Vol.41—No.99
5–20–76
PAGES
20643–20858

federal register

THURSDAY, MAY 20, 1976

highlights

PART I:

MEMORIAL DAY
Presidential proclamation 20643

ITALIAN CHEESES
HEW/FDA proposes addition of safe and suitable antimycotics during the manufacturing process; comments by 7–19–76 20690

CONFECTIONERY
HEW/FDA withdraws proposal to eliminate net weight from the quantity of contents declaration for individually wrapped pieces 20688

INCOME TAX
Treasury/IRS issues requirements for a domestic international sales corporation 20654

COTTON
USDA/AMS increases fees for classing, standards and inspection, sampling and certification of seed and fiber; effective 7–1–76 20680

FEDERAL AND FEDERALLY ASSISTED PROGRAMS
EPA issues regulations to implement cooperation with State and local governments; effective 5–20–76 20655

PRIVACY ACT OF 1974
NRC issues regulations for implementation; effective 5–20–76 20645

MEETINGS—
Commerce/Patent and Trademark Office: Public Advisory Committee for Trademark Affairs, 6–15–76 20728
DOD/Army: Ballistic Missile Defense Technology Advisory Panel, 7–13 through 7–15–76 20717
DOT/FAA: Technical Advisory Committee, 6–7–76 20731
NHTSA: Highway Safety Program Standards, 6–15, 6–22, 6–24, 6–29, and 7–1–76 20705
HEW/NIH: Cancer Center Support Grant Review Committee, 6–18 and 6–19–76 20729
OE: Women's Educational Programs Advisory Council, 6–7 and 6–9–76 20729
FPC: National Gas Survey Supply-Technical Advisory Task Force Synthesized Gaseous Hydrocarbon Fuels, 6–24–76 20739
Interior/BLM: Alaska State Multiple Use Advisory Board, 7–15 through 7–18–76 20717
Burley District Multiple Use Advisory Board, 6–29–76 20718
Vernal District Multiple Use Advisory Board, 6–29 and 6–30–76 20719

CONTINUED INSIDE

National Commission on New Technological Use of Copyrighted Works, 6–9 and 6–10–76 20740
NRC: Tennessee Valley Authority Management Corporation, 5–24–76 20745
NSF: Neurobiology Advisory Panel, 6–7 and 6–8–76 .. 20741
SBA: Baltimore District Advisory Committee, 5–21 and 5–22–76 20750
USDA/FS: Boise National Forest Grazing Advisory Board, 6–15–76 20725
Northeastern Forestry Research Advisory Committee, 6–30 and 7–1–76 20726

CANCELLED MEETINGS—
National Commission on Electronic Fund Transfers, 5–27–76 20740

RESCHEDULED MEETINGS—
CRC: Michigan Advisory Committee, 5–23–76 20734
HEW/NIH: Experimental Therapeutics Study Section, 6–2–76 20729

PART II:

CLEARINGHOUSE ADVISORY PANEL
FEC/Clearinghouse on Election Administration issues notice of public hearing 20801

PART III:

NATIONAL FLOOD INSURANCE
HUD/FIA issues notice to flood prone communities of prohibition of Federal and federally related assistance 20805

AGENCY PUBLICATION ON ASSIGNED DAYS OF THE WEEK

Ten agencies have agreed to a six-month trial period based on the assignment of two days a week beginning February 9 and ending August 6 (See 41 FR 5453). The participating agencies and the days assigned are as follows:

Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday
NRC	USDA/ASCS		NRC	USDA/ASCS
DOT/COAST GUARD	USDA/APHIS		DOT/COAST GUARD	USDA/APHIS
DOT/NHTSA	USDA/FNS		DOT/NHTSA	USDA/FNS
DOT/FAA	USDA/REA		DOT/FAA	USDA/REA
	CSC			CSC
	LABOR			LABOR

Documents normally scheduled on a day that will be a Federal holiday will be published the next work day following the holiday.

Comments on this trial program are invited. Comments should be submitted to the Director of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration, Washington, D.C. 20408.

ATTENTION: Questions, corrections, or requests for information regarding the contents of this issue only may be made by dialing 202–523–5286. For information on obtaining extra copies, please call 202–523–5240. To obtain advance information from recorded highlights of selected documents to appear in the next issue, dial 202–523–5022.

federal register
Area Code 202 Phone 523–5240

Published daily, Monday through Friday (no publication on Saturdays, Sundays, or on official Federal holidays), by the Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration, Washington, D.C. 20408, under the Federal Register Act (49 Stat. 500, as amended; 44 U.S.C., Ch. 15) and the regulations of the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register (1 CFR Ch. I). Distribution is made only by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

The FEDERAL REGISTER provides a uniform system for making available to the public regulations and legal notices issued by Federal agencies. These include Presidential proclamations and Executive orders and Federal agency documents having general applicability and legal effect, documents required to be published by Act of Congress and other Federal agency documents of public interest. Documents are on file for public inspection in the Office of the Federal Register the day before they are published, unless earlier filing is requested by the issuing agency.

The FEDERAL REGISTER will be furnished by mail to subscribers, free of postage, for $5.00 per month or $50 per year, payable in advance. The charge for individual copies is 75 cents for each issue, or 75 cents for each group of pages as actually bound. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

contents

THE PRESIDENT

Proclamations

Memorial Day; Prayer for Peace... 20643

EXECUTIVE AGENCIES

AGENCY FOR INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT

Notices

Authority delegations:
Bahrain, Mission director... 20717

AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE

Rules

Cotton; fee adjustment... 20680
Oranges (navel) grown in Ariz. and Calif... 20684

Proposed Rules

Milk marketing orders:
Paducah-Kentucky area; correction... 20688
Wheat standards; correction... 20688

AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT

See Agricultural Marketing Service; Forest Service; Soil Conservation Service.

ARMY DEPARTMENT

Notices

Meetings:
Ballistic Missile Defense Technology Advisory Panel... 20717

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

Rules

Organization and functions... 20652

Notices

Hearings, etc.:
International Air Transport Association (4 documents)... 20731–20733
International Air Transport Association; correction... 20732
Staff report on service to small communities; request for comments... 20733

CIVIL RIGHTS COMMISSION

Notices

Hearings:
Kentucky; correction... 20734
Meetings; State advisory committees:
Michigan; amendment... 20734

COMMERCE DEPARTMENT

See Domestic and International Business Administration; Patent and Trademark Office.

COMMUNITY SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Notices

Privacy Act of 1974; notice of additional systems of records; correction... 20734

COPYRIGHTED WORKS, NATIONAL COMMISSION ON NEW TECHNOLOGICAL USES

Notices

Meeting... 20740

DEFENSE DEPARTMENT

See also Army Department.

Proposed Rules

Toxic chemical hazards or combined toxic and explosives hazards safety standards... 20686

DOMESTIC AND INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

Notices

Scientific articles; duty free entry:
University of California-Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory... 20728

DRUG ENFORCEMENT ADMINISTRATION

Notices

Applications, etc.; controlled substances:
Stepan Chemical Co... 20717

EDUCATION OFFICE

Notices

Meetings:
Women's Educational Programs Advisory Council... 20729

ELECTRIC FUND TRANSFERS NATIONAL COMMISSION

Notices

Meeting, cancellation... 20740

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Rules

Air pollution; standards of performance for new stationary sources; correction... 20659
Federal and federally-assisted programs and projects; cooperation with state and local governments... 20655
Pesticide chemicals in or on raw agricultural commodities; tolerances and exemptions, etc.:
Oxamyl... 20660
Water pollution; effluent guidelines for certain point source categories; manufacturing, processing, etc.:
Explosives... 20660

Proposed Rules

Air quality implementation plans, various States, etc.:
West Virginia... 20707
Water pollution; effluent guidelines for certain point source categories:
Explosives... 20707

Notices

Water pollution control; discharge of pollutants:
Virgin Islands; public hearings and requests for program approval... 20734

FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION

Rules

Airworthiness directives:
Dornier... 20646
Fairchild... 20646
Hughes... 20646
McDonnell Douglas; correction... 20647
Mooney... 20647
Piper... 20647
Jet routes (2 documents)... 20651
Restricted areas (2 documents)... 20651
Standard instrument approach procedures... 20652
Transition areas (9 documents)... 20648–20650
VOR Federal airways (3 documents)... 20650

Proposed Rules

Airworthiness directives:
Bell... 20703
Pratt & Whitney... 20703
Restricted areas... 20704
Transition areas (2 documents)... 20704

Notices

Meetings:
Technical Advisory Committee... 20731

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Rules

Cable television:
Channel capacity and access channel requirements... 20665
FM broadcast stations; table of assignments:
Florida... 20663
Practice and procedure:
Extension of lines and discontinuance of service by carriers... 20660
Radio broadcast services:
Private operational and fixed microwave service... 20679
Television broadcast stations; table of assignments:
Iowa... 20662

Proposed Rules

FM broadcast stations; table of assignments:
California... 20708
Wisconsin... 20707

Notices

Amatuer radio service; licensing of novice class operators, experimental program... 20735

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION

Notices

Suspension of trading:
Farmers Bank of the State of Delaware... 20735

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

Notices

Meetings:
Clearinghouse Advisory Panel... 20801

CONTENTS

FEDERAL ENERGY ADMINISTRATION

Notices

Appeals and applications for exception, etc.; cases filed with Exceptions and Appeals Office.. 20735
Refiners buy-sell list; crude oil allocations 20737

FEDERAL INSURANCE ADMINISTRATION

Proposed Rules

Flood Insurance Program, National; flood elevation determinations, etc.:
Alabama 20691
Florida (5 documents) .. 20692–20695
Louisiana 20695
Minnesota (3 documents) . 20696, 20697
Missouri (3 documents) . 20698, 20699
New Jersey (3 documents) ... 20700, 20701
North Carolina 20702

Notices

Flood-prone areas list 20805

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

Notices

Freight forwarder licenses:
Lawrence M. Parry, Jr 20738
Investigations and hearings:
Trailer Marine Transport Corp., proposed rate increases on selected commodities 20738

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

Notices

Meetings:
Supply - Technical Advisory Task Force-Synthesized gaseous hydrocarbon fuels 20739

FEDERAL SUPPLY SERVICE

Proposed Rules

Federal travel regulations; proposed changes 20708

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

Rules

Prohibited trade practices:
Chrysler Corp 20653

FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

Rules

Public access, use and recreation:
Izembek National Wildlife Range, Ala 20680

Notices

Endangered species permits; applications (5 documents) . 20719–20724

FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION

Proposed Rules

Cheeses and cheese related foods:
Antimycotics and label declaration of optional ingredients.. 20690
Confectionery, individually wrapped pieces; proposed exemption from label statements; denial of petition 20688

FOREST SERVICE

Notices

Environmental statements; availability, etc.:
Kootenai National Forest, Dickey-Sunday Planning Unit, Mont 20725
South Fork Planning Unit, Oreg 20726
Meetings:
Boise National Forest Grazing Advisory Board 20725
Northeastern Forestry Research Advisory Committee 20726

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

See also Federal Supply Service.

Notices

Property management regulations, temporary:
Authority delegation to Defense Department Secretary 20740

HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE DEPARTMENT

See Education Office; Food and Drug Administration; National Institutes of Health.

HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION

See Federal Insurance Administration; Interstate Land Sales Registration Office.

INDIAN AFFAIRS BUREAU

Proposed Rules

Irrigation projects, operation and maintenance charges:
San Carlos, Ariz 20688

Notices

Land transfer:
Covelo Indian Community, Round Valley Reservation, Calif 20717

INTERIOR DEPARTMENT

See also Fish and Wildlife Service; Indian Affairs Bureau; Land Management Bureau.

Notices

Committees; establishment, renewals, etc.:
Cape Cod National Seashore Advisory Commission 20725

INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE

Rules

Income taxes:
Domestic international sales corporations 20654

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

Notices

Fourth section applications for relief 20750
Hearing assignments 20750
Motor carriers:
Household goods 20754
Operating rights authority 20754
Temporary authority applications 20750
Transfer proceedings 20753

INTERSTATE LAND SALES REGISTRATION OFFICE

Notices

Land developers; investigatory hearings, orders of suspension, etc.:
Oak Wood, Ramblewood, Shadow Wood, Ramblewood South, Maple Wood and Florida National Properties, Inc 20729
Pocono Highland Estates, Inc.. 20730
Taneycomo Projects, Inc 20730
Tiki Island, Realty Income Trust 20730

JUSTICE DEPARTMENT

See Drug Enforcement Administration.

LAND MANAGEMENT BUREAU

Notices

Applications, etc.:
Wyoming 20719
Meetings:
Alaska State Multiple Use Advisory Board 20717
Burley District Multiple Use Advisory Board 20718
Vernal District Multiple Use Advisory Board 20719
Opening of public lands:
Arizona 20718
California 20718

MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET OFFICE

Notices

Clearance of reports; lists of requests 20747

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

Notices

Patent licenses, foreign exclusive:
Norfolk Corp 20740

NATIONAL HIGHWAY TRAFFIC SAFETY ADMINISTRATION

Proposed Rules

Meeting, highway safety program standards 20705
Motor vehicle safety standards:
Bus air brake systems 20706

Notices

Motor vehicle safety standards; petitions to amend:
Ford Motor Co.; lamps, reflective devices, and associated equipment 20731

NATIONAL INSTITUTES OF HEALTH

Notices

Meetings:
Cancer Center Support Grant Review Committee 20729
Experimental Therapeutics Study Section 20729

NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

Notices

Table of appeals cases; refusal to issue compliants 20740

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Notices

Meetings:
Neurobiology Advisory Panel ... 20741

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD

Notices

Safety recommendations and accident reports; availability, responses, etc. 20746

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Rules

Privacy Act of 1974; implementation 20645

Notices

Applications, etc.:
- Boston Edison Co., et al. 20741
- C. F. Braun & Co. 20741
- Cleveland Electric Illuminating Co 20742
- Commonwealth Edison Co. (2 documents) 20742
- Metropolitan Edison Co., et al. 20743
- Nebraska Public Power District 20743
- Northern States Power Co. (2 documents) 20744
- Rochester Gas and Electric Corp 20745
- Tennessee Valley Authority 20744
- Virginia Electric & Power Co. 20745

International Atomic Energy Agency codes of practice and safety guides; availability of drafts 20743

Meetings:
- Project Management Corp. 20745

PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Notices

Meetings:
- Public Advisory Committee for Trademark Affairs 20728

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Notices

Hearings, etc.:
- Continental Vending Machine Corp 20748
- Pacific Stock Exchange, Inc. 20748
- United Air Lines, Inc. 20749

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

Rules

Surety bond guarantee; correction 20646

Notices

Applications, etc.:
- Southwest Capitol Investments, Inc 20750

Disaster areas:
- New York 20750

Meetings, advisory councils:
- Baltimore District 20750

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

Notices

Environmental statements on watershed projects; availability, etc.:
- Bad Axe, Wis. 20726
- Choctaw Bayou, La. 20726
- Lakeview, Tex. 20727
- Newton-Hoffman Creeks, N.Y. 20727
- Presque Isle Stream, Me. 20727

STATE DEPARTMENT

See also Agency for International Development.

Notices

Authority delegations:
- Assistant Secretary of State for Educational and Cultural Affairs 20717

TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT

See also Federal Aviation Administration; National Highway Traffic Safety Administration.

Rules

Authority delegations:
- Deputy Secretary, the Federal Railroad and the Urban Mass Transportation Administrators, the General Counsel, and the Assistant Secretary for Administration 20680

TREASURY DEPARTMENT

See Internal Revenue Service.

list of cfr parts affected in this issue

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published in today's issue. A cumulative list of parts affected, covering the current month to date, follows beginning with the second issue of the month.

A Cumulative List of CFR Sections Affected is published separately at the end of each month. The guide lists the parts and sections affected by documents published since the revision date of each title.

3 CFR

PROCLAMATIONS:

4440 20643

7 CFR

27 20680
28 20681
61 20684
907 20684

PROPOSED RULES:

26 20688
1099 20688

10 CFR

9 20645

13 CFR

115 20646

14 CFR

39 (6 documents) 20646, 20647
71 (12 documents) 20648–20650
73 (2 documents) 20651
75 (2 documents) 20651
97 20652
384 20652

PROPOSED RULES:

39 (2 documents) 20703
71 (2 documents) 20704
73 20704

16 CFR

13 20653

21 CFR

PROPOSED RULES:

1 20688
19 20690

23 CFR

PROPOSED RULES:

1204 20705

24 CFR

PROPOSED RULES:

1917 (17 documents) 20691–20702

25 CFR

PROPOSED RULES:

221 20688

26 CFR

1 20654

32 CFR

PROPOSED RULES:

251 20686

40 CFR

6 20655
7 20655
12 20656
30 20656
35 20659
40 20659
60 20659
180 20660
457 20660

PROPOSED RULES:

52 20707
457 20707

41 CFR

PROPOSED RULES:

101–7 20708

47 CFR

63 20660
73 (2 documents) 20662, 20663
76 20665
94 20679

PROPOSED RULES:

73 (2 documents) 20707, 20708

49 CFR

1 20680

PROPOSED RULES:

571 20706

50 CFR

28 20680

CUMULATIVE LIST OF PARTS AFFECTED DURING MAY

The following numerical guide is a list of parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published to date during May.

1 CFR

Ch. I 18283

3 CFR

PROCLAMATIONS:

4436 18397
4437 18643
4438 19193
4439 19927
4440 20643

EXECUTIVE ORDERS:

11827 (Amended by 11915) 19195
11915 19195

LETTERS:

May 13, 1976 (2 documents) 20151, 20153

MEMORANDUMS:

January 2, 1973 (Amended by Memorandum of April 14, 1976) 18281
April 26, 1973 (See Memorandum of April 14, 1976) 18281
December 13, 1973 (See Memorandum of April 14, 1976) 18281
October 29, 1974 (See Memorandum of April 14, 1976) 18281
May 20, 1975 (See Memorandum of April 14, 1976) 18281
August 5, 1975 (See Memorandum of April 14, 1976) 18281
April 14, 1976 18281
April 30, 1976 ..... 18401, 18403

5 CFR

213 ..... 18405, 19197, 20389

PROPOSED RULES:

1410 19973

7 CFR

20 19950
26 ..... 18284, 19951
27 20680
28 20681
29 ..... 18425, 19951, 19959
52 ..... 18673, 20389
61 20684
201 20155
210 ..... 18426, 19197
220 18781
270 18781
271 ..... 18781, 19200
301 19960
354 20389
719 20390
730 20390
905 ..... 18673, 19965
907 ..... 18674, 19647, 20158, 20684
908 20545
910 ..... 18286, 18428, 18805, 19200, 19966, 20391
911 19299
916 ..... 18804, 20545
917 20547
918 ..... 19200, 19201
944 ..... 18674, 19965
1033 18806
1207 19101
1804 19966
1813 19966

7 CFR—Continued

1822 ..... 19966, 20391, 20392
1823 19966
1890o 19967
1901 19967

PROPOSED RULES:

Ch. X 19650
26 ..... 18310, 20688
29 18677
271 20414
911 18678
932 18310
1004 18862
1099 20688
1207 18679
1430 19972
1701 18430
1802 19342
1816 18310
1822 18310
1861 18679
1871 18518
1890 18310
1901 18310

9 CFR

51 18806
76 ..... 18807, 19929
78 19929

PROPOSED RULES:

319 19971

10 CFR

9 20645
20 18301
30 18301
31 18302
32 18302
34 ..... 18302, 18645
40 18302
50 18303
55 18303
70 18303
115 18300
150 18304
205 19929
211 ..... 18646, 20158, 20392
212 ..... 18304, 18646, 18807, 19110
213 18306

PROPOSED RULES:

20 18320
212 18873
430 19977

12 CFR

202 20576
226 20395
265 20395
337 ..... 18286, 18405
405 19299
501 18406
505a 18515
531 18516
545 ..... 18286, 18516
555 18516
556 18286
561 18516

12 CFR—Continued

PROPOSED RULES:

217 ..... 18523, 20590
226 20421
329 18872
721 19131

13 CFR

115 20646

14 CFR

39 ..... 18289, 18647–18649, 19619, 19620, 20159, 20160, 20646, 20647
71 ..... 18289, 18650, 19620, 19621, 20160, 20648–20650
73 20651
75 20651
97 ..... 18651, 19621, 20652
159 19622
378 20160
378a 20161
384 20652

PROPOSED RULES:

23 19126
25 19126
27 19126
29 19126
39 ..... 18681, 18663, 18864, 19127, 19673, 19674, 20174, 20175, 20703
71 ..... 18316, 18317, 18683, 18684, 18865, 19128, 19674, 20176, 20704
73 20704
75 18685
91 19126
93 19127
207 ..... 19227, 20590
208 ..... 19227, 20590
289 19974
296 ..... 19227, 20590

15 CFR

389 19301

PROPOSED RULES:

10 19227

16 CFR

4 18407
13 ..... 18407–18409, 18505, 18507, 19201–19206, 19301, 19624, 20161, 20653
1116 18651

PROPOSED RULES:

1202 19229
1700 18523

17 CFR

10 19932
200 ..... 20577, 20578
202 18290
220 18808
240 ..... 18290, 18432, 18808, 19932, 20578

PROPOSED RULES:

210 19132
230 19982
239 19982

17 CFR—Continued

PROPOSED RULES—Continued
240 ..... 18879, 19229, 19982, 19983
241 19986
249 19982
275 19988

18 CFR

701 20548

PROPOSED RULES:
141 18878
154 20177

19 CFR

153 18809
201 18810

20 CFR

200 20579
609 ..... 18996, 20582

PROPOSED RULES:
725 18868
901 20424

21 CFR

2 18291
51 18411
121 ..... 18810, 19207, 19933
123 19210
431 18291
436 18509
540 18652
561 ..... 19210, 19301
610 18292
620 18292
640 18292

PROPOSED RULES:
1 20688
19 20690
36 19347
51 18315
128e 19988
310 20414
436 ..... 19347, 19348, 20414
440 19348
444 20414
448 19349
510 19906
556 19906
558 19906
606 19349
801 18862
1000 18863
1308 19227

22 CFR

603 19101
1003 19211
1101 19625

PROPOSED RULES:
6 19649

23 CFR

650 18297

PROPOSED RULES:
1204 20705

24 CFR

58 20522
207 19935

24 CFR—Continued

570 20523
700 20396
865 20276
882 19880
1914 ..... 18652, 20396–20398
1915 ..... 18850, 20549, 20555
1916 ..... 18509, 18510, 20162, 20571
1920 20571–20575
3282 19846

PROPOSED RULES:
42 18762
201 19188
280 19290
860 18494
891 18626
1917 20691–20702

25 CFR

43m 19631
221 18411

PROPOSED RULES:
221 ..... 18676, 20688

26 CFR

1 ..... 18811, 20654
31 19632
601 ..... 19214, 19936

PROPOSED RULES:
1 ..... 18859, 19115, 19970, 20580
3 20173
20 19115
25 19115

27 CFR

PROPOSED RULES:
201 18676

28 CFR

0 19220
2 19326
16 20163
30 18653

PROPOSED RULES:
2 19341

29 CFR

97 19286
1952 ..... 18834, 18835
2611 18992

PROPOSED RULES:
1910 ..... 18430, 18869, 19349, 19973

30 CFR

211 20261
216 20273

31 CFR

261 19302

32 CFR

155 19303
505 19220
1286 18836
1901 19104

PROPOSED RULES:
251 20686
2301 18861
2302 18862

33 CFR

117 18298
203 20163
208 20400

PROPOSED RULES:
128 20173
157 19672
164 ..... 18766, 18770, 18771, 19172, 19173
183 ..... 18679, 20590
207 18517
209 19649

35 CFR

PROPOSED RULES:
133 19981

36 CFR

7 19220

38 CFR

3 ..... 18299, 18411, 20407

PROPOSED RULES:
3 ..... 19353, 19354
21 20425

39 CFR

601 20408
956 19309
957 19309

40 CFR

6 20655
7 20655
12 20656
30 20656
35 20659
40 20659
51 ..... 18382, 18510
52 ..... 18510, 18654, 19105, 19220, 19309, 19946
60 ..... 18494, 19633, 20659
61 19633
180 ..... 18511, 19221, 20408, 20660
414 ..... 19310, 20409
418 20582
434 19832
454 20506
457 20660
458 20496
460 18774

PROPOSED RULES:
52 ..... 18431, 19131, 19670, 19975, 20707
55 19651–19667
434 19841
454 20515
457 20707
458 20502
460 18779

41 CFR

Ch. 1 19312
1–3 19312
1–6 19313
1–7 19313
1–20 19317
3–1 19634
3–3 19634
3–16 ..... 19106, 19634
3–50 19635
8–2 19317
8–4 19317
8–52 19318

41 CFR—Continued

14–3 ..... 19221, 20548
14–7 19947
15–9 19107

PROPOSED RULES:

101–7 20708

43 CFR

2 19109
7 20409
9 20409
23 20273
1874 (Revoked in part by PLO 5583) 20169
3040 20252
3520 18845

PUBLIC LAND ORDERS:

5583 20169

45 CFR

100a 19635
144 18660
154 19949
155 20076
157 20086
159 20095
160b 18660
160d 19635
175 18660
176 18660
177 18300
228 20585
504 19641
660 19644
1221 18848
1061 20530
1068 20410
1600 18511
1604 ..... 18512, 19110
1605 ..... 18512, 19110
1612 ..... 18513, 19110

45 CFR—Continued

PROPOSED RULES:

81 18394
84 20296
182a 20416
250 19988
1006 18871
1224 19671
1301 18606
1302 18611
1305 18614
1451 18518
1470 18520
1606 18524
1607 18526
1608 18527
1609 18528
1610 18528

46 CFR

4 18655
167 19646
294 18849
502 20585
521 20589
536 18655

PROPOSED RULES:

206 19651
207 19651
502 20419

47 CFR

0 20169
1 19536
2 ..... 18514, 19947
15 19947
63 20660
68 18416
73 ..... 18419, 19319, 19536, 19949, 20169, 20172, 20662, 20663
76 20665

47 CFR—Continued

89 ..... 19110, 19223
91 ..... 18514, 19223
94 20679

PROPOSED RULES:

2 19349
15 19349
18 19349
73 ..... 18431, 19229, 19976, 20176, 20707, 20708
83 19349
95 18872

49 CFR

1 ..... 20172, 20680
171 18656
173 18412
178 18412
216 18656
571 18659
1033 ..... 18850, 19223, 19325, 19647

PROPOSED RULES:

215 18685
218 19675
231 ..... 18317, 18868
391 19972
571 ..... 18687, 20706
1051 19230
1127 20104
1306 19353

50 CFR

17 ..... 18618, 19224
28 20680
33 ..... 18416, 18659, 20589
216 20589
285 20411

PROPOSED RULES:

20 19341
32 18677
33 19649
295 19125

FEDERAL REGISTER PAGES AND DATES—MAY

Pages	*Date*	*Pages*	*Date*
18279–18396	May 3	19299–19618	12
18397–18503	4	19619–19925	13
18505–18642	5	19927–20150	14
18643–18780	6	20151–20388	17
18781–19099	7	20389–20543	18
19101–19191	10	20545–20642	19
19193–19297	11	20643–20858	20

reminders

(The items in this list were editorially compiled as an aid to FEDERAL REGISTER users. Inclusion or exclusion from this list has no legal significance. Since this list is intended as a reminder, it does not include effective dates that occur within 14 days of publication.)

Rules Going Into Effect Today

DOT/FAA—Alteration of control zone and transition area; Glasgow, Ky.... 11274; 3–18–76

Alteration of control zone and transition area; Hickory, N.C. 11273; 3–18–76

Alteration of control zone and transition area; Fort Stewart, Ga. 16794; 4–22–76

Alteration of Federal airway. 11275; 3–18–76

Alteration of Federal airway segments..... 7088; 2–17–76

Alteration of transition area. 11273, 11275; 3–18–76

Bolivar, Tenn.; transition area. 14878; 4–8–76

Chesterfield, Mo. control zone and transition area.... 17879; 4–29–76

Designation of Federal airways; alteration of control zone.... 9301–02; 3–4–76

Designation of Federal airways; transition areas..... 7939; 2–23–76

Designation of transition area. 11274; 3–18–76

Federal airways (4 documents). 12277, 12278; 3–25–76

Jet routes and area high routes; alteration of jet route; New Orleans, La., and Memphis, Tenn..... 13909; 4–1–76

Jet route J–89, south of Atlanta, Ga. 12278; 3–25–76

Restricted areas (3 documents). 12276, 12278; 3–25–76

Standard instrument approach procedures for certain areas. 12279; 3–25–76

Standard instrument approach procedures; recent changes and additions..... 13907–13908; 4–1–76

Standard instrument approach procedures..... 16795; 4–22–76

Terminal control area, St. Louis, Mo. 12277; 3–25–76

Transition areas over Gulf of Mexico. 12278; 3–25–76

HEW/FDA—Enforcement of Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and Fair Packaging and Labeling Act; Reserve sample requirement..... 16550; 4–20–76

Labor/BLS—Committee on Occupational Safety and Health Statistics, Washington, D.C., 5–20–76.... 16620; 4–20–76

List of Public Laws

This is a continuing numerical listing of public bills which have become law, together with the law number, the title, the date of approval, and the U.S. Statutes citation. The list is kept current in the FEDERAL REGISTER and copies of the laws may be obtained from the U.S. Government Printing Office.

S. 2115..... Pub. Law 94–286
An act to amend chapter 39 of title 10, United States Code, to enable the President to authorize the involuntary order to active duty of Selected Reservists, for a limited period, whether or not a declaration of war or national emergency has been declared
(May 14, 1976; 90 Stat. 517)

presidential documents

Title 3—The President

PROCLAMATION 4440

Prayer for Peace

Memorial Day, May 31, 1976

By the President of the United States of America

A Proclamation

In this, our Nation's 200th year, Memorial Day has special significance. As we honor those who gave their lives that our experiment with liberty might succeed, we can be proud of what America has accomplished. We are at peace. Our Nation and our way of life endure. The sacrifices of 200 years have preserved both individual freedom and national unity.

As we mark this milestone of our national independence, however, we must not forget the lessons of history. Other nations have risen to great heights only to weaken in their resolve. We must not repeat their error. We must remain strong in our defense and steadfast in our resolve to uphold the principles with which we began two centuries ago.

In accord with the request of the Congress, by joint resolution of May 11, 1950 (64 Stat. 158), let us especially pray on Memorial Day that our continued resolve and our eternal vigilance will bring lasting peace to peoples yearning for peace, and that our honored dead shall not have died in vain.

NOW, THEREFORE, I, GERALD R. FORD, President of the United States of America, do hereby designate Memorial Day, Monday, May 31, 1976, as a day of prayer for permanent peace, and I designate the hour beginning in each locality at 11 o'clock in the morning of that day as a time to unite in prayer.

I urge the press, radio, television, and all other information media to join in this observance.

I also call upon the appropriate officials of all levels of government to fly the flag at half-staff until noon during Memorial Day on all buildings, grounds, and naval vessels throughout the United States and in all areas under its jurisdiction and control, and I request the people of the United States to display the flag at half-staff from their homes for the same customary forenoon period.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this nineteenth day of May, in the year of our Lord nineteen hundred seventy-six, and of the Independence of the United States of America the two hundredth.

Gerald R. Ford

[FR Doc.76–15052 Filed 5–19–76;11:45 am]

rules and regulations

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains regulatory documents having general applicability and legal effect most of which are keyed to and codified in the Code of Federal Regulations, which is published under 50 titles pursuant to 44 U.S.C. 1510.

The Code of Federal Regulations is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of new books are listed in the first FEDERAL REGISTER issue of each month.

Title 10—Energy

CHAPTER I—NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

PART 9—PUBLIC RECORDS

Amendments of Privacy Act and Freedom of Information Act Regulations

Paragraphs § 9.53(b), 9.65(b), 9.66(b), and 9.67(a) of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's regulation, 10 CFR Part 9, require that appropriate legends be placed on the envelope or letter such as "Privacy Act Request," "Privacy Act Disclosure Accounting Request," "Privacy Act Correction Request," "Privacy Act Appeal—Denial of Access," "Privacy Act Correction Appeal," and "Privacy Act Statement of Disagreement." Paragraphs § 9.8(a) and 9.11(a), which pertain to requests under the Freedom of Information Act, also require appropriate legends on the envelope or letter.

The legends are intended to facilitate prompt handling of requests under the Privacy Act of 1974 and the Freedom of Information Act.

The amendments of §§ 9.53(b), 9.65(b), 9.66(b), 9.67(a), 9.8(a), and 9.11(a) set forth below suggest that these legends be placed on the envelope or letter, but make it clear that the use of such legends is not mandatory. Language is added to §§ 9.53(b), 9.65(b), 9.66(b), and 9.11(a), however, to provide notice that a request not clearly marked on the envelope and in the letter will be deemed not to have been received by the NRC until it is actually received by the appropriate office specified in 10 CFR Part 9.

Paragraphs 9.65(b) and 9.66(b) are amended as set forth below to increase the time for filing appeals from denials of access to records and denials of correction of records, to 60 working days from date of receipt of an initial determination denying access to a record or a refusal to amend or correct a record. This is not a mandatory time limit, however, and appeals will be considered if filed after the 60-day period.

Because these amendments relate solely to minor matters, good cause exists for omitting notice of proposed rule making, and public procedure thereon, as unnecessary, and for making the amendments effective May 20, 1976.

Pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, the Energy Reorganization Act of 1974, as amended, and sections 552, 552a, and 553 of Title 5 of the United States Code, the following amendments to Title 10, Chapter I, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 9, are published as a document subject to codification.

1. In § 9.8, the third sentence of paragraph (a) is amended to read as follows:

§ 9.8 Request for records.

* * * * *

(a) * * * The request should clearly state on the envelope and in the letter that it is a "Freedom of Information Act request". * * *

2. In § 9.11, paragraph (a) is revised to read as follows:

§ 9.11 Appeal from initial determination.

(a) Except as provided in § 9.15, a requester may within 30 days of receipt of a notice of denial of the request for records pursuant to this subpart appeal such denial to the Executive Director for Operations. The appeal shall be in writing, addressed to the Executive Director for Operations, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, and should clearly state on the envelope and in the letter, that it is an "Appeal from Initial FOIA Decision." An appeal that is not so marked will be deemed not to have been received by the NRC until it is actually received by the Executive Director for Operations.

* * * * *

3. In § 9.53, paragraph (b) is revised to read as follows:

§ 9.53 Requests: How and where presented.

* * * * *

(b) All written requests shall be made to the Director, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, and should clearly state on the envelope and in the letter, as appropriate: "Privacy Act Request," "Privacy Act Disclosure Accounting Request," "Privacy Act Correction Request." A request that is not so marked will be deemed not to have been received by the NRC until it is actually received by the Director, Office of Administration.

* * * * *

4. In § 9.65, paragraph (b) is revised to read as follows:

§ 9.65 Access determinations; appeals.

* * * * *

(b) *Appeals from denials of access.* If an individual has been denied access to a record the individual may request a final review and determination of his request by the Executive Director for Operations. A request for final review of an initial determination should be filed within 60 working days of the receipt of the initial determination, shall be in writing, shall be addressed to the Executive Director for Operations, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, and should be clearly marked on the envelope and in the letter "Privacy Act Appeal—Denial of Access." A request that is not so marked will be deemed not to have been received by the NRC until it is actually received by the Executive Director for Operations.

* * * * *

5. In § 9.66, paragraph (b) is revised to read as follows:

§ 9.66 Determinations authorizing or denying correction of records; appeals.

* * * * *

(b) *Appeals from initial adverse determinations.* If an individual's request to amend or correct a record has been denied, in whole or in part, the individual may request a final review and determination of his request by the Executive Director for Operations. A request for final review of an initial determination should be filed within 60 working days of the receipt of the initial determination, shall be in writing, shall be addressed to the Executive Director for Operations, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, and should be clearly marked on the envelope and in the letter "Privacy Act Correction Appeal." An appeal that is not so marked will be deemed not to have been received by the NRC until it is actually received by the Executive Director for Operations. Requests for final review shall set forth the specific item of information sought to be corrected or amended and should include, where appropriate, documents supporting the correction or amendment.

* * * * *

§ 9.67 [Amended]

6. In section 9.67, pararaph (a) is amended by deleting "shall be clearly marked" and substituting therefor "should be clearly marked".

Effective date. These amendments become effective on May 20, 1976.

(Sec. 161, Pub. L. 83-703, 68 Stat. 948 (42 U.S.C. 2201); Sec. 201, Pub. L. 93-438, 88 Stat. 1242 (42 U.S.C. 5841); 5 U.S.C. 552a).

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland this 10th day of May 1976.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

LEE V. GOSSICK,
Executive Director for Operations.

[FR Doc.76-14834 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

Title 13—Business Credit and Assistance

CHAPTER I—SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

[Amendment 3]

PART 115—SURETY BOND GUARANTEE

Correction

The document appearing at page 16549 in the FEDERAL REGISTER of April 20, 1976, should have been identified as Amendment 3 to Part 115—Surety Bond Guarantee.

The omission should be added as the Agency document designation.

Dated: May 11, 1976.

DOROTHY S. LEVY,
Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc.76-14702 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

Title 14—Aeronautics and Space

CHAPTER I—FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

[Docket No. 15713; Amdt. 39-2618]

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

Dornier GmbH Models Do 28 D and Do 28 D-1 Airplanes

There have been reports of the elevator trim drive chain jumping off the elevator trim sprocket on Dornier Model Do 28 airplanes that could have resulted in a loss of elevator trim control. Since this condition is likely to exist or develop in other airplanes of the same type design, an airworthiness directive is being issued which requires replacement of the existing chain guard with a new chain guard with slip-off protection and the installation of a chain guide on certain Dornier Model Do 28 D and Do 28 D-1 airplanes.

Since a situation exists that requires the immediate adoption of this regulation, it is found that notice and public procedure hereon are impracticable and good cause exists for making this amendment effective in less than 30 days.

(Sec. 313(a), 601, and 603 Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, and 1423) sec. 6(c) Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).)

In consideration of the foregoing and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (14 CFR 11.89), § 39.13 of Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

DORNIER GmbH. Applies to Model DO 28 D and DO 28 D-1 airplanes, certificated in all categories, serial numbers 0401, 4002 through 4035, and 4040 through 4049.

Compliance is required within the next 100 hours time in service after the effective date of this AD, unless already accomplished.

To prevent the possible loss of elevator trim control, replace the elevator trim chain guard with a new chain guard with slip-off protection and install a chain guide in accordance with the "Procedure" section of Dornier Service Bulletin No. 1034-1403, dated February 21, 1972, or an FAA-approved equivalent.

This amendment becomes effective June 3, 1976.

Issued in Washington, D.C. on May 13, 1976.

J. A. FERRARESE,
Acting Director,
Flight Standards Service.

[FR Doc.76-14661 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

[Airworthiness Docket No. 76-SW-29; Amdt. 39-2609]

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVE

Fairchild Model F-27 Aircraft

A report has indicated the inadvertent opening of the large cargo door incorporated into Fairchild FH-227 type airplanes. This door installation is also incorporated into Fairchild F-27 type airplanes. Inasmuch as this deficiency can develop in airplanes of similar type designs, an airworthiness directive is being issued which will require a more positive means of lock engagement and retention. Because the deficiency is one which requires immediate adoption of this regulation, it is found that notice and public procedure hereon are impracticable and good cause exists for making this amendment effective in less than 30 days.

FAIRCHILD. Applies to all F-27 airplanes incorporating the outward opening large cargo door installed in accordance with STC No. SA932SW.

Compliance required within the next 300 hours' or 30 days' time in service, whichever occurs first, after the effective date of this AD unless already accomplished.

To prevent inadvertent opening of the large cargo door in flight, accomplish the alteration in paragraph 2, Accomplishment Instructions, in Fairchild Service Bulletin F27-52-31 dated January 8, 1976, for F-27 airplanes or an equivalent alteration approved by the Chief, Engineering and Manufacturing Branch, Federal Aviation Administration, Southwest Region.

This amendment becomes effective June 14, 1976.

(Secs. 313(a), 601, and 603 Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, and 1423) Sec. 6(c) Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).)

Issued in Fort Worth, Texas, on May 7, 1976.

HENRY L. NEWMAN,
Director, Southwest Region.

[FR Doc.76-14658 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

[Airworthiness Docket No. 76-WE-6-AD; Amdt. 39-2611]

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

Hughes 269 Series Helicopters

There has been a bond failure in the Lateral Cyclic Trim Control Assembly, P/N 269A7316-9, on Hughes Model 269 Series helicopter that resulted in a restriction of the right lateral cyclic control. The bond failure between the 269A-7142 tube and the 269A7318-1 housing of the trim control assembly will result in a restriction of the right lateral cyclic control with hazardous degradation of the primary right lateral control of the helicopter. Since this condition is likely to exist or develop in other lateral cyclic trim assemblies of the same design, an airworthiness directive is being issued to require a one-time inspection of the structural bond between the 269A7142 tube and the 269A7318-1 housing of the lateral cyclic trim control assemblies.

Since a situation exists that requires immediate adoption of this regulation, it is found that notice and public procedure hereon are impracticable and good cause exists for making this amendment effective in less than 30 days.

In consideration of the foregoing, and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (31 FR 13697), § 39.13 of Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

HUGHES HELICOPTERS. Applies to Hughes Model 269 series helicopters equipped with P/N 269A7316-3, -5, -7, -9, or -11 cyclic trim control assembly, certificated in all categories, including military TH-55A.

Compliance required as indicated.

To prevent the restriction of right lateral cyclic control which will result in the loss of right lateral control of the helicopter, accomplish the following:

(a) Within the next 25 hours time in service after the effective date of this AD, unless already accomplished:

(1) Remove the lateral cyclic trim control assembly from the helicopter in accordance with Hughes 269 Helicopter Basic Handbook of Maintenance Instructions and inspect the structural bond for any slippage between the 269A7142 tube and the 269A7318-1 housing of the 269A7316-3, -5, -7, -9, or -11 Lateral Cyclic Trim Control Assembly. The inspection of the bond consist of applying a 75 to 80 pound load to the 269A7142 tube in accordance with Hughes Service Information Notice No. N-138, dated April 30, 1976 or later FAA-approved revision.

(2) Those assemblies with no slippage may be reinstalled per Hughes 269 Helicopter Basic Handbook of Maintenance Instructions.

(3) Those assemblies with slippage, repair per Hughes Service Information Notice No. N-138, dated April 30, 1976 or later FAA-approved revision or replace with a serviceable part prior to further flight.

(b) After the effective date of this AD, inspect and repair, if necessary, the lateral cyclic trim control assembly in spare inventory per Hughes Service Information Notice No. N-138, dated April 30, 1976 or later FAA-approved revision on or prior to installation on a helicopter.

(c) Equivalent inspections and repair may be approved by the Chief, Aircraft Engineering Division, Western Region.

NOTE.—For the requirements regarding the listing of compliance and method of compliance with this AD in the rotocraft maintenance record, see FAR 91.173.

(d) Rotorcraft may be flown to a base for accomplishment of the inspections required by this AD per FAR's 21.197 and 21.199.

This amendment becomes effective May 21, 1976.

(Secs. 313(a), 601 and 603 Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, and 1423) Sec. 6(c) Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).)

Issued in Los Angeles, California on May 7, 1976.

LYNN L. HINK,
Acting Director,
FAA Western Region.

[FR Doc.76-14657 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

[Airworthiness Docket No. 76-SW-32, Amdt. 39-2607]

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

Mooney Models M20C, M20E, and M20F Airplanes

There have been failures of the fuel pressure warning switch on Mooney Models M20C, M20E, and M20F airplanes that resulted in fuel leaking from the switch into the area behind the instrument panel. Since this condition is likely to exist or develop in other airplanes of the same type design, an airworthiness directive is being issued to require removal of the pressure switch. The fuel pressure warning switch is not a required item of equipment.

Since a situation exists which requires immediate adoption of this regulation, it is found that notice and public procedure hereon are impracticable, and good cause exists for making this amendment effective in less than 30 days.

In consideration of the foregoing, and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (31 FR 13697), § 39.13 of Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

MOONEY: Applies to Models M20C (Serial Numbers 20-0010, 20-1147 through 20-1172), M20E (Serial Numbers 21-0038, 21-1161 through 21-1181), and M20F (Serial Numbers 22-1179 through 22-1272) airplanes certificated in all categories.

Compliance required within the next ten hours' time in service after the effective date of this airworthiness directive unless already accomplished.

To prevent fuel from leaking in the cabin as a result of a cracked diaphragm in the low fuel pressure switch, accomplish the following:

(a) Remove the low fuel pressure warning system in accordance with Mooney Service Bulletin Number M20-193A dated April 1, 1976, or later FAA approved revision. A copy of this Service Bulletin can be obtained from Mooney Aircraft Corporation, Box 72, Kerrville, Texas 78028.

(b) Any alternative equivalent method of compliance with this airworthiness directive must be approved by the Chief, Engineering and Manufacturing Branch, Flight Standards Division, Southwest Region, Federal Aviation Administration.

This amendment becomes effective May 19, 1976.

(Secs. 313(a), 601, and 603 Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, and 1423) Sec. 6(c) Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).)

Issued in Fort Worth, Texas on May 4, 1976.

HENRY L. NEWMAN,
Director, Southwest Region.

[FR Doc.76-14660 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 76-EA-30; Amdt. 39-2613]

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVE

Piper Aircraft

The Federal Aviation Administration is amending § 39.13 of Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to issue an airworthiness directive applicable to Piper PA-31 type airplane.

There have been reports of improper wing flap operation on the subject aircraft which has resulted in asymetrical flap positioning. The deficiency has been determined to result from excessive wear in the wing flap transmission.

Since this deficiency can exist or develop in airplanes of similar type design, an airworthiness directive is being issued which will require repetitive inspections and repair where necessary.

In view of the foregoing and because the deficiency is one which affects air safety, notice and public procedure hereon are impractical and good cause exists for making the amendment effective in less than 30 days.

In consideration of the foregoing and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, 14 CFR 11.89 [31 FR 13697] § 39.13 of Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended by issuing a new Airworthiness Directive as follows:

PIPER AIRCRAFT CORP. Applies to all PA-31 Series airplanes, certificated in all categories.

Compliance with paragraph a. required within 100 hours in service after the effective date of this AD, unless already accomplished. Compliance with paragraph b. required within the next 25 hours in service after the effective date of this AD for airplanes having 500 or more hours in service, and within the next 100 hours in service for all other airplanes, unless already accomplished, and thereafter at intervals not to exceed 100 hours in service from the last inspection, for all airplanes.

a. To detect and correct improper flap flexible drive shaft connections, accomplish the "Instructions" of Section 1 of Piper Service Bulletin 494, dated April 21, 1976.

b. To detect excessive wear which could cause failure of the flaps to operate properly, accomplish the inspection, and rework or replacement where necessary, of the wing flap transmission assembly, P/N's 489380, 489381, 489418, or 489419, described in the "Instructions" of Section 2 of Piper Service Bulletin 494, dated April 21, 1976, or an equivalent procedure approved by the Chief, Engineering and Manufacturing Branch, FAA, Eastern Region.

This amendment is effective May 21, 1976.

(Secs. 313(a), 601 and 603 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 [49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421 and 1423], and section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act [49 U.S.C. 1655(c)].)

Issued in Jamaica, N.Y., on May 7, 1976.

L. J. CARDINALI,
Acting Director,
Eastern Region.

[FR Doc.76-14659 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

[Airworthiness Docket No. 76-WE-1-AD; Amdt. 39-2600]

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

McDonnell Douglas Model DC-8 Series Airplane

Correction

FR Doc. 76-13143 which appeared at page 18649 in the FEDERAL REGISTER of Thursday, May 6, 1976 was published in the wrong format. The document is republished as follows:

Amendment 39-2522 (41 F.R. 7937), AD 76-04-04, requires initial and repetitive inspections of the wing front spar lower cap on McDonnell Douglas DC-8 Series airplanes and replacement of a section of the spar cap if cracked. Since the issuance of Amendment 39-2522, the manufacturer has issued Service Bulletin 57-82 which provides instructions for preventative rework of those spar caps which do not have cracks. The preventative rework improves the fatigue life of the spar cap to such an extent that the repetitive inspection of AD 76-04-04 may be discontinued.

The manufacturer has also developed a repair for cracked spars if the cracks are within certain specified limits. For those airplanes with cracks within those limits, the spar cap may be repaired in accordance with Douglas Service Rework Drawing 5802723, in lieu of the replacement of a section of spar per the Service Rework Drawing 5802712 specified in AD 76-04-04.

Several airlines have requested an extension of the initial and repetitive inspection times specified in AD 76-04-04, because the specified times would require them to remove airplanes from service in order to meet the inspection requirements. They represent that the inspection of approximately 20% of the fleet, most aircraft having between 40,000 and 55,000 hours time in service, without finding any cracks is justification for allowing more time for the remainder of the fleet, consisting of generally lower time airplanes. The FAA agrees.

Therefore, AD 76-04-04 is being amended to provide for a relaxation of inspection times and the rework and repair provisions of the above Service Bulletin and Service Rework Drawing.

Since this amendment provides alternative means of compliance and imposes no additional burden on any person, notice and public procedure hereon are unnecessary and the amendment may be made effective in less than 30 days.

In consideration of the foregoing, and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (31 F.R. 13697), § 39.13 of Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations, Amendment 39-2522 (41 F.R. 7937), AD 76-04-04, is amended as follows:

1. By amending paragraph (a) to read:

"(a) For those airplanes which have had the original 17 interference fit fasteners replaced that attach the #1 and #14 pylon cant bulkhead shear clips to the wing lower spar cap forward flange, comply with Paragraph (c) within the next 1600 hours time in service after the effective date of this AD or before the accumulation of 30,000

hours total time in service, whichever occurs later, unless accomplished within the last 2600 hours time in service, and thereafter at intervals not to exceed 4200 hours time in service."

2. By amending paragraph (b) to read:

"(b) For those airplanes which have not had the original 17 interference fit fasteners replaced that attach the #1 and #4 pylon cant bulkhead shear clips to the wing lower spar cap forward flange, comply with Paragraph (c) within the next 3200 hours time in service after the effective date of this AD or before the accumulation of 30,000 hours total time in service, whichever occurs later, unless accomplished within the last 1000 hours time in service, and thereafter at intervals not to exceed 4200 hours time in service."

3. By amending paragraph (d) to read:

"(d) If cracks are found which are limited to the lower forward horizontal tang and have not progressed aft into the lower aft tang or vertical leg of the cap, repair before further flight in accordance with DC-8 Service Bulletin 57-82 dated March 17, 1976, or later FAA-approved revisions, or DC-8 Service Rework Drawing 5802723, or an equivalent approved by the Chief, Aircraft Engineering Division, FAA Western Region. If cracks are found which exceed the above limits, repair before further flight in accordance with DC-8 Service Rework Drawing 5802712, Revision "B" or an equivalent approved by the Chief, Aircraft Engineering Division, FAA Western Region."

4. By adding a new paragraph (f) to read:

"(f) The repetitive inspections required by Paragraphs (a) or (b) may be discontinued for those airplanes which have incorporated the preventative rework, involving stress coining of the fasteners holes and installation of interference fit fasteners in accordance with either Service Rework Drawing 5802712, Revision "B", or Service Rework Drawing 5802723, or McDonnell Douglas DC-8 Service Bulletin 57-82, dated March 17, 1976, or later FAA-approved revisions, or in accordance with an equivalent rework method approved by the Chief, Aircraft Enginering Division, FAA Western Region."

This amendment becomes effective May 13, 1976.

(Secs. 313(a), 601, and 603 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, and 1423) and of Section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655 (c)).)

Issued in Los Angeles, California on April 27, 1976.

ROBERT H. STANTON,
Director,
FAA Western Region.

[FR Doc.76-13143 Filed 5-5-76;8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 76-GL-1]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Designation of Transition Area

On page 4296 of the FEDERAL REGISTER dated January 29, 1976, the Federal Aviation Administration published a Notice of Proposed Rule Making which would amend § 71.181 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to designate a transition area at Oconto, Wisconsin.

Interested persons were given 30 days to submit written comments, suggestions or objections regarding the proposed amendment.

No objections have been received and the proposed amendment is hereby adopted without change and is set forth below.

This amendment shall be effective 0901 GMT, July 15, 1976.

(Section 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348), and of Section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).)

Issued in Des Plaines, Illinois on April 27, 1976.

JOHN M. CYROCKI,
Director, Great Lakes Region.

In § 71.181 (41 FR 440), the following transition area is added:

OCONTO, WISCONSIN

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 5-mile radius of the Oconto Municipal Airport (latitude 44°52'00" N., longitude 87°54'30" W.); and within 3 miles each side of the 280° bearing from the Oconto Airport, extending from the 5-mile radius area to 8 miles west of the airport.

[FR Doc.76-14597 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 76-GL-3]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Designation of Transition Area

On page 9369 of the FEDERAL REGISTER dated March 4, 1976, the Federal Aviation Administration published a Notice of Proposed Rule Making which would amend § 71.181 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to designate a transition area at Waupaca, Wisconsin.

Interested persons were given 30 days to submit written comments, suggestions or objections regarding the proposed amendment.

No objections have been received and the proposed amendment is hereby adopted without change and is set forth below.

This amendment shall be affective 0901 Gmt, July 15, 1976.

(Section 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348), and of Section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c).)

Issued in Des Plaines, Illinois on April 27, 1976.

JOHN M. CYROCKI,
Director, Great Lakes Region.

In § 71.181 (41 F.R. 440), the following transition area is added:

WAUPACA, WISCONSIN

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 5-mile radius of the Waupaca Municipal Airport (latitude 44°20'02" N., longitude 89°00'51" W.); and within 3 miles each side of the 118° bearing from the airport, extending from the 5-mile radius area to 8 miles southeast of the airport.

[FR Doc.76-14598 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 76-GL-6]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Alteration of Transition Area

On page 11323 of the FEDERAL REGISTER dated March 18, 1976, the Federal Aviation Administration published a Notice of Proposed Rule Making which would amend § 71.181 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to alter the transition area at Fergus Falls, Minnesota.

Interested persons were given thirty days to submit written comments, suggestions, or objections regarding the proposed amendment.

No objections have been received and the proposed amendment is hereby adopted without change and is set forth below.

This amendment shall be effective 0901 GMT, July 15, 1976.

(Section 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348), and of Section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).)

Issued in Des Plaines, Illinois on April 27, 1976.

JOHN M. CYROCKI,
Director, Great Lakes Region.

In § 71.181 (41 FR 440), the following transition area is amended to read:

FERGUS FALLS, MINNESOTA

That airspace extending upward from 700' above the surface within a 6½-mile radius of Fergus Falls Municipal Airport (latitude 46°17'15" N., longitude 96°09'45" W.); within 3 miles each side of the 187° bearing from the airport, extending from the 6½-mile radius area to 8 miles south of the airport; and within 3 miles each side of the 343° (bearing from the airport), extending from the 6½-mile radius area to 8 miles northwest of the airport.

[FR Doc.76-14599 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 76-EA-17]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Alteration of Control Zone and Transition Area

On page 12902 of the FEDERAL REGISTER for March 29, 1976, the Federal Aviation Administration published a proposed

rule which would alter the Allentown, Pa., Control Zone (41 FR 357) and Transition Area (41 FR 443).

Interested parties were given 30 days after publication in which to submit written data or views. No objections to the proposed regulations have been received.

In view of the foregoing, the proposed regulation is hereby adopted, effective 0901 GMT July 15, 1976.

(Section 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348), and section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).)

Issued in Jamaica, N.Y., on May 4, 1976.

LOUIS J. CARDINALI,
Acting Director,
Eastern Region.

---

1. Amend Section 71.171 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations by adding the following to the description of the Allentown, Pa. control zone: "within 3 miles each side of the Allentown-Bethlehem-Easton Airport localizer northwest course, extending from the localizer to 8.5 miles northwest of the OM."

2. Amend Section 71.181 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations by adding the following to the description of the Allentown, Pa. transition area: "within 4.5 miles northeast and 6.5 miles southwest of the Allentown-Bethlehem-Easton Airport localizer northwest course, extending from the OM to 11.5 miles northwest of the OM."

[FR Doc.76-14600 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 76-GL-4]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Designation of Federal Airways

On March 11, 1976, a Notice of Proposed Rule Making (NPRM) was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (41 FR 10447) stating that the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) was considering an amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations that would designate a VOR Federal Airway from Fort Dodge, Iowa, to Mankato, Minn.

Interested persons were afforded an opportunity to participate in the proposed rule making through the submission of comments. All comments received were favorable.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended, effective 0901 GMT, July 15, 1976, as hereinafter set forth.

Section 71.123 (41 FR 307) is amended to add the following:

V-456 From Fort Dodge, Iowa, to Mankato, Minn.

(Sec. 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348(a)) and Sec. 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on May 11, 1976.

EDWARD J. MALO,
Acting Chief, Airspace and Air Traffic Rules Division.

[FR Doc.76-14459 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 76-CE-1]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Alteration of Federal Airways

On March 4, 1976, a Notice of Proposed Rule Making (NPRM) was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (41 FR 9371) stating that the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) was considering an amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations that would limit several airways to the airspace below 8,000 feet MSL in the vicinity of Farmington, Mo. during certain times.

Interested persons were afforded an opportunity to participate in the proposed rule making through the submission of comments. The only comment received expressed no objection.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended, effective 0901 GMT, July 15, 1976, as hereinafter set forth.

Section 71.123 (41 FR 307) is amended as follows:

(a) In V-72 "The airspace at and above 8,000 feet MSL between Maples and Farmington is excluded during the time that the Meramec Military Operations Area is activated by NOTAM." is added.

(b) In V-88 "The airspace at and above 8,000 feet MSL between Vichy and the INT Vichy 091° and St. Louis, Mo., 171° radials is excluded during the time that the Meramec Military Operations Area is activated by NOTAM." is added.

(c) In V-175 "The airspace at and above 8,000 feet MSL from 43 miles northwest of Malden to Vichy is excluded during the time that the Meramec Military Operations Area is activated by NOTAM." is added.

(d) In V-178 "The airspace at and above 8,000 feet MSL between Vichy and Farmington is excluded during the time that the Meramec Military Operations Area is activated by NOTAM." is added.

(e) In V-190 "The airspace at and above 8,000 feet MSL between Maples and Farmington is excluded during the time that the Meramec Military Operations Area is activated by NOTAM." is added.

(f) In V-234 "The airspace at and above 8,000 feet MSL between Vichy and the INT of Vichy 091° and St. Louis, Mo., 171° radials is excluded during the time that the Meramec Military Operations Area is activated by NOTAM." is added.

(g) In V-238 "The airspace at and above 8,000 feet MSL between Maples and the INT of Maples 052° and Farmington, Mo., 327° radials is excluded during the time that the Meramec Military Operations Area is activated by NOTAM." is added.

(Sec. 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348(a)) and Sec. 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on May 12, 1976.

EDWARD J. MALO,
Chief, Airspace and Air Traffic Rules Division.

[FR Doc.76-14463 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 76-RM-3]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Extension of Federal Airway; Correction

On March 4, 1976, a Notice of Proposed Rule Making (NPRM) was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (41 FR 9370) stating that the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) was considering an amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations that would extend V-113 from Butte, Mont., to Lewistown, Mont., via Helena, Mont. On April 26, 1976, Federal Register Document 76-11923 concerning this NPRM was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (41 FR 17372) as a rule. This rule, however, inadvertently omitted the amendatory language required to change Part 71. The purpose of this correction to FR Document 76-11923 is to include the correct language that will assure the action necessary to amend Part 71 as proposed.

In consideration of the foregoing, Federal Register Document 76-11923 is amended by deleting all after the first paragraph and substituting the following therefor:

Interested persons were afforded an opportunity to participate in the proposed rule making through the submission of comments. Both comments received expressed no objection.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended, effective 0901 GMT, July 15, 1976, as hereinafter set forth.

Section 71.123 (41 FR 307) is amended as follows:

In V-113 "to Butte, Mont." is deleted and "Butte, Mont.; Helena, Mont.; to Lewistown, Mont." is substituted therefor.

(Sec. 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348(a)) and Sec. 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on May 11, 1976.

EDWARD J. MALO,
Acting Chief, Airspace and Air Traffic Rules Division.

[FR Doc.76-14464 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 75-GL-68]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Alteration of Federal Airways

On March 4, 1976, a Notice of Proposed Rule Making (NPRM) was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (41 FR 9371) stating that the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) was considering an amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations that would cap small segments of airways in the vicinity of Alpena, Mich.

Interested persons were afforded an opportunity to participate in the proposed rule making through the submission of comments. The only comment received expressed no objection.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended, effective 0901 GMT, July 15, 1976, as hereinafter set forth.

Section 71.123 (41 FR 307) is amended as follows:

1. In V-45 "The airspace from Alpena to 30 miles north of Alpena at and above 10,000 feet MSL is excluded during the time that the Collins Military Operations Area is activated by NOTAM." is added.

2. In V-78 "The airspace northeast of the Alpena 316° radial from Alpena to 25 miles north of Alpena at and above 10,000 feet MSL is excluded during the time that the Collins Military Operations Area is activated by NOTAM." is added.

(Sec. 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348(a)) and Sec. 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on May 11, 1976.

EDWARD J. MALO,
Acting Chief, Airspace and Air Traffic Rules Division.

[FR Doc.76-14462 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 75-EA-82]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Alteration of VOR Federal Airways

On March 4, 1976, a Notice of Proposed Rule Making (NPRM) was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (41 FR 9369) stating that the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) was considering an amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations that would exclude the airspace from 10,000 feet to 15,000 feet MSL within 15 NM of Tidioute, Pa., VORTAC from several airways during the times that the Youngstown Military Operations Area (MOA) is in use.

Interested persons were afforded an opportunity to participate in the proposed rule making through the submission of comments. The only comment received expressed no objection.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended, effective 0901 G.m.t., July 15, 1976, as hereinafter set forth.

§ 71.123 [Amended]

Section 71.123 (41 FR 307, 10418) is amended as follows:

In V-72, V-115, V-116, V-126, V-170, V-184 and V-188, "The airspace within a 15 NM radius of Tidioute, Pa., at and above 10,000 feet MSL to and including 15,000 feet MSL is excluded during the times that the Youngstown Military Area (MOA) is activated by NOTAM." is added.

(Sec. 307(a) Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348(a)) and Sec. 6(c) Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on May 13, 1976.

EDWARD J. MALO,
Acting Chief, Airspace and Air Traffic Rules Division.

[FR Doc.76-14663 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 75-EA-53]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Alteration of VOR Federal Airways; Correction

In FR Doc. 76-12030 appearing on page 17878 in the FEDERAL REGISTER of April 29, 1976, paragraph (1.) is corrected in the seventh line by deleting the figure "185°" and substituting "186°" therefor. Also, paragraph (4.) is corrected in the third line by deleting the figure "005°" and substituting "006°" therefor. Also, paragraph (6.) is corrected in the second line by deleting "Norflok, Va.," and substituting "Norfolk, Va.," therefor.

Issued in Washington, D.C., on May 13, 1976.

EDWARD J. MALO,
Acting Chief, Airspace and Air Traffic Rules Division.

[FR Doc.76-14666 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 76-SO-41]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Redesignation of Federal Airways

The purpose of this amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is to renumber the airway from Myrtle Beach, S.C., to Raleigh-Durham, N.C., and to reduce the ceiling restriction between Myrtle Beach and Fayetteville, N.C., from a 71-mile segment to a 21-mile segment. Because this action merely renumbers present airway segments and reduces an altitude restriction it is a minor matter on which the public would have no particular desire to comment. Therefore, notice and public procedure thereon are unnecessary. In order to provide sufficient time for changes to be depicted on appropriate charts, this amendment will be made effective July 15, 1976.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended, effective 0901 G.m.t., July 15, 1976, as hereinafter set forth.

§ 71.123 [Amended]

Section 71.123 (41 FR 307) is amended as follows:

In V-39 all before "From Pinehurst, N.C." is deleted.

In V-136 "Raleigh-Durham, N.C." is deleted and "Raleigh-Durham, N.C.; Fayetteville, N.C.; to Myrtle Beach, S.C. The airspace at and above 7,000 feet MSL from 17-miles south to 38-miles south of Fayetteville is excluded during the time that the Gamecock A Military Operations Area is activated by NOTAM." is substituted therefor.

(Sec. 307(a) Federal Aviation Act 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348(a)) Sec. 6(c) Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on May 13, 1976.

EDWARD J. MALO,
Acting Chief, Airspace and Air Traffic Rules Division.

[FR Doc.76-14662 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 76-SO-47]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

VOR Federal Airways (NAVAID Name) Change

The purpose of this amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is to change the name of the Anderson, S.C., VORTAC to Electric City, S.C., VORTAC wherever it appears in V-20, V-35 and V-311.

On March 8, 1976, a nonrulemaking circular was issued proposing to change the name of the Anderson, S.C., VORTAC. The reason for this amendment is the fact that several incidents have been recorded where pilots have mistaken a clearance via Athens, Ga., to be via Anderson and vice versa. Careful consideration and local coordination preceded the selection of the name Electric City. This name was requested by Mr. T. Ree McCoy who is Chairman of the Anderson County Airport Commission.

Because this action merely changes the name of an air navigation aid, it is a minor matter on which the public would have no particular desire to comment further. Therefore, notice and public procedure thereon are unnecessary. In order to provide sufficient time for changes to be depicted on appropriate charts, this amendment will be made effective on July 15, 1976.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended, effective 0901 G.m.t., July 15, 1976, as hereinafter set forth.

§ 71.123 [Amended]

Section 71.123 (41 FR 307) is amended as follows:

a. In V-20 "Anderson, S.C." is deleted and "Electric City, S.C." is substituted therefor.

b. In V-35 "Anderson, S.C." is deleted and "Electric City, S.C." is substituted therefor.

c. In V–311 "Anderson, S.C. 274° radials; Anderson;" is deleted and "Electric City, S.C., 274° radials; Electric City;" is substituted therefor.

(Sec. 307(a) Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348(a)) Sec. 6(c) Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on May 13, 1976.

EDWARD J. MALO,
Chief, Airspace and Air Traffic Rules Division.

[FR Doc.76–14667 Filed 5–19–76;8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 76–SO–36]

PART 73—SPECIAL USE AIRSPACE

Designation of Restricted Areas

On April 22, 1976, a Notice of Proposed Rule Making (NPRM) was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (41 FR 16830) stating that the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) was considering an amendment to Part 73 of the Federal Aviation Regulations that would designate two restricted areas near Tyndall Air Force Base, Fla. The restricted areas would be used to contain BQM–34 drone aircraft during launch and recovery operations. The Department of the Air Force Air Defense Weapons Center (ADWC) has a requirement to conduct these operations at any time. Under adverse weather conditions, when visual monitoring of the drone flight is limited, the operations would constitute a hazard to other flight activities.

Interested persons were afforded an opportunity to participate in the proposed rule making through the submission of comments. No comments were received.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 73 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended, effective 0901 GMT, July 15, 1976, as hereinafter set forth.

In § 73.29 (41 FR 664) the following restricted areas are added:

R–2905A TYNDALL AFB, FLA.

Boundaries. Beginning at Lat. 30°01'30" N., Long. 85°32'30" W., to Lat. 30°01'15" N., Long. 85°30'00" W., to Lat. 29°56'00" N., Long. 85°33'00" W., thence 3 nautical miles from and parallel to the shoreline to Lat. 29°59'00" N., Long. 85°36'30" W. to point of beginning.

Designated altitudes. Surface to 10,000 feet MSL.

Time of designation. Intermittent, as announced by NOTAM, for periods of approximately 10 minutes during launch or recovery.

Controlling agency. Federal Aviation Administration, Jacksonville ARTC Center.

Using agency. Air Defense Weapons Center, Tyndall AFB, Fla.

R–2905B TYNDALL AFB, FLA.

Boundaries. Beginning at Lat. 30°01'15" N., Long. 85°30'00" W., to Lat. 30°01'00" N., Long. 85°27'00" W., to Lat. 29°54'00" N., Long. 85°27'00" W., thence 3 nautical miles from and parallel to the shoreline to Lat. 29°56'00" N., Long. 85°33'00" W., to point of beginning.

Designated altitudes. Surface to 10,000 feet MSL.

Time of designation. Intermittent, as announced by NOTAM, for periods of approximately 10 minutes during launch or recovery.

Controlling agency. Federal Aviation Administration, Jacksonville ARTC Center.

Using agency. Air Defense Weapons Center, Tyndall, AFB, Fla.

(Sec. 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348(a)) and sec. 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on May 11, 1976.

EDWARD J. MALO,
Acting Chief, Airspace and Air Traffic Rules Division.

[FR Doc.76–14460 Filed 5–19–76;8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 76–AL–4]

PART 73—SPECIAL USE AIRSPACE

Alteration of Restricted Area

The purpose of this amendment to Part 73 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is to renumber the Yukon, Alaska, restricted area R–2205A to R–2205, change the address of the controlling agency, and reduce the upper limit from 21,000 feet MSL to 20,000 feet MSL.

Reassigning R–2205A to its original number of R–2205 and changing the address of the controlling agency are administrative actions which will assist in recordkeeping and coordination. The Department of the Army has concurred in the reduction of the size of the area.

Since this amendment makes available for public use airspace from which the public was previously restricted, thereby relieving a restriction upon the public, it is a minor matter in which the public would have no particular interest and notice and public procedure thereon is unnecessary. Moreover, since it relieves a restriction, it may become effective immediately. However, since it is necessary that sufficient time be allowed to permit appropriate changes to be made on aeronautical charts, this amendment will become effective more than 30 days after publication.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 73 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended, effective 0901 G.m.t., July 15, 1976, as hereinafter set forth.

§ 73.22 [Amended]

Section 73.22 (41 FR 655) is amended as follows:

"R–2205A" is deleted and "R–2205" is substituted therefor.

In R–2205 "Surface to 21,000 feet MSL" is deleted and "Surface to 20,000 feet MSL" is substituted therefor. Also "Federal Aviation Administration, Anchorage ARTC Center." is deleted and "Federal Aviation Administration, Elelson Approach Control." is substituted therefor.

(Sec. 307(a) Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348(a)) and Sec. 6(c) Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on May 13, 1976.

EDWARD J. MALO,
Acting Chief, Airspace and Air Traffic Rules Division.

[FR Doc.76–14665 Filed 5–19–76;8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 76–WE–1]

PART 75—ESTABLISHMENT OF JET ROUTES AND AREA HIGH ROUTES

Alteration of Jet Routes

On March 4, 1976, a Notice of Proposed Rule Making (NPRM) was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (41 FR 9372) stating that the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) was considering an amendment to Part 75 of the Federal Aviation Regulations that would realign three jet routes over the western shores of the United States.

Interested persons were afforded an opportunity to participate in the proposed rule making through the submission of comments. We received one response to the NPRM in which the commentator posed no objection to the proposal.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 75 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended, effective 0901 GMT, July 15, 1976, as hereinafter set forth.

Section 75.100 (41 FR 704) is amended as follows:

In J–88 "INT of the Salinas 310° and the Oakland, Calif., 170° radials; to Oakland." is deleted and "to Point Reyes, Calif." is substituted therefor.

In J–110 "INT of the Oakland 170° and the Salinas, Calif., 310° radials; Salinas;" is deleted and "Salinas, Calif.;" is substituted therefor.

In J–501 "Oakland, Calif., via INT Oakland 305° and Ukiah, Calif., 172° radials; Ukiah;" is deleted and "Point Reyes, Calif., via" is substituted therefor.

(Sec. 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348(a)) and Sec. 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on May 11, 1976.

EDWARD J. MALO,
Chief, Airspace and Air Traffic Rules Division.

[FR Doc.76–14461 Filed 5–19–76;8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 76–SO–20]

PART 75—ESTABLISHMENT OF JET ROUTES AND AREA HIGH ROUTES

Designation and Redesignation of Jet Routes

On April 5, 1976, a Notice of Proposed Rule Making (NPRM) was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (41 FR 14395) stating that the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) was considering an amendment to Part 75 of the Federal Aviation Regulations that would designate J–99 from Augusta, Ga., to Louisville, Ky., and realign a segment of J–73 to extend from Tallahassee, Fla., to Nashville, Tenn.

Interested persons were afforded an opportunity to participate in the proposed rule making through the submission of comments. No comments were received.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 75 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended, effective 0901 G.m.t., July 15, 1976, as hereinafter set forth.

§ 75.100 [Amended]

Section 75.100 (41 FR 704) is amended as follows:

J-73 title and text are deleted and "From Tallahassee, Fla., via LaGrange, Ga.; Nashville, Tenn.; Lewis, Ind.; to Northbrook, Ill." is substituted therefor.

J-99 is added to read as follows:

"From Augusta, Ga., via Knoxville, Tenn.; to Louisville, Ky."

(Sec. 307(a) Federal Aviation Act 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348(a)) Sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on May 13, 1976.

EDWARD J. MALO,
Chief, Airspace and Air Traffic Rules Division.

[FR Doc.76-14664 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 15711, Amdt. No. 1021]

PART 97—STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURES

Recent Changes and Additions

This amendment to Part 97 of the Federal Aviation Regulations incorporates by reference therein changes and additions to the Standard Instrument Approach Procedures (SIAPs) that were recently adopted by the Administrator to promote safety at the airports concerned.

The complete SIAPs for the changes and additions covered by this amendment are described in FAA Forms 8260-3, 8260-4, or 8260-5 and made a part of the public rule making dockets of the FAA in accordance with the procedures set forth in Amendment No. 97-696 (35 FR 5609).

SIAPs are available for examination at the Rules Docket and at the National Flight Data Center, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20591. Copies of SIAPs adopted in a particular region are also available for examination at the headquarters of that region. Individual copies of SIAPs may be purchased from the FAA Public Information Center, AIS-230, 800 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20591 or from the applicable FAA regional office in accordance with the fee schedule prescribed in 49 CFR 7.85. This fee is payable in advance and may be paid by check, draft, or postal money order payable to the Treasurer of the United States. A weekly transmittal of all SIAP changes and additions may be obtained by subscription at an annual rate of $150.00 per annum from the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402. Additional copies mailed to the same address may be ordered for $30.00 each.

Since a situation exists that requires immediate adoption of this amendment, I find that further notice and public procedure hereon is impracticable and good cause exists for making it effective in less than 30 days.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 97 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended as follows, effective on the dates specified:

§ 97.23 [Amended].

1. Section 97.23 is amended by originating, amending, or canceling the following VOR-VOR/DME SIAPs, *effective July 15, 1976.*

Poteau, OK—Robert S. Kerr Arpt., VOR/DME Rwy 36, Original
Bend, OR—Bend Muni. Arpt., VOR/DME Rwy 16, Amdt. 4
Madras, OR—City County Arpt., VOR/DME Rwy 34R, Amdt. 2
Redmond, OR—Roberts Field, VOR-A, Amdt. 2
Redmond, OR—Roberts Field, VOR/DME Rwy 22, Original

* * * *effective July 1, 1976.*

Tallahassee (Havana) FL—Tallahassee Commercial Arpt., VOR-A, Amdt. 8
Kailua-Kona, HI—Ke-ahole Arpt., VOR Rwy 35 (TAC), Amdt. 3
Kailua-Kona, HI—Ke-ahole Arpt., VORTAC Rwy 17, Amdt. 3
Olathe, KS—Executive Arpt.—Johnson County Arpt., VOR Rwy 17, Amdt. 1
Olathe, KS—Executive Arpt.—Johnson County Arpt., VOR Rwy 35, Amdt. 5
Gulfport, MS—Gulfport Muni. Arpt., VOR Rwy 4, Amdt. 9
Gulfport, MS—Gulfport Muni. Arpt., VOR Rwy 22, Amdt. 10
Kansas City, MO—Kansas City Int'l Arpt., VOR Rwy 27, Amdt. 7
McAlester, OK—McAlester Muni. Arpt., VOR-A, Amdt. 9
Longview, TX—Gregg County Arpt., VORTAC Rwy 31, Amdt. 6
Longview, TX—Gregg County Arpt., VORTAC Rwy 35, Amdt. 3
Wichita Falls, TX—Wichita Valley, VOR-B, Amdt. 4
Pasco, WA—Tri-Cities Arpt., VOR Rwy 29, Amdt. 3
Pasco, WA—Tri-Cities Arpt., VOR-A, Amdt. 5

* * * *effective June 24, 1976.*

Madison, CT—Griswold Arpt., VOR-A, Original

§ 97.25 [Amended].

2. Section 97.25 is amended by originating, amending, or canceling the following SDF-LOC-LDA SIAPs, *effective July 15, 1976.*

Casper, WY—Natrona County Int'l Arpt., LOC(BC) Rwy 25, Amdt. 14

* * * *effective July 1, 1976.*

Sarasota (Bradenton), FL—Sarasota-Bradenton Arpt., LOC/DME(BC), Rwy 13, Original
Kailua-Kona, HI—Ke-ahole Arpt., LOC(BC) Rwy 35, Amdt. 2
Longview, TX—Gregg County Arpt., LOC/DME(BC), Rwy 31, Amdt. 4

* * * *effective May 27, 1976.*

Somerset, PA—Somerset County Arpt., LOC Rwy 24, Amdt. 1, cancelled

§ 97.27 [Amended]

3. Section 97.27 is amended by originating, amending, or canceling the following NDB/ADF SIAPs, *effective July 15, 1976.*

Casper, WY—Natrona County Int'l Arpt., NDB Rwy 7, Amdt. 11

* * * *effective July 1, 1976.*

North Conway, NH—White Mountain Arpt., NDB-A, Amdt. 3, cancelled

* * * *effective June 3, 1976.*

Greenville, AL—Greenville Muni. Arpt., NDB Rwy 32, Original
Salem, OR—McNary Field, NDB Rwy 31, Amdt. 13

§ 97.29 [Amended]

4. Section 97.29 is amended by originating, amending, or canceling the following ILS SIAPs, *effective July 15, 1976.*

Casper, WY—Natrona County Int'l Arpt., ILS Rwy 7, Amdt. 21

* * * *effective July 1, 1976.*

Kailua-Kona, HI—Ke-ahole Arpt., ILS/DME Rwy 17, Amdt. 3
Gulfport, MS—Gulfport Muni. Arpt., ILS Rwy 13, Amdt. 5
Pasco, WA—Tri-Cities, ILS Rwy 20R, Amdt. 5

* * * *effective June 3, 1976.*

Salem, OR—McNary Field, ILS Rwy 31, Amdt. 18

* * * *effective May 27, 1976.*

Norfolk, VA—Norfolk Int'l Arpt., ILS Rwy 5, Amdt. 16
Norfolk, VA—Norfolk Int'l Arpt., ILS Rwy 23, Amdt. 2

§ 97.31 [Amended]

5. Section 97.31 is amended by originating, amending, or canceling the following RADAR SIAPs, *effective July 1, 1976.*

Atlanta, GA—The William B. Hartsfield Atlanta Int'l Arpt., RADAR-1, Amdt. 26
Enid, OK—Enid Woodring Muni. Arpt., RADAR-1, Amdt. 1

§ 97.33 [Amended]

6. Section 97.33 is amended by originating, amending, or canceling the following RNAV SIAPs, *effective July 1, 1976.*

Gainesville, FL—Gainesville Muni. Arpt., RNAV Rwy 28, Amdt. 3
Monroe, WI—Monroe Muni. Arpt., RNAV Rwy 11, Original
Monroe, WI—Monroe Muni. Arpt., RNAV Rwy 29, Original, cancelled

(Secs. 307, 313, 601, 1110, Federal Aviation Act of 1958; 49 U.S.C. 1438, 1354, 1421, 1510, Sec. 6(c) Department of Transportation Act, 49 U.S.C. 1655(c).)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on May 13, 1976.

NOTE.—Incorporation by reference provisions in §§ 97.10 and 97.20 approved by the Director of the Federal Register on May 12, 1969, (35 FR 5610).

JAMES M. VINES,
Chief, Aircraft Programs Division.

[FR Doc.76-14668 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

CHAPTER II—CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

SUBCHAPTER E—ORGANIZATION REGULATIONS

[Reg. OR-102, Amdt. 6]

PART 384—STATEMENT OF ORGANIZATION, DELEGATION OF AUTHORITY, AND AVAILABILITY OF RECORDS AND INFORMATION

Proxy Voting for Board Members

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C. May 17, 1976.

For many years various Members of the Board have made limited use of a proxy [1] to vote for them in matters coming before the Board for resolution. The proxy has exercised no discretion in voting for the Member but has simply carried out the instructions given to him which embody an exercise of the Member's own discretion.

Board decisions are made in two ways. The first is by personal vote cast at a meeting of the Board Members assembled together and subsequently recorded in the Board's minutes. The other is by the so-called notation procedure. Under the notation procedure, which has received judicial sanction,[2] a copy of the proposed action is circulated to each member under cover of a "tally sheet" on which each member indicates his vote—approving, disapproving, not voting, not participating, or requesting that the matter be calendared. Once the votes of all members have been conveyed to the Board's Minutes Section and a majority of a voting quorum [3] has approved, the proposal is treated as adopted and is appropriately recorded.[4] Actions taken by notation are subsequently formally ratified by the Board at a meeting of the Board Members assembled together.[5]

The Board's practice with respect to proxy voting varies with its two methods of decisionmaking. When action is taken by the Board assembled, a Board Member (but only a Board Member) [6] may state that his absent colleague concurs in (or disapproves) the proposed action. In the case of action taken by notation an absent Board Member's assistant may indicate that Member's vote by initialing the tally sheet in the appropriate place or signing the signature sheet.[7]

In recent months we have received inquiries from Congress concerning our practices with respect to proxy voting. For this reason, and because the public should know our practices in this area, the Board is amending its Organization Regulations to set forth this information. Further, we shall provide certain new internal recordkeeping requirements which will enable us to document our complete record on proxy voting more easily in the future.

Since this amendment relates solely to matters of agency procedure, notice and public procedures hereon are not required, and it may become effective immediately.

Accordingly the Board hereby amends Part 384 of its Organization Regulations (14 CFR Part 384) effective May 17, 1976, as follows:

1. The table of contents of Part 384 is amended by adding a new § 384.3a as follows:

Sec.

* * * * *

384.3a Proxy voting for Board Members.

* * * * *

2. A new § 384.3a is added to Part 384 as follows:

§ 384.3a Proxy voting for Board Members.

(a) *Use of proxies.* In all cases, even though a proxy may be used, the Board Member shall exercise his own discretion and determine how a vote shall be cast. However, unless otherwise prohibited by law, his assistant or other members of his personal staff or another Board Member may actually cast the vote in the manner determined by and pursuant to the instructions of the Board Member, as set forth below.

(b) *Board Meetings.* A proxy may only be exercised at a Board meeting by another Board Member. The recorder shall enter in the minutes of the Board meeting every exercise of a proxy by one Board Member for another and a description of the matter which was the subject of the proxy vote. The recorder shall also maintain a proxy journal, entering therein the information recorded in the minutes with respect to proxy voting, including the date of the minute involved.

(c) *Notation Items.* A proxy may be exercised by a Member's assistant or other member of his personal staff. The proxy shall be exercised by placing the Member's initials on the tally sheet accompanying the notation followed by the signature of the person exercising the proxy, or by placing the Board Member's name on the signature sheet followed by the initials of the person signing. Each Board Member's office shall keep a notation proxy journal showing with respect to each notation item the date when the proxy was exercised, the name of the person who exercised the proxy and the number or other description of the action. Each Board Member is expected to be aware of all proxy votes on his behalf on all notation items when such matters are before the Board for ratification in accordance with normal ratification procedures.

(Secs. 204 and 1001, 72 Stat. 743 and 788, 49 U.S.C. 1324 and 1481; 81 Stat. 54, 5 U.S.C. 552.)

Effective: May 17, 1976.

Adopted: May 17, 1976.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.

[SEAL] PHYLLIS T. KAYLOR, *Acting Secretary.*

[FR Doc.76-14752 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

[1] A "proxy" is "[a] person who is substituted or deputed by another to represent him and act for him, particularly in some meeting or public body." Black's Law Dictionary (Rev. Fourth Ed.), 1968.

[2] *Braniff Airways* v. *C.A.B.*, 379 F.2d (D.C. Cir. 1967); *T.S.C. Motor Freight Lines* v. *United States*, 186 F. Supp. 777 (S.D. Tex. 1960), *affirmed sub. nom. Herrin Transportation Co.* v. *United States*, 366 U.S. 419 (1961).

[3] A quorum is three. 49 U.S.C. 1321(c).

[4] This was termed "adoption and entry" in the *Braniff* case, *supra*, note 1 at 459, and characterized as "official action" in *Saturn Airways* v. *C.A.B.*, 478 F.2d 907, 909 (D.C. Cir. 1973).

[5] Ratification, once thought to be a legal safeguard for the notation procedure, continued even though the legality of the notation procedure has received judicial sanction.

[6] At an earlier time Member's assistants were permitted to cast votes for absent Members. The practice was sustained in *Eastern Air Lines, Inc.* v. *C.A.B.*, 271 F. 2d 752, 758 (2nd Cir. 1959), *cert. denied* 362 U.S. 970 (1960).

[7] The courts have held that a signature by an assistant for a Board Member is valid. *Braniff Airways* v. *C.A.B.*, *supra*, note 1.

Title 16—Commercial Practices

CHAPTER I—FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Docket 8995-o]

PART 13—PROHIBITED TRADE PRACTICES, AND AFFIRMATIVE CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

Chrysler Corp.

Subpart—Advertising falsely or misleadingly: § 13.10 Advertising falsely or misleadingly; § 13.20 Comparative data or merits; 13.20–20 Competitors' products; §13.170 Qualities or properties of product or service; 13.170–34 Economizing or saving; § 13.175 Quality of product or service; § 13.190 Results; § 13.205 Scientific or other relevant facts; § 13.210 Scientific tests. Subpart—Disparaging competitors and their products—Competitors' products: § 13.-1000 Performance; § 13.1010 Qualities or properties; § 13.1020 Results; § 13.-1035 Tests. Subpart—Misrepresenting oneself and goods—Goods: § 13.1575 Comparative data or merits; § 13.1710 Qualities or properties; § 13.1730 Results; § 13.1740 Scientific or other relevant facts. Subpart—Using deceptive techniques in advertising: § 13.2275 Using deceptive techniques in advertising. Subpart—Using misleading name—Goods: § 13.2325 Qualities or properties.

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U.S.C. 46. Interprets or applies sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 45)

In the Matter of Chrysler Corporation, a corporation.

Order requiring a Detroit, Mich., automobile manufacturer, among other things to cease misrepresenting the superiority of their products over those of their competitors with regard to quality or properties, characteristics, performance and/or fuel economy.

The final order, including further order requiring report of compliance therewith, is as follows: [1]

FINAL ORDER

This matter having been heard by the Commission upon respondent's appeal from the Initial Decision; and

The Commission having considered the oral arguments of counsel, their briefs, and the whole record; and

[1] Copies of the Complaint, Initial Decision, Opinion and Final Order, filed with the original document.

The Commission, for reasons stated in the accompanying Opinion, having denied the appeal; accordingly

It is ordered, That, except to the extent that it is inconsistent with the Commission's Opinion, the Initial Decision of the Administrative Law Judge be, and it hereby is, adopted together with the Opinion accompanying this Order as the Commission's final findings of fact and conclusions of law in this matter;

It is further ordered, That the following order be, and it hereby is, entered:

ORDER

It is ordered, That respondent Chrysler Corporation and its officers, representatives, and agents and employees, directly or through any corporate or other device, in connection with the advertising, offering for sale, sale or distribution of products sold by the respondent in or affecting commerce, as "commerce" is defined in the Federal Trade Commission Act, do forthwith cease and desist from:

1. Representing, directly or by implication, by reference to a test or tests, that any of respondent's automobiles are superior with regard to fuel economy to any other automobiles whether manufactured by respondent or others unless:

a. such superiority has been demonstrated as to the model(s) for which it is claimed by such test or tests with respect to each sample, or the valid average of all identical samples, of each model represented to have been tested; or

b. the valid test results for each sample, or the valid average of all identical samples, of each model so compared, including the advertised model as well as such makes and models to which the advertised model is compared, are clearly and conspicuously disclosed.

For the purpose of this Order, "sample" shall mean an actual automobile tested.

2. Representing, directly or by implication, that any performance or other characteristic of any automobile or automotive product has been tested, either alone or in comparison with other products, unless such representation(s) fully and accurately reflect the test results and unless the tests themselves are so devised and conducted as to completely substantiate each representation concerning any characteristic tested in the featured test.

3. Misrepresenting in any manner, directly or by implication, the purpose, content, or conclusion of any test, report, study, research, demonstration, or analysis.

4. Misrepresenting in any manner the fuel economy of any automobile or the superiority of any automobile over competing products in terms of fuel economy.

It is further ordered, That the respondent corporation shall forthwith distribute a copy of this Order to each of its operating divisions.

It is further ordered, That respondent notify the Commission at least 30 days prior to any proposed change in the corporate respondent such as dissolution, assignment, or sale resulting in the emergence of a successor corporation, the creation or dissolution of subsidiaries, or any other change in the corporation which may affect compliance obligations arising out of the Order.

It is further ordered, That respondent shall, within sixty (60) days after this Order becomes "final," file with the Commission a report, in writing, setting forth in detail the manner and form of its compliance with this Order.

Opinion of the Commission by Commissioner Dole.

Not having participated in the oral argument in this matter, Chairman Collier did not participate in the resolution of it.

The Final Order was issued by the Commission Apr. 13, 1976.

CHARLES A. TOBIN,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-14689 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

Title 26—Internal Revenue

CHAPTER I—INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE, DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

SUBCHAPTER A—INCOME TAX

[T.D. 7420]

PART 1—INCOME TAX; TAXABLE YEARS BEGINNING AFTER DECEMBER 31, 1953

Requirements of a Domestic International Sales Corporation (DISC)

On June 30, 1975, two notices of proposed rulemaking were published in the FEDERAL REGISTER with respect to the amendments of the Income Tax Regulations (26 CFR Part 1) under sections 992 and 995 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 in order to amend the rules relating to a domestic international sales corporation (DISC). The first notice was published as three paragraphs: paragraph (1) relating to the separate bank account requirement under § 1.992–1(a); paragraph (2) relating to manner of election under § 1.992–2(a)(1)(i); and paragraph (3) relating to the computation under § 1.995–5(b)(5) of earnings and profits offset (40 FR 27483, as amended by a correction published on July 11, 1975, 40 FR 29296). The second notice was published as one paragraph relating to deficiency distributions to meet qualification requirements under § 1.992–3(a)(4) (40 FR 27484) and now becomes paragraph (4) of this Treasury decision. The amendments are effective generally for taxable years ending after December 31, 1971, except that the amendment of § 1.992–2(a)(1)(i) was effective on June 30, 1975 (the date the proposed amendment was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER as a notice of proposed rule making).

The Treasury decision under § 1.992–1 adds a new paragraph (i) which would generally lengthen the grace period allowed for satisfying the separate bank account requirement as presently set forth in the first two sentences of the flush material following paragraph (a)(8) of § 1.992–1. As amended, § 1.992–2(a)(1)(i) no longer requires that a copy of the completed Form 4876 be filed with the Commissioner of Internal Revenue in Washington, D.C. Section 1.995–5(b)(5)(i) (relating to foreign investment attributable to producer's loans), as amended, makes clear that the offset allowed by § 1.995–5(b)(5) would be reduced by a distribution from earnings and profits by a foreign corporation to another foreign corporation.

Section 1.992–3(a)(4) was reserved in a Treasury decision published in the FEDERAL REGISTER for September 25, 1974, as T.D. 7323 (39 FR 34400). The Treasury decision under § 1.992–3(a)(4) requires that in order for a DISC to make a deficiency distribution, it must meet the qualification requirements after the close of the taxable year with respect to which the distribution is made. A transitional rule is included which allows corporations to make a distribution made on or before September 29, 1975 (90 days after the date on which this proposed amendment was published) at any time during or after the taxable year with respect to which it is made.

ADOPTION OF AMENDMENTS TO THE REGULATIONS

After consideration of all such relevant matter as was presented by interested persons regarding the rules proposed, the amendments of the regulations as proposed in the two notices of proposed rule making are hereby adopted without change, except that the notice amending § 1.992–3(a)(4) is adopted as paragraph (4) of this Treasury decision.

(This Treasury decision is issued under the authority contained in section 7805 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954 (68A Stat. 917; 26 U.S.C. 7805).)

DONALD C. ALEXANDER,
Commissioner of Internal Revenue.

Approved: May 12, 1976.

CHARLES M. WALKER,
Assistant Secretary of the Treasury.

1. Section 1.992–1 is amended by revising paragraph (a)(6), deleting the first two sentences of the flush material following paragraph (a)(8), and adding paragraph (i). These revised and added provisions read as follows:

§ 1.992–1 Requirements of a DISC.

(a) * * *

(6) Has its bank account on each day of the taxable year, except as provided in paragraph (a)(8)(i) of this section.

* * * * *

(i) *Time for satisfying the separate bank account requirement.* The separate bank account requirement referred to in paragraph (a)(6) of this section shall be satisfied for a taxable year by a corporation if—

(1) In the case of a corporation which elects to be treated as a DISC for its first taxable year, such corporation has a separate bank account either—

(i) Within 90 days after the beginning of such taxable year and on each succeeding day of such taxable year or

(ii) Within the period prescribed in subparagraph (2) of this paragraph, if applicable.

(2) For any taxable year which—

(i) Ends before October 31, 1974, such corporation has a separate bank account at any time during that taxable year or

(ii) Ends with or includes October 31, 1974, such corporation has a separate bank account on October 31, 1974, and on each succeeding day of that taxable year.

* * * * *

2. Section 1.992–2 is amended by deleting the phrase ", and a copy of the completed Form 4876 with the Commissioner of Internal Revenue (Attention: ACTS:A:AO), Washington, D.C. 20224" from the second sentence of paragraph (a)(1)(i). As amended, such second sentence reads as follows:

§ 1.992–2 Election to be treated as a DISC.

(a) *Manner and time of election*—(1) *Manner*—(i) *In general.* * * * Except as provided in paragraph (a)(1)(ii) of this section, the election is made by the corporation filing Form 4876 with the service center with which it would file its income tax return if it were subject for such taxable year to all the taxes imposed by subtitle A of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954. * * *

* * * * *

3. Section 1.995–5 is amended by revising the first sentence of paragraph (b)(5)(i) to read as follows:

§ 1.995–5 Foreign investment attributable to producer's loans.

* * * * *

(b) * * *

(5) *Earnings and profits.* (i) An offset allowed by this subparagraph is one-half the aggregate of the earnings and profits accumulated for all taxable years beginning after December 31, 1971, computed (without regard to any distributions from earnings and profits by a foreign corporation to a domestic corporation) in accordance with § 1.964–1 (relating to a controlled foreign corporation's earnings and profits), of each foreign member of the group which is controlled directly or indirectly (as determined under the principles of section 958 and the regulations thereunder) by a domestic member of the group and each foreign branch of a domestic member of the group (computed as if the branch were a foreign corporation). * * *

* * * * *

4. Section 1.992–3(a)(4) is revised to read as follows:

§ 1.992–3 Deficiency distributions to meet qualification requirements.

(a) * * *

(4) The corporation designates the distribution, at the time of the distribution, as a deficiency distribution, pursuant to section 992(c), to meet the qualification requirements to be a DISC. Such designation shall be in the form of a communication sent at the time of such distribution to each shareholder and to the service center with which the corporation has filed or will file its return for the taxable year to which the distribution relates. A corporation may not retroactively designate a prior distribution as a deficiency distribution to meet qualification requirements. Subject to the limitation described in paragraph (c)(3) of this section, a corporation may make a deficiency distribution with respect to a taxable year at any time after the close of such taxable year or, in the case of a deficiency distribution made on or before September 29, 1975, at any time during or after such taxable year.

* * * * *

[FR Doc.76-14844 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

Title 40—Protection of Environment

CHAPTER I—ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL 543-7]

GENERAL AND GRANT REGULATIONS

Guidance for Cooperation

Pursuant to the authorities cited in 40 CFR 30.101, Sections 102, 103 of 83 Stat. 854 (42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq.), and Section 602 of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. 2000d–1, Parts 6, 7, 12, 30, 35, and 40 are hereby amended.

Section 30.305 of Part 30 is deleted and new §§ 30.305 through 30.305–8 are added. This amendment implements the requirements of Office of Management and Budget Circular A–95 published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on January 13, 1976. (41 FR 2052) The Circular furnished guidance to Federal agencies for cooperation with State and local governments in the evaluation, review and coordination of Federal and Federally assisted programs and projects.

In addition, this promulgation includes several technical amendments to Parts 6, 7, 12, 30, 35, and 40.

Comments, suggestions, or objections may be submitted in writing to Director, Grants Administration Division (PM–216), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, S.W., Room 435 WSMW, Washington, D.C. 20460. Consideration will be given to all comments, suggestions, or objections received on or before June 15, 1976 for possible revision of these regulations.

Effective date: These regulations shall become effective May 20, 1976.

Date: May 14, 1976.

RUSSELL E. TRAIN,
Administrator.

PART 6—PREPARATION OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS

§ 6.106 Applicability.

1. Add the following paragraph (e) at the end of § 6.106:

* * * * *

(e) *Applicability to the A–95 Review Process.* Applicants applying for grants covered by these regulations must assure compliance with all applicable requirements of Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A–95, pursuant to § 30.305 of this Chapter.

PART 7—NONDISCRIMINATION IN PROGRAMS RECEIVING FEDERAL ASSISTANCE FROM THE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY—EFFECTUATION OF TITLE VI OF THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1964

2. Delete the first two (2) sentences from § 7.3(a) and substitute the following:

§ 7.3 Applicability.

(a) This part applies to any program for which Federal financial assistance is authorized under a statute administered by the Agency, including all EPA grant programs and activities and assistance under the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Land Acquisition Policies Act of 1970, 42 U.S.C. 4621 et seq. and the Disaster Relief Act of 1970, 42 U.S.C. 4401 et seq. It applies to any such program or activity to which money was paid, property transferred, or other Federal financial assistance extended after the effective date of this part including assistance extended pursuant to an application approved prior to the effective date. * * *

* * * * *

§ 7.4 [Amended]

3. In § 7.4(c)(1), second sentence, insert a "comma" between the words "advertising, employment".

4. In § 7.4(e) insert a "comma" and the word "to" between the words "of any".

§ 7.8 [Amended]

5. In § 7.8(e) in the first sentence insert the words "or she" between the words "he has".

§ 7.10 [Amended]

6. In § 7.10(a) in the last sentence correct the word "th" to read "the"; in § 7.10(b) in the second sentence change the words "a hearing examiner" to read "an administrative law judge".

§ 7.11 [Amended]

7. In § 7.11(a) in the title change the words "hearing examiner" to read "an administrative law judge"; and in the first sentence change the words "hearing examiner" to read "an administrative law judge". In § 7.11(b) in the first sentence change the words "a hearing examiner" to read "an administrative tive law judge". In § 7.11(d) in the first sentence change the words "a hearing examiner" to read "an administrative law judge".

§ 7.13 [Amended]

8. In § 7.13(c) delete the last sentence.

PART 12—NONDISCRIMINATION IN PROGRAMS RECEIVING ASSISTANCE FROM THE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY—EFFECTUATION OF SECTION 13 OF THE FEDERAL WATER POLLUTION CONTROL ACT AMENDMENTS OF 1972

9. Section 12.11(f) is revised to read as follows:

§ 12.11 Decisions and notices.

(f) Content of orders. The final decision may provide for termination of, or refusal to grant or continue, Federal financial assistance, in whole or in part, to the program involved and may contain such terms, conditions, and other provisions as are consistent with and will effectuate the purpose of the act and this part, including provisions designed to assure that no Federal financial assistance will thereafter be extended under such program to the applicant or recipient determined by such decision to have failed to comply with requirements imposed by or under this part unless and until it corrects its noncompliance and satisfies the Administrator that it will fully comply with this part.

§ 12.12 [Amended]

10. Delete the last sentence from § 12.12(c).

PART 30—GENERAL GRANT REGULATIONS AND PROCEDURES

11. In the Table of Contents under Subpart B—Application and Award, add the following under § 30.305 entitled A–95 Procedures:

30.305–1 Specific areas of clearinghouse evaluation.
30.305–2 Notification of intent (A–95, Part I).
30.305–3 Time limitations.
30.305–4 EPA processing.
30.305–5 Programs requiring state plans and jointly funded projects (A–95, Part III).
30.305–6 Coordination of planning in multijurisdictional areas (A–95, Part IV).
30.305–7 Confidential information.
30.305–8 Specific requirements for the construction grant program.

12. Section 30.135–3 is revised to read as follows:

§ 30.135–3 Allowable costs.

Those eligible, reasonable, necessary, and allocable costs which are permitted under the appropriate Federal cost principles, in accordance with EPA policy, within the scope of the project and authorized for EPA participation.

13. Section 30.135–8 is revised to read as follows:

§ 30.135–8 Eligible costs.

Those costs in which Federal participation is authorized pursuant to applicable statute.

14. Section 30.135–22 is revised to read as follows:

§ 30.135–22 Subagreement.

A written agreement between an EPA grantee and another party (other than another public agency) and any tier of agreement thereunder for the furnishing of services, supplies, or equipment necessary to complete the project for which a grant was awarded, including contracts and subcontracts for personal and professional services and purchase orders.

§ 30.225–3 [Amended]

15. Delete § 30.225–3(c).

16. Section 30.305 is revised and 30.305–1 through 30.305–8 are added to read as follows:

§ 30.305 A–95 procedures.

(a) Office of Management and Budget Circular A–95 (revised) (41 FR 2052, January 13, 1976) provides for State and areawide clearinghouse evaluation, review, and coordination of Federally-assisted programs and projects. Therefore, applicants applying for a planning, program, survey, demonstration, or construction grant must comply with appropriate coordination procedures outlined in the A–95 Circular. Generally, coordination is required prior to submitting an application. However, in certain cases clearinghouses will be afforded the opportunity to comment during the initial phases of project work in conjunction with the development of plans and application materials.

(b) A–95 procedures include but are not limited to the provisions set forth below in § 30.305–1 through § 30.305–8.

§ 30.305–1 Specific areas of clearinghouse evaluation.

The following specific areas are normally considered during clearinghouse evaluation. It should be recognized, however, that clearinghouses are responsible for the comprehensive planning needs of their jurisdictional area and may, therefore, consider areas other than those listed.

(a) The extent to which the project is consistent with or contributes to the fulfillment of the State, areawide, and local comprehensive plans.

(b) The extent to which the proposed project:

(1) Duplicates, runs counter to, or needs to be coordinated with other projects or activities being carried out in or affecting the area; or

(2) Might be revised to increase its effectiveness or efficiency.

(c) The extent to which the project contributes to the achievement of State, areawide, and local objectives and priorities relating to natural and human resources and economic and community development as specified in Section 401 of the Intergovernmental Cooperation Act of 1968, including:

(1) Appropriate land uses for housing, commercial, industrial, government, institutional, and other purposes;

(2) Wise development and consideration of natural resources, including land, water, mineral, wildlife, and others;

(3) Balanced transportation systems, including highway, air, water, pedestrian, mass transit, and other modes for the movement of people and goods;

(4) Adequate outdoor recreation and open space;

(5) Protection of areas of unique natural beauty, historical, archeological, architectural, and scientific interest;

(6) Properly planned community facilities, including utilities for the supply of power, water, and communications, for the safe disposal of wastes, and for other purposes; and

(7) Concern for high standards of design.

(d) The extent to which the project significantly affects the environment including:

(1) The environmental impact of the proposed project;

(2) Any adverse environmental effects which cannot be avoided should the proposed project be implemented;

(3) Alternatives to the proposed project;

(4) The relationship between local short term uses of man's environment and the maintenance and enhancement of long term productivity; and

(5) Any irreversible or irretrievable commitments of resources which would be involved in the proposed project or action, should it be implemented.

(e) The extent to which the project contributes to more balanced patterns of settlement and delivery of services to all sectors of the area population, including minority groups.

(f) In the case of a project for which assistance is being sought by a special purpose unit of government, whether the unit of general local government having jurisdiction over the area in which the project is to be located has applied for or plans to apply for assistance for the same or a similar type project.

§ 30.305–2 Notification of intent (A–95, Part I).

(a) General (for specific requirements for the construction grants program see § 30.305–8). Applicants or potential applicants for assistance under an EPA grant are required to notify both State and areawide planning and development clearinghouses, in the jurisdiction in which the project is to be located, of their intent to apply for EPA assistance. In the case of an application in any State for an activity that is Statewide or broader in nature (such as for various types of research) and does not affect nor have specific applicability to areawide or local planning and programs, the notification need be sent only to the State clearinghouse. Involvement of areawide clearinghouses in the review in such cases will be at the initiative of the State clearinghouse. If notification of intent to apply for EPA assistance was not furnished the clearinghouse(s), the completed application must be submitted to the clearinghouse(s) prior to submission to EPA. However, prior notification of intent to apply is preferable to submitting the final completed application. In addition, grantees must notify State and areawide clearinghouse(s) of any major modifications in a project. The current list of EPA grant programs which must comply with the A–95 procedures are listed below. Any additions to this listing will be indicated in the Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (see § 30.305–2.c.(5)).

(1) 66.001–Air Pollution Control Program Grants;
(2) 66.005–Air Pollution Control Survey and Demonstration Grants;
(3) 66.027–Solid Waste Disposal Planning Grants;
(4) 66.028–Solid Waste Disposal Demonstration Grants;
(5) 66.418–Construction Grants for Wastewater Treatment Works;
(6) 66.419–Water Pollution Control-State and Interstate Program Grants;
(7) 66.420–Water Pollution Control-State and Local Manpower Program Development;
(8) 66.426–Water Pollution Control State and Areawide Waste Treatment Management Planning Grants;
(9) 66.432–State Public Water System Supervision Program Grants;
(10) 66.433–State Underground Water Source Protection Program Grants;
(11) 66.505–Water Pollution Control-Research, Developmental, and Demonstration Grants (Demonstration only);
(12) 66.506–Safe Drinking Water Research and Demonstration Grants (Demonstration Only);
(13) 66.600–Environmental Protection Consolidated Grants-Program Support;
(14) 66.602–Environmental Protection Consolidated Grants-Special Purpose.

Applications from Federally recognized Indian Tribes are excluded from this requirement. However, they may voluntarily participate in the procedures of this section and are encouraged to do so. EPA will notify the appropriate State and areawide clearinghouse(s) of any applications from Federally recognized Indian tribes upon their receipt.

(b) Notification will normally precede the preparation of the application. It will be mailed to the clearinghouse at the earliest feasible time to assure maximum time for effective coordination and to avoid delay in the timely submission of the completed application to EPA. Earliest feasible time means at such time as the applicant determines it will develop an application.

(c) The notification to each clearinghouse will be accompanied by a summary description which should include the following:

(1) Identity of the applicant agency organization, or individual.

(2) The geographic location of the project to be assisted. A map should be provided, if appropriate.

(3) A brief description of the proposed project by type, purpose, general size or scale, estimated cost, beneficiaries, or other characteristics which will enable the clearinghouses to identify agencies of State or local government having plans, programs, or projects that might be affected by the proposed projects.

(4) A statement as to whether or not the applicant has been advised by EPA that he will be required to submit environmental impact information in connection with the proposed project.

(5) The EPA program title and number under which assistance will be sought as indicated in the latest Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (The Catalog is issued annually in the spring and is updated during the year). In the case of programs not listed therein, programs will be identified by Public Law number or U.S. Code citation. Applicants uncertain as to appropriate program identification should contact the EPA program or grants administration office.

(6) The estimated date the applicant expects to formally file an application.

(7) When available any more detailed documentation describing the proposed project (e.g., plans and preapplication material).

§ 30.305–3 Time limitations.

(a) *Time limitations.* (1) State and areawide clearinghouse(s) may have a period of 30 calendar days after receipt of a project notification of intent to apply for assistance in which to inform State and multistate agencies and local or regional governments or agencies that may be affected by the project, to arrange, as may be necessary, to consult with the applicant thereon and to complete review and submit comments to the applicant. If the review cannot be completed during this period, however, the clearinghouse(s) may work with the applicant in the resolution of any problems raised by the proposed project during the period in which the application is being completed. Clearinghouses are strongly urged to notify applicants if they cannot complete their review within the 30 day comment period.

(2) When no notification of intent to apply for assistance has been submitted and the clearinghouse has received instead a completed application, it may have 60 calendar days from date of receipt to review the completed application. However, if clearinghouses cannot complete their reviews within a 30 calendar day period they are strongly urged to give the applicant formal notice to that effect at the beginning of the comment period. Where reviews have been completed prior to completion of an application, a copy of the completed application will be supplied to the clearinghouse, upon request, when the application is submitted to EPA.

(b) *Submission of Comments.* (1) Areawide clearinghouses will include, as attachments to their comments: (i) all written comments submitted to the areawide clearinghouse by other jurisdictions, agencies, or parties, when they are at variance with the clearinghouse comments; and (ii) a list of parties from whom comments were solicited.

(2) Applicants will include with the completed application all comments and recommendations made by or through clearinghouse(s), with a statement that such comments have been considered prior to submission of the application. Where no comments have been received from a clearinghouse(s) a statement must be included with the application that the procedures outlined in this section have been followed and that no comments or recommendations have been received.

§ 30.305–4 EPA processing.

(a) Applications that do not evidence that both areawide and State clearinghouses have been given an opportunity to review the application will be returned to the applicant with instruction to fulfill the requirements of Part I of OMB Circular A–95.

(b) Any comments accompanying applications must be utilized in evaluating the applications.

(c) EPA will notify clearinghouse(s) within seven (7) working days of any major action taken on applications reviewed by the clearinghouse(s). Major actions will include awards (including subsequent Step 2 and Step 3 awards for wastewater treatment projects), rejections, returns for amendments, deferrals, or withdrawals. The standard multipurpose form, Standard Form 424, as prescribed by Federal Management Circular 74–7, will be used for this purpose.

(d) Where a clearinghouse has recommended against approval of an application or approval only wth specific and major substantive changes, and EPA approves the project without incorporating the recommendations of the clearinghouse, EPA will provide the clearinghouse, in writing, with an explanation therefor along with the notice of action under subsection 30.305–4c.

(e) Where a clearinghouse has recommended against approval of a project because it conflicts with or duplicates another Federal or Federally-assisted project, the EPA program office reviewing the application will consult with the agency or agencies assisting the referenced projects prior to approving the application.

(f) If comments accompanying an application from a special purpose unit of government indicate that a similar application is forthcoming from the general purpose unit of government in the areas in which the applicant and/or the proposed project is located, preference will be given to the general purpose unit as specified in Section 402 of the Intergovernmental Cooperation Act of 1968. Where such preference cannot be so accorded, EPA will notify in writing, the unit of general local government and the Office of Management and Budget of the reasons therefor.

§ 30.305–5 Programs requiring state plans and jointly funded projects (A–95 Part III).

(a) *Applicability.* This section applies only to Air Pollution Control Program Grants and Water Pollution Control State and Interstate Program Grants to the extent that they involve State plans.

(b) *Definitions.* (1) State Plan. A State plan is a plan prepared by a State agency that includes any required supporting planning reports or documentation that indicates the programs, projects, and activities for which EPA funds will be used.

(2) Jointly Funded Projects. A jointly funded project is a project for which assistance is sought, on a combined or

coordinated basis, involving two or more Federal programs or funding authorities.

(c) *Review.* (1) Prior to funding any grant requiring, by statute or EPA administrative regulations, a State plan as a condition of assistance, the EPA program office must insure that the Governor, or his designated agency, has been given the opportunity to comment on the relationship of the program to be funded to the State plan. EPA encourages the Governor to include the appropriate areawide clearinghouse in State plan review.

(2) Prior to funding a jointly funded project, the EPA program office must insure that the State and areawide clearinghouse(s) have been given the opportunity to comment on the relationship of the proposed jointly funded project to State or areawide comprehensive plans and programs.

(d) *Time Limitations and Submission of Comments.* (1) The Governor or his designated agency may have a period of 45 calendar days for review and comment.

(2) Applicants must secure and submit with the application comments received pursuant to § 30.305–5c. If the applicant fails to receive comments within the prescribed 45 calendar day period, a statement must be included with the application that the procedures outlined in this section have been followed and no comments or recommendations have been received.

§ 30.305–6 Coordination of planning in multijurisdictional areas (A–95, Part IV).

(a) Applicability. This section applies only to Water Pollution Control State and Areawide Waste Treatment Management Planning Grants.

(b) Requirements of Applicants. (1) Applicants for State and Areawide Waste Treatment Management Planning grants must demonstrate in the application that the proposed activity is consistent and takes into account the relationship with affected State, local and Federal programs, and with other applicable resource and developmental planning programs in the multijurisdictional areas.

(i) For areawide designated planning agencies, the application must adequately:

(A) Certify that affected general purpose units of local governments within the boundaries of the designated planning area have submitted or intend to submit resolutions of intent to have in operation a coordinated waste treatment management system and that such affected units of local government have the legal authority to enter into agreements for coordinated wastewater management.

(B) Provide a certification document submitted by the State designated planning agency which states that the State has reviewed the application pursuant to 40 CFR 35.208–2(b).

(ii) For State designated planning agencies, the application must show evidence that adequate communication was made with chief elected officials of local units of governments in the designation of local multijurisdictional areas.

(iii) For intrastate and interstate areawide planning agencies, the application must provide a certification document submitted by the State planning agency in the State which includes the largest portion of the area's population pursuant to 40 CFR 35.210–1(d).

(2) The completed application will be submitted to the Office of the Governor(s) of the State(s) before it is submitted to EPA. The Governor(s) shall have 45 calendar days in which to certify that the proposed work complies or does not comply with all State requirements; that the proposed planning work program is or is not adequate and necessary to accomplish the development of a plan; that the planning will or will not duplicate any work which has been done or is being done to meet the facilities planning requirements of 40 CFR 35.917 through 35.917–9; and that the State(s) either recommends or does not recommend that the grant application should be approved by EPA.

§ 30.305–7 Confidential information.

Under some programs, applicants are required to submit confidential information to EPA. Such information may relate to the applicant's financial status or structure, personnel, or may involve proprietory information and need not be included with applications submitted to clearinghouse(s) for review. EPA's policy concerning disclosure of information under the Freedom of Information Act, 5 U.S.C. 552, is stated in 40 CFR Chapter 1, Part 2.

§ 30.305–8 Specific requirements for the Construction Grant Program.

(a) *General.* Applicants for grants for the planning or construction of a wastewater treatment facility (P.L. 92–500, 40 CFR Part 35) must comply with the following specific requirements. Where provisions of this section differ from the general A–95 procedures set forth in other sections of Part 30 the requirements of this section shall prevail.

(b) *Specific Procedures.* (1) Plans of Study (POS) for facilities planning and any related Step 1 application materials should be submitted to the appropriate A–95 clearinghouse prior to the time for formal submission to the State and EPA of application for Step 1 assistance. The submission of the POS and related materials shall constitute a notification of intent to apply for assistance as provided in § 30.305–2 and § 30.305–3 above. The clearinghouse shall have 30 calendar days to review the POS and related materials. The comments of the clearinghouse on the POS should then accompany the application through the review process. The POS should be sent to the clearinghouse sufficiently early to avoid delays in the later submission of the Step 1 application.

(2) Thirty (30) calendar or more days prior to the public hearing on the draft facility plan, or, if no public hearing is held, a reasonable time before submittal of a facility plan to the State and EPA for approval, the draft facility plan, and any associated grant application materials, should be submitted to the A–95 clearinghouse for a second review. The submission of the draft facility plan and related materials shall constitute a notification of intent to apply for assistance as provided in § 30.305–2 and § 30.305–3 above. The clearinghouse shall have 30 calendar days to review the draft facility plan.

(3) Any prior clearinghouse comments on the facility plan will be considered as part of the application for any subsequent Step 2 or Step 3 grant. EPA will notify the clearinghouse of subsequent Step 2 or Step 3 awards within 7 work days after grant award. Where an application is approved over clearinghouse objections, an explanation must be furnished to the clearinghouse as to why any specific recommendation was not followed.

(4) Once A–95 review has been obtained on a POS and a Step 1 facility plan, no further A–95 review of the Step 2 and Step 3 applications, which implement the plan, will be required except (i) when there are significant departures from or additions to what was covered in the Step 1 facility, (ii) when the clearinghouse requests opportunity for additional review on a specific project, or (iii) when State policy requires additional A–95 review of Step 2 or 3 grant applications. The clearinghouse shall have 30 calendar days to make these additional reviews, when required."

17. Section 30.710(d) is revised to read as follows:

§ 30.710 Federal cost principles.

* * * * *

(d) *For all other grants and subagreements.* Federal Procurement Regulations (41 CFR Ch. I, Subpart 1–15.2 or 1–15.4, as appropriate) provide, to the greatest practical extent, comparable principles and procedures for use in cost-reimbursement for all other grants and subagreements.

18. Section 30.715–2 is revised to read as follows:

§ 30.715–2 Indirect costs.

Indirect costs are those incurred for a common or joint purpose but benefiting more than one cost objective, and not readily identifiable to the cost objectives specifically benefited. Federal Management Circulars 73–6 and 74–4 govern the methods that may be used in determining the amount of grantee departmental indirect cost allocable to a grant program. These directives provide for the assignment of cognizance to single Federal Departments and agencies for conducting indirect cost negotiations and audits at educational institutions and State and local governments. Procedures governing the application and disposition of indirect costs for subagreements with commercial organizations and architectural and engineering firms are covered by 41 CFR 1–15.2 and 1–15.4 respectively. The rate(s) negotiated by the cognizant Federal agency are normally

accepted by all Federal agencies. Organizations not covered by the above directives may have rates established by negotiation with EPA or another Federal agency. The following guidance is furnished:

(a) EPA uses the latest available negotiated rate as a basis for computing indirect costs for the applicant. In those cases where the grantee's approved indirect cost rate is a provisional rate, subject to later finalization after the actual costs for the applicable fiscal period are known, the amount budgeted for indirect costs shall not exceed the amount derived under the provisional rate contained in the grant agreement, for the current budget period.

(b) A special indirect cost rate may be applied to a project (or portion of a project) to be carried out at an off-campus or off-site location. A special indirect cost rate may be negotiated for a large nonrecurring project when such project costs would distort the normal direct cost base used in computing the overhead rate.

§ 30.720 Cost sharing.

19. Delete the first sentence in § 30.720 (a) and substitute the following:

(a) Except as may be otherwise provided by law or this Subchapter, EPA grantees must share project costs. * * *

* * * * *

PART 35—STATE AND LOCAL ASSISTANCE

20. Add paragraph (h) at the end of § 35.315–2:

§ 35.315–2 Application requirements.

* * * * *

(h) Assure compliance with all applicable requirements of Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A–95, pursuant to § 30.305 of this subchapter.

§ 35.555 [Amended]

21. Section 35.555(b)(3) is revised to read as follows:

* * * * *

(b) * * *

(b) Pursuant to § 30.305 of this subchapter, the final program shall reflect comments received through the State office with clearinghouse responsibilities. It shall also present evidence of participation by the agencies responsible for statewide land use planning, or general or comprehensive planning.

§ 35.562 [Amended]

22. Section 35.562(b) (Promulgated at 40 FR 17698 on April 27, 1976), is revised to read as follows:

* * * * *

(b) A final program (grant application) by September 1 for each fiscal year consisting of the initial program (not including the final State project priority list to be submitted pursuant to § 35.563(b)) described in § 35.562(a), modified as appropriate to reflect the results of public participation, and comments of the Regional Administrator. In addition, all agencies applying for grants under this section must comply with all applicable requirements of Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular No. A–95, pursuant to § 30.305 of this subchapter.

23. Section 35.920–3(a)(3) and (b)(8) are revised to read as follows:

§ 35.920–3 Contents of application.

(a) * * *

(3) Required comments or approvals of relevant State, local, and Federal agencies, including clearinghouse requirements of Office of Management and Budget Circular A–95, as revised (see § 30.305 of this subchapter).

(b) * * *

(8) Required comments or approvals of relevant State, local, and Federal agencies, including clearinghouse requirements of Office of Management and Budget Circular A–95, as revised (see § 30.305 of this subchapter).

* * * * *

24. Section 35.935–15 is revised to read as follows:

§ 35.935–15 Utilization of small and minority businesses.

Positive efforts shall be made by grantees to utilize small business and minority-owned business sources of supplies and service. Such efforts should allow these sources the maximum feasible opportunity to complete for subagreements and contracts to be performed utilizing Federal grant funds.

In Appendixes C–1 and C–2 to 40 CFR Part 35 Subpart E, appearing on pages 9340 through 9344 of the FEDERAL REGISTER of March 4, 1976, the following editorial corrections are made:

Appendix C–1 [Amended]

25. In Appendix C–1, clause 6, in paragraph (a), the word "owner" in the fifth line should read "Owner"; in paragraph (b), the word "Agreement" in the third line should read "agreement"; and in paragraph (c), the word "counterclaims" starting on the eleventh line should read "counter-claim".

26. In Appendix C–1, clause 10, in the parenthetical phrase following the heading, the figure "$1,00,000.00" appearing in the third line should read "$100,000"; in paragraph (a), insert a comma after the word "profit" in the second line; and in the parenthetical paragraph following paragraph (b), the word "Architect-Engineer" appearing in the fifth and eighth lines should read "Engineer" in both places.

27. In Appendix C–1, clause 12, paragraph (d) should end with the semicolon in the fourth line and the remainder of the paragraph, beginning with the word "and" should start a new line at the margin.

28. In Appendix C–1, clause 12, delete the "§" in the third line.

29. In Appendix C–1, clause 18, paragraph (b), insert the word "the" between the words "to" and "engineer" in the tenth line.

Appendix C–2 [Amended]

30. In Appendix C–2, clause 2, in paragraph (a), change the word "agreement" in the fifth line to "contract"; in paragraph (b), the word "contractor" on the third line should read "Contractor"; and in paragraph (f), the word "contractor" in the twentieth line should read "Contractor".

31. In Appendix C–2, clause 4, the word "contractor" in the first line should read "Contractor"; paragraph (d) should end with the semicolon in the fourth line and the remainder of the paragraph, beginning with the word "and" should start a new line at the margin; and in paragraph (d) the word "Engineer" in the fourth line should be changed to read "Contractor".

32. In Appendix C–2, clause 6, the word "contractor" in the ninth line should read "Contractor".

33. In Appendix C–2, clause 10, in paragraph (b)(2), insert the word "the" between the words "means" and "Federal" in the first line; in paragraph (b)(3) the word "Clean" in the ninth line should be deleted; in paragraph (b)(3) the second closing parentheses should be deleted after the phrase "Section 111(d)" in the eleventh line; in paragraph (b)(4), the word "state" in the seventh line should read "State"; and in paragraph (b)(5), the word "requirement" in the eighth line should read "requirements".

PART 40—RESEARCH AND DEMONSTRATION GRANTS

34. Delete § 40.135–1(b) (1) and (2) and insert the following:

§ 40.135–1 Preapplication coordination.

(b) Demonstration grants. All applicants for demonstration grants must comply with all applicable requirements of Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular No. A–95 as revised, see § 30.305 of this subchapter.

[FR Doc.76–14649 Filed 5–19–76;8:45 am]

[FRL 509–3]

PART 60—STANDARDS OF PERFORMANCE FOR NEW STATIONARY SOURCES

Ferroalloy Production Facilities

Correction

In FR Doc. 76–12814 appearing at page 18498 in the FEDERAL REGISTER of Tuesday, May 4, 1976 the following corrections should be made:

1. On page 18498, second column, last paragraph designated "(1)", second line, fourth word should read "representativeness".

2. On page 18501, first column, the subpart heading immediately preceding the text, should read "Subpart Z—Standards of Performance for Ferroalloy Production Facilities".

3. On page 18501, in § 60.260, second column, fourth line from the top, the third word should read "silicomanga-".

4. On page 18501, second column, in § 60.261 (i), second line, third word should read "evolution".

5. On page 18503, third column, in § 60.266(h) the equation should have appeared as follows:

$$E=\frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} E_n}{p}$$

[OPP—260019; FRL 545–8]

SUBCHAPTER E—PESTICIDE PROGRAMS

PART 180—TOLERANCES AND EXEMPTIONS FROM TOLERANCES FOR PESTICIDE CHEMICALS IN OR ON RAW AGRICULTURAL COMMODITIES

Oxamyl

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) promulgated regulations with respect to the establishment of tolerances for the pesticide oxamyl in Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Part 180, Subpart C, § 180.303. These regulations appeared in the FEDERAL REGISTER on October 14, 1975 (40 FR 48133).

This pesticide chemical is a member of the class of cholinesterase-inhibiting pesticides and was so identified at the time the tolerances were established. Pesticides which have been identified as cholinesterase-inhibitors are listed in 40 CFR 180.3(e)(5). This list is being amended at this time by alphabetically inserting the pesticide chemical oxamyl.

The Agency is amending 40 CFR 180.3 effective May 20, 1976 to read as follows.

(Sec. 408(e) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 346a).)

Dated: May 13, 1976.

EDWIN L. JOHNSON,
Deputy Assistant Administrator for Pesticide Programs.

Section 180.3 is amended by alphabetically inserting oxamyl (methyl N',N'-dimethyl - N - [methylcarbamoyl) oxy] - 1-thiooxamimidate) into 40 CFR 180.3 (e) (5) as follows:

§ 180.3 Tolerances for related pesticide chemicals.

* * * * * *

(e) * * *
(5) * * *
Naled* * *
Oxamyl (methyl N',N'-dimethyl-N-[(methylcarbamoyl) oxyl] - 1 - thiooxamimidate)
Parthion * * *

* * * * * *

[FR Doc.76-14646 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

(FRL 546-3)

SUBCHAPTER N—EFFLUENT GUIDELINES AND STANDARDS

PART 457—EXPLOSIVES MANUFACTURING POINT SOURCE CATEGORY

Extension of Comment Period and Notice of Availability

On March 9, 1976 the Agency published a notice of interim final rulemaking (41 FR 10180) establishing effluent limitations and guidelines for the explosives manufacturing point source category, based upon use of best practicable control technology currently available. The due date for comments provided in the notice was April 8, 1976.

The Agency anticipated that the document entitled "Development Document for Interim Final Effluent Limitations Guidelines and Proposed New Source Performance Standards for the Explosives Manufacturing Point Source Category," which contains information on the analysis undertaken in support of the regulations, would be available to the public throughout the comment period. Production difficulties delayed the availability of this document. Copies of the document are now available and have been forwarded to those persons having submitted written requests to the Environmental Protection Agency. A limited number of additional copies are available for distribution from the Environmental Protection Agency, Effluent Guidelines Division, Washington, D.C. 20460, Attention: Distribution Officer, WH-552.

Accordingly, the date for submission of comments is hereby extended to June 21, 1976.

Dated: May 14, 1976.

JOHN T. RHETT,
Acting Assistant Administrator for Water and Hazardous Materials.

[FR Doc.76-14648 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

Title 47—Telecommunication

CHAPTER I—FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[FCC 76-429]

PART 63—EXTENSION OF LINES AND DISCONTINUANCE OF SERVICE BY CARRIERS

Application Procedures

1. The Commission has reviewed Part 63 of its rules and has determined that a number of changes are needed to update the rules and clarify various matters. We believe these rule modifications will improve the processing procedures and simplify the filing and consideration of section 214 applications. Several of these changes are briefly discussed below.

2. Sections 63.02, 63.03, 63.04, and 63.05 of the rules are amended to increase certain construction, installation, and lease costs which establish upper limits on the proposed small projects with respect to extensions, supplementation of facilities, temporary or emergency service, and the commencement and completion of construction. This change is made in recognition of the inflation in costs of facilities and to more fairly relate lease costs to construction costs. A subparagraph will be added to § 63.01 to refer to the environmental requirements in Subpart I of Part 1 of the Commission's Rules. Also, applicants will be required to submit airline mileage between terminal communities for the computation of applicable grant fees, in accordance with our recent amendment of Subpart G of Part 1 of the Commission's Rules relating to the schedule of fees.[1]

3. Section 63.52 of the rules, Copies required; fees, will be amended by reducing the number of copies of applications to be submitted as requested in paragraph (a), and by adding new paragraphs (b) and (c) to specify filing periods for petitions and responsive pleadings. Heretofore the filing periods for petitions against applications filed pursuant to section 214 of the Communications Act and Part 63 of the rules have generally been established by the Commission's public notice of applications accepted for filing. We believe that for purposes of consistency and clarity, it is valuable to specify in Part 63 these pleading periods. Section 63.52, as amended, specifies a 30-day period for the filing of petitions to deny applications. The applicant may file an opposition to any petition to deny, and the petitioner may file a reply to such opposition within the time specified by § 1.45 and allegations of fact of denials thereof shall similarly be supported by affidavit.

4. Sections 63.03 and 63.04 are revised to change the effective grant date from the current 15th day to the 21st day following the date of filing applications pursuant to such sections, to permit additional processing time by the Commission. We are expanding § 63.03 to clarify the circumstances under which the authorization of facilities as supplemental small projects will be considered appropriate under this section. Also, § 63.51 is revised to specify that if an applicant fails to respond to official correspondence or request for additional market, the application will be dismissed without prejudice.

5. Section 63.50 is added to specify procedures for amending applications filed under Part 63 of the rules. This addition is necessary to eliminate any uncertainties with respect to procedures for filing amendments to application submitted.

6. Authority for these changes is contained in section 4 (i) and (j) and 303 (r) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. (47 U.S.C. 154 (i) and (j), 303(r)). Because they reflect only procedural changes and since early implementation would simplify and expedite the processing of applications, compliance with the prior notice and effective date provision of 5 U.S.C. 533 is not required.

7. In view of the foregoing, *it is ordered*, effective May 26, 1976, That Part 63 of the Commission's rules and Regulations is amended as set forth below.

(Secs. 4, 303, 48 Stat., as amended, 1066, 1082; 47 U.S.C. 154, 303.)

Adopted: May 11, 1976.

Released: May 18, 1976.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
VINCENT J. MULLINS,
Secretary.

[1] See *Report and Order* in Docket No. 19658, released January 20, 1975, 50 F.C.C. 3d 906.

Part 63 of Title 47 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended to read as follows:

1. In § 63.01 the introductory paragraph and paragraphs (e) and (i) are revised, and a new paragraph (q) is added to read as follows:

§ 63.01 Contents of applications.

Except as otherwise provided in this part, any party proposing to undertake any construction of a new line, extension of any line, acquisition, lease, or operation of any line or extension thereof or engage in transmission over or by means of such line, for which authority is required under the provisions of Section 214 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, shall request such authority by formal application which shall be accompanied by a statement showing how the proposed construction, etc. will serve the public interest, convenience, and necessity. Such statement must include the following information as applicable:

* * * * *

(e) A statement as to whether the facilities covered by the application will be used to extend communication service into territory at present not directly served by the applicant or to supplement existing facilities of the applicant, and the nature and classification of the communication services to be provided (e.g. telephone, telegraph, facsimile, data, private line, voice, television relay, etc.);

* * * * *

(i) Applicant's present and estimated future requirements, both for the route of the proposed facilities and for routes from which any rerouting to the proposed facilities is contemplated within the period of the estimate. Where 60 domestic circuits or more are to be derived from the proposed construction, acquisition, or lease, list the principal circuit groups currently operated, the number of circuits in each group, and the estimate number of circuits required in each group to meet the load demands for the ensuing one year, two year, or five year period, as may be appropriate in order to provide adequate justification for said increases, including current traffic load trends, as indicated by periodic traffic load studies.

* * * * *

(q) A statement whether any new construction would be considered a major action under the Commission's environmental rules. If a major action is involved, attach an environmental statement. (See Subpart I of Part 1 of this chapter.)

2. Section 63.02 is revised to read as follows:

§ 63.02 Special provisions relating to extensions involving small projects.

Applications involving extension of service into domestic territory at present not directly served by the applicant by the construction, acquisition, or operation of facilities, the cost of which to the applicant does not exceed $50,000 or the annual rental of which does not exceed $10,000, may omit the information called for by § 63.01 that is clearly not relevant to such extension. (Normally the information required by § 63.01(h)(1), (h)(2), (i), (j), and (k)(1) may be omitted.) At minimum, the application shall contain a general description of the existing and proposed facilities, points of service, and cost.

3. Section 63.03 is revised and paragraphs (d) and (e) are added to read as follows:

§ 63.03 Special provisions relating to small projects for supplementing of facilities.

(a) Facilities authorized under this section are limited to those that supplement existing facilities. Excluded from consideration under this section are applications that would involve:

(1) A new or modified service;

(2) One or more points of service not previously authorized to the applicant for the type of service involved;

(3) New transmission facilities (excluding supplemental radio transmitters) over which applicant has not previously received authority under Part 63;

(4) A major action under the environmental rules (Part 1, Subpart I of this chapter);

(5) International channels exceeding 7 voice grade circuits for voice carriers or 2 voice grade circuits for record carriers; or

(6) Domestic channels where the construction or acquisition cost exceeds $500,000 or where the annual rental exceeds $100,000.

(b) Applications submitted under this section shall be clearly identified as requesting authority pursuant to this section and the original shall be accompanied by two copies. The application shall contain a statement showing how the proposed acquisition, lease, operation or construction would serve the public interest, convenience, and necessity. Such statement must include information concerning:

(1) The terminal communities between which the proposed facilities are to be located;

(2) A statement as to the type of communications services which will be provided on the proposed facilities;

(3) The need for the proposed construction, acquisition, lease or operation;

(4) A description of the proposed facilities giving the number of each type of communication channel to be provided thereby;

(5) The estimated construction cost, annual rental, or purchase price, as appropriate for the proposed facilities;

(6) The route mileage of the facilities involved (excluding leased facilities) and airline mileage between terminal communities in the proposed project; and

(7) The accounting to be performed by the carrier with respect to the proposed project.

(c) In addition to the requirements of paragraph (b), applications involving overseas circuits shall:

(1) Cite by file number and date of adoption a currently effective Commission Order granted pursuant to § 63.01 granting the applicant authority to acquire like facilities for the provision of service between the points for which authority for additional circuitry is being requested. Where the applicant has been granted a currently effective authorization (Blanket Order) which specifies in an appendix to that Commission Order all or most of the facilities of a specific type (e.g. satellite circuits provided by satellites over a given ocean basin, circuits in a single submarine cable system, etc.), the applicant has been authorized to use to serve the ocean basin, area or country to which applicant is seeking to acquire supplemental facilities, the applicant shall cite that authorization.

(2) Contain a specific statement that applicant will construct, acquire and/or operate the requested facilities in accordance with the terms and conditions of the Order cited pursuant to (1) above.

(3) When the Commission Order cited pursuant to (1) above is a Blanket Authorization, applicant shall submit a revised Appendix showing the changes thereto which will occur on grant of its application.

(d) Such supplementing of facilities shall be deemed to have been authorized by the Commission effective as of the 21st day following the date of filing of such application unless on or before the 21st day the Commission shall notify the applicant to the contrary. Where supplemental facilities are authorized under this section, they shall be considered subject to the same terms and conditions, if any, that the Commission has imposed upon a prior authorization which is being supplemented.

(e) Any carrier may request continuing authority, subject to termination by the Commission at any time upon 10 days' notice to the carrier, or commence small projects for the supplementing of existing facilities. Such an application shall set forth the need for such authority; however, it shall not be considered granted pursuant to paragraph (d) of this section. Upon authorization of such continuing authority by the Commission, the carrier may commence small projects subject to the limitations set forth in Paragraph (a) of this section, except that the construction, installation and acquisition cost for each project shall be limited to $35,000 or an annual rental of $7,000. Moreover, not later than the 30th day following the end of each 6 month period covered by such authority, the carrier shall file a statement in writing making reference to this paragraph and setting forth, with respect to each project (construction, installation, acquisition, lease, including any renewal thereof, and operation) which was commenced thereunder, the following information:

(1) The type of facility constructed, installed, acquired, or leased;

(2) The route mileage thereof (excluding leased facilities);

(3) The terminal communities served and airline mileage between such communities;

(4) The cost thereof, including construction, installation, acquisition, or lease; and

(5) Where appropriate, the name of the lessor company and the dates of commencement and termination of the lease.

4. Section 63.04 (b), (c) and (d) are revised to read as follows:

§ 63.04 Special provisions relating to temporary or emergency service.

* * * * *

(b) Requests for immediate authority for temporary service or for emergency service may be made by letter or telegram setting forth why such immediate authority is required, the nature of the emergency, the type of facilities proposed to be used, the route mileage thereof, the terminal communities to be served, and airline mileage between such communities; how these points are presently being served by the applicant or other carriers, the need for the proposed service, the cost involved including any rentals, the date on which the service is to begin, and where known, the date or approximate date on which the service is to terminate.

(c) Without regard to the other requirements of this part, and by application setting forth the need therefor, any carrier may request continuing authority, subject to termination by the Commission at any time upon 10 days' notice to the carrier, to provide temporary or emergency service by the construction or installation of facilities where the estimated construction, installation, and acquisition costs do not exceed $35,000 or an annual rental of not more than $7,000 provided that such project does not involve a major action under the Commission's environmental rules. (See Subpart I of Part 1 of this chapter.) Any carrier to which continuing authority has been granted under this paragraph shall, not later than the 30th day following the end of each 6-month period covered by such authority, file with the Commission a statement in writing making reference to this paragraph and setting forth, with respect to each project (construction, installation, lease, including any renewals thereof), which was commenced or, in the case of leases, entered into under such authority, and renewal or renewals thereof which were in continuous effect for a period of more than one week, the following information:

(1) The type of facility constructed, installed, or leased;

(2) The route mileage thereof (excluding leased facilities);

(3) The terminal communities served and the airline mileage between terminal communties in the proposed project;

(4) The cost thereof, including construction, installation, or lease;

(5) Where appropriate, the name of the lessor company, and the dates of commencement and termination of the lease.

(d) (1) A request may be made by any carrier for continuing authority to lease and operate, during any emergency when its regular facilities become inoperative or inadequate to handle its traffic, facilities of any other carrier between points between which applicant is authorized to communicate by radio for the transmission of traffic which applicant is authorized to handle.

(2) Such request may be made by letter or telegram making reference to this paragraph and setting forth the points between which applicant desires to operate facilities of other carriers and the nature of the traffic to be handled thereover.

(3) Continuing authority for the operation thereafter of such alternate facilities during emergencies shall be deemed granted effective as of the 21st day following the filing of the request unless on or before that date the Commission shall notify the applicant to the contrary: provided, however, Applicant shall, not later than the 30th day following the end of each quarter in which it has operated facilities of any other carrier pursuant to authority granted under this paragraph, file with the Commission a statement in writing making reference to this paragraph and describing each occasion during the quarter when it has operated such facilities, giving dates, points between which such facilities were located, hours or minutes used, nature of traffic handled, and reasons why its own facilities could not be used.

5. Section 63.05 is revised to read as follows:

§ 63.05 Commencement and completion of construction.

Unless otherwise determined by the Commission upon proper showing in any particular case, in the event construction shall not have been begun upon a project involving an expenditure of more than $500,000, or where facilities authorized have not been leased or acquired, within 12 months from the date of the Commission's authorization, or all or part of the proposed facilities shall not have been placed in operation within 36 months after such date, such authorization shall terminate at the end of such 12 or 36 months' period, as the case may be; in the case of projects involving an expenditure of $500,000 or less, the authorization therefor shall terminate at the end of 9 months or 18 months, as the case may be, in the event construction thereof shall not have been commenced, or the facilities placed in operation, within such respective periods.

6. Section 63.50 is added to read as follows:

§ 63.50 Amendment of applications.

Any application may be amended as a matter of right prior to the date of any final action taken by the Commission or designation for hearing. Amendments to applications shall be signed and submitted in the same manner, and with the same number of copies as was the original application. If a petition to deny or other formal objections have been filed to the application, the amendment shall be served on the parties.

7. Section 63.51 is revised to read as follows:

§ 63.51 Additional information.

The applicant shall furnish any additional information which the Commission may require after a preliminary examination of the application or request. Where an applicant fails to respond to official correspondence or request for additional material, the application may be dismissed without prejudice.

8. In § 63.52, the headnote and text are revised, and paragraphs (b) and (c) are added to read as follows:

§ 63.52 Copies required; fees; and filing periods.

(a) Unless otherwise specified the Commission shall be furnished with an original and 5 copies of applications filed under section 214 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended; Provided, however, that where applications involve only the supplementation of existing domestic facilities, and the issuance of a certificate is not required, an original and 2 copies of the application shall be furnished. Upon request by the Commission additional copies of the application shall be furnished. Each application shall be accompanied by the fee prescribed in Subpart G of Part 1 of this chapter.

