channel capacity and other requirements, since under the OTP approach there would be only 19 of the channels listed in Plan 1 (Table 1) available between 54 and 400 MHz. Existing cable systems are not generally designed to operate above 400 MHz or to deliver television signals to subscribers on frequencies below 54 MHz. Specifically, the requirements of §§ 76.252(a)(1), 76.256, and 76.258 would have to be reduced, insofar as those requirements imply the availability of more than 19 television channels. We invite comments on how interference might be avoided without such measures.

26. OTP suggests that the exclusion of cable television operations from the bands of interest is necessary only "* * * until such time as adequate discipline, standards, enforcement and equipment have been provided to ensure that interference is not caused to safety of life service." If the OTP approach is adopted, we feel that it will be desirable from all points of view to have clear ground rules as to how to compare and judge the adequacy of discipline, standards, enforcement and equipment which may be proposed. Otherwise, it will be difficult for all parties to have a common understanding of the conditions under which operation in the affected bands could be resumed. Thus we invite comments from all interested parties on (1) the degrees and types of protection necessary for the various radio navigation and safety services (for example, comments concerning whether the frequencies used for navigation systems in the frequency band 108–118 MHz require the same protection as those frequencies used for air traffic control in the band 118–136 MHz), (2) criteria against which techniques for obtaining such protection may be judged, and (3) procedures for comparing the potential for harmful interference from cable systems with potential for interference from other sources, so that all potential sources of interference can be reduced to comparable and acceptable limits.

MONITORING

27. In addition to these special measures proposed in the case of aircraft navigation, air emergency, and marine emergency frequencies, we are proposing further general techniques to minimize the chance of interference to any and all over-the-air services. We propose to adopt rules which will require routine monitoring of certain cable distribution sub-systems to detect excessive signal leakage. The rules would be applied to those cable distribution systems carrying high level (greater than $1.3\times10^{-4}$ watts) signals on frequencies other than those assigned to over-the-air television and FM radio broadcasting. Alternatively, the rules could be applied only to cable systems operating in the various air traffic control bands.[33]

28. At the time of our 1972 Report and Order[34] routine or nearly continuous monitoring of cable systems for signal leakage was not practical. Field strength measurement techniques available were too tedious, time consuming, and costly for routine use on a continuing basis. Now, however, there are devices available which may be installed in maintenance vehicles and activated whenever the vehicle is in use. Such devices can give to the maintenance personnel audible or visual warning if the vehicle passes near a location where signal leakage is occurring. Constant attention of maintenance personnel is not required—it is only necessary that the warning device be activated whenever the vehicle is being operated. Thus, in most cable television systems a majority of the cable plant could be monitored with some regularity in the normal course of trips made for maintenance, connections, and disconnections. We invite comments and information on the availability, performance, and practicality of use of monitoring devices which can perform these functions.

29. We propose that our rules should require that for those systems affected (those using non-broadcast frequencies on the cable) routine monitoring must be done in such a way that some specified fraction of the cable plant would be monitored in the normal course of operation during each monitoring period of two or three months, and that all portions of the cable plant (except those portions which are underground) be checked with such a monitoring device or by actual measurement of radiated field strength at least twice each year.

---

[33] These bands are 74.8–75.2 MHz, 108–136 MHz, and 225–400 MHz, plus the specific frequency 156.8 MHz.

[34] See footnote 8.

We invite comments on the reliability and accuracy of such "continuous monitoring" techniques, the difficulty and cost of their use, the portion of the cable plant which should be monitored during each monitoring period, how long each monitoring period should be, and the practicality and desirability of requiring a complete check of the cable plant twice each year. It is not expected that a numerical value for the leakage field strength be obtained at every point twice a year—only that every location should be checked with some device which reliably indicates the existence of a field greater than that allowed by the rules.

30. We are proposing that these monitoring requirements be imposed on all cable television systems using frequencies outside the over-the-air television and FM radio broadcast bands. The present monitoring requirements (measurement of radiated fields at three points in the system, once a year) are clearly inadequate to assure that the standards of § 76.605(a)(12) are actually met. However, we recognize that interference to most over-the-air services does not pose the same threat to life and property as may be the case with air navigation and emergency services. Therefore, we invite comments on the alternative of applying these new monitoring requirements only to those cable systems using the frequency bands mentioned in paragraph 22 of this Notice.

IV. Elimination and Investigation of Harmful Interference

31. The recent occurrence of interference from a cable television system pointed out the need for guidelines for use when there is interference to over-the-air radio services due to cable systems. While the cable operator involved in that incident voluntarily ceased operation of the equipment causing interference, it did indicate the need to have specific rules and guidelines for any such incidents that may occur in the future. We are therefore proposing to incorporate under Part 76, Subpart K, rules similar in intent to those codified under Part 18 for industrial heating equipment. The existing § 76.613 of the Rules require that in the event of harmful interference to authorized radio stations, the operator of the cable system must immediately take whatever steps are necessary to remedy the interference. In addition, we propose that the rules will state explicitly that a cable television operator must cease operation of all or parts of the cable system immediately, if in his judgment or that of agents of this Commission such cessation of operation is the appropriate method for immediately halting interference which may be endangering the operation of a radio-navigation or safety service. Resumption of the cable services on a temporary or permanent basis would be done only with permission of the Engineer in Charge of the Commission's district office, if the services were initially terminated by order or the Commission.

V. Authority

32. Authority for the rulemaking proposed here is contained in 47 U.S.C. 151, 152, 301, 303, and 307. All interested parties are invited to file written comments on this rulemaking proposal on or before January 17, 1977, and reply comments on or before February 15, 1977. In reaching a decision on this matter, the Commission may take into account any other relevant information before it, in addition to the comments invited by this Notice of Proposed Rule Making.

33. In accordance with the provisions of § 1.419 of this chapter, an original and 5 copies of all comments, replies, pleadings, brief or other documents filed in this proceeding shall be furnished to the Commission. Responses will be available for public inspection during regular business hours in the Commission's Docket Reference Room (Room 239) at its Headquarters in Washington, D.C. (1919 M Street NW.).

Federal Communications Commission,
Vincent J. Mullins,
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc.76-36469 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

## INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

**[ 49 CFR Part 1047 ]**

[Ex Parte No. MC-75 (Sub-No. 1)]

### AGRICULTURAL COOPERATIVE TRANSPORTATION EXEMPTION (MODIFICATION OF REGULATIONS)

**Notice of Proposed Rulemaking; Correction**

At a general session of the Interstate Commerce Commission, held at its office in Washington, D.C. on the 18th day of November 1976.

This order issued to correct the order served December 3, 1976, which contained several typographical errors in the dissenting expression of Commissioner Christian, published at 41 FR 52893 December 2, 1976. The statement of Commissioner Christian reads as follows:

Commissioner Christian; dissenting:

I did not join my colleagues in voting to institute this rulemaking proceeding because I strongly believe that it will offer no material assistance in combating the very serious problems it purports to address. I am taking the unusual step of dissenting from the institution of this proceeding because I am convinced that new or changed rules are not required in this area. What is needed is the dedication of our energies to pursuing those who are abusing the exemption of section 203(b)(5) of the Interstate Commerce Act.

The notice issued today offers rules which either track the language of the statute or make minor modifications in current regulations. Justification statements in support of the rules speak in general terms about the sham co-op problem. The statement omit rational explanations of why the rules are necessary or how they will assist in solving specific problems. In my view, our current regulations are adequate and the statute gives us the requisite authority and guidelines we need to enforce the section 203(b)(5) exemption.

By opposing the institution of this proceeding, I do not mean to imply any disagreement with the majority's perception of the difficulties the agency faces in this area. However, we must understand that regulations, old or new, do not enforce themselves. Thus, new regulations must promise some improvements before we plunge into a proceeding which diverts scarce enforcement resources from the important task of policing compliance with the law.

I believe that we can better achieve the results we are seeking by vigorously enforcing the law. Those who file false Bop 102 statements should be prosecuted under 18 U.S.C. 1001 which carries a penalty of five years in prison, a $10,000 fine, or both. Organizations which exceed the specific percentage guidelines for non/member transportation of section 203(b)(5) should be brought before the courts where such activities may be permanently enjoined. We have specific authority in section 220(g) of the Act to inspect co-op books and records to assist us in detecting and terminating unlawful conduct.

The notice indicates that we have had modest success in terminating the transportation activities of illegal co-ops. We should strengthen this favorable record and provide a meaningful deterrent to chronic abusers of the law and our regulations.

In view of the fact that this proceeding is being instituted, I hope that interested persons will take the opportunity to suggest changes in the law or improved enforcement techniques to meet the problem. Constructive comments in these areas may salvage some benefits from an otherwise wasteful proceeding.

Robert L. Oswald,
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc.76-36722 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

# notices

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Agricultural Marketing Service

### SHIPPERS ADVISORY COMMITTEE

#### Public Meeting

Pursuant to the provisions of section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (86 Stat. 770), notice is hereby given of a meeting of the Shippers Advisory Committee established under Marketing Order No. 905 (7 CFR Part 905). This order regulates the handling of oranges, grapefruit, tangerines, and tangelos grown in Florida and is effective pursuant to the provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601–674). The committee will meet in the A.B. Michael Auditorium of the Florida Citrus Mutual Building, 302 South Massachusetts Avenue, Lakeland, Florida, at 10:30 a.m., on January 11, 1977.

The meeting will be open to the public and a brief period will be set aside for public comments and questions. The agenda of the committee includes analysis of current information concerning market supply and demand factors, and consideration of recommendations for regulation of shipments of the named fruits.

The names of committee members, agenda, summary of the meeting and other information pertaining to the meeting may be obtained from Frank D. Trovillion, Manager, Growers Administrative Committee, P.O. Box R, Lakeland, Florida 33802: telephone 813–682–3103.

Dated: December 8, 1976.

WILLIAM T. MANLEY,
*Deputy Administrator,*
*Program Operations.*

[FR Doc.76–36589 Filed 12–13–76;8:45 am]

### Packers and Stockyards Administration

### LAFAYETTE COUNTY LIVESTOCK AUCTION, LEWISVILLE, ARKANSAS, ET AL.

#### Posted Stockyards

Pursuant to the authority delegated under the Packers and Stockyards Act, 1921, as amended (7 U.S.C. et seq.), it was ascertained that the livestock markets named below were stockyards within the definition of that term contained in section 302 of the Act, as amended (7 U.S.C. 202), and notice was given to the owners and to the public by posting notices at the stockyards as required by said section 302, on the respective dates specified below.

| *Facility No., name, and location of stockyard* | | *Date of Posting* |
|---|---|---|
| | *ARKANSAS* | |
| AR–155 | Lafayette County Livestock Auction, Lewisville. | Nov. 13, 1976. |
| | *CALIFORNIA* | |
| CA–172 | Hastie Livestock Auction, El Cajon. | Nov. 8, 1976. |
| | *OKLAHOMA* | |
| OK–195 | Woodward Livestock Auction, Inc., Woodward. | Sept. 18, 1976. |
| | *TENNESSEE* | |
| TN–174 | Kingsport Livestock Auction, Corp., Kingsport. | Nov. 13, 1976. |
| | *TEXAS* | |
| TX–134 | Floresville Livestock Commission, Co., Floresville. | Nov. 11, 1976. |

Done at Washington, D.C., this 7th day of December, 1976.

EDWARD L. THOMPSON,
*Chief, Registrations, Bonds, and Reports Branch, Livestock Marketing Division.*

[FR Doc.76–36412 Filed 12–13–76;8:45 am]

### Rural Electrification Administration

### COOPERATIVE POWER ASSOCIATION

#### Draft Environmental Impact Statement

Notice is hereby given that the Rural Electrification Administration intends to prepare a Draft Environmental Impact Statement in accordance with section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 in connection with a request for a loan guarantee commitment for Cooperative Power Association, 6600 France Avenue, South, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55435, to provide a new generation facility.

The proposed generating facility consists of one 47 MW combustion turbine to be constructed in Carver County near Watertown, Minnesota.

Interested persons are invited to submit comments which may be helpful in preparing the Draft Environmental Impact Statement.

Comments should be forwarded to the Assistant Administrator—Electric, Rural Electrification Administration, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250, with a copy of the borrower whose address was given above. Additional information may be obtained at the borrower's office during regular business hours.

Dated at Washington, D.C. this 7th day of December, 1976.

DAVID H. ASKEGAARD,
*Acting Administrator.*

[FR Doc.76–36588 Filed 12–13–76;8:45 am]

### Soil Conservation Service

### TEN MILE-BRIAR CREEK WATERSHED, GEORGIA

#### Availability of Negative Declaration

Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969; the Council on Environmental Quality Guidelines (40 CFR Part 1500); and the Soil Conservation Service Guidelines (7 CFR Part 650); the Soil Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, gives notice that an environmental impact statement is not being prepared for the remaining measures to be installed in the Ten Mile-Briar Creek Watershed, Bacon County, Georgia.

The environmental assessment of this federal action indicates that these measures will not create significant adverse local, regional, or national impacts on the environment and that no significant controversy is associated with this portion of the project. As a result of these findings, Mr. Dwight M. Treadway, State Conservationist, has determined that the preparation and review of an environmental impact statement is not needed for this portion of the project.

The project concerns a plan for watershed protection and flood prevention. The planned works of improvement, as described in the negative declaration, consist of approximately 65 percent of the planned 13,650 acres of land treatment located throughout the watershed and 39,400 feet (7.5 miles) of channel work located in the mid and southeastern portion of the watershed.

The negative declaration is being filed with the Council on Environmental Quality and copies are being sent to various federal, state, and local agencies. The basic data developed during the environmental assessment is on file and may be reviewed by interested parties at the Soil Conservation Service, 206 Federal Building, 355 East Hancock Avenue, Athens, Georgia 30601. A limited number of copies is available from the same address to fill single copy requests.

No administrative action on implementation of the proposal will be taken until December 29, 1976.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 10.904, Watershed Protection and

Flood Prevention Program—Pub. L. 83-566, 16 U.S.C. 1001-1008)

Dated: December 7, 1976.

JOSEPH W. HAAS,
*Assistant Administrator for Water Resources, Soil Conservation Service.*

[FR Doc.76-36655 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

## CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

### ALASKA AIRLINES, INC. ET AL.

[Docket Nos. 30170, etc.; Order 76-12-37]

### Order Regarding West Coast-Alaska Investigation

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C., on the 7th day of December 1976.

West Coast-Alaska Investigation, Docket 30170; Applications of Alaska Airlines, Inc., Western Air Lines, Inc., Northwest Airlines, Inc., Wien Air Alaska, Inc., under section 401 of the Act, Dockets 23997, 27264, 27265, 28134, 28939, 28211, 28796; Applications of Western Air Lines, Inc., Northwest Airlines, Inc., Alaska Airlines, Inc., under section 416 (b) of the Act, Dockets 28135, 28940, 28220, 28222, 28486, 28865.

I. *Los Angeles/San Francisco-Alaska.* Alaska Airlines (ASA) has filed an application in Docket 27264 for amendment of its certificate for Route 138 so as to authorize Los Angeles/San Francisco-Anchorage/Fairbanks service.[1] This application was later supplemented by a motion for immediate hearing. In Dockets 28134 and 28211, Western Air Lines and Northwest Airlines, respectively, filed similar certificate amendment applications for Los Angeles/San Francisco-Anchorage authority.[2] All three carriers request exemption authority, pendente lite, to operate one daily round trip over the following routings: ASA—Los Angeles-San Francisco-Anchorage-Fairbanks (Docket 28222); Western and Northwest—Los Angeles-San Francisco-Anchorage (Dockets 28135 and 28220, respectively).[3]

In support of its exemption request, Western states, inter alia, that: Western has operated an uninterrupted pattern of through-plane flights in the San Francisco/Los Angeles–Anchorage markets since 1967, but the carrier is required on such flights to stop at either Portland or Seattle-Tacoma, the junction points for Segment 1 of Route 63 and Segment 1 of Route 139; in order to satisfy the growing demand in the Los Angeles/San Francisco–Anchorage markets, Western would have to add capacity over the Seattle-Anchorage/California segments of its through flights which is not warranted by the traffic needs of the local markets; Western, as the carrier of over 80 percent of the California-Anchorage market, is unduly burdened in providing needed improved service by the present mandatory Pacific Northwest stop; the unusual circumstances of rapid and high growth rates in California–Anchorage markets resulting from the pipeline activity require immediate relief; refusing to grant the requested exemption would not be in the public interest since Western's proposed service would substantially reduce the travel time and fares required of California–Anchorage passengers; and Western could operate its proposed service profitably, with no significant California–Alaska revenue diversion from Mainland Alaska or California–Pacific Northwest carriers.

Northwest objects to Western's exemption application, arguing that the issue of nonstop service in California-Alaska markets is highly controversial and complex, that granting Western's exemption application would prejudice Northwest's certificate application, and that Western has not justified its exemption request. Northwest's own exemption application in Docket 28220 was filed in response to Western's. Northwest states therein that California-Anchorage nonstop service should not be authorized, pendente lite, but that if the Board disagrees, Northwest should receive the exemption authority because of its superior service proposal and operating efficiency, and because improved authority for Northwest would balance the traffic opportunities in the West Coast–Alaska market.[4]

ASA also answered in opposition to Western's exemption request and, like Northwest, filed its exemption application in Docket 28222 in response to Western's application. Combining ASA's arguments in both pleadings, the carrier states, inter alia, that: the Kodiak Airways doctrine[5] precludes the grant of Western's exemption application pendente lite because ASA's certificate application would be materially prejudiced; if any carrier receives exemption authority, however, it should be ASA because ASA has been designated by the Board as the primary States-Alaska carrier; this authority would be a logical extension of ASA's route authority; Western would have less incentive to promote California-Anchorage nonstop authority; Alaska could provide single-plane California-Fairbanks service; and ASA is in far greater need of route strengthening than Western.[6] In response to Western's argument that the rapidly growing California-Anchorage markets need better service immediately, ASA filed a motion for immediate hearing on its certificate application in Docket 27264. Western answered in support of the motion stating, however, that such expedited consideration does not diminish the need for Western's proposed interim operation.

The Portland parties also filed an answer in opposition to Western's exemption application, stating that the application does not meet the statutory requirements of section 416(b) of the Act or the requirements of *Kodiak Airways* v. *C.A.B.*, and that the application raises controversial issues which are too complex for resolution without a hearing. The Portland parties also argue that granting Western's application would deprive Portland of its rights to have its interests considered before its designation as a coterminal with Seattle is completely eroded.[7]

Western filed a consolidated reply to the answers of ASA, Northwest, and the Portland parties.

II. *Portland/Seattle-Fairbanks.* As a result of the Pacific Northwest-Alaska Service Case, Order E–21955, March 26, 1965, ASA's Seattle/Portland-Fairbanks nonstop authority was suspended and the carrier was temporarily granted Seattle-Anchorage-Fairbanks authority (Segment 2 of Route 138), with the requirement that both Anchorage and Fairbanks be served on all flights operated pursuant to such authority.[8] In Docket 27265, ASA has applied for termination of the suspension of its nonstop Seattle/Portland-Fairbanks authority, while Western has applied for new Seattle-Fairbanks authority in Docket 28939. Western has also applied for exemption authority, pendente lite, to provide one daily Seattle-Fairbanks nonstop round trip (Docket 28940).[9] In Docket 28865, ASA requests an exemption from the requirement that both Anchorage and Fairbanks be served on Segment 2, for a one-year period, in order to operate at least one daily

[1] The form of the new segment requested is such that it also seeks local Los Angeles–San Francisco traffic rights.

[2] Northwest filed a motion to consolidate its application with Western's. Western answered in opposition only insofar as Northwest's application requested authority to engage in the transport of traffic within California. As is the case with respect to ASA, the new segment requested by Northwest, by its wording, seegs local Los Angeles-San Francisco traffic rights. The Greater Anchorage Chamber of Commerce has petitioned for leave to intervene in Dockets 27264, 28134, and 28211. The City of Portland, Portland Chamber of Commerce, Portland Freight Traffic Association, and the Port of Portland (the Portland parties) petitioned for leave to intervene in Docket 28134.

[3] The municipal corporation of Anchorage, Alaska, has petitioned for leave to intervene in Docket 28135.

[4] Answers in opposition to Northwest's application were filed by Western and ASA, and Northwest filed a reply.

[5] Kodiak Airways v. C.A.B., 447 F 2d. 341 (D.C. Cir. 1971).

[6] Answers in opposition to ASA's exemption application were filed by Western and Northwest, to which ASA filed a reply.

[7] According to the Portland parties, Western has never operated its California-Anchorage service with nonstop flights to Anchorage from Portland.

[8] In the Alaska Service Investigation (Trunkline and Regional Route Phase), Order 71–12–45, December 9, 1971, the Seattle/Portland-Fairbanks suspension was extended through February 7, 1979. While the Seattle-Anchorage-Fairbanks authority would have expired in 1972, ASA has continued operations over the segment under 5 U.S.C. 558(c) since it filed an application for renewal of the authority (Docket 23997) which has not yet been acted upon.

[9] Answers in opposition to Western's exemption request were filed by ASA and Pan American World Airways.

Seattle-Fairbanks nonstop round trip.[10]

In support of its exemption request, ASA states that: Its proposed service would fill the void which was created in January when Pan American discontinued its midday Seattle-Fairbanks nonstop frequency leaving the market with only one daily nonstop round trip during the evening;[11] the Seattle-Fairbanks market has grown to almost 500 passengers per day and deserves at least a second nonstop frequency; ASA's proposed operation would result in no diversion from any other carrier, but would only divert traffic from ASA's own one-stop service; there is no affirmative need for the continuation of ASA's blanket suspension from the Seattle-Fairbanks nonstop market because traffic has doubled since the Board's 1971 decision in the "Alaska Service Investigation"; and ASA will be able to achieve an annual profit of $1.6 million from its proposed daily round trip.

Northwest answered ASA's exemption application, stating that it does not oppose the request, since granting the exemption authority would help insure that ASA's concentration remains on the Seattle-Fairbanks market rather than the Seattle-Anchorage market where ASA has only temporary authority. Western answered in opposition to the exemption request, citing its exemption application and *Kodiak Airways* v. *C.A.B.*

Pan American also answered in opposition to ASA's exemption request stating, inter alia, that: Pan American already serves the Seattle-Fairbanks market well and the upgrading of its service through the use of B–747 aircraft would be jeopardized by diversion resulting from ASA's proposed operation;[12] when the 747 service develops healthy load factors and more nonstop capacity is warranted, a second flight will be added; what the Seattle-Fairbanks traveling public wants is evening service for connecting convenience; ASA's nonstop service would not be profitable; and no legal basis exists to support an exemption authorization.

Answers in support of ASA's exemption application were filed by the Puget Sound Traffic Association, the State of Alaska and the Alaska Transportation Commission, and the Fairbanks Parties. All of these civic parties state that they appreciate the institution of 747 service by Pan American, but that the increase in Seattle-Fairbanks traffic necessitates additional nonstop frequencies.

ASA filed a consolidated reply, directed primarily at Pan American's and Western's answers. ASA disputes Pan American's diversion estimate and the argument that ASA will lose money on a Seattle-Fairbanks nonstop round trip. ASA also sets forth detailed arguments as to why it should receive Seattle-Fairbanks exemption authority instead of Western. In this connection, ASA states that Western's proposed exemption operation would divert over $4 million in revenues from ASA.

III. *Seattle-Anchorage.* As stated above, ASA has applied, in Docket 23997, for renewal of its temporary Seattle-Anchorage-Fairbanks segment and for elimination of the requirement that flights over such segment originate or terminate at Fairbanks (i.e., the restriction prohibiting Seattle-Anchorage turnaround operations). In Docket 28486, ASA also seeks exemption authority to operate two daily Seattle-Anchorage round trips on a turnaround basis for a two-year period. In support of this request, ASA states, inter alia, that: the basis for the restriction no longer exists; ASA no longer has the option of extending its Seattle-Anchorage flights to Nome and Kotzebue instead of Fairbanks; elimination of the Anchorage-Fairbanks leg of two daily round trips would deprive neither the Seattle-Fairbanks market nor the Anchorage-Fairbanks market of needed service, but would result in cost and fuel savings for ASA; and ASA's proposed turnaround operation would not result in any diversion from Western and Northwest since ASA will not be adding any Seattle-Anchorage frequencies.[13]

Wien Air Alaska answered in opposition to ASA's exemption request, stating that the cost savings cited by ASA from elimination of the Anchorage-Fairbanks leg of two daily Seattle-Anchorage-Fairbanks round trips could be achieved without exemption authority if ASA merely eliminated the two daily Anchorage-Fairbank turnaround trips it operates.[14] Western also opposes ASA's exemption request, stating that Seattle-Anchorage turnaround authority for ASA is not a proper subject for exercise of the Board's exemption powers, and that, contrary to ASA's assertion, the Seattle-Anchorage segment is not a minor part of Western's system. Northwest also filed an answer opposing ASA's exemption application. Northwest states, inter alia, that: ASA will use the exemption relief to reduce service in the Seattle-Fairbanks and Anchorage-Fairbanks markets and increase service between Seattle and Anchorage; ASA's losses from its Seattle-Anchorage-Fairbanks service are due to overscheduling; the Seattle-Anchorage market is well served; ASA has not submitted the information required by the Board's rules with its exemption application; the exemption would adversely affect Seattle-Fairbanks service and passengers; and ASA's application does not meet the statutory standards for grant of an exemption.

ASA filed a consolidated reply to the answers of Northwest, Western, and Wien. ASA states therein that it is willing to limit its exemption request to one daily Seattle-Anchorage turnaround round trip and that it would accept a condition precluding the use of any of its released capacity to add additional frequencies in the local Anchorage-Fairbanks market. In response to Northwest, ASA also submitted some additional information with respect to its proposal.

Finally, Wein filed an application in Docket 28796 which includes, inter alia, a request for Seattle/Portland-Anchorage/Fairbanks authority.

Upon consideration of the pleadings and all the relevant facts, we have decided to institute the "West Coast-Alaska Investigation," Docket 30170, to consider the need for (1) nonstop service in the Los Angeles/San Francisco-Anchorage markets; (2) renewal of ASA's Seattle-Anchorage-Fairbanks authority and elimination of the Fairbanks long-haul requirement and/or certification of any other carrier to provide Seattle-Anchorage nonstop service; and (3) termination of the suspension of ASA's Seattle/Portland-Fairbanks nonstop authority and/or certification of any other carrier to provide Seattle-Fairbanks nonstop service. The Los Angeles/San Francisco-Fairbanks markets, however, are too small (5,670 and 7,360 fiscal 1975 true O&D plus interline connecting passengers, respectively) to warrant consideration for nonstop authority at this time. To the extent consistent with the issues of this investigation, the following applications will be consolidated therein: ASA—Dockets 23997, 27264 and 27265; Northwest—Docket 28211; Western—Dockets 28134 and 28939; and Wien—Docket 28796. New Los Angeles-San Francisco local traffic rights and turnaround authority between Seattle and Portland will not be in issue in this proceeding.[15]

We have also decided to deny the requests for nonstop San Francisco-Anchorage, Seattle-Anchorage, and Seattle-Fairbanks exemption authority. These exemption applications raise complex

[10] ASA originally requested authority for two daily round trips but only proposed an initial service of one daily round trip. The carrier subsequently stated that it was requesting authority to provide at least one daily round trip.

[11] At the present time Pan American's flights depart Seattle at 9:30 p.m. and Fairbanks at 1:15 a.m. (OAG, Nov. 1, 1976). ASA operates several one-stop frequencies.

[12] The nonstop 747 service was inaugurated on June 1, 1976.

[13] ASA makes the additional argument that since Pan American has doubled its Seattle-Fairbanks service (from one daily round trip to two), the extension to Fairbanks of ASA's Seattle flights is becoming increasingly less economic. However, Pan American has now dropped its second round trip.

[14] At the present time, ASA operates only two daily flights which do not extend beyond to Seattle.

[15] All persons who filed pleadings in Dockets 23997, 27264, 27265, 28134, 28135, 28211, 28220, 28222, 28486, 28865, 28939 and 28940 will be made parties to the certificate proceeding instituted herein. Thus, although the petition for leave to intervene filed by the municipal corporation of Anchorage, Alaska, in Docket 28135 will be dismissed since it was not filed in a case to be decided after notice and hearing as required by Rule 15 of the Board's rules of practice, Anchorage will be made a party to the "West Coast-Alaska Investigation."

and controversial issues as to carrier selection that should be decided only after formal hearing in which all interested parties may participate and a factual record can be developed. Particularly since the peak summer season in Alaska is now over, we do not believe that improving West Coast-Alaska authority by exemption would be in the best interest.

We agree with our dissenting colleague that a grant to Western of nonstop exemption authority in the San Francisco-Anchorage market, pendente lite, would not involve the carrier in any irretrievable financial commitment, or give it any advantage in the route investigation which its existing one-stop authority and traffic stake in the market does not already give it. Nor do we necessarily disagree with the dissenter's views as to the public interest in this situation. But the statute imposes the further requirement that exemption authority be granted only if we can find that enforcement of the terms of Western's certificates, which require it to stop at Seattle on San Francisco-Anchorage flights, would be an undue burden on it by reason of unusual circumstances affecting its operations. That is what we cannot legitimately find,[10] and we do not believe the statutory requirement can simply be dispensed with by flat.

Finally, ASA, Northwest, Western, and Wien have not submitted sufficient information for us to determine the environmental consequences of their certificate amendment applications at this time. Therefore, we will require these carriers to file the information set forth in Part 312 of the Board's Procedural Regulations. Consistent with Part 312, we will allow ASA, Northwest, Western, Wien and all other carriers filing applications in this proceeding 30 days from the date of service of this order to file their environmental evaluations.

*Accordingly, it is ordered*, That:

1. A proceeding to be known as the "West Coast-Alaska Investigation," Docket 30170, be and it hereby is instituted and shall be set down for hearing before an administrative law judge of the Board at a time and place hereinafter designated, as the orderly administration of the Board's docket permits;

2. The issues in said proceeding shall include the following:

(a) Do the public convenience and necessity require:

(i) The certification of an air carrier or air carriers to engage in nonstop air transportation between Los Angeles and/or San Francisco, on the one hand, and Anchorage, on the other hand;

(ii) Termination of the suspension of Alaska Airlines, Inc.'s nonstop Seattle/Portland-Fairbanks authority (and any other restrictions prohibiting such service) and/or the certification of any other air carrier or air carriers to engage in competitive nonstop air transportation between Seattle and/or Portland, on the one hand, and Fairbanks, on the other hand; and

(iii) Renewal of Alaska Airlines, Inc.'s Seattle-Anchorage-Fairbanks authority and removal of the restriction prohibiting Seattle-Anchorage turnaround service and/or the certification of any other carrier or air carriers to engage in competitive nonstop air transportation between Seattle and/or Portland, on the one hand, and Anchorage, on the other hand?

(b) If so, what terms, conditions and/or limitations should be placed on the operations of such carrier(s)?

3. New local traffic rights between Los Angeles and San Francisco and turnaround authority between Seattle and Portland will not be at issue in said proceeding;

4. Any authority granted in said proceeding shall be ineligible for subsidy;

5. The following applications, to the extent that they conform to the scope of the issues as hereinabove stated, be and they hereby are consolidated with said proceeding:

Alaska Airlines, Inc., Dockets 23997, 27264, and 27265
Northwest Airlines, Inc., Docket 28211
Western Air Lines, Inc., Dockets 28134 and 28939
Wien Air Alaska, Inc., Docket 28796

6. The following petitions for leave to intervene be and they hereby are granted:

Greater Anchorage Chamber of Commerce, Dockets 27264, 28134, and 28211
City of Portland, Portland Chamber of Commerce, Portland Freight Traffic Association, and The Port of Portland, Docket 28134;

7. The petition for leave to intervene of Anchorage, Alaska, in Docket 28135 be and it hereby is dismissed;

8. The following be and they hereby are made parties to the proceeding instituted herein:

Alaska Airlines, Inc.
Northwest Airlines, Inc.
Pan American World Airways, Inc.
Western Air Lines, Inc.
Wien Air Alaska, Inc.
Greater Anchorage Chamber of Commerce
City of Portland, Portland Chamber of Commerce, Portland Freight Traffic Association, and The Port of Portland
Anchorage, Alaska
Puget Sound Traffic Association
State of Alaska and The Alaska Transportation Commission
Fairbanks North Star Borough, City of Fairbanks, and Fairbanks Chamber of Commerce, Inc.;

9. The motion of Northwest Airlines, Inc., to consolidate its application in Docket 28211 with the application in Docket 28134, to the extent conforming to the issues stated above, be and it hereby is granted; otherwise, it is denied;

10. The motion of Alaska Airlines, Inc., for immediate hearing on its application in Docket 27264, to the extent conforming to the issues stated above, be and it hereby is granted; otherwise it is denied;

11. The exemption applications of Alaska Airlines, Inc., in Dockets 28222, 28486, and 28865; Northwest Airlines, Inc., in Docket 28220; and Western Air Lines, Inc., in Dockets 28940 and 28135 be and they hereby are denied;

12. Applications, motions to consolidate, and petitions for reconsideration of this order shall be filed 20 days from the date of service of this order and answers thereto shall be filed 10 days thereafter; and

13. Alaska Airlines, Inc., Northwest Airlines, Inc., Western Air Lines, Inc., Wien Air Alaska, Inc. and all other carriers filing applications in this proceeding shall file environmental evaluations pursuant to § 312.12 of the Board's Procedural Regulations within 30 days of the date of service of this order.

This order will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.

PHYLLIS T. KAYLOR,
*Secretary.*

Robson, Chairman, attached concurrence and dissenting statement reads as follows:

CHAIRMAN ROBSON CONCURRING AND DISSENTING:

I am in agreement with the majority in instituting the West Coast-Alaska Investigation and in denying the exemption application of Alaska Airlines for Seattle-Fairbanks nonstop authority. However, I would grant Western exemption authority, pendente lite, for one daily nonstop round trip between San Francisco and Anchorage. I recently expressed my views on the Board's policy of handling pendente lite exemptions,[1] and the precedent established by the Kodiak case.[2] I find equally persuasive circumstances here supporting Western's request.

Western's financial commitment will be minimal since no new stations or otherwise irretrievable costs will be involved.[3] Moreover, operations pursuant to an exemption would not give Western any advantage over ASA and Northwest in terms of permanent carrier certification which it does not have now since Western is already established as the primary carrier in the California-

[10] None of the circumstances here present—the fact that no carrier has nonstop authority, that one carrier has one-stop authority and is carrying most of the traffic, and that the market has grown to the point where a grant of nonstop authority needs to be considered in a route investigation—are in any way unusual. On the contrary, they are the commonplaces of a large part of the Board's route program, now and in the past. The dissenter does not suggest the alternative "limited extent" test applies here.

[1] See dissenting Statement of Chairman Robson in 76-11-31, Order denying Allegheny's exemption to provide nonstop service between Cleveland and Indianapolis, Docket 29161.

[2] *Kodiak Airways* v. *C.A.B.*, See 447 F. 2d 341 and 345 (D.C. Cir. 1971).

[3] Western states at pages 10 and 11 of its exemption application that, for purposes of the exemption operations, "no new aircraft is required, no new stations are needed, and the proposed service can be handled without additional expenditures for facilities or personnel."

Anchorage markets, providing the only single-plane service and carrying over 80 percent of the traffic. None of the other applicants for exemption authority is in a similar position.

Furthermore, there are substantial public benefits which would accrue from grant of this authority on an interim, permissive basis. The California-Alaska market has grown significantly.[4] And, while the permanency of the recent high traffic and growth levels in this market will be addressed when a decision is reached in the investigation instituted herein, the present situation requires immediate improvement and in my view constitutes unusual circumstances supporting grant of the exemption to Western.[5]

[FR Doc.76-36714 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

[Docket 29637]

### PATRICIA KENNEDY V. AMERICAN AIRLINES, INC., ET AL.

#### Assignment of Proceeding

In the matter of Patricia Kennedy v. American Airlines, Inc., Braniff Airways, Inc., Delta Air Lines, Inc., National Airlines, Inc., Piedmont Aviation, Inc.; enforcement proceeding.

This proceeding is hereby assigned to Administrative Law Judge Ronnie A. Yoder. Future communications should be addressed to Judge Yoder.

Dated at Washington, D.C., December 8, 1976.

ROSS I. NEWMANN,
*Chief Administrative Law Judge.*

[FR Doc.76-36715 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

[Docket 30152]

### TRANS INTERNATIONAL AIRLINES, INC., ET AL.

#### Assignment of Proceeding

In the matter of Trans International Airlines, Inc., Henry P. Huff, individually, James H. Malone, individually, Peter J. Cunningham, individually, John W. O'Brien, individually; enforcement proceeding.

This proceeding is hereby assigned to Administrative Law Judge Janet D. Saxon. Future communications should be addressed to Judge Saxon.

Dated at Washington, D.C., December 8, 1976.

ROSS I. NEWMANN,
*Chief Administrative Law Judge.*

[FR Doc.76-36716 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

[4] The Los Angeles/San Francisco-Anchorage markets in FY 1975 generated 105 and 132 true O & D plus interline connecting passengers per day, respectively.

[5] For a fuller recitation of the public interest standards and case history used by the Board in pendente lite exemptions, see Order 71-12-50, pp. 11-13 and 20-22.

## CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

### PRIVACY ACT OF 1974

#### Notice of Adoption of A Routine Use of Information In Systems of Records

The Civil Service Commission published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on March 16, 1976, (41 FR 11075), notice of a proposal to establish an additional routine use of information contained in the Government-wide System of General Personnel Records. The Commission invited public comment on the proposed routine use. In addition, the Commission, through letters sent to representatives of Federal agencies and national labor organizations, solicited comments on the proposed routine use for disclosure of certain information from this system of record to officials of recognized labor organizations.

This notice includes an analysis of comments received and presents the routine use as revised, and publishes the newly adopted routine use. The full text of the notice to which this routine use statement pertains was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on September 24, 1976, (41 FR 42158-42168).

Comments on proposed routine use "t", covering disclosure of information to labor organizations having exclusive recognition, were received from eighteen agencies, one federation of labor organizations and one unaffiliated labor organization. Sixteen agencies either concurred with the proposal as stated or suggested only incidental changes to the text; asked questions regarding definition or connotation of terminology; or cited practical illustrations of the need for further guidance from the Commission. Two agencies regarded the routine use publication as unnecessary because present policy and practice is adequate, although there is a need for further guidance from the Civil Service Commission.

One labor organization agreed with the routine use as proposed. The other labor organization suggested changes to the language of the proposal to prevent any misinterpretation which could lead to unintended limitations on the availability of information to labor organizations.

In general response to the comments received, proposed routine use "t" was intended to cover legitimate, needed disclosures of information to labor organizations. It was not designed to increase the substantive rights of labor organizations or to require automatic disclosure of the information items included as examples in the proposed language of the routine use; neither was it designed to limit disclosure to officials of labor organizations to the information items listed as examples. The routine use was intended to permit agency officials to disclose to officials of labor organizations information determined to be relevant and necessary to duties of exclusive representation, and it is recognized that the information appropriate for disclosure may vary according to different situations. The Commission has revised the routine use to eliminate the apparently misleading list of information examples. However, the Commission has solicited views from agencies and unions and will publish specific guidance to agencies on the interpretation of the routine use in a Federal Personnel Manual Letter to be issued within the next thirty days. In addition, acting on the written request of the State Department, the Commission has inserted language to make the routine use applicable also to Executive Order 11636, Employee-Management Relations in the Foreign Service. Routine use "t" as amended and set out below is effective December 14, 1976.

CSC/GOV-3. General Personnel Records—CSC

* * * * *

t. Disclosed to officials of labor organizations recognized under Executive Orders 11636 and 11491, as amended, when relevant and necessary to their duties of exclusive representation concerning personnel policies, practices, and matters affecting working conditions.

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,
[SEAL] JAMES C. SPRY,
*Executive Assistant to the Commissioners.*

[FR Doc.76-36557 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### Economic Development Administration

### ALTA PRODUCTS CORP.

#### Petition for Determination of Eligibility To Apply for Trade Adjustment Assistance

A petition by Alta Products Corporation, Alta Road, Wilkes-Barre, Pennsylvania 18702, a producer of footwear for men and women, was accepted for filing on December 6, 1976, pursuant to section 251 of the Trade Act of 1974 (Pub. L. 93-618).

Consequently, the United States Department of Commerce has initiated an investigation to determine whether increased imports into the United States of articles like or directly competitive with those produced by the firm contributed importantly to total or partial separation of the firm's workers, or threat thereof, and to a decrease in sales or production of the petitioning firm.

Any party having a substantial interest in the proceedings may request a public hearing on the matter. A request for a hearing must be received by the Chief, Trade Act Certification Division, Economic Development Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230, no later than the close of business of December 27, 1976.

CHARLES L. SMITH,
*Acting Chief, Trade Act Certification Division, Office of Planning and Program Support.*

[FR Doc.76-36653 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

## DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

### Office of the Secretary

### DEFENSE SCIENCE BOARD TASK FORCE ON PATRIOT VULNERABILITY

#### Meeting

The Defense Science Board Task Force on Patriot Vulnerability will meet in closed session on 4, 5, and 6 January 1977, at The Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory, Scaggsville, Maryland.

The mission of the Defense Science Board is to advise the Secretary of Defense and the Director of Defense Research and Engineering on overall research and engineering and to provide long-range guidance in these areas to the Department of Defense.

The task force will provide an analysis of the Patriot vulnerability to current and projected threats and provide related guidance and advice regarding R. & D. action considered appropriate within the Department of Defense.

In accordance with Section 10(d) of Appendix I, Title 5, United States Code, it has been determined that this task force meeting concerns matters listed in Section 552(b) of Title 5 of the United States Code, specifically subparagraph (1) thereof, and that accordingly this meeting will be closed to the public.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
*Director, Correspondence and Directives, OASD (Comptroller).*

DECEMBER 9, 1976.

[FR Doc.76-36563 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

### WARC INDUSTRY ADVISORY COMMITTEE

#### Availability of Annual Report on Closed Portion of Meeting

During calendar year 1976, FCC advisory committees have not held any meetings which were entirely closed to members of the public. However, the November 1 meeting of the World Administrative Radio Conference (WARC) Industry Advisory Committee had a portion of the meeting closed to members of the public. As required by paragraph 8d(3) of OMB Circular A-63, Revised, the WARC Industry Advisory Committee has prepared a report which summarizes the activities of the committee during the closed portion of the November 1 meeting. This report is available to interested members of the public. Individuals desiring access to the report should contact the FCC International Conference Preparatory Staff, Office of Chief Engineer, 2025 M Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20554, Room 7218 (telephone 632-7025).

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
VINCENT J. MULLINS,
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc.76-36661 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

### 1979 WORLD ADMINISTRATIVE RADIO CONFERENCE BROADCAST SERVICE WORKING GROUPS

#### Schedule of Meetings

DECEMBER 9, 1976.

Pursuant to Pub. L. 92-463, notice is hereby given of the following meetings for January 1977.

WARC-79 AM BROADCASTING SERVICE GROUP

Wednesday, January 5, 1977—10:30 AM to 12:30 PM, Room 8210—2025 "M" Street, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Chairman: Donald G. Everist.
FCC Liaison: Dennis Williams.

The Agenda for the meeting is as follows:

1. Call to order by the Chairman.
2. Approval of Minutes of the previous Meeting.
3. Draft reply to Paragraph #11, Third Notice of Inquiry, Docket No. 20271.
4. Meeting recessed and will be continued on Wednesday, January 12, 1977.

WARC-79 AM BROADCASTING SERVICE GROUP

Wednesday, January 12, 1977—10:30 AM to 12:30 PM, Room 8210—2025 "M" Street, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Continuation of the January 5, 1977 WARC-79 AM Broadcasting Service Group meeting for the purpose of finalizing the comments in reply to Docket No. 20271.

WARC-79 TV BROADCASTING SERVICE GROUP

Tuesday, January 4, 1977—9:30 AM to 12:00 Noon, Room 8210—2025 "M" Street NW., Washington, D.C.

Chairman: James D. Parker.
FCC Liaison: Charles H. Breig.

WARC-79 AUXILIARY BROADCASTING SERVICE GROUP

Tuesday, January 4, 1977—1:30 PM to 3:30 PM, Room 8210, 2025 "M" Street NW., Washington, D.C.

Chairman: John Serafin.
FCC Liaison: Al Jarratt.

WARC-79 INTERNATIONAL BROADCASTING SERVICE GROUP

Wednesday, January 12, 1977—10:00 AM to 12:30 PM, Room A-110—1229 20th St. NW., Washington, D.C.

Chairman: Stanley Leinwoll.
FCC Liaison: Lloyd R. Smith.

The Agendas for the TV, Auxiliary, and International Broadcasting Service Groups will be as follows:

1. Call to Order by the Chairman.
2. Approval of Minutes of Previous Meeting.
3. Discussion of Third Notice of Inquiry—Docket 20271.
4. Reports from Task Groups.
5. Further Discussion.
6. Next Meeting Date and Adjournment.

The above meetings are open to broadcast industry representatives and interested members of the general public.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
VINCENT J. MULLINS,
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc.76-36666 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

## FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

[Docket No. RM74-18]

### INTEREST RATE ON AMOUNTS SUBJECT TO REFUND

#### Order Denying Rehearing and Clarifying Order

DECEMBER 6, 1976.

On October 15, 1976, the Commission issued an Order Clarifying and Amending Order No. 513-A and Denying Rehearing in these proceedings. Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America (Natural) submitted an application for rehearing of that order on November 15, 1976. We will deny rehearing; however, the following discussion of the Commission's application to date of Order No. 513, as amended, together with a statement of how the Commission intends to apply Order No. 513-A in the future, would be helpful in the administration of the regulations.

In Order No. 513,[1] the Commission amended the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act [2] to increase the interest rate on amounts collected subject to refund in rate filings made on or after the date of issuance of Order No. 513, October 10, 1974, from 7 percent per annum to 9 percent per annum. In *American Public Gas Association, et al.* v. *F.P.C.*, No. 75-1104, (D.C. Cir., May 19, 1976), the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit held Order No. 513 to be unreasonable and discriminatory and instructed the Commission to modify its Regulations in keeping with the Court's findings. On July 14, 1976, the Commission issued Order No. 513-A amending the Regulations to comply with the judgment and opinion of the Court of Appeals as follows in pertinent part:

> The pipeline company shall refund at such time and in such manner as may be required by final order of the Commission, the portion of any increased rates and charges found by the Commission in that proceeding to be not justified, together with interest at the rate of (1 seven percent per annum on all excessive rates and charges collected prior to October 10, 1974; and (2 nine percent per annum on all excessive rates and charges collected on and after October 10, 1974, and nine percent per annum for all interest accruing on and after

[1] Order No. 513, Docket No. RM74-18, 52 FPC 920 (1974); Order Denying Applications for Rehearing and Clarifying Prior Order, issued December 11, 1974. Notice of the Proposed Rulemaking in Docket No. RM74-18 was issued on April 23, 1974.

[2] 18 CFR 154.67(c) of the Commission's regulations under the Natural Gas Act.

October 10, 1974, from the date of payment until refunded.[3]

In explanation of this amendment, the Commission stated its policy with regard to orders which became final and nonappealable before July 14, 1976, the date on which Order No. 513–A was issued:

> Where there is a final non-appealable Commission order directing the disbursement of refunds of amounts collected or held during the time periods which are the subject of this order, the Commission does not intend to reconsider or modify those individual orders. The orders will stand as issued.[4]

In its Petition for Rehearing of Order No. 513–A, filed August 13, 1976, Northern Illinois Gas Company (NI–Gas) directed the Commission's attention to disbursement orders which became final and nonappealable between May 19, 1976, when the APGA decision was issued, and July 14, 1976, when the Commission amended its regulations in compliance with that decision in Order No. 513–A. NI–Gas argued that refund orders requiring a 7 percent interest rate and becoming final and nonappealable during this interim period would in effect deny customers an additional 2-percent interest on refunds "solely because of the Commission's delay in promptly promulgating revised regulations." (Petition, p. 8). In response to this argument the Commission amended Order No. 513–A, explaining its revision as follows:

> However, the Commission does find merit in the situation posed by NI-gas that an injustice could result from the fact that a period of nearly two months elapsed between the issuance of the Court of Appeals decision in APGA and the issuance of the Commission's order amending its regulations in Order No. 513–A. Parties might have interpreted the Court of Appeals decision as requiring a 9 percent interest rate on all excessive amounts collected after October 10, 1974, and failed to preserve their rights to a higher rate in consequence. To remedy any possibility of injustice stemming from such reliance, the Commission shall amend its order to provide that the 9 percent interest rate shall not apply to refunds which have been required pursuant to final and nonappealable Commission orders prior to May 19, 1976, the date of the Court of Appeals decision in the APGA case.[5]

In its order clarifying Order No. 513–A issued on October 15, 1976, the Commission reaffirmed its unwillingness to reconsider orders becoming final and nonappealable prior to May 19, 1976. This policy was followed in several Commission orders issued on November 5, 1976, denying petitions which had requested the Commission to require a 9 percent rate of interest.[6] The Commission's policy with regard to orders becoming final and nonappealable before May 19, 1976, remains unchanged. However, the Commission modified Order No. 513–A by providing that it would not apply the 9 percent rate of interest to amounts which were the subject of Order No. 513–A in which the refund order became final and nonappealable prior to May 19, 1976, rather than prior to July 14, 1976, as stated in Order No. 513–A.

The Commission followed this rationale in Gulf States Utilities Company, in which the Commission issued an order requiring refunds to be paid at a 7 percent rate of interest. The order did not become final and nonappealable prior to May 19, 1976. In that case, On July 21, 1976, Sam Rayburn Dam Electric Cooperative, Inc. filed a petition requesting, among other things, that the Commission require a 9 percent rate of interest on refunds. On October 15, 1976, the Commission modified the Gulf States refund order so as to require the higher rate of interest.[7]

Turning to Natural's application for rehearing, the Commission has stated it will not modify those refund orders which became final and nonappealable prior to May 19, 1976. Natural's application for rehearing requests the Commission to apply this policy to refund orders becoming final and nonappealable as late as July 14, 1976, the date of Order No. 513–A. Natural argues the October 15, 1976, order is arbitrary and inconsistent with the rationale for retaining the final, nonappealable order distinction for pre-May 19, 1976, orders and that parties to orders becoming final after the APGA decision, supra, had ample opportunity to preserve their rights either in a settlement document or in comments to a settlement.

Upon review, the Commission will deny Natural's petition and will maintain the May 19, 1976, cut-off date for reviewing final and non-appealable refund orders for the reasons stated in the October 15, 1976, order. Nevertheless, the Commission notes this result does not necessarily work to deny Natural the relief it seeks, at least with respect to its recently settled rate proceeding.[8] There, in applying the logic of Appalachian Power Company[9] the interest rate on the amounts ordered refunded is both 7 percent and 9 percent, consistent with the settlement agreement.

In proceedings involving settlements, the Commission has recently determined the appropriate refund interest rate on a case-by-case basis. In Appalachian, supra, the parties agreed that no interest would be paid on excessive amounts from the date the rates became effective until the date the settlement agreement was filed, and that a 7 percent rate would apply from the filing of the agreement until the date the Commission approved it. Because the refund interest rate was a negotiated and essential part of the settlement, the Commission approved the settlement refund interest rate of 7 percent. In Northern Natural Gas Company[10] there was on the other hand no indication that the refund interest rate was bargained for. The Commission, in that instance, ordered refunds at a 9 percent rate of interest in approving the stipulation submitted. We have also applied the rationale of these orders to two other settled proceedings.[11] Thus, where there is an indication of actual bargaining for a particular refund interest rate during the course of negotiations, the Commission has in pending cases applied the settled interest rate upon a determination that the requested interest rate is reasonable. The Commission will continue to follow this procedure with regard to settlement agreements filed with the Commission prior to the date of this order.

In acting upon settlements filed with the Commission in the future, however, the Commission has determined that in applying the interest rate on refunds, it will not distinguish between contested proceedings and settled proceedings. Initially, when this matter arose before the Commission it appeared an inflexible approach would serve to discourage settlements, and the Commission so stated.[12] Upon further review, the Commission finds there are other overriding considerations and notice is given that in the future the Commission intends to apply Order No. 513–A to settlements.

As a first consideration, we note that this issue arises with regard to only a limited number of cases and will soon be eliminated. It arises only with respect to the interest rate on amounts collected pursuant to cases filed prior to October 10, 1974. Order No. 513–A, issued pursuant to the Court's order in American Public Gas Association, supra, modified the interest rate from 7 percent to 9 per-

---

[3] Order No. 513–A, Docket No. RM74–18, Order Amending Regulations Under The Federal Power Act and Natural Gas Act, issued July 14, 1976.

[4] Order No. 513–A, supra, mimeo at p. 2.

[5] Order Clarifying and Amending Order No. 513–A and Denying Rehearing, supra, mimeo at p. 5. See also, Order Granting Rehearing of Order No. 513–A for Purposes of Further Reconsideration, issued on August 19, 1976 in these proceedings, mimeo at pp. 5–6.

[6] Northern Natural Gas Company, Docket No. RP74–80; Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation and Columbia Gulf Transmission Company, Docket Nos. RP74–81 and RP74–82; Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation, Docket No. RP74–41; Cities Service Gas Company, Docket No. RP74–4.

[7] Gulf States Utilities Company, Docket No. E–8121, Order on Petition for Modification of Commission Order, issued on October 15, 1976.

[8] Natural's application requests that to the extent its arguments are not considered in the instant docket, they be considered in Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America, Docket No. RP74–96, supra, note 11.

[9] Appalachian Power Company, Docket No. E–7775, Order Approving Settlement Agreement, issued on November 2, 1976.

[10] Northern Natural Gas Company, Docket Nos. RP74–75 and RP75–89, Order Approving Stipulation and Granting Consolidation, issued on July 27, 1976.

[11] See, Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America, Docket No. RP74–96, Opinion No. 752–A, issued December 6, 1976. See also, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation, Docket Nos. RP74–48 and RP75–3, Order Requiring Nine Percent Interest Rate on Refunds issued on December 6, 1976.

[12] Appalachian Power Company, supra, note 9.

cent for such amounts. The interest rate on other amounts collected pursuant to cases filed after October 10, 1974, has been 9 percent since the issuance of Order No. 513 on October 10, 1974. No questions as to the appropriate refund interest rate for those amounts is involved. Second, the difficulty of determining whether the interest rate was in fact a negotiated settlement item could lead to a result unlike that contemplated by the parties. Third, it is desirable to limit as much as possible the issues to be negotiated in a settlement situation. The existing Regulations provide the basis for certainty with respect to interest rates. No advantage is seen in providing litigants yet another issue for dispute. Therefore, for all settlements filed with the Commission on or after the date of this order the Commission shall apply the interest rates set forth in the Commission's Regulations.

*The Commission finds:* (1) Good cause exists to deny Natural's application for rehearing of the order issued on October 15, 1976, in these proceedings.

(2) It is in the public interest to further clarify the Commission's Order No. 513–A issued on July 14, 1976, in these proceedings as stated herein.

*The Commission orders:* (A) Natural's application for rehearing of the order issued on October 15, 1976, in these proceedings is hereby denied.

(B) The Commission's Order No. 513–A, issued on July 14, 1976, in these proceedings is further clarified as stated in this order.

By the Commission.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc.76–36633 Filed 12–13–76;8:45 am]

---

[Docket No. RP73–77 (PGA77–2a)]

## ALABAMA-TENNESSEE NATURAL GAS CO.

### Proposed PGA Rate Adjustment

DECEMBER 7, 1976.

Take notice that on November 29, 1976, Alabama-Tennessee Natural Gas Company (Alabama-Tennessee), P.O. Box 918, Florence, Alabama 35630 tendered for filing as part of its FPC Gas Tariff, Third Revised Volume No. 1, Second Substitute Eighteenth Revised Sheet No. 3–A. This revised tariff sheet is proposed to become effective as of December 1, 1976.

Alabama-Tennessee states that the sole purpose of such revised tariff sheet is to adjust Alabama-Tennessee's rates pursuant to the PGA provisions of Section 20 of the General Terms and Conditions of its tariff to reflect increased rates to become effective on December 1, 1976, to be charged by its sole supplier, Tennessee Gas Pipeline.

The revised tariff sheet provides for the following rates:

| Rate Schedule: | *Substitute 18th Revised Sheet No. 3–A* |
|---|---|
| G–1: | |
| Demand | $1.63 |
| Commodity (cents) | 103.50 |
| SG–1: Commodity (cents) | 115.41 |
| I–1: Commodity (cents) | 108.86 |

Alabama-Tennessee also requests, pursuant to Section 154.51 of the Commission's Regulations, a waiver of the thirty days notice requirement because it did not receive Tennessee's increased rate filing upon which Alabama-Tennessee's filing is based until November 22 ,1976, the same day on which Alabama-Tennessee made its rate filing.

Alabama-Tennessee also requests, pur- of the filing have been mailed to all of its jurisdictional customers and affected State regulatory Commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before December 20, 1976. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc.76–36627 Filed 12–13–76;8:45 am]

---

[Docket No. RP72–110 (PGA77–3)]

## ALGONQUIN GAS TRANSMISSION CO.

### Rate Change Pursuant to Purchased Gas Cost Adjustment Provision

DECEMBER 7, 1976.

Take notice that Algonquin Gas Transmission Company ("Algonquin Gas"), on November 24, 1976, tendered for filing Twenty-Fourth Revised Sheet No. 10 to its FPC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1.

This sheet is being filed pursuant to Algonquin Gas' Purchased Gas Cost Adjustment Provision set forth in section 17 of the General Terms and Conditions of its FPC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1. The rate change is being filed to reflect a change in purchased gas costs under revised tariff sheets proposed to be put into effect by Algonquin Gas' supplier, Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation ("Texas Eastern"), on December 1, 1976, to reflect a purchased gas cost increase filed pursuant to the Commission's Opinion No. 770–A issued November 5, 1976, and such rates also reflect a reduction in purchased gas costs from one of Texas Eastern's suppliers, Texas Gas Transmission Corporation.

The proposed effective date of Twenty-Fourth Revised Sheet No. 10 is December 1, 1976, in order to coincide with the effective date of the change in Algonquin Gas' cost of purchased gas under Texas Eastern's underlying rates.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before December 20, 1976. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc.76–36601 Filed 12–13–76;8:45 am]

---

[Docket No. ER77–83]

## BOSTON EDISON CO.

### Proposed Initial Rate Schedule

DECEMBER 8, 1976.

Take notice that on November 29, 1976, Boston Edison Company ("Edison") tendered for filing a proposed initial rate schedule for purchase by Reading Municipal Light Department of 0.74627 percent of the capacity, and the energy corresponding thereto, of Edison's Pilgrim Unit No. 1.

Edison requests that, pursuant to Section 35.11 of the Commission's regulations, the thirty day notice period be waived and that the Commission allow the proposed rate schedules described herein to become effective as of November 1, 1976.

Copies of the filing were served upon Reading.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to the subject matter of this notice should on or before December 20, 1976, file with the Federal Power Commission petitions to intervene or protests in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will not serve to make protestants parties to any proceeding. Persons wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file petitions to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules. The documents referred to herein are on file with the Com-

mission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc.76-36606 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. ER77-84]

## BOSTON EDISON CO.

### Notice of Filing

DECEMBER 7, 1976.

Take notice that Boston Edison Company ("Edison") on November 29, 1976 tendered for filing a rate schedule for non-firm transmission service to the Reading Municipal Light Department ("Reading") for Reading's Pilgrim Unit

Edison requests that, pursuant to § 35.11 of the Commission's regulations, the thirty day notice period be waived and that the Commission allow the proposed rate schedules described herein to become effective as of November 1, 1976.

Copies of the filing were served upon Reading.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said application should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before December 20, 1976. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this application are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc.76-36621 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RP72-142 (PGA77-2)]

## CITIES SERVICE GAS CO.

### Proposed Changes in FPC Gas Tariff

DECEMBER 8, 1976.

Take notice that Cities Service Gas Company (Cities Service) on November 24, 1976, tendered for filing proposed changes in its FPC Gas Tariff, Second Revised Volume No. 1, in accordance with Ordering Paragraph (C) of the Commission's Opinion No. 770-A, issued November 5, 1976. This filing amends and combines Cities Service's September 30, 1976 and October 7, 1976, special PGA filings heretofore made pursuant to Opinion Nos. 770, et al.

The revised rates are reflected on Second Substitute Second Revised Sixteenth Revised Sheet PGA-1 to be effective December 1, 1976, and reflect a current adjustment of 10.82¢ per Mcf.

Cities Service states that copies of its filing were served on all jurisdictional customers, interested state commissions and all parties to the proceedings in Docket Nos. RP72-142 and RP76-135.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Sections 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before December 20, 1976. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc.76-36605 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RI76-22]

## DAMSON OIL CORP. (OPERATOR), ET AL.

### Extension of Time

DECEMBER 7, 1976.

On November 26, 1976, Damson Oil Corporation filed a motion to extend the time to December 15, 1976, to file Briefs on Exceptions to the Initial Decision, issued November 1, 1976, in the above-designated proceeding.

Upon consideration, notice is hereby given that an extension of time is granted to and including December 10, 1976, within which parties shall file Briefs on Exceptions. The time for filing Briefs Opposing Exceptions is subsequently extended to and including December 30, 1976.

LOIS D. CASHELL,
*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc.76-36602 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. ER77-77]

## DUKE POWER CO.

### Cancellation

DECEMBER 7, 1976.

Take notice that Duke Power Company, on November 26, 1976, tendered for filing proposed cancellation of Rate Schedule F.P.C. No. 253, effective December 31, 1976.

Duke Power Company states that the rate schedule is being cancelled because Duke Power Company has purchased the off-campus electric distribution facilities of the University of North Carolina Utilities Division effective after midnight December 31, 1976.

A copy of the filing was served upon the Assistant Vice Chancellor, Business of the University of North Carolina.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said application should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Sections 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before December 20, 1976. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this application are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc.76-36624 Filed 12-14-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RP77-17]

## EASTERN SHORE NATURAL GAS CO.

### Proposed Changes in FPC Gas Tariff

DECEMBER 7, 1976.

Take notice that Eastern Shore Natural Gas Company (Eastern Shore) on November 24, 1976, tendered for filing Thirty-Seventh Revised Sheet No. 3A and Thirty-Seventh Revised PGA-1 to its FPC Gas Tariff. The proposed changes would increase revenues from jurisdictional sales and storage and peaking services by approximately $138,000 annually based on the twelve-month period ending June 30, 1976, as adjusted. The proposed effective date is January 1, 1977.

Eastern Shore states that the principle reasons for the rate increase filing are increases in its operation and maintenance costs.

Copies of the filing were served upon the Company's jurisdictional customers any interested State Commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filings should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE, Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Sections 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before December 27, 1976. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc.76-36612 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RP77-18]

## EL PASO NATURAL GAS CO.

### Proposed Change in Rates

DECEMBER 8, 1976.

Take notice that on November 30, 1976, El Paso Natural Gas Company ("El Paso") tendered for filing a notice of a change in rates for its interstate pipeline system containing the following revised tariff sheets:

ORIGINAL VOLUME NO. 1

Twentieth Revised Sheet No. 3–B
Fifth Revised Sheet No. 63–C.5

THIRD REVISED VOLUME NO. 2

Tenth Revised Sheet No. 1–D
Fifth Revised Sheet No. 1–M.5

ORIGINAL VOLUME NO. 2A

Twelfth Revised Sheet No. 1–C
Fifth Revised Sheet No. 7–MM.5

The tendered revised tariff sheets provide for a change in rates to apply to El Paso's interstate natural gas operations. The proposed effective date is January 1, 1977. El Paso states that its current jurisdiction rates [1] are deficient by some $145,991,038 annually, based upon sales volumes set forth in the statements accompanying its instant notice. The increase in rates proposed herein and necessary to recover such deficiency is an overall increase of 16.93¢ per Mcf under all affected rate schedules, except for Rate Schedule X–1, and the special rate schedules "keyed" thereto, where the increase proposed is 14.86¢ per Mcf. Lastly, the increase in the surcharge rate applicable to storage operations conducted by means of the Rhodes Storage Reservoir to protect Priority 1 and 2 requirements of east-of-California customers is 0.17¢ per Mcf. The increased rates proposed provide for an overall rate of return on invested capital of 10.42%.

El Paso states that the principal reasons for the proposed change in rates for which notice is given are declining gas supply and increases in virtually all items of cost, including labor, capital, materials and supplies, special overriding royalties, regular royalties and taxes. El Paso further states that it has included in its increased rates proposed therein the effect of an increase in the amount payable as regular royalty and production tax expense which is expected to result prior to the close of the test period herein, given a change which has been proposed by the United States Geological Survey in the method of valuing, for royalty purposes, natural gas produced from, or for the benefit of, onshore Federal and Indian oil and gas leases.[2] If, for any reason, this anticipated change has not been implemented by the effective date of the rates proposed herein, or if it has been implemented but has resulted in an impact upon El Paso's regular royalty and production tax expense different from that reflected herein, El Paso will file substitute tariff sheets reflecting the revised impact, if any, upon its rates reflected herein.

El Paso requests waiver of the requirements of § 154.63(3)(2)(i) of the Commission's Regulations so as to reflect the inclusion of an amount attributable to a required increase of some $51.5 million in the amounts payable to holders of certain special overriding royalty interests which will become effective on June 1, 1977, only one (1) day after the close of the test period herein. The increase in the amounts so payable to the owners of such interests occurs on June 1, 1977, as a result of the issuance of the Commission's Opinion Nos. 770 and 770–A and accompanying orders on July 27, 1976, and November 5, 1976, respectively, at Docket No. RM75–14. If, however, notwithstanding the reasonableness of the foregoing request for waiver, the Commission should deny such request, El Paso requests that the "Alternative Revised Tariff Sheets" also included in the tender be accepted for filing in lieu of their respective tendered counterparts. Such Alternative Tariff Sheets reflect the increased rates proposed less the increase in the subject special overriding royalty costs to be incurred on June 1, 1977.

El Paso also requests waiver of the requirements of § 154.64(e)(2)(ii) of the Commission's Regulations to permit the inclusion in rate base of facilities, at a cost of $2,461,484, not yet placed in service. In the event that such facilities have not been placed in service prior to the effective date of the rates which are the subject of the filing, El Paso will adjust its rates to reflect the elimination of such facilities from rate base and the elimination of the associated costs from rates.

El Paso states at the present time it has two settlement agreements applicable to its outstanding general rate increase filings which are before the Commission, pending Commission acceptance and approval thereof. The first of these settlement agreements is a "Stipulation and Agreement," filed with the Commission on August 6, 1976, which covers the locked-in periods (November 2, 1973, through July 31, 1976) applicable to three general rate increase proceedings pending at, respectively, Docket Nos. RP73–104, RP74–57 and RP75–39. The second of these settlement agreements is a "Stipulation and Agreement," certified to the Commission on November 9, 1976, which is applicable to the rates currently in effect at docket No. RP76–59. The Stipulation and Agreement at Docket Nos. RP73–104, *et al.*, provides for the reservation and severance of a rate design issue concerning the availability of the Rate Schedule G rate level to Southwest Gas Corporation. Similarly, the Stipulation and Agreement at Docket No. RP76–59 provides for the reservation and severance of a general rate design issue raised by certain of El Paso's east-of-California distributor customers. If the Commission should issue a final order making any determination with respect to either of such reserved and severable rate design issues prior to, or during, the effectiveness of the rates which are the subject of this filing, and if such determination has the effect of requiring modification of the rate design reflected herein, El Paso will modify the rates reflected in this filing, consistent with such order, in the manner provided for in the applicable "Stipulation and Agreement." The foregoing settlement agreements provide that a composite depreciation rate of 4.15%, applicable to all plant other than that depreciated on a unit of production basis, will be utilized both during the locked-in periods of the proceedings subject to that Stipulaton and Agreement (Docket Nos. RP73–104, RP 74–57 and RP75–39) and, as well, in the settlement at Docket No. RP76–59. In addition, El Paso agreed that it would not propose any change in the stipulated 4.15% composite depreciation rate in any system-wide general rate increase filing, or any other rate increase filing, which would become effective prior to the earlier of May 31, 1978, or the date of abandonment of those facilities which are the subject of the proceeding at Docket No. CP75–362.

El Paso has utilized, in the instant filing, the 4.7% composite depreciation rate which was first proposed in El Paso's last general rate increase filing at Docket No. RP76–59. If the stipulation and Agreement at Docket Nos. RP73–104, RP 74–57 and RP75–39 shall become effective, in accordance with the provisions thereof, El Paso will appropriately adjust the increased rates proposed herein to reflect the utilization of the stipulated 4.15% composite depreciation rate rather than the said 4.7% composite depreciation rate utilized herein.

The Stipulation and Agreement at Docket Nos. RP73–104, RP74–57 and RP 75–39 provides for a modified cost of service treatment for production from wells commenced on or after January 1, 1973, on Company-owned leases acquired proir to October 8, 1969. This provision delineates the method for pricing such production not only with respect to the locked-in periods of the subject dockets, but also with respect to subsequent rate increase filings. El Paso states that in the instant filing, it has priced its production from wells commenced on or after January 1, 1973, on leases acquired by it prior to October 8, 1969, on an area rate basis consistent with § 2.66(a) of the Commission's General Policy and Interpretations. El Paso has indicated the effect of pricing such production in accordance with the modified cost of service method prescribed by the Stipulation and Agreement at Docket Nos. RP 73–104, RP74–57 and RP75–39 would result in a reduction in the test period cost of service of $23,664,000 annually. If the Stipulation and Agreement at Docket Nos. RP73–104, RP74–57 and RP 75–39 becomes effective in accordance with the provisions thereof, El Paso

[1] El Paso's currently effective rates became effective on August 2, 1976, at Docket Nos. RP72–155 (PGA76–3 and PGA76–3a) and RP76–59. El Paso proposes to file substitute tariff sheets superseding, as appropriate those tendered in order to reflect changes in its jurisdictional rates which may occur between the date of filing of the instant notice of change and the date of effectiveness of the increased rates proposed (including, for example, the change in purchased gas costs attributable to the special Opinion Nos. 770 and 770–A PGAC adjustment filed on November 22, 1976, to become effective on December 1, 1976).

[2] The proposed change is the subject of a "Notice to Lessees and Operators of Federal and Indian Onshore Oil and Gas Leases (NTL–5)—Value of Natural Gas for Royalty Purposes," published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on November 21, 1975 (Vol. 40, No. 226, pp. 54268–54270).

states that it will further adjust the instant notice of rate change and the increased rates proposed herein to reflect such reduction.

El Paso states that Statement P, consisting of El Paso's evidentiary case-in-chief, will be filed not later than fifteen (15) days after the date of this notice of change in rates is tendered for filing, in accordance with § 154.63(b)(3) of the Commission's Regulations.

El Paso requests that waiver be granted of all applicable rules and regulations of the Commission as may be necessary to implement the instant notice of change in rates in the manner described in the notice of change in rates.

El Paso states further that copies of the filing have been served upon all of El Paso's affected customers and interested state regulatory commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said notice should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before December 21, 1976. The protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken in this proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. El Paso's proposed tariff sheets and rate filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc.76-36608 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

---

[Docket No. RP72-136; PGA77-1)]

## FLORIDA GAS TRANSMISSION CO.

### Revised Changes in Rates and Charges Under Purchased Gas Adjustment Provision

DECEMBER 7, 1976.

Take notice that on November 24, 1976, Florida Gas Transmission Company (Florida Gas), P.O. Box 44, Winter Park, Florida 32790, tendered for filing Substitute Twelfth Revised Sheet No. 3-A to its FPC Gas Tariff, Original Volume No. 1, containing changes in the resale rates in its Rate Schedules G and I for effectiveness on December 1, 1976.

According to Florida Gas, the proposed changes in rates on Substitute Twelfth Revised Sheet No. 3-A reflect the effect on its resale rates in Rate Schedules G and I of the revised new national rates for sales of gas established in Opinion No. 770-A (Docket No. RM75-14), issued November 5, 1976, and the reductions in small producer rates attributable to Opinion No. 742-A, issued July 27, 1976. Florida Gas also states that the instant filing replaces its earlier filing made on September 27, 1976, in this matter (Docket No. RP72-136, PGA 76-4).

Florida Gas further states that its filing is being made in accordance with the purchased gas adjustment provision in its tariff (Section 15, General Terms and Conditions) and the authority of Opinion No. 770-A permitting a special rate increase to track the increased rates established therein together with a surcharge to permit recovery of producer increases attributable to Opinion No. 770-A and incurred prior to the effectiveness of the revised rates proposed in its filing. Florida Gas also states that the surcharge included in the proposed rates has been determined in accordance with the provisions of Opinion No. 770-A.

A comparison between the presently effective rates and those to be made effective on December 1, 1976 under this filing is as follows:

| | Cents per therm | |
|---|---|---|
| | Presently effective | Effective Dec. 1, 1976 |
| Rate schedule G | 9.209 | 10.941 |
| Rate schedule I | 7.949 | 9.681 |

Florida Gas states that a copy of its filing has been served on all customers purchasing gas under its FPC Gas Tariff, Original Volume No. 1 and the Florida Public Service Commission.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Sections 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before December 20, 1976. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc.76-36622 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

---

## FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT CO.

### Filing of Amended Rates for Interchange Service

DECEMBER 7, 1976.

Take notice that on November 24, 1976, Florida Power & Light Company (FP&L) tendered for filing amended rates for the following services: interchange service between FP&L and Jacksonville Electric Authority (Docket No. E-8770), interchange service between FP&L and Fort Pierce Utilities Authority (Docket No. E-9119), and supplements to interchange agreements between FP&L and Florida Power Company, Tampa Electric Company and the City of Vero Beach, Florida, governing emergency service from gas turbine peaking units (Docket No. E-8769).

FP&L has agreed, subject to Commission approval, to modify the following letter agreements so as to conform to the pertinent terms of the proposed settlement agreement: March 29, 1974 letter agreement between FP&L and Orlando Utilities Commission (Docket No. ER76-216); June 18, 1974 letter agreement between FP&L and City of Vero Beach (Docket No. ER76-216); June 18, 1974 letter agreement between FP&L and Lake Worth Utilities Authority (Docket No. ER76-218); September 19, 1975 Amendment Service between FP&L and Jacksonville Electric Authority (Docket No. ER76-219).

Upon Commission approval of the proposed amended settlement rates, FP&L will modify its rates for interchange service so as to be consistent with the proposals.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before December 17, 1976. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc.76-36613 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

---

[Docket No. ER76-817]

## INDIANAPOLIS POWER AND LIGHT CO.

### Postponing Procedural Dates

DECEMBER 6, 1976.

On November 24, 1976, Indianapolis Power and Light Company (IP&L) filed a motion to defer the filing of prepared testimony and exhibits and monthly billing comparisons, as required by Commission Order issued October 4, 1976, in the above-designated proceeding. IP&L requests that the dates set in the October 4 Order be indefinitely postponed pending Commission action on Staff's recommendation to terminate this proceeding. IP&L states that Staff Counsel supports the motion.

Notice is hereby given that the dates set for filing prepared testimony and exhibits and monthly billing comparisons in this proceeding are postponed pending Commission action on the recommendation to terminate.

By direction of the Commission.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc.76-36620 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. ER77-79]

## IOWA-ILLINOIS GAS AND ELECTRIC CO.

### Filing of Proposed Wholesale Electric Tariff

DECEMBER 7, 1976.

Take notice that on November 29, 1976, Iowa-Illinois Gas and Electric Company (Iowa-Illinois), 206 East Second Street, P.O. Box 4350, Davenport, Iowa 52808, tendered for filing a proposed Wholesale Electric Tariff—Original Volume No. 1 (Tariff) applicable to Buffalo, Callender, and Farnhamville, Iowa, and Sherrard Power System, Orion, Illinois, (superceding Rate Schedule FPC Nos. 16, 17, 18 and 20, respectively), proposed to become effective January 1, 1977.

Iowa-Illinois states the filing does not effect any substantive changes in presently effective charges, the purpose of the filing being to conform and consolidate, in the tariff format prescribed by the Commission, the rate schedules above mentioned, to be superseded. Concurrent adjustments are made to base rate energy steps and the fuel cost adjustment, resulting in no effect on net revenue. The proposed Tariff contains a Preliminary Statement, System Map, Rate Schedules WES (applicable to Sherrard Power System) and WES-M (applicable to Buffalo, Callendar and Farnhamville), Fuel Cost Adjustment Clause, General Terms and Conditions, Form of Service Agreements (WES and WES-M), Index of Purchasers and Delivery Points, and Index of Purchasers and copies of executed applicable Service Agreements.

Iowa-Illinois states that copies of the Tariff, and of the filing, have been mailed to its jurisdictional customers and to the Iowa State Commerce Commission and Illinois Commerce Commission.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with sections 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before December 20, 1976. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc.76-36615 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

---

[Docket Nos. RP72-32, RP74-11 and RP76-8]

## KANSAS NEBRASKA NATURAL GAS COMPANY, INC.

### Order Approving Stipulation and Agreement

DECEMBER 7, 1976.

On September 8, 1976, Presiding Administrative Law Judge Kanell certified to the Commission a proposed Stipulation and Agreement, as well as the records in these proceedings, for consideration and disposition. The proposed Stipulation and Agreement, if approved, would dispose of all issues in these proceedings with the exception of one subject which has been severed out of Docket No. RP76-8 and renoticed as Docket No. RP76-90. For the reasons hereinafter stated, the Commission shall approve and accept the proposed Stipulation and Agreement.

*Docket No. RP72-32.* In ordering Paragraph (A) of Opinion No. 731-A, issued by the Commission on September 3, 1975, the Commission (1) severed from Docket No. RP72-32 the issue of whether natural gas produced from company-owned leases acquired after October 7, 1969 should be priced on the basis of Kansas-Nebraska's cost of service or on the basis of area or national rates and (2) consolidated that issue with Docket No. RP74-11. The period covered by the rates in Docket No. RP72-32 was March 20, 1972, through March 15, 1974.

*Docket No. RP74-11.* When Opinion No. 731 and Opinion No. 731-A were issued in Docket No. RP72-32, the record in Docket No. RP74-11 had been closed, briefs had been filed, and the case was awaiting a Presiding Administrative Law Judge's decision. Following the issuance of Opinion No. 731 and Opinion No. 731-A, the Commission reopened the record in Docket No. RP74-11 to permit the introduction of additional evidence on specific issues. In an order issued January 26, 1976, in Docket No. RP74-11 and Docket No. RP76-8 the Commission stated that the purpose of the reopened proceeding was to permit the Presiding Judge "to take evidence as necessary in order to determine the proper (1) pricing of production from acreage under leases acquired by Kansas-Nebraska after October 7, 1969, (2) method of allocating transmission costs on the Kansas-Nebraska system, (3) zone boundaries for the Kansas-Nebraska system, and (4) cost assignment on certain 'exclusively used' facilities." The period covered by the rates in Docket No. RP74-11 was March 16, 1974, through March 13, 1976.

*Docket No. RP76-8.* On August 29, 1975, Kansas-Nebraska filed its rate increase in Docket No. RP76-8. Following the summary disposition of several issues by the Commission's order of January 26, 1976, revised rates were filed and became effective subject to refund on March 14, 1976. The same order of January 26, 1976, severed from Docket No. RP76-8 the four issues which were the subject of the reopened Docket No. RP74-11 proceeding and consolidated those four issues with that docket. Subsequently, on April 26, 1976, by an order issued in Docket Nos. CP75-334 et al., the Commission also severed from Docket No. RP76-8 the subject of section 13 of Kansas-Nebraska's FPC Gas Tariff Third Revised Volume No. 1, which contains provisions relating to limitations on Kansas-Nebraska delivery obligations and redocketed issues raised by section 13 as Docket No. RP76-90; accordingly, issues raised by section 13 are not dealt with in this settlement agreement.

Public notice of the Presiding Law Judge's certification was issued on September 17, 1976, with comments thereon due on or before September 30, 1976. By subsequent notice issued September 24, 1976, the comment date was extended until October 15, 1976. Comments in support of the proposed Stipulation and Agreement were filed by the Cities of Central City and Hastings, Nebraska, Minnesota Gas Company and Northwestern Public Service Company, and Kansas-Nebraska on September 30, 1976, by the Commission Staff on October 1, 1976, and by Central Kansas Power Company, Inc. on October 15, 1976.

The proposed Stipulation and Agreement provides for a reduction in field revenues of approximately $2.8 million for Docket No. RP74-11, and approximately $3.5 million for Docket No. RP76-8. The major elements of the settlement include the following:

(1) The depreciation rate on transmission facilities in both the RP74-11 and RP76-8 costs of service is 3.6 percent.

(2) The rate of return on equity used in Docket No. RP74-11 is 12 percent, and the rate of return on equity used in Docket No. RP76-8 is 12.75 percent.

(3) Kansas-Nebraska's production costs from leases acquired after October 7, 1969 (also for wells commenced on or after January 1, 1973, on pre-October 7, 1969 leases) are included on a 100 percent cost of service basis. The Commission Staff initially proposed that Kansas-Nebraska be given a modified cost of service treatment for these costs, which would have allowed Kansas-Nebraska to receive 75 percent of cost of service and 25 percent of the gas purchase costs at the applicable area or national rates. However, in light of Opinion No. 770 [1], which increased substantially the national rate for new gas, Staff was of the opinion that it was not adverse to the public interest to allow Kansas-Nebraska to collect 100 percent of the cost of service of its exploration and development program, as proposed in the Agreement, but it reserved the right to reexamine this issue in the future.

(4) The credit for liquid hydrocarbon revenues as filed by Kansas-Nebraska in Docket No. RP74-11 remains unadjusted. However, if the amount of revenues ultimately derived and retained by Kansas-Nebraska for products sold in the periods covered by Docket Nos. RP74-11 or RP76-8 exceeds the amount credited to the cost of service in such docket or dockets, the difference between the amount retained and the amount credited shall be refunded to customers by means of a credit to the Unrecovered Purchased Gas Cost Account.

(5) With respect to the issue of zone boundaries for the Kansas-Nebraska system, Kansas-Nebraska and its customers

[1] Docket No. RM75-14, issued July 27, 1976.

agreed to accept the jurisdictional rate zones proposed by the Staff which are comprised of two zones. These zones are to be applicable for purposes of Docket Nos. RP74–11 and RP76–8, as well as the next rate increase application filed by Kansas-Nebraska. The settlement costs of service for Docket Nos. RP74–11 and RP76–8 are to be converted to jurisdictional rates, and the appropriate refunds for volumes delivered during the respective periods when the rates in Docket Nos. RP74–11 and RP76–8 were effective will be based upon the new zones contained in the Agreement.

(6) The method of allocating transmission costs on the Kansas-Nebraska system was arrived at by allocating those costs between jurisdictional and nonjurisdictional customers and between zones on the basis of an Mcf-mile methodologoy. However, in the Agreement it is stated that in the design of rates the commodity charges in Docket No. RP74–11 and the demand and commodity charges in Docket No. RP76–8 have been adjusted to reduce the differentials between the two zones to 50 percent of the differentials which would have resulted from the allocation of costs to the two rate zones.

(7) It is noted that the issue of the cost assignment of certain "exclusively used" facilities was eliminated when the parties agreed to accept Staff's jurisdictional rate zones and its method of allocating transmission costs.

Based on a review of the settlement agreement, the comments in support thereof, and the record of these proceedings, the Commission finds that the settlement agreement represents a reasonable resolution of the issues in this proceeding in the public interest. The settlement will accordingly be approved and adopted.

*The Commission orders.* (A) The Stipulation and Agreement offered in settlement of these proceedings is incorporated herein by reference and is approved and adopted, effective as of March 20, 1972.

(B) Within 15 days of the issuance of this order, Kansas-Nebraska shall file the revised tariff sheet appearing as Appendix F to the Stipulation and Agreement.

(C) Within 30 days of the filing of the revised tariff sheet in accordance with ordering paragraph (B) herein, Kansas-Nebraska shall refund all amounts collected in excess of the proposed settlement rates, with interest computed at a rate of 7 percent per annum for amounts collected prior to October 10, 1974, and at the rate of 9 percent per annum for amounts collected on and after that date. In addition, interest accruing on and after October 10, 1974, on refundable amounts collected prior to October 10, 1974, shall be at the rate of 9 percent per annum.

(D) Within 15 days after refunds are made according to ordering paragraph (C) herein, Kansas-Nebraska shall file with the Commission a refund report showing monthly billing determinants and revenues under its prior, present, and settlement rates, and showing the monthly settlement rate increase, the monthly rate refund, the monthly interest computation, with a summary of all such information for the total refund period.

(E) This order is without prejudice to any findings or orders which have been made or which may hereafter be made by the Commission, and is without prejudice to any claims or contentions which may be made by the Commission, the Staff or any other party or person affected by this order in any proceeding now pending or hereinafter instituted by or against Kansas-Nebraska or any other person or party.

(F) The Secretary shall cause prompt publication of this order to be made in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Commission.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc.76-36609 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

---

[Docket No. RP75-104 (PGA77-1), (PGA77-2)]

## LAWRENCEBURG GAS TRANSMISSION CORP.

### Report of Refunds

DECEMBER 7, 1976.

Take notice that on November 24, 1976 Lawrenceburg Gas Transmission Corporation (Lawrenceburg) filed a "Report of Refunds", together with associated revised gas tariff sheets and refund support data, pursuant to Article V of its Stipulation and Agreement at Docket No. RP75–104, approved by Commission Order issued July 12, 1976.

Lawrenceburg states that it made refunds to two (2) jurisdictional wholesale customers on November 22, 1976 in the amounts of $36,769.57 to the Lawrenceburg Gas Company and $19,610.72 to The Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company, applicable to the period April 1, 1976 through October 31, 1976. A portion of the refunds for the period April 1, 1976 through September 30, 1976 constitutes a flow through of a refund plus interest received by Lawrenceburg from its supplier, Texas Gas Transmission Corporation (Texas Gas) on November 12, 1976. The balance of the refunds for the period October 1, 1976 through October 31, 1976 reflects the application of revised gas tariff rates, reflecting the settlement tariff rates of Texas Gas in Docket No. RP76–17.

In support of its refund Lawrenceburg has submitted appendices to its report, revised tariff sheets reflecting the revised settlement tariff rates of Texas Gas. Lawrenceburg states that the revised tariff sheets as filed in this report and effective August 12, 1976 will constitute the revised tariff rate which it will utilize for billing purposes commencing November 1, 1976.

Lawrenceburg states that a copy of its Report of Refunds has been made to its two jurisdictional wholesale customers and to two interested state commissions, the Public Service Commission of Indiana and the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene (unless such intervention has previously been granted) or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Sections 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before December 22, 1976. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc.76-36619 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

---

[Docket No. RP73-23 (PGA77-3), (PGA77-4)]

## LAWRENCEBURG GAS TRANSMISSION CORP.

### Filing of Tariff Sheets

DECEMBER 7, 1976.

Take notice that on November 26, 1976, Lawrenceburg Gas Transmission Corporation (Lawrenceburg) tendered for filing two (2) sets of two (2) revised gas tariff sheets to its FPC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1 proposed to become effective December 1, 1976 and January 1, 1977, respectively, and identified as follows:

EFFECTIVE DECEMBER 1, 1976

Substitute Sixth Revised Sheet No. 4 and
Substitute Fifth Revised Sheet No. 18

EFFECTIVE JANUARY 1, 1977

Seventh Revised Sheet No. 4 and
Sixth Revised Sheet No. 18

Lawrenceburg states that its proposed tariff sheets are being filed pursuant to its Purchased Gas Cost Adjustment provision, as approved at Docket No. RP73–23 and re-accepted in Docket No. RP75–104, in order to track similar changes in its cost of gas purchased from Texas Gas Transmission Corporation (Texas Gas) filed on November 24, 1976 and also proposed to become effective December 1, 1976 and January 1, 1977. The proposed changes in Texas Gas rates were filed under the terms of its purchased gas cost adjustment provision in order to track normal general rate and PGA increases of its suppliers, as well as, to flow through the impact of the new "National Rate" increases authorized in Opinion No. 770–A, issued November 5, 1976.

Based on sales volumes for the twelve months ended October 31, 1976, Lawrenceburg's proposed December 1, 1976 tariff rates will increase its jurisdictional sales revenues by $457,709 annually, while its proposed January 1, 1977 rates

will increase its jurisdictional sales revenues an additional $186,010.

Lawrenceburg requests effective dates of December 1, 1976 and January 1, 1977, respectively, on its proposed tariff sheets and requests that the Commission waive its notice requirements, as required, in order that Lawrenceburg's proposed tariff sheets can become effective on those dates.

Lawrenceburg states that copies of this filing have been mailed to its two wholesale customers and to the interested state commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Sections 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before December 22, 1976. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc.76-36628 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

---

[Docket No. ER77-82]

## LOUISIANA POWER & LIGHT CO.

### Cancellation of Rate Schedule

DECEMBER 7, 1976.

Take notice that on November 29, 1976, Louisiana Power & Light Company (LP&L) tendered for filing a notice of cancellation of Rate Schedule FPC No. 39, an emergency assistance agreement between LP&L and the City of Thibodaux, Louisiana. LP&L states that it has entered into an operating agreement with Thibodaux whereby it will furnish power and operate and maintain the electric system on a retail basis.

LP&L requests that the cancellation be made effective as of November 12, 1976, the date on which it began retail service to Thibodaux.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before December 20, 1976. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc.76-36632 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

---

[Docket No. ER77-81]

## LOUISIANA POWER & LIGHT CO.

### Cancellation of Rate Schedule

DECEMBER 7, 1976.

Take notice that on November 29, 1976, Louisiana Power & Light Company (LP&L) tendered for filing a notice of cancellation of Rate Schedule FPC No. 56, an electric system interconnection agreement between LP&L and the Town of Lake Providence, Louisiana. LP&L states that it has entered into an operating agreement with Lake Providence whereby it will furnish power and operate and maintain the electric system on a retail basis.

LP&L requests that the cancellation be made effective as of October 15, 1976, the date on which it began retail service to Lake Providence.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Sections 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before December 20, 1976. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc.76-36614 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

---

[Docket Nos. RP71-107 and RP72-127 (PGA77-1a) (R&D77-1)]

## NORTHERN NATURAL GAS CO.

### Revised Purchased Gas Cost Adjustment Rate Change

DECEMBER 7, 1976.

Take notice that on November 24, 1976, Northern Natural Gas Company (Northern) tendered for filing, as part of Northern's F.P.C. Gas Tariff, Third Revised Volume No. 1 and Original Volume No. 2, to be effective December 27, 1976, the following substitute tariff sheets:

THIRD REVISED VOLUME NO. 1

Substitute Twelfth Revised Sheet No. 4a
Substitute Eighth Revised Sheet No. 4b

ORIGINAL VOLUME NO. 2

Substitute Thirteenth Revised Sheet No. 1c

This filing is a revision of the filing made by Northern on October 27, 1976 and is made in accordance with Northern's statement in the October 27th filing that a revision of such filing would be made by November 27, 1976 to reflect new producer filings made pursuant to Commission Order in Docket No. RM75-14 issued October 21, 1976.

On October 27, 1976 Northern filed its annual PGA rate increase to become effective December 27, 1976 to recover the estimated increase in cost of purchased gas for the Year 1977. In order to avoid having to effectuate two PGA filings within 27 days and to accommodate its utility customers' notice requirements, Northern stated in that filing that it did not plan to refile or effectuate on December 1, 1976, its special PGA rate increase permitted by the Commission. In lieu thereof, Northern has incorporated the annual impact of Opinion Nos. 770 and 742-A in its annual PGA rate increase, together with a surcharge to recover over the twelve months of the Year 1977, the increased gas purchased costs attributable to Opinion Nos. 770 and 742-A incurred during the period July 27, 1976 through December 31, 1976. Northern also stated in such filing that it would file a revision to this PGA rate increase as soon as possible after it had received new producer filings made pursuant to Commission Order in Docket No. RM75-14 issued October 21, 1976. Since the October 27, 1976 PGA filing, the Commission on November 5, 1976 issued Opinion No. 770-A revising the national rates prescribed by Opinion No. 770 and requiring producers to make new rate filings by November 12, 1976, consistent with that Opinion.

The substitute tariff sheets provide for a total increase of fifteen and sixty-nine hundredths cents (15.69¢) per Mcf in the commodity portion of Northern's jurisdictional rates, and will result in a total increase in annual jurisdictional revenues of approximately $78,929,000 for market areas sales (Volume No. 1) and $2,201,000 for field area sales (Volume No. 2). This is a reduction from the initially filed-for increase in annual jurisdictional revenues of approximately $(17,254,000) for market area sales and $(482,000) for field area sales and is entirely due to the reduction in the cost of purchased gas. The PGA portion of the increase is fifteen and fifty-nine hundredths cents (15.59¢) per Mcf, a decrease of three and forty-three hundredths cents (3.43¢) per Mcf from the nineteen and two hundredths cents (19.02¢) per Mcf included in the October 27, 1976 PGA filing.

Northern proposes that Substitute Twelfth Revised Sheet No. 4a, Substitute Eighth Revised Sheet No. 4b and Substitute Thirteenth Revised Sheet No. 1c become effective on December 27, 1976.

The Company states that copies of the filing have been mailed to each of the Gas Utility customers and interested State Commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said revised filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before December 20, 1976. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this

filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc.76-36631 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

---

[Docket No. RP73-91 (PGA77-1)]

## McCULLOCH INTERSTATE GAS CORP.

### Purchased Gas Adjustment Clause

DECEMBER 8, 1976.

Take notice that on November 30, 1976, McCulloch Interstate Gas Corporation ("McCulloch Interstate") tendered for filing copies of Ninth Revised Sheet No. 32 to its FPC Gas Tariff Original Volume No. 1, as required under the Commission's rules and regulations under the Natural Gas Act.

McCulloch Interstate's Ninth Revised Sheet No. 32 provides for a Purchased Gas Adjustment rate in crease of 21.51¢ per MMBtu, effective December 1, 1976. McCulloch Interstate's filing is made in order to: (1) recover the balance in McCulloch's Unrecovered Purchased Gas Cost Account as of June 30, 1975 and June 30, 1976 (Table III), (2) to provide for a current Gas Cost Adjustment which includes natural gas rates established in FPC Opinion 770 and 770-A in order to permit McCulloch to recover such higher cost of gas purchases, and (3) to recover a carrying surcharge of nine percent (9%) permitted under Ordering Paragraph (D) of Opinion 770.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Sections 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before December 20, 1976. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc.76-36604 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

---

[Docket No. RP76-77]

## MISSISSIPPI RIVER TRANSMISSION CORP.

### Submission of Stipulation and Agreement

DECEMBER 7, 1976.

Take notice that on November 30, 1976, Mississippi River Transmission Corporation (Mississippi) submitted for certification to the Federal Power Commission (Commission) by the Presiding Administrative Law Judge a proposed Stipulation and Agreement and a motion for Commission approval thereof. The Stipulation and Agreement was submitted to Presiding Administrative Law Judge Samuel Kanell at a hearing held in this proceeding on November 30, 1976, at which the previously filed direct evidence of Mississippi was introduced into the record which will be certified to the Commission as support for the proposed agreement. If approved, the proposed Stipulation and Agreement will effectively resolve all issues arising in this proceeding.

Any person wishing to do so may submit written comments concerning the proposed Stipulation and Agreement. All such comments should be delivered or mailed to the Federal Power Commission, 825 N. Capitol Street, Washington, D.C. 20426, on or before December 21, 1976.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc.76-36616 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

---

[Docket No. CP72-97]

## MISSISSIPPI RIVER TRANSMISSION CORP. AND TEXAS GAS TRANSMISSION CORP.

### Petition To Amend

DECEMBER 7, 1976.

Take notice that on November 26, 1976, Mississippi River Transmission Corporation (Mississippi), 9900 Clayton Road, St. Louis, Missouri 63124 and Texas Gas Transmission Corporation (Texas), P.O. Box 1160, Owensboro, Kentucky 42301 (hereinafter referred to jointly as Petitioners) filed in Docket No. CP72-97 a joint petition to amend the Order of the Commission issued January 5, 1972, in said docket pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act to authorize the exchange of natural gas at an additional point in Caddo Parish, Louisiana, all as more fully set forth in the petition to amend which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Petitioners state that by order issued January 5, 1972, in this docket they were authorized to exchange gas at points in Bossier and Lincoln Parishes, Louisiana and at a point in the vicinity of Monroe, Ouachita Parish, Louisiana by means of dispatching arrangements with United Gas Pipe Line Company. It is indicated that gas for gas exchanges with no monetary compensation have been made from time to time at these points.

Petitioners state that the primary reason for the proposed additional exchange point is to enable Mississippi to take natural gas purchased from the F. A. Fuller No. 1 Well, Cedar Grove Field, Caddo and Bossier Parishes, Louisiana. The natural gas so purchased, it is asserted, will be delivered to Texas at the proposed additional exchange point and redelivered to Mississippi at one of the existing exchange points. Mississippi estimates that its purchases from the Fuller Well will total 500 Mcf of gas per day. Petitioners believe the proposal will permit receipt of additional gas in the interstate market and provide for greater operating flexibility. No new sales or services are proposed; Mississippi states that suitable authority for any necessary facility construction is available under its budget-type authorization.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said petition to amend should on or before December 30, 1976, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc.76-36618 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

---

[Docket Nos. CP76-167 and RP76-91]

## MONTANA-DAKOTA UTILITIES CO.

### Order Providing for Evidentiary Hearing, Consolidating Proceedings, and Prescribing Procedure

DECEMBER 6, 1976.

On November 20, 1975, Montana-Dakota Utilities Co. (MDU) filed in Docket No. CP76-167 an application pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the construction and operation of facilities for the purpose of providing natural gas service to residential and small commercial customers from the transmission main lines of MDU's system and for the sale and delivery of natural gas to Wyoming Gas Company (Wyoming Gas) for resale and distribution, all as more fully set forth in the application in this proceeding.

MDU proposes to construct and operate 81 direct sale taps for delivery of gas to consumers and one tap for the delivery of gas to Wyoming Gas totaling 163,690 Mcf of annual gas requirements and 1,702 Mcf of maximum daily requirements. The estimated total cost of the proposed facilities is approximately $217,300 of which $38,900 is for transmission facilities and $178,400 is for distribution facilities. The funds for the proposed construction would be generated internally.

MDU explains that farm residents along its transmission mains are requesting natural gas service to replace fuel oil or propane because of the uncertainty of supply for those fuels and because of the rising costs of those fuels. MDU further explains that natural gas service to those proposed new customers consisting of residential and commercial establishments located outside city limits can be most economically served from MDU's adjacent transmission mains rather than long extensions from its existing distribution system.

The application alleges that as of the date of its filing MDU was not experiencing a supply shortage and had no curtailment plan in effect. However, on April 26, 1976, MDU filed in Docket No. RP76–91 a set of tariff sheets providing MDU's proposed curtailment plan. In that filing MDU claims that it is necessary that it implement annual curtailment on its system beginning in 1976.

The question presented by the instant application is whether a pipeline in curtailment should be permitted to add new, high priority customers to the detriment of existing customers. The Commission addressed that question in Opinion No. 773, Northern Natural Gas Company, issued August 13, 1976, in Docket No. CP75–333. Therein the Commission said:

> In general, we agree with the conclusion of the Administrative Law Judge, that a pipeline presently curtailing existing customers should not be authorized to attach new customers regardless of the priority of use to which the new customers would put any natural gas which they received. In the absence of some compelling public interest consideration, existing customers should not be cut off in order that new customers may receive service who had never previously received natural gas deliveries. [mimeo pp. 2–3]

In Opinion No. 773 the Commission permitted, as an exception to the foregoing doctrine, service to those customers who had granted right-of-way easements in reliance on obtaining service as part of the agreement. There is no indication in MDU's application that any of the proposed new customers are right-of-way grantors.

After due notice of the application by publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER on January 2, 1976 (41 FP 32), no petitions to intervene, notices of intervention, or protests to the granting of the application have been filed.

While the instant proceeding appears to present the same legal question as was presented in the Northern proceeding, there may be factual distinctions between the two that the instant application does not reveal. Furthermore, the Commission does not presently have before it evidence establishing whether or not the proposed customers are right-of-way grantors. Accordingly, the Commission believes that a record should be developed in this proceeding regarding, inter alia:

1. The contractual rights of the proposed customers to service by MDU;

2. The specific end-use involved for each customer (e.g. crop drying, irrigation, domestic heating) proposed to be served as a result of this application including the categorization of such end-uses within MDU's curtailment plan priorities and within the priorities set forth in § 2.78 of the Commission's General Policy and Interpretations;

3. The availability of alternate fuels to serve these requirements;

4. A detailed description of how MDU proposes to supply natural gas for the proposed new and additional sales and the source of such gas supply;

5. The impact of serving new and additional sales on MDU's existing customers;

6. The comparative costs of serving the proposed customers from MDU's transmission system versus MDU's distribution system;

7. How the public convenience is advanced by adding additional service while existing customers are being curtailed.

In response to MDU's filing of its proposed curtailment plan in Docket No. RP76–91, the Commission, by order issued June 17, 1976, accepted the plan for filing, suspended it, and set the matter of the justness and reasonableness of the plan for evidentiary hearing. In that order the Commission noted:

> * * * it is one of MDU's stated objectives in imposing the proposed curtailment plan to allow its continued attachment of new customers. The attachment of new customers while eliminating service to existing customers by curtailment may be unduly discriminatory and not in the public interest. Accordingly, MDU shall submit evidence to elaborate on the role market growth plays in MDU's proposed curtailment plan and to explain the consequences of this proposed expansion on existing service requirements.

Due to the similarity of facts and question of law the instant proceeding in Docket No. CP76–167 should be consolidated with the on-going proceeding in Docket No. RP76–91.

The Commission finds: (1) It is necessary and appropriate that the proceeding in Docket No. CP76–167 be set for evidentiary hearing.

(2) Due to the similarity of facts and question of law the instant proceeding should be consolidated with the proceeding in Docket No. RP76–91.

The Commission orders: (A) The instant proceeding in Docket No. CP76–167 is consolidated for hearing and resolution with the proceeding in Docket No. RP76–91.

(B) MDU shall file its direct testimony in Docket No. CP76–167 regarding those matters hereinbefore enumerated and public hearing shall be held all in accordance with the schedule to be established by the Presiding Administrative Law Judge in the proceeding in Docket No. RP76–91.

By the Commission.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc.76–36626 Filed 12–13–76;8:45 am]

---

[Docket No. RP71–125 (PGA 77–1)]

## NATURAL GAS PIPELINE CO. OF AMERICA

### Purchased Gas Cost Adjustment To Track Increases Related to Opinion No. 770–A From Producer and Pipeline Suppliers

DECEMBER 7, 1976.

Take notice that on November 24, 1976, Natural Gas Pipeline Company of America (Natural) submitted for filing as part of its FPC Gas Tariff, Third Revised Volume No. 1, the below listed tariff sheets, to be effective December 1, 1976:

Second Substitute Thirtieth Revised Sheet No. 5
Second Substitute Fifth Revised Sheet No. 5A

Natural states that the filing was made in accordance with the Commission's Opinion No. 770–A for the increase in purchased gas costs from producers who have filed for the increased rates in accordance with Opinion No. 770–A. The annual effect of the producer supplier increases is approximately $108,900,000. Natural also included the increased cost related to pricing company-owned production from wells that qualify for Opinion No. 770–A rates on leases acquired prior to October 7, 1969 as authorized by the Commission in Docket No. RP73–63. The annualized effect of this increase amounts to approximately $700,000. The PGA unit adjustment for the above increases amounts to 10.66¢.

Natural also filed for a surcharge to recover the estimated deferred purchased gas cost effect related to the increases associated with Opinion No. 770–A over a twelve month period. The estimated deferred cost for the period July 27, 1976 through November 30, 1976 amounts to approximately $37,900,000. The unit adjustment to recover this deferred cost over the period December 1, 1976 through November 30, 1977 amounts to 3.86¢.

Natural states that the filing includes a PGA unit adjustment to track an increase from Colorado Interstate Gas Company (Colorado), a pipeline supplier to Natural. Colorado's filing, to be effective December 1, amounts to an annual increase to Natural of approximately $16,300,000 and results in a PGA unit adjustment of 1.57¢. Natural states that due to the mechanics of computing a PGA unit adjustment for pipeline suppliers as set out in Paragraph 18.8 of the General Terms and Conditions of Natural's tariff the above amount includes an increase not related to Opinion No. 770–A amounting to approximately $4,400,000. Natural requested waiver of Opinion No. 770–A and the terms of its PGA Clause to the extent necessary to allow this increase to be included at this time in order to avoid any confusion in preparing future PGA adjustments under the terms of Paragraph 18.8.

Natural also states that included in their prior filing tracking the effect of Opinion 770 rates made on September 27, 1976, was a rate increase tracking the effect of an increase from United Gas Pipe Line Company (United). United has indicated they have elected to file for the effect of Opinion No. 770–A in conjunction with their next scheduled PGA adjustment to be effective January 1, 1977, and therefore, Natural is unable to track this increase until its next scheduled PGA adjustment to be effective on March 1, 1977.

Copies of this filing were mailed to Natural's jurisdictional customers and interested state regulatory agencies.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said application should file a peti-

tion to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Section 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before December 20, 1976. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this application are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc.76-36629 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RP73-8 (PGA77-3b)]

## NORTH PENN GAS CO.

### Proposed Changes in FPC Gas Tariff

DECEMBER 8, 1976.

Take notice that North Penn Gas Company (North Penn) on November 29, 1976, tendered for filing proposed changes in its FPC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1, pursuant to its PGA Clause for rates to be effective December 1, 1976.

North Penn states that the proposed increase is the result of increases filed by all of its pipeline suppliers as a result of Opinion No. 770-A and will increase jurisdictional revenues by approximately $2.7 million annually.

North Penn is requesting a waiver of any of the Commission's Rules and Regulations in order to permit the proposed rates to go into effect as proposed.

Copies of this filing were served upon North Penn's jurisdictional customers, as well as interested state commissions.

Any persons desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Sections 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before December 20, 1976. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc.76-36607 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RP73-36 (PGA77-1)]

## PANHANDLE EASTERN PIPE LINE CO.

### Change in Tariff

DECEMBER 7, 1976.

Take notice that on November 24, 1976, Panhandle Eastern Pipe Line Company (Panhandle) tendered for filing Substitute Eighteenth Revised Sheet No. 3-A to its F.P.C. Gas Tariff, Original Volume No. 1, such sheet proposed to be effective December 1, 1976.

The Company submits that this revised sheet is filed in accordance with Paragraph (C) of the Commission's Opinion No. 770-A issued on November 4, 1976, and pursuant to the provisions of the General Terms and Conditions of Panhandle's FPC Gas Tariff. The sheet was filed in substitution for Eighteenth Revised Sheet No. 3-A.

Panhandle states that this revised sheet reflects increases based solely on the increases in gas purchased costs as authorized by the Commission in Opinion Nos. 770 and 770-A to be effective July 27, 1976. No other increases in purchase gas costs are included in the filing. In accordance with Paragraph (C) of Opinion No. 770-A, Panhandle has included a surcharge (including a nine (9) percent carrying charge) to recover costs incurred as a result of Opinion Nos. 770 and 770-A from July 27, 1976 to December 1, 1976, such surcharge to be effective for the twelve (12) month period commencing December 1, 1976. Panhandle shall maintain a separate account relating to such surcharge amounts and any imbalance in the account at the end of the twelve (12) month period shall be transferred to the Deferred Purchased Gas Cost Account maintained in accordance with Section 18.3 of the General Terms and Conditions of Panhandle's tariff.

The Company submits that the purchased gas cost increases by reason of Opinion Nos. 770 and 770-A which Panhandle has included in its rates herein have been calculated on the basis of producer filings with the Commission. To the extent that the amounts filed by the producers may be subject to refund, the related amounts included in Panhandle's rates shall also be subject to refund in accordance with Section 18.5 of the General Terms and Conditions of Panhandle's tariff.

To the extent required, if any, Panhandle has requested that the Commission waive those sections of the regulations as it may deem necessary for the acceptance of this filing to become effective December 1, 1976.

Copies of this filing were served on Pandhandle's jurisdictional customers and applicable state regulatory agencies.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said application should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Section 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before December 20, 1976. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this application are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc.76-36630 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RM76-18]

## PIPELINE TRANSPORTATION OF NATURAL GAS

### Certification of Agreement

DECEMBER 7, 1976.

Petition for a statement of policy with respect to certification of pipeline transportation agreement for the transportation of natural gas obtained from intrastate producers or interstate pipeline companies to be used as boiler fuel for the purpose of abating air pollution episodes in critical air basins.

On October 8, 1976, the California State Air Resources Board (ARB), the People of the State of California, and the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California (California) jointly filed, in Docket No. RM76-18, a request for clarification of a prior order issued on September 8, 1976. The Petitioners assert that the prior order did not "appear to expressly address the matter of augmenting California's supplies of gas for the purpose of abating critical air pollution episodes." In their filing, the Petitioners appear to have overlooked the Commission's clear statement of its intent to conduct an interagency review on the broad policy considerations raised in the original Petition. In our previous order, we stated:

> We have therefore concluded that this Commission should conduct an interagency review of this policy question in conjunction with the Federal Energy Administration and the Environmental Protection Agency and have already initiated such review. Our intent is not to delay the resolution of this issue but to insure that our approach is thorough rather than haphazard. Upon completion of our review, we shall take whatever action we find appropriate.

In order to evaluate this policy, several interagency meetings have been held. Our Staff prepared a draft policy paper analyzing the use of natural gas as a boiler fuel to abate air pollution, which was circulated to FEA and EPA for review and comment. A meeting was subsequently held in order to assess the gathered data and further define the issues raised. Our preliminary investigation has resulted in the following findings and conclusions.

In addition to the pollution problem in the Southern California Coastal Basin, a large portion of the Eastern United States is reporting sulfate concentrations significantly higher than concentrations generally observed in other sections of the country. An essential point of departure in our analysis has been the issue of the permissible level of hazardous sulfur compounds in the atmosphere. EPA has stated its intention to defer establishing a National Ambient Air Quality Standard for sulfates for three to five years, citing the necessity of conducting

additional research. Under the Air Quality Act of 1967 and the Clean Air Amendments of 1970, each state may establish a local sulfate standard, which remains in effect pending the promulgation of a national standard. As a result, there is a lack of uniformity in state and local standards which are portrayed as constituting a "significant harm level."

Before a national standard for sulfates can be established, the health effects associated with the pollutants must be quantified. The consensus of expert opinion is that very high concentrations of sulfur oxide and particulates are deleterious to human health and that increases in mortality and morbidity of human population during severe air pollution episodes occur; however, the extent to which the local emission of any single pollutant, i.e., sulfur dioxide, must be controlled in order to eliminate adverse health effects is uncertain. Various conclusions reached from several health-related research studies appear to be contradictory.

Present scientific opinion regards sulfates as more directly deleterious to human health than sulfur oxides. It is known that sulfur oxides undergo chemical transformations in the atmosphere and are at least partially converted to sulfates. There is, however, no simple relationship between ambient concentrations of sulfur oxides and sulfates. Although sulfur oxide levels may be well below curent Federal standards, sulfate levels at the same location may be quite high.

The alleged adverse health effects from sulfate pollution are primarily respiratory illnesses. Considerable research is necessary before the complex relationship between sulfates and health effects can be well understood. The health effects associated with given sulfate levels can be expected to vary with the chemical form and physical size of sulfates, the presence of other pollutants, temperature, humidity, environment and human factors. Due to the inadequacy of present monitoring capabilities and the current incomplete understanding of the influence of other variables on the dose-response relationship between sulfates and human health, the preliminary sulfate health effect studies can only be considered indicative of the potential health impacts of sulfates but insufficient to establish standards.

Moreover, the burning of .5 percent low sulfur oil in place of curtailed gas has not been definitively shown to increase local sulfate concentrations in the air pollution episodes of concern of the Petitioners. The use of natural gas only on those days when a meteorological forecast indicates that there will be an emergency episode has not yet been proven as an effective means of mitigating an incident. Sulfur compound polluting the atmosphere days earlier are likely to be the principal source of sulfates during an incident, and up to 10 days may be required for the pollution to disperse. Thus, the ability to forecast an emergency and its disappearance is of doubtful precision.

In considering the inability to measure various health effects and quantify the extent to which sulfate pollution must be controlled, and to establish a national ambient sulfate standard and enforce the standard, the appropriateness of instituting a Federal energy policy which would allocate natural gas to abate air pollution is questionable. If we assume that national ambient standards for sulfates will be established and the effectiveness of a gas substitution strategy confirmed, another dimension of the issue is the substantial quantity of natural gas which would be needed for boiler fuel to alleviate sulfate pollution. If available national pipeline supplies were allocated to boiler fuel users to abate air pollution, high priority customers would have to use some form of alternate fuel to replace the diverted gas supplies. This would necessitate the use of oil in many cases and the total input of sulfur compounds to the atmosphere over a year would not be significantly changed by the use of such strategy. The unanswered question is whether a redistribution of natural gas supplies available to a region will produce the air pollution benefits sufficient to counterbalance the economic cost to certain natural gas customers.

Moreover, the Commission solely has jurisdiction under the Natural Gas Act to regulate the transportation of gas through an interstate pipe line. The state commissions have the jurisdiction to regulate the distribution of natural gas within the state. In addition, a substantial portion of our natural gas supplies is intrastate and not subject to Federal regulation and therefore not available to many high priority customers of interstate pipelines.

The concept of using natural gas to abate air pollution demands that the Federal government make a cost-benefit and social judgment and implement corresponding regulations to accomplish its policy objectives. A substantial period of time would follow in which the supply-demand relationship for natural gas would have to readjust to new market conditions. All forms could be affected. Quantification of the dislocation is impossible at this juncture pending an estimate of the amount of natural gas would be necessary.

Whether natural gas should be used to reduce the air pollution is an important issue. However, in order to make the necessary assessment of social and economic costs, it is essential that EPA, the agency with the requisite expertise, first establish a national ambient air quality standard for sulfates. As a result of our interagency discussions, EPA and FPC have agreed to continue this policy analysis. EPA has stated its intention to analyze the air quality data for urban areas throughout the country and establish national level to be termed "alert emergency level" for sulfate. We will provide EPA with data as to what areas of the country have sufficient storage facilities to maintain a supply of natural gas for air quality emergency episodes. It will also be necessary to examine and determine which utilities have the ability to switch from oil or coal to gas on less than 24 hours' notice. This will be the first step in gathering a list of utility systems and actual plants to be included in the study.

The next step would be to analyze the air quality data for each of the areas identified to determine those where air pollution emergency episodes occur with some frequency. In each individual area, different levels of pollutants or combinations of pollutants would be assumed to trigger implementation of the plan to burn gas in utility boilers. The quantities of natural gas to be consumed, the geographical distribution of the consumption, and the reduction in emissions achieved by varying triggering levels of pollution would be estimated.