(b) No application accepted for filing and subject to the provisions of §§ 63.01, 63.02, 63.54, 64.62 (with the exception of 63.62(c)), 63.69, 63.91, 63.502, or 63.505 of the rules shall be granted by the Commissioner earlier than 30 days following issuance of public notice by the Commission of the acceptance for filing of such application or any major amendment unless said public notice specifies another time period.

(c) Any interested party may file a petition to deny an application within the 30-day or other time period specified in paragraph (b) above. The petitioner shall serve a copy of such petition on the applicant no later than the date of filing thereof with the Commission. The petition shall contain specific allegations of fact sufficient to show that the petitioner is a party in interest. Such allegations of fact shall, except for those of which official notice may be taken, be supported by affidavit of a person or persons with personal knowledge thereof. The applicant may file an opposition to any petition to deny, and the petitioners may file a reply to such opposition (see § 1.45 of this chapter), and allegations of facts or denials thereof shall similarly be supported by affidavit. These responsive pleadings shall be served on the applicant or petitioners, as appropriate, and other parties to the proceeding.

[FR Doc.76-14721 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 20632; RM-2594]

PART 73—RADIO BROADCAST SERVICES

Table of Assignments; Television Broadcast Stations; Fort Dodge, Iowa

1. The Commission here considers its Notice of Proposed Rule Making, adopted November 12, 1975 (40 FR 55367), which

proposed the amendment of the Television Table of Assignments (§ 73.606(b) of the Rules) to substitute Channel *21 for Channel *46 and channel 50 for the present Channel 21 assignment at Fort Dodge, Iowa. The only commenting parties in this proceeding are the joint petitioners, Northwest Television Company ("Northwest") and the State Educational Radio and Television Facility Board ("Board"). Northwest is the licensee of Station KVFD–TV (Channel 21), Fort Dodge, Iowa, and the Board is the holder of a construction permit for noncommercial educational Station KTIN–TV (Channel *46), also at Fort Dodge.[1]

2. The Notice of Proposed Rule Making in this proceeding explained that Northwest is in a position of severe financial hardship and may be forced to discontinue operation. Changes in the Table were sought to prevent this and to enable the Board to expand its educational service at considerable savings.

3. Northwest has operated a commercial television station in Fort Dodge for twenty-two years. The company moved the transmitter and antenna to a new site at Bradgate, Iowa, in 1970 (approximately 24 miles northwest of Fort Dodge). The antenna height was increased and a new antenna and transmission line were purchased. Northwest expected that the new transmitting equipment would establish a greater coverage area which would in turn produce a growth in income. The company counted upon this increase in income in order to meet its financial obligations. However, the increase in revenues never materialized and Northwest has suffered large annual losses since 1971.

4. Northwest believes it can return to a profitable financial state if it is allowed to change channels and relocate the KVFD–TV transmitter and antenna at the station's original site in Fort Dodge. New equipment for operation on Channel 46 would cost approximately $500,000, Northwest estimates, but used equipment, capable of operation on Channel 50 is available for $120,000.

5. To this end, Northwest and the Board entered into an agreement on July 16, 1975, in which the Board would, contingent upon the Commission's approval of the instant petition, buy Northwest's antenna, tower, and transmission line and would thereafter assume certain of Northwest's financial obligations. Northwest also agreed to lease to the Board, the property on which the antenna is located and enough additional land to enable the Board to construct a transmitter building. After a comparative analysis, the Board estimates that it could save a substantial amount of money by purchasing Northwest's used equipment. It was on this basis that the Commission issued its Notice.

6. In the comments filed by Northwest and the Board, Northwest reports that it has entered into a settlement with Palmer Broadcasting Company, one of its creditors, which will result in a reduction in Northwest's annual operating expenses. Although the reported settlement is substantial, it is still relatively small in proportion to the annual losses suffered by Northwest since 1971.

7. It appears to the Commission that the change in channel assignments is warranted and would benefit the public interest in Fort Dodge. The Board may expand its educational facilities at a considerable savings and Northwest's operating expenses may be reduced to the extent that the needed commercial service will be preserved.

8. In view of the foregoing, and pursuant to the authority found in sections 4 (i), 5(d)(1), 303(g) and (r), and 307(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and § 0.281(b)(6) of the Commission's rules, *It is ordered*, That effective June 24, 1976, the TV Table of Assignments (§ 73.606(b) of the Commission's Rules and Regulations) IS AMENDED as follows:

§ 73.606 [Amended]

City:	Channel No.
Fort Dodge, Iowa	*21, 50+

9. *It is further ordered*, That effective June 24, 1977, and pursuant to section 316 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, the outstanding license of Northwest Television Company, for Station KVFD–TV, Fort Dodge, Iowa, is modified to specify operation on Channel 50+ in lieu of Channel 21, subject to the following conditions:

(a) The licensee shall inform the Commission in writing by no later than July 24, 1976, of its acceptance of this modification.

(b) The licensee shall submit to the Commission by October 22, 1976, all necessary information complying with the applicable technical rules for modification of authorization to cover the operation of Station KVFD–TV on Channel 50+ at Fort Dodge, Iowa.

(c) The licensee may continue on Channel 21 under its outstanding authorization for one year from the effective date of this Order, or effect the change sooner should it so desire. Prior to commencing operation on Channel 50+ the licensee shall submit the same measurement data normally required in an application for a TV broadcast station license.

(d) The licensee shall not commence operation on Channel 50+ until the Commission specifically authorizes it to do so.

10. *It is further ordered*, That effective June 24, 1977, and pursuant to Section 316 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, the construction permit of the State Educational Radio and Television Facility Board, for Station KTIN–TV, Fort Dodge, Iowa, is modified to specify operation on Channel *21 in lieu of Channel *46 subject to the following conditions:

(a) The permittee shall inform the Commission in writing by no later than July 24, 1976, of its acceptance of this modification.

(b) The permittee shall submit to the Commission by October 22, 1976, all the necessary information complying with the applicable technical rules for modification of authorization to cover the operation of Station KTIN–TV on Channel *21 at Fort Dodge, Iowa.

(c) Prior to commencing operation on Channel *21, the permittee shall submit the same measurement data normally required in an application for a TV broadcast station license.

(d) The permittee shall not commence operation on Channel *21 until the Commission specifically authorizes it to do so.

11. *It is further ordered*, That this proceeding is terminated.

Adopted: May 11, 1976.

Released: May 17, 1976.

(Secs. 4, 5, 303, 307, 48 Stat., as amended, 1066, 1068, 1082, 1083; 47 U.S.C. 154, 155, 303, 307)

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
WALLACE E. JOHNSON,
Chief, Broadcast Bureau.

[FR Doc.76-14722 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 20536; RM-2461]

PART 73—RADIO BROADCAST SERVICES

Table of Assignments; FM Broadcast Stations; Holiday and Dunedin, Florida

1. The Bureau has under consideration its Notice of Proposed Rule Making (40 FR 29303) released July 2, 1975, proposing the assignment of Channel 221A to Holiday, Florida, as its first FM assignment. Comments have been received from petitioner, Ralph M. Hansen, Jr. and Richey Airwaves, Inc. ("WGUL"), licensee of Station WGUL(AM) and WGUL–FM, New Port Richey, Florida, and a late-filed counterproposal has been received from Manley St. Jean. The counterproposal suggests that Channel 221A be assigned to Dunedin and Channel 292A be assigned to Holiday.[1] No reply comments were received.

2. Holiday is an unincorporated community located in Pasco County (pop. 75,955) [2] on the west coast of central Florida. It has no local aural service. Its population is not reported in the U.S. Census but its 1973 population has been estimated at 11,591. Holiday is purported to be made up of nine residential subdivisions.

3. Dunedin (pop. 17,639) is located in Pinellas County (pop. 522,329) about 12 miles south of Holiday. It is part of the St. Petersburg Urbanized Area and is adjacent to Clearwater, Florida (pop. 52,074). Dunedin is served by one AM station, WDCL (daytime-only).

[1] The Board additionally holds construction permits for noncommercial educational TV stations at Council Bluffs, Red Oak and Mason City and is the licensee of noncommercial educational TV stations at Des Moines, Iowa City, Waterloo and Sioux City.

[1] We make reference to a subsequently filed mutually exclusive petition, not considered herein for reasons stated infra, to assign Channel 292A to Port Richey, Florida (RM–2606) filed October 16, 1975.

[2] Population data is taken from the 1970 U.S. Census.

4. The status of Holiday as a community has been disputed. Petitioner states that the New Port Richey Chamber of Commerce in its "Statistic Review" of the West Pasco County Area recognizes Holiday as a distinct and separate community and estimates its population growth to be 5,791 in 1968 to 11,591 in 1973. It also states that separate mail service for Holiday commenced last year from a new post office facility located in and designated as Holiday. Petitioner determines that the community boundaries are delineated by mail service routes and by the road signs placed along Route 19 by the Florida Highway Department. Further, petitioner notes the recent addition of a Holiday Chamber of Commerce, a Holiday Mall shopping center and the many businesses that are identified by a Holiday address.

5. In opposing comments, WGUL argues that the area's residents do not function as residents of a community. It states that Holiday has no local government, no high school, no separate post office, no central shopping area, no Chamber of Commerce and no police or fire departments.[3] Instead it alleges that the nine residential housing developments each have their own separate identity. According to WGUL many of the businesses identifying with Holiday and mentioned by peitioner are no longer in operation. It also notes that Holiday, like Dunedin, a community which would be precluded by the proposed assignment, is located in the Tampa-St. Petersburg SMSA and receives the same service as Dunedin from that area.

6. St. Jean asserts that his counterproposal offers a more efficient utilization of the available channels. He states that from the reference point at Dunedin, less preclusion on Channel 221A and adjacent channels would result and a greater population area would be served within the 3.16 mV/m contour of the station. In addition St. Jean shows that Channel 292A can be used only in a small area, which includes Holiday, and no new preclusion areas on adjacent channels would be created.

7. First, the status of Holiday as a community for channel assignment purposes should be resolved. The residents of Holiday, although living in separate residential subdivisions, also appear to relate to a larger integral unit. The designations given to the new post office, shopping center and chamber of commerce demonstrate this common reference. As stated in the Notice, boundaries need not be specifically known, however petitioner has nevertheless indicated borders recognizable by highway signs and postal routes. The commercial enterprises serving the area, for the most part, identify themselves as Holiday businesses. Finally, petitioner's attached appendices reveal that Holiday has the requisite indicia of a typical community, two banks, a post office, a shopping center, a chamber of commerce, and several churches all identifying themselves with the name of Holiday. Thus, we are convinced that Holiday has the ascertainable boundaries, identifiable population and community of interest, necessary to be considered a community under Sections 73.202(a) and 73.203(a) of the Commission's Rules. We note that for present purposes, Holiday's exact size and the extent of its needs for a channel need not be determined because we have determined for reasons stated infra that it will not be considered with a pending mutually exclusive petition to assign Channel 292A to Port Richey, Florida.

8. As noted before, a petition to assign Channel 292A to Port Richey, Florida, was filed subsequent to the cut-off period for comments in the present proceeding. Further, the petitioner, Frank D. Ward, for Port Richey did not seek consolidation of his petition herein. A "motion for consolidation" was filed, however, by WGUL, in which it was argued that the Commission should consider the proposals involving the West Pasco County area together. Petitioner Hansen filed an "Opposition to Motion to Consolidate" noting that the petition was filed nearly two months after the cut-off date and that Ward, the Port Richey proponent, does not seek consolidation. Ward responded to the Motion to Consolidate with a "Motion to Strike" in which he opposes consolidation and argues that Section 1.420 of the Commission's Rules is a bar to such combined consideration.

9. At the outset, we believe that consolidation of the petition to assign Channel 292A to Port Richey herein should be denied. The petition was filed too late to be commented upon in connection with the issues in this proceeding. Our cut-off procedures which were expressly spelled-out in the Appendix attached to the *Notice* prohibit consolidation of late filed comments. Although the proposals involved are mutually exclusive, if Channel 292A is assigned to Holiday, the Port Richey petition could be treated as a proposal to reassign the channel to Port Richey and the proper comparison could then be in the context of a separate proceeding. Port Richey's interests will not be prejudiced thereby and the present proposals will not be unnecessarily delayed.[4]

10. Before we consider the proposals before us in this proceeding, we must also rule on the proper disposition of St. Jean's late-filed counterproposal. We note that in St. Jean's certification of service the comments were mailed to petitioner on August 19, 1975, well before the cut-off date of August 25, 1975. The Commission received the comments only two days late. However, we believe that sufficient time was given for all parties to the proceeding to file reply comments and no party has complained of the late filing. In addition we believe that the public interest would be better served by considering a proposal which offers a first local FM service to two communities. We will therefore accept the counterproposal.

11. After consideration of the proposals before us, we have decided that the public interest would be best served by providing both Holiday and Dunedin with its first FM assignment. The residents of Dunedin, a sizeable community, would receive a first local nighttime service. Although a multitude of stations from the Clearwater-St. Petersburg-Tampa area are presently received, we believe that Dunedin is entitled to local service. We note that, contrary to WGUL's assertion, Holiday is not located in the Tampa-St. Petersburg SMSA. From a technical standpoint, the assignments represent efficient utilization of available frequencies since only a small area remains for the use of each channel, no new adjacent channel preclusion will be created on Channel 292A and less preclusion will occur on Channel 221A. Furthermore, the assignments allow us to allocate two channels for use at two communities formerly without fulltime local service, and, in the case of Holiday, without any local service. We note that Dunedin is situated adjacent to Clearwater and it is our policy to look askance at proposals which purport to serve a smaller community but in reality seek to program for a larger nearby community.[5] However, we have no reason to believe that the Dunedin proponent seeks to serve Clearwater (pop. 52,074) in light of the manner in which Dunedin's present AM station operates and the unequal competition that would exist between the Class A channel to be assigned herein and Clearwater's two Class C assignments. Therefore, we believe the public interest would be served by assigning Channel 292A to Holiday and Channel 221A to Dunedin.

12. Accordingly, *It is ordered*, That effective June 24, 1976, the FM Table of Assignments (Section 73.202(b) of the Commission's Rules and Regulations) Is amended to read as follows for the communities listed:

§ 73.202 [Amended]

City	*Channel No.*
Holiday, Fla	292A
Dunedin, Fla	221A

13. Authority for the actions taken herein is found in Sections 4(i), 303 (g) and (r) and 307(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and in Section 0.281 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations.

14. *It is further ordered*, That the Motion to Consolidate filed by Richey Airwaves, Inc. Is denied.

[3] We note that a conflict exists in the pleadings. However petitioner provided us with photographs in its Appendix which clearly depict the existence of a Holiday post office, shopping center and Chamber of Commerce.

[4] See *Anamosa, Iowa*, 46 F.C.C. 2d 520 (1974).

[5] See *Berwick Broadcasting Co.*, 12 F.C.C. 2d 8 (1968); *Policy to Govern Requests for Additional FM Assignments*, 8 F.C.C. 2d 79 (1967); *Portage and Kalamazoo, Mich.*, 55 F.C.C. 2d 576 (1975).

15. *It is further ordered,* That this proceeding is terminated.

(Secs. 4, 303, 307, 48 Stat., as amended, 1066, 1082, 1083; 47 U.S.C. 154, 303, 307.)

Adopted: May 11, 1976.

Released: May 17, 1976.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,

WALLACE E. JOHNSON,

Chief, Broadcast Bureau.

[FR Doc.76-14723 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 20508; FCC 76-313]

PART 76—CABLE TELEVISION SERVICE

Cable Television Channel Capacity and Access Channel Requirements

By the Commission: Commissioner Hooks concurring in part and dissenting in part and issuing a statement; Commissioners Washburn and Robinson concurring and issuing statements.

1. Effective March 31, 1972, the Commission adopted the Cable Television Report and Order, FCC 72-108, 36 FCC 2d 143 (1972), which, inter alia, included various channel capacity and access channel requirements for systems located in the major television markets.[1] In general, systems commencing service after March 31, 1972 (hereinafter referred to as new systems) were expected to fully comply with these requirements on commencing service, while systems already in operation as of that date (old systems) were given five years, that is until March 31, 1977, to reconstruct their plant and distribution networks, purchase new equipment, provide minimum studio facilities for the public access channel, and come into full compliance with these requirements.

2. In Public Notices respectively dated May 15 and 17, 1974, the Commission announced the creation of Re-Regulation and 1977 Task Forces. In an effort to continually review its regulatory program, the Commission charged these Task Forces with conducting an examination of all of its rules and regulations respecting cable television. The common goal of the two Task Forces was to study the problems posed by the cable television rules and regulations for the Commission, local franchising authorities and the cable television industry, and to make appropriate recommendations with respect to how these rules might be refined to more fully serve the public interest. The 1977 Task Force was specifically established to study the problems posed by the March 31, 1977 deadline for achieving compliance with the cable television rules.

3. Responding to the recommendations of the 1977 Task Force the Commission adopted the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in Docket 20363, FCC 75-211, 51 FCC 2d 519 (1975), which requested comment upon the necessity of postponing or cancelling the March 31, 1977 reconstruction deadline in view of economic considerations. In that Notice the Commission confined its inquiry to the amount of capital required to comply with its reconstruction requirements, the availability of such capital in the marketplace and the overall ability of the industry to achieve compliance by March, 1977. The Commission indicated that an additional Notice would be issued inquiring into alternative methods by which it might reaffirm its commitment to access cablecasting for old systems while recognizing the economic realities posed by system reconstruction. It also stated that in the additional rulemaking Notice it would address certain other matters respecting its channel capacity and access channel requirements for both new and old systems.

4. On June 3, 1975, the Commission adopted the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in Docket 20508, FCC 75-644, 53 FCC 2d 782 (1975), which constituted that additional Notice. On July 9, 1975, the Commission adopted its Report and Order in Docket 20363, FCC 75-821, 54 FCC 2d 207 (1975), which cancelled the March 31, 1977 reconstruction date and suspended any requirement that older systems reconstruct to comply with the channel capacity and access channel requirements pending the outcome of its June 3, 1975 Notice.

5. In its June 3, 1975 Notice, the Commission requested comment on a variety of matters which related to its channel capacity and access channel requirements. In addition to soliciting views on various alternatives to the March 31, 1977 uniform reconstruction deadline, the Commission determined to reexamine the criterion (location within the 35-mile zone of a major television market) presently utilized to trigger its channel capacity and access requirements for both new and old systems. Also included in that Notice was a reexamination of the "two-way," "one-for-one" and "converter" requirements for both new and old systems.[2]

6. In an effort to obtain the views of as many interested parties as possible, the Commission gave broad notice of the matters contained in Docket 20508 and individually solicited the opinions of over 100 public interest, access, educational and citizens groups. The Commission has received a significant number of responses from various parties, including cable television interests; broadcast interests; public interest and access organizations; individual members of the public; state and municipal cable regulators; educational authorities; and electronic equipment suppliers, submitting diverse observations, opinions and proposals. Comments of all parties were carefully studied and considered. While some parties' comments touched upon matters of more direct relevance to the Commission's Notice in Docket 20363, they were largely responsive to this more general Notice, and many will be noted accordingly.

7. Based on the comments filed, our experience with the existing channel capacity and access rules since 1972, and a general re-evaluation of these rules in connection with this proceeding, we have determined that several major modifications in our requirements are necessary. In making these changes we have taken into account a number of important and frequently countervailing considerations.

8. First, we continue to believe that the public interest can be significantly advanced by the opening of cable channels for use by the public and other specified users who would otherwise not likely have access to television audiences. A commitment was made to the provision of these channels in the 1972 rules which should not be abandoned. There is, we believe, a definite societal good in keeping open these channels of communication. While the overall impact that use of these channels can have may have been exaggerated in the past, nevertheless we believe they can, if properly used, result in the opening of new outlets for local expression, aid in the promotion of diversity in television programming, act in some measure to restore a sense of community to cable subscribers and a sense of openness and participation to the video medium, aid in the functioning of democratic institutions, and improve the informational and educational communications resources of cable television communities.

9. On the other hand, these public benefits must be carefully weighed against the costs the requirements impose. Not only are there costs involved that are directly passed on to subscribers and reflected in the profit and loss state-

---

[1] These requirements have been contained in § 76.251 of the rules, the pertinent provisions of which may be summarized as follows:

Channel Capacity Requirements

1. 20 channel capacity available for immediate or potential use (76.251(a)(1));

2. For each broadcast channel used, an equivalent amount of bandwidth available for non-broadcast purposes (76.251(a)(2));

3. Technical capacity for non-voice return communication (76.251(a)(3));

Access Channel Requirements

4. A single channel each for public, educational, local goverenment and leased channel use (76.251(a)(4)-(a)(7));

5. Equipment and facilities necessary for the production of programming on the public access channel (76.251(a)(4));

6. The provision of additional access channels based upon the utilization of those in existence (76.251(a)(8));

7. The provision of public, educational and governmental access services under certain circumstances at no charge (76.251(a)(10)(i)-(ii)).

[2] The "one-for-one" and "two-way" requirements are contained in Sections 76.251(a)(2) and (a)(3) respectively. The installation of a converter is necessary for certain systems to actually provide the four access channels required pursuant to Sections 76.251(a)(4)-(a)(7) of the Rules. This requirement is discussed in greater detail in paragraph 54 et seq.

ments of cable operators, there are opportunity costs involved as well. Monies expended to comply with these requirements could have been expended in the construction of new cable systems where none now exist or in the development and provision of different services which might be given a higher value by the public. And, in addition to the direct capital and other expenditures which the requirements entail, there are the other costs in lost flexibility and initiative which are likely to follow with any attempt to impose detailed governmental regulations on private business concerns. Thus, abstract notions of public good must be carefully tested as to their cost and practical, realistic impact.

10. Bearing in mind these conflicting concerns, we have determined to make a number of significant changes in the rules. These changes may be briefly summarized as follows:

Delete from the rules entirely the requirement that major market cable systems have the capacity to provide one nonbroadcast channel for each channel used to distribute broadcast programming.

Cease applying the channel capacity and access rules to those systems which, based on a headend or integrated system count, have fewer than 3,500 subscribers.

Apply all of the channel capacity and access rules to all systems or conglomerates of systems with 3,500 or more subscribers, regardless of whether they are located inside or outside of one of the major television markets.

Apply the access channel rules on a headend or conglomerate system rather than a community basis so that, in those situations where an access channel or channels are required, only one channel of each type will be required per integrated system even if that system serves more than one community.

Modify our requirements that old systems reconstruct to provide four dedicated access channels, and new systems provide such channels from the commencement of operations.

Require the provision of four access channels only on those systems that have sufficient activated capacity to provide such channels and only require such channels to be activated as an actual demand for their use develops. For those systems with insufficient activated capability to provide four channels, require the provision of one composite access channel, except in the case of existing systems whose activated channel capacity is completely full, require that access be provided on exclusivity and nonduplication time.

Require that systems expand the number of channels available for access programming up to the limit of each system's activated channel capability based upon demonstrated use. In no case require the installation of a converter to meet access needs.

Require those systems with greater than 3,500 subscribers to reconstruct and comply with our channel capacity requirements by 1986.

Require that two-way capacity be installed on all systems with 3,500 or more subscribers, but not require that any system reconstruct solely to provide this capacity.

11. Each of these matters is discussed in detail below along with a summary of the comments received in response to the Notice in this proceeding. However, in view of our basic determination to retain channel capacity and access rules of some type, it is appropriate, before turning to the specific changes adopted, to address a number of arguments raised in the comments that challenge either the constitutionality of the channel capacity and access requirements, or the authority of the Commission to impose them.

12. By far the most extensive comments filed raising these points were those of the Midwest Video Corporation. These arguments in general parallel those raised by Midwest in its appeal of the Commission's adoption of Section 76.253 (the equipment availability requirement) in the Report and Order in Docket 19988, FCC 74-1279, 49 FCC 2d 1090 (1974). Their argument has basically five parts: (a) that the access rules are equivalent to common carrier regulation and that the Commission has no authority to convert cable systems into common carriers; (b) that the requirement to provide channels free for the use of others is an unconstitutional taking of private property for public use without just compensation in violation of the Fifth Amendment to the Constitution; (c) that even if not an unconstitutional taking the regulations violate the Fifth Amendment as restrictions on a lawful business activity, for they constitute an unnecessary interference with personal or property rights and are not reasonably structured to attain a valid legislative purpose; (d) that the due process clause prohibits governmental requirements necessitating a change in the basic nature of a business enterprise; and (e) that the access and channel capacity requirements violate the First Amendment by interfering with the rights of cable operators to communicate.

13. These arguments do not persuade us that the adoption of reasonable channel capacity and access channel rules are either unconstitutional or beyond our jurisdiction. The Communications Act allows the Commission significant discretion in dealing with the developments, demands, and public interest benefits inherent in the dynamic field of communications. The Commission's authority to adopt reasonable cable regulations has been upheld on a number of occasions in several different contexts.[5]

[5] *United States* v. *Southwestern Cable Co.*, 392 U.S. at 157 (1968); *Black Hills Video Corp.* v. *FCC*, 399 F.2d 65 (8th Cir. 1968); *United States* v. *Midwest Video Corp.*, 406 U.S. 649 (1972); *General Telephone Co. of California* v. *FCC*, 413 F.2d 390, 398 (D.C. Cir. 1969); *General Telephone of the Southwest* v. *U.S.*, 449 F.2d 846, 863-64 (5th Cir. 1971); *A.C.L.U.* v. *FCC*, 523 F.2d 1344, 1351 (9th Cir. 1971).

14. In adopting rules to develop the potential of cable television with its abundant channel capacity, as a purveyor of diverse programming, the Commission was affirmed in *United States* v. *Midwest Video Corp.*, 406 U.S. 649 (1972). The Supreme Court affirmed the Commission's decision to go beyond mere protective measures and also regulate cable with a view to "promote the objectives for which the Commission had been assigned jurisdiction over broadcasting." 406 U.S. 649, 665. Among those objectives recognized by the Court are increasing the number of outlets for local self-expression and augmenting the diversity of programs and types of services available to the public.

15. The Supreme Court upheld the agency's determination that the program-origination rule would serve those objectives. It is equally plain that channel capacity and access requirements will promote those objectives. In fact, the concept of access was included within the Commission's policy determination which was before the Supreme Court in the *Midwest Video* case. The Commission had stated in its First Report and Order, adopting the origination rule, that one of the reasons for origination requirements was "to insure that cablecasting equipment will be available for use by others * * *" 20 FCC 2d at 214, quoted in 406 U.S. at 653n.5; see also 20 FCC 2d at 209. The Supreme Court in affirming the Commission's authority was clearly aware that the cablecasting contemplated by the Commission included not only programs produced by the cable system but also programs produced by others. We believe the rules under consideration in this proceeding will further the achievement of long-standing communications regulatory objectives by increasing outlets for local self-expression and augmenting the public's choice of programs and that as such they are within the scope of the Commission's regulatory authority over cable television systems that has been upheld by the Supreme Court.

16. Arguments that the rules deny due process, are unduly burdensome, confiscatory, and force cable operators to change the nature of their business are also arguments which were before the Court in *U.S.* v. *Midwest Video* and, although in that proceeding the charge was that the Commission had forced cable operators into the broadcasting business against their will, we believe the rationale of the Supreme Court's holding in that proceeding is equally applicable here.

17. With respect to the argument that the access requirements are in effect common carrier obligations which are beyond our authority to impose, we have said that in our view cable systems "are neither broadcasters nor common carriers within the meaning of the Communications Act" but rather that "cable is a hybrid that requires identification and regulation as a separate force in communications." Cable Television Report and Order, supra at paragraph 191. So

long as the rules adopted are reasonably related to achieving objectives for which the Commission has been assigned jurisdiction we do not think they can be held beyond our authority merely by denominating them as somehow "common carrier" in nature. The proper question, we believe, is not whether they fall in one category or another of regulation—whether they are more akin to obligations imposed on common carriers or obligations imposed on broadcasters to operate in the public interest—but whether the rules adopted promote statutory objectives. We think they do.

18. Finally, we cannot agree that rules of the type under consideration, which have as their foundation an increased opportunity for communications and a furtherance of First Amendment objectives can be found wanting, as an intrusion on the First Amendment rights of cable operators. When broadcasting, or related activity by cable television systems is involved, First Amendment values are furthered by "an uninhibited marketplace of ideas" in lieu of "monopolization of that market" by the government or a private broadcaster or cable owner. *Red Lion Broadcasting Co.* v. *FCC*, 395 U.S. 367, 390 (1969).[4]

19. In sum, we do not agree that there are either jurisdictional or constitutional arguments that require us to terminate this proceeding by eliminating the channel capacity and access rules. Having reached that conclusion, we turn to a discussion of the particular rules changes under consideration in this proceeding.

CRITERION FOR THE IMPOSITION OF THE CHANNEL CAPACITY AND ACCESS CHANNEL REQUIREMENTS

INTRODUCTION

20. In adopting the *Cable Television Report and Order, supra,* we tied our channel capacity and access channel requirements to those systems which were located in the major markets. By allowing these systems greater distant signal carriage we hoped to stimulate the expansion of cable into the major markets; and by imposing channel capacity and access channel obligations on such systems we sought to insure that the growth was accompanied by the provision of non-broadcast services to these presumably more populous communities. In addition, systems serving these communities were selected to provide access services as a result of the belief that

> * * * cities in the top 100 markets have, as a general rule, more diverse minority groups (ethnic, racial, economic, or age) who are most greatly in the need of both an opportunity to express their views and a more efficient method by which they may be apprised of governmental actions and educational opportunities. 36 FCC 2d at 197.

[4] We note that although the cable television access rules were not before the Supreme Court in *Columbia Broadcasting System* v. *Democratic National Committee*, 412 U.S. 94 (1973), the Court there discussed the possibility of a "limited right of access that is both practicable and desirable" and then referred specifically to the Commission's cable television public access channel rules.

21. In commencing this proceeding we noted our continued belief that potential access need is most apparent in larger communities. We also indicated that the major market rule is often inappropriate to meet that need. Within 35 miles of a major market television station there exists not just the central core city but many smaller communities. Many of these communities because of their size cannot realistically be expected to have in the near future a demand for the complete range of access services required by our rules. And because of their limited subscriber and revenue potential, compliance with our requirements has posed, we felt, an undue burden for many of these systems.

22. In addition, many very large systems serving large regional population centers have never been required to comply with our access or channel capacity requirements merely because they are located outside of the 35 mile zone of a major television market, yet there may be an equal need for access services in many of these communities. A system operating outside of a television market may provide its community with the only potential for access to a medium of visual communication. As we noted in our June 3rd *Notice:*

> * * * the lack of adequate off-the-air television service in many of these larger communities has resulted in the operating systems obtaining large penetration rates and, generally, financial viability. This viability would seemingly facilitate compliance with our requirements. By providing requisite access services, it is our belief that these systems would more fully serve the communities within which they operate. 53 FCC 2d 782, 792.

23. In our June 3 Notice, we sought comment on various alternatives to the present major market trigger. In lieu of this criterion, comment was sought on the possibility requiring compliance with these requirements based upon system or community size, system profitability, penetration rates or other related indices. The major thrust of these proposals was to exempt from the requirements some smaller systems to which they now apply and to apply the requirements to some larger systems which are not now subject to the requirements. Comment was also requested on the advisability of determining subscriber count based upon the present definition of a cable television system, which focuses on individual political subdivisions, or alternatively employing for this purpose a conglomerate headend approach.

COMMENTS

24. Parties responding to this part of the Commission's inquiry provided diverse proposals. Midwest Video, as previously noted, argues that the imposition of any channel capacity and access channel requirements regardless of whatever trigger is chosen exceeds the Commission's jurisdiction, violates their constitutional rights and is contrary to public policy. Others assert that marketplace demand is sufficient to foster the provisions of expanded services and that the perceived need to adopt regulations over these matters is evidence that the services required will not be profitable. Thirty cable system operators filing joint comments favored the adoption of an approach tied to number of subscribers as most reflective of a system's ability to finance access channel and channel capacity obligations. These parties suggest the adoption of a 25,000 subscriber figure as the level upon which to base the Commission's requirements. Other cable television interests including the National Cable Television Association urge either the complete elimination of channel capacity and access channel requirements or alternatively an exemption for smaller systems within major television markets. Central California Communications Corporation, for example, suggests the maintenance of the present criteria with an exemption for those systems which have fewer than 3500 subscribers. Michigan CATV Company and Coldwater Cable Television, Inc. urge the Commission to raise any exemption to 5000 and exempt systems with either less than that number of subscribers or systems which operate in communities with fewer than 15,000 people.

25. Other parties including various members of the Cable Television Information Center of the Urban Institute, Urban Planning Aid Inc., the Joint Council on Educational Telecommunications and private citizens, Linda Therkelson and Elaine O'Neil, suggest a multitiered approach based on subscriber count. Under these proposals, a system operator's access and channel capacity obligations would increase in incremental amounts in direct proportion to the number of subscribers which the system has. Accordingly, systems with, for example, fewer than 1,000 subscribers might have to provide a channel for access use without providing the facilities for the production of programming. Systems with between 1,000 and 3,500 subscribers might provide one channel with limited production facilities. Once a system obtains 3500 subscribers under several of these proposals it would be subject to the full panoply of channel capacity and access channel obligations imposed by our rules.

26. In support of limited access requirements for smaller systems, the Joint Council of Educational Telecommunications argues that many local school systems, colleges and universities have their own production facilities and access could, therefore, be provided "at a cost * * * no greater than that of an audio visual modulator." In a similar vein, Dr. Robert Fina points to the fact that Kutztown State College originates programming into the Borough of Kutztown through a cable system "which has far less than 3500 subscribers" and provides the Borough "with its only means of local television news coverage."

27. Various other parties including Storer Broadcasting, the City of Eugene, Oregon, Leon County Public Library, and the Committee on Regulation and Legislation of Video and Cable of the Communications Section of the American Association of Libraries, while favoring the adoption of an approach tied to sub-

scriber count or percent of cable system penetration do not suggest what the appropriate subscriber count or percent of penetration might be.

28. Opposing an approach tied to subscriber count, Metromedia argues that a cable system's channel capacity is already determined by the time that the first subscriber is signed up and, therefore, any trigger based upon subscriber count leaves the operator unable to determine his responsibilities. Other broadcast interests in urging the retention of the major market criteria for the imposition of the access rules assert that these requirements are the *quid pro quo* for the additional signal carriage permitted systems in the major markets.

29. An opposite approach is suggested by various members of the San Diego School system and the National Education Association, who argue that any requirement that a cable system provide access services should be based upon the absence of other electronic communications services within the community. In support of this position, it is asserted that many smaller communities which do not have local radio or television coverage actually have a greater need for access channels, and this need should be reflected in the Commission's requirements. Other suggestions would tie the provision of access services to an undertaking by the franchising authority, local educational authority or individual member of the public that such body will be responsible for programming one or more access channels.

30. Lastly, a majority of the parties directly referencing it, urged the adoption of a conglomerate headend approach to determining subscriber count. This approach, it is asserted, more totally reflects a system size and corresponds in generally with measurement standards followed by the industry.

RESOLUTION

31. The question of what criterion should be used in applying the access and channel capacity rules involves three component parts: a) should the rules apply on a headend or conglomerate rather than community-by-community basis; b) should certain types of systems in the major television markets that are now subject to these rules be relieved of their obligations; and c) should some systems outside of the major markets that are not now subject to the rules become subject to them.

32. We turn first to the question of whether we should discontinue our prior practice of requiring that separate access channels be provided to each community served—whether it is not sufficient for one set of channels and facilities to serve more than one community if the system is so constructed that one integrated cable plant serves more than one community. The basic problem is that community boundaries do not correspond to the technical and economic realities of cable television system construction. Technical and economic factors frequently dictate that one technically integrated cable television plant serve many communities. We have, in the process of considering numerous waiver requests involving the question of whether one set of channels could serve more than one community, come to recognize that the provision of access channels is more appropriately related to the realities of system construction than it is to community boundaries. We have, accordingly, determined to reflect this in the rules by applying the rules to integrated cable entities regardless of the number of community boundaries which they may cross. In our pending proceeding looking to fundamental changes in the definition of a cable system (See Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in Docket 20561, FCC 75-896, 54 FCC 2d 824 (1975), we indicated our intent to amend our rules to more nearly reflect the technical and economic demands of cable television system operation. While the action taken here is consistent with our proposals in that proceeding, it should be made clear that it is not our intent here to prejudge in any way the broader issues involved in that proceeding.

33. The second major question involving the applicability of these rules concerns whether it is appropriate that they apply to all systems of whatever size that are located in the major television markets. We recognized in the Notice in this proceeding that there are systems in the major markets far too small to bear the burdens of providing access services. (See 53 FCC 2d 791-792). In addition, the audiences viewing access programming on such systems may reasonably be expected to be so small that a federally imposed requirement would appear inappropriate. We accordingly have determined to exempt from our channel capacity and access channel obligations those systems that cannot in general reasonably be expected to have a need for, or be able to support, these services.

34. We have examined community size, penetration rates, and several measures of system profitability, as well as various combinations of these in attempting to determine what criterion should bring the rules into play. Based upon this examination we have determined to alter our channel capacity and access channel requirements to apply only to those systems which have 3500 or more subscribers.[5]

35. We have reached this conclusion for several reasons. While the development of a formula which in all cases accurately projects community need as well as system financial viability would be ideal, we have been unable to construct such a formula. The profitability of a cable television system depends on numerous, often interrelated, considerations—the adequacy of off-the-air television reception, the nature and amount of non-broadcast services provided, subscribers per mile of distribution plant, business acumen of the system operator, to name but a few. Similarly, while the need of a community for access services does, we believe, increase with community size, there are other factors including the availability of alternate media within the community which also effect such need. Some generalizations are however possible. A cable system's administrative, service and general expense charges are comparatively fixed cost items. The per subscriber costs imposed by these expense items falls more heavily on smaller systems which as a result are often less profitable. As subscriber count increases some economies of scale advantages are realized. These cost advantages become increasingly apparent as the system approaches the 3500 subscriber level. At that level a system also usually employs several fulltime experienced staff members, has gross revenues of at least a quarter of a million dollars (3500×$6.50/per months×12 months=$295,750) and can, we believe, absorb the comparatively small additional expense involved in meeting our requirements. In addition, for a system to obtain 3500 or more subscribers it must, in general, serve a community of 25,000 or more population.[6] A community of this size or larger may reasonably be presumed to have a need for expanded channel capacity and access services. This is particularly true if the community does not have sufficient alternate sources of local electronic media to which its citizens can gain direct access. By setting our level at 3500 subscribers we have acted to exempt smaller often less profitable systems from complying with our requirements and insured that larger communities will have the benefits associated with expanded channel capability and the provision of access services. At the same time, we have established a reasonable margin of insurance that the costs imposed on larger systems can be equitably distributed over a sufficient number of subscribers so as not to impose an undue burden on either the system operator or the public.

36. Several parties in opposing an option tied to subscriber count have argued that such an approach may lead to uncertainty, for the channel capacity of a system must be determined prior to its construction whereas the number of subscribers which a system will obtain cannot be determined until it has been in operation for a reasonable period of time. We do not believe that this is an insurmountable problem. Prior to bidding on a

[5] The decision as to criteria for applying these rules is, of course, intimately related to the substantive nature of the requirements themselves. Although for the sake of convenience the applicability criteria are discussed here separately, the criteria were selected with due regard for the impact of the changed obligations which were briefly reviewed above and which are discussed in greater detail in subsequent sections of this document.

[6] Generalizations respecting the relationship between community size and system size are, of course, imprecise. For the most part, however, if a system operates in a community of 25,000 and passes all homes within that community it may reasonably be expected to approach the 3500 subscriber level mark. The equation is as follows: 25,000÷2.9 (U.S. Census Bureau est. of persons per home for 1975)×40 percent (a reasonable saturation level)=3,448 subscribers.

franchise or constructing a system most cable operators conduct marketing surveys either for their own revenue projections or in order to obtain outside financing. These surveys typically include projections of population growth of the franchise area, the number of homes passed each year, the availability of off-the-air television coverage as affecting penetration rates, etc. A key element in these surveys is the number of subscribers which the system can expect to attain in various years of operation. Should these projections indicate that a system might ultimately in fact reach the 3500 subscriber mark, common sense will dictate that in constructing the system our requirements must be observed. Should there be isolated situations where an operator in good faith for whatever reason misjudges the size of his system we shall of course entertain waivers of our requirements.

37. In choosing 3500 subscribers as the level upon which to base our requirements we have rejected the arguments of those who believe that a multitiered approach to the provision of access services is appropriate. While we continue to urge the provision of access services by all systems we do not think that, based on the record before us, additional federally imposed requirements are at this time appropriate. The limited public interest benefits which might be derived from a multitiered approach are, we believe, more than balanced by a need to simplify our requirements and to avoid imposing additional economic burdens on system operators, cable subscribers, and the public.

38. Having determined that it is appropriate to exempt from the obligations of these rules those systems within the major television markets that have fewer than 3500 subscribers, we next turn to the question of whether these requirements ought not also be applied to those 3500 or more subscriber systems that are not located in the major television markets. We believe they should and are no longer persuaded that the applicability of these rules should depend on the market location of the system involved. While there are certain differences between the number of signals that are available in the major television markets and in other areas and while there may be some differences between the types of communities, we do not believe these are sufficient to rationally distinguish where the rules should apply. In fact, some of the differences, as for example, the paucity of television programming that may be available in certain areas outside of the major markets, suggest that there may be a greater need for access services in these areas. It is important to remember that there are systems of very considerable size in these markets. As we noted in footnote 16 of the Notice in this proceeding:

> Of the top 25 operating cable television systems in the United States listed in *Cable Sourcebook 1974* and ranked according to number of subscribers, 13 systems serving 277,000 subscribers are either located outside of all television markets (6) or within a smaller television market (7).

In sum, we believe it appropriate to trigger the applicability of these rules by system size rather than by system location.

39. By changing the criterion for applying the rule, we have shifted the group subject to the rules so that only 3500 subscriber systems must comply. Based on the data presently available to us it appears that, because the rules will now apply to all larger systems without regard to location, more than 50 percent of all cable subscribers will now be on systems providing the full range of the required access services. Between 700 and 800 systems or combinations of systems will be subject to our new rules. While our requirements will impose new burdens on some systems it is important to remember that all systems with more than 3500 subscribers are already subject to the obligations imposed by section 76.253 of the Rules. That rule provides that as of January 1, 1976, cable television systems with 3500 or more subscribers must make available to the public, equipment for local production and presentation of cablecast programs and permit local non-operator - production and presentation of such programs. Many of the reasons which led us to arrive at the 3500 subscriber figure in that context are applicable to our decision herein.[7] Moreover, by adopting the same trigger for our access channel requirements and our facilities requirement we have acted to simplify our rules. By complying with our access rules in the past, a system in effect also complied with our facilities requirements, for the equipment which is required to comply with § 76.253 is included in the equipment we mandate for the public access channel.[8]

[7] By requiring that system operators provide equipment for the local production and presentation of cablecast programs and permitting local non-operator production and presentation of such programs, § 76.253 has been in essence an access requirement. This section was previously set out from the rest of the Commission's access rules due to its history. Unlike the other access requirements, § 76.253 has its origin in the Commission's former mandatory origination rule which required, in addition to facilities for public production of programming, that an operator with 3500 or more subscribers engage in programming himself. (See former §§ 74.1111 and 76.201 of the Rules.) Although upheld in *United States* v. *Midwest Video, supra*, the mandatory origination requirement was subsequently eliminated from the rules based upon the Commission's belief that the availability of cablecasting equipment to the public is a more appropriate means to foster local programming than imposing mandatory programming requirements on system operators whose primary responsibility is to build and maintain their systems and who may not be motivated to produce quality programming of local interest.

[8] In specifying the type of equipment which a cable system with 3500 or more subscribers must have we stated in paragraph 39 of the *Report and Order in Docket No. 19988*, FCC 74-1279, 49 FCC 2d 1090 (1974):

> * * * in order to comply with the rule, the operator must have at least the capacity to afford live programming with one or more black and white cameras, the capacity to video tape record remote programs, edit, and play them back, and the capacity to modulate the resulting video and audio production on a cable channel.

In footnote 12 of that document we stated:

> As an example, a one-half inch portable video tape recorder with a camera and appropriate adaptors to connect to an editing/playback video tape deck and to a modulator would constitute a very basic requirement.

We have determined, therefore, to delete both §§ 76.251 and 76.253 from our rules and merge the requirements contained in those sections in the rules we are adopting today. (See appendix)

The One-for-One Rule

INTRODUCTION

40. We turn next to a consideration of those specific provisions of the rules on which we have sought and received comments. Although in our Notice we indicated that we were not considering changing the 20-channel capacity rule, some comments have suggested we do so. The difference in cost between construcing a system with the capacity for 12 channels and building a system with the capacity for 20 channels is small. We also observed that many systems, although not required to do so by our rules, are installing equipment capable of providing 20 or more channels. (See footnote 11, 53 FCC 2d at 790) Nothing in the comments has caused us to alter our initial opinion. We continue to believe that retaining this rule will aid in insuring the availability of capacity on cable television systems for future broadband communications uses.

41. We did, however, indicate our intent to review the need for § 76.251(a)(2) of the rules. This section requires that a system subject to our channel capacity rules must maintain bandwidth capable of transmitting one non-broadcast channel for each broadcast channel used. As we observed in our June 3 Notice, this requirement has no effect upon any system which furnished its subscribers with ten or fewer broadcast signals because compliance with our 20 channel capacity rule will also satisfy the one-for-one requirement. However, for those systems which provide their subscribers with over ten broadcast signals, compliance with the one-for-one rule may pose a very significant burden by requiring the installation of a second cable or the construction of systems with extremely large amounts of non-broadcast bandwidth for which there is no reasonably forseeable future need. For these reasons we raised for consideration in this proceeding the possibility of deleting the Section 76.251(a)(2) "one-for-one" requirement.

COMMENTS

42. Comments provided with respect to this portion of our Notice have confirmed our initial judgment. The vast majority of parties who directly referenced this requirement in their comments urged its deletion. For example, the State of Minnesota Cable Communications Board states that compliance

with this requirement may unfairly require operators to rebuild their systems even if there is no use for the multiple non-broadcast services which could be provided. When applied to the three systems it owns in the San Francisco Bay area, Western Communications Inc. states that compliance with this requirement would necessitate the construction of a system with between 44 and 54 channel capacity, at an additional cost of between $2.1 and $4.6 million. Such cost, Western argues, may exceed its present $4.3 million investment in these three systems, and cannot be justified in terms of any evidence of future need. Various parties urged that we replace this requirement with a two-for one rule; that is, for every two broadcast signals carried the system must maintain one channel for non-broadcast use. Such a requirement it is argued would more reasonably insure the future availability of capacity.

RESOLUTION

43. In indicating the need for a reconsideration of this rule we stated:

[W]e believe that by framing our channel capacity requirements to mirror the number of television channels which a system provides its subscribers we have created an artificial formula unrelated to the realistic needs of each community which may result in the imposition of unjustifiable costs to system operators and ultimately to the public (footnote omitted). 53 FCC 2d 782, 795.

The comments filed and our further consideration of this matter confirm our belief that this particular rule does not provide the most advantageous means of assuring adequate cable system channel capacity. Nor do we believe the problems inherent in relating channel capacity to broadcast signals carried can be remedied by changing the form of the rule so that only one non-broadcast channel must be provided for every two broadcast television channels carried. To the burdensome nature of this rule and the lack of any necessary relation between signals carried and the need for non-broadcast channel space we would add a practical problem with the rule which renders it counter-productive in some respects and impractical of application in others. This problem relates, once again, to the realities of system construction. Channel capacity is to some extent set once the system's distribution plant is installed. If the addition of a signal were to trigger a massive reconstruction requirement in order to comply with the one-for-one requirement this would, in the case of a signal whose carriage was voluntary, tend to discourage that signal's addition and, in the case of newly authorized stations whose carriage was mandatory, create great uncertainty at the time of initial instruction as to what capacity would be eventually required. In view of these considerations and consistent with the belief that restrictions should be maintained only when there is reasonable evidence of their need, we have determined to eliminate this requirement.

TWO-WAY REQUIREMENT

INTRODUCTION

44. Our two-way requirement contained in Section 76.251(a)(3) * poses a more difficult problem. At present, systems affected by our channel capacity and access channel trigger must construct their distribution networks so as to provide the capacity for non-voice return communications. In setting for comment the appropriate approach which we should take with respect to this rule, we indicated our tentative belief that compliance with this requirement has not been unreasonably burdensome at least for new systems. We also specifically requested data relating to the incremental costs imposed by this requirement and opinions as to whether compliance with this requirement does in fact tend to facilitate the provision of two-way services.

COMMENTS

45. Most system operators and cable television interests as well as a few other parties favored the deletion of the two-way requirement. Urging its elimination, California Community Cablevision asserts that while the rule presently provides a burden to system operators, economically viable two-way operation are at least five years away. The Community Antenna Television Association asserts that compliance with this Commission requirement can increase construction costs from 10 percent to 140 percent. The Berkeley Community access center also urges the deletion of this requirement but its replacement by a local standard. Coldwater Cable Television in urging its elimination notes, however, that several experiments are presently underway in the State of Michigan including "an ambitious two-way study at Adrian * * * involving several schools, a resource center, two-way cable TV and expert instructors."

46. The Broadband Communications Section, Communications Division of The Electronic Industries Association urges the retention of our two-way requirements, arguing that without such built-in capacity systems will tend to stifle or delay the provision of bi-directional communications. This view is echoed in comments filed by Hendrix Corp. which also favors the adoption of limited operational two-way capability for digital data transmission on an experimental basis noting:

We have no crystal ball capable of identifying which of the many proposed services or combinations thereof will succeed. We know, however, as practitioners of high-technology in a computer oriented industry, that the existence of and access to broadband bi-directional digital communications will be necessary when computer and cable technologies merge.

47. A majority of educational authorities also urged the retention of the Commission's two-way requirement. In support of retention of this requirement, the Joint Council on Educational Telecommunications asserts that the present requirement as interpreted leaves up to the system operator the choice of whether to inaugurate two-way services while its elimination would reduce the Commission's future options should two-way operations prove economically feasible. The National Association of Educational Broadcasters urges retention of the requirement, arguing that without such a requirement, systems would in the near future have to undergo lengthy and costly rebuild as "two-way instructive uses continue to develop and assume increased significance in the educational process." Also urging the retention of the Commission's rule, the City of Imperial Beach, California asserts:

Within the past several years cities such as Imperial Beach have begun joining together to provide government services and to increase governmental efficiency pursuant to intermunicipal cooperative agreements. Cities are sharing data processing and computer time. Cities are reducing capital and operating costs by cooperating in the provision of fire and police services. As this trend continues to develop it is reasonable to expect that cities will utilize the two-way capacity of cable systems in a way that will both reduce the cost of government and be of economic benefit to the system.

Finally, in favoring a partial retention of the two-way rule, various staff members of the Cable Television Information Center have provided a study of the costs entailed in compliance with this rule. This study indicates that while the incremental cost of compliance with our two-way requirement is in the order of $200–$300 per strand mile for new systems or systems which have to reconstruct to meet our 20 channel capacity requirement, the cost, $1500, to reconstruct to provide two-way capacity for a system otherwise in compliance with our requirements is substantially higher. In addition, CTIC provided cost estimates to convert our capacity requirement to the theoretical capability to provide two-way service, absent terminal charges. These estimates are in the order of $700–$2000 per strand mile.

RESOLUTION

48. In attempting to resolve the question of whether to retain, delete or in some fashion modify the two-way capac-

* It should be recalled that the rule in its present form does not require that the cable system be operational in the return mode. Rather, as we stated in our *Notice* in this proceeding, it was an attempt:

* * * to insure that new systems would be constructed so as to be capable of furnishing two-way services "without having to engage in timeconsuming and costly system rebuild," when and if a demand arises for such services. As a practical matter, this requirement necessitates the installation of certain passive equipment in the system's distribution network and the use of downstream amplifiers which possess minimum second order distortion characteristics. These amplifiers must also be contained in a "dual housing" unit capable of receiving a second amplifier for upstream use. The actual installation of this second amplifier, however, is not required to comply with our rules. 53 FCC 2d 782, 793–794.

ity requirement we are faced with several distinct, interrelated and competing considerations. Initially, as with the channel capacity requirements generally, there seems to be no necessary relationship between a system's location, within or outside of the major television markets, and the need for a two-way requirement. And it is also apparent that without a sufficient subscriber base upon which to distribute fixed costs, smaller cable systems will not be in a position to support two-way cable operations of the type our existing requirement is intended to foster. Thus, some adjustment in the applicability of these rules would appear to be in order.

49. A second consideration involves the relatively untried nature of those two-way services which may be provided by cable. The theoretical problems of how to conduct two-way cable operations seem well on the way to solution, but practical problems, both technical and economic, remain. While a number of experiments with two-way cable operations are in progress, practical commercial two-way services are not yet in general operation, and developments in this area have been far slower than was anticipated at the time the requirement was first adopted. In view of this, we cannot say with complete assurance that these services will ever be fully developed, that facilities constructed in anticipation of their development will wholly correspond to the facilities needed after all technical questions are resolved, or that some of the projected services will not turn out to be more efficiently accomplished by using existing telephone circuits or other communications systems.

50. On the other hand, the public benefits of many of the predicted two-way cable services are substantial and worth some considerable effort to facilitate. Moreover, the obstacles faced by these services may be almost insurmountable if the necessary capacity is not generally installed on cable systems as they are constructed. There is a very real entrepreneurial "chicken-and-egg" problem which has to be overcome for two-way services to develop. If systems generally do not have the capacity to provide these services, then there is little incentive to develop the services. And if the services are not developed, then there is little incentive to install the capacity. Moreover, it seems likely that many of these services will not be economically efficient until economies of scale bring subscriber terminal, headend communications processing equipment, and software costs to some reasonable level. All of this is compounded significantly by a second problem. As the comments make clear, the failure to install two-way capacity at the time of initial construction or at a major reconstruction, undertaken for other reasons, creates very substantial barriers to the later introduction of the capacity. While the costs of adding this capacity initially are modest, it costs almost seven times as much to add the capacity at a later date.

51. A careful review of these considerations with particular emphasis on the modest costs involved in constructing with two-way capacity, the substantial obstacles failure to install this capacity throws in the way of the development of two-way services, and the very substantial public benefits that such services may offer, have persuaded us that there is a need to retain our limited requirement in this area. We believe a continued two-way capacity requirement for those larger (3500 subscriber) systems commencing construction in the major markets and the expansion of this requirement to larger systems outside of the major markets will materially advance the day when two-way services are generally implemented. For those smaller systems in the major markets and for those which, under prior rules, would have been required to reconstruct to provide this capacity, we believe the requirement is unduly burdensome and we have accordingly deleted its application to these systems. Those systems with 3500 or more subscribers that formerly would have had, by March 1977, to reconstruct to provide this capacity will now only be required to provide the capacity when they are otherwise undergoing reconstruction to comply with our channel capacity rules.[10]

52. In taking this action we are mindful of the recent decision of the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia in *NARUC* v. *FCC*, Case No. 75-1075, decided February 10, 1976. At issue in that proceeding was the Commission's authority to preempt state regulation of cable system leased access channels for intra-state, two-way, point-to-point non-video communications. The Court held that the Commission, in attempting to preempt state regulation of this area, had exceeded its authority. Consistent with this decision we are amending the rules to make it clear that we no longer regard the regulation of these services at the state or local level to be preempted by our regulations. The point decided was, however, a narrow one which, we believe, ought not foreclose our continued authority to require that cable systems construct with the capacity to provide two-way services. Some of the important services which two-way capacity makes possible, such as operational monitoring of the system's functioning, are so clearly related to the distribution of broadcast programming as to bring our capacity requirement within the holding of that case.

[10] That is, a system in full compliance with the 20 channel capacity rule is under no obligation to reconstruct to provide two-way capacity. However, a system required to reconstruct to provide 20-channel capacity will also be required to add two-way capacity as part of that reconstruction. As existing 20-channel systems naturally rebuild it is also contemplated, although not required, that they may take this opportunity to add two-way capacity.

Dedicated Access Channel Requirements and Composite Access

INTRODUCTION

53. Pursuant to § 76.251 of the Commission's rules old major market systems have been required not only to reconstruct and provide capacity for 20 channels and two-way by 1977; they were also required once reconstruction was completed to dedicate four separate access channels: one free public channel, one educational and one governmental channel each free of charge to the users for five years after completion of the system's basic trunk line, and one leased channel of a type which could be received by subscribers generally. New major market systems have been required to meet these requirements from the date that operations were commenced.

54. The dedication of these four access channels requires, in the majority of cases, that a system with 20 channel capacity install a converter[11] in each subscriber's home. By installing a converter in each subscriber's home, a system with 20 channel capacity can in fact deliver 20 or more channels of programming to that home. Without installing a converter such systems can at best deliver only 12 channels of programming. Accordingly, the installation of a converter was required to meet the four dedicated access channel requirement if a system as a practical matter provided its subscribers with 9 or more broadcast signals (i.e., $9+4>12$).[12] See *Clarification of the Rules and Notice of Proposed Rule Making*, FCC 74-384, 46 FCC 2d 175, para. 20 (1974).

55. In our June 3, 1975 Notice we indicated our intent to proceed on an *ad hoc* basis to waive our requirement to install converters based upon a showing that compliance with this requirement was unreasonably burdensome.[13] In addition we proposed to maintain our commit-

[11] A converter is a device that changes nonstandard frequency channels (e.g., ones above 216 Mhz) to standard VHF channels enabling such channels to be tuned directly to the television set. The installation of a converter can also be used to diminish interference from strong over-the-air signals.

[12] Generalizations about usable channel space are in actuality imprecise in view of the reduction in usable channel space caused by a number of factors including co-channel interference from strong over-the-air signals. Because the number of usable channels is reduced by these factors many systems providing 8 or fewer channels would also have to install a converter in order to have sufficient activated capability to provide 4 channels for access use.

[13] In delineating the type of sharing we would require we stated:
We would envision entertaining requests to waive our converter requirement only in the case of smaller systems whose projected revenues and subscriber potential are such that the imposition of this requirement would appear to be demonstratably burdensome. These systems should also be prepared to demonstrate that there is no present nor reasonably forseeable future demand for the channels to be added and that should such a demand arise, converters would be installed within a reasonable period of time.

ment to access services by requiring all systems ultimately affected by our access channel criteria to make available existing portions of their bandwidth for composite access purposes regardless of the approach which we ultimately took to rebuilding or installing converters.

COMMENTS

56. Many parties specifically addressing the question of what action should be required to make these dedicated channels available, urged that the costs of adding converters or rebuilding system plant were so substantial as to far outweigh whatever public benefits there might be in maintaining the multiple dedicated channel concept. This was particularly urged to be the case in situations where it was alleged that existing activated capability already offered for access uses remained unused over long periods of time. Many system operators pointed to the expense involved in installing converters in each subscriber's home.[14] For example, Central California Communications Corporation and Cable-Com General state that the installation of converters doubles the cost of system rebuild. Storer Broadcasting Company indicates that a rate increase of $1.75 to $2.00 per month would be necessary merely to cover the costs of installing converters on its systems. Viacom International Inc. notes the following:

The average converter presently costs approximately $40. Installation and fittings bring this figure to approximately $50. If this converter is depreciated over a four year period, it will cost approximately one dollar per month to furnish each subscriber with a converter. Since this is eventually passed on to the subscriber, the subscriber who now pays for example $5.50 per month for basic cable service will pay an additional 18 percent of his present charge for access channels he may not want. These costs do not consider converter maintenance, loss of devices, and financing costs for the units * * * This increase in the subscriber rate will lead to the loss of subscribers and inevitably an even higher rate.

57. Many parties including various cities and public interest groups urged the provision of composite access services rather than the installation of a converter if such is necessary to provide the four separate access channels required by the rules. Gill Cable notes that while it is presently programming over 100 hours a week on its system's public access channel in San Jose and Campbell, California that viewership identification will be enhanced if systems are permitted to provide all access programming on one channel rather than the designation of multiple separate access channels. The City of Eugene, Oregon, in a similar vein notes that public agencies are reluctant to invest the significant amounts of money necessary to utilize separate designated access channels at this stage of cable's development. "Programming a composite channel would require less investment for each participating agency and would give viewers more reason to turn to that channel since it would be more consistently utilized * * * It is more realistic to initially require a composite access channel * * * to encourage the desired use of cable and allow for expansion with need. At the same time, it is important that stimulus for expansion be provided." Other parties argued that the Commission should never require the installation of a converter solely to provide access services; or that cable operators should be permitted to assess a direct charge on those subscribers who wish a converter to view access programming. Lastly, several parties point to the results of various studies on access channel use and viewership conducted either by themselves or independent organizations [15] which they allege demonstrate that there is very little present demand for the provision of four access channels and our rules should be modified accordingly.

RESOLUTION

58. This is a difficult area. It is clear that cable systems generally could, from a technical point of view, be reconstructed or otherwise modified to provide the four dedicated access channels now required of major market systems. The question, however, is whether the public benefits of having these multiple dedicated channels outweigh the costs that may be incurred in order to provide them. If it is concluded that the requirements in their present form are unduly burdensome we need then consider what lesser obligations might nevertheless be appropriate.

59. There seems no dispute that the costs to provide these channels are frequently very substantial. To comply, some systems would have not only to replace all of their trunk and distribution cable and amplifiers, but also install converters. Just looking at the converter cost alone, a 3500 subscriber system would have to invest, at $40 per converter, at least $140,000 or more to meet this requirement.[16] The installation of a converter is one of the single most costly items involved in cable system reconstruction. It is also clear that there is an equivalent burden placed on new systems which may, pursuant to our rules, have to acquire the additional capital to provide converters prior to the commencement of operations and the generation of any revenues. Compliance with this requirement may very well therefore have had the undesirable effect of retarding new system growth and expansion of access services generally.

60. While the requirement poses an equivalnt buerden for both new and old systems it also equally effects large and small. The per subscriber cost of compliance with this requirement, unlike other portions of our access and facilities requirements, does not significantly diminish with system size. Whether a system operator has 500 subscribers or 5,000 he still must pay, exclusive of labor charges, at least $25–$40 per subscriber if he wishes to install converters.

61. Upon further examination of this area we think that the burden we have required system operators to meet is unreasonable and that our requirement to rebuild or to install converters if such is necessary to provide four dedicated access channels should be eliminated. By retaining and expanding our 20 channel capacity and two-way requirements for larger systems we insure that larger new systems and those being rebuilt will provide capacity which will facilitate the provision of access and broadband services in the future. By mandating the installation of converters we have required present excess capability at a substantial cost not only to the subscriber who must ultimately pay for the installation of that device whether or not he wishes to view the programming being provided but also to the citizen in the community where the benefits of new cable service will not be realized because the funds available in the marketplace have been diverted as a result of our requirements.

62. Based upon the comments filed in this proceeding as well as those filed in Docket 20363 and our experience generally, while it would appear that the use of access channel is growing, in the vast majority of communities presently providing multiple channels for access use, these channels are at best sporadically programmed. Rather than requiring the separate dedication of access channels for different uses which necessitates the installation of converters, we believe that our goals for access cable casting will be furthered by allowing the provision of access services on one or more channels which may be shared among different access users. The provision of access services on a shared or composite basis will, we believe, foster the success of access efforts by enhancing viewer identification with a channel which is more fully programmed, rather than dispersing individual access efforts among several channels which are not. Several of the parties filing comments in this proceeding have recommended such an approach, and it is in fact consistent with our prior observations. See paragraphs 14 and 15 of the Clarification, *supra*.

63. Many systems without installing converters have one or more channels available to provide access services. A review of data submitted to the Com-

[14] In our June 3d Notice we estimated that the cost of installing a converter in each subscriber's home is between $25–40 per subscriber, exclusive of labor.

[15] See, e.g., Bretz, R., *Public Access Cable TV: Audiences*, Journal of Communications, Summer 1975 at 15. Doty, P., *Public Access Cable TV: Who Cares*, Journal of Communications, Summer 1975 at 33. Johnson, R. C. and Agostino, D., *The Columbus Video Access Center: A Research Evaluation of Audience and Public Attitudes*. Institute for Communication Research, Indiana University, 1974.

[16] This is an overly simplified calculation because, among other things, systems using converters frequently need not only one converter for each subscriber but a substantial inventory of spare converters to replace those broken, lost, or stolen.

mission indicates that cable systems throughout the country provide their subscribers with an average of approximately 9 broadcast signals, thus leaving up to three channels for potential access use. Many systems which do not possess a full channel can provide access programming on the "black out time" which occurs as a result of compliance with our exclusivity and nonduplication requirements. We think that the provision of access services under these circumstances for systems with limited activated channel capability will be sufficient to meet most present access channel needs.

64. We have, accordingly, modified our rules in several major respects. First, while we shall maintain our commitment to the provision of four specially designated access channels we have modified this requirement to make clear that (a) it will only apply to those systems with 3500 or more subscribers which have sufficient channel capability, without installing converters, to provide such multiple channels, and (b) each specially designated channel need only be provided when there is a demand for such channels full time use. Second, in view of our belief that the majority of cases, all access needs can be met by the provision of one access channel for composite access programming, we have determined to modify our rules to require that cable television systems with 3500 or more subscribers provide at least one designated access channel for shared use among public, educational, local government and leased users, if such a systems' activated channel capability is sufficient to provide such channel.[17] For those systems which do not have sufficient activated capability to provide even one full channel, the designation of "black out time" will be required, though it is a less desirable alternative.[18] Consistent with this approach, we do not envision certificating new systems or the addition of new signals whose carriage is not mandatory to existing systems, if the activated channel capability available for the provision of access services is insufficient to provide at least one full channel for access programming. We have also amended our channel expansion formula to make clear that it does not require the dedication of channels beyond the systems activated capability. (See § 76.254.) In no case will the use of this formula therefore require the installation of a converter in each subscribers home. In addition, we have modified our rules to make clear that all times when any of the access channels are not in use, such channels may be used for other broadcast and non-broadcast purposes, provided such use is consistent with other provisions of our rules. (See § 76,254(b).)

65. In determining a system's activated channel capability available for the provision of access services we shall look first to the number of usable channels actually provided to each subscriber's home. A channel which cannot be programmed due to co-channel interference, for example, is of course not a usable channel. A channel which could be provided to each subscriber's home merely by installing a modulator, at a cost of $800–$1200, and making some comparatively inexpensive modifications to the systems headend is deemed a channel provided to each subscriber's home for purposes of the application of this requirement. In determining the number of channels available for access programming we have specifically excluded those channels already programmed by the system operator for which a separate charge is made. Those channels are most frequently used to provide pay entertainment programming to only those subscribers who desire such programming and are willing to pay an extra charge for it. We do not include these channels as available for the provision of access services in view of the costs which have been incurred by the system in purchasing and installing "traps" and otherwise providing this service as well as the benefits to subscribers in terms of increased diversity of viewing choices derived therefrom. From the total number of usable channels provided to each subscriber, those channels used to provide traditional cable television service, i.e. channels providing television broadcast signals, are subtracted. We are left with activated channel capability available for the provision of access services. These channels include channels provided the subscriber but not programmed as well as those providing other non-broadcast programming, i.e. automated programming, origination channels, etc. for which a separate assessment is not made.[19]

66. By requiring the expansion of access channels only up to the limitations of the systems activated capability, we desire to foster the provision of access services without imposing converter costs on all subscribers, some of whom may be uninterested in viewing the access programming provided. Consistent with this approach our rules may not be construed as permitting a system operator to exclude a potential access user who intends to use a channel, install converters himself, and pass such costs along to those who wish to view the additional programming provided thereby.[20] Where leased channels are involved, charges may be assesed for channel time, provided they are not designed to prohibit entry. See Clarification, supra, at paragraph 34.

67. We expect the operator in general to administer all access channels on a first come, first served non-discriminatory basis. We recognize that some of the potential educational, governmental, as well as leased channel uses may not by their very nature permit the shared use of the channel provided, e.g. classroom educational access programming, the interconnection of governmental agencies, etc. To the extent that this is the case we shall except the operator to make additional channels available for the provision of other access uses up to the limit of his activated capability. In administering the access channels provided we shall rely on the good faith of the operator to meet his access obligations.

68. There are, however, certain actions which we shall consider as evidence of bad faith on the part of system operators in meeting his access obligations. We do not consider as acting in good faith an operator with a system of limited activated channel capability who attempts to displace existing access uses with his own origination efforts. While we shall continue to encourage operators to

[17] Pursuant to § 76.253 old major market systems with 3500 subscribers which did not have to comply with the provisions of § 76.-251 until March 31, 1977 as well as all those systems with 3500 or more subscribers located outside of the major markets were required to make at least a reasonable effort to provide channel time for the local non-operator presentation of cablecast programs. We intend to initially maintain that policy and not require such systems to dedicate a separate channel for access use until March 31, 1977. As of that date, however, such systems will be required to dedicate one access channel for composite access programming provided their activated capability permits them to do so. Such systems will also not be required until such date to provide five minutes free production time for public access use or studio facilities. By retaining this one year leeway we seek to avoid any inequity caused by our decision to merge the requirements formerly contained in §§ 76.253 and 76.251. As of March 31, 1977 all systems with 3500 or more subscribers will be required to comply with our access rules uniformly.

[18] The selection of "black out time" to fulfill the system operators access responsibilities is less than an optimal approach. Black out time occurs on different channels at different times depending upon various factors including the scheduling practices of the local television station licensee. Neither predictability of time nor channel is fostered under these circumstances. In general, however, much of the black out time which occurs as a result of our network nonduplication requirements occurs during the hours of 1–4 in the afternoon and during "prime time" in the evening. An operator might wish to give priority to educational access use during this afternoon period while reserving the evening for other access programming. The establishment of such reasonable classes and the allocation of separate times to them in a system operator's access channel rules would be entirely consistent with the objectives of the revised rules.

[19] It is our intention that every reasonable effort be made to accommodate the various competing channel uses. It is not our intention that established cablecast services provided by system operators be automatically displaced. While we generally believe that automated services such as time and weather channels should give way to access uses, if other irreconcilable conflicts between channel uses develop, we are prepared to consider each such situation individually on its merits. We recognize that many of the services provided on these channels, such as community information, consumer price lists, etc., clearly provide a substantial benefit to subscribers.

[20] We note for example that even systems possessing "12 channel capacity" amplifiers can often obtain an additional channel or channels by installing a converter and programming on the "mid-band." Should a potential educational, governmental or leased channel user desire to program this channel and pass the costs of converters on to those who desire to view whatever service is provided, we shall require the system operator to permit him to do so.

originate, we do not believe that the public interest will be served if such efforts are at the expense of others who wish to provide access programming. We shall scrutinize the actions of operators who, while providing their own programming, assert that their activated capability is insufficient to permit the leasing of a channel to potential competitors. Should the need arise we shall take whatever action is appropriate to prevent system operators from using their control over their system to exclude the presentation or alternate sources of programming. (See § 76.254(c))

69. A closely relate matter concerns the presentation of pay entertainment programming. We have sought to encourage the presentation of such programming for it provides diversity of viewing choices to the public. We do not, however, believe that the public interest will be served if this programming is provided at the expense of local access efforts which are displaced. Should a system operator for example have only one complete channel available to provide access services we shall consider it as clear evidence of bad faith in complying with his access obligations if such operator decides to use that channel to provide pay programming. Should it appear that the growth of pay services is in fact substantially infringing on the public's ability to obtain access on cable television systems we shall promptly revisit this area and take whatever action is appropriate to prevent such an occurrence.

70. As a result of our decision to merge our access rules and facilities requirements and to allow the provision of composite access services in many cases rather than separately dedicating different access channels, our rules have been modified in several additional ways. Consistent with our previous actions respecting our facilities requirement, we shall expect system operators to identify the type of service being presented on the composite channel (i.e. origination cablecasting, access cablecasting, or inclusion of television station identification) and the person or group presenting the program. Whether other provisions of the rules, e.g. equal time, fairness, sponsorship identification, and advertising are applicable, will continue to depend upon which type of cablecasting is being provided. (See e.g. §§ 76.205, 209, 213 and 215.) In the case of access programming we shall continue to require compliance with the applicable regulations concerning program content control, assessment of costs and operating rules. See § 76.256 (b), (c) and (d).

71. A related matter concerns the provision of a studio. At least a minimal studio has been required in order to comply with our access requirements whereas our facilities equipment "although requiring the capacity to provide live programming," has been silent on this matter. In delineating the type of studio required to meet our present rules, we have been liberal. A system may choose to designate one room on a full time basis as its studio. Alternately, should sufficient space not be available, it may choose to designate part of a room on perhaps a part time basis as its studio. In merging our two requirements we shall continue this approach. So long as there exists some inhouse capacity for members of the public to record programming, we shall consider our studio requirement satisfied for all systems with more than 3500 subscribers.

72. We have also determined to modify our prior requirement for the five year free availability of the government and educational access channels. Instead of running from the date of completion of the system's basic trunk line, the five years shall be triggered from the date the system first offers channel time to such entities for cablecasting. Our intent in adopting our original requirement was to allow a five year experimental period for the free provision of the government and educational access channels. As a practical matter, however, such provision was not in fact required by our rules for many older systems until March 31, 1977. In many cases, this date was more than 5 years after such systems' trunk lines were completed. Our intent remains the same under the new rules and we have modified them in an effort to insure that some reasonable period of experimentation will in fact occur in all cases.

73. Several additional editorial changes have also been made that are designed to implement prior policy statements or clear up misunderstandings under the prior rules. Our leased channel rule has been modified to specify, for those systems which possess sufficient activated capability to provide four access channels, the provision of a full channel for leased use. This is in accord with our prior policy. (See Clarification, supra, at para. 20.) We have also modified our channel activation requirement now contained in § 76.254(c) to specify that the time trigger employed (channel use for 80 percent of the time during any consecutive three hour period for six consecutive weeks) applies to each channel individually. (See Clarification, supra, at para. 21.) Lastly, we have added equipment and personnel costs to the section specifying what charges can and cannot be made for the provision of access services (see § 76.256(c)(3)). Our prior rule merely specified "production cost" and it was our intent to include equipment and personnel costs within such cost. By specifying equipment and personnel costs in the rules we adopt today we have attempted to clarify our prior policy.

74. Once older systems are rebuilt to provide expanded channel capacity and converters are installed as a result of the individual business judgment of system operators many of the problems presently encountered in this area will disappear. Until that time the administration of the composite access channel approach will undoubtly present many difficulties. We shall, after some experience with these new rules has been gathered, issue a primer on various matters respecting our access channel obligations by which we hope to further clarify our position on these matters. We shall also administer our approach in a flexible manner and shall not hesitate to revisit this entire area should our experience dictate that our public interest goals are not being met.

Alternatives to the March 31, 1977, Rebuild Date for Old Systems

Introduction

75. Having determined which systems must comply with our access and channel capacity requirements and eliminated or modified some of these requirements, we focus now on how and when to require compliance on the part of old systems which would have to reconstruct to meet our channel capacity rules.

76. In lieu of the imposition of the March 31, 1977 reconstruction deadline for old systems, we sought comment upon the possible elimination of the channel capacity and access channel requirements for old systems and their replacement by a rule requiring such service only upon demand within the individual community. Alternatively, comment was sought upon either postponing rebuild beyond March 31, 1977 to a distant date certain or postponing compliance until each cable system individually undergoes "natural rebuild." We shall summarize the comments directed to each of these options in turn.

Comments

77. *Elimination.* Many cable television interests urged the elimination of the present mandatory rebuild requirements and the provision of access services, if at all, only upon documented demand for such services within the individual community. The National Cable Television Association argues that when the costs of compliance are measured against the supposed public interest benefits to be derived, the rules cannot be justified. It argues that public demand for such services as well as the revenue to be derived therefrom are negligible, and rate increases to finance technologically unnecessary rebuild are impossible to obtain. The Community Antenna Television Association and Midwest Video favor the elimination of the Commission's rebuild requirements, arguing that their imposition is beyond the Commission's jurisdiction and constitutes government taking without due process in violation of the Fifth Amendment to the Constitution. Other parties argue that these requirements create substantial barriers to entry thereby slowing the expansion of cable service to the public in general.

78. Not all parties favoring the elimination of the present requirements were system operators. Various parties urged the Commission to permit states or local authorities to set rebuild obligations which it is argued could more easily be tailored to the individual needs of communities. For example, in supporting the Commission's decision to cancel the March 31, 1977 deadline, the Cable Television Committee of the City of Berkeley favored complete elimination of federal

requirements and their replacement by local standards. The proposal that the Commission adopt a more restricted role and that federal rules be replaced by local requirements is mirrored in comments filed by Publicable, various members of the Cable Television Information Center of the Urban Institute and the Virginia Public Telecommunications Council, the lattermost urging an increased state role.

79. Other parties favored the retention or expansion of the Commission's requirements. Metromedia opposed all changes in the Commission's rebuild standards. Florida CATV urged a total federal preemption of access and rebuild matters. The National Black Media Coalition urged the maintenance of federal standards stating that "access channels for educational use are too important to be left to the vagaries of local franchising."

80. Those in opposition to any elimination of the Commission's rebuild requirement advance many different arguments. The United Church of Christ asserts that such action "would betray the expectations of hundreds of local franchising authorities, thousands of actual or potential users of access channels and literally millions of subscribers who have embraced CATV during the past three years relying on the Commission's * * * regulatory program." Opposing a trigger based upon demands, the Leon County Public Library asserts that difficulties in defining what constitutes demand would render the use of this criterion "grossly ineffective and in neglect of the public interest." Favoring a retention of our channel capacity requirements Broadband Communications, Inc., argues that a system not having additional channels available "can reasonably be expected to stifle or delay possible promising new applications of cable." Citing its efforts to provide 25 cable systems with its production of "A Time for Art," the Cable Arts Foundation opposes the elimination of the Commission's channel capacity and rebuild requirements. In a similar vein various educational authorities in the County of San Diego note that during the 1974–1975 school year 1736 hours of instructional programming were furnished over the cable systems in the county and over ¼ million dollars has been spent by the school systems in developing the possibilities for educational access programming.

81. *Postponement.* Some parties urge that postponing the deadline for system reconstruction merely postpones the problem of compliance rather than resolving it. Other parties including the United Church of Christ, the New Jersey Coalition for Fair Broadcasting, the United States Catholic Conference, the National Association of Educational Broadcasters and the American Broadcasting Company urge that if postponement is necessary the Commission should postpone the deadline for no more than two to five years. In support of this view various educational authorities and public interest organizations point to the work and substantial expenditures which have been undertaken in their communities in preparing to use the access channels to be made available in 1977. Other parties particularly various members of the San Diego school system urge that any postponement of the Commission's requirements should be granted only on a case-by-case basis and then only to a date certain.

82. Opposing such an approach the Central California Communications Corporation argues that postponement to a date certain would merely repeat the Commission's prior mistake of selecting an arbitrary deadline and would involve the Commission in new projections which, it alleges, are bound to be as inaccurate as those made in the past. Also opposing any substantial postponement but for different reasons the Indiana Public Interest Research Group notes that some cable systems pledged to local authorities to rebuild by 1977 and in reliance on these promises were granted rate increases by local governments to cover the costs of this reconstruction.

83. *Natural Rebuild.* Another option posed by our June 3rd Notice was to require compliance with our channel capacity requirements at such time as each individual system is rebuilt as a result of its natural obsolescence or because of necessary channel expansion to accommodate new services. Parties responding to our inquiry were urged to provide a definition of natural rebuild as well as their suggestions as to how such a requirement might be enforced.

84. A majority of the parties responding to our Notice favored the adoption of an approach tied to natural rebuild but disagreed on the means by which this approach could be implemented and enforced. A view shared by the Cable Arts Foundation, various members of the San Diego school system, as well as other parties would permit individual cable operators to postpone compliance until natural rebuild only if a specific construction schedule is provided to the Commission. Many of these parties also urge the Commission to require system operators to obtain comments of the franchising authority upon the adequacy of the reconstruction plan, and to require firm commitments on the part of system operators as to the date which reconstruction will be completed.

85. A different view is expressed by a group of 21 system operators and the Florida CATV Association. These parties while favoring an approach tied to natural rebuild assert that no fixed date by which rebuild must be completed would be appropriate. In support of this view it is noted that while the average useful life of the component parts which make up a cable system is between 10 and 15 years, the life cannot be uniformly calculated. These parties assert that "cable companies anticipate than an industry wide rebuild will occur naturally during the next 10–15 years." Rather than setting a uniform date many of these parties urge that monitoring to insure compliance with the Commission's technical standards will insure that technologically obsolete systems will be rebuilt.

86. Urging the adoption of an approach tied to natural rebuild, various members of the staff of the Cable Television Information Center of the Urban Institute suggest that compliance with our rules should be required within ten years of the date of any Commission decision to require rebuild for systems not previously on notice of our requirements. This ten year period it is argued "exceeds the customary equipment lifetime expectation used in the financial planning at the time of its installation." Comments filed by The American Civil Liberties Union also imply that the useful life of most equipment is between 8–10 years. A practical example of natural rebuild is also provided by Coldwater Cable Television which notes that it is in the process of upgrading its 12-channel capacity system to provide 30 to 35 channels and that at the present rate of expansion such rebuilding should be completed by 1980, e.g. 12 years after its initial amplifiers were installed.

87. Other parties assert that the adoption of an approach tied to the replacement of obsolescent parts is administratively unenforceable. An alternative suggested by several parties would be to link a system's rebuild requirement to the inauguration of pay entertainment programming on a cable system.

88. *Resolution.* Having reviewed the comments filed in response to this section of the Notice we have determined to adopt an approach which we believe combines the best aspects of natural rebuild and postponement to a date certain. Accordingly, we have determined to require systems with 3500 or more subscribers to reconstruct and comply with our requirements within ten years. We have chosen this period because we believe that it corresponds to the time within which the vast majority of systems will, even absent our requirements, have completed natural rebuild.

89. In arriving at this result we have rejected the arguments of those who favor the complete elimination of our rebuild requirements. Our reasons for rejecting this approach are essentially the same as those which caused us to reject the arguments of those who opposed any channel capacity or access channel obligations whatsover. We are mandated to encourage the larger and more effective use of radio in the public interest. Cable television with its potential to provide many channels of programming is an ideal medium to provide additional telecommunications services to the public. We would be derelict in our responsibilities to the public were we to sit by and do nothing to insure that the expanded channel capability provided by cable television serves valid public interest objectives. Were we at this stage of cable's evolution to leave the provision of channel capacity and access services entirely to the marketplace, such action could have the practical effect of providing a barrier to the growth of access services as well as a disincentive to the furnishing of new services which we expect of

cable. We agree with the comments of those who assert that unless the cable operator has existing built-in capacity to provide access services he may reasonably be expected to frustrate their provision. By requiring larger system operators to reconstruct and comply with our channel capacity requirements we hope also to foster the provision of such services in those communities which are served by old systems.

90. In promoting the beneficial uses of cable we recognize, however, an obligation to temper our expectations for the future with a realization of the economic realities of the present. We must insure that in formulating policies designed to facilitate the future provision of services, we do not create unreasonable barriers to the present expansion of cable in general. In setting for comment an option which would require old systems to meet our requirements upon natural rebuild we hoped to minimize the present cost to the cable system operator and the public while insuring that when reconstruction naturally occurred it would be accomplished in such a manner as to provide expanded capacity which would facilitate the provision of future services.

91. In our June 3 Notice we specifically requested parties to provide a definition of how natural rebuild might be defined and such a requirement enforced. Unfortunately the comments responding to this portion of our inquiry contained a paucity of suggestions or proposals by which we might define and enforce this concept. In addition, upon independent analysis we have been unable to formulate such a definition which while providing the requisite degree of certainty to cable operators of their obligations would not be unduly complex and administratively impossible to enforce. Systems vary in age, type and useful life of equipment as well as profitability. No one approach can take into account all these variables.[21]

92. Some parties have suggested that we in effect permit cable operators to define when natural rebuild will occur and pledge either to use or to local franchising authorities that upon completion of rebuild the expanded services required by our rules would be provided. We have closely considered this proposal but do not believe that its adoption would be appropriate. In order to administer such a provision equitably, guidelines or standards defining natural obsolescence would have to be established. Without such guidelines many franchising authorities might have insufficient resources to make informed judgment as to an equitable period for requiring rebuild, and a few cable operators might artificially retard rebuild in order to postpone the provision of expanded services required by our rules. The same difficulties encountered in formulating a definition of natural rebuild are encountered in attempting to establish these guidelines.

93. Some generalizations may however be made. The amplifiers and cable used to provide cable television service do have a limited useful life expectancy. The estimates provided in the comments indicate that amplifiers installed on old cable systems must in general be replaced sometime between 8 and 15 years after their initial installation. In general, these projections are in accord with our own estimates. Until the middle 1960's the amplifiers used in cable television systems employed vacuum tubes. These earlier amplifiers had in general a very limited useful life span. In the mid 1960's up until approximately 1969–1970, the first generation of solid state single ended 12-channel capacity amplifiers were introduced. With the initial introduction of solid state components various problems were encountered, e.g. inadequate surge protection and accumulative heat. Though some of these amplifiers may last much longer, these problems, in general, necessitate the replacement of most first generation solid state components by the tenth to twelfth year after initial installation. With the refinements in technology which occurred in the very late 1960's and early 1970's push pull amplifiers were introduced which provide 20+ channel capacity by permitting the carriage of midband and frequently super band channels. These amplifiers have, in general, a substantially longer useful life span.

94. Because the vast majority of systems constructed prior to 1972 were constructed with either vacuum tubes or single ended amplifiers with limited useful technological lives we would expect that many of these either have been replaced, or will be replaced, in the near future. Based upon the comments and our experience, we think that in the vast majority of situations a complete turnover of this older equipment will be accomplished naturally within ten years. Accordingly, we have determined to use this ten year standard in our rules. Those systems regardless of market location which have 3500 or more subscribers shall be required to reconstruct their plant and distribution network in order to comply with our access and channel capacity requirements and to complete such reconstruction within ten years of the date of this decision.

95. We recognize that this reconstruction will in many cases be accomplished prior to our deadline and that a few systems may in fact have to reconstruct to meet our technical requirements. We recognize also that the selection of any time frame is to a certain extent arbitrary. We have chosen the ten year period, however, based upon our belief that it represents a liberal estimate of the reasonable time within which the vast majority of systems will be naturally rebuilt. By framing our requirements to correspond to the time within which the vast majority of systems will be rebuilt, even without our requirements, we have insured that such rebuilding will be accomplished with components which will allow for the future expansion of services without presenting an artificial inflationary burden to system operators which must ultimately be borne by the public.

96. Those systems with 3500 or more subscribers located in major television markets have been on notice since 1972 of rebuild obligations. By extending our deadline for such systems we have substantially mitigated the burdens placed on them. Those systems with 3500 or more subscribers outside of the major markets were not previously subject to any rebuild requirements. Our reasons for extending our rebuild requirements to these systems are identical to our reasons discussed earlier, for altering the criteria upon which we impose our access obligations in general. Moreover, we do not believe that our extension of rebuild requirements to these systems will be substantially burdensome. The earliest cable systems were generally constructed in communities which could not obtain adequate over-the-air television coverage. Most of these communities are outside the major television markets. In some such communities the only television service that is generally available is that provided by the cable system and such systems are financialy strong as well, free by virtue of their locations, from some of those incentives that might induce other systems to upgrade the quality of the service they offer. Moreover, because many such systems were in fact constructed some time ago, reconstruction may naturally be expected to occur earlier than for other systems that were constructed later in the less desirable cable markets. Accordingly, we believe our ten-year reconstruction deadline is also appropriate for these cable systems. Should the imposition of this requirement prove unduly burdensome in some isolated instances we shall treat such matters on an individual basis and, if appropriate, grant relief.[22]

THE ROLE OF THE LOCAL FRANCHISING AUTHORITY

97. Under our prior rules, local authorities, in those communities where our rules did not apply, were permitted to adopt their own channel capacity and access channel requirement provided that these requirements were not in excess of those we had adopted for major market systems. See §§ 76.251(a)(11)(iv) and 76.251(b). While the reasons that have caused us to remove our requirements from smaller systems in the major markets might suggest the need to preclude their reimposition by local authori-

[21] For a discussion of the problems encountered in system reconstruction see paras. 6–10 of *Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in Docket 20508, supra.*

[22] We recognize that a particular problem may be encountered if there are 12 channel systems presently under construction outside of the major television markets which will eventually attain 3500 subscribers. These systems were not formerly subject to this requirement and should therefore be given some fair margin to complete work in progress. If such ssytems do go into operation prior to March 31, 1977, they will be given 10 years within which to reconstruct and provide 20 channel and two-way capacity. (See § 76.252(b))

ties, we believe that room remains for local authorities to exercise their own best judgment in balancing between the needs of their citizens and the costs which must ultimately be borne by them. Accordingly, with respect to systems with under 3500 subscribers, we will not preclude local authorities from mandating channel capacity and access obligations as long as these do not exceed what our rules require of systems with 3500 or more subscribers.

98. In addition, even for systems with more than 3500 subscribers, we are generally prepared to see local requirements continue in effect if they do not exceed the twenty channel, two-way and four dedicated channel concepts in our rules, even if the timing on system rebuild is shorter than our own, or there is a local requirement that converters be installed to activate all of the dedicated channels, provided it can be shown that such local requirements are based on a reasoned analysis of the costs and needs for the services involved and that they will not interfere with compliance with federal obligations. However, we believe that all such obligation in excess of the rules adopted herein, if they are to be continued, should be subject to review in light of the revisions we have made in our rules because many of these requirements were adopted in reliance on our rules and without independent evaluation of them.

99. Accordingly, we shall continue to allow the imposition of local requirements which do not exceed our own. Lesser local requirements will also not be foreclosed, although for systems with over 3500 subscribers, these would be superseded by our own rules. Local requirements which do exceed our own, may also be permitted upon individual showings and with Commission approval. Such showings will be considered in the certification process for those systems not yet certified and for those systems seeking recertification by March 31, 1977. Other situations will be considered pursuant to the special relief provisions of § 76.7 of the rules. In the absence of such showings, provisions exceeding our own will be considered to have no force or effect in accordance with the procedures adopted in the Report and Order in Docket 20272, FCC 75-897, 54 FCC 2d 853 (1975). In those situations where such showings were made and accepted under prior rules, they need not be repeated and our rulings therein will continue in effect.

100. Petitions to justify access or rebuild requirements in excess of those we are adopting today should indicate the number of channels available to provide access programing without imposing these additional requirements and how these channels are insufficient to meet demonstrated need within the community or communities. In addition, such showings should include estimates of the expected expenses involved in complying with these additional requirements, how these expenses will contribute to the quality of cable service in the community and what the effect those expenses will be upon the financial viability of the system. To the extent that a system has pledged to comply with our prior requirements and as a result of such pledge been granted a rate increase, this also is a relevant factor in deciding whether to permit the enforcement of such a provision. It is only with a complete showing of this nature that we can realistically determine if additional rebuild or access requirements are justified, and they will not adversely affect the operator's aiblity to accomplish federal objectives.

ADDITIONAL MATTERS

101. In addition to those comments already discussed, various parties advance other observations. The Ohio Educational Television Network urges, for example, the maintenance of the policy we announced in our Notice not to postpone rebuild requirements for those systems which do not have sufficient activated channel capacity to provide full time carriage for those television stations which are "must carry" under our rules. In support of this view OETN cites difficulties which it has encountered in obtaining carriage of "must carry" educational television stations which have within the recent past either begun broadcasting or increased transmitter power.

102. We recognize that this is a serious problem and that many of the same difficulties encountered in requiring cable systems to reconstruct to meet our channel capacity and access obligations are encountered when requiring systems to reconstruct to provide full time carriage to "must carry stations." Clearly there are equities and public interest considerations on both sides of this situation. A television station which newly goes on the air or increases its transmitter power should be carried by a cable system operating within its area of service. On the other hand a cable system which constructs its distribution network with sufficient activated capability to provide full time carriage to all existing "must carry" stations should not, perhaps, be required to immediately reconstruct its distribution network and install converters in each subscriber's home merely because one new station goes on the air or increases its transmitter power. We do not intend to finally resolve this matter in this proceeding which, as we have noted, is concerned with the channel capacity and access requirements formerly contained in § 76.25. We shall however continue to analyze this area and if appropriate take further action.

103. While the majority of parties filing comments in this proceeding recognized the reasons which cause us to cancel the March 31, 1977 reconstruction deadline, a few organizations urged its reinstatement. Some of these parties also oppose our decision to separate the issues contained in Docket 20363 from those under consideration in this proceeding. Additionally, it is argued that instead of reducing our channel capacity and access channel requirements in view of economic considerations, the Commission should increase the signal carriage available to cable television systems which will lead to the acquisition of new subscribers and an improved financial picture for the industry in general. In opposition to this suggestion, various broadcast interests argue that in view of the failure of the cable television industry to rebuild, that the entire area of signal carriage should be revisited with an eye toward adopting more restrictive limitations.

104. We have previously set out the reasons which caused us to cancel the March 31, 1977 deadline in our Report and Order in Docket 20363, supra, as well as the reasons which have lead us to separate the issue in that docket from the issues herein under review.[23] The difficulties which we have encountered in formulating an equitable rebuild plan as well as the difficulties encountered in determining the appropriate approach to take with respect to other areas of this Notice have reaffirmed our belief in the wisdom of both decisions.

105. Moreover, the public interest objectives which have caused us to impose channel capacity and access channel requirements on larger cable systems are to a certain extent, different than the reasons which cause us to set various limitations on the amount of product available to cable systems in general. In the former case we seek to promote the expansion of communications services as well as the expansion of the public's access thereto, while in the latter we seek to insure that the interest of the public in maintaining a healthy commercial television structure will not be undermined. Although there is some relationship between the two considerations, each must be considered on its merits. In either case, when it appears, based upon our experience in administering our rules, that they are unnecessarily burdensome and do not further the objectives for which they were designed, we change them. Such is the case with respect to the channel capacity access channel and rebuild requirements under review today. Recently, such has also been the case with respect to various aspects of our signal carriage and subscription rules.[24]

Authority for the amendments to the rules adopted below is contained and in Sections 2, 3, 4 (i) and (j), 301, 303, 307, 308, 309, 315 and 317 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.

---

[23] See Footnote 2 in Report and Order in Docket 20363, supra, and Footnote 2 in Notice of Proposed Rulemaking in Docket 20508, supra.

[24] For example, the Commisison has recently made substantial modifications to its rules applicable to specialty stations, Report and Order in Docket 20553, 76 FCC 189, ____ FCC 2d ____ (1976); eliminated its leapfrogging restrictions, Report and Order in Docket 20187, FCC 75-1409, 57 FCC 2d 625, eliminated its ban on the subscription presentation of series programming, Second Report and Order in Docket No. 19554, 75-1218 ____ FCC 2d ____ (1975), all of which increase the product available to cable television systems, hence the public, without undermining the conventional television structure.

Accordingly, *It is ordered*, That Part 76 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations is amended, effective June 21, 1976, as set forth below. *It is further ordered*, That this proceeding is terminated.

(Secs. 2, 3, 4, 301, 303, 307, 308, 309, 315, 317, 48 Stat., as amended, 1064, 1065, 1066, 1081, 1082, 1083, 1084, 1085, 1088, 1089; 47 U.S.C. 152, 153, 154, 301, 303, 307, 308, 309, 315, 317.)

Adopted: April 1, 1976.

Released: May 13, 1976.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,*
VINCENT J. MULLINS,
Secretary.

Part 76 of Chapter I of title 47 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

§ 76.13 [Amended]

1. In § 76.13, paragraphs (a)(4), (b)(4), and (c)(3) are amended to delete "§§ 76.251 and 76.253" after the words "provisions of" and substitute "§§ 76.252, 76.254, 76.256, and 76.258".

§ 76.251 [Deleted]

2. Section 76.251 is deleted.

3. A new § 76.252 is added, as follows:

§ 76.252 Channel capacity.

(a) Any conglomerate of commonly-owned and technically-integrated cable television systems having a total of 3500 or more subscribers, or any system having 3500 or more subscribers which is not part of such a system conglomerate, shall comply with the following requirements respecting channel capacity:

(1) *Minimum channel capacity.* Each such system shall have at least 120 MHz of bandwidth (the equivalent of 20 television broadcast channels) available for immediate or potential use for the totality of cable services to be offered.

(2) *Two-way communications.* Each such system shall maintain a plant having technical capacity for nonvoice return communications.

(b) This section applies to all cable television systems that commence operations on or after March 31, 1972, in a community located in whole or in part within a major television market. Systems which commence operations after March 31, 1977 in a community located outside of a major television market shall comply upon commencement of operations. All other systems shall comply on or before June 21, 1986. Systems that are in compliance with the provisions of subparagraph (a)(1) of this section on or before June 21, 1976 are not required to modify their facilities in order to comply with subparagraph (a)(2) of this section.

§ 76.253 [Deleted]

4. Section 76.253 is deleted.

5. A new § 76.254 is added, as follows:

§ 76.254 Number and designation of access channels.

Any conglomerate of commonly-owned and technically-integrated cable television systems having a total of 3500 or more subscribers, or any system having 3500 or more subscribers which is not part of such a system conglomerate, shall comply with the following requirements respecting the number and designation of access channels:

(a) Each such system shall, to the extent of its available activated channel capability, comply with the following requirements:

(1) *Public access channel.* Each such system shall maintain at least one specially designated, noncommercial public access channel available on a first-come, nondiscriminatory basis;

(2) *Education access channel.* Each such system shall maintain at least one specially designated channel for use by local educational authorities;

(3) *Local government access channel.* Each such system shall maintain at least one specially designated channel for local government uses;

(4) *Leased access channel.* Each such system shall maintain at least one specially designated channel for leased access uses. In addition, other portions of its nonbroadcast bandwidth, including unused portions of the specially designated channels, shall be available for leased uses. On at least one of the leased channels, priority shall be given part-time users.

(b) Until such time as there is demand for each channel full time for its designated use, public, educational, government, and leased access channel programming may be combined on one or more cable channels. To the extent time is available therefor, access channels may also be used for other broadcast and nonbroadcast services.

(c) Each such system shall, in any case, maintain at least one full channel for shared access programming: *Provided, however*, That, in the case of systems in operation on June 21, 1976 if insufficient activated channel capability is available to provide one full channel for shared access programming it shall provide whatever portions of channels are available for such purposes. Each such system in meeting its access obligations shall make reasonable efforts in programming its bandwidth to avoid the displacement of access service.

(d) Whenever any of the channels described in paragraph (a) or (c) of this section is in use during 80 percent of the weekdays (Monday–Friday) for 80 percent of the time during any consecutive three-hour period for six consecutive weeks, such system shall have six months in which to make a new channel available for the same purposes: *Provided, however*, That the channel expansion mandated by this paragraph shall not exceed the activated channel capability of the system.

(e) Each such system shall make available all other unused channels, in addition to those which are part of the system's activated channel capability, for the purposes specified in paragraph (a): *Provided, however*, That in making available such additional channels the system operator shall be under no obligation to install converters.

(f) Until March 31, 1977, systems outside the major television markets and systems that commenced operation prior to March 31, 1972 may comply with the requirements of this section by making a reasonable effort to provide channel time for local non-operator presentation of cablecast programs.

6. A new § 76.256 is added, as follows:

§ 76.256 Access services.

Any conglomerate of commonly-owned and technically-integrated cable television systems having a total of 3500 or more subscribers, or any system having 3500 or more subscribers which is not part of such a system conglomerate, shall comply with the following requirements respecting the provision of access services:

(a) *Equipment requirement.* Each such system shall have available equipment for local production and presentation of cablecast programs other than automated services and permit its use for the production and presentation of public access programs. No such system shall enter into any contract, arrangement, or lease for use of its cablecasting equipment which prevents or inhibits the use of such equipment for a substantial portion of time for public access programming.

(b) *Program content control.* Each such system shall have no control over the content of access cablecast programs; however, this limitation shall not prevent it from taking appropriate steps to insure compliance with the operating rules described in paragraph (d) of this section.

(c) *Assessment of costs.* (1) The channels described in § 76.254(a)(2) and (a)(3) shall be made available free of charge until five (5) years after the system first offers channel time for such cablecasting purpose.

(2) One of the public access channels described in § 76.254(a)(1) shall always be made available without charge.

(3) Charges for equipment, personnel, and production of public access programming shall be reasonable and consistent with the goal of affording users a low-cost means of television access. No charges shall be made for live public access programs not exceeding five minutes in length.

NOTE.—Systems outside the major television markets and systems that commenced operation prior to March 31, 1972 are not required to provide any free production facilities prior to March 31, 1977.

(d) *Operating rules.* (1) For public access programming, such systems shall establish rules requiring first-come, nondiscriminatory access; prohibiting the presentation of: any advertising material designed to promote the sale of commercial products or services (including advertising by or on behalf of candidates

* Statements of Commissioners Hooks, Washburn, and Robinson filed as part of the original document.

for public office); lottery information; and obscene or indecent matter (modeled after the prohibitions in §§ 76.213 and 76.215, respectively); and permitting public inspection of a complete record of the names and addresses of all persons or groups requesting access time. Such a record shall be retained for a period of two years.

(2) For educational access programming, such system shall establish rules prohibiting the presentation of: any advertising material designed to promote the sale of commercial products or services (including advertising by or on behalf of candidates for public office); lottery information; and obscene or indecent matter (modeled after the prohibitions in §§ 76.213 and 76.215, respectively) and permitting public inspection of a complete record of the names and address of all persons or groups requesting access time. Such a record shall be retained for a period of 2 years.

(3) For leased access programming, such system shall establish rules requiring first-come, nondiscriminatory access; prohibiting the presentation of lottery information and obscene or indecent matter (modeled after the prohibitions in §§ 76.213 and 76.215, respectively); requiring sponsorship identification (see § 76.221); specifying an appropriate rate schedule; and permitting public inspection of a complete record of the names and addresses of all persons or groups requesting time. Such a record shall be retained for a period of 2 years.

(4) The operating rules governing public, educational, and leased access programming shall be filed with the Commission within 90 days after a system first activities any such channels, and shall be available for public inspection as provided in § 76.305(b). Except on Commission authorization, or with respect to local government access programming, no local entity shall prescribe any other rules concerning the number or manner of operation of access channels.

NOTE.—Nothing in this section shall be construed as limiting the authority of state and local entities to regulate two-way, point-to-point, intrastate non-video cable transmissions.

7. A new § 76.258 is added, as follows:

§ 76.258 Non-federal access regulation; voluntary access.

No cable television system shall be required by a state or local entity to exceed the provisions of §§ 76.252, 76.254, and 76.256 concerning channel capacity, activated channel capability, and equipment, absent Commission authorization, even if such a system has previously been certificated, pursuant to § 76.11, based on proposals or operations in excess of these provisions. If a conglomerate of commonly-owned and technically-integrated cable television systems having a total of fewer than 3500 subscribers, or any system having fewer than 3500 subscribers which is not part of such a system conglomerate, provides access services, it shall comply with the provisions of § 76.256 (b) and (d).

§ 76.305 [Amended]

8. In § 76.305, paragraph (a)(7) is revised to read as follows and paragraph (c) is amended to delete "Section 76.205(c), 76.251(a)(11), and 76.311(f)" after the words "periods specified in" and substitute "§ 76.95(d), 76.205(c), 76.221(f), 76.225(a), 76.256(d), and 76.311(f)."

(a) * * *

(7) A copy of all records which are required to be kept by § 76.95(d) (network program nonduplication private agreements); § 76.205(c) (origination cablecasts by candidates for public office); § 76.221(f) (sponsorship identification); § 76.225(a) (subscription cablecasting); § 76.256(d) (operating rules for access channels); § 76.311(f) (equal employment opportunities);

* * * * *

[FR Doc.76-14724 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

[FCC 76-427]

PART 94—PRIVATE OPERATIONAL-FIXED MICROWAVE SERVICE

Revision and Consolidation of FCC Forms 402 and 402-S

1. On April 23, 1975, the Commission adopted a Report and Order in Docket 19869, FCC 75-469, 52 FCC 2d 894 (1975), establishing a new rule part (Part 94) governing the licensing and operation of private operational-fixed microwave (above 952 MHz) stations. One of the provisions of the new rules is that applicants for new or modified stations perform detailed technical analysis of the interference potential to existing stations. Applicants must certify that the interference to existing stations will be less than certain levels. While certain technical information was contained on FCC Form 402, the Commission felt that this was not enough.

2. In order to obtain and store in the Commission's microwave computer data base the technical information necessary for performing these analyses, the Commission adopted Form 402-S as a supplement to Form 402, FCC 74-442, 51 FCC 2d 480 (1975). Form 402-S was mailed to existing licensees on May 12, 1975, and has been required for all new applications filed after August 1, 1975.

3. This form was adopted as an interim measure until Form 402 could be completely revised to include all the necessary information. In keeping with this, the Comission ha sadopted a revised Form 402 to be use din applying for private microwave station licenses.

4. The revised Form 402 is basically a consolidation of Forms 402 and 402-S. Certain questions have been eliminated and others changed or clarified to facilitate the accurate filing of applications. To assure uniformity in the collection of information and in our application processing procedures, we have concluded that the revised form should be used by applicants on a certain date. Therefore, while this form may be used as soon as it is available (tentatively October 1, 1976,) all applications for new or modified private operational-fixed microwave stations will not be accepted after January 1, 1977, unless they are filed on the revised Form 402.

5. Therefore, *it is ordered*, pursuant to section 4(i) and 308(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, That, revised FCC Form 402 is adopted and, That applications for new or modified private operational-fixed microwave stations must be filed on revised FCC Form 402 after January 1, 1977, and that effective January 1, 1977, § 94.27 of the Commission's rules is amended to require the use of the revised FCC Form 402. The rule amendments as well as the revised form adopted herein relate to procedural matters. Therefore, compliance with the prior notice and procedure requirements of section 4(a) of the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 USC 553, is not required.

Adopted: May 11, 1976.

Released: May 17, 1976.

(Secs. 4, 303, 48 Stat., as amended, 1066, 1082; 47 U.S.C. 154, 303.)

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
VINCENT J. MULLINS,
Secretary.

Part 94 of Chapter I of Title 47 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

1. In § 94.27, paragraphs (a) and (b) are amended to read as follows:

§ 94.27 Application and Standard Forms.

(a) A separate application shall be submitted on FCC Form 402 dated July 1976 or later, for the following:

(1) New station authorization for private operation-fixed microwave station.

(2) New authorization to operate one or more fixed stations at temporary locations in this service.

(3) Modification of station licensee.

(b) When the holder of a station authorization desires to assign to another person the privilege to use a radio station, he shall submit to the Commission a letter setting forth his desire to assign all right, title, and interest in and to such authorization, stating the call sign and location of the station. This letter shall also include a statement that the assignor will submit his current station authorization for cancellation upon completion of the assignment. An application on FCC Form 402 dated September 1975 or later, prepared by and in the name of the person to whom the station is being assigned (See § 94.47), shall also be filed.

* * * * *

[FR Doc.76-14725 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

Title 49—Transportation

SUBTITLE A—OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF TRANSPORTATION

[OST Docket No. 1, Amdt. 1–116]

PART 1—ORGANIZATION AND DELEGATION OF POWERS AND DUTIES

Delegations Under the Railroad Revitalization and Regulatory Reform Act of 1976

The purpose of this amendment is to delegate to the Deputy Secretary, the Federal Railroad and Urban Mass Transportation Administrators, the General Counsel, and the Assistant Secretary for Administration certain functions vested in the Secretary by the Railroad Revitalization and Regulatory Reform Act of 1976 (Pub. L. 94–210).

Since this amendment relates to Departmental management, procedures, and practices, notice and public procedures thereon are unnecessary and it may be made effective in fewer than 30 days after publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

In consideration of the foregoing Part I of Title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

§ 1.49 [Amended]

1. In § 1.49, paragraph (q) is amended by inserting immediately after the words "executive committee" the words "and finance committee" and substituting the words "Title II" in place of the words "sections 201(d)(2) and (h)", and a new paragraph (u) is added at the end thereof to read as follows:

§ 1.49 Delegations to Federal Railroad Administrator.

The Federal Railroad Administrator is delegated authority to:

* * * * *

(u) Carry out the functions vested in the Secretary by the following sections of the Railroad Revitalization and Regulatory Reform Act of 1976 (Pub. L. 94–210): 204(c); 401, except authority to issue subpoenas; 402; 403; 502; 503; 504; 505; 506, except (c); 507; 508; 511; 512; 513; 515; 517; 606; 610; 703; 704, except (c); 706; 803, except insofar as it relates to audits; 805, except insofar as it relates to audits; 810; 901; 905, as applicable; and 906.

2. Section 1.51 is amended by adding at the end thereof a new paragraph (k) to read as follows:

§ 1.51 Delegations to Urban Mass Transportation Administrator.

The Urban Mass Transportation Administrator is delegated authority to exercise the functions vested in the Secretary by:

* * * * *

(k) sections 804, insofar as it relates to 45 U.S.C. 744(e)(5); and 905, as applicable, of the Railroad Revitalization and Regulatory Reform Act of 1976 (Pub. L. 94–210).

§ 1.55 [Amended]

3. In § 1.55, paragraph (h) is amended by inserting immediately after the words "executive committee" the words "and finance committee" and the term ", as amended" immediately after the term "(Pub. L. 93–236)".

§ 1.56 [Amended]

4. In § 1.56, paragraph (n) is amended by inserting the words "and Finance Committee" immediately after the words "Executive Committee" and the term ", as amended" immediately after the term "(Pub. L. 93–236)".

5. In § 1.59, paragraph (l) is amended by adding at the end thereof a new subparagraph (3), to read as follows:

§ 1.59 Delegations to Assistant Secretary for Administration.

The Assistant Secretary for Administration is delegated authority for the following:

* * * * *

(l) *Audit.* * * *

* * * * *

(3) Carry out the functions vested in the Secretary by sections 803 and 805 of the Railroad Revitalization and Regulatory Reform Act of 1976 (Pub. L. 94–210), insofar as they relate to audits.

Effective date: This amendment is effective March 10, 1976.

(Sec. 9(e), Department of Transportation Act, 49 U.S.C. 1657(e)).

Issued in Washington, D.C., on May 13, 1976.

WILLIAM T. COLEMAN, Jr.,
Secretary of Transportation.

[FR Doc.76–14715 Filed 5–19–76;8:45 am]

Title 50—Wildlife and Fisheries

CHAPTER I—U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE, DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

PART 28—PUBLIC ACCESS, USE, AND RECREATION

Izembek National Wildlife Range, Alaska

The following special regulation is issued and is effective April 16, 1976.

§ 28.28 Special regulations, public access, use, and recreation; for individual wildlife refuge areas.

ALASKA

IZEMBEK NATIONAL WILDLIFE RANGE

Boats are permitted on the Izembek National Wildlife Range for public access, use, and recreation subject to the following special condition:

(1) The use of water-jet driven boats or boats driven by air propellers, commonly known as air boats, is prohibited.

The provisions of this special regulation supplement the regulations which govern public access, use, and recreation on wildlife refuge areas generally, which are set forth in 50 CFR Part 28, and are effective through December 31, 1976.

JOHN E. SARVIS,
Refuge Manager.

MAY 12, 1976.

[FR Doc.76–14697 Filed 5–19–76;8:45 am]

Title 7—Agriculture

CHAPTER I—AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE (STANDARDS, INSPECTION, MARKETING PRACTICES), DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

COTTON AND COTTONSEED

Revision in Fees

STATEMENT OF CONSIDERATIONS

Pursuant to the statutory authorities cited below, the fees relating to cotton classing, standards and inspection, sampling, and certification of cottonseed and for cotton fiber and processing tests are hereby amended to reflect increased costs since the last adjustment in fees including the increase in Federal employees' salaries authorized by the Federal Employees Salary Act of 1970 (Pub. L. 91–231).

PART 27—COTTON CLASSIFICATION UNDER COTTON FUTURES LEGISLATION

1. Sections 27.80 and 27.81 are revised to read as follows:

§ 27.80 Fees; classification, Micronaire, and supervision.

For services rendered by the Cotton Division pursuant to this subpart, whether the cotton involved is tenderable or not, the person requesting the services shall pay fees as follows:

(a) Initial classification and certification—60 cents per bale.

(b) Review classification and certification—80 cents per bale.

(c) Micronaire determination and certification—15 cents per bale.

(d) Combination service—$1.20 per bale. (Initial classification, review classification, and Micronaire determination covered by the same request and only the review classification and Micronaire determination results certified on cotton class certificates.)

(e) Supervision, by a supervisor of cotton inspection, of the inspection, weighing, or sampling of cotton when any two or more of these operations are performed together—70 cents per bale.

(f) Supervision, by a supervisor of cotton inspection, of the inspection, weighing, or sampling of cotton when any one of these operations is performed individually—70 cents per bale.

(g) Supervision, by a supervisor of cotton inspection, of transfers of cotton to a different delivery point, including issuance of new cotton class certificates in substitution for prior certificates—$1.70 per bale.

(h) Supervision, by a supervisor of cotton inspection, of transfers of cotton to a different warehouse at the same delivery point, including issuance of new cotton class certificates in substitution for prior certificates—$1.00 per bale.

§ 27.81 Fees; certificates.

For each new certificate issued in substitution for a prior certificate at the request of the holder thereof, for his business convenience, or when made necessary by the transfer of the cotton under the supervision of an exchange inspection agency as provided in § 27.73, the

person making the request shall pay a fee of 30 cents for each certificate issued.

(Sec. 4863, 68 A Stat. 582; 26 U.S.C. 4863)

PART 28—COTTON CLASSING, TESTING AND STANDARDS

2. Sections 28.116, 28.117, 28.120, 28.122, 28.123, 28.148, 28.149, and 28.151 are revised to read as follows:

§ 28.116 Amounts of fees for classification; exemption.

(a) For the classification of any cotton or samples, the person requesting the service shall pay a fee, as follows, subject to the minimum fee provided in paragraph (c) of this section:

(1) Grade, staple, and micronaire reading—75 cents per sample.

(2) Grade and staple only—60 cents per sample.

(3) Grade only or staple only—40 cents per sample.

(4) Micronaire reading only—15 cents per sample.

(b) When a comparison is requested of any samples with a type or with other samples, the fees prescribed in paragraph (a) of this section shall apply to every sample involved, including each of the samples of which the type is composed.

(c) A minimum fee of $4.00 shall be assessed for services described in paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section for each lot or mark of cotton reported or handled separately, unless the request for service is so worded that the samples become Government property immediately after classification.

(d) For any review of classification or comparison of any cotton, the fees prescribed in paragraph (a) of this section shall apply. The minimum fee prescribed in paragraph (c) of this section is not applicable to review of classification or comparison.

(e) The fees provided for in paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section may be waived in whole or in part, as to the classification and comparison and the review, if any, of any cotton (1) for any governmental agency; (2) to facilitate a cotton program of any governmental agency, and (3) for a charitable or philanthropic organization if such cotton will be used in accordance with an act of Congress or a congressional resolution for the relief of distress or will be exchanged for goods to be so used. The samples accumulated in the classification or certification of cotton for a governmental agency or to facilitate a cotton program of any governmental agency shall be disposed of as required by such agency.

§ 28.117 Fee for new memorandum or certificate.

For each new memorandum or certificate issued in substitution for a prior memorandum or certificate at the request of the holder, thereof, on account of the breaking or splitting of the lot of cotton covered thereby or otherwise for his business convenience, the person requesting such substitution shall pay a fee of $1.35 per sheet.

§ 28.120 Expenses to be borne by party requesting classification.

For any samples submitted for Form A or Form D determinations, the expenses of inspection and sampling, the preparation of the samples, and the delivery of such samples to the classification room of the board or other place specifically designated for the purpose by the Director or by the chairman of such board, shall be borne by the party requesting the classification. For samples submitted for Form C determination, the party requesting the classification shall pay the fees prescribed in this subpart and, in addition, a fee of $12 per hour, or each portion thereof, plus the necessary traveling expenses and subsistence, or per diem in lieu of subsistence, incurred on account of such request, in accordance with the fiscal regulations of the Department applicable to the Division employee supervising the sampling.

§ 28.122 Fee for practical classing examination.

The fee for the practical classing examination for cotton or cotton linters shall be $60.00. Any applicant who passes the examination may be issued a certificate indicating this accomplishment.

§ 28.123 Costs of practical forms of cotton standards.

The cost of practical forms of the cotton standards of the United States shall be as follows:

	Domestic shipments f.o.b. Memphis, Tenn.	Shipments delivered outside the continental United States
GRADE STANDARDS	*Dollars each box*	
American Upland:		
12-sample official boxes (universal standards)	20	23
6-sample guide boxes	12	15
American Pima:		
6-sample official boxes	20	23
TENTATIVE STANDARDS FOR PREPARATION		
American Upland long-staple cotton:		
6-sample boxes	13	16
STANDARDS FOR LENGTH OF STAPLE	*Dollars each length*	
American Upland (prepared in 1-pound rolls for each length)	4	5
American Pima (prepared in 1-pound rolls for each length)	4	5

§ 28.148 Fees and costs; classifications; reviews; other.

The fee for the classification, comparision, or review of linters with respect to grade, staple, and character or any of these qualities shall be at the rate of 55 cents for each bale or sample involved. The provisions of §§ 26.115 through 28.126 relating to other fees and costs shall, so far as applicable, apply to services performed with respect to linters.

§ 28.149 Fees and costs; Form C determinations.

For samples submitted for Form C determination, the party requesting the classification shall pay the fees prescribed in this subpart and, in addition, a fee of $12 per hour, or each portion thereof, plus the necessary traveling expenses and subsistence, or per diem in lieu of subsistence, incurred on account of such request, in accordance with the fiscal regulations of the Department applicable to the Division employee supervising the sampling.

§ 28.151 Cost of practical forms; period effective.

Practical forms of the official cotton linters standards of the United States will be furnished to any person subject to the applicable terms and conditions specified in § 28.105: *Provided*, That no practical form of any of the official cotton linters standards of the United States for grade shall be considered as representing any of said standards after the date of its cancellation in accordance with this subpart, or, in any event, after the expiration of 12 months following the date of its certification. The cost of the official standards for grade shall be at the rate of $15.00 each, f.o.b., Memphis, Tenn., for shipments within the continental United States, and $18.00 each, delivered to destination, for shipments outside the United States. The cost of the official standards for staple shall be at the rate of $3.50 each, f.o.b., Memphis, Tenn., for shipments within the continental United States, and $4.00 each, delivered to destination, for shipments outside the continental United States.

(Sec. 10, 42 Stat. 1519; 7 U.S.C. 61.)

3. Section 28.184 is revised to read as follows:

§ 28.184 Cotton linters; general.

Requests for the classification or comparison of cotton linters pursuant to this subpart and the samples involved shall be submitted to the Board of Cotton Linters Examiners. All samples classed shall be on the basis of the official cotton linters standards of the United States. The fee for classification or comparison and the issuance of a memorandum showing the results of such classification or comparison shall be 55 cents per sample.

(Sec. 205, 60 Stat. 1090, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1624.)

4. Section 28.911 is revised to read as follows:

§ 28.911 Review classification.

A producer may request one review classification for each bale of eligible cotton. The fee for review classification is 60 cents per sample. Samples for review classification may be drawn by samplers bonded pursuant to § 28.906, or by samplers at warehouses which issue negotiable warehouse receipts, or by employees of the United States Department of Agriculture. Each sample for review classi-

fication shall be taken, handled, and submitted according to § 28.908 and to supplemental instructions issued by the Director or his representatives. Costs incident to sampling, tagging, identification, containers, and shipment for samples for review classification shall be without expense to the Government.

(Sec. 10, 42 Stat. 1519, Sec. 3c, 50 Stat. 62; 7 U.S.C. 61, 473c.)

5. Sections 28.956 and 28.958 are revised to read as follows:

§ 28.956 Prescribed fees

Fees for fiber and processing tests shall be assessed as listed below:

Item No.	Kind of Test	
1	Furnishing U.S.D.A. calibration cotton in the short, medium, long and extra long staple lengths, including standard values for length by both array and Fibrograph methods, strength by flat bundle method at ⅛-in gauge, and maturity and fineness by the Causticaire methods:	
	a. By surface delivery, 1-lb sample	$12.00
	b. By air delivery within the U.S., 1-lb sample	14.00
	c. By air delivery outside the U.S., 1-lb sample	16.00
2	Furnishing international calibration cotton standards with standard values for micronaire reading and Pressley fiber strength at zero gauge:	
	a. By surface delivery, ½-lb. sample	8.00
	b. By air delivery within U.S., ½-lb. sample	10.00
	c. By air delivery outside U.S. ½-lb. sample	12.00
3	Fiber length array of cotton samples. Reporting the average percentage of fibers by weight in each ⅛-in. group average length, and average length variability as based on 3 specimens from a blended sample:	
	a. Ginned cotton lint, per sample	30.00
	b. Cotton comber noils, per sample	40.00
	c. Other cotton wastes, per sample	50.00
3.1	Fiber length array of cotton samples. Reporting the average percentage of fibers by weight in each ⅛-in group, average length, and average length variability as based on 2 specimens from a blended sample:	
	a. Ginned cotton lint, per sample	20.00
	b. Cotton comber noils, per sample	27.00
	c. Other cotton wastes, per sample	35.00
3.2	Fiber array of cotton samples, including purified or absorbent cotton. Reporting the average percentage of fibers ½-in and longer by weight, the average of fibers shorter that ¼-in by weight, average length, and average length variability as based on 3 specimens from each sample, per sample	25.00
4.	Fiber length of ginned cotton lint by Fibrograph method. Reporting the average length and average length uniformity as based on 4 specimens from a blended sample, per sample	2.50
	Minimum fee unless performed in connection with other tests requiring a blended specimen	5.00
4.1	Fiber length of ginned cotton lint by Fibrograph method. Reporting the length of each sub-sample and average length and average length uniformity for each group of replicate sub-samples as based on 2 specimens from each of 3 or more replicate unblended sub-samples, per sub-sample	1.75
	Minimum fee	5.25
5.	Pressley strength of ginned cotton lint by flat bundle method for either zore or ⅛-in gauge as specified by applicant. Reporting the average strength as based on specimens from a blended sample, per sample	2.50
	Kind of Test	
	Minium fee unless performed in connection with other tests requiring a blended sample	7.50
5.1	Pressley strength of ginned cotton lint by flat bundle method for either zero or ⅛-in gauge as specified by applicant. Reporting the strength of each sub-sample and the average strength for each group of replicate sub-samples as based on 2 specimens for each of 3 or more replicate unblended sub-samples, per sub-sample	1.75
	Minimum fee	5.25
5.2	Stelometer strength and elongation of ginned cotton lint by the flat bundle method for ⅛-in gauge. Reporting the average strength and elongation as based on 6 specimens from a blended sample, per sample	4.00
	Minimum fee unless performed in connection with other tests requiring blended sample	12.00
5.3	Stelometer strength and elongation of ginned cotton lint by the flat bundle method for ⅛-in gauge. Reporting the strength and elongation of each sub-sample and the average of the group of replicate sub-samples as based on 2 specimens from each of 3 or more unblended samples, per sub-sample	2.00
	Minimum fee	6.00
6	Fiber maturity and fineness of ginned cotton lint by the Causticaire method. Reporting the average maturity, fineness, and micronaire reading as based on 2 specimens from a blended sample, per sample	4.00
	Minimum fee	12.00
7	Micronaire readings on ginned cotton lint. Reporting the micronaire reading as based on 1 specimen from each sample, per sample	.15
	Minimum fee	1.50
8	Neps content of ginned cotton lint. Reporting the neps per 100 square inches as based on the web prepared from a 3-g specimen by using accessory equipment with the mechanical fiber blender, per sample	5.00
	Minimum fee unless performed in connection with other tests requiring a blended specimen	10.00
9	Blending samples of ginned cotton lint, including the blending of a 10-g sample on the mechanical fiber blender and returning the blended sample to the applicant, per sample	2.00
10	Cotton carded yarn spinning test. Reporting data on waste extracted, yarn skein strength, yarn appearance, yarn imperfections, and classification and fiber length as specified in items 27 and 4 as well as comments summarizing any unusual observations as based on the processing of 6 pounds of cotton in accordance with standard laboratory procedures at one of the standard rates of carding of 6½, 9½, or 12½ pounds-per-hour into two of the standard carded yarn numbers of 8s, 14s, 22s, 36s, 44s, or 50s, employing a standard twist multiplier unless otherwise specified, per sample	65.00
	Minimum fee	130.00
11	Spinning potential test. Determining the finest yarn which can be spun with no ends down and reporting spinning potential yarn number. This test is made in connection with item 10 and requires an additional 4 pounds of cotton, per sample	65.00
	Minimum fee	130.00
12	Cotton combed yarn spinning test. Reporting data on waste extracted, yarn skein strength, yarn appearance, yarn imperfections, and classification and fiber length as specified in items 27 and 4 as well as comments summarizing any unusual observations as based on the processing of 8 pounds of cotton in accordance with standard procedures at one of the standard rates of carding of 4½, 6½, or 9½, pounds-per-hour into two of the standard combed yarn numbers of 22s, 36s, 44s, 50s, 60s, 80s, or 100s employing a standard twist multiplier unless otherwise specified, per sample	80.00
	Minnimum fee	160.00

Item No.		
13	Cotton carded and combed yarn spinning test. Reporting the results specified in item numbers 10 and 12 in combination as based on the processing of 10 pounds of cotton into two of the standard carded and two of the standard combed yarn numbers employing the same carding rate and the same yarn numbers for both the carded and the combed yarns, per sample	100.00
	Minimum fee	200.00
14	Cotton carded and combed yarn spinning test. Reporting the results specified in item numbers 10 and 12 in combination as based on the processing of 9 pounds of cotton into two of the standard carded and two of the standard combed yarn numbers employing different carding rates and/or yarn numbers for the carded and combed yarns, per sample	120.00
	Minimum fee	240.00
15	Two-pound cotton carded yarn spinning test available to cotton breeders only. Reporting data on yarn skein strength, yarn appearance, yarn imperfections, and the classification and the fiber length of the cotton as specified in item numbers 27 and 4 as well as comments on any unusual processing performance as based on the processing of 2 pounds of cotton in accordance with standard procedures into two standard carded yarn numbers employing a standard twist multiplier, per sample	40.00
	Minimum fee	80.00
16	Processing and testing of additional yarn. Any carded or combed yarn number processed in connection with spinning tests as specified in item numbers 10, 12, 13, and 14 including either additional yarn numbers or additional twist multipliers employed on the same yarn numbers, per additional lot of yarn	10.00
16.1	Processing and furnishing of additional yarn. Any yarn number processed in connection with spinning tests as specified in items 10, 12, 13, and 14. Approximately 300 yards on each of 16 paper tubes for testing by the applicant, per additional lot of yarn	20.00
17	Twist in yarns by direct-counting method. Reporting direction of twist and average turns per inch of yarn.	
	a. Single yarns based on 40 specimens per lot of yarn	45.00
	b. Plied or cabled yarns based on 10 specimens per lot of yarn	12.00
18	Skein strength of yarn. Reporting data on the strength and the yarn numbers based on 25 skeins from yarn furnished by the applicant, per sample	5.50
18.1	Appearance grade of yarn furnished on bobbins by applicant. Reporting the appearance grade in accordance with ASTM standards as based on yarn wound from one bobbin, per bobbin	2.00
18.2	Furnishing yarn wound on boards in connection with yarn appearance tests as specified in item numbers 10, 12, 13, 14, and 15, per yarn number	2.00
19	Processing, weaving, and testing of fabric. Reporting data on the warp and the filling strength by the grab method.	
	a. Processed in connection with spinning tests as specified in item numbers 10, 12, 13, and 14, per lot of fabric	145.00
	b. Processed from yarns furnished by the applicant, per lot of fabric	120.00
20	Strength of cotton fabric. Reporting the average warp and filling strength by the grab method as based on 5 breaks for both warp and filling of fabric furnished by the applicant, per sample	8.00
20.1	Cotton fabric analysis. Reporting data on the number of warp and filling threads per inch and the weight per yard of fabric as based on at least three (3) 6 x 6-in. specimens of fabric which was processed as specified in item number 19, or furnished by the applicant, per sample	15.00
	Minimum fee	30.00
21	Color of ginned cotton lint. Reporting data on the reflectance in terms of Rd values and the degree of yellowness in terms of b values as based on color tests employing the Nickerson-Hunter Colorimeter on samples which have a uniform surface measuring 5 x 6½ in. and weighing approximately 50 grams in order to provide specimens which are sufficiently thick to be opaque, per sample	.35
	Minimum fee	2.80
22	Furnishing color standards, including a set of standard tiles and a master diagram for use in calibrating Nickerson-Hunter Cotton Colorimeters, per set	65.00
22.1	Furnishing replacement calibration tiles for above sets, each tile	6.50
22.2	Furnishing a Colorimeter calibration sample box containing 6 cotton samples with color values Rd and +b plotted on a color diagram based on the Nickerson-Colorimeter, per box	12.00
22.3	Furnishing new Colorimeter readings on samples in calibration boxes returned for check readings, per 6-sample box	2.50
23	Furnishing copies of test data worksheets. Includes individual observations and calculations which are not routinely furnished to the applicant, per sheet	1.30
24	Foreign matter content of cotton samples. Reporting data on the non-lint content as based on the Shirley Analyzer separation of lint and foreign matter.	
	a. For samples of ginned lint or comber noils, per 100- specimen	3.25
	Minimum fee	6.50
	b. For samples of ginning and processing wastes other than comber noils, per 100-g specimen	10.00
	Minimum fee	20.00
25	Furnishing identified cotton samples. Includes samples of ginned lint, stock at any stage of processing or testing, waste of any type, yarn or fabric selected and identified in connection with fiber and/or spinning tests, per identified sample	1.30
26	Furnishing additional copies of test reports. Includes extra copies in addition to the 2 copies routinely furnished in connection with each test item, per additional sheet	.65
26.1	Furnishing a certified relisting of test results. Includes samples or sub-samples selected from any previous tests, per sheet	3.25
27	Classification of ginned cotton lint in connection with fiber tests. Classification includes grade, staple and mike reading which requires a 6-oz sample, per sample	.75
28	Combination fiber tests:	
	a. Test items 4, 5, and 6, per sample	8.00
	b. Test items 4, 5.2, and 7, per sample	9.50
28.1	Combination fiber tests:	
	a. Tests items 4, 5, and 7, per sample	4.50
	b. Test items 4, 5.2, and 7, per sample	6.00
28.2	Combination fiber tests:	
	a. Test items 4.1, 5.1 and 7 on 3 or more replicate subsamples, per sub-sample	3.00
	Minimum fee	9.00
	b. Test items 4.1, 5.3 and 7 on 3 or more replicate subsamples, per subsample	3.50
	Minimum fee	10.50
29	Mercerizing and testing of cotton yarn. Reporting data on the luster of two 120-yd skeins and strength of the yarn as based on twenty-five 120-yd skeins mercerized in accordance with standard laboratory procedures.	
	a. Including the processing of the extra yarn in connection with spinning test item numbers 10, 12, 13, and 14, per lot of yarn	20.00
	Minimum fee	80.00
	b. For yarn furnished by the applicant, 27 skeins of 120 yds each required, per lot of yarn	16.00
	Minimum fee	64.00

Item No.		
30	Bleaching and testing of cotton yarn. Reporting data on the color of the yarn in terms of Rd reflectance values and plus b degree of yellowness values as based on the measurement of two 120-yd skeins either processed in connection with spinning test item numbers 10, 12, 13, and 14 or furnished by the applicant and bleached in accordance with standard laboratory procedures, per lot of yarn	6.50
	Minimum fee	65.00
31	Bleaching, dyeing, and testing of cotton yarn. Reporting data on the color of the dyed yarn in terms of Rd reflectance values and minus b degree of blueness values as based on the measurement of two 120-yd skeins either processed in connection with spinning test item numbers 10, 12, 13, and 14 or furnished by the applicant and bleached then dyed in accordance with standard laboratory procedures, per lot of yarn	10.00
	Minimum fee	100.00
32	Dyeing and testing of grey cotton yarn. Reporting data on the color of the dyed yarn in terms of Rd reflectance values and minus by degree of blueness values as based on the measurement of two 120-yd skeins either processed in connection with spinning test item numbers 10, 12, 13, and 14 or furnished by the applicant and dyed in accordance with standard laboratory procedures, per lot of yarn	6.50
	Minimum fee	65.00
33	Luster of cotton yarn. Reporting data on the percent luster of grey or mercerized yarn either processed in connection with spinning test item numbers 10, 12, 13, and 14 or furnished by the applicant as based on the measurement of two 120-yd skeins, per lot of yarn	2.00
	Minimum fee	6.00
34	Color of cotton yarn. Reporting data on the color of grey, bleached, dyed, or bleached and dyed yarn either processed in connection with spinning test items 10, 12, 13, and 14 or furnished by the applicant as based on the measurement of two 120-yd skeins, per lot of yarn	2.00
	Minimum fee	6.00

§ 28.958 Fees and charges for inspection and checkloading services.

(a) *Base rate.* Fees to be charged and collected for inspection and checkloading services furnished on a fee basis shall be based on the time required to render such service including but not limited to, the time required for the travel of the inspector or inspectors in connection therewith, at the rate of $12.00 per hour for each inspector for the time actually required, except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section.

(b) *Overtime rate.* If an applicant requires that any inspection or checkloading service be performed on a holiday, Saturday, Sunday, or outside the inspector's regularly scheduled tour of duty on Monday through Friday, he shall be charged for such service at the rate of $16.00 per hour.

(c) *Travel expense and other charges.* Charges may be made to cover the cost of travel and other expenses incurred by the Service in connection with the performance of any inspection or checkloading service on a fee basis. Such charges shall include the costs of travel, per diem, and other expenses.

(Sec. 3c, 50 Stat. 62; 7 U.S.C. 473c)

PART 61—COTTONSEED SOLD OR OFFERED FOR SALE FOR CRUSHING PURPOSES (INSPECTION, SAMPLING, AND CERTIFICATION)

6. Sections 61.43, 61.44, 61.45 and 61.46 are revised to read as follows:

§ 61.43 Fee for sampler's license.

For the examination of an applicant for a license to sample and certificate official samples of cottonseed the fee shall be $12.00, but no additional charge shall be made for the issuance of a license. For each renewal of a sampler's license the fee shall be $10.00.

§ 61.44 Fee for chemist's license.

For the examination of an applicant for a license as a chemist to analyze and certificate the grade of cottonseed the fee shall be $200.00, but no additional charge shall be made for the issuance of a license. For each renewal of a chemist's license the fee shall be $65.00.

§ 61.45 Fee for certificates to be paid by licensee to Service.

To cover in part the cost of administering the regulations in this part each licensed cottonseed chemist shall pay to the Service 70 cents for each certificate of the grade of cottonseed issued by him. Upon receipt of a statement from the Service each month showing the number of certificates issued by the licensee, such licensee will forward the appropriate remittance in the form of a check, draft, or money order payable to the "Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA."

§ 61.46 Fees for review of grading of cottonseed.

For the review of the grading of any lot of cottonseed, the fee shall be $25.00. Remittance to cover such fee, in the form of a check, draft, or money order payable to the "Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA," shall accompany each application for review. Of each such fee collected, $7.00 shall be covered into the Treasury and $9.00 disbursed to each of the two licensed chemists designated to make reanalyses of such seed.

(Sec. 205, 60 Stat. 1090, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1624.)

The need for these increased fees and the amount thereof are dependent upon facts within the knowledge of the Agricultural Marketing Service. Therefore, under the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 553, it is found that notice and other procedure with respect to these revisions are impracticable and unnecessary.

The conduct of all services and the licensing of inspection/grading/sampling personnel under these regulations shall be accomplished without discrimination as to race, color, religion, sex, or national origin.

Effective Date: These revisions shall become effective July 1, 1976.

Dated: May 17, 1976.

WILLIAM T. MANLEY,
Deputy Administrator,
Program Operations.

[FR Doc.76-14839 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

CHAPTER IX—AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE (MARKETING AGREEMENTS AND ORDERS; FRUITS, VEGETABLES, NUTS), DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

[Navel Orange Reg. 381]

PART 907—NAVEL ORANGES GROWN IN ARIZONA AND DESIGNATED PART OF CALIFORNIA

Limitation of Handling

This regulation fixes the quantity of California-Arizona Navel oranges that may be shipped to fresh market during the weekly regulation period May 21-27, 1976. It is issued pursuant to the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended, and Marketing Order No. 907. The quantity of Navel oranges so fixed was arrived at after consideration of the total available supply of Navel oranges, the quantity currently available for market, the fresh market demand for Navel oranges, Navel orange prices, and the relationship of season average returns to the parity price for Navel oranges.

§ 907.681 Navel Orange Regulation 381.

(a) *Findings.* (1) Pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 907, as amended (7 CFR Part 907), regulating the handling of Navel oranges grown in Arizona and designated part of California, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674), and upon the basis of the recommendations and information submitted by the Navel Orange Administrative Committee, established under the said amended marketing agreement and order, and upon other available information, it is hereby found that the limitation of handling of such Navel oranges, as hereinafter provided, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

(2) The need for this regulation to limit the respective quantities of Navel oranges that may be marketed from District 1, District 2, and District 3 during the ensuing week stems from the production and marketing situation confronting the Navel orange industry.

(i) The committee has submitted its recommendation with respect to the

quantities of Navel oranges that should be marketed during the next succeeding week. Such recommendation, designed to provide equity of marketing opportunity to handlers in all districts, resulted from consideration of the factors enumerated in the order. The committee further reports that the fresh market demand for Navel oranges is strengthening particularly for first grade fruit. Prices f.o.b. averaged $3.14 a carton on a reported sales volume of 1,077 carlots last week, compared with an average f.o.b. price of $3.05 per carton and sales of 1,207 carlots a week earlier. Track and rolling supplies at 460 cars were down 55 cars from last week.

(ii) Having considered the recommendation and information submitted by the committee, and other available information, the Secretary finds that the respective quantities of Navel oranges which may be handled should be fixed as hereinafter set forth.

(3) It is hereby further found that it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, engage in public rule-making procedure, and postpone the effective date of this regulation until 30 days after publication hereof in the FEDERAL REGISTER (5 U.S.C. 553) because the time intervening between the date when information upon which this regulation is based became available and the time this regulation must become effective in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act is insufficient, and a reasonable time is permitted, under the circumstances, for preparation for such effective time; and good cause exists for making the provisions hereof effective as hereinafter set forth. The committee held an open meeting during the current week, after giving due notice thereof, to consider supply and market conditions for Navel oranges and the need for regulation; interested persons were afforded an opportunity to submit information and views at this meeting; the recommendation and supporting information for regulation, including its effective time, are identical with the aforesaid recommendation of the committee, and information concerning such provisions and effective time has been disseminated among handlers of such Navel oranges; it is necessary, in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act, to make this regulation effective during the period herein specified; and compliance with this regulation will not require any special preparation on the part of persons subject hereto which cannot be completed on or before the effective date hereof. Such committee meeting was held on May 18, 1976.

(b) *Order.* (1) The respective quantities of Navel oranges grown in Arizona and designated part of California which may be handled during the period May 21, 1976, through May 27, 1976, are hereby fixed as follows:

(i) District 1: 1,200,000 cartons;

(ii) District 2: Unlimited movement;

(iii) District 3: Unlimited movement."

(2) As used in this section, "handled," "District 1," "District 2," "District 3," and "carton" have the same meaning as when used in said amended marketing agreement and order.

(Secs. 1–19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601–674.)

Dated: May 19, 1976.

CHARLES R. BRADER,
Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc.76–15053 Filed 5–19–76;11:49 am]

proposed rules

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices to the public of the proposed issuance of rules and regulations. The purpose of these notices is to give interested persons an opportunity to participate in the rule making prior to the adoption of the final rules.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

[32 CFR Part 251]

TOXIC CHEMICAL HAZARDS OR COMBINED TOXIC AND EXPLOSIVES HAZARDS SAFETY STANDARDS

Proposed Toxic Chemical Safety Standards

The proposed standards are authorized by Department of Defense Directive 5154.4, "The Department of Defense Explosives Safety Board," dated October 23, 1971 (36 FR 22777, November 30, 1971). Pursuant to the authority vested in the Secretary of Defense in accordance with Title 10, United States Code, section 172, this Directive established the Department of Defense Explosives Safety Board (DDESB) as a joint activity of the Department of Defense, subject to the direction, authority and control of the Secretary of Defense.