The final step would be an analysis of the costs and benefits associated with the different assumptions on triggering levels. The impact of the curtailment systems on various pipelines could be assessed in conjunction with the impact on different cities and air basins of different concentrations of pollutants which might be considered "significant harm" levels. EPA will take the lead in the preparation of this study, which it projects will take one to two years to complete. Due to the importance of this issue, the Commission will provide assistance in areas of its expertise.

Should the Petitioners want to implement the program set forth in their initial filing, the first step would appear to be the evaluation of the amount of gas California itself has presently available for lower priority use that it can designate for this experiment. We are unconvinced that the primary responsibility for dealing with sulfate pollution does not lie with the state. There are many self-help measures that should be available to California by which it can augment its supply of gas available to abate quality episodes. It is for this reason that the proceedings currently being held by the California Public Utilities Commission are critical in their examination of various alternatives and their recommendations.

Petitioners may want to file a 7(c) application requesting authorization to transport many specific gas supplies to the Southern California Coastal Basin, and should not interpret our foregoing statements as foreclosing such an application. In addition, the mechanism set forth in Order No. 467–A whereby supplies of natural gas may be reallocated to deal with environmental emergencies under the curtailment plan may also be a solution. General statements by an intrastate pipe line concerning the difficulties of implementing the actual procedure are not persuasive to this Commission that the procedure is not available to the Petitioners.[1]

[1] We recognize the arguments advanced as to the problems with El Paso's tariff on page 2 of its filing.

The Commission orders. The order dismissing the petition filed in Docket No. RM76–18 on September 8, 1976, is hereby clarified to address questions raised by the petitions in their motion dated October 8, 1976.

By the Commission.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc.76–36637 Filed 12–13–76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. ER77–76]

## PUGET SOUND POWER & LIGHT CO.

### Change in Rate Schedule

DECEMBER 7, 1976.

Take notice that on November 26, 1976, Puget Sound Power & Light Company (PSP&L) filed notice of a change in its Rate Schedle, FPC No. 29. PSP&L is now transferring Bonneville Power Administration power to Public Utility District No. 1 (District) Snohomish County, Washington, from PSP&L's Beverly Park Substation to a point of delivery at the District's West Monroe Substation under the currently effective rate schedule.

The parties wish to amend PSP&L Rate Schedule FPC No. 29 by adding an additional point of delivery at the District's Goldbar Substation. Service under the amended rate schedule commenced September 9, 1976, and that the notice requirements set forth in the Commission's regulations be waived pursuant to 18 CFR Section 35.11.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before December 15, 1976. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc.76–36603 Filed 12–13–76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. ER77–56]

## SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON CO.

### Filing of Initial Rate Schedule and Request for Waiver

DECEMBER 7, 1976.

Take notice that Southern California Edison Company (Edison), on November 17, 1976, tendered for filing a letter agreement for temporary service dated September 17, 1976, between the State of California Department of Water Resources and certain parties to the Contract Between California Suppliers and the State of California for the Sale, Exchange, and Transmission of Electric Capacity and Energy for the Operations of State Water Project Pumping Plants (Suppliers' Contract). The present agreement is to allow for requisite energy flows in order to accommodate a temporary water exchange program brought about by drought conditions affecting, in particular, the Dudley Ridge Water District in western Kings County, California. Energy requirements overall will be reduced by virtue of this arrangement.

Edison states that it is necessary that service be initiated under this temporary agreement on or about November 17, 1976. For that reason, Edison requests that the notice provisions of the Commission's regulations be waived and the filing be permitted to become effective as of November 17, 1976.

Copies of this filing were served upon Parties to the Suppliers; Contract and the Public Utilities Commission of the State of California.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest this application should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with § 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before December 21, 1976. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this application are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc.76–36611 Filed 12–13–76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. RP74–41 (PGA77–3)]

## TEXAS EASTERN TRANSMISSION CORP.

### Proposed Changes in FPC Gas Tariff

DECEMBER 7, 1976.

Take notice that Texas Eastern Transmission Corporation on November 24, 1976, tendered for filing proposed changes in its FPC Gas Tariff, Fourth Revised Volume No. 1, the following sheets:

Twenty-sixth Revised Sheet No. 14; Twenty-sixth Revised Sheet No. 14A; Twenty-sixth Revised Sheet No. 14B; Twenty-sixth Revised Sheet No. 14C; and Twenty-sixth Revised Sheet No. 14D.

These sheets are being issued pursuant to Ordering Paragraph (C) of the Commission's Opinion No. 770–A issued November 5, 1976 in Docket No. RM75–14. The increase in rates is based upon the Opinion No. 770–A increases in Texas Eastern's cost of purchased gas from producer suppliers and one pipeline supplier, including a special surcharge designed to recover over the twelve-month period, December 1, 1976–November 30, 1977, the cost associated with Opinion No. 770–A increases from producers prior to December 1, 1976 with carrying charges at nine percent. The proposed effective date of the above tariff sheets is December 1, 1976.

Copies of the filing were served upon the company's jurisdictional customers and interested state commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capital Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with §§ 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before December 20, 1976. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc.76–36625 Filed 12–13–76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. CP77–63]

## TRANSCONTINENTAL GAS PIPE LINE CORP. AND MID LOUISIANA GAS CO.

### Notice of Application

DECEMBER 7, 1976.

Take notice that on November 19, 1976, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation (Transco), P.O. Box 1396, Houston, Texas 77001, and Mid Louisiana Gas Company (Mid Louisiana), P.O. Box 1707, Shreveport, Louisiana 71102, filed in Docket No. CP77–63 an application pursuant to sections 7(c) and 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act, for (a) a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing Transco to construct a compressor station and to perform compressor services for Mid Louisiana, and authorizing Mid Louisiana to install certain connecting facilities, and (b) for an order permitting and approving the abandonment by Transco of certain transportation services for Mid Louisiana, all as more fully set forth in the application on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

In Docket No. CP71–179, Mid Louisiana was issued a certificate of public convenience and necessity to develop and operate the Hester Gas Storage Field in St. James Parish, Louisiana (Hester) for the underground storage of natural gas, it is stated. Hester was not connected directly into Mid Louisiana's existing transmission system and, to avoid the necessity of constructing additional facilities, Transco and Mid Louisiana agreed that Transco would transport gas between Hester and Mid Louisiana's transmission system. This service was authorized by orders issued January 4, 1971 in Docket No. CP71–141 and January 14, 1973, in Docket No. CP73–52. It is further stated

that pursuant to these agreements and authorizations, Mid Louisiana may deliver up to 100,000 Mcf per day to Transco at Hester for redelivery at Ethel, and it may deliver up to 30,000 Mcf per day to Transco at Ethel for redelivery at Hester. In addition, the order issued in Docket No. CP73–52 established Ethel and Hester as exchange points for use by both Mid Louisiana and Transco when the party receiving the request for delivery has gas and delivery capacity available for such use, it is indicated.

Applicants assert that they have agreed that Mid Louisiana would deliver natural gas to Transco at Ethel, a point in East Feliciana Parish, Louisiana, and that Transco would receive and compress the gas into its transmission system; provided, that when Mid Louisiana's delivery pressure is as low as 150 psig and Transco's transmission line pressure is as high as 780 psig, Transco would not be obligated to accept and compress gas at rates in excess of 20,800 Mcf per day; provided further that when operating pressures in either line permit larger volumes to be compressed, Transco would be obligated to accept delivery of such additional volumes as can be compressed up to a total of 26,000 Mcf per day. It is indicated that in addition to compressing that gas, Transco has also agreed to measure and dehydrate the gas delivered by Mid Louisiana. Transco proposes to charge Mid Louisiana $33,000 per month for these services.

Transco proposes to construct, operate and maintain at Ethel a compressor station consisting of one compressor unit of 2,000 horsepower, together with the necessary controls, piping, dehydration towers and related equipment. It is also stated that the estimated cost of these facilities would be $1,350,000 and would be financed out of available company funds.

It is indicated that Mid Louisiana proposes to install taps on its main and loop line at Ethel and to construct and operate the necessary piping to connect its lines to the inlet side of the proposed compressor station. The total estimated cost of these facilities would be $38,200 and would be financed out of available company funds, it is said.

Because of its compressor facilities located at Hester Gas Storage Field in St. James Parish, Louisiana, it is indicated that Mid Louisiana can receive gas delivered by Transco at Hester, compress and inject the gas into storage and then redeliver it to Transco. It is stated that this flexibility does not exist at Ethel. Because of Transco's higher line pressures and the absence of compression facilities at Ethel, Mid Louisiana cannot deliver gas into Transco's system at that location, it is said.

It is indicated that the absence of compression at Ethel has meant that Mid Louisiana could not deliver gas directly from its main transmission system into Transco's. Correction of this deficiency has now become urgent it is stated because the Commission recently authorized Mobil Oil Corporation in Docket No. CI75–663, to sell to Mid Louisiana gas produced in the Main Pass Block 140 Field, offshore Louisiana. In order that the Main Pass Block 140 gas (which will be delivered into Mid Louisiana's transmission system by exchanges with Southern Natural Gas Company) may be made available for injection into storage at Hester at the earliest possible date, the prompt issuance of a certificate in this proceeding is urgently required, it is indicated.

*Service to be abandoned.* Applicants state that pursuant to an agreement dated September 25, 1970, and certificate authorization issued January 4, 1971, in Docket No. CP71–141, Transco transports gas which Mid Louisiana purchases from United Gas Pipe Line Corporation (Limited) at the outlet of Cameron Meadows Plant in Cameron Parish, Louisiana (the Cameron Plant). Transco delivers this gas to Mid Louisiana at either Ethel or Hester, or at both places and Mid Louisiana pays Transco an annual charge of $744,600 for this service it is said. Applicants agree that the transportation from the Cameron Plant performed by Transco should be terminated at such time as the compressor service proposed herein would begin.

It is stated that United has the capacity to exchange Cameron Plant gas with Mid Louisiana and to redeliver equal volumes to Mid Louisiana at any of several established exchange points on Mid Louisiana's transmission system. Applicants assert that this arrangement permits a more efficient use of the proposed compressor facilities Ethel and results in a saving of cost for Mid Louisiana.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before December 30, 1976 file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Power Commission by sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission on this application if no petition to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate and permission and approval for the proposed abandonment are required by the public convenience and necessity. If a petition for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc.76–36610 Filed 12–13–76;8:45 am]

---

[Docket No. CP73–211]

## TRANSWESTERN PIPELINE CO., ET AL.

### Extension of Time

DECEMBER 6, 1976.

On November 1, 1976, Transwestern Pipeline Company, Transwestern Coal Gasification Company, Pacific Coal Gasification Company and Western Gasification Company filed a motion to further extend the date to May 21, 1977, for accepting certificates issued by the Commission on April 21, 1975, in the above-designated proceeding. On November 15, 1976, the Environmental Defense Fund filed a motion in opposition to the extension of time.

Notice is hereby given that the time within which the certificates must be accepted is extended to and including February 21, 1977.

By direction of the Commission.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc.76–36623 Filed 12–13–76;8:45 am]

---

[Docket No. RP77–16]

## TRUNKLINE GAS CO.

### Change in Rates

DECEMBER 7, 1976.

Take notice that Trunkline Gas Company (Trunkline) on November 19, 1976, tendered for filing Thirteenth Revised Sheet No. 37 of its F.P.C. Gas Tariff, Original Volume No. 2. Trunkline requests that this rate change to its F.P.C. Rate Schedule No. F–2 become effective January 1, 1977.

Trunkline states that the rate change reflects the January 1, 1977 rate increase as prescribed by the Federal Power Commission in ordering paragraph (A) (a–3) of Opinion No. 699–H in F.P.C. Docket No. R–389–B and in accordance with the provisions of the Rate Schedule. The tariff sheet also reflects an updating of the BTU adjustment that is utilized in the price calculation under Rate Schedule F–2.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said application should file a petition to intervene or protest said application should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Sections 1.8 and 1.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Pro-

cedure (18 CFR 1.8, 1.10). All such petitions or protests should be filed on or before December 20, 1976. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this application are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc.76-36617 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

## FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

[H.2, 1976 No. 47]

### ACTIONS OF THE BOARD

### Applications and Reports Received During the Week Ending November 20, 1976

Announcement by Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

ACTIONS OF THE BOARD

Statement by Governor Henry C. Wallich before the Senate Committee on Banking, Housing and Urban Affairs on S. 3693, the "Investment Policy Act of 1976".

Equal Credit Opportunity Act; proposed residential real estate mortgage loan application for use under the Act; the Board invited comment on the proposed form through December 17, 1976.

Individual Retirement Accounts; the Board, along with Comptroller of the Currency, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, and the Federal Home Loan Bank Board, has agreed to assist the Federal Trade Commission in conducting a review of the marketing and advertising practices of financial institutions and others offering Individual Retirement Accounts to the public.

Lafayette Bank & Trust Company, Fayetteville, North Carolina, proposed merger into Southern National Bank of North Carolina, Lumberton, North Carolina; report to the Comptroller of the Currency on competitive factors.

First Bancshares of Florida, Inc., Boca Raton, Florida, extension of time to March 19, 1977, within which to acquire shares of and open Vero Beach National Bank, Vero Beach, Florida.[1]

Northeast United Bancorp, Inc. of Texas, Fort Worth, Texas, extension of time to February 17, 1977, within which to consummate the acquisition of First State Bank, Bedford, Texas.[1]

SWB Corporation, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, extension of time to December 20, 1976, within which to consummate the acquisition of the Southwestern Bank & Trust Company, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma.[1]

Vermillion Bankshares, Inc., Vermillion, Kansas, extension of time to December 1, 1976, within which to file its registration statement.[1]

Deregistration of the following companies under Regulation G: Pittway Corporation, Northbrook, Illinois; Research Federal Credit Union, Warren, Michigan; SFC Funding Corp., Chicago, Illinois; United Presidential Life Insurance Company, Kokomo, Indiana; and Wisconsin Steel Employees Credit Union, Chicago, Illinois; The Detroit Edison Employees Credit Union, Detroit, Michigan; Flint Teachers Credit Union, Flint, Michigan; Lansing Telephone Employees Credit Union, Lansing, Michigan; Midwestern United Life Insurance Company, Fort Wayne, Indiana; Mirro Aluminum Company, Manitowoc, Wisconsin, and Peru Production Credit Association, Peru, Indiana.[1]

First Trust & Savings Bank, Kankakee, Illinois, to make an investment in bank premises.[1]

Northwestern State Bank of Austin, Austin, Minnesota, to make an investment in bank premises.[1]

Peoples State Bank, Three Lakes, Wisconsin, to make an investment in bank premises.[1]

Bank of North Lake, North Lake, Wisconsin, proposed consolidation with Valley Bank of Hartford, Hartford, Wisconsin, report to the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation on competitive factors.[1]

Barnett Bank of Cypress Gardens, National Association, Winter Haven, Florida, proposed merger with Barnett Bank of Winter Haven, National Association, Winter Haven, Florida; report to the Comptroller of the Currency on competitive factors.[1]

Potomac Bank and Trust Company, Fairfax, Virginia, proposed merger with Dominion National Bank, Vienna, Virginia; report to the Comptroller of the Currency on competitive factors.[1]

Louisville Trust Bank, Inc., Louisville, Kentucky, extension of time within which to establish a branch at Cheneweth Lane and U.S. Highway 42, Louisville, Kentucky.[1]

Citibank Overseas Investment Corporation, New York, New York, extension of time to November 23, 1977, within which to complete its acquisition of Valores Mexicanos, S.A., Mexico City, Mexico.[1]

Morgan Guaranty International Finance Corporation, New York, New York, extension of time to November 28, 1977, within which to make an investment in the Bank of the Philippine Islands, Manila, Philippines.[1]

Morgan Guaranty International Finance Corporation, New York, New York, extension of time to November 20, 1977, within which to make an investment in J. P. Morgan (Hong Kong) Limited, Hong Kong.[1]

NOTE.—The H.2 release is now published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. It will continue to be sent, upon request, to anyone desiring a copy.

### To establish a Domestic Branch Pursuant to Section 9 of the Federal Reserve Act.

APPROVED

Oak Park Trust & Savings Bank, Oak Park, Illinois, Branch to be established at 933 Madison Street, Oak Park.[2]

Commerce Union Bank, Memphis, Tennessee, Branch to be established at 2930 Airways Boulevard, Memphis, Shelby County.[2]

* * * * *

### International Investments and Other Actions Pursuant to sections 25 and 25(a) of the Federal Reserve Act and sections 4(c)(9) and 4(c)(13) of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended.

APPROVED

Manufacturers-Detroit International Corporation: Investment, additional in Permanent Finance Corporation Limited, Australia.

* * * * *

### To Expand a Bank Holding Company Pursuant to Section 3(a)(3) of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956.

REACTIVATED

CB&T Bancshares, Inc., Columbus, Georgia, for approval to acquire 51 per cent or more of the voting shares of Commercial Bank, Thomasville, Georgia.

CB&T Bancshares, Inc., Columbus, Georgia, for approval to acquire 51 per cent or more of the voting shares of La Grange Banking Company, La Grange, Georgia.

APPROVED

First Commercial Banks, Inc., Albany, New York, for approval to acquire 100 per cent of the voting shares (less directors' qualifying shares) of the successor by merger to The Chester National Bank, Chester, New York.

### To Expand a Bank Holding Company Pursuant to Section 3(a)(5) of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956.

APPROVED

Mercantile Texas Corporation, Dallas, Texas, for approval to acquire the shares of Federated Capital Corporation, Houston, Texas.

* * * * *

### To Expand a Bank Holding Company Pursuant to Section 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956.

RETURNED

First Chicago Corporation, Chicago, Illinois, notification of intent to engage in de novo activities (leasing real property or acting as agent, broker, or adviser in leasing such property where at the inception of the initial lease the expectation is that the effect of the transaction will be to compensate the lessor for not less than the lessor's full investment in the property plus the estimated total cost of financing the property over the term of the lease) in New York, New York, through its subsidiary, First Chicago Leasing Corporation (11/18/76)[3]

SJV Corporation, Elkhart, Indiana, notification of intent to engage in de novo activities (making or acquiring, for its own account or for the account of others, loans and other extensions of credit such as would be made by a mortgage or finance company including consumer loans on a secured or unsecured basis) in Mishawaka, Indiana, through its subsidiary, St. Joseph Valley Finance Corporation (11/19/76).[3]

PERMITTED

Mellon National Corporation, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, notification of intent to engage in de novo activities (making or acquiring, for its own account secured and unsecured loans and other extensions of credit such as would be made by a finance company which activities include making direct consumer installment loans and purchasing consumer installment sales finance contracts; providing credit life and credit accident and health insurance in conjunction with the above lending activities, such insurance will be provided only in connection with extensions of credit by Local Loan Co. and its wholly-owned subsidiaries and will be available solely at the option of the borrower and the borrower is advised of this option in advance) at 16200 S.E. McLoughlin Boulevard, Milwaukee, Oregon, through its wholly-owned subsidiary, Local Loan Co., Chicago, Illinois (11/20/76).[3]

[1] Application processed on behalf of the Board of Governors under delegated authority.

[2] Application processed by the Reserve Bank on behalf of the Board of Governors under delegated authority.

[3] 4(c)(8) and 4(c)(12) notifications processed by Reserve Bank on behalf of the Board of Governors under delegated authority.

Liberty National Corporation, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, notification of intent to engage in de novo activities (originating real estate mortgage loans on residential properties) at 1707 Cache Road, Lawton, Oklahoma, through a wholly owned indirect subsidiary, Liberty Mortgage Company (11/19/76).[3]

Liberty National Corporation, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, notification of intent to engage in de novo activities (originating real estate mortgage loans on residential properties) at 3801 N.W. 63rd Street, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, through a wholly owned indirect subsidiary, Liberty Mortgage Company (11/19/76).[3]

Patagonia Corporation, Tucson, Arizona, notification of intent to relocate de novo activities (leasing personal property or acting as agent, broker, or adviser in leasing such property providing the lease is to serve as the functional equivalent of an extension of credit to the lessee of the property; the property to be leased is acquired specifically for the leasing transaction under consideration or was acquired specifically for an earlier leasing transaction; the lease is on a nonoperating basis and at the inception of the initial lease the effect of the transaction (and, with respect to governmental entities only, reasonable anticipated future transactions) will yield a return that will compensate the lessor for not less than the lessor's full investment in the property plus the estimated total cost of financing the property over the term of the lease) from 10920 Ambassador Drive, Air World Center to 800 West 47th Street, Plaza Center Building, Kansas City, Missouri through its subsidiary, Patagonia Leasing Company (11/18/76).[3]

Security Pacific Corporation, Los Angeles, California, notification of intent to engage in de novo activities (acting as broker or agent for the sale of credit related life/accident and health insurance with respect to loans by mail of The Bankers Investment Company) at 820 North Main Street, Hutchinson, Kansas, through its subsidiary, The Bankers Investment Company (11/15/76).[3]

APPROVED

Old Stone Corporation, Providence, Rhode Island, for approval to acquire certain assets and assume certain liabilities of The New Bedford Morris Plan Company, New Bedford, Massachusetts and Morris Plan Bank and Banking Company of Chelsea, Chelsea, Massachusetts.

NCNB Corporation, Charlotte, North Carolino, for approval to retain all of the voting shares of NCNB Financial Services, Inc., Charlotte, North Carolina.

Mercantile Texas Corporation, Dallas, Texas, for approval to acquire shares of Financial Protection Insurance Company of Texas, Houston, Texas with offices located in Austin, Texas; San Antonio, Texas; Corpus Christi, Texas; New Braunfels, Texas; and Houston, Texas.

* * * * *

## To Expand a Bank Holding Company Pursuant to Section 4(c)(12) of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956.

PERMITTED

Helmerich & Payne, Inc., Tulsa, Oklahoma, notification of intent to acquire from time to time shares of the common voting stock of American Garden Products, Inc., Boston, Massachusetts (11/19/76).[3]

APPLICATIONS RECEIVED

## To Establish a Domestic Branch Pursuant to Section 9 of the Federal Reserve Act.

Cape Cod Bank and Trust Company, Hyannis, Massachusetts. Branch to be established off Station Avenue, South Yarmouth.

Manchester State Bank, Manchester, Connecticut. Branch to be established at Spencer Street, Manchester.

Harris Trust and Savings Bank, Chicago, Illinois. Branch to be established at the Board of Trade Building, 141 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago.

United California Bank, Los Angeles, California. Branch to be established in the vicinity of the intersection of Imperial Highway and the Orange Freeway, City of Brea, Orange County.

* * * * *

## To Become a Member of the Federal Reserve System Pursuant to Section 9 of the Federal Reserve Act.

Yamhill County Bank, McMinnville, Oregon.

* * * * *

## To Form a Bank Holding Company Pursuant to Section 3(a)(1) of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956.

Putnam National Corporation, Greencastle, Indiana, for approval to acquire between 50.9 percent and 99.3 percent of the voting shares of The Central National Bank of Greencastle, Greencastle, Indiana.

## To Expand a Bank Holding Company Pursuant to Section 3(a)(3) of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956.

Associated Bank Corporation, Mason City, Iowa, for approval to acquire 80 percent or more of the voting shares of Cresco National Bank, Cresco, Iowa.

Byron B. Webb, Incorporated, Palmyra, Missouri, for approval to retain 15.8 percent of the voting shares of Palmyra State Bank, Palmyra, Missouri and to acquire an additional 33.2 percent of the voting shares of Palmyra State Bank, Palmyra, Missouri.

* * * * *

## To Expand a Bank Holding Company Pursuant to Section 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956.

Bank of Virginia Company, Richmond, Virginia, notification of intent to engage in de novo activities (making loans or extensions of credit such as would be made by a finance company; and acting as agent for credit life/accident and health insurance and other insurance written to protect collateral during the period of credit extension) at 10750 Lee Highway, Fairfax Mall Shopping Center, Store No. 26, Fairfax, Virginia, through a subsidiary, The Budget Plan Company of Virginia (a wholly-owned subsidiary of General Finance Service Corporation) (11/18/76).[3]

Virginia National Bankshares, Inc., Norfolk, Virginia, notification of intent to engage in de novo activities (acting as insurance agent or broker in offices at which the holding company or its subsidiaries are otherwise engaged in business with respect to any insurance that is directly related to an extension of credit by a bank or a bank related firm or is directly related to the provision of other financial services by a bank or such bank related firm; protecting the value of assets financed, leased, such as homeowners, fire, theft, and other perils, comprehensive, collision, marine, and liability when sold as part of an otherwise permissible package) in Norfolk, Virginia, through its subsidiary, VNB Insurance Agency, Inc. (11/15/76).[3]

NBC Co., Lincoln, Nebraska, notification of intent to engage in de novo activities (conducting the business of an industrial loan and investment company including issuance of interest bearing savings certificates and the making of loans, including consumer loans, commercial loans, and real estate mortgage loans) through a subsidiary, Mutual Savings Company and (acting as an insurance agent in the sale of credit life and accident insurance directly related to extensions of credit by company's subsidiary, Mutual Savings Company for the purposes of assuring the repayment of extensions of credit in the event of death or disability of the borrower) through a subsidiary, NBC Credit Insurance Agency, Inc. Such activities will be conducted at the Southwest Corner of 40th & South Streets, Lincoln, Nebraska (11/18/76).[3]

Metro Bancshares, Inc., Kansas City, Missouri, notification of intent to engage in de novo activities (leasing personal property or acting as agent, broker, or adviser in leasing such property provided all leases are to serve as the functional equivalent of an extension of credit to the lessee of the property; the leased property is to be acquired specifically for an earlier leasing transaction; all leases are on a nonoperating basis and at the inception of the initial lease the effect of the transaction will yield a return that will compensate the lessor for not less than the lessor's full investment in the property plus the estimated total cost of financing the property over the terms of the lease; the maximum lease term during which the lessor must recover the lessor's full investment in the property plus the estimated total cost of financing the property shall be 40 years; at the expiration of the lease all interest in the property shall be either liquidated or re-leased on a nonoperating basis as soon as practicable but in no event later than two years from the expiration of the lease; however, in no case shall the lessor retain any interest in the property beyond 50 years after its acquisition of the property) at Metro North State Bank, 221 NE. Barry Road, Kansas City, Missouri (11/15/76).[3]

Mercantile Texas Corporation, Dallas, Texas, notification of intent to engage in de novo activities (making or acquiring, for its own account or for the account of others, loans or extensions of credit including the following: "Consumer credit" loans; accounts receivable of a bank in connection with purchases made by holders of credit cards issued by that bank; loans secured by liens on improved real estate and payable in a lump sum at maturity; interim loans to finance the construction of industrial, commercial, residential, or farm buildings; short-term commercial loans made for business or commercial purposes generally payable within 90 days; loans made to finance the acquisition or carrying of accounts receivable or inventory by merchants and dealers; retail installment paper originated by dealers or other sellers of goods or services and purchased by a bank; equipment leases executed in financing transactions in which a bank's property has been purchased for the purpose of leasing it to a customer; certificates of deposit issued by a bank having maturities ranging from 30 to 270 days; mortgage warehousing loans generally secured by pledges of the mortgage notes and ordinarily held for one year or less; equipment financing loans made by businesses secured by personal property and generally repayable in installments over a one-to-five year period; income-producing mineral interest loans secured by income-producing mineral interest generally repayable in installments over a three-to-seven year period; repur-

chase agreements with financial institutions involving money-market and other investments in short-term securities either on a direct investment or on a repurchase basis) at 1704 Main Street, Dallas, Texas (11/15/76).[3]

Security Pacific Corporation, Los Angeles, California, notification of intent to engage in de novo activities (the financing of personal property and equipment and real property and the leasing of such property or acting as agent, broker, or adviser in the leasing and/or financing of such property where at the inception of the initial lease the effect of the transaction (and, with respect to governmental entities only, reasonably anticipated future transactions) will yield a return that will compensate the lessor for not less than the lessor's full investment in the property plus the estimated total cost of financing the property over the term of the lease, and the servicing of such financings and/or leases) at Suite 206, The Weber Building, 9420 Annapolis Road, Lanham, Maryland, through its subsidiary, Security Pacific Leasing Corporation (11/15/76).[3]

Security Pacific Corporation, Los Angeles, California, notification of intent to engage in de novo activities (the origination and acquisition of mortgage loans, including development and construction loans on multifamily and commercial properties for its own account or for the sale to others and the servicing of such loans for others) at 20370 Tower Center Lane, Cupertino, California, through its subsidiary, Security Pacific Mortgage Corporation (11/12/76).[3]

Security Pacific Corporation, Los Angeles, California, notification of intent to engage in de novo activities (the origination and acquisition of mortgage loans, including development and construction loans on multifamily and commercial properties for its own account or for the sale to others and the servicing of such loans for others) at 3735 Main Street, Riverside, California, through its subsidiary, Security Pacific Mortgage Corporation (11/12/76).[3]

* * * * *

REPORTS RECEIVED

Proxy Statement (Special Meeting) Filed Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act.

Bank of the Commonwealth, Detroit, Michigan.

* * * * *

PETITIONS FOR RULEMAKING

None.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, December 6, 1976.

RICHARD D. ABRAHAMSON,
*Assistant*
*Secretary of the Board.*

[FR Doc.76-36642 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

## BANK OF NEW ORLEANS AND TRUST CO.

### Order Approving Acquisition of Assets

Bank of New Orleans and Trust Company, New Orleans, Louisiana, a State member bank of the Federal Reserve System, has applied, pursuant to the Bank merger Act (12 U.S.C. 1828(c)), for the Board's prior approval to acquire certain assets and assume certain liabilities of International City Bank and Trust Company, New Orleans, Louisiana ($165 million in deposits[1]), and, as an incident thereto, to operate the present offices of International City Bank and Trust Company as branch offices.

Published notice of the proposed acquisition of assets and assumption of liabilities and requests for reports on the competitive factors involved therein have been dispensed with as authorized by the Bank Merger Act.

The Board has considered all relevant material contained in the record in the light of the factors set forth in the Act, including the effect of the proposal on competition, the financial and managerial resources and prospects of the banks involved, and the convenience and needs of the communities to be served and finds that:

On the basis of the information before the Board, it is apparent that an emergency situation exists so as to require that the Board act immediately pursuant to the provisions of the Bank Merger Act in order to safeguard depositors of International City Bank and Trust Company.

Such anticompetitive effects as will be attributable to consummation of the transaction will be clearly outweighed in the public interest by considerations relating to and involved in the emergency situation found to exist. From the record in the case, it is the Board's judgment that any disposition of the application other than approval would be inconsistent with the best interests of the depositors of International City Bank and Trust Company, and the Board concludes that the proposed transaction should be approved on a basis that would not delay consummation of the proposal.

*It is hereby ordered*, On the basis of the record, that the application be and hereby is approved and that the acquisition of assets and assumption of liabilities and the establishment of the branch offices may be consummated immediately but in no event later than three months after the date of this order unless such period is extended for good cause by the Board, or by the Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta pursuant to delegated authority.

By order of the Board of Governors,[2] effective December 4, 1976.

THEODORE E. ALLISON,
*Secretary of the Board.*

[FR Doc.76-36641 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

## CHITTENDEN CORP.

### Proposal To Engage in Bank Management Consulting Activities Through Chittenden Realty Credit Company

Chittenden Corporation, Burlington, Vermont, has applied, pursuant to section 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1843(c)(8)) and § 225.4(b)(2) of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.4(b)(2)), for permission to engage in the activity of providing management consulting advice to nonaffiliated banks through a subsidiary, Chittenden Realty Credit Company, Burlington, Vermont. Notice of the application was published on October 18, 1976 in The Burlington Free Press, The Wall Street Journal and the Manchester Union Reader, newspapers circulated in Burlington, Vermont; New York, New York; and Manchester, New Hampshire respectively. Such activities have been specified by the Board in § 225.4(a) of Regulation Y as permissible for bank holding companies, subject to Board approval of individual proposals in accordance with the procedures of § 225.4(b).

Interested persons may express their views on the question whether consummation of the proposal can "reasonably be expected to produce benefits to the public, such as greater convenience, increased competition, or gains in efficiency, that outweigh possible adverse effects, such as undue concentration of resources, decreased or unfair competition, conflicts of interests, or unsound banking practices." Any request for a hearing on this question should be accompanied by a statement summarizing the evidence the person requesting the hearing proposes to submit or to elicit at the hearing and a statement of the reasons why this matter should not be resolved without a hearing.

The application may be inspected at the offices of the Board of Governors or at the Federal Reserve Bank of Boston.

Any views or requests for hearing should be submitted in writing and received by the Secretary, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551, not later than January 4, 1977.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, December 6, 1976.

RICHARD D. ABRAHAMSON,
*Assistant Secretary of the Board.*

[FR Doc.76-36643 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

## FIRST NATIONAL FINANCIAL CORP.

### Order Approving Acquisition of Bank

First National Financial Corporation, Kalamazoo, Michigan, a bank holding company within the meaning of the Bank Holding Company Act, has applied for the Board's approval under section 3(a)(3) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(a)(3)), to acquire 100 percent of the voting shares (less directors' qualifying shares) of the Grand Rapids Bank, National Association, Grand Rapids, Michigan ("Bank").

Notice of the application, affording opportunity for interested persons to submit comments and views, has been given in accordance with section 3(b) of the Act. The time for filing comments and views has expired, and the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago has considered the application and all comments received in light of the factors set forth in section 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)).

[1] Deposit data are as of December 31, 1975.

[2] Voting for this action: Chairman Burns and Governors Gardner, Wallich, Coldwell, and Lilly. Absent and not voting: Governors Jackson and Partee.

Applicant, with eleven [1] bank subsidiaries and aggregate deposits of $558.0 million, is the 9th largest banking organization in Michigan accounting for 1.9 percent of the total deposits of all commercial banks in the State.[2] Acquisition of Bank (deposits of $8.4 million) would not significantly increase Applicant's share of total State deposits nor would it alter Applicant's ranking among the other banking organizations in Michigan.

Bank, presently a subsidiary of Northern States Bancorporation, Inc., Detroit, Michigan, a registered bank holding company, is located in Grand Rapids and is the smallest of four banks headquartered in that city. Bank competes in the Grand Rapids banking market [3] and is the 10th largest of sixteen banking organizations therein, with 0.5 percent of the total commercial bank deposits in the market. Applicant is currently the 12th largest banking organization in the relevant market as a result of its ownership of a small bank in Moline, The Moline State Bank (deposits of $4.9 million). Upon consummation Applicant would become the 10th largest banking organization in the market but would hold only 0.8 percent of the total market deposits. While Applicant's subsidiary bank and Bank are both located in the relevant banking market, in view of their relative sizes and market shares, it appears that consummation of the instant proposal would not eliminate any significant competition between them.

The financial and managerial resources and future prospects of Applicant, its subsidiary banks, and Bank are considered to be generally satisfactory and consistent with approval, particularly in view of capital improvement which Applicant has planned for certain of its subsidiary banks. Therefore, considerations relating to banking factors are consistent with approval of the application.

Applicant proposes extensive changes in the services offered to consumers by Bank and plans to introduce numerous consumer-oriented programs. Introduction of such services will allow Bank to become an additional alternative source of "full-service" banking in the Grand Rapids Market. A review of proposed public benefits which appeared in previous applications by Applicant demonstrated that Applicant has fulfilled its promises to provide expanded services at its subsidiary banks. Accordingly, considerations relating to the convenience and needs of the community to be served lend weight toward approval of this application. It is this Reserve Bank's judgment that the proposed acquisition would be in the public interest and that the application should be approved.

On the basis of the record as summarized above, the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago approves the application, provided the transaction shall not be consummated (a) before the thirtieth calendar day following the effective date of this order, or (b) later than three months after the effective date of this order, unless such period is extended for good cause by the Board, or by this Federal Reserve Bank pursuant to delegated authority.

By order of the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago, acting pursuant to delegated authority for the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, effective November 30, 1976.

ROBERT P. MAYO,
*President.*

[FR Doc.76-36645 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

[1] Applicant, which currently has only ten bank subsidiaries, received approval on October 8, 1975, from this Reserve Bank acting pursuant to delegated authority for the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, to acquire an additional bank, The National Bank of Ludington, Ludington, Michigan.

[2] All deposit data are as of December 31, 1975.

[3] The relevant banking market is approximated by the Grand Rapids Ranally Metro Area ("RMA") and consists of most of Kent County, five townships in eastern Ottawa County, two townships in northwestern Allegan County, and a township in the northeast corner of Barry County.

## FM CO.

### Formation of Bank Holding Company

FM Co., Milligan, Nebraska, has applied for the Board's approval under 3(a)(1) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(a)(1)) to become a bank holding company through acquisition of 88 per cent of the voting shares of Farmers and Merchants Bank, Milligan, Nebraska. The factors that are considered in acting on the application are set forth in 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)).

The application may be inspected at the offices of the Board of Governors or at the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City. Any person wishing to comment on the application should submit views in writing to the Reserve Bank, to be received not later than December 31, 1976.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, December 7, 1976.

RICHARD D. ABRAHAMSON,
*Assistant Secretary of the Board.*

[FR Doc.76-36644 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

## MANCHESTER FINANCIAL CORP.

### Acquisition of Bank

Manchester Financial Corp., St. Louis, Missouri, has applied for the Board's approval under 3(a)(3) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(a)(3)) to acquire 100 per cent, less directors' qualifying shares, of the voting shares of Manchester Bank, West County, Maryland Heights, Missouri (a de novo bank). The factors that are considered in acting on the application are set forth in 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)).

The application may be inspected at the offices of the Board of Governors or at the Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis. Any person wishing to comment on the application should submit views in writing to the Secretary, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551, to be received not later than December 31, 1976.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, December 7, 1976.

RICHARD D. ABRAHAMSON,
*Assistant Secretary of the Board.*

[FR Doc.76-36644 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

## TRUST COMPANY OF GEORGIA

### Order Approving Acquisition of Bank

Trust Company of Georgia, Atlanta, Georgia, a bank holding company within the meaning of the Bank Holding Company Act, has applied for the Board's approval under section 3(a)(3) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(a)(3)) to acquire 80 percent or more of the voting shares of Security National Bank, Smyrna, Georgia ("Bank").

Notice of the application, affording opportunity for interested persons to submit comments and views, has been given in accordance with section 3(b) of the Act. The time for filing comments and views has expired, and the Board has considered the application and all comments received in light of the factors set forth in section 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)).

Applicant, the third largest banking organization in Georgia, directly controls Trust Company Bank, Atlanta, Georgia, (deposits of $796 million) and indirectly controls five other banks (aggregate deposits of approximately $400 million).[1] The aggregate deposits of Applicant's six subsidiary banks represent about 10 percent of the total deposits in commercial banks in the State. Acquisition of Bank would increase Applicant's share of State deposits by .14 of one percent and would neither have a significant effect upon the concentration of banking resources in Georgia nor alter Applicant's State-wide ranking.

Bank is the 24th largest of 36 banking organizations in the Atlanta banking market [2] and holds deposits of $17.4 million, representing approximately 0.3 percent of the total deposits in commercial banks in the market. Trust Company Bank, Applicant's lead bank, also operates in the Atlanta banking market and is the third largest banking organization in that market with 14 per cent of the total deposits in commercial banks in the market. Trust Company Bank has 37 branches in De Kalb and Fulton Counties, while Bank has two branches in Cobb County. Although it appears that consummation of the proposal would eliminate some existing competition between Bank and Applicant, the Board notes that the competition that would be eliminated is not of a significant magni-

[1] All banking data are as of December 31, 1975.

[2] The Atlanta banking market is approximated by Fulton, DeKalb, Cobb, Gwinnett, Clayton, Douglas, Henry, and Rockdale Counties in Georgia.

tude and that numerous independent banking organizations would remain in the Atlanta market following the acquisition. In addition, Georgia law prohibits Applicant from branching into or acquiring a de novo bank in Cobb County and, accordingly, Bank is the smallest vehicle which is available to Applicant as a means of entry into that county. Thus, Bank's acquisition by Applicant is regarded as a foothold entry into Cobb County. In view of the foregoing, the Board concludes that the proposed acquisition would have only a slightly adverse effect on competition.

The financial and managerial resources of Applicant and its subsidiaries and their future prospects are regarded as generally satisfactory. Those of Bank are regarded as satisfactory. Considerations relating to the banking factors are consistent with approval of the application. Applicant intends to offer services not presently available to customers of Bank and to reduce the effective cost of other services presently offered by Bank. Following consummation of the acquisition, Bank would make available to its customers free checking accounts, reduced interest rates on consumer loans, and a more favorable method of compounding interest on savings accounts. Additionally, Applicant will cause Bank to increase its hours and to apply for new branches. Considerations relating to convenience and needs of the community to be served lend some weight toward approval of the application and outweigh the slightly adverse effects on competition that might result from consummation of this proposal. Accordingly, it is the Board's judgment that the proposed acquisition would be in the public interest and that the application should be approved.

On the basis of the record, the application is approved for the reasons summarized above. The transaction shall not be made (a) before the thirtieth calendar day following the effective date of this order or (b) later than three months after the effective date of this order, unless such period is extended for good cause by the Board, or by the Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta pursuant to delegated authority.

By order of the Board of Governors,[3] effective December 7, 1976.

THEODORE E. ALLISON,[4]
*Secretary of the Board.*

[FR Doc.76-36647 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

## TRUST COMPANY OF GEORGIA

### Proposed Acquisition of Georgia Loan & Trust Company

Trust Company of Georgia, Atlanta, Georgia, has applied, pursuant to section 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1843(c)(8)) and 225.4(b)(2) of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.4(b)(2)), for permission to acquire, through its wholly-owned subsidiary, Adair Mortgage Company, Atlanta, Georgia, the loan serving portfolio and certain assets of Georgia Loan & Trust Company, Macon, Georgia. Notice of the application was published on October 25, 1976, in "The Atlanta Constitution", a newspaper circulated in Atlanta, Georgia, and in "The Macon News", a newspaper circulated in Macon, Georgia.

Applicant states that the proposed subsidiary would engage in the activity of servicing mortgages. Such activities have been specified by the Board in § 225.4(a) of Regulation Y as permissible for bank holding companies, subject to Board approval of indiivdual proposals in accordance with the procedures of § 225.4(b).

Interested persons may express their views on the question whether consummation of the proposal can "reasonably be expected to produce benefits to the public, such as greater convenience, increased competition, or gains in efficiency, that outweigh possible adverse effects, such as undue concentration of resources, decreased or unfair competition, conflicts of interests, or unsound banking practices." Any request for a hearing on this question should be accompanied by a statement summarizing the evidence the person requesting the hearing proposes to submit or to elicit at the hearing and a statement of the reasons why this matter should not be resolved without a hearing.

The application may be inspected at the offices of the Board of Governors or at the Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta.

Any views or requests for hearing should be submitted in writing and received by the Secretary, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551, not later than January 4, 1977.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, December 8, 1976.

GRIFFITH L. GARWOOD,
*Deputy Secretary of the Board.*

[FR Doc.76-36648 Filed 12-3-76;8:45 am]

## TRUST COMPANY OF GEORGIA

### Proposed Acquisition of Adair Mortgage Company

Trust Company of Georgia, Atlanta, Georgia, has applied, pursuant to section 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1843(c)(8)) and § 225.4(b)(2) of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.4(b)(2)), for permission to directly acquire 100 per cent of the voting shares of Adair Mortgage Company, Atlanta, Georgia. Currently, Adair Mortgage Company is a third-tier subsidiary that is indirectly held by Trust Company of Georgia pursuant to section 4(c)(5) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1843(c)(5)). Notice of the application was published on October 25, 1976, in "The Atlanta Constitution", a newspaper circulated in Atlanta, Georgia, and in "The Tampa Tribune," a newspaper circulated in Tampa, Florida.

Applicant states that the proposed subsidiary would engage in the activities of a mortgage banking company. Such activities have been specified by the Board in § 225.4(a) of Regulation Y as permissible for bank holding companies, subject to Board approval of individual proposals in accordance with the procedures of § 225.4(b).

Interested persons may express their views on the question whether consummation of the proposal can "reasonably be expected to produce benefits to the public, such as greater convenience, increased competition, or gains in efficiency, that outweigh possible adverse effects, such as undue concentration of resources, decreased or unfair competition, conflicts of interests, or unsound banking practices." Any request for a hearing on this question should be accompanied by a statement summarizing the evidence the person requesting the hearing proposes to submit or to elicit at the hearing and a statement of the reasons why this matter should not be resolved without a hearing.

The application may be inspected at the offices of the Board of Governors or at the Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta.

Any views or requests for hearing should be submitted in writing and received by the Secretary, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551, not later than January 4, 1977.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, December 8, 1976.

GRIFFITH L. GARWOOD,
*Deputy Secretary of the Board.*

[FR Doc.76-36649 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

## WESTLAND BANKS, INC.

### Acquisition of Bank

Westland Banks, Inc., Lakewood, Colorado, has applied for the Board's approval under section 3(a)(3) of the Bank Molding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(a)(3)) to acquire 98 percent of the voting shares of Westland Bank of Lakewood, Colorado, a proposed new bank. The factors that are considered in acting on the application are set forth in section 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)).

The application may be inspected at the offices of the Board of Governors or at the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City. Any person wishing to comment on the application should submit views in writing to the Secretary, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551, to be received not later than January 6, 1977.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, December 8, 1976.

GRIFFITH L. GARWOOD,
*Deputy Secretary of the Board.*

[FR Doc.76-36650 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

## WESTLAND BANKS, INC.

### Acquisition of Bank

Westland Banks, Inc., Lakewood, Colorado, has applied for the Board's approval under section 3(a)(3) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(a)

[3] Voting for this action: Chairman Burns and Governors Gardner, Wallich, Jackson, Partee and Lilly. Voting against this action: Governor Coldwell.

[4] Dissenting Statement of Governor Coldwell filed as part of the original document. Copies are available upon request to Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551 or to the Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta.

(3)) to acquire 99 per cent of the voting shares of Westland National Bank South, Longmont, Colorado, a proposed new bank. The factors that are considered in acting on the application are set forth in section 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)).

The application may be inspected at the offices of the Board of Governors or at the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City. Any person wishing to comment on the application should submit views in writing to the Secretary, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551, to be received not later than January 6, 1977.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, December 8, 1976.

GRIFFITH L. GARWOOD,
*Deputy Secretary of the Board.*

[FR Doc.76-36651 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

## FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

### STAFF MEMBERS

#### Delegation of Authority

Pursuant to the authority provided by Reorganization Plan No. 4 of 1961 (26 FR 6191), the Federal Trade Commission announces that it has made the following delegations of authority:

1. In re: U.S. Department of Commerce Nomination of Companies for "E" Awards Under Executive Order 10978.

With respect to inquiries by the U.S. Department of Commerce concerning companies nominated for "E" Awards under Executive Order 10978, the Commission delegated to the Secretary the authority to handle such inquiries unless there is some reason why the award should not be granted and, in that event, the Commission delegated to the General Counsel the authority to decide for the Commission.

2. In re: Securities and Exchange Commission Requests for Information on Companies Filing Registration Statements.

The Commission delegated to the Secretary the authority to handle all requests from the Securities and Exchange Commission for information on whether or not companies filing registration statements with it are under investigation by the Federal Trade Commission, and, in the event the companies are involved in Federal Trade Commission activity, the General Counsel was delegated the authority to decide for the Commission.

3. In re: Requests from the Department of Justice or a United States Attorney for Jencks Act Statements.

The Commission delegated to the General Counsel the authority to release Jencks Act statements contained in the Commission's files in response to an official request from the Department of Justice or a United States Attorney.

4. In re: Authority Under Section 409, Trans-Alaska Pipeline Authorization Act of 1973 (Public Law 93-153).

The Commission delegated authority to its Bureau Directors and Regional Office Directors, without power of redelegation, to approve forms, questionnaires, etc., for submission to the General Accounting Office for clearance. Orders to file special reports, however, will be submitted to the Commission for approval prior to submission to the General Accounting Office.

5. In re: Transcripts in Docket 8934—Exxon Corporation, et al.

The Commission delegated to the General Counsel, without power of redelegation, the authority to release those portions of the December 18, 1973, and April 23, 1974, transcripts and future transcripts in the "Exxon" case which are not exempt from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, unless, in the General Counsel's judgment, circumstances warrant Commission attention.

6. Freedom of Information Act Court Appeals.

The Commission delegated to the General Counsel, without power of redelegation, the authority to comply with court orders requiring production of exempt documents for in camera inspection in cases filed against the Commission under the Freedom of Information Act, with the direction that cases involving unusually sensitive information or other special difficulties continue to be transmitted to the Commission for its consideration.

The Commission authorized the General Counsel, in Freedom of Information Act cases, to make withheld documents available to those attorneys in the Civil Division of the Department of Justice and the United States Atorneys' offices who are handling Federal Trade Commission Freedom of Information Act cases if, in the opinion of the General Counsel, such disclosure would promote the defense of the action, subject to the limitation that the documents cannot be used for any other purpose nor further disclosed to anyone except pursuant to court order or request for in camera inspection.

7. In re: Petitions for Relief from Payment of Liquidated Damages Submitted by the Bureau of Customs.

The Commission delegated to the Director of the Bureau of Consumer Protection and the Assistant Director for Special Statutes, without power of redelegation, the authority to make recommendations to the Bureau of Customs, upon that Bureau's request, on petitions filed with the Bureau of Customs for relief from payment of liquidated damages occasioned by violations of the Wool Products Labeling Act of 1939 (15 U.S.C. 68), the Fur Products Labeling Act (15 U.S.C. 69), and the Textile Fiber Products Identification Act (15 U.S.C. 70): *Provided*, That such delegation does not apply to a matter which appears to raise any questions of Commission policy, in which case a report with recommendation will be made to the Commission.

By direction of the Commission dated December 7, 1976.

JAMES A. TOBIN,
*Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 76-36560 Filed 12-13-76; 8:45 am]

## GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

[Intervention Notice No. 10]

### FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION AND FLORIDA POWER AND LIGHT CO.

#### Proposed Intervention in Electric Rate Increase Proceeding

The General Services Administration seeks to intervene in a proceeding before the Florida Public Service Commission concerning the application of the Florida Power and Light Company for an increase in electric revenues. The GSA represents the interests of the executive agencies of the United States Government, as users of utility services.

The Florida Power and Light Company has filed new tariff schedules designed to increase electric revenues by $349 million. The Company is requesting an overall rate of return of 9.95 percent and a return on common equity of 16 percent based on 1976 year-end capital structure. This proposed rate increase would have an approximate impact on the Federal executive agencies of $2.5 million.

Persons desiring to make inquiries concerning this case to GSA should submit them, in writing, to Mr. Spence W. Perry, Assistant General Counsel, Regulatory Law Division, General Services Administration, 18th & F Streets, NW, Washington, DC, 20405, telephone (202) 566-0750, on or before January 13, 1977, and refer to this notice number.

Persons making inquiries are put on notice that the making of an inquiry shall not serve to make any persons parties of record in the proceeding.

(Sec. 201(a)(4), Federal Property and Administrative Services Act (40 U.S.C. 481(a)(4)))

Dated: December 1, 1976.

JACK ECKERD,
*Administrator of General Services.*

[FR Doc.76-36656 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

---

[Intervention Notice No. 12; Case No. 7025]

### MARYLAND PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION, CHESAPEAKE AND POTOMAC TELEPHONE CO.

#### Proposed Intervention in Telephone Rate Increase Proceeding

The General Services Administration seeks to intervene in a proceeding before the Maryland Public Service Commission concerning the application of the Chesapeake and Potomac Telephone Company for an increase in annual revenues. The GSA represents the interests of the executive agencies of the United States Government, as users of telecommunications services.

The Chesapeake and Potomac Telephone Company has filed proposed tariff changes which would yield $82.3 million in additional annual revenues. It is estimated that the proposed changes would raise the Government's cost by about 14.8 percent, or $2.2 million annually.

Persons desiring to make inquiries concerning this case to GSA should submit them, in writing, to Mr. Spence W. Perry, Assistant General Counsel, Regulatory Law Division, General Services Administration, 18th & F Streets, NW, Washington, DC, 20405, telephone (202) 566–0750, on or before January 13, 1977, and refer to this notice number.

Persons making inquiries are put on notice that the making of an inquiry shall not serve to make any persons parties of record in the proceeding.

(Sec. 201(a)(4), Federal Property and Administrative Services Act, (40 U.S.C. 481 (a)(4).)

Dated: December 3, 1976.

JACK ECKERD,
*Administrator of General Services.*

[FR Doc.76-36658 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

---

[Intervention Notice No. 11]

## DUQUESNE LIGHT CO. BEFORE THE PENNSYLVANIA PUBLIC UTILITY COMMISSION

### Proposed Intervention in Electric Rate Increase Proceeding

The General Services Administration seeks to intervene in a proceeding before the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission concerning the application of the Duquesne Light Company for an increase in its annual revenues. The GSA represents the interests of the executive agencies of the United States Government, as users of electric utility services.

The Duquesne Light Company has filed a request for new tariffs designed to increase its electric revenues by $127 million annually, or 44 percent. The estimated impact on the Federal executive agencies is in excess of $1 million annually.

Persons desiring to make inquiries concerning this case to GSA should submit them, in writing, to Mr. Spence W. Perry, Assistant General Counsel, Regulatory Law Division, General Services Administration, 18th & F Streets, NW, Washington, DC, 20405, telephone (202) 566–0750, on or before January 13, 1977, and refer to this notice number.

Persons making inquiries are put on notice that the making of an inquiry shall not serve to make any persons parties of record in the proceeding.

(Sec. 201(a)(4), Federal Property and Administrative Services Act, (40 U.S.C. 481 (a)(4))

Dated: December 3, 1976.

JACK ECKERD,
*Administrator of General Services.*

[FR Doc.76-36657 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

# DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

[Docket No. 75N-0304]

## Food and Drug Administration

## ORAL CONTRACEPTIVE DRUG PRODUCTS

### Physician and Patient Labeling

*Correction*

In FR Doc. 36030, appearing at page 53633, in the issue of Tuesday, December 7, 1976, on page 53634, place a box around the entire paragraph under the captioned heading "WARNINGS" in the 3rd column.

---

## Indian Health Service

## INDIAN SELF-DETERMINATION ACT

### Funds Available for Grants and Contracts With Indian Tribes

Notice is hereby given that the Indian Health Service has the following funds available during the period October 1, 1976 through September 30, 1977 for grants and contracts with Indian tribes under sections 103 and 104(b) of the Indian Self-Determination Act, Pub. L. 93-638, 25 U.S.C. 450g and 450h(b). This notice is published in accordance with § 36.109 of 42 CFR providing for periodic publication of funds available for grants under the Indian Self-Determination Act and the policy of the Secretary, published at 40 FR 42658, periodically to announce in the FEDERAL REGISTER the funds available for grants and contracts under the Act.

The amounts available are listed below by funding category for each Indian Health Service Area. As these funds are normal operating funds used by the Indian Health Service, the amount of funds available for grants and contracts under the Indian Self-Determination Act decreases over time as the funds are utilized.

Each tribe should contact its respective Indian Health Service Area office to determine amounts specifically available for grants and contracts with the tribe.

| | |
|---|---|
| Aberdeen: | |
| Patient care | $16,672,500 |
| Preventive health and ambulatory care | 14,218,100 |
| Albuquerque: | |
| Patient care | 10,822,400 |
| Preventive health and ambulatory care | 9,878,100 |
| Anchorage: | |
| Patient care | 28,171,600 |
| Preventive health and ambulatory care | 25,276,600 |
| Bemidji: | |
| Patient care | 4,661,700 |
| Preventive health and ambulatory care | 6,898,700 |
| Billings: | |
| Patient care | 10,807,400 |
| Preventive health and ambulatory care | 10,528,200 |
| Navajo: | |
| Patient care | 25,225,200 |
| Preventive health and ambulatory care | 21,361,300 |
| Oklahoma: | |
| Patient care | 14,514,400 |
| Preventive health and ambulatory care | 18,896,800 |
| Phoenix: | |
| Patient care | 18,083,200 |
| Preventive health and ambulatory care | 18,853,500 |
| Portland: | |
| Patient care | 8,590,100 |
| Preventive health and ambulatory care | 8,451,600 |
| Tucson: | |
| Patient care | 3,890,200 |
| Preventive health and ambulatory care | 4,968,300 |
| Uset: | |
| Patient care | 3,704,800 |
| Preventive health and ambulatory care | 5,273,200 |
| Total patient and ambulatory care | 289,747,900 |

Dated: December 6, 1976.

EMERY A. JOHNSON,
*Director, Indian Health Service.*

[FR Doc.76-36461 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

# DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

## Federal Disaster Assistance Administration

[Docket No. NFD-376]

## ARKANSAS

### Emergency Declaration and Related Determinations

Pursuant to the authority vested in the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development by the President under Executive Order 11795 of July 11, 1974, and delegated to me by the Secretary under Department of Housing and Urban Development Delegation of Authority, Docket No. D-74-285; and by virtue of the Act of May 22, 1974, entitled "Disaster Relief Act of 1974" (88 Stat. 143); notice is hereby given that on December 3, 1976, the President declared an emergency as follows:

I have determined that the impact of a drought on the State of Arkansas is of sufficient severity and magnitude to warrant a declaration of an emergency under Pub. L. 93-288. I therefore declare that such an emergency exists in the State of Arkansas. You are to determine the specific areas within the State eligible for Federal assistance under this declaration.

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the authority vested in the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development under Executive Order 11795, and delegated to me by the Secretary under Department of Housing and Urban Development Delegation of Authority, Docket No. D-74-285, I hereby appoint Mr. Joe D. Winkle, FDAA Region VI, to act as the Federal Coordinating Officer for this declared emergency.

I do hereby determine the following areas to have been adversely affected by this declared emergency:

The Counties of:

| | |
|---|---|
| Cleburne | Logan |
| Conway | Pope |
| Faulkner | Searcy |
| Independence | Stone |
| Izard | Yell |
| Johnson | |

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No. 14.701, Disaster Assistance)

Dated: December 3, 1976.

THOMAS P. DUNNE,
*Administrator, Federal Disaster Assistance Administration.*

[FR Doc.76-36670 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

### Bureau of Land Management

[Serial No. A 9510]

### ARIZONA

### Proposed Withdrawal and Reservation of Lands

DECEMBER 7, 1976.

The Forest Service, United States Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250, on March 17, 1976, filed application, Serial No. A 9510, for the withdrawal of the lands described below from location and entry under the general mining laws, but not from the mineral leasing laws, subject to existing valid rights.

The subject parcel has been nominated to the National Register of Historic Places. The site was partially excavated nearly 50 years ago and plans are underway to resume excavation of this important prehistoric Indian ruin. Any disturbance of the area would adversely affect its value for historic and public purposes.

On or before January 13, 1977, all persons who wish to submit comments, suggestions, or objections in connection with the proposed withdrawal may present their views in writing to the undersigned officer of the Bureau of Land Management.

Pursuant to section 204(h) of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976, notice is hereby given that an opportunity for a public hearing is afforded. All interested persons who desire to be heard on the proposed withdrawal must submit a written request for a hearing to the State Director, Bureau of Land Management, at the address shown below, within the 30 day period allowed. Upon determination by the State Director that a public hearing will be held, the time and place will be announced.

The Department of the Interior's regulations provide that the authorized officer of the Bureau of Land Management will undertake such investigations as are necessary to determine the existing and potential demands for the lands and their resources. He will also undertake negotiations with the applicant agency with the view of adjusting the application to reduce the area to the minimum essential to meet the applicant's needs, to provide for the maximum concurrent utilization of the lands for purposes other than the applicant's, and to reach agreement on the concurrent management of the lands and their resources.

The authorized officer will also prepare a report for consideration by the Secretary of the Interior who will determine whether or not the lands will be withdrawn as requested by the applicant agency. The determination of the Secretary on the application will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. A separate notice will be sent to each interested party of record.

Notation on the public records of the filing of the application segregated the lands to the extent specified above. If the withdrawal is approved by the Secretary, it will be for an indefinite period, and the lands will remain segregated.

The lands involved in the application are:

GILA AND SALT RIVER MERIDIAN, ARIZONA

COCONINO NATIONAL FOREST

*Turkey Hills Pueblo Archaeological Site*

T. 22 N., R. 8 E.,
Sec. 35, S½SW¼NE¼NW¼, SE¼NE¼NW¼, SE¼SE¼NW¼NW¼, E½NE¼SW¼NW¼, N½NE¼SE¼SW¼NW¼, N½S½NE¼SE¼SW¼NW¼, N½SE¼NW¼, N½N½SW¼SE¼NW¼, N½S½N½SW¼SE¼NW¼, SE¼SW¼NE¼SW¼SE¼NW¼, S½SE¼NE¼SW¼SE¼NW¼, E½SE¼SW¼SE¼NW¼, E½W½SE¼SW¼SE¼NW¼, and N½SE¼SE¼NW¼.

The areas described aggregate approximately 55.469 acres in Coconino County.

All communications in connection with this withdrawal should be addressed to the State Director, Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior, 2400 Valley Bank Center, Phoenix, Arizona 85073.

Dated: December 7, 1976.

ROBERT BUFFINGTON,
*State Director.*

[FR Doc.76-36548 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

[Serial No. A 9580]

### ARIZONA

### Proposed Withdrawal and Reservation of Lands

DECEMBER 7, 1976.

The Bureau of Reclamation, Department of the Interior, Post Office Box 427, Boulder City, Nevada 89005, on October 4, 1976, filed application, Serial No. A 9580, for the withdrawal of approximately 1,151.48 acres of National Forest lands from all forms of appropriation under the public land laws including the mining and mineral leasing laws, except leasing for oil and gas, subject to valid existing rights. The lands will remain within the Tonto National Forest and will be administered in accordance with applicable law and regulations concerning Reclamation-withdrawn lands in national forests.

The Bureau of Reclamation desires these lands for the purpose of constructing a portion of the Salt-Gila Aqueduct and the Orme Dam and reservoir, features of the Central Arizona Project. After construction of the Project is completed, so much of the Reclamation withdrawal as is not required for Project purposes will be revoked.

For a period on or before January 13, 1977, all persons who wish to submit comments, suggestions, or objections in connection with the proposed withdrawal may present their views in writing to the undersigned officer of the Bureau of Land Management.

Pursuant to section 204(h) of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976, notice is hereby given that an opportunity for a public hearing is afforded. All interested persons who desire to be heard on the proposed withdrawal must submit a written request for a hearing to the State Director, Bureau of Land Management, at the address shown below, within the 30 day period allowed. Upon determination by the State Director that a public hearing will be held, the time and place will be announced.

The Department of the Interior's regulations provide that the authorized officer of the Bureau of Land Management will undertake such investigations as are necessary to determine the existing and potential demands for the lands and their resources. He will also undertake negotiations with the applicant agency with the view of adjusting the application to reduce the area to the minimum essential to meet the applicant's needs, to provide for the maximum concurrent utilization of the lands for purposes other than the applicant's, and to reach agreement on the concurrent management of the lands and their resources.

The authorized officer will also prepare a report for consideration by the Secretary of the Interior who will determine whether or not the lands will be withdrawn as requested by the applicant agency. The determination of the Secretary on the application will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. A separate notice will be sent to each interested party of record.

Notation of the public land records of the filing of the application segregated the lands as specified above. If the withdrawal is approved by the Secretary, it will be for an indefinite period, and the lands will remain segregated.

The lands involved in the application are:

GILA AND SALT RIVER MERIDIAN, ARIZONA

TONTO NATIONAL FOREST

T. 2 N., R. 7 E.,
Sec. 19, lots 3 and 4, E½SW¼, SE¼;
T. 3 N., R. 7 E.,
Sec. 26, W½NW¼, W½SE¼NW¼;
Sec. 27, E½, E½NW¼, SW¼ (excluding those lands within 1 mile of the Salt River withdrawn for Reclamation purposes by Departmental Order of March 2, 1903).

T. 4 N., R. 7 E.,
Sec. 27, lot 14, S½SE¼;
Sec. 34, lots 8, 9, 10, and 11, E½W½, N½ NW¼NE¼.

The areas described aggregate approximately 1,151.48 acres in Maricopa County.

All communications in connection with this withdrawal should be addressed to the State Director, Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior, 2400 Valley Bank Center, Phoenix, Arizona 85073.

Dated: December 7, 1976.

ROBERT BUFFINGTON,
*State Director.*

[FR Doc.76-36549 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

---

[Serial No. A 9682]

## ARIZONA

### Proposed Withdrawal and Reservation of Lands

DECEMBER 7, 1976.

The Department of the Army, Los Angeles District, Corps of Engineers, Post Office Box 2711, Los Angeles, California 90053, on September 2, 1976, filed application, Serial No. A 9682, for the withdrawal of approximately 2310 acres of public lands and mineral estates from entry and appropriation under the public land laws and the general mining laws, including the mineral leasing laws, subject to valid existing rights.

The lands are needed for the construction, operation, and maintenance of a Congressionally authorized flood control project including the location of the dam and appurtenances and reservoir uses. The intended using agency is the Flood Control District of Maricopa County, a political subdivision of the State of Arizona, 3325 West Durango Street, Phoenix, Arizona 85009.

For a period on or before January 13, 1977, all persons who wish to submit comments, suggestions, or objections in connection with the proposed withdrawal may present their views in writing to the undersigned officer of the Bureau of Land Management.

Pursuant to section 204(h) of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976, notice is hereby given that an opportunity for a public hearing is afforded. All interested persons who desire to be heard on the proposed withdrawal must submit a written request for a hearing to the State Director, Bureau of Land Management, at the address shown below, within the 30 day period allowed. Upon determination by the State Director that a public hearing will be held, the time and place will be announced.

The Department of the Interior's regulations provide that the authorized officer of the Bureau of Land Management will undertake such investigations as are necessary to determine the existing and potential demands for the lands and their resources. He will also undertake negotiations with the applicant agency with the view of adjusting the application to reduce the area to the minimum essential to meet the applicant's needs, to provide for the maximum concurrent utilization of the lands for purposes other than the applicant's, and to reach agreement on the concurrent management of the lands and their resources.

The authorized officer will also prepare a report for consideration by the Secretary of the Interior who will determine whether or not the lands and mineral estates will be withdrawn as requested by the applicant agency. The determination of the Secretary on the application will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. A separate notice will be sent to each interested party of record.

Notation of the public lands records of the filing of the application segregated the lands and mineral estates as specified above. If the withdrawal is approved by the Secretary, it will be for an indefinite period, and the lands will remain segregated.

It is proposed to withdraw the following described lands from all forms of appropriation under the public lands laws and from location and entry under the general mining laws, including the mineral leasing laws:

GILA AND SALT RIVER MERIDIAN, ARIZONA

T. 5 N., R. 1 E.,
Sec. 23, NW¼, S½NE¼, S½N½NE¼, and NW¼NW¼NE¼;
Sec. 24, W½SW¼NW¼;
Sec. 27, NE¼, E½NW¼, E½W½NW¼, NE¼NE¼SW¼, and SE¼;
Sec. 34, N½N½NE¼NE¼.

The areas described aggregate 770 acres in Maricopa County.

The surface of the following described lands has been transferred out of Federal ownership with minerals reserved to the United States; it is proposed to withdraw the mineral estate from location and entry under the mining laws, including the mineral leasing laws:

T. 5 N., R. 1 E.,
Sec. 23, S½;
Sec. 24, W½SW¼;
Sec. 25, W½NW¼, W½E½NW¼, NW¼ SW¼, W½SW¼SW¼;
Sec. 26, all;
Sec. 35, N½.

The areas described aggregate 1,540 acres in Maricopa County.

All communications in connection with this withdrawal should be addressed to the State Director, Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior, 2400 Valley Bank Center, Phoenix, Arizona 85073.

Dated: December 6, 1976.

ROBERT BUFFINGTON,
*State Director.*

[FR Doc.76-36550 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

---

[Serial No. A 9683]

## ARIZONA

### Proposed Withdrawal and Reservation of Lands

DECEMBER 7, 1976.

The Department of the Army, Los Angeles District, Corps of Engineers, Post Office Box 2711, Los Angeles, California 90053, on August 27, 1976, filed application, Serial No. A 9683, for the withdrawal of approximately 310 acres of public lands, presently under the jurisdiction of the Bureau of Reclamation, from all appropriation and entry under the public land laws, including the general mining laws and the mineral leasing laws, subject to valid existing rights.

The land is presently occupied by the Department of the Army under a memorandum of understanding with the Bureau of Reclamation and is needed for continued use as an integral part of Yuma Proving Ground, Arizona. It is proposed that the land be withdrawn for military purposes for an indefinite period and be returned to the administrative jurisdiction of the Bureau of Reclamation at such time as it may become excess to the needs of the Department of Defense.

On or before January 13, 1977, all persons who wish to submit comments, suggestions, or objections in connection with the proposed withdrawal may present their views in writing to the undersigned officer of the Bureau of Land Management.

Pursuant to section 204(h) of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976, notice is hereby given that an opportunity for a public hearing is afforded. All interested persons who desire to be heard on the proposed withdrawal must submit a written request for a hearing to the State Director, Bureau of Land Management, at the address shown below, within the 30 day period allowed. Upon determination by the State Director that a public hearing will be held, the time and place will be announced.

The Department of the Interior's regulations provide that the authorized officer of the Bureau of Land Management will undertake such investigations as are necessary to determine the existing and potential demands for the lands and their resources. He will also undertake negotiations with the applicant agency with the view of adjusting the application to reduce the area to the minimum essential to meet the applicant's needs, to provide for the maximum concurrent utilization of the lands for purposes other than the applicant's, and to reach agreement on the concurrent management of the lands and their resources.

The authorized officer will also prepare a report for consideration by the Secretary of the Interior who will determine whether or not the lands will be withdrawn as requested by the applicant agency. The determination of the Secretary on the application will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. A separate notice will be sent to each interested party of record.

Notation on the public land records of the filing of the application segregated the lands as specified above. If the withdrawal is approved by the Secretary, it will be for an indefinite period, and the lands will remain segregated.

The lands involved in the application are:

GILA AND SALT RIVER MERIDIAN, ARIZONA

T. 6 S., R. 21 W.,
Sec. 31, all that part lying east of the 650-foot wide right-of-way for the Gila Gravity Main Canal.
T. 7 S., R. 21 W.,
Sec. 5, all that part lying east of the 650-foot wide right-of-way for the Gila Gravity Main Canal and west of a line parallel to and ¼-mile east of said canal.
Sec. 6, all that part lying east of the 650-foot wide right-of-way for the Gila Gravity Main Canal.
Sec. 8, all that part of the north 1800 feet thereof lying east of the 650-foot wide right-of-way for the Gila Gravity Main Canal and west of a line parallel to and ¼-mile east of said canal.

The areas described aggregate approximately 310 acres in Yuma County.

All communications in connection with this withdrawal should be addressed to the State Director, Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior, 2400 Valley Bank Center, Phoenix, Arizona 85073.

Date: December 6, 1976.

ROBERT BUFFINGTON,
*State Director.*

[FR Doc.76-36551 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

---

[Serial No. A 9708]

## ARIZONA

### Proposed Withdrawal and Reservation of Lands

DECEMBER 7, 1976.

The Forest Service, United States Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250, on October 12, 1976, filed application, Serial No. A 9708, for the withdrawal of approximately 320 acres of National Forest lands under the general mining laws, but not the mineral leasing laws, subject to existing valid rights.

The Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station at Northern Arizona University in cooperation with the Pacific Southwest Forest and Range Experiment Station is using the lands for studies in determining the overall effects of fire on certain types of vegetative growth in Arizona. Experiments are expected to continue for approximately 10 years. Mining activity in the area would nullify most of the data collected to date and adversely affect future experimental projects.

On or before January 13, 1977, all persons who wish to submit comments, suggestions, or objections in connection with the proposed withdrawal may present their views in writing to the undersigned officer of the Bureau of Land Management.

Pursuant to section 204(h) of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976, notice is hereby given that an opportunity for a public hearing is afforded. All interested persons who desire to be heard on the proposed withdrawal must submit a written request for a hearing to the State Director, Bureau of Land Management, at the address shown below, within the 30 day period allowed. Upon determination by the State Director that a public hearing will be held, the time and place will be announced.

The Department of the Interior's regulations provide that the authorized officer of the Bureau of Land Management will undertake such investigations as are necessary to determine the existing and potential demands for the lands and their resources. He will also undertake negotiations with the applicant agency with the view of adjusting the application to reduce the area to the minimum essential to meet the applicant's needs, to provide for the maximum concurrent utilization of the lands for purposes other than the applicant's, and to reach agreement on the concurrent management of the lands and their resources.

The authorized officer will also prepare a report for consideration by the Secretary of the Interior who will determine whether or not the lands will be withdrawn as requested by the applicant agency. The determination of the Secretary on the application will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. A separate notice will be sent to each interested party of record.

Notation of the public land records of the filing of the application segregated the lands as specified above. If the withdrawal is approved by the Secretary, it will be for a 10-year period and the lands will remain segregated for that period unless the withdrawal is extended by the Secretary.

The lands involved in the application are:

GILA AND SALT RIVER MERIDIAN, ARIZONA

COCONINO NATIONAL FOREST

*Rattle Burn Research Areas*

T. 19 N., R. 5 E.,
Sec. 15, SW¼SW¼NE¼, S½SE¼NW¼, NE¼SW¼, N½SE¼SW¼, W½NW¼SE¼, NW¼SW¼SE¼:
Sec. 22, S½NW¼SW¼, SW¼SW¼, W½SE¼SW¼:
Sec. 27, SW¼NW¼NE¼, W½SW¼NE¼, NE¼NW¼, NE¼NW¼NW¼, SE¼NW¼.

The areas described aggregate 320.00 acres in Coconino County.

All communications in connection with this withdrawal should be addressed to the State Director, Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior, 2400 Valley Bank Center, Phoenix, Arizona 85073.

Dated: December 7, 1976.

ROBERT BUFFINGTON,
*State Director.*

[FR Doc.76-36552 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

---

## Office of the Secretary

## COMMISSIONER OF RECLAMATION

### Delegation of Authority

This Notice is issued in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552(a)(1). The Secretary of the Interior has issued a delegation of authority to the Commissioner of Reclamation regarding generating charges and energy rates at Hoover Dam. The delegation was issued by Departmental Manual Release No. 1924 dated November 1, 1976, which is published in its entirety below.

Additional information regarding the delegation may be obtained from Mr. Raymond E. Harman, Chief, Division of Power, Bureau of Reclamation, U.S. Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C. 20240, telephone 202-343-5337.

Dated: December 7, 1976.

ALBERT C. ZAPANTA,
*Assistant Secretary of the Interior.*

Department of the Interior

DEPARTMENTAL MANUAL

| Delegation | Part 255 Bureau of Reclamation |
|---|---|
| Chapter 2 | Generating Charges and Energy Rates--Boulder Canyon Project 255.2.1 |

.1 Delegation. The Commissioner of Reclamation is authorized to perform the functions of the Secretary of the Interior set forth in articles 14 and 19 of the General Regulations for Generation and Sale of Power approved and promulgated by the Secretary on May 20, 1941, in accordance with the Boulder Canyon Project Adjustment Act (54 Stat. 774).

A. Adjust energy rates either upward or downward, both firm and secondary, and advise allottees of the new energy rates; and

B. Furnish each allottee, as of June 1 of each year, with a statement of the estimated generating charges for each allottee for the year of operation ending May 31 of the next succeeding calendar year, and after the close of the operating year, advise each allottee of adjustments for actual generating charges.

[FR Doc.76-36554 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Antitrust Division

### UNITED STATES v. MONROC, INC., ET AL.

### Proposed Consent Judgment and Competitive Impact Statement

Notice is hereby given pursuant to the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, 15 U.S.C. 16 (b) through (h) that a Proposed Consent Judgment and a Competitive Impact Statement as set out below have been filed with the United States District Court for the District of Idaho in Civil No. 1–75–176," United States v. Monroc, Inc., et al." Consenting to the Proposed Judgment are all defendants in the case, Monroc, Inc.; Idaho Concrete Pipe Company, Inc.; and Flynn Sand & Gravel, Inc. The complaint in this action alleged that defendants conspired to fix prices for ready-mix concrete in the market surrounding the cities of Nyssa and Ontario, Oregon. The Judgment enjoins the defendants from agreeing to fix prices, or delivery charges or submit rigged bids for ready-mix concrete or to exchange with any competitor information regarding prices or delivery charges for ready-mix concrete unless such information is available to the public. The Competitive Impact Statement describes the anticipated effects of the proposed Judgment on competition, and evaluates alternative relief proposal actually considered by the United States. Public comment is invited on or before January 27, 1977. Such comments and responses thereto will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER and filed with the Court. Comments should be directed to Anthony E. Desmond, Chief, San Francisco Field Office, Antitrust Division, Department of Justice, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Room 16432—Box 36046, San Francisco, California 94102.

Dated: November 19, 1976.

CHARLES F. B. MCALEER,
*Assistant Chief, Judgments and Judgment Enforcement Section.*

GARY R. SPRATLING,
JOHN F. YOUNG,
*U.S. Department of Justice,*
*450 Golden Gate Avenue,*
*Room 16432, Box 36046,*
*San Francisco, California 94102,*
*Telephone: 415–556–6300.*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, DISTRICT OF IDAHO

*United States of America,* Plaintiff, v. *Monroc, Inc.; Idaho Concrete Pipe Company, Inc.; Flynn Sand & Gravel, Inc.,* Defendants.
Civil No. 1–75–176.
Filed: November 19, 1976.

STIPULATION

It is stipulated by and between the undersigned parties, plaintiff United States of America, and defendants Monroc, Inc., Idaho Concrete Pipe Company, Inc., and Flynn Sand & Gravel, Inc., by their respective attorneys, that:

1. The parties consent that a final judgment in the form hereto attached may be filed and entered by the Court, upon the motion of any party or upon the Court's own motion, at any time after compliance with the requirements of the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act (15 U.S.C. § 16) and without further notice to any party or other proceedings, provided that plaintiff has not withdrawn its consent, which it may do at any time before the entry of the proposed final judgment by serving notice thereof on defendants and by filing that notice with the Court.

2. In the event the plaintiff withdraws its consent or if the proposed final judgment is not entered pursuant to this Stipulation, this Stipulation shall be of no effect whatever and the making of this Stipulation shall be without prejudice to plaintiff or defendants in this or any other proceeding.

Dated: November 19, 1976.

For the Plaintiff: Donald I. Baker, *Assistant Attorney General;* William E. Swope, Charles F. B. McAleer, Anthony E. Desmond, Gary R. Spratling, John F. Young, John L. Wilson, *Attorney, Department of Justice.*

For the Defendants: Langroise, Sullivan & Smylie, Boise, Idaho, By Robert E. Smylie, *Attorneys for Monroc, Inc.* Webb, Johnson, Redford & Greener, Boise, Idaho, By Richard H. Greener, *Attorneys for Idaho Concrete Pipe Company, Inc.,* Henigson, Stunz & Fonda, Nyssa, Oregon, By Stephen B. Fonda, *Attorneys for Flynn Sand & Gravel, Inc.*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, DISTRICT OF IDAHO

*United States of America,* Plaintiff, v. *Monroc, Inc.; Idaho Concrete Pipe Company, Inc.; and Flynn Sand & Gravel, Inc.,* Defendants.
Civil No. 1–75–176.
Filed: November 19, 1976.

FINAL JUDGMENT

Plaintiff, United States of America, having filed its Complaint herein on October 16, 1975, and plaintiff and defendants by their respective attorneys having each consented to the entry of this Final Judgment without trial or adjudication of or finding on any issues of fact or law herein, and without this Final Judgment constituting evidence or admission by plaintiff or defendants, or any of them, in respect to any such issue;

*Now, therefore,* Before any testimony has been taken and without trial or adjudication of or finding on any issue of fact or law herein, and upon consent of the parties hereto, it is hereby

*Ordered, adjudged and decreed* as follows:

I

The Court has jurisdiction of the subject matter hereof and of the parties hereto. The Complaint states a claim upon which relief may be granted against the defendants under Section 1 of the Act of Congress of July 2, 1890, commonly known as the Sherman Act, as amended (15 U.S.C. § 1).

II

As used in this Final Judgment:

(A) "Person" shall mean any individual, corporation, partnership, firm, association or other business or legal entity;

(B) "Ready-mix concrete" means a building material consisting of a mixture of cement, mineral aggregate (gravel and sand), water and other ingredients mixed in varying proportions and sold to customers in a plastic and unhardened state;

(C) "Nyssa-Ontario market" refers to the cities of Nyssa and Ontario, Oregon and surrounding areas in the States of Oregon and Idaho served by the defendant corporations from their plants located in said cities.

III

The provisions of this Final Judgment are applicable to each defendant herein and shall apply also to each of such defendant's officers, directors, partners, agents, employees, subsidiaries, successors and assigns, and to all other persons in active concert or participation with any of them, who shall have received actual notice of this Final Judgment by personal service or otherwise.

IV

Each defendant is enjoined and restrained from entering into, adhering to, maintaining, furthering, enforcing or claiming any right under any contract, agreement, understanding, plan or program with any other person directly or indirectly to:

(A) Fix, determine, establish, maintain, raise, stabilize, or adhere to prices, discounts or other terms or conditions for the sale of ready-mix concrete to any third person;

(B) Submit collusive, rigged or noncompetitive bids or quotations for the sale of ready-mix concrete;

(C) Fix, determine, establish, maintain, raise, stabilize, or adhere to any charge for the delivery of ready-mix concrete;

(D) Communicate to or exchange with any other person selling ready-mix concrete any information concerning any actual or proposed price, price change, discount, delivery charge, or other term or condition of sale at which ready-mix concrete is to be, or has been, sold to any third person, prior to the communication of such information to the public generally.

V

(A) Each defendant shall independently and individually review and recompute its current prices, discounts, delivery charges and all other terms and conditions for the sale of ready-mix concrete in the Nyssa-Ontario market.

(B) Each defendant shall reduce to writing the results of the independent review and recomputation required by Paragraph (A) of this Section. This written review shall include but not be limited to:

(1) a full explanation of the methodology employed by the defendant in reviewing and recomputing its prices, discounts, delivery charges and other terms and conditions of sale;

(2) a full explanation of the accounting method used by the defendant as part of its independent review and recomputation;

(3) a full explanation of each of the constituent factors determining the prices, discounts, delivery charges, and other terms and conditions for the sale of ready-mix concrete sold by the defendant;

(4) the prices, discounts, delivery charges and other terms and conditions for the sale of ready-mix concrete sold by the defendant before and after the independent review and recomputation.

(C) The written results of the independent review and recomputation required by Paragraph (B) of this Section shall be submitted to the plaintiff at the offices of the Antitrust Division, U.S. Department of Justice, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36046, San Francisco, California 94102, within ninety (90) days after the entry of this Final Judgment.

VI

Each defendant is ordered and directed to:

(A) Serve within sixty (60) days after the entry of this Final Judgment a copy of this Final Judgment upon each of its officers, directors, and/or partners, and upon each of its employees and agents who have any responsibility for the sale of ready-mix concrete;

(B) Serve a copy of this Final Judgment upon each successor to such officers, directors, partners, employees or agents described in Paragraph (A) of this Section, within sixty (60) days after such successor becomes employed or associated with such defendant;

(C) Within ninety (90) days after the entry of this Final Judgment, to file with the Court and to serve upon the plaintiff affidavits concerning the fact and manner of compliance with Paragraph (A) of this Section;

(D) Obtain, from each officer, director, partner, employee and agent served with a copy of this Final Judgment pursuant to Paragraph (A) of this Section, and from each successor to each such officer, director, partner, employee and agent served with a copy of this Final Judgment pursuant to Paragraph (B) of this Section, a written statement evidencing each such person's receipt of a copy of this Final Judgment, and to retain such statements in its files.

VII

Upon motion of the plaintiff or upon this Court's own motion, responsible officials of each defendant may, from time to time, be ordered to appear before this Court to give sworn testimony relating to each such defendant's manner of compliance with the provisions of this Final Judgment.

VIII

(A) For the purpose of determining or securing compliance with this Final Judgment, and for no other purpose, defendants shall permit duly authorized representatives of the Department of Justice, on written request of the Attorney General or the Assistant Attorney General in charge of the Antitrust Division, and on reasonable notice, subject to any legally recognized privilege:

(1) Access, during the business hours of defendants, who may have counsel present, to those books, ledgers, accounts, correspondence, memoranda and other records and documents in the possession or under the control of defendants which relate to any matters contained in this Final Judgment;

(2) Subject to the reasonable convenience of defendants and without restraint or interference from them, to interview individuals who are officers or employees of defendants, any of whom may have counsel present, regarding any matters contained in this Final Judgment.

(B) For the purpose of determining or securing compliance with this Final Judgment, and for no other purpose, upon written request of the Attorney General or the Assistant Attorney General in charge of the Antitrust Division, defendants shall submit such reports, in writing, with respect to the matters contained in this Final Judgment as may from time to time be requested.

(C) No information obtained by the means provided in this Section of this Final Judgment shall be divulged by a representative of the Department of Justice to any person other than a duly authorized representative of the Executive Branch of the plaintiff, except in the course of legal proceedings to which the United States is a party, or for the purpose of securing compliance with this Final Judgment, or as otherwise required by law.

IX

Jurisdiction is retained by this Court for the purpose of enabling any of the parties to this Final Judgment to apply to this Court at any time for such further orders and directions as may be necessary or appropriate for the construction or modification of any of the provisions thereof, for the enforcement of compliance therewith, and for the punishment of violations thereof.

X

Entry of this Final Judgment is in the public interest.

Dated: ----------

---

*United States District Judge.*

GARY R. SPRATLING,
JOHN F. YOUNG,
*Antitrust Division,*
*U.S. Department of Justice,*
*450 Golden Gate Avenue,*
*Room 16432, Box 36046,*
*San Francisco, California 94102,*
*Telephone: 415-556-6300.*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, DISTRICT OF IDAHO

*United States of America, Plaintiff,* v. *Monroc, Inc.; Idaho Concrete Pipe Company, Inc.; and Flynn Sand & Gravel, Inc., Defendants.*

Civil No. 1-75-176.

Filed: November 19, 1976.

COMPETITIVE IMPACT STATEMENT

Pursuant to Section 2(b) of the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act (15 U.S.C. § 16 (b)), the United States hereby submits this Competitive Impact Statement relating to the proposed consent judgment submitted for entry in this civil antitrust proceeding.

I. NATURE OF THE PROCEEDING

On October 16, 1975 the United States filed a civil complaint under Section 4 of the Sherman Act (15 U.S.C. § 4), alleging that defendants Monroc, Inc., Idaho Concrete Pipe Company, Inc., and Flynn Sand & Gravel, Inc., violated Section 1 of the Sherman Act (15 U.S.C. § 1). The complaint alleges that defendants and various co-conspirators engaged in a combination and conspiracy in unreasonable restraint of interstate trade and commerce, the substantial terms of which were to fix, maintain and stabilize prices and delivery charges on the sale of ready-mix concrete in the so-called Nyssa-Ontario market, which consists of the cities of Nyssa and Ontario, Oregon, and surrounding communities in eastern Oregon and southwestern Idaho which are served by the defendant firms from their ready-mix concrete plants in Nyssa and Ontario.

Entry by the Court of the proposed consent judgment will terminate the action, except insofar as the Court will retain jurisdiction over the matter for possible further proceedings which might be required to interpret, modify or enforce the judgment, or to punish alleged violations of any of the provisions of the judgment.

II. DESCRIPTION OF THE PRACTICES INVOLVED IN THE ALLEGED VIOLATION

Ready-mix concrete is a building material consisting of a mixture of cement, sand or gravel, and water, which is produced by the defendants and sold to building contractors and subcontractors, farmers, governmental entities and other persons for use in various types of construction projects. Typically, defendants sell and deliver ready-mix concrete in mixer trucks which vary in capacity from 7 to 10 cubic yards of concrete; the mixed concrete is priced either on a delivered basis to the customer's jobsite (with delivery included in the price of the concrete) or on an "F.O.B. plant" basis, under which the cubic yard of concrete is priced at the supplier's plant, with a separate hourly charge for the use of the supplier's concrete mixer truck.

The defendant firms are: Monroc, Inc., of Salt Lake City, Utah which operates a ready-mix concrete plant in Ontario, Oregon; Idaho Concrete Pipe Company, Inc., of Nampa, Idaho which operates a concrete plant under the name Oregon Concrete Products Company in Nyssa, Oregon; and Flynn Sand & Gravel, Inc., which operates a concrete business in Ontario, Oregon.

The complaint in this case alleges that the defendant firms, through their representatives engaged in a conspiracy to fix, maintain and stabilize the prices they charged for ready-mix concrete, and to fix, maintain and stabilize the charges they imposed for delivery of ready-mix concrete in the Nyssa-Ontario market, between 1973 and at least September of 1974. According to the allegations in the complaint, the alleged conspiracy was formed in a series of meetings and telephone communications between representatives of the defendants, during which prospective price changes and pricing practices were discussed and agreed upon. The complaint also alleges that the defendants actually instituted prices and delivery charges pursuant to the alleged agreement. The charged conspiracy is alleged to have had the following effects: that prices and delivery charges for ready-mix concrete in the Nyssa-Ontario market were fixed, maintained and stabilized at artificial and non-competitive levels; that competition in the sale or delivery of ready-mix concrete was restricted; and that Nyssa-Ontario market purchasers of ready-mix concrete were deprived the benefits of free and open competition among the defendants.

III. EXPLANATION OF THE PROPOSED CONSENT JUDGMENT

The United States and the defendants have stipulated that the proposed consent judgment, in the form negotiated by and between the parties, may be entered by the Court at any time after compliance with the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act. The stipulation between the parties provides that there has been no admission by any party with respect to any issue of fact or law. Under the provisions of Section 2(e) of the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, entry of the proposed judgment is conditioned upon a determination by the Court that the proposed judgment is in the public interest.

*A. Prohibited Conduct.* The proposed judgment will prohibit each of the defendants from entering into or adhering to any agreement, understanding or plan with any other persons to fix, maintain or stabilize prices, discounts or other terms or conditions for the sale of ready-mix concrete. Further, the judgments will prohibit any of the defendants from submitting collusive, rigged or non-competitive bids or quotations for the sale of ready-mix concrete, as well as prohibiting the defendants from fixing, raising, stabilizing or maintaining any agreed upon charges for the delivery of ready-mix concrete. The judgment also bars the defendants from communicating or exchanging any information regarding actual or proposed prices, discounts, delivery charges or other terms or conditions for the sale of ready-mix concrete before the time such information is made available to the general public.

The proposed judgment requires each defendant to independently and individually review and recompute its current prices, discounts, delivery charges and other terms and conditions for the sale of ready-mix concrete in the Nyssa-Ontario market. Also, in connection with this independent price review, each defendant is required to submit to the United States a written report, fully explaining the methodology used by such defendant in recomputing its prices, and set-

ting forth its prices and other charges before and after the recomputation.

Each defendant will be required, within 60 days after entry of judgment, to serve a copy of the proposed judgment, if it is approved by the Court, upon each of its officers, directors, and partners (if any), and upon each of its employees or agents who have any responsibility for the sale of ready-mix concrete. Moreover, if any new officers, directors, partners, employees or agents are employed by a defendant in the future, the employing defendant must serve a copy of the judgment on such person within 60 days after such person becomes employed. These service provisions should help to prevent future violations of the judgment by making each responsible employee individually aware of the judgment and its prohibitions.

B. *Scope of the Proposed Judgment.* The proposed consent judgment will expressly provide the maximum coverage permitted by law; by its terms the judgment applies to each defendant and to each of their officers, directors, partners, agents, employees, subsidiaries, successor and assigns, and to all other persons who act in concert with any of the defendants, provided that such persons have actual notice of the judgment, by personal service or otherwise. Unless the Court either modifies or vacates all or a part of the proposed judgment, the defendants are forever bound by its prohibitions. The judgment would apply to the defendants' activities wherever they may occur, although certain administrative provisions of the judgment are specifically limited to the Nyssa-Ontario market.

C. *Effect of the Proposed Judgment on Competition.* The relief encompassed in the proposed consent judgment is designed to prevent any recurrence of the activities alleged in the complaint. By requiring each defendant to independently review and recompute its prices and other charges, the decree is designed to ensure that current price levels are re-established at independent (i.e., non-collusive) and competitive levels. The prohibiting language of the judgment will ensure that future price actions of the defendants will be independently determined, without the restraining and artificial influences which result from meetings and agreements between competitors.

The judgment provides two methods for determining the defendants' compliance with the terms of the judgment. First, on motion of the government or on the Court's own motion, responsible officials of each defendant may be called before the Court to give testimony regarding a defendant's compliance with the judgment. Second, the government is given access, upon reasonable notice, to the records of the defendants, to examine these records for possible violations of the judgment.

It is the opinion of the Department of Justice that the proposed consent judgment provides fully adequate provisions to prevent future violations of the antitrust laws by these defendants, and to ensure that the ready-mix concrete prices of the defendants are determined in a competitive atmosphere. In the Department's view, disposition of the lawsuit without further litigation is appropriate in that the proposed judgment provides all the relief which the government sought in its complaint; the additional expense of litigation would therefore not result in additional public benefit.

IV. Remedies Available to Potential Private Litigants

Section 4 of the Clayton Act (15 U.S.C. § 15) provides that any person who has been injured as a result of conduct prohibited by the antitrust laws may bring suit in federal court to recover three times the damages such person has suffered, as well as costs and reasonable attorney fees. Entry of the proposed consent judgment in this proceeding will neither impair nor assist the bringing of any such private antitrust action. Under the provisions of Section 5(a) of the Clayton Act (15 U.S.C. § 16(a)), this consent judgment has no prima facie effect in any subsequent lawsuits which may be brought against these defendants.

V. Procedures Available for Modification of the Proposed Judgment

As provided by the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act, any person believing that the proposed judgment should be modified may submit written comments to Anthony E. Desmond, Antitrust Division, U.S. Department of Justice, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, California 94102, within the 60-day period provided by the Act. These comments, and the Department's responses to them, will be filed with the Court and published in the Federal Register. All comments will be given due consideration by the Department of Justice, which remains free to withdraw its consent to the proposed judgment at any time prior to its entry if it should determine that some modification of it is necessary. The proposed judgment provides that the Court retains jurisdiction over this action, and the parties may apply to the Court for such order as may be necessary or appropriate for its modification, interpretation or enforcement.

VI. Alternatives to the Proposed Consent Judgment

This case does not involve any unusual or novel issues of fact or law which might make litigation a more desirable alternative than entry of this consent decree. The Department considers the substantive language of the judgment to be of sufficient scope and effectiveness to make litigation on relief unnecessary, as the judgment provides all relief which was requested in the complaint.

VII. Other Materials

No materials and documents of the type described in Section 2(b) of the Antitrust Procedures and Penalties Act (15 U.S.C. § 16) were considered in formulating this proposed judgment.

Dated: November 19, 1976.

Gary R. Spratling,
John F. Young,
*Attorneys, Department of Justice.*

[FR Doc.76-36556 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

---

## Drug Enforcement Administration

## CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES IN SCHEDULES I AND II

### Establishment of an Interim 1976 Aggregate Production Quota for Ecgonine

Section 306 of the Comprehensive Drug Abuse Prevention and Control Act of 1970 (21 U.S.C. 826) requires the Attorney General to establish aggregate production quotas for all controlled substances in Schedules I and II each year. This responsibility has been delegated to the Administrator of the Drug Enforcement Administration pursuant to § 0.100 of Title 28 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

Ecgonine is a naturally occurring by-product derived from the processing of coca leaves. There is no direct medical usage of this substance in the United States and thus, all quantities which are produced are destroyed.

DEA has now been advised that a domestic manufacturer of cocaine desires to convert quantities of ecgonine to cocaine in addition to producing cocaine directly from coca leaves. This change of process will not result in the production of cocaine in excess of the limitations established by the aggregate production quota for cocaine for a given year.

In order to allow for this conversion from ecgonine, quantities of ecgonine must be produced under the restrictions of a quota. No quota has been established thus far in 1976 for this substance.

To provide for the legitimate needs of this substance for 1976, the Drug Enforcement Administration hereby established an interim 1976 aggregate production quota for ecgonine of 650 kilograms.

This order is effective December 14, 1976.

Dated: December 6, 1976.

Peter B. Bensinger,
*Administrator,*
*Drug Enforcement Administration.*

[FR Doc.76-36597 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

---

## CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES IN SCHEDULES I AND II

### Final 1977 Aggregate Production Quota Amphetamine

Section 306 of the Comprehensive Drug Abuse Prevention and Control Act of 1970 (21 U.S.C. 826) requires the Attorney General to establish aggregate production quotas for all controlled substances in Schedules I and II each year. This responsibility has been delegated to the Administrator of the Drug Enforcement Administration pursuant to § 0.100 of Title 28 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

On November 1, 1976, a notice of the proposed aggregate production quota for 1977 for Amphetamine was published in the Federal Register (41 FR 47976). All interested parties were invited to comment or object to the proposed aggregate production quota on or before December 1, 1976. No comments or objections were received.

Therefore, under the authority vested in the Attorney General by section 306 of the Comprehensive Drug Abuse Prevention and Control Act of 1970 (21 U.S.C. 826), and delegated to the Administrator of the Drug Enforcement Administration, by § 0.100 of Title 28 of the Code of Federal Regulations, the Administrator of

the Drug Enforcement Administration hereby orders that the aggregate production quota for the controlled substance listed below, expressed in grams of anhydrous base, be established as follows:

| Basic Class: | Issued 1977 |
|---|---|
| Amphetamine | 3,564,000 |

This order is effective on December 14, 1976.

Dated: December 6, 1976.

PETER B. BENSINGER,
*Administrator,*
*Drug Enforcement Administration.*

[FR Doc.76-36596 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Occupational Safety and Health Administration

[V-74-27]

### HAVEN MANUFACTURING COMPANY, INC.

### Grant of Variance

I. Background. Haven Manufacturing Company, Inc., Green Swamp Road, Brunswick, Georgia 31520 made application pursuant to section 6(d) of the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 (84 Stat. 1596; 29 U.S.C. 655) and 29 CFR 1905.11 for a variance, and for an interim order pending a decision on the application for a variance, from the safety standards prescribed in 29 CFR 1910.217 (c)(3)(i)(c), (c)(3)(iv), and (c)(3)(vi). The applicant had also requested a variance from 29 CFR 1910.217(d)(1) which prohibited the hand feeding of materials within the point of operation of mechanical power presses after August 30, 1974. This variance was to apply to the applicant's mechanical power presses which utilize a pull-out type safeguarding device, and to those presses which utilize an interlocked press barrier device. The prohibition against hand feeding was revoked on December 3, 1974, and, therefore, a variance from § 1910.217(d)(1) is no longer necessary. In addition, the mechanical power presses which utilize the interlocked press barrier device are now in compliance with § 1910.217, and, therefore, a variance is not necessary for those presses utilizing such a device. Furthermore, since the requirements contained in §§ 1910.217(c)(3)(i)(c) and (c)(3)(vi) are alternative to the requirements contained in § 1910.217(c)(3)(iv), a variance from the former requirements is also unnecessary. However, the pull-out type devices used do not comply with § 1910.217(c)(3)(iv)(*a*), and thus do require a variance.

Section 1910.217(c)(3)(iv)(*a*) requires that pull-outs shall be attached to and operated only by the press slide or upper die. The purpose of the standard is to require the operation of the pull-out device to be in conjunction with the press slide or upper die in order to insure that the pull-out will remove the operator's hands from the die area whenever the slide or die descends, even in the event of a malfunction.

The facility affected by this application is:

Haven Manufacturing Company, Inc., Green Swamp Road, Brunswick, Georgia 31520.

Notice of the application, and of the granting of an interim order, was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on May 20, 1974 (39 FR 17805). The notice invited interested persons, including affected employers and employees, to submit written data, views, and arguments regarding the grant or denial of the variance requested. In addition, affected employers and employees were notified of their right to request a hearing on the application for a variance. One comment letter was received. There were no requests for a hearing.

II. *Facts.* The applicant has designed a system for safeguarding the point of operation of its mechanical power presses which utilizes an interlocked system incorporating a pull-out type of device and a slide stop which prevents the press from stroking while the operator's hands are in the die area.

Whenever the upper die is in the top position the slide stop is interposed between the upper and lower die. During this portion of the cycle the operator, wearing the pull-out type device, inserts the workpiece into position. The full retraction of the pull-out type device, removing the operator's hands from the die area, signals the slide stop to retract from its interposed position. The full retraction of the slide stop initiates ram slide motion. The return of the upper die to the top position signals the slide stop to return to the interposed position between the upper and lower dies. Only after the slide stop is returned to this interposed position is the signal given which releases the pull-out type device, thus allowing the operator to reach into the point of operation. The slide stop cannot be retracted when the operator's hands are in a released position, and ram motion cannot be initiated until the slide stop has been fully retracted. Therefore, whenever the operator's hands are within the point of operation, they are protected by the slide stop against inadvertent slide motion. The restraint mechanism and the press are both nonrepeat. The press is equipped with a pressure sensing sequence lock which will render the system inoperative in the event of inadequate pilot air pressure and the device will fail safe.

III. *Decision.* The pull-out device in combination with the slide stop does not comply with § 1910.217(c)(3)(iv)(*a*) in that the pull-out is not operated by the press slide or upper die. Rather, the pull-out type device initiates the process which then operates the upper die. The operator's hands must be removed from the die area before the slide stop can be retracted, which in turn initiates ram motion. This fulfills the intent of § 1910.217(c)(3)(iv)(*a*) in that the pull-out type device, slide stop and operating signals are interlocked to insure that the operator's hands are clear of the die area before the slide can descend.

When the pull-out type device is in the fully retracted position, it keeps the operator from reaching into the die area, and signals the slide stop to retract from its interposed position between the upper and lower dies. Only when the slide stop is fully retracted, is the tripping signal given which initiates slide motion. The pull-out type device cannot release the operator's hands until a release signal is transmitted by a 2-part position sensing device, and this occurs only after the upper die has returned to the top position and the slide stop is in the fully interposed position. Once the cycle has begun, the operator cannot be released from the restrained position until the cycle is complete.

One comment letter was received, raising three questions which should be discussed. First, it was asked whether the applicant is a manufacturer of the devices or a user. The applicant is a user and has requested the variance for only its workplace.

The second question was what was meant by the terms "nonrepeat" and "fail-safe" since these terms were not explained in the Notice of Application. The applicant has stated that he is using "fail-safe" as that term is designed in ANSI B11.1-1971, and has defined "nonrepeat" to mean that the press in incapable of repeating while the operator is allowed access to the point of operation.

The third question was whether the slide stop system would protect against a control failure (or at least some electrical or mechanical part failure) and against operator failure. With regard to control failure, the applicant has stated that the fail safe valve system will shut the machine down in the event of mechanical or electrical failure. With regard to operator failure, administrative controls such as proper training and supervision must be employed to assure that the pull-out type devices are properly adjusted and used as required by § 1910.217(f)(2).

OSHA believes that it is appropriate to require the employer to maintain records relating to the operation of the presses covered by the variance. First, it is necessary to know which presses and employees are involved. In addition the number of cycles and failures relating to safety (in any) are needed to assess the effectiveness of the safety system as it is actually operating in the employer's workplace.

In conclusion, it appears that the total system as described would provide a place of employment as safe as that which would be obtained by complying with § 1910.217(c)(3)(iv)(*a*).

IV. *Order.* Pursuant to authority in section 6(d) of the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970, and in Secretary of Labor's Order No. 8-76 (41 FR 24059), it is ordered that Haven Manufacturing Company, Inc., be, and it is hereby, authorized to operate at its facility located on Green Swamp Road, Brunswick, Georgia, power presses with pull-out devices which do not comply with the require-

ments of 29 CFR 1910.217(c)(3)(iv)(*a*), provided the following requirements are met:

1. Each such mechanical power press shall utilize an interlocked system incorporating a pull-out type device and a slide stop which prevents the press from stroking while the operator's hand(s) are in the point of operation as follows:

A. Whenever the upper die is in top stop position, a slide stop which is designed and maintained to prevent the initiation of a ram stroke shall be interposed between the upper and lower die, except as noted in paragraphs (B) and (C) below;

B. The slide may retract only when the pull-out device is fully retracted because of the removal of the operator's hands from the point of operation;

C. Only the full retraction of the slide stop may initiate the ram slide motion;

D. The return of the upper die to top stop position shall cause the slide stop to return to the interposed position between the upper and lower die; and

E. Only after the slide stop is fully interposed between the upper and lower die may the pull-out device be released so that the operator can reach into the point of operation.

2. Each such press and the safeguarding system shall be inspected for proper adjustment and tested prior to the start of each shift and whenever there is a change of operators.

3. The following records for each press utilizing the pull-out device shall be maintained for at least two years:

A. The serial number or other appropriate identification;

B. The name and social security number of each employee who operates such press;

C. The number of cycles of each such press per week; and

D. Any failures of the press or the safeguarding system during operation or maintenance unless such failure could not relate to employee point of operation safety.

4. Whenever a failure of the safeguarding system occurs which could or does relate to employee point of operation safety, the cause of the failure shall be investigated, and the press may not be operated until the cause of the failure is remedied.

As soon as possible Haven Manufacturing Company, Inc. shall give notice to affected employees of the terms of this order by the same means required to be used to inform them of the application for variance.

Effective date: This order shall become effective on December 14, 1976, and shall remain in effect until modified or revoked in accordance with section 6(d) of the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 8th day of December, 1976.

MORTON CORN,
*Assistant Secretary of Labor.*

[FR Doc.76-36712 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

## Office of the Secretary

[TA-W-1,318]

### ACME NIPPLE MANUFACTURING CO., INC.

**Investigation Regarding Certification of Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance**

On November 30, 1976 the Department of Labor received a petition dated November 1, 1976 which was filed under section 221(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 ("the Act") by the United Steelworkers of America on behalf of the workers and former workers of Acme Nipple Manufacturing Co., Inc., Buffalo, New York (TA-W-1,318). Accordingly, the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, has instituted an investigation as provided in section 221(a) of the Act and 29 CFR 90.12.

The purpose of the investigation is to determine whether absolute or relative increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with pipe fittings and nipples produced by Acme Nipple Manufacturing Co., Inc. or an appropriate subdivision thereof have contributed importantly to an absolute decline in sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision and to the actual or threatened total or partial separation of a significant number or proportion of the workers of such firm or subdivision. The investigation will further related, as appropriate, to the determination of the date on which total or partial separations began or threatened to begin and the subdivision of the firm involved. A group meeting the eligibility requirements of section 222 of the Act will be certified as eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2, of the Act in accordance with the provisions of Subpart B of 29 CFR Part 90.

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.13, the petitioner or any other person showing a substantial interest in the subject matter of the investigation may request a public hearing, provided such request is filed in writing with the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than December 27, 1976.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments regarding the subject matter of this investigation to the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than December 27, 1976.

The petition filed in this case is available for inspection at the Office of the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20210.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 30th day of November 1976.

MARVIN M. FOOKS,
*Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc.76-36701 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

[TA-W-1,326]

### AETNA PIPE PRODUCTS CO. OF ILLINOIS

**Investigation Regarding Certification of Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance**

On November 30, 1976 the Department of Labor received a petition dated November 1, 1976 which was filed under section 221(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 ("the Act") by the United Steelworkers of America on behalf of the workers and former workers of Aetna Pipe Products Company, Chicago, Illinois (TA-W-1,326). Accordingly, the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, has instituted an investigation as provided in section 221(a) of the Act and 29 CFR 90.12.

The purpose of the investigation is to determine whether absolute or relative increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with carbon steel nipples & steam fitting, cold rolled tubing produced by Aetna Pipe Products Company of Illinois or an appropriate subdivision thereof have contributed importantly to an absolute decline in sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision and to the actual or threatened total or partial separation of a significant number or proportion of the workers of such firm or subdivision. The investigation will further relate, as appropriate to the determination of the date on which total or partial separations began or threatened to begin and the subdivision of the firm involved. A group meeting the eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Act will be certified as eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2, of the Act in accordance with the provisions of Subpart B of 29 CFR Part 90.

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.13, the petitioner or any other person showing a substantial interest in the subject matter of the investigation may request a public hearing, provided such request is filed in writing with the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than December 27, 1976.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments regarding the subject matter of this investigation to the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than December 27, 1976.

The petition filed in this case is available for inspection at the Office of the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.Y., Washington, D.C. 20210.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 30th day of November 1976.

MARVIN M. FOOKS,
*Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc.76-36694 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

[TA-W-755]

## ALLEGHENY LUDLUM STEEL CORP.

### Revised Certification of Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, one May 27, 1976 the Department of Labor issued a certification of eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance applicable to workers and former workers of Allegheny Ludlum Steel Corporation, Brackenridge, Pennsylvania. The Notice of Certification was published in the Federal Register on June 11, 1976 (41 FR 23809).

On September 24, 1976, the Director of the Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance instituted a further investigation to determine if all workers employed at the Brackenridge plant of Allegheny Ludlum Steel Corporation were covered by the May 27, 1976 certification. Further investigation has revealed that certain workers at the Brackenridge plant involved in the maintenance of production machinery at the plant were not covered by the certification since they were employed under contract to Allegheny Ludlum by Kaiser Refractories, Columbiana, Ohio, a division of Kaiser Aluminium and Chemical Corporation, Oakland, California. The workers, while paid by Kaiser Refractories, were paid the amount charged by Kaiser Refractories to Allegheny Ludlum for services performed by those workers.

The intent of the May 27, 1976 certification was to cover all workers employed at the Brackenridge, Pennsylvania plant of Allegheny Ludlum Steel Corporation. While not employed directly by Allegeheny Ludlum, the workers employed by Kaiser Refractories at the Brackenridge plant were employed full-time in the integrated production process for stainless and silicon steel products manufactured at the Brackenridge plant. Such workers should therefore be included in a certification applicable to workers of the Brackenridge plant.

The revised certification applicable to TA-W-755 is hereby issued as follows:

All workers at the Brackenridge, Pennsylvania plant of Allegheny Ludlum Steel Corporation, including those workers employed by Kaiser Refractories at the Brackenridge plant, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after March 20, 1975 are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 3rd day of December 1976.

JAMES F. TAYLOR,
*Director, Office of Management, Administration and Planning.*

[FR Doc.76-36671 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

---

[TA-W-1,327]

## AMERICAN VALVE MANUFACTURING CORP.

### Investigation Regarding Certification of Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

On November 30, 1976 the Department of Labor received a petition dated November 1, 1976 which was filed under section 221(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 ("the Act") by the United Steelworkers of America on behalf of the workers and former workers of American Valve Manufacturing Corporation, Coxsackie, New York (TA-W-1,327). Accordingly, the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, has instituted an investigation as provided in section 221(a) of the Act and 29 CFR 90.12.

The purpose of the investigation is to determine whether absolute or relative increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with bronze and iron valves produced by American Valve Manufacturing Corporation or an appropriate subdivision thereof have contributed importantly to an absolute decline in sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision and to the actual or threatened total or partial separation of a significant number or proportion of the workers of such firm or subdivision. The investigation will further relate, as appropriate, to the determination of the date on which total or partial separations began or threatened to begin and the subdivision of the firm involved. A group meeting the eligibility requirements of section 222 of the Act will be certified as eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2, of the Act in accordance with the provisions of Subpart B of 29 CFR Part 90.

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.13, the petitioner or any other person showing a substantial interest in the subject matter of the investigation may request a public hearing, provided such request is filed in writing with the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than December 27, 1976.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments regarding the subject matter of this investigation to the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than December 27, 1976.

The petition filed in this case is available for inspection at the Office of the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 30th day of November 1976.

MARVIN M. FOOKS,
*Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc.76-36691 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

---

[TA-W-1,324]

## BABCOCK & WILCOX CO.

### Investigation Regarding Certification of Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

On November 30, 1976 the Department of Labor received a petition dated November 1, 1976 which was filed under section 221(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 ("the Act") by the United Steelworkers of America on behalf of the workers and former workers of Elkhart, Indiana plant of Babcock & Wilcox Co., Beaver Falls, Pa. (TA-W-1,324). Accordingly, the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, has instituted an investigation as provided in section 221(a) of the Act and 29 CFR 90.12.

The purpose of the investigation is to determine whether absolute or relative increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with carbon, stainless & alloy steel pipe fittings produced by Babcock & Wilcox Co. or an appropriate subdivision thereof have contributed importantly to an absolute decline in sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision and to the actual or threatened total or partial separation of a significant number or proportion of the workers of such firm or subdivision. The investigation will further related, as appropriate, to the determination of the date on which total or partial separations began or threatened to begin and the subdivision of the firm involved. A group meeting the eligibilty requirements of section 222 of the Act will be certified as eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2, of the Act in accordance with the provisions of Subpart B of 29 CFR Part 90.

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.13, the petitioner or any other person showing a substantial interest in the subject matter of the investigation may request a public hearing, provided such request is filed in writing with the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address show below, not later than December 27, 1976.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments regarding the subject matter of this investigation to the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than December 27, 1976.

The petition filed in this case is available for inspection at the Office of the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 30th day of November 1976.

MARVIN M. FOOKS,
*Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc.76-36696 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

---

[TA-W-1,314]

## BERGER INDUSTRIES, INC.

### Investigation Regarding Certification of Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

On November 30, 1976 the Department of Labor received a petition dated November 18, 1976 which was filed under section 221(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 ("the Act") on behalf of the workers and former workers of the Tube Division, Metuchen, New Jersey, Berger Industries, Inc., Long Island, New York (TA-W-1,314). Accordingly, the Director, Of-

fice of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, has instituted an investigation as provided in section 221(a) of the Act and 29 CFR 90.12.

The purpose of the investigation is to determine whether absolute or relative increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with welded steel tubing produced by Berger Industries, Inc. or an appropriate subdivision thereof have contributed importantly to an absolute decline in sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision and to the actual or threatened total or partial separation of a significant number or proportion of the workers of such firm or subdivision. The investigation will further related, as appropriate, to the determination of the date on which total or partial separations began or threatened to begin and the subdivision of the firm involved. A group meeting the eligibility requirements of section 222 of the Act will be certified as eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2, of the Act in accordance with the provisions of Subpart B of 29 CFR Part 90.

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.13, the petitioner or any other person showing a substantial interest in the subject matter of the investigation may request a public hearing, provided such request is filed in writing with the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than December 27, 1976.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments regarding the subject matter of this investigation to the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than December 27, 1976.

The petition filed in this case is available for inspection at the Office of the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20210.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 30th day of November 1976.

MARVIN M. FOOKS,
*Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc.76-36686 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

---

[TA-W-1010]

## CONSOLIDATED NOVELTY CO., INC.

### Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 the Department of Labor herein presents the results of TA-W-1010: investigation regarding certification of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance as prescribed in section 222 of the Act.