Notice is hereby given that the Chairman, Department of Defense Explosives Safety Board proposes to establish Toxic Chemical Safety Standards as an addition to DoD 5154.4S, "DoD Ammunition and Explosives Safety Standards," dated July 1974. (DoD 5154.4S is available from Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402). These standards are applicable to existing stockpiles of chemicals which are maintained in support of national policy in full conformance with current legislation and international law.

The standards for toxic chemical agents and ammunition containing such agents are designed to provide a degree of safety to the public, equivalent to or greater than that provided for other types of ammunition and explosives by existing provisions of DoD 5154.4S. It is proposed to make the toxic chemical safety standards effective on the date of their republication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Inquiries may be addressed to, and data, views, and arguments concerning the proposed standards may be submitted to, the Chairman, Department of Defense Explosives Safety Board, Department of Defense, Washington, D.C. 20314. All material received on or before June 21, 1976, will be considered. All comments in response to these standards will be available for public inspection during normal business hours at the foregoing address.

The proposed standards will be contained in a new Part 251 to this subchapter and will read as follows:

Sec.
251.1 General.
251.2 Maximum Credible Event.
251.3 Hazard Zone Calculations.
251.4 Public Access Exclusion Distance.
251.5 Intraline Distance.
251.6 Magazine Distance.
251.7 Exclusion.
251.8 Containment.

AUTHORITY: The provisions of this Part 251 issued under Title 10, United States Code, section 172.

§ 251.1 General.

Items in this class are chemical agent filled ammunition, chemical agents, and chemical agent filled components. As used in this standard, "agent" means a toxic chemical agent, a substance which in intended for military use with lethal or incapacitating effects upon man through its chemical properties. Excluded from toxic chemical agents for purposes of this standard are riot control agents, chemical herbicides, smoke and flame producing items, and individual disassociated components of chemical agent ammunition.

(a) Depending upon the type of agent, its persistency, volatility, toxicity, or other characteristics, the primary safety consideration may be the area of agent dispersal rather than blast or fragment distance which usually control in the case of other ammunition. Items which contain only toxic chemical agents are assigned to Class 6, Division 1.

(b) Items which contain both explosives and toxic chemical components are assigned to Class 1, Divisions 1 through 4, as appropriate; Class 6, Division 1 requirements must also be applied so that the explosives and toxic chemical hazards are both considered.

§ 251.2 Maximum credible event.

A maximum credible event (MCE) is defined as that unintended, unplanned, or accidental adverse occurrence which causes release of agent from an ammunition item, bulk container, or process. It must be realistic with a reasonable probability of occurrence. It is necessary to hypothesize a MCE to enable calculation of the magnitude of a worst case hazard.

(a) The hypothetical MCE for any given situation will be based upon the nature and characteristics of the agent involved, ammunition, container, configuration, and location.

(b) The number of ammunities items involved, the quantity of agent released, and the percentage of agent disseminated shall be asumed, based upon available test data or, in the absence of data, the best technical judgment available.

(c) In the instance of a bulk container or an ammunition item without explosives components, the MCE will be developed from the hypothesis of a leak or rupture resulting in a release of agent.

(d) In the instance of ammunition with explosive components, the MCE will be developed from the assumption of an explosion or detonation of one of the most disruptive explosive components which would produce the worst results regarding release of agent; the propagation characteristics of the ammunition will be considered in developing the overall MCE.

(e) The amount of agent released and the nature of release (evaporation or aerosolization) as a result of MCE will then be used to make the hazard-zone calculations required by this standard.

§ 251.3 Hazard zone calculations.

(a) Because of the diversity of items which may be present at an installation, and the wide variation in hazard associated with MCEs involving different items, it is necessary that calculations be made for each item individually.

(b) Considerations of hazard zones for chemical items at each installation shall include: agents present, their amounts, and storage or handling configurations; the normal types of operations and handling to which they are subjected; and the MCE that may result directly from such operations.

(1) The chemical agent source strength which would result from the MCE that could occur in the usual storage and handling configuration or during other specialized operations shall be calculated for each chemical item. Such MCE shall be evaluated and the worst case hazard-source strength shall be selected, based on the type and quantity of agent released and type of release; e.g., by aerosolization or evaporation.

(2) These hazardous sources shall become the basis of subsequent hazard-distance calculations performed in accordance with the methodology described in DDESB Technical Paper Number 10.

(c) In performing hazard calculations, prime consideration shall be given to: type of agent, source strength and geometry, and time of day that the agent release occurs.

(1) Distances will be calculated to show whether or not the hazards from the chemical agents exceed the safety zones required to protect against the explosive hazards if both are present.

(2) The hazard distances shall then be displayed as the radius of a circle drawn on a map of the installation and its environs if the distance extends beyond the installation boundaries. The indicated worst case distance to the contour line

at which the following values would exist:

Agent:	$mg\text{-}min/m^3$
GB	10.0
VX	4.3
HD	150.0

shall be used as public access exclusion distance for Class 6, Division 1 chemical agents.

§ 251.4 Public access exclusion distance.

This distance requires consideration not only of blast or fragment hazard from Class 1 items, but also of the effect of release of agent because of the agent's persistency, volatility, toxicity, nature of release (aerosolization or evaporation), or other special features.

(a) Inhabited building quantity-distance standards for chemical agents in Class 1 or Class 6 items cannot be conveniently stated in the manner prescribed for other Class 1 items.

(b) Based on meteorological, topographical and other local conditions, a downwind-hazard zone in which certain effects may be expected shall be calculated for accidental agent release from the potential source of agent.

(c) The downwind-hazard zone shall be calculated as indicated in § 251.3.

(d) For protection analogous to the inhabited-building distance for explosives, the hazard zone calculated from the MCE shall represent that arc from the agent source containing no more than 10.0, 4.3, and 150.0 $mg\text{-}min/m^3$ of GB, VX, or HD respectively.

(e) Positive means shall be taken to assure that no persons, not directly associated with chemical weapons operations, enter areas so defined.

(f) In the event this calculation results in a lesser distance than that required by the associated blast or fragment hazard, the blast or fragment distance shall be applied as determined for Class 1, Divisions 1 through 4.

(g) Positive control of an area which can assure that all persons can be removed prior to exposure in the case of an MCE may be developed in lieu of absolute exclusion. Full details of such control must be presented to the DDESB for approval if proposed to be used in lieu of exclusion.

§ 251.5 Intraline Distanc.

Since the primary purpose of intraline distance is to prevent the propagation of explosions, there is no requirement for intraline separation of Class 6 items. The intraline distances for Class 1 ammunition containing both agents and explosive components shall be those specified for Class 1, Divisions 1 through 4, as if the chemical agents were not present.

§ 251.6 Magazine Distance.

Since the primary purpose of magazine distance is to provide a high degree of protection against propagation of explosions (in the case of aboveground magazines), or to provide virtually complete protection against propagation of explosions (in the case of igloo magazines), there is no requirement for magazine separation of Class 6 items.

(a) The magazine distance for Class 1 ammunition containing both agent and explosive components shall be that appropriate if chemical agent were not present, using the appropriate table for Hazard Class 1, Division 1 through 4.

(b) For chemical agents requiring differing decontamination procedures, the controlling DoD Component shall specify appropriate minimum-separation distances.

§ 251.7 Exclusion.

This standard does not apply to situations where the immediate disposal or detoxification of chemical ammunition or agents is necessitated by an emergency when delay would clearly cause a greater danger to human life, or health.

§ 251.8 Containment.

Safety criteria for containment of operations:

(a) Certain operations are inherently hazardous and appropriate containment of the various hazards involved is necessary for the protection of the employees performing such work, the protection of other employees at the installation who are not associated with such work, and the protection of the general public outside the installation.

(1) Personnel responsible for planning, designing, and accomplishing such operations must assure that adequate safety is proved by incorporating the appropriate types of hazard containment.

(2) The various circumstances and facilities that may be encountered at such operations prevent pre-definition of specific detailed containment specifications for each agent, each ammunition and each operation.

(3) Nevertheless, the general principles of hazard containment set forth below will be normally incorporated in operations such as manufacture, disassembly, demilitarization, and disposal.

(b) There are two types of containment: "total containment," and "vapor containment." Irrespective of which type of containment is provided, the containment structure or facility will be equipped with a means of entrapping or detoxifying the evaporated or aerosolized chemical agent by filters, scrubbers, incinerators, or other appropriate means. Total containment and vapor containment are described as follows:

(1) Total containment requires a facility designed and tested to be of sufficient capacity and strength to contain combustion or detonation gases, fragments, and agent from the largest explosion that could occur, based upon the propagation characteristics of the ammunition. Currently, there are two basic designs for such total containment.

(i) One design consists of a chamber capable of retaining all of the fragments and explosion effects; and preventing release of detectable quantities of agent.

(ii) The other design consists of a suppressive shield capable of retaining the fragments and sufficiently attenuating the blast forces while a plenum chamber (outer envelope surrounding the suppressive shield) retains the combustion gases and prevents release of detectable quantities of agent.

(2) Vapor containment will consist of a facility designed to provide negative pressure, controlled air flow, and walled or multiple walled enclosures which will contain any detectable quantities of agent released. Designs for vapor containment are usually tailored to the operation involved.

(3) Containment is not required for operations associated with storage activities. Examples of such operations include shipping, storing, receiving, rewarehousing, minor maintenance, surveillance inspection, repair, and encapsulation.

(i) Emergency agent transfer in the event of agent leakage is also permitted without containmment. These activities normally present an acceptable degree of safety except in the event of an agent leaker, and then the increased hazard is only to those operating personnel in proximity.

(ii) In the event of a leaker, measures such as personal protective clothing and equipment are mandatory to protect operating personnel during the application of decontamination procedures to neutralize the escaping agent, and to repair, encapsulate, or transfer agent from the leaking ammunition or container.

(4) The selection of the type of containment is dependent upon the nature of the operation involved.

(i) Total containment is required for those operations involving ammunition which contain explosive components as well as toxic agents, whenever the operation may subject the explosive components to a potential initiating stimulus.

(ii) Vapor containment is required for those operations involving toxic agents in bulk or in ammunition without explosives components; and for those operations involving ammunition containing both toxic agent and explosive components wherein the operation does not subject the explosive components to a potential initiating stimulus.

(iii) Examples of disassembly, demilitarization, and disposal operations which normally require total containment and those which require vapor containment are listed below. For situations not specifically listed, selection of the type of containment required will be in accordance with the above principles.

(*a*) Operations requiring total containment include:

(*i*) Machine tool operations; e.g., cutting, sawing, milling, drilling, punching, or shearing of ammunition if the operation requires the cutting tool to remove or displace metal before or after contact with the explosives.

(*ii*) Situations in which the ammunition arming and functioning environments can be duplicated by the sequence of operations and process machinery.

(*iii*) Disassembly of armed or possibly armed ammunition.

(*iv*) Disassembly of explosive components from ammunition where there is evidence of significant damage, exudation of explosives, corrosion, or deterioration; unless testing, analysis or evalu-

ation by DDESB determines that total containment is not required.

(v) Disassembly of explosive components from ammunition where undue force is required to accomplish the disassembly; e.g., where tools used for disassembly must apply significantly greater leverage or torque than those which were used for the assembly.

(b) Operations requiring vapor containment are:

(i) Machine tool operations; e.g., punching, drilling, or sawing of ammunition to remove the agent provided the equipment is designed to prevent contact of its cutting tool with explosives.

(ii) Burster well removal, after removal of explosive components.

(iii) Transfer of agent from bulk storage tanks, containers or ammunition into holding tanks, chemical detoxification reactors, incinerators, or similar processing equipment, such as may be found in a production, demilitarization or disposal line. This is not to be construed as requiring vapor containment for agent transfer during field operations involving leaker repair activities.

MAURICE W. ROCHE,
Director, Correspondence and Directives OASD (Comptroller).

MAY 17, 1976.

[FR Doc.76-14845 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Indian Affairs

[25 CFR Part 221]

SAN CARLOS IRRIGATION PROJECT, ARIZ.

Proposed Revisions

Pursuant to the authority vested in the Secretary of the Interior for issuance of irrigation operation and maintenance orders fixing per acre assessments against lands included in Indian Irrigation Projects, delegated to the Commissioner of Indian Affairs by Order No. 2508 (10 BIAM 2.1, Section 15a) and redelegated to the Area Directors, by 10 BIAM 4.1, notice is hereby given that it is proposed to modify § 221.63 Assessment, Joint Works, of Title 25, Code of Federal Regulations, dealing with operation and maintenance assessments against the irrigable lands of the San Carlos Irrigation Project, Arizona, by increasing the total basic assessment from $600,000 to $1,185,000 per annum and the per acre assessment rate from $6.00 to $11.85 for each acre of land, the revised section would read as follows:

§ 221.63 Assessment, Joint Works.

(a) Pursuant to the Act of Congress approved June 7, 1924 (43 Stat. 476), and supplementary acts, the repayment contract of June 8, 1931, as amended, between the United States and the San Carlos Irrigation and Drainage District, and in accordance with applicable provisions of the order of the Secretary of the Interior June 15, 1938 (§§ 221.69a-221.69m), the cost of the operation and maintenance of the Joint Works of the San Carlos Indian Irrigation Project for the fiscal year 1978 is estimated to be $1,185,000 and the rate of assessment for the said fiscal year and subsequent fiscal years until further order, is hereby fixed at $11.85 for each acre of land.

It is the policy of the Department of the Interior whenever practicable to afford the public an opportunity to participate in the rule making process. Accordingly, interested persons may submit written comments, suggestions, or objections with respect to the proposed amendment, to John H. Artichoker, Area Director, Phoenix Area Office, P.O. Box 7007, Phoenix, Arizona, 85011, on or before June 11, 1976.

JOHN ARTICHOKER, JR.,
Area Director.

[FR Doc.76-14691 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Marketing Service

[7 CFR Part 26]

WHEAT

Proposed Revision of Standards; Correction

In FR Doc. 76-12079 appearing at page 17553 in the FEDERAL REGISTER of April 27, 1976, item Number 4 in Column 3 of Page 17555 is corrected to read—"Clarify the definition for 'damaged kernels' by including the term 'insect-bored'—to identify insect-bored kernels which are, and always have been, considered damaged kernels."

Dated: May 17, 1976.

DONALD E. WILKINSON,
Administrator.

[FR Doc.76-14838 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

[7 CFR Part 1099]

[Docket No. AO-183-A34]

MILK IN PADUCAH, KENTUCKY, MARKETING AREA

Hearing on Proposed Amendment to Tentative Marketing Agreement and Order

Correction

In FR Doc. 76-9994 appearing at page 14768 in the FEDERAL REGISTER of Wednesday, April 7, 1976, the final paragraph immediately preceding the signature on page 14769 should read:

"Signed at Washington, D.C., on April 2, 1976".

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Food and Drug Administration

[21 CFR Part 1]

[Docket No. 76P-0129]

INDIVIDUALLY WRAPPED PIECES OF CONFECTIONERY

Proposed Exemption From Required Label Statements; Withdrawal of Proposal, Denial of Petition, and Termination of Rule Making Proceeding

The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is withdrawing the proposal concerning an exemption from labeling requirements for individually wrapped candies of 2 ounces or less net weight (published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of March 13, 1975 (40 FR 11731)), denying the petition on which the proposal was based, and terminating the rule making proceeding in that matter.

The proposed amendment, if adopted, would have revised § 1.1c(a)(4) (21 CFR 1.1c(a)(4)) by deleting the words "penny candy and other" and by exempting individually wrapped pieces of confectionery of not more than 2 ounces net weight from the net quantity of contents declaration required by § 1.8b (21 CFR 1.8b). The proposal was published in response to a petition submitted by the National Confectioners Association (NCA), Washington, DC 20036.

One thousand thirty-nine comments were received in response to the proposal: 1,002 from consumers (one comment included letters from a class of 40 students), 18 from government agencies (Federal, State, and local), 6 from consumer organizations, 6 from the industry, 4 from trade associations, 2 from universities, and 1 from a Congressman. Only 13 of these comments favored the proposal. A summary of the comments and the Commissioner of Food and Drug responses follow:

1. Many comments disagreed with the petitioner's economic arguments. One comment included a cost analysis which showed the potential savings to be a maximum of $59 million, not the $106 million claimed by the petitioner. Other comments pointed out that there would be no legally enforceable way to make manufacturers pass on savings to the consumer. Some comments stated that many consumers are willing to pay for the minimal extra cost of net weight labeling on individual packages. Other comments disagreed with the petitioner's argument alleging marketing difficulties due to "odd-cents pricing"; the comments pointed out that candy bar prices of 12, 13, 17, and 18 cents are common in New York City. A few of these comments stated that if manufacturers changed their prices to reflect changes in their costs, the need to discard candy bar wrappers outdated from continual fluctuations of net weight would be eliminated.

The Commissioner agrees that the maximum potential savings may be less than the petitioner estimated. The Commissioner recognizes that when manufacturers are granted relief from requirements with the anticipation that savings will be passed on to the consumer, some manufacturers may not pass on all of the savings to the consumer since there is no legal requirement that this be done. The Commissioner also notes the existence of "odd-cents pricing" in New York City and the potential for the confectionery industry to reflect cost changes in price. Having reviewed the many comments received, the Commissioner has concluded that the desire of many consumers for net weight labeling on the individual packages of candy bars outweighs any potential benefits of cost savings that would result from the proposed exemption regulation.

2. Citing what were considered to be deceptive and misleading news reports on the proposal, the petitioner submitted a comment contending that due to such reports some persons based their opposition to the proposal on inaccurate, deceptive, and untruthful information. The petitioner requested that FDA "* * * consider only those comments which make it clear that the individual submitting the comment clearly and fairly understands the proposal * * *."

The Commissioner has reviewed the newspaper articles and editorials submitted by the petitioner. He notes that almost all the articles failed to explain the proposed alternate quantity of contents labeling on boxes or counter cards. The Commissioner therefore agrees that the failure of these articles to explain the proposed alternate labeling is a significant omission of fact that may have unfairly prejudiced some comments on the proposal. The Commissioner has considered all the comments on the proposal, and each comment has been evaluated according to its merits. The Commissioner's decision has not been influenced by those comments that reflect a misunderstanding of the proposal.

3. Some comments favored the proposal, stating that the consumer does not need net weight information or does not care about net weight on candy bar labels. Other comments stated that children do not look at net weight declarations.

The Commissioner notes that substantial numbers of the comments in opposition to the proposal, many of which were from children, did express concern that proper value comparisons would not be possible if the net weight does not appear on candy labels.

4. A few comments objected to the proposal by stating that there are other solutions for the confectionery industry's labeling problems. Some comments suggested that labels be printed with the portion of the label that contains the net weight declaration left blank; as needed, the net weight labels could be machine-stamped quickly and inexpensively. Other comments suggested that outdated wrappers be reconditioned with adhesive net weight labels. Several comments contended that the confectionery industry could reduce its losses if fewer wrappers were ordered at one time and if the orders were scheduled more properly.

The Commissioner agrees that some of these alternatives are available to manufacturers and would permit accurate net weight labeling on candy without causing excessive waste or costs.

5. A few comments suggested that the proposed exemption be granted on a temporary basis.

The Commissioner disagrees with this suggestion since the consumer's desire for net weight information would not be satisfied during such a temporary exemption.

6. Some comments opposed to the proposal pointed out that any special treatment or subsidy given to this industry would set a bad precedent that would enable other food industries to be considered for similar treatment.

The Commissioner does not intend to give special treatment to any industry. In deciding whether petitions warrant publication as proposals, the Commissioner evaluates the petition on the basis of merit and the petitioner's supporting grounds. In ruling on proposals, the Commissioner again considers these factors along with the comments received.

7. Some comments pointed out that candy bar fillings can be inflated with air or deceptively shaped. One comment suggested that the ½ ounce exemption for confectionery should be reduced. Another favored the proposal on condition that all candy bars weigh exactly 1 ounce.

The Commissioner advises that the proposal was not intended to affect the apparent size of candy bars, the amount of air that a manufacturer could include in candy for fillings, or the deception resulting from candy bar shapes. Where such factors result in deception, the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act regarding deception will apply. A reduction in the size of confectionery under the exemption was not within the scope of the proposal. Congress has given FDA no statutory authority to require that all candy bars weigh exactly 1 ounce.

8. Many comments considered counter card and box labeling impractical or ineffective for candy bars. Some mentioned retail practices such as putting new stock on top of old stock, displaying candy in bins or racks, and jamming boxes together on the shelves. Other comments pointed out that the proposed exemption would limit merchandising methods and would be an unfair burden on the retailer.

The Commissioner notes that consumer deception could result, given existing retail practices, if only counter card or box labeling were required for candy bars. The Commissioner also notes that a counter card or box labeling exemption for candy bars would limit merchandising methods and might well result in an unfair burden on retailers.

9. A few comments advised that the proposed exemption would place an unfair burden on vending machine operators.

The Commissioner notes that one candy-vending machine may be used to dispense many different bars during a given period of time. This fact, coupled with the frequent weight changes of candy bars, might well result in even the most conscientious vending machine operator failing to keep net weight display cards accurate and in place.

10. Some comments stated that many enforcement problems would occur with the proposed exemption. One comment stated that the lack of enforcement activity by FDA for minor labeling violations indicates that FDA would not be apt to enforce the regulation. Some comments stated that FDA does not have enough inspectors to ensure adequate enforcement of such labeling requirements at the retail level. Recognizing the enforcement problems of FDA, the petitioner suggested that all reference to counter card display of quantity of contents labeling be deleted from the exemption. The petitioner stated that "even with the manufacturer providing with each shipment the necessary counter cards, they might not, in some instances, be properly and prominently displayed." The petitioner stated that no such problem would occur if confectionary items weighing 2 ounces or less are offered for retail sale in a container with the net weight prominently displayed on the container.

The Commissioner acknowledges that FDA does not have the resources to ensure total compliance of net weight display, as proposed, at the retail level. The Commissioner concludes that although enforcement of the proposed regulation might be simplified by deletion of the provision for counter cards, the unavailability of net weight declarations on individual wrappers would not be adequately compensated by net weight declarations on display containers only.

11. A few comments pointed out that State or local officials would have difficulties evaluating consumer complaints for short weight because, due to frequent candy weight changes, they might not be able to coordinate the complainant's product with the appropriate alternate labeling on the retailer's counter card or box.

The Commissioner agrees that State and local officials might encounter enforcement difficulties due to frequent weight changes of candy bars.

12. Many comments stated that the proposal would cause more consumer confusion and accidental or willful deception. Some comments stated that in many cases the absence of net weight on individual candy bars would make it necessary for the consumer to remember or record weights from the counter card or box labeling to make value comparisons or for later use. Some claimed it would be difficult to teach children to make value comparisons since the parents would not see the net weight declaration. Other comments suggested that it would be unsafe for insulin-dependent diabetics or people on low carbohydrate or calorie-restricted diets.

The Commissioner is persuaded by these comments that many consumers do place significant value on net weight information on candy bars and that the proposed regulation would result in practices that consumers do not consider to be in their best interest. The Commissioner agrees that the potential for consumer deception outweighs any potential savings to the consumer and concludes that the regulation (§ 1.1c) should not be amended to increase the weight exemption for confectionery to 2 ounces.

13. A few comments supported deletion of the term "penny candy" for clarification purposes.

The Commissioner advises that the word "penny" does not refer to the intended retail price but rather to a class

of confectionery having nominal value and weighing less than ½ ounce. This class of confectionery is subject to retailing practices differing substantially from those for other classes of confectionery, particularly candy bars, which were of concern in many of the comments submitted in response to the proposal. Since the Commissioner is of the opinion that the concept of the "penny candy" class of confectionery should not be removed from the regulation, the proposed deletion of the words "penny candy" and "other" is withdrawn.

Therefore, under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (secs. 403, 701 (a), 52 Stat. 1047–1048 as amended, 1055 (21 U.S.C. 343, 371(a))) and under authority delegated to him (21 CFR 2.120), the Commissioner hereby denies National Confectioners Association's petition, withdraws the proposed amendment to § 1.1c(a)(4) (21 CFR 1.1c(a)(4)) published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of March 13, 1975 (40 FR 11731), and terminates the rule making proceeding initiated by that proposal.

Dated: May 13, 1976.

SAM D. FINE,
Associate Commissioner for Compliance.

[FR Doc.76–14655 Filed 5–19–76;8:45 am]

[21 CFR Part 19]

[Docket No. 76P–0128]

CHEESE, PROCESSED CHEESES, CHEESE FOODS, CHEESE SPREADS AND RELATED FOODS

Italian Cheeses; Optional Use of Safe and Suitable Antimycotics and Label Declaration of Optional Ingredients

The Food and Drug Administration proposes to amend the standards of identity for certain varieties of Italian cheeses to permit the addition of safe and suitable antimycotic agents during the manufacturing process, and to require a label declaration of all optional ingredients. The proposal affects the standards of identity for the following cheeses: provolone, caciocavallo siciliano, mozzarella, and low moisture mozzarella cheeses, and by cross-reference, part-skim mozzarella and low moisture part-skim mozzarella. Interested persons have until July 19, 1976, to comment.

The National Cheese Institute, Inc., 110 North Franklin St., Chicago, IL 60606, has filed a petition proposing that the standards of identity for provolone, caciocavallo siciliano, mozzarella, and low moisture mozzarella cheeses be amended to provide for the optional addition of safe and suitable antimycotics to the cheeses during the manufacturing process. The petition and supporting documents are on file in the office of the Hearing Clerk, Food and Drug Administration. The grounds given by the petitioner in support of the proposed amendments are that (1) mold growth on the cheese, while in the package, represents an economic loss and a potential health hazard to the consumer, and (2) the addition of appropriate antimycotics during the manufacturing process will greatly reduce the possibility of mold growth on the surface of packaged cheese.

A supporting research study involving a series of experiments in which shredded, sliced, and diced low moisture part-skim mozzarella cheese with potassium sorbate added to the curd were tested indicates that addition of the antimycotic during the manufacturing process has a beneficial effect on the keeping quality of packaged cheeses. Each style of cheese was packaged in different quantities in polyethylene, and incubated at 40° F and 50° F under varying circumstances. All packages were visually examined for fungal growth at weekly intervals and some packages were examined periodically for flavor changes. The results of the experiments indicate that potassium sorbate used in concentrations up to half the current limit of 0.3 percent by weight is effective in assuring at least a 2-week shelf life at 40° F in an unopened package, when combined with effective packaging, sanitary procedures, and good manufacturing practice. As the concentration of the sorbate increases, the length of the fungus-free shelf life would be increased. However, either poor manufacturing practices or storage temperatures of 50° F or above will have an adverse effect on shelf life.

The Commissioner believes that the petition and the supporting research study establish reasonable grounds to publish the proposed amendment.

The type of cheeses included in this proposal are pasta filata (pulled curd) type cheeses. The manufacturing procedure (make process) for these types of cheeses is similar to that for other natural cheeses (e.g., 21 CFR 19.500(b)) up to the matting and cutting of the curd. At this point, the curd of pasta filata cheeses is immersed in hot water, steam, or hot whey and kneaded and stretched until it is smooth and free from lumps, after which it is cut or molded into shapes of varying size. This pulling and stretching action, which is unique to pasta filata cheeses, facilitates the use and even distribution of an antimycotic agent in the cheese curd.

At present, specific antimycotic agents are allowed in a maximum amount of 0.3 percent by weight on the surfaces of cuts and slices of provolone (21 CFR 19.590), caciocavallo siciliano (21 CFR 19.591), and low moisture mozzarella (21 CFR 19.605) cheese in consumer-sized packages. The antimycotic agents are usually applied to the cheese either as a coating on the interior of the wrapper or by dipping or spraying the cheese. The standard of identity for mozzarella cheese (21 CFR 19.600) does not provide for the optional use of antimycotic agents.

The cheeses included in the proposal are most often sold in cut, sliced, shredded, and diced forms. These smaller cuts have a proportionately larger surface area exposed to air, and thus provide favorable conditions for fungal, especially mold, growth. Furthermore, it is not possible or practical to eliminate microorganisms from the packaging environment, in spite of the sanitary and good manufacturing precautions taken. An antimycotic agent, such as sorbic acid, functions to suppress the growth of small numbers of unavoidable microorganisms, but, used in a safe and suitable amount, is incapable of controlling the large number of microorganisms indicative of bad manufacturing practices.

The Commissioner of Food and Drugs is proposing to amend the standards to permit the use of any safe and suitable antimycotic agent and to delete the provisions that limit the specific agents and amounts that may be used. The existing restrictions are unnecessary to assure the safety of the food, because the ingredients can only be used if they are "safe and suitable" as defined in § 1.10 (21 CFR 1.10).

To further the policy set forth in § 3.88 (21 CFR 3.88), the Commissioner, on his initiative, proposes to amend the standards of identity for these four cheeses to require ingredient labeling of optional ingredients in accordance with Part 1 (21 CFR Part 1). All ingredients in these cheeses, with the exception of salt in provolone (21 CFR 19.590) and caciocavallo siciliano (21 CFR 19.591), are optional, i.e., while cheese must contain milk, the manufacturer has the option of choosing the type of milk or milk ingredient he prefers, such as whole milk, whole milk and skim milk combined, etc. The Commissioner advises that benzoyl peroxide used to bleach milk from which cheese is made is an optional ingredient and must be declared in the ingredient statement of the cheese either as "benzoyl peroxide" or "milk bleached with benzoyl peroxide."

As set forth in § 3.88, the Commissioner has determined that "There is significant consumer interest that the labels of standardized foods bear complete information of the ingredients contained in the food" and accordingly, "The Food and Drug Administration intends to amend the definitions and standards of identity of food by setting into motion as rapidly as possible the provisions of section 401 of the act to require label declaration of all optional ingredients with the exception of optional spices, flavoring, and colorings which may continue to be designated as such without specific ingredient declaration." Section 403(k) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 343(k)) specifically exempts cheese from any label declaration of optional artificial coloring. Salt, a mandatory ingredient in two of the cheeses, provolone and caciocavallo siciliano, is also exempt from the requirement that ingredients be declared in the ingredient statement. However, to promote honesty and fair dealing in the interest of consumers, the Commissioner urges that manufacturers declare the presence of these ingredients.

The Commissioner proposes that, except as to any provisions that may be stayed by the filing of proper objections, all products initially introduced into interstate commerce on or after January 1, 1978 shall comply with the final regulation.

The Commissioner has considered the environmental effects of the issuance or amendment of food standards and has concluded in § 6.1(d)(4) (21 CFR 6.1(d)(4)) that food standards are not major agency actions significantly affecting the quality of the human environment. Therefore, an environmental impact statement is not required for this amendment. The Commissioner has also considered the inflation impact of this proposed regulation and has found that the proposal, if adopted, would not cause a major inflation impact as defined in Executive Order 11821, OMB Circular A-107, and Guidelines issued by the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. Copies of the FDA inflation impact assessment are on file with the Hearing Clerk, Food and Drug Administration.

Therefore, under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (secs. 401, 701 (e), 52 Stat. 1046 as amended, 70 Stat. 919 as amended (21 U.S.C. 341, 371(e))) and under authority delegated to the Commissioner (21 CFR 2.120), it is proposed that Part 19 be amended in §§ 19.590, 19.591, 19.600, and 19.605 as follows:

1. In § 19.590 by revising paragraphs (d) and (e) and by adding new paragraph (f) to read as follows:

§ 19.590 **Provolone cheese, pasta filata cheese; identity; label statement of optional ingredients.**

* * * * *

(d) Safe and suitable antimycotic agent(s) may be added to the cheese during the make process or applied to the surface of cut, sliced, diced and/or shredded forms.

(e) The name "provolone cheese" ("pasta filata cheese") may include the common name of the shape of the cheese, such as "salami provolone." If provolone cheese is not smoked, the name includes the words "not smoked." If a clear aqueous solution prepared by condensing or precipitating wood smoke in water is added to the provolone cheese, the name is immediately followed by the words "with added smoke flavoring" with all words in this phrase of the same type size, style, and color without intervening written, printed, or graphic matter.

(f) Label declaration of optional ingredients: Each of the optional ingredients used in the food shall be declared on the label as required by the applicable sections of Part 1 of this chapter.

2. In § 19.591 by revising paragraphs (d) and (e) and by adding new paragraph (f) to read as follows:

§ 19.591 **Caciocavallo siciliano cheese; identity; label statement of optional ingredients.**

* * * * *

(d) Safe and suitable antimycotic agent(s) may be added to the cheese during the make process or applied to the surface of cut, sliced, diced, and/or shredded forms.

(e) When caciocavallo siciliano cheese is made solely from cow's milk, the name of such cheese is "Caciocavallo siciliano cheese." When made from sheep's milk or goat's milk or mixtures of these, or one or both of these with cow's milk, the name is followed by the words "made from _____," the blank being filled in with the name or names of the milks used, in order of predominance by weight.

(f) Label declaration of optional ingredients: Each of the optional ingredients used in the food shall be declared on the label as required by the applicable sections of Part 1 of this chapter.

3. In § 19.600 by adding "label statement of ingredients" to the section heading and by adding new paragraphs (d), (e), and (f) to read as follows:

§ 19.600 **Mozzarella cheese, scamorza cheese; identity; label statement of ingredients.**

* * * * *

(d) Safe and suitable antimycotic agent(s) may be added to the cheese during the make process or applied to the surface of cut, sliced, diced, and/or shredded forms.

(e) Nomenclature: The name of the food is "mozzarella cheese" or alternatively "scamorza cheese".

(f) Label declaration of ingredients: Each of the ingredients used in the food shall be declared on the label as required by applicable sections of Part 1 of this chapter.

4. In § 19.605 by deleting "optional" from the section heading, revising paragraphs (d) and (e), and adding new paragraph (f) to read as follows:

§ 19.605 **Low moisture mozzarella cheese, low moisture scamorza cheese; identity; label statement of ingredients.**

* * * * *

(d) Safe and suitable antimycotic agent(s) may be added to the cheese during the make process or applied to the surface of cut, sliced, diced, and/or shredded forms.

(e) Nomenclature: The name of the food is "low moisture mozzarella cheese" or alternatively, "low moisture scamorza cheese".

(f) Label declaration of ingredients: Each of the ingredients used in the food shall be declared on the label as required by applicable sections of Part 1 of this chapter.

Interested persons may, on or before July 19, 1976, submit to the Hearing Clerk, Food and Drug Administration, Rm. 4-65, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20852, written comments (preferably in quintuplicate and identified with the Hearing Clerk docket number found in brackets in the heading of this document) regarding this proposal. Received comments may be seen in the above office during working hours, Monday through Friday.

Dated: May 11, 1976.

HOWARD R. ROBERTS,
Acting Director,
Bureau of Foods.

[FR Doc.76-14656 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Federal Insurance Administration

[24 CFR Part 1917]

[Docket No. FI-1129]

APPEALS FROM FLOOD ELEVATION DETERMINATION AND JUDICIAL REVIEW

Proposed Flood Elevation Determinations for the City of Fairhope, Alabama

The Federal Insurance Administrator, in accordance with Section 110 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (P.L. 93-234), 87 Stat. 980, which added Section 1363 to the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 P.L. 90-448), 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128, and 24 CFR Part 1917 (Section 1917.4 (a)), hereby gives notice of his proposed determinations of flood elevations for the City of Fairhope, Alabama.

Under these Acts, the Administrator, to whom the Secretary has delegated the statutory authority, must develop criteria for flood plain management in identified flood hazard areas. In order to participate in the National Flood Insurance Program, the City of Fairhope must adopt flood plain management measures that are consistent with the flood elevations determined by the Secretary.

Proposed flood elevations (100-year flood) are listed below for selected locations. Maps and other information showing the detailed outlines of the flood-prone areas and the proposed flood elevations are available for review at City Hall, Fairhope, Alabama 36532.

Any person having knowledge, information, or wishing to make a comment on these determinations should immediately notify Mayor James P. Nix, P.O. Drawer 429, Fairhope, Alabama 36532. The period for comment will be ninety days following the second publication of this notice in a newspaper of local circulation in the above-named community or ninety days from the publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER (August 18, 1976), whichever is the later.

The proposed 100-year Flood Elevations are:

Source of flooding	Location	Elevation in feet above mean sea level	Width from shoreline or bank of stream (facing downstream) to 100-yr flood boundary (feet)	
			Right	Left
Big Mouth Gully	U.S. 98	37	50	100
	Bancroft St	47	150	120
	Fairhope Ave	83	40	40
Cowpen Creek	Middle St	113	100	140
Tributary to Cowpen Creek.	Fairhope Ave	114	180	130
Tributary to Fly Creek.	Alabama 104	84	50	60
Stack Gully	Mobile Ave	21	50	40
Tatumville Gully	do	22	50	(1)
	Dirt road	47	50	(1)
	Section St	55	100	(1)
	Middle Ave	76	90	150
	Nichols Ave	92	100	100
Unnamed creek	U.S. 98	34	190	70
	Bonsecour Ave	54	70	80
	Myrtle Ave	72	50	80

(1) To corporate limits.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended; 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128; and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended by 39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974.)

Issued: April 28, 1976.

HOWARD B. CLARK,
Acting Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.76-14504 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

[24 CFR Part 1917]

[Docket No. FI-1128]

APPEALS FROM FLOOD ELEVATION DETERMINATION AND JUDICIAL REVIEW

Proposed Flood Elevation Determinations for the City of Fort Walton each, Florida

The Federal Insurance Administrator, in accordance with Section 110 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (P.L. 93-234), 87 Stat. 980, which added Section 1363 to the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 P.L. 90-448), 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128, and 24 CFR Part 1917 (Section 1917.4(a)), hereby gives notice of his proposed determinations of flood elevations for the City of Fort Walton Beach, Florida.

Under these Acts, the Administrator, to whom the Secretary has delegated the statutory authority, must develop criteria for flood plain management in identified flood hazard areas. In order to participate in the National Flood Insurance Program, the City of Fort Walton Beach must adopt flood plain management measures that are consistent with the flood elevations determined by the Secretary.

Proposed flood elevations (100-year flood) are listed below for selected locations. Maps and other information showing the detailed outlines of the flood-prone areas and the proposed flood elevations are available for review at City Hall, Fort Walton Beach, Florida 32548.

Any person having knowledge, information, or wishing to make a comment on these determinations should immediately notify Mayor H. Gene Smith, P.O. Box 1449, Fort Walton Beach, Florida 32548. The period for comment will be ninety days following the second publication of this notice in a newspaper of local circulation in the above-named community or ninety days from the publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER (August 18, 1976), whichever is the later.

The proposed 100-year Flood Elevations are:

Source of flooding	Location	Elevation in feet above mean sea level	Width from shoreline or bank of stream (facing downstream) to 100-yr flood boundary (feet)
Santa Rosa Sound	Robinwood Dr	7	Street section between points 460 ft and 900 ft south of intersection with Hollywood Blvd.
Choctawhatchee Bay	Ferry Rd	8	Street section between points 450 ft west and 280 ft east of the interestion with Hughes St., respectively.
	Bay Dr	8	Entire street south of the point 80 ft north of the intersection with Brooks St.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended; 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128; and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended by 39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974.)

Issued: April 28, 1976.

HOWARD B. CLARK,
Acting Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.76-14505 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

[24 CFR Part 1917]

[Docket No. FI-1127]

APPEALS FROM FLOOD ELEVATION DETERMINATION AND JUDICIAL REVIEW

Proposed Flood Elevation Determinations for the City of Jacksonville Beach, Florida

The Federal Insurance Administrator, in accordance with Section 110 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (P.L. 93-234), 84 Stat. 980, which added Section 1363 to the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968, P.L. 90-448), 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128, and 24 CFR Part 1917 (Section 1917.4 (a)), hereby gives notice of his proposed determinations of flood elevations for the City of Jacksonville Beach.

Under these Acts, the Administrator, to whom the Secretary has delegated the statutory authority, must develop criteria for flood plain management in identified flood hazard areas. In order to participate in the National Flood Insurance Program, the City of Jacksonville Beach must adopt flood plain management measures that are consistent with the flood elevations determined by the Secretary.

Proposed flood elevations (100-year flood) are listed below for selected locations. Maps and other information showing the detailed outlines of the flood-prone areas and the proposed flood elevations are available for review at City Hall, 11 North Third Street, Jacksonville Beach, Florida 32250.

Any person having knowledge, information, or wishing to make a comment on these determinations should immediately notify Mayor Guy R. Craig, City Hall, 11 North Third Street, Jacksonville Beach, Florida 32250. The period for comment will be ninety days following the second publication of this notice in a newspaper of local circulation in the above-named community or ninety days from the publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER (August 18, 1976), whichever is the later.

The proposed 100-year Flood Elevations are:

Source of flooding	Location	Elevation in feet above mean sea level	Width (approximate width in feet of the boundary of the 100-yr flood)
Atlantic Ocean	25th Ave. South	9	From State Road 203 to 1st St.
	16th Ave. South	9	From 540 ft west of State Road 203 to 520 ft east of State Road 203.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended; 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128; and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended by 39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974.)

Issued: April 28, 1976.

HOWARD B. CLARK,
Acting Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.76-14506 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

[24 CFR Part 1917]

[Docket No. FI-1126]

APPEALS FROM FLOOD ELEVATION DETERMINATION AND JUDICIAL REVIEW

Proposed Flood Elevation Determinations for the City of Lynn Haven, Florida

The Federal Insurance Administrator, in accordance with Section 110 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (P.L. 93-234), 87 Stat. 980, which added Section 1363 to the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 P.L. 90-448), 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128, and 24 CFR Part 1917 (Section 1917.4 (a)), hereby gives notice of his proposed determinations of flood elevations for the City of Lynn Haven, Florida.

Under these Acts, the Administrator, to whom the Secretary has delegated the statutory authority, must develop criteria for flood plain management in identified flood hazard areas. In order to participate in the National Flood Insurance Program, the City must adopt flood plain management measures that are consistent with the flood elevations determined by the Secretary.

Proposed flood elevations (100-year flood) are listed below for selected locations. Maps and other information showing the detailed outlines of the flood-prone areas and the proposed flood elevations are available for review at City Hall, 825 Ohio Avenue, Lynn Haven, Florida 32444.

Any person having knowledge, information, or wishing to make a comment on these determinations should immediately notify Mayor Montel Johnson, City Hall, 825 Ohio Avenue, Lynn Haven, Florida 32444. The period for comment will be ninety days following the second publication of this notice in a newspaper of local circulation in the above-named community or ninety days from the publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER (August 18, 1976), whichever is the later.

The proposed 100-year Flood Elevations are:

Source of flooding	Location	Elevation in feet above mean sea level	Width from shoreline or bank of stream (facing downstream) to 100-yr flood boundary (feet)
St. Andrew Bay	Vermont Ave	8	Entire street north of the point 80 ft north of the intersection with 18th St.
	5th St	8	Entire street west of the intersection with Virginia Ave.
	Minnesota Ave	8	Entire street north of the point 430 ft north of the intersection with 4th St.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended; 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128; and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended by 39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974.)

Issued: April 28, 1976.

HOWARD B. CLARK,
Acting Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.76–14507 Filed 5–19–76;8:45 am]

[24 CFR Part 1917]

[Docket No. FI–1125]

APPEALS FROM FLOOD ELEVATION DETERMINATION AND JUDICIAL REVIEW

Proposed Flood Elevation Determinations for the City of Panama City, Florida

The Federal Insurance Administrator, in accordance with Section 110 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (P.L. 93–234), 87 Stat. 980, which added Section 1363 to the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 P.L. 90–448), 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128, and 24 CFR Part 1917 (Section 1917.4 (a)), hereby gives notice of his proposed determinations of flood elevations for the City of Panama City, Florida.

Under these Acts, the Administrator, to whom the Secretary has delegated the statutory authority, must develop criteria for flood plain management in identified flood hazard areas. In order to participate in the National Flood Insurance Program, the City must adopt flood plain management measures that are consistent with the flood elevations determined by the Secretary.

Proposed flood elevations (100-year flood) are listed below for selected locations. Maps and other information showing the detailed outlines of the flood-prone areas and the proposed flood elevations are available for review at City Hall, Panama City, Florida 32401.

Any person having knowledge, information, or wishing to make a comment on these determinations should immediately notify Mayor M. B. Miller, P.O. Box 1880, Panama City, Florida 32401. The period for comment will be ninety days following the second publication of this notice in a newspaper of local circulation in the above-named community or ninety days from the publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER (August 18, 1976), whichever is the later.

The proposed 100-year Flood Elevations are:

Source of flooding	Location	Elevation in feet above mean sea level	Width from shoreline or bank of stream (facing downstream) to 100-yr flood boundary (feet)
North Bay	Tuscany Rd	9	Entire road west of the point 80 ft west of intersection with Napoli Rd.
	Brown Ave	9	Entire street between 22d St. and Atlanta and St. Andrew's Bay RR.
Watson Bayou	11th St	8	Entire street between points 630 ft east of Bonita Ave. intersection and 200 ft west of Harris Ave. intersection.
	Tyndall Dr	9	Entire street between 3d St. and Circle Dr.
St. Andrew Bay	12th St	9	Entire street west from the point 270 ft west of intersection with Chestnut Ave.
	Florida Ave	8	Entire street south of the point 600 ft south of the intersection with 9th St.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended; 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128; and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended by 39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974.)

Issued: April 28, 1976.

HOWARD B. CLARK,
Acting Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.76–14508 Filed 5–19–76;8:45 am]

[24 CFR Part 1917]

[Docket No. FI-1124]

APPEALS FROM FLOOD ELEVATION DETERMINATION AND JUDICIAL REVIEW

Proposed Flood Elevation Determinations for the City of Panama City Beach, Florida

The Federal Insurance Administrator, in accordance with Section 110 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (P.L. 93-234), 87 Stat. 980, which added Section 1363 to the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 P.L. 90-448), 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128, and 24 CFR Part 1917 (Section 1917.4 (a)), hereby gives notice of his proposed determinations of flood elevations for the City of Panama City Beach, Florida.

Under these Acts, the Administrator, to whom the Secretary has delegated the statutory authority, must develop criteria for flood plain management in identified flood hazard areas. In order to participate in the National Flood Insurance Program, the City of Panama City Beach must adopt flood plain management measures that are consistent with the flood elevations determined by the Secretary.

Proposed flood elevations (100-year flood) are listed below for selected locations. Maps and other information showing the detailed outlines of the flood-prone areas and the proposed flood elevations are available for review at City Hall, 110 South Highway 79, Panama City Beach, Florida 32401.

Any person having knowledge, information, or wishing to make a comment on these determinations should immediately notify Mayor Dan Russell, City Hall, 110 S. Highway 79, Panama City Beach, Florida 32401. The period for comment will be ninety days following the second publication of this notice in a newspaper of local circulation in the above-named community or ninety days from the publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER (August 18, 1976), whichever is the later.

The proposed 100-year Flood Elevations are:

Source of flooding	Location	Elevation in feet above mean sea level	Width from shoreline or bank of stream (facing downstream) to 100-yr flood boundary (feet)
Gulf of Mexico	3d St	10	Entire street seaward of the point 150 ft south of junction with U.S. 98.
	Lake View Dr	10	Entire street north 400 ft from junction with U.S. 98.
	Georgia Ave	10	Entire street seaward of the point 120 ft south of junction with U.S. 98.
Grand Lagoon	State Highway 392	8	Highway section 950 ft north of junction with 2d St.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended; 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128; and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended by 39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974.)

Issued: April 28, 1976.

HOWARD B. CLARK,
Acting Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.76-14509 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

[24 CFR Part 1917]

[Docket No. FI-1122]

APPEALS FROM FLOOD ELEVATION DETERMINATION AND JUDICIAL REVIEW

Proposed Flood Elevation Determinations for the Town of St. Francisville, Louisiana

The Federal Insurance Administrator, in accordance with Section 110 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (P.L. 93-234), 87 Stat. 980, which added Section 1363 to the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 P.L. 90-448), 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128, and 24 CFR Part 1917 (Section 1917.4 (a)), hereby gives notice of his proposed determinations of flood elevations for the Town of St. Francisville, Louisiana.

Under these Acts, the Administrator, to whom the Secretary has delegated the statutory authority, must develop criteria for flood plain management in identified flood hazard areas. In order to participate in the National Flood Insurance Program, the Town of St. Francisville must adopt flood plain management measures that are consistent with the flood elevations determined by the Secretary.

Proposed flood elevations (100-year flood) are listed below for selected locations. Maps and other information showing the detailed outlines of the flood-prone areas and the proposed flood elevations are available for review at Town Hall, St. Francisville, Louisiana 70775.

Any person having knowledge, information, or wishing to make a comment on these determinations should immediately notify Mayor Marie Wenger, Town Hall, St. Francisville, Louisiana 70775. The period for comment will be ninety days following the second publication of this notice in a newspaper of local circulation in the above-named community or ninety days from the publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER (August 18, 1976), whichever is the later.

The proposed 100-year Flood Elevations are:

Source of flooding	Location	Elevation in feet above mean sea level	Width from shoreline or bank of stream (facing downstream) to 100-yr flood boundary (feet)	
			Right	Left
Gaspers Creek	Commerce St.	82	200	270
	U.S. Highway 61	114	150	135
	State Highway 10	114	90	210
Alexander Creek	U.S. Highway 61	87	840	(1)
	State Highway 10	92	570	(1)

[1] Outside corporate limits.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended; 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128; and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended by 39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974.)

Issued: April 28, 1976.

HOWARD B. CLARK,
Acting Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.76–14510 Filed 5–19–76;8:45 am]

[24 CFR Part 1917]

[Docket No. FI–1121]

APPEALS FROM FLOOD ELEVATION DETERMINATION AND JUDICIAL REVIEW

Proposed Flood Elevation Determinations for the City of Coon Rapids, Minnesota

The Federal Insurance Administrator, in accordance with Section 110 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (P.L. 93–234), 87 Stat. 980, which added Section 1363 to the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 P.L. 90–448), 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128, and 24 CFR Part 1917 (Section 1917.4 (a)), hereby gives notice of his proposed determinations of flood elevations for the City of Coon Rapids, Minnesota.

Under these Acts, the Administrator, to whom the Secretary has delegated the statutory authority, must develop criteria for flood plain management in identified flood hazard areas. In order to participate in the National Flood Insurance Program, the City of Coon Rapids must adopt flood plain management measures that are consistent with the flood elevations determined by the Secretary.

Proposed flood elevations (100-year flood) are listed below for selected locations. Maps and other information showing the detailed outlines of the flood-prone areas and the proposed flood elevations are available for review at City Hall, 1313 Coon Rapids Boulevard, Coon Rapids, Minnesota 55433.

Any person having knowledge, information, or wishing to make a comment on these determinations should immediately notify, Mayor George White, City Hall, 1313 Coon Rapids Boulevard, Coon Rapids, Minnesota 55433. The period for comment will be ninety days following the second publication of this notice in a newspaper of local circulation in the above-named community.

The proposed 100-year Flood Elevations are:

Source of flooding	Location	Elevation in feet above mean sea level	Width from shoreline or bank of stream (facing downstream) to 100-yr flood boundary (feet)	
			Right	Left
Pleasure Creek	East River Rd.	865	50	100
	Evergreen Blvd.	873	275	550
	Coon Rapids Blvd.	885	200	375
	U.S. Highway 10 and Mn. Highway 47	897	475	350
	University Ave.	897	100	975
Coon Creek	U.S. Highway 10 and Mn. Highway 47 (upstream side).	851	400	100
	Egret St. (downstream side)	840	250	15
	Hanson Blvd.	857	25	125
	Mn. Highway 242	858	0	425
	131st Ave. (upstream side)	861	225	350
Sand Creek	Xeon St.	861	75	25
	Burlington Northern RR.	875	325	1225
	Olive Street	877	100	250
Epiphany Creek	111th Ave. (downstream side)	855	75	175
Riverview Creek	Mississippi River Blvd.	848	425	300
	105th Ave.	850	175	225
	Direct River Dr.	853	350	0

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended; 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128; and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended by 39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974.)

Issued: April 28, 1976.

J. ROBERT HUNTER,
Acting Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.76–14511 Filed 5–19–76;8:45 am]

[24 CFR Part 1917]

[Docket No. FI-1120]

APPEALS FROM FLOOD ELEVATION DETERMINATION AND JUDICIAL REVIEW

Proposed Flood Elevation Determinations for the City of Granite Falls, Minnesota

The Federal Insurance Administrator, in accordance with Section 110 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (P.L. 93-234), 87 Stat. 980, which added Section 1363 to the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 P.L. 90-448), 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128, and 24 CFR Part 1917 (Section 1917.4 (a)), hereby gives notice of his proposed determinations of flood elevations for the City of Granite Falls, Minnesota.

Under these Acts, the Administrator, to whom the Secretary has delegated the statutory authority, must develop criteria for flood plain management in identified flood hazard areas. In order to participate in the National Flood Insurance Program, the City of Granite Falls must adopt flood plain management measures that are consistent with the flood elevations determined by the Secretary.

Proposed flood elevations (100-year flood) are listed below for selected locations. Maps and other information showing the detailed outlines of the flood-prone areas and the proposed flood elevations are available for review at City Hall, 885 Prentice Street, Granite Falls, Minnesota 56241.

Any person having knowledge, information, or wishing to make a comment on these determinations should immediately notify Mayor Barbara Carlson, City Hall, 885 Prentice Street, Granite Falls, Minnesota. The period for comment will be ninety days following the second publication of this notice in a newspaper of local circulation in the above-named community or ninety days from the publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER (August 18, 1976), whichever is the later.

The proposed 100-year Flood Elevations are:

Source of flooding	Location	Elevation in feet above mean sea level	Width from shoreline or bank of stream (facing downstream) to 100-yr flood boundary (feet)	
			Right	Left
Minnesota River	Burlington RR	915	175	270
	Washington St. (extended)	897	50	60
Overflow Channel	13th St.	900	(1)	150
	9th St.	889	330 from corporate limits.	

[1] To corporate limits.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended; 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128; and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended by 39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974.)

Issued: April 28, 1976.

J. ROBERT HUNTER,
Acting Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.76-14512 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

[24 CFR Part 1917]

[Docket No. FI-1119]

APPEALS FROM FLOOD ELEVATION DETERMINATION AND JUDICIAL REVIEW

Proposed Flood Elevation Determinations for the City of St. Cloud, Minnesota

The Federal Insurance Administrator, in accordance with Section 110 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (P.L. 93-234), 87 Stat. 980, which added Section 1363 to the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 P.L. 90-448), 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128, and 24 CFR Part 1917 (Section 1917.4(a)), hereby gives notice of his proposed determinations of flood elevations for the City of St. Cloud, Minnesota.

Under these Acts, the Administrator, to whom the Secretary has delegated the statutory authority, must develop criteria for flood plain management in identified flood hazard areas. In order to participate in the National Flood Insurance Program, the City of St. Cloud must adopt flood plain management measures that are consistent with the flood elevations determined by the Secretary.

Proposed flood elevations (100-year flood) are listed below for selected locations. Maps and other information showing the detailed outlines of the flood-prone areas and the proposed flood elevations are available for review at City Hall, 314 St. Germaine Street, St. Cloud, Minnesota 56301.

Any person having knowledge, information, or wishing to make a comment on these determinations should immediately notify Mayor Alcuin Loehr, City Hall, 314 St. Germaine Street, St. Cloud, Minnesota 56301. The period for comment will be ninety days following the second publication of this notice in a newspaper of local circulation in the above-named community or ninety days from the publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER (August 18, 1976), whichever is the later.

The proposed 100-year Flood Elevations are:

Source of flooding	Location	Elevation in feet above mean sea level	Width from shoreline or bank of stream (facing downstream) to 100-yr flood boundary (feet)	
			Right	Left
Mississippi River	10th Street Bridge	989	60	70
	Highway 52 Bridge	990	50	50
	Burlington Northern Bridge	991	40	60
Sauk	County Rd. No. 4 Bridge	1,041	100	(1)
	Burlington Northern Bridge	1,044	100	(1)

[1] Outside corporate limits.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended; 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128; and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended by 39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974.)

Issued: April 28, 1976.

HOWARD B. CLARK,
Acting Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.76–14513 Filed 5–19–76;8:45 am]

[24 CFR Part 1917]

[Docket No. FI–1118]

APPEALS FROM FLOOD ELEVATION DETERMINATION AND JUDICIAL REVIEW

Proposed Flood Elevation Determinations for the City of Cassville, Missouri

The Federal Insurance Administrator, in accordance with Section 110 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (P.L. 93–234), 87 Stat. 980, which added Section 1363 to the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 P.L. 90–448), 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128, and 24 CFR Part 1917 (Section 1917.4 (a)), hereby gives notice of his proposed determinations of flood elevations for the City of Cassville, Missouri.

Under these Acts, the Administrator, to whom the Secretary has delegated the statutory authority, must develop criteria for flood plain management in identified flood hazard areas. In order to participate in the National Flood Insurance Program, the City of Cassville, Missouri must adopt flood plain management measures that are consistent with the flood elevations determined by the Secretary.

Proposed flood elevations (100-year flood) are listed below for selected locations. Maps and other information showing the detailed outlines of the flood-prone areas and the proposed flood elevations are available for review at City Hall, 707 Townsend Street, Cassville, Missouri 65625.

Any person having knowledge, information, or wishing to make a comment on these determinations should immediately notify Mayor J. W. Le Compte, City Hall, 707 Townsend Street, Cassville, Missouri. The period for comment will be ninety days following the second publication of this notice in a newspaper of local circulation in the above-named community or ninety days from the publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER (August 18, 1976), whichever is the later .

The proposed 100-year Flood Elevations are:

Source of flooding	Location	Elevation in feet above mean sea level	Width from shoreline or bank of stream (facing downstream) to 100-yr flood boundary (feet)	
			Right	Left
Flat Creek	Highway 284	1310	2440	960
	7th St.	1308	900	380
	13th St.	1304	560	280
Town Branch	County House Rd.	1326	340	500
	Townsend Ave.	1317	240	680
	Main St.	1315	420	720
Hawk Branch	11th St.	1333	(1)	480
	Presley Dr.	1328	940	320
Unnamed tributary No. 1.	Highway 284	1310	2080	1320

[1] Outside corporate limits.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended; 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128; and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended by 39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974.)

Issued: April 28, 1976.

HOWARD B. CLARK,
Acting Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.76–14514 Filed 5–19–76;8:45 am]

[24 CFR Part 1917]

[Docket No. FI-1117]

APPEALS FROM FLOOD ELEVATION DETERMINATION AND JUDICIAL REVIEW

Proposed Flood Elevation Determinations for the City of St. Charles, Missouri

The Federal Insurance Administrator, in accordance with Section 110 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (P.L. 93-234), 87 Stat. 980, which added Section 1363 to the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 P.L. 90-448), 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128, and 24 CFR Part 1917 (Section 1917.4 (a)), hereby gives notice of his proposed determinations of flood elevations for the City of St. Charles.

Under these Acts, the Administrator, to whom the Secretary has delegated the statutory authority, must develop criteria for flood plain management in identified flood hazard areas. In order to participate in the National Flood Insurance Program, the City of St. Charles must adopt flood plain management measures that are consistent with the flood elevations determined by the Secretary.

Proposed flood elevations (100-year flood) are listed below for selected locations. Maps and other information showing the detailed outlines of the flood-prone areas and the proposed flood elevations are available for review at City Hall, 101 South Main Street, St. Charles, Missouri 63301.

Any person having knowledge, information, or wishing to make a comment on these determinations should immediately notify Mayor Frank Brockgreitens, 101 S. Main St., St. Charles, Missouri, 63301. The period for comment will be ninety days following the second publication of this notice in a newspaper of local circulation in the above-named community or ninety days from the publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER (August 18, 1976), whichever is the later.

The proposed 100-year Flood Elevations are:

Source of flooding	Location	Elevation in feet above mean sea level	Width from shoreline or bank of stream (facing downstream) to 100-yr flood boundary (feet)	
			Right	Left
Missouri River	I-70	457		1370
	Highway 115	454		350
	Norfolk & Western RR	453		2540
Boonslick Creek	Cunningham Ave	515	280	80
	Nathan St	507	100	110
	Rosebrae Dr	490	70	90
	South 2d St	463	120	200
Boschert Creek	Elm St	512	60	210
	West Adams St	504	60	100
	Park Ave	501	120	80
	Hawthorn Ave	496	200	60
	Duchesne Dr	495	210	480
	Elmwood Dr	495	240	140
	Concordia Lane	486	80	130
	Elmhurst Dr	479	120	50
Cole Creek	Droste Rd	473	180	140
	Runnymede Dr	460	200	200
	Elm Point Rd	453	490	1720
East Branch, Cole Creek.	Canary Lane	482	20	70
	Hunter Ridge Rd	473	50	150
	Briarcliff Dr	463	180	180
Sandfort Creek	Ehlman Rd	449	140	40
San Juan Creek	Dennis Dr	506	60	140
	Sharon Dr	501	110	100
	Valley Rd	489	0	120
	South 5th St	474	180	460
	Rio Vista Dr	474	80	50

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended; 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128; and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended by 39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974.)

Issued: April 28, 1976.

HOWARD B. CLARK,
Acting Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.76-14515 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

[24 CFR Part 1917]

[Docket No. FI-1116]

APPEALS FROM FLOOD ELEVATION DETERMINATION AND JUDICIAL REVIEW

Proposed Flood Elevation Determinations for the City of Times Beach, Missouri

The Federal Insurance Administrator, in accordance with Section 110 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (P.L. 93-234), 87 Stat. 980, which added Section 1363 to the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 P.L. 90-448), 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128, and 24 CFR Part 1917 (Section 1917.4 (a)), hereby gives notice of his proposed determinations of flood elevations for the City of Times Beach, Missouri.

Under these Acts, the Administrator, to whom the Secretary has delegated the statutory authority, must develop criteria for flood plain management in identified flood hazard areas. In order to participate in the National Flood Insurance Program, the City of Times Beach must adopt flood plain management measures

that are consistent with the flood elevations determined by the Secretary.

Proposed flood elevations (100-year flood) are listed below for selected locations. Map and other information showing the detailed outlines of the flood-prone areas and the proposed flood elevations are available for review at City Hall, Beach and Grove Streets, Eureka, Missouri 63025.

Any person having knowledge, information, or wishing to make a comment on these determinations should immediately notify Mayor Charles Doorack, P.O. Box 144, Eureka, Missouri 63025. The period for comment will be ninety days following the second publication of this notice in a newspaper of local circulation in the above-named community or ninety days from the publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER (August 18, 1976), whichever is the later.

The proposed 100-year Flood Elevations are:

Source of flooding	Location	Elevation in feet above mean sea level	Width from shoreline or bank of stream (facing downstream) to 100-yr flood boundary (feet)
Meramec River	Park Dr	449	Shoreline to corporate limit.
	Dalhia Dr	449	Do.
	Lincoln Dr	445	Do.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended; 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128; and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended by 39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974.)

Issued: April 28, 1976.

HOWARD B. CLARK,
Acting Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.76–14516 Filed 5–19–76;8:45 am]

[24 CFR Part 1917]

[Docket No. FI–1115]

APPEALS FROM FLOOD ELEVATION DETERMINATION AND JUDICIAL REVIEW

Proposed Flood Elevation Determinations for the Borough of Haddonfield, New Jersey

The Federal Insurance Administrator, in accordance with Section 110 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (P.L. 93–234), 87 Stat. 980, which added Section 1363 to the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 P.L. 90–448), 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128, and 24 CFR Part 1917 (Section 1917.4 (a)), hereby gives notice of his proposed determinations of flood elevations for the Borough of Haddonfield, New Jersey.

Under these Acts, the Administrator, to whom the Secretary has delegated the statutory authority, must develop criteria for flood plain management in identified flood hazard areas. In order to participate in the National Flood Insurance Program, the Borough of Haddonfield must adopt flood plain management measures that are consistent with the flood elevations determined by the Secretary.

Proposed flood elevations (100-year flood) are listed below for selected locations. Maps and other information showing the detailing outlines of the flood-prone areas and the proposed flood elevations are available for review at Borough Hall, 242 Kings Highway East, Haddonfield, New Jersey 08033.

Any person having knowledge, information, or wishing to make a comment on these determinations should immediately notify Mayor William W. Reynolds, Jr., 242 Kings Highway East, Haddonfield, New Jersey 08033. The period for comment will be ninety days following the second publication of this notice in a newspaper of local circulation in the above-named community or ninety days from the publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER (August 18, 1976), whichever is the later.

The proposed 100-year Flood Elevations are:

Source of flooding	Location	Elevation in feet above mean sea level	Width from shoreline or bank of stream (facing downstream) to 100-yr flood boundary (feet)	
			Right	Left
Cooper River	Evans Mills Rd	20	250	(1)
	Ellis St	21	(2)	70

[1] Approximate distance from corporate limits to boundary.
[2] Outside corporate limits.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended; 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128; and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended by 39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974.)

Issued: April 28, 1976.

HOWARD B. CLARK,
Acting Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.76–14517 Filed 5–19–76;8:45 am]

[24 CFR Part 1917]

[Docket No. FI-1114]

APPEALS FROM FLOOD ELEVATION DETERMINATION AND JUDICIAL REVIEW

Proposed Flood Elevation Determinations for the Borough of Oradell, New Jersey

The Federal Insurance Administrator in accordance with Section 110 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (P.L. 93-234), 87 Stat. 980, which added Section 1363 to the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 P.L. 90-448), 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128, and 24 CFR Part 1917 (Section 1917.4 (a)), hereby gives notice of his proposed determinations of flood elevations for the Borough of Oradell, New Jersey.

Under these Acts, the Administrator, to whom the Secretary has delegated the statutory authority, must develop criteria for flood plain management in identified flood hazard areas. In order to participate in the National Flood Insurance Program, the Borough of Oradell must adopt flood plain management measures that are consistent with the flood elevations determined by the Secretary.

Proposed flood elevations (100-year flood) are listed below for selected locations. Maps and other information showing the detailed outlines of the flood-prone areas and the proposed flood elevations are available for review at Borough Hall, 355 Kinderkamack Road, Oradell, New Jersey 07649.

Any person having knowledge, information, or wishing to make a comment on these determinations should immediately notify Mayor Frederick E. Wendel, 355 Kinderkamack Road, Oradell, New Jersey 07649. The period for comment will be ninety days following the second publication of this notice in a newspaper of local circulation in the above-named community or ninety days from the publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, (August 18, 1976), whichever is the later.

The proposed 100-year Flood Elevations are:

Source of flooding	Location	Elevation in feet above mean sea level	Width from shoreline or bank of stream (facing downstream) to 100-yr flood boundary (feet)	
			Right	Left
Hackensack River	Oradell Ave	15	30	20
	Argyle St. (extended)	11	440	
	Birkshire St. (extended)	10	460	
Hackensack River bypass.	Elm St	14	20	20
	New Milford Ave	13	30	
Van Saun Mill Brook	Oradell Ave	83	160	50
	Martin Ave	76	20	175
Van Saun Mill Brook tributary.	Midland Rd. (extended)	95	10	260

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended; 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128; and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended by 39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974.)

Issued: April 28, 1976.

HOWARD B. CLARK,
Acting Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.76-14518 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

[24 CFR Part 1917]

[Docket No. FI-1113]

APPEALS FROM FLOOD ELEVATION DETERMINATION AND JUDICIAL REVIEW

Proposed Flood Elevation Determinations for the Township of Scotch Plains, New Jersey

The Federal Insurance Administrator, in accordance with Section 110 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (P.L. 93-234), 87 Stat. 980, which added Section 1363 to the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 P.L. 90-448), 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128, and 24 CFR Part 1917 (Section 1917.4 (a)), hereby gives notice of his proposed determinations of flood elevations for the Township of Scotch Plains, New Jersey.

Under these Acts, the Administrator, to whom the Secretary has delegated the statutory authority, must develop criteria for flood plain management in identified flood hazard areas. In order to participate in the National Flood Insurance Program, the Township of Scotch Plains must adopt flood plain management measures that are consistent with the flood elevations determined by the Secretary.

Proposed flood elevations (100-year flood) are listed below for selected locations. Maps and other information showing the detailed outlines of the flood-prone areas and the proposed flood elevations are available for review at Town Hall, 430 Park Avenue, Scotch Plains, New Jersey 07076.

Any person having knowledge, information, or wishing to make a comment on these determinations should immediately notify Mayor Noel Musial, 430 Park Avenue, Scotch Plains, New Jersey 07076. The period for comment will be ninety days following the second publication of this notice in a newspaper of local circulation in the above-named community or ninety days from the publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER (August 18, 1976), whichever is the later.

The proposed 100-year Flood Elevations are:

Source of flooding	Location	Elevation in feet above mean sea level	Width from shoreline or bank of stream (facing downstream) to 100-yr flood boundary (feet)	
			Right	Left
Blue Brook	Sky Top Dr	212		60
Green Brook	Union Ave	161		410
	Park Ave	159		1060
Cedar Brook	Forest Ave	148	120	190
	Hunter Ave	139	965	(1)
	Farley Ave	133	645	(1)
East Branch, Green Brook.	Glenside Ave	190	340	40
	Harding Rd	179	0	235
	Union Ave	160	185	180
Branch 22	Cooper St. (upstream side of street)	89	30	160
Tributary B	350 ft northeast of Rahway Rd at river mile 0.38.	115	45	120
Branch 22-11	Fenimore Dr	118	15	80
Winding Brook	Linden Lane (extended)	54	1070	10
	Fairway Ct (extended)	54	1100	20
Robinson's Branch, Rahway River.	Lake Ave. (70 ft upstream)	56	305	465
	(190 ft downstream)	55	110	530

[1] To corporate limits.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended; 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128; and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended by 39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974.)

Issued: April 28, 1976.

HOWARD B. CLARK,
Acting Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.76–14519 Filed 5–19–76;8:45 am]

[24 CFR Part 1917]

[Docket No. FI–1112]

APPEALS FROM FLOOD ELEVATION DETERMINATION AND JUDICIAL REVIEW

Proposed Flood Elevation Determinations for the Town of Emerald Isle, North Carolina

The Federal Insurance Administrator, in accordance with Section 110 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (P.L. 93–234), 87 Stat. 980, which added Section 1363 to the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 P.L. 90–448), 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128, and 24 CFR Part 1917 (Section 1917.4 (a)), hereby gives notice of his proposed determinations of flood elevations for the Town of Emerald Isle, North Carolina.

Under these Acts, the Administrator, to whom the Secretary has delegated the statutory authority, must develop criteria for flood plain management in identified flood hazard areas. In order to participate in the National Flood Insurance Program, the Town of Emerald Isle must adopt flood plain management measures that are consistent with the flood elevations determined by the Secretary.

Proposed flood elevations (100-year flood) are listed below for selected locations. Maps and other information showing the detailed outlines of the flood-prone areas and the proposed flood elevations are available for review at Town Hall, Route 1, Morehead City, North Carolina 28557.

Any person having knowledge, information, or wishing to make a comment on these determinations should immediately notify Mayor Ronnie Watson, Town Hall, Route 1, Morehead City, North Carolina 28557. The period for comment will be ninety days following the second publication of this notice in a newspaper of local circulation in the above-named community or ninety days from the publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER (August 18, 1976), whichever is the later.

The proposed 100-year Flood Elevations are:

Source of flooding	Location	Elevation in feet above mean sea level	Width from shoreline or bank of stream (facing downstream) to 100-yr flood boundary (feet)
Atlantic Ocean—Bogue Inlet.	Inlet Dr	11	Entire street from point 80 ft north of Station St.
	Inlet Dr	10	From 80 ft north of Station St. to 60 ft north of Ring St.
Bogue Sound	Emerald Dr	8	From B. Cameron Langston Bridge south 230 ft.
	Old Ferry Rd	7	From the former free ferry south 160 ft.
	Cedar St	7	Entire street north of point 80 ft north of junction with Sound Dr.
	West Landing Dr	7	Northernmost 70 ft from shoreline.
	1st St	7	Northernmost 67 ft from shore.
Atlantic Ocean	Ocean Dr	11	Entire street between 22d and 25th Sts.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (Title XIII of Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective January 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, November 28, 1968), as amended;

42 U.S.C. 4001–4128; and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator 34 FR 2680, February 27, 1969, as amended by 39 FR 2787, January 24, 1974.)

Issued: April 28, 1976.

HOWARD B. CLARK,
Acting Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.76-14520 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

[14 CFR Part 39]

[Airworthiness Docket No. 76-SW-31]

BELL MODEL 206L HELICOPTER

Airworthiness Directives; Notice of Proposed Rule Making

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering amending Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations by adding an airworthiness directive applicable to Bell Model 206L helicopters.

The proposed directive would require modification of the fuel system to relieve excessive fuel pressure encountered after normal engine shutdown. This pressure, due to thermal expansion of the fuel, has caused failure of the fuel system components and fuel leakage into the engine compartment. Subsequent flight with this condition existing would result in fuel leakage and possibly an engine area fire or loss of engine power due to inadequate fuel supply. Since this condition is likely to exist or develop in other helicopters of the same design, this proposed airworthiness directive would require modification of the fuel system in the Bell Model 206L helicopter to install a special fuel shutoff value which incorporates a thermal expansion pressure relief feature.

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or comments as they may desire. Communications should identify the docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the Regional Counsel, Southwest Region, Federal Aviation Administration, P.O. Box 1689, Fort Worth, Texas 76101. All communications received on or before June 22, 1976, will be considered by the Director before taking action upon the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received. All comments will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the office of the Regional Counsel for examination by interested persons.

(Sections 313(a), 601, and 603 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, 1423) and of Section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).)

In consideration of the foregoing, it is proposed to amend § 39.13 of Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

BELL. Applies to Model 206L helicopter, Serial Numbers 45006 through 45020, with fuel valve Part Number 206-063-640-1 installed, certificated in all categories.

Compliance required within the next 100 hours time in service after the effective date of this AD, unless already accomplished.

To prevent failure of fuel system components due to excessive pressure from thermal expansion of the fuel after engine shutdown, remove fuel shutoff valve P/N 206-063-640-1 and replace it with fuel shutoff valve P/N 206-063-640-3.

Bell Helicopter Textron Service Bulletin No. 206L-76-2 pertains to this subject and provides approved instructions for this replacement activity.

Issued in Fort Worth, Texas, on May 4, 1976.

HENRY L. NEWMANN,
Director Southwest Region.

[FR Doc.76-14670 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

[14 CFR Part 39]

[Docket No. 76-NE-18]

PRATT & WHITNEY JT9D MODEL ENGINES

Airworthiness Directive; Notice of Proposed Rulemaking

Amendement 39-2109 (40 FR 8544), AD 75-05-16, as amended by Amendment 39-2137 (40 FR 12773), requires a measurement of the No. 3 Compartment breather tube temperature on Pratt and Whitney Aircraft JT9D -3A, -7, -7H, -7A, -7AH, -7F and -20 turbofan engines. After issuing Amendment 39-2137, due to service experience, the agency determined that an engine successfully checked per the existing AD can experience a No. 3 bearing compartment fire prior to the next required breather temperature measurement.

Therefore, the agency is considering superseding Amendment 31-2109, as amended, with a new AD that would require a repetitive No. 3 breather temperature measurement, with the intervals dependent on the temperature margin. In addition, the AD would require the replacement of the present steel breather the elbow P/N 708237 with a magnesium elbow P/N 613265 to improve the capability of promptly detecting compartment fires.

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the docket number and be submitted in duplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration, the New England Region, Attention: Regional Counsel, Airworthiness Rules Docket, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, Massachusetts 01803.

All communications received on or before June 21, 1976, will be considered before taking action upon the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

All comments will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Office of the Regional Counsel for examination by interested persons.

(Sec. 313(a), 601, and 603 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, 1423) and of section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).)

In consideration of the foregoing, it is proposed to amend § 39.13 of Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations, by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

PRATT & WHITNEY AIRCRAFT. Applies to all Pratt & Whitney Models JT9D, -3A, -7, -7H, -7A, -7F and -20 turbofan engines.

I. To prevent possible engine fires due to excessive No. 3 bearing compartment labyrinth seal clearances, accomplish the following:

A. Measure the breather air temperature in accordance with Pratt & Whitney Bulletin No. 4391, dated February 19, 1975, or later FAA approved revision, whenever any of the following major engine sections have been or are removed or replaced:

1. Intermediate case.
2. Rear compressor rotor and stator assembly.
3. Rear compressor drive turbine rotor assembly due to: a. Turbine blade root fracture, b. Multiple turbine blade airfoil fracture, c. Failure that causes release of any other rotating part.
4. Front compressor drive turbine rotor assembly due to loss of complete blade.
5. Turbine exhaust case due to loose or missing tailcone.
6. Diffuser case.

B. Compare the measured breather air temperature with the limit defined by Pratt & Whitney, Curve 4391, dated February 14, 1975, and accomplish the following:

1. If the measured breather air temperature is above the limit, remove the engine from service prior to further flight.

2. If the measured breather air temperature is below the limit, accomplish a repetitive temperature check in accordance with the following schedule, or 100 hours after the effective date of this revision, whichever is later:

Temperature margin:	*Inspection interval (in hours)*
Less than 5° C	100
5° C but less than 10° C	300
10° C but less than 15° C	500
15° C but less than 20° C	700
20° C or greater	1,000

C. If the breather temperature margin as described above remains within the same temperature category for three consecutive checks, the repetitive inspection requirement of paragraphs I b.2. may be discontinued until the next time a major engine section, described by paragraph A, is removed or replaced.

II. To improve the capability of promptly detecting compartment fires, replace main bearing breather tube steel elbow P/N 708237 with magnesium elbow P/N 613235 in accordance with Pratt & Whitney Service Bulletin 4560, dated March 9, 1976, or later FAA approved revision, no later than December 31, 1976.

Upon request of the operator, an equivalent method of compliance with the requirements of this AD may be approved by the Chief, Engineering and Manufacturing Branch, Federal Avia-

tion Administration, New England Region.

The manufacturer's specifications and procedures identified and described in this directive are incorporated herein and made a part hereof pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552(a)(1). All persons affected by this directive who have not already received these documents from the manufacturer may obtain copies upon request to Pratt & Whitney Aircraft, Division of United Technologies Corporation, 400 Main Street, East Hartford, Connecticut 06108. These documents may also be examined at Federal Aviation Administration, New England Region, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, Massachusetts, and at FAA Headquarters, 800 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. A historical file on this AD which includes the incorporated material in full is maintained by the FAA at its headquarters in Washington, D.C., and at New England Region.

This supersedes Amendment 39-2109 (40 FR 8544), AD 75-05-16, as amended by Amendment 39-2137 (40 FR 12773).

Issued in Burlington, Massachusetts, on May 11, 1976.

NOTE.—The incorporation by reference provisions in this document was approved by the Director of the FEDERAL REGISTER June 19, 1967.

QUENTIN S. TAYLOR,
Director, New England Region.

[FR Doc.76-14669 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

[14 CFR Part 71]

[Airspace Docket No. 76-GL-18]

ALTERATION OF TRANSITION AREA

Proposed Change for Milwaukee, Wisconsin

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering amending Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to alter the transition area at Milwaukee, Wisconsin.

Interested persons may participate in the proposed rule making by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Director, Great Lakes Region, Attention: Chief, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration, 2300 East Devon, Des Plaines, Illinois 60018. All communications received on or before June 21, 1976, will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Administration officials may be made by contacting the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief.

Any data, views, or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

A public docket will be available for examination by interested persons in the Office of the Regional Counsel, Federal Aviation Administration, 2300 East Devon, Des Plaines, Illinois 60018.

A new instrument approach procedure has been developed for the Horlick-Racine Airport, Wisconsin.

The controlled airspace for the protection of Horlick-Racine procedures is contained in the description of the Milwaukee, Wisconsin transition area and will require a small change to protect this procedure.

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations as hereinafter set forth:

In § 71.181 (41 FR 440), the following transition area is amended to read:

MILWAUKEE, WISCONSIN

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 9-mile radius of General Mitchell Field (latitude 42°56'51" N., longitude 87°53'58" W.); within an 8-mile radius of the Horlick-Racine Airport (latitude 42°45'45" N., longitude 87°49'00" W.); within an 8-mile radius of the Timmerman Airport (latitude 43°06'40" N., longitude 88°02'00" W.); within a 6½-mile radius of the Waukesha County Airport (latitude 43°02'25" N., longitude 88°14'00" W.); and within 3 miles each side of the 274° bearing from the Waukesha County Airport extending from the 6½-mile radius area to 7½ miles west of the airport.

(Section 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348), and of Section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).)

Issued in Des Plaines, Illinois on April 27, 1976.

JOHN M. CYROCKI,
Director, Great Lakes Region.

[FR Doc.76-14601 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

[14 CFR Part 71]

[Airspace Docket No. 76-WE-13]

ALTERATION OF TRANSITION AREA

Proposed Change for Red Bluff, California

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering an amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations that would alter the description of the Red Bluff, California Transition Area.

A new Standard Instrument Departure Procedure (SID) is being developed for the Redding Municipal Airport, Redding, California. Alteration of the transition area is necessary to provide controlled airspace for this procedure during the early phase of the climb.

Interested persons may participate in the proposed rule making by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Chief, Airspace and Procedures Branch, Federal Aviation Administration, 15000 Aviation Boulevard, Lawndale, California 90261. All communications received on or before June 21, 1976, will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Administration officials may be made by contacting the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief. Any data, views, or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

A public document will be available for examination by interested persons in the Office of the Regional Counsel, Federal Aviation Administration, 15000 Aviation Boulevard, Lawndale, California 90261.

In consideration of the foregoing, the FAA proposes the following airspace action.[1]

In § 71.181 (41 F.R. 440) the description of the Red Bluff, California Transition Area is amended by inserting after the words "56 miles N. of the VORTAC." the following: "* * * and that airspace NE AND E of Red Bluff within an arc of a 24-mile radius circle centered on the Red Bluff VORTAC, extending from the Red Bluff VORTAC 015° radial clockwise via the 24-mile arc to longitude 40°00'00" W."

(Sec. 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended, (49 U.S.C. 1348(a)), and of Sec. 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).)

Issued in Los Angeles, California on May 10, 1976.

LYNN L. HINK,
Acting Director,
Western Region.

[FR Doc.14602 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

[14 CFR Part 73]

[Airspace Docket No. 76-GL-14]

ALTERATION OF RESTRICTED AREA

Proposed Relocation Across Lake Michigan

The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) is considering an amendment to Part 73 of the Federal Aviation Regulations that would relocate Restricted Area R-6905A and R-6905B across Lake Michigan.

Interested persons may participate in the proposed rule making by submitting such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the airspace docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the Director, Great Lakes Region, Attention: Chief, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration, 2300 East Devon, Des Plaines, Ill. 60018. All communications received on or before June 21, 1976 will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

An official docket will be available for examination by interested persons at the Federal Aviation Administration, Office of the Chief Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket, AGC-24, 800 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20591. An informal docket also will be

[1] Map filed as part of the original document.

available for examination at the office of the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief. Request for copies of this Notice of Proposed Rule Making should be addressed to the Federal Aviation Administration, Office of Public Affairs, Attention: Public Information Center, APA–230, 800 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20591.

The proposed amendment would relocate Restricted Area R–6905A slightly north of its current location to permit scientific observations at the land/water interface. R–6905B would be relocated to be separated from R–6903 to avoid conflict with that restricted area.

The proposal would amend § 73.69 as follows:

1. R–6905A—LAKE MICHIGAN

Boundaries. Within 1½ NM each side of a direct line between coordinates Lat. 44°17'00" N., Long. 87°32'00" W., and Lat. 44°05'00" N., Long. 86°29'00" W., ending at the shoreline.

Designated altitude. Surface to 6,000 feet MSL.

Time of use. As activated by NOTAM, 12 hours in advance.

Controlling agency. Federal Aviation Administration, Chicago ARTC Center.

Using agency. University of Wisconsin.

2. R–6905B—LAKE MICHIGAN

Boundaries. Within 1½ NM each side of a direct line between coordinates Lat. 44°09'00" N., Long. 86°56'30" W., and Lat. 43°15'00" N., Long. 87°10'00" W.

Designated altitude. Surface to 6,000 feet MSL.

Time of use. As activated by NOTAM, 12 hours in advance.

Controlling agency. Federal Aviation Administration, Chicago ARTC Center.

Using agency. University of Wisconsin.

The current restricted areas were designated to enable the University of Wisconsin to conduct a meteorological study of the lower atmosphere across Lake Michigan. The boundaries of the restricted areas were constrained to the ferry route between Manitowoc, Ludington and Milwaukee as the ferry was the surface vessel used in the meteorological studies. The studies are being conducted from a vessel operated by the University of Wisconsin and that vessel is not constrained to these routings. Modification of the restricted area boundaries will permit the test to be conducted at shoreline locations not currently permitted because of the present restricted areas' proximity to airports.[1]

(Sec. 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348(a)) and Sec. 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on May 11, 1976.

EDWARD J. MALO,
Chief, Airspace and Air Traffic Rules Division.

[FR Doc.76–14465 Filed 5–19–76;8:45 am]

[1] Map filed as part of the original document.

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[23 CFR Part 1204]

[Docket 76–2; Notice 2]

HIGHWAY SAFETY PROGRAM STANDARDS

Notice of Public Meetings

This notice announces that the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) will hold public meetings to discuss a proposed revision of the highway safety program standards issued under the Highway Safety Act of 1966.

The highway safety program standards were originally promulgated in 1967. After the addition of two standards in 1972, the total number of standards stands at 18, of which 14 are administered wholly by NHTSA, 3 by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), and 1 jointly by the two agencies. The standards are codified as Part 1204.4 of Title 23, Code of Federal Regulations.

By advance notice of January 22, 1976 (41 FR 3315), NHTSA proposed to revise the standards it administers. To encourage full participation in the rulemaking process by all interested persons, NHTSA proposed in the advance notice to hold at least four public meetings to discuss the proposed revision. Five meetings are hereby scheduled as follows:

Tuesday, June 15, 1976—Washington, D.C., Federal Aviation Administration Auditorium, 800 Independence Ave., S.W.

Tuesday, June 22, 1976—San Francisco, California, Room 13450, Federal Building, 450 Golden Gate Ave.

Thursday, June 24, 1976—Denver, Colorado, Post Office Auditorium, 1823 Stout Street.

Tuesday, June 29, 1976—Atlanta, Georgia, Room 401, Georgia Dept. of Transportation Bldg., No. 2, Capitol Square.

Thursday, July 1, 1976—Kansas City, Missouri, Room 140, New Federal Office Building, 601 E. 12th Street.

The meetings will be held from 9:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m. and from 1:30 p.m. to 4:00 p.m.

The meetings will be informal in nature and will be conducted by a senior NHTSA official representing the Associate Administrator, Traffic Safety Programs (TSP).

All interested persons are invited to attend the meetings and to present oral or written comments concerning the proposed revision of the NHTSA standards. Persons making oral statements are encouraged to submit their comments in written form either at the meeting or by mail.

The sequence of speakers at each meeting will be based on the order of registration. Persons who wish an opportunity to speak are requested to register in advance of the meeting by notifying NHTSA of the meeting date and city, and the approximate length of their presentation. Requests for advance registration are to be mailed to Docket No. 76–2, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, Room 5108, 400 Seventh Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20590 or by calling Mr. Len Tabor at (202) 426–9581. Further general information may also be obtained by calling this number.

The standards affected by the proposed revision are designated as follows:

Standard No.

1. Periodic motor vehicle inspection.
2 Motor vehicle registration.
3 Motorcycle safety.
4 Driver education.
5 Driver licensing.
6 Codes and laws.
7 Traffic courts.
8 Alcohol in relation to highway safety.
10 Traffic records.
11 Emergency medical services.
14 Pedestrian safety (pedestrian-related aspects).
15 Police traffic services.
16 Debris hazard control and cleanup.
17 Pupil transportation safety.
18 Accident investigation and reporting.

Persons who do not have access to the Code of Federal Regulations may obtain copies of the standards by writing to:

Mr. Charles Livingston, Director, Office of Driver and Pedestrian Programs, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, 400 Seventh Street, SW., Washington, D.C. 20590.

The standards as currently written require the States to implement specific countermeasures, such as classified drivers' licenses, periodic motor vehicle inspection, and implied consent legislation. Since the standards were issued, experience and research have increased the agency's knowledge and insight into traffic crash countermeasures and performance specifications. Consequently, the revision process is designed to accomplish the following purposes:

1. Elimination of conflicts, inconsistencies and duplication among the standards.

2. Incorporation into the standards of new techniques and countermeasures developed through research and empirical testing.

3. Increasing the performance orientation of the standards and their pertinence to crash reduction.

4. Improving the evaluation of the standards' effectiveness.

To further improve the standards, NHTSA is considering a new conceptual format. As pointed out in the January 22 notice, it appears that some standards are too narrow to deal with the specific and localized problems which a State may experience, and that the requirement to implement them across the board may not be appropriate in every instance.

NHTSA realizes that States need flexibility in their programming efforts and that the nature and magnitude of highway safety problems and programs vary between and within the States. There are, however, generally applicable national requirements which can successfully be applied in all States and lead to a uniformity and consistency of direction and purpose.

To accommodate the desire of the States for maximum flexibility in establishing the elements of their highway safety program, while also satisfying the

Congressional mandate for uniform standards, NHTSA is considering a new approach in revising the safety standards. The proposed standard format would be divided into two basic elements. The first element would consist of minimum performance requirements for all States, but would be considerably less comprehensive than previous highway safety program standards. The second element would consist of a second level of supplementary requirements. Each State would be required to enter into a highway safety program which includes second level activities based upon problems identified within that State. The specific activities carried out by a particular State would be determined cooperatively by the State and the NHTSA. In this way, a State could tailor a special program to most effectively meet the needs of highway safety in its own jurisdiction.

The concept of a bilevel standard is a new departure by the NHTSA, but is fully warranted by the growing sophistication of the States in analyzing and evaluating their highway safety problems and potential. Likewise, the role of the Federal Government as a resource upon whose expertise the States can draw rather than the architect of State and local programs is consistent with the concept of Federal-State partnership and the principles of management by objectives.

(Pub. L. 89-564, 80 Stat. 731, 23 U.S.C. 401-406, as amended.)

Issued on: May 18, 1976.

FRED W. VETTER, Jr.,
Associate Administrator for Traffic Safety.

[FR Doc.76-14887 Filed 5-18-76;11:17 am]

[49 CFR Part 571]

[Docket No. 75-16; Notice 08]

FEDERAL MOTOR VEHICLE SAFETY STANDARDS

Proposed Bus Air Brake Systems

This notice responds to a petition for reconsideration of a recent amendment of Standard No. 121, Air Brake Systems, by proposing an extension from January 1, 1977, to September 1, 1977, of the existing suspension of service brake stopping distance requirements as they apply to buses.

Standard No. 121 (49 CFR 571.121) regulates the braking system performance of air-braked trucks, buses, and trailers. The standard has been in effect for trailers since January 1, 1975, and for trucks and buses since March 1, 1975. Following implementation of the requirements for buses, a pattern of erratic behavior developed in the performance of the antilock system used by manufacturers of transit and intercity buses to satisfy the "no lockup" requirements of the standard (S5.3.1). At an October 1975 public meeting the bus operators and manufacturers involved reviewed their experiences with implementation of the standard and expressed their views on potential safety hazards of antilock malfunction. Based on these and other reports, the NHTSA proposed a suspension of the service brake stopping distance requirements (including the "no lockup" requirement) to provide a period in which modified antilock hardware and newly-introduced systems could be field-evaluated (40 FR 52856, November 13, 1975). The proposed suspension of 1 year until January 1, 1977, was made final January 6, 1976 (41 FR 1598, January 9, 1976). Several vehicle manufacturers and user groups had argued that the suspension should be for a longer period. Transportation Manufacturing Corporation (TMC), a manufacturer of intercity buses, petitioned for reconsideration of the January 1, 1977, termination date of the suspension. TMC did not indicate what it considered to be a reasonable time for suspension. Motor Coach Industries, Inc., another manufacturer of intercity buses, requested an extension of the suspension until at least July 1, 1977.

In establishing a 1-year suspension, the agency stated that it would actively monitor the field evaluation of improved antilock systems being undertaken by the bus manufacturers, and would further evaluate requests for a longer suspension. To date, the plans of Rockwell International Corporation, the major antilock component supplier for buses, and Motor Coach Industries have been reviewed. Requests for data are also being made to other manufacturers of buses and to General Motors as a potential supplier of antilock components to this portion of the industry. In addition, the American Public Transit Association (APTA) has indicated that it will undertake a program of systematic investigation of any antilock devices proposed for use on transit buses.

It has become apparent that insufficient opportunity is available between now and January 1, 1977, to generate and analyze adequate data to make a sound decision in time to permit orderly planning of bus production. The agency considers it desirable to have the experience of a full year of antilock operation in all environmental conditions, particularly in the winter season. Field testing of improved devices is now underway. Timely reporting by those conducting field evaluations should permit a decision by June 1, 1977, on the readiness of the new antilock systems for production and regular highway servcie. Based on a decision by that date, the NHTSA proposes that the service brake stopping distances be reinstated on September 1, 1977.

The NHTSA will make available in the docket the information on progress of the field evaluation that can be made public. It is likely that the itemized results of testing by individual manufacturers may be entitled to confidential treatment as proprietary information. To the degree possible, the results will be summarized in a fashion that permits their review by interested persons without disclosing the identity of the reporting company.

APTA proposed the formation of a joint government-industry panel to oversee the testing program and to evaluate antilock equipment for transit buses. The NHTSA considers APTA's proposal to be inconsistent with the requirements of the Administrative Procedures Act (5 U.S.C. § 553) and agency regulations, since the agency must consider all comments (e.g., those of other associations, consumer groups, manufacturers, individuals) in making its decision. However, the APTA comments are expected to be a major factor in any decision and the NHTSA intends to coordinate with the APTA in its study, consistent with NHTSA regulations.

The NHTSA has studied whether school buses should be included in the suspension beyond January 1, 1977. Little information has been developed that would demonstrate that the distinctive school bus stop-and-go cycle has resulted in the same "false signal" problems as those of transit operations. Also the competitive problem cited earlier as a reason to include school buses in the suspension would continue to exist between those school buses that utilize the transit type system and those that do not if only some school buses were included in the suspension. The agency concludes that it is prudent to maintain the suspension for an additional 9 months in school buses as well as transit and intercity buses.

General Motors Corporation (GM), in its comments on the initial suspension proposal, asked for consideration of an extension of the emergency braking stopping distance. Because of the unique configuration of the braking system designed for its new transit bus, GM will not be able to utilize the lower-coefficient linings that are usable by other transit buses to meet the recently-extended service brake stopping distances. The emergency braking performance of the new bus does comply with requirements in braking up to speeds of 45 mph, but not to the 60-mph requirements required of vehicles capable of that speed.

The maximum permissible stopping distance for emergency braking performance 60 mph is 613 feet. GM data indicate that this distance would have to be substantially extended to permit use of lower-coefficient linings without a modification of their braking system. The NHTSA concludes that it would not be in the public interest to extend the emergency stopping distance. The agency also has decided not to limit the emergency stop to 45 mph. Although that speed is rarely exceeded in transit operations, the standard already provides for limiting emergency performance to speeds of which the vehicle is capable. The existing requirement permits adequate opportunity for GM and others to utilize linings that perform well only at lower speeds by limiting the top speed of their vehicles. However, if operators desire vehicles which will be capable of higher speeds, they must be built to meet the requirements for those speeds. Accord-

ingly, the agency has determined not to act favorably on the GM request.

In accordance with recently-enunciated Department of Transportation policy encouraging adequate analysis of the costs and other consequences of regulatory actions (41 FR 16201, April 16, 1976), the NHTSA has evaluated the economic and other consequences of this proposal on the public and private sectors, including possible loss of safety benefits. In this case the extension of the suspension does not necessitate new expenditures for compliance with the standard. The manufacturers undertaking test programs indicate that these programs are being undertaken independently of the length of the suspension. The manufacturers of antilock systems whose markets would be most adversely affected by the suspension indicate their desire for a longer evaluation period. The safety consequences of the longer suspension have already been discussed. Therefore, the agency concludes that the proposal should be issued as set forth in this notice.

For the benefit of interested persons, it is noted that Dockets 74–10 and 75–5 involve rulemaking on air brake systems that is related to this proposal.

In consideration of the foregoing, it is proposed that section S5.3.1 of Standard No. 121 (49 CFR 571.121) be amended by changing the date of "January 1, 1977" to "September 1, 1977".

Interested persons are invited to submit comments on the proposal. Comments should refer to the docket number and be submitted to: Docket Section, National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, Room 5108, 400 Seventh Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20590. It is requested but not required that 10 copies be submitted.

All comments received before the close of business on the comment closing date indicated below will be considered, and will be available for examination in the docket at the above address both before and after that date. To the extent possible, comments filed after the closing date will also be considered. However, the rulemaking action may proceed at any time after that date, and comments received after the closing date and too late for consideration in regard to the action will be treated as suggestions for future rulemaking. The NHTSA will continue to file relevant material as it becomes available in the docket after the closing date, and it is recommended that interested persons continue to examine the docket for new material.

Comment closing date: July 1, 1976.

Proposed effective date: Date of publication of the final rule in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

(Sec. 103, 119, Pub. L. 89–563, 80 Stat. 718 (15 U.S.C. 1392, 1407); delegations of authority at 49 CFR 1.50 and 49 CFR 501.8.)

Issued on May 13, 1976.

ROBERT L. CARTER,
Associate Administrator,
Motor Vehicle Programs.

[FR Doc.76–14456 Filed 5–14–76;10:27 am]

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[40 CFR Part 52]

[FRL 545–6]

WEST VIRGINIA

Proposed Revision to State Implementation Plan

On March 16, 1976, the State of West Virginia submitted to the Regional Administrator, EPA Region III, an amendment to West Virginia Air Pollution Control Regulation VIII, "Ambient Air Quality Standards for Sulfur Oxides and Particulate Matter". The State requested that the amendment be reviewed and processed as a revision to the West Virginia State Implementation Plan.

The amendment consists of a change to section 3.01(a), deleting the annual arithmetic mean and maximum 24 hour concentration for secondary sulfur dioxide standards. The State justified the amendment on grounds that the deletion conforms with EPA's deletion of the secondary annual and 24-hour sulfur dioxide standards from 40 CFR 50.5 (38 FR 25681).

On April 5, 1976 the State provided adequate proof that a public hearing required by the provisions set forth in 40 CFR 51.4 was held in Charleston on May 22, 1975.

The public is invited to submit comments on whether the amendments to West Virginia Air Pollution Control Regulation VIII should be approved as a revision to the West Virginia State Implementation Plan. Only those comments received on or before June 21, 1976, will be accepted. The Administrator's decision to approve or disapprove the proposed plan revision will be based on whether it meets the requirements of section 110(a)(2) of the Clean Air Act and 40 CFR Part 51, Requirements for Preparation, Adoption and Submittal of Implementation Plans.

Copies of the proposed revision and all related supplemental material are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the following locations:

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Curtis Building, Second Floor, Sixth and Walnut Streets, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19106, Attn: Mr. Harold Frankford.

West Virginia Air Pollution Control Commission, 1558 Washington Street, East, Charleston, West Virginia 25311, Attn: Mr. Carl C. Beard II.

Public Information Reference Unit, EPA Library, Room 2922, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20460.

All comments should be addressed to:

Mr. Howard Heim, Chief (3AH10), Air Programs Branch, Division of Air and Hazardous Materials, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Curtis Building, Sixth and Walnut Streets, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19106, Attn: AH004WV.

(42 U.S.C. 1857c–5.)

Dated: May 5, 1976.

A. R. MORRIS,
Acting Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc.76–14644 Filed 5–19–76;8:45 am]

[40 CFR Part 457]

[FRL 546–2]

EXPLOSIVES MANUFACTURING POINT SOURCE CATEGORY

Extension of Comment Period and Notice of Availability

On March 9, 1976 the Agency published a notice of proposed rulemaking (41 FR 10186) establishing limitations and guidelines and standards of performance for new sources and pretreatment standards for existing sources and for new sources for the explosives manufacturing category. The due date for comments provided in the notice was April 8, 1976.

The Agency anticipated that the document entitled "Development Document for Interim Final Effluent Limitations Guidelines and Proposed New Source Performance Standards for the Explosives Manufacturing Point Source Category," which contains information on the analysis undertaken in support of the regulations, would be available to the public throughout the comment period. Production difficulties delayed the availability of this document. Copies of the document are now available and have been forwarded to those persons having submitted written request to the Environmental Protection Agency. A limited number of additional copies are available for distribution from the Environmental Protection Agency, Effluent Guidelines Division, Washington, D.C. 20460, Attention: Distribution Officer, WH–552.

Accordingly, the date for submission of comments is hereby extended to June 21, 1976.

Dated: May 14, 1976.

JOHN T. RHETT,
Acting Assistant Administrator for Water and Hazardous Materials.

[FR Doc.76–14647 Filed 5–19–76;8:45 am]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[47 CFR Part 73]

[Docket No. 20803, RM–2629]

TELEVISION BROADCAST STATIONS

Park Falls, Wisconsin; Table of Assignments

In the Matter of Amendment of § 73.606(b), Table of Assignments, Television Broadcast Stations. (Park Falls, Wisconsin).

1. The Commission by the Chief, Broadcast Bureau, has before it for consideration a petition for rule making filed by the Wisconsin Educational Communications Board ("ECB"). ECB seeks amendment of § 73.606(b) of the Rules, the Television Table of Assignments, through the assignment of reserved Channel 36 to Park Falls, Wisconsin.

2. This particular assignment is requested in order to provide educational television broadcast service in northern Wisconsin. Park Falls was selected, according to the petitioner, since a transmitter located near there would result in

the least amount of overlapping coverage with that of existing educational television stations and still furnish a large first educational service. Petitioner estimates approximately 133,000 Wisconsin residents will be within the service area of a station operating on the proposed assignment. Of these, 57% (75,000 persons) would receive a first educational television service. This is particularly important in the northern Wisconsin area to be served, petitioner states, since it is rural in nature and residents must travel great distances to enjoy cultural benefits.

3. ECB is the licensee of stations operating on reserved educational assignments at Colfax (WHWC–TV, Channel *28), Green Bay (WPNE, Channel *38), La Crosse (WHLA–TV, Channel *31), and Wausau (WHRM–TV, Channel *20). These four stations, in cooperation with educational stations at Madison (WHA–TV, Channel *21), Milwaukee (WMVS, Channel *10), and Duluth, Minnesota (WDSE–TV, Channel *8) provide educational television broadcast service to the residents of Wisconsin. Petitioner estimates a station operating on the proposed assignment will, in combination with other ECB owned or affiliated stations, enable approximately 97% of the residents of Wisconsin to receive an educational television service.

4. The Commission believes comments should be solicited on the petitioner's request. The proposed assignment complies with the Commission's mileage separation requirements and other technical criteria. Canadian concurrence must be sought, however, since the proposed location is within 250 miles of the United States-Canadian border.

5. Therefore, in view of the foregoing showing, we propose to consider the following revision in the Television Table of Assignments (§ 73.606(b) of the Rules) with respect to the city listed below:

City	Channel No. Present	Channel No. Proposed
Park Falls, Wis.		*36+

6. The Commission's authority to institute rule making proceedings, showings required, cut-off procedures, and filing requirements are contained in the attached Appendix and are incorporated by reference herein.

7. Interested parties may file comments on or before June 25, 1976, and reply comments on or before July 15, 1976.

Adopted: May 11, 1976.

Released: May 17, 1976.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
WALLACE E. JOHNSON,
Chief Broadcast Bureau.

1. Pursuant to authority found in sections 4(i), 5(d)(1), 303 (g) and (r), and 307(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and § 0.281(b)(6) of the Commission's Rules, it is proposed to amend the TV Table of Assignments, § 73.606(b) of the Commission's Rules and Regulations, as set forth in the Notice of Proposed Rule Making above.

2. *Showings required.* Comments are invited on the proposal(s) discussed in the Notice of Proposed Rule Making to which this Appendix is attached. Proponent(s) will be expected to answer whatever questions are presented in initial comments. The proponent of a proposed assignment is also expected to file comments even if it only resubmits or incorporates by reference its former pleadings. It should also restate its present intention to apply for the channel if it is assigned, and, if authorized, to build the station promptly. Failure to file may lead to denial of the request.

3. *Cut-off procedures.* The following procedures will govern the consideration of filings in this proceeding.

(a) Counterproposals advanced in this proceeding itself will be considered, if advanced in initial comments, so that parties may comment on them in reply comments. They will not be considered if advanced in reply comments. (See §1.420(d) of Commission Rules.)

(b) With respect to petitions for rule making which conflict with the proposal(s) in this Notice, they will be considered as comments in the proceeding, and Public Notice to this effect will be given as long as they are filed before the date for filing initial comments herein. If filed later than that, they will not be considered in connection with the decision in this docket.

4. *Comments and reply comments; service.* Pursuant to applicable procedures set out in §§ 1.415 and 1.420 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations, interested parties may file comments and reply comments on or before the dates set forth in the *Notice of Proposed Rule Making* to which this Appendix is attached. All submissions by parties to this proceeding or persons acting on behalf of such parties must be made in written comments, reply comments, or other appropriate pleadings. Comments shall be served on the petitioner by the person filing the comments. Reply comments shall be served on the person(s) who filed comments to which the reply is directed. Such comments and reply comments shall be accompanied by a certificate of service. (See § 1.420 (a), (b) and (c) of the Commission Rules.)

5. *Number of copies.* In accordance with the provisions of Section 1.420 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations, an original and four copies of all comments, reply comments, pleadings, briefs, or other documents shall be furnished the Commission.

6. *Public inspection of filings.* All filings made in this proceeding will be available for examination by interested parties during regular business hours in the Commission's Public Reference Room at its headquarters, 1919 M Street, N.W., Washington, D.C.

[FR Doc.76–14726 Filed 5–19–76;8:45am]

[47 CFR Part 73]

[Docket No. 20727, RM–2557]

TELEVISION BROADCAST STATIONS

Riverside and Santa Ana, California; Table of Assignments; Extension of Comments Time

In the Matter of Amendment of § 73.606(b), Table of Assignments, Television Broadcast Stations. (Riverside and Santa, Ana, California).

1. On April 6, 1976, the Commission issued an Order Extending Time for Filing Comments and Reply Comments to the Notice of Proposed Rule Making released February 26, 1976 (41 FR 9568), in the above-captioned matter. The present dates for filing comments and reply comments are May 17, 1976, and June 7, 1976, respectively.

2. On March 12, 1976, International Panorama TV, Inc., petitioner in this proceeding, filed a Petition for Reconsideration and Motion for Stay.[1] Due to certain procedural delays, reconsideration could not have been given until April 22, 1976 (since interested persons had until that date to file oppositions to the petition for reconsideration). Although no oppositions have been filed, another extension has become necessary to allow sufficient time for the Commission to address the petition. We believe that comments should not be filed in this proceeding until all interested parties have had the opportunity to review our decision on the petition for reconsideration, and therefore we will, on our own motion, extend the deadlines for filing comments.

3. Accordingly, *it is ordered,* That the dates for filing comments and reply comments are extended to and including June 21, 1976, and July 12, 1976, respectively.

4. Authority for this action is found in sections 4(i), 5(d)(1) and 303(r) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and § 0.281 of the Commission's Rules.

Adopted: May 13, 1976.

Released: May 14, 1976.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
WALLACE E. JOHNSON,
Chief,
Broadcast Bureau.

[FR Doc.76–14727 Filed 5–19–76;8:45 am]

[1] The Motion for Stay was subsequently withdrawn.

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Federal Supply Service

[41 CFR Part 101–7]

FEDERAL PROPERTY MANAGEMENT REGULATIONS

Proposed Federal Travel Regulations

Notice is hereby given that the General Services Administration (GSA) proposes to amend the Federal Travel Regulations

and changes to those regulations which were issued as Federal Property Management Regulations (FPMR) Temporary Regulation A–11 and Supplement 1 thereto.

The Federal Travel Regulations are incorporated by reference into FPMR 101–7 (41 CFR 101–7) and transmitted by GSA Bulletin FPMR A–40. FPMR Temporary Regulation A–11, Changes to Federal Travel Regulations, and Supplement 1 thereto were published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on May 23, 1975 (40 FR 22617), and June 30, 1975 (40 FR 27533), respectively.

Heads of Federal agencies are invited to submit the consolidated written views and recommendations of their respective agencies to the General Services Administration (FF), Washington, DC 20406. Comments received by June 30, 1976, will be considered before final action is taken to convert the proposed changes to permanent regulations.

Pursuant to Executive Order 11609 (July 22, 1971) and under the authority of the Travel Expense Amendments Act of 1975 (Pub. L. 94–22, May 19, 1975), the Administrator of General Services is responsible for promulgating Government-wide regulations prescribing Federal employee travel and relocation allowances. Certain changes contained in this document are necessary to ensure conformance of those regulations with various decisions issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Other changes are proposed as the result of administrative determinations by GSA which are based on current subsistence and other cost data and which reflect recommendations provided by various Federal agencies. Changes to the Federal Travel Regulations of general interest are those which:

a. Increase the per diem and the meals and miscellaneous expense allowances for official travel within the coterminous United States to $35 and $16, respectively.

b. Incorporate guidelines issued by the Comptroller General of the United States implementing the provisions of the International Air Transportation Fair Competitive Practices Act of 1974 which require maximum use of United States flag air carriers for air transportation of persons and property financed by the Government.

c. Extend application of the lodgings-plus method of computing per diem allowances to travel outside the coterminous United States and establish new procedures for determining per diem for en route travel outside the United States.

d. Establish procedures through which agencies may obtain authority from GSA to pay higher mileage rates for use of privately-owned conveyances for official travel in particular areas outside the coterminous United States.

e. Increase the maximum actual subsistence expense rates for certain designated high rate geographical areas; require limited reimbursement for travel to high rate areas when lodging is not involved; specify circumstances of travel to high rate areas for which reimbursement under the high rate concept is not authorized; and clarify provisioins for mixed travel.

f. Modify relocation allowances by, among other things, increasing the miscellaneous expense allowance and maximum weight allowance for shipment of household goods for an employee without immediate family, expanding the definition of "immediate family" for which travel expenses are paid by Government and deleting the maximum dollar limitation for reimbursement of expenses incurred in the sale or purchase of a residence.

In consonance with the foregoing, it is proposed to amend the Federal Travel Regulations, as follows:

1. By adding subparagraph 1–2.2e, as follows:

1–2.2. *Methods of transportation.*

* * * * *

e. *Travel by ocean vessel.* Except for travel between points served by ferries, travel by ocean vessel shall not be regarded as advantageous to the Government in the absence of sufficient justification that the advantages accruing from the use of ocean transportation offset the higher costs associated with this method of transportation; i.e., per diem, transportation, and lost work time. Authority to authorize or approve travel by ocean vessel shall be retained at the highest administrative level consistent with agency travel management policy. (See 1–3.3c for authorized vessel accommodations.)

2. By revising paragraph 1–3.6 and changing its caption, as follows:

1–3.6. *Use of United States flag carriers.*

a. *Travel by United States flag ships.* Section 901 of the Merchant Marine Act of 1936 (46 U.S.C. 1241(a)) provides: "Any officer or employee of the United States traveling on official business overseas or to or from any of the possessions of the United States shall travel and transport his personal effects on ships registered under the laws of the United States where such ships are available unless the necessity of his mission requires the use of a ship under a foreign flag: *Provided,* That the Comptroller General of the United States shall not credit any allowance for travel or shipping expenses incurred on a foreign ship in the absence of satisfactory proof of the necessity therefor."

b. *Use of United States flag air carriers.*

(1) *Definition.* The term "U.S. flag air carrier" as used in this regulation means an air carrier holding certificate under section 401 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1371), but excludes foreign air carriers operating under permits.

(2) *General requirements.*

(a) Section 5 of the International Air Transportation Fair Competitive Practices Act of 1974 (Pub. L. 93–623, January 3, 1975) requires any executive department or other agency or instrumentality of the United States which finances transportation of persons (and their personal effects) or property by air between the United States and a place outside thereof, or between two places both of which are outside of the United States, to take such steps as may be necessary to ensure that only U.S. flag air carriers are used whenever service by these carriers is available.

(b) The act cited in (a), above, also requires the Comptroller General of the United States to disallow any expenditures from appropriated funds for payment of travel on foreign flag air carriers in the absence of satisfactory proof of the necessity therefor.

(c) Employees shall use U.S. flag air carriers to the maximum extent possible when travel is performed by commercial air transportation between the United States and a foreign country or between foreign countries. This requirement also applies to other persons such as employee dependents, consultants, contractors, grantees, or other travelers whose travel is paid from funds appropriated, owned, controlled, granted, or otherwise established for the account of the United States. The requirement to use U.S. flag air carriers to the maximum extent possible shall not be influenced by factors of cost, convenience, or personal travel preference of the traveler. Excess and near excess foreign currencies will be used for paying the expenses of such travel as provided in 1–10.4.

(3) *Guidelines for determining "available" service.* The Comptroller General of the United States has issued specific guidelines to the heads of departments, agencies, and others concerned (B–138942, March 12, 1976). The guidelines specifically state that passenger or freight service by a certificated air carrier is considered "available" even though:

(a) Comparable or a different kind of service by a noncertificated air carrier costs less;

(b) Service by a noncertificated air carrier can be paid for in excess foreign currency;

(c) Service by a noncertificated air carrier is preferred by the agency or traveler needing air transportation; or

(d) Service by a noncertificated air carrier is more convenient for the agency or traveler needing air transportation.

(4) *Guidelines for determining "unavailable" service.* The guidelines cited in (3), above, further state that passenger service by a certificated air carrier is considered "unavailable" when:

(a) The traveler, while en route, would have to wait 6 hours or more to transfer to a certificated air carrier to proceed to the intended destination;

(b) Any flight by a certificated air carrier is interrupted by a stop anticipated to be 6 hours or more for refueling, reloading, repairs, or other cause, and no other flight by a certificated air carrier is available during the 6 hour period;

(c) Service by a certificated air carrier, or by combination of certificated or noncertificated air carriers (if certificated air carriers are "unavailable"), would take 12 or more hours longer, from the

origin airport to the destination airport, to accomplish the agency's mission than would service by a noncertificated air carrier or carriers; or

(d) The elapsed travel time on a scheduled flight from the origin airport to the destination airport by noncertificated air carrier(s) is 3 hours or less, and service by certificated air carrier(s) would involve twice that scheduled travel time.

c. *Use of foreign flag air carriers.* The use of foreign flag air carriers may be authorized or approved only when U.S. flag air carrier service is not available (as determined under the guidelines in b, above). When direct service by U.S. flag air carriers is not available between the origin and destination, a foreign flag air carrier may be used to the nearest practicable interchange point to connect with "available" U.S. flag air carrier service, provided the interchange point is consistent with scheduled connections and authorized stopovers and the additional travel thereto will not unduly interfere with the conduct of official business. A statement justifying the use of a foreign flag air carrier must be entered on or attached to the travel authorization or travel voucher. Expenditures for transportation on a foreign flag air carrier will be disallowed in the absence of a justification statement.

3. By revising subparagraph 1–4.2a and changing its caption, and adding subparagraph 1–4.2a–1, as follows:

1–4.2. *When use of a privately owned conveyance is advantageous to the Government.*

a. *Authorized mileage rates.* When the use of a privately owned conveyance is authorized or approved as advantageous to the Government for the performance of official travel as provided in 1–2.2c(3), reimbursement to the traveler shall be at the mileage rates prescribed in (1) through (3), below.

(1) For use of a privately owned motorcycle: 8 cents per mile.

(2) For use of a privately owned automobile: 15 cents per mile.

(3) For use of a privately owned airplane: 22 cents per mile.

a–1. *Mileage rates outside the conterminous United States.* Generally, the mileage rates prescribed in a, above, are applicable outside as well as within the conterminous United States. However, if an agency determines that these rates are inadequate compensation for use of a privately owned conveyance in a particular area outside the conterminous United States, the head of the agency may submit a request to GSA for authority to pay a higher mileage rate for that area. This request must be submitted in accordance with the procedures and limitations set forth below.

(1) *Submission requirements.* A request to pay a higher mileage rate for a particular area shall be forwarded to the General Services Administration (FZR), Washington, DC 20406, for review and approval. The request must include a recommended mileage rate not exceeding the statutory maximum rates in (3), below, and supporting cost data justification as described in (2), below.

(2) *Cost data justification.* An analysis of the costs per mile of operating a privately owned conveyance in the particular area involved shall include the data listed below. Additional cost data which are peculiar to the area may be added, if appropriate. However, parking and toll costs shall not be included in this analysis since these expenses are reimbursable as a separate allowance in accordance with 1–4.1c.

(a) Size/type of conveyance to which cost data apply.

(b) Fixed operating costs: vehicle depreciation, insurance, taxes, and registration fees.

(c) Variable operating costs: gasoline, motor oil, maintenance, repairs, and tires.

(3) *Statutory maximum mileage rates.* A higher mileage rate recommended by an agency must be within the following statutory maximum rates:

(a) For use of a privately owned motorcycle: 11 cents per mile.

(b) For use of a privately owned automobile: 20 cents per mile.

(c) For use of a privately owned airplane: 24 cents per mile.

* * * * *

4. By revising paragraphs 1–7.1 through 1–7.4 and changing the captions, as follows:

1–7.1. *General.* Per diem allowances as prescribed in this Part 7 shall be paid for official travel except when it is determined that the employee should be reimbursed on the actual subsistence expense basis as provided in Part 8 of this chapter.

a. *Definitions.*

(1) *Per diem.* Per diem is a commuted daily allowance paid to the employee instead of reimbursement of the actual expenses incurred for subsistence and fees or tips to porters, stewards, and others which are necessary and essential to the transacting of official business.

(2) *Per diem locality.* A per diem locality is any locality or geographic area for which a maximum per diem rate has been established in 1–7.2a (e.g., the conterminous United States; Anchorage, Alaska; Paris, France).

(3) *Travel status.* Travel status means the period of time an employee is considered to be on official travel for reimbursement purposes, beginning with departure from home, office, or other point and ending with return thereto. (See 1–7.6e.)

b. *Agency responsibilities.* The specific rules contained in 1–7.3 and 1–7.4 for computing per diem allowances shall be applied for official travel within and outside the conterminous United States. However, it is the responsibility of the head of each agency to authorize or approve only those per diem allowances that are justified by the circumstances affecting the travel and that are allowable under the provisions of this Part 7. Care shall be exercised to prevent authorization or approval of per diem allowances in excess of those required to cover the subsistence expenses necessary in the performance of travel to conduct official business. To this end, consideration shall be given to factors which reduce the expenses of the employee, such as:

(1) Known arrangements at temporary duty locations where lodging and meals can be obtained without cost or at reduced cost to the traveler;

(2) Established cost experience in the localities where lodging and meals are required; or

(3) Situations in which special rates for accommodations have been made available for a particular meeting, conference, training assignment, or other temporary duty assignment.

c. *Employee responsibility.* An employee traveling on official business is expected to exercise the same care in incurring expenses that a prudent person would exercise if traveling on personal business.

d. *Types of expenses covered by per diem.* The per diem allowance covers all expenses, including taxes and service charges where applicable, for the following:

(1) Meals;

(2) Lodging (The term "lodging" does not include accommodations on airplanes, trains, or vessels; such accommodations are considered to be furnished by the Government and are included in the transportation cost. However, in determining the overall cost to the Government when authorizing the mode of transportation to be used, the availability of such accommodations shall be considered (1–2.2b).);

(3) Personal use of room during daytime;

(4) Baths, fans, air conditioners, heaters, and fires in rooms;

(5) Fees and tips to waiters, porters, baggagemen, bellboys, hotel maids, dining room stewards and others on vessels, and hotel servants in foreign countries;

(6) Telegrams and telephone calls to reserve lodging accommodations (See Part 6 of this chapter for allowable telegram and telephone expenses incurred for other purposes.);

(7) Laundry, cleaning, and pressing of clothing; and

(8) Transportation between places of lodging or business and places where meals are taken, except as otherwise provided in 1–2.3b.

1–7.2. *Maximum rates.*

a. *Maximum per diem locality rates.* Per diem allowances for official travel shall be authorized or approved as provided in this Part 7 at daily rates not in excess of the maximums established as follows:

(1) *Conterminous United States.* The per diem allowance for official travel within the conterminous United States shall not exceed a maximum daily rate of $35.

(2) *Non-foreign areas outside the conterminous United States.* The per diem allowances payable for official travel in the States of Alaska and Hawaii, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, the Canal Zone, and the possessions of the United States shall not exceed the maximum daily locality rates established by the Secretary of Defense and published in Civilian Personnel Per Diem Bulletins.

(3) *Foreign areas.* Per diem allowances payable for official travel in foreign areas shall not exceed the maximum daily locality rates established by the Secretary of State and published in the Per Diem Supplement to the Standardized Regulations (Government Civilians, Foreign Areas). Foreign areas include the Trust Territory of the Pacific Islands and all other areas or localities outside the conterminous United States not listed in (2), above.

b. *Rates for meals and miscellaneous subsistence expenses (M&MS).*

(1) *Conterminous United States.* For travel on a per diem basis within the conterminous United States, the uniform rate for M&MS shall be $16 per day.

(2) *Outside conterminous United States.* For travel on a per diem basis outside the conterminous United States, the uniform rate for M&MS shall be 50 percent of the maximum per diem rate authorized under 1–7.2a (2) and (3), above, for the per diem locality involved.

1–7.3. *Per diem computation, receipts, and voucher statements.* Except as otherwise provided in 1–7.4, the per diem allowances authorized or approved under the provisions of this Part 7 for official travel within and outside the conterminous United States shall be computed as set forth in a through g, below. Provisions concerning receipts and required per diem claim statements are contained in h, below.

a. *When lodging is not required.* For official travel of 24 hours or less within the same per diem locality (i.e., in the conterminous United States or within one per diem locality outside the conterminous United States) when a night's lodging is not required, the per diem rate shall be the M&MS rate (see 1–7.2b) applicable to the per diem locality in which the travel is performed. This rate shall be payable in accordance with 1–7.6d(1).

b. *When lodging is required.* For official travel exceeding 1 calendar day within and outside the conterminous United States when lodging away from the official station is required, the per diem allowance shall be computed under the lodgings-plus rules set forth in c, below, except when this method of computation is not appropriate as provided in d, below. Lodging is considered to be required while in a travel status away from the official station regardless of whether lodging is actually obtained or used, or a lodging cost is actually incurred. Per diem computed under the lodgings-plus method is based on the average cost of lodging plus a pre-established uniform rate for meals and miscellaneous subsistence expenses (M&MS) in each per diem locality.

c. *Lodgings-plus computation rules.*

(1) *Travel within the conterminous United States.* The per diem allowances that may be authorized or approved for official travel within he conterminous United States shall be computed under the lodgings-plus method, as follows:

(a) *Determine the average cost of lodging.*

(i) Total all costs of lodging incurred during the period of official travel covered by the travel voucher. When a night's lodging is not used (i.e., when en route by air), is furnished by the Government or other source, or is otherwise obtained without cost to the employee (i.e., lodging is obtained as a guest of friends or relatives, sleeping accommodations on trains, etc.), the lodging cost for that night shall be zero. If all lodging is without cost to the employee, proceed in the computation to (b), below.

(ii) Total the number of nights the employee was in a travel status away from the official station or home as of 12 p.m. (midnight), including those nights that lodging was without cost to the employee. Computation of the number of nights shall include all nights the employee was in a travel status as of 12 p.m. (midnight) provided per diem is allowed for the next quarter day following midnight. For example, if the employee's time of return to official station or home was at or before 12 p.m. (midnight), that night would not be counted. If the time of return was 3 a.m., the previous midnight would be counted as a night away from home or official station. However, when the time of return was between 12:01 a.m. and 12:30 a.m., the previous midnight may or may not be counted contingent upon whether per diem is allowed for that quarter day as provided in 1–7.6e.

(iii) Divide the total cost of lodging (as determined in (i), above) by the total number of nights the employee is away from home or official station (as determined in (ii), above). The resulting amount is the average daily cost of lodging.

(b) *Add the M&MS rate.* To the average daily cost of lodging as determined in (a), above, add the M&MS rate authorized in 1–7.2b(1) for per diem travel within the conterminous United States. If the resulting amount includes a fraction of a dollar, round the entire amount to the next highest whole dollar; i.e., round $29.01 through $29.99 to $30. (Do not round any resulting amount that is a whole dollar.)

(c) *Applicable per diem rate.* The final amount computed under (b), above, limited to the maximum per diem rate authorized in 1–7.2a(1) for travel within the conterminous United States, shall be the per diem rate applicable for the entire period of travel covered by the travel voucher.

(2) *Travel outside the conterminous United States.* The per diem allowances that may be authorized or approved for official travel involving per diem localities outside the conterminous United States shall be computed under the lodgings-plus method, as follows:

(a) *Determine the average cost of lodging.*

(i) Total the lodging costs incurred within each per diem locality outside the conterminous United States. When a night's lodging within a per diem locality is not used, is furnished by the Government or other source, or is otherwise obtained without cost to the employee (i.e., lodging obtained as a guest of friends or relatives), the lodging cost for that night shall be zero. If all lodging within a per diem locality is without cost the the employee, proceed in the computation to (b), below.

(ii) Total the number of nights (as of 12 p.m. (midnight)) that the employee was within each per diem locality and lodging was required regardless of whether a lodging cost was incurred for that night. Do not count nights (as of 12 p.m. (midnight)) of en route travel except when the employee, having arrived in a per diem locality during the first or second quarter of a calendar day, obtains lodging which is charged to the previous calendar day. In this instance, the night of en route travel will be counted.

(iii) Divide the total cost of lodging (as determined in (i), above) by the total number of nights (as determined in (ii), above) that the employee was within each per diem locality. The result is the average daily cost of lodging within each per diem locality involved.

(b) *Add the M&MS rate.* To the average cost of lodging within each per diem locality, add the M&MS rate authorized in 1–7.2b(2) for each per diem locality involved. If the resulting amount includes a fraction of a dollar, round the entire amount to the next highest whole dollar; i.e., round $30.01 through $30.99 to $31. (Do not round any resulting amount which is a whole dollar.)

(c) *Applicable per diem rate.* The final amount computed under (b), above, limited to the maximum per diem rate authorized in 1–7.2a (2) or (3) for the particular per diem locality involved, shall be the per diem rate applicable for all calendar days (12:01 a.m. to 12 p.m. (midnight)) that lodging was required in each per diem locality. This rate is applicable to the day of arrival in the locality where lodging was required (including en route travel time) through the day prior to the day of departure.

(d) *When lodging is not located at duty point.* When suitable lodging is not available at a place of temporary duty in a locality outside the conterminous United States and the employee is required to obtain lodging in another per diem locality, the per diem rate shall be computed under the lodgings-plus method for the locality in which the lodging is obtained.

d. *Per diem allowances when lodgings-plus is not appropriate.* An agency may determine that the lodgings-plus method of computing the per diem rate is not appropriate in given circumstances, such as when quarters or meals, or both, are provided at no cost or at a reduced cost by the Government or when for other reasons the subsistence cost which will be incurred by the employee can be accurately estimated in advance. In such cases, an agency may prescribe a specific per diem rate within the maximum per diem rate (1–7.2a), provided the exception from the lodgings-plus method is authorized in writing by any appropriate official of the agency.

e. *Per diem allowances for use of a privately owned recreational vehicle.* A per diem allowance shall be allowed when an employee uses a privately owned

recreational vehicle (e.g., a camper, a camping trailer, or a self-propelled mobile camping vehicle) for lodging while on official travel. Allowable expenses which may be considered as a lodging cost include, but are not limited to the following: parking fees; fees for connection, use, and disconnection of utilities (electricity, gas, water, and sewage); bath or shower fees; and dumping fees. When per diem is computed under the lodgings-plus method, the average of these daily lodging costs plus the M&MS rate authorized for the per diem locality involved shall be the applicable per diem rate.

f. *Per diem for extended stays.* For travel assignments involving extended periods of temporary duty or training in excess of 30 calendar days and when travelers are able to secure lodging and meals at lower costs because of the extended period of time, the per diem rate shall be adjusted downward to reflect the lower cost. In such instances of reduced per diem, agencies should prescribe a specific per diem rate in accordance with d, above.

g. *Per diem for meetings and conferences.* In the interest of uniform treatment of employees, whenever a meeting, conference, or similar assembly is arranged which will involve the travel of attendees from other agencies or components of the same agency, the agency or agencies sponsoring the meeting or conference shall recommend to the other participating agencies or components a per diem allowance that would be appropriate in view of the circumstances of the particular meeting or conference.

h. *Receipts and voucher statements.* Receipts for lodging costs may or may not be required at the discretion of the head of each agency. However, employees are required to enter the following on their travel vouchers:

(1) The total cost of lodging incurred in each per diem locality; and

(2) A statement that the per diem claimed is based on the average daily cost of lodging incurred within each per diem locality during the period covered by the travel voucher plus the applicable M&MS rate for the locality involved, unless the lodgings-plus method has been determined to be inappropriate as provided in d, above. (This requirement is met if such a statement is overprinted or stamped on the travel voucher.)

1–7.4. *Travel involving duty points outside the conterminous United States.* The provisions of this paragraph apply to official travel to, from, or between duty points outside the conterminous United States, including authorized stopovers.

a. *Definitions.*

(1) *Duty point.* The term "duty point" means an official station, as defined in 1–1.3c(1), a temporary duty station, or other point within or outside the conterminous United States where official travel is authorized to begin or end.

(2) *Stopover.* The term "stopover" means an interval of time spent for any authorized purpose in a per diem locality between periods of en route travel. No distinction is made with respect to the reason for an authorized stopover; e.g., official business at a temporary duty station, rest stop, or delay due to carrier scheduling.

b. *Round trip travel within one calendar day.* When an employee travels to or from a duty point outside the conterminous United States, or between duty points outside the conterminous United States, and returns within the same calendar day, the maximum per diem that may be authorized or approved for the entire trip is the M&MS rate (see 1–7.2b) for the locality in which the temporary duty point is located. This rate shall be payable in accordance with 1–7.6d(1). If the travel involves more than one per diem locality because of multiple temporary duty points, the maximum per diem is the average of the M&MS rates for all localities involved.

c. *Calendar days when lodging is required and obtained.* For calendar days when lodging is required and obtained in a particular per diem locality with or without cost to the employee, the per diem rate shall be computed under the lodgings-plus method in 1–7.3c (1) or (2), as applicable.

d. *Calendar days of en route travel, including stopovers, when a lodging cost is not incurred.* For calendar days when lodging is required away from official station, but a lodging cost is not incurred due to en route travel, per diem rates shall be as prescribed below. These rates shall be prorated and payable in accordance with 1–7.6d(2).

(1) *En route travel by other than ocean vessel.* For periods of enroute travel, including stopovers of less than 6 hours, by all modes of transportation except ocean vessel, the per diem rate shall be $12 per calendar day ($3 per quarter day). This rate is applicable during periods of en route travel on the following calendar days which involve no lodging cost to the employee:

(a) The first day of travel prior to the day of arrival at a duty point or other stopover point where lodging is required.

(b) The last day(s) of travel returning to official station or home when a lodging cost is not incurred because of enroute travel or lodging is not required because of arrival at official station or home.

(c) Days, other than those in (a) and (b), above, involving en route travel to, from, or between temporary duty points or other authorized stopover points where a lodging cost is not incurred due to en route travel.

(2) *En route travel by commercial and Government ocean vessel.*

(a) For en route travel by commercial ocean vessel or ferry when the cost of passage includes the cost of meals and stateroom or berth, the per diem rate shall be $6 per whole calendar day aboard the vessel. This rate shall be prorated fractional days preceding embarkation for fractional days aboard the vessel. For and following debarkation, the per diem rate shall be as provided in (1), above, for en route travel or (4), below, for stopovers, as applicable. If lodging is obtained at a temporary duty or stopover point on the day of debarkation, the per diem rate for that day shall be computed under the lodgings-plus method in 1–7.3c, as applicable.

(b) For en route travel or duty aboard Government vessels where quarters and meals are normally furnished at no cost or at a reduced cost, agencies, shall prescribe an appropriate and necessary per diem rate. The term "Government vessel" includes vessels owned and operated, leased and operated, or charactered by the Government.

(3) *En route travel per diem not adequate.* When the rates prescribed in (1) and (2), above, are not commensurate with an employee's subsistence expenses, a different rate may be authorized or approved as follows:

(a) For travel by other than ocean vessel, an amount not in excess of the M&MS rate applicable to the destination duty point.

(b) For travel by commercial ocean vessel or ferry, an amount not in excess of $16.

(4) *Stopovers.*

(a) *Stopovers of less than 6 hours.* Stopovers of less than 6 hours are considered to be part of the enroute travel period between duty points. The per diem rate applicable to en route travel time shall also apply to the stopover time.

(b) *Stopovers of 6 hours or more.* Stopovers of 6 hours or more are considered to be interruptions of the en route travel period between duty points. When lodging is not required at a stopover point, the per diem rate for the stopover time shall be the M&MS rate for the per diem locality in which the stopover point is located. (When lodging is required at the stopover point, the per diem rate shall be computed under the lodgings-plus computation rules in 1–7.3c.)

(5) *Time preceeding en route travel.* For calendar day periods of 6 hours or more at a temporary duty or stopover point preceeding en route travel, the per diem rate shall be the M&MS rate applicable to the temporary duty point or other authorized stopover point from which the employee will depart.

e. *Rest stops.* A rest period not in excess of 24 hours may be authorized or approved when air travel involving at least one duty point outside the conterminous United States is by less than first class accommodations and the scheduled flight exceeds 14 hours, including stopovers of less than 8 hours, via a direct or usually traveled route. The rest stop may be at any intermediate point, including points in the 50 States and the District of Columbia, provided the point is midway in the journey or as near to midway as carrier scheduling permits. A rest stop shall not be authorized when an employee, for personal convenience, elects to travel by an indirect route which results in travel time in excess of 14 hours. The per diem for the rest stop period shall be as provided for stopovers in 1–7.4d(4), above, as applicable. When carrier schedules preclude an intermediate rest stop, or a rest stop is not authorized, it is recommended that the employee be scheduled to arrive

at the temporary duty point with sufficient time to allow a reasonable rest period before reporting for duty.

5. By revising subparagraph 1–7.5c and deleting and reserving subparagraph 1–7.5e, as follows:

1–7.5. *Interruptions of per diem entitlement.*

* * * * *

c. *Return to official station for nonworkdays.* At the discretion of an agency's administrative officials, an employee may be required to return to his official station for nonworkdays. In case of an employee's voluntary return to his official station or place of abode (place from which he commutes daily to his official station), the maximum reimbursement for the round-trip transportation and per diem en route shall be limited to the maximum per diem which could have been allowed under 1–7.2a if the employee had remained at the temporary duty station.

* * * * *

e. [Reserved]

6. By deleting and reserving subparagraph 1–7.6b and revising subparagraph 1–7.6f, as follows:

1–7.6. *Per diem computation rules.*

* * * * *

b. [Reserved]

* * * * *

f. *Deduction for meals and/or lodging furnished.* An appropriate amount shall be deducted from the authorized per diem for meals and/or lodging furnished at a temporary duty station by a Federal agency without charge or at a nominal cost. An appropriate deduction shall also be made for meals consumed aboard a common carrier during travel wholly within the conterminous United States when such meals are furnished by the carrier and the cost of the meals is included as part of the transportation cost to the Government.

7. By revising subparagraph 1–8.1b and changing its capition, as follows:

1–8.1. *Authorization or approval.*

* * * * *

b. *Temporary duty within high rate geographical areas.* Actual subsistence expense reimbursement shall be authorized or approved for travel whenever a temporary duty assignment is within a location designated as a high rate geographical area in 1–8.6, except as provided in (1) through (3), below.

NOTE.—The provisions of 1–8.1b pertaining to reimbursement under the high rate geographical area concept are not applicable to travel allowances in connection with a permanent change of station, including travel to seek residence quarters, or to other relocation allowances authorized under Chapter 2 of this regulation, including subsistence while occupying temporary quarters.

(1) Actual subsistence expense reimbursement shall not be authorized when the high rate geographical area is only an en route or intermediate stopover point at which no temporary duty is performed, including stopovers in the conterminous United States in connection with international travel.

(2) When the temporary duty assignment in a high rate geographical area also involves unusual circumstances of a travel assignment, the head of an agency may authorize or approve actual subsistence expense reimbursement as provided in c, below, within the maximum daily rate in 1–8.2a(2).

(3) The head of an agency may authorize, on an individual case or individual trip basis, a per diem allowance in accordance with Part 7 instead of actual subsistence expense reimbursement for temporary duty assignments within a high rate geographical area. Agencies are cautioned that this authority may be exercised only on an exception basis and only when clearly justified in accordance with the provisions of (a) and (b), below.

(a) A determination must be made in advance of the travel that certain factors or circumstances are present which would reduce the employee's subsistence expenses and that the actual subsistence expense allowance for the designated high rate geographical area would clearly not be warranted. Consideration shall be given to the following factors which would normally result in reduced subsistence expenses:

(i) Known arrangements at temporary duty locations where lodging or meals, or both, are furnished by the Government (i.e., Government quarters) without cost or at a nominal cost to the employee;

(ii) Accommodations have been made available to the employee at special or reduced rates for a particular meeting, conference, training assignment, or other temporary duty assignment; or

(iii) The travel involves an extended temporary duty or training assignement at a location where the employee can obtain lodging and meals at a lower cost due to the extended period of time.

(b) The agency official designated under the delegation of authority in paragraph 1–8.3a(1) must review each individual travel assignment to determine that one or more of the factors in (a), above, are present which would reduce the employee's subsistence expenses to an amount adequately covered by the maximum per diem allowance (see 1–7.2a), and must authorize the travel to be performed on a per diem basis under the provisions of Part 7 instead of the actual subsistence expense basis in a high rate geographical area.

* * * * *

8. By revising subparagraphs 1–8.2a (1) and 1–8.2c, as follows:

1–8.2. *Authorized reimbursement.*

a. * * *

(1) For travel involving temporary duty assignments within designated high rate geographical areas under the provisions of 1–8.1b, authorized reimbursement for actual subsistence expenses shall not exceed the maximum rates established in 1–8.6. These rates are not subject to change by agencies. (However, see 1–8.1b(2) for provisions covering unusual circumstances.)

* * * * *

c. *Special rules for mixed travel (per diem and actual subsistence expense or more than one actual subsistence expense maximum).* Mixed travel is travel involving temporary duty assignments in more than one location during a single trip which requires reimbursement on both a per diem basis and an actual subsistence expense basis or which requires actual subsistence expense reimbursement subject to more than one maximum daily rate because of the location of each temporary duty assignment.

(1) *Method and rate of reimbursement determined by location of the temporary duty point.* Only one method of reimbursement (per diem or actual subsistence expense) or one maximum daily rate for actual subsistence expense reimbursement may be authorized or approved for travel within each calendar day (beginning at 12:01 a.m.). The method of reimbursement and the authorized maximum rate for each calendar day shall be determined by the location of the temporary duty point (or assignment) where lodging is required for that day. Generally, lodging is considered to be required at or within the temporary duty point location. However, when lodging is obtained in an adjacent or nearby area, the method or rate of reimbursement will not be affected since the temporary duty point location is the determining factor. (Example: Temporary duty was performed in New York City on Monday, New York City and Washington, DC on Tuesday, and Fredericksburg, Virginia, on Wednesday and Thursday. Lodging was obtained in New York City on Monday, Washington, DC on Tuesday, and Fredericksburg on Wednesday and Thursday. Reimbursement would be on actual subsistence expense subject to the New York City maximum rate on Monday, actual subsistence expense subject to the Washington, DC maximum rate on Tuesday, and per diem (lodgings-plus) in Fredericksburg on Wednesday and Thursday. If the employee had proceeded to and obtained lodging in Fredericksburg on Tuesday evening in order to be present for duty the next day, reimbursement would be on per diem for Tuesday, Wednesday, and Thursday.)

(2) *Reimbursement for day of return.* The method and rate of reimbursement for the day of return to home or official station shall be the same method and rate of reimbursement authorized or approved for the first day of travel. (For example, if actual subsistence expense reimbursement is authorized or approved for the first day of travel, reimbursement for the day of return to home or official station shall also be on an actual subsistence expense basis subject to the maximum rate applicable to the first day of travel. If per diem is authorized or approved for the first day of travel, the day of return to home or official station shall also be on a per diem basis.)

9. By revising subparagraph 1–8.3a, as follows:

1–8.3. *Agency responsibilities, review, and administrative controls.*

a. *Delegation of authority.* Heads of agencies may delegate, with provisions for limited redelegation, authority to authorize or approve travel under this Part 8 as follows:

(1) The delegation or redelegation of authority to authorize or approve travel on an actual subsistence expense basis due to unusual circumstances of the travel assignment or to authorize a per diem allowance for travel in a designated high rate geographical area under the provisions of 1–8.1b(3) shall be held to as high an administrative level as practicable to ensure adequate consideration and review of the circumstances involved in the travel assignment.

(2) The delegation or redelegation of authority to authorize or approve the actual subsistence expense method of reimbursement for travel to a designated high rate geographical area should be at a lower administrative level than that stated in (1), above, since this method of reimbursement is automatically authorized under the provisions of 1–8.1b without further justification.

* * * * *

10. By revising subparagraph 1–8.4f, as follows:

1–8.4. *Interruption of subsistence status.*

* * * * *

f. *Return to official station for nonworkdays.* At the discretion of an agency's administrative officials, an employee may be required to return to his official station for nonworkdays. In case of an employee's voluntary return to his official station or place of abode (place from which he commutes daily to his official station), the maximum reimbursement for the round-trip transportation and allowable actual subsistence expenses en route shall be limited to the maximum amount for actual subsistence expenses which could have been allowed under 1–8.2 if the employee had remained at the temporary duty station.

11. By revising paragraph 1–8.6 as as follows:

1–8.6. *Designated high rate geographical areas.* Pursuant to the provisions of 1–8.1b and 1–8.2a(1), for travel in connection with a temporary duty assignment within the locations designated as high rate geographical areas below, an employee shall be placed in an actual subsistence expense status and shall be reimbursed for the allowable actual and necessary subsistence expenses incurred not to exceed the maximum rates prescribed below for the particular geographical areas involved. When lodging is not required or a lodging cost is not incurred, the employee shall be reimbursed for the actual cost of meals and allowable miscellaneous subsistence expenses not to exceed 45 percent of the maximum daily rate prescribed for the high rate area involved.

Designated high rate geographical areas:	*Prescribed maximum daily rates*
Boston, Mass. (all locations within the corporate limits of Boston and Cambridge, Mass.)	$41
Chicago, Ill. (all locations within the limits thereof)	43
Los Angeles, Calif. (all locations within the outer boundaries of the corporate limits of the city of Los Angeles, including those areas surrounded by the city of Los Angeles and the Pacific coastline)	40
New York, N.Y. (all locations within the boroughs of the Bronx, Brooklyn, Manhattan, Queens, and Staten Island)	50
San Francisco, Calif. (all locations within the corporate limits of San Francisco and Oakland, Calif.)	41
Washington, D.C. (all locations within the corporate limits of Washington, D.C.; the cities of Alexandria, Falls Church, and Fairfax; and the counties of Arlington, Loudoun, and Fairfax in Virginia; and the counties of Montgomery and Prince Georges in Maryland)	44

12. By adding subparagraph 1–9.1b–1 and deleting and reserving subparagraph 1–9.1c(3), as follows:

1–9.1. *Expenses allowable.*

* * * * *

b. * *

b–1. *Traveler's checks, money orders, or certified checks.* Reimbursement for cost of traveler's checks, money orders, or certified checks with a value of $100 or more purchased in connection with official travel may be allowed. The amount of the checks may not exceed the amount of personal or other funds needed to cover the reimbursable expenses incurred.

c. * * *

(3) [Reserved]

* * * * *

13. By revising subparagraphs 1–10.2a (2) and 1–10.2b, as follows:

1–10.2. *Common carrier transportation.*

a. * * *

(2) *Use of transportation request forms.* Transportation requests are to be used only for official travel performed via common carrier or for officially authorized transportation services furnished by common carrier; i.e., air, bus, rail, or vessel. When an indirect route or accommodations superior to those authorized are used by the traveler for personal reasons, the traveler shall pay cash for the excess amounts, including the applicable share of the Federal transportation tax (see 1–2.5b). Additionally, transportation requests shall not be used to procure any transportation services costing less than $10 unless special circumstances justify such use (Comp. Gen. Decision B–163758, July 24, 1972).

* * * * *

b. *Cash payment for transportation.* Cash payments shall be made when the amount for transportation is less than $10 unless special circumstances preclude the use of cash. Agencies may require a traveler to use cash to procure passenger transportation services within the United States (50 States and the District of Columbia) when the amount involved is from $10 to $100, plus Federal transportation tax, for each trip (one-way or round-trip) authorized on the official travel authorization. (See Comp. Gen. Decision B–163758, July 24, 1972, and GSA Bulletin FPMR A–38, December 11, 1972, for implementing guidelines on optional cash procedures.) Receipts, passenger coupons, or other evidence as appropriate shall be required for such cash payments, except that receipts are not required for use of local transit systems. Travel agencies may not be used under these cash procedures except as provided in 1–3.4b(2). (See 1–10.3a and 1–11.5c (3).)

14. By revising subparagraph 1–10.3c (3), as follows:

1–10.3. *Advance of funds.*

* * * * *

c. * * *

(3) *Other means of recovery.* Outstanding advances which have not been recovered by deductions from reimbursement vouchers or voluntary refunds by the traveler shall be recovered promptly by a setoff of salary due or retirement credit or otherwise from the person to whom it was advanced, or his estate, by deduction from any amount due from the United States, or by any other legal method of recovery that may be necessary. Salary or other amounts due shall be considered ahead of the retirement credit. In view of these protections, which are specifically included in the law, travelers shall not be required to furnish bonds in order to obtain travel advances (Pub. L. 92–310, June 6, 1972; 31 U.S.C. 1201(a)).

* * * * *

15. By revising subparagraph 1–11.5c (3), as follows:

1–11.5. *Preparation of voucher.*

* * * * *

c. * * *

(3) *Cash payment for common carrier fare.* A traveler paying cash for any authorized reimbursable transportation service shall account for those expenses on an authorized travel voucher form, and shall support his claim for the passenger transportation charges with receipts, pertinent passenger coupons, or other evidence, as appropriate. The traveler who has procured these services with cash (whether using personal funds or a travel advance) shall assign to the Government his right to recover any excess payment involving a carrier's use of improper rates by including a statement on the travel voucher which provides as follows: "I hereby assign to the United

States any rights I may have against other parties in connection with any reimbursable charges for passenger transportation services described herein."

* * * * *

16. By revising subparagraph 1–11.6b (11), as follows:

1–11.6. *Administrative approvals.*

* * * * *

b. * * *

(11) *Use of foreign flag air carriers (1–3.6c).*

* * * * *

17. By revising subparagraph 2–1.4d, as follows:

2.1.4. *Definitions.*

* * * * *

d. *Immediate family.* Any of the following named members of the employee's household at the time he reports for duty at his new permanent duty station or performs authorized or approved overseas tour renewal agreement travel or separation travel: Spouse; dependent parents, grandparents, and stepparents of the employee or employee's spouse; and dependent brothers and sisters, and dependent children of the employee or employee's spouse who are unmarried and under 21 years of age or, regardless of age, are incapable for self-support. The term dependent children includes natural offspring, stepchildren, grandchildren, adopted children, and children under legal guardianship.

* * * * *

18. By revising subparagraph 2–1.5f (1)(c) and adding subparagraph 2–1.5g (5–a), as follows:

2–1.5. *Eligibility and conditions.*

* * * * *

f. * * *

(1) * * *

(c) *Special statutory provisions.* Appointments under any law in effect on August 25, 1960 (effective date of Pub. L. 86–587 pertaining to manpower shortage category appointees), which authorized payment of travel and transportation expenses of appointees by the Government, are not affected by the provisions herein. The limitations of the act involved and the regulations issued thereunder, but not these regulations, are applicable to such cases.

* * * * *

g. * * *

(5) * * *

(5–a) *Return of former spouse and dependents.* The provisions of (5), above, are also applicable to the spouse and dependents of an employee who have traveled to the employee's overseas post of duty as dependents (as provided in 2–1.4d) at Government expense, even if, because of divorce or annulment, such individuals will have ceased to be dependents as of the date the employee becomes eligible for return travel. Travel of such former dependents is authorized by the employee's next entitlement to return travel but not beyond the end of the employee's current agreed tour of duty.

* * * * *

19. By revising subparagraph 2–1.6b, as follows:

2–1.6. *Use of funds.*

* * * * *

b. *Funding of transfers between agencies.* In the case of transfer from one agency to another, allowable expenses shall be paid from the funds of the agency to which the employee is transferred. However, in transfer between agencies for reasons of reduction in force or transfer of functions, expenses allowable under these regulations (excluding nontemporary storage when assigned to an isolated permanent duty station within the conterminous United States and also excluding transfer to or between foreign countries) may be paid in whole or in part by the agency from which the employee is transferred or by the agency to which he is transferred as may be agreed upon by the heads of the agencies concerned.

20. By revising subparagraph 2–2.3d (2), as follows:

2–2.3. *For use of a privately owned automobile in connection with permanent change of station.*

* * * * *

d. * * *

(2) *Maximum allowance based on total distance.* Per diem allowances should be paid on the basis of actual time used to complete the trip, but the allowances may not exceed an amount computed on the basis of a minimum driving distance per day which is prescribed as reasonable by the authorizing official and is not less than an average of 300 miles per calendar day. An exception to the daily minimum driving distance may be made by the agency concerned when travel between the old and new official stations is delayed for reasons clearly beyond the control of the travelers, such as acts of God, restrictions by Governmental authorities, or other reasons acceptable to the agency (e.g., a physically handicapped employee). In such cases, per diem may be allowed for the period of the delay or for a shorter period as determined by the agency. The traveler must provide a statement on his reimbursement voucher fully explaining the circumstances which necessitated the en route travel delay. The exception to the daily minimum driving distance requires the approval of the agency's authorizing official.

* * * * *

21. By revising subparagraph 2–3.3a (1), as follows:

2–3.3. *Allowable amount.*

* * * * *

a. * * *

(1) $200 or the equivalent of 1 week's basic pay, whichever is the lesser amount, for an employee without immediate family; and

* * * * *

22. By revising paragraph 2–4.2, as follows:

2–4.2. *Duration of trip.* The round trip should be allowed for a reasonable period of time considering distance between the old and new official stations, mode of transportation to be used, and the housing situation at the new official station location. In no instance shall the period of the round trip at Government expense be allowed in excess of 6 calendar days, including travel time. In authorizing or allowing a particular mode of transportation, consideration shall be given to providing minimum time en route and maximum time at the new official station locality. Accordingly, if the use of a privately owned automobile is permitted, such use is deemed to be advantageous to the Government and the mileage allowance shall be as provided in 2–2.3 b and c. Expenses for local transportation at the location of the new official station shall be allowed. Agencies may authorize local transportation by common carrier, local transit systems, or Government-furnished, commercially rented, or privately owned automobiles; however, the mode of local transportation must be consistent with the mode of transportation authorized for travel to and from the new official station. Expenses for the use of taxis shall be limited to transportation between depots, airports, or other carrier terminals, and place of lodging.

23. By revising subparagraph 2–6.2g, as follows:

2–6.2. *Reimbursable and nonreimbursable expenses.*

* * * * *

g. *Overall limitations.* The aggregate amount of expenses which may be reimbursed in connection with sale of the residence at the old official station shall not exceed 10 percent of the actual sale price. The aggregate amount of expenses which may be reimbursed in connection with the purchase of the home at the new official station shall not exceed 5 percent of the actual purchase price.

* * * * *

24. By revising subparagraph 2–8.2a and adding subparagraph 2–8.2a–1, as follows:

2–8.2. *General limitations.*

a. *Maximum weight allowance.* The maximum weight of household goods which may be transported or stored incident to line haul transportation is limited to 11,000 pounds net weight for employees with or without immediate families. The aggregate total of the weight of household goods stored under 2–9.1 and 2–9.2 plus the weight of household goods transported under this Part 8 shall not exceed the above maximum weight allowance.

a–1. *Professional books, papers, and equipment.*

(1) For purposes of this Part 8, the term "professional books, papers, and equipment" includes those professional or specialized items and other materials which are personally owned by the employee for use in the performance of official duties. The term does not include sport equipment or office, household, or shop fixtures and furniture; i.e., bookcases, file cabinets, desks, and racks of

any kind even though used in connection with the professional books, papers, and equipment.

(2) There is no statutory authority to transport personally owned professional books, papers, and equipment in addition to the maximum weight allowance (2–8.2a) established by law for transportation of an employee's household goods and personal effects. However, there may be instances in which the weight of the professional books, papers, and equipment would cause an employee's household goods shipment to be in excess of the maximum weight allowance. In such instances, the personally owned professional books, papers, and equipment may be transported to the new permanent duty station as an administrative expense of an agency (not chargeable to travel and transportation appropriations). Shipment of these items as an administrative expense would be in lieu of shipment as an allowance of the employee. (Comp. Gen. Decision B–171677, May 13, 1971.)

(3) Authority to transport professional books, papers, and equipment as an administrative expense shall be subject to agency policy and discretion within the following guidelines:

(a) The employee shall furnish an itemized inventory of professional books, papers, and equipment for review by an appropriate authorizing official at the new permanent duty station. In addition, the employee shall furnish appropriate evidence (as determined by the agency concerned) that transporting the itemized materials as part of the employee's household goods would result in an excess of the employee's maximum weight allowance.

(b) The authorizing official at the new permanent duty station shall review and certify that the professional books, papers, and equipment as itemized are necessary in the proper performance of the employee's duties at the new duty station and that if these items were not transported to the new duty station, the same or similar items would have to be obtained at Government expense for the employee's use at the new duty station.

(c) When professional books, papers, and equipment are certified as provided in (b), above, and shipped for the employee as an administrative expense of an agency, shipment shall be by the actual expense method; the commuted rate method shall not be used. When shipped in the same lot with the employee's household goods and other personal effects under the actual expense method, the professional books, papers, and equipment shall be packed and weighed separately; the weight thereof and the administrative appropriation chargeable shall be stated as separate items on the Government bill of lading. In unusual instances in which it is impractical or impossible to obtain separate weights, constructive weight of 7 pounds per cubic foot may be used.

* * * * *

Dated: May 10, 1976.

WALLACE H. ROBINSON, Jr.,
Commissioner,
Federal Supply Service.

[FR Doc.76–14836 Filed 5–19–76;8:45 am]

notices

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

Agency for International Development

[Delegation of Authority No. 114]

PRINCIPAL U.S. DIPLOMATIC OFFICER TO BAHRAIN

Delegation of Authority

Pursuant to the authorty delegated to me by Delegation of Authority No. 104 from the Secretary of State, dated November 3, 1961 (26 F.R. 10608), I hereby delegate to the principal diplomatic officer of the United States to Bahrain, with respect to the administration of the foreign assistance program within the country to which he is accredited, the authorities delegated to Directors of Missions of the Agency for International Development (A.I.D.) in unpublished Delegation of Authority of January 10, 1955, A.I.D. Handbooks, manual orders, regulations (published or otherwise), policy directives, policy determinations, memoranda or other instructions as these may be amended, supplemented or superseded from time to time.

The exercise of the authorities delegated herein shall be subject to the limitations applicable to the exercise of such authorities by A.I.D. Mission Directors.

The authority delegated herein may be redelegated to the officer at the post principally responsible for A.I.D. activities and may be exercised by persons who are performing the functions of such officer in an "acting" capacity.

This delegation of authority shall be effective immediately.

Dated: May 6, 1976.

DANIEL PARKER,
Administrator, Agency for International Development.

[FR Doc.76-14704 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

Office of the Secretary

[Delegation of Authority No. 135]

ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF STATE FOR EDUCATIONAL AND CULTURAL AFFAIRS

Delegation of Authority

Pursuant to the authority vested in me by Section 3(a) of the Act of December 20, 1975 (89 Stat. 844), entitled the "Arts and Artifacts Indemnity Act", I hereby delegate to the Assistant Secretary of State for Educational and Cultural Affairs, or in his absence, to the officer designated to act for him, the authority to perform the functions conferred upon the Secretary of State to certify an exhibition as being in the national interest.

Dated: May 11, 1976.

HENRY A. KISSINGER,
Secretary of State.

[FR Doc.76-14703 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army

BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY ADVISORY PANEL

Closed Meeting; Correction

The Ballistic Missile Defense Technology Advisory Panel has rescheduled its closed meeting for July 13 through 15. This meeting which was originally scheduled for June 1 through 3, was announced in the May 11, 1976 FEDERAL REGISTER and appeared on page 19233.

Dated: May 14, 1976.

By authority of the Secretary of the Army.

R. W. HAMPTON,
Colonel, U.S. Army, Director of Administrative Management, TAGCEN.

[FR Doc.76-14688 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Drug Enforcement Administration

MANUFACTURE OF CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES

Notice of Registration

By Notice dated March 12, 1976, and published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on March 24, 1976; (41 FR 12234), Stepan Chemical Co., Natural Products, 100 W. Hunter Avenue, Maywood, New Jersey 07607, made application to the Drug Enforcement Administration to be registered as a bulk manufacturer of the basic class of controlled substances listed below:

Drug:
Cocaine, Schedule II
Ecgonine, Schedule II

No comments or objections having been received, and pursuant to section 303 of the Comprehensive Drug Abuse Prevention and Control Act of 1970 and 21 CFR 1301.54(e), the Deputy Administrator hereby orders that the application submitted by the above firm for registration as a bulk manufacturer of the basic class of controlled substances listed above is granted.

Dated: May 13, 1976.

JERRY N. JENSON,
Deputy Administrator, Drug Enforcement Administration.

[FR Doc.76-14712 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Indian Affairs

COVELO INDIAN COMMUNITY, ROUND VALLEY RESERVATION, CALIF.

Transfer of Federally Owned Lands

MAY 12, 1976.

This notice is published in exercise of authority delegated by the Secretary of the Interior to the Commissioner of Indian Affairs by 230 DM 2.

On February 6, 1976, pursuant to authority contained in the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949, as amended by Pub. L. 93-599 dated January 2, 1975 (88 Stat. 1954), the below-described lands were transferred by the Director, Real Property Division, San Francisco Regional Office of the General Services Administration to the Secretary of the Interior, without reimbursement, to be held in trust for the benefit and use of the Covelo Indian Community, Round Valley Reservation, California:

Lots 59 and 60, section 19, Township 23 North, Range 12 West, Mount Diablo Meridian, Mendocino County, California, containing 20 acres more or less.

These lands are to be treated as and receive the same benefits and protection as other trust lands held for the benefit and use of the Covelo Indian Community. Appropriate notation will be made in the land records of the Bureau of Indian Affairs.

MORRIS THOMPSON,
Commissioner of Indian Affairs.

[FR Doc.76-14690 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

Bureau of Land Management

ALASKA STATE MULTIPLE USE ADVISORY BOARD

Meeting

MAY 14, 1976.

Notice is hereby given that the Alaska State Multiple Use Advisory Board of the Bureau of Land Management, U.S. De-

partment of the Interior, will meet July 15–18, 1976.

On July 15 at 10:00 a.m. the Board will have an orientation on Alaska fire management policy and the Bureau of Land Management's involvement in the Youth Conservation Corps at the Tanacross Airfield, Tanacross, Alaska. The Board will convene its business meeting July 15 at 1:00 p.m. in the Tok School Gymnasium, Tok, Alaska, and hear public testimony as the first item on its agenda. The Board will then receive a report on the Bureau of Land Management's involvement in surface management on Petroleum Reserve Numbered Four, including copies of any draft surface management regulations which may have been developed by that time. They will also have a presentation on Bureau of Land Management land-use planning in the Fairbanks District's Fortymile Resource Area, along the Taylor Highway and the Fortymile River and its tributaries. Purpose of the discussion is to note similarities and differences between the State's Taylor Highway, which is open to the public, and the State's Pipeline Haul Road north of the Yukon River, which presently is closed to the public. Discussion of these items will continue throughout the day and possibly evening.

On July 16 at 8:00 a.m. the Board will depart Tok by bus on a field trip. It will travel up the Taylor Highway to view that road, and in afternoon transfer to rafts on the Fortymile River. The Board will make camp overnight and listen to the presentations on the Bureau of Land Management's management framework plan for the Fortymile Resource Area in the evening.

On July 17, the Board will continue its trip down the Forty-mile River, transferring to a bus about mid-day to continue up the Taylor Highway to the City of Eagle, Alaska. Upon arrival in the City of Eagle, the Board will hear a brief presentation on the historical restoration plan for buildings in the City of Eagle and former U.S. Army's Fort Egbert.

On July 18 at 8:00 a.m. the Board will meet at the Wickersham Courthouse to begin a tour of Eagle and Fort Egbert to examine current historical restoration projects. At 10:00 a.m. the Board will reconvene its business meeting in the Wickersham Courthouse, City of Eagle, Alaska, to conduct Board business and make recommendations.

All meetings and activities of the Board will be open to the public. Members of the public wishing to participate in the field trip must furnish their own transportation and camping equipment; it is also recommended that they make prior arrangements to return home from Eagle the evening of July 18, 1976.

Oral or written statements may be submitted for the Board's consideration the afternoon of July 15. Oral statements are not to exceed ten minutes and must pertain to matters under consideration by the Board at this meeting or the meeting in the City of Eagle, Alaska. Written copies of oral statements are requested, and anyone wishing to make an oral statement is requested to inform the State Director by close-of-business on July 12. Written statements for consideration of the Board at this meeting may also be filed by sending them to the State Director. Early mailing of written statements is encouraged to ensure adequate opportunity for Board consideration.

Further information concerning the meeting may be obtained from Kerry L. Cartier, Acting Chief, Public Affairs, Alaska State Office, Bureau of Land Management, 555 Cordova Street, Anchorage, Alaska 99501, telephone (907) 277–1561.

CURTIS V. MCVEE,
State Director, Alaska.

[FR Doc.76–14729 Filed 5–19–76;8:45 am]

[Arizona Phoenix 080572]

ARIZONA

Order Providing for Opening of Lands

In an exchange made under the provisions of Section 8 of the Act of June 28, 1934, as amended, (43 U.S.C. 315g), the following described land has been reconveyed to the United States:

GILA AND SALT RIVER MERIDIAN

ARIZONA

T. 1 S., R. 1 W.,
Sec. 16, W½NE¼.

The area described contains 80.00 acres.

The subject land lies within the northern extension of the Sierra Estrella Mountain Range and within the boundaries of the Estrella Mountain County Regional Park. This is a scenic, largely undeveloped mountainous area, situated about 10 miles southwest of Phoenix, Arizona. This 80-acre tract is hilly and bisected by a number of entrenched drainage ways. The elevations range from 1200 to 1600 feet in the area. The soils are very thin, coarse in texture with many very large rock fragments.

The land has been classified for disposal under the Recreation and Public Purposes Act of June 14, 1926, as amended, (43 U.S.C. 869; 869–4), in response to Maricopa County's application to include the subject tract as part of the existing Estrella Mountain County Regional Park.

At 10:00 A.M., on June 18, 1976, subject to valid existing rights, the land will be open to the operation of the public land laws.

The mineral estate was not exchanged; therefore, the mineral status of the land is not affected by this order.

Inquiries concerning the land should be addressed to the Chief, Branch of Lands and Minerals Operations, Bureau of Land Management, 2400 Valley Bank Center, Phoenix, Arizona 85073.

Dated: May 14, 1976.

HAROLD RAMSBACHER,
Acting State Director.

[FR Doc.76–14692 Filed 5–19–76;8:45 am]

BURLEY DISTRICT MULTIPLE USE ADVISORY BOARD

Meeting

Notice is hereby given in accordance with Pub. L. 92–463, that a meeting of the Burley District Multiple Use Advisory Board will be held June 29, 1976, at 8:30 A.M., at the District Office, two miles south on Highway 27, Burley, Idaho.

The Ad Hoc Committees, established during the February 4, 1976 meeting of the board to study the DLE and Carey Act programs of the Burley District, will meet to carry out their assigned responsibilities. These committees will study and report on the agricultural activity in the Burley District, particularly Golden Valley, the Highway Unit, and Black Pine Valley. The committees will meet at the District Office where there will be a short meeting of the full board to discuss policies for using Advisory Board funds.

Any interested person wishing to make a presentation to the Board, or submit a written statement, should contact the official listed below at least five (5) days prior to the meeting.

Further information concerning this meeting may be obtained from the District Manager, Bureau of Land Management, Route No. 3, Box 1, Burley, Idaho 83318 (telephone 678–5514).

Minutes of the meeting will be available for public inspection and copying approximately one (1) month after the meeting at the District Office in Burley, Idaho.

NICK JAMES COZAKOS,
District Manager.

[FR Doc.76–14695 Filed 5–19–76;8:45 am]

[CA 632]

CALIFORNIA

Order Providing for Opening of Public Land

1. In exchange of lands made under the provisions of Section 8 of the Act of June 28, 1934 (48 Stat. 1272), as amended, (43 U.S.C. 315g), the following described lands have been reconveyed to the United States:

MOUNT DIABLO MERIDIAN

T. 14 N., R. 10 E.,
Sec. 15, S½;
Sec. 16, SE¼.

The area described aggregates 480 acres in Placer County, California.

2. This land lies adjacent to national resource land. It is valuable for Watershed and Wildlife habitat and will be managed under Multiple Use Management.

3. The United States does not have jurisdiction as to the Geothermal Steam in the land described in paragraph 1 as the Geothermal Steam was not reconveyed by exchange.

4. At 10 a.m. on April 23, 1976, the land shall be open to operation of the public land laws generally, subject to valid existing rights, the provisions of existing withdrawal and the requirements of ap-

plicable law. All valid applications received at or prior to 10 a.m. on April 23, 1976, shall be considered as simultaneously filed at that time. Those received thereafter shall be considered in the order of filing.

5. Except as to the Geothermal Steam reservation described in paragraph 3 above, the lands will be open to location under the United States Mining laws and applications and offers under the mineral leasing laws at 10 a.m. on April 23, 1976.

Inquiries concerning the lands should be addressed to the Bureau of Land Management, Room E–2841, Federal Office Building, 2800 Cottage Way, Sacramento, California 95825.

WALTER F. HOLMES,
Chief, Branch of Lands and Minerals Operations.

[FR Doc.76–14693 Filed 5–19–76;8:45 am]

VERNAL DISTRICT MULTIPLE USE ADVISORY BOARD, UTAH

Meeting

Notice is hereby given that the Vernal District Multiple Use Advisory Board of the Bureau of Land Management, U.S. Department of the Interior, will meet in the Vernal District Office of the Bureau of Land Management, 91 West Main Street, Vernal, Utah at 9:30 a.m. on Tuesday, June 29 and 30, 1976.

The morning of June 29 will be devoted to organization of the Board and election of officers. During the remainder of the meeting the Board will tour the U.S. Steel Phosphate Preference Right Lease Application area; the proposed White River Dam site, and the proposed recreation sites at Pelican Lake and Brough Reservoir.

The meeting is open to the public. Interested persons may make oral presentations to the Board or file written statements. Such statements should be presented to the official listed below at least one day prior to the meeting.

Further information concerning this meeting may be obtained from Lloyd H. Ferguson, District Manager, Bureau of Land Management, P.O. Box F, Vernal, Utah 84078, telephone number 789–1362.

JOHN A. KWIATKOWSKI,
Acting District Manager.

[FR Doc.76–14696 Filed 5–19–76;8:45 am]

[W–55906]

WYOMING

Application

MAY 13, 1976.

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to Section 28 of the Mineral Leasing Act of 1920, as amended (30 U.S.C. 185), the Stauffer Chemical Company of Wyoming filed an application for a right-of-way to construct a 4" pipeline for the purpose of transporting natural gas across the following National Resource Lands:

T. 22 N., R. 103 W.,
Sec. 4, lots 1, 2, 3, SE¼NE¼, E½SE¼.

The pipeline will transport natural gas from a well in sec. 4 to an existing gathering system in sec. 4, T. 22 N., R. 103 W., Sweetwater County, Wyoming.

The purpose of this notice is to inform the public that the Bureau will be proceeding with consideration of whether the application should be approved, and if so, under what terms and conditions.

Interested persons desiring to express their views on this matter should do so promptly. Persons submitting comments should include their name and address and send them to the District Manager, Bureau of Land Management, P.O. Box 1869, Rock Springs, Wyoming 82901.

GLENNA M. LANE,
Acting Chief, Branch of Lands and Minerals Operations.

[FR Doc.76–14694 Filed 5–19–76;8:45 am]

Fish and Wildlife Service

ENDANGERED SPECIES PERMIT

Receipt of Application

Notice is hereby given that the following application for a permit is deemed to have been received under section 10 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (P.L. 93–205).

OMB NO. 42-R1673

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

FEDERAL FISH AND WILDLIFE
LICENSE/PERMIT APPLICATION

1. APPLICATION FOR (Indicate only one)
☐ IMPORT OR EXPORT LICENSE ☐ PERMIT

2. BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF ACTIVITY FOR WHICH REQUESTED LICENSE OR PERMIT IS NEEDED.
Brown pelican

See supplemental sheet

3. APPLICANT. (Name, complete address and phone number of individual, business, agency, or institution for which permit is requested)
Theodore Ashe Beckett, III
Magnolia Gardens-Route 4
Charleston, S. C. 29407
Phone: 803:766-8040

4. IF "APPLICANT" IS AN INDIVIDUAL, COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING:
☒ MR. ☐ MRS. ☐ MISS ☐ MS.
HEIGHT: 5'9" WEIGHT: 140 lbs.
DATE OF BIRTH: 11/23/1919 COLOR HAIR: Brown COLOR EYES: Brown
PHONE NUMBER WHERE EMPLOYED: 571-1266 or 766-8896 SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER: 247-20-5754
OCCUPATION: Nursery Manager
ANY BUSINESS, AGENCY, OR INSTITUTIONAL AFFILIATION HAVING TO DO WITH THE WILDLIFE TO BE COVERED BY THIS LICENSE/PERMIT

5. IF "APPLICANT" IS A BUSINESS, CORPORATION, PUBLIC AGENCY, OR INSTITUTION, COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING:
EXPLAIN TYPE OR KIND OF BUSINESS, AGENCY, OR INSTITUTION
NAME, TITLE, AND PHONE NUMBER OF PRESIDENT, PRINCIPAL OFFICER, DIRECTOR, ETC.
IF "APPLICANT" IS A CORPORATION, INDICATE STATE IN WHICH INCORPORATED

6. LOCATION WHERE PROPOSED ACTIVITY IS TO BE CONDUCTED
Deveaux Bank-off Seabrook Beach
(now the site of the Alexander
Sprunt Memorial)

7. DO YOU HOLD ANY CURRENTLY VALID FEDERAL FISH AND WILDLIFE LICENSE OR PERMIT? ☒ YES ☐ NO
(If yes, list license or permit number(s)) Banding Permit #6741 Master-personal; Collecting permit #4-SC-385; Endangered Species Permit PRT-8-210-B-C

8. IF REQUIRED BY ANY STATE OR FOREIGN GOVERNMENT, DO YOU HAVE THEIR APPROVAL TO CONDUCT THE ACTIVITY YOU PROPOSE? ☐ YES ☐ NO
(If yes, list jurisdictions and type of documents)

9. CERTIFIED CHECK OR MONEY ORDER (If applicable) PAYABLE TO THE U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE ENCLOSED IN AMOUNT OF $

10. DESIRED EFFECTIVE DATE: Now

11. DURATION NEEDED: Probably several more years

12. ATTACHMENTS. THE SPECIFIC INFORMATION REQUIRED FOR THE TYPE OF LICENSE/PERMIT REQUESTED (See 50 CFR 13.12(b)) MUST BE ATTACHED, IT CONSTITUTES AN INTEGRAL PART OF THIS APPLICATION. LIST SECTIONS OF 50 CFR UNDER WHICH ATTACHMENTS ARE PROVIDED.

CERTIFICATION

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I HAVE READ AND AM FAMILIAR WITH THE REGULATIONS CONTAINED IN TITLE 50, PART 13, OF THE CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS AND THE OTHER APPLICABLE PARTS IN SUBCHAPTER B OF CHAPTER I OF TITLE 50, AND I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION SUBMITTED IN THIS APPLICATION FOR A LICENSE/PERMIT IS COMPLETE AND ACCURATE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF. I UNDERSTAND THAT ANY FALSE STATEMENT HEREIN MAY SUBJECT ME TO THE CRIMINAL PENALTIES OF 18 U.S.C. 1001.

SIGNATURE (In ink) T. A. Beckett DATE Feb 23, 1976

3-200 (5/74)

RED-COCKADED WOODPECKER "DENDROCOPOS BOREALIS"

17.22(a) Previously forwarded.

(1) Red-cockaded woodpecker, *Dendrocopos borealis.*

The number of birds banded depends upon the production of young, the number of adults (unbanded) that move into a colony site, and the amount of time I can afford my banding activities. No birds are taken for export or interstate commerce.

(2) All of the birds to be covered by this permit are in the wild.

(3) Since 1969 I have banded over 200 Red-cockaded woodpeckers with no known

loss of birds handled as a result of my work. The birds are caught in pole nets, the needed data (measurements, weight, etc.) recorded, and the birds released.

(4) The birds to be banded have not been removed from the wild and are hatched at the point of release.

(5) No institution or other facility is involved in my work.

(6) No birds are to be reared under this permit request.

(7) I have no contracts and agreements involved in my banding work. The dates on which I will place bands on the young will depend on the development of the young, suitability of weather, and my available time. Since I am not removing birds from the wild, I have no plan for their disposal.

I have been working with the Red-cockaded woodpecker since 1969 in an effort to learn its true status in South Carolina, learn as much as possible of its life history, and above all, ways in which the species will be able to survive in today's world of "clear cut and replant." I have over 200 birds banded and code color banded—many of known age. I am at present working up a table projecting longevity and another on tree loss and the various causes.

At present the Forestry Service has a biologist who plans to continue into the future working the various colonies in which I have acquired about six years of field knowledge. Since I am now 57 years of age and the camping and climbing of ladders is in fact for the youth, I do not anticipate too many more years of intensive work with this species.

No birds are harmed or collected.

OMB NO. 42-R1670

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

FEDERAL FISH AND WILDLIFE LICENSE/PERMIT APPLICATION

1. APPLICATION FOR (*Indicate only one*)
☐ IMPORT OR EXPORT LICENSE ☐ PERMIT

2. BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF ACTIVITY FOR WHICH REQUESTED LICENSE OR PERMIT IS NEEDED.
Red-cockaded
See supplemental sheet

3. APPLICANT. (*Name, complete address and phone number of individual, business, agency, or institution for which permit is requested*)
Theodore Ashe Beckett, III
Magnolia Gardens-Route 4
Charleston, S. C. 29407
Phone : 803:766-8040

4. IF "APPLICANT" IS AN INDIVIDUAL, COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING:
☒ MR. ☐ MRS. ☐ MISS ☐ MS.
HEIGHT: 5'9" WEIGHT: 140 lbs.
DATE OF BIRTH: 11/23/1918 COLOR HAIR: Brown COLOR EYES: Brown
PHONE NUMBER WHERE EMPLOYED: 803-571-1266 or 766 8640 SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER: 247-20-5754
OCCUPATION: Nursery Manager
ANY BUSINESS, AGENCY, OR INSTITUTIONAL AFFILIATION HAVING TO DO WITH THE WILDLIFE TO BE COVERED BY THIS LICENSE/PERMIT

5. IF "APPLICANT" IS A BUSINESS, CORPORATION, PUBLIC AGENCY, OR INSTITUTION, COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING:
EXPLAIN TYPE OR KIND OF BUSINESS, AGENCY, OR INSTITUTION
NAME, TITLE, AND PHONE NUMBER OF PRESIDENT, PRINCIPAL OFFICER, DIRECTOR, ETC.
IF "APPLICANT" IS A CORPORATION, INDICATE STATE IN WHICH INCORPORATED

6. LOCATION WHERE PROPOSED ACTIVITY IS TO BE CONDUCTED
Chiefly in the Francis Marion National Forest and adjacent private lands.

7. DO YOU HOLD ANY CURRENTLY VALID FEDERAL FISH AND WILDLIFE LICENSE OR PERMIT ☒ YES ☐ NO
(*If yes, list license or permit numbers*)
Banding Permit #6741 Master-personal, Collecting Permit # 4-SC-385; Endangered Species Permit #PRT-8-210-B-C

8. IF REQUIRED BY ANY STATE OR FOREIGN GOVERNMENT, DO YOU HAVE THEIR APPROVAL TO CONDUCT THE ACTIVITY YOU PROPOSE? ☐ YES ☐ NO
(*If yes, list jurisdictions and type of document(s)*)

9. CERTIFIED CHECK OR MONEY ORDER (*if applicable*) PAYABLE TO THE U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE ENCLOSED IN AMOUNT OF: $

10. DESIRED EFFECTIVE DATE: Now

11. DURATION NEEDED: Probably several more years

12. ATTACHMENTS. THE SPECIFIC INFORMATION REQUIRED FOR THE TYPE OF LICENSE/PERMIT REQUESTED (*See 50 CFR 13.12(b)*) MUST BE ATTACHED, IT CONSTITUTES AN INTEGRAL PART OF THIS APPLICATION. LIST SECTIONS OF 50 CFR UNDER WHICH ATTACHMENTS ARE PROVIDED.

CERTIFICATION

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I HAVE READ AND AM FAMILIAR WITH THE REGULATIONS CONTAINED IN TITLE 50, PART 13, OF THE CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS AND THE OTHER APPLICABLE PARTS IN SUBCHAPTER B OF CHAPTER I OF TITLE 50, AND I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION SUBMITTED IN THIS APPLICATION FOR A LICENSE/PERMIT IS COMPLETE AND ACCURATE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF. I UNDERSTAND THAT ANY FALSE STATEMENT HEREIN MAY SUBJECT ME TO THE CRIMINAL PENALTIES OF 18 U.S.C. 1001.

SIGNATURE (*In ink*): T. A. Beckett III DATE: Feb. 23, 1976

3-200
(6/74)

BROWN PELICAN "PELECANUS OCCIDENTALIS"

17.22(a) Previously forwarded.

(1) Brown pelican, *Pelecanus occidentalis*.

The number of birds banded depends on the number of young developed to a size suitable for banding. No birds are taken for export or interstate commerce.

(2) All of the birds to be covered by this permit are in the wild.

(3) I have banded over 12,000 Brown pelicans in the last 30 years with no known losses as a result of my work. The young, in nest on ground, are picked up, banded and replaced in the nest.

(4) The birds to be banded have not been removed from the wild and are hatched at the point of release.

(5) No institution or other facility is involved in my work.

(6) Although I have reared injured young in the past to a point for release, no young or adults are to be reared under this permit request.

(7) I have no contracts and agreements involved in my banding work. The dates on which I will place bands on the young will depend on the development of the young, suitability of weather, and my available time. Since I am not removing birds from the wild, I have no plan for their disposal.

I have been working with and banding the Brown pelican for over 25 years. I have currently banded over 11,000 birds. It was through my work and that of Dr. Hildebrand that the species was placed on the endangered list and the West Coast population was at last checked for nesting success. I have watched the Brown pelican decline to 10% of its former population in South Carolina, although it appears to currently be increasing in numbers with a striking decrease in egg and nestling loss. I have made studies of breeding age, food habits, nestling success and assisted other biologists in their work with the species.

I have succeeded in having the Deveaux Bank colony declared a sanctuary with a warden to protect it. In former years I have helped to post and acquire Federal protection for the site.

I am not a professional ornithologist nor do I claim to make the most use of my current field records. With almost fifty years in field work it is likely that I will soon be forced to be more active in publishing my accumulated notes and recovery records. To date I have assisted all professional biologists with my past work and expect to continue to do so in the future.

Documents and complete information submitted in connection with this application are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Service's office in Suite 600, 1612 K Street, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Interested persons may comment on this application by submitting written data, views, or arguments, preferably in triplicate, to the Director (FWS/LE), U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Post Office Box 19183, Washington, D.C. 20036. All relevant comments received on or before June 21, 1976, will be considered.

Dated: May 17, 1976.

LOREN K. PARCHER,
Deputy Chief, Division of Law Enforcement, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

[FR Doc.76-14672 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

ENDANGERED SPECIES PERMIT

Receipt of Application

Notice is hereby given that the following application for a permit is deemed to have been received under section 10 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (P.L. 93-205).

Applicant: William Albert Burnham, Peregrine Fund of Cornell University, 1424 Northeast Frontage Road, Fort Collins, Colorado 80521.

OMB NO. 42-R1670

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

FEDERAL FISH AND WILDLIFE LICENSE/PERMIT APPLICATION

1. APPLICATION FOR *(Indicate only one)*
☐ IMPORT OR EXPORT LICENSE ☒ PERMIT

2. BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF ACTIVITY FOR WHICH REQUESTED LICENSE OR PERMIT IS NEEDED.
to band captive bred as well as wild Peregrine Falcons both in nests and during migration-- for scientific purposes

3. APPLICANT. *(Name, complete address and phone number of individual, business, agency, or institution for which permit is requested)*
William Albert Burnham
Peregrine Fund of Cornell University
1424 Northeast Frontage Road
Fort Collins, Colorado 80521
303-493-4992

4. IF "APPLICANT" IS AN INDIVIDUAL, COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING:

☒ MR. ☐ MRS. ☐ MISS ☐ MS.	HEIGHT 5'10"	WEIGHT 165 lbs
DATE OF BIRTH Oct. 5, 1947	COLOR HAIR brn	COLOR EYES blue
PHONE NUMBER WHERE EMPLOYED 493-4992	SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER 522-66-6571	

OCCUPATION manager-Western Peregrine Falcon breeding program for Cornell University

ANY BUSINESS, AGENCY, OR INSTITUTIONAL AFFILIATION HAVING TO DO WITH THE WILDLIFE TO BE COVERED BY THIS LICENSE/PERMIT

5. IF "APPLICANT" IS A BUSINESS, CORPORATION, PUBLIC AGENCY, OR INSTITUTION, COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING:
EXPLAIN TYPE OR KIND OF BUSINESS, AGENCY, OR INSTITUTION

NAME, TITLE, AND PHONE NUMBER OF PRESIDENT, PRINCIPAL OFFICER, DIRECTOR, ETC.

IF "APPLICANT" IS A CORPORATION, INDICATE STATE IN WHICH INCORPORATED

6. LOCATION WHERE PROPOSED ACTIVITY IS TO BE CONDUCTED
Colorado, Idaho, Utah, Montana, Texas, Nevada, Wyoming, New Mexico, Arizona

7. DO YOU HOLD ANY CURRENTLY VALID FEDERAL FISH AND WILDLIFE LICENSE OR PERMIT? ☒ YES ☐ NO
(If yes, list license or permit numbers)
Banding Master-personal 20499

8. IF REQUIRED BY ANY STATE OR FOREIGN GOVERNMENT, DO YOU HAVE THEIR APPROVAL TO CONDUCT THE ACTIVITY YOU PROPOSE? ☐ YES ☐ NO
(If yes, list jurisdictions and type of documents)
I will and have aquired the states permission as needed.

9. CERTIFIED CHECK OR MONEY ORDER *(if applicable)* PAYABLE TO THE U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE ENCLOSED IN AMOUNT OF
$

10. DESIRED EFFECTIVE DATE
immediately

11. DURATION NEEDED
until conclusion of my work-at least 3 years

12. ATTACHMENTS. THE SPECIFIC INFORMATION REQUIRED FOR THE TYPE OF LICENSE/PERMIT REQUESTED *(See 50 CFR 13.12(b))* MUST BE ATTACHED. IT CONSTITUTES AN INTEGRAL PART OF THIS APPLICATION. LIST SECTIONS OF 50 CFR UNDER WHICH ATTACHMENTS ARE PROVIDED.
refer to additional pages

CERTIFICATION

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I HAVE READ AND AM FAMILIAR WITH THE REGULATIONS CONTAINED IN TITLE 50, PART 13, OF THE CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS AND THE OTHER APPLICABLE PARTS IN SUBCHAPTER B OF CHAPTER I OF TITLE 50, AND I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION SUBMITTED IN THIS APPLICATION FOR A LICENSE/PERMIT IS COMPLETE AND ACCURATE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF. I UNDERSTAND THAT ANY FALSE STATEMENT HEREIN MAY SUBJECT ME TO THE CRIMINAL PENALTIES OF 18 U.S.C. 1001.

SIGNATURE *(In ink)* William A. Burnham — DATE January 22-1976

3-200
(6/74)

17.22(a) (1) Peregrine Falcon, *Falco peregrinus* to band nestling and migrants both captively produced and wild.

(2) Falcons to be banded will be wild as well as captively produced.

(3) Does not apply.

(4) Does not apply.

(5) Does not apply.

(6) Does not apply.

(7) Does not apply.

(8) (i) to band wild and captively produced Peregrine Falcons.

(ii) Captive produced young will be banded as well as any young located which will be involved in introduction related activities. Also, to band wild migrant peregrines located during research activities.

(iii) The banding will give information on survivorship and movement of Peregrine Falcons.

(iv) Does not apply.

April 18, 1975.

DIRECTOR,
FSW-LE,
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,
Washington, D.C.

DEAR SIR: The information within is that requested in section 22.22 of the FEDERAL REGISTER, Vol. 39, No. 3—January 4, 1974.

21.11(a) (1) The banding permit I presently possess allows for the banding of Peregrine Falcons and other raptors except eagles or other endangered species.

(2) The purpose of the banding would be to mark all captively raised Peregrine Falcons being placed in the wild and to also band all nestlings located while selecting sites for or during the reintroducing of captively produced falcons. I also trap and band migrating falcons for John Smith and the Texas Game and Fish Department. Obviously the reason for all the above activity is to gain information relating to the Peregrine Falcon's movement and survival.

(3) My present permit authorizes me to band in Utah, Texas, Wyoming, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, Colorado, New Mexico and Arizona. These are states which peregrines may be reintroduced and studied in.

(4) No salvage specimens are anticipated but Cornell University in Ithaca, New York, would be the recipient of such specimens.

Comment: Presently I am authorized to use plastic leg bands on Peregrine Falcons in coordination with F. Prescott Ward, No. 9448, Edgewood Arsenal, Maryland. The authorization allows for orange leg bands w/blk numerals in Texas; light blue leg bands w/blk numerals in Utah, Wyoming, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, Colorado, New Mexico and Arizona. I would very much like to maintain this authorization as it is extremely valuable for identifying the falcons at a distance.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely yours,

WILLIAM BURNHAM.

Documents and complete information submitted in connection with this application are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Service's office in Suite 600, 1612 K Street, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Interested persons may comment on this application by submitting written data, views, or arguments, preferably in triplicate, to the Director (FWS/LE), U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Post Office Box 19183, Washington, D.C. 20036. All relevant comments received on or before June 21, 1976 will be considered.

Dated: May 17, 1976.

LOREN K. PARCHER,
Acting Chief, Division of Law Enforcement U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

[FR Doc.76-14673 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

ENDANGERED SPECIES PERMIT

Receipt of Application

Notice is hereby given that the following application for a permit is deemed to have been received under section 10 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (P.L. 93-205).

Applicant: Emory University, Dr. John F. R. Kuck, Jr., Eye Research Laboratory, Atlanta, Georgia 30322.

OMB NO. 42-R1670

DEPARTMENT O. .HE INTERIOR
U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

FEDERAL FISH AND WILDLIFE LICENSE/PERMIT APPLICATION

1. APPLICATION FOR (Indic ify one)

☐ IMPORT OR EXPORT LICENSE ☒ PERMIT

2. BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF ACTIVITY FOR WHICH REQUESTED LICENSE OR PERMIT IS NEEDED.

To take one young alligator for the purpose of removing the eyes to study the lenticular proteins by Raman spectroscopy

3. APPLICANT. (Name, complete address and phone number of individual, business, agency, or institution for which permit is requested)

Dr. John F.R. Kuck, Jr.
Eye Research Laboratory
Emory University
Atlanta, GA 30322
ph 404-377-2411 ex 7745

4. IF "APPLICANT" IS AN INDIVIDUAL, COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING.

☒ MR. ☐ MRS. ☐ MISS ☐ MS.

HEIGHT	WEIGHT
5'6"	145

DATE OF BIRTH	COLOR HAIR	COLOR EYES
Jan 27, 1918	gray	blue

PHONE NUMBER WHERE EMPLOYED: 377-2411 ex7745 | SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER: 327-28-2056

OCCUPATION: biochemist, Associate Professor.

ANY BUSINESS, AGENCY, OR INSTITUTIONAL AFFILIATION HAVING TO DO WITH THE WILDLIFE TO BE COVERED BY THIS LICENSE/PERMIT

Emory University
Game & Fish Division, Natural Resources Dept of the State of Georgia

5. IF "APPLICANT" IS A BUSINESS, CORPORATION, PUBLIC AGENCY, OR INSTITUTION, COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING

EXPLAIN TYPE OR KIND OF BUSINESS, AGENCY, OR INSTITUTION

NAME, TITLE, AND PHONE NUMBER OF PRESIDENT, PRINCIPAL OFFICER, DIRECTOR, ETC.

IF "APPLICANT" IS A CORPORATION, STATE IN WHICH INCORPORATED

6. LOCATION WHERE PROPOSED ACTIVITY IS TO BE CONDUCTED

Eye Research Lab
Emory University
1365 Clifton Rd NE
Atlanta GA 30322

See 12, below

7. DO YOU HOLD ANY CURRENTLY VALID FEDERAL FISH AND WILDLIFE LICENSE OR PERMIT? ☐ YES ☒ NO
(If yes, list licenses or permit numbers)

8. IF REQUIRED BY ANY STATE OR FOREIGN GOVERNMENT, DO YOU HAVE THEIR APPROVAL TO CONDUCT THE ACTIVITY YOU PROPOSE? ☐ YES ☐ NO
(If yes, list jurisdictions and type of documents)

9. CERTIFIED CHECK OR MONEY ORDER (if applicable) PAYABLE TO THE U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE ENCLOSED IN AMOUNT OF
$

10. DESIRED EFFECTIVE DATE: as soon as possible

11. DURATION NEEDED: 6 months

12. ATTACHMENTS. THE SPECIFIC INFORMATION REQUIRED FOR THE TYPE OF LICENSE/PERMIT REQUESTED (See 50 CFR 13.12) MUST BE ATTACHED. IT CONSTITUTES AN INTEGRAL PART OF THIS APPLICATION. LIST SECTIONS OF 50 CFR UNDER WHICH ATTACHMENTS ARE PROVIDED.

State of Ga. wildlife officials have agreed to collect a young animal for me in the Okefenokee and convey it to me when I obtain the proper federal permit

CERTIFICATION

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I HAVE READ AND AM FAMILIAR WITH THE REGULATIONS CONTAINED IN TITLE 50, PART 13, OF THE CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS AND THE OTHER APPLICABLE PARTS IN SUBCHAPTER B OF CHAPTER I OF TITLE 50, AND I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION SUBMITTED IN THIS APPLICATION FOR A LICENSE/PERMIT IS COMPLETE AND ACCURATE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF. I UNDERSTAND THAT ANY FALSE STATEMENT HEREIN MAY SUBJECT ME TO THE CRIMINAL PENALTIES OF 18 U.S.C. 1001.

SIGNATURE (In ink): John F.R. Kuck Jr.

DATE: April 5, 1976

3-200
16/741

GPO 899-042

APRIL 15, 1976.

17.22(a) Form 3-200 already submitted. The following information is submitted as an attachment to 3-200.

1. Alligator mississippiensis. One specimen needed, of unspecified sex. Age: as young as possible, preferably a hatching. Scientific purpose: to take Raman spectrum of lens proteins in the intact living lens and to make further studies on separated lens proteins. For this purpose the animal will be sacrificed and the eyes removed.

2. The alligator is still to be taken by state wildlife officials in the Okefenokee Swamp where there is presently no shortage.

3. and 4. Not applicable.

5. Alligator will not be maintained but will be used as soon as possible when the scientific preparations are complete, i.e., within daye after receipt of the specimen.

6. A live specimen is necessary in order that the organ to be examined is still living. Otherwise the rest of section 6 is not applicable.

7. I have no written contract but Mr. Handy of the State Game and Fish Division has given me oral assurance of his cooperation and that of personnel in the field. Mr. Jack Frost of the regional office of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service is also aware of this arrangement.

8. In order to study the undenatured proteins of the alligator lens it is necessary to have a live animal which can be sacrificed at a convenient time. The research is not concerned with wildlife survival as such but is designed to shed light on cataract formation in those species (i.e., birds and reptiles) which seem much more resistant to cataract formation than humans.

The animal will be sacrificed humanely by opening the jugular vein. After removal of the eyes the body will be preserved for the use of anyone wishing to study other tissues.

DR. JOHN F. R. KUCK, JR.,
Emory University
Eye Research Laboratory.

Documents and complete information submitted in connection with this application are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Service's office in Suite 600, 1612 K Street, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Interested persons may comment on this application by submitting written data, views, or arguments, preferably in triplicate, to the Director (FWS/LE), U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Post Of-

fice Box 19183, Washington, D.C. 20036. All relevant comments received on or before June 21, 1976 will be considered.

Dated: May 17, 1976.

LOREN K. PARCHER,
Acting Chief, Division of Law Enforcement, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

[FR Doc.76-14674 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

ENDANGERED SPECIES PERMIT

Receipt of Application

Notice is hereby given that the following application for a permit is deemed to have been received under section 10 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93-205).

Applicant: San Antonio Zoological Gardens and Aquarium, 3903 N. St. Mary's Street, San Antonio, Texas 78212.

OMB NO. 42-R1670

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

FEDERAL FISH AND WILDLIFE LICENSE/PERMIT APPLICATION

1. APPLICATION FOR (*Indicate only one*)
☐ IMPORT OR EXPORT LICENSE ☒ PERMIT

2. BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF ACTIVITY FOR WHICH REQUESTED LICENSE OR PERMIT IS NEEDED. Import 1 male maned wolf (Chrysocyon brachyurus) from the Fundacao Parque Zoologico de Sao Paulo, Sao Paulo, Brazil, as a mate for our proven breeder female maned wolf to propagate the species.

3. APPLICANT. (*Name, complete address and phone number of individual, business, agency, or institution for which permit is requested*)
San Antonio Zoological Gardens & Aquarium
3903 N. St. Mary's Street
San Antonio, TX 78212
(512) 734-7183

4. IF "APPLICANT" IS AN INDIVIDUAL, COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING:
☐ MR. ☐ MRS. ☐ MISS ☐ MS. | HEIGHT | WEIGHT
DATE OF BIRTH | COLOR HAIR | COLOR EYES
PHONE NUMBER WHERE EMPLOYED | SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER
OCCUPATION
ANY BUSINESS, AGENCY, OR INSTITUTIONAL AFFILIATION HAVING TO DO WITH THE WILDLIFE TO BE COVERED BY THIS LICENSE/PERMIT

5. IF "APPLICANT" IS A BUSINESS, CORPORATION, PUBLIC AGENCY, OR INSTITUTION, COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING:
EXPLAIN TYPE OR KIND OF BUSINESS, AGENCY, OR INSTITUTION
Zoological Gardens & Aquarium devoted to the exhibition, care & propagation of animals in captivity. Operated by the San Antonio Zoological Society for the City of San Antonio
NAME, TITLE, AND PHONE NUMBER OF PRESIDENT, PRINCIPAL OFFICER, DIRECTOR, ETC. (512) 734-7183
Louis R. DiSabato, Director
IF "APPLICANT" IS A CORPORATION, INDICATE STATE IN WHICH INCORPORATED
Texas

6. LOCATION WHERE PROPOSED ACTIVITY IS TO BE CONDUCTED
Import from Sao Paulo, Brazil to San Antonio, Texas

7. DO YOU HOLD ANY CURRENTLY VALID FEDERAL FISH AND WILDLIFE LICENSE OR PERMIT? ☒ YES ☐ NO
(*If yes, list license or permit numbers*) PRT8-279-C PRT8-243-E, ES-61, 2-SF-320, ES-78 ES-12, ES-48, 2-PR-365.

8. IF REQUIRED BY ANY STATE OR FOREIGN GOVERNMENT, DO YOU HAVE THEIR APPROVAL TO CONDUCT THE ACTIVITY YOU PROPOSE? ☐ YES ☐ NO
(*If yes, list jurisdictions and type of documents*)

9. CERTIFIED CHECK OR MONEY ORDER (*if applicable*) PAYABLE TO THE U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE ENCLOSED IN AMOUNT OF $

10. DESIRED EFFECTIVE DATE As soon as possible

11. DURATION NEEDED 120 days from date issued.

12. ATTACHMENTS. THE SPECIFIC INFORMATION REQUIRED FOR THE TYPE OF LICENSE/PERMIT REQUESTED (*See 50 CFR 13.12(b)*) MUST BE ATTACHED. IT CONSTITUTES AN INTEGRAL PART OF THIS APPLICATION. LIST SECTIONS OF 50 CFR UNDER WHICH ATTACHMENTS ARE PROVIDED.
See attachments - Sections 17.22 (1); (6)(i); (6)(iv); (6)(v); (7)

CERTIFICATION

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I HAVE READ AND AM FAMILIAR WITH THE REGULATIONS CONTAINED IN TITLE 50, PART 13, OF THE CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS AND THE OTHER APPLICABLE PARTS IN SUBCHAPTER B OF CHAPTER I OF TITLE 50, AND I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION SUBMITTED IN THIS APPLICATION FOR A LICENSE/PERMIT IS COMPLETE AND ACCURATE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF. I UNDERSTAND THAT ANY FALSE STATEMENT HEREIN MAY SUBJECT ME TO THE CRIMINAL PENALTIES OF 18 U.S.C. 1001.

SIGNATURE (*In ink*) Louis R. DiSabato | DATE March 26, 1976

3-200
10-74)

MARCH 26, 1976.

DIRECTOR,
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Law Enforcement, USDI, Washington, D.C. 20240.

DEAR SIR: Enclosed is a permit application in accordance with paragraph 17.22 Title 50 CFR for the importation of one male maned wolf (*Chrysocyon brachyurus*) from the Fundaco Parque Zoologico de Sao Paulo, Brazil to the San Antonio Zoological Gardens & Aquarium, San Antonio, Texas.

The following is the information as required by paragraph 17.22:

(1) One adult male maned wolf (*Chrysocyon brachyurus*) to be imported from the Fundacao Parque Zoologico de Sao Paulo, Sao Paulo, Brazil.

(2) The animal is in captivity and has been in the Goiania Zoo, Mato Grosso, Brazil, for about two years (see attachment). It is a wild caught animal.

(3) The San Antonio Zoo has communicated with several zoos in the United States since October 1973 in attempts to acquire a mate for our female maned wolf, but has been unsuccessful. We contacted all known zoos with single adult males in their collections or who had bred this species and might have a surplus male offspring. The latest attempt was with members of the International Union of Directors of Zoological Gardens visiting the United States for their international conference.

(4) The animal was originally captured in the wild in Brazil.

(5) The animal will be maintained, displayed, and used in a propagation program at the San Antonio Zoological Gardens and Aquarium, 3903 N. St. Mary's Street, San Antonio, TX 78212.

(6) (i) The animal will be housed with our female maned wolf in an open-moated, wire-fronted, naturalistic exhibit in a section of the zoo containing a series of open-moated mammal exhibits. The outdoor enclosure is 26'2" deep by 17'4" wide. There is also a heated den for seclusion with dimensions of 9'3" by 5'10". Another den 7'6" by 5'10" adjoins the rear of the first den and may also be used for the maned wolves. It has been used as a whelping area for the female in the past. The exhibit contains a pool at the front plus a large rock to the left rear corner. The area to the side and rear of the rock has been a favorite resting area for the wolves. Jasmine plantings on the top of the side walls and rear of the exhibit grow down into the exhibit providing shade and seclusion. (see enclosed pictures)

(6) (ii) The zoo director, assistant director and superintendent of mammals each have over 20 years experience in the management, care, and handling of wild aimals in captivity. The zoo staff also includes a fulltime resident veterinarian plus 4 health center attendants and 24 animal attendants in the Mammal Department. The supervisor of the Small Mammal Section, in which the maned wolves are housed has four years of zoo experience. Maned wolves have been maintained at the San Antonio Zoo since October 1968 when the female for which we are attempting to secure a mate was received. This female produced young in 1971 and 1972. The zoo staff has also had experience in maintaining the red wolf, gray wolf, coyote, red fox, gray fox, fennec fox, dingo, Asiatic jackal, raccoon dog, and Cape hunting dog. Of these species, the maned wolf, red wolf, fennec fox, and Asiatic jackal have been propagated in the collection.

(6) (iii) The San Antonio Zoo will readily participate in cooperative breeding programs and in the maintenance of studbooks. It currently contributes information of studbooks on the maned wolf, white rhino, black rhino, clouded leopard, snow leopard, tiger, gorilla, Pere David deer, pygmy hippo, scimitar-horned oryx, addax, red wolf and golden lion marmoset. Zoo personnel are well-versed in the format and procedures in the use of these important records.

(6) (iv) The animal will be shipped in a plied pinewood box with metal sheet and dimensions of 1.12m long, .95m high, and .71 m wide (See attachments). Suitable water and food containers will be provided with the crate, and the shipment will be via commercial airlines. It is anticipated that the animal will be shipped via the port of Miami. Zoo officials at the Crandon Park Zoo, Miami, would be requested to check the animal and provide any necessary food and water if required while the animal is in Miami.

(6) (v) The San Antonio Zoo has had 2 maned wolves in its collection—a female received in October 1968 and a male received April 1969. The male died September 1, 1973, and the female still resides in the collection. Two young were born December 22, 1971, but were killed by the mother. Four were born December 7, 1972 and were taken for handrearing because of the experience with the first litter. These babies dies at the ages of 4 and 5 days. Pathology reports that the young were premature. It is believed that the young

born in 1971 were premature also. (See attached copies of pathology reports.) The adult male died after having fought with the female probably associated with the female coming into estrus. Several abrasions were noted on the head as well as incoordination and ataxia. Gross necropsy revealed hypostatic congestion in the left lung and possible hypertrophy of the kidney along with abnormalities in the liver, bloody urine and petechial hemorrhages on the bladder. Tissue samples were submitted for pathological examination. Copies of treatment sheet, necropsy report, pathologic report are included as attachments.

(7) Copies of correspondence between the San Antonio Zoological Gardens & Aquarium and the Fundacao Parque Zoologico de Sao Paulo, Brazil, regarding the availability of the male maned wolf are included as attachments. This correspondence was preceded by conversations between the directors of the two zoos at San Antonio, Texas, and at IUDZG meetings in Colorado Springs, Colorado in October 1975.

(8) (i) The activity sought to be authorized by the permit is the importation of a male maned wolf that is a captive resident of a zoo in Sao Paulo, Brazil. Purpose of the importation being to provide a mate for a proven breeder female who is now without a mate. Ultimately the goal being the captive propagation of the species.

(8) (ii) The male maned wolf will be shipped by commercial airline from Sao Paulo, Brazil, by the Fundacao Parque Zoologico de Sao Paulo. Any export permits required by the Brazilian government will be obtained by Sao Paulo Zoo officials.

(8) (iii) This permit application is submitted in order to import a male maned wolf for the express purpose of captive propagation of the species. We have every expectation of accomplishing this objective since the female in our collection is a proven breeder.

(8) (iv) The wildlife will be maintained at the San Antonio Zoological Gardens in a breeding program for the remainder of its natural life. Any offspring produced as a result of our breeding program would be used for continued propagation of the species either at this zoo or to enhance the breeding potential of other zoos. In the event of the death of the animal covered by this permit, the carcass would be preserved and made available as a scientific specimen in accordance with existing federal regulations.

Sincerely,

LOUIS R. DISABATO.

Documents and complete information submitted in connection with this application are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Service's office in Suite 600, 1612 K Street, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Interested persons may comment on this application by submitting written data, views, or arguments, preferably in triplicate, to the Director (FWS/LE), U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Post Office Box 19183, Washington, D.C. 20036. All relevant comments received on or before June 21, 1976 will be considered.

Dated: May 17, 1976.

LOREN K. PARCHER,
Acting Chief, Division of Law Enforcement, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

[FR Doc.76-14675 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

ENDANGERED SPECIES PERMIT

Receipt of Application

Notice is hereby given that the following application for a permit is deemed to have been received under section 10 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (P.L. 93-205).

Applicant: Charles Sivelle, 41 Westcliff Drive, Dix Hills, New York 11746.

OMB NO. 42-R1870

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

FEDERAL FISH AND WILDLIFE
LICENSE/PERMIT APPLICATION

1. APPLICATION FOR (Indicate only one)
[X] IMPORT OR EXPORT LICENSE [ ] PERMIT

2. BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF ACTIVITY FOR WHICH REQUESTED LICENSE OR PERMIT IS NEEDED.
To export in the course of a commercial activity 1 pr. of captive reared Cros. cros. drouyni pheasants '75 and 1 female captive reared Cros. cros. drouyni pheasants for propagation purposes

3. APPLICANT. (Name, complete address and phone number of individual, business, agency, or institution for which permit is requested)
Charles Sivelle
41 Westcliff Drive
Dix Hills, N.Y. 11746
516-423-6146

4. IF "APPLICANT" IS AN INDIVIDUAL, COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING:

	HEIGHT	WEIGHT
[X] MR. [ ] MRS. [ ] MISS [ ] MS.	5'11"	190 lbs
DATE OF BIRTH: 9-24-18	COLOR HAIR: Brown	COLOR EYES: Blue
PHONE NUMBER WHERE EMPLOYED: 516-423-6146	SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER: 125-07-0277	

OCCUPATION: Manufacturer

ANY BUSINESS, AGENCY, OR INSTITUTIONAL AFFILIATION HAVING TO DO WITH THE WILDLIFE TO BE COVERED BY THIS LICENSE/PERMIT: None

5. IF "APPLICANT" IS A BUSINESS, CORPORATION, PUBLIC AGENCY, OR INSTITUTION, COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING:
EXPLAIN TYPE OR KIND OF BUSINESS, AGENCY, OR INSTITUTION: Not applicable

NAME, TITLE, AND PHONE NUMBER OF PRESIDENT, PRINCIPAL OFFICER, DIRECTOR, ETC.: Not applicable

IF "APPLICANT" IS A CORPORATION, INDICATE STATE IN WHICH INCORPORATED: Not applicable

6. LOCATION WHERE PROPOSED ACTIVITY IS TO BE CONDUCTED
Export from New York City to Canada

7. DO YOU HOLD ANY CURRENTLY VALID FEDERAL FISH AND WILDLIFE LICENSE OR PERMIT? [X] YES [ ] NO
(If yes, list license or permit number(s))
PRT 8 306-C, 5-PR-1084
ES-68

8. IF REQUIRED BY ANY STATE OR FOREIGN GOVERNMENT, DO YOU HAVE THEIR APPROVAL TO CONDUCT THE ACTIVITY YOU PROPOSE? [X] YES [ ] NO
(If yes, list jurisdictions and type of document(s)) Convention International Trade in Endangered Species Canadian Import permit to be provided when available

9. CERTIFIED CHECK OR MONEY ORDER (if applicable) PAYABLE TO THE U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE ENCLOSED IN AMOUNT OF: $ No fee required

10. DESIRED EFFECTIVE DATE: At once

11. DURATION NEEDED: 9 months

12. ATTACHMENTS. THE SPECIFIC INFORMATION REQUIRED FOR THE TYPE OF LICENSE/PERMIT REQUESTED (See 50 CFR 13.12(a)) MUST BE ATTACHED. IT CONSTITUTES AN INTEGRAL PART OF THIS APPLICATION. LIST SECTIONS OF 50 CFR UNDER WHICH ATTACHMENTS ARE PROVIDED.
Attachment

CERTIFICATION

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I HAVE READ AND AM FAMILIAR WITH THE REGULATIONS CONTAINED IN TITLE 50, PART 13, OF THE CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS AND THE OTHER APPLICABLE PARTS IN SUBCHAPTER B OF CHAPTER I OF TITLE 50, AND I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION SUBMITTED IN THIS APPLICATION FOR A LICENSE, PERMIT IS COMPLETE AND ACCURATE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF. I UNDERSTAND THAT ANY FALSE STATEMENT HEREIN MAY SUBJECT ME TO THE CRIMINAL PENALTIES OF 18 U.S.C. 1001.

SIGNATURE (In ink): Charles Sivelle
DATE: 4-5-76

3-200
(6/74)

APRIL 5, 1976.

MR. LYNN A. GREENWALT,
Director U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,
Law Enforcement Division,
U.S.D.I.,
Washington, D.C.

DEAR MR. GREENWALT: The undersigned hereby applies for an Endangered Species Permit under Section 10(a) of the Endangered Species Act of 1973. The following information is submitted pursuant to paragraph 17.22 of Volume 40, No. 188 of the Federal Register.

Request is made for a permit to export one pair of 1975 White-eared pheasants, Crossoptilon crossoptilon drouyni and for one 1975 female White-eared pheasant, crossoptilon crossoptilon drouyni in the course of a commercial activity for propagation purposes. The specimens referred to were propagated in the aviaries of the undersigned at 41 Westcliff Drive, Dix Hills, N.Y. 11746, during 1975 from specimens imported earlier in accordance with permits #ES-68 and #ES-422.

The 1975 female White-eared pheasant Crossoptilon crossoptilon drouyni is to be exported to Mr. W. W. Morlock, Hespeler Highway 24, Ontario, Canada. Mr. Morlock has been one of the foremost propagators of rare pheasants in Canada for the past thirty years. He has received many awards during this time for rare breedings and was one of the first in North America to keep White-eared pheasants which he has previously bred. He requires the female to pair off with a male that he now possesses so that he may propagate the specie. He has also succesfully raised quantities of Brown-eared and Blue-eared pheasants during this time

which are related species. His pheasant aviaries contain inside protective enclosures of 700 cubic feet and outside runs of 2100 cubic feet. They have been landscaped and his management, feeding and breeding techniques have been used successfully by others.

The pair, '75 hatch of Crossoptilon crossoptilon drouyni is to be exported to John P. Ferguson, P.O. Box 418, Stanstead, Quebec, Canada. Mr. Ferguson already has in his possession white-eared pheasants of the Crossoptilon crossoptilon dolani species and a copy of his letter of Jan. 28, 1976 is attached.

Both applicants will participate in a cooperative breeding program which is one of the conditions of the sale and will contribute data to the Studbook that the undersigned now maintains.

Distribution of progeny from the original importation is necessary to establish captive self-sustaining populations in as many countries in the world as possible. Preservation of an endangered species which is threatened in its habitat can only be accomplished thru such propagation. Birds will be shipped in crates similar and equal to International Air Transport Association taken from Live Animal Regulations 5th Edition, Feb. 7, 1975.

At present, Canada, a party to the Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species has not yet formulated a permit system involving captive raised wildlife in regards to this Convention.

Sincerely yours,

CHARLES SIVELLE.

P.O. BOX 1718,
STANSTEAD, QUEBEC,
J0B 3E0

JANUARY 28, 1976.

DEAR MR. SIVELLE: The information required is as follows:

My present building that the pheasants would be kept in is twenty-four ft. wide by ninety ft. long. The inside pens are 10 ft. by 10 ft. and some are 8 ft. by 10 ft., eight ft. high, 24 inches solid at the bottom. Outside runs 10 ft. by 20 ft., 8½ ft. high and others 8 ft. by 20 ft., 8½ ft. high, 18 in. of wire under ground ½ in. by 1 in. each pen, electrically heated waterers.

In building a new building 150 ft. long with pens on one side for pheasants, partridge, francolins. I also have a second stage brooder house with 6 pens 8 ft. by 8 ft. by 20 ft. high. In the main building there is an incubator room, a first stage brooders one on top of the other, also a room to keep greans, a washing machine, hot and cold water, a cleaver to cut fresh vegetables if need be. These rooms are electric heated.

The pheasants I now have are swinhoe, Edwards, Horsfield, Scintillating Coppers, Ijima Copper, Temminck Tropogans, Impeyan and Cros Cros Dolanie White Eared. So I would like to get a pair of Cros Cros, Cros White Eared and pair of Brown Eared from you to be able to propagate these pheasants in order to insure their survival. It would be nice find on Edwards mail as I have an extra femail. I'm sending photos of my building and some birds. My waterfowl swim all winter and they can go into the building when they like to.

This way I get good eggs from my black swans and hope to from by black neck swans in the wintertime. I have four breeds of swans, six kinds of geese, ten kinds of ducks, three kinds of partridges, four breeds of francolins.

Yours truly,

JOHN P. FERGUSON.

Documents and complete information submitted in connection with this application are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Service's office in Suite 600, 1612 K Street, NW., Washington, D.C.

Interested persons may comment on this application by submitting written data, views, or arguments, preferably in triplicate, to the Director (FWS/LE), U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Post Office Box 19183, Washington, D.C. 20036. All relevant comments received on or before June 21, 1976 will be considered.

Dated: May 17, 1976.

LOREN R. PARCHER,
Acting Chief, Division of Law Enforcement, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

[FR Doc.76-14676 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

Office of the Secretary

CAPE COD NATIONAL SEASHORE ADVISORY COMMISSION

Establishment

This notice is issued in accordance with the provisions of Section 9(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (P.L. 92-463). The Secretary of the Interior is establishing a Cape Cod National Seashore Advisory Commission to render advice to the Secretary of the Interior and officers and employees of the National Park Service in regard to matters pertaining to development and operations of the Cape Cod National Seashore, established pursuant to Public Law 87-126 (75 Stat. 284). The commission will render such advice with particular regard to the development of zoning standards and the acquisition of lands within the seashore. The Cape Cod National Seashore Advisory Commission is being established after consultation with the Office of Management and Budget in accordance with the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (P.L. 92-463). The certification of establishment is published below. Further information regarding the Cape Cod National Seashore Advisory Commission may be obtained from Robert M. Landau, Liaison Officer, Advisory Commissions, National Park Service, Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C. 20240 (202/343-8953).

CERTIFICATION

The Act authorizing the establishment of the Cape Cod National Seashore places responsibility for development and management of that area in the Secretary of the Interior. In view of those responsibilities and the need to obtain advice and recommendations to meet those responsibilities, I hereby certify that establishment of the Cape Cod National Seashore Advisory Commission is in the public interest.

THOMAS S. KLEPPE,
Secretary of the Interior.

MAY 11, 1976.

[FR Doc.76-14716 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

BOISE NATIONAL FOREST GRAZING ADVISORY BOARD

Meeting

The Boise National Forest Grazing Advisory Board will meet at 9:00 A.M., June 15, 1976, at the Boise National Forest Supervisor's Office, 1075 Park Boulevard, Boise, Idaho.

The purpose of the meeting is to hear the grazing permittee's (Mores Creek C&H Allotment) appeal for reconsideration of the Forest Supervisor's decision on a reduction in their permitted livestock use.

The meeting will be open to the public. Persons who wish to attend should notify Mr. Tom Nicholson, President, Boise National Forest Grazing Advisory Board, 10322 Estate Drive, Boise, Idaho 83705; Telephone Area Code 208-375-5255.

The committee has established the following rules for public participation: written statements will be accepted by the committee president prior to the meeting or immediately after the close of the meeting.

Dated: May 13, 1976.

EDWARD C. MAW,
Forest Supervisor.

[FR Doc.76-14679 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

MULTIPLE USE PLAN DICKEY-SUNDAY PLANNING UNIT

Availability of Final Environmental Statement

Pursuant to Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, the Forest Service, Department of Agriculture has prepared a final environmental statement for Dickey-Sunday Planning Unit, Forest Service Report Number R1-76-4 USDA-FS-R1(14)-FES (Adm).

The environmental statement concerns a proposed implementation of a revised multiple use plan for the Dickey-Sunday Planning Unit, Fortine Ranger District, Kootenai National Forest, and located in Lincoln County, Montana. The proposal affects approximately 67,029 acres of National Forest lands which have been stratified into eight management situations or units with similar resource implications.

This final environmental statement was filed with CEQ on 5/14/76.

Copies are available for inspection during regular working hours at the following locations:

USDA, Forest Service, South Agriculture Bldg., Room 3231, 12th St. and Independence Ave., S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250.

USDA, Forest Service, Northern Region, Federal Building, Missoula, MT 59801.

Supervisor's Office, Kootenai National Forest, 418 Mineral Avenue, Libby, MT 59923.

USDA, Forest Service, Fortine Ranger District, Murphy Lake Ranger Station, Fortine, MT 59918.

Copies of the environmental statement have been sent to various Federal, State, and local agencies as outlined in the CEQ guidelines.

A limited number of single copies are available upon request to Forest Supervisor Floyd J. Marita, Kootenai National Forest, 418 Mineral Avenue, Libby, MT 59923.

Dated: May 14, 1976.

FLOYD J. MARITA,
Forest Supervisor,
Kootenai National Forest.

[FR Doc.76-14681 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

NORTHEASTERN FORESTRY RESEARCH ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Meeting

The Northeastern Forestry Research Advisory Committee will meet at 8:30 a.m.–4:30 p.m., June 30; and 8:30 a.m.–12:00 noon, July 1, 1976 at the Boone Tavern Hotel, Berea, Kentucky.

The purpose of the meeting is to enable Advisory Committee members to be briefed on USDA Surface Mined Area Restoration Research. Forest Service scientists located at the Berea Laboratory, in Berea, Kentucky have been assigned major responsibility for this research and will participate in the meeting

The meeting is open to the public. Persons who wish to attend should notify Dr. F. B. Clark, Northeastern Forest Experiment Station, USDA, Forest Service, 6816 Market St., Upper Darby, Pa. 19082; Telephone No. Area Code 215–596–1615.

Written statements may be filed with the committee after the meeting.

To the extent that time permits, interested persons may be permitted by the Committee Chairman to present oral statements at the meeting.

Dated: May 13, 1976.

F. B. CLARK,
Director.

[FR Doc.76-14680 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

SOUTH FORK PLANNING UNIT

Availability of Draft Environmental Statement

Pursuant to Section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, the Forest Service, Department of Agriculture, has prepared a Draft Environmental Statement for the South Fork Planning Unit Land Use Plan, USDA–FS–R6–DES(Adm)–76–10.

The environmental statement concerns a proposed action that will establish one unroaded management unit, Black Canyon. Three areas are established for resource production with fish and wildlife habitat emphasis. Within one of these areas, 100 head of wild horses will be maintained. The National Forest portion of the Murderers Creek Coordinated Resource Management Area will continue to be managed under the existing coordinated management plan. Forest management will maintain five stages of forest growth succession. The Region 6, USFS Snag Management Guidelines and big game cover requirements will be adhered to. Management will satisfy any special habitat requirement for fish and wildlife as the situation demands. A road closure plan will be implemented to protect key wildlife areas and other resources. The visual management plan which sets quality objectives for all National Forest land, will be followed in the Planning Unit. All other areas are managed for optimum resource production under multiple use-sustained yield principles. An Off-Road Vehicle and Road Closure Plan which will complement the proposed action is a part of this proposal.

This draft environmental statement was transmitted to CEQ on May 14, 1976.

Copies are available for inspection during regular working hours at the following locations:

USDA, Forest Service, South Agriculture Bldg., Room 3230, 12th St. and Independence Ave., S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250.
USDA, Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Region, Multnomah Building, 319 S.W. Pine Street, Portland, Oregon 97204.
USDA, Forest Service, Malheur National Forest, 139 N.E. Dayton Street, John Day, Oregon 97845.
USDA, Forest Service, Ochoco National Forest, Federal Building, Prineville, Oregon 97754.

A limited number of single copies are available upon request to Forest Supervisor Dan E. Williams, Malheur National Forest, 139 N.E. Dayton Street, John Day, Oregon 97845.

Copies of the environmental statement have been sent to various Federal, state, and local agencies as outlined in the CEQ guidelines.

Comments are invited from the public, and from State and local agencies which are authorized to develop and enforce environmental standards, and from Federal agencies having jurisdiction by law or special expertise with respect to any environmental impact involved for which comments have not been requested specifically.

Comments concerning the proposed action and requests for additional information should be addressed to Forest Supervisor Dan E. Williams, Malheur National Forest, 139 N.E. Dayton Street, John Day, Oregon 97845. Comments must be received by July 13, 1976, in order to be considered in the preparation of the final environmental statement.

Dated: May 14, 1976.

CURTIS L. SWANSON,
Regional Environmental Coordinator, Planning, Programing and Budgeting.

[FR Doc.76-14682 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

Soil Conservation Service

BAD AXE WATERSHED PROJECT, WIS.

Availability of Negative Declaration

Pursuant to Section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969; the Council on Environmental Quality Guidelines (40 CFR Part 1500); and the Soil Conservation Service Guidelines (7 CFR Part 650); the Soil Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, gives notice that an environmental impact statement is not being prepared for a portion of the Bad Axe Watershed Project, Vernon County, Wisconsin.

The environmental assessment of this federal action indicates that this portion of the project will not create significant adverse local, regional, or national impacts on the environment and that no significant controvery is associated with this portion of the project. As a result of these findings, Mr. J. C. Hytry, State Conservationist, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service, 4601 Hammersley Road, Madison, Wisconsin 53711, has determined that the preparation and review of an environmental impact statement is not needed for this portion of the project.

The project concerns a plan for watershed protection, flood prevention, and recreation. The remaining planned works of improvement, as described in the negative declaration, include one floodwater retarding structure.

The negative declaration is being filed with the Council on Environmental Quality and copies are being sent to various federal, state, and local agencies. The basic data developed during the environmental assessment is on file and may be reviewed by interested parties at the Soil Conservation Service, USDA, Courthouse, Viroqua, Wisconsin 54665. A limited number of copies of the negative declaration is available from the same address to fill single copy requests.

No administrative action on implementation on the proposal will be taken until 15 days after the date of this publication.

Dated: May 13, 1976.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 10.904, National Archives Reference Services.)

JOSEPH W. HAAS,
Deputy Administrator for Water Resources, Soil Conservation Service.

[FR Doc.76-14685 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

CHOCTAW BAYOU WATERSHED PROJECT, LOUISIANA

Availability of Final Environmental Impact Statement

Pursuant to Section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969; Part 1500 of the Council on En-

vironmental Quality Guidelines (39 FR 20550, August 1, 1973); and Part 650 of the Soil Conservation Service Guidelines (39 FR 19650, June 3, 1974); the Soil Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, has prepared a final environmental impact statement for the Choctaw Bayou Watershed project, West Baton Rouge, Pointe Coupee, and Iberville Parishes, Louisiana, USDA–SCS–EIS–WS–(ADM)–76–4(F)–LA.

The environmental impact statement concerns a plan for watershed protection, flood prevention, and drainage. The planned works of improvement include conservation land treatment supplemented by channel work, and two structures for water control (weirs), one structure for water control (stoplog weir), and one grade stabilization structure. The channel work will involve clearing and debris removal on 22 miles of existing channels, 6 miles of new channel construction, 64 miles of enlargement by excavation, and 12 miles of additional channels maintained as outlets for other project channels. This will provide flood protection and improved drainage in a flatland watershed that is 49 percent agricultural cropland and grass land. Of the 86 miles of work proposed on existing streams or channels, 79 miles will involve those with only ephemeral flow, 4 miles with intermittent flow, and 3 miles with ponded water.

The final environmental impact statement has been filed with the Council on Environmental Quality.

A limited supply of copies is available at the following location to fill single copy requests:

Soil Conservation Service, USDA, 3737 Government Street, Alexandria, Louisiana 71301.

Dated: May 13, 1976.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 10.904, National Archives Reference Services)

JOSEPH W. HAAS,
Deputy Administrator for Water Resources, Soil Conservation Service.

[FR Doc.76–14683 Filed 5–19–76;8:45 am]

LAKEVIEW WATERSHED PROJECT, TEX.

Availability of Negative Declaration

Pursuant to Section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969; the Council on Environmental Quality Guidelines (40 CFR Part 1500); and the Soil Conservation Service Guidelines (7 CFR Part 650); the Soil Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, gives notice that an environmental impact statement is not being prepared for the Lakeview Watershed Project, Hall and Donley Counties, Texas.

The environmental assessment of this federal action indicates that the project will not create significant adverse local, regional or national impacts on the environment and that no significant controversy is associated with the project. As a result of these findings, Mr. George C. Marks, State Conservationist, Soil Conservation Service, has determined that the preparation and review of an environmental impact statement is not needed for this project.

The project concerns a plan for watershed protection and flood prevention. The planned works of improvement include conservation land treatment supplemented by twelve single purpose floodwater retarding structures.

The negative declaration is being filed with the Council on Environmental Quality and copies are being sent to various federal, state and local agencies. The basic data developed during the environmental assessment is on file and may be reviewed by interested parties at the Soil Conservation Service, USDA, First National Bank Building, Temple, Texas 76501. A limited number of copies of the negative declaration is available from the same address to fill single copy requests.

No administrative action on implementation on the proposal will be taken until 15 days after the date of this publication.

Dated: May 13, 1976.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 10.904, National Archives Reference Services.)

JOSEPH W. HAAS,
Deputy Administrator for Water Resources Soil Conservation Service.

[FR Doc.76–14686 Filed 5–19–76;8:45 am]

NEWTOWN-HOFFMAN CREEKS WATERSHED PROJECT, N.Y.

Availability of Negative Declaration

Pursuant to Section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969; the Council on Environmental Quality Guidelines (40 CFR Part 1500); and the Soil Conservation Service Guidelines (7 CFR Part 650); the Soil Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, gives notice that an environmental impact statement is not being prepared for a portion of the Newtown-Hoffman Creeks Watershed Project, Schuyler and Chemung Counties, New York.

The environmental assessment of this federal action indicates that this portion of the project will not create significant adverse local, regional, or national impacts on the environment and that no significant controversy is associated with this portion of the project. As a result of these findings, Mr. Robert L. Hilliard, State Conservationist, Soil Conservation Service, has determined that the preparation and review of an environmental impact statement is not needed for this portion of the project.

The project concerns a plan for watershed protection, flood prevention, and recreation and fish and wildlife development. The planned works of improvement, as described in the negative declaration, include installation of picnic facilities with a bathing beach and playfield, public campsites, nature trails, and a fisherman boat launching ramp.

The negative declaration is being filed with the Council on Environmental Quality and copies are being sent to various federal, state, and local agencies. The basic data developed during the environmental assessment is on file and may be reviewed by interested parties at the Soil Conservation Service, USDA, Room 400, Midtown Plaza, 700 East Water Street, Syracuse, New York 13210. A limited number of copies of the negative declaration is available from the same address to fill single copy requests.

No administrative action on implementation on the proposal will be taken until 15 days after the date of this publication.

Dated: May 13, 1976.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 10.904, National Archives Reference Services.)

JOSEPH W. HASS,
Deputy Administrator for Water Resources, Soil Conservation Service.

[FR Doc.76–14687 Filed 5–19–76;8:45 am]

PRESQUE ISLE STREAM WATERSHED PROJECT, ME.

Availability of Negative Declaration

Pursuant to Section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969; the Council on Environmental Quality Guidelines (40 CFR Part 1500); and the Soil Conservation Service Guidelines (7 CFR Part 650); the Soil Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, gives notice that an environmental impact statement is not being prepared for a portion of the Presque Isle Stream Watershed Project, Aroostook County, Maine.

The environmental assessment of this federal action indicates that this portion of the project will not create significant adverse local, regional, or national impacts on the environment and that no significant controversy is associated with this portion of the project. As a result of these findings, Mr. Warwick M. Tinsley, Jr., State Conservationist, Soil Conservation Service, has determined that the preparation and review of an environmental impact statement is not needed for this portion of the project.

The project concerns a plan for watershed protection and flood prevention. The planned works of improvement, as described in the negative declaration, include conservation land treatment supplemented by recreational facilities and a fishway.

The negative declaration is being filed with the Council on Environmental Quality and copies are being sent to various federal, state, and local agencies. The basic data developed during the environmental assessment is on file and may be reviewed by interested parties at the Soil Conservation Service, USDA, Office Building, University of Maine, Orono, Maine 04473. A limited number of copies of the negative declaration is available from the same address to fill single copy requests.

No administration action on implementation on the proposal will be taken until 15 days after the date of this publication.

Dated: May 13, 1976.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 10.904, National Archives Reference Services.)

JOSEPH W. HAAS,
Deputy Administrator for Water Resources, Soil Conservation Service.

[FR Doc.76-14684 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Domestic and International Business Administration

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, ET AL.

Notice of Applications for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Articles

The following are notices of the receipt of applications for duty-free entry of scientific articles pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Public Law 89-651; 80 Stat. 897). Interested persons may present their views with respect to the question of whether an instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value for the purposes for which the article is intended to be used is being manufactured in the United States. Such comments must be filed in triplicate with the Director, Special Import Programs Division, Office of Import Programs, Washington, D.C. 20230, within 20 calendar days after the date on which this notice of application is published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Amended regulations issued under cited Act, (15 CFR 301) prescribe the requirements applicable to comments.

A copy of each application is on file, and may be examined during ordinary Commerce Department business hours at the Special Import Programs Division, Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20230.

Docket number: 76-00393. Applicant: University of California—Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, East End of Hearst Avenue, Berkeley, California 94720. Article: Temperature-Jump Spectrophotometer System and accessories. Manufacturer: Garching Instruments GMBH, West Germany. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used for measurement of the kinetics of binding mutagens to nucleic acids. The mutagens to be studied are mainly aromatic amines such as naphthyl amines, aminofluorenes and benzidenes. These studies will determine the mechanisms of binding to naturally occurring nucleic acids and to synthetic deoxyoligonucleotides. The article will also be used for training greaduate students in the course, Chemistry 299. Application received by Commissioner of Customs: April 30, 1976.

Docket number: 76-00394. Applicant: The University of Tennessee, Purchasing Department, 2200 Andy Holt Avenue, Knoxville, TN 37916. Article: Electron Microscope, Model No. EM 9S-2, including spare parts. Manufacturer: Carl Zeiss, West Germany. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used for investigation of biologic specimens including samples of human tumors after different periods of transplantation in animal modes and therapeutic trials, bone marrow specimens, pellets of blood peripheral cells and cultured cells and tissues. Research will be conducted to obtain further information on cancer growth and to use this knowledge for testing new chemical and immumologic therapeutic agents which could be used in humans suffering from cancer. The article will also be used for educational purposes in the following courses:

(1) *Electron Microscopy in the Study of Tumor Growth*—basic training in biological specimen preparation for electron microscopy in order to provide students with an insight on the complexity of the procedures and the possible artifacts introduced by them.

(2) *Electron Microscopy in Comparative Pathology*—instruction in specimen preparation of laboratory animal tissues, and operation of the electron microscope.

(3) *Electron Microscopy in Human Pathology*—teaching of laboratory procedures for specimen preparation, operation of the electron microscope and interpretation of electron micrographs of different human pathologic conditions.

Application received by Commissioner of Customs: April 30, 1976.

Docket number: 76-00395. Applicant: Purdue University, ADMS Building, West Lafayette, IN 47907. Article: Ion Production and Delivery System, 30 kv. Manufacturer: Danfysik A/S, Denmark. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used in the preparation of surfaces, for use as potential catalytic analogues by deposition of ionic species on or very near the surface (e.g., 1-3 atomic layers) of catalyst support materials (e.g., silica or aluminum). The experiments will involve the production of ion beams of platinum, palladium, iridium and similar materials from Group VIII elements in the Periodic Table. Other experiments will deal with ion/surface interactions. Specifically, the experiments will deal with (a) changes in oxidation state caused by ion interactions with surface species; (b) compositional changes caused by differential sputtering; and (c) interactions between ions and the lattice material. Application received by Commissioner of Customs: April 30, 1976.

Docket number: 76-00396. Applicant: University of Wisconsin, Madison, Dept. of Pathology, 470 North Charter Street, Madison, Wisconsin 53706. Article: Ultramicrotome, Model LKB 8800A and accessories. Manufacturer: LKB Produkter AB, Sweden. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used for ultrastructural studies on normal and pathologic changes in various organ systems. Electron microscopic cyto- and histochemical studies on enzymes and subcellular organelle localization in cells and tissues will also be performed. In addition, the article will be used to provide students and trainees the knowledge of the electron microscopic techniques and the application of electron microscope in medical and biological research. Application received by Commissioner of Customs: April 30, 1976.

Docket number: 76-00397. Applicant: University of Wisconsin-Madison, 750 University Avenue, Madison, WI 53706. Article: Ultramicrotome, Model LKB 8800A. Manufacturer: LKB Produkter AB, Sweden. Intended use of article: The article is intended to be used for studies of biological material from various animals. Observations will be made on the hypothalamic brain region after various hormones are injected, in the growth of nerve fibers after various lesions, and on the development of the central nervous system. The objective of this research is to further basic knowledge on cell and tissue ultrastructure and on tissues developing under normal and experimental conditions. The article will also be used for courses dealing with Ultrastructure Cytochemistry, principally studies of the Hypothalamus and Tissue Culture. Students will be trained in the use and application of electron microscopy and to use the electron microscope in solving research problems.

Application received by Commissioner of Customs: May 4, 1976.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 11.105, Importation of Duty-Free Educational and Scientific Materials.)

RICHARD M. SEPPA,
Director,
Special Import Programs Division.

[FR Doc.76-14713 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

Patent and Trademark Office

PUBLIC ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR TRADEMARK AFFAIRS

Open Meeting

In accordance with section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463), announcement is made of the following committee meeting.

The Public Advisory Committee for Trademark Affairs will meet from 9:30 a.m. to 5 p.m. on June 15, 1976 at the United States Trademark Association, 6 East 45th Street, New York, New York 10017.

The Committee was established in 1970 to advise the Patent and Trademark Office on steps which can be taken in order to increase the efficiency and effectiveness of the administration of the Trademark Act and to provide a continuous source of knowledge from the private sector to the government in the field.

The agenda for the meeting is:

(1) Establishment of an agenda and plans for a meeting of the committee in the Fall of 1976.

(2) Discussion of expanding the committee membership.

The meeting will be open to public observation; approximately 15 seats will be available for the public on a first come first served basis. If time permits, oral comments by the public of 3 minutes on

each topic within the above agenda items will be allowed. Any comments or suggestions relating to the agenda items should be submitted in writing before June 7. Further, comments and suggestions will be accepted after the meeting on any of the matters discussed.

Copies of the minutes will be available upon request 60 days after the meeting.

Inquiries may be addressed to Patricia M. Davis, Room 11C17 Crystal Plaza Building 3, Telephone: 703-557-3881.

C. MARSHALL DANN,
Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks.

Approved: May 12, 1976.

DAVID B. CHANG,
Acting Assistant Secretary for Science & Technology.

[FR Doc.76-14744 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

National Institutes of Health

CANCER CENTER SUPPORT GRANT REVIEW COMMITTEE

Meeting

Pursuant to Pub. L. 92-463, notice is hereby given of the meeting of the Cancer Center Support Grant Review Committee, National Cancer Institute, June 18-19, 1976, National Institutes of Health, Building 31B, Conference Room 5.

This meeting will be open to the public on June 18, 1976, from 8:30 a.m. to 10:00 a.m. to consider minutes of the last meeting, future meeting dates and other informational items. Attendance by the public will be limited to space available. In accordance with provisions set forth in Sections 552(b)(4), 552(b)(5) and 552(b)(6) of Title 5, U.S. Code and Section 10(d) of Pub. L. 92-463, the meeting will be closed to the public on June 18, from 10:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. and on June 19, from 8:30 a.m. to adjournment, for the review, discussion and evaluation of individual initial pending, supplemental, and renewal grant applications. The closed portion of the meeting involves solely the internal expression of views and judgments of committee members on individual grant applications containing detailed research protocols, designs, and other technical information; financial data, such as salaries; and personal information containing individuals associated with the applications.

Mrs. Marjorie F. Early, Committee Management Officer, National Cancer Institute, Building 31, Room 3A16, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland 20014 (301/496-5708) will provide summaries of the meeting and rosters of committee members.

Dr. Robert L. Manning, Executive Secretary, National Cancer Institute, Westwood Building, Room 803, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland 20014 (301/496-7721) will furnish substantive program information.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 13.314, National Institutes of Health.)

SUZANNE L. FREMEAU,
Committee Management Officer, National Institutes of Health.

MAY 14, 1976.

[FR Doc.76-14652 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

DIVISION OF RESEARCH GRANTS

Experimental Therapeutics Study Section; Amended Notice of Meeting

Notice is hereby given of a change in the meeting date of the Experimental Therapeutics Study Section, Division of Research Grants, which was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on April 29, 1976 (41 FR 17955).

The Experimental Therapeutics Study Section was to have convened at 8:30 a.m. on June 3-5, 1976, but has been changed to 2:00 p.m. until adjournment on June 2, 1976, at Kenwood Club, Bethesda, Maryland, the same location for which it was originally scheduled.

The meeting will be open to the public from 8:30 a.m. to 9:30 a.m. on June 3, 1976.

SUZANNE L. FREMEAU,
Committee Management Officer, National Institutes of Health.

MAY 14, 1976.

[FR Doc.76-14651 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

Office of Education

ADVISORY COUNCIL ON WOMEN'S EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS

Meeting

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to Public Law 92-463, that the next meeting of the Advisory Council on Women's Educational Programs will be held from 9:00 a.m. to 1:00 p.m. on June 7 and 9 and from 1:30 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. on June 8, 1976. The June 7 and 9 sessions will be held at the Sheraton Cadillac Hotel, 1114 Washington Boulevard, Detroit, Michigan. The June 8 session will be held at the David Miller Retirement Building, 8000 East Jefferson Street, Detroit, Michigan.

The meeting of the Council will be preceded by a meeting of the Council's Executive Committee on June 6, 1976, from 2:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m. at the Sheraton Cadillac Hotel. There will also be meetings of the Council's Federal Policy and Practices Committee, Legislation Committee and Program Committee on June 7 from 2:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m. at the Sheraton Cadillac Hotel.

The Advisory Council on Women's Educational Programs is established pursuant to Public Law 93-380 Section 408(f)(1). The Council is mandated to (a) advise the Commissioner with respect to general policy matters relating to the administration of the Women's Educational Equity Act of 1974; (b) advise and make recommendations to the Assistant Secretary concerning the improvement of educational equity for women; (c) make recommendations to the Commissioner with respect to the allocation of any funds pursuant to Section 408 of Public Law 93-380, including criteria developed to insure an appropriate distribution of approved programs and projects throughout the Nation; and (d) develop criteria for the establishment of program priorities.

The meetings of the Council and of the Committees will be open to the public. The agenda for the Council meeting will include (1) committee reports; (2) status of Council projects; (3) budget and administrative matters; (4) committee structure; (5) statements from community education and women's organizations; (6) future plans; and (7) election of officers.

The agenda for the Executive Committee meeting will include (1) staff reports; (2) legislation status; (3) fiscal reports; and (4) discussion of committee structure.

The agenda for the Federal Policy and Practices Committee meeting will include plans for a review of sex bias in HEW's Education Division offices and programs.

The agenda for the Legislation Committee meeting will include status reports on vocational education and higher education legislation and appropriations legislation as well as plans and strategies for pending legislation.

The agenda for the Program Committee meeting will include discussion of the scope and methods of evaluation of the projects funded under the Women's Educational Equity Act.

Records will be kept of all Council proceedings and will be available at the Council offices at Suite 821, 1832 M Street, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Signed at Washington, D.C. on May 17, 1976.

JOY R. SIMONSON,
Executive Director.

[FR Doc.76-14745 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Office of Interstate Land Sales Registration

[Docket No. N-76-537]

OAKWOOD, ET AL.

Hearing

In the matter of: OAKWOOD, RAMBLEWOOD, SHADOW WOOD, RAMBLEWOOD SOUTH, MAPLE WOOD—76-68-IS—OILSR No. 0-0710-09-164 & (A).

Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1706(d) and 24 CFR 1720.160(d).

Notice is hereby given that: 1. Oak Wood, Ramblewood, Shaw Wood, Ramblewood South, Maple Wood, J. P. Tarovella, President, and Florida National Properties, Inc. its officers and agents, hereinafter referred to as "Respondent" being subject to the provisions of the Interstate Land Sales Full Disclosure Act (Pub. Law 90-448) (15 U.S.C. 1701, *et seq.*) received a Notice of Proceedings and Opportunity for Hearing

issued March 15, 1976, which was sent to the developer pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1706(d), 24 CFR 1710.45(b)(1) and 1720.125 informing the developer of information obtained by the Office of Interstate Land Sales Registration alleging that the Statement of Record and Property Report for Florida National Properties, Inc., J. P. Tarovella, President and their agents contain untrue statements of material fact or omit to state material facts required to be stated therein or necessary to make the statements therein not misleading.

2. The Respondent filed an Answer received April 6, 1976, in response to the Notice of Proceedings and Opportunity for Hearing.

3. In said Answer the Respodnent requested a hearing on the allegations contained in the Notice of Proceedings and Opportunity for Hearing.

4. Therefore, pursuant to the provisions of 15 U.S.C. 1706(d) and 24 CFR 1720.160(d), it is hereby ordered That a public hearing for the purpose of taking evidence on the questions set forth in the Notice of Proceedings and Opportunity for Hearing will be held before Judge James W. Mast, in Room 7146, Department of HUD, 451 7th Street, SW., Washington, D.C., on June 21, 1976 at 10:00 a.m.

The following time and procedure is applicable to such hearing: All affidavits and a list of all witnesses are requested to be filed with the Hearing Clerk, HUD Building, Room 10150, Washington, D.C. 20410 on or before June 7, 1976.

6. The Respondent is hereby notified that failure to appear at the above scheduled hearing shall be deemed a default and the proceedings shall be determined against Respondent, the allegations of which shall be deemed to be true, and an order Suspending the Statement of Record, herein identified, shall be issued pursuant to 24 CFR 1710.45(b)(1).

This Notice shall be served upon the Respondent forthwith pursuant to 24 CFR 1720.440.

Dated: April 21, 1976.

By the Secretary.

JAMES W. MAST,
Administrative Law Judge, Department of Housing and Urban Development.

[FR Doc.14840 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. N-76-538]

VENICE ON THE LAKE

Hearing

In the Matter of: VENICE ON THE LAKE, Developer, Taneycomo Projects, Inc. OILSR No. 0-1115-29-28(B), Docket No. ED-76-7.

Notice is hereby given that:

1. Venice on the Lake, Taneycomo Projects, Inc., its officers and agents, hereinafter referred to as "Respondent", being subject to the provisions of the Interstate Land Sales Full Disclosure Act (Pub. L. 90-448) (15 U.S.C. 1701 *et seq.*,) received a Notice of Suspension dated April 8, 1976, which was sent to the developer pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1706(b) and 24 CFR 1720.45(a) informing the developer that its amended Statement of Record submitted for Venice on the Lake, Taneycomo Projects, Inc., located in Rockaway Beach, Missouri was not effective pursuant to the Act, and the regulations contained in 24 CFR Part 1710.

2. The Respondent filed an Answer dated April 28, 1976, in answer to the allegations of the Notice of Suspension dated April 8, 1976.

3. In said Answer the Respondent requested a hearing on the Suspension Order.

4. Therefore, pursuant to the provisions of 15 U.S.C. 1706(e) and 24 CFR 1720.165(b), It is hereby ordered, That a public hearing for the purpose of taking evidence on the propriety of the Suspension Order will be held before Judge James W. Mast, in Room 7146, Department of HUD, 451 7th Street, S.W., Washington, D.C., on May 20, 1976 at 10:00 a.m.

The following time and procedure is applicable to such hearing: All affidavits and a list of all witnesses are requested to be filed with the Hearing Clerk, HUD Building, Room 10150, Washington, D.C. 20410 on or before May 19, 1976.

6. The Respondent is hereby notified that failure to appear at the above scheduled hearing shall be deemed a default, and the proceedings shall be determined against Respondent, the allegations of which shall be deemed to be true, and the Suspension Order shall be continued in effect.

This Notice shall be served upon the Respondent forthwith pursuant to 24 CFR 1720.440.

Dated: May 13, 1976.

By the Secretary.

JAMES W. MAST,
Administrative Law Judge.

[FR Doc.76-14841 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. N-76-539]

TIKI ISLAND

Notice of Hearing

In the matter of: Tiki Island, Developer, Realty Income Trust, Providence, R.I., OILSR No. C-4477-49-715, Docket No. ED-76-8-IS.

(Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1706(b) and 24 CFR 1720.155(b)). Notice is hereby given that:

1. Tiki Island, Realty Income Trust, Providence, R.I., its officers and agents, hereinafter referred to as "Respondent", being subject to the provisions of the Interstate Land Sales Full Disclosure Act (Pub. L. 90-448) (15 U.S.C. 1701 et seq.) received a Notice of Suspension dated January 2, 1976, which was sent to the developer pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1706(b) and 24 CFR 1720.45(a) informing the developer that its amended Statement of Record submitted for Tiki Island and the Realty Income Trust of Providence, R.I. was not effective pursuant to the Act, and the regulations contained in 24 CFR Part 1710.

2. The Respondent filed an Answer dated April 28, 1976 in answer to the allegations of the Notice of Suspension dated January 2, 1976.

3. In said Answer the Respondent requested a hearing on the Suspension Order.

4. Therefore, pursuant to the provisions of 15 U.S.C. 1706(e) and 24 CFR 1720.165(b), It is hereby ordered, That a public hearing for the purpose of taking evidence on the propriety of the Suspension Order will be held before Judge James W. Mast, in Room 7146, Department of HUD, 451 7th Street, SW., Washington, D.C., on May 25, 1976 at 2:00 p.m.

The following time and procedure is applicable to such hearing: All affidavits and a list of all witnesses are requested to be filed with the Hearing Clerk, HUD Building, Room 10150, Washington, D.C., 20410 on or before May 20, 1976.

6. The Respondent is hereby notified that failure to appear at the above scheduled hearing shall be deemed a default, and the proceedings shall be determined against Respondent, the allegations of which shall be deemed to be true, and the Suspension Order shall be continued in effect.

This Notice shall be served upon the Respondent forthwith pursuant to 24 CFR 1720.440.

Dated: May 14, 1976.

By the Secretary.

JAMES W. MAST,
Administrative Law Judge.

[FR Doc.76-14842 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. N-76-540; 76-96-IS; OILSER No. O-4112-44-297]

POCONO HIGHLANDS ESTATES

Notice of Hearing

Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1706(d) and 24 CFR 1720.160(d) Notice is hereby given that:

1. Pocono Highland Estates, Pocono Highland Estates, Inc. and Robert B. Fishbein, President, Authorized Agents and officers, hereinafter referred to as "Respondent" being subject to the provisions of the Interstate Land Sales Full Disclosure Act (Pub. Law 90-448) (15 U.S.C. 1710, *et seq.*), received a Notice of Proceedings and Opportunity for Hearing isued April 19, 1976, which was sent to the developer pursuant to 15 U.S.C. 1706(d), 24 CFR 1710.45(b)(1) and 1720.125 informing the developer of information obtained by the Office of Interstate Land Sales Registration alleging that the Satement of Record and Property Report for Pocono Highland Estates, Inc., contain untrue statements of material fact or omit to state material facts required to be stated therein or necessary to make the statements therein not misleading.

2. The Respondent filed an Answer received May 11, 1976, in response to the

Notice of Proceedings and Opportunity for Hearing.

3. In said Answer the Respondent requested a hearing on the allegations contained in the Notice of Proceedings and Opportunity for Hearing.

4. Therefore, pursuant to the provisions of 15 U.S.C. 1706(d) and 24 CFR 1720.160(d), it is hereby ordered, That a public hearing for the purpose of taking evidence on the questions set forth in the Notice of Proceedings and Opportunity for Hearing will be held before Judge James W. Mast, in Room 7146, Department of HUD, 451 7th Street, S.W., Washington, D.C., on June 14, 1976 at 2:00 p.m.

The following time and procedure is applicable to such hearing: All affidavits and a list of all witnesses are requested to be filed with the Hearing Clerk, HUD Building, Room 10150, Washington, D.C. 20410 on or before June 1, 1976.

6. The Respondent is hereby notified that failure to appear at the above scheduled hearing shall be deemed a default and the proceedings shall be determined against Respondent, the allegations of which shall be deemed to be true, and an ORDER Suspending the Statement of Record, herein identified, shall be issued pursuant to 24 CFR 1710.45(b)(1).

This Notice shall be served upon the Respondent forthwith pursuant to 24 CFR 1720.440.

Dated: May 13, 1976.

By the Secretary.

JAMES W. MAST,
Administrative Law Judge.

[FR Doc.76-14843 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Notice of Meeting

Notice is hereby given that the Federal Aviation Administration Technical Advisory Committee will convene its first meeting on Monday, June 7, 1976, beginning at 8:30 a.m., in Conference Room 1010, at the FAA Headquarters building, 800 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, D.C. 20591.

The agenda for this meeting includes the following:

1. Chairman's Opening Remarks.
2. Administrator's Introductory Remarks.
3. Briefing to Committee Members on FAA Mission, Responsibilities, and Organization.
4. Briefing to Committee Members on FAA Current and Future Operating Problems.
5. Briefing to Committee Members on FAA Research and Development Organization and Facilities.
6. Briefing to Committee Members on FAA Top "8" Ongoing Research and Development Projects.

Each of the agenda items will include time for questions or discussion. Requests for additional information should be made to the FAA Executive Director for the Technical Advisory Committee. This meeting is open to the public.

Issued in Washington, D.C. on May 17, 1976.

THOMAS M. JOHNSTON,
Executive Director,
Technical Advisory Committee.

[FR Doc.76-14833 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. 69-19; Notice 13]

FORD MOTOR CO.

Denial of Petition for Reconsideration

This notice denies the petition by Ford Motor Company for reconsideration of certain amendments to Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard No. 108 clarifying specifications for Type 1A and Type 2A rectangular headlamps.

Figure 2 of 49 CFR 571.108, Motor Vehicle Safety Standard No. 108, Lamps, Reflective Devices, and Associated Equipment, was revised on December 23, 1975 (Docket No. 69-19; Notice 10, 40 FR 59349) to, among other things, slightly change the tolerances of the overall width and height of Type 1A and Type 2A rectangular headlamps, and to provide a different method of dimensioning the locating lug. Specifically, the headlamp tolerances which had been 6.58+.00/−.10 inches by 4.20+.00/−.10 inches were changed to 6.58+.03/−.17 inches by 4.20+.03/−.17 inches. The maximum width of the locating lug was set at .495 inch. The intent of the amendments was to relieve dimensional tolerances that the agency had concluded were unnecessarily restrictive.

Ford, however, petitioned for reconsideration of the changes, arguing that they affected interchangeability of headlamps, that a headlamp whose size was the maximum (6.61 inches and 4.23 inches) could interfere with the minimum dimension of the headlamp retaining ring as defined by SAE J571d. Petitioner saw the potential problem "that lamps, produced as replacement parts, while still meeting Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standard 108 requirements, may be impossible in the extreme of tolerance stack-up to assemble with the retaining rings." It asked that the headlamp dimensions be changed to 6.56+.03/−.12 and 4.18+.03/−.12 respectively. It also argued that the maximum locating lug width (.495 inch) is larger than the minimum seating surface of the mounting ring (.465 inch), with the potential of possible lug fracture and consequent lamp misalignment. It asked that Figure 2 be further revised "to reflect the dimensions of and methods for detailing the locating lugs as shown in Figure 6 of J571d."

The National Highway Traffic Safety Administration has decided to deny Ford's petition for the following reasons. Standard No. 108 does not incorporate SAE J571d, and thus a manufacturer may use such retaining ring dimensions as it chooses, even if they do not meet SAE requirements. This fact obviates the need for a further amendment. Since retaining ring dies are usually less expensive and more easily changed than glass molds, and tolerances on metal products are more easily maintained than tolerances on glass products, the more cost-effective method of confronting the problem (which the agency believes is minimal in any event) is through changes to the retaining ring. With respect to the dimensioning of lamp locating lugs, the agency is amenable to further consideration but deems it premature. The agency understands that the SAE is currently revising SAE J571d, and prefers to await completion of SAE action before deciding whether to initiate rulemaking. Ford's petition is therefore denied.

(Secs. 103, 119, Pub. L. 89-503, 80 Stat. 718 (15 U.S.C. 1392, 1401); delegations of authority at 49 CFR 1.50 and 501.8.)

Issued on May 13, 1976.

ROBERT L. CARTER,
Associate Administrator,
Motor Vehicle Programs.

[FR Doc.76-14457 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

[Docket 27813; Agreement C.A.B. 25607 R-1 through R-81; Order 76-4-145]

INTERNATIONAL AIR TRANSPORT ASSOCIATION

Western Hemisphere Passenger Fares

Correction

In FR Doc. 76-12676, appearing at page 18133 of the issue of Friday, April 30, 1976, the following changes should be made:

1. The Docket number should appear as above.

2. On page 18136, in the paragraph designated as number "2.", the third line should read as follows: "and 3 above be and hereby are approved".

[Docket 27573, Agreement C.A.B. 25815; Order 76-5-11 [1]]

INTERNATIONAL AIR TRANSPORT ASSOCIATION

Agreement Relating Specific Commodity Rates; Correction

Issued under delegated authority May 5, 1976.

Corrected to reflect proper Specific Commodity Item No. 2206.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board:

Dated: May 12, 1976.

PHYLLIS T. KAYLOR,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-14748 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

[1] Published at 41 FR (19754) 5-13-76.

[Docket 27573, Agreement C.A.B. 25807, R-1 through R-8; Order 76-5-38]

INTERNATIONAL AIR TRANSPORT ASSOCIATION

South Pacific Cargo Rates

Issued under delegated authority May 12, 1976.

An agreement has been filed with the Board pursuant to section 412(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (the Act) and Part 261 of the Board's Economic Regulations between various air carriers, foreign air carriers, and other carriers embodied in the resolutions of the Joint Traffic Conferences of the International Air Transport Association (IATA). The agreement, adopted at a JT31 South Pacific Cargo Conference held in Geneva during April 1976, would establish cargo rates over the South Pacific for effect July 1, 1976 through September 30, 1977.

The agreement, which supersedes a 3 percent fuel increase recently disapproved,[1] would increase minimum charges by $4 to a level of $20 for any consignment moving between west coast/Alaskan/Hawaiian points and South Pacific points and by $5 to a level of $26 for shipments moving to/from all other Western Hemisphere points over the South Pacific. General commodity rates to/from Hawaii would be de-specified and instead constructed by means of specified deductions from the Los Angeles general rates. Southbound (from the U.S.) general commodity rates would increase approximately 5 percent while northbound general rates would increase in amounts ranging from 13-14 percent. New charges for type 2, 2-A, and 2-D containers are proposed between Sydney/Auckland and U.S. west coast points and existing container rates would increase by amounts ranging from approximately 3 to 11 percent at the pivot weight while over-pivot rates would increase approximately 11 percent. Finally, with certain exceptions, northbound specific commodity rates would increase by amounts ranging from 13 to 19 percent while southbound commodity rates would increase approximately 10 percent.

The purpose of this order is to establish dates for the submission of carrier justification in support of the agreement and comments from interested persons or parties. The carrier justification should be set out in a tabular format, as suggested in Order 75-7-88 (July 17, 1975), *i.e.*, historical traffic and financial data as reported to the Board in Form 41 reports by functional account for total transpacific services for the year ended March 31, 1976.

The data should exclude operations in market areas not covered by the agreement (*e.g.* North/Central and intra-Pacific) and all scheduled passenger and charter operations pertaining to the South Pacific market so as to establish the present status of cargo services in the market area covered by the agreement. The carrier justification will also be expected to include a forecast for the year ending June 30, 1977 showing both traffic and financial results under present rates and under the increased rates for which approval is sought. We note there is a capacity agreement between Pan American and Qantas presently pending in Dockets 26057 and 26075, and Pan American should indicate whether or not its forecast submitted herein takes into account the anticipated results of that agreement. Finally, we expect the justification to allocate costs between the passenger and cargo compartments on scheduled passenger aircraft by the "space method" stipulated by the Board in its April 2, 1970 decision in Docket 18381, *Nonpriority Mail Rates*, (Orders 70-4-9 and 70-4-10).[2]

Accordingly, *It is ordered*, That:

1. All United States air carrier members of the International Air Transport Association providing service within the affected area shall file wthin 15 calendar days after the date of service of this order, full documentation and economic justification for the fares and related conditions embodied in the subject agreement;

2. Comments and objections from interested persons and parties shall be submitted within 15 calendar days after the date of service of this order;

3. Replies to submissions received in response to ordering paragraph 1 above and replies to comments received pursuant to ordering paragraph 2 above shall be submitted within 25 calendar days after the date of service of this order; and

4. Insofar as air transportation as defined by the Act is concerned, tariffs implementing the subject agreement shall not be filed in advance of Board approval of the subject agreement.

This order will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

PHYLLIS T. KAYLOR,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-14749 File 5-19-76;8:45 am]

[1] Order 76-5-28 (May 10, 1976).

[2] In furnishing the data requested, the carrier should provide complete explanatory notes and supporting detail including statistical data to describe the methods used in making the allocations.

[Docket 27573, Agreement C.A.B. 25839; Order 76-5-48]

INTERNATIONAL AIR TRANSPORT ASSOCIATION

Specific Commodity Rates

Issued under delegated authority May 13, 1976.

An agreement has been filed with the Board pursuant to section 412(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (the Act) and Part 261 of the Board's Economic Regulations between various air carriers, foreign air carriers, and other carriers, embodied in the resolutions of Traffic Conference 3 of the International Air Transport Association (IATA). The agreement was adopted at the 15th Meeting of the TC3 Specific Commodity Rates Board held in Geneva on March 31, 1976.

The agreement would establish one new specific commodity description and change the area of application on five descriptions as shown in the attachment and establish specific commodity rates for several items to apply between various world markets outside of air transportation. We will approve the new descriptions which have general application within air transportation as defined by the Act but will disclaim jurisdiction with respect to the new rates which involve points solely outside of air transportation.

Pursuant to authority duly delegated by the Board in the Board's Regulations, 14 CFR 385.14:

1. It is not found that the new specific commodity descriptions incorporated in Agreement C.A.B. 25839, which have general application in air transportation as defined by the Act, are adverse to the public interest or in violation of the Act.

2. It is not found that the specific commodity rates incorporated in Agreement C.A.B. 25839 which involve transportation solely between foreign points affect air transportation within the meaning of the Act.

Accordingly, *it is ordered*, That:

1. Those portions of Agreement C.A.B. 25839 set forth in the Attachment which have general application in air transportation as defined by the Act, be and hereby are approved; and

2. Jurisdiction be and hereby is disclaimed with respect to that portion of Agreement C.A.B. 25839 described in finding paragraph 2 above.

Persons entitled to petition the Board for review of this order, pursuant to the Board's Regulations, 14 CFR 385.50, may file such petitions within ten days after the date of service of this order.

This order shall be effective and become the action of the Civil Aeronautics Board upon expiration of the above period, unless within such period a petition for review thereof is filed or the Board gives notice that it will review this order on its own motion.

This order will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

PHYLLIS T. KAYLOR,
Acting Secretary.

AGREEMENT C.A.B. 25839

Item No.	*Description*
0230	Eggs [1]
0356	Fish, Crabs and/or Shrimps [1]
2201	Clothing and Wearing Apparel [1]
7025	Cigarette Papers, Filters, Tips and Packaging Material Appertaining Thereto [2]
8421	Unexposed Films [1]
9906	Picture Hanging Kits and/or Picture Hooks [1]

[1] Area of application changed.
[2] New description.

[FR Doc.76-14750 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

[Docket 27813, Agreement C.A.B. 25734, R-7, R-11 through R-13, R-17 through R-28, R-30; Order 76-5-61]

INTERNATIONAL AIR TRANSPORT ASSOCIATION

North Atlantic Passenger Fares to/from Europe and the Middle East

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C. on the 14th day of May, 1976.

By Order 76-4-175, April 30, 1976, the Board acted on an agreement among the carrier members of the International Air Transport Association (IATA) to establish North Atlantic passenger fares from May 1 through October 31, 1976. In that order the Board, *inter alia*, disapproved all fare increases proposed between Florida points, on the one hand, and London, on the other hand, on the basis that National Airlines, Inc. (National) would experience adequate earnings on that route under existing fares and did not require an increase.[1] National, whose only international route is Miami-London, has petitioned the Board for reconsideration of its decision.

In support of its petition, National submits that the Board's reliance on the capacity agreement with British Airways as determinative of National's load factor for the year ending April 30, 1977 was erroneous because the capacity agreement will not be effective for that entire period inasmuch as the Board itself approved the agreement only through October 31, 1976; and that in light of the alleged difficulty involved in extending the capacity agreement beyond October 31, National will not achieve anything like a 68.6 percent load factor during the entire forecast year. National alleges further that without the load-factor adjustment it would achieve only an 11.52 percent ROI under proposed fares which is not in excess of the Board's guidelines; that it is recovering from a protracted strike which has adversely affected its traffic growth and it has already failed to meet its target traffic levels for March and April 1976, the first two months of the capacity agreement; and that if the Board does not approve increased Miami-London fares, several fare construction difficulties will arise with respect to fares to other points. Finally, the carrier asserts that unless the Board acts favorably upon its petition, National must prepare refunds for virtually every international passenger, an extremely burdensome process which is confusing to the passenger and an unnecessary hardship on the carriers, and which is very poor customer relations.[2]

After careful review of the petition, the Board has concluded that National has not presented facts sufficient to warrant a reversal of the Board's findings in Order 76-4-175 and the petition will, therefore, be denied.

While it is true that the Board approved the National-British Airways capacity limitation agreement only through October 31, 1976, that agreement was proposed to run through April 30, 1977 and National's forecast of traffic and capacity in that proceeding covered the entire period from January 15, 1976 through April 30, 1977. Since the 68.6 percent average load-factor forecast for that period includes the winter season, when traffic is usually depressed, for ten out of the total of 16½ months, National must have anticipated a substantially higher load factor during the peak-season period immediately ahead, *i.e.*, through October 31, 1976, when the capacity agreement, as approved, will actually be in effect. This peak travel period corresponds exactly with the duration of the North Atlantic fares package as adopted by IATA and approved, in general, by the Board.

The Board estabilshed a forecast period of the 12 months ending April 30, 1977 for the U.S. carriers' economic justifications in support of the IATA agreement in order to evaluate the impact of the proposed fares on an annualized basis which is the only appropriate basis for assessing the financial results of a fares agreement, notwithstanding its effectiveness for a fractional year period. National has presented nothing which would indicate that the 68.6 percent load factor utilized by the Board for this analysis, and which represented National's own best estimate in connection with the capacity agreement for the Miami-London market, is an unreasonable annualization of load factor under conditions which will prevail during the effectiveness of the agreement. In particular National has not, in our judgment, shown that because of the recent strike it will be unable to achieve the load factors forecast in connection with the capacity agreement. The strike began September 1, 1975, yet the agreement was filed December 4, 1975, affording the carrier ample time to consider the impact of the strike in preparing its submissions to the Board.[3]

Moreover, even evaluating the results of the agreement on a six-month basis through October 31, 1976, as National appears to suggest, it would appear that National's load factor (and earnings) for the six months would probably be even higher than the 68.6 percent (and 12.8 percent ROI) shown in Order 76-4-175 for the entire year, since the six-month period corresponds to the peak season in this market.[4]

Finally, we disagree with National's other assertions that denial of its petition will create severe fare construction problems, or that issuance of refunds represents "very poor customer relations." The Board disapproved all Florida-London fare increases in last year's IATA agreement,[5] and the carriers do not seem to have experienced extraordinary difficulties implementing that decision. As for refunds, carriers are well aware that passengers must be informed that any fares sold at proposed IATA agreement levels before Board action are "subject to government approval," and that they may be entitled to a refund in the event fare increases are disapproved. We fail to perceive how the return of money to passengers, under these circumstances, can constitute poor customer relations.

Accordingly, *it is ordered*, That:

The petition of National Airlines, Inc. for reconsideration of Order 76-4-175 be and hereby is denied.

This order will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board:

PHYLLIS T. KAYLOR,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-14751 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 29278]

STAFF REPORT ON SERVICE TO SMALL COMMUNITIES

Request for Comments

MAY 18, 1976.

The Civil Aeronautics Board announced today that it is publishing for public comment the Staff Task Force Report on Service to Small Communities. In issuing the Report, which lists four optional approaches to the problem, the Board determined that interested persons, including members of the general public, should have an opportunity to comment on the Report before the Board reached a final decision.

Interested persons may participate by submitting twenty (20) copies of written data, views, or arguments pertaining thereto addressed to the Docket Section,

[1] Specifically, the Board noted that while National forecast a 10.21 percent return on investment (ROI) under present fares at a 59.06 percent load factor for the year ending April 30, 1977, the carrier had earlier forecast a 68.6 percent load factor in connection with a National-British Airways capacity limitation agreement approved by the Board in Order 76-2-60, February 17, 1976. Adjusting National's financial results to reflect the 68.6 percent load factor indicated that it would achieve an ROI of over 12.8 percent under present fares, and consequently no fare increase was required.

[2] National also contends that the cost levels in its justification are understated since they do not reflect inflationary pressures. We reject this argument out of hand. The Board relied on National's costs as presented in its justification, and National has presented no new cost data here to support any reassessment of its operating expenses.

[3] While National contends that it has failed to achieve its March/April traffic targets, it has provided no data in this connection.

[4] The Board has by Order 76-5-55 approved an amendment to the capacity agreement allowing National to sell 23 additional seats on each Miami-London flight during the upcoming peak season, as a result of the reconfiguration of its DC-10-30 aircraft from 8 to 9 abreast. The availability of additional seats during the peak summer travel season would further enhance National's profitability since the reconfiguration costs per seat are negligible and in any event do not apply to operating costs.

[5] See Order 75-3-101, March 27, 1975.

Civil Aeronautics Board, Washington, D.C. 20428. All relevant material received on or before June 18, 1976, will be considered by the Board. Copies of such communications will be available for examination by interested persons in the Docket Section, Room 711, Universal Building, 1825 Connecticut Ave., N.W., Washington, D.C.

Individual members of the general public who wish to express their views may do so through submission of comments in letter form to the Docket Section at the above indicated address, without the necessity of filing additional copies.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.

[SEAL] PHYLLIS T. KAYLOR,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-14959 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

KENTUCKY

Hearing

Correction

In FR Doc. 76-14079 appearing at page 20009 in the FEDERAL REGISTER of Friday, May 14, 1976 the heading should have appeared as shown above.

MICHIGAN ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Meeting Change

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the Rules and Regulations of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, that the meeting of the Michigan Advisory Committee (SAC) of the Commission published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on May 6, 1976, on page 18705 (FR Doc. 76-13128) is hereby amended to convene at 2:30 p.m. and recess at 5:30 p.m. on May 23, 1976 at the Ojibway Motor Hotel, 240 W. Portage (Room to be posted) and will reconvene at 7:00 p.m. and recess at 10:00 p.m. the same day at the St. Issac Jogues Parish Hall, 1529 Marquette Road, all locations in Sault Ste. Marie, Michigan 49783. The meeting originally announced for 7:00 p.m. to 10:00 p.m. on May 24, 1976, is hereby cancelled. All other meetings for May 24 and 25, 1976, will be held as originally announced. The agenda of this amended schedule of meetings remain the same as announced originally.

Persons wishing to attend this meeting should contact the Committee Chairperson, or the Midwestern Regional Office of the Commission, 230 South Dearborn St., Room 3251, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

This meeting will be conducted pursuant to the Rules and Regulations of the Commission.

Dated at Washington, D.C., May 17, 1976.

ISAIAH T. CRESWELL, Jr.,
Advisory Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc.76-14709 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

COMMUNITY SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

PRIVACY ACT OF 1974

Notice of Additional Systems of Records

Correction

In FR Doc. 76-13415, appearing at page 19098 in the Friday, May 7, 1976 issue of the FEDERAL REGISTER, change the fifth heading under CSA-12 by substituting "Authority for maintenance of the system" for "Security classification".

ALAN O. MANN,
Privacy Act Officer.

[FR Doc.76-14711 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL 545-7]

DISCHARGES OF POLLUTANTS INTO NAVIGABLE WATERS: VIRGIN ISLANDS

Public Hearings and Requests for State Program Approval

Public Hearings to consider the request of the Territory of the Virgin Islands for Program Approval to participate in the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("NPDES") permit program for the control and abatement of discharges into waters of the Territory in compliance with the 1972 Amendments of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, 33 U.S.C. §§ 1250-1376 ("the Act") will be held on June 21, 22, and 23, 1976, at 7:30 p.m., at the following addresses:

June 21, 1976—Julius Sprauve Public School Auditorium, St. John

June 22, 1976—Lawrence Williams Public Library, King Street, Christiansted, St. Croix

June 23, 1976—Legislature Building, Conference Room, Charlotte Amalie, St. Thomas

Section 402(b) of the Act provides that the Governor of a State (or Territory) desiring to administer the NPDES permit program to control discharges into navigable waters within its jurisdiction may submit to the administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") a full and complete description of the program it intends to administer, including a statement from the State Attorney General that the laws of the State provide adequate authority to carry out the described program. The Administrator is required to approve each such submitted program unless the program does not meet the requirements of section 402(b) and EPA's Guidelines. To administer the NPDES program, the State must have, among others: (1) the authority to issue permits which comply with all pertinent requirements of the Act, (2) the authority, including civil and criminal penalties, to abate violations of permits or the permit program, and (3) authority which will ensure that the Administrator, the public, and any affected States and agencies are given notice of and opportunity for a public hearing with regard to each permit application. Also, the State must have and commit itself to use manpower and resources sufficient to act on all outstanding permit applications in a timely manner and consistent with the period prescribed by the Act. EPA's Guidelines establishing "State Program Elements Necessary for Participation in the NPDES" were published in Volume 37 of the FEDERAL REGISTER, December 22, 1972, and appear at 40 CFR Part 124.

The Virgin Islands has submitted a full and complete Request for State Program Approval and proposes that the Department of Conservation and Cultural Affairs ("the Department"), Box 278, Charlotte Amalie, St. Thomas, Virgin Islands 00801, (809) 774-3320, operate the NPDES permit program for discharges into the navigable waters within the jurisdiction of the Virgin Islands. Virdon C. Brown is the Commissioner of the Department.

All interested persons wishing to attend, to comment upon, or to support or object to this Territory request are invited to attend the public hearings.

A three-member panel will preside over the hearings. The panel will consist of the Administrator of EPA or his representative, who will serve as the Presiding Officer, the Commissioner of the Department or his representative, and the Regional Administrator of EPA—Region II or his representative.

Oral statements will be heard and considered, but, for accuracy of the record, all testimony should be submitted in writing. Statements should summarize extensive written materials so there will be time for all interested parties to be heard. Persons are encouraged to bring extra copies of their written statements for the use of the hearing panel and other interested persons.

The Presiding Officer may, at his discretion, exclude oral testimony if it is overly repetitious of previous testimony heard or if it is not relevant to the decision to approve or require revision to the State program as submitted. The hearing record will be left open for a period of five (5) days following the hearings to allow any person to submit additional written statements or to present views or evidence tending to rebut testimony presented during these hearings.

All interested persons may also comment upon the Territory submission by writing to the EPA—Region II Office, 26 Federal Plaza, New York, New York 10007. Such comments will be made available to the public for inspection and copying. All comments or objections received by June 28, 1976, or presented at the public hearings, will be considered by EPA before taking final action on the Virgin Islands Request for State Program Approval.

The Program submission, related documents, and all comments received are on file and may be inspected and copied (at $.20 per page) at the EPA—Region II Office in New York, New York. The Territory's submission and related documents

are also on file and may be inspected at the Department's Central Office on Blackbeard's Hill.

Copies of this notice are available upon request from the Public Affairs Division of EPA—Region II, (212) 264–2515.

Please bring the foregoing to the attention of persons you know would be interested in this matter.

Dated: May 13, 1976.

STANLEY W. LEGRO,
Assistant Administrator for Enforcement.

[FR Doc.76–14645 Filed 5–19–76;8:45 am]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[FCC 76–441]

AMATEUR RADIO SERVICE

Experimental Program for the Licensing of Novice Class Operators

MAY 14, 1976.

As part of its continuing interest in providing more rapid and efficient licensing of applicants in the Amateur Radio Service, the Commission is implementing, on a limited trial basis, an experimental method of examining Novice Class amateur radio operators.

During the experimental program the Commission will relax the Rules in some instances to license as Novices those applicants successfully completing Commission-approved training courses covering examination Element 1A, beginner's telegraphy, and Element 2, basic law and amateur practice.

Novice Class amateur radio operator training courses will be approved during the experimental period only if they are both comprehensive, covering at a minimum all material included in the Commission's recently released study guide for the Novice Class license, and are offered by qualified organizations or educational institutions possessing the resources necessary to carry through with a program of this nature. The Commission staff will closely monitor any training programs approved to ensure the qualifications established by the Commission for the licensing of Novice Class amateur radio operators are met.

It is emphasized that this is an experimental program only. Applicants not participating in the experiment will be required to obtain Novice Class licenses under the existing provisions of Part 97 of the Commission's Rules.

Authority for the experimental licensing program described above is contained in Sections 4, 301, 303, and 318 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.

Further information concerning the experimental licensing program may be obtained from the Federal Communications Commission, Amateur and Citizens Division, Washington, D.C. 20554.

Action by the Commission May 12, 1976. Commissioners Wiley (Chairman), Lee, Hooks, Quello, Washburn and Robinson.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,
VINCENT J. MULLINS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.76–14728 Filed 5–19–76;8:45 am]

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION

FARMERS BANK OF THE STATE OF DELAWARE

Dover, Delaware

Suspension of Trading

It appearing to the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation that an extension of the suspension of trading in the common stock of Farmers Bank of the State of Delaware being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange, ordered by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation on March 8, 1975, is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors;

Therefore, pursuant to Sections 12(i) and 12(k) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the suspension of trading in such securities otherwise than on a national securities exchange is extended for the period beginning at 9:00 a.m. (d.s.t.) on May 17, 1976 through May 26, 1976.

By order of the Board of Directors, May 14, 1976.

FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE CORPORATION,
ALAN R. MILLER,
Executive Secretary.

[FR Doc.76–14654 Filed 5–19–76;8:45 am]

FEDERAL ENERGY ADMINISTRATION

OFFICE OF EXCEPTIONS AND APPEALS

Cases Filed During Week of April 30 Through May 7, 1976

Notice is hereby given that during the week of April 30 through May 7, 1976 the appeals and applications for exception or other relief listed in the Appendix to this notice were filed with the Federal Energy Administration's Office of Exceptions and Appeals.

Under the FEA's procedural regulations, 10 CFR, Part 205, any person who will be aggrieved by the FEA action sought in such cases may file with the FEA written comments on the application within ten days of service of notice, as prescribed in the procedural regulations. For purposes of those regulations the date of service of notice shall be deemed to be the date of publication of this notice or the date of receipt by an aggrieved person of actual notice, whichever occurs first.

Dated: May 14, 1976.

MICHAEL F. BUTLER,
General Counsel.

APPENDIX.—*List of cases received by the Office of Exceptions and Appeals, Apr. 30 to May 7, 1976*

Date	Name and location of applicant	Case No.	Type of submission
Apr. 30, 1976	Sumrall Oil Services, Inc., Bay Springs, Miss. (If granted: FEA's Mar. 31, 1976, decision and order would be rescinded and Sumrall Oil Service would be assigned a new supplier of motor gasoline to replace its base period supplier, Good Hope Refineries, Inc.)	FEA-0819	Appeal of FEA's exception decision and order in Sumrall Oil Service, Inc., 3 FEA par. 83,152 (Mar. 31, 1976).
Do	Whaleco Fuel Corp., Brooklyn, N.Y. (If granted: Whaleco Fuel Corp. would be permitted to import finished petroleum products on a license-fee-free basis for the period May 1, 1976, and Apr. 30, 1977.)	FPI-0096	Exception to the base fee requirements.
May 3, 1976	Delta Refining Co., Memphis, Tenn. (If granted: Delta Refining Co. would receive an exception from the old oil entitlements program.)	FEE-2437	Exception from the old oil entitlements program.
Do	Empire Gas Corp., Milwaukee, Wis. (If granted: FEA's Mar. 26, 1976, information request denial would be rescinded.)	FEA-0820	Appeal of FEA's information request denial.
Do	Fletcher Oil & Refining Co., Wilmington, Calif. (If granted: Fletcher Oil & Refining Co. would receive an exception from the old oil entitlements program.)	FEE-2432	Exception from the old oil entitlements program.
Do	Husky Oil Co., Denver, Colo. (If granted: Husky Oil Co. would receive an exception from the old oil entitlements program.	FEE-2442	Do.
Do	McCulloch Gas Processing Corp. (Belle Fourche), Washington, D.C. (If granted: McCulloch Gas Processing Corp. would receive an extension of the price relief granted in FEA's Feb. 27, 1976, decision and order.)	FEE-2449	Extension of FEA's price relief in McCulloch Gas Processing Corp., 3 FEA par. 83,113 (Feb. 27, 1976).
Do	McCulloch Gas Processing Corp. (Fairview), Washington, D.C. (If granted: McCulloch Gas Processing Corp. would receive an extension of the price relief granted in FEA's Feb. 27, 1976, decision and order.)	FEE-2450	Do.
Do	McCulloch Gas Processing Corp. (Gillette), Washington, D.C. (If granted: McCulloch Gas Processing Corp. would receive an extension of the price relief granted in FEA's Feb. 27, 1976, decision and order.)	FEE-2446	Do.
Do	McCulloch Gas Processing Corp. (Hilight), Washington, D.C. (If granted: McCulloch Gas Processing Corp. would receive an extension of the price relief granted in FEA's Feb. 27, 1976, decision and order.)	FEE-2445	Do.
Do	McCulloch Gas Processing Corp. (Jamison Prong), Washington, D.C. (If granted: McCulloch Gas Processing Corp. would receive an extension of the price relief granted in FEA's Feb. 27, 1976, decision and order.)	FEE-2448	Do.
Do	McCulloch Gas Processing Corp. (Oedekoven), Washington, D.C. (If granted: McCulloch Gas Processing Corp. would receive an extension of the price relief granted in FEA's Feb. 27, 1976, decision and order.)	FEE-2447	Do.
Do	McCulloch Gas Processing Corp. (Well Draw), Washington, D.C. (If granted: McCulloch Gas Processing Corp., would receive an extension of the price relief granted in FEA's Feb. 27, 1976, decision and order.)	FEE-2451	Do.
Do	Mohawk Petroleum Corp., Inc., Los Angeles, Calif. (If granted: Mohawk Petroleum Corp. would receive an exception from the old oil entitlements program.)	FEE-2433	Exception from the old oil entitlements program.
Do	Navajo Refining Co., Washington, D.C. (If granted: Navajo Refining Co. would receive an exception from the old oil entitlements program.)	FEE-2440	Do.
Do	North American Petroleum Corp., Abilene, Tex. (If granted: North American Petroleum Corp. would receive an exception from the old oil entitlements program.)	FEE-2436	Do.
Do	Pasco, Inc., Washington, D.C. (If granted: Pasco, Inc., would receive an exception from the oil oil entitlements program.)	FEE-2441	Do.
Do	Powerine Oil Co., Washington, D.C. (If granted: Powerine Oil Co. would receive an exception from the old oil entitlements program.)	FEE-2435	Do.
Do	Rock Island Refining Corp., Indianapolis, Ind. (If granted: Rock Island Refining Corp. would receive an exception from the old oil entitlements program.)	FEE-2431	Do.
Do	Southland Oil Co., Jackson, Miss. (If granted: Southland Oil Co. would receive an exception from the old oil entitlements program.)	FEE-2438	Do.
Do	Thagard Oil Co. (If granted: Thagard Oil Co. would receive an exception from the old oil entitlements program.)	FEE-2434	Do.
Do	Warrior Asphalt Refining Co. of Alabama, Inc., Tuscaloosa, Ala. (If granted: Warrior Asphalt Refining Co. of Alabama would receive an exception from the old oil entitlements program.)	FEE-2439	Do.
May 4, 1976	Ashland Oil Co., San Francisco, Calif. (If granted: A supplemental order would be issued to Ashland Oil Co.)	FEX-0041	Supplemental order in Ashland Oil Co., 3 FEA par. ——— (Apr. 30 1976).
Do	Belridge Oil Co., Los Angeles, Calif. (If granted: Belridge Oil Co. would be permitted to increase its prices for natural gas liquid products to reflect nonproduct cost increases in excess of $0.005/gal.)	FEE-2444	Price exception (sec. 212.165).
Do	Sunland Refining Co., Los Angeles, Calif. (If granted: Sunland Refining Corp. would receive an exception from the old oil entitlements program.)	FEE-2456	Exception from the old oil entitlements program.
Do	Union Oil Co. of California, Los Angeles, Calif. (If granted: FEA's Apr. 2, 1976, decision and order would be rescinded and Union Oil Co. would be permitted to increase its selling prices for JP-4 aviation fuel.)	FEA-0821	Appeal of FEA's exception decision and order, Union Oil Co. of California, 3 FEA par. 83,155 (Apr. 2, 1976).
Do	William H. Player & Associates, Natchez, Miss. (If granted: William H. Player & Associates would receive an extension of the exception relief from the crude oil pricing regulations granted in FEA's Apr. 9, 1976, decision and order.)	FEE-2443	William H. Player & Associates, 3 FEA par. 83,161 (Apr. 9, 1976).
May 5, 1976	General Crude Oil Co., Houston, Tex. (If granted: Crude oil produced from the Banning lease of the Newport Field, Orange County, Calif., would be sold at upper-tier ceiling prices.)	FEE-2453	Price exception (sec. 212.74).