The investigation was initiated on August 20, 1976 in response to a worker petition received on that date which was filed by the International Association of Machinists and Aerospace Workers on behalf of workers and former workers producing artificial Christmas trees and wreaths at the Mayfield, Kentucky plant of the Consolidated Novelty Company, Inc., Patterson, New Jersey.

The notice of investigation was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on September 10, 1976 (41 FR 38562). No public hearing was requested.

The information upon which the determination was made was obtained principally from officials of Consolidated Novelty, its customers, the U.S. Department of Commerce, the U.S. International Trade Commission, industry analysts and Department files.

In order to make an affirmative determination and issue a certification of eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance, each of the group eligibility requirements of section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974 must be met:

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

(2) That sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely;

(3) That articles like or directly competitive with those produced by the firm or subdivision are being imported by increased quantities, either actual or relative to domestic production; and

(4) That such increased imports have contributed importantly to the separations, or threat thereof, and to the decrease in sales or production. The term "contributed importantly" means a cause which is important but not necessarily more important than any other cause.

Without regard as to whether or not the other criteria have been met, the third criterion has not been met.

INCREASED IMPORTS

The Mayfield, Kentucky plant of the Consolidated Novelty Company was engaged exclusively in the production of artificial Christmas trees and wreaths.

Imports of artificial Christmas trees and wreaths increased both absolutely and relatively from 1971 to 1972. Imports declined both absolutely and relatively from 1972 to 1973 and increased both absolutely and relatively from 1973 to 1974. Imports decreased 40.0 percent from 1974 to 1975. Domestic production decreased 18.0 percent during the same period. The ratios of imports to domestic production and consumption declined from 11.7 percent and 10.4 percent, respectively, in 1974 to 8.5 percent and 7.9 percent, respectively, in 1975.

CONCLUSION

After a careful review, I conclude that imports of articles like or directly competitive with artificial Christmas trees and wreaths produced at the Mayfield, Kentucky plant of Consolidated Novelty Company are not being imported in increasing quantities, either actual or relative to domestic production, as required for certification under Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 30th day of November 1976.

JAMES F. TAYLOR,
*Director, Office of Management, Administration and Planning.*

[FR Doc.76-36672 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

---

[TA-W-1,313]

## COPPER RANGE CO.

### Investigation Regarding Certification of Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

On November 30, 1976 the Department of Labor received a petition dated November 15, 1976 which was filed under Section 221(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 ("the Act") by the United Steelworkers of America on behalf of the workers and former workers of the Northern Hardwoods, Div. Houghton, Michigan, Copper Range Company, White Pine, Michigan (TA-W-1,313). Accordingly, the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, has instituted an investigation as provided in Section 221(a) of the Act and 29 CFR 90.12.

The purpose of the investigation is to determine whether absolute or relative increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with semi-finished stock, both hand and soft wood produced by Copper Range Company or an appropriate subdivision thereof have contributed importantly to an absolute decline in sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision and to the actual or threatened total or partial separation of a significant number or proportion of the workers of such firm or subdivision. The investigation will further related, as appropriate, to the determination of the date on which total or partial separations began or threatened to begin and the subdivision of the firm involved. A group meeting the eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Act will be certified as eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2, of the Act in accordance with the provisions of Subpart B of 29 CFR Part 90.

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.13, the petitioner or any other person showing a substantial interest in the subject matter of the investigation may request a public hearing, provided such request is filed in writing with the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than December 27, 1976.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments regarding the subject matter of this investigation to the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than December 27, 1976.

The petition filed in this case is available for inspection at the Office of the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, U.S. Department of Labor, 200

Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 30th day of November 1976.

MARVIN M. FOOKS,
*Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc.76-36704 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

[TA-W-1,330]

## CRANE CO.

### Investigation Regarding Certification of Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

On November 30, 1976 the Department of Labor received a petition dated November 1, 1976 which was filed under Section 221(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 ("the Act") by the United Steelworkers of America on behalf of the workers and former workers of Chicago Plant, Chicago, Illinois, a division of Crane Company, New York, New York (TA-W-1,330). Accordingly, the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, has instituted an investigation as provided in Section 221(a) of the Act and 29 CFR 90.12.

The purpose of the investigation is to determine whether absolute or relative increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with valves used for power plants produced by Crane Company or an appropriate subdivision thereof have contributed importantly to an absolute decline in sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision and to the actual or threatened total or partial separation of a significant number or proportion of the workers of such firm or subdivision. The investigation will further related, as appropriate, to the determination of the date on which total or partial separations began or threatened to begin and the subdivision of the firm involved. A group meeting the eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Act will be certified as eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2, of the Act in accordance with the provisions of Subpart B of 29 CFR Part 90.

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.13, the petitioner or any other person showing a substantial interest in the subject matter of the investigation may request a public hearing, provided such request is filed in writing with the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than December 27, 1976.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments regarding the subject matter of this investigation to the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than December 27, 1976.

The petition filed in this case is available for inspection at the Office of the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 30th day of November 1976.

MARVIN M. FOOKS,
*Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc.76-36689 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

[TA-W-1,321]

## CRESCENT MACHINE AND NIPPLE CO.

### Investigation Regarding Certification of Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

On November 30, 1976 the Department of Labor received a petition dated November 1, 1976 which was filed under Section 221(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 ("the Act") by the United Steelworkers of America on behalf of the workers and former workers of Crescent Machine and Nipple Company, Allegan, Michigan (TA-W-1,321). Accordingly, the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, has instituted an investigation as provided in Section 221(a) of the Act and 29 CFR 90.12.

The purpose of the investigation is to determine whether absolute or relative increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with pipe nipples produced by Crescent Machine and Nipple Company or an appropriate subdivision thereof have contributed importantly to an absolute decline in sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision and to the actual or threatened total or partial separation of a significant number or proportion of the workers of such firm or subdivision. The investigation will further relate, as appropriate, to the determination of the date on which total or partial separations began or threatened to begin and the subdivision of the firm involved. A group meeting the eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Act will be certified as eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2, of the Act in accordance with the provisions of Subpart B of 29 CFR Part 90.

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.13, the petitioner or any other person showing a substantial interest in the subject matter of the investigation may request a public hearing, provided such request is filed in writing with the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than December 27, 1976.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments regarding the subject matter of this investigation to the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than December 27, 1976.

The petition filed in this case is available for inspection at the Office of the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 30th day of November 1976.

MARVIN M. FOOKS,
*Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc.76-36698 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

[TA-W-1,307]

## DELTON SHIRT MAKERS, INC.

### Investigation Regarding Certification of Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

On November 29, 1976 the Department of Labor received a petition dated November 8, 1976 which was filed under Section 221(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 ("the Act") by the Amalgamated Clothing & Textile Workers Union on behalf of the workers and former workers of Delton Shirt Makers, Inc., New Bedford, Mass., a wholly owned subsidiary of Delton Formalwear, Inc., New York, New York (TA-W-1,307). Accordingly, the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, has instituted an investigation as provided in Section 221(a) of the Act and 29 CFR 90.12.

The purpose of the investigation is to determine whether absolute or relative increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with men's dress shirts produced by Delton Shirt Makers or an appropriate subdivision thereof have contributed importantly to an absolute decline in sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision and to the actual or threatened total or partial separation of a significant number or proportion of the workers of such firm or subdivision. The investigation will further relate, as appropriate, to the determination of the date on which total or partial separations began or threatened to begin and the subdivision of the firm involved. A group meeting the eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Act will be certified as eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2, of the Act in accordance with the provisions of Subpart B of 29 CFR Part 90.

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.13, the petitioner or any other person showing a substantial interest in the subject matter of the investigation may request a public hearing, provided such request is filed in writing with the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than December 27, 1976.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments regarding the subject matter of this investigation to the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than December 27, 1976.

The petition filed in this case is available for inspection at the Office of the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 29th day of November 1976.

MARVIN M. FOOKS,
*Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc.76-36709 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

[TA-W-1, 304]

## ETTELSON OF PHILADELPHIA, INC.

### Investigation Regarding Certification of Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

On November 29, 1976 the Department of Labor received a petition dated November 17, 1976 which was filed under Section 221(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 ("the Act") by the Amalgamated Clothing & Textile Workers Union on behalf of the workers and former workers of Ettelson of Philadelphia, Inc., Philadelphia, Pennsylvania (TA-W-1, 304). Accordingly, the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, has instituted an investigation as provided in Section 221(a) of the Act and 29 CFR 90.12.

The purpose of the investigation is to determine whether absolute or relative increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with men's suits produced by Ettelson of Philadelphia, Inc. or an appropriate subdivision thereof have contributed importantly to an absolute decline in sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision and to the actual or threatened total or partial separation of a significant number or proportion of the workers of such firm or subdivision. The investigation will further relate, as appropriate, to the determination of the date on which total or partial separations began or threatened to begin and the subdivision of the firm involved. A group meeting the eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Act will be certified as eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2, of the Act in accordance with the provisions of Subpart B of 29 CFR Part 90.

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.13, the petitioner or any other person showing a substantial interest in the subject matter of the investigation may request a public hearing, provided such request is filed in writing with the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address show below, not later than December 27, 1976.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments regarding the subject matter of this investigation to the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than December 27, 1976.

The petition filed in this case is available for inspection at the Office of the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 29th day of November 1976.

MARVIN M. FOOKS,
*Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc.76-36698 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

[TA-W-1310]

## FREIGHT CONSOLIDATION SERVICES, INC.

### Investigation Regarding Certification of Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

On November 30, 1976 the Department of Labor received a petition dated November 23, 1976 which was filed under Section 221(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 ("the Act") on behalf of the workers and former workers of the Auto Handling Division, Edison, New Jersey of Freight Consolidation Services, Inc., Chicago, Illinois (TA-W-1310). Accordingly, the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, has instituted an investigation as provided in Section 221(a) of the Act and 29 CFR 90.12.

The purpose of the investigation is to determine whether absolute or relative increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with the transportation and handling automobiles provided by Freight Consolidation Services, Inc. or an appropriate subdivision thereof have contributed importantly to an absolute decline in sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision and to the actual or threatened total or partial separation of a significant number or proportion of the workers of such firm or subdivision. The investigation will further related, as appropriate, to the determination of the date on which total or partial separations began or threatened to begin and the subdivision of the firm involved. A group meeting the eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Act will be certified as eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2, of the Act in accordance with the provisions of Subpart B of 29 CFR Part 90.

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.13, the petitioner or any other person showing a substantial interest in the subject matter of the investigation may request a public hearing, provided such request is filed in writing with the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than December 27, 1976.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments regarding the subject matter of this investigation to the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than December 27, 1976.

The petition filed in this case is available for inspection at the Office of the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 30th day of November 1976.

MARVIN M. FOOKS,
*Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc.76-36707 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

[TA-W-1079]

## GALENA GLOVE AND MITTEN CO.

### Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 the Department of Labor herein presents the results of TA-W-1079: investigation regarding certification of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance as prescribed in Section 222 of the Act.

The investigation was initiated on September 15, 1976 in response to a worker petition received on that date which was filed by the Amalgamated Clothing and Textile workers Union on behalf of workers and former workers producing work gloves at the Galena Glove and Mitten Company, Dubuque, Iowa.

The notice of investigation was published in the Federal Register on October 5, 1976 (41 FR 43972). No public hearing was requested and none was held.

The information upon which the determination was made was obtained principally from information and publications provided by officials of the Galena Glove and Mitten Company, its customers, the Work Glove Manufacturers Association, the U.S. Department of Commerce, the U.S. International Trade Commission, industry analysts, and Department files.

In order to make an affirmative determination and issue a certification of eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974 must be met:

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

(2) That sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely;

(3) That articles like or directly competitive with those produced by the firm or subdivision are being imported in increased quantities, either actual or relative to domestic production; and

(4) That such increased imports have contributed importantly to the separations, or threat thereof, and to the decrease in sales or production. The term "contributed importantly" means a cause which is important but not necessarily more important than any other cause.

The Department's investigation revealed that all of the above criteria have been met.

SIGNIFICANT TOTAL OR PARTIAL SEPARATIONS

The average number of production workers at Galena Glove decreased 11 percent from 1974 to 1975 and declined 17 percent in the first three quarters of 1976 compared to the same period in 1975. Average weekly hours worked increased one percent in the first three quarters of 1976 compared to the same period in 1975. Layoffs at the plant began in May 1976.

SALES OF PRODUCTION, OF BOTH, HAVE DECREASED ABSOLUTELY

Production of work gloves at Galena Glove increased in volume by one percent from 1973 to 1974 and by 11 percent from 1974 to 1975. In the first three quarters of 1976, plant production was 15 percent lower than in the same period of 1975.

INCREASED IMPORTS

Imports of work gloves and mittens made of textile and of textile-leather combinations increased annually from 599 thousand dozen pairs in 1971 to 2,635 thousand dozen pairs in 1974 and declined to 2,510 thousand dozen pairs in 1975. Imports rose from 1,201 thousand dozen pairs in the first half of 1975 to 2,141 thousand dozen pairs in the first half of 1976. The ratio of imports to domestic work glove production rose from 8.4 percent in 1974 to 11.0 percent in 1975 and increased to 15.4 percent in the first half of 1976.

CONTRIBUTED IMPORTANTLY

The Department's investigation revealed that customers who bought work gloves from the Galena Glove and Mitten Company purchased imported work gloves in the last year.

CONCLUSION

After careful review of the facts obtained in the investigation, I conclude that increases of imports like or directly competitive with the work gloves produced at the Galena Glove and Mitten Company, Dubuque, Iowa contributed importantly to the total or partial separations of the workers at such plant. In accordance with the provisions of the Act, I make the following certification:

All workers at the Galena Glove and Mitten Company, Dubuque, Iowa, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after April 30, 1976, are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 3d day of December 1976.

JAMES F. TAYLOR,
*Director, Office of Management, Administration and Planning.*

[FR Doc.76-36630 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

---

[TA-W-1328]

## GLAUBER VALVE CO., INC.

### Investigation Regarding Certification of Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

On November 30, 1976 the Department of Labor received a petition dated November 1, 1976 which was filed under Section 211(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 ("the Act") by the United Steelworkers of America on behalf of the workers and former workers of the Omaha, Nebraska plant of Glauber Valve Company, Inc. Piggott, Ark. (TA-W-1328). Accordingly, the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, has instituted an investigation as provided in Section 221(a) of the Act and 29 CFR 90.12.

The purpose of the investigation is to determine whether absolute or relative increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with bronze castings for gas and water valves produced by Glauber Valve Company, Inc. or an appropriate subdivision thereof have contributed importantly to an absolute decline in sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision and to the actual or threatened total or partial separation of a significant number or proportion of the workers of such firm or subdivision. The investigation will further related, as appropriate, to the determination of the date on which total or partial separations began or threatened to begin and the subdivision of the firm involved. A group meeting the eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Act will be certified as eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2, of the Act in accordance with the provisions of Subpart B of 29 CFR Part 90.

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.13, the petitioner or any other person showing a substantial interest in the subject matter of the investigation may request a public hearing, provided such request is filed in writing with the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than December 27, 1976.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments regarding the subject matter of this investigation to the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than December 27, 1976.

The petition filed in this case is available for inspection at the Office of the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 30th day of November 1976.

MARVIN M. FOOKS,
*Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc.76-36690 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

---

[TA-W-1176]

## GOTHAM FIFTH AVENUE, INC.

### Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 the Department of Labor herein presents the results of TA-W-1176: investigation regarding certification of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance as prescribed in Section 222 of the Act.

The investigation was initiated on October 14, 1976 in response to a worker petition received on October 14, 1976 which was filed on behalf of workers and former workers producing men's suits and sportcoats at Gotham Fifth Avenue, Incorporated, New York, New York.

The Notice of Investigation was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on November 5, 1976 (41 FR 48809). No public hearing was requested and none was held.

The information upon which the determination was made was obtained principally from officials of Gotham Fifth Avenue, Incorporated and Department files.

In order to make an affirmative determination and issue a certification of eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974 must be met:

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm or an appropriate subdivision of the firm have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated,

(2) The sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely, and

(3) That articles like or directly competitive with those produced by the firm or subdivision are being imported in increased quantities, either actual or relative to domestic production; and

(4) That such increased imports have contributed importantly to the separations, or threat thereof, and to the decrease in sales or production. The term "contributed importantly" means a cause which is important but not necessarily more important than any other cause.

If any of the above criteria is not satisfied, a negative determination must be made.

Gotham was a manufacturer of men's suits and sportcoats until early 1975. In early 1975 all manufacturing operations at Gotham ceased. Section 223(b)(1) of the Trade Act of 1974 states that a certification under this section shall not apply to any worker whose last total or partial separation from the firm or appropriate subdivision of the firm occurred more than 12 months before the date of the filing under Title II, Chapter 2 of the Trade Act of 1974.

All separations associated with the termination of production at Gotham occurred more than one year prior to the date of the petition (September 23, 1976).

Those workers separated as a result of the termination of production are not eligible for program benefits under Title II, Chapter 2, Subchapter B of the Trade Act of 1974.

From early 1975 until its liquidation in March 1976, Gotham purchased men's suits and sportcoats from another manufacturer and sold them at retail. All employees of Gotham during that period were engaged in sales at the retail level and performed no production operations.

Gotham Fifth Avenue does not produce an article within the meaning of Section 222(3) of the Act and the De-

partment has already determined that the performance of services are not covered by the adjustment assistance program. See Notice of Determination in *Pan American World Airways, Incorporated* (TA–W–153, 40 FR 54639). Gotham Fifth Avenue performed a service, the retail selling of men's suits and sportcoats.

CONCLUSION

After careful review of the issues, I have determined that services of the kind provided by Gotham Fifth Avenue, Incorporated, New York, New York are not "articles" within the meaning of Section 222(3) of the Trade Act of 1974. The petition for trade adjustment assistance is, therefore, denied.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 30th day of November 1976.

JAMES F. TAYLOR,
*Director, Office of Management, Administration and Planning.*

[FR Doc.76-36684 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

[TA–W–1312]

## HOMESTAKE COPPER CO.

### Investigation Regarding Certification of Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

On November 30, 1976 the Department of Labor received a petition dated November 23, 1976 which was filed under Section 221(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 ("the Act") on behalf of the workers and former workers of Homestake Copper Company, Calumet, Michigan (TA–W–1312). Accordingly, the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, has instituted an investigation as provided in Section 221(a) of the Act and 29 CFR 90.12.

The purpose of the investigation is to determine whether absolute or relative increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with copper concentrate produced by Homestake Copper Company or an appropriate subdivision thereof have contributed importantly to an absolute decline in sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision and to the actual or threatened total or partial separation of a significant number or proportion of the workers of such firm or subdivision. The investigation will further related, as appropriate, to the determination of the date on which total or partial separations began or threatened to begin and the subdivision of the firm involved. A group meeting the eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Act will be certified as eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2, of the Act in accordance with the provisions of Subpart B of 29 CFR Part 90.

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.13, the petitioner or any other person showing a substantial interest in the subject matter of the investigation may request a public hearing, provided such request is filed in writing with the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than December 27, 1976.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments regarding the subject matter of this investigation to the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than December 27, 1976.

The petition filed in this case is available for inspection at the Office of the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20210.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 30th day of November 1976.

MARVIN M. FOOKS,
*Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc.76-36705 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

[TA–W–1329]

## HYDRIL CO.

### Investigation Regarding Certification of Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

On November 30, 1976 the Department of Labor received a petition dated November 1, 1976 which was filed under Section 221(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 ("the Act") by the United Steelworkers of America on behalf of the workers and former workers of Hydril Company, Houston, Texas (TA–W–1329). Accordingly, the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, has instituted an investigation as provided in Section 221(a) of the Act and 29 CFR 90.12.

The purpose of the investigation is to determine whether absolute or relative increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with flat-cold-rolled sheet steel tubing & casting produced by Hydril Company or an appropriate subdivision thereof have contributed importantly to an absolute decline in sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision and to the actual or threatened total or partial separation of a significant number or proportion of the workers of such firm or subdivision. The investigation will further relate, as appropriate, to the determination of the date on which total or partial separations began or threatened to begin and the subdivision of the firm involved. A group meeting the eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Act will be certified as eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2, of the Act in accordance with the provisions of Subpart B of 29 CFR Part 90.

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.13, the petitioner or any other person showing a substantial interest in the subject matter of the investigation may request a public hearing, provided such request is filed in writing with the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than December 27, 1976.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments regarding the subject matter of this investigation to the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than December 27, 1976.

The petition filed in this case is available for inspection at the Office of the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20210.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 30th day of November 1976.

MARVIN M. FOOKS,
*Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc.76-36693 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

[TA–W–1068]

## INTERNATIONAL SHOE CO.

### Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 the Department of Labor herein presents the results of TA–W–1068: investigation regarding certification of elibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance as prescribed in Section 222 of the Act.

The investigation was initiated on September 13, 1976 in response to a worker petition received on September 13, 1976 which was filed by the United Shoe Workers of America on behalf of workers and former producing children's, infants' and babies' shoes at the Russellville, Arkansas plant of International Shoe Company, St. Louis, Missouri.

The notice of investigation was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on October 1, 1976 (41 FR 43493). No public hearing was requested, and none was held.

The information upon which the determination was made was obtained principally from officials of International Shoe Company, its customers, the American Footwear Industries Association, the U.S. Department of Commerce, the U.S. International Trade Commission, industry analysts, and Department files.

In order to make an affirmative determination and issue a certification of eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974 must be met:

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

(2) That sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely;

(3) That articles like or directly competitive with those produced by the firm or subdivision are being imported in increased quantities, either actual or relative to domestic production; and

(4) That such increased imports have contributed importantly to the separations, or threat thereof, and to the decrease in sales or production. The term "contributed importantly" means a cause which is important

but not necessarily more important than any other cause.

The investigation revealed that all of the above criteria have been met.

SIGNIFICANT TOTAL OR PARTIAL SEPARATIONS

The average number of production workers declined 16 percent in 1975 compared to 1974. Employment increased 28 percent in the 6-month period of January–June 1975 compared to the same period a year earlier. In the July–September 1976 period, employment decreased 5 percent from the same period in 1975. Average weekly hours in the period July–September 1976 decreased 47 percent compared to the same period in 1975.

SALES OR PRODUCTION, OR BOTH, HAVE DECREASED ABSOLUTELY

Production declined 23 percent in quantity and 2 percent in value in 1975 compared to 1974. Production in quantity increased 87 percent in the 6-month period of January–June 1976 compared to the same period a year earlier. In the July–September 1976 period, production decreased 45 percent in quantity from the same period in 1975.

Although production is taken to a central warehouse, sales are reflected in production data since Russellville is the primary site for children's shoe production.

INCREASED IMPORTS

Imports of children's nonrubber footwear, except athletic, increased actually in 1972 compared to 1971, then decreased each year through 1975. These imports decreased 9 percent in 1975 compared to 1974, then increased 44 percent in the first six months of 1976 over the like period in 1975. Imports increased relative to domestic production in 1972 compared to 1971, decreased in 1973 compared to 1972, then increased each year through 1975. The ratio of imports to domestic production increased from 59.2 percent in 1974 to 64.5 percent in 1975, and continued to increase from 73.5 percent in the first six months of 1975 to 75.2 percent in the like period of 1976.

Imports of infants' and babies' nonrubber footwear increased actually in 1972 compared to 1971, decreased in 1973 and 1974 compared to the preceding year, and then increased 1 percent in 1975 compared to 1974. These imports increased 39 percent in the first six months of 1976 over the like period in 1975.

Imports increased relative to domestic production in 1972 compared to 1971, decreased in 1973 and 1974 from the preceding year, then increased in 1975 over 1974. The ratio of imports to domestic production increased from 28.6 percent in 1974 to 31.7 percent in 1975.

CONTRIBUTED IMPORTANTLY

The Department's investigation revealed that customers decreased purchases of children's, infants' and babies' shoes from International Shoe Company in favor of imported shoes.

CONCLUSION

After careful review of the facts obtained in the investigation, I conclude that increases of imports like or directly competitive with children's, infants' and babies' nonrubber footwear produced at the Russellville, Arkansas plant of International Shoe Company contributed importantly to the total or partial separation of the workers of that plant. In accordance with the provisions of the Act, I make the following certification:

All workers engaged in employment related to the production of children's, infants' and babies' nonrubber footwear at the Russellville, Arkansas plant of International Shoe Company, St. Louis, Missouri, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after July 1, 1976 are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2, of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 3rd day of December 1976.

JAMES F. TAYLOR,
*Director, Office of Management, Administration and Planning.*

[FR Doc.76-36677 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

---

[TA-W-1309]

## IRON WOOD PRODUCTS CORP.

### Investigation Regarding Certification of Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

On November 29, 1976 the Department of Labor received a petition dated November 15, 1976 which was filed under Section 221(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 ("the Act") by the United Steelworkers of America on behalf of the workers and former workers of The Iron Wood Products Corp., Bessemer, Michigan (TA-W-1309). Accordingly, the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, has instituted an investigation as provided in Section 221(a) of the Act and 29 CFR 90.12.

The purpose of the investigation is to determine whether absolute or relative increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with hard wood paneling and plywood products produced by The Iron Wood Products Corp. or an appropriate subdivision thereof have contributed importantly to an absolute decline in sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision and to the actual or threatened total or partial separation of a significant number or proportion of the workers of such firm or subdivision. The investigation will further relate, as appropriate, to the determination of the date on which total or partial separations began or threatened to begin and the subdivision of the firm involved. A group meeting the eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Act will be certified as eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2, of the Act in accordance with the provisions of Subpart B of 29 CFR Part 90.

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.13, the petitioner or any other person showing a substantial interest in the subject matter of the investigation may request a public hearing, provided such request is filed in writing with the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than December 27, 1976.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments regarding the subject matter of this investigation to the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than December 27, 1976.

The petition filed in this case is available for inspection at the Office of the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 29th day of November 1976.

MARVIN M. FOOKS,
*Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc.76-36708 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

---

[TA-W-1334]

## ITT CORP.

### Investigation Regarding Certification of Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

On November 30, 1976 the Department of Labor received a petition dated November 1, 1976 which was filed under Section 221(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 ("the Act") by the United Steelworkers of America on behalf of the workers and former workers of Hoffman Specialty Division, Indianapolis, Indiana, of ITT Corporation, New York, New York (TA-W-1,334). Accordingly, the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, has instituted an investigation as provided in Section 221(a) of the Act and 29 CFR 90.12.

The purpose of the investigation is to determine whether absolute or relative increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with steam valves and temperature regulators produced by ITT Corporation or an appropriate subdivision thereof have contributed importantly to an absolute decline in sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision and to the actual or threatened total or partial separation of a significant number or proportion of the workers of such firm or subdivision. The investigation will further related, as appropriate, to the determination of the date on which total or partial separations began or threatened to begin and the subdivision of the firm involved. A group meeting the eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Act will be certified as eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2, of the Act in accord-

ance with the provisions of Subpart B of 29 CFR Part 90.

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.13, the petitioner or any other person showing a substantial interest in the subject matter of the investigation may request a public hearing, provided such request is filed in writing with the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address show below, not later than December 27, 1976.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments regarding the subject matter of this investigation to the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than December 27, 1976.

The petition filed in this case is available for inspection at the Office of the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20210.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 30th day of November 1976.

MARVIN M. FOOKS,
*Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc.76-36692 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

[TA-W-1319]

## JACKSON-MARKUS CO.

### Investigation Regarding Certification of Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

On November 30, 1976, the Department of Labor received a petition dated November 1, 1976 which was filed under Section 221(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 ("the Act") by the United Steelworkers of America on behalf of the workers and former workers of Jackson-Markus Company, Los Angeles, California (TA-W-1319). Accordingly, the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, has instituted an investigation as provided in Section 221(a) of the Act and 29 CFR 90.12.

The purpose of the investigation is to determine whether absolute or relative increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with the distribution of valves, flanges, and fittings provided by Jackson-Markus Company or an appropriate subdivision thereof have contributed importantly to an absolute decline in sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision and to the actual or threatened total or partial separation of a significant number or proportion of the workers of such firm or subdivision. The investigation will further related, as appropriate, to the determination of the date on which total or partial separations began or threatened to begin and the subdivision of the firm involved. A group meeting the eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Act will be certified as eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2, of the Act in accordance with the provisions of Subpart B of 29 CFR Part 90.

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.13, the petitioner or any other person showing a substantial interest in the subject matter of the investigation may request a public hearing, provided such request is filed in writing with the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address show below, not later than December 27, 1976.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments regarding the subject matter of this investigation to the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than December 27, 1976.

The petition filed in this case is available for inspection at the Office of the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 30th day of November 1976.

MARVIN M. FOOKS,
*Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc.76-36700 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

[TA-W-1315]

## KENOSHA METAL PRODUCTS, INC.

### Investigation Regarding Certification of Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

On November 30, 1976 the Department of Labor received a petition dated November 23, 1976 which was filed under Section 221(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 ("the Act") on behalf of the workers and former workers of Kenosha Metal Products, Inc., Kenosha, Wisconsin (TA-W-1315). Accordingly, the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, has instituted an investigation as provided in Section 221(a) of the Act and 29 CFR 90.12.

The purpose of the investigation is to determine whether absolute or relative increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with caps, bumper bolts & other component parts for automobiles produced by Kenosha Metal Products, Inc. or an appropriate subdivision thereof have contributed importantly to an absolute decline in sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision and to the actual or threatened total or partial separation of a significant number or proportion of the workers of such firm or subdivision. The investigation will further related, as appropriate, to the determination of the date on which total or partial separations began or threatened to begin and the subdivision of the firm involved. A group meeting the eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Act will be certified as eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2, of the Act in accordance with the provisions of Subpart B of 29 CFR Part 90.

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.13, the petitioner or any other person showing a substantial interest in the subject matter of the investigation may request a public hearing, provided such request is filed in writing with the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address show below, not later than December 27, 1976.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments regarding the subject matter of this investigation to the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than December 27, 1976.

The petition filed in this case is available for inspection at the Office of the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 30th day of November 1976.

MARVIN M. FOOKS,
*Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc.76-36703 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

[TA-W-1232]

## LADISH CO.

### Investigation Regarding Certification of Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

On November 30, 1976 the Department of Labor received a petition dated November 1, 1976 which was filed under Section 221(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 ("the Act") by the United Steelworkers of America on behalf of the workers and former workers of the Kentucky Division, Cynthiana, Kentucky of Ladish Company, Cuneje, Wis. (TA-W-1,323). Accordingly, the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, has instituted an investigation as provided in Section 221 (a) of the Act and 29 CFR 90.12.

The purpose of the investigation is to determine whether absolute or relative increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with stainless steel valves & pipe fittings produced by Ladish Company or an appropriate subdivision thereof have contributed importantly to an absolute decline in sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision and to the actual or threatened total or partial separation of a significant number or proportion of the workers of such firm or subdivision. The investigation will further related, as appropriate, to the determination of the date on which total or partial separations began or threatened to begin and the subdivision of the firm involved. A group meeting the eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Act will be certified as eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2, of the Act in accordance with the provisions of Subpart B of 29 CFR Part 90.

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.13, the petitioner or any other person showing a substantial interest in the subject matter of the investigation may request a public hearing, provided such request is filed in writing with the Director, Office of

Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address show below, not later than December 27, 1976.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments regarding the subject matter of this investigation to the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than December 27, 1976.

The petition filed in this case is available for inspection at the Office of the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 30th day of November 1976.

MARVIN M. FOOKS,
*Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc.76-36697 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

---

[TA-W-1141]

## MALLARD SPORTSWEAR, INC.

### Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 the Department of Labor herein presents the results of TA-W-1141: investigation regarding certification of eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance as prescribed in Section 222 of the Act.

The investigation was initiated on October 5, 1976 in response to a worker petition received on October 5, 1976, which was filed by the Amalgamated Clothing and Textile Workers, AFL-CIO on behalf of workers and former workers producing men's woven sport shirts at the Glendale, New York plant of Mallard Sportswear, Incorporated.

The Notice of Investigation was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on October 29, 1976 (41 FR 47625). No public hearing was requested and none was held.

The information upon which the determination was made was obtained principally from officials of Mallard Sportswear, Inc., its customers, the U.S. Department of Commerce, the U.S. International Trade Commission, industry analysts, and Department files.

In order to make an affirmative determination and issue a certification of eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974 must be met:

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

(2) That sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely;

(3) That articles like or directly competitive with those produced by the firm or subdivision are being imported in increased quantities, either actual or relative to domestic production; and

(4) That such increased imports have contributed importantly to the separations, or threat thereof, and to the decrease in sales or production. The term "contributed importantly" means a cause which is important but not necessarily more important than any other cause.

The investigation has revealed that all four of the above criteria have been met.

SIGNIFICANT TOTAL OR PARTIAL SEPARATIONS

Employment of production workers declined 4.5 percent in 1975 compared to 1974. Employment declined 4.7 percent in the first half of 1976 compared to the first half of 1975. The plant was permanently closed on August 10, 1976.

SALES, PRODUCTION, OR BOTH, HAVE DECREASED ABSOLUTELY

Plant sales and plant production are the same. Plant sales and production, in quantity, increased 30.8 percent in 1975 compared to 1974. Plant sales and production, in quantity declined 23.2 percent in the first half of 1976 compared to the first half of 1975. Production ceased when the plant closed August 10, 1976.

INCREASED IMPORTS

U.S. imports of men's and boys' woven sport shirts increased from 41,600 thousand units in 1973 to 49,375 thousand units in 1974, an increase of 18.7 percent. Imports increased to 61,008 thousand units in 1975, an increase of 23.6 percent. U.S. imports increased from 24,165 thousand units in the first six months of 1975 to 34,175 thousand units in the first six monts of 1976, an increase of 41.4 percent.

CONTRIBUTED IMPORTANTLY

Customers of Mallard Sportswear, Inc. indicated that they have increased their purchases of imported sport shirts and decreased their purchases from Mallard Sportswear primarily due to the cheaper prices for imports.

CONCLUSION

After careful review of the facts obtained in the investigation, I conclude that increases of imports like or directly competitive with the men's sport shirts produced at the Glendale, New York plant of Mallard Sportswear, Inc. contributed importantly to the total or partial separation of the workers of the plant. In accordance with the provisions of the Act, I make the following certification:

All employees at the Glendale, New York plant of Mallard Sportswear, Inc. who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after January 1, 1976 are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 3rd day of December 1976.

JAMES F. TAYLOR,
*Director, Office of Management, Administration and Planning.*

[FR Doc.76-36673 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

---

[TA-W-1,316]

## MAXI MANUFACTURING CO.

### Investigation Regarding Certification of Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

On November 30, 1976 the Department of Labor received a petition dated November 1, 1976 which was filed under Section 221(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 ("the Act") by the United Steelworkers of America on behalf of the workers and former workers of Maxi Manufacturing Company, Catawissa, Pennsylvania (TA-W-1,316). Accordingly, the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, has instituted an investigation as provided in Section 221(a) of the Act and 29 CFR 90.12.

The purpose of the investigation is to determine whether absolute or relative increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with forged carbon steep pipe unions and check valves of forged steel and ductal iron produced by Maxi Manufacturing Co., or an appropriate subdivision thereof have contributed importantly to an absolute decline in sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision and to the actual or threatened total or partial separation of a significant number or proportion of the workers of such firm or subdivision. The investigation will further relate, as appropriate, to the determination of the date on which total or partial separations began or threatened to begin and the subdivision of the firm involved. A group meeting the eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Act will be certified as eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2, of the Act in accordance with the provisions of Subpart B of 29 CFR Part 90.

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.13, the petitioner or any other person showing a substantial interest in the subject matter of the investigation may request a public hearing, provided such request is filed in writing with the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than December 27, 1976.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments regarding the subject matter of this investigation to the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than December 27, 1976.

The petition filed in this case is available for inspection at the Office of the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 30th day of November 1976.

MARVIN M. FOOKS,
*Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc.76-36702 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

[TA-W-1,325]

## MEMPHIS NIPPLE CO.

### Investigation Regarding Certification of Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

On November 30, 1976 the Department of Labor received a petition dated November 1, 1976 which was filed under Section 221(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 ("the Act") by the United Steelworkers of America on behalf of the workers and former workers of Memphis Nipple Company, Horn Lake, Mississippi (TA-W-1,325). Accordingly, the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, has instituted an investigation as provided in Section 221(a) of the Act and 29 CFR 90.12.

The purpose of the investigation is to determine whether absolute or relative increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with carbon steel pipe nipples produced by Memphis Nipple Company or an appropriate subdivision thereof have contributed importantly to an absolute decline in sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision and to the actual or threatened total or partial separation of a significant number or proportion of the workers of such firm or subdivision. The investigation will further related, as appropriate, to the determination of the date on which total or partial separations began or threatened to begin and the subdivision of the firm involved. A group meeting the eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Act will be certified as eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2, of the Act in accordance with the provisions of Subpart B of 29 CFR Part 90.

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.13, the petitioner or any other person showing a substantial interest in the subject matter of the investigation may request a public hearing, provided such request is filed in writing with the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than December 27, 1976.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments regarding the subject matter of this investigation to the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than December 27, 1976.

The petition filed in this case is available for inspection at the Office of the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20210.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 30th day of November 1976.

MARVIN M. FOOKS,
*Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc.76-36695 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

[TA-W-1083]

## MOHAWK DATA SCIENCES CORP.

### Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 the Department of Labor herein presents the results of TA-W-1083: investigation regarding certification of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance as prescribed in Section 222 of the Act.

The investigation was initiated on September 20, 1976 in response to a worker petition received on September 20, 1976 which was filed by workers and former workers producing computer equipment at the Herkimer, New York plant of Mohawk Data Sciences Corporation.

The notice of investigation was published in the Federal Register on October 1, 1976 (41 FR 43495). No public hearing was requested and none was held.

The information upon which the determination was made was obtained principally from officials of Mohawk Data Sciences Corporation, its customers, the U.S. Department of Commerce, the U.S. International Trade Commission, industry analysts, and Department files.

In order to make an affirmative determination and issue a certification of eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974 must be met:

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

(2) That sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely;

(3) That articles like or directly competitive with those produced by the firm or subdivision are being imported in increased quantities, either actual or relative to domestic production; and

(4) That such increased imports have contributed importantly to the separations, or threat thereof, and to the decrease in sales or production. The term "contributed importantly" means a cause which is important but not necessarily more important than any other cause.

The investigation has revealed that criterion number four has not been met.

SIGNIFICANT TOTAL OR PARTIAL SEPARATIONS

The average number of production workers declined 5.8 percent in 1975 compared to 1974. The average number of production workers declined 27.3 percent in the first nine months of 1976 compared to the like period of 1975.

SALES OR PRODUCTION, OR BOTH, HAVE DECLINED ABSOLUTELY

Sales declined 5.6 percent in value in 1975 compared to 1974. Sales declined 8.5 percent in value in the first six months of 1976 compared to the like period in 1975.

Production declined 16.1 percent in value in 1975 compared to 1974. Production declined 31.5 percent in value in the first six months of 1976 compared to the like period in 1975.

INCREASED IMPORTS

Imports of computers and peripheral equipment increased from $110.1 million in 1973 to $115.0 million in 1974 and to $128.5 million in 1975. Imports increased from $93.7 million in the first nine months of 1975 to $147.5 million in the first nine months of 1976.

The ratio of imports of computers and peripheral equipment to domestic production declined in each year from 1971 through 1974. The raio increased to 2.1 percent in 1975 compared to 2.0 percent in 1974 and further increased to 3.0 percent for the first nine months of 1976 compared to 2.1 percent for the like period in 1975.

CONTRIBUTED IMPORTANTLY

A survey of customers of Mohawk Data Sciences Corporation was conducted by the Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance. Customers indicated that they purchased or leased computer equipment from domestic sources only. None of the customers contacted reported the use of computer equipment manufactured abroad.

In early 1975, a management reorganization occurred at Mohawk Data Sciences Corporation. An attempt was made to reduce continuing corporate losses. Several plants were consolidated or sold and certain unprofitable product lines were eliminated. These actions were unrelated to an aggregate import influence.

After careful review of the facts obtained in the investigation, it is concluded that imports of articles like or directly competitive with the computer equipment produced at Plants 1, 2, and the German Street Annex plant of Mohawk Data Sciences Corporation, Herkimer, New York have not contributed importantly to the separations and to the decrease in sales and production at the plants.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 3rd day of December 1976.

JAMES F. TAYLOR,
*Director, Office of Management, Administration and Planning.*

[FR Doc.76-36682 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

---

[TA-W-1071]

## MOLOKAI, HAWAII PLANTATION DOLE DIVISION, CASTLE AND COOKE, INC.

### Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 the Department of Labor herein presents the results of

TA-W-1071: Investigation regarding certification of eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance as prescribed in Section 222 of the Act.

The investigation was initiated on September 13, 1976 in response to a worker petition received on that date which was filed by the International Longshoremen's and Warehousemen's International Union, Local 142 on behalf of workers and former workers producing fresh pineapples on the Molokai, Hawaii Plantation, of the Dole Division, Castle and Cooke, Inc.

The notice of investigation was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on October 1, 1976 (41 FR 43491). No public hearing was requested and none was held.

The information upon which the determination was made was obtained principally from officials and publications of Castle and Cooke, Inc., the U.S. Department of Commerce, the U.S. International Trade Commission, the U.S. Department of Agriculture, the State of Hawaii Department of Agriculture, industry analysts, and Department files.

In order to make an affirmative determination and issue a certification of eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974 must be met:

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or appropriate subdivision thereof, have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

(2) That sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely;

(3) That articles like or directly competitive with those produced by the firm or subdivision are being imported in increased quantities, either actual or relative to domestic production; and

(4) That such increased imports have contributed importantly to the separations, or threat thereof, and to the decrease in sales or production. The term "contributed importantly" means a cause which is important but not necessarily more important than any other cause.

The investigation has revealed that all of the above criteria have been met.

### SIGNIFICANT TOTAL OR PARTIAL SEPARATIONS

Average annual employment of production workers on the Molokai plantation declined 32 percent from 1973 to 1974, declined 26 percent from 1974 to 1975 and declined 71 percent in the first nine months of 1976 when compared to the like period of 1975. All employees, except for six maintenance workers, were terminated when growing operations ceased and the plantation was closed down in September 1976.

### SALES OR PRODUCTION, OR BOTH, HAVE DECREASED ABSOLUTELY

There are no sales. The entire production of fresh pineapple grown on the Dole Molokai plantation was shipped to the Dole cannery in Honolulu for processing.

Production of fresh pineapple on the Dole Molokai plantation declined 44 percent in quantity from 1973 to 1974, decreased 41 percent from 1974 to 1975 and decreased 75 percent in the first nine months of 1976 when compared to the like period of 1975. All growing operations ceased and plantation operations were closed down in September 1976.

### INCREASED IMPORTS

Imports of canned pineapple decreased absolutely in 1972 and 1973 when compared to the previous year, and then increased in 1974 and 1975. Imports increased 37 percent from 1974 to 1975 and increased 1 percent in the first five months of 1976 compared to the like period of 1975. Relatively, the ratio of imports to domestic production increased from 38.8 percent in 1974 to 67.7 percent in 1975. In the first five months of 1976 when compared to the like period of 1975 imports decreased relative to domestic production from 93.8 percent to 73.9.

Imports of pineapple juice (single strength and concentrated combined) decreased absolutely each year from 1972 thru 1974 when compared to the previous year and then increased 53 percent from 1974 to 1975 but decreased 23 percent in the first five months of 1976 compared to the like period of 1975. Relative to domestic production imports increased from 15.5 percent in 1974 to 36.6 percent in 1976. In the first five months of 1976 compared to the like period in 1975 the ratio of imports to domestic production increased from 69.0 percent to 75.7 percent.

Imports of fresh pineapples increased in 1972 compared to 1971, decreased in 1973, increased in 1974, increased 109 percent from 1974 to 1975 and increased 3 percent in the first five months of 1976 when compared to the like period of 1975. Imports increased relative to domestic production from 40.3 percent in 1974 to 82.2 percent in 1975 and increased from 77.9 percent to 85.4 percent in the first five months of 1976 when compared to the like period of 1975.

### CONTRIBUTED IMPORTANTLY

Imports of processed pineapple (solid pack, crushed, juice and concentrate) by Castle and Cooke Inc., increased 2 percent in quantity and 23 percent in value from 1973 to 1974, decreased 3 percent in quantity but increased 14 percent in value from 1974 to 1975 and then increased 6 percent in quantity and 11 percent in value in the first nine months of 1976 when compared to the like period of 1975. Imports of fresh pineapple by Castle and Cooke, Inc., increased 13 percent in quantity from 1973 to 1974, increased 17 percent from 1974 to 1975 and increased 4 percent in the first nine months of 1976 when compared to the like period of 1975.

Considering all pineapple products the ratios of imports to apparent domestic consumption are increasing. The ratio of imports to domestic consumption of pineapple juice increased from 11.4 percent in 1973 to 27.7 percent in 1975, the ratio for canned pineapple increased from 23.5 percent in 1973 to 42.5 percent in 1975 and the ratio for fresh pineapple increased from 29.7 percent in 1973 to 47.1 percent in 1975.

In order to compete more effectively with the increasing pineapple imports, the Dole Division of Castle and Cooke, Inc. found it necessary to close out their high cost Molokai, Hawaii operation and increase production on their more efficient Hawaiian plantations. In addition, Dole has increased its purchases of less expensive pineapple imports. These two corrective measures were necessitated by the adverse impact of increasing competition from imports.

Company imports of fresh pineapple expressed as a percent of Molokai production were as follows: 8.9 percent in 1973, 17.6 percent in 1974, 34.7 percent in 1975 and 122.3 percent in the first nine months of 1976 compared to the like period of 1975.

### CONCLUSION

After careful review of the facts obtained in the investigation, I conclude that increases of imports like or directly competitive with fresh pineapple produced on the Molokai, Hawaii Plantation of the Dole Division, Castle and Cooke, Inc. contributed importantly to the total or partial separation of the workers of that plantation. In accordance with the provisions of the Act, I make the following certification:

All workers engaged in employment related to the growing of pineapples on the Molokai, Hawaii Plantation of the Dole Division, Castle and Cooke, Inc., Honolulu, Hawaii who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after September 3, 1975 are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 30th day of November 1976.

JAMES F. TAYLOR,
*Director, Office of Management, Administration and Planning.*

[FR Doc.76-36679 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

---

[TA-W-1072]

## NUNN BUSH SHOE CO.

### Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 the Department of Labor herein presents the results of TA-W-1072: investigation regarding certification of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance as prescribed in Section 222 of the Act.

The investigation was initiated on September 13, 1976 in response to a worker petition received on that date which was filed by the United Shoe Workers of America on behalf of workers and former workers producing men's dress shoes at the Nunn Bush Shoe Com-

pany, Edgerton, Wisconsin, a division of Weyenberg Shoe Manufacturing Company, Milwaukee, Wisconsin.

The notice of investigation was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on October 1, 1976 (41 FR 43496). No public hearing was requested and none was held.

The information upon which the determination was made was obtained principally from officials of the Nunn Bush Shoe Company, its customers, the U.S. Department of Commerce, the U.S. International Trade Commission, industry analysts, and Department files.

In order to make an affirmative determination and issue a certification of eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974 must be met:

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm or an appropriate subdivision of the firm have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

(2) That sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely;

(3) That articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by such workers' firm or subdivision are being imported in increased quantities, either actual or relative to domestic production; and

(4) That such increased imports have contributed importantly to the separations, or threat thereof, and to the decrease in sales or production. The term "contributed importantly" means a cause which is important but not necessarily more important than any other cause.

The investigation has revealed that all four of the above criteria have been met.

SIGNIFICANT TOTAL OR PARTIAL SEPARATIONS

Employment at the Edgerton, Wisconsin plant decreased 14 percent in 1975 compared to 1974 and declined 8 percent in the first three quarters of 1976 compared to the first three quarters of 1975. Employment declined 72 percent in September 1976 compared to September 1975. Workers laid off in September 1976 were recalled in October 1976.

SALES OR PRODUCTION, OR BOTH, HAVE DECREASED ABSOLUTELY

Production of men's dress shoes declined 11 percent in 1975 compared to 1974. Production increased in the first half of 1976 compared to the same period in 1975 but declined 33 percent in the third quarter of 1976 compared to the third quarter of 1975.

INCREASED IMPORTS

Imports of men's dress and casual footwear increased from 47.5 million pairs in 1971 to 56.4 million pairs in 1973. Imports declined to 47.5 million pairs in 1975. Imports increased to 30.1 million pairs in the first half of 1976 from 22.7 million pairs in the first half of 1975.

Imports increased relative to domestic production from 53.5 percent in 1974 to 58.4 percent in 1975 and from 58.7 percent in the first half of 1975 to 63.8 percent in the first half of 1976.

CONTRIBUTED IMPORTANTLY

Customers of Nunn Bush Shoe Company indicated that they increased purchases of imported footwear.

Nunn Bush imports men's footwear from foreign sources. Company imports increased 13 percent in the first eight months of 1976 compared to the first eight months of 1975.

CONCLUSION

After careful review of the facts obtained in the investigation I conclude that increases of imports like or directly competitive with men's dress shoes produced at the Nunn Bush Shoe Company, Edgerton, Wisconsin contributed importantly to the total or partial separation of the workers at that plant. In accordance with the provisions of the Act, I make the following certification:

All workers of the Nunn Bush Shoe Company, Edgerton, Wisconsin who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after July 25, 1976 and before October 3, 1976 are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2 of the Trade Act of 1974. All employees who became totally or partially separated from employment after October 3, 1976 are denied certification.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 30th day of November 1976.

JAMES F. TAYLOR,
*Director, Office of Management, Administration and Planning.*

[FR Doc.76-36678 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

---

[TA-W-1143]

## PROGRESSIVE SEA PRODUCTS, INC.

### Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 the Department of Labor herein presents the results of TA-W-1143: investigation regarding certification of eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance as prescribed in Section 222 of the Act.

The investigation was initiated on October 5, 1976 in response to a worker petition received on that date which was filed by three former workers on behalf of workers and former workers producing and distributing shrimp, fish, lobster tails and frog legs at the Brownsville, Texas plant of Progress Sea Products, Inc. The Department's investigation revealed that the firm was engaged in the wholesaling of seafood.

The notice of investigation was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on October 29, 1976 (41 FR 47627). No public hearing was requested and none was held.

The information upon which the determination was made was obtained from officials of Progressive Sea Products, Inc., and Department files.

In order to make an affirmative determination and issue a certification of eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Trade Act must be met:

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

(2) That sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely;

(3) That articles like or directly competitive with those produced by the firm or subdivision are being imported in increased quantities, either actual or relative to domestic production; and

(4) That such increased imports have contributed importantly to the separations, or threat thereof, and to the decrease in sales or production. The term "contributed importantly" means a cause which is important but not necessarily more important than any other cause.

If any one of the above criteria is not satisfied, a negative determination must be made.

Progressive Sea Products, Inc. is a wholesaler of seafood. Progressive does not "process" seafood in any way; it acts as a middleman between the shrimp catching industry and the shrimp processing industry and other "pre-processed" users.

Progressive Sea Products, Inc. does not produce an article within the meaning of Section 222(3) of the Act and this Department has already determined that the performance of services are not covered by the adjustment assistance program. See Notice of Determination in Pan American World Airways, Incorporated (TA-W-153, 40 FR 54639). Progressive performs a service, the wholesaling of seafood.

CONCLUSION

After careful review of the facts obtained in the investigation, I have determined that services of the kind provided by Progressive Sea Products, Incorporated Brownsville, Texas, are not "articles" within the meaning of Section 222(3) of the Trade Act of 1974. The petition for trade adjustment assistance is, therefore, denied.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 6th day of December 1976.

JAMES F. TAYLOR,
*Director, Office of Management, Administration and Planning.*

[FR Doc.76-36685 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

---

[TA-W-1080]

## RACINE GLOVE CO.

### Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 the Department of Labor herein presents the results of TA-W-1080: investigation regarding certification of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance as prescribed in section 222 of the Act.

The investigation was initiated on September 15, 1976 in response to a worker petition received on that date which was filed by the Amalgamated Clothing and Textile Workers' Union on behalf of workers producing work gloves and work clothes at Racine Glove Company, Rio and Oconto, Wisconsin.

The notice of investigation was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (41 FR 43973) on October 5, 1976. No public hearing was requested and none was held.

The information upon which the determination was made was obtained principally from officials of Racine Glove Company, its customers, the U.S. International Trade Commission, the U.S. Department of Commerce, industry analysts, and Department files.

In order to make an affirmative determination and issue a certification of eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance each of the group eligibility requirements of section 222 of Trade Act of 1974 must be met:

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm or an appropriate subdivision thereof, have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

(2) That sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely;

(3) That articles like or directly competitive with those produced by the firm or subdivision are being imported in increased quantities, either actual or relative to domestic production; and

(4) That such increased imports have contributed importantly to the separations, or threat thereof, and to the decrease in sales or production. The term "contributed importantly" means a cause which is important but not necessarily more important than any other cause.

The investigation has revealed that the first and fourth criteria have not been met.

SIGNIFICANT TOTAL AND PARTIAL SEPARATIONS

Combined employment of production workers at the Rio and Oconto plants, after increasing three percent in 1974 from 1973, declined 26 percent in 1975 from 1974. Employment increased three percent in the first nine months of 1976 compared to the first nine months of 1975. Employment of production workers at Racine increased or remained unchanged in four consecutive quarters from the fourth quarter of 1975 to the third quarter of 1976. No involuntary separations of workers have occurred since March 1975.

Average weekly hours for production workers increased two percent in 1974 from 1973, four percent in 1975 from 1974 and one percent in the first nine months of 1976 compared to the first nine months of 1975.

SALES OR PRODUCTION, OR BOTH, HAVE DECREASED ABSOLUTELY

Total sales by Racine Glove Company increased 23 percent in value in 1974 from 1973 and declined 28 percent in value in 1975 from 1974. Sales in the first nine months of 1976 were ten percent greater than sales in the first nine months of 1975.

Production of work clothes (accessories) is not separately identifiable from total Racine output. Such production increased in 1975 from 1974 and in the first nine months of 1976 compared to the first nine months of 1975.

INCREASED IMPORTS

Imports of work gloves and mittens increased from 2.6 million dozen pairs in 1971 to 6.4 million dozen pairs in 1974 and declined to 5.2 million dozen pairs in 1975; imports increased from 2.3 million dozen pairs in the first half of 1975 to 3.9 million dozen pairs in the first half of 1976. Imports increased relative to domestic production from 8.6 percent in 1971 to 21.1 percent in 1975 and to 26.0 percent in the first half of 1975.

CONTRIBUTED IMPORTANTLY

Customers of Racine Glove Company indicated that they do not purchase imports of specialty work gloves or accessories such as those produced by Racine Glove.

CONCLUSION

After careful review of the facts obtained in the investigation, I conclude that increases of imports like or directly competitive with work gloves and work clothes produced by Racine Glove Company, Inc. did not contribute importantly to the separation of workers of that firm.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 2nd day of December 1976.

JAMES F. TAYLOR,
*Director, Office of Management, Administration and Planning.*

[FR Doc.76-36681 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

---

[TA-W-1311]

## RAYBESTOS MANHATTAN FRICTION MATERIALS CO.

### Investigation Regarding Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

On November 30, 1976 the Department of Labor received a petition dated November 22, 1976 which was filed under section 221(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 ("the Act") on behalf of the workers and former workers of the Raybestos Manhattan Friction Materials Co., Manheim, Pa. a div. of Raybestos Manhattan, Inc., Trumbull, Connecticut (TA-W-1311). Accordingly, the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, has instituted an investigation as provided in section 221(a) of the Act and 29 CFR 90.12.

The purpose of the investigation is to determine whether absolute or relative increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with raw asbestos produced by Raybestos Manahattan Friction Materials Co. or an appropriate subdivision thereof have contributed importantly to an absolute decline in sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision and to the actual or threatened total or partial separation of a significant number or proportion of the workers of such firm or subdivision. The investigation will further related, as appropriate, to the determination of the date on which total or partial separations began or threatened to begin and the subdivision of the firm involved. A group meeting the eligibility requirements of section 222 of the Act will be certified as eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2, of the Act in accordance with the provisions of Subpart B of 29 CFR Part 90.

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.13, the petitioner or any other person showing a substantial interest in the subject matter of the investigation may request a public hearing, provided such request is filed in writing with the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than December 27, 1976.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments regarding the subject matter of this investigation to the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than December 27, 1976.

The petition filed in this case is available for inspection at the Office of the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 30th day of November 1976.

MARVIN M. FOOKS,
*Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc.76-36706 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

---

[TA-W-1087]

## SACO TANNING CORP.

### Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 the Department of Labor herein presents the results of TA-W-1087; investigation regarding certification of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance as prescribed in section 222 of the Act.

The investigation was initiated on September 23, 1976 in response to a worker petition received on September 23, 1976 which was filed on behalf of the former workers producing leather at the Saco, Maine plant of Saco Tanning Corporation, a subsidiary of Kirstein Leather Company, Saco, Maine.

The notice of investigation was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on October 5, 1976 (41 FR 43975). No public hearing was requested and none was held.

The information upon which the determination was made was obtained principally from officials of Saco Tanning Corporation, its parent company, the Kirstein Leather Company, its customers, the U.S. Department of Commerce, the U.S. International Trade

Commission, industry analysts, and Department files.

In order to make an affirmative determination and issue a certification of eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance, each of the group eligibility requirements of section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974 must be met:

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

(2) That sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely;

(3) That articles like or directly competitive with those produced by the firm or subdivision are being imported in increased quantities, either actual or relative to domestic production; and

(4) That such increased imports have contributed importantly to the separations, or threat thereof, and to the decrease in sales or production. The term "contributed importantly" means a cause which is important but not necessarily more important than any other cause.

The investigation has revealed that all the criteria have been met.

SIGNIFICANT TOTAL OR PARTIAL SEPARATIONS

Saco Tanning did not have any layoffs prior to May 1976. In May 1976, approximately 12 percent of the company's employees were permanently separated and the work week was reduced from five to three days for the remaining employees. Employment declined 21 percent in the third quarter of 1976 compared to the same period in 1975. Further layoffs are projected for the fourth quarter of 1976.

SALES OR PRODUCTION, OR BOTH, HAVE DECREASED ABSOLUTELY

Company sales increased in quantity 14.6 percent in 1975 compared to 1974 and increased 40.5 percent in the first half of 1976 compared to the like period in 1975 before declining 41 percent in the third quarter of 1976 compared to the third quarter of 1975. Sales for the fourth quarter of 1976 are projected to further decline.

Company sales are recorded upon shipment of the finished article. The production process from raw hide to finished leather takes up to 3 months. The decline in sales in the third quarter of 1976 therefore, reflects a decline in production beginning in the second quarter of 1976.

INCREASED IMPORTS

U.S. imports of tanned and finished cattlehides increased from 145.8 million square feet in 1971 to 207.2 million square feet in 1972. Imports declined to 148.0 million square feet in 1973, to 132.8 million square feet in 1974 and to 82.0 million square feet in 1975. In the first six months of 1976 imports increased to 91.7 million square feet compared to 30.3 million square feet in the first six months of 1975.

The ratio of imports to domestic production increased fom 16.1 percent in 1971 to 23.4 percent in 1972. The ratio of imports to domestic production declined to 18.9 percent in 1973, to 17.6 percent of 1974 and 9.9 percent in 1975. In the first six months of 1976 the ratio of imports to domestic production increased to 19.6 percent compared to 7.7 percent in the first six months of 1975.

CONTRIBUTED IMPORTANTLY

The Kirstein Leather Company, Saco, Maine sells all of the leather produced by the Saco Tanning Corporation, Saco, Maine. Customers of Kirstein reported that in 1976. They reduced purchases from Kirstein and increased the proportion of imported leather among their total leather purchases.

CONCLUSION

After careful review of the facts obtained in the investigation, I conclude that increases of imports like or directly competitive with leather produced at the Saco, Maine plant of Saco Tanning Corporation contributed importantly to the total or partial separation of the workers of that plant. In accordance with the provisions of the Act, I make the following certification:

All employees of the Saco, Maine plant of Saco Tanning Corporation who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after May 1, 1976 are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 2nd day of December 1976.

JAMES F. TAYLOR,
*Director, Office of Management, Administration and Planning.*

[FR Doc.76-36683 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

---

[TA-W-1063]

## SALVATORI CORP.

### Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 the Department of Labor herein presents the results of TA-W-1063: investigation regarding certification of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance as prescribed in Section 222 of the Act.

The investigation was initiated on September 9, 1976 in response to a worker petition received on September 9, 1976 which was filed by the International Leather Goods, Plastics and Novelty Workers' Union on behalf of workers and former workers producing men's wallets and suspenders at the Atlanta, Georgia plant of the Salvatori Corporation, a division of Pacesetters Industries, New York.

The notice of investigation was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on September 24, 1976 (41 FR 41982). No public hearing was requested and none was held.

The information upon which the determination was made was obtained principally from officials of the Salvatori Corporation and its customers, the U.S. Department of Commerce, the U.S. International Trade Commission, industry analysts and Department files.

In order to make an affirmative determination and issue a certification of eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974 must be met:

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in such workers' firm or an appropriate subdivision of the firm have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated,

(2) That sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely, and

(3) That articles like or directly competitive with those produced by the firm or subdivision are being imported in increased quantities, either actual or relative to domestic production; and

(4) That such increased imports have contributed importantly to the separations, or threat thereof, and to the decrease in sales or production. The term "contributed importantly" means a cause which is important but not necessarily more important than any other cause.

Without regard as to whether any of the other criteria have been met, criterion (4) has not been met with respect to wallets and criteria (3) and (4) have not been met with respect to suspenders.

FINDINGS OF THE INVESTIGATION

The petitioning group of workers were engaged in employment related to the production of wallets and dress suspenders at the Atlanta, Georgia production facility. The production lines for the above products employed separately identifiable groups of workers.

Although the production of wallets at the Atlanta facility declined 73.3 percent in quantity in the first 8 months of 1976 compared to the like 1975 period, company sales of wallets increased in quantity 44.8 percent in 1975 compared to 1974 and increased 17.1 percent in the first three quarters of 1976 compared to the like 1975 period. The Salvatori Corporation shifted the production of wallets to a company production facility in Puerto Rico. A company official stated that the productivity and quality of the finished product were superior at the Puerto Rico facility as compared to the Atlanta facility. This shift of production began in 1975 and was completed in August 1976.

There are no separate import categories in the TSUSA classification system which would indicate the level of imports of suspenders. U.S. Customs officials and a survey of major manufacturers of suspenders indicate that imports of dress suspenders are negligible. A customer representing approximately 96 percent of the Salvatori Corporation's total sales of suspenders in value in 1975 reports that they do not buy any imported suspenders.

CONCLUSION

After careful review of the facts obtained in the investigation, I conclude that workers formerly engaged in em-

ployed related to the production of wallets and suspenders at the Atlanta, Georgia facility of the Salvatori Corporation do not meet the group eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974 and should therefore be denied eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 30th day of November 1976.

JAMES F. TAYLOR,
*Director, Office of Management, Administration and Planning.*

[FR Doc.76-36676 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

[TA-W-1,305]

## SUMNEYTOWN PANTS SHOP

### Investigation Regarding Certification of Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

On November 29, 1976 the Department of Labor received a petition dated November 17, 1976 which was filed under Section 221(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 ("the Act") by the Amalgamated Clothing & Textile Workers Union on behalf of the workers and former workers of Sumneytown Pants Shop, Sumneytown, Pennsylvania, a div. of Ettelson of Philadelphia, Inc. Philadelphia, Pa. (TA-W-1,305). Accordingly, the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, has instituted an investigation as provided in Section 221(a) of the Act and 29 CFR 90.12.

The purpose of the investigation is to determine whether absolute or relative increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with men's sport pants produced by Sumneytown Pants Shop or an appropriate subdivision thereof have contributed importantly to an absolute decline in sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision and to the actual or threatened total or partial separation of a significant number or proportion of the workers of such firm or subdivision. The investigation will further related, as appropriate, to the determination of the date on which total or partial separations began or threatened to begin and the subdivision of the firm involved. A group meeting the eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Act will be certified as eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2, of the Act in accordance with the provisions of Subpart B of 29 CFR Part 90.

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.13, the petitioner or any other person showing a substantial interest in the subject matter of the investigation may request a public hearing, provided such request is filed in writing with the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than December 27, 1976.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments regarding the subject matter of this investigation to the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than December 27, 1976.

The petition filed in this case is available for inspection at the Office of the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 29th day of November 1976.

MARVIN M. FOOKS,
*Director, Office of Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc.76-36687 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

[TA-W-1,306]

## SUNSHINE KIDDIE KNITWEAR CO., INC.

### Investigation Regarding Certification of Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

On November 29, 1976 the Department of Labor received a petition dated November 10, 1976 which was filed under Section 221(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 ("the Act") by the Undergarment & Negligee Workers Union on behalf of the workers and former workers of Sunshine Kiddie Knitwear Co., Inc., New York, New York (TA-W-1,306). Accordingly, the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, has instituted an investigation as provided in Section 221(a) of the Act and 29 CFR 90.12.

The purpose of the investigation is to determine whether absolute or relative increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with children's knitwear produced by Sunshine Kiddie knitwear Co., Inc. or an appropriate subdivision thereof have contributed importantly to an absolute decline in sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision and to the actual or threatened total or partial separation of a significant number or proportion of the workers of such firm or subdivision. The investigation will further related, as appropriate, to the determination of the date on which total or partial separations began or threatened to begin and the subdivision of the firm involved. A group meeting the eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Act will be certified as eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2, of the Act in accordance with the provisions of Subpart B of 29 CFR Part 90.

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.13, the petitioner or any other person showing a substantial interest in the subject matter of the investigation may request a public hearing, provided such request is filed in writing with the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than December 27, 1976.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments regarding the subject matter of this investigation to the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than December 27, 1976.

The petition filed in this case is available for inspection at the Office of the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20210.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 29th day of November 1976.

MARVIN M. FOOKS,
*Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc.76-36710 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

[TA-W-1,320]

## SUN WELD FITTING CO.

### Investigation Regarding Certification of Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

On November 30, 1976 the Department of Labor received a petition dated November 1, 1976, which was filed under Section 221(a) of the Trade Act of 1974 ("the Act") by the United Steelworkers of America on behalf of the workers and former workers of Sun Weld Fitting Company, Los Angeles, California (TA-W-1,320). Accordingly, the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, has instituted an investigation as provided in Section 221(a) of the Act and 29 CFR 90.12.

The purpose of the investigation is to determine whether absolute or relative increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with stainless steel fittings produced by Sun Weld Fitting Company or an appropriate subdivision thereof have contributed importantly to an absolute decline in sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision and to the actual or threatened total or partial separation of a significant number or proportion of the workers of such firm or subdivision. The investigation will further relate, as appropriate, to the determination of the date on which total or partial separations began or threatened to begin and the subdivision of the firm involved. A group meeting the eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Act will be certified as eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2, of the Act in accordance with the provisions of Subpart B of 29 CFR Part 90.

Pursuant to 29 CFR 90.13, the petitioner or any other person showing a substantial interest in the subject matter of the investigation may request a public hearing, provided such request is filed in writing with the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than December 27, 1976.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments regarding the subject matter of this investigation to the Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, at the address shown below, not later than December 27, 1976.

The petition filed in this case is available for inspection at the Office of the

Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 30th day of November 1976.

MARVIN M. FOOKS,
*Director, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.*

[FR Doc.76-36699 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

[TA-W-1043]

## TALON DIVISION OF TEXTRON, INC.

### Negative Determination Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 the Department of Labor herein presents the results of TA-W-1043: investigation regarding certification of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance as prescribed in Section 222 of the Act.

The investigation was initiated on August 25, 1976 in response to a worker petition received on August 25, 1976 which was filed by the United Automobile Workers of America on behalf of workers and former workers producing sliders at the Seymour, Indiana plant of the Talon Division of Textron, Inc.

The notice of investigation was published in the Federal Register (41 FR 38569) on September 10, 1976. No public hearing was requested and none was held.

The information upon which the determination was made was obtained principally from officials of the Talon Division of Textron, Inc., its customers, the International Trade Commission, the U.S. Department of Commerce, industry analysts and Department files.

In order to make an affirmative determination and issue a certification of eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974 must be met:

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

(2) That sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely;

(3) That articles like or directly competitive with those produced by the firm or subdivision are being imported in increased quantities, either actual or relative to domestic production; and

(4) That such increased imports have contributed importantly to the separations, or threat thereof, and to the decrease in sales or production. The term "contributed importantly" means a cause which is important but not necessarily more important than any other cause.

Without regard as to whether or not the other criteria have been met, the second criterion has not been met.

The Talon Division of Textron, Inc. is the largest manufacturer of slide fasteners (zippers) in the United States. The Seymour plant produces component parts (slides) for zippers which are shipped to other Talon plants which assemble the various component parts to produce the finished zipper.

Production of sliders at the Seymour plant increased 4.0 percent in 1975 compared to 1974. Production increased 41.0 percent in the first eight months of 1976 compared to the similar period in 1975.

Sales for the Talon Division increased 7.8 percent from 1974 to 1975 and increased 42.2 percent in the first quarter of 1976 compared to the first quarter of 1975.

CONCLUSION

After careful review, I conclude that sales or production at the Seymour, Indiana plant of the Talon Division of Textron has not decreased as required for certification under Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 30th day of November 1976.

JAMES F. TAYLOR,
*Director, Office of Management, Administration and Planning.*

[FR Doc.76-36674 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

[TA-W-1046]

## TRIAD-UTRAD, DIVISION OF LITTON SYSTEMS

### Certification Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 the Department of Labor herein presents the results of TA-W-1046: Investigation regarding certification of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance as prescribed in Section 222 of the Act.

The investigation was initiated on August 25, 1976 in response to a worker petition received on that date which was filed on behalf of workers and former workers producing deflection yokes at the Blytheville, Arkansas plant of Triad-Utrad, Division of Litton Systems, Beverly Hills, California.

The notice of investigation was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on September 10, 1976 (41 FR 38569). No public hearing was requested and none was held.

The information upon which the determination was made was obtained principally from officials of Triad-Utrad, its customers, the U.S. Department of Commerce, the U.S. International Trade Commission, industry analysts, and Department files.

In order to make an affirmative determination and issue a certification of eligibility to apply for adjustment assistance, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974 must be met:

(1) That a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, have become totally or partially separated, or are threatened to become totally or partially separated;

(2) That sales or production, or both, of such firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely;

(3) That articles like or directly competitive with those produced by the firm or subdivision are being imported in increased quantities, either actual or relative to domestic production; and

(4) That such increased imports have contributed importantly to the separations, or threat thereof, and to the decrease in sales or production. The term "contributed importantly" means a cause which is important but not necessarily more important than any other cause.

The investigation has revealed that all of the above criteria have been met.

SIGNIFICANT TOTAL OR PARTIAL SEPARATIONS

Average employment of production workers decreased 35 percent in 1975 from 1974 and increased 34 percent in the first 8 months of 1976 compared to the same period of 1975. Employment terminated in September 1976 and all workers were separated when the plant closed.

SALES OR PRODUCTION, OR BOTH, HAVE DECLINED ABSOLUTELY

Production at the Blytheville plant declined 46 percent in quantity in 1975 from 1974 and increased 36 percent in the first 8 months of 1976 from the same period in 1975. Triad-Utrad increased production in the months prior to the plant closure in September 1976 so the company could continue to meet orders that would come due after the plant closed.

Production at the Blytheville plant stopped in September 1976 when the plant closed.

INCREASED IMPORTS

Imports of deflection yokes for color televisions increased absolutely in 1972 and 1973 from the previous year and decreased in 1974 and decreased by 6 percent in 1975. Imports in the first half of 1976 increased 20 percent compared to the first half of 1975.

Imports of deflection yokes for monochrome (B/W) televisions increased absolutely and relatively in 1972 from 1971 and decreased in 1973 and 1974. In 1975 imports decreased absolutely and increased relatively. In the first half of 1976 imports increased 27 percent absolutely compared to the first half of 1975 and increased relatively to 214.8 percent of domestic production from 174.1 percent in the first half of 1975.

CONTRIBUTED IMPORTANTLY

Customers of deflection yokes from Triad-Utrad increased purchases of imported yokes in 1975 and 1976. In the summer of 1976 Triad-Utrad's largest customer was sold to a foreign producer which stated that future purchases of deflection yokes would be from foreign suppliers.

CONCLUSION

After careful review of the facts obtained in the investigation, I conclude that increases of imports like or directly competitive with deflection yokes pro-

duced at the Blytheville, Arkansas plant of Triad-Utrad, Division of Litton Systems, contributed importantly to the total of partial separations of the workers of that plant. In accordance with the provisions of the Act, I make the following certification:

All workers at the Blytheville, Arkansas plant of Triad-Utrad, Division of Litton Systems, who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after September 1, 1976 and before November 1, 1976 are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Title II, Chapter 2 of the Trade Act of 1974.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 30th day of November 1976.

JAMES F. TAYLOR,
*Director, Office of Management, Administration and Planning.*

[FR Doc.76-36675 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

## NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

### ADVISORY PANEL FOR ENGINEERING MECHANICS

#### Renewal

Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Pub. L. 92-463, I have hereby determined that the renewal of the Advisory Panel for Engineering Mechanics is necessary and is in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed upon the National Science Foundation by the National Science Foundation Act of 1950, as amended, and other applicable law. This determination follows consultation with the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), pursuant to Section 14 (a)(1) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act and OMB Circular No. A-63, Revised.

Authority for this Advisory Panel shall expire on December 20, 1978, unless the Director of the National Science Foundation formally determines that continuance is in the public interest.

E. C. CREUTZ,
*Acting Director.*

DECEMBER 9, 1976.

[FR Doc.76-36724 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

### ADVISORY PANEL FOR ENGINEERING CHEMISTRY AND ENERGETICS

#### Renewal

Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Pub. L. 92-463, I have hereby determined that the renewal of the Advisory Panel for Engineering Chemistry and Energetics is necessary and is in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed upon the National Science Foundation by the National Science Foundation Act of 1950, as amended, and other applicable law. This determination follows consultation with the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), pursuant to Section 14(a)(1) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act and OMB Circular No. A-63, Revised.

Authority for this Advisory Panel shall expire on December 20, 1978, unless the Director of the National Science Foundation formally determines that continuance is in the public interest.

E. C. CREUTZ,
*Acting Director.*

December 9, 1976.

[FR Doc.76-36725 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

### ETHNIC MINORITY PARTICIPATION IN SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

#### Meeting

A meeting of experts on ethnic minority participation in science and engineering will be held from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. on Friday, January 14, 1977 and on Saturday, January 15, 1977 at the Quality Inn-Pentagon City, 300 Army Navy Drive, Arlington, Virginia.

The purpose of the meeting will be to identify ways to promote increased participation by ethnic minorities in science and engineering careers and to discuss possible programmatic implications for the recently established Minority Centers for Graduate Education Program.

While this experts meeting is not considered to be a meeting of an "advisory committee" as that term is defined in section 3 of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463), the meeting is believed to be of sufficient importance and interest to the general public to be announced in the FEDERAL REGISTER as a meeting open for public attendance.

The meeting will be chaired by Dr. Shirley M. McBay, Program Director of the Minority Institutions Science Improvement Program. Because of space limitation, members of the public who wish to attend should call (202) 282-7760 no later than January 7, 1977.

ALLEN M. SHINN, Jr.,
*Deputy Assistant Director for Science Education.*

DECEMBER 9, 1976.

[FR Doc. 76-36639 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

## NATIONAL STUDY COMMISSION ON RECORDS AND DOCUMENTS OF FEDERAL OFFICIALS

### PRIVACY ACT OF 1974

#### Payroll Records System Notice

On September 16, 1976, there was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (41 FR 40048) Notice of Systems of Records pursuant to the provisions of the Privacy Act of 1974, Public Law 93-579, 5 U.S.C. 552a. The Commission hereby publishes for comment an additional routine use for the system designated "Payroll Records—PDC-2." Any person interested in commenting on the additional routine use contained in this notice may do so by submitting comments in writing to the General Counsel, National Study Commission on Records and Documents of Federal Officials, c/o Assistant Secretary for Administration (A), Room 6316, Department of State, Washington, D.C. 20520. Comments must be submitted on or before January 13, 1977.

Signed this 29th day of November, 1976.

ROBERT BROOKHART,
*Director.*

PDC 2

**System name:**

Payroll records.

**System location:**

General Services Administration, Region 3 office; copies held by the Commission (GSA holds records for the Commission under contract).

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:**

Commission members, employees, and consultants, past and present.

**Categories of records in the system:**

Varied payroll records, including, among other documents, time and attendance cards; payment vouchers; comprehensive listing of employees; health benefits records; requests for deductions; tax forms; W-2 forms; overtime requests; leave data.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:**

31 U.S.C., generally; Public Law 93-526, December 19, 1974.

**Routine use of records:**

See Appendix. Records also are disclosed to GAO for audits; to the Internal Revenue Service for investigation; and to private attorneys, pursuant to a power of attorney.

A copy of an employee's Department of the Treasury Form W-2, Wage and Tax State, also is disclosed to the State, city, or other local jurisdiction which is authorized to tax the employee's compensation. The record will be provided in accordance with a withholding agreement between the State, city, or other local jurisdiction and the Department of the Treasury pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 5516, 5517, or 5520, or, in the absence thereof, in response to a written request from an appropriate official of the taxing jurisdiction to the National Study Commission on Records and Documents of Federal Officials, c/o Assistant Secretary for Administration(A), Room 6316, Department of State, Washington, D.C. 20520. The request must include a copy of the applicable statute or ordinance authorizing the taxation of compensation and should indicate whether the authority of the jurisdiction to tax the employee is based on place of residence, place of employment, or both.

Pursuant to a withholding agreement between a city and the Department of the Treasury (5 U.S.C. 5520), copies of executed city tax withholding certificates shall be furnished the city in response to written request from an appropriate city official to Dori Dressander, General Counsel, National Study Commission on Records and Documents of Federal Officials, c/o Assistant Secretary for Administration, Room 6316, Department of State, Washington, D.C. 20520.

In the absence of a withholding agreement the Social Security Number will

be furnished only to a taxing jurisdiction which has furnished this agency with evidence of its independent authority to compel disclosure of the Social Security Number, in accordance with Section 7 of the Privacy Act. Public Law 93-579.

**Policies and practices for storing, retrieving, retaining, and disposing of records in the system:**

**Storage:**

Paper and tape.

**Retrievability:**

Social Security number.

**Safeguards:**

Stored in a guarded building; released only to authorized personnel.

**Retention and disposal:**

Disposition of records shall be in accordance with HB GSA Records Maintenance and Disposition System (OAD P 1820.2).

**System manager and address:**

General Counsel to the Public Documents Commission, c/o Assistance Secretary for Admnistration (A), Room 6316, Department of State, Washington, D.C. 20520.

**Notification procedure:**

Contact system manager listed above.

**Record access procedure:**

See Commission access regulations in 5 CFR 2505.

**Contesting record procedures:**

See Commission access regulations in 5 CFR 2505.

**Record source categories:**

The subject individual; the Commission.

APPENDIX—NATIONAL STUDY COMMISSION ON RECORDS AND DOCUMENTS OF FEDERAL OFFICIALS

In the event that a system of records maintained by this agency to carry out its functions indicates a violation or potential violation of law, whether civil, criminal or regulatory in nature, and whether arising by general statute or particular program statute, or by regulation, rule or order issued pursuant thereto, the relevant records in the system of records may be referred, as a routine use, to the appropriate agency, whether federal, state, local or foreign, charged with the responsibility of investigating or prosecuting such violation or charged with enforcing or implementing the statute, or rule, regulation or order issued pursuant thereto.

A record from this system of records may be disclosed as a "routine use" to a federal, state or local agency maintaining civil, criminal or other relevant enforcement or other pertinent information, such as current licenses, if necessary, to obtain information relevant to an agency decision concerning the hiring or retention of an employee, the issuance of a security clearance, the letting of a contract or the issuance of a license, grant or other benefit.

A record from this system of records may be disclosed to a federal agency, in response to its request, in connection with the hiring or retention of an employee, the issurance of a security clearance, the reporting of an investigation of an employee, the letting of a contract, or the issuance of a license, grant or other benefit by the requesting agency, to the extent that the information is relevant and necessary to the requesting agency's decision in the matter.

A record from this system of records may be disclosed to an authorized appeal grievance examiner, formal complaints examiner, equal employment opportunity investigator, arbitrator or other duly authorized official engaged in investigation or settlement or a a grievance, complaint, or appeal filed by an employee. A record from this system of records may be disclosed to the United States Civil Service Commission in accordance with the agency's responsibility for evaluation and oversight of federal personnel management.

A record from this system of records may be disclosed to officers and employees of a federal agency for purposes of audit.

The information contained in this system of records will be disclosed to the Office of Management and Budget in connection with the review of private relief legislation as set forth in OMB Circular No. A-19 at any stage of the legislative coordination and clearance process as set forth in the Circular.

A record from this system of records may be disclosed as a rountine use to a Member of Congress or to a Congressional staff member in response to an inquiry of the Congressional office made at the request of the individual about whom the record is maintained.

A record from this system of records may be disclosed to officers and employees of the General Services Administration in connection with administrative services provided to this agency under agreement with GSA.

[FR Doc.76-36711 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

## OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

### CLEARANCE OF REPORTS

#### Lists of Requests

The following is a list of requests for clearance of reports intended for use in collecting information from the public received by the office of management and budget on December 7, 1976 (44 U.S.C. 3509). The purpose of publishing this list in the FEDERAL REGISTER is to inform the public.

The list includes the title of each request received; the name of the agency sponsoring the proposed collection of information; the agency form number(s), if applicable; the frequency with which the information is proposed to be collected; the name of the reviewer or reviewing division within OMB, and an indication of who will be the respondents to the proposed collection.

Requests for extension which appear to raise no significant issues are to be approved after brief notice thru this release.

Further information about the items on this daily list may be obtained from the clearance office, office of management and budget, Washington, D.C. 20503 (202-395-4529), or from the reviewer listed.

NEW FORMS

NATIONAL COMMISSION ON THE OBSERVANCE OF INTERNATIONAL WOMEN'S YEAR

(1) Proposed budget for IWY grant, (2) Certification of fiscal responsibility, (3) final expenditure report, FF-1, 2, 3, quarterly, IWY designated coordinating commercial in each State, Lowry, R.L., Budget Review Division, 395-3772.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Office of General Sales Manager:

Declaration of Sale, CC-359, on occasion, exporters of U.S. Agriculture Commodities Under Pub. L. 480, Tracey Cole, 395-5870.

Farmer Cooperative Service, Marketing of Grain by Regional Cooperatives, single time, cooperatives, Hulett, D. T., 395-4730.

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Social and Rehabilitation Service: Evaluation of Effects of Title XX on Clients, Single Time, Title XX in 19 States, Title XX Providers and Decision Makers, Human Resources Division, Sunderhauf, M. B., 395-3532.

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Administration (Office of Assistance Secretary: Protective Insurance Payments Demonstration: Mortgagor, application form, single time, defaulting mortgagors in 3 metropolitan areas, Housing, Veterans and Labor Division, Sunderhauf, M. B., 395-3532.

REVISIONS

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

Application for Federal Employment—Pace: CSC1279, on occasion, applicants for Federal Employment, Caywood, D. P., 395-3443.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Statistical Reporting Service: Cost of Production Survey, other (see SF-83), sample of farms producing the commodities being surveyed, Hulett, D. T., 395-4730.

Fruit Tree Survey (New England), annually, fruit growers, Hulett, D. T., 395-4730.

Off-Farm Stocks of Grain and Oilseed, Quarterly, grain storage operators, Hulett, D. T., 395-4730.

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Alcohol, Drug Abuse and Mental Health Administration:

Alcoholic Treatment Center Questionnaire, NIMH1009, on occasion, alcoholics in treatment and data coordinator, Richard Eisinger, 395-6140.

EXTENSIONS

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Application for real property auctioneer contract:

GSA-1202, on occasion, auctioneers, Marsha Traynham, 395-4529.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Office of General Sales Manager:

Regulations-financing Commercial Sales of Agricultural Commodities under Title I, Pub. L. 480, 7 CFR 17, on occasion, commodity suppliers; ocean shipping firms, Marsha Traynham, 395-4529.

PHILLIP D. LARSEN,
*Budget and Management Officer.*

[FR Doc.76-36857 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

## CLEARANCE OF REPORTS

### Lists of Requests

The following is a list of requests for clearance of reports intended for use in collecting information from the public received by the Office of Management and Budget on December 8, 1976 (44 U.S.C. 3509). The purpose of publishing this list in the FEDERAL REGISTER is to inform the public.

The list includes the title of each request received; the name of the agency sponsoring the proposed collection of information; the agency form number(s), if applicable; the frequency with which the information is proposed to be collected; the name of the reviewer or reviewing division within OMB, and an indication of who will be the respondents to the proposed collection.

Requests for extension which appear to raise no significant issues are to be approved after brief notice through this release.

Further information about the items on this daily list may be obtained from the clearance office, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, D.C. 20503, (202-395-4529), or from the reviewer listed.

NEW FORMS

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Dealer Report of Condition, FR-2002, 2003, annually, primary dealers in U.S. Government securities, Hulett, D. T., 395-4730.

COMMUNITY SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Checkpoint Procedure for Coordination, OEO-394, other (see SF-83), CAA, State and local government, Lowry, R. L., National Security Division, 395-3772.

Administering Agency Funding Estimate, CAP-85, annually, Community Action Agency, Lowry, R. L., National Security Division, 395-3772.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Departmental and other:

Plant Equipment Management Survey, single-time, DOD Contractors, National Security Division, Lowry, R. L., 395-4734.

Defense Civil Preparedness Agency:

Public Attitude Toward Civil Defense Questionnaire, single-time, Media, Labor, and Civic Leaders, National Security Division, Lowry, R. L., 395-4734.

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Food and Drug Administration, Survey of Use of Antibiotics in Clinical Practice, single-time, physicians in office practice, Richard Eisinger, 395-6140.

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Administration (Office of Assistant Secretary), Post Occupancy Assessment Questionnaire and Post Occupancy Assesment Fact Sheet, single-time, professionals in the housing supply system, Housing, Veterans and Labor Division, 395-3532.

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Bureau of Customs, Report of International Transportation of Currency or Monetary Instruments, Customs 4790, on occasion, recipients, shippers, mailers, travelers, Hulett, D. T., 395-4730.

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Departmental and Other:

Uniform System of Accounts and Records and Reporting System, annually, applicants, economics and general government division, Strasser, A., 395-3451.

Consultant-Contractor Survey, single-time, consultants and contractors, Lowry, R. L., 395-3772.

REVISIONS

UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Importers' Questionnaire—Watches, single-time, watch importers, Laverne V. Collins, 395-5867.

Producers' Questionnaire—Watches, single-time, watch producers, Laverne V. Collins, 395-5867.

RAILROAD RETIREMENT BOARD

Report of Creditable Compensation Adjustments, BA-4, on occasion, railroad employers, Tracey Cole, 395-5870.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Economic Research Service, Survey of Consumers' Food-Related Behavior, Attitudes, and Motives, single-time, person in household with responsibility for food purchase, Sunderhauf, M. B., 395-6140.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of Census, Construction Machinery and Reference List, MA35-D, annually, manufacturing establishments, Peterson, M. O., 395-5631.

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Center for Disease Control, NIOSH Information Dissemination Strategy, CDC 2.7, annually, individuals on NIOSH mailing list plus additions, Eliett, C. A., 395-5867.

EXTENSIONS

COMMUNITY SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Summary of Work Programs and Budget, OEO 419, on occasion, Community Action Agency, Lowry, R. L., National Security Division, 395-3772.

Budget Summary, OEO-325, on occasion, CAA's, LPA's, Lowry, R. L., National Security Division, 395-3772.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service, Record of Disposition of Laboratory Animals, VS 18-6, other (see SF-83), animal dealers and research facilities, Marsha Traynham, 395-4529.

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Administration (Office of Assistant Secretary), Family Income Certification, HUD 91705, on occasion, low and moderate income households, Housing, Veterans and Labor Division, 395-3532.

PHILLIP D. LARSEN,
*Budget and Management Officer.*

[FR Doc.76-36858 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

## OFFICE OF THE SPECIAL REPRESENTATIVE FOR TRADE NEGOTIATIONS

### PRIVACY ACT OF 1974

### Payroll Records System Notice

On August 27, 1975 there was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER, notices of systems of records pursuant to the provisions of the Privacy Act of 1974, Pub. L. 93-579, 5 U.S.C. 552a. The Office of the Special Representative for Trade Negotiations (STR) hereby publishes for comment the additional "routine uses" for the system designated "Payroll Records—EOP/STR-4." Any person interested in commenting on the additional routine uses contained in this notice may do so by submitting comments in writing to the Office of the Special Representative for Trade Negotiations, Office of the General Counsel, 1800 G Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20506. All comments received not later than December 20, 1976, will be considered. In the absence of STR action to the contrary, the proposed "routine uses" will become effective December 30, 1976.

Dated: at Washington, D.C., on November 30, 1976.

FREDERICK B. DENT,
*Special Representative for Trade Negotiations.*

The STR proposes to amend the payroll records system (EOP/STR-4) by adding the following "routine uses" and appendix:

A copy of an employee's Department of the Treasury Form W-2, Wage and Tax Statement, also is disclosed to the State, city, or other local jurisdiction which is authorized to tax the employees' compensation. The record will be provided in accordance with a withholding agreement between the State, city, or other local jurisdiction and the Department of the Treasury pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 5516, 5517, or 5520, or, in the absence thereof, in response to a written request from an appropriate official of the taxing jurisdiction to the Administrative Officer, Office of the Special Representative for Trade Negotiations, 1800 G Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20506. The request must include a copy of the applicable statute or ordinance authorizing the taxation of compensation and should indicate whether the authority of the jurisdiction to tax the employee is based on place of residence, place of employment, or both.

Pursuant to a withholding agreement between a city and the Department of the Treasury (5 U.S.C. 5520), copies of executed city tax withholding certificates shall be furnished the city in response to written request from an appropriate city official to the Administrative Officer, Office of the Special Representative for Trade Negotiations, 1800 G Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20506.

In the absence of a withholding agreement, the Social Security Number will be furnished only to a taxing jurisdiction which has furnished this agency with evidence of its independent authority to compel disclosure of the Social Security Number, in accordance with Section 7 of the Privacy Act, Public Law 93-579. See Appendix.

APPENDIX—STR

In the event that a system of records maintained by this agency to carry out

its functions indicates a violation or potential violation of law, whether civil, criminal or regulatory in nature, and whether arising by general statute or particular program statute, or by regulation, rule or order issued pursuant thereto, the relevant records in the system of records may be referred, as a routine use, to the appropriate agency, whether federal, state, local or foreign, charged with the responsibility of investigating or prosecuting such violation or charged with enforcing or implementing the statute, or rule, regulation or order issued pursuant thereto.

A record from this system of records may be disclosed as a "routine use" to a federal, state or local agency maintaining civil, criminal or other relevant enforcement information or other pertinent information, such as current licenses, if necessary to obtain information relevant to an agency decision concerning the hiring or retention of an employee, the issuance of a security clearance, the letting of a contract or the issuance of a license, grant or other benefit.

A record from this system of records may be disclosed to a federal agency, in response to its request, in connection with the hiring or retention of an employee, the issuance of a security clearance, the reporting of an investigation of an employee, the letting of a contract, or the issuance of a license, grant or other benefit by the requesting agency, to the extent that the information is relevant and necessary to the requesting agency's decision in the matter.

A record from this system of records may be disclosed to an authorized appeal grievance examiner, formal complaints examiner, equal employment opportunity investigator, arbitrator or other duly authorized official engaged in investigation or settlement or a grievance, complaint, or appeal filed by an employee. A record from this system of records may be disclosed to the United States Civil Service Commission in accordance with the agency's responsibility for evaluation and oversight of federal personnel management.

A record from this system of records may be disclosed to officers and employees of a federal agency for purposes of audit.

The information contained in this system of records will be disclosed to the Office of Management and Budget in connection with the review of private relief legislation as set forth in OMB Circular No. A–19 at any stage of the legislative coordination and clearance process as set forth in that Circular.

A record from this system of records may be disclosed as a routine use to a Member of Congress or to a Congressional staff member in response to an inquiry of the Congressional office made at the request of the individual about whom the record is maintained.

A record from this system of records may be disclosed to officers and employees of the General Services Administration in connection with administrative services provided to this agency under agreement with GSA.

[FR Doc.76–36937 Filed 12–13–76;9:50 am]

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Rel. No. 19794; Admin. Proc. File No. 3–4026]

### AMERICAN ELECTRIC POWER CO., INC. ET AL.

#### Proposed Plan to Redeem Preferred Stock and Proposed Bank Borrowings

In the matter of American Electric Power Co., Inc., Michigan Power Co. and Michigan Natural Gas Co.

*Notice is hereby given*, that American Electric Power Company, Inc. ("AEP"), a registered holding company, and its subsidiary gas and electric utility companies, Michigan Power Company ("MPC") and Michigan Natural Gas Company ("Gas Company"), have filed a plan ("Plan") with this Commission pursuant to section 11(e) and other applicable provisions of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("Act") and rules promulgated thereunder relating to the eventual disposition of the MPC gas utility properties. The plan, originally filed in 1972, has been amended, most recently on October 12 and 22, 1976. All interested persons are referred to the plan, as amended, which is summarized below, for a complete statement of the proposed transactions.

By order dated July 24, 1967 (43 SEC 407) in file 70–4389 (Admin Proc. File No. 3–637), AEP was authorized to acquire all of the outstanding common stock of MPC (then known as Michigan Gas and Electric Company), and sell the gas assets of MPC to Michigan Gas Utilities Company ("MGU"), a gas utility company not affiliated with AEP, pursuant to a contract which it had made with MGU.

In order to effect the transfer of the gas properties, AEP was authorized to organize Gas Company (formerly known tended to transfer the gas assets of MPC to Gas Company and then to sell Gas Company to MGU. AEP also proposed to redeem the MPC preferred stock at the voluntary redemption prices of $102 and $100 per share for the 4.40 percent and 4.90 percent series, respectively. The organization of Gas Company, the transfer of the gas asests to it and the sale of the Gas Company to MGU, along with the proposed redemption of the MPC preferred, was authorized by Commission order dated October 25, 1968 (HCAR No. 16198).

By letter dated August 21, 1969, AEP advised the Commission that AEP and MGU had cancelled their agreement because MGU was unable to obtain financing necessary to acquire the gas properties. MPC has retained its gas business and its preferred stock has not been redeemed. Gas Company has remained an inactive subsidiary of AEP.

In June 1972 AEP, MPC and Gas Company filed a new plan under section 11(e) of the Act which proposed the transfer of the gas properties to Gas Company which would be a direct subsidiary of AEP until a purchaser could be found for it or its assets. The 1972 plan also proposed to redeem the MPC preferred, but stated that AEP believed that payment of $70.00 and $85.00 per share to the 4.40 percent and 4.90 percent preferred, respectively, rather than the voluntary redemption prices would be fair and equitable under section 11(e) of the Act.

Objections were filed with the Commission by and on behalf of preferred stockholders. The shareholders generally contended that they were (and are) entitled to receive the optional redemption prices per share specified by MPC's articles of incorporation.

Attempts by AEP to find a buyer for the gas properties during the proceeding were authorized (HCAR No. 18700, June 16, 1975, HCAR No. 18119, October 10, 1973). AEP did not obtain a purchaser and did not request further extension of such authorization on its expiration December 17, 1973. Its present proposal merely indicates an intention to seek a buyer.

MPC's March 31, 1976, balance sheet may be summarized as follows:

[In thousands]

| | |
|---|---|
| Current assets | $9,647 |
| Electric plant—net | 19,853 |
| Gas plant—net | 25,076 |
| Other property | 86 |
| Deferred debits | 528 |
| Assets | 55,190 |
| Bank loan and current maturity | 5,500 |
| Other current liabilities | 6,615 |
| Long term debt | 1,550 |
| Advances from AEP | 8,750 |
| Liabilities | 22,415 |
| Deferred credits | 7,765 |
| Preferred stock | 1,517 |
| Common equity | 23,493 |
| Liabilities and equity | 55,190 |

Its principal bond series matured June 1, 1976, and has been paid with the aid of bank borrowing. The preferred stock consists of 14,000 shares of 4.40 percent and 1,062 shares of 4.90 percent preferred with an aggregate voluntary redemption price of $1,534,200. Preferred dividends have been paid currently.

AEP and MPC have amended in 1972 plan. It sets forth several steps toward the ultimate disposition of the gas properties. Only the first two call for immediate consideration and are the subject of this notice.

Part I of the plan, which is independent of any other part of the plan, is dependent upon a finding by the Commission pursuant to section 11(e) of the Act that the voluntary redemption of the preferred stock of MPC, as proposed, is necessary to effectuate the provisions of subsection (b) of section 11 of the Act and is fair and equitable to all of the persons affected by the plan, including the shareholders of MPC and AEP.

Within thirty days after the Commission order approving the redemption of the preferred stock, MPC will issue a notice of the voluntary redemption of the preferred stock at $102 per share for the 4.40 percent series and $100 per share for the 4.90 percent series, plus, in each case, an amount equal to accrued unpaid dividends to the date specified for the redemption. The notice will specify a date of redemption not less than thirty nor more than forty days from such notice. Prior to the redemption date, MPC will irrevocably deposit with a bank or trust company an amount equal to the aggregate redemption prices of the preferred stock.

Part II of the plan provides for borrowing by MPC of up to $7 million from National Bank of Detroit ("bank"), to refund its short term debt, of which $4 million was outstanding at September 30, 1976, to provide about $1.5 million to redeem the preferred stock, or to reimburse its treasury for such redemption and to pay part of MPC's 1977 construction budget, estimated at $2.7 million.

The borrowings are to be made under a bank loan agreement, to be dated December 1, 1976, which will authorize MPC to borrow from time to time until December 1, 1978 not more than $7 million at any one time outstanding on unsecured promissory notes maturing December 31, 1983. However, at least $2 million is to be prepaid by the end of 1981 and $2 million by the end of 1982. Notes may be prepaid, in whole or in part, at any time without penalty.

The notes will bear interest at the prime rate through 1978, and the prime rate plus a premium of ¼ percent in the calendar years 1979–1980 and ½ percent in the calendar years 1981–1983. A commitment fee at the rate of ½ percent per annum will be paid on the unborrowed balance of the $7 million, but MPC has the right to reduce permanently the commitment at any time.

A compensating balance of 15 percent of the amount committed is to be maintained with the bank during the first two years of the loan agreement, and of 15 percent of the amount borrowed during the balance of the term. Assuming for illustration, a prime rate of 6¾ percent and that the full amount is borrowed, the effective cost of money would be 7.95 percent during the first two years, 8.25 percent during the next two years, and 8.50 percent thereafter.

The bank loan agreement prohibits MPC from creating any other debt, except short term debt of not more than 10 percent of its capitalization. The indebtedness to the bank would become due, at the bank's election, if MPC is ordered to divest its gas or electric properties.

*Notice is further given*, That any interested person may, not later than December 29, 1976, request in writing that a hearing be held on such matter, stating the nature of his interest, the reasons for such a request, and the issues of fact or law raised by said Plan, as amended, which he desires to controvert; or he may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing thereon. Any such request should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. A copy of such request should be served personally or by mail upon the applicants at the above-stated addresses and proof of service (by affidavit or, in case of an attorney at law, by certificate) should be filed with the request. At any time after said date, the Plan, as amended, or as it may be further amended, may be approved or the Commission may take such other action as it may deem appropriate. Persons who request a hearing or advice as to whether a hearing is ordered will receive any notices and orders issued in this matter, including the date of the hearing (if ordered) and any postponements thereof.

*It is ordered*, That the Secretary of the Commission shall serve notice of such plan by mailing a copy of this notice to AEP, MPC and Gas Company, to the Federal Power Commission and to the Michigan Public Service Commission; and counsel who have filed objections on behalf of preferred stockholders.

For the Commisison, by the Division of Corporate Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc.76-36583 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

---

[Rel. No. 19795]

## CENTRAL AND SOUTH WEST CORP., ET AL.

### Proposed Issue and Sale of Short-Term Notes to Banks and/or Commercial Paper, Loans by Parent to Subsidiaries and Between Subsidiaries and Request for Exception From Competitive Bidding

In the matter of Central and South West Corp.; Central Power and Light Co.; Southwestern Electric Power Co.; Public Service Co. of Oklahoma; West Texas Utilities Co., and CSR Services, Inc.

DECEMBER 6, 1976.

*Notice is hereby given*, That Central and South West Corporation ("CSW"), a registered holding company, and five of its subsidiary companies, Central Power and Light Company ("CPL"), CSR Services, Inc. ("CSR"), Southwestern Electric Power Company ("SWEPCO"), West Texas Utilities Company ("WTU"), and Public Service Company of Oklahoma ("PSO") (collectively, the "subsidiaries"), have filed an application-declaration, and an amendment thereto, with this Commission pursuant to the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("Act"), designating sections 6, 7, 9(a), 10, 12(b) and 12(f) thereof and Rules 43 and 45 promulgated thereunder as applicable to the proposed transactions. All interested persons are referred to the application-declaration, as amended, which is summarized below, for a complete statement of the proposed transactions.

CSW and the subsidiaries propose to establish a system money pool ("money pool") to coordinate their short-term borrowings. The money pool is to be composed from time to time of funds from any one or more of the following sources: (i) Surplus funds of CSW, (ii) Surplus funds of any of the subsidiaries, (iii) Borrowings by CSW or the subsidiaries from banks and (iv) Proceeds of CSW's sales of commercial paper.

CSW proposes to administer the money pool by matching up, to the extent possible, short-term cash surpluses and loan requirements of CSW and the various subsidiaries. The determination of whether a subsidiary or CSW has at any time surplus funds to lend will be made by their respective treasurers or other chief financial officers on the basis of cash flow projections. Subsidiary requests for short-term loans would be met first from surplus funds of the other subsidiaries which are available to the money pool for this purpose. To the extent the subsidiaries' surplus funds are inadequate to fulfill the subsidiaries' requests for short-term loans, loans would be made out of CSW's corporate funds to the extent available. Each borrowing by a subsidiary would be made pro rata from each lending source so that each lending subsidiary will loan an amount equal to the ratio of the lender's available surplus funds over the total available surplus funds in the money pool.

To the extent that CSW's corporate funds and subsidiary contributions of surplus funds to the money pool are inadequate to meet subsidiary requests for short-term loans, CSW and the subsidiaries request authorization to make borrowings outside the system. Details of these proposed borrowings are summarized further below. The interest rate applicable to all loans of surplus funds through the money pool will be the rate published in the Wall Street Journal for commercial paper placed directly by a major finance company and having a term most nearly equal to the term of the particular money pool loan in question. The interest rate applicable to funds borrowed by CSW from external sources and loaned through the money pool will be equal to CSW's net cost for the external borrowings. No loan will be made by CSW or a subsidiary if the borrowing subsidiary could borrow more cheaply directly from banks or through the sale of its own commercial paper.

To the extent that CSW's corporate funds and subsidiary contributions of excess funds to the money pool are inadequate to fulfill the subsidiaries' requests for short-term loans, short-term borrowings will be made from outside the system. CSW therefore requests authorization for the issuance and sale of its commercial paper in an aggregate face amount of not to exceed $115,000,000 outstanding at any one time to A. G. Becker and Co., Inc. ("Becker"). The proceeds from the sale of commercial paper would be added to CSW's treasury funds and would be loaned by CSW through the money pool from time to time, or invested in, its subsidiaries.

The commercial paper notes will have varying maturities of not more than nine months from the date of issue, exclusive of days of grace, will be in varying denominations of not less than $25,000 and not more than $1,000,000 each, and may be issued and sold by CSW from time to time through June 30, 1978. Such notes will be issued and sold by CSW directly to Becker at a discount rate which will not be in excess of the discount rate per annum prevailing at the time of issuance for commercial paper of comparable quality and maturity sold by issuers thereof to commercial paper dealers and at an interest cost which will not exceed the effective cost of money for unsecured prime commercial bank loans prevailing on the date of issuance of such commercial paper.

The commercial paper will be reoffered to not more than 200 identified and designated customers in a list prepared in advance by Becker. CSW's commercial paper notes are expected to be held by customers to maturity, except that if customers wish to sell such notes prior thereto, Becker, pursuant to oral repurchase agreements, will repurchase such notes and reoffer the same to others in the group of 200 customers.

In the event that borrowing from banks at the prime rate of interest would produce a lower cost of money to CSW than the issue of its commercial paper, and to the extent that funds in the system money pool are insufficient to meet the subsidiaries' requests for short term loans, CSW and the subsidiaries propose to borrow from banks from time to time prior to July 1, 1978, an amount not to exceed $102,020,000 at any one time outstanding. The outstanding notes to banks, together with the principal amount of CSW's outstanding commercial paper issued to Becker, shall not exceed $115,000,000 at any one time.

CSW and the subsidiaries propose to issue from time to time promissory notes to evidence such borrowings from banks. Each of such notes will be dated the date each borrowing is made, will mature on a date not more than twelve months from the date thereof, will bear interest from the date thereof to maturity at an interest cost which will not exceed the prime rate of interest prevailing at such bank, and will be subject to prepayment in whole at any time or in part from time to time, without premium or penalty.

It is stated that there are no compensating balance arrangements in effect with the banks. It is also stated that it is informally understood that if substantial borrowings are made under lines of credit established with the banks, cash balances on deposit may be materially increased. Assuming these cash balances equal 20 percent of the loans and a prime rate of 6½ percent, the effective interest rate on bank borrowings would be 8¼ percent.

The lending of funds through the money pool to the subsidiaries would occur in varing amounts from time to time prior to July 1, 1978. The maximum aggregate principal amount of borrowings by each of the subsidiaries would be as follows:

| Subsidiary: | *Maximum Principal Amount of Loans To Be Outstanding* |
|---|---|
| CPL | $60,600,000 |
| PSO | 50,665,000 |
| SWEPCO | 44,500,000 |
| WTU | 15,000,000 |
| CSR | 1,000,000 |

Loans to the subsidiaries could be made pursuant to open account advances, although any lender would at all times be entitled to receive upon demand a promissory note evidencing the transaction. In the case of loans from CSW, the note would mature not more than one year from the date of the borrowing and be prepayable in whole or in part at any time without premium or penalty. In the case of a loan from a subsidiary, the note would mature no later than the earlier of (a) the date of the borrower could be expected to obtain funds with which to pay the note or (b) the date the lender would require the excess funds for its own use. The notes will also be repayable upon demand by the lender.

Proceeds of the borrowings will be used by the subsidiaries (other than CSR) to provide temporary financing for a part of the costs of the capital programs of such subsidiaries for the years 1977 and 1978. Those capital programs are estimated to have the following costs:

| Subsidiary: | *1977* | *1978* |
|---|---|---|
| CP&L | $22,105 | $261,045 |
| PSO | 144,608 | 230,595 |
| SWEPCO | 100,245 | 94,527 |
| WTU | 43,508 | 53,633 |

Loans to CSR would be used to provide for temporary needs for working capital in excess of CSR's $100,000 capitalization from time to time prior to reimbursement of CSR's costs by the other subsidiaries and CSW.

CSW requests exemption from the competitive bidding requirements of Rule 50 under the Act in connection with the proposed issuance of commercial paper pursuant to paragraph (a)(5)(B) thereof.

It is stated that no state commission or federal commission, other than this Commission, has jurisdiction over the proposed transaction. Fees and expenses to be incurred in connection with the proposed transaction are estimated at $14,500, including legal fees of $1,500 and rating agency fees for commercial paper (based upon an 18-month allocation) of $10,500.

*Notice Is Further Given* that any interested person may, not later than December 29, 1976, request in writing that a hearing be held on such matter, stating the nature of his interest, and reasons for such request, and the issues of fact or law raised by said application-declaration, as amended, which he desires to controvert; or he may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing thereon. Any such request should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. A copy of such request should be served personally or by mail upon the applicants-declarants at the above-stated addresses and proof of service (by affidavit or, in case of an attorney at law, by certificate) should be filed with the request. At any time after said date, the application-declaration, as amended, or as it may be further amended, may be granted and permitted to become effective as provided in Rule 23 of the General Rules and Regulations promulgated under the Act, or the Commission may grant exemption from such rules as provided in Rules 20(a) and 100 thereof or take such other action as it may deem appropriate. Persons who request a hearing or advice as to whether a hearing is ordered will receive any notices and orders issued in this matter, including the date of the hearing (if ordered) and any postponements thereof.

For the Commission, by the Division of Corporate Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc.76-36586 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

---

[Rel. No. 9557]

## FOUNDERS OF AMERICAN INVESTMENT CORP., ET AL.

### Application for Order Exempting Proposed Transaction

DECEMBER 6, 1976.

In the Matter of Founders of American Investment Corp. and Murray Watson, Jr.; John Harris; Paul McGinnis; Robert Haymes; and Roy Dugger.

*Notice is Hereby Given,* That Founders of American Investment Corporation ("Founders"), registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940 ("Act") as a closed-end, non-diversified management investment company, and Murray Watson, Jr., John Harris, Paul McGinnis, Robert Haymes and Roy Dugger (hereinafter referred to either as the "Watson Group" or the "Buyers") filed an application on August 14, 1975, and an amendment thereto on August 12, 1976, which requests an order of the Commission exempting from the provisions of section 17(a) of the Act the proposed purchase by the Watson Group from Founders of 200,500 shares of common stock of Founders Preferred Life Insurance Company, a Texas company which is an affiliate of Founders and of which the Buyers are affiliated persons. All interested persons are referred to the application on file with the Commission for a statement of the representations contained therein, which are summarized below.

Pursuant to an agreement dated May 21, 1975, as amended (the "Stock Purchase Agreement"), the Watson Group proposes to acquire 200,500 shares, or approximately 35 percent, of the common stock of Founders Preferred from Founders at a price of $2.59 per share, or a total purchase price of approximately $519,295. The Watson Group presently owns 125,416 shares, or about 21% of the outstanding common stock of Founders Preferred. Moreover, the members of the Watson Group comprise five members of the nine-member board

of directors of Founders Preferred. Thus, the Buyers are affiliated persons of Founders Preferred pursuant to section 2(a)(3)(D) of the Act which, in pertinent part, includes within the definition of affiliated person of another person any officer or director of such other person.

In addition, because Founders owns approximately 35 percent of the shares of common stock of Founders Preferred, Founders Preferred is an affiliated person of Founders—a registered investment company—pursuant to section 2(a)(3)(B) of the Act, which includes within the definition of affiliated person of another person any person 5 percent or more of whose outstanding voting securities are owned by such other person. Consequently, the Buyers (the Watson Group) are affiliated persons of an affiliated person (Founders Preferred) of a registered investment company (Founders).

Section 17(a) of the Act provides, in pertinent part, that it shall be unlawful for any affiliated person of a registered investment company, or any affiliated person or such person, acting as principal, knowingly to sell to or to purchase from such registered investment company any security or other property subject to certain exceptions. Section 17(b) of the Act provides that the Commission, upon application, may exempt a proposed transaction from the provisions of section 17(a) of the Act if the evidence establishes that the terms of the proposed transaction, including the consideration to be paid or received, are reasonable and fair and do not involve overreaching on the part of any person concerned, and the proposed transaction is consistent with the policy of each investment company concerned and with the general purposes of the Act.

Applicants contend that the proposed purchase price, $2.59 per share, is fair and reasonable. They point out that this price was initially determined in negotiations between the Watson Group and a previous management group of Founders, and was embodied in the original Stock Purchase Agreement dated May 21, 1975. Thereafter, this price was ratified by the present management of Founders in November of 1975. Thus, Applicants believe that the proposed purchase price is the result, in effect, of arm's length negotiations.

Applicants further state that the proposed purchase price was determined by the use of a formula which provides a rule-of-thumb method for the valuation of share of life insurance companies in block purchase acquisitions, such as the present proposed transaction. The formula is based on the premise that the fair value of life insurance company shares in such transactions is their book value, adjusted to reflect the present value of the company's outstanding policies. Thus, the target company's paid-in-capital and surplus accounts (including a mandatory securities valuation reserve) (i.e., its equity) is added to the value of its insurance in force, which value is estimated to be the equivalent of one year's premium income, to reach adjusted book value.

The application discloses that, as applied to audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 1974, the foregoing formula produced a value of $2.56 per Founders Preferred share. This figure was revised upward to $2.59 per share in the negotiations between the Watson Group and the former management of Founders, with the result that $2.59 became the contract price in the original Stock Purchase Agreement. The purchase price remains at $2.59 per share, although as noted in the application, the formula would produce a somewhat lower figure, $2.38 per share. If applied to Founders' most recent audited financial statements (as at December 31, 1975). It is also noted in the application that the book value of Founders Preferred is $2.19 per share based on Generally Accepted Accounting Principles. However, Applicants assert that this figure is not overly significant for comparative purposes or otherwise because the formula method is predicated on statutory accounting principles, and it would not be appropriate to apply the formula to GAAP figures. Applicants have cited the opinions of several experienced financial consulting firms to support this view. Book value of insurance company shares in block purchase transactions as a general rule is now determined on the basis of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles.[1] The original Stock Purchase Agreement was entered into at a time when the book value of such shares could properly be determined on the basis of statutory accounting principles.

Lastly, Applicants note the fact that the proposed purchase price represents a premium of 50 cents over the $2.00 per share which Founders paid to acquire its interest in Founders Preferred.

The application points out that the members of the Watson Group have first-hand knowledge of the financial condition of Founders Preferred by reason of their association in a managerial capacity with the company since at least 1973. Two of the Buyers are the principal executive officers of Founders Preferred, and it is represented that the Watson Group wants to acquire Founders' interest in Founders Preferred primarily to solidify its managerial position with respect to the company.

Thus, it is represented that Founders wishes to sell its interest in Founders Preferred principally to improve the financial condition of Founders, while the Watson Group wishes to buy this interest principally to gain voting as well as operational control of Founders Preferred.

*Notice is further given,* That any interested person may, not later than December 29, 1976, at 5:30 p.m., submit to the Commission in writing a request for a hearing on the application accompanied by a statement as to the nature of his interest, the reasons for such request, and the issues, if any, of fact or law proposed to be controverted, or he may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing thereon. Any such communication should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. A copy of such request shall be served personally or by mail upon the Applicants at the addresses stated above. Proof of such service (by affidavit, or in the case of an attorney-at-law, by certificate) shall be filed contemporaneously with the request. As provided by Rule O–5 of the Rules and Regulations promulgated under the Act, an order disposing of the application herein will be issued as of course following said date, unless the Commission thereafter orders a hearing upon request or upon the Commission's own motion. Persons who request a hearing or advice as to whether a hearing is ordered will receive any notices and orders issued in this matter, including the date of the hearing (if ordered) and any postponements thereof.