Date	Name and location of applicant	Case No.	Type of submission
Do.	Midland Cooperatives, Inc., Cushing, Okla. (If granted: Midland Cooperatives, Inc., would receive an exception from the old oil entitlements program.)	FEE-2454	Exception from the old oil entitlements program.
Do.	Port Arthur Towing Co. and Palmer Midstream Service, Inc. (If granted: FEA's Apr. 23, 1976, denial of a motion to quash a subpena issued to the firms on Apr. 2, 1976, as modified, would be rescinded.)	FEA-0823	Appeal of denial of motion to quash or modify subpena.
Do.	T. J. Fannon & Sons, Inc., Alexandria, Va. (If granted: FEA region III's Mar. 24, 1976, decision and order would be rescinded and T. J. Fannon & Sons, Inc., would receive an exception from the provisions of 10 CFR 212.93(a).)	FEA-0824	Appeal of FEA region III decision and order.
Do.	Utopia Oil Industries, Inc., Richland, Wash. (If granted: FEA's Mar. 31, 1976, decision and order would be rescinded and Utopia Oil Industries would be assigned a new, lower priced supplier of motor gasoline.)	FEA-0822	Appeal of FEA's exception decision and order Utopia Oil Industries, Inc., 3 FEA par. 83,156 (Mar. 31, 1976).
May 6, 1976	Beacon Gasoline Co., Washington, D.C. (If granted: Beacon Gasoline Co. would receive an extension of the price relief granted in FEA's Feb. 17, 1976, decision and order.)	FEE-2459	Extension of FEA's price relief Beacon Gasoline Co., 3 FEA par. 83,107 (Feb. 17, 1976).
Do.	Edgington Oil Co., Long Beach, Calif. (If granted: Edgington Oil Co. would receive an exception from the old oil entitlements program.)	FEE-2457	Exceptions from the old oil entitlements program.
Do.	Kern County Refinery, Inc., Jacksonville, Fla. (If granted: Kern County Refinery, Inc., would receive an exception from the old oil entitlements program.)	FEE-2454	Do.
Do.	Little America Refining Co., Evansville, Wyo. (If granted: Little America Refining Co. would receive an exception from the old oil entitlements programs.	FEE-2455	Do.
Do.	Mid-America Refining Co., Inc. (If granted: Mid-America Refining Co., Inc., would be permitted to increase its selling prices for No. 2 diesel fuel above the maximum level permitted under 10 CFR 212.82.)	FEE-2458	Price exception (sec. 212.82)
Do.	Mitchell, M. J., Dallas, Tex. (If granted: Crude oil produced from the Mitchell State Minnelusa sand unit would be sold at upper-tier ceiling prices.)	FEE-2460	Price exception (sec. 212.74)
Do.	Pacific Northern Oil Corp., Seattle, Wash. (If granted: Pacific Northern Oil Corp. would be permitted to import finished petroleum products on a license-fee-free basis.)	FPI-0097	Exception to the base-fee requirements.

[FR Doc.76-14677 Filed 5-17-76;11:45 am]

REFINERS CRUDE OIL ALLOCATION PROGRAM

Allocation Quarter of June 1, 1976 to August 31, 1976

The notice specified in § 211.65(e) of the refiners' crude oil allocation program is hereby published for the allocation quarter of June 1, 1976 to August 31, 1976.

The buy-sell list for refiners is set forth as an appendix to the notice. The provisions of 10 CFR 211.65 apply to all transactions made under the buy-sell list. Included as part of the list, as required by § 211.65(e) are: the quantity of crude oil each refiner-buyer is eligible to purchase, the total allocation obligation for all refiner-sellers, the fixed percentage share for each refiner-seller and the quantity of crude oil that each refiner-seller is obligated to offer for sale to refiner-buyers, with a specification as to the portions thereof that consititute the primary and secondary sales obligations for each refiner-seller, in accordance with 10 CFR 211.65(d). The amounts shown on the list for refiner-buyers with first or second priority refineries (as defined in Part 214 of 10 CFR) reflect the amendments to the refiners' crude oil allocation program adopted April 14, 1976 and also reflect corrections as to certain firms for the March 1976 quarter as a result of such amendments.

The buy-sell list covers PAD districts I through V, and amounts shown are in barrels of 42 gallons each, for the specified period. Pursuant to § 211.65(d), each refiner-seller shall offer for sale, directly or through exchange, to refiner-buyers during an allocation quarter a quantity of crude oil equal to that refiner-seller's primary sales obligation plus any portion of that refiner-seller's secondary sales obligation as to which the FEA directs a sale pursuant to 10 CFR 211.65(h). No refiner-seller shall be required to offer for sale to refiner-buyers, whether by directed sale or otherwise, any portion of its secondary sales obligation until each other refiner-seller (except refiner-sellers with minimal primary sales obligations) has sold at least 80% of its primary sales obligation.

The procedures of 10 CFR 211.65(h) provide that if a sale is not agreed upon within 15 days of the date of publication of this notice, a refiner-buyer that has not been able to negotiate a contract to purchase crude oil may request FEA to direct one or more refiner-sellers to sell a suitable type of crude oil to such refiner-buyer. Such a request must be made within 30 days of the publication of this notice. Upon such request, FEA may direct one or more refiner-sellers that have not completed their required sales to sell crude oil to the refiner-buyer. If the refiner-buyer declines to purchase the crude oil specified by FEA, the rights of that refiner-buyer to purchase that volume of crude oil are forfeited during this allocation quarter, providing that the refiner-seller or refiner-sellers in question have fully complied with the provisions of 10 CFR 211.65. Refiner-buyers making such request must provide the FEA with the following information:

1. Name of the refiner-buyer and of the person authorized to act for the refiner-buyer in buy-sell list transactions.

2. Names and locations of the refineries for which crude oil is sought, the amount of crude oil sought for each refinery, and the technical specification range of crude oil which can be processed in each refinery.

3. Statement of any restrictions, limitations or constraints on the refiner-buyer's purchases of crude oil, with particular respect to manner or time of deliveries.

4. Namse and locations of all refiner-sellers from which crude oil has been sought under the buy-sell list and the volume and specification of the crude oil sought from each.

5. The response of each refiner-seller to which a request to purchase crude oil has been made, and the name and telephone number of the individual contacted at each such refiner-seller.

6. Such other pertinent information as FEA may request.

Each refiner-buyer and refiner-seller will report the details of each transaction under the buy-sell list to FEA on Form 903 (1-74) within 15 days of the completion of arrangements for the transaction. Each refiner-buyer and refiner-seller is requested to report as promptly as practicable every such transaction to which it is a party.

Refiner-buyers wishing to receive an allocation in the allocation quarter commencing September 1, 1976, with respect to future refining capacity (as defined in 10 CFR 211.62) that is not presently taken into account in determining their respective purchase opportunities, must apply to the FEA for certification of that capacity and provide all necessary information required to enable FEA to evaluate the factors set forth in 10 CFR 211.65(b)(1) no later than June 30, 1976.

All reports and applications made under this notice should be addressed to:

Director, Crude Operations, Crude Oil Buy-Sell Program, 20th Street Postal Station, P.O. Box 19028, Washington, D.C. 20036.

Issued in Washington, D.C., May 14, 1976.

MICHAEL F. BUTLER,
General Counsel.

APPENDIX

The list of refiner-sellers and refiner-buyers for the period June 1, 1976 through August 31, 1976 is as follows. The list sets forth the identity of each refiner-seller and refiner-buyer, the fixed percentage share of each refiner-seller and the volumes of crude oil (reflecting all adjustments required under § 211.65) that each such refiner-seller is obligated to offer for sale (with a specification as to primary and secondary sales obligations) or that each such refiner-buyer is eligible to purchase, as the case may be.

Federal Energy Administration—Crude oil allocation program for the period June through August 1976—Sales

	Share	Barrels	
		Primary obligation	Secondary obligation
Amoco Oil Co	0.099	3,250,127	6,203,429
Atlantic Richfield	.072	2,907,285	4,555,469
Cities Service Oil	.023	754,815	1,456,804
Continental Oil Co	.034	0	1,966,826
Exxon Corp	.112	3,366,390	7,016,845
Getty/Skelly	.020	780,387	1,256,469
Gulf Oil Corp	.086	5,567,815	5,396,175
Marathon Oil Co	.022	1,409,060	1,353,618
Mobil Oil Corp	.089	2,887,116	5,572,177
Phillips Petroleum	.039	1,708,650	2,450,435
Shell Oil Co	.107	4,051,722	6,729,106
Socal/Chevron	.096	3,411,752	6,015,292
Sun Oil Co	.052	1,559,654	3,286,865
Texaco, Inc	.107	5,580,704	6,734,577
Union Oil Co. of California	.043	2,764,523	2,707,174
Total		40,000,000	62,701,261
Total allocation obligation			102,701,261

PURCHASES

	Barrels
Allied Materials Co	123,502
Amerada Hess Corp	10,085,883
American Petrofina	1,096,772
Apco Oil Corp	280,113
Arizona Fuels Corp	0
Asamera Oil, Inc	3,450
Ashland Oil, Inc	8,166,624
Axel Johnson	130,486
Bayou State Oil Corp	0
Beacon Oil Company	185,725
C & H Refining	0
Calumet Industries	0
Canal Refining Co	0
Caribou Four Corners	0
CFP	0
Champlin Petroleum	459,030
Charter Oil Company	0
Claiborne Gas Co	0
Clark Oil & Refining	4,017,749
Coastal States Gas	6,576,913
Commonwealth Oil Ref	2,964,250
CRA-Farmland Ind. Inc	2,717,186
Cross Oil & Ref-Ark	95,091
Crown Central Petro	2,073,190
Crystal Oil Co	0
Crystal Refining Co	157,248
Delta Refining Co	1,470,128
Diamond Shamrock Corp	164,262
Dorchester Gas	0
Dow/Refinery	0
Eddy Refining Co	0
Edgington Oil Co	0
Edgington Oxnard Ref	0
Evangeline Refining	0
Famariss Oil Corp	2,053,634
Farmers Union Central Exchange	1,219,597
Fletcher Oil and Ref	192,658
Flint Chemical Corp	0
Gary Western Co	254,500
Giant Industries	416,882
Glacier Park	0
Gladieux Refining	576,737
Golden Eagle Ref. Co	266,250
Good Hope Refineries	250,708
Guam Oil & Refining	0
Gulf States	38,108
HIRI	173,750
Howell Corporation	931,701
Hunt Oil Company	453,175
Husky Oil Company	1,594,125
Indiana Farm Bureau	238,654
J&W Refining Inc	568,156
Kentucky Oil Ref Co	0
Kern County Ref., Inc	0
Kerr McGee Co	4,168,453
Koch Refining Co	406,492
La Gloria Oil-Gas Co	499,680
Lakeside Refining Co	224,368
Laketon Asphalt Ref	99,625
Little America Ref	723,074
Lou. Land & Exploration Co	388,789
Lou. Oil & Re-Refining Co	4,643
MacMillan RF Oil Co	330,270
Marion Corporation	79,682
Mid-America Refining	10,000
Mid-Tex Refinery	233,197
Midland Coop. Inc	765,392
Mohawk Petroleum Co	296,007
Monsanto Company	337,250
Morrison Petroleum	0
Mountaineer Refinery	15,096
Murphy Oil Corp	1,763,078
National Coop. Ref	1,675,468
Navajo Refining Co	1,613
Newhall Refining Co	209,375
North American Petroleum	0
Northland Oil & Ref	398,078
Oil Shale Corp	2,165,441
OKC Corporation	0
Pasco Incorporated	272,868
Pennzoil Company	343,380
Pioneer Refining	9,250
Placid Refining	2,429,400
Plateau Incorporated	461,133
Powerine Oil Company	1,699,718
Pride Refining Inc	1,130,238
Quaker State Oil Refining Co	0
Road Oil Sales, Inc	5,750
Rock Island Refining	30,750
Saber Refining Co	767,355
Sabre Refining Inc. (Dingman)	55,159
Sage Creek Refining Co	61,250
San Joaquin Refining	0
Seminole Asphalt Ref	187,500
Sigmor Corporation	0
Somerset Refinery	0
Sound Refining, Inc	70,625
South Hampton	1,257,415
Southland Oil Co	124,618
Southwestern Refining Co	0
Standard Oil of Ohio	16,000,320
Sunland Refining Co	263,724
Tenneco Oil Co	1,302,750
Tesoro Petroleum Co	788,125
Texas Asphalt & Refining	49,232
Texas City Refining	3,513,725
Thagard Oil	0
The Refinery Corporation	314,126
Thriftway Oil Co	77,983
Thunderbird Resources	29,883
Tonkawa Refining Co	49,822
Total Leonard, Inc	869,350
Union Texas Petroleum	0
United Independent Oil Co	10,171
United Refining Co	1,996,359
U.S. Oil & Refining Co	379,323
USA Petrochem	589,647
V-1 Oil Company	2,787
Vickers Petroleum Co	1,135,835
Vulcan Asphalt Ref	97,989
Warrior Asphalt Corp	73,035
West Coast Oil	0
Western Refining Co	587,750
Winston Refining Co	82,991
Wireback Oil Co	8,095
Witco Chemical Corp	522,375
Yetter Oil Co	1,000
Young Refining Corp	257,147
Total	102,701,261

[FR Doc.76-14678 Filed 5-17-76;11:35 am]

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

[Independent Ocean Freight Forwarder License No. 1737]

LAWRENCE M. PARRY, JR.

Order of Revocation

On May 10, 1976, Lawrence M. Parry, Jr., P.O. Box 11133, Chattanooga, Tennessee 37401, voluntarily surrendered his Independent Ocean Freight Forwarder License No. 1737 for revocation.

By virtue of authority vested in me by the Federal Maritime Commission as set forth in Manual of Orders, Commission Order No. 201.1 (Revised), Section 5.01 (b), dated June 30, 1975;

It is ordered, That Independent Ocean Freight Forwarder License No. 1737 issued to Lawrence M. Parry, Jr., be and is hereby revoked effective May 10, 1976 without prejudice to reapply for a license in the future.

It is further ordered, That a copy of this Order be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER and served upon Lawrence M. Parry, Jr.

LEROY F. FULLER,
Director Bureau of Certification and Licensing.

[FR Doc.76-14746 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 76-28]

TRAILER MARINE TRANSPORT CORP.

Order of Investigation and Suspension

Trailer Marine Transport Corporation (hereinafter TMT), a barge carrier serving between Jacksonville and Miami, Florida and Puerto Rico has filed with the Commission revised pages to its tariff FMC-F No. 2, identified at Appendix A, scheduled to become effective May 17, 1976. Those revised pages increase rates by fifteen percent on commodities so referenced. Contemporaneous with filing of the instant revised pages, TMT submitted its certification in lieu of financial and operating data, pursuant to General Order 11, Amendment No. 1 (46 C.F.R. § 512.3(d)(5)), affirming that not more than 50 percent of its tariff items were changed and that the changes will not increase or decrease its domestic offshore gross revenue by three percent or more.

The Puerto Rico Maritime Shipping Authority (hereinafter PRMSA), a carrier operating self propelled vessels and providing service between the United States Atlantic and Gulf Coast Ports and Puerto Rico, also offers transportation of commodities here the subject of rate increases.

In the past barge carriers have argued, and the Commission has recognized, the need for a rate differential between barge carriers and vessel operating carriers in order to attract cargo to barge service which is more hazardous, and inherently less stable and reliable than that offered by operators of self propelled vessels. Rates from Jacksonville, Florida to Puerto Rico. 10 F.M.C. 376 (1967). The result of the instant rate increase would be to eliminate the differential which exists between TMT and PRMSA on commodities the subject of the instant increases.

In Docket No. 75-18, Puerto Rico Maritime Shipping Authority—Reduced Rates from Florida to Puerto Rico, instituted May 22, 1975, TMT protested rate reductions by PRMSA, stating that elimination of the rate differential be-

tween TMT's rates and PRMSA's rates was in contravention of principles stated by the Commission in Rates from Jacksonville, Florida to Puerto Rico, supra, would cause irreparable harm to TMT, and eventually result in monopolization of the trade by PRMSA. In an attempt to resolve tariff controversies the subject of Docket No. 75-18 TMT and PRMSA entered into Commission approved Agreement No. DC-83, authorizing rate discussions in the Puerto Rican trade. Any agreement reached pursuant to DC-83 is not to be implemented until such agreement has been submitted to and approved by the Commission.

A joint protest to the instant rate increases was filed on behalf of Transconex, Inc., Econocaribe Consolidators, Inc., and Twin Express, Inc., non-vessel operating common carriers (hereinafter NVO's), in the Puerto Rican Trade. Protestants allege that TMT's proposed rate increases will divert substantial cargo from TMT to PRMSA and undermine the continued availability of TMT's service; such diversion will result in the increase of TMT's domestic offshore gross revenues by three percent or more, necessitating the submission of financial and operating data pursuant to General Order 11, Amendment No. 1, and; rate increases, if permitted to go into effect, will require NVO's to increase their LTL rates by ten to twelve percent causing diversion of cargo from them and resulting in their ultimate ruin. The protest also alleges that the instant rate action was improperly taken pursuant to Agreement No. DC-83.

TMT replied to the protest stating that the proposed FAK rate (Item No. 3260, First Revised Page 122) attempts to restore a competitive differential which previously existed between Jacksonville and Miami, Florida and North Atlantic ports. TMT also denies improper action pursuant to an unfiled and unapproved agreement and reaffirms its contention that its certification as to the effect of the subject rate increase is correct.

Upon consideration of the above matter, the Commission is of the opinion that the proposed rate increases should be made the subject of a public invesitgation and hearing to determine whether they are unjust, unreasonable or otherwise unlawful under Sections 16, First and 18(a) of the Shipping Act, 1916, and/or sections 3 and 4 of the Intercoastal Shipping Act, 1933. We have considered that aspect of the protest relating to alleged violations of section 15 and have determined that the question of section 15 violations should not be made a part of this investigation and hearing. The Commission is of the further opinion that the rate increases referenced by symbol on revised tariff pages identified at Appendix A should be suspended pursuant to the authority granted the Commission by section 3 of the Intercoastal Shipping Act, 1933.

Therefore, *It is ordered*, That pursuant to sections 16, First, 18(a), and 22 of the Shipping Act, 1916, and sections 3 and 4 of the Intercoastal Shipping Act, 1933 an investigation is hereby instituted into the lawfulness of the tariff matter listed at Appendix A for the purpose of making such findings as the facts and circumstances warrant. In the event the tariff matter is further changed, amended, or reissued, such changes are hereby ordered to be included in this investigation;

It is further ordered, That pursuant to section 3 of the Intercoastal Shipping Act, 1933, the rate increases referenced by symbol on revised tariff pages identified at Appendix A are hereby suspended and the use thereof deferred to and including, September 16, 1976 unless otherwise ordered by the Commission;

It is further ordered, That there shall be filed immediately by Trailer Marine Transport Corporation a consecutively numbered supplement to the aforementioned tariff matter, which supplement shall bear no effective date, shall reproduce this Order in its entirety, and shall state that the aforesaid matter is suspended and may not be used until September 17, 1976 and that the suspended matter may not be changed until this proceeding has been disposed of or until the period of suspension has expired, and that the rates, fares, charges, classifications, rules, regulations or practices in effect prior to the date of this Order and which were to be changed by the suspended tariff matter, or part or parts thereof, shall remain in effect during the period of suspension, unless otherwise ordered by the Commission;

It is further ordered, That as part of this investigation a determination shall be made as to whether Trailer Marine Transport Corporation's proposed increase in rates is unlawful pursuant to Section 16, First of the Shipping Act, 1916, and/or unjust and unreasonable pursuant to section 18(a) of the Shipping Act, 1916 and sections 3 and 4 of the Intercoastal Shipping Act, 1933;

It is further ordered, That copies of this Order shall be filed with the appropriate tariff schedules in the Bureau of Compliance of the Federal Maritime Commission;

It is further ordered, That Trailer Marine Transport Corporation be named as Respondent in this proceeding and that Transconex, Inc., Econocaribe Consolidators, Inc., and Twin Express, Inc. be named as Complainants;

It is further ordered, That this proceeding be assigned for public hearing before an Administrative Law Judge of the Commission's Office of Administrative Law Judges and that the hearings be held at a date and place to be determined by the Presiding Administrative Law Judge, but in any event, the hearing shall commence no later than September 14, 1976.

It is further ordered, That (1) a copy of this Order be served upon respondent, complainants, and upon this Commission's Bureau of Hearing Counsel, and published in the FEDERAL REGISTER, and (II) the respondent, complainants and Hearing Counsel be duly served with notice of time and place of hearing(s);

All persons (including individuals, corporations, associations, firms, partnerships, and public bodies) having an interest in this proceeding and desiring to intervene therein, should notify the Secretary of the Commission promptly and file petitions for leave to intervene in accordance with Rule 5(1) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (46 CFR 502.72) with a copy to all parties to this proceeding.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] FRANCIS C. HURNEY, *Secretary.*

APPENDIX A

[FMC-F NO. 2]

TRAILER MARINE TRANSPORT CORPORATION

Fifth Revised Page 14.
Second Revised Page 15.
Third Revised Page 90.
Third Revised Page 97.
Second Revised Page 98.
First Revised Page 122.
Second Revised Page 124.
Second Revised Page 140.

[FR Doc.76-14747 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

NATIONAL GAS SURVEY SUPPLY—TECHNICAL ADVISORY TASK FORCE—SYNTHESIZED GASEOUS HYDROCARBON FUELS

Meeting

Conference Room 3401,[1] Union Center Plaza Building, 941 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426.

June 24, 1976, 9:30 A.M.

Presiding: Mr. William J. McCabe, FPC Coordinating Representative and Secretary, National Gas Survey.

1. Call to Order and Introductory Remarks—Mr. William J. McCabe.

2. Remarks by Chairman and Vice Chairman—Dr. Alan G. Fletcher, Mr. Charles W. Margolf.

3. Report of Economic Analysis Study Group—Dr. James Harris.

4. Subgroup Working Sessions—Subgroup I: (a) Review of Data Relating to required plant investment and operating expenses. (b) Discussion of Economic Analysis in each area.

Subgroup II: (a) Review of Circulated Draft Reports. (b) Determination of Format of Environmental and Siting Sections of Report.

5. Task Force Discussion Regarding Final Meeting.

6. Confirmation of Next Meeting Date, (NGS-6) August 5, 1976.

7. Other Business.

8. Adjournment—Mr. William J. McCabe.

This meeting is open to the public. Any interested person may attend, appear before, or file statements with the Committee—which statements, if in written form, may be filed before or after the meeting, or if oral, at the time and

[1] North Building.

in the manner permitted by the Committee.

KENNETH F. PLUMB,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-14742 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

[Temporary Regulation F-387]

FEDERAL PROPERTY MANAGEMENT REGULATIONS

Delegation of Authority

1. *Purpose.* This regulation delegates authority to the Secretary of Defense to represent, in conjunction with the Administrator of General Services, the consumer interests of the executive agencies of the Federal Government in proceedings before the Virginia State Corporation Commission involving intrastate telephone rates.

2. *Effective date.* This regulation is effective immediately.

3. *Delegation.*

a. Pursuant to the authority vested in me by the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949, 3 Stat. 377, as amended, particularly sections 201(a)(4) and 205(d) (40 U.S.C. 481 (a)(4) and 486(d)), authority is delegated to the Secretary of Defense to represent the consumer interests of the Federal executive agencies before the Virginia State Corporation Commission in a proceeding involving the application of the Chesapeake and Potomac Telephone Company for increases in its intrastate rates and charges. The authority delegated to the Secretary of Defense shall be exercised concurrently with the Administrator of General Services.

b. The Secretary of Defense may redelegate this authority to any officer, official, or employee of the Department of Defense.

c. This authority shall be exercised in accordance with the policies, procedures, and controls prescribed by the General Services Administration, and shall be exercised in cooperation with the responsible officers, officials, and employees thereof.

JACK ECKERD,
Administrator of General Services.

MAY 14, 1976.

[FR Doc.76-14837 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[Notice 76-44]

NORFOLK CORP.

Intent To Grant Foreign Exclusive Patent License

In accordance with the NASA Foreign Licensing Regulations, 14 C.F.R. 1245.405 (e), the National Aeronautics and Space Administration announces its intention to grant to the Norfolk Corporation, Quincy, Massachusetts, an exclusive patent license in France, Great Britain, Italy and West Germany for the NASA owned invention covered by the foreign counterparts of U.S. Patent No. 3,620,784 for "Potassium Silicate Zinc Coatings" issued to NASA on November 16, 1971. Copies of the above U.S. Patent can be purchased from the U.S. Patent Office, Arlington, Virginia, at a cost of $.50 a copy. Interested parties should submit written inquiries or comments within 30 days to the Assistant General Counsel for Patent Matters, Code GP, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, D.C. 20546.

Dated: May 14, 1976.

GERALD J. MOSSINGHOFF,
Acting General Counsel.

[FR Doc.76-14714 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

NATIONAL COMMISSION ON ELECTRONIC FUND TRANSFERS

MEETINGS

Amended Notice

The meeting of the National Commission on Electronic Fund Transfers scheduled for Thursday, May 27, 1976, will not be held.

The Commission will conduct a brief workshop on Tuesday, June 15, 1976, to consider telecommunications equipment applicable to electronic funds transfer.

The workshop will begin at 10:00 a.m. and will be conducted in Washington, D.C. The exact location has not yet been determined; when it is, a notice specifying the location will be published in the Federal Register. The workshop will be open to public observation on a first call basis to the extent that limited space permits.

Any person interested in observing the workshop should first call Ms. Janet Miller at (202) 254-7400 to check on the availability of space.

Dated: May 15, 1976.

JAMES O. HOWARD, Jr.,
Advisory Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc.76-14671 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

NATIONAL COMMISSION ON NEW TECHNOLOGICAL USES OF COPYRIGHTED WORKS

NOTICE OF MEETING AND HEARINGS

A meeting of the National Commission on New Technological Uses of Copyrighted Works will be held at 9:30 a.m. on June 9 and 10, 1976, at the offices of the Commission, located in Room 910, Crystal Mall No. 2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, Virginia. The proceedings on June 9 will be devoted to the presentation of testimony for the purpose of obtaining information concerning the applicability and scope of copyright protection for computer software. The Commission will direct its attention on June 10 to issues concerning the use of computers in relation to the communication and dissemination of copyrighted works.

All interested member of the public, including but not limited to manufacturers, developers and investors involved in the creation of computer software and users of computer software, are invited to attend. In addition, all members of the public, including representatives of groups concerned with copyright protection for computer software, are invited to submit requests to present testimony for consideration at these hearings. Such requests should be accompanied by brief written statements identifying the individual or group requesting to testify, the interests of that individual or group in the issue of copyright protection for computer software, and the evidence desired to be given. All such requests should be addressed to Michael S. Keplinger, Senior Attorney, National Commission on New Technological Uses of Copyrighted Works, Washington, D.C. 20558, and must be received prior to May 30, 1976.

ARTHUR J. LEVINE,
Executive Director.

[FR Doc.76-14698 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

TABLE OF APPEALS CASES

Notice of Nonpublication

Notice is hereby given under the provisions of Section (a)(2) of the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. Section 552(a)(2)) that the General Counsel of the National Labor Relations Board has determined that it is unnecessary and impracticable to publish and distribute for sale copies of the publication entitled "Table of Appeals Cases in Which the General Counsel of the National Labor Relations Board Refused to Issue Complaint on ULP Charges Because of Insufficient Evidence." This publication is an index of cases in which the General Counsel, through the Office of Appeals, has decided not to issue complaint based upon a determination that the evidence established by the investigation "was insufficient to substantiate the charge." (Section 101.5, NLRB Statements of Procedure) Cases in which the decision by the Office of Appeals, or the Division of Advice, Office of the General Counsel, not to issue complaint was based upon a determination that "there has been no violation of the National Labor Relations Act" (Section 101.5, NLRB Statements of Procedure) are indexed in the publication entitled "Classified Index to Dispositions of ULP Charges by the General Counsel of the National Labor Relations Board." That classified index will be published and made available for purchase upon subscription from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, in accordance with the requirements of 5 U.S.C. Section 552(a)(2).

The basis for the conclusion that publication of the above described "Table of Appeals Cases" is unnecessary and impracticable is that the refusal to issue complaint because a charge is not supported by sufficient evidence provides little or no information concerning the ac-

tion which might be taken by the General Counsel were the allegations of the charge supported by evidence, and provides little guidance to parties and the public concerning the probable disposition of other charges. In these circumstances, the public interest would not be served by expending public funds to print and distribute that table.

Notwithstanding the determination not to publish and distribute copies of the "Table of Appeals Cases", that table will be compiled on a quarterly basis and will be available for inpection and copying at any of the Regional Offices of the National Labor Relations Board, or in the public reading room of the National Labor Relations Board, 1717 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20570. Copies of the "Table of Appeals Cases" will be available to any member of the public upon a payment of direct duplication cost.

Dated: May 13, 1976.

JOHN S. IRVING,
General Counsel, National Labor Relations Board.

[FR Doc.76-14653 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

ADVISORY PANEL FOR NEUROBIOLOGY

Meeting

In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Pub. L. 92-463, the National Science Foundation announces the following meeting:

Name: Advisory Panel for Neurobiology.
Date and time: June 7 and 8, 1976—9:00 a.m. each day.
Place: Room 338, National Science Foundation, 1800 G Street, N.W., Washington, D.C.
Type of meeting: Closed.
Contact person: Dr. James H. Brown, Program Director, Neurobiology Program, Rm. 333, National Science Foundation, Washington, D.C. 20550, telephone (202) 634-4036.
Purpose of panel: To provide advice and recommendations concerning support for research in neurobiology.
Agenda: To review and evaluate research proposals and projects as part of the selection process for awards.
Reason for closing: The proposals and projects being reviewed include information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including technical information; financial data, such as salaries; and personal information concerning individuals associated with the proposals and projects. These matters are within exemptions (4) and (6) of 5 U.S.C. 522(b), Freedom of Information Act. The rendering of advice by the panel is considered to be a part of the Foundation's deliberative process and is thus subject to exemption (5) of the Act.
Authority to close meeting: This determination was made by the Committee Management Officer pursuant to provisions of Section 10(d) of P.L. 92-463. The Committee Management Officer was delegated the authority to make determinations by the Director, NSF, on February 11, 1976.

Dated: May 14, 1976.

M. REBECCA WINKLER,
Acting Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc.76-14537 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-471]

BOSTON EDISON CO., ET AL. (PILGRIM NUCLEAR GENERATING STATION, UNIT NO. 2)

Hearing Order

It is ordered that hearing herein will be resumed on May 24, 1976, at 1 p.m. in the Blue Room, Memorial Hall, 83 Court Street, Plymouth, Massachusetts.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 13th day of May, 1976.

THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD,
FREDERIC J. COUFAL,
Chairman.

[FR Doc.76-14730 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. STN 50-532]

C F BRAUN & CO. ET AL.

Safety Evaluation Report and Preliminary Design Approval Issuance

In the matter of Braun Safety analysis report for Braun SAR turbine island standard design and its relationship to the Gessar-238 nuclear island design.

Notice is hereby given that the staff of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the NRC staff) has issued a Safety Evaluation Report dated May 1976 and a Preliminary Design Approval to PDA-5 dated May 7, 1976, for the turbine island portion of a BWR-6/Mark III boiling water reactor nuclear power plant, as described in the C F Braun & Co's Standard Safety Analysis Report (BRAUN SAR). BRAUN SAR, which was docketed on December 21, 1974, was reviewed by the NRC staff pursuant to Appendix O to 10 CFR Part 50. Notice of receipt of BRAUN SAR was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on January 9, 1975 (40 FR 1762).

BRAUN SAR contains preliminary design information for the turbine island portion of a standard plant utilizing a General Electric BWR-6/Mark III boiling water reactor nuclear power plant. The turbine island is designed to complement the nuclear island standard design described in the General Electric Standard Safety Analysis Report (GESSAR-238), Docket No. STN 50-447.

The BRAUN SAR turbine island design encompasses conventional steam-electric power conversion equipment, a portion of the offsite power supply system, and the following items important to safety: instrumentation sensor inputs into the nuclear island reactor protection system and the engineered safety features systems; structures housing the gaseous radwaste treatment system; and related systems and structures. The BRAUN SAR reference design is designed to convert the rated thermal power of 3579 megawatts received from the nuclear island to an electrical power level of 1220 megawatts nominal net.

The Safety Evaluation Report documents the results of the staff's review and evaluation of BRAUN SAR, including Amendments 1 through 8 thereto, and addresses the comments of the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards as reflected in its report to the Commission dated December 10, 1975 (Appendix C of the Safety Evaluation Report).

PDA-5 provides NRC staff approval of the preliminary turbine island design described in BRAUN SAR, including Amendments 1 through 8 thereto. By the issuance of PDA-5, the NRC staff has determined that the information provided in BRAUN SAR on the major portion of the preliminary turbine island design, as described above, and subject to conditions set forth in the PDA, is acceptable for referencing in utility applications for construction permits. The BRAUN SAR turbine island design shall be utilized by and relied upon by the NRC staff and the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards in their review of facility license applications for construction permits incorporating by reference the BRAUN SAR turbine island preliminary standard design, unless there exists significant new information which substantially affects the determinations in PDA-5 or other good cause.

Issuance of PDA-5 and the staff's Safety Evaluation Report does not constitute a commitment to issue a permit or license, or in any way affect the authority of the Commission, Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board, Atomic Safety and Licensing Boards, and other presiding officers in any proceeding under Subpart G of 10 CFR Part 2. This action only approves, subject to the conditions set forth in PDA-5, the design of a facility for use for reference purposes in applications for permits to construct a nuclear power plant. It does not authorize the construction or operation of any nuclear power plant or any other facility. The environmental impacts associated with any facility proposed to be constructed utilizing the approved reference design will be considered in accordance with the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR Part 51.

PDA-5 is effective as of its date of issuance and shall expire December 22, 1978, unless earlier superseded by issuance of an appropriate Final Design Approval for the BRAUN SAR turbine island standard design, or unless extended by the NRC staff. The expiration of PDA-5 on December 22, 1978, shall not affect use of PDA-5 for reference in any construction permit application docketed prior to such date.

A copy of the (1) Preliminary Design Approval No. PDA-5 dated May 7, 1976; (2) Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards' report dated December 10, 1975; (3) the NRC staff's Safety Evaluation Report, NUREG—0012, dated May 1976; (4) C F Braun & Co Standards Safety Analysis Report, including Amendments 1 through 8 thereto; and (5) WASH-1341, the Commission's "Programmatic Information for the Licensing of Standardized Nuclear Power Plants," dated August 1974, which also includes the Standardization Policy issued on March 5, 1973, are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room at 1717 H Street, N.W.,

Washington, D.C. 20555. A copy of PDA–5 may be obtained upon request. The request should be addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555. Attention: Director, Division of Project Management. Copies of the Safety Evaluation Report, NUREG–0012, may be purchased at current rates from the National Technical Information Service, Springfield Virginia 22161.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 7th day of May 1976.

For The Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

THOMAS H. COX,
Acting Chief, Light Water Reactors Branch No. 1, Division of Project Management.

[FR Doc.76–14731 Filed 5–19–76;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. 50–440 and 50–441]

CLEVELAND ELECTRIC ILLUMINATING CO. AND PERRY NUCLEAR POWER PLANT, UNITS 1 AND 2

Issuance of Revision to Limited Work Authorization

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 50.10(e) of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (Commission) regulations, the Commission has authorized the Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company to conduct certain site activities in connection with the Perry Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2, prior to a decision regarding the issuance of construction permits. Notices of the Limited Work Authorizations were published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on October 29, 1974 (39 F.R. 38125), and January 9, 1976 (41 F.R. 1655).

Since that time, the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board has determined that additional activities may be authorized under the Limited Work Authorization. The additional activities that are authorized are within the scope of those authorized by 10 CFR 50.10(e)(3) and include placement and assemblage on the Reactor Building Mud Mat of reinforcing steel, temporary structures for the support of reinforcing steel and embedments, and the steel plate embedments for founding the structures within the Reactor Building.

Any activities undertaken pursuant to this authorization are entirely at the risk of the Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company and the grant of the authorization has no bearing on the issuance of construction permits with respect to the requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and rules, regulations, or orders of the Commission promulgated pursuant thereto.

A Supplemental Partial Initial Decision on matters relating to the National Environmental Policy Act and site suitability and unresolved safety issues was issued by the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board in the above captioned proceeding on May 10, 1976. A copy of (1) the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board's Orders of October 20, 1974, December 31, 1975, and May 10, 1976; (2) the applicant's Preliminary Safety Analysis Report and amendments thereto; (3) the applicant's Environmental Report and amendments thereto; (4) the staff's Final Environmental Statement dated April 1974; and (5) the Commission's letters of authorization dated October 21, 1974, December 31, 1975, and May 12, 1976, are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room at 1717 H Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., and the Perry Public Library, 3753 Main Street, Perry, Ohio.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 12th day of May 1976.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

WM. H. REGAN, Jr.,
Chief, Environmental Projects Branch 3, Division of Site Safety and Environmental Analysis.

[FR Doc.76–14732 Filed 5–19–76;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. 50–237 and 50–249]

COMMONWEALTH EDISON CO.

Issuance of Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses

Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued Amendment Nos. 16 and 14 to Facility Operating License Nos. DPR–19 and DPR–25, respectively, issued to the Commonwealth Edison Company (the licensee), which revised Technical Specifications for operation of the Dresden Nuclear Power Station Units 2 and 3 (the facilities) located in Grundy County, Illinois. The amendments are effective as of their date of issuance.

The amendments incorporate increased surveillance requirements in the Technical Specifications to provide additional assurance that high energy line failures outside of containment will not occur during the short period of time the facilities will be operated prior to completing certain modifications to assure that the facilities can withstand the consequences of postulated ruptures in high energy piping outside of containment.

The application for the amendments complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendments. Notice of the Proposed Issuance of Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses in connection with this action was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on October 30, 1974 (39 FR 38275). No request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene was filed following notice of the proposed action.

The Commission has determined that the issuance of these amendments will not result in any significant environmental impact and that pursuant to 10 CFR § 51.5(d)(4) an environmental statement, negative declaration or environmental impact appraisal need not be prepared in connection with issuance of the amendments.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the application for amendments dated December 3, 1974 and related items dated January 23, 1974, March 22, 1974, February 18, 1975, September 16, 1975 and October 21, 1975, (2) Amendment No. 16 to License No. DPR–19, (3) Amendment No. 14 to License No. DPR–25, and (4) the Commission's concurrently issued related Safety Evaluation. All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. and at the Morris Public Library, 604 Liberty Street, Morris, Illinois 60451.

A single copy of items (2), (3) and (4) above may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Operating Reactors.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland this 12th day of May, 1976.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

DENNIS L. ZIEMANN,
Chief, Operating Reactors Branch #2, Division of Operating Reactors.

[FR Doc.76–14733 Filed 5–19–76;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. 50–295 and 50–304]

COMMONWEALTH EDISON CO.

Issuance of Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses

Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued Amendments No. 20 and 17 to Facility Operating Licenses No. DPR–39 and DPR–48 issued to Commonwealth Edison Company which revised Technical Specifications for operation of the Zion Station, Units 1 and 2, located in Zion, Illinois. The amendments are effective upon startup of Unit 1 following refueling or seven days after the date of issuance, whichever is sooner.

These amendments (1) revise the operating limits in the Technical Specifications based upon an acceptable evaluation model that conforms to the requirements of 10 CFR 50.46, (2) terminate restrictions imposed on the facility by the Commission's December 27, 1974 Order for Modification of Licenses, and (3) revise operating limits in the Technical Specifications for operation of Zion Unit 1 in fuel cycle 2.

The application for the amendments complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as

required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendments. Notice of Proposed Issuance of Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses in connection with those portions of this action relating to compliance with 10 CFR 50.46 was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on May 22, 1975 (40 FR 22320). Prior public notice of the portions of the amendments relating to revised limits for Zion Unit 1 in fuel cycle 2 is not required since those amendments do not involve a significant hazards consideration.

The Commission has determined that the issuance of these amendments will not result in any significant environmental impact and that pursuant to 10 CFR 51.5(d)(4) an environmental statement, negative declaration or environmental impact appraisal need not be prepared in connection with issuance of these amendments.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the applications for amendments dated September 3 and 6, 1974 and March 16, 1976, as supplemented December 9, 1974, April 18, 21, July 9, August 18, September 16, October 6, November 17, 1975, January 5, April 15, 19, 21, 30, May 4, and 5, 1976; (2) Amendments No. 20 and 17 to Licenses No. DPR–39 and DPR–48; and (3) the Commission's related Safety Evaluation. All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C., and at the Waukegan Public Library, 128 North County Street, Waukegan, Illinois 60685.

A copy of items (2) and (3) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Operating Reactors.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 12th day of May 1976.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ROBERT A. PURPLE,
Chief, Operating Reactors Branch #1, Division of Operating Reactors.

[FR Doc.76–14734 Filed 5–19–76;8:45 am]

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY DRAFT SAFETY GUIDE

Availability of Draft for Public Comment

The International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) is developing a limited number of internationally acceptable codes of practice and safety guides for nuclear power plants. These codes and guides will be developed in the following five areas: Government Organization, Siting, Design, Operations, and Quality Assurance. The purpose of these codes and guides is to provide IAEA guidance to countries beginning nuclear power programs.

The IAEA Codes of Practice and Safety Guides are developed in the following way. The IAEA receives and collates relevant existing information used by member countries. Using this collation as a starting point, an IAEA Working Group of a few experts then develops a preliminary draft and modifies it to the extent necessary to develop a draft acceptable to the IAEA Technical Review Committee. This draft Code of Practice or Safety Guide is then sent to the IAEA Senior Advisory Group, which reviews and modifies the draft as necessary to reach agreement on the draft and then forwards it to the IAEA Secretariat to obtain comments from the member states.

As a part of this program, IAEA draft Safety Guides, SG–S3a, "Meteorology—Climatology, Diffusion and Transport," and SG–S3b, "Meteorology—Extreme Meteorological Conditions in Nuclear Power Plant Siting," have been developed, and the NRC staff is soliciting comments on these Guides from the U.S. public. An IAEA Working Group, consisting of Mr. J. P. Maigne of France, Mr. D. Platthaus of the Federal Republic of Germany, Dr. V. V. Shirvaikar of India and Mr. I. Spickler (Dames and Moore) of the United States of America developed the SG–S3a draft from an IAEA collation during a meeting that was held in Vienna, Austria on March 22–April 2, 1976. An IAEA Working Group, consisting of Mr. W. Hellmiss of the Federal Republic of Germany, Mr. A. Robson of the United Kingdom and Mr. B. Zalcman (Dames and Moore) of the United States of America developed the SG–S3b draft from an IAEA collation during a meeting that was held in Vienna, Austria on March 29–April 9, 1976.

As the next step in their development, these draft Safety Guides are scheduled to be reviewed by the IAEA Technical Review Comittee on Siting at a meeting in Vienna, Austria on June 14, 1976. In order to have them in time for the June 1976 meeting of the Technical Review Committee, comments on these draft Safety Guides are requested by June 5, 1976. Single copies of these drafts may be obtained by a written request to the Director, Office of Standards Development, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555.

(5 U.S.C. 522(a))

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 13th day of May 1976.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ROBERT B. MINOGUE,
Director, Office of Standards Development.

[FR Doc.76–14735 Filed 5–19–76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50–289]

METROPOLITAN EDISON CO. ET AL.

Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License

Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued Amendment No. 16 to Facility Operating License No. DPR–50 issued to Metropolitan Edison Company, Jersey Central Power and Light Company, and Pennsylvania Electric Company which revised Technical Specifications for operation of the Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit No. 1, located in Dauphin County, Pennsylvania. The amendment is effective as of its date of issuance.

This amendment modifies the Technical Specifications to permit the use of type "C" tests, as defined in 10 CFR 50 Appendix J, to determine the leakage of valves served by the Fluid Block System.

The application for the amendment complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendment. Prior public notice of this amendment is not required since the amendment does not involve a significant hazards consideration.

The Commission has determined that the issuance of this amendment will not result in any significant environmental impact and that pursuant to 10 CFR § 51.5(d)(4) an environmental statement, negative declaration or environmental statement, negative declaration or environmental impact appraisal need not be prepared in connection with issuance of this amendment.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the application for amendment dated April 15, 1976, (2) Amendment No. 16 to License No. DPR–50, and (3) the Commission's related Safety Evaluation. All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C. and at the Government Publications Section, State Library of Pennsylvania, Box 1601 (Education Building), Harrisburg, Pennsylvania.

A copy of items (2) and (3) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Operating Reactors.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 12th day of May 1976.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

VERNON L. ROONEY,
Acting Chief, Operating Reactors Branch #4, Division of Operating Reactors.

[FR Doc.76–14736 Filed 5–19–76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50–298]

NEBRASKA PUBLIC POWER DISTRICT

Issuance of Amendment to Facility License

Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued Amendment No. 22 to Facility Operating License No. DPR–46, issued to the Nebraska Public Power District (the licensee), which revised Technical Specifications for opera-

tion of the Cooper Nuclear Station (the facility) located in Nemaha County, Nebraska. The amendment is effective as of its date of issuance.

This amendment revises the Environmental portion of the Technical Specifications for the facility to eliminate the monthly river sampling requirements at river mile 526, and certain unnecessary monthly river samples for May through November at river mile 528.

The application for the amendment complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendment. Prior public notice of this amendment was not required since the amendment does not involve a significant hazards consideration.

The Commission has determined that the issuance of this amendment will not result in any significant environmental impact and that pursuant to 10 CFR § 51.5(d)(4) an environmental statement, negative declaration or environmental impact appraisal need not be prepared in connection with the issuance of this amendment.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the application for amendburn, Nebraska 68305. A copy of item (2) Amendment No. 22 to License No. DPR–46. Both of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. and at the Auburn Public Library, 118–15th Street, Auburn, Nebraska 68305. A copy of item (2) may be obtained upon request addressed to the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Operating Reactors.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 11th day of May, 1976.

For The Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

DENNIS L. ZIEMANN,
Chief, Operating Reactors Branch #2, Division of Operating Reactors.

[FR Doc.76–14737 Filed 5–19–76;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. 50–282 and 50–306]

NORTHERN STATES POWER CO.

Issuance of Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses

Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commision) has issued Amendment Nos. 12 and 6 to Facility Operating License Nos. DPR–42 and DPR–60, issued to the Northern States Power Company (the licensee), which revised the license for operation of Units 1 and 2 of the Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant (the facilities) located in Goodhue County, Minnesota. The amendments are effective as of their date of issuance.

The amendments revise those portions of the licenses and the appended Technical Specifications for the facilities to provide standard provisions for the receipt, possession and use of byproduct, source and special nuclear materials for operation of the facilities.

The application for the amendments complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendments. Prior public notice of these amendments is not required since the amendments do not involve a significant hazards consideration.

The Commission has determined that the issuance of these amendments will not result in any significant environmental impact and that pursuant to 10 CFR § 51.5(d)(4) an environmental statement, negative declaration or environmental impact appraisal need not be prepared in connection with issuance of these amendments.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the application for amendments dated November 6, 1975, (2) Amendment Nos. 12 and 6 to License Nos. DPR–42 and DPR–60, respectively, and (3) the Commission's concurrently issued related Safety Evaluation. All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. and at The Environmental Conservation Library of the Minneapolis Public Library, 300 Nicollet Mall, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55401. A copy of items (2) and (3) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulator Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Operating Reactors.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 11th day of May, 1976.

For the Nuclear Regulator Commission.

DENNIS L. ZIEMANN,
Chief, Operating Reactors Branch #2, Division of Operating Reactors.

[FR Doc.76–14738 Filed 5–19–76;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. 50–282 and 50–306]

NORTHERN STATES POWER CO.

Issuance of Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses

Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued Amendment Nos. 13 and 7 to Facility Operating License Nos. DPR–42 and DPR–60, issued to the Northern States Power Company (the licensee), which revised Technical Specifications for operation of Units 1 and 2 of the Prairie Island Nuclear Generating Plant (the facilities) located in Goodhue County, Minnesota. The amendments are effective as of their date of issuance.

The amendments revised the Administrative Controls Section of the Appendix A portion of the Technical Specifications for the facility to reflect changes in the organizational structure of the corporate headquarters and of the facility. The amendments also clarified the qualifications, responsibilities and procedures of the Safety Audit Committee.

The application for the amendments complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendments. Prior public notice of these amendments was not required since the amendments do not involve a significant hazards consideration.

The Commission has determined that the issuance of these amendments will not result in any significant environmental impact and that pursuant to 10 CFR § 51.5(d)(4) an environmental statement, negative declaration or environmental impact appraisal need not be prepared in connection with issuance of these amendments.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the application for amendments dated May 7, 1975, (2) Amendment Nos. 13 and 7 to License Nos. DPR–42 and DPR–60, respectively, and (3) the Commission's concurrently issued related Safety Evaluation. All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. and at The Environmental Conservation Library of the Minneapolis Public Library, 300 Nicollet Mall, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55401. A copy of items (2) and (3) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Operating Reactors.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 10th day of May, 1976.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

DENNIS L. ZIEMANN,
Chief, Operating Reactors Branch #2, Division of Operating Reactors.

[FR Doc.76–14739 Filed 5–19–76;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. STN 50–518, STN 50–519; STN 50–520, STN 50–521]

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY (HARTSVILLE NUCLEAR PLANTS, PLANT A, UNITS 1 AND 2, PLANT B, UNITS 1 AND 2)

Availability of Partial Initial Decision of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board

Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and the Commission's Regulations in 10 CFR Part 51, notice is hereby given that a Partial Initial Decision dated April 20, 1976 by the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board

in the above-captioned proceeding relating to environmental and site suitability matters is available for inspection by the public in the Commission's Public Document Room at 1717 H Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., and in the Fred A. Vought Library, 311 White Oak Street, Hartsville, Tennessee.

The Partial Initial Decision is also being made available at the Office of Urban and Federal Affairs, 404 James Robertson Parkway, Nashville, Tennessee, the Mid-Cumberland Council of Governments, 226 Capitol Boulevard, Nashville, and the Upper Cumberland Development District, Burgess Falls Road, Cookeville, Tennessee.

Based on the record developed in the public hearing in the above-captioned matter, the Partial Initial Decision modified in certain respects the contents of the Final Environmental Statement prepared by the Commission's Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation relating to construction of the Hartsville Nuclear Plants.

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR Part 51, the Final Environmental Statement is deemed modified to the extent that the findings and conclusions contained in the Partial Initial Decision differ from those contained in the Final Environmental Statement. As required by 10 CFR Part 51, a copy of the Partial Initial Decision, which modified the Final Environmental Statement, has been transmitted to the Council on Environmental Quality, the Environmental Protection Agency, and other interested agencies and persons.

Single copies of the Partial Initial Decision may be obtained by request addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation. The Final Environmental Statement is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room in Washington, D.C., and the Fred A. Vought Library, Hartsville, Tennessee. Copies of the Final Environmental Statement (NUREG 75/039) may be obtained from the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161, at a cost of $8.75 for printed copy or $2.25 for microfiche.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 13th day of May 1976.

For The Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

B. J. YOUNGBLOOD,
Chief, Environmental Projects Branch 2, Division of Site Safety and Environmental Analysis.

[FR Doc.76-14740 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

[Dockets Nos. 50-280 and 50-281]

VIRGINIA ELECTRIC AND POWER CO.

Issuance of Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses

Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued Amendments No. 19 to Facility Operating Licenses Nos. DPR-32 and DPR-37 issued to Virginia Electric and Power Company which revised Technical Specifications for operation of the Surry Power Station, Units Nos. 1 and 2, located in Surry County, Virginia. These amendments are effective as of the date of issuance.

These amendments relate to the operation of Surry Units Nos. 1 and 2 with a positive moderator coefficient in the power range.

The application for the amendments complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendments. Notice of Proposed Issuance of Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses in connection with this action was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on June 30, 1975 (40 F.R. 27509). No request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene was filed following notice of the proposed action.

The Commission has determined that the issuance of these amendments will not result in any significant environmental impact and that pursuant to 10 CFR § 51.5(d)(4) an environmental statement, negative declaration or environmental impact appraisal need not be prepared in connection with issuance of these amendments.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the application for amendments dated June 5, 1975, as supplemented January 29, and March 5, 1976, (2) Amendments No. 19 to Licenses Nos. DPR-32 and DPR-37, and (3) the Commission's related Safety Evaluation. All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. and at the Swem Library, College of William and Mary, Williamsburg, Virginia.

A copy of items (2) and (3) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director Division of Operating Reactors.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 13th day of May, 1976.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

VERNON L. ROONEY,
Acting Chief, Operating Reactors Branch #4, Division of Operating Reactors.

[FR Doc.76-14741 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50-537]

PROJECT MANAGEMENT CORP.

Special Meeting on All Pending Motions, Scheduling and Related Matters

MAY 17, 1976.

In the matter of Project Management Corporation, Tennessee Valley Authority (Clinch River Breeder Reactor Plant).

Pursuant to oral notice to and agreement by counsel for all parties and intervenors, by conference telephone call on May 17, 1976, a special meeting will be held on Monday, May 24, 1976, at 10 a.m. at the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel Office, 4th floor, East-West Towers Bldg., 4350 East West Highway, Bethesda, Maryland, to consider the following:

1. All pending motions and responses.
2. Action consistent with the Memorandum and Order of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board entered May 12, 1976 (ALAB-330).
3. Scope and extent of this Licensing Board's Memorandum and Order of April 6, 1976.
4. Schedule revisions or amendments.
5. Other matters reasonably relating to the foregoing subjects.

Counsel are requested to have any relevant papers they desire to submit in the hands of the Licensing Board at the above address on or before 4 p.m., Friday, May 21, 1976.

It is so ordered.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 17th day of May, 1976.

THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD,
MARSHALL E. MILLER,
Chairman.

[FR Doc.76-14893 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. STN 50-485]

ROCHESTER GAS AND ELECTRIC CORP.

Hearing on Application for Construction Permit

In the matter of Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation, (Sterling Power Project Nuclear Unit No. 1).

A Notice of Hearing on Application for Construction Permit was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on August 30, 1974 (39 FR 31686) concerning the application of Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation to construct the Sterling Power Project Nuclear Unit 1 at a site in Cayuga County, New York, approximately 8 miles southwest of Oswego on the southeast shore of Lake Ontario. Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation was identified as the sole owner of the proposed Sterling facility in the original application. However, pursuant to a Memorandum of Understanding entered into May 16, 1974, Central Hudson Gas & Electric Corporation and Orange and Rockland Utilities, Inc. became participants in the facility. This Memorandum of Understanding was amended May 30, 1975 to add Niagra Mohawk Power Corporation as a participant .The four utilities will share in the ownership, financial support, and electrical output of the Sterling facility as set forth below:

Party:	Share percent
Rochester Gas and Electric Corp..	28
Orange and Rockland Utilities, Inc	33
Central Hudson Gas & Electric Corp	17
Niagara Mohawk Power Corp.....	22

Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation is to have full responsibility for the construction, operation and licensing of the facility.

As a result of the addition of Orange and Rockland Utilities, Inc., Central Hudson Gas & Electric Corporation and Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation as joint owners, the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board (Board) on behalf of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (Commission) is hereby issuing an Amended Notice of Hearing on Application for Construction Permit for the proposed facility. This Amended Notice does not alter or expand the issues for consideration set forth in the initial Notice of Hearing. By this Amended Notice the Commission is, however, affording any person whose interest may be affected by addition of Orange and Rockland Utilities, Inc., Central Hudson Gas & Electric Corporation and Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation as joint owners, the opportunity to participate in this proceeding.

Any person whose interest may be affected by the addition of the three companies as joint owners and who wishes to participate as a party in the proceeding must file a written petition under oath or affirmation for leave to intervene in accordance with the provisions of 10 CFR § 2.714. A petition for leave to intervene shall set forth the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding, and any other contentions of the petitioner including the facts and reasons why he should be permitted to intervene, with particular reference to the following factors: (1) the nature of the petitioner's right under the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, to be made a party to the proceeding; (2) the nature and extent of the petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (3) the possible effect of any order which may be entered in the proceeding on the petitioner's interest. Any such petition shall be accompanied by a supporting affidavit identifying the specific aspect or aspects of the subject matter of the proceeding as to which the petitioner wishes to intervene and setting forth with particularity both the facts pertaining to his interest and the basis for his contentions with regard to each aspect on which he desires to intervene. A petition that sets forth contentions relating only to matters outside the jurisdiction of the Commission will be denied.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have all the rights of the applicant to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, such as the examination and cross-examination of witnesses, with respect to their contentions related to the matters at issue in the proceeding.

A petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission and others as specified below by June 21, 1976. A petition for leave to intervene which is not timely will not be granted unless the Board determines that the petitioner has made a substantial showing of good cause for failure to file on time and after the Board has considered those factors specified in 10 CFR § 2.714(a)(1)–(4) and § 2.714(d).

Any person who does not wish, or is not qualified, to become a party to this proceeding may request permission to make a limited appearance pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR § 2.715. A person making a limited appearance may make an oral or written statement on the record. He does not become a party, but may state his position and raise questions which he would like answered to the extent that the questions are within the scope of the issues set forth in the original Notice of Hearing. Limited appearances will be permitted at the time of the hearing at the discretion of the Board, within such limits and on such conditions as may be fixed by the Board.

Persons desiring to make a limited appearance are requested to inform the Secretary of the Commission and others by June 21, 1976. Papers required to be filed in this proceeding shall be filed by mail or telegram addressed to the Secretary of the Commission, United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Docketing and Service Section, or may be filed by delivery to the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. Pending further order of the Board, parties are required to file, pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR § 2.708, an original and twenty (20) conformed copies of each such paper with the Commission. A copy of the petition or request for limited appearance should also be sent to Auburn L. Mitchell, Esq., Counsel for NRC Staff, Office of the Executive Legal Director, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555; to Lex K. Larson, Esq., 1757 N Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036, and Gerald Charnoff, Esq., 1800 M Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036, Attorneys for Applicant; Ecology Action, Box 94, Oswego, New York 13126; Ms. Sharon Morey, RD 3, Oswego, New York 13126; and J. Bruce MacDonald, Esq., New York State Atomic Energy Council, 99 Washington Avenue, Albany, New York 12210.

For further details, see the Rochester Gas and Electric Corporation's Environmental Report and Amendment 23 to the application dated September 1975, both of which are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., between the hours of 8:30 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. on weekdays. These documents are also available for public inspection at the Oswego City Library, 120 Second Street, Oswego, New York.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland this 17th day of May 1976.

THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD,
EDWARD LUTON,
Chairman.

[FR Doc.76-14897 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION SAFETY BOARD

[N-AR 76-21]

ACCIDENT REPORT; STATISTICAL REPORTS; SAFETY RECOMMENDATIONS AND RESPONSES

Notice of Availability and Receipt

Aircraft Accident Report. The National Transportation Safety Board announces the release, May 11, of report No. NTSB-AAR-76-11 concerning Airlift International's takeoff accident at John F. Kennedy International Airport last September 20. The Safety Board determined that the probable cause of the accident involving the cargo carrier's McDonnell Douglas DC-8-63F was the captain's decision to use a runway that was too short for the aircraft's takeoff performance capability under existing load and weather conditions. As a result, the aircraft struck obstacles beyond the departure end of the runway before it began to climb. The Board also found that the flightcrew had failed to use available data which would have informed them that the runway was not long enough for the takeoff. The Board concluded that, although the aircraft did become airborne, the insufficient runway length did not allow the margin of safety that is provided in the normal takeoff criteria as required by 14 CFR 121.189, which relates to takeoff requirements.

Annual Review of Aircraft Accident Data, U.S. General Aviation, Calendar Year 1974. This statistical compilation, report No. NTSB-ARG-76-1 released by the Safety Board May 12, contains information compiled from reports of 4,425 general aviation accidents which occurred during 1974. Included in that accident total are 59 collisions between aircraft; by coding each aircraft involved, an additional 59 records are produced, bringing the total accident records to 4,484—a figure reflecting the true number of pilots and aircraft involved. The publication breaks down non-airline accident statistics in 183 pages of tables and graphs. Included are cause/factor tables for each kind of general aviation flying, severity of injury and aircraft damage by kind of flying and type of aircraft, and such selected data as pilot flight time and accident location by States.

Statistical analyses of these accidents shows a continuing pattern of accidents which could have been prevented before the aircraft left the ground, the Safety Board stated. Both preflight planning and weather hazards have been the subject of numerous Safety Board special studies, safety information bulletins, and

accident reports which are available to the general public on request.

Chart of 1975 Transportation Fatalities. The transportation death toll in the United States continued to decline in 1975, according to preliminary statistics released May 15 by the Safety Board. The 1975 statistics were issued in the form of its annual pie chart of transportation fatalities. (See press release SB-76-39.) The chart shows these estimated 1975 fatality totals by mode, with comparative 1974 figures in parentheses: Highway—44,690 (44,950); rail-highway grade crossings—910 (1,250); railroad—564 (582); marine—380 (379) commercial and 1,480 (1,475) recreational; aviation—124 (467) air carrier and 1,324 (1,290) general aviational; and pipeline—30 (34).

There were 49,502 fatalities in all transport modes—a reduction of 2 percent from the 50,541 total in 1975. The difference of 1,039 fatalities was caused primarily by 600 fewer highway and grade crossings deaths and 343 fewer fatalities in airline crashes. Airlines registered a 73-percent reduction in 1975, from 467 to 124. Pipeline deaths were down 12 percent, from 34 to 30. All other transportation modes showed increases or decreases of less than 5 percent.

Aviation Safety Recommendations A-76-67 through A-76-70. The Safety Board has been assisting the Government of Italy in its investigation of an accident which involved a Trans World Airlines B-707 at Malpensa International Airport near Milan, Italy, last December 22. Although the cause of the accident has not been determined, the Safety Board has found inadequacies in the regulations and procedures pertaining to flag air carrier operations into foreign airports. Accordingly, the Safety Board on May 13 recommended that the Federal Aviation Administration (1) amend the Operations Specifications of U.S. flag air carriers to prohibit pilots from executing an instrument approach or landing at an airport unless the latest visibility has been reported by the U.S. National Weather Service (NWS), by an NWS-approved source, or by an FAA-approved source and unless the reported visibility is equal to or greater than the carrier's landing minimums; (2) amend 14 CFR 121.651 (a) and (b) to prohibit a pilot from executing an instrument approach or from landing at an airport when the NWS, an NWS-approved source, or an FAA-approved source reports that the visibility is less than that prescribed by FAA for landing at that airport; (3) amend 14 CFR 121.651 (c) and (d) to permit the use of a weather report issued by a facility which has been approved by FAA; and (4) amend 14 CFR 121.653 to require that weather reports cited in this rule be issued by the NWS, by an NWS-approved source, or by a source approved by the FAA. The Board asks "urgent followup" on the first recommendation (Class I); the remaining three recommendations are labeled "Class II—priority followup."

Highway Safety Recommendations H-76-11 through H-76-15. In a letter issued May 11 to the Secretary of Transportation, the Safety Board has issued five "priority followup" recommendations regarding bridge-railing structure. The recommendations resulted from Board investigation of the collapse of the Yadkin River Bridge near Siloam, North Carolina, February 23, 1975, after an automobile crashed into a vital structural member of the bridge. The Safety Board has recommended that the Federal Highway Administration (1) develop and publish, as a part of the FHA research program, guidelines for the structural retrofit of bridge railings on existing bridge structures to protect vital structural members from impact by vehicles; (2) include under the National Bridge Inspection Standards and under Highway Safety Program Standard No. 12, "Highway Design, Construction and Maintenance," a requirement that bridge inspection reports be analyzed and evaluated within a specified time period, and that any changes in load limits be posted promptly; (3) include under Highway Safety Program Standard 12, a requirement that all bridges on public roadways be inspected for safety under the same criteria established for bridges on the Federal-aid system under the National Bridge Inspection Standard; (4) institute a program in cooperation with the States which provides for the investigation, by multidisciplinary accident investigation teams, of (a) all bridge collapses on public roadways, and (b) accidents involving vehicles that have struck traffic barrier railings on bridges and damaged structural members vital to the bridge's stability—the number of such investigations to be sufficient to identify the characteristics of individual traffic barrier railings and to identify how such characteristics affect the severity of accidents; and (5) in cooperation with the States, perform a sufficient quantity of skid tests on timber roadway surfaces to establish if such surfaces can normally meet the recommended skid number values contained under Highway Safety Program Standard 12.

Letters in Response to Aviation Safety Recommendations. In a May 6 response to recommendation A-76-1, the Federal Aviation Administration states that, in cooperation with the Alaska State Aviation Division, it has developed a new and effective procedure for the issuance of NOTAM's at Alaskan airports which do not have managers. In response to recommendation A-76-2, FAA has requested the Alaska State Aviation Division to complete their program to increase the conspicuity of runways by December 1976. (See 41 FR 7600, February 19, 1976.)

Also on May 6, FAA responded to recommendation A-76-4 (41 FR 10482, March 11, 1976), stating that Airworthiness Directive, Amendment 39-2583 was issued April 13, 1976. According to FAA, this requires repetitive inspections of the wing for wood deterioration and installation of additional drain holes in the wing, and as necessary, wing repair on Bellanca Model Series 14-19, 17-30, and 17-31.

The accident report, statistical report, transportation fatality chart, and safety recommendation letters are available to the general public; single copies may be obtained without charge. Copies of the letters responding to recommendations may be obtained at a cost of $4.00 for service and 10¢ per page for reproduction. All requests must be in writing, identified by report or recommendation number and date of publication of this FEDERAL REGISTER notice. Address inquiries to: Publications Unit, National Transportation Safety Board, Washington, D.C. 20594.

Multiple copies of the accident report and the annual review of aircraft accident data may be purchased by mail from the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, Springfield, Virginia 22151.

(Secs. 304(a)(2) and 307 of the Independent Safety Board Act of 1974 (Pub. L. 93-633, 88 Stat. 2169, 2172 (49 U.S.C. 1903, 1907)).)

May 17, 1976.

MARGARET L. FISHER,
Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc.76-14743 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

CLEARANCE OF REPORTS

Lists of Requests

The following is a list of requests for clearance of reports intended for use in collecting information from the public received by the Office of Management and Budget on May 14, 1976 (44 U.S.C. 3509). The purpose of publishing this list in the FEDERAL REGISTER is to inform the public.

The list includes the title of each request received; the name of the agency sponsoring the proposed collection of information; the agency form number(s), if applicable; the frequency with which the information is proposed to be collected; the name of the reviewer or reviewing division within OMB, and an indication of who will be the respondents to the proposed collection.

Requests for extension which appear to raise no significant issues are to be approved after brief notice through this release.

Further information about the items on this daily list may be obtained from the Clearance Office, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, D.C. 20503, (202-395-4529), or from the reviewer listed.

NEW FORMS

UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

Letter questionnaire for users of nonfat dry milk, single-time, food manufacturers, Laverne V. Collins, 395-5867.

Letter questionnaire for importers of dried milk mixtures, single-time, importers, Laverne V. Collins, 395-5867.

REVISIONS

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Survey of earned doctorates awarded in the United States, annually, all new Ph. D.'s, Strasser, A., 395-5867.

EXTENSIONS

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Mines:
Manganese Ore and Products (Supply and Disposition), 6-1086-MA, monthly, producers of manganese ore and products, Cynthia Wiggins, 395-5631.
Portland and Masonry Cement (Supply, Disposition, and Stocks), 6-1214-A, annually, cement manufacturers, Cynthia Wiggins, 395-5631.
Shipments of Aviation Fuels, 6-1301-A, annually, petroleum companies, Hulett, D. T., 395-4730.

VELMA N. BALDWIN,
Assistant to the Director for Administration.

[FR Doc.76-14944 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[File No. 500-1]

CONTINENTAL VENDING MACHINE CORP.

Notice of Suspension of Trading

MAY 13, 1976.

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the common stock of Continental Vending Machine Corporation being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors;

Therefore, pursuant to Section 12(k) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, trading in such securities otherwise than on a national securities exchange is suspended, for the period from May 14, 1976 through May 23, 1976.

By the Commission.

GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-14719 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

[Release No. 34-12441; File No. SR-PSE-76-16]

PACIFIC STOCK EXCHANGE INC.

Self-Regulatory Organizations

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1), as amended by Pub. L. No. 94-29, 16 (June 4, 1975), notice is hereby given that on May 3, 1976, the above-mentioned self-regulatory organization filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission a proposed rule change as follows:

STATEMENT OF THE TERMS OF SUBSTANCE OF THE PROPOSED RULE CHANGE

Pacific Stock Exchange Incorporated (the "Exchange") proposes to clarify certain aspects of the transfer fees imposed upon sales and other transfers of membership by Section 9(a) (Initial and Transfer Fees) of its Rule IX (Exchange Membership), to integrate into the present Section 9(a) the Special Transfer Fee of $5000 imposed upon certain sales and other transfers of memberships as a part of the Exchange's Options Funding Plan of 1975, and to impose a $300 processing fee for processing Agreements with Respect to Financing Membership, which are also known as "XYZ Agreements".

The text of the existing Section 9(a) of Rule IX, with brackets used to indicate words to be deleted and underscoring used to indicate words to be added, is set forth in Attachment A to this Notice.

STATEMENT OF BASIS AND PURPOSE

The basis and purpose of the foregoing proposed change is as follows:

The purposes of the proposed rule change are: (1) to clarify certain aspects of the present Section 9(a); (2) to integrate into the present Section 9(a) the Special Transfer Fee originally imposed as a part of the Exchange's Options Funding Plan of 1975, which was the subject of File No. SR-PSE-75-1; and (3) to impose a processing fee for the processing of Agreements with Respect to Financing Membership, which were the subject of File No. SR-PSE-76-12. The purpose of the Special Transfer Fee was discussed in File No. SR-PSE-75-1, and the purpose of the processing fee charged for the processing of Agreements with Respect to Financing Membership is to defray the expenses incurred by the Exchange in connection with such processing.

The proposed rule change relates to the equitable allocation of reasonable fees and other charges among the members and member firms of the Exchange.

Comments on the proposed rule change have not been solicited from Exchange members and member firms, and none have been received.

The proposed rule change will not impose any burden on competition.

The foregoing rule change has become effective, pursuant to Section 19(b)(3) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. At any time within sixty days of the filing of such proposed rule change, the Commission may summarily abrogate such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing. Persons desiring to make written submissions should file 6 copies thereof with the Secretary of the Commission, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. Copies of the filing with respect to the foregoing and of all written submissions will be available for inspection and copying in the Public Reference Room, 1100 L Street NW., Washington, D.C. Copies of such filing will also be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the above-mentioned self-regulatory organization. All submissions should refer to the file number referenced in the caption above and should be submitted on or before June 21, 1976.

For the Commission by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

MAY 13, 1976.

ATTACHMENT A

Initial [and], Transfer *and Processing* Fees

Sec. 9(a). In accordance with Section 2 of Article VII of the Constitution, [on the purchase and sale of a membership there shall be an initial fee paid by the buyer and a transfer fee paid by the seller to the Exchange amounting to 5% of the purchase price of the membership, with a minimum of $300 each. However, on the intra-firm transfers the initial and transfer fees shall be $100 each.

When a member firm is acquired by a non-member securities firm by merger or otherwise and the non-member firm qualifies as a member firm, a fee of $500 shall be paid.] *the following initial and transfer fees shall be payable in connection with the acquisition or transfer of a membership:*

(i) Sale of Membership. Unless one of the special provisions set forth below applies, in the event that a membership is sold by a member or a member organization, the buyer shall pay an initial fee equal to the greater of 5% of the purchase price or $500.

(ii) Intra-Organization Transfers. In the event that a membership is transferred from one member to another member in the same member organization, the transferor and transferee shall each pay a transfer fee of $100 to the Exchange, provided, however, that a member who purchased more than one membership in connection with the Options Funding Plan of 1975 may transfer a number of memberships equal to one less than the number of memberships so purchased to a member or members within the same member organization as the transferring member without payment of a transfer fee.

(iii) Inter-Organization Transfers. In the event that a member transfers a membership from one member organization to another member organization (the "new member organization") in connection with joining the new member organization, the member shall pay a transfer fee of $100 to the Exchange, provided, however, that a member who purchased more than one membership in connection with the Options Funding Plan of 1975 may transfer a number of memberships equal to one less than the number of memberships so purchased to the new member organization without payment of a transfer fee.

(iv) Acquisition of a Member Organization. In the event that a member organization is acquired by a non-member organization, by merger or otherwise, the non-member organization shall pay to the Exchange, upon qualification as a member organization, a transfer fee equal to $500 plus $200 for each membership in excess of one held by the member organization acquired.

(v) Member Conferring Privileges of Membership on a Non-Member Organization. In the event that a member confers the privileges of his membership on a non-member organization, the non-member organization shall pay to the Exchange, upon qualification as a member organization, a transfer fee equal to $500 plus $200 for each membership in excess of one with respect to which it has been conferred the privileges of membership by the member.

(vi) Transfers to Wholly-Owned Subsidiaries or Parents. In the event that a member

organization transfers one or more memberships to an existing wholly-owned subsidiary or to a corporation that owns 100% of the outstanding capital stock of the member organization prior to and at the time of the transfer, the transferee shall pay a transfer fee of $500 to the Exchange.

(vii) Special Transfer Fee. In the event that a membership or memberships are sold or transferred by a member or a member organization which purchased one or more Type "B" or Type "C" memberships in connection with the Options Funding Plan of 1975, for a period of one year following the initial purchase date of such Type "B" or Type "C" membership or memberships, a Special Transfer Fee of $5,000 shall be payable in connection with the transfer of a membership by such members or member organizations, as follows:

(a) Sale of Membership. In the event that a membership or memberships are sold by a member or member organization, the seller shall pay to the Exchange, in addition to the transfer fee set forth in Sec. 9(a)(1) of this Rule, a Special Transfer Fee for each membership sold, up to the number of Type "B" and Type "C" memberships purchased by the seller in connection with the Options Funding Plan of 1975 (less the number of memberships previously sold or transferred by the seller with respect to which the Special Transfer Fee was paid to the Exchange).

(b) Acquisition of a Member Organization. In the event that a member organization is acquired by a non-member organization, by merger or otherwise, the non-member organization shall pay to the Exchange, upon qualification as a member organization, a Special Transfer Fee for each membership held by the acquired member organization which is activated (registered in the name or names of different persons in the non-member organization as members or nominee members) during the one-year period the Special Transfer Fee is applicable, up to the number of Type "B" and Type "C" memberships purchased by the acquired member organization in connection with the Options Funding Plan of 1975 (less the number of memberships previously sold or transferred by the acquired member organization with respect to which the Special Transfer Fee was paid to the Exchange). The transfer fee provided in Sec. 9(a)(iv) of this Rule shall not apply to memberships subject to the Special Transfer Fee provided in this Sec. 9(a)(vii)(b), but it shall apply to any memberships not subject to the Special Transfer Fee provided in this Sec. 9(a)(vii)(b) by virtue of either the limitation on the number of memberships subject to the Special Transfer Fee or the fact that they are not activated (registered in the name or names of different persons in the non-member organization as members or nominee members) during the one-year period the Special Transfer Fee is applicable.

(c) Member Conferring Privileges of Membership on a Non-Member Organization. In the event that a member confers the privileges of his membership on a non-member organization, the non-member organization shall pay to the Exchange, upon qualification as a member organization, a Special Transfer Fee for each membership with respect to which it has been conferred the privileges of membership by the member, up to the number of Type "B" and Type "C" memberships purchased by the member in connection with the Options Funding Plan of 1975 (less the number of memberships previously sold or transferred by the member with respect to which the Special Transfer Fee was paid to the Exchange). The transfer fee provided in Sec. 9(a)(v) of this Rule shall not apply to memberships subject to the Special Transfer Fee provided in this Sec. 9(a)(vii)(c), but it shall apply to any memberships not subject to the Special Transfer Fee provided in this Sec. 9(a)(vii)(c) by virtue of either the limitation on the number of memberships subject to the Special Transfer Fee or the fact that they are not activated (registered in the name or names of different persons in the non-member organization as members or nominee members) during the one-year period the Special Transfer Fee is applicable.

Notwithstanding the provisions of this Sec. 9(a)(vii), the Board of Governors of the Exchange may in its discretion waive all or any part of the Special Transfer Fee in accordance with the terms of the Options Funding Plan of 1975.

(viii) Fees for Processing Agreements with Respect to Financing of Membership. An individual (referred to as the "applicant" in an Agreement with Respect to Financing Membership) with respect to whom the benefits of membership are conferred or sought to be conferred through an Agreement with Respect to Financing Membership (also known as, and hereinafter referred to as, an "XYZ Agreement"), shall pay to the Exchange a processing fee of $300 upon the submission of the XYZ Agreement to the Exchange. In the event the individual confers his membership privileges on an existing member organization, the processing fee shall be $200.

[FR Doc.76-14717 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

[File No. 81-201]

UNITED AIR LINES, INC.

Notice of Application and Opportunity for Hearing

MAY 11, 1976.

Notice is hereby given that United Air Lines, Inc. ("Applicant") has filed an application pursuant to Section 12(h) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "1934 Act"), for a finding that an exemption from the requirement to file reports pursuant to Section 13 of the 1934 Act would not be inconsistent with the public interest or the protection of investors.

Section 13 of the 1934 Act provides that every issuer of a security registered pursuant to Section 12 of the 1934 Act shall file with the Commission, in accordance with such rules and regulations as the Commission may prescribe as necessary or appropriate for the proper protection of investors and to ensure fair dealing in the security, such information and documents required to be included in or filed with an application or registration statement filed pursuant to such Section 12 and such annual reports and such quarterly reports as the Commission may prescribe.

Section 12(h) of the 1934 Act empowers the Commission to exempt, in whole or in part, any issuer or class of issuers from the periodic reporting provisions of Section 13 if the Commission finds, by reason of the number of public investors, amount of trading interest in the securities, income or assets of the issuer or otherwise, that such exemption is not inconsistent with the public interest or the protection of investors.

The Applicant states, in part:

1. Applicant, a Delaware corporation, is an air carrier which is wholly owned by UAL, Inc. ("UAL").

2. Applicant has outstanding $82,745,000 principal amount of 5% Subordinated Debentures due December 1, 1991 ("5% Debentures") and $99,221,000 principal amount of 4¼% Subordinated Debentures due July 1, 1992 ("4¼% Debentures"). These Debentures were originally convertible into shares of common stock of Applicant.

3. As a result of a plan of reorganization effected in 1969 (by which Applicant became a wholly owned subsidiary of UAL) supplemental indentures were entered into which made the Debentures convertible into shares of comomn stock of UAL, and also made UAL primarily liable on the Debentures.

4. None of the securities of Applicant (other than the 5% Debentures and 4¼% Debentures) are held by any person other than UAL.

In the absence of an exemption, Applicant is required to file certain periodic reports with the Commission pursuant to Section 13 of the 1934 Act.

Accordingly, Applicant believes that the exemption order requested by it is appropriate in view of the fact that none of the securities of the Applicant (other than the Debentures) are held by any person other than UAL and that it is the 1934 Act Reports of UAL and not those of Applicant in which investors would be primarily interested. Additionally, continued reporting would be burdensome and expensive to Applicant.

For a more detailed statement of the information presented, all persons are referred to said application which is on file in the offices of the Commission at 500 North Capitol Street, Washington, D.C.

Notice is further given that any interested person not later than June 4, 1976, may submit to the Commisison in writing his views or any substantial facts bearing on this application or the desirability of a hearing thereon. Any such communication or request should be addressed to: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 500 North Capitol Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20549 and should state briefly the nature of the interest of the person submitting such information or requesting the hearing, the reason for such request, and the issues of fact and law raised by the application which he desires to controvert. At any time after said date, an order granting the application in whole or in part may be issued upon request or upon the Commission's own motion.

By the Commission.

GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-14718 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

BALTIMORE DISTRICT ADVISORY COUNCIL

Public Meeting; Cancellation

The Small Business Administration Baltimore District Advisory Council has cancelled its public meetings scheduled for Friday, May 21, 1976, and Saturday, May 22, 1976. For further information write or call Gerard J. Lang, U.S. Small Business Administration, 7800 York Road, Towson, Maryland 21204, (301) 922–2150.

Dated: May 11, 1976.

ANTHONY S. STASIO,
Chief Counsel for Advocacy.

[FR Doc.76–14699 Filed 5–19–76;8:45 am]

[Declaration of Disaster Loan Area No. 1242]

NEW YORK

Declaration of Disaster Area

Monroe, Wayne and adjacent counties within the State of New York constitute a disaster area because of damage resulting from heavy rainfall and flooding on April 15–16, 1976. Eligible persons, firms and organizations may file applications for loans for physical damage until the close of business on July 6, 1976, and for economic injury until the close of business on February 7, 1977, at:

Small Business Administration,
District Office,
Fayette and Salina Streets,
Syracuse, New York 13202.

or other locally announced locations.

Dated: May 7, 1976.

LOUIS F. LAUN,
Acting Administrator.

[FR Doc.76–14700 Filed 5–19–76;8:45 am]

SOUTHWEST CAPITAL INVESTMENTS, INC.

[License No. 06/06–0179]

Notice of Issuance of License

On March 22, 1976, a notice was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (41 FR 11909) stating that Southwest Capital Corporation, 127–B Jefferson, N.E., Albuquerque, New Mexico 87108, had filed an application with the Small Business Administration (SBA), pursuant to Section 107.102 of the Regulations governing small business investment companies (13 C.F.R. Section 107.102(1976)), for a license to operate as a small business investment company (SBIC).

The notice also stated, among other things, that the applicant had agreed to change its name because of the similarity to the names of former and existing Licensees.

Interested parties were given to the close of business, April 6, 1976, to submit their written comments to SBA.

Notice is hereby given that, having considered the application and all other pertinent information, SBA has issued License No. 06/06–0179 in the (new) name of Southwest Capital Investments, Inc., pursuant to Section 301(c) of the Small Business Investment Act of 1958, as amended.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 59–011 Small Business Investment Companies)

Dated: May 10, 1976.

JAMES THOMAS PHELAN,
Deputy Associate Administrator for Investment.

[FR Doc.76–14701 Filed 5–19–76;8:45 am]

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

[Notice No. 51]

ASSIGNMENT OF HEARINGS

MAY 17, 1976.

Cases assigned for hearing, postponement, cancellation or oral argument appear below and will be published only once. This list contains prospective assignments only and does not include cases previously assigned hearing dates. The hearings will be on the issues as presently reflected in the Official Docket of the Commission. An attempt will be made to publish notices of cancellation of hearings as promptly as possible, but interested parties should take appropriate steps to insure that they are notified of cancellation or postponements of hearings in which they are interested.

MC 138274 (Sub-No. 16), Shippers Best Express, Inc. now assigned August 4, 1976, at Salt Lake City, Utah is postponed indefinitely.

MC 108835 Sub-24, Hyman Freightways, Inc., now assigned June 14, 1976, at Kansas City, Mo., is cancelled and transferred to modified procedure.

MC–F–11327, National Freight Inc.—Control—Cross Transportation, Inc.; MC–F–11332, Boston & Taunton Transportation Co.—Purchase Portion—Cross Transportation, Inc.; MC–F–11336, Garton's Express, Inc.—Purchase (Portion)—Cross Transportation, Inc.; MC–F–11337, Burgmeyer Bros., Inc.—Purchase (portion)—Cross Transportation, Inc.; MC–F–11338, Kenmore Transportation Co.—Purchase (portion)—Cross Transportation, Inc.; MC–F–11343, Towers Transportation, Inc.—Purchase (portion)—Cross Transportation, Inc.; MC–F–12190 National Freight, Inc.—Purchase—Northeastern Trucking Co.; MC–FC–75620, C.F. Trucking, Inc., Transferee & Towers Transportation, Inc. Transferor; MC 1386 Sub-4, Garton's Express, Inc.; MC 2860 Sub-No. 144, National Freight, Inc.; and MC–C–7570, *Federal Highway Administration* vs. *Cross Transportation, Inc.*, now being assigned prehearing conference on June 10, 1976, at the Offices of the Interstate Commerce Commisison, Washington, D.C.

MC 116514 (Sub-34), Edwards Trucking, Inc. now being assigned September 30, 1976 at the Offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission in Washington, D.C.

MC 108341 (Sub-42), Moss Trucking Company, Inc. now being assigned September 30, 1976 at the Offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission in Washington, D.C.

MC 125335 (Sub-3), Good-Way, Inc., application is now being dismissed.

MC 118959 (Sub-128), Jerry Lipps, Inc. now assigned July 15, 1976 at Louisville, Kentucky is now being cancelled, application dismissed.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.76–14849 Filed 5–19–76;8:45 am]

FOURTH SECTION APPLICATION FOR RELIEF

MAY 17, 1976.

An application, as summarized below, has been filed requesting relief from the requirements of Section 4 of the Interstate Commerce Act to permit common carriers named or described in the application to maintain higher rates and charges at intermediate points than those sought to be established at more distant points.

Protests to the granting of an application must be prepared in accordance with Rule 40 of the General Rules of Practice (49 CFR 1100.40) and filed on or before June 4, 1976.

FSA No. 43164—*Joint Water-Rail Container Rates—Baltic Shipping Company.* Filed by Baltic Shipping Company, (No. 103), for itself and interested rail carriers. Rates on general commodities, between ports in Europe, and rail stations on the U.S. Atlantic Coast Seaboard.

Grounds for relief—Water competition.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.76–14847 Filed 5–19–76;8:45 am]

[Notice No. 62]

MOTOR CARRIER TEMPORARY AUTHORITY APPLICATIONS

MAY 12, 1976.

The following are notices of filing of applications for temporary authority under Section 210a(a) of the Interstate Commerce Act provided for under the provisions of 49 CFR § 1131.3. These rules provide that an original and six (6) copies of protests to an application may be filed with the field official named in the FEDERAL REGISTER publication no later than the 15th calendar day after the date the notice of the filing of the application is published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. One copy of the protest must be served on the applicant, or its authorized representative, if any, and the protestant must certify that such service has been made. The protest must identify the operating authority upon which it is predicated, specifying the "MC" docket and "Sub" number and quoting the particular portion of authority upon which it relies. Also, the protestant shall specify the service it can and will provide and the amount and type of equipment it will make available for use in connection with the service contemplated by the TA application. The weight accorded a protest shall be gov-

erned by the completeness and pertinence of the protestant's information.

Except as otherwise specifically noted, each applicant states that there will be no significant effect on the quality of the human environment resulting from approval of its application.

A copy of the application is on file, and can be examined at the Office of the Secretary, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C., and also in the I.C.C. Field Office to which protests are to be transmitted.

MOTOR CARRIERS OF PROPERTY

No. MC 48948 (Sub-No. 7TA) (Correction), filed April 8, 1976, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of April 28, 1976, republished as corrected this issue. Applicant: THE HOCKING CARTAGE COMPANY, R.R. 2, Logan, Ohio 43138. Applicant's representative: James M. Burtch, 100 East Broad St., Columbus, Ohio 43215. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Glass cullet*, in dump vehicles, from the plantsite of the Mattoon Lamp plant of The General Electric Company, at or near Mattoon, Ill., to the plantsite of the Logan Glass Plant of The General Electric Company, at or near Logan, Ohio, for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: Logan Glass Plant, The General Electric Company, Box 699, Logan, Ohio 32138. Send protests to: Frank L. Calvary, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, 220 Federal Bldg., & U.S. Courthouse, 85 Marconi Blvd., Columbus, Ohio 43215. The purpose of this republication is to correctly show the authority sought in this proceeding.

No. MC 78400 (Sub-No. 48TA), filed May 3, 1976. Applicant: BEAUFORT TRANSFER COMPANY, P.O. Box 151, Gerald, Mo. 63037. Applicant's representative: John E. Burruss, Jr., Central Trust Bldg., P.O. Box 1069, Jefferson City, Mo. 65101. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, commodities in bulk, and household goods, over regular routes serving the facilities of Union Electric Company, at or near Reform, Mo., as an off-route point in connection with applicant's presently authorized regular route operation. Applicant intends to tack its existing authority with MC 78400, applicant also intends to interline at St. Louis, for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: Union Electric Company, P.O. Box 149, 1901 Gratiot St., St. Louis, Mo. 63166. Send protests to: J. P. Werthmann, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Room 1465, 210 N. 12th St., St. Louis, Mo. 63101.

Nc MC 100666 (Sub-No. 319TA), filed May 3, 1976. Applicant: MELTON TRUCK LINE, INC., P.O. Box 7666, Shreveport, La. 71107. Applicant's representative: Dean Williamson, 280 National Foundation Life Bldg., 3535 NW. 58th St., Oklahoma City, Okla. 73112. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Footwalks*, from Gulfport, Miss., to points in Arkansas, Louisiana, Oklahoma, and Texas, for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: IKG Industries, a division of Horsco Corporation, P.O. Box 479, Nashville, Tenn. 37202. Send protests to: Ray C. Armstrong, Jr., District Supervisor, 9038 Federal Bldg., 701 Loyola Ave., New Orleans, La. 70113.

No. MC 117574 (Sub-No. 275TA), filed May 4, 1976. Applicant: DAILY EXPRESS, INC., P.O. Box 39, Carlisle, Pa. 17013. Applicant's representative: James W. Hagar, P.O. Box 1166, Harrisburg, Pa. 17108. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Buildings, building panels, building parts, and materials, accessories, and supplies* used in the installation, erection, and construction of buildings, building panels and building parts (except commodities in bulk), from the plantsite and storage facilities of Butler Manufacturing Company, located at Annville (Lebanon County), Pa., to points in Connecticut, Delaware, the District of Columbia, Kentucky, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Tennessee, Vermont, Virginia, and West Virginia, restricted to traffic originating at the above-named plantsite and storage facilities, for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: Butler Manufacturing Co., Annville, Pa. Send protests to: Robert P. Amerine, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, 278 Federal Bldg., P.O. Box 869, Harrisburg, Pa. 17108.

No. MC 118159 (Sub-No. 174TA), filed May 3, 1976. Applicant: NATIONAL REFRIGERATED TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 51366, Dawson Station, Tulsa, Okla. 74151. Applicant's representative: Neil A. DuJardin, P.O. Box 2298, Green Bay, Wis. 54306. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Novelty ice cream products and water ices* (except in bulk), in mechanically-refrigerated trailers, from Ocala, Fla., to points in Alabama, Georgia, Tennessee, North Carolina, South Carolina, Virginia, West Virginia, Kentucky, Maryland, Delaware, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, New Jersey, New York, Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, Massachusetts, Connecticut, and the District of Columbia, for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: Gold Bond Ice Cream, 808 Pakerland Drive, Green Bay, Wis. 54303. Send protests to: Joe Green, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, Room 240, Old Post Office Bldg., 215 NW. 3rd St., Oklahoma City, Okla. 73102.

No. MC 119669 (Sub-No. 56TA) (Correction), filed April 9, 1976, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of April 23, 1976, republished as corrected this issue. Applicant: TEMPCO TRANSPORTATION, INC., P.O. Box 254, Plainfield, Ind. 46168. Applicant's representative: G. James Bonnette (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, and meat by-products, and articles distributed by meat packinghouses*, as described in Sections A and C of Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except hides and commodities in bulk), from the plantsite and/or storage facilities utilized by Iowa Beef Processors, Inc., at or near Amarillo, Tex., to points in Alabama, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, the District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Tennessee, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and South Dakota, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Iowa Beef Processors, Inc., Dakota City, Nebr. 68731. Send protests to: Fran Sterling, Interstate Commerce Commission, Federal Bldg., & U.S. Courthouse, 46 East Ohio St., Room 429 Indianapolis, Ind. The purpose of this republication is to correct the territorial description.

No. MC 123056 (Sub-No. 3TA), filed May 5, 1976. Applicant: GENE McGINNIS, doing business as FREDONIA TRUCK LINE, Highway 96 and Jackson St., Fredonia, Kans. 66736. Applicant's representative: Laurel D. McClellan, P.O. Box 478, Fredonia, Kans. 66736. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Soybean meal and soybean mill run*, from Fredonia, Kans., to points in Arkansas, Missouri (except St. Louis), Oklahoma, and Texas (except points in Brazoria, Chambers, Fort Bend, Galveston, Harris, Liberty, Montgomery, and Waller Counties, Tex.), under a continuing contract with Archer-Daniels-Midland Company, for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: Archer-Daniels-Midland Company, 209 West Adams, P.O. Box 558, Fredonia, Kans. 66736. Send protests to: M. E. Taylor, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, 501 Petroleum Bldg., Wichita, Kans. 67202.

No. MC 126489 (Sub-No. 30TA), filed May 3, 1976. Applicant: GASTON FEED TRANSPORTS, INC., P.O. Box 1066,

Hutchinson, Kans. 66603. Applicant's representative: John E. Jandera, 641 Harrison St., Topeka, Kans. 66603. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Dry animal feed* (except salt and salt products), from Hutchinson, Kans., to points in Alabama, Arkansas, Colorado, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Louisiana, Mississippi, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, New Mexico, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, and Wisconsin, for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: Kal-Kan Foods, Inc., 3386 East 44th St., Vernon, Calif. 90058. Send protests to: M. E. Taylor, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, 501 Petroleum Bldg., Wichita, Kans. 67202.

No. MC 128652 (Sub-No. 12TA) (Correction), filed March 30, 1976, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of April 23, 1976, republished as corrected this issue. Applicant: LARSON TRANSFER & STORAGE CO., INC., 950 West 94th St., Minneapolis, Minn. 55431. Applicant's representative: F. H. Kroeger, 1745 University Ave., St. Paul, Minn. 55104. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Lawn and garden maintenance equipment, snowblowers, and attachments for lawn and garden maintenance equipment and snowblowers, and parts, materials, and supplies used in the manufacture of lawn and garden maintenance equipment and snowblowers*, between Minneapolis, Burnsville, Brooklyn Park, Windom, Minn., and Tomah, Wis., and their commercial zones; (2) *Parts, material and supplies used in the manufacture of lawn and garden maintenance equipment and snowblowers*, between Minneapolis, Burnsville, Brooklyn Park, and Windom, Minn., and Tomah, Wis., on the one hand, and, Mankato, Madelia, Shalopee, St. James and St. Cloud, Minn.; Crafton and New Holstein, Wis., on the other, and the commercial zones thereof. Restrictions: (a) The operations authorized herein are limited to a transportation service to be performed under a continuing contract or contracts with the Toro Company of Bloomington, Minn.; (b) the authority named in (2) above will not apply on internal combustion engines from New Holstein and Grafton, Wis., to Windom, Minn.; and (c) the authority named in (1) above will not apply on parts, materials, and supplies used in the manufacture of lawn or garden maintenance equipment, including snowblowers and attachments for the above-named commodities between Minneapolis and St. Paul, Minn., on the one hand, and, on the other, Windom, Minn., on shipments having a prior or subsequent movement by air freight, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: The Toro Company, 8111 Lyndale Ave. South, Bloomington, Minn. 55420. Send protests to: A. N. Spath, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 414 Federal Bldg., & U.S. Courthouse, 110 South Fourth St., Minneapolis, Minn. 55401. The purpose of this republication is to correct the restriction in this proceeding.

No. MC 134564 (Sub-No. 4TA), filed May 3, 1976. Applicant: GLOVER TRUCKING CORP., P.O. Box 7206, Holland Station, Suffolk, Va. 23437. Applicant's representative: Charles Ephraim, Suite 600, 1250 Connecticut Ave. NW., Washington, D.C. 20036. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Agricultural pesticides and emulsifiers* used in connection therewith (except in bulk, in tank vehicles); (1) from Miami and Orlando, Fla.; Atlanta and Bainbridge, Ga.; Wyoming, Ill.; Opelousas, La.; Cockeysville, Md.; Greenville, Miss.; Dayton and Edison, N.J.; Ayden, Goldsboro, and Greensboro, N.C.; Columbus, Ohio; Bamberg and Charleston, S.C., to points in Rockingham, Augusta, Page, Nelson, Greene, Albemarle, Madison, Spotsylvania, Caroline, Essex, and Richmond Counties, Va., points in Virginia in, east, and south of Brunswick, Dinwiddie, Chesterfield, Henrico, New Kent, James City, and York Counties, Va., and the city of Richmond, Va., and points in North Carolina in, east, and north of Northampton, Halifax, Nash, Wilson, Wayne, Lenoir, Craven, and Carteret Counties, and (2) from Holland Station, Suffolk, Va., to points in North Carolina in, east, and north of Northampton, Halifax, Nash, Wilson, Wayne, Lenoir, Craven, and Carteret Counties. Restriction: The above transportation service is limited to that performed under a continuing contract or contracts with Glover Fertilizer & Grain Corp. of Holland Station, Suffolk, Va., for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: Morris H. Glover, Glover Fertilizer & Grain Corp., P.O. Box 7206, Holland Station, Suffolk, Va. 23437. Send protests to: Paul D. Collins, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, Room 10-502, Federal Bldg., Richmond, Va. 23240.

No. MC 135797 (Sub-No. 53TA), filed May 3, 1976. Applicant: J. B. HUNT TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 200, Lowell, Ark. 72745. Applicant's representative: L. C. Cypert, 204 Highway 71 North, Suite 3, Springdale, Ark. 72764. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Oil absorbents, padding, and cushioning materials;* (2) *wallboard, insulation, and insulation materials*, and (3) *Mulch; also equipment, materials, and accessories* used in installation or application of commodities named in (2) and (3), from the plantsite and warehouse facilities of Conwed Corporation, Cloquet, Minn., to points in Arizona, California, Colorado, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, Utah, Oregon, Washington, and Wyoming, for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: Conwed Corporation, Cloquet, Minn. 55720. Send protests to: William H. Land, Jr., District Supervisor, 3108 Federal Office Bldg., 700 West Capitol, Little Rock, Ark. 72201.

No. MC 138835 (Sub-No. 21TA), filed May 4, 1976. Applicant: EASTERN REFRIGERATED TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 472, Harrisonburg, Va. 22801. Applicant's representative: Harry J. Jordan, 1000 16th St. NW., Washington, D.C. 20036. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Frozen foods*, between Crozet, Va., and Russellville, Ark., restricted to shipments originating at and destined to Morton Frozen Foods Division of ITT Continental Baking Company, Inc., at the above-named points; and (2) *frozen bread dough*, from the plantsite and facilities of Witterau and Company, at or near St. Louis, Mo., to the plantsite and facilities of Morton Frozen Foods Division of ITT Continental Baking Company, Inc., at Russellville, Ark., restricted to the above-named plantsites and facilities, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Morton Frozen Foods, Division of ITT Continental Baking Co., Inc., P.O. Box 7547, Charlottesville, Va. 22906. Send protests to: Danny R. Beeler, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, P.O. Box 210, Roanoke, Va. 24011.

No. MC 139495 (Sub-No. 145TA), filed May 3, 1976. Applicant: NATIONAL CARRIERS, INC., P.O. Box 1358, Liberal, Kans. 67901. Applicant's representative: Herbert Alan Dubin, 1819 H St., NW., Washington, D.C. 20006. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Toys and games*, from the plantsite and storage facilities of the Milton Bradley Company, located at or near East Longmeadow, Mass., and Voorheesville, N.Y., to points in Ohio, Indiana, Illinois, Michigan, Wisconsin, Minnesota, Iowa, Missouri, Oklahoma, Kansas, Texas, North Dakota, South Dakota, Nebraska, Wyoming, Colorado, New Mexico, Montana, Washington, Oregon, Idaho, California, Nevada, Utah, Arizona, Louisiana, and Arkansas, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Milton Bradley Company, 443 Shaker Road, E. Longmeadow, Mass. 01028. Send Protests to: M. E. Taylor, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, 501 Petroleum Bldg., Wichita, Kans. 67202.

No. MC 139541 (Sub-No. 3TA), filed May 4, 1976. Applicant: JOSEPH RAIMO III, INC., P.O. Box 321, Temple, Pa. 19560. Applicant's representative: John W. Dry, 541 Penn St., Reading, Pa. 19601. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Foundry sand and foundry supplies* for International Foundry Supply, Inc., Reading, Pa., between Reading, Pa., on the one hand, and Chicago, Ill.; Cleveland, Ohio; Milwaukee, Wis.; Green Moun-

tain, N.C.; Dearborn, Mich.; Fort Worth, Tex., and points in Maryland and New Jersey, under a continuing contract with International Foundry Supply, Inc., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: International Foundry Supply, Inc., 400 Orrton Ave., Reading, Pa. Send protests to: Monica A. Blodgett, Transportation Assistant, Interstate Commerce Commission, 600 Arch St., Room 3238, Philadelphia, Pa. 19106.

No. MC 140952 (Sub-No. 1TA), filed May 3, 1976. Applicant: HELEN G. LEE, doing business as REFRIGERATED EXPRESS, 1209 Kanawha Terrace, Huntington, W. Va. 25701. Applicant's representative: John M. Friedman, 2930 Putnam Ave., Hurricane, W. Va. 25526. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Candy or confectionery and medicinal candies*, moving in refrigerated equipment, from Huntington, W. Va., to points in Bland, Tazewell, Montgomery, and Giles Counties, Va.; Gallia, Lawrence, and Washington Counties, Ohio; Pike, Boyd, and Lawrence Counties, Ky.; and Mercer, McDowell, Wyoming, Raleigh, Mingo, Logan, Wayne, Lincoln, Boone, Fayette, Nicholas, Clay, Kanawha, Putnam, Cabell, Mason, Roane, Calhoun, Gilmer, Braxton, Lewis, Harrison, Doddridge, Ritchie, Wirt, and Wood Counties, W. Va., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Gary E. Niemeyer, Traffic Manager, Hollywood Brands Division, Consolidated Foods, Inc., 836 South Chestnut, Centralia, Ill. 62801. Send protests to: H. R. White, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, 3108 Federal Office Bldg., 500 Quarrier St., Charleston, W. Va. 25301.

No. MC 141972 (Sub-No. 1TA), filed April 28, 1976. Applicant: BEVERLY HUGH COMMINS, doing business as COMMINS TRANSPORT INC., Rt. 1, Box 52–B, King William, Va. 23086. Applicant's representative: Beverly Hugh Commins (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Malt beverages and the return of empty containers*, from Williamsburg, Va., to Washington, D.C., and its comercial zone, for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: Rocco Franchi, Traffic Manager, Anheuser-Busch, Inc., P.O. Drawer U, Williamsburg, Va. 23185. Send protests to: Paul D. Collins, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, Room 10–502 Federal Bldg., 400 North 8th St., Richmond, Va. 23240.

No. MC 142022TA, filed May 3, 1976. Applicant: FRED LAFLAIR, Route No. 2, Canton, N.Y. 13617. Applicant's representative: Roy D. Pinsky, 345 South Warren St., Syracuse, N.Y. 13202. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Dry animal and poultry feed*, in bulk, in vehicles equipped with self-unloading devices for blower delivery from shipper's plant in Town of Gouverneur (St. Lawrence County), N.Y., to Sheldon Junction, Vt.; *Returned, refused, and rejected shipments* on return, for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: R. E. Christopher, Purchasing/Traffic Manager, Allied Mills, Inc., P.O. Box 277, Alexander, N.Y. 14005. Send protests to: Morris H. Gross, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Room 104, 301 Erie Blvd., West Syracuse, N.Y. 13202.

No. MC 142023TA, filed May 3, 1976. Applicant: DALTON EQUIPMENT LIMITED, Highway 2 East, Box 458, Tilbury, Ontario, Canada. Applicant's representative: John W. Bryant, 900 Guardian Bldg., Detroit, Mich. 48226. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Tractors* (except tractors equipped with vehicle beds, bed frames, or fifth wheels); and (2) *agricultural implements, attachments*, and tractor parts, in mixed loads with tractors as described in (1) above, from the plantsites of Massey-Ferguson, Inc., at Detroit and Dearborn, Mich., to the International Boundary between the United States and Canada, at Detroit, Mich., restricted to shipments originating at the above-named plantsites and destined to farm equipment dealers at points in Ontario, Canada, lying on and south of Kings Highway No. 86, between Guelph and Lake Huron, and on, south, and west of Kings Highway No. 6 between Guelph and Port Dover, for 180 days. Supporting shippers: There are approximately 6 statements of support attached to the application, which may be examined at the Interstate Commerce Commission in Washington, D.C., or copies thereof which may be examined at the field office named below. Send protests to: Melvin F. Kirsch, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 110 Broderick Tower, 10 Witherell, Detroit, Mich. 48226.

No. MC 142026TA, filed April 19, 1976. Applicant: RAY KUMPF, doing business as A. & R. TRANSPORT, 7321 South 78th St., Omaha, Nebr. 68128. Applicant's representative: Ray Kumpf (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *New and used trailers and semi-trailers and parts thereof*, in primary and secondary movement, from Elk Point, S. Dak.; Sioux City, Iowa; and Omaha, Nebr., to points in Nebraska, Kansas, Oklahoma, Texas, Arkansas, and Iowa; and from Brady, Tex.; Camden and Ashdown, Ark.; Oklahoma City and Tulsa, Okla.; Great Bend, Kans.; to Omaha, Nebr., and Oklahoma City, Okla, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Gaston Breazeale, General Partner, American Semi-Trailers of Iowa, 1705 W. South Omaha, Bridge Road, Council Bluffs, Iowa 51501. Send protests to: Carroll Russell, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Suite 620, 110 North 14th St., Omaha, Nebr. 68102.

No. MC 142027TA, filed May 3, 1976. Applicant: R. L. DRAYMEN LTD., 2611 County Line Road, Medina, N.Y. 14103. Applicant's representative: S. Micheal Richards, 44 North Ave., Webster, N.Y. 14580. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Automotive parts and accessories*, from Williamsville, N.Y., to Albion, Bradford, Corry, Coudersport, Edinboro, Erie, Kane, Port Allegany, Ridgway, Sheffield, Shinglehouse, Warren, Westfield, and Youngsville, Pa., under a continuing contract with NAPA Buffalo, Division of Genuine Parts Company, for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: NAPA Buffalo, Division of Genuine Parts Company, 2401 Wehrle Drive, Williamsville, N.Y. 14221. Send protests to: George M. Parker, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 910 Federal Bldg., 111 West Huron St., Buffalo, N.Y. 14202.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD, *Secretary.*

[FR Doc.76–14846 Filed 5–19–76;8:45 am]

[Notice No. 251]

MOTOR CARRIER BOARD TRANSFER PROCEEDINGS

The following publications include motor carrier, water carrier, broker, and freight forwarder transfer applications filed under Section 212(b), 206(a), 211, 312(b), and 410(g) of the Interstate Commerce Act.

Each application (except as otherwise specifically noted) contains a statement by applicants that there will be no significant effect on the quality of the human environment resulting from approval of the application.

Protests against approval of the application, which may include a request for oral hearing, must be filed with the Commission within 30-days after the date of this publication. Failure seasonably to file a protest will be construed as a waiver of opposition and participation in the proceeding. A protest must be served upon applicants' representative(s), or applicants (if no such representative is named), and the protestant must certify that such service has been made.

Unless otherwise specified, the signed original and six copies of the protest shall be filed with the Commission. All protests must specify with particularity the factual basis and the section of the Act, or the applicable rule governing the proposed transfer which protestant believes would preclude approval of the application. If the protest contains a request for oral hearing, the request shall

be supported by an explanation as to why the evidence sought to be presented cannot reasonably be submitted through the use of affidavits.

The operating rights set forth below are in synopses form, but are deemed sufficient to place interested persons on notice of the proposed transfer.

No. MC-FC-76478, filed April 30, 1976. Transferee: Timothy P. Huppert, Route 2, Ellsworth, Wis. 54011. Transferor: John B. Klecker, Route 2, Ellsworth, Wis. 54011. Applicants' representative: F. H. Kroeger, Practitioner, 1745 University Ave., St. Paul, Minn. 55104. Authority sought for purchase by transferee of the operating rights of transferor, as set forth in Certificate No. MC 64897 (Sub-No. 1), issued August 27, 1942, as follows: farm machinery, twine, feed, furniture, lumber, and building materials, from St. Paul and South St. Paul, Minn., to points (other than municipalities) in the above-specified Wisconsin Towns, to Red Wing, and Lake City, Minn.; and livestock, between points (other than municipalities) in the above-specified Wisconsin Towns, on the one hand, and, on the other, South St. Paul and Newport, Minn. Transferee presently holds no authority from this Commission. Application has not been filed for temporary authority under Section 210a(b).

No. MC-FC-76530, filed May 13, 1976. Transferee: Theodore E. Malec, doing business as Pierre Bus Tours, 391 Woodland Street, Windsor Locks, Conn. 06096. Transferor: George St. Pierre, doing business as George St. Pierre, 27 Kent St., Plainville, Conn. 06062. Applicants' representative: Thomas W. Murrett, Attorney-at-Law, 342 North Main St., West Hartford, Conn. 06117. Authority sought for purchase by transferee of the operating rights of transferor, as set forth in Licenses Nos. MC 12572 (Sub-No. 1) and MC 12572 (Sub-No. 3), issued by the Commission June 30, 1964, and May 10, 1974, as follows: Operations as a broker at Plainville, Conn., in connection with the transportation by motor vehicles in interstate or foreign commerce, of passengers and their baggage, between Danbury, Waterbury, and Hartford, Conn., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Alabama, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Mississippi, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Tennessee, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and the District of Columbia; and passengers and their baggage, in round-trip tours, beginning and ending at points in Hartford and New Haven Counties, Conn., and extending to points in the above-specified states. Transferee presently holds no authority from this Commission. Application has not been filed for temporary authority.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-14848 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

[Ex Parte No. MC-19 (Sub-No. 20)]

PRACTICES OF MOTOR COMMON CARRIERS OF HOUSEHOLD GOODS (LIMITATIONS OF LIABILITY)

At a General Session of the Interstate Commerce Commission, held at its office in Washington, D.C., on the 13th day of May, 1976.

Upon consideration of the record in the above-entitled proceeding; and good cause appearing therefor:

It is ordered, That, on our own motion, the above-entitled proceeding be, and it is hereby, reopened for the limited purpose of further consideration and clarification on the present record of those portions of our report and order entered in said proceeding on February 23, 1976 (*Practices of Motor Common Carriers of Household Goods (Limitations of Liability)*, 124 M.C.C. 395), which disallow motor common carriers of household goods in interstate or foreign commerce from excluding liability (1) or loss or damage to article of extraordinary value tendered for shipment unless such articles are listed on the bill of lading, and (2) for loss and damage to tendered shipments due to strikes, lockouts, labor disturbances, riots and civil commotions; and which requires these carriers, when settling claims for loss or damage, to use the replacement cost of a lost or damaged item to which to apply a depreciation factor, or when an item cannot be replaced, to use the original cost of the item, augmented by a consumer price index factor, and adjusted downward to reflect depreciation.

It is further ordered, That notice of this order shall be given to the general public by depositing a copy thereof in the Office of the Secretary of the Commission at Washington, D.C., and by filing a copy with the Director, Office of the Federal Register.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-14850 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

[Volume No. 81]

PERMANENT AUTHORITY PETITIONS AND APPLICATIONS; FINANCE MATTERS (INCLUDING TEMPORARY AUTHORITIES); ALTERNATE ROUTE DEVIATION LETTER-NOTICES; AND INTRASTATE APPLICATIONS CONCURRENTLY SEEKING AUTHORITY ON INTERSTATE OR FOREIGN COMMERCE

PETITIONS FOR MODIFICATION, INTERPRETATION OR REINSTATEMENT OF OPERATING RIGHTS AUTHORITY

MAY 14, 1976.

The following petitions seek modification or interpretation of existing operating rights authority, or reinstatement of terminated operating rights authority.

An original and one copy of protests to the granting of the requested authority must be filed with the Commission within 30 days after the date of this FEDERAL REGISTER notice. Such protest shall comply with Special Rule 247(d) of the Commission's *General Rules of Practice* (49 CFR § 1100.247)[1] and shall include a concise statement of protestant's interest in the proceeding and copies of its conflicting authorities. Verified statements in opposition should not be tendered at this time. A copy of the protest shall be served concurrently upon petitioner's representative, or petitioner if no representative is named.

No. MC 114632 (Sub-No. 73) (Notice of filing of petition to modify commodity description) filed May 3, 1976. Petitioner: APPLE LINES, INC., P.O. Box 287, 212 S.W. Second St., Madison, S. Dak. 57042. Petitioner's representative: Andrew R. Clark, 1000 First National Bank Bldg., Minneapolis, Minn. 55402. Petitioner holds a motor *common carrier* Certificate in No. MC 114632 (Sub-No. 73), issued March 24, 1976, authorizing transportation over irregular routes, of (A) (1) *Tractors*, with or without attachments, (2) *self-propelled loaders*, (3) *attachments* for (1) and (2) above, and (4) *parts* for (1), (2), and (3) above, from the plantsite of Owatonna Manufacturing Company located in Davison County, S. Dak., to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii); and (B) *materials, equipment and supplies*, used in the manufacture and distribution of the commodities named in (A) above (except commodities in bulk), from points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii), to the plantsite of Owatonna Manufacturing Company located in Davison County, S. Dak., restricted to the right of the Commission, to impose such terms, conditions, or limitations in the future as it may find necessary in order to insure that carrier's operations shall conform to the provisions of Section 210 of the Act.

By the instant petition, petitioner seeks: to broaden its commodity description in (a) above to include "(5) *agricultural machinery;* (6) *elevators*, (7) *conveyors*, (8) *mixer mills*, (9) *attachments* for the commodities in (5) through (8) above, and (10) *parts* for the commodities in (5) through (9) above"; and to include the commodities listed in (5) through (9) within its authority on materials, equipment and supplies as stated in (B) above.

No. MC 136803 (Notice of filing of petition for modification of commodity description and clarification of territorial description) filed May 3, 1976). Petitioner: SIOUX CITY BULK FEED SERVICE, INC., P.O. Box 2766, Sioux City, Iowa 51106. Petitioner's representative: Bradford E. Kistler, P.O. Box 82028, Lincoln, Nebr. 68501. Petitioner holds a motor *common carrier* Certificate in No. MC 136803, issued November 24, 1972, authorizing transportation, as pertinent, over irregular routes, of (1) *ani-*

[1] Copies of Special Rule 247 (as amended) can be obtained by writing to the Secretary, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C. 20423.

mal and poultry feed, (except in bulk), from Sioux City, Iowa, to points in Wyoming and North Dakota, restricted to the transportation of traffic originating at the plantsite and storage facilities of Murphy Products Company, Inc., at Sioux City, Iowa; and (2) *dry animal and poultry feed,* (a) between Le Mars, Iowa, and points in Iowa within 25 miles of Le Mars, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Minnesota, South Dakota, Nebraska, Illinois, Missouri, and Wisconsin, and (b) from Burlington, Wis. to points in South Dakota, points in that part of Nebraska north of the northern boundaries of Washington, Dodge, Colfax, Platte, Boone, Greeley, Valley, Custer, Logan, McPherson, Arthur, Garden, Morrill, and Scotts Bluff Counties, Nebr., and points in that part of Iowa west of the eastern boundaries of Dickinson, Clay, Buena Vista, Sac, and Carroll Counties, Iowa, and on and north of U.S. Highway 30 extending westerly from Ralston, Iowa to California Junction, Iowa, except Le Mars, Iowa, and points within 25 miles of Le Mars.

By the instant petition, petitioner (A) seeks to modify its commodity description so that part (1) will read "(1) *Feed, feed ingredients, pesticides, and health aid products* (except in bulk)"; and part (2) will read "(2) *dry feed, dry feed ingredients, pesticides, and health aid products.*"; and (B) seeks to clarify its present "25 miles radius" base territory description in part (2) so as to read "Between points within an area located on and bounded by a line beginning at the South Dakota-Iowa border, and extending east along U.S. Highway 18 to junction U.S. Highway 59, thence south along U.S. Highway 59 to junction U.S. Highway 20, thence west along U.S. Highway 20 to the Nebraska-Iowa border, thence along the Nebraska-Iowa border to the Iowa-South Dakota border, thence along the Iowa-South Dakota border to U.S. Highway 18, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Minnesota, South Dakota, Nebraska, Illinois, Missouri, and Wisconsin."

No. MC 139277 (Notice of filing of petition for modification of permit) filed April 16, 1976. Petitioner: AL E. HALL, doing business as AL E. HALL TRUCKING, P.O. Box 25, Gridley, Ill. 61744. Petitioner's representative: Patrick H. Smyth, Suite 521, 19 South La Salle St., Chicago, Ill. 60603. Petitioner holds a motor *contract carrier* Permit in No. MC 139277, issued September 23, 1975, authorizing transportation over irregular routes, of (1) *metal roofing and siding, fabricated metal products,* and *parts, attachments,* and *accessories* for the commodities in (1) above, from the plantsite of Fabral Corporation located at or near Gridley, Ill., to points in Arkansas, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, South Dakota, Tennessee, West Virginia and Wisconsin; and (2) *materials, supplies and equipment* for the commodities described in (1) above, from points in Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, South Dakota, Tennessee, West Virginia and Wisconsin, to the plantsite of Fabral Corporation located at or near Gridley, Ill., restricted against the transportation of commodities in bulk, under a continuing contract or contracts, with Fabral Corporation of Lancaster, Pa.

By the instant petition, petitioner seeks (A) to add North Dakota and Texas as additional destination states with respect to part (1); and (B) to add the following as Part (3) to his authority: "(3) *metal roofing and siding, fabricated metal products,* and *parts, attachments,* and *accessories* for the commodities in (3) above, and *materials, supplies, and equipment* for the commodities described in (3) above, between the plantsite of Fabral Corporation located at or near Gridley, Ill., and the plantsite of Fabral Corporation located at or near Jackson, Ga., restricted against the transportation of commodities in bulk, under a continuing contract with Fabral Corporation located at Lancaster, Pa."

REPUBLICATIONS OF GRANTS OF OPERATING RIGHTS AUTHORITY PRIOR TO CERTIFICATION

The following grants of operating rights authorities are republished by Order of the Commission to indicate a broadened grant of authority over that previously noticed in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

An original and one copy of protests to the granting of the authority must be filed with the Commission within 30 days after the date of this FEDERAL REGISTER notice. Such protest shall comply with Special Rule 247(d) of the Commission's *General Rules of Practice* (49 CFR § 1100.247) addressing specifically the issue(s) indicated as the purpose for republication, and including a concise statement of protestant's interest in the proceeding and copies of its conflicting authorities. Verified statements in opposition shall not be tendered at this time. A copy of the protest shall be served concurrently upon the carrier's representative, or carrier if no representative is named.

No. MC 119815 (Sub-No. 19), (Republication), filed June 6, 1975, and published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of July 10, 1975, and republished this issue. Applicant: INTERSTATE HIGHWAY EXPRESS, INC., 814 Norton Avenue, P.O. Box 579, Bedford, Ind. 47421. Applicant's representative: Walter F. Jones, Jr., 601 Chamber of Commerce Bldg., Indianapolis, Ind. 46204. An Order of the Commission, Review Board Number 2, dated April 2, 1976 and served April 9, 1976, finds that the present and future public convenience and necessity require operations by applicant, in interstate or foreign commerce, as a *contract carrier,* by motor vehicle, over irregular routes in the transportation of (1) *metal pipe* and *sheets, fittings,* and *supplies* used in the installation of the above named commodities from the plantsite of Stello Products, Inc., at Spencer, Ind., to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii), and (2) *materials and supplies* used in the manufacture of the commodities described in (1) above, from points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii), to the plantsite of Stello Products, Inc., at Spencer, Ind., under a continuing contract or contracts with Stello Products, Inc., of Spencer, Ind.; that applicant is fit, willing, and able properly to perform such service and to conform to the requirements of the Interstate Commerce Act and the Commission's rules and regulations thereunder. The purpose of this republication is to indicate applicant's grant of authority to handle inbound shipments of materials and supplies used in the manufacture of shipper's commodities.

No. MC 127187 (Sub-No. 13), (Republication) filed December 9, 1974, and published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of January 3, 1975, and republished this issue. Applicant: FLOYD DUENOW, INC., 1728 Industrial Park Boulevard, Fergus Falls, Minn. 56537. Applicant's representative: Charles E. Johnson, 425 Gate City Bldg., Fargo, N. Dak. 58102. A Report and Order of the Commission, Review Board Number 2, dated May 3, 1976 and served May 10, 1976, finds that the present and future public convenience and necessity require operations by applicant, in interstate or foreign commerce, as a *common carrier,* by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, of *fertilizer and fertilizer ingredients,* from ports of entry on the International Boundary line between the United States and Canada, located in Minnesota, North Dakota, and Montana, to points in Iowa, North Dakota, Nebraska, Minnesota, South Dakota, Wisconsin, and Montana; that applicant is fit, willing, and able properly to perform such service and to conform to the requirements of the Interstate Commerce Act and the Commission's rules and regulations thereunder. The purpose of this republication is to indicate applicant's grant of authority to include service: (1) from the ports of entry on the International Boundary line between the United States and Canada located in Montana; to the named destined points and (2) from the named origin points to points in Montana.

MOTOR CARRIER, BROKER, WATER CARRIER AND FREIGHT FORWARDER OPERATING APPLICATIONS

The following applications are governed by Special Rule 247 of the Commission's *General Rules of Practice* (49 CFR § 1100.247). These rules provide, among other things, that a protest to the granting of an application must be filed with the Commission within 30 days after the date of notice of filing of the application is published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. Failure seasonably to file a protest will be construed as a waiver of opposition and particpation in the proceeding. A protest under these rules should comply with section 247(d) (3) of the rules of practice which requires that it set forth specifically the grounds upon which it is made, contain a detailed statement of protestant's in-

terest in the proceeding (including a copy of the specific portions of its authority which protestant believes to be in conflict with that sought in the application, and describing in detail the method—whether by joinder, interline, or other means—by which protestant would use such authority to provide all or part of the service proposed), and shall specify with particularity the facts, matters, and things relied upon, but shall not include issues or allegations phrased generally. Protests not in reasonable compliance with the requirements of the rules may be rejected. The original and one copy of the protest shall be filed with the Commission, and a copy shall be served concurrently upon applicant's representative, or applicant if no representative is named. If the protest includes a request for oral hearing, such requests shall meet the requirements of section 247(d)(4) of the special rules, and shall include the certification required therein.

Section 247(f) further provides, in part, that an applicant who does not intend timely to prosecute its application shall promptly request dismissal thereof, and that failure to prosecute an application under procedures ordered by the Commission will result in dismissal of the application.

Further processing steps will be by Commission order which will be served on each party of record. Broadening amendments will not be accepted after the date of this publication except for good cause shown, and restrictive amendments will not be entertained following publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER of a notice that the proceeding has been assigned for oral hearing.

Each applicant states that there will be no significant effect on the quality of the human environment resulting from approval of its application.

No. MC 2253 (Sub-No. 72), filed March 23, 1976. Applicant: CAROLINA FREIGHT CARRIERS CORPORATION, P.O. Box 697, Cherryville, N.C. 28021. Applicant's representative: Edward G. Villalon, Suite 1032 Pennsylvania Building, Pennsylvania Ave. and 13th St., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20004. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment), (1) Between the Ohio-Indiana State Boundary line and the West Virginia-Pennsylvania State Boundary line: From the Ohio-Indiana State Boundary line over Interstate Highway 70, to the West Virginia-Pennsylvania State Boundary line, and return over the same route. (2) Between Wheeling, W. Va., and Cleveland, Ohio: From Wheeling, W. Va., over U.S. Highway 250 to junction Interstate Highway 77, thence over Interstate Highway 77, to Cleveland, Ohio and return over the same route. (3) Between Cincinnati, Ohio and Cleveland, Ohio: From Cincinnati, Ohio over Interstate Highway 71, to Cleveland, Ohio, and return over the same route. (4) Between Cincinnati, Ohio and Toledo, Ohio: From Cincinnati, Ohio over Interstate Highway 75, to Toledo, Ohio, and return over the same route. (5) Between junction Interstate Highways 71 and 76 and the Ohio-Pennsylvania State Boundary line: From junction Interstate Highways 71 and 76 over Interstate Highway 76, to the Ohio-Pennsylvania State Boundary line, and return over the same route.

(6) Between the Ohio-Indiana State Boundary line and the Ohio-Pennsylvania State Boundary line: From the Ohio-Indiana State Boundary line over Interstate Highway 80, to the Ohio-Pennsylvania State Boundary line, and return over the same route. (7) Between the Ohio-Pennsylvania State Boundary line and Cleveland, Ohio: From the Ohio-Pennsylvania State Boundary line over Ohio Highway 14 to junction Ohio Highway 11, thence over Ohio Highway 11, to junction U.S. Highway 224, thence over U.S. Highway 224 to junction Ohio Highway 14, thence over Ohio Highway 14, to Cleveland, Ohio and return over the same route. (8) Between junction Ohio Highway 11 and U.S. Highway 224 and junction Ohio Highway 11 and Interstate Highway 80: From junction Ohio Highway 11 and U.S. Highway 224 over Ohio Highway 11, to junction Interstate Highway 80, and return over the same route. (9) Between Moundsville, W. Va., and Youngstown, Ohio: From Moundsville, W. Va., over West Virginia Highway 2 to junction Interstate Highway 70, thence over Interstate Highway 70 to junction Ohio Highway 7, thence over Ohio Highway 7 to Youngstown, Ohio, and return over the same route. (10) Between the West Virginia-Pennsylvania State Boundary line and Cincinnati, Ohio: From the West Virginia-Pennsylvania State Boundary line over U.S. Highway 22, to Cincinnati, Ohio, and return over the same route. (11) Between Columbus, Ohio and Toledo, Ohio: From Columbus, Ohio over U.S. Highway 23 to junction U.S. Highway 20, thence over U.S. Highway 20, to Toledo, Ohio, and return over the same route. (12) Between Toledo, Ohio and junction Ohio Highway 420 and U.S. Highway 20: From Toledo, Ohio over Interstate Highway 280 to junction Ohio Highway 420, thence over Ohio Highway 420, to junction U.S. Highway 20, and return over the same route. (13) Between the Ohio-Pennsylvania State Boundary line and Cleveland, Ohio: From the Ohio-Pennsylvania State Boundary line over U.S. Highway 422, to Cleveland, Ohio, and return over the same route.

(14) Between the Ohio-Indiana State Boundary line and the West Virginia-Pennsylvania State Boundary line: From the Ohio-Indiana State Boundary line over U.S. Highways 30 and 30N to the West Virginia-Pennsylvania State Boundary line, and return over the same route. (15) Between Parkersburg, W. Va., and junction Interstate Highway 77 and U.S. Highway 250: From Parkersburg, W. Va. over Interstate Highway 77, to junction U.S. Highway 250, and return over the same route. (16) Between Akron, Ohio and junction U.S. Highways 20 and 23: From Akron, Ohio over Ohio Highway 18 to junction U.S. Highway 20, thence over U.S. Highway 20, to junction U.S. Highway 23, and return over the same route. (17) Between the Indiana-Ohio State Boundary line and Columbus, Ohio: From the Indiana-Ohio State Boundary line over U.S. Highway 33, to Columbus, Ohio, and return over the same route. (18) Between junction U.S. Highway 30 and U.S. Highway 30S and junction Ohio Highway 117 and U.S. Highway 33: From junction U.S. Highway 30 and U.S. Highway 30S over U.S. Highway 30S to Lima, Ohio, thence over Ohio Highway 117 to junction U.S. Highway 33, and return over the same route. (19) Between junction Interstate Highway 77 and U.S. Highway 250 and junction U.S. Highway 250 and Interstate Highway 80: From junction Interstate Highway 77 and U.S. Highway 250 over U.S. Highway 250, to junction Interstate Highway 80, and return over the same route. In connection with routes (1)–(19), serving all intermediate and off-route points in the State of Ohio and those in Brooke, Hancock, Marshall and Ohio Counties, W. Va., restricted against the transportation of any shipment having both its origin and destination in the State of Ohio. (20) Between the West Virginia-Pennsylvania State Boundary line and junction U.S. Highways 119 and 22: From the West Virginia-Pennsylvania State Boundary line over Interstate Highway 70 to junction U.S. Highway 119, thence over U.S. Highway 119, to junction U.S. Highway 22, and return over the same route.

(21) Between the Ohio-Pennsylvania State Boundary line and junction Interstate Highway 76 and U.S. Highway 219: From the Ohio-Pennsylvania State Boundary line over Interstate Highway 76, to junction U.S. Highway 219, and return over the same route. (22) Between junction Interstate Highways 70 and 79 and junction Interstate Highway 79 and Interstate Highway 80: From junction Interstate Highways 70 and 79 over Interstate Highway 79, to junction Interstate Highway 80, and return over the same route. (23) Between the Ohio-Pennsylvania State Boundary line and junction Interstate Highway 80 and U.S. Highway 219: From the Ohio-Pennsylvania State Boundary line over Interstate Highway 80, to junction U.S. Highway 219, and return over the same route. (24) Between Pittsburgh, Pa. and junction U.S. Highway 30 and U.S. Highway 219: From Pittsburgh, Pa. over U.S. Highway 30, to junction U.S. Highway 219, and return over the same route. (25) Between Pittsburgh, Pa. and junction U.S. Highways 22 and 219: From Pittsburgh, Pa. over U.S. Highway 22, to junction U.S. Highway 219, and return over the same route. (26) Between Pittsburgh, Pa. and the Ohio-Pennsylvania State Boundary line: From Pittsburgh, Pa. over Pennsylvania Highway 60 to junction Pennsylvania Highway 51 near West Mayfield, Pa., thence over Pennsylvania

Highway 51 to the Ohio-Pennsylvania State Boundary line, and return over the same route. (27) Between the West Virginia-Pennsylvania State Boundary line and Pittsburgh, Pa.: From the West Virginia-Pennsylvania State Boundary line over U.S. Highway 22 to Pittsburgh, Pa., and return over the same route. (28) Between the West Virginia-Pennsylvania State Boundary line and Pittsburgh, Pa.: From the West Virginia-Pennsylvania State Boundary line over U.S. Highway 30, to Pittsburgh, Pa., and return over the same route.

(29) Between junction U.S. Highway 22 and 422 at or near Ebensburg, Pa., and the Ohio-Pennsylvania State Boundary line: From junction U.S. Highway 22 and 422 at or near Ebensburg, Pa., over U.S. Highway 422, to the Ohio-Pennsylvania State Boundary line and return over the same route. In connection with routes (20)–(29), serving all intermediate and off-route points in that part of Pennsylvania on and west of a line beginning at the Pennsylvania-New York State Boundary line and extending along U.S. Highway 219 to junction U.S. Highway 6, thence along U.S. Highway 6 to Kane, thence along Pennsylvania Highway 321 to Wilcox, thence along U.S. Highway 219 to Somerset, thence along Pennsylvania Highway 31 to junction unnumbered highway, thence along unnumbered highway to Berlin, thence along U.S. Highway 219 to the Pennsylvania-Maryland State Boundary line, restricted in routes (20)–(29), (1) against transportation of any shipment having either its origin or its destination in that part of Pennsylvania on and west of a line beginning at the Pennsylvania-New York State Boundary line and extending along U.S. Highway 219 to junction U.S. Highway 6, thence along U.S. Highway 6 to Kane, thence along Pennsylvania Highway 321 to Wilcox, thence along U.S. Highway 219 to Somerset, thence along Pennsylvania Highway 31 to junction unnumbered highway, thence along unnumbered highway to Berlin, thence along U.S. Highway 219 to the Pennsylvania-Maryland State Boundary line (except points in Allegheny and Westmoreland Counties), on the one hand, and, on the other, carrier's authorized service points in Illinois, Indiana, and Ohio.

(2) Further restricted against the transportation of any shipment having both its origin and destination in Pennsylvania (except between Philadelphia, Pa., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Allegheny and Westmoreland Counties, Pa.). (30) Between junction U.S. Highway 219 and Interstate Highway 76 and Philadelphia, Pa.: From junction U.S. Highway 219 and Interstate Highway 76 over Interstate Highway 76, to Philadelphia, Pa. and return over the same route, serving off-route points in that part of Delaware County, on and east of U.S. Highway 202 and serving the following intermediate points for purposes of joinder only: The junctions of Interstate Highway 76 and U.S. Highway 30 at or near Napier, Bedford, and Breezewood, Pa.; junction Interstate Highway 76 and U.S. Highway 220; and junction Interstate Highway 76 and U.S. Highway 11 near Carlisle, Pa. (31) Between junction U.S. Highway 219 and U.S. Highway 30 and the Pennsylvania-New Jersey State Boundary line: From junction U.S. Highway 219 and U.S. Highway 30 over U.S. Highway 30 to Philadelphia, Pa., thence over U.S. Highway 1, to the Pennsylvania-New Jersey State Boundary line, and return over the same route, serving the intermediate point of Philadelphia, Pa., intermediate and offroute points in that part of Delaware County, Pa. on and east of U.S. Highway 202, and serving the Pennsylvania-New Jersey State Boundary line and the following intermediate points for purposes of joinder only: The junctions of Interstate Highway 76 and U.S. Highway 30 at or near Napier, Bedford, Breezewood and Chambersburg, Pa. (32) Between junction U.S. Highway 219 and 22 and the Pennsylvania-New Jersey State Boundary line: From junction U.S. Highways 219 and 22 over U.S. Highway 22, to the Pennsylvania-New Jersey State Boundary line, and return over the same route, serving the Pennsylvania-New Jersey State Boundary line and the following intermediate points for purposes of joinder only: Junction U.S. Highways 22 and 220; junction U.S. Highways 22 and 322 near Lewistown, and junction U.S. Highways 22 and 11 near Amity Hill, Pa.

(33) Between junction U.S. Highway 219 and Interstate Highway 80 and the Pennsylvania-New Jersey State Boundary line: From junction U.S. Highway 219 and Interstate Highway 80 over Interstate Highway 80, to the Pennsylvania-New Jersey State Boundary line, and return over the same route, serving the Pennsylvania-New Jersey State Boundary line, and the following intermediate points for purposes of joinder only: Junction Interstate Highway 80 and Pennsylvania Highway 153; junction Interstate Highway 80 and U.S. Highway 220; and junction Interstate Highway 80 and Pennsylvania Highway 26. (34) Between junction U.S. Highway 11 and Interstate Highway 76 near Carlisle, Pa., and junction U.S. Highway 11 and U.S. Highways 322 and 22: (a) From junction U.S. Highway 11 and Interstate Highway 76 near Carlisle, Pa., over U.S. Highway 11 to junction Interstate Highway 81, thence over Interstate Highway 81 to junction U.S. Highway 11, thence over U.S. Highway 11, to junction U.S. Highways 322 and 22, and return over the same route, serving junction U.S. Highway 11 and Interstate Highway 76, junction U.S. Highway 11 and U.S. Highways 322 and 22 and junction U.S. Highway 11 and Interstate Highway 81 near Summerdale, Pa., for purposes of joinder only; and (b) From junction U.S. Highway 11 and Interstate Highway 76 near Carlisle, Pa., over U.S. Highway 11 to junction U.S. Highways 322 and 22, and return over the same route. (35) Between junction U.S. Highways 322 and 22 near Lewistown Pa. and junction Pennsylvania Highway 26 and Interstate Highway 80: From junction U.S. Highway 322 and U.S. Highway 22 near Lewistown, Pa. over U.S. Highway 322 to junction Pennsylvania Highway 144, thence over Pennsylvania Highway 144 to junction Pennsylvania Highway 26, thence over Pennsylvania Highway 26, to junction Interstate Highway 80, and return over the same route, serving junction U.S. Highways 322 and 22 near Lewistown, Pa., junction Pennsylvania Highway 26 and Interstate Highway 80, and junction U.S. Highway 322 and Pennsylvania Highway 144 for purposes of joinder only.

(36) Between junction U.S. Highway 11 and Interstate Highway 76 near Carlisle and Chambersburg, Pa.: From junction U.S. Highway 11 and Interstate Highway 76 near Carlisle, Pa. over U.S. Highway 11, to Chambersburg, Pa., and return over the same route, serving no intermediate points and serving junction U.S. Highway 11 and Interstate Highway 76 near Carlisle, and Chambersburg, Pa. for purposes of joinder only. (37) Between junction Interstate Highway 80 and U.S. Highway 220 and junction U.S. Highway 220 and Interstate Highway 76: From junction Interstate Highway 80 and U.S. Highway 220 over U.S. Highway 220, to junction Interstate Highway 76, and return over the same route, serving junction Interstate Highway 80 and U.S. Highway 220, junction U.S. Highway 220 and Interstate Highway 76, junction U.S. Highways 220 and 22, and junction U.S. Highway 220 and U.S. Highway 322 for purposes of joinder only. (38) Between junction U.S. Highway 322 and Pennsylvania Highway 144 and junction Pennsylvania Highway 153 and Interstate Highway 80: From junction U.S. Highway 322 and Pennsylvania Highway 144 over U.S. Highway 322 to junction Pennsylvania Highway 153, thence over Pennsylvania Highway 153, to junction Interstate Highway 80, and return over the same route, serving junction U.S. Highway 322 and Pennsylvania Highway 144, junction Pennsylvania Highway 153 and Interstate Highway 80, and junction U.S. Highway 322 and U.S. Highway 220 for purposes of joinder only. (39) Between junction U.S. Highway 11 and Interstate Highway 81 near Summerdale, Pa. and junction U.S. Highway 22 and Interstate Highway 81 at or near Harrisburg, Pa.: From junction U.S. Highway 11 and Interstate Highway 81 near Summerdale, Pa. over Interstate Highway 81, to junction U.S. Highway 22 at or near Harrisburg, and return over the same route, serving no intermediate points and serving junction U.S. Highway 11 and Interstate Highway 81 near Summerdale, Pa. and junction U.S. Highway 22 and Interstate Highway 81 at or near Harrisburg, Pa. for purposes of joinder only.

(40) Between the Pennsylvania-New Jersey State Boundary line and New York, N.Y.: From the Pennsylvania-New Jersey State Boundary line over Interstate Highway 80 to junction Interstate Highway 95, thence over Interstate Highway 95, to New York, N.Y., and return over the same route. (41) Between the

Pennsylvania-New Jersey State Boundary line and New York, N.Y.: From the Pennsylvania-New Jersey State Boundary line over U.S. Highway 1, to New York, N.Y., and return over the same route. (42) Between the Pennsylvania-New Jersey State Boundary line and junction U.S. Highways 22 and 1: From the Pennsylvania-New Jersey State Boundary line over U.S. Highway 22, to junction U.S. Highway 1, and return over the same route. (43) Between junction Interstate Highways 80 and 287 and junction Interstate Highway 287 and U.S. Highway 1 near Metuchen, N.J.; From junction Interstate Highways 80 and 287 over Interstate Highway 287 to junction U.S. Highway 1 near Metuchen, N.J., and return over the same route. (44) Between junction U.S. Highway 1 and Interstate Highway 278 and New York, N.Y.: From junction U.S. Highway 1 and Interstate Highways 278 and New York, N.Y.: From junction U.S. Highway 1 and Interstate Highway 278 over U.S. Highway 278 to New York, N.Y., and return over the same route. In connection with routes (40)–(44) serving all intermediate and off-route points in Bergen, Burlington, Camden, Essex, Hudson, Hunterdon, Mercer, Middlesex, Monmouth, Morris, Ocean, Passaic, Somerset, Union and Warren Counties, N.J., Nassau and Westchester Counties, N.Y., those points in Suffolk County, N.Y., on and west of New York Highway 110, and those points in Rockland County, N.Y., on and south of New York Highway 210, restricted in routes (40)–(44),

(1) Against the transportation of any shipment having both its origin and destination in New Jersey; (2) against the transportation of shipments between points in that part of Pennsylvania on and west of a line beginning at the Pennsylvania-New York State Boundary line and extending along U.S. Highway 219 to junction U.S. Highway 6, thence along U.S. Highway 6 to Kane, thence along Pennsylvania Highway 321 to Wilcox, thence along U.S. Highway 219 to Somerset, thence along Pennsylvania Highway 31 to junction unnumbered highway, thence along unnumbered highway to Berlin, thence along U.S. Highway 219 to the Pennsylvania-Maryland State Boundary line (except points in Allegheny and Westmoreland Counties, Pa.), on the one hand, and, on the other, points in New Jersey (except those in Bergen, Essex, Hudson, Middlesex, Morris, Passaic, Somerset and Union Counties, N.J., and points in Monmouth County, N.J., on and north of New Jersey Highway 33); (3) against the transportation of shipments between carrier's authorized service points in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, North Carolina and South Carolina, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Rockland County, N.Y., and points in New Jersey (except those in Bergen, Essex, Hudson, Hunterdon, Mercer, Middlesex, Morris, Monmouth, Ocean, Passaic, Somerset, Union and Warren Counties, N.J.), and points in that part of Burlington County, N.J., on and north of a line beginning at Riverside, N.J., thence along New Jersey Highway 537 to junction unnumbered highway at Masonville, N.J., thence along unnumbered highway to junction New Jersey Highway 541 to junction New Jersey Highway 70, thence along New Jersey Highway 70 to junction New Jersey Highway 72, thence along New Jersey Highway 72 to the Burlington-Ocean County Boundary line).