For the Commission, by the Division of Investment Management, pursuant to delegated authority.

GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc.76-36585 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

[1] See Accounting Series Release No. 183 (November 14, 1975).

---

[Rel. No. 9556]

## NARRAGANSETT CAPITAL CORP.

### Filing of Application for Order

DECEMBER 6, 1976.

*Notice Is Hereby Given,* That Narragansett Capital Corporation ("Narragansett" or "Applicant"), registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the "Act") as a non-diversified, closed-end management investment company, and licensed as a small business investment company under the Small Business Investment Act of 1958, filed an application on August 31, 1976, for an order of the Commission pursuant to section 17(b) of the Act exempting from the provisions of section 17(a) of of the Act and Rule 17d–1 thereunder the Act, and pursuant to section 17(d) permitting, certain transactions proposed to be made in connection with the acquisition by Necro Realty, Inc. ("Necro"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Bevis Industries, Inc. ("Bevis"), a corporation presumed to be controlled by Applicant, of all of the outstanding stock of Greenville Tube Corporation ("Greenville") also presumed to be controlled by Applicant. Amendments to said application were filed with the Commission on October 26, 1976 and November 29, 1976. All interested persons are referred to the application on file with the Commission for a statement of the representations contained therein, which are summarized below.

Applicant states that it presently owns 836,952 shares of Bevis common stock, or approximately 36 percent of the outstanding shares of Bevis. In addition, Applicant states that six of its directors own, in the aggregate, 89,761 shares of Bevis. According to the application, Bevis, a publicly held corporation which has engaged, *"inter alia,"* in the busi-

ness of manufacturing and selling Christmas cards, continued, following reorganization under Chapter XI of the Federal Bankruptcy Act in April, 1975, to sustain operating losses and was in a precarious financial condition. Narragansett asserts that as a result its equity position in Bevis became jeopardized, and that the continued financial difficulties of Bevis led its board of directors to conclude that it must (i) reduce its expenses by disposing of most of its properties and curtailing its existing business, and (ii) seek to acquire an existing profitable business.

Such opportunity, Applicant asserts, exists in Greenville, a Pennsylvania corporation with plants located in Greenville, Pennsylvania and Clarksville, Arkansas, which is engaged in the manufacture of stainless steel pressure tubing. Narragansett states that in January, 1974, it purchased 50 percent of Greenville's outstanding voting securities for $250,000, and that it also holds a $1.5 million subordinated note of Greenville. Applicant states that the other 50 percent of the outstanding voting securities of Greenville are owned by seven employees of Greenville ("Employee Stockholders").

According to the application, on September 28, 1976, Necro, Bevis and the stockholders of Greenville, including Narragansett, entered into an agreement whereby Necro would purchase all of the outstanding shares of Greenville ("Stock Purchase Agreement") for $13,125,000, payable $125,000 in cash, within ten days following the closing and $13,000,000 in Subordinated Installment Notes, bearing interest at 6 percent per annum, maturing on January 15, 1982, and payable in principal installments as follows:

| | Amount of installment payable to employee stockholders | Amount of installment payable to Narragansett |
|---|---|---|
| Jan. 15, 1977 | $1,500,000 | 0 |
| Jan. 15, 1978 | 750,000 | $750,000 |
| Jan. 15, 1979 | 750,000 | 750,000 |
| Jan. 15, 1980 | 750,000 | 750,000 |
| Jan. 15, 1981 | 750,000 | 750,000 |
| Jan. 15, 1982 | 2,000,000 | 3,500,000 |

The application states that the closing of the sale of Greenville stock is expressly conditioned on the granting by the Commission of the order requested in this application, and that, following the closing, Greenville would be immediately merged into Necro, the name of which would be changed to "Greenville Tube Corporation ("New Greenville"). It also states that the Subordinated Installment Notes to be issued by Necro, referred to above, would be subordinated to any bank indebtedness of New Greenville, and that no payments of principal could be made (1) while New Greenville is in default on such bank indebtedness, or (2) to the extent any such payments due after January 31, 1977, would reduce the tangible net worth of New Greenville (as defined in the Stock Purchase Agreement) below $4,000,000. According to the application the manner by which such "tangible net worth," of New Greenville will be calculated will not reflect, among other things: (1) the net book value of intangible assets and deferred charges (except to the extent payment therefor is made); (2) goodwill; and (3) unpaid principal and interest under the Subordinated Installment Notes. However, the application states that the entire unpaid principal and interest of the Subordinated Installment Notes would become due and payable on January 15, 1982. According to the application, the Subordinated Installment Notes will be guaranteed by Bevis, and secured by a pledge of all the stock of New Greenville ("Guaranty and Pledge Agreement").

Applicant states that the subordinated note of Greenville it presently holds would have its maturity date extended from January 31, 1979, to February 15, 1982, and that the interest rate it bears would be changed from a floating rate of 5 percent over the prime rate, with a minimum of 12 percent and a maximum of 15 percent, to a flat rate of 12 percent.

The application describes certain other agreements related to the purchase of Greenville stock and the merger of Greenville into Necro, which would be executed upon the closing of the purchase. These are: (1) employment agreements with Arthur McGonigal ("McGonigal"), Greenville's president, and George Painter ("Painter"), the manager of its Clarksville plant, both of whom are Employee Stockholders, at the same rate of compensation now paid them; (2) agreements under which Narragansett grants McGonigal and Painter options to purchase 200,000 and 100,000 shares, respectively, of Bevis stock owned by Narragansett at Narragansett's average cost for such stock of $1.51 per share, exercisable only after payment in full of the Subordinated Installment Notes; (3) consent by Industrial National Bank of Rhode Island, Bevis' principal lender, to, among other things, the acquisition of Greenville stock by Necro and the release of its lien on Necro stock, which will be pledged as collateral for the Subordinated Installment Notes; (4) an agreement among the principal shareholders of Bevis which stabilizes representation on the Bevis board of directors of the interests of, among others, Narragansett and the Employee Stockholders until payment in full of the Subordinated Installment Notes; and (5) the Guaranty and Pledge Agreement referred to above.

According to the application, one of the conditions precedent to the obligations of Greenville shareholders to sell their shares to Necro is a termination of Bevis' employment contract with Bernhardt Denmark ("Denmark") which was to have been in effect until December 31, 1980, and under which Denmark was to receive a salary of $90,000 per annum and 5 percent of Bevis' pre-tax profits. Narragansett states that the employment contract was entered into by Bevis on January 26, 1972, and subsequently amended on June 6, 1972, and August 4, 1976. The Applicant states that pursuant to the most recent amendment of said contract, Denmark's share in Bevis profits was raised to 5 percent and he was sold 400,000 additional shares of Bevis stock for $40,000, payable $4,000 in cash and a note from Denmark in the amount of $36,000. An order of the Commission permitting consummation of this contract was requested and received (Investment Company Act Release No. 9008, October 30, 1975). Narragansett states that on September 28, 1976, the employment contract with Denmark was terminated in consideration of payment to him of $235,000 in cash, payable over the period ending April 1, 1977, and the assignment to him of certain notes receivable of Bevis having a face amount of approximately $65,000. In addition, Narragansett states that, pursuant to said termination agreement, Bevis purchased from Denmark the 400,000 shares of its stock, referred to above, in consideration of payment to him of $4,000 and cancellation of his $36,000 note. It also states that Denmark will continue to provide Bevis with his services on a consulting basis, in connection with the collection of certain receivables and the settlement of certain liabilities, for not more than 10 hours per month, until April 1, 1977. The application discloses that Denmark, who prior to the above transaction owned 32.6 percent of outstanding Bevis common stock, now owns 21.6 percent of such stock after the termination.

Section 2(a)(3) of the Act includes, within the definition of "affiliated person" of another person, (1) any person controlled by such other person; (2) any person five per centum or more of whose outstanding voting securities are owned by such other person; and (3) any employee, officer or director of such other person. Section 2(a)(9) of the Act provides, in part, that any person owning, directly or indirectly, more than 25 per centum of the voting securities of a company shall be presumed to control such company. Accordingly, it appears from the foregoing that (1) Bevis, Necro and Greenville are affiliated persons of, and presumed to be controlled by, Applicant, and (2) the Employee Stockholders and Denmark are affiliated persons of an affiliated person (Greenville or Bevis, respectively) of Applicant.

Section 17(a) of the Act provides, in part, that it is unlawful for any affiliated person of a registered investment company or any affiliated person of such person, acting as principal: (1) knowingly to sell any security or other property to such registered company or to any company controlled by such registered company, unless such sale involves solely securities of which the buyer is the issuer, or (2) knowingly to purchase from such registered company or from any company controlled by such registered company, any security or other property, except securities of which the seller is the issuer. Section 17(b) of the Act generally provides that, upon application, the Commission shall exempt a proposed transaction from the provisions of Section 17(a) if evidence establishes that

the terms of the proposed transaction, including the consideration to be paid or received, are reasonable and fair and do not involve overreaching on the part of any person concerned and that the proposed transaction is consistent with the policy of the registered investment company concerned and with the general purposes of the Act.

Narragansett requests an order of the Commission, pursuant to Section 17(b) of the Act, exempting from the provisions of Section 17(a) of the Act:

(1) The proposed sale to Necro by the Employee Stockholders of shares of Greenville common stock;

(2) The proposed sale by Necro of Subordinated Installment Notes to Narragansett;

(3) The proposed purchase by Necro from Narragansett of shares of Greenville common stock; and,

(4) The proposed granting by Narragansett to McGonigal and Painter of options to purchase shares of Bevis stock held by Narragansett.

Section 17(d) of the Act and Rule 17d–1 thereunder, taken together, provide, in part, that it is unlawful for an affiliated person of a registered investment company, acting as principal, to effect any transaction in which such investment company is a joint participant, without the permission of the Commission. Rule 17d–1 provides, in part, that in passing upon applications for orders granting such permission, the Commission will consider (1) whether the participation of the investment company in such transaction on the basis proposed is consistent with the provisions, policies, and purposes of the Act, and (2) the extent to which such participation is on a basis different from or less advantageous than that of other participants.

The Applicant also requests an order of the Commission, pursuant to Section 17(d) of the Act and Rule 17d–1 thereunder, permitting, as a joint enterprise, the stock purchase transaction and the agreements relating thereto, which are summarized above. However, Applicant states that such requests for orders do not seek any order of the Commission with respect to the termination agreement with Denmark, which, in the opinion of counsel to Denmark, Bevis and Applicant, does not require an order of the Commission pursuant to Section 17 (d) of the Act and Rule 17d–1 thereunder.

Narragansett asserts that the terms of the proposed transactions are the result of arm's-length negotiations among the parties, each of whom was represented by counsel, and that, based upon ordinary standards of valuation, the purchase price for Greenville stock and the terms of such purchase are fair and reasonable as to all parties. According to the application, such price was approved by the boards of directors of Bevis and Narragansett after thorough discussion of the operations, financial history and future prospects of Greenville and was agreed to by the Employee Stockholders at a meeting at which various aspects of the transaction were reviewed with them and their counsel. Applicant states that discounting the face amount of the Subordinated Installment Notes, at a rate of 10 percent, to present value, represents a price of approximately 5.3 times the average after-tax earnings of Greenville over the fiscal years ending May 31, 1975 and May 31, 1976. While Applicant admits that this multiple represents a higher multiple of earnings than Greenville shareholders, including itself, could expect to receive from most other potential purchasers, it states that it is reasonable for Bevis to pay a higher price for Greenville shares because, apart from a small amount of cash, the purchase price will be paid out of future earnings which will not, in Applicant's view, be subject to federal income tax due to the availability to Bevis of net operating loss carryforwards. Applicant states that such carryforwards available to Bevis and its subsidiaries as of December 27, 1975, in the aggregate, amounted to $10,977,000.

According to the application Greenville's net income after taxes, for the four months ended September 30, 1976, was approximately $34,000, or $102,000 annualized, and the book value of its assets as of that date was $11,309,179, less liabilities of $6,269,855. Applicant states that although Greenville's current earnings level is quite low, it is the opinion of Applicant that this is a temporary condition and that the long-term outlook for Greenville's return to higher levels of profitability is excellent. In this regard, Narragansett makes the following assertions: (1) there is no indication that Greenville is losing its position in the market; (2) Greenville management has informed it that its competition is operating at levels of 25 percent to 35 percent of capacity while Greenville is currently operating at approximately 50 percent of capacity; (3) Greenville is in a good position with over one year's supply of raw material which was acquired and fully paid for at prices considerably below the current cost for such raw material; and (4) its confidence is based to a large degree on the ability of Greenville management. Applicant further states that, after full disclosure of the business turndown of Greenville, the boards of directors of both Bevis and Narragansett decided that this was a temporary phenomenon which did not affect the fundamental soundness and viability of the transaction.

Moreover, Narragansett states that its interests are well protected should there be a default in payment of the Subordinated Installment Notes because, inter alia, a default would increase the rate of interest payable on the outstanding principal to 12 percent, accelerate principal payments, and, under the Guaranty and Pledge Agreement, give former Greenville shareholders (including Narragansett) the opportunity to repossess the stock of New Greenville (while retaining any payments previously made unless the Notes are paid in full).

Narragansett asserts that the proposed transaction would be beneficial to it and that, if not consummated, the result would be a complete loss of its investment of $1,260,016 in Bevis common stock, which would be in addition to substantial losses it already has suffered on loans it made to Bevis which were subordinate in priority to certain bank loans in the Chapter XI proceeding, referred to above. According to the application, if the acquisition of Greenville by Necro is consummated, and if the Subordinated Installment Notes are paid in full in January, 1982, Narragansett will have realized capital gains of $6,250,000 before taxes and would have received interest of $1,500,000 before taxes. In addition, Narragansett states that it would then own 536,952 shares of Bevis common stock, assuming that the stock options granted to McGonigal and Painter would be exercised.

The Applicant asserts that there are also benefits to other Bevis shareholders because, before the proposed transaction was negotiated, Bevis was suffering substantial losses in its operations; it was faced with a threat of foreclosure on all its assets by its principal bank; and trading in its shares was almost nonexistent. On the other hand, if the proposed transaction is consummated Narragansett expects that Bevis will become a profitable going concern on a consolidated basis and that an active trading market for its stock should be restored.

The application states that the proposed transactions do not involve overreaching by any person involved, and that the participation of Narragansett in such transactions will not be on a basis less advantageous that that of other participants. In this regard, the Applicant states that in only one situation will any one group of a company's shareholders be treated differently from another group of shareholders of such company; that is, as between various Greenville shareholders, (1) the Employee Stockholders are given an equal, but earlier payout on their Subordinated Installment Notes than is Narragansett, and (2) Narragansett will grant the aforementioned option to McGonigal and Painter.

Narragansett states that the different payout schedule is the result of arm's length bargaining and reflects a distinction between the contribution to Greenville of the Employee Stockholders, which is their managerial skill, and that of Narragansett, which was an investment of its capital. The Applicant asserts that upon considering that the Employee Stockholders, who have developed their successful business, are selling their portion of ownership of such a business to a company but recently emerged from bankruptcy, the assurance of an early realization of at least a portion of their sales price should not be deemed unreasonable, unfair, or to involve overreaching. The Applicant also asserts that if a default in payment of the Subordinated Installment Notes should occur, and repossession of the stock of New Green-

ville take place, Narragansett would be entitled to a share of such stock proportionate to the then outstanding principal amount held by it, subject, however, to the right of the Employee Stockholders to bring their stock ownership back to 50 percent by their paying Narragansett an amount equal to what Narragansett would have received, had all the principal payments been strictly proportional. With respect to the proposed option, Narragansett asserts that such option is an inducement for McConigal and Painter to agree to sell their ownership interest in Grenville, and is desirable to the extent it provides them with additional management incentive until the Subordinated Installment Notes are paid.

The application states that the proposed transaction is consistent with Narragansett's investment policy, which requires that decisions with respect to portfolio securities be made on the basis of "the best interests of the Stockholders and the dictates of existing business and financial considerations."

Applicant submits that the terms of the proposed transactions, including the consideration to be paid and received, are reasonable and fair, and do not involve overreaching. It further represents that the proposed transactions are consistent with its investment policy and the intent and purposes of the Act and that is participation in such transactions is not on a basis less advantageous than that of other participants.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than December 27, 1976, at 5:30 p.m., submit to the Commission in writing a request for a hearing on the matter accompanied by a statement as to the nature of his interest, the reason for such request, and the issues, if any, of fact or law proposed to be controverted, or he may request that he be notified if the Commission shall order a hearing thereon. Any such communication should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. A copy of such request shall be served personally or by mail upon Applicant at the address set forth above. Proof of such service (by affidavit or, in the case of an attorney at law, by certificate) shall be filed contemporaneously with the request. As provided by Rule 0–5 of the Rules and Regulations promulgated under the Act, an order disposing of the application will be issued as of course following said date unless the Commission thereafter orders a hearing upon request or upon the Commission's own motion. Persons who request a hearing or advice as to whether a hearing is ordered will receive any notices and orders issued in this matter, including the date of the hearing (if ordered) and any postponements thereof.

For the Commission, by the Division of Investment Management, pursuant to delegated authority.

GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc.76-36582 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

[File No. 20-2115A1]

## PREMIER OIL & GAS INC.

### Order Permanently Suspending Regulation B Exemption

DECEMBER 7, 1976.

On March 12, 1976, the Commission issued an order temporarily suspending the Regulation B exemption in the captioned offering sheet filed by Premier Oil & Gas Bohach No. 1, Borrego Creek Prospect (File No. 20-2115A1) stating that it had reasonable cause to believe that:

1. The offering was made in violation of the anti-fraud provisions of Section 17(a) of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and Rule 10b-5 thereunder, in that Premier Oil & Gas, Inc. while engaged in the offering, directly and indirectly made use of the means and instruments of transportation and communication in interstate commerce and of the means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce, and in connection with the offer and sale of this offering made to prospective purchasers and investors untrue statements of material facts and omitted to state material facts necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading, including but not limited to the following statements:

(a) That Premier Oil & Gas, Inc. was "hitting" on 80 percent of the wells it was drilling, when in fact, Premier Oil & Gas, Inc. had never drilled any wells;

(b) That at the time of the initial contact with the prospective investor, Premier Oil & Gas, Inc. had nothing to sell because all previous offerings were sold out, when in fact, the Bohach No. 1, Borrego Creek Prospect was the first offering made by Premier Oil & Gas, Inc.;

(c) That Continental Oil Company was drilling 100 wells in the immediate vicinity of the Bohach No. 1, Borrego Creek Prospect, and was planning to bring ten drilling rigs into the area by the end of January, 1976, when in fact, representatives of Continental Oil Company state that these assertions were false;

(d) That Premier Oil & Gas, Inc. "couldn't miss" in drilling the proposed well, when in fact, there are significant risks associated with the drilling of any oil or gas well;

(e) That Premier Oil & Gas, Inc. was not drilling "wildcat" wells, when in fact, the drilling application filed with the Texas Railroad Commission on October 28, 1975, states that the proposed drill site is a "wildcat", and statements in the offering sheet lead to the conclusion that the proposed well is a "wildcat", as the term is used and understood in the oil industry;

(f) That the proposed well was in the middle of "one of the hottest oil plays in Texas, with heavy production around it", when in fact, the well nearest the drill site is a dry hole, and the nearest producing well is at least 2½ miles from the drill site;

(g) That any income that investors would receive would not have to be disclosed as taxable income, when in fact, there is no legal basis for such an assertion;

(h) That Premier had obtained the prospective investor's name by way of referral, and either that the name of the referring party could not be located, or that it is against SEC regulations to divulge such name, when in fact, lists of prospective investors' names were purchased by Premier Oil & Gas, Inc. from Dun and Bradstreet, and there are no such SEC regulations;

(i) That the offering represented the chance of a lifetime kind of investment, when in fact, there was no reasonable basis to believe that this offering was any less speculative than other such offerings;

(j) That a salesman for Premier Oil & Gas, Inc. had invested heavily in fractional undivided working interests offered and sold by Premier Oil & Gas, Inc., and got his investment back in six months, when in fact, this was the first offering made by Premier Oil & Gas, Inc.; and including but not limited to the following omissions:

(a) That Premier Oil & Gas, Inc. could not pay its trade bills as they became due;

(b) That Premier Oil & Gas, Inc. had not registered its securities for sale in the state where several offerees reside.

2. No exemption is available for this offering under Regulation B because the offering sheet used failed to comply with Rules 330 (a) and (b) of Regulations B (17 CFR 230.330 (a) and (b)) by omitting to state material facts necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading, including but not limited to omissions as to the fact that Premier Oil & Gas, Inc. could not pay its trade bills as they became due, and as to the fact that Premier Oil & Gas, Inc. had not registered its securities for sale in the state where several offerees reside.

3. No exemption is available for this offering sheet under Regulation B because Premier Oil & Gas, Inc. failed to comply with Rule 310(b) (17 CFR 230.310(b)) by failing to deliver copies of the offering sheet to the investors at or prior to the time of the initial offer.

4. No exemption is available for this offering under Regulation B because Premier Oil & Gas, Inc. failed to comply with Rule 310(d) (17 CFR 230.310(d)) by failing to deliver copies of the offering sheet to the investors at least 48 hours before the sale was made.

5. No exemption is available for this offering under Regulation B because Premier Oil & Gas, Inc. failed to comply with Rule 316(a)(1) (17 CFR 230.316(a)(1)) by filing with the Commission a Form 1-G report which failed to contain the information called for by the form, in that some of the information submitted was inaccurate.

6. No exemption is available for this offering under Regulation B because Premier Oil & Gas, Inc. failed to comply with Rule 322 (17 CFR 230.322) in that employees of Premier Oil & Gas, Inc. in the course of oral communications with prospective investors used language stating or implying that the Commission has passed upon the merits of, or given its approval to, the securities offered or the terms of the offering, including but not limited to statements made to offerees and investors that copies of checks remitted by investors are sent to the Commission "to make it legal."

A hearing was requested pursuant to Rule 336 by Premier Oil & Gas, Inc. on April 8, 1976. Premier withdrew its request on August 12, 1976. Therefore, the Commission finds it to be in the public interest and for the protection of investors that the exemption be permanently suspended.

*Accordingly, it is ordered,* Pursuant to Rules 334 and 336 of Regulation B under the Securities Act of 1933, that the exemption from registration with respect to Premier Oil & Gas, Inc., Bohach No. 1, Borrego Creek Prospect (20-2115A1) offering be, and hereby is parmanently suspended.

For the Commission, by its Secretary, pursuant to delegated authority.

GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc.76-36581 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

---

[54-253]

## UNION ELECTRIC CO. AND MISSOURI UTILITIES CO.

**Filing of and Order for Hearing on Plan Filed Pursuant to Section 11(e); Notice of and Order Instituting Proceedings and Directing Hearing Pursuant to Section 11(b)(2); and Order Consolidating Such Proceeding.**

DECEMBER 6, 1976.

Notice is hereby given that Union Electric Company ("Union"), One Memorial Drive, St. Louis, Missouri 63102, a registered holding company, has filed an amended plan with this Commission pursuant to Section 11(e) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("Act") providing for the retirement by Union of the publicly-held shares of common stock of Missouri Utilities Company ("MU"), 400 Broadway, Cape Girardeau, Missouri 63791, a public utility subsidiary. All interested persons are referred to the amended plan, which is summarized below, for a complete description of the proposed transaction.

By order dated April 10, 1974, HCAR No. 18368, Union was authorized to tender shares of its common stock in exchange for shares of the common stock of MU. Pursuant to the terms of the exchange offer, Union tendered 1.1 shares of its own common stock for each share of MU. As a result of the tender, which terminated March 27, 1975, Union acquired 722,829 shares (or 98.88%) of the 730,995 outstanding shares of MU's stock. The remaining 8,166 shares of MU common stock are still held by members of the general public. The amended plan provides for the issuance by Union of its shares of common stock, par value $5 per share, through an exchange agent, in exchange for the publicly-held shares of MU's common stock on the basis of 1.1 shares of Union common stock for each share of MU common stock. No certificates for fractional common shares of Union common stock will be issued. Any holder of shares of MU common stock who would otherwise be entitled to a fractional Union common share may dispose of such fractional share interest through the exchange agent or he may purchase through the exchange agent any available fractional share interest sufficient to entitle him to an additional full Union common shares. If an alternative is not designated, any fractional share interest will be disposed of. The exchange agent will, to the extent possible, utilize the pool of Union common shares in its possession to complete the orders and, to the extent necessary, will make sales and purchases of Union common stock on the New York Stock Exchange.

Fractional shares are to be acquired and disposed of at an established price equal to the closing price on the New York Stock Exchange for common shares of Union on the business day preceding the date on which the shares of MU common stock were mailed to or personally delivered to the exchange agent. If the exchange agent must sell or purchase common stock of Union at a price different from the established price in order to complete a fractional share transaction, Union will bear the loss of or receive the gain from such sale or purchase. Union will pay the cost and expenses for this service; there will be no charge to the MU common shareholders.

The plan will become effective on a date set by Union ("effective date"), which date shall be as soon as practicable after the entry of an order by a District Court of the United States approving and enforcing the plan. On that date, the public holders of MU's common stock will cease to have any rights as shareholders of MU and, upon the surrender of their stock certificates, will be entitled to receive only the common shares of Union, any dividend payments thereon (less taxes imposed or paid in respect thereof) and their portion of the proceeds from the sale of fractional shares of MU. At the expiration of five years from the effective date ("expiration date"), Union and MU will be entitled, with approval of the Commission, to apply to the District Court having jurisdiction for a further order finding that Union has made all reasonable efforts to locate holders of unexchanged shares of MU's common stock and ordering that neither Union nor MU shall have any further obligation to solicit the surrender of any unsurrendered certificates. Thereafter, until the fifteenth anniversary of the effective date, holders of unexchanged certificates of MU common stock may surrender such certificates to the exchange agent and receive certificates for common stock of Union to which they are entitled under the plan.

At the end of fifteen years from the effective date of the plan, public holders of common stock of MU who have not surrendered their certificates will cease to have any rights or claims against Union or MU; and all such certificates will be null and void and of no further force or effect.

The carrying out of the plan is subject to all necessary approvals by the Commission under the Act and to the approval and enforcement of the plan by a District Court of the United States having jurisdiction as fair and equitable and as appropriate to effectuate the provisions of Section 11 of the Act.

II

The Act requires that each registered holding company and each subsidiary company thereof take such steps as the Commission finds to be necessary in order to insure that the corporate structure or continued existence of any company in the holding company system does not unduly or unnecessarily complicate the structure or unfairly or inequitably distribute voting power among those holding securities in the system. Union has now filed under the Act for the limited purpose of bringing the corporate structure of the Union system into conformity with the provisions of Section 11(b)(2) of the Act. The application filed by Union and MU must be examined in light of the corporate structure of the system:

1. Union, a Missouri corporation is a combination gas and electric utility company, as well as a holding company exempted, pursuant to Section 3(a)(2), from registration by orders of the Commission, 40 SEC 1072 (1960), HCAR No. 18368, (April 10, 1974). Union serves electric utility customers in Missouri, Illinois and Iowa and natural gas customers in Illinois. In addition, it furnishes steam heating service to a section of St. Louis. As of July 31, 1976, Union's corporate net plant was $1,847,917,000 and its corporate operating revenues (after intercompany eliminations) for the twelve months ended July 31, 1976 were $632,366,000. As of July 31, 1976 it had outstanding 40,742,659 shares of common stock, $5 par value, and 3,958,595 shares of preferred stock, no par value, all of which are held by the public. On May 31, 1976, MU had 28,440 shares of preferred stock outstanding and Missouri Power & Light Company, another subsidiary of Union, had 60,000 shares of its preferred stock outstanding.

2. Union has, in addition to MU, three other subsidiary utility companies. It owns all of the common stock of Missouri Power & Light Company and Missouri Edison Company, both gas and electric utility companies operating within Missouri. Union, as one of four sponsoring utility companies, owns 40% of the outstanding capital stock of Electric Energy, Inc., an electric utility company operating in Illinois. Union also owns all of the capital stock of Union

Colliery Company, a nonutility subsidiary.

3. MU, a Missouri corporation, is a gas and electric company operating solely within Missouri. The company also supplies water service to the residents of Cape Girardeau, Missouri. As of July 31, 1976, MU's net plant was $54,106,000, and its operating revenues (after intercompany eliminations) for the twelve months then ended were $38,000,000.

III

It being the duty of the Commission, pursuant to Section 11(b)(2) of the Act, to require by order, after notice and opportunity for hearing, that each registered holding company and each subsidiary company thereof take such steps as the Commission shall find necessary to ensure that the corporate structure or continued existence of any company in a holding-company system does not, among other things, unfairly or inequitably distribute voting power among security holders of such holding-company system; and

The Commission being required by the provisions of Section 11(e) of the Act, before approving any plan filed thereunder, to find, after notice and opportunity for hearing, that such plan, as submitted or as modified, is necessary to effectuate the provisions of Section 11(b) and is fair and equitable to the persons affected thereby; and

The Commission deeming it appropriate that notice be given and a hearing held for the purpose of determining what action should be ordered under Section 11(b)(2) and for the purpose of ascertaining whether the plan should be approved; and

It appearing that common issues of fact and law arise in connection with the Section 11(e) plan and in connection with the issues involved under Section 11(b)(2), making it appropriate that the two proceedings be consolidated and that Union and MU should be parties to the consolidated proceedings:

*It is hereby ordered:* (a) That a proceeding be, and it hereby is, instituted in respect of Union and MU pursuant to Section 11(b)(2) of the Act.

(b) That said proceeding be, and it hereby is, consolidated with the proceeding in connection with the Section 11(e) plan of Union.

(c) That Union and MU be, and they hereby are, made parties to said consolidated proceeding.

Upon the basis of a prelimanry examination of the affairs and of the corporate structure of Union and MU and of a preliminary study of said plan of Union, the following matters and questions are presented for consideration at such hearing, without prejudice, however, to the presentation of additional matters and questions upon further examination:

1. Whether the voting power is unfairly and inequitably distributed among the holders of the capital stock of MU, and, if so, what steps, if any, are necessary and should be required to be taken to distribute fairly and equitably the voting power among such holders;

2. Whether the plan of Union, as submitted or as it may be modified or amended, is necessary to effectuate the provisions of Section 11(b) of the Act;

3. Whether the plan is fair and equitable to the persons affected thereby;

4. Whether, in general, the transactions proposed in the plan satisfy the applicable provisions of the Act; and

5. Whether the accounting entries proposed to be made in connection with the plan are proper and in accord with sound accounting principles.

*It is further ordered,* That a hearing in the consolidated proceeding be held, January 25, 1977, at the office of the Securities and Exchange Commission, 500 North Capitol Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20549, in such room as may be designated on such date by the hearing room clerk. Any person desiring to be heard in connection with this proceeding or proposing to intervene therein shall file with the Secretary of the Commission, on or before December 30, 1976, a written request relative thereto as provided in Rule 9 of the Commission's Rules of Practice. Persons filing an application to participate or be heard will receive notice of any adjournment of the hearing as well as other actions of the Commission involving the subject matter of these proceedings.

*It is further ordered,* That an Administrative Law Judge, hereafter to be designated, shall preside at said hearing. The officer so designated is hereby authorized to exercise all the powers granted to the Commission under Section 18(c) of the Act and to a hearing officer under the Commission's Rules of Practice.

*It is further ordered,* That at said hearing evidence shall be adduced with respect to the foregoing matters and questions.

*It is further ordered,* That jurisdiction be, and it hereby is, reserved to separate, in whole or in part, either for hearing or for disposition, any issues or questions which may arise in these proceedings and to take such other action as may appear conductive to an orderly, prompt, and economical disposition on of the matters involved.

*It is further ordered,* That the Secretary of the Commission shall serve notice of such hearing by mailing a copy of this notice and order by registered mail to Union and MU, and to the Federal Power Commission and the Missouri Public Service Commission; that Union mail a copy of this notice and order to all public holders of record of the capital stock of MU at least fifteen days prior to the date of hearing; and that notice of said hearing be given to all other interested persons by a general release of the Commission and by publication of this notice and order in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

For the Commission, by the Division of Corporate Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc.76-36584 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

## DEPARTMENT OF STATE

[Public Notice 509]

### PRIVACY ACT OF 1974

#### Notice of Proposed Change in a System of Records

Notice is hereby given that the Department of State proposes to change a system of records pursuant to the provisions of the Privacy Act of 1974 (Pub. L. 93-579), 5 U.S.C. 552a (o)) and the Office of Management and Budget Circular No. A-108, Transmittal Memorandum No. 1, dated September 30, 1975, (40 FR 45877) on October 3, 1975).

The report submitted to the Office of Management and Budget proposes to alter the system description of the Passport Records (State-26) by adding "computer media" as a means by which the Passport Records are stored.

Any persons interested in commenting on this change may do so by submitting comments in writing to the Director, Foreign Affairs Document and Reference Center, Room 1239, Department of State, 2201 C Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20520. If no comments are received by December 24, 1976, this change will become effective.

This notice supersedes Public Notice 496 (41 FR 41330, September 21, 1976) for the Passport Records only (State-26).

Dated: December 3, 1976 for the Secretary of State.

LAWRENCE S. EAGLEBURGER,
*Deputy Under Secretary for Management.*

[FR Doc.76-36565 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

---

### Agency for International Development

### RAV TOV COMMITTEE TO AID NEW IMMIGRANTS

#### Register of Voluntary Foreign Aid Agencies

In accordance with the regulations of the Agency for International Development concerning Registration of Agencies for Voluntary Foreign Aid (A.I.D. Regulation 3) 22 CFR Part 203, promulgated pursuant to section 621 of the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961, as amended, notice is hereby given that a Certificate of Registration as a voluntary foreign aid agency has been issued by the Advisory Committee on Voluntary Foreign Aid of the Agency for International Development to the following agency:

Rav Tov Committee to Aid New Immigrants, 125 Heyward Street, Brooklyn, New York 11206.

FRED O. PINKHAM,
*Assistant Administrator for Population and Humanitarian Assistance.*

DECEMBER 6, 1977.

[FR Doc.76-36660 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Highway Administration

[Docket 76–9]

### BAYONNE BRIDGE, GEORGE WASHINGTON BRIDGE, GOETHALS BRIDGE AND OUTERBRIDGE

#### Hearing on Crossing Tolls; Order

At the conclusion of the evidentiary hearing in the above-styled matter, the Administrative Law Judge, after discussion with the parties present, set December 22, 1976, as the date that simultaneous briefs would be due. Also, the Administrative Law Judge requested the public counsel to so notify those parties not present. This was accomplished by the public counsel by his letter of November 22, 1976.

Counsel for one of the parties, the Lambs, has contended that said procedure does not comply with 49 CFR 310.11. Said section provides that "* * * within 30 days after the Administrative Law Judge notifies the parties that he has received the transcript, or within such longer time as the Administrative Law Judge may describe.* * *"

It appears that the Administrative Law Judge's action was in substantial compliance with said section. Nonetheless, since there may be a technical noncompliance with 49 CFR 310.11, the following notice is given:

The parties are hereby notified that the complete transcript in the above-styled matter has been received by the Administrative Law Judge. Proposed Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and a supporting brief stating the reason for each proposal shall be filed with the Administrative Law Judge and served upon each party—postmarked no later than January 13, 1977.

*It is ordered* this 8th day of December 1976.

JOHN E. FAULK,
*Administrative Law Judge.*

[FR Doc.76–36789 Filed 12–13–76;8:45 am]

## DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

### Internal Revenue Service

### PENSION BENEFIT PLANS

#### Use of Certain Forms

In conjunction with its efforts to minimize the reporting by taxpayers, the Internal Revenue Service will not require that certain forms relating to Pension Benefit Plans be filed for taxable years that begin in 1976. The forms are:

| | |
|---|---|
| Form 5501 | Summary Statement for Two or More Employee Pension Benefit Plans |
| Form 5504 | Statement in Support of Deduction for Payments to Defined Benefit and Defined Contribution Plans |
| Form 5505 | Statement in Support of Deduction for Payments Made on Behalf of Self-Employed Individuals to Defined Benefit and Defined Contribution Plans |

This change as to filing requirements applies only to taxable years beginning in 1976.

DONALD C. ALEXANDER,
*Commissioner of Internal Revenue.*

[FR Doc.76–36921 Filed 12–13–76;8:45 am]

## INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

[Notice No. 211]

### ASSIGNMENT OF HEARINGS

DECEMBER 9, 1976.

Cases assigned for hearing, postponement, cancellation or oral argument appear below and will be published only once. This list contains prospective assignments only and does not include cases previously assigned hearing dates. The hearings will be on the issues as presently reflected in the Official Docket of the Commission. An attempt will be made to publish notices of cancellation of hearings as promptly as possible, but interested parties should take appropriate steps to insure that they are notified of cancellation or postponements of hearings in which they are interested.

MC 103066 (Sub 38), Stone Trucking Company now being assigned January 20, 1977 (2 days), at New York, New York in a hearing room to be later designated.

MC 140033 (Sub 16), Cox Refrigerated Express, Inc. now being assigned January 19, 1977 (1 day), at New York, New York in a hearing room to be later designated.

MC 117940 (Sub 179), Nationwide Carriers, Inc. now being assigned January 18, 1977 (1 day), at New York, New York in a hearing room to be later designated.

MC 135639 (Sub 5), Queensway, Inc. now being assigned January 17, 1977 (1 day), at New York, New York in a hearnig room to be later designated.

MC 140484 (Sub-No. 19), Lester Goggins Trucking, Inc., now assigned January 14, 1977, at Columbus, Ohio has been postponed indefinitely.

MC 140845 (Sub-No. 3), Hoke Bus Lines, Inc., now assigned January 26, 1976, at Columbus, Ohio will be held in Room 235, Federal Office Building, 85 Marconi Boulevard.

MC 119632 (Sub-No. 69), Reed Lines, Inc., now assigned January 25, 1977, at Columbus, Ohio will be held in Room 235, Federal Office Building, 85 Marconi Boulevard.

MC 119632 (Sub-No. 66), Reed Lines, Inc., now assigned January 31, 1977, at Columbus, Ohio will be held in Room 235, Federal Office Building, 85 Marconi Boulevard.

MC 10761 (Sub Nos. 184, 240, 247, 249, 253, 254, 256, 247, 259, 260, 266, 268 and 274), Transamerican Freight Lines, Inc. now assigned December 14, 1976 for continued hearings at the Offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission in Washington, D.C. is canceled and reassigned for April 4, 1977 at the Offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission in Washington, D.C.

MC 142012, Osborne West, Limited now assigned January 17, 1977 at San Francisco, California and will be held in room 510, 5th Floor, 210 Main Street.

MC 113855 (Sub 357), International Transport, Inc. now assigned January 12, 1977 at San Francisco, California and will be held in Room 510, 5th Floor, 210 Main Street.

MC 134068 (Sub 28), Kodiak Refrigerated Lines, Inc. now assigned January 13, 1977 at San Francisco, California and will be held in Room 510, 5th Floor, 210 Main Street.

MC 123407 (Sub 305), Sawyer Transport, Inc. now assigned January 11, 1977 at St. Paul, Minnesota and will be held in Court Room 2, Federal Building, 316 North Roberts Street.

MC 142087, Link Bros. Trucking, Inc. now assigned January 12, 1977 at St. Paul, Minnesota and will be held in Court Room 2, Federal Building, 316 North Roberts Street.

MC 115931 (Sub 41), Bee Line Transportation, Inc. now assigned January 17, 1977 at Billings, Montana will be held in Room 3033, Federal Building, 316 North 26th Street.

MC 141253, Cornucopia, Inc. now assigned January 24, 1977 at Boise, Idaho and will be held in Room 429, Federal Building, U.S. Post Office & Courthouse, 550 West Fort Street.

MC–C–9121, Carolina Coach Company v. Coastal Plain Charter Service, Inc., now assigned December 20, 1976, at Washington, D.C. is canceled.

MC 140416 (Sub 4), Jeffery P. Jenks, dba Jenks Cartage Co. now being assigned January 14, 1977 (1 day), at Columbia, Ohio in a hearing room to be later designated.

ROBERT L. OSWALD,
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc.76–36720 Filed 12–13–76;8:45 am]

federal register

TUESDAY, DECEMBER 14, 1976

PART II:

# DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

## Office of Assistant Secretary for Consumer Affairs and Regulatory Functions

■

# MOBILE HOME PROCEDURAL AND ENFORCEMENT REGULATIONS

## Extension of Effective Date

## Title 24—Housing and Urban Development

## CHAPTER XX—OFFICE OF ASSISTANT SECRETARY FOR CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND REGULATORY FUNCTIONS, DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

[Docket No. R-76-390]

## PART 3282—MOBILE HOME PROCEDURAL AND ENFORCEMENT REGULATIONS

### Extension of Effective Date

On June 21, 1976, at 41 FR 24971, the Department adopted a new § 3282.401(c) which delayed until September 15, 1976, the effectiveness of certain provisions of Subpart I of 24 CFR Part 3282 as published on May 13, 1976. That subpart implements section 615 of the National Mobile Home Construction and Safety Standards Act of 1974, 42 U.S.C. 5414, primarily by establishing procedures for handling consumer complaints that indicate the existence of flaws in mobile homes with respect to which remedial actions are required by section 615. The Department delayed certain provisions of the subpart because it appeared that they established unworkable requirements and that they would cause disruption and delay in providing remedies under the Act. The Department stated that it would develop and propose a revision to subpart I and would publish a final revision of the subpart after considering all comments. The Department intended to accomplish this before September 15, 1976, but it became apparent that the Department would not be able to do so.

On September 17, 1976, at 41 FR 40337, the Department extended the delay until December 15, 1976, and on September 21, 1976, at 41 FR 41214, the Department published a proposed revision to Subpart I.

After reviewing all comments received, the Department has developed a final version of Subpart I. That version will take effect 30 days after it is published. It is anticipated that the final rule will shortly be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER and will take effect 30 days after publication. The final rule will not be published as anticipated by December 15, 1976, due to the complexity of the issues involved, and the Department is further extending the delay of the May 13, 1976 version of Subpart I until the final rule takes effect because the petitions and comments received by the Department indicate that certain requirements of the earlier version may be unduly burdensome.

The Department notes that manufacturers remain responsible under the Act for providing remedial actions with respect to noncompliance and defects, and that manufacturers may wish to work with the Department to provide such remedial actions prior to the effective date of the subpart. Also, the Department notes that the delay in the effectiveness of this subpart does not modify the responsibility of the manufacturer to assure that all mobile homes that it sells are in conformance with the Federal standards and to correct any home that has not yet been sold to a purchaser if it does not conform to the standards.

In order to avoid requiring manufacturers and others to act under a system that may hinder effective consumer protections, the Department has determined that this amendment must be made effective as of the date it is published. Therefore, notice and public procedure are impractical and contrary to the public interest in this case. This amendment must take effect by December 15, 1976, rather than 30 days after its publication, as would normally be the case.

The Department has determined that an Environmental Impact Statement is not required with respect to this rule. A copy of the Finding of Inapplicability which was made for the regulation published on June 21, 1976, at 41 FR 24971 is available for inspection and copying according to Department rules and regulations at the Office of the Rules Docket Clerk, Room 10141, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 Seventh Street SW., Washington, D.C. That Finding applies to this amendment since the amendment merely extends the effectiveness of the rule for which the Finding was made.

NOTE.—It is hereby certified that the economic and inflationary impacts of the regulation published June 21, 1976, were carefully evaluated in accordance with OMB Circular A-107. That evaluation applies to this amendment for the reasons stated above.

Accordingly, 24 CFR 3282.401(c), as published at 41 FR 40337, is amended to read as follows:

§ 3282.401 Scope.

* * * * *

(c) Notwithstanding the provisions of §§ 3282.404–3282.407, no manufacturer may be required to act under those sections with respect to noncompliances or defects until the effective date of the final rule that is developed pursuant to the proposed rule issued on September 21, 1976, at 41 FR 41214, revising Subpart I, except as stated in this paragraph. However, each manufacturer shall retain all consumer complaints and other information received by the manufacturer before that date that indicate the possible existence of noncompliances or defects and maintain complete records of the manufacturer's response, if any. The Secretary and State Administrative Agencies that would act under §§ 3282.404–3282.407 with respect to noncompliances and defects shall forward complaints and other information to the manufacturers as they would under § 3282.406(a), but shall not require that any action be taken by the manufacturer until the effective date of the final rule, if the manufacturer responds under § 3282.406(b) that the information does not relate to a serious defect or imminent safety hazard and the SAA or the Secretary agrees. The effective date of the final rule of Subpart I shall be considered to be the date on which consumer complaints and other information were received by the manufacturer for purposes of computing the time periods within which actions must be taken under §§ 3282.404–3282.407 with respect to those complaints and other information relating to noncompliances or defects received before the effective date of the final rule.

(Secs. 615 and 625, National Mobile Home Construction and Safety Standards Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C. 5414 and 5424), sec. 7(d), Department of HUD Act, 42 U.S.C. 3535(d).)

Effective date: This amendment is effective December 15, 1976.

CONSTANCE B. NEWMAN,
*Assistant Secretary for Consumer Affairs and Regulatory Functions.*

[FR Doc.76-36594 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

federal register

TUESDAY, DECEMBER 14, 1976

PART III:

# DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

## Comptroller of the Currency

■

# CHARITABLE CONTRIBUTIONS BY NATIONAL BANKS AND DEFINITION OF BAD DEBTS FOR DIVIDEND PURPOSES

Proposed Rulemaking

## DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

### Comptroller of the Currency

### [ 12 CFR Part 7 ]

### CHARITABLE CONTRIBUTIONS BY NATIONAL BANKS

### Invitation for Comments On Proposal to Limit Charitable Contributions to A Percentage of Income Before Taxes

The Comptroller of the Currency is considering a revision of 12 CFR 7.7479, an interpretive ruling, which places a limitation on the charitable gifts that a national bank is authorized to make pursuant to the provisions of paragraph Eight of 12 U.S.C. 24. The limitation is contained in a proviso stating that the amount contributed must not "exceed that which is allowed by the Internal Revenue Service as a deduction from income."

The effect of this proviso is to limit charitable contributions to 5 percent of a bank's taxable income computed without regard to such items as carrybacks for net operating losses or capital losses and certain deductions.[1] The limitation was originally designed to prevent management of closely held banks from contributing excessive sums to charities or foundations in which the bank's controlling stockholders have a personal interest. The Comptroller continues to believe that a limitation of some kind is necessary to prevent abuses.

The present limitation, however, tied as it is to taxable income, sometimes produces whimsical and even illogical results. The fact that in a particular year a bank has low taxable income, for example due to high investment in tax-exempt securities, should not inhibit its charitable contribution capacity. Accordingly, it is now proposed to tie the 5 percent limitation to income before taxes, rather than taxable income.

Another problem created by the existing ruling relates to the difficulty of forecasting the permissible amount that can be given to charity. Although contributions are made throughout the course of a calendar year, the net taxable income of a bank may not be known until long after the year is ended. Tax planning can help to keep actual contributions within limits prescribed by applicable laws, regulations and rulings, but forecasts of taxable income are subject to wide fluctuations throughout the year.

Finally, questions have been raised whether the Comptroller's ruling incorporates provisions of the Internal Revenue Code permitting corporations to carry over to subsequent years contributions in excess of the amount allowed by the IRS as a deduction from income.[2] Some banks are under the impression that the Code's carryover provisions do not apply to calculations made for purposes of the Comptroller's ruling. On the other hand, banks have recently been advised in a periodical devoted to banking law that they may carry over excess contributions to future years for tax purposes.[3] While this advice did not discuss the applicability of the Comptroller's ruling, many bankers undoubtedly concluded that the IRS rules on carryovers are implicitly incorporated therein.

Several unsolicited amendments to Interpretive Ruling 7.7479 have been suggested by bankers and others. These include: explicit incorporation into the ruling of the IRS carryover provisions; a change in the current formula based on the Internal Revenue Code to a new formula based on a fixed percentage of a bank's net income before income taxes computed without regard to the deduction for contributions; a change in the current formula based on the Internal Revenue Code to a formula based on a fixed percentage of the previous year's net income as shown on the year-end Report of Income; and retention of the Internal Revenue Code formula, using the preceding year's taxable income instead of the current year's estimated taxable income. Although all of these options and any others are open to comment, the Comptroller proposes to amend 12 CFR 7.7479 to read as follows:

§ 7.7479 **Charitable contributions.**

(a) A national bank may make such charitable contributions as its board of directors shall authorize, except that the amount of charitable contributions in any calendar half-year shall not exceed 5 percent of the sum of "income before income taxes and securities gains or losses" and "Securities gains (losses), Gross" registered during the preceding calendar half-year.

(b) "Income before income taxes and securities gains or losses" and "Securities gains (losses), Gross" shall be computed in accordance with the most recently issued Instructions for Preparation of Consolidated Reports of Condition and Reports of Income by National Banking Associations.

(c) National banks making charitable contributions shall maintain documentation for review by national bank examiners to demonstrate compliance with this Interpretive Ruling.

Persons submitting comments should bear in mind that another ruling, Interpretive Ruling 7.7445, governing charitable foundations established by national banks, contains in subsection (c) language similar to that presently found in Interpretive Ruling 7.7479. If amendments to Interpretive Ruling 7.7479 are suggested, the Comptroller should be advised whether similar changes should be made in Interpretive Ruling 7.7445(c).

Comments should be submitted in duplicate to John Shockey, Acting Chief Counsel, Comptroller of the Currency, Washington, D.C. 20219, by January 20, 1977.

Dated: December 7, 1976.

ROBERT BLOOM,
*Acting Comptroller of the Currency.*

[FR Doc.76-36540 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

[1] I.R.C. section 170(b)(2).
[2] I.R.C. section 170(d)(2)(A).
[3] The Tax Ramifications of Charitable Contributions by Banks, 93 Banking L.J. 462-463 (May 1976).

### [ 12 CFR Part 7 ]

### PAYMENT OF DIVIDENDS; BAD DEBTS

### Proposed Revision of Interpretive Ruling

The Comptroller of the Currency is considering an amendment to 12 CFR Part 7 by revising Interpretive Ruling 7.6125 to clarify the meaning of "bad debt", as that term is used in 12 U.S.C. 56.

12 U.S.C. 56 requires that bad debts (frequently referred to as "statutory bad debts") be deducted from "net profits than on hand" in order to compute funds available for the payment of dividends. Confusion over what constitutes a bad debt became evident when certain overdue real estate loans were being classified as statutory bad debts even though appropriate long-term workout schedules had been arranged which were reasonably expected to result in little or no loss to the bank. This, in turn, created the possibility that some financially sound institutions would be prohibited from declaring and paying their regular dividends. The proposed amendment is intended to provide greater flexibility in the treatment of such problem credits for purposes of determining if payment of a dividend is prohibited by the statute.

The proposed amendment is divided into paragraphs lettered (a) through (e). Paragraph (a) sets forth the general statutory requirements dealing with payment of dividends and bad debts. It contains no basic changes from the present ruling.

Paragraph (b) interprets the meaning of the term "matured" for purposes of the statute. It contains no major changes from the present ruling. It states in general that applicable contract law will be determinative of whether a debt has matured. Thus, a debt which has been legally extended would not be considered a matured debt. This paragraph also treats the maturity of installment loans somewhat differently from the present ruling. Under the present ruling, the "interest" on an installment loan has to be past due for six months before the loan is considered matured. The proposed amendment makes reference to "payments" past due rather than "interest." This change is in part a result of the complexity of computing interest on installment loans and also is intended to establish a more definite standard with regard to such loans.

Generally, for a matured debt, on which interest is past due and unpaid for six months, not to be classified as a statutory bad debt in calculating available funds for dividend purpose, the debt must be both "well secured" and "in the process of collection." These two terms are defined in paragraphs (s) and (d).

Paragraph (c) interprets the meaning of the term "well secured debt" as used in the statute. The only change from the present ruling is that if a debt is partially secured only that portion not secured in compliance with the ruling will be considered a statutory bad debt.

Paragraph (d) interprets the meaning of the term "debt in the process of collection." Under both the present and

proposed rulings, the collection effort may involve both legal or nonlegal actions. However, under the present ruling if the collection effort does not involve a legal action there must be a reasonable expectation of success "at an early date." The proposed amendment eliminates this rather vague phrase and substitutes a general requirement that the bank have a reasonable and active collection procedure which is well documented.

Paragraph (e) concerns: (1) The debts of bankrupt or deceased debtors and (2) the documentation to be maintained by the bank with regard to the evaluation of collateral and collection efforts. It contains no basic changes from the present ruling except for the documentation of certain actions.

The Administrative Procedure Act does not require notice and solicitation of comments in connection with interpretive rules (5 U.S.C. 553(b)) and permits interpretive rules to become effective upon publication (5 U.S.C. 553(d)). However, the Comptroller has elected to afford opportunity for comment on the proposed amendment. Comments should be in writing and addressed to John E. Shockey, Acting Chief Counsel, Comptroller of the Currency, Washington, D.C. 20219, to be received by January 12, 1977.

The Comptroller proposes to amend Part 7 of 12 CFR by revising § 7.6125 to read as follows:

**§ 7.6125 Payment of dividends; bad debts.**

(a) *General.* Pursuant to the provisions of 12 U.S.C. 56, bad debts must be deducted from net profits then on hand in computing funds available for payment of dividends. Bad debts, as that term is used in the statute, means matured obligations due a national bank on which interest is past due and unpaid for six months unless the debts are well secured and in the process of collection. Every type of overdue indebtedness owing to the bank must be considered, including loans and investment securities. It is immaterial whether all or part of the six month period of default on interest has occurred before the debt matures.

(b) *Matured debt.* Whether a debt has matured for purposes of the statute usually will be determined by applicable contract law. Generally, a debt is matured when all or part of the principal is due and payable as the result of demand, arrival of the stated maturity date, acceleration by contract or by operation of law. Nevertheless, any demand debt on which the payment of interest is six months past due will be considered matured even though payment of the debt has not been demanded. Installment loans on which any payment is six months past due will be considered matured even though acceleration of the total debt may not have occurred.

(c) *Well secured debt.* A debt is well secured within the meaning of the statute if it is secured by collateral in the form of liens on, or pledges of, real or personal property, including securities, having a realizable value sufficient to discharge the debt in full, or by the guaranty of a financially responsible party. In the event that the loan is partially secured, only that portion not properly secured will be considered a statutory bad debt.

(d) *Debt in the process of collection.* A debt is in the process of collection if collection of the debt is proceeding in due course, either through legal action, including judgment enforcement procedures, or, in appropriate circumstances, through collection efforts not involving legal action which are reasonably expected to result in repayment of the debt or in its restoration to current status. In any case, the bank should have a plan of collection setting forth the reason for the selected method of collection, the responsibilities of the bank and the borrower, and the expected date of repayment of the debt or its restoration to current status.

(e) *Miscellaneous.* (1) *Debts of bankrupt or deceased debtors.* A claim duly filed against the estate of a bankrupt or decedent is considered as being in the process of collection. The obligation will be considered well secured if it meets the criteria set forth above or the claim of the bank against the estate has been duly filed, the statutory period for filing claims has expired and the assets of the estate are adequate to discharge all obligations in full.

(2) *Documentation.* The bank must maintain in its files documentation and evidence to support its evaluation of the security. In addition, the bank must retain, at a minimum, monthly progress reports on its collection efforts, noting and explaining any deviation from the collection plan.

Dated: December 8, 1976.

ROBERT BLOOM,
*Acting Comptroller of the Currency.*

[FR Doc.76-36541 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

federal register

TUESDAY, DECEMBER 14, 1976

PART IV:

# DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

## Drug Enforcement Administration

■

# SCHEDULES OF CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES

## Excepted Compounds

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

### Drug Enforcement Administration

### [ 21 CFR Part 1308 ]

### SCHEDULES OF CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES

### Excepted Compounds; Notice of Proposed Rulemaking

Section 202(d) of the Comprehensive Drug Abuse Prevention and Control Act of 1970 (21 U.S.C. 812(d)) authorizes the Attorney General to except stimulant or depressant controlled substances if they are combined with one or more active medicinal ingredients which themselves have no stimulant or depressant effect, and whose presence vitiates the potential for abuse of the stimulant or depressant controlled substance in the combination.

In furtherance of section 202(d) of the Act, § 1308.32(b) of Title 21 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) sets forth a list of drugs which have been excepted from the application of certain provisions of the Act and regulations of the Drug Enforcement Administration. In addition, the regulation permits the Administrator to except a drug having the same quantitative composition as a listed excepted drug, or differing therefrom in that it contains a lesser quantity of controlled substances in its composition than does the excepted drug.

However, § 1308.32(b) can also be read to permit a drug to be excepted where the opposite occurs, that is where its composition contains a lesser quantity of other substances not having a stimulant, depressant or hallucinogenic effect. In such a case, the abuse-vitiating effect of the added ingredient upon the controlled substance in the formula would be diminished rather than preserved or enhanced, contravening the very purpose of section 202(d) of the Act. Therefore, 21 CFR 1308.32(b) must be amended so as to allow exceptions only where a drug has the same quantitative composition as a listed excepted drug or differs only in that it has in its composition a lesser amount of controlled substances than does the listed excepted drug. Consistent therewith, 21 CFR 1308.32(b) is proposed for revision as hereinafter appears.

The Drug Enforcement Administration has reviewed the current list of excepted prescription drugs set forth in § 1308.32 (b). In addition, DEA has reviewed other drugs for which labels have been submitted by the manufacturers to DEA, drugs which manufacturers have asked DEA to review, and drugs which DEA has reviewed without solicitation.

The review of these drugs by DEA has been to determine if all such drugs compare quantitatively in their composition to the currently listed excepted compounds, or if their only difference in composition is that they contain a lesser quantity of controlled substances.

The Administrator has determined that each drug listed below (1) is currently listed as an excepted prescription drug, or (2) is of the same quantitative composition as that of the currently-listed excepted prescription drugs or differs therefrom only in that it contains a lesser quantity of controlled substances than do the excepted compounds, and (3) is restricted by law to dispensing by prescription.

All interested persons are invited to submit their comments or objections in writing regarding this proposal. The comments or objections should state with particularity the issues concerning which the person desires to be heard. Comments and objections should be submitted in quintuplicate to the Administrator, Drug Enforcement Administration, Department of Justice, 1405 Eye Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20537, Attention: DEA Federal Register Representative, and must be received no later than January 12, 1977.

In the event that an interested party submits objections to this proposal which present reasonable grounds for this rule not to be finalized and requests a hearing in accordance with 21 CFR 1308.45, the party will be notified by registered mail that a hearing on such objections will be held as soon as the matter may be heard at the Drug Enforcement Administration, 1405 Eye Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20537. If objections submitted do not present such reasonable grounds, the party will be so advised by registered mail.

If no objections presenting reasonable grounds for a hearing on the proposal are received within the time limitations and all interested parties waive or are deemed to waive their opportunity for the hearing or to participate in the hearing, the Administrator may, after giving consideration to written comments, issue his final order pursuant to 21 CFR 1308.48 without a hearing.

Dated: December 3, 1976.

PETER B. BENSINGER,
*Administrator,*
*Drug Enforcement Administration.*

Therefore, under the authority vested in the Attorney General by section 202 (d) of the Act (21 U.S.C. 812(d)) and delegated to the Administrator of the Drug Enforcement Administration by Department of Justice regulations (28 CFR Part 0), the Administrator, pursuant to said authority and in accordance with 21 CFR § 1308.32(a), hereby proposes that 21 CFR § 1308.32(b) be revised to read as follows:

§ 1308.32 Excepted compounds.

* * * * *

(b) The following drugs in dosage unit form, and any other drug of the quantitative composition shown below for one of the following drugs or which is the same except that it contains a lesser quantity of controlled substances, and which is restricted by law to dispensing by prescription, are excepted:

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| A & P NO. 2 | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 20.0000MG | MEDICAL SPECIALTIES | 00495-0104 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.1500MG | | | |
| A. P. C. W PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | WOLINS PHARM CORP | 00928-1026 | |
| | ASPIRIN | 230.0000MG | | | |
| | PHENACETIN | 90.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 30.0000MG | | | |
| A.B.C. | TB BUTALBITAL | 30.0000MG | KENWOOD LABS INC | - | |
| | CAFFEINE | 54.8000MG | | | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 496.0000MG | | | |
| A.E.A. | TB AMOBARBITAL | 25.0000MG | HAACK LABORATORIES | - | DISC |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE | 120.0000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 25.0000MG | | | |
| A.E.A. PINK | TB AMOBARBITAL | 25.0000MG | LEMMON PHARMACAL CO | 00093-0603 | |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE | 120.0000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 25.0000MG | | | |
| A.E.P. | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 32.0000MG | ICN PHARMACEUTICALS | 00187-0000 | DISC |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE | 130.0000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 25.0000MG | | | |
| A.E.P. | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | ICN PHARMACEUTICALS | 00187-3215 | |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 16.0000MG | | | |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE | 100.0000MG | | | |
| A.E.P. | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | REACO PRODUCTS INC | 12620-0003 | |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE | 97.2000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 16.2000MG | | | |
| A.M.B. | CA BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 15.0000MG | GENERAL PHARM INC | - | DISC |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 500.0000MG | | | |
| | MEPHENESIN | 200.0000MG | | | |
| A.P.C. & PHENOBARBITAL ORANGE OVAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | HALSOM DRUG COMPANY | 00729-0033 | |
| | ASPIRIN | 227.0000MG | | | |
| | ACETOPHENETIDIN | 152.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 32.4000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| A.P.C. & PHENOBARBITAL ORANGE ROUND | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | HALSOM DRUG COMPANY | 00729-0034 | |
| | ASPIRIN | 227.0000MG | | | |
| | ACETOPHENETIDIN | 152.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 32.4000MG | | | |
| A.P.C. & PHENOBARBITAL SQUARE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | HALSOM DRUG COMPANY | 00729-0032 | |
| | CAFFEINE | 32.0000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 227.0000MG | | | |
| | ACETOPHENETIDIN | 152.0000MG | | | |
| A.P.C. CPD NO 2 W/ PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | RUGBY LABORATORIES | - | DISC |
| | ASPIRIN | 226.8000MG | | | |
| | PHENACETIN | 152.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 16.2000MG | | | |
| A.P.C. CPD NO 2 W/ PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | SHERRY PHARM COMPANY | 00685-4810 | |
| | ASPIRIN | 226.8000MG | | | |
| | PHENACETIN | 152.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 16.2000MG | | | |
| A.P.C. CPD NO 2 W/ PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | TABLICAPS INC | 00734-1007 | |
| | ASPIRIN | 226.8000MG | | | |
| | PHENACETIN | 152.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 16.2000MG | | | |
| A.P.C. CPD NO 4 W/ PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | BORNEMAN & SONS | 00696-2661 | |
| | ASPIRIN | 226.8000MG | | | |
| | PHENACETIN | 152.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 16.2000MG | | | |
| A.P.C. CPD NO 4 W/ PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | PARAMOUNT SURG SUPP | - | |
| | ASPIRIN | 226.8000MG | | | |
| | PHENACETIN | 152.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 16.2000MG | | | |
| A.P.C. CPD NO 4 W/ PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | UNITED RESEARCH LABS | - | |
| | ASPIRIN | 226.8000MG | | | |
| | PHENACETIN | 152.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 16.2000MG | | | |
| A.P.E. | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | ALLISON LABS | 12154-0135 | |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 15.0000MG | | | |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE | 130.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| A.P.E. | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | BARRE DRUG CO | 00472-0280 | |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE | 100.0000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 15.0000MG | | | |
| A-E-A COMPOUND | TB AMOBARBITAL | 24.3000MG | STANLABS INC | 00242-0764 | |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE | 130.0000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 24.3000MG | | | |
| A-157 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | BELL GEO N CHEMISTS | 00148-1104 | |
| | BELLADONNA LEAVES EXTRACT | 4.1000MG | | | |
| A-178 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | BELL GEO N CHEMISTS | 00148-1106 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.1640MG | | | |
| ABAPHEN | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | TENNESSEE PHARM CO | 00655-0025 | |
| | PHENACETIN | 200.0000MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.0300MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 150.0000MG | | | |
| ACCELERASE PB | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | ORGANON INC | 00017-0003 | |
| | PANCRELIPASE | 165.0000MG | | | |
| | BELLADONNA ALKALOIDS | 0.2000MG | | | |
| | CALCIUM CARBONATE | 20.0000MG | | | |
| | CELLULASE | 2.0000MG | | | |
| | MIXED CONJUGATED BILE SALTS | 55.0000MG | | | |
| ACCELERASE PB | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | ORGANON INC | | |
| | BELLADONNA ALKALOIDS | 0.2000MG | | | |
| | LIPASE | 4000.0000UN | | | |
| | CALCIUM CARBONATE | 20.0000MG | | | |
| | CELLULOSE | 2.0000MG | | | |
| | MIXED CONJUGATED BILE SALTS | 55.0000MG | | | |
| | AMYLASE | 15.0000KU | | | |
| | PROTEASE | 15.0000KU | | | |
| ACETA-TAL | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | HALSOM DRUG COMPANY | 00729-0005 | |
| | ACETANILID | 97.2000MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMUS EXTRACT | 10.8000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 152.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ACETA-TAL | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | STANDARD PHARMACAL | - | DISC |
| | ACETANILID | 100.0000MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMUS EXTRACT | 10.0000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 150.0000MG | | | |
| ACETABAR | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | PHILIPS ROXANE LABS | 00054-4011 | DISC |
| | PHENACETIN | 150.0000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 210.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 15.0000MG | | | |
| ACETAREX | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | RUCKSTAHL COMPANY | 00144-1455 | |
| | PHENACETIN | 150.0000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 210.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 15.0000MG | | | |
| ACUCRON | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | SEATRACE CO INC | 00551-0011 | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 300.0000MG | | | |
| | SALICYLAMIDE | 200.0000MG | | | |
| ADYNE-PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | AGM DRUG CO | 00515-0004 | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 162.0000MG | | | |
| | SALICYLAMIDE | 227.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 32.0000MG | | | |
| AGHITAL | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 130.0000MG30ML | AGM DRUG CO | 00515-0505 | |
| | BELLADONNA TINCTURE | 4.9300ML30ML | | | |
| ALASEO | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | NORGINE LABS INC | 00752-0001 | DISC |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 3.6000MG | | | |
| | ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE GEL DRIED | 486.0000MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM TRISILICATE | 162.0000MG | | | |
| ALCITEX | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | ELDER P B COMPANY | - | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0129MG | | | |
| | CALCIUM CARBONATE | 226.8000MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM CARBONATE | 162.0000MG | | | |
| | CERIUM OXALATE | 32.4000MG | | | |
| ALDONNAL | CA BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 15.0000MG | FEDERAL PHARM CORP | 00267-4100 | |
| | MAGNESIUM GLYCINATE | 65.0000MG | | | |
| | ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE GEL | 250.0000MG | | | |
| | BELLADONNA LEAF EXTRACT | 15.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ALERBUF | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | HABERLE DRUG COMPANY | 00841-0034 | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 130.0000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 24.0000MG | | | |
| | ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE DRIED GEL | 130.0000MG | | | |
| ALGOSON | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 7.5000MG | MCNEIL LABS INC | 00045-0633 | DISC |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 300.0000MG | | | |
| ALHYDROX* | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | PHYSICIANS SUPPLY | - | |
| | ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE | 324.0000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2000MG | | | |
| ALISED | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | ELDER P B COMPANY | 00163-0204 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.1300MG | | | |
| ALKA-PHEN | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | ROWELL LABS INC | 00032-5204 | DISC |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 3.0000MG | | | |
| | CHARCOAL | 300.0000MG | | | |
| | PEPSIN | 180.0000MG | | | |
| ALKAMINT | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | MACESLIN & COMPANY | 00358-0001 | DISC |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2160MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM TRISILICATE | 325.0000MG | | | |
| | CALCIUM CARBONATE | 130.0000MG | | | |
| ALKANE | TB PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 16.2000MG | BOWMAN PHARMACAL CO | 00252-2007 | DISC |
| | MAGNESIUM CARBONATE | 325.0000MG | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0648MG | | | |
| ALKASANS | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | NOYES P J CO | 00159-0180 | |
| | KAOLIN-ALUMINA GEL | 500.0000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0600MG | | | |
| ALLER-PRED | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | PARAMOUNT SURG SUPP | 00227-0000 | DISC |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 125.0000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 25.0000MG | | | |
| | PREDNISOLONE | 0.5000MG | | | |
| ALLYLGESIC | TB ALLOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | ELDER P B COMPANY | 00163-0326 | |
| | ALUMINUM ASPIRIN | 100.0000MG | | | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 100.0000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 150.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ALLYLGESIC WITH ERGOTAMINE | CA ALLOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | ELDER P B COMPANY | 00163-0621 | |
| | ALUMINUM ASPIRIN | 100.0000MG | | | |
| | ERGOTAMINE TARTRATE | 1.0000MG | | | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 100.0000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 150.0000MG | | | |
| ALLYLVON | CA ALLOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | ELDER P B COMPANY | 00163-0628 | DISC |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 162.0000MG | | | |
| | SALICYLAMIDE | 227.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 32.4000MG | | | |
| ALMAGEL | TB PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 16.0000MG | REED PHARMACAL CO | - | DISC |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0070MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0200MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM TRISILICATE | 300.0000MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1000MG | | | |
| | ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE | 250.0000MG | | | |
| | CALCIUM CARBONATE | 250.0000MG | | | |
| ALSICAL | PW PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG04GM | DORSEY LABORATORIES | 00043-0450 | DISC |
| | DRIED ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE GEL | 0.6000GM04GM | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM OXIDE | 0.6000GM04GM | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM TRISILICATE | 1.0000GM04GM | | | |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 10.0000MG04GM | | | |
| | CALCIUM CARBONATE | 1.6000GM04GM | | | |
| ALTROPAN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | DAVID LEVINSON MED | - | DISC |
| | ATROPINE METHYL NITRATE | 0.5000MG | | | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 1.6500MG | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.1000MG | | | |
| ALU-K-W | TB AMOBARBITAL | 10.0000MG | MILL-MARK PHARM INC | - | DISC |
| | KAOLIN COLLOIDAL | 150.0000MG | | | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 5.0000MG | | | |
| | DRIED ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE GEL | 150.0000MG | | | |
| ALU-MAG | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | NORSAL LABORATORIES | - | |
| | ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE GEL DRIED | 162.0000MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM TRISILICATE | 162.0000MG | | | |
| | BELLADONNA LEAF EXTRACT | 8.1000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ALU-D-MAG | LQ PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 14.9500MG30ML | GENA LABS INC | 10449-0001 | DISC |
| | MAGNESIUM TRISILICATE | 4.1600GM30ML | | | |
| | ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE GEL | 10.2000GM30ML | | | |
| | BELLADONNA TINCTURE | 1.0000ML30ML | | | |
| ALUBELAP | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | HAACK LABORATORIES | - | DISC |
| | ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE GEL DRIED | 300.0000MG | | | |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 4.0000MG | | | |
| ALUBELAP | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | LEMMON PHARMACAL CO | 00093-0605 | DISC |
| | DRIED ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE GEL | 300.0000MG | | | |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 4.0000MG | | | |
| ALUDROX SA | SS BUTABARBITAL | 8.0000MG05ML | WYETH LABORATORIES | 00008-0219 | DISC |
| | AMBUTONIUM BROMIDE | 2.5000MG05ML | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM HYDROXIDE | 50.0000MG05ML | | | |
| | ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE GEL | 200.0000MG05ML | | | |
| ALUDROX SA | TB BUTABARBITAL | 8.0000MG | WYETH LABORATORIES | 00008-0226 | DISC |
| | AMBUTONIUM BROMIDE | 2.5000MG | | | |
| | ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE GEL | 200.0000MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM HYDROXIDE | 50.0000MG | | | |
| ALULEX | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | LEXINGTON CHEMICAL | 00284-1935 | DISC |
| | ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE GEL | 226.8000MG | | | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 1.0800MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM TRISILICATE | 243.0000MG | | | |
| ALUMAZEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | ZEMMER CO INC | - | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0600MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM TRISILICATE | 500.0000MG | | | |
| | ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE GEL DRIED | 250.0000MG | | | |
| | SACCHARIN SODIUM | 0.1200MG | | | |
| ALUSEDINAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | MARSH PARKER INC | - | DISC |
| | ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE GEL | 500.0000MG | | | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 1.3500MG | | | |
| ALUTHYN CT | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | CHANDLER PHARMACAL | 00434-0001 | |
| | MAGNESIUM TRISILICATE | 250.0000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.1296MG | | | |
| | ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE GEL DRIED | 125.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ALZINOX COMPOUND | TB PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 16.2000MG | COOPER LABS INC | 00058-4055 | DISC |
| | DIHYDROXYALUMINUM AMINOACETATE | 0.5000GM | | | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 0.6500MG | | | |
| ALZINOX COMPOUND | SS PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 8.1000MG05ML | COOPER LABS INC | 00058-2005 | DISC |
| | DIHYDROXYALUMINUM AMINOACETATE | 0.5000GM05ML | | | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 0.6500MG05ML | | | |
| AM-EPHED | CA AMOBARBITAL | 25.0000MG | MAST H M COMPANY | 00723-2116 | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 25.0000MG | | | |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE | 125.0000MG | | | |
| AM-EPHED | CA AMOBARBITAL | 25.0000MG | MEDI MAIL INC | - | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 25.0000MG | | | |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE | 125.0000MG | | | |
| AM-EPHED | CA AMOBARBITAL | 25.0000MG | MOORE DRUG EXCHANGE | 00839-5065 | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 25.0000MG | | | |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE | 125.0000MG | | | |
| AM-EPHED | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 25.0000MG | SPENCER-MEAD INC | 00537-2002 | |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE | 125.0000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 25.0000MG | | | |
| AM-EPHED | CA AMOBARBITAL | 25.0000MG | SPENCER-MEAD INC | 00537-2002 | DISC |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 25.0000MG | | | |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE | 125.0000MG | | | |
| AM-EPHEN | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 25.0000MG | RICHLYN LABORATORIES | 00115-2140 | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 25.0000MG | | | |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE | 130.0000MG | | | |
| AMAPHEN | CA BUTALBITAL | 50.0000MG | TRIMEN LABS | 11311-0955 | |
| | CAFFEINE | 40.0000MG | | | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 360.0000MG | | | |
| AMBEN WITH PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | AREND MILLER PHARM | 00567-1369 | |
| | DICYCLOMINE HCL | 10.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| AMEFED | CA AMOBARBITAL<br>EPHEDRINE HCL<br>AMINOPHYLLIN | 25.0000MG<br>25.0000MG<br>130.0000MG | COLUMBIA MEDICAL CO | 00359-0102 | |
| AMEFIN | CA AMOBARBITAL<br>EPHEDRINE HCL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 25.0000MG<br>25.0000MG<br>130.0000MG | RAWAY PHARMACAL CO | - | DISC |
| AMESEC | ET AMOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE<br>EPHEDRINE HCL | 25.0000MG<br>130.0000MG<br>25.0000MG | LILLY ELI & CO | 00002-0127 | |
| AMESEC | CA AMOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE<br>EPHEDRINE HCL | 25.0000MG<br>130.0000MG<br>25.0000MG | LILLY ELI & CO | 00002-0647 | |
| AMINET FULL STRENGTH | SU PENTOBARBITAL SODIUM<br>BENZOCAINE<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 100.0000MG<br>60.0000MG<br>500.0000MG | DOME LABORATORIES | 00026-8111 | DISC |
| AMINET HALF STRENGTH | SU PENTOBARBITAL SODIUM<br>BENZOCAINE<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 50.0000MG<br>30.0000MG<br>250.0000MG | DOME LABORATORIES | 00026-8112 | DISC |
| AMINET QUARTER STRENGTH | SU PENTOBARBITAL SODIUM<br>AMINOPHYLLINE<br>BENZOCAINE | 25.0000MG<br>125.0000MG<br>15.0000MG | DOME LABORATORIES | 00026-8113 | DISC |
| AMINO-BAR | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM<br>ACETAMINOPHEN | 7.5000MG<br>300.0000MG | BOWMAN PHARMACAL CO | 00252-2035 | DISC |
| AMINO-PHEN A | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 15.0000MG<br>100.0000MG | ALLAN SHAW MEDICAL | - | DISC |
| AMINOBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 15.0000MG<br>100.0000MG | MODERN DRUG COMPANY | - | |
| AMINOCARDI | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 15.0000MG<br>100.0000MG | DETROIT PHARMACAL CO | - | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| AMINODROX WITH PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE<br>ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE GEL DRIED | 15.0000MG<br>0.1000GM<br>0.1200GM | BEECHAM-MASSENGILL | 00029-1270 | |
| AMINODROX-FORTE WITH PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE<br>ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE GEL DRIED | 15.0000MG<br>0.2000GM<br>0.2500GM | BEECHAM-MASSENGILL | 00029-1280 | |
| AMINOPHYLLINE | CA BUTABARBITAL<br>EPHEDRINE SULFATE<br>AMINOPHYLLINE<br>GLYCERYL GUAIACOLATE<br>MAGNESIUM TRISILICATE | 48.6000MG<br>24.3000MG<br>129.6000MG<br>200.0000MG<br>100.0000MG | KAPCO INC | - | |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & AMYTAL | CA AMOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 32.0000MG<br>100.0000MG | LILLY ELI & CO | 00002-0646 | |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PENTOBARBITAL | SU PENTOBARBITAL SODIUM<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 100.0000MG<br>500.0000MG | SEARLE G D & CO | 00025-1245 | DISC |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 15.0000MG<br>200.0000MG | ARCUM PHARM CORP | 00357-0514 | |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | ET PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 15.0000MG<br>100.0000MG | ARCUM PHARM CORP | 00357-0512 | |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 30.0000MG<br>100.0000MG | ARCUM PHARM CORP | 00357-0513 | |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 15.0000MG<br>100.0000MG | ARCUM PHARM CORP | 00357-0509 | |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 15.0000MG<br>195.0000MG | BARRE DRUG CO | 00472-0265 | |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 15.0000MG<br>100.0000MG | BARRE DRUG CO | 00472-0253 | |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 32.0000MG<br>100.0000MG | BARRE DRUG CO | - | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 32.0000MG<br>195.0000MG | BARRE DRUG CO | - | |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 16.2000MG<br>97.2000MG | BELLEVUE SURGICAL CO | 00854-0563 | |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 16.2000MG<br>100.0000MG | BLUE LINE CHEM CO | - | |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | ET PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 32.0000MG<br>100.0000MG | BORNEMAN & SONS | 00696-2605 | |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 15.0000MG<br>100.0000MG | BORNEMAN & SONS | 00696-2602 | |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 32.0000MG<br>100.0000MG | BORNEMAN & SONS | 00696-2604 | |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 15.0000MG<br>100.0000MG | BORNEMAN & SONS | 00696-2603 | |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 15.0000MG<br>100.0000MG | CENCI H R LABS INC | 00555-0046 | |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 30.0000MG<br>100.0000MG | CENCI H R LABS INC | 00555-0047 | |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 15.0000MG<br>100.0000MG | CHANDLER PHARMACAL | 00434-0002 | |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 15.0000MG<br>100.0000MG | CHRISMAN COMPANY | - | DISC |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 15.0000MG<br>100.0000MG | COOPER DRUG CO | 00779-0030 | |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 16.2000MG<br>100.0000MG | CORD LABS INC | 00117-1010 | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 16.0000MG<br>100.0000MG | DUBIN H E LABS | - | |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 16.2000MG<br>100.0000MG | GENEVA GENERICS | 00781-1235 | |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 15.0000MG<br>100.0000MG | GOTHAM PHARM CO INC | 10483-0966 | |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | ET PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 16.0000MG<br>97.0000MG | HABERLE DRUG COMPANY | 00841-0042 | DISC |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 16.2000MG<br>97.0000MG | HALSEY DRUG COMPANY | 00879-0056 | |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 15.0000MG<br>100.0000MG | HALSOM DRUG COMPANY | 00729-0012 | |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 15.0000MG<br>100.0000MG | ICN PHARMACEUTICALS | 00187-0000 | DISC |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | ET PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 15.0000MG<br>100.0000MG | MEDCO SUPPLY CO | 00764-2101 | |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 15.0000MG<br>100.0000MG | MEDCO SUPPLY CO | 00764-2084 | |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 15.0000MG<br>100.0000MG | MOORE DRUG EXCHANGE | 00839-1015 | |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 16.0000MG<br>100.0000MG | MORTON PHARM INC | - | DISC |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 16.2000MG<br>97.2000MG | NORTH AMERICAN PHARM | 00298-1240 | |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | ET PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 15.0000MG<br>100.0000MG | PARAMOUNT SURG SUPP | 00227-0000 | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 15.0000MG<br>100.0000MG | PHARMECON INC | 00783-0000 | |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 15.0000MG<br>100.0000MG | RICHLYN LABORATORIES | 00115-2154 | |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | ET PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 15.0000MG<br>100.0000MG | RICHLYN LABORATORIES | 00115-2156 | |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | ET PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 15.0000MG<br>195.0000MG | ROBINSON LABORATORY | 00279-0317 | |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 15.0000MG<br>100.0000MG | ROBINSON LABORATORY | 00279-0312 | |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | EC PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 15.0000MG<br>100.0000MG | ROBINSON LABORATORY | 00279-0313 | |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 15.0000MG<br>100.0000MG | RUCKSTUHL COMPANY | 00144-1030 | |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | ET PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 15.0000MG<br>100.0000MG | RUGBY LABORATORIES | - | DISC |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 15.0000MG<br>100.0000MG | RUGBY LABORATORIES | 00536-0000 | DISC |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | ET PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 15.0000MG<br>100.0000MG | RUGBY LABORATORIES | 00536-3054 | DISC |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | ET PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLIN | 30.0000MG<br>100.0000MG | RUGBY LABORATORIES | 00536-3058 | DISC |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLIN | 30.0000MG<br>100.0000MG | RUGBY LABORATORIES | 00536-3056 | DISC |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | ET PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 15.0000MG<br>200.0000MG | RUGBY LABORATORIES | 00536-3070 | DISC |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 15.0000MG<br>100.0000MG | RUGBY LABORATORIES | 00536-3052 | DISC |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 15.0000MG<br>200.0000MG | RUGBY LABORATORIES | 00536-3066 | DISC |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 16.0000MG<br>96.0000MG | SCHEIN HENRY INC | 00364-0008 | |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 16.2000MG<br>97.2000MG | SCRIP INC | 00444-0141 | |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 16.2000MG<br>97.2000MG | SCRIP INC | 00444-0181 | |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 15.0000MG<br>100.0000MG | SEARLE G D & CO | 00025-1261 | |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 30.0000MG<br>100.0000MG | SEARLE G D & CO | 00025-1271 | |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 15.0000MG<br>200.0000MG | SEARLE G D & CO | 00025-1281 | |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 15.0000MG<br>100.0000MG | SPENCER-MEAD INC | 00537-2007 | |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 16.0000MG<br>97.2000MG | STANLABS INC | 00242-0805 | |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | ET PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 15.0000MG<br>100.0000MG | TABLICAPS INC | 00734-1028 | DISC |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 15.0000MG<br>100.0000MG | TABLICAPS INC | 00734-1002 | |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | ET PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 30.0000MG<br>200.0000MG | TABLICAPS INC | 00734-1028 | DISC |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 16.0000MG<br>0.1000GM | TENNESSEE PHARM CO | - | DISC |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | ET PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 16.2000MG<br>97.2000MG | TRUXTON C O INC | 00463-6006 | |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 15.0000MG<br>100.0000MG | TRUXTON C O INC | 00463-6005 | |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 16.2000MG<br>100.0000MG | TUTAG PHARMACEUTICAL | 00124-1301 | DISC |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | ET PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 15.0000MG<br>100.0000MG | UNITED RESEARCH LABS | 00677-0006 | |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 16.2000MG<br>97.2000MG | WEST-WARD INC | 00143-1030 | |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 15.0000MG<br>100.0000MG | WOLINS PHARM CORP | 00928-1009 | |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 15.0000MG<br>0.1000GM | ZEMMER CO INC | 00133-0000 | DISC |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | ET PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 15.0000MG<br>0.1000GM | ZEMMER CO INC | - | DISC |
| AMINOPHYLLINE & PHENOBARBITAL | CA PHENOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 15.0000MG<br>0.1000GM | ZEMMER CO INC | 00133-0003 | |
| AMINOPHYLLINE COMPOUND | CA AMOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE<br>EPHEDRINE HCL | 25.0000MG<br>130.0000MG<br>25.0000MG | PARAMOUNT SURG SUPP | 00227-3746 | |
| AMINOPHYLLINE COMPOUND | CA AMOBARBITAL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE<br>EPHEDRINE HCL | 25.0000MG<br>130.0000MG<br>25.0000MG | ZENITH LABS INC | 00172-3746 | |
| AMINOPHYLLINE EPHEDRINE PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>EPHEDRINE SULFATE<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 15.0000MG<br>24.0000MG<br>130.0000MG | SCRIP INC | 00444-0419 | |
| AMIPHEDRIN | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>RACEPHEDRINE HCL<br>THEOPHYLLINE | 15.0000MG<br>25.0000MG<br>100.0000MG | O'NEAL JONES FELDMAN | 00456-0142 | DISC |
| AMO FED | CA AMOBARBITAL<br>EPHEDRINE HCL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 24.3000MG<br>24.3000MG<br>129.6000MG | DANBURY PHARMACAL | 00591-5157 | DISC |
| AMO-FED | CA AMOBARBITAL<br>EPHEDRINE SULFATE<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 25.0000MG<br>25.0000MG<br>125.0000MG | RUGBY LABORATORIES | 00536-3081 | DISC |
| AMOBARBITAL & PETN | CA AMOBARBITAL<br>PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 50.0000MG<br>30.0000MG | MEYER LABORATORIES | - | |
| AMOCINE | TB AMOBARBITAL SODIUM<br>HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE<br>ATROPINE METHYLNITRATE<br>SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 20.0000MG<br>0.1000MG<br>0.1250MG<br>0.0065MG | MALLARD INC | 00168-0596 | |
| AMOMINE | TB AMOBARBITAL SODIUM<br>HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE<br>HYOSCINE HBR<br>HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 20.0000MG<br>0.1250MG<br>0.0070MG<br>0.0500MG | BELLEVUE SURGICAL CO | 00854-0568 | DISC |
| AMOPHED | CA AMOBARBITAL<br>EPHEDRINE HCL<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 25.0000MG<br>25.0000MG<br>130.0000MG | MIDWAY MEDICAL CO | 00816-5009 | |
| AMOTRATE | TB AMOBARBITAL<br>ATROPINE METHYLNITRATE | 50.0000MG<br>0.5000MG | BUNDY C H COMPANY | - | |
| AMPHED | CA AMOBARBITAL<br>EPHEDRINE<br>AMINOPHYLLINE | 24.0000MG<br>24.0000MG<br>120.0000MG | SCHEIN HENRY INC | 00364-0013 | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| AMPHED | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 24.0000MG | WOLINS PHARM CORP | 00928-2015 |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE | 120.0000MG | | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 24.0000MG | | |
| AMPHEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | ARENO MILLER PHARM | 00567-1204 |
| | PHENACETIN | 162.0000MG | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 227.0000MG | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 32.4000MG | | |
| AMPHENE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | AMID LABS INC | 00493-1002 |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0195MG | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1040MG | | |
| AMPHENE | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG05ML | AMID LABS INC | 00493-1001 |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | |
| AMPYROX W BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | EL BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 10.0000MG05ML | ELDER P B COMPANY | - |
| | SCOPOLAMINE METHYLNITRATE | 1.0000MG05ML | | |
| AMPYROX W BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 15.0000MG | ELDER P B COMPANY | - |
| | SCOPOLAMINE METHYLNITRITE | 2.0000MG | | |
| AMSED | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | NORTH AMERICAN PHARM | 00298-1734 |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | |
| AMSED (NAP-371 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | NORTH AMERICAN PHARM | - |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0072MG | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0240MG | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.1280MG | | |
| AMSED SPANCAP | XC PHENOBARBITAL | 50.0000MG | NORTH AMERICAN PHARM | 00298-3167 |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0582MG | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.3110MG | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0195MG | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| AMSODYNE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | ELDER P B COMPANY | 00163-0107 DESC |
| | CAFFEINE | 15.0000MG | | |
| | BELLADONNA LEAF EXTRACT | 8.0000MG | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 330.0000MG | | |
| ANACETS WITH PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | MEDCO SUPPLY CO | 00764-2011 |
| | ASPIRIN | 225.0000MG | | |
| | PHENACETIN | 150.0000MG | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 15.0000MG | | |
| ANAIDS | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | O'NEAL JONES FELDMAN | 00456-0118 DESC |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2500MG | | |
| | MAGNESIUM TRISILICATE | 486.0000MG | | |
| ANALBARB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | JENKINS LABS INC | 00397-2112 DESC |
| | ASPIRIN | 200.0000MG | | |
| | HYOSCYAMUS TOTAL ALKALOIDS | 0.0168MG | | |
| | ACETANILID | 100.0000MG | | |
| ANALGESIC | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | FRANKLIN DRUG CO | 00758-1005 |
| | ACETANILID | 100.0000MG | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 200.0000MG | | |
| | HYOSCYAMUS EXTRACT | 10.0000MG | | |
| ANALGESIC-NOL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.2000MG | PHILIPS ROXANE LABS | 00054-4041 DESC |
| | HYOSCYAMINE | 0.0156MG | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 195.0000MG | | |
| | ACETANILID | 37.0000MG | | |
| ANALSED | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 7.5000MG | MILJER LABORATORIES | - |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 325.0000MG | | |
| ANALVAL IMPROVED | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | VALE CHEMICAL CO | 00377-0017 DESC |
| | ACETANILID | 100.0000MG | | |
| | HYOSCYAMUS EXTRACT | 15.0000MG | | |
| | SALICYLAMIDE | 120.0000MG | | |
| ANAPHEN MODIFIED | CA BUTALBITAL | 30.0000MG | MALLARD INC | 00166-0715 |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 140.0000MG | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 200.0000MG | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 40.0000MG | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ANASMA | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | PHARMED INC | 10892-7401 | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE ANHYDROUS | 130.0000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 25.0000MG | | | |
| ANASPAS | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | ICN PHARMACEUTICALS | - | DISC |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| ANASPAS | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | ICN PHARMACEUTICALS | 00187-0000 | DISC |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| ANASPAZ PB | LQ PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG05ML | ASCHER AND COMPANY | - | |
| | L-HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1250MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 0.7500ML05ML | | | |
| ANASPAZ PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | ASCHER AND COMPANY | 00225-0300 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1250MG | | | |
| ANGIJEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 8.0000MG | JENKINS LABS INC | 00397-2121 | |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 10.0000MG | | | |
| ANGIJEN NO.1 WITH PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | JENKINS LABS INC | 00397-2117 | |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 20.0000MG | | | |
| ANGITAB | TB PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 2.6700MG | HALSOM DRUG COMPANY | 00729-0637 | DISC |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 10.0000MG | | | |
| | BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 2.6700MG | | | |
| | PENTOBARBITAL SODIUM | 2.6700MG | | | |
| ANGITAB | TB PENTABARBITAL SODIUM | 2.6700MG | MOORE KIRK LABS | 00133-1089 | |
| | BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 2.6700MG | | | |
| | PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 2.6700MG | | | |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 10.0000MG | | | |
| ANGITRATE WITH PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | SCRIP INC | 00444-0153 | |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 10.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ANSEMCO NO.8 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | ELDER P B COMPANY | 00163-M220 | |
| | CAFFEINE | 16.2000MG | | | |
| | PHENACETIN | 162.0000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 226.8000MG | | | |
| ANSER | SU PENTOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | LANDRY PHARM INC | 00538-3067 | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 10.0000MG | | | |
| | PYRILAMINE MALEATE | 8.0000MG | | | |
| ANSER | SU PENTOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | PHARMACEUTICAL ASSOC | 00121-0260 | |
| | PYRILAMINE MALEATE | 8.0000MG | | | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 10.0000MG | | | |
| ANSER 90 | SU PENTOBARBITAL | 90.0000MG | LANDRY PHARM INC | 00538-3068 | |
| | PYRILAMINE MALEATE | 8.0000MG | | | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 10.0000MG | | | |
| ANSER 90 | SU PENTOBARBITAL | 90.0000MG | PHARMACEUTICAL ASSOC | 00121-0261 | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 10.0000MG | | | |
| | PYRILAMINE MALEATE | 8.0000MG | | | |
| ANTACID NO 3 W PB & ATROPINE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | BI-STATE DISTRIB. | | |
| | MAGNESIUM HYDROXIDE | 300.0000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2000MG | | | |
| | CALCIUM CARBONATE | 300.0000MG | | | |
| ANTACID NO 3 W PB & ATROPINE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | MEYERS AND CO | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2160MG | | | |
| | CALCIUM CARBONATE | 324.0000MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM HYDROXIDE | 324.0000MG | | | |
| ANTACID NO. 6 | TB PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 4.0000MG | RUGBY LABORATORIES | | |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 0.4000MG | | | |
| | ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE GEL | 197.2000MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM TRISILICATE | 197.2000MG | | | |
| ANTACID NO.6 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | RUGBY LABORATORIES | 00536-3224 | DISC |
| | CALCIUM CARBONATE | 225.0000MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM CARBONATE | 150.0000MG | | | |
| | BISMUTH SUBNITRATE | 30.0000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ANTACID NO3 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | BORNEMAN & SONS | 00696-2601 | |
| | CALCIUM CARBONATE | 325.0000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2160MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM HYDROXIDE | 325.0000MG | | | |
| ANTACID SPECIAL FORMULA | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | ICN PHARMACEUTICALS | - | DISC |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.1200MG | | | |
| | DRIED ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE | 150.0000MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM TRISILICATE | 150.0000MG | | | |
| ANTACIDS W PB & ATROPINE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | BUFFALO PHARM SUPPLY | - | |
| | ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE | 129.6000MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM TRISILICATE | 260.0000MG | | | |
| | KAOLIN COLLOIDAL | 129.6000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2160MG | | | |
| ANTI SPAS-V | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | BORNEMAN & SONS | 00696-2608 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| ANTI-ACID NO 6 PINK | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | TABLICAPS INC | - | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2160MG | | | |
| | CALCIUM CARBONATE | 226.8000MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM CARBONATE | 162.0000MG | | | |
| | BISMUTH SUBNITRATE | 32.4000MG | | | |
| ANTI-SPAS | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | BEVERLY MEDICAL SUP | - | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| ANTI-SPASMODIC | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG05ML | INVENEX PHARM | 00467-0521 | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| ANTI-SPASMODIC | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 16.2000MG | INVENEX PHARM | - | DISC |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 16.2000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ANTI-SPASMODIC | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | SHERATON LABS INC | 00572-0150 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| ANTI-SPASMODIC COMPOUND | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | INVENEX PHARM | 00467-0513 | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| ANTIACID NO. 3 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | TRUXTON C O INC | 00463-6012 | DISC |
| | MAGNESIUM CARBONATE | 162.0000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2100MG | | | |
| | CALCIUM CARBONATE | 227.0000MG | | | |
| | BISMUTH SUBNITRATE | 32.0000MG | | | |
| ANTIASTHMATIC | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | ICN PHARMACEUTICALS | - | DISC |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 24.0000MG | | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 130.0000MG | | | |
| ANTIASTHMATIC | SU PENTOBARBITAL | 100.0000MG | REISS-WILLIAMS CO | 00815-G109 | DISC |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE | 500.0000MG | | | |
| ANTIASTHMATIC COMPOUND | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | ICN PHARMACEUTICALS | - | DISC |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 120.0000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 25.0000MG | | | |
| ANTIASTHMATIC G-107 | SU PHENOBARBITAL | 130.0000MG | G AND W LABS | 00713-G107 | |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE | 500.0000MG | | | |
| ANTIASTHMATIC G-108 | SU PHENOBARBITAL | 30.0000MG | G AND W LABS | 00713-G108 | |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE | 500.0000MG | | | |
| ANTIASTHMATIC G-110 | SU PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 50.0000MG | G AND W LABS | 00713-G110 | DISC |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE | 500.0000MG | | | |
| | BENZOCAINE | 60.0000MG | | | |
| ANTIASTHMATIC G-111 | SU PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | G AND W LABS | 00713-G111 | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 25.0000MG | | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 125.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ANTIME FORTE | CA SECOBARBITAL USP | 50.0000MG | RAND LABS INC | 00569-0140 | DISC |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 30.0000MG | | | |
| ANTISPAS | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | WABASH PHARM INC | 00772-0012 | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| ANTISPASMODIC | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | BELL PHARM CORP | 00368-4025 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 0.9000ML05ML | | | |
| ANTISPASMODIC | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | BELL PHARM CORP | 00198-0080 | DISC |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| ANTISPASMODIC | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | BELL PHARM CORP | 00368-1025 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0120MG | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0050MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.1800MG | | | |
| ANTISPASMODIC | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | GEN-KING PRODUCTS | 03547-0777 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| ANTISPASMODIC | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | KAPCO INC | - | |
| | ETHAVERINE HCL | 40.0000MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0070MG | | | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 1.5000MG | | | |
| ANTISPASMODIC | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | KEYSTONE VETERINARY | - | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| ANTISPASMODIC | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG05ML | LIFE LABORATORIES | 00737-1167 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ANTISPASMODIC | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | MEDICAL SPECIALTIES | 00495-0107 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| ANTISPASMODIC | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | MEDICAL SPECIALTIES | 00495-0108 | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| ANTISPASMODIC | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG05ML | MORTON PHARM INC | - | DISC |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |
| ANTISPASMODIC | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | RONDEX LABORATORIES | 00367-4118 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| ANTISPASMODIC | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | RONDEX LABORATORIES | 00367-4122 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0195MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |
| ANTISPASMODIC | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | SCHEIN HENRY INC | 00364-0020 | |
| | HYOSCINE | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| ANTISPASMODIC | XC PHENOBARBITAL | 50.0000MG | SCHEIN HENRY INC | 00364-0021 | |
| | HYOSCINE | 0.0200MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE | 0.0600MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE | 0.3000MG | | | |
| ANTISPASMODIC | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | SHERATON LABS INC | 00972-0045 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ANTISPASMODIC | LQ PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | SPENCER-MEAD INC | 00537-2262 | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| ANTISPASMODIC | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | STAYNER CORPORATION | 00123-0066 | DISC |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT LEAVES | 16.2000MG | | | |
| ANTISPASMODIC | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG05ML | TENNESSEE PHARM CO | - | DISC |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| ANTISPASMODIC | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | WOLINS PHARM CORP | 00928-3640 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |
| ANTISPASMODIC BUFFERED | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | FELLOWS MED MFG CO | 00237-0180 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.1296MG | | | |
| | CALCIUM CARBONATE | 227.0000MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM CARBONATE | 152.0000MG | | | |
| ANTISPASMODIC COMPOUND | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | TOWNE PAULSEN & CO | 00157-0782 | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| ANTISPASMODIC LIME GREEN | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG05ML | SCHEIN HENRY INC | 00364-7002 | |
| | ATROPINE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCINE | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| ANTISPASMODIC NO 1 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | TENNESSEE PHARM CO | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| ANTISPASMODIC NO 2 | XC PHENOBARBITAL | 50.0000MG | MORTON PHARM INC | - | DISC |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0200MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0600MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.3000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ANTISPASMODIC NO 2 | XC PHENOBARBITAL | 50.0000MG | TENNESSEE PHARM CO | 00656-0061 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0600MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0200MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.3000MG | | | |
| ANTISPASMODIC NO.1 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | MORTON PHARM INC | - | DISC |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1040MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0195MG | | | |
| ANTISPASMODIC PURPLE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | HYREX-KEY PHARM | - | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 0.5670MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| ANTISPASMODIC SEDATIVE | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG05ML | BOOBY W E AND CO | 00887-0001 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |
| ANTISPASMODIC SEDATIVE | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | FATON PHARM INC | 00688-0003 | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |
| ANTISPASMODIC SEDATIVE | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG05ML | INDIANAPOLIS PHARM | - | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |
| ANTISPASMODIC SEDATIVE | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG05ML | WINDSOR DRUGS INC | - | DISC |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ANTISPASMODIC-ENZYME | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | HYREX-KEY PHARM | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.0519MG | | | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 0.2885MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0033MG | | | |
| | PANCREATIN | 100.0000MG | | | |
| | PEPSIN | 150.0000MG | | | |
| ANTISPAZ (VET) | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | EVSCO PHARM CORP | | DISC |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| ANTORA B | XC SECOBARBITAL | 50.0000MG | VICTOR HERMELIN CO | 17311-0291 | |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 30.0000MG | | | |
| ANTORA-B | CA SECOBARBITAL | 50.0000MG | MAYRAND PHARM INC | 00259-0218 | |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 30.0000MG | | | |
| ANTRATE 10 WITH PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | RUCKSTUHL COMPANY | 00144-1092 | |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 10.0000MG | | | |
| ANTRATE 20 WITH PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | RUCKSTUHL COMPANY | 00144-1096 | |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 20.0000MG | | | |
| ANYRENYL PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | CIBA-GEIGY CORP | 00083-0074 | DISC |
| | OXYPHENONIUM BROMIDE | 5.0000MG | | | |
| ANYROCOL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | POYTHRESS & CO INC | 00095-0011 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.3240MG | | | |
| | SULFUR | 21.4500MG | | | |
| | COLLOIDAL BENTONITE | 43.5500MG | | | |
| APABARB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | MEDICAL SPECIALTIES | 00495-0109 | DISC |
| | PHENACETIN | 120.0000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 250.0000MG | | | |
| APAC | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | NORTH AMERICAN PHARM | 00298-1770 | DISC |
| | ASPIRIN | 227.0000MG | | | |
| | PHENACETIN | 162.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 16.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| APC & GELSEMIUM W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | SCRIP INC | 00469-0128 | |
| | CAFFEINE | 15.0000MG | | | |
| | GELSEMIUM TINCTURE | 0.2000ML | | | |
| | PHENACETIN | 162.0000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 227.0000MG | | | |
| APC & PHENOBARBITAL (ROUND) | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | CENTRAL PHARMACAL CO | 00131-2038 | |
| | ASPIRIN | 226.8000MG | | | |
| | PHENACETIN | 162.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 32.4000MG | | | |
| APC & PHENOBARBITAL OVAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | CENTRAL PHARMACAL CO | 00131-2037 | |
| | ASPIRIN | 226.8000MG | | | |
| | PHENACETIN | 162.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 32.4000MG | | | |
| APC & PHENOBARBITAL PILLOW SHAPE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | CENTRAL PHARMACAL CO | 00131-2035 | |
| | ASPIRIN | 226.8000MG | | | |
| | PHENACETIN | 162.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 32.4000MG | | | |
| APC BARB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | LEE PHARMACEUTICAL | - | DISC |
| | PHENACETIN | 125.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 15.0000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 250.0000MG | | | |
| APC COMPOUND NO.2 WITH PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | SPENCER-MEAD INC | 00537-2027 | DISC |
| | ASPIRIN | 225.0000MG | | | |
| | PHENACETIN | 150.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 15.0000MG | | | |
| APC NO 4 WITH PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | MOORE DRUG EXCHANGE | - | DISC |
| | CAFFEINE | 15.0000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 227.0000MG | | | |
| | PHENACETIN | 162.0000MG | | | |
| APC NO.4 WITH PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | BORNEMAN & SONS | 00696-2661 | |
| | ASPIRIN | 225.0000MG | | | |
| | PHENACETIN | 150.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 15.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| APC NO4 WITH PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | DELAVAU J W S INC | 10311-0028 | |
| | ASPIRIN | 227.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 15.0000MG | | | |
| | PHENACETIN | 162.0000MG | | | |
| APC NO4 WITH PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | HARVEY LABS INC | - | DISC |
| | ASPIRIN | 227.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 15.0000MG | | | |
| | PHENACETIN | 162.0000MG | | | |
| APC # PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | RUGBY LABORATORIES | 00536-3264 | DISC |
| | PHENACETIN | 150.0000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 225.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 30.0000MG | | | |
| APC # PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | WABASH PHARM INC | 00772-0003 | DISC |
| | CAFFEINE | 30.0000MG | | | |
| | ACETOPHENETIDIN | 150.0000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 225.0000MG | | | |
| APC # PHENOBARBITAL GREEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | RUGBY LABORATORIES | - | DISC |
| | PHENACETIN | 150.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 30.0000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 225.0000MG | | | |
| APC # PHENOBARBITAL WHITE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | RUGBY LABORATORIES | 00536-3266 | DISC |
| | PHENACETIN | 150.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 30.0000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 225.0000MG | | | |
| APC # PHENOBARBITAL YELLOW | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | RUGBY LABORATORIES | 00536-3276 | DISC |
| | PHENACETIN | 150.0000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 225.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 30.0000MG | | | |
| APC # PHENOBARBITAL YELLOW | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | SPENCER-MEAD INC | 00537-2027 | DISC |
| | PHENACETIN | 162.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 32.0000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 227.0000MG | | | |
| APC # PHENOBARBITAL YELLOW | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | SPENCER-MEAD INC | 00537-6061 | |
| | ASPIRIN | 226.8000MG | | | |
| | PHENACETIN | 152.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 32.4000MG | | | |
| APC W/ PHENOBARBITAL-GREEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | SCHEIN HENRY INC | 00364-0037 | |
| | PHENACETIN | 162.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 32.4000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 226.8000MG | | | |
| APC WITH PHEND | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | PARK PHARMACAL INC | - | |
| | PHENACETIN | 162.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 15.0000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 226.8000MG | | | |
| APC WITH PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | OAYLIN MED AND SURG | - | DISC |
| | PHENACETIN | 162.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 16.2000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 226.8000MG | | | |
| APC WITH PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | ICN PHARMACEUTICALS | - | DISC |
| | ASPIRIN | 225.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 16.0000MG | | | |
| | PHENACETIN | 150.0000MG | | | |
| APC WITH PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | INTERSTATE DRUG EXCH | 00814-0040 | DISC |
| | CAFFEINE | 15.0000MG | | | |
| | PHENACETIN | 162.0000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 227.0000MG | | | |
| APC WITH PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | PARAMOUNT SURG SUPP | 00227-4016 | |
| | ASPIRIN | 225.0000MG | | | |
| | PHENACETIN | 150.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 30.0000MG | | | |
| APC WITH PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | PHARMECON INC | - | |
| | ACETOPHENETIDIN | 150.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 15.0000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 225.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| APC WITH PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | RUGBY LABORATORIES | - | DISC |
| | PHENACETIN | 162.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 32.0000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 227.0000MG | | | |
| APC-BARB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | LEE PHARMACEUTICAL | 00866-0101 | |
| | PHENACETIN | 128.0000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 256.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 16.0000MG | | | |
| APCEDINE A.S. | TB SECOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | HARVEY LABS INC | - | |
| | CAFFEINE | 30.0000MG | | | |
| | PHENACETIN | 150.0000MG | | | |
| | AMOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 300.0000MG | | | |
| APHENDRINE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | BELLEVUE SURGICAL CO | 00854-0569 | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 16.2000MG | | | |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE | 129.6000MG | | | |
| AQUALIN PLUS NO1 | SU PENTOBARBITAL SODIUM | 50.0000MG | WEBCON PHARM | - | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 250.0000MG | | | |
| AQUALIN PLUS NO2 | SU PENTOBARBITAL SODIUM | 100.0000MG | WEBCON PHARM | 00059-4102 | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 500.0000MG | | | |
| AQUALIN PLUS NO2A | SU PENTOBARBITAL SODIUM | 50.0000MG | WEBCON PHARM | 00059-4103 | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 500.0000MG | | | |
| AQUALIN PLUS-CHILDREN | SU PENTOBARBITAL SODIUM | 24.0000MG | WEBCON PHARM | 00059-4100 | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 120.0000MG | | | |
| AR-GESIC | TB AMOBARBITAL SODIUM | 50.0000MG | ARBOR PHARMACEUTICAL | - | DISC |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 300.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 40.0000MG | | | |
| ARCO-LASE PLUS | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | ARCO PHARMACEUTICALS | 00275-0045 | |
| | TRIZYME | 38.0000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0200MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1000MG | | | |
| | LIPASE | 25.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ARCOTRATE NO. 8 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | ARCUM PHARM CORP | 00357-0027 | |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 20.0000MG | | | |
| ARTENS | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | ARNELL LABORATORIES | - | DISC |
| | POWDERED VERATRUM VIRIDE | 50.0000MG | | | |
| | SODIUM NITRITE | 50.0000MG | | | |
| AS ANTISPASMODIC SEDATIVE | TB PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 16.2000MG | WESTERN RESEARCH LAB | 00832-0242 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0195MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1040MG | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| ASA-HYO-PHEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | HABERLE DRUG COMPANY | 00841-0053 | DISC |
| | HYOSCYAMUS EXTRACT | 15.0000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 325.0000MG | | | |
| ASA-HYO-PHEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | HABERLE DRUG COMPANY | 00841-0337 | |
| | ASPIRIN | 325.0000MG | | | |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 8.0000MG | | | |
| ASA-PHEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | HABERLE DRUG COMPANY | 00841-0054 | |
| | ASPIRIN | 325.0000MG | | | |
| ASCALBAR | TB BUTABARBITAL | 15.0000MG | TRUXTON C O INC | 00463-6014 | |
| | CALCIUM GLUCONATE | 120.0000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 240.0000MG | | | |
| ASMA-KETS | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | REXALL DRUG CO | 00122-0002 | DISC |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 129.6000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 24.3000MG | | | |
| ASMABAR | TB BUTABARBITAL | 20.0000MG | BLUE LINE CHEM CO | 00529-0110 | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 130.0000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 25.0000MG | | | |
| ASMABAR | TB BUTABARBITAL | 20.0000MG | BORNEMAN & SONS | - | DISC |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 130.0000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 25.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| ASMAC | CA AMOBARBITAL | 25.0000MG | VITARINE CO INC | 00185-5028 |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 25.0000MG | | |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE | 130.0000MG | | |
| ASMACOL | TB BUTABARBITAL | 15.0000MG | VALE CHEMICAL CO | 00377-0340 |
| | PHENYLPROPANOLAMINE HCL | 25.0000MG | | |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE | 180.0000MG | | |
| | CHLORPHENIRAMINE MALEATE | 2.0000MG | | |
| | DIHYDROXYALUMINUM AMINOACETATE | 120.0000MG | | |
| ASMACOL | TB BUTABARBITAL | 15.0000MG | VALE CHEMICAL CO | 00377-0027 DISC |
| | MAGNESIUM TRISILICATE | 60.0000MG | | |
| | PHENYLPROPANOLAMINE HCL | 25.0000MG | | |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE | 180.0000MG | | |
| | ALUMINIUM HYDROXIDE GEL | 50.0000MG | | |
| | CHLORPHENIRAMINE MALEATE | 2.0000MG | | |
| ASMADIL UNICELLES | XC BUTABARBITAL | 15.0000MG | REID-PROVIDENT LABS | 00063-1163 |
| | PSEUDOEPHEDRINE HCL | 50.0000MG | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 250.0000MG | | |
| ASMADRIN | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 5.0000MG | JENKINS LABS INC | 00397-2321 |
| | ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE GEL | 250.0000MG | | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 8.1300GM | | |
| | PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 5.0000MG | | |
| | ISOPROTERENOL HCL | 250.0000MG | | |
| | PENTOBARBITAL SODIUM | 5.0000MG | | |
| ASMAHAB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | HABERLE DRUG COMPANY | 00841-0059 |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 25.0000MG | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 125.0000MG | | |
| | CHLORPHENIRAMINE MALEATE | 2.0000MG | | |
| ASMAHIST | TB PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 30.0000MG | PUCKSTUHL COMPANY | 00144-1480 |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 30.0000MG | | |
| | CHLORPHENIRAMINE MALEATE | 2.0000MG | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 125.0000MG | | |
| ASMASEDINA | CA AMOBARBITAL | 25.0000MG | ORMONT DRUG & CHEM | 00557-0606 |
| | EPHEDRINE CHLORIDE | 25.0000MG | | |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE | 130.0000MG | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| ASMETT | XT AMOBARBITAL SODIUM | 30.0000MG | WABASH PHARM INC | 00772-0002 |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 130.0000MG | | |
| | CHLORPHENIRAMINE MALEATE | 3.0000MG | | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 60.0000MG | | |
| ASMINOREL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | TUTAG PHARMACEUTICAL | 00124-1172 |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 22.0000MG | | |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE | 60.0000MG | | |
| | ASCORBIC ACID | 10.0000MG | | |
| | ISOPROTERENOL HCL | 6.0000MG | | |
| ASMINYL GRAY | TB PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 8.0000MG | COLE PHARMACAL CO | 00055-6077 |
| | THEOPHYLLINE HYDROUS | 130.0000MG | | |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 32.0000MG | | |
| ASMOL | EL AMOBARBITAL | 25.0000MG05ML | QUIVER PHARMACAL CO | - |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 25.0000MG05ML | | |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE | 130.0000MG05ML | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1000ML05ML | | |
| ASPADINE | TB AMOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | LINDEN LABORATORIES | 00413-2538 DISC |
| | PHENACETIN | 150.0000MG | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 30.0000MG | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 300.0000MG | | |
| | SECOBARBITAL SODIUM | 8.0000MG | | |
| ASPADINE | TB SECOBARBITAL SODIUM | 8.0000MG | NORTH AMERICAN PHARM | 00298-1653 |
| | PHENACETIN | 150.0000MG | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 30.0000MG | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 300.0000MG | | |
| | AMOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | | |
| ASPEREASE W PHENOBARBITAL MODIFIED | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 0.0080GM | NOYES P J CO | - |
| | ACETYLSALICYLIC ACID | 0.5000GM | | |
| ASPIR-PHEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | WOLINS PHARM CORP | 00928-1035 |
| | ASPIRIN | 325.0000MG | | |
| ASPIR-PHEN WITH PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | SPENCER-MEAD INC | 00537-2036 |
| | ASPIRIN | 300.0000MG | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ASPIR-PHEN YELLOW | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>ASPIRIN | 15.0000MG<br>325.0000MG | TRUXTON C O INC | 00463-6034 | |
| ASPIRIN COMPOUND W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>PHENACETIN<br>ASPIRIN<br>CAFFEINE | 15.0000MG<br>150.0000MG<br>225.0000MG<br>15.0000MG | HARVEY LABS INC | | |
| ASPIRIN PHENACETIN & PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>ASPIRIN<br>PHENACETIN | 8.1000MG<br>259.2000MG<br>129.6000MG | SCRIP INC | 00444-0306 | |
| ASPIRIN W/ PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>ASPIRIN | 15.0000MG<br>325.0000MG | BARROWS PHARMACAL | - | DISC |
| ASPIRIN W/ PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>ASPIRIN | 15.0000MG<br>325.0000MG | BORNEMAN & SONS | 00696-2606 | |
| ASPIRIN W/ PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>ASPIRIN | 15.0000MG<br>325.0000MG | DAYLIN MED AND SURG | - | |
| ASPIRIN W/ PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>ASPIRIN | 15.0000MG<br>342.0000MG | DELAVAU J W S INC | 10311-0030 | |
| ASPIRIN W/ PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>ASPIRIN | 15.0000MG<br>325.0000MG | HALSOM DRUG COMPANY | 00729-0638 | |
| ASPIRIN W/ PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>ASPIRIN | 15.0000MG<br>325.0000MG | HARVEY LABS INC | - | DISC |
| ASPIRIN W/ PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>ASPIRIN | 32.4000MG<br>325.0000MG | INTERSTATE DRUG EXCH | 00814-0934 | DISC |
| ASPIRIN W/ PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>ASPIRIN | 15.0000MG<br>325.0000MG | INTERSTATE DRUG EXCH | 00814-0930 | DISC |
| ASPIRIN W/ PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>ASPIRIN | 15.0000MG<br>325.0000MG | MOORE DRUG EXCHANGE | - | DISC |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ASPIRIN W/ PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>ASPIRIN | 15.0000MG<br>300.0000MG | PARAMOUNT SURG SUPP | - | DISC |
| ASPIRIN W/ PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>ASPIRIN | 15.0000MG<br>300.0000MG | PARAMOUNT SURG SUPP | 00227-4020 | |
| ASPIRIN W/ PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>ASPIRIN | 15.0000MG<br>325.0000MG | RUGBY LABORATORIES | 00536-3326 | DISC |
| ASPIRIN W/ PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>ASPIRIN | 15.0000MG<br>300.0000MG | RUGBY LABORATORIES | - | DISC |
| ASPIRIN W/ PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>ASPIRIN | 15.0000MG<br>325.0000MG | SCRIP INC | 00444-0302 | |
| ASPIRIN W/ PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>ASPIRIN | 16.0000MG<br>325.0000MG | UNITED RESEARCH LABS | 00677-0220 | |
| ASPIRIN W/ PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>ASPIRIN | 15.0000MG<br>300.0000MG | ZEMMER CO INC | 00133-0219 | DISC |
| ASPIRIN W/ PHENOBARBITAL (YELLOW) | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>ASPIRIN | 15.0000MG<br>324.0000MG | SCHEIN HENRY INC | 00364-0038 | |
| ASPIRIN W/ PHENOBARBITAL #1 | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>ASPIRIN | 15.0000MG<br>325.0000MG | TABLICAPS INC | 00734-1008 | DISC |
| ASPIRIN-PHENACETIN-PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>PHENACETIN<br>ASPIRIN | 16.2000MG<br>120.0000MG<br>325.0000MG | MORTON PHARM INC | - | DISC |
| ASPIRIN-PHENACETIN-PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>ASPIRIN<br>PHENACETIN | 16.2000MG<br>324.0000MG<br>129.0000MG | TENNESSEE PHARM CO | 00656-0110 | DISC |
| ASPIROCAL | TB BUTABARBITAL<br>CALCIUM GLUCONATE<br>ASPIRIN | 15.0000MG<br>120.0000MG<br>250.0000MG | MCNEIL LABS INC | 00045-0644 | DISC |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ASTHMA | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | S-K RESEARCH LABS | - | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 25.0000MG | | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 125.0000MG | | | |
| ASTHMA | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | S-K RESEARCH LABS | 00488-SKAT | DISC |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 120.0000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 25.0000MG | | | |
| ASTHMA FORMULA | LQ AMOBARBITAL | 10.0000MG15ML | B & B LABORATORIES | - | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 130.0000MG15ML | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 20.0000MG15ML | | | |
| ASTHMACON | CA AMOBARBITAL | 25.0000MG | CONSOLIDATED MIDLAND | 00223-0160 | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 25.0000MG | | | |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE | 130.0000MG | | | |
| ASTHMADRIN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | TABLICAPS INC | 00734-1045 | DISC |
| | THEOPHYLLINE ANHYDROUS | 100.0000MG | | | |
| | RACEPHEDRINE HCL | 24.0000MG | | | |
| ASTHMASPAN | KC BUTABARBITAL | 15.0000MG | WOLINS PHARM CORP | 00928-2010 | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 250.0000MG | | | |
| | PSEUDOEPHEDRINE HCL | 0.000099 | | | |
| ASTROPHEN | CA PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 16.0000MG | MITCHELL F A COMPANY | - | |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 8.0000MG | | | |
| ASTROPHEN | LQ PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 16.2000MG05ML | MITCHELL F A COMPANY | - | |
| | BELLADONNA TINCTURE | 0.3000ML05ML | | | |
| ASTROPHEN | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | SCHERER CORPORATION | 11041-0001 | |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 8.0000MG | | | |
| ATRINITE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | GOTHAM PHARM CO INC | 10483-0803 | |
| | ATROPINE METHYLNITRATE | 1.0000MG | | | |
| ATRO HYOS P | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 20.0000MG | JONES AND VAUGHAN | - | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0200MG | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0001MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.4340MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ATROBAR-H | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | FELLOWS-TESTAGAR | - | DISC |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0648MG | | | |
| ATROBARB NO1 | TB PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 8.0000MG | JENKINS LABS INC | 00397-2413 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0650MG | | | |
| ATROBARB NO2 | TB PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 15.0000MG | JENKINS LABS INC | 00397-2468 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.1300MG | | | |
| ATROBARB NO3 | TB PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 15.0000MG | JENKINS LABS INC | 00397-2466 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2600MG | | | |
| ATROBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 32.0000MG | WESLEY PHARMACAL CO | 00917-0110 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2100MG | | | |
| ATROCUM | TB MEPHOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | FREEPORT DRUG CO | - | DISC |
| | ATROPINE METHYLNITRATE | 1.0000MG | | | |
| | DRIED ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE GEL | 250.0000MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM TRISILICATE | 500.0000MG | | | |
| ATROPAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | MALLINCKRODT | - | DISC |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2160MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM TRISILICATE | 162.0000MG | | | |
| | ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE GEL DRIED | 162.0000MG | | | |
| ATROPECTATE | LQ PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG30ML | BURNS-BIOTEC | - | DISC |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0002MG30ML | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.3000MG30ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0600MG30ML | | | |
| | PECTIN | 260.0000MG30ML | | | |
| | KAOLIN | 7.6000GM30ML | | | |
| ATROPHEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | BLAINE COMPANY | 00165-0090 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.1200MG | | | |
| ATROPHEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | RUCKSTUHL COMPANY | 00144-1227 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2500MG | | | |
| ATROPHEN NO 2 (WHITE) | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | HEALTHCO | 00728-0055 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ATROPHEN NO2 (GREEN) | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | HEALTHCO | 00728-0058 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2000MG | | | |
| ATROPINE METHYL NITRATE & PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | RUGBY LABORATORIES | - | DISC |
| | ATROPINE METHYLNITRATE | 1.0000MG | | | |
| ATROPINE SULFATE & PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | ALLAN SHAW MEDICAL | - | DISC |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.1300MG | | | |
| ATROPINE SULFATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | TRUXTON C O INC | 00463-6035 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2100MG | | | |
| ATROPINE SULFATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | WOLINS PHARM CORP | 00928-1037 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2000MG | | | |
| ATROPINE SULFATE W PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | BORNEMAN & SONS | 00696-2617 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2160MG | | | |
| ATROPINE SULFATE W PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | TABLICAPS INC | 00734-1009 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2160MG | | | |
| ATROPINE SULFATE W PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | WOLINS PHARM CORP | 00928-1099 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0600MG | | | |
| ATROPINE SULFATE W/ PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | BORNEMAN & SONS | - | DISC |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2000MG | | | |
| ATROPINE SULFATE W/ PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | MOORE DRUG EXCHANGE | - | DISC |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2100MG | | | |
| ATROPINE SULFATE W/ PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | QUEEN CITY PHARMACAL | 00541-0413 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2000MG | | | |
| ATROPINE SULFATE W/ PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | RUGBY LABORATORIES | - | DISC |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2000MG | | | |
| ATROPINE SULFATE W/ PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | SCHEIN HENRY INC | 00364-0040 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ATROPLEX DROPS & ELIXIR | LQ PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG05ML | G AND W LABS | 00713-F579 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.0550MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0100MG05ML | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0050MG05ML | | | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 2.5000MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 0.9000ML05ML | | | |
| ATROSILTAI | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | ZEMMER CO INC | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.1200MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM TRISILICATE | 0.5000GM | | | |
| | SACCHARIN SODIUM | 0.1200MG | | | |
| ATROSPAS | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | BELL PHARM CORP | - | DISC |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| ATROTAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | MACESLIN & COMPANY | 00358-0007 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2000MG | | | |
| ATTEKEN W PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | HEALTHCO | 00728-0069 | |
| | CAFFEINE | 32.0000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 227.5000MG | | | |
| | PHENACETIN | 150.0000MG | | | |
| AXOTAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | WARREN TEED PROD CO | 00013-1201 | |
| | PHENACETIN | 120.0000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 250.0000MG | | | |
| AYR | LQ BUTABARBITAL | 8.0000MG15ML | ASCHER AND COMPANY | 00225-0115 | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 130.0000MG15ML | | | |
| | PSEUDOEPHEDRINE HCL | 25.0000MG15ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 3.0000ML15ML | | | |
| AYRCAP S.R. | XC BUTABARBITAL | 15.0000MG | ASCHER AND COMPANY | 00225-0120 | |
| | PSEUDOEPHEDRINE HCL | 50.0000MG | | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 260.0000MG | | | |
| AZ-RAP | CA BUTABARBITAL | 15.0000MG | KEENE PHARM INC | 00588-3111 | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 260.0000MG | | | |
| | PSEUDOEPHEDRINE HCL | 50.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| AZLIDON | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 20.0000MG | ICN PHARMACEUTICALS | - | DISC |
| | CAFFEINE | 12.5000MG | | | |
| | PHENIRAMINE MALEATE | 0.5000MG | | | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 152.0000MG | | | |
| | PENTOBARBITAL SODIUM | 10.0000MG | | | |
| AZLYTAL RETARD | XT PHENOBARBITAL | 48.6000MG | U S ETHICALS INC | 00313-0006 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0585MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0210MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.3600MG | | | |
| AZMADRINE | CA AMOBARBITAL | 25.0000MG | U S ETHICALS INC | 00313-0044 | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 25.0000MG | | | |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE | 130.0000MG | | | |
| AZPAN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | PARAMOUNT SURG SUPP | 00227-3747 | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 130.0000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 24.0000MG | | | |
| B AND B NO 339 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | BOERICKE AND TAFEL | - | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.1200MG | | | |
| B AND T HYPERTENSEDA | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 12.0000MG | BOERICKE AND TAFEL | - | DISC |
| | VERATRUM VIRIDE | 0.2000ML | | | |
| | SODIUM NITRITE | 50.0000MG | | | |
| B.A.-GRADUAL | XC BUTABARBITAL | 15.0000MG | FEDERAL PHARM CORP | 00267-5100 | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 260.0000MG | | | |
| | PSEUDOEPHEDRINE HCL | 50.0000MG | | | |
| B-PAP | LQ BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 15.0000MG05ML | WREN PHARMACEUTICALS | 12271-7001 | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 120.0000MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 0.7500ML05ML | | | |
| BANCAPS | CA BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 10.0000MG | WESTERFIELD LABS | 00291-1005 | DISC |
| | SALICYLAMIDE | 200.0000MG | | | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 300.0000MG | | | |
| BANESIN FORTE | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 10.0000MG | WESTERFIELD LABS | 00291-3040 | DISC |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 300.0000MG | | | |
| | SALICYLAMIDE | 200.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| BANTHINE W/ PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | SEARLE G D & CO | 00014-1511 | |
| | METHANTHELINE BROMIDE | 50.0000MG | | | |
| BAR-DON | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.6700MG | WARREN TEED PROD CO | 00013-1291 | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0070MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.1000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0200MG | | | |
| BAR-DON | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.6700MG05ML | WARREN TEED PROD CO | 00013-1233 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.1000MG05ML | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0070MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0200MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 0.9000ML05ML | | | |
| BAR-TROPIN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | FELLOWS-TESTAGAR | 00237-0145 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.3200MG | | | |
| BARB-ATRO-BROM | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | WESTERFIELD LABS | 00291-3045 | DISC |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 0.3600MG | | | |
| BARB-DRINE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | HABERLE DRUG COMPANY | 00841-0063 | |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 25.0000MG | | | |
| BARB-HAL PILL | TB BARBITAL SODIUM | 50.0000MG | HALSOM DRUG COMPANY | 00729-0639 | DISC |
| | SODIUM NITRITE | 125.0000MG | | | |
| BARBATRIN HEXETT | TB PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 8.1000MG | BOWMAN PHARMACAL CO | 00252-0160 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0600MG | | | |
| BARBATRO NO 1 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | BEECHAM-MASSENGILL | - | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.1200MG | | | |
| BARBATRO NO 2 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | BEECHAM-MASSENGILL | - | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2500MG | | | |
| BARBATRO NO.2 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | ELDER P B COMPANY | 00163-M221 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2592MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| BARBEL GRADUALS | XC PHENOBARBITAL | 65.0000MG | FEDERAL PHARM CORP | 00267-5200 |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 5.0000MG | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0200MG | | |
| | ATROPINE METHYLNITRATE | 1.0000MG | | |
| BARBELLA | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | FELLOWS MED MFG CO | 00237-0135 |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | |
| BARBELOID | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | UNITED LABS LTD | - |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.1000MG | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0200MG | | |
| | PENTOBARBITAL SODIUM | 8.0000MG | | |
| BARBELOID | TB AMOBARBITAL SODIUM | 20.0000MG | VALE CHEMICAL CO | 00377-0041 DISC |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 0.5000MG | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1250MG | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0070MG | | |
| BARBELOID (REVISED) | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | VALE CHEMICAL CO | 00377-0343 |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0070MG | | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 0.5000MG | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1250MG | | |
| BARBIDONNA | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | MALLINCKRODT | 00019-R301 |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1286MG | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0250MG | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0074MG | | |
| BARBIDONNA | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG05ML | MALLINCKRODT | 00019-R305 |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1286MG05ML | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0250MG05ML | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0074MG05ML | | |
| BARBIDONNA CR | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 48.6000MG | MALLINCKRODT | 00019-R323 |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.3858MG | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0750MG | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0222MG | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| BARBIDONNA NO 2 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 32.0000MG | MALLINCKRODT | 00019-R312 |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1286MG | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0250MG | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0074MG | | |
| BARBIDONNA-CR | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 50.0000MG | VICTOR HERMELIN CO | 17311-0193 |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0222MG | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.3858MG | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0750MG | | |
| BARBIPHEDRIN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | MODERN DRUG COMPANY | - |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 24.0000MG | | |
| BARBISCOITE | TB BARBITAL SODIUM | 65.0000MG | NORTH AMERICAN PHARM | - DISC |
| | SODIUM NITRITE | 130.0000MG | | |
| BARBOPINE # 2 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 32.0000MG | MODERN DRUG COMPANY | - |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.3200MG | | |
| BARDASE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | PARKE-DAVIS & CO | 00071-0863 DISC |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1000MG | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0070MG | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0200MG | | |
| | TAKA-DIASTASE | 152.0000MG | | |
| BARDASE | LQ PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | PARKE-DAVIS & CO | 00071-1233 DISC |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0200MG05ML | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0070MG05ML | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1000MG05ML | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 0.6000ML05ML | | |
| BARDASE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | PARKE-DAVIS & CO | 00071-0863 |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1000MG | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0070MG | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0200MG | | |
| BARHOMA | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 4.0000MG01ML | BORNEMAN & SONS | - DISC |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 0.3380MG01ML | | |
| BARHOMA NO 114 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | BLUE LINE CHEM CO | 00529-0114 |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 1.3500MG | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| BARHOMA NO 114 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | BORNEMAN & SONS | - | DISC |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 1.3500MG | | | |
| BARHOMA NO 303 | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG04ML | BLUE LINE CHEM CO | 00529-0303 | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 1.3500MG04ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 0.5400ML04ML | | | |
| BARHOMA NO 303 | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 40.0000MG10ML | BORNEMAN & SONS | - | DISC |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 3.3800MG10ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.3500ML10ML | | | |
| BARITRATE PHENOBARBITAL NO 1 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | BARRY-MARTIN PHARM | 00893-0184 | DISC |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 10.0000MG | | | |
| BARITRATE PHENOBARBITAL NO 2 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | BARRY-MARTIN PHARM | 00893-0185 | DISC |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 20.0000MG | | | |
| BAROPA | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | QUEEN CITY PHARMACAL | 00541-0414 | |
| | ATROPINE METHYLNITRATE | 1.0000MG | | | |
| BAROPHEN | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | BARRE DRUG CO | - | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |
| BAROPHEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | BARRE DRUG CO | 00472-0542 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| BARPHYLLIN | ET PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | PALLARO INC | 00166-0510 | DISC |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 100.0000MG | | | |
| | AMMONIUM CHLORIDE | 325.0000MG | | | |
| BARPINE JR | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | HABERLE DRUG COMPANY | 00841-0068 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0300MG | | | |
| BARPINE NO.1 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | HABERLE DRUG COMPANY | 00841-0067 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| BARTIME | XC PHENOBARBITAL | 48.0000MG | CENTURY LABS INC | - | DISC |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0600MG | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0200MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.3000MG | | | |
| BARTIME | XC PHENOBARBITAL USP | 48.0000MG | RAND LABS INC | 00569-0129 | DISC |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE NF | 0.3000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0600MG | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0200MG | | | |
| BARTONE | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | CENTURY LABS INC | - | DISC |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0200MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1000MG05ML | | | |
| BARTONE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | CENTURY LABS INC | - | DISC |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0200MG | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| BARTONE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | RAND LABS INC | 00569-0102 | DISC |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0200MG | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| BARTONE | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | RAND LABS INC | 00569-0101 | DISC |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE NF | 0.1000MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE USP | 0.0200MG05ML | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| BARTRATE | TB 5-ETHYL-5-ISOPENTYL BARBITURIC | 50.0000MG | SCRIP INC | 00444-3002 | |
| | ATROPINE METHYLNITRATE | 0.5000MG | | | |
| BEBATAB NO 2 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | FREEPORT DRUG CO | 10433-0114 | |
| | BELLADONNA ALKALOIDS | 0.1350MG | | | |
| BEL-PHEN NO.1 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | STANLABS INC | 00242-0825 | |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 8.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| BELADEX | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | TENNESSEE PHARM CO | 00656-0120 | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0195MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1040MG | | | |
| BELADEX | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG05ML | TENNESSEE PHARM CO | 00656-0122 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |
| BELAFEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | FLAR MEDICINE CO | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0192MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| BELAFEN | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | FLAR MEDICINE CO | 00448-0008 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1000ML05ML | | | |
| BELAKOIDS | TB PENTOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | PHILIPS ROXANE LABS | 00054-4087 | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| BELAKOIDS TY | TB PENTOBARBITAL SODIUM | 48.6000MG | PHILIPS ROXANE LABS | 00054-4088 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0582MG | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0195MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.3111MG | | | |
| BELAP | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG05ML | LEMMON PHARMACAL CO | 00093-0679 | |
| | BELLADONNA LEAF FLUIDEXTRACT | 0.0330ML05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1000ML05ML | | | |
| BELAP NO 0 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | HAACK LABORATORIES | - | DISC |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 8.0000MG | | | |
| BELAP NO 0 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | LEMMON PHARMACAL CO | 00093-0611 | |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 8.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| BELAP NO. 1 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | HAACK LABORATORIES | - | DISC |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 8.0000MG | | | |
| BELAP NO1 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | LEMMON PHARMACAL CO | 00093-0612 | |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 8.0000MG | | | |
| BELAP TY-MED | XT AMOBARBITAL | 50.0000MG | HAACK LABORATORIES | - | DISC |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 7.5000MG | | | |
| BELAP TY-MED | XT AMOBARBITAL | 50.0000MG | LEMMON PHARMACAL CO | 00093-0601 | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 7.5000MG | | | |
| BELATOL NO.1 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | CENCI H R LABS INC | - | |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT POWDER | 8.1000MG | | | |
| BELBARB | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG05ML | ARNAR-STONE LABS | 00094-0013 | DISC |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.1280MG05ML | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0072MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0240MG05ML | | | |
| BELBARB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | ARNAR-STONE LABS | 00094-0014 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.1280MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0240MG | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0072MG | | | |
| BELBARB #2 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 32.0000MG | ARNAR-STONE LABS | 00094-0015 | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0072MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.1280MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0240MG | | | |
| BELBARBZYME | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | ARNAR-STONE LABS | 00094-0016 | DISC |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.0518MG | | | |
| | PANCREATIN | 300.0000MG | | | |
| | BILE EXTRACT | 150.0000MG | | | |
| | PEPSIN | 150.0000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0097MG | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0033MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| BELBARBZYME | ET PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | ICN PHARMACEUTICALS | - | DISC |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.0518MG | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0033MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0097MG | | | |
| | PEPSIN | 30.0000MG | | | |
| | OX BILE EXTRACT | 150.0000MG | | | |
| | PANCREATIN | 300.0000MG | | | |
| BELBROTONE | TB CARBROMAL | 75.0000MG | WESTERFIELD LABS | 00291-2020 | DISC |
| | PANCREATIN | 33.3000MG | | | |
| | MALT DIASTASE | 10.0000MG | | | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 1.2500MG | | | |
| | BETAINE HCL | 125.0000MG | | | |
| | PEPSIN | 75.0000MG | | | |
| | PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | | | |
| BELBUTA | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 15.0000MG | HARVEL PHARM COMPANY | 00712-0257 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1380MG | | | |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 15.0000MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0270MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0670MG | | | |
| BELKATOL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | QUEEN CITY PHARMACAL | 00541-0415 | |
| | COLLODIAL KAOLIN | 500.0000MG | | | |
| | BELLADONNA LEAVES | 15.0000MG | | | |
| BELLABARB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | HABERLE DRUG COMPANY | 00841-0069 | |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 8.0000MG | | | |
| BELLABARB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | PARAMOUNT SURG SUPP | 00227-3743 | |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 10.8000MG | | | |
| BELLABARB GEL | SS PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 8.3300MG05ML | WILJER LABORATORIES | - | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0076MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0021MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.0411MG05ML | | | |
| BELLABARB GREEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | WESLEY PHARMACAL CO | 00917-0158 | |
| | BELLADONNA P.E. | 10.8000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| BELLABARBITAL | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG05ML | EVRON PHARM COMPANY | - | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |
| BELLABUTAL | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 10.0000MG | HABERLE DRUG COMPANY | 00841-0070 | |
| | BELLADONNA LEAF EXTRACT | 15.0000MG | | | |
| BELLACHAR | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 20.0000MG | SPENCER-MEAD INC | 00537-2039 | |
| | BELLADONNA POWDERED EXTRACT | 5.0000MG | | | |
| | CHARCOAL ACTIVATED | 65.0000MG | | | |
| BELLACHAR | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 20.0000MG | WOLINS PHARM CORP | 00928-1039 | |
| | BELLADONNA POWDERED EXTRACT | 5.0000MG | | | |
| | CHARCOAL ACTIVATED | 120.0000MG | | | |
| BELLADENAL | XT PHENOBARBITAL | 50.0000MG | SANDOZ PHARM | 00078-0027 | |
| | BELLADONNA MALATE | 0.2500MG | | | |
| BELLADENAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 50.0000MG | SANDOZ PHARM | 00078-0028 | |
| | BELLADONNA MALATE | 0.2500MG | | | |
| BELLADENAL | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 50.0000MG16ML | SANDOZ PHARM | 00078-0026 | |
| | BELLADONNA ALKALOIDS | 0.2500MG16ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 2.8800ML16ML | | | |
| | GLYCERIN | 1.2800ML16ML | | | |
| BELLADOL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | PREMO PHARM LABS INC | - | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| BELLADOL | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | PREMO PHARM LABS INC | 00331-0101 | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| BELLADOL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | PREMO PHARM LABS INC | 00331-0103 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| BELLADONNA ALKALOIDS & PB | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | ABBOTT LABS | 00074-6383 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |
| BELLADONNA ALKALOIDS & PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | ALLIANCE LABS | 00243-7086 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| BELLADONNA ALKALOIDS & PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | DRUMMER LABS | 00093-0816 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| BELLADONNA ALKALOIDS & PB | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | G AND W LABS | 00713-F237 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1035MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |
| BELLADONNA ALKALOIDS & PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | NYSCO LABORATORIES | - | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMUS SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| BELLADONNA ALKALOIDS & PB | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | PHILIPS ROXANE LABS | 00054-8088 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1073MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |
| BELLADONNA ALKALOIDS & PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | PHILIPS ROXANE LABS | 00054-8086 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| BELLADONNA ALKALOIDS & PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | SCRIP INC | - | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.1280MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0240MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0072MG | | | |
| BELLADONNA ALKALOIDS & PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | STAYNER CORPORATION | 00123-0149 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| BELLADONNA ALKALOIDS & PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | WEST-WARD INC | 00143-1140 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| BELLADONNA ALKALOIDS & PB | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | WEST-WARD INC | 00143-4030 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 0.2300ML05ML | | | |
| BELLADONNA ALKALOIDS & PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | ZENITH LABS INC | 00172-3741 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| BELLADONNA ALKALOIDS W PHENOBARBITAL | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | G AND W LABS | - | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |
| BELLADONNA COMPOUND ANTISPASMODIC | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | ICN PHARMACEUTICALS | - | DISC |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 6.5000MC | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 20.0000MC | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 100.0000MC | | | |
| BELLADONNA EXTRACT & PHENOBARBITAL TABS | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | SCHEIN HENRY INC | 00364-0042 | |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 10.8000MG | | | |
| BELLADONNA P.E. & PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | RUGBY LABORATORIES | - | DISC |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 10.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| BELLADONNA PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | QUEEN CITY PHARMACAL | 00541-0416 | |
| | BELLADONNA TINCTURE | 5.0000MN | | | |
| BELLADONNA WITH PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | BORNEMAN & SONS | 00696-2616 | |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 10.0000MG | | | |
| BELLADONNA WITH PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | CHRISMAN COMPANY | - | DISC |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 10.8000MG | | | |
| BELLADONNA WITH PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | COOPER DRUG CO | - | DISC |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 10.0000MG | | | |
| BELLADONNA WITH PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | CORD LABS INC | 00117-1051 | |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 10.0000MG | | | |
| BELLADONNA WITH PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | DORSEY LABORATORIES | 00043-0304 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| BELLADONNA WITH PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | GENEVA GENERICS | 00781-0000 | DISC |
| | BELLADONNA PURIFIED EXTRACT | 10.0000MG | | | |
| BELLADONNA WITH PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | HARNEL PHARM COMPANY | 00712-0259 | |
| | BELLADONNA PURIFIED EXTRACT | 8.0000MG | | | |
| BELLADONNA WITH PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | PILL MILL INC | - | DISC |
| | BELLADONNA | 10.8000MG | | | |
| BELLADONNA WITH PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | ROBINSON LABORATORY | 00279-0385 | |
| | BELLADONNA P.E. | 10.8000MG | | | |
| BELLADONNA WITH PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | RUGBY LABORATORIES | 00536-3360 | DISC |
| | BELLADONNA POWDERED EXTRACT | 10.0000MG | | | |
| BELLADONNA WITH PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | SEAWAY SURGICAL CO | - | |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 10.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| BELLAGRANT | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | AMFRE-GRANT INC | 00142-0000 | DISC |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| BELLAGRANT GOUTTES | LQ PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | AMFRE-GRANT INC | 00142-0000 | DISC |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| BELLALPHEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | CONSOLIDATED MIDLAND | 00223-0425 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| BELLANEED | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | HANLON DRUG PRODUCTS | - | DISC |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 16.2000MG | | | |
| BELLAPAX-TD | XC PHENOBARBITAL | 48.0000MG | GATEWAY LABS | - | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0600MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.3000MG | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0200MG | | | |
| BELLAPHEN LAVENDER (REVISED | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | FERNDALE LABS | 00496-0220 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0195MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1040MG | | | |
| BELLARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | ICN PHARMACEUTICALS | - | DISC |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT POWDERED | 16.0000MG | | | |
| BELLASTAL | XT PHENOBARBITAL | 48.6000MG | U S ETHICALS INC | - | DISC |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0585MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.3600MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0210MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| BELLASTAL | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | U S ETHICALS INC | 00313-0087 |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | |
| | THIAMINE HCL | 3.0000MG05ML | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | |
| | PYRIDOXINE HCL | 0.5000MG05ML | | |
| | RIBOFLAVIN | 2.0000MG05ML | | |
| | CALCIUM PANTOTHENATE | 2.0000MG05ML | | |
| | NICOTINAMIDE | 10.0000MG05ML | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 0.7000ML05ML | | |
| BELLASTAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | U S ETHICALS INC | 00313-0160 |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | |
| BELLASTAL | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | U S ETHICALS INC | 00313-0236 |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | |
| BELLATAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | MEDICAL SPECIALTIES | 00495-0005 |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | |
| BELLATAL | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | MEDICAL SPECIALTIES | 00495-0004 |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | |
| BELLATE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | CUMBERLAND PHARM | - |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | |
| BELLATOL | CA BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 15.0000MG | ZEMMER CO INC | 00133-0611 |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 15.0000MG | | |
| BELLATOL FORTE | EL BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 20.0000MG05ML | ZEMMER CO INC | 00133-0872 |
| | BELLADONNA TINCTURE | 0.8300ML05ML | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| BELLEMMS NO1 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | TRUXTON C O INC | 00463-6042 |
| | BELLADONNA PURIFIED EXTRACT | 10.0000MG | | |
| BELLEMMS NO2 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | TRUXTON C O INC | 00463-6043 |
| | BELLADONNA PURIFIED EXTRACT | 8.0000MG | | |
| BELLEPHEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | INDIANAPOLIS PHARM | - |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 10.0000MG | | |
| BELLERGAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 20.0000MG | DORSEY LABORATORIES | 00043-0032 |
| | ERGOTAMINE TARTRATE | 0.3000MG | | |
| | L-ALKALOIDS OF BELLADONNA | 0.1000MG | | |
| BELLERGAL | XT PHENOBARBITAL | 40.0000MG | SANDOZ PHARM | 00078-0031 DISC |
| | ERGOTAMINE TARTRATE | 0.6000MG | | |
| | L-ALKALOIDS OF BELLADONNA | 0.2000MG | | |
| BELLERGAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 20.0000MG | SANDOZ PHARM | 00078-0032 DISC |
| | ERGOTAMINE TARTRATE | 0.3000MG | | |
| | L-ALKALOIDS OF BELLADONNA | 0.1000MG | | |
| BELLERGAL SPACETABS | XT PHENOBARBITAL | 40.0000MG | DORSEY LABORATORIES | 00043-0031 |
| | ERGOTAMINE TARTRATE USP | 0.6000MG | | |
| | L-ALKALOIDS OF BELLADONNA | 0.2000MG | | |
| BELLERGOTAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 20.0000MG | SPENCER-MEAD INC | 00537-2040 |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1000MG | | |
| | ERGOTAMINE TARTRATE | 0.3000MG | | |
| BELLGOTRAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 20.0000MG | U S ETHICALS INC | 00313-0106 |
| | L-HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1000MG | | |
| | ERGOTAMINE TARTRATE | 0.3000MG | | |
| BELLKATAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | FERNDALE LABS | 00496-0221 |
| | KAOLIN COLLOIDAL | 486.0000MG | | |
| | BELLADONNA POWDERED EXTRACT | 6.0750MG | | |
| BELLO-PHEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | PHARMECON INC | - |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 10.0000MG | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| BELLO-PHEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | SPENCER-MEAD INC | 00537-2041 | |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 10.0000MG | | | |
| BELLO-PHEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | WOLINS PHARM CORP | 00928-1040 | |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 10.0000MG | | | |
| BELLOPHEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | BELLEVUE SURGICAL CO | 00854-0579 | DISC |
| | BELLADONNA POWDERED EXTRACT | 10.8000MG | | | |
| BELLOPHEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | NORTH AMERICAN PHARM | 00298-1078 | |
| | BELLADONNA P.E. | 10.8000MG | | | |
| BELLOPHEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | RICHLYN LABORATORIES | 00115-2400 | |
| | BELLADONNA P.E. | 10.8000MG | | | |
| BELLOPHEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | SHERRY PHARM COMPANY | - | |
| | BELLADONNA P.E. | 10.8000MG | | | |
| BELLPHENAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | BUCKWALTER DRUG CO | - | |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 8.0000MG | | | |
| | ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE GEL | 5.0000MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMUS EXTRACT | 8.0000MG | | | |
| BELPHEN | XC PHENOBARBITAL | 50.0000MG | ROBINSON LABORATORY | 00279-3291 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0600MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0200MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.3000MG | | | |
| BELPHEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | ROBINSON LABORATORY | 00279-0386 | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| BELPHEN | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | ROBINSON LABORATORY | 00279-1132 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |
| BENOMINE WITH PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | RUCKSTUHL COMPANY | 00144-1251 | |
| | DICYCLOMINE HCL | 20.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| BENOMINE WITH PHENOBARBITAL | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | RUCKSTUHL COMPANY | 00144-1248 | |
| | DICYCLOMINE HCL | 10.0000MG | | | |
| BENTYL WITH PHENOBARBITAL | SY PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG05ML | MERRELL-NATIONAL LAB | 00068-0127 | |
| | DICYCLOMINE HCL | 10.0000MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 0.9500ML05ML | | | |
| BENTYL WITH PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | MERRELL-NATIONAL LAB | 00068-0124 | |
| | DICYCLOMINE HCL | 20.0000MG | | | |
| BENTYL WITH PHENOBARBITAL | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | MERRELL-NATIONAL LAB | 00068-0122 | |
| | DICYCLOMINE HCL | 10.0000MG | | | |
| BEPLETE W BELLADONNA | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG04ML | WYETH LABORATORIES | - | |
| | THIAMINE | 1.5000MG | | | |
| | RIBOFLAVIN | 1.0000MG | | | |
| | PYRIDOXINE | 0.3300MG | | | |
| | CYANOCOBALAMIN | 1.6600MG | | | |
| | NIACINAMIDE | 10.0000MG04ML | | | |
| | PANTOTHENOL | 0.2000MG04ML | | | |
| | BELLADONNA ALKALOIDS | 0.2000MG04ML | | | |
| BERLA-BELLATE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | BERKELEY LABS | 00475-0001 | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| BERLA-NILODYNE | TB PENTOBARBITAL SODIUM | 15.0000MG | BERKELEY LABS | 00475-0009 | |
| | CAFFEINE | 30.0000MG | | | |
| | SALICYLAMIDE | 225.0000MG | | | |
| | N-ACETYL-P-AMINOPHENOL | 150.0000MG | | | |
| BEXADONNA | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | REXAR PHARM | - | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 10.0000MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1000MG | | | |
| BILAMIDE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | NORGINE LABS INC | 00752-0002 | DISC |
| | DEHYDROCHOLIC ACID | 129.6000MG | | | |
| | DRIED OX BILE | 129.6000MG | | | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 1.2500MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| BILAMIDE REVISED | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | NORGINE LABS INC | 00752-0010 | |
| | DRIED OX BILE | 200.0000MG | | | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 1.4000MG | | | |
| BILCHOLANS | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | PHILADELPHIA CAPS CO | - | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2600MG | | | |
| | OX BILE | 24.0000MG | | | |
| | DEHYDROCHOLIC ACID | 65.0000MG | | | |
| BILEZYME PLUS | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | GERIATRIC PHARM CORP | 00249-1112 | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 2.5000MG | | | |
| | AMYLOLYTIC ENZYME | 30.0000MG | | | |
| | PROTEOLYTIC ENZYME | 6.0000MG | | | |
| | DEHYDROCHOLIC ACID | 200.0000MG | | | |
| | DESOXYCHOLIC ACID | 50.0000MG | | | |
| BILIV-H (GREEN) | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | AGM DRUG CO | 00515-0000 | |
| | DEHYDROCHOLIC ACID | 250.0000MG | | | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 2.5000MG | | | |
| BINITRIN | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 15.0000MG | VALE CHEMICAL CO | - | |
| | NITROGLYCERINE | 0.3000MG | | | |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 10.1000MG | | | |
| BIO-DONNAL | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG05ML | BIO-FACTOR LABS | 00875-0E22 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.0000ML05ML | | | |
| BIO-DONNAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | BIO-FACTOR LABS | 00875-0022 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| BIOXATPHEN (PINK) | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | ZEMMER CO INC | 00133-0265 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0600MG | | | |
| | BISMUTH SUBNITRATE | 0.1200GM | | | |
| BIOXATPHEN (YELLOW) | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | ZEMMER CO INC | 00133-0264 | |
| | BISMUTH SUBNITRATE | 0.1200GM | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0600MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| BIOXATPHEN-V PINK (VET) | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | ZEMMER CO INC | 00133-0730 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0600MG | | | |
| | BISMUTH SUBNITRATE | 120.0000MG | | | |
| BIOXATPHEN-V YELLOW (VET) | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | ZEMMER CO INC | 00133-0702 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0600MG | | | |
| | BISMUTH SUBNITRATE | 120.0000MG | | | |
| BIPAN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | AREND MILLER PHARM | 00567-1206 | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 2.5000MG | | | |
| | DEHYDROCHOLIC ACID | 250.0000MG | | | |
| BISATROPHEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | RUGBY LABORATORIES | - | DISC |
| | CALCIUM CARBONATE | 0.2250GM | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2000MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM CARBONATE | 152.0000MG | | | |
| | BISMUTH SUBNITRATE | 30.0000MG | | | |
| BISMUTH-BELLADONNA-PB | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | BENARD DRUG CO | - | |
| | BISMUTH SUBGALLATE | 324.0000MG | | | |
| | BELLADONNA LEAF EXTRACT | 8.1000MG | | | |
| BLADDER MIXTURE | LQ PHENOBARBITAL | 14.6120MG05ML | IOWA UNIVERSITY | 11326-1624 | DISC |
| | HYOSCYAMUS TINCTURE | 0.9130ML05ML | | | |
| | POTASSIUM CITRATE | 913.2400MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1600ML05ML | | | |
| BLAIRPHEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | HARVEY LABS INC | - | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1040MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0195MG | | | |
| BOWDRIN (WHITE) | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | BOWMAN PHARMACAL CO | - | |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 24.3000MG | | | |
| BRI-PHAM | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | BRIAR PHARMACAL INC | - | DISC |
| | ASCORBIC ACID | 50.0000MG | | | |
| | MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 30.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| BRI-PHARM | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | STANDARD PHARMACAL | - | DISC |
| | HESPIRIDIN | 50.0000MG | | | |
| | ASCORBIC ACID | 50.0000MG | | | |
| | MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 30.0000MG | | | |
| BRIABELL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | BRIAR PHARMACAL INC | - | DISC |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1040MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0195MG | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| BRIAMA | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | BRIAR PHARMACAL INC | - | DISC |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.1800MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM TRISILICATE | 260.0000MG | | | |
| | ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE GEL | 260.0000MG | | | |
| BRIAPAC | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | BRIAR PHARMACAL INC | - | DISC |
| | ASPIRIN | 226.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 16.0000MG | | | |
| | PHENACETIN | 162.0000MG | | | |
| BROBELLA-P8 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | BROTHERS PHARM INC | - | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0195MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1040MG | | | |
| BRON-SED | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 10.8000MG05ML | PHARMACEUTICAL ASSOC | 00121-0117 | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 20.0000MG05ML | | | |
| | PYRILAMINE MALEATE | 12.5000MG05ML | | | |
| | POTASSIUM IODIDE | 15.0000MG05ML | | | |
| | IPECAC FLUIDEXTRACT | 0.2500MN05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |
| BRON-SED | LQ PHENOBARBITAL | 10.8000MG05ML | SOUTHERN DRUG & MFG | - | DISC |
| | POTASSIUM IODIDE | 15.0000MG05ML | | | |
| | IPECAC FLUIDEXTRACT | 0.2500MN05ML | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 20.0000MG05ML | | | |
| | PYRILAMINE MALEATE | 12.5000MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| BRON-SED | LQ PHENOBARBITAL | 10.8000MG05ML | SOUTHERN PHARMACAL | - | |
| | PYRILAMINE MALEATE | 12.5000MG05ML | | | |
| | POTASSIUM IODIDE | 15.0000MG05ML | | | |
| | IPECAC | 0.2500MN05ML | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 20.0000MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |
| BRONCHILATE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | BRIAR PHARMACAL INC | - | DISC |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 130.0000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 24.0000MG | | | |
| BRONCOMAR | EL BUTABARBITAL | 15.0000MG15ML | MARLOP PHARM INC | 12939-0128 | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE ANHYDROUS | 150.0000MG15ML | | | |
| | GLYCERYL GUAIACOLATE | 150.0000MG15ML | | | |
| | PSEUDOEPHRINE HCL | 30.0000MG15ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 2.2500ML15ML | | | |
| BRONCUMAR | XC BUTABARBITAL | 15.0000MG | MARLOP PHARM INC | - | |
| | PSEUDOEPHEDRINE HCL | 50.0000MG | | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 260.0000MG | | | |
| BRONDILATE | TB BUTABARBITAL | 20.0000MG | WALKER CORP & CO INC | 00741-0014 | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 15.0000MG | | | |
| | ISOPOTERENOL HCL | 5.0000MG | | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE CALCIUM SALICYLAT | 100.0000MG | | | |
| BROPHEN (VET) | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | HALL VET DRUG CO | - | DISC |
| | ATROPINE METHYLNITRATE | 2.0000MG | | | |
| | THIAMINE HCL | 5.0000MG | | | |
| BU DON | TB BUTABARBITAL | 16.0000MG | LEE PHARMACEUTICAL | 00866-0105 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0240MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.1280MG | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0072MG | | | |
| BU DON | TB BUTABARBITAL | 15.0000MG | LEE PHARMACEUTICAL | - | DISC |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.1280MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0072MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0240MG | | | |
| BUBARBEL | EL BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 90.0000MG30ML | TRUXTON C O INC | 00463-9003 | |
| | BELLADONNA ALKALOIDS | 1.1250MG30ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 2.1000ML30ML | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| BUBARBEL (GREEN) | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 15.0000MG | TRUXTON C O INC | 00463-6032 | |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 15.0000MG | | | |
| BUBARBEL (ROSE) | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 15.0000MG | TRUXTON C O INC | 00463-6048 | |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 15.0000MG | | | |
| BUBARBEL LAYTAB | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 16.2000MG | TRUXTON C O INC | 00463-7002 | |
| | BELLADONNA LEAVES | 16.2000MG | | | |
| BUBARBEL-TD | XT BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 45.0000MG | TRUXTON C O INC | 00463-3016 | |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 45.0000MG | | | |
| BUDON | EL BUTABARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | PHARMACEUTICAL ASSOC | 00121-0116 | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 0.1250MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |
| BUDON | LQ BUTABARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | SOUTHERN DRUG & MFG | - | DISC |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 0.1250MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |
| BUFABAR | TB BUTABARBITAL | 15.0000MG | ICN PHARMACEUTICALS | - | DISC |
| | CAFFEINE ANHYDROUS | 15.0000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 324.0000MG | | | |
| | ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE GEL | 45.0000MG | | | |
| | AMINOACETIC ACID | 45.0000MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM CARBONATE | 45.0000MG | | | |
| BUFABAR | TB BUTABARBITAL | 15.0000MG | PHILIPS ROXANE LABS | 00054-4115 | DISC |
| | ASPIRIN | 324.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 15.0000MG | | | |
| BUFF-A COMP | TB BUTALBITAL | 48.6000MG | MAYRAND PHARM INC | 00259-0256 | |
| | PHENACETIN | 130.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 43.2000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 648.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| BUFF-A COMP | CA BUTALBITAL | 24.3000MG | MAYRAND PHARM INC | 00259-0285 | |
| | CAFFEINE | 43.2000MG | | | |
| | PHENACETIN | 130.0000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 324.0000MG | | | |
| BUFF-A-BARB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | BYRNE PHARMACAL | 00831-0210 | |
| | ASPIRIN | 325.0000MG | | | |
| BUFFADYNE A-S | TB AMOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | LEMMON PHARMACAL CO | - | |
| | ASPIRIN | 300.0000MG | | | |
| | PHENACETIN | 150.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 30.0000MG | | | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 2.5000MG | | | |
| | ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE GEL | 75.0000MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM HYDROXIDE | 45.0000MG | | | |
| BUFFADYNE WITH BARBITURATES | TB SECOBARBITAL SODIUM | 8.0000MG | LEMMON PHARMACAL CO | 00093-0200 | DISC |
| | CAFFEINE | 30.0000MG | | | |
| | PHENACETIN | 150.0000MG | | | |
| | DRIED ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE GEL | 75.0000MG | | | |
| | AMOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM HYDROXIDE | 45.0000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 300.0000MG | | | |
| BUNESIA | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 10.0000MG | MCNEIL LABS INC | - | DISC |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 2.5000MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM HYDROXIDE | 300.0000MG | | | |
| BUREN | TB BUTABARBITAL | 15.0000MG | ASCHER AND COMPANY | 00225-0085 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | PHENAZOPYRIDINE HCL | 150.0000MG | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| BURGESIC | TB AMOBARBITAL | 30.0000MG | BURLINGTON PHARMACAL | 00479-0106 | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 194.4000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 30.0000MG | | | |
| BUTA-HAL | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 15.0000MG | HALSOM DRUG COMPANY | 00729-0549 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1380MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0670MG | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0270MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| BUTA-TCL COMPOUND | LQ BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 16.2000MG05ML | B & B LABORATORIES | - | DISC |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| BUTABAR BELLADONNA | LQ BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 90.0000MG30ML | BARRE DRUG CO | - | |
| | BELLADONNA ALKALOIDS | 1.1220MG30ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 2.1000ML30ML | | | |
| BUTABARB-BELLADONNA | EL BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 15.0000MG05ML | BORNEMAN & SONS | 00696-8013 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1380MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0270MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0670MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 0.3500ML05ML | | | |
| BUTABARBITAL & HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | EL BUTABARBITAL | 15.0000MG05ML | MCNEIL LABS INC | - | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1250MG05ML | | | |
| BUTABARBITAL & HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | TB BUTABARBITAL | 15.0000MG | MCNEIL LABS INC | - | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1250MG | | | |
| BUTABARBITAL & HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | CA BUTABARBITAL | 45.0000MG | MCNEIL LABS INC | - | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.3750MG | | | |
| BUTABARBITAL AND BELLADONNA EXTRACT | TB BUTABARBITAL | 15.0000MG | RUGBY LABORATORIES | 00536-3409 | DISC |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 15.0000MG | | | |
| BUTABARBITAL BELLADONNA | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 10.0000MG | FOY LABS INC | 00494-0350 | |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 15.0000MG | | | |
| BUTABARBITAL BELLADONNA | LQ BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 90.0000MG30ML | PARMED PHARM | 00349-4013 | DISC |
| | BELLADONNA ALKALOIDS | 1.1220MG30ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 2.1000ML30ML | | | |
| BUTABARBITAL SODIUM AND BELLADONNA | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 15.0000MG | SCRIP INC | - | DISC |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 15.0000MG | | | |
| BUTABARBITAL SODIUM W BELLADONNA | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 15.0000MG | ALLAN SHAW MEDICAL | - | DISC |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 15.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| BUTABARBITAL W BELLADONNA | EL BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 15.0000MG05ML | BORNEMAN & SONS | 00696-8013 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1380MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0670MG05ML | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0270MG05ML | | | |
| BUTABARBITAL-PLUS | EL BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 90.0000MG30ML | NORTH AMERICAN PHARM | 00298-5429 | |
| | BELLADONNA ALKALOIDS | 1.1220MG30ML | | | |
| BUTABARBITAL/L-HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | XC BUTABARBITAL | 45.0000MG | NYSCO LABORATORIES | - | |
| | L-HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 375.0000MC | | | |
| BUTABELL HMB | EL BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 15.0000MG05ML | SARON PHARMACAL CORP | 00834-6009 | DISC |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 10.0000MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |
| BUTABELL HMB | EL BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 15.0000MG05ML | SARON PHARMACAL CORP | 00834-6009 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 5.0000MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |
| BUTABELL HMB PINK | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 15.0000MG | SARON PHARMACAL CORP | 00834-1008 | DISC |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 10.0000MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| BUTABELL HMB PINK | TB BUTABARBITAL | 15.0000MG | SARON PHARMACAL CORP | 00834-1008 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 5.0000MG | | | |
| BUTAGESIC | TB BUTABARBITAL | 15.0000MG | LASER INC | 00277-0125 | DISC |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 325.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 32.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| BUTAMEN | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 10.0000MG | FREEPORT DRUG CO | - | DISC |
| | N-ACETYL-P-AMINOPHENOL | 325.0000MG | | | |
| | MEPHENESIN | 200.0000MG | | | |
| BUTIBEL | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 15.0000MG | MCNEIL LABS INC | 00045-0046 | |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 15.0000MG | | | |
| BUTIBEL | EL BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 15.0000MG05ML | MCNEIL LABS INC | 00045-0044 | |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 15.0000MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 0.3500ML05ML | | | |
| BUTIBEL R-A | XT BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 30.0000MG | MCNEIL LABS INC | 00045-0049 | |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 30.0000MG | | | |
| BUTIBEL-GEL | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 7.5000MG | MCNEIL LABS INC | 00045-0053 | DISC |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 7.5000MG | | | |
| | PECTIN | 45.0000MG | | | |
| | ACTIVATED ATTAPULGITE | 500.0000MG | | | |
| BUTIBEL-GEL | SS BUTABARBITAL | 15.0000MG30ML | MCNEIL LABS INC | - | DISC |
| | PECTIN | 150.0000MG30ML | | | |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 15.0000MG30ML | | | |
| | ACTIVATED ATTAPULGITE | 3.0000GM30ML | | | |
| BUTIBEL-ZYME | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 15.0000MG | MCNEIL LABS INC | 00045-0058 | |
| | IRON OX BILE | 30.0000MG | | | |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 15.0000MG | | | |
| | PROTEOLYTIC STANDARDIZED ENZYM | 10.0000MG | | | |
| | CELLULOLYTIC STANDARDIZED ENZY | 5.0000MG | | | |
| | LIPOLYTIC STANDARDIZED ENZYMES | 100.0000MG | | | |
| | AMYLOLYTIC STANDARDIZED ENZYME | 20.0000MG | | | |
| BUTIGETIC | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 15.0000MG | MCNEIL LABS INC | 00045-0064 | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 200.0000MG | | | |
| | PHENACETIN | 150.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE ANHYDROUS | 30.0000MG | | | |
| BUTIREX | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 15.0000MG | RUCKSTUHL COMPANY | 00144-1256 | |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 15.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| BUTISPAZ | TB BUTABARBITAL | 15.0000MG | CONTINENTAL DRUG CO | - | |
| | MAGNESIUM TRISILICATE | 152.0000MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE | 260.0000MG | | | |
| BUTONAL | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 15.0000MG | QUEEN CITY PHARMACAL | 00541-0316 | |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 15.0000MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1380MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0270MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0670MG | | | |
| C.T. PALE GREEN OVAL SHAPED RE-EN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | HALSOM DRUG COMPANY | - | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.2592MG | | | |
| | PHENACETIN | 97.2000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 194.4000MG | | | |
| C.T. PALE GREEN OVAL SHAPED RE-EN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | HALSOM DRUG COMPANY | - | DISC |
| | ACETANILID | 97.2000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 194.4000MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.2592MG | | | |
| CAFERGOT P-B | TB PENTOBARBITAL SODIUM | 30.0000MG | SANDOZ PHARM | 00078-0036 | |
| | L-ALKALOIDS OF BELLADONNA | 0.1250MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 100.0000MG | | | |
| | ERGOTAMINE TARTRATE | 1.0000MG | | | |
| CAFERGOT P-B | SU PENTOBARBITAL | 60.0000MG | SANDOZ PHARM | 00078-0035 | |
| | BELLADONNA ALKALOIDS | 0.2500MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 100.0000MG | | | |
| | ERGOTAMINE TARTRATE | 2.0000MG | | | |
| CAL-MA-PHEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | PHYSICIANS SUPPLY | - | |
| | CALCIUM CARBONATE | 324.0000MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM HYDROXIDE | 324.0000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2160MG | | | |
| CALCIDRINE | SY PENTOBARBITAL SODIUM | 25.0000MG30ML | ABBOTT LABS | 00074-3140 | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 25.0000MG30ML | | | |
| | CALCIUM IODIDE ANHYDROUS | 910.0000MG30ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.8000ML30ML | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| CALCILET | TB PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 4.0000MG | WESTERFIELD LABS | 00291-3065 | DISC |
| | CALCIUM PHOSPHATE DIBASIC | 50.0000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 150.0000MG | | | |
| CALCIPHEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 8.0000MG | WESTERFIELD LABS | 00291-3070 | DISC |
| | ASPIRIN | 300.0000MG | | | |
| | CALCIUM PHOSPHATE DIBASIC | 120.0000MG | | | |
| CANFIRIN COMPOUND | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | CANFIELD AND CO | 00574-1901 | DISC |
| | CAFFEINE | 30.0000MG | | | |
| | SALICYLAMIDE | 226.0000MG | | | |
| | MEPHENESIN | 244.0000MG | | | |
| | ACETOPHENETIDIN | 150.0000MG | | | |
| CANTIL W PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | LAKESIDE LABS | - | |
| | MEPENZOLATE BROMIDE | 25.0000MG | | | |
| CARBONATES PB & ATROPINE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | NOYES P J CO | 00159-0510 | |
| | BISMUTH SUBCARBONATE | 32.0000MG | | | |
| | CALCIUM CARBONATE | 224.0000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.1000MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM CARBONATE | 160.0000MG | | | |
| CARDALIN-PHEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | MALLINCKRODT | - | DISC |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE | 324.0000MG | | | |
| | ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE GEL DRIED | 152.0000MG | | | |
| | BENZOCAINE | 32.4000MG | | | |
| CARDILATE-P | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | BURROUGHS WELLCOME | 00081-0162 | |
| | ERYTHRITYL TETRANITRATE | 93.3000MG | | | |
| CENTER EF-BARB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | CENTER LABS INC | 00268-0060 | |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 25.0000MG | | | |
| CEPHALGESIC | CA DIALLYLBARBITURIC ACID | 15.0000MG | COOPER LABS INC | 00058-1100 | DISC |
| | PHENACETIN | 50.0000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 250.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE ANHYDROUS | 30.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| CEROTAB (VET) | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | AFFILIATED LABS | - | DISC |
| | METHAPYRILENE HCL | 15.0000MG | | | |
| | BENZOCAINE | 50.0000MG | | | |
| | PYRIDOXINE HCL | 1.5000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.1000MG | | | |
| | CERIUM OXALATE | 125.0000MG | | | |
| CETASED YELLOW OVAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | WESLEY PHARMACAL CO | 00917-0395 | |
| | PHENACETIN | 162.0000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 226.8000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE ANHYDROUS | 32.4000MG | | | |
| CHAR-HAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 20.0000MG | HALSOM DRUG COMPANY | 00729-0550 | |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 5.0000MG | | | |
| | ACTIVATED CHARCOAL | 50.0000MG | | | |
| CHARCOBARB | TB PENTOBARBITAL SODIUM | 10.0000MG | ZEMMER CO INC | 00133-0299 | DISC |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | ACTIVATED CHARCOAL | 50.0000MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE DRIED GEL | 120.0000MG | | | |
| CHARCOBARB (VET) | TB PENTOBARBITAL SODIUM | 10.0000MG | ZEMMER CO INC | 00133-0409 | |
| | ACTIVATED CHARCOAL | 50.0000MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| CHARCOBARB-V (VET) | TB PENTOBARBITAL SODIUM | 10.0000MG | ZEMMER CO INC | 00133-0703 | |
| | ACTIVATED CHARCOAL | 60.0000MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| CHARSPAST | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 20.0000MG | TRUXTON C O INC | 00463-6064 | |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 5.0000MG | | | |
| | CHARCOAL | 65.0000MG | | | |
| CHOLAN HMB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | PENNWALT PRODUCTS | 00018-0950 | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 2.5000MG | | | |
| | DEHYDROCHOLIC ACID | 250.0000MG | | | |
| CHOLARACE | TB PENTOBARBITAL | 27.5000MG | WARNER-CHILCOTT LABS | - | |
| | OXTRIPHYLLINE | 200.0000MG | | | |
| | RACEPHEDRINE | 20.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| CO-ELORINE | CA AMOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | LILLY ELI & CO | 00002-0F97 | DISC |
| | TRICYCLOMOL CHLORIDE | 25.0000MG | | | |
| CO-ELORINE 100 | CA AMOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | LILLY ELI & CO | - | |
| | TRICYCLAMOL CHLORIDE | 100.0000MG | | | |
| COASTALGESIC | TB BUTABARBITAL | 15.0000MG | COASTAL PHARM CO | 00491-0007 | |
| | CAFFEINE | 32.0000MG | | | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 324.0000MG | | | |
| COASTALGESIC | TB BUTABARBITAL | 15.0000MG | NORFOLK DRUG CORP | - | |
| | CAFFEINE | 32.0000MG | | | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 500.0000MG | | | |
| CODEXIN | XC PHENOBARBITAL | 20.0000MG | ARCO PHARMACEUTICALS | 00275-0080 | |
| | D-AMPHETAMINE SULFATE | 15.0000MG | | | |
| | MERCUROPHYLLINE | 10.0000MG | | | |
| CODEXIN T | XT PHENOBARBITAL | 20.0000MG | ARCO PHARMACEUTICALS | 00275-0085 | |
| | MERCUROPHYLLINE | 10.0000MG | | | |
| | DEXTROAMPHETAMINE SULFATE | 15.0000MG | | | |
| COGESIC B | CA PENTOBARBITAL SODIUM | 25.0000MG | BO-MISE COMPANY | 00655-0027 | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 250.0000MG | | | |
| | SALICYLAMIDE | 250.0000MG | | | |
| COGESIC B | TB BUTABARBITAL | 25.0000MG | BO-MISE COMPANY | 00655-0009 | |
| | SALICYLAMIDE | 250.0000MG | | | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 250.0000MG | | | |
| COLD PREPARATION SPECIAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | KNIGHT PHARMACAL CO | - | |
| | CHLORPHENIRAMINE MALEATE | 2.0000MG | | | |
| | PSEUDOEPHRINE HCL | 60.0000MG | | | |
| | SALICYLAMIDE POWDER | 300.0000MG | | | |
| COMPOGESIC | TB ALLYLBARBITURIC ACID | 45.0000MG | TRIONIC DRUG CO | - | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 648.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 32.4000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| CONCECOL | LQ BUTABARBITAL | 16.0000MG10MN | KING PHARMACEUTICAL | 00285-0822 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1000MG10MN | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0070MG10NN | | | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 0.0200MG10MN | | | |
| COPIN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | BLUCO INCORPORATED | 10160-0149 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.3000MG | | | |
| CORATRATE W PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | QUEEN CITY PHARMACAL | 00541-0005 | |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 20.0000MG | | | |
| CORATRATE W PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | QUEEN CITY PHARMACAL | 00541-0004 | |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 10.0000MG | | | |
| COROVAS | XC SECOBARBITURIC ACID | 50.0000MG | AMFRE-GRANT INC | 00142-0227 | |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 30.0000MG | | | |
| COROVAS TYMCAPS | XC SECOBARBITURIC ACID | 50.0000MG | VICTOR HERMELIN CO | 17311-0005 | |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 30.0000MG | | | |
| COVADIL | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 20.0000MG | BLUE LINE CHEM CO | - | |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 15.0000MG | | | |
| COVAP | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 20.0000MG | BLUE LINE CHEM CO | 00529-0141 | |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 15.0000MG | | | |
| CWELS | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | SANSON INC | - | DISC |
| | VITAMIN B-1 | 1.5000MG | | | |
| | VITAMIN B-12 | 1.6600MC | | | |
| | NIACINAMIDE | 10.0000MG | | | |
| | VITAMIN B-2 | 1.0000MG | | | |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 16.0000MG | | | |
| | VITAMIN B-6 | 0.3300MG | | | |
| | D-CALCIUM PANTOTHENATE | 0.2000MG | | | |
| CYBEL | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 5.4000MG | HABERLE DRUG COMPANY | 00841-0092 | DISC |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 5.4000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | PENTOBARBITAL SODIUM | 5.4000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| CYBEL | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 8.1000MG | HABERLE DRUG COMPANY | 00841-0092 |
| | PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 8.1000MG | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.1037MG | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | |
| CYCLO-BELL | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 5.4000MG | MOORE KIRK LABS | 00133-1240 |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | |
| | PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 5.4000MG | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.1037MG | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | |
| | PENTOBARBITAL SODIUM | 5.4000MG | | |
| CYCLO-BELL | EL PENTOBARBITAL SODIUM | 5.4000MG05ML | MOORE KIRK LABS | 00133-5070 |
| | BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 5.4000MG05ML | | |
| | PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 5.4000MG05ML | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.1037MG05ML | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 0.7500ML05ML | | |
| CYCLO-TAB | TB PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 5.4000MG | JENKINS LABS INC | 00397-2230 |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | |
| | PENTOBARBITAL SODIUM | 5.4000MG | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.1037MG | | |
| | BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 5.4000MG | | |
| CYNIDAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | PREVICOL INC | 00000-4652 |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | |
| CYNIDAL | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | PREVICOL INC | 11702-0003 |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | |
| CYSTOSPAZ-SR CAP | XC BUTABARBITAL | 45.0000MG | WEBCON PHARM | 00059-2250 |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.3750MG | | |
| DACTIL W PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | K-V PHARMACAL CO | 10609-0177 |
| | PIPERIDOLATE HCL | 50.0000MG | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| DACTIL W PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | LAKESIDE LABS | - |
| | PIPERIDOLATE HCL | 50.0000MG | | |
| DAINITE | TB PENTOBARBITAL SODIUM | 16.2000MG | MALLINCKRODT | 00019-5330 |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE | 194.4000MG | | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 16.2000MG | | |
| | ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE GEL DRIED | 162.0000MG | | |
| | BENZOCAINE | 16.2000MG | | |
| DAINITE NIGHT | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 24.3000MG | MALLINCKRODT | 00019-5333 |
| | PENTOBARBITAL SODIUM | 32.4000MG | | |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE | 259.2000MG | | |
| | ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE GEL DRIED | 162.0000MG | | |
| | BENZOCAINE | 16.2000MG | | |
| DAINITE-KI | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | MALLINCKRODT | 00019-5340 |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE | 194.4000MG | | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 16.2000MG | | |
| | POTASSIUM IODIDE | 324.0000MG | | |
| | ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE GEL DRIED | 162.0000MG | | |
| | BENZOCAINE | 16.2000MG | | |
| DARICON PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | BEECHAM-MASSENGILL | 00029-1940 |
| | OXYPHENCYCLIMINE HCL | 5.0000MG | | |
| DARICON PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | PFIZER LABORATORIES | 00069-1850 |
| | OXYPHENCYCLIMINE HCL | 5.0000MG | | |
| DAYBEL | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 17.0000MG05ML | DAY PHARMACAL OF PR | - |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | |
| DE HEPTAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | DE LEON LABORATORIES | - |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | |
| | DEHYROCHOLIC ACID | 200.0000MG | | |
| | PANCREATIN | 100.0000MG | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| DE TAL | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | DE LEON LABORATORIES | - | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.0000ML05ML | | | |
| DE TAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | DE LEON LABORATORIES | - | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| DE-BARD | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | HILLENBRAND A J | 00691-0012 | |
| | DIASTASE MALT | 150.0000MG | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0070MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0200MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1000MG | | | |
| DECHOLIN-BB | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 15.0000MG | DOME LABORATORIES | 00026-8122 | DISC |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 10.0000MG | | | |
| | DEHYDROCHOLIC ACID | 250.0000MG | | | |
| DECONGESTANT-EXPECTORANT W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | DRUMMER LABS | 00093-0299 | |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 24.0000MG | | | |
| | POTASSIUM IODIDE | 152.0000MG | | | |
| | CALCIUM LACTATE | 162.0000MG | | | |
| DELKADON | TB VINBARBITAL | 30.0000MG | MERCK & CO INC | 00006-0046 | DISC |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.2250MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0190MG | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0060MG | | | |
| DELTAMECE COMPUESTO | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 25.0000MG | DELTA PHARM (PR) | - | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 25.0000MG | | | |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE | 130.0000MG | | | |
| DELTASMYL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | ICN PHARMACEUTICALS | - | DISC |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 15.0000MG | | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 120.0000MG | | | |
| | PREDNISONE | 1.5000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| DENGESIC | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 8.1000MG | SCOTT-ALISON PHARM | 00711-0001 | |
| | PHENYLTOLOXAMINE DIHYDROGEN CI | 30.0000MG | | | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 300.0000MG | | | |
| | SALICYLAMIDE | 100.0000MG | | | |
| DEOPHENE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | MOFFET INC | - | DISC |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 2.5000MG | | | |
| | DEHYDROCHOLIC ACID | 250.0000MG | | | |
| DIALIX IMPROVED | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | WESTERFIELD LABS | 00291-3136 | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 130.0000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 25.0000MG | | | |
| DIALOG | TB ALLOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | CIBA-GEIGY CORP | 00083-0105 | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 300.0000MG | | | |
| DIAMATIC-G | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 5.0000MG | INVENEX PHARM | - | DISC |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 130.0000MG | | | |
| | PSEUDOEPHEDRINE HCL | 30.0000MG | | | |
| | GLYCERYL GUAIACOLATE | 100.0000MG | | | |
| DIANAPHEN | LQ PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | INTER-AMERICAN PHARM | 00739-0041 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |
| DIATRAEGUS | TB DIALLYLBARBITURIC ACID | 16.2000MG | BUFFINGTONS INC | - | |
| | NITROGLYCERIN | 0.2600MG | | | |
| | SODIUM NITRITE | 64.8000MG | | | |
| | TINCTURE CRATAEGUS | 2.0000MN | | | |
| DIATRAEGUS MODIFIED | TB ALLOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | O'NEAL JONES FELDMAN | 00456-0235 | DISC |
| | HAWTHORN EXTRACT | 3.0000MG | | | |
| | SODIUM NITRITE | 65.0000MG | | | |
| DIATROPINE | TB ALLOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | O'NEAL JONES FELDMAN | 00456-0236 | DISC |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.3600MG | | | |
| | BISMUTH SUBCARBONATE | 64.8000MG | | | |
| | CALCIUM CARBONATE | 226.8000MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM CARBONATE | 162.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| DICYCLOMINE & PHENOBARBITAL | CA PHENOBARBITAL<br>DICYCLOMINE HCL | 15.0000MG<br>10.0000MG | LINDEN LABORATORIES | 00413-0414 |
| DICYCLOMINE & PHENOBARBITAL | CA PHENOBARBITAL<br>DICYCLOMINE | 15.0000MG<br>10.0000MG | SCHEIN HENRY INC | 00364-0293 |
| DICYCLOMINE & PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>DICYCLOMINE | 15.0000MG<br>20.0000MG | SCHEIN HENRY INC | 00364-0376 |
| DICYCLOMINE HCL W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>DICYCLOMINE HCL | 15.0000MG<br>20.0000MG | SPENCER-MEAD INC | 00537-2600 |
| DICYCLOMINE HCL W PB BLUE/WHITE | CA PHENOBARBITAL<br>DICYCLOMINE HCL | 15.0000MG<br>10.0000MG | RICHLYN LABORATORIES | 00115-3210 |
| DICYCLOMINE HCL W PB WHITE | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>DICYCLOMINE HCL | 15.0000MG<br>20.0000MG | RICHLYN LABORATORIES | 00115-3225 |
| DICYCLOMINE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>DICYCLOMINE HCL | 15.0000MG<br>20.0000MG | DANBURY PHARMACAL | 00591-5384 |
| DICYCLOMINE W PB | CA PHENOBARBITAL<br>DICYCLOMINE HCL | 15.0000MG<br>10.0000MG | DANBURY PHARMACAL | 00591-5308 |
| DICYCLOMINE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>DICYCLOMINE HCL | 15.0000MG<br>20.0000MG | PHARMECON INC | 00783-0905 |
| DICYCLOMINE W PB | CA PHENOBARBITAL<br>DICYCLOMINE HCL | 15.0000MG<br>10.0000MG | PHARMECON INC | - |
| DICYCLOMINE W PHENO | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>DICYCLOMINE HCL | 15.0000MG<br>20.0000MG | WOLINS PHARM CORP | 00928-1930 |
| DICYCLOMINE W PHENO | CA PHENOBARBITAL<br>DICYCLOMINE HCL | 15.0000MG<br>10.0000MG | WOLINS PHARM CORP | 00928-2932 |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| DIGESTAMIC | TB BUTABARBITAL<br>BELLADONNA P E<br>PECTIN<br>PEPSIN<br>MYCOZYME<br>BETAINE HCL | 15.0000MG<br>10.0000MG<br>130.0000MG<br>130.0000MG<br>130.0000MG<br>130.0000MG | LINDEN LABORATORIES | 00413-2420 |
| DIGETONIL | EL PHENOBARBITAL<br>MALT DIASTASE<br>RENNIN N.F.<br>LACTIC ACID<br>HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE<br>ATROPINE SULFATE<br>HYOSCINE HBR<br>PEPSIN N.F.<br>ALCOHOL | 8.1000MG 15ML<br>150.0000MG 15ML<br>25.0000MG 15ML<br>0.0053ML 15ML<br>0.0517MG 15ML<br>0.0096MG 15ML<br>0.0032MG 15ML<br>150.0000MG 15ML<br>1.5000ML 15ML | QUIVER PHARMACAL CO | - |
| DIGETONIL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>BILE SALTS<br>PEPSIN N.F.<br>HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE<br>ATROPINE SULFATE<br>HYOSCINE HBR<br>PANCREATIN N.F. | 8.1000MG<br>100.0000MG<br>150.0000MG<br>0.0517MG<br>0.0096MG<br>0.0032MG<br>250.0000MG | QUIVER PHARMACAL CO | - |
| DIGEZYME | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>BILE SALTS<br>PANCREATIN<br>PEPSIN<br>HYOSCINE HBR<br>HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE<br>ATROPINE SULFATE | 8.1000MG<br>150.0000MG<br>300.0000MG<br>150.0000MG<br>0.0033MG<br>0.0518MG<br>0.0097MG | RUGBY LABORATORIES | 00536-3575 DISC |
| DILACAP | CA PHENOBARBITAL<br>EPHEDRINE HCL<br>GLYCERYL GUAIACOLATE<br>DYPHYLLINE | 16.0000MG<br>16.0000MG<br>100.0000MG<br>100.0000MG | COASTAL PHARM CO | 00491-0030 |
| DILANTIN WITH PHENOBARBITAL 1/2GR | CA PHENOBARBITAL<br>DIPHENYLHYDANTOIN SODIUM | 32.0000MG<br>100.0000MG | PARKE-DAVIS & CO | 00071-0531 |
| DILANTIN WITH PHENOBARBITAL 1/4GR | CA PHENOBARBITAL<br>DIPHENYLHYDANTOIN SODIUM | 16.0000MG<br>100.0000MG | PARKE-DAVIS & CO | 00071-0375 |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| DILAVAS NO 2 W AMOBARBITAL ORANGE | TB AMOBARBITAL | 32.4000MG | FERNDALE LABS | - | DISC |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 10.0000MG | | | |
| DIS-TROPINE | TB DIALLYLBARBITURIC ACID | 16.2000MG | BUFFINGTONS INC | - | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2160MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM CARBONATE | 162.0000MG | | | |
| | CALCIUM CARBONATE | 226.8000MG | | | |
| | BISMUTH SUBCARBONATE | 64.8000MG | | | |
| DIURBITAL | ET PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | AMFRE-GRANT INC | 00142-0239 | DISC |
| | THEOBROMINE SODIUM SALICYLATE | 195.0000MG | | | |
| | CALCIUM LACTATE | 97.3350MG | | | |
| DOLAFLEX | LQ PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | ENEGLOTARIA MEDICINE | 00579-0005 | DISC |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |
| DOLONIL | TB BUTABARBITAL | 15.0000MG | WARNER-CHILCOTT LABS | 00047-0160 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.3000MG | | | |
| | PHENAZOPYRIDINE HCL | 150.0000MG | | | |
| DOLOR PLUS | TB BUTABARBITAL | 8.0000MG | GERIATRIC PHARM CORP | 00249-1114 | |
| | ASPIRIN | 230.0000MG | | | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 230.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 30.0000MG | | | |
| | CALCIUM CARBONATE | 100.0000MG | | | |
| | DRY SKIM MILK POWDER | 50.0000MG | | | |
| DON-A-SPAS | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | TABLICAPS INC | 00734-1005 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| DON-A-SPAS PINK | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | TABLICAPS INC | 00734-1006 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| DONABARB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | ELDER P B COMPANY | 00163-0171 | |
| | BELLADONNA LEAF EXTRACT | 10.8000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| DONABARB | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | ELDER P B COMPANY | 00163-0417 | DISC |
| | BELLADONNA ALKALOIDS | 0.1296MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |
| DONABARB SR | XC PHENOBARBITAL | 50.0000MG | ELDER P B COMPANY | 00163-0625 | DISC |
| | BELLADONNA ALKALOIDS | 0.3800MG | | | |
| DONAPHEN NEW SPECIAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | KRONE BURT CO | - | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0240MG | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0072MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.1280MG | | | |
| DONNA-PHENAL | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | COLUMBIA MEDICAL CO | 00359-0177 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |
| DONNA-PHENAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | COLUMBIA MEDICAL CO | 00359-0179 | DISC |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| DONNA-SED | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | NORTH AMERICAN PHARM | 00298-5054 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |
| DONNABARB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | JENKINS LABS INC | 00397-2692 | |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 8.0000MG | | | |
| DONNABID | XC PHENOBARBITAL | 50.0000MG | BO-MISE COMPANY | 00655-0013 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0600MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.3000MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0200MG | | | |
| DONNAGENIC | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | GENERIC PHARMACAL | 12533-0006 | DISC |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| DONNALATE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | ROBINS A H CO INC | 00031-3849 DISC |
| | DIHYDROXYALUMINUM AMINOACETATE | 500.0000MG | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.0519MG | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0097MG | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0033MG | | |
| DONNAMAR | XC PHENOBARBITAL | 45.0000MG | MARNEL PHARM INC | 00682-0108 |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULPHATE | 0.3750MG | | |
| DONNAMAR P-B | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | MARNEL PHARM INC | 00682-0107 |
| | L-HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1250MG | | |
| DONNAMAR-PB | XC PHENOBARBITAL | 45.0000MG | MARNEL PHARM INC | 00682-1001 |
| | L-HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.3750MG | | |
| DONNAMED | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | MEDCO SUPPLY CO | 00764-2040 |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1040MG | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0195MG | | |
| DONNAMED | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | MEDCO SUPPLY CO | 00764-3001 |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | |
| DONNAMED TIME-SULE | XC PHENOBARBITAL | 50.0000MG | MEDCO SUPPLY CO | 00764-2121 |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0600MG | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.3000MG | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0200MG | | |
| DONNAMED-L | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | MEDCO SUPPLY CO | 00764-2306 |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1000MG | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0060MG | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0200MG | | |
| DONNAMINE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | TENNESSEE PHARM CO | 00656-0165 |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1040MG | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0195MG | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| DONNAMINE | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG05ML | TENNESSEE PHARM CO | 00656-0167 |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | |
| DONNAPLEX | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | HARTFORD LABS INC | 00175-0317 |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1040MG | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0195MG | | |
| DONNAPRIN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | DUNHALL PHARM INC | 00217-2801 |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 250.0000MG | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0032MG | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.0518MG | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0097MG | | |
| | SALICYLAMIDE | 250.0000MG | | |
| DONNASEP | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | CUSTOM PHARM INST | - DISC |
| | METHENAMINE MANDALATE | 500.0000MG | | |
| | PHENAZOPYRIDRINE HCL | 50.0000MG | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.0519MG | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0097MG | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0033MG | | |
| DONNASEP | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | ROBINS A H CO INC | 00031-4050 |
| | PHENAZOPYRIDINE HCL | 50.0000MG | | |
| | METHENAMINE MANDELATE | 500.0000MG | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.0519MG | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0097MG | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0033MG | | |
| DONNASEP | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | STRONG COBB ARNER | 00187-3006 |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.0519MG | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0097MG | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0033MG | | |
| | PHENAZOPYRIDRINE HCL | 50.0000MG | | |
| | METHENAMINE MANDELATE | 500.0000MG | | |
| DONNASEP MP | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | ICN PHARMACEUTICALS | 00187-3008 |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0033MG | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.0519MG | | |
| | METHENAMINE MANDELATE | 500.0000MG | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0097MG | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| DONNASEP-MP | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | ROBINS A H CO INC | 00031-4070 |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.0519MG | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0033MG | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0097MG | | |
| | METHENAMINE MANDELATE | 500.0000MG | | |
| DONNATAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | ROBINS A H CO INC | 00031-4250 |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | |
| DONNATAL | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | ROBINS A H CO INC | 00031-4207 |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | |
| DONNATAL | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | ROBINS A H CO INC | 00031-4221 |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | |
| DONNATAL EXTENTABS | XT PHENOBARBITAL | 48.6000MG | ROBINS A H CO INC | 00031-4235 |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.3111MG | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0582MG | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0195MG | | |
| DONNATAL NO 2 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 32.4000MG | ROBINS A H CO INC | 00031-4264 |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | |
| DONNATAL PLUS | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | ICN PHARMACEUTICALS | - |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | |
| | VITAMIN B-1 (THIAMINE CHLORIDE | 3.0000MG | | |
| | VITAMIN B-2 (RIBOFLAVIN) | 2.0000MG | | |
| | NIACINAMIDE | 10.0000MG | | |
| | CALCIUM PANTOTHENATE | 2.0000MG | | |
| | VITAMIN B-6 | 0.5000MG | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| DONNATAL PLUS | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | ROBINS A H CO INC | 00031-4278 |
| | NIACINAMIDE | 10.0000MG05ML | | |
| | PANTOTHENOL | 2.0000MG05ML | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | |
| | THIAMINE MONONITRATE | 3.0000MG05ML | | |
| | RIBOFLAVIN | 2.0000MG05ML | | |
| | PYRIDOXINE HCL | 0.5000MG05ML | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | |
| DONNATAL PLUS | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | ROBINS A H CO INC | 00031-4262 |
| | NIACINAMIDE | 10.0000MG | | |
| | CALCIUM PANTOTHENATE | 2.0000MG | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | |
| | RIBOFLAVIN | 2.0000MG | | |
| | THIAMINE CHLORIDE | 3.0000MG | | |
| | PYRIDOXINE HCL | 0.5000MG | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | |
| DONNAZYME | ET PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | ROBINS A H CO INC | 00031-4649 |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.0518MG | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0097MG | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0033MG | | |
| | PEPSIN | 150.0000MG | | |
| | PANCREATIN | 300.0000MG | | |
| | BILE | 150.0000MG | | |
| DONPHEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | LEMMON PHARMACAL CO | 00093-0205 |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0200MG | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1000MG | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0060MG | | |
| DORASAN | LQ PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 8.0000MG05ML | DORASOL LABS | 00471-0001 |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 2.0000MG05ML | | |
| | AMMONIUM CHLORIDE | 80.0000MG05ML | | |
| | CHLORPHENIRAMINE MALEATE | 2.0000MG05ML | | |
| | PYRILAMINE MALEATE | 2.0000MG05ML | | |
| DORMITAL-HM | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | BUFFINGTONS INC | - |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 0.7700MG | | |
| | STRONTIUM BROMIDE | 64.8000MG | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| DORODOL | TB ALLOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | O'NEAL JONES FELDMAN | - | DISC |
| | ASPIRIN | 227.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 15.0000MG | | | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 32.0000MG | | | |
| | PHENACETIN | 162.0000MG | | | |
| DOXYCHOL-AS | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | COOPER LABS INC | 00041-0261 | DISC |
| | DESOXYCHOLIC ACID | 64.8000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.1600MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.1600MG | | | |
| | DEHYDROCHOLIC ACID | 194.0000MG | | | |
| DROXEMMS | TB PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 15.0000MG | TRUXTON C O INC | 00463-6085 | DISC |
| | MAGNESIUM HYDROXIDE | 325.0000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.6400MG | | | |
| DULARIN TH | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 15.0000MG | DOONER LABS INC | 00221-0014 | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 325.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 30.0000MG | | | |
| DUOTRATE WITH PHENOBARBITAL | XC PHENOBARBITAL | 45.0000MG | MARION LABORATORIES | 00088-1535 | |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 30.0000MG | | | |
| DUOTRATE 30 P8 | XC PHENOBARBITAL | 45.0000MG | VICTOR HERMELIN CO | 17311-0183 | |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 30.0000MG | | | |
| DUOTRATE 45 P8 | XC PHENOBARBITAL | 48.7500MG | VICTOR HERMELIN CO | 17311-0185 | |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 45.0000MG | | | |
| DUOTRATE 45 WITH PHENOBARBITAL | XC PHENOBARBITAL | 48.8000MG | MARION LABORATORIES | 00088-1540 | |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 45.0000MG | | | |
| DUOVENT | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | RIKER LABS INC | 00089-0255 | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 130.0000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 24.0000MG | | | |
| | GLYCERYL GUAIACOLATE | 100.0000MG | | | |
| DURASED | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | JAMIESON MCKAMES INC | 00818-0004 | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| DYNAPIN W PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | HYREX-KEY PHARM | - | |
| | NITROGLYCERIN | 0.5000MG | | | |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 15.0000MG | | | |
| DYNEMMS | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | TRUXTON C O INC | 00463-2009 | |
| | HYOSCYAMUS PURIFIED EXTRACT | 10.0000MG | | | |
| | ACETOPHENETIDIN | 100.0000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 152.0000MG | | | |
| DYNSED | TB BUTABARBITAL | 32.0000MG | SOUTHERN DRUG & MFG | - | DISC |
| | SALICYLAMIDE | 227.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 32.0000MG | | | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 227.0000MG | | | |
| DYPAP | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 16.0000MG | KING PHARMACEUTICAL | 00285-0891 | |
| | CAFFEINE | 30.0000MG | | | |
| | SALICYLAMIDE | 300.0000MG | | | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 300.0000MG | | | |
| E-H-P | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 20.0000MG | HABERLE DRUG COMPANY | 00841-0109 | DISC |
| | ERGOTAMINE TARTRATE | 0.3000MG | | | |
| | L-HYOSCYAMINE | 0.1000MG | | | |
| EAP | CA PENTOBARBITAL SODIUM | 50.0000MG | STAYNER CORPORATION | 00123-0336 | |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE | 194.0000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 25.0000MG | | | |
| EAST-GESIC | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | EASTWOOD PHARMACAL | 00544-0002 | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 162.0000MG | | | |
| | SALICYLAMIDE | 227.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 32.4000MG | | | |
| EC VERAPHENITE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | FOY LABS INC | - | DISC |
| | VERATRUM VIRIDE | 11.2500MG | | | |
| | SODIUM NITRITE | 60.0000MG | | | |
| ECONOZYME DUAL-COATED | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | PHARMECON INC | 00783-0911 | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0033MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0097MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.0518MG | | | |
| | BILE SALTS | 150.0000MG | | | |
| | PEPSIN | 150.0000MG | | | |
| | PANCREATIN | 300.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ECTASULE III | CA AMOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | FLEMING AND COMPANY | 00256-0148 | |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 15.0000MG | | | |
| ECTASULE JR | CA AMOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | FLEMING AND COMPANY | 00256-0129 | |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 30.0000MG | | | |
| ECTASULE SR | CA AMOBARBITAL | 30.0000MG | FLEMING AND COMPANY | 00256-0130 | |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 60.0000MG | | | |
| EFTOC | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | ICN PHARMACEUTICALS | - | DISC |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 129.6000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 24.3000MG | | | |
| ELMALOIN W PHENOBARBITAL | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | ELDER P B COMPANY | - | |
| | DIPHENYLHYDANTOIN | 97.2000MG | | | |
| EMPASPAZ | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | EMPIRE PHARM INC | - | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| EMPASPAZ | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | EMPIRE PHARM INC | - | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |
| EMPIRAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | BURROUGHS WELLCOME | 00081-0298 | DISC |
| | PHENACETIN | 162.0000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 226.8000MG | | | |
| ENPAYNE | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | QUAKER CITY PHARM CO | - | DISC |
| | ASPIRIN | 300.0000MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMUS EXTRACT | 8.0000MG | | | |
| ENTERODON | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 0.0050GM | U S ETHICALS INC | - | DISC |
| | ACTIVATED CHARCOAL | 0.0500GM | | | |
| | IODOCHLORHYDROXYQUINOLINE | 0.2500GM | | | |
| | BELLADONNA POWDERED EXTRACT | 0.0100GM | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ENTEROLEAF | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 0.0050GM | ORMONT DRUG & CHEM | 00557-0432 | |
| | BELLADONNA POWDERED | 0.0100GM | | | |
| | IODOCHLORHYDROXYQUINOLINE | 0.2500GM | | | |
| | ACTIVATED CARBON | 0.0500GM | | | |
| ENTRO-CALM (VET) | SU PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG30ML | HAVER-LOCKHART LABS | 00859-0783 | |
| | PECTIN | 260.0000MG30ML | | | |
| | SULFAGUANIDE | 152.0000MG30ML | | | |
| | SULFACETAMIDE | 152.0000MG30ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 60.0000MC30ML | | | |
| | KAOLIN | 7.0000GM30ML | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 300.0000MC30ML | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE AMINOXIDE HBR | 20.0000MC30ML | | | |
| ENZYME FORMULA NO.2 | TB BUTABARBITAL | 13.5000MG | ICN PHARMACEUTICALS | - | DISC |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 15.0000MG | | | |
| | IRON BILE SALTS | 30.0000MG | | | |
| | PANCREATIN | 300.0000MG | | | |
| | PROLASE 300 | 10.0000MG | | | |
| | MYLASE 100 | 20.0000MG | | | |
| | CELLASE 1000 | 5.0000MG | | | |
| EPHED-ORGANIDIN | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG05ML | WALLACE LABORATORIES | 00037-5211 | |
| | ORGANIDIN | 30.0000MG05ML | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 16.0000MG05ML | | | |
| | BENZYL ALCOHOL | 62.0000MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 0.7500ML05ML | | | |
| EPHED-ORGANIDIN W PB | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG05ML | WAMPOLE LABORATORIES | 00017-5211 | |
| | ORGANIDIN | 30.0000MG05ML | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 16.0000MG05ML | | | |
| | BENZYL ALCOHOL | 62.0000MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 0.7500ML05ML | | | |
| EPHEDHAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | HALSOM DRUG COMPANY | 00729-0554 | |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 25.0000MG | | | |
| EPHEDRA-PHEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | WESTERFIELD LABS | 00291-3155 | DISC |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 25.0000MG | | | |
| EPHEDRAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | MOFFET INC | 00758-1026 | |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 25.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| EPHEDRAPHEN IMPROVED | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>THEOPHYLLINE<br>EPHEDRINE HCL | 8.0000MG<br>130.0000MG<br>25.0000MG | WESTERFIELD LABS | 00291-3156 | |
| EPHEDRILLIN (VET) | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>EPHEDRINE SULFATE<br>THEOPHYLLINE | 8.0000MG<br>15.0000MG<br>125.0000MG | KEYSTONE VETERINARY | - | |
| EPHEDRINE & PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 15.0000MG<br>25.0000MG | BORNEMAN & SONS | 00696-2628 | |
| EPHEDRINE & PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 15.0000MG<br>24.0000MG | ROBINSON LABORATORY | 00279-1904 | |
| EPHEDRINE & SODIUM PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM<br>EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 15.0000MG<br>25.0000MG | VALE CHEMICAL CO | 00377-0109 | |
| EPHEDRINE AND NEMBUTAL 25 | CA PENTOBARBITAL SODIUM<br>EPHEDRINE HCL | 25.0000MG<br>25.0000MG | ABBOTT LABS | 00074-3137 | |
| EPHEDRINE AND PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 15.0000MG<br>25.0000MG | BORNEMAN & SONS | 00696-2627 | |
| EPHEDRINE AND PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>EPHEDRINE HCL | 15.0000MG<br>24.0000MG | JENKINS LABS INC | 00397-2824 | |
| EPHEDRINE AND PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 25.0000MG<br>25.0000MG | MACESLIN & COMPANY | 00358-0022 | |
| EPHEDRINE PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 32.4000MG<br>24.0000MG | STAYNER CORPORATION | 00123-0111 | DISC |
| EPHEDRINE SULFATE & PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 15.0000MG<br>25.0000MG | BOLAR PHARM CO | 00725-1001 | |
| EPHEDRINE SULFATE & PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 16.2000MG<br>24.3000MG | BROWN SURG SUPPLY CO | - | DISC |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| EPHEDRINE SULFATE & PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 16.2000MG<br>25.0000MG | CORD LABS INC | 00117-1084 | |
| EPHEDRINE SULFATE & PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 15.0000MG<br>24.0000MG | GENEVA GENERICS | 00781-1245 | DISC |
| EPHEDRINE SULFATE & PB | CA PHENOBARBITAL<br>EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 15.0000MG<br>25.0000MG | HABERLE DRUG COMPANY | 00841-0111 | |
| EPHEDRINE SULFATE & PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 15.0000MG<br>24.0000MG | HARNEL PHARM COMPANY | 00712-0310 | |
| EPHEDRINE SULFATE & PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 15.0000MG<br>24.0000MG | INVENEX PHARM | 00467-0312 | |
| EPHEDRINE SULFATE & PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 15.0000MG<br>24.0000MG | MOORE DRUG EXCHANGE | - | DISC |
| EPHEDRINE SULFATE & PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 15.0000MG<br>25.0000MG | RUGBY LABORATORIES | 00536-3782 | DISC |
| EPHEDRINE SULFATE & PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 15.0000MG<br>25.0000MG | SCHEIN HENRY INC | 00364-0122 | |
| EPHEDRINE SULFATE & PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 15.0000MG<br>25.0000MG | UNITED RESEARCH LABS | 00677-0228 | |
| EPHEDRINE SULFATE & PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 15.0000MG<br>25.0000MG | ZEMMER CO INC | 00133-0214 | |
| EPHEDRINE SULFATE & PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 16.2000MG<br>24.3000MG | BELLEVUE SURGICAL CO | 00854-0596 | |
| EPHEDRINE W PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 16.2000MG<br>24.3000MG | ICN PHARMACEUTICALS | - | DISC |
| EPHEDRINE WITH PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>EPHEDRINE | 15.0000MG<br>24.0000MG | BUCKWALTER DRUG CO | - | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| EPHEDRINE WITH PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | QUEEN CITY PHARMACAL | 00541-0417 | |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 25.0000MG | | | |
| EPHEDRINE WITH PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | TRUXTON C O INC | 00463-6086 | |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 24.0000MG | | | |
| EPHEDRINE-PHENOBARBITAL #1 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | NOYES P J CO | 00159-0870 | |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 24.0000MG | | | |
| EPHENYLLIN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | ALLAN SHAW MEDICAL | - | DISC |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE | 130.0000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 15.0000MG | | | |
| EPHENYLLIN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | CONSOLIDATED MIDLAND | 00223-0885 | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 25.0000MG | | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 125.0000MG | | | |
| EPHENYLLINE GREEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | SPENCER-MEAD INC | 00537-2090 | |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE | 125.0000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 15.0000MG | | | |
| EPONAL IMPROVED | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | CENCI H R LABS INC | - | |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 24.3000MG | | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 129.6000MG | | | |
| EPONAL-G EXPECTORANT | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | CENCI H R LABS INC | 00556-0018 | |
| | GLYCERYL GUAIACOLATE | 100.0000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 24.0000MG | | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 130.0000MG | | | |
| EQUANITRATE 10 | TB MEPROBAMATE | 200.0000MG | WYETH LABORATORIES | 00008-0035 | |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 10.0000MG | | | |
| EQUANITRATE 20 | TB MEPROBAMATE | 200.0000MG | WYETH LABORATORIES | 00008-0039 | |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 20.0000MG | | | |
| ERCAFITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 7.5000MG | BLUE LINE CHEM CO | - | |
| | ERGOTAMINE TARTRATE | 0.5000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 60.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ERGKATAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 20.0000MG | GILBERT LABORATORIES | 00535-0016 | |
| | ERGOTAMINE TARTRATE | 0.3000MG | | | |
| | L-HYOSCYAMINE | 0.1000MG | | | |
| ERGOBEL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 20.0000MG | QUEEN CITY PHARMACAL | 00541-0418 | |
| | BELLADONNA LEAF | 0.1000MG | | | |
| | ERGOTAMINE TARTRATE | 0.3000MG | | | |
| ERGOBEL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 20.0000MG | SCHEIN HENRY INC | 00364-0123 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE | 0.1000MG | | | |
| | ERGOTAMINE | 0.3000MG | | | |
| ERGOBEL | ET PHENOBARBITAL | 20.0000MG | WOLINS PHARM CORP | 00928-1141 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE | 0.1000MG | | | |
| | ERGOTAMINE TARTRATE | 0.3000MG | | | |
| ERGOT-TART BELLA-ALKA CAFFEINE PENTOBARB | SU PENTOBARBITAL | 50.0000MG | G AND W LABS | 00713-R316 | |
| | CAFFEINE | 100.0000MG | | | |
| | BELLADONNA ALKA. (L) MALATES | 0.2500MG | | | |
| | ERGOTAMINE TARTRATE | 2.0000MG | | | |
| ERGOTAMINE-HYOSCYAMINE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 18.0000MG | STANDARD PHARMACAL | - | DISC |
| | L-HYOSCYAMINE | 0.1000MG | | | |
| | ERGOTAMINE TARTRATE | 0.3000MG | | | |
| ERYTHRITYL TETRANITRATE & PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | RUGBY LABORATORIES | - | DISC |
| | ERYTHRITYL TETRANITRATE | 10.0000MG | | | |
| ESGIC | TB BUTALBITAL | 50.0000MG | GILBERT LABORATORIES | 00535-0011 | |
| | CAFFEINE | 40.0000MG | | | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 325.0000MG | | | |
| ESPASMON | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | QUIVER PHARMACAL CO | - | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0193MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1035MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0063MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |
| ESPASMON | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | QUIVER PHARMACAL CO | - | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0070MG | | | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 1.5000MG | | | |
| | ETHAVERINE HCL | 40.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ESPASMON | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | QUIVER PHARMACAL CO | - | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 1.5000MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0070MG | | | |
| | ETHAVERINE HCL | 40.0000MG | | | |
| ESPASMOTEX | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 20.0000MG | ARLO INTERAMERICAN | - | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 150.0000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.3000MG | | | |
| | ETHAVERINE HCL | 20.0000MG | | | |
| ETHRAVA-TRATE | TB MEPHOBARBITAL | 10.0000MG | NORTH AMERICAN PHARM | - | |
| | PENTAERYTHRITYL TETRANITRATE | 20.0000MG | | | |
| | ETHAVERINE HCL | 30.0000MG | | | |
| EU-PHED-ITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 30.0000MG | WARREN TEED PROD CO | - | |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 30.0000MG | | | |
| | EUPHORBIA EXTRACT | 0.1200GM | | | |
| EVIPHEN | LQ PHENOBARBITAL | 17.0000MG05ML | CALIFORNIA PHARMACAL | - | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |
| FARRASED | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | RUCKSTUHL COMPANY | 00144-1350 | |
| | MAGNESIUM HYDROXIDE | 300.0000MG | | | |
| | CALCIUM CARBONATE | 300.0000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2000MG | | | |
| FEBRIDYNE | CA BUTABARBITAL | 8.0000MG | LANDRY PHARM INC | 00538-3269 | DISC |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 160.0000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 200.0000MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.0400MG | | | |
| FEBRISOL | EL BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 16.0000MG05ML | FORBES PHARMACAL INC | 00411-1170 | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 120.0000MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 0.3500ML05ML | | | |
| FEBRO-BAR | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | BOWMAN PHARMACAL CO | 00252-2004 | |
| | CAFFEINE | 16.2000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 227.0000MG | | | |
| | PHENACETIN | 162.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| FEDACAP | CA AMOBARBITAL | 25.0000MG | FREEPORT DRUG CO | - | DISC |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE | 120.0000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 25.0000MG | | | |
| FEDRELEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | SCRIP INC | 00444-3011 | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 130.0000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 24.0000MG | | | |
| FEDRINAL | SS PHENOBARBITAL | 12.0000MG05ML | BARRE DRUG CO | 00472-0975 | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 12.0000MG05ML | | | |
| | POTASSIUM IODIDE | 160.0000MG05ML | | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE ANHYDROUS | 31.2000MG05ML | | | |
| FEDRITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | WESLEY PHARMACAL CO | 00917-0129 | |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 24.0000MG | | | |
| FENATRON | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 32.4000MG10ML | ORMONT DRUG & CHEM | 00557-0617 | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0120MG10ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0400MG10ML | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.2000MG10ML | | | |
| FENATRON | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 0.0160GM | ORMONT DRUG & CHEM | 00318-0540 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| FENSOBEL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | USV PHARM CORP | - | |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 2.9500MG | | | |
| | ALUMINUM HCL GEL DRIED | 63.0000MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM TRISILICATE | 63.0000MG | | | |
| | BISMUTH SUBCARBONATE | 32.5000MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM CARBONATE | 252.0000MG | | | |
| | PRECIPITATED CALCIUM CARBONATE | 203.5000MG | | | |
| | MALT DIASTASE | 12.5000MG | | | |
| | PEPPERMINT OIL | 3.0000MG | | | |
| FENTROPINE BUTABARBITAL | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 7.5000MG | COOPER LABS INC | - | DISC |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 2.5000MG | | | |
| | PROFENIL CITRATE | 60.0000MG | | | |
| FIDROX WITH PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | WESTERFIELD LABS | 00291-3175 | DISC |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE | 100.0000MG | | | |
| | ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE DRIED GEL | 120.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| FIOFEN | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | FIDELITY PHARM INC | 00694-0004 | DISC |
| | ASPIRIN | 162.0000MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE | 0.0310MG | | | |
| | PHENACETIN | 194.0000MG | | | |
| FIRESPAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | FIDELITY PHARM INC | 00694-0005 | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 125.0000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 25.0000MG | | | |
| FORGESIC | CA BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 10.0000MG | FORBES PHARMACAL INC | 00411-1060 | |
| | SALICYLAMIDE | 225.0000MG | | | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 325.0000MG | | | |
| FORMULA G-104 | SU PENTOBARBITAL SODIUM | 25.0000MG | G AND W LABS | - | DISC |
| | BENZOCAINE | 15.0000MG | | | |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE | 125.0000MG | | | |
| FORMULA G-105 | SU PENTOBARBITAL SODIUM | 50.0000MG | G AND W LABS | - | DISC |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE | 235.0000MG | | | |
| | BENZOCAINE | 30.0000MG | | | |
| FORMULA NO. P-26,962 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | STANDARD PHARMACAL | - | DISC |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.1300MG | | | |
| FORMULA NO. P-30,605 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | STANDARD PHARMACAL | - | DISC |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 8.0000MG | | | |
| FORMULA NO.118 BELLADONNA & PB | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | PHARMACEUTICAL ASSOC | 00121-0118 | DISC |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 0.9000ML05ML | | | |
| FORMULA NO.121 ASTHMA MIXTURE | LQ PHENOBARBITAL | 4.0000MG05ML | PHARMACEUTICAL ASSOC | 00121-0121 | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 65.0000MG05ML | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 12.0000MG05ML | | | |
| FORMULA NO.3948 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | BLUE LINE CHEM CO | 00529-1054 | DISC |
| | PHENACETIN | 162.0000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 227.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| FORMULA NO.4023 WHITE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | BLUE LINE CHEM CO | - | |
| | ASPIRIN | 324.0000MG | | | |
| FORMULA NO.4026-GREEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | BLUE LINE CHEM CO | - | |
| | ASPIRIN | 324.0000MG | | | |
| FORMULA NO.4027 YELLOW | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | BLUE LINE CHEM CO | - | |
| | ASPIRIN | 324.0000MG | | | |
| FORMULA-G 106 | SU PENTOBARBITAL SODIUM | 100.0000MG | G AND W LABS | - | DISC |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE | 500.0000MG | | | |
| | BENZOCAINE | 30.0000MG | | | |
| FORSPAZ | XC PHENOBARBITAL | 50.0000MG | FORBES PHARMACAL INC | 00411-1030 | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0200MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.3000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0600MG | | | |
| FORTRIN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | ALLISON LABS | 12154-0127 | |
| | ASPIRIN | 486.0000MG | | | |
| FOS ANTI-SPAS | TB AMOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | FOS PHARMACEUTICALS | 00214-0015 | |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 10.0000MG | | | |
| | PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | | | |
| FOY-ANODYNE | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | FOY LABS INC | 00494-0430 | |
| | ACETOPHENETIDIN | 162.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 16.0000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 226.0000MG | | | |
| FRANOL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | WINTHROP LABS | - | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 130.0000MG | | | |
| | BENZYLEPHEDRINE HCL | 32.0000MG | | | |
| G.B.S. | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | O'NEAL JONES FELDMAN | 00456-0283 | |
| | BILE ACIDS OXIDIZED MIXED | 97.2000MG | | | |
| | HOG BILE EXTRACT | 32.4000MG | | | |
| | METHYLATROPINE NITRATE | 1.0000MG | | | |
| | OX BILE EXTRACT | 64.8000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| G.B.S. IMPROVED | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | O'NEAL JONES FELDMAN | 00456-0281 | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 2.5000MG | | | |
| | DEHYDROCHOLIC ACID | 125.0000MG | | | |
| G-106 | SU PENTOBARBITAL SODIUM | 100.0000MG | SPENCER-MEAD INC | 00537-2302 | DISC |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE | 500.0000MG | | | |
| | BENZOCAINE | 32.0000MG | | | |
| G-2 | TB BUTABARBITAL | 15.0000MG | PALMEDICO INC | 00271-0299 | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 325.0000MG | | | |
| GALBRON | LQ PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG05ML | ALLISON LABS | - | DISC |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE | 32.0000MG05ML | | | |
| | CHLORPHENIRAMINE MALEATE | 2.0000MG05ML | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 8.0000MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 0.7500ML05ML | | | |
| GARCELS M-16 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | GARLAND PHARM | - | DISC |
| | SODIUM NITRITE | 65.0000MG | | | |
| | VERATRUM VIRIDE | 50.0000MG | | | |
| | NITROGLYCERINE | 0.2592MG | | | |
| GARCELS M-18 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | GARLAND PHARM | - | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0048MG | | | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 0.0149MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.1803MG | | | |
| | THIAMINE HCL | 1.0000MG | | | |
| GASTEL | TB AMOBARBITAL | 32.4000MG | TELOH INC | - | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| GASTRO SPAS | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | ULMER PHARMACAL CO | 00127-3815 | DISC |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0066MG | | | |
| GASTRO SPAS | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | ZENITH LABS INC | 00172-3741 | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| GASTROLIC | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | MALLARD INC | 00168-0387 | |
| | BENZOCAINE | 130.0000MG | | | |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 8.0000MG | | | |
| GASTROSIME | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | KELVIN PHARM INC | 43702-0108 | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0033MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0097MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.0518MG | | | |
| | BILE SALTS | 150.0000MG | | | |
| | PEPSIN | 150.0000MG | | | |
| | PANCREATIN | 300.0000MG | | | |
| GELOX | SS PHENOBARBITAL | 4.0000MG15ML | MURAI LABORATORY INC | - | DISC |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0035MG15ML | | | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 1.5000MG15ML | | | |
| GELOX | SS PHENOBARBITAL | 4.0000MG15ML | QUIVER PHARMACAL CO | - | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0035MG15ML | | | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 1.5000MG15ML | | | |
| GENESPAS-PB | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG05ML | GENERAL PHARM INC | 00674-0012 | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 10.0000MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 0.4000ML05ML | | | |
| GENESPAS-PB | SS PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG05ML | GENERIC PHARMACAL | - | DISC |
| | MILK OF MAGNESIA | 0.6250ML05ML | | | |
| | ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE GEL | 2.5000ML05ML | | | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 5.0000MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 0.2000ML05ML | | | |
| GESTASED | TB MEPHOBARBITAL | 10.0000MG | FREEPORT DRUG CO | - | |
| | DEHYDROCHOLIC ACID | 30.0000MG | | | |
| | DESOXYCHOLIC ACID | 30.0000MG | | | |
| | PEPSIN | 200.0000MG | | | |
| | PANCREATIN | 200.0000MG | | | |
| | BILE SALTS | 100.0000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE METHYLNITRATE | 1.0000MG | | | |
| GIOLATE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | AMFRE-GRANT INC | 00142-0250 | DISC |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0033MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0097MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.0519MG | | | |
| | DIHYDROXYALUMINUM AMINOACETATE | 0.5000GM | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| GLYNAZAN/EP | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | FIRST TEXAS PHARM | 00274-2411 | DISC |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 24.0000MG | | | |
| | GLYNAZAN | 324.0000MG | | | |
| GOTHODONNA ANTISPASMODIC | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | GOTHAM PHARM CO INC | 10483-0830 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| GOURMASE PB | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | ROWELL LABS INC | 00032-5212 | |
| | PEPSIN | 150.0000MG | | | |
| | A-AMYLASE | 20.0000MG | | | |
| | OX BILE EXTRACT | 100.0000MG | | | |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 15.0000MG | | | |
| | PANCREATIN | 525.0000MG | | | |
| GRILLODYNE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | FELLOWS-TESTAGAR | - | DISC |
| | CAFFEINE ALKALOID | 30.0000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 225.0000MG | | | |
| | PHENACETIN | 150.0000MG | | | |
| GUSTASE PLUS | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | GERIATRIC PHARM CORP | 00249-1121 | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 2.5000MG | | | |
| | AMYLOLYTIC ENZYME | 30.0000MG | | | |
| | PROTEOLYTIC ENZYME | 6.0000MG | | | |
| | CELLULOLYTIC ENZYME | 2.0000MG | | | |
| H-P-A MODIFIED | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | PAINE DRUG CO | - | |
| | ASPIRIN | 324.0000MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMUS EXTRACT | 8.1000MG | | | |
| HAB-PAC NO 3 WITH PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | HABERLE DRUG COMPANY | 00841-0132 | DISC |
| | CAFFEINE | 15.0000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 225.0000MG | | | |
| | PHENACETIN | 150.0000MG | | | |
| HACAP | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | HAAG INCORPORATED | 10503-0006 | DISC |
| | CAFFEINE | 15.0000MG | | | |
| | ACETOPHENETIDIN | 125.0000MG | | | |
| | ACETYLSALICYLIC ACID | 200.0000MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE | 0.0060MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE | 0.0100MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| HAFRANOL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | FRANKLIN DRUG CO | 00758-1029 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0195MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1040MG | | | |
| HALISPAZ | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | HALSOM DRUG COMPANY | 00729-0127 | DISC |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.1200MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM CARBONATE | 150.0000MG | | | |
| | CALCIUM CARBONATE | 225.0000MG | | | |
| HALLADON GREEN C.T. | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | HALSOM DRUG COMPANY | 00729-0132 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| HALLADON GREEN S.C. | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 16.0000MG | HALSOM DRUG COMPANY | 00729-0133 | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0070MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.1280MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0240MG | | | |
| HALLADON LAVENDER S.C. | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | HALSOM DRUG COMPANY | 00729-0131 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.1280MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0070MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0240MG | | | |
| HALLADON ORANGE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | STANDARD PHARMACAL | - | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| HALLADON PINK | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | HALSOM DRUG COMPANY | 00729-0130 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| HALLADON WHITE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | HALSOM DRUG COMPANY | 00729-0129 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| HALMEB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | HALSOM DRUG COMPANY | 00729-0135 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1080MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0216MG | | | |
| | PHENOLPHTHALEIN (YELLOW) | 30.0000MG | | | |
| | OX GALL | 60.0000MG | | | |
| | CASCARA SAGRADA EXTRACT | 25.0000MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0648MG | | | |
| HANOFILIN (VET) | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | HANOVER DRUG PROD CO | - | DISC |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 15.0000MG | | | |
| | CHLORPHENIRAMINE MALEATE | 2.0000MG | | | |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE | 120.0000MG | | | |
| HAPONAL | XC PHENOBARBITAL | 50.0000MG | JENKINS LABS INC | 00397-3056 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0600MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0200MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.3000MG | | | |
| HARBOLIN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | ARCUM PHARM CORP | 00357-0070 | DISC |
| | THEOBROMINE | 325.0000MG | | | |
| HARTRATE P B | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | HARTFORD LABS INC | 00175-0476 | |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 10.0000MG | | | |
| HARTRATE P B | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | HARTFORD LABS INC | 00175-0657 | |
| | PENTA-ERYTHRITOL-TETRANITRATE | 20.0000MG | | | |
| HASAMAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | ARNAR-STONE LABS | 00094-0029 | DISC |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 162.0000MG | | | |
| | PHENACETIN | 162.0000MG | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0011MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.0325MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0006MG | | | |
| HASP | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG05ML | MAYRAND PHARM INC | 00259-0191 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.1280MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0240MG05ML | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0072MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| HASP LA | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 45.0000MG | MAYRAND PHARM INC | 00259-0280 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0600MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.3000MG | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0200MG | | | |
| HASP NO 2 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 32.0000MG | MAYRAND PHARM INC | 00259-0279 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0240MG | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HCL | 0.0072MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.1280MG | | | |
| HASP OVALETS | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | MAYRAND PHARM INC | 00259-0155 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.1280MG | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0072MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0240MG | | | |
| HAVATRATE A | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | O'NEAL JONES FELDMAN | 00456-0285 | DISC |
| | DIHYDROXYALUMINUM AMINOACETATE | 226.8000MG | | | |
| | METHYLATROPINE NITRATE | 1.0000MG | | | |
| HECADROL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | TUTAG & CO INC | 00124-1317 | DISC |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 24.3000MG | | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 130.0000MG | | | |
| HEPAHYDRIN-PB | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 5.0000MG05ML | COMATIC LABS INC | 00552-0203 | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 10.0000MG05ML | | | |
| | SODIUM DEHYDROCHOLATE | 18.0000MG05ML | | | |
| HEPAHYDRIN-PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | COMATIC LABS INC | 00552-0202 | |
| | DEHYDROCHOLIC ACID | 60.0000MG | | | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 10.0000MG | | | |
| HEX-TAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | INDIANAPOLIS PHARM | - | |
| | HEXESTROL | 1.0000MG | | | |
| HEXABARB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | QUEEN CITY PHARMACAL | 00541-0419 | |
| | MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 30.0000MG | | | |
| HEXABARB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | WABASH PHARM INC | 00772-0004 | |
| | MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 30.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| HEXAFLAVINAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | FELLOWS-TESTAGAR | - | DISC |
| | RUTIN | 20.0000MG | | | |
| | MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 30.0000MG | | | |
| HEXANNIBARB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | MODERN DRUG COMPANY | - | |
| | MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 32.0000MG | | | |
| HEXAPHENATE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | WESTERFIELD LABS | 00291-3190 | DISC |
| | MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 32.4000MG | | | |
| HEXARUTAN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | WESTERFIELD LABS | 00291-3195 | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 39.0000MG | | | |
| | MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 16.0000MG | | | |
| HEXATHEOHAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | HALSOM DRUG COMPANY | 00729-0141 | DISC |
| | MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 15.0000MG | | | |
| | THEOBROMINE | 125.0000MG | | | |
| HEXATRATE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | TRACY PHARMACAL CO | - | |
| | MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 32.4000MG | | | |
| HEXEBARB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | MODERN DRUG COMPANY | - | |
| | HEXESTROL | 3.0000MG | | | |
| HEXESTROL & PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | BORNEMAN & SONS | 00696-2634 | |
| | HEXESTROL | 3.0000MG | | | |
| HEXESTROL & PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | FOX DRUG CO | - | DISC |
| | HEXESTROL | 3.0000MG | | | |
| HEXESTROL & PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | JENKINS LABS INC | 00397-2024 | |
| | HEXESTROL | 1.0000MG | | | |
| HEXESTROL & PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | ROBINSON LABORATORY | - | DISC |
| | HEXESTROL | 3.0000MG | | | |
| HEXESTROL & PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | RUGBY LABORATORIES | 00536-3904 | DISC |
| | HEXESTROL | 3.0000MG | | | |
| HEXESTROL & PHENOBARBITAL PURPLE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | SCHEIN HENRY INC | 00364-0141 | |
| | HEXESTROL | 3.0000MG | | | |
| HEXTROHAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | STANDARD PHARMACAL | - | DISC |
| | MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 15.0000MG | | | |
| | THEOBROMINE | 120.0000MG | | | |
| HI-BRO | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | QUEEN CITY PHARMACAL | 00541-0420 | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0195MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1040MG | | | |
| HIOREST | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 15.0000MG | MOFFET INC | 00758-1030 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0670MG | | | |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 15.0000MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1280MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0270MG | | | |
| HIOSUL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 20.0000MG | DAI-MOORE PHARM | - | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0200MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0060MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.1000MG | | | |
| HIOSUL | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG05ML | DAI-MOORE PHARM | - | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |
| HMB GEL | LQ BUTABARBITAL | 7.5000MG15ML | SARON PHARMACAL CORP | 00834-6038 | |
| | MAGNESIUM HYDROXIDE | 234.0000MG15ML | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.0750MG15ML | | | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 5.0000MG15ML | | | |
| | ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE GEL | 660.0000MG15ML | | | |
| HOMACHOL | TB PENTOBARBITAL SODIUM | 8.0000MG | LEMMON PHARMACAL CO | 00093-0335 | DISC |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 2.5000MG | | | |
| | DEHYDROCHOLIC ACID | 60.0000MG | | | |
| | OX BILE EXTRACT | 150.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| HOMAL | XC PHENOBARBITAL | 50.0000MG | HARTFORD LABS INC | 00175-0814 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0600MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.3000MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0200MG | | | |
| HOMALYN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | DAVIS AND SLY INC | - | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 2.5000MG | | | |
| HOMAPIN LIQUITAB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | MISSION PHARM CO | 00178-0111 | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 3.0000MG | | | |
| HOMAPIN-10PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | MISSION PHARM CO | 00178-0071 | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 10.0000MG | | | |
| HOMAPIN-5 PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | MISSION PHARM CO | 00178-0061 | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 5.0000MG | | | |
| HOMAPINE JR | TB PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 8.0000MG | HABERLE DRUG COMPANY | 00841-0141 | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 0.6750MG | | | |
| HOMATAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 20.0000MG | MACESLIN & COMPANY | 00358-0025 | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 2.5000MG | | | |
| HOMATHYN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | CHANDLER PHARMACAL | 00434-0003 | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 2.5000MG | | | |
| HOMATROL AND PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | RUGBY LABORATORIES | 00536-3914 | DISC |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 8.0000MG | | | |
| | ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE GEL | 300.0000MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM TRISILICATE | 150.0000MG | | | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 1.0000MG | | | |
| HOMATROPHEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 20.0000MG | CENTRAL PHARMACAL CO | 00131-2138 | DISC |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 2.5000MG | | | |
| HOMATROPINE & PHENOBARBITAL (PINK) | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | SCHEIN HENRY INC | 00364-0143 | |
| | HOMATROPINE | 2.7000MG | | | |
| HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | KAPCO INC | - | DISC |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 5.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE AND PHENOBARB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | RUGBY LABORATORIES | 00536-3912 | DISC |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 2.5000MG | | | |
| HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE AND PHENOBARB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 20.0000MG | STAYNER CORPORATION | 00123-0136 | DISC |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 5.0000MG | | | |
| HOMOGESIC | TB PENTOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | SCRIP INC | 00444-3014 | DISC |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 0.7500MG | | | |
| | SALICYLAMIDE | 300.0000MG | | | |
| HOMOPENT | TB PENTOBARBITAL SODIUM | 15.0000MG | LEMMON PHARMACAL CO | 00093-0246 | DISC |
| | MAGNESIUM TRISILICATE | 300.0000MG | | | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 2.5000MG | | | |
| HY TAB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | HABERLE DRUG COMPANY | 00841-0145 | DISC |
| | HYOSCYAMUS EXTRACT | 16.0000MG | | | |
| | VISCUM ALBUM EXTRACT | 16.0000MG | | | |
| | VERATRUM VIRIDE EXTRACT | 5.0000MG | | | |
| | POTASSIUM NITRATE | 195.0000MG | | | |
| HY-BEL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | ARGO MEDICAL SUPPLY | 00778-4380 | DISC |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0240MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0070MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.1280MG | | | |
| HYAPHEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | LAPRIME PHARM | - | DISC |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1040MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0195MG | | | |
| HYAPHEN | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | LAPRIME PHARM | - | DISC |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| HYATAL | XT PHENOBARBITAL | 30.0000MG | HABERLE DRUG COMPANY | 00841-0143 | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0144MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0480MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.2560MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| HYATAL IMPROVED | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | HABERLE DRUG COMPANY | 00841-0142 | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| HYBEPHEN | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG05ML | BEECHAM-MASSENGILL | 00029-2350 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0233MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1277MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0094MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 0.8250ML05ML | | | |
| HYBEPHEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | BEECHAM-MASSENGILL | 00029-2360 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0233MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0094MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1277MG | | | |
| HYBEPHEN LA | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 45.0000MG | BEECHAM-MASSENGILL | 00029-3730 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.3000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0600MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0200MG | | | |
| HYBEPHEN LA | XC PHENOBARBITAL | 45.0000MG | VICTOR HERMELIN CO | 17311-0197 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.3000MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0200MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0600MG | | | |
| HYDONAL | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG05ML | BEL-AIR SURGICAL | - | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |
| HYDROCHOL PLUS | TB AMOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | ELDER P B COMPANY | 00163-0315 | DISC |
| | DEHYDROCHOLIC ACID | 200.0000MG | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE METHYLNITRATE | 0.8000MG | | | |
| | OX BILE DESICCATED | 50.0000MG | | | |
| HYDRODON | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | FREEPORT DRUG CO | - | DISC |
| | DEHYDROCHOLIC ACID | 225.0000MG | | | |
| | OX BILE EXTRACT | 120.0000MG | | | |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 10.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| HYDROMAL | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG05ML | HEALTHCO | 00728-0174 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |
| HYDROMAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | HEALTHCO | 00728-0222 | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1040MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0195MG | | | |
| HYDROMAL GRADULES | XC PHENOBARBITAL | 50.0000MG | HEALTHCO | 00728-0177 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.3000MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0200MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0600MG | | | |
| HYDROXYPHEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 15.0000MG | BORNEMAN & SONS | 00696-2106 | |
| | MAGNESIUM HYDROXIDE | 325.0000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.4000MG | | | |
| HYDROXYPHEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 15.0000MG | BORNEMAN & SONS | 00696-2106 | |
| | MAGNESIUM HYDROXIDE | 325.0000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.1200MG | | | |
| HYITAL (PINK) | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | ARGO MEDICAL SUPPLY | 00778-4390 | DISC |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0195MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | HYSOCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1040MG | | | |
| HYITAL (WHITE) | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | ARGO MEDICAL SUPPLY | - | DISC |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1040MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0195MG | | | |
| HYKALOID | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | JENKINS LABS INC | 00397-2068 | DISC |
| | ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE GEL | 150.0000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2500MG | | | |
| | KAOLIN | 325.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| HYOCIN | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG05ML | DIACIN CHEMICAL CO | - | DISC |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |
| HYOCIN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | DIACIN CHEMICAL CO | - | DISC |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0195MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1040MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| HYOCYTAL | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | TRACY PHARMACAL CO | 00257-0275 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |
| HYOCYTAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | TRACY PHARMACAL CO | 00257-0153 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| HYONAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | CANFIELD AND CO | 00574-7102 | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1040MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0195MG | | | |
| HYONATE | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG05ML | LIMA MEDICAL SUPPLY | - | DISC |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |
| HYONATE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | LIMA MEDICAL SUPPLY | - | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.2500MG | | | |
| HYONATOL LAVENDER | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | BOWMAN PHARMACAL CO | 00252-0768 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.1280MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0240MG | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0070MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| HYONATOL-B GREEN | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 16.0000MG | BOWMAN PHARMACAL CO | 00252-0774 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.1280MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0240MG | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0070MG | | | |
| HYONATOL-B GREEN | EL BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 20.0000MG05ML | BOWMAN PHARMACAL CO | 00252-1562 | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.0000ML05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.1300MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0243MG05ML | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0081MG05ML | | | |
| HYONATOL-B ORANGE | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 16.0000MG | BOWMAN PHARMACAL CO | 00252-2056 | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0070MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0240MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.1280MG | | | |
| HYOPHEN FORTIFIED | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | WESTERFIELD LABS | 00291-3215 | |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 9.7000MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMUS EXTRACT | 12.2000MG | | | |
| HYOSCYAMINE COMPOUND | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | COOPER DRUG CO | 00779-3219 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |
| HYOSCYAMINE COMPOUND | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | G AND W LABS | 00713-F237 | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |
| HYOSCYAMINE COMPOUND | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | REISS-WILLIAMS CO | 00815-F237 | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| HYOSCYAMINE ELIXIR COMPOUND | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | CONSOLIDATED MIDLAND | 00223-6265 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| HYOSOPHEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | RUGBY LABORATORIES | 00536-3920 | DISC |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0072MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0240MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1280MG | | | |
| HYOSOPHEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | RUGBY LABORATORIES | - | DISC |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1280MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0072MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0200MG | | | |
| HYOSOPHEN ANTI-SPASMODIC | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | RUGBY LABORATORIES | - | DISC |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| HYOSOPHEN TDC | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 50.0000MG | RUGBY LABORATORIES | 00536-3920 | DISC |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.3110MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0190MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0580MG | | | |
| HYOTAB | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | CAMBRIDGE PHARM INC | 00199-0069 | DISC |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.0000ML05ML | | | |
| HYOTAB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | CAMBRIDGE PHARM INC | 00199-0068 | DISC |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| HYPER-ESS | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | JENKINS LABS INC | 00397-2200 | DISC |
| | VERATRUM VIRIDE EXTRACT | 5.1000MG | | | |
| | POTASSIUM NITRATE | 200.0000MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMUS EXTRACT | 15.0000MG | | | |
| | VISCUS ALBUM EXTRACT | 15.0000MG | | | |
| HYPERMAN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | STANDARD PHARMACAL | - | DISC |
| | SODIUM NITRITE | 60.0000MG | | | |
| | MISTLETOE EXTRACT | 15.0000MG | | | |
| | CRATAEGUS EXTRACT | 30.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| HYPERNEL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | HARNEL PHARM COMPANY | 00712-0341 | DISC |
| | VERATRUM VIRIDE | 40.0000MG | | | |
| | SODIUM NITRITE | 65.0000MG | | | |
| HYPERTABS (MAROON) | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | HABERLE DRUG COMPANY | 00841-0323 | |
| | SODIUM NITRITE | 64.0000MG | | | |
| HYPERTEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | BELLEVUE SURGICAL CO | 00854-0602 | DISC |
| | MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 32.4000MG | | | |
| | RUTIN | 20.0000MG | | | |
| | VERATRUM VIRIDE | 100.0000MG | | | |
| HYPERTENS | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | AREND MILLER PHARM | 00567-1265 | DISC |
| | SODIUM NITRITE | 65.0000MG | | | |
| | VERATRUM VIRIDE | 40.0000MG | | | |
| HYPERTENS | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | CORD LABS INC | 00117-1103 | DISC |
| | SODIUM NITRITE | 65.0000MG | | | |
| | VERATRUM VIRIDE | 40.0000MG | | | |
| HYPERTENS | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | MODERN DRUG COMPANY | - | |
| | SODIUM NITRITE | 65.0000MG | | | |
| | VERATRUM VIRIDE | 40.0000MG | | | |
| HYPERTENSEDA | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 13.0000MG | BOERICKE AND TAFEL | - | |
| | SODIUM NITRITE | 50.0000MG | | | |
| | VERATRUM VIRIDE | 0.2000ML | | | |
| HYPERTENSIVE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | RUGBY LABORATORIES | 00536-3932 | DISC |
| | SODIUM NITRITE | 60.0000MG | | | |
| | VERATRUM VERIDE | 50.0000MG | | | |
| HYPERTENSIVE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | SPENCER-MEAD INC | 00537-2244 | DISC |
| | VERATRUM VIRIDE | 50.0000MG | | | |
| | SODIUM NITRATE | 60.0000MG | | | |
| HYPHENAT | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 130.0000MG30ML | MEDICAL ARTS SUPPLY | 00366-0106 | DISC |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 1.1808MG30ML | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0520MG30ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.1816MG30ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 5.4000ML30ML | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| HYPNALDYNE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | NORTH AMERICAN PHARM | 00298-1778 | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| HYREX | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | RUCKSTUHL COMPANY | 00144-1395 | |
| | SODIUM NITRITE | 65.0000MG | | | |
| | VERATRUM VIRIDE | 40.0000MG | | | |
| HYTROBARB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | MODERN DRUG COMPANY | - | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.1803MG | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0048MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0149MG | | | |
| HYTRONA | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | WEBCON PHARM | 00059-0002 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.1800MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0150MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0050MG | | | |
| HYTRONA ANTISPASMODIC | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | PITMAN-MOORE | - | |
| | BELLADONNA ALKALOIDS | 0.2000MG | | | |
| HYTRONA ANTISPASMODIC | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG05ML | PITMAN-MOORE | - | |
| | BELLADONNA ALKALOIDS | 0.2000MG05ML | | | |
| HYTROPHEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | MACESLIN & COMPANY | 00358-0026 | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0072MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0240MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.1280MG | | | |
| HYTROPHEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | WESLEY PHARMACAL CO | 00917-0239 | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| ILOCALM | TB MEPHOBARBITAL | 30.0000MG | WARREN TEED PROD CO | 00013-2301 | |
| | METHSCOPOLAMINE NITRATE | 2.5000MG | | | |
| | D-CALCIUM PANTOTHENATE | 25.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| INDOGESIC | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 10.0000MG | CENTURY PHARM INC | - | DISC |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 200.0000MG | | | |
| | MEPHENESIN | 200.0000MG | | | |
| | SALICYLAMIDE | 200.0000MG | | | |
| INDOGESIC | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 10.0000MG | CENTURY PHARM INC | 00436-0122 | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 200.0000MG | | | |
| | SALICYLAMIDE | 200.0000MG | | | |
| INDYSED | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | INDIANAPOLIS PHARM | - | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0072MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0240MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.1280MG | | | |
| IP-93 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | BELL GEO N CHEMISTS | 00148-2320 | DISC |
| | ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE GEL | 162.0000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2160MG | | | |
| | KAOLIN | 324.0000MG | | | |
| ISABADE | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 6.0000MG15ML | HALSEY DRUG COMPANY | 00879-0325 | |
| | ISOPROTERENOL | 2.5000MG15ML | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 12.0000MG15ML | | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE ANHYDROUS | 45.0000MG15ML | | | |
| | POTASSIUM IODIDE | 150.0000MG15ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 2.8500ML15ML | | | |
| ISO-ASMINYL | TB PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 8.0000MG | COLE PHARMACAL CO | 00055-6048 | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE HYDROUS | 130.0000MG | | | |
| | ISOPROTERENOL HCL | 10.0000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 32.0000MG | | | |
| ISOLATE | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 6.0000MG15ML | SCHEIN HENRY INC | 00364-7029 | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 45.0000MG15ML | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 12.0000MG15ML | | | |
| | ISOPROTERENOL HCL | 2.5000MG15ML | | | |
| | POTASSIUM IODIDE | 150.0000MG15ML | | | |
| ISOLATE | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 6.0000MG15ML | SPENCER-MEAD INC | 00537-2548 | |
| | ISOPROTERENOL HCL | 2.5000MG15ML | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 12.0000MG15ML | | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE ANHYDROUS | 45.0000MG15ML | | | |
| | POTASSIUM IODIDE | 150.0000MG15ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 2.9000ML15ML | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ISOLATE COMPOUND | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 6.0000MG15ML | BARRE DRUG CO | 00472-0929 | |
| | ISOPROTERENOL HCL | 2.5000MG15ML | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 12.0000MG15ML | | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE ANHYDROUS | 45.0000MG15ML | | | |
| | POTASSIUM IODIDE | 150.0000MG15ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 2.9000ML15ML | | | |
| ISOPAP RX 2754 | TB ISOALLBARBITURIC ACID | 48.6000MG | COLUMBIA DRUG CO | 11735-0003 | |
| | SALICYLAMIDE | 194.4000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE ANHYDROUS | 21.6000MG | | | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 325.0000MG | | | |
| ISOPHED | LQ PHENOBARBITAL | 6.0000MG15ML | NORTH AMERICAN PHARM | 00298-5680 | |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 12.0000MG15ML | | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 45.0000MG15ML | | | |
| | POTASSIUM IODIDE | 150.0000MG15ML | | | |
| | ISOPROTERENOL HCL | 2.5000MG15ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 2.3500ML15ML | | | |
| ISOPROTEROL COMPOUND | LQ PHENOBARBITAL | 6.0000MG15ML | WOLINS PHARM CORP | 00928-3903 | |
| | ISOPROTERENOL | 2.5000MG15ML | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 12.0000MG15ML | | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 45.0000MG15ML | | | |
| | POTASSIUM IODIDE | 150.0000MG15ML | | | |
| ISORDIL WITH PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | IVES LABS INC | 00082-4123 | |
| | ISOSORBIDE DINITRATE | 10.0000MG | | | |
| ISUFRANOL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | WINTHROP LABS | - | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 130.0000MG | | | |
| | BENZYLEPHEDRINE | 32.0000MG | | | |
| | ISOPROTERENOL HCL | 10.0000MG | | | |
| ISUFRANOL MILD | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | WINTHROP LABS | - | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 130.0000MG | | | |
| | BENZYLEPHEDRINE | 32.0000MG | | | |
| | ISOPROTERENOL HCL | 5.0000MG | | | |
| ISUPREL | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 6.0000MG15ML | WINTHROP LABS | 00024-0874 | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 45.0000MG15ML | | | |
| | POTASSIUM IODIDE | 150.0000MG15ML | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 12.0000MG15ML | | | |
| | ISOPROTERENOL HCL | 2.5000MG15ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 2.8500ML15ML | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| KALIDRON | LQ PHENOBARBITAL | 25.0000MG30ML | ENEGLOTARIA MEDICINE | 00579-0039 | DISC |
| | CALCIUM IODIDE | 910.0000MG30ML | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE CHLORIDE | 25.0000MG30ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.8000ML30ML | | | |
| KALMEDIC | CA AMOBARBITAL | 50.0000MG | BLAINE COMPANY | 00165-0020 | |
| | ATROPINE METHYLNITRATE | 0.5000MG | | | |
| KANUMODIC | TB PENTOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | DORSEY LABORATORIES | 00043-0042 | |
| | CELLULASE | 9.0000MG | | | |
| | GLUTAMIC ACID HCL | 200.0000MG | | | |
| | PANCREATIN | 500.0000MG | | | |
| | PEPSIN | 150.0000MG | | | |
| | OX BILE EXTRACT | 100.0000MG | | | |
| | METHSCOPOLAMINE NITRATE | 2.0000MG | | | |
| KAO-LUMIN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | PHILIPS ROXANE LABS | 00054-4482 | DISC |
| | DRIED ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE GEL | 162.0000MG | | | |
| | KAOLIN | 325.0000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0650MG | | | |
| KAOLIN ALUMINUM | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | INDIANAPOLIS PHARM | - | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2000MG | | | |
| | KAOLIN | 325.0000MG | | | |
| | ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE GEL DRIED | 150.0000MG | | | |
| KAPHEBEL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | ELDER P B COMPANY | - | |
| | BELLADONNA ROOT | 16.2000MG | | | |
| | KAOLIN COLLOIDAL | 486.0000MG | | | |
| KAVATRATE | TB PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 16.2000MG | HYREX-KEY PHARM | - | |
| | VERATRUM VERIDE | 16.2000MG | | | |
| | MISTLETOE | 32.4000MG | | | |
| | HAWTHORN TINCTURE | 30.0000MN | | | |
| | SODIUM NITRITE | 64.8000MG | | | |
| KENGESIN | TB BUTALBITAL | 30.0000MG | KENWOOD LABS INC | 00482-0136 | |
| | ASPIRIN | 500.0000MG | | | |
| | DRIED ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE GEL | 150.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 60.0000MG | | | |
| KEY-SED | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | HYREX-KEY PHARM | 00099-2217 | DISC |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 2.5000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| KIDDIES PHENAT | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | FOY LABS INC | 00494-0530 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0648MG | | | |
| KIE W PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | LASER INC | - | |
| | POTASSIUM IODIDE | 400.0000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 24.0000MG | | | |
| KINESED | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | STUART PHARM (DEL) | 00038-0750 | |
| | SIMETHICONE | 40.0000MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0200MG | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0070MG | | | |
| KIOPHYLLIN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | SEARLE G D & CO | 00025-1301 | |
| | POTASSIUM IODIDE | 125.0000MG | | | |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE | 150.0000MG | | | |
| KNIBEL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | KNIGHT PHARMACAL CO | - | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| KNIBEL T.D. | XC PHENOBARBITAL | 45.0000MG | KNIGHT PHARMACAL CO | - | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0600MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.3000MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0200MG | | | |
| KOLADON | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | ALLISON LABS | - | DISC |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 10.0000MG | | | |
| | ACTIVATED CHARCOAL | 125.0000MG | | | |
| KOLADON | EL BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 15.0000MG05ML | ALLISON LABS | - | DISC |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 10.0000MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 0.9000ML05ML | | | |
| KOLY-TABS | TB MEPHOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | SCRIP INC | 00444-0187 | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 60.0000MG | | | |
| KOMAL | TB AMOBARBITAL | 10.0000MG | O'NEAL JONES FELDMAN | 00456-0273 | DISC |
| | ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE GEL | 150.0000MG | | | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 5.0000MG | | | |
| | KAOLIN | 150.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| KORTRATE-PLUS | XC AMOBARBITAL | 50.0000MG | AMID LABS INC | 00493-2005 | |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 30.0000MG | | | |
| LAKE-O-SPAZ | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | LAKELAND LABS | 00671-0015 | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | ACTIVATED CHARCOAL | 50.0000MG | | | |
| LANTRO | TB BUTABARBITAL | 20.0000MG | LANDRY PHARM INC | - | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 10.0000MG | | | |
| LARDET | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | STANDEX LABORATORIES | 00716-4050 | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 130.0000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 24.0000MG | | | |
| LARDET EXPECTORANT | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | STANDEX LABORATORIES | 00716-4051 | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 130.0000MG | | | |
| | GLYCERYL GUAIACOLATE | 100.0000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 24.0000MG | | | |
| LEVAMINE | TB BUTABARBITAL | 15.0000MG | ICN PHARMACEUTICALS | 00187-3198 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1250MG | | | |
| LEVAMINE SR | XC BUTABARBITAL | 45.0000MG | K-V-PHARMACAL CO | 17311-0207 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.3750MG | | | |
| LEVSIN W PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | KREMERS URBAN CO | 00091-3534 | |
| | L-HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1250MG | | | |
| LEVSIN W PHENOBARBITAL | IJ PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG01ML | KREMERS URBAN CO | 00091-1534 | |
| | L-HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.2500MG01ML | | | |
| LEVSIN W PHENOBARBITAL | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG05ML | KREMERS URBAN CO | 00091-4530 | |
| | L-HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1250MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.0000ML05ML | | | |
| LEVSIN- PB | DP PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG01ML | KREMERS URBAN CO | 00091-4536 | |
| | L-HYOSCYAMINE | 0.1250MG01ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 0.0500ML01ML | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| LEVSINEX W PHENOBARBITAL | XC PHENOBARBITAL<br>L-HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 45.0000MG<br>0.3750MG | KREMERS URBAN CO | 00091-3539 | |
| LEVSINEX W PHENOBARBITAL | XC PHENOBARBITAL<br>HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 45.0000MG<br>0.3750MG | VICTOR HERMELIN CO | 17311-0173 | |
| LEVSINEX W PHENOBARBITAL S.R. | CA PHENOBARBITAL<br>L-HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 45.0000MG<br>0.3750MG | VICTOR HERMELIN CO | 17311-0173 | DISC |
| LIBRAX | CA CHLORDIAZEPOXIDE HCL<br>CLIDINIUM BROMIDE | 5.0000MG<br>2.5000MG | ROCHE LABS | 00004-0007 | |
| LUASMIN | CA PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM<br>THEOPHYLLINE SODIUM ACETATE<br>EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 30.0000MG<br>0.2000GM<br>30.0000MG | COOPER LABS INC | 00041-0228 | |
| LUASMIN FULL STRENGTH | CA PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM<br>THEOPHYLLINE SODIUM ACETATE<br>EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 30.0000MG<br>0.2000GM<br>30.0000MG | SCHERER CORPORATION | - | DISC |
| LUASMIN HALF STRENGH | CA PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM<br>EPHEDRINE SULFATE<br>THEOPHYLLINE SODIUM ACETATE | 15.0000MG<br>15.0000MG<br>100.0000MG | ICN PHARMACEUTICALS | - | DISC |
| LUFTODIL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>THEOPHYLLINE<br>EPHEDRINE HCL<br>GLYCERYL GUAIACOLATE | 16.0000MG<br>100.0000MG<br>24.0000MG<br>200.0000MG | MALLINCKRODT | 00019-R501 | |
| LUFTODIL | SS PHENOBARBITAL<br>THEOPHYLLINE<br>EPHEDRINE HCL<br>GLYCERYL GUAIACOLATE | 8.0000MG05ML<br>50.0000MG05ML<br>12.0000MG05ML<br>100.0000MG05ML | MALLINCKRODT | - | DISC |
| LUFYLLIN-EP | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>DYPHYLLINE<br>EPHEDRINE HCL | 16.0000MG<br>100.0000MG<br>16.0000MG | MALLINCKRODT | - | DISC |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| LUFYLLIN-EPG | EL PHENOBARBITAL<br>DYPHYLLINE<br>GLYCERYL GUAIACOLATE<br>EPHEDRINE HCL<br>ALCOHOL | 16.0000MG10ML<br>100.0000MG10ML<br>200.0000MG10ML<br>16.0000MG10ML<br>0.5500ML10ML | MALLINCKRODT | 00019-R565 | |
| LUFYLLIN-EPG | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>DYPHYLLINE<br>GLYCERYL GUAIACOLATE<br>EPHEDRINE HCL | 16.0000MG<br>100.0000MG<br>200.0000MG<br>16.0000MG | MALLINCKRODT | 00019-R561 | |
| LUMADYNE | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>ASPIRIN<br>HYOSCYAMUS EXTRACT | 15.0000MG<br>300.0000MG<br>15.0000MG | ALLAN SHAW MEDICAL | - | DISC |
| LUNASPAS | TB BUTABARBITAL<br>HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE<br>ATROPINE SULFATE<br>HYOSCINE HBR | 16.0000MG<br>0.1037MG<br>0.0194MG<br>0.0065MG | ORBIT PHARMACEUTICAL | - | |
| LUSYN | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>DRIED ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE GEL<br>HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE<br>KAOLIN | 15.0000MG<br>44.0000MG<br>5.0000MG<br>300.0000MG | PENNWALT PRODUCTS | 00018-0966 | |
| M. G. O. | TB PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM<br>ATROPINE SULFATE<br>MAGNESIUM HYDROXIDE | 15.0000MG<br>0.1200MG<br>300.0000MG | LYNWOOD PHARMACAL CO | 00533-0011 | DISC |
| M-A-P | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>MAGNESIUM TRISILICATE<br>ATROPINE SULFATE | 8.0000MG<br>450.0000MG<br>0.2500MG | HABERLE DRUG COMPANY | 00841-0151 | DISC |
| M-283 | TB HYOSCINE HBR<br>BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 16.2000MG<br>4.0500MG | BELL GEO N CHEMISTS | 00148-2709 | |
| MA-38 | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>ATROPINE SULFATE<br>HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE<br>HYOSCINE HBR | 16.2000MG<br>0.0227MG<br>0.1476MG<br>0.0065MG | BELL GEO N CHEMISTS | 00148-2814 | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| MA-63 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | BELL GEO N CHEMISTS | 00148-9873 | |
| | MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 32.4000MG | | | |
| MAGNAPHEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | HARVEY LABS INC | - | DISC |
| | MAGNESIUM TRISILICATE | 500.0000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2100MG | | | |
| MAGNASED | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | CENTURY PHARM INC | 00436-0150 | |
| | MAGNESIUM TRISILICATE | 500.0000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2100MG | | | |
| MAGNESIUM HYDROXIDE-PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 15.0000MG | MCNEIL LABS INC | - | DISC |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.1200MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM HYDROXIDE | 300.0000MG | | | |
| MAGNESIUM TRISILICATE COMPOUND | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | SCHEIN HENRY INC | 00364-0156 | DISC |
| | MAGNESIUM TRISILICATE | 486.0000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2000MG | | | |
| MAGNOPHEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | PARAMOUNT SURG SUPP | 00227-4105 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE - | 0.2000MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM TRISILICATE | 500.0000MG | | | |
| MAGNOPHEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | SPENCER-MEAD INC | 00537-2248 | DISC |
| | MAGNESIUM TRISILICATE | 500.0000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2000MG | | | |
| MAGNOX | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | BOWMAN PHARMACAL CO | 00252-0341 | DISC |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.1000MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM OXIDE | 300.0000MG | | | |
| MAGPHEMMS | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | TRUXTON C O INC | 00463-6112 | DISC |
| | MAGNESIUM TRISILICATE | 500.0000MG | | | |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 8.1000MG | | | |
| MAGSILAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | SCRIP INC | 00444-3016 | DISC |
| | MAGNESIUM TRISILICATE | 260.0000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.1200MG | | | |
| | ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE GEL | 130.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| MALCOTRAN WITH PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | PENNWALT PRODUCTS | 00018-0970 | DISC |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 10.0000MG | | | |
| MALGLYN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | BRAYTEN PHARM CO | - | DISC |
| | BELLADONNA ALKALOIDS | 0.1620MG | | | |
| | DIHYDROXY ALUMINUM AMINOACETAT | 500.0000MG | | | |
| MALGLYN | SS PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | BRAYTEN PHARM CO | - | |
| | BELLADONNA ALKALOIDS | 0.1620MG05ML | | | |
| | DIHYDROXY ALUMINUM AMINOACETAT | 0.5000GM05ML | | | |
| MAN-HEX | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | INDIANAPOLIS PHARM | - | |
| | MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 32.0000MG | | | |
| MANITHEOTAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | MOFFET INC | 00758-1034 | |
| | MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 30.0000MG | | | |
| MANITREX 8 | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 15.0000MG | ZEMMER CO INC | 00133-0358 | |
| | MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 30.0000MG | | | |
| MANNIHAB WITH PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | HABERLE DRUG COMPANY | 00841-0149 | DISC |
| | MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 30.0000MG | | | |
| MANNINAUS | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | MODERN DRUG COMPANY | - | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0650MG | | | |
| | BENZOCAINE | 10.0000MG | | | |
| | BISMUTH SUBNITRATE | 65.0000MG | | | |
| | CERIUM OXALATE | 130.0000MG | | | |
| | CALCIUM CARBONATE | 130.0000MG | | | |
| MANNIPHEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | VALE CHEMICAL CO | 00377-0146 | |
| | MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 32.0000MG | | | |
| MANNIPHEN W RUTIN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | VALE CHEMICAL CO | - | |
| | MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 32.0000MG | | | |
| | RUTIN | 20.0000MG | | | |
| MANNITOL & PHENOBARBITAL (ORANGE) | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | SCHEIN HENRY INC | 00364-0158 | |
| | MANNITOL | 30.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| MANNITOL AND PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>MANNITOL | 15.0000MG<br>30.0000MG | RUGBY LABORATORIES | 00536-3982 | DISC |
| MANNITOL HEXANITRATE & PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 16.2000MG<br>32.4000MG | BELL PHARM CORP | 00198-0159 | DISC |
| MANNITOL HEXANITRATE & PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>MANNITOL HEXANITRATE<br>ASCORBIC ACID | 15.0000MG<br>30.0000MG<br>10.0000MG | DRUMMER LABS | 00093-0247 | |
| MANNITOL HEXANITRATE & PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 16.2000MG<br>32.4000MG | MORTON PHARM INC | - | DISC |
| MANNITOL HEXANITRATE & PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 16.2000MG<br>32.4000MG | MORTON PHARM INC | - | DISC |
| MANNITOL HEXANITRATE & PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 16.2000MG<br>32.4000MG | TENNESSEE PHARM CO | 00656-0185 | |
| MANNITOL HEXANITRATE & PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 16.0000MG<br>32.0000MG | WAYNE MEDICAL CO | - | DISC |
| MANNITOL HEXANITRATE & PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 15.0000MG<br>30.0000MG | WOLINS PHARM CORP | 00928-1176 | |
| MANNITOL HEXANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 16.0000MG<br>32.0000MG | ALLAN SHAW MEDICAL | - | DISC |
| MANNITOL HEXANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 15.0000MG<br>32.0000MG | ARCUM PHARM CORP | 00357-0675 | DISC |
| MANNITOL HEXANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 16.0000MG<br>32.0000MG | AREND MILLER PHARM | 00567-1270 | DISC |
| MANNITOL HEXANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 16.0000MG<br>32.0000MG | BLUE LINE CHEM CO | 00529-0157 | |
| MANNITOL HEXANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 15.0000MG<br>30.0000MG | BORNEMAN & SONS | 00696-2639 | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| MANNITOL HEXANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 16.0000MG<br>32.0000MG | BOWMAN PHARMACAL CO | 00252-0648 | DISC |
| MANNITOL HEXANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 16.0000MG<br>32.0000MG | BROWN SURG SUPPLY CO | - | DISC |
| MANNITOL HEXANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 16.0000MG<br>32.0000MG | BUCKWALTER DRUG CO | - | |
| MANNITOL HEXANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 16.2000MG<br>32.4000MG | BUTLER W A CO | - | DISC |
| MANNITOL HEXANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 15.0000MG<br>30.0000MG | CHRISMAN COMPANY | - | DISC |
| MANNITOL HEXANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 16.0000MG<br>32.0000MG | COOPER DRUG CO | 00779-0645 | DISC |
| MANNITOL HEXANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 16.0000MG<br>32.0000MG | CORD LABS INC | 00117-1105 | DISC |
| MANNITOL HEXANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 16.2000MG<br>32.4000MG | DANBURY PHARMACAL | 00591-5076 | |
| MANNITOL HEXANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 15.0000MG<br>32.0000MG | DELAVAU J W S INC | 10311-0051 | |
| MANNITOL HEXANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 15.0000MG<br>30.0000MG | DETROIT PHARMACAL CO | - | |
| MANNITOL HEXANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 16.0000MG<br>30.0000MG | FOY LABS INC | 00494-0590 | |
| MANNITOL HEXANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 16.0000MG<br>32.0000MG | GENEVA GENERICS | 00781-1280 | DISC |
| MANNITOL HEXANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>RUTIN<br>MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 15.0000MG<br>20.0000MG<br>30.0000MG | GOTHAM PHARM CO INC | 10483-0965 | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| MANNITOL HEXANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | GOTHAM PHARM CO INC | 10483-0835 | |
| | MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 30.0000MG | | | |
| MANNITOL HEXANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | HALSOM DRUG COMPANY | 00729-0158 | |
| | MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 30.0000MG | | | |
| MANNITOL HEXANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | HARTFORD LABS INC | 00175-0266 | |
| | MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 32.0000MG | | | |
| MANNITOL HEXANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | JENKINS LABS INC | 00397-2575 | |
| | MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 32.0000MG | | | |
| MANNITOL HEXANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | MEDCO SUPPLY CO | 00764-2069 | |
| | MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 30.0000MG | | | |
| MANNITOL HEXANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | NORTH AMERICAN PHARM | 00298-1014 | |
| | MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 32.4000MG | | | |
| MANNITOL HEXANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | NOYES P J CO | - | |
| | MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 32.4000MG | | | |
| MANNITOL HEXANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | RICHLYN LABORATORIES | - | |
| | MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 32.4000MG | | | |
| MANNITOL HEXANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | ROBINSON LABORATORY | 00279-0460 | |
| | MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 30.0000MG | | | |
| MANNITOL HEXANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | SCRIP INC | 00444-0181 | |
| | MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 32.0000MG | | | |
| MANNITOL HEXANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | SCRIP INC | 00444-1402 | |
| | MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 32.0000MG | | | |
| MANNITOL HEXANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | SPENCER-MEAD INC | 00537-2249 | |
| | MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 30.0000MG | | | |
| MANNITOL HEXANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | STANDEX LABORATORIES | 00716-4054 | |
| | MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 32.0000MG | | | |
| | ASCORBIC ACID | 10.0000MG | | | |
| MANNITOL HEXANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | TRUXTON C O INC | 00463-6113 | |
| | MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 32.0000MG | | | |
| MANNITOL HEXANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | TUTAG PHARMACEUTICAL | 00124-1216 | DISC |
| | MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 32.0000MG | | | |
| MANNITOL HEXANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | UNITED RESEARCH LABS | 00677-0231 | |
| | MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 32.0000MG | | | |
| MANOTENSIN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | DUNHALL PHARM INC | 00217-2401 | |
| | MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 32.0000MG | | | |
| MAOLIN NO. 3 (PLAIN) | PW PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG03GM | ALPHADEN COMPANY | - | DISC |
| | MAGNESIUM OXIDE | 0.2500GM03GM | | | |
| | KAOLIN | 1.3000GM03GM | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM HYDROXIDE | 0.2500GM03GM | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMUS EXTRACT | 30.0000MG03GM | | | |
| MAOLIN NO.3 PLAIN | PW PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG03GM | STANDARD PHARMACAL | - | DISC |
| | MAGNESIUM OXIDE | 250.0000MG03GM | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMUS EXTRACT | 30.0000MG03GM | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM HYDROXIDE | 250.0000MG03GM | | | |
| | KAOLIN | 1300.0000MG03GM | | | |
| MAOLIN NO.3L | PW PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG03GM | ALPHADEN COMPANY | - | DISC |
| | HYOSCYAMUS EXTRACT | 30.0000MG03GM | | | |
| | KAOLIN | 1.3000GM03GM | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM HYDROXIDE | 500.0000MG03GM | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM OXIDE | 500.0000MG03GM | | | |
| MAOLIN NO.3L | PW PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG03GM | STANDARD PHARMACAL | - | DISC |
| | HYOSCYAMUS EXTRACT | 30.0000MG03GM | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM HYDROXIDE | 500.0000MG03GM | | | |
| | PEPSIN | 0.0050GM03GM | | | |
| | KAOLIN | 1300.0000MG03GM | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM OXIDE | 500.0000MG03GM | | | |
| MAR-GESIC | TB ALLYLISOBUTYLBARBITURIC ACID | 15.0000MG | MARNEL PHARM INC | 00682-0803 | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 324.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| MAR-GESIC | CA | ALLYLISOBUTYLBARBITURIC ACID | 15.0000MG | MARNEL PHARM INC | 00682-0804 | |
| | | ACETAMINOPHEN | 324.0000MG | | | |
| | | CAFFEINE | 40.0000MG | | | |
| MAR-GESIC | TB | ALLYLISOBUTYLBARBITURIC ACID | 50.0000MG | MARNEL PHARM INC | 00682-0803 | DISC |
| | | ACETAMINOPHEN | 324.0000MG | | | |
| | | CAFFEINE | 40.0000MG | | | |
| MAR-GESIC | CA | ALLYLISOBUTYLBARBITURIC ACID | 50.0000MG | MARNEL PHARM INC | 00682-0804 | DISC |
| | | ACETAMINOPHEN | 324.0000MG | | | |
| | | CAFFEINE | 40.0000MG | | | |
| MARACID | TB | PENTOBARBITAL | 10.0000MG | MARIN PHARMACEUTICAL | - | |
| | | MAGNESIUM TRISILICATE | 150.0000MG | | | |
| | | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | | ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE GEL DRIED | 90.0000MG | | | |
| | | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 5.0000MG | | | |
| MARIDONNA WHITE | TB | PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | APOTHECA | 12634-0109 | |
| | | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0195MG | | | |
| | | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1040MG | | | |
| MASODONNA | TB | PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | MASON PHARM INC | - | |
| | | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| MASTAZYME | TB | PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | MAST M M COMPANY | 00723-1255 | |
| | | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.0518MG | | | |
| | | PEPSIN | 150.0000MG | | | |
| | | PANCREATIN | 300.0000MG | | | |
| | | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0097MG | | | |
| | | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0033MG | | | |
| | | BILE SALTS | 150.0000MG | | | |
| | | BELLADONNA ALKALOID SALTS | 0.0648MG | | | |
| MATRO | TB | PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | BLAINE COMPANY | - | DISC |
| | | ATROPINE METHYLNITRATE | 1.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| MATROPINAL | EL | PHENOBARBITAL | 9.0000MG05ML | COMATIC LABS INC | 00552-0303 | |
| | | CHLORPHENIRAMINE MALEATE | 0.5000MG05ML | | | |
| | | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 10.0000MG05ML | | | |
| MATROPINAL | TB | PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | COMATIC LABS INC | 00552-0302 | |
| | | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 10.0000MG | | | |
| | | PYRILAMINE MALEATE | 12.0000MG | | | |
| MATROPINAL | EL | PHENOBARBITAL | 9.0000MG05ML | PHARMACEUTICAL ASSOC | 00121-0129 | |
| | | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 10.0000MG05ML | | | |
| | | CHLORPHENIRAMINE MALEATE | 0.5000MG05ML | | | |
| | | ALCOHOL | 0.5000ML05ML | | | |
| MATROPINAL FORTE | TB | PENTOBARBITAL | 90.0000MG | COMATIC LABS INC | 00552-0402 | |
| | | METHAPYRILENE FUMARATE | 12.5000MG | | | |
| | | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 10.0000MG | | | |
| MATROPINAL FORTE INSERTS | SU | PENTOBARBITAL | 90.0000MG | COMATIC LABS INC | 00552-0401 | |
| | | PYRILAMINE MALEATE | 8.0000MG | | | |
| | | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 10.0000MG | | | |
| MATROPINAL FORTE PF NO.598 | SU | PENTOBARBITAL | 90.0000MG | BELL PHARM CORP | - | DISC |
| | | PYRILAMINE MALEATE | 8.0000MG | | | |
| | | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 10.0000MG | | | |
| MATROPINAL INSERTS | SU | PENTOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | COMATIC LABS INC | 00552-0301 | |
| | | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 10.0000MG | | | |
| | | PYRILAMINE MALEATE | 8.0000MG | | | |
| MATROPINAL PF NO.597 | SU | PENTOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | BELL PHARM CORP | - | DISC |
| | | PYRILAMINE MALEATE | 8.0000MG | | | |
| | | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 10.0000MG | | | |
| MAXITATE WITH PHENOBARBITAL | TB | PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | PENNWALT PRODUCTS | 00018-0354 | DISC |
| | | MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 30.0000MG | | | |
| MAXITOL | TB | PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | KRONE BURT CO | - | |
| | | MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 15.0000MG | | | |
| | | RUTIN | 15.0000MG | | | |
| | | ASCORBIC ACID | 15.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| MEDAGEL-AS | SS PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 5.4000MG05ML | MEDICAL SPECIALTIES | 00495-0003 |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.0346MG05ML | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0021MG05ML | | |
| | MAGNESIUM HYDROXIDE | 87.5000MG05ML | | |
| | ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE | 190.0000MG05ML | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0064MG05ML | | |
| MEDI-PHEN | CA BUTABARBITAL | 8.0000MG | MEDI-RX PHARM INC | - |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 150.0000MG | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.0400MG | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 180.0000MG | | |
| MEDI-SPAS | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | MEDICAL CHEMICALS | 00215-0612 |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | |
| MEDI-SPAS | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | MEDWICK LABS INC | 00215-0612 |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | |
| MEDI-SPAS | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | ZEROX LABORATORIES | - |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | |
| MEDI-TAL | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG05ML | MEDI-RX PHARM INC | - |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | |
| MEDI-TAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | MEDI-RX PHARM INC | - |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1040MG | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0195MG | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| MEDITAL-LA | XC PHENOBARBITAL | 50.0000MG | MEDI-RX PHARM INC | - |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0200MG | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.3000MG | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0600MG | | |
| MEDRAL | EL AMOBARBITAL | 10.0000MG15ML | MEDCO SUPPLY CO | 00764-3021 DISC |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 20.0000MG15ML | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 130.0000MG15ML | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 3.0000ML15ML | | |
| MEDRAL WHITE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | MEDCO SUPPLY CO | 00764-2175 |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 25.0000MG | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 120.0000MG | | |
| MENRIUM 10-4 | TB CHLORDIAZEPOXIDE | 10.0000MG | ROCHE LABS | 00004-0025 |
| | SODIUM ESTRONE SULFATE | 0.4000MG | | |
| MENRIUM 5-2 | TB CHLORDIAZEPOXIDE | 5.0000MG | ROCHE LABS | 00004-0023 |
| | SODIUM ESTRONE SULFATE | 0.2000MG | | |
| MENRIUM 5-4 | TB CHLORDIAZEPOXIDE | 5.0000MG | ROCHE LABS | 00004-0024 |
| | SODIUM ESTRONE SULFATE | 0.4000MG | | |
| MEPHENAL YELLOW | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 8.0000MG | QUEEN CITY PHARMACAL | 00541-0317 |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 100.0000MG | | |
| | SALICYLAMIDE | 250.0000MG | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2500MG | | |
| MEPHOBEL | TB MEPHOBARBITAL | 25.0000MG | MACESLIN & COMPANY | 00358-0028 |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.1100MG | | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 0.0300MG | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0070MG | | |
| MEPRANE PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | REED PHARMACAL CO | - |
| | PROMETHESTROL DIPROPIONATE | 1.0000MG | | |
| MEPROBAMATE W PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRA(NO3) | TB MEPROBAMATE | 200.0000MG | BOLAR PHARM CO | 00725-0017 |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 10.0000MG | | |
| MEPROBAMATE W PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRA(NO3) | TB MEPROBAMATE | 200.0000MG | BOLAR PHARM CO | 00725-0018 |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 20.0000MG | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| MESOPIN-PB | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG05ML | ENDO LABS INC | 00056-0256 | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 5.0000MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 0.7000ML05ML | | | |
| MESOPIN-PB GREEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | ENDO LABS INC | 00056-0057 | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 5.0000MG | | | |
| METAMINE W BUTABARBITAL | TB BUTABARBITAL | 16.2000MG | PFIZER LABORATORIES | - | |
| | TROLNITRATE PHOSPHATE | 2.0000MG | | | |
| METAMINE W BUTABARBITAL | TB BUTABARBITAL | 48.6000MG | PFIZER LABORATORIES | - | |
| | TROLNITRATE PHOSPHATE | 10.0000MG | | | |
| METHATROPINE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | TRUXTON C O INC | 00463-6118 | |
| | ATROPINE METHYLNITRATE | 1.0000MG | | | |
| METHE-BENZOATE-V (VET) | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | ARNOLD LABORATORIES | 00973-2206 | DISC |
| | HEXAMETHYLENAMINE | 32.4000MG | | | |
| | BENZOIC ACID | 32.4000MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMUS | 16.2000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0324MG | | | |
| | METHYLENE BLUE | 6.4800MG | | | |
| METHSCOPOLAMINE NITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | SPENCER-MEAD INC | 00537-2252 | DISC |
| | METHSCOPOLAMINE NITRATE | 2.0000MG | | | |
| METROBARB | TB PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 4.0000MG | MOORE KIRK LABS | 00133-1580 | |
| | BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 4.0000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE METHYLNITRATE | 1.0000MG | | | |
| | PENTOBARBITAL SODIUM | 4.0000MG | | | |
| METROJEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 4.0000MG | JENKINS LABS INC | 00397-2810 | |
| | PENTOBARBITAL SODIUM | 4.0000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE METHYLNITRATE | 1.0000MG | | | |
| | BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 4.0000MG | | | |
| METROPINE PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | PENNWALT PRODUCTS | 00018-0663 | DISC |
| | ATROPINE METHYLNITRATE | 1.0000MG | | | |
| MEXAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | BEECHAM-MASSENGILL | - | |
| | MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 32.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| MILPATH-200 | TB MEPROBAMATE | 200.0000MG | WALLACE LABORATORIES | 00037-5101 | |
| | TRIDIHEXETHYLCHLORIDE | 25.0000MG | | | |
| MILPATH-400 | TB MEPROBAMATE | 400.0000MG | WALLACE LABORATORIES | 00037-5001 | |
| | TRIDIHEXETHYLCHLORIDE | 25.0000MG | | | |
| MILPREM-200 | TB MEPROBAMATE | 200.0000MG | WALLACE LABORATORIES | 00037-5501 | |
| | CONJUGATED ESTROGENS | 0.4500MG | | | |
| MILPREM-400 | TB MEPROBAMATE | 400.0000MG | WALLACE LABORATORIES | 00037-5401 | |
| | CONJUGATED ESTROGENS | 0.4500MG | | | |
| MILTRATE-10 | TB MEPROBAMATE | 200.0000MG | WALLACE LABORATORIES | 00037-5201 | |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 10.0000MG | | | |
| MILTRATE-20 | TB MEPROBAMATE | 200.0000MG | WALLACE LABORATORIES | 00037-5301 | |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 20.0000MG | | | |
| MINABEL YELLOW | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | ICN PHARMACEUTICALS | - | DISC |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1286MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0250MG | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0074MG | | | |
| MINOTAL | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 15.0000MG | CARNRICK LABS | 00086-0043 | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 325.0000MG | | | |
| MISALOID | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 2.6000MG | JENKINS LABS INC | 00397-2444 | |
| | PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 2.6000MG | | | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 325.0000MG | | | |
| | SALICYLAMIDE | 195.0000MG | | | |
| MISTLE-HAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | HALSOM DRUG COMPANY | 00729-0172 | DISC |
| | VISCUM ALBUM EXTRACT | 15.0000MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMUS EXTRACT | 15.0000MG | | | |
| | VERATRUM VIRIDE | 4.8000MG | | | |
| | POTASSIUM NITRATE | 200.0000MG | | | |
| MITEX | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | NORTEX LABORATORIES | 00564-0211 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0195MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1040MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| MONOMEB | TB MEPHOBARBITAL | 32.0000MG | WINTHROP LABS | - | |
| | PENTHIENATE BROMIDE | 5.0000MG | | | |
| MORNITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | MORTON PHARM INC | - | DISC |
| | VERATRUM VIRIDE | 48.6000MG | | | |
| | SODIUM NITRITE | 65.0000MG | | | |
| MORNITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | TENNESSEE PHARM CO | 00656-0193 | DISC |
| | SODIUM NITRITE | 65.0000MG | | | |
| | VERATRUM VIRIDE | 48.6000MG | | | |
| MUDRANE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 21.0000MG | POYTHRESS & CO INC | 00095-0017 | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 16.0000MG | | | |
| | POTASSIUM IODIDE | 195.0000MG | | | |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE (ANHYDROUS) | 130.0000MG | | | |
| MUDRANE GG | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 21.0000MG | POYTHRESS & CO INC | 00095-0018 | |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE (ANHYDROUS) | 130.0000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 16.0000MG | | | |
| | GLYCERYL GUAIACOLATE | 100.0000MG | | | |
| MUDRANE GG (PEDIATRIC) | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 5.4000MG05ML | POYTHRESS & CO INC | - | |
| | GLYCERYL GUAIACOLATE | 26.0000MG05ML | | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 20.0000MG05ML | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 4.0000MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.0000ML05ML | | | |
| MULTICO | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | FRANKLIN DRUG CO | 00758-1035 | |
| | PHENACETIN | 162.0000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 227.0000MG | | | |
| MYASED | CA BUTABARBITAL | 15.0000MG | TUTAG PHARMACEUTICAL | 00124-2419 | DISC |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0072MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0240MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.1280MG | | | |
| MYOCALM IMPROVED | TB BUTABARBITAL | 15.4500MG | PARMED PHARM | 00349-8020 | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 324.0000MG | | | |
| | SALICYLAMIDE | 324.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| MYONEPHETANE | TB AMOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | FELLOWS-TESTAGAR | - | DISC |
| | MEPHENESIN | 400.0000MG | | | |
| | PHYSOSTIGMINE SALICYLATE | 0.2500MG | | | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 0.6000MG | | | |
| | SALICYLAMIDE | 130.0000MG | | | |
| MYOTHINE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | CANFIELD AND CO | 00574-1931 | DISC |
| | MEPHENESIN | 325.0000MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0227MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1476MG | | | |
| NA-ANA-TAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | CHURCHILL PHARM CO | 10238-0016 | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 325.0000MG | | | |
| NACTISOL | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 15.0000MG | MCNEIL LABS INC | 00045-0822 | |
| | POLDINE METHYLSULFATE | 4.0000MG | | | |
| NATACID NO.7 | TB PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 4.0000MG | HALSOM DRUG COMPANY | - | DISC |
| | MAGNESIUM TRISILICATE | 100.0000MG | | | |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 0.3720MG | | | |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 10.0000MG | | | |
| | ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE GEL | 100.0000MG | | | |
| | PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | | | |
| NATRONA COMP | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | ZEMMER CO INC | 00133-0389 | |
| | SODIUM NITRITE | 60.0000MG | | | |
| | EXTRACT HAWTHORN BERRIES | 30.0000MG | | | |
| | EXTRACT MISTLETOE | 15.0000MG | | | |
| | SODIUM BICARBONATE | 0.2000GM | | | |
| NATRONA FORTE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | ZEMMER CO INC | 00133-0275 | DISC |
| | SODIUM NITRITE | 120.0000MG | | | |
| NAU-AID 15 | SU PENTOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | SCRIP INC | 00444-0911 | |
| | PYRILAMINE MALEATE | 8.0000MG | | | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 10.0000MG | | | |
| NEBUPHENE | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | JENSEN SALSBERY LABS | 17220-2281 | DISC |
| | AMBUPHYLLINE | 60.0000MG | | | |
| | ETAFEDRINE HCL | 25.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| NEMBU-DONNA | CA PENTOBARBITAL SODIUM | 15.0000MG | ABBOTT LABS | 00074-3440 | |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 10.0000MG | | | |
| NEMBU-DONNA | EL PENTOBARBITAL | 18.2000MG05ML | ABBOTT LABS | 00074-3908 | |
| | BELLADONNA ALKALOIDS | 0.1700MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 0.9000ML05ML | | | |
| NEMBU-DONNA | CA PENTOBARBITAL SODIUM | 16.2000MG | IOWA UNIVERSITY | 11326-5856 | DISC |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 10.8000MG | | | |
| NEO-MIGRANOID | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 2.6600MG | MOORE KIRK LABS | 00133-1620 | |
| | SALICYLAMIDE | 194.0000MG | | | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 324.0000MG | | | |
| | PHENOBARBITAL | 2.6600MG | | | |
| | PENTOBARBITAL | 2.6600MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 30.0000MG | | | |
| NEOBILE-HP | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | ICN PHARMACEUTICALS | - | DISC |
| | PANCREATIN | 60.0000MG | | | |
| | OX BILE EXTRACT | 100.0000MG | | | |
| | PEPSIN 1:15000 | 60.0000MG | | | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 2.5000MG | | | |
| | DEHYDROCHOLIC ACID | 50.0000MG | | | |
| NEOCHOLAN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | DOW CHEMICAL CO | 00183-0802 | DISC |
| | BILE EXTRACT | 15.0000MG | | | |
| | DEHYDROCHOLIC ACID | 250.0000MG | | | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 1.2000MG | | | |
| NEOCHOLAN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | PITMAN-MOORE | - | |
| | DEHYDROCHOLIC ACID | 250.0000MG | | | |
| | BILE EXTRACT | 15.0000MG | | | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 1.2000MG | | | |
| NEOPECSUL-A (VET) | SS PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG30ML | BURNS-BIOTEC | - | DISC |
| | PECTIN | 260.0000MG30ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 120.0000MC30ML | | | |
| | SULFACETAMIDE | 162.0000MG30ML | | | |
| | KAOLIN | 7.6000GM30ML | | | |
| | NEOMYCIN SULFATE | 63.7000MG30ML | | | |
| | SULFAGUANIDINE | 162.0000MG30ML | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| NEOPIN COMPOUND | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | BALL PHARM CO | - | DISC |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 5.0000MG | | | |
| NEOQUESS | TB BUTABARBITAL | 4.0000MG | O'NEAL JONES FELDMAN | 00456-0311 | DISC |
| | PENTOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | | | |
| | PHENOBARBITAL | 4.0000MG | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0100MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1200MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0300MG | | | |
| NEOQUESS IMPROVED FORMULA | TB BUTABARBITAL | 8.0000MG | O'NEAL JONES FELDMAN | 00456-0312 | |
| | PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0300MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1200MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0100MG | | | |
| NEOSPAZ | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | CONTINENTAL DRUG CO | - | |
| | CHARCOAL ACTIVATED | 65.0000MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE | 260.0000MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM TRISILICATE | 162.0000MG | | | |
| NEOSPECT | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | LEMMON PHARMACAL CO | 00093-0020 | |
| | DYPHYLLINE | 100.0000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 25.0000MG | | | |
| | GLYCERYL GUAIACOLATE | 100.0000MG | | | |
| NERGESTIC | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | BEECHAM-MASSENGILL | - | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.1000MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM TRISILICATE | 0.5000GM | | | |
| NEUTRAGEL-PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | MOFFET INC | 00758-1039 | |
| | MAGNESIUM OXIDE | 54.0000MG | | | |
| | ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE GEL | 0.4400GM | | | |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 4.0000MG | | | |
| NEUTRASED | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | MALLARD INC | 00166-0382 | |
| | COLLOIDAL KAOLIN | 500.0000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0650MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| NILSPASM | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG05ML | PARMED PHARM | 00349-8030 |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | |
| NILSPASM | XT PHENOBARBITAL | 50.0000MG | PARMED PHARM | 00349-8029 |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.3100MG | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0600MG | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0195MG | | |
| NITRANITOL WITH PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | MERRELL-NATIONAL LAB | 00068-0472 DISC |
| | MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 32.0000MG | | |
| NITRASED | TB SECOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | LEMMON PHARMACAL CO | - |
| | NITROGLYCERIN | 0.4000MG | | |
| | PENTAERYTHRITYL TETRANITRATE | 15.0000MG | | |
| NITROPHEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | RUCKSTUHL COMPANY | 00144-1430 |
| | MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 30.0000MG | | |
| NIVERAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | HEALTHCO | 00728-0222 DISC |
| | SODIUM NITRITE | 65.0000MG | | |
| | VERATRUM VIRIDE | 40.0000MG | | |
| NO 111 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 32.0000MG | STAYNER CORPORATION | - |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 24.3000MG | | |
| NO 136 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 20.0000MG | STAYNER CORPORATION | - |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 5.0000MG | | |
| NO 159 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | BORNEMAN & SONS | 00696-2617 |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2000MG | | |
| NO 23 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 32.4000MG | STAYNER CORPORATION | - |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE | 194.4000MG | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| NO 337 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | BOERICKE AND TAFEL | - |
| | SODIUM BICARBONATE | 60.0000MG | | |
| | CRATAEGUS OXYACANTHA | 60.0000MG | | |
| | NITROGLYCERINE | 0.3000MG | | |
| | BELLADONNA TINCTURE | 0.0120ML | | |
| | SODIUM NITRITE | 30.0000MG | | |
| NO 35 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | STAYNER CORPORATION | - |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE | 97.2000MG | | |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 24.3000MG | | |
| NO 36 | TB PENTOBARBITAL SODIUM | 48.6000MG | STAYNER CORPORATION | - |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 24.3000MG | | |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE | 194.4000MG | | |
| NO 643 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | STAYNER CORPORATION | - |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 129.6000MG | | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 24.3000MG | | |
| NO 65 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | STAYNER CORPORATION | - |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 16.2000MG | | |
| NO 66 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | STAYNER CORPORATION | - |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 16.2000MG | | |
| NO 75 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | BARIATRIC CORP | - |
| | BELLADONNA | 8.1000MG | | |
| NO 88 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | STAYNER CORPORATION | - |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE | 97.2000MG | | |
| NO 89 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 32.4000MG | STAYNER CORPORATION | - |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE | 97.2000MG | | |
| NO.2165 ANTINAUSEANT | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | BORNEMAN & SONS | 00696-2165 |
| | BISMUTH SUBNITRATE | 125.0000MG | | |
| | CEREUM OXALATE | 125.0000MG | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2000MG | | |
| NO.2184 SEDATIVE-ANTISPASMODIC | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | BORNEMAN & SONS | 00696-2184 |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0600MG | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| NO.86-P | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | BORNEMAN & SONS | 00696-2502 | DISC |
| | SODIUM NITRITE | 32.0000MG | | | |
| | NITROGLYCERINE | 0.3000MG | | | |
| | CRATAEGUS OXYACANTHA TINCTURE | 3.0000MN | | | |
| NO.86-P HYPERTENSION | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | BORNEMAN & SONS | - | DISC |
| | GLONOINUM | 0.3000MG | | | |
| | CRATAEGUS OXYACANTHA | 3.0000MN | | | |
| | SODIUM NITRITE | 30.0000MG | | | |
| NOPHESAN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | NOYES P J CO | - | |
| | ACETYLSALICYLIC ACID | 300.0000MG | | | |
| NORBEL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | NORFOLK DRUG CORP | - | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| NOVALENE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | LEMMON PHARMACAL CO | 00093-0299 | |
| | POTASSIUM IODIDE | 162.0000MG | | | |
| | CALCIUM LACTATE | 162.0000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 24.0000MG | | | |
| NOVATRIN COMPOUND PEDIATRIC | LQ PHENOBARBITAL | 80.0000MG05ML | AYERST LABORATORIES | 00046-0369 | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 6.5000MG05ML | | | |
| NOVATRIN WITH PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | AYERST LABORATORIES | 00046-0367 | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 5.0000MG | | | |
| NUMA DURA-TABS | XT BUTABARBITAL | 25.0000MG | COOPER LABS INC | 00041-0225 | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 225.0000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 50.0000MG | | | |
| ODYNE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | DETROIT PHARMACAL CO | - | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| OMNIBEL | TB PENTOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | DELTA DRUG (FLA) | 00827-0028 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| OMNIBEL | LQ PENTOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | DELTA DRUG (FLA) | 00827-0029 | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| OPOCAL | SS PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG30ML | MURAI LABORATORY INC | - | DISC |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 1.5000MG30ML | | | |
| | PECTIN | 225.0000MG30ML | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0065MG30ML | | | |
| | ETHAVERINE HCL | 40.0000MG30ML | | | |
| | KAOLIN | 6.0000GM30ML | | | |
| OPOCAL | SS PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG30ML | QUIVER PHARMACAL CO | - | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG30ML | | | |
| | KAOLIN | 6.0000GM30ML | | | |
| | PECTIN | 225.0000MG30ML | | | |
| | ETHAVERINE HCL | 40.0000MG30ML | | | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 1.5000MG30ML | | | |
| OR-TRIN | TB PENTOBARBITAL SODIUM | 10.0000MG | BOWMAN PHARMACAL CO | 00252-3073 | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 300.0000MG | | | |
| | SALICYLAMIDE | 200.0000MG | | | |
| OR-TRIN | TB PENTOBARBITAL SODIUM | 10.0000MG | ORTEGA PHARM CO | 00191-0115 | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 300.0000MG | | | |
| | SALICYLAMIDE | 200.0000MG | | | |
| ORAPAIN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | FELLOWS-TESTAGAR | - | DISC |
| | ACETYLSALICYLIC ACID | 250.0000MG | | | |
| | ACETOPHENETIDIN | 125.0000MG | | | |
| ORPRINE | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG05ML | PENNWALT PRODUCTS | 00018-0978 | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 2.5000MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 0.4000ML05ML | | | |
| ORRASAL | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | MACESLIN & COMPANY | 00358-0033 | |
| | PHENACETIN | 200.0000MG | | | |
| | SALICYLAMIDE | 150.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 16.0000MG | | | |
| OXI-BARB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | SCRIP INC | 00444-3017 | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 1.0000MG | | | |
| | OXIDIZED MIXED BILE ACIDS | 240.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| OXOIDS | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 20.0000MG | LEMMON PHARMACAL CO | 00093-0103 | |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 8.0000MG | | | |
| | ERGOTAMINE TARTRATE | 0.3000MG | | | |
| OXSORBIL-PB | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | IVES LABS INC | 00082-4101 | DISC |
| | BELLADONNA POWDERED EXTRACT | 8.0000MG | | | |
| | DESOXYCHOLIC ACID | 30.0000MG | | | |
| | OLEIC ACID | 178.2000MG | | | |
| | DEHYDROCHOLIC ACID | 30.0000MG | | | |
| | OX BILE EXTRACT | 60.0000MG | | | |
| | POLYSORBATE 80 | 162.0000MG | | | |
| P AND A | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | SCRIP INC | 00444-0210 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2600MG | | | |
| P AND H NO2 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 32.0000MG | MOFFET INC | 00758-1043 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.5000MG | | | |
| P. C. RED | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | FOX DRUG CO | - | DISC |
| | SODIUM NITRITE | 60.0000MG | | | |
| P. F. NO. 1200 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | HALSOM DRUG COMPANY | 00729-0217 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2592MG | | | |
| P. F. NO. 1270 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 32.4000MG | HALSOM DRUG COMPANY | 00729-0207 | |
| | HYOSCYAMUS EXTRACT | 97.2000MG | | | |
| | CAMPHOR POWDERED | 16.2000MG | | | |
| | PASSIFLORA EXTRACT | 8.1000MG | | | |
| | VALERIAN EXTRACT | 8.1000MG | | | |
| P. F. NO. 2562 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | HALSON DRUG COMPANY | - | DISC |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0048MG | | | |
| | THIAMINE HCL | 1.0000MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.1803MG | | | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 0.0149MG | | | |
| P. H. PLUS | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | SCRIP INC | 00444-0162 | |
| | ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE GEL | 162.0000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.1300MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM TRISILICATE | 162.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| P.A.P. NO. 1 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | JENKINS LABS INC | 00397-2581 | |
| | ACETYLSALICYLIC ACID | 227.0000MG | | | |
| | ACETOPHENETIDIN | 162.0000MG | | | |
| P.E.T.N. W PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | WOLINS PHARM CORP | 00928-1207 | |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 20.0000MG | | | |
| P.E.T.N. W PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | WOLINS PHARM CORP | 00928-1206 | |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 10.0000MG | | | |
| P.E.T.N. 20 MG W PHENOBARBITAL 1/4 GR | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | UNITED RESEARCH LABS | 00677-0114 | |
| | PENTAERITHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 20.0000MG | | | |
| P.F. NO 1248 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | CENTRAL PHARMACAL CO | 00131-2224 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.1803MG | | | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 0.0149MG | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0048MG | | | |
| P.F. NO. 1127 | TB BUTABARBITAL | 15.0000MG | WINSTON PHARM INC | - | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0227MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1995MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0054MG | | | |
| P.F. 1040 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | HALSOM DRUG COMPANY | 00729-0159 | DISC |
| | MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 453.6000MG | | | |
| P.F.NO. 838 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | GRADY MEM HOSPITAL | - | |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 10.0000MG | | | |
| P.S.C. (PULVIS SIPPEE COMPOUND) | PW PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 200.0000MG30GM | KING PHARMACEUTICAL | 00285-1505 | |
| | BISMUTH SUBCARBONATE | 1.2000GM30GM | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM CARBONATE | 3.8000GM30GM | | | |
| | CALCIUM CARBONATE | 5.1000GM30GM | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM OXIDE | 10.3000GM30GM | | | |
| | BELLADONNA POWDERED EXTRACT | 0.1000GM30GM | | | |
| | SODIUM BICARBONATE | 9.3000GM30GM | | | |
| P.S.T. | CA BUTABARBITAL | 10.0000MG | BELL PHARM CORP | - | DISC |
| | MEPHENESIN | 195.0000MG | | | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 324.0000MG | | | |
| | ASCORBIC ACID | 20.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| P.S.T. | TB BUTABARBITAL | 10.0000MG | THERA PHARM CO INC | 00826-0003 | DISC |
| | ASCORBIC ACID | 20.0000MG | | | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 324.0000MG | | | |
| | MEPHENESIN | 195.0000MG | | | |
| P-30,627 S.C. | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | STANDARD PHARMACAL | - | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HYDROBROMIDE | 0.1803MG | | | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 0.0149MG | | | |
| | SOCOPOLAMINE HYDROBROMIDE | 0.0048MG | | | |
| | THIAMINE HYDROCHLORIDE | 1.0000MG | | | |
| P-30,853 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | STANDARD PHARMACAL | - | |
| | ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE | 260.0000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.1300MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM TRISILICATE | 260.0000MG | | | |
| PACABARB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | MODERN DRUG COMPANY | - | |
| | PHENACETIN | 130.0000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 260.0000MG | | | |
| PACABARB ORANGE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | BELL GEO N CHEMISTS | 00148-9182 | |
| | ASPIRIN | 259.2000MG | | | |
| | PHENACETIN | 129.6000MG | | | |
| PACALFEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | MODERN DRUG COMPANY | - | |
| | DICALCIUM PHOSPHATE | 130.0000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 325.0000MG | | | |
| PACAPINE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | MODERN DRUG COMPANY | - | |
| | SALICYLAMIDE | 250.0000MG | | | |
| | PHENACETIN | 130.0000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.1200MG | | | |
| PACAPINE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | MODERN DRUG COMPANY | - | |
| | SALICYLAMIDE | 259.2000MG | | | |
| | PHENACETIN | 129.6000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.1296MG | | | |
| PACAPS | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | PHARMED INC | 10892-7411 | |
| | PHENACETIN | 200.0000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 130.0000MG | | | |
| | SALICYLAMIDE | 64.8000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| PALBAR | EL BUTABARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | PALMEDICO INC | 00271-0701 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.1800MG05ML | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0050MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0120MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |
| PALBAR | TB BUTABARBITAL | 16.2000MG | PALMEDICO INC | 00271-0703 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0120MG | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0050MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.1800MG | | | |
| PALBAR | EL BUTABARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | PHARMACEUTICAL ASSOC | 00121-0001 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0120MG05ML | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0050MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 1.8600MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |
| PALBAR NO.2 | TB BUTABARBITAL | 32.4000MG | PALMEDICO INC | 00271-0705 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0120MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.1800MG | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0050MG | | | |
| PAMA | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | LINDEN LABORATORIES | 00413-2563 | DISC |
| | MAGNESIUM TRISILICATE | 260.0000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.1300MG | | | |
| | DRIED ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE GEL | 260.0000MG | | | |
| PAMC | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | PHARMECON INC | - | DISC |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2000MG | | | |
| | CALCIUM CARBONATE | 300.0000MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM HYDROXIDE | 300.0000MG | | | |
| PAMINAL | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG05ML | UPJOHN CO | - | |
| | METHSCOPOLAMINE BROMIDE | 1.2500MG05ML | | | |
| PAMINE PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | UPJOHN CO | 00009-0039 | |
| | METHSCOPOLAMINE BROMIDE | 2.5000MG | | | |
| PAMINE PB | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG05ML | UPJOHN CO | 00009-0517 | |
| | METHSCOPOLAMINE BROMIDE | 1.2500MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.0000ML05ML | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| PAMINE PB HALF-STRENGTH | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | UPJOHN CO | 00009-0043 | |
| | METHSCOPOLAMINE BROMIDE | 1.2500MG | | | |
| PANABARB | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | PAN AMERICAN LABS | 00525-0102 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.0000ML05ML | | | |
| PANABARB | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | PHARMACEUTICAL ASSOC | 00121-0274 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.0000ML05ML | | | |
| PANITOL | TB BUTALBITAL | 15.0000MG | WESLEY PHARMACAL CO | - | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 300.0000MG | | | |
| PANITOL | TB BUTALBITAL | 15.0000MG | WESLEY PHARMACAL CO | 00917-0817 | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 325.0000MG | | | |
| PANITOL | TB BUTALBITAL | 15.0000MG | WESLEY PHARMACAL CO | - | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 300.0000MG | | | |
| PANITOL-H.M.B. | TB BUTALBITAL | 15.0000MG | WESLEY PHARMACAL CO | 00917-0349 | DISC |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 300.0000MG | | | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 2.5000MG | | | |
| PANZYME | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | HYREX-KEY PHARM | 00314-0310 | |
| | PEPSIN | 150.0000MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0033MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.0519MG | | | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 0.2885MG | | | |
| | PANCREATIN | 300.0000MG | | | |
| PAP COMPOUND MODIFIED | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | DANBURY PHARMACAL | 00591-5399 | |
| | PHENACETIN | 194.0000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 162.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| PAPALOID | TB BUTABARBITAL | 8.0000MG | WESTERFIELD LABS | 00291-2235 | DISC |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 1.2500MG | | | |
| | PAPAIN | 125.0000MG | | | |
| | BETAINE HCL | 125.0000MG | | | |
| | MALT DIASTASE | 20.0000MG | | | |
| | FERROUS SULFATE | 30.0000MG | | | |
| PAPAVATRAL L A W PB | XC PHENOBARBITAL | 45.0000MG | KENWOOD LABS INC | 00482-0114 | |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 50.0000MG | | | |
| | ETHYLPAPAVERINE HCL | 30.0000MG | | | |
| PARATENSE (PINK) | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | ICN PHARMACEUTICALS | - | DISC |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE | 97.2000MG | | | |
| | ASCORBIC ACID | 25.0000MG | | | |
| PARCAPS | XC SECOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | ARCHWAY PHARM | - | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 1.2000MG | | | |
| | PHENOBARBITAL | 24.0000MG | | | |
| PASIBAR | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | JENKINS LABS INC | 00397-2105 | |
| | ASPIRIN | 225.0000MG | | | |
| | PHENACETIN | 150.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 15.0000MG | | | |
| PASPA | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | STUART PHARM (MICH) | - | DISC |
| | ACETYL SALICYLIC ACID | 325.0000MG | | | |
| | ACETOPHENETIDIN | 130.0000MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMUS P.E. | 8.1000MG | | | |
| PATHIBAMATE-200 | TB MEPROBAMATE | 200.0000MG | LEDERLE LABORATORIES | 00005-5070 | |
| | TRIDIHEXETHYL CHLORIDE | 25.0000MG | | | |
| PATHIBAMATE-400 | TB MEPROBAMATE | 400.0000MG | LEDERLE LABORATORIES | 00005-5071 | |
| | TRIDIHEXETHYL CHLORIDE | 25.0000MG | | | |
| PATHILON WITH PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | LEDERLE LABORATORIES | 00005-5085 | |
| | TRIDIHEXETHYL CHLORIDE | 25.0000MG | | | |
| PATHILON WITH PHENOBARBITAL SEQUELS | XC PHENOBARBITAL | 45.0000MG | LEDERLE LABORATORIES | 00005-5091 | |
| | TRIDIHEXETHYL CHLORIDE | 75.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| PB ASPIRIN PHENACETIN CAFFEINE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | INVENEX PHARM | 00467-1780 | DISC |
| | ACETOPHENETIDIN | 150.0000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 230.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE ANHYDROUS | 15.0000MG | | | |
| PB PASSIFLORA HYOSCYAMUS VALERIAN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | SCRIP INC | 00444-1714 | DISC |
| | VALERIAN | 65.0000MG | | | |
| | PASSIFLORA EXTRACT | 65.0000MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMUS EXTRACT | 32.0000MG | | | |
| PB PHE-BEL GREEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | LANPAR COMPANY | - | |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 16.2000MG | | | |
| PB PHE-BELL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | LANPAR COMPANY | - | |
| | BELLADONNA POWDER EXTRACT | 8.1000MG | | | |
| PB THEOPHYLLINE & EPHEDRINE HCL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | INVENEX PHARM | 00467-1478 | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 25.0000MG | | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 120.0000MG | | | |
| PB W ATROPINE SULFATE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | ZEMMER CO INC | - | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0600MG | | | |
| PB W ATROPINE SULFATE NO 2 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | ZEMMER CO INC | - | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.1200MG | | | |
| PEA | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | HALSOM DRUG COMPANY | 00729-0191 | |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE | 125.0000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 15.0000MG | | | |
| PEATON WITH PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | O'NEAL JONES FELDMAN | 00456-0354 | DISC |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 20.0000MG | | | |
| PECT-O-NEO (VETERINARY) | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 3.0000MG | BICO PHARMACEUTICAL | - | |
| | PHTHALYLSULFACETAMIDE | 200.0000MG | | | |
| | VITAMIN A | 5000.0000UN | | | |
| | KAOLIN | 400.0000MG | | | |
| | SULFAMERAZINE | 200.0000MG | | | |
| | PECTIN | 100.0000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE METHYLNITRATE | 0.5000MG | | | |
| | NEOMYCIN | 25.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| PECTAMIDE (VET) | SS PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG30ML | BUTLER W A CO | - | DISC |
| | PECTIN | 259.2000MG30ML | | | |
| | SULFAGUANADINE | 162.0000MG30ML | | | |
| | SULFACETAMIDE | 162.0000MG30ML | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.3000MG30ML | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE | 0.0210MG30ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0600MG30ML | | | |
| | KAOLIN | 6998.4000MG30ML | | | |
| PECTAMIDE WITH NEOMYCIN | SS PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG30ML | BUTLER W A CO | - | DISC |
| | KAOLIN | 6998.4000MG30ML | | | |
| | PECTIN | 259.2000MG30ML | | | |
| | SULFAGUANADINE | 162.0000MG30ML | | | |
| | SULFACETAMIDE | 162.0000MG30ML | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE | 0.0210MG30ML | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.3000MG30ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0600MG30ML | | | |
| | NEOMYCIN SULFATE | 97.2000MG30ML | | | |
| PECTOLIN (VET) | SS PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG30ML | EVSCO PHARM CORP | - | DISC |
| | SULFACETAMIDE | 0.1500GM30ML | | | |
| | KAOLIN | 6.4800GM30ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0600MG30ML | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE | 0.0210MG30ML | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.3000MG30ML | | | |
| | PECTIN | 0.2500GM30ML | | | |
| | SULFAGUANADINE | 0.1500GM30ML | | | |
| PECTOLIN WITH NEOMYCIN | SS PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG30ML | EVSCO PHARM CORP | - | DISC |
| | NEOMYCIN SULFATE | 67.0000MG30ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0600MG30ML | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0210MG30ML | | | |
| | KAOLIN | 6.4800GM30ML | | | |
| | PECTIN | 0.2500GM30ML | | | |
| PEDIATRIC PIPTAL ANTIPYRETIC | SL PHENOBARBITAL | 3.0000MG10MN | LAKESIDE LABS | - | |
| | PIPENZOLATE BROMIDE | 5.0000MG10MN | | | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 60.0000MG10MN | | | |
| PEDIATRIC PIPTAL W PB | SL PHENOBARBITAL | 3.0000MG08MN | LAKESIDE LABS | - | |
| | PIPENZOLATE BROMIDE | 2.0000MG08MN | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| PEECE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | SCRIP INC | 00444-0132 | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1040MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0195MG | | | |
| PEECE | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG05ML | SCRIP INC | 00444-0639 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1040MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0195MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |
| PEECE K&PS | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | SCRIP INC | 00444-0111 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1040MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0195MG | | | |
| PEECE-TD | XC PHENOBARBITAL | 50.0000MG | SCRIP INC | 00444-0157 | |
| | HYOSCYAMUS SULFATE | 0.0300MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0200MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0600MG | | | |
| PENNPHENO | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | ROBINSON LABORATORY | 00279-0498 | |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 10.0000MG | | | |
| PENNPHENO | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | ROBINSON LABORATORY | 00279-0796 | |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 20.0000MG | | | |
| PENTA-CAP PLUS | XC SECOBARBITAL | 50.0000MG | KENYON DRUG CO | - | |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 30.0000MG | | | |
| PENTA-HAL W PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | HALSOM DRUG COMPANY | 00729-0197 | |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 10.0000MG | | | |
| PENTA-HAL W PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | HALSOM DRUG COMPANY | 00729-0199 | DISC |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 20.0000MG | | | |
| PENTAERYTHRITOL & PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | SCHEIN HENRY INC | 00364-0186 | |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 10.0000MG | | | |
| PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | ALLIANCE LABS | 00243-7703 | |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 20.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | ALLIANCE LABS | - | |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 20.0000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 180.0000MG | | | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 150.0000MG | | | |
| PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | ALLIANCE LABS | 00243-7702 | |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 10.0000MG | | | |
| PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | AREND MILLER PHARM | 00567-1289 | DISC |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 20.0000MG | | | |
| PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | AREND MILLER PHARM | 00567-1290 | |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 10.0000MG | | | |
| PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | BARRE DRUG CO | - | |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 20.0000MG | | | |
| PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | BOLAR PHARM CO | 00725-1005 | |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 10.0000MG | | | |
| PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | BOLAR PHARM CO | 00725-1010 | |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 20.0000MG | | | |
| PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | BORNEMAN & SONS | 00696-2641 | |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 10.0000MG | | | |
| PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | BORNEMAN & SONS | 00696-2642 | |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 20.0000MG | | | |
| PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | BROWN SURG SUPPLY CO | - | DISC |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 10.0000MG | | | |
| PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | BROWN SURG SUPPLY CO | - | DISC |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 20.0000MG | | | |
| PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | COOPER DRUG CO | 00779-0750 | DISC |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 10.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 16.0000MG<br>20.0000MG | COOPER DRUG CO | 00779-0751 | DISC |
| PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 16.0000MG<br>20.0000MG | CORD LABS INC | 00117-1133 | DISC |
| PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 15.0000MG<br>10.0000MG | CORD LABS INC | 00117-1132 | DISC |
| PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 15.0000MG<br>10.0000MG | GENEVA GENERICS | 00781-1249 | DISC |
| PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 16.0000MG<br>20.0000MG | GENEVA GENERICS | 00781-1295 | DISC |
| PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 15.0000MG<br>20.0000MG | GOTHAM PHARM CO INC | 10483-0853 | |
| PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 15.0000MG<br>10.0000MG | GOTHAM PHARM CO INC | 10483-0852 | |
| PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 16.0000MG<br>20.0000MG | HARNEL PHARM COMPANY | 00712-0385 | |
| PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 15.0000MG<br>20.0000MG | ICN PHARMACEUTICALS | 00187-3150 | DISC |
| PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 15.0000MG<br>10.0000MG | ICN PHARMACEUTICALS | - | DISC |
| PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 15.0000MG<br>10.0000MG | MAST M M COMPANY | 00723-1345 | |
| PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 15.0000MG<br>20.0000MG | MILL-MARK PHARM INC | - | DISC |
| PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 15.0000MG<br>10.0000MG | MOORE DRUG EXCHANGE | 00839-1463 | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 15.0000MG<br>20.0000MG | MOORE DRUG EXCHANGE | - | DISC |
| PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>PENTAERYTHRITYL TETRANITRATE | 16.0000MG<br>10.0000MG | NOYES P J CO | - | |
| PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>PENTAERYTHRITYL TETRANITRATE | 16.0000MG<br>20.0000MG | NOYES P J CO | - | |
| PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 15.0000MG<br>10.0000MG | PARAMOUNT SURG SUPP | 00227-3736 | |
| PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 15.0000MG<br>20.0000MG | PARAMOUNT SURG SUPP | 00227-3737 | |
| PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 16.2000MG<br>20.0000MG | PARMED PHARM | 00349-2126 | |
| PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 16.2000MG<br>10.0000MG | PARMED PHARM | 00349-2125 | |
| PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 15.0000MG<br>20.0000MG | PHARMECON INC | - | |
| PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 15.0000MG<br>10.0000MG | PHARMECON INC | - | |
| PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 15.0000MG<br>10.0000MG | PHILIPS ROXANE LABS | 00054-4702 | DISC |
| PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 15.0000MG<br>20.0000MG | PHILIPS ROXANE LABS | 00054-4703 | DISC |
| PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 15.0000MG<br>20.0000MG | RUGBY LABORATORIES | 00536-4142 | DISC |
| PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 15.0000MG<br>10.0000MG | RUGBY LABORATORIES | 00536-4134 | DISC |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 15.0000MG<br>10.0000MG | SCRIP INC | 00444-9993 | |
| PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 15.0000MG<br>10.0000MG | SCRIP INC | 00444-0153 | |
| PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 16.2000MG<br>20.0000MG | SPENCER-MEAD INC | 00537-2260 | |
| PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 16.2000MG<br>10.0000MG | SPENCER-MEAD INC | 00537-2259 | |
| PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 15.0000MG<br>10.0000MG | STANDEX LABORATORIES | - | |
| PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 16.2000MG<br>20.0000MG | WEST-WARD INC | 00143-1442 | |
| PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 16.2000MG<br>10.0000MG | WEST-WARD INC | 00143-1440 | |
| PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 16.2000MG<br>10.0000MG | ZENITH LABS INC | 00172-3736 | |
| PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 16.2000MG<br>20.0000MG | ZENITH LABS INC | 00172-3737 | |
| PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE W SECO | XC SECOBARBITAL<br>PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 50.0000MG<br>30.0000MG | MOORE DRUG EXCHANGE | 00839-1469 | |
| PENTAFIN WITH PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 15.0000MG<br>10.0000MG | TUTAG PHARMACEUTICAL | 00124-1310 | DISC |
| PENTAPHEDRINE | LQ PENTOBARBITAL SODIUM<br>EPHEDRINE HCL<br>CALCIUM IODIDE<br>ALCOHOL | 30.0000MG30ML<br>30.0000MG30ML<br>500.0000MG30ML<br>1.2000ML30ML | DAVIS AND SLY INC | - | DISC |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| PENTET WITH PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 16.0000MG<br>10.0000MG | TRUXTON C O INC | 00463-6137 | |
| PENTIRIN | CA PENTOBARBITAL ACID<br>ASPIRIN | 15.0000MG<br>325.0000MG | FERNDALE LABS | - | DISC |
| PENTRALINE | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM<br>PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE<br>RESERPINE | 10.0000MG<br>10.0000MG<br>0.0500MG | MCNEIL LABS INC | - | DISC |
| PENTRAN NO.3 | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 15.0000MG<br>10.0000MG | HALSEY DRUG COMPANY | 00879-0053 | |
| PENTRAN NO.4 | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 15.0000MG<br>20.0000MG | HALSEY DRUG COMPANY | 00879-0054 | |
| PENTRATROL W PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>PENTAERYTHRITYL TETRANITRATE | 15.0000MG<br>10.0000MG | NORTH AMERICAN PHARM | - | |
| PENTRITOL TEMPULES WITH BUTABARBITAL | CA BUTABARBITAL<br>PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 50.0000MG<br>30.0000MG | VICTOR HERMELIN CO | - | DISC |
| PERBUZEM | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM<br>PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 15.0000MG<br>10.0000MG | ZEMMER CO INC | 00133-0377 | |
| PERIBAR L-A NO 1 | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>PENTAERYTHRITYL TETRANITRATE | 48.6000MG<br>30.0000MG | WHITTIER LABS | - | |
| PERIHAB 10 WITH PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 15.0000MG<br>10.0000MG | HABERLE DRUG COMPANY | 00841-0173 | |
| PERIHAB 20 WITH PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 15.0000MG<br>20.0000MG | HABERLE DRUG COMPANY | 00841-0174 | |
| PERITAB WITH PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 15.0000MG<br>10.0000MG | MEDCO SUPPLY CO | 00764-2205 | |
| PERITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>PENTAERYRITOL TETRANITRATE | 15.0000MG<br>20.0000MG | WARNER-CHILCOTT LABS | 00047-0003 | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| PERITRATE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | WARNER-CHILCOTT LABS | 00047-0002 | |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 10.0000MG | | | |
| PERITRATE W PHENOBARBITAL SA | XT PHENOBARBITAL | 45.0000MG | WARNER-CHILCOTT LABS | 00047-0005 | |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 80.0000MG | | | |
| PERITROL WITH PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | WESLEY PHARMACAL CO | 00917-0298 | |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 10.0000MG | | | |
| PERRITE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | DETROIT PHARMACAL CO | - | |
| | SODIUM NITRITE | 64.8000MG | | | |
| | VERATRUM VIRIDE | 50.0000MG | | | |
| PETN & PHENOBARBITAL YELLOW | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | SCHEIN HENRY INC | 00364-0187 | |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 20.0000MG | | | |
| PETN W/ PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | UNITED RESEARCH LABS | 00677-0112 | |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 10.0000MG | | | |
| PETN-PLUS | XC BUTABARBITAL | 50.0000MG | SARON PHARMACAL CORP | 00834-5056 | |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 30.0000MG | | | |
| PETN/AMOBARBITAL | XC AMOBARBITAL | 50.0000MG | RUGBY LABORATORIES | - | DISC |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 30.0000MG | | | |
| PF NO. CB 7-1 | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | LAFAYETTE PHARMACAL | - | DISC |
| | PHENACETIN | 100.0000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 150.0000MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMUS EXTRACT | 10.0000MG | | | |
| PF NO. 4 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | FELLOWS-TESTAGAR | - | |
| | ASPIRIN | 129.6000MG | | | |
| PHARMASED | XC PHENOBARBITAL | 50.0000MG | PHARMECON INC | - | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.3000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0600MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0200MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| PHARMASED | LQ PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | PHARMECON INC | - | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |
| PHARMASED | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | PHARMECON INC | 00783-0105 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| PHEDORINE | TB DIALLYLBARBITURIC ACID | 16.0000MG | BUFFINGTONS INC | - | |
| | STRAMONIUM EXTRACT | 8.0000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE | 8.0000MG | | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 100.0000MG | | | |
| PHEDRAL C.T. | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | NORTH AMERICAN PHARM | 00298-1173 | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 129.6000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE | 24.3000MG | | | |
| PHEDRILLIN | LQ PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 4.0000MG05ML | DORASOL LABS | 00471-0007 | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 12.0000MG05ML | | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 60.0000MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 0.0250ML05ML | | | |
| PHEN-EP-PHYL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | QUEEN CITY PHARMACAL | 00541-0422 | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 125.0000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 25.0000MG | | | |
| PHEN-O-BEL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 0.0150GM | COASTAL PHARM CO | 00491-0017 | |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 0.0080GM | | | |
| PHEN-O-BEL-T | XC PHENOBARBITAL | 60.0000MG | COASTAL PHARM CO | 00491-0019 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0600MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0200MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.3100MG | | | |
| PHEN-PAC | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | INDIANAPOLIS PHARM | - | |
| | ACETOPHENETIDIN | 150.0000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 227.5000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 15.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| PHENABEL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | O'NEAL JONES FELDMAN | 00456-0358 | DISC |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 8.1000MG | | | |
| PHENACETOPHEN | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | BORNEMAN & SONS | 00696-7002 | |
| | ASPIRIN | 150.0000MG | | | |
| | PHENACETIN | 200.0000MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 30.0000MC | | | |
| PHENACETOPHEN | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | PHARMECON INC | - | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.0300MG | | | |
| | PHENACETIN | 200.0000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 150.0000MG | | | |
| PHENACETOPHEN | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | RICHLYN LABORATORIES | 00115-1330 | |
| | ASPIRIN | 162.0000MG | | | |
| | PHENACETIN | 194.4000MG | | | |
| PHENACETOPHEN | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | WOLINS PHARM CORP | 00928-2081 | |
| | ASPIRIN | 150.0000MG | | | |
| | PHENACETIN | 180.0000MG | | | |
| PHENACETOPHEN CAPSULES | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | SCHEIN HENRY INC | 00364-0194 | |
| | PHENACETIN | 194.4000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 162.0000MG | | | |
| PHENALOIDS | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | DELAVAU J W S INC | 1^311-0058 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| PHENAMIDE | CA BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 10.8000MG | RUCKSTUHL COMPANY | 00144-1470 | |
| | MAGNESIUM SALICYLATE | 64.8000MG | | | |
| | PHYSOSTIGMINE SALICYLATE | 0.2500MG | | | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 0.6000MG | | | |
| | SALICYLAMIDE | 227.0000MG | | | |
| PHENAPHEN | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | ROBINS A H CO INC | 00031-6208 | |
| | PHENACETIN | 194.0000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 162.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| PHENAPHEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | ROBINS A H CO INC | 00031-6225 | |
| | PHENACETIN | 194.0000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 162.0000MG | | | |
| PHENAPHEN PLUS | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | ROBINS A H CO INC | 00031-6291 | |
| | PHENACETIN | 194.0000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 162.0000MG | | | |
| | PHENIRAMINE MALEATE | 12.5000MG | | | |
| | PHENYLEPHRINE HCL | 10.0000MG | | | |
| PHENAPINE NO.2 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | LIMA MEDICAL SUPPLY | - | DISC |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2000MG | | | |
| PHENATRAN | TB MEPHOBARBITAL | 10.0000MG | RUGBY LABORATORIES | - | DISC |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.2500MG | | | |
| | MEPHENESIN | 400.0000MG | | | |
| PHENATRIN YELLOW | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | BOWMAN PHARMACAL CO | - | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.3240MG | | | |
| PHENATROCARB | TB PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 15.0000MG | WESTERFIELD LABS | 00291-3265 | DISC |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2000MG | | | |
| | CALCIUM CARBONATE | 0.6000GM | | | |
| PHENATROHYOS | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | REED PHARMACAL CO | - | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| PHENATROL GREEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | ZEMMER CO INC | 00133-0369 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.1500MG | | | |
| PHENATROL NO.2 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | ZEMMER CO INC | 00133-0314 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.3000MG | | | |
| PHENATROL YELLOW | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | ZEMMER CO INC | 00133-0368 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.1500MG | | | |
| PHENATROPINE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | MALLARD INC | 00166-0338 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.3250MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| PHENCOID | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | HABERLE DRUG COMPANY | 00841-0304 | |
| | CAFFEINE | 16.0000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 225.0000MG | | | |
| | PHENACETIN | 160.0000MG | | | |
| PHENCOID LAVENDER | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | HABERLE DRUG COMPANY | 00841-0175 | |
| | ASPIRIN | 225.0000MG | | | |
| | PHENACETIN | 150.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 16.0000MG | | | |
| PHENO-BELL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | MEDICAL ARTS SUPPLY | 00366-0034 | DISC |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 10.0000MG | | | |
| PHENO-BELLA | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | FERNDALE LABS | 00496-0284 | |
| | BELLADONNA LEAF EXTRACT | 10.8000MG | | | |
| PHENO-BELLA | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | FERNDALE LABS | 00496-0532 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| PHENO-DONNA | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG05ML | MYRO PHARM INC | - | DISC |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| PHENO-DONNA NO. 1 | TB PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 32.4000MG | MYRO PHARM INC | 00873-0003 | |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 8.1000MG | | | |
| PHENO-DONNA NO.2 | TB PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 15.0000MG | MYRO PHARM INC | - | |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 10.0000MG | | | |
| PHENOBARB-THEOCALCIN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | KNOLL PHARMACEUTICAL | 00044-3620 | DISC |
| | THEOBROMINE CALCIUM SALICYLATE | 500.0000MG | | | |
| PHENOBARBITAL & ATROPINE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | AUBURN LABORATORIES | - | DISC |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.1300MG | | | |
| PHENOBARBITAL & ATROPINE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | BLUE LINE CHEM CO | - | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.1000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| PHENOBARBITAL & ATROPINE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | INVENEX PHARM | 00467-0307 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2160MG | | | |
| PHENOBARBITAL & ATROPINE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | MEYERS AND CO | - | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.1000MG | | | |
| PHENOBARBITAL & ATROPINE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | MOORE KIRK LABS | 00133-1810 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.1296MG | | | |
| PHENOBARBITAL & ATROPINE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | NOYES P J CO | 00159-1690 | DISC |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0600MG | | | |
| PHENOBARBITAL & ATROPINE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | PAINE DRUG CO | - | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.1000MG | | | |
| PHENOBARBITAL & ATROPINE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | SCRIP INC | 00444-1717 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.1300MG | | | |
| PHENOBARBITAL & ATROPINE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | SPENCER-MEAD INC | 00537-2037 | DISC |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2000MG | | | |
| PHENOBARBITAL & ATROPINE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | VALE CHEMICAL CO | 00377-0197 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2500MG | | | |
| PHENOBARBITAL & ATROPINE (WHITE) | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | HALSOM DRUG COMPANY | 00729-0218 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2000MG | | | |
| PHENOBARBITAL & ATROPINE NO 1 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | PITMAN-MOORE | - | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.1300MG | | | |
| PHENOBARBITAL & ATROPINE NO 2 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | PITMAN-MOORE | - | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.6500MG | | | |
| PHENOBARBITAL & ATROPINE NO 3 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | CENTRAL PHARMACAL CO | 00131-2196 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2000MG | | | |
| PHENOBARBITAL & ATROPINE NO1 | TB PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 8.0000MG | MCNEIL LABS INC | - | DISC |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0600MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| PHENOBARBITAL & ATROPINE NO1 | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>ATROPINE SULFATE | 16.0000MG<br>0.1300MG | NOYES P J CO | 00159-1700 | |
| PHENOBARBITAL & ATROPINE NO2 | TB PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM<br>ATROPINE SULFATE | 15.0000MG<br>0.1200MG | MCNEIL LABS INC | - | DISC |
| PHENOBARBITAL & ATROPINE NO2 | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>ATROPINE SULFATE | 16.0000MG<br>0.3200MG | NOYES P J CO | 00159-1710 | |
| PHENOBARBITAL & ATROPINE NO3 | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>ATROPINE SULFATE | 32.0000MG<br>0.2100MG | NOYES P J CO | 00159-1720 | DISC |
| PHENOBARBITAL & ATROPINE SULFATE | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>ATROPINE SULFATE | 16.2000MG<br>0.3240MG | BUFFINGTONS INC | - | |
| PHENOBARBITAL & BELLADONNA | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>BELLADONNA | 15.0000MG<br>10.0000MG | AMERICAN QUININE | 00517-0874 | DISC |
| PHENOBARBITAL & BELLADONNA | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 16.2000MG<br>10.8000MG | BELL GEO N CHEMISTS | 00148-9860 | |
| PHENOBARBITAL & BELLADONNA | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 16.2000MG<br>10.8600MG | BELL GEO N CHEMISTS | 00148-9863 | |
| PHENOBARBITAL & BELLADONNA | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>BELLADONNA P.E. | 16.2000MG<br>8.1000MG | CENTRAL PHARMACAL CO | 00131-2195 | |
| PHENOBARBITAL & BELLADONNA | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 15.0000MG<br>8.0000MG | CENTURY PHARM INC | 00436-0117 | |
| PHENOBARBITAL & BELLADONNA | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 15.0000MG<br>10.0000MG | CENTURY PHARM INC | 00436-0119 | |
| PHENOBARBITAL & BELLADONNA | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 15.0000MG<br>8.0000MG | HALSOM DRUG COMPANY | 00729-0585 | |
| PHENOBARBITAL & BELLADONNA | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 15.0000MG<br>8.1000MG | KASAR LABORATORIES | 00423-1674 | |
| PHENOBARBITAL & BELLADONNA | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 16.2000MG<br>8.1000MG | PAINE DRUG CO | - | |
| PHENOBARBITAL & BELLADONNA | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 32.0000MG<br>10.0000MG | SCRIP INC | 00444-1708 | |
| PHENOBARBITAL & BELLADONNA | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 32.0000MG<br>8.1000MG | STARK SURG SUPPLY CO | - | DISC |
| PHENOBARBITAL & BELLADONNA | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>BELLADONNA LEAVES | 15.0000MG<br>30.0000MG | VALE CHEMICAL CO | 00377-0198 | |
| PHENOBARBITAL & BELLADONNA | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 16.2000MG<br>10.8000MG | ZENITH LABS INC | 00172-3743 | |
| PHENOBARBITAL & BELLADONNA | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 16.2000MG<br>10.8000MG | ZENITH LABS INC | 00172-3743 | |
| PHENOBARBITAL & BELLADONNA #1 | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 30.0000MG<br>8.0000MG | IOWA UNIVERSITY | 11326-6312 | |
| PHENOBARBITAL & BELLADONNA EXTRACT | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 16.2000MG<br>8.1000MG | ZENITH LABS INC | 00172-3735 | |
| PHENOBARBITAL & BELLADONNA NO 2 | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 16.2000MG<br>8.1000MG | UPJOHN CO | 00009-0095 | |
| PHENOBARBITAL & BELLADONNA NO. 1 | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>BELLADONNA | 16.2000MG<br>8.0000MG | NOYES P J CO | 00159-1730 | |
| PHENOBARBITAL & BELLADONNA NO. 3 | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 15.0000MG<br>8.0000MG | LILLY ELI & CO | 00002-1070 | |
| PHENOBARBITAL & EPHEDRINE | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 15.0000MG<br>25.0000MG | HEALTHCO | 00728-0255 | |
| PHENOBARBITAL & HEXESTROL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>HEXESTROL | 15.0000MG<br>3.0000MG | SCRIP INC | 00444-1719 | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| PHENOBARBITAL & HYOSCYAMUS | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | MEDICAL ARTS SUPPLY | 00366-0061 | DISC |
| | HYOSCYAMUS EXTRACT | 15.0000MG | | | |
| PHENOBARBITAL & MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 7.5000MG | ELDER P B COMPANY | - | |
| | MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 15.0000MG | | | |
| | ASCORBIC ACID POWDER | 25.0000MG | | | |
| | RUTIN | 25.0000MG | | | |
| PHENOBARBITAL & MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | MEYER DRUG SURG SUP | - | |
| | MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 32.4000MG | | | |
| PHENOBARBITAL & PE BELLADONNA | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | MEDCO SUPPLY CO | 00764-2059 | |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 10.0000MG | | | |
| PHENOBARBITAL & SODIUM NITRITE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | NOYES P J CO | 00159-1750 | |
| | SODIUM NITRITE | 60.0000MG | | | |
| PHENOBARBITAL COMPOUND TD | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 50.0000MG | INDIANAPOLIS PHARM | - | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.3110MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0190MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0580MG | | | |
| PHENOBARBITAL-ATROPINE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | FOX DRUG CO | - | DISC |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.1200MG | | | |
| PHENOBARBITAL-ATROPINE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | SCRIP INC | 00444-3020 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.3000MG | | | |
| PHENOBARBITAL-ATROPINE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | STANDARD PHARMACAL | 11064-1552 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.1300MG | | | |
| PHENOBARBITAL-ATROPINE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 30.0000MG | WESTERFIELD LABS | 00291-3300 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.3000MG | | | |
| PHENOBARBITAL-ATROPINE (GREEN) | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | STANDARD PHARMACAL | 11064-1522 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.1300MG | | | |
| PHENOBARBITAL-ATROPINE NO 2 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 30.0000MG | CENTRAL PHARMACAL CO | 00131-2197 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.3000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| PHENOBARBITAL-ATROPINE NO3 | TB PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 20.0000MG | MCNEIL LABS INC | - | DISC |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2000MG | | | |
| PHENOBARBITAL-ATROPINE-PINK | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | CHANDLER PHARMACAL | 00434-0006 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.1200MG | | | |
| PHENOBARBITAL-BELLADONNA | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | WESTERFIELD LABS | 00291-3305 | DISC |
| | BELLADONNA ALKALOIDS | 0.0600MG | | | |
| PHENOBEL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | HEALTHCO | 00728-0258 | |
| | BELLADONNA POWDERED EXTRACT | 10.0000MG | | | |
| PHENOBELLADONNA | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | MEDICAL SUPPLY CO | - | |
| | BELLADONNA ROOT | 32.0000MG | | | |
| PHENODONNA | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | FLINT MED SURG SUP | - | |
| | BELLADONNA TINCTURE | 6.0000MN | | | |
| PHENODONNA | TB PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 65.0000MG | MYRO PHARM INC | - | DISC |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 8.1000MG | | | |
| PHENODROX | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | NORTH AMERICAN PHARM | - | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.1000MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM TRISILICATE | 259.2000MG | | | |
| | ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE GEL DRIED | 259.2000MG | | | |
| PHENOLOIDS | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | BORNEMAN & SONS | - | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| PHENOPHED | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | WOLINS PHARM CORP | 00928-1517 | |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 24.0000MG | | | |
| PHENOSPAS | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | PHARMACEUTICAL ASSOC | 00121-0118 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 0.9000ML05ML | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| PHENOTHIATAL | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG05ML | PHILLIPS LABS | - | DISC |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | THIAMINE HCL | 5.0000MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| PHENOTROPINE N02 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | MOFFET INC | 00758-1047 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2000MG | | | |
| PHENREX | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | RUCKSTUHL COMPANY | 00144-1460 | |
| | ASPIRIN | 162.0000MG | | | |
| | PHENACETIN | 194.4000MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.0310MG | | | |
| PHENSATE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | ICN PHARMACEUTICALS | - | DISC |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2592MG | | | |
| PHENTAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | GENEVA DRUGS | - | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1040MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0195MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| PHENTRINE | WA PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | VALE CHEMICAL CO | 00377-0201 | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 0.5000MG | | | |
| PHENTROPIN N01 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | BOWMAN PHARMACAL CO | 00252-3360 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2600MG | | | |
| PHENYLIN | CA BUTABARBITAL | 15.0000MG | MALLARD INC | 00166-0623 | |
| | CHLORPHENIRAMINE MALEATE | 2.0000MG | | | |
| | PHENYLPROPANOLAMINE HCL | 25.0000MG | | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE ANHYDROUS | 0.000099 | | | |
| PHRENILIN | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 15.0000MG | CARNRICK LABS | 00086-0042 | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 325.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 40.0000MG | | | |
| PHYLDROX | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | LEMMON PHARMACAL CO | 00093-0296 | |
| | DYPHYLLINE | 100.0000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 25.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| PHYLOXATOL | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 16.2000MG | BOWMAN PHARMACAL CO | 00252-0772 | |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE | 100.0000MG | | | |
| | ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE | 100.0000MG | | | |
| PIPTAL PHB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | LAKESIDE LABS | - | |
| | PIPENZOLATE BROMIDE | 5.0000MG | | | |
| PIPTAL PHB | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG05ML | LAKESIDE LABS | - | |
| | PIPENZOLATE BROMIDE | 5.0000MG05ML | | | |
| PMB-200 | TB MEPROBAMATE | 200.0000MG | AYERST LABORATORIES | 00046-0880 | |
| | ESTROGENS CONJUGATED | 0.4000MG | | | |
| PMB-400 | TB MEPROBAMATE | 400.0000MG | AYERST LABORATORIES | 00046-0881 | |
| | ESTROGENS CONJUGATED | 0.4000MG | | | |
| PRANTAL W PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | SCHERING CORP | - | |
| | DIPHEMANIL METHYLSULFATE | 100.0000MG | | | |
| PREMARIN WITH PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 32.4000MG | AYERST LABORATORIES | 00046-0877 | |
| | ESTROGENS CONJUGATED | 0.6250MG | | | |
| PRESCRIPTION NO 3 | LQ PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 33.0000MG04ML | NEW ENGLAND DEACONES | - | DISC |
| | BELLADONNA TINCTURE | 0.3300ML04ML | | | |
| PRIVATE FORMULA # 297 | CA PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 8.0000MG | FELLOWS-TESTAGAR | - | DISC |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 12.0000MG | | | |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE | 65.0000MG | | | |
| PRIVATE FORMULA C.T. WHITE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | BOWMAN PHARMACAL CO | 00252-1615 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2160MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM TRISILICATE | 484.5000MG | | | |
| PRIVATE FORMULA C.T. WHITE | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 16.2000MG | BOWMAN PHARMACAL CO | 00252-3083 | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 324.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 32.4000MG | | | |
| PRIVATE FORMULA C.T. WHITE | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 16.2000MG | COASTAL PHARM CO | - | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 324.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 32.4000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| PRIVATE FORMULA NO T-1483 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | BOWMAN PHARMACAL CO | - | |
| | MAGNESIUM HYDROXIDE | 324.0000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.1200MG | | | |
| PRIVATE FORMULA NO T-1598 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | BOWMAN PHARMACAL CO | - | |
| | ASPIRIN | 324.0000MG | | | |
| PRIVATE FORMULA NO. 33 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 32.4000MG | BELL PHARM CORP | - | DISC |
| | PAPAVERINE HCL | 32.4000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2160MG | | | |
| PRIVATE FORMULA NO.P-30452 | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 10.0000MG | STANDARD PHARMACAL | - | DISC |
| | MEPHENESIN | 200.0000MG | | | |
| | SALICYLAMIDE | 325.0000MG | | | |
| PRIVATE FORMULA NO.P30604 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | STANDARD PHARMACAL | - | DISC |
| | CERIUM OXALATE | 30.0000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.1200MG | | | |
| | CALCIUM CARBONATE | 225.0000MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM CARBONATE | 150.0000MG | | | |
| PRIVATE FORMULA P-31072 | CA PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 30.0000MG | STANDARD PHARMACAL | - | DISC |
| | BISMUTH SUBNITRATE | 60.0000MG | | | |
| | RHUBARB POWDER | 120.0000MG | | | |
| | PHENOLPHTHALEIN | 60.0000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.3000MG | | | |
| PRIVATE FORMULA P-31130 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | STANDARD PHARMACAL | 11064-1130 | |
| | HYOSCYAMUS EXTRACT | 8.1000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 259.0000MG | | | |
| | CALCIUM GLUCONATE | 129.6000MG | | | |
| PRIVATE FORMULA T-1528 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 21.6000MG | BOWMAN PHARMACAL CO | 00252-1528 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.3250MG | | | |
| PRO-BANTHINE W PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | SEARLE G D & CO | 00014-0631 | |
| | PROPANTHELINE BROMIDE | 15.0000MG | | | |
| PROBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | SEARLE G D & CO | 00014-0661 | |
| | PROPANTHELINE BROMIDE | 7.5000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| PRODYNE | TB PENTOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | PRODENT PHARM | - | DISC |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 325.0000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| PROPANTHELINE & PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | SCHEIN HENRY INC | 00364-0305 | |
| | PROPANTHELINE BROMIDE | 15.0000MG | | | |
| PROPANTHELINE BROMIDE & PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | BOLAR PHARM CO | 00725-1012 | |
| | PROPANTHELINE BROMIDE | 15.0000MG | | | |
| PROPANTHELINE BROMIDE & PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | COLUMBIA MEDICAL CO | 00359-0325 | |
| | PROPANTHELINE BROMIDE | 15.0000MG | | | |
| PROPANTHELINE BROMIDE & PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | DANBURY PHARMACAL | 00591-5301 | |
| | PROPANTHELINE BROMIDE | 15.0000MG | | | |
| PROPANTHELINE BROMIDE & PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | SPENCER-MEAD INC | 00537-2273 | |
| | PROPANTHELINE BR | 15.0000MG | | | |
| PROPANTHELINE BROMIDE & PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | TRACY PHARMACAL CO | 00257-0303 | |
| | PROPANTHELINE BROMIDE | 15.0000MG | | | |
| PROPANTHELINE BROMIDE & PHENO CREAM | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | RICHLYN LABS | 00115-4309 | |
| | PROPANTHELINE BROMIDE | 15.0000MG | | | |
| PROPANTHELINE-PB | ET PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | WOLINS PHARM CORP | 00928-1275 | |
| | PROPANTHELINE BROMIDE | 15.0000MG | | | |
| PROPENITE | TB PENTOBARBITAL SODIUM | 12.0000MG | ZEMMER CO INC | 00133-0310 | |
| | SODIUM NITRITE | 60.0000MG | | | |
| PROTENOL | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 6.0000MG/15ML | DORASOL LABS | 00471-0009 | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 45.0000MG/15ML | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 12.0000MG/15ML | | | |
| | POTASSIUM IODIDE | 150.0000MG/15ML | | | |
| | ISOPROTERENOL HCL | 2.5000MG/15ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 2.8500ML/15ML | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| PROV-U-SEP FORTE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | REID-PROVIDENT LABS | 00063-1091 | |
| | METHENAMINE MANDELATE | 500.0000MG | | | |
| | PHENAZOPYRIDINE HCL | 50.0000MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.0519MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0097MG | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0033MG | | | |
| PRYDONNAL SPANSULE | XC PHENOBARBITAL | 55.0000MG | SMITH KLINE FRENCH | 00007-4536 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.3050MG | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0350MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0600MG | | | |
| PYLORA NO.1 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | HART LABORATORIES | 00108-3321 | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0054MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1995MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0227MG | | | |
| PYLORA NO.2 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 32.0000MG | HART LABORATORIES | 00108-3322 | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0054MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1995MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0227MG | | | |
| PYROSED | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | MALLARD INC | 00166-0350 | |
| | ASPIRIN | 230.0000MG | | | |
| | PHENACETIN | 100.0000MG | | | |
| QC-4 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | BELL GEO N CHEMISTS | 00148-3212 | |
| | BELLADONNA ALKALOIDS | 0.0972MG | | | |
| QC-67 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | BELL GEO N CHEMISTS | 00148-3202 | |
| | COLLOIDAL KAOLIN | 486.0000MG | | | |
| | BELLADONNA LEAVES | 16.2000MG | | | |
| QUADRINAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 24.0000MG | KNOLL PHARMACEUTICAL | 00044-4520 | |
| | POTASSIUM IODIDE | 320.0000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 24.0000MG | | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE CALCIUM SALICYLAT | 130.0000MG | | | |
| QUADRINAL | SS PHENOBARBITAL | 12.0000MG05ML | KNOLL PHARMACEUTICAL | 00044-4580 | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 12.0000MG05ML | | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE CALCIUM SALICYLAT | 65.0000MG05ML | | | |
| | POTASSIUM IODIDE | 160.0000MG05ML | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| QUEENAFEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | QUEEN CITY PHARMACAL | 00541-0423 | |
| | PHENACETIN | 125.0000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 250.0000MG | | | |
| QUIBRON PLUS | CA BUTABARBITAL | 20.0000MG | MEAD JOHNSON LABS | 00087-0518 | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 150.0000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 25.0000MG | | | |
| | GLYCERYL GUAIACOLATE | 100.0000MG | | | |
| QUIBRON-PLUS | EL BUTABARBITAL | 20.0000MG15ML | MEAD JOHNSON LABS | 00087-0511 | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 150.0000MG15ML | | | |
| | GLYCERYL GUAIACOLATE | 100.0000MG15ML | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 25.0000MG15ML | | | |
| QUIEBAR A C | CA BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 24.0000MG | NEVIN CO INC | - | DISC |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 324.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 40.0000MG | | | |
| QUIEBAR AC | CA BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 24.0000MG | ZEMMER CO INC | 00133-0680 | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 324.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 40.0000MG | | | |
| QUIEBEL | EL BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 15.0000MG05ML | ZEMMER CO INC | - | |
| | BELLADONNA ALKALOIDS | 0.1875MG05ML | | | |
| | GLYCERINE | 0.5000ML05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 0.3500ML05ML | | | |
| QUIEBEL | CA BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 15.0000MG | ZEMMER CO INC | - | |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 15.0000MG | | | |
| QUIETENS | CA BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 24.0000MG | NEVIN CO INC | - | DISC |
| | CAFFEINE | 40.0000MG | | | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 200.0000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 120.0000MG | | | |
| QUINIDINE-MB | TB MEPHOBARBITAL | 32.0000MG | ROWELL LABS INC | 00032-4004 | |
| | QUINIDINE SULFATE | 192.0000MG | | | |
| QUINITAL | CA PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 16.0000MG | BELL PHARM CORP | - | DISC |
| | QUINIDINE SULFATE | 200.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| QUINTRATE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | ELDER P B COMPANY | - | |
| | PENTAERYTHRITYL TETRANITRATE | 20.0000MG | | | |
| | NITROGLYCERIN | 0.4000MG | | | |
| QUINTRATE W PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | ELDER P B COMPANY | - | |
| | PENTAERYTHRITYL | 10.0000MG | | | |
| QUINTRATE W PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | ELDER P B COMPANY | - | |
| | PENTAERYTHRITYL TETRANITRATE | 20.0000MG | | | |
| R AND S ATROBEL | LQ PHENOBARBITAL | 17.0000MG05ML | R AND S PHARM PROD | - | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |
| R-M-P | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 30.0000MG | STANDARD PHARMACAL | - | DISC |
| | MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 15.0000MG | | | |
| | RUTIN | 20.0000MG | | | |
| RATIONALE | CA PENTOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | FORT DAVID LABS | - | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 300.0000MG | | | |
| REABELA | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | REACO PRODUCTS INC | - | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| RELAXADON | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | GENEVA GENERICS | 00781-1105 | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1040MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0195MG | | | |
| REPAN | TB BUTALBITAL | 50.0000MG | EVERETT LABS INC | 00642-0062 | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 300.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 40.0000MG | | | |
| | PHENACETIN | 130.0000MG | | | |
| RESPIROL | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | MALLARD INC | 00166-0464 | |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE | 100.0000MG | | | |
| | RACEPHEDRINE HCL | 24.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| REX-HY-TEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | RUCKSTUHL COMPANY | 00144-1555 | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 15.0000MG | | | |
| | MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 15.0000MG | | | |
| | VERATRUM VIRIDE | 60.0000MG | | | |
| RINOFEDS | CA PENTOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | BROEMMEL PHARM | 00387-0086 | DISC |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.1500MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 8.0000MG | | | |
| RINOHIST | CA PENTOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | BROEMMEL PHARM | 00387-0101 | DISC |
| | PYRILAMINE MALEATE | 25.0000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.1500MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 8.0000MG | | | |
| RINOTAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | WINSTON PHARM INC | 00427-T200 | |
| | ACETYLSALICYLIC ACID | 324.0000MG | | | |
| RITH-A-TAL | TB MEPHOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | WALKER CORP & CO INC | 00741-0015 | |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 10.0000MG | | | |
| | PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | | | |
| RITH-A-20 | TB MEPHOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | WALKER CORP & CO INC | 00741-0016 | |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 20.0000MG | | | |
| ROAMPHED | CA AMOBARBITAL SODIUM | 24.0000MG | ROBINSON LABORATORY | 00279-0247 | |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE | 130.0000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 24.0000MG | | | |
| ROBELLA | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | ROCKY MT PHARMACAL | - | DISC |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| ROBELLA | XT PHENOBARBITAL | 50.0000MG | ROCKY MT PHARMACAL | - | DISC |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0195MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0582MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.3111MG | | | |
| ROBINUL PH | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | ROBINS A H CO INC | 00031-7874 | |
| | GLYCOPYRROLATE | 1.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ROBINUL PH FORTE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | ROBINS A H CO INC | 00031-7880 | |
| | GLYCOPYRROLATE | 2.0000MG | | | |
| ROPHENLIN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | ROBINSON LABORATORY | 00279-3678 | DISC |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE | 130.0000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 15.0000MG | | | |
| RU-LOR | TB BUTALBITAL | 32.4000MG | RUCKER PHARMACAL CO | 00524-0049 | |
| | ASPIRIN | 550.8000MG | | | |
| | SALICYLSALICYLIC ACID | 97.2000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 43.2000MG | | | |
| RU-SPAS | TB SECOBARBITAL | 10.0000MG | RUCKER PHARMACAL CO | 00524-0055 | |
| | BUTABARBITAL | 8.0000MG | | | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 5.0000MG | | | |
| RUHEXATAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | LEMMON PHARMACAL CO | 00093-0247 | |
| | MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 30.0000MG | | | |
| | ASCORBIC ACID | 10.0000MG | | | |
| RUTOL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | PITMAN-MOORE | - | |
| | MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 16.0000MG | | | |
| | RUTIN | 10.0000MG | | | |
| RUVEROL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | MALLARD INC | 00166-0529 | DISC |
| | VERATRUM VIRIDE ALKALOIDS | 1.3300MG | | | |
| | MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 16.0000MG | | | |
| RUVEROL T 529 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | STANDARD PHARMACAL | - | DISC |
| | VERATRUM VIRIDE ALKALOIDS | 1.3300MG | | | |
| | MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 16.2000MG | | | |
| RX # 1138 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | PRIVATE FORMULA INC | 00914-0448 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0648MG | | | |
| | KAOLIN | 486.0000MG | | | |
| RX # 1201 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 32.0000MG | PRIVATE FORMULA INC | 00914-0290 | |
| | CHARCOAL | 50.0000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.6500MG | | | |
| | PAPAIN | 32.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| RX # 2646 BENOMINE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | PRIVATE FORMULA INC | 00144-1251 | |
| | DICYCLOMINE HCL | 20.0000MG | | | |
| RX # 337 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | PRIVATE FORMULA INC | 00914-0325 | |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 8.1000MG | | | |
| RX # 360 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | PRIVATE FORMULA INC | 00914-0031 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0195MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1040MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| RX #1229 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | PRIVATE FORMULA INC | 00434-0003 | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 2.5000MG | | | |
| RX #1344 WHITE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | PRIVATE FORMULA INC | 00434-0001 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.1296MG | | | |
| | ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE GEL | 129.6000MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM TRISILICATE | 259.2000MG | | | |
| RX #2223 GREEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | PRIVATE FORMULA INC | 00914-0443 | |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE | 100.0000MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMUS EXTRACT | 16.0000MG | | | |
| RX #2281 GREEN & CLEAR | CA BUTABARBITAL | 16.2000MG | PRIVATE FORMULA INC | 00914-0120 | |
| | ASPIRIN | 324.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE ALKALOID | 43.2000MG | | | |
| RX #2290Y YELLOW | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | PRIVATE FORMULA INC | 00914-0122 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2160MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM HYDROXIDE | 324.0000MG | | | |
| | CALCIUM CARBONATE | 324.0000MG | | | |
| RX #2392 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | PRIVATE FORMULA INC | 00914-0284 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.1296MG | | | |
| | ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE GEL | 129.6000MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM TRISILICATE | 259.2000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| RX #2393 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | PRIVATE FORMULA INC | 00914-0281 |
| | CALCIUM CARBONATE | 129.6000MG | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0648MG | | |
| | CERIUM OXALATE | 129.6000MG | | |
| | BISMUTH SUBNITRATE | 64.8000MG | | |
| | BENZOCAINE | 10.8000MG | | |
| | SACCHARIN SOL. | 0.6480MG | | |
| RX #2394R PINK | CA DIALLYLBARBITURIC ACID | 20.0000MG | PRIVATE FORMULA INC | 00914-1393 |
| | ASPIRIN | 300.0000MG | | |
| | ERGOTAMINE TARTRATE | 1.0000MG | | |
| | CAFFEINE CITRATE | 60.0000MG | | |
| RX #2400 PINK | TB PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 20.0000MG | PRIVATE FORMULA INC | 00914-0273 |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2000MG | | |
| RX #2433 PINK | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | PRIVATE FORMULA INC | 00914-1330 |
| | DIPHENYLHYDANTOIN SODIUM | 97.2000MG | | |
| RX #2441 SPECIAL FORMULA 34 ASTHMATIC | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | PRIVATE FORMULA INC | 00144-1610 |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 129.6000MG | | |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 32.4000MG | | |
| RX #2471 YELLOW | TB PENTOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | PRIVATE FORMULA INC | 00914-0441 |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 0.8100MG | | |
| | SALICYLAMIDE | 324.0000MG | | |
| RX #2525 SPECIAL FORMULA #83 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 4.0500MG | PRIVATE FORMULA INC | 00144-1805 |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 2.7000MG | | |
| | BENZOCAINE | 4.0500MG | | |
| | CALCIUM CARBONATE | 388.8000MG | | |
| | MAGNESIUM TRISILICATE | 243.0000MG | | |
| | ALUMINIUM HYDROXIDE DR. GEL | 162.0000MG | | |
| | CHLOROPHYLL EXTRACT | 1.0000MG | | |
| RX #2539 | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | PRIVATE FORMULA INC | 00914-0061 |
| | PAPAVERINE HCL | 97.2000MG | | |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE HYDROUS | 129.6000MG | | |
| | NICOTINIC ACID | 50.0000MG | | |
| RX #2542 PINK | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | PRIVATE FORMULA INC | 00914-0164 |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.3240MG | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| RX #2567 ORANGE & WHITE | CA BUTABARBITAL | 25.0000MG | PRIVATE FORMULA INC | 00914-0043 |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 125.0000MG | | |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 25.0000MG | | |
| | GLYCERYL GUAIACOLATE | 100.0000MG | | |
| RX #2687 ACETAREX | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | PRIVATE FORMULA INC | 00144-1085 |
| | PHENACETIN | 150.0000MG | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 210.0000MG | | |
| | CAFFEINE ANHYDROUS | 15.0000MG | | |
| RX #2700 BENOMINE W PB | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | PRIVATE FORMULA INC | 00144-1248 |
| | DICYCLOMINE HCL | 10.0000MG | | |
| RX #2736 PINK | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | PRIVATE FORMULA INC | 00914-1250 |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.1296MG | | |
| | MAGNESIUM TRISILICATE | 259.2000MG | | |
| | ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE GEL | 259.2000MG | | |
| RX #2784 YELLOW | CA DIALLYLBARBITURIC ACID | 15.0000MG | PRIVATE FORMULA INC | 00914-1331 |
| | ASPIRIN | 250.0000MG | | |
| | PHENACETIN | 50.0000MG | | |
| | CAFFEINE ANHYDROUS | 30.0000MG | | |
| RX #2805 GREEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | PRIVATE FORMULA INC | 00914-0437 |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.0518MG | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0097MG | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0033MG | | |
| | PANCREATIN NF | 300.0000MG | | |
| | PEPSIN | 150.0000MG | | |
| | BILE SALTS | 150.0000MG | | |
| RX #2823 YELLOW | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | PRIVATE FORMULA INC | 00914-0399 |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2592MG | | |
| RX #2824 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | PRIVATE FORMULA INC | 00914-0400 |
| | ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE DR. GEL | 324.0000MG | | |
| | BELLADONNA PO. EXT. | 8.1000MG | | |
| RX #2826 HYREX | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | PRIVATE FORMULA INC | 00144-1395 |
| | SODIUM NITRITE | 65.0000MG | | |
| | VERATRUM VIRIDE | 40.0000MG | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| RX #2859 REX HY-TEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | PRIVATE FORMULA INC | 00144-1555 | |
| | MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 16.2000MG | | | |
| | VERATRUM VIRIDE | 64.8000MG | | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 16.2000MG | | | |
| RX #2863 ATROPHEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | PRIVATE FORMULA INC | 00144-1227 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2592MG | | | |
| RX #2863 PHENREX | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | PRIVATE FORMULA INC | 00144-1460 | |
| | ASPIRIN | 162.0000MG | | | |
| | PHENACETIN | 194.0000MG | | | |
| RX #4104 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | ZEMMER CO INC | - | |
| | CALCIUM CARBONATE | 486.0000MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM OXIDE | 259.2000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2160MG | | | |
| RX #4105 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | ZEMMER CO INC | - | |
| | CALCIUM CARBONATE | 648.0000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2160MG | | | |
| RX #4108 | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | ZEMMER CO INC | - | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2160MG | | | |
| | CALCIUM CARBONATE | 421.2000MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM OXIDE HEAVY | 129.6000MG | | | |
| RX #4123 | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | ZEMMER CO INC | - | |
| | BISMUTH SUBGALLATE | 324.0000MG | | | |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 8.1000MG | | | |
| RX #4126 | CA PENTOBARBITAL SODIUM | 15.0000MG | ZEMMER CO INC | - | |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 10.0000MG | | | |
| RX #4143 | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | ZEMMER CO INC | - | |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE | 97.2000MG | | | |
| | POTASSIUM IODIDE | 64.8000MG | | | |
| RX #4152 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | ZEMMER CO INC | - | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.3240MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| RX #4155 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | ZEMMER CO INC | - | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0648MG | | | |
| | ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE GEL | 243.0000MG | | | |
| | KAOLIN | 243.0000MG | | | |
| RX #4170 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 32.4000MG | ZEMMER CO INC | - | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.3240MG | | | |
| | CALCIUM CARBONATE | 648.0000MG | | | |
| RX #4184 | CA BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 15.0000MG | ZEMMER CO INC | - | |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 15.0000MG | | | |
| RX NO 1248 S C YELLOW | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | HALSOM DRUG COMPANY | 00729-0209 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.1803MG | | | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 0.0149MG | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0048MG | | | |
| | THIAMINE HCL | 1.0000MG | | | |
| RX NO. 4024 PINK | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | BLUE LINE CHEM CO | - | |
| | ASPIRIN | 324.0000MG | | | |
| RX NO.2560 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | HALSOM DRUG COMPANY | 00729-0633 | |
| | MAGNESIUM TRISILICATE | 500.0000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2000MG | | | |
| S C GREY | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | ICN PHARMACEUTICALS | - | DISC |
| | SODIUM NITRITE | 65.0000MG | | | |
| S.P. ULBID (IMPROVED) | XT PHENOBARBITAL | 50.0000MG | SHERYL PHARM INC | 11879-5167 | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 30.0000MG | | | |
| S-M-S | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | HYREX-KEY PHARM | 00314-0300 | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 0.5670MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| S-SPAS | LQ PENTOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | SOUTHERN STATES PHAR | - | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 0.7500ML05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| S-SPAS | TB PENTOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | SOUTHERN STATES PHAR | - | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| SA-P AND B 1 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | MODERN DRUG COMPANY | - | |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 10.0000MG | | | |
| SABANAL | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 30.0000MG | BENARD DRUG CO | - | DISC |
| | SALICYLAMIDE | 300.0000MG | | | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 150.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE ALKALOID | 30.0000MG | | | |
| SALAGESIC | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 10.0000MG | LYNWOOD PHARMACAL CO | 00533-0050 | |
| | SALICYLAMIDE | 200.0000MG | | | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 200.0000MG | | | |
| SALIBAR JR | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | JENKINS LABS INC | 00397-4884 | |
| | ACETYLSALICYLIC ACID | 130.0000MG | | | |
| SALISIL W PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | ELDER P B COMPANY | - | |
| | ACETYLSALICYLIC ACID | 324.0000MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM TRISILICATE | 129.6000MG | | | |
| SALSADINE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | MACESLIN & COMPANY | 00358-0044 | |
| | PHENACETIN | 150.0000MG | | | |
| | SALICYLAMIDE | 200.0000MG | | | |
| SALYTAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | RADEBAUGH FETZER CO | - | DISC |
| | ASPIRIN | 300.0000MG | | | |
| | PHENACETIN | 125.0000MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMUS EXTRACT | 8.0000MG | | | |
| SANGESIC | TB ISOBUTYLALLYLBARBITURIC ACID | 30.0000MG | PRIVATE FORMULA INC | 00511-1627 | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 200.0000MG | | | |
| | SALICYLAMIDE | 200.0000MG | | | |
| SCODONNAR | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | VITARINE CO INC | 00185-4317 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| SCODONNAR | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | VITARINE CO INC | 00185-3235 | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | * ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |
| SCOLATE | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 15.0000MG | CENTRAL PHARMACAL CO | 00131-2222 | |
| | ATROPINE METHYLNITRATE | 1.0000MG | | | |
| | METHSCOPOLAMINE NITRATE | 1.0000MG | | | |
| SCOLINE-A | TB AMOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | WESTERFIELD LABS | 00291-3351 | |
| | METHSCOPOLAMINE BROMIDE | 1.5000MG | | | |
| | METHSCOPOLAMINE NITRATE | 1.5000MG | | | |
| SCOLINE-AMOBARBITAL | TB AMOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | WESTERFIELD LABS | 00291-3350 | DISC |
| | METHSCOPOLAMINE NITRATE | 1.5000MG | | | |
| | METHSCOPOLAMINE BROMIDE | 1.5000MG | | | |
| SEATRA-GESIC | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | SEATRACE CO INC | 00551-0016 | |
| | ASPIRIN | 300.0000MG | | | |
| SEBELLA | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | WYETH LABORATORIES | 00008-0151 | DISC |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 8.0000MG | | | |
| | ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE | 325.0000MG | | | |
| SECOPAP | TB SECOBARBITAL SODIUM | 10.0000MG | JONES AND VAUGHAN | 00578-2060 | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 500.0000MG | | | |
| SED-A-BILE | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 8.0000MG | SCRIP INC | 00444-1412 | |
| | DEHYDROCHOLIC ACID | 100.0000MG | | | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 2.0000MG | | | |
| | OX BILE EXTRACT | 200.0000MG | | | |
| SED-TENS | XT AMOBARBITAL | 50.0000MG | LEMMON PHARMACAL CO | 00093-0023 | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 7.5000MG | | | |
| SEDA-NOREC | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | BOODY W E AND CO | 00887-0004 | |
| | THIAMINE HCL | 10.0000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.1300MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| SEDACAM | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | CAMALL COMPANY | 00147-0118 |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | |
| SEDACORD | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | AREND MILLER PHARM | - |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | |
| SEDACORD | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | AREND MILLER PHARM | 00567-1308 |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | |
| SEDACORD | LQ PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | AREND MILLER PHARM | 00567-1386 |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | |
| SEDACORD | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | AREND MILLER PHARM | 00567-1385 |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | |
| SEDACORD | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | BORNEMAN & SONS | - |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0195MG | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1040MG | | |
| SEDACORD | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | BROWN SURG SUPPLY CO | - |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0195MG | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1040MG | | |
| SEDACORD | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | BROWN SURG SUPPLY CO | - |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| SEDACORD | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 50.0000MG | BROWN SURG SUPPLY CO | - |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0600MG | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.3000MG | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0200MG | | |
| SEDACORD | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | BUCKWALTER DRUG CO | - |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0195MG | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1040MG | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | |
| SEDACORD | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | COOPER DRUG CO | 00779-0135 |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0195MG | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1040MG | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | |
| SEDACORD | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | CORD LABS INC | 00117-4039 |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | |
| SEDACORD | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | CORD LABS INC | 00117-1174 |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1040MG | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0195MG | | |
| SEDACORD | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 50.0000MG | CORD LABS INC | 00117-3080 |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.3000MG | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0200MG | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0600MG | | |
| SEDACORD | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | DETROIT PHARMACAL CO | - |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | |
| SEDACORD | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | LYNWOOD PHARMACAL CO | 00533-0008 |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1040MG | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0195MG | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| SEDACORD | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | MCGUFFEY DRUG CO | - | DISC |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1040MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0195MG | | | |
| SEDACORD | XC PHENOBARBITAL | 50.0000MG | SEAWAY SURGICAL CO | - | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.3000MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0200MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0600MG | | | |
| SEDACORD | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | SEAWAY SURGICAL CO | - | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1040MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0195MG | | | |
| SEDACORD | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | WILL-STAN PRODUCTS | - | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |
| SEDACORD GREEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | CORD LABS INC | 00117-1164 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0195MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1040MG | | | |
| SEDACORD LAVENDER | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | CORD LABS INC | 00117-1163 | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0195MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1040MG | | | |
| SEDACORD PINK | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | CORD LABS INC | 00117-1169 | |
| | ATROPINE SYLFATE | 0.0195MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1040MG | | | |
| SEDACORD TD | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 50.0000MG | AREND MILLER PHARM | 00567-1384 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0600MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.3000MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0200MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| SEDALIX | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | RUCKSTUHL COMPANY | 00144-2360 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |
| SEDAMED | DP PENTOBARBITAL SODIUM | 40.0000MG03ML | MEDICAL SPECIALTIES | 00495-0048 | DISC |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 1.3500MG03ML | | | |
| | PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 80.0000MG03ML | | | |
| SEDAMINE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | BYRNE PHARMACAL | 00831-0200 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| SEDAMINE | LQ PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG05ML | BYRNE PHARMACAL | 00831-0300 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |
| SEDAMINE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | DUNHALL PHARM INC | 00217-5602 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| SEDAMINE (REVISED) | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 20.0000MG | BOODY W E AND CO | 00887-0005 | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| SEDANEL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | HARNEL PHARM COMPANY | 00712-0428 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0195MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1040MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| SEDAPAP | EL BUTABARBITAL | 16.0000MG05ML | MAYRAND PHARM INC | 00259-0276 | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 120.0000MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 0.6000ML05ML | | | |
| SEDAPAP-10 | TB BUTABARBITAL | 16.0000MG | MAYRAND PHARM INC | 00259-0278 | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 648.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| SEDAPAR | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG05ML | PARMED PHARM | 00349-8097 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| SEDAPAR | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | PARMED PHARM | 00349-8096 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0195MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1040MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| SEDAREX NO.3 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | RUCKSTUHL COMPANY | 00144-1575 | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| SEDATIVE ANTISPASMODIC | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | BORNEMAN & SONS | - | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0650MG | | | |
| SEDATIVE-ANTISPASMODIC | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | BORNEMAN & SONS | - | DISC |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0600MG | | | |
| SEDATIVE-ANTISPASMODIC | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | DRUMMER LABS | 00093-0205 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0200MG | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 6.0000MC | | | |
| SEDOBEL SC PURPLE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 20.2500MG | BELLEVUE SURGICAL CO | 00854-0629 | |
| | PASSIFLORA | 97.2000MG | | | |
| | GELSEMIUM | 16.2000MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMUS | 48.6000MG | | | |
| SEDOLIN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | KELVIN PHARM INC | 43702-0107 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| SEDS BLUE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | PASADENA RESEARCH | 00418-0407 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.1280MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0072MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0240MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| SEMALDYNE YELLOW-BLUE | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | BEECHAM-MASSENGILL | 00029-3730 | |
| | PHENACETIN | 97.2000MG | | | |
| | EXT. HYOSCYAMUS | 10.6000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 162.0000MG | | | |
| SETAMINE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | TUTAG PHARMACEUTICAL | 00124-1416 | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1040MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0195MG | | | |
| SETAMINE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | TUTAG PHARMACEUTICAL | 00124-1415 | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1040MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0195MG | | | |
| SETAMINE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | TUTAG PHARMACEUTICAL | 00124-1408 | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1040MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0195MG | | | |
| SHERRYTAL | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | SHERRY PHARM COMPANY | - | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |
| SI-10 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | BELL GEO N CHEMISTS | 00148-3503 | DISC |
| | MAGNESIUM TRISILICATE | 162.0000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.1290MG | | | |
| | ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE GEL | 162.0000MG | | | |
| SIBENA | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 16.0000MG | PLOUGH LABORATORIES | - | |
| | SIMETHICONE | 25.0000MG | | | |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 16.0000MG | | | |
| SIESTAMINE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | LEE PHARMACEUTICAL | 00866-0103 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| SLO-FEDRIN A 30 | XC AMOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | DOONER LABS INC | 00221-1058 | |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 30.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| SLO-FEDRIN A 60 | XC AMOBARBITAL | 30.0000MG | DOONER LABS INC | 00221-1059 | |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 60.0000MG | | | |
| SODIUM NITRITE & PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | SCRIP INC | - | DISC |
| | SODIUM NITRITE | 129.6000MG | | | |
| SODIUM NITRITE COMPOUND | TB PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 8.0000MG | TRUXTON C O INC | 00463-6178 | |
| | SODIUM NITRITE | 30.0000MG | | | |
| | CASCARA SAGRADA EXTRACT | 4.0000MG | | | |
| | SODIUM BICARBONATE | 120.0000MG | | | |
| SODIUM NITRITE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | ALLAN SHAW MEDICAL | - | DISC |
| | SODIUM NITRITE | 130.0000MG | | | |
| SODIUM NITRITE W PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | HABERLE DRUG COMPANY | 00841-0202 | DISC |
| | SODIUM NITRITE | 60.0000MG | | | |
| SODIUM NITRITE W PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 32.4000MG | BUFFALO PHARM SUPPLY | - | |
| | SODIUM NITRITE | 64.8000MG | | | |
| SODIUM NITRITE W PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 8.1000MG | PAINE DRUG CO | - | |
| | SODIUM NITRITE | 64.8000MG | | | |
| | SODIUM BICARBONATE | 129.6000MG | | | |
| | HAWTHORNE BERRIES FLUIDEXTRACT | 0.2500MN | | | |
| SODIUM NITRITE-SQUILL COMP. | TB PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 8.0000MG | TRUXTON C O INC | 00463-6178 | DISC |
| | SODIUM NITRITE | 30.0000MG | | | |
| | SQUILL POWDERED | 30.0000MG | | | |
| | CASCARA SAGRADA EXTRACT | 4.0000MG | | | |
| | SODIUM BICARBONATE | 120.0000MG | | | |
| SOLANAL | LQ PHENOBARBITAL | 17.0000MG05ML | DORASOL LABS | 00471-0022 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |
| SOLAPHEN | LQ PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 17.0000MG05ML | DORASOL LABS | 00471-0021 | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0200MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.1100MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.2000MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| SOLONATE | TB PENTOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | WALKER CORP & CO INC | 00741-0017 | DISC |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.1080MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0540MG | | | |
| | PHENACETIN | 100.0000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 320.0000MG | | | |
| SOMATOL | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | PHILADELPHIA CAPS CO | - | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| SOMLYN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 22.0000MG | SCRIP INC | 00444-3024 | |
| | HYOSCYAMUS EXTRACT | 32.4000MG | | | |
| | PASSAFLORA POWDER | 120.0000MG | | | |
| SONIPHEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | O'NEAL JONES FELDMAN | 00456-0429 | |
| | SODIUM NITRITE | 64.8000MG | | | |
| SONOTOL | LQ PHENOBARBITAL | 17.0000MG05ML | PHILPHARMA | - | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |
| SORBITRATE WITH PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | STUART PHARM (DEL) | 00038-0790 | |
| | ISOSORBIDE DINITRATE | 10.0000MG | | | |
| SOTROPIN | LQ PHENOBARBITAL | 17.0000MG05ML | REINALDO DIAZ | - | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |
| SP RX T-203 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | MERICON INDUSTRIES | 00394-0002 | |
| | PHENACETIN | 100.0000MG | | | |
| | SALICYLAMIDE | 152.0000MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMUS EXTRACT | 11.0000MG | | | |
| SP RX 176 (GREEN) | LQ PHENOBARBITAL | 130.0000MG30ML | MERICON INDUSTRIES | 00394-0010 | |
| | BELLADONNA TINCTURE | 3.4000ML30ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 12.0000ML30ML | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| SP RX 23A (RED) | LQ PHENOBARBITAL<br>BELLADONNA TINCTURE<br>ALCOHOL | 130.0000MG30ML<br>5.0000ML30ML<br>12.0000ML30ML | MERICON INDUSTRIES | 00394-0009 | |
| SPABELIN | EL PHENOBARBITAL<br>HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE<br>SCOPOLAMINE HBR<br>ATROPINE SULFATE | 15.0000MG05ML<br>0.0810MG05ML<br>0.0050MG05ML<br>0.0150MG05ML | ARCUM PHARM CORP | 00357-0122 | |
| SPABELIN | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 16.2000MG<br>8.0000MG | ARCUM PHARM CORP | 00357-0120 | |
| SPABELIN | EL PHENOBARBITAL<br>ATROPINE SULFATE<br>HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE<br>SCOPOLAMINE HBR<br>ALCOHOL | 16.2000MG05ML<br>0.0150MG05ML<br>0.0810MG05ML<br>0.0050MG05ML<br>1.1500ML05ML | ICN PHARMACEUTICALS | - | DISC |
| SPALIX | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE<br>ATROPINE SULFATE<br>SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 16.0000MG<br>0.1037MG<br>0.0194MG<br>0.0065MG | REID-PROVIDENT LABS | 00063-1118 | |
| SPALIX | EL PHENOBARBITAL<br>HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE<br>SCOPOLAMINE HBR<br>ATROPINE SULFATE<br>ALCOHOL | 16.0000MG05ML<br>0.1037MG05ML<br>0.0065MG05ML<br>0.0194MG05ML<br>1.1500ML05ML | REID-PROVIDENT LABS | 00063-1116 | |
| SPASAID | XC PHENOBARBITAL<br>ATROPINE SULFATE<br>HYOSCINE HBR<br>HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 50.0000MG<br>0.0600MG<br>0.0200MG<br>0.3000MG | CENTURY PHARM INC | 00436-0170 | |
| SPASAMIN | XC MEPHOBARBITAL<br>SCOPOLAMINE HBR<br>ATROPINE METHYLNITRATE<br>HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 65.0000MG<br>0.0200MG<br>1.0000MG<br>5.0000MG | ARNO LABORATORIES | - | DISC |
| SPASATAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>ATROPINE SULFATE<br>HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE<br>HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 16.2000MG<br>0.0194MG<br>0.1037MG<br>0.0066MG | MAST M M COMPANY | 00723-1472 | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| SPASATAL | EL PHENOBARBITAL<br>HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE<br>HYOSCINE HBR<br>ATROPINE SULFATE<br>ALCOHOL | 16.0000MG05ML<br>0.1037MG05ML<br>0.0065MG05ML<br>0.0194MG05ML<br>1.1500ML05ML | MAST M M COMPANY | 00723-4172 | |
| SPASATAL TD | XC PHENOBARBITAL<br>HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE<br>HYOSCINE HBR<br>ATROPINE SULFATE | 50.0000MG<br>0.3000MG<br>0.0200MG<br>0.0600MG | MAST M M COMPANY | 00723-2226 | |
| SPASATOL | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE<br>ATROPINE SULFATE<br>HYOSCINE HBR | 16.2000MG<br>0.1037MG<br>0.0194MG<br>0.0065MG | GEN MED SUPPLY CO | - | |
| SPASBAR | CA PHENOBARBITAL<br>SCOPOLAMINE HBR<br>ATROPINE SULFATE<br>HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 20.0000MG<br>0.0065MG<br>0.0200MG<br>0.1000MG | LEICYS INC | - | DISC |
| SPASBAR | EL PHENOBARBITAL<br>ATROPINE SULFATE<br>SCOPOLAMINE HBR<br>HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE<br>ALCOHOL | 20.0000MG05ML<br>0.0200MG05ML<br>0.0065MG05ML<br>0.1000MG05ML<br>1.1500ML05ML | LEICYS INC | - | DISC |
| SPASDEL | XC PHENOBARBITAL<br>ATROPINE SULFATE<br>HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE<br>HYOSCINE HBR | 50.0000MG<br>0.0582MG<br>0.3110MG<br>0.0195MG | MARLOP PHARM INC | 12939-0134 | |
| SPASED | EL PHENOBARBITAL<br>HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE<br>ATROPINE SULFATE<br>HYOSCINE HBR | 15.0000MG05ML<br>0.1277MG05ML<br>0.0233MG05ML<br>0.0094MG05ML | MEDCOVA INC | - | |
| SPASED | TB PHENOBARBITAL<br>HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE<br>HYOSCINE HBR<br>ATROPINE SULFATE | 16.2000MG<br>0.1037MG<br>0.0065MG<br>0.0191MG | MEDCOVA INC | 12328-8010 | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| SPASIDON | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | HAAG INCORPORATED | 10503-0020 | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| SPASLIN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | BLAINE COMPANY | 00165-0029 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1040MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0195MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| SPASLOIDS | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | HARVEY LABS INC | - | DISC |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1040MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0195MG | | | |
| SPASMACAP | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | DANBURY PHARMACAL | 00591-5209 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1040MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0195MG | | | |
| SPASMACAP | XC PHENOBARBITAL | 50.0000MG | DANBURY PHARMACAL | 00591-5042 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0582MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0195MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.3110MG | | | |
| SPASMACAP | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | THOMAS PHARM | 00717-0033 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0195MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1040MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| SPASMAHAL | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG05ML | HALSOM DRUG COMPANY | 00729-0526 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |
| SPASMALONES | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | NEJO PHARMACAL | 00653-0002 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| SPASMASORB | TB PENTOBARBITAL SODIUM | 7.5000MG | PALMEDICO INC | 00271-0851 | DISC |
| | ACTIVATED ATTAPULGITE | 90.0000MG | | | |
| | ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE | 75.0000MG | | | |
| | ADIPHENINE HCL | 25.0000MG | | | |
| SPASMATAL | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG05ML | PHARMED INC | 10892-7414 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1277MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0233MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0094MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 0.8250ML05ML | | | |
| SPASMATE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | SPENCER-MEAD INC | 00537-2194 | DISC |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.0519MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0033MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0097MG | | | |
| | ALUMINUM GLYCINATE | 500.0000MG | | | |
| SPASMATOL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | PHARMED INC | 10892-7415 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| SPASMATRINE NO 1 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | SHERRY PHARM COMPANY | 00685-0474 | DISC |
| | BISMUTH SUBNITRATE | 32.0000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2100MG | | | |
| | CALCIUM CARBONATE | 227.0000MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM CARBONATE | 162.0000MG | | | |
| SPASMED | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | JENKINS LABS INC | 00397-5910 | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |
| SPASMED | TB PENTOBARBITAL SODIUM | 5.4000MG | JENKINS LABS INC | 00397-2103 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 5.4000MG | | | |
| | BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 5.4000MG | | | |
| SPASMED JR | TB PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 8.0000MG | JENKINS LABS INC | 00397-4050 | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 0.6700MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| SPASMID | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | DALIN PHARM INC | 00282-0121 |
| | METHSCOPOLAMINE NITRATE | 2.5000MG | | |
| SPASMID | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG05ML | DALIN PHARM INC | 00282-0120 |
| | METHSCOPOLAMINE NITRATE | 2.5000MG05ML | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 0.7500ML05ML | | |
| SPASMO-FORTE | TB PENTOBARBITAL | 12.0000MG | WALKER CORP & CO INC | 00741-0019 |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 3.0000MG | | |
| | MEPHOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | | |
| SPASMO-FORTE | TB BUTABARBITAL | 12.0000MG | WALKER CORP & CO INC | 00741-0061 |
| | MEPHOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 3.0000MG | | |
| SPASMOBARB | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 16.0000MG | WOLINS PHARM CORP | 00928-1927 |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.1280MG | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0070MG | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0240MG | | |
| SPASMOCAPS T.D.C. | XC PHENOBARBITAL | 50.0000MG | THOMAS PHARM | 00717-0034 |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0582MG | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.3110MG | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0195MG | | |
| SPASMOLIN | XC PHENOBARBITAL | 50.0000MG | BORNEMAN & SONS | 00696-7011 |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.3000MG | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0600MG | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0200MG | | |
| SPASMOLIN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | DANBURY PHARMACAL | 00591-5359 |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | |
| SPASMOLIN | XC PHENOBARBITAL | 50.0000MG | DETROIT PHARMACAL CO | - |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0200MG | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.3000MG | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0600MG | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| SPASMOLIN | XC PHENOBARBITAL | 50.0000MG | HALSOM DRUG COMPANY | 00729-0272 |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.3000MG | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0600MG | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0200MG | | |
| SPASMOLIN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | MOORE DRUG EXCHANGE | 00839-5055 |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | |
| SPASMOLIN | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | RICHLYN LABORATORIES | 00115-1395 |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0195MG | | |
| | HYOSCYAMUS SULFATE | 0.1040MG | | |
| SPASMOLIN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | RICHLYN LABORATORIES | 00115-4652 |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | |
| SPASMOLIN | XC PHENOBARBITAL | 48.6000MG | SCHERER CORPORATION | - |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.3000MG | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0600MG | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0200MG | | |
| SPASMOLIN | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | SPENCER-MEAD INC | 00537-2195 |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1040MG | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0195MG | | |
| SPASMOLIN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | WOLINS PHARM CORP | 00928-1286 |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0060MG | | |
| SPASMOLIN (WHITE) | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | SPENCER-MEAD INC | 00537-2196 |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0060MG | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | |
| | HYOSCYAMUS SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| SPASMOLIN TD | XC PHENOBARBITAL | 50.0000MG | WOLINS PHARM CORP | 00928-2287 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.3111MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0582MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0195MG | | | |
| SPASMOLIN TDC | XC PHENOBARBITAL | 50.0000MG | SPENCER-MEAD INC | 00537-2197 | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0195MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0582MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.3110MG | | | |
| SPASMOPHEN | LQ PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | BORNEMAN & SONS | - | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |
| SPASMOSED | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | WESLEY PHARMACAL CO | 00917-0819 | DISC |
| | CALCIUM CARBONATE | 227.0000MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM CARBONATE | 162.0000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.1296MG | | | |
| SPASMOTATE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | WINDSOR DRUGS INC | - | DISC |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| SPASMTABS | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | SHERRY PHARM COMPANY | 00685-0478 | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0060MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| SPASNIL | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | BORNEMAN & SONS | 00696-8020 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |
| SPASNIL | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 15.0000MG | RHODE J G INC | 00762-0101 | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | ALUMINIUM HYDROXIDE GEL | 160.0000MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM HYDROXIDE | 40.0000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| SPASNIL (IMPROVED) | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 15.0000MG | RHODE J G INC | - | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1787MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0352MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0205MG | | | |
| SPASNO-TAB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | FREEPORT DRUG CO | - | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.3240MG | | | |
| SPASOMINE (GREEN) | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | BOWMAN PHARMACAL CO | - | |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 8.1000MG | | | |
| SPASQUID | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | GENEVA GENERICS | 00781-6397 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |
| SPASTABON | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | BONCO LABORATORIES | 00325-0107 | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |
| SPASTABON | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | BONCO LABORATORIES | 00325-0031 | |
| | HYOSCYAMUS SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| SPASTABS | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | PARAMOUNT SURG SUPP | 00227-3741 | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0066MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| SPASTAC | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | CHRISMAN COMPANY | - | DISC |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| SPASTAC | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | MODERN DRUG COMPANY | - | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| SPASTAC | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | PILL MILL INC | - | DISC |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| SPASTEMMS | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | TRUXTON C O INC | 00463-6181 | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.1040MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0195MG | | | |
| SPASTEMMS | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | TRUXTON C O INC | 00463-9023 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |
| SPASTEMMS D.A. | XC PHENOBARBITAL | 50.0000MG | TRUXTON C O INC | 00463-3012 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.3000MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0200MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0600MG | | | |
| SPASTICOL PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | HYREX-KEY PHARM | - | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 2.5000MG | | | |
| SPASTOCAPS | XC MEPHOBARBITAL | 65.0000MG | WABASH PHARM INC | 00772-0013 | |
| | ATROPINE METHYLNITRATE | 1.0000MG | | | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 5.0000MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0200MG | | | |
| SPASTOLATE | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | BARRY-MARTIN PHARM | - | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| SPASTOLATE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | INTERSTATE DRUG EXCH | 00814-7088 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| SPASTOPLEX | TB BUTABARBITAL | 16.1000MG | HARTFORD LABS INC | - | DISC |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 2.5000MG | | | |
| | BELLADONNA ALKALOIDS | 0.1260MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| SPASTOPLEX | TB BUTABARBITAL | 16.2000MG | HARTFORD LABS INC | 00175-0841 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1000MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0060MG | | | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 2.5000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0200MG | | | |
| SPASTOSED | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | NORTH AMERICAN PHARM | - | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.1300MG | | | |
| | CALCIUM CARBONATE | 227.0000MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM HYDROXIDE | 162.0000MG | | | |
| SPASTRAN | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 15.0000MG | WINSTON PHARM INC | 00427-0T21 | DISC |
| | BELLADONNA P.E. | 15.0000MG | | | |
| SPASTRAN | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 15.0000MG | WINSTON PHARM INC | 00427-0T21 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1995MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0054MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0227MG | | | |
| SPASTRAN NO.2 | TB BUTABARBITAL | 32.4000MG | WINSTON PHARM INC | 00427-0T22 | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0054MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0227MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1995MG | | | |
| SPAZCAPS | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 50.0000MG | GENEVA GENERICS | 00781-2579 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.3000MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0200MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0600MG | | | |
| SPECIAL FORMULA ANTACID | TB PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 16.2000MG | ICN PHARMACEUTICALS | - | DISC |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.1296MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM HYDROXIDE | 324.0000MG | | | |
| SPECIAL FORMULA AO-PT | TB AMOBARBITAL | 64.0000MG | BETH CORPORATION | - | DISC |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 7.5000MG | | | |
| SPECIAL FORMULA CT PINK | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | LINDEN LABORATORIES | 00413-9999 | DISC |
| | PHENACETIN | 100.0000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 225.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 16.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| SPECIAL FORMULA NO 1152 | SS PHENOBARBITAL | 4.0000MG05ML | BELL PHARM CORP | 00368-4059 | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 12.0000MG05ML | | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 65.0000MG05ML | | | |
| SPECIAL FORMULA T-1515 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | BOWMAN PHARMACAL CO | 00252-1515 | |
| | HYOSCYAMUS MUTICUS ALKALOIDS | 0.0160MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 200.0000MG | | | |
| | ACETANILID | 100.0000MG | | | |
| SPECIAL FORMULA T-1530 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | BOWMAN PHARMACAL CO | 00252-1530 | |
| | CAFFEINE ALKALOID ANHYDROUS | 15.0000MG | | | |
| | SODIUM BICARBONATE | 65.0000MG | | | |
| | ACETANILID | 227.0000MG | | | |
| SPECIAL FORMULA THEO-TABS | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | SCRIP INC | - | DISC |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 24.0000MG | | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 130.0000MG | | | |
| SPECIAL FORMULA 34 ASTHMATIC | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | RUCKSTUHL COMPANY | 00144-1610 | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 125.0000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 30.0000MG | | | |
| SPECIAL J.H. FORMULA (PINK) | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | PARMED PHARM | 00252-3019 | |
| | PHENACETIN | 100.0000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 225.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 16.0000MG | | | |
| SPEROTAB NO 2 | TB AMOBARBITAL | 4.0000MG | FELLOWS-TESTAGAR | - | DISC |
| | CHLOROPHYLIN | 2.0000MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM TRISILICATE USP | 150.0000MG | | | |
| | METHYL CELLULOSE | 100.0000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0640MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM OXIDE HEAVY | 150.0000MG | | | |
| | BENZOCAINE | 4.0000MG | | | |
| | ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE | 150.0000MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM HYDROXYAMINOACETATE | 100.0000MG | | | |
| STANNITOL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | STANDEX LABORATORIES | 00716-4088 | DISC |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0019MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.1040MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| STANNITOL | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | STANDEX LABORATORIES | 00716-3088 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |
| STANNITOL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | STANDEX LABORATORIES | - | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0195MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1040MG | | | |
| STOGESIC | CA BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 16.2000MG | JALCO PHARM INC | 00611-2345 | DISC |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 194.0000MG | | | |
| | SALICYLAMIDE | 194.0000MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.0400MG | | | |
| STOLLERINE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | BLUCO INCORPORATED | 10160-0138 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.1200MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM CARBONATE | 150.0000MG | | | |
| | CALCIUM CARBONATE | 225.0000MG | | | |
| STOMAL | XC PHENOBARBITAL | 50.0000MG | FOY LABS INC | 00494-0710 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0580MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0190MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.3110MG | | | |
| STOMEX | SS PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG30ML | B.C.R. PHARMACAL CO | 00513-9918 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE HBR | 0.1037MG30ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG30ML | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0065MG30ML | | | |
| | ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE | 2.0000GM30ML | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM HYDROXIDE | 0.4000GM30ML | | | |
| STOPP-15 | SU PENTOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | KEENE PHARM INC | 00588-1112 | |
| | PYRILAMINE MALEATE | 8.0000MG | | | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 10.0000MG | | | |
| STOPP-90 | SU PENTOBARBITAL | 90.0000MG | KEENE PHARM INC | 00588-1116 | |
| | PYRILAMINE MALEATE | 8.0000MG | | | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 10.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| SUDOLIN | TB SECOBARBITAL | 10.0000MG | ICN PHARMACEUTICALS | - | DISC |
| | PSEUDOEPHEDRINE HCL | 15.0000MG | | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 50.0000MG | | | |
| | PHENYLTOLOXAMINE CITRATE | 15.0000MG | | | |
| SUDOLIN | TB SECOBARBITAL | 10.0000MG | O'NEAL JONES FELDMAN | 00189-0432 | DISC |
| | PHENYLTOLOXAMINE DIHYDROGEN CI | 15.0000MG | | | |
| | PSEUDOEPHEDRINE HCL | 15.0000MG | | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 50.0000MG | | | |
| SUDOLIN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | O'NEAL JONES FELDMAN | 00456-0433 | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 130.0000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 25.0000MG | | | |
| SUSANO | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | HALSEY DRUG COMPANY | 00879-0058 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| SUSANO | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | HALSEY DRUG COMPANY | 00879-0059 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |
| SYNALGYN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | MODERN DRUG COMPANY | - | |
| | PHENACETIN | 100.0000MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMUS EXTRACT | 10.0000MG | | | |
| | SALICYLAMIDE | 195.0000MG | | | |
| SYNATE-M | TB SECOBARBITAL | 45.0000MG | CENTRAL PHARMACAL CO | 00131-2244 | DISC |
| | POTASSIUM IODIDE | 300.0000MG | | | |
| | NIACINAMIDE | 40.0000MG | | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE SODIUM GLYCINATE | 330.0000MG | | | |
| | RACEPHEDRINE HCL | 25.0000MG | | | |
| SYNATE-M (MODIFIED) | TB BUTABARBITAL | 25.0000MG | CENTRAL PHARMACAL CO | 00131-2242 | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE SODIUM GLYCINATE | 330.0000MG | | | |
| | RACEPHEDRINE HCL | 25.0000MG | | | |
| | POTASSIUM IODIDE | 300.0000MG | | | |
| | NIACINAMIDE | 40.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| SYNATE-M 1/2 STRENGTH | TB SECOBARBITAL | 22.5000MG | CENTRAL PHARMACAL CO | 00131-2243 | DISC |
| | POTASSIUM IODIDE | 150.0000MG | | | |
| | NIACINAMIDE | 20.0000MG | | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE SODIUM GLYCINATE | 165.0000MG | | | |
| | RACEPHEDRINE HCL | 12.5000MG | | | |
| SYNATE-M 1/2 STRENGTH (MODIFIED) | TB BUTABARBITAL | 12.5000MG | CENTRAL PHARMACAL CO | 00131-2241 | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE SODIUM GLYCINATE | 165.0000MG | | | |
| | RACEPHEDRINE HCL | 12.5000MG | | | |
| | POTASSIUM IODIDE | 150.0000MG | | | |
| | NIACINAMIDE | 20.0000MG | | | |
| SYNIRIN | TB PENTOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | POYTHRESS & CO INC | 00095-0026 | DISC |
| | ASPIRIN | 325.0000MG | | | |
| SYNOPHEDAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | CENTRAL PHARMACAL CO | 00131-2247 | DISC |
| | THEOPHYLLINE SODIUM GLYCINATE | 300.0000MG | | | |
| | RACEPHEDRINE HCL | 25.0000MG | | | |
| SYNOPHYLATE WITH PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | CENTRAL PHARMACAL CO | 00131-2252 | DISC |
| | THEOPHYLLINE SODIUM GLYCINATE | 330.0000MG | | | |
| SYNT-PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | SCRIP INC | 00444-0180 | DISC |
| | SCOPOLAMINE METHYLNITRATE | 2.5000MG | | | |
| T.E.P. | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | GENEVA GENERICS | 00781-1325 | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 24.3000MG | | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 130.0000MG | | | |
| T.E.P. | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | TOWNE PAULSEN & CO | 00157-0980 | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 24.0000MG | | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 130.0000MG | | | |
| T-E-P | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | SCHEIN HENRY INC | 00364-0266 | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 129.6000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE | 24.3000MG | | | |
| T-E-P PEDIATRIC | SS PHENOBARBITAL | 4.0000MG05ML | SCHEIN HENRY INC | 00364-7057 | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 6.5000MG05ML | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE | 12.0000MG05ML | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| T-GESIC IMPROVED | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | TENNESSEE PHARM CO | 00656-0251 | |
| | ACETAPHENETIDIN | 200.0000MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.0300MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 150.0000MG | | | |
| T-1642 GREEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | BOWMAN PHARMACAL CO | 00252-1642 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2160MG | | | |
| | MANNITOL | 19.4400MG | | | |
| | BISMUTH SUBCARBONATE | 32.4000MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM CARBONATE | 162.0000MG | | | |
| | CALCIUM CARBONATE | 226.8000MG | | | |
| TABLET NO.2199 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | BORNEMAN & SONS | 00696-2199 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0188MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| TABLET NO.94 P AND A | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | BORNEMAN & SONS | 00696-2501 | |
| | MAGNESIUM CARBONATE | 125.0000MG | | | |
| | BISMUTH SUBNITRATE | 30.0000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0648MG | | | |
| | CALCIUM CARBONATE | 225.0000MG | | | |
| TACOID | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | MOORE KIRK LABS | 00133-1970 | |
| | ASPIRIN | 225.0000MG | | | |
| | PHENACETIN | 160.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 16.0000MG | | | |
| TAL-ESTAMINE | TB PENTOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | WALKER CORP & CO INC | 00741-0020 | |
| | HEXESTROL | 1.0000MG | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0540MG | | | |
| TAL-ESTAMINE | TB BUTABARBITAL | 8.0000MG | WALKER CORP & CO INC | 00741-0060 | |
| | HEXESTROL | 1.0000MG | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0540MG | | | |
| TANIPENT-PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | MOFFET INC | - | DISC |
| | PENTERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 20.0000MG | | | |
| TCS | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | POYTHRESS & CO INC | 00095-0027 | DISC |
| | THEOBROMINE SALICYLATE | 390.0000MG | | | |
| | CALCIUM SALICYLATE | 65.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| TEDRAL EXPECTORANT | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | WARNER-CHILCOTT LABS | 00047-0239 | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 130.0000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 24.0000MG | | | |
| | GLYCERYL GUAIACOLATE | 100.0000MG | | | |
| TEDRAL SA | XT PHENOBARBITAL | 25.0000MG | PARKE-DAVIS & CO | 00071-1471 | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 180.0000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 48.0000MG | | | |
| TEDRAL SA | XT PHENOBARBITAL | 25.0000MG | WARNER-CHILCOTT LABS | 00047-0231 | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 180.0000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 48.0000MG | | | |
| TEDRAL-25 | TB BUTABARBITAL | 25.0000MG | WARNER-CHILCOTT LABS | 00047-0238 | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 130.0000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 24.0000MG | | | |
| TEGA-BARB NO.1 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | ORTEGA PHARM CO | 00191-0023 | |
| | ASPIRIN | 324.0000MG | | | |
| TEGA-BARB NO.2 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | ORTEGA PHARM CO | 00191-0049 | DISC |
| | ASPIRIN | 600.0000MG | | | |
| TEM-RES "B" | EL BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 15.0000MG05ML | BLB PHARMACAL CO | - | DISC |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 120.0000MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 0.7500ML05ML | | | |
| TEM-RES "B" DROPS | DP BUTABARBITAL | 80.0000MG06ML | BLB PHARMACAL CO | - | DISC |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 600.0000MG06ML | | | |
| TEM-RES B NO.2 | SU BUTABARBITAL | 40.0000MG | BLB PHARMACAL CO | - | DISC |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 400.0000MG | | | |
| TEMPADYNE | LQ BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 8.0000MG05ML | RHODE J G INC | 00762-0103 | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 125.0000MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 0.7000ML05ML | | | |
| TEMPADYNE | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 15.0000MG | RHODE J G INC | 00762-0102 | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 300.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| TEMPAP | EL BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 15.0000MG05ML | RICHCO PRODUCTS INC | - | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 120.0000MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 0.7500ML05ML | | | |
| TENSITRITE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | MEDCO SUPPLY CO | 00764-2151 | DISC |
| | SODIUM NITRATE | 65.0000MG | | | |
| | VERATRUM VIRIDE | 40.0000MG | | | |
| TENSODIN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | KNOLL PHARMACEUTICAL | 00044-5720 | |
| | ETHAVERINE HCL | 32.0000MG | | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE CALCIUM SALICYLAT | 194.0000MG | | | |
| TENSOPHEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | NOYES P J CO | 00159-2290 | |
| | NITROGLYCERINE | 0.2600MG | | | |
| | SODIUM NITRITE | 32.0000MG | | | |
| TEP | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | SHERATON LABS INC | 00572-0710 | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 130.0000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 25.0000MG | | | |
| TETRABARB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | BLAINE COMPANY | 00165-0031 | |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 20.0000MG | | | |
| TETRASULE-S | XC AMOBARBITAL | 30.0000MG | ARNAR-STONE LABS | 00094-0092 | DISC |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 30.0000MG | | | |
| THALFED | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | BEECHAM-MASSENGILL | 00029-4060 | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 25.0000MG | | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 120.0000MG | | | |
| THEORINAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | WALKER CORP & CO INC | 00741-0021 | |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 24.0000MG | | | |
| | SALICYLAMIDE | 194.0000MG | | | |
| THEO-EPHED | CA AMOBARBITAL | 25.0000MG | PHARMECON INC | - | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 25.0000MG | | | |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE | 130.0000MG | | | |
| THEO-EPHED W PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | MAST M M COMPANY | 00723-1790 | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 25.0000MG | | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 120.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| THEO-EPHED W PHENOBARBITAL | SS PHENOBARBITAL | 4.0000MG05ML | PHARMECON INC | 00783-1277 | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 65.0000MG05ML | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 12.0000MG05ML | | | |
| THEO-EPHED WITH PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | PHARMECON INC | - | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 25.0000MG | | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 125.0000MG | | | |
| THEO-KAPS | CA AMOBARBITAL | 24.0000MG | SCRIP INC | - | DISC |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE | 130.0000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 24.0000MG | | | |
| THEO-MEDI-RINE | LQ AMOBARBITAL | 10.0000MG15ML | MEDI-RX PHARM INC | - | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 20.0000MG15ML | | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 130.0000MG15ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 3.0000ML15ML | | | |
| THEO-SED-15 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | SCRIP INC | 00444-0206 | DISC |
| | THEOBROMINE | 325.0000MG | | | |
| THEO-SERP | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 10.0000MG | BELL PHARM CORP | - | DISC |
| | RESERPINE | 0.1000MG | | | |
| | THEOBROMINE | 325.0000MG | | | |
| THEO-SPAN | XC AMOBARBITAL | 30.0000MG | SCRIP INC | 00444-0301 | DISC |
| | CHLORPHENIRAMINE MALEATE | 3.0000MG | | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 130.0000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 60.0000MG | | | |
| THEOBARB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 32.0000MG | MALLINCKRODT | - | DISC |
| | THEOBROMINE | 325.0000MG | | | |
| THEOBARB SPECIAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | MALLINCKRODT | - | DISC |
| | THEOBROMINE | 325.0000MG | | | |
| THEOBARB-R | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 10.0000MG | MALLINCKRODT | - | DISC |
| | RESERPIN | 0.1000MG | | | |
| | THEOBROMINE | 324.0000MG | | | |
| THEOBROMIDE-PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | RUGBY LABORATORIES | 00536-4644 | DISC |
| | THEOBROMIDE | 300.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| THEOBROMINE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 30.0000MG | WOLINS PHARM CORP | 00928-1302 | |
| | THEOBROMINE | 300.0000MG | | | |
| THEOBROMINE & PB NO. 1 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | BUFFINGTONS INC | - | |
| | THEOBROMINE | 324.0000MG | | | |
| THEOBROMINE & PB NO. 1 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | WESLEY PHARMACAL CO | 00917-0339 | |
| | THEOBROMINE | 325.0000MG | | | |
| THEOBROMINE & PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | NOYES P J CO | - | |
| | THEOBROMINE | 0.3000GM | | | |
| THEOBROMINE & SODIUM ACETATE W PHENOBARB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | ELDER P B COMPANY | - | |
| | THEOBROMINE SODIUM ACETATE | 194.4000MG | | | |
| THEOBROMINE SODIUM SALICYLATE-PHENOBARB | ET PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | ZEMMER CO INC | 00133-0302 | DISC |
| | THEOBROMINE SODIUM SALICYLATE | 300.0000MG | | | |
| THEOBROMINE WITH PHENOBARBITAL 1/2GR | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 30.0000MG | PARAMOUNT SURG SUPP | 00227-2418 | |
| | THEOBROMINE | 300.0000MG | | | |
| THEOBROMINE WITH PHENOBARBITAL 1/4GR | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | PARAMOUNT SURG SUPP | 00227-2417 | |
| | THEOBROMINE | 300.0000MG | | | |
| THEOBROMINE-PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 30.0000MG | BEECHAM-MASSENGILL | - | |
| | THEOBROMINE | 0.3000GM | | | |
| THEOBROMINE-PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 30.0000MG | RUGBY LABORATORIES | 00536-4646 | |
| | THEOBROMINE | 300.0000MG | | | |
| THEOBROMINE-PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 32.4000MG | UPJOHN CO | 00009-0925 | DISC |
| | THEOBROMINE | 324.0000MG | | | |
| THEOBROMINE-PHENOBARBITAL COMPOUND | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | UPJOHN CO | 00009-0947 | DISC |
| | POTASSIUM IODIDE | 150.0000MG | | | |
| | POTASSIUM BICARBONATE | 120.0000MG | | | |
| | THEOBROMINE | 150.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| THEOBUF | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 5.4000MG | MOORE KIRK LABS | 00133-1990 | |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE | 259.0000MG | | | |
| | ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE DRIED GEL | 259.0000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 24.0000MG | | | |
| | PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 5.4000MG | | | |
| | PENTOBARBITAL SODIUM | 5.4000MG | | | |
| THEOCARDONE NO. 1 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | HAACK LABORATORIES | - | DISC |
| | THEOBROMINE | 300.0000MG | | | |
| THEOCARDONE NO. 1 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | LEMMON PHARMACAL CO | 00093-0656 | DISC |
| | THEOBROMINE | 300.0000MG | | | |
| THEOCARDONE NO. 2 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 30.0000MG | HAACK LABORATORIES | - | DISC |
| | THEOBROMINE | 300.0000MG | | | |
| THEOCARDONE NO. 2 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 30.0000MG | LEMMON PHARMACAL CO | 00093-0658 | DISC |
| | THEOBROMINE | 300.0000MG | | | |
| THEOCORD | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | AREND MILLER PHARM | 00567-1315 | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 24.0000MG | | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE ANHYDROUS | 130.0000MG | | | |
| THEOCORD | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | COOPER DRUG CO | 00779-1081 | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 25.0000MG | | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 120.0000MG | | | |
| THEOCORD | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | CORD LABS INC | 00117-1187 | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 25.0000MG | | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 130.0000MG | | | |
| THEOCORD | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | HARNEL PHARM COMPANY | 00712-0446 | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE ANHYDROUS | 130.0000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 24.0000MG | | | |
| THEOCORD | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | LYNWOOD PHARMACAL CO | 00533-0009 | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 125.0000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 25.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| THEOCORD | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | SEAWAY SURGICAL CO | - | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 125.0000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 25.0000MG | | | |
| THEODIDE | ET PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | VALE CHEMICAL CO | 00377-0263 | |
| | THEOBROMINE SODIUM SALICYLATE | 150.0000MG | | | |
| | POTASSIUM IODIDE | 150.0000MG | | | |
| THEODRAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | HARTFORD LABS INC | 00175-0335 | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 25.0000MG | | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 130.0000MG | | | |
| THEODRINE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | RUGBY LABORATORIES | 00536-4648 | DISC |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 25.0000MG | | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 125.0000MG | | | |
| THEOFED | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | BARRE DRUG CO | 00472-1518 | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 130.0000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 24.0000MG | | | |
| THEOFEDITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | WESLEY PHARMACAL CO | - | DISC |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 24.0000MG | | | |
| | THEOPHYLLIN | 130.0000MG | | | |
| THEOFEDRAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | CANFIELD AND CO | 00574-0335 | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 24.0000MG | | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 130.0000MG | | | |
| THEOFEDRAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | DANBURY PHARMACAL | 00591-5265 | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 129.6000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 24.3000MG | | | |
| THEOFEDRAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | STANDARD PHARMACAL | - | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 129.6000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 24.3000MG | | | |
| THEOFEDRAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | WOLINS PHARM CORP | 00928-1303 | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 130.0000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 24.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| THEOFEDRAL PEDIATRIC SUSPENSION | SS PHENOBARBITAL | 4.0000MG05ML | WOLINS PHARM CORP | 00928-3705 | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 65.0000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 12.0000MG | | | |
| THEOFEDRIN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | MOFFET INC | 00758-1064 | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 24.0000MG | | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 130.0000MG | | | |
| THEOFENAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | SPENCER-MEAD INC | 00537-2281 | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 24.0000MG | | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE MONOHYDRATE | 130.0000MG | | | |
| THEOFENAL | SS PHENOBARBITAL | 4.0000MG05ML | SPENCER-MEAD INC | 00537-2314 | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 12.0000MG05ML | | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 55.0000MG05ML | | | |
| THEOGLYCINATE W PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | BRAYTEN PHARM CO | - | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE SODIUM GLYCINATE | 324.0000MG | | | |
| THEOGLYCINATE-RACEPHEDRINE-PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | BRAYTEN PHARM CO | - | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE SODIUM GLYCINATE | 324.0000MG | | | |
| | RACEPHEDRINE HCL | 24.0000MG | | | |
| THEOLAPHEN | ET PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | ELDER P B COMPANY | 00163-M229 | DISC |
| | CALCIUM LACTATE | 97.2000MG | | | |
| | THEOBROMINE SODIUM SALICYLATE | 194.4000MG | | | |
| THEOMINAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 32.0000MG | WINTHROP LABS | 00024-1942 | DISC |
| | THEOBROMINE | 320.0000MG | | | |
| THEOMINAL M | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | WINTHROP LABS | - | |
| | THEOBROMINE | 320.0000MG | | | |
| THEOMINAL R S | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 10.0000MG | WINTHROP LABS | - | |
| | THEOBROMINE | 320.0000MG | | | |
| | ALSEROXYLON | 1.5000MG | | | |
| THEONATAL-E | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | CENTRAL PHARMACAL CO | 00131-2264 | DISC |
| | THEOBROMINE | 150.0000MG | | | |
| | POTASSIUM IODIDE | 120.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| THEOPAR | SS PHENOBARBITAL | 4.0000MG05ML | PARMED PHARM | 00349-4110 | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 65.0000MG05ML | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 12.0000MG05ML | | | |
| THEOPHED | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | CHRISMAN COMPANY | - | DISC |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 25.0000MG | | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 120.0000MG | | | |
| THEOPHED | ET PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | HABERLE DRUG COMPANY | 00841-0210 | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 130.0000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 24.0000MG | | | |
| THEOPHED | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | HABERLE DRUG COMPANY | 00841-0209 | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 24.0000MG | | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 130.0000MG | | | |
| THEOPHED | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | PILL MILL INC | - | DISC |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 120.0000MG | | | |
| THEOPHEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | APOTHECA | 12634-0101 | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 125.0000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 25.0000MG | | | |
| THEOPHEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | VALE CHEMICAL CO | - | |
| | THEOBROMINE SODIUM SALICYLATE | 324.0000MG | | | |
| | CALCIUM CARBONATE | 162.0000MG | | | |
| THEOPHENEDRINE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | PREMO PHARM LABS INC | 00331-0621 | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 129.6000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 24.3000MG | | | |
| THEOPHENYLLIN C.T. WHITE SCORED | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | RICHLYN LABORATORIES | - | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 129.6000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 24.3000MG | | | |
| THEOPHENYLLIN WHITE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | HALSOM DRUG COMPANY | 00729-0289 | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 125.0000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 25.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| THEOPHYLLIN ANTIASTHMATIC | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | MOORE DRUG EXCHANGE | 00839-5111 | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 24.0000MG | | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 130.0000MG | | | |
| THEOPHYLLINE AND BUTABARBITAL | CA BUTABARBITAL | 15.0000MG | VICTOR HERMELIN CO | - | DISC |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 260.0000MG | | | |
| THEOPHYLLINE EPHEDRINE & PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | BOLAR PHARM CO | 00725-1045 | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 130.0000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 25.0000MG | | | |
| THEOPHYLLINE EPHEDRINE & PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | KASAR LABORATORIES | 00423-6100 | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 25.0000MG | | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 120.0000MG | | | |
| THEOPHYLLINE EPHEDRINE & PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | WEST-WARD INC | 00143-1695 | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 130.0000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 24.0000MG | | | |
| THEOPHYLLINE EPHEDRINE & PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | ZENITH LABS INC | 00172-3747 | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 130.0000MG | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 24.0000MG | | | |
| THEOPHYLLINE PB & EPHEDRINE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | STAYNER CORPORATION | 00123-0643 | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 25.0000MG | | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 130.0000MG | | | |
| THEOPLAPHEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | BEECHAM-MASSENGILL | - | |
| | THEOBROMINE SODIUM SALICYLATE | 0.2000GM | | | |
| | CALCIUM LACTATE | 0.1000MG | | | |
| THEOPREL-PLUS | LQ PHENOBARBITAL | 6.0000MG15ML | PHARMECON INC | - | |
| | ISOPROTERENOL HCL | 2.5000MG15ML | | | |
| | POTASSIUM IODIDE | 150.0000MG15ML | | | |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 12.0000MG15ML | | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 45.0000MG15ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 2.8500ML15ML | | | |
| THEORATE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | ICN PHARMACEUTICALS | - | DISC |
| | THEOBROMINE ALKALOID | 325.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| THEORATE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | WHITTIER LABS | - | |
| | THEOBROMINE | 324.0000MG | | | |
| THEOSULE PELLSULES | XC BUTABARBITAL | 15.0000MG | MISEMER PHARM INC | - | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 260.0000MG | | | |
| | PSEUDOEPHEDRINE HCL | 50.0000MG | | | |
| THEOTAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | COLUMBIA DRUG CO | 11735-0004 | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 24.0000MG | | | |
| | GLYCERYL GUAIACOLATE | 100.0000MG | | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 130.0000MG | | | |
| THEPTAL-TD | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | TRUXTON C O INC | 00463-3022 | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 48.6000MG | | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 259.2000MG | | | |
| THITRATE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | BLAINE COMPANY | 00165-0032 | |
| | THIAMINE HCL | 5.0000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE METHYLNITRATE | 2.0000MG | | | |
| THITRATE | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | BLAINE COMPANY | 00165-0033 | |
| | THIAMINE HCL | 5.0000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE METHYLNITRATE | 2.0000MG | | | |
| THITRATE 3/8 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 24.0000MG | BLAINE COMPANY | 00165-0034 | |
| | ATROPINE METHYLNITRATE | 2.0000MG | | | |
| | THIAMINE HCL | 5.0000MG | | | |
| THYMODYNE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 32.0000MG | NOYES P J CO | 00159-2350 | |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 24.0000MG | | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 130.0000MG | | | |
| TIMELY HI-BRO | XC PHENOBARBITAL | 50.0000MG | QUEEN CITY PHARMACAL | 00541-0424 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.3000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0600MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0200MG | | | |
| TONNOSTAN | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | TUTAG PHARMACEUTICAL | 00124-6917 | DISC |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | | |
| | SCOPOLAMINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| TONNOSTAN | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 50.0000MG | TUTAG PHARMACEUTICAL | 00124-2400 | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0200MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.3000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0600MG | | | |
| TRAL WITH PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | ABBOTT LABS | 00074-6601 | |
| | HEXOCYCLIUM METHYLSULFATE | 25.0000MG | | | |
| TRAL WITH PHENOBARBITAL GRADUMET | XT PHENOBARBITAL | 30.0000MG | ABBOTT LABS | 00074-6932 | |
| | HEXOCYCLIUM METHYLSULFATE | 50.0000MG | | | |
| TRALMAG-H/P | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | WESTERFIELD LABS | 00291-3390 | DISC |
| | DIHYDROXYALUMINUM AMINOACETATE | 130.0000MG | | | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 1.3000MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM OXIDE | 65.0000MG | | | |
| | ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE GEL | 65.0000MG | | | |
| TRANCAPS | CA AMOBARBITAL | 50.0000MG | BUNDY C M COMPANY | 10184-0699 | |
| | ATROPINE METHYLNITRATE | 0.5000MG | | | |
| TRASENTINE-PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 20.0000MG | CIBA-GEIGY CORP | 00083-0076 | DISC |
| | TRASENTINE HCL | 50.0000MG | | | |
| TRI-HAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | HALSOM DRUG COMPANY | 00729-0304 | |
| | PHENACETIN | 120.0000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 180.0000MG | | | |
| TRI-SPAS | CA PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | TRI COUNTY PHARMACAL | 11308-0013 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1040MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0195MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| TRIALATINE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | BOWMAN PHARMACAL CO | 00252-0736 | DISC |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 0.6500MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM TRISILICATE | 500.0000MG | | | |
| TRIAPRIN | CA PENTOBARBITAL | 25.0000MG | DUNHALL PHARM INC | 00217-2812 | |
| | SALICYLAMIDE | 250.0000MG | | | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 250.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| TRIATROPHENE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | BORNEMAN & SONS | 00696-2511 | |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 8.1000MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM TRISILICATE | 500.0000MG | | | |
| TRIBARGEL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 5.0000MG | LIMA MEDICAL SUPPLY | - | |
| | MAGNESIUM TRISILICATE | 325.0000MG | | | |
| | ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE DRIED GEL | 150.0000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.1000MG | | | |
| TRICO | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | MOFFET INC | 00758-1069 | |
| | PHENACETIN | 120.0000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 180.0000MG | | | |
| TRICOLOID | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | BURROUGHS WELLCOME | - | |
| | TRICYCLAMOL CHLORIDE | 50.0000MG | | | |
| TRIOPHEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | VALE CHEMICAL CO | 00377-0276 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2500MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM TRISILICATE | 450.0000MG | | | |
| TRISED | TB BUTABARBITAL | 15.0000MG | LYNWOOD PHARMACAL CO | 00533-0012 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1250MG | | | |
| TRISILOBAR8 | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 5.0000MG | WESLEY PHARMACAL CO | 00917-0105 | DISC |
| | MAGNESIUM TRISILICATE | 325.0000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.1000MG | | | |
| | ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE GEL | 162.0000MG | | | |
| TROCINATE W PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | POYTHRESS & CO INC | - | |
| | THIPHENAMIL HCL | 100.0000MG | | | |
| TROLAR | EL BUTABARBITAL | 15.0000MG05ML | CARNRICK LABS | 00086-0237 | DISC |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 5.0000MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 0.7000ML05ML | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| TROMAFEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 15.0000MG | HARVEY LABS INC | - | DISC |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.2000MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM HYDROXIDE | 325.0000MG | | | |
| TRUMENS | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | JENKINS LABS INC | 00397-2055 | DISC |
| | ANTIPYRINE | 200.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 8.0000MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMUS EXTRACT | 15.0000MG | | | |
| | PHENACETIN | 60.0000MG | | | |
| TRYAMIDE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | LIMA MEDICAL SUPPLY | - | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 0.6000MG | | | |
| | ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE | 130.0000MG | | | |
| | KAOLIN | 130.0000MG | | | |
| | MAGNESIUM TRISILICATE | 259.0000MG | | | |
| TWO-DYNE | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 8.1000MG | STANDARD PHARMACAL | 11064-1508 | |
| | PENTOBARBITAL SODIUM | 8.1000MG | | | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 200.0000MG | | | |
| | PHENACETIN | 150.0000MG | | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 30.0000MG | | | |
| TWO-DYNE PINK | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 8.1000MG | HYREX-KEY PHARM | 00314-2228 | |
| | CAFFEINE | 30.0000MG | | | |
| | PHENACETIN | 150.0000MG | | | |
| | ACETAMINOPHEN | 200.0000MG | | | |
| | PENTOBARBITAL SODIUM | 8.1000MG | | | |
| ULTABS | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | BURLINGTON PHARMACAL | 00479-0118 | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | | |
| V-DONNA | LQ PENTOBARBITAL | 18.1250MG05ML | FIRST TEXAS PHARM | 00274-3770 | DISC |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0081MG05ML | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1296MG05ML | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0242MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | | |
| V-DONNA | TB PENTOBARBITAL | 18.1250MG | FIRST TEXAS PHARM | 00274-3775 | DISC |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0242MG | | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1296MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0081MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| VAGA-TROL | TB MEPHOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | WALKER CORP & CO INC | 00741-0022 | |
| | ASCORBIC ACID | 15.0000MG | | | |
| | METHYLSCOPOLAMINE NITRATE | 1.0000MG | | | |
| | METHYLATROPINE NITRATE | 1.0000MG | | | |
| VALOBAR | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | VALE CHEMICAL CO | 00377-0294 | |
| | CAFFEINE | 30.0000MG | | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 225.0000MG | | | |
| | PHENACETIN | 150.0000MG | | | |
| VALPIN 50-PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | ENDO LABS INC | 00056-0162 | |
| | ANISOTROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 50.0000MG | | | |
| VALPIN 50-PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | ENDO LABS INC | 00056-0162 | |
| | ANISOTROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 50.0000MG | | | |
| VALPIN-PB | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG05ML | ENDO LABS INC | 00056-0279 | |
| | ANISOTROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 10.0000MG05ML | | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 0.2500ML05ML | | | |
| VALPIN-PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | ENDO LABS INC | 00056-0152 | |
| | ANISOTROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 10.0000MG | | | |
| VASITOL 10 WITH PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | ROWELL LABS INC | 00032-4812 | |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 10.0000MG | | | |
| VASITOL 20 WITH PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | ROWELL LABS INC | 00032-4816 | |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 20.0000MG | | | |
| VASOBARB | XC AMOBARBITAL | 50.0000MG | REID-PROVIDENT LABS | 00063-1268 | |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 30.0000MG | | | |
| VASOLATOR WITH PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | MALLARD INC | 00166-0448 | |
| | MISTLETOE EXTRACT | 65.0000MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0650MG | | | |
| | SODIUM NITRITE | 100.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| VASORUTIN | TB DIALLYLBARBITURIC ACID | 16.2000MG | BUFFINGTONS INC | - | |
| | NITROGLYCERINE | 0.2590MG | | | |
| | SODIUM NITRITE | 64.8000MG | | | |
| | TINCTURE CRATAEGUS | 2.0000MN | | | |
| | RUTIN | 20.0000MG | | | |
| VASOTHYN | CA PENTOBARBITAL SODIUM | 8.0000MG | CHANDLER PHARMACAL | 00434-0008 | |
| | SODIUM NITRITE | 32.0000MG | | | |
| | ASCORBIC ACID | 15.0000MG | | | |
| | PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 97.0000MG | | | |
| | MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 16.0000MG | | | |
| VASOTRATE UNICELLS NO.2 | XC AMOBARBITAL | 50.0000MG | NYSCO LABORATORIES | - | |
| | PENTAERYTHRITOL TETRANITRATE | 30.0000MG | | | |
| VERA-PHENO-TRITE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | STANDEX LABORATORIES | 00716-4098 | DISC |
| | SODIUM NITRITE | 65.0000MG | | | |
| | VERATRUM VIRIDE | 48.0000MG | | | |
| VERA-TABS | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | SCRIP INC | - | DISC |
| | SODIUM NITRITE | 65.0000MG | | | |
| | VERATRUM VIRIDE | 40.0000MG | | | |
| VERA-TENSIL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | REED PHARMACAL CO | - | DISC |
| | VERATRUM VIRIDE POWDER | 24.0000MG | | | |
| | CRATAEGUS POWDER | 60.0000MG | | | |
| | SODIUM BICARBONATE | 125.0000MG | | | |
| | SODIUM NITRATE | 30.0000MG | | | |
| | POTASSIUM NITRATE | 150.0000MG | | | |
| VERABAR | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | BOWMAN PHARMACAL CO | 00252-3510 | |
| | SODIUM NITRITE | 64.0000MG | | | |
| VERALYN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | LYNWOOD PHARMACAL CO | 00533-0010 | DISC |
| | SODIUM NITRITE | 65.0000MG | | | |
| | VERATRUM VIRIDE | 40.0000MG | | | |
| VERAPHEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | SCRIP INC | - | DISC |
| | SODIUM NITRITE | 60.0000MG | | | |
| | VERATRUM VIRIDE | 50.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| VERATRITE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | MALLINCKRODT | - | DISC |
| | CRYPTENAMINE | 0.3100MG | | | |
| | SODIUM NITRITE | 64.8000MG | | | |
| VERATRITE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | NEISLER LABORATORIES | - | |
| | CRYPTENAMINE | 40.0000UN | | | |
| | SODIUM NITRITE | 64.8000MG | | | |
| VERATRUM COMPOUND | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | PHARMECON INC | - | DISC |
| | VERATRUM VIRIDE | 40.0000MG | | | |
| | SODIUM NITRITE | 65.0000MG | | | |
| VERATRUM PHENOBARBITAL MANNITOL RUTIN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | SCRIP INC | 00444-2224 | DISC |
| | MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 32.0000MG | | | |
| | RUTIN | 20.0000MG | | | |
| | VERATRUM VIRIDE | 75.0000MG | | | |
| VERATRUM SODIUM NITRITE & PB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | FOX DRUG CO | - | DISC |
| | SODIUM NITRITE | 65.0000MG | | | |
| | VERATRUM VIRIDE | 50.0000MG | | | |
| VEREMMS | TB PHENOBARBITAL SODIUM | 15.0000MG | TRUXTON C O INC | 00463-6215 | DISC |
| | SODIUM NITRITE | 65.0000MG | | | |
| | VERATRUM VIRIDE | 50.0000MG | | | |
| VERESCONITE WITH PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | WESLEY PHARMACAL CO | 00917-0107 | DISC |
| | ACONITE ROOT EXTRACT | 2.7000MG | | | |
| | SODIUM NITRITE | 65.0000MG | | | |
| | CASCARA SAGRADA EXTRACT | 32.0000MG | | | |
| | VERATRUM VIRIDE EXTRACT | 2.7000MG | | | |
| | BILE EXTRACT | 10.8000MG | | | |
| VERITAG | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | TUTAG PHARMACEUTICAL | - | |
| | VERATRUM VIRIDE | 40.0000MG | | | |
| | SODIUM NITRITE | 65.0000MG | | | |
| VERNIBARB | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | QUEEN CITY PHARMACAL | 00541-0425 | |
| | SODIUM NITRITE | 60.0000MG | | | |
| | VERATRUM VIRIDE | 50.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| VERNIPHEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | HALSOM DRUG COMPANY | 00729-0309 | DISC |
| | SODIUM NITRITE | 65.0000MG | | | |
| | VERATRUM VIRIDE | 40.0000MG | | | |
| VERNITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | HABERLE DRUG COMPANY | 00841-0225 | DISC |
| | VERATRUM VIRIDE | 40.0000MG | | | |
| | SODIUM NITRITE | 65.0000MG | | | |
| VERNITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | WESTERFIELD LABS | 00291-2295 | DISC |
| | SODIUM NITRITE | 60.0000MG | | | |
| | VERATRUM VIRIDE | 50.0000MG | | | |
| VEROPHYLIN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | MALLARD INC | 00166-0494 | DISC |
| | VERATRUM VIRIDE ALKALOIDS | 2.6700MG | | | |
| | AMINOPHYLLINE | 65.0000MG | | | |
| VERTEGUS | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | KRONE BURT CO | - | |
| | VERATRUM VIRIDE | 48.6000MG | | | |
| | SODIUM NITRITE | 64.8000MG | | | |
| | MISTLETOE | 32.4000MG | | | |
| | HAWTHORN BERRIES | 32.4000MG | | | |
| VERTRIN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | MACESLIN & COMPANY | 00358-0061 | DISC |
| | SODIUM NITRATE | 65.0000MG | | | |
| | VERATRUM VIRIDE | 40.0000MG | | | |
| VERUPHEN | ET PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | ZEMMER CO INC | 00133-0348 | DISC |
| | VERATRUM VIRIDE | 15.0000MG | | | |
| | SODIUM NITRITE | 60.0000MG | | | |
| VI-TE-AL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | MOFFET INC | 00758-1074 | |
| | VERATRUM VIRIDE | 12.0000MG | | | |
| | SODIUM NITRITE | 65.0000MG | | | |
| VIO-THENE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 30.0000MG | ROWELL LABS INC | 00032-5234 | |
| | OXPHENCYCLIMINE HCL | 10.0000MG | | | |
| VIRITIN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | LEMMON PHARMACAL CO | - | |
| | MANNITOL HEXANITRATE | 30.0000MG | | | |
| | VERATRUM VIRIDE ALKALOIDS | 1.5000MG | | | |
| | RUTIN | 20.0000MG | | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| VISCATEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.0000MG | MACESLIN & COMPANY | - |
| | SODIUM NITRITE | 64.8000MG | | |
| | VISCUM ALBUM P.E. | 16.0000MG | | |
| VITA-TAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | VITA-FORE PRODUCTS | 00745-1008 |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | |
| VITA-TAL | EL PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG05ML | VITA-FORE PRODUCTS | 00745-1007 |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 1.1500ML05ML | | |
| VITAPHEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | VITARINE CO INC | 00185-4315 |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 125.0000MG | | |
| | EPHEDRINE HCL | 25.0000MG | | |
| W-T | PW PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | WARREN TEED PROD CO | - |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 10.0000MG | | |
| | BENZOCAINE | 15.0000MG | | |
| | CALCIUM CARBONATE | 1.5500GM | | |
| | MAGNESIUM OXIDE | 0.5000GM | | |
| | ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE GEL DRIED | 60.0000MG | | |
| W-T | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 4.0000MG | WARREN TEED PROD CO | - |
| | BELLADONNA EXTRACT | 2.7000MG | | |
| | BENZOCAINE | 4.0500MG | | |
| | CALCIUM CARBONATE | 388.8000MG | | |
| | MAGNESIUM TRISILICATE | 243.0000MG | | |
| | ALUMINUM HYDROXIDE GEL DRIED | 162.0000MG | | |
| WATSON CLINIC C.T. PINK | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | BOWMAN PHARMACAL CO | 00252-3034 |
| | ASPIRIN | 227.0000MG | | |
| | PHENACETIN | 97.2000MG | | |
| | GELSEMIUM TINCTURE | 0.1560ML | | |
| WESMATIC | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | WESLEY PHARMACAL CO | 00917-0814 |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 16.2000MG | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 120.0000MG | | |
| | CHLORPHENIRAMINE MALEATE | 2.0000MG | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| WESMATIC | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.1000MG | WESLEY PHARMACAL CO | 00917-0814 |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 16.2000MG | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 120.0000MG | | |
| | CHLORPHENIRAMINE MALEATE | 2.0000MG | | |
| WESMATIC FORTE | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | WESLEY PHARMACAL CO | 00917-0845 |
| | GLYCERYL GUAIACOLATE | 100.0000MG | | |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 15.0000MG | | |
| | CHLORPHENIRAMINE MALEATE | 2.0000MG | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE | 120.0000MG | | |
| WESPRIN WITH PHENOBARBITAL | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 15.0000MG | WESLEY PHARMACAL CO | 00917-0358 DISC |
| | PHENACETIN | 162.0000MG | | |
| | ASPIRIN | 227.0000MG | | |
| | CAFFEINE | 15.0000MG | | |
| WIL-SPAS | TB BUTABARBITAL | 8.0000MG | WILLARD LABS INC | 00912-0002 |
| | SECOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 10.0000MG | | |
| XANIOPHEN | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 16.2000MG | PITMAN-MOORE | - |
| | THEOBROMINE | 162.0000MG | | |
| | ETHYLENEDIAMINE DIHYDRIODIDE | 32.4000MG | | |
| ZALLOGEN COMPOUND | TB PHENOBARBITAL | 8.0000MG | BEECHAM-MASSENGILL | - |
| | TOCAMPHYL | 75.0000MG | | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 2.5000MG | | |
| ZANTRATE | TB CYCLOPENTENYLALLYLBARBITURIC A | 32.4000MG | UPJOHN CO | - |
| | EPHEDRINE SULFATE | 24.3000MG | | |
| | THEOPHYLLINE ANHYDROUS | 129.6000MG | | |
| ZEM-DAB | ET BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 10.0000MG | ZEMMER CO INC | 00133-0278 |
| | DEHYDROCHOLIC ACID | 60.0000MG | | |
| | OX BILE DESICCATED | 120.0000MG | | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 2.5000MG | | |
| ZEMARINE | EL BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 16.2000MG05ML | ZEMMER CO INC | 00133-0828 |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG05ML | | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG05ML | | |
| | HYOSCINE HBR | 0.0065MG05ML | | |
| | ALCOHOL | 0.3500ML05ML | | |