(4) Further restricted against the transportation of shipments between Chester, Norristown, Philadelphia, West Chester, and York, Pa. and carrier's authorized service points in Delaware, Maryland, Virginia and the District of Columbia, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Rockland County, N.Y., and points in New Jersey (except those in Bergen, Essex, Hudson, Middlesex, Morris, Passaic, Somerset, and Union Counties, N.Y.), restricted in routes (1)–(44), to the extent that it duplicates carrier's existing authority, shall not be construed as conferring more than one operating right and shall not be severable by sale or otherwise from the underlying irregular route authority contained in MC 2253 (Sub-Nos. 67 and 70G), and further restricted in routes (1)–(44) against the transportation of, (1) paper and paper products, from the plantsites and facilities of The Mead Corporation at Chillicothe, Ohio, and of Champion International, Inc., at Hamilton and Piqua, Ohio, to points in Connecticut, Delaware, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, and the District of Columbia, (2) rejected and returned shipments of paper and paper products; and (3) commodities used in the manufacture of paper and paper products, (except commodities in bulk), from points in Connecticut, Delaware, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, and the District of Columbia, to the plantsites and facilities of The Mead Corporation at or near Chillicothe, Ohio, and of Champion International, Inc., at or near Hamilton and Piqua, Ohio.

NOTE.—Under Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity MC 2253 (Sub-No. 67), applicant holds irregular route authority to serve all of the points and territories embraced in this application. The purpose of the application is to convert that irregular route authority to regular route. Thus applicant does not seek any new service points or territory, nor does it propose to diminish its presently authorized service territory. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Charlotte, N.C., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 3252 (Sub-No. 94), filed April 19, 1976. Applicant: MERRILL TRANSPORT CO., a Corporation, 1037 Forest Avenue, Portland, Maine 04104. Applicant's representative: Francis E. Barrett, Jr., 10 Industrial Park Road, Hingham, Mass. 02043. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Petroleum products*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Burlington, Vt., to points in Clinton and Franklin Counties, N.Y.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Portland, Maine or Boston, Mass.

No. MC 11207 (Sub-No. 367), filed April 7, 1976. Applicant: DEATON, INC., P.O. Box 938, Birmingham, Ala. 35201. Applicant's representative: Kim D. Mann, 702 World Center Bldg., 918 Sixteenth St., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20006. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Materials, equipment, machinery and supplies*, used in the manufacturing, processing and distribution of iron and steel articles, from points in Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina and Tennessee to the plantsite and facilities of American Cast Iron Pipe Company at Birmingham, Ala.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Birmingham, Ala., or Atlanta, Ga.

No. MC 13123 (Sub-No. 83), filed April 12, 1976. Applicant: WILSON FREIGHT COMPANY, a Corporation, 3636 Follett Avenue, Cincinnati, Ohio 45223. Applicant's representative: Milton H. Bortz same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Heat exchangers and equalizers*, for air, gas or liquids; *machinery and equipment*, for heating, cooling, conditioning, humidifying, dehumidifying, and moving of air, gas, or liquids; and (2) *parts, materials, equipment, and supplies*, used in the manufacture, distribution, installation, or operation of those items named in (1) above (except in bulk), between points in Monroe, Randolph, Perry Counties, Ill., and St. Clair County, Ill., on and south of State Highways 177 and 158, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Arkansas, Connecticut, Delaware, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Missouri, New Hampshire, New Jersey, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Tennessee, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and the District of Columbia, restricted to shipments originating at or destined to the plantsite and warehouse facilities of the Singer Company, at Monroe, Randolph, Perry and St. Clair Counties, Ill.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at St. Louis, Mo.

No. MC 21966 (Sub-No. 4), filed April 22, 1976. Applicant: GLENN W. STOWELL, 281 Leverett Road, RFD 3, Amherst, Mass. 01002. Applicant's representative: David M. Marshall, 135 State Street, Suite 200, Springfield, Mass. 01103. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Lumber, wood products, and forest products*, between points in Franklin, Hampden,

Hampshire and Worcester Counties, Mass,. on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Cheshire, Hillsboro and Rockingham Counties, N.H., points in Connecticut, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania and Rhode Island, and points in Windham County, Vt.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Boston, Mass., Hartford, Conn., or Albany, N.Y.

No. MC 30237 (Sub-No. 32), filed April 12, 1976. Applicant: YEATTS TRANSFER COMPANY, Box 666, Altavista, Va. 24517. Applicant's representative: J. J. Eller, Jr., 513 Main St., Altavista, Va. 24517. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *New furniture* as defined in Appendix II to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209, and *returned shipments of new furniture on return*, from Brookneal, Va., to points in Alabama, Arkansas, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Mississippi, Missouri, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Tennessee, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and the District of Columbia; and (2) *materials and supplies*, used in the manufacture of furniture from points in Alabama, Arkansas, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Mississippi, Missouri, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Tennessee, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and the District of Columbia, to Brookneal, Va.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Washington, D.C. or Roanoke, Va.

No. MC 52704 (Sub-No. 127), filed March 26, 1976. Applicant: GLENN McCLENDON TRUCKING COMPANY, INC., Opelika Highway, P.O. Drawer "H", LaFayette, Ala. 36862. Applicant's representative: Archie B. Culbreth, Suite 246, 1252 West Peachtree St., N.W., Atlanta, Ga. 30309. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Sugar* (except in bulk), from Gramercy and Houma, La., to points in Alabama, Georgia, Virginia and West Virginia.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Atlanta, Ga.

No. MC 52704 (Sub-No. 128), filed April 9, 1976. Applicant: GLENN McCLENDON TRUCKING COMPANY, INC., Post Office Drawer "H", LaFayette, Ala. 36862. Applicant's representative: Archie B. Culbreth, Suite 246, 1252 West Peachtree St., N.W., Atlanta, Ga. 30309. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Paper, paper products and woodpulp* (except in bulk), from the plantsite of Bowater Southern Paper Corporation located at Calhoun, Tenn., to points in Georgia and Oklahoma; (2) *materials, equipment and supplies*, used in the manufacture and distribution of paper, paper products and woodpulp (except in bulk), from points in Georgia and Oklahoma, to the plantsite of Bowater Southern Paper Corporation located at Calhoun, Tenn.; and (3) *paper mill rolls*, from the plantsite of Bowater Southern Paper Corporation located at Calhoun, Tenn., to points in Georgia.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Atlanta, Ga. or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 52858 (Sub-No. 115), filed April 12, 1976. Applicant: CONVOY COMPANY, a Corporation, 3900 N.W. Yeon Avenue, P.O. Box 10185, Portland, Oreg. 97210. Applicant's representative: Marvin Handler, 100 Pine Street, Suite 2550, San Francisco, Calif. 94111. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Automobiles and trucks*, in truckaway service, in secondary movements, between points in Colorado, on the one hand, and, on the other, Utah and Wyoming.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Denver, Colo., or Portland, Oreg.

No. MC 56244 (Sub-No. 47), filed April 12, 1976. Applicant: KUHN TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, INC., P.O. Box 98, R.D. No. 2, Gardners, Pa. 17324. Applicant's representative: John M. Musselman, P.O. Box 1146, 410 North Third Street, Harrisburg, Pa. 17108. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Foodstuffs* (except in bulk), from the plantsites of National Fruit Product Company, Inc., located at Kent City, Mich., to points in Ohio and Pennsylvania, restricted to the transportation of shipments originating at the above named facilities and destined to the above named destinations.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Harrisburg, Pa. or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 64932 (Sub-No. 559), filed April 21, 1976. Applicant: ROGERS CARTAGE COMPANY, a Corporation, 10735 South Cicero Avenue, Oak Lawn, Ill. 60453. Applicant's representative: Carl L. Steiner, 39 South LaSalle Street, Chicago, Ill. 60603. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Ink*, in bulk, in shipper owned vehicles, from the plantsite of Sun Chemical Corporation, at Kankakee, Ill., to points in Indiana, Kentucky, Michigan, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania and Tennessee.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 67450 (Sub-No. 57), filed April 23, 1976. Applicant: PETERLIN CARTAGE CO., a Corporation, 9651 South Ewing Avenue, Chicago, Ill. 60617. Applicant's representative: Joseph M. Scanlan, 111 West Washington Street, Chicago, Ill. 60602. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Flour*, in bulk, from Cleveland, Ohio, to points in New Jersey and New York.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 69397 (Sub-No. 19), filed April 12, 1976. Applicant: JAMES H. HARTMAN & SON, INC., P.O. Box 85, Pocomoke City, Md. 21851. Applicant's representative: Wilmer B. Hill, 805 McLachlen Bank Building, 666 Eleventh Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20001. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Lumber and lumber products*, from points in Dorchester and Wicomico Counties, Md., to points in Delaware, New Jersey, New York, those in Pennsylvania on and east of the Susquehanna River, and the District of Columbia.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 82841 (Sub-No. 170), filed April 7, 1976. Applicant: HUNT TRANSPORTATION, INC., 10770 I Street, Omaha, Nebr. 68127. Applicant's representative: Donald L. Stern, 530 Univac Building, 7100 West Center Road, Omaha, Nebr. 68106. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Aluminum and aluminum articles*, (a) from East Morris, Ill., to points in the United States on and west of a line beginning at the mouth of the Mississippi River, and extending along the Mississippi River to its junction with the western boundary of Itasca County, Minn., thence northward along the western boundaries of Itasca and Koochiching Counties, Minn., to the International Boundary line between the United States and Canada; and (b) from Riverside and Visalia, Calif., to points in the United States on and west of a line beginning at the mouth of the Mississippi River, and extending along the Mississippi River to its junction with the western boundary of Itasca County, Minn., thence northward along the western boundaries of Itasca and Koochiching Counties, Minn., to the International Boundary line between the United States and Canada, and points in Illinois, Indiana, Ohio, and Wisconsin; (2) *aluminum and zinc ingots*, (a) from Checotah, Okla., to points in Arkansas, Colorado, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Missouri, Ohio, Tennessee, and Texas; and (b) from Cleveland, Ohio, to points in Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kentucky, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Pennsylvania, and Wisconsin: (3) *aluminum ingots, blooms, pigs, billets, and slots*, from Ferndale, Wash., to points in the United States on and west of a line beginning at the mouth of the Mississippi River, and extending along

the Mississippi River to its junction with the western boundary of Itasca County, Minn., thence northward along the western boundaries of Itasca and Koochiching Counties, Minn., to the International Boundary line between the United States and Canada, and points in Illinois, Indiana, Ohio, and Wisconsin, restricted to traffic originating at or destined to the facilities of Alumax, Inc., and its affiliated companies.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at San Francisco, Calif.

No. MC 82841 (Sub-No. 173), filed April 22, 1976. Applicant: HUNT TRANSPORTATION, INC., 10770 I Street, Omaha, Nebr. 68127. Applicant's representative: Donald L. Stern, 530 Univac Building, 7100 West Center Road, Omaha, Nebr. 68106. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Lumber and lumber products*, from points in Idaho, Montana, Oregon, and Washington, to points in Colorado, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, Ohio, South Dakota, Wisconsin, and Wyoming, restricted to shipments for the Account of Emmer Bros., Inc.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Minneapolis, Minn.

No. MC 84687 (Sub-No. 4), filed April 12, 1976. Applicant: VETERANS TRUCK LINE, INC., P.O. Box 218, Bristol, Wis. 53104. Applicant's representative: Steve Enich, 1225 West Mitchell Street, Milwaukee, Wis. 53204. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting, *Foodstuffs*, between Chicago, Ill., and Ozaukee, Washington, Dane, Green Bay, Sauk, Columbia, Dodge, Fond Du Lac, Winnebago, Waupaca, Shawano, Outagamie, Calumet, Sheboygan, Manitowoc, Kewaunee, Brown, Door, Oconto, and Marinette Counties, Wis.

NOTE.—Applicant holds contract carrier authority in MC 47309, therefore dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill., or Milwaukee, Wis.

No. MC 94350 (Sub-No. 361), filed April 19, 1976. Applicant: TRANSIT HOMES, INC., P.O. Box 1628, Greenville, S.C. 29602. Applicant's representative: Mitchell King, Jr. (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Trailers*, designed to be drawn by passenger automobiles, in initial movements, and *buildings*, in sections, mounted on wheeled undercarriages, from points in Minnehaha County, S. Dak., to points in the United States, including Alaska, but excluding Hawaii.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Sioux Falls, S. Dak.

No. MC 103066 (Sub-No. 34), filed April 21, 1976. Applicant: STONE TRUCKING COMPANY, P.O. Box 2014, Tulsa, Okla. 74101. Applicant's representative: Eugene D. Anderson, 910 17th St. NW., Suite 428, Washington, D.C. 20006. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Plastic granules, fillers, coloring agents and dyes, compounding materials, reground and reprocessed plastic*, between points in Alabama, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, Washington, and Wisconsin.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 103066 (Sub-No. 35), filed April 21, 1976. Applicant: STONE TRUCKING COMPANY, P.O. Box 2014, Tulsa, Okla. 74101. Applicant's representative: Eugene D. Anderson, 910 17th St. NW., Suite 428, Washington, D.C. 20006. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Plastic granules*, between points in Alabama, Arkansas, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, West Virginia, and Wisconsin.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 104421 (Sub-No. 18), filed April 20, 1976. Applicant: ECONOLINES, INC., 1415 South 35th, P.O. Box 7215, Council Bluffs, Iowa 51501. Applicant's representative: Roger W. Norris (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Such commodities* as are dealt in and used by manufacturers and distributors of trailers, and *materials, equipment, supplies, and accessories* used in the operation, maintenance, and repair thereof, between points in Pottawattamie County, Iowa, and Sarpy County, Nebr., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Arizona, Colorado, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, North Dakota, Oklahoma, Oregon, South Dakota, Texas, Utah, Washington, and Wyoming.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Lincoln or Omaha, Nebr.

No. MC 105813 (Sub-No. 211), filed April 12, 1976. Applicant: BELFORD TRUCKING CO., INC., 1759 SW. 12th Street, P.O. Box 1936, Ocala, Fla. 32670. Applicant's representative: Arnold L. Burke, 180 North LaSalle Street, Chicago, Ill. 60601. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Such merchandise* as are dealt in by chain grocery stores, and *advertising material, fixtures*, and *equipment*, incidental to the sale thereof, in mechanically refrigerated equipment, from Chicago, Ill., to points in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, Virginia and West Virginia.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 105984 (Sub-No. 16), filed April 23, 1976. Applicant: JOHN B. BARBOUR TRUCKING COMPANY, 402 East Highway, P.O. Box 577, Iowa Park, Tex. 76367. Applicant's representative: David R. Parker, 2310 Colorado State Bank Bldg., 1600 Broadway, Denver, Colo. 80202. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Irrigation and sprinkler systems and related accessories and equipment*, from the plantsite and facilities of Lockwood Corporation, located at Wichita Falls, Tex., to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii); and (2) *materials, supplies, and equipment* used in the manufacture, production, and distribution of the commodities named in (1) above, from points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii), to the plantsite and facilities of Lockwood Corporation, located at Wichita Falls, Tex.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Wichita Falls, Tex.

No. MC 107002 (Sub-No. 486), filed April 9, 1976. Applicant: MILLER TRANSPORTERS, INC., P.O. Box 1123, U.S. Highway 80 West, Jackson, Miss. 39205. Applicant's representative: John J. Borth, P.O. Box 8573, Battlefield Station, Jackson, Miss. 39204. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Dry fertilizer*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Lake Providence, La., to points in Arkansas, Mississippi, and those points in Texas on and north of Interstate Highway 20 and on and east of Interstate Highway 35.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Jackson, Miss.

No. MC 107818 (Sub-No. 81), filed April 12, 1976. Applicant: GREENSTEIN TRUCKING COMPANY, a Corporation, 280 NW. 12th Avenue, P.O. Box 608, Pompano Beach, Fla. 33061. Applicant's representative: Martin Sack, Jr., 1754 Gulf Life Tower, Jacksonville, Fla. 32207. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen foods* (except in bulk), from Montezuma, Ga., to points in the United States in and east of Iowa, Minnesota,

Mississippi, Missouri, and Tennessee, restricted against interlining at Montezuma, Ga.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Atlanta, Ga., or Jacksonville, Fla.

No. MC 109692 (Sub-No. 36), filed April 19, 1976. Applicant: GRAIN BELT TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, 625 Livestock Exchange Bldg., Kansas City, Mo. 64102. Applicant's representative: Tom B. Kretsinger, Suite 910 Brookfield Bldg., 101 West Eleventh St., Kansas City, Mo. 64105. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Iron and steel articles*, from the facilities of Nucor Steel Division of Nucor Corporation located at or near Norfolk, Nebr., to points in Arkansas, Missouri, and Oklahoma.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Omaha or Lincoln, Nebr.

No. MC 110420 (Sub-No. 754), filed April 22, 1976. Applicant: QUALITY CARRIERS, INC., P.O. Box 186, Pleasant Prairie, Wis. 53158. Applicant's representative: Joseph K. Reber (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Chemicals, detergents, emulsions, floor finishes, liquid cleaners, and latex*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Merton, Wis., to points in Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Ohio, and Wisconsin.

NOTE: Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill., or Minneapolis, Minn.

No. MC 110525 (Sub-No. 1154), filed April 23, 1976. Applicant: CHEMICAL LEAMAN TANK LINES, INC., 520 East Lancaster Avenue, Downingtown, Pa. 19335. Applicant's representative: Thomas J. O'Brien, 520 East Lancaster Avenue, Downingtown, Pa. 19335. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Liquid chemicals*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Peachtree City, Ga., to points in Alabama, Louisiana, Mississippi, Texas, and points in Indiana and Missouri on and south of Interstate Highway 70.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Atlanta, Ga.

No. MC 110563 (Sub-No. 179), filed April 21, 1976. Applicant: COLDWAY FOOD EXPRESS, INC., P.O. Box 747, Sidney, Ohio 45365. Applicant's representative: Joseph M. Scanlan, 111 W. Washington, Chicago, Ill. 60602. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meat, meat products, meat byproducts, and articles distributed by meat packinghouses*, as described in Sections A and C of Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except hides and commodities in bulk), from the plantsite and warehouse facilities utilized by Hillshire Farm Company located at or near New London, Wis., to points in Connecticut, Delaware, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, and the District of Columbia, restricted to traffic originating at the above-named plantsite and warehouse facilities.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Milwaukee, Wis., or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 110563 (Sub-No. 180), filed April 22, 1976. Applicant: COLDWAY FOOD EXPRESS, INC., P.O. Box 747, Sidney, Ohio 45365. Applicant's representative: Joseph M. Scanlan, 111 W. Washington, Chicago, Ill. 60602. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Foodstuffs*, from St. Louis, Mo., to points in Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Michigan, and Ohio.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at St. Louis, Mo.

No. MC 111545 (Sub-No. 222), filed April 19, 1976. Applicant: HOME TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, INC., 1425 Franklin Rd. SE., Marietta, Ga. 30067. Applicant's representative: Robert E. Born, P.O. Box 6426, Station A, Marietta, Ga. 30065. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Iron and steel, and iron and steel articles* (except commodities which require special equipment because of size or weight), (1) from the plantsite and warehouse facilities of Pacific Tube Company located in Los Angeles County, Calif., to points in and east of Minnesota, Iowa, Nebraska, Kansas, Oklahoma, and Texas; and (2) from the plantsite and warehouse facilities of Cyprus Tubing and Conduit Co., located at or near Torrance, Calif., to points in Arkansas, Kansas, Missouri, Oklahoma, and Texas.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Los Angeles, Calif.

No. MC 111729 (Sub-No. 641), filed April 5, 1976. Applicant: PUROLATOR COURIER CORP., 3333 New Hyde Park Road, New Hyde Park, N.Y. 11040. Applicant's representative: John M. Delany, (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Business papers, records, audit and accounting media of all kinds, advertising materials, and drug samples*, between Alexandria Va., on the one hand, and, on the other, Akron, Canton, East Liverpool, Salem, Tallmadge, and Youngstown, Ohio; and Gurnham, Carlisle, Chambersburg, Harrisburg, Hershey, Lancaster, Lebanon, Lemoyne, Philadelphia, Plymouth Meeting, Reading, Springfield, State College, and York, Pa.

NOTE.—Common control may also be involved. Applicant holds contract carrier authority in MC 112750 and subs thereunder, therefore dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 111729 (Sub-No. 645), filed April 19, 1976. Applicant: PUROLATOR COURIER CORP., 3333 New Hyde Park Road, New Hyde Park, N.Y. 11040. Applicant's representative: Russell S. Bernhard, 1625 K Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20006. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Perishable chemical metal-brightening agent*, not to exceed 50 pounds per package or articles from one consignor to one consignee on any day, (a) between Cleveland and Sandusky, Ohio, on the one hand, and, on the other, Charlotte, N.C.; Chicago, Ill.; Memphis, Ten.; and Secaucus, N.J., (b) from Sandusky, Ohio, to points in Indiana, Kentucky, Michigan, and Pennsylvania, (c) from Secaucus, N.J., to points in Connecticut, Delaware, Maryland, Massachusetts, New York, and Rhode Island, (d) from Charlotte, N.C., to points in North Carolina, South Carolina, Virginia, and West Virginia, (e) from Chicago, Ill., to points in Illinois, Iowa, Minnesota, and Wisconsin, and (f) from Memphis, Tenn., to points in Missouri and Tennessee, restricted in (c) through (f) to an immediately prior out-of-State movement.

NOTE.—Applicant holds contract carrier authority in No. MC 112750 and subs thereunder, therefore dual operations may be involved. Common control may also be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 111729 (Sub-No. 647), filed April 21, 1976. Applicant: PUROLATOR COURIER CORP., 3333 New Hyde Park Road, New Hyde Park, N.Y. 11040. Applicant's representative: Russell S. Bernhard, 1625 K Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20006. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Radiopharmaceuticals, diagnostic test kits, medical instruments, biochemicals, equipment, and supplies*, between Orangeburg, N.Y., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in North Carolina and South Carolina.

NOTE.—Applicant holds contract carrier authority in MC 112750 and subs thereunder, therefore dual operations may be involved. Common control may also be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 111729 (Sub-No. 650), filed April 23, 1976. Applicant: PUROLATOR COURIER CORP., 3333 New Hyde Park Road, New Hyde Park, N.Y. 11040. Applicant's representative: Russell S. Bernhard, 1625 K Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20006. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Medical instruments*, between Overland Park, Kans., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Colorado, Illinois, Iowa, Missouri, Nebraska, and Oklahoma, restricted against the transportation of packages or articles weighing in excess of 40 pounds each and 120 pounds in the

aggregate, from one consignor to one consignee on any one day.

NOTE.—Applicant holds contract carrier authority in MC 112750 and subs thereunder, therefore dual operations may be involved. Common control may also be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 112306 (Sub-No. 18), filed April 19, 1976. Applicant: C & R TRANSFER CO., P.O. Box 1010, Rapid City, S. Dak. 57701. Applicant's representative: James W. Olson, 821 Columbus, Rapid City, S. Dak. 57701. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Iron and steel articles*, (a) from the plantsites of North Star Steel located in the Minneapolis-St. Paul, Min., commercial zone, to Rapid City, S. Dak.; (b) from the plantsite and storage facilities of North Star Steel located at or near Wilton, Iowa, to Rapid City, S. Dak.; and (c) from the plantsite and storage facilities of Nucor Steel located at Norfolk, Nebr., to Rapid City, S. Dak., under a continuing contract, or contracts with Dakota Steel & Supply located at Rapid City, S. Dak.

NOTE.—Applicant holds common carrier authority in No. MC 123885 and subs thereunder, therefore dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Rapid City, S. Dak., or Denver, Colo.

No. MC 112713 (Sub-No. 193), filed April 22, 1976. Applicant: YELLOW FREIGHT SYSTEM, INC., 10990 Roe Avenue, P.O. Box 7270, Shawnee Mission, Kans. 66207. Applicant's reprsentative: Edward G. Bazelon, 39 South LaSalle Street, Chicago, Ill., 60603. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities*, between the terminal facilities of Republic Freight System, Inc., located in Dade, Broward, and Palm Beach Counties, Fla., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Dade, Broward, and Palm Beach Counties, Fla., restricted to shipments moving on bills of lading issued by the named freight forwarder.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Kansas City, Mo., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 112801 (Sub-No. 185), filed April 21, 1976. Applicant: TRANSPORT SERVICE CO., 2 Salt Creek Lane, Hinsdale, Ill. 60521. Applicant's representative: Carl L. Steiner, 39 South LaSalle St., Chicago, Ill. 60603. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Sugar, products of corn and blends thereof*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Kansas City, Kans.—Kansas City, Mo. Commercial Zone, to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii).

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Chicago, Ill., or Kansas City, Mo.

No. MC 114015 (Sub-No. 19), filed April 26, 1976. Applicant: HUSS, INCORPORATED, Highway 47 West, P.O. Box 666, Chase City, Va. 23924. Applicant's representative: Morton E. Kiel, Suite 6193, 5 World Trade Center, New York, N.Y. 10048. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Wallboard, building board, insulation board, fibreboard, and pulpboard*, from the plantsite and storage facilities of the United States Gypsum Company, at Lisbon Falls, Maine, to points in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, Virginia, and West Virginia; and (2) *returned shipments, of the above specified commodities and materials, supplies, and equipment*, used in the manufacture, installation, and distribution of the aforementioned commodities (except in bulk), from the destination points, to the origin point named in (1) above, under contract with United States Gypsum Company.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Atlanta, Ga., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 114045 (Sub-No. 433), filed April 26, 1976. Applicant: TRANS-COLD EXPRESS, INC., P.O. Box 5842, Dallas, Tex. 75222. Applicant's representative: J. B. Stuart, P.O. Box 61228, D/FW Airport, Tex. 75261. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Pet supplies*, in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration, from Cranbury, N.J., to points in California and Texas.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Philadelphia, Pa., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 114194 (Sub-No. 185), filed March 31, 1976. Applicant: KREIDER TRUCK SERVICE, INC., 8003 Collinsville Road, East St. Louis, Ill. 62201. Applicant's representative: Ernest A. Brooks, II, 1301–02 Ambassador Building, St. Louis, Mo. 63101. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Corn products and blends* containing corn products, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from the facilities of A. E. Staley Manufacturing Company located at or near Lafayette, Ind., to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii).

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Chicago, Ill., or St. Louis, Mo.

No. MC 114211 (Sub-No. 257) (Correction), filed January 6, 1976, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of March 25, 1976, republished as corrected this issue. Applicant: WARREN TRANSPORT, INC., 324 Manhard Street, P.O. Box 420, Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: Daniel C. Sullivan, 327 South LaSalle, Chicago, Ill. 60604. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) (a) *Self-propelled vehicles* (except those motor vehicles as defined in section 203(a)(13) of the Interstate Commerce Act and commodities moving in driveway service); (b) *boring and drilling equipment*; (c) *equipment designed for use in conjunction with the commodities* in (a) and (b) above; and (d) *parts and attachments* for the commodities in (a), (b), and (c) above, from Woodbine, Iowa and Cherokee, Iowa and Omaha, Nebr., to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii); (2) *materials, equipment, and supplies* used in the manufacture and distribution of the commodities described in (1) above (except commodities in bulk), from points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii) to Woodbine, Iowa and Cherokee, Iowa and Omaha, Nebr.; and (3) the *commodities* described in (1) above which at the time of movement is being transported for the purpose of display or experiment and is moving between the sites of plants, sales branches, warehouses, experimental stations, farms, show exhibits of field demonstrations, between points in the United States (except Alaska nad Hawaii).

NOTE.—The purpose of this republication is to correct the requested authority in this proceeding. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Des Moines, Iowa, or Omaha, Nebr.

No. MC 114457 (Sub-No. 260), filed April 19, 1976. Applicant: DART TRANSIT COMPANY, 2102 University Avenue, St. Paul, Minn. 55114. Applicant's representative: James C. Hardman, 33 North LaSalle St., Chicago, Ill. 60602. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen bakery goods*, from the plant and warehouse facilities of Tennessee Doughnut Company located in Davidson County, Tenn., to points in Delaware, Ilinois, Indiana, Iowa, Maryland, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and the District of Columbia.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests a consolidated hearing at Minneapolis-St. Paul or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 114946 (Sub-No. 3), filed April 23, 1976. Applicant: OSBORNE B. CAUGH, INC., College Highway, P.O. Box 54, Southwick, Mass. 01077. Applicant's representative: S. Michael Richards, 44 North Avenue, Webster, N.Y. 14580. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Fertilizer*, in bulk, from Enfield Township, Conn., to Berkshire, Franklin, Hampden, and Hampshire Counties, Mass.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Springfield, Mass., or Hartford, Conn.

No. MC 115215 (Sub-No. 23), filed April 5, 1976. Applicant: NEW TRUCK LINES, INC., P.O. Box 639, Perry, Fla. 32347. Applicant's representative: Sol H. Proctor, 1107 Blackstone Bldg., Jacksonville, Fla. 32202. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting:

Poles, posts, timbers, and lumber, from points in Alabama, to points in Florida.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Jacksonville, Fla., or Atlanta, Ga.

No. MC 115322 (Sub-No. 115), filed April 21, 1976. Applicant: REDWING REFRIGERATED, INC., P.O. Box 10177, Highway 527, Taft, Fla. 32809. Applicant's representative: J. V. McCoy, P.O. Box 426, Tampa, Fla. 33601. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen foodstuffs* and *potato products*, in straight or mixed shipments (except in bulk), from the plantsite and storage facilities of McCain Foods Inc., located at Portland, Maine, to points in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, Virginia, and West Virginia.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Portland, Maine, or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 115496 (Sub-No. 43), filed April 12, 1976. Applicant: LUMBER TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 111, Cochran, Ga. 31014. Applicant's representative: Virgil H. Smith, Suite 12, 1587 Phoenix Boulevard, Atlanta, Ga. 30349. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Lumber and lumber products, and particle board*, from the manufacturing facilities of Louisiana-Pacific Corporation, located at or near Clayton, Ala., to points in Alabama, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan (lower Peninsula), Mississippi, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Tennessee, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, and the District of Columbia, restricted to shipments originating at the above origin and destined to the above destinations.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Atlanta, Ga., or Birmingham, Ala.

No. MC 115841 (Sub-No. 520), filed April 21, 1976. Applicant: COLONIAL REFRIGERATED TRANSPORTATION, INC., 107 Vulcan Rd., P.O. Box 10327, Birmingham, Ala. 35201. Applicant's representative: Terry P. Wilson (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Candy and/or confectionery and related products* (except in bulk); and (2) *advertising matter, premiums and display materials*, when shipped in the same vehicle with commodities described in (1) above, in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration, from the plantsite and storage facilities of M & M/Mars, a division of Mars, Incorporated located at or near Waco, Tex., to points in Alabama, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Michigan, Mississippi, Missouri, Nevada, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, Utah, Washington, and the District of Columbia.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests a consolidated hearing at either Washington, D.C. or Dallas, Tex.

No. MC 115904 (Sub-No. 51), filed April 22, 1976. Applicant: GROVER TRUCKING CO., 1710 West Broadway, Idaho Falls, Idaho 84301. Applicant's representative: Miss Irene Warr, 430 Judge Building, Salt Lake City, Utah 84111. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Plastic pipe and fittings*, from Denver, Colo., to points in Arizona, Kansas, Nebraska, New Mexico, Texas and Utah, restricted against the transportation of those commodities falling within the category described in Mercer Extension-Oil Field Commodities, 74 M.C.C. 459, and further restricted to the transportation of traffic originating at the facilities of Amoco Chemicals Corporation at Denver, Colo.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Denver, Colo. or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 116300 (Sub-No. 23), (Correction) filed January 30, 1976, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of April 15, 1976, republished as corrected this issue. Applicant: NANCE AND COLLUMS, INC., P.O. Drawer J, Fernwood, Miss. 39635. Applicant's representative: Harold D. Miller, Jr., P.O. Box 22567, Jackson, Miss. 39205. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Salt and salt products;* and (2) *materials and supplies* used in agriculture, water treatment, food processing, wholesale grocery and institutional supply industries when shipped in mixed loads with salt products and salt products; (a) from Avery Island, Anse LaButte, Baldwin, Jefferson Island and Weeks Island, La., to points in Florida, Georgia, and Tennessee; (b) from Anse LaButte, and Baldwin, La., to points in Arkansas, Louisiana, and Texas; and (c) from Weeks Island, La., to points in Arkansas, and Texas.

NOTE.—The purpose of this republication is to correct the commodity description of this proceeding. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at either Jackson, Miss. or New Orleans, La.

No. MC 116519 (Sub-No. 33), filed April 12, 1976. Applicant: FREDRICK TRANSPORT LIMITED, R.R. 6, Chatham, Ontario, Canada. Applicant's representative: Jeremy Kahn, Suite 733, Investment Building, Washington, D.C. 20005. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Containers, compactors, truck bodies and trailers*, equipped specifically for the collection and compaction of waste materials, from ports of entry on the International Boundary line between the United States and Canada, located in Michigan and New York, to points in the United States (except Alaska, Arizona, California, Colorado, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, Hawaii, Oregon, Utah, Washington, and Wyoming), restricted (1) to traffic in foriegn commerce; and (2) to traffic originating at the plantsite and facilities of Universal Handling Equipment Company, at Hamilton, Ontario, Canada, and destined to customers of Universal Handling Equipment Company, located at points within the destination territory.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 117823 (Sub-No. 50), filed April 26, 1976. Applicant: DUNKLEY REFRIGERATED TRANSPORT, INC., 1915 South 900 West, Salt Lake City, Utah 84104. Applicant's representative: Lon Rodney Kump, 200 Law Bldg., 333 East Fourth South, Salt Lake City, Utah 84111. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Foodstuffs*, from Salem, Oreg.; San Francisco, and Los Angeles, Calif.; and Salt Lake City, Utah, to Denver, Colo.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Los Angeles, Calif., or Salt Lake City, Utah.

No. MC 118159 (Sub-No. 173), filed April 21, 1976. Applicant: NATIONAL REFRIGERATED TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 51366, Dawson, Station, Tulsa, Okla. 74151. Applicant's representative: Neil A. DuJardin, P.O. Box 2298, Green Bay, Wis. 54306. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Paper and paper products* (except commodities in bulk), and *materials and supplies* used in the manufacture or distribution of paper and paper products (except commodities in bulk), between the plantsite of Southwest Packaging, Inc. located at or near Tulsa, Okla., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii).

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 118202 (Sub-No. 52), filed April 20, 1976. Applicant: SCHULTZ TRANSIT, INC., P.O. Box 406, Winona, Minn. 55987. Applicant's representative: Val M. Higgins, 1000 First National Bank Building, Minneapolis, Minn. 55402. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Plumbing supplies and accessories*, from the plantsite and warehouse facilities of Powers-Fiat Corporation located at or near Plainview, Long Island, N.Y., to points in the United States in and west of Kentucky, Mississippi, Ohio and Tennessee.

NOTE.—Applicant holds contract carrier authority in MC 134631 and subs thereunder, therefore dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 118202 (Sub-No. 53), filed April 26, 1976. Applicant: SCHULTZ TRANSIT, INC., P.O. Box 406, 323 Bridge Street, Winona, Minn. 55987. Applicant's representative: Eugene A. Schultz (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, meat products, meat byproducts, and articles distributed by meat packinghouses*, as described in Sections A, B, and C, of Appendix I to the *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except hides and commodities in bulk), from Eau Claire and Chippewa Falls, Wis., to points in Alabama, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Mississippi, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Tennessee, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, and the District of Columbia, restricted to traffic originating at the plantsites and warehouse facilities of Packerland Packing Company, at the above named origins.

NOTE.—Applicant holds contract carrier authority in MC 134631 and subs thereunder, therefore dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Minneapolis, Minn., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 119165 (Sub-No. 5), filed April 15, 1976. Applicant: ROBERT SAMUEL SEEMAN and ROBERT WILLIAM SEEMAN, doing business as SEEMAN'S TOWING SERVICE, P.O. Box 27023, Chicago, Ill. 60627. Applicant's representative: Carl L. Steiner, 39 South LaSalle Street, Chicago, Ill. 60603. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Wrecked, damaged, or disabled motor vehicles*, when towed by wrecker type equipment; and (2) *used motor vehicles* dispatched to replace wrecked, damaged, or disabled motor vehicles, when towed by wrecker type equipment, between points in Cook, DuPage, Kane, Kendall, Grundy, Will, and Kankakee Counties, Ill., and Lake and Porter Counties, Ind., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Alabama, Arkansas, Georgia, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Minnesota, Mississippi, New York, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, Texas, and West Virginia.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 119619 (Sub-No. 86), filed April 20, 1976. Applicant: DISTRIBUTORS SERVICE CO., 2000 West 43rd St., Chicago, Ill. 60609. Applicant's representative: Arthur J. Piken, Suite 1515, 1 Lefrak City Plaza, Flushing, N.Y. 11368. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Frozen foods*, from the plantsites and facilities of Orchard Hill Farms Inc., located at or near Red Hook and Germantown, N.Y., to points in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, Tennessee, Virginia, and Wisconsin.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant did not specify a location.

No. MC 119726 (Sub-No. 67), filed April 22, 1976. Applicant: N.A.B. TRUCKING CO., INC., 3220 Bluff Road, Indianapolis, Ind. 46217. Applicant's representative: James L. Beattey, Suite 1000, 130 East Washington St., Indianapolis, Ind. 46204. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes transporting: *Ground clay* (except in bulk), from the plant and warehouse facilities of the Oil-Dri Corporation of America, at or near Ochlocknee, Ga., to points in Florida, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Ohio, and Wisconsin.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Indianapolis, Ind., or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 119726 (Sub-No. 68), filed April 22, 1976. Applicant: N.A.B. TRUCKING CO., INC., 3220 Bluff Road, Indianapolis, Ind. 46217. Applicant's representative: James L. Beattey, 130 East Washington Street, Suite 1000, Indianapolis, Ind. 46204. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Ground clay*, (except in bulk), from the plant and warehouse facilities of the Oil-Dri Corporation of America located at or near Ripley, Miss., to Kansas City, Kans.; Kansas City and St. Louis, Mo.; Detroit, Mich.; Pittsburgh, Pa., and points within 25 miles of the above destinations.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Indianapolis, Ind., or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 119741 (Sub-No. 57), filed April 22, 1976. Applicant: GREEN FIELD TRANSPORT COMPANY, INC., P.O. Box 1235, 3225 5th Avenue South, Fort Dodge, Iowa 50501. Applicant's representative: D. L. Robson (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, meat byproducts, and articles distributed by meat packinghouses*, as described in Sections A and C of Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates* 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except hides and commodities in bulk), from Algona, Iowa, to Chicago, Ill.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Minneapolis, Minn., or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 119789 (Sub-No. 287), filed March 29, 1976. Applicant: CARAVAN REFRIGERATED CARGO, INC., P.O. Box 6188, Dallas, Tex. 75222. Applicant's representative: Hugh T. Matthews, 2340 Fidelity Union Tower, Dallas, Tex. 75201. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Alcohol and alcoholic beverages* (except in bulk), between points in California, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Mississippi, New Mexico, North Carolina, South Carolina, Virginia, and West Virginia.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at San Francisco, Calif.

No. MC 119974 (Sub-No. 55), filed April 19, 1976. Applicant: L.C.L. TRANSIT COMPANY, 949 Advance Street, Green Bay, Wis. 54304. Applicant's representative: L. F. Abel, P.O. Box 949, Green Bay, Wis. 54305. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Sugar, products of corn and blends thereof*, in bulk, from Kansas City, Kans.-Kansas City, Mo., Commercial Zone, to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii).

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Kansas City, Mo., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 119974 (Sub-No. 56), filed April 22, 1976. Applicant: L.C.L. TRANSIT COMPANY, a Corporation, 949 Advance Street, Green Bay, Wis. 54305. Applicant's representative: L. F. Abel, P.O. Box 949, Green Bay, Wis. 54305. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, meat byproducts, and articles distributed by meat packinghouses* (except hides and commodities in bulk), as defined in Sections A and C of Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates* 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766, (1) from the plantsite and warehouse facilities of Packerland Packing Co., Inc., at Green Bay, Eau Claire and Chippewa Falls, Wis., to points in Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, Ohio, and South Dakota; and (2) from the plantsite and warehouse facilities of Peck Meat Packing Corporation, at Milwaukee, Wis.; Menominee, Mich.; and Gibbon, Nebr., to points in Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, Ohio, South Dakota, and Wisconsin, restricted in (1) and (2) to the transportation of traffic originating at the above-named origins and destined to the above-named destinations.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Milwaukee, Wis., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 121082 (Sub-No. 12), filed March 22, 1976. Applicant: ALLIED DELIVERY SYSTEM, INC., 2201 Fenkell, Detroit, Mich. 48238. Applicant's representative: Robert E. McFarland, 999 West Big Beaver Road, Suite 1002, Troy, Mich. 48084. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle,

over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities*, limited to individual articles not exceeding 100 pounds in weight and moving in shipments not exceeding 500 pounds in weight from one consignor to one consignee in a single day, on bills of lading of surface, interstate, freight forwarders, between Buffalo, N.Y., and points in Michigan, Ohio, Illinois, and Indiana.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Detroit or Lansing, Mich.

No. MC 123255 (Sub-No. 72), filed April 23, 1976. Applicant: B & L MOTOR FREIGHT, INC., 140 Everett Avenue, Newark, Ohio 43055. Applicant's representative: A. Charles Tell, 100 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Plumbing fixtures and supplies* from Perrysville, Ohio, and points in Ripely Township (Holmes County), Ohio, to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii); and (2) *materials and supplies* used in the manufacture of the commodities specified in (1) above, and *rejected and returned shipments* of the commodities specified in (1) above, from points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii) to Perrysville, Ohio, and points in Ripley Township (Holmes County), Ohio.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Columbus, Ohio.

No. MC 123640 (Sub-No. 22), filed April 6, 1976. Applicant: SUMMIT CITY ENTERPRISES, INC., 3200 Maumee Avenue, Fort Wayne, Ind. 46803. Applicant's representative: Irving Klein, 280 Broadway, New York, N.Y. 10007. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Such commodities* as are sold, dealt in or used by chain or department stores, between Fort Wayne, Ind., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Massachusetts, Maryland, New York, New Jersey, North Carolina, and Virginia, under a continuing contract or contracts, with Supermarket Service Corp.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 123744 (Sub-No. 23), filed April 13, 1976. Applicant: BUTLER TRUCKING COMPANY, P.O. Box 88, Woodland, Pa. 16881. Applicant's representative: William J. Hirsch, 43 Court Street, Suite 1125, Buffalo, N.Y. 14202. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Kyanite and mullite*, from points in Prince Edward, Buckingham, Charlotte, and Appomattox Counties, Va., to points in New York, Pennsylvania, Maryland, New Jersey, and East Liverpool, Ohio; and (2) *returned shipments and containers* in the reverse direction.

NOTE.—Applicant intends to tack its requested authority at Centre County, Clearfield, Clymer, Mt. Union, Womelsdorf, Pa., to provide service to points in Alabama, Connecticut, Delaware, Illinois, Louisiana, Maine, Massachusetts, Mississippi, Missouri, New Hampshire, North Carolina, Rhode Island, Tennessee, Vermont, Virginia, Wisconsin, and the District of Columbia. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Buffalo, N.Y.

No. MC 124083 (Sub-No. 52), filed April 23, 1976. Applicant: SKINNER MOTOR EXPRESS, INC., 1035 S. Keystone Avenue, Indianapolis, Ind. 46203. Applicant's representative: Walter F. Jones, Jr., 601 Chamber of Commerce Bldg., Indianapolis, Ind. 46204. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Scrap rubber*, from Findlay, Ohio, to East St. Louis, Ill.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Indianapolis, Ind., or Columbus, Ohio.

No. MC 124328 (Sub-No. 98), filed April 23, 1976. Applicant: BRINK'S INCORPORATED, 1 Crossroads of Commerce Corner, Suite 710, Rolling Meadows, Ill. 60008, Applicant's representative: Chandler L. Van Orman, 704 Southern Bldg., Washington, D.C. 20005. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Silver bullion*, from Laredo, Tex., to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii).

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Houston, Tex., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 124821 (Sub-No. 18), filed April 27, 1976. Applicant: WILLIAM GILCHRIST, 105 North Keyser Avenue, Old Forge, Pa. 18518. Applicant's representative: William P. Jackson, Jr., 3426 North Washington Boulevard, P.O. Box 1267, Arlington, Va. 22201. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Roofing* and *roofing materials;* (2) *materials and supplies* used in the distribution and installation of the commodities described in (1) above (except in bulk), from the facilities of Flintkote Company located at or near Peachtree City, Ga., to points in Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, Virginia, West Virginia, and the District of Columbia; and (3) *materials, equipment, and supplies* used in the manufacture and distribution of roofing and roofing materials (except in bulk), from points in Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, Virginia, West Virginia, and the District of Columbia, to the facilities of Flinkote Company at or near Peachtree City, Ga.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 125040 (Sub-No. 4), filed April 21, 1976. Applicant: R. CONLEY, INC., 6891 Seneca Street, Elma, N.Y. 14059. Applicant's representative: Robert V. Gianniny, 900 Midtown Tower, Rochester, N.Y. 4604. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Vinegar and vinegar stock*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Sodus (Wayne County, N.Y., to points in Massachusetts, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, and Virginia.

NOTE.—Applicant holds contract carrier authority in No. MC 110663 and subs thereunder, therefore dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Rochester or Buffalo, N.Y., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 125313 (Sub-No. 3), filed April 5, 1976. Applicant: CLEVELAND SYRUP CORPORATION, 4999 Mead Ave., Cleveland 27, Ohio 44127. Applicant's representative: Edwin C. Reminger, 731 Leader Bldg., Cleveland, Ohio 44114. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Corn products and blends containing corn products*, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from the facilities of A. E. Staley Manufacturing Company located at or near Lafayette, Ind., to points in Indiana, Michigan, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, and West Virginia.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 127303 (Sub-No. 22), filed April 26, 1976. Applicant: HENRY ZELLMER, doing business as ZELLMER TRUCK LINES, P.O. Box 996, Granville, Ill. 61326. Applicant's representative: E. Stephen Heisley, 805 McLachlen Bank Bldg., 666 Eleventh Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20001. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Malt beverages, and related advertising materials, equipment, and supplies*, from Minneapolis-St. Paul, Minn., to points in Kansas, and *empty containers and brewery supplies on return.*

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Kansas City, Mo.

No. MC 127337 (Sub-No. 16), filed April 19, 1976. Applicant: CHET'S TRANSPORT, INC., Charlotte, Maine 04666. Applicant's representative: Lawrence E. Lindeman, 425 13th St. NW., Suite 1032, Washington, D.C. 20004. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Citrus fruits and juices*, and *agricultural commodities* exempt from economic regulation under Section 203(b)(6) of the Interstate Commerce Act, when transported in mixed loads with citrus fruits and juices (except in bulk, in tank vehicles), from points in Florida to ports of entry on the International Boundary line between the United States and Canada, located at Maine and Massachusetts, restricted to the transportation of shipments destined to points in the Provinces of New-

foundland, New Brunswick, Nova Scotia, and Prince Edward Island.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Portland, Maine, or Boston, Mass.

No. MC 127651 (Sub-No. 33), filed April 13, 1976. Applicant: EVERETT G. ROEHL, INC., P.O. Box 7, East 29th Street, Marshfield, Wis. 54449. Applicant's representative: Richard A. Westley, 4506 Regent Street, Siute 100, Madison, Wis. 53705. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Compressed wood products* (except commodities in bulk), from the plantsite of the Weyerhaeuser Company located at or near Marshfield, Wis., to points in Colorado, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, Ohio, Pennsylvania, and South Dakota.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held either at Chicago, Ill., or Madison, Wis.

No. MC 128273 (Sub-No. 218) (Correction), filed Jan. 5, 1976, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of March 4, 1976, republished as corrected this issue. Applicant: MIDWESTERN DISTRIBUTION, INC., P.O. Box 189, Fort Scott, Kans. 66701. Applicant's repersentative: Harry Ross, Jr., 1403 South Horton Street, Fort Scott, Kans. 66701. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Foodstuffs* (except canned goods, frozen foods, and commodities in bulk), from Pittsburg, Modesto, and Stockton, Calif., to points in and east of North Dakota, South Dakota, Wyoming, Colorado, and New Mexico, restricted to movement in mixed loads with canned goods.

NOTE.—The purpose of this republication is to correct the territorial description in this proceeding. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at San Francisco, Calif.

No. MC 128592 (Sub-No. 7), filed April 19, 1976. Applicant: KLM, INC., 2102 Old Brandon Road, P.O. Box 6098, Jackson, Miss. 39208. Applicant's representative: Donald B. Morrison, 1500 Deposit Guaranty Plaza, P.O. Box 22628, Jackson, Miss. 39205. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Frozen Foods*, from points in Pennsylvania, Texas, and Wisconsin, to the distribution facilities of the Burger King Corporation located at Greensboro, N.C., Syosett, N.Y., Bellmawr, N.J., Altanta, Ga., Miami, Fla., Minneapolis, Minn., Detroit, Mich., Solon, Ohio, Santa Fe Springs, Calif., Kansas City, Mo., Denver, Colo., Houston and Arlington, Tex., and Boston, Mass.; and (2) *such commodities as are sold or used by operators of restaurant chains* (except commodities in bulk), from Miami, Fla., to the distribution facilities of the Burger King Corporation located at Greensboro, N.C., Syosett, N.Y., Bellmawr, N.J., Altanta, Ga., Minneapolis, Minn., Detroit, Mich., Solon, Ohio, Santa Fe Springs, Calif., Kansas City, Mo., Denver, Colo., Houston and Arlington, Tex., and Boston, Mass., under a continuing contract or contracts in (1) and (2) above with the Burger King Corporation.

NOTE.—Applicant holds common carrier authority in MC 138308 and subs thereunder, therefore dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Jackson, Miss., or New Orleans, La.

No. MC 128750 (Sub-No. 6), filed April 20, 1976. Applicant: PITT TRUCK, INC., P.O. Box 172, Augusta, Ill. 62311. Applicant's representative: Ronald N. Cobert, Suite 501, 1730 M St. NW., Washington, D.C. 20036. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Anhydrous ammonia*, in bulk, from the plantsite and storage facilities of American Cyanamid Company located in Marion County, Mo., to points in Illinois.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Springfield, Ill.; St. Louis, Mo.; or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 129455 (Sub-No. 12), filed April 6, 1976. Applicant: CARRETTA TRUCKING, INC., 1815 Front St., Scotch Plain, N.J. 07076. Applicant's representative: Charles J. Williams (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Plastic pellets, plastic resin, plastic film, and plastic articles* (except in bulk), from Newark and Passaic, N.J., and Hickory, N.C., to points in Arizona, California, Colorado, Kansas, Nebraska, Nevada, New Mexico, Oklahoma, Texas, and Utah, restricted to a transportation service to be performed under a continuing contract or contracts, with Pantasote Company of New York, Inc., division of The Panasote Company.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at New York, N.Y.

No. MC 129984 (Sub-No. 3), filed April 8, 1976. Applicant: GLENN PETERSON, doing business as PETERSON TRANSIT, Route No. 2, Merrill, Wis. 54452. Applicant's representative: Frank M. Coyne, 25 West Main Street, Madison, Wis. 53703. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Waste paper and printing papers* other than newsprint, from Merrill, Wis., to points in Colorado, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Maryland, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, New York, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, South Dakota and Tennessee, restricted to transportation to be performed under a continuing contract or contracts with Ward Paper Company of Merrill, Wis.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Madison, Wis., or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 133095 (Sub-No. 96), filed April 15, 1976. Applicant: TEXAS CONTINENTAL EXPRESS, INC., P.O. Box 434, Euless, Tex. 76039. Applicant's representative: Hugh T. Matthews, 2340 Fidelity Union Tower, Dallas, Tex. 75201. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Carpeting and materials, equipment, and supplies* utilized in the manufacture and distribution thereof, between Marlin, Tex., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii).

NOTE.—Applicant holds contract carrier authority in MC 136032 and subs thereunder, therefore dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Dallas, Tex.

No. MC 133123 (Sub-No. 12), filed April 12, 1976. Applicant: RUJAC TRUCKING CORP., 1133 Avenue of the Americas, Room 3210, New York, N.Y. 10036. Applicant's representative: Bruce J. Robbins, 1 Lefrak City Plaza, Flushing, N.Y. 11368. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Electrical goods and parts;* and (2) *materials, equipment, and supplies* used or useful in the operation, manufacture, and repair thereof, from the facilities of Toshiba America, Inc., located at New York (Flushing), N.Y., and points in the New York, N.Y., Commercial Zone as defined in the Fifth Supplemental Report in Commercial Zones and Terminal Areas, 53 M.C.C. 451, within which local operations may be conducted under the exemption provided in Section 203(b)(8) of the Act (the exempt zone), to points in New Jersey; New York; and those in Pennsylvania in and east of Susquehanna; Wyoming; and points in Luzerne, Schuylkill, Berks, and Montgomery Counties, Pa., and the Philadelphia, Pa., Commercial Zone, under a continuing contract, or contracts, with Toshiba America, Inc.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at New York, N.Y.

No. MC 133133 (Sub-No. 13), filed April 5, 1976. Applicant: FULLER MOTOR DELIVERY CO., 802 Plum St., Cincinnati, Ohio 45202. Applicant's representative: Norbert B. Flick, 715 Executive Bldg., Cincinnati, Ohio 45202. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Salt and salt products*, from points in Hamilton County, Ohio, to points in the Lower Peninsula of Michigan.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Cincinnati, Ohio, or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 133270 (Sub-No. 6), filed April 23, 1976. Applicant: ROBERTSON FOODS CO., INC., 10061 Redwood Highway, Wilderville, Oreg. 97543. Applicant's representative: Earl V. White, SW. Fourth Ave., Portland, Oreg. 97201. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meat*, in vehicles equipped with mechanical refrigeration,

from Toppenish, Wash., to points in California.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Portland, Oreg.

No. MC 133276 (Sub-No. 13), filed April 9, 1976. Applicant: BERRY TRANSPORT, INC., 5315 NW. St. Helens Road, Portland, Oreg. 97210. Applicant's representative: Brian S. Stern, Wilson Plaza Bldg., 2425 Wilson Blvd. No. 327, Arlington, Va. 22201. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Canned goods*, from Salem, Oreg., to Portland, Oreg.; (2) *cannery supplies and machinery*, from Portland, Oreg., to Salem, Oreg.; (3) (A) *canned goods, and cannery supplies and machinery*, and (B) *fresh fruit and empty fruit containers*, when transported in the same vehicle and at the same time with the commodities specified in (3)(A), (1) between Salem, Oreg., and Vancouver, Wash.; and (2) between Salem, Oreg., and Portland, Oreg., restricted to the transportation of traffic moving to and from Vancouver, Wash.; (4) *tin plate, from* Vancouver, Wash., to Toppenish and Yakima, Wash.; (5) (A) *canned goods, cans, can ends, cannery supplies, and machinery*, between Hammond and Astoria, Oreg., on the one hand, and, on the other, Vancouver, Wash., (B) *canned goods, cans, can ends, tin plate, cannery supplies, and machinery*, between Salem and Portland, Oreg., on the one hand, and, on the other, Toppenish and Yakima, Wash., and (C) *cans, can ends, cannery supplies, and machinery*, between Hammond, Oreg., and Toppenish, Wash.; (6) *fresh fruit and vegetables, empty fruit containers, and fruit and vegetable seeds*, when transported in the same vehicle and at the same time with regulated commodities (otherwise authorized) as follows, (A) *fresh vegetables*, from Aurora, Brooks, Cedar Hills, Oregon City, Scappoose, Sherwood, and Woodburn, Oreg., and Pioneer, Ridgefield, and Vancouver, Wash., to Portland, Oreg.; (B) *fresh fruit*, from Gresham, The Dalles, Scenic, Sherwood, and Woodburn, Oreg., to Vancouver, Wash., and *empty fruit containers*, on return; (C) *empty fruit containers*, between Hood River, Oreg., and Vancouver, Wash.; and (D) *fruit and vegetable seeds*, between Salem and Portland, Oreg., and Vancouver, Wash.; and (7) (A) *fruits and vegetables*, processed (not including processing by freezing) and canned, and (B) *fresh fruits and vegetables*, when transported in the same vehicle and at the same time with regulated commodities (otherwise authorized), between Dundee and Portland, Oreg., and Vancouver, Wash., restricted in (1) through (7) above to the transportation of traffic originating at or destined to the facilities utilized by Del Monte Corporation.

NOTE.—Applicant holds contract carrier authority in MC 47010 and subs thereunder, therefore dual operations may be involved. Applicant has recently been granted certain common carrier authority in *Berry Transport, Inc., Ext-Containers*, 124 M.C.C. 329 (decided February 17, 1976), conditioned upon its filing and successfully prosecuting an application for the conversion of its existing contract carrier rights held under Permits Nos. 47010 and MC 47010 (Sub-No. 5), to corresponding common carrier operating authority (124 M.C.C. at 354). The purpose of this application is to accomplish such conversion in accordance with those instructions of the Commission. The rights sought in Paragraph V hereof correspond to those requested in the pending application in No. MC 47010 (Sub-No. 8). No duplicate authority is sought herein and any duplication in this statement of authority shall be construed as conferring only a single operating right. The underlying contract carrier authorities will be surrendered for cancellation concurrently with the issuance of the certificated rights requested. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Portland, Oreg., or Seattle, Wash.

No. MC 133655 (Sub-No. 90), filed April 12, 1976. Applicant: TRANSNATIONAL TRUCK, INC., P.O. Box 4168, Amarillo, Tex. 79105. Applicant's representative: Neil A. DuJardin, P.O. Box 2298, Green Bay, Wis. 54306. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Printed matter*, from the plantsite and warehouse facilities of Henry Wurst, Inc., located at or near Apex, N.C., to points in Alabama, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Florida, Georgia, Idaho, Kansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Mexico, North Carolina, North Dakota, Oklahoma, Oregon, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Washington, and Wyoming.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 133689 (Sub-No. 73), filed April 26, 1976. Applicant: OVERLAND EXPRESS, INC., 719 First St. SW., New Brighton, Minn. 55112. Applicant's representative: Robert P. Sack, P.O. Box 6010, West St. Paul, Minn. 55118. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Foodstuffs* (except commodities in bulk), from the plantsite and storage facilities of Maple Island, Inc., located at or near Claremont and Preston, Minn., to Lockport, N.Y., restricted to traffic originating at the above-named origins and destined to the named destination.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Minneapolis, Minn.

No. MC 134068 (Sub-No. 28), filed April 23, 1976. Applicant: KODIAK REFRIGERATED LINES, INC., 3336 E. Fruitland Avenue, P.O. Box 58327, Vernon, Calif. 90058. Applicant's representative: Joseph W. Harvey, P.O. Box 1018, Denver, Colo. 80201. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Foodstuff ingredients* (except in bulk, in tank vehicles), from San Francisco, Calif., to points in Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, and Wisconsin.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at San Francisco, Calif.

No. MC 134308 (Sub-No. 12) (Correction), filed March 30, 1976, published in the Federal Register issue of April 29, 1976, republished as corrected this issue. Applicant: (ADDO EXPRESS, INC., 1257 E. Reno, Oklahoma City, Okla. 73117. Applicant's representative: Roland Rice, 1111 E St. NW., Washington, D.C. 20004. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment): Serving the facilities of the Houston Chemical Company, Division of P.P.G. Industries, near Woodward, Okla., as an off-route point in conjunction with carrier's existing authority.

NOTE.—The purpose of this republication is to change the irregular route authority to that of regular route authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Oklahoma City, Okla.

No. MC 134404 (Sub-No. 25), filed April 9, 1976. Applicant: AMERICAN TRANS-FREIGHT, INC., P.O. Box 499, So. Bound Brook, N.J. 08880. Applicant's representative: Bert Collins, Suite 6193, 5 World Trade Center, New York, N.Y. 10048. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Cleaning products, toilet preparations, nutritional foods and related articles, materials, supplies, and equipment* used in the manufacture, distribution, or sale of the above commodities (except in bulk), from Frankin, Ky., to Dallas, Tex., Bedford Park, Ill., Milwaukie, Oreg., Denver, Colo., Chicago, Ill., Salt Lake City, Utah, and Kansas City, Kans., restricted to a service performed under a continuing contract or contracts with The Drackett Products Co.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at New York, N.Y.

No. MC 134734 (Sub-No. 29), filed April 12, 1976. Applicant: NATIONAL TRANSPORTATION, INC., P.O. Box 37465, Omaha, Nebr. 68137. Applicant's representative: Joseph Winter, 33 North LaSalle Street, Chicago, Ill. 60602. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irreguar routes, transporting: *Motor vehicle parts, tools, and related advertising materials*, from the plantsite and facilities of Moog Automative, Inc., at or near Creve Coeur, Mo., to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii), under a continuing contract or contracts with Moog Automotive, Inc.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 135732 (Sub-No. 19), filed April 26, 1976. Applicant: AUBREY FREIGHT LINES, INC., 625 Grove Street, Elizabeth, N.J. 07207. Applicant's representative:

Jack H. Blanshan, Suite 200, 205 West Touhy Avenue, Park Ridge, Ill. 60068. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Lard, tallow, shortening, vegetable oil shortening, margarine, and cooking oils* (except commodities in bulk), from the plantsite and warehouse facilities of or utilized by Swift Edible Oil Company, located at or near Bradley, Ill., to points in Connecticut, Delaware, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, Virginia, and the District of Columbia, restricted to the transportation of traffic originating at the above named origin and destined to the named destinations.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 136032 (Sub-No. 17), filed April 14, 1976. Applicant: TEXAS-CONTINENTAL EXPRESS, INC., P.O. Box 434, Euless, Tex. 76039. Applicant's representative: A. J. Swanson, 521 South 14th St., Box 81849, Lincoln, Nebr. 68501. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Hair and skin care products, toilet preparations, and equipment, materials, and supplies*, used in the manufacture and distribution thereof (except chemicals and commodities in bulk), in mechanically refrigerated trailers, between points within a 65 mile radius of Los Angeles, Calif., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the United States on and east of U.S. Highway 85, under a continuing contract, or contracts, with Redken Laboratories, Inc.

NOTE.—Applicant holds common carrier authority in MC 133095 and subs thereunder, therefore dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Los Angeles, Calif., or Dallas, Tex.

No. MC 136464 (Sub-No. 22), filed April 20, 1976. Applicant: CAROLINA WESTERN EXPRESS, INC., 650 Eastwood Drive, P.O. Box 3961, Gastonia, N.C. 28052. Applicant's representative: Eric Meierhoefer, 303 N. Frederick Avenue, Gaithersburg, Md. 20760. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Hosiery, apparel, and materials and supplies* used in the manufacture of hosiery and apparel: (a) from points in North Carolina, Marion, and Hartsville, S.C.; Pensicola, Fla.; and Savannah, Ga., to Los Cruces, N. Mex.; (b) from Winston-Salem, N.C., and Los Cruces, N. Mex., to Albuquerque, N. Mex.; Houston, Dallas, and San Antonio, Tex.; Denver, Colo.; Salt Lake City, Utah; Los Angeles, San Francisco, and Sacramento, Calif.; Seattle, Wash.; Portland, Oreg.; Phoenix, Ariz.; St. Louis and Kansas City, Mo.; Omaha, Nebr.; Oklahoma City, Okla.; and New Orleans, La.; and (a) from Los Cruces, N. Mex., to Winston-Salem, N.C., and Hartsville and Marion, S.C., under a continuing contract, or contracts with Legg's Products, Inc., of Winston-Salem, N.C.

NOTE.—Applicant holds common carrier authority in No. MC 138635 and subs thereunder, therefore dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemd necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Greensboro or Charlotte, N.C.

No. MC 136464 (Sub-No. 23), filed April 20, 1976. Applicant: CAROLINA-WESTERN EXPRESS, INC., 650 Eastwood Drive, P.O. Box 3961, Gastonia, N.C. 28052. Applicant's representative: Eric Meierhoefer, 303 N. Frederick Avenue, Gaithersburg, Md. 20760. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Textiles and textile products, furniture, lamps, and lamp shades*, from Memphis, Tenn., Asheboro and Cramerton, N.C., and points in Guilford County, N.C., to points in California, Oregon, and Washington, restricted to shipments originating at facilities used by Burlington Industries, Inc., and further restricted to the transportation service to be performed under a continuing contract, or contracts with the Burlington Industries, Inc.

NOTE.—Applicant holds common carrier authority in MC 138635 and subs thereunder, therefore dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Greensboro, N.C.

No. MC 136786 (Sub-No. 95), filed April 23, 1976. Applicant: ROBCO TRANSPORTATION, INC., 309 5th Avenue Northwest, New Brighton, Minn. 55112. Applicant's representative: Stanley C. Olsen, Jr., 7525 Mitchell Road, Eden Prairie, Minn. 55343. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Macaroni, noodles, spaghetti, and vermicelli*, with or without other ingredients, *soybean products and dried soup mix*, from Minneapolis, Minn., to points in Virginia and West Virginia.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Minneapolis, Minn.

No. MC 136828 (Sub-No. 8), filed April 23, 1976. Applicant: COX & SHAY, INC., P.O. Box O, Gilmer Industrial Park, Pinson, Ala. 35126. Applicant's representative: Louis J. Amato, P.O. Box E, Bowling Green, Ky. 42101. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Materials, equipment, machinery, and supplies*, used in the manufacturing, processing, and distribution of iron and steel articles, from points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii), to the plant and facilities of American Cast Iron Pipe Company, at Birmingham, Ala.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Birmingham, Ala.

No. MC 138018 (Sub-No. 28), filed April 23, 1976. Applicant: REFRIGERATED FOODS, INC., 1420 33rd St., P.O. Box 1018, Denver, Colo. 80201. Applicant's representative: Joseph W. Harvey (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, meat byproducts, and articles distributed by meat packinghouses*, as described in Sections A and C to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except hides and commodities in bulk, in tank vehicles), from points in Washington, to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii).

NOTE.—Applicant holds contract carrier authority in MC 124377 and subs thereunder, therefore dual operations may be involved. Common control may also be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Seattle, Wash., or Portland, Oreg.

No. MC 138313 (Sub-No. 19), filed April 23, 1976. Applicant: BUILDERS TRANSPORT, INC., 409 14th Street SW., Great Falls, Mont. 59404. Applicant's representative: Irene Warr, 430 Judge Building, Salt Lake City, Utah 84111. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Lumber, millwork, and wood products*, from points in Montana to points in Idaho, Oregon, and Washington.

NOTE.—Applicant holds contract carrier authority in No. MC 126780 (Sub-No. 1), therefore dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Great Falls, Mont., or Seattle, Wash.

No. MC 138835 (Sub-No. 20), filed April 20, 1976. Applicant: EASTERN REFRIGERATED TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 1059, Harrisonburg, Va. 22801. Applicant's representative: Harry J. Jordan, 1000 Sixteenth Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20036. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Foodstuffs*, frozen, from the plantsite and warehouse facilities of Ore-Ida Foods, Inc., located at Greenville, Mich., to points in Connecticut, Delaware, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, and the District of Columbia.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 138941 (Sub-No. 16), filed April 21, 1976. Applicant: COUNTRY WIDE TRUCK SERVICE, INC., 1110 South Reservoir St., Pomona, Calif. 91766. Applicant's representative: Paul M. Daniell, P.O. Box 872, Atlanta, Ga. 30301. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Vanities, vanity tops, shower doors, and kitchen cabinets*, from Van Nuys, Calif., to points in the United States in and east of Iowa, Minnesota, Missouri, Oklahoma, and Texas, under a continuing contract, or contracts, with Commodore Vanity Company, division of G. J. Industries.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Los Angeles, Calif.

No. MC 139005 (Sub-No. 3), filed April 7, 1976. Applicant: JAMES D. HOELZEMAN, doing business as SCRAP HAULERS, 13840 S. Halsted, Riverdale, Ill. 60627. Applicant's representative: Philip A. Lee, 120 W. Madison, Suite 618, Chicago, Ill. 60602. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Waste and waste products*, between Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Nebraska, North Dakota, Ohio, South Dakota, and Wisconsin.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 139096 (Sub-No. 2), filed April 26, 1976. Applicant: RENO ARMORED TRANSPORT, INC., 1130 South Flower Street, Los Angeles, Calif. 90015. Applicant's representative: R. Y. Schureman, 1545 Wilshire Blvd., Los Angeles, Calif. 90017. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Film and photofinished prints and slides*, between Reno, Nev., on the one hand, and, on the other, Kings Beach, South Lake Tahoe, Tahoe City, and Truckee, Calif., and Incline Village and Roundhill, Nev., under a continuing contract or contracts with Bennetts Photo Service.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Los Angeles, Calif., or Reno, Nev.

No. MC 139495 (Sub-No. 144), filed April 20, 1976. Applicant: NATIONAL CARRIERS, INC., 1501 East 8th Street, P.O. Box 1358, Liberal, Kans. 67901. Applicant's representative: Herbert Alan Dubin, 1819 H Street NW., Suite 1030, Washington, D.C. 20006. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Meats, meat products and meat byproducts, and articles distributed by meat packinghouses* (except chemicals, vegetable oils, and vegetable oil products), as described in Sections A and C of Appendix I to the Report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766, (a) from the plantsite, warehouses, and storage facilities used by National Beef Packing Company, at or near Liberal, Kans., to points in the United States (except Alaska, Hawaii, Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Wyoming); and (b) from the plantsite, warehouse, and storage facilities used by National Beef Packing Company located at Kansas City, Kans., to points in the United States (except Alaska, Arkansas, California, Louisiana, Hawaii, Idaho, Kentucky, Mississippi, Montana, North Carolina, North Dakota, Oregon, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Washington, and Wyoming), (2) fresh meat, from Fort Morgan and Sterling, Colo. and points in Iowa, Missouri, Nebraska, New Mexico, Oklahoma, and Texas, to the plantsites and warehouse facilities of National Beef Packing Company located at or near Liberal and Kansas City, Kans.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

No. MC 139727 (Sub-No. 3) (Correction), filed February 25, 1976, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of April 29, 1976 as MC 139737 (Sub-No. 3), republished as corrected this issue. Applicant: MADEWELL METALS, INC., 301 East Shawnee, Muskogee, Okla. 74401. Applicant's representative: Robert E. Jensen, 1130 17th St. NW., Washington, D.C. 200036. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Lead, lead alloy, lead oxide, and lead byproducts* in bulk and ingot form, from Schuylkill Metals Corporation, located at Baton Rouge, La., to Newport and Lonoke, Ark.; Orlando, Plant City, and Tampa, Fla.; Atlanta and Conyers, Ga.; Attica and Indianapolis, Ind.; Louisville, Ky.; Shreveport, La.; Kansas City, Mo.; Memphis, Tenn.; Beaumont, Dallas, Houston, San Antonio, Terrell, and Garland, Tex.; Richmond, Va.; New York City and Brooklyn, N.Y.; East Alton, Ill. (located near East St. Louis just over the Missouri State line); Pomona, Signal Hill, Santa Ana, Antioch, Calif., and City of Industry and City of Commerce, Calif. (located near Los Angeles in Los Angeles County), under a continuing contract or contracts, with Schuylkill Metals Corporation, located at Baton Rouge, La.

NOTE.—The purpose of this republication is to correct the docket number which was previously published in error. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Oklahoma City, Okla., Baton Rouge, La., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 139923 (Sub-No. 10), filed April 12, 1976. Applicant: MILLER TRUCKING CO., INC., P.O. Drawer "D", Stroud, Okla. 74709. Applicant's representative: Jack H. Blanshan, Suite 200, 205 West Touhy Avenue, Park Ridge, Ill. 60068. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Petroleum products* (except in bulk), from the plantsites and storage facilities of or utilized by Witco Chemical Corporation, located at or near Bakersfield, Los Angeles, and Richmond, Calif., to Atlanta, Ga.; Morristown, N.J.; and Rock Island, Ill., and points in Arizona, Colorado, Idaho, Nevada, New Mexico, Oregon, Texas, Utah, and Washington.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Los Angeles, Calif.

No. MC 139923 (Sub-No. 11), filed April 21, 1976. Applicant: MILLER TRUCKING CO., INC., P.O. Drawer "D", Stroud, Okla. 74079. Applicant's representative: Jack H. Blanshan, Suite 200, 205 West Touhy Avenue, Park Ridge, Ill. 60068. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Shelving and component parts of shelving*, from the facilities of Kirsch Company, located at or near Rockford, Ill., to points in Arizona, California, Colorado, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, Oregon, Texas, Utah, Washington, and Wyoming; and (2) *curtain and drapery rods, hardware, accessories and parts, bath and shower hardware, and accessories and parts thereof*, from the facilities of Kirsch Company, located at or near Sturgis, Mich., to points in Arizona, California, Colorado, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, Oregon, Texas, Utah, Washington, and Wyoming, restricted in parts (1) and (2) to the transportation of traffic originating at the named origin and destined to the named destinations.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 140003 (Sub-No. 4), filed Aprl 26, 1976. Applicant: BALL MOTOR LINE OF APOPKA, INC., P.O. Drawer AL, Apopka, Fla. 32703. Applicant's representative: Theodore Polydoroff, 1250 Connecticut Ave. NW., Washington, D.C. 20036. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Such commodities* as are dealt in by wholesale plumbing and electrical suppliers (except commodities which because of size and weight require special equipment), from points in Alabama, Arkansas, California, Connecticut, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, New Jersey, New York, North Dakota, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, West Virginia, and Wisconsin, to points in Florida, under a continuing contract with Hughes Supply, Inc.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Orlando or Jacksonville, Fla.

No. MC 140409 (Sub-No. 1), filed April 26, 1976. Applicant: MINN-CAL, INC., P.O. Box 657, Mandan, N. Dak. 58554. Applicant's representative: Gene P. Johnson, 425 Gate City Building, Fargo, N. Dak. 58102. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, meat byproducts, and articles distributed by meat packinghouses*, as described in Sections A and B of Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except hides and commodities in bulk), from the facilities of Flavorland Industries, Inc., located at or near Fargo and West Fargo, N. Dak., to points in Arizona, California, Montana, Nevada, Oregon, Utah, and Washington.

NOTE.—Applicant holds contract carrier authority in MC 139110 and subs thereunder, therefore dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Fargo, N. Dak., or Minneapolis, or St. Paul, Minn.

No. MC 141034 (Sub-No. 2), filed March 26, 1976. Applicant: MARGIN LEASING, INC., 21 Baltic Road, Worcester, Mass. 01607. Applicant's representative: Ronald I. Shapss, 450 Seventh Avenue, New York, N.Y. 10001. Authority

sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Malt beverages*, between Merrimack, N.H., on the one hand, and, on the other, East Cambridge, Mass.; and (2) *beverage containers*, between Merrimack, N.H., on the one hand, and, on the other, Lawrence, Mass., under a continuing contract or contracts with Anheuser-Busch, Inc.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either New York, N.Y., or Boston, Mass.

No. MC 141565 (Sub-No. 3), filed April 26, 1976. Applicant: CENTRAL TRANSPORT, INC., 2904 West 2nd Street, Sioux Falls, S. Dak. 57104. Applicant's representative: Mark Menard, P.O. Box 480, 307 West 14th Street, Sioux Falls, S. Dak. 57101. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Commercial refuse containers, gravity flow boxes*, and *hay feeders*, from the plantsite or storage facilities of Teem Enterprises, Inc., at Sioux Falls, S. Dak., to points in Alabama, Arkansas, Colorado, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Oklahoma, Ohio, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and Wyoming, under contract with Teem Enterprises, Inc.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Sioux Falls, S. Dak., or Sioux City, Iowa.

No. MC 141570 (Sub-No. 2), filed April 22, 1976. Applicant: ELECTRONICS TRANSPORT, INC., 3213 Eighth Avenue North, P.O. Box 31103, Birmingham, Ala. 35222. Applicant's representative: M. Craig Massey, 202 East Walnut Street, P.O. Drawer J, Lakeland, Fla. 33802. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Copying machines and parts, materials, and supplies*, used in the manufacture, installation, or sale of such commodities, between Mobile, Ala., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Florida, west of the Apalachicola River, and points in Mississippi, on and south of U.S. Highway 80, under contract with Xerox Corporation.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant does not specify a location.

No. MC 141675 (Sub-No. 3), filed February 27, 1976. Applicant: ECONOMY TRUCKING SERVICE, INC., 1079 West Side Ave., Jersey City, N.J. 07306. Applicant's representative: Ira G. Megdal, P.O. Box 459–460, 499 Cooper Landing Rd., Cherry Hill, N.J. 08002. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Such commodities as are dealt in by department stores*, and *supplies and equipment* used in the conduct of such business, from points in New York, N.Y. and its Commercial Zone and points in Connecticut, Massachusetts, and New Jersey, to points in Michigan, under a continuing contract or contracts with Giantway, Inc.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Philadelphia, Pa., or Trenton or Newark, N.J.

No. MC 141701 (Correction), filed January 12, 1976, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of March 25, 1976, republished as corrected this issue. Applicant: D & P TRUCKING, INC., 15 Scout Ave., South Kearny, N.J. 07032. Applicant's representative: George A. Olsen, 69 Tonnele Ave., Jersey City, N.J. 07306. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Cleaning, scouring, washing compounds, display materials, and supplies* (except commodities in bulk, in tank vehicles); and (2) *materials, equipment, and supplies* used in the manufacture and sale of materials, equipment, and supplies (except commodities in bulk, in tank vehicles), between Clifton, N.J., and Berkeley, R.I., on the one hand, and, on the other, Los Angeles, Calif.; Denver, Colo.; Savannah, Ga.; Peoria, Ill.; Detroit, Mich.; Cleveland, Ohio.; New York, N.Y.; and Fort Worth and Dallas, Tex., and their Commercial Zones as defined by the Commission, under a continuing contract or contracts with Glamorene Products Corp., Clifton, N.J.

NOTE.—The purpose of this republication is to include Cleveland, Ohio, to the territorial description which was previously omitted. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either New York, N.Y., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 141745 (Sub-No. 1), filed April 12, 1976. Applicant: ROBERT F. RANDGAARD, doing business as BOB'S TRUCKING, 2610 East Whitton, Phoenix, Ariz. 85016. Applicant's representative: Phil B. Hammond, Tenth Floor, 111 West Monroe, Phoenix, Ariz. 85003. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Textiles and trim, including upholstery and drapery fabric and related accessories*, from New Orleans, La., to Phoenix, Ariz.; Bakersfield, Fresno, Long Beach, Los Angeles, Oakland, Sacramento, San Diego, San Francisco, San Ysidro, Santa Clara, and Stockton, Calif.; and El Paso, Tex., under contract with American Textile & Trim Co., Inc.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at New Orleans, La., or Los Angeles, Calif.

No. MC 141758 (Correction), filed January 23, 1976, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of April 29, 1976, republished as corrected this issue. Applicant: LYDALL EXPRESS, INC., 615 Parker Street, Manchester, Conn. 06040. Applicant's representative: Hugh M. Joseloff, 80 State Street, Hartford, Conn. 06103. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Paper and paper products*, (a) from the plantsite of Colonial Fiber Company located in Manchester, Conn., to Lynchburg, Va.; Barboursville, W. Va.; and points in Illinois, Indiana, Maine, Massachusetts, Michigan, Missouri, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Tennessee, and Wisconsin; (b) from the plantsite of Colonial Fiber Company located in Manchester, Conn., to points in the Provinces of Quebec and Ontario, Canada, via those ports of entry on the International Boundary line between the United States and Canada, located in Highgate Springs, Vt.; Rouses Point and Niagara Falls, N.Y.; and Detroit, Mich.; (c) from the plantsite of Colonial Fiber Company located in Bar Mill, Maine, to Lynchburg, Va., and to points in Connecticut, Indiana, Massachusetts, Missouri, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, and Tennessee; and (d) from the plantsite of Colonial Fiber Company, located in Bar Mills, Maine, to points in the Provinces of Quebec and Ontario, Canada, via those ports of entry on the International boundary line between the United States and Canada, located in Highgate Springs, Vt.; Rouses Point and Niagara Falls, N.Y.; and Detroit, Mich.

(e) From the plantsite of Colonial Fiber Company located in Covington, Tenn., to Lynchburg, Va., and to points in Connecticut, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Maine, Massachusetts, Michigan, Wisconsin, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Tennessee, and West Virginia; (f) from the plantsite of Colonial Fiber Company, located in Covington, Tenn., to points in the Provinces of Quebec and Ontario, Canada, via those ports of entry on the International Boundary line between the United States and Canada, located in Highgate Springs, Vt.; Rouses Point and Niagara Falls, N.Y.; and Detroit, Mich.; and (g) from the plantsite of Colonial Fiber Company, located in Rochester, N.H., to points in Connecticut, Massachusetts, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, and Rhode Island; and (2) *products, materials, and machinery*, used in the manufacture of paper and paper products: (a) from Lynchburg, Va., and points in Illinois, Indiana, Maine, Massachusetts, Michigan, Missouri, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Tennessee, and Wisconsin, to the plantsite of Colonial Fiber Company, located in Manchester, Conn.; (b) from points in the Provinces of Quebec and Ontario, Canada, to the plantsite of Colonial Fiber Company, located in Manchester, Conn., via those ports of entry on the International Boundary line between the United States and Canada, located in Highgate Springs, Vt.; Rouses Point and Niagara Falls, N.Y.; and Detroit, Mich.; (c) from Lynchburg, Va., and points in Connecticut, Indiana, Massachusetts, Missouri, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, and Tennessee, to the plantsite of Colonial Fiber Company, located in Bar Mill, Maine; (d) from points in the Provinces of Quebec and Ontario, Canada, to the plantsite of Colonial Fiber Company, located in Bar Mills, Maine, via those ports of entry on the International Boundary line between

the United States and Canada, located in Highgate Springs, Vt.; Rouses Point and Niagara Falls, N.Y.; and Detroit, Mich.

(e) From Lynchburg, Va., and points in Connecticut, Illinois, Indiana, Kentucky, Maine, Massachusetts, Michigan, Missouri, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Wisconsin, West Virginia, and Tennessee, to the plantsite of Colonial Fiber Company, located in Covington, Tenn.; (f) from points in the Provinces of Quebec and Ontario, Canada, to the plantsite of Colonial Fiber Company, located in Covington, Tenn.; and (g) from points in Connecticut, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, and Ohio, to the plantsite of Colonial Fiber Company, located in Rochester, N.H.; restricted in (2) above to traffic destined to the named plantsites, under continuing contract with Colonial Fiber Company.

NOTE.—The purpose of this republication is to change the requested authority in this proceeding. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Hartford, Conn., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 141758 (Sub-No. 1), filed March 18, 1976. Applicant: LYDALL EXPRESS, INC., 615 Park Street, Manchester, Conn. 06040. Applicant's representative: Hugh M. Joseloff, 80 State Street, Hartford, Conn. 06103. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Metal and plastic balls*, (a) from the plantsite of Spheric Corp., located in Washington, Ind., to points in Connecticut, Illinois, Kansas, Kentucky, Massachusetts, Michigan, Missouri, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, and Tennessee; (b) from the plantsite of Spheric Corp., located in Washington, Ind., to points in the Provinces of Quebec and Ontario, Canada, via those ports of entry on the International Boundary line between the United States and Canada, located in Highgate Springs, Vt.; Rouses Point and Niagara Falls, N.Y.; and Detroit, Mich.; (c) from the plantsite of Spheric Corporation, located in Hartford, Conn., to Lynchburg, Va.; Barboursville, W. Va.; and points in Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Maine, Massachusetts, Michigan, Missouri, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Tennessee, and Wisconsin; and (d) from the plantsite of Spheric Corporation, located in Hartford, Conn., to points in the Provinces of Quebec and Ontario, Canada, via those ports of entry on the International Boundary line between the United States and Canada, located in Highgate Springs, Vt.; Rouses Point and Niagara Falls, N.Y.; and Detroit, Mich.

(2) *Products, materials, and machinery*, used in the manufacture of metal and plastic balls: (a) from points in Connecticut, Illinois, Kansas, Kentucky, Massachusetts, Michigan, Missouri, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, and Tennessee, to the plantsite of Spheric Corp., located in Washington, Ind.; (b) from points in the Provinces of Quebec and Ontario, Canada, to the plantsite of Spheric Corp., located in Washington, Ind., via those ports of entry on the International Boundary line between the United States and Canada, located in Highgate Springs, Vt.; Rouses Point and Niagara Falls, N.Y.; and Detroit, Mich.; (c) from Lynchburg, Va.; Barboursville, W. Va.; and points in Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Maine, Massachusetts, Michigan, Missouri, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Tennessee, and Wisconsin, plantside of Spheric Corporation, located in Hartford, Conn.; and (d) from points in the Provinces of Quebec and Ontario, Canada, to the plantsite of Spheric Corporation, located in Hartford, Conn., via those ports of entry on the International Boundary line between the United States and Canada, located in Highgate Springs, Vt.; Rouses Point and Nigara Falls, N.Y.; and Detroit, Mich.; restricted in (2) above to traffic destined to the named plantsites; under continuing contracts in (1) (a) and (b) and 2 (a) and (b) above with Spheric Corp., located in Washington, Ind., and in (1) (c) and (d) and (2) (c) and (d) above with Spheric Corporation, located in Hartford, Conn.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Hartford, Conn., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 141805 (Amendment), filed February 17, 1976, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of March 18, 1976, republished as amended this issue. Applicant: HOOSIER TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 414, State Road 36, Danville, Ind. Applicant's representative: Walter F. Jones, Jr., 601 Chamber of Commerce Building, Indianapolis, Ind. 46204. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except Classes A and B explosives, and household goods as defined by the Commission), between the Southwind Maritime Centre, at or near Mount Vernon, Posey County, Ind., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Arkansas, Indiana, Illinois, Missouri, Ohio, and Tennessee, restricted to traffic having a prior or subsequent movement by water.

NOTE.—The purpose of this republication is to broaden the scope of the application. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Washington, D.C., or Indianapolis, Ind.

No. MC 141906 (Sub-No. 2), filed April 15, 1976. Applicant: CHARLIE BREWSTER, doing business as BREWSTER & SONS, Route 2, Blackshear, Ga. 31516. Applicant's representative: Sol H. Proctor, 1107 Blackstone Building, Jacksonville, Fla. 32202. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Feed*, in bulk, from Blackshear, Ga., to points in Nassau and Duval Counties, Fla.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Jacksonville, Fla.

No. MC 141915 (Sub-No. 2), filed April 9, 1976. Applicant: CHARLES LOCK AND TIMOTHY LOCK, doing business as LOCK TRUCK LINE, P.O. Box 23, Carrollton, Mo. 46433. Applicant's representative: Tom B. Kretsinger, Suite 910 Brookfield Bldg., 101 W. Eleventh St., Kansas City, Mo. 64105. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except of those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, commodities requiring special equipment, and those injurious or contaminating to other lading), from the Carrollton, Mo., piggyback ramp to Carrollton, Macon, Marshall, Moberly, Milan, Chillicothe, Trenton, and Richmond, Mo., restricted to the transportation of shipments having a prior movement by rail.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Kansas City, Mo., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 141928 (Sub-No. 2), filed April 1, 1976. Applicant: KOHLMAN INDUSTRIES, LTD., Box 866, 29170 Fraser Highway, Aldergrove, B.C., Canada. Applicant's representative: George R. LaBissoniere, 1100 Norton Building, Seattle, Wash. 98104. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Lumber*, between points on the International Boundary line between the United States and Canada located at or near Lynden or Sumas, Wash., on the one hand, and, on the other, Strandell, Wash., restricted to traffic moving to or from Aldergrove and Vancouver, B.C., under a continuing contract or contracts with Can Am Industries Ltd.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Seattle, Wash.

No. MC 141932 (Sub-No. 1), filed March 29, 1976. Applicant: POLAR TRANSPORT, INC., 176 King Street, Hanover, Mass. 02339. Applicant's representative: Frank J. Weiner, 15 Court Square, Boston, Mass. 02108. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Candy and confectionery* (except in bulk): (a) from the plantsites and/or storage facilities of The Schrafft Candy Company, located in Middlesex and Suffolk Counties, Mass., to points in Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Iowa, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Mississippi, Nebraska, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and the District of Columbia; (b) from the plantsites and/or storage facilities of Deran Confectionary, Division of Borden, Inc., located in Middlesex and and Suffolk Counties, Mass., and North Grosvenordale, Conn., to points in Alabama, Arkansas, Connecticut, Delaware,

Florida, Georgia, Iowa, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Mississippi, Nebraska, North Carolina, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, Vermont, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and the District of Columbia; and (c) from the plantsites and/or storage facilities of Nabisco Confections, Inc., Subsidiary of Nabisco, Inc., located in Bristol, Middlesex, and Norfolk Counties, Mass., and Ashton, R.I., to points in Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Iowa, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Mississippi, Nebraska, North Carolina, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia, Vermont, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and the District of Columbia; and (2) *returned and rejected shipments* of the above-described commodities, from the above-named destination points in (a) through (c) above, to the origins respectively set forth in (a) through (c) above.

NOTE.—Applicant holds contract carrier authority in MC 129600 and subs thereunder, therefore dual operations may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Boston, Mass.

No. MC 141938 (Sub-No. 1), filed April 5, 1976. Applicant: S & S TRUCKING AND WAREHOUSING, INC., 7100 NW., 12th Street, Miami, Fla. 33126. Applicant's representative: John B. Bond, 2766 Douglas Road, Miami, Fla. 33133. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities*, between points in Dade County, Fla., having prior or subsequent movement by water, under contract with Arncam Shipping Co., Inc., restricted against the transportation of said commodities in bulk, Classes A and B explosives, household goods, livestock, commodities requiring special handling and special equipment, and commodities requiring refrigeration.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Miami, Fla.

No. MC 141943 (Sub-No. 2), filed April 21, 1976. Applicant: BOWMAN COMPANY, 4006 South Brook St., Louisville, Ky. 40214. Applicant's representative: A. Charles Tell, 100 East Broad St., Columbus, Ohio 43215. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Printing cylinders, reproduction artwork, proofs, and samples*, between Louisville, Ky., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Illinois, Indiana, Massachusetts, Michigan, Missouri, Ohio, Pennsylvania, South Carolina, and Virginia, restricted to service to and from the plantsite of Southern Gravure Service, Inc.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Louisville, Ky.

No. MC 141961, filed March 22, 1976. Applicant: CARMAN CARRIER, INC., 1005 W. Riverside Drive, Jeffersonville, Ind. 47130. Applicant's representative: Donald W. Smith, Suite 2465, One Indiana Square, Indianapolis, Ind. 46204. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Conveying and feeding equipment for bulk materials and equipment, materials, and supplies* used in the manufacture of conveying and feed equipment for bulk materials, between the plantsite of Carman Industries, Inc., located at Jeffersonville, Ind., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the United States (except Idaho, Montana, Oregon, Washington, Alaska, and Hawaii), under a continuing contract with Carman Industries, Inc.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Indianapolis, Ind., or Louisville, Ky.

No. MC 141962, filed March 22, 1976. Applicant: MILTON MONOXELOS, doing business as NORTHEAST REFRIGERATED DISTRIBUTING CO., East Street, Tewksbury, Mass. 01876. Applicant's representative: Kenneth B. Williams, 84 State Street, Boston, Mass. 02109. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, meat byproducts, dairy products, and articles distributed by meat packinghouses*, as described in Sections A, B, and C of Appendix I to the report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except commodities in bulk), from the facilities of Northeast Refrigerated Distributing Co., located in Tewksbury, Mass., to points in Massachusetts, restricted to shipments moving in temperature controlled equipment.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Boston, Mass.

No. MC. 141964, filed March 25, 1976. Applicant: AMERICAN INTERSTATE MOTOR FREIGHT, Andrews Drive, P.O. Box 211, West Paterson, N.J. 07425. Applicant's representative: Robert B. Pepper, 168 Woodbridge Avenue, Highland Park, N.J. 08904. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Metal stampings and scrap metals*, from the facilities of United Tool & Stamping Company, located at West Paterson, N.J., to points in Delaware, Maryland, New York, North Carolina, Pennsylvania, and Virginia; and (2) *materials, supplies, and equipment* used in the manufacturing of metal stampings (except in bulk), from points in Delaware, Maryland, New York, North Carolina, Pennsylvania, and Virginia, to the facilities of United Tool & Stamping Company, located at West Paterson, N.J., (1) and (2) are under a continuing contract with United Tool & Stamping Company, West Paterson, N.J.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Newark, N.J., or New York, N.Y.

No. MC 141968 (Sub-No. 1), filed April 19, 1976. Applicant: WINN EXPRESS COMPANY, INC., 217 Hunter St. SE., Atlanta, Ga. 30312. Applicant's representative: Archie B. Culbreth, Suite 246, 1252 West Peachtree St. NW., Atlanta, Ga. 30309. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Such commodities* as are dealt in by general merchandise stores, department stores, mail order houses, and drug stores, between Atlanta, Ga., and points in its Commercial Zone, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Georgia, under a continuing contract, or contracts, with J. C. Penney Company, Inc., and its subsidiaries.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Atlanta, Ga.

No. MC 141994, filed April 15, 1976. Applicant: EDWARD J. HROBAK, 11280 Center Road, Garrettsville, Ohio 44231. Applicant's representaive: Lewis S. Witherspoon, 88 East Broad St., Suite 930, Columbus, Ohio 43215. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Cheeses*, in mechanically temperature controlled equipment, from Newbury, Geauga County, Ohio and Cleveland, Cuyahoga County, Ohio, to Denver, Colo.; Carthage, Springfield, and St. Louis, Mo.; and Omaha, Nebr., under a continuing contract, or contracts, with Great Lakes Cheese Company, Inc., and Miceli Dairy Products Co.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Cleveland, Ohio.

No. MC 142001, filed April 5, 1976. Applicant: RITE-GUY HAULING, INC., 1909 Weber Drive, Madison, Wis. 53713. Applicant's representative: Edward Solie, Executive Bldg., Suite 100, 4513 Vernon Blvd., Madison, Wis. 53705. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Fly ash and slag*, in bulk, from the plant facilities of Wisconsin Power & Light Company, at or near Beloit, Cassville, Portage, and Sheboygan, Wis., to points in Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Michigan, and Minnesota, under a continuing contract or contracts with National Minerals Corporation-Wisconsin, Inc.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Madison, Wis.

No. MC 142002, filed April 8, 1976. Applicant: NORTHSIDE REPAIR & TOWING SERVICE, INC., 510 Webster Avenue, North Mankato, Minn. 56001. Applicant's representative: Grant J. Merritt, 415 Peavey Building, 730 Second Avenue S., Minneapolis, Minn. 55402. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Wrecked or disabled vehicles and replacement vehicles*, by use of wrecker equipment, between points Minnesota, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Alabama, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Florida, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Michigan, Min-

nesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Mexico, New York, North Dakota, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Washington, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and Wyoming.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Minneapolis, Minn.

No. MC 142003, filed April 8, 1976. Applicant: LANNA F. SITAR AND CAROL D. SITAR, doing business as L & C TRUCKING CO., a partnership, 2501 South Artesian Avenue, Chicago, Ill. 60606. Applicant's representative: Eugene L. Cohn, One N. LaSalle Street, Chicago, Ill. 60602. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Batteries and battery parts*, between the ESB Industrial Plant Site, at Richmond, Ky.; the ESB Service Center site, at Benton, Ill.; Chicago, Ill., and points in the Chicago, Ill. Commercial Zone, as defined by the Commission.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 142005, filed April 6, 1976. Applicant: CHARLES D. ZEISLOFT, 403 East Elm Street, Wenonah, N.J. 08090. Applicant's representative: Alan Kahn, 1920 Two Penn Center Plaza, Philadelphia, Pa. 19102. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Slag*, from the faciilties of Grays Ferry Slag Corp., located in Swedeland, Pa., to the facilities of E. P. Henry & Son, Inc. in Woodbury, N.J., and the facilities of E. P. Henry Co. and Vineland, N.J., under continuing contract or contracts with E. P. Henry & Son, Inc., and E. P. Henry Co.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Philadelphia, Pa., or Washington, D.C.

No. MC 142006, filed April 5, 1976. Applicant: LYNBROOK SALVAGE CORP., 170 Mapes Avenue, Newark, N.J. 07112. Applicant's representative: Robert B. Pepper, 168 Woodbridge Avenue, Highland Park, N.J. 08904. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Corrugated roofing and siding*, from Jersey City, N.J., to points in Connecticut, Delaware, Illinois, Indiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, New Hampshire, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, West Virginia, and the District of Columbia; and (2) *materials and supplies*, used in the manufacturing of roofing and siding (except in bulk and tank vehicles) from the destination points named in (1) above, to Jersey City, N.J., under a continuing contract with Corrugated Metals, Inc., at Jersey City, N.J.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Newark, N.J., or New York, N.Y.

No. MC 141971-EX, filed April 15, 1976. Applicant: NEW ORLEANS TRAFFIC AND TRANSPORTATION BUREAU, International Trade Mart, No. 2 Canal Street, New Orleans, La. 70130. Applicant's representative: G. B. Perry (same address as applicant). Authority sought for exemption from economic regulation in interstate or foreign commerce within one state as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities*, within points in the Commerical Zone of New Orleans, La., as described in 117 M.C.C. 816, when operations are performed as incidental to prior or subsequent transportation by common carriers by water, to the Shipping Act, 1916. The nature, character, or quantity of the transportation to be exempted would not impair uniform regulation by the Interstate Commerce Commission and would serve the public interest, as expressed in Section 204 (4a).

PASSENGER APPLICATIONS

No. MC 544 (Sub-No. 1), filed April 19, 1976. Applicant: VANCOUVER-PORTLAND BUS CO., 111 East Fifth Street, Vancouver, Wash. 98660. Applicant's representative: David C. White, 2400 SW. Fourth Avenue, Portland, Oreg. 97201. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Passengers and their baggage*, in charter operations, beginning and ending at points in Clackamas, Multnomah, and Washington Counties, Oreg., and Clark County, Wash., and extending to points in the United States including Alaska, but excluding Hawaii.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Portland, Oreg.

No. MC 58522 (Sub-No. 10), filed April 19, 1976. Applicant: RIVER TRAILS TRANSIT LINES, INC., P.O. Box 307, Highway 20 West, Galena, Ill. 61036. Applicant's representative: Richard A. Westley, 4506 Regent Street, Suite 100, Madison, Wis. 53705. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Passengers and their baggage* in round-trip, special, and charter operations, beginning and ending at points in Jo Daviess County, Ill. (except points in the Dubuque, Iowa, Commercial Zone); Grant County, Wis. (except points in the Dubuque, Iowa, Commercial Zone); Lafayette County, Wis., and that portion of Iowa County, Wis., on, south, and west of a line extending from the western boundary of Iowa County, along U.S. Highway 18 to junction with Wisconsin Highway 23, thence along Wisconsin Highway 23 to the southern boundary of Iowa County, and extending to points in the United States, including Alaska but excluding Hawaii.

NOTE.—Common control may be involved. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Dubuque, Iowa; Madison, Wis.; or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 113770 (Sub-No. 4), filed April 12, 1976. Applicant: WILLIAM S. CARROLL, 640 Hammond Street, Brookline, Mass. 02146. Applicant's representative: James F. Martin, Jr., 3003 Windsor Ridge Road, Westboro, Mass. 01581. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Passengers*, in special operations, from Milford and Bellingham, Mass., and Woonsocket, R.I., to site of Plainfield Greyhound Park, Plainfield, Conn., and return.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, applicant requests it be held at Boston, Mass.

No. MC 138713 (Sub-No. 1), filed April 1, 1976. Applicant: R & G TRANSIT CORPORATION, P.O. Box 248, Staunton, Ill. 62088. Applicant's representative: Richard L. Odorizzi (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Passengers and their baggage*, in charter and special operations, beginning and ending in Litchfield, Hillsboro, Greenville, and Edwardsville, Ill., and points in Macoupin County, Ill., and extending to points in the United States (excluding Alaska and Hawaii).

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant does not specify any particular location.

No. MC 139614 (Sub-No. 1), filed April 8, 1976. Applicant: ERIN TOURS, INC., 2019 Haring Street, Brooklyn, N.Y. 11229. Applicant's representative: Larsh B. Mewhinney, 235 Mamaroneck Avenue, White Plains, N.Y. 10605. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Passengers and their baggage*, in charter and special operations, from New York, N.Y., to points in Alabama, Arkansas, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, New Hamsphire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Tennessee, Vemont, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and the District of Columbia, and return.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Brooklyn, or New York, N.Y.

No. MC 142007, filed April 14, 1976. Applicant: OTTE BUS SERVICE INC., Route #1, Cedar Grove, Wis. 53013. Applicant's representative: Michael S. Varda, 121 So. Pinckney Street, Madison, Wis. 53703. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Passengers and their baggage*, in special and charter operations, beginning and ending at points in Sheboygan and Ozaukee Counties, Wis., and extending to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Milwaukee or Sheboygan, Wis.

No. MC 142011, filed April 1, 1976. Applicant: LEISURE TIME TOURS, 12 Industrial Avenue, Upper Saddle River, N.J. 07458. Applicant's representative: Samuel B. Zinder, 98 Cutter Mill Road,

Great Neck, N.Y. 11021. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular routes, transporting: *Passengers and their baggage, with express and newspapers* in the same vehicle with passengers, between junction New Jersey Highway 4 and New Jersey Highway 17 in Paramus, N.J., and Manhattan, N.Y., as follows: (a) From junction New Jersey Highway 4 and New Jersey Highway 17 in Paramus, N.J., thence over New Jersey Highway 17 to junction with Interstate Route 80, in Lodi, N.J., thence over Interstate Route 80 to junction Interstate Route 95 (New Jersey Turnpike extension) thence over Interstate 95 to junction New Jersey Route 3, thence over New Jersey Route 3 to the Lincoln Tunnel, thence through the Lincoln Tunnel to Manhattan, and return, over the same route, serving no intermediate points and serving the junction of New Jersey Highway 4 and New Jersey Highway 17 in Paramus, N.J., for the purpose of joinder only. (b) From New Jersey Highway 4 in Paramus, N.J., over New Jersey Highway 17 to New Jersey Highway 3 in East Rutherford, N.J., thence over New Jersey Highway 3 to the Lincoln Tunnel, thence through the Lincoln Tunnel to Manhattan, N.Y., serving no intermediate points and serving the junction of New Jersey Highway 4 and New Jersey Highway 17 in Paramus, N.J., for the purpose of joinder only.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at New York, N.Y.

BROKER APPLICATIONS

No. MC 130287 (Sub-No. 1), filed April 12, 1976. Applicant: JAMES W. VANGEMERT, 53 Commerce SW., Grand Rapids, Mich. 49502. Applicant's representative: Edward Malinzak, 900 Old Kent Building, Grand Rapids, Mich. 49502. Authority sought to engage in operation, in interstate or foreign commerce, as a *broker* at Grand Rapids, Mich., to sell or offer to sell the transportation of *passengers and their baggage*, beginning and ending at points in the Lower Peninsula of Michigan (except Kent County, Mich.), and extending to points in the United States including Alaska and Hawaii.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Lansing or Detroit, Mich.

No. MC 130366, filed March 2, 1976. Applicant: DAVID P. BOLLONE, doing business as TRAVEL UNLIMITED, 322 East Main Street, Belleville, Ill. 62220. Applicant's representative: David P. Bollone (same address as applicant). Authority sought to engage in operation, in interstate or foreign commerce, as a *broker* at Belleville, Ill., to sell or offer to sell the transportation of *Passengers* as indiviudals and in groups, *and their baggage* in the same vehicle with passengers, in special and charter operations, in sightseeing and pleasure tours, by motor, air, water, and rail carriers, beginning and ending at Belleville, Ill., and points in Clinton, Madison, Monroe, and St. Clair Counties, Ill.; St. Louis, Mo., and its Commercial Zone; and points in St. Louis County, Mo., and extending to points in the United States including Alaska and Hawaii.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Belleville, Ill.; St. Louis, Mo.; or Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 130374, filed April 19, 1976. Applicant: JEFFREY LEE HUBBARD, doing business as HUBBARD TOURS, 1520A Martin Street, Suite 106, Parkway Office Suites, Winston-Salem, N.C. 27103. Applicant's representative: Robert Sapp, Maine Street, Winston-Salem, N.C. 27101. Authority sought to engage in operation, in interstate or foreign commerce, as a *broker* at Winston-Salem, N.C., to sell or offer to sell the transportation of *passengers, both individually and in groups, and their baggage* in special and charter operations, beginning and ending at points in Forsyth County, and Winston-Salem, N.C., and extending to points in the United States including Alaska and Hawaii.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Raleigh or Charlotte, N.C.

No. MC 130375, filed April 2, 1976. Applicant: MAXINE WILLIER, doing business as MID-MISSOURI TRAVEL AGENCY and MID-MISSOURI TRAVELERS, P.O. Box 144, Lancaster, Mo. 63548. Applicant's representative: E. Richard Webber, 110 West Monroe Street, Memphis, Mo. 63555. Authority sought to engage in operation, in interstate or foreign commerce, as a *broker* at Lancaster and Moberly, Mo., to sell or offer to sell the transportation of individual passengers and groups of *passengers and their baggage* in the same vehicle with passengers, in special operations, sightseeing, pleasure, and round trip tours, by motor carriers, beginning and ending at points in that part of Missouri on, east, and north of a line commencing at the Iowa-Missouri State Boundary line (except points in St. Louis County, Mo.) and extending to points in the United States, including Alaska and Hawaii, and points in the Providence of Canada.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at either Lancaster or Kirksville, Mo.

No. MC 130377, filed April 20, 1976. Applicant: BYRON W. HOUSE TRAVEL AGENCY, INC., 1020 West Fourth St., Little Rock, Ark. 72201. Applicant's representative: Byron W. House, Jr. (same address as applicant). Authority sought to engage in operation, in interstate or foreign commerce, as a *broker* at Little Rock, Ark., to sell or offer to sell the transportation of *passengers and their baggage*, both individuals and groups, in charter and special operations, beginning and ending at Little Rock, Ark., and extending to points in the United States including Alaska but excluding Hawaii.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Little Rock, Ark.

FREIGHT FORWARDER APPLICATIONS

No. FF-339 (Sub-No. 2), filed April 16, 1976. Applicant: TOWNE INTERNATIONAL FORWARDING, INC., P.O. Box 19516, Houston, Tex. 77024. Applicant's representative: Alan F. Wohlstetter, 1700 K Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20006. Authority sought to engage in operation, in interstate commerce, as a *freight forwarder*, through use of the facilities of common carriers by rail, motor, water, and express in the transportation of (a) *Used household goods and unaccompanied baggage*, and (b) *Used automobiles*, between points in the United States including Hawaii and Alaska, restricted in (b) above to the transportation of export and import traffic.

NOTE.—The purpose of this application is to add Alaska to applicant's present scope of authority. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at San Antonio, Tex.

No. FF-375 (Sub-No. 1), filed April 22, 1976. Applicant: SECURITY FORWARDERS, INCORPORATED, 100 West Airline Highway, Kenner, La. 70062. Applicant's representative: Alan F. Wohlstetter, 1700 K Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20006. Authority sought to engage in operation, in interstate commerce, as a *freight forwarder*, through use of the facilities of common carriers by rail, motor, water, and express in the transportation of (a) *Used household goods* and *unaccompanied baggage*, and (b) *used automobiles*, between points in the United States, including Hawaii and Alaska, restricted in (b) above to the transportation of export and import traffic.

NOTE.—If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at New Orleans, La.

FINANCE APPLICATIONS

The following applications seek approval to consolidate, purchase, merge, lease operating rights and properties, or acquire control through ownership of stock, of rail carriers or motor carriers pursuant to Sections 5(2) and 210a(b) of the Interstate Commerce Act.

An original and two copies of protests to the granting of the requested authority must be filed with the Commission within 30 days after the date of this FEDERAL REGISTER notice. Such protest shall comply with Special Rules 240(c) or 240(d) of the Commission's General Rules of Practice (49 CFR § 1100.240) and shall include a concise statement of protestant's interest in the proceeding. A copy of the protest shall be served concurrently upon applicant's representative, or applicant if no representative is named.

No. MC-F-12734 (Correction), ROGERS MOTOR LINES, INC.—Purchase (Portion)—Donald Sunshine, Trustee in Bankruptcy, GENE ADAMS REFRIGERATED TRUCKING SERVICE, INC., published in the January 21, 1976, FEDERAL REGISTER. Notice should read as follows: Vendee is authorized to operate as a *common carrier* in New

York, Pennsylvania, Delaware, Connecticut, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, Rhode Island, Vermont, Ohio, Virginia, West Virginia, the District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, North Carolina, and South Carolina.

NOTE.—MC 141076 (Sub No. 5), is a directly related matter, published in the March 3, 1976, FEDERAL REGISTER.

No. MC-F-12794 (Correction), STARR TRANSIT CO., INC.—Purchase—BLUE BUS LINES, published in the March 25, 1976, FEDERAL REGISTER at 41 F.R. 12395. Such notice should read as follows: Applicants' attorney: Edward F. Bowes, and that vendee is authorized to operate as a contract carrier in New Jersey and Pennsylvania, and as a common carrier in regular route, charter, and special operations, the latter extending throughout the United States.

No. MC-F-12829. Authority sought for purchase by SECO TRUCKING CO., 219 North Jackson, Mason City, IA 50401, of the operating rights of CLACKAMAS TRUCKING CO., P.O. Box 127, Clackamas, OR 97015, of control of such rights through the purchase. Applicants' attorney: Thomas F. Kilroy, P.O. Box 624, Springfield, VA 22150. Operating rights sought to be transferred: *Classes A and B explosives*, and *blasting agents, supplies and materials* used in connection Vendee is authorized to operate as a *contract carrier* over irregular routes from the plantsite of the Atlas Powder Company, near Atlas, Mo., to points in Oregon and Washington, with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized, with restrictions. Vendee is authorized to operate as a *contract carrier* in Pennsylvania, Illinois, Missouri, Iowa, Nebraska, South Dakota, Minnesota, Michigan, North Dakota, Wisconsin, Kansas, Oklahoma, Arkansas, Indiana, Ohio, Arizona, Louisiana, New Mexico, and Texas, and as a *common carrier* in Missouri and Kansas. Application has been filed for temporary authority under section 210a(b).

No. MC-F-12831. Authority sought for merger by NAVAJO FREIGHT LINES, INC., 1205 South Platte River Drive, Denver, CO 80223, of the operating rights and property of JOE HODGES TRANSPORTATION CORPORATION, also of Denver, CO 80223, address, and for acquisition by UNITED TRANSPORTATION INVESTMENT COMPANY and DAVID H. RATNER, all of 310 South Michigan Avenue, Chicago, IL 60604, of control of such rights through the transaction. Applicants' attorney: Jack Goodman, 39 South LaSalle Street, Chicago, IL 60603. Operating rights sought to be merged: *Classes A and B explosives* and *general commodities*, except commodities of unusual value, *livestock*, *household* goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment, as a *common carrier* over regular routes, between Oklahoma City, Okla., and Lawton, Okla., serving certain intermediate points, between Chickasha, Okla., and Alex, Okla., between Lawton, Okla., and Waurika, Okla., serving no intermediate points, between Oklahoma City, Okla., and Gotebo, Okla., between Mangum, Okla., and Willow, Okla., serving the intermediate point of Brinkman, Okla., between Mangum, Okla., and the Oklahoma-Texas State line, serving all intermediate points and the off-route points of Jester and Eastview, Okla., between Lawton, Okla., and Hobart, Okla., between Anadarko, Okla., and Lawton, Okla., serving all intermediate points, between Vernon, Tex., and Lone Wolf, Okla., serving all intermediate points, with service at Vernon restricted against transportation of traffic originating at destined to Quanah, Tex., between Eldorado, Okla., and Gould, Okla., serving all intermediate points, and the off-route points of Louis, Okla., between Altus, Okla., and Quanah, Tex., serving all intermediate points, between Altus, Okla., and Hollis, Okla., serving all intermediate points, between Memphis, Tex., and Hollis, Okla., serving no intermediate points, between Childress, Tex., and junction U.S. Highways 62 and 83 (east of Memphis, Tex.), serving no intermediate points except as otherwise authorized.

General commodities, excepting among others, Classes A and B explosives, household goods and commodities in bulk, between Hollis, Okla., and Wellington, Tex., serving all intermediate points, between Wellington, Tex., and Wheeler, Tex., serving all intermediate points, and the off-route point of the United Carbon Co., plantsite (located approximately six miles east of Shamrock, Tex., on U.S. Highway 66, at Norrick, Tex.), between Comanche, Okla., and the Oklahoma-Texas State line, located approximately 2 miles south of Terral, Okla., serving all intermediate points, and serving the oilfields located at or near Oscar, Okla., approximately 13 miles southeast of Ryan, Okla., as off-route points, between Walters, Okla., and Waurika, Okla., between Temple, Okla., and junction Oklahoma Highways 53 and 65, between Comanche, Okla., and Springer, Okla., between Duncan, Okla., and Admore, Okla., serving all intermediate points, between Hollis, Okla., and junction Oklahoma Highway 7 and U.S. Highway 81, between Mangum, Okla., and Chickasha, Okla., between Walters, Okla., and junction Oklahoma Highway 44 and U.S. Highway 66, between Frederick, Okla., and Taloga, Okla., between junction Oklahoma Highways 5 and 36, located approximately 3 miles south of Chattanooga, Okla., and junction Oklahoma Highway 36 and U.S. Highway 277, located approximately 2 miles north of Geronimo, Okla., between Roosevelt, Okla., and Cooperton, Okla., between Sentinel, Okla., and Rocky, Okla., between Elk City, Okla., and junction Oklahoma Highway 47 and U.S. Highway 183, approximately 2 miles south of Putnam, Okla., between Gracemont, Okla., and Geary, Okla.

Between Frederick, Okla., and Grandfield, Okla., between Davidson, Okla., and Waurika, Okla., between Oklahoma City, Okla., and Sayre, Okla. between Carter, Okla., and junction Oklahoma Highway 34 and U.S. Highway 66, between Oklahoma City, Okla., and the Oklahoma-Texas State line, located approximately 1 mile west of Texola, Okla., serving all intermediate points, between Weatherford, Okla., and Sayre, Okla. serving all intermediate points, and serving Sweetwater, Okla., as an off-route point, between junction U.S. Highway 281 and Oklahoma Highway 9, located approximately 8 miles of Anadarko, Okla., and junction Oklahoma Highway 5 and U.S. Highway 281, serving all intermediate points, between Lawton, Okla., and Wichita Falls, Tex., serving all intermediate points and the intermediate and off-route points in the Lawton, Okla., and Wichita Falls, Tex., Commercial Zones as defined by the Commission, between Dallas, Tex., and Terral, Okla., serving no intermediate points, with restrictions; between Oklahoma City, Okla., and Boise City, Okla., serving all intermediate points and serving the off-route points of Keyes, Okla., and the pantsites of the North Natural Gas Company, located near Elmwood, Okla., and the Cities Service Gas Company, located near Guymon, Okla., between Junction U.S. Highways 64 and 283 at or near Roseton, Okla., and Cheyenne, Okla., between Seiling, Okla., and Gage, Okla., between Forgan, Okla., and junction U.S. Highways 183 and 270, at or near Fort Supply, Okla., serving all intermediate points, over numerous alternate routes for operating convenience only. NAVAJO FREIGHT LINES, INC., is authorized to operate as a *common carrier* in Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Colorado, Oklahoma, New Mexico, Arizona, California, Wyoming, Utah, Nebraska, Missouri, Texas, Nevada, Louisiana, Virginia, Maryland, Arkansas, Florida, New York, Tennessee, Kentucky, Ohio, and Michigan. Application has not been filed for temporary authority under section 210a(b).

No. MC-F-12832. Authority sought to lease by HILL & HILL TRUCK LINE, INC., 14942 Talcott, Houston, TX 77015, of the operating rights of SIGNAL TRUCKING SERVICE, LTD., c/o DAVID B. COMMONS, RECEIVER, 3700 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 880, Los Angeles, CA 90010, of control of such rights through the lease. Applicants' attorneys: Martin J. Rosen 256 Montgomery Street, San Francisco, CA 94104, and Warren N. Grossman, 606 So. Olive Street, Los Angeles, CA 90014. Operating rights sought to be leased: *General commodities*, with exceptions as a *common carrier* over irregular routes, between Los Angeles Harbor, Calif., on the one hand, and, on the other, Los Angeles and Vernon, Calif.; from Los Angeles Harbor to Walnut Park and Huntington Park, Calif., and points and places within five miles of the intersection of Ninth and Indiana Streets, Los Angeles, with no transportation for compensation on return, except as otherwise authorized;

from Huntington Park and South Gate, Calif. and points and places south of Whittier Boulevard and East of Alameda Street, within five miles of the East Los Angeles City limits to Los Angeles Harbor, Calif.; from Los Angeles Harbor to South Gate, Calif., and points and places south of Whittier Boulevard and East of Alameda Street within five miles of the East Los Angeles City limits, except those points and places within five miles of the intersection of Ninth and Indiana Streets, Los Angeles, Calif., authorized to the above-specified origin points; between Long Beach, Calif., on the one hand, and, on the other, Vernon, Huntington Park and South Gate, Calif., and points and places south of Whittier Boulevard and East of Alameda Street within five miles of East Los Angeles City Limits; between Los Angeles, Wilmington, and Moneta, Calif., on the one hand, and, on the other, points and places in California within 80 miles of Los Angeles, not including points and places in San Diego County, Calif.; between points and places in the Los Angeles, Calif., Commercial zone as defined by the Commission in 3 M.C.C. 248; from Long Beach and Los Angeles Harbor, Calif., to San Diego, Calif.

General commodities between Los Angeles, Cal., on the one hand, and Los Angeles Harbor, Cal., on the other, limited to steamship traffic only, with restrictions; *general commodities*, with exceptions between points in San Francisco, Alameda, San Mateo, and Contra Costa Counties, California; between points in Santa Clara County, California, San Mateo, California, points in that part of San Mateo County, located south of San Mateo on U.S. Highways 101 and 101 By-Pass, Hayward, California, and points in that part of Alameda County, California, located south of Hayward on California State Highways 9 and 17; transportation in interstate or foreign commerce of the following named commodities: Iron and steel articles, nonferrous metals, and nonferrous metal products (except household appliances and housewares), clay and clay products, heavy machinery and heavy machinery parts, (a) machinery, equipment, materials, and supplies used in, or in connection with, the discovery, development, production, refining, manufacture, processing, storage, transmission, and distribution of natural gas, petroleum, their products and byproducts, water, and sewage, and (b) machinery, materials, equipment, and supplies used in or in connection with the construction, operation, repair, servicing, maintenance, and dismantling of pipelines used in the transmission of natural gas, petroleum, their products and byproducts, water, and sewage, contractors, mining, and military equipment, materials and supplies, power or communications distribution and metal processing equipment, materials and supplies, commodities the transportation of which requires by reason of their size or weight the use of special equipment, electrical cables, scrap metals, and firefighting equipment, materials and supplies, between points in California. Vendee is authorized to operate as a *common carrier* in Alabama, Alaska, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Florida, Georgia, Kansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Mexico, North Dakota, Oklahoma, South Dakota, Texas, Utah, and Wyoming. Application has been filed for temporary authority under section 210a (b).

No. MC–F–12833. Authority sought for purchase by MOUNTAINSIDE TRANSPORT, INC., 130 Davidson Avenue, Somerset N.J. 08873, of the operating rights and property of GEORGE O. KRILL, INC., 4828 Hollins Ferry Road, Baltimore, MD 21227, and for acquisition by ARTHUR M. GOLDBERG, P.O. Box 514, Edison, NJ 08817, and TRANSCO GROUP, INC., 130 Davidson Avenue, Somerset, NJ 08873, of control of such rights and property through the purchase. Applicants' attorneys: A David Millner P.O. Box 1409, Fairfield, NJ 07006, and William J. Little, 10 East Baltimore Street, Baltimore, MD 21202. Operating rights sought to be transferred: Such merchandise as is dealt in by wholesale, retail, and chain grocery and food business houses, and, in connection therewith, *equipment, materials, and supplies* used in the conduct of such businesses, as a *contract carrier* over irregular routes between points within the territory bounded by a line beginning at New Castle, Del., and extending south along the west shore of Delaware Bay and the Atlantic Ocean to Cape Charles, Va., thence north along the east shore of Chesapeake Bay to Stevensville, Md., thence across Chesapeake Bay to Annapolis, Md., thence in a northwesterly direction to Damascus, Md., thence in a southwesterly direction to the Potomac River at a point one mile south of Seneca, Md., thence along the east bank of the Potomac River to Hancock, Md., thence north to Warefordsburg, Pa., thence in a northeasterly direction through Mercersburg, Chambersburg, and Carlisle, Pa., to Roseglen, Pa., thence east to Fredericksburg, Pa., thence south through Lebanon and Manheim, Pa., to the Susquehanna River at a point five miles north of Airville, Pa., thence in a southeasterly direction along the east bank of the Susquehanna River to the Pennsylvania-Maryland State line, thence east and south along the Pennsylvania-Maryland-Delaware State lines to a point one mile northeast of Elkton, Md., and thence east to one mile northeast of Elkton, Md., and thence east to New Castle, Del., including the points named; between points in the above-specified territory, on the one hand, and, on the other, Philadelphia, Pa., Wilmington, Del., Richmond, Va., and points in the District of Columbia.

Fruits, vegetables, farm products, poultry, and *sea food*, in the respective seasons of their production, from points in Pennsylvania, Delaware, Maryland, Virginia, and the District of Columbia, to points in the above-specified territory, with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized; such *merchandise* as is dealt in by wholesale, retail, and chain grocery and food business houses, and, in connection therewith, *equipment, materials*, and supplies used in the conduct of such businesses, between points within the territory bounded by a line beginning at Annapolis, Md., and extending south along the west shore of Cheapeake Bay to Point Lookout, Md., thence in a northwesterly direction along the northeast bank of the Potomac River to Rock Point, Md., thence across the Potomac River to Colonial Beach, Va., thence west through Fredericksburg, Orange, Harrisonburg, and Rawley Springs, Va., to the Virginia-West Virginia State line at a point eight miles northwest of Rawley Springs, thence in a northeasterly direction along the Virginia-West Virginia State line to Ridge, W. Va. (a point two miles beyond the State line), thence in a northwesterly direction to Paw Paw, W. Va., thence along the south bank of the Potomac River to a point directly west of Dickerson, Md., thence in a northwesterly direction to Ridgeville, Md., and thence in a southeasterly direction through Laurel, Md., to Annapolis, Md., including the pointed named, between points in the immediately above-specified territory, on the one hand, and, on the other, Baltimore, Md.; fruits, vegetables, farm products, poultry, and sea food, in the respective seasons of their production, from points in Maryland, Virginia, and West Virginia to points in the territory specified immediately above, with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized, with restrictions.

MOUNTAINSIDE TRANSPORT, INC., holds no authority from this Commission. However, the stock of MOUNTAINSIDE TRANSPORT, INC., is held by Arthur M. Goldberg and Gary I. Goldberg. Arthur M. Goldberg additionally controls Transco Group, Inc., a holding company. Transco Group, Inc., owns all the stock of GROSS & HECHT TRUCKING CORP., a contract carrier, who under MC 59806 is authorized to operate in New York and New Jersey. MOUNTAINSIDE TRANSPORT, INC., is additionally affiliated with KEYSTONE TRUCKING CO., another contract carrier who under MC 140092 (Sub-No. 1), is authorized to operate in New York, New Jersey, and Pennsylvania, in its order the Commission conditioned issuance of its permit subject to the condition that KEYSTONE TRUCKING CORP. and GROSS & HECHT TRUCKING CORP., file an application under Section 5(2) of the Act, or an affidavit indicating why such 5(2) application is unnecessary. In addition, MOUNTAINSIDE TRANSPORT, INC., is affiliated with NEWPORT TRUCKING CORP., Newport is a noncarrier operating RELAY TRANSPORT, INC., under temporary authority. Relay is a contract carrier for PEPSICO, INC., and PEPSI COLA METROPOLITAN BOTTLING CO. in Permit No. MC 111309 and Subs numbers thereunder and is authorized

to operate in New York, Virginia, Delaware, Maryland, New Jersey, Pennsylvania, the District of Columbia, Connecticut, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Ohio, Rhode Island, Vermont, and West Virginia. That on April 21, 1976, an initial decision was served approving the purchase by NEWPORT TRUCKING CORP. of RELAY TRANSPORT, INC. Application has been filed for temporary authority under section 210a(b).

No. MC-F-12835. Authority sought for purchase by B & B TRUCKING, INC., 83 Egleston Road, Westfield, MA, of the operating rights of A. FOURNIER'S EXPRESS, INC., 466 Spring Street, Windsor Locks, CT, and for acquisition by LAVAL BOISSONNAULT, 321 Springfield Street, Agawam, MA 01001, and ROLAND BOISSONNAULT, 1 Scarfo Drive, Westfield, MA 01085, of control of such rights through the purchase. Applicants' attorney: Gerald A. Joseloff, 80 State Street, Hartford, CT 06103. Operating rights sought to be transferred: *General commodities*, with exceptions as a *common carrier* over regular routes, between Windsor Locks, Conn., and Chicopee Falls and Westfield, Mass., between Vernon, Conn., and Chicopee, Mass., serving all intermediate points, and the off-route points of Somersville, Ellington, and Tolland, Conn.; *Tobacco*, as a *common carrier* over irregular routes from Windsor Locks, Conn., to Hatfield, Mass., with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized, *hand and floor trucks and parts, processing conveyors, reeling machines, reels, sheet steel, steel boxes, truck bodies, trailers, skids, machine parts, and fabricated steel*, from Windsor Locks, Conn., to Springfield, Brightwood, Chicopee, Chicopee Falls, Westfield, Indian Orchard, Boston, and Andover, Mass., with no transportation for compensation on return except as otherwise authorized; *general commodities* with exceptions between Bradley Field, Windsor Locks, Conn., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Connecticut and Massachusetts with 50 miles of Windsor Locks, Conn., between La Guardia and John F. Kennedy International Airports, New York, N.Y., and Newark Airport, Newark, N.J., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Connecticut and Massachusetts within 50 miles of Windsor Locks, Conn., with restrictions. Under a certificate of registration, vendee is authorized to operate as a common carrier solely within the State of Massachusetts. Application has been filed for temporary authority under section 210a(b).

NOTE.—MC 19458 Sub-No. 3, is a directly related matter.

OPERATING RIGHTS APPLICATIONS DIRECTLY RELATED TO FINANCE PROCEEDINGS

The following operating rights applications are filed in connection with pending finance applications under Section 5(2) of the Interstate Commerce Act, or seek tacking and/or gateway elimination in connection with pending transfer applications under Section 212(b) of the Interstate Commerce Act.

An original and two copies of protests to the granting of the authorities must be filed with the Commission within 30 days after the date of this FEDERAL REGISTER notice. Such protests shall comply with Special Rule 247(d) of the Commission's General Rules of Practice (49 CFR § 1100.247) and include a concise statement of protestant's interest in the proceeding and copies of its conflicting authorities. Verified statements in opposition should not be tendered at this time. A copy of the protest shall be served concurrently upon applicant's representative, or applicant if no representative is named.

Each applicant states that there will be no significant effect on the quality of the human environment resulting from approval of its applications.

No. MC 59501 (Sub-No. 3), filed April 12, 1976. Applicant: E & L TRUCKING CO., 117 Webster Street, Pawtucket, R.I. 02861. Applicant's representative: Frederick T. O'Sullivan, 622 Lowell Street, P.O. Box 2184, Peabody, Mass. 01960. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle; over regular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, in tank vehicles, in hopper vehicles, and those requiring special equipment), serving points in Rhode Island as off-route points in connection with applicant's regular route authority between points in Rhode Island and Massachusetts.

NOTE.—The purpose of this application is to convert a Certificate of Registration to a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity. This is a matter directly related to a Section 5(2) finance proceeding in MC-F-12814 published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of April 29, 1976. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Providence, R.I.

No. MC 109533 (Sub-No. 75), filed April 21, 1976. Applicant: OVERNITE TRANSPORTATION COMPANY, 1100 Commerce Road, Richmond, Va. 23224. Applicant's representative: Eugene T. Liipfert, 1660 L Street, Suite 1100, Washington, D.C. 20036. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except those of unusual value, Classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk and those requiring special equipment), (1) between Louisville, Ky., and Hazel, Ky., serving all intermediate points and points in Breckenridge, Bullitt, Butler, Caldwell, Calloway, Christian, Crittenden, Daviess, Edmonson, Grayson, Hancock, Hardin, Hart, Henderson, Hopkins, Jefferson, Larue, Livingston, Logan, Lyon, McCracken, McLean, Marshall, Meade, Muhlenberg, Ohio, Todd, Trigg, Union, and Webster Counties, Ky., as off-route points: From Louisville over Interstate Highway 65 to junction Western Kentucky Parkway, thence over Western Kentucky Parkway to junction U.S. Highway 62, thence over U.S. Highway 62 to junction Purchase Parkway, thence over Purchase Parkway to Benton, Ky., thence over U.S. Highway 641 to Hazel, and return over the same route; (2) between Madisonville, Ky., and junction U.S. Highway 41 and Western Kentucky Parkway, serving all intermediate points: From Madisonville over U.S. Highway 41 to junction Western Kentucky Parkway and return over the same route; and (3) between Paducah, Ky., and junction U.S. Highway 62 and Western Kentucky Parkway, serving all intermediate points: From Paducah over U.S. Highway 62 to junction Western Kentucky Parkway and return over the same route.

NOTE.—This is a matter directly related to a Section 5(2) finance proceeding in MC-F-12822 published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of April 5, 1976. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Louisville or Frankfort, Ky.

No. MC 125499 (Sub-No. 3), filed April 14, 1976. Applicant: L V COMPANY, INC., R.D. No. 1, Coplay, Lehigh County, Pa. 18037. Applicant's representative: John W. Frame, 2207 Old Gettysburg Road, Box 626, Camp Hill, Pa. 17011. Authority sought to operate as a *contract carrier*, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Shale derived aggregate* in bulk, (1) from Tamaqua, Pa., to points in Connecticut, Delaware, Maryland, New Jersey, and the District of Columbia and points in New York within 200 miles of Tamaqua, Pa.; and (2) from points in Plains Township, Luzerne County, Pa., to points in Connecticut, Delaware, Maryland, New Jersey (except points in Cumberland, Salem, Gloucester, Cape May, Atlantic, Camden, and Burlington Counties, N.J.), New York, Rhode Island, and the District of Columbia, under a continuing contract or contracts with Bylite Corporation.

NOTE.—The purpose of this application is to convert a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to a Permit. This is a matter directly related to a Section 5(2) finance proceeding in MC-F-12301 published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of September 18, 1974. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant does not specify a location.

No. MC 134493 (Sub-No. 2), filed April 9, 1976. Applicant: CHICAGO-ST. LOUIS TRANSPORT, INC., 800 Joliet Street, Joliet, Ill. 60436. Applicant's representative: Carl L. Steiner, 39 South La Salle Street, Chicago, Ill. 60603. Authority sought to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, over regular and irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities* (except commodities in bulk, household goods as defined by the Commission, Classes A and B explosives, commodities of unusual value, and commodities which because of size or weight require special equipment), (1) Irregular Routes: Between points in Cook and DuPage Counties, Ill., points in that part of Lake County, Ill., on and south of Illinois

Highway 132, points in McHenry and Kane Counties, Ill., on and east of Illinois Highway 31, and points in that part of Will County, Ill., located on and north of a line commencing on U.S. Highway 80 at the Will-Kendall-Grundy Counties Line, thence along U.S. Highway 80 to junction U.S. Highway 52, thence along U.S. Highway 52 to junction with Manhattan Road, thence along Manhattan Road to Fairthorn Road, thence along Fairthorn Road to the Illinois-Indiana State Line; and (2) Regular Routes: Serving the described in (1) above as off-route points in connection with carrier's otherwise authorized regular-route operations, between Chicago, Chicago Heights, and Waukegan, Ill., and St. Louis, Mo.

NOTE.—The purpose of this application is to convert a Certificate of Registration to a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity. This is a matter directly related to a Section 5(2) finance proceeding in MC–F–12811 published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of April 22, 1976. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Chicago, Ill.

No. MC 141790 (Partial Correction), filed March 3, 1976, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of April 15, 1976, republished as corrected this issue. Applicant: OSBORNE GROUP, INC., 125 University Avenue, Berkeley, Calif. 94710. Applicant's representative: James H. Gulseth (same address as applicant).

NOTE.—Notice previously published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of April 15, 1976. The purpose of this partial correction is to indicate that applicant seeks to convert a Permit to a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity in lieu of a Certificate of Registration. The rest of the publication remains the same. This is a matter directly related to a Section 5(2) finance proceeding in MC–F–12785 published in the FEDERAL REGISTER issue of March 17, 1976. If a hearing is deemed necessary, the applicant requests it be held at Washington, D.C.

MOTOR CARRIER ALTERNATE ROUTE DEVIATIONS

NOTICE

The following letter-notices to operate over deviation routes for operating convenience only have been filed with the Commission under the Commission's Deviation Rules—Motor Carriers of Property (49 CFR § 1042.4(c)(11)).

Protests against the use of any proposed deviation route herein described may be filed with the Commission in the manner and form provided in such rules (49 CFR § 1042.4(c)(12)) at any time, but will not operate to stay commencement of the proposed operations unless filed within 30 days from the date of this FEDERAL REGISTER notice.

Each applicant states that there will be no significant effect on the quality of the environment resulting from approval of its request.

No. MC 263 (Deviation No. 16), GARRETT FREIGHTLINES, INC., P.O. Box 4048, Pocatello, Idaho 83201, filed March 17, 1976. Carrier proposes to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, of *general commodities*, with certain exceptions, over a deviation route as follows: From Salt Lake City, Utah, over Interstate Highway 80 to junction U.S. Highway 287 at Rawlins, Wyo., thence over U.S. Highway 287 to junction Wyoming Highway 220, thence over Wyoming Hghway 220 to Casper, Wyo., thence over Interstate Highway 25 to junction U.S. Highway 18 at Orin, Wyo., thence over U.S. Highway 18 to junction South Dakota Highway 79, thence over South Dakota Highway 79 to Rapid City, S. Dak., thence over Interstate Highway 90 to junction Minnesota Highway 15 at Fairmont, Minn., thence over Minnesota Highway 15 to junction Minnesota Highway 60 at Madelia, Minn., thence over Minnesota Highway 60 to junction U.S. Highway 169 at Mankato, Minn., thence over U.S. Highway 169 to Minneapolis, Minn., and return over the same route for operating convenience only. The notice indicates that the carrier is presently authorized to transport the same commodities over a pertinent service route as follows: From Salt Lake City, Utah, over U.S. Highway 91 to junction U.S. Highway 191 near Brigham City, Utah, thence over U.S. Highway 191 to junction U.S. Highway 91 at Downey, Idaho, thence over U.S. Highway 91 to junction U.S. Highway 10 near Butte, Mont., thence over U.S. Highway 10 to junction U.S. Highway 52 at Fargo, N. Dak., thence over U.S. Highway 52 to Minneapolis, Minn., and return over the same route.

No. MC 921 (Deviation No. 5), DEAN TRUCK LINE, INC., P.O. Drawer 632, Fulton Drive, Corinth, Miss. 38834, filed May 10, 1976. Carrier proposes to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, of *general commodities*, with certain exceptions, over deviation routes as follows: (1) From Montgomery, Ala., over Interstate Highway 65 to Birmingham, Ala., thence over U.S. Highway 78 to Tupelo, Miss., (2) From Montgomery, Ala., over Interstate Highway 65 to junction Alabama Highway 157 north of Cullman, Ala., thence over Alabama Highway 157 to junction U.S. Alternate Highway 72, thence over U.S. Alternate Highway 72 to junction U.S. Highway 72, thence over U.S. Highway 72 to Corinth, Miss., (3) From Montgomery, Ala., over Interstate Highway 65 to Alternate U.S. Highway 72 near Decatur, Ala., thence over Alternate U.S. Highway 72 to Corinth, Miss., and (4) From Montgomery, Ala., over Interstate Highway 65 to junction Alabama Highway 67, thence over Alabama Highway 67 to junction U.S. Highway 31, thence over U.S. Highway 31 to junction U.S. Alternate Highway 72, thence over U.S. Alternate Highway 72 to Corinth, Miss., and return over the same routes for operating convenience only. The notice indicates that the carrier is presently authorized to transport the same commodities, over a pertinent service route as follows: From Montgomery, Ala., over U.S. Highway 80 to Demopolis, Ala., thence over U.S. Highway 43 to Tuscaloosa, Ala., thence over U.S. Highway 82 to junction Alternate U.S. Highway 45 near Mayhew, Miss., thence over Alternate U.S. Highway 45 and U.S. Highway 45 to Tupelo and Corinth, Miss., and return over the same routes.

No. MC 2202 (Deviation No. 151), ROADWAY EXPRESS, INC., P.O. Box 471, 1077 Gorge Blvd., Akron, Ohio 44309, filed May 6, 1976. Carrier proposes to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, of *general commodities*, with certain exceptions, over a deviation route as follows: From junction Indian Nation Turnpike and U.S. Highway 271 approximately one mile south of Hugo, Okla,. over Indian Nation Turnpike to junction U.S. Highway 69, and return over the same route for operating convenience only. The notice indicates that the carrier is presently authorized to transport the same commodities, over a pertinent service route as follows: From junction Indian Nation Turnpike and U.S. Highway 271 near Hugo, Okla., over U.S. Highway 271 to Antlers, Okla., thence over Oklahoma Highway 3 to Atoka, Okla., thence over U.S. Highway 69 to junction Indian Nation Turnpike, and return over the same route.

No. MC 2202 (Deviation No. 152, ROADWAY EXPRESS, INC., P.O. Box 471, 1077 Gorge Blvd., Akron, Ohio 44309, filed May 10, 1976. Carrier proposes to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, of *general commodities*, with certain exceptions, over a deviation route as follows: From Rock Island, Ill., over U.S. Highway 67 to junction Illinois Highway 67 to junction Interstate Highway 55, thence over Interstate Highway thence over Illinois Highway 78 to junction U.S. Highway 67, thence over U.S. Highway 67 to junction Interstate Highway 55, thence over Interstate Highway 55 to junction U.S. Highway 78, thence over U.S. Highway 78 to junction U.S. Highway 45, thence over U.S. Highway 45 to junction Alternate U.S. Highway 45, thence over Alternate U.S. Highway 45 to junction U.S. Highway 45, thence over U.S. Highway 45 to Meridian, Miss., and return over the same route for operating convenience only. The notice indicates that the carrier is presently authorized to transport the same commodities over a pertinent service route as follows: From Rock Island, Ill., over Illinois Highway 92 to Mendota, Ill., thence over U.S. Highway 34 to Chicago, Ill., thence over U.S. Highway 41 to junction U.S. Highway 52, thence over U.S. Highway 52 to Indianapolis, Ind., thence over U.S. Highway 31 to Columbus, Ind., thence over Alternate U.S. Highway 31 via Seymour, Ind., to junction U.S. Highway 31, thence over U.S. Highway 31 to Sellersburg, Ind., thence over U.S. Highway 31E or 31W to Louisville, Ky., thence over U.S. Highway 31W to Nashville, Tenn., thence over U.S. Highway 31 to Birmingham, Ala., thence over U.S. Highway 11 to Meridian, Miss., and return over the same route.