| TRADE NAME OR OTHER DESIGNATION | FORM OF PRODUCT | PRODUCT COMPOSITION | MANUFACTURER OR SUPPLIER NAME | NDC CODE | STATUS |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ZEMARINE LAVENDER | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 16.2000MG | ZEMMER CO INC | 00133-0343 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE H8R | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE H8R | 0.0065MG | | | |
| ZEMARINE T.R. | XC PHENOBARBITAL | 50.0000MG | ZEMMER CO INC | 00133-0604 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.3100MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0582MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE H8R | 0.0195MG | | | |
| ZEMARINE WHITE | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 16.2000MG | ZEMMER CO INC | 00133-0342 | |
| | HYOSCYAMINE SULFATE | 0.1037MG | | | |
| | ATROPINE SULFATE | 0.0194MG | | | |
| | HYOSCINE H8R | 0.0065MG | | | |
| ZEPINE-8HM | TB BUTABARBITAL SODIUM | 15.0000MG | FOY LABS INC | - | DISC |
| | RESERPINE | 0.1000MG | | | |
| | HOMATROPINE METHYLBROMIDE | 2.5000MG | | | |

[FR Doc.76-36458 Filed 12-13-76;8:45 am]

federal register

TUESDAY, DECEMBER 14, 1976

PART V:

# FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

■

## PRIVACY ACT OF 1974

Systems of Records

# FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

## PRIVACY ACT OF 1974

### Systems of Records Notice

Pursuant to the provisions of the Privacy Act of 1974, Public Law 93-579, 5 U.S.C. 442a(e), the Federal Election Commission (herinafter the Commission) hereby publishes two systems maintained by the Commission. FEC 7, published herein, was previous published on August 22, 1975 (FR 36875) and numbered FEC 10. FEC 2, also published on August 22, 1975, maintains the same number. Minor language changes have been made to more acurately describe the system. No changes have been made which subject these systems to the 30-day prior notice provisions (5 U.S.C. 522a(e)(11)).

Any individual who believes that the Commission maintains a record about him or her in these systems may request to inspect such record and to correct or amend same, if necessary, under the procedures contained in 11 C.F.R. 1.1 et seq.

Dated at Washington, D.C. on December 3, 1976.

Vernon Thomson,
*Chairman for the Federal Election Commission.*

#### FEC 2

**System name:** FEC audits and investigations.

**Security classification:**

**System location:** 1325 K Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20463.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Candidates required to file statements and reports under the Federal Election Campaign Act.

**Categories of records in the system:** Audit and investigation data.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** 2 U.S.C. Section 437d(a)(10), Section 437g(a)(2),(5) and Section 438 (a)(8),(9); 26 U.S.C. Sections 9007, 9038.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** The General Counsel, Assistant Staff Director, Commissioners and their staff may use audit and investigation data for informal hearings, administrative compliance, civil litigation, voluntary compliance or to refer matters to appropriate law enforcement authorities.

**Policies and practices for storing, retrieving, accessing, retaining, and disposing of records in the system:**

**Storage:** Paper records.

**Retrievability:** Indexed by name.

**Safeguards:** Locked safes in limited access locations. Access is limited to FEC staff on a restricted basis and to appropriate law enforcement agencies as directed by the Commission.

**Retention and disposal:** Indefinite.

**Systems exempted from certain provisions of the act:** The following system is exempt pursant to the provisions of 5 U.S.C. Section 552a(K)(2) and accordingly implemented by regulations issued under 11 CFR 1.14.

#### FEC 7

**System name:** Certification for primary matching funds and general election campaign funds.

**Security classification:**

**System location:** 1325 K Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20463.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Candidates for nomination or election to the Office of President of the United States.

**Categories of records in the system:** Certification forms and supporting data requesting matching funds or election funds.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** 26 U.S.C. Sections 9003, 9006; 26 U.S.C. Sections 9033, 9036, 9037.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** Certification of eligibility for funds by presidential candidates. These files shall be available for public inspection.

**Policies and practices for storing, retrieving, accessing, retaining, and disposing of records in the system:**

**Storage:** Paper records.

**Retrievability:** This system is indexed by name of candidate.

**Safeguards:** This system is kept in locked filing cabinets.

**Retention and disposal:** Indefinite.

**System manager(s) and address:** Assistant Staff Director for Disclosure and Compliance, FEC, 1325 K Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20463; (202/382-5162).

**Notification procedure:** Refer to Commission access regulations contained in 11 C.F.R. 1.1 et seq. (41 FR 43064, September 29, 1976).

**Record access procedures:** Refer to Commission access regulations contained in 11 C.F.R. 1.1 et seq. (41 FR 43064, September 29, 1976).

**Contesting record procedures:** Refer to Commission access regulations contained in 11 C.F.R. 1.1 et seq. (41 FR 43064, September 29, 1976).

**Record source categories:** Certification reports filed with the Commission.

[FR Doc.76-36123 Filed 12-6-76;9:23 am]

# FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

## PRIVACY ACT OF 1974

## Proposed New Notice of Systems of Records

Pursuant to the provisions of the Privacy Act of 1974, Public Law 93-579, 5 U.S.C. 552a(e)(11), the Federal Election Commission (hereinafter the Commission) hereby publishes for comment new systems of records that will be maintained by the Commission.

A new system report was filed with the Speaker of the House, the President of the Senate, the Privacy Protection Study Commission, and the Office of Management and Budget on December 2, 1976.

These systems have been revised or proposed as a result of a reevaluation of the manner in which records were maintained at the Commission. The Commission initially published its systems of records on August 22, 1975. Among these systems, several were determined not to be systems maintained about individuals and have accordingly been deleted; other systems have been combined. Those deleted systems are FEC 4, FEC 5, FEC 9 and FEC 11. These proposed systems have been renumbered as a result of those deletions. FEC 2 and FEC 7 (previously published as FEC 10) have been published in final in the Federal Register today (see preceeding document).

Any person interested in commenting on any portion of the systems of records contained in this notice may do so by submitting comments in writing to the General Counsel, Federal Election Commission, 1325 K Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20463. All systems of records published herein, except FEC 8, will become effective February 14, 1977 unless the Commission publishes notices to the contrary. FEC 8 will become effective on January 13, 1977 provided the request for a waiver of the new system notice is granted by the Office of Management and Budget or unless the Commission publishes notice to the contrary.

Dated at Washington, D.C. on December 3, 1976.

Vernon Thomson,
*Chairman for the Federal Election Commission.*

### FEC 1

**System name:** FEC requests for opinions and responses.

**System location:** 1325 K Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 10463.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Individuals requesting opinions from the FEC.

**Categories of records in the system:** Letters requesting legal opinions and responses from the FEC.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** 2 U.S.C. Section 437d(a)(7) and (9) and Section 437f.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** Commissioners and staff will use this system to respond to requests for opinions. These requests and responses are available to the public upon request.

**Policies and practices for storing, retrieving, accessing, retaining, and disposing of records in the system:**

**Storage:** Paper records.

**Retrievability:** Indexed by name, date and opinion and request number and/or incoming correspondence number.

**Safeguards:** Locked filing cabinets.

**Retention and disposal:** Indefinite

**System manager(s) and address:** The General Counsel, FEC, 1325 K Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20463 (202/382-5162).

**Notification procedure:** Refer to Commission access regulation contained in 11 CFR 1.1 et seq. (41 FR 43064, September 29, 1976).

**Record access procedures:** Refer to Commission access regulations contained in 11 CFR 1.1 et seq. (41 FR 43064, September 29, 1976).

**Contesting record procedures:** Refer to Commission access regulations contained in 11 CFR 1.1 et seq. (41 FR 43064, September 29, 1976).

**Record source categories:** Individual requestor, persons submitting comments and the Federal Election Commission.

### FEC 3

**System name:** FEC compliance actions.

**Security classification:**

**System location:** 1325 K Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20463.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Individuals who have filed complaints and persons complained about (respondents), candidates filing late reports, or no reports, and cases internally generated through review and audit of reports and statements filed by candidates.

**Categories of records in the system:** Complaints, referrals, and responses thereto; internal investigations of reports on file at the Commission and field audit files.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** 2 U.S.C. Section 437g(a)(1), (2), (5); Section 438(a)(7), (8) and (9); 26 U.S.C. Section 9006, 9038.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** Compliance actions will be assigned by the General Counsel and the Assistant Staff Director for Disclosure and Compliance, to an attorney and/or investigator for investigation into the subject matter of the complaint. Administrative Compliance and civil litigation will be handled by the General Counsel's office. Knowing and willful violations may be referred to the Attorney General.

**Policies and practices for storing, retrieving, accessing, retaining, and disposing of records in the system:**

**Storage:** Paper records.

**Retrievability:** This system is retrievable by name of complainant or respondent or compliance action number.

**Safeguards:** This system is kept in locked filing cabinets in a locked room.

**Retention and disposal:** Indefinite.

**Systems exempted from certain provisions of the act:** Systems exempted from certain provisions of the Act: The following system is exempt pursuant to the provisions of 5 U.S.C. Section 552a(K)(2) and accordingly implemented by regulations issued under 11 CFR 1.14.

### FEC 4

**System name:** Public Information Mailing List.

**Security classification:**

**System location:** 1325 K Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20463.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Individuals who request to receive the FEC Record.

**Categories of records in the system:** Index cards with names and addresses.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** 2 U.S.C. Section 438(a).

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** Commission staff will use list to forward copies of the FEC Record.

**Policies and practices for storing, retrieving, accessing, retaining, and disposing of records in the system:**

**Storage:** Paper records.

**Retrievability:** By name of individual.

**Safeguards:** Stored in locked filing cabinet.

**Retention and disposal:** Indefinite.

**System manager(s) and address:** Assistant Staff Director for Information Services, FEC, 1325 K Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20463 (202/382-5162).

**Notification procedure:** Refer to Commission access regulations contained in 11 CFR 1.1 et seq. (41 FR 43064, September 29, 1976).

**Record access procedures:** Refer to Commission access regulations contained in 11 CFR 1.1 et seq. (41 FR 43064, September 29, 1976).

**Contesting record procedures:** Refer to Commission access regulations contained in 11 CFR 1.1 et seq. (41 FR 43064, September 29, 1976).

**Record source categories:** Telephone and written requests to be placed on list.

### FEC 5

**System name:** FEC personnel and travel.

**Security classification:**

**System location:** 1325 K Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20463.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Individuals who applied for employment and individuals employed at FEC.

**Categories of records in the system:** Resumes, applications, employment forms, travel information.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** 2 U.S.C. Section 437c(f).

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** The Staff Director and his or her designees will use the personnel system to hire employees of the Commission, and other appropriate personnel matters such as pay increases, vacation, sick leave, travel authorization, and separation from the Commission. Disclosure may be made to a congressional office from the record of an individual in response to an inquiry from the congressional office made at the request of that individual.

**Policies and practices for storing, retrieving, accessing, retaining, and disposing of records in the system:**

**Storage:** Paper records.

**Retrievability:** Indexed by name and job category.

**Safeguards:** Locked filing cabinets.

**Retention and disposal:** Indefinite.

**System manager(s) and address:** Assistant Staff Director for Administration, FEC, 1325 K Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20463 (202/382-5162).

**Notification procedure:** Refer to Commission access regulations contained in 11 CFR 1.1 et seq. (41 FR 43064, September 29, 1976).

**Record access procedures:** Refer to Commission access regulations contained in 11 CFR 1.1 et seq. (41 FR 43064, September 29, 1976).

**Contesting record procedures:** Refer to Commission access regulations contained in 11 CFR 1.1 et seq. (41 FR 43064, September 29, 1976).

**Record source categories:** Personnel applications resumes, interviews, employment forms, requests for travel, etc.

FEC 6

**System name:** FEC candidate reports and designations.

**Security classification:**

**System location:** 1325 K Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20463.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Candidates for Federal office required to file reports of contributions and expenditures and designations of campaign depositories and authorized committees.

**Categories of records in the system:** Reports and Statements of candidates; reports by delegates and other individuals making contributions or independent expenditures on behalf of a Federal candidate but not through a political committee, candidate, or authorized committee or agent of a candidate.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** 2 U.S.C. Section 432(e), 434, and 437b(a)(1).

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** This system may be used by any person for information purposes. However, any information copied from such reports shall not be sold or utilized by any person for the purposes of soliciting contributions or for any commercial purpose.

**Policies and practices for storing, retrieving, accessing, retaining, and disposing of records in the system:**

**Storage:** Paper records and/or microfilm and on-line disk storage electronic data processing system.

**Retrievability:** Retrievable by candidate's name or by State, in which candidate seeks election; candidate indentification number or last name for computer storage.

**Safeguards:** Locked filing cabinets.

**Retention and disposal:** Reports are preserved for a 10 year period except that reports relating solely to candidates for the House of Representatives are preserved for 5 years from the date of receipt.

**System manager(s) and address:** Deputy Assistant Staff Director for Information Services, FEC, 1325 K Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20463 (202/382-5163).

**Notification procedure:** Refer to Commission access regulations contained in 11 CFR 1.1 et seq. (41 FR 43064, September 29, 1976).

**Record access procedures:** Refer to Commission access regulations contained in 11 CFR 1.1 et seq. (41 FR 43064, September 29, 1976).

**Contesting record procedures:** Refer to Commission access regulations contained in 11 CFR 1.1 et seq. (41 FR 43064, September 29, 1976).

**Record source categories:** Reports filed with the FEC.

FEC 8

**System name:** Payroll Records-Federal Election Commission

**System location:** General Services Administration, Region 3 office; copies held by the Commission (GSA holds records for the FEC under contract).

**Categories of records in the system:** Varied payroll records, including, among other documents, time and attendance cards; payment vouchers; comprehensive listing of employees; health benefits records, requests for deductions; tax forms, W-2 forms, overtime requests; leave data; retirement records. Records are used by Commission and GSA employees to maintain adequate payroll information for Commission employees, and otherwise by Commission and GSA employees who have a need for the record in the performance of their duties.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** 31 U.S.C., generally. Also, 2 U.S.C. 437c(f).

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** In the event that a system of records maintained by this agency to carry out its functions indicated a violation or potential violation of law, whether civil, criminal or regulatory in nature, and whether arising by general statute or particular program statute, or by regulation, rule or order issued pursuant thereto, the relevant records in the system of records may be referred, as a routine use, to the appropriate agency, whether Federal, State, local or foreign, charged with the responsibility of investigation or prosecuting such violation or charged with enforcing or implementing the statute, or rule, regulation or order issued pursuant thereto.

A record from this system of records may be disclosed as a "routine use" to a Federal, State or local agency maintaining civil, criminal, or other relevant enforcement information or other pertinent information, such as current licenses, if necessary to obtain information relevant to an agency decision concerning the hiring or retention of an employee, the issuance of a security clearance, the letting of a contract or the issuance of a license, grant, or other benefit.

A record from this system of records may be disclosed to a Federal agency, in response to its request, in connection with the hiring or retention of an employee, the issuance of a security clearance, the reporting of an investigation of an employee, the letting of a contract, or the issuance of a license, grant or other benefit by the requesting agency, to the extent that the information is relevant and necessary to the requesting agency's decision in the matter.

A record from this system of records may be disclosed to an authorized appeal grievance examiner, formal complaints examiner, equal employment opportunity investigator, arbitrator or other duly authorized official engaged in investigation or settlement of a grievance, complaint, or appeal filed by an employee. A record from this system of records may be disclosed to the United States Civil Service Commission in accordance with the agency's responsibility for evaluation and oversight of Federal personnel management.

A record from this system of records may be disclosed to officers and employees of a Federal agency for purposes of audit.

The information contained in this system of records will be disclosed to the Office of Management and Budget in connection with the review of private relief legislation as set forth in OMB Circular No. A-19 at any state of the legislative coordination and clearance process as set forth in that Circular.

Records also are disclosed to GAO for audits; to the Internal Revenue Service for investigation; and to private attorneys, pursuant to a power of attorney.

A copy of an employee's Department of the Treasury Form W-2, Wage and Tax Statement, also is disclosed to the State and city, or other local jurisdiction which is authorized to tax the employee's compensation. The record will be provided in accordance with a withholding agreement between the State, city, or other local jurisdiction and the Department of the Treasury purusant to 5 U.S.C. 5516, 5517, or 5520, or, in the absence thereof, in response to a written request from an appropriate official of the taxing jurisdiction to the Assistant Staff Director for Administration; Federal Election Commission, 1325 K Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20463. The request must include a copy of the applicable statute or ordinance authorizing the taxation of compensation and should indicate whether the authority of the jurisdiction to tax the employee is based on place of residence, place of employment, or both.

Pursuant to a withholding agreement between a city and the Department of the Treasury (5 U.S.C. 5520), copies of executed city tax withholding certificates shall be furnished the city in response to written request from an appropriate city official to the Assistant Staff Director for Administration.

In the absence of a withholding agreement, the Social Security Number will be furnished only to a taxing jurisdiction which has furnished this agency with evidence of its independent authority to compel disclosure of the Social Security Number, in accordance with Section 7 of the Privacy Act. Public Law 93-579.

**Policies and practices for storing, retrieving, accessing, retaining, and disposing of records in the system:**

**Storage:** Paper and microfilm.

**Retrievability:** Social Security Number.

**Safeguards:** Stored in guarded building, in locked filing cabinets; released only to authorized personnel.

**Retention and disposal:** Disposition of records shall be in accordance with the HB GSA Records Maintenance and Disposition System (OAD P 1820.2).

**System manager(s) and address:** Assistant Staff Director for Administration, FEC, 1325 K Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20463 (202/382-5162).

**Notification procedure:** Refer to Commission access regulations contained in 11 CFR 1.1 et seq. (41 FR 43064, September 29, 1976).

**Record access procedures:** Refer to Commission access regulations contained in 11 CFR 1.1 et seq. (41 FR 43064, September 29, 1976).

**Contesting record procedures:** Refer to Commission access regulations contained in 11 CFR 1.1 et seq. (41 FR 43064, September 29, 1976).

**Record source categories:** The subject individual; the Federal Election Commission.

[FR Doc.76-36122 Filed 12-6-76;9:23 am]

federal register

TUESDAY, DECEMBER 14, 1976

PART VI:

# DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

## Office of the Secretary

■

# PRIVACY ACT OF 1974

## Systems of Records

# DEPARTMENT OF LABOR OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

## PRIVACY ACT OF 1974

### Annual Notice of Systems of Records

Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(e) (4), and (11). sec. 3 of the Privacy Act of 1974, the Department of Labor hereby publishes notice of the existence and character of the systems of records currently maintained pursuant to the Privacy Act of 1974. These systems were originally published at 40 FR 41739 (September 8, 1975), and have been amended at 40 FR 47981 (October 10, 1975), 40 FR 58252 (December 15, 1975), 41 FR 3704 (January 17, 1976). Notice is also given of name changes of bureaus within the Department of Labor, name changes of systems of records, deletions of systems and publication of some twelve systems not previously published. This document also makes editorial corrections and revisions in the notices of several previously identified systems of records. The Department invites public comments on all parts of the notice.

Since our first publication, the names of three agencies or bureaus within the DOL have been changed. Employment and Training Administration was formerly named Manpower Administration; Office of the Assistant Secretary for Administration and Management was previously named Office of the Assistant Secretary for Administration; Pension Welfare Benefits Plans was formerly the Office of Employee Benefits Security. Hence, the names of many systems have been changed to reflect these name changes of the two agencies. In addition, there are systems published herein as DOL/LMSA which were previously published as DOL/SOL. The change was made because the records, although utilized by the Office of the Solicitor, are in fact under control of the Labor-Management Administration. The following systems have been renamed:

DOL/SOL—1 to DOL/LMSA—8, Bonding Violation File.
DOL/SOL—3 to DOL/LMSA—9, Ex-Offenders Application File.
DOL/SOL—4 to DOL/LMSA—10, Falsified Reports File.
DOL/SOL—7 to DOL/LMSA—11, Organizational Interference File.
DOL/SOL—8 to DOL/LMSA—12, Pension Bonding Violations File.
DOL/SOL—9 to DOL/LMSA—13, Pension Embezzlement File.
DOL/SOL—10 to DOL/LMSA—14, Prohibited Loan File.
DOL/SOL—11 to DOL/LMSA—15, Union Funds Embezzlement File.

The following five systems have been deleted as the systems were determined either to be outside the Privacy Act or the system was a duplicate, or, included in other notices cited herein:

DOL/BLS—2, Characteristics of the Insured Unemployed File.
DOL/ESA—5, Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs Internal Complaint File.
DOL/ESA—18, Position Classification File.
DOL/ESA—20, Employment Standards Water Pollution/Water Safety Anti-Discrimination Files.
DOL/SOL—5, Freedom of Information Act Appeals.

The following twelve systems of records are being identified and published for public comment for the first time. This Department is in the process of preparing the reports on these systems which will be submitted to OMB, the Congress and the Privacy Study Commission:

DOL/ESA—23, Advisory Committee for Higher Education Equal Employment Opportunity Programs Members' Files.
DOL/ETA—15, Evaluation or Research Contractors' Project Files.
DOL/ETA—16, ETA Investigatory File.
DOL/ETA—17, ETA Equal Employment Opportunity File.
DOL/LMSA—16, Investigatory Files—Special Investigations Staff.
DOL/OASA—14, Budget Position Control System.
DOL/OASA—15, Travel Authorization and Voucher System.
DOL/OASA—16, File of Individual Career Plan Forms on Senior Executive Development Program Participants.
DOL/OSHA—8, State Compliance Officer Spot-Check Records.
DOL/OSHA—9, OSHA Compliance Safety and Health Officers.
DOL/OSHA—10, OSHA Private Sector Instructor Files for Construction and Voluntary Compliance Courses.
DOL/OSHA—11, OSHA Course Files.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments to Seth Zinman, Associate Solicitor of Labor, U.S. Department of Labor, Division of Legislation and Legal Counsel, Room N2428, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20210, on or before January 13, 1977.

Written material received from the public through said date will be considered by the Department. The routine uses in the new systems will become effective January 13, 1977, unless the Department publishes notice to the contrary. Written material received from the public will be available for public inspection at the above address during normal business hours.

**Categories of records in the system:** Effective date. This notice shall be effective December 14, 1976, except for the routine uses mentioned in the previous paragraph.

Dated: December 1, 1976.

W. J. Usery
*Secretary of Labor*

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** The Department publishes the following General Prefatory Statements and notices of systems of records.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** General Prefatory Statement

The following routine uses apply to and are incorporated by reference into each system of records published below unless the text of a particular notice of a system of records indicates otherwise.

1. In the event that a system of records, maintained by the Department to carry out its functions, indicates a violation or potential violation of law, whether civil, criminal or regulatory in nature, and whether arising by general statute or particular program pursuant thereto, the relevant records in the system of records may be referred, as a routine use, to the appropriate agency, whether federal, state, local or foreign, charged with the responsibility of investigating or prosecuting such violation or charged with enforcing or implementing the statute, or rule, regulation or order issued pursuant thereto.

2. A record from any system of records, set forth below may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a federal, state or local agency maintaining civil, criminal or other relevant enforcement information or other pertinent information, such as current licenses, if necessary to obtain information relevant to a Department decision concerning the hiring or retention of an employee, the issuance of a security clearance, the letting of a contract, or the issuance of a license, grant or other benefit.

3. A record from any system of records set forth below may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a federal agency, in response to its request, in connection with the hiring or retention of an employee, the issuance of a security clearance, the reporting of an investigation of an employee, the letting of a contract, or the issuance of a license, grant, or other benefit by the requesting agency, to the extent that the information is relevant and necessary to the requesting agency's decision on the matter.

4. A record from any system of records set forth below may be disclosed, as a routine use, in the course of presenting evidence to a court, magistrate or administrative tribunal, including disclosures to opposing counsel in the course of litigation or settlement negotiations.

5. A record from any system of records set forth below may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Member of Congress submitting a request involving the individual when the individual is a constituent of the Member and has requested assistance from the Member with respect to the subject matter of the record.

6. A record from any system of records set forth below which contains medical information may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the medical or health advisor of any individual submitting a request for access to the record if, in the sole judgment of the Department, disclosure could have an adverse effect upon the individual, under the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552a(f) (3) and implementing regulations.

7. A record from any system of records set forth below may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the Office of Management and Budget in connection with the review of private relief legislation as set forth in OMB Circular No. A-19 at any stage of the legislative coordination and clearance process as set forth in the Circular.

**Storage:** Government-Wide Records

Two systems of records are reported by the Department of Labor for all Federal agencies since this Department has overall responsibility for the administration of the programs in connection with which these systems of records have been compiled. It is presumed that most, if not all federal agencies maintain systems of records comprising a portion of these government-wide system of records. In order to avoid duplication in reporting, the Department is reporting these systems on behalf of all agencies. The Department has control over these systems to the same extent as the Civil Service Commission has control over systems or records containing federal employee personnel records.

1. Federal Employees' Compensation Act files: Except for the Panama Canal Zone, all records relating to injury or death of civilian employees or other persons entitled to benefits under the Federal Employee's Compensation Act are the records of the Office Workers' Compensation Programs of the Department of Labor. The Office asserts control of these records under the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 8149 and Departmental regulations at 20 CFR 10.10. The systems of records for these records is being reported by the Department as a Government-Wide notice. This notice, however, does not apply to other medical or related files not created pursuant to the Federal Employees' Compensation Act which may be in the possession of an agency.

2. Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs Complaint Files: the Department is responsible for the administration of Parts II and III of E.O. 11246 (Nondiscrimination in Employment by Government Contractors and Subcontractors and Nondiscrimination in Federally Assisted Construction Contracts.) In accordance with this responsibility, the Department considers that it has dominion and control over the compliant files related to these programs. Therefore, the Department is reporting this system of records on behalf of all agencies.

**Safeguards:** Addresses To Which Requests May Be Directed. The addresses of the various component units of the Department as well as its field offices are contained in Departmental regulations at 29 CFR 70.36 and 70.43. This reference is made to avoid unnecessary duplication in reporting addresses in each notice.

### DOL/BLS—1

**System name:** Administrative Information System

**System location:** Records stored on computer at National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Md. Access and maintenance usually occur by remote terminal in room 2115, GAO Building, 441 G Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20212.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Regular full- and part-time employees in the BLS National and Regional Offices.

**Categories of records in the system:** Budget, training, educational and staffing data: name, SSN, organization title, grade, step, cost center, fund, PAS code, courses, source, degree, etc.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** 5 USC 301.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** None.

**Storage:** Magnetic disk pack.

**Retrievability:** Retrievable by any record element including name and SSN.

**Safeguards:** Protected data file can be read only by a few authorized employees and changed by even fewer authorized employees. Monthly staffing patterns are listed and distributed to cost center managers within the Bureau; these are arranged by organization and by grade, do not show SSN and are treated with the same general care as other administrative files.

**Retention and disposal:** Individual records are maintained as long as the individual is employed by BLS. Upon resignation or transfer the record is scratched (erased).

**System manager(s) and address:** Chief, Division of Management Systems, room 2115, GAO Building, 441 G Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20212.

**Notification procedure:** Mail all inquiries or present in writing to System Manager at above address. Give name and SSN.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** Input from official personnel documents (SF-52 and DL-50) and training requests (DL-1-101).

### DOL/BLS—2

**System name:** Office of Statistical Operations and Processing, Staff Utilization File.

**System location:** Records stored on computer at National Institute of Health, Bethesda, Md. Maintenance is performed by remote terminal in room 2021, GAO Building, 441 G Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20212.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Employees of the BLS Office of Statistical Ooerations and Processing (except the Office of ADP Support), the CPI Revision Management Team, and the Division of Management Systems (Office of Administrative Management).

**Categories of records in the system:** Payroll, accounting and staff utilization data: name, SSN, qrade, and step, cost center, PAS home code, staff hours by PAS code, by function and by task (function and task specified by each office).

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** 5 USC 301.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** None.

**Storage:** Magnetic disk pack.

**Retrievability:** Retrievable by any record element including name and SSN.

**Safeguards:** Data on private disk, accessible only by authorized employees.

**Retention and disposal:** Cumulative file for the last pay period of the fiscal year is stored indefinitely.

**System manager(s) and address:** Executive Assistant to the Deputy Commissioner for Statistical Operations and Processing, GAO Building, 441 G Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20212.

**Notification procedure:** Mail all inquiries or present in writing to System Manager at above address. Give name, SSN, and fiscal years of employment.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** Input from employees' biweekly Time and Attendance Cards and time distribution forms (DL 1-129, "Project Reporting Form"); SF-52's "Request for Personnel Action."

### DOL/BLS—3

**System name:** Regional Office Staff Utilization File.

**System location:** Records stored by the DOL Directorate of Data Automation, room 2852, GAO Building, 441 G Street NW., Wasshington, D.C. 20212. Maintenance is directed by the Division of Field Collection Activities, room 1834, at the same address. Input is mailed in or keypunched by BLS Regional Office Divisions of Operations; file copies of input documents are kept by most Regions.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** All BLS Regional Office Division of Operations. Professional and data collection employees.

**Categories of records in the system:** Staff utilization data: name, SSN, pay period, hours worked and units accomplished by PAS code for functions such as personal visit, telephone collection, and training.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** 5 USC 301.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** None.

**Storage:** Mag tapes and disks.

**Retrievability:** By any data field, including name and SSN.

**Safeguards:** Only authorized employees have access to the tapes/disks, to the programs, and to the Regions' backup documents.

**Retention and disposal:** Fiscal year files are retained indefinitely.

**System manager(s) and address:** Chief, Division of Field Collection Activities, room 1834, GAO Building, 441 G Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20212.

**Notification procedure:** Mail all inquiries or present in writing to System Management at above address. Give name, SSN and dates of employment.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** "Staff Utilization Report" (form number SO-1) filled out each pay period by each R.O. D/Operations employee (in place of the DL 1-291 "Project Reporting Form")

### DOL/ESA—1

**System name:** Budget Position Control System.

**System location:** Employment Standards Administration, room C-3307, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Federal Government employees of the Employment Standards Amministration.

**Categories of records in the system:** Budget.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** OMB Circular A-11.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** Preparation of Employment Standards Administration budgets and related reports.

**Storage:** Manual.

**Retrievability:** By Employment Standards Administration organization.

**Safeguards:** The System is housed in the Division of Budget and Finance and only persons who are authorized by the Division are allowed access to the data.

**Retention and disposal:** There is no set disposal time for data in this system.

**System manager(s) and address:** Chief, Division of Budget and Finance, Employment Standards Administration, New Department of Labor Building, room C3307, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Notification procedure:** As above.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** Form DL-50 Notification of Personnel Action.

**DOL/ESA—2**

**System name:** Division of State Employment Standards Public Inquiry File

**System location:** Room S3221, New Department of Labor Building, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** The general public, government officials (usually of State labor departments), and private organizations such as those representing labor, management, or private citizens.

**Categories of records in the system:** Copies of incoming letters and reports of telephone requests.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** 5 USC 301.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** None.

**Storage:** Manual File.

**Retrievability:** By subject matter, State chronologically, and name if a private citizen.

**Safeguards:** Building Security Guards.

**Retention and disposal:** Time retained depends on subject matter and importance, varying from 3 to 10 years, and then destroyed.

**System manager(s) and address:** Director, ESA, Office of Program Development and Administration, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C., room S3325, U.S. Department of Labor.

**Notification procedure:** U.S. Department of Labor, ESA, DSES, room S3321, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20210. Name and approximate date of previous correspondence should be provided.

**Record access procedures:** Same as above.

**Contesting record procedures:** Same as above.

**Record source categories:** Information voluntarily submitted by correspondent on own initiative.

**DOL/ESA—3**

**System name:** Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs Complaint File.

**System location:** U.S. Department of Labor, Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Wash. D.C., room N3422; U.S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare, National Institutes of Health, Building 12A, Bethesda, Md..

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Individuals filing complaints of discrimination.

**Categories of records in the system:** Personal demographic information on the complaint and the basis of the complaint they are filing.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** Executive Order 11246, as amended.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** Disclosure to compliance agencies that have responsibility of overseeing contractor compliance with Executive Order 11246 to the EEOC which has the authority to investigate complaints of discrimination and to other Federal agencies that may have responsibility for matters referred to in a complaint.

**Storage:** Diebold Power Files, magnetic tapes.

**Retrievability:** By name and/or OFCCP Control Number.

**Safeguards:** Files are locked, except during working hours and only authorized personnel have access to files. The computer system has a code used for retrieval.

**Retention and disposal:** Active files retained 2-5 years, referred to storage,

**System manager(s) and address:** Director, OFCCP, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C.

**Notification procedure:** U.S. Department of Labor, Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C.

**Record access procedures:** Individual may request in writing regarding information maintained by OFCCP on himself. He may write to the Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs.

**Contesting record procedures:** Same as above.

**Record source categories:** Individuals and employers.

**DOL/ESA—4**

**System name:** Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs

Handicapped Worker Complaint File.

**System location:** U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue NW., room N3402, Washington, D.C. 20210. Each Regional Office.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:**

Handicapped Workers.

**Categories of records in the system:** Medical, personal, employment.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** 29 USC 793.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** Disclosure to contracting and compliance agencies.

**Storage:** Manual Files.

**Retrievability:** By name.

**Safeguards:** Physical Security.

**Retention and disposal:** Retained five years in office; kept in Federal Records Center five more years and then destroyed.

**System manager(s) and address:** Director, Veteran and

Handicapped Worker Program Operation Division, Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs, room N3402 NDOL, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Notification procedure:** Same as above.

**Record access procedures:** Same as above.

**Contesting record procedures:** Same as above.

**Record source categories:** The sources of the information come from the comolainant, the contractor's records and files, and other investigative sources as needed, such as medical records, and other employment records at the permission of the complainant.

**DOL/ESA—5**

**System name:** Office of Federal Contract Compliance Programs Veteran's Complaints Files.

**System location:** Room N4418, New Department of Labor Puilding, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20210; each ESA Regional Office.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Disabled veterans, recently discharged veterans.

**Categories of records in the system:** Medical, personal, employment.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** S402, Vietnam Era veterans Readjustment Assistant Act of 1974, P.L. 93-508.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** Disclosure to contracting and compliance agencies.

**Storage:** Manual File.

**Retrievability:** By name.

**Retention and disposal:** Retained five years in office; keot in Federal Records Center five more years and then destroyed.

**System manager(s) and address:** Director, Veterans and

Handicapped Worker Program Operations Division, room N3402 NDOL, Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Notification procedure:** Same as above.

**Record access procedures:** Same as above.

**Contesting record procedures:** Same as above.

**Record source categories:** Complaints and injuries, government contracting and compliance agencies and government contractors.

DOL/ESA—6

**System name:** Office of Workers' Compensation Programs, Black Lung Anti-Discrimination Files.

**System location:** U.S. Department of Labor, Employment Standards Administration, Office of Workers' Compensation Programs, Division of Coal Mine Workers' Compensation, Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Individuals filing complaints against employers on account of discharge or other acts of discrimination by reason of a pneumoconiosis disease.

**Categories of records in the system:** Personal, Financial, Medical.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** 30 U.S.C. 938, 20 CFR 730.1 et. seq. (proposed but not

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** Disclosure of file contents may be made to any party of interest to the complaint.

**Storage:** Manual files.

**Retrievability:** By name.

**Safeguards:** Locked file with access by authorized personnel only. JMBeing determined at this time.

**System manager(s) and address:** Associate Director, Division of Coal Mine Workers' Compensation, Office of Workers' Compensation Programs, Employment Standards Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, room C3520, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C.

**Notification procedure:** As above.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** Individual, Correspondence, Investigative records, Employment records, Payroll records, Medical Reports, any other documents or reoorts pertaining to an individual's work history, education, medical condition, or hiring practices of the employer.

DOL/ESA—7

**System name:** Office of Workers' Compensation Programs, Black Lung Benefit Claim File.

**System location:** U.S. Department of Labor, Employment Standards Administration, Office of Workers' Compensation Programs, Division of Coal Mine Workers' Compensation, Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Individuals filing claims for black lung (pneumoconiosis) benefits under the provisions of Title IV of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969, as amended, including miners, and their surviving widows, orphans, dependent parents and siblings.

**Categories of records in the system:** Personal, medical, financial.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** 30 U.S.C. 901 et. seq. 20 CFR 715.1 et. seq., 20 CFR 720.1 et. seq. 20 CFR 725.1 et. seq.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** Disclosure to mine operators who have been determined to be potentially liable for the claim and any party providing the mine operator with workers' compensation insurance coverage; State worker's compensation agencies and the Social Security Administration for the purpose of determining offsets as specified under the act; doctors and medical service providers for the purpose of obtaining medical evaluations, physical rehabilitation or other services, and labor unions and other voluntary employee associations of which the claimant is a member which exercise an interest in claims of members as part of their service to the members.

**Storage:** Case file documents, both original and copies in manual files.

**Retrievability:** Coal Miners name and social security number, and claimants name when different from miners must be provided.

**Safeguards:** Files located in restricted area of a Federal building under guard by security officers.

**Retention and disposal:** Being determined at this time.

**System manager(s) and address:** Associate Director, Division of Coal Mine Workers' Compensation, Office of Workers' Compensation Programs, Emloyment Standards Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Notification procedure:** As above.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** Claim forms, medical reports, correspondence, investigative reports, employment reports, Federal and State agency records, any other record or document pertaining to a claimant or his dependent as it relates to his age, education, work history, marital history or medical condition.

DOL/ESA—8

**System name:** Office of Workers' Compersation Programs, Black Lung Benefit Payments File.

**System location:** GAO Building, 5th and G Streets, NW., Washington, D.C. 20210; System is accessed from Terminal (Remote 7) located in NDOL, room C-3525, 3rd and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Claimants receiving benefits.

**Categories of records in the system:** Personal, Financial.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** 30 U.S.C. 901 et. seq., 20 CFR 715.1 et. seq. 20 CFR 720.1 et. seq., 20 CFR 725.1 et. seq.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** Disclosure to mine operators who have been determined to be potentially liable for the claim and any party provioing the mine operator with workers' compensation insurance coverage; State workers' compensation agencies and the Social Security Administration for the purpose of determining offsets as specified under the Act; and labor unions and other voluntary employee associations of which the claimant is a member which exercise an interest in claims of members as part of their service to the members.

**Storage:** Magnetic tapes.

**Retrievability:** Social Security Number.

**Safeguards:** Files located in restricted area of Federal building under guard by security officers.

**Retention and disposal:** Being determined at this time.

**System manager(s) and address:** Associate Director,Division of Coal Mine Workers' Compensation, Office of Workers' Compensation Programs, Employment Standards Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Notification procedure:** As above.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** Black Lung Benefit Claim Files.

DOL/ESA—9

**System name:** Office of Workers' Compensation Programs, Black Lung Claimant Information File.

**System location:** GAO Building, 5th and G Streets, NW., Washington, D.C. 20210' System is accessed from Terminal (Remote 7) located in NDOL, room C3525, Third and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Black lung claimants.

**Categories of records in the system:** Personal (name, date of birth, SSN, type claimant, miner's date of death); demographic (State/county, city, congressional district, zip code, mine employment history, medical disability, initial determination, conference results, hearing results.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** 30 U.S.C. 901 et. seq., 20 CFR 715.5 et. seq., 20 CFR 720.1 et. seq., 20 CFR 725.1 et. seq.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** Disclosure to mine operators who have been determined to be potentially liable for the claim and any party providing the mine operator with workers' compensation and the Social Security Administration for the purpose of determining offsets as specified under the act; and labor unions and other voluntary employee associations of which the claimant is a member which exercise an interest in claims of members as part of their service to the members.

**Storage:** 9 Track magnetic tape and punched cards.

**Retrievability:** Social Security Number.

**Safeguards:** Located in restricted area of Federal building under guard by security officers.

**Retention and disposal:** Being determined at this time.

**System manager(s) and address:** Associate Director, Division of Coal Miner Workers' Compensation, Office of Workers' Compensation Programs, Employment Standards Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Notification procedure:** As above.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** District Office Reports, Claim Forms, Claim Files Tracking cards.

**DOL/ESA—10**

**System name:** Office of Workers' Compensation Programs, Black Lung Medical Treatment Records File.

**System location:** U.S. Department of Labor, Employment Standards Administration, Office of Workers' Compensation Programs, Division of Coal Mine Workers' Compensation, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Division of Coal Mine Workers' Compensation Beneficiaries.

**Categories of records in the system:** Medical and Financial.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** 30 U.S.C. 901 et. seq., 20 CFR 715.1 et. seq., 20 CFR 720.1 et. seq., 20 CFR 725.1 et. seq.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** Disclosure to mine operators who have been determined to be potentially liable for the claim and any party providing the mine operator with workers' compensation insurance coverage; State worker's compensation agencies and the Social Security Administration for the purpose of determining offsets as specified under the Act; and labor unions and other voluntary employee associations of which the claimant is a member which exercise an interest in claims of members as part of their service to the members.

**Storage:** Manual File to be transferred to magnetic tape.

**Retrievability:** Name and Social Security Number.

**Safeguards:** Located in restricted area of Federal building under guard by security officers.

**Retention and disposal:** Being determined at this time.

**System manager(s) and address:** Associate Director, Division of Coal Mine Workers' Compensation, Office of Workers' Compensation Programs, Employment Standards Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Notification procedure:** As above.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** Medical Reports and bills from physician of beneficiary's choosing providing medical treatment.

**DOL/ESA—11**

**System name:** Office of Workers' Compensation Programs, Black Lung Profile Beneficiaries File.

**System location:** U.S. Department of Labor, Employment Standards Administration, Office of Workers' Compensation, Division of Coal Mine Workers' Compensation, Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Division of Coal Mine Workers' Compensation Beneficiaries

**Categories of records in the system:** Medical, personal

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** 30 U.S.C. 901 et. seq., 20 CFR 715.1 et. seq. 20 CFR 720.1 et. seq., 20 CFR 725.1 et. seq.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** Disclosure to mine operators who have been determined to be potentially liable for the claim and any party providing the mine operator with workers' compensation insurance coverage; State worker's compensation agencies and the Social Security Administration for the purpose of determining offsets as specified under the Act; and labor unions and other voluntary employee associations of which the claimant is a member which exercise an interest in claims of members as part of their service to the members.

**Storage:** Manual Files.

**Retrievability:** Name and social security number.

**Safeguards:** Files located in restricted area of a Federal building under guard by security officers.

**Retention and disposal:** Being determined at this time.

**System manager(s) and address:** Associate Director, Division of Coal Mine Workers' Compensation, Office of Workers' Compensation Programs, Employment Standards Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Notification procedure:** As above.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** Individual, Correspondence, Employment Records, Payroll Records, Medical Reports.

**DOL/ESA—12**

**System name:** Office of Workers' Compensation Programs, Black Lung Service Payments File.

**System location:** GAO Building, 5th and G Streets, NW., Washington, D.C. 20210; System is accessed from terminal (Remote 7) located in NDOL, room C3525, 3d and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Claimants, physicians and medical facilities providing services.

**Categories of records in the system:** Medical, Personal, Financial.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** 30 U.S.C. 901 et. seq., 20 CFR 715.1 et. seq., 20 CFR 720.1 et. seq., 20 CFR 725.1 et. seq.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** Disclosure to mine operators who have been determined to be potentially liable for the claim and any party providing the mine operator with workers' compensation insurance coverage; State worker's compensation agencies and the Social Security Administration for the purpose of determining offsets as specified under the Act; and labor unions and other voluntary employee associations of which the claimant is a member which exercise an interest in claims of members as part of their service to the members.

**Storage:** Magnetic tapes.

**Retrievability:** Provider number, claimant's SSN.

**Safeguards:** Files located in restricted area of Federal building under guard by security officers.

**Retention and disposal:** Being determined at this time.

**System manager(s) and address:** Associate Director, Division of Coal Mine Workers' Compensation, Office of Workers' Compensation Programs, Employment Standards Administration, U.S. Department of Labor,200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Notification procedure:** As above.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** Billings, Claim files, Medical reports.

**DOL/ESA—13**

**System name:** Office of Workers' Compensation Programs, Black Lung X-ray Interpretations File.

**System location:** U.S. Department of Labor, Employment Standards Administration, Office of Workers' Compensation Programs, Division of Coal Mine Workers' Compensation, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Division of Coal Mine Workers' Compensation claimants.

**Categories of records in the system:** Medical, personal.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** 30 U.S.C. 901 et. seq., 20 CFR 715.1 et. seq., 20 CFR 720.1 et. seq., 20 CFR 725.1 et. seq.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** Disclosure to mine oocrators who have been determined to be potentially liable for the claim and any oarty providing the mine operator with workers' compensation insurance coverage; State worker's compensation agencies and the Social Security Administration for the purpose of determining offsets as specified under the Act; and labor unions and other voluntary employee associations of which the claimant is a member which exercise an interest in claims of members as part of their service to the members.

**Storage:** Manual Files.

**Retrievability:** Name and social security number.

**Safeguards:** Files located in restricted area of Federal building under quard by security officers.

**Retention and disposal:** Being determined at this time.

**System manager(s) and address:** Associate Director, Division of Coal Mine Workers' Compensation, Office of Workers' Compensation Programs, Employment Standards Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Notification procedure:** Same as above.

**Record access procedures:** Same as above.

**Contesting record procedures:** Same as above.

**Record source categories:** Individual's Medical Records.

DOL/ESA—14

**System name:** Office of Workers' Compensation Programs, Federal Employees' Compensation Act File.

**System location:** U.S. Department of Labor, Employment Standards Administration, Office of Workers' Compensation Programs, Division of Federal Employees' Compensation, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., room S-3229, Washington, D.C. 20210; and District Offices.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** FECA benefits recipients are Federal employees injured or killed while in the performance of duty. In case of death, beneficiary records are maintained. In addition to Federal employees the FECA covers volunteers in the Civil Air Patrol, Peace Corps Volunteers, Job Corps Enrollees, Volunteers in Service to America, members of the National Teachers Corps, certain student employees, employees of the Alaska Railroad, members of the Reserve Officers Training Corps, certain Law Enforcement Officers not employed by the United States. Prior to January 1, 1957, the FECA also covered reservists in the Armed Forces of the United States. Also covered various classes of persons who provide or have provided services to the Government of the United States.

**Categories of records in the system:** Record includes reports of injury by employee and employing establishment, authorization for medical treatment, medical records, medical and transportation bills, comoensation payment records, formal orders for or against payment of compensation, vital statistics such as birth, death and marriage certificates.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** 5 USC 8101 et seq., 20 CFR 1.1 et seq.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** Disclosure to any third-party named in a claim or representative acting on his/her behalf until the claim is adjudicated and all appeals resolved; Federal agencies which employed the claimant at the time of occurrence or recurrence of the injury or occupational illness; Federal, state or private rehabilitation agencies to whom the claimant has been referred for evaluation of the extent and nature of the disability and/or rehabilitation; physicians making an examination for the United States under 5 U.S.C. 8123(a); medical insurance plans or health and welfare plans which the claimant is covered by in instances when there is evidence of payment by OWCP for treatment of a medical condition which is not compensable; and labor unions and other voluntary employee associations of which the claimant is a member which exercise an interest in claims of members as part of their service to the members.

**Storage:** File cabinets; security files are kept in combination locker file cabinets.

**Retrievability:** Files are retrieved after identification by coded file number which is cross referenced to employee by name, employing establishment, date and nature of injury. Files located in District Offices are identified by master index file, which is maintained in the National Office.

**Safeguards:** Files are maintained under constant supervision of OWCP personnel during normal working hours—only authorized personnel may handle or disclose any information contained therein. Only personnel having security clearance may handle or process security files. After normal working hours, security files are kept in locked cabinets. All files are maintained in guarded Feeral buldings.

**Retention and disposal:** Regular files are retained, retired to Federal Record Centers, and disposed in accordance with GSA schedule. Security files are disposed of by the submitting agency.

**System manager(s) and address:** Associate Director, FECA, room S-3 229 NDOL, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Notification procedure:** As above.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** Injured employees, beneficiaries, employing Federal agencies, other Federal Agencies, physicians, hospitals, clinics, educational institutions, attorneys, congressmen, OWCP field investigations, state governments.

DOL/ESA—15

**System name:** Office of Workers' Compensation Programs, Federal Employees' Compensation Act Chargeback File.

**System location:** Directorate of Data Automation, GAO Building, 441 G Street, NW, Room 2852, Washington, D.C. 20210; system can be accessed from terminal 7 located in: Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW, Room C3525, Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** FECA Benefits Recipients.

**Categories of records in the system:** Personal, financial.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** 5 U.S.C. 8147.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** Reports sent to all other Federal agencies in order to support the billing of cost paid by DOL.

**Storage:** Magnetic Tape.

**Retrievability:** OWCP case number within OWCP District Office.

**Safeguards:** Physical security-tapes are locked up.

**Retention and disposal:** OWCP/FECA is responsible for source data records, computer records are maintained or destroyed (deleted) by OWCP/FECA authority.

**System manager(s) and address:** Associate Director, Division of Federal Employees Compensation, Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW, Room S3229, Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Notification procedure:** Same as above.

**Record access procedures:** Same as above.

**Contesting record procedures:** Same as above.

**Record source categories:** CA45, CA7 at originating OWCP District Office servicing injured employee's government agency.

DOL/ESA—16

**System name:** Office of Workers' Compensation Programs, Longshore and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act File.

**System location:** Files are located in District Office.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** The system maintains records of injury, occupational disease and death of employees working in private industry who are covered by the provisions of the Longshoremen's

**Categories of records in the system:** Records include: reports of injury by employees; employers; authorization for medical care; medical reports; medical and transportation bills; formal orders for or against payment of compensation; vital statistics such as birth, marriage, death certificates; enrollment and attendance records at educational institutions.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** 33 U.S.C. 901 et. se4. (20 CFR 701 et. seq.), 36 U.S.C. 501 et. seq., 42 U.S.C. 1951 et. seq., 43 U.S.C 1331 et. seq., 5 U.S.C. 8171 et. seq.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** Disclosure to the employer at the time of the injury or the onset of the occupational illness and to any party providing the employer with workers' compensation insurance coverage; doctors and medical service

providers for the purpose of obtaining medical evaluations, physical rehabilitation of other services; and labor unions and other voluntary employee associations of which the claimant is a member which exercise an interest in claims of members as part of their service to the members.

**Storage:** The information is maintained as written records and documents in letter size manual files stored in 4 and 5 drawer file cabinets, located in the several District Offices.

**Retrievability:** Identification is based on coded file numbers, cross-referenced to employee name, date of injury and employer name.

**Safeguards:** Files are physically maintained under constant supervision of OWCP personnel during working hours. Rooms in which files are maintained are locked during non-business hours.

**Retention and disposal:** Files are retained in District Offices for a oeriod of five years following closing, after which they are retired to the Federal Records Centers and eventually disposed of in accordance with the GSA records disposal schedule. No lost time reports of injury are destroyed five years after the fiscal year in which they are received.

**System manager(s) and address:** Associate Director, OWCP, Division of Longshore and Harbor Workers' Compensation, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW, Room C4315, Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Notification procedure:** As above.

**Record access procedures:** Any individual seeking information about a case in which he/she is a party of interest may write or telephone the OWCP District Office and arrangement will be made to provide review of the file, consonant with restrictions defined as a Routine Use.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** The system obtains information from injured employees, their qualified dependents, employers, physicians, medical facilities, educational institutions, attorneys, members of Congress, state and federal vocational rehabilitation agencies.

### DOL/ESA—17

**System name:** Wage-Hour Sheltered Workshop File.

**System location:** Directorate of Data Automation, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW, Room C3309, Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Handicapped People that can be trained.

**Categories of records in the system:** Personal, Financial.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** 29 U.S.C. 214.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** Reports to Congress.

**Storage:** Mag-tape, Manual files.

**Retrievability:** Client file is indexed by social security number.

**Safeguards:** Physical security—tapes are locked up, files are protected by building security. Material is maintained for ten years and then destroyed except for reports, which are offered to the National Archives and Records Service as archival material.

**System manager(s) and address:** Administrator, Wage and Hour Division, Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW, Room S3020, Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Notification procedure:** As above.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** Questionnaire I of the Sheltered Workshop Survey Form OMB Number:44-S73013

### DOL/ESA—18

**System name:** Farm Labor Contractor Employee Records File.

**System location:** Employment Standards Administration, Wage and Hour Division in the NO and each Regional Office.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Applicants for and Holders of Farm Labor Contractor

**Categories of records in the system:** Personal identification, fingerprints, FBI records.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** Public Law 93-518, the Farm Labor Contractor Registration Act of 1963, as amended.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** A public central registry of all persons issued identification cards is maintained by name and address which is available to anyone as required by the Farm Labor Contractor Registration Act of 1963, as amended. The supporting records may be made available on a cooperative basis to State agencies administering similar orograms, to the Immigration and Naturalization Services, and to the Department of Justice.

**Retrievability:** Indexed by the name of the applicant.

**Safeguards:** Accessible only to persons engaged in the administration of the program and there is screening to orevent unauthorized disclosure.

**Retention and disposal:** A retention and disposal system is in the process of being prepared.

**System manager(s) and address:** Assistant Administrator for the Office of Fair Labor Standards, Wage and Hour Division, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW, Room S3502, Washington, D.C. 20210, and Assistant Regional Administrator for Wage and Hour Division of Employment Standards Administration of relevant Regional Offices.

**Notification procedure:** To System Manager at above address.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** The sources for records in the system include information furnished by the applicant, insurance companies, FBI, court and police records, and from investigations conducted by DOL.

**Systems exempted from certain provisions of the act:** In accordance with paragraph 3 (k) (2) of the Privacy Act, the Farm Labor Contractor Employees Record File containing investigatory material compiled for law enforcement purposes is exempt from paragraphs (c) (3), (d), (e) (4) (G), (H) and (I) and paragraph (f) of 5 U.S.C. 552a. The disclosure of the information contained in these files, including the identity of agencies to whom the information has been transmitted, would substantially compromise the effectiveness of the Division's investigations. Knowledge of such investigations would enable subjects to take such action as is necessary to prevent detection of illegal activities, conceal evidence, or otherwise escape civil law enforcement action. In addition, disclosure of the information could lead to the intimidation of, or harm to, informants, witnesses, and their respective families. The information in this file is exempt as set forth above until such time as a determination is made based upon information contained therein, at which time, the relevant records will be made available to the extent that it does not reveal the identity of a confidential source who has furnished information under an express promise that his or her identity would be held in confidence (or prior to September 27, 1975, under an implied promise that the source's identity would be protected). This exemption is necessary in order to protect the integrity of law enforcement investigations as well as to protect the identities of sources who would not otherwise provide information.

### DOL/ESA—19

**System name:** Advisory Committee on Sheltered Workshops members' files.

**System location:** Room S3513, Department of Labor Building; Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Past and current members of the Advisory Committee on Sheltered Workshops.

**Categories of records in the system:** Biographical information and correspondence.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended. Advisory Committee on Sheltered Workshops Charter, January 5, 1975.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** Biographical information submitted to the Civil Service Commission and the FBI for name checks prior to nomination.

**Storage:** Manual files.

**Retrievability:** By member's name.

**Safeguards:** Building security.

**Retention and disposal:** Retained in files 20 years, then offered to the National Archives.

**System manager(s) and address:** Chief, Branch of Handicapped Worker Problems, Room S3513, Department of Labor Building, Washington, D.C.

**Notification procedure:** Administrator, Wage and Hour Division, 200 Constitution Avenue, Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Contesting record procedures:** Same as above.

**Record source categories:** Members, their offices, or other Federal agencies.

DOL/ESA—20

**System name:** Advisory Committee on Women to the Secretary of Labor members' files.

**System location:** Office of the Executive Secretary, Advisory Committee on Women to the Secretary of Labor, Department of Labor Building, Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Members of the Advisory Commitee on Women to the Secretary of Labor.

**Categories of records in the system:** Biographical information.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** Advisory Committee on Women to the Secretary of Labor Charter, December 5, 1975.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** Biographical information is submitted to the Civil Service Commission and the FBI for name check prior to nomination.

**Storage:** Manual files.

**Retrievability:** By member's name.

**Safeguards:** Building security.

**Retention and disposal:** Records destroyed after expiration of the member's term.

**System manager(s) and address:** Executive Secretary, Advisory Committee on Women to the Secretary of Labor, Department of Labor Building, Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Notification procedure:** Same as above.

**Record access procedures:** Same as above.

**Contesting record procedures:** Same as above.

**Record source categories:** Individuals covered.

DOL/ESA—21

**System name:** Citizens' Advisory Council or the Status of Women correspo::dence files.

**System location:** Office of the Executive Secretary, Citizens' Advisory Council on the Status of Women, Room S3005, Department of Labor Building, Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Individuals corresponding with the Citizens' Advisory Council on the Stetus of Women.

**Categories of records in the system:** Personal.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** Executive Order 11126, as amended by Executive Order 11221. Citizens' Advisory Council on the Status of Women, Charter. January 5, 1975.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** None.

**Storage:** Manual files.

**Retrievability:** By correspondent's name.

**Safeguards:** Building security.

**Retention and disposal:** Not yet determined.

**System manager(s) and address:** Executive Secretary, Citizens' Advisory Council on the Status of Women, Room S3005, Department of Labor Building, Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Notification procedure:** Same as above.

**Record access procedures:** Same as above.

**Contesting record procedures:** Same as above.

**Record source categories:** Correspondence from individuals covered.

DOL/ESA—22

**System name:** Citizens. Advisory Council on the Status of Women Members' files.

**System location:** Office of the Executive Secretary, Citizens Advisory Council on the Status of Women. Room S3005, Department of Labor Building, Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Past and current members of the Citizens' Advisory Council on the Status of Women.

**Categories of records in the system:** Biographical information.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** Executive Order 11126, as amended by Executive Order 11221. Citizens' Advisory Council on the Status of Women, Charter. January 5, 1975.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** None.

**Storage:** Manual files.

**Retrievability:** By member's name.

**Safeguards:** Building security.

**Retention and disposal:** Not yet determined.

**System manager(s) and address:** Executive Secretary Citizens' Advisory Council on the Status of Women, Room S3005, Department of Labor Building, Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Notification procedure:** Same as above.

**Record access procedures:** Same as above.

**Contesting record procedures:** Same as above.

**Record source categories:** Individuals covered.

DOL/ESA—23

**System name:** Advisory Committee for Higher Education Equal Employment Opportunity Programs Members' files.

**System location:** U.S. Department of Labor, Room C3325, 200 Constitution Ave., NW, Washinoton, D.C. 20210.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Members of the Advisory Committee for Higher Education Equal Employment Opportunity Programs.

**Categories of records in the system:** Biographical information.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** Charter of the Advisory Committee for Higher Education Equal Employment Opportunity Programs, January 28, 1976, Executive Order 111246.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** Name checks obtained from Civil Service Commission and FBI. Membership list including names, oositions and addresses sent to the public on request and published in press releases.

**Storage:** Manual files.

**Retrievability:** By member's name.

**Safeguards:** Room is locked when unattended.

**Retention and disposal:** Records will be maintained for the life of the committee.

**System manager(s) and address:** Executive Secretary, Advisory Committee for Higher Education Equal Employment Opportunity Programs, Room C3325, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Notification procedure:** Same as above.

**Record access procedures:** Same as above.

**Contesting record procedures:** Same as above.

**Record source categories:** Individuals covered, or their offices.

DOL/ETA—1

**System name:** Budget and Position Control.

**System location:** Employment and Training Administration, Bureau of Apprenticeship & Training—Office of Budget Development & Review, Room 5406, Patrick Henry Building, 601 D Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20213.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Federal Employees currently employed in BAT.

**Categories of records in the system:** Personnel matters concerning grades and rates of pay are recorded.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** 5 U.S.C. 301.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** None.

**Storage:** Kardex.

**Retrievability:** By region, budget position number, or name of employee

**Safeguards:** Stored in locked office.

**Retention and disposal:** Retained until the termination of employee, then shredded.

**System manager(s) and address:** Office of Budget Development and Review, Employment and Training Administration—Bureau of Apprenticeship and Training, Room 5406, Patrick Henry Building, 601 D Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20213.

**Notification procedure:** As abve.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** Form 50.

DOL/ETA—2

**System name:** Bureau of Apprenticeship and Training, Field Service Staff Budget and Position Control File.

**System location:** Bureau of Apprenticeship and Training, Room 5434, Patrick Henry Building, 601 D Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20213.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Individuals employed by the Bureau.

**Categories of records in the system:** Kardex file on which personnel actions (Form 50) are recorded so the grade, pay, address and telephone number are listed. To maintain position information & control with copies of each position description in effect.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** P.L.-308 (Fitzgerald Act).

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** None.

**Storage:** Kardex Files.

**Retrievability:** By Participant Name and Geographical location.

**Safeguards:** Stored in locked office and no disclosure made from records.

**Retention and disposal:** Maintain as long as individual is employed. Disposed of when no longer needed for operational purposes.

**System manager(s) and address:** Director. Field Service Staff, BAT, Room 5434, Patrick Henry Bldg., 601 D Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20213.

**Notification procedure:** As above.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** Form 50.

DOL/ETA—3

**System name:** Bureau of Apprenticeship and Training, Foreign Nationals Individual Program File

**System location:** Bureau of Apprenticeship and Training, Room 5426, Patrick Henry Building, 601 D Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20213.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Foreign Nationals programmed by the Bureau of Apprenticeship and Training under the Technical Assistance Act.

**Categories of records in the system:** Personal information of the Foreign National and programming operating information.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** P.L.-308 (Fitzgerald Act)..

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** None.

**Storage:** Program Files.

By Participaal), Room 5426, Patrick Henry Bldg., Washington, D.C. 20213.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** State Department

DOL/ETA—4

**System name:** Bureau of Apprenticeship and Training, National Industry

**System location:** Bureau of Apprenticeship and Training, Room 5414. Patrick Henry Building, 601 D Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20213.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Apprentices.

**Categories of records in the system:** Program Sponsor.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** Public Law 308 (Fitzgerald Act)

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** None.

**Storage:** Manual Files—programs and apprentice registration cards for apprentices.

**Retrievability:** Program sponsor name, apprentice's name and apprenticeable occupation.

**Safeguards:** Standard file cabinets with locks.

**Retention and disposal:** Retain three years and commit to Archives.

**System manager(s) and address:** Director, Office of National Industry Promotion, Room 5414, Patrick Henry Building, 601 D Street, NW, Washington, D.C.

**Notification procedure:** As above.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** Program Sponsor.

DOL/ETA—5

**System name:** ESARS 2

Sample File.

**System location:** Room 2821, GAO Building, 441 G Street, NW, Washington, D.C.; Room 4410 Patrick Henry Building, 601 D Street, NW, Washington, D.C.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Employment Service Applicants.

**Categories of records in the system:** Characteristics of individual such as age, sex, race and ES Services provided to him.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** 29 U.S.C. 49, et. seq.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** None.

**Storage:** Magnetic Tape.

**Retrievability:** By region, State, SSN.

**Safeguards:** Routine computer precautions limiting access to authorized expenditure codes

**Retention and disposal:** 5 years. Destroyed by scratching tape.

**System manager(s) and address:** Chief, Division of ADP Systems, Patrick Henry Bldg., Room 4410, 601 D Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20213.

**Notification procedure:** As above.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** All records came from the State Employment Service Offices in the 50 States, P.R. and D.C.

DOL/ETA—6

**System name:** Exemplary Rehabilitation Certification Program File.

**System location:** Department of Labor, Employment and Training Administration, Division of Immigration and Rehabilitation Certification, Patrick Henry Building, 601 D Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20213.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Ex-servicemen with less than honorable discharges from the U.S. Armed Forces.

**Categories of records in the system:** Personal. Information such as: Local law enforcement reference, fingerprint card, character references, employer references.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** 10 U.S.C. 26.1; 80 STAT. 1017; P.L. 89-690.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** None.

**Storage:** Individual application folders are kept under lock and key from fhe date application is received to date of retirement of folders to the Federal Records Center.

**Retrievability:** Records are indexed by name, address, serial number, social security number and date of birth.

**Safeguards:** Individual application folders are kept in file cabinets under lock and key.

**Retention and disposal:** Folders are maintained in the Division for at least 2 years. After 2 years, the records are sent to the Federal Records Center for storage. Because of requests for replacements of lost or destroyed certificates, thesa records are retained permanently.

**System manager(s) and address:** Chief, Division of Immigration and Rehabilitation Certification; Room 8204, Patrick Henry Building, 601 D Street. NW, Washington, D.C. 20213.

**Notification procedure:** U.S. Department of Labor, Employment and Training Administration, U.S. Employment Service (TETR), Washirgton, D.C. 20213.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** Upon request, an application packet is sent to the ex-serviceman which includes an application form, employer reference form, character reference forms, local law authority reference form, and a fingerprint card. When the completed application forms are returned to the Department of Labor, the fingerprint card is sent to the FBI for investigation. The FBI then returns the fingerprint card and report to the Department of Labor.

DOL/ETA—7

**System name:** Immigration and Rehabilitation Certification Program File.

**System location:** National Office U.S. Department of Labor, Employment and Training Administration, 601 D Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20213; Regional Offices.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Employers who file apolication for alien employment certification on behalf of aliens.

**Categories of records in the system:** Information of record consists of employer's type, size and nature of business; offer of employment to alien; and alien.s backround and qualifications.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** Public Law 414, Immigration and Nationality Act, as

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** Any and all materials pertinent to the alien certification program are disclosed to employer's attorneys, aliens, State Department and Immigration and Naturalization Service.

**Storage:** Manual files are stored in the national office, the 10 regional offices and the office in the Virgin Islands.

**Retrievability:** Records are maintained on all applications for alien emoloyment certification filed by means of Immigration Case Record and Transmittal, PES Form 71-30 (Rev. June 1968). Job offer applications are filed alphabetically by emoloyer name, and applications by alien name if filed by alien on his own behalf.

**Safeguards:** Access to records orovided only to authorized personnel.

**Retention and disposal:** Case files are to be retained in the individual offices for at least 18 months, at which time they are to be stored in the Federal Records Center, and then destroyed, by shredding, after 2 years or more. The San Francisco Regional Office retains its records for 2 years; storage keeps them for 5 years, and they keep all case files under lock and key. Chicago retains its records for 18 months and then they are destroyed.

**System manager(s) and address:** Chief, Division of Immigration and Rehabilitation Certification, Employment and Training Administration, U.S. Employment Service, 601 D Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20213.

**Notification procedure:** National Office—Regional Offices—Virgin Islands, named areas. Persons must present identifying documents pertinent to the application in order to locate information from the file.

**Record access procedures:** Information may be obtained from certifying officers of the national office, regional offices and the virgin Islands office of USES.

**Contesting record procedures:** Same as above.

**Record source categories:** Sources of information come from aoplication form completed by employers and aliens, supporting documents, schools, colleges, organizations and publications.1DOL/ETA—8

**System name:** Job Corps Mainstream File.

**System location:** Room 2821, GAO Building, 441 G Street, NW, Washington, D.C.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Job Corps enrollees.

**Categories of records in the system:** Personal information about the trainee on tape, income, age, education, etc. In the placement system—was he placed—if not placed the reason for such.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** 29 U.S.C. 911, et. seq.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** None.

**Storage:** Magnetic Tape.

**Retrievability:** Social Security Number.

**Safeguards:** Unique data set name and volume serial number for the file

**Retention and disposal:** Retention since inception. They are not destroyed and means thereof have not been decided upon.

**System manager(s) and address:** Chief, Division of ADP Systems, Patrick Henry Bldg., Room 4410, 601 D Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20213.

**Notification procedure:** As above.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** Job Corps Centers.

DOL/ETA—9

**System name:** Job Corps Placement File.

**System location:** Room 2821, GAO Bldg., 441 G Street, NW, Washington, D.C.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Job Corps enrollees.

**Categories of records in the system:** Personal characteristics.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** 29 U.S.C. 911, et. seq.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** Report sent to Congress.

**Storage:** Magnetic tape.

**Retrievability:** Name and social security Number.

**Safeguards:** Restricted to only authorized personnel.

**Retention and disposal:** None.

**System manager(s) and address:** Chief, Division of Reporting Operations, Patrick Henry Building, 601 D Street, NW, Room 4208. Washington, D.C. 20213.

**Notification procedure:** U.S. Deoartment of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW, Suite 2018. Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** Job Corps Centers and USDL, MA, Regional Offices.

DOL/ETA—10

**System name:** Migrant Worker File.

**System location:** Room 2821, GAO Building, 441 G Street, NW, Washington, D.C.; Room 4410 Patrick Henry Building, 601 D Street, NW, Washington, D.C.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Migrant Workers who have reported to ES Office for service.

**Categories of records in the system:** Characteristics of individual and IS services provided to him.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** Court Order (Civil Action 2010-72, NAACP vs. Brennan).

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** None.

**Storage:** Magnetic tape.

**Retrievability:** Region, State, SSN.

**Safeguards:** Routine computer precautions limiting access to authorized expenditure codes.

**Retention and disposal:** 5 years. Destroyed by scratching tapes.

**System manager(s) and address:** Chief, Division of ADP Systems, Patrick Henry Bldg., Room 4410, 601 D Street, NW, Washington, D.C.

**Notification procedure:** As above.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** State ES offices.

DOL/ETA—11

**System name:** Trainee Characteristic File.

**System location:** Room 2821, GAO Building, 441 G Street, NW, Washington, D.C.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Manpower trainees under MDTA andEOA acts.

**Categories of records in the system:** Personal, financial, and medical. System no longer active. Files are historical.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** 42 U.S.C. 2571, et. seq., (Manpower Development Training Act); 42 U.S.C. 2701, et. seq., (Economic Opportunity Act).

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** None.

**Storage:** Magneti tape.

**Retrievability:** Indexed by social secrity number.

**Safeguards:** Files are maintained only at one location. Access is not permitted without written approval.

**Retention and disposal:** Files are historical; retention indefinite. Files are destroyed by witing over the tape.

**System manager(s) and address:** Chief, Division of ADP Systems, Patrick Henry Bldg., Room 4410, 01 D Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20213.

**Notification procedure:** As above.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** MDTA orms: MT101,2,3; MA101,2,3,4; EOA forms: NYC-16 & OEO-16.

**DOL/ETA—12**

**System name:** WIN II Characteristics File.

**System location:** Room 282l, GAO Bldg., 441 G Street, NW, Washington, D.C.; Room 4410 Patrick Henry Building, 601 D Street, NW, Washington, D.C.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** WIN Registrants.

**Categories of records in the system:** Characteristics of individual such as age, sex, race and ES Services provided to him.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** 42 U.S.C. 630 et. seq.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** None.

**Storage:** Magnetic tape.

**Retrievability:** By regio, State, SSN.

**Safeguards:** Routine computer precautions limitig acces to authorized expenditure codes.

**Retention and disposal:** 5 years. Destroyed.

**System manager(s) and address:** Chief, Division of ADP Systems, Patrick Henry Bldg., Room 4410, 601 D Street, NW, Washington, D.C.

**Notification procedure:** As above.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** Allrecords came from the State Employment Security offices in the 50 States, P.R. and D.C.

**DOL/ETA—13**

**System name:** l

Employee-Employer Sample File.

**System location:** Room 2821, GAO Building, 441 G Street, NW, Washington, D.C.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** l

of all employed persons covered by SSA in the U.S.

**Categories of records in the system:** Wage Information. Also Sex, Race, Employer Size, etc. Individual Records—Characteristic data plus wage data.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** 42 U.S.C. 501 et. seq.; 26 U.S.C. 3301 et. seq.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** None.

**Storage:** Tape.

**Retrievability:** By Social Security Number and State Code.

**Safeguards:** None. Stored in tape library at DDA.

**Retention and disposal:** The records are kept until advised that data are no longer needed.

**System manager(s) and address:** Chief, Division of ADP Systems, Patrick Henry Bldg., Room 4410, 601 D Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20213.

**Notification procedure:** As above.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** Social Security Administration.

**DOL/ETA—14**

**System name:** Job Corpsmember Personnel File.

**System location:** State Employment Agencies, private contract screening agencies, Job Corps Regional Offices, Job Corps Center, Gatehouse (contract placement), and Federal Records Centers (FRC).

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Job Corps trainees.

**Categories of records in the system:** Complete personnel file of each corpsmember with all pertinent papers including: pay, allowance, health, education, vocational training and any correspondence or other documents relating to individual corpsmember status, assignment, promotion, discipline, investigation, or course participation.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** Economic Ooportunity Act of 1964, as amended.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** Training and work experience data to state and local welfare agencies, county boards of assistance and potential emoloyers.

**Storage:** Locked file cabinet with policy of limited access.

**Retrievability:** File retrieved only by name.

**Safeguards:** Locked file cabinets with policy of very limited access.

**Retention and disposal:** Standard Form 115 has been submitted to GSA/NARS requesting treatment as Federal personnel files under General Records Schedule 1, Item 1.

**System manager(s) and address:** Corpsmember Records Liaison Officer, Corpsmember Support Unit, Patrick Henry Building, Room 6122, 601 D Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20213.

**Notification procedure:** Regional Manpower Administration Office, or Systems Manager at above address.

**Record access procedures:** Systems Manager at above address.

**Contesting record procedures:** Same as access.

**Record source categories:** Pertinent personnel data received from various employment related sources.

**DOL/ETA—15**

**System name:** DOL/ETA Evaluation or Research Contractors' Project Files.

**System location:** Individual Contractors' Worksites.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Vary according to requirements of studies.

**Categories of records in the system:** Part B, Title III, CETA; Sec. 441, Social Security Act.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** To provide a variety of data, personal and otherwise, required by contract researchers or evaluators to carry out the research and evaluation goals of the studies for which they are responsible; these records are used solely for statistical research or evaluation purposes and not used in any way for making any determination about an identifiable individual.

**Storage:** Files are maintained by the contractors at their sites as folders, tape, punchcards, etc., as appropriate, until the conclusion of the studies and for a limited time following as required by the ETA. Systems Manager and address: U.S. Department of Labor, Employment and Training Administration, Director, Office of Program Evaluation, Room 9402 or Director, Office of Research and Development Branch, Room 9100, Patrick Henry Building, 601 D Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20213.

**Notification procedure:** As above.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** Evaluation Contractors. Project Files; Research Contractors' Project Files.

**DOL/ETA—16**

**System name:** Employment and Training Administration, Investigatory File.

**System location:** Office of Investigation and Compliance, Room 10400, Patrick Henry Building, 601 D Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20213.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Applicants, Contractors, Subcontractors, Grantees, Subgrantees, Private Organizations and General Public.

**Categories of records in the system:** Resolution of investigations of allegations about criminal and civil violations and on-going investigations.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** 5 U.S.C. 301.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** Federal, State, or local government agencies for civil, criminal or regulatory law enforcement; contracting and grant officers for award and administration of grants and contracts.

**Storage:** Information in the system is stored in case files.

**Retrievability:** By name.

**Safeguards:** Physical Security.

**Retention and disposal:** Files are maintained as long as the case is open. When case is closed and it is determined that the file is no longer needed, the file is sent to Archives.

**System manager(s) and address:** Director, Office of Investigation and Compliance, Room 10400, Patrick Henry Building, 601 D Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20213.

**Notification procedure:** As above.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** Program sponsors, contractors, complainants, witnesses, Federal, State, and local government records.

DOL/ETA—17

**System name:** Employment and Training Administration, Equal Employment Opportunity File.

**System location:** Office of Investigation and Compliance, Room 10400, Patrick Henry Building, 601 D Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20213; and all ETA Regional Offices.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Individuals filing complaints of discrimination against employers, Employment Service Agencies, and ETA training programs.

**Categories of records in the system:** Resolution of investigations related to complaints of discrimination.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** 5 U.S.C. 301.

**Storage:** Information in the system is stored in case files.

**Retrievability:** By name.

**Safeguards:** Physical Security.

**Retention and disposal:** Files are maintained as long as the case is open. When case is closed and it is determined that the file is no longer needed, the file is sent to Archives.

**System manager(s) and address:** Director, Office of Investigation and Compliance, Room 10400, Patrick Henry Building, 601 D Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20213; and all ETA Regional Offices.

**Notification procedure:** As above.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** Complainants, employers, and ETA training programs.

DOL/ILAB—1

**System name:** Employee Address File.

**System location:** U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, Room S5214, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** All Employees.

**Categories of records in the system:** Name, Social Security Number, related personal data, Bank and Bank Account Number.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** 5 U.S.C. 301, Manual of Administration, Chapter 6-300, Section 5.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** None.

**Storage:** Manual File.

**Retrievability:** Name, Alpha.

**Safeguards:** Physical Security.

**Retention and disposal:** Destroyed on separation, transfer, or retirement of employee.

**System manager(s) and address:** Chief, Division of Management Services, Administrative Management Staff, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, Room S5214, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Notification procedure:** Bureau of International Labor Affairs, U.S. Department of Labor, Room S2235, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Record access procedures:** Chief, Division of Management Services, Adminstrative Management Staff, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, Room S5214, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above

**Record source categories:** The information comes from employees.

DOL/ILAB—2

**System name:** Organizational Operating Pattern File.

**System location:** U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, Room S5303C, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** All Employees.

**Categories of records in the system:** Lists employee incumbent by organization and title.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** 5 U.S.C. 301.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** None.

**Storage:** Card File and organizational listing.

**Retrievability:** Organization and Position Number.

**Safeguards:** Physical Security and limited distribution.

**Retention and disposal:** Updated by Personnel changes and revised monthly.

**System manager(s) and address:** Director, Administrative Management Staff, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, Room S5303, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Notification procedure:** Bureau of International Labor Affairs, U.S. Department of Labor, Room S2235, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Record access procedures:** Director, Administrative Management Staff, Bureau of International Labor Affairs, Room S5303, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Contesting record procedures:** Same as above.

**Record source categories:** Standard Form 50, Notification of Personnel Action.

DOL/LMSA—1

**System name:** LMSE index cards and case files, Division of Enforcement.

**System location:** U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room N5416, Washington, D.C. 20216; all Area Offices.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Union Officers, individuals investigated, and individuals interviewed.

**Categories of records in the system:** Primarily investigative material relating to investigations under the LMRDA-1959 and EO 11491.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** Information is disclosed to other Federal, state and local law enforcement agencies; to various congressional committees; in the President's Anti-Organized Crime Program including but not limited to reports of investigation, reports of interview and memoranda relating to investigations and intelligence information.

**Storage:** Manual file.

**Retrievability:** By name of individual.

**Safeguards:** Cards are in roladex machine which is locked at night. In addition, cards are number-coded so that only an authorized employee can find a desired file.

**Retention and disposal:** Cards are retained indefinitely; files are kept for three years, at which time they are sent to Federal Records Center for two years, after which except for those records with historical value, they are destroyed.

**System manager(s) and address:** Acting Director, LMSE, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, Room N5408, Washington, D.C. 20216.

**Notification procedure:** Mail all inquires to Systems Manager at above address.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** Information compiled from interviews conducted during investigations, written complaints, and written reports received from other agencies.

**Systems exempted from certain provisions of the act:** a. Criminal law enforcement. In accordance with paragraph 3 (j) (2) of the Privacy Act, information maintained in the system of records consisting of the card index and case files in the Division of Enforcement of the Office of Labor-Management Standards Enforcement which relates to criminal investigations is exempt from all provisions contained in 5 U.S.C. 552a except those requirements set forth in paragraphs (b), (c) (l) and (2), (e) (4) (A) through (F), (e) (6), (7), (9), (10) and (11), and paragraph (i) of the Act. Two of the three branches in the Division of Enforcement perform activities primarily relating to the enforcement of criminal laws including activities carried out under the provisions of the President's Anti-Organized Crime Program and Titles II, V, and VI of the Labor-Management Reporting and Disclosure Act of 1959, As Amended. The disclosure of information contained in the criminal investigative files, including the names of persons or agencies to whom the information has been transmitted, would substantially compromise the effectiveness of the Division's investigations. Knowledge of such investigations could enable subjects to take such action as is necessary to prevent detection of criminal activities, conceal evidence, or to escape prosecution. Disclosure of this information could lead to the intimidation of, or harm to, informants, witnesses, and their respective families, and could jeopardize the safety and well-being of investigative personnel and their families. The imposition of certain restrictions on the manner in which investigative information is collected, verified, or retained would impede significantly the effectiveness of the Division's investigatory activities, and in addition, may often preclude the apprehension and successful prosecution of persons engaged in criminal activity in the labor-management area. b. Other law enforcement. In accordance with paragraph 3(k) (2) of the Privacy Act, investigatory material compiled for law enforcement purposes other than material declared exempt under paragraph 3(j) (2) of the Act, which is maintained in the card index and case files of the Division of Enforcement of the Office of Labor-Management Standards Enforcement is exempt from paragraphs (c) (3), (d), (e) (4) (G), (H) and (I), and paragraph (f) of 5 U.S.C. 552a. The disclosure of information contained in civil investigative files, including the names of persons or agencies to whom the information has been transmitted, would substantially compromise the effectiveness of the Division's investigations. Knowledge of such investigations would enable subjects to take such action as is necessary to prevent detection of illegal activities, conceal evidence, or otherwise escape civil enforcement action. Disclosure of this information could lead to the intimidation of, or harm to, informants, witnesses, and their respective amilies, and, in addition, could jeopardize the safety and well-being of investigative personnel and their families. The imposition of certain restrictions on the manner in which investigative information is collected, verified, or retained would also impede significantly the effectiveness of the Division's investigatory activities.

DOL/LMSA—2

**System name:** LMSA Case Opening and Closing Reports.

**System location:** U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room N5469, Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Veterans of U.S. military service.

**Categories of records in the system:** Nature of Veterans' Complaints.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** Chapter 43 of Title 38, U.S. Code, and predecessor statutes.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** Referred to Deputy Justice upon veteran's request.

**Storage:** Manual files.

**Retrievability:** By name and geographical location.

**Safeguards:** Any request for disclosure is screened by Office Director.

**Retention and disposal:** Records are maintained 3 years in National Office and then transferred to Federal Record Center where they are retained for 7 years and then destroyed.

**System manager(s) and address:** Acting Director, OVRR, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room N5469, NDOL, Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Notification procedure:** As above.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** LMSA field offices.

DOL/LMSA—3

**System name:** OVRR Correspondence files.

**System location:** U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room N5469, Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** General public, veterans of military service, and Congressmen.

**Categories of records in the system:** General inquires and inquires involving pending cases.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** Chapter 43 of Title 38, U.S. Code, and predecessor statutes.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** Referral to other agencies for appropriate action.

**Storage:** Manual files.

**Retrievability:** By name and geographical location.

**Safeguards:** Any request for disclosure is screened by Office Director.

**Retention and disposal:** Records are maintained for 3 years in National Office and then transferred to Federal Record Center where they are retained for 7 years and then destroyed.

**System manager(s) and address:** Acting Director, OVRR, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room N5469, Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Notification procedure:** As above.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** Correspondent.

DOL/LMSA—4

**System name:** PWBP-Division of Enforcement and Special Investigations Staff: Case files.

**System location:** U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room N4720, Washington, D.C. 20210; and branch offices.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Plan administrators, trustees, and those individuals providing advice or services to plan.

**Categories of records in the system:** Association with the plan, services performed, interviews, conducted by LMSA, PWBP investigations.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** Part V, Section 504, Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** Used as background information in plan examinations or investigations; information is referred to Department of Justice, Internal Revenue Service, and other agencies as indicated in prefatory remarks (40 FR 41739; September 8, 1975).

**Storage:** 3x5 index cards.

**Retrievability:** Retrieved by name.

**Safeguards:** Maintained in Branch Office of Enforcement Division to enforcement personnel only.

**Retention and disposal:** Retention and Disposal: Permanent records.

**System manager(s) and address:** Administrator, Pension and Welfare Benefit Plans, Department of Labor, LMSA, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.

**Notification procedure:** Request should be submitted to above address; it should include the name, address and association with benefit plan, identifying benefit plan by name and address and types of service rendered to the plan.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** Sources are cases opened at the National Office or at any of the 24 area offices of LMSA.

**Systems exempted from certain provisions of the act:**

a. paragraoh 3 (j) (2) of the Privacy Act, information maintained in the system of records consisting of the card index and case files

in the Special Investigation Staff and the Division of Enforcement of Pension and Welfare Benefit Programs which relates to criminal investigations is exempt from all provisions contained in 5 U.S.C. 552a except those requirements set forth in paragraphs (b), (c) (1) and (2), (e) (4) (A) through (F), (e) (6), (7), (9), (10) and (11), and paragraoh (i) of the Act. The Special Investigations Staff and the Division of Enforcement perform activities relating to the enforcement of criminal laws including activities carried out under the provisions of the President's Anti-Organized Crime Program, the Welfare and Pension Plans Disclosure Act, and Title I of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974. The disclosure of information contained in the criminal investigative files, including the names of persons or agencies to whom the information has been transmitted, would substantially compromise the effectiveness of the investigations by the Special Investigations Staff and the Division of Enforcement. Knowledge of such investigations could enable subjects to take such action as is necessary to prevent detection of criminal activities, conceal evidence, or to escape prosecution. Disclosure of this information could lead to the intimidation of, or harm to, informants, witnesses, and their respective families, and could jeopardize the safety and well-being of invesigative personnel and their families. The imposition of certain restrictions on the manner in which investigative information is collected, verified, or retained would impede significantly the effectiveness of the subject investigatory activities, and in addition, may often preclude the apprehension and successful prosecution of persons engaged in criminal activity in the pension and welfare benefit plan field.

b. 3(k) (2) of the Privacy Act, investigatory material compiled for law enforcement purposes other than material declared exempt under paragraph 3(j) (2) of the Act, which is maintained in the card index and case files of the Special Investigations Staff and the Division of Enforcement of Pension and Welfare Benefit Programs is exempt from paragraphs (c) (3) (d), (e) (4) (6), (h) and (i), and paragraph (f) of 5 U.S.C. 552a. The disclosure of information contained in civil investigative files, including the names of persons or agencies to whom the information has been transmitted, would substantially compromise the effectiveness of the subject investigations. Knowledge of such investigations would enable subjects to take such action as is necessary to prevent detection of illegal activities, conceal evidence, or otherwise escape civil enforcement action. Disclosure of this information could lead to the intimidation of, or harm to, informants, witnesses, and their respective families, and, in addition, could jeopardize the safety and well-being of investigative personnel and their families. The imposition of certain restrictions on the manner in which investigative information is collected, verified, or retained would also impede significantly the effectiveness of the investigatory activities of the Special Investigations Staff and the Division of Enforcement.

### DOL/LMSA—5

**System name:** Executive Secretary/Advisory Council on Employee Welfare and Pension Benefit Plans.

**System location:** U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, Room N4700, Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Private persons who are members or have been recommended for appointment to the Advisory Council on Employee Welfare and Pension Benefit Plans.

**Categories of records in the system:** Biographical and personal data; background files on members and proposed members.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** Section 512, Title I, Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** Material used for evaluation, selection and clearance of candidates for membership on the Advisory Council within the Department of Labor and to a limited extent, by other agencies within the Executive Branch of the U.S. Government.

**Retrievability:** Filed alphabetically by names; manually retrievable.

**Safeguards:** Maintained by Executive Secretary of the Advisory Council; available or accessible only to limited Executive Staff members of LMSA and O/Secy.

**Retention and disposal:** Semi-permanent records.

**System manager(s) and address:** Administrator, Pension and Welfare Benefit Plans; Department of Labor; 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Notification procedure:** Request should be submitted to above address.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** Primarily from the individual concerned, although in some instances from groups or entities making recommendations for Council membership.

### DOL/LMSA—6

**System name:** Labor-Management Relations—Inquires from congressmen and private citizens.

**System location:** U.S. Department of Labor, Room S2307, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Congressmen, Senators, and general public.

**Categories of records in the system:** General inquiries regarding pension benefits, unfair labor practice complaints, violations of standards of conduct for labor organizations, employee protection under UMTA, strike force activities, veterans reemployment rights, and policy advice and research on labor-management relations problems.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974—Labor Management Reporting & Disclosure Act of 1959; E.O. 11491, as amended; Vietnam Era Veterans Readjustment Assistance Act of 1974.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** None.

**Storage:** Records are maintained in File Cabinets.

**Retrievability:** Indexed alphabetically by name.

**Safeguards:** Access limited to Assistant Secretary's staff.

**Retention and disposal:** Records are maintained for 3 years and then sent to Archives.

**System manager(s) and address:** Assistant Secretary for Labor-Management Relations, Room S2307, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Notification procedure:** As above.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** Same as above.

**Record source categories:** Congressional and Public correspondence.

### DOL/LMSA—7

**System name:** Veterans' Reemployment Rights Complaint File.

**System location:** LMSA Area offices, LMSA Regional offices, OVRR National offices, Regional Solicitors' offices, National Solicitor's office.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Veteran of or rejectees from military service or reservists/National Guardsmen.

**Categories of records in the system:** Military service, medical employment.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** 38 U.S.C. 2021 et. seq.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** Sent to Department of Justice, United States Attorneys.

**Storage:** Manual.

**Retrievability:** Name.

**Safeguards:** Locked Room and locked Cabinets.

**Retention and disposal:** Continuous.

**System manager(s) and address:** Associate Solicitor for General Legal Services, Room N2458, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210; Regional Solicitor's Office.

**Notification procedure:** As above.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** Veterans, employees, Department of Defense, Veterans Administration, physicians, fellow employees, union officers.

### DOL/LMSA—8

**System name:** Bonding Violations File.

**System location:** Room N2700, New Department of Labor Building, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Persons investigated with respect to violations of bonding requirements of LMRDA (Section 502).

**Categories of records in the system:** Investigative reports, legal analyses, forwarding memoranda.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** Section 502, LMRDA; Section 209, LMRDA; Section 601, LMRDA; Section 607, LMRDA.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** Legal review intra-Division; files interchanged in the processing of cases with LMSE, the Department of Justice, Regional Solicitors' offices and Assistant U.S. Attorneys.

**Storage:** Manual Files.

**Retrievability:** Indexed by name.

**Safeguards:** Restricted to official business within the agency; personnel screening.

**Retention and disposal:** Currently files are maintained for fiscal years 1971 through 1975; for prior years sent to National Archives and Records Service.

**System manager(s) and address:** Associate Solicitor, Division of Labor-Management Laws, Office of the Solicitor, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Ave., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Notification procedure:** As above.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** Complaints, witnesses, investigative reports.

DOL/LMSA—9

**System name:** Ex-Offenders Application File.

**System location:** Room N2700, New Department of Labor Building, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Persons convicted of crimes identified under Section 504 of the LMRDA who have applied for relief from the U.S. Board of Parole to serve as union officers or labor relations consultants.

**Categories of records in the system:** Applications by individuals for relief; investigative reports; legal analyses; brief;, forwarding memoranda; decisions of Administrative Law Judges and Board of Parole.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** Section 504, LMRDA; Section 601, LMRDA (investigative authority).

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** Legal review intra-Division; files interchanged in the processing of cases with LMSE and Department of Justice Regional Solicitors' Offices and Assistant U.S. Attorneys.

**Storage:** Manual Files.

**Retrievability:** Indexed by name.

**Safeguards:** Restricted to official business within agency; personnel screening.

**Retention and disposal:** Currently files are maintained for fiscal years 1971 through 1975; for prior years sent to National Archives and Records Service.

**System manager(s) and address:** Associate Solicitor, Division of Labor-Management Laws, Office of he Solicitor, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Notification procedure:** As above

**Record access procedures:** As above

**Contesting record procedures:** As above

**Record source categories:** Complainants, witnesses, investigative reports.

DOL/LMSA—10

**System name:** Falsified Reports File.

**System location:** Room N2700, New Department of Labor Building, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Persons suspected or prosecuted for violation of Section 209, LMRDA, falsifying required reports.

**Categories of records in the system:** Investigative reports, legal analyses, forwarding memoranda.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** Section 209, LMRDA.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** Legal review intra-Division; files interchanged in the processing of cases with LMSE and Department of Justice, Regional Solicitors' Offices and Assistant U.S. Attorneys.

**Storage:** Manual files.

**Retrievability:** Indexed by name.

**Safeguards:** Restricted to official business within agency; personnel screening.

**Retention and disposal:** Currently files are maintained for fiscal years 1971 through 1975; for prior years sent to National Archives and Records Service.

**System manager(s) and address:** Associate Solicitor, Division of Labor-Management Laws, Office of the Solicitor, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Notification procedure:** As above.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** Complainants, witnesses, investigative reports.

DOL/LMSA—11

**System name:** Organizational Interference File.

**System location:** Room N2700, New Department of Labor Building, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Persons owing reports under section 203(b), undertaking certain actions pursuant to agreement of arrangements with employers.

**Categories of records in the system:** Files on persons suspected or sued for violations of section 203(b), LMRDA, prohibiting agreements to oersuade employees or interfere with organization rights.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** Section 203(b), LMRDA; section 209, LMRDA; section 601, LMRDA; section 607, LMRDA.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** Legal review intra-Division; files interchanged in the processing of cases with LMSE, the Department of Justice, Regional Solicitors' Offices and Assistant U.S. Attorneys.

**Storage:** Manual Files.

**Retrievability:** Indexed by name.

**Safeguards:** Restricted to official business within agency; personnel

**Retention and disposal:** Currently files are maintained for fiscal years 1971 through 1975; for prior years sent to National Archives and Records Service.

**System manager(s) and address:** Associate Solicitor, Division of Labor-Management Laws, Office of the Solicitor, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Notification procedure:** As above.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** Complainants, witnesses, investigative reports.

DOL/LMSA—12

**System name:** Pension Bonding Violations File.

**System location:** Room N2700, New Department of Labor Building, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Persons suspected of violating the bonding requirements of the Welfare and Pension Plans Disclosure Act (since repealed), section 13, WPPDA.

**Categories of records in the system:** Investigative reports, legal analyses, forwarding memoranda.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** Section 13, WPPDA.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** Legal review intra-Division; files interchanged in the processing of cases with LMSE, and Department of Justice, Regional Solicitors' Offices and Assistant U.S. Attorneys.

**Storage:** Manual Files.

**Retrievability:** Indexed by name.

**Safeguards:** Restricted to official business within agency; personnel screening.

**Retention and disposal:** Currently files are maintained for fiscal years 1971 through 1975, for prior years sent to National Archives and Records Service.

**System manager(s) and address:** Associate Solicitor, Division of Labor-Management Laws, Office of the Solicitor, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Notification procedure:** As above.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** Complainants: witnesses, investigative reports.

DOL/LMSA—13

**System name:** Pension Embezzlement File.

**System location:** Room N2700, New Department of Labor Building, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Persons suspected of theft or embezzlement from employee welfare and pension benefit plans, 18 U.S.C. 664.

**Categories of records in the system:** Investigative reports, legal analyses, forwarding memoranda.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** 18 U.S.C. 664, criminal provisions protecting against theft or embezzlement from employee welfare pension benefit plans.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** Legal review intra-Division; files interchanged in the processing of cases with LMSE and Department of Justice, Regional Solicitors' offices and Assistant U.S. Attorneys.

**Storage:** Manual files.

**Retrievability:** Indexed by name.

**Safeguards:** Restricted to official business within agency; personnel screening.

**Retention and disposal:** Currently files are maintained for fiscal years 1971 through 1975; for prior years sent to National Archives and Recors Service.

**System manager(s) and address:** Associate Solicitor, Division of Labor-Management Laws, Office of the Solicitor, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Notification procedure:** As above.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** Complainants; witnesses, investigative reports.

DOL/LMSA—14

**System name:** Prohibited Loan File.

**System location:** Room N2700, New Department of Labor Building, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Persons suspected of violating section 503, LMRDA, persons obtaining prohibited loans from labor organizations.

**Categories of records in the system:** Investigative reports, legal analyses, forwarding memoranda.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** Section 503, LMRDA; section 601, LMRDA; section 607,

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** Legal review intra-Division; files interchanged in the processing of cases with LMSE and Department of Justice, Regional Solicitors' offices and Assistant U.S. Attorneys.

**Storage:** Manual files.

**Retrievability:** Indexed by name.

**Safeguards:** Restricted to official business within agency; personnel screening.

**Retention and disposal:** Currently files are maintained for fiscal years 1971 through 1975, for prior years sent to National Archives and Records Service.

**System manager(s) and address:** Associate Solicitor, Division of Labor-Management Laws, Office of the Solicitor, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Notification procedure:** As above.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** Complainants; witnesses, investigative reports.

DOL/LMSA—15

**System name:** Union Funds Embezzlement File.

**System location:** Room N2700, New Department of Labor Building, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Persons investigated or prosecuted for violations of section 501(c), LMRDA; criminal provision, embezzlement of union funds.

**Categories of records in the system:** Investigative reports, legal analyses, forwarding memoranda.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** Section 501, LMRDA; section 601, LMRDA (investigative authority); section 607, LMRDA (authority for criminal investigations).

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** Legal review intra-Division; files interchanged in the processing of cases with LMSE and Department of Justice, Regional Solicitors' offices and Assitant U.S. Attorneys.

**Storage:** Manual files.

**Retrievability:** Indexed by name.

**Safeguards:** Restricted to official business within agency; personnel screening.

**Retention and disposal:** Currently files are maintained for fiscal years 1971 through 1975; for prior years sent to National Archives and Records Service.

**System manager(s) and address:** Associate Solicitor, Division of Labor-Management Laws, Office of the Solicitor, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Notification procedure:** As above.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** Complainants; witnesses, investigative reports.

DOL/LMSA—16

**System name:** Investigatory Files—Special Investigations Staff.

**System location:** U.S. Department of Labor, Washington, D.C. 20210. Files also may be maintained at field locations.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Records are maintained on defendants, respondents, witnesses and other individuals involved in investigations and enforcement actions instituted by the Department of Labor under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974.

**Categories of records in the system:** The system contains information gathered by the Special Investigations Staff in connection with investigations by it into possible violations of the Welfare and Pension Plans Disclosure Act and the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974. Such information may be derived from materials filed with the Department of Labor and the Department of Treasury, court records, articles from publications, published financial data, employee benefit plan information, corporate information, bank information, securities brokerage firm information, telephone data, statements of witnesses, information received from Federal, State, local and foreign regulatory and law enforcement organizations, and from other sources. This record also contains the work product of Department of Labor and other government personnel and consultants involved in the investigations.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** Title 29, United States Code, sections 1132, 1134, 1135, and 1136.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** These records and the information contained in these records may be used (see also general routine uses) for the following:

1. By Department of Labor, Department of Justice, Treasury Department, Commerce Department and other Federal Government personnel and consultants investigating possible violations of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974.

2. In any proceeding where the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 is in issue or in which the Secretary of Labor, any past or present Federal employee or consultant directly or indirectly involved in investigations or other enforcement activities under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, is a party or otherwise involved in an official capacity.

3. When considered appropriate and pusuant to 29 U.S.C. 1134(a), records in this system concerning any matter which is the subject of an investigation by the Department of Labor under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 may be made available to any person actually affected by the matter under investigation, and to any department or agency of the United States.

4. Where there is an indication of a violation or potential violation of law, whether civil, criminal or regulatory in nature, and whether arising by general statute or particular program statute, or by regulation, rule or order issued pursuant thereto, the relevant records in the system of records may be referred, as a routine use, to the appropriate agency, whether Federal, State, local or foreign, or, if such agency is participating in the particular investigation, the relevant records may continue to be used by the agency to investigate possible violations of laws administered by it and to bring appropriate proceedings.

5. When considered appropriate, records in this system may be referred to a bar association, court, or Federal, State, local or foreign licensing authority for possible disciplinary action.

6. A record from this system of records may be disclosed to a Federal, State, local or foreign governmental authority, in response to its request, in connection with the hiring or retention of an employee, the issuance of a security clearance, the reporting of an investigation of an employee, the lettering of a contract, or the issuance of a license, grant or benefit by the requesting agency, to the extent that the information is relevant and necessary to the requesting agency's decision on the matter.

7. At the discretion of the staff, records from this system may be given or shown to anyone during the course of an investigation if the staff has reason to believe that the person to whom it is disclosed may have further information about the matter discussed therein, and those matters appear relevant to the subject of the investigation.

**Storage:** Records may be maintained in hard copy, microfilm and machine readable form.

**Retrievability:** Records are indexed by name.

**Safeguards:** Access to and use of these records are limited to those persons whose official duties require such access.

**Retention and disposal:** Records are maintained indefinitely.

**System manager(s) and address:** Director, Special Investigations Staff, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20210.

a. Criminal Law Enforcement. The principal function of the Special Investigations Staff, Pension and Welfare Benefit Programs, pertains to the enforcement of criminal laws. It conducts investigations to prevent and detect violations of laws which bear criminal penalties, which investigations in appropriate cases will result in criminal prosecutions. Its activities, including joint investigations with the Department of Justice, are carried out under the provisions of the President's anti-organized crime program, the Welfare and Pension Plans Disclosure Act and title I of the Employee Retirement Income and Security Act of 1974. In accordance with subsection (j) (2) of the Privacy Act, 5 U.S.C. 552a, any investigative material contained in the index and investigatory files of the special investigations staff is exempt from all parts of the act except subsections (b), (c)(1) and (2), (c)(4) (A) through (f), (e)(6)(7), (9), (10) and (11), and (i). The disclosure of information compiled in investigations which may result in criminal prosecution, including the names of persons or agencies to whom the information has been transmitted, would substantially compromise the effectiveness of investigations by the special investigations staff. Knowledge of such investigations could enable subjects to take such action as is necessary to prevent detection of their criminal activities, conceal evidence, or to escape prosection. Disclosure of this information could lead to the intimidation of, or harm to, informants, witnesses, and their respective families, and could jeopardize the safety and well being of investigative personnel and their families. The imposition of certain restrictions on the manner in which investigative information is collected, verified, or retained would significantly reduce the effectiveness of the subject investigatory activities, and in addition, may often preclude the apprehension and successful prosectuion of persons engaged in criminal activities related to pension and welfare benefit plans.

b. Other Law Enforcement. In accordance with subsection (k)(2) of the Privacy Act, any investigatory material maintained in the index and investigatory files of the special investigations staff, pension and welfare benefit programs which is deemed not to be exempt under subsection (j)(2) of the act is exempt from subsections (c)(3), (d)(e)(1), (e)(4) (G), (H), and (I) and (f) of 5 U.S.C. 552a. The disclosure of information contained in such investigative files, including the names of persons or agencies to whom the information has been transmitted, would substantially compromise the effectiveness of the subject investigative activity. Knowledge of such investigations would enable subjects to take such action as is necessary to prevent detection of illegal activities, conceal evidence, or otherwise escape enforcement action. Disclosure of this information could lead to the intimidation of, or harm to, informants, witnesses, and their respective families, and in addition, could jeopardize the safety and well-being of investigative personnel and their families. The imposition of certain restriction on the matter in which investigative information is collected, verified, or retained would also impede significantly the effectiveness of the investigatory activities of the special investigations staff.

**DOL/PCEH—1**

**System name:** Employers of the Year Award File.

**System location:** Room 636, Vanguard Building., 1111 20th Street NW., Washington, D.C.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Private Employers.

**Categories of records in the system:** Award nominations, public back-up material.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** Executive Order 11480.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** Public Information.

**Storage:** Manual.

**Retrievability:** By name.

**Safeguards:** None.

**Retention and disposal:** Retained for three years then sent to the Archives.

**System manager(s) and address:** Technical Publications Editor, President's Comittee on the Employment of the

Handicapped, room 636, Vanguard Building, 1111 20th Street, NW., Washington, D.C.

**Notification procedure:** As above.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** State Governors. Committees on Employment of the

Handicapped.

**DOL/PCEH—2**

**System name:**

Handicapped American of the Year Award File.

**System location:** Room 636, Vanguard Building, 1111 20th Street, NW., Washington, D.C.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:**

Handicaoped Individuals.

**Categories of records in the system:** Award nominations, public back-up material.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** Executive Order 11480.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** Public Information.

**Storage:** Manual.

**Retrievability:** By name.

**Safeguards:** None.

**Retention and disposal:** Retained for three years then sent to the Archives.

**System manager(s) and address:** Technical Publications Editor, President's Committee on che Employment of the

Handicapped, room 636, Vanguard Building, 1111 20th Street, NW., Washington, D.C.

**Notification procedure:** As above.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** State Governors' Committtees on Employment of the

Handicapped.

DOL/PCEH—3

**System name:** Physician of the Year Award File.

**System location:** Room 636, Vanguard Building, 1111 20th Street NW., Washington, D.C.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Physicians.

**Categories of records in the system:** Award nominations, public back-up material.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** Executive Order 11480.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** Public Information.

**Storage:** Manual.

**Retrievability:** By name.

**Safeguards:** None.

**Retention and disposal:** Retained for three years then sent to the Archives. The Employment of the

Handicapped, room 636, Vanguard Building, 1111 20th Street NW., Washington, D.C.

**Notification procedure:** As above.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** State Governors' Committees on Employment of the

Handicapped.

DOL/OASAM—1

**System name:** Attendance, Leave, and Payroll File.

**System location:** (a) Offices in Washington, D.C.: (1) Office of the Secretary of Labor, (2) Office of the Solicitor of Labor, (3) Office of Information, Publications, and Reports, (4) Bureau of International Affairs, (5) Bureau of Labor Statistics, (6) Employment Standards Administration, (7) Labor-Management Services Administration, (8) Employment and Training Administration, (9) Occupational Safety and Health Administration, (10) Employees' Compensation Appeals Board, (11) Wage Appeals Board, (12) Benefits Review Board, (13) Board of Contract Appeals, (14) Office of Administrative Law Judges; b) Regional Offices of the Above.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Department of Labor Employees.

**Categories of records in the system:** Name, social security number and employee number, grade, step, and salary; organization (code), retirement or FICA data as applicable; Federal, State, and local tax deductions, as appropriate; IRS tax lien data; savings bond and charity deductions; regular and optional Government life insurance deduction(s), health insurance deduction and plan or code; cash award data; jury duty data; military leave data; pay differentials; union dues deductions; allotments, by type and amount; financial institution code and employee account number; leave status and leave data of all types (including annual compensatory, jury duty, maternity, military, retirement disability, sick, transferred, and without pay); time and attendance records, including number of regular, overtime, holiday, Sunday, and other hours worked; pay period number and ending date; cost of living allowances; mailing address; co-owner and/or beneficiary of bonds, marital status and number of dependents; and 'Notification of Personnel Action'. The individual records listed herein are included only as pertinent or applicable to the individual employee.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** 31 U.S.C. 66(a)

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** Transmittal of data to U.S. Treasury to effect issuance of paycheck to employees and distribution of pay according to employee directions for savings bonds, allotments, financial institutions, and other authorized purposes. Tax withholding sent to Internal Revenue Service and appropriate State and local taxing authorities; FICA deductions to the Social Security Administration; dues deductions to labor unions; withholdings for health insurance to the insurance carriers and the U.S. Civil Service Commission; charity contribution deductions to agents of charitable institutions; annual W-2 statements to taxing authorities and the individual. (When P.L. 93-579 becomes effective, it is anticipated that appropriate statements will be issued to employees,spouse-recipients, and the courts involed).

**Storage:** Manual and machine-readable.

**Retrievability:** By name and SSN.

**Safeguards:** Personnel screening and locked storage equipment and rooms.

**Retention and disposal:** Retained until after GAO audit, then disoosed of or retired to Federal Records Storage Centers.

**System manager(s) and address:** See the general address provision in the Prefatory Statement.

**Notification procedure:** As above.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** Employees, supervisors, timekeepers, official personnel records, and IRS.

DOL/OASAM—2

**System name:** Employee Locator Card File.

**System location:** Office of Special Personnel Services, Directorate of Personnel Management, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Administration and Management, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Ave., NW., room S1004, Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Past employees of the Department prior to January 1, 1968.

**Categories of records in the system:** Name, Date of Birth, Organization, Location, Type of Separation, and Effective Date.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** U.S. Civil Service Commission, Federal Personnel Manual, Chapter 294, Subchapter 1 (Working Files)

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** Public. This is the only official Departmental record of emoloyees prior to January 1, 1968. Information is not given out but verified.

**Storage:** Card File (3" by 5" cards).

**Retrievability:** By name.

**Safeguards:** The cards are placed in files and the office is locked at the close of business.

**Retention and disposal:** Continuous.

**System manager(s) and address:** Assistant Director, Office of Special Personnel Services, U.S. Department of Labor, Office of Personnel Services, Room S1004, 200 Constitution Ave., Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Notification procedure:** As above.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** Personnel Records.

DOL/OASAM—3

**System name:** General Investigations File.

**System location:** Directorate of Audit and Investigations, OASAM, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Employees, Applicants, Contractors, Subcontractors, Grantees, Subgrantees, Claimants, and individuals threatening DOL employees.

**Categories of records in the system:** Resolution of investigations of criminal or conduct violations, ongoing investigation files, and investigatory index card files.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** EO 11222 and title 5 USC 301.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** Federal, State, or local government agencies for civil, criminal or regulatory law enforcement; hiring or retention of employee, security clearance, letting of contracts, issuance of licenses, grant or other benefits.

**Storage:** Information in the system is stored in case files and on index file cards alphabetically keyed to records maintained in case files.

**Retrievability:** Information is retrieved by name of respondent.

**Safeguards:** Information is kept in file cabinets in a locked, secured room. Access by key is limited to Office of Investigations staff only.

**Retention and disposal:** No retention and disposal procedure exists for investigative files. However, a proposal has been submitted for National Archives (NARS) approval. This proposal calls Records Center (FRC) 5 years after the end of the fiscal year in

which the last action occurred. The files would be held in the FRC for 10 years and then destroyed. Index cards would be retained permanently.

**System manager(s) and address:** Director of Audit and Investigations, OASAM, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Notification procedure:** Address inquiries to the Systems Manager above.

**Record access procedures:** Investigatory data compiled for civil or criminal law enforcement purposes are exempt from the access provisions pursuant to 5 USC 552 a(k). Individuals desiring to contest or amend information maintained in the system should direct their requests to the System Manager listed above, stating clearly and concisely what information is being contested, the reasons for contesting it, and the prooosed amendment to the information sought.

**Contesting record procedures:** Same as above

**Record source categories:** The information contained in this system was received from individual complaints, witnesses, respondents, Federal, State, and Local government records, and individual or company records.

a.Criminal law enforcement: In accordance with paragraph 3 (j) (2) of the act, information maintained in the files of the Office of Investigations (OI) is exemot from all provisions set forth in 5 U.S.C. 552a except those requirements contained in paragraphs (b), (c), (l) and (2), (e) (4) (A) through (F), (e) (b), (7), (9), (10) and (11), and paragraph (i). The primary function of OI is that of enforcement of the criminal laws within the meaninq of 5 U.S.C. 552a (j) (2). The file maintained by OI contains information compiled in connection with such criminal investigations. The confidential nature of this information is essential to the conduct of effective investiqations into alleged unlawful activity and crime conducive situations. Knowledge of OI investigations could enable subjects to take such action as is necessary to prevent detection of criminal activites, conceal evidence, or to escape prosecution. In addition, it could lead to the intimidation of, or harm to, sources, informants, witnesses and their respective families. While the information from this system is to be withheld, it will only be withheld to the extent necessary to orotect the integrity of such investigations.

b. 3(k) (2) of the act, other investigatory material including certain material compiled from reciprocal investiqations is exempt from the requirements set forth in paragraphs (c) (3) (d), (e) (4) (G), (H), and (I), and (f) of the act until such time as a determination is made based upon such information, at which time the information will only be withheld to the extent necessary to protect the identity of a confidential source who has furnished information under an express promise that his or her identity would be held in confidence (or prior to September 27, 1975, under an implied promise that the source's identity would be protected). This exemption is necessary in order to protect the integrity of law enforcement investigations as well as to protect the identities of sources who would not otherwise provide information.

c. (k) (3) of the act, OI investigatory material maintained in connection with assisting the U.S. Secret Service (USSS) to provide protective services to the President of the United States or other individuals pursuant to section 3056 of title 18 is exempt from paragraphs (c) (3), (d), (e) (4) (G), (H) and (I) and paragraph (f) of the act. This exemption will enable OI to continue its support of the U.S. Secret Service without compromising the effectiveness of either agency's activity.

d. paragraoh 3(k) (5) of the act, investigatory material compiled in connection with contract investigations solely for the purpose of determining suitability, eligibility, or qualifications for a DOL contract is exempt from paragraphs (c) (3), (d) and (f) of the act to the extent that disclosure of such material would reveal the identity of a confidential source when an express promise has been given to withhold the identity of the source (or orior to Seotember 27, 1975, under an implied promise that the source's identity would not be revealed). This exemption is necessary for OI to collect information from certain sources who would otherwise be unwilling to provide information necessary to conduct such investigations.

**Contesting record procedures:** N/A.

**Record source categories:** Civil Service Commission Forms SF171, SF915, SF916, SF917, OF8; DOL 1-481.

### DOL/OASAM—4

**System name:** Occupational Injury and Illness Reporting File.

**System location:** Office of Employee Safety and Health, OASAM, U.S. Department of Labor, room C5321, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** All DOL employees, including those covered under contract, involved in occupational injuries and illnesses.

**Categories of records in the system:** Days worked/lost workdays, detailed accounts of accidental occupational injuries and illnesses.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** Public Law 91-596, 29CFR Part 1960, section 7902 of Title 5 U.S.C., DOL Secretary's Order 4-74, Executive Order 11807.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** None.

**Storage:** Manual Files.

**Retrievability:** Name.

**Safeguards:** Records are maintained in a locked file cabinet with access limited to authorized staff employees.

**Retention and disposal:** Records are maintained for five years before being retired to an appropriate Federal Records Center.

**System manager(s) and address:** Safety and Health Manager, Office of Safety and Health, U.S. Department of Labor, room C5321, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Notification procedure:** As above.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** Employee, supervisors, accident, injury, and illness reports, OWCP computer printouts, health units, compensation requests.

### DOL/OASAM—5

**System name:** Rehabilitation and Counseling File.

**System location:** Office of Employee Safety and Health, OASAM, U.S. Department of Labor, Room C5321, 200 Constitution Ave., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** DOL employee—clients receiving counseling.

**Categories of records in the system:** Information re: clients problem, e.g., medical, emotional, alcoholism, etc.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** Public Laws 91-616 and 92-255.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** Information is transmitted, with the employee's consent, to community resources to which the employee has been referred for treatment.

**Storage:** Manual Files.

**Retrievability:** Name.

**Safeguards:** Records are maintained in a locked file cabinet with access limited to authorized staff employees.

**Retention and disposal:** Records are maintained for the duration of the counseling contact or until the employee's separation or for five (5) years.

**System manager(s) and address:** Cheif, Division of Health Services, Office of Safety and Health, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room C5321, Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Notification procedure:** As above.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** Employee, personnel office, supervisors, relatives, community.

### DOL/OASAM—6

**System name:** Directorate of Personnel Management, Office of Executive Staffing, Executive Assignment System File.

**System location:** U.S. Department of Labor, Rm. N5478, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Department of Labor executives/managers at supergrade levels.

**Categories of records in the system:** Personnel information concerning candidates and appointees of supergrade positions.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** Federal Personnel Manual, Chapter 305.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** Disclosure to staff members of DOL operating administrative/personnel offices; other Federal agencies personnel offices; Civil Service Commission/Bureau of Executive Manpower.

**Storage:** Manual Files.

**Retrievability:** Organizational location and name.

**Safeguards:** Files located in private office, locked when not in use.

**Retention and disposal:** Active files are retained during employment. Inactive files are retained by organization for historical/ comparative purposes.

**System manager(s) and address:** Assistant Director, Office of Executive Staffing, Rm. N5476, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Notification procedure:** N/A.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** As above.

DOL/OASAM—7

**System name:** Health Unit Employee Medical Records.

**System location:** U.S. Department of Labor, Room S3214, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** DOL employees receiving medical treatment.

**Categories of records in the system:** Medical information re: illness, injury, and examinations.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** Public Law 658-79, Office of Management and Budget Circular No. A-72.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** None.

**Storage:** Manual files.

**Retrievability:** By name.

**Safeguards:** Records are maintained in a locked file cabinet with access limited to authorized staff employees.

**Retention and disposal:** Records are retained for five years and retired.

**System manager(s) and address:** Division of Health Services, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room C5321, Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Notification procedure:** Health Unit—Division of Safety and Health, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room S3214, Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Record access procedures:** Request should include individual's name and the division within the Department by which such person is employed.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** Employee, supervisors, personnel, physician.

DOL/OASAM—8

**System name:** Executive Candidacy Self-Nomination Application File.

**System location:** U.S. Department of Labor, Room C5327, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Executive Candidacy, Senior Executive Development Program (GS-14 and GS-15 participants).

**Categories of records in the system:** Self-nomination forms, assessment results, Merit Staffing Report, Supervisor's evaluation.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** Secretary's Order 15-74 on Education and Career Development in DOL; Manual on Senior Executive Development Program; FPM letter 412-2 on Executive Management and Development.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** None.

**Retrievability:** By individual's name.

**Safeguards:** Other than once to selection panel, information is disclosed to affected individual at his/her request.

**Retention and disposal:** Records updated by participant sending in additions.

**System manager(s) and address:** Director, Office of Education and Career Development, U.S. Department of Labor, Room C5327, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Notification procedure:** As above.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** Self-nomination form, Merit Staffing Report, Supervisor's evaluation submitted at request of participant. Assessment reports, by contract, seen by participant.

DOl/OASAM—9

**System name:** National Defense Executive Reserve Personnel Files.

**System location:** Office of the Assistant Secretary for Administration and Management, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room S5516, Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Officials selected from the business community, labor organizations, and the academic and the legal professions to assume responsibilities in the wage and salary stabilization and labor disputes, and employment and training activities in the event of national defense emergencies.

**Categories of records in the system:** Security clearance and investigation data, statement of understanding, appointment letter and biographical data.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** Executive Order 11179 dated September 22, 1964.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** Information may be released upon request to law enforcement agencies, personnel offices, and other authorized officials on a need to know basis only.

**Storage:** Manual file.

**Retrievability:** By name of individual.

**Safeguards:** Records are located in locked metal file cabinets with access limited to authorized employees and restricted to official business within the agency.

**Retention and disposal:** Files with historical value are sent to the Federal Records Center for an indefinite period. Other files destroyed.

**System manager(s) and address:** Director, National Defense Executive Reserve Program, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Administration and Management, U.S. Department of Labor, Room S5516, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Notification procedure:** Mail all inquiries to System Manager at above address.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** Subject individual.

DOL/OASAM—10

**System name:** ACT Program Counseling and Evaluation Files.

**System location:** A record file on each participant in the ACT (Administrative, Clerical, and Technical) Career Development Program is kept by the agency or regional program's Career Counselor. Selected forms and questionnaires are forwarded periodically to the National ACT Office staff in the Office of Education and Career Development, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room N4417, Washington, D.C. 20210. This information is entered in the DOL Computer System. In addition, a copy of one form, The Individual Development Plan, is kept by a program participant's supervisor for the purpose of planning for training activities in his/her office.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Administrative, Clerical, and Technical (ACT) employees, GS 1-11, of the Department of Labor who choose to participate in the ACT Career Development Program in their Agency or Region.

**Categories of records in the system:** The records kept generally relate to education and career development of ACT employees. There are two basic evaluation forms kept on each ACT participant. These are the Participant Activities Form and the Counselor Activities Form. In addition, periodic interviews with counselors, program participants, supervisors of ACT employees, program coordinators, Employee Development Officers, and other individuals significant to program implementation in agencies and regions are held according to written interview guidelines in conjunction with the evaluation system. Records of these interviews are kept on file in the National Office identifiable by the postion of the inter-

viewee. Additional information on ACT participants may be gathered by the career counselor in an agency or regional program. Examples of the kinds of records that might be kept in a participant's file folder include: Intake, or initial interview forms; Participant self-assessment forms; Supervisor's evaluation or analysis of the employee in terms of current job performance, strengths, deficiencies, readiness for career development, etc.; Individual Career Development Plan; Work, education, and training history; Transcripts; Testing records; Counseling nots, etc.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** OMB Circular No. A-48, September 23, 1971, CSC FPM Chapter 410; DOL Training Policy, DLS, FPM Chapter 410, ACT Program Manual, July 1975.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** None.

**Storage:** Manual files. Selected information will also be stored in the computer when the evaluation system is fully implemented.

**Retrievability:** By name and Social Security number.

**Safeguards:** Counselors keep locked files and have sole access to those files. Counselor ethics prevent disclosure of information from an individual's file without that individual's permission. Once the evaluation system has been implemented, only the evaluation activity forms information kept by a counselor will be considered to be discreationary files.

**Retention and disposal:** Indefinite.

**System manager(s) and address:** Director, Office of Education and Career Development, U.S. Department of Labor, Room C5325, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Notification procedure:** Mail all inquiries to System Manager at above address.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** Interviews, questionnaires.

### DOL/OASAM—11

**System name:** DOL Training Records.

**System location:** Training records on each DOL employee may be kept in the appropriate DOL Division or Regional Training Office. Files including individual development plans and material on the Executive Candidacy program are maintained in the Office of Education and Career Development, U.S. Department of Labor, Room C5327, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** All DOL employees.

**Categories of records in the system:** The records include individual development plans, records of training received by individual employees, requests and applications for training, follow-up evaluations on training received, and records of payment for training from non-DOL sources.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** 5 U.S.C. 301; FPM Chapter 410; U.S. Department of Labor Training Policy (DOL Supplement to FPM Chapter 410).

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** To document payments for training from non-DOL sources, and to prepare statistical reports required by the Civil Service Commission, Office of Management and Budget, and DOL management.

**Storage:** Manual files and computer system.

**Retrievability:** By employee name and/or Social Security Number.

**Safeguards:** All personal information (counseling records, individual development plans) is available only with employee consent unless disclosure is otherwise provided for by law. Some record systems are maintained in locked files or in rooms which are locked when no one is present.

**Retention and disposal:** Indefinite.

**System manager(s) and address:** Office of Education and Career Development, Director, Office of Education and Career Development, U.S. Department of Labor, Room C5327, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210. For records maintained by DOL Division or Regional Training Offices, contact the appropriate DOL Division or Regional Training Officer.

**Notification procedure:** Mail inquiries to appropriate System Manager as above.

**Record access procedures:** Same as above.

**Contesting record procedures:** Same as above.

**Record source categories:** Interviews with employees and supervisors; training requests and applications; follow-up evaluations of training received.

### DOL/OASAM—12

**System name:** DPM Grievance, Adverse Action, and Unfair Labor Practice Files.

**System location:** Directorate of Personnel Mamagement, Office of Employee Relations, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room N5464, Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Individual DOL employees who have filed grievances under negotiated or administrative procedures, individual DOL employees who have appealed adverse actions to the CSC, and DOL employees who have filed Unfair Labor Practice charges against the Department.

**Categories of records in the system:** Correspondence, merit staffing records, performance reports, and reports of arbitrators and grievance officers.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** E.O. 11491 as amended, and FPM Chapter 771.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** Selected information may be disclosed at appropriate stages of the grievance process to DOL Agencies, the Office of the Solicitor, the Civil Service Commission, arbitrators, grievance officers, or the Federal Labor Relations Council.

**Storage:** Manual file.

**Retrievability:** By name and/or grievance case number.

**Safeguards:** The system is housed in the Office of Employee Relations and only authorized persons are allowed access to the data.

**Retention and disposal:** Records are retained indefinitely.

**System manager(s) and address:** Assistant Director of Personnel Management for Employee Relations, U.S. Department of Labor, Room N5464, Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Notification procedure:** Mail all inquiries to the System Manager at the above address.

**Record access procedures:** Same as above.

**Contesting record procedures:** Same as above.

**Record source categories:** Correspondence, Investigative records, Employment records, and findings of arbitrators and grievance officers.

### DOL/OASAM—13

**System name:** Performance Requirements and Evaluation Files.

**System location:** In each DOL Agency servicing Personnel Office in Washington, D.C. and in each DOL Regional Personnel Office in the field service.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** DOL employees in the competitive service.

**Categories of records in the system:** Performance Requirements and Evaluation forms.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** FPM Chapter 335.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** Sanitized forms are made available for review to joint union-management review team under terms of contracts with DOL bargaining unit.

**Storage:** Manual files.

**Retrievability:** By employee's name.

**Safeguards:** The systems are housed in servicing personnel offices and only persons with recognized official need for them have access to forms.

**Retention and disposal:** Records are retained for two (2) years or for a longer period if periodic DOL Joint Union-Management Review has not been completed. (Are disposed of in accordance with DOL Disposal System).

**System manager(s) and address:** Personnel Officer, U.S. Department of Labor, Room C5520, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C.; and Regional Offices.

**Notification procedure:** Mail inquiries to appropriate servicing Personnel Office.

**Record access procedures:** Same as above.

**Contesting record procedures:** Same as above.

**Record source categories:** Supervisor's personal records and notes; discussions with employee rated.

DOL/OASAM—14

**System name:** Budget Position Control System.

**System location:** Office of the Assistant Secretary for Administration and Management, Comptroller, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room S4502, Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Federal Government employees of the Office of the Secretary and Office of the Assistant Secretary for Administration and Management.

**Categories of records in the system:** Budget.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** 5U.S.C. Chapter 301.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** Preparation of Office of Secretary budgets and related reports.

**Storage:** Magnetic tapes.

**Retrievability:** By budget position number and grade.

**Safeguards:** The system is housed in the Office of Financial Services and only persons who are authorized by the Division are allowed access to the data.

**Retention and disposal:** Fiscal Year files are retained indefinitely.

**System manager(s) and address:** Director, Office of Financial Services, Room S4502, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Notification procedure:** As above.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** Form DL-50, Notification of Personnel Action.

DOL/OASAM—15

**System name:** Travel Authorization and Voucher System.

**System location:** Office of the Assistant Secretary for Administration and Management, Comptroller, Office of Accounting, U.S. Department of Labor, Room N1305, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210. Each Regional Office.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** All Federal (government) employees of the Department of Labor.

**Categories of records in the system:** Copies of Travel Authorizations, DL 1-33, Travel Voucher SF 1012 and GTR SF 1171.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** Federal Travel Regulations FPMR 101-7.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** Authorization for individual travel and disbursement vouchers for reimbursing travelers for authorized expenses incurred.

**Storage:** Manual files.

**Retrievability:** Accounting office employees who retrieve by traveler's name.

**Safeguards:** Physical security.

**Retention and disposal:** Current year plus two preceding fiscal years. Then forwarded to Federal Records Center.

**System manager(s) and address:** Director, Office of Accounting, U.S. Department of Labor, Room N1305, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210. The Federal Regional offices.

**Notification procedure:** As above.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** Travel Authorization DL 1-33, Travel Voucher SF 1012 and GTR SF 1171.

DOL/OASAM—16

**System name:** File of individual career plan forms on Senior Executive Development Program participants.

**System location:** U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room C5515, Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Senior Executive Development Program Participants (GS-15 and above).

**Categories of records in the system:** General information concerning individuals' career plans and goals.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** Secretary's Order 15-74 on education and career development in DOL; manual on Senior Executive Development Program.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** Planning individual development; planning training programs for Senior executives and assisting them in reaching career goals.

**Storage:** Manual file.

**Retrievability:** By individual's name.

**Safeguards:** Information is disclosed only to affected individual at his/her request.

**Retention and disposal:** Records updated on a yearly basis; Previous plans disposed of on a yearly basis.

**System manager(s) and address:** Charles H. Roberts, Director, Office of Education and Career Development, Room C5327, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Notification procedure:** Individual's name.

**Record access procedures:** Charles H. Roberts, Director, Office of Education and Career Development, Room C5327, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Record source categories:** Personal interviews with OECD career counselor and with individual's supervisor.

DOL/SOL—1

**System name:** Conflict of Interest File.

**System location:** U.S. Departnent of Labor, Room N2700, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Those persons from whom reports may be required under Section 202 are those allegedly having conflicts of interest who must file reports. Investigation relates to either trial, litigation or criminal prosecution.

**Categories of records in the system:** Investigative reports, legal analyses, forwarding memoranda.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** Section 202, LMRDA, Section 601, LMRDA (investigative authority); Section 209, LMRDA; Section 607, LMRDA.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** Legal review intra-Division; files interchanged in the processing of cases with LMSE, the Department of Justice, Regional Solicitors' Offices and Assistant U.S. Attorneys.

**Storage:** Manual files.

**Retrievability:** Indexed by name.

**Safeguards:** Restricted to official business within agency, personnel screening.

**Retention and disposal:** Currently files are maintained for fiscal years 1971 through 1975; for prior years sent to National Archives and Records Service.

**System manager(s) and address:** Associate Solicitor, Division of Labor-Management Law, Office of the Solicitor, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Notification procedure:** As above.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** Complainants, witnesses, investigative reports.

DOL/SOL—2

**System name:** Employment and Training Administration Investigatory File.

**System location:** Room N2101, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washngton, D.C. 20210.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Named plaintiffs and complainants in court and administrative proceedings involving the Employment and Training Administration. Individual subjects of an administrative investigation under Employment and training Administration programs.

**Categories of records in the system:** Court and litigation files contain little, if any, information regarding the individual other than that supplied by the individual in its complaint. Investigatory files include employment and financial information related to possible fraudulent activity on the part of the individual.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** 5 U.S.C. 301.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** Information is disclosed to Department of Justice for that agency's determination regarding potential litigation and in the course of actual litigation.

**Storage:** Manual files.

**Retrievability:** Name.

**Safeguards:** Physical security.

**Retrievability:** Files are maintained as long as the case is open. When the case is closed and it is determined that the file is no longer needed, the file is then sent to Archives.

**System manager(s) and address:** Associate Solicicor for Employment and Traininq, Room N2101, U.S. Department of Labor, Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Notification procedure:** As above.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** Information is normally obtained from other organizations within the Department of Labor.

DOL/SOL—3

**System name:** Federal Tort Claims Act (FTCA).

**System location:** U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room N2716, Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Claimant under Federal Tort Claims Act.

**Categories of records in the system:** Tort claims file, including negligence, medical, personnel and legal reports, summaries, correspondence and memoranda.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** 28 U.S.C. 2671 et. seq.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** None other than those contained in the Department's Prefatory Statement published in the Federal Register of September 8, 1975 (40 FR 41739).

**Storage:** Manual files.

**Retrievability:** Name of claimant.

**Safeguards:** Files are kept in office suite that is locked after working hours.

**Retention and disposal:** Records are maintained indefinitely.

**System manager(s) and address:** Associate Solicitor, Division of Employee Benefits, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room N2716, Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Notification procedure:** See above.

**Record access procedures:** Inquirer should provide his full name, plus date and place of incident.

**Contesting record procedures:** See above.

**Record source categories:** Claimants, current and former employers, witnesses, physicians, insurance companies, attorneys, police, hospitals, other individuals.

DOL/SOL—4

**System name:** DOL Accident reports.

**System location:** U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room N2716, Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Employees of U.S. Department of Labor.

**Categories of records in the system:** Accident reports involving employees of U.S. Department of Labor, regardless of fault.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** 28 U.S.C. 2671 et seq.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** General Services Administration, plus none other than those contained in the Department's Prefatory Statement published in the Federal Register of September 8, 1975 (40 FR 41739).

**Storage:** Manual files.

**Retrievability:** Name of claimant.

**Safeguards:** Files are kept in office suite that is locked after working hours.

**Retention and disposal:** Records are maintained indefinitely.

**System manager(s) and address:** Associate Solicitor, Division of Employee Benefits, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room N2716, Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Notification procedure:** See aove.

**Record access procedures:** Inquirer should provide his full name, plus date and place of incident.

**Contesting record procedures:** See above.

**Record source categories:** Claimants, current and former employers, witnesses, physicians, insurance companies, attorneys, police, hospitals, and other individuals.

DOL/SOL—5

**System name:** Comprehensive Employment and Training Act, (paragraph 416 (b) (CETA).

**System location:** U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW, Room N2716, Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Claimants.

**Categories of records in the system:** Tort claims file, including negligence, medical, personnel and legal reports, summaries, correspondence and memoranda.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** 29 U.S.C. 926 (b).

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** None other than those contained in the Department's Prefatory Statement published in the Federal Register of September 8, 1975 (40 FR 41739).

**Storage:** Manual files.

**Retrievability:** Name of claimant.

**Safeguards:** Files are kept in office suite that is locked after working hours.

**Retention and disposal:** Records are maintained indefinitely.

**System manager(s) and address:** Associate Solicitor, Division of Employee Benefits, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW, Room N2716, Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Notification procedure:** See above.

**Record access procedures:** Inquirer should provide his full name, plus date and place of incident.

**Contesting record procedures:** See above.

**Record source categories:** Claimants, current and former employers, witnesses, physicians, insurance companies, attorneys, police, hospitals, other individuals.

DOL/SOL—6

**System name:** Military Personnel and Civilian Employees Claims Act.

**System location:** U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW, Room N2716, Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Claimants.

**Categories of records in the system:** Tort claims file, including negligence, medical, personnel and legal reports, summaries, correspondence and memoranda.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** 31 U.S.C. 240-243.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** None other than those contained in the Department's Prefatory Statement published in the Federal Register of September 8, 1975 (40 FR 41739).

**Storage:** Manual files.

**Retrievability:** Name of claimant.

**Safeguards:** Files are kept in office suite that is locked after working hours.

**Retention and disposal:** Records are maintained indefinitely.

**System manager(s) and address:** Associate Solicitor, Division of Employee Benefits, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW, Room N2716, Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Notification procedure:** See above.

**Record access procedures:** Inquirer should provide his full name, plus date and place of incident.

**Contesting record procedures:** See above.

**Record source categories:** Claimants, current and former employers, witnesses, physicians, insurance companies, attorneys, police, hospitals, other individuals.

DOL/OSHA—1

**System name:** Discrimination Complaint File.

**System location:** For Standard Federal Region I through V, at the following address: Operations Review Office, U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, Federal Building, Room 1110A, Charles Center, 31 Hopkins Plaza, Baltimore, Maryland 21201; For Standard Federal Regions VI through X, at the following address: Operations Review Office, U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration,

Room 3100, Federal Office Building, 909 First Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98174.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Individuals who have filed complaints pursuant to section 11(c) of the Occupational Safety and Health Act.

**Categories of records in the system:** Case files compiled in connection with investigation of discrimination complaints.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** Section 11(c) of the Occupational Safety and Health Act (Pub. L. 91-596).

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** None.

**Storage:** Manual Files.

**Retrievability:** By complainant's name or case indentification number.

**Safeguards:** Locked file cabinets.

**Retention and disposal:** Retained for three years after completion of investigation then forwarded to GSA Records Center.

**System manager(s) and address:** Operations Review Officer at address where system is located.

**Notification procedure:** To Systems Managers at above address.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** Complaints filed by individuals alleging discrimination and information compiled in connection with investigations of alleged acts of discrimination.

**DOL/OSHA—2**

**System name:** Complaints about State Program Administration Files (CASPA).

**System location:** All Regional Offices; for addresses see 29 CFR 70.36.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Individuals who have filed complaints about the administration of State Occupational Safety and Health programs.

**Categories of records in the system:** Case files compiled in connection with investigation of subject complaints.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** Section 18 (F) of the Occupational Safety and Health Act (Pub. L. 91-596).

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** None.

**Storage:** Manual files.

**Retrievability:** By name of complainant.

**Safeguards:** Access to such files restricted to only those employees whose duties require that they be familiar with the contents of such files.

**Retention and disposal:** Indefinite.

**System manager(s) and address:** Assistant Regional Director for Occupational Safety and Health at relevant Regional Office: for address see 29 CFR 70.36.

**Notification procedure:** Systems Manager at above address.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** Complaints filed by individuals and information compiled in connection with investigations of such complaints.

**DOL/OSHA—3**

**System name:** State Compliance Office Evaluation Records.

**System location:** All Regional Offices; see 29 CFR 70.36 for address.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** State Compliance Officers of developmental state programs.

**Categories of records in the system:** Performance evaluations of state compliance officers.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** Section 18 (F) of the Occupational Safety and Health Act (Pub. L. 91-596).

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** To administrator of state program upon request.

**Storage:** Manual files.

**Retrievability:** By name of state compliance officer.

**Safeguards:** Access to such files restricted to only those employees whose duties require that they be familiar with the contents of such files.

**Retention and disposal:** Indefinite.

**System manager(s) and address:** Assistant Regional Director for Occupational Safety and Health at relevant Regional Office; for address see 29 CFR 70.36.

**Notification procedure:** System Manager at above address.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** Report forms submitted by Federal monitoring inspectors.

**DOL/OSHA—4**

**System name:** Advisory Committee Biographies, OSHA.

**System location:** Committee Management Office, Room N3635, 200 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Members of Advisory Committee.

**Categories of records in the system:** Background Resume.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** Occupational Safety and Health Act (P.L. 91-596); Federal Advisory Committee Act.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** None.

**Storage:** Manual File.

**Retrievability:** By Name.

**Safeguards:** Removed from general public access.

**Retention and disposal:** Being determined.

**System manager(s) and address:** Chief, Advisory Committee Staff, Room N3635, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C.

**Notification procedure:** As above.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Categories of records in the system:** Committee Members.

**DOL/OSHA—5**

**System name:** Advisory Committee Candidates, OSHA.

**System location:** Committee Management Office, Room N3635, 200 Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Private individuals who have been proposed for membership on the various Advisory Committees.

**Categories of records in the system:** Background resume.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** Occupational Safety and Health Act (P.L. 91-596), Federal Advisory Committee Act.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** None.

**Storage:** Manual file.

**Retrievability:** By name.

**Safeguards:** Removed from the general public.

**Retention and disposal:** Indefinite.

**System manager(s) and address:** Chief, Advisory Committee Staff, Room N3635, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Notification procedure:** As above.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** From the individual.

**DOL/OSHA—6**

**System name:** OSHA. Compliance Safety & Health Officer Manpower File.

**System location:** U.S. Department of Labor, OSHA, Office of Management Data Systems, Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** All compliance officers employed by the U.S. Occupational Safety and Health Administration who are assigned to OSHA field offices and are primarily engaged in conducting worksite inspections.

**Categories of records in the system:** Distribution of time spent by each compliance officer. Time is recorded by hours and covers inspection, non-inspection, and administrative time.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** Occupational Safety and Health Act (P.L. 91-596).

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** None.

**Storage:** Original form in field office, copy in national office, record maintained on magnetic tape.

**Retrievability:** By compliance officer name or by inspection identification when detailed inspection data is required.

**Safeguards:** Computer file available only through password system accessed through a computer software package named Librarian. National office source document copies are maintained in tub files in Room N3511 for a period of two (2) years.

**Retention and disposal:** Magnetic tape purged annually, national office, copy purged annually, area office copy disposition being determined.

**System manager(s) and address:** Director, Office of Management Data Systems, U.S. Occupational Safety and Health Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Notification procedure:** As above.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** Weekly, one form consisting of amount of time, expressed in hours, on the following categories: For Inspections: Preparation Time, Travel Time, Employer Conference Time, Inspection Time, Report Preparation Time, Calibration Time, Amending Report Time, Other Conference Time, Hearing & Appeal Time; For Non-Inspection Time: National Training Time, Field Training Time, Instructing Time, Speech Time, Public Info Time, National Office Support Time, Discrimination Investigation Time, Other Complaint Investigation Time, State Coordination Time, Travel Time, Administrative Time, Supervisory Time, Leave Time, Compensatory Time Taken.

### DOL/OSHA—7

**System name:** Reports by Employees of Unsafe or Unhealthful Working Conditions.

**System location:** U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, Office of Federal Agency Safety Programs, Room N3673, DOL, Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Individuals or representatives of individual filing complaints with OFASP because employer's final disposition of their report alleging an unsafe or unhealthful working condition did not satisfy individual or representative of individual.

**Categories of records in the system:** Employee's name, employer's name, description of hazard employees are exposed to in their workplace.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** 29 CFR 1960.31 (g); and 1960.25 (g).

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** None.

**Storage:** Manual Files.

**Retrievability:** By OFASP log number, and by name (if authorized by employee).

**Safeguards:** Access by authorized personnel only. Information to be transmitted to employing agency safety and health official only.

**Retention and disposal:** Being determined at this time.

**System manager(s) and address:** Director, Office of Federal Agency Safety Programs, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW., Room N3673, Washington, D.C. 20210.

**Notification procedure:** As above.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** Individuals submit complaints to OFASP for follow-up action. Emoloyees are notified in writing that OFASP (a) has received complaint (b) has information concerning resolution of complaint.

### DOL/OSHA—8

**System name:** State Compliance Officer Spot-check Records.

**System location:** All Regional Officers; see 29 CFR 70.36 for addresses.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** State Compliance Officers of States with developmental State programs.

**Categories of records in the system:** Spot-check follow-up inspections by Federal inspectors of workplaces inspected by State inspectors.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** Section 18 (f) of the Occupational Safety and Health Act (Pub. L. 91-596).

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** To administrator of State program upon request.

**Storage:** Manual files.

**Retrievability:** By name of State compliance officers.

**Safeguards:** Access to such files restricted to only those employees whose duties require that they be familiar with the contents.

**Retention and disposal:** Not determined.

**System manager(s) and address:** Each Regional Administrator for OSHA at relevant Regional Office; for addresses see 29 CFR 70.36.

**Notification procedure:** See above.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Contesting record procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** Report forms submitted by Federal monitoring inspectors.

### DOL/OSHA—9

**System name:** OSHA Compliance Safety and Health Officers (CSHO's) training records.

**System location:** All Regional Offices and the OSHA Training Institute; see 29 CFR 70.36 for addresses.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** CSHO's of the OSHA.

**Categories of records in the system:** Lists of training courses completed by each CSHO.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** The Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970.

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** None.

**Storage:** Manual File.

**Retrievability:** By name of CSHO.

**Safeguards:** Kept in office which is either locked or occupied by personnel who allow access only to authorized personnel.

**Retention and disposal:** Being determined.

**System manager(s) and address:** Each Regional Administrator for OSHA at relevant Regional Offices or the Manager, OSHA Training Institute; for addresses see 29 CFR 70.36.

**Notification procedure:** As above.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** Civil Service Commission Official Personnel Folders, Certificates of training, Verification of Record by individuals concerned.

### DOL/OSHA—10

**System name:** OSHA Private Sector Instructor files for Construction and Voluntary Compliance Courses.

**System location:** U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, Training Institute, 10600 West Higgins Road, Third Floor, Rosemont, Illinois 60018.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Records are maintained on students who have satisfactorily completed courses 400-1 and 500-2 and who as a result of taking the course have elected to conduct same or similar course for their employing organization or other interested groups. (See course flyers).

**Categories of records in the system:** Reports transmitted to Training Institute by individuals who have completed courses sponsored by Institute.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 (P.L. 91-596).

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:** Records are transmitted to region and area offices, and interested parties from the private sector to indicate who in the relevant geographic areas are qualified to conduct courses.

**Storage:** Material is manually filed.

**Retrievability:** By name.

**Safeguards:** Files are located within the administrative space under the general supervision and maintenance of a clerk typist who is specifically assigned to this task.

**Retention and disposal:** Files are retained as long as they are active. Inactive files are retained in a storage cabinet for one year beyond the last active date after which they are placed in a storage box for one year. If the files are not reactivated they are destroyed by shredding or incineration.

**System manager(s) and address:** Administrative Officer, U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, Training Institute, 10600 West Higgins Road, Third Floor, Rosemont, Illinois 60018.

**Notification procedure:** As above. Name, address and name and number of course should be provided.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** The information contained in these files is voluntarily provided by the subject individuals.

DOL/OSHA—11

**System name:** OSHA Course Files.

**System location:** U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, Training Institute, Third Floor, 10600 West Higgins Road, Rosemont, Illinois 60018.

**Categories of individuals covered by the system:** Students representing the U.S. Department of Labor, OSHA, State governments, Federal agencies and the private sector. These individuals are primarily compliance officers, safety specialists, safety engineers, safety officers, industrial hygienists, instructors, loss control specialists and others engaged in occupations related to safety and health.

**Categories of records in the system:** Each course file contains the following data for each student.

a. OTI Form 306 Registration (used for DOL, State and Federal employees).

b. OSHA Form 43 Enrollment (used for DOL, State and Federal employees).

c. OTI Form 102 Biographical Data Sheet (used for all students).

d. The registration form portion of Flyers 400-1 and 500-2 for all students.

e. Unnumbered registration forms for private sector courses only.

f. A 5x8 index card for each DOL and state compliance office, safety engineer, or industrial hygienist.

**Authority for maintenance of the system:** Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 (P.L. 91-596).

**Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:**

a. To class instructors to acquaint them with the members of the class;

b. A class roster containing each student's name, address and the name of the organization the asker represents is compiled from the above information and is provided to each member of the class.

c. To state offices.

d. Provided on an infrequent basis to state offices for them to determine what individuals attended what class and when.

e. Made to the national office, personnel or others having a specific need to know.

**Storage:** Manual Files.

**Retrievability:** By individuals' name and region.

**Safeguards:** File cabinets are located in the administrative area. They are under the general supervision and maintenance of the management assistant for personnel. Access is restricted to those having a need to know.

**Retention and disposal:** Under our present system the files are:

a. Established up to twelve (12) months in advance of a course announcement. They are administered by the management assistant until instruction has commenced.

b. Subsequent to the commencement of instruction, the files are moved to the course administration file where they remain in the custody of the management assistant until the end of the fiscal year at which time the files are purged by the management assistant and packed into cartons. The cartons are marked with the starting and ending dates and course numbers and sealed and placed in dead storage under the jurisdiction of the supply staff. As of this date management has not determined how long they wish to retain the files.

**System manager(s) and address:** Administrative Manager, U.S. Department of Labor, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, Training Institute, Third Floor, 10600 West Higgins Road, Rosemont, Illinois 60018.

**Notification procedure:** As above.

**Record access procedures:** As above.

**Record source categories:** These data are voluntarily provided by the students with the exception of that data on the OSHA Form 43 which is completed by the student's office or the Training Institute.

[FR Doc.76-36194 Filed 12-6-76;3:11 pm]

*Advance Orders are now being Accepted*
*for delivery in about 6 weeks*

# CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS

(Revised as of October 1, 1976)

| Quantity | Volume | Price | Amount |
|---|---|---|---|
| _____ | Title 43—Public Lands: Interior (Parts 1000 to End)—44 (Reserved) | $6.00 | $_____ |
| _____ | Title 45—Public Welfare (Parts 500 to End) | 6.40 | _____ |
| _____ | Title 46—Shipping (Parts 140–165) | 4.00 | _____ |
| | | Total Order | $_____ |

*[A Cumulative checklist of CFR issuances for 1976 appears in the first issue of the Federal Register each month under Title 1]*

*PLEASE DO NOT DETACH*

**MAIL ORDER FORM To:**

Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402

*Enclosed find $..... (check, money order, or Supt. of Documents coupons) or charge to my*

*Deposit Account No. ..... Please send me ..... copies of:*

PLEASE FILL IN MAILING LABEL BELOW

Name _____

Street address _____

City and State _____ ZIP Code _____

**FOR USE OF SUPT. DOCS.**

_____Enclosed
_____To be mailed later
_____Subscription
Refund_____
Coupon refund_____
Postage_____
Foreign Handling_____

FOR PROMPT SHIPMENT, PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ADDRESS ON LABEL BELOW, INCLUDING YOUR ZIP CODE

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE
ASSISTANT PUBLIC PRINTER
(SUPERINTENDENT OF DOCUMENTS)
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20402

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

POSTAGE AND FEES PAID
U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE
375
SPECIAL FOURTH-CLASS RATE
BOOK

Name _____

Street address _____

City and State _____ ZIP Code _____