No. MC 49387 (Deviation No. 10), ORSCHELN BROS. TRUCK LINES,

INC., Highway 24 East, P.O. Box 658, Moberly, Mo. 65270, filed May 10, 1976. Carrier proposes to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, of *general commodities*, with certain exceptions, over a deviation route as follows: From Chicago, Ill., over Interstate Highway 55 to junction Interstate Highway 44, thence over Interstate Highway 44 to Springfield, Mo., and return over the same route for operating convenience only. The notice indicates that the carrier is presently authorized to transport the same commodities over a pertinent service route as follows: From Chicago, Ill., over U.S. Highway 66 to junction U.S. Highway 54, thence over U.S. Highway 54 to junction U.S. Highway 40, thence over U.S. Highway 40 to junction U.S. Highway 65, thence over U.S. Highway 65 to Springfield, Mo., and return over the same route.

No. MC 108449 (Deviation No. 8), INDIANHEAD TRUCK LINE, INC., Box 3355, St. Paul, Minn. 55165, filed May 10, 1976. Carrier proposes to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, of *general commodities*, with certain exceptions, over a deviation route as follows: From junction U.S. Highway 30 and U.S. Highway 24 at New Haven, Ind., over U.S. Highway 24 to junction U.S. Highway 127 near Cecil, Ohio, and return over the same route for operating convenience only. The notice indicates that the carrier is presently authorized to transport the same commodities, over a pertinent service route as follows: From junction U.S. Highway 30 and U.S. Highway 24, over U.S. Highway 30 to Van Wert, Ohio, thence over U.S. Highway 127 to junction U.S. Highway 24 near Cecil, Ohio, and return over the same route.

No. MC 111231 (Deviation No. 49), JONES TRUCK LINES, INC., 610 E. Emma Ave., Springdale, Ark. 72764, filed May 3, 1976. Carrier's representative: James B. Blair, 111 Holcomb St., Springdale, Ark. 72764. Carrier proposes to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, of *general commodities*, with certain exceptions, over a deviation route as follows: From Atlanta, Ga., over U.S. Highway 278 to junction Alabama Highway 17 near Hamilton, Ala., thence over Alabama Highway 17 to junction U.S. Highway 278 near Sulligent, Ala., thence over U.S. Highway 278 to junction U.S. Highway Alternate 45 near Okolona, Miss., thence over U.S. Highway Alternate 45 to junction Mississippi Highway 8, thence over Mississippi Highway 8 to Cleveland, Miss., and return over the same route for operating convenience only. The notice indicates that the carrier is presently authorized to transport the same commodities, over a pertinent service route as follows: From Atlanta, Ga., over U.S. Highway 78 to Memphis, Tenn., thence over U.S. Highway 61 to Cleveland, Miss., and return over the same route.

No. MC 111383 (Deviation No. 46), BRASWELL MOTOR FREIGHT LINES, INC., P.O. Box 4447, Dallas, Tex. 75208, filed April 21, 1976. Carrier proposes to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, of *general commodities*, with certain exceptions, over a deviation route as follows: From Tulsa, Okla., over Muskogee Turnpike to junction Interstate Highway 40, thence over Interstate Highway 40 to Memphis, Tenn., thence over U.S. Highway 72 and Alternate U.S. Highway 72 to Decatur, Ala., thence over U.S. Highway 31 to junction Alabama Highway 67, thence over Alabama Highway 67 to junction U.S. Highway 278, thence over U.S. Highway 278 to Gadsden, Ala., thence over U.S. Highway 431 to junction U.S. Highway 78 near Oxford, Ala., and return over the same route for operating convenience only. The notice indicates that the carrier is presently authorized to transport the same commodities, over a pertinent service route as follows: From Tulsa, Okla., over U.S. Highway 75 to junction Oklahoma Highway 3 near Coalgate, Okla., thence over Oklahoma Highway 3 to Anters, Okla., thence over U.S. Highway 271 to Mt. Pleasant, Tex., thence over U.S. Highway 67 to Naples, Tex., thence over Texas Highway 77 to the Louisiana-Texas State line, thence over Louisiana Highway 1 to Shreveport, La., thence over U.S. Highway 80 to junction U.S. Highway 11, thence over U.S. Highway 11 to Birmingham, Ala., thence over U.S. Highway 78 to Oxford, Ala., and return over the same route.

No. MC 111383 (Deviation No. 50), BRASWELL MOTOR FREIGHT LINES, INC., P.O. Box 4447, Dallas, Tex. 75208, filed May 4, 1976. Carrier proposes to operate as a *common carrier*, by motor vehicle, of *general commodities*, with certain exceptions, over a deviation route as follows: From Round Rock, Tex., over U.S. Highway 79 to junction U.S. Highway 84 at Palestine, Tex., thence over U.S. Highway 84 to junction Louisiana Highway 5 at Logansport, La., thence over Louisiana Highway 5 to junction U.S. Highway 171, thence over U.S. Highway 171 to Shreveport, La., and return over the same route for operating convenience only. The notice indicates that the carrier is presently authorized to transport the same commodities, over a pertinent service route as follows: From Round Rock, Tex., over U.S. Highway 81 to Waco, Tex., thence over U.S. Highway 77 to Dallas, Tex., thence over U.S. Highway 80 to Shreveport, La., and return over the same route.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-14590 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

federal register

THURSDAY, MAY 20, 1976

PART II:

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

Federal Election Commission Clearing House Advisory Panel

■

Hearing

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

[Notice 1976–26]

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION CLEARINGHOUSE ADVISORY PANEL

Notice of Hearing

In accordance with the Clearinghouse Advisory Panel Charter approved by the Federal Election Commission on November 25, 1975, and in the spirit of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Public Law 92–463), the Commission announces the following panel meeting:

Name: Federal Election Commission Clearinghouse Advisory Panel.

Date: 9–10 June 1976.

Place: St. Francis Hotel, Union Square, San Francisco, California.

Time: 0900–1200; 1400–1630 on 9 June 1976; 0900–1200; 1400–1630 on 10 June 1976.

Proposed agenda: Discussion sessions addressing research priorities, topics and projects in election administration including: Planning and management; registration; balloting; tabulation and records.

Purpose of the meeting: The Panel will review past Clearinghouse research efforts, discuss present problems in the administration of federal elections, and formulate recommendations to the Federal Election Commission Clearinghouse for its future research program.

The Advisory Panel meeting is open to the public depending on available space. Any member of the public may file a written statement with the Panel before, during, or after the meeting. To the extent that time permits, the Panel Chairman may allow public presentation of oral statements at the meeting.

All communications regarding this Advisory Panel should be addressed to Dr. Gray Greenhalgh, Clearinghouse on Election Administration, Federal Election Commission, 1325 K Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20463.

Dated: May 17, 1976.

NEIL STAEBLER,
Acting Vice Chairman for the Federal Election Commission.

[FR Doc.76–14835 Filed 5–19–76;8:45 am]

federal register

THURSDAY, MAY 20, 1976

PART III:

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Federal Insurance Administration

■

NATIONAL FLOOD INSURANCE PROGRAM

Notice of Flood Prone Areas of Communities Subject to Section 202; Prohibition of Federal and Federally Related Assistance

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Federal Insurance Administration

[Docket No. N-76-376]

NATIONAL FLOOD INSURANCE PROGRAM

Flood-Prone Areas of Communities Subject to Section 202 Prohibition of Federal and Federally Related Assistance

The purpose of this notice is to provide a list of communities that contain areas of special flood hazard potentially subject to the provisions of Section 202 of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (PL 93-234) on July 1, 1975, or an appropriate later date, and to provide a convenient reference for interested persons, communities, Federal agencies and instrumentalities, and others involved in assuring compliance with that section. This list supersedes and up-dates the list published in the Federal Register at 40 F.R. 15580-15677.

Section 202 provides that effective July 1, 1975, Federal agencies and federally supervised, approved, insured, or regulated lending institutions are prohibited from providing financial assistance or making loans for acquisition or construction purposes in areas which (a) have been designated by the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development as Special Flood Hazard Areas for at least one year; and (b) are in communities which are not participating in the National Flood Insurance Program (42 USC §§ 4001-4128).

The prohibition does not apply to any loan made by a federally-supervised, approved, insured, or regulated lending institution made prior to March 1, 1976, to finance the acquisition of a previously occupied residential dwelling.

Each of the communities listed below received notice of its designation as flood-prone prior to June 1, 1975, and legal notice was furnished of such designation by publication under Part 1915 of Title 24 of the Code of Federal Regulations in the FEDERAL REGISTER. These communities have failed to provide the Federal Insurance Administrator with sufficient technical or scientific data to rebut their designation as flood prone nor have they as yet qualified for participation in the National Flood Insurance Program. Thus, the sanctions of Section 202 apply as of July 1, 1975, or one year after a community's identification, whichever is later, until the community participates in the program.

In order to continue Federal or federally related assistance or lending in its Special Flood Hazard Area, a community must apply for and be made eligible for participation in the program in accordance with 24 CFR (Parts 1909 to 1920). Communities may receive assistance in applying for participation by contacting the Federal Insurance Administration, 451 Seventh St., S.W., Washington, D.C. 20410, (202) 755-5581, or its toll-free numbers 800-424-8872 or 800-424-8873.

Communities on this list may be made eligible to participate in the program after the date of publication of this list. Such eligibility will be published periodically in the Federal Register under 24 CFR § 1914.4 List of eligible communities. At that time the sanctions of Section 202 will no longer apply to the communities listed below.

• UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

ALABAMA

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
010229	ALLGOOD, TOWN [BLOUNT CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
010242	BABBIE, TOWN [COVINGTON CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
010255	BARRY, TOWN OF [FAYETTE CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
010083A	BELK, TOWN OF [FAYETTE CO.]	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
010257	BLACK, TOWN OF (GENEVA COU)	FEBRUARY 07, 1975
010092	BOLIGEE, CITY OF [GREENE CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
010055	BRANTLEY, TOWN OF [CRENSHAW CO.]	JUNE 28, 1974
010119A	CARDIFF, TOWN OF [JEFFERSON CO.]	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974 AND JANUARY 23, 1976
010240	CAROLINA, TOWN [COVINGTON CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
010050	CASTLEBERRY, TOWN [CONECUH CO]	APRIL 04, 1975
010233	CENTRE, CITY OF (CHEROKEE COU)	FEBRUARY 07, 1975
010239	COFFEE COUNTY •	JANUARY 17, 1975
010244	COVINGTON COUNTY •	DECEMBER 13, 1974
010103A	COWARTS, TOWN OF [HOUSTON CO.]	AUGUST 16, 1974
010056A	DOZIER, TOWN OF [CRENSHAW CO.]	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
010212	ELBERTA, TOWN OF [BALDWIN CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
010219	FAYETTE COUNTY •	JANUARY 10, 1975
010027	FIVE POINTS, TOWN OF [CHAMBERS CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
010053A	GANTT, TOWN OF [COVINGTON CO.]	JUNE 07, 1974 AND JANUARY 02, 1976
010057A	GLENWOOD, TOWN OF [CRENSHAW CO.]	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
010039	GROVE HILL, TOWN [CLARKE CO]	APRIL 25, 1975
010293	HARPERSVILLE, TOWN [SHELBY CO]	APRIL 11, 1975
010294A	HELENA, TOWN [SHELBY CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975 AND FEBRUARY 13, 1976
010248	MIDLAND CITY, TOWN [DALE CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
010232	OHATCHEE, TOWN OF (CALHOUN CO)	JANUARY 31, 1975
010283	PICKENS COUNTY	JANUARY 17, 1975
010249	PINCKARD, TOWN [DALE CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
010075	POLLARD, TOWN OF (ESCAMBIA CO)	JANUARY 31, 1975
010159	PROVIDENCE, TOWN OF [MARENGO CO.]	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
010182	RANDOLPH COUNTY •	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
010243	RED LEVEL, TOWN [COVINGTON CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
010253	REECE CITY, CITY OF (ETOWAH CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
010288	RIVERSIDE, TOWN [ST. CLAIR CO]	APRIL 04, 1975
010291	STEELE, TOWN OF (ST. CLAIR COU)	FEBRUARY 07, 1975
010108	TAYLOR, TOWN OF [HOUSTON CO.]	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
010273	THOMASTON, TOWN	JANUARY 10, 1975
010292	VINCENT, TOWN [SHELBY CO]	APRIL 11, 1975

TOTAL IN THE STATE 37

• UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

ALASKA

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
020049	HOONAH, CITY OF (UNORGANIZED BORO.)	JUNE 07, 1974
020108	HOOPER BAY, CITY OF (UNORGANIZED BORO.)	JUNE 28, 1974

TOTAL IN THE STATE 2

• UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
	ARIZONA	
040028	GILA COUNTY •	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
	TOTAL IN THE STATE	1

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

ARKANSAS

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED	
050376	ADONA, CITY (PERRY CO.)	APRIL 18, 1975	
050377	ALEXANDER, TOWN OF (PULASKI & SALINE)	APRIL 18, 1975	
05D017A	ALPENA, TOWN OF (BOONE CO.)	AUGUST 30, 1974	AND
		OCTOBER 03, 1975	
050303	AMITY, CITY OF (CLARK CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975	
050123	AUBREY, TOWN OF (LEE CO.)	DECEMBER 06, 1974	
050305	BARLING, CITY OF (SEBASTIAN)	FEBRUARY 14, 1975	
050384	BELLEVILLE, CITY OF (YELL CO.)	APRIL 18, 1975	
050306	BERRYVILLE, CITY (CARROLL CO)	APRIL 18, 1975	
050386	BETHEL HEIGHTS, TOWN (BENTON CO.)	APRIL 25, 1975	
050390	BLEVINS, CITY (HEMPSTEAD CO.)	APRIL 18, 1975	
050131	BRADFORD, CITY OF (WHITE CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975	
050393	BRANCH, CITY (FRANKLIN CO.)	MAY 02, 1975	
050394	BULL SHOALS, CITY (MARION CO.)	APRIL 25, 1975	
050310	CAMMACK, TOWN (PULASKI CO)	APRIL 18, 1975	
050396	CASH, TOWN (CRAIGHEAD CO.)	APRIL 18, 1975	
05D404	DAMASCUS, TOWN (FAULKNER + VAN BUREN COS.)	APRIL 18, 1975	
05D405	DELIGHT, CITY (PIKE CO)	APRIL 18, 1975	
050320	DIERKS, CITY OF (HOWARD)	FEBRUARY 14, 1975	
050321	DOVER, CITY (POPE CO)	APRIL 18, 1975	
050219	ELKINS, TOWN OF (WASHINGTON CO.)	DECEMBER 20, 1974	
05D410	EMERSON, TOWN (COLUMBIA CO)	APRIL 25, 1975	
05D019A	EVERTON, TOWN OF (BOONE CO.)	AUGUST 30, 1974	AND
		APRIL 09, 1976	
05D413	FISHER, TOWN (POINSETT CO)	APRIL 11, 1975	
05D414	FOUNTAIN HILL, TOWN OF (ASHLEY CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975	
05D224A	GARNER, TOWN OF (WHITE CO.)	AUGUST 30, 1974	AND
		NOVEMBER 07, 1975	
050324	GENTRY, CITY (BENTON CO)	MAY 02, 1975	
050327	GRAVETT, CITY (BENTON CO)	MAY 02, 1975	
050329	GREEN FOREST, CITY (CARROLL CO)	APRIL 25, 1975	
05D248	GUION, TOWN (IZARD CO)	APRIL 11, 1975	
050330	HARDY, TOWN (SHARP CO)	APRIL 25, 1975	
050250	HARRELL, TOWN (CALHOUN CO)	APRIL 18, 1975	
05D234A	HAVANA, CITY OF (YELL CO.)	AUGUST 23, 1974	
050254	HECTOR, TOWN (POPE CO)	APRIL 25, 1975	
05D225A	HIGGINSON, TOWN OF (WHITE CO.)	AUGUST 16, 1974	AND
		JANUARY 09, 1976	
05D120A	IMBODEN, TOWN (LAWRENCE CO)	MAY 03, 1974	AND
		OCTOBER 10, 1975	
050336	JUNCTION CITY, CITY (UNION CO)	APRIL 18, 1975	
050260	KNOXVILLE, TOWN OF (JOHNSON CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975	
050261	LEOLA, TOWN OF (GRANT CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975	
050339	LOCKESBURG, TOWN (SEVIER CO)	APRIL 18, 1975	
050342	LOWELL, TOWN (BENTON CO)	MAY 02, 1975	
		APRIL 18, 1975	
050347	MARSHALL, CITY (SEARCY CO)	APRIL 25, 1975	
050343	MCNEIL, CITY (COLUMBIA CO)	MAY 02, 1975	
050348	MELBOURNE, CITY (IZARD CO)	APRIL 11, 1975	

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

ARKANSAS

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED	
050266	MENIFEE, TOWN (CONWAY CO)	APRIL 25, 1975	
050069	MITCHELLVILLE, TOWN OF (DESHA CO.)	NOVEMBER 08, 1974	
050095	MOUNT PLEASANT, TOWN OF (IZARD CO.)	NOVEMBER 22, 1974	
05D051A	MOUNTAINBURG, TOWN OF (CRAWFORD CO.)	MAY 03, 1974	AND
		NOVEMBER 28, 1975	
050269	OAK GROVE, TOWN OF (CARROLL CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975	
050273	OXFORD, TOWN (IZARD CO)	APRIL 25, 1975	
050271	OKEAN, TOWN (RANDOLPH CO)	APRIL 18, 1975	
050360	PANGBURN, CITY (WHITE CO)	APRIL 18, 1975	
05D007A	PARKDALE, CITY OF (ASHLEY CO.)	MARCH 29, 1974	AND
		OCTOBER 31, 1975	
050363	PLAINVIEW, CITY (YELL CO)	APRIL 18, 1975	
050277	POTTSVILLE, TOWN (POPE CO)	APRIL 25, 1975	
050279	PRATTSVILLE, TOWN (GRANT CO)	APRIL 25, 1975	
05D040A	RISON, CITY OF (CLEVELAND CO.)	MARCH 08, 1974	AND
		OCTOBER 10, 1975	
050284	RUSSELL, TOWN (WHITE CO)	APRIL 11, 1975	
050286	SCRANTON, TOWN (LOGAN CO)	APRIL 18, 1975	
05D290	SULPHUR ROCK, TOWN (INDEPENDENCE CO)	APRIL 25, 1975	
05D043A	WALDO, CITY OF (COLUMBIA CO.)	APRIL 05, 1974	AND
		OCTOBER 17, 1975	
05D299	WILTON, TOWN (LITTLE RIVER CO)	APRIL 11, 1975	
05D077A	WINCHESTER, TOWN OF (OREN CO.)	AUGUST 30, 1974	AND
		OCTOBER 10, 1975	

TOTAL IN THE STATE 62

• UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
	CALIFORNIA	
060271	BARSTOW, CITY (SAN BERNARDINO CO.)	JANUARY 17, 1975
060017	BUTTE COUNTY •	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
060196A	CARMEL BY-THE-SEA, CITY OF (MONTEREY CO.)	JUNE 14, 1974
060249	COACHELLA, CITY OF (RIVERSIDE CO.)	MAY 17, 1974
060110A	COMMERCE, CITY OF (LOS ANGELES CO.)	JUNE 28, 1974
060040	EL DORADO COUNTY •	AUGUST 02, 1974
060445A	FERNDALE, CITY (HUMBOLDT CO.)	DECEMBER 20, 1974 AND
		MARCH 26, 1976
060386	HUGHSON, CITY OF (STANISLAUS CO.)	MAY 24, 1974
060255A	INDIO, CITY OF (RIVERSIDE CO.)	MAY 31, 1974 AND
		AUGUST 08, 1975
060185A	POINT ARENA, CITY OF (MENDOCINO CO.)	OCTOBER 18, 1974 AND
		DECEMBER 26, 1975
060056	SELMA, CITY OF (FRESNO CO.)	MAY 24, 1974

TOTAL IN THE STATE 11

• UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
	COLORADO	
080003	BENNETT, TOWN OF (ADAMS CO.)	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
080148A	BOONE, TOWN OF (PUEBLO CO.)	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974 AND
		JANUARY 09, 1976
080111	CROOK, TOWN OF (LOGAN CO.)	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
080120A	DINOSAUR, TOWN OF (MOFFAT CO.)	AUGUST 30, 1974
080047A	DOVE CREEK, TOWN OF (DOLORES CO.)	MAY 24, 1974 AND
		NOVEMBER 28, 1975
080056A	ELIZABETH, TOWN OF (ELBERT CO.)	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974 AND
		FEBRUARY 06, 1976
080212	EMPIRE, TOWN OF (CLEAR CREEK CO.)	MAY 02, 1975
080112	FLEMING, TOWN OF (LOGAN CO.)	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
080073A	FRASER, TOWN OF (GRAND CO.)	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
080117A	GRAND JUNCTION, CITY OF (MESA CO.)	FEBRUARY 01, 1974 AND
		JUNE 28, 1974
080074A	HOT SULPHUR SPRINGS, TOWN OF (GRAND CO.)	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
080044	HOTCHKISS, TOWN OF (DELTA CO.)	JUNE 21, 1974
080268A	IGNACIO, TOWN (LA PLATA CO)	MARCH 22, 1974
080207	ILIFF, TOWN OF (LOGAN CO.)	DECEMBER 27, 1974
080057A	KIOWA, TOWN OF (ELBERT CO.)	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974 AND
		FEBRUARY 27, 1976
080033	KIT CARSON, TOWN OF (CHEYENNE CO.)	DECEMBER 13, 1974
080096A	LEADVILLE, CITY OF (LAKE CO.)	MAY 17, 1974
080127A	NUCLA, TOWN OF (MONTROSE CO.)	MAY 24, 1974 AND
		MARCH 26, 1976
080178A	OTIS, TOWN OF (WASHINGTON CO.)	MAY 24, 1974 AND
		OCTOBER 31, 1975
080170	OVID, TOWN OF (SEDGWICK CO.)	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
080078A	RIFLE, CITY OF (GARFIELD CO.)	JUNE 15, 1973
080200	SILVER PLUME, TOWN OF (CLEAR CREEK CO.)	DECEMBER 13, 1974
080058	SIMLA, TOWN OF (ELBERT CO.)	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
080106A	STARKVILLE, TOWN OF (LAS ANIMAS CO.)	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974 AND
		JANUARY 23, 1976
080226	VICTOR CITY (TELLER CO.)	MAY 02, 1975
080160A	YAMPA, TOWN OF (ROUTT CO.)	MAY 24, 1974 AND
		JANUARY 02, 1976

TOTAL IN THE STATE 26

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

CONNECTICUT

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
090183	CANTERBURY, TOWN (WINDHAM CO.)	JANUARY 10, 1975
090155	LEBANON, TOWN (NEW LONDON CO.)	JANUARY 24, 1975
090156	SALEM, TOWN OF (NEW LONDON CO.)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
090182	SCOTLAND, TOWN OF (WINDHAM CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975

TOTAL IN THE STATE 4

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

DELAWARE

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
100013	KENTON, TOWN OF (KENT CO.)	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
100014A	LEIPSIC, TOWN OF (KENT CO.)	AUGUST 09, 1974 AND JANUARY 09, 1976
100044A	MILLVILLE, TOWN OF (SUSSEX CO.)	OCTOBER 18, 1974 AND DECEMBER 12, 1975
100056	ODESSA, TOWN OF (NEW CASTLE CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975

TOTAL IN THE STATE 4

• UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	FLORIDA	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED		
12n323	BITHLO, TOWN OF [ORANGE CO.]		DECEMBER	13, 1974	
12n197A	BRINY BREEZES, TOWN OF [PALM BEACH CO.]		JANUARY	23, 1974	AND
			JANUARY	30, 1976	
12n324	BRISTOL, TOWN OF [LIBERTY CO.]		DECEMBER	13, 1974	
12n016A	BROOKER, TOWN OF [BRADFORD CO.]		AUGUST	30, 1974	
12n171A	CALLAHAN, CITY OF [NASSAU CO.]		JULY	19, 1974	AND
			JANUARY	09, 1976	
12n126A	CAMPBELLTON, CITY OF [JACKSON CO.]		SEPTEMBER	06, 1974	AND
			JANUARY	30, 1976	
12n269	NORTH DAVENPORT, CITY OF (POLK CO)		JUNE	28, 1974	
12n130	SNEADS, TOWN OF [JACKSON CO.]		AUGUST	02, 1974	
12n100A	WEWAHITCHKA, TOWN OF [GULF CO.]		AUGUST	09, 1974	AND
			JANUARY	09, 1976	

TOTAL IN THE STATE 9

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	GEORGIA NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
13n235A	ADAIRSVILLE, TOWN OF (BARTOW CO.)	JUNE 14, 1974 AND JANUARY 23, 1976
13n360	AILEY, TOWN (MONTGOMERY CO.)	APRIL 04, 1975
13n358	ALVATON, TOWN (MERIWEATHER CO.)	APRIL 04, 1975
13n270A	BAKER COUNTY *	MARCH 28, 1975 AND DECEMBER 26, 1975
13n205A	BALDWIN, TOWN OF (BANKS CO.)	JUNE 28, 1974 AND JANUARY 23, 1976
13n423	BALL GROUND, CITY (CHEROKEE CO.)	APRIL 04, 1975
13n402	BOSTON, CITY (THOMAS CO.)	APRIL 18, 1975
13n362	BOSTWICK, TOWN (MORGAN CO.)	APRIL 04, 1975
13n428	BOWMAN, CITY (ELBERT CO.)	APRIL 04, 1975
13n343	BRASELTON, CITY OF (JACKSON CO.)	APRIL 18, 1975
13n323	BUFORD, CITY (GWINNETT + HALL COS.)	APRIL 04, 1975
13n273	CARL, TOWN (BARROW COUNTY)	APRIL 11, 1975
13n435	CHALYBEATE SPRINGS, TOWN (MERIWEATHER CO.)	APRIL 18, 1975
13n332	CLERMONT, TOWN (HALL CO.)	APRIL 11, 1975
13n418	CLEVELAND, CITY (WHITE CO.)	APRIL 11, 1975
13n398	COBBTOWN, CITY (TATTNALL CO.)	APRIL 11, 1975
13n279	COCHRAN, CITY (BLECKLEY CO.)	APRIL 04, 1975
13n355	COLBERT, TOWN (MADISON CO.)	APRIL 18, 1975
13n329	CORNELIA, CITY (HABERSHAM CO.)	APRIL 11, 1975
13n146A	CRAWFORD, CITY OF (OGLETHORPE CO.)	JUNE 07, 1974 AND JANUARY 23, 1976
13n324	DACULA, TOWN (GWINNETT CO.)	APRIL 18, 1975
13n129A	DAHLONEGA, CITY OF (LUMPKIN CO.)	JUNE 28, 1974 AND JANUARY 23, 1976
13n064	DAWSONVILLE, CITY (DAWSON CO.)	JANUARY 17, 1975
13n356	DEARING, TOWN (MCDUFFIE CO.)	APRIL 04, 1975
13n330	DEMOREST, TOWN (HABERSHAM CO.)	APRIL 04, 1975
13n215	DENTON, CITY OF (JEFF DAVIS CO.)	DECEMBER 13, 1974
13n305	DOUGLASVILLE, CITY (DOUGLAS CO.)	APRIL 25, 1975
13n431	FAYETTEVILLE, CITY (FAYETTE CO.)	APRIL 25, 1975
13n283	FLOVILLA, CITY (BUTTS CO.)	APRIL 11, 1975
13n359	FORSYTH, CITY (MONROE CO.)	APRIL 11, 1975
13n313	FRANKLIN SPRINGS, CITY (FRANKLIN CO.)	APRIL 04, 1975
13n023A	GIRARD, TOWN OF (BURKE CO.)	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974 AND JANUARY 23, 1976
13n419	GLENWOOD, CITY (WHEELER CO.)	APRIL 04, 1975
13n220	GREENSBORO, CITY OF (GREENE CO.)	DECEMBER 20, 1974
13n311A	HAGAN, CITY (EVANS CO.)	APRIL 18, 1975 AND JANUARY 23, 1976
13n107A	HAMPTON, CITY OF (HENRY CO.)	JUNE 28, 1974
13n299	HARALSON, TOWN (COWETA + MERRIWEATHER COS.)	APRIL 18, 1975
13n192A	HELEN, CITY OF (WHITE CO.)	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974 AND OCTOBER 17, 1975

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	GEORGIA NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
13n385	HILLTONIA, TOWN (SCREVEN CO.)	APRIL 11, 1975
13n221A	HIRAM, CITY OF (PAULDING CO.)	OCTOBER 18, 1974 AND JANUARY 23, 1976
13n291	HOMELAND, TOWN (CHARLTON CO.)	APRIL 11, 1975
13n271	HOMER, TOWN (BANKS COUNTY)	APRIL 18, 1975
13n344	HOSCHTON, TOWN (JACKSON COUNTY)	APRIL 11, 1975
13n375	JASPER, CITY (PICKENS CO.)	APRIL 11, 1975
13n043A	JONESBORO, CITY OF (CLAYTON CO.)	MAY 24, 1974 AND MARCH 05, 1976
13n277	KINGSTON, CITY (BARTOW CO)	APRIL 18, 1975
13n409	LINWOOD, TOWN (WALKER CO.)	APRIL 04, 1975
13n326	LOGANVILLE, CITY (GWINNETT + WALTON COS.)	APRIL 04, 1975
13n128A	LUDOWICI, CITY OF (LONG CO.)	JUNE 14, 1974 AND JANUARY 23, 1976
13n294	LYERLY, CITY (CHATTOOGA CO.)	APRIL 04, 1975
13n223A	LYONS, CITY OF (TOOMBS CO.)	DECEMBER 06, 1974 AND NOVEMBER 21, 1975
13n224	MADISON, CITY OF (MORGAN CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
13n392	MARTIN, TOWN (STEPHENS CO)	APRIL 04, 1975
13n342	MCDONOUGH, CITY (HENRY CO.)	APRIL 11, 1975
13n421	MCINTYRE, TOWN (WILKINSON CO.)	APRIL 11, 1975
13n295	MENLO, TOWN (CHATTOOGA CO.)	APRIL 18, 1975
13n376	MOLENA, CITY (PIKE CO.)	APRIL 11, 1975
13n300	MORELAND, TOWN (COWETA COUNTY)	APRIL 18, 1975
13n252	MOUNTAIN CITY, TOWN (RABUN CO.)	JANUARY 17, 1975
13n046A	MOUNTAIN VIEW, CITY OF (CLAYTON CO.)	MAY 10, 1974
13n353	NAYLOR, TOWN (LOWNDES CO.)	APRIL 25, 1975
13n296	NELSON, CITY (CHEROKEE + PICKENS CO.)	APRIL 11, 1975
13n161A	NEWINGTON, TOWN OF (SCREVEN CO.)	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
13n334	OAKWOOD, TOWN (HALL CO.)	APRIL 04, 1975
13n189A	ODUM, CITY (WAYNE CO)	JANUARY 03, 1975 AND DECEMBER 19, 1975
13n367	OXFORD, TOWN (NEWTON CO.)	APRIL 11, 1975
13n284	PULASKI, TOWN (CANDLER, CO.)	APRIL 04, 1975
13n426	RINCON, TOWN (EFFINGHAM CO.)	APRIL 11, 1975
13n162A	ROCKY FORD, TOWN OF (SCREVEN CO.)	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
13n439	SALE CITY, TOWN (MITCHELL CO.)	APRIL 04, 1975
13n168	SCOTLAND, CITY OF (TELFAIR + WHEELER COS.)	AUGUST 30, 1974
13n301	SENOIA, CITY (COWETA CO.)	APRIL 04, 1975
13n339	SHILOH, CITY (HARRIS CO.)	APRIL 04, 1975
13n433	STAPLETON, TOWN (JEFFERSON CO.)	APRIL 04, 1975
13n308	STILLMORE, TOWN (EMANUEL CO.)	APRIL 11, 1975
13n260	STONE MOUNTAIN, CITY OF (DEKALB CO.)	MAY 12, 1974
13n389	SUNNY SIDE, VILLAGE (SPALDING COUNTY)	APRIL 11, 1975
13n003	SURRENCY, TOWN (APPLING CO)	JANUARY 03, 1975
13n328	SUWANEE, CITY (GWINNETT CO.)	APRIL 04, 1975
13n380	TALLULAH FALLS, CITY OF (HABERSHAM & RABUN COS.)	APRIL 25, 1975
13n288	TEMPLE, TOWN (CARROLL CO.)	APRIL 11, 1975
13n422	TOOMSBORO, TOWN (WILKINSON CO.)	APRIL 04, 1975

• UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
	GEORGIA	
130361	UVALDA, TOWN (MONTGOMERY CO.)	APRIL 25, 1975
135165A	VERNONBURG, TOWN OF (CHATHAM CO.)	JULY 27, 1973 AND OCTOBER 31, 1975
130278	WHITE, TOWN (BARTOW CO.)	APRIL 04, 1975
130297	WINTERVILLE, CITY (CLARKE CO.)	APRIL 11, 1975
	TOTAL IN THE STATE	86

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	IDAHO	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
160042	ALBION, TOWN	[CASSIA CO.]	JANUARY 10, 1975
160015A	CHATCOLET, CITY OF	[BENEWAH CO.]	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974 AND FEBRUARY 27, 1976
160005A	COUNCIL, CITY OF	[ADAMS CO.]	MAY 03, 1974 AND DECEMBER 12, 1975
160068A	FERDINAND, CITY OF	[IDAHO CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974 AND APRIL 09, 1976
160079A	*Fernan Lake, City of*	*(Kootenai Co.)*	*September 06, 1974 and February 20, 1976*
160004A	GARDEN CITY, CITY OF	[ADA CO.]	DECEMBER 17, 1973
160139	HAGERMAN, CITY	[GOODING CO.]	MAY 02, 1975
160173	KIMBERLY, CITY	[TWIN FALLS CO.]	APRIL 25, 1975
160177	MACKAY CITY	[CUSTER CO.]	MAY 02, 1975
160197	MALTA, TOWN OF	[CASSIA CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
160181	NEW MEADOWS, CITY OF	(ADAMS CO.)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
160045	OAKLEY, TOWN OF	[CASSIA CO.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974
160039	PARMA, CITY OF	[CANYON CO.]	MAY 17, 1974
160152	ROBERTS, CITY	[JEFFERSON CO.]	JANUARY 24, 1975
160119A	VICTOR, CITY OF	[TETON CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974 AND DECEMBER 05, 1975

TOTAL IN THE STATE 15

• UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

ILLINOIS

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
170844	ALVIN, VILLAGE OF (VERMILION CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
170489	ANCHOR, VILLAGE OF (MCLEAN CO.)	DECEMBER 06, 1974
170657	ANNA, CITY OF (UNION CO.)	MARCH 29, 1974
170741	ASTORIA, TOWN OF (FULTON CO)	JANUARY 31, 1975
170742A	AVON, VILLAGE OF (FULTON CO.)	OCTOBER 18, 1974 AND
		APRIL 16, 1976
170470A	BARDOLPH, VILLAGE OF (MCDONOUGH CO.)	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
170859	BARTELSO, VILLAGE OF (CLINTON CO)	MARCH 28, 1975
170464A	BATH, VILLAGE OF (MASON CO.)	DECEMBER 17, 1973
170845	BELGIUM, VILLAGE OF (VERMILION CO)	MARCH 28, 1975
170608A	BLUFFS, VILLAGE OF (SCOTT CO.)	JUNE 07, 1974 AND
		JANUARY 30, 1976
170268	BOWEN, VILLAGE OF (HANCOCK CO.)	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
170848	BRAIDWOOD, CITY (WILL CO)	APRIL 11, 1975
170468	BROOKPORT, CITY OF (MASSAC CO.)	JUNE 07, 1974
170226	BROWNS, VILLAGE OF (EDWARDS CO.)	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
170747	BRUSSELS, VILLAGE OF (CALHOUN CO.)	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
170746	BRYANT, VILLAGE OF (FULTON CO.)	DECEMBER 06, 1974
170850	BUREAU JUNCTION, VILLAGE OF (BUREAU CO)	MARCH 28, 1975
170471A	BUSHNELL, CITY OF (MCDONOUGH CO.)	JUNE 07, 1974 AND
		APRIL 02, 1976
170784	BUSH, VILLAGE OF (WILLIAMSON CO.)	MARCH 29, 1974
170785	CAMARGO, VILLAGE OF (DOUGLAS CO.)	APRIL 05, 1974
170250A	CARROLLTON, CITY OF (GREEN CO.)	JUNE 07, 1974 AND
		MARCH 05, 1976
170039	CASEY, CITY OF (CLARK CO.)	JUNE 28, 1974
170842	CEDARVILLE, VILLAGE (STEPHENSON CO)	APRIL 11, 1975
170839	CLEAR LAKE, VILLAGE OF (SANGAMON CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
170044	CLINTON COUNTY •	DECEMBER 20, 1974
170823	CORNELL, VILLAGE OF (LIVINGSTON CO)	MARCH 21, 1975
170646	CREVE COEUR, VILLAGE OF (TAZEWELL CO.)	MARCH 01, 1974
170422	CULLOM, VILLAGE OF (LIVINGSTON CO.)	FEBRUARY 22, 1974
170292A	DANFORTH, VILLAGE OF (IROQUOIS CO.) (IROQUOIS CO.)	FEBRUARY 22, 1974
		SEPTEMBER 13, 1974 AND
170751A	DE SOTO, VILLAGE OF (JACKSON CO.)	FEBRUARY 06, 1976
		APRIL 05, 1974 AND
170647	DEER CREEK, VILLAGE OF (TAZEWELL CO.)	APRIL 02, 1976
170658	DONGOLA, VILLAGE OF (UNION CO.)	JUNE 28, 1974
170875	DOWELL, VILLAGE (JACKSON CO)	MARCH 08, 1974
170846	DUBOIS, VILLAGE OF (WASHINGTON CO)	APRIL 18, 1975
170873	EAST BROOKLYN, VILLAGE OF (GRUNDY CO)	MARCH 28, 1975
170432	EAST GILLESPIE, VILLAGE (MACOUPIN CO)	MARCH 21, 1975
170441	EDWARDSVILLE, CITY OF (MADISON CO.)	JULY 19, 1974
170251A	ELDRED, VILLAGE OF (GREENE CO.)	APRIL 05, 1974
		DECEMBER 17, 1973 AND
170889A	ELLISVILLE, VILLAGE OF (FULTON CO.)	JANUARY 16, 1976
170849	ELWOOD, VILLAGE OF (WILL CO)	MARCH 22, 1974
170818	FARMER CITY, CITY OF (DE WITT CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
		MARCH 21, 1975

• UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

ILLINOIS

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
170628	FAYETTEVILLE, VILLAGE OF (ST. CLAIR CO.)	FEBRUARY 22, 1974
170552A	FLORENCE, VILLAGE OF (PIKE CO.)	DECEMBER 17, 1973 AND
		JANUARY 30, 1976
170827	FOREST CITY, VILLAGE OF (MASON CO)	MARCH 28, 1975
170283A	GALVA, CITY OF (HENRY CO.)	JUNE 14, 1974
170049	GERMANTOWN, VILLAGE OF (CLINTON CO.)	MARCH 29, 1974
170234A	GIBSON CITY, CITY OF (FORD CO.)	MARCH 29, 1974 AND
		JANUARY 30, 1976
170326	GILBERTS, VILLAGE OF (KANE CO.)	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
170002	GOLDEN, VILLAGE OF (ADAMS CO.)	JUNE 07, 1974
170252	GREENFIELD, CITY OF (GREEN CO.)	FEBRUARY 22, 1974
170862	GREENUP, VILLAGE OF (CUMBERLAND CO)	MARCH 28, 1975
170548A	HAMMOND, VILLAGE OF (PIATT CO.)	JUNE 14, 1974 AND
		APRIL 09, 1976
170267A	HANCOCK COUNTY	JANUARY 24, 1975 AND
		FEBRUARY 20, 1976
170465A	HAVANA, CITY OF (MASON CO.)	APRIL 05, 1974 AND
		JANUARY 30, 1976
170497A	HEYWORTH, VILLAGE OF (MCLEAN CO.)	JUNE 14, 1974
170253	HILLVIEW, VILLAGE OF (GREENE CO.)	DECEMBER 06, 1974
170184	HINCKLEY, VILLAGE OF (DEKALB CO.)	MARCH 01, 1974
170852	HOLLOWAYVILLE, VILLAGE OF (BUREAU CO)	MARCH 28, 1975
170369	INDIAN CREEK, VILLAGE OF (LAKE CO.)	AUGUST 23, 1974
170315	JERSEYVILLE, CITY OF (JERSEY CO.)	JUNE 07, 1974
170757	JOPPA, VILLAGE OF (MASSAC CO.)	NOVEMBER 23, 1973
170826	JUNCTION CITY, VILLAGE OF (MARION CO)	MARCH 21, 1975
170860	KEYESPORT, VILLAGE OF (CLINTON CO)	MARCH 21, 1975
170837	KINDERHOOK, VILLAGE OF (PIKE CO)	MARCH 21, 1975
170760	LA PRAIRIE, TOWN OF (ADAMS CO.)	APRIL 25, 1975
170457A	LACON, CITY OF (MARSHALL CO.)	NOVEMBER 30, 1973 AND
		FEBRUARY 20, 1976
170373A	LAKE BLUFF (VILLAGE OF (LAKE CO.)	FEBRUARY 01, 1974 AND
		FEBRUARY 06, 1976
170458	LAROSE, VILLAGE OF (MARSHALL CO.)	OCTOBER 25, 1974
170500	LEXINGTON, CITY OF (MCLEAN CO.)	JUNE 28, 1974
170118A	LINCOLNWOOD, VILLAGE OF (COOK CO.)	JUNE 21, 1974
170342	LISBON, VILLAGE OF (KENDALL CO.)	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
170884	LITTLE YORK, VILLAGE OF (WARREN CO)	APRIL 11, 1975
170794	LIVINGSTON, VILLAGE OF (MADISON CO.)	MARCH 22, 1974
170301A	MAKANDA, VILLAGE OF (JACKSON CO.)	AUGUST 23, 1974 AND
		DECEMBER 26, 1975
170187A	MALTA, VILLAGE OF (DEKALB CO.)	JUNE 07, 1974
170549	MANSFIELD, VILLAGE (PIATT CO)	JANUARY 03, 1975
170836	MAPLETON, VILLAGE OF (PEORIA CO)	MARCH 28, 1975
170351	MAQUON, VILLAGE OF (KNOX CO.)	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
170764	MARIETTA, VILLAGE OF (MARIETTA CO)	JANUARY 31, 1975
170650A	MARQUETTE HEIGHTS, CITY OF (TAZEWELL CO.)	MARCH 08, 1974 AND
		MARCH 26, 1976
170041A	MARTINSVILLE, CITY OF (CLARK CO.)	NOVEMBER 23, 1973 AND

• UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

ILLINOIS

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
17n463	MASON COUNTY •	MARCH 26, 1976
17n833	MATHERVILLE, VILLAGE OF (MERCER CO)	JANUARY 31, 1975
17n684	MAUNIE, VILLAGE OF (WHITE CO.)	MARCH 28, 1975
17n573	MCNABB, VILLAGE OF (PUTNAM CO.)	JANUARY 09, 1974
17n381	METTAWA, VILLAGE OF (LAKE CO.)	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
17n880	MIDDLETOWN, VILLAGE OF (LOGAN CO)	OCTOBER 18, 1974
17n685	MILL SHOALS, VILLAGE OF (WHITE CO.)	MARCH 28, 1975
17n838	MILLSTADT, VILLAGE OF (ST. CLAIR CO)	JULY 19, 1974
17n230	MONTROSE, VILLAGE OF (EFFINGHAM CO.)	MARCH 28, 1975
17n309A	NASON, CITY OF (JEFFERSON CO.)	AUGUST 23, 1974
17n554A	NEBO, VILLAGE OF (PIKE CO.)	AUGUST 16, 1974
17n555A	NEW CANTON, TOWN OF (PIKE CO.)	DECEMBER 28, 1973
17n769	NEWMAN, VILLAGE OF (DOUGLAS CO.)	AUGUST 16, 1974
17n303	NEWTON, CITY OF (JASPER CO.)	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
17n430	NIANTIC, VILLAGE OF (MACON CO.)	DECEMBER 17, 1973
17n770A	NORRIS, VILLAGE OF (FULTON CO.)	MARCH 01, 1974
17n822A	NORTH UTICA, VILLAGE OF (LA SALLE CO)	DECEMBER 06, 1974
		MARCH 21, 1975 AND
17n832	OAKFORD, VILLAGE OF (MENARD CO)	JANUARY 23, 1976
17n831	OAKWOOD HILLS, VILLAGE (MCHENRY CO.)	MARCH 21, 1975
17n352	ONEIDA, CITY OF (KNOX CO.)	APRIL 18, 1975
17n641A	ORANGEVILLE, VILLAGE OF (STEPHENSON CO.)	JUNE 07, 1974
		AUGUST 16, 1974 AND
17n871	ORIENT, VILLAGE (FRANKLIN CO)	MARCH 26, 1976
17n556A	PEARL, VILLAGE OF (PIKE CO.)	APRIL 04, 1975
		DECEMBER 28, 1973 AND
17n797A	PECATONICA, VILLAGE OF (WINNEBAGO CO.)	MARCH 26, 1976
		APRIL 05, 1974 AND
17n538	PERRY COUNTY •	APRIL 02, 1976
17n542	PIATT COUNTY •	DECEMBER 13, 1974
17n272	PONTOOSUC, VILLAGE OF (HANCOCK CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
17n824	REDDICK, VILLAGE (LIVINGSTON CO.)	JANUARY 16, 1974
17n883	REYNOLDS, VILLAGE (ROCK ISLAND CO)	APRIL 18, 1975
17n643A	RIDOTT, VILLAGE OF (STEPHENSON CO.)	APRIL 18, 1975
17n603A	RIVERTON, VILLAGE OF (SANGMON CO.)	DECEMBER 17, 1973
		NOVEMBER 16, 1973 AND
17n867	ROYALTON, VILLAGE OF (FRANKLIN CO)	MARCH 05, 1976
17n855	SADORUS, VILLAGE OF (CHAMPAIGN CO)	MARCH 21, 1975
17n635	SAUGET, VILLAGE OF (ST. CLAIR CO.)	MARCH 21, 1975
17n605	SCHUYLER COUNTY	DECEMBER 13, 1974
17n595	SILVIS, CITY OF (ROCK ISLAND CO.)	JANUARY 03, 1975
17n190	SOMONAUK, VILLAGE (DEKALB CO)	MAY 31, 1974
17n519	SOUTH JACKSONVILLE, CITY OF (MORGAN CO.)	JANUARY 24, 1975
17n874	SOUTH WILMINGTON, VILLAGE OF (GRUNDY CO)	MARCH 29, 1974
17n459A	SPARLAND, VILLAGE OF (MARSHALL CO.)	MARCH 21, 1975
		NOVEMBER 23, 1973 AND
17n887	SPRING BAY, VILLAGE OF (WOODFORD CO)	MARCH 05, 1976
17n686	SPRINGERTON, VILLAGE OF (WHITE CO.)	MARCH 28, 1975
		DECEMBER 06, 1974

• UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

ILLINOIS

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
17n600	STONEFORT, VILLAGE OF (SALINE CO.)	AUGUST 30, 1974
17n775	ST. DAVID, VILLAGE OF (FULTON CO.)	DECEMBER 06, 1974
17n801	ST. FRANCISVILLE, VILLAGE OF (LAWERENCE CO.)	MARCH 29, 1974
17n820	ST. MARIE, VILLAGE OF (JASPER CO)	MARCH 21, 1975
17n636	SUMMERFIELD, VILLAGE OF (ST. CLAIR CO.)	MAY 03, 1974
17n803	TALLULA, VILLAGE OF (MENARD CO.)	MARCH 29, 1974
17n005	TAMMS, VILLAGE OF (ALEXANDER CO.)	MAY 10, 1974
17n828	TOPEKA, TOWN OF (MASON CO)	MARCH 21, 1975
17n869	URBAIN, VILLAGE OF (FRANKLIN CO)	MARCH 21, 1975
17n559A	VALLEY CITY, VILLAGE OF (PIKE CO.)	DECEMBER 17, 1973 AND
		MARCH 19, 1976
17n781	VERMONT, VILLAGE OF (FULTON CO.)	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
17n310A	WALTONVILLE, VILLAGE OF (JEFFERSON CO.)	AUGUST 23, 1974 AND
		MARCH 19, 1976
17n455	WAMAC, CITY OF (CLINTON CO)	JUNE 28, 1974
17n864	WATERMAN, VILLAGE OF (DE KALB CO)	MARCH 21, 1975
17n254A	WHITE HALL, CITY OF (GREENE CO.)	APRIL 05, 1974 AND
		JANUARY 30, 1976
17n821	YALE, VILLAGE OF (JASPER CO)	MARCH 21, 1975
17n356A	YATES CITY, VILLAGE OF (KNOX CO.)	JUNE 14, 1974 AND
		JANUARY 30, 1976

TOTAL IN THE STATE 140

• UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

INDIANA

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
180012	ADVANCE, TOWN OF (BOONE CO.)	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
180031	ALTON, TOWN OF (CRAWFORD CO.)	JANUARY 23, 1974
180303	AMBOY, TOWN OF (MIAMI CO.)	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
18097A	ANDREWS, TOWN OF (HUNTINGTON CO.)	JUNE 07, 1974
180315	ARCADIA, TOWN OF (HAMILTON CO.)	FEBRUARY 01, 1974
18233A	AUSTIN, CITY OF (SCOTT CO.)	NOVEMBER 23, 1973 AND MARCH 19, 1976
180112	BARGERSVILLE, TOWN OF (JOHNSON CO.)	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
180316	BLOOMFIELD, TOWN OF (GREENE CO.)	NOVEMBER 23, 1973
180273	BOONVILLE, CITY OF (WARRICK CO.)	DECEMBER 28, 1973
180087	BROWNSBURG, TOWN OF (HENDRICKS CO.)	NOVEMBER 23, 1973
180318	BURLINGTON, TOWN OF (CARROLL CO.)	NOVEMBER 23, 1973
180319	CAMDEN, TOWN OF (CARROLL CO.)	NOVEMBER 23, 1973
180258	CAYUGA, TOWN OF (VERMILLION CO.)	MAY 31, 1974
18247A	CLEAR LAKE, TOWN OF (STEUBEN CO.)	DECEMBER 06, 1974 AND DECEMBER 12, 1975
18167A	CONVERSE, TOWN OF (MIAMI CO.)	MAY 17, 1974
180044	DEKALB COUNTY •	DECEMBER 06, 1974
180054	DUBOIS COUNTY •	DECEMBER 13, 1974
180106	DUPONT, TOWN OF (JEFFERSON CO.)	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
180261	FAIRVIEW PARK, TOWN OF (VERMILLION CO.)	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
180390	FARMLAND, TOWN OF (RANDOLPH CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
18282A	FOUNTAIN CITY, CITY OF (WAY	MAY 10, 1974 AND APRIL 16, 1976
180369	FOWLERTON, TOWN OF (GRANT CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
180366	FRANCISO, TOWN OF (GIBSON CO)	MARCH 21, 1975
180187	FRENCH LICK, TOWN OF (ORANGE CO.)	FEBRUARY 01, 1974
180070	FULTON COUNTY •	DECEMBER 20, 1974
18360A	GASTON, TOWN OF (DELAWARE CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975 AND OCTOBER 24, 1975
18325A	GOSPORT, TOWN OF (OWEN CO.)	NOVEMBER 23, 1973
180376	GREENSBORO, TOWN OF (HENRY CO)	MARCH 21, 1975
180365	GREENVILLE, TOWN OF (FLOYD CO)	MARCH 21, 1975
180080	HAMILTON COUNTY •	DECEMBER 13, 1974
18009A	HARTFORD CITY, CITY OF (BLACKFORD CO.)	NOVEMBER 23, 1973 AND MARCH 05, 1976
180327	HAZLETON, TOWN OF (GIBSON CO.)	NOVEMBER 23, 1973
180328	HILLSBORO, TOWN OF (FOUNTAIN CO.)	FEBRUARY 01, 1974
18225	INDIAN VILLAGE, TOWN OF (ST. JOSEPH CO.)	OCTOBER 18, 1974
180108	JENNINGS COUNTY •	DECEMBER 13, 1974
180193	JUDSON, TOWN OF (PARKE CO.)	DECEMBER 17, 1973
180377	KENNARD, TOWN OF (HENRY CO)	MARCH 21, 1975
18090A	KNIGHTSTOWN, TOWN OF (HENRY CO.)	NOVEMBER 30, 1973 AND DECEMBER 26, 1975
18172A	LADOGA, TOWN OF (MONTGOMERY CO.)	MAY 24, 1974 AND DECEMBER 26, 1975
180035	LEAVENWORTH, TOWN OF (CRAWFORD CO.)	NOVEMBER 30, 1973

• UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

INDIANA

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
180091	LEWISVILLE, TOWN OF (HENRY CO.)	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
180398	LITTLE YORK, TOWN OF (WASHINGTON CO)	MARCH 21, 1975
180278	LIVONIA, TOWN OF (WASHINGTON CO.)	OCTOBER 18, 1974
180307	MACY, TOWN OF (MIAMI CO.)	DECEMBER 06, 1974
180330	MECCA, TOWN OF (PARKE CO.)	JANUARY 23, 1974
180382	MILFORD, TOWN OF (KOSCIUSKO CO)	MARCH 21, 1975
180010	MONTPELIER, TOWN OF (BLACKFORD CO.)	DECEMBER 07, 1973
180176	MORGAN COUNTY •	DECEMBER 20, 1974
18336A	NEW PALESTINE, TOWN OF (HANCOCK CO.)	NOVEMBER 30, 1973
180337	NEWBERRY, TOWN OF (GREENE CO.)	FEBRUARY 01, 1974
180262	NEWPORT, TOWN OF (VERMILLION CO.)	MAY 31, 1974
180374	NORTH SALEM, TOWN OF (HENDRICKS CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
180072	OAKLAND CITY, CITY OF (GIBSON CO.)	MAY 10, 1974
18206A	OGDEN DUNES, TOWN OF (PORTER CO.)	MAY 31, 1974
18250A	ORLAND, TOWN OF (STEUBEN CO.)	MAY 31, 1974 AND APRIL 09, 1976
18188A	ORLEANS, TOWN OF (ORANGE CO.)	MAY 31, 1974 AND APRIL 09, 1976
18229A	OSCEOLA, TOWN OF (ST. JOSEPH CO.)	DECEMBER 17, 1973 AND DECEMBER 05, 1975
18189A	PAOLI, TOWN OF (ORANGE CO.)	NOVEMBER 23, 1973 AND OCTOBER 31, 1975
180338	PARAGON, TOWN OF (MORGAN CO.)	FEBRUARY 01, 1974
180192	PARKE COUNTY •	DECEMBER 20, 1974
180340	PATOKA, TOWN (GIBSON CO.)	NOVEMBER 23, 1973
180395	PERRYSVILLE, TOWN (VERMILLION CO.)	NOVEMBER 23, 1973
180388	PINES, TOWN OF (PORTER CO)	MARCH 21, 1975
180213	PUTNAM COUNTY	JANUARY 03, 1975
180342	RILEY, TOWN OF (VIGO CO.)	FEBRUARY 01, 1974
180096	ROANOKE, TOWN OF (HUNTINGTON CO.)	DECEMBER 28, 1973
18071A	ROCHESTER, CITY OF (FULTON CO.)	FEBRUARY 15, 1974 AND APRIL 09, 1976
18343A	ROSEDALE, TOWN OF (PARKE CO.)	NOVEMBER 30, 1973 AND AUGUST 08, 1975
18218A	RUSSELLVILLE, TOWN OF (PUTNAM CO.)	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
180346	SPRING LAKE PARK, TOWN OF (HANCOCK CO.)	FEBRUARY 01, 1974
18348A	STINESVILLE, TOWN OF (MONROE CO.)	FEBRUARY 01, 1974 AND MARCH 05, 1976
18349A	SULPHUR SPRINGS, TOWN OF (HENRY CO.)	FEBRUARY 01, 1974 AND APRIL 09, 1976
180370	SWEETSER, TOWN OF (GRANT CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
180350	TENNYSON, TOWN OF (WARRICK CO.)	FEBRUARY 01, 1974
18198A	TROY, TOWN OF (PERRY CO.)	MAY 31, 1974
180351	UNIVERSAL, TOWN OF (VERMILLION CO.)	FEBRUARY 01, 1974
180364	WAKARUSA, TOWN OF (ELKHART CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
18175A	WAYNETOWN, TOWN OF (MONTGOMERY CO.)	MAY 31, 1974 AND

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

INDIANA

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
180190	WEST BADEN SPRINGS, TOWN OF [ORANGE CO.]	APRIL 09, 1976
180265	WEST TERRE HAUTE, TOWN (VIGO CO)	DECEMBER 28, 1973
180313A	WHITING, CITY [LAKE CO]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
180272	WILLIAMSPORT, TOWN OF [WARREN CO.]	JANUARY 10, 1975
180200A	WINSLOW, TOWN OF [PIKE CO.]	DECEMBER 17, 1973
		DECEMBER 17, 1973 AND JANUARY 30, 1976
	TOTAL IN THE STATE	83

• UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
	IOWA	
190138A	ALDEN, TOWN OF (HARDIN CO.)	JUNE 21, 1974 AND
		DECEMBER 26, 1975
190286A	ANTHON, TOWN OF (WOODBURY CO.)	JANUARY 23, 1974 AND
		MARCH 19, 1976
190092	ARION, TOWN OF (CRAWFORD CO.)	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
190303A	BELMOND, CITY OF (WRIGHT CO.)	MAY 03, 1974 AND
		AUGUST 22, 1975
190093	BUCK GROVE, TOWN OF (CRAWFORD CO.)	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
190277	CALLENDER, TOWN OF (WEBSTER CO.)	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
190269	CHILLICOTHE, TOWN OF (WAPELLO CO.)	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
190307	COLUMBUS JUNCTION, CITY OF (LOUISA CO.)	JANUARY 09, 1974
190421	DAKOTA CITY, TOWN OF (HUMBOLDT CO.)	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
190246	DEFIANCE, TOWN OF (SHELBY CO.)	DECEMBER 20, 1974
190095A	DELOIT, TOWN OF (CRAWFORD CO.)	AUGUST 30, 1974 AND
		DECEMBER 26, 1975
190097A	DOW CITY, TOWN OF (CRAWFORD CO.)	MAY 31, 1974 AND
		DECEMBER 19, 1975
190305A	DOWS, TOWN OF (WRIGHT CO.)	JUNE 21, 1974 AND
		DECEMBER 26, 1975
190027	FREDERIKA, TOWN OF (BREMER CO.)	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
190308	FREDONIA, TOWN OF (LOUISA)	FEBRUARY 14, 1975
190279A	GOWRIE, TOWN OF (WEBSTER CO.)	JUNE 28, 1974 AND
		FEBRUARY 06, 1976
190104A	GRANGER, TOWN OF (DALLAS CO.)	MARCH 22, 1974 AND
		JANUARY 02, 1976
190159	GREEN ISLAND, TOWN OF (JACKSON CO.)	OCTOBER 25, 1974
190236A	HANCOCK, TOWN OF (POTTAWATTAMIE CO.)	AUGUST 30, 1974 AND
		DECEMBER 26, 1975
190280A	HARCOURT, TOWN OF (WEBSTER CO.)	DECEMBER 06, 1974
190224A	HINTON, TOWN OF (PLYMOUTH CO.)	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974 AND
		DECEMBER 26, 1975
190275	INDIANOLA, CITY OF (WARREN CO.)	JUNE 07, 1974
190511	IRETON, TOWN (SIOUX CO)	JANUARY 24, 1975
190023A	JANESVILLE, TOWN OF (BLACK HAWK AND BREMER COS.)	DECEMBER 28, 1973 AND
		JANUARY 16, 1976
190164A	KELLOGG, TOWN OF (JASPER CO.)	JUNE 21, 1974 AND
		JANUARY 16, 1976
190098	KIRON, CITY OF (CRAWFORD CO.)	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
190006	LANSING, TOWN OF (ALLAMAKEE CO.)	JANUARY 04, 1974
190311	LETTS, TOWN OF (LOUISA CO.)	DECEMBER 27, 1974
190032A	LINN GROVE, TOWN OF (BUENA VISTA CO.)	AUGUST 16, 1974 AND
		MARCH 05, 1976
190078A	LITTLEPORT, TOWN OF (CLAYTON CO.)	AUGUST 23, 1974 AND
		FEBRUARY 06, 1976
190165	LYNNVILLE, TOWN (JASPER CO)	JANUARY 03, 1975
190160A	MAQUOKETA, CITY OF (JACKSON, CO.)	JUNE 28, 1974 AND
		FEBRUARY 20, 1976
190148A	MODALE, TOWN OF (HARRISON CO.)	OCTOBER 18, 1974

• UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
	IOWA	
190161	MONMOUTH, TOWN (JACKSON CO)	JANUARY 17, 1975
190620	MONONA, TOWN OF (CLAYTON CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
190312	MORNING SUN, TOWN (LOUISA CO)	JANUARY 17, 1975
190010A	MYSTIC, CITY OF (APPANOOSE CO.)	NOVEMBER 01, 1974 AND
		JANUARY 30, 1976
190007A	NEW ALBIN, TOWN OF (ALLAMAKEE CO.)	MAY 17, 1974
190082A	NORTH BUENAVISTA, TOWN OF (CLAYTON CO.)	OCTOBER 18, 1974 AND
		MARCH 19, 1976
190176A	OLIN, TOWN OF (JONES CO.)	AUGUST 30, 1974 AND
		MARCH 26, 1976
190294A	OTO, TOWN OF (WOODBURY CO.)	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974 AND
		JANUARY 23, 1976
190172A	OXFORD, TOWN OF (JOHNSON CO.)	MAY 10, 1974 AND
		FEBRUARY 06, 1976
190151	PISGAH, TOWN OF (HARRISON CO.)	DECEMBER 06, 1974
190061	PLYMOUTH, TOWN OF (CERRO GORDO CO.)	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
190167A	REASNOR, TOWN OF (JASPER CO.)	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
190100	RICKETTS, TOWN OF (CRAWFORD CO.)	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
190132	SHEFFIELD, TOWN OF (FRANKLIN CO.)	JUNE 28, 1974
190178A	SIGOURNEY, CITY OF (KEOKUK CO.)	MARCH 15, 1974
190056	STANWOOD, TOWN OF (CEDAR CO.)	AUGUST 09, 1974
190141	STEAMBOAT ROCK, TOWN(HARDIN CO)	JANUARY 03, 1975
190173	TIFFIN, TOWN OF (JOHNSON CO.)	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
190194	WAPELLO, CITY OF (LOUISA CO.)	JANUARY 16, 1974
190090A	WHEATLAND, TOWN OF (CLINTON CO.)	JUNE 28, 1974 AND
		APRIL 02, 1976
190070	WOODBURN, TOWN OF (CLARKE CO.)	DECEMBER 20, 1974
190260A	ZEARING, TOWN OF (STORY CO.)	JULY 19, 1974 AND
		APRIL 09, 1976

TOTAL IN THE STATE 55

• UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

KANSAS

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
200340	ATHOL, CITY OF (SMITH CO.)	DECEMBER 13, 1974
200287A	BELLEVILLE, CITY OF (REPUBLIC CO.)	FEBRUARY 15, 1974 AND OCTOBER 31, 1975
200128	BLUFF CITY, CITY OF (HARPER CO.)	DECEMBER 27, 1974
200130A	BURRTON, CITY OF (HARVEY CO.)	MARCH 15, 1974 AND OCTOBER 24, 1975
200230A	CANEY, CITY OF (MONTGOMERY CO.)	FEBRUARY 15, 1974 AND OCTOBER 24, 1975
200004	COLONY, CITY OF (ANDERSON CO.)	DECEMBER 20, 1974
200139	DELIA, CITY OF (JACKSON CO.)	AUGUST 30, 1974
200079	DENTON, CITY OF (DONIPHAN CO.)	DECEMBER 27, 1974
200235	DUNLAP, CITY OF (MORRIS CO)	JANUARY 03, 1975
200236A	ELKHART, CITY OF (MORTON CO.)	MAY 24, 1974 AND DECEMBER 05, 1975
200271	EMMETT, CITY OF (MIAMI CO.)	DECEMBER 20, 1974
200028	FAIRVIEW, CITY OF (BROWN CO.)	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
200221	FONTANA, CITY OF (MIAMI CO.)	DECEMBER 20, 1974
200024	FULTON, CITY OF (BOURBON CO)	JANUARY 10, 1975
200342	GAYLORD, CITY OF (SMITH CO.)	DECEMBER 27, 1974
200227	GLEN ELDER, CITY OF (MITCHELL CO.)	DECEMBER 27, 1974
200206	GOESSEL, CITY OF (MARION CO.)	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
200239A	GOFF, CITY OF (NEMAHA CO.)	NOVEMBER 08, 1974 AND DECEMBER 26, 1975
200111	GRANDVIEW PLAZA, CITY OF (GEARY CO.)	FEBRUARY 01, 1974 AND AUGUST 09, 1974
200006	GREELEY, CITY OF (ANDERSON CO.)	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
200064	GRIDLEY, CITY OF (COFFEY CO.)	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
200355	HADDAM, CITY OF (WASHINGTON CO.)	DECEMBER 27, 1974
200352	HARVEYVILLE, CITY OF (WABAUNSEE CO.)	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
200141A	HOLTON, CITY OF (JACKSON CO.)	FEBRUARY 22, 1974
200012	HURON, CITY OF (ATCHISON CO.)	DECEMBER 13, 1974
200118	INGALLS, CITY OF (GRAY CO.)	DECEMBER 20, 1974
200007	KINCAID, CITY OF (ANDERSON CO.)	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
200329	KISMET, CITY OF (SEWARD CO.)	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
200196A	LA CYGNE, CITY OF (LINN CO.)	MARCH 15, 1974 AND DECEMBER 26, 1975
200103	LANE, CITY OF (FRANKLIN CO.)	DECEMBER 27, 1974
200189A	LANSING, CITY OF (LEAVENWORTH CO.)	AUGUST 23, 1974 AND NOVEMBER 28, 1975
200343	LEBANON, CITY OF (SMITH CO.)	DECEMBER 20, 1974
200066A	LEROY, CITY OF (COFFEY CO.)	DECEMBER 28, 1973 AND OCTOBER 24, 1975
200309	LIEBENTHAL, CITY OF (RUSH CO)	JANUARY 03, 1975
200265A	LOGAN, CITY OF (PHILLIPS CO.)	JULY 19, 1974 AND OCTOBER 17, 1975
200222A	LOUISBURG, CITY OF (MIAMI CO.)	MARCH 01, 1974 AND APRIL 16, 1976
200272	LOUISVILLE, CITY OF (POTTAWATOMIE CO.)	DECEMBER 06, 1974

• UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

KANSAS

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
200310	MCCRACKEN, CITY OF (RUSH CO.)	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
200051A	MINNEOLA, CITY OF (CLARK CO.)	FEBRUARY 08, 1974 AND OCTOBER 17, 1975
200055	MORGANVILLE, CITY OF (CLAY CO.)	DECEMBER 20, 1974
200032	MORRILL, CITY OF (BROWN CO.)	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
200356	MORROWVILLE, CITY OF (WASHINGTON CO.)	DECEMBER 06, 1974
200197A	MOUND CITY, CITY OF (LINN CO.)	MARCH 01, 1974
200014	MUSCOTAH, CITY OF (ATCHISON CO.)	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
200360	NEOSHO FALLS, CITY OF (WOODSON CO)	JANUARY 31, 1975
200204	NEOSHO RAPIDS, CITY (LYON CO)	JANUARY 03, 1975
200144	NETAWAKA, CITY OF (JACKSON CO.)	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
200318	NEW CAMBRIA, CITY OF (SALINE CO.)	DECEMBER 27, 1974
200067	NEW STRAWN, CITY OF (COFFEY CO.)	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
200150	NORTONVILLE, CITY OF (JEFFERSON CO.)	MARCH 01, 1974
200252A	OSAGE CITY, CITY OF (OSAGE CO)	MARCH 01, 1974 AND FEBRUARY 28, 1975
200311	OTIS, CITY OF (RUSH CO.)	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
200357	PALMER, CITY OF (WASHINGTON CO.)	DECEMBER 20, 1974
200198	PARKER, CITY OF (LINN COU)	FEBRUARY 07, 1975
200105A	POMONA, CITY OF (FRANKLIN CO.)	FEBRUARY 08, 1974 AND DECEMBER 26, 1975
200256	PORTIS, CITY OF (OSBORNE CO.)	DECEMBER 27, 1974
200253	QUENEMO, CITY OF (OSAGE CO.)	DECEMBER 20, 1974
200296	RAYMOND, CITY OF (RICE CO.)	DECEMBER 27, 1974
200025	REDFIELD, CITY OF (BOURBON CO.)	DECEMBER 27, 1974
200288	REPUBLIC, CITY OF (REPUBLIC CO.)	DECEMBER 06, 1974
200312	RUSH CENTER, CITY OF (RUSH CO.)	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
200315B	RUSSELL, CITY OF (RUSSELL CO.)	FEBRUARY 08, 1974 AND NOVEMBER 08, 1974
200097	SCHOENCHEN, CITY OF (ELLIS CO)	JANUARY 17, 1975
200083	SEVERANCE, CITY OF (DONIPHAN CO.)	DECEMBER 20, 1974
200145	SOLDIER, CITY OF (JACKSON CO.)	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
200274	ST. GEORGE, CITY OF (POTTAWATOMIE CO)	JANUARY 03, 1975
200369	TURON, CITY OF (RENO COU)	FEBRUARY 07, 1975
200374	WALTON, CITY OF (HARVEY CO)	JANUARY 24, 1975
200277A	WESTMORELAND, CITY OF (POTTAWATOME CO.)	MARCH 08, 1974 AND DECEMBER 26, 1975
200008	WESTPHALIA, CITY (ANDERSON CO)	DECEMBER 20, 1974
200376	WETMORE, CITY OF (NEMAHA)	FEBRUARY 14, 1975
200086	WHITE CLOUD, CITY OF (DONIPHAN CO.)	DECEMBER 27, 1974
200146	WHITING, CITY OF (JACKSON CO.)	NOVEMBER 29, 1974

TOTAL IN THE STATE 73

• UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

KENTUCKY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
210070A	ALLEN, TOWN OF (FLOYD CO.)	JANUARY 23, 1974 AND FEBRUARY 27, 1976
210093A	ARLINGTON, TOWN OF (CARLISLE CO.)	MAY 17, 1974
210094A	BARDWELL, TOWN OF (CARLISLE CO.)	MAY 17, 1974 AND FEBRUARY 27, 1976
210106A	BERRY, TOWN OF (HARRISON CO.)	AUGUST 16, 1974 AND FEBRUARY 20, 1976
210187A	BOONEVILLE, CITY OF (OWSLEY CO.)	FEBRUARY 01, 1974 AND FEBRUARY 20, 1976
210161A	BRADFORDSVILLE, CITY OF (MARION CO.)	MAY 10, 1974 AND FEBRUARY 20, 1976
210023	BREATHITT COUNTY	JANUARY 03, 1975
210201A	BRODHEAD, CITY OF (ROCK CASTLE CO.)	MAY 17, 1974 AND FEBRUARY 27, 1976
210154A	CALHOUN, TOWN OF (MCLEAN CO.)	FEBRUARY 01, 1974
210042	CARLISLE COUNTY •	OCTOBER 18, 1974
210053	CASEY COUNTY •	DECEMBER 13, 1974
210057	CLAY COUNTY •	DECEMBER 27, 1974
210111	CLINTON, TOWN OF (HICKMAN CO.)	MAY 17, 1974
210263	COAL RUN, CITY (PIKE CO)	JANUARY 10, 1975
210227A	CORBIN, CITY OF (WHITLEY CO.)	JUNE 14, 1974 AND FEBRUARY 20, 1976
210143	CRAB ORCHARD, TOWN OF (LINCOLN CO.)	JUNE 14, 1974
210060	CUMBERLAND COUNTY	JANUARY 03, 1975
210290	FLEMING, CITY OF (LETCHER CO)	MARCH 28, 1975
210238A	FLORENCE, TOWN OF (BOONE CO.)	FEBRUARY 01, 1974 AND FEBRUARY 20, 1976
210038	FORT THOMAS, CITY OF (CAMPBELL CO.)	JANUARY 25, 1974 AND OCTOBER 18, 1974
210081	GARRARD COUNTY •	OCTOBER 18, 1974
210046	GHENT, TOWN OF (CARROLL CO.)	JANUARY 16, 1974
210078	GLENCOE, CITY OF (GALLATIN CO.)	FEBRUARY 01, 1974
210094	HARDIN COUNTY •	OCTOBER 18, 1974
210303	HARDIN, CITY OF (MARSHALL CO.)	JUNE 14, 1974
210165	HARDIN, TOWN OF (MARSHALL CO.)	MAY 10, 1974
210032	HAZEL, TOWN OF (CALLOWAY CO.)	AUGUST 09, 1974
210110	HENRY COUNTY •	OCTOBER 18, 1974
210077	HICKMAN, CITY OF (FULTON CO)	JUNE 28, 1974
210112	HOPKINS COUNTY •	OCTOBER 18, 1974
210137	HYDEN, CITY OF (LESLIE CO.)	MAY 24, 1974
210138	JENKINS, CITY OF (LETCHER CO.)	JUNE 07, 1974
210134	LAUREL COUNTY •	DECEMBER 27, 1974
210304	LEBANON JUNCTION, CITY OF (BULLITT CO.)	MARCH 15, 1974
210085	LEITCHFIELD, TOWN OF (GRAYSON CO.)	MAY 10, 1974
210141	LEWIS COUNTY •	DECEMBER 20, 1974
210166	MARTIN COUNTY •	DECEMBER 13, 1974
210071A	MARTIN, TOWN OF (FLOYD CO.)	MAY 24, 1974 AND FEBRUARY 27, 1976
210233A	MCHENRY, TOWN OF (OHIO CO.)	OCTOBER 25, 1974

• UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

KENTUCKY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
210153	MCLEAN COUNTY •	DECEMBER 06, 1974
210014A	MILLERSBURG, CITY OF (BOURBON CO.)	MAY 10, 1974 AND FEBRUARY 20, 1976
210242A	MORGANTOWN, TOWN OF (BUTLER CO.)	FEBRUARY 01, 1974
210186	OWEN COUNTY •	OCTOBER 18, 1974
210244	PETERSBURG, TOWN OF (BOONE CO.)	JANUARY 23, 1974
210194	POWELL COUNTY •	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
210047A	PRESTONVILLE, TOWN OF (CARROLL CO.)	AUGUST 16, 1974 AND FEBRUARY 20, 1976
210319	RAVENNA, CITY OF (ESTILL CO.)	MAY 17, 1974
210245	ROCKPORT, TOWN OF (OHIO CO.)	FEBRUARY 01, 1974
210260	SADIEVILLE, CITY (SCOTT CO)	JANUARY 03, 1975
210209	SHELBY COUNTY •	OCTOBER 18, 1974
210028A	SHEPHERDSVILLE, CITY OF (BULLITT CO.)	MAY 24, 1974 AND MARCH 05, 1976
210212	TAYLOR COUNTY •	OCTOBER 18, 1974
210218A	UNIONTOWN, TOWN OF (UNION CO.)	MAY 17, 1974
210192A	VICCO, CITY OF (PERRY CO.)	MAY 10, 1974 AND MARCH 05, 1976
210096A	VINE GROVE, CITY OF (HARDIN CO.)	MAY 17, 1974 AND MARCH 05, 1976
210248A	WHEAT CROFT, TOWN OF (WEBSTER CO.)	FEBRUARY 15, 1974 AND MARCH 05, 1976
210307	WINSTON PARK, TOWN OF (KENTON CO.)	JANUARY 23, 1974
210049	WORTHVILLE, TOWN OF (CARROLL CO.)	JANUARY 23, 1974

TOTAL IN THE STATE 58

• UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
	LOUISIANA	
220114	ALBANY, VILLAGE OF (LIVINGSTON PARISH)	APRIL 12, 1974
220231	ANGIE, VILLAGE (WASHINGTON PARISH)	JANUARY 03, 1975
220354	ATHENS, VILLAGE OF (CLAIBORNE PARISH)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
220026	BEAUREGARD PARISH	JANUARY 17, 1975
220314	BERNICE TOWN (UNION CO)	JANUARY 17, 1975
220147A	BOYCE, TOWN OF (RAPIDES PARISH)	APRIL 05, 1974
220236A	DOYLINE, VILLAGE OF (WEBSTER PARISH)	APRIL 05, 1974 AND OCTOBER 17, 1975
220325	FARMERVILLE TOWN (UNION CO)	MAY 02, 1975
220117A	FRENCH SETTLEMENT, VILLAGE OF (LIVINGSTON PAR.)	OCTOBER 25, 1974 AND MARCH 12, 1976
220291	GRAND CANE VIL (DE SOTO CO)	MAY 02, 1975
220338	MARION VIL (UNION CO)	MAY 02, 1975
220007A	MORSE, TOWN (ACADIA PARISH)	NOVEMBER 23, 1973 AND MARCH 12, 1976
220012A	OBERLIN, CITY OF (ALLEN PARISH)	JUNE 21, 1974 AND NOVEMBER 14, 1975
220203	PEARL RIVER, TOWN OF (ST. TAMMANY PARISH)	MAY 24, 1974
220212A	ROSELAND, TOWN OF (TANGIPAHOA PARISH)	OCTOBER 26, 1973 AND APRIL 09, 1976
220258	SIBLEY, VILLAGE OF (WEBSTER PARISH)	FEBRUARY 07, 1975
220050	SICILY ISLAND, VILLAGE OF (CATAHOULA PARISH)	DECEMBER 28, 1973
220310	SIKES, VILLAGE OF (WINN PARISH)	APRIL 25, 1975
220312	SIMSBORO, VILLAGE OF (LINCOLN PARISH)	FEBRUARY 07, 1975
220120	SPRINGFIELD, TOWN OF (LIVINGSTON PARISH)	AUGUST 23, 1974
220137A	STERLINGTON, TOWN OF (OUACHITA PARISH)	DECEMBER 17, 1973 AND JANUARY 09, 1976
220205A	SUN, VILLAGE OF (ST. TAMMANY PARISH)	AUGUST 30, 1974 AND APRIL 16, 1976
220069A	TURKEY CREEK, VILLAGE OF (EVANGELINE PARISH)	AUGUST 30, 1974 AND OCTOBER 31, 1975
220234	VARNADO, VILLAGE OF (WASHINGTON PARISH)	OCTOBER 25, 1974
220230	WASHINGTON PARISH	JANUARY 10, 1975
	TOTAL IN THE STATE 25	

• UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

MAINE

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
230303	ALEXANDER, TOWN OF (WASHINGTON CO.)	DECEMBER 06, 1974
230083	ALNA, TOWN (LINCOLN CO)	JANUARY 03, 1975
230272	AMHERST, TOWN (HANCOCK CO)	JANUARY 24, 1975
230208	ARROWSIC, TOWN (SAGADAHOC CO)	JANUARY 17, 1975
230200	BALDWIN, TOWN OF (CUMBERLAND)	FEBRUARY 14, 1975
230252	BELMONT, TOWN (WALDO CO)	MARCH 14, 1975
230144	BERWICK, TOWN OF (YORK CO.)	AUGUST 09, 1974
230276	BROOKSVILLE, TOWN OF (HANCOCK CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
230130	BURHAM, TOWN OF (WALDO CO.)	JULY 26, 1974
230374	BURLINGTON, TOWN OF (PENOBSCOT COU)	FEBRUARY 07, 1975
230375	CARMEL, TOWN OF (PENOBSCOT CO)	FEBRUARY 28, 1975
230376	CHARLESTON, TOWN OF (PENOBSCOT CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
230378	CLIFTON, TOWN OF (PENOBSCOT COU)	FEBRUARY 07, 1975
230307	COLUMBIA, TOWN OF (WASHINGTON)	FEBRUARY 14, 1975
230380	CORINTH, TOWN (PENOBSCOT CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
230278	CRANBERRY ISLE, TOWN OF (HANCOCK CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
230309	CRAWFORD, TOWN (WASHINGTON CO)	JANUARY 17, 1975
230148	DAYTON, TOWN OF (YORK CO)	JUNE 28, 1974
230279	DEDHAM, TOWN (HANCOCK CO)	APRIL 18, 1975
230084	DRESDEN, TOWN OF (LINCOLN CO.)	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
230281	EASTBROOK, TOWN (HANCOCK CO)	APRIL 18, 1975
230217	EDGECOMB, TOWN (LINCOLN CO)	JANUARY 03, 1975
230359	EMBDEN, TOWN OF (SOMERSET CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
230385	ETNA, TOWN (PENOBSCOT CO)	JANUARY 17, 1975
230237	FAYETTE, TOWN OF (KENNEBEC CO.)	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
230225	FRIENDSHIP, TOWN (KNOX CO)	JANUARY 03, 1975
230166	GILEAD, TOWN OF (OXFORD COU)	FEBRUARY 07, 1975
230475	GREENE, TOWN (ANDROSCOGGIN CO)	APRIL 11, 1975
230388	GREENFIELD, TOWN OF (PENOBSCOT CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
230332	GREENWOOD, TOWN OF (OXFORD CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
230284	HANCOCK, TOWN (HANCOCK CO)	APRIL 18, 1975
230314	HARRINGTON, TOWN OF (WASHINGTON CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
230334	HARTFORD, TOWN (OXFORD CO)	APRIL 11, 1975
230425	HERSEY, TOWN OF (AROOSTOOK CO.)	DECEMBER 20, 1974
230362	JACKMAN, TOWN (SOMERSET CO)	JANUARY 24, 1975
230315	JONESBORO, TOWN OF (WASHINGTON)	FEBRUARY 14, 1975
230393	LAGRANGE, TOWN OF (PENOBSCOT CO.)	FEBRUARY 28, 1975
230193	LEBANON, TOWN OF (YORK COU)	FEBRUARY 07, 1975
230394	LEE, TOWN (PENOBSCOT CO)	JANUARY 31, 1975
230152	LIMINGTON, TOWN OF (YORK CO.)	MAY 31, 1974
230427	LINNEUS, TOWN OF (AROOSTOOK CO.)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
230428	LITTLETON, TOWN OF (AROOSTOOK CO.)	MARCH 21, 1975
230173	LIVERMORE, TOWN OF (ANDROSCOGGIN CO.)	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
230395	LOWELL, TOWN OF (PENOBSCOT CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
230429	LUDLOW, TOWN OF (AROOSTOOK CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
230286	MARIAVILLE, TOWN (HANCOCK CO)	MARCH 14, 1975
230410	MEDFORD, TOWN OF (PISCATAQUIS)	FEBRUARY 14, 1975

• UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

MAINE

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
230176	MERCER, TOWN OF (SOMERSET CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
230008	MINOT, TOWN OF (ANDROSCOGGIN CO.)	FEBRUARY 01, 1974
230261	MONTVILLE, TOWN OF (WALDO CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
230363	MOOSE RIVER, TOWN (SOMERSET CO)	JANUARY 17, 1975
230287	MT. DESERT, TOWN (HANCOCK CO)	JANUARY 17, 1975
230218	NEWCASTLE, TOWN OF (LINCOLN CO.)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
230219	NOBLEBORO, TOWN OF (LINCOLN)	FEBRUARY 14, 1975
230318	NORTHFIELD, TOWN OF (WASHINGTON)	FEBRUARY 14, 1975
230180	ORRINGTON, TOWN OF (PENOBSCOT COU)	FEBRUARY 07, 1975
230289	OTIS, TOWN (HANCOCK CO)	APRIL 18, 1975
230412	PARKMAN, TOWN OF (PISCATAQUIS)	FEBRUARY 14, 1975
230154	PARSONFIELD, TOWN OF (YORK CO.)	JUNE 28, 1974
230193	PEMBROKE, TOWN OF (WASHINGTON CO.)	OCTOBER 18, 1974
230290	PENOBSCOT, TOWN (HANCOCK CO)	JANUARY 24, 1975
230030	PERHAM, TOWN (AROOSTOOK CO)	APRIL 18, 1975
230338	PORTER, TOWN OF (OXFORD COU)	FEBRUARY 07, 1975
230264	PROSPECT, TOWN OF (WALDO)	FEBRUARY 14, 1975
230011	SABATTUS, TOWN OF (ANDROSCOGGIN CO.)	MAY 31, 1974
230206	SEBAGO, TOWN (CUMBERLAND CO)	JANUARY 17, 1975
230291	SEDGWICK, TOWN (HANCOCK CO)	JANUARY 24, 1975
230198	SHAPLEIGH, TOWN (YORK CO)	JANUARY 17, 1975
230415	SHIRLEY, TOWN (PISCATAQUIS CO)	JANUARY 24, 1975
230247	SIDNEY, TOWN OF (KENNEBEC CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
230371	SOLON, TOWN (SOMERSET CO)	APRIL 18, 1975
230292	SORRENTO, TOWN (HANCOCK CO)	JANUARY 24, 1975
230400	SPRINGFIELD, TOWN (PENOBSCOT CO.)	JANUARY 24, 1975
230323	STEUBEN, TOWN OF (WASHINGTON CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
230035	STOCKHOLM, TOWN (AROOSTOOK CO)	JANUARY 10, 1975
230294	STONINGTON, TOWN OF (HANCOCK CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
230183	ST. FRANCIS, TOWN OF (AROOSTOOK CO.)	DECEMBER 20, 1974
230297	SWANS ISLAND, TOWN OF (HANCOCK)	FEBRUARY 14, 1975
230268	THORNDIKE, TOWN (WALDO CO)	JANUARY 10, 1975
230324	TOPSFIELD, TOWN (WASHINGTON CO)	MARCH 14, 1975
230298	TREMONT, TOWN OF (HANCOCK CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
230299	TRENTON, TOWN (HANCOCK CO)	JANUARY 24, 1975
230248	VASSALBOROUGH, TOWN OF (KENNEBEC COU)	FEBRUARY 07, 1975
230403	VEAZIE, TOWN (PENOBSCOT CO.)	JANUARY 03, 1975
230439	WALES, TOWN OF (ANDROSCOGGIN CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
230301	WALTHAM, TOWN (HANCOCK CO)	JANUARY 03, 1975
230082	WASHINGTON, TOWN OF (KNOX CO.)	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
230211	WEST BATH, TOWN (SAGADAHOC CO)	JANUARY 03, 1975
230250	WEST GARDINER, TOWN OF (KENNEBEC CO.)	DECEMBER 27, 1974
230039	WESTON, TOWN OF (AROOSTOOK CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
230222	WESTPORT, TOWN (LINCOLN CO)	JANUARY 03, 1975
230087	WHITEFIELD, TOWN OF (LINCOLN CO.)	JULY 26, 1974
230328	WHITING, TOWN OF (WASHINGTON COU)	FEBRUARY 07, 1975
230404	WINN, TOWN (PENOBSCOT CO)	JANUARY 24, 1975

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
	MAINE	
	TOTAL IN THE STATE	94

• UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

MARYLAND

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
240102A	DEER PARK, TOWN OF (GARRETT CO.)	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
240059	QUEEN ANNE, TOWN OF (QUEEN ANNE'S CO.)	AUGUST 09, 1974
	TOTAL IN THE STATE	2

• UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

MASSACHUSETTS

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
250048	ACUSHNET, TOWN OF (BRISTOL CO.)	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
250134	BLANDFORD, TOWN OF (HAMPDEN CO.)	JULY 26, 1974
250135	BRIMFIELD, TOWN OF (HAMPDEN CO.)	JULY 19, 1974
250136	CHESTER, TOWN OF (HAMPDEN CO.)	JULY 19, 1974
250068	CHILMARK, TOWN OF (DUKES CO.)	DECEMBER 06, 1974
250300	CLINTON, TOWN OF (WORCESTER CO.)	AUGUST 09, 1974
250191	DUNSTABLE, TOWN OF (MIDDLESEX CO.)	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
250160	EAST HAMPTON, TOWN OF (HAMPSHIRE CO.)	JUNE 21, 1974
250305A	GARDNER, CITY OF (WORCESTER CO.)	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974 AND MARCH 12, 1976
250070	GAY HEAD, TOWN OF (DUKES CO.)	DECEMBER 06, 1974
250071	GOSNOLD, TOWN OF (DUKES CO.)	DECEMBER 20, 1974
250139	GRANVILLE, TOWN OF (HAMPDEN CO.)	AUGUST 30, 1974
250311	HUBBARDSTON, TOWN OF (WORCESTER CO.)	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
250121	LEYDEN, TOWN OF (FRANKLIN COU)	FEBRUARY 07, 1975
250146	MONTGOMERY, TOWN OF (HAMPDEN CO.)	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
250032	NEW ASHFORD, TOWN OF (BERKSHIRE CO.)	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
250324	OAKHAM, TOWN OF (WORCESTER CO.)	AUGUST 02, 1974
250326	PAXTON, TOWN OF (WORCESTER CO.)	JULY 26, 1974
250168	PELHAM, TOWN OF (HAMPSHIRE CO.)	JUNE 28, 1974
250036	PERU, TOWN OF (BERKSHIRE CO.)	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
250169	PLAINFIELD, TOWN OF (HAMPSHIRE CO.)	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
250101	ROWLEY, TOWN OF (ESSEX CO.)	JULY 26, 1974
250128	SHUTESBURY, TOWN OF (FRANKLIN CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
250151	TOLLAND, TOWN (HAMPDEN CO)	APRIL 04, 1975
255222A	TRURO, TOWN OF (BARNSTABLE CO.)	APRIL 20, 1973
250043	TYRINGHAM, TOWN OF (BERKSHIRE CO.)	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
250130	WARWICK, TOWN (FRANKLIN CO)	JANUARY 24, 1975
250044	WASHINGTON, TOWN OF (BERKSHIRE CO.)	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
250131	WENDELL, TOWN (FRANKLIN CO)	JANUARY 17, 1975
250074	WEST TISBURY, TOWN OF (DUKES)	FEBRUARY 14, 1975
250047	WINDSOR, TOWN OF (BERKSHIRE CO.)	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
	TOTAL IN THE STATE	31

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

MICHIGAN

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
260210A	BANGOR, TOWNSHIP (VAN BUREN CO.)	JANUARY 10, 1975 AND JANUARY 09, 1976
260192	BERLIN, TOWNSHIP OF (ST. CLAIR CO.)	AUGUST 23, 1974
260027	BLAINE, TOWNSHIP OF (BENZIE CO.)	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
260073	BURTON, TWP (GENESEE CO.)	AUGUST 16, 1974
		APRIL 25, 1975
260472A	CLARKSTON, VILLAGE (OAKLAND CO.)	AND OCTOBER 31, 1975
260437	CLINTON, VILLAGE (LENAWEE CO.)	APRIL 18, 1975
260195	CLYDE, TOWNSHIP OF (ST. CLAIR CO.)	JULY 26, 1974
260515	CROSWELL, CITY (SANILAC CO.)	APRIL 11, 1975
260349	ELLSWORTH, VILLAGE (ANTRIM CO.)	APRIL 11, 1975
260327A	EVART, CITY (OSCEOLA CO.)	APRIL 25, 1975 AND FEBRUARY 06, 1976
260137	HINTON, TOWNSHIP OF (MECOSTA CO.)	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
260084	ITHACA, CITY OF (GRATIOT CO.)	DECEMBER 27, 1974
260412	LAKE LINDEN, VILLAGE (HOUGHTON CO.)	APRIL 11, 1975
260014	LINCOLN, TOWNSHIP OF (ARENAC CO.)	JUNE 14, 1974
260384	MAPLE RAPIDS, VILLAGE (CLINTON CO.)	APRIL 25, 1975
260328	MARION, VILLAGE (OSCEOLA CO.)	APRIL 25, 1975
260310	MELVINDALE, CITY (WAYNE CO.)	JANUARY 10, 1975
260240B	RIVERVIEW, CITY OF (WAYNE CO.)	MAY 03, 1974 AND FEBRUARY 28, 1975 AND FEBRUARY 13, 1976
260243	SUMPTER, TOWNSHIP OF (WAYNE CO.)	JUNE 28, 1974
260334	ULBY, VILLAGE (HURON CO.)	APRIL 11, 1975
260049	WEESAW, TOWNSHIP OF (BERRIEN CO.)	JUNE 28, 1974
260470	WHITE CLOUD, CITY (NEWAYGO CO.)	APRIL 11, 1975
260329	YALE, CITY (ST. CLAIR CO.)	APRIL 11, 1975
	TOTAL IN THE STATE	23

• UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

MINNESOTA

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
270492	ALDRICH, CITY OF (WADENA COU)	FEBRUARY 07, 1975
270345A	ASKOV, CITY OF (PINE CO.)	OCTOBER 25, 1974 AND
		AUGUST 29, 1975
270417	AURORA, CITY OF (ST. LOUIS CO.)	APRIL 05, 1974
270552	AVOCA, CITY (MURRAY CO)	JANUARY 10, 1975
270443	AVON, CITY OF (STEARNS CO.)	MARCH 29, 1974
270554	BAUDETTE, CITY OF (LAKE OF THE WOODS CO.)	DECEMBER 27, 1974
270555	BEJOU, CITY (MAHNOMEN CO)	JANUARY 03, 1975
270261	BISCAY, CITY OF (MCLEOD CO.)	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
270333	BLUFFTON, CITY OF (OTTER TAIL CO.)	AUGUST 09, 1974
270293	BOWLUS, CITY OF (MORRISON CO.)	OCTOBER 25, 1974
270070	BRANCH, CITY OF (CHISAGO CO.)	AUGUST 30, 1974
270319	BREWSTER, CITY (NOBLES CO)	APRIL 25, 1975
270557	BROOK PARK, CITY OF (PINE CO.)	OCTOBER 25, 1974
270388	BROOKS, CITY OF (RED LAKE CO.)	AUGUST 09, 1974
270191	BROWNSVILLE, CITY OF (HOUSTON CO.)	OCTOBER 18, 1974
270262	BROWNTON, CITY OF (MCLEOD CO.)	MAY 03, 1974
270294	BUCKMAN, CITY OF (MORRISON CO.)	AUGUST 09, 1974
270281	CEDAR MILLS, CITY OF (MEEKER)	FEBRUARY 14, 1975
270275	CEYLON, CITY (MARTIN CO)	APRIL 11, 1975
270312A	CHANDLER, CITY OF (MURRAY CO.)	AUGUST 09, 1974 AND
		NOVEMBER 21, 1975
270464A	CHOKIO, CITY OF (STEVENS CO.)	MAY 03, 1974
270664A	CLEAR LAKE, CITY (SHERBURNE CO.)	JANUARY 03, 1975 AND
		SEPTEMBER 12, 1975
270559	CLEARBROOK, CITY OF (CLEARWATER CO.)	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
270560	CLEVELAND, CITY OF (LESUEUR CO.)	DECEMBER 27, 1974
270363	CLIMAX, CITY OF (POLK CO.)	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
270024	CLINTON, CITY OF (BIG STONE CO.)	MAY 17, 1974
270468	CLONTARF, CITY OF (SWIFT CO.)	JULY 19, 1974
270561	COBDEN, CITY OF (BROWN CO.)	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
270562	COLOGNE, CITY OF (CARVER CO.)	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
270035A	COMFREY, CITY OF (BROWN CO.)	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974 AND
		DECEMBER 26, 1975
270025	CORRELL, CITY (BIG STONE CO)	JANUARY 24, 1975
270282	COSMOS, CITY OF (MEEKER CO.)	MAY 17, 1974
270314	COURTLAND, CITY OF (NICOLLET CO.)	JULY 19, 1974
270313	CURRIE, CITY OF (MURRAY CO.)	AUGUST 02, 1974
270369	CYRUS, CITY OF (POPE CO.)	AUGUST 09, 1974
270395	DANUBE, CITY OF (RENVILLE CO.)	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
270469A	DANVERS, CITY OF (SWIFT CO.)	AUGUST 09, 1974
270334	DEER CREEK, CITY OF (OTTER TAIL CO.)	AUGUST 16, 1974
270470	DEGRAFF, CITY OF (SWIFT CO.)	AUGUST 09, 1974
270117	DELAVAN, CITY OF (FAIRBAULT CO.)	AUGUST 16, 1974
270563	DELHI, CITY OF (REDWOOD CO.)	OCTOBER 25, 1974
270346	DENHAM, CITY (PINE CO)	JANUARY 24, 1975
270225	DONALDSON, CITY (KITTSON CO)	JANUARY 24, 1975
270566A	DOVER, CITY OF (OLMSTEAD CO.)	NOVEMBER 01, 1974 AND
		OCTOBER 17, 1975

• UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

MINNESOTA

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
270059A	EAST GULL LAKE, CITY OF (CASS CO.)	DECEMBER 20, 1974 AND
		OCTOBER 10, 1975
270283	EDEN VALLEY, CITY OF (STEARNS CO.)	MAY 03, 1974
270320	ELLSWORTH, CITY OF (NOBLES CO.)	MAY 03, 1974
270295	ELMDALE, CITY OF (MORRISON CO.)	AUGUST 09, 1974
270119	ELMORE, CITY OF (FAIRBAULT CO.)	MAY 14, 1974
270657	EMMONS, CITY OF (FREEBORN CO.)	DECEMBER 27, 1974
270336	ERHARD, CITY OF (OTTER TAIL CO.)	AUGUST 09, 1974
270422	EVELETH, CITY OF (ST. LOUIS CO.)	JUNE 07, 1974
270329A	EYOTA, CITY OF (OLMSTEAD CO.)	APRIL 12, 1974
270396	FAIRFAX, CITY OF (RENVILLE CO.)	MARCH 29, 1974
270276A	FAIRMONT, CITY OF (MARTIN CO.)	JUNE 07, 1974 AND
		DECEMBER 12, 1975
270096	FIFTY LAKES, CITY OF (CROW WING CO.)	OCTOBER 18, 1974
270366A	FISHER, CITY OF (POLK CO.)	AUGUST 02, 1974
270569	FRANKLIN, CITY (ST. LOUIS CO)	APRIL 25, 1975
270570	FRAZEE, CITY OF (BECKER CO.)	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
270446A	FREEPORT, CITY OF (STEARNS CO.)	MAY 03, 1974 AND
		AUGUST 08, 1975
270136	GENEVA, CITY OF (FREEBORN CO.)	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
270296	GENOLA, CITY OF (MORRISON COU)	FEBRUARY 07, 1975
270439	GIBBON, CITY OF (SIBLEY CO.)	JUNE 21, 1974
270088	GONVICK, CITY OF (CLEARWATER CO.)	AUGUST 23, 1974
270142A	GOODHUE, CITY OF (GOODHUE CO.)	MAY 24, 1974
270026A	GRACEVILLE, CITY OF (BIG STONE CO.)	MAY 17, 1974 AND
		NOVEMBER 14, 1975
270277	GRANADA, CITY OF (MARTIN CO.)	AUGUST 02, 1974
270447	GREENWALD, CITY OF (STEARNS CO.)	AUGUST 23, 1974
270061A	HACKENSACK, CITY OF (CASS CO.)	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
270573	HADLEY, CITY (MURRAY CO)	APRIL 11, 1975
270227	HALMA, CITY OF (KITTSON CO)	JANUARY 31, 1975
270071A	HARRIS, CITY OF (CHISAGO CO.)	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974 AND
		OCTOBER 24, 1975
270355	HATFIELD, CITY OF (PIPESTONE CO)	JANUARY 31, 1975
270149	HENNEPIN COUNTY •	DECEMBER 13, 1974
270338A	HENNING, CITY OF (OTTER TRAIL CO.)	MAY 03, 1974 AND
		OCTOBER 31, 1975
270002A	HILL CITY, CITY OF (AITKIN CO.)	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
270409A	HILLS, CITY OF (ROCK CO.)	APRIL 12, 1974
270578A	HOFFMAN, CITY OF (GRANT CO)	JANUARY 31, 1975
270448A	HOLDINGFORD, CITY OF (STEARNS CO.)	MAY 17, 1974
270138	HOLLANDALE, CITY OF (FREEBORN CO.)	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
270356A	HOLLAND, CITY OF (PIPESTONE CO.)	AUGUST 30, 1974 AND
		DECEMBER 05, 1975
270471	HOLLOWAY, CITY OF (SWIFT CO.)	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
270228	HUMBOLDT, CITY OF (KITTSON CO.)	AUGUST 09, 1974
270357	IHLEN, CITY OF (PIPESTONE CO.)	AUGUST 02, 1974
270235A	INTERNATIONAL FALLS, CITY OF (KOOCHICHING CO.)	JUNE 07, 1974 AND
		MARCH 26, 1976

• UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

MINNESOTA

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
27n579	IONA, CITY OF [MURRAY CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
27n580	IRON JUNCTION, CITY OF [ST. LOUIS CO.]	OCTOBER 25, 1974
27n098	JENKINS, CITY OF [CROW WING CO.]	AUGUST 23, 1974
27n205	KEEWATIN, CITY OF [ITASCA CO.]	MAY 03, 1974
27n655	KELLOGG, CITY OF [WABASHA CO]	JANUARY 31, 1975
27n143	KENYON, CITY OF [GOODHUE CO.]	MAY 24, 1974
27n121	KIESTER, CITY OF [FARIBAULT CO.]	MAY 10, 1974
27n206A	LA PRAIRIE, CITY OF [ITASCA CO.]	AUGUST 23, 1974 AND OCTOBER 17, 1975
27n062A	LAKE SHORE, CITY OF [CASS CO.]	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974 AND DECEMBER 19, 1975
27n517	MADELIA, CITY OF [WATONWAN CO.]	APRIL 12, 1974
27n242	MADISON, CITY OF [LAC QUI PARLE CO.]	APRIL 12, 1974
27n667	MAPLE LAKE, CITY [WRIGHT CO.]	JANUARY 10, 1975
27n032A	MAPLETON, CITY [BLUE EARTH CO]	APRIL 25, 1975 AND OCTOBER 03, 1975
27n243	MARIETTA, CITY OF [LAC QUI PARLE CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
27n053A	MAYER, CITY OF [CARVER CO.]	NOVEMBER 23, 1973
27n587	MAYNARD, CITY OF [CHIPPEWA CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
27n487	MAZEPPA, CITY OF [WABASHA CO.]	JULY 19, 1974
27n588	MCINTOSH, CITY OF [POLK CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
27n493B	MENAHGA, CITY OF [WADENA CO.]	APRIL 12, 1974 AND AUGUST 29, 1975 AND JANUARY 30, 1976
27n110A	MENDOTA HEIGHTS, CITY OF [DAKOTA CO.]	NOVEMBER 23, 1973 AND APRIL 16, 1976
27n109	MENDOTA, CITY OF [DAKOTA CO.]	FEBRUARY 08, 1974
27n367	MENTOR, CITY OF [POLK CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
27n270	MIDDLE RIVER, CITY OF [MARSHALL CO.]	JULY 19, 1974
27n111A	MIESVILLE, CITY OF [DAKOTA CO.]	JULY 19, 1974 AND JANUARY 23, 1976
27n488A	MILLVILLE, CITY OF [WABASHA CO.]	AUGUST 02, 1974 AND MARCH 26, 1976
27n122	MINNESOTA LAKE, CITY OF [FARIBAULT CO.]	MAY 17, 1974
27n405A	MORRISTOWN, CITY OF [RICE CO.]	MARCH 29, 1974 AND SEPTEMBER 12, 1975
27n300A	MOTLEY, CITY OF [MORRISON CO.]	AUGUST 02, 1974 AND DECEMBER 19, 1975
27n429	MOUNTAIN IRON, VILLAGE OF [ST. LOUIS CO.]	MAY 24, 1974
27n473A	MURDOCK, CITY OF [SWIFT CO.]	AUGUST 23, 1974
27n451	NEW MUNICH, CITY OF [STEARNS CO.]	OCTOBER 25, 1974
27n497A	NEW RICHLAND, CITY OF [WASECA CO.]	APRIL 12, 1974
27n315	NICOLLET, CITY OF [NICOLLET CO.]	APRIL 05, 1974
27n590	NIELSVILLE, CITY OF [POLK CO.]	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
27n591	NIMROD, CITY [WADENA CO]-	APRIL 18, 1975
27n592	NORCROSS, CITY OF [GRANT CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
27n072A	NORTH BRANCH, CITY OF [CHISAGO CO.]	MAY 10, 1974 AND APRIL 09, 1976
27n512A	OAK PARK HEIGHTS, CITY OF [WASHINGTON CO.]	MARCH 22, 1974 AND

• UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

MINNESOTA

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
27n594	ODIN, CITY OF [WATONWAN CO.]	APRIL 16, 1976
27n595	OKABENA, CITY OF [JACKSON CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
27n648	ORR, CITY OF [ST. LOUIS CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
27n658	OSSEO, CITY [HENNEPIN CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
27n004	PALISADE, CITY OF [AITKIN CO.]	JANUARY 10, 1975
27n291A	PEASE, CITY OF [MILLE LACS CO.]	AUGUST 02, 1974 AND FEBRUARY 13, 1976
27n128A	PETERSON, CITY OF [FILMORE CO.]	AUGUST 30, 1974
27n063A	PILLAGER, CITY OF [CASS CO.]	JULY 19, 1974
27n596	PLATO, CITY OF [MCLEOD CO.]	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
27n221	PRINSBURG, CITY OF [KANDIYOHI CO.]	AUGUST 23, 1974
27n218A	QUAMBA, CITY OF [KANNABEC CO.]	AUGUST 09, 1974
27n374	RAMSEY COUNTY	JANUARY 03, 1975
27n223A	REGAL, CITY OF [KANDIYOHI CO]	JANUARY 31, 1975 AND FEBRUARY 13, 1976
27n565	REVERE, CITY [REDWOOD CO.]	APRIL 11, 1975
27n597	RICE, CITY [BENTON CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
27n453	RICHMOND, CITY OF [STEARNS CO.]	MARCH 29, 1974
27n341	RICHVILLE, CITY OF [OTTER TAIL CO.]	OCTOBER 25, 1974
27n455	ROSCOE, CITY OF [STEARNS CO.]	AUGUST 02, 1974
27n073A	RUSH CITY, CITY OF [CHISAGO CO.]	MAY 10, 1974 AND MARCH 19, 1976
27n360A	RUTHTON, CITY OF [PIPESTONE CO.]	AUGUST 09, 1974
27n350	RUTLEDGE, CITY OF [PINE CO.]	AUGUST 09, 1974
27n402A	SACRED HEART, CITY OF [RENVILLE CO.]	MAY 03, 1974
27n046	SCANLON, CITY OF [CARLTON CO.]	NOVEMBER 02, 1973
27n602	SEAFORTH, CITY OF [REDWOOD CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
27n494A	SEBEKA, CITY OF [WADENA CO.]	APRIL 12, 1974 AND JULY 11, 1975
27n662	SILVER LAKE, CITY [MCLEOD CO.]	JANUARY 24, 1975
27n352	STURGEON LAKE, CITY OF [PINE CO.]	OCTOBER 25, 1974
27n033	ST. CLAIR, CITY OF [BLUE EARTH CO.]	AUGUST 23, 1974
27n458A	ST. STEPHEN, CITY OF [STEARNS CO.]	AUGUST 23, 1974
27n660A	S. INTERNATIONAL FALLS, CTY [KOOCHICHING CO.]	JANUARY 17, 1975
27n604	TAOPI, CITY [MOWER CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
27n260A	TAUNTON, CITY OF [LYON CO.]	JULY 19, 1974 AND NOVEMBER 14, 1975
27n047	THOMSON, CITY OF [CARLTON CO.]	AUGUST 02, 1974
27n605	TOWER, CITY OF [ST. LOUIS CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
27n361	TROSKY, CITY OF [PIPESTONE CO.]	AUGUST 09, 1974
27n139	TWIN LAKES, CITY OF [FREEBORN CO.]	AUGUST 02, 1974
27n255	TYLER, CITY OF [LINCOLN CO.]	MAY 03, 1974
27n306	UPSALA, CITY OF [MORRISON CO.]	OCTOBER 25, 1974
27n607	VERNDALE, CITY OF [WADENA CO.]	OCTOBER 25, 1974
27n608	VERNON CENTER, CITY [BLUE EARTH CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
27n609	VESTA, CITY [REDWOOD CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
27n498	WALDORF, CITY OF [WASECA CO.]	AUGUST 09, 1974
27n147A	WANAMINGO, CITY OF [GOODHUE CO.]	MAY 10, 1974 AND

• UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
	MINNESOTA	
270210A	WARBA, CITY OF (ITASCA CO.)	AUGUST 29, 1975 AND SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
270286	WATKINS, CITY OF (MEEKER CO.)	DECEMBER 26, 1975
270610	WATSON, CITY OF (CHIPPEWA CO.)	APRIL 12, 1974
270666	WAVERLY, CITY (WRIGHT CO.)	DECEMBER 06, 1974
270279	WELCOME, CITY OF (MARTIN CO.)	JANUARY 17, 1975
270612	WILLIAMS, CITY OF (LAKE OF THE WOODS CO.)	MAY 10, 1974
270613	WINGER, CITY OF (POLK CO)	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
270427	WINTON, CITY OF (ST. LOUIS CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
270524A	WOLVERTON, CITY OF (WILKIN CO.)	AUGUST 02, 1974
		AUGUST 09, 1974 AND
270615	WOOD LAKE, CITY (YELLOW MEDICINE CO)	DECEMBER 19, 1975
270048	WRIGHT, CITY OF (CARLTON CO.)	JANUARY 17, 1975
		SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
	TOTAL IN THE STATE	177

• UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
	MISSISSIPPI	
280135	BOONEVILLE, CITY (PRENTISS CO)	APRIL 25, 1975
280156	BRAXTON, VILLAGE OF (SIMPSON CO.)	JULY 19, 1974
280240	CHUNKY, TOWN OF (NEWTON CO.)	DECEMBER 20, 1974
280220	CLARKE COUNTY •	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
280017	DUNCAN, TOWN OF (BOLIVAR CO.)	JUNE 21, 1974
280188	EDEN, VILLAGE OF (YAZOO CO.)	JULY 19, 1974
280006	ETHEL, TOWN OF (ATTALA COU)	FEBRUARY 07, 1975
280117	GATTMAN, VILLAGE OF (MONROE CO.)	JULY 19, 1974
280045A	GEORGETOWN, TOWN OF (COPIAH CO.)	AUGUST 02, 1974
280093	LAFAYETTE COUNTY •	DECEMBER 27, 1974
280149	LAKE, TOWN OF (SCOTT CO.)	JULY 19, 1974
280204	LENA, TOWN (LEAKE CO)	OCTOBER 25, 1974
280008	MCCOOL, VILLAGE OF (ATTALA CO)	JANUARY 31, 1975
280225	MONTICELLO, TOWN OF (LAWRENCE CO.)	DECEMBER 27, 1974
280219	PACHUTA, TOWN OF (CLARKE CO.)	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
280234	PONTOTOC COUNTY •	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
280147	PUCKETT, TOWN OF (RANKIN CO.)	AUGUST 23, 1974
280009A	SALLIS, VILLAGE OF (ATTALA CO.)	AUGUST 09, 1974 AND JANUARY 30, 1976
280151	SEBASTOPOL, VILLAGE OF (SCOTT COU)	FEBRUARY 07, 1975
280034	SHUBUTA, TOWN OF (CLARKE CO.)	JUNE 07, 1974
280263	TOCCOPOLA, TOWN OF (POTOTOC CO.)	DECEMBER 13, 1974
280237	UNION COUNTY •	DECEMBER 13, 1974
280122	UNION, TOWN OF (NEWTON COU)	FEBRUARY 07, 1975
280098	WALNUT GROVE, TOWN OF (LEAKE CO)	JUNE 28, 1974
280238	WAYNE COUNTY •	DECEMBER 20, 1974
280025	WINSTONVILLE, TOWN OF (BOLIVAR CO.)	JULY 19, 1974
	TOTAL IN THE STATE	26

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

MISSOURI

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
290749	ADRIAN, CITY [BATES CO.]	JANUARY 24, 1975
290761	AIRPORT DRIVE, VILLAGE OF (JASPER)	FEBRUARY 14, 1975
290005A	AMAZONIA, TOWN OF [ANDREW CO.]	AUGUST 16, 1974 AND
		FEBRUARY 06, 1976
290762	AMORET, CITY OF (BATES CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
290217A	ANDERSON, CITY OF [MCDONALD CO.]	MAY 17, 1974 AND
		DECEMBER 26, 1975
290287	ANNADA, VILLAGE OF (PIKE COU)	FEBRUARY 07, 1975
290763	ANNAPOLIS, CITY [IRON CO.]	APRIL 18, 1975
290229A	ANNISTON, TOWN OF [MISSISSIPPI CO.]	MAY 03, 1974
290281	APPLETON, CITY OF (ST. CLAIR COU)	FEBRUARY 07, 1975
290061A	ARCHIE, TOWN OF [CASS CO.]	JUNE 07, 1974 AND
		DECEMBER 12, 1975
290765	ASBURY, CITY OF (JASPER CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
290751	ASH GROVE, CITY [GREENE CO.]	APRIL 25, 1975
290461	AUGUSTA, VILLAGE OF [ST. CHARLES CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
290121A	AVA, CITY OF [DOUGLAS CO.]	MAY 17, 1974 AND
		APRIL 02, 1976
290767	BAKERSFIELD, VILLAGE [OZARK CO.]	APRIL 25, 1975
290332	BEL NOR, VILLAGE OF [ST. LOUIS CO.]	APRIL 05, 1974
290421A	BELL CITY, CITY OF [STODDARD CO.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974 AND
		NOVEMBER 21, 1975
290756	BEVIER, CITY OF (MACON)	FEBRUARY 14, 1975
290758	BISMARCK, CITY OF (ST. FRANCIS COU)	FEBRUARY 07, 1975
290139	BLAND, CITY OF [GASCONADE CO.]	MAY 17, 1974
290771	BLODGETT, VILLAGE [SCOTT CO.]	APRIL 25, 1975
290463	BOSWORTH, CITY [CARROLL CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975
290274	BRAGG CITY, CITY OF (PEMISCOT COU)	FEBRUARY 07, 1975
290616	BRASHEAR, CITY OF (ADAIR)	FEBRUARY 14, 1975
290759	BRAYMER, CITY [CALDWELL CO.]	APRIL 25, 1975
290760	BRECKENRIDGE, CITY OF (CALDWELL COU)	FEBRUARY 07, 1975
290739	BUFFALO, CITY [DALLA CO.]	MAY 02, 1975
290111	BUNCETON, CITY OF (COOPER CO.)	APRIL 25, 1975
290119A	BUNKER, TOWN OF [REYNOLDS CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974 AND
		APRIL 09, 1976
290620	CAINSVILLE, CITY [HARRISON CO.]	APRIL 25, 1975
290623	CALLAO, CITY OF (MACON)	FEBRUARY 14, 1975
290124	CAMPBELL, CITY OF [DUNKLIN CO.]	MARCH 29, 1974
290180A	CARTERVILLE, TOWN OF [JASPER CO.]	DECEMBER 28, 1973 AND
		FEBRUARY 06, 1976
290625	CENTERTOWN, VILLAGE [COLE CO.]	MAY 02, 1975
290626	CENTERVIEW, CITY [JOHNSON CO.]	APRIL 25, 1975
290303A	CENTER, TOWN OF [CRALLS CO.]	JULY 26, 1973
290627	CHILHOWEE, CITY OF (JOHNSON CO.)	APRIL 25, 1975
290628	CHULA, CITY [LIVINGSTON CO.]	MAY 02, 1975
290630A	CLARKSDALE, CITY OF (DE KALB CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
290629	CLARK, CITY OF (RUDOLPH CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
290028	COLE CAMP, CITY OF (BENTON COU)	JUNE 14, 1974
290602	CONCEPTION JUNTION, CITY [NODAWAY CO.]	APRIL 25, 1975

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

MISSOURI

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
290196A	CONWAY, TOWN OF [LACLEDE CO.]	MAY 10, 1974 AND
		NOVEMBER 21, 1975
290605	COWGILL, TOWN [CALDWELL CO.]	APRIL 18, 1975
290430A	CRANE, CITY OF [STONE CO.]	JUNE 07, 1974 AND
		OCTOBER 31, 1975
290610	CROSS TIMBERS, VILLAGE OF (HICKORY CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
290464	DALTON, VILLAGE OF [CHARITON CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
290146	DARLINGTON, VILLAGE OF [GENTRY CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
290055	DELTA, CITY OF [CAPE GIRARDEAU CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
290453	DENVER, VILLAGE OF [WORTH CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
290613	DES ARC, VILLAGE [IRON CO.]	APRIL 18, 1975
290465A	DEWITT, CITY OF [CARROLL CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974 AND
		APRIL 02, 1976
290182A	DUENWEG, CITY OF [JASPER CO.]	MAY 03, 1974 AND
		OCTOBER 24, 1975
290586	EAGLEVILLE, VILLAGE [HARRISON CO.]	APRIL 18, 1975
290466A	ELLSINORE, CITY OF [CARTER CO.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974 AND
		NOVEMBER 15, 1975
290219	ELMER, CITY OF [MACON CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
290587	EMMA, CITY [LAFAYETTE CO.]	APRIL 18, 1975
290425A	ESSEX, TOWN OF (STODDARD CO.)	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974 AND
		DECEMBER 26, 1975
290730	ESTHER, CITY OF (ST. FRANCOIS CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
290589	EVERTON, CITY [DADE CO.]	APRIL 18, 1975
290016	FARBER, CITY OF [AUDRAIN CO.]	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
290292	FARLEY, TOWN [PLATTE CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
290731	FORSYTH, CITY OF (TANEY COU)	FEBRUARY 07, 1975
290066A	FREEMAN, CITY OF [CASS CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974 AND
		JANUARY 16, 1976
290477	FREMONT, VILLAGE OF (CARTER CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
290051A	FULTON, CITY OF [CALLAWAY CO.]	MAY 17, 1974 AND
		JANUARY 16, 1976
290431A	GALENA, CITY OF [STONE CO.]	AUGUST 30, 1974 AND
		OCTOBER 31, 1975
290733	GALLATIN, CITY OF (DAVIESS)	FEBRUARY 14, 1975
290151A	GALT, CITY OF [GRUNDY CO.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974 AND
		APRIL 02, 1976
290735	GOLDEN CITY, CITY OF (BARTON CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
290736	GOODMAN, CITY OF (MC DONALD CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
290460	GRANDIN, CITY OF [CARTER CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
290710	GREENFIELD, CITY [DADE CO.]	APRIL 25, 1975
290596	GREENTOP, CITY OF (SCHYLER CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
290597	HALE, CITY OF (CARROLL CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
290712	HALLSVILLE, CITY OF (BOONE)	FEBRUARY 14, 1975
290308A	HENRIETTA, CITY OF [RAY CO.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974
290572	HERMITAGE, CITY [HICKORY CO]	APRIL 25, 1975
290577	HUME, CITY OF (BATES CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
290578	HUNNEWELL, CITY OF (SHELBY CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
290718	HUNTSVILLE, CITY [RANDOLPH CO.]	APRIL 18, 1975

• UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

MISSOURI

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
290580	IRON GATES, VILLAGE [JASPER CO]	FEBRUARY 14, 1975
290721	JAMESPORT, CITY OF (DAVIESS COU)	FEBRUARY 07, 1975
290581	JAMESTOWN, CITY [MONITEAU CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
290722	JASPER, CITY OF (JASPER CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
290266A	KOSHKONONG, CITY OF [OREGON CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974 AND DECEMBER 12, 1975
290696	LA BELLE, CITY OF (LEWIS)	FEBRUARY 14, 1975
290701	LA MONTE, CITY [PETTIS CO.]	MAY 02, 1975
290703	LA PALTA, CITY OF (MACON)	FEBRUARY 14, 1975
290152A	LAREDO, CITY OF [GRUNDY CO.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974
290704	LATHROP, CITY OF (CLINTON COU)	FEBRUARY 07, 1975
290560	LEADINGTON, VILLAGE [ST. FRANCOIS CO]	APRIL 18, 1975
290561	LEASBURG, VILLAGE OF (CRAWFORD CO)	JANUARY 31, 1975
290053A	LINN CREEK, CITY OF [CAMDEN CO.]	OCTOBER 25, 1974 AND NOVEMBER 28, 1975
290082A	LURAY, VILLAGE OF [CLARK CO.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974 AND OCTOBER 31, 1975
290031A	LUTESVILLE, CITY OF [BOLLINGER CO.]	MAY 10, 1974 AND DECEMBER 19, 1975
290684	MADISON, CITY OF (MONROE)	FEBRUARY 14, 1975
290402A	MALTA BEND, CITY OF [SALINE CO.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974 AND NOVEMBER 07, 1975
290200A	MARIONVILLE, CITY OF [LAWRENCE CO.]	MAY 17, 1974 AND OCTOBER 17, 1975
290565	MARQUAND, CITY [MADISON CO]	APRIL 18, 1975
290444A	MARTHASVILLE, VILLAGE OF [WARREN CO.]	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
290369A	MARY RIDGE, VILLAGE OF [ST. LOUIS CO.]	APRIL 05, 1974 AND DECEMBER 26, 1975
290566	MEADVILLE, CITY [LINN CO]	APRIL 25, 1975
290567A	MERCER, CITY [MERCER CO]	APRIL 04, 1975
290271A	META, TOWN OF [OSAGE CO.]	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974 AND NOVEMBER 28, 1975
290499	MILL SPRING, VILLAGE OF [WAYNE CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
290570	MINDENMINES, CITY [BARTON CO]	APRIL 18, 1975
290207	MONTICELLO, VILLAGE OF [LEWIS CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
290690	MONTROSE, CITY [HENRY CO.]	APRIL 18, 1975
290314A	NAYLOR, CITY OF [RIPLEY CO.]	MARCH 01, 1974 AND NOVEMBER 21, 1975
290405A	NELSON, CITY OF [SALINE CO.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974 AND DECEMBER 26, 1975
290548	NEW BLOOMFIELD, CITY [CALLAWAY CO]	MAY 02, 1975
290078A	NIXA, CITY OF [CHRISTIAN CO]	JUNE 28, 1974 AND JANUARY 16, 1976
290218A	NOEL, TOWN OF [MCDONALD CO.]	MAY 24, 1974 AND NOVEMBER 14, 1975
290059A	NORBORNE, CITY OF [CARROLL CO.]	APRIL 05, 1974
290293A	NORTHMOOR, TOWN OF [PLATTE CO.]	JULY 19, 1974 AND NOVEMBER 14, 1975

• UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

MISSOURI

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
290556	OTTERVILLE, CITY [COOPER CO]	APRIL 25, 1975
290224A	PALMYRA, CITY OF [MARION CO.]	MARCH 29, 1974 AND JULY 18, 1975
290533	PARNELL, CITY [NODAWAY CO]	MAY 02, 1975
290676	PERRY, CITY OF (RALLS COU)	FEBRUARY 14, 1975
290535	PINEVILLE, CITY [MCDONALD CO]	APRIL 18, 1975
290428	PUXICO, TOWN OF [STODDARD CO.]	MARCH 08, 1974
290542	RAYMONDVILLE, VILLAGE OF (TEXAS)	FEBRUARY 14, 1975
290655	RICH HALL, CITY OF (BATES)	FEBRUARY 14, 1975
290543	RIDGEWAY, CITY [HARRISON CO]	APRIL 18, 1975
290485	RITCHEY, TOWN [NEWTON CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
290381A	RIVERVIEW, CITY OF [ST. LOUIS CO]	JUNE 28, 1974 AND NOVEMBER 14, 1975
290438	ROCKAWAY BEACH, TOWN [TANEY CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
290518	ROCKVILLE, CITY OF (BATES CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
290008	ROSENDALE, CITY OF (ANDREW)	FEBRUARY 14, 1975
290663	SALISBURY, CITY OF (CHARITON COU)	FEBRUARY 07, 1975
290519	SCHELL CITY, CITY [VERNON CO]	APRIL 18, 1975
290521	SELIGMAN, CITY [BARRY CO]	APRIL 25, 1975
290665	SHELBINA, CITY [SHELBY CO.]	APRIL 25, 1975
290666	SHELBYVILLE, CITY [SHELBY CO.]	APRIL 18, 1975
290522	SHELDON, CITY OF (VERNON CO)	JANUARY 31, 1975
290487A	SHOAL CREEK DRIVE, TOWN OF [NEWTON CO.]	AUGUST 16, 1974
290177A	SIBLEY, VILLAGE OF [JACKSON CO.]	AUGUST 30, 1974 AND JANUARY 16, 1976
290212A	SILEX, VILLAGE OF [LINCOLN CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974 AND DECEMBER 05, 1975
290530	SPICKARD, CITY OF (GRUNDY COU)	FEBRUARY 07, 1975
290488	STELLA, CITY OF (NEWTON CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
290071A	STRASBURG, CITY OF [CASS CO.]	AUGUST 16, 1974 AND NOVEMBER 14, 1975
290135A	ST. CLAIR, TOWN OF [FRANKLIN CO.]	APRIL 12, 1974 AND DECEMBER 05, 1975
290662	ST. ROBERT, CITY OF (PULASKI COU)	FEBRUARY 07, 1975
290507	SUMMERSVILLE, CITY OF (SHANNON & TEXAS CO)	JANUARY 31, 1975
290076	SUMNER, TOWN [CHARITON CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
290640	TIPTON, CITY [MONITEAU CO.]	JANUARY 17, 1975
290228A	TUSCUMBIA, VILLAGE OF [MILLER CO.]	OCTOBER 25, 1974 AND NOVEMBER 21, 1975
290646	VIBURNUM, CITY OF (IRON CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
290631	WALKER, CITY [VERNON CO.]	APRIL 18, 1975
290648	WARRENTON, CITY OF (WARREN COU)	FEBRUARY 07, 1975
290024	WASHBURN, TOWN [BARRY CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
290634	WEAUBLEAU, CITY OF (HICKORY CO)	JANUARY 31, 1975
290483	WENTWORTH, TOWN [NEWTON CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
290452A	WILLIAMSVILLE, CITY OF [WAYNE CO.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974 AND

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

MISSOURI

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED	
		MARCH 05, 1976	
290654A	WRIGHT CITY, CITY OF (WARREN COU)	FEBRUARY 07, 1975	AND
		NOVEMBER 14, 1975	
290085A	YACONDA, CITY OF [CLARK CO.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974	AND
		NOVEMBER 14, 1975	
290033A	ZALMA, VILLAGE OF [BOLLINGER CO.]	OCTOBER 25, 1974	AND
		NOVEMBER 07, 1975	

TOTAL IN THE STATE 158

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

MONTANA

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED		
300066	BAINVILLE, TOWN [ROOSEVELT CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975		
300012A	BIG SANDY, TOWN OF [CHOUTEAU CO.]	MARCH 29, 1974		
300107A	CASCADE, CITY OF (CASCADE)	FEBRUARY 14, 1975		
300091	CLYDE PARK, TOWN [PARK CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975		
300020	DENTON, TOWN OF [FERGUS CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974		
300053	DODSON, TOWN OF [PHILLIPS CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974		
300092	DUTTON, TOWN [TETON CO]	APRIL 25, 1975		
300044A	ENNIS, TOWN OF [MADISON CO.]	MARCH 15, 1974		
300064	FAIRVIEW, TOWN OF [RICHLAND CO.]	AUGUST 16, 1974		
300070A	FORSYTH, CITY OF [ROSEBUD CO.]	MARCH 08, 1974	AND	
		JANUARY 16, 1976		
300013A	FORT BENTON, CITY OF [CHOUTEAU CO.]	MAY 10, 1974	AND	
		NOVEMBER 28, 1975		
300093	FROID, TOWN [ROOSEVELT CO]	APRIL 18, 1975		
300005	FROMBERG, TOWN OF [CARBON CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974		
300081	GLASGOW, CITY OF [VALLEY CO.]	JANUARY 09, 1974		
300021	GRASS RANGE, TOWN OF [FERGUS CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974		
300006	JOLIET, TOWN OF [CARBON CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974		
300025	KALISPELL, CITY OF [FLATHEAD CO.]	FEBRUARY 15, 1974		
300031	LAVINA, TOWN [GOLDEN VALLEY CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975		
300074A	PLAINS, TOWN OF [SANDERS CO.]	MARCH 22, 1974	AND	
		DECEMBER 19, 1975		
300122	RONAN, CITY [LAKE CO]	APRIL 25, 1975		
300069	ROSEBUD COUNTY *	AUGUST 02, 1974		
300037A	STANFORD, TOWN OF [JUDITH BASIN CO.]	JUNE 28, 1974		
300123	ST. IGNATIUS, TOWN OF (LAKE)	FEBRUARY 14, 1975		
300127	SUNBURST, TOWN [TOOLE CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975		
300128	SUPERIOR, TOWN [MINERAL CO]	MAY 02, 1975		
300130	THOMPSON FALLS, TOWN OF (SANDERS COU)	FEBRUARY 07, 1975		
300131	TOWNSEND, TOWN [BROADWATER CO]	MAY 02, 1975		
300133	VALIER, TOWN [PONDERA CO]	APRIL 18, 1975		
300047A	WHITE SULPHUR SPRINGS, CITY OF [MEAGER CO.]	MAY 24, 1974	AND	
		JANUARY 16, 1976		
300052	WINNETT, TOWN OF [PETROLEUM CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974		

TOTAL IN THE STATE 30

• UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

NEBRASKA

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
310006	ARTHUR, VILLAGE OF (ARTHUR CO.)	JANUARY 10, 1975
310090A	BARNESTON, TOWN OF (GAGE CO.)	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974 AND NOVEMBER 21, 1975
310208	BEAVER CROSSING, VILLAGE OF (SEWARD CO.)	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
310249	BELGRADE, VILLAGE (NANCE CO.)	MAY 02, 1975
310026	BELLWOOD, VILLAGE OF (BUTLER CO.)	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
310250	BENEDICT, VILLAGE (YORK CO.)	APRIL 18, 1975
310251	BENNET, VILLAGE (LANCASTER CO.)	APRIL 25, 1975
310066	BIG SPRINGS, VILLAGE OF (DEUEL CO.)	DECEMBER 20, 1974
310253	BRUNING, VILLAGE OF (THAYER CO)	JANUARY 31, 1975
310169	BURCHARD, VILLAGE OF (PAWNEE CO.)	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
310161	BURR, VILLAGE OF (OTOE CO.)	DECEMBER 06, 1974
310257	CARROLL, VILLAGE OF (WAYNE CO)	JANUARY 31, 1975
310258	CEDAR RAPIDS, VILLAGE (BOONE CO.)	JANUARY 10, 1975
310359	CLARKSON, CITY (COLFAX CO.)	JANUARY 17, 1975
310040	CLAY CENTER, CITY OF (CLAY CO.)	MARCH 22, 1974
310123A	COOK, VILLAGE OF (JOHNSON CO.)	OCTOBER 18, 1974 AND NOVEMBER 28, 1975
310041	DEWEESE, VILLAGE OF (CLAY CO.)	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
310007	DUNNING, VILLAGE OF (BLAINE CO.)	DECEMBER 20, 1974
310060	EDDYVILLE, VILLAGE OF (DAWSON CO.)	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
310125A	ELK CREEK, VILLAGE OF (JOHNSON CO.)	NOVEMBER 01, 1974 AND NOVEMBER 21, 1975
310114	EWING, VILLAGE OF (HOLT CO.)	MAY 03, 1974
310061A	FARNAM, VILLAGE OF (DAWSON CO.)	NOVEMBER 08, 1974 AND DECEMBER 05, 1975
310278	GILTNER, VILLAGE (HAMILTON CO.)	APRIL 25, 1975
310213A	HAY SPRINGS, CITY OF (SHERIDAN CO9)	MARCH 22, 1974 AND NOVEMBER 07, 1975
310380	HOWELLS, VILLAGE (COLFAX CO.)	JANUARY 17, 1975
310292	JACKSON, VILLAGE OF (DAKOTA CO.)	DECEMBER 20, 1974
310293	JUNIATA, VILLAGE (ADAMS CO.)	JANUARY 17, 1975
310097	LEWELLEN, VILLAGE (GARDEN CO)	JANUARY 10, 1975
310177A	LINDSAY, VILLAGE OF (PLATTE CO.)	NOVEMBER 08, 1974 AND DECEMBER 05, 1975
310028A	LINWOOD, VILLAGE OF (BUTLER CO.)	OCTOBER 25, 1974
310387	LYMAN, VILLAGE (SCOTTS BLUFF CO.)	APRIL 25, 1975
310085	MAYWOOD, VILLAGE OF (FRONTIER CO.)	DECEMBER 20, 1974
310302	MERNA, VILLAGE (CUSTER CO.)	MAY 02, 1975
310156	NEMAHA, VILLAGE OF (NEMAHA CO.)	AUGUST 30, 1974
310070A	NICKERSON, TOWN OF (DODGE CO.)	JANUARY 23, 1974
310159	OAK, VILLAGE OF (NUCKOLLS CO.)	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
310081	OHIOWA, VILLAGE OF (FILLMORE CO.)	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
310044	ONG, VILLAGE OF (CLAY CO.)	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
310005	ORCHARD, VILLAGE OF (ANTELOPE CO.)	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
310396	OXFORD, VILLAGE (FURNAS-HARLAN CO.)	MAY 02, 1975
310165A	PALMYRA, VILLAGE OF (OTOE CO.)	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974 AND DECEMBER 26, 1975
310216	PILGER, VILLAGE OF (STANTON CO.)	DECEMBER 06, 1974

• UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

NEBRASKA

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
310067A	PONCA, CITY OF (DIXON CO.)	APRIL 12, 1974 AND JANUARY 23, 1976
310202A	PRAGUE, VILLAGE OF (SAUNDERS CO.)	NOVEMBER 15, 1974 AND DECEMBER 26, 1975
310397	RANDOLPH, CITY (CEDAR CO.)	APRIL 25, 1975
310138A	RAYMOND, VILLAGE OF (LANCASTER CO.)	OCTOBER 18, 1974 AND DECEMBER 12, 1975
310139	ROCA, VILLAGE OF (LANCASTER CO.)	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
310313	ROSALIE, VILLAGE (THURSTON CO.)	APRIL 25, 1975
310214A	RUSHVILLE, CITY OF (SHERIDAN CO.)	MAY 03, 1974 AND APRIL 02, 1976
310315	RUSKIN, VILLAGE OF (NUCKOLLS CO)	JANUARY 31, 1975
310185A	SALEM, VILLAGE OF (RICHARDSON CO.)	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
310316	SCOTIA, VILLAGE (GREELEY CO.)	MAY 02, 1975
310317	SHICKLEY, VILLAGE OF (FILLMORE CO.)	MAY 02, 1975
310398	SPALDING, VILLAGE (GREELEY CO.)	JANUARY 10, 1975
310399	SPENCER, VILLAGE (BOYD CO.)	MAY 02, 1975
310322	STAPLEHURST, VILLAGE (SEWARD CO.)	JANUARY 10, 1975
310323	STAPLETON, VILLAGE OF (LOGAN CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
310171	STEINAUER, VILLAGE OF (PAWNEE CO.)	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
310106	STOCKHAM, VILLAGE OF (HAMILTON CO.)	DECEMBER 06, 1974
310400	STUART, VILLAGE (HOLT CO.)	MAY 02, 1975
310172	TABLE ROCK, VILLAGE OF (PAWNEE CO.)	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
310331	WACO, VILLAGE (YORK CO.)	MAY 02, 1975
310205A	WESTON, VILLAGE OF (SAUNDERS CO.)	OCTOBER 18, 1974 AND FEBRUARY 20, 1976
310049	WISNER, TOWN OF (CUMING CO.)	DECEMBER 07, 1973
310337	WYNOT, VILLAGE (CEDAR CO.)	APRIL 25, 1975

TOTAL IN THE STATE 65

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

NEW HAMPSHIRE

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
330152	ACWORTH, TOWN OF (SULLIVAN CO.)	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
330174	ALBANY, TOWN (CARROLL CO)	JANUARY 17, 1975
330041	ALEXANDRIA, TOWN OF (GRAFTON CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
330104	ANDOVER, TOWN OF (MERRIMACK CO)	JUNE 28, 1974
330175	ATKINSON, TOWN (ROCKINGHAM CO)	JANUARY 03, 1975
330176	AUBURN, TOWN OF (ROCKINGHAM CO)	FEBRUARY 28, 1975
330177	BARNSTEAD, TOWN (BELKNAP CO)	JANUARY 03, 1975
330178	BARRINGTON, TOWN OF (STRAFFORD CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
330002	BELMONT, TOWN OF (BELKNAP CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
330044	BENTON, TOWN OF (GRAFTON CO.)	DECEMBER 27, 1974
330105	BOSCAWEN, TOWN OF (MERRIMACK CO.)	MARCH 15, 1974
330126	CANDIA, TOWN OF (ROCKINGHAM CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
330030	CARROLL, TOWN (COOS CO)	JANUARY 24, 1975
330181	CHATHAM, TOWN (CARROLL CO)	JANUARY 03, 1975
330182	CHESTER, TOWN OF (ROCKINGHAM CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
330109	CHICHESTER, TOWN OF (MERRIMACK CO.)	APRIL 05, 1974
330184	CLARKSVILLE, TOWN (COOS CO)	JANUARY 03, 1975
330185	COLUMBIA, TOWN (COOS CO)	JANUARY 03, 1975
330156	CROYDON, TOWN OF (SULLIVAN CO.)	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
330199	DANVILLE, TOWN (ROCKINGHAM CO)	JANUARY 17, 1975
330127	DEERFIELD, TOWN OF (ROCKINGHAM CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
330050	DORCHESTER, TOWN (GRAFTON CO)	MARCH 14, 1975
330201	DUMMER, TOWN (COOS CO)	JANUARY 17, 1975
330202	DUNBARTON, TOWN (MERRIMACK CO)	JANUARY 17, 1975
330203	EAST KINGSTON, TOWN OF (ROCKINGHAM CO)	FEBRUARY 28, 1975
330204	EATON, TOWN (CARROLL CO)	JANUARY 17, 1975
330012	EFFINGHAM, TOWN (CARROL CO.)	JANUARY 17, 1975
330112	EPSOM, TOWN OF (MERRIMACK CO.)	MARCH 15, 1974
330206	ERROL, TOWN (COOS CO)	JANUARY 17, 1975
330147A	FARMINGTON, TOWN OF (STRAFFORD CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975 AND APRIL 16, 1976
330013	FREEDOM, TOWN OF (CARROLL CO.)	AUGUST 30, 1974
330131	FREMONT, TOWN OF (ROCKINGHAM CO.)	AUGUST 09, 1974
330004	GILFORD, TOWN OF (BELKNAP CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
330208	GILMANTON, TOWN (BELKNAP CO.)	JANUARY 17, 1975
330054	GRAFTON, TOWN (GRAFTON CO)	JANUARY 17, 1975
330158	GRANTHAM, TOWN OF (SULLIVAN CO.)	JANUARY 24, 1975
330209	GREENFIELD, TOWN (HILLSBOROUGH CO)	APRIL 04, 1975
330210	GREENLAND, TOWN OF (ROCKINGHAM CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
330212	HARRISVILLE, TOWN (CHESHIRE CO)	JANUARY 24, 1975
330114	HENNIKER, TOWN OF (MERRIMACK CO.)	MARCH 15, 1974
330214	HILL, TOWN OF (MERRIMACK COU)	FEBRUARY 07, 1975
330092	HUDSON, TOWN OF (HILLSBOROUGH CO.)	MARCH 08, 1974
330215	JAFFREY, TOWN (CHESHIRE CO)	JANUARY 24, 1975
330033	JEFFERSON, TOWN OF (COOS CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

NEW HAMPSHIRE

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
330216	KENSINGTON, TOWN OF (ROCKINGHAM CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
330217	KINGSTON, TOWN (ROCKINGHAM CO)	JANUARY 17, 1975
335277A	LANCASTER, TOWN OF (COOS CO.)	APRIL 13, 1973
330060	LANDAFF, TOWN OF (GRAFTON CO.)	DECEMBER 06, 1974
330159	LANGDON, TOWN (SULLIVAN CO)	JANUARY 03, 1975
330160	LEMPSTER, TOWN OF (SULLIVAN CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
330062	LINCOLN, TOWN OF (GRAFTON CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
330117	LOUDON, TOWN OF (MERRIMACK CO.)	AUGUST 02, 1974
330218	LYNDEBOROUGH, TOWN OF (HILLSBOROUGH CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
330219	MADBURY, TOWN (STRAFFORD CO)	JANUARY 17, 1975
330220	MADISON, TOWN (CARROLL CO)	JANUARY 17, 1975
330221	MASON, TOWN OF (HILLSBOROUGH CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
330222	MIDDLETON, TOWN OF (STRAFFORD CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
330035	MILAN, TOWN OF (COOS CO)	JUNE 28, 1974
330149	MILTON, TOWN OF (STRAFFORD COU)	FEBRUARY 07, 1975
330068	MONROE, TOWN OF (GRAFTON CO.)	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
330224	MOUNT VERNON, TOWN (HILLSBOROUGH CO)	JANUARY 17, 1975
330227	NEW DURHAM, TOWN OF (STRAFFORD COU)	FEBRUARY 07, 1975
330007	NEW HAMPTON, TOWN OF (BELKNAP CO.)	MARCH 08, 1974
330099	NEW IPSWICH, TOWN OF (HILLSBOROUGH CO.)	DECEMBER 13, 1974
330226	NEWBURY, TOWN OF (MERRIMACK CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
330228A	NEWFIELDS, TOWN (ROCKINGHAM CO)	JANUARY 17, 1975 AND MARCH 12, 1976
330229	NEWINGTON, TOWN OF (ROCKINGHAM CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
330137	NOTTINGHAM, TOWN OF (ROCKINGHAM CO)	JUNE 28, 1974
330069	ORANGE, TOWN (GRAFTON CO)	JANUARY 10, 1975
330186	PITTSBURG, TOWN OF (COOS CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
330187	RANDOLPH, TOWN (COOS CO)	JANUARY 03, 1975
330188	RICHMOND, TOWN (CHESHIRE CO)	JANUARY 03, 1975
330189	RINDGE, TOWN (CHESHIRE CO)	APRIL 04, 1975
330190	ROLLINSFORD, TOWN (STRAFFORD CO)	JANUARY 03, 1975
330172	ROXBURY, TOWN OF (CHESIRE)	FEBRUARY 14, 1975
330073	RUMNEY, TOWN OF (GRAFTON CO.)	MARCH 15, 1974
330121	SALISBURY, TOWN OF (MERRIMACK CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
330191	SANDOWN, TOWN (ROCKINGHAM CO)	JANUARY 03, 1975
330193	SEABROOK, TOWN OF (ROCKINGHAM CO.)	AUGUST 02, 1974
330193	SOUTH HAMPTON, TOWN OF (ROCKINGHAM CO)	FEBRUARY 28, 1975
330163	SPRINGFIELD, TOWN (SULLIVAN CO)	JANUARY 17, 1975
330194	STEWARTSTOWN, TOWN (COOS CO)	JANUARY 10, 1975
330195	STODDARD, TOWN (CHESCHIRE CO)	JANUARY 17, 1975
330196	STRAFFORD, TOWN OF (STRAFFORD CO)	FEBRUARY 28, 1975
330197	STRATHAM, TOWN OF (ROCKINGHAM CO)	FEBRUARY 28, 1975
330170	SURRY, TOWN (CHESHIRE CO)	JANUARY 03, 1975
330018	TAMWORTH, TOWN OF (CARROLL CO.)	JULY 19, 1974
330173	TROY, TOWN (CHESHIRE CO)	JANUARY 03, 1975
330234	TUFTONBORO, TOWN OF (CARROLL CO)	MARCH 28, 1975
330165	UNITY, TOWN OF (SULLIVAN CO.)	MAY 31, 1974
330019	WAKEFIELD, TOWN OF (CARROLL CO.)	JULY 26, 1974

• UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

NEW HAMPSHIRE

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
330168	WARREN, TOWN OF (GRAFTON CO.)	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
330166	WASHINGTON, TOWN OF (SULLIVAN CO.)	DECEMBER 27, 1974
330235	WEARE, TOWN OF (HILLSBOROUGH)	FEBRUARY 14, 1975
330238	WESTMORELAND, TOWN (CHESHIRE CO)	JANUARY 17, 1975
330040	WHITEFIELD, TOWN OF (COOS CO.)	JULY 26, 1974
330124	WILMOT, TOWN OF (MERRIMACK CO.)	AUGUST 16, 1974
330239	WOLFEBORO, TOWN (CARROLL CO)	JANUARY 17, 1975

TOTAL IN THE STATE 98

• UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

NEW JERSEY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
340122	AUDUBON PARK, BOROUGH OF (CAMDEN CO.)	JUNE 21, 1974
340202	FRANKLIN, TOWNSHIP OF (GLOUCESTER CO.)	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
340529	GREEN, TOWNSHIP OF (SUSSEX CO.)	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
340345	KINNELON, BOROUGH OF (MORRIS CO.)	JULY 13, 1973
340206A	LOGAN, TOWNSHIP OF (GLOUCESTER CO.)	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974 AND NOVEMBER 28, 1975
340559	MONTAGUE, TOWNSHIP OF (SUSSEX CO)	MARCH 28, 1975
340212	SOUTH HARRISON, TOWNSHIP OF (GLOUCESTER CO)	JUNE 28, 1974
340116	SPRINGFIELD, TWP (BURLINGTON CO)	JULY 26, 1974
340533	TABERNACLE, TOWNSHIP OF (BURLINGTON CO)	FEBRUARY 07, 1975
340544	TAVISTOCK, BOROUGH OF (CAMDEN CO.)	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
340537	TETERBORO, BOROUGH OF (BERGEN CO.)	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
340479B	WINFIELD, TOWNSHIP OF (UNION CO.)	MARCH 08, 1974 AND OCTOBER 17, 1975

TOTAL IN THE STATE 12

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

NEW MEXICO

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
350050A	CHAMA, VILLAGE OF (RIO ARRIBA CO.)	AUGUST 16, 1974 AND DECEMBER 12, 1975
350037	COLUMBUS, VILLAGE OF (LUNA CO.)	DECEMBER 13, 1974
350112	DEXTER, TOWN (CHAVES CO)	MAY 02, 1975
350076A	MAGDALENA, VILLAGE OF (SOCORRO CO.)	AUGUST 02, 1974 AND FEBRUARY 20, 1976
350116	QUESTA, VILLAGE (TAOS CO)	JANUARY 17, 1975
350032A	TATUM, TOWN OF (LEA CO.)	JUNE 21, 1974 AND JANUARY 16, 1976

TOTAL IN THE STATE 6

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

NEW YORK

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
360324	ADAMS, TOWN OF (JEFFERSON CO.)	MAY 31, 1974
361067	ALABAMA, TOWN OF (GENESEE CO.)	MAY 03, 1974
360641	ALBION, VILLAGE OF (ORLEANS CO.)	MAY 24, 1974
361361	ALLEN, TOWN OF (ALLEGHANY CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
360980	ALMA, TOWN OF (ALLEGANY CO.)	DECEMBER 13, 1974
361260	AMBOY, TOWN OF (OSWEGO CO.)	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
360023	ANGELICA, VILLAGE (ALLEGANY CO)	DECEMBER 06, 1974
361105	ARKWRIGHT, TOWN OF (CHAUTAUQUA CO.)	OCTOBER 18, 1974
361385	AUSTERLITZ, TOWN OF (COLUMBIA CO.)	DECEMBER 27, 1974
360518	AVA, TOWN OF (ONEIDA CO.)	JUNE 28, 1974
361054	BALDWIN, TOWN OF (CHEMUNG CO.)	MAY 31, 1974
360166A	BEEKMANTOWN, TOWN OF (CLINTON CO.)	AUGUST 30, 1974 AND FEBRUARY 27, 1976
361096	BELFAST, TOWN OF (ALLEGANY CO.)	OCTOBER 18, 1974
361392	BELLMONT, TOWN (FRANKLIN CO)	JANUARY 17, 1975
361362	BIRDSALL, TOWN (ALLEGANY CO)	JANUARY 03, 1975
361525A	BLACK RIVER, VILLAGE (JEFFERSON CO.)	JANUARY 03, 1975 AND JANUARY 30, 1976
361127A	BLEECKER, TOWN OF (FULTON CO.)	NOVEMBER 22, 1974 AND NOVEMBER 14, 1975
361490	BLOOMINGDALE, VILLAGE OF (ESSEX CO.)	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
361415	BOYLSTON, TOWN (OSWEGO CO)	JANUARY 03, 1975
361207A	BRADFORD, TOWN OF (STEUBEN CO.)	DECEMBER 13, 1974 AND FEBRUARY 27, 1976
360521	BRIDGEWATER, TOWN OF (ONEIDA CO.)	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
360522	BRIDGEWATER, VILLAGE OF (ONEIDA CO.)	MAY 17, 1974
361128	BROADALBIN, TOWN OF (FULTON CO.)	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
361480	BRUSHTON, VILLAGE OF (FRANKLIN CO.)	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
361416	BURLINGTON, TOWN OF (OTSEGO CO.)	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
361098	BURNS, TOWN OF (ALLEGANY CO.)	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
361445	BUTLER, TOWN OF (WAYNE CO.)	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
361247	BUTTERNUTS, TOWN OF (OTSEGO CO.)	DECEMBER 13, 1974
361223	CAMBRIDGE, TOWN OF (WASHINGTON CO.)	OCTOBER 25, 1974
361208	CAMERON, TOWN (STEUBEN CO)	JANUARY 10, 1975
360833	CANDOR, TOWN OF (TIOGA CO.)	AUGUST 02, 1974
361129	CAROGA, TOWN OF (FULTON CO.)	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
360063	CARROLLTON, TOWN OF (CATTARAUGUS CO.)	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
361243	CASTILE, TOWN OF (WYOMING CO.)	DECEMBER 27, 1974
361563	CASTILE, VILLAGE OF (WYOMING CO)	FEBRUARY 28, 1975
360328C	CHAMPION, TOWN OF (JEFFERSON COU)	MAY 31, 1974 AND FEBRUARY 07, 1975
360444	CHARLESTON, TOWN OF (MONTGOMERY CO.)	JULY 26, 1974
360136	CHERRY CREEK, VILLAGE OF (CHAUTAUQUA CO.)	MAY 10, 1974
360264	CHESTERFIELD, TOWN OF (ESSEX CO.)	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
361254	CLARENDON, TOWN OF (ORLEANS CO)	MARCH 28, 1975
360524	CLAYVILLE, VILLAGE OF (ONEIDA CO.)	MAY 24, 1974
361173A	CLIFTON, TOWN OF (ST. LAWRENCE CO.)	NOVEMBER 29, 1974 AND

• UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

NEW YORK

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED	
361380	CLINTON, TOWN OF (CLINTON CO.)	DECEMBER 26, 1975	
360890	CLYDE, VILLAGE OF (WAYNE CO.)	JANUARY 24, 1975	
360743A	COBLESKILL, VILLAGE OF (SCHOHARIE CO.)	MAY 31, 1974	
		JUNE 07, 1974	AND
		SEPTEMBER 12, 1975	
360064	COLD SPRING, TOWN OF (CATTARAUGUS CO.)	JUNE 21, 1974	
360299	COLUMBIA, TOWN OF (HERKIMER CO.)	MARCH 29, 1974	
361432	CONESVILLE, TOWN OF (SCHOHARIE CO.)	DECEMBER 13, 1974	
360065	CONEWANGO, TOWN OF (CATTARAUGUS CO.)	JUNE 28, 1974	
360108A	CONQUEST, TOWN OF (CAYUGA CO.)	AUGUST 16, 1974	AND
		SEPTEMBER 12, 1975	
360360	CONSTABLEVILLE, VILLAGE OF (LEWIS CO.)	AUGUST 09, 1974	
361441	COURTLAND, CITY OF (CORTLAND CO.)	FEBRUARY 14, 1975	
361375	COVENTRY, TOWN (CHENANGO CO.)	JANUARY 17, 1975	
361068	CROGHAN, VILLAGE OF (LEWIS CO.)	MAY 31, 1974	
361099	CUBA, TOWN (ALLEGANY CO.)	JANUARY 24, 1975	
361386	CUYLER, TOWN OF (CORTLAN CO)	FEBRUARY 28, 1975	
361381	DANNEMORA, TOWN OF (CLINTON COU)	FEBRUARY 07, 1975	
361209	DANSVILLE, TOWN (STEUBEN CO.)	JANUARY 24, 1975	
360300	DANUBE, TOWN OF (HERKIMER CO.)	APRIL 05, 1974	
361368	DELEVAN, VILLAGE (CATTARAUGUS CO.)	JANUARY 03, 1975	
361175	DEPEYSTER, TOWN OF (ST. LAWRENCE)	FEBRUARY 14, 1975	
361524	DERING HARBOR, VILLAGE OF (SUFFOLK CO.)	DECEMBER 20, 1974	
360364	DIANA, TOWN OF (LEWIS CO.)	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974	
361122A	DICKINSON, TOWN OF (FRANKLIN CO.)	NOVEMBER 08, 1974	AND
		JANUARY 09, 1976	
360908	DOBBS FERRY, VILLAGE OF (WESTCHESTER CO.)	MAY 17, 1974	
361410	DRESDEN, TOWN OF (WASHINGTON CO.)	FEBRUARY 14, 1975	
360943	EAGLE, TOWN OF (WYOMING CO.)	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974	
361224	EASTON, TOWN OF (WASHINGTON CO.)	DECEMBER 20, 1974	
361189	EDINBURG, TOWN OF (SARATOGA CO.)	NOVEMBER 01, 1974	
361176A	EDWARDS, TOWN (ST. LAWRENCE CO.)	JANUARY 24, 1975	AND
		FEBRUARY 06, 1976	
361463A	EDWARDS, VILLAGE OF (ST. LAWRENCE CO.)	NOVEMBER 15, 1974	AND
		FEBRUARY 06, 1976	
361499	ELBA, VILLAGE (GENESEE CO.)	JANUARY 24, 1975	
361491	ELIZABETHTOWN, VILLAGE (ESSEX CO.)	JANUARY 24, 1975	
361382	ELLENBURG, TOWN (CLINTON CO.)	MARCH 14, 1975	
361074	ELLINGTON, TOWN OF (CHAUTAUQUA CO.)	OCTOBER 25, 1974	
361374	ERIN, TOWN (CHEMUNG CO.)	JANUARY 10, 1975	
361542A	ESPERANCE, VILLAGE (SCHOHARIE CO.)	JANUARY 24, 1975	AND
		JANUARY 30, 1976	
361149	ESSEX, TOWN OF (ESSEX CO.)	DECEMBER 20, 1974	
361418	EXETER, TOWN (OTSEGO CO.)	JANUARY 10, 1975	
360302	FAIRFIELD, TOWN OF (HERKIMER CO.)	MARCH 29, 1974	
360071	FARMERSVILLE, TOWN OF (CATTARAUGUS CO.)	JUNE 28, 1974	
360527	FLORENCE, TOWN OF (ONEIDA CO.)	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974	
360073A	FRANKLINVILLE, VILLAGE OF (CATTARAUGUS CO.)	MAY 31, 1974	
361325	FREETOWN, TOWN (CORTLAND CO.)	JANUARY 17, 1975	

• UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

NEW YORK

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED	
361195A	FULTON, TOWN OF (SCHOHARIE CO.)	NOVEMBER 08, 1974	AND
		JANUARY 30, 1976	
361255A	GAINES, TOWN (ORLEANS CO.)	APRIL 11, 1975	
361225	GALEN, TOWN OF (WAYNE CO.)	DECEMBER 06, 1974	
361561	GALWAY, VILLAGE OF (SARATOGA CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975	
361101	GENESEE, TOWN OF (ALLEGANY CO.)	DECEMBER 13, 1974	
361452A	GENESEO, VILLAGE OF (LIVINGSTON CO.)	NOVEMBER 15, 1974	AND
		NOVEMBER 14, 1975	
360111	GENOA, TOWN OF (CAYUGA CO.)	JUNE 28, 1974	
361455	GILBERTSVILLE, VILLAGE (OTSEGO CO.)	OCTOBER 25, 1974	
360336A	GLEN PARK, VILLAGE OF (JEFFERSON CO.)	MARCH 29, 1974	
361295	GLEN, TOWN (MONTGOMERY CO.)	JANUARY 17, 1975	
361178	GOUVERNEUR, TOWN (ST. LAWRENCE CO.)	JANUARY 24, 1975	
361363	GRANGER, TOWN (ALLEGANY CO.)	JANUARY 03, 1975	
361210	GREENWOOD, TOWN (STEUBEN CO.)	JANUARY 03, 1975	
361005	GROVE, TOWN OF (ALLEGANY CO.)	JUNE 28, 1974	
361442	HAMPTON, TOWN OF (WASHINGTON CO.)	JANUARY 03, 1975	
361451	HARRISVILLE, VILLAGE OF (LEWIS CO.)	NOVEMBER 15, 1974	
361234	HARTFORD, TOWN OF (WASHINGTON CO.)	DECEMBER 27, 1974	
361271	HARTWICK, TOWN OF (OTSEGO CO.)	OCTOBER 25, 1974	
361513	HEAD OF THE HARBOR, VILLAGE OF (SUFFOLK CO.)	NOVEMBER 15, 1974	
360367	HIGH MARKET, TOWN OF (LEWIS CO.)	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974	
361179	HOPKINTON, TOWN OF (ST. LAWRENCE CO.)	NOVEMBER 15, 1974	
361434	HOWARD, TOWN OF (STEUBEN CO.)	DECEMBER 27, 1974	
360078	HUMPHREY, TOWN OF (CATTARAUGUS CO.)	AUGUST 30, 1974	
360293	HUNTER, VILLAGE OF (GREENE CO.)	AUGUST 16, 1974	
361008	INDEPENDENCE, TOWN OF (ALLEGANY CO.)	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974	
360079	ISCHUA, TOWN OF (CATTARAUGUS CO.)	MAY 31, 1974	
360958	ITALY, TOWN OF (YATES CO.)	JUNE 28, 1974	
361212	JASPER, TOWN OF (STEUBEN CO.)	NOVEMBER 01, 1974	
361244	JAVA, TOWN OF (WYOMING CO.)	OCTOBER 25, 1974	
361151	KEENE, TOWN OF (ESSEX CO.)	NOVEMBER 01, 1974	
360266	KEESEVILLE, VILLAGE OF (ESSEX CO.)	MAY 31, 1974	
361350	LACONA, VILLAGE OF (OSWEGO CO.)	NOVEMBER 22, 1974	
361326	LAPEER, TOWN OF (CORTLAND CO)	FEBRUARY 28, 1975	
361419	LAURENS, TOWN (OTSEGO CO.)	JANUARY 03, 1975	
361285	LEICESTER, TOWN OF (LIVINGSTON CO.)	OCTOBER 18, 1974	
361456	LEICESTER, VILLAGE (LIVINGSTON CO.)	JANUARY 10, 1975	
360080	LEON, TOWN OF (CATTARAUGUS CO.)	MAY 31, 1974	
361152	LEWIS, TOWN OF (ESSEX CO.)	NOVEMBER 29, 1974	
360368	LEWIS, TOWN OF (LEWIS CO.)	JUNE 28, 1974	
361457A	LIMA, VILLAGE (LIVINGSTON CO.)	JANUARY 10, 1975	AND
		JANUARY 16, 1976	
361376	LINCKLAEN, TOWN OF (CHENANGO CO.)	DECEMBER 06, 1974	
360309A	LITCHFIELD, TOWN OF (HERKIMER CO.)	MARCH 15, 1974	AND
		APRIL 09, 1976	
361458	LIVONIA, VILLAGE (LIVINGSTON CO.)	JANUARY 10, 1975	

• UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

NEW YORK

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
360753	LODI, TOWN OF (SENECA CO.)	JULY 26, 1974
361530A	LODI, VILLAGE OF (SENECA CO)	FEBRUARY 28, 1975 AND JANUARY 16, 1976
360083A	LYNDON, TOWN OF (CATTARAUGUS CO.)	AUGUST 09, 1974
360371	LYONSDALE, TOWN OF (LEWIS CO.)	AUGUST 16, 1974
360084	MACHIAS, TOWN OF (CATTARAUGUS CO.)	OCTOBER 18, 1974
361292	MADISON, TOWN OF (MADISON CO.)	DECEMBER 20, 1974
360085	MANSFIELD, TOWN OF (CATTARAUGUS CO.)	MAY 31, 1974
361327	MARATHON, TOWN OF (CORTLAND CO.)	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
361272	MARYLAND, TOWN OF (OTSEGO CO.)	OCTOBER 18, 1974
361132	MAYFIELD, TOWN (FULTON CO)	JANUARY 17, 1975
361483	MAYFIELD, VILLAGE OF (FULTON CO.)	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
360207	MEREDITH, TOWN OF (DELAWARE CO.)	JUNE 28, 1974
360654	MEXICO, TOWN OF (OSWEGO CO.)	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
360209	MIDDLETOWN, TOWN OF (DELAWARE CO.)	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
361352	MILFORD, VILLAGE (OTSEGO CO)	JANUARY 10, 1975
361153	MINERVA, TOWN OF (ESSEX CO.)	DECEMBER 20, 1974
361125	MOIRA, TOWN OF (FRANKLIN CO.)	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
361383	MOOERS, TOWN OF (CLINTON)	FEBRUARY 14, 1975
361488	MOOERS, VILLAGE (CLINTON CO)	JANUARY 03, 1975
360117	MORAVIA, TOWN OF (CAYUGA CO.)	JUNE 14, 1974
361389	MORIAH, TOWN OF (ESSEX CO.)	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
360706	MORRISTOWN, TOWN OF (ST. LAWRENCE CO.)	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
360406	MORRISVILLE, VILLAGE OF (MADISON CO.)	MARCH 08, 1974
361353	MORRIS, VILLAGE OF (OTSEGO CO.)	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
360086A	NAPOLI, TOWN OF (CATTARAUGUS CO.)	JUNE 14, 1974 AND JANUARY 02, 1976
361155	NASSAU, TOWN OF (RENSSELAER CO.)	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
360675A	NASSAU, VILLAGE OF (RENSSELAER CO.)	MARCH 22, 1974 AND MARCH 05, 1976
360453	NELLISTON, VILLAGE OF (MONTGOMERY CO.)	FEBRUARY 15, 1974
361420	NEW LISBON, TOWN OF (OTSEGO CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
360836	NEWARK VALLEY, VIL (TIOGA CO)	JUNE 07, 1974
361111	NEWPORT, TOWN OF (HERKIMER CO.)	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
360838	NICHOLS, VILLAGE OF (TIOGA CO.)	JUNE 07, 1974
361089	NORTH NORWICH, TOWN OF (CHENANGO CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
361400	NORTHAMPTON, TOWN OF (FULTON CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
361408	OHIO, TOWN (HERKIMER CO)	JANUARY 03, 1975
361134	OPPENHEIM, TOWN OF (FULTON CO.)	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
361354	ORISKANY FALLS, VILLAGE OF (ONEIDA CO.)	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
361262	ORWELL, TOWN OF (OSWEGO CO.)	OCTOBER 25, 1974
360657A	OSWEGO, TOWN OF (OSWEGO CO.)	MAY 10, 1974 AND SEPTEMBER 12, 1975
360589	OTISCO, TOWN OF (ONONDAGA CO.)	MAY 31, 1974
361090	OTSELIC, TOWN OF (CHENANGO CO.)	DECEMBER 20, 1974
360090A	OTTO, TOWN OF (CATTARAUGUS CO.)	MAY 31, 1974 AND SEPTEMBER 19, 1975
361413	PALATINE, TOWN OF (MONTGOMERY CO.)	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
361263	PALERMO, TOWN OF (OSWEGO COU)	FEBRUARY 07, 1975

• UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

NEW YORK

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
360346A	PAMELIA, TOWN OF (JEFFERSON CO.)	OCTOBER 18, 1974
361425	PARISHVILLE, TOWN (ST. LAWRENCE CO)	JANUARY 17, 1975
361357	PARISH, TOWN OF (OSWEGO CO.)	DECEMBER 27, 1974
361341	PAWLING, TOWN OF (DUTCHESS CO.)	OCTOBER 25, 1974
360091	PERRYSBURG, TOWN OF (CATTARAUGUS CO.)	MAY 17, 1974
360946	PERRY, TOWN OF (WYOMING CO.)	JUNE 28, 1974
361426	PIERCEFIELD, TOWN OF (ST. LAWRENCE CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
361427	PIERREPONT, TOWN (ST. LAWERENCE CO)	JANUARY 17, 1975
361027	PIKE, VILLAGE OF (WYOMING CO.)	AUGUST 09, 1974
360375	PINCKNEY, TOWN OF (LEWIS CO.)	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
361141A	PINE PLAINS, TOWN OF (DUTCHESS CO.)	DECEMBER 20, 1974 AND DECEMBER 19, 1975
361277	PITTSFIELD, TOWN OF (OTSEGO CO.)	OCTOBER 25, 1974
361278	PLAINFIELD, TOWN OF (OTSEGO CO.)	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
361029	PORTAGE, TOWN OF (LIVINGSTON CO.)	AUGUST 16, 1974
361306	PRESTON, TOWN (CHENANGO CO)	JANUARY 17, 1975
361356	PROSPECT, VILLAGE OF (ONEIDA CO.)	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
361190	PROVIDENCE, TOWN OF (SARATOGA CO.)	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
360781	RATHBONE, TOWN OF (STEUBEN CO.)	AUGUST 02, 1974
361548	RED CREEK, VILLAGE (WAYNE CO)	JANUARY 10, 1975
361366	RED HOUSE, TOWN OF (CATTARAQUA CO)	FEBRUARY 28, 1975
361265	REDFIELD, TOWN OF (OSWEGO CO.)	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
360014	RENSSELAERVILLE, TOWN OF (ALBANY CO.)	DECEMBER 06, 1974
360032A	RICHBURG, VILLAGE OF (ALLEGANY CO.)	AUGUST 09, 1974 AND JANUARY 23, 1976
361279	RICHFIELD, TOWN OF (OTSEGO CO.)	OCTOBER 18, 1974
361216	RICHFORD, TOWN OF (TIOGA CO.)	DECEMBER 20, 1974
361467	RICHVILLE, VILLAGE (ST. LAWERENCE CO)	JANUARY 10, 1975
361257A	RIDGEWAY, TOWN OF (ORLEANS COU)	FEBRUARY 07, 1975 AND JANUARY 16, 1976
361035A	ROSLYN HARBOR, VILLAGE OF (NASSAU CO)	JUNE 28, 1974 AND DECEMBER 19, 1975
361186A	ROSSIE, TOWN OF (ST. LAWRENCE CO.)	NOVEMBER 01, 1974 AND MARCH 26, 1976
361428	RUSSEL, TOWN OF (ST. LAWRENCE)	FEBRUARY 14, 1975
361121	RUSSIA, TOWN OF (HERKIMER CO.)	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
360317	SALISBURY, TOWN OF (HERKIMER CO.)	JUNE 07, 1974
361358	SANDY CREEK, VILLAGE OF (OSWEGO CO.)	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
360171	SARANAC, TOWN (CLINTON CO)	APRIL 18, 1975
361229	SAVANNAH, TOWN OF (WAYNE CO.)	DECEMBER 20, 1974
361448	SCARSDALE, TOWN (WESTCHESTER CO)	APRIL 11, 1975
360172	SCHUYLER FALLS, TOWN OF (CLINTON CO.)	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
360122	SCIPIO, TOWN OF (CAYUGA CO.)	MAY 31, 1974
361549A	SHARON SPRINGS, VILLAGE (SCHOHARIE CO)	JANUARY 10, 1975
361258A	SHELBY, TOWN OF (ORLEANS CO.)	NOVEMBER 08, 1974 AND DECEMBER 12, 1975
361373	SHERMAN, TOWN OF (CHAUTAUQUA CO.)	JANUARY 17, 1975

• UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

NEW YORK

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
360099	SOUTH CAYTON, VILLAGE OF (CATTARUUGUS CO.)	MAY 31, 1974
360100	SOUTH VALLEY, TOWN OF (CATTARAUGUS CO.)	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
361288	SPARTA, TOWN OF (LIVINGSTON CO.)	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
361471A	SPENCER, VILLAGE OF (TIOGA CO.)	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
361118	STAFFORD, TOWN (GENESEE CO)	JANUARY 24, 1975
361170	STEPHENTOWN, TOWN OF (RENSSELAER CO.)	DECEMBER 20, 1974
360126	STERLING, TOWN OF (CAYUGA CO.)	JULY 26, 1974
360555	STEUBEN, TOWN OF (ONEIDA CO.)	JUNE 28, 1974
361429	STOCKHOLM, TOWN (ST. LAWERENCE CO)	JANUARY 03, 1975
361081A	STOCKTON, TOWN OF (CHAUTAUQUA CO.)	NOVEMBER 01, 1974 AND DECEMBER 19, 1975
361136	STRATFORD, TOWN OF (FULTON CO.)	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
361157	ST. ARMAND, TOWN OF (ESSEX CO.)	OCTOBER 25, 1974
360456	ST. JOHNSVILLE, TOWN OF (MONTGOMERY CO.)	AUGUST 16, 1974
361213	THURSTON, TOWN OF (STEUBEN CO.)	OCTOBER 25, 1974
360966A	TORREY, TOWN OF (YATES CO.)	MAY 31, 1974 AND JANUARY 09, 1976
360557	TRENTON, VILLAGE OF (ONEIDA CO.)	AUGUST 30, 1974
360055A	TRIANGLE, TOWN OF (BROOME CO.)	APRIL 05, 1974 AND NOVEMBER 21, 1975
361436	TROUPSBURG, TOWN OF (STEUBEN CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
360561	VERONA, TOWN OF (ONEIDA CO.)	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
361057	VETERAN, TOWN OF (CHEMUNG CO.)	OCTOBER 25, 1974
360131A	VICTORY, TOWN OF (CAYUGA CO.)	JULY 26, 1974 AND MARCH 05, 1976
360733	VICTORY, VILLAGE OF (SARATOGA CO.)	APRIL 05, 1974
361102	VILLENOVA, TOWN OF (CHAUTAUQUA CO.)	OCTOBER 18, 1974
361228A	WALWORTH, TOWN OF (WAYNE CO.)	DECEMBER 13, 1974 AND OCTOBER 10, 1975
361485	WAMPSVILLE, VILLAGE (MADISON CO)	JANUARY 17, 1975
361369	WARD, TOWN (ALLEGANY CO)	JANUARY 17, 1975
360563	WATERVILLE, VILLAGE OF (ONEIDA CO.)	JUNE 14, 1974
361112	WELLS, TOWN OF (HAMILTON CO.)	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
360564	WESTERN, TOWN OF (ONEIDA CO.)	AUGUST 02, 1974
361282	WESTFORD, TOWN OF (OTSEGO CO.)	OCTOBER 25, 1974
361246	WETHERSFIELD, TOWN OF (WYOMING CO.)	DECEMBER 13, 1974
361238	WHITE CREEK, TOWN OF (WASHINGTON CO.)	OCTOBER 18, 1974
361331	WILLET, TOWN OF (CORTLAND CO.)	DECEMBER 20, 1974
361267	WILLIAMSTOWN, TOWN OF (OSWEGO CO.)	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
360267	WILLSBORO, TOWN OF (ESSEX CO.)	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
361161	WILMINGTON, TOWN (ESSEX CO)	JANUARY 17, 1975
361103	WIRT, TOWN (ALLEGANY CO)	APRIL 11, 1975
360901	WOLCOTT, TOWN OF (WAYNE CO.)	JUNE 28, 1974
361283	WORCESTER, TOWN OF (OTSEGO CO.)	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
361409A	WORTH, TOWN (JEFFERSON CO)	JANUARY 17, 1975 AND JANUARY 02, 1976

• UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

NEW YORK

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
361202	WRIGHT, TOWN OF (SCHOHARIE CO.)	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
360952	WYOMING, VILLAGE OF (WYOMING CO.)	MAY 17, 1974
361104	YORKSHIRE, TOWN (CATTARAUGUS CO)	APRIL 11, 1975

TOTAL IN THE STATE 255

• UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

NORTH CAROLINA

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED	
370210	CHINA GROVE, TOWN OF [ROWAN CO.]	JANUARY 09, 1974	
370235A	INDIAN TRAIL, CITY OF [UNION CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974	AND
		MARCH 26, 1976	
370138	JOHNSTON COUNTY	JANUARY 03, 1975	
370144	LENOIR COUNTY •	DECEMBER 27, 1974	
370090	MACCLESFIELD, TOWN OF [EDGECOMBE CO.]	JANUARY 09, 1974	
370101	MCADENVILLE, CITY OF [GASTON CO.]	JUNE 21, 1974	
370349	ORRUM, TOWN [ROBESON CO]	APRIL 25, 1975	
370106	ROBBINSVILLE, TOWN OF [GRAHAM CO.]	JUNE 14, 1974	
370258	RONDA, TOWN OF [WILKES CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974	
370220	SAMPSON COUNTY •	DECEMBER 20, 1974	
370005	SPARTA, CITY [ALLEGANY CO.]	FEBRUARY 15, 1974	
370093A	SPEED, TOWN OF [EDGECOMBE CO.]	JANUARY 09, 1974	AND
		APRIL 02, 1976	
370075	VANCEBORO, TOWN OF [CRAVEN CO.]	MARCH 01, 1974	
370254	WAYNE COUNTY •	DECEMBER 27, 1974	
370269	WEAVERVILLE, TOWN OF [BUNCOMBE CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974	
370256	WILKES COUNTY •	DECEMBER 20, 1974	

TOTAL IN THE STATE 16

• UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

NORTH DAKOTA

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED	
380154	ANAMOOSE, CITY [MCHENRY CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975	
380156	ARTHUR, CITY OF (CASS)	FEBRUARY 14, 1975	
380080	BATHGATE, CITY OF [PEMBINA CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974	
380158	BINFORD, CITY OF (GRIGGS)	FEBRUARY 14, 1975	
380128	BISBEE, CITY OF (TOWNER CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975	
380216	BOW BELLS, CITY OF (BURKE COU)	FEBRUARY 07, 1975	
380160	BUFFALO, CITY OF (CASS)	FEBRUARY 14, 1975	
380217A	CANDO, CITY [TOWNER CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975	AND
		SEPTEMBER 26, 1975	
380121	COURTENAY, CITY OF [STUTSMAN CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974	
380093	CRARY, CITY OF [RAMSEY CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974	
380039	DAWSON, CITY OF [KIDDER CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974	
380027	DODGE, CITY [DUNN CO]	APRIL 25, 1975	
380222	DRAKE, CITY OF (MC HENRY CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975	
380225A	ELLENDALE, CITY OF (DICKEY CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975	AND
		SEPTEMBER 12, 1975	
380227	FINLEY, CITY OF (STEELE)	FEBRUARY 14, 1975	
380169	FLAXTON, CITY OF (BURKE COU)	FEBRUARY 07, 1975	
380035	GILBY, CITY OF [GRAND FORKS CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974	
380174	GLENBURN, CITY OF (RENVILLE)	FEBRUARY 14, 1975	
380176	GRANVILLE, CITY [MCHENRY CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975	
380177	GRENORA, CITY [WILLIAMS CO]	MAY 02, 1975	
380229	GWINNER, CITY [SARGENT CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975	
380094	HAMPDEN, CITY OF [RAMSEY CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974	
380178	HANNAFORD, CITY [GRIGGS CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975	
380131A	HATTON, CITY OF [TRAIL CO.]	MAY 10, 1974	
380048	KARLSRUHE, CITY [MCHENRY CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975	
380234	KENMARE, CITY [WARD CO]	MAY 02, 1975	
380075A	LAKOTA, CITY OF [NELSON CO.]	MAY 03, 1974	AND
		DECEMBER 26, 1975	
380184	LANSFORD, CITY OF (BOTTINEAU)	FEBRUARY 14, 1975	
380095	LAWTON, CITY OF [RAMSEY CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974	
380003A	LEEDS, CITY OF [BENSON CO.]	APRIL 05, 1974	AND
		JANUARY 02, 1976	
380043	LEHR, CITY OF [LOGAN + MCINTOSH COS.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974	
380237	LIDGERWOOD, CITY OF (RICHLAND)	FEBRUARY 14, 1975	
380187	LITCHVILLE, CITY [BARNES CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975	
380004A	MADDOCK, CITY OF [BENSON CO.]	MARCH 08, 1974	AND
		NOVEMBER 15, 1974	
380037	MANVEL, CITY [GRAND FORKS CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975	
380115	MARMARTH, CITY OF [SLOPE CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974	
380008	MAXBASS, CITY OF [BOTTINEAU CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974	
380060	MAX, CITY OF [MCLEAN CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974	
380238	MCVILLE, CITY OF (NELSON)	FEBRUARY 14, 1975	
380124	MEDINA, CITY OF [STUTSMAN CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974	
380240	MINNEWAUKAN, CITY [BENSON CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975	
380125	MONTPELIER, CITY OF [STUTSMAN CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974	
380189	MUNICH, CITY [CAVALIER CO]	JANUARY 17, 1975	
380031A	NEW ROCKFORD, CITY OF [EDDY CO.]	NOVEMBER 23, 1973	AND

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

NORTH DAKOTA

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
380243	NEW SALEM, CITY OF (MORTON CO)	APRIL 16, 1976
380009	NEWBURG, CITY OF (BOTTINEAU CO.)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
380192	OSNABROCK, CITY OF (CAVALIER CO.)	DECEMBER 06, 1974
380193	PAGE, CITY OF (CASS)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
380073	PARSHALL, CITY OF (MOUNTRAIL CO.)	FEBRUARY 14, 1975
380197	REEDER, CITY (ADAMS CO)	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
380129	ROCK LAKE, CITY OF (TOWNER CO.)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
380088A	RUGBY, CITY OF (PIERCE CO.)	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
		MARCH 22, 1974 AND
		OCTOBER 03, 1975
380202	SANBORN, CITY OF (BARNES CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
380145	SAWYER, CITY OF (WARD CO)	JANUARY 31, 1975
380203	SHARON, CITY OF (STEELE)	FEBRUARY 14, 1975
380204	SHERWOOD, CITY OF (RENVILLE)	FEBRUARY 14, 1975
380010	SOURIS, CITY OF (BOTTINEAU CO.)	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
380096	STARKWEATHER, CITY OF (RAMSEY CO.)	DECEMBER 06, 1974
380251	STEELE, CITY OF (KIDDER CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
380252	STRASBURG, CITY OF (EMMONS)	FEBRUARY 14, 1975
380127	STREETER, CITY OF (STUTSMAN CO.)	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
380249	ST. THOMAS, CITY OF (PEMBINA CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
380040	TAPPEN, CITY OF (KIDDER CO.)	DECEMBER 06, 1974
380208	THOMPSON, CITY OF (GRAND FORKS)	FEBRUARY 14, 1975
380210	TOWER CITY, CITY (BARNES CO.)	JANUARY 10, 1975
380253	TOWNER, CITY OF (MCHENRY CO)	JANUARY 31, 1975
380061A	TURTLE LAKE, CITY OF (MCLEAN CO.)	MARCH 22, 1974
380041	TUTTLE, CITY OF (KIDDER CO.)	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
380050	UPHAM, CITY OF (MCHENRY CO.)	DECEMBER 06, 1974
380063A	WASHBURN, CITY OF (MCLEAN CO.)	MARCH 22, 1974 AND
		JANUARY 16, 1976
380074	WHITE EARTH, CITY OF (MOUNTRAIL CO.)	DECEMBER 20, 1974
380011	WILLOW CITY, CITY OF (BOTTINEAU CO.)	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
380065A	WILTON, CITY OF (MCLEAN AND BURLEIGH CO.)	MAY 24, 1974
380212	WIMBLEDON, CITY OF (BARNES)	FEBRUARY 14, 1975

TOTAL IN THE STATE 74

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

OHIO

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
390205	ADDYSTON, VILLAGE OF (HAMILTON CO.)	MARCH 01, 1974
390727	ALBANY, VILLAGE OF (ATHENS)	FEBRUARY 14, 1975
390329	ALEXANDRIA, VILLAGE OF (LICKING CO.)	MAY 31, 1974
390435	ANTWERP, VILLAGE OF (PAULDING CO.)	MARCH 29, 1974
390207A	ARLINGTON HEIGHTS, VILLAGE OF (HAMILTON CO.)	FEBRUARY 01, 1974 AND
		DECEMBER 05, 1975
390159A	BALTIMORE, VILLAGE OF (FAIRFIELD CO.)	JUNE 21, 1974 AND
		APRIL 16, 1976
390024	BARNESVILLE, VILLAGE OF (BELMONT CO.)	JUNE 07, 1974
390650	BERLIN HEIGHTS, VILLAGE OF (ERIE CO.)	APRIL 05, 1974
390674	BETHESDA, VILLAGE OF (BELMONT COU)	FEBRUARY 07, 1975
390500	BETTSVILLE, VILLAGE OF (SENECA CO.)	APRIL 12, 1974
390074	BLANCHESTER, VILLAGE OF (CLINTON CO.)	APRIL 05, 1974
390728	BUCHTEL, VILLAGE OF (ATHENS COU)	FEBRUARY 07, 1975
390753	BURBANK, VILLAGE (WAYNE CO)	APRIL 18, 1975
390488	BURGOON, VILLAGE OF (SANDUSKY CO.)	AUGUST 02, 1974
390719	BUTLERVILLE, VILLAGE OF (WARREN)	FEBRUARY 14, 1975
390461	CAMDEN, VILLAGE OF (PREBLE CO.)	MAY 10, 1974
390607	CEDARVILLE, VIL (GREENE CO)	JANUARY 10, 1975
390017A	CHAUNCEY, VILLAGE OF (ATHENS CO.)	JUNE 21, 1974 AND
		APRIL 16, 1976
390608	CHESAPEAKE, VIL (LAWERENCE CO)	JANUARY 10, 1975
390056	CHRISTIANSBURG, VILLAGE OF (CHAMPAIGN CO.)	AUGUST 09, 1974
390326	COAL GROVE, VILLAGE OF (LAWRENCE CO.)	JUNE 14, 1974
390291	COALTON, VILLAGE OF (JACKSON CO.)	FEBRUARY 01, 1974
390466	COLUMBUS GROVE, VILLAGE OF (PUTNAM CO.)	FEBRUARY 08, 1974
390711	COMMERCIAL POINT, VILLAGE OF (PICKAWAY COU)	JANUARY 31, 1975
390575	CRESTON, VILLAGE OF (WAYNE CO.)	FEBRUARY 01, 1974
390187	CROWN CTY, VILLAGE (GALLIA CO)	JANUARY 10, 1975
390654	CUYAHOGA HEIGHTS, VILLAGE OF (CUYAHOGA CO.)	MARCH 29, 1974
390712	DARBYVILLE, VILLAGE OF (PICKAWAY COU)	FEBRUARY 07, 1975
390049	DELLROY, VILLAGE OF (CARROLL CO.)	AUGUST 09, 1974
390061	DONNELSVILLE, VILLAGE OF (CLARK CO.)	FEBRUARY 01, 1974
390720	DOYLESTOWN, VILLAGE OF (WAYNE)	FEBRUARY 14, 1975
390467	DUPONT, VILLAGE OF (PUTNAM CO.)	AUGUST 09, 1974
390747	EDISON, VILLAGE (MORROW CO)	APRIL 18, 1975
390714	ELDORADO, VILLAGE OF (PREBLE CO)	MARCH 28, 1975
390656A	ELIDA, VILLAGE OF (ALLEN CO.)	MARCH 29, 1974
390214	EVENDALE, VILLAGE OF (HAMILTON CO.)	MARCH 01, 1974
390468	FORT JENNINGS, VILLAGE OF (PUTNAM CO.)	MAY 31, 1974
390395	FORT RECOVERY, VILLAGE OF (MERCER CO.)	JUNE 07, 1974
390426	FRAZEYSBURG, VILLAGE OF (MUCKINGHAM CO.)	AUGUST 30, 1974
390185	GALLIA COUNTY *	DECEMBER 27, 1974
390469	GILBOA, VILLAGE OF (PUTNAM CO.)	AUGUST 09, 1974
390442	GLENFORD, VILLAGE OF (PERRY CO.)	AUGUST 23, 1974
390614	GRAFTON, VILLAGE OF (LORAIN CO.)	DECEMBER 20, 1974
390554	HAMDEN, VILLAGE OF (VINTON CO.)	FEBRUARY 01, 1974
390264	HAMLER, VILLAGE OF (HENRY CO.)	APRIL 12, 1974

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

OHIO

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
390699	HANGING ROCK, VILLAGE OF (LAWRENCE CO)	MARCH 28, 1975
390250	HARDIN COUNTY	JANUARY 03, 1975
390255	HARRISON COUNTY *	JANUARY 31, 1975
390331	HARTFORD, VILLAGE OF (LICKING CO.)	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
390505	JACKSON CENTER, VILLAGE OF (SHELBY CO.)	MAY 31, 1974
390019A	JACKSONVILLE, VILLAGE OF (ATHENS CO.)	MAY 17, 1974
390296	JENERA, VILLAGE OF (HANCOCK CO.)	AUGUST 09, 1974
390471	KALIDA, VILLAGE OF (PUTNUM CO.)	MARCH 01, 1974
390201	KIMBOLTON, VILLAGE OF (GUERNSEY CO.)	DECEMBER 06, 1974
390306	KNOX COUNTY *	JANUARY 31, 1975
390270	LEESBURG, VILLAGE OF (HIGHLAND CO.)	APRIL 05, 1974
390050	LEESVILLE, VILLAGE OF (CARROLL CO.)	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
390085	LISBON, VILLAGE OF (COLUMBIANA CO.)	APRIL 12, 1974
390691	LOCKBOURNE, VILLAGE OF (FRANKLIN COU)	JANUARY 31, 1975
390223	LOCKLAND, CITY OF (HAMILTON CO.)	FEBRUARY 15, 1974
390661A	LUCAS, VILLAGE OF (RICHLAND CO.)	APRIL 05, 1974 AND
		SEPTEMBER 24, 1975
390750	MACEDONIA, VILLAGE (SUMMIT CO)	APRIL 18, 1975
390748	MARBLEHEAD, VILLAGE (OTTAWA CO)	APRIL 18, 1975
390226A	MARIEMONT, VILLAGE OF (HAMILTON CO.)	FEBRUARY 08, 1974 AND
		JANUARY 10, 1975
390756	MARSEILLES, VILLAGE (WYANDOT CO)	APRIL 18, 1975
390254	MCGUFFEY, VILLAGE OF (HARDIN CO.)	MAY 10, 1974
390378	MEDINA COUNTY	JANUARY 10, 1975
390392	MERCER COUNTY *	FEBRUARY 14, 1975
390155	MILAN, VILLAGE OF (ERIE CO.)	APRIL 12, 1974
390689	MILLERSPORT, VILLAGE OF (FAIRFIELD COU)	JANUARY 31, 1975
390091	MILLVILLE, VILLAGE OF (BUTLER CO.)	JUNE 07, 1974
390409	MONROE COUNTY *	FEBRUARY 14, 1975
390396	MONTEZUMA, VILLAGE OF (MERCER CO.)	AUGUST 09, 1974
390581	MONTPELIER, VILLAGE OF (WILLIAMS CO.)	MAY 31, 1974
390420	MORGAN COUNTY	JANUARY 10, 1975
390746	MORRAL, VILLAGE (MARION CO)	APRIL 18, 1975
390249	MOUNT CORY, VILLAGE OF (HANCOCK CO.)	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
390722	NEVADA, VILLAGE OF (WYANDOT)	FEBRUARY 14, 1975
390709	NEW STRAITSVILLE, VILLAGE OF (PERRY COU)	FEBRUARY 07, 1975
390119	NEWBURGH HEIGHTS, VILLAGE OF (CUYAHOGA CO.)	MARCH 15, 1974
390428	NOBLE COUNTY	JANUARY 10, 1975
390285A	NORTH FAIRFIELD, VILLAGE OF (HURON CO.)	MARCH 15, 1974 AND
		APRIL 09, 1976
390679	NORTH HAMPTON, VILLAGE OF (CLARK COU)	FEBRUARY 07, 1975
390736	NORTH RANDALL, VILLAGE (CUYAHOGA CO)	APRIL 18, 1975
390726	NORTHFIELD, VILLAGE OF (SUMMIT COU)	FEBRUARY 07, 1975
390433A	OAK HARBOR, VILLAGE OF (OTTAWA CO.)	MARCH 01, 1974 AND
		APRIL 16, 1976
390176	OBETZ, VILLAGE OF (FRANKLIN CO.)	FEBRUARY 15, 1974
390751	ORANGEVILLE, VILLAGE (TRUMBULL CO)	APRIL 18, 1975

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

OHIO

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
390737	ORANGE, VILLAGE (CUYAHOGA CO)	APRIL 18, 1975
390141	OSGOOD, VILLAGE OF (DARKE CO.)	AUGUST 30, 1974
390150A	OSTRANDER, VILLAGE OF (DELAWARE CO.)	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
390680	OWENSVILLE, VILLAGE OF (CLERMONT CO)	MARCH 28, 1975
390939	PAYNE, VILLAGE OF (PAULDING CO.)	MAY 03, 1974
390162A	PICKERINGTON, VILLAGE OF (FAIRFIELD CO.)	JUNE 28, 1974
390287	PLYMOUTH, VILLAGE OF (HURON CO.)	MAY 03, 1974
390453	PORTAGE COUNTY *	DECEMBER 27, 1974
390700	PROCTORVILLE, VILLAGE (LAWRENCE CO.)	APRIL 18, 1975
390499	RARDEN, VILLAGE OF (SCIOTO CO.)	AUGUST 23, 1974
390692	RIVERLEA, VILLAGE OF (FRANKLIN COU)	JANUARY 31, 1975
390416A	RIVERSIDE, VILLAGE OF (MONTGOMERY CO.)	FEBRUARY 15, 1974
390695	ROGERS, VILLAGE OF (COLUMBIANA CO.)	MARCH 22, 1974
390086	SALEM, CITY OF (COLUMBIANA CO.)	MAY 03, 1974
390706	SARAHSVILLE, VILLAGE OF (NOBLE CO)	MARCH 28, 1975
390151	SHAWNEE HILLS, VILLAGE OF (DELAWARE CO.)	FEBRUARY 08, 1974
390710	SHAWNEE, VILLAGE OF (PERRY COU)	FEBRUARY 07, 1975
390503	SHELBY COUNTY *	DECEMBER 20, 1974
390054	SHERRODSVILLE, VILLAGE OF (CARROLL CO.)	AUGUST 09, 1974
390697	SHREVE, VILLAGE OF (WAYNE CO.)	MARCH 29, 1974
390312	SOUTH MOUNT VERNON, VILLAGE OF (KNOX CO.)	JUNE 21, 1974
390485	SOUTH SALEM, VILLAGE OF (CROSS CO.)	AUGUST 23, 1974
390059	ST. PARIS, VILLAGE OF (CHAMPAIGN CO.)	JUNE 07, 1974
390546	SUGAR CREEK, VILLAGE OF (TUSCARAWAS CO.)	MAY 31, 1974
390690	THURSTON, VILLAGE OF (FAIRFIELD COU)	JANUARY 31, 1975
390755	TONTOGANY, VILLAGE (WOOD CO)	APRIL 18, 1975
390704	UNION, VILLAGE OF (MONTGOMERY)	FEBRUARY 14, 1975
390698	VAN BUREN, VILLAGE OF (HANCOCK CO.)	MARCH 22, 1974
390475	VAUGHNSVILLE, TOWN OF (PUTNAM CO.)	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
390464	VERNONA, VILLAGE OF (PREBLE CO.)	OCTOBER 18, 1974
390189	VINTON, VILLAGE OF (GALLIA CO.)	DECEMBER 27, 1974
390699	WAITE HILL, VILLAGE OF (LAKE CO.)	DECEMBER 17, 1973
390288	WAKEMAN, VILLAGE OF (HURON CO.)	NOVEMBER 09, 1973
390733	WARSAW, VILLAGE (COSHOCTON CO)	APRIL 18, 1975
390087	WASHINGTONVILLE, VILLAGE OF (COLUMBIANA & MAHONING	NOVEMBER 09, 1973
390574	WAYNE COUNTY	JANUARY 03, 1975
390565	WAYNESVILLE, VILLAGE OF (WARREN CO.)	NOVEMBER 09, 1973
390293	WELLSTON, CITY OF (JACKSON CO.)	FEBRUARY 15, 1974
390072A	WILLIAMSBURG, VILLAGE OF (CLERMONT CO.)	MARCH 29, 1974
390459	WINDHAM, VILLAGE OF (PORTAGE CO.)	MARCH 15, 1974
390345	ZANESFIELD, VILLAGE OF (LOGAN CO.)	OCTOBER 18, 1974
390752	ZOAR, VILLAGE (TUSCARAWAS CO)	APRIL 18, 1975

TOTAL IN THE STATE 130

• UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	OKLAHOMA NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
400074A	ADDINGTON, TOWN OF [JEFFERSON CO.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974 AND APRIL 16, 1976
400038A	BOSWELL, TOWN OF [CHOCTAW CO.]	MARCH 15, 1974
400051A	BRISTOW, CITY OF [CREEK CO]	JUNE 28, 1974 AND DECEMBER 26, 1975
400149	BURBANK, TOWN [OSAGE CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
400175A	BYNG, TOWN OF [PONTOTOC CO.]	AUGUST 30, 1974 AND DECEMBER 05, 1975
400187A	CHELSEA, CITY OF [ROGERS CO.]	DECEMBER 28, 1973 AND DECEMBER 12, 1975
400183	CHEYENNE, TOWN OF [ROGER MILLS CO.]	JUNE 28, 1974
400225	CORN, TOWN [WASHITA CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
400122	COUNCIL HILL, TOWN OF [MUSKOGEE CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
400226A	DACOMA, TOWN OF [WOODS CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974 AND DECEMBER 12, 1975
400204A	DAVIDSON, TOWN OF [TILLMAN CO.]	JULY 26, 1974
400229A	FORT SUPPLY, TOWN OF [WOODWARD CO.]	MAY 24, 1974 AND JANUARY 16, 1976
400039	FORT TOWSON, TOWN [CHOCTAW CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
400194	GANS, TOWN OF [SEQUOYAH CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
400380	GARBER, CITY [GARFIELD CO.]	APRIL 25, 1975
400032	GENE AUTRY, TOWN OF [CARTER CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
400013A	GREENFIELD, TOWN OF [BLAINE CO.]	AUGUST 30, 1974
400167	HAILEYVILLE, CITY OF [PITTSBURG CO.]	JULY 26, 1974
400140	HARRAH, TOWN OF [OKLAHOMA CO.]	AUGUST 02, 1974
400024A	HYDRO, TOWN OF [CADDO CO.]	JULY 26, 1974 AND DECEMBER 05, 1975
400057A	JAY, TOWN OF [DELAWARE CO]	JUNE 28, 1974 AND JANUARY 16, 1976
400007	JET, TOWN OF [ALFALFA CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
400071	KINTA, CITY OF [HASKELL CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
400169A	KREBS, CITY OF [PITTSBURG CO.]	DECEMBER 28, 1973 AND JANUARY 09, 1976
400298	LEEDEY, TOWN [DEWEY CO.]	MAY 02, 1975
400096	LOGAN COUNTY •	DECEMBER 27, 1974
400085A	LONE WOLF, TOWN OF [KIOWA CO.]	MAY 03, 1974
400014	LONGDALE, TOWN OF [BLAINE CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
400083	LOYAL, TOWN [KINGFISHER CO]	APRIL 25, 1975
400113	MENO, TOWN OF [MAJOR CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
400217A	OKAY, TOWN OF [WAGONER CO.]	AUGUST 16, 1974 AND FEBRUARY 06, 1976
400015A	OKEENE, TOWN OF [BLAINE CO]	JUNE 28, 1974 AND DECEMBER 05, 1975
400158	PEORIA, TOWN OF [OTTAWA CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
400127A	PORUM, TOWN OF [MUSKOGEE CO.]	JUNE 28, 1974 AND NOVEMBER 28, 1975
400437	RED OAK, TOWN OF [LATIMER CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
400176	ROFF, CITY OF [PONTOTOC CO.]	MARCH 22, 1974
400088A	ROOSEVELT, TOWN OF [KIOWA CO.]	NOVEMBER 01, 1974 AND FEBRUARY 13, 1976
400061	SHATTUCK, TOWN OF [ELLIS CO.]	MAY 24, 1974
400128A	TAFT, TOWN OF [MUSCOGEE CO.]	JUNE 28, 1974 AND DECEMBER 12, 1975
400055	THOMAS, CITY OF [CUSTER CO.]	APRIL 05, 1974
400077	TISHOMINGO, CITY OF [JOHNSTON CO.]	JANUARY 16, 1974
400079A	TONKAWA, CITY OF [KAY CO.]	NOVEMBER 23, 1973 AND FEBRUARY 20, 1976
400180	WANETTE, TOWN OF [POTTAWATOMIE CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
400109	WRIGHT CITY, TOWN [MCCURTAIN CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
400161A	WYANDOTTE, TOWN OF [OTTAWA CO.]	JUNE 28, 1974 AND DECEMBER 12, 1975

TOTAL IN THE STATE 45

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

OREGON

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
410037	PRESCOTT, CITY [COLUMBIA CO.]	JANUARY 10, 1975
410166	ST. PAUL, CITY OF [MARION CO.]	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
	TOTAL IN THE STATE 2	

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

PENNSYLVANIA

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
422508	ADDISON, TOWNSHIP [SOMERSET CO.]	JANUARY 03, 1975
421667	ALEPPO, TOWNSHIP OF [GREENE CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
422341	ALLEGHENY, TOWNSHIP OF (BUTLER CO)	MARCH 28, 1975
422529	ALLEGHENY, TOWNSHIP [VENANGO CO.]	JANUARY 17, 1975
422509	ALLEGHENY, TOWNSHIP [SOMERSET CO.]	JANUARY 03, 1975
421928	ALLEN, TOWNSHIP OF [NORTHAMPTON CO.]	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
422361	ASHLAND, TOWNSHIP [CLARION CO.]	JANUARY 10, 1975
422297	ATWOOD, BOROUGH OF (ARMSTRONG CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
422428	AYR, TOWNSHIP OF (FULTON COU)	FEBRUARY 07, 1975
422435	BANKS, TOWNSHIP [INDIANA CO.]	JANUARY 17, 1975
421643	BARNETT, TOWNSHIP OF [FOREST CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
422440	BARNETT, TOWNSHIP OF [JEFFERSON CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
421683	BARREE, TOWNSHIP OF [HUNTINGDON CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
422472	BASTRESS, TOWNSHIP OF (LYCOMING COU)	FEBRUARY 07, 1975
421738	BEALE, TOWNSHIP (JUNIATA CO)	DECEMBER 06, 1974
422129	BEALLSVILLE, BOROUGH OF [WASHINGTON CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
422544	BEAR LAKE, BOROUGH OF [WARREN CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
421547	BEAVER, TOWNSHIP OF [COLUMBIA CO.]	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
422032	BEAVER, TOWNSHIP OF [SNYDER CO.]	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
422362	BEAVER, TOWNSHIP [CLARION CO.]	JANUARY 24, 1975
422385	BEAVER, TOWNSHIP OF (CRAWFORD CO)	FEBRUARY 28, 1975
422441	BEAVER, TOWNSHIP [JEFFERSON CO.]	JANUARY 17, 1975
421659	BELFAST, TOWNSHIP OF [FULTON CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
420009A	BELLEVUE, BOROUGH OF [ALLEGHENY CO.]	DECEMBER 28, 1973
422244	BELL, TOWNSHIP OF [JEFFERSON CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
422185	BELL, TOWNSHIP OF [WESTMORELAND CO.]	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
420010	BEN AVON, BOROUGH OF [ALLEGHENY CO.]	DECEMBER 28, 1973
422612	BENEZETTE, TOWNSHIP OF (ELK COU)	FEBRUARY 07, 1975
421749	BENTON, TWP [LACKAWANNA CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
421052	BETHEL, TOWNSHIP OF [BERKS CO.]	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
421606	BETHEL, TWP [DELAWARE CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
420508	BIG RUN, BOROUGH OF [JEFFERSON CO.]	JULY 19, 1974
421973	BINGHAM, TOWNSHIP OF [POTTER CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
420482	BIRMINGHAM, BOROUGH OF [HUNTINGDON CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
421709	BLACK LICK, TOWNSHIP OF [INDIANA CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
422510	BLACK, TOWNSHIP [SOMERSET CO.]	JANUARY 24, 1975
422141	BLAINE, TOWNSHIP OF [WASHINGTON CO.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974
420495A	BLAIRSVILLE, BOROUGH OF [INDIANA CO.]	JULY 26, 1974 AND APRIL 02, 1976
421332	BLOOMFIELD, TOWNSHIP OF (BEDFORD CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
421962	BLOOMING GROVE, TOWNSHIP OF [PIKE CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
421301A	BOGGS, TOWNSHIP OF [ARMSTRONG CO.]	AUGUST 30, 1974 AND APRIL 09, 1976
421515	BOGGS, TOWNSHIP OF [CLEARFIELD CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
420873	BOLIVAR, BOROUGH OF [WESTMORELAND CO.]	JUNE 14, 1974
420794A	BOSWELL, BOROUGH OF [SOMERSET CO.]	JULY 26, 1974 AND APRIL 16, 1976

• UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

PENNSYLVANIA

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
421262	BRADFORD WOODS, BOROCALLEGHENY CO.]	JANUARY 03, 1975
421516	BRADFORD, TOWNSHIP OF [CLEARFIELD CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
421517	BRADY, TOWNSHIP OF [CLEARFIELD CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
422241	BRADY, TOWNSHIP OF (BUTLER CO.)	OCTOBER 25, 1974
420297	BRISBIN, BOROUGH OF [CLEARFIELD CO.]	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
422511	BROTHERSVALLEY, TWP [SOMERSET CO.]	JANUARY 10, 1975
421660	BRUSH CREEK, TOWNSHIP OF (FULTON CO)	MARCH 28, 1975
421710	BRUSH VALLEY, TWP [INDIANA CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
421711	BUFFINGTON, TOWNSHIP OF [INDIANA CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
421054	BURLINGTON, TOWNSHIP OF [BRADFORD CO.]	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
420298	BURNSIDE, BOROUGH OF [CLEARFIELD CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
421303	BURRELL, TOWNSHIP OF [ARMSTRONG CO.]	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
421929	BUSHKILL, TOWNSHIP OF [NORTHAMPTON CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
421304	CADOGAN, TOWNSHIP OF [ARMSTRONG CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
422364	CALLENSBURG, BOROUGH OF (CLARION CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
420213A	CALLERY, BOROUGH OF [BUTLER CO.]	AUGUST 09, 1974
422108	CANAL, TOWNSHIP OF [VENANGO CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
421685	CARBON, TWP [HUNTINGDON CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
421837	CASCADE, TOWNSHIP (LYCOMING CO)	OCTOBER 18, 1974
420795	CASSELMAN, BOROUGH OF [SOMERSET CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
421686	CASS, TOWNSHIP OF [HUNTINGDON CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
420129	CENTERPORT, BOROUGH OF (BERKS CO.)	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
420347	CENTERVILLE, BOROUGH OF (CRAWFORD CO.)	MARCH 28, 1975
421417	CENTER, TOWNSHIP OF [BUTLER CO.]	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
422310	CENTER, TWP [BEAVER CO.]	JANUARY 17, 1975
421056A	CENTRE, TOWNSHIP OF [BERKS CO.]	NOVEMBER 01, 1974 AND NOVEMBER 07, 1975
422251	CHAPMAN, BOROUGH OF [NORTHAMPTON CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
422545	CHERRY GROVE, TOWNSHIP OF [WARREN CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
422530	CHERRYTREE, TOWNSHIP [VENANGO CO.]	JANUARY 10, 1975
422342	CHERRY, TOWNSHIP [BUTLER CO.]	JANUARY 10, 1975
421885	CHESTNUT HILL, TOWNSHIP OF [MONROE CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
421519	CHEST, TOWNSHIP OF [CLEARFIELD CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
422604	CHEST, TOWNSHIP [CAMBRIA CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
421974	CLARA, TOWNSHIP OF [POTTER CO.]	OCTOBER 18, 1974
421221	CLARENDON, BOROUGH (WARREN CO)	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
421687	CLAY, TOWNSHIP OF [HUNTINGDON CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
422343	CLAY, TOWNSHIP [BUTLER CO.]	JANUARY 17, 1975
422344	CLEARFIELD, TOWNSHIP [BUTLER CO.]	JANUARY 10, 1975
422077	CLIFFORD, TOWNSHIP OF [SUSQUEHANNA CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
421751	CLIFTON, TWP [LACKAWANNA CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
422532	CLINTONVILLE, BOROUGH OF [VENANGO CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
422345	CLINTON, TOWNSHIP [BUTLER CO.]	JANUARY 10, 1975
422197	CLINTON, TOWNSHIP OF (WYOMING CO)	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
422442	CLOVER, TOWNSHIP [JEFFERSON CO.]	JANUARY 03, 1975
420484	COALMONT, BOROUGH OF (HUNTINGDON CO)	MARCH 28, 1975
421838	COGAN HOUSE, TOWNSHIP (LYCOMING CO)	APRIL 04, 1975
422470	COLD SPRING, TOWNSHIP [LEBANON CO.]	APRIL 04, 1975

• UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

PENNSYLVANIA

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
421334	COLERAIN, TWP [BEDFORD CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
422346	CONCORD, TOWNSHIP [BUTLER CO.]	JANUARY 17, 1975
421715	CONEMAUGH, TOWNSHIP OF [INDIANA CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
422406	CONEMAGO, TOWNSHIP OF [DAUPHIN CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
421361	CONNEAUT, TOWNSHIP OF [ERIE CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
422387	CONNEAUT, TOWNSHIP [CRAWFORD CO.]	JANUARY 10, 1975
421623	CONNELLSVILLE, TOWNSHIP OF [FAYETTE CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
421413	CONNOQUENESSING, BOROUGH OF [BUTLER CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
421549	CONYNGHAM, TWP [COLUMBIA CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
422186	COOK, TOWNSHIP OF [WESTMORELAND CO.]	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
421521	COVINGTON, TOWNSHIP OF [CLEARFIELD CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
422455	COVINGTON, TOWNSHIP [LACKAWANNA CO.]	JANUARY 10, 1975
421230	COWANSHANNOCK, TOWNSHIP OF [ARMSTRONG CO.]	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
421535	CRAWFORD, TOWNSHIP OF [CLINTON CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974
422605	CRESSON, TOWNSHIP OF (CAMBRIA CO.)	JANUARY 03, 1975
422209	CROSS ROADS, BOROUGH OF [YORK CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
421428	DALE, BOROUGH OF (CAMBRIA CO)	MARCH 21, 1975
421319	DARLINGTON, BOROUGH (BEAVER CO)	JANUARY 24, 1975
421440	DEAN, TOWNSHIP OF [CAMBRIA CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
421189	DECATUR, TOWNSHIP OF [CLEARFIELD CO.]	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
422476	DEER CREEK, TWP [MERCER CO.]	JANUARY 10, 1975
421176	DEERFIELD, TOWNSHIP OF [TIOGA CO.]	AUGUST 30, 1974
422118	DEERFIELD, TOWNSHIP OF [WARREN CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
420690	DELAWARE WATER GAP, BOROUGH OF [MONROE CO.]	JUNE 21, 1974
421964	DINGMAN, TOWNSHIP OF (PIKE)	FEBRUARY 14, 1975
422146	DONEGAL, TOWNSHIP OF [WASHINGTON CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
422187	DONEGAL, TOWNSHIP OF [WESTMORELAND CO.]	JUNE 21, 1974
421689	DUBLIN, TOWNSHIP OF [HUNTINGDON CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
421681A	DUDLEY, BOROUGH OF [HUNTINGDON CO.]	NOVEMBER 08, 1974 AND NOVEMBER 14, 1975
422431	DUNKARD, TWP [GREENE CO.]	JANUARY 10, 1975
421716	E WHEATFIELD, TWP [INDIANA CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
422527	EAGLES MERE, BOROUGH [SULLIVAN CO.]	JANUARY 24, 1975
422252	EAST BANGOR, BOROUGH OF [NORTHAMPTON CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
420215	EAST BUTLER, BOROUGH OF [BUTLER CO.]	JULY 26, 1974
422268	EAST CARROLL, TOWNSHIP OF (CAMBRIA)	FEBRUARY 14, 1975
422259	EAST CONEMAUGH, BOROUGH OF [CAMBRIA CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
422389	EAST FALLOWFIELD, TOWNSHIP [CRAWFORD CO.]	JANUARY 10, 1975
422147	EAST FINLEY, TOWNSHIP OF [WASHINGTON CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
422218	EAST HOPEWELL, TOWNSHIP OF [YORK CO.]	DECEMBER 27, 1974
422188	EAST HUNTINGDON, TOWNSHIP OF [WESTMORELAND CO.]	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
422436	EAST MAHONING, TOWNSHIP [INDIANA CO.]	JANUARY 17, 1975
420108A	EAST ROCHESTER, BOROUGH OF [BEAVER CO.]	FEBRUARY 01, 1974 AND APRIL 16, 1976
422360	EAST SIDE, BOROUGH OF (CARBON CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
421337	EAST ST. CLAIR, TOWNSHIP OF (BEDFORD CO)	FEBRUARY 28, 1975
422314	EASTVALE, BOROUGH OF (BEAVER CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
422348	EAU CLAIRE, BORO [BUTLER CO.]	JANUARY 10, 1975

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

PENNSYLVANIA

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
420109	ECONOMY, BOROUGH OF (BEAVER CO.)	APRIL 05, 1974
421772	EDEN, TOWNSHIP OF (LANCASTER CO.)	AUGUST 30, 1974
420228	EHRENFELD, BOROUGH OF (CAMBRIA CO.)	AUGUST 09, 1974
421887	ELDRED, TOWNSHIP OF (MONROE CO.)	DECEMBER 06, 1974
422443	ELDRED, TOWNSHIP (JEFFERSON CO.)	JANUARY 17, 1975
422546	ELDRED, TOWNSHIP (WARREN CO.)	JANUARY 17, 1975
422048	ELK LICK, TOWNSHIP OF (SOMERSET CO.)	DECEMBER 27, 1974
422096	ELKLAND, TOWNSHIP OF (TIOGA CO.)	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
421752	ELMHURST, TOWN OF (LACKAWANNA CO.)	OCTOBER 18, 1974
422189	FAIRFIELD, TOWNSHIP OF (WESTMORELAND CO.)	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
422049	FAIRHOPE, TOWNSHIP OF (SOMERSET CO.)	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
421865	FAIRVIEW, TOWNSHIP OF (MERCER CO.)	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
422380	FERGUSON, TOWNSHIP (CLEARFIELD CO.)	JANUARY 03, 1975
422067	FOREST CITY, BOROUGH OF (SUSQUEHANNA CO.)	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
422578	FOREST LAKE, TWP (SUSQUEHANNA CO.)	JANUARY 24, 1975
421419A	FORWARD, TOWNSHIP OF (BUTLER CO.)	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974 AND APRIL 16, 1976
421502	FOXBURG, BOROUGH OF (CLARION CO.)	DECEMBER 20, 1974
422315	FRANKFORT SPRINGS, BORO (BEAVER CO.)	JANUARY 17, 1975
422593	FRANKLIN, BOROUGH OF (CAMBRIA CO.)	JANUARY 10, 1975
422288	FRANKLIN, TOWNSHIP OF (CHESTER CO.)	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
422573	FRANKLIN, TOWNSHIP OF (HUNTINGDON CO.)	DECEMBER 27, 1974
422595	FRANKLIN, TOWNSHIP (GREENE CO)	JANUARY 03, 1975
422350	FRANKLIN, TOWNSHIP (BUTLER CO.)	JANUARY 24, 1975
422121	FREEHOLD, TWP (WARREN CO)	JANUARY 24, 1975
422432	FREEPORT, TWP (GREENE CO.)	JANUARY 03, 1975
422110	FRENCH CREEK, TOWNSHIP OF (VENANGO CO.)	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
421537	GALLAGHER, TOWNSHIP OF (CLINTON CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
421627	GERMAN, TWP (FAYETTE CO)	JANUARY 03, 1975
422433	GILMORE, TWP (GREENE CO.)	JANUARY 10, 1975
422381	GIRARD, TOWNSHIP (CLEARFIELD CO.)	APRIL 04, 1975
420112	GLASGOW, BOROUGH OF (BEAVER CO.)	AUGUST 16, 1974
422437	GLEN CAMPBELL, BORO (INDIANA CO.)	JANUARY 24, 1975
420305	GLEN HOPE, BOROUGH OF (CLEARFIELD CO.)	DECEMBER 20, 1974
421522	GRAHAM, TWP (CLEARFIELD CO)	JANUARY 03, 1975
421717	GRANT, TOWNSHIP OF (INDIANA CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
421670	GREENE, TWP (GREENE CO)	JANUARY 17, 1975
421365	GREENFIELD, TOWNSHIP OF (ERIE CO.)	DECEMBER 06, 1974
422456	GREENFIELD, TOWNSHIP (LACKAWANNA CO.)	JANUARY 10, 1975
422512	GREENVILLE, TOWNSHIP (SOMERSET CO.)	APRIL 04, 1975
421523	GREENWOOD, TOWNSHIP OF (CLEARFIELD CO.)	DECEMBER 20, 1974
422390	GREENWOOD, TOWNSHIP (CRAWFORD CO.)	JANUARY 17, 1975
421644	GREEN, TWP (FOREST CO)	JANUARY 24, 1975
421539	GRUGAN, TOWNSHIP OF (CLINTON CO.)	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
421888	HAMILTON, TOWNSHIP OF (MONROE CO.)	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
421223	HANOVER, TOWNSHIP OF (BEAVER CO.)	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
422081	HARFORD, TOWNSHIP OF (SUSQUEHANNA CO.)	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
422528	HARTLETON, BOROUGH OF (UNION CO.)	DECEMBER 27, 1974
421503	HAWTHORNE, BOROUGH OF (CLARION CO.)	DECEMBER 27, 1974

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

PENNSYLVANIA

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
421728	HEATH, TOWNSHIP OF (JEFFERSON CO.)	DECEMBER 06, 1974
421069	HEIDELBERG, TOWNSHIP OF (BERKS CO.)	DECEMBER 06, 1974
421628	HENRY CLAY, TOWNSHIP OF	DECEMBER 06, 1974
421399	HERRICK, TWP (BRADFORD CO)	APRIL 04, 1975
422289	HIGHLAND, TOWNSHIP OF (CHESTER CO.)	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
422319	HOOKSTOWN, BOROUGH OF (BEAVER CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
421581	HOPEWELL, TOWNSHIP OF (CUMBERLAND CO.)	DECEMBER 27, 1974
421690	HOPEWELL, TOWNSHIP OF (HUNTINGDON CO.)	DECEMBER 06, 1974
421610	HORTON, TOWNSHIP OF (ELK CO.)	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
420307	HOUTZDALE, BOROUGH OF (CLEARFIELD CO.)	MAY 17, 1974
421525	HUSTON, TOWNSHIP OF (CLEARFIELD CO.)	DECEMBER 20, 1974
421323	INDEPENDENCE, TOWNSHIP OF (BEAVER CO.)	AUGUST 30, 1974
420308	IRVONA, BOROUGH OF (CLEARFIELD CO.)	APRIL 12, 1974
422534	IRWIN, TOWNSHIP OF (VENANGO CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
420502	JACKSONVILLE, BOROUGH OF (INDIANA CO.)	DECEMBER 13, 1974
421593	JACKSON, TOWNSHIP OF (DAUPHIN CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
421552	JACKSON, TOWNSHIP OF (COLUMBIA CO.)	DECEMBER 13, 1974
421671	JACKSON, TOWNSHIP OF (GREENE CO.)	DECEMBER 20, 1974
421691	JACKSON, TOWNSHIP OF (HUNTINGDON CO.)	DECEMBER 13, 1974
422601	JACKSON, TOWNSHIP OF (LYCOMING CO)	MARCH 28, 1975
422535	JACKSON, TOWNSHIP (VENANGO CO.)	JANUARY 24, 1975
421889	JACKSON, TWP (MONROE CO)	JANUARY 03, 1975
421421	JEFFERSON, TOWNSHIP OF (BUTLER CO.)	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
422457	JEFFERSON, TOWNSHIP (LACKAWANNA CO.)	JANUARY 03, 1975
421629	JEFFERSON, TWP (FAYETTE CO)	JANUARY 03, 1975
422422	JENKS, TOWNSHIP (FOREST CO.)	JANUARY 17, 1975
422514	JENNERSTOWN, BOROUGH OF (SOMERSET CO.)	DECEMBER 27, 1974
422383	JORDON, TOWNSHIP (CLEARFIELD CO)	JANUARY 17, 1975
420218	KARNS CITY, BOROUGH OF (BUTLER CO.)	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
421526	KARTHAUS, TOWNSHIP OF (CLEARFIELD CO.)	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
421981	KEATING, TOWNSHIP OF (POTTER CO.)	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
422423	KINGSLEY, TOWNSHIP OF (FOREST CO.)	DECEMBER 27, 1974
421342	KING, TOWNSHIP OF (BEDFORD CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
421209	KISKIMINTAS, TOWNSHIP OF (ARMSTRONG CO.)	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
421730	KNOX, TOWNSHIP OF (JEFFERSON CO.)	DECEMBER 20, 1974
422367	KNOX, TOWNSHIP (CLARION CO.)	APRIL 04, 1975
422483	LAKE, TOWNSHIP OF (MERCER CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
421422	LANCASTER, TOWNSHIP OF (BUTLER CO.)	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
422336	LANGHORNE MANOR, BOROUGH OF (BUCKS)	FEBRUARY 14, 1975
422057	LAPORTE, BOROUGH OF (SULLIVAN CO.)	DECEMBER 20, 1974
422515	LARIMER, TOWNSHIP (SOMERSET CO.)	JANUARY 10, 1975
422085	LATHROP, TOWNSHIP OF (SUSQUEHANNA CO.)	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
421454	LAUSANNE, TWP (CARBON CO)	JANUARY 03, 1975
422334	LE RAYSVILLE, BORO (BRADFORD CO.)	JANUARY 24, 1975
422567	LEBANON, TWP (WAYNE CO.)	JANUARY 03, 1975
421540	LEIDY, TOWNSHIP OF (CLINTON CO.)	DECEMBER 20, 1974
422200	LEMON, TOWNSHIP OF (WYOMING CO.)	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
422086	LENOX, TOWNSHIP OF (SUSQUEHANNA CO.)	DECEMBER 20, 1974

• UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

PENNSYLVANIA

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
422425	LETTERKENNY, TOWNSHIP OF (FRANKLIN CO.)	DECEMBER 20, 1974
421662	LICKING CREEK, TOWNSHIP OF (FULTON CO.)	DECEMBER 20, 1974
422368	LICKING, TOWNSHIP (CLARION CO.)	JANUARY 24, 1975
422609	LIGONIER, BOROUGH OF (WASHINGTON CO.)	APRIL 12, 1974
421430	LILLY, BOROUGH OF (CAMBRIA CO.)	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
422547	LIMESTONE, TOWNSHIP OF (WARREN CO.)	DECEMBER 27, 1974
422588	LIMESTONE, TOWNSHIP (LYCOMING CO)	JANUARY 24, 1975
422516	LINCOLN, TOWNSHIP (SOMERSET CO.)	JANUARY 10, 1975
421693	LINCOLN, TWP (HUNTINGDON CO)	JANUARY 03, 1975
421533	LOGANTON, BOROUGH OF (CLINTON CO.)	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
421694	LOGAN, TOWNSHIP OF (HUNTINGDON CO.)	DECEMBER 06, 1974
421582	LOWER MIFFLIN, TOWNSHIP OF (CUMBERLAND CO.)	DECEMBER 27, 1974
422253	LOWER NAZARETH, TOWNSHIP OF (NORTHAMPTON CO.)	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
422517	LOWER TURKEYFOOT, TWP (SOMERSET CO.)	JANUARY 24, 1975
421630	LOWER TYRONE, TOWNSHIP OF (FAYETTE CO.)	DECEMBER 06, 1974
421811	LOWHILL, TOWNSHIP OF (LEHIGH CO.)	DECEMBER 20, 1974
420309	LUMBER CITY, BOROUGH (CLEARFIELD CO)	FEBRUARY 14, 1975
421812	LYNN, TOWNSHIP OF (LEHIGH CO.)	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
421553	MADISON, TOWNSHIP OF (COLUMBIA COU)	FEBRUARY 07, 1975
421308	MADISON, TOWNSHIP OF (ARMSTRONG CO.)	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
421755	MADISON, TWP (LACKAWANNA CO)	JANUARY 03, 1975
420310	MAHAFFEY, BOROUGH OF (CLEARFIELD CO.)	AUGUST 30, 1974
421554	MAIN, TOWNSHIP OF (COLUMBIA CO)	FEBRUARY 28, 1975
421325	MANNS CHOICE, BOROUGH OF (BEDFORD CO.)	DECEMBER 13, 1974
420219	MARION TWP (BUTLER CO)	JUNE 02, 1974
421935	MCEWENSVILLE, BOROUGH OF (NORTHUMBERLAND CO.)	DECEMBER 27, 1974
420421	MEDIA, BOROUGH (DELAWARE CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
421881	MENNO, TOWNSHIP OF (MIFFLIN CO.)	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
422352	MERCER, TOWNSHIP (BUTLER CO.)	JANUARY 10, 1975
422044	MEYERSDALE, BOROUGH OF (SOMERSET CO.)	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
421443	MIDDLE TAYLOR, TOWNSHIP OF (CAMBRIA CO.)	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
422518	MIDDLECREEK, TOWNSHIP (SOMERSET CO.)	JANUARY 03, 1975
422519	MILFORD, TOWNSHIP (SOMERSET CO.)	JANUARY 03, 1975
421871	MILL CREEK, TOWNSHIP OF (MERCER CO.)	DECEMBER 20, 1974
421695	MILLER, TOWNSHIP OF (HUNTINGDON CO.)	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
421954	MILLER, TWP (PERRY CO)	JANUARY 17, 1975
421613	MILLSTONE, TOWNSHIP OF (ELK CO)	MARCH 21, 1975
422536	MINERAL, TOWNSHIP OF (VENANGO CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
421744	MONROE, TOWNSHIP (JUNIATA CO)	JANUARY 10, 1975
421083	MONROE, TWP (BRADFORD CO)	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
422426	MONTGOMERY, TOWNSHIP OF (FRANKLIN CO.)	DECEMBER 13, 1974
421719	MONTGOMERY, TWP (INDIANA CO)	JANUARY 03, 1975
421846	MORELAND, TOWNSHIP OF (LYCOMING CO.)	FEBRUARY 28, 1975
421674	MORGAN, TOWNSHIP OF (GREENE CO.)	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
421696	MORRIS, TOWNSHIP OF (HUNTINGDON-CO.)	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
420692	MT. POCONO, BOROUGH OF (MONROE CO.)	JANUARY 24, 1975
421847	MUNCY, TOWNSHIP (LYCOMING CO)	DECEMBER 20, 1974
422263	MUNSTER, TOWNSHIP OF (CAMBRIA CO.)	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
421348	NAPIER, TOWNSHIP OF (BEDFORD CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975

• UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

PENNSYLVANIA

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
420889A	NEW ALEXANDRIA, BOROUGH OF (WESTMORELAND CO.)	JUNE 28, 1974 AND OCTOBER 17, 1975
420799	NEW BALTIMORE, BOROUGH OF (SOMERSET CO.)	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
422012	NEW CASTLE, TOWNSHIP OF (SCHUYLKILL CO.)	DECEMBER 27, 1974
423322	NEW GALILEE, BOROUGH OF (BEAVER CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
422484	NEW LEBANON, BORO (MERCER CO.)	JANUARY 24, 1975
422485	NEW VERNON, TOWNSHIP OF (MERCER CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
420312	NEW WASHINGTON, BOROUGH OF (CLEARFIELD CO.)	DECEMBER 20, 1974
420311	NEWBURG, BOROUGH OF (CLEARFIELD CO.)	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
421579	NEWVILLE, BOROUGH OF (CUMBERLAND CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
423000A	NORTH APOLLO, BOROUGH OF (ARMSTRONG CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975 AND OCTOBER 31, 1975
421795	NORTH BEAVER, TOWNSHIP OF (LAWRENCE)	FEBRUARY 14, 1975
423182	NORTH BELLE VERNON, BOROUGH OF (WESTMORELAND CO.)	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
423203	NORTH BRANCH, TOWNSHIP OF (WYOMING CO.)	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
421085	NORTH FAYETTE, TWP (ALLEGHENY CO)	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
421086	NORTH HEIDELBERG, TOWNSHIP OF (BERKS CO.)	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
423438	NORTH MAHONING, TWP (INDIANA CO.)	JANUARY 10, 1975
423204	NORTH MORELAND, TOWNSHIP OF (WYOMING CO.)	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
423111	OAKLAND, TOWNSHIP OF (VENANGO CO.)	DECEMBER 13, 1974
423354	OAKLAND, TOWNSHIP (BUTLER CO.)	JANUARY 10, 1975
421615A	OHIOPYLE, BOROUGH OF (FAYETTE CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975 AND MARCH 19, 1976
421732	OLIVER, TOWNSHIP OF (JEFFERSON CO.)	DECEMBER 13, 1974
421401	ORWELL, TWP (BRADFORD CO)	JANUARY 24, 1975
420313	OSCEOLA MILLS, BOROUGH OF (CLEAFIELD CO.)	MARCH 29, 1974
423486	OTTER CREEK, TOWNSHIP OF (MERCER CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
421860	OTTO, TOWNSHIP OF (MCKEAN CO.)	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
423568	OVERFIELD, TOWNSHIP OF (WYOMING CO.)	DECEMBER 27, 1974
421402	OVERTON, TOWNSHIP OF (BRADFORD CO.)	AUGUST 30, 1974
421968	PALMYRA, TOWNSHIP OF (PIKE CO.)	DECEMBER 13, 1974
421891	PARADISE, TWP (MONROE CO)	APRIL 11, 1975
421219	PARKER, TOWNSHIP OF (BUTLER CO.)	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
423325	PATTERSON HEIGHTS, BORO (BEAVER CO.)	JANUARY 17, 1975
423496	PENNSBURG, BOROUGH OF (MONTGOMERY CO.)	DECEMBER 27, 1974
421530	PENN, TOWNSHIP OF (CLEARFIELD CO.)	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
421848	PENN, TOWNSHIP (LYCOMING CO)	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
423444	PERRY, TOWNSHIP (JEFFERSON CO.)	JANUARY 10, 1975
423038	PERRY, TWP (SNYDER CO)	JANUARY 03, 1975
420221	PETROLIA, BOROUGH OF (BUTLER CO.)	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
421190	PIKE, TOWNSHIP OF (CLEARFIELD CO.)	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
421403	PIKE, TOWNSHIP OF (BRADFORD CO.)	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
423445	PINECREEK, TOWNSHIP (JEFFERSON CO.)	JANUARY 24, 1975
423538	PINEGROVE, TOWNSHIP (VENANGO CO.)	JANUARY 24, 1975
421312	PINE, TOWNSHIP OF (ARMSTRONG CO.)	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
421720	PINE, TWP (INDIANA CO)	JANUARY 03, 1975
421984	PLEASANT VALLEY, TOWNSHIP OF (POTTER CO.)	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
421327	PLEASANTVILLE, BOROUGH OF (BEDFORD CO.)	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
421313	PLUM CREEK, TOWNSHIP OF (ARMSTRONG CO.)	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
	PENNSYLVANIA	
420504A	PLUMVILLE, BOROUGH OF (INDIANA CO.)	AUGUST 09, 1974 AND OCTOBER 10, 1975
422539	PLUM, TOWNSHIP (VENANGO CO.)	JANUARY 24, 1975
421733	POLK, TOWNSHIP OF (JEFFERSON CO.)	DECEMBER 06, 1974
421985	PORTAGE, TOWNSHIP OF (POTTER CO.)	DECEMBER 13, 1974
422355	PORTERSVILLE, BORO (BUTLER CO.)	JANUARY 10, 1975
422446	PORTER, TOWNSHIP OF (JEFFERSON)	FEBRUARY 14, 1975
422327	POTTER, TOWNSHIP OF (BEAVER CO.)	DECEMBER 13, 1974
422356	PROSPECT, BORO (BUTLER CO.)	JANUARY 17, 1975
421655	QUINCY, TOWNSHIP OF (FRANKLIN CO.)	DECEMBER 27, 1974
421721	RAYNE, TWP (INDIANA CO)	JANUARY 17, 1975
421445	READE, TOWNSHIP OF (CAMBRIA CO.)	DECEMBER 06, 1974
421315	REDBANK, TOWNSHIP OF (ARMSTRONG CO.)	DECEMBER 06, 1974
422540	RICHLAND, TOWNSHIP (VENANGO CO.)	JANUARY 24, 1975
422375	RICHLAND, TOWNSHIP (CLARION CO.)	JANUARY 17, 1975
422447	RINGGOLD, TOWNSHIP (JEFFERSON CO.)	JANUARY 03, 1975
421557	ROARING CREEK, TOWNSHIP OF (COLUMBIA CO.)	DECEMBER 13, 1974
422562	ROBINSON, TWP (WASHINGTON CO.)	JANUARY 17, 1975
422113	ROCKLAND, TWP (VENANGO CO)	JANUARY 10, 1975
422045	ROCKWOOD, BOROUGH OF (SOMERSET CO.)	DECEMBER 06, 1974
422255	ROSETO, BOROUGH OF (NORTHAMPTON CO.)	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
420826	ROSEVILLE, BOROUGH OF (TIOGA CO.)	DECEMBER 13, 1974
421734	ROSE, TOWNSHIP OF (JEFFERSON CO.)	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
421895	ROSS, TOWNSHIP OF (MONROE CO.)	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
421986	ROULETTE, TOWNSHIP OF (POTTER CO.)	DECEMBER 20, 1974
422302	RURAL VALLEY, BORO (ARMSTRONG CO.)	JANUARY 24, 1975
422376	SALEM, TOWNSHIP (CLARION CO.)	JANUARY 10, 1975
422192	SALEM, TOWNSHIP OF (WESTMORELAND CO.)	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
420492	SALTILLO, BOROUGH OF (HUNTINGTON CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
420505	SALTSBURG, BORO (INDIANA CO)	APRIL 18, 1975
421874	SANDY LAKE, TOWNSHIP OF (MERCER CO.)	DECEMBER 13, 1974
422357	SAXONBURG, BOROUGH OF (BUTLER CO.)	DECEMBER 27, 1974
421757	SCOTT, TOWNSHIP OF (LACKAWANNA CO.)	DECEMBER 27, 1974
422542	SCRUBGRASS, TOWNSHIP OF (VENANGO CO)	FEBRUARY 28, 1975
420071	SEWICKLEY HTS, BORO (ALLEGHENY CO)	MARCH 22, 1974 AND MAY 17, 1974
420802	SHANKSVILLE, BOROUGH OF (SOMERSET CO.)	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
422126	SHEFFIELD, TOWNSHIP OF (WARREN CO.)	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
421585	SHIPPENSBURG, TWP (CUMBERLAND CO)	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
420117	SHIPPING PORT, BOROUGH OF (BEAVER CO.)	FEBRUARY 01, 1974
420493	SHIRLEYSBURG, BOROUGH OF (HUNTINGDON CO.)	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
422338	SILVERDALE, BORO (BUCKS CO.)	JANUARY 03, 1975
421349	SNAKE SPRING, TWP (BEDFORD CO)	APRIL 16, 1976
422055	SOMERSET, TWP (SOMERSET CO)	JANUARY 24, 1975
421214	SOUTH BEND, TOWNSHIP OF (ARMSTRONG CO.)	JANUARY 24, 1975
420100	SOUTH BETHLEHEM, BOROUGH OF (ARMSTRONG CO)	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
		JUNE 28, 1974

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
	PENNSYLVANIA	
421619	SOUTH CONNELLSVILLE, BOROUGH OF (FAYETTE CO.)	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
422330	SOUTH HEIGHTS, BOROUGH OF (BEAVER CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
422194	SOUTH HUNTINGDON, TOWNSHIP OF (WESTMORELAND CO.)	AUGUST 09, 1974
422439	SOUTH MAHONING, TWP (INDIANA CO.)	JANUARY 24, 1975
421586	SOUTH NEWTON, TOWNSHIP OF (CUMBERLAND CO.)	DECEMBER 27, 1974
422523	SOUTHAMPTON, TOWNSHIP OF (SOMERSET CO.)	DECEMBER 27, 1974
421351	SOUTHAMPTON, TOWNSHIP OF (BEDFORD COU)	FEBRUARY 07, 1975
421587	SOUTHAMPTON, TOWNSHIP OF (CUMBERLAND CO.)	DECEMBER 27, 1974
422127	SOUTHWEST, TOWNSHIP OF (WARREN CO.)	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
421614	SPRING CREEK, TOWNSHIP OF (ELK CO.)	DECEMBER 06, 1974
421677	SPRINGHILL, TWP (GREENE CO)	APRIL 11, 1975
421570	SPRING, TOWNSHIP OF (CRAWFORD CO.)	MAY 31, 1974
421745	SPRUCE HILL, TOWNSHIP OF (JUNIATA CO.)	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
421406	STANDING STONE, TOWNSHIP OF (BRADFORD CO.)	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
421407	STEVENS, TOWNSHIP OF (BRADFORD CO.)	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
420152	STRAUSSTOWN, BORO (BERKS CO)	JANUARY 24, 1975
421328	ST. CLAIRSVILLE, BOROUGH OF (BEDFORD CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
422191	ST. CLAIR, TOWNSHIP OF (WESTMORELAND CO.)	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
422489	SUGAR GROVE, TOWNSHIP OF (MERCER CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
422549	SUGAR GROVE, TOWNSHIP (WARREN CO.)	JANUARY 24, 1975
421558	SUGARLOAF, TWP (COLUMBIA CO)	APRIL 04, 1975
422399	SUMMERHILL, TOWNSHIP OF (CRAWFORD CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
422358	SUMMIT, TOWNSHIP (BUTLER CO.)	JANUARY 24, 1975
422056	SUMMIT, TWP (SOMERSET CO)	JANUARY 03, 1975
421990	SYLVANIA, TOWNSHIP OF (POTTER CO.)	DECEMBER 06, 1974
422397	S. SHENANGO, TOWNSHIP (CRAWFORD CO.)	JANUARY 03, 1975
421469	TAYLOR, TOWNSHIP OF (CENTRE CO.)	DECEMBER 20, 1974
422339	TELFORD, BOROUGH OF (MONTGOMERY CO.)	JANUARY 10, 1975
421664	THOMPSON, TOWNSHIP (FULTON CO.)	DECEMBER 13, 1974
422576	THREE SPRINGS, BORO (HUNTINGDON CO.)	JANUARY 24, 1975
422448	TIMBLIN, BORO (JEFFERSON CO.)	JANUARY 24, 1975
420468	TIONESTA, BOROUGH OF (FOREST CO.)	MARCH 29, 1974
421113	TOWANDA, TOWNSHIP OF (BRADFORD CO.)	JULY 26, 1974
422550	TRIUMPH, TWP (WARREN CO.)	JANUARY 17, 1975
420315	TROUTVILLE, BOROUGH OF (CLEARFIELD CO.)	DECEMBER 06, 1974
421115	TULPEHOCKEN, TOWNSHIP OF (BERKS CO.)	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
421898	TUNKHANNOCK, TOWNSHIP OF (MONROE CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
421961	TYRONE, TOWNSHIP OF (PERRY CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
422584	UNIONDALE, BORO (SUSQUEHANNA CO.)	JANUARY 24, 1975
421573	UNION, TOWNSHIP OF (CRAWFORD CO.)	AUGUST 30, 1974
421704	UNION, TOWNSHIP (HUNTINGDON CO)	DECEMBER 06, 1974
422449	UNION, TOWNSHIP OF (JEFFERSON CO.)	DECEMBER 27, 1974
422430	UNION, TOWNSHIP OF (FULTON CO.)	DECEMBER 27, 1974
421531	UNION, TWP (CLEARFIELD CO)	JANUARY 17, 1975
421118	UPPER BERN, TOWNSHIP OF (BERKS CO.)	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
421934	UPPER NAZARETH, TOWNSHIP OF (NORTHAMPTON CO.)	DECEMBER 27, 1971
421918	UPPER SALFORD, TOWNSHIP OF (MONTGOMERY CO.)	DECEMBER 06, 1974
422525	UPPER TURKEYFOOT, TOWNSHIP OF (SOMERSET CO.)	DECEMBER 27, 1974
421120	UPR TULPEHOCKEN, TWP(BERKS CO)	JANUARY 10, 1975

• UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

PENNSYLVANIA

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
420841	UTICA, BOROUGH OF (VENANGO CO.)	AUGUST 16, 1974
421620	VANDERBILT, BOROUGH OF (FAYETTE CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
421748	VANDLING, BOROUGH OF (LACKAWANNA)	FEBRUARY 14, 1975
420355	VENANGO, BOROUGH OF (CRAWFORD CO.)	AUGUST 30, 1974
422359	VENANGO, TOWNSHIP (BUTLER CO.)	JANUARY 24, 1975
421574	VENANGO, TOWNSHIP OF (CRAWFORD CO.)	MAY 31, 1974
420081	VERSAILLES, BOROUGH OF (ALLEGHENY CO.)	MARCH 29, 1974
422543	VICTORY, TOWNSHIP OF (VENANGO CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
421577	W FALLOWFIELD, TWP (CRAWFORD CO)	JANUARY 17, 1975
422577	WALKER, TWP (HUNTINGDON CO.)	JANUARY 10, 1975
420316	WALLACETON, BOROUGH OF (CLEARFIELD CO.)	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
420082	WALL, BOROUGH OF (ALLEGHENY CO.)	APRIL 25, 1975
421408	WARREN, TOWNSHIP OF (BRADFORD CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
422232	WARRINGTON, TOWNSHIP (YORK CO)	DECEMBER 27, 1974
421705	WARRIORS MARK, TWP (HUNTINGDON CO)	JANUARY 17, 1975
422450	WARSAW, TOWNSHIP (JEFFERSON CO.)	JANUARY 17, 1975
421678	WASHINGTON, TOWNSHIP OF (GREENE CO.)	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
422378	WASHINGTON, TOWNSHIP (CLARION CO.)	JANUARY 17, 1975
421448	WASHINGTON, TOWNSHIP OF (CAMBRIA CO.)	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
421383	WASHINGTON, TOWNSHIP OF (BERKS CO.)	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
422468	WASHINGTON, TOWNSHIP OF (LAWRENCE COU)	FEBRUARY 07, 1975
422196	WASHINGTON, TOWNSHIP OF (WESTMORELAND CO.)	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
420224	WASHINGTON, TOWNSHIP OF (BUTLER CO.)	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
422207	WASHINGTON, TOWNSHIP OF (WYOMING CO.)	DECEMBER 06, 1974
421317	WASHINGTON, TOWNSHIP OF (ARMSTRONG CO.)	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
421722	WASHINGTON, TWP (INDIANA CO)	DECEMBER 22, 1974
422551	WATSON, TWP (WARREN CO.)	JANUARY 10, 1975
421679	WAYNE, TOWNSHIP OF (GREENE CO.)	DECEMBER 27, 1974
422027	WAYNE, TOWNSHIP OF (SCHUYLKILL CO.)	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
421599	WAYNE, TWP (DAUPHIN CO)	JANUARY 17, 1975
422526	WELLERSBURG, BOROUGH OF (SOMERSET CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
421760	WEST ABLINGTON, TOWNSHIP OF (LACKAWANNA CO.)	DECEMBER 20, 1974
422507	WEST BEAVER, TWP (SNYDER CO.)	JANUARY 24, 1975
422156	WEST BETHLEHEM, TOWNSHIP OF (WASHINGTON CO.)	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
422028	WEST BRUNSWICK, TWP (SCHUYLKILL CO)	JANUARY 10, 1975
422106	WEST BUFFALO, TOWNSHIP OF (UNION CO.)	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
421497	WEST CALN, TOWNSHIP OF (CHESTER CO.)	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
421449	WEST CARROLL, TOWNSHIP OF (CAMBRIA CO.)	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
422565	WEST FINLEY, TOWNSHIP OF (WASHINGTON CO.)	DECEMBER 27, 1974
422304	WEST FRANKLIN, TWP (ARMSTRONG CO.)	JANUARY 10, 1975
421542	WEST KEATING, TOWNSHIP OF (CLINTON CO.)	DECEMBER 06, 1974
421723	WEST MAHONING, TWP (INDIANA CO)	JANUARY 24, 1975
422280	WEST NOTTINGHAM, TOWNSHIP OF (CHESTER CO.)	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
422029	WEST PENN, TOWNSHIP OF (SCHUYLKILL CO.)	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
421123	WEST ROCKHILL, TOWNSHIP OF (BUCKS CO.)	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
422402	WEST SHENANGO, TOWNSHIP (CRAWFORD CO.)	JANUARY 17, 1975
421450	WEST TAYLOR, TOWNSHIP OF (CAMBRIA CO.)	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
421680	WHITELEY, TOWNSHIP OF (GREENE CO.)	DECEMBER 27, 1974
422258	WHITE, TOWNSHIP OF (CAMBRIA CO.)	NOVEMBER 22, 1974

• UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

PENNSYLVANIA

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
420400	WILLIAMSTOWN, BOROUGH OF (DAUPHIN CO.)	JUNE 28, 1974
421601	WILLIAMS, TOWNSHIP OF (DAUPHIN CO.)	DECEMBER 13, 1974
421215	WINSLOW, TWP (JEFFERSON CO)	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
420157	WOMELSDORF, BOROUGH OF (BERKS CO.)	MAY 24, 1974
421330	WOODBURY, BOROUGH OF (BEDFORD CO.)	DECEMBER 06, 1974
421355	WOODBURY, TOWNSHIP OF (BEDFORD CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
422403	WOODCOCK, BOROUGH OF (CRAWFORD CO.)	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
421532	WOODWARD, TOWNSHIP OF (CLEARFIELD CO.)	DECEMBER 27, 1974
422306	WORTHINGTON, BORO (ARMSTRONG CO.)	DECEMBER 27, 1974
422492	WORTH, TWP (MERCER CO.)	JANUARY 24, 1975
420946A	YORK HAVEN, BOROUGH OF (YORK CO.)	JANUARY 23, 1974 AND MARCH 19, 1976
421726	YOUNG, TWP (INDIANA CO)	DECEMBER 20, 1974

TOTAL IN THE STATE 482

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

SOUTH CAROLINA

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
450201	ALLENDALE COUNTY *	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
450222A	ATLANTIC BEACH, TOWN OF	AUGUST 23, 1974
450203	BAMBERG COUNTY *	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
450204	BARNWELL COUNTY *	DECEMBER 20, 1974
450032	CAMERON, TOWN (CALHOUN CO)	JANUARY 03, 1975
450077	COWARD, TOWN OF (FLORENCE CO.)	OCTOBER 25, 1974
450124	CROSS HILL, TOWN OF (LAURENS CO.)	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
450060	DARLINGTON COUNTY *	DECEMBER 27, 1974
450065	DILLON, TOWN OF (DILLON CO.)	MAY 17, 1974
450074A	EDGEFIELD, TOWN OF (EDGEFIELD CO.)	MAY 24, 1974 AND MARCH 05, 1976
450022	EHRHARDT, TOWN OF (BAMBERG CO.)	JULY 19, 1974
450118	ELGIN, TOWN (KERSHAW CO)	JANUARY 24, 1975
450010	FAIRFAX, TOWN OF (ALLENDALE CO.)	MAY 31, 1974
450132	GILBERT, TOWN OF (LEXINGTON CO.)	OCTOBER 25, 1974
450210	GRAY COURT, TOWN OF (LAURENS CO.)	DECEMBER 13, 1974
450163	HOLLY HILL, TOWN OF (ORANGEBURG CO.)	JUNE 07, 1974
450037	HOLLYWOOD, TOWN OF (CHARLESTON CO.)	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
450217	INMAN, TOWN OF (SPARTANBURG COU)	JANUARY 31, 1975
450220	JAMESTOWN, TOWN OF (BERKELEY COU)	FEBRUARY 07, 1975
450080	OLANTA, TOWN OF (FLORENCE CO.)	MAY 24, 1974
450081	PAMPLICO, TOWN OF (FLORENCE CO.)	MAY 10, 1974
450053	PARVILLE, TOWN OF (CLARENDON CO.)	AUGUST 09, 1974
450135	PELION, TOWN OF (LEXINGTON CO.)	AUGUST 09, 1974
450183	PINEWOOD, TOWN OF (SUMTER CO.)	JUNE 21, 1974
450218	REEVESVILLE, TOWN OF (DORCHESTER CO.)	DECEMBER 27, 1974
450071	RIDGEVILLE, TOWN OF (DORCHESTER CO.)	MAY 31, 1974
450165	ROWESVILLE, TOWN OF (ORANGEBURG CO.)	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
450212	SALEM, TOWN OF (OCONEE CO.)	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
450145	SELLERS, TOWN OF (MARION CO.)	JUNE 07, 1974
450155	SILVERSTREET, TOWN (NEWBERRY CO)	JANUARY 24, 1975
450057	SMOAKS, TOWN OF (COLLETON CO.)	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
450011	SYCAMORE, TOWN OF (ALLENDALE CO.)	OCTOBER 25, 1974
450084A	TIMMONSVILLE, TOWN OF (FLORENCE CO.)	MAY 24, 1974
450012	ULMERS, TOWN OF (ALLENDALE CO.)	AUGUST 16, 1974

TOTAL IN THE STATE 34

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

SOUTH DAKOTA

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
460077	ASHTON, CITY OF (SPINK CO.)	DECEMBER 06, 1974
460058	BALTIC, TOWN OF (MINNEHAHA CO.)	DECEMBER 06, 1974
460105	CLAREMONT, TOWN (BROWN CO)	APRIL 25, 1975
460008	COLUMBIA, TOWN OF (BROWN CO.)	DECEMBER 06, 1974
460078	CONDE, TOWN OF (SPINK CO.)	DECEMBER 20, 1974
460079	DOLAND, TOWN OF (SPINK COU)	FEBRUARY 07, 1975
460169	DUPREE, CITY OF (ZIEBACH CO.)	APRIL 25, 1975
460026A	EDGEMONT, CITY OF (FALL RIVER CO.)	AUGUST 02, 1974 AND JANUARY 16, 1976
460190	LEAD, CITY OF (LAWRENCE COU)	FEBRUARY 07, 1975
460068	LEBANON, TOWN (POTTER CO)	JANUARY 03, 1975
460080	NORTHVILLE, TOWN OF (SPINK CO.)	DECEMBER 13, 1974
460137	ROSLYN, TOWN (DAY CO)	APRIL 25, 1975
460082	TURTON, TOWN OF (SPINK CO.)	DECEMBER 20, 1974
460196	VEBLEN, TOWN OF (MARSHALL CO.)	APRIL 25, 1975

TOTAL IN THE STATE 14

• UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

TENNESSEE

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
470234	ADAIR, TOWN OF (MADISON COU)	FEBRUARY 07, 1975
470159	ADAMS, TOWN OF (ROBERTSON CO.)	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
470007	BELL BUCKLE, TOWN OF (BEDFORD CO.)	JUNE 14, 1974
470246	BELLS, TOWN (CROCKETT CO)	JANUARY 10, 1975
470218	BENTON COUNTY	JANUARY 10, 1975
470128A	BETHEL SPRINGS, TOWN OF (MCNAIRY CO.)	JUNE 28, 1974 AND APRIL 02, 1976
470220	BLOUNT COUNTY	JANUARY 10, 1975
470057	BRADFORD, TOWN OF (GIBSON CO.)	JUNE 21, 1974
470244	BRUCETON, TOWN (CARROLL CO)	JANUARY 10, 1975
470120	CHAPEL HILL, TOWN OF (MARSHALL CO.)	JUNE 14, 1974
470160	CROSS PLAINS, TOWN (ROBERTSON CO)	JANUARY 24, 1975
470046	DICKSON COUNTY •	DECEMBER 06, 1974
470166	EAGLEVILLE, TOWN OF (RUTHERFORD CO.)	AUGUST 23, 1974
470271	ENGLEWOOD, CITY OF (MCMINN CO.)	MAY 17, 1974
470242	FAIRVIEW, CITY (WILLIAMSON CO)	APRIL 11, 1975
470129	FINGER, TOWN OF (MCNAIRY CO.)	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
470247	GADSDEN, TOWN (CROCKETT CO)	JANUARY 24, 1975
470264	GARLAND, TOWN OF (TIPTON COU)	JANUARY 31, 1975
470190	GILT EDGE, CITY OF (TIPTON CO.)	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
470255	GRAND JUNCTION, CITY OF (HARDEMAN COU)	JANUARY 31, 1975
470161	GREENBRIER, CITY OF (ROBERTSON CO.)	JUNE 21, 1974
470226	HANCOCK COUNTY	JANUARY 24, 1975
470088	HENDERSON COUNTY •	DECEMBER 20, 1974
470259A	HENNING, TOWN (LAUDERDALE CO)	JANUARY 03, 1975
470101	IRON CITY, CITY OF (LAWRENCE CO.)	JUNE 14, 1974
470052	JAMESTOWN, CITY OF (FENTRESS CO)	MARCH 28, 1975
470224	KENTON, TOWN OF (GIBSON CO.)	DECEMBER 27, 1974
470121	LEWISBURG, CITY OF (MARSHALL CO.)	MARCH 01, 1974
470065	LYNNVILLE, CITY OF (GILES CO.)	JUNE 14, 1974
470251	MEDINA, CITY (GIBSON CO)	JANUARY 03, 1975
470139	MORGAN COUNTY	JANUARY 17, 1975
475442	PIGEON FORGE, CITY OF (SEVIER CO.)	AUGUST 31, 1972
470149	PUTNAM COUNTY •	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
470131	RAMER, TOWN OF (MCNAIRY CO.)	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
470277	RICHARD CITY, CITY OF (MARION CO.)	FEBRUARY 01, 1974
470162	RIDGETOP, CITY OF (ROBERTSON CO.)	JUNE 07, 1974
470061	RUTHERFORD, TOWN OF (GIBSON CO.)	JUNE 07, 1974
470083	SALTILLO, TOWN OF (HARDIN CO.)	JUNE 14, 1974
470078	SIGNAL MOUNTAIN, TOWN OF (HAMILTON CO.)	JUNE 14, 1974
470239	SPENCER, TOWN OF (VAN BUREN COU)	FEBRUARY 07, 1975
470256	STANTON, TOWN (HAYWOOD CO)	JANUARY 03, 1975
470180	STEWART COUNTY •	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
470279	SURGOINSVILLE, CITY OF (HAWKINS CO.)	MAY 17, 1974
470280	TELLICO PLAINS, CITY OF (MONROE CO.)	MARCH 08, 1974
470282	TRACY CITY, CITY OF (GRUNDY CO.)	MAY 10, 1974
470249	TRIMBLE, TOWN (DYER CO)	JANUARY 03, 1975
470009	WARTRACE, TOWN OF (BEDFORD CO.)	JUNE 14, 1974

• UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

TENNESSEE

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
470118	WHITWELL, CITY OF (MARION CO.)	FEBRUARY 15, 1974
	TOTAL IN THE STATE	48

• UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

TEXAS

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
481090	ALBA, TOWN (WOOD CO)	MAY 02, 1975
480397A	ALVARADO, CITY OF (JOHNSON CO.)	AUGUST 09, 1974 AND JANUARY 23, 1976
480007	ANGELINA COUNTY •	DECEMBER 27, 1974
480132	ANNA, CITY OF (COLLIN CO.)	AUGUST 09, 1974
480567	ARP, CITY OF (SMITH CO.)	AUGUST 16, 1974
480065	BAILEYS PRAIRIE, TOWN OF (BRAZORIA CO.)	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
480350	BLUM, CITY OF (HILL CO.)	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
480676A	BOYD, CITY OF (WISE CO.)	DECEMBER 28, 1973 AND MARCH 05, 1976
480084	BREWSTER COUNTY •	DECEMBER 27, 1974
480398	BRIAR OAKS, CITY OF (JOHNSON CO.)	MARCH 29, 1974
480856	BUDA, TOWN OF (HAYS COU)	FEBRUARY 07, 1975
480649	BURTON, CITY OF (WASHINGTON CO.)	DECEMBER 20, 1974
480490A	CACTUS, CITY OF (MOORE CO.)	JUNE 14, 1974 AND MARCH 26, 1976
480364A	CADDO MILLS, CITY OF (HUNT CO.)	JUNE 28, 1974 AND MARCH 12, 1976
480365	CELESTE, CITY OF (HUNT CO.)	JUNE 21, 1974
480566A	CENTER, CITY OF (SHELBY CO.)	MARCH 01, 1974 AND JANUARY 02, 1976
481141	CHINA GROVE, CITY (BEXAR CO)	APRIL 25, 1975
480169	COCKRELL HILL, CITY OF (DALLAS CO.)	DECEMBER 07, 1973
480144	COLORADO COUNTY •	OCTOBER 25, 1974
480104	COMBES, TOWN OF (CAMERON CO.)	MAY 10, 1974
480193	COOPER, CITY OF (DELTA CO.)	JANUARY 09, 1973
480527A	CORRIGAN, CITY OF (POLK CO.)	MAY 24, 1974 AND APRIL 09, 1976
480409	CRANDALL, CITY OF (KAUFMAN CO.)	MARCH 08, 1974
480761	DODSON, TOWN (COLLINGSWORTH CO)	APRIL 25, 1975
480088A	EARLY, CITY OF (BROWN CO.)	MAY 17, 1974 AND JANUARY 30, 1976
480895	EARTH, CITY (LAMB CO)	MAY 02, 1975
480763	EDEN, VILLAGE (CONCHO CO)	MAY 02, 1975
480635A	EDGEWOOD, CITY OF (VAN ZANDT CO.)	JUNE 14, 1974 AND APRIL 02, 1976
480207	ENNIS, CITY OF (ELLIS CO.)	JUNE 28, 1974
480277	ESTELLINE, CITY OF (HALL CO.)	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
481069	FAIRVIEW, TOWN (COLLIN CO)	JANUARY 10, 1975
480226A	FLOYDADA, CITY OF (FLOYD CO.)	MAY 31, 1974 AND JANUARY 09, 1976
480446A	FOLLETT, CITY OF (LIPSCOMB CO.)	JUNE 28, 1974 AND AUGUST 15, 1975
480003A	FRANKSTON, CITY OF (ANDERSON CO.)	AUGUST 16, 1974 AND JANUARY 09, 1976
480523A	FRIONA, CITY OF (PARMER CO.)	APRIL 12, 1974
480435A	GIDDINGS, CITY (LEE CO.)	JUNE 28, 1974 AND JANUARY 16, 1976

• UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

TEXAS

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
480574A	GLEN ROSE, CITY (SOMERVELL CO)	AUGUST 23, 1974 AND FEBRUARY 06, 1976
481046	GRANGER, CITY OF (WILLIAMSON COU)	FEBRUARY 07, 1975
480328	GUN BARREL CITY, CITY OF (HENDERSON CO.)	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
480153A	GUSTINE, TOWN OF (COMANCHE CO.)	AUGUST 02, 1974 AND MARCH 19, 1976
480273A	HALE CENTER, CITY OF (HALE CO.)	MAY 10, 1974
481011	HAPPY, CITY OF (RANDALL & SWISHER)	FEBRUARY 14, 1975
480600	HASLET, CITY OF (TARRANT CO.)	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
480201A	HEDLEY, CITY OF (DONLEY CO.)	NOVEMBER 08, 1974 AND JANUARY 23, 1976
480458A	HEWITT, CITY OF (MCLENNAN CO.)	JANUARY 23, 1974 AND MARCH 12, 1976
480699	HOLLIDAY, CITY (ARCHER CO)	APRIL 18, 1975
480373	HUTCHINSON COUNTY •	FEBRUARY 07, 1975
481072	IREDELL, TOWN OF (BOSQUE CO.)	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
480417	KENDALL COUNTY •	DECEMBER 27, 1974
480674	KERMIT, CITY OF (WINKLER CO.)	MAY 24, 1974
481012	KRESS, CITY OF (SWISHER CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
480475A	LACOSTE, CITY OF (MEDINA CO.)	JANUARY 09, 1974 AND FEBRUARY 27, 1976
480278	LAKEVIEW, TOWN OF (HALL CO.)	DECEMBER 06, 1974
480902	LEXINGTON, TOWN OF (LEE CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
480569A	LINDALE, CITY OF (SMITH CO.)	MARCH 22, 1974 AND JANUARY 23, 1976
480451	LLANO, CITY OF (LLANO CO.)	DECEMBER 28, 1973
480900	LOMETA, TOWN (LAMPASAS CO)	MAY 02, 1975
480268	MARION, CITY OF (GUADALUPE CO.)	JANUARY 09, 1974
480208	MAYPEARL, CITY OF (ELLIS CO.)	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
480257A	MCLEAN, CITY OF (GRAY CO.)	MAY 17, 1974 AND JANUARY 30, 1976
481016	MERKEL, CITY (TAYLOR CO)	MAY 02, 1975
480376A	MERTZON, CITY OF (IRION CO.)	JULY 26, 1974 AND FEBRUARY 27, 1976
480541	MIAMI, CITY OF (ROBERTS CO.)	MAY 24, 1974
480679	MINEOLA, CITY OF (WOOD CO.)	MAY 03, 1974
480518	MINGUS, CITY (PALO PINTO CO)	MAY 02, 1975
480937	MITCHELL COUNTY	APRIL 12, 1974
481003	MORAN, CITY (SHACKLEFORD CO)	APRIL 25, 1975
480433A	MOULTON, TOWN OF (LAVACA CO.)	APRIL 05, 1974 AND MARCH 05, 1976
480500	NEWTON, CITY OF (NEWTON CO.)	JUNE 07, 1974
480436A	NORMANGEE, CITY OF (LEON CO.)	AUGUST 16, 1974 AND MARCH 05, 1976
480437A	OAKWOOD, TOWN OF (LEON CO.)	MAY 24, 1974 AND FEBRUARY 06, 1976
480206A	ODESSA, CITY OF (ECTOR CO.)	JUNE 28, 1974
481082	OZONA, CITY OF (CROCKETT CO.)	DECEMBER 07, 1973
480509A	PERRYTON, CITY OF (OCHILTREE CO.)	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974

• UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED		
	TEXAS			
481028	PFLUGERVILLE, CITY [TRAVIS CO]	MAY	02, 1975	
480116	PITTSBURG, CITY OF [CAMP CO.]	JANUARY	23, 1974	
480141A	PROSPER, TOWN OF [COLLIN CO.]	JUNE	21, 1974	AND
		JANUARY	30, 1976	
480645A	PYOTE, CITY OF [WARD CO.]	AUGUST	16, 1974	
480370A	QUINLAN, CITY OF [HUNT CO.]	APRIL	12, 1974	AND
		APRIL	16, 1976	
480205	RANGER, CITY OF [EASTLAND CO.]	MAY	17, 1974	
480628A	RANKIN, CITY OF [UPTON CO.]	MAY	10, 1974	AND
		MARCH	12, 1976	
480803	RED OAK, TOWN [ELLIS CO]	MAY	02, 1975	
480562A	RICHLAND SPRINGS, CITY OF [SAN SABA CO.]	NOVEMBER	08, 1974	AND
		MARCH	05, 1976	
480225	ROBY, CITY OF [FISHER CO.]	MAY	17, 1974	
481120	RUNGE, TOWN (KARNES CO)	APRIL	25, 1975	
480667	SAN PERLITA, CITY OF [WILLACY CO.]	OCTOBER	25, 1974	
480114A	SANTA ROSA, CITY OF [CAMERON CO.]	MAY	17, 1974	AND
		APRIL	02, 1976	
480728	SKELLYTOWN, TOWN [CARSON CO]	MAY	02, 1975	
480488A	SPLENDORA, CITY OF [MONTGOMERY CO.]	AUGUST	30, 1974	AND
		MARCH	05, 1976	
480375A	STINNETT, CITY OF [HUTCHINSON CO.]	MAY	31, 1974	AND
		JANUARY	16, 1976	
480673A	STOCKDALE, CITY OF [WILSON CO.]	MAY	31, 1974	AND
		OCTOBER	10, 1975	
480355A	SUNDOWN, CITY OF [HOCKLEY CO.]	APRIL	12, 1974	AND
		MARCH	19, 1976	
480416	TERRELL, CITY OF [KAUFMAN CO.]	DECEMBER	20, 1974	
481049	THRALL, CITY OF (WILLAMSON CO)	FEBRUARY	21, 1975	
480315	TOMBALL, CITY [HARRIS CO]	JANUARY	24, 1975	
480663A	VERNON, CITY OF [WILBARGER CO.]	MAY	17, 1974	AND
		JANUARY	16, 1976	
480652	WHARTON COUNTY •	AUGUST	02, 1974	
480633A	WILLS POINT, CITY OF [VAN ZANDT CO.]	MAY	17, 1974	AND
		FEBRUARY	27, 1976	
480675	WINK, CITY OF [WINKLER CO.]	JUNE	28, 1974	
480573A	WINONA, CITY OF [SMITH CO.]	NOVEMBER	01, 1974	AND
		JANUARY	23, 1976	
480372	WOLFE CITY, CITY OF [HUNT CO.]	JUNE	28, 1974	
480434	YOAKUM, CITY OF [LAVACA CO.]	MAY	10, 1974	
480687	ZAPATO COUNTY •	AUGUST	02, 1974	

TOTAL IN THE STATE 103

• UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED		
	UTAH			
490123	AURORA, TOWN OF (SEVIER CO)	JANUARY	31, 1975	
490002	BEAVER, CITY OF [BEAVER CO.]	JUNE	11, 1974	
490153	CEDAR FORT, TOWN OF (UTAH COU)	FEBRUARY	07, 1975	
490095	CIRCLEVILLE, TOWN OF [PIUTE CO.]	AUGUST	02, 1974	
490007	ELWOOD, TOWN [BOX ELDER CO]	JANUARY	24, 1975	
490169A	ENTERPRISE, CITY OF [WASHINGTON CO.]	AUGUST	16, 1974	
490154	GENOLA, TOWN OF (UTAH COU)	FEBRUARY	07, 1975	
490155	GOSHEN, TOWN OF (UTAH COU)	FEBRUARY	07, 1975	
490188	HUNTSVILLE, TOWN OF [WEBER CO.]	JUNE	21, 1974	
490018A	LEWISTON, CITY OF [CACHE CO.]	AUGUST	16, 1974	AND
		DECEMBER	19, 1975	
490185	LOA, TOWN OF [WAYNE CO.]	DECEMBER	20, 1974	
490100A	RANDOLPH, TOWN OF [RICH CO.]	AUGUST	16, 1974	
490147	UNITAH COUNTY •	FEBRUARY	14, 1975	
490037A	WELLINGTON, CITY OF [CARBON CO.]	JULY	26, 1974	AND
		APRIL	09, 1976	

TOTAL IN THE STATE 14

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

VERMONT

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
500279	ATHENS, TOWN OF (WINDHAM CO.)	DECEMBER 06, 1974
500082A	BARTON, VILLAGE OF (ORLEANS CO.)	NOVEMBER 08, 1974 AND APRIL 16, 1976
500292	BELLE HAVEN, TOWN OF (ACCOMACK CO.)	JANUARY 17, 1975
500227	BELVIDERE, TOWN OF (LAMOILLE CO.)	DECEMBER 06, 1974
500236	BROOKFIELD, TOWN OF (ORANGE CO.)	DECEMBER 13, 1974
500245	BRONNINGTON, TOWN OF (ORLEANS CO.)	DECEMBER 13, 1974
500107	CABOT, VILLAGE OF (WASHINGTON CO.)	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
500083	CHARLESTON, TOWN OF (ORLEANS CO.)	AUGUST 09, 1974
500185	DANVILLE, TOWN (CALEDONIA CO)	JANUARY 17, 1975
500249	DERBY CENTER, VILLAGE OF (ORLEANS CO)	MARCH 28, 1975
500127	DOVER, TOWN OF (WINDHAM CO.)	AUGUST 02, 1974
500229	EDEN, TOWN OF (LAMOILLE CO.)	DECEMBER 06, 1974
500318	ELMORE, TOWN (LAMOILLE CO)	APRIL 11, 1975
500051	ENOSBURG, TOWN OF (FRANKLIN CO.)	AUGUST 09, 1974
500035	ESSEX JCT., VILLAGE OF (CHITTANDEN CO.)	JUNE 28, 1974
500210	FERDINAND, TOWN OF (ESSEX CO.)	DECEMBER 13, 1974
500251	GLOVER, TOWN OF (ORLEANS CO.)	DECEMBER 20, 1974
500129A	GRAFTON, TOWN OF (WINDHAM CO.)	DECEMBER 06, 1974 AND APRIL 02, 1976
500211	GRANBY, TOWN OF (ESSEX CO.)	DECEMBER 13, 1974
500003	GRANVILLE, TOWN OF (ADDISON CO.)	JANUARY 24, 1975
500167	HARDWICK, VILLAGE OF (CALEDONIA CO.)	DECEMBER 20, 1974
500313	HUBBARDTON, TOWN OF (RUTLAND CO.)	DECEMBER 13, 1974
500230	HYDE PARK, TOWN OF (LAMOILLE CO.)	DECEMBER 06, 1974
500231	HYDE PARK, VILLAGE OF (LAMOILLE CO.)	AUGUST 30, 1974
500252	IRASBURG, TOWN OF (ORLEANS CO.)	DECEMBER 20, 1974
500253	JAY, TOWN OF (ORLEANS CO.)	SEPTEMBER 13, 1974
500188	KIRBY, TOWN OF (CALEDONIA CO.)	DECEMBER 13, 1974
500254	LOWELL, TOWN OF (ORLEANS CO.)	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
500048	LUNENBURG, TOWN OF (ESSEX CO.)	JUNE 28, 1974
500213	MAIDSTONE, TOWN OF (ESSEX CO.)	DECEMBER 13, 1974
500167	MONKTON, TOWN (ADDISON CO)	JANUARY 24, 1975
500255	MORGAN, TOWN OF (ORLEANS CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
500262	MT. TABOR, TOWN (RUTLAND CO)	JANUARY 10, 1975
500285	N WESTMINSTER, VILL (WINDHAM CO)	JANUARY 10, 1975
500190	NEWARK, TOWN (CALEDONIA CO.)	JANUARY 10, 1975
500284	NEWFANE, VILLAGE (WINDHAM CO)	JANUARY 03, 1975
500256	NEWPORT, TOWN OF (ORLEANS CO.)	NOVEMBER 01, 1974
500180	NORTH BENNINGTON, VILLAGE OF (BENNINGTON CO)	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
500214	NORTON, TOWN OF (ESSEX CO)	MARCH 28, 1975
500296	PERKINSVILLE, VILL (WINDSOR CO)	JANUARY 03, 1975
500181	PERU, TOWN (BENNINGTON CO)	JANUARY 10, 1975
500218	RICHFORD, TOWN OF (FRANKLIN CO.)	AUGUST 02, 1974
500183	SANDGATE, TOWN OF (BENNINGTON CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
500184	SEARSBURG, TOWN OF (BENNINGTON CO.)	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
500287	SOMERSET, TOWN OF (WINDHAM CO.)	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
500290	STAFFORD, TOWN OF (ORANGE CO)	MARCH 28, 1975

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

VERMONT

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
500321	STRATTON, TOWN OF (WINDHAM CO.)	JANUARY 31, 1975
500269	SUDBURY, TOWN (RUTLAND CO)	JANUARY 24, 1975
500198	SUTTON, TOWN OF (CALEDONIA CO.)	DECEMBER 13, 1974
500270	TINMOUTH, TOWN OF (RUTLAND CO.)	DECEMBER 06, 1974
500241	TOPSHAM, TOWN OF (ORANGE CO.)	DECEMBER 13, 1974
500288	TOWNSEND, VILLAGE OF (WINDHAM CO.)	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
500215	VICTORY, TOWN OF (ESSEX CO.)	DECEMBER 13, 1974
500077A	WASHINGTON, TOWN OF (ORANGE CO)	JUNE 28, 1974 AND FEBRUARY 20, 1976
500233	WATERVILLE, TOWN OF (LAMOILLE CO.)	DECEMBER 20, 1974
500078	WELLS RIVER, VILLAGE OF (ORANGE CO.)	AUGUST 09, 1974
500272	WEST HAVEN, TOWN (RUTLAND CO)	JANUARY 03, 1975
500257	WESTFIELD, TOWN OF (ORLEANS CO.)	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
500203	WESTFORD, TOWN (CHITTENDEN CO)	JANUARY 03, 1975
500190	WESTMINSTER, VILLAGE OF (WINDHAM CO.)	DECEMBER 20, 1974
500311	WESTMORE, TOWN (ORLEANS CO)	JANUARY 03, 1975
500204	WHEELOCK, TOWN OF (CALEDONIA CO.)	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
500314	WOODBURY, TOWN (WASHINGTON CO)	JANUARY 17, 1975

TOTAL IN THE STATE - 63

• UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

VIRGINIA

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
510218	HALLWOOD, TOWN OF [ACCOMACK CO.]	AUGUST 09, 1974
510121A	HAYMARKET, TOWN OF [PRINCE WILLIAM CO.]	AUGUST 09, 1974
510316	HILLSBORO, TOWN [LOUDON CO]	APRIL 04, 1975
510226A	NEW CASTLE, TOWN OF [CRAIG CO.]	AUGUST 09, 1974
510322	ROYKINS, TOWN OF [SOUTHAMPTON CO.]	MAY 31, 1974

TOTAL IN THE STATE 5

• UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

WASHINGTON

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
530107	ALMIRA, TOWN OF [LINCOLN CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
530244	COLTON, TOWN [WHITMAN CO]	MAY 02, 1975
530108A	CRESTON, TOWN OF [LINCOLN CO.]	DECEMBER 20, 1974 AND APRIL 02, 1976
530150A	FRIDAY HARBOR, TOWN OF [SAN JUAN CO.]	NOVEMBER 29, 1974
530110	HARRINGTON, TOWN OF [LINCOLN CO.]	DECEMBER 13, 1974
530178	LATAH, TOWN OF [SPOKANE CO.]	DECEMBER 06, 1974
530253	MOSSYROCK, CITY OF [LEWIS COU]	FEBRUARY 07, 1975
530112	REARDAN, TOWN [LINCOLN CO]	JANUARY 10, 1975
530042A	REPUBLIC, TOWN OF [FERRY CO.]	JUNE 07, 1974 AND JANUARY 16, 1976
530039	ROCK ISLAND, TOWN [DOUGLAS CO]	JANUARY 24, 1975
530236	SKYKOMISH, TOWN OF [KING)]	FEBRUARY 14, 1975
530264	SPRINGDALE, TOWN [STEVENS CO]	MAY 02, 1975
530031	STARBUCK, CITY [COLUMBIA CO]	JANUARY 03, 1975
530304	WARDEN, TOWN [GRANT CO]	MAY 02, 1975
530184	WAVERLY, TOWN OF [SPOKANE CO.]	NOVEMBER 15, 1974

TOTAL IN THE STATE 15

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

WEST VIRGINIA

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
540051	HARDY COUNTY *	APRIL 25, 1975
540085	LEWIS COUNTY *	FEBRUARY 21, 1975
540270	MASONTOWN, TOWN OF (PRESTON CO.)	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
540224	RITCHIE COUNTY*	APRIL 25, 1975
540183	ROANE COUNTY *	APRIL 25, 1975
540213	WOOD COUNTY	JANUARY 17, 1975

TOTAL IN THE STATE 6

* UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

WISCONSIN

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
550009	ALMENA, VILLAGE OF (BARRON CO.)	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
550174A	BARNEVELD, VILLAGE OF (IOWA CO.)	MAY 17, 1974 AND DECEMBER 26, 1975
550526	BEAR CREEK, VILLAGE OF (OUTAGAMIE CO.)	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
550311	BELGIUM, VILLAGE OF (OZAUKEE CO.)	JUNE 07, 1974
550068A	BELL CENTER, VILLAGE OF (CRAWFORD CO.),	JANUARY 09, 1974
550493	BIG FALLS, VILLAGE OF (WAUPACA CO.)	AUGUST 30, 1974
550198	BOSCOBEL, CITY OF (GRANT CO.)	DECEMBER 17, 1973
550161	BROWNTOWN, VILLAGE OF (GREEN CO.)	JANUARY 09, 1974
550018A	CABLE, VILLAGE OF (BAYFIELD CO.)	AUGUST 30, 1974 AND NOVEMBER 21, 1975
550011	CAMERON, VILLAGE OF (BARRON CO.)	DECEMBER 26, 1973
550358A	CAZENOVIA, VILLAGE OF (RICHLAND CO.)	AUGUST 23, 1974 AND OCTOBER 24, 1975
550371A	CONRATH, VILLAGE OF (RUSK CO.)	AUGUST 30, 1974 AND MARCH 19, 1976
550069	DESOTO, VILLAGE (CRAWFORD CO)	JANUARY 09, 1974
550461	EAGLE RIVER, CITY OF (VILAS CO.)	DECEMBER 28, 1973
550417A	ELAND, VILLAGE OF (SHAWANO CO.)	AUGUST 23, 1974 AND MARCH 19, 1976
550249	ELDERON, VILLAGE OF (MARATHON CO.)	JULY 19, 1974
550129	FAIRCHILD, VILLAGE OF (EAU CLAIRE CO.)	MAY 31, 1974
550250	FENWOOD, VILLAGE OF (MARATHON CO.)	AUGUST 02, 1974
550110	FORESTVILLE, VILLAGE OF (DOOR CO.)	NOVEMBER 30, 1973
550367	FORTVILLE, VILLAGE OF (ROCK CO.)	MAY 31, 1974
550238	FRANCIS CREEK, VILLAGE OF (MANITOWOC CO.)	MAY 17, 1974
550372	GLEN FLORA, VILLAGE OF (RUSK CO.)	NOVEMBER 08, 1974
550050	GRANTON, VILLAGE OF (CLARK CO.)	AUGUST 30, 1974
550157	GREEN COUNTY *	DECEMBER 06, 1974
550202	HUSTLER, VILLAGE OF (JUNEAU CO.)	AUGUST 23, 1974
550374	INGRAM, VILLAGE OF (RUSK CO.)	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
550393	IRONTON, VILLAGE OF (SAUK CO.)	AUGUST 16, 1974
550565	LAC LABELLE, VILLAGE OF (WAUKESHA COU)	JANUARY 31, 1975
550396	LIME RIDGE, VILLAGE OF (SAUK CO.)	AUGUST 23, 1974
550102A	LOMELL, VILLAGE OF (DODGE CO.)	MAY 17, 1974
550439	LUBLIN, VILLAGE OF (TAYLOR CO.)	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
550170A	MARQUETTE, VILLAGE OF (GREEN LAKE CO.)	DECEMBER 28, 1973
550419	MATTOON, VILLAGE OF (SHAWANO CO.)	AUGUST 30, 1974
550288	MELVINA, VILLAGE OF (MONROE CO.)	AUGUST 30, 1974
550152	MOUNT HOPE, VILLAGE OF (GRANT CO.)	AUGUST 30, 1974
550205	NECEDAH, VILLAGE OF (JUNEAU CO.)	JANUARY 09, 1974
550046	NEW AUBURN, CITY OF (CHIPPEWA CO.)	JULY 19, 1974
550350	NORTH BAY, VILLAGE OF (RACINE CO.)	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974
550500	OGDENSBURG, VILLAGE OF (WAUPACA CO.)	AUGUST 23, 1974
550113	OLIVER, VILLAGE OF (DOUGLAS CO.)	AUGUST 30, 1974
550457	ONTARIO, VILLAGE OF (VERNON CO.)	JANUARY 09, 1974
550427	OOSTBURG, VILLAGE OF (SHEBOYGAN CO.)	JUNE 28, 1974
550062	PARDEEVILLE, VILLAGE OF (COLUMBIA CO.)	DECEMBER 28, 1973

• UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

WISCONSIN

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
550114	POPLAR, VILLAGE OF (DOUGLAS CO.)	DECEMBER 28, 1973
550155	POTOSI, VILLAGE OF (GRANT CO.)	DECEMBER 28, 1973
550401	PRAIRIE DU SAC, VILLAGE OF (SAUK CO.)	DECEMBER 07, 1973
550508	REDGRANITE, VILLAGE OF (WAUSHARA CO.)	MAY 17, 1974
550556	SHOREWOOD HILLS, VILLAGE OF (DANE COU)	JANUARY 31, 1975
550230	SHULLSBURG, CITY OF (LAFAYETTE CO.)	MAY 17, 1974
550231	SOUTH WAYNE, VILLAGE OF (LAFAYETTE CO.)	DECEMBER 07, 1973
550388	STANTON, TOWN OF (ST. CROIX CO.)	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
550387	STAR PRAIRIE, VILLAGE OF (ST. CROIX CO.)	DECEMBER 28, 1973
550353A	STURTEVANT, VILLAGE OF (RACINE CO.)	MAY 24, 1974
550207	UNION CENTER, VILLAGE OF (JUNEAU CO.)	NOVEMBER 15, 1974
550257	UNITY, CITY OF (MARATHON CO.)	SEPTEMBER 20, 1974
550519	VESPER, VILLAGE OF (WOOD CO.)	AUGUST 02, 1974
550507	WILD ROSE, VILLAGE OF (WAUSHARA CO.)	MAY 31, 1974
550389A	WILSON, VILLAGE OF (ST. CROIX CO.)	AUGUST 30, 1974
550156A	WOODMAN, VILLAGE OF (GRANT CO.)	JANUARY 16, 1974 AND AUGUST 08, 1975

TOTAL IN THE STATE 59

• UNINCORPORATED AREAS ONLY

WYOMING

COMMUNITY NUMBER	NAME	HAZARD AREA IDENTIFIED
560041	CHUGWATER, TOWN OF (PLATTE CO.)	DECEMBER 13, 1974
560018	DUBOIS, TOWN OF (FREMONT CO.)	JANUARY 23, 1974
560025A	EAST THERMOPOLIS, TOWN OF (HOT SPRINGS CO.)	NOVEMBER 08, 1974 AND FEBRUARY 06, 1976
560005A	GREYBULL, TOWN (BIG HORN CO)	JUNE 21, 1974
560028	KAYCEE, TOWN OF (JOHNSON CO.)	NOVEMBER 22, 1974
560035	KEMMERER, TOWN OF (LINCOLN CO.)	MARCH 29, 1974
560002A	LARAMIE, CITY OF (ALBANY CO.)	APRIL 05, 1974 AND FEBRUARY 06, 1976
560046A	RANCHESTER, TOWN OF (SHERIDAN CO.)	SEPTEMBER 06, 1974 AND MARCH 12, 1976
560021	RIVERTON, CITY OF (FREMONT CO.)	MARCH 29, 1974
560003	ROCK RIVER, CITY OF (ALBANY COU)	FEBRUARY 07, 1975
560055	TEN SLEEP, TOWN OF (WASHAKIE CO.)	DECEMBER 13, 1974
560024	YODER, TOWN OF (GOSHEN CO.)	DECEMBER 06, 1974

TOTAL IN THE STATE 12

National Total 2,790

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968); effective Jan. 28, 1969 (33 F.R. 17804 Nov. 28, 1968), as amended, 42 U.S.C. 4001–4128; and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator, 34 F.R. 2680, Feb. 27, 1969) as amended 39 F.R. 2787, Jan. 24, 1974.)

Issued: May 4, 1976.

HOWARD B. CLARK,
Acting Federal
Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.76-14531 Filed 5-19-76;8:45 am]

THIS HANDY REFERENCE IS A "MUST" ON YOUR BOOKSHELF!

1975/1976 EDITION

Whatever happened to the Director of Liquidation? (page 693)

Which agencies have programs concerning American Indians? (page 817)

What is SPARS? (page 762)

The answers to these and many more intriguing questions concerning the Federal Government can be found in the *U.S. Government Manual.*

This official guidebook provides useful information about a wide variety of programs and activities within the three branches of Government, including:

- Agency programs, functions, key officials, and a "Sources of Information" section
- Federal regional government system
- A historical reference to Federal agencies and functions
- Bicentennial activities and programs

This handbook is an excellent reference tool for teachers, students, librarians, businessmen, lawyers, and citizens interested in current information about the U.S. Government.

$6.50 per copy
Paper bound, with charts

MAIL ORDER FORM To: Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office
Washington, D.C. 20402

Enclosed find $..... (check, money order, or Supt. of Documents coupons). Please send me ..... copies of the UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT MANUAL, 1975/1976, *at $6.50 per copy.*

(Catalog No. GS 4.109:975) (Stock No. 022–003–00910–8)

Please charge this order to my Deposit Account No. .....

Name .....

Street address .....

City and State ..... *ZIP Code* .....

FOR USE OF SUPT. DOCS.

Enclosed.....
To be mailed later.....
Subscription.....
Refund.....
Coupon refund.....
Postage.....
Foreign handling.....

☆ GPO : 1975—O-58-000